to have him supervise in what direction the subsidy was actually spent and if the subsidy is used rightly for its purpose.

While on the part of the Association, they thought it very convenient to have him take charge of liaison at the Central Board in filing application and receipt of subsidy, because he had intimate friends among personnel of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, and they decided to employ him as (SANYO) "adviser" of the Central Board of the Association. He was appointed the post on July 3, 1942.

The officers and stuff members of the Association when he joined them are as follows:

1.	President	one	Minister of Commerce and Industry.
2.	Vice-president	one	TSURUMI Sakio (the then Chairman of the Central Association of Commerce and Industry Union)
3.	Chief of the Central Board	one	KITA Soichiro (a Member of the House of representatives) (Recommended '42)
4.	Chief of General Affairs Bureau	one	MUKAI Shikamatsu (the then Professor at the Keio Univ.)
5.	Under the General Affairs Bureau,		
a.	Chief of the General Affairs Division	one	NAKAMURA Shiro
b.	Chief of Planning Division	one	NAGAI Tamotsu

5. Chief of the Guidance Bureau

one KITA Soichiro (Concurrent post)

Under the Guidance Bureau

Chief of Mobilization

Division one ISHIMORI Isao

Chief of the

Guidance Div. one (Vacant)

6. Standing Directors

Those who held the post of standing director were: the Chief each of the Central Board, General Affairs Bureau and the Guidance Bureau.

Chief of the Development Division of Commerce and Industry.

Chief of the Police Division of the Ministry of Home Affairs,

Chief of Profession Bureau of Welfare Ministry,

Chief of General Affairs Bureau of Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry.

7. There was no system of the post of ordinary directors.

8. ~~Advisers~~ SANYO: NAKANISHI Toshinori, AKOJIMA Toshiji, MIKI Takeo, TANAKA Ko, UYEDA Kokichi, AKAGI Sotoku, MASAKI Kiyoshi, NAKAI Kazuo, MOGAMI Keizo, UODA Kitaro, SAKAGUCHI Heibei, IKEMOTO Jinshiro, AIHO Jiichiro, KOYAMA Kunitaro, HANEDA Takeshiro, MORITA Jujiro and SASAMOTO Kazuo, the person in question.

With the exception of SASAMOTO, all the advisers were the members of the House of Representatives, and were friends of Mr. KITA Soichiro, the Chief of the Central Board, who asked them to take post of advisers through his personal connections.

The Regulations of the Association provides that:

(1) "The advisor shall deliberate over important matters as may be referred to by the Association". However, Advisors were never consulted about any matters by the Association. They received no remunerations,

(2) The standing directors shall organize "the Council of the standing directors at which they shall deliberate important matters usually."

(3) The Association became one of the affiliated organ of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society on September 27th of 1942, when the system of the President and Vice-president was discontinued and office of Chairman was established in place of these posts. Executive posts of the Association at the time of the reorganization are as follows:

(1) Chairman of the Central Board of the Association for Service to the State through Commerce.

TSURUMI Sakio

(2) Standing Councillors. Minister of Commerce and Industry, Minister of Agriculture and Forestry, Minister of Home Affairs, Minister of Welfare,
And Secretary-general of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society (GOTO Fumio).

(3) Standing directors Chief of the Central Board of the Association for Service to the State through Commerce. (KITA SOichiro), Chief of the General Affairs Bureau of the Central Board (YAMAJI Hisashi), Director of the Planning Bureau of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Director of the General Affairs Bureau of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Director of the Labor Bureau of the Ministry of Welfare, Director of the Police Bureau of the Ministry of Home Affairs, Director of the General Affairs Bureau of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society and Director of the Practice Bureau of the Society.

(4) Advisors The same members as mentioned in the foregoing, including SASAMOTO Kazuo.

No change was made to the post of standing director and advisor at the time of its reorganization. The subsidy which the Central Board had received from the Ministry of Commerce and Industry up to the time of reorganization, was stopped then. The motive of his being in office ceased to exist. However, as SASAMOTO was familiar with Vice-Minister and Directors of Ministry of Commerce and Industry and on intimate terms with KITA Soichiro, member of the House of Representatives, who actually presided over the affairs of the Central Board of the Association, took charge of acting liaison between the Ministry of the Commerce and Industry and the Association.

For example, In order to put the policy of the Enterprise adjustment into execution, it is necessary to protect these who changed their business or who was thrown out of job. In such a case, a problem will arise as to how to deal with it, whether to have their relief expenses borne by the remaining traders to some extent or to have their merchandise on hand at the time of closing business disposed of to their advantage.

The Association shall take charge of settling each of such problems from the nation's standpoint as well as in the light of traders as would incidentally arise in putting into execution the gigantic task of the enterprise adjustment of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry. In operating this function of the Association, it was necessary for it to have a person who took charge of suggesting to the Ministry of Commerce and Industry what the Asscciation intended or what it desired for the Ministry, to have their policy understood by the Ministry, and who took charge of intimating with the Association the intention or demands of the Ministry. And it was through SASAMOTO whose good office preliminary arrangements between the Ministry and the Association were made. For this reason, SASAMOTO remained the Adviser of the Association after the Association became the affiliated organ of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society.

E. On April, 1944, SASAMOTO Kazuo was appointed the director of the Association. In those days, all directors excepting him were appointed the office for their principal duties related to the function of the Association, and naturally

the circumstances surrounding their appointment much differed from those of SASAMOTO.

The line-up of such directors were:

1. Director of the Asia Development Bureau of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society.
2. Director of the Research Bureau of the Society:
3. Director of the Training Bureau of the Society.
4. Secretary-general of the Hokkaido Association for Service to the State through Commerce. (KOTANI Yoshio)
5. Secretary-general of the Tokyo Association of Service to the State through Commerce. (TAGUCHI Masaji)
6. Secretary-general of the Osaka Association of Service to the state through Commerce. (WAKABAYASHI Yoshitake)

The regulations governing the function of directors provides that "directors shall deliberate over important matters of the Central Board at the Council, consisting of by themselves. Actually, however, not a single meeting of the council were held nor were any papers of the Association passed round to them for their refereence. In short, actually no function were exercised by the directors. In those days, the office of standing directors remained to exist, and as above-mentioned, the office of the standing directors were held by the chief of the Central Board of the Association for Service to the State through Commerce, chief of the General Affairs of the Association, director of the planning Bureau of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, Director of the General Affairs Bureau of the Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry, Director of the Labor Bureau of the

Ministry of Welfare, Director of the Police Bureau of the Ministry of Home Affairs, the Director of the General Affairs Bureau of the Imperial Rule Assistance Society, and Director of the Practice Bureau of the Board.

As afore-mentioned, the Regulations of the Association provides, about the duties of the standing directors that "the standing directors shall organize the Council of the Standing Directors and deliberate over important matters usually."

Actually, however, this regulation too remained quite nominal, and not a single Council meeting were held to deliberate over important matters.

Only once was held at the Imperial Hotel a Council meeting which, however, was nothing but a ceremonious dinner party. SASAMOTO, not being a standing director, did not attended the meeting then.

F.

(1) As seen in the foregoing explanations, the directors of the Association, notwithstanding its regulations providing the deliberation over important matters of the Central Board by directors, did never attend the council meeting nor were any of papers concerning the work of the Association passed round to them. Evidently, they did not function their duties entirely as provided for in the Regulations of the Association.

This explains that SASAMOTO did not engage in the duty as director, and therefore he does not fall under the category of "active member of the board of directors."

(2) What SASAMOTO worked for the Association was that:

Whenever the Association tackled with the task which could not be done without an approval of the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, he explained the intention of the Associations authorities about a certain task to the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, beforehand, to sound out the Ministry's idea about it, and by thus promoting the mutual understanding between the authorities of the Ministry and the Association, he endeavored to facilitate the Ministry's approval or permission for it.

Also with regard to the Ministry's policy to be enforced, directly relating to the small and medium traders, as for instance the problem of enterprise adjustment, it was necessary, in putting it in execution, to familialize small and medium enterprisers with the basic purport of the Law. In order to obtain effective results consistent with

the purport of the policy, it was necessary to square the idea of the Association with that of the Ministry's authorities. In such a case, SASAMOTO at the request of the Association brought the matter up with the Ministry's authorities, by giving explanations or by furnishing the related data, and reported the Ministry's idea on a particular matter or furnished the related data received from the Ministry. In short, he only exerted his good office in the interchange of frank views between the Ministry and the Association, he worked for the Association nothing besides this task. Consequently he presented himself at the Association's office when he had such affairs pending. When he had no such work, he used to attend the office only once or twice a week, staying there only one or two hours in the early days of his appointment. Later he used to attend the office only once or twice a month.

In short, that he was a director of the Association meant merely to give him a title with which capacity to furnish him with facility in making negotiations with the Ministry of Commerce and Industry.

He never receive any remuneration from the Association because he intended to offer his service upon his friendship with KITA Soichiro who actually controlled the Association and with persons in the Ministry of Commerce and Industry, all being on a very intimate terms with him.

He was an exceptional existence among the directors of the Association, and quite different from other directors.

Report on 38th Appeal Board Meeting

July 25th, 1947.

I Date: July 23rd, 10.30 - 14.10

II Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, TOMIYASU, MOROI, UEDA.

III Proceedings:

1. Regarding the case of Mr. NARAHASHI, Wataru, the commissioners heard the necessary matters from a witness and decided to let appellant personally explain his case next week.

2. Regarding the case of Mr. MAKINO, Ryozo, the commissioners examined it and decided their opinions.

3. Regarding the case of Mr. AKAGI, Ichiro, the commissioners examined it and decided their opinions.

4. Regarding the case of Mr. NAKAYAMA, Eiichi, the commissioners decided to re-examine it and agreed to permit the appellant and his two witnesses to appear and explain in the Board.

5. Regarding the case of Mr. KOSAKA, Kaichiro, the the commissioners continued to examine it.

6. Regarding the case of Mr. FURUZAWA, Taiichi, the commissioners continued to examine it.

7. The next meeting was scheduled at 10.00, 25th, July.

Report on 37th Appeal Board Meeting

July 25th, 1947.

I Date: July 19th, 10.10 - 14.40

II Commissioners present: SAWADA, SHIMA, MANO, TOMIYASU, MOROI, HASEGAWA, UEDA.

III Proceedings:

1. Regarding the case of Mr. INUKAI, Ken, the commissioners heard the necessary matters in detail from the appellant himself.

2. Next meeting was decided to be at 10.00 23rd, July.

Report on 36th Appeal Board Meeting

July 25th 1947.

I Date: July 16th, 10.20 - 14.30

II Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, SHIMA, TOMIYASU, MOROI, HASEGAWA, UEDA.

III Proceedings:

1. Regarding the case of Mr. NARAHASHI, Wataru, the commissioners heard the necessary matters from two witnesses.

2. Regarding the case of Mr. YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi, the commissioners heard the necessary matters from a witness, deliberated on the case and arrived at a conclusion but the final decision was deferred pending the reply from the cabinet.

3. The next meeting was decided to be open at 10.00, 19th, July.

PUBLIC SERVICE QUAL. DIV.
Buck Slip

	Initial	Remarks
Baerwald		
Brady		
Carson		
Chick		
Drivdahl		
Earle		
Gilbert		
Grahamslaw		
Jones		
Kozlowski		
McGandy		
McGrath		
Melvin		
Mueller		Info. This make YOMIURI a liar.
Napier		
Neff		
Ogino		
Schweitzer		
Snow		Snow
Tsukahara		
Tyson		
Whitney, Jr.		
Wiggins		

DATE 21 July 47

appeals file

Report on 35th Appeal Board Meeting

July 21st, 1947.

I Date: June 11th, 10.30 - 15.10

II Commissioners present: SAWADA, SHIMA
TOMIYASU, MANO
HASEGAWA, UEDA

III Proceedings:

1. The appeal case of IRIE, Kaniehi, was studied. Almost all members agreed to a certain decision but final dicision was postponed to a later date owing to reference to the interested section.
2. Regarding the appeal case of INUKAI, Ken, the commissioners deliberated on the application of the appellant for appearance and explanation and decided to permit it. They also decided to refer the necessary matters to the Chinese Embassy in Tokyo.
3. Regarding the appeal case of YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi, the commissioners heard explanations from the witness concerned.
4. Regarding the appeal case of SHIZAKI, Usaburo, the deliberations continued.
5. Next meeting of the Board is decided to be at 10.00, 16th, July.

75

Examination Report

Questionnaire not submitted originally, received 1 Aug 47 Tokyo.

July 12, 1947

I. Subject: KAWANAMI, Toyonori (candidate for village headman)

II. Date of Decision: June 27, 1947

III. Decision: The designation of KAWANAMI as a purgee by Aomori Prefectural Governor shall be released.

IV. Reasons:

1. Aomori Prefectural Governor designated KAWANAMI as a purgee on the ground that as stated in his Questionnaire that "April 30, 1944 Special Volunteer Service (with the status of reserve officer)", he was " a Army Special Volunteer Reserve Officer" who volunteered to serve on active service under the Imperial Ordinance No. 731 of 1939.

2. KAWANAMI claims that because of incomplete entry in the Questionnaire, he was mistaken for an "Army Special Volunteer Reserve Officer," but in truth he was commissioned to a" Special Volunteer Officer (TOKUBETSU SHIGAN SHOKO) according to Imperial Ordinance No. 12 of 1933 with the status of a reserve officer.

3. The Appeal Board examined the case closely, and reached to the above-stated decision with following reasons:

(1) It is specified by the Appendix I, II, 3 that "Army Special Volunteer Reserve Officer" means a person who, having finished the courses of reserve officer's cadet

74-A

cadet or reserve pilot's cadet, was appointed Reserve Service Officer, and who volunteered to serve on active service under the Imperial Ordinance No. 731 of 1939. Article 1 of the Imperial Ordinance No. 731 of 1939 provides that " for the time being, the following person who became a reserve officer from a reserve officer's cadet or reserve pilot's cadet and who volunteered to serve on active service may serve on active service. 1. Any person who was filled by Imperial Ordinance No. 12 of 1933, ----- ". Therefore, Army Special Volunteer Reserve Officer further means a reserve officer who is filled by Imperial Ordinance No. 12 of 1933 and who volunteered to serve on active service.

(2) According to his Questionnaire, KATANAMI was (a) appointed a reserve officer's cadet on July 1, 1941 (b) commissioned to a second lieutenant on Dec. 1, 1942 and called to the front and entered reserve service on the same day, (c) commissioned to a "special volunteer officer" with reserve officer's status. These items were testified by ISHIDO, Chief of Aomori Local Assistance office.

(3) According to the above-stated entry in the Questionnaire, KATANAMI became a reserve officer from a reserve officer's cadet and became a special volunteer officer according to Imperial Ordinance No. 12 of 1933, but he has never volunteered for active service in com-

pliance

pliance with Imperial Ordinance No. 731 of 1939. This being the case, KAWANAMI could not be considered as a "Army Special Volunteer Reserve Officer" as was misrepresented by Aomori Prefectural Governor.

(4) Consequently, it is reasonable to release him from designation as a purgee.

Appeal File

Mr. Sawada
1130 12 July 47
Sawa

Report on the 34th Meeting of Appeal Board

July 11, 1947.

I. Date: July 9, 10:35 - 12:40

II. Commissioness present: SAWADA, MANO, SHIMA, TOMIYASU, & UEDA.

III. Proceedings:

1. The Appeal Board heard on the necessary matters from a witness on the case of NARAHASHI, Wataru.

2. The Board is sheduled to meet at 10:00, Jyly 11.

74

Mr. Sawada
1100 5 July 47
Snow

REPORT ON 33RD APPEAL BOARD MEETING

July 8, 1947.

I. Date: Jyly 4, 10:20 - 14:20

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, TOMIYASU, & HASEGAWA.

III. Proceedings:

1. The Appeal Board heard on the necessary matters from four witnesses on KOSAKA, Zentaro's case.

2. Next meeting is scheduled at July 9, 10:00.

72

Mr. Sawada
1100 8 July 47
Snow

Report of 31st Meeting of the Appeal Board

July 8, 1947

I. Date: June 23, 10:25 - 13:10

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, and UEDA

III. Proceedings:

(1) The Board deliberated the case of KAWANAMI, Toyonori and has given decision.

(2) The Board deliberated the case of MORI, Shoji and has given decision.

(3) The Board deliberated the case of KIGOSHI, Sadamori and has given decision.

(4) The Board deliberated on the case of NAGASAKI, Sonosuke and decided to continue its deliberation.

(5) The next meeting is scheduled on July 2 (Wednesday) 10:00.

DRAFT

Appeals Bd

8 July 1947

MEMORANDUM FOR : PUBLIC SERVICE QUALIFICATIONS DIVISION

SUBJECT : Mr. Ueda - His Suitability as a Member of the Appeals Board.

1. On 7 July 1947 at 1130, Mr. Sawada, Chairman of the Appeals Board, requested to see Major Napier with regard to Mr. Ueda's suitability as a member of the Appeals Board. For purposes of background, Major Napier had asked Mr. Sawada on Thursday, 3 July 1947, not to allow Mr. Ueda to sit on the Appeals Board for the meeting of 4 July 1947. Mr. Sawada stated that these instructions had been carried out, but that he was wondering whether or not Mr. Ueda was not to sit on any future meetings of the Appeals Board - the next one was scheduled for Wednesday, 9 July 1947.

2. The main question with regard to Mr. Ueda's qualifications to sit on the Appeals Board arises from his relationship to HATOYAMA, Ichiro, purgee. With regard to this, Mr. Sawada made the following statement:

a. Ueda had not been, as reported, secretary of Hatoyama in 1927.

b. In 1927 Ueda had been, until June, private secretary to the Prime Minister, General Giichi Tanaka (Tanaka Memorial) and concurrently held the position of Secretary (Jimukan) in the Finance Ministry.

c. After June of 1927, Ueda's administrative status changed to the extent that his principal position came to be in the Finance Ministry in which he was elevated to a departmental chief (Shokikan), concurrently still retaining his position as private secretary to Prime Minister Tanaka.

d. Hatoyama at this time was Chief Secretary of the Cabinet and there can be no doubt that Ueda in his official capacity as private secretary to Prime Minister TANAKA acted as liaison between the Prime Minister and the Chief Secretary of the Cabinet. There is also no doubt as to the fact that Ueda and Hatoyama were close personally during that period.

3. Major Napier thereupon asked whether there had been any indication to Mr. Sawada that there still was a close personal relationship between Ueda and

(Continued) 70

Hatoyama. To this Mr. Sawada replied that he had not known Mr. Ueda at all previous to his becoming a member of the Appeals Board recently, that his knowledge of Mr. Ueda's activities were restricted to his work on the Appeals Board, that he knew that Mr. Ueda was very much cognizant of the Japanese political scene, particularly as regards to personalities in the three major political parties, and that although he, himself, (Sawada) had not had anything to do with Ueda's appointment, he believed that a person of Ueda's type, i.e. one who was politically well-informed, was very necessary to the workings of the Appeals Board. Mr. Sawada stated, however, that a person other than Ueda, having the same access to inside political maneuvers, however of a different political background might possibly be found.

4. Major Napier thereupon asked whether a politician, such as Ueda evidently is, would be the right type of person to sit on a deliberative committee which made decisions on the basis of the facts presented and not on the basis of the political repercussions resulting from these decisions. Mr. Sawada reiterated that he had had nothing to do with Ueda's appointment; however, that he believed Ueda or a person of Ueda's political know-how was a definite asset to the Appeals Board.

5. Mr. Sawada asked whether or not Major Napier and Government Section would have any objections to Mr. Ueda's sitting as a member of the Appeals Board henceforth. Major Napier replied that he had no positive objection; however, that if in the future, information would be received by GHQ and the Japanese Government and public that Mr. Ueda was in his Appeals Board decisions under the influence and guidance of Mr. Hatoyama, it would be most embarrassing to Colonel Kades, Major Napier and Mr. Sawada. Mr. Sawada asked if Mr. Ueda could again sit at the next meeting of the Appeals Board on Wednesday, to which Major Napier replied "Yes" with the above-mentioned reservation.

6. Mr. Sawada asked if it would be permissible to go to Minister Suzuki and Minister Nishio and make a report of this conference held with Major Napier, parti-

cularly as regards Major Napier's concern with regard to Ueda's relationship to Hatoyama. Major Napier replied that he had no objections whatsoever, but that as a matter of protocol, he would prefer to have Mr. Sawada wait to go to see Minister Suzuki and Mr. Nishio until tomorrow, Tuesday, 8 July 1947) before which time Colonel Kades and Major Napier would have discussed the matter with Minister Suzuki. Mr. Sawada fully agreed to this, stating that he would go to see Minister Suzuki and Nishio on Tuesday afternoon.

7. Major Napier closed the meeting by stating that he was most gratified that Mr. Sawada was taking such interest in the purge in general, and the Appeals Board in particular. He asked Mr. Sawada whether he had heard of the possible formation of a Purge Policy Committee made up of the Speaker of the House of Representatives, Chief of the Central Screening Committee, and Chief of the Appeals Board. Mr. Sawada replied that Minister Suzuki had talked to him of it, but that definite plans as to the committees' make-up and formulation had not been decided upon as yet. Major Napier replied that it was a matter for the Japanese Government to decide that he had only wondered whether Mr. Sawada had been informed and reiterated his gratification in Mr. Sawada's interest in his work.

HANS H. BAERWALD
2nd Lt. Inf.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) MND # 775-072

Report on the 32nd Appeal Board Meeting

July 7, 1947

I. Date: July 2, 10:40 - 15:10

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, HASEGAWA, and UEDA.

III. Proceedings: 1. On the case of YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi, the Appeal Board admitted the appearance and statement of the appellant and deliberated the case after Hearing the explanation by the appellant in detail and on the related matters. The Appeal Board decided to summon two interested persons as witnesses and to ~~refer~~ request the explanation of the Cabinet.

2. The Appeal Board decided to call three interested persons as witnesses on NARAHASHI, Wataru's case.

3. The Appeal Board deliberated on TSUGITA, Daisaburo's case and decided to refer the necessary matters to the appellant.

4. The Appeal Board deliberated on ANDO, Masazumi's case and decided to refer the necessary matters to the appellant.

5. The next meeting is scheduled on July 4, 10:00.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 795 012

Report on 30th Appeal Board Meeting

July 5, 1947

I. Date: June 25, 10:25 - 14:10

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA

III. Proceeding:

1. Regarding the appeal case of CHIZAKI, Usaburo, the Appeal Board agreed on the appearance and statement of the appellant and heard from the appellant on the necessary matters. The deliberation was decided to ~~the~~ be continued.
2. The Appeal Board deliberated on the case of KAWANAMI, Toyonori and decided to refer the necessary matter to the Demobilization Board.
3. The Appeal Board discussed on the propriety of submitting necessary matters to the interested persons and decided to submit the matter to two interested persons.
4. The next meeting of the Board is scheduled on June 27, 10:00.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 072

Examination Report

July 5, 1947

The Appeal Board

I. SUBJECT: KONO, Ichiro (candidate of members of the House of Representatives)

II. DATE OF DECISION: June 18

III. DECISION: (unanimous) The designation of KONO as a purge by Prime Minister on August 6, 1946 shall not be released.

IV. REASON:

1. Prime Minister has designated KONO as a purgee after deliberation on KONO's interpellation to Prime Minister Yonai at the Budget Committee of 75th Session of the Diet on March 23, 1940 and also on the memoire of Prime Minister Yonai on the Diet speech of KONO.

2. KONO, however, claims his innocence on the following grounds:

(a) Although his speech was misunderstood as it was not thorough-going because of time limit and restriction of the freedom of speech, KONO intended by the speech to contribute to the clear-cut solution of the Sino-Japanese dispute through diplomatic negotiation with the U.S. As its evidence he states that in the interpellations which he made at the Diet sessions in 1938-1939 he opposed the expansion of armament and warned the danger of self-sufficiency economy. He resisted against the tyrany of military clique and attacked dictatorship, while endeavouring for the protection of human right

Approved. 7 July 47. [signature]

with

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 012

with the result of being subjected to oppression by military clique and bureaucrats several times. Consequently he is neither a chauvinistic nationalist nor an exponent of aggression.

(b) He also maintains that speeches to be examined ought to be open one and as the speech in question was made at the Budget Committee and Sub-Committees which were not opened to the public, it was not heard by the general public except Government authorities and members of the Diet without any influence on instigating war to the public.

3. The Appeal Board examined the case closely on the following items and has given the above-stated dicision.

Even if the KONO's speech at the Budget Committee on March 23, 1940 intended to contribute for a clear-cut solution of the Sino-Japanese conflict through U.S.-Japanese diplomatic negotiation as was claimed by KONO, the following paragraphs which establish him as an active exponent of chauvinistic nationalism and aggression by demanding the Government to wage war against the U.S. as a solution of the Sino-Japanese conflict.

(1) "I deems when the U.S. meets Japan with a general embargo, it is the opportunity when Japan should wage a war against the U.S."

(2) "Why does'nt the Government call up peoples opinion on the grave crisis which exists between Japan and the U.S.? Why does the Government continue its appeasing

and

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 795 012

and compromising policy toward the U.S.?----"

(3) "It is essential for Japan to establish a independent and self-sufficient economy free from the U.S. in order to prepare for an eventuality with the U.S."

(4) "Is it possible to construct new East Asia hand in hand with the U.S.? If not, we do not hesitate to wage war against the U.S."

"It is the U.S. who have threatened Japan by aiding Chiang regime."

"American Far Eastern policies and our policy on China Affair are not compatible."

"Recent expansion of armament of the U.S. Navy and its manoevres in the Pacific ~~are~~ be can't but regarded as expansion of preparation of war against Japan."

"Since the U.S. committed a moral embargo by breaking the U.S.-Japanese Commerce and Navigation Treaty, the arrival of the worst situation by a general embargo is a matter of time."

(5) There is no reason that speeches subject to examination are limited to those made to the public.

Such being the situation, Prime Minister is quite right he has designated ~~him~~ KONO as a purgee according to the S.C.A.P. Memorandum.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 072

Resubmitted on 24 July 47

Examination Report

Appeal Board
July 2, 1947

I. Subject: SASAMOTO, Kazuo, candidate for member of the H.R.

II. Date of Decision: July 18

III. Decision: Designation as a purgee by Prime Minister shall be released.

IV. Reason:
He was designated as a purgee on the ground that he had been a director of the Commercial Patriotic Association between Feb. 1944 and May, 1945.
However he appealed on the ground that his position as a director of the Association was only nominal and he was not given any duty, while he had never participated any kind of meetings of the Association including the Board of Directors. Consequently he did not effect any influential activity, he claims.

The Appeal Board closely examined on the following points and has reached to the above-stated decision.

1. According to the documented record of the Commercial Patriotic Association, it is recorded that SASAMOTO was consigned to a director of the Association in April, 1943 for the liaison between the Central Office of the Association and Commerce and Industry Ministry.

2. It is testified by Mr.Toshi Yamaji, ex-Director-General of the Association that; SASAMOTO's position as director was only nominal and his work was voluntary one. The Meeting of Directors has never met and file was passed through directors, instead of meeting. As Mr.Sasamoto was not related at amy time, however, his seal was never taken even once.

3. Mr. Shiro Nakamura, ex-Director of Miscellaneous Affairs Department testifies that; SASAMOTO, Kazuo had never attended the Meeting of Directors and had never participated or contributed the commercial patriotic

65-P

movement through writings, and subsequently he had never effected influence to the activities or functions of the Association.

Examing closely the above-meationed points, the Appeal Board reached to conclusion that

(a) the appellant was entrusted liaison between the Association and the Ministry of Commerce and Industry whose post happened to be a director.

(b) He had never attended the Meeting of Directors and never signed on documents which were passed around directors.

(c) He had never participated, nor contributed to the commercial patriotic movement through writings even a bit.

No other evidences contrary to the above-stated were found. The Appeal Board decided that he does not fall upon a influential director of the Commercial Patriotic Association.

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD:

3 July 1947

SUBJECT: UEDA, Shunkichi, His Appointment to Appeals Board.

1. On 2 July 1947, Mr. K. E. Colton, CIS, G-2, notified this Division that UEDA, Shunkichi, Member of the Appeals Board, was at one time secretary to HATOYAMA, Ichiro and continues to be HATOYAMA's personal friend.

2. On 3 July 1947, an attempt was made to determine whether UEDA had been cleared for appointment to the Appeals Board by the Government Section. Since UEDA's appointment to the Appeals Board on 15 May 1947 when Lt. Colonel C. P. Marcum was in charge of Purge Policy, Col. Marcum and his assistant Mr. Scott were consulted. Mr. Scott stated that:

a. An information questionnaire which made no mention of UEDA's employment by HATOYAMA was submitted on or about 1 May 1947.

b. No derogatory information on UEDA was forthcoming at that time from any source including CIS/G-2 and, therefore, since the accepted policy at that time was to permit the appointment of any person selected by the government providing there was no derogatory information concerning him, UEDA's appointment was approved by Colonel Marcum.

3. Since UEDA's information questionnaire did not go through the regular screening process, there is no record of it in this division, nor can the questionnaire itself be found.

GUY A. WIGGINS

July 1, 1947

The Appeal Board

I. SUBJECT: SHIMADA, Tamejiro (candidate for the member of the House of Councillors)

II. Date of decision: May 16, 1947

III. Decision: The designation as a purgee by Prime Minister shall not be released.

IV. Reason:

a. SHIMADA was designated as a purgee by Prime Minister on March 19, 1947 on the ground he attacked and threatened a opponent against the militarist government.

b. SHIMADA claims that he presented a dagger with his statement of opinion to SAITO, Takao who was opposing the militalistic government with the intention to encourage SAITO to oppose the army and he did not mean to threaten SAITO.

c. The Appeal Board examined the case and it has reached the following conclusion:

It is clarified by the Questionnaire that

(1) SHIMADA as a demobilized soldier was disappointed by SAITO's attitude when SAITO as a member of the House of Representatives was subjected to a disciplinary case by the House of Representatives because of his Diet speech.

(2) When SHIMADA presented Mr. Saito a dagger with his recommendation for this reason, he was arrested on March 9, 1940 and was fined by the Tokyo Local

Approved 7 July 47. Tom C. Clark

64-17

Criminal

Criminal Court to 80 yen which he paid on October 7, 1940:

It is duly understood that SHIMADA was punished by the Court because of threat on Mr. Saito who was well-known opponent to the militarist government.

Under these circumstances, Prime Minister was right to designate him as a purgee as an attacker of an opponent to militarist government.

appeals file

1. The members of the Board of Appeal

HASEGAWA, Taichiro
MANO, Tsuyoshi
MOROI, Kanichi
SAWADA, Takejiro
SHIMA, Tamotsu
TOMIYASU, Kenji
UEDA, Shunkichi

2. Questionnaires on the first six persons were sent in March 15th and Ueda's Questionnaire May 15t. 1947.

3. Kojima's Questionnaire (#12209) was submitted to GHQ March 25th, 1947.

appeals file

List of cases taken up by the Appeal Board

(as of June 30 1947)

I.	Total number of cases		98
	Break-down:		
	1. Completed:	a. rejected	8
		b. not released	28
		c. released	9
	2. Pending:	a. examining evidences	35
		b. under deliberation	18
II.	Classified list of cases decided		
	1. Candidates for member of the House of Representatives (total number)		50
	Break-down:	a. rejected	1
		b. not released	13
		c. released	6
	2. Candidates for member of the House of Councillors		7
	Break-down:	a. rejected	0
		b. not released	5
		c. released	0
	3. Candidates for prefectural governors		5
	Break-down:	a. rejected	0
		b. not released	1
		c. released	1
	4. Candidates for mayors		5
	Brak-down:	a. rejected	0
		b. not released	3
		c. released	0
	5. Candidates for headman of towns and villages		12
	Break-down:	a. rejected	0
		b. not released	4
		c. released	2
	6. Others		19
	Break-down:	a. rejected	7
		b. not released	2
		c. released	0

63-A

III. Recommended members of the Diet 4
VI. Number of required information 67
1. to appellants/answered 7
no answered 10
2. to Cabinet and Ministries
answered 14
no answered 14
3. to local governments answered 7
no answer 5
4. to town and village offices answered 1
no answer 11 0
5. Number of Certification answered 3
no answer 5
6. to companies answered 1
no answer 0
V. Witnesses summoned 7
VI. Appellant appeared 1

appeals

Report of Meeting of the Appeal Board (30th)

June 28, 1947

I. Date: June 25, 10:25 - 14:10

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, SHIMA, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA and UEDA.

III. Proceedings:

(1) On the case of CHIZAKI, Usaburo, the Board permitted the appellant to appear and to make statement, and heard from the appellant on the necessary matters. The deliberation of the case shall be continued.

(2) The Board deliberated on the case of KANANAMI, Toyonori and decided to refer on some necessary matters to the Demobilization Board.

(3) The Board considered on the propriety of referring necessary matters to the related people and decided to refer to two people on the case of KOSAKA, Kaichiro.

(4) The next meeting will be held at 10:00, June 27.

R - Reported, but not submitted for review.
A - Approved or D - Disapproved P - Pending in C.S.
✓ - Not received

Report on the persent situation of
the appealed cases at the
Appeal Board

as of June 27, 1947.

Date of receipt	Chief Examinor	Decision	Name of appellant
Mar. 31	MANO	not released (June,18)	KONO, Ichiro A P
"	SHIMA	~~under deliberation~~	YAMAKAWA, Hashio
"	MOROI	under deliberation	YUKI, Zuiko A
"	TOMIYASU	not released (May 23)	TAGUCHI, Sukeichi
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	WATSUJI, Haruki A
"	MANO	rejected (April30)	YOSHIDA, Meibaku A R
Apr. 2	SHIMA	not released (June 4)	KAWASAKI, Katsu P
"	MOROI	under deliberation	OMINE, Kura A R P
"	TOMIYASU	not released (June 4)	HIRAOKA, Ryozo D R.
"	SAWADA	released (April 16)	FURUSAWA, Taichi
"	MANO	under deliberation	ONISHI, Ryozo A R
"	SHIMA	released (May 9)	SUGITA, Ichiro R
"	MOROI	not released (June 18)	MASUMITSU, Shigeo A R
Apr. 7	TOMIYASU	not released (May 16)	MAKINO, Ryozo P
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	TANABE, Tadao P
"	"	"	NARAHASHI, Wataru P P
"	MANO	"	MITSUBAYASHI, Kozo R
"	"	not released (June 18)	P SHIMURA, ~~Satoru~~ Takeshi A
"	MOROI	released (May 28)	NAKAMURA, Chogoro
Apr.8	"	under deliberation	HORI, Shinichi A A
"	MANO	not released (May 23)	KOYAMA, Kango A
"	"	rejected (May 9)	NAGAYASU, Ryotaro P
"	MOROI	under deliberation	TSUGITA, Daisaburo
"	TOMIYASU	"	HONDA, Yuzuru R
"	SAWADA	not released (June 13)	KAWABATA, Tahei A R
April 10	MANO	not released (May 14)	TANAKA, Isaji R
"	SHIMA	released (June 20)	IRIE, Kanichi
"	MOROI	under deliberation	ARAFUNE, Seijuro R
"	TOMIYASU	not released (June 18)	NAKA, Sukematsu R
"	SAWADA	rejected (May 9)	ANDO, Masazumi
"	MANO	under deliberation	KAWAGUCHI, Tadaatsu
"	SHIMA	"	SHIMADA, Tamejiro R R
		not released (May 16)	

62

Apr.10	MOROI	under deliberation	TESHIROGI, Ryukichi R.
"	TOMIYASU	"	MURAYASU, Shinkuro
"11	MANO	"	MATSUOKA, Matsuhei P
"	SHIMA	"	SHINOHARA, Rikuro
"	MOROI	not released (May 13)	EMOTO, Shigeo R A
"	MANO	under deliberation	INUKAI, Ken P
"	TOMIYASU	rejected (April 28)	AKIBA, Noboru R
"9	SAWADA,	rejected (April 28)	NAKAYAMA, Moriji R
"	MANO	released (May 23)	IKEDA, Yorinobu P
"	SHIMA	not released (April 28)	FUKAYA, Seiichi A
"	MOROI	rejected (Apr.28)	OGATA, Haruo R
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	KUBOTA, Michio
"	SAWADA	rejected (April 30)	MATSUMOTO, Tomeo A
"	MANO	released (May 23)	KATSUKI, Tamotsu A A
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	MIYAGAWA, Munenori P
"	MOROI	under deliberation	YAMADA, Mamoru
"	TOMIYASU	not released (May 14)	YUKI, Takeo R A R
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	NEMOTO, Hisaya
Apr.12	MANO	under deliberation	SHIGEMASA, Seishi P
"	SHIMA	"	YAEGASHI, Unkichi
"	MOROI	"	YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi
"	TOMIYASU	released (June 18)	SASAMOTO, Kazuo R P
"	SAWADA	not released (Apr.28)	MIYAMOTO, Minezo A
Apr.14	MANO	underdeleberation	TAMARUSHIMA, Takeo
"	SHIMA	"	SATO, Morio P
"	MOROI	not released (Apr128)	INOUE, Nobukio R
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	SATO, Masato P
"	SAWADA	"	ISHIGURO, Takeshige P
"	MANO	"	KOYAMA, Kuranosuke R
"	SHIMA	"	CHIZAKI, Uzaburo P
"	MOROI	"	EGAWA, Tamenobu P
"	TOMIYASU	"	HORI, Shigeru P
2	SAWADA	not released (May 16)	OGAWA, Ippei R
April5	MANO	not released (May 23)	KANAYA, Toshio A A.
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	KOBAYASHI, Kanae P
Apr.16	MOROI	"	OKUBO, Denzo P

April.19	TOMIYASU	not released (Apr.28)	GOTO, Yahachi A
"	SAWADA	not released (May 6)	FURUYA, Tadashige
"	MANO	under deliberation	MIYAHASHI, Kikuo
"	SHIMA	not released (June 20)	NAKAYAMA, Eiichi R
Apr.22	MOROI	rejected (May 6)	TAKEUCHI, Kisaburo A
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	OKIJAWA, Meisen P
Apr.23	SAWADA	"	KOZAKA, Kaichiro
"	MANO	"	SAWAMURA, Takashi
"	SHIMA	released (June 18)	HENMI, Masaichi R
"	MOROI	under deliberation	MORI, Shoji
"	TOMIYASU	not released (May 16)	KIDA, Takeo A R
"	SAWADA,	under deliberation	NAKAMURA, Takaichi P
"	MANO	not released (Apr.28)	KUBO, Magoichi A
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	KIGOSHI, Sadanori
"	MOROI	"	SAWAI, Sokichi
Apr.26	HASEGAWA	"	ISOMURA, Eiichi
"	SAWADA	not released (May 21)	WATANABE, Toshitake R AA
Apr.28	MANO	under deliberation	NAGASAKI, Sonosuke
April30	SHIMA	"	WATANABE, Kishimatsu
"	MOROI	"	AKAGI, Ichiro
May 22	TOMIYASU	"	NAGAO, Kakuzaemon
"	HASEGAWA	"	OGINO, Takeo
"	UEDA	not released (June 6)	TAKAHASHI, Genichi P
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	TAKAHASHI, Onotaro P
"	SHIMA	"	KAWANAMI, Toyonori
May23	TOMIYASU	"	NAKAYAMA, Torao P
"	MANO	"	MASAKI, Yoshihide
June.11	MOROI	"	SAITO, Hitoshi

NOTE: 1. Since June 11 two cases were filed on June 25, but they are not taken up yet.

30 June 47

2. released ..... 8 - 9
not released.....26 28
under deliberation..~~34~~ 31, 18
rejected ..... 8 - 8
Grand total .....~~96~~ 98

File

List of cases taken up by the Appeal Board

(as of June 30 1947)

I. Total number of cases 98

Break-down:

1. Completed:
   - a. rejected 8
   - b. not released 28
   - c. released 9
2. Pending:
   - a. examing in evidences 35
   - b. under deliberation 18

II. Classified list of cases decided

1. Candidates for member of the House of Representatives (total number) 50

   Break-down:
   - a. rejected 1
   - b. not released 13
   - c. released 6

2. Candidates for member of the House of Councillors 7

   Break-down:
   - a. rejected 0
   - b. not released 5
   - c. released 0

3. Candidates for prefectural governors 5

   Brak-down:
   - a. rejected 0
   - b. not released 1
   - c. released 1

4. Candidates for mayors 5

   Brak-down:
   - a. rejected 0
   - b. not released 3
   - c. released 0

5. Candidates for headman of towns and villages 12

   Brak-down:
   - a. rejected 0
   - b. not released 4
   - c. released 2

6. Others 19

   Break-down:
   - a. rejected 7
   - b. not released 2
   - c. released 0

62-A

III. Recommended members of the Diet 4
VI. Number of required information 67
1. to appellants/answered 7
no answered 10
2. to Cabinet and Ministries
answered 14
no answered 14
3. to local governments answered 7
no answer 5
4. to town and village offices answered 1
no answer 1
5. Number of Certification answered 0
no answer 3
6. to companies answered 5
no answer 1
V. Witnesses summoned 0
VI. Appellant appeared 7
1

Capt. Melvin G.S.

Report on the present situation of
the appealed cases at the
Appeal Board

as of June 27, 1947.

Date of receipt	Chief Examinor	Decision	Name of appellant
Mar. 31	MANO	not released (June, 18)	KONO, Ichiro
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	YAMAKAWA, Hashi
"	MOROI	not released (May 23)	YUKI, Zuiko
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	TAGUCHI, Sukeichi
"	SAWADA	rejected (April 30)	WATSUJI, Haruki
"	MANO	not released (June 4)	YOSHIDA, Meibaku
April 2	SHIMA	under deliberation	KAWASAKI, Katsu
"	MOROI	not released (June 5)	OMINE, Kura
"	TOMIYASU	released (April 16)	HIRAOKA, Ryozo
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	FURUSAWA, Taichi
"	MANO	released (May 9)	ONISHI, Ryozo
"	SHIMA	not released (June 18)	SUGITA, Ichiro
"	MOROI	not released (May 16)	MASUMITSU, Shigeo
April. 7	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	MAKINO, Ryozo
"	SAWADA	"	TANABE, Tadao
"	"	"	NARAHASHI, Wataru
"	MANO	not released (June 18)	MITSUBAYASHI, Kozo
"	"	released (May 28)	SHIMURA, Satoru
"	MOROI	under deliberation	NAKAMURA, Chogoro
April. 8	"	not released (May 23)	HORI, Shinichi
"	MANO	rejected (May 9)	KOYAMA, Kango
"	"	under deliberation	NAGAYASU, Ryotaro
"	MOROI	"	TSUBITA, Daisaburo
"	TOMIYASU	not released (June 13)	HONDA, Yuzuru
"	SAWADA	not released (May 14)	KAWABATA, Tahei
April. 10	MANO	released (June 20)	TANAKA, Isaji
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	IRIE, Kanichi
"	MOROI	not released (June 18)	ARAFUNE, Seijuro
"	TOMIYASU	rejected (May 9)	NAKA, Sukematsu
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	ANDO, Masazumi
"	MANO	"	KAWAGUSHI, Tadaatsu
"	SHIMA	not released (May 16)	SHIMADA, Tamejiro

Submitted to Maj Snow
from Mr Kobayashi, Inspection Sec.
Cabinet Secretariat, on 28th
62 June, 1947.

Apr.10	2 MOROI	under deliberation	TESHIROGI, Ryukichi
"	TOMIYASU	"	MURAYASU, Shinkuro
Apr.11	MANO	"	MATSUOKA, Matsuhei
"	SHIMA	"	SHINOHARA, Rikuro
"	MOROI	not released (May 13)	EMOTO, Shigeo
"	MANO	under deliberation	INUKAI, Ken
"	TOMIYASU	rejected (April 28)	AKIBA, Noboru
"	SAWADA,	rejected (April 28)	NAKAYAMA, Moriji
"	MANO	released (May 23)	IKEDA, Yorinobu
"	SHIMA	not released (April 28)	FUKAYA, Seiichi
"	MOROI	rejected (April 28)	OGATA, Haruo
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	KUBOTA, Michio
"	SAWADA	rejected (April 30)	MATSUMOTO, Tomeo
"	MANO	released (May 23)	KATSUKI, Tamotsu
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	MIYAGAWA, Munenori
"	MOROI	under deliberatiob	YAMADA, Mamoru
"	TOMIYASU	not released (May 14)	YUKI, Takeo
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	NEMOTO, Hisaya
Apr.12	MANO	under deliberation	SHIGEMASA, Seishi
"	SHIMA	"	YAEGASHI, Unkichi
"	MOROI	"	YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi
"	TOMIYASU	released (June 18)	SASAMOTO, Kazuo
"	SAWADA	not released (April 28)	MIYAMOTO, Minezo
Apr.14	MANO	under deliberation	TAMARUSHIMA, Takeo
"	SHIMA	"	SATO, Morio
"	MOROI	not released (April 28)	INOUE, Nobukio
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	SATO, Masato
"	SAWADA	"	ISHIGURO, Takeshige
"	MANO	"	KOYAMA, Kuranosuke
"	SHIMA	"	CHIZAKI, Uzaburo
"	MOROI	"	EGAWA, Tamenobu
"	TOMIYASU	"	HORI, Shigeru
"	SAWADA	not released (May 16)	OGAWA, Ippei
Apr.15	MANO	not released (May 23)	KANAYA, Toshio
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	KOBAYASHI? Kanae
Apr.16	MOROI	"	OKUBO, Denzo
Apr.19	TOMIYASU	not released (Apr. 28)	GOTO, Yahachi
"	SAWADA	not released (May 6)	FURUYA, Tadashige
"	MANO	under deliberation	MIYAHASHI, Kikuo
"	SHIMA	not released (June 20)	NAKAYAMA, Eiichi
Apr.22	MOROI	rejected (May 6)	TAKEUCHI, Kisaburo
"	TOMIYASU	under deliberation	OIKAWA, Meisen
Apr.23	SAWADA	"	KOZAKA, Kaichiro
"	MANO	"	SAWAMURA, Takashi
"	SHIMA	released (June 18)	HENMI, Masaichi
"	MOROI	under deliberation	MORI, Shoji
"	TOMIYASU	not released (May 16)	KIDA, Takeo
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	NAKAMURA, Takaichi
"	MANO	not released (Apr.28)	KUBO, Kagoichi
"	SHIMA	under deliberation	KIGOSHI, Sadanori

Apr.23	MOROI	under deliberation	SAWAI, Sokichi
Apr.26	HASEGAWA	"	ISOMURA, Eiichi
"	SAWADA	not released (May 21)	WATANABE, Toshitake
Apr.28	MANO	under deliberation	NAGASAKI, Sonosuke
Apr.30	SHIMA	"	WATANABE, Kishimatsu
"	MOROI	"	AKAGI, Ichiro
May 22	TOMIYASU	"	NAGAO, Kakuzaemon
"	HASEGAWA	"	OGINO, Takeo
"	UEDA	not released (June 6)	TAKAHASHI, Genichi
"	SAWADA	under deliberation	TAKAHASHI, Onotaro
"	SHIMA	"	KAWANAMI, Toycnori
May 23	TOMIYASU	"	NAKAYAMA, Torao
"	MANO	"	MASAKI, Yoshihide
June.11	MOROI	"	SAITO, Hitoshi

NOTE: 1. Since June 11 two cases were filed on June 25, but they are not taken up yet.

2. released 8
not released 26
under deliberation..54
rejected 8

Grand total 96

Report on the present situation of the appealed cases at the Appeal Board which were originally purged through Government Section

HIRAOKA, Ryozo

Purged - 26 March 1947 by Japanese Government
Reinstated by Appeals Board
Removed by GS - 10 June 1947

Position which placed subject under scope of SCAPIN 550
Chief, Hannomachi Branch of IRAA, from May 43 to dissolution

NAKAYAMA, Torao

Purged - 24 March 1947 by Government Section
Appeals Board report - (under deliberation)

Position which placed subject under scope of SCAPIN 550
Chief, Toyokawa-mura Branch of IRA Youth Association

SAITO, Hitoshi

Purged - 9 Arpil 1947 by Government Section
Appeals Board report - Reinstatement approved by GS memo - 23 June 1947

SASAMOTO, Kazuo

Purged - 1 April 1947
Appeals Board report - (released)

Position which placed subject under scope of SCAPIN 550
Active member - Board of Directors of Commercial Patriotic Association

Examination Report (June 25)

The Appeal Board
19, June, 1947.

TAKAHASHI, Genichi
Date of Decision: June 6, 1947.
Decision : Designation as purgee should not be released.
Reason : TAKAHASHI was designated as a purgee by the Governor of Miyagi Prefecture by his work "A big arsenal, Manchoukuo" which fell under G category of the Purge Ordinance. For this, TAKAHASHI, Appeals that:

1. The book was not written as his individual opinion but as a spokesman of the Manchoukuo embassy in Japan.
2. The title of the book was put by the desire of publisher for the benefit of sale apart from its contents which were to introduce general conditions in Manchoukuo including munitions industry.

But the contents of the book are to be deemed to fall under G category. For example, the book is quoted as (Page 12): "The Greater East Asian War is a sacred war, as it is a war not only for the happiness and welfare of one billion peoples, but also a war of emancipation for the preservation of the traditional oriental spirit. The war is the outcome of exalted anger of the billion peoples who strive for the preservation of the long-cherished tradition at the risk of life. However, the time has come at last when the aggression and exaction by the Anglo-Americans which has lasted for several hundred years are crushed for the happiness of the people of the Far East ".....".
"The Greater East Asia Declaration which was adopted at the Greater East Asia Conference is an epoch-making important Charter and is quite unparalled with the socalled Atlantic Charter in its importance. The nations of the Far East stood up with the alarming of the Greater East Asia Declaration."

Approved. 3 July 47. Sawa

The book is not only published with the "Author, TAKAHASHI, Genichi" on May 25, 1944, but also no portion is found where he describes as a claimed spokesman. In conclusion, the designation as a purgee was right and the Board has decided as above-stated.

61

Report of 29th Meeting

The Appeal Board
June 20, 1947.

Date: June 20 from 10.25 a.m. to 2.00 p.m.
Commissioners present: SANADA, MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA.

Proceedings:

1. Examined YAMADA, Mamoru and decided to make further investigation asking necessary information to the concerned.
2. Examined NAGAO, Kakuzaimon and dicided to inqure after the Governor of AOMORI, Prefecture.
3. Examined TANAKA, Isaji and completed.
4. Examined NAKAYAMA, Eiichi and completed.
5. Decided to hold next meeting on 10.00 a.m. June 25.

60

Report of 28th Meeting

The Appeal Board
June 18, 1947.

I. Date: June 18, 10:20-15:10

II. Commissioners present: SAWADA, MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA

III. Proceedings:

1. On the case of SHIMADA, Tamejiro which was provisionary decided at the 20th meeting of the Board on May 16th, the Board had made an inquiry.
   Since the reply from the Tokyo Local Court reached, the decision was confirmed by the Board.
2. The Board discussed and decided its opinion on the matter of request of re-examination on the decision which was reached by the Appeal Board, as the matter was referred to the Board by the Cabinet.
3. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of KONO, Ichiro.
4. The Board deliberated on the case of CHIZAKI, Usaburo and decided to continue its deliberation.
   The Board also decided on the consideration of the plea by the subject person when it is filed by him.
5. The Board deliberated on the case of NEMOTO, Kyuya and decided to make inquiry to the appellant on the necessary matters.
6. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of MITSUBAYASHI, Kozo.
7. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of ARAFUNA, Seijuro.
8. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of SASAMOTO, Kazuo.
9. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of SUGITA, Ichiro.
10. The Board deliberated on the case of SATO, Masato and decided to refer the matter to the related quarter.
11. The Board deliberated and decided on the case of HENMI, Masaichi.
12. The next meeting is scheduled to be held on June 20, 10:00

8

Appeal Board File

Examination Report

The Appeal Board
June 16, 1947.

YOSHIDA, Meibaku

Decision — Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason — YOSHIDA was designated as a purgee by the Governor of TOTTORI Prefecture on the ground he advocated nationalism in his work "A patriot ; RIKU, Katsunan." For this YOSHIDA Appeals that the work was written to teach right nationalsm against ultra-nationalism and he can't find a reason to be purged.
But the Board, investgating the book, concluded that the contents of the book are ultra-nationalistic (quatations of them are omitted), and the Governor properly designated him as a purgee.

Approved: Tsukahara also goes along on this.
Roger Shiroff
Maj. FA

Report of Meeting

The Appeal Board
June 13, 1947.

Date June 13, from 10.45 a.m. to 1.00 p.m.
Commissioners Present SAWADA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA,

Preceedings.

1. Examined and decided on HONDA, Yuzuru's case.
2. Examined and decided to inquire to the Premier's Board on TAMARISHIMA, Takeo's case.
3. Examided but not completed CHIZAKI, Usaburo's case.
4. Examied KOSAKA, Kaichiro's case, and decided to continue after getting the appellant answer for the Board's inquiry.
5. Examined, MATSUOKA, Matsuhei's case and decided to contine.
6. Decided to hold next meeting at 10.00 a.m. June 18.

97

57

Report of Meeting

The Appeal Board
June 13, 1947.

Date June 13, from 10.45 a.m. to 1.00 p.m.

Commissioners Present SAWADA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA,

Proceedings.

1. Examined and decided on HONDA, Jo's case.
2. Examined and decided to inquire to the Premier's Board on TAMARISHIMA, Takeo's case.
3. Examided but not completed CHIZAKI, Usaburo's case.
4. Examied KOSAKA, Kaichiro's case, and decided to continue after getting the appellant answer for the Board's inquiry.
5. Examined, MATSUOKA, Matsuhei's case and decided to contine.
6. Decided to hold next meeting at 10.00 a.m. June 18.

PSSD File

Appeal Board

To: Each Ministry and other Government agencies
and Diet secretariat

FROM: Mr. Suehiro Nishio, Chief of the Cabinet Secretariat

SUBJECT: Note on the abstention from consultation or candidates for appointment before the screening by the Central Screening Committee

DATE: June 14, 1947.

Regarding the administration of the Memorandum on removal and exclusion of undesirable personnel from public offices, it has been requested by the Cabinet with a note dated February 18, 1947 that each Ministry and agency and the Diet Secretariat is requested to abstain from negotiating individually with various sections of the G.H.Q. and that with regard to candidates for appointment the screening of them by the Central Screening Committee is required before the approach to the G.H.Q. (In any case two copies of the list of candidates shall be sent to the Government section.)

In spite of this, there happened recently that certain Ministry recommended a person falling on the Memorandum to a member of a committee, and therefore each Ministry or agency is strictly requested to abide by the above-mentioned note and the Memorandum dated February 2, 1947 whose copy is hereby attached once more.

Certificate of screening
Nominees for Govt positions

D 550 File

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 12, 1947.

A OMINE, Kura

Decision: Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason: OMINE was designated as a purgee by the prime minister on the ground that he was chief of I.R.A.Y.A. Taira City branch, which falls under A.2. IV Appendix of the Purge Ordinance.

For this OMINE appeals that

1. He took the post of chief of I.R.A.Y.A. Taira City branch Jan. 20, 1942, but he disagreed with the prefectural conferrence which decided to assist so called recommended condidates only, and so seceded the association resigning the chief. Mar. 5, 1942.
2. He took HOSHI, Hajime, then a member of House of commons, as his model and his thought was democratic. So he was deemed as a pro-American by Tokkuko Police and was suppressed in the election of Taira city assembly in June 1942 and failed in it.
3. He took the post of the chief only for one and half month, and thus there is no reason to be purged.

But from the spirit of the Purge Ordinance the fact that he was the chief of I.R.A.Y.A., which the appellant admits, is enough to designate him as a purgee.

P50011/2

14 June. Approved Bill.

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 12, 1947.

A 1. KIDA, Takeo

2. Decision: Designation as a purgee by the Prime Minister shall not be released.

3. Date of decision: May 16, 1947.

4. Reason: KIDA, Takeo was designated as a purgee by the Prime Minister as he was at the post of the Chief Secretary of Okayama Branch of DAI NIPPON SEISANTO (Great Japan Production Party). Meanwhile he claims innocence on the following reasons.

1. He has filed in the questionnaire as the Chief Secretary of the Okayama Branch of the Great Japan Production Party, however he has not joined the party with the belief in militarism or nationalism, nor has he made any activity for the party.

2. The has utilized the title of the Chief Secretary of the Okayama Branch for convenience sake, as he was histed and was watched by Special Police as a career of left-wing-movement.

The Appeal Board examined the case and decided that he should not be released from designation on the following reasons.

1. There is no question that he had been at the post of the Chief Secretary of the Okayama Branch of the Japan Production Party which is listed as an influential post of ultra-nationalistic societies.

2. The fact that he had engaged in left-wing-movement and was watched and oppressed by Special Police is not satisfactrery to alter the decision of the Screening Committee.

13 June 47
OK

54

Examination Report

The Appeal Board
June 12, 1947

A YUKI, Zuiko

Decision: Designation by the Governor of Shizuoka Pref. as purgee should not be released.

Date of Decision: May 23, 1947

Reason: He was purged as falling under 6, Category II in the attached list No.1 to the Cabinet and Home Ministry Order No.1.
He insists that the designation is unwarranted because it was to condole the sould of dead soldiers and furthermore to exert discipline and morale among soldiers that he was sent to the battle front by the Nichiren Section of Buddism and later nominated as non-commissioned officer of the Army.
But the fact that he held the post as non-commissioned efficer in the Army is true, and this fact is not altered whether the motive of nomination lied in spreading humanism and gospel among soldiers and war-sufferers.

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 12, 1947.

A 1. MASUMITSU, Shigeo
2. Decision: Designation as a purgee by the Prime Minister shall not be removed.
3. Date of decision: May 16, 1947.
4. Reason: MASUMITSU was designated as a purgee by the Prime Minister as a temporary non-regular official (SHOKUTAKU) of Tsingtao Kaigun Tokumu Bu under II.6 of Appendix 1 of Cabinet and Home Ministry No.1, 1947.
However he claims that he was arally appointed to a temporary non-regular official and he was not officially given with of appointment as a civilian employee.
The Appeal Board examined the case and decided not to release him from designation, as he had served with the Tsingtao Tokumu Bu as a temporary non-regular official and "civilian employee" under the said paragraph of the Ordinance denotes staff served in Army or Navy beside office or enlisted man, without regard to its writ of appointment or treatment.

13 June 47

52

Report of Meeting

The Appeal Board
June 11, 1947.

Date: June 11, from 11.20 a.m. to 1.40 p.m.
Commissioners present SAWADA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, UEDA?
Proceedings 1. Called a wittness concerning INUKAE, Ken's case.
2. Decided to hold next meeting on June 13, 10.00 a.m.

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 10, 1947.

A. KANAYA, Toshio

Desicion: Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason: KANAYA was designated as a purgee by the prime minister. On the ground that he was a sivilian employee in the special organization of Sanshi Province, which falls under 6, I, Appendix o of the Purge Ordinance.

For this KANAYA appeals that

1. The special organization was not secret infelligence but a were liaison office between the Japanese army and chinese administration office.

2. A liaison officer of provincial administration was used to be appoined by the special organization as a member not on regular staff (SHOKUTAKU) to assist Chinese Administration.

3. He never did any intelligent service in his Position and from his own will. But it is clear that he was civilian employee of the special organization of Sanshi Province and this fact is enough to decide that he falls under the Purge Orginance.

13 June 47
GK
Jpn

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 10, 1947.

A HORI, Shinichi

Decision: Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason: HORI was designated as a purgee by the prime minister on the ground that he was a assistant gendarme in the headquarters of military police, which falls under 6 II Appendix I of the Purge Ordinance.

For this HORI appeals that.

1. He made a mistake in filing a questionaire writing as assitant gendarme instead of concurrent non-commisioned officer of M.P.

2. He served in M.P. by order of the army and not voluntarily.

3. His task in M.P. was air-raid precaution and gard as an infantryman, and never fulfilled original M.P. task.

4. He never transferred to M.P. but only attached to M.P. temporarily but trafic difficulties prevent him to return his orginal regiment. But the fact that he served in M.P. is clear by the Purge Ordinance.

13 June 47
OK J Appan

Appeals. File

PUBLIC NOTICE ON THE RESULT OF
EXAMINATION OF APPEALS ON
QUALIFICATION FOR PUBLIC POSTS

(From March 3, 1947 to May 31, 1947)

NISHIO, Suehiro
Chief of Cabinet Secretariat

June 7, 1947.

The following list is the result of measures taken by the Prime Minister based upon the report of examination by the Appeal Board in compliance with the Imperial Ordinance No. 65 of 1947.

1. Names of those removed from the designation as those who fall on the Memorandum and date of their removal.

R HIRAOKA, Ryozo (Candidate for member of the House of Representatives)
..... Apr. 18 .....

R ONISHI, Ryozo (Candidate for Governor of Toyama Prefecture)
..... May 31 .....

2. Names of those who are decided not to be removed from designation and date of decision.

R YUKI, Takeo (Candidate for member of the House of Representatives)
..... May 31 .....

R MIYAMOTO, Minezo (ditto)
..... ditto .....

R EMOTO, Shigeo (Candidate for member of the House of Councillors)
..... ditto .....

INOUE, Shikio (ditto)
..... ditto .....

A FUKAYA, Seiichi (Candidate for the Governor of Tochigi Pref.)
..... ditto .....

GOYO, Yohachi (Candidate for the Mayor of Atami City)
..... ditto .....

FURUYA, Tadanari (Member of the Farm Land Committee of Atami District in Shizuoka Pref.) ..... ditto .....

R KUBO, Magoichi (Member-elect of the Farm Land Committee of Ishikawa Pref.) ..... ditto .....

48

DECLASSIFIED: E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 012

REPORT OF PROCEEDING

The Appeal Board
June 6, 1947.

1. Date : June 6, 10:40 - 14:30
2. Commissioners present: SAWADA, (Chairman), MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA,
3. Proceedings:

P(1) One the case of NARAHASHI Wataru, the Board heard on the neccssary matters from witness.

P(2) The Appeal Board deliberated on the case of KOBAYASHI, Kanae and decided to continue delibiration of the case with the arrival of reply from the Cabinet on the necssary matters which were referred to it.

P(3) The Appeal Board deliberated on the case of OKUBO, Denzo and decided to continue deliberation of the case with the arrival of the reply from the Cabinet which were referred to it.

P(4) The case of KONO, Ichiro was deliberated and it was decided to give decision at the next meeting.

P(5) The case of TAKAHASHI, Genichi was deliberated and decided.

(6) It was decided to hold next meeting at 10:30 on June 11, (Wednesday).

47

DECLASSIFIED: E.O. 11652 SEC. 5(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 793 072

PUBLIC SERVICE QUAL. DIV.
Buck Slip

	Initial	Remarks
Baerwald		
Brady		
Carson		Appeal file.
Chick		
Drivdahl		Hold until our
Earle		
Gilbert	mg	file copy of Memo
Grahamslaw		
Jones		
Kozlowski		
McGandy		& CLO returns from
McGrath	mm	
Melvin		
Mueller	HKM	G.S. before filing
Napier		
Neff		
Ogino	HO.	because of note on
Schweitzer		
Snow		page 2 of this.
Tsukahara		
Tyson	WT.	Snow
Whitney, Jr	W	
Wiggins	GAW	

DATE 23 June 47.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 795 012

EXAMINATION REPORT

The Appeal Board
June 5, 1947.

A I. SHIMURA, ~~Ken~~ Takashi.

II. Decision: Designation by the Governor of Shizuoka Pref. as a purgee under Art. 4 of Imperial Ordinance No.1 of 1947 shall be released.

III. Date of decision: May 28, 1947.

IV. Reason:

SHIMURA was purged by the Governor of Shizuoka Prefecture on March 18, 1947, as he gave consent for the appointment of a Village Branch Head of the Ex-servicemen's Association (Zaigo Gunjin Kai)in Shizuoka Prefecture. Meanwhile he claims his innocence on the following reasons.

1. When he was persuaded to take the post of the Branch Head of Shiraito Village by the Secretary of the Shiraito Village Branch of the Ex-Servicemen's Association, he refused several times, but at last when he was requested to take the post even nominally he gave consent under the condition to be cooperated by other officials.
However he did not receive any nomination order.

2. He was receiving report on the business from the secretary of the Village Branch with the determination to take the post of the Branch Head when he was called to the front and consequently the taking over of the business was not completed.

3. He has never been at the post of the Branch Head even a single day, nor took he any step with regard to the Branch with his name.

The Appeal Board examined the case and decided to release him from designation from the following reasons.

1. There is no question on the facts that (1) he gave consent to the appointment, (2) but the was not recommended to the Prefectural Branch as a Branch Head after he gave consent, (3) the taking over of the business was not completed, (4) he has never made any activity as the Branch Head and that (5) when he was taking over the business of the Branch

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 012

with the determination to take the post of the Branch Head at the meeting of officials of the Branch, he was called to the front.

2. In view of the above-stated facts, it is clear that the consent he gave to the secretary of the Branch Office did not mean to give consent for the appointment to the post, nor to give consent for the recommendation for the appointment to the Prefectural Branch.

He gave consent simply with the condition to be agreed by the officials of the Branch.

3. Actually he did not take the post of the Branch Head, nor made he any activity as the Branch Head, as he was called to the front on the day of taking over of business.

Judging from the above clarifications, it is reasonable that he is not deemed to have taken the post, nor have the made any activity and it is wrong to designated him as a purgee with the ground that he actually took the post of the Branch Head.

Approved on Memo to CLO, dtd. 21 June 47. + sent o.t. R. Monroe.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 5(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 072

appeals file BM

REPORT OF MEETING

The Appeal Board

June, 4, 1947.

1. Date: June 4, from 11:30 to 15:30
2. Commissioners present:
   SAWADA, MANO, TOMIYOSHI, HASEGAWA, UEDA, MOROI (attended later)

3. Proceedings:
   (1) Discussed on the case of NAKAYAMA, Torao and it was decided to make inquiry on necessary matters to the persons concerned.
   (2) Discussed and reached a decision on the case of YOSHIDA, Meibaku.
   (3) Discussed and reached to a decision on the case of OMINE, Kura.
   (4) Decided to hold next meeting at 10:30 a.m., June, 6.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 072

... File and ... Appeals Board.

REPORT ON THE BOARD

The Appeal Board
May 30, 1947.

1. Commissioners

SAWADA, Takejiro
Chief Judge of Administrative Court - Appointed on March 12 - All the meetings present 23 times

SHIMA, Tamotsu
Supreme Coust Judge - Appointed on March 12 - Present 20 times, absent 3 times .

TOMIYASU, Kenji
Appointed on March 12 - All the meetings present 23 times.

MANO, Takeshi
Appointed on March 12 - Present 17 times, absent 6 times.

MOROI, Kanichi
Appointed on March 12 - Present 22times, absent 1 time

KOJIMA, Kazuo
Appointed on April 11, resigned on April 18 - All the meetings present 3 times.

HASEGAWA, Taichiro
Appointed on April 26 - All the meetings present 13 times.

UEDA, Shunkichi
Appointed on May 15 - All the meetings present 4 times.

P59D 171

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 775 072

2. Number and date of meetings

The Board met 23 times.
The Board met on:

April 11, 12, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 22, 25, 26, 28, 30.

May 2, 6, 7, 9, 13, 14, 16, 21, 23, 28.

3. Number of cases accepted 97.

4. Number of cases pending 70.

5. Number of cases decided by the Board 27.

DECLASSIFIED E.O. 11652 SEC. 3(E) AND 3(D) OR (E) NNDG # 795 072

Report of Meeting

The Appeal Board
May 29, 1947.

Date: May 28, from 10.10a.m. to 3.00 p.m.

Commissioners present:
Sawada, Shima, Tomiyasu, Hasegawa, Ueda.
a little later Moroi and Mano.

Proceedings.

1. Examined Omine, Kura's case. The discussion will be continued at the next meeting.

2. Examined Shimura, Ken's case and decided.

3. Examined Takahashi, Onotaro's case and decided to ask for necessary informations from the Governor of the Aomori Prefecture.

4. Examined Oikawa, Meisen's case and decided to ask for necessary informations from the persons concerned.

5. Decided the case of Ogawa, Ippei and signed its examination report.

6. Decided to hold the next meeting at 10.00 a.m. June 4.

Received Lt. [illegible]
31 May 47
Mr. HARA

REPORT OF EXAMINATION

The Appeal Board
May 28, 1947

A KATSUKI, Tamotsu

Decision The designation as a purgee by the Prime Minister should be released.

Reason Katsuki was purged as falling under sub-paragraph B, paragraph 2, category 4 of Appendix I. He filed the appeal with the Board saying that, although he took on May 31, 1943 the post of acting vice-chief of the Training Section of the Training Department, the Asia Development League of Great Japan, the Department was composed of only 4 officials and the actual training was conducted at a seperate seminale by a group of leaders. He had no authority to participate in this training, the duties assigued to him being only to purchase and prepare furnitures and consumables necessary for the conduct of training. For these reasons he insists that the decision of the Screening Committee is groundless. To examine this case, he does not fall under any of the items in the Purge Ordinance No.1, 1947 Appendix I, IV, 2, B. And the prime Minister deemed him as influential with the reason only that he was acting vice-chief of the Training Section of the Training Department. But by following testimonies he can not be said that he was influential, so the appeal board decided to release his designation as a purgee.

Testimonies

1. NAKAYAMA, Ban, former chief of the Training Bureau of the Asia Development League of Great Japan."The appellant served chiefly in accounts and general affairs, and never touched the training business."

2. SHIMOTO, Yoshimasa, former vice-chief of TAKAMINE Asia Development Training Hall,

Approved 17 June 47. Shows in Memo to CLO. 42

"The appellant never participated in training of pupils, but conducted routine work only."

3. URYU, Atsuru, former leader of TAKAMINE Asia Development Training Hall.

"The appellant conducted only routine work which did not involve the training of pupuls, and actually he never participated in training itself."

4. NAGANO, Junichi, Graduate of TAKAMINE Training Hall.

"The appellant was assigned the business of accounts and miscellaneous business, and never worked for training. "

Mr. Hara
1100 / 12 June 47

Certification

A Subject Dispatch of Mr. Tamotsu KATSUKI, Acting Vice-Director of Training Division of the Training Bureau of the former Koa Domei (The Asia Development League) to Manchuria.

The subject person accompanied the Japanese representatives dispatched to attend the conference of various organizations representing Japan, Manchuria and China held in Hsinking, Manchuria from August 16, 1943 for a period of three days. Mr. Katsuki's duties were confined to disposal of miscellaneous affairs.

He was neither in a position nor qualified to participate in the deliberations of the conference.

The conference was divided into the first, second and third section. The first section of the conference concerned itself with deliberations concerning basis aims of the movement for rising Asia in the various areas, the second section with deliberations concerning the method of liaison in the rising Asia movement in the various areas and the third section with the exchange of views concerning report of actual status of youth and boys organizations and method of training thereof.

The member of the Committee representing Japan in the Third Section was Mr. Sakutaro ASAHINA, Vice President of the Japan Youths and Boys Organization. Mr. Katsuki was concerned in the execution of miscellaneous affairs of the Third Section and was present at the meetings of the conference of the section to the extent required to a minimum degree in the execution of miscellaneous affairs.

The occasion of Mr. Katsuki's dispatch in the suite was motivated by the fact that his wife's mother and brothers were risiding in Hsinking and Dairen at the time coupled with the fact that he was not pressed with work in Tokyo and I recollect even now hearing from him personally that he was sent out under these circumstance in the suite after obtaining the approval of his superiors.

I attended this conference as an observer from the Great East Asia Affairs Ministry and hereby certify the above facts as being true.

June 1, 1947

Check w/ CIE.

Hisashi MATSUI,
formerly Secretary
of Great East Asia
Affairs Ministry.

Hisashi Matsui

April 11, 1947

Captain Dismontes , Esq.,
G. H. Q.

Dear Sir,

On April 4, I was barred by the screening of Japanese Government as being disqualified for public office. As a recognized candidate of Japan Liberal Party in the first publication, I began to play an active part in preparing t for the election of in the ~~first~~ third electoral district of Fukuoka-Ken , but I was obliged to stop a compaign owing to the publication of the above decision. I was dissatisfied , however, with that decision, and therefore I requested Japanese Government for re-screening through due formalities. Now I take the liberty to offer the translation of the whole passage of my petition for your information.

Tamotsu Katsuki

To YOSHIDA Sigeru, Esq., Prime Minister

6 April 1947

Petition for the release from the designation as the person who falls under the memorandum on removal and exclusion of undesirable personnel from public office.

I got a place in then Koa Domei, Asia Development League, in September 1941. At first I was non-regular official, and member of Training Division of Training Bureau; in December 1941 was promoted to Superintendent and in June 1942 was ordered to Acting Vice-Chief of Training Division. All the personnel of Training Division were four, and the real and direct training organization was Takamine Training School in Kanagawa-ken. As to the laying down of training practice curricula or training, Chief of the school and the leading members were charged with them; I had no right to concern myself with them to the last; fully speaking, I was charged with the only business of purchasing fixtures and utensils necessary for the administration of Training Division such as desks, chairs, stationery and bedding. The service superior during my service was firstly lieutenant-general Banji Nakayama, Director of Training Bureau, and then lieutenant-general Susumu Fujita. Since the cases often occurred in which I could not obey from the bottom of my heart the orders of these service superiors, though my task was simple as above mentioned, in May 1943 after a year and a half service, I was relieved at my own request.

So believing that I don't fall under the reason of the designation, I had been actively preparing myself for standing as a candidate in the coming election. As the termination of the term of notification of the standing as a candidate is drawing near, I wish you will be so kind as to re-screen me quickly.

charged with them; I had no right to concern myself with them to the last; fully speaking, I was charged with the only business of purchasing fixtures and utensils necessary for the administration of Training Division such as desks, chairs, stationery and bedding. The service superior during my service was firstly lieutenant-general Banji Nakayama, Director of Training Bureau, and then lieutenant-general Susumu Fujita. Since the cases often occurred in which I could not obey from the bottom of my heart the orders of these service superiors, though my task was simple as above mentioned, in May 1943 after a year and a half service, I was relieved at my own request.

So believing that I don't fall under the reason of the designation, I had been actively preparing myself for standing as a candidate in the coming election. As the termination of the term of notification of the standing as a candidate is drawing near, I wish you will be so kind as to re-screen me quickly.

KATSUKI Tamotsu
No. 33, 2-chome, Kaga-machi,
Ichigaya, Shinjuku.

52

REPORT OF MEETING

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 26, 1947.

Date: May 23, from 10.10 A.M. to 2.50 P.M.

Commissioners present :

SAWADA, Shima, Tomiyasu, Hasegawa, Ueda.

a little later Moroi and Mano.

Proceedings.

1. Examined Kanaya, Toshio's case and decided.
2. Examined Yuki, Zuiko's case and decided.
3. Examined Hori, Shinichi's case and decided.
4. Examined Makino, Ryozo's case and decided to inquire the Premier's Secretariate.
5. Decided the cases of Watanabe, Toshitake; Ikeda, Yorinobu; and Kawabata, Tahei and signed examination reports thereof.
6. Decided to hold the next meeting at 10.00 a.m. May 28.

41

Examination Report

The Appeal Board
May 23, 1947.

A KAWABATA, Tahei

Decision — Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason — The appellant was designated as a purgee by the governor of Fukui Prefecture owing to his work "Japanese diplomacy toward China and his interests in Manchuria and Mongolia", published Oct. 1931 (3,000 copies), in which he backed militant nationalism. The appelant says that he himself is not a militalistic person and quotes some remarks from his book. But in the same book, there can be found so many sentences which favor expansionism and militalistic solution of the Chinese problem. Viewed as a whole, the book reveals the fundamental idea of the writer and it is reasonable that it should fall under G category. For this reason, his designation as a purgee should not be released.

Examination Report

The Appeal Board
May 22, 1947

A WATANABE, Toshiotaka

Decision — Designation as a purgee should not be released.

Reason — The fact that the appellant served as a military medical officer of Manchukuo from Jun.1936 to Feb.1942 has no difference comparing with a military officer of Japan, which falls to the Memorandum.

39

Report of Meeting

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 21, 1947.

Date: May 21 from 10.15 A.M. to 3.50 P.M.

Commissioners present:

SAWADA, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, UEDA, MANO

Proceedings

P 1. Heard from two witnesses on NARAHASHI, Wataru's case, and decided to call another person in testimony.

P 2. Examined INUKAI, Ken's case, and decided to make further investigation in the next meeting.

A 3. Examined WATANABE, Toshitake's case and came to the conclusion.

4. Decided to hold next meeting at 10.00 A.M. May 23.

28-V

Report of Examination

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 19, 1947

R NAKA, Sukematsu

Decision — The Appeal should be rejected.

Reason — The appellant is not yet purged, being retired before the enforcement of the purge ordinance on account of the fact that he was recommended by the New Politic Organization Conference (SHIN NIPPON SEIJI KYOGIKAI) in the election of House of Commons of April 30, 1942.

Report of Examination

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 19, 1947.

A KOYAMA, Kanzo

Decision: The appeal should be rejected.

Reason : The appellant writes that he was made disqualified for public offices because of the fact that he had held the post of the standing auditor of the Tokyo Gas Company since 1931. But he was not removed from nor disqualified for public offices by designation as purgee. Accordingly, he is not in a position to file an appeal to the Board.

57

Report of Examination

THE BOARD OF APPEAL

May 19, 1947.

A EMOTO, Shigeo

Decision : Designation as purgee by the Prime Minister shall not be released.

Reason : The appellant expresses his desire to be exempted from the application of Purge Ordinances because of the fact that, although he was once serving in the Army, his main duty then was the study and teaching of English language and that after his retirement from military service he devoted himself to English language professorship at the Yokohama Specialized College, and furthermore that after he was recalled to the color in 1944 he was assigned the post of Chief of the Hakodate Prisoners Camp and in that capacity acted in conformity with international justice and humanity so that his work was later appreciated by the SCAP.

However, he served for a considerably long period in the army until he was promoted to the position of Lt.-Colonel and although he teached English language and later treated British-American prisoners justly, he should not be released from the designation in view of the general purport of the Purge System.

A36-A

Report of Meeting

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 19, 1947.

Date : May 16, from 10.20 A.M. to 3.20 P.M.

Commissioners present:

SAWADA, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, UEDA.
attended later by MANO, HASEGAWA.

Proceedings.

R 1. Examined Ogawa, Ippei's case and reached a decision.

R 2. Examined Kida, Takeo's case and reached a decision.

R 3. Examined Masumitsu, Shigeo's case and reached a decision.

R 4. Examined Yoshida, Meibaku's case, on which further discussions will be made at the next meeting.

R 5. Examined Shimada Tamejiro's case and reached a decision.

R 6. Signed the final reports respectively of Yuki, Takeo's and Emoto, Shigeo's cases, on which decisions were made at the previous meeting.

7. Decided to hold the next meeting from 10.00 A.M. May 21.

Report of Examination

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 16, 1947.

A TAKEUCHI, Kisaburo

Decision — The appeal should be rejected.

Reason — The appellant is not yet purged, being dismissed before the enforcement of the purge ordinance by directive of SCAP to the Japanese Government dated January 31, 1946 on account of the fact that the appellant instructed to burn public documents on August 26, 1945.

FURUYA, Tadanari

Decision — Designation as a purgee by the governer of SHIZUOKA Prefecture should not be released.

Reason — Though the appellant writes that he did nothing as Chief of IZUYAMA Branch of DAI NIPPON SEKISEI KAI, it was only nominal, it is proper to conclude that the appellant accepted assumption of the post in compliance with the request of HIGUCHI, ex-mayor of ATAMI City, and there is no reason enough to release him.

35-A

Report of Meeting

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 14, 1947.

Date May 14 from 10.40 A.M. to 1.20 P.M.

Commissioners present. SAWADA, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA.

Proceedings

1. Examined and decided KAWABATA, Tahei's case.

2. Secretary ARIMATSU reported what he heard from ŌTA, secretary of the Central Screening Committee, about HARAHASHI Wataru's case and it was decided to call two persons in testimony.

3. Examined and decided YUKI, Takeo's case.

4. Decided to hold next meeting at 10.00 A.M. 16th May.

Report of Meeting

THE APPEAL BOARD
May 9, 1947.

Date May 9, from 10.00 a.m. to 1.00 p.m.

Commissioners present

SAWADA, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU, HASEGAWA, MANO

Proceedings.

1. Examined NAKA, Sukematsu's case deciding to reject the appeal.

2. Decided finally ŌNISHI, Ryozo's case to release the designation as a purgee.

3. Examined MIYAGAWA, Munenori's case deciding to inquire the cabinet secretariate.

4. Examined KOYAMA, Kango's case deciding to reject the appeal.

5. Examined HORI, Shin-ichi deciding to inquire the cabinet secretariate.

6. Examined TANABE, Tadao's case deciding to ask the cabinet secretariate to present testimonies.

7. Examined NAKAMURA, Takaichi's case deciding to inquire the cabinet secretariate.

8. Decided to hold next meeting on 10.00 a.m. May 13.

Report of Examination

THE APPEAL BOARD

May 9, 1947.

A ŌNISHI, Ryozo

Decision The designation as a purgee by the Prime Minister should be released.

Reason. The appellant was recommended to take the post of Chief of the Imperial Rule Assistance Youth Association of Great Japan MERA villiage Branch by MERA villiage Imperial Rule Assistance Youth Association SETSU RITSU JUNBI IINKAI (Committee for Preparation to establish the same association) at the end of December 1941, and he refused on the ground that he was very busy. On Feb. 18th, 1942 he attended the formation ceremony of the association and was noticed to take the post although nominally as the nomination had been already reported to the prefectural office. For this he expressed his will not to accept the post, but to appointing another person instead at once and never attended a meeting of the association which took place later and conducted no association business. After these conditions, he was succeeded by TANIKAWA, Akira in May of the same year. Thus he had no intention to accept the post from the outset and did not actually received a written order. Those facts are known to be true by testimonies and it is proper to release the designation as a purgee.

Approved in Memo to CLO 23 May 47

T. Sawada

34

Certificate and Testimony

1. Certificate of Mr. Senkichi Maruyama, Vice-headman of Mera Village, Toyama Prefecture, dated March 7, 1947.

In December 1941, when approached by the preparatory Committee for establishing IRAYA to accept the post of Branch chief of the said association, I flatly refused to accept it for various reasons. When I attended the meeting of Feb.28, 1942, I was again asked to accept the post, but I refused again and asked the inaugurators to select some other person for that post. Ever since I never attended the meetings of the Association nor conducted any function pertaining to it. Of course, I did not receive any nomination document. The nomination of another person to the post took place in May, i.e. three months later. It appears, therefore, as if I was holding the post from Feburuary to May 1942, but this is absolutely contrary to the truth. I never took the post by my own will.

Written by Ryogo Onishi

I hereby certify that the above statement is true.

signed by
Senkichi Maruyama.

2. Testimony by ex-members of the Mera Village IRAYA, dated March 11, 1947.

We decided at the preparatory meeting to establish IRAYA at the end of December 1941 to recommend Mr.Onishi as candidate for village chief of the Association and approached him. The offer, however, was flatly refused by him. We therefore managed to meet the situation by informally recommending Mr. Onishi to the Prefectural authorities, while leaving the

nomination to be decided by future negotiation with him.
At the inauguration cermony held in Feb.1942, he attended and refused to accept that post. Ever since he neither attended any of the meetings subsequently held nor fulfilled any of the functions of the Association.

Signed by
Kiyoshi Nishikawa
Kohachiro Miyashita
Hidetaro Tanaka
Toshio Iyama
Kitaro Komiya

IRAYA Members of MERA Village

Activities of the Public Office

Appeal Board.

Whereas a quorum of fine persons is required for holding the meeting, Mr. Shima being a member of the Higher Civil Service Examination Committee, he several times was unable to attend at the meeting.

1) 17 March. attendance - Sawada, Mano, Shima, Moroi and Tomiyasu.

13,00 at the Premier's Official Residence.

The Chief Secretary delivered an address earnestly desiring the fairness of the Board.

14,00 at Secretariat of the Privy Council.

Cooptation of the chairman, consultation regarding investigation procedure, introduction of Secretary, determination of the conference day. (at 13,50, Wednesday of every week.)

No petition filed.

2) 4 April. attendance - Sawada, Tomiyasu.

No official documents forwarded from the Cabinet office and, moreover, being short of the quorum, no discussion exchanged.

3) 11 April. attendance - Sawada, Tomiyasu, Mano, Moroi and Kojima.

A conversation between the chairman and Mr. Marcum was reported to the meeting and the chairman expressed his opinion to hasten the investigation by the Board.

Member Mano expressed his opinion that investigation must be based upon evidences.

Case of Yamakawa, Tadao was discussed.

4)

4) 12 April. attendance - all members.

Member Kojima, as he participated the conference for the first time, was informed of the previous discussions of the Board.

Cases of Murayasu, Shinkuro; Teshirogi, Ryukichi; Yamakawa, Tadao; Kawasaka, Katsu and Ohnishi, Ryozo were discussed.

5) 14 April. attendance - Sawada, Kojima, Moroi and Shima. (short of the quorum).

Cases of Sugita, Ichiro; Nagayasu, Ryotaro; Mitsubayashi, Kozo; Arafune, Seijuro; Matsuoka, Matsuhei; Ohmine, Kura; Tamaru-shima, Takeo and Hiraoka, Ryozo were discussed.

6) 16 April. attendance - All members except Shima.

Cases of Makino, Ryozo; Narahashi, Wataru; Inukai, Takeshi; Sasamoto, Kazuo; Ishiguro, Takeshige; Shigemasa, Masayuki and Sato, Morio were discussed.

7) 17 April. attendance - all members.

Cases of Chizaki, Usaburo; Sasamoto, Kazuo; Sato, Morio; Shigemasa, Masayuki and Ishiguro, Takeshige were discussed.

Inquired Matsuoka, Matsuhei himself.

8) 18 April. attendance - all members. (Mr. Kojima Tendered his resignation.)

Cases of Sasamoto, Kazuo; Chizaki, Usaburo; Miyamoto, Minezo; Katsuki, Tamotsu; Ogawa, Ippei and Koyama, Kuranosuke were discussed.

9) 19 April. attendance - all members except Kojima.

Cases of Chizaki, Usaburo; Hori, Shigeru; Matsuoka, Matsuhei; Mitsubayashi, Kozo; Arafune, Seijuro; Narahashi, Wataru and Inukai, Takeshi were discussed.

Regarding the case of Narahashi, it was decided that witness will be summoned to the Board.

10) 20 April. attendance - all members except Kojima.

Cases of Yamamoto, Katsuichi; Arafune, Seijuro; Mitsubayashi, Kozo; Nemoto, Hisaya; Shigemasa, Masayuki and Ishiguro, Takeshige were discussed.

Two witnesses regarding the case of Narahashi were summoned to the Board.

The examination by the Board, so far, has been conducted upon the principle of evidence and principle of hasty decision, Cases filed with the Board, having been screened by the Central Screening Committee will be dealt with in accordance with the above two principles as agreed upon by all members of the Board.

List of Persons who filed the petition with the Appeal Board. (as of 21 April 1947).

31 March	KOHNO, Ichiro.	Liberal	
"	YAMAKAWA, Tadao.		under investigation.
"	YUKI, Zuiko.		
"	TAGUCHI, Sukeichi		
"	WATSUJI, Haruki		
"	YOSHIDA, Meitaku.		
2 April.	KAWASAKI, Katsu	Democrat	under investigation.
"	OHMINE, Ko.	Independent	
"	HIRAOKA, Ryozo	Liberal.	Designation rescinded.
4 April	FURUSAWA, Taiichi		
"	OHNISHI, Ryozo	Independent	under investigation
"	SUGITA, Ichiro.	Liberal	" " .
"	MASUMITSU, Shigeo.		
			以 上
7 April	MAKINO, Ryozo	Independent	under investigation
"	TANABE, Tadao.	Democrat	inquired two witnesses on 20 April
"	NARAHASHI, Wataru	"	under investigation.
"	MITSUBAYASHI, Kozo	Liberal.	under investigation.
"	SHIMURA, Takeoshi		

"	HIRAOKA, Ryozo	Liberal	Designation rescinded.
4 April	FURUSAWA, Taiichi		
"	OHNISHI, Ryozo	Independent	under investigation
"	SUGITA, Ichiro.	Liberal	" "
"	MASUMITSU, Shigeo.		
			欠 席 者
7 April	MAKINO, Ryozo	Independent	under investigation
"	TANABE, Tadao.	Democrat	inquired two witnesses on 20 April
"	NARAHASHI, Wataru.	"	under investigation.
"	MITSUBAYASHI, Kozo	Liberal.	under investigation.
"	SHIMURA, Takaoki		
"	NAKAMURA, Chogoro		
8 April	HORI, Shinichi	Independent.	
"	KOYAMA, Kango		
"	NAGAYASU, Ryotaro.	Independent.	under investigation.
"	TSUGITA, Daisaburo		
"	HONDA, Yuzuru.		
"	KAWABATA, Tahei		
10 April	TANAKA, Isaji	Democrat.	
"	IRIE, Kanichi		
"	ARAFUNE, Seijuro	Liberal.	Passed under investigation

10 April	NAKA, Sukematsu		
"	ANDO, Masazumi	Liberal	
"	KAWAGUCHI, Tadaatsu		
"	SHIMADA, Tamejiro		
"	TESHIROGI, Ryutaichi	Democrat	under investigation
"	MURAYASU, Shinkuro		"
11 April	MATSUOKA, Matsuhei	Liberal	Under investigation. Inquired de subject on 19 april
"	SHINOHARA, Rikuro	Democrat.	
"	EMOTO, Shigeo	Independent.	
"	INUKAI, Takeshi (KEN)	Democratic Party	under investigation
"	AKIBA, Noboru		
12 April	NAKAYAMA, Moritaugu		
"	IKEDA, Yorinobu		
"	FUKAYA, Seiichi		
"	OGATA, Harno		
			水 務 局
12 April	KUBOTA, Michio		
"	MATSUMOTO, Tomeo		
"	KATSUKI, Tamotsu		under investigation
"	MIYAGAWA, Munenori		
"	YAMADA, Mamoru		
"	YUKI, Tokeo		

〃	INUKAI, Takeshi (Ken)	Democratic Party	under investigation
〃	AKIBA, Noboru		
12 April	NAKAYAMA, Moritsugu		
〃	IKEDA, Yorinobu		
〃	FUKAYA, Seiichi		
〃	OGATA, Harno		
		外務省	
12 April	KUBOTA, Michio		
〃	MATSUMOTO, Tomeo		
〃	KATSUKI, Tamotsu		under investigation
〃	MIYAGAWA, Munenori		
〃	YAMADA, Mamoru		
〃	YUKI, Takeo	Independent.	
〃	NEMOTO, Hisaya	Independent	under investigation.
〃	SHIGEMASA, Masayuki	Independent	under investigation
〃	YAEGASHI, Umpichi	Socialist.	
〃	YAMAMOTO, Katsuichi	Liberal Party	under investigation
〃	SASAMOTO, Kazuo	← Democrats.	under investigation
〃	MIYAMOTO, Minezo		under investigation
14 April	TAMARUSHIMA, Takeo		〃
〃	SATO, Morio	Shin Seinen Party	〃
〃	INOUE, Shikio		

14 April	SATO, Masato		
"	ISHIGURO, Takeshige	Democratic Party	passed under investigation
"	OYAMA, Kuranosuke		"
"	CHIZAKI, Usaburo	Democrat.	passed under investigation
"	EGAWA, Tamenobu		
"	HORI, Shigeru		under investigation
"	OGAWA, Ippei	National Co-operative party	"
15 April	KANAYA, Toshio		
"	KOBAYASHI, Kanae	Democrat.	
16 April	OKUBO, Denzo	Independent.	
19 April	GOTO, Yohachi	Independent.	
"	FURUTANI, Tadashige		
"	MITSUHASHI, Kikuo	Independent	
"	NAKAYAMA, Eiichi	Democratic Party	

Petition cases filed with the Appeal Board.

Date	Name	Position which he was screened
22 April	TAKEUCHI, Kisaburo	
"	OIKAWA, Meisen	Candidate of Head of Village
23 April	KOSAKA, Kaichiro	Candidate of Headman of Town.
"	SAWAMURA, Takashi	"
"	HENMI, Masaichi	Candidate of Member of House of Representatives
"	KIMURA, Shoji	"
"	KITA, Takeo	"
"	NAKAMURA, Koichi	"
"	KUBO, Magoichi	Candidate of Commissioner of Arable Land
"	KIGOSHI, Sadanori	Candidate of Headman of Village.
"	SAWAI, Sokichi	Candidate of Assembly Member of Town.

Report of the Public Office
Appeal Board.

7 May 1947

1. May 7, 10.30 — 15.30

2. Attendances: Chairman SAWADA, Members MANO, SHIMA, MOROI, TOMIYASU and HASEGAWA.

3. Proceedings:

a. Discussed case of INUKAI, Ken.

b. Discussed case of OHNISHI, Ryozo and decided rescission of his designation.

c. Regarding case of SHIMURA, Ken, decided to inquire to Shizuoka Pref. Governor about regulations of Ex-Servicemen's Association and to the subject person about process of appointment as Chief of Ex-Servicemen's Association Branch.

（この用紙は聯合軍總司令部宛公信起案用に特に作ったものであつて他の用途に用ひてはならない。）

d. Discussed case of OIKA in and decided to rescind his nation.

e. Decided to hold the next session 9 May 10.00

(この用紙は聯合艦隊司令部宛公信起案用に特に作つたものであつて他の用途に用ひてはならない。)