GUIDELINES FOR WIKIPEDIA SANDBOX PEER REVIEW

The sandbox peer review is the last step before the content is uploaded to the live Wikipedia site. Hence you should take your time to provide a thorough and thoughtful review of the site.

Section 1

Re-read your previously submitted peer review of their outline and make sure that all your comments and concerns were adequately addressed. Indicate with a short statement whether all have been addressed and if not, state specifically what needs to be changed.

Section 2

Consider each of the following general questions and write a response in paragraph form.

- Is the web page suitable for first-time/general users as well as for those looking to understand the topic in more detail?
- Is there a logical flow to the page?
- Do the contents of each section justify its length?
- Has a particular section been over-emphasized or under-emphasized compared to others?
- Does the sandbox satisfy the aims/objectives listed in their outline?
- Are all the important terms linked to their respective Wikipedia pages for further reference?
- Do the images meet the quality guidelines described in the handbook? Are the chemdraw structures chemically accurate? Have the images been aligned appropriately with the text?
- Are the references complete and inclusive of textbooks and journals?
- Rate the overall presentation of the webpage. Check for typos, hard-to-read images and equations or syntax errors.
- Does the website satisfy all the assigned criteria (a minimum of 3 sections, 3 figures, 8 references)?

Section 3

Provide a short summary of the entire site, highlighting both what the group did well and well as what still needs to be improved.