Historic, archived document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

,

·

-

.



Dairy Herd Improvement Letter

JULY-AUGUST-SEPTEMBER-1973

Vol. 49, No. 4

ARS-NE-29







AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE . U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Special appreciation is expressed to the many authors whose letters, memos, manuscripts, and books provided a rich, historical source for this Letter. Their contributions, as listed in the Bibliography on pages 29 to 31, continue to offer the interested reader a vast array of literature outlining the dairy herd improvement program.

Appreciation is expressed to Frank D. Murrill, Extension Dairyman, University of California and National DHI Coordinator, for his contributions to the text, especially his recommendations on the material concerning the Coordinating Group, and his work on the organizational charts.

I also acknowledge the review and suggestions by the following people: Dr. Frank N. Dickinson, Victor H. Lytton, Dr. H. Duane Norman, and Lewis G. Waite, all with the Agricultural Research Service--USDA; Dr. Wayne D. Rasmussen, Agricultural History Group, Economic Research Service--USDA; Dr. N. P. Ralston and Dr. Roger H. Wilkowski, Extension Service--USDA; Dr. Charles M. Chance, University of Maryland; Bliss H. Crandall, DHI Computing Service, Provo, Utah; Dr. Lyle H. Wadell, New York Dairy Records Processing Center, Ithaca, and O. Glenn Wall, Jr., Southern Regional Dairy Records Processing Center, Raleigh, N. C.



Dairy Herd Improvement Letter

July-August-September 1973

THE NATIONAL COOPERATIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

History, Purpose, and Organization

By Gerald J. King 1/, 2/

Many summaries of the National Cooperative Dairy Herd Improvement Program (NCDHIP) have been written in the past, but additions to the program and changes in name and organization make it desirable to publish this updated history, statement of purpose, and description of organization.

General authority for this program is included in the act that established the Department of Agriculture in 1862, the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944, and the annual appropriation acts. In 1952, respective responsibilities were first documented in a Memorandum of Understanding. Since 1965, the Coordinating Group for the NCDHIP has governed the conduct of the program.

1/ King is an Animal Husbandman with the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, Animal Physiology and Genetics Institute, ARS, USDA.

2/ With the technical assistance of Maureen K. Dixon, Patricia M. Faulkner, Anna H. Kienast, and Rebecca A. Norris, all of the above Laboratory.



EARLY COW TESTING IN THE UNITED STATES

One of the first recorded instances of cow testing in the United States is the 511 pounds and 2 ounces of churned butter produced in 350 days by the Jersey cow, Flora 13 A.J.C.C., in 1854. This and many other private records, including 12,681 pounds of annual milk production, recorded for the Holstein-Friesian cow, Dowager, in 1871 were collected by individual breeders.

Private collection of records gradually became more organized, and many early records were included in various publications. These records aided in managing, feeding, and breeding, as well as in the sale of livestock. By 1895, the Dairy Division was established in the Bureau of Animal Industry, United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) to investigate, collect, and disseminate information and to demonstrate improved practices to benefit the dairy industry.

One of the important activities from the beginning was to develop and promote dairy recordkeeping and to utilize the information obtained to improve individual cow, herd, and dairy farm performance. Milk and butter or butterfat recording by the Breed Associations began between 1880 and 1905. Before 1900, Division dairy specialists worked with individual farmers in obtaining records of milk and fat production of single cows. They found that this information was essential in obtaining material for dairy demonstration work.

In 1905, a Dairy Farming Investigations Section was established in the Division to advance this and related work. Several USDA dairy specialists were assigned to State colleges in the Southern States to pursue dairy improvement work. In 1917, the title was changed to Dairy Extension. This group was discontinued in 1920, several years after the State Cooperative Extension Services were developed, and many of the people in the States transferred to State extension work.

Also in 1905, Helmer Rabild, inspector for the Michigan Dairy and Food Commission, organized the first dairy cow testing association in the United States in Newaygo County, Michigan. The first meeting was held September 26, with only six to eight dairymen attending. The important features were the cooperation of several dairymen in production testing, the inclusion of all cows in the herd, and the inclusion of cows' dry periods in the herd record.

The first herd average production records were reported from this association for 1906. At that time, the association included 31 herds with 239 cows that produced an average of 5,300 pounds of milk and 315 pounds of fat annually.

The early cow-testing associations were dairy farmer cooperative organizations with 15 to 30 or more dairymen cooperating. Each association employed one or more testers (later called supervisors) to weigh the milk, take samples for butterfat, conduct the butterfat test, and calculate monthly, cumulative lactation, and annual production. The tester calculated all individual cow records and herd averages (including feed weights and costs when they were collected) by hand or with the help of a desk calculator

In 1908, the Dairy Division employed Mr. Rabild to take charge of a cow-testing program on a national scale.

With the passage of the Smith-Lever Act in 1914, which established the Cooperative Extension Service, dairy recordkeeping associations were included in State and Federal extension programs to provide demonstrations of the good results of proper production recordkeeping. The Federal extension role was to advise, to serve as liaison between the parties involved in the testing program, and to be responsible for educational programs, teaching methods, and information.

As expected, the testing organizations in different States evolved somewhat independently. In 1922, the Dairy Extension Section of the American Dairy Science Association (ADSA) recommended changes in the herd book as they attempted to standardize cow-testing association information.

The Office of Cooperative Extension Work was established in USDA in 1923. It was directed by the Director of Extension Work and Extension Service.

In 1924, the Bureau of Dairying was established; the Dairy Division was abolished; and the Dairy Introduction Section was given responsibility for cow-testing association work in the new Bureau. In the spring of 1925, Joseph B. Parker of the Dairy Introduction Section was appointed Bureau fieldman for cow-testing association work. Sidney L. Thompson headed the section at this time.

The Cow-Testing Association Letter was first published by this section in May 1925 and included proposed rules and regulations governing cow-testing associations. These rules were adopted by the Cow-Testing Association Committee of the Extension Section of the ADSA at the meeting in Chicago, February 23-25, 1925, and by the ADSA in the fall of 1925.

Centering the test day was included in the first rules for test adopted in 1925. It was called the McDowell Method for Centering the Testing Day, and the first paragraph reads as follows:

> "The testing period has the same number of days as the calendar month in which the testing day occurs. Considering the testing day as the middle of the testing period gives each herd a different testing period. If the testing day of a certain herd is on the first day of the month, the monthly testing period for that herd extends from the middle of the preceding month to the middle of the current month, the monthly testing period and the calendar month coincide. If the testing day is the last day of the month, the monthly testing period extends from the middle of that month to the middle of thet month."

This procedure was not changed as long as the centering date method remained the method for calculating monthly production. The centering date method was an improvement over the original in which the production of the testing day was used as the average yield for the calendar month of the test day.

One of the first interstate conferences of cowtesting association workers was held at the Bureau of Dairying, Washington, D.C. April 20, 1925, to discuss methods of standardizing work, tabulating records, and locating outstanding dairy sires. Charles R. Gearhart representing Pennsylvania, J. A. Conover representing Maryland, Enos J. Perry representing New Jersey, and L. P. Emmerick and George Carey representing Virginia participated. On July 1, 1925, following the death of Mr. Thompson, John H. McLain was appointed to take charge of the Dairy Introduction Section.

By September 1925, the Bureau had installed an electric punch machine, an electric sorter, and an electric tabulating machine. These machines enabled the Bureau to make herd and association summaries and to summarize the relationship of milk and fat to other factors, the influence of season of freshening on milk production, and comparison of purebred and grade cows.

Early in the program, the USDA began supplying forms to the States for recordkeeping. This continued until the mid- 1960's when the dairy record processing centers began producing their own forms, and very few herds remained on hand-processing. USDA continues to provide Form 1057, "Lifetime History of Individual Cow", for States that do not receive computer-printed individual cow pages from the processing centers. On July 1, 1926, the Bureau of Dairying was renamed the Bureau of Dairy Industry (BDI).

DEVELOPING ORGANIZATION FOR TESTING

In 1926, the Standard DHIA Plan for herd testing was adopted. Under the Standard DHIA Plan, data on production (and often feed, costs, and value of production) were collected by an impartial person (the cow tester), and the members followed the rules adopted for the plan. The records from this plan were the only ones used for sire-proving. The cow-tester's routine was the same as that described earlier.

The Owner-Sampler Plan was also introduced in 1926 as an unofficial plan in which the dairymen need not follow a set of rules, but it received relatively little attention for many years. The Owner-Sampler Plan requires the supervisor to leave sample bottles and record sheets at the farm each month. The farmer weighs the milk from each cow and saves a sample for butterfat testing. In the early years, the supervisor determined the butterfat percent in milk samples and calculated the records by hand, afterwards leaving them with the dairyman. The use of Owner-Sampler records was and is limited to the owner's herd. However, these records were fully as valuable for herd management as were Standard DHIA Plan records, and the cost was less than that of the Standard DHIA Plan.



Supervisors could augment their income by including Owner-Sampler herds in their daily run. They would simply leave sample jars for the milk samples and then pick them up the next day.

In 1927, the ADSA resolved that cow-testing associations be called "Dairy Herd Improvement Associations" because of the broadening scope and incluence of their work. The ADSA also recommended the adoption of more uniform testing and recordkeeping systems. In August, the name of the Cow-Testing Association Letter was changed to "Dairy-Herd-Improvement-Association Letter". A nearly complete file of the Letter--now the Dairy Herd Improvement Letter-is maintained at the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, Building 263, BARC-East, Beltsville, Maryland 20705.

Also in 1927, an ADSA Dairy Records Committee was established. It developed rules (with periodic changes) that were then adopted as official by the ADSA and accepted by the groups cooperating in Standard DHIA Plan testing. This procedure continued until 1965, when the National DHI Coordinating Group was formed. Thus, the ADSA served in an advisory capacity to the Federal agencies charged with responsibilities for the program.

In the spring of 1929, as part of a reorganization of the Bureau, the Dairy Introduction Section of the Bureau of Dairy Industry was abolished, and the Section's work was included in a new Division of Dairy Herd Improvement Investigations (DHII) headed by Dr. John C. McDowell as chief. At this time, Mr. Parker became dairy extension specialist for the Eastern States, and Mr. McLain became dairy extension specialist for the Southern States. Records are not available to indicate which States were included or the assignment of specialists to the other regions of the country.

In 1935, the Office of Cooperative Extension work in the USDA became the Division of Cooperative Extension.

FURTHER DEVELOPMENT OF TESTING PLANS

The Standard DHIA Plan and the Owner-Sampler Plan were the only testing plans used by DHIA's until 1956. They were retained in the same form until the 1940's when central laboratories for butterfat testing came into wide use (see p.10). In 1956, Owner-Sampler participation was first tabulated by USDA, and a publicity campaign undertaken to encourage the use of the plan. New project outlines and recordkeeping forms in blue were distributed to State extension diarymen. Participation in this plan increased greatly over the next few years. The current cost of Owner-Sampler testing is approximately 35 cents per cow per month, plus 10 to 13 cents per cow per month for computer processing.

In August 1956, the Weigh-a-Day-a-Month (WADAM) Plan was inaugurated as a second unofficial plan, and yellow project outlines and forms were distributed for WADAM. The WADAM Plan was designed as an inexpensive (5 cents per cow per month when records were calculated by hand), simple plan for herd management that required as little time and effort as is consistent with helpful recordkeeping. In it, the herd owner weighs the milk for each cow once a month and sends these weights either to the county agent or to the computing center. He may include a plant butterfat test as well as feed and cost information if he wishes. The WADAM Plan was intended to supplement the Standard DHIA and Owner-Sampler Plans.

For several years during the 1950's, discussions were held among the ADSA Records Committee, the ADSA Breed Relations Committee, the Purebred Dairy Cattle Association (PDCA) Testing Committee, and the breed registry organizations to determine whether the electronically calculated Standard DHIA record of a registered cow could be used as the official record for the breed registry organizations. At the 1959 meeting of the ADSA at the University of Illinois, Urbana, this type of record was approved and called Dairy Herd Improvement Registry (DHIR). A set of DHIR rules was established to meet the particular requirements of the breed registry and Herd Improvement Registry programs of the breeds had disappeared, and DHIR remained as the testing program for breed registry organizations.

In 1969, the Standard DHIA Plan was designated "Official DHI" emphasizing that it was the only plan other than DHIR from which records were to be used to evaluate dairy bulls and cows. The current cost is approximately 50 cents per cow per month, plus 10 to 13 cents per cow per month for computer processing. The cost varies with State and regional computing centers. In recent years, many dairymen have become more interested in unofficial recordkeeping because of simplicity and low cost, and many States have included one or more of these plans in their programs in addition to Owner-Sampler and WADAM. Other unofficial plans were first summarized as a part of the NCDHIP by the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory in 1970. The plans vary among States in information collected and furnished.

On January 1, 1973, 1,635 herds and 116,151 cows were enrolled in the various other unofficial plans in 19 states throughout the country. Some of these plans are Owner-Record, Management Only, Commercial, AM-PM, Milk-Only Record, and Tester-Sampled. As with Owner-Sampler and Weigh-a-Day-a-Month testing, these plans have no rules and are conducted by the dairyman and/or the DHIA supervisor for management only. Most records are calculated by computer. For enrollment figures, the reader may refer to the annual DHIA Participation Report that usually appears in the April or May DHI Letter.

THE NATIONAL SIRE-EVALUATION PROGRAM

Proving sires is mentioned as continuing work in DHI Associations in the Cow-Testing Association Letter No. 2, June 1925. In 1935, as a result of a recommendation by the ADSA Sire Committee, the National Sire Proving Program (the terms "proving and "proved-sire" were used until 1960) was initiated by the Division of DHII in cooperation with State Cooperative Extension Services. Dr. J. Frank Kendrick, assistant chief of the Division, organized the sire-proving work, using records from the Standard DHIA Plan.

Not long after the national sire program began, Dr. McDowell retired--on December 31, 1937. A paragraph in the January 1938 Dairy Herd Improvement Association Letter reads: "The cow-testing movement was in its infancy when Dr. McDowell undertook the job of compiling single-handedly the statistical information on a nationwide basis. He has seen the movement grow from one in which the only purpose was to test the cows and weed out the low producers in individual herds to one that now provides information for proving herd sires and selecting outstanding breeding animals for improving the general level in production throughout the entire country." In January 1938, Dr. Kendrick was named chief of the Division by Dr. O. E. Reed, chief of the BDI. The genetic evaluation of dairy bulls and research programs that support this effort became the primary responsibility of this Division. The Daughter-Dam Comparison was used from the time that sire proving began in the United States through 1961. In this comparison, the production of the daughters of a bull was compared with the production of their dams. The difference was assumed to be the value of the bull.

In 1962, the Daughter-Herdmate Comparison Method was adopted by the USDA. This compares each cow's record with the records of other cows that are milking in the same herd at the same time. This new method helped to overcome the generally incorrect assumptions about daughterdam comparisons. These assumptions were: that daughters and dams had the same environment, that future mates of a bull were similar to the dams of the original daughters, and that first and future daughters had the same environment. In the daughter-herdmate comparison, the bull's transmitting ability is expressed as Predicted Difference (PD), a term first used in May 1965. Details of the Calculation of PD are included in the USDA-DHIA Sire Summary List.

Before January 1, 1933, all bulls were proved by using 12-month records. Between January 1, 1933, and November 1, 1935, complete lactation records of 12-months or less were used for all bull-proving. In 1935, the ADSA adopted the 305-day lactation record for use in proving bulls.

From November 1940 through December 1959, sire proofs were published monthly in the Dairy Herd Improvement Letter. All summaries were included in an annual publication from 1937 through 1951. From 1952 through 1959, there were no annual publications. In October 1959, DHII announced that the manual and accounting machine card system for proving sires was being converted to IBM 705-III magnetic-tape electronic data processing equipment. This transition was effectively completed with the publication of the DHIA Proved-Sire List in October 1960.

From that time to the present, sire summaries were computed quarterly and published annually. The title was changed twice, but the purpose remained the same. In 1962, the list was called the DHIA Sire Summary List, and it was at this time that the term "proved sire" was dropped from USDA terminology. In 1970, the list was first called the USDA-DHIA Sire Summary List. In April 1964, the first DHIA Cow Index List was published. In June 1964, the second publication was called the DHIA Cow Performance Index List, and in 1970, "USDA" was added to the title. In 1968, DHII began publishing the USDA-DHIA Sire Summary and USDA-DHIA Cow Performance Index Lists once a year after the May summary. Summaries for newly qualified bulls are computed in January and September of each year, and computer printouts are sent to interested parties in the industry.

AREA OR COUNTY ASSOCIATIONS

The author understands that there were some county and/or area associations in Illinois in the 1920's, but it was during the 1940's that such associations began to develop in any number in the States of Ohio, Washington, Wisconsin, and several others. Many milk samples were tested and the records calculated in a central laboratory. In some associations, the supervisor would take the member's herd book to the central laboratory; as soon as the milk samples were tested and the records entered, the book was returned to the member by mail, or else the supervisor returned the book on his next trip to the farm.

In other associations, the herd book remained on the farm, and the supervisor sent the barn sheets to the testing laboratory with the milk samples or to the processing center, depending on the system established in the association. The testing laboratory sent the butterfat test results (and barn sheets, if appropriate) to the dairy records processing centers. The processing center, in turn, calculated the records and returned the monthly report to the dairyman. The supervisor entered the data in the herd book on his next visit to the farm.

The use of central laboratories has increased until now all butterfat testing for several States and much testing in other States is conducted in central or regional laboratories.

The advent of the county association and the central laboratory enabled the supervisor to add herds for additional income; it improved his working conditions, and it added stability to the association structure because the loss of one or a few members did not disrupt the program.

In the 1960's, automated procedures for butterfat testing came into use. Today four machines are widely used--the Infra-Red Milk Analyzer (IRMA) and the Foss Mark II, III, and IV Milk-O-Testers. The use of central laboratories and electronic testers for determining butterfat percentage has greatly increased testing efficiency.

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING

In 1952, a document called "The Memorandum of Understanding" was signed among the Cooperative Extension Service in each State, the U. S. Extension Service, and the Agricultural Research Service. In this document, the State Cooperative Extension Service was given primary responsibility for the development and guidance of the NCDHIP and adaptation of the details of the program to fit State needs. Excerpts from the Memorandum (last revised in 1969) are included as Appendix A on page

REORGANIZATION OF AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH ADMINISTRATION

Congress authorized reorganization of the Department of Agriculture in 1953 to take effect January 2, 1954. The Agricultural Research Administration was renamed the Agricultural Research Service, and Directors of areas of research were named. For animal research, Branches were organized along species lines, so the Dairy Husbandry Research Branch was formed. According to ARS Memo 101.1, Supplement 1 of April 2, 1954, a Dairy Herd Improvement Sire-Proving Section was formed. An ARS Memo of February 21, 1957, established the Animal Husbandry Research Division, and by the spring of 1958, the Dairy Husbandry Research Branch had been renamed Dairy Cattle Research Branch, and the Dairy Herd Improvement Sire-Proving Section had had the words 'Sire-Proving' removed from the title. By 1961, Dr. Kendrick's title was changed to assistant to the chief for Dairy Herd Improvement.

STATE DHIA'S

By the early 1950's, the local and county associations in several States had formed statewide organizations or federations. These are usually financed by assessing member associations on a per-cow basis. The local and county associations elect a board of directors for the State association, usually through delegates at the annual meeting. A State organization, in cooperation with the State agricultural college or university, can render valuable service to the Dairy Herd Improvement Program in the following ways:

(1) Represent dairymen in establishing State

and national policies and rules.

- (2) Coordinate the programs of local associations.
- (3) Bring about uniform enforcement of rules by serving as an appeals body.
- (4) Establish standards of performance for supervisors.
- (5) Arrange statewide for workman's compensation, retirement benefits, social security payments, and health and liability insurance for supervisors.
- (6) Purchase equipment.
- (7) Arrange laboratory testing plans.

By 1960, 18 State associations had been formed; by 1970, there were 36; and by 1973 there were 40.

DEVELOPMENT OF COMPUTER PROCESSING FOR DHIA RECORDS

In 1951, Lyman Rich and Bliss M. Crandall of Utah pioneered the use of electronic equipment (IBM-601) to calculate DHIA records. In the early 1950's, Dr. Kendrick worked with the following extension dairymen: J. D. Burke and H. W. Carter of New York, Floyd J. Arnold and Donald E. Voelker of Iowa, Marvin E. Senger of North Carolina, and Louis W. Jacquemin and Ralph A. Porterfield of Ohio to have feed, cost, and income values included in the computer-processed DHIA record.

In 1957, the Northeastern States, which were processing their records at the Cornell center, began using an IBM-650, the first mass-produced, stored-program computer. In September 1957, the USDA issued "Instructions for Reporting Individual Cow Records and Herd Data to be Processed on IBM-650 Electronic Data Processing Equipment (EDPM)."

In 1958, four more centers--Iowa, North Carolina, Ohio, and Oklahoma-- began using these procedures for individual cow and herd reports. By 1959, DHIA records were being calculated at 10 centers located in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Utah, Washington, and Wisconsin. Oklahoma operated a center briefly in 1958, then elected to send its records to Iowa.

In August 1959, a workshop was held at Ithaca, N.Y., for computer personnel and extension dairymen involved in diary records processing center operations. Seven centers were represented. Similar workshops, called National DHIA Computing Center Workshops, have been held annually since then at various locations around the country. The workshop was established to discuss the problems of processing DHIA records and to share or work out solutions. These problems include the machines' availability, their capabilities and cost, programming methods and routines, charging dairymen for costs, the role of the computer center personnel in national program decisionmaking, what items can be included in a record, submission of the data to the USDA, and others. Discussions at the workshops have helped to answer such questions. Action taken has resulted in better information for the dairymen and more uniform data for sire summaries, cow indexes, herd summaries, and research.

By 1964 Minnesota had begun processing its own records, and in 1965 Maryland opened its center.

However, in 1969, the Maryland center closed, and Maryland records were subsequently sent to Utah for processing. In 1968, the California Livestock Marketing Association in Visalia, Calif., began processing some of California's records. By early 1970, they had sold the computer programs for calculating dairy records to Dairyman's Cooperative Creamery Association, Tulare, Calif. Agri. Tech. Analytics, a division of Dairyman's, began processing these dairy records July 1, 1970. By 1972, the Ohio center had closed; Ohio records were then processed at the Utah center and Indiana records, at the North Carolina center.

Several centers are regional, while several serve only the State in which they are located. A list of the States served by each of the computing centers as of December 1972 and administrative control of the centers follows:

| Location of Center | Administration | States' records processed |
|------------------------|--|------------------------------|
| California (Tulare) | Dairyman's Cooperative Creamery Association | Part of California. |
| Illinois (Urbana) | University | Illinois. |



| Location of Center | Administration | States records Processed |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Iowa (Ames) | University | Arkansas, Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Oklahoma, and South Dakota. |
| Michican (East Lansing) | Michigan DHIA, Inc. | Michigan. |
| Minnesota (St. Paul) | University | Minnesota. |
| New York (Ithaca) | N.Y. Dairy Herd Improvement Coop- erative contracts with the Depart- ment of Animal Science, Cornell University, to do dairy record com- puting. | Connecticut, Delaware, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont and West Virginia. |
| North Carolina (Raleigh) | Regional Coop- erative Extension Project; computer owned by project (member State Cooperative Exten- sion Services) | Alabama, Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Puerto Rico, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, and Virginia. |
| Pennsylvania (University Park) | University | Pennsylvania. |
| Utah (Provo) | DHI Computing Ser- vice | Arizona, part of California, Colorado, Hawaii, part of Idaho, Maryland, Montana, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Utah, and Wyoming. |
| Washington (Pullman) | University | Alaska, part of Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. |
| Wisconsin (Madison) | Agriculture Records Coop- erative | Wisconsin. |

)

By 1973, records for 98 percent of the Official DHI herds and 92.8 percent of the Official DHI cows were being processed in State and regional computing centers. Similar figures for the other plans are:

- Owner-Sampler--93.5 percent of herds and 92.9 percent of cows;
- Weigh-a-Day-a-Month--85.9 percent of herds and 89.5 percent of cows;
- Other Unofficial--96.5 percent of herds and 89.3 percent of cows;
- Total--96.2 percent of herds and 92.6 percent of cows.

TESA BUTTERFAT TEST

In 1959, the TeSa Test for butterfat, developed by Technical Industries of Fort Lauderdale, Fla., was approved for use in dairy recordkeeping by ADSA and the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists. Thus, this test could be used in addition to the Babcock Testofficial since the beginning of national production testing--or as its replacement. An advantage is the use by the TeSa Test of a detergent, rather than sulfuric acid, as one of the active agents. Details of both the Babcock Test and the TeSa Test are included in USDA's Agriculture Handbook 278.

HERD AVERAGE SUMMARIES

Statistics on herd size and average production have been compiled since 1906. As better tabulating machines became available, summaries became more complete. In 1932, a new tabulating machine made it possible to summarize feeds separately for the herds reporting them; however, it was decided to make the feed summaries on request by the States and to send them directly to the States. Throughout this period, the USDA was publishing feed cost figures on a cow-year basis for each State and for the United States as well.

In 1953, the State and national summaries included feed amounts for the first time because of a change in reporting methods. As noted earlier, the IBM-650 was first used to calculate DHIA records by one of the centers in 1957. The USDA first published herd and



association summaries compiled by the IBM-650 in 1958. In 1961, the herd-average system was converted to the IBM-705-III, and multiple tables of the herd averages were distributed to the States. The herd averages were summarized by milk, butterfat, level of each feed, value of product, and income over feed cost. Also in 1961, the national testing year was established to begin on May 1 and to end on April 30 of the following year. This period coincides with the feeding period for many dairymen.

Each year, the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, established under the ARS reorganization of 1972, summarizes all herd records whose year ends within the national testing year. Old herd records are received and added to the master file. This provision is necessary, because several States have testing years that differ from the national year. In late 1963 the system was moved to an IBM-7080, and the work was accomplished in 705-III mode.

When the system was converted to the IBM 360 in 1967, most tables were dropped, but tabulations of all herds for production levels and tabulations for those herds including production, feed cost, and income data for State and United States continue to be published. Herd-average breed averages by level of milk production for the United States are also published, and State averages by county are sent to each State.

The herd-average records are edited for missing information and against established levels of production and feeding before being accepted for summary. Production figures from the herd average system are quoted as the annual production of cows tested in a particular plan for any given year. A summary of complete herd records reported from 1939-40 to the present is included in the August 1972 Dairy Herd Improvement Letter, ARS-NE-5.

CHANGES IN THE SIXTIES

In the early sixties, important changes took place in the NCDHIP. In 1962, Dr. Kendrick resigned to develop and direct the Washington Data Processing Center for the USDA. Dr. Robert H. Miller directed the work of the unit on an interim basis until Dr. Ernest L. Corley was named head of the unit in April 1963. More and more people and organizations became involved either in the collection of data, in the operation of the program at the farm level, or in utilizing the data after it has been collected. More State DHIA organizations of dairymen were formed and assumed the responsibilities of supervisor trainer and employer, rules arbiter, voice of the dairymen to State Extension and USDA, and cooperator with the State Cooperative Extension Service in extending production testing to additional dairymen.

The breed registry organizations were much more interested because of shifts from their Advanced Registry and Herd Improvement Registry programs to the DHIR program. The National Association of Animal Breeders had great interest in progeny test bulls that had been developed through the DHI program. Also, during the 1960's, many State Extension programs for dairy herd improvement were oriented more toward education and less toward service, and the Federal Extension Service assumed the role of liaison between State Cooperative Extension Services, assisted ARS in coordinating the program, and supported the State Cooperative Extension Services in conducting the program.

NATIONAL DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION, INC.

Early in 1965, the National DHIA, Inc., was founded to give dairymen of the United States direct representation at the national policy-making level in dairy recordkeeping programs. The charter State DHIA members included Michigan, New York, North Carolina, Pennsylvania, Vermont, Virginia, Washington, and Wisconsin. Within these States were 53 percent of the herds on test and 45 percent of the cows on test in 1965. Craig Beane of Fort Atkinson, Wis., was elected the first president. As of September 1973, 36 State DHIA's were members, and Fred Polinder, Jr., of Lynden, Washington was president. A map showing State DHIA's and membership in NDHIA, Inc., is included as Appendix B.

COORDINATING GROUP

On April 22, 1965, with the objective of strengthening the national testing program, the administrators of the Federal Extension Service and the Agricultural Research Service, USDA, established the National Dairy Herd Improvement Coordinating Group (the name has since been changed to The Coordinating Group for the NCDHIP). They wrote:

"The National Cooperative Dairy Herd Improvement Program is a cooperative effort implemented by Memorandum of Understanding involving the Federal Extension Service and Animal Husbandry Research Division of USDA and the State Extension Services whereby participating dairymen, research and extension workers are provided the information needed for increasing the efficiency of the Nation's dairy herd. The program requires extensive teamwork to develop a desirable level of active cooperation between Federal and State Agencies and the dairy industry and to insure effective coordination of the overall effort. There is increasing need for more complete integration of the operational and administrative aspects of the program at the National, regional, State, and county levels, and for provisions whereby individual farmer members and other segments of the dairy industry which depend heavily upon the use of data produced, may have increased representation in the development of policy and decisions and in their implementation.

To provide a stronger base for formulating policy, rules, and regulations and to administer their effective coordination and application to this program, a National Dairy Herd Improvement Coordinating Group composed of representatives of USDA, State Extension Services and dairy industry organizations is being established."

On October 27, 1972, the two organizing Services within USDA revised the Coordinating Group to include a new position of NDHI Coordinator and redefined the objectives of the Coordinating Group as follows:

Objectives of the Coordinating Group:

A. To provide more equitable representation in the formulation of policy, rules and regulations relating to the conduct of the program particularly dealing with records for sire and cow evaluations within the framework of the Federal-State Memorandum of Understanding.



- B. To formulate policy, establish rules and regulations, and promote their effective coordination, administration, and application to the performance recording programs that will assure production records of high integrity and accuracy to serve individual farmer's needs and be useful in evaluation of cows and sires for breeding improvements.
- C. To explore and develop ways to increase the effectiveness of the Cooperative Dairy Herd Improvement Program.
- D. To coordinate the State Dairy Herd Improvement Programs through National DHIA, and with coordinating assistance from ARS, as necessary to provide uniformity in the application of established rules and procedures.
- E. To provide for effective communications whereby all agencies and groups concerned may be fully informed as to current problems and developments in the administration and operation of the program.
- F. To consider and take appropriate action on those problems and ideas relating to the program requiring technical, administrative, and policy evaluation.
- G. To establish Technical Consultant groups as needed to provide, or otherwise develop through research, information needed in the effective implementation of the National program.
- H. To encourage development of DHIA cooperatives of participating dairymen to assume responsibilities relating to the business, supervisory and service activities of the program as well as enforcement of rules.

The following material on the Coordinating Group is adapted from a paper given by Dr. R. Dean Plowman at the annual meeting of the American Dairy Science Association in Blacksburg, Va., in July 1972:

The concept of the Coordinating Group was a

great innovation for the DHI program. It gave every concerned and involved organization a voice in the formulation of policy and procedures. It centralized the authority for implementation of rules and regulations. Of particular significance, it gave dairy farmers an input into the program that would reflect their needs and wishes.

There is a wide range among States as to the conduct of the program. In those States where a strong DHIA is functioning, the State Cooperative Extension Service has delegated responsibility for the business aspects of the program to the State organization. In other States where no State organization exists or where it is newly formed, the Extension Service may still be largely responsible for all phases of the program. In either case, however, it is the responsibility of the State Cooperative Extension Service to insure that the program is carried out within the framework outlined by the Memorandum of Understanding and the Coordinating Group.

If, for example, a State organization of dairymen decided to operate a program according to a set of standards other than those adopted for the nationally accepted program, it would be the responsibility of the State Cooperative Extension Service to organize another group of dairymen to carry out the National DHI Program. There is certainly no need for such a situation to develop, especially when it is fully realized that every group, whether it be educational or industry, has a voice in the policy, procedures, rules, and regulations of the program. It should be remembered that the National Cooperative DHI Program is just that -- a national program with authority for it vested in the two Federal agencies, ARS-USDA and ES-USDA, and implemented in each State through the State Cooperative Extension Service. It is not owned by farmers, farm groups, or industry, nor by a State or State Cooperative Extension Service. Because of its great implications regarding the economic well-being of dairymen and the future progress of the Nation's dairy cattle, it is operated for a common good of the dairy industry.

Significant Accomplishments of the Coordinating Group

The early activities of the Coordinating Group were primarily concerned with a multitude of hardware and housekeeping items that were necessary for the effective conduct of the program but that were not too well-defined and organized. The Coordinating Group works primarily through committees that are established to accomplish certain tasks. Committees are composed of Coordinating Group members as well as of other competent people in industry, research, and extension organizations. Some committees are rather permanent, while others complete their assignments and are disbanded. One of the first committees appointed was the Rules Committee. It is chaired by the ADSA representative and is composed of dairymen and PDCA, Extension, and USDA personnel. Rule changes may be suggested at any time and are acted upon at each meeting. It is a policy, however, that rule changes are not implemented at less than 2-year intervals.

Another standing committee is the one concerned with weighing, sampling, and testing devices. When the Coordinating Group was established, the industry was extremely limited in the number of devices on the market that could be used to take official milk weights. After some research and study, this committee established tolerances of accuracy that various devices would have to meet to be approved. The industry then had guidelines for developing equipment. As a result, we now have numerous devices for weighing milk and testing for butterfat.

Until mid-1972, a third standing committee was charged with studies on methods for computing records. This committee was responsible for recommending the change from the centering date method to the test interval method of computing records.

An ad hoc committee was assigned the task of developing a guide to the availability and use of dairy production records (originally called code of ethics on availability and use of dairy production records). This document has had great value in standardizing all kinds of production data used by the entire industry. Records are more properly labeled, summarized, and used than at any time previously.

One committee was established to develop standards for computing centers. A few years ago, several commercial organizations were interested in processing DHI records. The Coordinating Group was concerned that service might be offered and accepted that would not be of as good quality as then existed. This committee developed a detailed outline of requirements for computing centers; as a result, only one new organization has entered this field.

Another committee was charged with developing a package of sample by-laws and agreements to use in the entire DHI program. The source of some past and present problems is lack of appropriate documents or agreements that outline responsibilities of various parties in the program. For example, every member of a DHIA should sign a membership agreement which, among other things, should state that, if on official test, he will follow the rules. If he violates the rules, he has no recourse when adverse action is taken against him. It is estimated that not more than one-half of all DHIA members have signed membership agreements. This package of agreements contains samples for:

- 1. Articles of Incorporation for a local DHIA.
- 2. Bylaws for a local DHIA.
- 3. Individual membership Agreements.
- 4. Supervisor's contract.
- 5. Certificate of Incorporation for a State DHIA.
- 6, State DHIA Constitution and Bylaws.
- 7. Memorandum of Understanding between the land grant college or university and the State DHIA.

In addition, there should be an agreement between computing centers and State DHIAs.

Another committee was charged with the development of a handbook for extension workers in the DHI program. This was published in April 1973 by Extension Service, USDA.

There are a host of other accomplishments that could be listed; however, this list contains the most significant. An overall statement of accomplishment might be that the Coordinating Group has become the focal point for all activities that concern national policy for the program. It provides a mechanism for coping with problems as they occur and for future guidance and development. The original composition of the Coordinating Group was:

> Administrator, Federal Extension Service (FES), Chairman

Director, Animal Husbandry Research Division Agricultural Research Service (AHRD, ARS), Vice Chairman

Extension Committee on Organization and Policy (1)

Chairman of the four Regional DHIA Sub-groups, Extension Dairymen (4)

National DHIA (1)

FES (1)

American Dairy Science Association (ADSA) (1)

National Association of Animal Breeders (NAAB) (1)

Purebred Dairy Cattle Association (PDCA) (1)

Committee Secretary (ARS)

The present composition of the Coordinating Group is:

ARS, Chairman

Extension Service (ES-USDA), Vice-Chairman

ARS, Secretary-Coordinator

ARS (1)

ES-USDA (1)

Extension Committee on Organization and Policy (1)

Chairman of the four Regional DHIA Subgroups, State Extension Dairymen (4)

PDCA (2)

National DHIA, four regions (4)

NAAB (1)

ADSA (1)

Computing Center Workshop (1) (nonvoting)

DR. PLOWMAN NAMED TO HEAD THE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT SECTION

On January 25, 1965, Dr. Corley was appointed acting chief of the Dairy Cattle Research Branch and chief September 1 of that year. In 1966, Dr. R. Dean Plowman, leader of the Genetics and Management Investigations Unit, assumed responsibility for the Dairy Herd Improvement Section.

In July 1968, the Dairy Herd Improvement Section was renamed the "Dairy Herd Improvement Investigations Unit" (DHII). Dr. Plowman was named acting chief of the Dairy Cattle Research Branch in early 1968, and chief in June of that year. Dr. Frank N. Dickinson was named acting leader of DHII in July 1968. He was confirmed as leader in April 1969.

THE BELTSVILLE TEST HERD

In 1969, the Beltsville Test Herd was established as an instrument to promote uniformity of data handling and reporting where such uniformity is appropriate and to aid the processing centers in maintaining very high accuracy in their computing systems. At first, the Test Herd consisted of the entire dairy breeding herd at Beltsville, Md., but in 1971, it was reduced to 30 cows for easier handling. Unusual situations that affect individual cows are added to the Test Herd data to enable the centers to determine the capability of their systems to handle such situations.

THE TEST INTERVAL METHOD

Since July 1, 1969, the Test Interval Method has been the only acceptable method of computing Official DHI records. Nevertheless, in certain cases, an extension of time to comply was granted by the Coordinating Group for the NCDHIP upon written request from the processing center. As of April 1973, all centers were calculating records by the Test Interval Method.

The Test Interval Method uses the interval of time from one test day until the following test day as the test period. Random scheduling of the test day to comply with the 15 to 45 day interval between tests is desirable. The test period (number of days from the day following the previous test through the current test day, inclusive) is divided into two equal parts. Production credits for the first half of the test period are calculated from the previous test-day information, while production credits for the second half of the test period are calculated from the current test-day information. The totals for the two halves of the test period are added to obtain the test period total.

Production totals from the first day of the lactation through the first test day are based on the first test-day information. Production totals for the period from the last test day until the record is terminated are based on the last test-day information. In either case, an approved regression factor may be used to reflect actual milk production more accurately. The current test period is defined as ending with and including the current test day. The next test period begins on the following day.

Processing centers are permitted to adjust credits for the test period based on average lactation curve effects, provided such adjustments more nearly reflect actual dairy production and provided that sufficient evidence for this has been submitted to the Coordinating Croup for the NCDHIP and approved by it.

THE ANIMAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMS LABORATORY--1972

The Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory created under the reorganization of July 1, 1972, is responsible for the work of the NCDHIP for ARS as well as for the administration of the National Poultry Improvement Plan. The Laboratory has 19 employees who support the NCDHIP by work in administration, industry contact, research, computer programming, and operations--such as operating the computer programs. The research is directed toward improving the genetic evaluation of bulls and cows in the Nation's dairy cattle herd. This effort is the strongest in the history of the national program.

Dr. Dickinson, chief of the Laboratory, and associates regularly appear before industry groups and workshops to explain the need for new research, as well as procedures for receipt and handling of data. The Dairy Herd Improvement Letter is usually published six to eight times a year to present testing participation, information on records received and sires summarized, herd summary data, the results of research on genetic improvement of dairy cattle, and articles promoting the testing program. A programming unit and an operations unit support the work of the Laboratory.

The Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory cooperates with State university scientists to find better methods of dairy sire and cow evaluation and collects data files as authorized by the Memorandum of Understanding to aid research.

NATIONAL DHI COORDINATOR APPOINTED

On July 1, 1973, Frank D. Murrill, extension dairyman from California, began serving temporarily as National DHI Coordinator. The primary purpose of the NDHI Coordinator will be to expand and strengthen the DHI Program by implementing and coordinating the policies and procedures established by its sponsors and the National DHI Coordinating Group. He has his office with the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory at Beltsville.

SUMMARY

The present National Cooperative Dairy Herd Improvement Program has several facets--the dairymen; the local DHI'a; the State DHIA's; the National DHIA, Inc.; the Extension Service, USDA; the State Cooperative Extension Services; the Purebred Dairy Cattle Association; the National Association of Animal Breeders; the American Dairy Science Association; and the Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, Animal Physiology and Genetics Institute, ARS. The cost is borne by all parties--each one pays its own way. The composition of the Coordinating Group affords all segments of the industry a voice in policy. The program now includes 28.7 percent of the Nation's dairy cows and is expected to grow in percent and numbers.

Appendix A consists of the first paragraphs from the Memorandum of Understanding. Appendix B is a map showing State DHIA's and membership in NDHIA, Inc. Appendix C is a summary of important dates in the history of the program. Appendix D is a list of the leaders of the program in ARS and its predecessor organizations. Appendixes E through G show the number of associations, herds, and cows in the respective plans as of January 1 for selected years. Appendix H shows Official DHI and DHIR participation and production from 1906 through 1972. Appendix I lists the number of testing associations by State, 1906 through 1972. Appendixes J and K list the State Extension Dairymen in each State who advise on the Dairy Herd Improvement Program and on dairy cattle breeding programs, respectively. Organizational charts for the program at various times are included as Appendixes L through Q. I have not attempted to define lines of authority and lines of cooperation. Instead the lines indicate either or both, and the reader is referred to the text for specific relationships. Appendix R is a list of the present members of the Coordinating Group for the NCDHIP.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Arnold, F. J.

1956. FIFTY YEARS OF D.H.I.A. Jour. Dairy Sci. 39: 792-794. Burleson, R. E., Elmore, M. R., Burke, J. D., and others.

- 1963. NATIONAL COOPERATIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM--A HANDBOOK FOR EXTENSION WORKERS. U.S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 248, 37 pp.
- Foley, R. C., Bath, D. L., Dickinson, F. N., and Tucker, H. A. 1972. DAIRY CATTLE: PRINCIPLES, PRACTICES, PROBLEMS, PROFITS. 693 pp., illus.
- Graves, R. R., and Fohrman, M. H.
 - 1936. SUPERIOR GERM PLASM IN DAIRY HERDS. U.S. Dept. Agr. Yearbook 1925: 997-1141.

Hodgson, R. E.

- 1956. DAIRY PRODUCTION RESEARCH IN THE UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. Jour. Dairy Sci. 39: 674-682, illus.
- 1964. PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE OF THE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT SECTION. Jour. Dairy Sci. 47: 310-315.

Houck, U. G.

1924. THE BUREAU OF ANIMAL INDUSTRY: ITS ESTABLISHMENT, ACHIEVEMENTS AND CURRENT ACTIVITIES.

Kendrick, J. F.

1940. THE COW-TESTER'S MANUAL. U.S. Dept. Agr. Misc. Publ.

359, 36 pp., illus.

Kendrick, J. F.

- 1948. THE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION PROGRAM. (Rev.) U.S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 1974, 24 pp., illus.
- 1953. THE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION PROGRAM. (Rev.) U.S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 1974, 22 pp., illus.

1930-62. LETTERS AND MEMOS.

King, G. J., and Miller, R. H.

1965. THE NATIONAL COOPERATIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM HANDBOOK. U.S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 278, 44 pp.

Plowman, R. D.

1972. THE NATIONAL DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT COORDINATING GROUP-PAST, PRESENT, AND FUTURE. Invitational paper, Amer. Dairy Sci. Association.

Porter, A. R., Sims, J. A., and Foreman, C. F.

1965. DAIRY CATTLE IN AMERICAN AGRICULTURE. 328 pp., illus.

Rabild, H.

1916. COW-TESTING ASSOCIATIONS. Bur. Anim. Indus. Cir. 179, 22 pp.

United States Department of Agriculture.

1924. THE COW TESTER'S HANDBOOK. Misc. Cir. 26, 23 pp., illus.

-- 30 --

United States Department of Agriculture.

1925-27. COW-TESTING ASSOCIATION LETTER. Washington, D.C.

- 1927-57. DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION LETTER, Washington, D.C.
- 1957-73. DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT LETTER. Washington, D.C., and Beltsville, Md.
- 1962. THE NATIONAL COOPERATIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT AND SIRE-PROVING PROGRAMS. U.S. Dept. Agr., Agr. Res. Serv. ARS 44-113, 11 pp.
- 1967. IMPROVING YOUR DAIRY HERD THROUGH THE NATIONAL COOPERA TIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM. (Rev.) U.S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 2132, 10 pp., illus.

Voelker, D. E., and Senger, M. E.

1973. NATIONAL COOPERATIVE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM--A HANDBOOK FOR EXTENSION WORKERS. U.S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 248, 39 pp., illus.

- 31-

APPENDIX A .-- Memorandum of Understanding 1/

Memorandum of Understanding between Cooperative Extension Service (State) and Extension Service and Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, relating to National Cooperative Program in Dairy Herd Improvement including Sire and Cow Evaluation.

Participation in this cooperation on the part of ARS is under authority included in the Act establishing the Department of Agriculture, the Department of Agriculture Organic Act of 1944, and the annual appropriation Acts providing funds for the activities of ARS.

The Program sponsored by the parties to this agreement is a cooperative undertaking between dairymen; local, state and national associations; and the Agency, Service and ARS. The Program consists of official and unofficial production recordkeeping plans. Official recordkeeping plans include DHI (Dairy Herd Improvement) and DHIR (Dairy Herd Improvement Registry), in cooperation with the several breed registry organizations. The unofficial plans in the Program include Owner-Sampler and WADAM (Weigha-Day-a-Month). These plans are conducted on a national basis and coordinated by ARS and the Service. The sponsoring group in each State is the Agency.

APPENDIX A. -- Memorandum of Understanding (cont'd.)

Under this sponsorship participating dairymen join together into organizations to conduct performance recording in their herds under rules and standards set forth by the Coordinating Group of the National Cooperative Dairy Herd Improvement Program. The governing bodies of the state and local associations are responsible for the enforcement of all rules and standards and for carrying out the Program of the associations.

The sponsoring parties to the Program look to the Coordinating Group which they have formed to advise them on the conduct of the Program. The Coordinating Group includes representation from the American Dairy Science Association, National DHIA, Inc., Purebred Dairy Cattle Association, National Association of Animal Breeders, an Extension diaryman from each of four regions in the United States, Extension Committee on Organization and Policy, Extension Service, and Agricultural Research Service. The Coordinating Group counsels and advises the Agency, Service and ARS on matters relating to the Program. It formulates the necessary uniform rules and standards, established tolerance to be used in weighing, testing and sampling devices required in official recordkeeping, and designates those devices which meet the tolerances.

The Program is a cooperative effort involving on-thefarm services, demonstration, extension, education and research. It provides farmers with on-the-farm services needed in order to effectively and economically breed, feed, and care for individual cows on a day-to-day basis. It also provides farmers with data needed to make farm management decisions. The data obtained are vital to State and local dairy extension and education programs and to researchers at State Experiment Stations and ARS. The lactation records are used by ARS to identify the breeding value of cows and sires, the results of which are made available to dairy farmers, the National Association of Animal Breeders, breed registry associations, and others who use the information for genetically improving production traits

1/ First six paragraphs



-34-

APPENDIX C.--Important Dates in the NCDHIP

1895 -- Dairy Division established in USDA.

1905 -- Dairy Farming Investigations Section established in Dairy Division to support dairy cow production testing.

> Helmer Rabild organized the first dairy-cow-testing association in the United States, in Newago County, Mich.

- 1906 -- First production records were reported.
- 1908 -- Helmer Rabild employed to establish a national cow-testing program.
- 1914 -- Dairy recordkeeping associations were first included in Extension Service programs.
- 1922 -- The Dairy Extension Section of the American Dairy Science Association (ADSA) reported on the standardization of cow-testing association work.
- 1924 -- Bureau of Dairying established, replacing the Dairy Division. Sidney L. Thompson appointed to head the Dairy Introduction Section of the Bureau.
- 1925 -- Joseph B. Parker appointed fieldman for cow-testing in the Bureau of Dairying.

Cow-Testing Association Letter first published.

First set of rules for cow testing adopted by ADSA.

McDowell Method for Centering the Testing Day included in first rules.

John H. McLain appointed in charge of the Dairy Introduction Section.

1926 -- Bureau of Dairying renamed Bureau of Dairy Industry.

The Standard DHIA Plan and the Owner-Sampler Plan introduced.

APPENDIX C.--Important Dates in the NCDHIP (cont'd.)

1927 -- ADSA resolved that cow-testing associations be called D.H.I.A.'s.

ADSA Dairy Records Committee established to regularly review and revise rules for testing.

- 1929 -- Dr. John C. McDowell named chief of Division of Dairy Herd Improvement Investigations (DHII) as it replaced the Dairy Introduction Section.
- 1931 -- Cow testers renamed supervisors.
- 1932 -- A new tabulating machine in the Division of DHII made it possible to summarize feeds by type.
- 1933 -- Proving bulls on a lactation basis initiated nationwide.
- 1935 -- National Cooperative Sire-Proving Program initiated by the Division of DHII under the direction of Dr. J. Frank Kendrick.
- 1937 -- List of sires proved in DHIA testing first published as Miscellaneous Publication 277 of the USDA.
- 1938 -- Dr. J. Frank Kendrick named chief of Division of DHII.
- 1940's- Central laboratories for butterfat testing established in several States.

First State DHIA's organized.

- 1950's- Feed, cost, and income records included in machineprocessed records by some States.
- 1951 -- Computer first used to calculate DHIA records in Utah by Lyman Rich and Bliss Crandall.
- 1952 -- Memorandum of Understanding signed among State Cooperative Extension Services, the U. S. Extension Service, and the Agricultural Research Service.

APPENDIX C.--Important Dates in the NCDHIP (cont'd.)

- 1954 -- Agricultural Research Administration reorganized as the Agricultural Research Service, and DHIA work is included in the Dairy Herd Improvement Sire-Proving Section, Dairy Husbandry Research Branch.
- 1956 -- Owner-Sampler participation first tabulation by USDA.

Weigh-a-Day-a-Month (WADAM) Plan initiated.

- 1957 -- Cornell University worked with 10 Northeastern States using IBM -650 computers to process monthly DHIA records.
- 1958 -- Dairy Husbandry Research Branch became Dairy Cattle Research Branch, and Dairy Herd Improvement Sire-Proving Section became Dairy Herd Improvement Section(DHIS).

DHIS first used IBM-650 to process herd average summaries, and centers were established in Iowa, North Carolina, Ohio, and Oklahoma to process monthly records on the computer.

1959 -- Dairy Herd Improvement Registry established as a testing plan for breed registry organizations.

First computing center workshop held.

TeSa butterfat test approved by ADSA and AOAC for dairy record-keeping programs.

1960 -- IBM-705-III computer first used by DHIS to summarize sires.

Sire Summary publication first called Sire Summary List.

1962 -- The Daughter-Herdmate Comparison Method of evaluating dairy sires adopted by USDA. APPENDIX C.--Important Dates in the NCDHIP (cont'd.)

- 1962 -- Dr. Robert H. Miller directed work of DHI in USDA as Dr. Kendrick left to develop Washington Data Processing Center.
- 1963 -- Dr. Ernest L. Corley appointed to head DHI work.
- 1964 -- Cow Index List first published, then renamed Cow Performance Index List in second publication.
- 1965 -- Dr. Corley appointed chief, Dairy Cattle Research Branch.

National DHIA, Inc., was formed, the membership consisting of State DHIA's.

Coordinating Group for NCDHIP formed.

1966 -- Test Interval Method (TIM) first proposed for calculation of DHIA records.

DHIR became the only testing plan for breed registry organizations.

Dr. R. Dean Plowman became acting leader of DHI Section.

- 1967 -- DHIS converted computer programs to IBM-360.
- 1968 -- TIM first used (in April) for calculation of DHIA records by California and Pennsylvania. Several other centers adopted it soon thereafter.

Dr. Plowman named chief, DCRB.

Dr. Frank N. Dickinson named acting leader, DHIS.

Dairy Herd Improvement Section renamed Dairy Herd Improvement Investigations Unit (DHII).

1969 -- Dr. Dickinson named leader, DHII.

Standard DHIA Plan name changed to Official DHI.

APPENDIX C. -- Important Dates in the NCDHIP (cont'd.)

1969 -- Beltsville Test Herd developed to test processing centers' handling of records.

TIM adopted by the Coordinating Group as the only acceptable method of calculating Official DHI records.

- 1970 -- Other Unofficial Plans first tabulated as part of NCDHIP.
- 1972 -- ARS reorganized; Divisions and Branches abolished; DHII included in Animal Improvement Programs Laboratory, Animal Physiology and Genetics Institute; Dr. Dickinson named acting leader of Laboratory.
- 1973 -- Dr. Dickinson named chief of Laboratory.

Frank D. Murrill named first National DHI Coordinator.

APPENDIX D.--Men Who Have Directed the Collecting and Summarizing of Records and the National Sire Evaluation Program

- 1908 -- Helmer Rabild
- 1925 -- Joseph B. Parker and John H. McLain
- 1929 -- Dr. John C. McDowell
- 1938 -- Dr. J. Frank Kendrick
- 1962 -- Dr. Robert H. Miller
- 1963 -- Dr. Ernest L. Corley
- 1966 -- Dr. R. Dean Plowman
- 1968 -- Dr. Frank N. Dickinson

APPENDIX E.--HEROS AND COWS ENROLLED IN OFFICIAL OHI, NUMBER OF ASSOCIATIONS, AND PERCENT OF COWS ON TEST FOR SELECTED YEARS

| | | | HE | RDS | | : | CE |) ¥ S | | : | A S S D C I | ATIDNS | : | OFFICI PCT OF | AL DE STA1 | E CD | HS AS HS <u>1</u> / |
|---|---|---------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| | STATES | 1940 | : 1950 | : 1960 | : 1970 | 1943 | : 1950 | : 196C | : 1970 | : 1940: | 1950: | 1960: | 1970: | 1940: | 1950 | 1960 | :1970 |
| | | | NI |), | | -+ | N | 10 | | | | ND | | | 2 | | |
| | AL AB AMA AL ASKA AR I Z DNA ARK AN SA S | 56 (<u>2</u> /) 64 66 | 99 (<u>2</u> /) 220 68 | 249 (<u>2</u> /) 228 57 | 299 5 64 96 | 2,613 (<u>2</u> /) 3,097 1,668 | 6,721 (<u>2</u> /) 12,031 1,943 | 17,803 (<u>2</u> /) 25,951 1,918 | 33,078 193 13,785 5,411 | (<u>2</u> /) 4 | 8 (<u>2</u> /) 10 5 | 2I (<u>2</u> /) 6 | 21 2 4 11 | 0.6 (<u>2</u> /) 6.3 .4 | I.6 { <u>2</u> /) 25.5 | 5.5 (<u>2</u> /) 49.0 .7 | 24.0 10.7 27.0 5.5 |
| | CALIFORNIA | 1,479 | 2 • 0 65 | 2,068 | 1,493 | 90,021 | 181,343 | 295,536 | 324,563 | 54 | 110 | 33 | 28 | 13.4 | 19.7 | 33.2 | 41.8 |
| | COLORAOO | 146 | 221 | 317 | 246 | 3,730 | 5,872 | 15,514 | I8,17I | 12 | 19 | 25 | I6 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 11.8 | 18.0 |
| | CONNECTICUT | 392 | 445 | 508 | 381 | 11,469 | 14,121 | 22,035 | 23,699 | 19 | 22 | 3 | 7 | 8.8 | 12.3 | 23.4 | 35.9 |
| | OELAWARE | 65 | 88 | 121 | 80 | 1,376 | 2,283 | 3,595 | 4,913 | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3.8 | 6.2 | I2.0 | 32.8 |
| | FLORIDA | 41 | 51 | 124 | 93 | 2,683 | 4,902 | 21,620 | 22,235 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 11 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 9.7 | 11.7 |
| | GEORGIA | 12 | 14 1 | 324 | 360 | 511 | 5,754 | 19,715 | 33,770 | I | 11 | 26 | 29 | .1 | 1.4 | 7.0 | 24.1 |
| | HAWAII | 17 | 9 | 5 | 12 | 1,456 | 707 | 203 | 4,174 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | (<u>2</u> /) | 6.7 | I.6 | 32.6 |
| | IDAHO | 139 | 558 | 861 | 447 | 2,510 | 9,277 | 25,441 | 24,902 | 7 | 28 | 13 | 23 | I.3 | 4.2 | 11.3 | 16.1 |
| e | ILL INDIS | 1,765 | 1,952 | 1,791 | 1,238 | 33,640 | 40,131 | 58,272 | 56,407 | 78 | 91 | 85 | 51 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 8.6 | 17.5 |
| | INDIANA | 1,166 | I,574 | 1,249 | 918 | 20,756 | 27,498 | 35,789 | 47,681 | 59 | 81 | 61 | 52 | 2.7 | 3.3 | 7.3 | 19.8 |
| | IOWA | 1,663 | 2,208 | 1,889 | 1,652 | 28,584 | 38,665 | 54,454 | 61,536 | 69 | 99 | 75 | 71 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 6.0 | 11.7 |
| | KANSAS | 407 | 830 | 813 | 794 | 8,660 | 13,891 | 26,110 | 40,266 | 18 | 40 | 51 | 56 | I.1 | 2.2 | 7.0 | 19.5 |
| | KENTUCKY | 300 | 330 | 456 | 506 | 6,265 | 8,320 | 17,348 | 25,230 | 15 | 24 | 40 | 31 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 3.1 | 7.1 |
| | LDUISIANA | 54 | 79 | 59 | 114 | 2,572 | 3,173 | 3,966 | 10,789 | 4 | 7 | 8 | 24 | .8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 0.2 |
| | MAINE | 304 | 506 | 655 | 367 | 5,709 | 10,041 | 20,980 | 13,533 | 13 | 23 | 15 | 12 | 4.C | 3.3 | 21.5 | 26.9 |
| | MARYLAND | 264 | 769 | 990 | 616 | 7,123 | 24,266 | 44,I81 | 42,196 | 14 | 37 | 18 | 15 | 3.5 | 9.9 | 19.5 | 25.0 |
| | MASSACHUSETTS- | 365 | 493 | 553 | 398 | 10,349 | 15,355 | 22,100 | I9,248 | 18 | 26 | 13 | 10 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 22.8 | 29.2 |
| | MICHIGAN | 1,903 | 3,047 | 1,949 | 1,470 | 29,358 | 53,294 | 60,851 | 79,676 | 85 | 131 | 82 | 55 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 8.2 | 16.9 |
| | MINNESDTA | 1,265 | 2,660 | 3,786 | 3,291 | 23,964 | 48,084 | 107,188 | 119,456 | 56 | 111 | 158 | 77 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 3.2 | 11.9 |
| | MISSISSIPPI | 119 | 34 | 133 | 277 | 3,812 | 1,515 | 7,678 | 22,898 | 7 | 4 | 9 | 20 | .7 | .3 | 1.7 | 11.4 |
| | MISSDURI | 347 | 8 93 | 661 | 567 | 9,331 | 17,867 | 2I,714 | 31,366 | 23 | 48 | 44 | 41 | I.C | 1.8 | 3.0 | 8.5 |
| | Montana | 81 | 1 96 | 122 | 77 | 2,666 | 4,622 | 5,559 | 5,228 | 8 | 13 | 9 | 6 | 1.7 | 3.5 | 6.3 | 11.9 |
| | NEBRASKA | 187 | 2 74 | 346 | 410 | 3,974 | 3,757 | 13,240 | 20,000 | 15 | 17 | 28 | 29 | .6 | .3 | 3.7 | 9.9 |
| | NEVACA | 35 | 23 | 44 | 54 | \$27 | 924 | 3,C61 | 3,743 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3.9 | 4.7 | 19.1 | 27.1 |
| | NEW HAMPSHIRE- | 258 | 296 | 318 | 199 | 6,277 | 7,564 | 11,742 | 10,619 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 9 | 7.9 | 1C.3 | 22.2 | 27.9 |
| | NEW JERSEY | 554 | 628 | 566 | 342 | I8,143 | 23,463 | 27,516 | 22,167 | 26 | 28 | 10 | 8 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 20.1 | 29.6 |
| | NEW MEXICO | 7 | 48 | 42 | 31 | 441 | 2,381 | 4,C55 | 11,641 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 3 | .6 | 4.0 | 8.3 | 32.3 |
| | NEW YORK | 2,916 | 4,27I | 3,877 | 3,493 | 74,162 | 119,882 | 151,C49 | 193,257 | 134 | 201 | 49 | 49 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 12.0 | 18.9 |
| | NORTH CARDLINA | 158 | 283 | 701 | 757 | 6,471 | 8,403 | 32,C84 | 54,146 | 1 I | 19 | 43 | 39 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 9.1 | 28.5 |
| | NORTH DAKOTA | 10 | 65 | 187 | 148 | 324 | 1,113 | 5,4C3 | 6,313 | 2 | 3 | 15 | 12 | .1 | .3 | 1.7 | 4.1 |
| | OHIO | 1,358 | 1,593 | 2,087 | 1,912 | 24,686 | 28,483 | 60,I37 | 83,516 | 59 | 95 | 81 | 71 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 7.8 | 19.1 |
| | OKLAHDMA | 128 | 97 | 170 | 331 | 3,725 | 2,700 | 7,348 | 22,526 | 12 | 17 | 21 | 16 | .5 | .4 | 2.2 | 14.9 |
| | DREGON | 532 | 536 | 568 | 329 | 13,986 | 18,619 | 28,737 | 25,255 | 21 | 31 | 19 | 15 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 16.1 | 23.0 |
| | PENNSYLVANIA | 2,442 | 3,994 | 4,456 | 3,281 | 49,170 | 88,263 | 139,606 | 150,493 | 107 | 175 | 50 | 61 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 15.0 | 21.0 |
| | PUERTD RICO | 80 | (<u>2</u> /) | 31 | 7 | 4,223 | { <u>2</u> /} | 4,422 | 1,007 | 4 | (<u>2</u> /) | 4 | 1 | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) |
| | RHDDE ISLAND | 65 | 71 | 62 | 32 | 2,124 | 2,375 | 2,764 | 1,352 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 8.8 | 11.3 | 18.4 | 23.4 |
| | SOUTH CAROLINA | 88 | 64 | 154 | 271 | 3,323 | 2,223 | 10,557 | 28,842 | 5 | 4 | I7 | 24 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 7.5 | 41.2 |
| | SOUTH DAKDTA | 120 | 167 | 189 | 143 | 2,551 | 2,977 | 5,883 | 5,600 | 5 | 9 | 14 | 18 | .5 | .8 | 2.1 | 2.9 |
| | TENNESSEE | 242 | 297 | 384 | 480 | 5,796 | 9,271 | 16,283 | 31,363 | 16 | I 8 | 28 | 40 | 1.0 | I.4 | 2.8 | 10.0 |
| | TEXAS | 179 | 377 | 361 | 316 | 6,730 | 14,401 | 21,866 | 3C,564 | 14 | 32 | 26 | 23 | .5 | 1.1 | 3.3 | 8.7 |
| | UTAH | 428 | 541 | 480 | 393 | 5,016 | 9,531 | 16,265 | 21,130 | 9 | 20 | 20 | 17 | 5.C | 8.2 | 16.1 | 27.8 |
| | VERMONT | 931 | 1,070 | 1,115 | 695 | 23,428 | 30,903 | 45,462 | 38,147 | 39 | 52 | 51 | 27 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 17.5 | 18.5 |
| | VIRGINIA | 464 | 947 | 1,126 | 908 | 17,850 | 39,348 | 50,961 | 64,272 | 31 | 53 | 60 | 38 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 13.8 | 28.6 |
| | WASHINGTON | 384 | 1,096 | 941 | 640 | 11,176 | 29,947 | 43,626 | 49,423 | 13 | 48 | 25 | 23 | 3.2 | 9.1 | 17.4 | 27.0 |
| | WEST VIRGINIA- | 132 | 265 | 245 | 186 | 3,240 | 6,810 | 8,736 | 10,215 | 7 | 15 | 15 | 12 | I.3 | 2.9 | 5.2 | 16.2 |
| | WISCONSIN | 3,741 | 3,406 | 2,828 | 3,065 | 73,831 | 83,281 | 98,986 | 140,253 | 160 | 149 | 70 | 41 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 4.5 | 7.6 |
| | WYDMING | 28 | 40 | 46 | 24 | 743 | 577 | 1,441 | 1,558 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 4.1 | 8.2 |
| | UNITED STATES- | 27,943 | 40,100 | 41,293 | 34,308 | 676,141 | 1,088,872 | 1,746,752 | 2,122,011 | 1,300 | 1,973 | 1,509 | 1,267 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 9.8 | 16.9 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

L/ THE NUMBERS OF U.S. MILK COWS ARE LISTED IN TABLE 10 IN THE AUGUST 1972 DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT LETTER, ARS-NE-5. THROUGH 1966, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE AND U.S. TOTAL COWS. FROM 1967 THROUGH 1969, JANUARY 1 ENROLL-MENT WAS COMPARED TO THE PREVIDUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO OECEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR'S U.S. COWS. FROM 1970, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO THE PREVIDUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO JANUARY 1 OF STATED YEAR'S U.S. COWS. THIS INCLUMENT IS INCLUDED IN 1970. 2/ HERDS NOT ENROLLEO OR NO OATA RECEIVED.

| | | нер | | | | cow | 12 | : DWNER-SAMPLER COWS AS : COWS OF ALL PLANS : PERCENT OF STATE COWS : PEFCENT OF STATE C | | | | | | NS AS COWS | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|---|---------------------|---|---|--|--------------------------------|---|---|--|------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| STATES | 1958 | : 1962 | : 1967 | : 1972 | 1958 : | 1962 : | .1967 : | 1972 : | 1958: | 1962 | 1967 | 1972 | : 1940: | 1950 | :1960 | :1970 |
| | | N(|) | | | NC |) | | | 7 | · | | | | z | |
| A L A 8 A MA A L A S K A A R I Z ON A | (<u>2</u> /) | 4 | 5 | 13 | (<u>2</u> /) | 278 | 300 | 1,850 | (2/) | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.6 | 1.6 | 6.7 | 24.6 |
| | 15 | 7 | 4 | 7 | 375 | 200 | 150 | 399 | (<u>3</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | 7.5 | 23.5 | (2/) | (2/) | (<u>2</u> /) | 28.6 |
| | (<u>2</u> /) | 11 | (<u>2</u> /) | 4 | (<u>2</u> /) | 2,564 | (<u>2</u> /) | 2,580 | (<u>2</u> /) | 5.1 | (<u>2</u> /) | 4.7 | 6.3 | 25.6 | 49.5 | 43.0 |
| | (<u>2</u> /) | 21 | 96 | 65 | (<u>2</u> /) | 679 | 2,700 | 3,167 | (<u>2</u> /) | .3 | 2.3 | 3.3 | .4 | .4 | 2.3 | 8.8 |
| CAL IF ORN I A | 583 | 335 | 142 | 92 | 17,074 | 14,330 | 8,886 | 11,427 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 13.4 | 19.7 | 34.8 | 50.2 |
| C OL DRAO D | 61 | 32 | 15 | 46 | 1,681 | 1,149 | 730 | 4,008 | 1.2 | 1.0 | .7 | 4.0 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 12.6 | 19.1 |
| C ONNECT I C UT | 89 | 88 | 83 | 42 | 2,778 | 3,006 | 3,302 | 2,029 | 2.7 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 3.3 | 8.8 | 12.0 | 26.4 | 40.1 |
| OEL AWARE | 20 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 348 | 290 | 246 | 53 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.4 | .4 | 3.8 | 6.2 | 14.7 | 34.3 |
| FLORIOA GEORGIA HAWAII IOAHO | (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) 4 | (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) 12 | 6 6 1 21 | (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) 1 20 | 225 (<u>2/</u>) (<u>2</u> /) 41 | 915 (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) 231 | 2,068 385 26 601 | (2/) (2/) 653 860 | .1 (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) (<u>3</u> /) | .5 (<u>2</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) .1 | 1.2 .3 .2 .4 | (2/) (2/) 5.0 .6 | 2.4 .1 (<u>2</u> /) 1.3 | 3.2 1.4 6.7 4.2 | 11.2 8.3 (<u>2</u> /) 12.1 | 19.6 29.2 47.6 16.5 |
| I LL IND I S | 340 | 405 | 400 | 298 | 6,800 | 11,596 | 13,215 | 11,153 | • 9 | 2.1 | 3.4 | 3.9 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 11.3 | 23.1 |
| INO I AN A | 362 | 190 | 442 | 98 | 2,487 | 4,858 | 29,555 | 3,917 | • 5 | 1.2 | 9.8 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 3.8 | 8.3 | 25.5 |
| I DW A | 1,333 | 1,429 | 1,445 | 965 | 24,396 | 31,335 | 37,C45 | 29,839 | 2 • 5 | 3.7 | 5.6 | 6.4 | 1.9 | 3.3 | 9.2 | 18.1 |
| KAN SAS | 122 | 121 | 125 | 135 | 1,569 | 3,313 | 3,976 | 5,988 | • 4 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 3.1 | 1.1 | 2.2 | 8.2 | 22.5 |
| KENTUCKY LOUISIANA MAINE MARYLANO | 4 (<u>2</u> /) 83 76 | (<u>2</u> /) 141 120 | 44 8 99 75 | 31 3 67 63 | 100 (<u>2</u> /) 1,732 2,564 | 60 (<u>2</u> /) 3,652 4,250 | 1,575 551 3,758 3,165 | 1,533 310 2,741 3,231 | (3/) (2/) 1.6 1.1 | (<u>3</u> /) (<u>2</u> /) 3.9 1.9 | .4 .3 5.1 1.8 | .5 .2 4.2 2.0 | 1.2 .8 4.0 3.5 | 1.3 1.0 8.3 9.9 | 4.1 3.7 24.8 21.0 | 7.9 12.9 31.8 26.9 |
| MASSACHUSETTS- | 89 | 117 | 78 | 43 | 1,909 | 2,810 | 2,655 | 1,449 | 1.8 | 3.0 | 3.6 | 2.3 | 7.4 | 12.5 | 25.1 | 32.3 |
| MICHIGAN | 1,072 | 1,534 | 1,451 | 945 | 23,683 | 42,306 | 49,075 | 41,398 | 3.0 | 6.6 | 9.0 | 8.8 | 3.2 | 5.2 | 12.9 | 27.9 |
| MINNESOTA | 367 | 1,224 | 2,372 | 2,455 | 6,680 | 30,427 | 64,590 | 78,844 | .5 | 2.4 | 5.6 | 8.1 | 1.4 | 3.2 | 9.6 | 18.3 |
| MISSISSIPPI | (2/) | 1 | 1 | 4 | (2/) | 33 | 71 | 397 | (2/) | (<u>3</u> /) | (<u>3</u> /) | .2 | .7 | .3 | 2.0 | 12.6 |
| M I S SOUR I | 83 | 73 | 144 | 187 | 1,749 | 1,874 | 4,165 | 8,863 | •2 | .3 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 1.0 | 1.8 | 4.1 | 10.8 |
| MONTANA | 32 | 22 | 37 | 14 | 815 | 895 | 1,676 | 1,331 | •9 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 3.4 | 1.7 | 3.6 | 7.3 | 15.9 |
| NE B RASK A | 40 | 85 | 83 | 67 | 700 | 2,550 | 2,816 | 3,376 | •2 | .8 | 1.2 | 1.8 | .6 | .8 | 4.4 | 11.4 |
| NE V A O A | 6 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 162 | 34 | 1,135 | 303 | 1•2 | .2 | 8.7 | 2.2 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 19.1 | 40.4 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE- | 90 | 62 | 60 | 50 | 2,123 | 1,675 | 2,210 | 2,100 | 3.7 | 3.3 | 5.4 | 5.8 | 7.9 | 10.8 | 26.8 | 34.7 |
| NEW JERSEY | 149 | 132 | 39 | 13 | 4,132 | 4,353 | 1,853 | 687 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 12.3 | 14.5 | 23.5 | 33.8 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4 | 34 | 5 | 3 | 97 | 1,420 | 1,613 | 550 | .2 | 3.5 | 4.2 | 1.7 | .6 | 4.0 | 17.0 | 38.6 |
| NEW YORK | 2,568 | 3,258 | 2,654 | 1,603 | 70,047 | 99,942 | 95,823 | 67,492 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 6.9 | 5.2 | 8.1 | 18.5 | 28.0 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 52 | 36 | 37 | 34 | 1,376 | 1,264 | 1,479 | 1,901 | .4 | •5 | .7 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 2.2 | 11.0 | 30.4 |
| NORTH OAKOTA | 78 | 38 | 41 | 20 | 867 | 809 | 820 | 890 | .3 | •3 | 4. | .7 | .1 | .3 | 3.3 | 5.0 |
| OHIO | 1,970 | 1,665 | 1,446 | 915 | 36,558 | 36,904 | 41,000 | 33,208 | 4.4 | 5•5 | 7.8 | 7.5 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 12.8 | 26.9 |
| OKLAHOMA | 53 | 45 | 46 | 54 | 1,220 | 1,300 | 2,357 | 2,844 | .3 | •5 | 1.4 | 2.0 | .5 | .4 | 3.4 | 16.8 |
| DREGON | 230 | 160 | 120 | 46 | 1,275 | 4,675 | 3,600 | 2,210 | .7 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.1 | 5.4 | 7.8 | 19.7 | 26.1 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 816 | 1,270 | 1,337 | 1,073 | 19,092 | 33,586 | 39,308 | 39,378 | 2.0 | 3.8 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 5.4 | 8.7 | 17.6 | 32.6 |
| PUERTO RICO | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (2/) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | (2/) |
| RHOOE ISLANO | 4 | 3 | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | 138 | 101 | (2/) | (<u>2</u> /) | .9 | .7 | (<u>2</u> /) | (<u>2</u> /) | 8.8 | 11.3 | 19.0 | 23.4 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | (<u>2</u> /) | 2 | 2 | 2 | (<u>2</u> /) | 69 | 196 | 113 | (2/) | .1 | .3 | .2 | 1.9 | 1.3 | 9.4 | 42.3 |
| SOUTH OAKOTA | 199 | 294 | 380 | 386 | 3,302 | 6,683 | 11,600 | 14,200 | 1.1 | 2.7 | 5.4 | 8.2 | .5 | .8 | 3.7 | 9.1 |
| TENNESSEE | 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 106 | 85 | 226 | 239 | (<u>3</u> /) | (<u>3</u> /) | .1 | .1 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 3.4 | 10.6 |
| TEXAS | 1 | 4 | 18 | 45 | 26 | 187 | 1,116 | 3,396 | (<u>3</u> /) | (<u>3</u> /) | .3 | 1.0 | .5 | 1.1 | 3.5 | 9.5 |
| UTAH | 34 | 19 | 33 | 17 | 984 | 433 | 1,034 | 714 | 1.0 | .5 | 1.3 | .9 | 5.0 | 8.2 | 17.1 | 28.9 |
| VER MONT | 472 | 650 | 636 | 471 | 13,467 | 21,721 | 27,664 | 23,136 | 4.9 | 8.3 | 12.9 | 11.3 | 7.6 | 10.7 | 24.7 | 31.0 |
| VIR GIN IA | 25 | 108 | 58 | 44 | 400 | 3,260 | 2,146 | 2,325 | .1 | 1.0 | .9 | 1.1 | 4.2 | 7.9 | 14.0 | 29.7 |
| WASHING TON | 406 | 276 | 36 | 32 | 9,064 | 8,445 | 1,189 | 1,952 | 3.6 | 3.6 | .6 | 1.0 | 3.2 | 9.1 | 22.5 | 28.9 |
| WEST VIRGINIA- | 5 | 10 | 23 | 19 | 74 | 418 | 807 | 759 | (<u>3</u> /) | .3 | 1.0 | 1.5 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 19.0 |
| WISCONSIN | 9,291 | 10,866 | 11,241 | 10,839 | 226,468 | 306,584 | 349,547 | 385,620 | 9.9 | 14.3 | 17.6 | 20.7 | 3.3 | 3.4 | 17.6 | 27.8 |
| WYOMING | 33 | 19 | 25 | 3 | 1,257 | 753 | 1,122 | 214 | 3.4 | 2.6 | 5.1 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.0 | 9.9 | 10.9 |
| UNITED STATES- | 21,269 | 24,954 | 25,439 | 21,345 | 490,001 | 698,302 | 825,127 | 805,555 | 2.5 | 4.0 | 6.0 | 6.6 | 2.9 | 4.9 | 13.6 | 24.8 |

1/ DWNER-SAMPLER TABULATION BEGAN IN 1956. THE NUMBERS OF U.S. MILK COWS ARE LISTED IN TABLE 10 IN THE AUGUST 1972 DAIRY HERO IMPROVEMENT LETTER, ARS-NE-5. THROUGH 1966, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE AND U.S. TOTAL COWS. FROM 1967 THROUGH 1969, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO DECEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR'S U.S. COWS. FROM 1970, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO THE PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO DECEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR'S U.S. 2/ HEROS NOT ENROLLED OR NO DATA RECEIVED. 3/ LESS THAN DNE-TENTH OF DNE PERCENT.

)

APPENDIX G.--HERDS AND COWS IN WEIGH-A-DAY-A-MONTH AND OTHER UNDEFICIAL PLANS FOR SELECTED YEARS 1/

| | WEIGH-A-DAY-A-MONTH 2/ | | | | | | | | | | | OTHER (| UNDEFICIAL I | LANS 3/ | |
|------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------|------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|--|-------|-------|---------|--------------|---------|---------|
| | | | | | | сп: | | | | | HERDS | | : | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| STATES | 1958: | 1962 | : 1967: | 1972 | : 1958 : | 1962 | : 1967 | : 1972 | | 1970: | 1971: | 1972 | : 1970 | 1971 | : 1972 |
| | | NI | 0 | | | NI | 0 | | | | ND | | | - NO | |
| | 115 | 5.0 | 1.0 | | 2 237 | 2.120 | 1 244 | | | | | | | | |
| ALASKA | 115 | | | | 59211 | 39139 | | | | | | | | | |
| ARIZONA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| ARKANSAS | 126 | 74 | 15 | 3 | 3,039 | 1,944 | 500 | 150 | | | | | | | |
| CALIFORNIA | 16 | 1 | | | 385 | 179 | | | | 2 D 4 | 71 | 45 | 56+893 | 13,660 | 12,939 |
| COLORADO | 9 | 8 | | 2 | 210 | 192 | | 373 | | 2 | 7 | | 143 | 561 | |
| CONNECTICUT | 1D 6 | | 3 | | 284 117 | 90 | 54 | 358 | | | | | | | 150 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| FLORIDA | 30 | 35 | 18 | 21 | 4,257 | 9,061 | 5,358 | 7,525 | | | 15 | 19 | | o,730 | s, 522 |
| HAWAII | 2 | 30 | | 4 | 52 | 2,249 16D | 49330 | 3,849 | | | | | | | |
| IDAHO | 81 | 12 | | 1 | 1,346 | 354 | | 278 | | | | 23 | | | 1,887 |
| | 265 | 257 | 274 | 152 | 5.343 | 6.168 | 8 • 1 5 1 | 5-348 | | | | | | | |
| INDIANA | 81 | | | | 1,102 | | | | | | | | | | |
| IOWA | 259 | 18 | | 2 | 3,661 | 212 | | 6D | | | | | | | |
| 190303 | 105 | 50 | 10 | _ | 19300 | 1,000 | 5.00 | | | | | | | | |
| KENTUCKY | 200 | 183 | 59 | 12 | 4,DGD | 4,339 | 2,D83 | 889 | | | | | | | |
| LOUISIANA | 73 | 163 | 198 | 151 | 3,00D 342 | 9,177 | 12#830 | 11,944 | | | | 2 | | | |
| MARYLAND | 3 | | | | 60 | | | | | | 11 | 10 | | 810 | 770 |
| MASSACHUSETTS- | 4 | 1 | | | 134 | 70 | | | | | | | | | |
| HICHIGAN | 130 | 67 | | | 3,214 | 1,865 | | | | 124 | | | 5,33J | 3,584 | 3,227 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 71 | 129 | 5 D | 10 | 2,104 | 4,537 | 2 # 5 D D | 6 D D | | | | | | | |
| MISSOURI | 288 | 243 | | | 5,951 | 5,826 | | | | | | | | | |
| NEBRASKA | 97 | | 43 | | 1,4DD | 150 | 945 | 192 | | | | | | | |
| NEVADA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE- | | | 7 | 13 | | | 368 | 679 | | | | | | | |
| NEW JERSEY | 17 | 4 | | | 568 | 172 | | | | | | 1 | | | 104 |
| NEW MEXICO NEW YORK | 17 37 | 25 - | 13 | | 763 1,103 | 2,384 | 1,4D4 | | | 96 | 129 | 334 | 6,641 | 9,438 | 20,877 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 205 | 108 | 69 | 23 | 5,D93 | 3,514 | 3,333 | 979 | | | | | | | |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 185 | 73 | 23 | 25 | 2,556 | 1,472 | 573 | 910 | | | | | | | |
| OK L AHOMA | 7 109 | 13 | | | 88 2,838 | 5D4 1,853 | 123 | | | | | | | | |
| OREGON | 200 | | | | 2,5DO | | | | | 8 | | 15 | 450 | | 1,446 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 23 | | | | 605 | | | 5.495 | | 882 | 974 | 951 | 39,564 | 44,04D | +5,465 |
| RHOOE ISLAND | 7 | | | | 127 | | 49439 | | | | | | | | |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 67 | 48 | 12 | 10 | 1,980 | 1,879 | 664 | 723 | | | | | | | |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 1.83 | | | 20 | 2-297 | 2.150 | 1,212 | 1,485 | | | | | | | |
| TEXAS | 50 | 18 | 10 | | 1,634 | 1,222 | 64D | | | | | | | | |
| UT AH | 52 | 3 | | | 5 96 | 3D | | | | | | | | | |
| VIRGINIA | 29 | 5 | 3 | | 813 | 355 | 359 | | | | | | | | |
| WASHINGTON | 11 | 1 | | | 167 | 3 D | | | | 21 | 17 | 45 | 2,275 | 2,268 | 5,034 |
| WEST VIRGINIA- | 4 D | 1 2 D | 6D | 27 | 797 | 2,512 | 1,474 | 725 | | | | | | | |
| WYOMING | 88 7 | | | | 432 | | | | | | | | | | |
| UNITED STATES- | 3,629 | 1,936 | 1,001 | 596 | 79,489 | 7D,617 | 53,429 | 56,052 | | 1,337 | 1,307 | 1,512 | 111,295 | 36,391 | 100,541 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

1/ DASHES INDICATE HERDS NOT ENROLLED OR NO DATA RECEIVED. 2/ PERCENTAGE OF U.S. COWS FOR WADAM FOR GIVEN YEARS WAS .4 AND .5. THE NUMBERS OF U.S. MILK COWS ARE LISTED IN TABLE 10 IN THE AUGUST 1972 DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT LETTER, ARS-NE-5. THROUGH 1966, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE AND U.S. TOTAL COWS. FROM 1967 THROUGH 1969, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO DECEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE TOTAL COWS. FROM 1970, JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO JANUARY 1 OF STATED YEAR'S U.S. COWS.

3/ PERCENTAGE OF U.S. COWS FOR OTHER UNOFFICIAL PLANS FOR GIVEN YEARS RANGED FROM .7 TO .9. THE NUMBERS OF U.S. COWS ARE LISTED IN TABLE 1D IN THE AUGUST 1972 DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT LETTER; ARS-NE-5. FROM 1973; JANUARY 1 ENROLLMENT WAS COMPARED TO PREVIOUS YEAR'S STATE COWS AND TO JANUARY 1 OF STATED YEAR'S U.S. COWS.

APPENDIX H.--STATUS OF THE OFFICIAL DAIRY HERO IMPROVEMENT PLAN IN THE UNITED STATES AND PERCENT OF COWS ON TEST, 1906-73 🚺

| | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | | 4 N | | | | | |
|----------|-----------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------------------|------|------------|---------|---------------|----------|-------|-------|-----------|--------|
| | | | : | | | UFFICIA | UHI PL | . AN | | | | TEST: ALL | PLANS |
| | ASS001- | SUPER- | HERDS | COWS | COWS | PCT. | HEDDE | CONS | AVERAGE | PRODU | CTION | 11. 0 | 0.1 |
| YEAR | ATIONS 2/ | VISORS | 3/ ROLLEO | ROLLED | HERD | U.S. COWS | REPTO. | REPTO. | MILK | FAT | FAT | COWS | TEST |
| | NO. | ND. | ND. | NO. | ND. | z | NO. | NO. | LB | 7, | Lð | THOU. | 2 |
| 1906 | 1 | | 31 | 239 | 7.7 | (51) | | | 5,300 | 4.06 | 215 | 5/17,110 | (57) |
| 1907 | 4 | | | 1,606 | | 0.01 | | | 5,366 | 4.10 | 220 | 17,509 | 0.01 |
| 1908 | 6 | | | 3,921 | | • 0 2 | | | | | | 17,872 | .02 |
| 1910 | 40 | | | 6/25,000 | | .14 | | | 6/5.730 | 3.96 | 6/227 | 18,300 | .07 |
| 1911 | 64 | | | 6/40,000 | | .22 | | | | | | 18,302 | .22 |
| 1912 | 82 | | | 6/43,000 | | . 23 | | | | | | 18,397 | .23 |
| 1913 | 100 | | | 47,150 | | • 26 | | | | | | 18,460 | .26 |
| 1914 | 211 | | | 73,280 105,526 | | .39 .55 | | | | | | 18,701 | .39 |
| 1916 | 346 | | | 150.677 | | . 77 | | | | | | 19.632 | . 77 |
| 1917 | 459 | | 11,720 | 216,831 | 18.5 | 1.08 | | | | | | 20,092 | 1.05 |
| 1918 | 353 | | 9,778 | 172,518 | 17.6 | . 85 | | | | | | 20,416 | .85 |
| 1919 | 385 | | 10,000 | 167,313 | 16.7 | . 82 | | | | | | 20,425 | . 82 |
| 1920 | 468 | | 11,948 | 203,472 | 17.0 | 1.00 | | | 6,175 | 4.00 | 247 | 20,335 | 1.00 |
| 1921 | 452 | | 12,509 | 193,928 | 17.3 | . 95 | | | | | | 20, 336 | .95 |
| 1923 | 627 | | 16,357 | 277.010 | 16.9 | 1.32 | | | | | | 21+018 | 1.32 |
| 1924 7/- | | | | | | | | | | | | 21,211 | |
| 1925 | 732 | | 18,677 | 307,073 | 16.4 | 1.43 | | 42,302 | 7,189 | 3.95 | 294 | 21,417 | 1.43 |
| 1926 | 777 | | 19,540 | 327,653 | 16.8 | 1.52 | | 103,443 | 7,331 | 3.96 | 290 | 21,503 | 1.52 |
| 1927 | 837 | | 21,128 | 362,014 | 17.1 | 1.70 | | 116,509 | 7,411 | 3.95 | 293 | 21,312 | 1.70 |
| 1928 | 947 | | 23,327 | 414,891 | 17.8 | 1.96 | | 152,412 | 7.476 | 3.96 | 296 | 21,191 | 1.96 |
| 1929 | 1,090 | | 26,182 | 465,804 | 17.8 | 2.19 | | 194+859 | 7,498 | 3.97 | 295 | 21,223 | 2.19 |
| 1931 | 1,112 | | 26,308 | 510,714 | 19.4 | 2.30 | | 219,924 | 7.812 | 3.92 | 306 | 22,218 | 2.30 |
| 1932 | 1,005 | | 20,351 | 427,044 | 21.0 | 1.85 | | 186,683 | 7,858 | 3.95 | 310 | 23,108 | 1.85 |
| 1933 | 881 | | 15,447 | 358,501 | 23.2 | 1.49 | | 163,014 | 7,849 | 3.99 | 313 | 24,105 | 1.49 |
| 1934 | 793 | | 13,694 | 325,837 | 23.8 | 1.30 | | 203,930 | 8,015 | 4.02 | 322 | 25,062 | 1.30 |
| 1935 | 809 | | 15,573 | 364,218 | 23.4 | 1.45 | | 200,367 | 7,977 | 4.04 | 322 | 25,198 | 1.45 |
| 1936 | 876 | | 17,344 | 404,412 | 23.3 | 1.67 | | 257,248 | 7,912 | 4.03 | 319 | 24,187 | 1.67 |
| 1938 | 1.106 | | 23.701 | 440,762 558,903 | 23.4 | 2.09 | | 374,768 | 7,831 | 4.05 | 320 | 23,340 | 2.40 |
| 1939 | 1,228 | | 25,949 | 625,284 | 24.1 | 2.69 | | 507,563 | 7,977 | 4.05 | 323 | 23,215 | 2.69 |
| 1940 | 1,300 | | 27,943 | 676,141 | 24.2 | 2.91 | | 545,382 | 8,133 | 4.07 | 331 | 23, 273 | 2.91 |
| 1941 | 1,383 | | 31,381 | 763,502 | 24.3 | 3.23 | | 591,103 | 8,225 | 4.07 | 335 | 23,671 | 3.23 |
| 1942 | 1,421 | | 32,957 | 816,117 | 24.8 | 3.36 | | 514,758 | 8,323 | 4.07 | 339 | 24,288 | 3.36 |
| 1943 | 954 | | 24,105 | 561.587 | 27.0 | 2.47 | | 315,914 | 8,296 | 4.05 | 336 | 25,027 | 2 21 |
| 1945 | 949 | | 21,254 | 577,200 | 27.2 | 2.25 | | 384,360 | 8,592 | 4.03 | 346 | 25,597 | 2.25 |
| 1946 | 1,124 | | 23,331 | 627,878 | 27.0 | 2.51 | | 413,554 | 8,635 | 4.04 | 349 | 25,033 | 2.51 |
| 1947 | 1,426 | | 28,812 | 775,546 | 26.9 | 3.22 | | 533,061 | 8,638 | 4.03 | 348 | 24,089 | 3.22 |
| 1948 | 1,787 | | 33,274 | 886,129 | 26.6 | 3.80 | | 620,385 | 8,675 | 4.03 | 350 | 23,329 | 3.80 |
| 1950 | 1,973 | | 40-100 | 1.088.872 | 20.3 | 4.23 | | 836=922 | 9,172 | 4.03 | 370 | 22, 330 | 4.94 |
| 1951 | 2,143 | | 42,949 | 1,186,615 | 27.6 | 5.41 | | 885,859 | 9,195 | 4.02 | 370 | 21,944 | 5.41 |
| 1952 | 2,109 | | 40,105 | 1,166,297 | 29.1 | 5.42 | | 928,376 | 9,192 | 3.98 | 366 | 21,505 | 5.42 |
| 1953 | 2,151 | | 40,983 | 1,226,588 | 29.9 | 5.75 | | 1,020,326 | 9,253 | 3.98 | 36 5 | 21,338 | 5.75 |
| 1954 | 2 · 175 | | 41,254 | 1,311,698 | 31.8 | 6.05 | | 1,079,557 | 9,363 | 3.97 | 372 | 21,691 | 6.05 |
| 1000 | 2,200 | | 41,240 | 1,333,000 | 34.3 | 0.10 | | 1,11,2,318 | 99902 | 3.75 | 515 | 21,501 | 0.10 |
| 1956 | 2,266 | 2, 310 | 40,984 | 1,406,306 | 34.4 | 6.68 | | 1,229,971 | 9,713 | 3.94 | 383 | 21,044 | 0/8.46 |
| 1958 | 1,544 | 2,293 | 91,030 39.985 | 1.548.884 | 38.7 | 7.83 | | 1,345,750 | 10,068 | 3.93 | 394 | 19.774 | 10.71 |
| 1959 | 1,500 | 2,337 | 40,284 | 1,607,538 | 39.9 | 8.59 | | 1,406,665 | 10,327 | 3.58 | 401 | 18,711 | 11.93 |
| 1960 | 1,509 | 2,397 | 41,293 | 1,746,752 | 42.3 | 9.76 | | 10/1,343,7251 | 0/10,561 | 3.871 | 0/409 | 17,901 | 13.63 |
| 1961 | 1,395 | 2,448 | 42,558 | 1,867,469 | 43.9 | 10.66 | 28,649 | 1,280,785 | 10,796 | 3.87 | 418 | 17,515 | 14.81 |
| 1962 | 1.430 | 2,472 | 42,034 | 1,958,355 | 46.6 | 11.36 | 32,794 | 1,531,826 | 11,032 | 3.86 | 426 | 17.243 | 15.82 |
| 1964 | 1,441 | 2,474 | 41,937 | 2,010,144 | 41.0 | 12.36 | 35,680 | 1,746-475 | 11,280 | 3.82 | 4 4 7 | 10,042 | 17.36 |
| 1965 | 1,424 | 2,439 | 40,075 | 2,087,581 | 52.1 | 13.32 | 35,604 | 1,821,252 | 11,976 | 3.82 | 457 | 15,677 | 18.90 |
| 1966 | 1,418 | 2,378 | 38,879 | 2,058,592 | 52.9 | 13.77 | 34,886 | 1,852,533 | 12,127 | 3.81 | 462 | 14,954 | 19.76 |
| 1967 | 1,344 | 2,319 | 37,683 | 2,098,919 | 55.7 | 15.22 | 34, 515 | 1,890,024 | 12,307 | 3.80 | 468 | 11/13.793 | 21.59 |
| 1968 | 1,318 | 2,304 | 36,869 | 2,131,929 | 57.8 | 16.06 | 33, 560 | 1,924,200 | 12,397 | 3.80 | 471 | 13,273 | 22.82 |
| 1970 | 1.267 | 2,225 | 34.308 | 2+122+011 | 61.9 | 16.87 | 31.130 | 1,937,390 | 12, 750 | 3.79 | 483 | 12/12:578 | 24.81 |
| 1971 | 1,274 | 2,201 | 33, 996 | 2,218,402 | 65.3 | 17.87 | 30, 699 | 1,995,463 | 13,000 | 3.76 | +89 | 12,414 | 25.71 |
| 1972 | 1,247 | 2,186 | 33, 197 | 2,244,685 | 67.6 | 18.28 | 30,190 | 2,050,132 | 13,226 | 3.75 | 496 | 12,279 | 26.12 |
| 1973 | 1,231 | 2,164 | 33,578 | 2,359,611 | 70.3 | 20.25 | | | | | | 11,651 | 28.65 |

LY DASHES INDICATE DATA NOT REPORTED OR CALCULATED. DHIR ENROLLMENT IS INCLUDED FROM 1962. 2/ NUMBER OF ASSOCIATIONS INCLUDES ALL PLANS. 3/ PRIOR TO 1957, ASSOCIATIONS WERE COUNTED ON THE BASIS OF SUPERVISOR CIRCUITS. NUMBER OF SUPERVISORS INCLUDES ALL PLANS. 4/ LESS THAN -01 PERCENT. 5/ U.S. COWS FROM 1906 THROUGH 1924 ARE AN ESTIMATE OF THE NUMBER OF COWS AND HEIFERS 2 YEARS OLD AND OLDER JANUARY 1, KEPT FOR MILK, EXCLUDING THOSE NOT FRESH. THE ESTIMATE WAS OBTAINED BY SUBTRACTING 1,120,000 FROM THE NUMBERS GIVEN IN TABLE 543, AGR. STATIS., 1967 FOR COWS AND HEIFERS 2 YEARS OLD AND OLDER, KEPT FOR MILK. FROM 1925 THROUGH 1966, U.S. COWS ARE THE AVERAGE NUMBER OF MILK COWS ON FARMS FOR THE PREVIOUS YEAR, EXCLUDING HEIFERS NOT YET FRESH. ESTIMATED BY THE STATIS. RPT. SERV., USDA.

NUMBER OF MILK COMS ON FARMS FOR THE PREVIOUS YEAR, EXCLUDING HEIFERS NOT YET FRESH. ESTIMATED BT THE STATUS. AFT. SEARCH OF ONE. 6/ ESTIMATED. 7/ DATE FOR GOLLECTING DATA CHANGED IN 1924 FROM JULY 1 TO JANUARY 1, SD 1924 WAS SKIPPED. 8/ DWNER-SAMPLER TABULATION BEGAN IN 1956. 9/ WEIGH-A-DAY-A-MONTH TABULATION BEGAN IN 1957. 10/ NATIONAL TESTING YEAR CHANGED IN 1960 TO BEGIN MAY 1. THESE VALUES ARE AVERAGES OF 1959 AND 1961. 11/ FOR 1967 THROUGH 1969, AVERAGE NUMBER OF MILK COWS ON FARMS IN DECEMBER OF PREVIOUS YEAR, EXCLUDING HEIFERS NOT YET FRESH. ESTIMATED BY THE STATIS. RPT. SERV., USDA. 12/ BEGINNING 1970, NUMBER OF MILK COWS AND HEIFERS THAT HAVE CALVED JANUARY 1 OF STATED YEAR, EXCLUDING HEIFERS NOT YET FRESH. ESTIMATED BY THE STATIS. RPT. SERV., USDA. //

| | 1906 | 1907 | 1908 | 1909 | 1910 | 1911 | 1912 | 1913 | 1914 | 1915 | 1916 | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 | 1920 | 1921 | 1922 |
|-------------------------------|------|------|------|--------|--------|---------|--------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|------------------------|
| MICHIGAN | 1 | 4 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 5 | 4 | 3 5 | 3 8 | 10 11 | 15 5 | 7 1 | 13 | 14 | 11 | 17 3 |
| NEW YORK | • | | 1 | 1 2 | 3 8 | 9 10 | 18 11 | 21 17 | 29 28 | 35 33 | 47 38 | 43 47 | 19 18 | 25 12 | 28 18 | 24 17 | 31 21 |
| I O WA C A L I F OR N I A | • | | | 2 1 | 5 3 | 4 2 | 8 4 | 7 4 | 8 5 | 13 7 | 23 9 | 30 15 | 15 16 | 11 14 | 14 18 | 17 21 | 22 21 |
| WISCONSIN | • | | | 9 1 | 10 | 10 | ⁸ | 11 3 | 24 2 | 37 3 | 51 4 | 81 4 | 112 2 | 105 2 | 115 | 103 | 127 1 |
| COLORADO Pennsyl Vania | • | | | | 1 1 | 1 1 | 2 2 | 1 2 | 1 7 | 14 | 19 | 3 24 | 5 21 | 5 35 | 5 64 | 4 46 | 6 45 |
| 0HI0 | | | | | 1 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 1 | 20 12 | 30 18 | 24 11 | 24 9 | 41 6 | 35 1C | 36 10 |
| MARYLAND | | | | | | 1 4 | 3 3 | 3 2 | 2 7 | 4 3 | 7 3 | 8 17 | 4 15 | 2 27 | 6 23 | 7 25 | 6 24 |
| MINNESOTA New Hampshire | | | | | | 3 1 | 7 1 | 10 1 | 9 4 | 11 8 | 22 11 | 26 12 | 23 8 | 21 9 | 19 10 | 23 1C | 37 11 |
| OREGON | | | | | | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 7 1 | 11 1 | 15 | 17 1 | 11 1 | 6 | 9 1 | 5 1 | 5 1 |
| MASSACHUSETTS VIRGINIA | | | | | | 2 2 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 3 | | 4 2 | 4 4 | | 5 | 1 8 | 5 10 | 6 12 |
| KANSAS INDIANA | | | | | | | | 1 2 | 1 2 | 1 3 | 1 7 | 4 9 | 3 7 | 15 10 | 13 5 | 13 1C | 13 5 |
| KENTUCKY MISSOURI | • | | | | | | | 1 | 1 2 | | 1 2 | 1 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 6 | 5 7 | 2 11 |
| NEW JERSEY West Virginia | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | 3 1 | 4 3 | 8 1 | 9 1 | 9 1 | 12 3 | 8 5 | 6 5 |
| CONNECTICUT NORTH CAROLINA | | | | | | | | | 1 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | | | 1 2 | 2 | 2 |
| LOUISIANA South Dakota | • | | | | | | | | 1 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | | | 1 | | |
| NEVAOA | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 1 2 | 1 | | | 1 2 | 1 1 |
| RHODE ISLANO DELAWARE | | | | | | | | | | | 2 2 | 2 3 | | 2 | 4 1 | 4 | 4 |
| IOAHD MISSISSIPPI | | | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 6 3 | 4 2 |
| MONT ANA Tennessee | | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | 2 8 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| NEW MEXICO | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 1 | | 1 | | 1 | - ¹ |
| AL A B A MA GEORGI A | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | ¹ |
| NORTH OAKOTA OKLAHOMA | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 2 2 | 6 1 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA Texas | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 3 | 1 | 1 | |
| ARKANSAS FLORIOA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| PUERTO RICO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| AL ASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| UNITEO STATES | 1 | 4 | 6 | 25 | 40 | 64 | 82 | 100 | 163 | 211 | 346 | 459 | 353 | 385 | 468 | 452 | 513 |

APPENDIX I--.NUMBER OF DHI ASSOCIATIONS, BY STATE, 1906-73 1/, 2/, 3/

| | 1923 | 1924 | 1925 | 1926 | 1927 | 1928 | 1929 | 1930 | 1931 | 1932 | 1933 | 1934 | 1935 | 1936 | 1937 | 1938 |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------|----------|----------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MAINE | | | 2 | 108 | | | | 90 | •5 | 59 | 58 4 | 3 | 46 3 | 51 | 11 | 12 |
| NEW YORK | 27 20 | | 24 17 | 28 23 | 36 23 | 42 25 | 54 23 | 51 23 | 69 28 | 79 29 | 74 26 | 69 27 | 81 27 | 96 30 | 105 36 | 115 35 |
| I OWA C AL IFORN I A | 47 27 | | 56 20 | 61 30 | 77 35 | 86 32 | 101 32 | 101 33 | 100 31 | 82 62 | 60 60 | 53 52 | 52 52 | 54 56 | 54 59 | 59 60 |
| W I SC ON S I N + | 151 | | 176 2 | 169 6 | 159 10 | 166 17 | 154 23 | 142 28 | 131 29 | 96 26 | 78 20 | 69 17 | 94 14 | 95 16 | 124 18 | 14C 16 |
| COLORAOO Pennsyl van IA | 6 36 | | 7 42 | 6 43 | 5 49 | 9 65 | 14 76 | 15 88 | 15 88 | 15 85 | 15 86 | 11 83 | 11 84 | 9 86 | 11 91 | 11 97 |
| 0HI0 WASHINGTON | 36 11 | | 21 10 | 25 8 | 28 11 | 29 10 | 39 12 | 38 15 | 37 12 | 36 15 | 31 13 | 30 10 | 27 10 | 32 11 | 38 10 | 47 12 |
| MARYLAND | 4 | | 9 24 | 10 26 | 8 30 | 7 34 | 8 51 | 9 59 | 13 62 | 11 57 | 9 53 | 11 52 | 10 57 | 10 63 | 14 59 | 12 67 |
| MINNESDTA NEW HAMPSHIRE | 55 10 | | 88 5 | 84 4 | 85 2 | 105 4 | 117 7 | 120 | 96 8 | 69 9 | 45 9 | 36 9 | 30 9 | 30 9 | 35 | 47 |
| OREGON | 4 | | 7 5 | 8 4 | 9 5 | 11 5 | 14 5 | 14 | 16 6 | 16 7 | 14 1 | 10 1 | 9 1 | 10 2 | 17 4 | 16 6 |
| MASSACHUSETTS VIRGINIA | 6 | | 3 15 | 6 18 | 7 18 | 9 20 | 11 20 | 11 20 | 13 20 | 13 25 | 14 28 | 11 25 | 11 23 | 13 23 | 13 24 | 13 28 |
| KANSAS | 9 10 | | 8 17 | 11 25 | 13 31 | 14 34 | 20 41 | 22 51 | 25 37 | 18 32 | 17 30 | 14 30 | 13 33 | 15 30 | 14 37 | 13 44 |
| KENTUCKY MISSOURI | 3 12 | | 2 13 | 19 | 2 21 | 25 | 8 34 | 12 36 | 12 34 | 8 28 | 6 29 | 6 24 | 6 19 | 7 21 | 7 23 | 14 21 |
| NEW JERSEY West Virginia | 6 | | 6 3 | 9 3 | 11 3 | 17 | 18 | 16 7 | 15 9 | 17 | 16 5 | 17 6 | 18 | 19 6 | 21 6 | 23 |
| CONNECTICUT North Carolina | 1 | | 1 2 | 2 5 | 4 5 | 5 | E 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 7 | 8 6 | 13 | 15 | 15 8 |
| LOUISIANA South Dakota | | | 11 | 9 | 1 10 | 2 8 | 2 14 | 2 12 | 3 12 | 27 | 6 4 | 5 4 | 4 | 11 2 | 7 | 3 2 |
| NEVAOA | 4 | | 3 2 | 1 1 | 3 1 | | 1 3 | | | 2 | 2 | | | 1 2 | | 2 2 |
| RHODE ISLANO DELAWARE | · 3 | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 1 | 2 1 | 3 1 | 3 1 | 3 1 | 3 | 4 |
| IDAHO MISSISSIPPI | - 8 - 1 | | 8 1 | 8 2 | ⁹ | 12 1 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 10 3 | 5 2 | 4 1 | | 5 | | , 7 6 |
| MONTANA TENNESSEE | 2 | | 4 2 | 3 2 | 7 2 | 7 | 8 7 | 9 10 | 9 10 | 8 8 | 6 8 | 4 6 | 4 4 | 4 3 | 5 | 5 13 |
| NEW MEXICO WYOMING | ¹ | | | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| AL A B AM A | | | | | 1 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 4 | 3 1 | ² | 1 | | 3 | 5 |
| NORTH OAKOTA Oklahoma | - 8 - 3 | | 5 5 | 3 5 | 6 5 | 4 12 | 7 22 | 9 25 | 9 21 | 5 20 | 7 15 | 5 15 | 4 12 | 2 13 | 2 11 | 2 12 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | | | | | | 3 | 1 | . 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 4 |

13

102

13

72

9

9

22

7

10

4

4

1

5

15

1

3

--

2

 $^{1}_{1}$

65

2

3 ---

1 ----

---`

2 ---

ARKANSAS------FLORIOA-----

PUERTO RICO-----

ALASKA------

2 ---

UNITEO STATES---- 627 --- 732 777 837 947 1,090 1,143 1,112 1,005 881 793 809 876 992 1,106 1,228

APPENDIX I--.NUMBER OF OHI ASSOCIATIONS, BY STATE, 1906-73 (CONT'O.)

| | 1940 | 1941 | 1942 | 1943 | 1944 | 1945 | 1946 | 1947 | 1948 | 1949 | 1950 | 1951 | 1952 | 1953 | 1954 | 1955 | 1956 |
|--------------------|---------|---------|---------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| MICHIGAN | 85 | 89 | 92 | 59 | 61 | 63 | 71 | 93 | 114 | 116 | 131 | 133 | 126 | 132 | 125 | 13C | 120 |
| MAINE | 13 | 13 | 13 | 3 | 13 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 24 | 22 | 23 | 28 | 30 | 31 | 32 | 30 | 27 |
| NEW YORK | 134 | 137 | 137 | 101 | 100 | 95 | 115 | 132 | 161 | 175 | 201 | 220 | 227 | 221 | 223 | 227 | 218 |
| | 39 | 41 | 46 | 38 | 29 | 22 | 30 | 27 | 36 | 51 | 52 | 61 | 61 | 64 | 67 | 69 | 69 |
| IOWA | 69 | 73 | 78 | 57 | 54 | 45 | 55 | 70 | 87 | 9 3 | 99 | 104 | 90 | 87 | 90 | 92 | 97 |
| California | 64 | 69 | 72 | 60 | 64 | 70 | 78 | 90 | 105 | 103 | 110 | 111 | 116 | 113 | 112 | 12C | 117 |
| WISCONSIN | 160 | 166 | 178 | 110 | 74 | 68 | 112 | 133 | 141 | 147 | 149 | 152 | 145 | 141 | 135 | 136 | 136 |
| NEBRASKA | 15 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 11 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 19 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 25 | 29 |
| COLORADO | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 18 | 21 | 17 | 19 | 25 | 23 | 20 | 24 | 26 | 29 |
| PENNSYLVANIA | 107 | 114 | 122 | 118 | 119 | 118 | 117 | 136 | 145 | 154 | 175 | 200 | 193 | 198 | 204 | 212 | 217 |
| 0HI0 | 59 | 60 | 63 | 35 | 25 | 35 | 57 | 71 | 71 | 74 | 95 | 100 | 120 | 138 | 124 | 126 | 136 |
| | 13 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 31 | 36 | 47 | 49 | 48 | 50 | 46 | 42 | 48 | 48 | 45 |
| MARYLAND | 14 | 16 | 16 | 72 | 14 | 17 | 21 | 21 | 26 | 28 | 37 | 41 | 41 | 44 | 46 | 47 | 48 |
| | 78 | 85 | 87 | 72 | 56 | 58 | 63 | 79 | 82 | 85 | 91 | 96 | 88 | 95 | 102 | 107 | 101 |
| MINNESOTA | 56 | 58 | 59 | 42 | 35 | 33 | 42 | 85 | 99 | 99 | 111 | 124 | 108 | 118 | 83 | 127 | 129 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 9 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 16 | 17 | 15 | 18 | 17 | 17 |
| OREGON | 21 | 24 | 24 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 12 | 22 | 19 | 28 | 31 | 33 | 35 | 34 | 37 | 37 | 35 |
| UTAH | 9 | 14 | 14 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 22 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 25 | 22 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 18 | 18 | 18 | 10 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 17 | 22 | 26 | 31 | 31 | 33 | 32 | 32 | 31 |
| VIRGINIA | 31 | 35 | 38 | 32 | 28 | 34 | 37 | 44 | 47 | 50 | 53 | 64 | 57 | 60 | 57 | 6C | 59 |
| KANSAS | 18 | 20 | 21 | 19 | 15 | 17 | 20 | 21 | 30 | 34 | 40 | 42 | 36 | 39 | 44 | 53 | 52 |
| INOIANA | 59 | 63 | 63 | 46 | 36 | 39 | 49 | 61 | 75 | 81 | 81 | 79 | 73 | 95 | 105 | 101 | 83 |
| KENTUCKY | 15 | 14 | 15 | 11 | 13 | 11 | 11 | 18 | 21 | 20 | 24 | 27 | 32 | 30 | 33 | 37 | 38 |
| MISSOURI | 23 | 25 | 27 | 23 | 26 | 24 | 28 | 33 | 37 | 46 | 48 | 51 | 52 | 42 | 47 | 44 | 45 |
| NEW JERSEY | 26 | 27 | 30 | 25 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 31 | 26 | 27 | 29 |
| WEST VIRGINIA | 7 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 12 | 13 | 12 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 20 | 21 |
| CONNECTICUT | 19 | 20 | 21 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 27 |
| North Carolina | 11 | 11 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 23 | 22 | 19 | 21 | 25 | 33 | 33 | 35 | 35 |
| LOUISIANA | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 12 | 9 | 8 | 11 | 8 |
| | 6 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 9 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 10 | 14 |
| NEVADA | 2 4 | 3 4 | 4 4 | 4 3 | 1 4 | 2 6 | 3 4 | 3 5 | 3 7 | 2 9 | 2 10 | 2 11 | 2 11 | 3 11 | 1 13 | 3 13 | 3 13 |
| RHODE ISLANO | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| DELAWARE | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| IDAHO | 777 | 10 7 | 13 4 | 9 2 | 6 | 9 | 11 | 14 6 | 21 4 | 26 4 | 28 4 | 29 7 | 26 7 | 26 5 | 29 5 | 32 5 | 33 5 |
| MONTANA | 8 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| | 16 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 20 | 21 | 2 3 |
| NEW MEXICO | 1 | 2 2 | 2 2 | 3 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 6 | 4 | 4 3 | 4 3 | 4 3 | 4 3 | 3 3 | 3 3 | 4 4 | 5 4 |
| ALABAMA GEORGIA | 5 1 | 5 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 2 | 4 | 9 | | 8 11 | 12 13 | 14 13 | 16 14 | 20 19 | 19 20 | 17 23 |
| NORTH DAKOTA | 2 | 3 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 11 | 13 | 13 |
| OKLAHOMA | 12 | 12 | 11 | 9 | 9 | 6 | 2 | | 17 | 15 | 17 | 30 | 30 | 12 | 28 | 24 | 22 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 5 14 | 5 20 | 5 15 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 13 | 2 23 | 2 27 | 4 32 | 6 28 | 6 25 | 8 25 | 10 22 | 11 21 | 11 25 |
| ARKANSAS | 4 | 4 6 | 4 4 | 2 3 | 2 3 | | | | 3 | 2 3 | 6 5 | 7 7 | 4 9 | 2 9 | 3 10 | 5 10 | 5 10 |
| PUERTO RICO | 4 1 | 1 2 | | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 2 | 1 2 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 1 | | 1 1 |
| AL ASKA | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| UNITED STATES | 1,300 | 1,383 | 1,421 | 1,057 | 954 | 949 | 1,124 | 1,426 | 1,668 | 1,786 | 1,973 | 2,143 | 2,109 | 2,151 | 2,175 | 2,288 | 2,266 |

APPENOIX I--.NUMBER OF DHI ASSOCIATIONS, BY STATE, 1906-73 (CONT'O.)

| | 1957 | 1958 | 1959 | 1960 | 1961 | 1962 | 1963 | 1964 | 1965 | 1966 | 1967 | 1968 | 1969 | 1970 | 1971 | 1972 | 1973 |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-------|--------|-------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|--------|-------|--------|
| M I C H I G A N | 106 | 106 | 82 | 82 | 78 | 95 | 84 | 89 | 80 | 76 | 67 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 85 | 83 | 83 |
| | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | .15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| NEW YORK | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 49 | 43 | 49 | 47 | 47 | 48 |
| Vermont | 53 | 51 | 50 | 51 | 16 | 38 | 35 | 31 | 30 | 29 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
| IOWACALIFORNIA | 75 | 72 | 70 | 75 | 71 | 75 | 72 | 72 | 74 | 71 | 71 | 74 | 72 | 71 | 68 | 64 | 64 |
| | 35 | 34 | 33 | 33 | 33 | 34 | 34 | 32 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 30 | 28 | 27 | 27 | 27 |
| WISCONSIN | 76 | 75 | 73 | 70 | 64 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 59 | 57 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 41 | 39 | 35 | 33 |
| NEBRASKA | 26 | 30 | 28 | 28 | 27 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 27 | 29 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 32 | 31 | 28 |
| C OL OR A 0 0 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 25 | 20 | 25 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| PENNSYL VAN I A | 219 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 58 | 59 | 59 | 59 | 61 | 60 | 61 | 60 | 59 | 61 |
| 0HI0 | 80 | 80 | 81 | 81 | 80 | 83 | 82 | 83 | 86 | 86 | 80 | 80 | 73 | 71 | 69 | 69 | 67 |
| WASHINGTON | 26 | 25 | 26 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 25 | 22 |
| MARYLANO | 45 | 44 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 18 | 16 | 17 | 36 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 14 | 14 | 14 |
| | 96 | 91 | 94 | 85 | 64 | 63 | 64 | 58 | 56 | 57 | 53 | 53 | 54 | 51 | 49 | 52 | 50 |
| MINNESOTA | 132 | 141 | 152 | 158 | 73 | 89 | 89 | 87 | 87 | 87 | 83 | 80 | 77 | 77 | 76 | 74 | 74 |
| NEW HAMPSHIRE | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| OREGON | 20 | 20 | 19 | 19 | 23 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 13 | 13 | 14 |
| UTAH | 22 | 22 | 24 | 20 | 20 | 19 | 18 | 19 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 16 | 18 |
| MASSACHUSETTS | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 7 |
| VIRGINIA | 59 | 58 | 61 | 60 | 62 | 65 | 66 | 52 | 61 | 54 | 39 | 40 | 38 | 38 | 39 | 39 | 41 |
| KANSAS | 45 | 52 | 50 | 51 | 47 | 55 | 51 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 56 | 57 | 51 | 56 | 57 | 57 | 57 |
| I NO I ANA | 67 | 64 | 64 | 61 | 66 | 57 | 57 | 57 | 59 | 60 | 59 | 59 | 54 | 52 | 48 | 47 | 46 |
| K ENTUC KY | 39 | 36 | 40 | 40 | 45 | 48 | 47 | 44 | 40 | 40 | 38 | 36 | 36 | 31 | 36 | 36 | 37 |
| MISSOUR I | 46 | 45 | 43 | 44 | 50 | 46 | 44 | 44 | 48 | 45 | 47 | 45 | 44 | 41 | 38 | 37 | 39 |
| NEW JERSEY | 29 | 29 | 27 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 11 | 11 |
| CONNECTICUT | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| NORTH CAROLINA | 38 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 46 | 47 | 53 | 53 | 42 | 42 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 39 | 37 | 38 | 38 |
| LOUISIANA | 9 | 10 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 23 | 25 | 19 | 25 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 26 |
| SOUTH DAKOTA | 11 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 20 | 20 | 18 | 17 | 16 | 16 |
| NE VAOA | 4 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| AR I Z ONA | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 2 |
| RHDOE ISLANO | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| OELAWARE | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| IOAHD | 33 | 32 | 19 | 18 | 36 | 19 | 38 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 36 | 33 | 30 | 28 | 26 | 27 | 27 |
| MISSISSIPPI | 4 | 4 | 6 | 9 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 16 | 17 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 21 |
| MONTANA | 8 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| TENNESSEE | 19 | 21 | 22 | 28 | 33 | 37 | 38 | 39 | 42 | 43 | 42 | 42 | 36 | 40 | 36 | 33 | 31 |
| NEW MEXICO | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| WYOMING | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| ALABAMA | 18 | 18 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 22 | 23 | 23 | 22 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 20 |
| GEORGIA | 21 | 22 | 23 | 26 | 25 | 27 | 29 | 32 | 34 | 31 | 31 | 31 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 28 | 26 |
| NORTH OAKOTA | 11 | 11 | 11 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 17 | 19 | 18 | 16 | 13 | 14 | 12 | 11 | 12 | 12 |
| Oklahoma | 21 | 20 | 20 | 21 | 20 | 19 | 17 | 17 | 14 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| SOUTH CAROLINA | 12 | 12 | 15 | 17 | 18 | 15 | 16 | 21 | 21 | 20 | 24 | 14 | 24 | 24 | 23 | 11 | 11 |
| TEXAS | 24 | 24 | 20 | 26 | 28 | 30 | 30 | 27 | 26 | 26 | 26 | 25 | 23 | 23 | 23 | 24 | 23 |
| ARKANSAS | 6 | 2 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 14 | 13 | 9 | 11 | 14 | 11 | 14 | 14 | 16 |
| FLORIOA | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 11 | 11 | 11 | 13 |
| PUERTO RICO HAWAII | 3 1 | 4 1 | 4 5 | 4 5 | 4 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 | 1 | 1 1 |
| ALASKA | | | | | | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| UNITEO STATES | 1,700 | 1,544 | 1,500 | 1,509 | 1,395 | 1,436 | 1,441 | 1,420 | 1,424 | 1,418 | 1,344 | 1,318 | 1,289 | 1,267 | 1,274 | 1,247 | 1,231 |

1/ BLANKS INDICATE OHIA'S NOT YET ORGANIZED IN STATE. DASHES INDICATE ASSOCIATIONS CEASED OPERATION OR DATA NOT Collected.

2/ OATE FOR COLLECTING OATA CHANGED IN 1924 FROM JULY 1 TO JANUARY 1.

3/ PRIOR TO 1957, ASSOCIATIONS WERE COUNTED ON THE BASIS OF SUPERVISOR CIRCUITS. NUMBER OF SUPERVISORS INCLUCES ALL PLANS.

-48-

PPENDIX J .-- EXTENSION DAIRYMEN ADVISING ON THE DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM IN EACH STATE

ALASKA-----ARTHUR L. BRUNDAGE, EXPERIMENT STATION RESEARCH STAFF, UNIVERSITY OF ALASKA, PALMER 99645. ARIZONA-----DENNIS V. ARMSTRONG, DEPT. OF DAIRY SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON 85721. CALIFORNIA-----FRANK D. MURRILL, EXTENSION SERVICE, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS 95616. COLERADO------DAWSON C. JORDAN, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS 80521. CONNECTICUT----ROBERT H. BENSON, ANIMAL INDUSTRIES DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS 06268. DELAWARE------W. R. HESSELTINE, ANIMAL AND POULTRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF DELAWARE, NEWARK 19711. FLORIDA-----DANIEL W. WEBB, DAIRY SCIENCE BLDG., UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINZSVILLE 32601 GEORGIA------. HARDY MCKINNEY, ANIMAL INDUSTRY DIVISION, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS 30601. HA#AII-----JAMES H. KOSHI, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, HONOLULU 96822. IDAHD------GEORGE W. CLEVELAND, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF IDAHO, BOISE 83701 ILLINDIS------GERHARD W. HARPESTAD, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF ILLINDIS, URBANA 61801. IDWA-----DONALD E. VOELKER, ANIMAL & DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, AMES 50010. KENTUCKY-----EVANS E. WRIGHT, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON 40506. LOUISIANA-----NOLAN J. MATHERNE, LA. AGR. EXTENSION SERVICE, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON ROUGE 70803. MAINE-----CALVIN K. WALKER, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MAINE, ORONO 04473. MARYLAND------CHARLES M. CHANCE, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK 20742. MASSACHUSETTS---SIDNEY J. LYFORD, JR., VETERINARY & ANIMAL SCIENCES DEPT., UNIVERSITY DF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST CIOO3. MICHIGAN------MARTIN A. WILSON, DAIRY DEPT., MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING 48823. MINNESOTA-----J. WILLIAM MUDGE, DAIRY HUSBANDRY DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, ST. PAUL 55101. MISSISSIPPI----GEDRGE B. CRAIN, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE 39762. MISSOURI-----REX E. RICKETTS, DAIRY HUSBANDRY DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA 65201. MONTANA-----B. R. MOSS, DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCE & RANGE MANAGEMENT, MONTANA STATE COLLEGE, BOZEMAN 59715. NEBRASKA-----PHILLIP H. COLE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN 68503. NEVADA-----MAX B. RADMALL, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA, REND 89507. NEW HAMPSHIRE---GERALD D. HALE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, DURHAM 03824. NEW JERSEY-----EDWARD T. DLESKIE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK 08903. NEW MEXICO-----J. BORDEN ELLS, DAIRY DEPT., NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, LAS CRUCES 88001. NEW YORK------HARRY R. AINSLIE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA 14850. NORTH CAROLINA--FRANK D. SARGENT, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH 27607. NORTH DAKSTA----GEORGE R. FISHER, SAIRY HUSBANDRY DEPT., NORTH DAKSTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGE 58102. OHIO-----WALLACE R. TAYLOR, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., OHID STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS 43213. DKLAHDMA------JACK D. STDUT, DEPT. OF DAIRYING, OKLAHDMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER 74074. DREGON------DONALD E. ANDERSON, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., DREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS 97331. PENNSYLVANIA----HERSERT C. GILMORE, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK 16802. PUERTO RICO----J. L. VALE, AGR. EXTENSION SERVICE, BOX AR, UNIVERSITY OF PUERTO RICO, RIO PIEDRAS 00928. RHODE ISLAND----(VACANCY), DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, KINGSTON 02881. SOUTH CAROLINA--GEORGE E. GRAMLING, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON 29631. SOUTH DAKDTA----MYERS DWENS, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., SOUTH DAKDTA STATE UNIVERSITY, BRODKINGS 57006. TENNESSEE------V. D. PARSONS, DAIRY DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, P. D. BOX 1D71, KNOXVILLE 37901. TEXAS-----J. W. DAVIS, JR., DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION 77843. UTAH-----JOHN J. BARNARD, DAIRY INDUSTRY DEPT., UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN 84321. VERMONT------WILLIAM P. LEAMY, ANIMAL & DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF VERMONT, BURLINGTON 05401. VIRGINIA------WILLIAM N. PATTERSON, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE, BLACKSBURG 24061. WASHINGTON-----BILL F. KELSO, ANIMAL SCIENCES DEPT., WASHINGTON STATE UNIVERSITY, PUYALLUP 98371. WEST VIRGINIA---R. D. KELLEY, ANIMAL INDUSTRY & VETERINARY SCIENCE DEPT., WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY, MORGANTOWN 26506. WISCONSIN-----EUGENE E. STARKEY, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN, MADISON 53706. WYDMING------R. HARRY ANDERSON, DIVISION OF ANIMAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WYDMING, LARAMIE 82070.

-49-

APPENDIX K.--EXTENSION DAIRYMEN ADVISING DN DAIRY CATTLE BREEDING PROGRAMS IN EACH STATE ALASKA-----ARTHUR L. BRUNDAGE, EXPERIMENT STATION RESEARCH STAFF, UNIVERSITY DF ALASKA, PALMER 99645. ARIZONA-----DENNIS V. ARMSTRONG, DEPT. DF DAIRY SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF ARIZONA, TUCSON 85721. ARKANSAS------LANTIS RATCLIFF, ANIMAL INDUSTRY & VETERINARY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF ARKANSAS, LITTLE RDCK 72203. CALIFORNIA-----FRANK D. MURRILL, ANIMAL SCIENCE BLDG., UNIVERSITY DF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS 95616. COLORADD------OAWSDN C. JORDAN, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., COLORADO STATE UNIVERSITY, FORT COLLINS 80521. CONVECTICUT----RDBERT H. BENSON; ANIMAL INDUSTRIES DEPT.; UNIVERSITY DF CONNECTICUT; STDRRS 0626B. DELAWARE-----W. R. HESSELTINE, COLLEGE DF AGR. SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY DF DELAWARE, NEWARK 19711. FLORIDA------DANIEL W. WEBB, DAIRY SCIENCE BLDG., UNIVERSITY OF FLORIDA, GAINESVILLE 32601. GEORGIA-----J. N. MADDUX, ANIMAL INDUSTRY DIVISION, UNIVERSITY OF GEORGIA, ATHENS 30601. HAWAII-----JAMES H. KOSHI, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, HONOLULU 96822. IDAHO-----GEORGE W. CLEVELAND, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY DF IDAHO, P. D. BOX 300, BDISE B3701. ILLINDIS-----RALPH V. JOHNSON, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF ILLINDIS, URBANA 61803. INDIANA------N. J. MOELLER, DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCES, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, LAFAYETTE 47907. IOWA-----BASIL R. EASTWOOD, ANIMAL AND DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, AMES 50010. KANSAS------E. RALPH BDNEWITZ, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., KANSAS STATE UNIVERSITY, MANHATTAN 66504. KENTUCKY------JOHN H. NICOLAI, JR., DAIRY PRODUCTION DEPT., UNIVERSITY DE KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON 40506. LOUISIANA------H. W. ANDERSON, LA. AGR. EXTENSION SERVICE, LOUISIANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BATON RDUGE 70803. MAINE------GLENN K. WILDES, AREA DAIRY SPEC., COURT HOUSE ANNEX, ALFRED 04002 MARYLAND------PHILLIP K. HOLDAWAY, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MARYLAND, COLLEGE PARK 20742. MASSACHUSETTS---STANLEY N. GAUNT, VETERINARY AND ANIMAL SCIENCES DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF MASSACHUSETTS, AMHERST 01003. MICHIGAN-----CLINTON E. MEADOWS, DAIRY GENETICS AND BREEDING, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING 48823. MINNESOTA-----B. JOSEPH CONLIN. DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, ST. PAUL 55101. MISSISSIPPI-----GEORGE B. CRAIN, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., MISSISSIPPI STATE UNIVERSITY, STATE COLLEGE 39762. MISSDURI-----REX E. RICKETTS, DAIRY HUSBANDRY DEPT., UNIVERSITY DF MISSDURI, COLUMBIA 65202. MONTANA-----B. R. MOSS, DEPT. OF ANIMAL SCIENCE AND RANGE MANAGEMENT, MONTANA STATE UNIVERSITY, BOZEMAN 59715. NEBRASKA------PHILLIP H. COLE, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN 68503. NEVADA-----MAX B. RADMALL, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY DF NEVADA, REND 89507. NEW HAMPSHIRE---THOMAS P. FAIRCHILD, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY DF NEW HAMPSHIRE, OURHAM 03824. NEW JEPSEY-----EDWARD T. DLESKIE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, NEW BRUNSWICK DB903. NEW MEXICO-----J. BORDEN ELLS, DAIRY DEPT., NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY, LAS CRUCES B8001. NEW YORK-----ROBERT W. EVERETT, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA 14850. NDRTH CAROLINA--FRANK D. SARGENT, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPT., NDRTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH 27607. NORTH DAKOTA----GEORGE R. FISHER, DAIRY HUSBANDRY DEPT., NORTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, FARGO 58103. OHIO-----HARRY L. BARR, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS 43210. OKLAHOMA------CLIFFORD H. BURTON, DEPT. OF OAIRYING, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY, STILLWATER 74074. OREGON-----OONALO E. ANDERSON, ANIMAL SCIENCE OEPT., OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS 97331. PENNSYLVANIA----LAWRENCE W. SPECHT, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITY PARK 16802. PUERTO RICO----A. A. RDMERO, BOX 726, DORADO 00646 RHODE ISLAND----(VACANCY), DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, KINGSTON D2881. SOUTH CAROLINA--WILLIAM L. NORTHERN, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON 29631. SOUTH DAKOTA----MYERS OWENS, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., SOUTH DAKOTA STATE UNIVERSITY, BROOKINGS 57007. TENNESSEE-----C. K. CHAPPELL, DAIRY DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, KNOXVILLE 37916. TEXAS-----A. M. MEEKMA, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., TEXAS A & M UNIVERSITY, COLLEGE STATION 77843. UTAH-----JOHN J. BARNARD, DAIRY INDUSTRY DEPT., UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN B4321. VERMONT-----K. S. GIBSON, ANIMAL AND DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF VERMONT, BURLINGTON 05401. VIRGINIA------WILLIAM N. PATTERSON, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE, BLACKSBURG 24061. WASHINGTON-----BILL F. KELSO, W. W. RESEARCH AND EXTENSION CENTER, PUYALLUP 9B371. WEST VIRGINIA---R. D. KEILEY. ANIMAL INDUSTRY AND VETERINARY SCIENCE DEPT., WEST VIRGINIA UNIVERSITY, MORGANTOWN 26506. WISCONSIN------ANTON G. SENDELBACH. DAIRY SCIENCE DEPT., UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN. MADISON 53706. WYDMING-----R. HARRY ANDERSON, DIVISION OF ANIMAL SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF WYDMING, LARAMIE 82071.



<u>]</u>/ Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.





<u>1</u>/ Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.



APPENDIX N.--Status of production testing in 1935 when the National Sire Proving Program was begun in the Division of Dairy Herd Improvement Investigations 1/



<u>1/</u> Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.





<u>1</u>/ Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.



<u>1</u>/ Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.





1/ Dates in chart refer either to establishment of the organization or to its involvement in production-testing work.



AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE - U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (ARS-USDA) DR. R. DEAN PLOWMAN, (CHMN.), AREA DIRECTOR, AGRI. SCI. BLDS., UTAH STATE UNIV., LOGAN, UTAH 84321 TELEPHONE: 801, 753-7977 DR. JAMES W. SMITH, CHAIRMAN, AP & S INSTITUTE, BLDG. 161, BARC-EAST, BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705 TELEPHONE: 3D1, 344-2259 MR. FRANK D. MJRRILL, NDHI COJRDINATOR, BLDG. 263, BARC-EAST, BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705 TELEPHONE: 3D1, 344-2335 EXTENSION SERVICE - U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE (ES-USDA) DR. CHARLES L. BEER, ES-USDA, RODM 5509, SOUTH BLDG., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250 TELEPHONE: 202, 447-4387 DR. N. P. RALSTON, EXTENSION DAIRYMAN, ES-USDA, ROOM 5051, SOUTH BLOG., WASHINGTON, D.C. 20250 TELEPHONE: 202, 447-6091 EXTENSION COMMITTEE ON ORGANIZATION AND POLICY (ECOP) DR. WAYNE T. D'DELL, DIRECTOR OF EXTENSION SERVICE, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631 TELEPHONE: 8D3, 656-3382 STATE EXTENSION DAIRYMEN DF. HARRY R. AINSLIE, ANIMAL SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, CORNELL UNIVERSITY, ITHACA, NEW YORK 14850 TELEPHONE: 607, 256-2196 MR. JOHN J. BARNARO, UTAH STATE UNIVERSITY, LOGAN, UTAH 84321 TELEPHONE: 8D1, 752-4100 DR. WILLIAM L. NORTHERN, DAIRY SCIENCE DEPARTMENT, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631 TELEPHONE: 803, 656-3231 MR. DJNALD E. VOELKER, ROOM 4 - KILDEE HALL, IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY, AMES, IDWA 50010 TELEPHONE: 515, 294-2115 NATIONAL DAIRY HERD IMPROVEMENT ASSOCIATION, INC. (NDHIA) MR. DALE GERLACH, SECRETARY, NOHIA, INC., ROUTE 2, TOLEDO, IOWA 52342 TELEPHONE: 515, 484-2341 MR. FRED POLINDER, JR., PRESIDENT, NDHIA, INC., 1093 POLINDER ROAD, LYNDEN, WASHINGTON 98264 TELEPHONE: 205, 354-3204 MR. CLYDE ROBISON, ROUTE 1, COAL CENTER, PENNSYLVANIA 15423 TELEPHONE: 412, 239-2652 MR. FRANKLIN TEAGUE, ROUTE 1, ELON COLLEGE, NORTH CAROLINA 27244 TELEPHONE: 919, 449-4362 AMERICAN DAIRY SCIENCE ASSOCIATION (ADSA) MR. WALLACE R. TAYLOR, 625 STADIUM DRIVE, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OHIO 43210 TELEPHONE: 614, 422-6564 NATIONAL ASSOCIATION OF ANIMAL BREEDERS (NAAB) MR. WILLIAM M. DURFEY, EXECUTIVE SECRETARY, P. D. BOX 1033, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201 TELEPHONE: 314, 445-4405 PUREBRED DAIRY CATTLE ASSOCIATION (PDCA) MR. NEWELL MILLS, ROUTE 1, BOX 153, FALLON, NEVADA 89406 TELEPHONE: 702, 423-3435 MR. ROBERT H. RUMLER, EXEC. SEC., HOLSTEIN-FRIESIAN ASSOCIATION, P.O. BOX 808, BRATTLEBORD, VERMONT 05302 TELEPHONE: 802, 254-4551 NATIONAL COMPUTING CENTER WORKSHOP (NON-VOTING) MR. D. GLENN WALLS JR., P. D. BOX 5065, NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY, RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27607 TELEPHONE: 919, 755-2632





U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE NORTHEASTERN REGION AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH CENTER WEST BELTSVILLE, MARYLAND 20705

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 POSTAGE AND FEES PAID U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE AGR 101



.

 $\frac{\lambda}{2}$

8