RESTRICTED

HEADQUARTERS U.S. STRATEGIC BOMBING SURVEY (PACIFIC)

C/O POSTMASTER, SAN FRANCISCO

INTERROGATION NO.

457

PLACE: Tokyo

DATE:

24 Nov. 1945

Division of Origin: Military Analysis.

Subject: Japanese Aircraft.

Personnel interrogated and Background.

Lt. Comdr. OHIRA was born in 1914. He graduated from the Naval College in March 1937. In April 1938 he was assigned to the Training Corps (REMSHU KOKUTAI) as 2nd Lieut. He received 10 months experience on carrier-borne attack planes, followed by 2 months on land-based attack planes. He was then transferred from KISARADZU to MIHORO, where for 22 months he was attached to a land-based unit. He was here promoted to Lt Comdr. In August 1942, he was transferred to YOKOSUKA lst Naval air Technical Arsenal, as a member of the Air Experimental Department. His work here concisted of testing experimental models of land-based bombers. In 1944 he was transferred to a branch of the YOKOSUKA Naval air Station at MISAWA.

Where Interrogated: Meiji Building Room 3:10.

Interrogetor: Mejor John J. Driscoll

Interpreter: Mr. YANO Hero

Allied Officers Present: None.

SUMMARY

Lt. Comdr OHIRA was primarily familiar with Navy Bombers. However, he also had some knowledge of the airborne radar equipment. He was, from personal observation, familiar with the training of BAKA pilots, and on one occasion personally released a BAKA over YOKOSUKA.



- How many flying hours do you have?
- A. about 3500.
- Q. How many of these hours were in combat?
- Between 400 and 500.
- with which aircraft are you familiar?
- TYPE A.

REMARKS

Type 97 Carrier-based Torpedo Bomber

No combat experience. Use for training only. (In 1939, before the war.)

Type 96 (Model 21) 2-engine Bomber Type 96 (Model 23) 2-engine Bomber.

Used in combat. Tested superficially.

Type 1, (Models 11,22 and 24) 2 êngine Bombers.

Tested

RENZAN 4-Engine Bomber

Tested

(Homare Type 24 Engines) What Radar equipment was used on these aircraft?

- A. Practically the only one in use was the Type 3 Mark 6 Model 4 Radar apparatus. It was noted for its frequent breakdown. Also, there were few qualified operators, due to the shortening of the training courses, with the subsequent lack of time for mastering so intricate a maching. This apparatus was only installed on the Types 1 and 96 land-based bombers. Plans had been initiated to install radar on all types, but the supply was limited.
- Did you ever carry any guided missiles or special-type bombs?
- I once carried a SAKURA BANA (BAKA).
- Q. What was the purpose of the operation?
- A. It was to give the BAKA pilot training, and was done at YOKOSUKA
- Q. what did the training program consist of?
- The training was primarily done in the Zero fighter, The procedure was to climb to altitude, and to then commence gliding into the airfield at a 7:1 angle which was the normal BAKA gliding angle. The engine was throttled down to reduce resistance, and an attempt was made to land near a predesignated spot (target).
- Q. What other training did the BAKA pilots receive?
- A. They were first taught to solo, prior to the Zero gliding training. And efter being checked out on gliding into the target, they were released in the training model BAKA two or three times.
- Q. Were there many losses in the BAKA training?
- A. There may have been other losses, but I personally know of one death te a pilot of the training-model BAKA.
- Q. Do you know if these pilots were volunteers?
- I believe that most of them were.
- What effect did the BAKA have on the parent plane?
- The range on the Type 1 (Betty) was decreased from 2000 to 500-600 miles; and the speed from 230 to 200 knots. This was due to the weight of the Baka which was about 2 tons.

- Q. At what speed was the BaKA released?
- RESTRICTED
- at about 140 km. This was very important as deds above or below this brought about the danger of a collision between the BAKA and mother plane.
- . Q. What was the method of approaching the target?
 - The BAKA was released at 3000 meters. The BAKA glide angle required that the altitude of release be 1/7th of the distance to the target.
 - List all the sircreft you have flown and any defects and maintenance problems;
 - Type A.

Defect

Maintenance difficulty

Type 96 land based attack plane

Weak defensive firepower. 1 20mm and 1 7.7mm gun have an insufficient field of fire.

Since it was an old plane the

1000

Performance characteristics of the plane were poor.

maintenance was easy and familiar. They could fix it up quickly.

It had no defensive power and according to my opinion it is an old type plane with little combat value.

2. Type 1 land based attack plane Weak defensive power It exploded easily when hit. It had a 13mm MG: (and was known as "one

shot fighter.")

was first built in 1937, the plane was difficult to repair due to its poor construction which is a result of our present poor production quality.

Although the plane

It was ineffectual against B-29s due to its poor comparitive performance.

SHINZAN

Poor performence.

(Old model).

Renzan 4-motored bomber

It wes' thought that a long range plane was necessary. We were in the midst ot testing and perfecting a new model.

I feel that it was difficult for our factories to make a large model 4-motored plane and maintain it adequately.

- Q. What are your openions on Allied Aircraft?
- A. I do not believe that we could surpass the superiority of the equipment and the reliability of the Allied aircraft due to the great advances of their productive capacity.
 - 2. The defensive power (Weapons, armor, ability to keep in the air after being hit, etc) of the allied aircraft was a great advantage to them in combat. 3. The Japanese were not able in their aircraft production and development to equal allied hydromechenical and mathematical ability. The allies made better use of these technical subjects in their production. They were not only familiar with mathematics in their laboratories, but they were able to use it in combat effectively.
 - 4. More important, Japanese productive capacity in aircraft could never equal that of america.

- Q. What are your options on a comparison of the deficive ability of Japanese and Allied bombers?
- As I previously stated due to poor Japanese production, the equipment was poor. Although the Japanese air force has great morale, namely a willingness to secrifice their lives in defense, the performance of our planes was much poorer than those of the Allied forces. Although we improved the quality of our machine guns, our development of defensive cannons for large bombers and of power gun mounts was very slow. Furthermore, because there was insufficient training in the sighting and firing of power gun mounts, the losses in heavy bombers were very great. A unified aircraft production program throughout the country is very essential.