

THE UNIVERSITY  
OF MICHIGAN

DEC 4 1969

# FEDERAL REGISTER

VOLUME 34 • NUMBER 226

Tuesday, November 25, 1969 • Washington, D.C.

Pages 18795-18842

**Agencies in this issue—**

The President  
Agricultural Research Service  
Agricultural Stabilization and  
Conservation Service  
Atomic Energy Commission  
Civil Aeronautics Board  
Civil Service Commission  
Comptroller of the Currency  
Consumer and Marketing Service  
Farm Credit Administration  
Federal Aviation Administration  
Federal Communications Commission  
Federal Power Commission  
Federal Reserve System  
Fish and Wildlife Service  
Food and Drug Administration  
Housing and Urban Development  
Department  
Internal Revenue Service  
Interstate Commerce Commission  
Land Management Bureau  
Securities and Exchange Commission  
Small Business Administration  
Tariff Commission  
Treasury Department  
Veterans Administration

Detailed list of Contents appears inside.



DEPOSITED BY THE  
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Latest Edition

# Guide to Record Retention Requirements

[Revised as of January 1, 1969]

This useful reference tool is designed to keep businessmen and the general public informed concerning the many published requirements in Federal laws and regulations relating to record retention.

The 86-page "Guide" contains over 900 digests which tell the user (1) what type records must be kept, (2) who must keep

them, and (3) how long they must be kept. Each digest carries a reference to the full text of the basic law or regulation providing for such retention.

The booklet's index, numbering over 2,000 items, lists for ready reference the categories of persons, companies, and products affected by Federal record retention requirements.

**Price: 75 cents**

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration

**Order from Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office  
Washington, D.C. 20402**



Published daily, Tuesday through Saturday (no publication on Sundays, Mondays, or on the day after an official Federal holiday), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Register Act, approved July 26, 1935 (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15), under regulations prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the President (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$2.50 per month or \$25 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 20 cents for each issue, or 20 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended (44 U.S.C. 1510). The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

# Contents

## THE PRESIDENT

### EXECUTIVE ORDER

Regulation governing entry or withdrawal from warehouse of certain meat with respect to which an agreement has been concluded..... 18799

## EXECUTIVE AGENCIES

### AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

#### Notices

Economic poisons containing DDT for certain uses; proposed cancellation of registration..... 18827

### AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

#### Rules and Regulations

1970 acreage allotments and marketing quotas:  
Extra long staple cotton..... 18812  
Upland cotton..... 18803

### AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT

See Agricultural Research Service; Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service; Consumer and Marketing Service.

### ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

#### Proposed Rule Making

Nuclear power units; codes and standards..... 18822

### CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

#### Rules and Regulations

Fees and charges for special services; requests for waivers and applications; correction..... 18816

#### Notices

##### Hearings, etc.:

Aerolineas Peruanas, S. A..... 18828  
Luftverkehrsunternehmen Atlantantis, A. G..... 18828  
Overseas National Airways, Inc. 18828  
Qubecair..... 18828  
Wagner Aviation, Ltd..... 18828

### CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

#### Rules and Regulations

Excepted service; Army Department..... 18814

Recruitment and selection through competitive examination; filing certain postmaster positions.... 18814

#### Notices

Grants and revocation of authority to make noncareer executive assignments:  
Health, Education, and Welfare Department (3 documents) .. 18828  
Housing and Urban Development Department (3 documents)..... 18829  
Interior Department..... 18829  
State Department (2 documents)..... 18829

### COMPTROLLER OF THE CURRENCY

#### Proposed Rule Making

Financial statements; form and content..... 18818

### CONSUMER AND MARKETING SERVICE

#### Rules and Regulations

Arizona and California:  
Grapefruit; shipment limitation..... 18813  
Lemons; handling limitation... 18814

#### Proposed Rule Making

Poultry inspection; requirement to use titanium dioxide in isolated soy protein..... 18820

### FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

#### Notices

Deputy Governor et al.; authorization to authenticate documents, certify official records, and affix seals..... 18829

### FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION

#### Rules and Regulations

Alterations:  
Control zone..... 18815  
Transition area (4 documents) .. 18816  
Noise standards; approach test conditions..... 18815

#### Proposed Rule Making

Airworthiness directive; Hawker Siddeley Dove Model 104 airplanes..... 18821  
Transition area; alteration (2 documents)..... 18821

#### Notices

Near midair collisions; extension of policy regarding reports.... 18827

### FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

#### Rules and Regulations

Practice and procedure; application for renewal of license; correction..... 18802  
Radio broadcast services; rebroadcast..... 18802

#### Notices

Manatee Cablevision, Inc.; memorandum opinion and order enlarging issues..... 18829

### FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

#### Notices

##### Hearings, etc.:

Northwest Alabama Gas District and Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co..... 18833  
Southern Natural Gas Co. (2 documents)..... 18832, 18833  
South Georgia Natural Gas Co. 18833

### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

#### Notices

Applications for approval of acquisition of shares of bank:  
Atlantic National Bank of Jacksonville and Atlantic Bancorporation..... 18834  
First Midwest Bancorp., Inc. 18833

### FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE

#### Rules and Regulations

Sport fishing; Necedah National Wildlife Refuge, Wis..... 18817

### FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

#### Proposed Rule Making

Nutritive and nonnutritive sweeteners, combinations; general policy or interpretation..... 18820

### HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT

See Food and Drug Administration.

### HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

#### Notices

Acting Director, Planning Division, Program Coordination and Services Office, Region VI (San Francisco); designation..... 18827

### INTERIOR DEPARTMENT

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau.

### INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE

#### Notices

Granting of relief:  
McCormick, Robert Joseph..... 18826  
Osborne, Jr., Claude Allen..... 18826

### INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

#### Notices

Fourth section application for relief..... 18838  
Motor carriers:  
Temporary authority applications..... 18838

### LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU

#### Notices

California; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands..... 18826

(Continued on next page)

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

**Notices**

*Hearings, etc.:*

Appalachian Power Co. et al.--- 18834  
 Central Power and Light Co--- 18835  
 Commonwealth United Corp--- 18834  
 Monongahela Power Co----- 18835  
 Transceiver Corporation of  
 America ----- 18834

**SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION**

**Notices**

General Business Investment  
 Corp.; license surrender----- 18838  
 Pacific Coastal Region and Pacific  
 Coastal Regional Office; redesi-  
 gnation ----- 18838  
 Regional Director, Pacific Coastal  
 Region; delegation of authority\_ 18836

**TARIFF COMMISSION**

**Notices**

Wilton and velvet carpets and  
 rugs; reports to President----- 18838

**TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT**

*See Federal Aviation Administra-  
 tion.*

**TREASURY DEPARTMENT**

*See also Comptroller of the Cur-  
 rency; Internal Revenue Service.*

**Rules and Regulations**

Extraordinary contractual actions  
 to facilitate national defense;  
 revocation ----- 18802

**VETERANS ADMINISTRATION**

**Rules and Regulations**

Miscellaneous amendments to  
 chapter ----- 18801

**List of CFR Parts Affected**

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1969, and specifies how they are affected.

**3 CFR**

EXECUTIVE ORDER:  
 11496----- 18799

**5 CFR**

213----- 18814  
 332----- 18814

**7 CFR**

722 (2 documents) ----- 18803, 18812  
 909----- 18813  
 910----- 18814  
 PROPOSED RULES:  
 81----- 18820

**10 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:  
 50----- 18822  
 115----- 18822

**12 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:  
 18----- 18818

**14 CFR**

36----- 18815  
 71 (5 documents) ----- 18815, 18816  
 389----- 18816  
 PROPOSED RULES:  
 39----- 18821  
 71 (2 documents) ----- 18821

**21 CFR**

PROPOSED RULES:  
 3----- 18820

**41 CFR**

8-2----- 18801  
 8-3----- 18801  
 8-19----- 18801  
 10-17----- 18802

**47 CFR**

1----- 18802  
 73----- 18802

**50 CFR**

33----- 18817

# Presidential Documents

## Title 3—THE PRESIDENT

### Executive Order 11496

#### REGULATION GOVERNING THE ENTRY OR WITHDRAWAL FROM WAREHOUSE OF CERTAIN MEAT WITH RESPECT TO WHICH AN AGREEMENT HAS BEEN CONCLUDED

WHEREAS section 204 of the Agricultural Act of 1956 (7 U.S.C. 1854) (hereinafter referred to as "the Act") authorizes the President, whenever he determines such action appropriate, to negotiate with representatives of foreign governments in an effort to obtain agreements limiting the export from such countries and the importation into the United States of any agricultural commodity or product manufactured therefrom, and to issue regulations governing the entry or withdrawal from warehouse of any such commodity or product to carry out any such agreement; and


WHEREAS such an agreement was negotiated with the Government of Honduras by which the Government of Honduras agreed that during the calendar year 1969 exports from such country and imports into the United States for consumption of fresh, chilled, or frozen cattle meat (item 106.10 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) and fresh, chilled, or frozen meat of goats and sheep, except lambs (item 106.20 of the Tariff Schedules of the United States) would be limited to an aggregate quantity of 14.1 million pounds; and

WHEREAS an aggregate quantity in excess of 14.1 million pounds of such meat has been exported from Honduras and has been imported into the United States for consumption during the calendar year 1969:

NOW, THEREFORE, by virtue of the authority vested in me as President of the United States, and pursuant to section 204 of the Act, it is hereby ordered that the following regulation governing the entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption of meat, hereinafter specified, shall be effective immediately in order that said agreement entered into with the Government of Honduras may be carried out:

No articles provided for in items 106.10 (relating to fresh, chilled, or frozen cattle meat) and 106.20 (relating to fresh, chilled, or frozen meat of goats and sheep (except lambs)) of part 2B, schedule 1, of the Tariff Schedules of the United States, the product of Honduras, may be entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption in the United States during the remainder of the calendar year 1969.

This order shall not apply to meat released under the provisions of section 448 (b) of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1448 (b)) prior to the date of this order.



THE WHITE HOUSE,  
November 21, 1969.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14031; Filed, Nov. 21, 1969; 3:51 p.m.]



# Rules and Regulations

## Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS AND PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

### Chapter 8—Veterans Administration MISCELLANEOUS AMENDMENTS TO CHAPTER

Chapter 8 is amended as follows:

#### PART 8-2—PROCUREMENT BY FORMAL ADVERTISING

1. In § 8-2.201, paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

##### § 8-2.201 Preparation of invitations for bids.

(a) Invitations for bids for supplies, equipment and services will be serially numbered at the time of issue. The number will consist of the station or marketing division number, the serial number of the invitation, and the fiscal year in which issued, e.g., 101-25-70. A new series beginning with the number 1 will be started each fiscal year. Invitations for bids for supplies, equipment and services which are issued, accepted, and become contracts in the same fiscal year but, because of procurement leadtime, will not be performed until the ensuing fiscal year will be numbered in the series of the year in which they are issued. However, invitations issued in one fiscal year that will result in a contract that will become effective and performed only in the ensuing fiscal year will be numbered in the ensuing fiscal year series.

#### PART 8-3—PROCUREMENT BY NEGOTIATION

2. Section 8-3.605-3 (formerly § 8-3.605-50) is added to read as follows:

##### § 8-3.605-3 Agency order forms.

VA Form 07-2138, Order for Supplies or Services, and VA Form 07-2139, Order for Supplies or Services (Continuation), provide in one interleaved set of forms a purchase or delivery order, vendor's invoice, and receiving report. They will be used in lieu of and in the same manner as Standard Forms 147 and 148.

3. Section 8-3.605-50 is revoked.

##### § 8-3.605-50 [Revoked]

#### PART 8-6—FOREIGN PURCHASES

4. Section 8-6.105 is revised to read as follows:

##### § 8-6.105 Excepted articles, materials, and supplies.

Pursuant to the "Buy American Act," the Director, Supply Service has determined that the articles, materials, and supplies listed in this section may be

acquired by the Veterans Administration without regard to source, except as provided in Subpart 8-6.53:

Acetylene, black.  
Agar, bulk.  
Anise.  
Antimony, as metal or oxide.  
Asbestos, amosite.  
Bananas.  
Beef, corned, canned.  
Beef extract.  
Bephenium hydroxynaphthoate.  
Bismuth.  
Books, trade, text, technical or scientific; newspapers; magazines; periodicals; printed briefs and films; not printed in the United States and for which domestic editions are not available.  
Brazil nuts.  
Cadmium ores and flue dust.  
Calcium cyanamide.  
Capers.  
Cashew nuts.  
Castor beans.  
Chalk, English.  
Chicle.  
Chrome ore or chromite.  
Cinchona bark.  
Cobalt, in cathodes, rondelles, or other primary forms.  
Cocoa beans.  
Coconut and coconut meat in shredded, desiccated, or similarly prepared form.  
Coffee, raw or green bean.  
Colchicine alkaloid, raw.  
Copra.  
Cork, wood or bark, and waste.  
Dammar gum.  
Diamonds, industrial.  
Emetine, bulk.  
1-Ephedrine.  
Ergot, crude.  
Erythrityl tetranitrate.  
Fiber, coir, abaca, and agave.  
Flax.  
Goat and kid skins.  
Graphite, natural, crystalline, crucible grade.  
Hemp.  
Hog bristles for brushes.  
Hyoscine, bulk.  
Iodine, crude.  
Ipecac, root.  
Jute and jute burlaps.  
Kaurigum.  
Lac.  
Lavender oil.  
Logs, veneer, and lumber from Alaskan yellow cedar, angelique, balsa, ekki, greenheart, lignum vitae, mahogany, and teak.  
Manganese.  
Menthol, natural, bulk.  
Mica.  
Nickel, primary, in ingots, pigs; shot, cathodes, or similar forms; nickel oxide and nickel salts.  
Nitroguanidine (also known as picrite).  
Nux vomica, crude.  
Oiticica oil.  
Olive oil.  
Olives, green; plain (unpitted) and stuffed, bulk.  
Opium, crude.  
Petroleum, crude oil; petroleum, finished products; and petroleum, unfinished oils.  
Pine needle oil.  
Platinum and platinum group metals refined, as sponge, powder, ingots, or cast bars.  
Pyrethrum flowers.  
Quartz crystals.  
Quebracho.

Quinidine.  
Quinine.  
Radium salts.  
Rubber, crude and latex.  
Rutile.  
Santonin, crude.  
Secretin.  
Shellac.  
Silk, unmanufactured.  
Sisal.  
Sperm oil.  
Species and herbs.  
Sugar.  
Talc, block, steatite.  
Tapioca, tapioca flour and cassava.  
Tartar, crude, tartaric acid and cream of tartar.  
Tea.  
Thyme oil.  
Tin, in bars, blocks, and pigs.  
Triprolidine hydrochloride.  
Tungsten.  
Vanilla beans.  
Wax, carnauba.

#### PART 8-19—TRANSPORTATION

5. Section 8-19.203-3 is added to read as follows:

##### § 8-19.203 Transportation factors in evaluation of bids.

##### § 8-19.203-3 Lowest overall transportation costs.

Prospective bidders or offerors will be informed in the solicitation that when bids or proposals are solicited on:

(a) An f.o.b. origin basis only, the bids or offers will be evaluated in accordance with FPR 1-19.203-3;

(b) Either f.o.b. origin or f.o.b. destination basis, the f.o.b. origin bid or offer will be evaluated on the basis of the shipping rates in effect on the date solicitation was issued.

6. Section 8-19.305 is revised to read as follows:

##### § 8-19.305 F.o.b. origin, freight prepaid.

(a) When it has been carefully determined that an f.o.b. origin purchase or delivery order will have transportation charges not in excess of \$25, the delivery terms will be stated as "f.o.b. origin, transportation prepaid, with transportation charges to be included on the invoice."

(b) Orders issued on VA Form 07-2138 will direct the vendor's attention to Shipping Instructions No. 1 on the reverse of the form. When VA Form 07-2138 is not used, the vendor will be instructed as follows:

(1) Consistent with the terms of the contract, pack, mark and prepare shipment in conformance with carrier requirements to protect the personal property and assure assessment of the lowest applicable transportation charge.

(2) Add transportation charges as a separate item on your invoice. Insurance charges will not be paid unless the order specifically requires that the shipment

be insured. If shipment is made by other than parcel post, the invoice must be supported by either a receipted transportation bill or an unreceipted bill bearing the following certification: "The invoiced transportation charges have been paid and evidence of such payment will be furnished upon the Government's request."

(3) Do not prepay transportation charges on this order if such charges will exceed \$25. Ship collect and annotate the commercial bill of lading or express receipt, "To be converted to Government Bill of Lading." These instructions do not apply if the order in question is placed against a Federal Supply Schedule contract that authorizes prepayment of transportation charges regardless of cost.

(c) Each contracting officer is responsible for:

(1) Making a diligent effort to obtain the most accurate estimate possible of transportation charges; and

(2) Utilizing the authority in paragraph (a) of this section only when to the best of his knowledge the transportation charges will not exceed \$25.

(d) When in accordance with FPR 1-10.3 and 1-19.1 it is determined that a shipment is to be insured, the vendor will be specifically instructed to do so on the order, when a written order is used. If the order is an oral order, all copies of the purchase request will be annotated to show that insurance was specifically requested.

(Sec. 205(c), 63 Stat. 390, as amended, 40 U.S.C. 486(c); sec. 210(c), 72 Stat. 1114, 38 U.S.C. 210(c))

These regulations are effective immediately.

Approved: November 18, 1969.

By direction of the Administrator.

[SEAL] FRED B. RHODES,  
Deputy Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13976; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

#### Chapter 10—Department of the Treasury

### PART 10-17—EXTRAORDINARY CONTRACTUAL ACTIONS TO FACILITATE NATIONAL DEFENSE

#### Revocation

Part 10-17 of Chapter 10 of Title 41 of the Code of Federal Regulations is revoked.

Dated: November 18, 1969.

[SEAL] A. E. WEATHERBEE,  
Assistant Secretary  
for Administration.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13964; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

### Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

#### PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

#### Application for Renewal of License; Correction

The Commission's Order, FCC 69-777, released on July 18, 1969, and published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 24, 1969, 34 F.R. 12218, is corrected to include an amendment to § 1.926(b) (1) and (4) to read as follows:

#### § 1.926 Application for renewal of license.

(b) (1) Applications for renewal of an amateur operator license, an amateur station license (with the exception of an amateur club or military recreation station license), or a combined amateur operator station license shall be filed on FCC Form 610.

(4) Applications for renewal of an amateur club or military recreation station license shall be filed on FCC Form 610-B.

Released: November 20, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13996; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:49 a.m.]

#### PART 73—RADIO BROADCAST SERVICES

#### Rebroadcast With Regard to Standard, FM, and Noncommercial Educational FM Broadcast Services

1. The Commission has before it a request to amend §§ 73.121(a), 73.291(a), and 73.591(a) of the Commission's rules and regulations which govern the subject of rebroadcast with regard to the standard, FM and noncommercial educational FM broadcast services, respectively.

2. Experience with these sections has shown that Note 2 of §§ 73.121(a) and 73.291(a), and the corresponding language of § 73.591(a), have caused considerable confusion to members of the industry, and it therefore appears advisable to clarify them. The confusion appears to arise from the fact that these provisions refer to transmission "entirely by telephone facilities in which a section of such transmission is of radio." "Telephone" and "radio" are regarded by some as mutually exclusive terms; actually what is meant in these passages is a common carrier (or "telephone company") arrangement, part of which in-

cludes radio transmission. It is desired to change the language of these sections to describe more accurately what is meant, and remove the confusion.

3. Accordingly, it is ordered, That effective November 26, 1969, §§ 73.121(a), 73.291(a), and 73.591(a) of the Commission's rules and regulations are amended as set forth below.

4. This action is taken pursuant to authority found in sections 4(d), 5(d) (1), and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.261(a) of the Commission's rules. Because the amendment is editorial in nature, the prior notice and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553) do not apply. (Secs. 4, 5, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1068, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 155, 303)

Adopted: November 19, 1969.

Released: November 20, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Secretary.

1. In § 73.121, Note 2 to paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

#### § 73.121 Rebroadcast.

(a) \* \* \*

NOTE 2: In case a program is transmitted from its point of origin to a broadcast station entirely by common carrier facilities, whether by wire line or radio, the transmission of this program is not considered a rebroadcast.

2. In § 73.291, Note 2 to paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

#### § 73.291 Rebroadcast.

(a) \* \* \*

NOTE 2: In case a program is transmitted from its point of origin to a broadcast station entirely by common carrier facilities, whether by wire line or radio, the transmission of this program is not considered a rebroadcast.

3. In § 73.591, paragraph (a) is amended to read as follows:

#### § 73.591 Rebroadcast.

(a) The term "rebroadcast" means reception by radio of the program of a radio station and the simultaneous or subsequent retransmission of such program by a broadcast station. The broadcasting of a program relayed by a remote pickup broadcast station or studio transmitter link is not considered a rebroadcast. In case a program is transmitted from its point of origin to a broadcast station entirely by common carrier facilities, whether by wire line or radio, the transmission of this program is not considered a rebroadcast.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13997; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:49 a.m.]



Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter VII—Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (Agricultural Adjustment), Department of Agriculture

SUBCHAPTER B—FARM MARKETING QUOTAS AND ACREAGE ALLOTMENTS

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—1970 Crop of Upland Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

STATE RESERVES, COUNTY ALLOTMENTS, AND COUNTY PROJECTED YIELDS

The provisions of §§ 722.482 to 722.484 are issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended, 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.) (referred to as the "act") with respect to the 1970 crop of upland cotton. The purpose of these provisions is to establish State reserves, allocate the State reserves to counties, allocate each State's share of the national reserve to counties, establish county allotments, and establish county projected yields. Determinations with respect to the State reserves and county allotments were made initially by the respective State committees and are hereby approved and made effective by the Administrator, ASCS, pursuant to delegated authority (29 F.R. 16210, 33 F.R. 542, 4275).

Notice that the Secretary was preparing to make determinations with respect to these matters was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 26, 1969 (34 F.R. 13662), in accordance with the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 553. No written submissions were received in response to such notice.

In order that farmers may be informed as soon as possible of 1970 farm allotments and projected yields so that they may make plans accordingly, it is essential that these provisions be made effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and §§ 722.482 to 722.484 shall be effective upon filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

§ 722.482 State reserves and county allotments for the 1970 crop of upland cotton.

(a) *State reserves.* Pursuant to the authority provided in § 722.407 of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1968 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton (33 F.R. 895), the 1970 State acreage reserves for Louisiana, Mississippi, and South Carolina have been approved by the Deputy Administrator, State and County Operations, to be in excess of 2 percent of the State allotment available for distribution to counties in the State. The State reserve for each State shall be established and allocated among uses as shown in the following table for the 1970 crop of upland cotton pursuant to § 722.-

407. The table also sets forth the allotment in the State productivity pool which shall not be allocated to counties and farms, as required under § 722.408(a) of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1968 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton (33 F.R. 895 and 17346, 34 F.R. 18089).

State	State productivity pool	Total State reserve	Allocations from State reserve for—			
			Trends	Small farms	Inequity and hardship cases	New farms and set-aside for errors
Acres						
Alabama.....	9,674	100				100
Arizona.....	1,280	10				10
Arkansas.....	19,089	237				237
California.....	3,332	50				50
Florida.....	351	200				200
Georgia.....	18,334	200				200
Illinois.....	91					
Kansas.....						
Kentucky.....	33	145			110	35
Louisiana.....	15,313	57,676	56,901		775	
Mississippi.....	14,123	80,620	80,559			61
Missouri.....	389	20				20
Nevada.....	11					
New Mexico.....	343	101			76	25
North Carolina.....	1,369	9,228	8,822			406
Oklahoma.....	4,639	14,793	14,388			405
South Carolina.....	4,525	70,145	70,045			100
Tennessee.....	2,738	11,241	11,181			60
Texas.....	112,709	10,000	9,000			1,000
Virginia.....	15	309		150	150	9
U.S. total.....	208,358	255,075	250,896	150	1,112	2,917

(b) *Explanation of allocations of State reserve among uses—* (1) *State reserve for minimum farms.* It is hereby determined that each State's share of the national reserve will meet the requirements for additional acreage for establishing minimum farm allotments under section 344(f)(1) of the act, and accordingly, the State committee is not required to establish a State reserve for minimum farm allotments.

(2) *State reserve for abnormal conditions.* It is hereby determined that no State reserve for abnormal conditions is required.

(3) *State reserve for trends.* It is hereby determined that State reserves in substantial amounts are required for trend adjustments in applicable counties of Louisiana, Mississippi, and South Carolina. Cotton producers in such counties generally plant the entire farm allotment each year, and the size of available farm allotments is the limiting factor on the acreage planted to cotton in such counties. Cotton producers in other areas of these States fail to fully utilize farm allotments because of a trend away from cotton production. It is also determined that State reserves in relatively small amounts are required for trend adjustments in applicable counties of North Carolina, Oklahoma, Tennessee, and Texas.

(4) *State reserve to correct inequities and prevent hardships.* It is hereby determined that State reserves are required to correct inequities in farm allotments and to prevent hardships on farms in applicable counties of Kentucky, Louisiana, New Mexico, and Virginia.

(5) *State reserve for new farms and set-aside.* It is hereby determined that State reserves for new farms shall be established only for Kentucky, North Carolina, and Oklahoma. Such reserve is included with the State reserve for set-aside for correction of errors.

(6) *State reserve for small farms.* It is hereby determined that a State reserve

for small farms is required for adjustment of farm allotments for small farms in applicable counties of Virginia.

(c) *County allotments.* County allotments are established for the 1970 crop of upland cotton in accordance with § 722.408 of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1968 and Succeeding Crops of Upland Cotton (33 F.R. 895 and 17346). Each county allotment consists of the sum of the computed county allotment, allocation from the State's share of the national reserve and allocation from the State reserve for trends. A table setting forth the county allotment, allocation from the State's share of the national reserve, and allocations from the State reserve is contained in § 722.484.

§ 722.483 County projected yields for the 1970 crop of upland cotton.

(a) *Method of determining county projected yields.* County projected yields for the 1970 crop of upland cotton were determined on the basis of the average yield per harvested acre in the counties during 1964, 1965, 1966, 1967, and 1968, adjusted for abnormal weather conditions affecting such yields, for trends in yields and for any significant changes in production practices, as provided under section 301(b)(13)(L) of the act.

(b) *Adjustments for abnormal weather conditions, trends, and significant changes in production practices.* The harvested yields for each county were adjusted for abnormal weather conditions, for trends in yields and for any significant changes in production practices as follows:

(1) A 5-year (1964-68) simple average yield was obtained for each county. For each annual yield in the 5-year period which was less than 80 percent of the 5-year average yield, a yield equal to 80 percent of such 5-year average was substituted. For each annual yield in the 5-year period which was more than 140 percent of the 5-year average yield, a

yield equal to 140 percent of such 5-year average was substituted. A simple average of the 5-year yields so adjusted was obtained for each county (referred to as the "weather-adjusted 5-year average").

(2) A 10-year (1959-68) simple average yield was obtained for each county. For each annual yield in the 10-year period which was less than 80 percent of the 10-year average yield, a yield equal to 80 percent of such 10-year average was substituted. For each annual yield in the 10-year period which was more than 140 percent of the 10-year average yield, a yield equal to 140 percent of such 10-year average was substituted. A simple average of the 10-year yields so adjusted was obtained for each county (referred to as the "weather-adjusted 10-year average").

(3) The larger of the weather-adjusted 5-year average or the weather-adjusted 10-year average was used as the weather-adjusted county average yield.

(4) In those counties in which the weather-adjusted 5-year average was higher than the weather-adjusted 10-year average, one-half of the difference between the weather-adjusted 5-year average and the weather-adjusted 10-year average was added to the weather-adjusted 5-year average as an adjustment for trends and significant changes in production practices (referred to as the "weather-trend adjusted yield"). In those counties in which the weather-adjusted 5-year average was less than the weather-adjusted 10-year average no trend adjustment was made and the weather-adjusted 10-year average was used as the weather-trend adjusted yield.

(c) Preliminary county projected yields. Preliminary county projected yields were determined as follows:

(1) A State weighted average of county weather-trend adjusted yields determined under paragraph (b) of this section was obtained by dividing (i) the sum of the products of the 1969 county allotments, including allocations from the State reserve for inequities and hardships, small farms, new farms, and set-aside, times the county weather-trend adjusted yields, under paragraph (b) (4), by (ii) the State total of 1969 county allotments, including allocations from the State reserve for inequities and hardships, small farms, new farms, and set-aside.

(2) A State yield factor was computed by dividing (i) the 1970 State projected yield determined under § 722.481 (34 F.R. 17101) minus a State poundage reserve of approximately 2 pounds minus an estimated amount required to prevent any 1970 county preliminary projected yield from dropping below 87 percent of the 1969 county projected yield by (ii) the State weighted average of county weather-trend adjusted yields determined under subparagraph (1) of this paragraph.

(3) The preliminary county projected yield was obtained by multiplying the county weather-trend adjusted yield by the State yield factor. No county preliminary projected yield was allowed to drop below 87 percent of the 1969 county pro-

jected yield set forth under § 722.471 (33 F.R. 17757 and 18925).

(d) County projected yields for 1970. The preliminary county projected yields for each State established under paragraph (c) of this section were reviewed by the State committee and on the basis of all available data, the State committee adjusted such preliminary county projected yields, utilizing to the extent necessary the reserve poundage resulting from subtracting (i) the sum of the products of the 1969 county allotments, including allocations from the State reserve for inequities and hardships, small farms, new farms, and set-aside, times the county preliminary projected yields, obtained in paragraph (c) of this section, from (ii) the sum of the products of the 1969 State allotment established under § 722.465 (33 F.R. 15405) less the 1969 allotment in the State productivity pool established under § 722.471 (33 F.R. 17757 and 18925) times the 1970 State projected yield established under § 722.-

481 (34 F.R. 17101). The State total of the products of the resultant recommended county projected yields times the 1969 county allotments, including allocations from the State reserve for inequities and hardships, small farms, new farms and set-aside, is within the State total of the 1969 State allotment less the 1969 allotment in the State productivity pool times the 1970 State projected yield. A table setting forth the county projected yields for 1970 is contained in § 722.484.

§ 722.484 County allotments, allocations to counties from the national and State reserves and county projected yields for the 1970 crop of upland cotton.

The following table sets forth the county allotment, allocation from the State's share of the national reserve, and allocations from the State reserve, as determined under § 722.482. It also sets forth the county projected yields, as determined under § 722.483.

STATE RESERVES, COUNTY ALLOTMENTS, AND COUNTY PROJECTED YIELDS FOR 1970 CROP OF UPLAND COTTON

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment (sum of columns (1), (2), and (3))	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Lbs./Acre
Autauga.....	9,830	166	0	9,996	0	692
Baldwin.....	2,880	66	0	2,946	0	429
Barbour.....	14,532	364	0	14,916	0	372
Bibb.....	3,939	83	0	4,052	0	568
Blount.....	17,228	172	0	17,400	0	414
Bullock.....	8,554	55	0	8,609	0	321
Butler.....	9,628	151	0	9,779	0	419
Calhoun.....	6,920	78	0	6,998	0	431
Chambers.....	9,494	150	0	9,644	0	434
Cherokee.....	22,645	784	0	23,429	0	606
Chilton.....	9,630	233	0	9,863	0	428
Choctaw.....	6,562	139	0	6,701	0	343
Clarke.....	6,091	15	0	6,106	0	326
Clay.....	1,630	0	0	1,630	0	362
Cleburne.....	1,833	0	0	1,833	0	339
Coffee.....	18,233	286	0	18,519	0	365
Colbert.....	22,210	318	0	22,528	0	562
Conecuh.....	12,639	254	0	12,893	0	373
Coosa.....	1,059	0	0	1,059	0	315
Covington.....	16,305	340	0	16,645	0	365
Crenshaw.....	10,078	164	0	10,242	0	406
Cullman.....	33,825	737	0	34,562	0	494
Dale.....	8,222	147	0	8,369	0	379
Dallas.....	28,900	549	0	27,440	0	481
De Kalb.....	32,218	1,272	0	33,490	0	508
Elmore.....	15,108	236	0	15,344	0	533
Escambia.....	10,668	220	0	10,888	0	562
Etowah.....	12,076	386	0	12,462	0	406
Fayette.....	9,017	183	0	9,200	0	496
Franklin.....	13,493	172	0	13,665	0	410
Geneva.....	20,515	554	0	21,069	0	384
Greene.....	13,287	345	0	13,632	0	447
Hale.....	15,463	292	0	15,755	0	481
Henry.....	16,018	347	0	16,365	0	392
Houston.....	26,906	663	0	27,569	0	397
Jackson.....	24,743	790	0	25,533	0	480
Jefferson.....	3,691	0	0	3,691	0	451
Lamar.....	10,908	173	0	11,081	0	411
Lauderdale.....	28,168	493	0	28,661	0	493
Lawrence.....	39,819	1,356	0	41,175	0	503
Lee.....	9,233	104	0	9,337	0	412
Limestone.....	64,206	1,630	0	65,836	0	659
Lowndes.....	10,497	151	0	10,648	0	474
Macon.....	16,534	413	0	16,952	0	434
Madison.....	60,917	1,504	0	62,421	0	554
Marengo.....	16,325	163	0	16,493	0	372
Marion.....	13,156	217	0	13,372	0	463
Marshall.....	30,078	910	0	30,988	0	610
Mobile.....	3,370	92	0	3,462	0	341
Monroe.....	18,064	419	0	18,483	0	499
Montgomery.....	10,819	69	0	10,888	0	374
Morgan.....	28,535	611	0	29,146	0	454
Perry.....	11,008	210	0	11,218	0	452
Pickens.....	15,115	223	0	15,338	0	441
Pike.....	15,460	224	0	15,674	0	351
Randolph.....	6,407	267	0	6,674	0	362
Russell.....	10,145	175	0	10,320	0	342
Saint Clair.....	3,930	0	0	3,930	0	416

RULES AND REGULATIONS

ARKANSAS—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment (sum of columns (1), (2), and (3))	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Lincoln	Acres 719	Acres 122	Acres 0	Acres 841	Acres 0	Lbs./Acre 652
Little River	34,968	0	0	34,968	0	458
Logan	1,389	0	0	1,389	0	434
Lonoke	49,829	147	0	49,976	0	497
Marion	28	0	0	28	0	283
Miller	9,354	0	0	9,354	0	452
Mississippi	191,922	730	0	191,792	0	536
Monroe	40,830	163	0	40,993	0	505
Nevada	814	66	0	880	0	364
Newton	35	0	0	35	0	341
Osage	2,433	0	0	2,433	0	315
Perry	86,836	467	0	87,303	0	627
Pike	86,242	0	0	86,242	0	400
Polk	89,350	72	0	89,422	0	463
Polksett	2,564	0	0	2,564	0	448
Pope	9,069	0	0	9,069	0	426
Prairie	17,819	0	0	17,819	0	464
Pulaski	9,749	0	0	9,749	0	473
Randolph	69,490	0	0	69,490	0	379
Saint Francis	55	18	0	73	0	207
Searcy	190	10	0	200	0	339
Sevier	143	10	0	153	0	293
Sharp	291	0	0	291	0	319
Stamps	1,000	0	0	1,000	0	387
Union	76	4	0	80	0	309
Van Buren	152	62	0	214	0	255
Washington	2	2	0	4	0	258
White	18,948	17	0	18,965	0	317
White	38,569	0	0	38,569	0	488
Woodruff	5,950	0	0	5,950	0	450
Yell	5,950	0	0	5,950	0	450

CALIFORNIA

Fresno	197,016	567	0	197,583	0	1,066
Imperial	50,526	92	0	50,618	0	1,536
Kern	187,896	89	0	187,985	0	1,122
Kings	103,387	144	0	103,531	0	1,041
Madera	48,309	117	0	48,426	0	895
Merced	28,515	44	0	28,559	0	1,424
Riverside	20,329	58	0	20,387	0	1,253
San Benito	16	0	0	16	0	841
San Bernardino	382	0	0	382	0	1,349
San Diego	55	0	0	55	0	1,742
Stanislaus	55	0	0	55	0	845
Tulare	145,173	414	0	145,587	0	845

FLORIDA

Alachua	88	0	0	88	0	282
Baker	3	0	0	3	0	275
Baldwin	4	0	0	4	0	266
Calhoun	423	0	0	423	0	314
Chay	0	0	0	0	0	377
Columbia	290	43	0	333	0	265
Dixie	16	34	0	50	0	296
Escambia	1,437	52	0	1,489	0	441
Gadsden	155	19	0	174	0	353
Hamilton	1,184	54	0	1,238	0	231

ALABAMA—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment (sum of columns (1), (2), and (3))	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Shelby	Acres 6,247	Acres 55	Acres 0	Acres 6,302	Acres 0	Lbs./Acre 370
Sumter	14,542	17	0	14,559	0	370
Tallapoosa	306	1,853	0	2,159	0	483
Tallahatchee	17,029	112	0	17,141	0	453
Tuscaloosa	16,492	205	0	16,697	0	502
Walker	7,375	0	0	7,375	0	385
Washington	2,514	76	0	2,590	0	427
Wilcox	11,580	153	0	11,733	0	373
Winston	7,328	141	0	7,469	0	408

ARIZONA

Cochise	14,978	24	0	15,002	0	843
Gila	41	0	0	41	0	782
Graham	9,314	75	0	9,389	0	824
Greenlee	1,907	43	0	1,950	0	740
Maricopa	132,703	196	0	132,899	0	1,068
Mohave	303	0	0	303	0	1,717
Yuma	22,896	0	0	22,896	0	882
Yavapai	135,991	32	0	135,991	0	1,130
Yavapai	14	0	0	14	0	690
Yuma	33,010	46	0	33,056	0	1,354

ARKANSAS

Arkansas	10,145	38	0	10,183	0	409
Ashley	27,640	49	0	27,689	0	714
Baxter	6	5	0	11	0	378
Bradley	4,975	0	0	4,975	0	303
Calhoun	2,527	0	0	2,527	0	639
Chicot	33,740	197	0	33,937	0	639
Clark	41,729	26	0	41,755	0	370
Cleburne	1,611	21	0	1,632	0	470
Cleveland	4,184	0	0	4,184	0	294
Columbia	5,937	0	0	5,937	0	347
Conway	86,002	14	0	86,016	0	314
Craighead	27	1	0	28	0	439
Crawford	102,155	408	0	102,563	0	445
Crittenden	39,074	89	0	39,163	0	533
Cross	1,955	181	0	2,136	0	478
Desha	45,147	37	0	45,184	0	377
Desha	10,746	0	0	10,746	0	592
Franklin	402	0	0	402	0	565
Fulton	402	0	0	402	0	368
Grant	132	0	0	132	0	409
Greene	40,162	217	0	40,379	0	335
Hempstead	3,623	0	0	3,623	0	276
Hot Spring	90	0	0	90	0	458
Howard	1,243	0	0	1,243	0	395
Independence	5,553	0	0	5,553	0	401
Izard	45,445	251	0	45,696	0	416
Jackson	74,718	280	0	74,998	0	440
Johnson	839	0	0	839	0	346
Lafayette	14,705	22	0	14,727	0	436
Lawrence	20,910	35	0	20,945	0	554
Lee	61,263	16	0	61,279	0	597

FLORIDA—continued

County	(1) Computed county allotment Acres	(2) Allocation from national reserve Acres	(3) Allocation from State reserve trends Acres	(4) County allotment (sum of (1), (2), and (3)) Acres	(5) Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hard- ship cases Acres	(6) County projected yield Lbs./Acre
Holmes.....	4,848	378	0	5,226	0	317
Jackson.....	7,711	748	0	8,459	0	313
Jefferson.....	1,415	128	0	1,543	0	261
Ladyette.....	247	2	0	249	0	251
Levy.....	218	33	0	251	0	264
Liberty.....	6	0	0	6	0	253
Madison.....	2,859	273	0	3,132	0	257
Okaloosa.....	1,351	27	0	1,378	0	358
Santa Rosa.....	6,292	280	0	6,572	0	506
Suwannee.....	723	127	0	850	0	215
Taylor.....	27	9	0	36	0	240
Union.....	22	13	0	35	0	288
Watson.....	2,289	98	0	2,387	0	308
Washington.....	866	79	0	945	0	254

GEORGIA

Appling.....	4,429	33	0	4,462	0	327
Atkinson.....	1,000	11	0	1,011	0	319
Bacon.....	2,309	33	0	2,342	0	322
Baker.....	3,280	47	0	3,327	0	308
Baldwin.....	2,401	4	0	2,445	0	344
Banks.....	5,463	7	0	5,470	0	335
Barrow.....	17,817	538	0	18,355	0	503
Ben Hill.....	5,238	42	0	5,280	0	491
Berrien.....	3,618	87	0	3,705	0	380
Bibb.....	7,519	156	0	7,675	0	480
Blackley.....	44	1	0	45	0	603
Brantley.....	9,004	300	0	9,304	0	248
Brooks.....	2,022	1	0	2,023	0	349
Bryan.....	14,748	533	1	15,281	0	265
Butts.....	36,173	240	0	36,413	0	518
Candler.....	5,725	96	0	5,821	0	382
Candler.....	6,714	243	0	6,957	0	390
Carroll.....	6,415	177	0	6,592	0	560
Catoosa.....	6,649	24	0	6,673	0	443
Chariton.....	13	0	0	13	0	356
Charlton.....	37	0	0	37	0	353
Chatahoochee.....	60	2	0	62	0	353
Chattooga.....	4,582	73	0	4,655	0	253
Cherokee.....	1,889	30	0	1,919	0	310
Clarke.....	3,697	53	0	3,750	0	201
Clayton.....	284	17	0	301	0	387
Clayton.....	135	2	0	137	0	6,093
Cobb.....	198	0	0	198	0	12,719
Coffee.....	7,107	240	0	7,407	0	880
Colquitt.....	22,581	606	0	23,187	0	3,890
Columbia.....	1,598	0	0	1,598	0	1,492
Cook.....	3,964	120	0	4,084	0	302
Coweta.....	5,851	26	0	5,877	0	5,963
Crawford.....	1,697	64	0	1,761	0	2,743
Crisp.....	10,305	260	0	10,565	0	7,407
Davson.....	145	3	0	148	0	32
Decatur.....	4,069	0	0	4,069	0	6,073
De Kalb.....	50	12	0	62	0	104
Dodge.....	13,727	315	0	14,042	0	9,987
Dooley.....	27,369	728	0	28,097	0	858
Dougherty.....	2,063	0	0	2,063	0	2,330
Douglas.....	72	0	0	72	0	1,948
Early.....	13,964	107	0	14,071	0	3,725
Early.....	60	0	0	60	0	16,901
Effingham.....	1,561	37	0	1,598	0	4,888
Elbert.....	8,733	316	0	9,049	0	308
Emanuel.....	16,983	313	0	17,296	0	321
Evans.....	2,640	91	0	2,731	0	385
Fayette.....	1,059	357	0	1,416	0	416
Forsyth.....	7,751	36	0	7,787	0	849
Franklin.....	7,400	213	0	7,613	0	415
Fulton.....	638	11	0	649	0	343
Glascock.....	4,902	104	0	5,006	0	377
Gordon.....	9,730	336	0	10,066	0	459
Grady.....	3,680	91	0	3,771	0	341
Greene.....	2,381	18	0	2,399	0	274
Gwinnett.....	2,192	38	0	2,230	0	363
Hall.....	1,45	6	0	1,51	0	281
Hancock.....	8,376	187	0	8,563	0	311
Harrison.....	8,739	7	0	8,746	0	311
Harts.....	1,506	0	0	1,506	0	294
Hart.....	11,606	336	0	11,942	0	373
Heard.....	926	27	0	953	0	454
Henry.....	8,302	151	0	8,453	0	378
Houston.....	5,913	0	0	5,913	0	378
Irwin.....	10,374	138	0	10,512	0	468
Jackson.....	5,984	101	0	6,085	0	440
Jasper.....	2,395	324	0	2,719	0	337
Jeff Davis.....	2,354	0	0	2,354	0	337
Jenkins.....	19,784	611	0	20,395	0	493
Jenkins.....	12,244	149	0	12,393	0	445
Johnson.....	15,925	251	0	16,176	0	422
Jones.....	55	0	0	55	0	337
Lamar.....	2,356	57	0	2,413	0	301
Lanier.....	689	25	0	714	0	328
Laurens.....	29,649	363	0	30,012	0	450
Lee.....	3,799	77	0	3,876	0	297
Liberty.....	1,744	2	0	1,746	0	244
Lincoln.....	382	38	0	420	0	271
Long.....	572	43	0	615	0	293
Lumpkin.....	3,331	43	0	3,374	0	365
Lumpkin.....	43	0	0	43	0	365
McDuffie.....	6,052	169	0	6,221	0	345
Macon.....	12,251	287	0	12,538	0	542
Madison.....	10,020	225	0	10,245	0	356
Madison.....	3,829	64	0	3,893	0	436
Marion.....	11,544	29	0	11,573	0	407
Marion.....	6,093	214	0	6,307	0	396
Meriwether.....	12,719	98	0	12,817	0	271
Mitchell.....	3,890	8	0	3,898	0	337
Monroe.....	3,890	158	0	4,048	0	367
Morgan.....	14,492	158	0	14,650	0	387
Murray.....	2,743	0	0	2,743	0	243
Newton.....	5,963	115	0	6,078	0	356
Oconee.....	8,014	230	0	8,244	0	458
Oglethorpe.....	7,266	257	0	7,523	0	353
Paulding.....	781	70	0	851	0	278
Peach.....	2,394	32	0	2,426	0	277
Pickens.....	19	0	0	19	0	305
Pierce.....	2,119	82	0	2,201	0	436
Polk.....	6,073	37	0	6,110	0	387
Polk.....	6,073	104	0	6,177	0	387
Pulaski.....	9,987	161	0	10,148	0	556
Putnam.....	838	4	0	842	0	364
Quitman.....	1,226	8	0	1,234	0	481
Randolph.....	6,303	202	0	6,505	0	362
Richmond.....	2,330	34	0	2,364	0	454
Rockdale.....	1,948	0	0	1,948	0	418
Schley.....	3,725	57	0	3,782	0	469
Screven.....	16,901	231	0	17,132	0	487
Seminole.....	4,854	34	0	4,888	0	387

RULES AND REGULATIONS

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequality and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Spaulding.....	2,353	30	0	2,383	0	383
Spalding.....	554	23	0	577	0	554
Spicer.....	3,457	4	0	3,461	0	535
Sumter.....	11,349	158	0	11,507	0	806
Talbot.....	1,349	0	0	1,349	0	284
Talbot.....	756	9	0	765	0	218
Talbot.....	5,250	193	0	5,443	0	377
Talbot.....	7,654	110	0	7,764	0	572
Talbot.....	4,802	87	0	4,889	0	315
Talbot.....	13,860	290	0	14,150	0	602
Terrell.....	6,132	58	0	6,190	0	418
Tift.....	6,852	278	0	7,130	0	406
Tift.....	7,673	283	0	7,956	0	406
Tift.....	3,273	32	0	3,305	0	331
Turner.....	5,295	140	0	5,435	0	535
Turner.....	3,257	147	0	3,404	0	545
Upson.....	1,035	8	0	1,043	0	298
Walker.....	19,401	290	0	19,691	0	440
Ware.....	823	9	0	832	0	310
Warren.....	10,967	227	0	11,194	0	384
Washington.....	17,965	192	0	18,157	0	515
Wayne.....	2,145	16	0	2,161	0	330
Wayne.....	1,784	17	0	1,801	0	391
Webster.....	3,324	124	0	3,448	0	313
Webster.....	5,324	16	0	5,340	0	313
Wilcox.....	672	29	0	701	0	403
Wilcox.....	11,608	159	0	11,767	0	469
Wilkes.....	3,240	69	0	3,309	0	315
Wilkinson.....	2,491	91	0	2,582	0	311
Worth.....	20,053	310	0	20,363	0	598

ILLINOIS

Alexander.....	1,601	6	0	1,607	0	462
Massac.....	3	0	0	3	0	307
Pulaski.....	1,161	10	0	1,171	0	395

KANSAS

Montgomery.....	13	2	0	15	0	216
-----------------	----	---	---	----	---	-----

KENTUCKY

Ballard.....	5	4	0	9	0	381
Callaway.....	55	2	0	57	0	422
Carlisle.....	47	7	0	54	0	411
Fulton.....	5,986	132	0	6,118	100	690
Graves.....	144	0	0	144	0	391
Hickman.....	839	35	0	874	10	472
McCracken.....	5	5	0	10	0	446
Marshall.....	7	5	0	12	0	361

Parish	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequality and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Acadia.....	9,845	269	0	10,114	73	452
Allen.....	491	0	0	491	0	339
Allen.....	357	0	0	357	0	333
Assumption.....	20,900	800	0	21,700	0	543
Beauregard.....	187	0	0	187	0	313
Bienville.....	970	12	0	982	34	310
Bossier.....	17,469	122	0	17,591	0	532
Caddo.....	31,334	4,041	0	35,375	0	638
Caldwell.....	7,934	78	0	8,012	0	736
Caldwell.....	11,722	283	0	12,005	0	645
Catahoula.....	1,744	29	0	1,773	46	239
Concordia.....	9,205	129	0	9,334	0	698
De Soto.....	6,868	16	0	6,884	0	395
De Soto.....	272	0	0	272	0	378
East Baton Rouge.....	32,315	0	0	32,315	0	778
East Feliciana.....	15	15	0	30	0	357
Evangeline.....	14,118	492	0	14,610	0	510
Franklin.....	56,116	492	0	56,608	0	614
Franklin.....	4,362	24	0	4,386	0	670
Grant.....	310	3	0	313	0	280
Iberia.....	3	3	0	6	0	240
Iberia.....	832	5	0	837	8	245
Jackson.....	477	1	0	478	7	365
Jefferson Davis.....	89	187	0	276	0	435
Lafayette.....	10,856	15	0	10,871	0	393
La Salle.....	459	12	0	471	0	337
La Salle.....	610	0	0	610	1	374
Livestock.....	0	0	0	0	0	0
Louisiana.....	21,605	118	0	21,723	0	704
Morehouse.....	35,600	231	0	35,831	0	750
Natchitoches.....	20,844	182	0	21,026	0	610
Orleans.....	14,572	75	0	14,647	0	728
Pointe Coupee.....	7,607	151	0	7,758	319	492
Rapides.....	19,182	99	0	19,281	0	707
Red River.....	9,918	97	0	10,015	0	529
Richland.....	49,571	464	0	50,035	0	607
Sabine.....	291	1	0	292	68	330
Saint Helena.....	1,394	1	0	1,395	0	529
Saint Landry.....	31,182	1,071	0	32,253	0	529
Saint Martin.....	6,182	88	0	6,270	0	441
Saint Tammany.....	59	0	0	59	2	237
Tangipahoa.....	156	3	0	159	25	230
Tensas.....	21,037	154	0	21,191	0	791
Union.....	1,836	40	0	1,876	0	345
Vernon.....	3,656	0	0	3,656	0	365
Vernon.....	227	3	0	230	37	309
Washington.....	4,299	0	0	4,299	0	354
Washington.....	979	5	0	984	111	349
Webster.....	848	14	0	862	0	444
West Carroll.....	27,770	745	0	28,515	0	564
West Feliciana.....	770	0	0	770	29	364
Winn.....	471	2	0	473	0	244

MISSISSIPPI—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Stunflower	124,985	388	6,939	131,422	0	806
Salahatchie	59,880	296	3,416	63,592	0	825
Tallahatchie	24,334	1,367	1,367	25,829	0	665
Tupelo	4,411	494	1,035	1,940	0	472
Tishomingo	4,033	462	2,677	7,172	0	691
Tunica	48,288	78	2,045	50,411	0	537
Union	17,193	104	2,045	19,342	0	703
Walthall	10,421	0	412	10,833	0	947
Warren	5,967	0	648	6,615	0	747
Washington	84,338	295	4,648	89,281	0	436
Wayne	5,310	352	522	5,984	0	649
Webster	8,297	352	177	8,826	0	392
Wilkinson	10,729	0	177	10,906	0	648
Winston	12,742	298	793	13,833	0	689
Yalobusha	12,742	298	793	13,833	0	689
Yazoo	43,699	198	2,461	46,358	0	899

MISSOURI

Bollinger	139	1	0	140	0	369
Butler	19,377	37.2	0	19,749	0	477
Cape Girardeau	113	0	0	113	0	469
Carroll	83,799	245	0	84,045	0	522
Dowell	879	0	0	879	0	369
Haskell	28,089	57	0	28,096	0	638
Mississippi	90,778	209	0	90,987	0	531
New Madrid	0	0	0	0	0	331
Oregon	96,590	155	0	96,745	0	552
Pemiscot	84	0	0	84	0	410
Ripley	2,287	55	0	2,342	0	460
Scott	16,986	150	0	17,136	0	530
Stoddard	41,262	4	0	41,266	0	394
Vernon	4	0	0	4	0	354
Wayne	10	0	0	10	0	373

NEVADA

Clark	36	27	0	63	0	597
Nye	2,643	973	0	3,616	0	842

NEW MEXICO

Chaves	32,341	59	0	32,400	0	757
Curry	1,592	0	0	1,592	8	440
De Baca	0	0	0	0	15	587
Dona Ana	256	0	0	256	0	777
Eddy	28,302	18	0	28,320	0	735
Grant	116	0	0	116	2	702
Harding	5	0	0	5	5	434
Hidalgo	6,622	0	0	6,622	23	809
Lea	27,983	0	0	27,983	0	494
Luna	14,358	0	0	14,358	0	672
Otero	2,966	0	0	2,966	0	514
Quincy	10,988	52	0	11,040	0	507
Roosevelt	2,677	0	0	2,677	23	731
Sierra	2,043	43	0	2,086	0	563
Socorro	2,043	43	0	2,086	0	563

MISSISSIPPI

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Adams	2,076	0	69	2,145	0	617
Acorn	13,289	420	827	14,536	0	540
Amite	3,157	0	115	3,272	0	540
Attala	12,631	222	1,115	13,968	0	377
Baldwin	11,974	275	633	12,882	0	629
Bolivar	129,324	448	6,033	130,805	0	538
Calhoun	14,661	338	1,277	16,276	0	700
Carroll	10,137	1,017	1,817	12,971	0	687
Cattibogue	14,161	370	817	15,348	0	408
Chickasaw	3,467	63	3,598	7,128	0	514
Choctaw	4,242	52	4,420	8,714	0	599
Cibalorne	3,933	0	3,933	7,866	0	400
Clarke	0	0	0	0	0	540
Clay	7,516	580	8,250	16,346	0	460
Coahoma	86,988	242	5,004	92,234	0	826
Copiah	7,142	0	7,142	14,284	0	541
Covington	7,773	229	8,101	16,103	0	421
De Soto	32,742	373	1,829	34,944	0	362
Forrest	640	0	57	697	0	421
Franklin	238	0	28	266	0	322
Greene	987	0	35	1,022	0	363
Grenada	11,458	143	647	12,248	0	699
Hancock	0	0	0	0	0	310
Hinds	22,105	215	630	22,950	0	540
Holmes	34,324	464	3,371	38,159	0	839
Humphreys	49,092	82	2,679	51,853	0	879
Issaquena	11,342	88	643	12,073	0	868
Jackson	10,177	296	57	10,530	0	363
Jasper	5,710	0	14	5,724	0	303
Jefferson	3,518	0	70	3,588	0	406
Jefferson Davis	13,181	496	469	14,146	0	384
Jones	6,945	0	7,053	14,000	0	406
Kemper	8,893	290	384	9,567	0	488
Kemper	8,893	290	384	9,567	0	488
Lafayette	15,901	208	874	16,983	0	480
Lamar	1,039	53	112	1,204	0	528
Lauderdale	2,976	0	163	3,079	0	393
Lawrence	0,239	31	16,380	16,750	0	391
Leake	14,677	462	1,480	16,619	0	440
LeFlore	24,629	229	4,162	29,020	0	810
Lincoln	3,357	0	165	3,522	0	369
Lowndes	15,303	253	908	16,464	0	485
Louisiana	32,291	217	1,760	34,268	0	698
Madison	8,231	56	8,387	16,774	0	353
Marion	34,684	456	1,920	37,060	0	591
Marshall	27,734	493	1,598	29,825	0	539
Monroe	7,348	211	1,383	8,942	0	688
Montgomery	12,208	0	217	12,425	0	400
Neshoba	15,161	0	1,480	16,641	0	406
Newton	15,466	324	736	16,526	0	380
Okfuskeena	3,747	78	20	3,845	0	657
Oktibbeha	39,698	381	2,234	42,313	0	310
Panola	41	0	0	41	0	335
Pearl River	1,592	21	34	1,647	0	329
Perry	2,646	0	88	2,734	0	544
Pike	19,240	799	1,157	21,196	0	524
Pontotoc	16,763	612	899	18,274	0	769
Prentiss	59,642	239	3,399	63,280	0	584
Quitman	7,628	9	385	8,022	0	397
Randolph	27,925	44	1,690	29,659	0	303
Scott	10,374	0	1,218	11,592	0	368
Sharkey	8,641	32	390	9,063	0	386

RULES AND REGULATIONS

NORTH CAROLINA—Continued

NORTH CAROLINA

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of items (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1) Acres	(2) Acres	(3) Acres	(4) Acres	(5) Acres	(6) Lbs./Acre
Alamance	20					
Alexander	440					
Anson	12,462	16		16		300
Beaufort	1,686	423		1,263		411
Bertie	7,677	36		7,641		353
Bladen	3,465	287	77	3,829		341
Brunswick	242	118		360		289
Burke	8	3		11		286
Cabarrus	3,350	116		3,466		291
Caldwell	11	3		14		329
Carteret	280	10		290		370
Catawba	39	2		41		284
Chatham	1,161	41		1,202		304
Chowan	338	12		350		289
Cleveland	2,764	113	121	2,998		366
Columbus	30,687	1,068		31,755		400
Craven	2,698	330		3,028		288
Cumberland	12,875	14		12,889		270
Currituck	735	471	128	1,334		329
Davidson	1,404	35		1,439		353
Davie	3,256	113		3,369		327
Durham	11,2	4		11,6		308
Edgecombe	14,265	564	402	15,231		292
Forsyth	3,459	429	660	4,548		289
Franklin	2,577	89		2,666		363
Gaston	2,205	80		2,285		281
Gates	4,077	14		4,091		298
Granville	50			50		378
Greene	28,470	1,138		29,608		284
Hart	14,198	498		14,696		283
Hatteras	5,611	257	430	6,307		377
Heard	15,682	573	62	16,317		342
Hyde	7,182	6		7,188		371
Jones	20,529	766	157	21,452		305
Lee	1,035	9		1,044		344
Lenoir	2,110	35		2,145		313
Lincoln	6,514	22		6,536		316
Martin	3,174	125		3,300		372
Mecklenburg	5,201	180		5,381		336
Montgomery	8,164	155	389	8,708		344
Moore	2,864	102		2,966		338
Nash	15,675	586	171	16,432		329
Northampton	24,283	887	2,051	27,221		331
Onslow	1,335	9		1,344		391
Orange	182	2		184		283
Pamlico	207	7		214		278
Pasquotank	281	30		311		188
Pender	1,121	30		1,151		214
Perquimans	7,386	2		7,388		331
Person	1,211	42		1,253		334
Pitt	24	1		25		351
Folk	6,269	218		6,487		356
Randolph	52,481	1,995	872	55,348		296
Richmond	172	281		453		364
Robeson	26,513	281	245	27,039		360
Rowan	18,623	893	1,078	20,594		290
Rutherford	227	8		235		362
Sampson	13,572	463		14,035		354
Scotland	4,040	196	405	4,641		354
Stanly						350
Swain						327
Taylorsville						324
Union						457
Vance						348

OKLAHOMA

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of items (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1) Acres	(2) Acres	(3) Acres	(4) Acres	(5) Acres	(6) Lbs./Acre
Adair	25			25		300
Adelphi	1,741	23		1,764		1,838
Beaver	63,480	193		63,673		207
Beckham	12,541	342		12,883		261
Bryan	17,046	438		17,484		214
Caddo	48,782	985		49,767		243
Canadian	13,216	230		13,446		287
Carter	1,661	0		1,661		288
Cherokee	1,408	0		1,408		229
Choctaw	1,421	0		1,421		230
Cherokee	1,421	0		1,421		274
Cleveland	1,521	0		1,521		304
Cotton	3,411	29		3,440		263
Cotton	12,269	316		12,585		168
Craig	18,968	234		19,202		173
Creek	67	0		67		243
Custer	1,900	0		1,900		190
Dewey	24,439	320		24,759		289
Ellis	8,117	130		8,247		330
Garfield	13	30		43		322
Garvin	5,782	156		5,938		356
Grant	17,737	41		17,778		230
Gravett	41,865	120		41,985		330
Harmon	46,142	79		46,221		249
Haskell	1,832	78		1,910		206
Haskell	3,384	0		3,384		457
Jefferson	17,903	143		18,046		251
Johnston	3,733	150		3,883		370
Kay	87	64		151		263
Kingfisher	1,074	0		1,074		209
Kiowa	57,222	489		57,711		198
LeFlore	2,190	0		2,190		213
Lincoln	2,887	0		2,887		174
Love	2,834	98		2,932		290
McCain	8,851	197		9,048		244
McClain	100	76		176		280
McIntosh	6,748	46		6,794		209
Major	1,656	222		1,878		309
Marshall	4,592	79		4,671		443
Mayes	310	5		315		237
Murray	18,290	381		18,671		236
Noble	490	0		490		335
Nowata	4,173	127		4,300		306
Okfuskee	4,479	0		4,479		255
Oklahoma	0,363	289		652		218
Oklmulgee	2,659	0		2,659		289
Osage	5,337	93		5,430		231
Pawnee						353

RULES AND REGULATIONS

TENNESSEE

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from State reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1) Acres	(2) Acres	(3) Acres	(4) Acres	(5) Acres	(6) Lbs./Acre
Bedford.....	740	0	0	740	0	460
Benton.....	2,277	0	0	2,277	0	460
Bradley.....	125	0	29	154	0	320
Cannon.....	0	554	2	556	0	441
Cherokee.....	19,667	0	294	19,961	0	556
Crockett.....	11,151	0	0	11,151	0	389
Dyer.....	33,536	1,156	25	34,717	0	523
Fayette.....	32,663	0	766	33,429	0	390
Franklin.....	43,998	992	661	45,651	0	500
Gibson.....	4,492	1,340	900	6,732	0	565
Giles.....	46,177	1,352	0	47,529	0	549
Grundy.....	7,135	0	142	7,277	0	500
Hamilton.....	68	0	8	76	0	436
Hardman.....	20,908	463	5	21,376	0	331
Haywood.....	43,229	1,016	4	44,249	0	550
Henderson.....	16,863	323	166	17,352	0	487
Henry.....	4,438	0	0	4,438	0	579
Humphreys.....	0	0	0	0	0	517
Lake.....	21,740	554	620	22,914	0	344
Lauderdale.....	35,879	1,114	1,020	37,013	0	500
Lawrence.....	18,114	114	0	18,228	0	500
Lebanon.....	83	0	0	83	0	470
London.....	11,532	0	82	11,614	0	363
McMinn.....	4	0	0	4	0	476
McNairy.....	95	0	13	108	0	413
Madison.....	18,728	370	109	19,207	0	301
Marion.....	33,104	996	592	34,692	0	510
Marshall.....	67	0	23	90	0	306
Mary.....	466	0	0	466	0	385
Meigs.....	34,87	0	0	34,87	0	306
Moore.....	182	0	0	182	0	385
Obion.....	41	0	0	41	0	323
Perry.....	9,312	176	122	9,610	0	423
Rhea.....	109	0	0	109	0	569
Rhodes.....	264	0	25	289	0	374
Robertson.....	3,770	0	0	3,770	0	305
Rutherford.....	30,120	243	0	30,363	0	442
Shelby.....	47,317	1,077	1,372	49,766	0	492
Tipton.....	10	0	0	10	0	371
Warren.....	3,770	0	0	3,770	0	420
Wayne.....	47,317	1,077	1,372	49,766	0	442
Wilkey.....	2,540	5	0	2,545	0	573
Williamson.....	9,800	0	0	9,800	0	483
Wilson.....	52	0	5	57	0	492

TEXAS

Andrews.....	2,729	330	0	3,059	0	310
Angelina.....	5,119	0	24	5,143	0	434
Aransas.....	1,484	53	0	1,537	0	273
Archives.....	1,262	0	0	1,262	0	271
Armstrong.....	2,089	0	0	2,089	0	171
Atascosa.....	4,694	53	0	4,747	0	269
Bailey.....	19,595	0	0	19,595	0	316
Bastrop.....	102,604	213	63	102,880	0	222
Baylor.....	11,568	0	23	11,591	0	246
Bell.....	18,713	208	0	18,921	0	265
Brewster.....	14,878	183	0	15,061	0	183
Brewster.....	70,956	89	0	71,045	0	212
Brewster.....	3,928	0	0	3,928	0	183
Brewster.....	67	11	0	78	0	143

OKLAHOMA - Continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from State reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1) Acres	(2) Acres	(3) Acres	(4) Acres	(5) Acres	(6) Lbs./Acre
Payne.....	2,166	66	64	2,296	0	360
Pottawatomie.....	2,861	0	37	2,898	0	354
Pottawatomie.....	1,040	0	10	1,050	0	324
Pottawatomie.....	414	0	0	414	0	229
Rogers.....	21,952	294	8	22,254	0	175
Sequoyia.....	1,310	0	515	1,825	0	214
Stephens.....	1,158	0	10	1,168	0	271
Texas.....	6,046	16	39	6,081	0	215
Tillman.....	72,435	102	100	72,637	0	472
Tulsa.....	8,187	212	1,380	9,779	0	386
Wagoner.....	8,187	0	34	8,221	0	282
Washita.....	75,118	456	1,418	76,992	0	327
Woodward.....	672	14	44	730	0	226

SOUTH CAROLINA

Abbeville.....	6,098	964	0	7,062	0	415
Aiken.....	17,888	411	1,807	19,106	0	451
Anderson.....	9,621	123	1,248	10,992	0	419
Barnwell.....	20,882	654	1,587	23,123	0	467
Beaufort.....	13,141	127	1,936	15,204	0	471
Calhoun.....	96	12	1,862	2,000	0	275
Charleston.....	14,873	136	0	15,009	0	462
Cherokee.....	9,469	0	6,836	16,305	0	288
Chester.....	8,287	241	2,115	10,643	0	342
Chesterfield.....	26,140	231	869	27,240	0	640
Clarendon.....	33,430	472	3,025	36,927	0	272
Colleton.....	7,251	229	5,006	12,486	0	377
Darlington.....	29,340	360	0	29,700	0	388
Dillon.....	21,891	371	3,430	25,692	0	466
Dorchester.....	7,671	271	3,463	11,405	0	342
Edgefield.....	8,718	207	8,412	17,337	0	382
Florence.....	3,201	295	862	4,358	0	499
Georgetown.....	2,654	608	3,352	6,614	0	430
Greenville.....	10,201	22	0	10,223	0	521
Hampton.....	3,800	565	0	4,365	0	287
Horry.....	6,311	107	1,153	7,571	0	401
Jasper.....	6,311	57	0	6,368	0	224
Kenshaw.....	15,577	224	0	15,801	0	302
Lancaster.....	6,104	436	1,973	8,513	0	340
Lee.....	13,455	308	0	13,763	0	254
Lexington.....	34,955	134	1,963	36,052	0	366
McCormick.....	2,522	180	0	2,702	0	333
Marion.....	11,027	304	1,414	12,745	0	418
Marlboro.....	38,052	152	0	38,204	0	586
Mecklenburg.....	5,810	466	2,884	9,160	0	456
Newberry.....	55,029	283	291	55,603	0	420
Orangeburg.....	2,847	859	6,765	10,471	0	375
Pickens.....	4,985	294	0	5,279	0	343
Richland.....	16,779	842	0	17,621	0	388
Saluda.....	3,957	144	0	4,101	0	536
Spaulding.....	32,283	233	5,911	38,427	0	359
Union.....	12,429	0	1,412	13,841	0	335
York.....	0	0	0	0	0	318



RULES AND REGULATIONS

18811

TEXAS—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Acres	Lbs./Acre
Borden	10,938	0	37	10,975	0	435
Bosque	11,067	0	0	11,067	0	163
Bowie	11,098	443	0	11,541	0	467
Brazoria	8,727	0	0	8,727	0	553
Brazos	19,892	0	45	19,937	0	541
Brewster	69	0	0	69	0	499
Brewster	27,422	0	19	27,441	0	435
Brooks	3,224	18	0	3,242	0	333
Brown	3,296	218	0	3,514	0	144
Brown	28,201	348	0	28,549	0	488
Burnet	3,156	129	0	3,285	0	152
Burnet	20,395	0	0	20,395	0	261
Calhoun	18,054	0	0	18,054	0	443
Callahan	329	0	0	329	0	157
Callahan	7,807	4,618	0	12,425	0	515
Cameron	164,397	0	0	164,397	0	215
Camp	213	724	35	972	0	305
Cass	2,837	179	120	3,136	0	284
Castro	55,963	80	0	56,043	0	284
Cherokee	224	74	0	298	0	284
Childress	55,445	0	0	55,445	0	363
Childress	10,213	0	0	10,213	0	239
Cochran	78,788	0	0	78,788	0	134
Coke	6,776	41	0	6,817	0	171
Coleman	32,659	0	0	32,659	0	273
Collin	84,846	0	0	84,846	0	289
Collingsworth	67,527	0	0	67,527	0	386
Colorado	10,111	0	0	10,111	0	204
Comal	149	17	0	166	0	352
Comanche	7,317	202	36	7,519	0	282
Concho	25,286	2	0	25,288	0	283
Cooke	19,323	20	0	19,343	0	296
Cottle	55,372	0	0	55,372	0	373
Crockett	62	1	0	63	0	572
Crosby	127,276	0	96	127,372	0	462
Culberson	5,355	61	0	5,416	0	400
Dallas	34,998	0	0	34,998	0	216
Dawson	213,685	0	478	214,163	0	316
Deaf Smith	9,398	0	24	9,422	0	362
Delta	38,385	0	0	38,385	0	372
Denton	22,185	0	72	22,257	0	286
De Witt	17,286	0	0	17,286	0	216
Dickens	51,766	22	77	51,865	0	316
Dimit	30,337	8	0	30,345	0	392
Duval	11,862	560	35	12,457	0	97
Eastland	2,756	114	0	2,870	0	141
Ector	213	2	0	215	0	372
Ellis	132,397	0	0	132,397	0	305
El Paso	25,694	626	0	26,320	0	854
Erath	6,367	190	0	6,557	0	102
Falls	78,500	0	0	78,500	0	267
Fannin	70,806	0	0	70,806	0	200
Fayette	82,832	0	0	82,832	0	250
Floyd	101,924	0	79	102,003	0	435
Foard	13,032	66	0	13,098	0	594
Fort Bend	66,714	1,102	391	68,207	0	288
Franklin	1,537	61	24	1,622	0	447
Freestone	5,626	1,300	0	6,926	0	198
Frio	109,896	61	12	110,069	0	565
Gaines	40,754	0	2,461	43,215	0	521
Garza	1,247	0	0	1,247	0	407
Gillespie	14,426	0	210	14,636	0	721
Glasscock	1,515	315	0	1,830	0	192
Goliad	1,515	315	0	1,830	0	184
Gray	3,775	74	0	3,849	0	318
Grayson	34,986	0	0	34,986	0	232
Gregg	15,455	24	0	15,479	0	196
Grimes	15,184	0	0	15,184	0	271
Guadalupe	19,852	0	0	19,852	0	558
Hale	168,325	0	95	168,420	0	369
Hall	97,962	0	0	97,962	0	167
Hamilton	10,616	269	15	10,900	0	267
Hartman	34,087	0	0	34,087	0	291
Harris	3,205	0	0	3,205	0	317
Harrison	2,121	17	0	2,138	0	292
Hartley	122,148	72	0	122,220	0	251
Haskell	1,737	68	0	1,805	0	385
Hays	1,719	18	0	1,737	0	251
Hemphill	193,942	304	0	194,246	0	194
Henderson	2,635	2	0	2,637	0	498
Hidalgo	192,692	0	98	192,790	0	301
Hill	2,765	38	0	2,803	0	522
Hockley	600	0	0	600	0	194
Hood	23,476	0	0	23,476	0	313
Houston	76,084	0	0	76,084	0	437
Howard	17,215	4	30	17,249	0	629
Hudspeth	92,318	0	1	92,319	0	241
Hunt	640	13	0	653	0	177
Hutchinson	2,125	43	0	2,168	0	188
Iron	15,144	45	0	15,189	0	412
Jackson	363	0	0	363	0	331
Jasper	0	0	0	0	0	686
Jeff Davis	272	78	0	350	0	221
Jefferson	23,097	0	0	23,097	0	101
Jim Hogg	36,502	0	0	36,502	0	302
Jim Wells	110,298	0	0	110,298	0	275
Jones	29,913	0	0	29,913	0	254
Karnes	58,100	0	0	58,100	0	166
Kaufman	20,430	0	0	20,430	0	243
Kendall	13	13	0	26	0	270
Kent	10,619	16	0	10,635	0	266
Kerr	208	17	0	225	0	148
Kinney	8,937	2	28	9,067	0	281
Kleberg	59,743	0	0	59,743	0	386
Knox	57,668	0	0	57,668	0	596
Lamar	198,913	76	120	199,109	0	449
Lamb	1,579	176	0	1,755	0	108
Lampasas	2,333	31	0	2,364	0	178
La Salle	9,744	0	0	9,744	0	199
Lee	10,842	0	0	10,842	0	177
LeFlore	2,653	0	0	2,653	0	435
Lipscomb	51,380	200	14	51,622	0	152
Limestone	19,364	3	42	19,367	0	210
Live Oak	19,381	0	0	19,381	0	229
Llano	211	79	0	290	0	57
Loving	435	0	0	435	0	547
Lubbock	252,372	180	249	252,801	0	517
Lynn	192,270	772	0	193,042	0	456
McCulloch	17,125	0	0	17,125	0	177
McLean	87,997	0	0	87,997	0	222
McMullen	2,858	0	0	2,858	0	171
Madison	2,680	102	0	2,782	0	433
Magnolia	96,375	5	242	96,622	0	544
Martin	1,856	61	0	1,917	0	282
Mason	18,476	220	35	18,731	0	446
Matagorda	4,861	56	0	4,917	0	469
Maverick	1,706	0	0	1,706	0	464
Medina	0	0	0	0	0	0

FEDERAL REGISTER, VOL. 34, NO. 226—TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 25, 1969

TEXAS—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	
Ward	6,119	0	40	6,159	0	543
Washington	28,320	0	0	28,320	0	271
Webb	1,908	0	0	1,908	0	569
Wharton	702	702	338	7,821	0	488
Wheeler	28,755	103	25	28,882	0	289
Wilbarger	6,348	63	22	6,359	0	347
Willacy	51,880	606	71	51,995	0	304
Williamson	93,519	0	0	93,519	0	433
Wilson	111,051	0	0	111,051	0	287
Winkler	3,921	162	0	4,083	0	360
Wood	2,221	5	0	2,226	0	146
Yoakum	41,142	50	665	41,859	0	202
Young	15,214	19	0	15,233	0	607
Zapata	1,046	0	0	1,046	0	171
Zavala	9,089	0	0	9,089	0	570
						642

VIRGINIA

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from State's share of national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment (sum of columns (1), (2) and (3))	Allocation from State reserve Small farms and hardship cases	County projected yield
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Brunswick	1,909	138	0	2,047	18	207
Charlotte	203	10	0	213	2	274
Durham	4,309	333	0	4,642	40	256
Greenville	249	10	0	259	2	363
Isle of Wight	181	14	0	195	2	399
Lunenburg	1,803	159	0	1,962	13	270
Mecklenburg	1,439	104	0	1,543	13	215
Nansemond	1	1	0	2	0	307
Prince Edward	36	1	0	37	0	289
Prince George	4,431	275	0	4,706	41	314
Southampton	4	1	0	5	0	309
Suffolk	1,564	111	0	1,675	15	364
Sussex						267

PART 722—COTTON

Subpart—1970 Crop of Extra Long Staple Cotton; Acreage Allotments and Marketing Quotas

Section 722.562 is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1938, as amended (52 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1281 et seq.). This section establishes the State reserves and allocation thereof among uses for the 1970 crop of extra long staple cotton. It also establishes the county allotments. Such

(Secs. 301, 344, 375, 52 Stat. 38, as amended, 63 Stat. 670, as amended, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1301, 1344, 1375)

Effective date: Date of filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 18, 1969.

KENNETH E. FRICK,  
Administrator, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13824; Filed, Nov. 18, 1969; 3:30 p.m.]

TEXAS—continued

County	Computed county allotment	Allocation from national reserve	Allocation from State reserve trends	County allotment, sum of columns (1), (2) and (3)	Allocation from State reserve for inequity and hardship cases	County projected yield
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)
Menard	739	7	0	746	0	135
Midland	28,005	0	44	28,049	0	464
Mills	53,212	0	0	53,212	0	270
Mitchell	2,734	64	0	2,818	0	358
Montague	71,600	64	48	71,652	0	103
Montgomery	4,167	69	0	4,236	0	261
Moore	223	134	0	357	0	371
Morris	96	134	0	230	0	371
Mulford	153	0	0	153	0	371
Money	35,468	100	28	35,596	0	25
Nacogdoches	1,207	402	0	1,609	0	25
Nassau	110,938	105	21	111,064	0	257
Newton	44,421	2	77	44,500	0	184
Nolan	101,536	0	75	101,611	0	403
Nueces	48	8	0	56	0	368
Ochiltree	3,238	222	0	3,460	0	219
Palo Pinto	1,884	15	0	1,899	0	175
Panola	3,465	250	234	4,249	0	588
Parker	46,853	0	64	47,342	0	743
Pecos	24,588	0	0	24,588	0	212
Potter	1,901	136	0	2,037	0	515
Preddo	3,112	51	0	3,163	0	776
Rains	8,146	40	0	8,186	0	206
Randall	1,700	1,296	0	3,036	0	325
Reagan	2,735	54	0	2,789	0	804
Red River	27,707	24	0	27,731	0	891
Reeves	57,017	0	185	57,202	0	532
Reggio	13,322	24	0	13,346	0	665
Roberts	116	0	0	116	0	281
Robertson	26,196	101	24	26,311	0	219
Rockwall	20,115	0	0	20,115	0	172
Russell	86,367	0	0	86,367	0	107
Rusk	10,501	0	0	10,501	0	413
Sabine	120	0	0	120	0	343
San Augustine	2,142	238	0	2,380	0	314
San Jacinto	805	109	0	914	0	171
San Patricio	81,669	119	64	81,852	0	289
San Saba	5,403	0	0	5,403	0	224
Schleicher	9,206	0	0	9,206	0	250
Sevier	69,968	0	0	69,968	0	204
Shelby	4,101	0	0	4,101	0	296
Smith	4,278	199	0	4,477	0	288
Somervell	1,158	170	0	1,328	0	324
Starr	24,450	0	0	24,450	0	121
Stephens	1,113	15	0	1,128	0	447
Sterling	480	7	0	487	0	651
Stonewall	27,744	0	0	27,744	0	464
Swisher	54,978	0	15	54,993	0	338
Tarrant	10,260	0	0	10,260	0	227
Taylor	35,351	0	3	35,354	0	529
Terry	152,090	0	268	152,358	0	204
Texas	182,000	15	0	182,015	0	250
Titus	11,862	15	0	11,877	0	296
Tom Green	334	61	0	395	0	288
Travis	57,432	0	0	57,432	0	314
Trinity	36,526	0	0	36,526	0	379
Tyler	1,163	267	0	1,430	0	311
Upshur	317	0	0	317	0	0
Upton	422	0	0	422	0	0
Val Verde	1,342	0	26	1,368	0	0
Van Zandt	22,932	0	0	22,932	0	0
Vernon	25,401	0	0	25,401	0	0
Waller	3,032	163	0	3,195	0	0
Waller	3,171	0	0	3,171	0	0

determinations were made initially by the respective State committees and are hereby approved and made effective by the Administrator, ASCS, pursuant to delegated authority (29 F.R. 16210, 33 F.R. 542, 4275).

Notice that the Secretary was preparing to establish State and county allotments was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 26, 1969 (34 F.R. 13662) in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553. No written submissions were received in response to such notice.

In order that farmers may be informed as soon as possible of 1970 farm allotments so that they may make plans accordingly, it is essential that this section be made effective as soon as possible. Accordingly, it is hereby found and determined that compliance with the 30-day effective date requirement of 5 U.S.C. 553 is impracticable and contrary to the public interest, and § 722.562 shall be effective upon filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

§ 722.562 State reserves and county allotments for the 1970 crop of extra long staple cotton.

(a) *State reserves.* The State reserves for each State shall be established and allocated among uses for the 1970 crop of extra long staple cotton pursuant to § 722.508 of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Extra Long Staple Cotton (31 F.R. 6247, 32 F.R. 5416, 33 F.R. 16066 and 16435). It is hereby determined that no State reserve is required for trends, abnormal conditions, small farms, inequities and hardships or new farms. The following table sets forth the State reserve for each State. The table also sets forth the allotment in the State productivity pool which shall not be allocated to counties and farms, as required under § 722.509(a) of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Extra Long Staple Cotton (31 F.R. 6247, 33 F.R. 8427, 16066, and 16435, 34 F.R. 5 and 808).

State	State productivity pool	Total State reserve	Allocation from State reserve for set-aside for errors
Arizona	66	10	10
California			
Florida		3	3
Georgia			
New Mexico	12	10	10
Texas			
Puerto Rico			
U.S. total	78	23	23

(b) *County allotments.* County allotments are established for the 1970 crop of extra long staple cotton in accordance with § 722.509 of the Regulations for Acreage Allotments for 1966 and Succeeding Crops of Extra Long Staple Cotton (31 F.R. 6247, 33 F.R. 8427, 16066 and 16435, 34 F.R. 5 and 808). The fol-

lowing table sets forth the county allotments:

ARIZONA	
County	County allotment acres
Cochise	209
Gila	12
Graham	9,513
Maricopa	13,806
Pima	2,695
Pinal	7,143
Yuma	583
State	33,961
CALIFORNIA	
Imperial	103
Riverside	420
State	523
FLORIDA	
Alachua	43
Hamilton	4
Jefferson	1
Madison	22
Marion	36
Suwannee	2
Union	37
State	145
GEORGIA	
Berrien	85
Cook	23
State	108
NEW MEXICO	
Chaves	44
Dona Ana	15,271
Eddy	129
Hidalgo	22
Luna	201
Otero	44
Sierra	181
State	15,892
TEXAS	
Brewster	12
Culberson	269
El Paso	19,300
Hudspeth	2,388
Loving	9
Pecos	504
Presidio	97
Reeves	4,688
Ward	399
State	27,666
PUERTO RICO	
North area	2
State	2

(Secs. 344, 347, 375, 63 Stat. 670, as amended, 675, as amended, 52 Stat. 66, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1344, 1347, 1375)

**Effective date:** Date of filing this document with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on November 17, 1969.

KENNETH E. FRICK,  
Administrator, Agricultural Sta-  
bilization and Conservation  
Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13825; Filed, Nov. 18, 1969; 3:30 p.m.]

Chapter IX—Consumer and Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders; Fruits, Vegetables, Nuts), Department of Agriculture

[Grapefruit Reg. 36, Amdt. 2]

PART 909—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE STATE OF ARIZONA; IN IMPERIAL COUNTY, CALIF.; AND IN THAT PART OF RIVERSIDE COUNTY, CALIF., SITUATED SOUTH AND EAST OF WHITE WATER, CALIF.

Limitation of Shipments

*Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 909, as amended (7 CFR Part 909), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the State of Arizona; in Imperial County, Calif.; and in that part of Riverside County, Calif.; situated south and east of White Water, Calif., effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation of the Administrative Committee (established under the aforesaid amended marketing agreement and order), and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of shipments of grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The recommendation of the Administrative Committee reflects its appraisal of the current grapefruit crop and the current and prospective market conditions. The grade requirements provided herein are necessary to prevent the handling, on and after November 23, 1969, of any grapefruit of lower grades than those hereinafter specified so as to provide consumers with good quality fruit, consistent with (1) the overall quality of the crop, and (2) maximizing returns to the producers pursuant to the declared policy of the act.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective date. The Administrative Committee held an unassembled meeting on November 13, 1969, to consider recommendation for regulation; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such unassembled meeting; necessary supplemental economic and statistical information upon

which this recommended amendment is based were received November 17, 1969; information regarding the provisions of the regulation recommended by the committee, including the effective time thereof, has been disseminated to shippers of grapefruit, grown as aforesaid; this amendment is identical with the recommendation of the committee; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this amendment effective on the date hereinafter set forth; and, compliance with this amendment will not require any special preparation on the part of the persons subject thereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof.

*Order.* In § 909.336 (Grapefruit Regulation 36; 34 F.R. 15747; 34 F.R. 18294) the provisions of paragraph (a) (1) preceding (a) (1) (ii) are amended to read as follows:

§ 909.336 Grapefruit Regulation 36.

(a) *Order.* (1) Except as otherwise provided in subparagraph (2) of this paragraph, during the period November 23, 1969, through August 31, 1970, no handler shall handle from the State of California or the State of Arizona to any point outside thereof:

(1) Any grapefruit which do not meet the requirements of the U.S. No. 2 grade which for purposes of this regulation shall include the requirement that the grapefruit be fairly well colored, instead of slightly colored, and including as a part of the fairly well formed requirement that the fruit be free from peel that is more than one inch in thickness at the stem and (measured from the flesh to the highest point of the peel): *Provided*, That in lieu of the 10 percent tolerance provided for the U.S. No. 2 grade, the following tolerances shall be allowed for the defects listed:

(a) 25 percent, by count, for grapefruit which fail to meet the requirements of the grade: *Provided*, That included in this amount not more than the following percentages shall be allowed for defects listed:

(1) 10 percent, by count, for grapefruit which are not fairly well colored;

(2) 10 percent, by count, for defects other than not being fairly well colored or fairly well formed, including therein not more than one-half of 1 percent, by count, for decay and not more than 5 percent, by count, for any defect other than sprayburn or fumigation injury, stems not properly clipped, or dryness or mushy condition which affects not more than 40 percent of the pulp or edible portion of the individual grapefruit;

(3) 15 percent, by count, in addition to the tolerance provided in (2) of this subdivision for scars which are light colored, fairly smooth, with no depth and aggregate more than 25 percent of the fruit surface;

(4) 15 percent, by count, for grapefruit failing to meet the requirements for fairly well formed except not more than one-third of this amount or 5 percent shall be allowed for fruit having peel

that is more than 1 inch in thickness at the stem end: *Provided*, That the 10-percent tolerance provided in (2) of this subdivision shall be diminished by an amount equal to the percentage of grapefruit having peel more than 1 inch in thickness at the stem end.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated, November 20, 1969, to become effective November 23, 1969.

ARTHUR E. BROWNE,  
*Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14007; Filed, Nov. 21, 1969; 11:47 a.m.]

[Lemon Reg. 401, Amdt. 1]

**PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA**

**Limitation of Handling**

*Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rule-making procedure, and postpone the effective date of this amendment until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this amendment is based became available and the time when this amendment must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and this amendment relieves restriction on the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona.

*Order, as amended.* The provisions in paragraph (b) (1) (i), (ii), and (iii) of § 910.701 (Lemon Reg. 401, 34 F.R. 18294) are hereby amended to read as follows:

§ 910.701 Lemon Regulation 401.

- (b) *Order.* (1) \* \* \*
- (i) District 1: 14,880 cartons;
  - (ii) District 2: 48,360 cartons;
  - (iii) District 3: 146,010 cartons.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: November 20, 1969.

ARTHUR E. BROWNE,  
*Acting Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Consumer and Marketing Service.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13995; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

**Title 5—ADMINISTRATIVE PERSONNEL**

**Chapter 1—Civil Service Commission**  
**PART 213—EXCEPTED SERVICE**

**Department of the Army; Correction**

In F.R. Doc. 69-11682 appearing at page 15243 in the issue of September 30, 1969, the new subparagraph added to paragraph (a) should read as set out below.

§ 213.3307 Department of the Army.

(a) *Office of the Secretary.* \* \* \*

(14) One Special Assistant to the Director of Civil Defense.

(5 U.S.C. 3301, 3302, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954-1958 Comp., p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13983; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

**PART 332—RECRUITMENT AND SELECTION THROUGH COMPETITIVE EXAMINATION**

**Subpart A—General Provisions**

**FILLING CERTAIN POSTMASTER POSITIONS**

Section 332.103 is amended by changing the method of determining when the special examining procedures for postmaster positions at fourth-class post offices are authorized. Effective on publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER § 332.103 is amended as set out below.

§ 332.103 Filling certain postmaster positions.

(a) When a vacancy occurs or is about to occur in a postmaster position in a fourth-class post office and the position involves fewer than 7 daily hours of service, a representative of the Post Office Department shall visit the locality and, after due public notice has been given, accept applications from interested persons. The representative shall establish a register based on the qualifications and suitability of each applicant and on his ability to provide proper facilities for transacting the business of the office. The Post Office Department shall submit to the Commission for postaudit one copy of the representative's report showing the qualifications of all applicants,

the basis for ranking the eligibles, and the selection of an eligible from the register. The report shall be accompanied by the applications of all applicants. A person selected for appointment from such a register may be appointed after the date the postmaster position is determined to involve seven or more daily hours of service only with the prior approval of the Commission.

(b) When making an appointment from a register established under paragraph (a) of this section, the appointing officer shall select an eligible in accordance with § 332.404 through 332.407.

(c) When the Commission, after holding two examinations, is unable to secure a complete certificate of three eligibles for a postmaster position involving seven or more daily hours of service, it may authorize the establishment of a register and selection therefrom in accordance with paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section.

(5 U.S.C. 1302, 3301, E.O. 10577; 3 CFR 1954-1958, p. 218)

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,  
[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13984; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

## Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

### Chapter I—Federal Aviation Administration, Department of Transportation

[Docket No. 9993; Amdt. 36-1]

#### PART 36—NOISE STANDARDS: AIRCRAFT TYPE CERTIFICATION

##### Approach Noise Test Conditions

This amendment changes the type certification approach noise test conditions for subsonic transport category airplanes and for subsonic turbojet powered airplanes regardless of category. The purpose of this amendment is to insure that the approach noise type certification test (1) is conducted with the same airplane configuration as that used during airworthiness type certification; and (2) does not result in noise levels less than those that will be generated by the airplane in normal operation.

Part 36, *Noise standards: Aircraft type certification* was issued by the Administrator on November 3, 1969, and will be effective on December 1, 1969. Section C36.9 of Appendix C of that part contains the test conditions applicable to all approaches conducted in showing compliance with Part 36. That section contains two provisions that require amendment when Part 36 becomes effective.

First, paragraph (b) of section C36.9 currently provides that the airplane's configuration must be "that specified by the applicant." It now appears that this language could be regarded as permitting the applicant to specify configurations

that are not the same as those used in showing compliance with the landing requirements in the airworthiness regulations. This result is not intended. While the general requirement of compatibility between noise and airworthiness type certification test conditions and procedures includes approach noise test conditions and procedures, it is believed advisable to remove any question that may be caused by section C36.9(b). Therefore, that paragraph is amended to specifically provide, in part, that the airplane's configuration during the approach noise test must be "that used in showing compliance with the landing requirements in the airworthiness regulations constituting the type certification basis of the airplane."

Second, paragraph (e) of section C36.9 currently provides that the approach noise test must be conducted with engines operating at not less than the "power or thrust required for the maximum allowable flap setting." The intent of this provision is to ensure that the noise generated during the approach noise type certification test will not be less than that later generated by the airplane in normal operation. However, configuration aspects other than flaps may affect the noise of the airplane. In addition, there is no need to specify a particular power or thrust once a specified configuration is identified since section C36.9 also specifies the glide angle and minimum approach speed, requires that both be "steady," and requires that the approach be continued to a normal touchdown with no configuration change. In the light of the above, it is believed that the objective of ensuring that approaches made later in normal operation will not be noisier than the published noise levels of the airplane can be more effectively achieved by providing, in section C36.9(b), that "if more than one configuration is used in showing compliance with the landing requirements in the airworthiness regulations constituting the type certification basis of the airplane, the configuration that is most critical from a noise standpoint must be used" in showing compliance with the approach noise requirements of Part 36. This amendment is necessary to ensure that the approach noise levels generated by the airplane during type certification will be representative of approach noise levels generated in normal operations.

This amendment is issued in full consideration of comments received with respect to Notice 69-1, issued on January 3, 1969 (34 F.R. 453), including consideration of economic data submitted by affected aircraft manufacturers and operators, and has been determined to be economically reasonable, technologically practicable, and appropriate to the aircraft to which it applies.

Pursuant to section 611 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1431) the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of Transportation concerning the matters contained herein, prior to the adoption of this amendment.

Like Part 36, which becomes effective on December 1, 1969, this amendment to

that part applies to airplanes now nearing the completion of the type certification process. Therefore, it is essential that this amendment become effective on the same date as Part 36. Therefore, I hereby find that notice and public procedure, in addition to that already provided by Notice 69-1, is impracticable. In addition, I find, for the reasons stated above, that good cause exists for making this amendment effective on less than 30 days notice after publication thereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, section C36.9 of Appendix C of Part 36 of the Federal Aviation Regulations which becomes effective on December 1, 1969, is amended, effective on that date, to read as follows:

Section C36.9 *Approach test conditions.*  
(a) This section applies to all approaches conducted in showing compliance with this part.

(b) The airplane's configuration must be that used in showing compliance with the landing requirements in the airworthiness regulations constituting the type certification basis of the airplane. If more than one configuration is used in showing compliance with the landing requirements in the airworthiness regulations constituting the type certification basis of the airplane, the configuration that is most critical from a noise standpoint must be used.

(c) The approaches must be conducted with a steady glide angle of 3° ± 0.5° and must be continued to a normal touchdown with no airframe configuration change.

(d) A steady approach speed of not less than 1.30V<sub>+</sub> + 10 knots must be established and maintained over the approach measuring point.

(e) All engines must be operating at approximately the same power or thrust.

(Secs. 313(a), 601, 603, 611, Federal Aviation Act of 1958; 49 U.S.C. 1354, 1421, 1423, 1431; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 21, 1969.

J. H. SHAFFER,  
*Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14010; Filed, Nov. 21, 1969; 11:53 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-108]

#### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

##### Alteration of Control Zone

On page 15487 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 4, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed regulation which would alter the Hyannis, Mass., control zone (34 F.R. 4591).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., January 8, 1970.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 10, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
*Acting Director, Eastern Region.*

Amend § 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Hyannis, Mass., control zone description by deleting the description and inserting in lieu thereof the following:

Within a 5-mile radius of the center, 41°40'10" N., 70°16'45" W., of Barnstable Municipal Airport, Hyannis, Mass. This control zone is effective from 0700 to 2300 hours, local time, daily or during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen which thereafter will be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13967; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-103]

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

#### Alteration of Transition Area

On page 15487 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 4, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed regulation which would alter the Wrightstown, N.J., 700-foot transition area (34 F.R. 249, 4788).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., January 8, 1970.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 10, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
*Acting Director, Eastern Region.*

Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to:

Insert in the description of the Wrightstown, N.J., 700-foot transition area, following the words, "Asbury Park-Neptune Airport 5-mile radius area to the VOR", the words, "within a 5-mile radius of the center, 39°56'05" N., 74°48'30" W., of Flying W Ranch Airport, Lumberton, N.J. within 2.5 miles each side of the North Philadelphia VOR 134° radial extending from the Flying W Ranch 5-mile radius area to 21 miles southeast of the North Philadelphia VOR".

[F.R. Doc. 69-13968; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-109]

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

#### Alteration of Transition Area

On page 15488 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 4, 1969, the Federal Aviation

Administration published a proposed regulation which would alter the Blacksburg, Va., transition area (34 F.R. 4652).

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulation have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., January 8, 1970.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 12, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
*Acting Director, Eastern Region.*

Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete in the description of the Blacksburg, Va., transition area, "within 2 miles each side of the Pulaski VORTAC 064° radial extending from the 6-mile radius area to the VORTAC" and insert the following in lieu thereof, "within 4 miles northwest and 3 miles southeast of the Pulaski VORTAC 064° radial, extending from the 6-mile radius area to 3 miles northeast of the Pulaski VORTAC".

[F.R. Doc. 69-13969; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-100]

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

#### Designation of Transition Area

On page 15488 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 4, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed amendment which would designate a 700-foot transition area over Petersburg Municipal Airport, Petersburg, Va.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., January 8, 1970.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 12, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
*Acting Director, Eastern Region.*

Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a Petersburg, Va., transition area as follows:

PETERSBURG, Va.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5-mile radius of the center (37°11'05" N., 77°30'30" W.) of Petersburg Municipal Airport, Petersburg, Va.; within 4.5 miles each side of the 226° bearing from the Petersburg RBN (37°07'48" N., 77°34'30" W.) extending from the

8.5-mile radius area to 11.5 miles southwest of the RBN and within 2 miles each side of the runway 32 centerline extended from the 8.5-mile radius area to 9 miles northwest of the end of the runway, excluding the portion that coincides with the Richmond, Va., transition area.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13970; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-110]

### PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

#### Designation of Transition Area

On page 15298 of the FEDERAL REGISTER for October 1, 1969, the Federal Aviation Administration published a proposed regulation which would designate a Washington, Pa., 700-foot transition area over Washington County Airport, Washington, Pa.

Interested parties were given 30 days after publication in which to submit written data or views. No objections to the proposed regulations have been received.

In view of the foregoing, the proposed regulations are hereby adopted effective 0901 G.m.t., January 8, 1970.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1655(c))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 12, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
*Acting Director, Eastern Region.*

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a Washington, Pa., transition area described as follows:

WASHINGTON, Pa.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the center 40°08'10" N., 80°17'20" W. of Washington County Airport, Washington, Pa.; within 2 miles each side of the Runway 9 centerline extended from the 5-mile radius area to 6 miles east of the end of the runway; within 2 miles each side of the Runway 27 centerline extended from the 5-mile radius area to 5 miles west of the end of the runway and within 3.5 miles each side of the Allegheny VORTAC 234° radial extending from the 5-mile radius area to the VORTAC, excluding the portion that coincides with the Pittsburgh, Pa., and Monongahela, Pa., transition areas.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13971; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

### Chapter II—Civil Aeronautics Board [Reg. OR-44; Amdt. 8]

### PART 389—FEES AND CHARGES FOR SPECIAL SERVICES

#### Requests for Waivers and Applications Correction

In F.R. Doc. 69-13674 appearing at page 18382 in the issue of Tuesday, November 18, 1969, the effective date in the

fifth paragraph, now reading, "November 13, 1965" should read "November 13, 1969".

**Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES**

**Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior**

**PART 33—SPORT FISHING**

**Necedah National Wildlife Refuge, Wis.**

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 33.5 Special regulations; sport fishing; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

**WISCONSIN**

**NECEDAH NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE**

Sport fishing on the Sprague-Mather Pool of the Necedah National Wildlife

Refuge, Necedah, Wis., an area comprising approximately 2,500 acres is permitted from December 15, 1969, through March 15, 1970. The open area is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the office of the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Federal Building, Fort Snelling, Twin Cities, Minn. 55111. Sport fishing shall be in accordance with all applicable State regulations.

The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern fishing on wildlife areas generally, which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 33, and are effective through March 15, 1970.

**DAVID J. BROWN,**  
*Refuge Manager, Necedah  
National Wildlife Refuge.*

**NOVEMBER 18, 1969.**

[F.R. Doc. 69-13944; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

# Proposed Rule Making

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

[ 12 CFR Part 18 ]

### FORM AND CONTENT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that the Comptroller of the Currency proposes to amend Part 18 principally to provide that financial reports submitted by national banks to shareholders for fiscal years ending on and after December 31, 1969:

- (1) Include a loan loss factor in operating expenses;
- (2) Include the results of investment securities transactions as realized in the report of income; and
- (3) Designate the last line in the statement of income as "net income."

These amendments are proposed under the authority in R.S. 324 et seq., as amended, secs. 12, 13, 48 Stat. 892, 894, as amended; 12 U.S.C. 1 et seq., 15 U.S.C. 78l, 78m.

Interested persons may send their comments with respect to these proposed amendments to the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury Department, Room 3108, Washington, D.C. 20220 to be received not later than December 12, 1969.

The proposed amendments to Part 18 include a new § 18.5, a new paragraph (a) in § 18.7, renumbering existing §§ 18.5, 18.6, and 18.7 to 18.6, 18.7, and 18.8 and redesignating existing paragraphs (a), (b), (c), and (d) in § 18.7 as paragraphs (b), (c), (d), and (e) in § 18.8. Changes in the text are as follows:

#### § 18.2 Definition of terms.

(a) *Valuation reserve.* A "valuation reserve" is an account established through an appropriate charge representing management's judgment as to possible loss or value depreciation in a specific class of assets, such as loans or investment securities. Loan loss reserves established pursuant to the Treasury tax formula should be separately disclosed and may be considered valuation reserves; these reserves should be included in reserves on loans and securities.

#### § 18.4 Consolidated statements.

(d) Nonsignificant subsidiaries may also be consolidated provided a consistent policy of consolidation is used.

(e) Minority interests in the net assets of consolidated subsidiaries shall be shown in each consolidated balance sheet as a liability. The aggregate amount of profit and loss accruing to minority interests may be stated separately in the consolidated profit or loss statement. Alternatively, net income (less minority interest) may be reported in "other income."

(1) Income from foreign subsidiaries and foreign branches shall be reported only when remittable to the parent bank, unless the bank consolidates each item of revenue and expense. Such income shall be reported under Item 1(h), Appendix B.

#### § 18.5 Reporting of a loan loss factor in operating expenses.

(a) *Computation of loan loss factor.*<sup>1</sup>  
 (1) Banks which provide for loan losses on a reserve basis shall include an estimated amount for credit losses in operating expense.<sup>2</sup> Beginning for the year end 1969 and consistently thereafter, a bank may elect one of the following methods for reporting a minimum loan loss factor in expenses.

(i) A charge equivalent to a 5-year average ratio of losses computed on the basis of net charge-offs to total loans over the past 5 years. Ratio of loss shall be determined based on the aggregate of total net charge-offs (losses less recoveries) and total average loans for the 5 most recent years, including the current year. This ratio shall be applied to the average of outstanding loans during the current year to arrive at a minimum dollar amount to be charged to operating expense.

(ii) A charge equivalent to an average ratio of losses computed on the basis of a forward moving average beginning with the year 1969. Ratio for 1969 would be determined based on the net charge-offs (losses less recoveries) and average of loans for the year 1969. This ratio would be applied to the average loans outstanding for 1969 to arrive at a minimum dollar amount to be charged to operating expense. For each successive year after 1969, up to and including 1973, the current year's average loans would be added to those of preceding years from 1969 forward. Net charge-offs would be handled in the same way. By 1973, banks choosing this option would be on the same basis as those initially choosing subdivision (i) of this subparagraph.

(iii) Actual net charge-offs as experienced in the current year.

(2) Banks which are not on the reserve basis for loan losses shall include in operating expenses the dollar amount of actual net charge-offs for the current year.

<sup>1</sup> Once a bank has selected one of the three methods, it must continue to use that method.

<sup>2</sup> An appropriate footnote will be made on the Statement of Earnings indicating which method has been employed to arrive at the dollar amount of credit loss charged to operating expenses.

(b) *Additional charge to operating expense.* Based on management's judgment, an amount in excess of that computed by any method outlined under subparagraph (1) of paragraph (a) of this section may be taken. The amount so taken will have no effect on computing the loss ratio factor in the current or preceding years. Such action must be adequately disclosed in a referenced footnote.

(c) *Adjustments on statement of earnings for conformity to bank's books.* (1) When the amount reported in operating expenses is in excess of that allowed as a transfer to Reserve for Possible Loan Losses or exceeds actual net loan losses recorded on the bank's books, the amount of difference, less related tax effect, should be credited to the Undivided Profits Account in the Reconciliation of Capital Accounts.

(2) When the amount reported in operating expenses is less than that transferred to Reserve for Possible Loan Losses or is less than the actual net loan losses recorded on the bank's books, the amount of difference, less related tax effect, should be charged against the Undivided Profits Account.

(d) *Annual average loans.* To determine the annual average loans outstanding, the loans as reported in the Statement of Condition called for by the Comptroller of the Currency during the year will be averaged. Any schedule of frequency greater than the foregoing is permissible.

#### § 18.6 Reporting of securities transactions.

(c) *Trading account securities.* Banks that are dealers in securities should report their trading account securities on the same basis as is used for tax purposes. If either the reporting value of securities or income therefrom meets the test of materiality, the trading account and trading account income should be reported separately. The income account should include coupon interest, profit and losses, revaluation adjustments and any other incidental revenue or expenses related to the purchase and sale of such securities, but salaries, commissions and other expenses should be excluded. If materiality is not met, unless management wishes to report separately, trading account securities should be included with portfolio securities in the respective classifications. In the statement of earnings coupon interest should then be reported with interest on securities and other income with other operating income.

(d) *Securities profits and losses.* Securities gains and losses should be reported after applicable income tax has been deducted from income. Net security gains and losses should be reflected in income



APPENDIX A—BALANCE SHEET—Continued

Reserves on Loans and Securities:-----  
 23. Reserve for bad debt losses.-----  
 24. Other loan reserves.-----  
 25. Reserves on securities.-----  
 26. Total.-----  
 Capital Accounts:-----  
 27. Capital notes and debentures.-----  
 Rate.-----  
 Maturity.-----  
 28. Equity capital:-----  
 (a) Capital stock:-----  
 Preferred stock, total par value.-----  
 Number shares outstanding.-----  
 Common stock, total par value.-----  
 Number shares authorized.-----  
 Number shares outstanding.-----  
 (b) Surplus.-----  
 (c) Undivided Profits.-----  
 (d) Reserve for contingencies and other capital reserves.-----  
 29. Total capital accounts.-----  
 30. Total liabilities, reserves and capital accounts.-----

NOTES

A bank, for purposes of the preparation of its reports to shareholders, may use options permitted or specifically authorized. It may also combine the various lines as indicated below, if the line figure is less than 3 percent of total assets.

Line 3 into Line 5, Line 10 into Line 12, Line 11 into Line 12, Line 15 into Line 16, Line 17 into Line 18, Line 18 into Line 19, Line 21 into Line 19.

APPENDIX B—STATEMENT OF EARNINGS

19—

1. Operating income:-----  
 (a) Interest and fees on loans.-----  
 (b) Income on Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.-----  
 (c) Interest and dividends on investments (exclude trading account income):-----  
 (1) U.S. Treasury securities.-----  
 (2) Securities of other U.S. Government agencies and corporations.-----  
 (3) Obligations of States and political subdivisions.-----  
 (4) Other.-----  
 (d) Trading account income.-----  
 (e) Trust department income.-----  
 (f) Service charges on deposit accounts.-----  
 (g) Other service charges, collection and exchange charges, commissions and fees.-----  
 (h) Other operating income.-----  
 (i) Total.-----  
 2. Operating expenses:-----  
 (a) Salaries and wages.-----  
 (b) Pensions and other employee benefits.-----  
 (c) Other benefits.-----  
 (d) Expense of Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to repurchase.-----  
 (e) Interest on borrowed money.-----  
 (f) Interest on capital notes and debentures.-----  
 (g) Occupancy expense of bank premises, net.-----  
 (h) Furniture and equipment, depreciation, rental costs, servicing, etc.-----  
 (i) Provisions for loan losses (or actual net losses).-----  
 (j) Other.-----  
 (k) Total.-----  
 3. Income before income taxes and securities gains or losses.-----  
 4. Applicable income taxes.-----

(e) Balance sheet and statement of earnings. (1) Banks shall report a balance sheet and a statement of earnings. The format illustrated in Appendices A and B represents the minimum disclosure consistent with this part.

(2) If a cash basis of accounting has been used, it should be so stated.

(3) All fixed assets acquired subsequent to June 30, 1967, shall be stated at cost less accumulated depreciation or amortization.

(4) Accounting questions, account designations, and other related matters not specifically detailed in this regulation will be handled in accordance with instructions contained in Instructions for Preparation of Reports of Condition and/or Instructions for Preparation of Report of Income.

Dated: November 20, 1969.

WILLIAM B. CAMP,  
Comptroller of the Currency.

APPENDIX A—BALANCE SHEET

19— 19—

Resources:-----  
 1. Cash and due from banks.-----  
 2. U.S. Treasury securities.-----  
 3. Securities of other U.S. Government agencies and corporations.-----  
 4. Obligations of States and political subdivisions.-----  
 5. Other securities.-----  
 6. Trading account securities.-----  
 7. Federal funds sold and securities purchased under agreements to resell.-----  
 8. Loans.-----  
 9. Bank premises and equipment.-----  
 10. Other real estate.-----  
 11. Investments in subsidiaries not consolidated.-----  
 12. Customer's acceptance liability.-----  
 13. Other assets.-----  
 14. Total.-----  
 Liabilities:-----  
 15. Deposits:-----  
 (a) Demand deposits.-----  
 (b) Time deposits.-----  
 16. Federal funds purchased and securities sold under agreements to purchase.-----  
 17. Funds borrowed.-----  
 18. Mortgage indebtedness.-----  
 19. Acceptances outstanding.-----  
 20. Other liabilities.-----  
 21. Total liabilities.-----  
 22. Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries.-----

in the period such results are realized and booked.  
§ 18.7 Reconciliation of capital accounts and valuation reserves.

§ 18.3 Rules of general application.

(a) One-bank holding companies. The financial statements, other than the statement of earnings, of a bank owned by a one-bank holding company should be presented separately. The statement of earnings may be presented on a consolidated basis with the other units of the holding company. Appropriate disclosure of this consolidation should be made.

(b) Earnings. All banks subject to the jurisdiction of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency shall be required to report: (1) A loan loss factor in its operating expenses; (2) net income, total and per share, which was transferred to the capital accounts.

(c) Additional information. \* \* \*  
(d) Changes in accounting principles and practices and retroactive adjustments initiated by the bank. \* \* \*

APPENDIX B—STATEMENT OF EARNINGS—Continued

5. Income before securities gains or losses.....	Gross	Net of tax effect	Gross	Net of tax effect
6. Net security gains or losses.....				
7. Net income before extraordinary items.....	Gross	Net of tax effect	Gross	Net of tax effect
8. Extraordinary charges or credits.....				
9. Less minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries.....				
10. Net income.....				
Earnings per common share:				
Income before securities gains (losses).....				
Net income.....				

NOTE: Any operating income or expense item which is not material may be combined with 1(h) or 2(j) as appropriate.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13977; Filed, Nov. 20, 1969; 4:24 p.m.]

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**  
**Consumer and Marketing Service**  
**[ 7 CFR Part 81 ]**  
**POULTRY INSPECTION**

**Proposed Requirement To Use Titanium Dioxide in Isolated Soy Protein**

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the administrative procedure provisions in 5 U.S.C., section 553, that pursuant to the Poultry Products Inspection Act, as amended (21 U.S.C. 451 et seq.), the Consumer and Marketing Service proposes to amend the Federal poultry products inspection regulations by adding a new subparagraph (4) to § 81.95 (d) (7 CFR 81.95(d)) as set forth below.

*Statement of considerations.* Until recently, the use of isolated soy protein in poultry products was on a very limited basis. Changes in manufacturing practices, plus an increase in the number of plants processing both poultry and meat food products which contain isolated soy protein, now make it appropriate to propose amendment of the Federal poultry products regulations to require that a trace element be included in isolated soy protein for use in poultry products prepared at official establishments under the Act. Such regulations are already in effect with regard to meat food products prepared under Federal inspection. Routine qualitative analysis cannot differentiate isolated soy protein from poultry or animal protein unless a trace element is included in the isolated soy protein. Therefore, it is proposed to add a new subparagraph (4) to § 81.95(d) to read:

§ 81.95 Reinspection of poultry and other products; ingredients.

\* \* \* \* \*

(4) All isolated soy protein used in products processed in any official establishment shall contain not more and not less than 0.1 percent titanium dioxide as food grade titanium dioxide and the presence of such substance must be shown on the label of the container of the isolated soy protein at all times that the article is in the official establishment.

Any interested persons who desire to present any views, arguments, or data concerning the proposed amendment as

set forth above may do so by filing their comments in writing, in duplicate, with the Office of the Hearing Clerk, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, within 60 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER. All such written submissions will be made available for public inspection at said office during regular office hours in a manner convenient to the public business (7 CFR 1.27(b)). Persons desiring opportunity for oral presentation of views should address such requests to the Consumer and Marketing Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, 1735 North Lynn Street, Room 409, Pomponio Plaza, Arlington, Va. A transcript of all views orally presented will be made and filed in the office of the Hearing Clerk for public inspection.

Done at Washington, D.C., on November 19, 1969.

ROY W. LENNARTSON,  
*Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13959; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:46 a.m.]

**DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE**

**Food and Drug Administration**

[ 21 CFR Part 3 ]

**STATEMENTS OF GENERAL POLICY OR INTERPRETATION**

**Proposal Regarding Combinations of Nutritive and Nonnutritive Sweeteners**

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 201(s), 403, 409, 701(a), 52 Stat. 1047-48, as amended, 1055, 72 Stat. 1784-89, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 321(s), 343, 348, 371(a)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner of Food and Drugs (21 CFR 2.120), it is proposed that the following statement of policy regarding combinations of nutritive and nonnutritive sweeteners be added to Part 3:

§ 3.----- Combinations of nutritive and nonnutritive sweeteners.

As a result of the removal of cyclamic acid and its salts from the list of sub-

stances generally recognized as safe (21 CFR 121.101) by an order published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 21, 1969 (34 F.R. 17063), the Commissioner of Food and Drugs has received inquiries as to the proper composition and labeling, from the standpoint of application of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, of so-called "diet beverages" that will be made from mixtures of nutritive sweeteners and saccharin or its salts. The Commissioner concludes that:

(a) Any "diet beverage" or diet beverage base made with combinations of nutritives and nonnutritive sweeteners must be so formulated that each ingredient is one which is generally recognized as safe and is not a food additive as defined in section 201(s) of the act, or if it is a food additive as so defined, is used in accordance with a regulation in Part 121 of this chapter. To avoid confusion by diabetics, alcohols such as sorbitol and mannitol shall not be used. The nutritive sweetener shall be a mono-, di-, or polysaccharide or combination thereof.

(b) The product is to be so formulated that its caloric value is at least 50 percent less than the caloric value of the comparable product made without artificial sweeteners.

(c) If it is to be marketed under a name heretofore used on a product represented to have no, or only a few, calories per serving, the name shall be modified by the word "new".

(d) (1) The label must bear a complete statement of ingredients except that spices, flavorings, and colorings may be designated as such without naming each.

(2) The label must bear a statement of the caloric content per fluid ounce, the carbohydrate content per fluid ounce, a statement of the percentage of saccharin or saccharin salt used, and the statement "Contains ----- mg. saccharin (or saccharin salt, as the case may be), per ounce, a nonnutritive artificial sweetener".

(3) To further avoid injury through inadvertent use by diabetics in the belief that the product does not contain carbohydrates, the label must bear the statement "Contains sugar(s); not for use by diabetics without advice of a physician."

The Commissioner recognizes the problem created by the fact that stocks of containers already lithographed or otherwise printed may be the only containers available for these new products. Consideration will be given to the use of properly applied stickers or neck bands carrying the information set forth above in a conspicuous manner.

Any interested person may, within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, file with the Hearing Clerk, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Room 5440, 330 Independence Avenue SW, Washington, D.C. 20201, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Comments may

be accompanied by a memorandum or brief in support thereof.

Dated: November 19, 1969.

HERBERT L. LEY, Jr.,  
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14025; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:50 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[ 14 CFR Part 39 ]

[Docket No. 9980]

### AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

#### Hawker Siddeley Dove Model 104 Airplanes

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding an airworthiness directive (AD) applicable to Hawker Siddeley Dove Model 104 Airplanes. There have been cases reported of the nose landing gear locking lever and jacking attachment lever cracking from fatigue damage. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed airworthiness directive would require replacement of the nose landing gear locking lever and jack attachment lever with levers of improved fatigue resistance.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the General Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20590. All communications received on or before December 22, 1969, will be considered by the Administrator before taking action upon the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313 (a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354 (a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

**HAWKER SIDDELEY.** Applies to Hawker Siddeley Dove Model 104 airplanes.

Compliance is required within the next 300 landings after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent fatigue failure of the nose landing gear locking lever and jack attachment lever, replace the existing nose landing gear locking lever and jack attachment lever with

Modification 978 levers in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Technical News Sheet Series CT (104) No. 155, Issue 4, dated September 29, 1969, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 17, 1969.

JAMES F. RUDOLPH,  
Director, Flight Standards Service.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13974; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

### [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-129]

### TRANSITION AREA

#### Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Southbridge, Massachusetts Transition Area (34 F.R. 4769).

The U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures became effective November 18, 1967, and changed the criteria for the establishment of instrument approach procedures. This criteria requires the alteration of the Southbridge, Mass., transition area to provide airspace protection for aircraft executing the instrument approach procedure.

Interested persons may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y. 11430. All communications received within 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Standards Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Southbridge, Mass., proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Southbridge, Mass., transition area and insert the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.5-mile radius of the center, 42°06'05" N., 72°02'20"

W. of Southbridge Municipal Airport, Southbridge, Mass.; within 3.5 miles each side of the Putnam, Conn., VORTAC 315° radial, extending from the 6.5-mile radius area to the VORTAC; within 2 miles each side of the Runway 2 centerline extended from the 6.5-mile radius area to 6.5 miles north of the end of the runway and within 2 miles each side of the Runway 20 centerline extended from the 6.5-mile radius area to 6.5 miles south of the end of the runway.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348), and section 6(c) of the DOT Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 12, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13972; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

### [ 14 CFR Part 71 ]

[Airspace Docket No. 69-EA-138]

### TRANSITION AREA

#### Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Frederick, Md., transition area (34 F.R. 4688).

The U.S. Standard for Terminal Instrument Approach Procedures became effective November 18, 1967 and changed the criteria for the establishment of instrument approach procedures. This criteria requires the proposed alteration of the Frederick, Md., 700-foot floor transition area to provide airspace protection for aircraft executing the instrument approach procedure.

Interested persons may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y. 11430. All communications received within 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Standards Branch, Eastern Region. Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, N.Y.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area

of Frederick, Md., proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to delete the description of the Frederick, Md., transition area and substitute the following in lieu thereof:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the center 39°25'00" N., 77°22'00" W., of Frederick Municipal Airport, Frederick, Md.; within 3.5 miles each side of the Frederick VOR 032° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 11.5 miles northeast of the VOR; within 2 miles each side of the Runway 19 centerline extended from the 5-mile radius area to 6 miles south of the end of the runway and within 2 miles each side of the Frederick VOR 075° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 7 miles east of the VOR.

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348, and section 6(c) of the DOT Act 49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on November 12, 1969.

WAYNE HENDERSHOT,  
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13973; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

## ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[10 CFR Parts 50, 115]

### CODES AND STANDARDS FOR NUCLEAR POWER UNITS

#### Notice of Proposed Rule Making

The Atomic Energy Commission has under consideration amendments of its regulations in 10 CFR Part 50, "Licensing of Production and Utilization Facilities," and 10 CFR Part 115, "Procedures for Review of Certain Nuclear Reactors Exempted From Licensing Requirements," which would establish minimum quality standards for the design, fabrication, erection, construction, testing, and inspection of certain systems and components of boiling and pressurized water-cooled nuclear power reactor units by requiring conformance with appropriate editions of published industry codes and standards.

Criterion 1 of the "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Construction Permits" (proposed Appendix A of Part 50)<sup>1</sup> states that systems and components of nuclear power plants which are essential to the prevention of accidents which could affect public health and safety or to mitigation of their consequences be designed, fabricated, and tested to quality standards that reflect the importance of the safety function to be performed. It has been generally recognized that for boiling and pressurized water-cooled reactors, pressure vessels, piping, valves and pumps which are part of the reactor coolant

pressure boundary should, as a minimum, be designed, fabricated, inspected, and tested in accordance with the requirements of the applicable American Society of Mechanical Engineers (ASME) codes in effect at the time the equipment is purchased, and protection systems (electrical and mechanical sensors and associated circuitry) should, as a minimum, be designed to meet the criteria developed by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE).

The ASME codes for pressure vessels, piping, pumps, and valves and the IEEE criteria for protection systems were developed and are revised periodically by industry code committees composed of representatives of utilities, reactor designers, architect-engineers, component manufacturers, insurance companies, the Commission, and others. New industry codes and revisions to existing codes generally do not become effective for at least a year after publication for trial use and comment, and only then for contracts entered into after the effective date. Because of the time delays between the execution of the contract for and start of design or fabrication of some reactor components, 2 years may elapse between the effective dates of new or revised codes and the application of their requirements to the design and fabrication of components. Even after components complying with these code requirements are fabricated, another 2 or 3 years may elapse before the reactor is operated. The effect of this traditional pattern is that the results of currently available improved codes will not be seen in operating reactors for many years hence.

Because of the safety significance of uniform early compliance by the nuclear industry with the requirements of these ASME and IEEE codes and published code revisions, the Commission is considering the adoption of amendments to Parts 50 and 115 to require that certain components of water-cooled reactors important to safety comply with these codes and appropriate revisions to the codes at the earliest feasible time. In such reactors for which construction permits have been issued but which have not been licensed for operation, such components would be required to comply with the codes in effect at the time the equipment was ordered. In reactors for which construction permits are issued on or after April 1, 1970, such components, regardless of order date, would be required to comply with the more recent revisions of the codes (excluding Code Cases) specified in the proposed amendments.

The various dates given in the proposed amendments for compliance with the new industry codes and standards have been selected to give approximately 3 months notice of the Commission's intent to require compliance, as a condition of licensing, with specified codes or addenda that now have been available to the industry for at least 6 months. In cases where the design or fabrication of some reactor components has proceeded to the point where compliance with the specified requirements, or portions thereof, would result in hardships or un-

usual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of safety, the Commission would be authorized under § 50.55a(b)(1) to grant exceptions. It should also be noted that § 50.55a(b)(2) would permit the Commission to authorize deviations from the requirements of the specified codes and standards if it can be shown that an equivalent level of safety will be provided.

The Commission considers that a significant improvement in the level of quality in design, fabrication and testing of systems and components important to safety of each reactor will be afforded by compliance with the requirements of the more recent codes specified in the proposed amendments, or portions thereof, and encourages such compliance whenever practicable, regardless of the date of purchase of equipment or the provisions of these proposed amendments. Compliance with the provisions of the proposed amendments and the referenced codes is intended to insure a basic sound quality level. It may be that the special safety importance of a particular system or component will call for supplementary measures. If analysis of the system shows that such is the case, appropriate supplementary measures are expected to be adopted by applicants and licensees, or will be required by the Commission.

Pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and section 553 of title 5 of the United States Code, notice is hereby given that adoption of the following amendments to 10 CFR Parts 50 and 115 is contemplated. All interested persons who desire to submit written comments or suggestions for consideration in connection with the proposed amendments should send them to the Secretary, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Public Proceedings Branch, within 60 days after publication of the notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Comments received after that period will be considered if it is practicable to do so, but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments filed within the period specified. Copies of comments received may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

1. Paragraph (c) of § 50.55 is amended to read as follows:

§ 50.55 Conditions of construction permits.

Each construction permit shall be subject to the following terms and conditions:

(c) Except as modified by this section and § 50.55a, the construction permit shall be subject to the same conditions to which a license is subject.

2. A new § 50.55a is added to 10 CFR Part 50 to read as follows:

§ 50.55a Codes and standards.

Each construction permit for a utilization facility shall be subject to the following conditions, in addition to those specified in § 50.55:

<sup>1</sup> The General Design Criteria were published for public comment in the FEDERAL REGISTER on July 11, 1967 (32 F.R. 10213).

(a) Structures, systems, and components shall be designed, fabricated, erected, constructed, tested, and inspected to quality standards commensurate with the importance of the safety function to be performed.

(b) As a minimum, the systems and components of boiling and pressurized water-cooled nuclear power reactors specified in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section shall meet the requirements described in those paragraphs and the protection systems of nuclear power reactors of all types shall meet the requirements described in paragraph (g) of this section, except as authorized by the Commission upon demonstration by the applicant for or holder of a construction permit that:

(1) Design, fabrication, erection, testing, or inspection of the specified system or component is, to the maximum extent practical, in accordance with generally recognized codes and standards and has proceeded to a point prior to-----  
-----\* such that compliance with the described requirements or portions thereof would result in hardships or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of safety; or  
(2) Proposed deviations from the described requirements or portions thereof will be compensated for by factors or design features which provide at least an equivalent level of safety.

(c) *Pressure vessels.* For construction permits issued before April 1, 1970, for reactors not licensed for operation, pressure vessels which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements set forth in Section III of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (hereinafter referred to as ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, Applicable Code Cases, and Addenda<sup>1</sup> in effect at the time the vessel was ordered. For construction permits issued on or after April 1, 1970, pressure vessels which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements for Class A vessels set forth in the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (excluding Code Cases), the Summer 1968 Addenda and the Winter 1968 Addenda dated June 30, and December 31, 1968, respectively, and the Summer 1969 Addenda dated June 30, 1969.<sup>1</sup>

(d) *Piping.* For construction permits issued before April 1, 1970, for reactors not licensed for operation, piping, and fittings which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall, if ordered before July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping (ASA B31.1—1955), applicable Code Cases and Addenda in effect at the time the piping or fitting was ordered, and the require-

ments set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10<sup>1</sup> or if ordered after July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the Power Piping Section of the USA Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.1.0—1967) applicable Code Cases, and Addenda in effect at the time the piping or fitting was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> For construction permits issued on or after April 1, 1970, piping and fittings which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements for Class I piping set forth in the draft Nuclear Power Piping Section of the USA Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.7), dated February 1968 (excluding Code Cases), and Errata dated June 1968, the requirements set forth in Appendix IX—Quality Control and Nondestructive Examination Methods, of the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, and the requirements set forth in paragraph N-153 in the Summer 1969 Addenda dated June 30, 1969, to the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.<sup>1</sup>

(e) *Pumps and valves.* For construction permits issued before April 1, 1970, for reactors not licensed for operation, pumps which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the nondestructive testing requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> Valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall if ordered before July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping (ASA B31.1—1955), applicable Code Cases and Addenda in effect at the time the valve was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N2, N7, N9, and N10<sup>1</sup> or if ordered after July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the Power Piping Section of the USA Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.1.0—1967), applicable Code Cases, and Addenda in effect at the time the valve was ordered, and the requirements set forth in the ASA B31 Code Cases N2, N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> For construction permits issued on or after April 1, 1970, pumps and valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements for Class I pumps and valves set forth in the draft ASME Standard Code for Pumps and Valves for Nuclear Power, dated November 1968 (excluding Code Cases), the requirements set forth in Appendix IX—Quality Control and Nondestructive Examination Methods, of the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, and the requirements set forth in paragraph N-153 in the Summer 1969 Addenda dated June 30, 1969 to the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.<sup>1</sup>

(f) *Inservice inspection requirements.* For construction permits issued on or after April 1, 1970, pressure vessels, piping, fitting, pumps, and valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements set forth in the draft ASME Code for In-

service Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, dated October 1968 (excluding Code Cases). The requirements of this paragraph need not be met by pressure-containing components whose rupture would not result in a loss of reactor coolant in excess of the replenishment capability and capacity of the normal makeup systems for the interval of time necessary to permit a reactor shutdown and orderly cooldown.

(g) *Protection systems.* For construction permits issued after April 1, 1970, protection systems shall meet the requirements set forth in the 1968 Edition of the Proposed Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Protection Systems (IEEE No. 279), dated August 1968.<sup>2</sup>

(h) *Reactor coolant pressure boundary.* As used in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section, "reactor coolant pressure boundary" means all those pressure-containing components, such as pressure vessels, piping, pumps, and valves, within the following systems or portions of systems of boiling and pressurized water-cooled nuclear power reactors:

(1) The reactor coolant system. For a nuclear power reactor of the direct cycle boiling water type, the reactor coolant system extends to and includes the outermost containment isolation valves capable of external actuation\* in the main steam and feedwater piping, and the reactor coolant system safety and relief valves.

(2) Portions of associated auxiliary systems connected to the reactor coolant system. For piping of these systems which penetrates primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the first containment isolation valve outside the containment capable of external actuation.\* For piping of these systems which contains two valves, both of which are normally closed during normal reactor operation, the boundary extends to and includes the second of these valves (the second of which must be capable of external actuation\*), whether or not the system piping penetrates primary reactor containment.

(3) Portions of the emergency core cooling system connected to the reactor coolant system. For piping of this system which penetrates primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the first containment isolation valve outside containment capable of external actuation.\* For piping of this system which does not penetrate primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the second of two valves normally closed during normal reactor operation.

3. Paragraph (a) of § 115.43 is amended to read as follows:

<sup>2</sup> A copy may be obtained from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. A copy is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

\*Simple check valves are not acceptable for this purpose.

<sup>1</sup> Effective date of these amendments.

<sup>1</sup> Copies may be obtained from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Copies are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

### § 115.43 Conditions of construction authorizations.

Each construction authorization shall be subject to the following terms and conditions.

(a) Except as modified by this section and § 115.43a, the construction authorization shall be subject to the same conditions to which an operating authorization is subject.

4. A new § 115.43a is added to 10 CFR Part 115 to read as follows:

#### § 115.43a Codes and standards.

Each construction authorization shall be subject to the following conditions, in addition to those specified in § 115.43:

(a) Structures, systems, and components of nuclear reactors shall be designed, fabricated, erected, constructed, tested, and inspected to quality standards commensurate with the importance to the safety function to be performed.

(b) As a minimum, the systems and components of boiling and pressurized water-cooled nuclear power reactors specified in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section shall meet the requirements described in those paragraphs and the protection systems of nuclear power reactors of all types shall meet the requirements described in paragraph (g) of this section, except as authorized by the Commission upon demonstration by the applicant for or holder of a construction authorization that:

(1) Design, fabrication, erection, testing, or inspection of the specified system or component is, to the maximum extent practical, in accordance with generally recognized codes and standards and has proceeded to a point prior to -----\* such that compliance with the described requirements or portions thereof would result in hardships or unusual difficulties without a compensating increase in the level of safety; or

(2) Proposed deviations from the described requirements or portions thereof will be compensated for by factors or design features which provide at least an equivalent level of safety.

(c) *Pressure vessels.* For construction authorizations issued before April 1, 1970, for reactors not authorized for operation, pressure vessels which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements set forth in Section III of the American Society of Mechanical Engineers (hereinafter referred to as ASME) Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, applicable Code Cases, and Addenda<sup>1</sup> in effect at the time the vessel was ordered. For construction authorizations issued on or after April 1, 1970, pressure vessels which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall

meet the requirements for Class A vessels set forth in the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code (excluding Code Cases), the Summer 1968 Addenda and the Winter 1968 Addenda dated June 30, 1968, and December 31, 1968, respectively, and the Summer 1969 Addenda dated June 30, 1969.<sup>1</sup>

(d) *Piping.* For construction authorizations issued before April 1, 1970, piping and fittings which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall if ordered before July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping (ASA B31.1—1955), applicable Code Cases and Addenda in effect at the time the piping or fitting was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10<sup>1</sup> or if ordered after July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the Power Piping Section of the USA Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.1.0—1967), applicable Code Cases and Addenda in effect at the time the piping or fitting was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> For construction authorizations issued on or after April 1, 1970, piping and fittings which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements for Class I piping set forth in the draft Nuclear Power Piping Section of the USA Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.7), dated February 1968 (excluding Code Cases) and Errata dated June 1968, the requirements set forth in Appendix IX—Quality Control and Non-destructive Examination Methods, of the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.<sup>1</sup>

(e) *Pumps and valves.* For construction authorizations issued before April 1, 1970, for reactors not authorized for operation, pumps which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the nondestructive testing requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> Valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall if ordered before July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the American Standard Code for Pressure Piping (ASA B31.1—1955), applicable Code Cases and Addenda in effect at the time the valve was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N2, N7, N9, and N10<sup>1</sup> or if ordered after July 26, 1967, meet the requirements set forth in the Power Piping Section of the USAS Standard Code for Pressure Piping (USAS B31.1.0—1967), applicable Code Cases, and Addenda in effect at the time the valve was ordered, and the requirements set forth in ASA B31 Code Cases N2, N7, N9, and N10.<sup>1</sup> For construction authorizations issued on or after April 1, 1970, pumps and valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the

requirements for Class I pumps and valves set forth in the draft ASME Standard Code for Pumps and Valves for Nuclear Power, dated November 1968 (excluding Code Cases), the requirements set forth in Appendix IX—Quality Control and Nondestructive Examination Methods, of the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, and the requirements set forth in paragraph N-153 in the Summer 1969 Addenda dated June 30, 1969, to the 1968 Edition of Section III of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code.<sup>1</sup>

(f) *Inservice inspection requirements.* For construction authorizations issued on or after April 1, 1970, pressure vessels, piping, fittings, pumps, and valves which are part of the reactor coolant pressure boundary shall meet the requirements set forth in the draft ASME Code for Inservice Inspection of Nuclear Reactor Coolant Systems, dated October 1968<sup>1</sup> (excluding Code Cases). The requirements of this paragraph need not be met by pressure-containing components whose rupture would not result in a loss of reactor coolant in excess of the replenishment capability and capacity of the normal makeup systems for the interval of time necessary to permit a reactor shutdown and orderly cooldown.

(g) *Protection systems.* For construction authorizations issued after April 1, 1970, protection systems shall meet the requirements set forth in the 1968 Edition of the Proposed Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers Criteria for Nuclear Power Plant Protection Systems (IEEE No. 279), dated August 1968.<sup>2</sup>

(h) *Reactor coolant pressure boundary.* As used in paragraphs (c), (d), (e), and (f) of this section "reactor coolant pressure boundary" means all those pressure-containing components, such as pressure vessels, piping, pumps, and valves, within the following systems or portions of systems of boiling and pressurized water-cooled nuclear power reactors:

(1) The reactor coolant system. For a nuclear power reactor of the direct cycle boiling water type, the reactor coolant system extends to and includes the outermost containment isolation valves capable of external actuation,\* in the main steam and feedwater piping, and the reactor coolant system safety and relief valves.

(2) Portions of associated auxiliary systems connected to the reactor coolant system. For piping of these systems which penetrates primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the first containment isolation valve outside the containment capable of external actuation.\* For piping of these

\*Effective date of these amendments.

<sup>1</sup> Copies may be obtained from the American Society of Mechanical Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. Copies are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

<sup>2</sup> A copy may be obtained from the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, United Engineering Center, 345 47th Street, New York, N.Y. 10017. A copy is available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

\*Simple check valves are not acceptable for this purpose.

systems which contains two valves, both of which are normally closed during normal reactor operation, the boundary extends to and includes the second of these valves (the second of which must be capable of external actuation\*), whether or not the system piping penetrates primary reactor containment.

(3) Portions of the emergency core cooling system connected to the reactor coolant system. For piping of this system which penetrates primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the first containment isolation valve outside containment capable of external actuation.\* For piping of this

system which does not penetrate primary reactor containment, the boundary extends to and includes the second of two valves normally closed during normal reactor operation.

(Sec. 161, 68 Stat. 948; 42 U.S.C. 2201)

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 17th day of November, 1969.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

W. B. McCool,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14004; Filed, Nov. 21, 1969;  
9:34 a.m.]

# Notices

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

**ROBERT JOSEPH McCORMICK**

### Notice of Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that Robert Joseph McCormick, 9642 Sussex, Detroit, Mich., has applied for relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of his conviction on May 21, 1919, in the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court, Cleveland, Ohio, of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding 1 year. Unless relief is granted, it will be unlawful for Robert J. McCormick, because of such conviction, to ship, transport, or receive in interstate or foreign commerce any firearm or ammunition, and he would be ineligible for a license under chapter 44, title 18, United States Code as a firearms or ammunition importer, manufacturer, dealer or collector. In addition, under title VII of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (82 Stat. 236; 18 U.S.C., appendix), because of such conviction, it would be unlawful for Robert J. McCormick to receive, possess, or transport in commerce or affecting commerce, any firearm.

Notice is hereby given that I have considered Robert J. McCormick's application and:

(1) I have found that the conviction was made upon a charge which did not involve the use of a firearm or other weapon or a violation of chapter 44, title 18, United States Code, or of the National Firearms Act; and

(2) It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the conviction and the applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief would not be contrary to the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Treasury by section 925(c), title 18, United States Code and delegated to me by 26 CFR 178.144, it is ordered that Robert J. McCormick be, and he hereby is, granted relief from any and all disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms and incurred by reason of the conviction hereinabove described.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of November, 1969.

[SEAL] RANDOLPH W. THROWER,  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13965; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

**CLAUDE ALLEN OSBORNE, JR.**

### Notice of Granting of Relief

Notice is hereby given that Claude Allen Osborne, Jr., 23 West Pollux Circle, Portsmouth, Va. 23701, has applied for relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of his conviction on January 23, 1962, by the Norfolk County Circuit Court, Portsmouth, Va., of a crime punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding 1 year. Unless relief is granted, it will be unlawful for Claude Allen Osborne, Jr. because of such conviction, to ship, transport, or receive in interstate or foreign commerce any firearm or ammunition, and he would be ineligible for a license under chapter 44, title 18, United States Code as a firearms or ammunition importer, manufacturer, dealer or collector. In addition, under title VII of the Omnibus Crime Control and Safe Streets Act of 1968, as amended (82 Stat. 236; 18 U.S.C., appendix), because of such conviction, it would be unlawful for Claude Allen Osborne, Jr. to receive, possess, or transport in commerce or affecting commerce, any firearm.

Notice is hereby given that I have considered Claude Allen Osborne Jr's application and:

(1) I have found that the conviction was made upon a charge which did not involve the use of a firearm or other weapon or a violation of chapter 44, title 18, United States Code, or of the National Firearms Act; and

(2) It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the conviction and the applicant's record and reputation are such that the applicant will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief would not be contrary to the public interest.

Therefore, pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of the Treasury by section 925(c), title 18, United States Code and delegated to me by 26 CFR 178.144, it is ordered that Claude Allen Osborne, Jr. be, and he hereby is, granted relief from any and all disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, receipt, transfer, shipment, or possession of firearms and incurred by reason of the conviction hereinabove described.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of November, 1969.

[SEAL] RANDOLPH W. THROWER,  
Commissioner of Internal Revenue.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13966; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

CALIFORNIA

### Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

NOVEMBER 17, 1969.

The Forest Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial No. R 2573, for the withdrawal of lands described below from prospecting, location, entry, and purchase under the mining laws, subject to valid existing rights.

The lands have previously been withdrawn for the San Gabriel Forest Reserve by Presidential Proclamation No. 38 of December 20, 1892, now the San Bernardino National Forest, and as such have been open to entry under the general mining laws.

The applicant desires the exclusion of mining activity to permit use of such lands for an administrative site, which use is incompatible with mineral development.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 1414 University Avenue, Post Office Box 723, Riverside, Calif. 92502.

The Department's regulations (43 CFR 2311.1-3(c)) provides that the authorized officer of the Bureau of Land Management will undertake such investigations as are necessary to determine the existing and potential demand for the lands and their resources. He will also undertake negotiations with the applicant agency with the view of adjusting the application to reduce the area to the minimum essential to meet the applicant's need, to provide for the maximum concurrent utilization of the lands for purposes other than the applicant's, and to reach agreement on the concurrent management of the lands and their resources.

The authorized officer will also prepare a report for consideration by the Secretary of the Interior who will determine whether or not the lands will be withdrawn as requested by the applicant agency.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:



SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN  
SAN BERNARDINO NATIONAL FOREST  
Pinyon Flat Administrative Site

T. 3 N., R. 7 W.,  
Sec. 15, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$   
SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$   
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ ,  
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ .

Containing 60 acres in San Bernardino County.

WALTER F. HOLMES,  
Assistant Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13943; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

### Agricultural Research Service ECONOMIC POISONS CONTAINING DDT FOR CERTAIN USES

#### Proposed Cancellation of Registration

During the past 25 years DDT has been used extensively for the control of a variety of insect pests. In addition to widespread agricultural use it has been invaluable in the control of certain vectors of diseases. Its continued widespread use and relatively slow dissipation has resulted in contamination of the environment with low levels of DDT. Trace residues can often be detected in areas far removed from sites of application. This was recognized by the President's Science Advisory Committee in its report of May 15, 1963, entitled, "Use of Pesticides." The report recommended an orderly reduction in the use of persistent pesticides with their elimination being the goal. The report of the Environmental Pollution Panel of the PSAC entitled, "Restoring the Quality of Our Environment" also expressed concern over the persistence of pesticides in the environment, and recommended more stringent controls.

In November of 1966 the Department of Agriculture requested that a committee be appointed by the National Research Council to appraise the significance of residues from the standpoint of their effects on the environment. The committee submitted its report in May of 1969, and recommended that immediate attention be given to the problem of buildup of persistent pesticides in the total environment. The Commission on Pesticides and Their Relationship to Environmental Health, appointed by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare, recommended in its report of November 1969 that all uses of DDT be eliminated except those uses essential to the preservation of human health and welfare.

Current information on levels of DDT in the environment warrant the discontinuation of widespread use of DDT when such use is not essential in the production of food or the protection of health. Therefore, continued registration under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act for products containing DDT bearing directions for use as indicated below is not considered to be in the public interest.

Action is being taken to cancel certain uses which contribute significantly to contamination of the environment. These are as follows:

1. All uses on shade trees, including elm trees for control of the elm bark beetle which transmits the Dutch elm disease.
2. All uses on tobacco.
3. All uses in or around the home except limited uses for control of disease vectors as determined by public health officials.
4. All uses in aquatic environments, marshes, wetlands, and adjacent areas, except those which are essential for the control of disease vectors as determined by public health officials.

Registrants have been advised of cancellation of registration for DDT products bearing directions for use as indicated above.

The Department is considering cancellation of any other uses of DDT unless it can be shown that certain uses are essential in the protection of human health and welfare and only those uses for which there are no effective and safe substitutes for the intended use will be continued. This notice is to afford interested persons an opportunity for a period of 90 days to submit views and comments on this proposal.

All persons who desire to submit written data, views, or arguments in connection with this matter should file the same with the Director, Pesticides Regulation Division, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, within 90 days after the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Please make reference in any submissions to "F.R. DDT Notice."

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice will be made available for public inspection at such time and places and in a manner convenient to the public business (7 CFR 1.27(b)).

Done at Washington, D.C., this 20th day of November 1969.

HARRY W. HAYS,  
Director,

Pesticides Regulation Division.

[F.R. Doc. 69-14024; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:50 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

### ACTING DIRECTOR, PLANNING DIVISION, PROGRAM COORDINATION AND SERVICES OFFICE, REGION VI (SAN FRANCISCO)

#### Designation

The official named herein and appointed to the following listed position in Region VI (San Francisco) is hereby designated to serve as Acting Director, Planning Division, Program Coordination and Services Office, Region VI (San Francisco), during the present vacancy in the position of Director, Planning Di-

vision, Program Coordination and Services Office, Region VI, with all the powers, functions, and duties redelegated or assigned to the Director, Planning Division, Program Coordination and Services Office: Arthur Kontura, Planning Requirements Officer, Planning Division.

(Redelagation by Assistant Secretary for Administration effective Jan. 10, 1967)

The effective date of this designation is October 13, 1968.

WARD ELLIOTT,  
Acting Regional Administrator,  
Region VI (San Francisco).

[F.R. Doc. 69-13978; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

## DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

### Federal Aviation Administration

[Docket No. 8555]

#### NEAR MIDAIR COLLISIONS

#### Extension of Policy Regarding Reports

The Federal Aviation Administration is extending to December 31, 1971, inclusive, its 1968 policy that established (32 F.R. 16539) the reporting of near midair collisions. This extension of the 1968 policy is considered appropriate since the final FAA report based upon the 1968 study has been completed and certain actions initiated to reduce the midair collision potential. In order to measure these actions as to their effectiveness, it is deemed appropriate to extend this policy.

Accordingly, the Administrator will take no enforcement or other adverse action, remedial or disciplinary, against any person involved in a near midair collision that is reported to the FAA during the extension of this policy. This action is taken under his statutory mandate to promote safety in flight. Furthermore, the Administrator will, upon written request of the person making the report, withhold that report, and the identity of those persons involved, from public disclosure in accordance with section 1104 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958.

Therefore, it is the policy of the Federal Aviation Administration that if any pilot of an aircraft, Air Traffic Controller, or other person involved in a near midair collision reports the facts, conditions, and circumstances thereof to the FAA—

(a) The Administrator will not subject any person involved in the near midair collision to enforcement or other adverse action, remedial or disciplinary, even though a violation of the Federal Aviation Regulations is disclosed by the report or subsequent investigation; and

(b) Upon written request of the person submitting the report, the Administrator will, to the extent authorized by section 1104 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, withhold the identity of the persons involved in the near midair collision and the information contained in that report from public disclosure.

## NOTICES

This policy applies to near midair collisions which occur from January 1, 1970, to December 31, 1971, inclusive.

Issued under the authority of sections 305, 307(c), 312(c), 313(a), 601(a), 701(a), and 1104 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1346, 1348(c), 1353(c), 1354(a), 1321(a), 1441(a), and 1504.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on November 17, 1969.

D. D. THOMAS,  
*Deputy Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13975; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:47 a.m.]

## CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 20635]

### AEROLINEAS PERUANAS, S.A.

#### Notice of Postponement of Reopened Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the reopened hearing in the above-entitled proceeding now scheduled for December 3, 1969, is postponed to December 10, 1969, at 9:30 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before the undersigned examiner. This postponement is at the request of the applicant.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 19, 1969.

[SEAL] LOUIS W. SORNSON,  
*Hearing Examiner.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13999; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 21627]

### LUFTVERKEHRUNTERNEHMEN ATLANTIS A.G.

#### Notice of Prehearing Conference and Hearing

Application for amendment of foreign air carrier permit.

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference on the above-entitled application is assigned to be held on November 26, 1969, at 2 p.m., e.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Joseph L. Fitzmaurice.

Notice is also given that the hearing may be held immediately following conclusion of the prehearing conference unless at or prior to the conference a person objects or shows reason for further postponement.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 19, 1969.

[SEAL] RALPH L. WISER,  
*Associate Chief Examiner.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14000; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 21047]

### OVERSEAS NATIONAL AIRWAYS, INC.

#### Notice of Change of Date for Prehearing Conference

Application for approval of passenger cruise vessel operation.

Notice is hereby given that the prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter, now assigned for December 8, 1969, is hereby reassigned to be held on December 15, 1969, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before the undersigned.

By notice from the Associate Chief Examiner dated November 14, 1969, interested parties were instructed to make certain submissions to the Examiner and to the parties on or before December 1, 1969. The date for such submissions in advance of the prehearing conference is changed to December 8, 1969.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 19, 1969.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK,  
*Hearing Examiner.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14001; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 21554]

### QUEBECAIR

#### Notice of Hearing

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that a hearing in the above-entitled proceeding will be held on December 9, 1969, at 10 a.m., in Room 911, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner William F. Cusick.

For information concerning the issues involved and other details in this proceeding, interested persons are referred to the Prehearing Conference Report and other documents which are in the docket of this proceeding on file in the Docket Section of the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 19, 1969.

[SEAL] WILLIAM F. CUSICK,  
*Hearing Examiner.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14002; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

[Docket No. 21587]

### WAGNER AVIATION LTD.

#### Notice of Prehearing Conference and Hearing

Application for foreign air carrier permit.

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference on the above-entitled application is assigned to be held on December 3, 1969, at 10 a.m., e.s.t., in Room 805, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C., before Examiner Thomas P. Sheehan.

Notice is also given that the hearing may be held immediately following conclusion of the prehearing conference unless at or prior to the conference a person objects or shows reason for further postponement.

Dated at Washington, D.C., November 19, 1969.

[SEAL] RALPH L. WISER,  
*Associate Chief Examiner.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-14003; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:49 a.m.]

## CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

#### Notice of Grant of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Special Assistant to the Secretary for Special Programs in the Office of the Secretary.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13985; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

#### Notice of Revocation of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Director, Center for Community Planning in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Individual and Family Services.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13986; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

### DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

#### Notice of Title Change in Noncareer Executive Assignment

By notice of November 17, 1967, F.R. Doc. 67-13608, the Civil Service Commission authorized the departments and

agencies to fill by noncareer executive assignment, certain positions removed from Schedule C of Civil Service Rule VI by 5 CFR 213.3301a on November 17, 1967. This is notice that the title of one such position so authorized to be filled by noncareer executive assignment has been changed from "Assistant to the Secretary" to "Executive Assistant to the Secretary".

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13987; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

##### Notice of Grant of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Department of Housing and Urban Development to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Special Assistant for Mortgage Interest Rates, Immediate Office of the Secretary.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13988; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

##### Notice of Revocation of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assign- ment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Housing and Urban Development to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Director of Regional Support.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13989; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

##### Notice of Revocation of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assign- ment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of Housing and

Urban Development to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy for Model Cities and Governmental Relations in the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Model Cities and Governmental Relations.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13990; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

##### Notice of Grant of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Department of the Interior to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Assistant to the Commissioner for Program Review, Office of the Commissioner for Fish and Wildlife.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13991; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

##### Notice of Revocation of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assign- ment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission revokes the authority of the Department of State to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Chairman, Policy Planning Council.

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13992; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:48 a.m.]

#### DEPARTMENT OF STATE

##### Notice of Grant of Authority To Make Noncareer Executive Assignment

Under authority of § 9.20 of Civil Service Rule IX (5 CFR 9.20), the Civil Service Commission authorizes the Department of State to fill by noncareer executive assignment in the excepted service the position of Deputy Director for Planning, Planning and Coordination Staff (S/PC).

UNITED STATES CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION,

[SEAL] JAMES C. SPRY,  
*Executive Assistant to  
the Commissioners.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13993; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:49 a.m.]

## FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

[Farm Credit Administration Order No. 731]

### DEPUTY GOVERNOR ET AL.

#### Authorization To Authenticate Docu- ments, Certify Official Records, and Affix Seal

1. H. T. Mason, Deputy Governor, Helen E. McWilliams, Secretary to the Governor, Bernice R. Meuers, Secretary to the Deputy Governor, D. Elizabeth Frew, Legal Clerical Assistant and Secretary to the General Counsel, and Dorothy P. Smith, Secretary, severally and not jointly, are authorized and empowered:

(a) To execute and issue under the seal of the Farm Credit Administration, statements (1) authenticating copies of, or excerpts from, official records and files of the Farm Credit Administration; (2) certifying, on the basis of the records of the Farm Credit Administration, the effective periods of regulations, orders, instructions, and regulatory announcements; and (3) certifying, on the basis of the records of the Farm Credit Administration, the appointment, qualification, and continuance in office of any officer or employee of the Farm Credit Administration, or any conservator or receiver acting under the supervision or direction of the Farm Credit Administration.

(b) To sign official documents and to affix the seal of the Farm Credit Administration thereon for the purpose of attesting the signatures of officials of the Farm Credit Administration.

(2) The provisions of this order shall be effective November 17, 1969, and on that date shall supersede Farm Credit Administration Order No. 707, dated July 1, 1966, 31 F.R. 9522.

E. A. JAENKE,  
*Governor,*

*Farm Credit Administration.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13979; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

## FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 18610; FCC 69R-476]

### MANATEE CABLEVISION, INC.

#### Memorandum Opinion and Order Enlarging Issues

In the matter of petition by Manatee Cablevision, Inc., to stay construction and operation of CATV distribution facilities in Manatee County, Fla., by General Telephone System, General Telephone Company of Florida, and GT&E Communications, Inc.

1. On August 25, 1969, Manatee Cablevision, Inc. (Manatee), filed the instant petition which requests the Review Board to amend the Commission's memorandum opinion and order and order to show cause (18 FCC 2d 812, released Aug. 4, 1969) in this proceeding insofar as temporary stay provisions are concerned and to enlarge the already specified issues herein by renumbering the

last issue from (d) to (i) and by inserting the following new issues:<sup>1</sup>

(d) To determine the locations of messenger strand, trunk coaxial cable and distribution coaxial cable (both energized and unenergized) and the location, number and identity of subscribers actually receiving television pictures distributed by GTEC's CATV system in Manatee County as of July 30, 1969, August 4, 1969, and the date of this order;

(e) To determine whether, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to issue (d) above, GTEC, General of Florida or General Telephone and Electronics Corp. have violated the terms of the temporary stay order adopted by the Commission on July 29, 1969 (FCC 69-821);

(f) To determine whether GTEC's CATV system in Manatee County, Fla., commenced operations in violation of § 74.1105(c) of the Commission's rules and regulations;

(g) To determine whether GTEC's CATV system in Manatee County, Fla., is extending the signals of television stations beyond their Grade B contours into the city of Bradenton, Fla., in violation of §§ 74.1107 and 74.1105 of the Commission's rules and regulations;

(h) To determine whether, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, the General Telephone Company of Florida, GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone and Electronics Corp., jointly or severally, should be directed to cease and desist from providing CATV facilities or service within or to Manatee County, Fla.

2. This proceeding was initiated as a result of charges made by Manatee, the holder of a nonexclusive franchise to provide CATV service in Manatee County, that General Telephone System (General) and its affiliates, including GTEC, in constructing and rapidly expanding construction of CATV distribution facilities in Manatee County, have engaged in anticompetitive practices, acted to circumvent section 214 of the Communications Act, and violated the Commission's partial stay order in Docket No. 17333. On the basis of the pleadings before it, the Commission determined that substantial questions of law and fact are raised by the actions of a common carrier (General Telephone Company of Florida (GTFC)) which is holding itself out to provide service that is subject to certification procedures under section 214 of the Act and by the actions of an affiliated CATV company, i.e., GTEC. Noting this proceeding's similarity to TeleCable Corp., 17 FCC 2d 517 (1969), the Commission found that a substantial question is raised here as to whether the primary thrust of the local telephone company's actions is to retain to itself complete ownership and control of CATV distribution facilities within a commu-

nity and to reject, directly or indirectly, attempts by independent CATV operators to own, construct or operate their own distribution facilities through appropriate pole attachment arrangements. Holding that GTFC is bound by the decision in Docket No. 17333<sup>2</sup> which requires a prior certification of public convenience and necessity under section 214 of CATV distribution facilities and pointing out that the alleged activities of GTFC and GTEC, if demonstrated, would substantially lessen competition or restrain commerce or unlawfully create a monopoly, the Commission issued a show cause order and directed that an expedited hearing be held to inquire into such activities and to determine whether section 214 certification is required by the Commission in connection with the construction and operation of CATV distribution facilities in Manatee County by GTFC and GTEC.<sup>3</sup> The Commission further ordered that the respondents in this proceeding, General Telephone & Electronics Corp., GTFC, and GTEC, are prohibited from placing into operation any CATV distribution facilities in Manatee County pending resolution of the specified issues or certification of such facilities by the Commission, whichever occurs first. The stay order was based on the "reasonable likelihood that CATV service will be commenced before a decision is issued in this case", and was issued to prohibit "GTEC from commencing CATV operations until the issues designated in this proceeding are resolved or until further order of the Commission."

3. In the instant petition, Manatee, through the attached affidavit of its President, states that the installation of "house-drop cable" by GTEC has been observed, and that by such action GTEC has commenced the delivery of CATV service to an unknown but apparently large number of new customers since the date of the Commission's show cause order. On this basis, Manatee argues that GTEC's activities clearly violate the terms of the Commission's stay order herein and that such violation itself warrants the institution of a show cause proceeding. However, the petitioner suggests that, in view of the similarity of the parties who would participate in such a proceeding and those who are involved herein and in light of the gravity of GTEC's alleged violation of the stay order and the consequent need for expedition, the Board should combine an inquiry into this latest violation of GTEC with the present show cause proceeding by adding new issues (d) and (e), noted

<sup>2</sup> General Telephone Company of California, 13 FCC 2d 448, 13 RR 2d 687 (1968); reconsideration denied 14 FCC 2d 693, 14 RR 2d 341 (1968), affirmed ----- U.S. App. D.C. -----, 16 RR 2d 2001 (D.C. Cir. 1969), cert. denied ----- U.S. -----, 38 LW 3150 (1969).

<sup>3</sup> An inquiry was also directed as to whether the actions of GTFC, GTEC, and GT&E, acting alone or in concert with others in relation to Manatee, are anticompetitive and monopolistic in nature, in contravention of the Communications Act or otherwise contrary to the public interest.

above.<sup>4</sup> Although it is Manatee's belief that the presently specified issues would permit the introduction of evidence concerning the subject matter of proposed issues (d) and (e), the petitioner points out that addition of the issues would remove any doubt and would insure the speedy issuance of a cease and desist order for violation of the stay provisions of the Commission's designation order.

4. According to the petitioner, proposed issues (f) and (g) look toward an inquiry into alleged violations by GTEC of §§ 74.1105 and 74.1107 of the Commission's rules by virtue of GTEC's carriage of the signal of Station WINK-TV (CBS), Fort Myers, Fla. Manatee alleges that information obtained from GTEC's office in the city of Bradenton, Fla., and subsequently verified by personal observation, indicates that GTEC is and has been carrying the WINK-TV signal throughout its entire system, which from a single headend serves the separate franchise areas of Manatee County and the city of Bradenton. The alleged violations of §§ 74.1107 and 74.1105(a) are based on the allegation of GTEC's carriage of the WINK-TV signal in Bradenton which, according to allegedly uncontroverted engineering evidence, is not reached by that station's Grade B contour and which is located within the Tampa-St. Petersburg market, the 31st television market;<sup>5</sup> on the allegation that the Commission's records do not contain a § 74.1107 waiver request by Bradenton Cablevision, GTEC's predecessor, or by any other CATV system for Bradenton, nor do they show Commission authorization for the carriage of the WINK-TV signal in Bradenton; and on the further allegation that there is no record that Bradenton Cablevision ever submitted the required § 74.1105 notification of WINK-TV's carriage. In addition, the petitioner claims that although the WINK-TV signal is not distant to Manatee County, GTEC should not be carrying the signal without further Commission action since, on September 25, 1968, Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc., filed a petition directed to Bradenton Cablevision's notification of CATV service, which petition invoked the stay provisions of § 74.1105(c). Since the au-

<sup>4</sup> The supporting affidavit of Manatee's President indicates that GTEC has continued to deliver cable service to new subscribers in Manatee County since the release date of the Commission's show cause order. Petitioner interprets the Commission's stay order as an intention "to stop all existing GTEC services as well as all new service." Although Manatee recognizes that a question might arise as to whether GTEC could continue to deliver its service to subscribers who were receiving such service prior to Aug. 4, 1969, it asserts that there can be no dispute that GTEC had been ordered not to commence service to any new homes or businesses after Aug. 4, 1969.

<sup>5</sup> The engineering evidence relied on was introduced in Docket No. 17051 and included a map which was subsequently attached to a petition to reject or set for hearing, filed on Sept. 25, 1968, by Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc. (File No. SR-96819). The evidence allegedly shows that WINK-TV's Grade B contour reaches only as far as the southern portion of Manatee County and falls at least 5 miles short of Bradenton.

<sup>1</sup> Related pleadings before the Board for consideration are: (a) Comments, filed Sept. 4, 1969, by the CATV Task Force; (b) opposition, filed Sept. 9, 1969, by GT&E Communications, Inc. (GTEC); and (c) reply, filed Sept. 16, 1969, by Manatee.

omatic stay is still in effect, Manatee argues, GTEC's carriage of the WINK-TV signal in Manatee County constitutes a violation of § 74.1105(c) of the rules. Petitioner proposes the addition of issue (h) to this proceeding as the standard conclusory issue in show cause proceedings, citing TeleCable Corp., supra, and suggests that its omission here was inadvertent.

5. In its comments on Manatee's petition, the CATV Task Force agrees that an inquiry into GTEC's alleged violations of the Commission's stay order may be appropriately added here since the Commission is concerned with the effect of such violations on the CATV situation in Manatee County and since hearing has been ordered on other issues. The Task Force believes that the Commission's language in imposing the stay order clearly proscribes the placing into operation of any CATV distribution facilities, either fully constructed or in the process of construction, in Manatee County.<sup>6</sup> According to the Task Force, the Commission's ban must be taken to include a prohibition against the commencement of service over new "drops" from already energized facilities to subscribers' homes or businesses in light of the difficulty of withdrawing an existing service in the event of a decision adverse to GTEC. Although the Task Force recognizes the fact that the Commission has interpreted its decision in Docket No. 17333 as not prohibiting the installation of "drops" to the premises of CATV subscribers from trunk and feeder lines in operation on June 26, 1968, the date of the section 214 decision,<sup>7</sup> it distinguishes the instant proceeding on the basis of the allegations of anticompetitive conduct here, a situation allegedly not before the Commission in Docket No. 17333. In regard to Manatee's proposed issues (f) and (g), the Task Force is of the opinion that alleged violations of Part 74 of the Commission's rules in the city of Bradenton are irrelevant to the instant proceeding and should not be put in issue here. While it concedes that compliance with Part 74 by a CATV system may be relevant in determining whether a section 214 certification application should be granted, the Task Force notes that the instant proceeding does not involve a pending section 214 application, but rather seeks to determine whether the circumstances require certification. The Task Force also points out that the question of whether or not the WINK-TV signal is local to GTEC's operation in the unincorporated areas of Manatee County would require an engineering determination and the participation by any interested broadcasters in the resolution of that question. Since such a process would derogate from the expedition ordered by the Commission

herein and since the question can be separately resolved, perhaps without the need for a hearing, the Task Force opposes Manatee's request for the proposed Part 74 issues. Finally, the Task Force offers no objection to proposed issue (h) although it is of the opinion that the ultimate question of whether a cease and desist order should issue is implicit in any show cause proceeding.

6. GTEC, supported by affidavits attached to its opposition, denies that it is engaged in a rapid construction program in Manatee County. While it does concede that it has continued to make "drops" from cable energized and in operation prior to the release date of the show cause order, GTEC denies that it has placed in operation any CATV distribution facilities not theretofore operational, which is all that the stay order proscribes. GTEC argues that the purpose of the temporary injunction was to preserve the status quo and that the Commission never intended, nor has it the power, to terminate preexisting operations before the resolution of the question of whether or not a cease and desist order should issue against the respondents. In support of this interpretation of the stay order, GTEC refers to the General Telephone Company of California proceeding wherein the Commission permitted the installation of "drops" from distribution cable energized and in operation prior to the effective date of the injunction. GTEC contends that it would not be in the public interest to prohibit the addition of "drops" since that would only serve to deprive persons desiring CATV service from obtaining it; GTEC also claims that its conduct does not injure petitioner or limit Manatee's own construction program since GTEC is not now constructing distribution facilities in the unincorporated areas of Manatee County. On this basis then, GTEC concludes that there is no need to specify the proposed issues relating to its compliance with the stay order. In regard to alleged violations of §§ 74.1105 and 74.1107, GTEC agrees with the Task Force that Manatee's proposed issues would unduly complicate this proceeding by raising matters extraneous to the principal questions which concern the Commission. GTEC also notes that since its acquisition of the CATV systems in Bradenton and Manatee County, it has not added any additional signals to its service and that it is currently seeking to determine whether any aspects of its operation are, in fact, inconsistent with Commission requirements.<sup>8</sup>

<sup>6</sup> GTEC also questions whether the failure of Hubbard Broadcasting, Inc. to oppose Manatee's carriage of the WINK-TV signal in Manatee County constitutes an abandonment of its position against such carriage by GTEC's predecessor; it also points to the Commission's policy which recognizes that competitive CATV systems should be permitted to carry the same signal GTEC notes that it received the customary warranties in its acquisition of the CATV system that the operations complied with Commission requirements and that, in any event, any violations of Commission's rules which are assumed, arguendo, to exist are not willful on its part.

7. In its reply pleading, Manatee denies that it seeks to alter the terms of the stay order to preclude "drops"; it asserts that the parameters of the stay order are clear and that it merely proposes issues which would determine whether GTEC has violated that order. The petitioner argues that, by its memorandum opinion and order (19 FCC 2d 647, released Sept. 12, 1969) amending the stay provisions herein, the Commission clearly granted the Review Board authority to amend those provisions by adding a prohibition against the new or continued operation of any "drop" lines not energized by August 4, 1969, regardless of whether or not the distribution facilities feeding these "drop" lines were energized prior to that date. Manatee would have the Board add proposed issues (d) and (e) in order to make it clear that a cease and desist order may be issued solely because of GTEC's alleged violations of the stay order. Petitioner suggests, however, that the Board can and should amend the stay order to include a prohibition against "drop" lines if there is any doubt as to the scope of the original stay order.

8. The first question which Manatee's instant petition raises for the Board's consideration involves the scope of the stay provisions imposed by the Commission in this proceeding. Initially, we note that the original prohibition contained in the order to show cause herein prevents the respondents "from placing into operation any CATV distribution facilities in Manatee County" pending resolution of the specified issues or certification of those facilities by the Commission, whichever occurs first. In response to a further petition, filed by Manatee on September 4, 1969, concerning the further construction of additional CATV facilities in Manatee County by the respondents, the Commission amended its original stay order to prohibit the respondents from "constructing any CATV channel distribution facilities in Manatee County" without prior certification by the Commission and from "operating or placing into operation" any such facilities "which had not been completed and in operation before August 4, 1969," the release date of the show cause order herein. See 19 FCC 2d 647, released September 12, 1969. In its order amending the stay provisions, the Commission pointed out that its action should not be construed as a determination that the respondents have engaged in the activities charged by Manatee or have violated the outstanding stay order, and it refused to comment on the question of whether the respondents should be prohibited from installing "drops" from distribution facilities energized before August 4, 1969, in light of the Board's anticipated consideration of the question on the basis of the instant pleadings. In a more recent ruling on a request by GTEC,<sup>9</sup> the Commission, in an attempt to insure the maintenance of the status quo in Manatee County, ordered that, as a condition

<sup>9</sup> Memorandum opinion and order, 19 FCC 2d 951, 17 RR 2d 559, released Oct. 6, 1969.

<sup>7</sup> The Task Force disagrees with Manatee's claim that the Commission intended to stop all existing GTEC service as well as new service and contends that the order clearly implies that already existing service would be permitted to continue.

<sup>8</sup> See Memorandum Opinion and Order in Docket No. 17333, FCC 68-715, released July 5, 1968.

to the maintenance of the outstanding order against GTEC, Manatee agree that during the pendency of this proceeding it will undertake no further construction of feeder or distribution cable after the release of the Commission's order and that it will not operate or place into operation any CATV feeder or distribution cable which had not been completed and energized prior to the release of the order. The Commission, however, stated that Manatee will be permitted to continue the installation of drops from distribution facilities constructed and energized prior to the release date of the order.<sup>10</sup>

9. The Commission's amendment of the stay order herein effectively refutes Manatee's claim that the Commission intended to prohibit all existing CATV service as well as new service by GTEC. The Commission, in its ordering clause, clearly indicates that distribution facilities completed and in operation prior to the release date of the show cause order (Aug. 4, 1969) could continue to provide service to CATV subscribers in Manatee County. Although the Commission refused to comment on the question now before us, its more recent ruling appears to be dispositive on the issue of whether the respondents should or should not be prohibited from installing "drops" from distribution facilities energized before August 4, 1969. In that ruling, the Commission attempted to strike an equitable balance in regard to the CATV operations of the respondents and Manatee in order to maintain the status quo to the extent possible; in so doing, the Commission specifically allowed Manatee to continue the installation of "drops" from distribution facilities constructed and energized prior to the release date of the order (Oct. 6, 1969). We can only conclude from this action that the Commission intended to permit the installation of "drops" from similar facilities energized prior to August 4, 1969, by GTEC when it imposed the stay provisions of the show cause order. Such an interpretation conforms with the Commission's expressed intention herein to accord equal treatment to the competing CATV systems and conforms with the Commission's approval of such activities in Docket No. 17333.<sup>11</sup> Since, on the basis

<sup>10</sup> The Commission requested a statement from Manatee agreeing to such a restriction within a certain period of time. Without such a statement, the Commission indicated that it would vacate its Sept. 12, 1969, order prohibiting the construction and operation of CATV distribution facilities by GTEC. Manatee subsequently filed a statement of compliance in which it agreed to such restriction.

<sup>11</sup> We do recognize, as the Task Force notes in its comments, that the Commission's sanction of the further installation of "drops" in the section 214 proceeding is not necessarily dispositive of the question in the context of the instant proceeding. We also are aware that such CATV service as is provided by GTEC after Aug. 4, 1969, could be withdrawn in the event of a decision adverse to the respondents. However, the Commission's intention in this regard seems clear to us as a result of its Oct. 6, 1969, ruling, and we will abide by that intention.

of the facts before us, GTEC does not appear to be in violation of the Commission's stay order insofar as its operations in the unincorporated areas of Manatee County are concerned, we see no need to include proposed issues (d) and (e) in this proceeding.<sup>12</sup>

10. The Board will also deny Manatee's further request for proposed issues inquiring into alleged violations of §§ 74.1105 and 74.1107 of the rules by GTEC. The gravamen of Manatee's allegations in this regard are more appropriately raised in the context of a separate proceeding and/or in the context of the Commission's consideration of an application for section 214 certification, if it is ultimately determined in this proceeding that such certification is required. We agree with the Task Force that, to resolve the questions of alleged violations of §§ 74.1105 and 74.1107, an engineering determination would be required which would, in turn, necessitate the participation of any interested broadcasters, and that the effect of Hubbard's petition invoking the automatic stay provisions of § 74.1105(c) against the carriage of the WINK-TV signal in Manatee County by GTEC's predecessor would have to be determined. To inject such contested matters into this proceeding would be in derogation of the Commission's order that the instant show cause proceeding be expedited and would result in further hearing on issues not directly relevant to the determination to be made herein, i.e., whether the actions of the respondents are such as to require section 214 certification and are anticompetitive and monopolistic in nature. Moreover, the questions now raised by Manatee are capable of resolution without recourse to hearing. In these circumstances, we will decline to complicate the instant proceeding further by specifying proposed issues (f) and (g), but without prejudice to the refile of a similar request by Manatee when appropriate, as noted above. We will add the proposed conclusory issue suggested by Manatee to determine whether a cease and desist order should be directed against the respondents even though such a determination is implicit in this proceeding (see issue (d)) and has been articulated sufficiently in the show cause order itself. Addition of the issue is consistent with TeleCable Corp., supra, and has not been opposed by GTEC or the Task Force, and its omission appears to have been inadvertent.

11. Accordingly, it is ordered, That the petition to amend order to show cause and to enlarge issues, filed August 25,

<sup>12</sup> In its Oct. 6, 1969, ruling, the Commission noted Manatee's allegation that GTEC is presently constructing CATV facilities in Bradenton and warned that, if such charge is accurate, then GTEC is constructing facilities in direct violation of the stay order and thereby subjecting itself to legal sanctions and penalties. As previously indicated, Manatee has not specifically alleged that GTEC has constructed distribution facilities in the unincorporated areas of Manatee County after Aug. 4, 1969, in support of the instant petition.

1969, by Manatee Cablevision, Inc., is granted to the extent that the issues in this proceeding are enlarged by the addition of the following issue (d) to this proceeding:

(d) To determine whether, in light of the evidence adduced pursuant to the foregoing issues, the General Telephone Company of Florida, GT&E Communications, Inc., and General Telephone and Electronics Corp., jointly or severally, should be directed to cease and desist from providing CATV facilities or service within or to Manatee County, Fla.; and

existing issue (d) is redesignated as issue (e); and, in all other respects, the petition is denied.

Adopted: November 19, 1969.

Released: November 20, 1969.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS  
COMMISSION,<sup>13</sup>

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13998; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:49 a.m.]

## FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP70-16]

### SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

#### Notice of Proposed Changes in Rates and Charges

NOVEMBER 19, 1969.

Take notice that Southern Natural Gas Co. (Southern) on November 14, 1969, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Sixth Revised Volume No. 1,<sup>1</sup> to become effective on December 16, 1969. The proposed rate changes would increase charges for jurisdictional service by \$2,378,650 annually, based on sales volumes for the 12-month period ended April 30, 1969, as adjusted.

Southern states that the reason for the proposed rate increase is occasioned solely by, and will compensate Southern only for an increase in its cost of purchased gas, resulting from the filing of proposed increased rates by its supplier, United Gas Pipe Line Co. on October 31, 1969, in Docket No. RP70-13. If United's proposed increased rates are suspended Southern proposes that its rate changes become effective on the same day as United's in lieu of the December 16, 1969, requested effective date.

Copies of the filing were served on Southern's customers and interested state commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said

<sup>13</sup> Board Member Nelson dissenting in part and voting to stay additional drops by General.

<sup>1</sup> Sixth Revised Sheet Nos. 8A, 8D, 11H, 15A, 15D, 26A, and 26D; Second Revised Sheet Nos. 8E, 15E, and 26E; 10th Revised Sheet Nos. 9, 16, 23, and 27; Fourth Revised Sheet No. 11F; Fifth Revised Sheet No. 11J, and Seventh Revised Sheet No. 30.

application should on or before December 8, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13939; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. RP70-17]

### SOUTH GEORGIA NATURAL GAS CO.

#### Notice of Proposed Changes in Rates and Charges

NOVEMBER 19, 1969.

Take notice that South Georgia Natural Gas Co. (South Georgia) on November 14, 1969, tendered for filing proposed changes in its FPC Gas Tariff, Original Volume No. 1,<sup>1</sup> to become effective on December 16, 1969. The proposed rate changes would increase charges for jurisdictional service by \$46,228 annually, based on sales volumes for the 12-month period ended May 31, 1969, as adjusted.

South Georgia states that the reason for the proposed rate increase is occasioned solely by, and will compensate South Georgia only for an increase in its cost of purchased gas, resulting from the filing of proposed increased rates by its supplier, Southern Natural Gas Co. on November 14, 1969, in Docket No. RP70-16. If Southern's proposed increased rates are suspended South Georgia proposes that its rate changes become effective on the same day as Southern's, in lieu of the December 16, 1969, requested effective date.

Copies of the filing were served on South Georgia's customers and interested State Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 8, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, petitions to intervene or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not

serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Persons wishing to become parties to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file petitions to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules. The application is on file with the Commission and available for public inspection.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13940; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP70-123]

### NORTHWEST ALABAMA GAS DISTRICT AND TENNESSEE GAS PIPELINE CO.

#### Notice of Application

NOVEMBER 19, 1969.

Take notice that on November 10, 1969, Northwest Alabama Gas District (Applicant), Post Office Box 129, Hamilton, Ala. 35570, filed in Docket No. CP70-123 an application pursuant to section 7(a) of the Natural Gas Act for an order of the Commission directing Tennessee Gas Pipeline Co., a division of Tenneco Inc. (respondent), to establish physical connection with, and sell volumes of natural gas to, Applicant for resale and distribution in the town of Sulligent, Ala., all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant proposes to construct and operate approximately 4.9 miles of 3-inch lateral line from Sulligent to the mainline facilities of respondent in Lamar County, Tex., at a cost of \$54,800, to be financed with funds on hand. Applicant's estimated third year peak day and annual natural gas requirements are 686 Mcf and 166,927 Mcf, respectively. Applicant states that it is no longer able to provide adequate pressures to serve Sulligent from its existing source of supply, Southern Natural Gas Co., and therefore proposes to serve the Sulligent distribution system from applicant's system in lieu of Southern's.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 12, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13941; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. CP70-7]

### SOUTHERN NATURAL GAS CO.

#### Notice of Petition To Amend

NOVEMBER 19, 1969.

Take notice that on November 10, 1969, Southern Natural Gas Co. (Southern), Post Office Box 2563, Birmingham, Ala. 35202, filed in Docket No. CP70-7 a petition to amend the order of the Commission issued October 29, 1969, to authorize the sale and delivery of increased volumes of natural gas to existing customers, all as more fully set forth in the petition to amend which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Applicant states that Carolina Pipeline Co. and the city of Cartersville, Ga., have requested increases in contract demand from the previously authorized 40,000 Mcf and 9,000 Mcf, respectively, to 45,000 Mcf and 10,500 Mcf, respectively due to growth in gas demand.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before December 12, 1969, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a petition to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10) and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

GORDON M. GRANT,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13942; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

### FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

#### FIRST MIDWEST BANCORP., INC.

#### Notice of Application for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that application has been made, pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)), by First Midwest Bancorp., Inc., St. Joseph, Mo., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of action whereby Applicant would become a bank holding company through the acquisition of 100 percent (less directors' qualifying shares) of the voting shares of The First National Bank of St. Joseph, St. Joseph; First Stock Yards Bank, South St. Joseph; and The First Trust Bank, St. Joseph, all in Missouri.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) Any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be

<sup>1</sup>17th Revised Sheet No. 5, 16th Revised Sheet No. 6, Eighth Revised Sheet No. 9, Seventh Revised Sheet No. 11, 11th Revised Sheet No. 12B.

in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) Any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 19th day of November 1969.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13963; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

### ATLANTIC NATIONAL BANK OF JACKSONVILLE AND ATLANTIC BANCORPORATION

#### Notice of Applications for Approval of Acquisition of Shares of Bank

Notice is hereby given that applications have been made, pursuant to section 3(a) of the Bank Holding Company Act of 1956 (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)), by The Atlantic National Bank of Jacksonville, and Atlantic Bancorporation, which are bank holding companies located in Jacksonville, Fla., for prior approval by the Board of Governors of the acquisition by Applicants of not less than 80 percent of the voting shares of Aloma National Bank of Winter Park, Winter Park, Fla.

Section 3(c) of the Act provides that the Board shall not approve:

(1) any acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 which would result in a monopoly, or which would be in furtherance of any combination or conspiracy to monopolize or to attempt to monopolize the business of banking in any part of the United States, or

(2) any other proposed acquisition or merger or consolidation under section 3 whose effect in any section of the country may be substantially to lessen competition, or to tend to create a monopoly, or

which in any other manner would be in restraint of trade, unless the Board finds that the anticompetitive effects of the proposed transaction are clearly outweighed in the public interest by the probable effect of the transaction in meeting the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Section 3(c) further provides that, in every case, the Board shall take into consideration the financial and managerial resources and future prospects of the company or companies and the banks concerned, and the convenience and needs of the community to be served.

Not later than thirty (30) days after the publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER, comments and views regarding the proposed acquisition may be filed with the Board. Communications should be addressed to the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551. The application may be inspected at the office of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of November 1969.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] ROBERT P. FORRESTAL,  
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13962; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-4563]

### COMMONWEALTH UNITED CORP.

#### Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 18, 1969.

The Common stock, \$1 par value, of Commonwealth United Corp. (a California corporation), being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange, and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange, the 6 percent convertible subordinated debentures due 1983, being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange and the Philadelphia - Baltimore - Washington Stock Exchange, the warrants for \$1 par common stock and the \$1.05 convertible preferred stock being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, and the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange pursuant to the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and all other securities of Commonwealth United Corp., being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange; and

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in such securities on such exchanges and otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to sections 15(c)(5) and 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in

such securities on the American Stock Exchange, the Pacific Coast Stock Exchange, and the Philadelphia-Baltimore-Washington Stock Exchange, and otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period November 19, 1969 through November 28, 1969, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13946; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

### TRANSCIEVER CORPORATION OF AMERICA

#### Order Suspending Trading

NOVEMBER 17, 1969.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Transceiver Corporation of America (a Delaware corporation), and all other securities of Transceiver Corporation of America being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 15(c)(5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange be summarily suspended, this order to be effective for the period November 17, 1969, 2 p.m., e.s.t., through November 26, 1969, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DUBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13947; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

[70-4811]

### APPALACHIAN POWER CO. ET AL.

#### Notice of Proposed Sale of Utility Assets to Nonassociate Company

NOVEMBER 18, 1969.

In the matter of Appalachian Power Company, Kingsport Power Company, American Electric Power Company, Inc., 2 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10004; 70-4811.

Notice is hereby given that Appalachian Power Co. ("Appalachian") and Kingsport Power Co. ("Kingsport"), electric utility companies, and their parent company, American Electric Power Co., Inc., a registered holding company, have filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 12(d) of the Act and Rule 44 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Appalachian and Kingsport propose to sell to United Inter-Mountain Telephone



Co. ("United"), a nonassociate company, 6,897 and 3,098 wood poles, respectively, for a respective cash consideration of \$291,900 and \$163,100. It is stated that such sales price is based on the depreciated reproduction cost of said property. Proposed journal entries with respect to the transactions are to be filed by amendment.

It is stated that for many years Appalachian and Kingsport have made joint use with United of certain poles owned by them; that they own considerably more of such jointly used poles than does United; that it is in the interest of Appalachian and Kingsport to effectuate the proposed sale in order to bring about greater equality of investment in the jointly used poles; and that after the proposed sale is consummated the companies will continue to make joint use of the poles as heretofore.

It is stated that the fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transactions will be nominal. It is further stated that the State Corporation Commission of Virginia has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions between Appalachian and United and that a copy of the order of the State Corporation Commission of Virginia will be filed by amendment. It is also stated that Kingsport and United are regulated by the Tennessee Public Service Commission, which has been advised of the proposed purchase of the poles by United and the sale thereof by Kingsport.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 8, 1969, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13948; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

[70-4812]

#### CENTRAL POWER AND LIGHT CO.

##### Notice of Proposed Issue and Sale of First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

NOVEMBER 18, 1969.

Notice is hereby given that Central Power and Light Co. ("CP&L"), 120 North Chaparral Street, Corpus Christi, Tex. 78403, an electric utility subsidiary company of Central and South West Corp. ("Central"), a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6(a) and 7 of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

CP&L proposes to issue and sell, pursuant to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50, \$25 million principal amount of first mortgage bonds, Series K, ----- percent due January 1, 2000. The interest rate (which shall be a multiple of one-eighth of 1 percent and the price, exclusive of accrued interest, to be paid to CP&L for the bonds (which shall be not less than 99 percent nor more than 102¾ percent of the principal amount of the bonds) will be determined by the competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under the first mortgage dated November 1, 1943, between CP&L and the First National Bank of Chicago and Robert L. Grinnell, as trustees, as heretofore supplemented and as to be further supplemented by a supplemental indenture to be dated January 1, 1970, and which includes a prohibition until January 1, 1975, against refunding the issue with the proceeds of funds borrowed at a lower annual cost of money.

The net proceeds from the sale of the bonds will be used to finance the construction program of CP&L (including repayment or prepayment of borrowings from banks and from Central incurred therefor which borrowings aggregated \$8,375,000 as of Sept. 30, 1969). Construction expenditures for 1970 are presently estimated at \$46 million.

It is stated that the fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the issue and sale of the bonds are estimated at \$57,000, including accountants' fees of \$3,000 and counsel fees of \$15,000. The fees of counsel for the underwriters, to be paid by the successful bidders, are estimated at not to exceed \$9,300. It is further stated that no State commission, and no Federal commission, other than

this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 19, 1969, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the declarant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered, will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13949; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

[70-4814]

#### MONONGAHELA POWER CO.

##### Notice of Proposed Increase in Short-Term Note Borrowing and Request for Exception From Competitive Bidding

NOVEMBER 18, 1969.

Notice is hereby given that Monongahela Power Co. ("Monongahela"), 1310 Fairmont Avenue, Fairmont, W. Va. 26554, a registered holding company and an electric utility subsidiary company of Allegheny Power System, Inc., also a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50(a) (5) promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transactions.

Monongahela requests that from the date of the granting of this application to December 31, 1971, the exemption from the provisions of section 6(a) of

the Act afforded to it by the first sentence of section 6(b) of the Act, relating to the issue of short-term notes, be increased to the extent necessary to cover the issue and sale of notes to banks and to dealers in commercial paper up to the maximum amount allowable under Monongahela's charter without preferred stockholder consent, which, as of September 30, 1969, amounted to \$23,650,000. Monongahela proposes, under the proposed exemption, to issue and sell and to renew or extend from time to time its short-term notes to banks and to dealers in commercial paper prior to December 31, 1971, provided that none of such notes shall mature later than June 30, 1972 and provided further that \$23,650,000 represents the maximum amount of notes to be outstanding at any one time. Changes may be made in the maximum amount of notes to be outstanding upon the filing of a posteffective amendment and additional authorization by the Commission. The proceeds from the sale of the notes will be used by Monongahela to reimburse its treasury for past expenditures made in connection with its construction program and that of its subsidiary company; to pay in part the cost of such future construction; and for other corporate purposes. Construction expenditures of Monongahela and its subsidiary company for the year 1970, 1971, and 1972 are estimated to total \$132 million. The application states that, unless otherwise authorized by the Commission, any of Monongahela's short-term debt outstanding hereunder after December 31, 1971, will be retired from internal cash resources, permanent debt or equity financing, or cash capital contributions.

Each note payable to a bank will be dated as of the date of issue and will mature not more than 270 days after the date of issue or renewal thereof. Each such note will bear interest at the prime rate of commercial banks at the time of issue and will be prepayable at any time without premium or penalty. Although no commitment or agreement for any of the proposed borrowings has been made, Monongahela expects that borrowings will be effected from The First National City Bank of New York and Mellon National Bank and Trust Co., Pittsburgh, Pa., and that the maximum to be borrowed and outstanding at any one time from such banks will be \$20 million and \$15 million, respectively.

The commercial paper notes will be in the form of promissory notes in denominations of not less than \$50,000 nor more than \$5 million and will be of varying maturities with no maturity more than 270 days after the date of issue. None will be prepayable prior to maturity. The commercial paper notes will be sold directly to a dealer at a discount not in excess of the discount rate per annum prevailing at the time of issue for commercial paper of comparable quality and of the particular maturity sold by issuers to dealers in commercial paper. The dealer may reoffer the commercial paper at a discount rate of one-eighth of 1

percent per annum less than the discount rate then available to Monongahela. No commercial paper notes will be issued having a maturity of more than 90 days at an effective interest cost which exceeds the effective interest cost at which Monongahela could borrow from banks. The dealer will reoffer the commercial paper notes to not more than 100 of its customers identified and designated in a list (nonpublic) prepared in advance. It is expected that the commercial paper notes will be held by the dealer's customers to maturity, but if the customers wish to resell prior to maturity, the dealer, pursuant to a verbal repurchase agreement, will repurchase the notes and reoffer them to others in its group of 100 customers.

Monongahela requests an exception from the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 for the proposed issue and sale of its commercial paper pursuant to paragraph (a)(5) thereof. Monongahela states that it is not practicable to invite competitive bids for commercial paper and that current rates for commercial paper of prime borrowers such as Monongahela are published daily in financial publications. Monongahela also requests authority to file certificates under Rule 24 with respect to the issue and sale of commercial paper hereafter consummated pursuant to this application on a quarterly basis.

The application states that fees and expenses related to the proposed transactions are estimated not to exceed \$400 and that no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than December 12, 1969, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (airmail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as filed or as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive notice of further developments in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission (pursuant to delegated authority).

[SEAL]

ORVAL L. DuBois,  
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13950; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

[Delegation of Authority 30-A]

### REGIONAL DIRECTOR, PACIFIC COASTAL REGION

#### Delegation of Authority To Conduct Program Activities in Field Offices

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Administrator by the Small Business Act, 72 Stat. 384, as amended; the Small Business Investment Act of 1958, 72 Stat. 689, as amended; title IV of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1964, 78 Stat. 526, as amended; and the Disaster Relief Act of 1969, 83 Stat. 125, the following authority is hereby delegated:

I. *Regional Director, Pacific Coastal Region—A. Financing Program.* 1. To approve or decline business loans not exceeding \$350,000 (SBA share) and economic opportunity loans not exceeding \$25,000 (SBA share).

2. To approve or decline disaster direct and immediate participation loans up to the total SBA share of (a) \$50,000 per household for repairs or replacement of the home and/or not to exceed an additional \$10,000 allowable for household goods and personal items, but in no event may the money loaned for physical loss or damage exceed \$55,000 for a single disaster on home loans, except for funds to refinance prior liens or mortgages, which may be approved in addition to the foregoing limits for amounts up to \$50,000; and (b) \$500,000 on disaster business loans except to the extent of refinancing of a previous SBA disaster loan; and to approve disaster guaranteed loans up to \$1 million, and to decline them in any amount.

3. To enter into business, economic opportunity and disaster loan participation agreements with banks.

4. To execute loan authorizations for Central Office approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority, said execution to read as follows:

(Name), Administrator

(Name)

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Regional Director.

5. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for business, economic opportunity, and disaster loans.

6. To extend the disbursement period on all loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of loans.

7. To approve service charges by participating banks not to exceed 2 percent per annum on the outstanding principal

balance of construction loans and loans involving accounts receivable and inventory financing.

8. To establish disaster field offices upon receipt of advice of the designation of a disaster area; to advise on the making of disaster loans; to appoint as a processing representative any bank in the disaster area; and to close disaster field offices when no longer advisable to maintain such offices.

9. To approve or reject the request of an applicant to file for a disaster loan after the period for acceptance under the original disaster declaration, or extension thereof, has expired.

10. No authority is hereby delegated to declare the nonapplicability of eligibility limitations to a community emergency as set forth in section 120.2(e) of SBA Loan Policy Regulations.

**B. Community Economic Development Program.** 1. To approve or decline section 501 State development company loans without dollar limitation and section 502 local development company loans up to \$350,000 (SBA share).

2. To extend the disbursement period on sections 501 and 502 loan authorizations or undisbursed portions of sections 501 and 502 loans.

3. To execute sections 501 and 502 loan authorizations for Central Office approved loans and for loans approved under delegated authority, said execution to read, as follows:

(Name), Administrator  
By: -----  
(Name)  
Regional Director.

4. To cancel, reinstate, modify, and amend authorizations for sections 501 and 502 loans.

5. To enter into section 502 loan participation agreements with banks.

6. To approve or decline applications for the direct guarantee of the payment of rent not to exceed \$1,000,000.

7. To issue and modify commitment letters, said issuance to read as follows:

(Name), Administrator  
By: -----  
(Name)  
Regional Director.

8. To disburse approved EDA loans, as authorized.

**C. Loan Administration Program.** 1. To take all necessary actions in connection with the administration, servicing, collection, and liquidation of all loans, with the exception of those loans classified as in litigation; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing.

a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business

Administration or its Administrator, except as to loans classified as in litigation.

b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing, except as to loans classified as in litigation.

c. The approval of bank applications for use of liquidity privilege under the loan guaranty plan.

d. To advertise regarding the public sale of (a) collateral in connection with the nonjudicial liquidation of loans, and (b) acquired property.

e. Except: (a) To sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (b) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.

2. To take all necessary action in liquidating Economic Development Administration (EDA) loans which are not classified as in litigation and acquired collateral, when and as authorized by EDA.

3. To service claims arising under all lease insurance policies issued in the region, approving the payment, or recommending denial of such claims.

4. To take all actions necessary to mitigate losses from lease guaranties.

**D. Procurement and Management Assistance Program.** 1. To approve applications for Certificates of Competency up to but not exceeding \$250,000 bid value received from small business concerns which are located within the geographical jurisdiction of his regional office, with the exception of rereferred cases.\*\*

2. To deny an application for a Certificate of Competency when the regional director agrees with an adverse survey report as to production or credit, unless application for an SBA loan is being filed, which, if approved, might change the credit aspects of the case.\*\*

**E. Administrative.** 1. To purchase reproductions of loan documents, chargeable to the revolving fund, requested by U.S. Attorneys in foreclosure cases.

2. To (a) purchase office supplies and equipment, including office machines and rent regular office equipment and furnishings; (b) contract for repair and maintenance of equipment and furnishings; (c) contract for services required in setting up and dismantling and moving SBA exhibits and; (d) issue Government bills of lading.

3. In connection with the establishment of disaster loan offices, to obligate Small Business Administration to reimburse General Services Administration for the rental of office space.

4. To rent motor vehicles from the General Services Administration and to rent garage space for the storage of such vehicles when not furnished by this Administration.

**F. Eligibility determinations.** To determine eligibility of applicants for assistance under any program of the Agency, except the SBIC program, in accordance with Small Business Administration standards and policies.

**G. Size determinations.** To make initial size determinations in all cases within the meaning of the Small Business Size Standards Regulations, as amended, and further, to make product classification decisions for financial assistance purposes only. Product classification decisions for procurement purposes are made by contracting officers.

**H. Legal services.** 1. To close and disburse approved SBA loans and rehabilitation loans for Department of Housing and Urban Development.

2. To close approved EDA loans, as authorized.

3. To approve, when requested, in advance of disbursement, conformed copies of notes and other closing documents; and certify to the participating bank that such documents are in compliance with the participation authorization.

4. To conduct all litigation activities, including SBIC matters, as assigned, and to take all action necessary in connection with the liquidation of all loans classified as in litigation; and to do and perform and to assent to the doing and performance of, all and every act and thing requisite and proper to effectuate the granted powers, including without limiting the generality of the foregoing.

a. The assignment, endorsement, transfer and delivery (but in all cases without representation, recourse, or warranty) of notes, claims, bonds, debentures, mortgages, deeds of trust, contracts, patents and applications therefor, licenses, certificates of stock and of deposit, and any other liens, powers, rights, charges on and interest in or to property of any kind, legal and equitable, now or hereafter held by the Small Business Administration or its Administrator, as to loans classified as in litigation.

b. The execution and delivery of contracts of sale or of lease or sublease, quitclaim, bargain and sale of special warranty deeds, bills of sale, leases, subleases, assignments, subordinations, releases (in whole or part) of liens, satisfaction pieces, affidavits, proofs of claim in bankruptcy or other estates, and such other instruments in writing as may be appropriate and necessary to effectuate the foregoing, as to loans classified as in litigation.

c. Except: (a) To sell any primary obligation or other evidence of indebtedness owed to the Agency for a sum less than the total amount due thereon; and (b) to deny liability of the Small Business Administration under the terms of a participation or guaranty agreement, or the assertion of a claim for recovery from a participating bank under any alleged violation of a participation or guaranty agreement.

2. To take all necessary action in liquidating Economic Development Administration (EDA) loans which are classified as in litigation, when and as authorized by EDA.

II. The specific authority in the subsections (except subsections I.D.1 and I.D.2) may be redelegated.

III. All authority delegated herein may be exercised by any Small Business Administration employee designated as acting regional director, Pacific Coastal Region.

Effective date: November 3, 1969.

W. D. BREWER,  
*Acting for Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13951; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## PACIFIC COASTAL REGION AND PACIFIC COASTAL REGIONAL OFFICE

### Notice of Redesignation

Notice is hereby given that the designation "Pacific Coastal Area" is changed to "Pacific Coastal Region." The Pacific Coastal Area Office also is hereby redesignated as the Pacific Coastal Regional Office. The regional offices under the former Pacific Coastal Area Office are now under the jurisdiction of the Pacific Coastal Regional Office and are redesignated as district offices.

Effective date: November 3, 1969.

W. D. BREWER,  
*Acting for Administrator.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13952; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## GENERAL BUSINESS INVESTMENT CORP.

### Notice of License Surrender

Notice is hereby given that General Business Investment Corp. (GBIC), 1161 Wilmington Pike, West Chester, Pa. 19380, has surrendered its license to operate as a small business investment company pursuant to §107.105 of the regulations governing Small Business Investment Companies (13 CFR Part 107, 33 F.R. 326).

GBIC was licensed as a small business investment company on May 3, 1960, to operate solely under the Small Business Investment Act of 1958 (the Act), as amended (15 U.S.C., 661 et seq.), and the regulations promulgated thereunder.

Under the authority vested by the Act, and pursuant to the cited regulation, the surrender of the licensee was accepted and all rights, privileges, and franchises derived therefrom were canceled and terminated as of November 6, 1969.

Dated: November 12, 1969.

A. H. SINGER,  
*Associate Administrator  
for Investment.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13953; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:46 a.m.]

## TARIFF COMMISSION

### WILTON AND VELVET CARPETS AND RUGS

#### Reports to the President

NOVEMBER 20, 1969.

The U.S. Tariff Commission today released a report to the President on the results of an investigation conducted under section 351(d)(3) of the Trade Expansion Act of 1962 pertaining to Wilton and velvet carpets and rugs. In 1962, the President increased the rate of duty applicable to imported Wiltons and velvets from 21 percent to 40 percent ad valorem following a 1961 investigation by the Tariff Commission in which it found that the domestic Wilton and velvet carpet industry was being seriously injured because of increased imports. Pursuant to a 1967 Presidential proclamation, the import duty is scheduled to revert to 21 percent ad valorem at the close of December 31, 1969, unless otherwise proclaimed by the President.

The report released today contains statistical data and other information on developments in the Wilton and velvet carpet industry, and, in accordance with the provisions of section 351(d)(3), informs the President of the Commission's judgment of the probable economic effect of restoring the 21-percent rate of duty.

The Commission advises the President that conditions in the trade have changed substantially since the 1961 finding of injury and that U.S. producers of Wiltons and velvets in the aggregate would now be little affected by the termination of the duty increase.

Some of the material reported to the President may not be made public since it includes information that would disclose certain operations of individual firms. Such information, therefore, has been omitted from the report released to the public.

Copies of the public report are available upon request as long as the limited supply lasts. Requests should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20436.

[SEAL] KENNETH R. MASON,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13945; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:45 a.m.]

## INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

### FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

NOVEMBER 20, 1969.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 1100.40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed

within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

#### LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 41807—*Sulphur to Salem, Va.* Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent (No. B-106), for interested rail carriers. Rates on sulphur, ground or refined, pelletized, in carloads, as described in the application, from points in Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas, to points in southern territory, also from points in Louisiana, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas, to Salem, Va.

Grounds for relief—Short-line distance formula and grouping.

Tariff—Supplement 49 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, agent, tariff ICC 4795.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON,  
*Secretary.*

[F.R. Doc. 69-13980; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969;  
8:47 a.m.]

[Notice 944]

## MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

NOVEMBER 20, 1969.

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the new rules of Ex Parte No. MC-67, (49 CFR Part 1131) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, issue of April 27, 1965, effective July 1, 1965. These rules provide that protests to the granting of an application must be filed with the field office named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication, within 15 calendar days after the date of notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of such protests must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protests must certify that such service has been made. The protests must be specific as to the service which such protestant can and will offer, and must consist of a signed original and six copies.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in field office to which protests are to be transmitted.

#### MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 4941 (Sub-No. 31 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant. QUINN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1093 North Montello Street, Brockton, Mass. 02401. Applicant's representative: Mary E. Kelley, 11 Riverside Avenue, Medford, Mass. 02155. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Granite, from Concord, N.H., to Massachussetts, Va., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: The John Swenson Granite

Co., Inc., Concord, N.H. 03301. Send protests to: District Supervisor Richard D. Mansfield, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Government Center, Boston, Mass. 02203.

No. MC 19227 (Sub-No. 1'4 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: LEONARD BROS. TRUCKING CO., INC., 2595 Northwest 20th Street, Miami, Fla. 33152. Applicant's representative: J. Fred Dewhurst (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Security classified missile parts and components*, between Sunnyvale and Los Angeles, Calif., and Amarillo, Tex., and Burlington, Iowa, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Military Traffic Management and Terminal Service, Washington, D.C. Send protests to: District Supervisor Joseph B. Teichert, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 1226, 51 Southwest First Avenue, Miami, Fla. 33130.

No. MC 85465 (Sub-No. 21 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: WEST NEBRASKA EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Drawer 350, Scottsbluff, Nebr. 69361. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles) as described in sections A and C of appendix I to report in *Description in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Scottsbluff, Nebr., to Chicago and Elgin, Ill., for 120 days. Supporting shipper: Swift and Co., 115 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Send protests to: District Supervisor Johnston, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 315 Post Office Building, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 85465 (Sub-No. 22 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: WEST NEBRASKA EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Drawer 350, Scottsbluff, Nebr. 69361. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in sections A and C of appendix I to report in *Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates*, of M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Scottsbluff, Nebr. to Greensboro and Winston-Salem, N.C., for 120 days. Supporting shipper: Swift and Co., 115 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Send protests to: District Supervisor Johnston, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 315 Post Office Building, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 85465 (Sub-No. 23 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: WEST NEBRASKA EXPRESS, INC., Post Office Box 350, Scottsbluff, Nebr. 69361. Applicant's representative: Truman A. Stockton, The 1650 Grant Street Building, Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority

sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Meats, meat products, meat byproducts* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), as described in sections A and C of appendix I to report in *Description in Motor Carrier Certificates*, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766, from Scottsbluff, Nebr., to Jackson, Miss., for 120 days. Supporting shipper: Swift & Co., 115 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Ill. 60604. Send protests to: District Supervisor Johnston, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 315 Post Office Building, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 98646 (Sub-No. 9 TA), filed November 7, 1969. Applicant: RYAN FREIGHT LINES, INC., 1257 East Reno, Post Office Box 17570, Oklahoma City, Okla. Applicant's representative: Gary Ryan (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *General commodities*, except those of unusual value, classes A and B explosives, household goods as defined by the Commission, commodities in bulk, and those requiring special equipment; (1) between Oklahoma City, Okla., and Marietta, Okla., over U.S. Highway 77, serving all intermediate points; (2) between Ardmore and Waurika, Okla., over U.S. Highway 70, serving the intermediate and off-route points of Lone Grove, Hewett, Dillard, Rexroat, Wilson, Healdton, Wirt, and McMann, Okla.; (3) between Oklahoma City, Okla., and junction U.S. Highway 76 and U.S. Highway 70 near Wilson, Okla., serving all intermediate points; from Oklahoma City over U.S. Highway 62 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 76 near Blanchard, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 76 to its junction with U.S. Highway 70 near Wilson, Okla., and return over the same route; (4) between junction U.S. Highway 77 and Oklahoma Highway 29, near Wynwood, Okla., and the junction of Oklahoma Highway 29 and Oklahoma Highway 76 near Foster, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 29, serving the intermediate and off-route points of Elmore City and Foster, Okla.; (5) between Pauls Valley, Okla., and Alex, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 19, serving all intermediate points including White Bead, Okla.;

(6) Between the junction of U.S. Highway 77 and Oklahoma Highway 74 near Purcell, Okla., and Elmore City, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 74, serving all intermediate points; (7) between Ardmore and Durant, Okla., over U.S. Highway 70, serving all intermediate points; (8) between the junction of U.S. Highway 70 and Oklahoma Highway 12 near Russett and Rayia, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 12, serving all intermediate points; (9) between Madill and Tishomingo, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 99 serving all intermediate points; (10) between Madill, Okla., and the junction of Oklahoma Highway 78 and Oklahoma Highway 48 near Durant, serving all intermediate points; from Madill over Oklahoma Highway 199 to its

junction with Oklahoma Highway 78, thence over Oklahoma Highway 78 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 48 near Durant, Okla., and return over the same route; (11) between Durant and Broken Bow, Okla., over U.S. Highway 70 serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of Wright City, Okla.; (12) between Durant, Okla., and the Oklahoma-Texas State line, over U.S. Highway 75, serving all intermediate points; (13) between Antlers, Okla., and the junction of U.S. Highway 271 and U.S. Highway 70 near Soper, Okla., over U.S. Highway 271, serving all intermediate points; (14) between Davis, Okla., and the junction of Oklahoma Highway 7 and Oklahoma Highway 12 near Scullin, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 7, serving all intermediate points; (15) between Lexington and Dickson, Okla., serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of Wanette, Okla., from Lexington over Oklahoma Highway 39 to Asher, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 18 to Dickson, Okla., and return over the same route;

(16) Between Sulphur, and Durant, Okla., serving all intermediate points, from Sulphur over Oklahoma Highway 7 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 12, thence over Oklahoma Highway 12 to Ravia, thence over Oklahoma Highway 22 to Tishomingo, thence over Oklahoma Highway 78 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 48, thence over Oklahoma Highway 48 to Durant, and return over the same route; (17) between Madill and Brown, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 199, serving all intermediate points; (18) between Ada and Tishomingo, Okla., serving all intermediate points, from Ada over Oklahoma Highway 3 to junction Oklahoma Highway 99, thence over Oklahoma Highway 99 to Tishomingo and return over the same route; (19) between Oklahoma City and Antlers, Okla., serving all intermediate points and serving the off-route points of Maude, Bowlegs, and St. Louis, Okla., from Oklahoma City over U.S. Highway 270 to Shawnee, thence over U.S. Highway 177 (formerly portion Oklahoma Highway 18) to Stratford, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 19 to Ada, Okla., thence over Oklahoma Highway 3 to junction U.S. Highway 75, thence over U.S. Highway 75 to Atoka, thence over Oklahoma Highway 3 and Oklahoma Highway 7 to Antlers, and return over the same route; (20) between Atoka and Durant, Okla., over U.S. Highway 75, serving all intermediate points; (21) between Pauls Valley and Ada, Okla., over Oklahoma Highway 19, serving all intermediate points and the off-route point of Vanoss, Okla.; (22) between Wayne, Okla., and the junction of Oklahoma Highway 59A and Oklahoma Highway 13, from Wayne over U.S. Highway 75 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 59, thence over Oklahoma Highway 59 to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 59A, thence over Oklahoma Highway 59A to its junction with Oklahoma Highway 13, and return over the same route; (23) between Dallas, Tex., and Marietta, Okla., over U.S. Highway 77, serving the

intermediate points in Oklahoma only; (24) Between Dallas, Tex., and Durant, Okla., over U.S. Highway 75 serving the intermediate points in Oklahoma only. Restriction: The authority described above is restricted against the handling of traffic moving to, from, or through Dallas, Tex., on the one hand, and to, from, or through Oklahoma City, Okla., on the other, for 180 days. NOTE: Applicant does intend to tack and also states that the application between the Oklahoma points duplicate its registered authority—a request for suspension is attached. Supporting shippers: There are approximately 133 statements of support attached to the application, which may be examined here at the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C., or copies thereof which may be examined at the field office named below. Send protests to: C. L. Phillips, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Room 240, Old Post Office Building, 215 Northwest Third, Oklahoma City, Okla. 73102.

No. MC 107012 (Sub-No. 101 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., Post Office Box 988, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46801. Applicant's representative: Blaine E. Sowers (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Aluminum lowers, lowered penthouses, roof curbs, gravity vents, aluminum brick vents, architectural grilles, and duckboards, uncrated*, from Indianapolis, Ind., and points in Prince Georges County, Md., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii), for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Appco, Aluminum—Plastic Products Corp., 1300 62d Avenue NE., Washington, D.C. 20027. Send protests to: District Supervisor J. H. Gray, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, Room 204, 345 West Wayne Street, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 113524 (Sub-No. 27 TA), filed November 12, 1969. Applicant: JAMES F. BLACK, doing business as PARKVILLE TRUCKING COMPANY, 3641 Pulaski Highway, Baltimore, Md. 21224. Applicant's representative: James F. Black (same address as above). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Animal hides and skins,*

*inedible offal and offal byproducts and tannery byproducts, dry*, in bulk, restricted to commodities used by rendering plants, tanneries, and glue manufacturers, between points in North Carolina, Tennessee, West Virginia, Virginia, and Delaware, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Massachusetts, Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire and Gowanda, N.Y.; (1) from points in Ohio and Kentucky to Maine, Vermont, New Hampshire, Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Gowanda, N.Y.; (2) from points in Illinois and Wisconsin to points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Connecticut and Gowanda, N.Y.; (3) from points in Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts (except Peabody, Mass.), Connecticut and Delaware to Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massachusetts, Connecticut and New York, (4) from Elkland, Pa., to Gowanda, N.Y.; *Cattle hides*, from Westminster, Md., to points in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont; *Cracklings*, from Greater Boston, Mass., to Westminster and Baltimore, Md., for 180 days. Supporting shippers: Peter Cooper Corp., Gowanda, N.Y. 14070; Quaker City Hide Co., Valley and Mill Roads, Philadelphia, Pa. 19126; Sands & Leckie, 226 Salem Street, Woburn, Mass. 01801; The Westminster Hide & Tallow Co., Inc., Westminster, Md. 21157; Frank E. Brown, 1238 Orange Avenue NE., Roanoke, Va. Send protests to: William L. Hughes, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1125 Federal Building, Baltimore, Md. 21201.

No. MC 128375 (Sub-No. 35 TA), filed October 28, 1969. Applicant: CRETE CARRIER CORP., 3751 South 1215 East, Salt Lake City, Utah 84106. Applicant's representative: D. Acklie, Post Office Box 806, Lincoln, Neb. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: (1) *Exhaust pipe, exhaust pots, mufflers, tail pipes, suspension parts, steering gear, fifth wheel and plates, cam shaft, axle parts, wheel clamps, rim attachments, brake linings, brake shoes, brake equipment, shock absorbers, and related fittings, parts, tools, materials, accessories, and advertising matter and displays*, from Loudon, Pulaski, and Nashville, Tenn., to Duluth, Minn., and points in Alabama, Connecticut,

Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia; (2) *equipment, materials, and supplies* used in the manufacture of automotive parts and equipment, from points in California, Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Texas, Vermont, and West Virginia to Loudon, Pulaski and Nashville, Tenn., and Paulding, Ohio, under a continuing contract or contracts with Maremont Corp., Chicago, Ill., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Maremont Corp., Chicago, Ill. Send protests to: District Supervisor Johnston, Bureau of Operations, Interstate Commerce Commission, 315 Post Office Building, Lincoln, Neb. 68508.

No. MC 134141 (Sub-No. 1 TA), filed November 17, 1969. Applicant: SAMUEL W. GROOME, doing business as A.T.I. TRUCKING COMPANY, Route 94, Florida, N.Y. 10921. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: *Artificial Christmas trees, wreaths, garlands, and shrubbery*, in shipper-owned semitrailers, from West Coxsackie, N.Y., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Illinois, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Vermont, West Virginia, Virginia, and the District of Columbia, return from Chicago, Ill., and Lexington, Ky., to West Coxsackie, N.Y., and return of shipper's semitrailers to West Coxsackie, N.Y., for 150 days. Supporting shipper: American Tree & Wreath, 29 Elm Avenue, Mount Vernon, and West Coxsackie, N.Y. Send protests to: Charles F. Jacobs, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 518 Federal Building, Albany, N.Y. 12207.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] H. NEIL GARSON, Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 69-13981; Filed, Nov. 24, 1969; 8:48 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—NOVEMBER

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during November

3 CFR	Page	3 CFR—Continued	Page	3 CFR—Continued	Page
PROCLAMATION:		EXECUTIVE ORDERS—Continued		EXECUTIVE ORDERS—Continued	
3944-----	18221	by PLO 4728)-----	18301	11494-----	18291
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		Apr. 4, 1917 (revoked in part		11495-----	18447
Nov. 11, 1901 (revoked by PLO		by PLO 4735)-----	18303	11496-----	18799
4741)-----	18169	5327 (see PLO 4738)-----	18167		
Apr. 30, 1902 (revoked by PLO		8598 (revoked in part by PLO		<b>5 CFR</b>	
4741)-----	18169	4724)-----	17773	213-----	17724
July 19, 1912 (revoked in part		11398 (amended by EO 11492) -	17721	17774, 17797, 18157, 18241, 18293,	
by PLO 4746)-----	18246	11492-----	17721	18385, 18417, 18751, 18814	
Oct. 30, 1916 (revoked in part		11493-----	18289	332-----	18814

5 CFR—Continued

	Page
511	17774
531	17774
550	17775
591	18157
<b>7 CFR</b>	
46	18542
70	17755
225	17797
301	17999
354	18001
401	18002
722	18089, 18803, 18812
728	17757, 18223, 18449
729	18293
794	18417
847	18002
850	17724
863	17797
864	17800
905	18089, 18354, 18449, 18599, 18600
906	18002
907	17949, 18170, 18223, 18449
909	18294, 18601, 18813
910	17724, 18092, 18171, 18294, 18601
912	17725, 18093, 18295, 18602
913	18226
947	18171
959	18602
966	18090, 18226
967	18602
980	18091
984	17803
989	18003, 18542
991	18003
1001	18603
1002	18604
1003	18605
1004	18608
1005	18611
1006	18613
1011	18615
1012	18617
1013	18619
1015	18621
1016	18622
1030	18625
1032	18628
1033	18631
1034	18634
1035	18637
1036	18639
1040	18641
1041	18644
1043	18646
1044	18649
1046	18650
1049	18653
1050	18656
1060	18658
1062	18661
1063	18663
1064	18665
1065	18668
1069	18671
1070	18673
1071	18675
1073	18678
1075	18680
1076	18683
1078	18686
1079	18687
1090	18689
1094	18691
1096	18693
1097	18696
1098	18698
1099	18700
1101	18703

7 CFR—Continued

	Page
1102	18705
1104	18706
1106	18708
1108	18711
1120	18713
1121	18716
1125	18719
1126	18722
1127	18725
1128	18728
1129	18731
1130	18732
1132	18735
1133	18737
1134	18740
1136	18743
1137	18745
1138	18748
1421	18417
1427	17725
1468	17803
1472	17768
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
81	17731, 18820
201	18422
301	18042
724	18759, 18760
777	17730
811	18761
813	18309
814	18764
909	17776
912	18043
929	18423
945	18471
948	18094
966	17955
967	18126
981	18423
993	18043, 18556
1011	17776
1036	18173
1133	17960
<b>8 CFR</b>	
212	18085
214	18085, 18157
238	18085
316a	18086
<b>9 CFR</b>	
78	17769
92	18450
101	18119
108	18119
109	18119
112	18004
113	18004, 18241
114	18005, 18119
116	18119
117	18120
118	18120
119	18120
120	18120
121	18121
<b>10 CFR</b>	
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
30	18178
31	18178
50	18822
115	18822
<b>12 CFR</b>	
207	18242
217	18157, 18751
218	18417
226	18242, 18243

12 CFR—Continued

	Page
329	18086
545	18418
563	18419
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
18	18818
206	18313
217	17918, 18130
335	18472
<b>13 CFR</b>	
121	18585
<b>14 CFR</b>	
21	18355
36	18355, 18815
39	17755, 18121, 18226, 18295-18298, 18379
65	18226
71	17725, 17949, 18005, 18158, 18159, 18298, 18379, 18451, 18751, 18752, 18815, 18816
73	17805, 18752
75	18451
95	18380
97	18006, 18086, 18227, 18519
103	18542
135	18752
249	17950
288	18299
389	18382, 18816
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
21	18094, 18764
39	17963, 18127, 18128, 18309, 18764, 18821
45	18764
71	17732, 17733, 17964, 18175-18178, 18309-18311, 18468-18470, 18765, 18821
75	17733, 18178
91	18252
93	18312
<b>15 CFR</b>	
1000	17806
1050	17770
<b>16 CFR</b>	
13	17868-17871, 17950, 18451-18454
15	18243, 18353
500	18159
503	18086
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
423	17776
424	18252
<b>17 CFR</b>	
231	18160
241	18160, 18543
271	18543
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
210	18130
230	18130
239	18130
240	18130
249	18130
<b>18 CFR</b>	
2	17803
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
157	18180
620	17730
<b>20 CFR</b>	
Ch. V	18299
404	18543
602	17770

21 CFR	Page	32 CFR—Continued	Page	43 CFR—Continued	Page
27	18598	206	18455	<b>PUBLIC LAND ORDERS—Continued</b>	
53	18420	239	18031	4739	18168
121	18382, 18384, 18421, 18454	256	18458	4740	18168
135b	18243, 18544	290	18420	4741	18169
135g	18243, 18544	885	18162	4742	18169
141c	18161	1460	18385	4743	18169
146	18385	<b>32A CFR</b>		4744	18169
146a	17725	<b>BDSA (Ch. VI):</b>		4745	18246
146c	18161	M-11A, Dir. 1	18299	4746	18246
147	17725, 17726	M-11A, Dir. 2	18300	4747	18551
148e	18087	<b>FRS (Ch. XV):</b>			
148k	17725	Reg. V	17729	<b>45 CFR</b>	
148n	18161	<b>33 CFR</b>		85	18551
148q	17725	8	18034	801	17954
148x	17725	110	17770, 18088, 18303, 18458	<b>46 CFR</b>	
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		207	18458	2	18170
3	18820	<b>35 CFR</b>		272	18035, 18170
120	17962	67	18088	310	17729
141	18045	<b>36 CFR</b>		<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
320	18042	200	17879	146	18046
<b>22 CFR</b>		311	18385	536	18129
41	18161	<b>37 CFR</b>		<b>47 CFR</b>	
201	18122	1	17772	0	18123, 18459
<b>24 CFR</b>		<b>38 CFR</b>		1	18802
5	17951	3	18122	73	17873, 17874, 18036, 18303, 18802
203	17951	<b>39 CFR</b>		74	18041, 18386
207	17951	113	18549	83	17878
213	17952	<b>41 CFR</b>		85	17878
221	17952, 18421	1-1	17953	95	18306
232	17952	1-15	18164	97	18306, 18459
234	17952	7-16	18753	99	18306
236	17952	7-30	18754	203	17879
242	17952	8-2	18801	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
1000	17952	8-3	18801	2	17916
1665	18030	8-19	18801	18	18312
<b>25 CFR</b>		10-17	18802	73	17916, 18471
221	18087	101-19	17954, 18123	74	18043
<b>29 CFR</b>		101-25	18459	81	17916
5	18752	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		83	17916, 17917
526	18544	50-204	18043	85	17916
671	18753	<b>43 CFR</b>		89	18472
683	18031	<b>PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:</b>		91	18313
1500	17804	317 (revoked in part by PLO 4733)	18302	95	18313
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		843 (revoked in part by PLO 4723)	17773	<b>49 CFR</b>	
613	18044	922 (see PLO 4733)	18302	171	18247
616	18044	1967 (revoked in part by PLO 4745)	18246	173	18247, 18552
688	18044	2327 (revoked by PLO 4730)	18301	174	18553
690	18044	4522 (see PLO 4738)	18167	175	18553
727	17732	4582 (see PLO 4723)	17773	177	18248, 18553
<b>31 CFR</b>		4722	17773	178	18248, 18554
128	18549	4723	17773	230	18555
225	17953	4724	17773	1002	18041
<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>		4725	17773	1003	18122
222	18125	4726	17774	1033	17729, 17805, 18122
223	18125	4727	17774	1041	18392
<b>32 CFR</b>		4728	18301	1042	18459
1	17880	4729	18301	1056	18041
2	17888	4730	18301	1131	18122
3	17889	4731	18302	1134	18122
4	17890	4732	19302	1204	18307
5	17890	4733	18302	<b>PROPOSED RULES:</b>	
6	17894	4734	18302	192	18556
7	17895	4735	18303	195	17916
8	17896	4736	18245	230	18393
9	17897	4737	18167	371	18129
12	17899	4738	18167	393	18129
15	17904			1048	18179, 18424
18	17912			1056	18046
19	17912			<b>50 CFR</b>	
24	17912			10	18123
30	17912			28	18308, 18466



3  
9  
3  
5  
1  
4

0  
0  
9  
6  
9

9  
2  
2  
6  
8  
8  
6  
9  
6  
9

6  
2  
1  
3  
6  
7  
6  
2  
3  
3

7  
2  
3  
3  
3  
4  
5  
11  
22  
22  
22  
22  
19  
41  
22  
22  
07

56  
16  
93  
29  
29  
24  
46

23  
66  
66  
17  
57