13

()

7

8

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

10

to be very careful and not try me on semething where they would fail and the effect would bounce back on them.

Senator Fergusen: Now, coming to the Clausen report. When did you see the Clausen affidavit?

General Short: "bout two or three days before this ormmittee convened we got a copy of them.

Senator Ferguson: In the first part of the Clausen report, the 23rd of November, 1944 -- have you got the Clausen report before you?

Lt. Col. Karr: No, sir, we do not have that here.

Senator Ferguson: It is the letter by the Secretary of War to Major Clausen and on the next page is this memorandum:

"Memorandum for Major Henry C. Clausen: Subject: Unexplored Leads in Pearl Harbor investigations," You had those before you this morning, did you not?

General Short: I think so.

Senator Ferguson: The unexplored leads.

General Short: I do not believe I did.

Senator Ferguson: Mr. Chairman, I would like to put in evidence those two papers, the letter of the 23rd oreating the Clausen power and the Unexplored Leads.

Mr. Murphy: I wonder, Mr. Chairman, why the public are not entitled to the whole thing? I move that we put it all in, the whole volume.

19

20

21

23

22

1:

The Chairman: Make them an exhibit or consider it as being spread on the record?

Senator Ferguson: I would like to spread those pages at least on the record because I have questioned General Short and he gave some answers in relation to these unexplored leads and that is what Idesire to have in the record at the present time.

Mr. Murphy: You mean you object, Senator, to the whole thing going in?

Senator Ferguson: Well, I think we should wait. The only thing is I think we should wait until Clausen came to get the other papers in, to know how the other papers were obtained before they go in.

Mr. Murphy: Well, I propose later on to ask that they all go in. I would like to have it all go in now. I will ask that again.

The Chairman: Well, the chair does not want to exclude anything that ought to go in here, but if it is all going in when Clausen comes in I do not see any need of putting it all in here.

Murphy: I have no objection to those two going in but I move that they all go in so that we will have everything.

The Chairman: Let them go in.

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

3

5

13

8

10

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

10

20

21

13

Witness Short

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: I will read them in, General, so that you will have them before you.

General Short: Yes.

Senator Ferguson: (Feading) "November 23, 1944." that date is after the Board had rendered its opinion? General Swort: That is correct.

Senator Ferguson. But the Board, all the members were living and in good health so that they could have carried on, couldn't they?

General Short: So fur as I know they were.

Senator Ferguson: (Reading)

Henry C. Clausen, JAGD.

"MEMO" ANDUM FOR THE ASSISTANT CHIEF OF STAFF, G-2: "Subject: Pearl Harbor Investigation. "In connection with the recent report of the Army Pearl Harbor Board, a number of unexplored leads have suggested themselves which require investigation. I have directed that this investigation be undertaken by Major

"You are directed to give Major Clausen access to adl records, documents, and information in your Division, whether of secret or top secret nature and to advise all officers of your Division to afford Major Clausen the fullest possible ccoperation. Inquiries made by Major Clausen should be answered fully and the persons interrogated should volunteer any information of which they

23

23

4

Ø.

8

0

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

10

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

may have knowledge converning the subject of Major Clausen's inquiries.

"In addition, copies of any papers required by Major Clausen, whether secret or top secret should be furnished him, any present directives to the contrary notwithstanding.

HENRY L. STIMSON,

Secretary of War.

"A true copy

"Henry C. Clausen,

Lt. Colonel, JAGD."

Now, the next page is:

"MEMO ANDUM FIR MAJOR HENRY C. CLAUSEN, JAGD:

"Subject: Unexplored Leads in Pearl Harbor Investigation.

"l. In order to assist you in the investigation you are now making, I am suggesting herewith certain unexplored leads which, in my opinion, might advantageously be followed up in order to complete the general picture in this matter. The present memorandum merely contains suggestions and will not be construed as a directive or as in any way fixing the scope of your investigation.

In the War and Navy Departments in Washington,

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

the following matters can be investigation.

"a. Whether Kimmel notiried the Navy Department and the Navy Department notified the War Department of the order to sink Japo subs, of the reasons for the order.

"b. What was the naval condition of readiness at Pearl Harbor.

"c. Whether Short or Kimmel sent any reconnaissance reports to Washington.

"d. Whether Kimmel had any orders from Washington requiring a large part of the fleet to remain in harbor.

"e. Whether Kimmel understood the term 'defensive deployments' or wired back for its meaning.

"f. Whether Kimmel replied to the 24 November, 27 November, and other Navy Department messages and if so, was the War Department furnished copies thereof.

"g. Whether the June 1940 alert message to Herron was specific and indicative of an established War Department policy of being specific when war alerts were believed required by the situation.

"h. Whether the War Department manuals and war plans, current in 1941, authorized a Commanding General of an overseas Department to revise the estimate of the situation, without consulting with or reporting to the War Department.

10

20

18

22

21

23

24

3

5

6

7

8

9

25

"1. Whether Short answered the Secretary of 'Var's letter of February 1941.

"J. The number of troops in Hawaii in late 1941, the state of their training and the possibility of contimuing training under Alert 2 or 3.

"k. The terms and origin of the Joint Action Agreement, if any, with Britain and the Netherlands, and whether Japan was officially advised of this a reement or discovered its existence.

"1. Whether Short was sent official notice of the Joint Action Agreement or of the Roosevelt-Churchill July 1941 compact for a joint warning to Japan. (Rep. 41'

"3. Concerning the 'magio' intercepts we should ascertain:

The exact date and time of first translation.

"b. The reason for the apparent delay in translating or deciphering of some of the most vital messages.

"c. Who got each message, when and in what form.

"d. The evaluation made of them at the time and the degree of reliance placed thereon by the General Staff and by the Navy.

"e. The origin of the 'Budapest' intercept.

Significant details regarding the 'Winds' intercept might be explored:

4

5

0

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

"a. The original of the Navy Department message and translation, now probably part of the original Roberts Report records, or at least, questioning of Mr. Justice Roberts would possibly disclose how that Commission disposed of it.

"b. The Navy's alleged delivery of two copies of the translation to the Army (Tr., Safford C. 133-135), , as to just what procedure there was for delivery, as to who was responsible therefor, and who had a duty to check up on whether the translation was received.

"c. Whether Gener al Miles, Admiral Noyes, Colonel Bratton, or Captain Safford knew about the Anglo-Dutch-U.S. Joint Action Agreement, in which case they would have known that a'War with Britain' message would necessarily have involved the United States in war. " Did you hever hear about that one before?

General Short: I learned that in respect to the report, as I say, two or three days before the committee met. I had never heard about it before that time.

Senator Ferguson: Well, do you know whether or not Miles did know about that Anglo-Ducth-U.S. Joint Action "greement, in which case they would have known that the War with Britain message would necessarily have involved the United States in war?

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

Questions by; Sen. Ferguson

General Short: I don't remember whether that question was specifically asked him or what his answer was.

Senator Ferguson: (Reading)

"d. Whether the partial implementation "War with Britain' was brought to Admiral Stark's or General Marshall's attention, it being clear that the Chief of Naval Operations a nd the Chief of Staff did know of the Joint Action Policy.

"e. Did the Navy in any way notify Admiral Kimmel or Commander Roche fort of the implementation intercept?

"f. Did the Honolulu intercept stations independently receive the activating 'winds' message?

What was the significance of the other Japanese intercepts which the Board failed to examine?"

Witness Short

2

3

4

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

Shef--16 Shack fls 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Did you know that the Army Board had failed to examine certain intercept messages?

General Short: I did not know what they had examined. I was never permitted to see or know anything about magic. Senator Ferguson: (Reading)

"h. Whether General (then Colonel) Fielder actually received the message directing him to contact Commander Rochefort, whether he did so, and whether there is substance to the hypothesis that he and Short were relying upon the warning they would expect to receive when the second or implementing 'w'nds' message would be intercepted, thus giving advance notice of hostilities."

General Short: I never heard of the winds code until I read the Roberts Report here sometime in August, 1944. That was the first time I knew there was such a thing.

Senator Ferguson: You never knew then that Rochefort, Admiral or Commander, whatever he was -- it was Commander Rochefort -- had known that there was intercepted a winds or implementing message?

General Short: No, sir, I had never heard of it.

Senator Ferguson: So then you were not waiting, as a matter of fact, on an implementing winds message in order that you may be given advance notice of hostilities?

General Short: I was not.

WAR

13 14 15

18

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

1

2

3

d

5

в

7

8

8

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Senator Ferguson: This is signed Myron C. Cramer, Major General, U.S., Judge Advocate General.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: Yes, I will yield.

Mr. Murphy: As you remember, we were first going to put in the first 18 pages, and I then stated in the record it was unfair to have the 18 in without the explanatory part but to have incorporated in the Judge Advocate General's opinion the report of Major Clausen.

There is also in the record the cross-examination from the Clausen Report of General Gerow. I do not see how you can intelligently understand a report if you have only three parts of it in and not the whole.

I request, in view of the matters that have been read, that the entire report go in as an exhibit.

Senator Ferguson: Mr. Chairman, I am putting in hore just what the Judge Advocate General was asking Clausen to look into. I haven't time this afternoon to examine him on the whole matter here that is contained in this volume.

I want to ask you, General Short, if you read this paper that I read and that I have before me, these affidavits of Clausen, or in the Clausen report?

General Short: I have read the complete report.

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: You have read the complete report? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: The papers have never had the Clausen Report.

I think they are entitled to them.

The Vice Chairman: Without objection, then --

Mr. Keefe: (Interposing) Just hold it, Mr. Chairman. I have at least on one or two other occasions registered objection to the introduction of the so-called Clausen statement at this time, until after we have had an opportunity to go into that situation in connection with the examination of Colonel Bratton and others who expect to be witnesses.

When the full facts with reference to it are developed it can then be disclosed as to whether it is material and partinent to this inquiry. I do not care to be a party to consenting to the introduction in evidence of matter which may or may not be pertinent.

The Vice Chairman: Does the gentleman of Wisconsin object to the request of the gentleman of Pennsylvania?

Mr. Murphy: May I say on this point, the Navy had a special examination after the Naval Court of Inquiry concluded, by Admiral Hart, and they had a subsequent examination by Admiral Hewitt, and in each of those cases

it was conducted by an individual going about the world to

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

take testimony. The Clausen examination, as I understand it, covered 57,000 miles to the warfronts of the world.

I am simply making my request so that everything about Pearl Harbor shall be known, for whatever it is worth. Maybe it will not be worth much in the view of individual members, but so that all the facts will be before the papers of the country I think it should be made an exhibit.

Mr. Keefe: In view of that statement, it is perfectly obvious why the statement is made, I do not want any mis-interpretation of the purpose of my objection.

There is quite a difference between the Hewitt report and the Hart report and the manner in which the two were prepared, quite a difference between the questions and answers of witnesses recorded under oath than the mere statement that is made in the taking of affidavits.

Now I have some knowledge as to the manner and method in which affidavits are obtained, and so far as I am concerned, it will all be brought out at the proper time. I do not think they should be introduced in evidence at this time but should await the time when the individuals become witnesses on the stand and it gives us an opportunity to examine those witnesses in reference to how those affidavits were obtained.

Mr. Murphy: According to the papers the gentleman said

ì

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

he wants to conclude by February 15, and I want to be sure the Clausen Report is in by then, and I think this is as good a time as any.

The Vice Chairman: Permit me to remind the gentleman from Wisconsin, as I recall the only witness who has appeared before the committee so far and who had appeared before the Army Board of Inquiry and later gave an affidavit to Major Clausen was General Gerow.

Mr. Keefe: That is correct.

The Vice Chairman: General Gerow was questioned by members of the committee about his affidavit that he gave to Major Clausen.

Mr. Keefe: I certainly would not have any objection to having General Gerow's affidavit, which he gave to Clausen, introduced in evidence.

Genator Ferguson: It is already in evidence.

Mr. Keefe: It is already in evidence. Now all I ask is that the same situation with respect to the affidavit, perhaps, of Colonel Bratton and others be indulged in. I have no objection to the public and the world knowing just exactly what is in every one of those affidavits, but I would like to introduce them at a time when I have the right to cross-examine the witness who gave those affidavits.

Mr. Murphy: As I understand it, Mr. Chairman, Major

15

17

16

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

2

3

Clausen, I believe, questioned 135 witnesses. I do not believe we are going to have them all here. Ordinarily, if you put any part of an instrument in you ought to put all of it in, for whatever it is worth.

5

6

4

The Vice Chairman: The objection has been heard. That settles the matter for the present.

8

Senator Brewster: Mr. Chairman, in view of what has been said I think it should be made clear that we do not take the affidavits of 135 witnesses. If Colonel Clausen appears here and we can examine him about it, we can review that whole question at that time. In the meantime it is

9

10

a little premature.

11 12

> Mr. Murphy: I understand, sir, we have already agreed to take the statements of the staffs at Hawaii.

14

13

Senator Brewster: As the gentleman well knows, those

Mr. Keefe: That matter has heretofore been determined

by this committee, as the Chairman will know, when we agreed

witnesses, and the Clausen matter was specifically eliminated

to put in sworn testimony in the place of calling certain

by unanimous action of the committee at that time.

15

who are in the category of these witnesses we agreed to let go in evidence.

17

16

19

18

20

21

22

Hook 24 follows

15

8

10

11

12

13

14

10

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

The Vice Chairman: That is true, of course. It was determined by the committee in executive session that the Clausen material would not be included with this other material, but it does not follow that any member of the committee is deprived of the right to ask unanimous consent to include it at any time he may desire.

Mr. Murphy: I ask it particularly in view of the fact that those members of the Armed Forces of the United States were fighting in the battlefronts of the world, and swore before God under oath that what they said was true, and it was presented to us by an officer of the United States Army.

Senator Brewster: You produce the officer, and we will listen to him.

The Vice Chairman: Proceed.

Senator Ferguson: Mr. Chairman.

The Vice Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

Senator Ferguson: Were you familiar, General, with the planes that were passing through Hawaii to other fronts for other places in the Pacific?

General Short: To the Philippines in particular? Senator Ferguson: Yes.

General Short : Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Were these planes up until the 7th

	Witness Short Questio ns by: Senator Ferguson
h2	the same as those that came in then? They were not armed?
	General Short: Yes, sir.
4	Senator Ferguson: No ammunition in them?
	General Chart -
6	Senator Ferguson: You were to arm them there and
7	
8	General Short: That is correct.
9	Senator Ferguson: Had that ever been done before?
₹ 10	General Short: All the planes that had gone to the
¥ 11	Philippines came in in that condition up to December 7th.
FAUL 12	Senator Ferguson: Came in that condition?
SH 13	General Short: Yes, sir.
70%.	Senator Ferguson: So there was no alteration at
0 15	that time?
18	General Short: You mean the ones coming in on the
17	7th?
18	Senator Ferguson: Yes.
19	General Short: No, sir, that had been the normal
50	procedure.
21	Senator Ferguson: Was your form being reinforced
22	or were these planes, from a certain day, all going
23	through to the Philippines?
24	General Short: We had actually lost planes. We had
25	21 B-17's at one time and nine of those were sent to the

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Philippines and we were down to 12, and had to rob six of those of parts to keep the others going through.

Senator Ferguson: Did that impress you with the fact that there was more thought of an attack in the Philippines than there was in your territory?

General Short: No question about it. They were ferrying in the last few months everything to the Philippines they could.

Senator Ferguson: Can we get what planes went through Hawaii from, say, July, or something like that, Mr. Richardson?

Mr. Richardson: We willtry.

Senator Ferguson: Try and get that, and what planes stopped and how they completed their journey.

General Short: May I interject there also, there were other types of planes that were not flown through, that were shipped through on transports.

Senator Ferguson: You knew about that? General Short: Yes.

Senator Ferguson: Had you been reinforced in such a way by shipping planes in after, say, September?

General Short: I think we got most of our planes before July 1st. I could not state definitely, but I think we did.

desk.

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: After that, had you requested any more planes for your defense?

General Short: We had in August put in that study showing that we should have a total of 180 B-17's.

Senator Ferguson: Had you ever received any word of any kind that after the 27th of November the Secretary of State had made a statement to the Secretary of War that the matter, in effect -- I do not undertake to quote him in exact words -- was then being turned over to the Army and Navy?

General Short: I had not.

Senator Ferguson: You indicated yesterday that you were of the opinion that General Marshall had never seen the message of the 27th as it was sent prior to the time it was sent to you.

General Short: Yes, sir, I believe that is correct.

Senator Ferguson: And where do you get that knowledge?

General Short: Because General Marshall was not in

Washington. He was, according to his testimony, and that

of others, in the Carolinas for maneuvers. He stated,

as I remember in his testimony that when he returned to his

office on the 28th, he thought he found his message on his

Senator Ferguson: And he saw it efterwards?

4

3

6

7

8

8

10

12

13

14

15

18

He saw it after the maneuvers?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Do you want to add anything to or subtract anything from any of your answers that I may have asked you questions on?

General Short: I might bring out the fact that in addition to planes, a few days before December 7th, I had a wire from the War Department asking me if I would be willing to ship 48 75-mm guns and 120 30-calibre machine guns to the Philippines, and that they would replace them very soon. I agreed to that.

The transport was held at the time long enough to get them aboard, and they were shipped to the Philippines. That, of course, was another indication that they considered the situation in the Philippines much more critical than in Hawaii.

Senator Ferguson: And had you had the understanding before that that we knew our authorities knew that in case of a war with Japan, we could not hope to hold the Philippines?

General Short: I think that for years it had always been considered that we probably would lose the Philippines and have to retake them. I think in playing our war games at the War College, that had been the usual assumption.

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

3

5

в

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Senator Ferguson: Were you familiar with the letter from Admiral Stark to Admiral Kimmel wherein he quoted the President as saying that it would be very embarrassing to us if the Philippines were attacked?

General Short: I am not. I don't remember.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know, as a military man, how it could have been embarrassing using that word; is that the word you use in military circles, or is that a diplomatic word?

General Short: I think it would always be embarrassing from a military point of view, to lose anything of that kind, but it was something we had expected would happen.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: And if an attack came, it would come against the Philippines?

General Short: It would come against the Philippines and we probably would not be able to hold them. We did not have enough out there.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield? Senator Ferguson: Just a moment.

Had you ever asked the question as to what our policy was in case of an attack on the Philippines?

General Short: No, sir. I thought I knew, because I had seen the war plans when I was here in Washington.

c5

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

h7

2

4

3

6

5

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

I had played war games, commanded one side of the war game in actual maneuvering at the War College. I think I at that time knew it very thoroughly.

Senator Ferguson: What was our policy in case of an attack? Would we go into action, into war?

General Short: We would hold them as long as we could and then expect to take them back.

Senator Ferguson: Did it mean a general war? Was that our policy?

General Short: I think so.

Senator Ferguson: So then in your opinion, it meant war if they attacked the Philippines, and the British and Dutch?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Just as much as if they attacked Hawaii or the Marshalls, or Guam, or any other possessions? General Short: That is correct.

Senator Ferguson: Or even our Coast?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: Yes.

Mr. Murphy: I just want to say that you made an error when you said that was quoting the President about the embarrassing situation.

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: I want to get the exact language.

I would not under any circumstances leave a quote in here
which is mot a correct quote. Can I get the last letter
counsel, in the Stark-Kimmel letters?

Mr. Murphy: I think he quotes the President in some matters, but I do not think that was the quotation.

Senator Ferguson: Under no circumstances do I want to allow even a thought that I am misquoting.

Were you here the day that the MacArthur affidavit was read into evidence?

General Short: I am sorry. I did not get the question.

Senator Ferguson: Were you here on the day that the

Clausen-MacArthur letter was read in evidence?

General Short: I think I was. At least I have read it.

Senator Ferguson: Well, the substance of it, as I recall it, was that General MacArthur claimed that he had enough information and was not embarrassed by any lack of information. Did you know at that time that he had magic?

General Short: No, sir, I did not.

Senator Ferguson: That would indicate that if he had sufficient information then you had sufficient information, would it not.

General Short: If you read that alone, you could readily

Witness Short Questions by: Senator Ferguson draw that inference, if you did not know anything about the situation.

Senator Ferguson: But if you know about magic, do you think that changes the situation?

General Short; There were two things that would give him much more information. One was magic and the other, which I did not know about, the message from the President to Commissioner Sayre, that Commissioner Sayre, General MacArthur and Admiral Hart were to get together at a conference. There was a considerable amount of information there.

Senator Ferguson: And the fact that he had magic and access to that?

General Short : Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: So that there will be no doubt about my quotation, I am going to ask to strike my previous question. I will quote directly from the letter.

This is the letter of the 25th of November, 1941, in Exhibit 106.

The Vice Chairman: Whom is the letter from?

Senator Ferguson: The original letter is signed
"Betty."

The Vice Chairman: From whom to whom?

Senator Ferguson: From Admiral Stark to Admiral

hlo

2

3

8

8

8

10

Kimmel.

Now, I will read the postscript:

"I held this up pending a meeting with the President and Mr. Hull today. I have been in constant touch with Mr. Hull, and it was only after a long talk with him that I sent the message to you a day or two ago showing the gravity of the situation. He confirmed it all in today's meeting, as did the President. Neither would be surprised over a Japanese surprise attack. From many angles, an attack on the Philippines would be the most embarrassing thing that could happen to us. There are some here who think it likely to occur. I do not give it the weight others do, but I included it because of the strong feeling among some people. You know I have generally held that it was not time for the Japanese to proceed against Russia. I still do. Also I still rather look for an advance into Thailand, Indo-China, Burma Road area as the most likely.

17

18

19

20

21

22

WASHINGTON.

Senator Ferguson: That is all.

The Chairman: Congressman Keefe.

Senator Brewster: Mr. Chairman, before Congressman
Keefe proceeds, there is a matter that I would like to bring
to the attention of the committee that just came to my
attention in connection with the introduction of this record
called the Brief and Resume of Records of the Roberts Commission. I brought this originally to the attention of
the committee and asked its consideration, and it was deferred. Copies were made available for examination.

Subsequently I stated that an examination of this indicated to me clearly it was excerpts from a much longer report of the Roberts Commission and I asked that a complete record be put in this record and be made an exhibit, in justice to all concerned, and that was agreed to.

Subsequent thereto there were certain letters that were discussed in connection with this, which went into the record yesterday. Last night, just before adjournment, the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Murphy, stated:

" -- I do not want to offer something that was brought to him as an exhibit, but I do want the record to show that if Senator Brewster does not renew his offer of this file in the morning I will ask unanimous consent to have it go in the record because I think it ought to be straightened

Senator Ferguson: That is all.

The Chairman: Congressman Keefe.

Senator Brewster: Mr. Chairman, before Congressman
Keefe proceeds, there is a matter that I would like to bring
to the attention of the committee that just came to my
attention in connection with the introduction of this record
called the Brief and Resume of Records of the Roberts Commission. I brought this originally to the attention of
the committee and asked its consideration, and it was deferred. Copies were made available for examination.

Subsequently I stated that an examination of this indicated to me clearly it was excerpts from a much longer report of the Roberts Commission and I asked that a complete record be put in this record and be made an exhibit, in justice to all concerned, and that was agreed to.

Subsequent thereto there were certain letters that were discussed in connection with this, which went into the record yesterday. Last night, just before adjournment, the gentleman from Pennsylvania, Mr. Murphy, stated:

" -- I do not want to offer something that was brought to him as an exhibit, but I do want the record to show that if Senator Brewster does not renew his offer of this file in the morning I will ask unanimous consent to have it go in the record because I think it ought to be straightened

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Witness Short

out on the record."

That being brought to my attention I came here this morning and spoke to the Chairman, Mr. Cooper, in charge, and I told him I had to go to a meeting of conferees on the Ship Sales Act, and if it were to be offered I wanted to be heard. He suggested I talk to Mr. Murphy, which I did. I asked Mr. Murphy if he wanted to put this in and he said no, that he had no intention at this time to put it in. I went back to Mr. Cooper and told him the matter was adjusted. I find subsequently in the morning Mr. Murphy did offer it. I am ready to consider the further factors which led Mr. Murphy to change his mind in the course of the morning.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to speak for myself. Senator Brewster: I certainly shall be happy to hear

The Chairman: Mr. Murphy.

Mr. Murphy: I talked to the Senator from Maine this morning and told him it was my understanding that exhibits 1 and 2 attached to the file he had were not in evidence. I was informed by counsel that they were, the counsel for General Short. Thereafter, after the Senator from Maine --

Senator Brewster: Just a moment. You said that was all our conversation?

14 15

17

you.

16

18

20

19

21

22

24

(7)

Mr. Murphy: I told you I did not intend to put the file in right then.

Now with the understanding that the General was not going to be a witness after today, the General then made a statement to the effect, as I recollect it, that the Judge Advocate General did not want any one on the Army Board, but rather, one individual to go out to make a survey of certain additional facts.

Then there is one other thing. Yesterday the gentleman said, as I remember it, that there were certain parts of that record in this exhibit.

Senator Brewster: The Roberts Commission.

Mr. Murphy: Yes. In this exhibit there is a letter which states that they are referring only to things on the record. When the gentleman saw fit to refer to the Adjutant General of the United States Army, and apparently to the Secretary of War, with the inference, as I got it, that there was some impropriety in sending out Major Clausen to make this survey, I then asked that not only this exhibit but all of the files of the War Department, including these and everything else, go into the record, so we would have the entire picture.

I also stated on the record that this, in effect, was a complaint that it was a one-sided, ex-parte proceeding. I

RD &

0 15

,

I said in fairness to the General, he ought to be able to give his answer here. Whereupon the General said, "I have a paper prepared here. I have examined the exhibit." He then read his answer.

I do think, inasmuch as the gentleman from Maine has brought it up, that he should be the one to offer it.

Apparently he thought it was significant, because he brought it up twice. I certainly do not want to offend the General's feelings, but I am interested in the whole truth. My only purpose in introducing it is if there was any wrong committed by the Adjutant General, or anyone else on the staff, as to the procedure, we ought to know it. That is the only reason why it should be in this record.

Senator Brewster: I appreciate the gentleman's statement that he wants the whole truth, and so do I want the
whole truth, but having examined this document I have found
it does not even purport to be anything but a very partial
summary of certain portions of the evidence. I asked for
the entire evidence before the Roberts Commission to be
putin as an exhibit, so that we would have the whole truth,
and that was agreed to.

I do not think we need to, or want, perhaps, to take the time of the committee at this time. In the light of the

circumstances as stated by Mr. Murphy and myself, I would ask that the decision of the committee be reconsidered and that we have an opportunity over the week-end to consider the situation, with a view to whether or not this should be incorporated in the record as an exhibit.

I think there were some serious questions about this which I should like to consider and perhaps discuss with Mr. Murphy and the committee.

Mr. Murphy: I would like to say that inasmuch as the good faith of the Adjutant General of the United States Army appears to be challenged, or the Judge Advocate General, I think this committee and everybody should want to know the whole story, and for that reason I think it should remain in the record.

Senator Brewster: I simply ask the matter be reconsidered.

The Chairman: That matter can be pending and the committee can consider it.

The Vice Chairman: As the record stands now, it is in the record. The Senator is asking to reconsider it and the question of reconsideration is carried over.

The Chairman: It is in the record as an exhibit, not as a part of the transcript, I understand, so the question of reconsidering that, as to whether it should be filed as an exhibit, will be pending.

Mr. Murphy: May I just say, Mr. Chairman, that there are additional papers, and, as I understand it, counsel have a great volume of them, and I hope there will be a study made over the week-end. There is particularly one paper that is a memorandum dated February 17, 1942 which refers to the original retirement paper, or proposed retirement paper of the General, and it refers to a memorandum from

I have asked to have it here.

Senator Brewster: I think we should have all the records bearing on this which were asked for some days ago by me. It was as the result of that that these various records were produced.

General Marshall, and I would like to see that memorandum.

Mr. Murphy: I want togive the Senator full credit for it.

Senator Brewster: I want to say that I cannot conceive that similar records to this do not exist in the Kimmel case in the Navy Department, and I should like to have the matter thoroughly explored in order that entire justice may be done to all concerned, if it is finally decided that particularly documents of this character are to be included in this record as exhibits.

The Chairman: All those matters can be straightened out by the committee. The Chair would like to see if we we cannot finish with General Short today. We cannot do it

(8)

questions by: Mr. Keefe

1

5

в

7

8

8

10

12

13

14

if we argue back and forth on these matters, which I 2 think can be disposed of in the committee itself. 3

Senator Ferguson: Just a moment. I do not want the record to show that I feel that I was in a position to really examine General Short on this because we dropped the subject and I did not cover it as carefully as I would want to. I did the best I could.

The Chairman: You made a pretty good stab at it. Congressman Keefe.

Mr. Keefe: Thank you, Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman: You are welcome.

Mr. Keefe: It is always a great pleasure to find myself at the end of this whip cracking and get thrown off into the last minute of the last hour of Saturday afternoon with witnesses, so that I am under the urge, of necessity, of not consuming any time in trying to complete with the witnesses.

I assure you, General Short, that I shall not utilize any of these bulky minutes in my examination. I will try to get through by 4:00 o'clock.

Now, General, I sat here during your entire examination and listened as attentively as I knew how to this mass of detail that has been submitted to this committee.

The Chairman: Will you permit me to say that counsel

WARD

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

в

W.W

wishes to have a brief Executive Jession at the conclusion of today's session, so the members who are here will remain.

I beg your pardon for interrupting.

Mr. Keefe: I wonder if I have arrived at a wrong conclusion, or whether I am correct when I assume that the evidence up to date, and the cross-examination of yourself, has tended to meet the issues with respect to your conduct as the Commander at Pearl Harbor prior to December 7 when, in the public's mind for a long time after December 7, it was apparent that you and Admiral Kimmel were charged with the failure of your responsibilities as Commanders at Pearl Harbor.

You specifically had training to alert your command to meet this air attack, with all that is involved in that matter of alerting your command.

In other words, the statement has repeatedly been made that had Kimmel and short been on the alert they would have been able to meet this Jap attack and either repel it or to have minimized the results of that attack, and therefore Pearl Harbor was the result of the failure to be on a suitable and proper alert.

You felt the impact of that sentiment in the last four years, did you not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: I understand your position to be, General Short, that as Commander at Pearl Harbor prior to December 7, 1941 and subsequent to your appointment to that important position you did everything within your power to provide the physical things necessary to provide for the defense of the Hawaiian Islands.

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And it is your contention that as to many items of physical property, such as guns, installations, radar equipment, air strips, buildings, and so on, you did not get but a small part of the material that you had requested prior to December 7, 1941.

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: General Marshall has testified, as I recall, that in his opinion the material which you did have at Pearl Harbor on December 7, if alerted and effectively used, would have given a good account of itself and perhaps enabled you to repel the attack, or to severely minimize the damage that was caused. Do you agree with that?

General Short: I could have given a better account of myself, but to see how inadequate it was we need to only compare two items. The best anti-aircraft defense against low-flying planes, which did the most damage there, is the .50 caliber machine gun. We had 109. Our program at

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

that time called for 345, but by December 1, 1942, they had actually increased the number of .50 caliber machine 3 guns on Hawaii to 793, showing how much more the War 4 Department thought was necessary, and keep in mind that that date is after the Japanese had been seriously defeated 6

Mr. Keefe: Now, General, I do not want to indulge in the realm of hindsight or speculation. I know how easy it is to judge when you have the benefit of hindsight and I do not feel I should call upon you to indulge in a matter of speculation. The fact of the matter is, is it not, that except for the possibility of getting a few more guns into action and possibly minimizing, to a small extent, the damage that was done, regardless of what you had out there on December 7, this attack would have come in by surprise, isn't that true?

(9)

5

at Midway.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

Larry follows

18

20

21

22

23

24

Lac Snack hl

2

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

General Short: With the information.

Mr. Keefe: With the information that you had?

General Short: The information we had from Washington, it was bound to be a surprise.

Mr. Keefe: Yes.

Now, then, I think it is quite clear from this evidence, and anybody can correct me if they think I am in error, but as I recall the evidence up to date, every witness that has testified concluded that there was no probability of an attack by air on Hawaii, except, as I recall, the testimony of Admiral Turner. He is the only witness that testified to the probability, in his opinion, that an attack by air would be made upon Pearl Harbor.

General Short: Except that General Miles said that the attack was so obvious that they couldn't take the trouble to mention it.

The Chairman: What was that?

General Short: So obvious that they didn't mention it in any of his estimates.

Mr. Keefe: Well, General Miles said that? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Very well. But it impresses me that everybody that had access to the diplomatic changes and all of the information with respect to the Japanese inten-

Witness Short Questions by: Mr. Keefe tions concluded that the attack, if it came at all, would be in the far west Pacific.

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: That is what you thought?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That is what Stark thought?

General Short: I think so.

Mr. Keefe: That is what General Marshall thought.

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Everybody that I know of that had access to anything thought that is where the attack would come.

Now, as evidence of that, you have brought before the Committee a long series of situations. Admiral Kimmel has done likewise. That is, that that was the intention.

You have pointed out the fact that B-17's were sent out there unarmed, with their guns cosmolined, that they arrived at the very time that the attack was going on, as evidence that the Air Corps or nobody else would have sent those B-17's into that fray unarmed had they expected an attack.

You have indicated that they were ordering you to ship your supplies to the Philippines, material that you had on hand. That is correct, is it not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

h3

Mr. Keefe: As indicating that that is where the attack would take place?

3

General Short: Yes, sir.

5

8

Mr. Keefe: Some place in the record there is evidence of a plan by which the Army was to garrison our outlying islands. Do you remember that?

7

General Short: Yes, sir.

8

8

Mr. Keefe: You would garrison the islands of Samoa, Canton, Christmas, Palmyra, and Johnston, is that right?

10

General Short: I think that Palmyra and Johnston were not specifically mentioned. They stated that we would at some future time take over the outlying islands and we would garrison Christmas and Canton at once.

> Mr. Keefe: And when was that supposed to take place? General Short: The message was received, I believe,

15

November 29th.

18

17

18

Mr. Keefe: Then the idea was, after the war warning message of the 27th, plans were invoked by which you were

20

19

to deplete your garrison at Honolulu and to send Army replacements to garrison Cunton, Samoa, Christmas; is that

22

21

right?

23

General Short: I am doubtful whether Samoa was included, but Christmas and Canton definitely.

24

Mr. Keefe: Canton and Christmas.

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And they proposed to replace the troops thus removed from Oahu with fresh troops to be brought from the mainland?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: That is correct?

General Short: Yes, sir. You took all of those things into consideration, along with a lot of other facts that I will not burden the record with at this time, that indicated to you that if there was any intent on the part of the Japs to attack Hawaii, that that information would be available to Washington, and would be forwarded to you?

General Short: Mr. Keefe, and you got no information that would indicate a specific attack on Hawaii?

General Short: No, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, it appears in accordance with the record that is before the committee that this November 27 warning message, page 7 of Exhibit 32, was received in the Hawaii Signal Center at 6:46 p. m. Washington time, 1:16 Hawaiian time, having been dispatched from Washington to Hawaii at 6 o'clock p. m., Washington time on the 27th day of November, and that you replied as shown by your message on page 12 of Exhibit 32, which was encoded in Hawaii at 11:10 p. m. Washington time, or 5:40 p. m. Hawaii

13 14 15

16

17

18

18

21

20

22

23

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

time, and it was received in the War Department code room at Washington at 5:57 a.m. on the 28th of November, or 12:27 a.m. the 28th of November, Hawaiian time.

Now, there we had the war warning message to General Short and General Short's reply to General Marshall in which you stated that you were alerted against sabotage, and had liaison with the Navy.

Now, after that message of Marshall's you received a message from G-2, that has been referred to, sent to you by General Miles, a short message, on November 27, which reads:

"JAPANESE NEGOTIATIONS HAVE COME TO PRACTICAL STALEMALE STOP HOSTILITIES MAY ENSUE STOP SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES
MAY BE EXPECTED STOP INFORM COMMANDING GENERAL AND CHIEF
OF STAFF ONLY."

Signed Miles.

That message went from G-2 in Washington to G-2 Hawaii?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And advises the G-2 in Hawaii to inform you and your Chief of Staff only, and refers to nothing but sabotage?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You saw that message, did you?

•

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Then on the 28th day of November you received a message signed "Adams". Who was Adams?

General Short: He was the Adjutant General.

Mr. Keefe: He had authority to send you messages?

General Short: His message meant that it was authorized
by the Chief of Staff.

Mr. Keefe: He would not send you a message unless it was authorized by the Chief of Staff, would he?

General Short: I am sure he wouldn't.

Mr. Keefe: He had the authority to give you a command? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And in this message which he sent you on the 28th day of November he states:

"CRITICAL SITUATION DEMANDS THAT ALL PRECAUTIONS
BE TAKEN IMMEDIATELY AGAINST SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES WITHIN
THE FIELD OF INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY OF WAR DEPARTMENT.
ALSO DESIRED THAT YOU INITIATE FORTHWITH ALL ADDITIONAL
MEASURES TO PROVIDE FOR PROTECTION OF YOUR ESTABLISHMENTS
COMMA PROPERTY COMMA AND EQUIPMENT AGAINST SABOTAGE COMMA
PROTECTION OF YOUR PERSONNEL AGAINST SUBVERSIVE PROPAGANDA
AND PROTECTION OF ALL ACTIVITIES AGAINST ESPIONAGE STOP
THIS DOES NOT REPEAT NOT MEAN THAT ANY ILLEGAL MEASURES
ARE AUTHORIZED STOP PROTECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE CONFINED

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

TO THOSE ESSENTIAL TO SECURITY COMMA AVOIDING UNNECESSARY
PUBLICITY AND ALARM STOP TO INSURE SPEED OF TRANSMISSION
TDENTICAL TELEGRAMS ARE BEING SENT TO ALL AIR STATIONS

BUT THIS DOES NOT REPEAT NOT AFFECT YOUR POSSIBILITY UNDER EXISTING INSTRUCTIONS."

Now, when you received that telegram on the 28th after Washington had received your message in which you stated that you were alerted against sabotage, did that tend to influence you in your thinking that the alert which you had was the proper alert, the alert that Washington wanted?

General Short: It did. I thought it was an answer to my radiogram and wanted to emphasize the question of legality.

Mr. Keefe: Now, there was also sent to the Commanding General, Hawaiian Department, Fort Shafter, on the 28th a message signed Carl Robinson, Adjutant General. Did you receive that one?

General Short: Robinson?

Mr. Keefe: That is what it looks like to me.

General Short: What page is that?

Mr. Keefe: Well, I don't know --

Mr. Murphy: He is reading from different exhibits.

Mr. Keefe: Here it is. This one here. Wouldn't you

h7

Witness Short Questions by: Mr. Keefe h8 say that is "Robinson"? Senator Ferguson: I have one by Sullivan. Is 3 this the one you want? 4 Senator Lucas: "Williams" isn't it? 5 Mr. Murphy: The record shows that somebody thought 6 it was Wall, somebody thought it was Sullivan, and now you 7 say it is Robinson. 8 Mr. Keefe: Here is the photostatic copy. 9 Mr. Murphy: I have seen it. I can't make it out. 10 Mr. Keefe: Robinson, R-o-b-i-n-s-o-n, as plain as 11 anything. 12 General Short: It is the Arnold message you are 13 reading, from the Chief of Air Corps? 14 Mr. Keefe: Yes, that is the one. 15 Senator Lucas: Mr. Chairman, is it Robinson, Arnold, 16 Williams, or Sullivan? 17 Mr. Keefe: This is signed Carl Robinson, Adjutant 18 General. My eyes aren't too good, but I can certainly 19 see that. 20 That came out to you on the 28th of November, did it 21 not? 22 General Short: Yes, sir. 23 Mr. Keefe: And that reads: 24

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

FIVE PAREN --"

Witness Short

"ATTENTION COMMANDING GENERAL HAWAIIAN AIR FORCE PERIOD THAT INSTRUCTIONS SUBSTANTIALLY AS FOLLOWS BE ISSUED TO ALL ESTABLISHMENTS AND UNITS UNDER YOUR CONTROL AND COMMAND IS DESIRED COLON AGAINST THOSE SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE FIELD OF INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY OF THE WAR DEPART-MENT PAREN SEE PARAGRAPH THREE MID SR THREE ZERO DASH FOUR

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Whatever that means. That is what you were worried about, Mr. Chairman, the other day.

The Chairman: I am still worrying about it.

Mr. Keefe: So am I.

Then it says:

"THE PRESENT CRITICAL SITUATION DEMANDS THAT ALL PRE-CAUTIONS BE TAKEN AT ONCE PERIOD IT IS DESIRED ALSO THAT ALL ADDITIONAL MEASURES NECESSARY BE INITIATED BY YOU IMMEDIATELY TO PROVIDE THE FOLLOWING COLON PROTECTION OF YOUR PERSONNEL AGAINST SUBVERSIVE PROPAGANDA COMMA. PROTEC-TION OF ALL ACTIVITIES AGAINST ESPIONAGE COMMA AND PROTEC-TION AGAINST SABOTAGE OF YOUR EQUIPMENT COMMA PROPERTY AND ESTABLISHMENTS PERIOD THIS DOES NOT REPEAT NOT AUTHORIZE ANY ILLEGAL MEASURES PERIOD AVOIDING UNNECESSARY ALARM AND PUBLICITY PROTECTIVE MEASURES SHOULD BE CONFINED TO THOSE ESSENTIALLY TO SECURE PERIOD PARA IT IS ALSO DESIRED

h10

3

5

6

8

10

AL fls

ARD & PAUL. WASHIN

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Short Questions by: Mr. Keefe

THAT ON OR BEFORE DECEMBER FIVE THIS YEAR REPORTS BE SUBMITTED TO THE CHIEF ARMY AIR FORCES OF ALL STEPS INITIATED BY YOU TO COMPLY WITH THESE INSTRUCTIONS PERIOD SIGNED ARNOLD.

Control A No. 4 Andrew A. San Control of the Contro

And the transfer of the first the first transfer of transfer of the first transfer of transfer o

ATE OF MARKET THE RESERVE THE STATE OF THE S

Entertainment for the Manager of the Control of the

The said with the State of the said of the

Control of the second second second

5

8

7

8

9

10

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Now, you got that on the 28th of November? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Another message which refers specifically and only to sabotage and espionage; that is true? General Short: That is true.

Mr. Keefe: The message speaks for itself.

Now, you replied to those two messages, the one from the Adjutant General and the one from the Air, the Arnold message, you replied separately, did younot?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And you replied at considerable length? General Short: Very great detail.

Mr. Keefe: And those replies, your reply was addressed to the Adjutant General, War Department, Washington, D. C.? General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And in this reply, which I shall not attempt to read, I take it this message is in the record and I won't burden the record with reading it again, you give them a full and complete description of everythig you have done?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, General --

The Chairman: What is the date of that?

Mr. Keefe: The date is the 29th of November 1941.

11

16

17

18

18

21

20

22

23

24

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

8

9

10

12

11

ď

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Perhaps I had better read it into the record right et this spot:

"RE YOUR SECRET RADIO FOUR EIGHT TWO TWENTY EIGHTH COMMA FULL PRECAUTIONS ARE BEING TAKEN AGAINST SUBVERSIVE ACTIVITIES WITHIN THE FIELD OF INVESTIGATIVE RESPONSIBILITY OF WAR DEPARTMENT PAREN PARAGRAPH THREE MID SC THIRTY DASH FORTY FIVE END PAREN AND MILITARY ESTABLISHMENTS INCLUDING PERSONNEL AND EQUIPMENT STOP AS REGARDS PROTECTION OF VITAL INSTALLATIONS OUTSIDE OF MILITARY RESERVATIONS SUCH AS POWER PLANTS COMMA TELEPHONE EXCHANGES AND HIGHWAY BRIDGES COMMA THIS HEADQUARTERS BY CONFIDENTIAL LETTER DATED JUNE NINETEEN NINETEEN FORTY ONE REQUESTED THE GOVERNOR OF THE TERRITORY TO USE THE BROAD POWERS VESTED IN HIM BY SECTION SIXTY SEVEN OF THE ORGANIC ACT WHICH PROVIDES COMMA IN EFFECT COMMA THAT THE GOVERNOR MAY CALL UPON THE COMMANDERS OF MILITARY AND NAVAL FORCES OF THE UNITED STATES IN THE TERRITORY OF HAWAII TO PREVENT OR SUPPRESS LAWLESS VIOLENCE COMMA INVASION COMMA INSURRECTION ETC STOP PURSUANT TO THE AUTHORITY STATED THE GOVERNOR ON JUNE TWENTIETH CONFIDENTIALLY MADE A FORMAL WRITTEN DEMAND ON THIS HEADQUARTERS TO FURNISH AND CONTINUE TO FURNISH SUCH ADEQUATE PROTECTION AS MAY BE NECESSARY TO PREVENT SABOTAGE COMMA AND LAWLESS VIOLENCE IN CONNECTION THEREWITH COMMA BEING COMMITTED AGAINST VITAL INSTALLATIONS AND STRUCTURES

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

APPROPRIATE MILITARY PROTECTION IS NOW BEING AFFORDED VITAL CIVILIAN INSTALLATIONS STOP IN THIS CONNECTION COMMA AT THE INSTIGATION OF THIS HEADQUARTERS THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU ON JUNE THIRTIETH NINETEEN FORTY ONE ENACTED AN ORDNANCE WHICH PERMITS THE COMMANDING GENERAL HAWAIIAN DEPARTMENT COMMA TO CLOSE COMMA OR RESTRICT THE USE OF AND TRAVEL UPON COMMA ANY HIGHWAY WITHIN THE CITY AND COUNTY OF HONOLULU COMMA WHENEVER THE COMMANDING GENERAL DEEMS SUCH ACTION NECESSARY IN THE INTEREST OF NATIONAL DEFENSE STOP THE AUTHORITY THUS GIVEN HAS NOT YET BEEN EXERCISED STOP RELATIONS WITH F B I AND ALL OTHER FEDERAL AND TERRITORIAL OFFICIALS ARE AND HAVE BEEN CORDIAL AND MUTUAL COOPERATION HAS BEEN GIVEN ON ALL PERTINENT.

Signed, "Short".

Did you consider that there was full and complete and ample notice to the War Department at Washington as to what you were doing out there in Hawaii?

General Short: It seems to me I thought it was very definitely a full explanation.

Mr. Keefe: So if your message of the 27th in response to the Marshall message in which you used the language "alerted against sabotage - liaison with the Navy", might be contended

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

by some people to be too short and too brief and not full enough, this message which went to the Adjutant General is full and complete as stating everything that you were doing?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Then also on the next day, November 30, 1941, originating at Fort Shafter at 12:57 a.m., the message being dated November 29, you replied to the message from General Marshall?

General Short: That was on December 4. On page 19.

I think the one you have is a Panama message.

Mr. Keefe: No. Did you send a reply to the message from Arnold?

General Short: General Martin sent the reply after my O.K., and it is shown on page number 19, 19 and 20, sent over both General Martin's name and mine.

Mr. Keefe: Oh yes. That is pages 19 and 20 of Exhibit 32.

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That gives a full and complete response to the wire received by you from General Arnold?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And this was prepared by General Martin of the Air Corps in Hawaii but bears your signature?

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

9 .

25 .

General Short: Yes, sir, and bears his also.

Mr. Keefe: So that there again was a full and complete statement to Washington addressed to the Chief of the Air Corps setting forth completely just what you were doing out there at Hawaii?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, I understand that from the time you sent your reply on the 27th of November right down to December 7, the time of the attack, you never received a single word from Washington that would indicate that these replies which you gave to these messages, and which are now in the record, did not indicate that you were carrying out the instructions from Washington?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Now, you assumed during all this period, having replied to the Marshall message, having replied at great length to the Adjutant General's message, having replied at great length to the message sent you by the Chief of the Air Corps, you felt full assurance that had any other alert been expected by Washington they certainly had all the information as to what you were doing and would have given you the order; is that your position?

General Short: I did: I felt that.

Mr. Keefe: Now, as a matter of fact, your war plans,

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

18

20

21

22

23

24

25 -

you were familiar with Rainbow 5, were you not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And WPL-46?

General Short: Less familiar with it than Rainbow 5 but familiar with it.

Mr. Keefe: It is a fact, is it not, General Short, that the war plans, the joint war plans of the Army and the Navy for war in the Pacific with Orange, which was Japan, contemplated an offensive war in the event of outbreak of hostilities?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And that commencement of hostilities was to be announced by radiogram to all theaters announcing in plain English just what ways to put that plan into effect -- M-Day?

General Short: M-Day, that is right.

Mr. Keefe: Then everybody knew, all over the Pacific, just exactly what to do; isn't that true?

General Short: That is true.

Mr. Keefe: In accordance with the plans already worked out?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That contemplated, so far as the Fleet was concerned at Pearl Harbor, an offensive action against the

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

1

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

14-

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

Shefner follows 3:40

24

23

25

Marshalls and the Carolines, did it not? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: So that in the event of an outbreak of hostilities, according to the recorded plan which is here in evidence, that Fleet was supposed to go on the offensive immediately?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That meant air cover, did it not? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And when Pearl Harbor happened the plans had to be completely revised and instead of an offensive war it became a defensive war; isn't that true?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Did any of the war plans, so far as you know, contemplate an attack on Pearl Harbor?

General Short: Not to my knowledge.

Mr. Keefe: Of course, I assume, General Short, that you were training personnel out there at Honolulu all the while you were there in various games of one kind or another designed to protect that island?

General Short: That is correct.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Mr. Keefe: You knew that Pearl Harbor and the Island of Oahu was a vital thing in our war games and plans as far as the Pacific area was concerned?

General Short: That 1s correct.

Mr. Keefe: Now, radar has been mentioned here repeated-You were pretty young and pretty new in the radar field at the time of the 7th of December, weren't you?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: The fact of the matter is you only had about two people out there that knew much of anything about it, 1sn't that true?

General Short: We had had two and three more arrived and becare available the day before the attack.

Mr. Keefe: In fact, you had sent a couple of men to the mainland to sort of get some instructions a short time before December 7th, hain't you?

General Short: That is right. They had just gotten back. Mr. Keefe: They had gone there with the full knowledge of Washington and practically on instructions from Washington to get some knowledge about this radar business?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And what you were trying to do was to train as many men as you could in the use of such facilities as you

23

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

2

3

4

5

в

7

ď

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Isn't that true?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Well, the utilization of the raiar then, as I understand it, in view of the attitude that no one expected any attack out there at Pearl Harbor, was more largely based upon the desire for training than it was the expectation that they would intercept Jap planes coming into an attack that nobody expected would ever occur out there, am I correct in that assumption?

General Short: You are correct.

Mr. Keefe: Admiral Kimmel has testified that he was compelled to indulge in large scale training activities because his fleet was being depleted constantly of trained personnel for transfer to other theaters. Did you know that that was taking place?

General Short: I think I 414.

Mr. Keefe: Did you transfer any troops from your command in the fall of 1941 to other theaters?

General Short: Trained crews for the B-17's.

Mr. Keefe: Yes. Now, you were training those crews out there, were you not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You coulin't ship B-17's out to the Philip-

3

23

24

25

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

pines without trained operating and ground crews, could you? General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And you were busy training those crews as fast as the B-17's would come in, to take them on and ferry them out to the Philippines?

General Short: That 1s correct.

Mr. Keefe: And that is where the emphasis was being placed, to get those bombers out to the Philippines? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Well, now, General Short, how in the world could you have put your command on a Number 3 alert without disclosing your intent?

General Short: It was impossible.

Mr. Keefe: Well, Lagree with you.

The military installations on Oahu, which is avery small island, some of them are right next door to the biggest hotel there, isn't that true?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Right near the public parks?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, if you went on an all-out alert it would mean more than just having a few people running around with steel helmets and a little field equipment, would it not? General Short: It would.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: It would mean putting up barbed wire entand ements, it would mean stretching signal wires around and nutting up emergency signal equipment, would it not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefes It would mean the complete control of the life of that island so far as black-outs and all that sort of thing was concerned.

General Short: I could not have exercised complete control over the civil population until martial law was put into effect, but it would have been control so far as the Governor could have complied with my wishes.

Mr. Keefe: Now, I am wondering if I am correct in my thinking that here is some 135,000 Japanese with a tremendous number of loyal Japanese but an unknown number of disloyal Japanese. You had that p roblem before you constantly, did you not?

General Short: We 111.

Mr. Keefe: And you could not tell what would happen in the event of a rupture of diplomatic relations between this country and Japan so far as that overwhelming Japanese population out there was concerned?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Nowo that was well known to the War Department at all times here and everybody connected with it, wasn't

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: It would mean putting up barbed wire entand ements, it would mean stretching signal wires around and outting up emergency signal equipment, would it not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefes It would mean the complete control of the life of that island so far as black-outs and all that sort of thing was concerned.

General Short: I could not have exercised complete control over the civil population until martial law was put into effect, but it would have been control so far as the Governor could have complied with my wishes.

Mr. Keefe: Now, I am wondering if I am correct in my thinking that here is some 135,000 Japanese with a tremendous number of loyal Japanese but an unknown number of disloyal Japanese. You had that p roblem before you constantly, did you not?

General Short: We did.

Mr. Keefe: And you could not tell what would happen in the event of a rupture of diplomatic relations between this country and Japan so far as that overwhelming Japanese population out there was concerned?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Nowo that was well known to the War Department at all times here and everybody connected with it, wasn't

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

1t7

3

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe; So this question of sabotage and espionage became a highly important thing so far as Oahu was concerned? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, when they sent you this message that said yoù should take a reconnaissance and such other defensive measures as you deer necessary, you explained the failure of reconnaissance by reason of the fact that you had a written contract with the Navy which was approved by the War and Navy departments and you say that General Marshall thoroughly understood that in your opinion?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefes And that whoever wrote that ressage in his absence apparently had overlooked the fact that was a Navy responsibility, is that your answer?

General Short: That is my answer.

Mr. Keefe: And that when it says "other defensive measures", measures against sabotage and espionage would be those other defensive measures, would they not?

General Short: To me they appeared the most important.

Mr. Keefe: Now, you had a Chief of Staff. Was it Colonel Phillips?

18

30

19

21

302

24

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Was he a Colonel or a Major?

General Short: He was a Colonel.

Mr. Keefe: You requested that he be assigned to you as your Chief of Staff, did you not?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: You made that request of General Marshall when you were appointed?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: When did he get out there?

General Short: About March 1st.

Mr. Keefe: Who was the Chief of Staff prior to the time that Colonel Phillips arrived?

General Short: Colonel Philip Hayes.

Mr. Keefe: When did Colonel Hayes retire as Chief of Staff?

General Short: I believe it was effective November 5th but I think he had been on leave of absence for a few days before that.

Mr. Keefe: Well, I do not quite understand that, General. You say that Colonel Phillips was sent out there to be your Chief of Staff in March but Colonel Hayes continued out there until about the 5th of November.

General Short: It was understood that Phillips was to

24

U

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

become Chief of Staff upon the expiration of the tour of Colonel Hayes and the time, the interim was used to put Colonel Phillips through all the sections of the General Staff to familiarize him with conditions.

Mr. Keefe: So that he would have full knowledge when he actually assumed the responsibilities of Chief of Staff? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: So that he actually did not perform as Chief of Staff until some time, you think, in --

General Short: The last part of October, the last few days of October.

Mr. Keefes When the commission came through erlering Hayes back?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: He was an experienced man, was he not?

General Short: He was an unusually experienced man on field work and training.

Mr. Keefe: Did he talk Chinese?

General Short: I think so. He has been since that time the senior liaison officer with the Sixth Chinese Army, with three hunired officers under him, one down to each battalion and I believe that the rating of that Sixth Army, from talking with American officers, was extremely high, perhaps the best of the Chinese armies.

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: Well, your Chief of Staff continued on after this debacle at Pearl Harbor?

General Short: Yes, sir, he continued. The War Department had ordered Colonel Collins over there to become Chief of Staff and General Errons asked hir to stay as Deputy Chief of Staff. He remained on for almost a year and at his own request was relieved as he desired to get more a ctive service.

Mr. Keefe: Now, in this line of command you had a staff? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Kimmel had a staff?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You each had your Chief of Staff?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And you had your chiefs of various departments of your layout out there?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Aeefe: Now, in the line of corrand it was the responsibility of the Cormanding General to issue an order to his Chief of Staff and it went on from him down through to the various other elements that would be affected by that order?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Is that correct?

0

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

4

5

6

7

8

v.

10

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

## Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

General Short: That is the normal way.

Mr. Keefe: That is a normal method of doing business, is 1t not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And in connection with your determinations, they were your determinations and your orders when issued, but on vital matters were they the result of the combined action of your staff?

General Short: I could go to them for advice but the responsibility was definitely mine no matter under what circurstances I made the decision. I could not pass the responsibility to them because they quarticipated.

Mr. Keefe: Oh, I understand that thoroughly, but the point is you are the president of this corporation out there and you have got a board of directors as your staff and you sit in staff meetings and talk these things over, isn't that true?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And you as the president have to make the ultimate decision.

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: Did you ever have a situation where you and your staff disagreed on anything of great importance?

General Short: I don't think we did.

3

-1

5

(3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: Did you chave a telephone out there that you could call Washington?

General Short: I had what we called a scrambler phone and General Marshall had one in his office.

Mr. Keefe: Did you ever use it?

General Short: I 111.

Mr. Keefe: How long 111 it take to get a message through normally from Honolulu to Washington here using that sorambler telephone business?

General Short: The times I used it I would say ten or fifteen minutes. On the morning of the attack, along about 8:15 I directed Colonel Phillips to call General Marshall because I was going to my field command post and I believe that he got the connection at 8:22. I think it took seven minutes.

Mr. Keefe: In other words, do I understand that that morning right while the attack was going on Colonel Phillips called General Marshall on this scrambler telephone and got a connection in about seven minutes?

General Short: And told him if he would listen he could hear the bombs. The attack was still going on.

Mr. Keefe: I right also say in that connection that I was advised by Mr. Hoover when Mr. Gearhart and I talked with hir that Mr. Shivers, his agent out there, called hir when he was up in New York and got a direct telephone connection

21 22 23

25

3

4

5

G

7

8

9

10

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

in just a few minutes right while the attack was going on and he heard the bombs dropping over the telephone.

Well, if you could get the telephone message while this attack was going on in just a few minutes there woulin't be any reason why the line was not clear so a message could come from the other way, from Washington to Honolulu, is there? General Short: There wouldn't appear to be.

Mr. Keefe: Now I would like to get some 1 lea about the use of this other means of communication out there. there radio communication?

General Short: The Army had a 10 kilowatt station and the Navy had a 25 kilowatt station; the FBI had a station, I think it was quite a good deal more powerful than the Army. I am not sure whether it was 25 or what. And there was also a commercial radiogram and commercial cable.

Mr. Keefe: These radiograms back and forth, did you use the Army setup frequently?

General Short: I think they always used it when it was not overleaded and when the atmospheric conditions were such that the 10 watt system would go through.

Mr. Keefe: Well, to you know whether any investigation has ever been made to see what the atmospheric conditions were that morning as to whether or not they could use this radio as a means of communication?

24

23

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

2

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

General Short: I have heard, - I did not know it at the time, - I have heard since that they did have some trouble getting through with that 10 kilewatt system that morning.

Mr. Keefe: Well, to you know about the Navy or the FBI? General Short: I am sure that they could have gotten through.

Mr. Keefe: I recall the testimony here that at the time they were considering the question of sending this ressage on the morning of December 7th in General Marshall's office, I think I recall that somebody suggested that Admiral Stark ovvered the use of the Navy radio.

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And it was not used. Well, now, supposing you had been called on the telephone, or supposing a telephone call had been put in here that morning by somebody when the Japanese fourteenth part message and the short message of instruction was received, decoded and translated and in clean form some time between seven and eight o'clock that morning, would you have been aroused from your slumbers that morning or somebody out there to answer a telephone if one had come through?

General Short: We had an officer on the General Staff on duty all night along right by the p hone and there would have been no difficulty in getting anyone. He could have

.1

-1

gotten me within a minute or two.

Mr. Keefe: Well, the story has gone around the country that you were all drunk out there that night; that you were drunk and that Kirrel was drunk and everybody else was drunk and that everybody was asleep out there at Pearl Harbor sleeping off a jag. That is the way it has been told out around the country. Now, is there any truth in that, General Short?

General Short: There is absolutely no truth in it. If I may and one thing --

Mr. Keefe: Go ahead.

General Short: To show that the War Department, if they were not conscious at that time that more than one means of communication could be used, they became fully conscious at the time they issued the order to relieve me. I got that order three different ways within thirty to forty minutes.

I received a radiogram first. Ten or fifteen minutes later General Emmons got off of a plane with a printed order.

Fifteen or twenty minutes later the Secretary of the General Staff called Colonel Philipps to ask if I had received the order.

Mr. Keefe: So you got it in --

General Short: In three different ways.

Mr. Keefe: (Continuing) -- in three different ways?

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON D C

4

5

0

8

0

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

19

50

21

22

23

25

## Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

2 General Short: Yes.

Mr. Keefe: To make sure that you got it?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Well, now, General Short, to be perfectly frank and candid, you have told us where you were that night? General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You were not expecting an attack at all? General Short: Nop sir.

Mr. Keefe: You had your various members of your establishment at their respective duties that night the same as they had been?

General Short: Yes, sir, and my Chief of Staff at midnight, owing to the fact that we were expecting the B-17's in from Hamilton Field, went over to headquarters and checked up to find out whether any additional information had been received in regard to them. I know my Chief of Staff was at our headquarters as late as midnight the night before.

Mr. Keefe: You had no notice whatsoever of this intercept of the first thirteen parts of the fourteen part message and no knowledge whatsoever until after the attack of the receipt of the short ressage or anything else?

General Short: Seven hours after the attack .

Mr. Keefe: As evidence of the fact, if I understand your statement correctly, General Short, when the attack did take

3

4

5

13

7

8

0

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

place and your all-out alert was ordered the men did to a remarkably splendid job of defense?

General Short: They 111 it with great rapidity and precision. Every man knew exactly his job and it went into effect extremely rapidly.

Mr. Keefe: It was too late.

General Short: Yes, sir,

Mr. Keefe: I was a little intrigued by the questions that were asked this morning by Senator Ferguson with respect to the difficulties which were encountered out there due to not only duplication of or, rather, separation of corrand but also the intervention of a third governmental unit in the picture, namely, the Interior Department, which had to be dealt with in the matter of securing permission to erect military installations out there and I think you testified that it took nearly a year to get permission to erect some installations for rater equipment on government-owned land, part of the parks system, under the supervision of the Interior Department.

General Short: About ten months.

Mr. Keefe: About ten months. In the face of possibilities of an attack in Hawaii?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, General Short, there has been a tremendous amount of information given to the American people with

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Leefe

respect to a Colonel Clausen and a Hans Wilhelm Rohl, who were in charge of Army construction out there in Hawaii. Now, I to not want to go into that because that would take all next week.

The Vice Chairman: You mean Colenel Wyman.

Mr. Leefe: Wyman, that is might. I don't mean Clausen. I mean Colonel Wyman.

And the inference has been that due to certain failures of the Army engineers in making installations out there at Pearl Harbor the installations were delayed and, thus, as a result Pearl Harbor happened and all the damage was done.

Nowo I would like to ask you the flat, plain, square question; If you had had all the installations that were conterplated and that you had asked for and the operators of those installations were not alerted to use them, the best that you could have hoped for was to have minimized this attack rather than to have stopped it or prevented the damage which did actually occur, isn't that right?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: In other words, it doesn't make any difference how many guns you have if there is noboly to use them and if there is no ammunition in them, isn't that right? General Short: That is true.

Mr. Keefe: It doesn't make any difference how many radar

4

5

0

. 7

8

9

10

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

stations you have if there is nobody to use them or know how to use them, isn't that right?

General Short: That is true.

Mr. Keefe: So that all this question about the failure of installation or failure of installations, while it may be a very important subject for some further investigation, so far as its effect upon whether or not it contributed to bring about Pearl Harbor to you see any connection?

General Short: If we had had the information, if we had picked it up at 200 miles instead of 132 it would not have been time enough to do any more than disperse the planes. What we needed was information from Washington giving us time to go into an alert.

Mr. Keefe: Well, you could have done a pretty good job with the stuff you had out there if you had been on the alert and had been expecting an attack.

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: You had some bombers and you had some planes that could have been in the air and the few that did get into the air did a pretty good job, didn't they?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: And these slow torpedo planes that care in there and did most of the damage to the battleships in the harbor were pretty easy targets for your fast fighters, were

20

22

21

23

24

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

they not?

2

3

4

5

(3

7

8

10

11

12

13

1-2

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24.

25

General Short: If you knew where they were coming from they would have been very easy.

Mr. Keefe: Now, all during this war the element of surprise has been a thing that has been involved in almost everything that has been done on both sides out there in the Pacific, isn't that true?

General Short: All over the world. It is always the most important element.

Mr. Keefe: It is always the attempt on the part of a commander to involve his adversary in surprise, isn't that true?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And as far as an air attack itself is concerned our experience has been that regardless of the fact whether an attack is known or not a lot of these planes, some of them, at least, get through and cause damage, isn't that true?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: That was true at Okinawa, is it not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, when we think of the exploits at Midway ard the magnificent job that our Navy did in sinking the Jap Navy, it was possible because of intelligence, was it not, and

4

5

11

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

the fact that our Navy was informed and had the facts and know what to do' ?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And when they show down this Admiral Yamaroto that was possible because they got an intercept which put ther on notice and gave ther some information?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: I refer to those two incidents because it correctly illustrates the idea that intelligence is necessary and fundamental, is it not?

General Short: Yes sir.

Mr. Keefe: And when Intelligence fails you are liable to have serious results?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: Now, your position in this case is that Intelligence, so far as Washington was concerned, failed?

General Short: A hundred per cent.

Mr. Keefe: And thus Pearl Harbor occurred. Is that your defense?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: That 1s all.

The Chairman: Does counsel for General Short wish to ask any questions?

Lt. Col. Karr: No questions, Mr. Chairman.

5

0

7

8

8

10

12

13 .

Witness Short

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

The Chairman: Counsel for the corrittee?

Senator Ferguson: Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

Senator Ferguson: There are several questions I would like to ask.

Senator Lucas: I would like to ask a counle, too.

Senator Ferguson: Now, counsel, give me the ship movements exhibit, the intercepts on the ship movements. It is on page 22. On page 22 of that exhibit there is an intercept; I want to ask you about that. It is in exhibit 2.

Lt. Col. Karr: I am sorry. We do not have that.

Senator Ferguson: Exhibit 2, page 22. It has been referred to at times as the "light in the window" message. Are you familiar with that?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: It may have been a Paul Revere, it has been suggested here, but hobody was riding.

Are you familiar with that?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, if it appears in the testimony and from the evidence that that was translated in the rough by one o'clock on Saturday, the 6th, and that information had come to you, would that have made any difference to you? It is dated the third, from Honolulu (Kita) to Tokyo.

11 15 16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

Witness Short

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

General Short: Unquestionably that would have given us some very definite information if we had had the message and knew how to read it.

Senator Ferguson: What I mean is if you could have had that information it would have indicated an attack on Hawaii, would it not?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: No loubt about that.

General Short: It shows a definite desire for detailed information of just exactly what our Fleet is doing and is preparing to do.

Senator Ferguson: Now, there is another message on page 27. It is lated the 6th, from Honolulu to Tokyo, on page 27. That is the one that says:

"No barrage balloons."
And then it says at the end:

"I imagine that in all probability there is considerable opportunity left to take advantage by a surprise attack against these places."

If that had been decoded and sent to you, or the informa-

General Short: That would practically have meant a sur-

Senator Ferguson: There 1sn't any loubt whatever that such

3

5

6

8

0

10

12

13

14

13

17

18

Witness Short

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

a message, while not decoded, as shown by the instrument itself at the bottom, because it shows that it was lecoled 12/8 but it was sent on the 6th and therefore , was intercepted on the 6th, - if that information had come to you that would have uncontradicted have indicated a surprise attack on Oahu? General Short: Yes, sir.

Sen. Ferguson; And would that alone have alerted you? General Short: Very decidedly.

Senator Ferguson: Now, there was one other thing that I wented to inquire about. Did you know about the General Carter Clarke report or investigation?

General Short: I never heard of it till some time after this committee met. I have since then real it. I think it probably was a month after this committee started meeting before I knew of the existence of this report.

Senator Ferguson: How to you account for that investigation by Carter Clarke after Clausen got through and we find a new investigation by Carter Clarke, General Carter Clarke?

General Short: It is pretty difficult to say just what they were attempting to lo. They were apparently wanting to find out exactly what every man holding an important position in G-2 would say about their so-called Top Secret material, magic and about their estimates, and so forth, and it was a

16

19

22

21

20

23

24

Questions by: Sen. Ferguson

very difficult report to get ahold of.

Senator Ferguson: And do you know whether or not it indicated in any way that there had been an investigation by G-2 for the President and that there had been some changes made in it by General Marshall?

General Short: Somewhere, - I have forgotten whether it was in that report or not, but somewhere I have run across something of that kind.

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Isn't it fair to say that after reading it that there is a man named Friedman and several other witnesses and a General Spaulding and others who had some kind of a rumor going about that Marshall was supposed to have destroyed papers, and that was unequivocally, absolutely and positively contradicted?

Senator Ferguson: But there is also more in it. I think at some time Carter Clarke or General Clarke should appear and give us the reason for it, if there was a reason, but I ar just asking you, General, what you know about it.

General Short: I know nothing except what the report states.

Senator Ferguson: That is all.

8

8

O

10

1.1

13

1-1-

15

16

17

18

10

20

23

hef --24 Shack fla 25

1 Shack 2 fls Shef 3 hl

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Witness Short

Questions by: Mr. Keefe

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, I want to ask just one question, if I may.

The Chairman: All right.

Mr. Keefe: Stories have gone about, been bandied about that you had your planes lined up on the apron wing-tip to wing-tip without any gasoline in them, without any ammunition, making a perfect target and a perfect setup for the Japs to come in to spray with incendiary bullets that demolished the whole works at one time, and that set-up there was likened to the story of the ships in the harbor like a lot of sitting ducks for the Japs to come in and shoot up.

That is the story.

Now, I would like to know whether or not under your provisions against sabotage, there were specific provisions made and orders given as to how the airplanes were to be Cixed?

General Short: That is correct. General Burwell --Colonel Burwell then --

Mr. Keefe: Colonel who?

General Short: Burwell.

Mr. Keefe: Who is he?

General Short: He was a colonel in the Air Corps detached to make a study in connection with sabotage. He

15

16

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

h2

WARD

witness short Questions by: Mr. Keefe made a very extensive study and was absolutely insistent that the way to protect them was to place them close together where they could be guarded absolutely safely leaving the ammunition out of them, so if one was hit the ammunition would not explode.

I am quite sure that the gasoline was not removed.

It was an element of danger to have gasoline in them,
but the gasoline was in them, so the planes could be
moved.

Mr. Keefe: In other words, then, the placing of the planes wing-tip to wing-tip on the sprons of the bunkers was in accordance with the practice that had been developed by the Air Corps representative out there, this Colonel Burwell?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: In his report?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And that was considered after a long investigation and study to be the most effective manner of protecting those planes against the possibility incident to sabotage?

General Short: That is correct.

Mr. Keefe: And you referred to the fact that some of the Navy planes, I believe over at Kaneohe were not so placed,

h3

Witness Short Questions by: Mr. Keefe and that they were the ones that really got damaged.

General Short: It just happened that the planes that were dispersed in the water were sunk, and a considerable number on the apron were saved.

Mr. Keefe: Those that were anchored were all shot and sunk?

General Short: They were all sunk.

Mr. Richardson: May I ask a question, Mr. Chairman? The Chairman: Yes.

Mr. Richardson: General Short, the only difference there, so far as you are concerned, as to guarding the planes against sabotage, would have been weather you used a couple of hundred men if they were concentrated or 400 or 500 to guard them, if they were dispersed?

General Short: That is not correct. The bunkers for the planes at Wheeler Field, a very large percentage of them, were right along the highway where a man could have driven a truck along the highway and simply hurled a grenade into each bunker.

Senator Lucas: Mr. Chairman.

The Chairman: Senator Lucas.

Senator Lucas: I desire to ask a question or two.

Following the thought that has just been discussed,
I should like to ask you this question, General Short:

Questions by: Senator Lucas

h4 3

4

5

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

Your planes were lined up, most of them wing-tip to wing-tip under the sabotage alert order, under which you were operating; is that correct?

General Short: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Now, I do not think the record is clear as to what position the Navy planes were in that were on the ground at that time.

General Short: At Kaneohe Bay the seaplanes were well dispersed, but they had on the apron a bunch of planes, or a group of planes that were bunched.

Now, I do not know the exact arrangement of their planes at Ford Island. I do happen to know it at Kaneohe Bay, but I do not know at Ford Island.

Senator Lucas: The record discloses that the Navy lost 102 planes and the Army lost 96 planes, and I have been at a loss to understand why the Navy lost more planes than the Army unless the Navy was also alerted to sabotage.

General Short: Their planes were dispersed. There is probably one reason. A plane that was anchored in the bay at Kaneohe was hit, sunk, and was a complete loss. Where they were hit on the runways, on the aprons, the men got in and pulled them out, even while the attack was going on, and a great many were saved that way.

Senator Lucas: Do you know whether or not any of

15 16

17

19

18

20

21

23

22

24

Witness Short Questions by: Senator Lucas

the Navy planes were lined up wing-tip to wing-tip similar to the planes that you had?

General Short: I think that was true of Kaneohe Bay, and as to the other places, I do not know.

Senator Lucas: You made no inquiry about that afterwards?

General Short: If I had known, I have forgotten it. I do not know now.

Senator Lucas: I do not believe Admiral Kimmel was interrogated along that line. It does seem to me in view of his testimony, that that is somewhat important.

One other question. It is not clear in this record whether or not Admiral Kimmel knew that you were operating on the sabotage alert. What would you say about that.

General Short: I believe you will find in his testimony one place that he does make the statement that he
did understand that I was on the sabotage alert, and I
think he said some other things.

Mr. Keefe: That is in the record, Mr. Chairman.

Senator Lucas: I was under the impression that he said in one place that he did not know that he was on the sabotage alert, and in another part of the testimony that he thought he was on the sabotage alert.

General Short: I believe he made slight variations in

21

22

23

24

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

Witness Short Questions by: Senator Lucas his testimony. I would say that the Navy, the Navy staff, the staff of the 14th Naval District definitely should have known, on account of their liaison officer, Lieutenant Burr, who knew exactly what was going on.

Senator Lucas: In other words, if Admiral Kimmel you were on the sabotage alert, it was no fault of yours, because you gave that information definitely to his liaison man?

Samule us the second of the se

AND AREA OF A CONTROL OF THE RESERVE THE RESERVE THE RESERVE AND A STREET ASSESSMENT OF THE RESERVE AND ADDRESS.

Control of the second of the s

Maria Andrew Company Relation from Maria Company and Antonional Company

the still frag Johnson C. Hauthrall, Sind from the last that great distribution

Canal all and I would be body on the management of the

Appending that the last comban and the second second

title like mint bed i de se bolises timeset adde distant fra te

FOR HARDERSE IN MAIN A VIVELO APPRING THAT, AND A MADE THE STAR

3

4

5

в

7

8

8

11

12

13

14

15

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Lucas

General Short: Yes, sir. I have the quotation here. Page 6985 of the transcript, Witness Kimmel:

"I conferred with General Short on November 28 about the messages each of us had received on the 27th. We discussed these dispatches in all aspects. We considered, as we did frequently before and did later, the probabilities and possibilities of an air attack on Pearl Harbor. In this connection there was discussion of the effect of the suggestion from Washington that 50 Army pursuit planes be sent by aircraft carriers to Wake and Midway. I understood the Army was on an alert and that the alert was against sabotage, among other things, although I do not now recall General Short specifically mentioning the details of his alert."

Senator Lucas: Well, the morning you received the message from General Marshall and you alerted your command for sabotage, a short while thereafter, as I understand you did not directly tell Admiral Kimmel?

General Short: I sent a copy of the message to him.

Senator Lucas: You sent a copy of the message that you had alerted for sabotage through your Navy liaison man?

General Short: Yes, sir. I sent a copy of my message from the Chief of Staff.

Senator Lucas: One other question. On yesterday

18

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

Questions by: Senator Lucas

. 5

and Navy inquiries and Army and Navy Boards.

In order to clear up a cloud in my mind as to how they operate, I want to ask you whether or not there is ny difference in the way an Army Board operates and the way a Navy Board operates insofar as it being a public affair?

General Short: The Navy Board in this particular case was a Court of Inquiry. Now, I do not know under their regulations whether they can have a Board that would operate just as the Army Board did. They were both closed sessions -- zecret -- but in the Navy Court of Inquiry the accused, so to speak, had the right to be present all the way through, hear all the witnesses and have counsel with him, and had the right of cross-examination.

Before the Army Board I was not permitted to hear the evidence given by the other witnesses or to have counsel, except when I was personally giving my testimony.

Senator Lucas: The point I raise is whether or not there is a difference between the regulations of the Army and the Navy in an investigation of a question of this character.

General Short: I would rather an officer of the Judge

Questions by: Senator Lucas

.

Advocate General's Department answer that.

Senator Lucas: My conclusion upon it is that if the Navy has one set of regulations on an important matter of this kind, which permits an accused to come before that Epard of Inquiry with counsel and have the right to cross-examine witnesses, and the Army has a different system, which denies that very thing, it certainly is a question for consideration by the Congress, because I cannot see why you should be denied the right and Admiral Kimmel have the right. That is the point I make.

General Short: Yes, sir. It actually worked out that way. I don't know all the details of the law.

Senator Lucas: Now, General Short, the Congressman from Wisconsin and the Senator from Michigan have raised some questions about stories that have originated from time to time about what happened at Pearl Harbor, about the laxity here and the laxity there. I want to state that those are not the only stories that have originated about Pearl Harbor from time to time.

It has been alleged and reported by certain individuals as well as a segment of the press that members of this committee have sought to suppress certain evidence and in so doing have attempted to whitewash the real reason for this investigation. In answer specifically to a question

4

5

6

8

8

10

11

12

13

Witness Short

Questions by: Senator Lucas

submitted by Senator Ferguson you stated that this committee had given you every consideration and, as I understand it, you are perfectly satisfied with the fair and impartial treatment that you have received at the hands of this committee; is that correct?

General Short: That is correct, absolutely.

Senator Lucas: Do you know of any evidence that has been covered up, that has been suppressed, by any member of this committee, or by counsel for the committee, that would have in any wise affected your interest, or any other individual who is interested in this hearing?

General Short: I do not.

Senator Lucas: There have been a lot of investigations. I suppose you hope this is the last one?

General Short: As far as I am concerned.

The Chairman: Is that all?

Senator Lucas: Yes.

The Chairman: The Chair would like to ask this one question, General. I am not thoroughly familiar now with what your answer was as to whether between the 3rd of December and the 7th of December 1941 you had any conferences with Admiral Kimmel.

General Short: I did not.

The Chairman: That is the way I remembered it.

14 15

17

16

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

Questions by: The Chairman

(2) 3

4

2

8

5

7

8

8

10

11 12

13

14

18

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

,23

24

25

General Short: Yes, sir.

The Chairman: Now, this has nothing to do with what actually happened at Pearl Harbor, but the matter of your retirement and the communications between General Marshall and you. I am in doubt there, too.

I want to see if I can clear up something because it muy affect your personal relations with General Marshall.

You had been relieved of your command at Pearl Harbor prior to the Roberts Report?

General Short: Yes, sir.

The Chairman: And you had returned to this country and were in Oklahoma City?

General Short: That is correct.

The Chairman: You saw in the morning paper accounts of the Roberts Report and then you called General Marshall over the telephone?

General Short: Yes, sir.

The Chairman: Did he tell you in that conversation that he had read the report?

General Short: He told me he had not read it.

The Chairman: You, seeing the report in the newspapers, probably thought over in your mind whether your continued ective status in the Army would be embarrassing to the War Department and therefore you called up to inquire

. 17

Witness Short

Questions by: The Chairman

whether they desired you to ask for retirement; did that happen?

General Short: I thought both the country and my personal interest required a rather careful consideration, I had great confidence in General Marshall's judgment and his loyalty as an old friend, and that was the reason I called him and put the thing entirely in his own hands.

The Chairman: You told him in your conversation with him that you were going to write him and you would enclose a letter to the Adjutant General requesting retirement?

General Short: I do not believe that I told him in that conversation. I think probably after I hung up I decided it was fair to him to send it to him.

The Chairman: Throughout the conversation between General Marshall and you then he did not know and was not told that you were going to actually send your request for retirement to the Adjutant General?

General Short: He told me that he would take that conversation as an application for retirement if they got to the point where it looked like it was the thing to do.

The Chairman: And after you hung up you decided to put it in writing and make it formal?

General Short: Yes, sir, and I sent it to him personally. The Chairman: Now, is there any other statement not

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Witness Short

Questions by: The Chairman

brought out by any questions by counsel or members of the committee that you wish to make with reference to any further pertinent facts in regard to the Pearl Harbor attack?

General Short: I would like to make a very brief statement.

The Chairman: All right.

General Short: As a matter of the interests of the country and as a loyal soldier, I maintained a steadfast silence for four years and I bore the load of public censure during this time and I would have continued to bear it so long as I thought the question of national security was involved. However, the war is now ended and I have been very appreciative of the opportunity that has been given me here to make a full and frank statement of my point of view.

I want to thank all the members of the committee for the attitude that they have taken and I want to assure them that I have tried to give them fully and frankly all the information that I have on the subject.

The Chairman: The Chair might state that regardless of any conclusions that may be reached by the committee when the evidence is all in, in any report that it makes to the Congress, the Chair feels that one of the outstanding benefits of this hearing has been that the evidence has been brought

16

18

17

19

21

20

22

23

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

12

Witness Short

Questions by: The Chairman

forth in public and everybody has been given an opportunity to give to the committee and to the country whatever information they had. In all likelihood, regardless of what report the committee makes, the country will very probably have made up its own mind, and maybe before we do.

But there has been that benefit that has accrued by reason of this public hearing.

The committee thanks you, General, for your courtesy and patience in cooperating with us in attempting to bring out all the evidence. I hope you will soon completely recover your health.

General Short: Thank you very much.

The Chairman: You are excused.

(Witness excused)

The Chairman: The committee will now go into Executive Session and the spectators will retire as promptly as possible.

(Whereupon, at 4:30 o'clock p.m., the committee adjourned and went into Executive Session.)

13 14

> 15 16

> > 17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24