





LIBRARY  
OF THE  
UNIVERSITY  
OF  
CALIFORNIA





U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

AGRICULTURAL  
LIBRARY,  
UNIVERSITY  
—OF—  
CALIFORNIA.

OF THE

# CARCASSES OF PIGS.

BY

H. W. WILEY,

CHIEF OF THE DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY.

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF

E. E. EWELL, W. H. KRUG, T. C. TRESCOT,  
AND OTHERS.



WASHINGTON:

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.

1898.





U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE.

DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY.

CHEMICAL COMPOSITION

OF THE

CARCASSES OF PIGS.

BY

H. W. WILEY,

CHIEF OF THE DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY.

WITH THE COLLABORATION OF

E. E. EWELL, W. H. KRUG, T. C. TRESKOT,  
AND OTHERS.



WASHINGTON:

GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE.

1898.





## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL.

---

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,  
DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY,  
*Washington, D. C., June 27, 1898.*

SIR: I transmit herewith for your inspection and approval the manuscript containing the results of our investigations, undertaken at your suggestion, on the chemical composition of the carcasses of pigs grown at the agricultural experiment station of Iowa.

The scope of these investigations has extended so much farther than was at first anticipated as to render the results thereof worthy of publication as a separate bulletin of this Division. A study of the character of the data obtained will reveal at once their great importance, both from a scientific point of view and as a basis for economic studies.

The carcasses, as received by us, represented practically only those portions of the whole carcass which are subjects of commerce. The blood, hair, entrails, heads, kidneys, and kidney fats of the animals were removed before they were transmitted to us. The data, therefore, do not represent the composition of the whole animal, but what, perhaps, is of equal importance, the composition of the animal as sent into commerce for food.

In view of the great importance of investigations of this kind, I would venture to suggest that when the facilities for work in the chemical laboratories are extended by the completion of the new building now in course of construction, it would be well for you to direct that further studies of this kind be undertaken. It would be advisable, if possible, that in studies of this kind, the animals be slaughtered at or near the point where the chemical examination is to be made, or if this be not convenient, that a representative of the Chemical Division be present at the time of the slaughtering for the purpose of ascertaining the quantities of blood, hair, and excreta from the different animals and obtaining representative samples thereof for chemical examination.

I have the honor to be, respectfully,

H. W. WILEY,  
*Chief of Division.*

Hon. JAMES WILSON, *Secretary.*



Digitized by the Internet Archive  
in 2007 with funding from  
Microsoft Corporation

# CONTENTS.

---

	Page.
Analytical work .....	7
Inception of the investigation .....	7
Correspondence .....	7
Breeds of hogs studied .....	8
Preparation of samples for analysis .....	9
Samples of meat .....	9
Samples of skin .....	10
Samples of bones and marrow .....	11
Samples of spinal cord .....	11
Samples of tendons .....	11
Samples of hoofs .....	11
Methods of analysis used .....	12
Results of the investigation .....	13
Description of tables .....	13
Tables .....	15-64
Discussion of the data .....	65
Composition of the same cuts from the different animals .....	65
Clear bellies .....	66
Short-cut hams .....	66
New York shoulders .....	67
Feet .....	67
Sparc ribs .....	68
Tenderloins .....	68
Neck bones .....	68
Backbones .....	68
Trimmings .....	69
Tails .....	69
Average of all cuts .....	69
Average of bones .....	70
Average of marrow .....	71
Average of skin .....	71
Average of spinal cord .....	73
Average of tendons .....	73
Average of hoofs .....	73
Loss of weight in transportation .....	74
Ratios of meat, bones, etc., to total weight .....	74
Percentages of the several constituents .....	75
Comparison of breeds .....	75
Lecithin .....	76
Physiological importance .....	76
Discussion of the lecithin in particular samples .....	77
Lecithin in the meat .....	77
Lecithin in the bones .....	77
Lecithin in the marrow .....	77
Lecithin in the skins .....	77
Lecithin in the spinal cord .....	77
Lecithin in the tendons .....	77

Concluding observations.....	78
Appendix.....	79
Precipitation of proteids soluble in water by chlorin and bromin .....	79
Nitrogen in meat extracts.....	80
Problems solved by the bromin method .....	80

# CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF THE CARCASSES OF PIGS.

---

## ANALYTICAL WORK.

### INCEPTION OF THE INVESTIGATION.

Following instructions received from the Secretary of Agriculture, the Division of Chemistry, in November, 1897, undertook a study of the chemical composition of the carcasses of pigs. These pigs were grown at the Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station under standard conditions of diet, and a comparison of their carcasses reveals, therefore, the influence of breed and heredity on the character of the meat. In the following correspondence will be found the data connected with the history of the animals before they were delivered to the Division of Chemistry.

#### CORRESPONDENCE.

EXPERIMENT STATION, IOWA AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,  
*Ames, Iowa, October 8, 1897.*

MY DEAR SIR: We have, as you are aware, a very interesting and instructive experiment nearing completion, in which we have grown carefully selected representatives of six of the leading breeds of hogs since birth in lots of ten each. These pigs are now weighing nearly 200 pounds, and will be forwarded to market for the test in determining the relative market value and the results in slaughtering and on the block, and the meat will be carefully compared and rated by experts. This experiment includes the Poland China, Berkshire, Duroc Jersey, Chester White, Tamworth, and Yorkshire. It has occurred to us that a careful and exhaustive chemical analysis of representative carcasses selected from each lot after slaughtering would be a valuable feature of this investigation, and I write to know if the Department of Agriculture can not cooperate with us in this work. We will gladly furnish you such material as may be needed and in any form desired. I will be glad to hear from you in reference to this point, and trusting that such arrangements can be made, I am,

Very truly, yours,

C. F. CURTISS.

Hon. JAMES WILSON,  
*Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.*

---

EXPERIMENT STATION, IOWA AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,  
*Ames, Iowa, October 29, 1897.*

MY DEAR MR. WILSON: Your esteemed favor of the 26th instant is at hand and I note what you say about cooperation of the Department with us in our hog-feeding experiments. The final weighing of the pigs will be taken Monday, and they will arrive in Chicago Tuesday morning. I have arranged to place them on exhibition



in the Coliseum Building during the fat-stock show, and will take them to the stock yards for slaughter and block tests immediately following. After the carcasses have been cooled down I will have a committee of the expert meat dealers select one or two representative carcasses from each lot and forward to Dr. Wiley for investigation. Probably it will be a week or ten days before the carcasses reach Washington.

Very truly, yours,

C. F. CURTISS.

Hon. JAMES WILSON,  
*Secretary of Agriculture, Washington, D. C.*

---

EXPERIMENT STATION, IOWA AGRICULTURAL COLLEGE,  
*Ames, Iowa, November 13, 1897.*

DEAR SIR: Your valued favor of the 3d instant came to hand while I was in Chicago having the slaughter test made of the pigs used in our experiments. Owing to the machinery used in the packing house where the hogs were killed, it was not practicable to obtain the weight of the hair, and the blood could not be collected and weighed without considerable difficulty. I had taken this matter up with Swift & Co. before receiving your letter, but was obliged, under the circumstances, to omit these items. The weight of the intestines and other internal organs was obtained. I returned this morning from superintending the block test yesterday, and have had a good representative carcass from each lot selected and cut according to the prevailing method of cutting pork for the American market, and each piece weighed and properly tagged, giving commercial names. I think, however, that the names are appended only to one set of cuts, but you will be able to apply these names to corresponding cuts of the other carcasses. I have directed Swift & Co. to forward this material to you, including all scraps and trimmings made in cutting, and to deliver it to you at their earliest convenience. They stated that they would probably have one of their refrigerator cars leaving for Washington to-day, and that they would notify you upon its arrival at their house in Washington and deliver the pork upon your order.

Very truly, yours,

C. F. CURTISS.

Dr. H. W. WILEY,  
*Chief of Division of Chemistry, Washington, D. C.*

---

#### BREEDS OF HOGS STUDIED.

In accordance with the plan outlined in the above letters, on November 16, 1897, Swift & Co., of Chicago, shipped to the Department of Agriculture the carcasses of eight pigs which had been slaughtered under the direction of Professor Curtiss. These pigs were of the following breeds, each animal being designated by a number, which is used for its identification throughout the following pages:

1, Berkshire; 2, Tamworth; 3, Chester White; 4, Poland China; 5, Duroc Jersey; 6, Duroc Jersey; 7, Duroc Jersey; 8, Yorkshire.

On the receipt of the animals in Washington, they were immediately placed in cold storage, where they were kept until they were removed one by one for the purpose of dissecting and preparing the samples for analysis.

The expert labor of assistants in the meat markets of Washington was secured for the purpose of properly dissecting the animals and

separating each portion as carefully as possible from the others. The greatest care was exercised in this preliminary work, inasmuch as the value of the analytical data rests largely on the proper preparation of the materials for examination.

#### PREPARATION OF SAMPLES FOR ANALYSIS.

The methods of preliminary treatment, together with the methods of chemical analysis employed, are detailed in the following pages. Before leaving Chicago each animal was cut up into the following cuts, the head, leaf lard, and kidneys being retained in Chicago:

Two American clear backs; two clear bellies; two short-cut hams; two New York shoulders; four feet; spare ribs; tenderloins; neck bones; back bones; trimmings, fat and lean; tail.

These cuts were all weighed on leaving Chicago, and again in Washington just preceding their analysis. All of these weights appear in the accompanying tables, pages 15 to 64. The weighings in Washington were made on a large counter scale for the larger cuts, and on a torsion balance in the case of the smaller cuts. The cuts were then separated into the following parts: Meat (including both fat and lean), bones, marrow, skin, spinal cord, tendons, and hoofs.

Each of the parts, except the meat, was carefully weighed, and the weight of the meat obtained by subtracting the sum of the other weights from the total weight of the cut before cutting up.

#### SAMPLES OF MEAT.

The meat obtained from all of the cuts of the same kind in each animal was passed through a meat chopper two or more times in order to bring the sample into a finely divided condition. A weighed portion was then placed in a weighed casserole or evaporating dish. A glass rod was also weighed with the casserole. In the case of small samples, as the tenderloins, the entire quantity was taken; in the case of the larger cuts, from 400 to 600 grams of the fresh material were taken for the preparation of the air-dried sample. After the removal of these portions for the preparation of the air-dried sample, duplicate portions of 5 grams each were weighed for the direct determination of water and fat. These small samples were placed in aluminum dishes and dried in vacuo for six hours at 105 degrees. The residues were extracted for sixteen hours with ether, and the extracts dried in an air bath at 100 degrees. These direct determinations of fat and water were used as a check on the data obtained in the preparation of the air-dry samples. The larger portions, which had been weighed out as described above for the preparation of the air-dried samples, were placed in a steam oven at a temperature of 100 degrees or slightly more and heated until the fat had thoroughly separated, when the fat was poured off into a flask, care being taken not to pour with it any of the aqueous portion of the meat which formed a layer underneath the fat. After



as much fat had been poured off as was possible, the drying was continued in the steam oven until the weight had become approximately constant. As there was still too much fat contained in the samples to permit of their being powdered, it was necessary to extract them with ether before proceeding with the grinding. The extraction with ether was done in the following way.

Large funnels were placed in hot-water jackets, and in the funnels were placed filters of parchementized paper. The smooth surface of this paper greatly facilitated the removal of the insoluble residue of the sample. The portion of fat from each sample, which had been poured off as above described, was first passed through this filter and collected in a weighed flask and its weight taken. The remainder of the sample was then treated with ether and brought on to the filter and the washing with ether continued until the fat was sufficiently removed for the sample to be easily pulverized and brought into proper condition for subsequent analytical operations. The ether solution of the fat was also received in a weighed flask. The ether was removed by distillation and the residue heated to constant weight and weighed. There was considerable annoyance from the breaking of the flasks containing the fat while on the steam bath. When there was an evident loss of fat, the fat determinations were recorded as lost. When the flask was discovered with only a slight crack, the results are marked in the following tables with a (?) mark. The portion of the meat on the filter was returned to the dish which had previously contained it, and was again dried to approximately constant weight and then left exposed to the air for at least twenty-four hours in order to establish an equilibrium of its moisture content. The weight of the sample was then taken and recorded as the air-dry weight of the material.

The difference obtained by subtracting the sum of the weights of the air-dry material, fat obtained by pouring, and fat obtained by ether extraction from the original weight of the sample taken was recorded as the weight of water removed in the preparation of the sample. From these data were calculated:

- Percentage of water removed in the preparation of the sample;
- Percentage of fat removed in the preparation of the sample; and
- Percentage of air-dry sample obtained.

All three of these were expressed in percentages of the original material.

The air-dry samples were then ground, so as to pass a sieve having circular perforations 1 millimeter in diameter, and placed in closely stoppered bottles.

#### SAMPLES OF SKIN.

The portions of skin obtained from each cut were united to make one sample of skin for the entire animal. The united sample of skin from each pig was passed through the meat chopper, and the finely divided and thoroughly mixed sample was treated in exactly the same way as

described above for the samples of meat. The samples of meat from each cut were kept separate, however, while only one sample of skin was prepared for each animal.

#### SAMPLES OF BONES AND OF MARROW.

The bones from each cut were weighed and were united to make one sample of bones from each animal. They were then chopped up into bits about 1 inch long and the marrow removed. The marrow was weighed in a tared dish and treated as samples of meat, except that no determinations of moisture and fat were made in the original material. The fragments of the bones after the removal of the marrow were thoroughly mixed, and about half the total quantity was weighed in a tared dish and dried to approximately constant weight in a large agate-ware pan. After standing for from twenty-four to forty-eight hours exposed to the air, the weight was again taken and recorded as the weight of air-dried bones equivalent to the portion of fresh bones taken for the drying. The sample thus obtained was passed through a bone cutter, such as is used for poultry food, and from this, 500-gram portions were weighed and treated with petroleum ether by decantation for the removal of the fat. The solutions of fat were very difficult of filtration, hence were allowed to stand for some time for the almost complete subsidence of the solid matter contained in them, when they were carefully siphoned off and evaporated and the weight of the fat contained in them determined. The residues were again dried and exposed to the air for the establishment of the equilibrium of moisture content, and again weighed, the weight obtained being recorded as the weight of the air-dry, extracted bones. The samples thus obtained were submitted to analysis, and the determinations made are recorded below, all percentages being calculated back to the original material by use of the data obtained in the preparation of the sample.

#### SAMPLES OF SPINAL CORD.

The spinal cord was carefully separated from the backbones and neck bones, and the material thus obtained united to make one sample of spinal cord for each animal. This sample was prepared for analysis in the manner described for meats, but it was not practicable to make a direct determination of fat and moisture in the original sample.

#### SAMPLES OF TENDONS.

It was not practicable to separate the tendons from other cuts of the animal than the feet and legs, that is, the portion sent to the laboratory under the name of "feet." The tendons were treated in the same manner as the spinal cord.

#### SAMPLES OF HOOFS.

The hoofs were separated and weighed. In some cases some of the hoofs had been removed in the process of slaughtering and dressing



the animal. In these cases the whole weight of hoofs was corrected for the deficiency by using the average weight of one hoof for the weight of each of the remaining hoofs. The hoofs were weighed and dried in the steam oven and then left to assume their air-dry content of moisture. They were then ground and submitted to analysis as described below for the other parts.

#### METHODS OF ANALYSIS USED.

On the samples thus prepared the following determinations were made:

Water, fat, ash, total nitrogen, nitrogen insoluble in hot water, nitrogen soluble in hot water but precipitated by bromin, and lecithin.

For the determination of **MOISTURE** and **FAT** 2-gram portions were dried for six hours in a vacuum oven for the determination of water, and the residues were extracted for sixteen hours with ether for determination of the fat.

For **TOTAL NITROGEN** duplicate portions of one-half gram of the air-dried sample were treated by the Gunning method.

For **INSOLUBLE PROTEID NITROGEN** 1-gram portions were washed with ether by decantation, using about 50 to 100 c. c. of ether for each sample, and decanting the ether through filters which were afterwards used to receive the portions of the sample insoluble in hot water. After allowing the ether to evaporate the samples were next treated with hot water, this washing being also by decantation, and the total amount of water used being 300 to 400 c. c., the residues being brought on the filter with the last portion of the water. The filters and residues were then treated by the Gunning method.

The filtrates from the insoluble portions of the meat were received in Kjeldahl flasks and were used for the determination of the **NITROGEN PRECIPITATED BY BROMIN (GELATINOIDS)**.<sup>1</sup> After acidulation with two or three drops of strong hydrochloric acid, about 2 c. c. of bromin were added and the flasks vigorously shaken. If this quantity of bromin was all taken up more was added and the shaking repeated until a globule of about  $\frac{1}{2}$  c. c. of bromin was left in the flask, and the liquid above it was thoroughly saturated with bromin. The mixture was then allowed to stand until the next morning, when the supernatant liquor was passed through a filter and the residue in the flask washed by decantation, the globule of undissolved bromin in the flask saturating the wash water with bromin, so that it was unnecessary to use bromin water for the washing. The filter containing the residue was then returned to the same flask in which the precipitation had taken place and treated by the Gunning method.

The percentage of nitrogen in the form of **FLESH BASES** was found by subtracting the sum of the numbers representing insoluble nitrogen

<sup>1</sup> See Appendix, page 79.

and nitrogen precipitated by bromin from the number representing the percentage of total nitrogen. The percentage of flesh bases was obtained by multiplying the percentage of nitrogen in that form by 3.12. For the other forms of nitrogen, the factor 6.25 was used.

For the determination of LECITHIN,<sup>1</sup> 20 grams of the material were allowed to stand for twenty-four hours at from 35° to 40° C. with 200 c. c. of a mixture of equal parts of ether and 95 per cent alcohol. The material was then filtered and the residue extracted repeatedly with the same solvent. The filtrate and washings were evaporated to dryness on the water bath in a platinum dish. The residue was fused with mixed carbonates (equal parts of sodium and potassium carbonates). A little potassium nitrate was added during the fusion. The flux was dissolved in hot water, filtered, and the phosphoric acid determined in the filtrate by the Kilgore-Pemberton volumetric method. The lecithin was calculated as distearyl lecithin, which contains 8.789 per cent  $P_2O_5$ .

## RESULTS OF THE INVESTIGATION.

### DESCRIPTION OF TABLES.

The results of this work are presented in the accompanying tables. The first fifty-six tables are in seven groups. Each group gives in a separate table data for each of the eight pigs used.

Table 1 shows the weights of the whole cuts as obtained in Chicago and Washington, results of the direct determination of water and fat in the meat from each cut, and data in regard to the preparation of the air-dry sample of the meat from each cut.

Tables 2 and 3 show the weights of meat, bones, skin, etc., obtained from each cut, the total for the whole animal, and also the percentages of meat, bones, skin, etc., in each animal. These sheets also contain the data in regard to the preparation of the sample of bones, marrow, skin, spinal cord, tendons, and hoofs.

Tables 4 and 5 show all the analytical data, including the data actually obtained on the air-dry material, and also the corresponding data expressed in terms of the original material.

In Table 6 the analytical data have been collected in condensed form for convenience of reference.

In Table 7 are presented the weights of water, fat, nitrogenous substances, lecithin and ash in the meat of each entire animal, and also the weights and average percentages of each of these substances for the entire animal, including all its parts—meat, bones, skin, etc. These data were obtained by multiplying the weight of the meat from each cut by the percentage of each one of the constituents, finding the total, and dividing by the number representing the total weight of the meat of the entire animal. The same method was employed for the bones, marrow,

<sup>1</sup> Principles and Practice of Agricultural Analysis, Vol. III, p. 430.

skin, etc. Thus there were obtained the total weight of water for each animal, total weight of fat, etc. These total weights, divided by the weight of the entire animal, gave the average percentages of the various constituents of the entire animal.

In Tables 8 A to 8 K, have been placed the data which show the chemical composition of the meat of each cut of each pig.

In Table 9 has been placed the average composition of the meat of each animal.

Table 10 contains similar data for the bones of each animal, Table 11 for the marrow, Table 12 for the skin, Table 13 for the spinal cord, Table 14 for the tendons, and Table 15 for the hoofs. In Table 16 will be found a résumé of the weights of each cut, and also of each entire animal, as found in Chicago and found in Washington, the results being stated in both grams and pounds.

Table 17 shows the percentages of each of the parts for each animal, stated in percentages of the entire dressed animal, less the head, leaf lard, and kidneys.

Table 18 shows the proportion of water, fat, nitrogenous substances, lecithin and ash in each of these animals, stated in percentages of the entire dressed animal, less the head, leaf lard, and kidneys.

There is one obvious omission in the data presented in the tabulation just described. The absence of any information in regard to the manner of the feeding of the pigs has made it impossible to group them properly and make proper averages of the percentages of the various constituents in the animals which have received the same rations and other treatment previous to their slaughter. This missing data will be found in the forthcoming full report of Professor Curtiss, of the Iowa Agricultural Experiment Station, which should be consulted with the data herewith submitted.



TABLE NO. 1.—Weights of whole cuts and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples.

FIG NO. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Serial No. of air-dry meats.	Names of cuts.		Weights of whole cuts.				Direct determinations on original material.		Preparation of air-dry samples.							
			Chicago.		Washington.				Weight of fresh sample.	Air-dry sample original material.	Weight of air-dry sample after extraction.	Weight of fat.	Air-dry sample plus fat.	Weight of water removed.	Removed in preparation of sample.	
			Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Lbs. Oz.	Grams.									Grams.	Per ct.
16667	2	American clear backs	35½ 0	16,102.8	34 6	15,592.5	31.33	58.21	833.0	13.16	109.6	458.0	567.6	265.4	31.86	54.98
16669	2	clear bellies	19½ 0	8,845.2	19 4	8,731.8	36.09	52.69	741.2	14.33	106.2	362.1	468.3	272.9	36.82	48.84
16671	2	short-cut hams	23½ 0	10,659.6	23 5	10,574.6	60.29	22.19	532.5	22.95	122.2	88.3	210.5	322.0	60.47	16.68
16673	2	New York shoulders	20½ 0	9,298.8	20 10	9,395.5	54.97	29.01	532.5	17.65	94.0	152.9	246.9	285.6	53.64	23.71
16675	4	feet (7 hoofs)	a 3½ 0	b 1,594.2	.....	b 1,514.1	59.78	17.04	221.1	25.19	55.5	33.7	89.2	131.9	39.66	13.24
16677	.....	Spareribs	5 0	2,268.0	.....	2,212.0	50.33	30.05	359.9	20.81	74.9	98.6	173.5	186.4	51.74	27.39
16679	.....	Tenderloins	1 0	453.6	.....	470.8	67.14	9.14	427.9	27.11	116.0	26.6	142.6	285.3	66.67	6.21
16680	.....	Neck bones	2 0	907.2	.....	842.5	53.82	28.72	390.6	20.02	78.2	100.5	178.7	211.9	54.25	25.73
16682	.....	Meat	3½ 0	1,587.6	.....	1,580.0	51.89	27.16	397.5	22.24	88.4	102.1	190.5	207.0	52.08	25.69
16684	.....	Backbones	18 0	8,164.8	16 9	7,512.8	29.68	62.00	783.7	9.72	76.3	479.2	555.5	228.2	29.11	61.17
16686	.....	Trimnings	.....	.....	.....	363.0	23.99	69.25	199.2	8.73	17.4	134.7	152.1	47.1	23.64	67.62
16686	.....	Tail	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16686	.....	Meat	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16686	.....	Total	132½ 0	b 59,985.2	.....	58,789.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

b Corrected for missing hoof.

a Missing hoof, 6.6 grams.

TABLE NO. 1.—Weights of whole cuts and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples—Continued.

FIG NO. 2.—TAMWORTH.

Serial No. of air-dry meats.	Names of cuts.			Weights of whole cuts.				Direct determinations on original material		Preparation of air-dry samples.										
	Names of cuts.			Chicago.		Washington.		Direct determinations on original material		Air-dry sample of original material.		Weight of air-dry sample after extraction.		Air-dry sample plus fat.		Weight of water removed.		Removed in preparation of sample.		
	Names of cuts.			Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Water.	Per ct.	Fat.	Per ct.	Grams.	Per ct.	Grams.	Per ct.	Grams.	Per ct.	Water.	Per ct.	Fat.
16696	2	American clear backs.	41 0	18,597.6	40 8	18,370.8	29.13	61.76	786.0	10.48	82.4	377.6	460.0	326.0	41.48	48.04				
16698	2	clear bellies	20 0	9,072.0	19 9	8,873.6	32.74	59.06	779.4	12.12	94.5	426.4	520.9	258.5	33.17	54.71				
16700	2	short-cut hams	26 0	11,793.6	25 12	11,680.2	57.93	24.45	617.4	19.99	122.9	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.				
16702	2	New York shoulders	21 0	9,525.6	20 12	9,412.2	35.07	29.98	827.6	20.36	168.5	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.				
16704	4	feet (6 hoofs)	α 4½	0 2,057.3		0 1,974.1	58.96	21.23	331.7	23.85	79.1	58.9	138.0	193.7	58.39	17.76				
16706		Spareribs	5 0	2,268.0		2,132.7	49.58	19.79	701.0	19.67	137.9	225.8	363.7	337.3	48.12	32.21				
16708	2	Tenderloins	1 0	453.6		528.2	63.90	13.71	420.3	24.12	101.4	48.3	149.7	270.6	64.38	11.49				
16709	2	Neck bones	2 0	907.2		886.0	54.21	27.14	322.7	20.95	67.6	79.6	147.2	175.5	54.38	24.67				
16711		Backbones	4 0	1,814.4		1,840.0	50.45	30.33	423.3	20.98	88.8	124.2	213.0	210.3	49.68	29.34				
16713		Trimmings	18½	0 8,278.2	16 10	7,541.1	27.39	66.33	869.8	10.53	91.6	531.7	623.3	246.5	28.34	61.13				
16715		Tail	¾	0 113.4		707.5	23.38	61.54	474.2	9.53	45.2	120.0	165.2	309.0	25.31	65.16				
		Total	143 0	664,880.9		63,946.4														

FIG NO. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

16609	2	American clear backs	36 0	16,329.6	35 12	16,216.2	23.72	70.16	1,094.3	10.09	110.5	(?)197.2	307.7	786.6	71.88	18.02				
16611	2	clear bellies	21 0	9,525.6	21 0	9,525.6	29.40	62.23	1,027.0	9.81	100.7	614.9	715.6	311.4	30.32	59.87				
16613	2	short-cut hams	20 0	9,072.0	19 15½	9,037.8	53.41	29.99	628.4	24.30	152.7	145.7	298.4	330.0	52.51	23.19				
16615	2	New York shoulders	21 0	9,525.6	20 13	9,440.5	49.16	37.62	762.2	17.75	135.3	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.	Lost.				





TABLE No. 1.—Weights of whole cuts and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples—Continued.  
 FIG No. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No. of air-dry dry meats.	Names of cuts.		Weights of whole cuts.				Direct determinations on original material.				Preparation of air-dry samples.							
			Chicago.		Washington.		Water.		Fat.		Weight of fresh sample.	Air-dry sample of original material.	Weight of sample after extraction.	Weight of fat.	Air-dry sample plus fat.	Weight of water removed.	Removed in preparation of sample.	
			Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Grams.	Per ct.							Per ct.	Per ct.
16579	2	American clear backs.	39½	0	17,917.2	39½	0	17,917.2	20.75	73.25	1,086.1	9.37	101.8	589.1	704.9	284.6	28.77	59.52
16581	2	clear bellies.	24	0	10,886.4	24½	0	10,943.1	28.35	64.67	989.5	11.71	115.8	350.7	610.2	603.1	49.71	28.90
16583	2	short-cut hams.	21	0	9,525.6	21	0	9,525.6	49.57	35.73	1,213.3	21.39	259.5	293.1	47.7	54.6	53.37	23.27
16585	2	New York shoulders.	19½	0	8,845.2	19	13	8,987.0	44.16	43.74	703.3	23.36	23.9	147.5	281.9	322.8	53.38	24.39
16587	4	feet (7 hoofs).	a 2½	0	b 1,137.9	a 2½	0	b 1,255.4	54.16	26.19	102.3	22.23	134.4	19.3	109.2	205.8	65.34	6.12
16589		Spareribs.	3½	0	1,587.6	3½	0	1,612.0	53.89	27.08	604.7	19.46	77.0	114.1	191.1	204.7	51.71	28.83
16591		Meat.	1	0	453.6	1	0	348.5	67.39	10.55	315.0	28.54	39.9	149.8	301.0	276.5	47.88	26.18
16592		Tenderloins.	1½	0	680.4	1½	0	754.0	52.83	29.61	395.8	6.80	66.5	718.7	785.2	194.0	19.81	73.39
16594		Meat.	3	0	1,360.8	3	0	1,438.0	52.96	29.47	577.5	4.70	25.0	447.4	472.4	60.4	11.33	83.97
16596		Backbones.	20½	0	9,185.4	19	11	8,930.3	19.57	74.46	979.2	11.13	85.20	532.8	62,424.1			
16598		Meat.	¾	0	113.4	¾	0	683.0										
		Tail.																
		Meat.																
		Total.	136	0	661,693.5	136	0	661,693.5										

FIG No. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

16725	2	American clear backs.	45	0	20,412.0	44	8	20,185.2	20.74	74.09	740.1	6.99	51.7	541.5	593.2	146.9	19.85	73.16
16727	2	clear bellies.	3½	0	14,742.0	32	11	14,827.1	2.41	72.20	793.0	8.30	65.8	456.7	522.5	270.5	34.11	57.59
16729	2	short-cut hams.	27	0	12,247.2	26	14	12,190.5	42.08	45.54	671.3	27.63	185.5	245.9	431.4	239.9	35.74	36.63
16731	2	New York shoulders.	22	0	9,979.2	22	2	10,035.9	38.62	50.66	544.6	12.91	70.3	264.0	334.3	210.3	38.61	48.48

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

16733	4 feet (8 hoofs).....	3½ 0	1,587.6	.....	1,547.2	.....	49.65	31.99	292.1	21.84	63.8	81.8	145.6	146.5	50.16	28.00
	Meat.....	4 0	1,814.4	.....	1,612.2	.....	48.50	33.45	490.7	22.09	108.4	144.0	252.4	238.3	48.50	29.35
16735	Spareribs.....	.....	.....	.....	421.3	.....	62.50	14.91	377.6	26.77	101.1	41.1	142.2	235.4	62.94	10.89
16737	Tenderloins.....	½ 0	236.8	.....	892.6	.....	48.39	35.99	476.7	17.37	82.8	168.9	246.7	230.0	48.25	34.38
16738	Neck bones.....	2 0	907.2	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	3½ 0	1,587.6	.....	1,546.0	.....	47.54	35.96	487.1	21.13	102.9	237.1	360.0	127.1	26.09	52.78
16740	Backbones.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	27½ 0	12,360.6	25 1	11,368.4	.....	16.45	81.93	678.0	5.85	39.7	527.9	567.6	110.4	16.28	77.87
16742	Trimnings.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	¼ 0	113.4	.....	1,173.0	.....	14.24	82.55	742.3	6.37	47.3	591.5	638.8	103.5	13.93	79.70
16744	Tail.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	167½ 0	75,978.0	.....	75,799.4	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16754	2 American clear backs.....	39½ 0	17,917.2	38 14	17,633.7	.....	19.20	76.97	804.5	7.39	59.4	(?) 587.5	646.9	157.6	19.59	73.03
	Meat.....	28½ 0	12,927.6	29 0	13,154.4	.....	21.53	73.56	744.7	.....	57.6	(?) 788.9	.....	.....	.....	.....
16756	2 clear bellies.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	23½ 0	10,659.6	23 13	10,801.4	.....	44.26	43.38	578.3	14.73	85.2	245.2	330.4	247.9	42.87	42.40
16758	2 short-cut hams.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	19½ 0	8,845.2	19 12	8,958.6	.....	44.60	43.56	641.5	14.90	95.6	265.6	361.2	280.3	43.68	41.42
16760	2 New York shoulders.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	c 2½ 0	b 1,134.0	.....	b 1,400.0	.....	53.76	26.43	215.2	21.46	46.2	51.4	97.6	117.6	54.66	23.88
16762	4 feet (1 hoof).....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	3½ 0	1,587.6	.....	1,504.0	.....	52.86	27.52	484.7	21.77	105.5	127.1	232.6	252.1	52.01	26.22
16764	Spareribs.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	65.70	11.57	194.15	20.76	40.3	14.2	54.5	139.65	71.93	7.31
16766	Tenderloins.....	¾ 0	340.2	.....	333.3	.....	50.02	33.30	224.5	20.09	45.1	65.0	110.1	114.4	50.96	28.95
	Neck bones.....	2 0	907.2	.....	906.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16767	Meat.....	3 0	1,360.8	.....	1,482.0	.....	51.75	30.71	231.2	22.45	51.9	59.1	110.0	120.2	51.99	25.56
16769	Backbones.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	24½ 0	11,226.6	23 11	10,744.7	.....	21.30	73.41	868.3	7.35	63.8	630.3	694.1	174.2	20.06	72.59
16771	Trimnings.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	¼ 0	113.4	.....	759.0	.....	13.22	85.04	474.5	5.94	28.2	382.6	410.8	63.7	13.43	80.63
16773	Tail.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Meat.....	147½ 0	b 67,019.4	.....	b 67,677.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
	Total.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

a Missing hoof, 3.9 grams.

b Corrected for missing hoofs.

c Missing hoofs, 45.5 grams.



TABLE NO. 1.—Weights of whole cuts and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples—Continued.

FIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

Serial No. of air-dry dry meats.	Names of cuts.			Weights of whole cuts.				Direct determinations on original material.		Preparation of air-dry samples.						Removed in preparation of sample.	
	Chicago.		Washington.	Water.	Fat.	Weight of fresh sample.	Air-dry sample of original material.	Weight of air-dry sample after extraction.	Weight of fat.	Air-dry sample plus fat.	Weight of water removed.	Water.		Fat.			
	Lbs. Oz.	Grams.	Lbs. Oz.									Grams.	Per ct.		Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.
16783	2	American clear backs	Meat	44 0	19,958.4	43 13	19,873.4	28.00	63.88	884.2	9.67	85.45	551.2	636.7	247.5	27.99	62.34
16785	2	clear bellies	Meat	22½ 0	10,206.0	23 1	10,461.2	33.58	36.97	926.8	11.09	102.8	517.5	620.3	306.5	33.08	55.83
16787	2	short-cut hams	Meat	27 0	12,247.2	27 4	12,360.6	54.80	28.64	704.7	21.97	154.8	141.1	295.9	408.8	58.02	20.01
16789	2	New York shoulders	Meat	24½ 0	11,113.2	25 5	12,502.4	49.57	36.09	646.8	.....	133.8	Lost.	.....	.....	.....	.....
16791	4	feet (c hoots)	Meat	4½ 0	2,041.2	.....	2,246.0	57.47	30.86	284.7	.....	62.0	Lost.	.....	.....	.....	.....
16793	5	Spareribs	Meat	5 0	2,268.0	.....	2,340.0	51.55	30.18	561.4	22.26	125.0	150.5	275.5	285.9	50.93	26.81
16795	1	Tenderloins	Meat	1 0	453.6	.....	692.5	65.24	13.35	461.3	25.23	116.4	43.0	159.4	301.9	65.45	9.32
16796	2	Neck bones	Meat	2 0	907.2	.....	1,192.3	53.25	29.29	439.8	19.92	87.6	115.3	202.9	236.9	53.87	26.21
16798	4	Backbones	Meat	4 0	1,814.4	.....	1,998.0	49.84	30.58	727.8	23.55	171.4	197.4	368.8	359.0	49.33	27.12
16800	24½	Trimmings	Meat	0 11,226.6	.....	18 10	8,448.3	26.07	66.22	756.1	10.25	77.5	485.4	562.9	193.2	23.55	64.20
16802	4	Tail	Meat	0 113.4	.....	.....	651.0	20.38	72.73	315.2	9.96	31.4	227.0	258.4	56.8	18.02	72.02
	159½	Total		0 72,349.2	.....	.....	72,705.7	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

TABLE NO. 2.—Weights of parts from each cut and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples.

FIG NO. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of parts and cuts.	Weights of parts.		Of entire pig.	Preparation of sample.							Removed from original sample.					
		From each cut.	Total.		Weight of fresh sample.	Weight of air-dry sample.	Water removed.	Weight of air-dried material taken for extraction.	Weight of extracted sample, air-dry.	Fat removed.	Water removed during extraction.		Weight of original sample equivalent to weight of air-dry sample taken for extraction.				
														Grams.	Per cent.	Grams.	Per cent.
	<b>Meat (fat and lean):</b>																
	Backs .....	14,767.9															
	Bellies .....	8,230.6															
	Hams .....	9,407.9															
	Shoulders .....	8,448.2															
	Feet .....	325.3															
	Spareribs .....	1,683.8															
	Tenderloins .....	470.8															
	Neck bones .....	493.2															
	Backbones .....	704.0															
	Trimnings .....	7,021.5															
	Tail .....	291.7															
		51,844.9		88.19													
	<b>Bones:</b>																
	Backs .....	191.1															
	Bellies .....	81.4															
	Hams .....	879.6															
	Shoulders .....	693.8															
	Feet .....	802.6															
	Spareribs .....	528.2															
	Neck bones .....	336.1															
	Backbones .....	833.5															
	Trimnings .....	71.0															
	Tail .....	27.1															
		4,444.4															
16691	Marrow .....	69.7		0.12	69.7	9.8										14.06	81.50
16690	Total bones less marrow.			7.44	4,374.7	620.0	34.48	500.0								763.1	35.93
																11.2	11.40











TABLE NO. 2.—Weights of parts from each cut and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples—Continued.

FIG NO. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No.	Weights of parts.		Preparation of sample.							Weight of original sample						
	Names of parts and cuts.	From each cut.	Total.	Of entire pig.	Weight of fresh sample.	Weight of air-dry sample.	Water removed.	Per cent.	Weight of air-dried material taken for extraction.	Grams.	Weight of extracted sample, air-dry.	Fat removed.	Grams.	Water removed during extraction.	Weight equivalent to weight of air-dry sample taken for extraction.	Removed from original sample.
		Grams.	Grams.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Per ct.	Per ct.
	<b>Meat (fat and lean):</b>															
	Backs.....	19,194.9														
	Bellies.....	14,101.6														
	Hams.....	11,120.6														
	Shoulders.....	9,171.7														
	Feet.....	493.7														
	Spareribs.....	1,214.3														
	Tenderloins.....	421.3														
	Neck bones.....	635.6														
	Back bones.....	809.7														
	Trimnings.....	10,679.6														
	Tail.....	1,070.7		90.93												
	<b>Bones:</b>		68,913.7													
	Backs.....	169.8														
	Bellies.....	68.5														
	Hams.....	730.9														
	Shoulders.....	585.0														
	Feet.....	671.0														
	Spareribs.....	397.9														
	Neck bones.....	242.3														
	Back bones.....	696.0														
	Trimnings.....	65.3														
	Tail.....	27.0														
	<b>Total.....</b>		3,643.7													
16751	Marrow.....	79.0		0.10												
16748	Total bones less marrow.....		3,564.7	4.70	1,793.5	1,227.5	31.56	500.0	566.0	127.7	51.74%	378.0	—5.7	730.57	30.78	17.48







TABLE No. 3.—Weight of parts from each cut and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples.

FIG NO. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of parts and cuts.	Weight or parts.		Of entire pig.	Direct determinations on original material.		Preparation of samples.												
		From each cut.	Total.		Water.	Fat.	Weight of fresh sample.	Weight of air-dry sample after extraction.	Air-dry sample + fat.	Weight of water removed.	Air-dry sample in the original material.		Removed in preparation of sample.						
											Grams.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.	Per ct.	
16688	Skin																		
	Backs	633.5			57.66	16.84	183.6	67.8	29.2	97.0	86.6	36.93	47.17	15.90					
	Bellies	419.8																	
	Hams	287.1																	
	Shoulders	253.5																	
	Feet	174.1																	
	Trimnings	420.3																	
Tail	44.2																		
16693	Spinal cord			3.80			55.7	4.9	14.5	19.4	36.3	8.80	65.17	26.03					
	Neck bones	13.2																	
	Backbones	42.5																	
16695	Tendons		55.7	0.09			191.3	61.1	24.7	85.8	105.5	31.93	55.16	12.91					
	Feet	159.5																	
17177	Hoofs (?)		159.5	0.27															
	Feet (corrected)	52.6	52.6	0.09			52.6	33.37			19.23	63.44	36.56						





PIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

16635	Spinal cord; <sup>d</sup> Neck bones. Back bones.	6.9 32.1	0.07	38.10	24.21	311.3	104.0	68.8	172.8	138.5	33.41	44.49	22.10
16637	Tendons. Feet.	39.0 67.2	0.12			75.1	25.7	7.6	33.3	41.8	34.22	55.06	10.12
17179	Hoofs (4): Feet (corrected)	37.0	0.06			37.0	a 24.19			12.81	65.38	34.02	
16659	Skin. Bucks. Bellies. Hams. Shoulders. Feet. Trimnings. Tail.	632.5 507.6 369.8 293.5 78.0 465.0 60.4		38.10	24.21	311.3	104.0	68.8	172.8	138.5	33.41	44.49	22.10
16661	Spinal cord. Neck bones. Back bones.	11.2 39.9	3.63			50.6	6.2	21.1	27.3	23.3	12.25	46.05	41.70
16664	Tendons. Feet.	51.1 96.0	0.08			121.5	42.8	13.9	56.7	64.8	35.22	53.34	11.44
17180	Hoofs (8): Feet.	44.9	0.07			44.9	a 25.39			19.51	56.55	43.45	

a Not extracted.

b Ether extract lost (poured).

c Poured.

d Sample lost.

TABLE NO. 3.—*Weight of parts from each cut and data relating to the preparation of air-dry samples*—Continued.  
 FIG NO. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No.	Names of parts and cuts.	Weight of parts.		Of entire pig.		Direct dete- minations on original material.		Preparation of samples.								
		From each cut.	Total.	Per cent.	Per cent.	Water.	Fat.	Weight of fresh sample.	Weight of air-dry sample after ex- traction.	Weight of fat.	Air-dry sample + fat.	Weight of water removed.	Air-dry sample in the original material.	Removed in preparation of sample.		
		Grams.	Grams.			Per ct.	Per ct.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Water.	Per ct.	
16603	Skin.....					35.49	38.16									
	Backs.....	1,063.0														
	Bellies.....	699.0														
	Hams.....	431.5														
	Shoulders.....	419.0														
	Feet.....	190.1														
	Tail.....	56.4														
16605	Spinal cord.....		3,590.2	5.75				23.8	4.3	5.62	9.92	13.88	18.07	58.92	23.61	
	Neck bones.....	12.3														
	Backbones.....	13.4														
16607	Tendons:b		25.7	0.04												
	Feet.....	59.6	59.6	0.10												
17181	Hoofs (7):		31.6	0.05												
	Feet (corrected).....							31.6	c22.13		9.47		70.03	29.97		

FIG NO. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

16746	Skin.....					45.20	20.59	420.2	152.5	d28.1						
	Backs.....	820.5														
	Bellies.....	657.0														
	Hams.....	349.0														
	Shoulders.....	279.2														
	Feet.....	230.8														
	Tail.....	75.3														
16749	Spinal cord:b		3,035.3	4.00												
	Neck bones.....	14.7														
	Backbones.....	40.3														
16753	Tendons		55.0	0.07												
	Feet.....	92.5	92.5	0.12				92.5	31.92	8.56	40.48	52.02	34.51	56.24	9.25	
17182	Hoofs (8):															
	Feet.....	59.2	59.2	0.08				59.2	c34.83		24.37		58.83	41.17		

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

16778	Skin.....			49.26	16.39	447.0	175.2	63.8	239.0	208.0	39.18	46.55	14.27
	Backs.....	721.0											
	Bellies.....	691.1											
	Hams.....	370.5											
	Shoulders.....	328.2											
	Feet.....	314.0											
	Trimming.....	662.5											
	Tail.....	62.5											
		3,149.8	4.05										
16780	Spinal cord.....					56.2	7.17	37.78	44.95	11.25	12.76	20.02	67.22
	Neck bones.....	14.0											
	Backbones.....	42.2											
16782	Tendons.....					56.2							
	Feet.....	78.0				78.0	24.91	10.82	35.73	42.27	31.94	54.19	13.87
17183	Hoofs (1):.....					0.11							
	Feet (corrected).....	52.0				0.08	52.0	c31.23		20.77	60.06	39.94	

PIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

16807	Skin.....			52.50	11.71	(b)							
	Backs.....	1,169.0											
	Bellies.....	837.2											
	Hams.....	458.2											
	Shoulders.....	431.4											
	Feet.....	275.2											
	Trimming.....	623.5											
	Tail.....	62.5											
		3,857.0	5.30										
16809	Spinal cord: b.....												
	Neck bones.....	13.4											
	Backbones.....	52.6											
		66.0	0.09										
16811	Tendons: b.....												
	Feet.....	133.5				0.18							
17184	Hoofs (8):.....					0.10							
	Feet.....	75.0				0.10	75.0	c38.27		36.73	51.03	48.97	

a Ether extract lost.

b Sample lost.

c Not extracted.

d Ether extract lost (poured).

FIG No. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of cuts.	Air-dry sample: Per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Total.	Nitrogen.			Lecithin.	Ash.
						Of proteids insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16667	2 American backs .....	13.16	3.14	20.55	11.32	8.51	0.62	2.19	1.16	3.89
16669	2 clear bellies .....	14.33	3.14	21.59	11.15	7.78	0.65	2.72	0.90	3.85
16671	2 short-cut hams .....	22.95	4.14	15.43	11.85	9.77	0.48	1.60	1.10	4.18
17165	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	16.58	.....	.....	0.22	.....	.....	.....	2.43	.....
16673	2 New York shoulders .....	17.65	2.31	2.10	13.76	10.22	0.73	2.81	0.85	5.03
16675	4 feet .....	25.10	6.46	6.32	13.73	7.75	3.00	2.98	0.75	3.28
17174	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	15.20	.....	.....	0.13	.....	.....	.....	2.68	.....
16677	Spareribs .....	20.81	3.66	8.23	13.03	10.31	0.89	1.83	1.68	4.80
16679	Tenderloins .....	27.11	5.14	9.47	12.50	10.95	0.28	1.27	1.82	4.30
16680	Neck bones .....	20.02	7.23	10.93	12.25	9.97	0.59	1.69	1.33	4.02
17159	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	18.69	.....	.....	0.21	.....	.....	.....	2.17	.....
16682	Backbones .....	22.24	3.36	6.88	13.03	10.36	0.62	2.05	1.20	5.59
16684	Trimnings .....	9.72	3.69	8.34	13.09	8.54	1.11	3.44	1.16	4.23
16686	Tail .....	8.73	4.30	6.97	13.45	10.56	0.98	1.91	1.98	4.41

FIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

16696	2 American clear backs .....	10.48	3.46	12.22	12.61	8.74	1.08	2.79	1.23	4.06
16698	2 American clear bellies .....	12.12	4.27	14.91	12.44	9.19	0.86	2.39	1.23	3.90
16700	2 short-cut hams .....	19.99	5.38	8.79	12.92	10.41	0.50	2.01	1.11	4.22
16702	2 New York shoulders .....	20.36	3.54	19.93	11.44	8.86	0.70	1.88	1.20	3.86
16704	4 feet .....	23.85	6.24	4.59	14.04	7.81	2.25	3.98	1.57	3.60
17149	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	15.50	.....	.....	0.16	.....	.....	.....	0.87	.....
16706	Spareribs .....	19.67	5.49	8.51	12.75	9.38	1.06	2.31	1.27	4.75
16708	Tenderloins .....	24.12	4.74	8.38	12.92	11.37	0.37	1.18	1.46	4.40
17131	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	11.49	.....	.....	0.22	.....	.....	.....	2.98	.....
16709	Neck bones .....	20.95	5.44	6.49	13.36	10.24	0.98	2.14	1.24	4.88
17164	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	19.43	.....	.....	0.14	.....	.....	.....	2.91	.....



lytical data for meats.

## PIG No. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Per cent original material.																	
Water.			Fat.			Lecithin.	Nitrogen.				Nitrogenous substances.			Ash.	Total. <sup>1</sup>		
In preparing sample.	In air-dry material.	Total.	In preparing sample.	In air-dry material.	Total.		Total.	Of proteids insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.	Proteids insoluble in hot water.	Gelatinoids.	Flesh bases.			Total.	
31.86	0.41	32.27	54.98	2.71	57.69	0.15	1.49	1.12	0.08	0.29	7.00	0.50	0.91	8.41	0.51	{ 98.46 98.88	
36.82	0.45	37.27	48.84	3.09	51.93	0.14	1.60	1.12	0.09	0.39	7.00	0.56	1.22	8.78	0.55	{ 98.11 98.53	
60.47	0.95	61.42	16.58	3.54	20.12	0.25	2.72	2.24	0.11	0.37	14.00	0.69	1.15	15.84	0.96	{ 99.28 98.34	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.40	0.04	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.65	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
53.64	0.41	54.04	28.71	0.37	29.08	0.15	2.43	1.80	0.13	0.50	11.25	0.81	1.56	13.62	0.89	{ 98.49 97.63	
59.66	1.62	61.28	15.24	1.59	16.83	0.20	3.45	1.95	0.75	0.75	12.19	4.69	2.34	19.22	0.82	{ 96.86 98.15	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.41	0.02	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.61	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
51.78	0.76	52.54	27.39	1.71	29.10	0.35	2.71	2.15	0.18	0.38	13.44	1.13	1.19	15.76	1.00	{ 97.14 98.40	
66.67	1.39	68.06	6.21	2.57	8.78	0.49	3.39	2.97	0.08	0.34	18.56	0.50	1.06	20.12	1.17	{ 97.57 98.13	
54.25	1.45	55.70	25.73	2.19	27.92	0.27	2.45	1.99	0.12	0.34	12.44	0.75	1.06	14.25	0.81	{ 97.60 98.68	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.41	0.04	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.68	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
52.08	0.75	52.83	25.69	1.53	27.22	0.26	2.90	2.30	0.14	0.46	14.38	0.87	1.44	16.69	1.24	{ 96.98 97.98	
29.11	0.36	29.47	61.17	0.81	61.98	0.11	1.27	0.83	0.11	0.33	5.19	0.69	1.03	6.91	0.41	{ 99.00 98.77	
23.64	0.38	24.02	67.62	0.61	68.23	0.17	1.17	0.92	0.09	0.16	5.75	0.56	0.50	6.81	0.39	{ 100.44 99.45	

## PIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

41.48	0.36	41.84	48.04	1.28	49.32	0.13	1.32	0.92	0.11	0.29	5.75	0.69	0.91	7.35	0.43	{ 98.67 98.94	
33.17	0.52	33.69	54.71	1.81	56.52	0.15	1.51	1.12	0.10	0.29	7.00	0.63	0.91	8.54	0.47	{ 100.81 99.22	
-----	1.08	-----	-----	1.76	-----	0.22	2.58	2.08	0.10	0.40	13.00	0.63	1.25	14.88	0.84	{ 98.10 -----	
-----	0.72	-----	-----	4.06	-----	0.24	2.33	1.80	0.14	0.38	11.25	0.87	1.19	13.31	0.79	{ 79.15 -----	
58.39	1.49	59.88	17.76	1.09	18.85	0.37	3.35	1.86	0.54	0.95	11.63	3.38	2.96	17.97	0.86	{ 98.72 97.56	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.14	0.03	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.51	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
48.12	1.08	49.20	32.21	1.67	33.88	0.25	2.51	1.85	0.21	0.45	11.56	1.31	1.40	14.27	0.93	{ 84.57 98.28	
64.38	1.14	65.52	11.49	2.02	13.51	0.35	3.12	2.74	0.09	0.29	17.13	0.56	0.91	18.60	1.06	{ 97.27 98.69	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.56	0.03	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.91	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
54.38	1.14	55.52	24.67	1.36	26.03	0.26	2.80	2.14	0.21	0.45	13.38	1.31	1.40	16.09	1.02	{ 98.46 98.66	
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.57	0.03	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----
-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	0.83	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----	-----

<sup>1</sup>In this column the totals obtained by both the direct and the indirect determination of water and fat are given. The upper number in each case was obtained by use of the results of direct determinations of these constituents; for the lower number in each case the results obtained during the preparation of the sample, and in the analysis of the dry-air sample, were used. Lecithin is not included in the totals given in this table.

PIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH—Continued.

Serial No.	Names of cuts.	Air-dry sample: Per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Total.	Nitrogen.			Lecithin.	Ash.
						Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16711	Backbones .....	20.98	6.59	6.36	12.95	10.44	0.68	1.83	1.19	5.25
16713	Trimmings.....	10.53	4.83	14.61	12.41	8.08	1.24	3.09	0.98	4.05
16715	Tail.....	9.53	4.83	20.15	10.90	7.13	1.15	2.62	1.09	3.15

PIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

16609	2 American clear backs.....	10.09	2.18	22.71	10.17	7.12	0.73	2.32	1.23	3.47
16611	2 American clear bellies.....	9.81	2.23	8.75	13.20	8.82	1.01	3.37	0.85	4.31
16613	2 short-cut hams .....	24.30	2.65	32.10	10.34	7.34	0.42	2.58	1.42	3.28
16615	2 New York shoulders.....	17.75	9.86	12.01	11.69	8.50	0.78	2.41	1.57	4.01
16617	4 feet .....	20.71	4.00	3.32	14.21	7.64	4.92	1.65	0.93	4.08
16619	Spareribs .....	26.69	2.95	26.47	10.67	8.17	0.52	1.98	1.04	3.45
16621	Tenderloins.....	25.88	3.89	16.65	11.88	10.32	0.27	1.29	1.55	4.09
16622	Neck bones .....	19.30	2.79	9.24	12.89	10.11	0.59	2.19	1.28	4.51
16624	Backbones .....	19.39	1.88	5.53	13.73	11.05	0.48	2.20	1.19	5.43
16626	Trimmings.....	7.20	3.87	13.38	11.99	7.16	1.15	3.68	0.99	4.29
16628	Tail.....	5.65	3.78	9.14	13.29	8.42	1.25	3.62	1.33	4.19

PIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

16638	2 American clear backs .....	9.68	3.21	16.05	12.10	8.50	0.93	2.67	.....	3.89
16640	2 American clear bellies.....	9.43	4.26	5.34	13.23	8.14	1.09	4.00	1.31	4.53
16642	2 short-cut hams .....	17.00	4.79	6.38	13.23	10.07	0.75	2.41	1.33	4.48
16644	2 New York shoulders .....	.....	15.28	8.00	11.34	8.62	0.68	2.04	1.45	4.07
17152	(Fat extracted with ether) <i>a</i> .....	.....	.....	.....	0.09	.....	.....	.....	2.03	.....
16646	4 feet.....	19.57	7.29	0.59	14.01	9.02	3.42	1.57	0.77	4.64
16648	Spareribs .....	23.03	4.83	16.65	11.71	6.99	0.42	4.30	1.33	4.11
16650	Tenderloins .....	26.80	5.88	13.40	11.85	10.53	0.41	0.91	1.45	4.22
16651	Neck bones .....	19.37	5.96	8.89	12.27	8.46	0.93	2.88	0.89	4.71
17163	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	20.67	.....	.....	0.24	.....	.....	.....	1.36	.....
16653	Backbones .....	21.21	3.68	12.07	12.42	9.77	0.62	2.03	1.21	4.95
16655	Trimmings.....	8.05	3.54	21.29	10.98	7.39	0.87	2.72	0.80	3.91
16657	Tail.....	6.41	5.48	5.21	13.23	8.61	1.32	3.30	1.19	4.90

*a* Fat extract lost.

lytical data for meats—Continued.

FIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH—Continued.

Per cent original material.																
Water.			Fat.			Lecithin.	Nitrogen.				Nitrogenous substances.				Ash.	Total.
In preparing sam-ple.	In air-dry mate-rial.	Total.	In preparing sam-ple.	In air-dry mate-rial.	Total.		Total.	Of proteids insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromh.	Of flesh bases.	Proteids insoluble in hot water.	Gelatinoids.	Flesh bases.	Total.		
49.68	1.38	51.06	29.34	1.33	30.67	0.25	2.72	2.19	0.14	0.39	13.69	0.87	1.22	15.78	1.10	} 97.66 98.61 101.30 99.10 97.14 98.87
28.34	0.51	28.85	61.13	1.54	62.67	0.10	1.31	0.85	0.13	0.33	5.31	0.81	1.03	7.15	0.43	
25.31	0.46	25.77	65.16	1.92	67.08	0.10	1.04	0.68	0.11	0.25	4.25	0.69	0.78	5.72	0.30	

FIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

71.88	0.22	72.10	18.02	2.29	20.31	0.12	1.03	0.72	0.07	0.24	4.50	0.44	0.75	5.69	0.35	} 99.92 98.45 99.15 98.79
30.32	0.22	30.54	59.87	0.86	60.73	0.08	1.30	0.87	0.10	0.33	5.44	0.63	1.03	7.10	0.42	
52.51	0.64	53.15	23.19	7.80	30.99	0.35	2.51	1.78	0.10	0.63	11.13	0.63	1.97	13.73	0.80	
.....	1.75	.....	.....	2.13	.....	0.28	2.08	1.51	0.14	0.43	9.44	0.87	1.34	11.65	0.71	
.....	0.83	.....	.....	0.69	.....	0.19	2.94	1.58	1.02	0.34	9.88	6.38	1.06	17.32	0.84	} 97.95 97.67
52.44	0.79	53.23	20.87	7.06	27.93	0.28	2.85	2.18	0.14	0.53	13.63	0.87	1.65	16.15	0.92	
64.96	1.01	65.97	9.16	4.31	13.47	0.40	3.07	2.67	0.07	0.33	16.69	0.44	1.03	18.16	1.06	} 97.94 98.66
52.96	0.54	53.50	27.74	1.78	29.52	0.25	2.49	1.95	0.12	0.42	12.19	0.75	1.31	14.25	0.87	
50.03	0.36	50.39	30.58	1.07	31.65	0.23	2.66	2.14	0.09	0.43	13.38	0.56	1.34	15.28	1.05	} 96.35 98.14 98.88 98.37
22.21	0.28	22.49	70.59	0.96	71.55	0.07	0.87	0.52	0.08	0.27	3.25	0.50	0.84	4.59	0.31	
.....	0.21	.....	.....	0.52	.....	0.08	0.75	0.48	0.07	0.20	3.00	0.44	0.62	4.06	0.24	} 100.11 98.94 99.65
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	

FIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

29.22	0.31	29.53	61.10	1.55	62.65	.....	1.17	0.82	0.09	0.26	5.13	0.56	0.81	6.50	0.38	} 99.34 99.06 98.93
30.38	0.40	30.78	60.19	0.50	60.69	0.12	1.25	0.77	0.10	0.38	4.81	0.63	1.19	6.63	0.43	
53.97	0.81	54.78	29.03	1.09	30.12	0.23	2.25	1.71	0.13	0.41	10.69	0.81	1.28	12.78	0.76	
.....	.....	51.72	.....	.....	33.74	0.30	1.65	1.25	0.10	0.30	7.81	0.63	0.94	9.38	0.59	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	} 98.36 99.05
49.23	1.43	50.66	31.20	0.12	31.32	0.15	2.74	1.76	0.67	0.31	11.00	4.19	0.97	16.16	0.91	
55.53	1.11	56.64	22.44	3.83	26.27	0.31	2.70	1.61	0.10	0.99	10.06	0.63	3.00	13.78	0.95	} 97.23 97.64 98.69
65.85	1.58	67.43	7.36	3.59	10.95	0.39	3.18	2.82	0.11	0.25	17.63	0.60	0.78	19.10	1.13	
54.08	1.15	55.23	26.55	1.72	28.27	0.17	2.38	1.64	0.18	0.56	10.25	1.13	1.75	13.13	0.91	} 98.61 97.86 97.54
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.28	0.05	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.45	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	} 98.38 98.27
48.65	0.78	49.43	30.14	2.56	32.70	0.27	2.63	2.07	0.13	0.43	12.94	0.81	1.34	15.09	1.05	
22.14	0.29	22.43	69.81	1.71	71.52	0.06	0.88	0.59	0.07	0.22	3.69	0.44	0.69	4.82	0.32	} 99.29 99.09 100.36 99.24
16.15	0.35	16.50	77.44	0.33	77.77	0.08	0.85	0.55	0.09	0.21	3.44	0.56	0.66	4.66	0.31	

FIG NO. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No.	Names of cuts.	Air-dry sample: Per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Total.	Nitrogen.			Lecithin.	Ash.
						Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16579	2 American clear backs.....	9.37	9.69	24.48	10.47	6.76	0.81	2.90	0.82	3.14
16581	2 clear bellies.....	11.71	3.05	28.08	10.39	6.84	0.80	2.75	0.83	3.57
16583	2 short-cut hams.....	21.39	3.48	32.93	7.75	6.25	0.73	0.77	0.16	3.34
16585	2 New York shoulders.....	3.98	4.39	13.82	10.11	0.83	2.88	0.84	4.57	
16587	4 feet.....	23.36	5.21	7.88	12.47	6.97	1.52	3.98	.....	3.27
16589	Spareribs.....	22.23	3.21	11.30	12.92	10.14	0.56	2.22	0.53	4.67
16591	Tenderloins.....	28.54	4.23	18.53	11.69	10.25	0.22	1.22	1.22	3.98
16592	Neck bones.....	19.46	3.93	7.58	13.20	10.28	0.61	2.31	1.05	4.85
16594	Backbones.....	25.94	3.44	12.41	12.64	10.08	0.50	2.06	1.52	4.75
16596	Trimmings.....	6.80	3.98	10.90	12.77	7.66	0.95	4.16	1.14	4.38
16598	Tail.....	4.70	4.37	13.88	12.19	6.85	1.10	4.24	1.39	4.30

FIG NO. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

16725	2 American clear backs.....	6.99	6.75	6.71	12.89	8.59	1.07	3.23	0.97	4.17
17133	(Fat extracted with ether).....	20.65	.....	.....	0.13	.....	.....	.....	0.59	.....
16727	2 clear bellies.....	8.30	4.96	16.65	11.79	8.44	1.04	2.31	0.97	2.59
17134	(Fat extracted with ether).....	6.17	.....	.....	0.09	.....	.....	.....	0.51	.....
16729	2 short cut hams.....	27.63	5.49	8.95	12.75	10.05	0.73	1.97	1.28	4.76
16731	2 New York shoulders.....	12.91	6.58	5.39	13.09	9.30	1.12	2.67	0.92	6.53
16733	4 feet.....	21.84	7.68	6.72	13.23	7.72	2.11	3.40	0.74	3.44
17142	(Fat extracted with ether).....	16.13	.....	.....	0.12	.....	.....	.....	1.15	.....
16735	Spareribs.....	22.09	5.79	11.79	12.27	9.89	0.80	1.58	1.51	4.96
16737	Tenderloins.....	26.77	5.67	14.63	11.77	10.35	0.38	1.04	2.09	3.93
16738	Neck bones.....	17.37	6.02	3.11	13.59	10.77	0.89	1.93	1.61	4.98
16740	Backbones.....	21.13	4.99	11.98	12.27	9.68	0.82	1.77	1.69	4.35
16742	Trimmings.....	5.85	3.96	15.48	12.19	8.44	1.07	2.68	1.15	4.18
16744	Tail.....	6.37	0.12	23.97	10.70	7.53	1.11	2.06	0.92	3.68





FIG NO. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No.	Names of cuts.	Air-dry sample: Per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Nitrogen.				Lecithin.	Ash.
					Total.	Of proteids insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16754	2 American clear backs .....	7.39	8.67	12.48	12.24	8.85	1.16	2.23	1.38	3.92
17155	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	20.82			9.05				1.83	
16756	2 clear bellies .....		5.29	15.79	12.44	9.12	1.18	2.14	1.52	4.29
17156	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	21.18			0.06				3.28	
16758	2 short cut hams .....	14.73	8.23	2.11	13.11	10.39	0.95	1.77	1.25	4.44
17158	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	24.80			0.14				1.09	
16760	2 New York shoulders .....	14.90	6.28	8.25	12.58	9.72	1.00	1.86	1.66	6.13
17137	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	26.49			0.11				1.38	
16762	4 feet .....	21.46	6.13	6.39	13.48	9.24	1.94	2.30	0.84	3.63
17141	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	18.12			0.11				1.00	
16764	Spareribs .....	21.77	5.46	5.94	13.14	10.72	0.80	1.62	1.67	4.64
17154	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	20.12			0.07				2.35	
16766	Tenderloins .....	20.76	4.35	9.84	12.58	11.32	0.38	0.88	1.55	4.16
16767	Neck bones .....	20.09	5.65	9.79	11.74	9.83	0.89	1.02	1.23	4.69
17145	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	22.72			0.14				1.30	
16769	Backbones .....	22.45	4.89	11.19	12.33	9.87	0.75	1.71	1.26	4.55
17144	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	20.33			0.12				0.77	
16771	Trimnings .....	7.35	5.88	13.23	11.97	7.30	1.42	3.25		3.84
17139	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	18.10			0.09				0.63	
16773	Tail .....	5.94	5.00	18.81	11.24	7.65	1.30	2.29	3.02	4.49
17138	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	27.46			0.09				1.10	



PIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of cuts.	Air-dry sample: Per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Total.	Nitrogen.			Lecthin.	Ash.
						Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16783	2 American clear backs .....	9.67	5.82	1.95	13.68	9.83	1.74	2.11	1.11	4.28
17160	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	25.05			0.12				0.84	
16785	2 clear bellies .....	11.09	6.36	3.54	13.45	9.69	1.85	1.91	1.09	4.54
17150	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	29.54			0.10				0.45	
16787	2 short cut hams .....	21.97	5.12	23.74	10.61	8.38	0.62	1.61	1.29	3.37
17140	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	18.11			0.08				0.75	
16789	2 New York shoulders .....		8.13	3.67	12.92	9.46	0.98	2.48	1.16	4.37
16791	4 feet .....		7.04	6.28	13.48	6.99	2.25	4.24	0.67	3.49
16793	Spareribs .....	22.26	6.22	11.08	12.47	9.76	0.78	1.93	1.47	4.72
16795	Tenderloins .....	25.23	4.75	14.86	11.96	10.13	0.51	1.32	1.85	4.14
17143	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	9.33			0.14				2.20	
16796	Neck bones .....	19.92	6.33	2.50	13.34	10.71	0.79	1.84	1.33	4.98
17135	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	17.26			0.15				1.64	
16798	Backbones .....	23.55	5.61	10.58	12.19	9.59	0.84	1.76	1.48	4.99
17157	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	16.68			0.12				2.60	
16800	Trimnings .....	10.25	5.57	15.72	11.63	7.10	1.59	2.94	1.20	3.80
17151	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	30.31			0.09				1.05	
16802	Tail .....	9.96	4.83	23.55	10.81	7.86	1.68	1.27	1.09	3.33
17147	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	43.40			0.08				1.02	





TABLE No. 5.—Analytical data for bones,

## PIG No. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of parts.	Air-dry sample, per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.							
			Water.	Fat.	Total.	Nitrogen.			Leathin.	Ash.
						Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.		
16690	Bones .....	52.67	5.72	0.52	6.18	5.32	0.11	0.75	0.84	49.59
16690A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	11.40	(0.61)	.....	0.29	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.28)
16691	Marrow .....	4.44	6.68	0.19	8.31	7.08	0.65	0.48	.....	.....
17169	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	17.36	.....	.....	0.07	.....	.....	.....	2.64	.....
16688	Skin .....	36.93	8.31	3.28	15.02	10.95	2.89	1.18	0.33	1.70
17175	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	15.90	.....	.....	0.15	.....	.....	.....	1.85	.....
16693	Spinal cord .....	8.80	6.01	8.28	8.85	7.02	1.26	0.57	.....	.....
16695	Tendons .....	31.93	10.23	1.52	14.10	11.26	2.22	0.62	0.39	3.71
17168	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	4.81	.....	.....	0.23	.....	.....	.....	6.65	.....
17177	Hoofs .....	63.44	7.14	1.35	14.63	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.46

## PIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

16719	Bones .....	50.71	7.10	0.45	6.18	5.45	0.22	0.51	0.07	49.98
16719A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	14.83	(0.68)	.....	0.34	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.59)
16720	Marrow .....	2.39	6.91	0.44	10.56	8.98	0.56	1.02	.....	.....
17146	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	15.53	.....	.....	0.14	.....	.....	.....	0.31	.....
16717	Skin .....	34.28	7.08	4.04	14.88	7.75	4.21	2.92	0.34	1.89
17132	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	14.27	.....	.....	0.16	.....	.....	.....	0.91	.....
16722	Spinal cord .....	8.88	6.41	1.65	9.63	7.30	1.12	1.21	.....	2.54
17166	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	32.24	.....	.....	0.44	.....	.....	.....	9.15	.....
16724	Tendons .....	33.67	9.01	0.83	14.86	11.49	2.05	1.32	0.31	3.24
17171	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	2.55	.....	.....	0.31	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
17178	Hoofs .....	60.73	6.92	1.01	14.65	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.61

## PIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

16630	Bones .....	45.56	6.46	0.45	6.94	6.04	0.17	0.73	0.13	47.61
16630A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	16.97	(0.62)	.....	0.34	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.37)
16631	Marrow .....	4.64	.....	.....	7.16	6.46	0.28	0.42	.....	.....
16633	Skin .....	28.05	3.37	3.93	15.02	2.56	3.46	9.00	0.35	1.88
16635	Spinal cord $\alpha$ .....	34.22	13.03	0.84	14.10	11.34	1.53	1.23	0.81	2.48
16637	Tendons .....	65.38	7.18	1.07	14.74	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.36
17179	Hoofs .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

## PIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

16663	Bones .....	50.37	4.90	0.93	6.74	5.20	0.56	0.98	1.05	50.13
16663A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	9.40	(0.62)	.....	0.21	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.32)
16665	Marrow .....	5.28	6.66	0.43	8.28	7.12	0.42	0.14	.....	.....
16659	Skin .....	33.41	6.93	3.63	14.86	4.24	5.48	5.14	0.74	1.80
16661	Spinal cord .....	12.25	6.58	10.15	9.12	7.16	1.40	0.56	.....	4.57
16662	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	23.32	.....	.....	0.17	.....	.....	.....	4.70	.....
16664	Tendons .....	35.22	9.48	0.67	14.63	11.24	2.50	0.89	0.29	4.21
17170	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	4.77	.....	.....	0.11	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
17180	Hoofs .....	56.55	7.19	1.06	14.80	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.43

marrow, skin, spinal cord, tendons, and hoofs.

## PIG No. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

Per cent original material.																
Water.			Fat.			Lecithin.	Nitrogen.				Nitrogenous substances.			Ash.	Total.	
In preparing sample.	In original material.	Total.	In preparing sample.	In original material.	Total.		Total.	Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.	Proteins insoluble in hot water.	Gelatinoids.	Flesh bases.			Total.
35.93	3.01 (0.07)	38.94	11.40	0.27	11.67	0.44	3.26 0.03	2.80	0.06	0.40	17.50	0.38	1.25	19.13	26.12 (0.03)	95.86
14.06	0.30	14.36	81.50	0.01	81.51	0.46	0.01	0.32	0.03	0.02	2.00	0.19	0.06	2.25		98.12
47.17	3.07	50.24	15.90	1.21	17.11	0.12	5.55	4.04	1.07	0.44	25.25	6.69	1.37	33.31	0.63	108.44 101.29
65.17	0.53	65.70	26.03	0.73	26.76	0.41	0.78	0.62	0.11	0.05	3.88	0.69	0.16	4.73		97.19
55.16	3.27	58.43	12.91	0.49	13.40	0.13	4.50	3.59	0.71	0.20	22.44	4.44	0.62	27.50	1.18	100.51
36.56	4.53	41.09			0.86	0.45								58.00	0.93	100.88

## PIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

34.46	3.60 (0.10)	38.06	14.83	0.23	15.06	0.04	3.13 0.05	2.76	0.11	0.26	17.25 0.31	0.69	0.81	18.75 0.31	25.35 (0.09)	97.22 0.31
13.14	0.17	13.31	84.47	0.01	84.48	0.25	0.02	0.22	0.01	0.02	17.56 1.38	0.06	0.06	19.06 1.50		97.53 99.29
52.95	2.43	55.38	12.77	1.38	14.15	0.12	5.10	2.66	1.44	1.00	16.62	9.00	3.12	28.74	0.65	98.15 98.92
45.88	0.57	46.45	45.24	0.15	45.39	0.25	0.86	0.65	0.10	0.11	4.06	0.63	0.34	5.03	0.23	97.10
58.52	3.03	61.55	7.81	0.28	8.09	2.95	0.14	3.87	0.69	0.44	24.19	4.31	1.37	29.87	1.09	100.60
39.27	4.20	43.47			0.61	0.10	0.01							55.63	0.98	100.69
						8.90										

## PIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

37.47	2.94 (0.10)	40.41	16.97	0.21	17.18	0.06	3.16 0.06	2.75	0.08	0.33	17.19 0.38	0.50	1.03	18.72 0.38	21.69 (0.06)	98.00 0.38
15.50			79.86			0.33	0.30	0.01	0.02	1.88	0.06	0.06	2.00			98.38
40.78		40.78	31.17		31.17	0.10	4.22	0.72	0.97	2.53	4.50	6.06	7.89	18.45	0.53	97.36 90.93
55.66	4.46	60.12	10.12	0.29	10.41	0.28	4.82	3.88	0.52	0.42	24.25	3.25	1.31	28.81	0.85	100.19
34.62	4.69	39.31			0.70	9.64								60.25	0.89	101.15

## PIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

40.23	2.47 (0.06)	42.70	9.40	0.47	9.87	0.53	3.40 0.02	2.62	0.28	0.50	16.38 0.13	1.75	1.56	19.69 0.13	25.25 (0.03)	97.51 0.13
16.39	0.35	16.74	78.33	0.02	78.35	0.44	0.41	0.02	0.01	2.56	0.13	0.03	19.82			97.64 97.81
44.49	2.32	46.81	22.10	1.21	23.31	0.25	4.97	1.42	1.83	1.72	8.87	11.44	5.37	25.68	0.63	98.62 96.43
46.05	0.81	46.86	41.70	1.24	42.94	1.12	0.04	0.88	0.17	0.07	5.50	1.06	0.22	6.78	0.56	97.14
53.34	3.34	56.68	11.44	0.24	11.68	1.10	0.10	3.96	0.88	0.31	24.75	5.50	0.97	31.22	1.48	101.05
43.45	4.07	47.52			0.60	8.37								52.31	0.81	101.24

TABLE NO. 5.—Analytical data for bones,

PIG No. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Serial No.	Names of parts.	Air-dry sample, per cent of original material.	Per cent air-dry material.								
			Water.	Fat.	Nitrogen.					Lecithin.	Ash.
					Total.	Of proteins insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.			
16600	Bones .....	53.64	4.69	0.86	6.77	5.93	0.17	0.67	0.93	49.70	
16600A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	11.58	7.30	.....	0.34	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.61)	
16601	Marrow .....	6.25	6.73	0.28	8.26	7.30	0.45	0.51	.....	.....	
16603	Skin .....	<i>a</i> 26.35	5.08	5.46	15.13	2.22	6.91	6.00	0.33	1.82	
16605	Spinal cord .....	18.07	6.54	0.07	9.26	7.58	0.84	0.84	.....	.....	
16607	Tendons <i>b</i> .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
17181	Hoofs .....	70.03	7.33	1.05	14.77	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.22	

PIG No. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

16748	Bones .....	51.74	5.79	0.31	6.46	5.39	0.31	0.76	.....	50.36
16748A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	17.48	(0.43)	.....	0.21	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.23)
16751	Marrow <i>c</i> .....	.....	4.83	0.27	8.14	6.89	0.56	0.69	.....	.....
16746	Skin .....	<i>a</i> 34.21	7.78	3.63	14.83	6.32	2.81	5.70	0.18	1.83
16749	Spinal cord <i>c</i> .....	.....	.....	.....	9.97	7.72	1.12	1.13	.....	.....
17162	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	34.91	.....	.....	0.20	.....	.....	.....	8.12	.....
16753	Tendons .....	34.51	9.78	0.21	15.05	11.71	2.13	1.21	0.24	2.79
17173	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	4.11	.....	.....	0.12	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
17182	Hoofs .....	58.83	5.97	1.18	14.55	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.73

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

16775	Bones .....	53.50	6.52	0.66	6.44	5.59	0.17	0.68	0.15	50.59
16775A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	12.88	3.63	.....	0.32	.....	.....	.....	2.72	.....
16776	Marrow <i>c</i> .....	.....	6.35	0.48	7.02	6.32	0.56	0.14	.....	.....
16778	Skin .....	39.18	9.80	3.78	14.69	7.84	4.13	2.72	0.20	2.00
17148	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	11.95	.....	.....	0.18	.....	.....	.....	0.55	.....
16780	Spinal cord .....	12.76	6.43	0.76	9.55	7.58	1.26	0.71	.....	.....
17167	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	50.36	.....	.....	0.37	.....	.....	.....	5.50	.....
16782	Tendons .....	31.94	11.65	0.47	14.43	11.49	1.96	0.98	0.36	2.71
17183	Hoofs .....	60.06	6.96	.....	14.83	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....

PIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

16804	Bones .....	50.51	11.16	0.68	6.60	5.73	0.28	0.59	0.40	50.08
16804A	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	13.74	(0.53)	.....	0.29	.....	.....	.....	.....	(0.26)
16805	Marrow .....	4.41	6.21	0.19	7.75	6.85	0.79	0.11	.....	.....
17153	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	29.28	.....	.....	0.04	.....	.....	.....	0.22	.....
16807	Skin <i>c</i> .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16809	Spinal cord <i>c</i> .....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
17161	(Fat extracted with ether) .....	30.30	.....	.....	0.27	.....	.....	.....	5.29	.....
16811	Tendons .....	.....	11.65	0.67	13.96	12.29	1.43	0.24	0.24	2.96
17184	Hoofs .....	51.03	6.44	0.96	14.65	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.39

*a* Fat-free and water-free.*b* Sample lost.*c* Lost.



marrow, skin, spinal cord, tendons, and hoofs—Continued.

PIG No. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Per cent original material.																
Water.			Fat.			Lecithin.	Nitrogen.				Nitrogenous substances.				Ash.	Total.
In preparing sample.	In original material.	Total.	In preparing sample.	In original material.	Total.		Total.	Of proteids insoluble in hot water.	Precipitated by bromin.	Of flesh bases.	Proteids insoluble in hot water.	Gelatinoids.	Flesh bases.	Total.		
34.78	2.52	36.45	1.58	0.46	12.89	0.50	3.63	3.18	0.09	0.36	19.88	0.56	1.12	21.56	26.66	97.56
.....	0.85	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.04	.....	.....	.....	0.25	.....	.....	0.25	(0.07)	0.25
12.80	0.42	13.22	80.95	0.02	80.97	.....	0.52	0.46	0.03	0.03	20.13	2.88	0.19	0.09	21.81	97.81
.....	.....	35.49	.....	.....	38.16	0.09	3.99	0.59	1.82	1.58	3.69	11.38	4.93	20.00	3.16	97.35
58.32	1.18	59.50	23.61	0.01	23.62	.....	1.67	1.37	0.15	0.15	8.56	0.94	0.47	9.97	0.48	94.13
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	93.09
29.97	5.13	35.10	.....	.....	0.74	.....	10.34	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	64.63	0.85	101.32

PIG No. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

30.78	3.00	33.78	17.48	0.16	17.64	.....	3.34	2.79	0.16	0.39	17.44	1.00	1.22	19.66	26.06	97.14
.....	(0.08)	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.04	.....	.....	.....	0.25	.....	.....	0.25	(0.04)	0.25
.....	.....	45.20	.....	.....	20.59	0.06	5.07	2.16	0.96	1.95	17.69	.....	.....	19.91	.....	97.39
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.06	5.07	2.16	0.96	1.95	13.50	6.00	6.08	25.58	0.63	92.00
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	2.51	0.07	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
56.24	3.38	59.62	9.25	0.07	9.32	0.08	5.20	4.04	0.74	0.42	25.25	4.63	1.31	31.19	0.96	101.09
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.005	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
41.17	3.51	44.68	.....	.....	0.69	.....	8.56	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	53.50	1.02	99.89

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

33.62	3.49	.....	12.88	0.35	.....	0.08	3.45	2.99	0.09	0.37	18.69	0.56	1.15	20.40	27.07	97.81
.....	0.47	36.64	.....	.....	13.70	0.35	0.04	.....	.....	.....	0.25	.....	.....	0.25	(0.04)	0.25
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.43	.....	.....	.....	.....	18.94	.....	.....	20.65	.....	98.06
46.55	.....	50.39	14.27	.....	15.75	0.08	5.76	3.07	1.62	1.07	19.19	10.13	3.34	32.66	0.78	99.09
.....	3.84	.....	.....	1.48	.....	0.07	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	99.58
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.15	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
20.02	0.82	20.84	67.22	0.10	67.32	.....	1.22	0.97	0.16	0.09	6.06	1.00	0.28	7.34	.....	95.50
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.70	0.19	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
54.19	3.72	57.91	13.87	0.15	14.02	0.11	4.61	3.67	0.63	0.31	22.94	3.94	0.97	27.85	0.87	100.65
39.94	4.18	44.12	.....	.....	.....	.....	8.91	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	55.69	.....	.....

PIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

35.75	5.64	41.39	13.74	0.34	14.08	0.20	3.33	2.89	0.14	0.30	18.07	0.87	0.94	19.88	25.30	100.65
.....	(0.07)	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.04	.....	.....	.....	0.25	.....	.....	0.25	(0.04)	0.25
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.43	.....	.....	.....	.....	18.32	.....	.....	20.13	.....	100.90
14.02	0.27	14.29	81.57	0.01	81.58	0.06	0.34	0.30	0.03	0.01	1.88	0.19	0.03	2.10	.....	97.97
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.01	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	1.60	0.08	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
48.97	3.29	52.26	.....	.....	0.49	.....	7.48	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	46.75	0.71	100.21

TABLE NO. 6—Revised analytical data.

## PIG No. 1.—BERKSHIRE.

[Per cents original material.]

Serial No.	Names of cuts and parts.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <sup>a</sup>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids, insolu- ble in hot water.	Gela- ti- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
	Meat:									
16667	American backs.....	32.27	57.69	7.00	0.50	0.91	8.41	0.15	0.51	99.03
16669	American bellies.....	37.27	51.93	7.00	0.56	1.22	8.78	0.14	0.55	98.67
16671	Short-cut hams.....	b 60.29	22.19	14.00	0.69	1.15	15.84	0.65	0.96	99.93
16673	New York shoulders.	b 54.97	29.01	11.25	0.81	1.56	13.62	0.15	0.89	98.64
16675	Four feet.....	61.28	16.83	12.19	4.69	2.34	19.22	0.61	0.82	98.76
16677	Spareribs.....	52.54	29.10	13.44	1.13	1.19	15.76	0.35	1.00	98.75
16679	Tenderloins.....	68.06	8.78	18.56	0.50	1.06	20.12	0.49	1.17	98.62
16680	Neck bones.....	55.70	27.92	12.44	0.75	1.06	14.25	0.68	0.81	99.36
16682	Backbones.....	52.83	27.22	14.38	0.87	1.44	16.69	0.26	1.24	98.24
16684	Trimnings.....	b 29.68	62.00	5.19	0.69	1.03	6.91	0.11	0.41	99.11
16686	Tail.....	24.02	68.23	5.75	0.56	0.50	6.81	0.17	0.99	99.62
16690	Bones.....	38.94	11.67	17.50	0.38	1.25	19.13	0.44	26.12	96.30
16691	Marrow.....	14.36	81.51	2.00	0.19	0.06	2.25	c 0.46	-----	98.58
16688	Skin.....	50.24	17.11	25.25	6.69	1.37	33.31	0.41	0.63	101.70
16693	Spinal cord.....	65.70	26.76	3.88	0.69	0.16	4.73	d 1.47	e 0.40	97.19
16695	Tendons.....	58.43	13.40	22.44	4.44	0.62	27.50	0.45	1.18	100.96
17177	Hoofs.....	41.39	0.86	-----	-----	-----	58.00	-----	0.93	100.88

## PIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

	Meat:									
16696	American backs.....	b 29.13	61.76	5.75	0.69	0.91	7.35	0.13	0.43	98.80
16698	American bellies.....	33.69	56.52	7.00	0.63	0.91	8.54	0.15	0.47	99.27
16700	Short-cut hams.....	b 57.93	24.45	13.00	0.63	1.25	14.88	0.22	0.84	98.32
16702	New York shoulders.	b 35.07 +	20 29.98	11.25	0.87	1.19	13.31	0.24	0.79	99.39
16704	Four feet.....	b 58.66	21.23	11.63	3.38	2.96	17.97	0.51	0.86	99.23
16706	Spareribs.....	49.20	33.88	11.56	1.31	1.40	14.27	0.25	0.93	98.53
16708	Tenderloins.....	65.52	13.51	17.13	0.56	0.91	18.60	0.91	1.06	99.60
16709	Neck bones.....	55.52	26.03	13.38	1.31	1.40	16.09	0.83	1.02	99.49
16711	Backbones.....	51.06	30.67	13.69	0.87	1.22	15.78	0.25	1.10	99.86
16713	Trimnings.....	28.85	62.67	5.31	0.81	1.03	7.15	0.10	0.43	99.20
16715	Tail.....	25.77	67.08	4.25	0.69	0.78	5.72	0.10	0.30	98.97
16719	Bones.....	38.06	15.06	17.56	0.69	0.81	19.06	0.04	25.35	97.57
16720	Marrow.....	13.31	84.48	1.38	0.06	0.06	1.50	f 0.05	-----	99.34
16717	Skin.....	55.38	14.15	16.62	9.00	3.12	28.74	0.25	0.65	99.17
16722	Spinal cord.....	46.45	45.39	4.06	0.63	0.34	5.03	f 2.95	0.23	100.05
16724	Tendons.....	61.55	8.09	24.19	4.31	1.37	29.87	0.10	1.09	100.70
17178	Hoofs.....	43.47	0.61	-----	-----	-----	55.63	-----	0.98	100.69

## PIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

	Meat:									
16609	American backs.....	b 23.72	70.16	4.50	0.44	0.75	5.69	0.12	0.35	100.04
16611	American bellies.....	30.54	60.73	5.44	0.63	1.03	7.10	0.08	0.42	98.87
16613	Short-cut hams.....	53.15	30.99	11.13	0.63	1.97	13.73	0.35	0.80	99.02
16615	New York shoulders	b 49.16	37.62	9.44	0.87	1.34	11.65	0.28	0.71	99.42
16617	Four feet.....	53.05	26.74	9.88	6.38	1.06	17.32	0.19	0.84	98.04
16619	Spareribs.....	53.23	27.93	13.63	0.87	1.65	16.15	0.28	0.92	98.51
16621	Tenderloins.....	65.97	13.47	16.69	0.44	1.03	18.16	0.40	1.06	99.06
16622	Neck bones.....	53.50	29.52	12.19	0.75	1.31	14.25	0.25	0.87	98.39
16624	Backbones.....	50.39	31.65	13.38	0.56	1.34	15.28	0.23	1.05	98.60
16626	Trimnings.....	22.49	71.55	3.25	0.50	0.84	4.59	0.07	0.31	99.01
16628	Tail.....	b 15.66	79.69	3.00	0.44	0.62	4.06	0.08	0.24	99.73
16630	Bones.....	40.41	17.18	17.57	0.50	1.03	19.10	0.06	21.69	98.44
16631	Marrow.....	15.50	79.86	1.88	0.06	0.06	2.00	e 0.19	-----	97.42
16633	Skin.....	b 40.78	31.17	4.50	6.06	7.89	18.45	0.10	0.53	91.03
16635	Spinal cord.....	e 48.27	e 41.21	e 5.61	e 0.86	e 0.30	e 6.77	c 1.47	e 0.40	98.12
16637	Tendons.....	60.12	10.41	24.25	3.25	1.31	28.81	0.28	0.85	100.47
17179	Hoofs.....	39.31	0.70	-----	-----	-----	60.25	-----	0.89	101.15

<sup>a</sup> Lecithin in extracted sample only, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>b</sup> Result of direct determination on original material. -Other numbers in this column represent the sum of the per cent of water removed in the preparation of sample and the per cent of water remaining in the air-dry sample.

<sup>c</sup> In fat extract.

<sup>d</sup> In fat extract, calculated from averages for like cuts.

<sup>e</sup> Calculated from averages of like cuts.

<sup>f</sup> In residue and fat extract.

TABLE NO. 6—Revised analytical data—Continued.

## PIG NO. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

[Per cents original material.]

Serial No.	Names of cuts and parts.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <sup>a</sup>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids, insolu- ble in hot water.	Gela- ti- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
Meat:										
16638	American backs . . . .	26.13	66.33	5.13	0.56	0.81	6.50	α0.21	0.38	99.34
16640	American bellies . . . .	30.78	60.69	4.81	0.63	1.19	6.63	0.12	0.43	98.65
16642	Short-cut hams . . . .	54.78	30.12	10.69	0.81	1.28	12.78	0.23	0.76	98.67
16644	New York shoulders . .	b 51.72	33.74	7.81	0.63	0.94	9.38	0.30	0.59	95.73
16646	Four feet . . . . .	50.66	31.32	11.00	4.19	0.97	16.16	0.15	0.91	99.20
16648	Spareribs . . . . .	b 52.95	29.55	10.06	0.63	3.09	13.78	0.31	0.95	97.54
16650	Tenderloins . . . . .	67.43	10.95	17.63	0.69	0.78	19.10	0.39	1.13	99.00
16651	Neck bones . . . . .	55.23	28.27	10.25	1.13	1.75	13.13	0.45	0.91	97.99
16653	Backbones . . . . .	b 51.26	30.98	12.94	0.81	1.34	15.09	0.27	1.05	98.65
16655	Trimnings . . . . .	22.43	71.52	3.69	0.44	0.69	4.82	0.06	0.32	99.15
16657	Tail . . . . .	16.50	77.77	3.44	0.56	0.66	4.66	0.09	0.31	99.33
16663	Bones . . . . .	42.70	9.87	16.51	1.75	1.56	19.82	0.53	25.25	98.17
16665	Marrow . . . . .	16.74	78.35	2.56	0.13	0.03	2.72	c 0.19	-----	97.87
16659	Skin . . . . .	46.81	23.31	8.87	11.44	5.37	25.68	0.25	0.63	96.68
16661	Spinal cord . . . . .	48.86	42.94	5.50	1.06	0.22	6.78	1.10	0.56	100.24
16664	Tendons . . . . .	56.68	11.68	24.75	5.50	0.97	31.22	0.10	1.48	101.16
17180	Hoofs . . . . .	47.52	0.60	-----	-----	-----	52.31	-----	0.81	101.24

## PIG NO. 5.—DUROC JERSEY.

Meat:										
16579	American backs . . . .	b 20.75	73.25	3.94	0.50	0.84	5.28	0.08	0.29	99.65
16581	American bellies . . . .	29.13	62.83	5.06	0.56	1.00	6.62	0.10	0.42	99.10
16583	Short-cut hams . . . .	50.45	35.94	8.38	1.00	0.50	9.88	0.03	0.71	97.01
16585	New York shoulders . .	b 44.16	43.74	7.63	0.63	1.09	9.35	0.10	0.55	97.90
16587	Four feet . . . . .	b 54.16	26.19	10.19	2.19	2.90	15.28	α0.32	0.76	96.39
16589	Spareribs . . . . .	54.09	26.90	14.06	0.81	1.53	16.40	0.12	1.04	98.55
16591	Tenderloins . . . . .	66.55	11.41	18.31	0.38	1.09	19.78	0.35	1.14	99.23
16592	Neck bones . . . . .	52.48	30.31	12.50	0.75	1.40	14.65	0.20	0.94	98.58
16594	Backbones . . . . .	48.77	29.40	16.38	0.81	1.65	18.84	0.41	1.23	98.65
16596	Trimnings . . . . .	20.08	74.13	3.25	0.44	0.87	4.56	0.08	0.30	99.15
16598	Tail . . . . .	11.54	84.62	2.00	0.31	0.62	2.93	0.07	0.20	99.36
16600	Bones . . . . .	36.45	12.89	20.13	0.56	1.12	21.81	0.50	26.66	98.31
16601	Marrow . . . . .	13.22	80.97	2.88	0.19	0.09	3.16	d 0.19	-----	97.41
16603	Skin . . . . .	b 35.49	38.16	3.69	11.38	4.93	20.00	0.09	0.48	94.22
16605	Spinal cord . . . . .	59.50	23.62	8.56	0.94	0.47	9.97	1.47	α0.40	93.09
16607	Tendons . . . . .	α 59.05	α 11.15	α 23.97	α 4.35	α 1.09	α 29.41	α 0.19	α 1.07	100.68
17181	Hoofs . . . . .	35.10	0.74	-----	-----	-----	64.63	-----	0.85	101.32

## PIG NO. 6.—DUROC JERSEY.

Meat:										
16725	American backs . . . .	20.32	73.63	3.75	0.44	0.72	4.91	0.20	0.29	99.35
16727	American bellies . . . .	34.52	58.97	4.38	0.56	0.59	5.53	0.12	0.22	99.36
16729	Short-cut hams . . . .	37.26	39.10	17.38	1.25	1.69	20.32	0.35	1.32	98.35
16731	New York shoulders . .	39.46	49.18	7.50	0.94	1.06	9.50	0.12	0.84	99.10
16733	Four feet . . . . .	51.84	29.47	10.56	2.88	2.31	15.75	0.35	0.75	98.16
16735	Spareribs . . . . .	49.84	31.95	13.63	1.13	1.09	15.85	0.33	1.10	99.07
16737	Tenderloins . . . . .	63.86	14.81	17.31	0.63	0.87	18.81	0.56	1.05	99.09
16738	Neck bones . . . . .	49.30	34.92	11.69	0.94	1.06	13.69	0.28	0.87	99.06
16740	Backbones . . . . .	b 47.54	35.96	12.81	1.06	1.15	15.02	0.36	0.92	99.80
16742	Trimnings . . . . .	16.51	78.78	3.06	0.38	0.50	3.94	0.07	0.25	99.54
16744	Tail . . . . .	13.94	81.23	3.00	0.44	0.41	3.85	0.06	0.23	99.31
16748	Bones . . . . .	33.78	17.64	17.69	1.00	1.22	19.91	α 0.31	26.06	97.39
16751	Marrow . . . . .	α 14.57	α 81.13	α 2.09	α 0.14	α 0.06	α 2.29	d 0.19	-----	97.99
16746	Skin . . . . .	b 45.20	20.59	13.50	6.00	6.08	25.58	0.06	0.63	92.06
16749	Spinal cord . . . . .	α 48.27	α 41.21	α 5.61	α 0.86	α 0.30	α 6.77	e 2.51	α 0.40	99.16
16753	Tendons . . . . .	59.62	9.32	25.25	4.63	1.31	31.19	0.08	0.96	101.17
17182	Hoofs . . . . .	44.68	0.69	-----	-----	-----	53.50	-----	1.02	99.89

α Calculated from averages of like cuts.

b Result of direct determination on original material. Other numbers in this column represent the sum of the per cent of water removed in the preparation of sample and the per cent of water remaining in the air-dry sample.

c In fat extract.

d In residue and fat extract, calculated from averages of like cuts.

e In residue and fat extract.



TABLE NO. 6.—Revised analytical data—Continued.

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

[Per cents original material.]

Serial No.	Names of cuts and parts.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <sup>a</sup>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids, insolu- ble in hot water.	Gela- ti- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
	Meat:									
16754	American backs.....	20.23	73.95	4.06	0.56	0.50	5.12	0.48	0.29	100.07
16756	American bellies.....	a 21.53	73.56	2.81	0.38	0.31	3.50	0.48	0.21	99.28
16758	Short-cut hams.....	a 44.26	43.38	9.56	0.87	0.81	11.24	0.45	0.65	99.98
16760	New York shoulders.....	44.62	42.65	9.06	0.94	0.87	10.87	0.62	0.91	99.67
16762	Four feet.....	55.98	25.25	12.38	2.63	1.53	16.54	0.36	0.78	98.91
16764	Spareribs.....	53.20	27.51	14.56	1.13	1.09	16.78	0.83	1.01	99.33
16766	Tenderloins.....	72.83	9.35	14.69	0.50	0.56	15.75	0.32	0.86	99.11
16767	Neck bones.....	52.10	30.92	12.38	1.13	0.62	14.13	0.55	0.94	98.64
16769	Backbones.....	53.09	28.07	13.88	1.06	1.19	16.13	0.44	1.02	98.75
16771	Trimnings.....	20.49	73.56	3.38	0.63	0.75	4.76	b 0.11	0.28	99.20
16773	Tail.....	13.73	81.74	2.81	0.50	0.44	3.75	0.48	0.27	99.97
16775	Bones.....	36.64	13.70	18.94	0.56	1.15	20.65	0.43	27.07	98.49
16776	Marrow.....	c 14.57	c 81.13	c 2.09	c 0.14	c 0.06	c 2.29	d 0.19	.....	97.99
16778	Skin.....	50.39	15.75	19.19	10.13	3.34	32.66	0.15	0.78	99.73
16780	Spinal cord.....	20.84	67.32	6.06	1.00	0.28	7.34	b 0.70	c 0.40	96.20
16782	Tendons.....	57.91	14.02	22.94	3.94	0.97	27.85	0.11	0.87	100.76
17183	Hoofs.....	44.12	e 0.67	.....	.....	.....	55.69	.....	c 0.89	101.37

PIG No. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

	Meat:									
16783	American backs.....	28.55	62.53	5.94	1.06	0.62	7.62	0.32	0.41	99.43
16785	American bellies.....	33.79	56.22	6.75	1.25	0.66	8.66	0.25	0.48	99.40
16787	Short-cut hams.....	59.14	25.23	11.50	0.87	1.09	13.46	0.42	0.74	98.99
16789	New York shoulders.....	a 49.57	36.09	8.50	0.87	1.09	10.46	0.17	0.63	96.92
16791	Four feet.....	a 57.47	30.86	5.13	1.63	1.53	8.29	0.08	0.41	97.11
16793	Spareribs.....	52.31	29.28	13.56	1.13	1.34	16.03	0.33	1.05	99.00
16795	Tenderloins.....	66.65	13.07	16.00	0.81	1.03	17.84	0.68	1.04	99.28
16796	Neck bones.....	55.13	26.71	13.31	1.00	1.15	15.46	0.54	0.99	98.83
16798	Backbones.....	50.65	29.61	14.13	1.25	1.28	16.66	0.78	1.18	98.88
16800	Trimnings.....	26.12	65.81	4.56	1.00	0.94	6.50	0.44	0.39	99.26
16802	Tail.....	18.50	74.37	4.88	1.06	0.41	6.35	0.55	0.33	100.10
16804	Bones.....	41.39	14.08	18.32	0.87	0.94	20.13	0.20	25.30	101.10
16805	Marrow.....	14.29	81.58	1.88	0.19	0.03	2.10	b 0.06	.....	98.03
16807	Skin.....	c 46.33	c 22.88	c 13.09	c 8.67	c 4.59	c 26.35	c 0.19	c 0.62	96.18
16809	Spinal cord.....	c 48.27	c 41.21	c 5.61	c 0.86	c 0.30	c 6.77	e 1.47	c 0.40	96.73
16811	Tendons.....	c 59.05	c 11.15	c 23.97	c 4.35	c 1.09	c 29.41	c 0.19	c 1.07	100.68
17184	Hoofs.....	52.26	0.49	.....	.....	.....	46.75	.....	0.71	100.21

<sup>a</sup> Result of direct determination on original material. Other numbers in this column represent the sum of the per cent of water removed in the preparation of sample and the per cent of water remaining in the air-dry sample.

<sup>b</sup> In residue and fat extract.

<sup>c</sup> Calculated from averages of like cuts.

<sup>d</sup> In fat extract, calculated from averages for like cuts.

<sup>e</sup> In fat extract.





TABLE No. 7.—Data for the entire dressed animal; the head, leaf lard, and kidneys having been removed—Continued.

FIG No. 2.—TAMWORTH.

Serial No.	Name of parts.	Weight of parts—		Of entire pig.	Weight of each constituent.								
		From each cut.	Total.		Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Lecithin.	Ash.		
							Proteids, insoluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.			Total.	
		Grams.	Grams.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.
	Meat (fat and lean):												
16696	Backs.....	17,201.7	55,305.1	86.50	22,453.7	26,113.2	4,712.6	419.4	580.4	5,722.4	95.06	333.2	
16698	Bellies.....	8,281.8	5,231.8	8.18	1,991.2	787.9	918.7	36.1	42.4	997.2	2.09	1,326.1	
16700	Hams.....	10,110.2	173.9	0.21	17.8	113.1	1.9	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.07		
16702	Shoulders.....	8,256.6	3,011.6	4.71	1,067.9	426.2	500.3	271.1	94.0	865.4	7.53	19.6	
16704	Feet.....	452.3	60.8	0.09	28.2	27.6	2.5	0.4	3.1	1.79	3.1		
16706	Spareribs.....	1,575.7	138.7	0.21	85.4	11.2	33.6	6.0	1.9	41.5	0.14	1.5	
16708	Tenderloins.....	528.2	64.5	0.10	28.0	0.4				35.9		0.6	
16709	Neck bones.....	459.5			255.1	119.6	61.5	6.0	6.4	73.9	3.81	4.7	
16711	Backbones.....	860.2			439.2	263.8	117.8	7.5	10.5	135.8	2.05	9.5	
16713	Trimmings.....	6,979.4			2,013.6	4,373.0	370.6	56.5	71.9	499.0	0.70	30.0	
16715	Tail.....	599.5			154.5	402.2	25.5	4.1	4.7	34.3	0.60	1.8	
	Total for meats.....												
16719	Bones (less marrow).....				22,453.7	26,113.2	4,712.6	419.4	580.4	5,722.4	95.06	333.2	
16720	Marrow.....				1,991.2	787.9	918.7	36.1	42.4	997.2	2.09	1,326.1	
16717	Skin.....				17.8	113.1	1.9	0.1	0.1	2.0	0.07		
16722	Spinal cord.....				60.8	27.6	2.5	0.4	3.1	1.79	3.1		
16724	Tendons.....				138.7	11.2	33.6	6.0	1.9	41.5	0.14	1.5	
17178	Hoofs.....				64.5	0.4				35.9		0.6	
	Total weights.....				26,272.2	27,479.6	6,169.6	733.1	729.0	7,667.5	106.68	1,681.1	
	Total per cents of original material.....				100.00	42.97	9.65	1.15	1.14	11.99	0.17	2.63	

FIG No. 3.—CHESTER WHITE.

	Meat (fat and lean):												
16609	Backs.....	15,217.9	3,609.9	10,676.9	684.9	66.9	114.1	866.0	18.26	53.3			
16611	Bellies.....	8,966.6	2,738.4	5,445.1	487.8	56.5	92.4	636.7	7.17	37.7			
16613	Hams.....	7,765.3	4,127.3	2,406.7	894.4	73.9	153.0	1,066.3	27.19	62.1			
16615	Shoulders.....	8,468.4	4,163.1	3,185.9	799.4	48.7	113.5	986.6	23.71	60.1			
16617	Feet.....	269.1	142.8	72.0	26.6	17.2	2.8	46.6	0.49	2.3			

16619	Spare ribs.....	539.1	282.9	138.1	8.8	16.7	163.6	2.84
16621	Tenderloins.....	290.5	61.1	75.7	2.0	4.7	82.4	1.81
16622	Neck bones.....	228.5	126.1	52.1	3.2	5.6	60.9	1.07
16624	Back bones.....	240.5	185.0	78.2	3.3	7.8	89.3	1.34
16626	Trimnings.....	1,433.1	4,578.2	208.0	32.0	53.7	293.7	4.48
16628	Tail.....	39.3	505.2	19.0	2.8	3.9	23.7	0.51
16630	Total for meats.....	17,636.5	27,525.1	3,434.2	315.3	568.2	4,317.8	88.87
	Bones (less marrow).....	1,432.6	609.1	623.0	17.7	36.5	677.2	2.13
16631	Marrow.....	43.6	6.8	0.8	0.03	0.03	0.9	0.08
16633	Skin.....	3,150.2	1,284.7	141.8	190.9	248.6	581.3	3.15
16635	Spinal cord.....	39.0	(18.8)	(2.2)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(2.6)	b 0.57
16637	Tendons.....	67.2	7.0	16.3	2.2	0.9	19.4	0.6
17179	Hoofs.....	37.0	14.5	.....	.....	.....	22.3	0.3
	Total weights.....	57,080.3	20,434.3	4,218.3	526.43	854.33	5,621.5	94.99
	Total per cent of original material.....	100.00	29,174.4	7.39	0.92	1.50	9.85	1.84

## PIG No. 4.—POLAND CHINA.

16638	Meat (fat and lean):	16,830.2	4,397.9	11,162.4	863.4	94.3	136.3	1,094.0	64.0
	Backs.....	10,151.8	3,124.7	6,161.0	488.3	64.0	120.8	673.1	43.7
16640	Bellies.....	10,636.0	5,826.4	3,203.6	1137.1	86.2	186.1	1,359.4	80.8
16642	Hams.....	9,735.5	5,035.2	3,284.6	760.4	61.3	91.5	913.2	57.4
16644	Shoulders.....	466.6	236.4	146.2	51.3	19.6	4.5	75.4	4.2
16648	Feet.....	1,522.4	806.2	449.9	153.2	9.6	47.0	209.8	14.5
16650	Spare ribs.....	419.8	283.2	46.0	74.0	2.9	3.3	80.2	4.7
16651	Tenderloins.....	537.9	297.1	152.1	55.1	6.1	9.4	70.6	2.42
16653	Neck bones.....	636.6	326.6	197.2	82.4	5.2	8.5	96.1	5.0
16655	Back bones.....	8,574.3	1,923.2	6,132.2	316.4	37.7	59.2	413.3	6.7
16657	Trimnings.....	671.6	110.8	522.3	23.1	4.4	.....	5.15	27.4
	Tail.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	0.60	2.1
	Total for meats.....	60,182.7	90,67	31,457.5	4,004.7	390.7	621.0	5,016.4	310.5
16663	Bones (less marrow).....	3,519.0	1,502.6	347.7	581.1	61.6	34.9	687.6	888.7
16665	Marrow.....	72.0	0.11	56.4	1.8	0.1	0.02	1.92	.....
16659	Skin.....	2,406.8	1,126.6	561.0	213.5	275.4	129.3	618.2	.....
16661	Spinal cord.....	51.1	0.08	25.0	2.8	0.5	0.1	3.4	15.2
16664	Tendons.....	96.0	54.4	11.2	23.8	5.3	0.9	30.0	0.3
17180	Hoofs.....	44.9	21.3	0.3	.....	.....	.....	0.10	1.4
	Total weights.....	66,372.5	25,109.7	32,456.0	4,827.7	733.6	806.22	6,408.3	1,216.5
	Total per cent of original material.....	100.00	37.83	48.90	7.27	1.11	1.21	9.66	1.83

a In fat extract, calculated from averages for like cuts.

b In residue and fat extract, calculated from averages of like cuts.





16735	Spareribs	1,214.3	.....	605.2	388.0	165.5	13.7	13.2	192.4	4.01	13.4
16737	Tenderloins	421.3	.....	269.1	62.4	72.9	2.7	3.7	79.3	2.36	4.4
16738	Neck bones	635.6	.....	313.4	222.0	74.3	6.0	6.7	87.0	1.78	5.5
16740	Backbones	809.7	.....	384.9	291.2	103.7	8.6	9.3	121.6	2.91	7.5
16742	Trimmings	10,679.6	.....	1,763.1	8,413.4	326.8	40.6	53.4	430.8	7.48	26.7
16744	Tail	1,070.7	.....	149.3	869.7	32.1	4.7	4.4	41.2	0.64	2.5
Total for meats											
16748	Bones (less marrow)	.....	68,913.7	90.92	20,273.0	41,701.1	4,786.1	608.7	5,874.0	126.15	374.2
16751	Marrow	.....	3,564.7	4.70	1,204.2	628.8	630.6	35.7	709.8	(12.05)	928.9
16746	Skin	.....	79.0	0.10	611.5	664.1	60.1	60.05	.....	.....	.....
16749	Spinal cord	.....	3,035.3	4.01	1,372.0	625.0	182.1	134.6	776.5	1.82	19.1
16753	Tendons	.....	55.0	0.07	626.6	622.7	63.1	63.8	.....	c 1.38	60.2
17182	Hoofs	.....	59.2	0.12	55.2	23.4	4.3	1.2	28.9	0.07	0.9
Total weights											
Total per cents of original material											
.....	.....	.....	75,799.4	.....	22,968.9	43,050.7	5,854.6	701.9	838.25	141.62	1,323.9
.....	.....	.....	.....	100.00	30.31	56.81	7.73	0.93	9.80	0.19	1.75

PIG No. 7.—DUROC JERSEY.

16754	Meat (fat and lean)	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....
16756	Backs	16,807.7	.....	3,400.3	12,429.3	682.4	94.1	84.0	860.5	80.68	48.8
16758	Bellies	12,404.8	.....	2,670.9	9,725.0	348.6	47.1	38.5	434.2	59.54	26.1
16760	Hams	9,746.2	.....	4,313.7	4,227.9	931.8	84.8	78.9	1,095.5	43.86	63.4
16762	Shoulders	8,043.4	.....	3,589.0	3,430.2	728.7	75.0	70.0	49.87	49.87	73.2
16764	Feet	1,114.1	.....	62.8	73.4	36.0	7.7	4.5	48.2	1.05	2.3
16766	Spareribs	333.3	.....	592.7	306.5	162.2	12.6	12.2	187.0	9.25	11.3
16767	Tenderloins	611.4	.....	242.8	31.2	49.0	1.7	1.9	52.6	1.07	2.9
16769	Neck bones	785.8	.....	318.5	189.1	73.7	6.9	3.8	86.4	3.36	5.8
16771	Backbones	10,029.2	.....	417.2	220.6	109.1	8.3	34.4	126.8	3.46	8.0
16773	Trimmings	.....	.....	2,055.0	7,377.1	339.0	63.2	75.2	477.4	11.03	28.1
16775	Tail	672.8	.....	92.4	549.9	18.9	3.4	3.0	25.3	3.23	1.8
Total for meats											
16776	Bones (less marrow)	.....	60,839.5	90.16	17,755.3	37,960.2	3,481.4	405.4	4,268.2	266.40	271.7
16778	Marrow	.....	3,426.0	4.93	1,255.3	648.9	19.2	39.4	707.5	14.73	927.4
16780	Skin	.....	76.1	0.11	611.1	617.7	60.1	60.05	.....	.....	.....
16782	Spinal cord	.....	3,149.8	4.53	1,587.2	496.1	319.1	105.2	1,028.7	4.72	24.6
17183	Tendons	.....	56.2	0.08	11.7	37.8	3.4	0.2	4.2	0.39	60.2
17183	Hoofs	.....	78.0	0.11	45.2	10.9	17.9	0.8	21.8	0.09	0.7
.....	.....	.....	52.0	0.08	22.9	0.3	.....	.....	23.0	.....	0.5
Total weights											
Total per cents of original material											
.....	.....	.....	67,677.6	.....	20,688.7	39,036.4	4,757.6	747.5	6,061.15	286.48	1,225.1
.....	.....	.....	.....	100.00	30.58	57.68	7.03	1.10	8.96	0.42	1.81

a In fat extract, calculated from averages for like cuts.

b Calculated from averages of like cuts.

c In residue and fat extract.

TABLE NO. 7.—Data for the entire dressed animal; the head, leaf lard, and kidneys having been removed—Continued.

FIG NO. 8.—YORKSHIRE.

Serial No.	Names of parts.	Weight of parts—		Of entire pig.	Weight of each constituent.							Lectithin.	Ash.
		From each cut.	Total.		Proteids, insoluble in hot water.	Nitrogenous substances.				Total.			
						Fat.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.				
		Grams.	Grams.	Per cent.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.	Grams.
	Meat (fat and lean):												
16783	Backs.....	18,458.1	63,087.3	86.78	25,178.8	30,486.2	4,890.7	646.0	554.0	6,130.7	205.52	351.4	
16785	Bellies.....	9,562.2	5,394.0	7.42	2,232.5	759.5	988.1	46.9	50.7	1,085.7	10.79	1,364.7	
16787	Hams.....	10,682.4	92.9	0.13	13.3	75.8	1.8	0.17	0.03	2.0	0.06	.....	
16789	Shoulders.....	11,124.8	3,857.0	5.30	1,787.0	1,882.5	504.9	334.4	177.0	1,016.3	7.33	23.9	
16791	Feet.....	673.3	66.0	0.09	31.9	27.2	3.7	0.6	0.2	4.5	0.05	0.3	
16793	Spareribs.....	1,774.0	133.5	0.18	78.8	14.9	32.0	5.8	1.5	39.3	0.25	1.4	
16795	Tenderloins.....	632.5	75.0	0.10	39.2	0.4	.....	.....	.....	35.1	.....	0.5	
16796	Neck bones.....	770.9	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
16798	Backbones.....	1,100.0	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
16800	Trimnings.....	7,743.6	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
16802	Tail.....	565.5	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	.....	
	Total for meats.....	.....	63,087.3	86.78	25,178.8	30,486.2	4,890.7	646.0	554.0	6,130.7	205.52	351.4	
16804	Bones (less marrow).....	.....	5,394.0	7.42	2,232.5	759.5	988.1	46.9	50.7	1,085.7	10.79	1,364.7	
16805	Marrow.....	.....	92.9	0.13	13.3	75.8	1.8	0.17	0.03	2.0	0.06	.....	
16807	Skin.....	.....	3,857.0	5.30	1,787.0	1,882.5	504.9	334.4	177.0	1,016.3	7.33	23.9	
16809	Spinal cord.....	.....	66.0	0.09	31.9	27.2	3.7	0.6	0.2	4.5	0.05	0.3	
16811	Tendons.....	.....	133.5	0.18	78.8	14.9	32.0	5.8	1.5	39.3	0.25	1.4	
17184	Hoofs.....	.....	75.0	0.10	39.2	0.4	.....	.....	.....	35.1	.....	0.5	
	Total weights.....	.....	72,705.7	.....	29,361.5	32,246.5	6,461.2	1,033.87	783.43	8,313.6	224.00	1,742.2	
	Total per cents of original material.....	.....	.....	100.00	40.39	44.35	8.89	1.42	1.08	11.44	0.31	2.40	

*a* Calculated from averages of like cuts. *b* In residue and fat extract.

TABLE 8 A.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## AMERICAN CLEAR BACKS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16667	1. Berkshire.....	32.27	57.69	7.00	0.50	0.91	8.41	0.15	0.51	99.03
16696	2. Tamworth.....	29.13	61.76	5.75	0.69	0.91	7.35	0.13	0.43	98.80
16609	3. Chester White.....	23.72	70.16	4.50	0.44	0.75	5.69	0.12	0.35	100.04
16638	4. Poland China.....	26.13	66.33	5.13	0.56	0.81	6.50	<i>b</i> 0.21	0.38	99.55
16579	5. Duroc Jersey.....	20.75	73.25	3.94	0.50	0.84	5.23	0.08	0.29	99.65
16725	6. Duroc Jersey.....	20.32	73.63	3.75	0.44	0.72	4.91	<i>c</i> 0.20	0.29	99.35
16754	7. Duroc Jersey.....	20.23	73.95	4.06	0.56	0.50	5.12	<i>c</i> 0.48	0.29	100.07
16783	8. Yorkshire.....	28.55	62.53	5.94	1.06	0.62	7.62	<i>c</i> 0.32	0.41	99.43
	Means.....	25.14	67.41	5.01	0.59	0.76	6.36	0.21	0.37	99.49
	Maxima.....	32.27	73.95	7.00	1.06	0.91	8.41	0.48	0.51	100.07
	Minima.....	20.23	57.69	3.75	0.44	0.50	4.91	0.08	0.29	98.80

TABLE 8 B.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## AMERICAN CLEAR BELLIES.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16669	1. Berkshire.....	37.27	51.93	7.00	0.56	1.22	8.78	0.14	0.55	98.67
16698	2. Tamworth.....	33.69	56.52	7.00	0.63	0.91	8.54	0.15	0.47	99.37
16611	3. Chester White.....	30.54	60.73	5.44	0.63	1.03	7.10	0.08	0.42	98.87
16640	4. Poland China.....	30.78	60.69	4.81	0.63	1.19	6.63	0.12	0.43	98.65
16581	5. Duroc Jersey.....	29.13	62.83	5.06	0.56	1.00	6.62	0.10	0.42	99.10
16727	6. Duroc Jersey.....	34.52	58.97	4.38	0.56	0.59	5.53	<i>c</i> 0.12	0.22	99.36
16756	7. Duroc Jersey.....	21.53	73.56	2.81	0.38	0.21	3.50	<i>c</i> 0.48	0.21	99.28
16785	8. Yorkshire.....	33.79	56.22	6.75	1.25	0.66	8.66	<i>c</i> 0.25	0.48	99.40
	Means.....	31.41	60.18	5.41	0.65	0.86	6.92	0.18	0.48	99.09
	Maxima.....	37.27	73.56	7.00	1.25	1.22	8.78	<i>c</i> 0.48	0.55	99.40
	Minima.....	21.53	51.93	2.81	0.38	0.31	3.50	0.08	0.21	98.65

TABLE 8 C.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## SHORT CUT HAMS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16671	1. Berkshire.....	60.29	22.19	14.00	0.69	1.15	15.84	<i>c</i> 0.65	0.96	99.93
16700	2. Tamworth.....	57.93	24.45	13.00	0.63	1.25	14.88	0.22	0.84	98.32
16613	3. Chester White.....	53.15	30.99	11.13	0.63	1.97	13.73	0.55	0.80	99.02
16642	4. Poland China.....	54.78	30.12	10.69	0.81	1.28	12.78	0.23	0.76	98.67
16583	5. Duroc Jersey.....	50.45	35.94	8.38	1.00	0.50	9.88	0.03	0.71	97.01
16729	6. Duroc Jersey.....	37.26	39.10	17.38	1.25	1.69	20.32	0.35	1.32	98.35
16758	7. Duroc Jersey.....	44.26	43.38	9.56	0.87	0.81	11.24	<i>c</i> 0.45	0.65	99.98
16787	8. Yorkshire.....	59.14	25.23	11.50	0.87	1.09	13.46	<i>c</i> 0.42	0.74	98.99
	Means.....	52.16	30.18	11.96	0.84	1.22	14.02	0.24	0.85	98.79
	Maxima.....	60.29	43.38	17.38	1.25	1.97	20.32	<i>c</i> 0.65	1.32	99.98
	Minima.....	37.26	22.19	8.38	0.63	0.81	9.88	0.03	0.65	97.01

*a* In extracted sample. *b* Calculated from averages of like cuts. *c* In residue and fat extract.



TABLE 8 D.—Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.

## NEW YORK SHOULDERS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
16673	1. Berkshire.....	54.97	29.01	11.25	0.81	1.56	13.62	0.15	0.89	98.64
16702	2. Tamworth.....	55.07	29.98	11.25	0.87	1.19	13.31	0.24	0.79	99.39
16615	3. Chester White.....	49.16	37.62	9.44	0.87	1.34	11.65	0.28	0.71	99.42
16644	4. Poland China.....	51.72	33.74	7.81	0.63	0.94	9.38	0.30	0.59	95.73
16585	5. Duroc Jersey.....	44.16	43.74	7.63	0.63	1.09	9.35	0.10	0.55	97.90
16731	6. Duroc Jersey.....	39.46	49.18	7.50	0.94	1.06	9.50	0.12	0.84	99.10
16760	7. Duroc Jersey.....	44.62	42.65	9.06	0.94	0.87	10.87	<i>b</i> 0.62	0.91	99.67
16789	8. Yorkshire.....	49.57	36.09	8.50	0.87	1.09	10.46	0.17	0.63	96.92
	Means.....	48.59	37.75	9.06	0.82	1.14	11.02	0.25	0.74	98.55
	Maxima.....	55.07	49.18	11.25	0.94	1.56	13.62	<i>b</i> 0.62	0.91	99.67
	Minima.....	39.46	29.01	7.50	0.63	0.87	9.35	0.10	0.55	95.73

TABLE 8 E.—Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.

## FEET.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
16675	1. Berkshire.....	61.28	16.83	12.19	4.69	2.34	19.22	<i>b</i> 0.61	0.82	98.76
16704	2. Tamworth.....	58.66	21.23	11.63	3.38	2.96	17.97	<i>b</i> 0.51	0.86	99.23
16617	3. Chester White.....	53.05	26.74	9.88	6.38	1.06	17.32	0.19	0.84	98.04
16646	4. Poland China.....	50.66	31.32	11.00	4.19	0.97	16.16	0.15	0.91	99.20
16587	5. Duroc Jersey.....	54.16	26.19	10.19	2.19	2.90	15.28	<i>c</i> 0.32	0.76	96.71
16733	6. Duroc Jersey.....	51.84	29.47	10.56	2.88	2.31	15.75	<i>b</i> 0.35	0.75	98.16
16762	7. Duroc Jersey.....	55.98	25.25	12.38	2.63	1.53	16.54	<i>b</i> 0.36	0.78	98.91
16791	8. Yorkshire.....	57.47	30.86	5.13	1.63	1.53	8.29	0.08	0.41	97.11
	Means.....	55.39	25.99	10.37	3.50	1.95	15.82	0.32	0.77	98.28
	Maxima.....	61.28	31.32	12.38	6.38	2.96	19.22	<i>b</i> 0.61	0.91	99.23
	Minima.....	50.66	16.83	5.13	1.63	0.97	8.29	0.08	0.41	96.71

TABLE 8 F.—Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.

## SPARERIBS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
16677	1. Berkshire.....	52.54	29.10	13.44	1.13	1.19	15.76	0.35	1.00	98.75
16706	2. Tamworth.....	49.20	33.88	11.56	1.31	1.40	14.27	0.25	0.93	98.53
16619	3. Chester White.....	53.23	27.93	13.63	0.87	1.65	16.15	0.28	0.92	98.51
16648	4. Poland China.....	52.95	29.55	10.06	0.63	3.09	13.78	0.31	0.95	97.54
16589	5. Duroc Jersey.....	54.09	26.90	14.06	0.81	1.53	16.40	0.12	1.04	98.55
16735	6. Duroc Jersey.....	49.84	31.95	13.63	1.13	1.09	15.85	0.33	1.10	99.07
16764	7. Duroc Jersey.....	53.20	27.51	14.56	1.13	1.09	16.78	<i>b</i> 0.83	1.01	99.23
16793	8. Yorkshire.....	52.31	29.28	13.56	1.13	1.34	16.03	0.33	1.05	99.00
	Means.....	52.17	29.51	13.06	1.02	1.55	15.63	0.35	1.00	98.66
	Maxima.....	54.09	33.88	14.56	1.31	3.09	16.78	<i>b</i> 0.83	1.10	99.33
	Minima.....	49.20	26.90	10.06	0.63	1.09	13.78	0.12	0.92	97.54

*a* In extracted sample.*b* In residue and fat extract.*c* Calculated from averages of like cuts.



TABLE 8 G.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## TENDERLOINS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16679	1. Berkshire.....	68.06	8.78	18.56	0.50	1.06	20.12	0.49	1.17	98.62
16708	2. Tamworth.....	65.52	13.51	17.13	0.56	0.91	18.60	<i>b</i> 0.91	1.06	99.60
16621	3. Chester White.....	65.97	13.47	16.69	0.44	1.03	18.16	0.40	1.06	99.06
16650	4. Poland China.....	67.43	10.95	17.63	0.69	0.78	19.10	0.39	1.13	99.00
16591	5. Duroc Jersey.....	66.55	11.41	18.31	0.38	1.09	19.78	0.35	1.14	99.23
16737	6. Duroc Jersey.....	63.86	14.81	17.31	0.63	0.87	18.81	0.56	1.05	99.09
16766	7. Duroc Jersey.....	72.83	9.35	14.69	0.50	0.56	15.75	0.32	0.86	99.11
16795	8. Yorkshire.....	66.65	13.07	16.00	0.81	1.03	17.84	<i>b</i> 0.68	1.04	99.28
	Means.....	67.11	11.92	17.04	0.56	0.92	18.52	0.51	1.06	99.12
	Maxima.....	72.83	14.81	18.56	0.81	1.09	20.12	<i>b</i> 0.91	1.17	99.60
	Minima.....	63.86	8.78	14.69	0.44	0.56	15.75	0.32	0.86	98.62

TABLE 8 H.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## NECK BONES.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16680	1. Berkshire.....	55.70	27.92	12.44	0.75	1.06	14.25	<i>b</i> 0.68	0.81	99.36
16709	2. Tamworth.....	55.52	26.03	13.38	1.31	1.40	16.09	<i>b</i> 0.83	1.02	99.49
16622	3. Chester White.....	53.50	29.52	12.19	0.75	1.31	14.25	0.25	0.87	98.39
16651	4. Poland China.....	55.23	28.27	10.25	1.13	1.75	13.13	<i>b</i> 0.45	0.91	97.99
16592	5. Duroc Jersey.....	52.48	30.31	12.50	0.75	1.40	14.65	0.20	0.94	98.58
16738	6. Duroc Jersey.....	49.30	34.92	11.69	0.94	1.06	13.69	0.28	0.87	99.06
16767	7. Duroc Jersey.....	52.10	30.92	12.38	1.13	0.62	14.13	<i>b</i> 0.55	0.94	98.64
16796	8. Yorkshire.....	55.13	26.71	13.31	1.00	1.15	15.46	<i>b</i> 0.54	0.99	98.83
	Means.....	53.62	29.33	12.27	0.97	1.22	14.46	0.47	0.92	98.79
	Maxima.....	55.70	34.92	13.38	1.31	1.75	16.09	<i>b</i> 0.83	1.02	99.49
	Minima.....	49.30	26.03	10.25	0.75	0.62	13.13	0.20	0.81	97.99

TABLE 8 I.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## BACKBONES.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.			Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.	
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.				Total.
16682	1. Berkshire.....	52.83	27.22	14.38	0.87	1.44	16.69	0.26	1.24	98.24
16711	2. Tamworth.....	51.06	30.67	13.69	0.87	1.22	15.78	0.25	1.10	98.86
16624	3. Chester White.....	50.39	31.65	13.38	0.56	1.34	15.28	0.23	1.05	98.60
16653	4. Poland China.....	51.26	30.98	12.94	0.81	1.34	15.09	0.27	1.05	98.65
16594	5. Duroc Jersey.....	48.77	29.40	16.38	0.81	1.65	18.84	0.41	1.23	98.65
16740	6. Duroc Jersey.....	47.54	35.96	12.81	1.06	1.15	15.02	0.36	0.92	99.80
16769	7. Duroc Jersey.....	53.09	28.07	13.88	1.06	1.19	16.13	<i>b</i> 0.44	1.02	98.75
16798	8. Yorkshire.....	50.65	29.61	14.13	1.25	1.28	16.66	<i>b</i> 0.78	1.18	98.88
	Means.....	50.70	30.45	13.95	0.91	1.33	16.19	0.38	1.10	98.81
	Maxima.....	53.09	35.96	16.38	1.25	1.65	18.84	<i>b</i> 0.78	1.24	99.80
	Minima.....	47.54	27.22	12.81	0.56	1.15	15.02	0.23	0.92	98.24

*a* In extracted sample.*b* In residue and fat extract.

TABLE 8 J.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## TRIMMINGS.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
16684	1. Berkshire .....	29.68	62.00	5.19	0.69	1.03	6.91	0.11	0.41	99.11
16713	2. Tamworth .....	28.85	62.67	5.31	0.81	1.03	7.15	0.10	0.43	99.20
16626	3. Chester White .....	22.49	71.55	3.25	0.50	0.84	4.59	0.07	0.31	99.01
16655	4. Poland China .....	22.43	71.52	3.69	0.44	0.69	4.82	0.06	0.32	99.15
16596	5. Duroc Jersey .....	20.08	74.13	3.25	0.44	0.87	4.56	0.08	0.30	99.15
16742	6. Duroc Jersey .....	16.51	78.78	3.06	0.38	0.50	3.94	0.07	0.25	99.54
16771	7. Duroc Jersey .....	20.49	73.56	3.38	0.63	0.75	4.76	0.11	0.28	99.20
16800	8. Yorkshire .....	26.12	65.81	4.56	1.00	0.94	6.50	0.44	0.39	99.26
	Means .....	23.33	70.00	3.96	0.61	0.83	5.40	0.13	0.34	99.20
	Maxima .....	29.68	78.78	5.31	1.00	1.03	7.15	0.44	0.43	99.54
	Minima .....	16.51	62.00	3.06	0.38	0.50	3.94	0.06	0.25	99.01

TABLE 8 K.—*Chemical composition of the meat of the pigs, by cuts.*

## TAIL.

[Data are stated in percentages of the original material.]

Serial No.	Pig, number and variety.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
16686	1. Berkshire .....	24.02	68.23	5.75	0.56	0.50	6.81	0.17	0.39	99.62
16715	2. Tamworth .....	25.77	67.08	4.25	0.69	0.78	5.72	0.10	0.30	98.97
16628	3. Chester White .....	15.66	79.69	3.00	0.44	0.62	4.06	0.08	0.24	99.73
16657	4. Poland China .....	16.50	77.77	3.44	0.56	0.66	4.66	0.09	0.31	99.33
16598	5. Duroc Jersey .....	11.54	84.62	2.00	0.31	0.62	2.93	0.07	0.20	99.36
16744	6. Duroc Jersey .....	13.94	81.23	3.00	0.44	0.41	3.85	0.06	0.23	99.31
16773	7. Duroc Jersey .....	13.73	81.74	2.81	0.50	0.44	3.75	0.48	0.27	99.97
16802	8. Yorkshire .....	18.50	74.37	4.88	1.06	0.41	6.35	0.55	0.33	100.10
	Means .....	17.46	76.84	3.64	0.57	0.56	4.77	0.20	0.28	99.55
	Maxima .....	25.77	84.62	5.75	1.06	0.78	6.81	0.55	0.39	100.10
	Minima .....	11.54	67.08	2.00	0.31	0.41	2.93	0.06	0.20	99.31

TABLE 9.—*Average composition of the meats from all the cuts of each animal.*

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>c</i>	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	43.12	43.98	9.21	0.67	1.15	11.03	0.25	0.68	99.06
2. Tamworth .....	40.60	47.22	8.52	0.76	1.07	10.35	0.17	0.60	98.94
3. Chester White .....	35.13	54.83	6.84	0.63	1.13	8.60	0.18	0.52	99.26
4. Poland China .....	37.17	52.27	6.65	0.65	1.03	8.33	0.16	0.52	98.45
5. Duroc Jersey .....	31.82	59.08	5.81	0.62	0.89	7.32	0.09	0.46	98.67
6. Duroc Jersey .....	29.42	60.53	6.95	0.70	0.88	8.53	0.18	0.54	99.20
7. Duroc Jersey .....	29.63	61.83	5.82	0.67	0.64	7.13	0.44	0.46	99.49
8. Yorkshire .....	39.91	48.32	7.82	1.02	0.88	9.72	0.33	0.56	98.84
Means .....	35.85	53.51	7.20	0.72	0.96	8.88	0.23	0.54	98.99
Maxima .....	43.12	61.83	9.21	1.02	1.15	11.03	0.44	0.68	99.26
Minima .....	29.42	43.98	5.81	0.62	0.64	7.13	0.09	0.46	98.45

*a* In extracted sample.*b* In residue and fat extract.*c* In the residue after the removal of the fat.

TABLE 10.—Averages computed from all the bones of each cut of each animal, without marrow.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. a	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	38.94	11.67	17.50	0.38	1.25	19.13	0.44	26.12	96.30
2. Tamworth .....	38.06	15.06	17.56	0.69	0.81	19.06	0.04	25.35	97.57
3. Chester White .....	40.41	17.18	17.57	0.50	1.03	19.10	0.06	21.69	98.44
4. Poland China .....	42.70	9.87	16.51	1.75	1.56	19.82	0.53	25.25	98.17
5. Duroc Jersey .....	36.45	12.89	20.13	0.56	1.12	21.81	0.50	26.66	98.31
6. Duroc Jersey .....	33.78	17.64	17.69	1.00	1.22	19.91	b 0.31	26.06	97.70
7. Duroc Jersey .....	36.64	13.70	18.94	0.56	1.15	20.65	c 0.43	27.07	98.49
8. Yorkshire .....	41.39	14.08	18.32	0.87	0.94	20.13	0.20	25.30	101.10
Means .....	38.55	14.01	18.03	0.79	1.14	19.95	0.31	25.44	98.26
Maxima .....	42.70	17.64	20.13	1.75	1.56	21.81	0.53	27.07	101.10
Minima .....	33.78	9.87	16.51	0.38	0.81	19.06	0.04	21.69	96.30

a In the residue after the removal of the fat.

b Calculated from averages of like cuts.

c In residue and fat extract.

TABLE 11.—Analytical data for marrow.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. a	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	14.36	81.51	2.00	0.19	0.06	2.25	0.46	.....	98.58
2. Tamworth .....	13.31	84.48	1.38	0.06	0.06	1.50	0.05	.....	99.34
3. Chester White .....	15.50	79.86	1.88	0.06	0.06	2.00	(0.06)	.....	97.42
4. Poland China .....	16.74	78.35	2.56	0.13	0.03	2.72	(0.06)	.....	97.87
5. Duroc Jersey .....	13.22	80.97	2.88	0.19	0.09	3.16	(0.06)	.....	97.41
8. Yorkshire .....	14.29	81.58	1.88	0.19	0.03	2.10	0.06	.....	98.03
Means .....	14.57	81.13	2.10	0.14	0.06	2.29	0.13	.....	98.11
Maxima .....	16.74	84.48	2.88	0.19	0.09	3.16	0.46	.....	99.34
Minima .....	13.22	78.35	1.38	0.06	0.03	1.50	0.05	.....	97.41

a In the residue after removal of the fat.

TABLE 12.—Analytical data for skin.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. a	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	50.24	17.11	25.25	6.69	1.37	33.31	b 0.41	0.63	101.70
2. Tamworth .....	55.38	14.15	16.62	9.00	3.12	28.74	b 0.25	0.65	99.17
3. Chester White .....	40.78	31.17	4.50	6.06	7.89	18.45	0.10	0.53	91.03
4. Poland China .....	46.81	23.31	8.87	11.44	5.37	25.68	0.25	0.63	96.68
5. Duroc Jersey .....	35.49	38.16	3.69	11.38	4.93	20.00	0.09	0.48	94.22
6. Duroc Jersey .....	45.20	20.59	13.50	6.00	6.08	25.58	0.06	0.63	92.06
7. Duroc Jersey .....	50.39	15.75	19.19	10.13	3.34	32.66	b 0.15	0.78	99.73
Means .....	46.33	22.89	13.09	8.67	4.59	26.35	0.19	0.62	96.52
Maxima .....	55.38	38.16	25.25	11.44	7.89	35.31	0.41	0.78	101.70
Minima .....	35.49	14.15	3.69	6.00	1.37	18.45	0.06	0.48	91.03

a In the residue, after removal of the fat.

b In residue and fat extract.

TABLE 13.—Analytical data for spinal cord.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	65.70	26.76	3.88	0.69	0.16	4.73	<i>b</i> 1.47	.....	98.66
2. Tamworth .....	46.45	45.39	4.06	0.63	0.34	5.03	<i>c</i> 2.95	0.23	100.05
4. Poland China .....	48.86	42.94	5.50	1.06	0.22	6.78	<i>c</i> 1.10	0.56	100.24
5. Duroc Jersey .....	59.50	23.62	8.56	0.94	0.47	9.97	<i>b</i> 1.47	.....	94.56
7. Duroc Jersey .....	20.84	67.32	6.06	1.00	0.28	7.34	<i>c</i> 0.70	.....	96.20
Means .....	48.27	41.21	5.61	0.86	0.29	6.77	<i>c</i> 1.54	0.39	97.94
Maxima .....	65.70	67.32	8.56	1.06	0.47	9.97	<i>c</i> 2.95	0.56	100.24
Minima .....	20.84	23.62	3.88	0.63	0.16	4.73	<i>c</i> 0.70	0.23	94.56

*a* In the residue after removal of the fat. *b* In fat extract calculated from averages of like cuts.  
*c* In fat extract.

TABLE 14.—Analytical data for tendons.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <i>a</i>	Ash.	Total.
			Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	58.43	13.40	22.24	4.44	0.62	27.50	<i>b</i> 0.45	1.18	100.96
2. Tamworth .....	61.55	8.09	24.19	4.31	1.37	29.87	0.10	1.09	100.70
3. Chester White .....	60.12	10.41	24.25	3.25	1.31	28.81	0.28	0.85	100.47
4. Poland China .....	56.68	11.68	24.75	5.50	0.97	31.22	0.10	1.48	101.16
6. Duroc Jersey .....	59.62	9.32	25.25	4.63	1.31	31.19	0.08	0.96	101.17
7. Duroc Jersey .....	57.91	14.02	22.94	3.94	0.97	27.85	0.11	0.87	100.76
Means .....	59.05	11.15	23.97	4.35	1.10	29.41	0.19	1.07	100.87
Maxima .....	61.55	14.02	25.25	5.50	1.37	31.22	<i>b</i> 0.45	1.48	101.17
Minima .....	56.68	8.09	22.44	3.25	0.62	27.50	0.08	0.85	100.47

*a* In the residue after the removal of the fat. *b* In residue and fat extract.

TABLE 15.—Analytical data for hoofs.

[Percentages.]

Number and name of pig.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Ash.	Total.
			Proteids insoluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.		
1. Berkshire .....	41.09	0.86	.....	.....	.....	58.00	0.93	100.88
2. Tamworth .....	43.47	0.61	.....	.....	.....	55.63	0.98	100.69
3. Chester White .....	39.31	0.70	.....	.....	.....	60.25	0.89	101.15
4. Poland China .....	47.52	0.60	.....	.....	.....	52.31	0.81	101.24
5. Duroc Jersey .....	35.10	0.74	.....	.....	.....	64.63	0.85	101.32
6. Duroc Jersey .....	44.68	0.69	.....	.....	.....	53.50	1.02	99.89
7. Duroc Jersey .....	47.12	.....	.....	.....	.....	55.69	.....	.....
8. Yorkshire .....	52.26	0.49	.....	.....	.....	46.75	0.71	100.21
Means .....	43.82	0.67	.....	.....	.....	55.85	0.88	100.77
Maxima .....	52.26	0.86	.....	.....	.....	64.63	1.02	101.32
Minima .....	35.10	0.49	.....	.....	.....	46.75	0.71	99.89



TABLE 16.—Weights of the entire animals and their various cuts, as weighed in Chicago and in Washington, together with the apparent percentages of gain or loss in transit.

Number and name of pig.	Two clear backs.		Two clear bellies.		Two short-cut hams.		Two New York shoulders.	
	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.
1. Berkshire:								
Pounds .....	35½	34¾	19½	19½	23½	23 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	20½	20½
Grams .....	16, 102.8	15, 592.5	8, 845.2	8, 731.8	10, 659.6	10, 574.6	9, 298.8	9, 395.5
2. Tamworth:								
Pounds .....	41	40½	20	19 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	26	25¾	21	20¾
Grams .....	18, 597.6	18, 370.8	9, 072.0	8, 873.6	11, 793.6	11, 680.2	9, 525.6	9, 412.2
3. Chester White:								
Pounds .....	36	35¾	21	21	20	19½	21	20¾
Grams .....	16, 329.6	16, 216.2	9, 525.6	9, 525.6	9, 072.0	9, 057.8	9, 525.6	9, 440.5
4. Poland China:								
Pounds .....	40	38¾	24	23¾	26	25 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	24	23 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
Grams .....	18, 144.0	17, 577.0	10, 886.2	10, 716.1	11, 793.6	11, 736.9	10, 886.2	10, 631.1
5. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	39½	39½	24	24½	21	21	19½	19½
Grams .....	17, 917.2	17, 917.2	10, 886.4	10, 943.1	9, 525.6	9, 525.6	8, 845.2	8, 967.0
6. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	45	44½	32½	32½	27	26 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	22	22½
Grams .....	20, 412.0	20, 185.2	14, 742.0	14, 827.1	12, 247.2	12, 190.5	9, 979.2	10, 035.9
7. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	39½	38 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	28½	29	23½	23½	19½	19½
Grams .....	17, 917.2	17, 633.7	12, 927.6	13, 154.4	10, 659.6	10, 801.4	8, 845.2	8, 958.6
8. Yorkshire:								
Pounds .....	44	43½	22½	23 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	27	27½	24½	25 <sup>5</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
Grams .....	19, 958.4	19, 873.4	10, 206.0	10, 461.2	12, 247.2	12, 360.6	11, 113.2	12, 502.4

Number and name of pig.	Four feet.		Spareribs.		Tenderloins.		Neck bones.	
	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.
1. Berkshire:								
Pounds .....	3½	3½	5	4½	1	1 <sup>2</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	2	1½
Grams .....	1, 594.2	1, 514.1	2, 268.0	2, 212.0	453.6	470.8	907.2	842.5
2. Tamworth:								
Pounds .....	4½	4½	5	4 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	1	1½	2	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
Grams .....	2, 057.3	1, 974.1	2, 268.0	2, 132.7	453.6	528.2	907.2	886.0
3. Chester White:								
Pounds .....	2½	2 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	3	3 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	1	1	1½	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
Grams .....	1, 152.5	1, 236.9	1, 360.8	1, 409.0	453.6	453.6	680.4	683.7
4. Poland China:								
Pounds .....	3	2½	5	4½	1	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	1½	1½
Grams .....	1, 360.8	1, 359.0	2, 268.0	1, 969.5	453.6	419.8	680.4	815.6
5. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	2½	2½	3½	3½	1	¾	1½	1½
Grams .....	1, 137.9	1, 255.4	1, 587.6	1, 612.0	453.6	348.5	680.4	784.0
6. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	3½	3½	4	3 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	½	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	2	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>
Grams .....	1, 587.6	1, 547.2	1, 814.4	1, 612.2	226.8	421.3	907.2	892.6
7. Duroc Jersey:								
Pounds .....	2½	3 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	3½	3½	¾	¾	2	1½
Grams .....	1, 134.0	1, 400.0	1, 587.6	1, 504.0	340.2	333.3	907.2	906.5
8. Yorkshire:								
Pounds .....	4½	4½	5	5½	1	1½	2	2½
Grams .....	2, 041.2	2, 246.0	2, 268.0	2, 340.0	453.6	632.5	907.2	1, 192.3

Number and name of pig.	Backbones.		Trimmings.		Tail.		Total.		Per cent of gain or loss in transit.
	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	Chicago.	Washington.	
1. Berkshire:									
Pounds .....	3½	3 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	18	16 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	½	¾	132½	129¾	Loss.
Grams .....	1, 587.6	1, 580.0	8, 164.8	7, 512.8	113.4	363.0	59, 995.2	58, 789.6	2.01
2. Tamworth:									
Pounds .....	4	4 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	18½	16½	½	1 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	143	140 <sup>9</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	Loss.
Grams .....	1, 814.4	1, 840.0	8, 278.2	7, 541.1	113.4	707.5	64, 880.9	63, 946.4	1.44
3. Chester White:									
Pounds .....	2½	2 <sup>7</sup> / <sub>16</sub>	27	15¾	½	1½	135¾	125½	Loss.
Grams .....	1, 134.0	1, 172.6	12, 247.2	7, 144.2	113.4	740.2	61, 594.7	57, 080.3	8.07

TABLE 16.—Weights of the entire animals and their various cuts, etc.—Continued.

Number and name of pig.	Backbones.		Trimmings.		Tail.		Total.		Per cent of gain or loss in transit.
	Chicago.	Washing- ton.	Chicago.	Washing- ton.	Chicago.	Washing- ton.	Chicago.	Washing- ton.	
4. Poland China:									
Pounds .....	3	2 $\frac{9}{10}$	21 $\frac{1}{2}$	20	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 $\frac{7}{10}$	149	146 $\frac{1}{2}$	Loss.
Grams .....	1,360.8	1,315.5	9,639.0	9,072.0	113.4	730.0	67,586.4	66,372.5	1.80
5. Duroc Jersey:									
Pounds .....	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	20 $\frac{1}{2}$	19 $\frac{1}{8}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 $\frac{9}{10}$	136	138 $\frac{1}{8}$	Gain.
Grams .....	1,360.8	1,438.0	9,185.4	8,930.3	113.4	683.0	61,693.5	62,424.1	1.18
6. Duroc Jersey:									
Pounds .....	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	3 $\frac{3}{4}$	27 $\frac{1}{2}$	25 $\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	2 $\frac{3}{4}$	167 $\frac{1}{2}$	167 $\frac{1}{10}$	Loss.
Grams .....	1,587.6	1,546.0	12,360.6	11,368.4	113.4	1,173.0	75,978.0	75,799.4	0.27
7. Duroc Jersey:									
Pounds .....	3	3 $\frac{1}{2}$	24 $\frac{3}{4}$	23 $\frac{1}{10}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 $\frac{7}{10}$	147 $\frac{3}{4}$	149 $\frac{1}{10}$	Gain.
Grams .....	1,360.8	1,482.0	11,226.6	10,744.7	113.4	759.0	67,019.4	67,677.6	0.98
8. Yorkshire:									
Pounds .....	4	4 $\frac{1}{2}$	24 $\frac{3}{4}$	18 $\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	1 $\frac{3}{4}$	159 $\frac{1}{2}$	160 $\frac{3}{10}$	Gain.
Grams .....	1,814.4	1,998.0	11,226.6	8,448.3	113.4	651.0	72,349.2	72,705.7	0.49

TABLE 17.—Relative proportions of parts of pigs, expressed in percentages, of the entire dressed animal, the head, leaf lard, and kidneys having been removed.

Number and name of pig.	Weight in pounds (Washing- ton).	Percentages of parts.							
		Meat (fat and lean).	Bones, less marrow	Mar- row.	Skin.	Spinal cord.	Ten- dons.	Hoofs.	Total.
1. Berkshire .....	129.6	88.19	7.44	0.12	3.80	0.09	0.27	0.09	100
2. Tamworth .....	141	86.50	8.18	0.21	4.71	0.09	0.21	0.10	100
3. Chester White .....	125.8	87.94	6.21	0.08	5.52	0.07	0.12	0.06	100
4. Poland China .....	146.4	90.67	5.30	0.11	3.63	0.08	0.14	0.07	100
5. Duroc Jersey .....	137.6	88.03	5.92	0.11	5.75	0.04	0.10	0.05	100
6. Duroc Jersey .....	167.1	90.93	4.70	0.10	4.00	0.07	0.12	0.08	100
7. Duroc Jersey .....	149.2	89.90	5.07	0.11	4.65	0.08	0.11	0.08	100
8. Yorkshire .....	160.3	86.79	7.41	0.13	5.30	0.09	0.18	0.10	100
Means .....	144.6	88.62	6.28	0.12	4.67	0.08	0.16	0.08	100
Maxima .....	167.1	90.93	8.18	0.21	5.75	0.09	0.27	0.10	100
Minima .....	125.8	86.79	4.70	0.08	3.63	0.04	0.10	0.05	100

TABLE 18.—Analytical data, expressed in percentages, of the entire dressed animal, the head, leaf lard, and kidneys having been removed.

Number and name of pig.	Weight in pounds.	Water.	Fat.	Nitrogenous substances.				Leci- thin. <sup>a</sup>	Ash.	Total.
				Pro- teids in- soluble in hot water.	Gelati- noids.	Flesh bases.	Total.			
1. Berkshire .....	129 $\frac{2}{5}$	43.10	40.46	10.45	0.89	1.16	13.02	0.27	2.57	99.42
2. Tamworth .....	141	41.09	42.97	9.65	1.15	1.14	11.99	0.17	2.63	98.85
3. Chester White .....	125 $\frac{4}{5}$	35.80	51.11	7.39	0.92	1.50	9.85	0.17	1.84	98.77
4. Poland China .....	146 $\frac{2}{5}$	37.83	48.90	7.27	1.11	1.21	9.66	0.19	1.83	98.41
5. Duroc Jersey .....	137 $\frac{3}{5}$	32.32	55.07	6.55	1.24	1.14	8.99	0.11	2.01	98.50
6. Duroc Jersey .....	167 $\frac{1}{10}$	30.31	56.81	7.73	0.93	1.11	9.80	0.19	1.75	98.86
7. Duroc Jersey .....	149 $\frac{2}{5}$	30.58	57.68	7.03	1.10	0.78	8.96	0.42	1.81	99.45
8. Yorkshire .....	160 $\frac{3}{10}$	40.39	44.35	8.89	1.42	1.08	11.44	0.31	2.40	98.89
Means .....	144 $\frac{2}{5}$	36.43	49.67	8.12	1.10	1.14	10.46	0.23	2.11	98.90
Maxima .....	167 $\frac{1}{10}$	43.10	57.68	10.45	1.42	1.50	13.02	0.42	2.63	99.45
Minima .....	125 $\frac{2}{5}$	30.31	40.46	6.55	0.89	0.78	8.96	0.11	1.81	98.41

<sup>a</sup> In extracted residue, except as noted in preceding tables.

## DISCUSSION OF THE DATA.

Tables 1 to 6, inclusive, contain the original analytical data from which the subsequent data showing the details of the composition of the meat were computed. The character of the data in these tables is pretty fully explained in a previous part of this report. These tables are particularly valuable, because they are the records of the data as made at the time the observations were made, and therefore show the extent and nature of the analytical work more elaborately than would be indicated by the details of tabular data shown in subsequent tables, which were obtained from a careful analytical study of Tables 1 to 6. It is believed that with the explanation previously given the student will be able to understand thoroughly the nature of the tables mentioned.

In Table 7 are found the general data in parts by weight for all the different parts and cuts of each animal. The footings show the total weight, in grams, of each constituent of each animal, and the second horizontal column of footings shows the percentage by weight of each constituent for each animal. The data in Table 7 are calculated from the original data contained in Tables 1 to 6, inclusive. The captions of Table 7 will explain sufficiently the nature of the data.

## COMPOSITION OF THE SAME CUTS FROM THE DIFFERENT ANIMALS.

Tables 8 A to 8 K, inclusive, contain a comparison of the composition of the meat of the same cuts of each animal. Each table in the caption designates the character of the cut of meat on which the comparison is made. For instance—

*Clear backs.*—Table 8 A is a comparison of the composition of the meat of the American clear backs of all the animals. A study of the data reveals quite a variation in the composition of the meat from the different animals, and this variation is found in all the series of data. As in the other cases, we find that there is a corresponding relationship between the water and fat, one varying inversely as the other, so that the sum of the two is almost a constant quantity. The extremes of variation in water are found in the Berkshire and Duroc Jersey, namely, 32.27 and 20.23 per cent, respectively. The extremes of fat are also found in the same animals, namely, 57.69 and 73.95, respectively. In nitrogenous substances, as would naturally be expected, there is a corresponding variation, the samples which have the most fat, as a rule, having a lower percentage of nitrogenous bodies, and vice versa. This rule is not of rigid application, but must be regarded only in a general sense. For instance, in Table 8 A the largest percentage of nitrogenous substance is found in the Berkshire, which also has the smallest percentage of fat, while the smallest percentage of nitrogenous matter is found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, which, with one slight exception, has also the largest quantity of fat. The distri-



bution of the nitrogenous substances in the meats of the American clear backs is found in the table, where they are divided into three classes, namely, the true proteids, insoluble in hot water; gelatinoids, which are of a true proteid character, but soluble in hot water, and of which gelatin is the type; and the flesh bases, which are soluble in hot water and are not precipitated by the action of bromin. The ash, as would be expected in animal products, entirely free of bone, is not very large in quantity. It consists chiefly of common salt and the phosphates of the alkali metals. The sum of the substances obtained on analysis shows that very little of the whole matter was unaccounted for, and, when the nature of the material on which the work was done is considered, it is seen that the summation is eminently satisfactory.

*Clear bellies.*—In Table 8 B we find a study of the comparison of the meat of American clear bellies exactly analogous to that which has been described for the American clear backs. As a rule it will be seen that the percentage of water in the clear bellies is higher and the percentage of fat lower than in the American clear backs. The general remarks already made in regard to the clear backs may be applied to this table without tiresome repetition. The relations between the nitrogenous substances and the water and fat and the ash are practically the same as for those just described, while the summation of the analyses also shows a satisfactory accounting for the materials which the chemist is furnished. It will be noticed that the flesh bases in the clear bellies are higher than in the clear backs. Data of this kind are of a practical nature as well as of a scientific value, in indicating what portion of the carcasses of animals could best be used, for instance, for the manufacture of extracts. A similar study applied to beef cattle would reveal data of unusual interest in this respect. Again, we find the largest percentage of water in the case of the Berkshire, and also the smallest percentage of fat, while the smallest percentage of water and the largest percentage of fat are found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 7, this showing a remarkable concordance between the character of the meats of the two cuts in the various animals.

*Short-cut hams.*—Table 8 C contains a comparison of the data of the meat of short-cut hams. In this cut of meat is found a smaller percentage of fat, a correspondingly large percentage of water, and, of course, in the increase of the muscular tissue, a very largely increased amount of nitrogenous matters. Again, the largest quantity of water and the smallest quantity of fat are found in the meat of the Berkshire, while the smallest quantity of water is found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, and the largest quantity of fat in the Duroc Jersey, No. 7. The general relation of water and fat is thus found to be the same in this cut as in the two preceding ones. In regard to the nitrogenous substances there is quite a remarkable variation. The largest percentage of nitrogenous bodies is found in Duroc Jersey, No. 6, while the smallest is found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 5. It seems rather strange that two animals of



the same breed show such a remarkable discrepancy in composition. In this instance, however, there is a deficit of material amounting to almost 3 per cent unaccounted for; so that the analytical data do not have the value which they would have did the summation reach more nearly 100. In the short-cut hams there is found a considerable increase in the quantity both of gelatinoid proteids and flesh bases over the amounts in the cuts already described.

*New York shoulders.*—Table 8 D contains comparisons of the meat of the cuts known as New York shoulders. In this cut we have a larger percentage of fat than in the one just described, and a correspondingly smaller quantity of water and a smaller quantity of nitrogenous bodies. The summation of the analyses is not as satisfactory as in most of the preceding cases, and in one case a deficit of  $4\frac{1}{4}$  per cent is noticed. Working, however, with wet material, and in the manner which was made necessary in such an investigation, it is not to be wondered at that often discrepancies of this nature may occur. These discrepancies are probably due chiefly to the determinations of water and fat, which are the most difficult of all connected with the operation of determining the composition of fresh meats, and inasmuch as the water and fat constitute by far the largest portion of the material it is seen that these difficulties must now and then result in failing to secure in the summation an accounting for all the material present. The largest percentage of water in these cuts is found in the Tamworth, and the smallest percentage of fat in the Berkshire. The smallest percentage of water is found in Duroc Jersey, No. 6, and the largest percentage of fat in the same animal. The relation between the nitrogenous substances is sufficiently indicated in the table, and calls for no especial comment.

*Feet.*—Table 8 E contains a comparison of the composition of the meat of the feet of the different animals. In the feet we find a marked difference in the analytical data, and especially on account of the fact that the feet, as is well known, contain large quantities of gelatin, and, as the data show, also considerable quantities of flesh bases. The total quantities of nitrogenous matters, in proportion to the other materials, is much larger in the feet than in the preceding cuts, while the quantity of gelatin is shown with sufficient emphasis in the tables of analytical data. However, a remarkable variation from the type is found in the feet of the Yorkshire pig, where the total amount of nitrogenous matter is only about half of that of the other animals. The summation of this analysis shows approximately 100 per cent, and therefore the feet of this animal must be regarded as differing essentially from those of other pigs examined. In regard to the gelatin we find that the largest percentage is found in the feet of the Chester White, and the smallest in those of the Yorkshire. The largest quantity of nitrogenous matter is found in the feet of the Berkshire, and the smallest in the feet of the Yorkshire pig. Again, the Berkshire leads

all the others in having a maximum quantity of water and a minimum quantity of fat in its feet. The smallest quantity of water was found in the feet of the Poland China, and the smallest quantity of fat in the feet of the Berkshire.

*Spareribs.*—Table 8 F contains a comparison of the composition of the meat of the spareribs. In this case the largest percentage of water was found in Duroc Jersey, No. 5, and the smallest in the Tamworth. The smallest quantity of fat was found in Duroc Jersey, No. 5, and the largest in the Tamworth. The spareribs are rich in nitrogenous matters, mostly of a proteid nature. The content of flesh bases in the Poland China is remarkably high, being nearly double that of the average. The summations of the analyses for this table are satisfactory.

*Tenderloins.*—Table 8 G contains a comparison of the tenderloins of the different animals. The maximum content of water in these cuts was found in Duroc Jersey, No. 7, and the minimum in Duroc Jersey, No. 6. The maximum content of fat is found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, and the minimum in the Berkshire. The tenderloins differ from all the preceding cuts in having a largely increased quantity of water and a decreased quantity of fat. On account of the muscular nature of the tissue the proportion of nitrogenous substances is larger than in any of the cuts preceding. These substances are mostly of a proteid nature, there being only a comparatively small quantity of gelatinoids and flesh bases. The ash of these meats is also quite high, showing a large content of mineral nutritive substances. The summations of the analyses are quite satisfactory.

*Neck bones.*—Table 8 H contains a comparison of the meat from the neck bones of the animal. These meats show quite a uniform composition, there being less variation among the different animals than in almost any of the cuts secured. For instance, the maximum content of water in these meats is 55.70 and the minimum 49.30, while the maximum content of fat is 34.92 and the minimum 26.03. There is also a quite uniform agreement in the content of nitrogenous substances as a whole and in each particular class, the variations being only nominal. The ash is also uniform in amount and the summation of the analyses satisfactory. The meat from the neck bones, therefore, shows the most uniform agreement in composition of different animals of any of the cuts yet studied.

*Backbones.*—Table 8 I contains a comparison of the composition of the meat from the backbones. There is also here a quite uniform agreement in the content of water and fat, the maximum content of water being 53.09 and the minimum 47.54, while in the case of the fat the maximum content is 35.96 and the minimum 27.22. The whole of the nitrogenous substances show also a greater uniformity, the only variation being in the case of Duroc Jersey, No. 5, where the total of the nitrogenous bodies is considerably higher than the mean of the other animals. Most of the nitrogenous matter in the meat of the backbones is protein, although the quantity of flesh bases is in every case



more than 1 per cent. The ash is also quite high, showing a large proportion of nutritive mineral matters. The summation of the analyses is satisfactory.

*Trimmings.*—Table 8 J shows the composition of the trimmings from the different animals. These trimmings, as will be seen, consist chiefly of the fatty portions which are rejected in preparing the cuts for market. They are used principally for the manufacture of lard. They therefore show an excessively high content of fat and a comparatively low content of water and of nitrogenous bodies and ash. The summation of the analyses of these materials is therefore eminently satisfactory. The analytical data show that the trimmings from the different animals are quite uniform in composition.

*Tails.*—Table 8 K shows the composition of the meat cut from the tails of the animals. Here also we see a large excess of fat, a correspondingly small proportion of water and of nitrogenous bodies and of ash. The tail meats are not very concordant in their composition, there being large extremes shown in the proportions of the various constituents. This is in a large measure due to the carelessness of the cutters, as in some cases large quantities of fatty tissue were left connected with the cut designated as “tail,” while in other cases the same portions of the animals were placed with the “trimmings.” The largest amount of water in the tail meats is in the Tamworth, and the smallest in the Duroc Jersey, No. 5. The largest quantity of fat is found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 5, and the smallest in the Tamworth. The summation of the analyses here is also very satisfactory.

*Average of all cuts.*—Table 9 contains the average analyses of the meats of all of the cuts from each of the animals. These analyses were calculated from the preceding data, combining all of the meats into one expression for each animal. These data are true averages; that is, each part making up the mean in each case was given a weight according to the actual amount of matter which it represented. The data therefore show in a condensed form the variations between the composition of the meats of the different animals. It would not be fair to ascribe the differences which are noticed in the composition of the meats solely to the influence of the breed, because with the exception of one instance, where there are three animals of one breed, each breed is represented only by a single animal. In the case mentioned, however, where there are three animals representing the Duroc Jersey, it is seen that there is a marked agreement in the meat from each one. It is, therefore, fair to presume that the single animal for the other breeds represents fairly well types of that breed. With this statement the data have a greater value as showing the comparison between the meat of breeds than they would have had had there been only a single Duroc Jersey in the list. A study of the data shows that the Berkshire pig leads all others in having the maximum percentage of water and the minimum percentage of fat. The Berkshire, therefore,

pound for pound, represents the least nutritive value of any of the breeds examined. Notwithstanding this fact, the Berkshire heads the list of all in its percentage of nitrogenous substances, and this compensates in a large degree for its increased percentage of water. There is quite a satisfactory agreement between the nitrogenous substances in the distribution thereof in the three classes named. The percentage of gelatinoid nitrogenous matters is fairly constant, only in one instance, namely, that of the Yorkshire, rising much above the average. All the other percentages are very near that of the mean.

In regard to the flesh bases, only one falls considerably below the average, namely, the Duroc Jersey, No. 7, the others being very close to the mean. In total nitrogen there is a marked deficit in the case of the Duroc Jersey, No. 7, but this is due not to the influence of breed alone upon the composition, but to the large excess of fat in the meat of this animal.

The ash shows a fairly constant number throughout, varying very little from the mean.

The summation of the analyses is fairly satisfactory. In no case is there as much as 2 per cent unaccounted for, the largest deficit being in the case of the Poland China, where it amounts to 1.55 per cent. When the nature of the material upon which the work was done is considered, the figures are eminently satisfactory. These data afford, it is believed, a better basis for nutritive studies of the meats of pigs than has heretofore been supplied from any chemical laboratory.

*Average of bones.*—Table 10 contains the average composition of all the bones of each animal. No separate analyses of the bones from each cut were made. For each pig one composite sample was made, including all the bones of the animal. As is to be expected in a case of this kind, it was found that the composition of the bones is reasonably uniform in the different animals. In regard to water, the largest quantity was found in the bones of the Poland China, namely, 42.70, and the smallest in the bones of the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, namely, 33.78 per cent. In regard to the content of fat, the largest quantity was found in the bones of the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, namely, 17.64 per cent, and the smallest in the bones of the Poland China, namely, 9.87 per cent. The bones are extremely rich in nitrogenous substances, and these consist mostly of the proteid matter insoluble in hot water. The quantity of gelatinous matter in bones is not so great as would be expected, being but little more, as a rule, than in the meats. On the other hand, the quantity of flesh bases is larger than would be expected, being considerably in excess of the quantity of gelatinous matter. The total quantity of nitrogenous matter in the different animals is remarkably near the mean, the mean quantity being 19.95 per cent and the variation not being quite 2 per cent in any case from the mean. The ash, naturally, is very high. The summation of the analyses is not as uniform as could be wished, ranging from 100.90 per cent as the maximum to 95.86 per



cent as the minimum, a difference of little over 5 per cent. The difficulty of comminuting the bones into a homogeneous mass, and thus securing an average sample, probably accounts for a great deal of the discrepancy seen in the summations of the analyses. It is evident that the bones contain a very large amount of nutrient matter which would be available for digestion if they were sufficiently comminuted, since the ash consists almost exclusively of tricalcium phosphate, which is insoluble, and thus would not interfere greatly with the process of digestion. The bones of animals, however, are so valuable for fertilizing purposes that they have not been used to any extent for feeding, except for poultry.

*Average of marrow.*—Table 11 contains the average analyses of the samples of marrow from all the bones from each cut of each animal, except in the case of Duroc Jerseys, Nos. 6 and 7, where the samples of marrow were destroyed by mice. On account of the small amount of material at our disposal, the ash in the samples was not determined. The summation, therefore, represents only partially the total ingredients, since it does not include the ash nor the lecithin, which are very important components of the marrow substance. The marrow, as will be seen by the data, is essentially a fat product, more than 95 per cent of the whole weight of the material being composed of fat and water, the mean percentage of fat in the whole sample being 81.13, and of water, 14.57. The nitrogenous constituents of the marrow, while being extremely important from a physiological point of view, have not much value from a nutritive point. They constitute only 2.29 per cent of the whole. There is a fairly good concordance seen in the composition of the marrow from the different animals. In point of fat, the greatest variations are found in case of the Tamworth, with a maximum percentage of fat, and the Poland China, with a minimum percentage, the difference being, in round numbers, 16 per cent. The variations in water are less marked, while in the total nitrogenous matters only one, namely, the Tamworth, falls far below the others in the percentage contained. The summation is as good as could be expected, considering the fact that ingredients of considerable magnitude are omitted.

*Average of skin.*—Table 12 contains the average analytical data for the skin of all of the cuts of each animal. All the skin from each animal was mixed together and carefully comminuted by passing several times through a meat chopper until a homogeneous mass was obtained. From this mass a suitable sample was taken, representing as nearly as possible the average composition of the whole. On this were performed the analytical operations from which the data represented in Table 12 were secured. The table contains the analytical data for all the animals except No. 8, the Yorkshire, of which the sample was lost. The most remarkable fact in connection with a general view of the data is that the skins have a high rank among the nitrogenous substances of

the animal. The mean percentage of nitrogenous matters in the skin is 26.35, and as the skin consists of almost half its weight of water, it is seen that the dry skin would contain 50 per cent of its weight of nitrogenous materials. The next most important ingredient is of course the fat, of which the average is 22.89. In the nitrogenous substances the proteids comprise about half of the whole. Of the other half two-thirds belong to the gelatinoids and one-third to the flesh bases. The skin, therefore, is preeminently a gelatinous body. About one-half of the total quantity of nitrogenous substances it contains is soluble in hot water, and one-third of the half which is soluble is not precipitated by bromin. If the gelatinous matters of the skin could be easily separated, they would be the most valuable parts of the animal for the preparation of the flesh bases. Skins of animals, however, are usually more valuable for the manufacture of leather than for any other purposes.

To go a little more into the detail of the data representing the composition of the skin, we find that the skin which had the largest percentage of water belonged to the Tamworth pig, and the one with the smallest to the Duroc Jersey, No. 5. Of fat the largest amount was found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 5, thus showing again the general relation of the proportions of water and fat to which attention has already been called. The smallest percentage of fat was found also in the case of the skin of the Tamworth, where the percentage of water was largest. In regard to nitrogenous substances the most remarkable variations are seen. In the Berkshire, which contained the largest proportion of nitrogenous substances, the true proteids comprise by far the larger portion, followed by the gelatinoids, while the flesh bases form a very small percentage of the whole. On the other hand, in the skin of the Duroc Jersey, No. 5 the quantity of proteids is comparatively small, while both the gelatinoids and flesh bases are high. Whether this marked peculiarity in the composition of the skin is due to the influence of the breed or to accidental causes can not be stated. Probably, however, it is due to accidental causes; as, for instance, the Chester White and the Duroc Jersey, No. 5 show similar composition of skins, but this is quite different from the composition of the skin of Duroc Jerseys, Nos. 6 and 7. It is possible, further, that owing to the peculiar structure of the skin and the difficulty of securing a homogeneous mixture of it, portions of the skin from different cuts vary relatively in the sample which was taken for analysis. Thus, for instance, if a portion of the skin very rich in gelatinous matter and flesh bases should form an excessive portion of the whole sample taken for analysis, the effect would be the same as is seen in the data recorded. The summation of the analyses is generally satisfactory, yet in one case there is a deficit of 9 per cent, while in another there is an excess of 1.70 per cent. These variations are doubtless due to the difficulty of securing a homogeneous sample for analytical purposes. Another source of unreliability in the samples of skin is found in the difficulty



of avoiding variations in the amount of the underlying fatty tissue included in the sample. It is practically impossible to remove all of the tissue properly belonging with the skin without including a small quantity of the adjacent fatty tissue.

*Average of spinal cord.*—Table 13 contains the analytical data obtained in regard to the spinal cords of the different animals. Besides the spinal cords proper, these samples included the layer of fatty matter which surrounds the spinal cord in the spinal canal. In some instances the quantity of material was not sufficient to make a determination of the ash, and in three instances the whole of the material was lost. The data show great variations in the composition of the spinal cords of different animals, especially in the content of fat and water. The Berkshire had a spinal cord in which the water predominated, while in Duroc Jersey, No. 7, the fat was the predominant constituent. The nitrogenous substances are not so large as would be expected in nerve tissue, and those which are present consist chiefly of the proteids and gelatinoids, the flesh bases being only in relatively small quantity.

*Average of tendons.*—Table 14 contains the analytical data for the tendons of the animals, with the exception of two cases where the samples were lost. Considerably more than half of the tendons in the fresh state is water, while the fat, as is to be expected, is quite low. The nitrogenous substances, next to the water, constitute the chief material in the tendons, showing the largest percentage of nitrogenous matters of any part of the animal, with the exception of the hoofs. The true proteids and gelatinoids constitute by far the largest portion of the nitrogenous substances, the flesh bases being in relatively smaller proportion. The ash in the tendons is higher than in the meats. The summation of the analyses shows uniformly more than 100 per cent, which is probably due to the use of too large a factor in computing the proteids of the different classes from the percentage of nitrogen. Variations in the composition of the tendons are sufficiently well shown in the footings of maxima and minima. The variation in the content of water is not great, while in fat the range is a very considerable one, as indicated by the percentages. The agreement in the percentage of nitrogenous substances is quite close, the tendons showing very little variation from a mean composition. The ash is also quite constant, the range of variation not being very great, except in the case of the Poland China.

*Average of hoofs.*—Table 15 contains the analytical data relating to the hoofs of the animals. The fat content of the hoof is extremely small, while water constitutes almost half the entire weight of this substance. The nitrogenous substances were not separated into three portions, but were all estimated as proteids by multiplying the nitrogen content by the factor 6.25. Considerably more than half of the total weight of the hoofs in the fresh state consists of nitrogenous material. The ash is not very high, only in one instance exceeding 1 per cent. The summation

of the analyses shows in every case more than 100, except in the instance of the Duroc Jersey, and this is doubtless due to using the factor 6.25 in computing the total amount of nitrogenous substances, inasmuch as the factor for the flesh bases, which were not determined in this case, is considerably lower than the one just mentioned.

#### LOSS OF WEIGHT IN TRANSPORTATION.

Table 16 shows a comparison of the weights of the entire animal and the various cuts, as determined in Chicago and in Washington, showing the percentage of gain or loss in transit. The weights in Chicago presumably were made with great care, but were not controlled by any employee of the Division of Chemistry. The weights in Washington were made directly by the Division of Chemistry, and can be certified as absolutely correct. In five instances the weights ascertained in Washington were less than those ascertained in Chicago, and in three instances greater. The largest variation between the two weights was shown in the case of the Chester White, where the loss was 8.07 per cent of the whole weight. The smallest variation was found in the case of the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, with a loss of 0.27 per cent. The largest gain in weight was in Duroc Jersey, No. 5, namely, 1.18 per cent, and the smallest gain in weight was found in the Yorkshire, namely, 0.49 per cent. The table contains not only the total weight of the animal in pounds and grams, but also the weight of each cut.

#### RATIOS OF MEAT, BONES, ETC., TO TOTAL WEIGHT.

Table 17 contains the relative percentages of the different parts of the animals, excluding the head, leaf lard, and kidneys, which had been removed before shipping from Chicago. This table is of great practical and economical interest, showing the relative percentages of each constituent of the animal, based upon its entire weight. In the animals dressed as received by us it is seen that nearly 89 per cent of the total weight of the animal is meat (fat and lean), a little over 6.25 per cent bones, nearly 4.75 per cent skin, 0.16 per cent tendons, 0.12 per cent marrow, 0.08 per cent spinal cord, and 0.08 per cent hoofs. There is quite a remarkable agreement in the relative proportions of these different constituents in the different animals. For instance, the widest variation from the mean in the percentages of meat in the animals examined was, in round numbers, only 2 per cent, while in the case of the bones it was numerically no larger, although relatively the variation was very much greater. In the case of the skin also the variation was not very marked. In the minor constituents the percentage of variation is great, but the actual variation in the different animals small. In regard to bones, the largest percentage was found in the Tamworth, and the smallest in the Duroc Jersey, No. 6. These show the extreme variations, and indicate that the Tamworth has a much stronger skeleton, so far as shown by weight alone, than the Duroc Jersey, No. 6.



Table 18 contains the percentages of the different constituents of the entire dressed animal, excluding the head, leaf lard, and kidneys. The data are most interesting from a practical point of view. It is seen that of the entire animals 36.43 per cent was composed of water, 49.67 per cent of fat, 10.46 per cent of nitrogenous matter, and 2.11 per cent of ash. It may excite remark that the percentage of ash in the animal is so small when it is remembered that the whole of the mineral matter of the bones is included with the ash, but by referring to the table of the analyses of the bones it is seen that only about 25 per cent of their total weight is mineral matter, the rest being composed of water and organic substances. The water and the organic substances are included in the other data, and the ash therefore expresses only the mineral matters of the animal, including not only the bones, but also the mineral matters of the other tissues. In regard to the nitrogenous substances, their proportionate division into three classes is of interest. It is seen that of the whole amount 8.12 per cent belong to the proteids insoluble in hot water, and 1.10 per cent to the proteids of a gelatinoid nature, while 1.14 per cent belongs to the nitrogenous bodies representing the flesh bases. From a nutritive point of view, the true proteids are the most valuable. The gelatinoids are highly nutritious, but on account of their smaller quantity do not have so high an economic importance from a nutritive point of view as the other proteids. The flesh bases have a lower nutritive value, but are prized in many cases on account of their ready absorption and their stimulating properties, being already in a state suitable for partial assimilation. The summation of the analyses as a whole is extremely satisfactory, only a little over 1 per cent of the total weight of the animal being unaccounted for in the actual data obtained.

*Comparison of breeds.*—In regard to the details of the various constituents, it is seen that the Berkshire leads all the others in the percentage of water, namely, 43.10. The smallest percentage of water is in the Duroc Jersey, No. 6, namely, 30.31. The largest percentage of fat is found in Duroc Jersey, No. 7, namely, 57.68, and the smallest in the Berkshire, namely, 40.46. Of the total nitrogenous substances, the largest quantity is found in the Berkshire, namely, 13.02, and the smallest in the Duroc Jersey, No. 7, namely, 8.96. It is evident from an inspection of the table that the meat of the Berkshire is better for the production of muscular strength, while that of the Duroc Jersey, No. 7 is best suited for the production of animal heat. The Berkshire meat would be best suited for the use of our army in Cuba, while the meat of the Duroc Jersey, No. 7 would be best suited for the miners of the Klondike. These remarks are made without any expression of opinion concerning the type as a whole, but only on the data obtained from the two animals. The examination of a large number of typical animals of each of the breeds would be necessary to establish a definite rule of that kind. It

is fair to presume, however, that the single animal is to a certain extent typical, and therefore represents to that extent racial characteristics.

#### LECITHIN.

The determination of lecithin in meat products is accomplished, as has been already described, by an indirect method; namely, by the extraction of the lecithin with a mixture of ether and alcohol and the determination of the phosphorus in the extract. From the quantity of phosphorus determined the percentage of lecithin is calculated by factors based upon the percentage composition of the lecithin itself. The data given for the lecithin should be accepted with certain restrictions, based upon the difficulty of applying the analytical processes. In the extraction of the fat by ether a certain quantity of the lecithin is removed. If, now, the residual lecithin be determined in the undissolved matters, namely, the dry flesh, the quantity obtained does not represent fully the whole amount originally present, but rather the quantity present in the muscular tissue itself. Therefore, in case of the meats especially, the data must be accepted as showing the quantity of lecithin in the fleshy portions of the meat, and not the quantity originally present in the fleshy portions plus the fat. In the case of the marrow and spinal cord, another difficulty presents itself; namely, that there was not a sufficient quantity of the material on which to perform the whole of the analytical operations. Inasmuch as the ether extract comprises a large percentage of the whole weight of these bodies, it is evident that the determination of the lecithin in this extract represents approximately the quantity present in the original material. On account of the paucity of this material, therefore, the lecithin was determined in these cases in the ether extract alone. If, however, the quantity be desired for the whole material, it is evident that the data given are not sufficiently large.

#### PHYSIOLOGICAL IMPORTANCE.

From a physiological point of view lecithin is of prime importance. It is quite certain that this body forms the transition state between the phosphates of the animal body on the one hand and the mineral phosphates absorbed by plants on the other. In the growth of plants the mineral phosphates are converted, to a certain extent, into lecithin, which is found especially in the seeds, those of an oily nature predominating in lecithin bodies. In the consumption of vegetable foods by animals the lecithin doubtless plays an important function in being transformed again into a mineral compound, namely, the tricalcium phosphate of the bones. Other portions of the lecithin become assimilated in the tissues of the body, and especially in the brain, spinal cord, and marrow. In the consumption of animal products by other animals lecithin again plays an important rôle in nutrition, forming on the one hand the bony structure of the animal eating the flesh, and on

the other being again stored as lecithin in the tissues above mentioned. The data given, therefore, in the foregoing analyses are of great importance not only from their scientific interest, but also in representing in a general way the distribution of the lecithin in the various tissues of the body.

#### DISCUSSION OF THE LECITHIN IN PARTICULAR SAMPLES.

*Lecithin in the meat.*—In Table 9 it is seen that the mean percentage of lecithin in the residue after extracting the fat from the meats is 0.23. Inasmuch as almost the whole of the lecithin of the meats is found in the muscular tissues, this represents pretty fully the whole amount present in the original sample. The quantity, however, of lecithin in the fat extracted by the ether must not be neglected if we are to consider the total amount present in the original samples. It is noticed that there is a considerable degree of variation in the percentage of lecithin in the different animals, the minimum quantity being found in the Duroc Jersey, No. 5, and the maximum in the Duroc Jersey, No. 7. It is evident, therefore, that this variation is not to be ascribed to the influence of breed alone.

*Lecithin in the bones.*—The quantity of lecithin in the bones is considerably greater than that found in the meats, the mean being 0.31 per cent. In one instance, namely, the Duroc Jersey, No. 7, the lecithin was determined both in the residual bony matter and in the fat which was extracted. A great difference is noticed in the distribution of the lecithin among the various animals, the maximum quantity being found in the Poland China and the minimum in the Tamworth.

*Lecithin in the marrow.*—The quantity of marrow was so small that the only possibility of determining the lecithin was in the original ether extract. The data, therefore, are not as reliable as those ascertained by determining the lecithin in the extract after removal of the fat. In each instance the amount of lecithin was very small, except in the case of the Berkshire, where it was quite high.

*Lecithin in the skins.*—The mean quantity of lecithin in the skin was found to be 0.19; the maximum being 0.41 and the minimum 0.06. In three instances the lecithin was determined in the samples both after extracting with ether and in the ether extract. These cases are appropriately marked in the analytical tables.

*Lecithin in the spinal cord.*—Lecithin in the spinal cord was determined only in the materials extracted by ether. As was to be expected, the quantity is very high; the mean percentage being 1.54, the maximum 2.95, and the minimum 0.70. On account of the small quantity of the material it was not possible to determine the lecithin in the residue after the removal of the fat. If this could have been determined it is evident that the quantity of lecithin would have been very materially increased.

*Lecithin in the tendons.*—In one instance, namely, the Berkshire, the



determination was made both in the extracted fat and the residue. In this case the quantity of lecithins is quite high. The mean for all the tendons, as determined, was 0.19, with a maximum of 0.45 and a minimum of 0.08.

In Table 18 the total percentages of lecithin in the whole animal, with the exceptions noted in several of the tables, are found. The mean percentage is 0.23, the maximum 0.42, and the minimum 0.11.

In submitting the above discussion it is but just to state that at the commencement of the analytical examination it was not our purpose to determine the lecithin at all. Had it been so, the determinations would have been made in a somewhat more satisfactory manner. The data, however, as submitted are, nevertheless, valuable, and with the restrictions noted in the different tables may be relied upon as a basis for economic studies.

### CONCLUDING OBSERVATIONS.

In conclusion it may be stated that although work of the kind which has just been discussed is extremely onerous and time-consuming, yet it appears from a study of the results obtained to be a further contribution to our knowledge of dietetic science. All systems of true dietetic studies must rest first of all upon well-established chemical data. No valuable conclusions in regard to the dietetic value of any food can be obtained without first having ascertained its exact chemical composition. This having been done, the further study of its dietetic value rests also upon its chemical properties, as, for instance, the coefficients of digestibility. It appears advisable, therefore, considering the character of the data which have been presented; to recommend that studies of this kind be continued with all the classes of animals used as foods in this country. It would be advisable, if possible, that in studies of this kind, the animals be slaughtered at or near the point where the chemical examination is to be made; or, if this be not convenient, that a representative of the Chemical Division be present at the time of the slaughtering for the purpose of ascertaining the quantities of blood, hair, and excreta from the different animals and obtaining representative samples thereof for chemical examination.

Our systems of feeding and our environment develop types of animals which are quite distinct from those grown in other lands, and therefore the data which are obtained on animals in other countries are not strictly applicable to studies of the economic science of food production and food composition in this country.



## APPENDIX.

For full particulars relative to the general principles of the separation of the different forms of nitrogenous bodies the reader is referred to the Principles and Practice of Agricultural Analysis, volume 3, and to Bulletin No. 54 of this Division. An abstract of the literature relating to the separation of flesh bases from other nitrogenous bodies is given here.

### PRECIPITATION OF PROTEIDS SOLUBLE IN WATER BY CHLORIN AND BROMIN.<sup>1</sup>

Rideal and Stewart recall some of the experiments made in 1876, in which it was shown that a current of chlorin gas conducted through an aqueous solution of proteid matters produces a precipitate which is of a quite constant composition, and one which can be collected, dried in vacuo, and weighed. They describe particularly the use of this reagent in precipitating gelatin prepared from the high grade commercial article. They show that the total quantity of gelatin can be accounted for from the weight of the precipitate by multiplying the weight of the precipitate obtained by the factor 0.78. The authors also point out the possibility of using bromin in place of chlorin for the precipitation, and state that the studies of the use of bromin are under way. They call attention also to the fact that as early as 1840 chlorin had been used by Mulder for the precipitation of soluble proteids, and refer to a paper of his published in Berzelius's *Jahresbericht*, volume 19, page 734, in which he obtained results on precipitation quite similar to those secured by Rideal and Stewart.

Other references to the literature on the subject are also given, viz: De Vrij, *Ann. Pharm.*, lxi, 248; Thénard, *Mém. d'Arcueil*, ii, 38; Mulder, *Bulletin en Néerlande*, 1839, 153; and Berzelius' *Jahresbericht*, xix, 729.

Allen and Searle, acting on the suggestion of Rideal and Stewart, worked out the bromin method by applying it to various soluble proteids, including the whole range from albumin to peptone. In the application of this test to commercial gelatin 50 grams of commercial gelatin are dissolved in warm water and the solution diluted to half a liter. In 10 c. c. of this solution, corresponding to 1 gram of the gelatin, the nitrogen is determined directly by the Gunning-Kjeldahl process.

Another portion of 10 c. c. is treated with an excess of bromin. The solution is first brought to a volume of 100 c. c. with water and placed in a conical beaker with a sufficient quantity of hydrochloric acid to produce distinct acidity. A saturated solution of bromin water is added in considerable excess and the liquid stirred vigorously for some time. The precipitate which separates is flocculent when first formed, but becomes more viscous after stirring and adheres for the most part to the sides of the beaker, which, with its contents, is allowed to stand for about half an hour, or until all the precipitate is settled. The supernatant liquor is decanted through an asbestos filter. The precipitate adhering to the beaker is washed several times with cold distilled water and the washings poured through the filter. Occasionally, when most of the free bromin is washed out of the precipitate, the liquid

<sup>1</sup>The Analyst, 22, pp. 228 and following; also pp. 255 and following.

does not filter clear. It is therefore advisable to keep the washing separated from the filtrate, and, if necessary, wash with sodium sulphate solution or with bromin water. The nitrogen in the precipitate is determined by the Gunning-Kjeldahl process as follows:—

The precipitate which has been collected on the asbestos filter, together with the asbestos, is returned to the beaker in which the precipitation took place. Twenty cubic centimeters of strong sulphuric acid are added, the beaker covered with a watch glass and placed on a wire gauze over a lamp. When frothing has ceased about 10 grams of powdered potassium sulphate are added and the liquid boiled until colorless. After cooling it is diluted with water and the ammonia distilled off and determined in the usual way. The percentage of nitrogen found, when multiplied by the factor 6.33, or, in the case of gelatin, by 5.5, gives the amount of proteid matter precipitated by bromin. In the commercial gelatin above mentioned the nitrogen content was found to be 14.1 and 14 per cent, respectively, on two determinations. Solutions of creatinin, asparagin, and aspartic acid were found to yield no precipitates with bromin, but bromin was found to precipitate all albumin, acid albumin, and all peptones formed by the digestion of albumin with pepsin.

#### NITROGEN IN MEAT EXTRACTS.

On applying the bromin method to commercial meat extracts the following results were obtained. The solutions of the Bovril preparations were not previously filtered and therefore the figures contain the nitrogen in the fiber present:

#### *Relative amounts of nitrogen in meat extracts.*

	Nitrogen in precipitate by bromin.	$N \times 6.33 =$ proteids.
	<i>Per cent.</i>	<i>Per cent.</i>
Liebig Company's extract.....	1.41	8.92
Seasoned bovril.....	1.94	12.28
Bovril for invalids.....	2.64	16.71

Koenig and Boemer have shown that the proteid nitrogen in meat extracts is generally much overestimated. They found a total of 1.17 per cent of proteid nitrogen in the Liebig Company's extract, which is equivalent to 7.41 per cent of total proteids, mostly albumose.

#### PROBLEMS SOLVED BY THE BROMIN METHOD.

The fact that bromin completely precipitates all proteid and gelatinoid matters in solution affords a convenient means of solving certain problems which have hitherto presented considerable difficulty. For instance, in a solution which has been subjected to digestion it may be possible to precipitate all the unchanged proteids by saturation with zinc sulphate. The peptones which have been formed during digestion remain in solution and can be separated by filtration. In the filtrate the peptones can be completely precipitated by bromin, and thus the total quantity of these bodies formed during digestion can be accurately determined.

Allen and Searle applied this method to an examination of the Liebig Company's extract, 5 grams of which were dissolved in 100 c. c. of water and the solution saturated with zinc sulphate. After filtering, bromin water was added to the filtrate and a precipitate produced which redissolved on diluting with water and the addition of hydrochloric acid. When the filtrate from the saturated zinc sulphate was previously diluted with water and acidulated no precipitate was formed on the addition of bromin. This reaction shows that no considerable quantities of real peptones exist in Liebig's extract.

YD 18295

