INTERNATIONAL PROSECUTION SECTION

D Doc. No. 1749

30 May 1946

ANALYSIS OF DOCUMENTARY EVIDENCE 45.

DESCRIPTION OF ATTACHED DOCUMENT

Title and Nature: Affidavit, Keisuke OKADA vs ARAKI, Sadao, et al

Date: 29 May 46 Original (x) Copy () Language: English

Has it been translated? Yes () No ()
Has it been photostated? Yes () No (x)

LOCATION OF ORIGINAL (also WITNESS if applicable)

Document Division

SOURCE OF ORIGINAL: IPS (Mr. John Darsy)

PERSONS IMPLICATED: Gen. HONJO; Gen. SHIRAKAWA; OKAWA

CRIMES TO WHICH DOCUMENT APPLICABLE: Aggression -- Manchuria

SUMMARY OF RELEVANT POINTS (with page references):

Resume from his official capacity, of the outbreak of the Manchurian Affair and Jap military domination of Manchuria thereafter.

Analyst: .C.W.J.Phelps

Doc. No. 1749

Darsey.

CHARGE OUT SLIP

DATE JUN 201946

SIGNATURE Production of the State of the Sta

ROOM NO.

Original in court

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al)

- AGAINST -

AFFIDAVIT

ARAKI, SADAO, et al

I, OKADA, Keisuke, make oath and say as follows:

I was born in Fukui Prefecture, Japan, in 1867. I was graduated from the Naval Academy in 1899, after which I was a career man in the Japanese Navy until 1920, having held the following positions during those years:

| 1904-05 | Division Officer on Naniwa; later Captain |
|---------|--|
| 1908 | Captain (Price of Admiral Togo in Command) |
| 1912 | Commanding Officer, Kashima |
| 1913 | Rear Admiral, Commandant, Sasabo Navy Yard Vice-Admiral Commander-in-Chief, Sasabo Navy Yard |

From 1920 to 1936 I held the following positions in the Japanese Government and Navy:

| 1920 1924 1924 Dec. 1927 1927 1929 July | Vice Minister of Navy Admiral, Member Supreme War Council Cormander-in-Chief Combined Fleet Commander-in-Chief Yokosuka Naval Station Minister of Navy in TANAKA Cabinet Resigned with Cabinet; Member Supreme Military Council Minister of Navy in SAITO Cabinet |
|--|---|
| 1933 Jan. 1934 March 8 1936 | Retired and placed on Navy Reserve List |
| | |

In the years 1920 to 1936, during which period I served in the capacities of Vice Minister of the Navy, Minister of the Navy in the TANAKA Cabinet, Supreme War Councillor, Minister of Navy in the SAITO Cabinet and Premier of Japan, in order intelligently and effectively to perform the official duties and discharge the responsibilities of those offices, it was my duty to, and I did employ, all available official channels of information to keep myself as fully informed as possible concerning the contemporaneous problems, happenings, issues and events. Such avenues of information included, among others, reports from and conferences with executive and administrative officials and personnel on my respective staffs, conferences with fellow cabinet members, the respective premiers, members of the Diet and other government officials, all of whom likewise had access to similar sources of information concerning such subject matters; cabinet meetings, liaison conferences, meetings of the Supreme War Council and other government agencies; and also official government reports, releases, etc. On the basis of information thus obtained, I endeavored to and did take, with respect to contemporaneous issues and problems, such official action in the discharge of my official duties and responsibilities as under the circumstances appeared to be meet and proper.

During my tenure of office as Minister of Navy in the TANAKA Cabinet, (1927-1929) Japan claimed to have acquired by treaties, agreements, etc. substantial rights and interests in Manchuria. It was the policy of the TANAKA Cabinet, through collaboration with Manchurian authorities to expand and develop such rights and interests to the fullest extent possible. In the administration and application of this policy with respect to Manchuria, the TANAKA Cabinet was considerably more energetic, affirmative and positive than its predecessor cabinet had been.

In connection with this program, TANAKA planned to collaborate with and use Chang Tso-lin, who was then Marshal and defacto ruler of Manchuria. While Chang Tso-lin was opposed to many of the Japanese demands, TANAKA's bargaining and trading power with him lay in the support which Japan might lend to the maintenance of his position of leadership in Manchuria. Japan had lent him considerable support, particularly in connection with the Kuo Sung-lin Mutiny in 1925.

The TANAKA Cabinet felt that it was making substantial progress in expanding Japan's interests in Manchuria through the support of and collaboration with Chang Tso-lin, although TANAKA invariably took the position, and so advised Chang Tso-lin, that he should return to Manchuria and concern himself solely with Manchurian affairs.

In 1928, when the armies of Chang Tso-lin suffered defeat at the hands of the Kuomintang Army, TANAKA again advised him to withdraw his armies into Manchuria before it was too late. The Marshal was obliged to take this advice on this occasion because of his embarassed military position.

By this time the Japanese army in Manchuria with headquarters in Mukden under General HONJO had become dissatisfied with the policy of the TANAKA Cabinet to collaborate and negotiate with Chang Tso-lin with respect to Japan's interests in Manchuria, did not want to wait on negotiations and were impatient to employ force to occupy Manchuria. A clique or group of officers in this army, which had completely isolated General HONJO and shut him off from communication with the affairs of the army, planned and plotted the murder of Chang Tso-lin upon his return to Manchuria. They arranged on June 4, 1928, that the train on which Chang Tso-lin was traveling from Peiping to Mukden should be wrecked by explosives placed on the track just outside Mukden. Chang Tso-lin was killed in this wreck as planned. This incident plotted and instituted by the clique in the Kwantung Army represented the first overt army move during the TANAKA regime to project itself into the formulation of the policies of the government. The occurrence greatly embarassed and prejudiced the program of the TANAKA Cabinet with respect to Manchuria and created a crisis which ultimately resulted in its resignation. The Cabinet learned of the occurrence immediately, and was taken completely by surprise and became greatly concerned. Premier

Page 3

TANAKA expressed great regret and grave concern, and went to the Imperial Palace and made a full report of the occurrence to the Emperor. Upon his return from the palace the Premier (TANAKA) summoned the Minister of War (General SHIRAKAWA) and myssif for a conference, at which he announced that the Emperor tolld nim (TANAKA) that he (the Emperor) considered that this was the time to take strong disciplinary action with respect to the army, and that he expected adequate measures to be taken. He (TANAKA) stated that he was determined that appropriate steps should be taken to maintain discipline in the army, The Minister of War (General SHIRAKAWA) and I express d hearty support of TANAKA's determination. However, when the War Minister took the matter up in the War Ministry, he encountered such strong opposition on the part of the General Staff and other army officers, that he was unable to make any headway or progress whatsoever. The War Minister so reported to TANAKA and myself, and stated that this opposition on the part of the army was based upon the view that to take steps to punish those responsible for this event would be to expose to the public something which the army wished at the time to conceal.

Shortly thereafter TANAKA called me alone for a consultation on the subject, and advised me that he planned to do something about the matter himself. This I also agreed with, but the army opposition to disciplinary action was so strong that TANAKA was unable to accomplish anything either. By this time this issue and the attitude of the army had become a controversial subject in circles outside of the cabinet, even in political parties, and the impotency of the cabinet to control and discipline the army forced its resignation on July 1, 1929.

After the murder of Chang Tso-lin, the influence of the army insofar as participation in the formulation of policy on the part of the government with respect to Manchuria was concerned grew progressively stronger. During the period between the fall of the TANAKA Cabinet in July of 1929, and the establishment of the SAITO Cabinet in 1932, I served in the capacity of a member of the Supreme War Council. During this period I often had occasion to discuss with incumbent cabinet members and other statesmen and government officials, who visited me in my nome or whom I met on public and social occasions, the serious concern on the part of some in the Japanese government with respect to the philosophy of the army that the Manchurian problems could never be solved short of the use of force to establish a Japanese puppet government there. This disposition on the part of the Japanese army and the inability of the government to control it created a great deal of anxiety on my part. During this poriod it became apparent to all in Japan who concerned themselves with matters of state that it was only a question of time until the army should undertake the occupation of Manchuria. In the early part of 1931 I received many reports that the army was planning an occurrence which might be made the basis for the occupation of Manchuria. Simultaneously OKAWA, Shumei was conducting a propaganda campaign consisting of public speeches and publications to the end of building up a public sentiment in support of such a movement on the part of the army. I recall my annoyance when it came to my attention that OKAWA had made such a speech at the Naval Academy; it was especially irritating to me that such a man should be allowed to speak before the cadets.

When I came into the SAITO Cabinet as Minister of the Navy in 1932, I learned from reports which I had made to me in order to make myself acquainted with the events of recent months and from conversations and conferences which I nelld with fellow cabinet members and other government officials, that the occurrence which came to pass on the night of September 18, 1931, was plotted and arranged by the clique in the Kwantung Army, and also that it was not of sufficient gravity to warrant the subsequent action taken by that army in its occupation of Manchuria. The army during these years was completely out of control by the government and no restraint could be placed upon it, This information supplemented and corroborated information which I had obtained from contemporaneous press reports, government releases, contacts with other government officials during the period interim the fall of the TANAKA Cabinet and the establishment of the SAITO Cabinet, As previously stated, it was an assumed fact in government circles in the early part of 1931, that it was only a question of time until the army would make a move to occupy Manchuria by force. I knew that OKAWA, Shumei, a political leader, was definitely identified with this movement on the part of the Kwantung Army at that time. There were many young officers in the Kwantung Army also involved, whose names I do not recall now. The occupation of Manchuria came to pass in the latter part of 1931, with the so-called Mukden Incident of September 18, 1931, as its beginning, and did not take any enlightened public official of Japan by any surprisc. All of the Japanese armed forces in the area were brought into operation immediately, including the Korean Army, which crossed the border and participated in this occupation without any Imperial sanction. This became known as the "Crossing the Border" Incident, which created quite a profound issue in government circles at that time. While I did not have specific first-hand information as to some of the foregoing facts before or contemporaneously with their occurrence, I learned about each and every one of them through official channels in the immediate period of their occurrence, and they became accepted facts and premises in government circles at the time upon the basis of which official action by government officials was taken.

The SalTO C binet which came into office in May of 1932, in which, as previously stated, I was Minister of the Navy, and my cabinet, which came into office in July of 1934, were known in government and arry circles as "Navy Cabinets." The arry resented both of these cabinets, because it recognized in them navy influence in opposition to the army policy of the use of force in connection with the expansion of Japanese influence in Asia. The army knew that the reason the navy had been asked to form these cabinets was to prevent the military from having their way with respect to the use of force, and throughout their incumbency the army continued its efforts to overthrow the navy influence in the Japanese government. Many occurrences came to pass during the tenures of these cobinets demonstrative of this army resentment, culminating in the attempt at my assassination in February of 1936, which resulted in the assassination of my brother-in-law, Mr. MATSUO, who was mistaken for me. This occurrence was a spontaneous outbreak of resentment on the part of a group of young officers in the army against the incumbent government's lack of sympathy with the amibtions of the military. It cohstituted an embarassing situation to the Emperor in that it was somewhat of a public manifestation of the inability of my cabinet to control the military, and ultimately brought about the resignation of my cabinet in March of 1936.

Page 5

was the real government there, although a so-called independent government was set up in Marchuria in themsely next of 1932, whose independence was supposedly recognized by Japan in September of that year. This government was sompletely dominated and controlled by the Kwantung Army. The government of Japan had no way of learning what the plans and activities of the Kwantung Army were in those years. The army was completely without the control of the Japanese government and remained so up until the Great War in 1941. This was and remains a great pity and shame for the sake of Japan's leadership among nations, and has always caused me untold anxiety and anguish. Japan has been done a most grave injustice.

oKADA, Keisuke

Sworn and subscribed to before the undersigned Officer by the above-named OKADA, Keisuke, at the War Ministry Building, Tokyo, Japan, this 29th day of May, 1946

Ex. Officer, IPS, CHQ, SCAP

CERTIFICATE

I, TAKAHASHI, Wataru, HEREBY CERTIFY That I am fully conversant with the Japanese and English languages, and that I, this day, read the foregoing Affidavit to the above-named OKADA, Keisuke in Japanese, and in so doing, truly and correctly translated the contents thereof from English into Japanese; and that said OKADA, Keisuke stated to me that the contents of said Affidavit were the truth, and that he was willing to sign said Affidavit under oath; and that said OKADA, Keisuke was duly sworn in my presence and signed said Affidavit under oath in my presence; and that all proceedings incidental to the administration of said oath and the signing of said Affidavit were truly and correctly translated from Japanese into English and English into Japanese and fully understood and comprehended by said Affiant.

Dated this 29th day of May, 1946, at Tokyo, Japan.

s/ W. Takahashi TAKAHASHI, Wataru

國其他對荒木点夫 其 訴 松 專

述 書

日本海 ヲ卒 明治卅七年 業 田 六七年の一件 崽 2 貿 テ 九 以 恋 宜 明'治 當 年 元上 明 浪 实 ノ〇治 年 实 迹 職 艋 通 歐 率 供 IF. 福 致 海 同 兵學 艦 生 === ス n 艦

東 鄉 海 指 撣

道 進

治

鳥 盤 長

進 佐 保

大 大

正正

年

三

年

守 道 司 官 ラ

府 超 **仓長官**二補 佐 ル 鐵

司

t.

ラ

IF.

六

年

0 シ / 年 二大 私 IF. 九 2 年 H 政 כל 府 ラ 九 海 Ξ 部 六 年 內 デ 昭 次 和 職

奉 3 7 B

年

沲

間

車

IE. 年

海軍 次 官

正 + 年

> 進 事参

年

年 月

> 令 官

令 長 官

海 臣

官

塡

亭参

懿

大 臣

任 役 緺

用 的 表 識 等 官 7 其 同 含 官 時 理 臣 臣 臣 游 出 貿 ス 誻 事 執 告 3 職 行 爲 談 ヲ 首 官 奉 昭 爭 等 關 私 證

カ 取 宜 當 デ 的 7 措 置 7 職 7 責 取 時 7 ラ 果 情 2 ス 況 h = 簩 = 當

肯 等 ル 田 年 私 定 = 7 至 中 岛 的 當 2 橀 定 同 田 及 益 3 等 四 中 澄 田 ヲ 年 = 內 極 此 最 中 政 閣 \exists 的 內 大 滎 日 悶 政 デ 限 1 獲 4 海 策 1 满 = 得 貿 前 IJ 擴 1 BH 為 1 大 漪 內 7 張 洲 具 臣 關 洲 1 シ官 3 惫 滯 V 劉 發 テ 展 ~ 深 大 テ t. 協 要 任 施 3 デ ナ 中 行 = 禮 -活 及 益 3 自 發 適 專 昭 ツ 用 的 テ 條 デ 和 ス 是 ス 約

求 者 t. 此 后 言 ヲ 强 デ 溢 作 中 目 交 張 郭 持 驟 多 企 酚 作 松 デ 渺 ス = 1 テ 元 見 龄 7 縣 禮 對 ル 13 帥 = 籨 = 並 爲 E 關 デ 飢 對 T 取 彼 日 デ 3/ 專 ス 2 引 本 ガ 田 = " 件 椹 漪 反 IJ ~ 3 中 特 彼 對 洲 7 張 7 1 持 = 7 = デ 作 當 ス 援 懸 時 テ テ 助 ケ 强 渝 ツ 居 ス 洲 作 協 K 當 五 首 N 然 1 年 力 領 事 綬 E 實 日 A. 大 知 本 Ŧ 彼 上 IE. 7 與 出 諧 利 統 四 3 地 中 要 用 治

= 中 彼 於 內 图 滿 立 洲 日 張 = 本 作 歸 懸 還 橀 7 = 益 對 ス 箥 テ 0 ス 滿 充 洲モガ緩 問 相 田 助 題 中 當 及 進 常 力 勸 念 悲 = = 告 醍 3 居 縹 テ 滿 デ =

隔 自 益 離ノ以 張 B 發 居六 清 テ シ 買 作 切 满 拘罗 到 軍 將 M ガ 車 变 校ラク 務 リ節 張 テ ヤジ 湖 破 奉 張 或 關 領 天 霖 スス 壞 作 政 E 權ッ無 ル面 言 京 信ハ待 最於テ害 1 1 洲 受 庄 居 告 對 作 全 武 場 ナ 霖 3/ 1 後 年 企

ノ貿 基本 措 I テ 53 進 支 白 田 护 置 ヲ 彼卜 白 宮ハウ + = 道 悲 何 中 校 ラ ヘ ヲ 持 JII 時今 JII 宫 中 之不 ヲ 大 取 ヲ 直 田 期 デ 7 大 ヲ・意 衰 將ル中 ラ 省 待 アソ 將 参 非 ラ カ 同 時 須 졾 デ 明 ス 应 内·常·打 ラ テ呼 內 得 フカ 烈 取 1 恒 n 下 節 證 決 ナナ上マ私 陸 且. 1 私 邸 == 陛「遺』レ 報 言 ゲシハ心質 力 反 仰 座 對 ヲ 下に惚ニテ ス 1 B 田 內 1 下 t. ללין 2 ルートトジ 懿 ラ Æ テ ヤ 詳シ! 受 併 首 垣 充 = V 归 首 [2] 相逃紀タ 3 3 5 沼相 分 ナ容憂 硬 ゲース整 テ質 アテ -乍ノ マシ此イイ はラ決 マ守我ル 田 1 テノテ 或 11th 副 7 平息意シル 湖里 告・ラ 中 問唇事措 ノス結部質ニタ馬ニ 何 置 戏 ヲ・ザ 0 等 圆 N 反 ° 果 員 大 對 ヲ 量 0 二 告 ガ 庭 ガ ハ 上 ル タ 泓 力 ヲ對陸彼ヤ臣 社 7 F シ、陸道ゲ取分彼陸奏・モ・・・ 岛 远 取八 軍へ他ガテ軍當マララへ軍 會 シーノ・田 部 是 處 冶 ノ此流大ナシレ取田大マルト中 大 何 ル 眼 事事臣等陸ノ腔臣ルタルル中 臣シシ首

別洲ョッ私中一二限洲ノノセ、題成質 ハ、九九リニ除無ラ及シノシ 占九ハデタヲ事シ問ッタ 取ガ日治テ在私私三年。開職能レ慶得反テ 領三此ア・ ノル陸線原本ハ額任ヲハニへ陸スヲカル質ナ:對資 リタ酸決別中私軍軍昭軍ル餘ハニブル意 礎へ間ハガ得ア府シニノ邸事へ和ノ政億一到態ツ・餘ヲ ト昭中国満ナリ内テ日大二参昭四勢府ナ九ツ度タリ表 イマノ係本臣訪識和年カノクニテハノニシ 二占政シ或決ノ其シ官七一ハ政シ九陸闘デーモマ 得年ラ門 他型ヲ年七新策マ年貿外アニ盟シ 領 府 タ人出 3.5 個ノハ蓉一月交荷シヘヲニリニ硬タ K 払ガナ 欧 欧 公 歳 齋 一 强 立 タ 昭 キノトヲ取無 掛カハ詩 府治的シ原日化ニ つ和源テスア ナ特 件頭ツツルニ、陸ツトヲ家或マ内田セ診張四リ叉・ツ懲 II スツ ラ 1 1 作 居 政 此 居 罗 ル 百 亚 加工 源 液 界 1 內 盟 23 THE CHI ス史変・ 成 道 ル 點 的 V. リテ時 美 以 ノ迄 瓦 協理外武リ期 マノ問 腻 问 解 議念 二力 デ 圏 言為 ス人ノシト 期カースト内 內 洞ニ會中間ラ九ル漪間 o 々間マソシニ

述 取承ケノルハ件ハ年ハガ為 府 否 = 1 膜 動 ト 發 知タ數程其ハー・符 デ統 生シシル 表 後同 致 報 图 九哥二徒夕 IJ 5 1 迅 出 及 告 月 2 23 II 東 三 Las 大 單 單 他 成 及 7 = 一 內 立 丽 洹 立 足 全 ス 2 間 性ガ ノ 年 2 デ 官 陸 泛 t. 僚 满 然 3 ラ " 語 5 13 院 垣 ラ 吏 此 1 4 其 持 01 派 昭 海 思 時 7 他 事. 此 V ツ 占 "ולל 和 囯 ヒスノ 73 テ 件 間 情 領 計 酒 六 六 7 瓷 二 居 證 報 期 官 何 盘 = 年 臣 2 於 等 间 庭 付 清 ナ 私 4 テ 採 通 九就 カ 用 想 4 當 月任 ガ 拘 製 ノ ス ツ ツ 意 道 年 時 田 京 會 蚁 出デルノ ア語シリノ中 大 於 談 為 E 間 行 八シ三ダシ此運,斯時 A タ入新 ル湖 內 受 陸 及 動 言 事. H 道 ヲ・手 開 會 虢 1 問 要 フヲ シ年言 ス様ヲ行大 明 府 言 占以 ハ識求事 且生ターフ・ 報 3 瓦 IF. ナ ナ 行 動 川 道解カ政等シヲ當 筋 領 7 前 4 同シ時昭事新譚ツヲ周 ス 事 當 カッ府ョ テ最 == 情 ト事タ、和ハル濱テ支明 政ラタノリ受近ス 時想 Ŧ 報 件 琴私七私人 ヲ居持ハ ハル

テ 件 1 IJ 賢 滿 B 和 發 2 當 ~ 占 7 明 洲 六 多 生 ソ 知 時 領 1 占 年 數 軍 ラ 政 官 B 領 一之 發 4 府 1 参 V 他 0 公 九 1 = 此 卽 生 ア テ 部 加 此 吏 月 = 同 E ST 時 以 IJ 居 內 2 居 1 年 1 + 係 思 行· 前 1 7 7 = B 中 4 之 E 期 叉 t ス 怨 朝 = 凡 後 = 日 テ 出 間 ~ > 鮮 刻 テ 1 期 3 居 明 ガ 同 以 軍 ナ 勀 所 時 公 上 問 裁 王 不行 日 謂 圖: 式 是 題 含 ヲ 本 意 奉 1 等 於 哥 巡 道 7 7 V 天 經 テ 質 ズ 打 1 平 7 テ・ 路 各 直 件 3 東 体 爭 ヲ 中 居 ヲ 九 軍 ル 通 躛 的 或 國 ガ 契 \equiv 7 3 物 越 = 境 機 行 t 青 知 調 テ 直 境 = 動 7 A 年 2 日 " 知 接 關 2 越 デ 本 將 3/ = テ テ 聞 事 エ 移 2 之 テ 昭

是 B 事 ヲ 等 宜 及 ッ 哥 前 B 實 提 1 1 當 デ ア 時 ナ IJ 政 府 7 部 ス 之 = 內 於 テ テ 官 _ 公 般 吏 = ~ 認 公 X 式 ラ 措 V

內 ガ 闊 月 Ħ. 海 策 月 生 軍 擴 成 大 V 大 知 立 A 臣 對 - 7 私 開 齋 聯 廳 テ 內 テ 居 閣 3 奉 內 悶 7 職 1 政 1 9 此 行 亚 陸 使 細 軍 .亚. 部 內 = ツ 年 -於 昭 = -內 1 5 和 ス 昭 閣 和 陸 日 海 年

V 暗 件 4 軍 テ 殺 ソ ガ 專 行 單 暗 ノ赤 是, 政 使ガ ラ 殺 遊等デ 結 府 陸 果 77 17 內 單 關:等 ラ私 Vi 77. 閣 蕺 === 神 弟 六 存 1 心デ 平 デ 在 03 海 层 ア 一中 道 追 IJ 昭 從 n 簩 尾 + シノヲ 氏 打 カ 年 7 趑 倒 內 to 私一 ニ示ス 問 私ス 1 = ルノ ガ 間 月 二 多 努 存 為 蓮 行 對 ク 力 在 デノマ エハスノ ヲ 中 7 ラレル 哥 續 陸 ツ 武

年 团 力 悶 缺 此 頭 九 惑 7 公 ガ 自 如ノ 三 ス 的 然 壁 然 = 事 ス 日 所 月 情 對 草 的 = 件 府 ガ 本 謂 满 私 勢 亵 勃 ヲ シハセ 獨 洲 デ 示 發 取 险 陸 占 立 主 締デ アシ 單 道 政 室 領 IJ タルア 1 4 府 後 スマルノリ青 野 想 11 E = 7 年 テノ 內 無シ 將 = 立九 的 力タ 校 デ 對 上ニサ三ハーアデ・ノスマ松和生コカ ノハレニ遂九ルア之一 ルス 政承テ年三三為ルハ 當 32 府認一一條六陛ト私ガ時 ア東 支 リ軍 配デセソ昭歌年下言 ガ 抱ノ アラノ H. 和 主 イ政 ス計 想 リレ 七夕 獨 ト事等テ府 昭 ○ 畫 7 加定 立年ノ和 及 ッ ヲ ス居ノ 及 陸 十 テ 幾 デ B 回 單 行 ラダ デ 同 怨情 分 內

指 苦 導 且 悶 7 心 7 爲 之 遺 憾 和 支 3 卒 六 外 1 年 1 列 = Evi* 非 言 ini ٢ テ 简 形 虀 ナ = 大 不 サ 辱 於 戰 Œ 勃 4 的 V 發 ヲ ナ ナ テ ル 受 哥 迄 日 此 ケ心 デ 本 續 アノ 配 1 狀

供 岡 述 田 腔 啓 單 ST 硻 27 九 內 = テ 年 官 昭 和 _ Ē 岡 + 阿 _ = 田 テ 年 宜窗 _ 啓 Ħ. 月 介

騎 Di 聯 除 中 險 佐 道 最 级 高指揮官總司 部 홼 行 令部 官

證 明 喜

且. IJ 岡 予 IE = 予 田 確 1 日 テ 前 = 介 英 譚 記 兩 = 亘 彼 洪 t. H Ex 25 述 本 語 該 審 語 記 通 述 岡 記 ゔ 內 隐 容 ハヲ 開 田 H. 阴 英 宜 力 本 1 ス 樹 談 語 t. 日 洪 前 3 200 記 審 供 之 日 曹 ZZ 迹 7 F IE. 內 語 書 爲 容 式 署 ヲ 思 前 1 = 宜 ス 眞 當 江 記

充 日テリ 一分本ノ。 九理語凡 該 解ニテ宣該 月. 忠ノ竇 年了質手ヲ述 解 且 續 為 善 正ハショ) 宣 和ラ確日 二本且審 部語 該 / 年・ラリ連ニ レ英 " 語 該二暑 供、名 述 又 者英ル 二語 ヨョ 就 名

高

3 九元 海雪 到 雅后既是 大佐 和岩 原夫其他 24 歩、シテ 好了 73 但三辆 コサレッシ 葬 通 17 t SAUAY ラオ りったれれ 过级之灵 セラフレル 27 雅及是

8 在政府及海外 八正六年 阳和四年 品级工艺 大色九年 九世十五年 那北海 全五 江河 也九 の江 为 ナガラ 海军中 不和 和大多 九五六年 海外沿海 海軍大行之 婚石燈 新城 内图 田 均图上共之 内方次 頂烟塘街 内黑 习 Va 到近 The 21 るか 2 272 1多 The Pizz

极和一种 可酸为种性 14

犯和 如新多的物類銀光

事多强 图 为例 1/6

考院院 新鄉的関河海衛

游 一个大 九年

期 那是等病 事

シンノ風動力不及国的サル 職責 MI

海发生人多国之事和分

一元公的精報教教 9 多

3

4

教先及坐等 藝像 刻 協德衛小物 行政险及風 通かう

13

其化, 加加 五

元元 元 21

34 +

多方太大了

300

7 取了

至知四年 百本

2

テアルマス 大名

多元

最大 2 7 七

力級等

スルンセ 田中的 玄 m

m

op

レガシ

ワラ

田中八萬里路化而被 2 一切图小 元丁多場子 1 湿 2 trait シンテ サチ シラン 力色十四年 ラなりして かっ 公子

7 221 力地生力多么人 たろんり セルア 的北北江 汉内 的多多 レタシタン 张 際 2 7年

山同本, るつ 心意下 ララ、ま 神天二司 Op

2 码 ケンスのテ 2八石 1

2 10 三學了

3 (0)

1-2 ケラで

シル 3 3/

いれもすとるろう

2 70 ノスス あチア 里 一多行也ろし 内閣八值三 田中省相 安生 AD THE ノマンタ 力地

神動なれれれる 野ろれや河相

中一路下加坡 田山 倍 隆軍

東ルン

交写力心格遇力服了

ひれ我なる生だってもりの

中心為之方

决处 3 50

ナケットす、 明之 1000十五 フショナナ 多年之作了 教排和 村 多多多 月至 军

大区 1-1 7 乳光

カッ

三付書 ラの風

置了 了八陸軍 かる

3 を立てはれたかる人

Th 3 基 マンカスの

732

是人 セラレルニューライツ 起電の取心之り在ラル 7 対北野野人 スと為 上屋の対力に産用 朝外之松 季里 屯 えん クシタ 3 5 2 10 陸軍

14

九五五十五 Rh 40 3 期四十七八里 政策 数多三卷 起那中我人的野三孩 3 北文的性子,于今高了了 破公家及海里上,我力 我你就放塞。 動力八脚次ろろんと The state of 中的一个里中的家 村多么以外外 多孩 利城山 生生 2

孔子解决出事 地色 2

司本政心的的 13 Do

手が展出って 和

トンプ現線

西力之付的路 之国家 是是上

145 部 班 事 n

到事之 何少了

明 3

九五五五五 服如六河 2

カッ 九

国対立 附属明心的南 教先月多数多少人 晚放及出版物 2 3

スル

1月19

国或了 21 2

红沙特~ 2

九五五年 那和七年

九五 六台

17

九月十八日是也工外事件八度

一种 到一个一个一个一个 空里

が満洲地を 松为行動

金大性ラ持つテアナカウン

近,数与用之起了少事 2 3 N

要はる方多方方 越出 九 力他 多

小人多级及人名我多

功期 的 数年明 陸軍 1

何多,物本 + 4

ヨッ 正もうし もろり 三七

2 n

一元フト 前面的 2 - 1 定

了 的風明

祖 大ツマ

TAN 3 3 多数量之之的係五千 す タレスセンラ 和六五 五方衛州上方面 的政府部 和 同本 好了 日十八日 工事到 八国 スシラ

百 THE . 2 前

期日

即花

九三四年 解和大年

政府及隆軍 15 2

班細里二松 九日本物

五支 2 及はスル 海河 行使セントスル 7

スなり マスス 1000 多的肉刻 ハンラをいると 祖後スル

可報でしりう 行連 之一 之子を雪

儘可阻止センカる 少事多陸軍

生等り 南 な生生 至 那

カラ にもりり

陸

北コガスルる 力里 九三六年 服和

七马 スル

12 th Z 理 10

雪 カッ

シル むか スル 刻か陰軍

不起方立外毛 ナアント BER 洼

2 2 江河 カル 領後 日本スコツ れるこ 五本 易 3 タップ man 实質上 2 2 ウマスか

四二年 直場 花与 7: シュスカ 2 国 IV 13

国田地方

P 势兵中 M

证明書

高橋可见, 冠

[3]

前记得过去,为爱为 论 7 2

地里里正雅二 高

传述我的力學以

被以被传递来为爱松云、 7 10

斯地到四八平 別立方正 2

2 西班 Th. 更见 面前 2 るかった R セラレ 日本 一大の 宝松 Ti

10