THE BEE.

PRINTED AND PUBLISHED DAILY, BY
JEROME BAYON.
STATE PRINTER.
THE UNION—IT MUST BE PRESERVED.

MONDAY MORNING, JUNE 2, 1834.

FOR GOVERNOR:

J. B. DAWSON.

☞ *Things to be remembered at the Polls.*—☜

Remember, that Edward D. White voted in favor of a law, calculated to bring distress and ruin upon the great flourishing interest of our State.

Remember, that we sent him to Congress to advocate our rights, and to represent our wants.

Remember, that he sold the one and attacked at the other.

REMEMBER, that John B. Dawson was raised among us—that we have grown up together, from a dependent province to an independent State—that he never deceived the confidence of his fellow-citizens—in short, that he has ever been respected as a gentleman, loved as a man, and honored as a judge.

SECOND SENATORIAL DISTRICT.

B. MARIGNY.

For Congress—1st District, CH. GAYARRE.
" " 2d " JAMES BRADFORD.
" " 3d " J. WALKER.

Appointment by the Governor.

P. J. Tarleo, Director of the Union Bank of Louisiana, vice Antonio M. Pintado, resigned.

One of the most sorry farces of the kind that our good citizens have ever been edified with, was enacted by the self-styled whig party on Saturday evening last at a meeting purporting to be of the sixth ward, but which in fact consisted of recruits from every part of the city. To give a competent idea of the mock gravity that prevailed among the motley group upon the occasion, the indignant bursts of affected patriotism, the figures and tropes and riddled eloquence of the orators would be next to an impossibility. The ludicrous was so prevalent throughout the whole of the proceedings that we are unable to fix upon any thing to do it upon that does not beggar description and defy our feeble powers to trace. Let it suffice that after great difficulty, a chairman was found to preside over the deliberations of this most august assembly, and that this personage was no less a man than Samuel D. Dixon. The secretary, the only other officer that could be procured, was Victor Barthe, son of senator Barthe. The speakers *pro hac vice* were Messrs. Harrison, Dow, Jackson and Morse, gentlemen learned in the law, who all unless many for a fit opportunity to show that "their zeals were as keen as Hedger for the chase." They held out for a considerable time in the usual vein, slashing and cutting away at the president as if they thought the fancy of the poet was to be realized.

"An Eagle towering in his pride of flight,
"Was by a mouthing owl hawked at and killed."

On the whole, the meeting such as it was, demonstrated that our opponents were very weak. The whole number present did not exceed 320 persons, of which number 50 were boarding in the hotel. We would be willing to lay, from our personal observation, a wager of any reasonable amount of money, that there were not one hundred voters in the house, in fact we did not ourselves count fifty.

TOLERANCE.—At the meeting last Saturday one of the speakers, who probably felt some qualms of conscience, in a sort of thin flam argument attempted to controvert the positions against the president. Strange to say, though he professed to favor the propositions of White, this tolerant assembly refused to hear him, and began to get up a regular plan to oust him from the rostrum and would have succeeded, had it not been for the Jackson men present, who came to his succor in numbers. He was permitted to go on, but his nerves having been disturbed, he fell into the humor of the meeting and proceeded in a strain that was better relished than his exordium.

A notorious character was seen near the chairman at the meeting on Saturday night continually clapping. "Tell me who your company is, and I'll shew what you are," is an apt adage!

It is said that the sale of Bulwer's "Pilgrims of the Rhine" in England has been rapid without any precedent. The publishers, up to the time of the last advices, had not been able to complete the orders for the work, from different parts of the kingdom.

The National Gazette mentions that commodore Biddle has just received, from Florence, a beautiful bust of Washington, executed for him by our countryman Greenough.—It is of the finest marble, finished with the greatest care, and is an excellent specimen of the skill of the artist.

The sympathies of the Philadelphians in behalf of the exiled Poles are warmly excited. Philadelphia has never been backward in the cause of generosity, and there is no doubt that her citizens will contribute freely to alleviate the distresses of these brave but unfortunate men.

PORTUGAL.—The English appear to be puzzled to find out the true condition of this country. The New Monthly Magazine says: "We have frequently heard the caution given, to "hear both sides" in the affairs of Portugal, we would add 'but believe neither.'"

One of the resolutions adopted at the whig meeting was to appoint a committee to name candidates for the legislature. Last night understood that the chairman and secretary, and the father of the secretary will be severally nominated, the two former to the house and the latter to the senate. What little delicacy some men have!

[Reported for the Bee.]

CITY COUNCIL.

The verbal process of the preceding assembly with the Mayor's letter having been read, as follows:

To the President and Members of the City Council:

Gentlemen—It devolves on me this day to present the Council two candidates for the office of Commissary of Police for the sixth district, the choice that I had submitted to the Council in my last Message not being confirmed, recommendations having since been directed to me in favor of Messrs E. D. Henry and George Ashridge, by the Recorder and six Aldermen. I have determined again to present these gentlemen as candidates for that post.

I have the honor to inform the Council that the nominees whose names follow, offer as securities for the faithful performance of the duties, the persons affixed to their several names:

Mr J Cumming, Deputy City Surveyor; Mr A. D'hemecourt, do; Mr Isidore Labatut, Mr M. Pintte; Mr. P. Auvay, Inspector of the upper banlieue; Messrs Jole Toledano and Mr Dubuy; Mr J Wales, wharfinger for the drawbridges of vessels and steamboats, Mr W F Thompson; Mr. Ephraim Stroop, wharfinger of steam and flat boats, messrs J Leeds & S.D Dixon.

Mr S Meilleur, keeper of the police jail, offers Mr E. Hebrard.

I hereby transmit to the Council the proposal tendered by Mr J Martelle to sell to the city a certain lot of sufficient extent in his opinion, and which he believes to be suitable, for a burying ground.

I have the honor of submitting to the Council for approbation, the important appointment of a city attorney, which I had omitted to do in their last sitting, and present for this situation Mr George Eustis.

I have the honor of informing the Council, that I have settled with Sir G Hubert, syndic of the upper banlieue, conformably with their resolution of the 14th inst.

Mr Hubert has shown me four lists of the names of debtors to the corporation, and of the sums due by each, for work performed by the above syndic on the front of their respective properties, in order to enable the corporation to recover the above sums, which, according to these lists, amounts to thirteen hundred and sixty-nine dollars and fourteen cents.

I am, gentlemen,
Most respectfully,
Your very humble servant,
(Signed) D. PRIEUR, Mayor.

Mr Dixon moved that the Council should proceed to the election of the two commissaries of police mentioned in the Mayor's letter.

Mr Freret said that the presentment of persons already refused by the Council appeared to him extraordinary.

"It seems," observed the honorable gentleman, "that a person once elected by the corporation must always continue in the situation. It is truly a sinecure. As far as I am capable of judging, I maintain that if one person wished for the nomination of these candidates there were ten to oppose it. I move that the petitions in favor of the two candidates be read.

The clerk read a petition in favor of Mr Ashridge, and said that there was no other at present before the Council.

Mr Henry & Ashridge were thereupon elected commissioners of police for the 6th district.

The Clerk read a letter of Mr J Martel, proposing to sell a lot sufficiently large for a burying ground.

Mr Dixon moved that all the communications on this matter be referred to a special committee, and that the above committee be composed of the Mayor, Recorder and three Aldermen.

Mr Pedesclaux said that he had an amendment to propose. The Recorder being called to the Chair of the Council, he could not be appointed a member of a committee; that as to the Mayor, he formed the executive branch; he then would unite two powers in one, if sitting in the Committee.

The honorable member then proposed that the names of the Mayor and Recorder be erased.

Mr McCready proposed a sub-amendment to the effect that the Committee be permitted to consult the Mayor and the Recorder.

Mr Dixon said, from the moment he had enjoyed the honor of a seat in this assembly, he had frequently witnessed in important affairs the Mayor and Recorder appointed on committees. It will not certainly be pretended, said the honorable gentleman, that this is no important question. This very question has already been referred to a committee. It has been accepted by the Council, but vetoed by the mayor. By the motion I intend to end this matter, the decision of which has been too long delayed. A committee formed of such components can determine immediately on the subject. I have even witnessed the city surveyor a limited to committees. It is then not extraordinary to see the Mayor and Recorder appointed members to a committee.

Mr Pedesclaux's amendment was then put to the vote and lost.

Mr McCready offered an amendment that Mr Pike and Mr Cumming be appointed to the committee, to examine the lot of ground offered.

Mr Mercier said that the regard he spoke his mind on this question, by would show that the Mayor and Recorder could not be appointed to the committee.

Mr Culberts on said that the original motion had been adopted, and that in consequence the reason and the amendments were not in order.

Mr Culberts on said that the principal motion had been adopted.

The amendment of Mr Schmidt was then put to vote and adopted together with the principal motion.

Messrs Dixon, Schmidt and Monlo gut were named to compose the said committee.

Mr Eustis was unanimously elected city attorney.

On motion of Mr McCready,

Resolved, That the Mayor be requested to transmit to the attorney all the prosecutions now pending in the Recorder's office.

The clerk read a petition from Mr Dubuy, praying for the remittance of a fine.

On motion of Mr Mercier, said petition was laid on the table.

A letter from Mr Henault was referred to the committee on claims.

The clerk read a petition from certain citizens praying for the construction of a rail road. On motion of Mr Montegut, the petition was referred to the committee to whom had been referred the petition of the Directors of Carrollton rail road.

The clerk read a letter from Mr Percy, offering the property of Madam Fortin, with a reduction of 20,000 dollars on the original price, to wit at 30,000.

On motion of Mr Dixon, this letter was referred to the committee appointed on this question.

The clerk read a letter from Mr Agassy, resigning his seat as Alderman of the 4th ward.

Mr Mercier moved for the acceptance of said resignation, and that the mayor be requested to issue a writ for the purpose of having a new member elected in the place of Mr Agassy—adopted.

The clerk read the report of the committee named to take into consideration the letter of R. Kay. The report recommended the appropriation of the sum of $25 to the petitioner. Adopted.

Mr Mercier offered the following resolutions:

Resolved, That the city surveyor be requested to commence the works necessary to open at the expense of the 5th ward.

Resolved, That the mayor be authorized to bring from the borders of the canal of the Navigation Company, in the shortest pass, without delay, a sufficient quantity of earth to commence the road ordered by the Council, and to prevent the inundation of the lower part of the said quarter.

The following report was made the order of the day for the next sitting:

The committee to whom had been referred the petition of the inhabitants of the new suburb Marigny, praying for the incorporation of the two first squares of said suburb, comprised between the streets of Champs Elysees and Urquhart, St Bernard and Bons Enfans, report, that after having examined the law, they are of opinion that the city may grant to the petitioners their demand—as the laws she will obtain from the proprietors of the lots situated as above mentioned, will be more than sufficient to make up the amount of the monies expended for keeping the streets in repair. Therefore, the committee have the honor to submit to you the following resolution:

Resolved, That the two first squares of the new Marigny suburb, comprised between Champs Elysees, Urquhart, St Bernard and Bons Enfans streets, be by the present incorporated, and shall pay the same taxes, and enjoy the same rights and privileges, with the inhabitants of the other incorporated parts.

The following resolutions were also made the order of the day:

Resolved, That the Mayor be by the present authorized, as soon as the old contract is passed with Mr ——, to cause to be paved that part of St. Charles street between Poydras and Canal streets.

Resolved, That the 2d article of an ordinance approved on the 18th Sept: 1823, be amended so that the above article shall not henceforward be executed except in the case where there will be in deposite in the city treasury, funds belonging to the above banlieue, sufficient to defray the expenses occasioned by the execution of works mentioned in the above ordinance, entitled "Ordinance concerning the upper suburb and banlieue of the city of New-Orleans.

Resolved, That the collector of duties and taxes on vessels be discharged from the services imposed on him by the ordinance of the 13th of June 1832, and that the mayor be required to name with the consent of the council, a person to this effect, who will receive the sum of ——, payable at stated times.

On motion of Mr. M'Cready, resolved that the city Treasurer be authorised to pay to Ch: Adheimar, stenographer for the Bee, one hundred dollars as remuneration for the skilful and exact manner with which he gratuitously reports the proceedings of this assembly.

The Council thereupon adjourned to next Saturday.

From the Richmond Enquirer.

THE TREATY WITH FRANCE.

The President has not yet sent in his Message, and will not do so, probably, until the next week. In the mean time, we regret to learn that some of the politicians of Washington are in favor of retaliating the injustice of the French Chamber by issuing letters of Marque and Reprisal.

Such a measure appears to us to be hasty and premature in the present stage of the business. A strong remonstrance should be immediately despatched—and to render it more effective, perhaps, it should be made through a solemn and extraordinary Mission, with the advice and consent of the Senate. Certainly we can never think of acquiescing in this act of the French Government—for it not only deeply affects the interests of our Claimants upon France, but Treaties of a similar nature with Spain, Naples, &c.

We cannot help still indulging the hope that France will do us justice. Those who cherish her honor and her faith have been slightly outnumbered—and a more correct view of the subject, enforced as it will be by the firm remonstrances of our own Government may reverse the decision of the Chamber. We have some warm and liberal friends in France—and among them the illustrious Lafayette, who was prevented by sickness from raising his voice or giving his vote on the side of faith and justice.

From the Portland (Maine) Jeffersonian, May 12.

NATIONAL BANK.

We have expressed ourselves on this subject so plainly and fully, that we think no man can find any difficulty in understanding us, who can understand any thing. We have stated, that we are opposed to the recharter of the present bank, but that we are in favor of chartering a new one; with a less capital and more restricted in its powers. We have stated somewhat at large our reasons in favor of chartering a new bank, and that so frankly and plainly that it must require more than a common share of assurance in any man to pretend to misunderstand us. We shall probably hereafter take an opportunity of expressing equally at large and with equal frankness our reasons for being opposed to the recharter of the present bank. We will now indicate one or two, reserving a more full explanation of them to a future time.

1. We think the capital of the present bank is larger than is necessary either for the wants of the government or of the merchants. And we are opposed, when it is not called for by the public interest, to trusting so much power in the hands of any one set of men. The power of money we all know is great, and may be used so as to maintain a formidable and improper influence over the people, at least over that part of them who are engaged in active business. But this influence is dangerous chiefly from concentration. There is no danger from it when it is divided. With our eight banks in this city, we hear very little of a dangerous or improper moneyed influence of the banks. If a man fails in getting an accommodation at one bank he goes to another. But suppose all the bank capital of this place had been consolidated in a single corporation, and had been under the control of a single board of directors, during the severe pressure of business for the last seven months. That board could have brought every man in business, in this city, to their feet. There is scarcely an individual in the city, who is engaged in active trade whom it could not have ruined, if such had been their pleasure.

Now we are not disposed to trust any one set of men with such power, and we are therefore opposed to consolidating the moneyed power of the country in corporations large enough to have actually and unnecessarily large.

2. We are opposed to the recharter of this Bank because we believe that the moneyed power of the bank has been used for improper purposes. And who is there in a corrupt disposition, with 35 millions of capital, no one will doubt that a great deal may be done in this way. It is impossible as it appears to us, that any man, with an ordinary share of candor, can read the developements which have been made of the proceedings of the bank without coming to the conviction that the money of the bank has been used for the purpose of gaining political influence, and of the power created by the politics of the country with its money, in such a manner, as no reason ble man will approve. To our minds, the evidence is entirely irresistible.

3. We will allude to one further reason against the bank's becoming to present Bank. The recharter of the Bank for 20 years, with its present privileges, would be worth to the present stockholders, several millions of dollars. We do not remember to have seen it stated at less than seven or eight millions. This would be equal to an outright gift to the present stockholders, of that sum. It is usual to put up the present stock at auction, when a new bank is chartered, in order that the profit may be thrown open to the public. All the people would have an equal chance to gain a part of the profit. Now if a state government have a charter to grant, and it is worth such a sum, why should this be given to the stockholders of the present Bank, to the exclusion of all the other citizens of the United States? why not give every man an equal chance? Why confine the liberality of the Government to the small number of individuals who own the present stock, or even only what one quarter part of it is owned by foreign capitalists?

We may be neither, either more large or more confined in our views than the stockholders of the Banks, as well as ourselves; but we believe that the stockholders of the Banks, as well as ourselves, are liable to err in their opinions, and desirous of having their views fairly canvassed. They have been a very large majority entirely distinct from the federal whig party, who have pursued a National Institution of Dashed. To see how much of a bank, only for the commerce of the country, would afford, will be well for them, or which they will be fairly to vote to obtain the object by subscribing as parties of the old bank. To effect this, they have not only to change the minds of the people, But to overcome the Veto of the President.

SPAIN.

To the Editors of the National Intelligencer.

GENTLEMEN: I observe in the National Intelligencer of the 5th instant, a letter from Madrid, of the 27th of March, on the subject of the property of citizens of the United States, captured by the Spanish authorities in the Bay of Biscay, and I perceive that the writer of that letter has fallen into the error of supposing that the Spanish Government has refused to make any provision for the payment of the claims of our citizens for the property thus captured.

I have before me a letter from the Spanish Minister of Foreign Affairs, dated the 24th of January last, in which he says that the Spanish Government has appointed a commissioner to examine and report upon the claims of our citizens, and that the Spanish Minister at Washington has been instructed to make the necessary arrangements for the payment of the claims, as soon as the amount shall be ascertained.

I am, gentlemen, your obedient servant,
JOHN FORSYTH.

Washington, May 19, 1834.

From the Baltimore Gazette.

Art. 1. Possession shall be given to the subjects of each of the contracting parties of whatever state or condition they may be, joining the banks, or the respective states of the other, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 2. As the preceding article, which the preceding articles as such declaration, but may easily be known by not more than one of the imperial or state seal of the nation, and the duplicate of the original, or some thing known by public report.

Art. 3. In like manner the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 4. Moreover, the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 5. In like manner the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 6. Any necessary or convenient, which would be necessary may be, from which any individual belonging to the contracting party shall fly, in order to pass over to the rebels, shall be immediately stopped, with the space of 24 hours, and towards the rebels, does not give information of the circumstances to the contrary, and certify that he has commenced his course upon leaving the territories of the contracting party.

Art. 7. Any merchant or other subject of the contracting party who shall have entered the territories of the other party, shall be at liberty to withdraw himself and his property, according to the contracting law.

Art. 8. The merchants and other subjects of the contracting party shall be at liberty to withdraw themselves and their property, according to the contracting law.

Published by the Royal Sign Manual at Aranjuez, March 26.

D. NICHOLAS MARIA GARELLY.

In relation to the Contracts.

Art. 1. Possession shall be given to the subjects of each of the contracting parties, of whatever state or condition they may be, joining the banks, or the respective states of the other, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 2. As the preceding article, which the preceding articles as such declaration, but may easily be known by not more than one of the imperial or state seal of the nation, and the duplicate of the original, or some thing known by public report.

Art. 3. In like manner the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 4. Moreover, the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 5. In like manner the respective consuls of the contracting parties shall accept the declarations by private individuals, or emigrating from those kingdoms with their property, in whatever sense they have abandoned or shall in future abandon their churches.

Art. 6. Any necessary or convenient, which would be necessary may be, from which any individual belonging to the contracting party shall fly, in order to pass over to the rebels, shall be immediately stopped, with the space of 24 hours, and towards the rebels, does not give information of the circumstances to the contrary, and certify that he has commenced his course upon leaving the territories of the contracting party.

Art. 7. Any merchant or other subject of the contracting party who shall have entered the territories of the other party, shall be at liberty to withdraw himself and his property, according to the contracting law.

Art. 8. The merchants and other subjects of the contracting party shall be at liberty to withdraw themselves and their property, according to the contracting law.

Published by the Royal Sign Manual at Aranjuez, March 26.

D. NICOLAS MARIA GARELLY.

For the Baltimore Gazette.

In the speech of Mr Finlay on the Test Oath Question, a case from was made, but it never was published until after the close of the war a few years, that the effect of the Declaration of Independence was to make each of the separate colonies a separate sovereignties. The assertion is unquestionably altogether too broad. The current of opinion may have been strongly in that direction, but the contrary has been strongly maintained by able men.

There is a historical item which we lately met with in reading the life of Arthur Lee, which may be useful to those engaged in discussing the question. We do no not remember to have seen it quoted at all in the numerous arguments on either side.

Mr Lee kept a journal of the negotiations between the American Commissioners in France, of whom he was one, and Mr Gerard the French Commissioner, on the settlement of the first treaties with France, by which our independence was acknowledged.

Under date of the 27th December, 1777, he records that the defensive treaty was under consideration, and it was proposed that the word 'sovereignty should be inserted in the second and eleventh articles. Mr Gerard objected, because it might, he said, be productive of embarrassment to his court, as in any quarrel that might happen among the States, France would be called upon by such side to maintain its sovereignty.

The Commissioners,—Benjamin Franklin, Silas Deane and Arthus Lee,—answered, as Mr Lee records it, that, "this related to THE SOVEREIGNTY OF THE UNION, and not of PARTICULAR STATES."

It is clear that they held that there was a SOVEREIGNTY in the Union before the adoption of the Federal Constitution.

From the Baltimore Gazette.

The new Convention with Chili, recently ratified by the United States Senate, has been officially promulgated. It consists of thirty-one original, and of four explanatory articles.

The commerce and navigation of the two countries with each other, are put on the footing of those of the most favored nations, and every subsequent grant, by either, to other nations, is to become common to the other, as though specially granted,—freely, if given freely, or on the same terms if compensation is required.

The Republic of Chili reserves out of this stipulation its existing treaties with other South American Governments and with Mexico, and both parties except their own coasting trade.

The 5th, 6th, 7th and 8th articles provide for the security of the property of the citizens of either country in the other, against embargoes, or impressment for military purposes, without indemnification, for protection and aid in the harbors, against pirates or other enemies, or in distress, for the restoration of property carried by pirates into the ports of either, and for exempting the cargoes of wrecked and damaged vessels of either party, not intended for consumption in the country, from all duties and imposts whatever.

The right of disposing of personal estate is secured to citizens of either party in the territory of the other, according to the laws which regulate the property of its own citizens; and successions, by testament or from intestates, follow the same rules.

In the case of real estate, three years is given to alien heirs to dispose of it and withdraw the proceeds. Security of property, free access to the courts, and liberty of religious worship are mutually guarantied to the resident citizens of either.

From Article twelve to twenty-four inclusive, relate to the questions of neutral commerce, contraband and blockade.

They establish succinctly that free ships make free goods; that commerce in time of war shall be free to neutrals subject to the ports of the enemies of either, and from port to port; and that on board of the ships of neutrals all property is free, (contraband excepted; although [illegible] of the lading belong to belligerents.

The same principle of protection extends to persons, except officers or soldiers in actual service; it further limits the benefits of this protection to the property and persons of belligerents, who acknowledge exactly the same principles.

An alternative stipulation is made, that when the neutral flag protects the property of the belligerent, the flag of the belligerent shall not protect neutral property, and "in converso," where the neutral flag does not, the enemy's flag shall.

Article 14 defines what shall be contraband.

Contraband does not subject the vessel or cargo to confiscation, except of the contraband articles, not to detention, if those articles are given up on demand. Sufficient notice of blockades is to be given, and vessels entering or departing are to be warned, and liable to confiscation only on persisting in spite of warning and notice.

Captains of armed vessels are made personally liable for all extortion or ill treatment in searching for contraband, and no commander of a merchant vessel is to be summoned on board of an armed vessel.

When vessels are under convoy, the word of honor of the commander of the convoy is to be taken as final evidence of the character and cargoes of the merchant vessels under his charge.

Mutual stipulations are made, for the regulation of prize cases, that the reasons for condemnation in each case may be fully stated, and authentic evidence furnished to the party.

In case of war between the United States and Chili, a specific time is to be allowed to resident merchants to wind up their affairs, and safe conduct given them. Persons of other observations may remain under the protection of the laws, responsible for their personal conduct towards the State.

No debts or property to be confiscated or sequestrated.

A separate article provides for the reception and treatment of Ministers, and their powers, and those of consuls.

Deserters from public and private vessels are to be given up to the Consuls.

No Explorers are to be considered as such, returning to any country in such vessels.

The other articles are not important, and may be understood from some of the provisions of the foregoing articles.

The treaty is to remain in force twelve years, and to be further in force until the close only on a previous notice of 12 months.

COMMERCIAL.

Prices Current, Price Current & Market.

COTTON—We have since the 21st instant, of Louisiana and Mississippi [illegible] Tennessee, of North Alabama [illegible] per [illegible] Liverpool [illegible] Hull, 23d, Glasgow 24th, Belfast 25th, Havre 19th, Bordeaux 24th, Ghent 26th, New York 20th, Boston 23d, and 1951 bales coastwise, making in all [illegible] bales on board ships [illegible] Of this quantity [illegible] 67,242 bales. The sales reported [illegible] Cotton has been in active demand [illegible] marked for sale. The stock of Louisiana and Mississippi [illegible] that we can [illegible] Tennessee and North Alabama [illegible] difficult to [illegible] Our quotations for Tennessee and North Alabama will be [illegible] nominal, are subject to [illegible] The sales of the week may be estimated at about [illegible] the particulars of the principal [illegible] Louisiana and Mississippi [illegible] Tennessee and North Alabama [illegible] Mobile [illegible] Florida [illegible] Total [illegible] 1834 [illegible] 1833 [illegible] Total [illegible] 1834 [illegible] 1833 [illegible] Total [illegible] 1834 [illegible] 1833