





Notsy

not m







The Emancipation Proclamation and Arbitrary Arrests!!

SPEECH

OF

HON. GILBERT DEAN,

OF NEW YORK,

ON THE GOVERNOR'S ANNUAL MESSAGE,

DELIVERED IN THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK,

February 12, 1863.

ALBANY:

ATLAS & ARGUS PRINT.

1863.

Name of the Party of the Party

WILLIAM THINGS TO MOR

some who was

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

the second of the second of the second

- 1000

16

SPEECH.

friend from Essex, the Hon. Mr. Havens. He confined himself in his remarks to two portions of the annual message of the Governor. The first was, the strictures of the Governor on the policy of the Emancipation Proclamation of the President. The second, that portion of the message which condemns the arbitrary arrest of citizens of this State.

While there are numerous other subjects suggested by this document, I propose to confine myself, in the discussion, to the questions

raised by the gentleman from Essex.

Sir, I might, if I indulged in the utterance of ing. my own feelings in reference to the necessity of this war, occupy the hour in showing that the wrong is not all on one side. But the time for such remarks has passed. It is too late now to discuss the cause of the war, or to express an opinion on whom must rest the blame of the present deplorable condition of national affairs. Crimination and recrimination are alike fruitless and unsatisfactory. Nearly two years have passed since armed hosts have met in hostile array; and we must accept the present position of affairs and act on that. It is a fact that armies are in the field-that they have confronted each other-that victory has been at one time on the side of the Union, and next on that of the Confederates It is a fact that to-day, though the legitimate authority of the Republic and the legitimate power of the government is with us, we have not, after a contest of nearly two years, been able to overcome the rebeliion which exists against that legitimate authority and Equally unavailing would be an argument to prove that the adoption of the Federal Constitution by the people, made us one nation, and that no State has a right to secede

The actual secession of eleven States-if not de jure-at any rate de facto, forming them selves into a new confederacy, is a portion of history which no argument can efface.

To spend time now in determining whether the fanaticism of the North, or the madness of the South, produced the result; whether slav-

Mr. CHAIRMAN: When last the House was ty, is approfitable. When your dwelling is on in Commuttee of the Whole on the subject now fire, you first quench the conflagration—then to be considered, I obtained the floor for the seek to detect and punish the incendiary.—
purpose of replying to the speech made by my When a mother sees her child drowning, she rescues him from danger before asking if his own fault or folly precipitated him into the stream. So now, patriotism and duty call upon us all to exert every effort, yield every prejudice, and surrender personal and party attachments, to save, if possible, the best government from anarchy and consequent annihilation. I shall not comment upon words or expressions, nor even compliment sentences contained in the message .-He who stops to appland or criticise even the style of that masterly production, is trifling, while even's the most momentous are transpir-

It is due to the gentleman from Essex, as well as to myself, before proceeding further, to say that until last evening, I was unable to procure a copy of the remarks made by him on the subject to which I have referred.

EXECUTIVE POWER-ITS ABUSE IS TYRANNY AND REVOLUTION.

If I were to express my own views in reference to the message, I would say to every intelligent man and women in the State, read study and re-read and study it again-send it to the schools and the academies-make it a part of the daily recitations of the scholars, that we may as a people be again reminded of the value of our liberties. The very affluence of our political privileges has made us prodigal in their use and forgetful of their inestimable value. Never in the history of the State has such a message been delivered, and never has such a crisis occurred. For more than six centuries have our ancesters been struggling to secure, and have little by little wrested from arbitrary power, that which by three proclam ations must be forever destroyed if we submit; and submission is not only cowardice, but treasonwhile the continued exercise by the Executive of the powers claimed is Usurpation, Tyranny and Revolution. I know what I say, and I say what I know. I appreciate my responsibilities as a citizen, as an individual, and as a member of this House. If I refused to protest against the course of the Federal Administratry is the cause or the subject of the controver- tion, feeling as I do, I should be recreant to

sentative of the people of this State. [Loud Revolution-let it be named. I know that or-

and continued applause]

The President has taken an oath "to preserve, protect and defend the Constitution of the United States;" and knowing that that Constitution is "the Supreme Law of the Land," "nsprpation" is the mildest term to be applied to an open, palpable, and continued exercise of powers, not only not conferred on the executive, but the exercise of which ie exexpressly forbidden. Let us see, then, if these preclamations correspond with the Constitution, or whether, if operative, they must override i's provisions. If any member on this floor can show me a word in that instrument which authorizes the proclamations I will presently read, and the orders of the Secretary of War under those proclamations, creating throughout loyal and peaceful States, Provost Marshals, with authority to arrest summarily and arbitrarily, any and every citizen, and without trial make them prisoners of war-subjecting at the will of these irresponsible marshals whole States, where war does not exist, to Martial law-I would like him to point it out to me here. If it cannot be done, I am justified in the assertion that these proclamations and orders are a violation of "the Supreme Law of the Land," by which the President has sworn to abide

It is perhaps proper that I should say in the commencement of this argument, that I sm not here to oppess Mr. Lincoln as the President of the United States. In this very sity. when the candidate of the Republican party for Lieutenant Governor in the last fall elec tion, and those with whom he acted, denied the power of the General Government to coerce a State, I took the opposite position, and also insisted that Mr Lincoln, baving been constitutionally elected, should be inaugurated at all bazards. I went further, and declared after the inauguration of the President, that I would not oppose sny act of his administration having for its object the suppression of the rebellion, the restoration of the Union and the supremacy of the Constitution-that I was willing to take him for my political Pope. and weld not question his acts so long as he took for his guide the Constitution he had sworn to obey and uphold. But in Sep ember last I saw that the policy of the government was changed, by the proclamations and orders then issued, and I felt it to be my dnty, and the duty of every man who loves his country and its free institutions, to oppose any further progress in the direction then taken I thought then that it was a departure from the line of the Constitution. I believe now that it was; and I am prepared to show to-night that the emancipation proclamation, and those that succeeded it; with the orders based on them, are "Usurpation, Tyranny, and Revolution!" [Cheers and loud applause.]

What is Revolution? If changing the whole system of government-disregarding the judi-

myself, and recreant to my duty as a repre-) the military superior to the civil power-be not dinarily we associate with revolution revolt violence and blood-shed; but these are not essential. Violence and blood-shed are not necessary to revolution. When the people rise and depose their rulers, or suddenly and forcibly change their form of government-that is indeed revolution, and generally reform. But when the rulers assume powers not conferred-take from the people their heritage-destroy their ancient franchises-and from exercising limited, claim and exercise absolute power, that, too, is revolution, and if submitted to without resistance. is an evidence; not of popular advancement, but of degeneracy.

I need but refer, in illustration, to a single instance in history, within the recollection of all. Napoleon the Third was elected a member of the National Assembly, after years of exile, as a result of the revolution of 1848. In December of that year, a President was to be elected There were numerous for the new Republic. candidates; and Napoleon was successful over When he entered upon the office, he took an oath that he would ' mair ain and uphold the Democratic Republic.' What then? The Legislative Assembly held its sessions, and the government of the Republic was seeminely faithfully administered, until the President was ready for his coup detat When that time arrived, what was his first act? A Proclamation for "the arrest of all dangerous persons " This was the first step toward despotism. He followed it by taking possession of the Legislative Assembly, which he accomplished by having first got possession of the military. What next? He issued a Proclemation for the election, by the people, of a "Responsible Chief," and the people of France were made to perform the farce of electing him Emperor, by passing to the ballot box through the bayonets of his soldiers. The people did not resist, because they had not the power; yet this was not the tees a change of government, and a revolution! Uncappily, instances are but too numerous in history of such revolutions, while, although they do not go backwards, show that power has advanced, and that the people have retrograde. [Loud applause.

"THE FIRST STEP" TOWARD DESPOTISM.

Our government took the first step in this direction when, in 1861, by orders of the Secretary of State, sent by telegraph or otherwise, arrests were made-not through the magistracy of the State. An editor from Suffolk county, near Montank, publishing a paper that was read by few, of limited and local circulation, was arrested at the depot of the Hudson River Railroad, while on his way to a Democratic This was followed by other State Convention arrests of a similar character-by that of Judge Flanders, of Franklin county. of Mr. McMasters of the Freemen's Journal, and many others; and more recently by that of the Rev. Mr. Benedict, a Beptist minister, whose offense was the ciary-annihilating the legislative, and placing preaching of a discourse from a text taken from

Christ's sermon on the Mount-' Blessed are [looking to Negro soldiers for assistance, [Lond the peace-makers,"-which the administration and its officers in the State deemed to be revolutionary. Mr Coairman, our govern-ment when it ordered these arbitrary arrests of citizens, took the "first step"; the same as Louis Napoleon did when in the night time, he had a proclamation posted all over the streets of Paris, startling and terrifying the people as they arose in the morning, that all "dangerous persons" should be seized and confined. It is true, that the French President followed this "first step" by another, which gave him control of the military, and by yet another, which placed the Legislative Assembly in his power, so that he was enabled at last to carry out his policy to enslave France and upon the ruins of the "Democratic Rapublic" which he had sworn to support, to found the Empire, "whose mission is peace."

I trust no such design influences those who are in power at Washington. I trust that the "second step" is not foreshadowed by the removal of McCiellan, (loud applause) the hero who freed Western Virginia of the rebels; who took bis position at the head of our forces after the first disastrous battle of Ball Run, and made a disciplined army out of an untrained and disorganized militia; who, when reinforcements were withheld from him, lead our soldiers through seven days and seven nights of fighting and suffering, until he had placed them in security; who, through political intrigues, was deposed from the position he had filled so well. and only restored to command when the capital was in such danger that, as a Cabinet minister said to me the day the result of the battle of Antietam was known in Washington, "there was more danger from our own army under Pope, lest it should turn on the Capitol. than from the army of the rebels"; who led our forces at Antietam until the Capitol was no longer in peril, and until he was a second time sacrificed to political malignity. I trust, I say, that the "second step" is not foreshadowed in his removal, and in that of those other gallant officers, Burnside, Franklin, Sumner and Porter; and that the military is not thus to be placed at he disposal of the President or his advisors. [Loud applause.

And here allow me to say that I believe the action of this House to day in almost manimously endorsing Gen McClellan and inviting him to visit the Capitol as the guest of the State, will not only be heard with joy in our own army, but will carry dismay into the camp of the enemy. "One blast upon that bugle horn is worth ten thousand men!" With McClellan again at the head of the Union forces, there would be less need of bounties to volunteers; and none for negro Brigades or Brigadiers! I believe, sir, that with such a leader, with the physical advantage we possess-with a powerful Navy at our command-the twenty mi lions of white men in the loyal States are sufficient not only to conquer the rebels, but to rectore the Union, under the Constitution of our fathers, without

applause.

Now, Mr Chairman, we have been told that "Revolutions never go backwards" They do not; but the people do. when they quietly suffer their heritage to be taken away. Have the citizens of this Republic thus proved unfaithful to themselves? They did not resist when their fellow townsmen were arbitrarily arrested without warrant, and imprisoned without examination; but let those who would encroach upon their rights because of this seeming apathy, remember that in Rome, the rape of Lacretia was the knell of the Tarquin dynasty! did not our people resist?. Because they felt there was a remedy open for them at the ballot box! It was there, through its peaceful but potent voice, that they protested against the invasion of their rights. It was there that they attered the warning that must not be disregarded! God grant that the calm, though determined protest which the voters of the Northern and Central States have made at the late elections against these assumptions of arpitrary and unlimited powers may be followed by the action of the legislative councils of their respective States-and that thus the pational government, while remitting none of its energies in overcoming armed resistance to rightful authority, may at once and forever cease from making war upon personal and individual security and from is-uing PROCLAMATIONS TO ABOLISH THE CONSTITUTION. [Loud applause,

THE PROCLA TATIONS AND THE ORDERS OF THE WAR DEPARTMENT.

In speaking on this subject, viz:-that the proclamations which I shall indicate, and the anthoricy assumed and exercised are not only palpable violations of the fundamental law but if submitted to, destrey our government under the Constitution. I mean to speak calmly and deliberately, and use the same arguments that I would employ were I b fore that august tribunal, the Supreme Court f the United States. in a case involving the validity of any executive or legislative act. I shall denounce no incividual, nor impeach the motives of any public. fficer -from the President to the humblest tip-staff who executes these arbitrary decrees.

The Federal government is act only one of limited and derivative powers, but-"The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor probibited to the States are reserved to the States respectively, or to the people " That is the language of the tenth amendment of the Constitution. The powers delegated are not to one person, or to one class of persors or officers—but to a Trinity. This Trinity is Legislative. Executive and Julicial, and united, constitute the Government of the United States. Each has its separate functions, and an assumption by one department of the powers of the other, is usurpation. I claim that the P esident, in the present unhappy state of affairs, has attempted to exercise the whole of these powers, and that such exercise is "revolution."

What says the Constitution? "All legislative; powers herein granted shall be vested in a Congress of the United States."

"The executive power shall be vested in a

President of the United States."

"The judicial power shall be vested in one Supreme Court," &c. (Con. U.S.)

Each department is thus not only distinct

from but independent of the other.

I know that there is an attempt at criticism on this point, on the ground that the word 'all' is used in the grant of legislative power, and the word 'the,' in that of the Executive power. The same article 'the' is used in the grant of Judicial power. But will any lawyer say that "the" Judicial power, does not include the whole of the Judicial power? When the Constitution provides in the article containing the grant of Legislative power that the writ of habeas borpus shall only be suspended in certain exigencies. and when the suspension of the writ is provided for by Legislation only, and when all legislative power is given to Congress, how can the President, as a portion of the Executive power, perform a legislative sct? If it can be shown that any Executive power is given by the Constitution to any other than the Executive; or any legislative power is given to any other than the Legislature; or any Judicial power given to any other than the Judiciary, then I will yield the argument; but until that I insist that each department has a full grant and that such grant is exclusive of the others.

We have, then, three departments in our government, designed to be distinct one from the other, and no one of these departments has the right to exercise any of the powers belonging to either of the others. Of all these, the Executive power is that which most needs to be jealously watched. The Legislature has only power to make laws-it cannot see to their enforcement or decide upon their constitutionality. Here in this Honse, our power as a Legislature ends. We as legislators can do nothing outside this Chamber. When we have sent fortu a law, we have no further part in its enforcement. In like manner, the Supreme Court may render judgment, but it cannot execute it. Its execution belongs to the other branch of the government. The history of the world proves that it is the Executive power which generally stretches its attributes and destroys or controls the Legislative and Judicial,-because it is the nature of power to develop itself, and necessarily that branch of the government, which executes its decrees and appoints the persons to do so, is strengthened in its exercise. All the revenues of g vernment and the sword of magistracy centra here; hence this power is the one which ordinarily swallows the others. We all know the history of the Tribunes of the People, and the transition of governmental functions into the hands of a single dic ator; of the Consuls of France, after the revolution of 1789, terminating in the despotism of the first Napoleon; of the Thi:d Napoleon, who after swearing to uphold the Democratic Republic, became Emperor and is now the most absolute monarch in

Europe. The Federal Government, whose powers are derived from the States, which powers at the adoption of the Constitution were regarded as very limited, is now attempting to exercise unlimited and arbitrary powers; powers, which, but a few years ago were not claimed for it by any party or person. Let us beware lest the next step downwards, if we submit now, should entail noon us a Dictator under the title of Abraham the First-a result already forestadowed by his leader in the House of Representatives. Mr. Stevens, who by virtue of his position as Chairman of the Committee of Ways and Means, holds the purse strings of the Nation, has declared that we have the right to make a Dictator, under the war power-a power that has been invoked here several times during the last ten days. His language is this: "If any unforseen emergency should arise endangering the existence of the Republic, the section of the Constitution which says, 'that the President shall take care that the laws be faithfully executed,' creates him as much dictator, for the time being, as a decree of the Roman Senate made a consul dictator. But when Congress assembled they would have the same full powers. If no other means were left to save the republic from destruction, I believe we have power under the Constitution, and according to its expr ss provision to declare a dictator, without confining the choice to any officer of the Government."

This startling announcement is from the leader of the administration party in Congress; and I may add that the course justified by him has been proposed more than once by a leading journal in New York, an influential organ of the

same party.

I now desire. Mr. Chairman, to examine the proclamations and orders to which I have referred; trusting that in speaking of them, I may be able to rise to the height of the great argument involved, and that no party considerations will draw me from discussing them calmly and deliberately. I trust I have too much patriotism-too much love for my country, to use this grave subject for the purpose of securing an advantage to my own party, or making a point against my political opponents.

It will be borne in mind that after some arrests had been made, Congress met in extra session, on the Fourth day of July, 1861, and adjourned, without any legistation in relation to such arrests; and that the following Order of the War Department, was issued on the eighth day of August 1862, after the regular Session which terminated during that summ ir:

WAR DEPARTMENT, Aug. Sin, 1562.

ORDERED:-First, That all United States Marshals, and Superintendents, and Chiefs of Police, in any town ent cuperintentients, and they are hereby authorized and directed to arrest and imprison any person or persons, who may be engaged by act, speech or writing, in discouraging volunteer enlistments, or in any way giving aid and comfort to the enemy, or any other disloyal

practice against the United States
Second, That immediate report be made to Major C. L.
Turner, Juage Adv. caire, in order that such persons may
be tried before a military commission.
(Signed) ED. M. STANTON, See'y of War.

any lawyer on this floor who is familiar with cognize and maintain the freedom of such perthe science of criminal law-in what volume of any book it is made a crime to "discourage volunteer enlistments?" or what is a "disloya! proctice," and by what authority a Military Commission is appointed? And yet in this Order it is made a crime, and the person suspected of it to be subject to arrest by any U S. Marshal, or any Superintendent or Chief of Police.

Following this, on the same subject, is a Pro clamation by the President, dated September 24th, 1862 —issued two days after the Emancipation Proclamation, and designed, no doubt. to intimidate the country into the endorsement of that policy and to prevent opposition to it-

which reads as follows:

"Now, therefore, be it ordered,—
"First, That during the existing insurrection, and as a necessary measure for suppressing the same, all re-bels and insurgents, their aiders and abeltors, within the Dried States, and all persons discouraging volunteer enlistments, resisting militis drafts, or guilty of any disloyal practice, referency aid and comfort to the rebels against the authority of the United States, shall be sub-

against the authority of the United States, shall be sub-ject to marial law, and lable to trial and punishment by courts-martial or military commissi. In. "Second, That the writ of habeas corpus is surpended in respect to all persons arrested, or who are now, or hereafter during the rebellion shall be, imprisoned in any f rt, camp, arsenal, military preson, or other place of confinement by any military authority, or by the sentouce of any court-martial or multary commission,

It will be seen from this Proclamation that citizens are to be arrested for 'any disloyal practice." What is that? Is it defined in any book or criminal law-and if not what is the definition 1

Mr. Davis, of Tioga:-it is giving aid and

comfort to the enemy.

Mr. DEAN:-I shall come to that subject hereafter; but I ask the gentleman from Tioga. when he discusses the Governor's message, to tell me, and to tell the people of the State, in what book he finds defined the crime of "disconraging volunteer enlistments," or a "disloya practice." The crime of giving "aid and comfort to the enemy" is defined, and we shall examine presently what that is; but will the gentieman also tell me by what authority civilians are ordered to be tried by Military Commissions?

If these are crimes, then "the accused shall erjoy the right to a speedy and public trial by an impartial jury of the State and Dis trict wherein the crime shall have been com mitted." Is not this provision of the Constitution, violated most glaringly by the Or-der and Proclamation I have read, and the action of the Administration under them? We come now to the Emancipation Preclamation of the President, which was issued on the 22d of September 1862. I quote its language:-That on the first day of January, in the

year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and sixty-three, all persons held as slaves within any State, or designated part of a State, the people whereof shall then be in rebellion against the United States, shall be then, thenceforward, and forever free; and the Executive Gov-

Mr. Chairman, I would like to know from | military and naval authority thereof, will resons, and will do no act or acts to suppress such persons, or any of them, in any effort they may make for their actual freedom."

THE WAR POWER.

This proclamation has been defended by the friends of the Administration on this floor, not upon the ground that it is in conformity with the Constitution, but that is a proper exercise of the "war power," which justifies it as a means of "weakening the enemy" I propose to see what foundation there is for this position. The gentleman from Tioga, (Mr. Davis), and others upon this floor, are old enough to know that lavery as a political question and its bearings on the State is not new; that it has been agitated for the past thirty years; and that they who first advanced the proposition that slaves should be freed by Congress were moobed in the streets. Notwithstanding that, they continued to appeal to the moral sentiment, which influenced so many, that "the argument that man could not hold property in man," was controlling with a large portion of the people. During all these thirty years of agitation the general impression provailed that the people of the States where slavery existed were sleeping on a volcino; that the slaves were a constant source of fearful danger. I recollect when Fremont was a candidate for the Presidency of the United States, I expressed the belief upon the stump that in case of his success, the South would secede. I was met by the cry, "where will they go? They cannot take care of themselves! They dars not go out of he Union!" I reco'lect reading in the N Y, Tribune and other journals of a similar character, time and again, articles written to prove that elavery was the weakness of the South, and that if no other consideration could be effectual, the danger from this source would prevent secession. When the Tweddle Hall Convention met in this City, for the purpose of endeavoring to avert the evils of civil war and all the horrors that have since come upon the country, one or two public journals attacked that body as ' Hartf. ra Conventionists," and as "Peace Men," and said that "the South could never be driven into secession, for she had withn her borders her own most dangerous enemy." I read from the words of one who was among the earliest advocates of Emancipation, who desired to effect it by political parties, and who, different from many others who sought to use it, believed in it.

"Let Southern men disolve this Union if they dare; Slavery would then take care of itself, and its masters too; in one little month both would become extinct. No! oh., deceived Northerners! the Scuthern man will be the last to dissolve this Union: by it he expects to enjoy his slaves; without it, he cannot, one day But the wily politician of the South has discovered the ghost that never fails to frighten he North, and the North has been kept in a ernment of the United States including the political aweat for the last ten or twelve years, holders without this Union, would disolve it."

These, and similar opinions, were expressed by Republicans before the last Presidential election, and before secession had become a Now what do we find? After eleven States, all slave-holding, have seceded from the Union and separated themselves from the protection of the Federal government, we are told that the President must avail Limself of the "war power" to emancipate the slaves, because slavery is the chief element of strength to the rebels-because the slaves stay at home, taking care of the women and children, and raising the crops, while the fathers and brothers and stalwart men among the whites, go forth to fight the Union armies. Before election, they told us that slavery was an element of weakness to the South, and a safeguard against secession. Since election they tell us it is the pillar of its strength, and for that reason that the President is compelled to set the slaves free by Proclamation, and is justified in arming these semi-savages, and permitting them to use the knife against the women and children. It is time for gentlemen, who have so completely and so quickly changed front, to pause in their course. 1 do not envy them the position in which they are placed by this policy.

The Emancipation Proclamation-a measure not resorted to by the English in our own revolution, or in the war of 1812-is justified by the friends of the administration, as a "war measure." In what point of view can it be so regarded? What is a "war measure?" It is a measure to raise men, money, or munitions, to be used against the enemy, or to weaken his power. It must be one or all of these, or it is not efficient as a war measure. Does the Proclamation answer to this description? "war measure" that encourages elistments-a "war measure" that supplies the government with money-a "war measure" that gives the Nation, adopting it, power and influence at home or abroad? I have endeavored to obtain from the office of the Adjutant General of the State, some evidence of the effect of this "war measure," which the Governor of Massachusetts promised would crowd the highways with volunteers eager for the scene of conflict; and to which a leading editor pledged "three times three hundred thousand men." How many new regiments, think you. have been organized in this State since this Proclamation was issued? While the State of New York has sent to the war two hundred and twenty-five thousand troops in all, she has organized but a single regiment since that most effective "war measure" of the President was issued! How many "war measures" of this description shall we require to conquer the rebels armies? (Loud and continued applause.

Again, sir; has any body made a loan to the government in consequence of this Proclamation? When the war was commenced, and Congress passed a resolution unanimously, declaring that it should be prosecuted only for the restoration of the Union and the preservation of

for fear the men, who could not exist as slave-I the Constitution, and when the President announced his adhesion to this policy, even the miser relaxed the hold upon his hoarded treaure -the wife sent her husband-the sister yielded up her brother-the maiden her lover to the war, and our young men rushed forth to die on the field of honor, of glory and of duty. How has it been since the date of the Emancipation Proclamation? Can the government obtain a loan in New York-or in Boston? Have men been furnished freely for the wai? Has money been poured lavishly into the Nation's Treasury? Let the events of the five months past supply the answer.

> In what manner has the Proclamation given the Nation power and influence at home or Has any prominent paper in France or England approved of its policy? Has it sesured unity among the loyal citizens here? tell you that in this struggle the moral power is as important as the physical. It is an error to regard soldiers as mere pieces of mechanism.-Bayonets think! The men who press them forward have hearts and braine; and if you tell them that this war is to be perverted from the object for which it was undertaken, and that it is now to be prosecuted for the purpose of making eleven States, as fair and as fertile as any of the thirty-four, a jungle like those of Africa, or of converting the whole region of the South into a Golgotha of the white race for the imaginary good of cleating there a Paradise for the negro. -then the thoughts at the ends of those bayonets will turn them back, and the soldier will ground his arms, as he fixes his eyes, dimmed with tears, on the soiled flag of National Unity. I ask you to make enquiry of the returned volunteer who, wounded on the battle field or stricken by the malaria of the camp, returns to his home a broken and suffering man-ask him if you could induce him to go into this war for the extermination of the white men of the South, and the enthroning there a negro power. Sir, we are not a degenerate race. In every quarter of the globe where the American white man penetrates, he takes the lead in art. in civilization, in everything that enobles humanity. The foot of Livingston has pressed the sands of Africa, and his researches have done more to develope its resources and acquaint the world with the character of its soil and inhabitants, than all its natives have done in six thousand years. I need not refer to Morse, to Ericcson, and the great crowd of witnesses who can attest the same fact-that progress is with our race-while the African is necessarily dependant, and that never has the negro race been capable of supporting a civil government. I ask this administration and its friends to reflect upon these truths and to enquire of themselves if it is not fime to pause in their career; to retrace their steps; to reverse their present policy, and to declare that in the future this war shall be prosecuted, not for the purpose of interfering with the institutions of any State, but to restore the Union, and spread the shield of the Constitution over every State

State! (Enthusiastic applause.

IMPRACTICABILITY OF THE PROCLAMATION.

But, Mr. Chairman, there is another view of this subject which is, in my judgment, quite as convincing as the other-and that is, the impracticability of the Proclamation. Can slavery be abolished by proclamation of the President? I suppose there are many who would rejoice to see the whole continent free, but of such I ask, can slavery be abolished by a written Proclamation? Suppose we say that the Proclamation is a law. What is law? It is nothing more than the condition of existence, a declaration of the natural state. This is true both in nature and society. Murder was as much against law when Cain stained his hands in fraternal blood as after the revelation of Sinai. When Newton published the law of the Celestial Sphere, he altered nothing; he only declared the condition which the Creator had affixed to their existence, and which had governed their movements since the morning stars first sang together. When Gallileo on his knees proclaimed that the earth was stationery-did its rotation cease? Can you, by proclamation or solemn legislative enactment, abolish the instincts of the sexes—the natural affections, or the relations of parent and child? As well, by proclamation, charge the course of the cataract or the laws of gravitation!

In all countries the laws are only the reflection of society; they necessarily grow out of, and are shaped by it. If, by any means, the members of the State become demoralized, less enlightened, and more selfish, the law will retrogade in the same proportion. So on the other hand every new invention, every advance in the arts, and in physical science every application of the powers of nature to machinery-every discovery that lightens labor, aid in the great movements of the world towards social elevation. The teacher of morality, whether occupying a pulpit, presiding over a press, in the seat of the judge, or in the legislative hall, whether filling a public station, or discharging the duties of a private citizendoes his appointed work in elevating the race, and consequently aids in producing a re-form in the laws. One will follow and be shaped by the other, as surely as the shadow is form. ed and shaped by the substance. A law in advance of society, is misunderstood or disobeyed; while one behind the age, is disregarded or evaded, and becomes practically obsolete.

What makes the trial by jury a blessing and not a curse? What renders safe the decision of a cause, upon the testimony of witnesses?—it is only the elevation society has here attained—it is the results established in the popular mind by the efforts of the moralists, the instruction of the scholar, and the devotion of the christian

and Territory, and over every citizen of every | The gift would be turned against the life and happiness of the beneficiary. The idea we desire to enforce is strikingly illustrated by the difference between the condition of things bere and in the Republic of Mexico, or the Central There the people enjoy, American States nominally, a representative government; yet owing to the condition of society, life and property are the sport of the mob, or held tributary and at the morey of a military despot .-Laws; what are they in such a community? The merest cobweb to restrain a bursting flame: the drooping willow to impede the rushing torrent. The people, their habits, their feelings, their morals, determine the condition of society, and hence the laws.

Suppose, Mr. Chairman, that instead of issuing his Proclamation of Negro Emancipation, the President had declared that all white persons in the eleven seceded States should be freed from such contracts and bonds as nature and society had placed upon them? Suppose he had said that all wives should be liberated from the control of their husbands; that all children under 21 years of age should be regarded as having reached their majority and should be entitled to act for themselves. what force would be such a proclamation? well abolish, by a stroke of the pen, all distinctions of color, er by proclamation add intelligence to the ignorant, and elevate the morals of the vicious.

We are asked, Mr. Chairman, before condemning or discarding, to give this Emancipation Proclamation a fair trial. Has it not been done? It is now nearly five months since it was issued, and is slavery abolished in Virginia be-yond the lines of our army? Is it abolished in any other State, or has the relation between master and servant been changed? let me say that I must not be misunderstood. If slavery is abolished within our lines, as a consequence of the advance of our armies, and not as the object of the war, I am content. when I see that this Proc'amation has not been effective at the North; that it has not caused volunteers to crowd our ranks; that the prcmised thrice three hundred thousand yet remain at home; when I see that it is inoperative at the South, for no slave has been liberated by it; that its only result has been to unite and fire the Southern mind-to nerve its arm to more determined resistance, and to distract and divide the North; I think that we have given it a fair trial; that it is a failure; and that the whole people should unite in writing upon it, "Icha-

NEW ENGIAND—ON RELIGIOUS TOLERATION AND THE SLAVE TRADE.

bod."

Mr. Chairman, I have finished this portion of my argument; but I desire, before entering upon the question of arbitrary arrests, to allude brief-To give to the Turks a constitutional republic ly to one subject which I wish the gentleman -a representative government, and the trial by from Essex had not brought into this debatejury, would be a benevolence similar to that I refer to his eulogium on New England as "the which would give to the inmates of a Lunatic land of civil liberty and toleration." My col-Asylum the indiscriminate use of fire-arms. — lege education was in New England. I love my Alma Mater, and I have friends and relatives the red-skinned possessor into perpetual slavwho reside in the New England States. But I am here, a representative in the Legislature of New York- myself a native of the State, with the blood of the Knickerbockers in my veins, attached to her institutions proud of her honored history -the State which surrendered more than any other in adopting the Federal Constitntion-the State which did not threaten secession in the war of 1812 and I cannot allow the remarks of the gentleman from Essex to pass unnoticed. I read from his speech, in which he thus lands New England:

The only offense which can be charged against her, is her unfallering devotion to freedom and constitutional jaw, and her eternal hatred to slavery, oppression and treason. She will not con-ent that one jot or title of the Constitution and charter of liberty she did so much to establish, shall be bartered away in an unholy compro-

mise with the rebels in arms.

For this, the malice and spite of the slave power at the South, and its sympathizing friends at the North, is now showered upon her without sint or measure. But all will be of no avail, the winds and waves could as easily ahatter her rock-nound coast, as this torrent of threats and abuse, swerve her from her position; and God grant that she may ever remain the impregnable citadel of American Liberty.

of her population, have carried the virtues, the intellect, and the enterprise of New Eugland, over every rod of

free soil in this Union.

Brightest upon the page of our country's history, stands her interature, her aris, and her triumphs in science.— The Goddess of Liberty reigns supreme among her granite hills; her virgin soil is not politied by the foot-print of the slaveholder, nor is the crack of the driver's whip heard, either in her solitude, or peopled cities.

Does the gentleman from Essex-I know not what msy be his place of birth, but he has brought New England before this Legislature and sought to exalt her over the people we represent-does the gentleman know that the first settlers in New England came over in the May Flower, which was immediately after the landing of the pilgrims, appropriated to the slave I read from the Atlantic Monthly, a periodical published under the shadow of the Bunker Hill Monument, in Boston, the chiefcity in the "impregnable citadel of American liber-ty!" The July number, 1862, contains the following:

"There is an historical circumstance known to few. that connects the children or the Puritans with these that connects he condren of the Furthals with these Africans of Virginia, in a very singular way. They are our brethren, as being lineal descendants from the flay Flower, the faded womb of which, in her first voyage, sent forth a brood of pigrims agon the Plymouth Rock, sent forth a brood of pigrims upon the Plymouth Rock, and in a subsigent one, spawined slaves on the Southern soil—a monstrus bir h, but with which we have an instinctive sense of kindred, and so are stirred by an irresisable impulse to a tempt their rescue, even at the cost of bood and rain. The character of our sacred ship, I fear, may suffer a little by this revelation; but we must let her while properly offset her distribution; but we must be the whole properly offset her distribution; but we fine it is the state of the state of

portents never sprang from an identical source before.

I would refer the gentleman to another fact, which he has probably overlooked, in relation to New England and her "eternal hatred to slavery and oppression." In the church of the Puritans—a theoretoracy as it was—the favor—ite doctrine was, that "the earth was the Lord's and that the heathens were his inheritance?"—ite doctrine was, that "the earth was the Lord's and the the heathens were his inheritance?" and consequently "helfs," were entitled to seize the lands of the heathen Indians, and to sell

ery. They therefore bound the Indians and sent them from "the impregnable citadel of American Liberty," down to the Carolinas to be sold as slaves. It is probably this historical fact to which the gentleman from Essex al udes, when he remarks that "the Goddess of Libe" reigns supreme among her granite hills!" worthy of remark that the first will made ir New England bequeathed Indian slaves, and the testator, if my memory is correct, come over in the May Flower! (Applause.

I do not stand here, to discuss the question of slavery in Massachusetts The State has improved in its character, and I am glad of it; for no State in the Union needed it more or needs it now, so much. But I desire in this connection to refer to one fac'; that in the formation of the Federal Constitution, when the proposition was before the Convention to limit the duration of the slave trade to the year 1800, it was defeated by the votes of Massachusetts, Connecticut and New Hampshire, while Virginia and Delaware voted in its favor.— The forei n slave trade was thus legalized for eight years longer by the votes of these three New England States-another evidence of 'unfaltering devotion to Freedom, and eternal hatred to Slavery!" The gentleman from Essex refers also to the 'virtues" of New England, which have been carried "over every rod of Free Soil in the Union." He undoubtedly inludes among these "virtues" the Main Law, which there had its origin. But does he forget that when it was profitable to make and sell Rum, its manufacture and traffic were New England's chief source of wealth? I refer to Minot's History of Massachusetts as to the charge in Parliament against these colonies. The charge, which will be found in the Second Volume of Minot's History, page 148, is thus stated:

"As the same session of Parliament came on the com-plaint of the West Indian sugar plantation against the southern Colonies."

This complaint was for carrying on an illicit or contraband trade with the French.

The following are extracts from Minot's history of Massachusetts, showing how Flags of Truce were used, and that Rum and Slaves were among the staple articles of Massachusetts commerce.

I quote extracts from pages 149 to 158:

"This general charge was detailed in several particulars, and was as particularly answered by the agent for the Massachuseus Bay as it respected that Province; hough it should be observed, that Rhode Island was con-

toRum is the chief manufacture; there being upwards ! of 15,000 hhds. of rum manufactured in the Province an-

"The Rum carried from Massachusetts Bay and the the other Northern Colonies; to the Coast of Guinea, is sexchanged for gold and slaves. The gold is sent to London, to help to pay for their annual supplier; and the slaves are carried to the English Sugar Colonies, and exchanged for the commodities, or sold for bills of ex-change or Great Britain!

Mr. Chairman, New England has abandoned the Rnm traffic and the Slave trade. But may we not suspect, when we mark her present greed of gain indulged in at the expense of a dying nation, that she has abandoned them only because they are no longer profitable? It well becomes her decendants to forget these facts in her history, particularly when they have emigrated from her limits, and become citizens of other States! [Loud applause. I respect New England for her progerss in

the arts-for her liberality in the cause of education -for her industry, her, economy and her enterprise. I respect her as the birth-place of scholars and of statesmen; as the home of Webster, who so long represented her in the Senate of the United States, and whose great effort for the Union in the celebrated debate on nullification is embalmed amongst the classics of the English language; and who when he saw the danger which surrounded the country from the fanaticism of his own section, and denounced it on the floor of the Senate, on his return to his constituent, whose idol he had been, when Fancuil Hall was closed against him, and when the men who had carressed him met him with averted faces or scrowling brows, mounted a platform in the street, and instead of apologizing or attempting to excuse his course, gave utterance in his deep toned and impressive voice to these memorable words-"MIN OF MAS-SACHUSETTS! YOU MUST CONQUER YOUR PREJU-DICES!" [Tremendous applause.

The great Statesman, by rising above the prejudices of his section, and embracing in his view the interests of the whole Union, has linked Marshfield, with Monticello, the Hermitage, Ashland and Mount Vernon. It would be well for the eulogists of New England on this floor to remember the golden words of her giant mind-"you must conquer your prejudices!" Yes, Mr. Chairman, while we respect New England for what she rightly is, this is no time to eulogize her for her extreme opinions; for the country cannot forget that the agitation of these opinions has been the pregnant mother of our National troubles. [Renewed applause

A single word more on this subject. sire to quote from a work by the Rev. Mr. Ward, to show that the whole government of Massachusetts has been that of a Theocracy. The writer to whom I refer, was one of those who made the Massachusetts Code; an eminent divine, who was endorsed by Cotton Mather in the strongest terms; and the following extracts from his book will show how much the country owes to New England for religious toleration; how much those who fled from religious persecution in England did to secure civil and religious toleration on this continent. I commend to their descendants as a contrast the example of the Catholics of Maryland and of the Datch settlers of New York.

Says the great oracle of Toleration, the

Rov. Mr. Ward:-

"My heart hath naturally detested four things; The standing of the Apocrypha in the Bible; Foreigners dwelling in y country, to crowd our nature sables a mito the corners of the Eartt; Alenymined com; To'eration of discrets Rivigrons, or of one religion in segregation and segregation and segregation and segregation and the segregation is segregation. amines his heart by day ight, his conscience will tell him he is either an Atheist or a heretic, or an Hypocrite, or at least a captive to some lust; Polygiety is the grea est in piety in the world. True Keligion is agnis probationis, which doth congregars homogenea and agregars hetero genea.

I care take upon me to be the Herald of New Eng. land so far as to proclaim to the world, in the name of our Colony, that al Familists, Antinomians, Anabap-tists, and other Enthusiasis, shall have Liberty to keep away from us, and such as well come, to be gone as fast as they can, the sooner the better.

Seconday, I dare aver, that God doth no where in his word tolerate Christian States, to give Tolerations to such adversaries of his Truth, if they have power in

their hands to suppress them.

There is lately brought us an extract of the MAGNA Charta, so called, compiled between the sub-planters of a West Indian Island, whereof the first article of Conslipulation firmly provides free stable-room and litter for all kinds of consciences, be they never so diriy or jud-ish; making it actionable, yea, treasonable, to disturb any man in his Religion, or to discommend it, whatever

And in this connection I cite the sentiment of the same author, representing Massachusetts. on the subject of foreign immigration and national brotnerhood-not that he is the progenitor of the men of the "dark-lantern" or "know nothing" faith:

"A WORD OF IRELAND."

"These Irish, anciently called Anthropophagi, (man-eaters,) have a tradition among them that when the Devil snowed our Saviour all the kingdoms of the earth Devision wed our saviour aims anguons of the term and their glory, that he would not show him Island, but reserved it for himself; it is probably true, for he hath kept it ever since for his own piculiar. The old Fox fore-aw it would eclipse the glory of all the rest; he thought it wisdom to keep the land for a boggards for his uncrean spirits employed in this hemisphere." (Loud laughter and applause.

ARBITRARY ARRESTS AND THE HABEAS CORPUS.

Now, Mr. Chairman, I shall leave this part of the argument, and devote a very brief time to the important subject of "Arbitrary Arrests," which has been brought before the Legislature in an independent form, in the resolutions introduced by myself, for a Committee of Investigation into the abuses of the system; but which has been coupled with the present discussion by the gentleman from Essex, (Mr. Havens,) in his remarks before the Committee of the Whole.

When the history of this war shall be written, no matter what may be its result, the darkest page will be that which records the action of our Government, in arresting in loyal States, States which were yielding men, money, munitions and every moral and material aid to the Union, and that voluntarily, not by levy, or conscripttion-citizens for supposed or real offences without warrant, harrying them to Forts, to Military prisons, either within or without its borders, refusing to give them a hearing, or to inform

them of their accusation or the accuser, and that too when all the Jonts were open, the Judges on the beach, the Justice of the Peace in their places, the officers of the law discharging their several du is, and when civil and criminal process was is and and returned as usual, and when full obedience was yielded to each by every citizen. I ask gentlemen upon this floor, if there has ever been a time since the outbreak of the reb lit in when the courts of New York when not open, or when there has been any attempt to resist the thorough execution of process, or to prevent a fair trial?

The American Anona Orchessia sars, on this subject, under the title of H beas Corpus; "The claylace a deam g at this galpresses on the subject of the subject of the subject of individual freedom. During the year large number of citizens have been arrived which the unit process of law, and wherever to be lies of these prisoners were described, in a ramified habers corpus, the subject of the was refused. " We may first be are evident that the give runner conductive construction retized the public."

This is the langue on the hi foring - Consternation seized the public." Whe were the public thus starme ? people had be a sai i an elek metu werk between arbitrary pater well i hall hoerts. Even the declaration in Magnet Courter had been found insuffice to use at Books Proliament, 200 years ago, p cvi to a defamors writ, known as the will of F dom And when that writ had not only be a lighterized by our Fathers, but had an a coll provided for in the Federal Constitutor, was it hal been enjoyed in times of ca. as well as i Peace, it is not strain a hat a range to obay it created " Consterr a for in the public mind." Some of the persons that air sed and im prisoned, and who e prica is a r the Writ of Freedom were d bit l. wer cuiz was of the State of New York Our prisons were used ard our officers employ d, or implicated, in such unlawful im the pament.

Allegiance and protection are reciprocal.—
No subject is bound to other when the law does not afford protection. If our citizen have lee in wronged, those womes must be ridras, and we only can do it. We are the result of the resident, or the Execution Frest to the Other to the Other metals of the President, or the Execution Frest out of the Government, to take trust, imprison and hold in definice of the Constitution and the Laws. I am not now small, of are as made by military officers with the laws of our armies, or of the areast of a first winder, by express provising any processing the state of patentials and the courts are open—process is said and returned—and whom all the military of the law are discharging their legitimate functions.

As another reason why the public mind was alarmed by this most extraordinary assumption of power by the Executive, I have only to say that while no judge and no ccu t has intimated an opinion in favor of the right of the Pesident to suspend the writ of habeas corpus, I have the highest authority of the courts for denying any such right. In the case of Merriman, Chief Justice Taney decided as follows:

1st. The President, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, connot surpend the privilege of the writ of hobeas corpus, nor authorize any military officer to do so.

and use the military officer has no right to arrest and ditain and an order of the control of th

Judge Hall, in the case of Rev. Mr. Benedict,

"The deliberate opinions of Marshal, and Story, and Martin, and or the other Justices of the Surreme Court, who concurred in the opinion of their chief. In the case Bollman and Swartwoot, (4th Cranch, 75.) supported as they are, in my sudement, by usianswerante arguments, are deed seen of the onesten and constain me to be identified to the constant of the corpus of the United States."

I cite no other authorities now, because I deem these to be sufficient on this subject. What, then, is our condition in reference to arbitrary arrests, and what wonder that the people of the loyal North were seized with consternation when they heard that the President had disregarded this writ of freedom, had subverted the constitution, and proclaimed his will as the "sopreme law of the land "? The Bastile of France, after standing as the synonym f despotism for five centuries, was attacked by the people in the revolution of 1789-the reat dich surrounding it was crossed-its walls were scaled and demolished, and the peop'e who entered its cells found engraven on its walls by one who had occupied its dungeons lifty years before, these prophetic words:

The Bastile shall be destroyed, and the people shall dance on the area where it stood?" It is a singular coincidence that the key of that noted prison was brought here by Lafayette, and presented to Washirgton, as a trophy of copular triumph over despotic power, and by Washington deposited at Mount Vernon, where it yet remains; and that a fortress erected in New York Harbor, to defend the State, named Lafayette, in honor of that apostle of Liberty, should be the first place of imprisonment selected by this Administration for citizens arrested without law, so that "Fort Lafayette" is look known the world over, as the "Modern Bastile?" I Loud applause.

I ask gentlemen to read the causes of the revolution of 1688, which dethromed James the Zi and inengurated the reign of William and Mary. The principal cause of that revolution was the attempted repeal or disregerd by King James, of the writ of Habeas Corpus. But although that despotic monarch desired to sus-

pend, or disregard the writ, he had not the power to do it. The most notable proof of this is found in the historical fact, to which I now refer. The Kiug had a mistress named Mrs. Sedley, whom he desired to discard, and to remove from England. I quote from Macintosh: volume second, page 61

"James sent Lord Middleton, one of his Secretaries of State, to desire that she should leave Whitehall, only go to Holland, to which country a pacht was in readmess to convey her "hole to her heave in St. James Square. "protested against going to the Continent, where means might be found of immuring her in a convent for life. When threamend with hem freilly carried abroad, she appended to the Great Charter against such an invasion, of the liberty of the subject."

Yes! this feeble woman, the creature of the king's pleasure, returned to her own housewhich, by the law of England, is a castle whose walls, whether of stone or of wood, are a protection against the power even of the mosarch, and appealed to the Great Charter agains' such an invasion of the liberty of the subject; and the royal perugative of King James was in vain invoked for her removal from the realm. What could not be done in England two hundred years ago even by order of the king, can be done now without resistance in this Democratic Republic, and that, too, on the mere fix of a clerk or a policeman. And this is done where the Constitution guarantees liberty to all white men, and where a powerful party at the North, not content with this, is endeavoring to pervert a war undertaken for the preservation of that Constitution, into a war against the dowestic institution of the Southern States, so that at the expense of that Constitution, it may secure freedom for the Black race too; and who are willing for this pur, cse to surrender the rights of all white civizens. I say, Mr. Chairman, this is done without resistance; but it is only because the people have trusted to the ballot rather than to the bullet or the bayonet But they have now spoken. The peaceful remedy has been sought by them, and their wil is known. If it is disregarded; if the popular voice, pesceful but powerful, is unheeded, and again ontrages are attempted upon loyal ci izens I teli you, sir, and you gentlemen of the Committee, there will be resistance, and there should be resistance, even though it lead to revolution. [Loud and enthusiastic applause.

THE PLEA OF NECESSITY.

There has been no attempt Mr. Chairman, to defend the course of the Administration upon Constitutional grounds, or by any written law, but it has been justified on the plea of "necessity." We are told that a great rebellion is facing us—that an enemy whom our white troops cannot conquer is in the field, and that there is a "necessity" for these arbitrary proceedings. "Necessity" is always the plea of tyrants—it knows no limitation except the will of him who makes it, and he who acts upon it takes the responsibility of accounting to man and his Maker for its exercise. The gentleman from Chenango (Mr. Prindle) says, "must I

not defend myself—mest I not defend my wife, my child or my servant if they are attacked, and take life in their defence?" Yes; but the law says that when stucked, before you resort to the ultimate first law of nature, you must use overy other meats within your reach. You must "retreat to the walk," and only strike when no other means of retreat is left. If a man threatens violence against you, or you suppect he is going to commit it, are your to draw a revolver and shoot him down? Such would be the course justified by the argument of the gentleman from Chevango, but such is not the course marked out my law, or by reason, by the law of man or the "nigher law" we all respect.

Again, we have been asked. "if a building were on fire, wou'd you not blow up the adj ining onse, if recessary, to put a stop to the or inflagration and preventice distriction of the whole city? Yes, but if the Capitol at Washington working it is. I would not wantonly blow up the Carliel at Albary. I would do what necessity or ir demanded. I would destroy, if recessing the buildings on the spot, or contiguous to the place where the fire was raging, but not the area distance, where the flames con at reach a d where all around is in security. Our troops recently hombarded Fredwick bur.; tub. to um this was required to weaken the c. e.v. would the government be justified in bomb rejeg or burning New York or Buston? While we confine ourselves to the necessary dest up is of the civies of the enemy, we are ja tifled in the eyes of the world, and by the law of palicie; but when we turn our guns. on any pretended p' a of "recessity," against a city not in bestill y, but friendly or neutral, we ought to meet with resistance, and that resi tance is just find in the eyes of God and man. But more; that w f Nations, of humanity and religin condings be oct. And can you tell no. Sir, that is this great State, that has given more teas any colors in m n and money for the war-that he proved its loyalty by the blood of it brivest so a-that brightled its treasure whout count g :- " rais any "necessity" for the erbirms overs and kidnepping of citizers, pur if fa to of any clime, could be tried in the Courts and unished under the law? I opent, Sir, "moon, low in the plea of Tyrants." It was used by Napala n III. in justification of his surve sin our transcriber of the coople, and his overthrow of the D meetatic Republic, and has been used by everth spot who has deprived the people of the 'list A' d when the judge of the 'necessal 2' is the Christ Ma istrate of the Mation, and the Commander-in-Chief of the Army it is the rule de gerous, because he who uses it has con of soch of the Purse and

"WHERE ARE WE DRIFTING?"

of him who makes it, and he who acts upon it takes the responsibility of accounting to man and hie Maker for its exercise. The gentleman subject of arbitrary acrests. I add a few words from Chenango (Mr. Prindle) says, "must I in reference to one remark of the gentleman

been pained to read an article in the Albany Evening Journal, headed, "where sre we drifting ?" But, Sir, let me tell the geutleman that this is a question that is asked, and that must be answered; where are we drifting? When the war was commenced it was by a unanimous vote of Congress, and by the word of the President. declared to be a war for the restoration of the Union, the supremacy of the Constitution. and the enforcement of the laws. In such a war, the sympathies of all loyal men were at once enlisted. The people of New York, with a promptness and liberality unequaled elsewhere furnished money for the necessities of Government, and men to fight the battles of the Union. So liberal was our State in support of the war. that to-day, with all her power and influencewith four millions of people within her borders, with her annual Canal Revenue of five millions. of dollars, New York by her contributions to the Federal Government is left without arms enough within the State, to supply a single Regiment. Her Arsenals are empty-everything has been yielded to the Federal Government, even to the arms of her National Guard And after this proof of devotion-and the sacrifices she has made for the Union, a majority of her people, for condeming the Administrative acts of the Central Power-are denounced as "traitors," by the party responsible for the conduct of the Government-and the solemn pledges to prosecute the war for the restoration of the Union only are violated, our citizens incarcerated in military prisons and military commissions organized for the trial of civilians. In view of such alarming facts-"where" indeed are we drifting?

But these are not the only evidence of danger. Leading papers of the Republican party are advocating a separation of the Union, and de claring that unless we can conquer the Rebels within six months, we must seek the "best available peace." Nor are these expressions hinting at separation, confined to newspapers only. Men high in position in the party-men who represent Republican constituents in Congress. have given utterance to similar opinions.

The gentleman from Essex has, it is true, professed to favor a restoration of the Union as it was, under the Constitution as it is. In that sentiment we most cordially agree I am ready to make any sacrifice for it, or to agree to any comropmise, except one which would conflict with principle. But I ask the gentleman if this is the position of all the members of his party? Let me refer him to what Mr. Stevens said in the House of Representatives. I quote from a recent speech, in which he distinctly repudiates any allegiance to, or regard for the Constitution, and avows himself opposed to the restoration of the Union.

"I say, then, that we may admit West Virginia as a new State, not by virtue of any provision of the Constitusion, but under our abso ute power which the laws of war give us in the circumstances in which we are plac-ed. I shall vote for this bill upon that theory, and u on

from Essex. I understood him that he had that we have any warrant in the Constitution for il is proceeding.
This talk of restoring the Union as it was, under he

Constitution as it is, is one of the absurdities which I have heard repeated, until I have become about sid of The Union can never be restored as it was are many things which render such an event impo . ble. This Union shall never, with my consent, be recirred under the Constitution as it is, with slavery to be protected by it."

This, sir, is the position taken by the leader of the administration in Congress! Well may the gentleman from Essex, and well may we all repeat the enquiry made by Mr. Weed, the veteran commander of the forces who have for so many years, under various names, given battle to the Democracy—"Where are we drifting?" I tell the gentleman from Essex, and I repeat it to this House and to the people of the State, if this doctrine is to be endorsed, which has been uttered by Mr. Stevens in Congress, and echoed through the abolition press of the North, THIS UNION IS DISSOLVED !- and that, too, without the hope of restoration! We may as well comprehend it now, as hereafter. And when the fact becomes known that the Constitution, the sole bond of Union, is obsolete with the reigning dynasty at Washington, the South will not go alone. We already hear the distant rumbling of the thunder presaging the coming storm from Ohio, Indiana, Illinois and Kentucky. The great West will follow in the course of the mighty flow of waters down the Mississippi, and join the South in the separation. You cannot prevent it. That father of waters drains more than 1,250,000 square miles of fertile land above its confluence with the Red The West must have its highway open. and it will be opened to its mouth. King Cotton, King Negro. or King Abolition can dam it. And, Mr. Chairman, when it is opened, the people bordering opon the Mississippi and its tributaries will determine their New York will be forced to make a her choice. It will be for her to say whether she will see her capals idle, her railroads nnemployed, her rivers destitute of their wonted commerce or whether they shall continue to be richly freighted with the produce and merchandize of the continent. [Loud applause.

These questions must be considered now .--They must be met by men who dare to look them in the face. We cannot avoid them if we would, and should not if we could I hesitate not. Mr. Chairman, to denounce this war if it is to be carried on for the purpose of changing the condition of the races in the Sonthern States and not for the restoration of the Union under the the Constitution; and I express only the sentiments of sane men every where, when I tell you the child is not born that will see the end of it! But if 'the administration adopt a policy-for it has had none yet-and announces to the world that the war is to be prosecuted for the restoration and not for the destruction of the Union; if the President will recall his proclamation; if Congress will reverse its unconstitutional course and repeal its proscriptive abolition measures; that alone; for I will not stultify myself by supporting if the preservation of the Union and the Consti-

tution shall be taken as the "Shibboleth" of the Abraham Lincoln is the sole judge of war, then enthusiasm will again prevail in the ranks of our army; the legislature will have no need to pass bounty acts; the people will rally around the government, and the Union will be restored, and there is not power in the South or on the earth to prevent it! North and South, East and West, our great rivers, our railroads, our works of internal improvement, bind us together by a golden chain. This Union will be restored; and as for me, I will never consent to any peace that shall contemplate the division of our territory, or the destruction of our Nationality.

But if we say to the Southern States, "we mean to subjugate you-to change your social institutions-to change the map and make you dependent colonies"-and this war shall be conducted for these objects, then our armies will disappear as did the armed hosts of the Assy-

rian before the breath of the Lord.

These are not imaginary but real issues. They are before us to day and must be met He who seeks to evade them is a knave-he who dare not meet them is a coward. no time to pause and enquire who is to blame. Let us passover that for the present at least, in silence, and act together in advocacy of that policy by which alone the Union can be restored and the nation saved from distruction. And let us not forget, sir, that there should be no trifling with the feelings or the passions of the People. Let those who as partizans uphold and justify the arbitrary acts of the administration against loyal citizens. remember that we Democrats can now turn the tables upon them. We are no longer an insignificant-a down trodden minority, but a powerful party-a majority in the State The Executive of the State of New York has as much right within its boundaries to arrest without warrant—to hold subject to his will-to suspend habeas corpus, as has the President in any State or Territory Do contlemen who are justifying such measures propose them as examples for Governor Seymour to imitate? If it is an "Executive" power-if the Executive is the sole judge of the ne cossity for its exercise—then the Executive of a State as its Commander in Chief occupies the same position on this subject as the President. and long continued applause.

the "necessity," and if he can suspend the writ three hundred miles from where he sits, HOBA-TIO SEYMOUR HAS SIMILAR POWER WITHIN THE LIMITS OF HIS OWN STATE-and he too may judge of what is a "disloyal practice." You Rooublicans, will soon learn that this is a game at which two can play. If you mean to carry it on-if you continue to imprison loyal citizens without letting them know their crimes or see beir accusers; by mere arbitrary orders from your President, his Secretary, his libertine marshals, or any cf his miserable tipstaffs—hen, sir I tell you we will be free despite the Proclamations, and the Chief Magistrate of New York will see that we are! [Immense applause.] Let me not be understood as predicting any such action on the part of our Executive. I believe this matter has been so thoroughly discussed, and that the people have made their voices heard so distinctly, that there will be no more arrests. I believe there never was a time when the State of New York was more loyal than it is to-day; but I say, we owe it to curselves to see that the sovereignty of this State and the rights of her citizens are vindicated, that the Constitutions of the United States, and of the State of New York are each preserved; and they can only be preserved by being observed by those who are called upon to administer the laws-and by the prompt punishment of every officer who knowingly violates either.

I have thus as briefly as I could uttered my conviction. If in doing so, I have spoken earnestly, it is because I feel deeply—if my words indicate despondency—it is because I see no bow of promise spanning the dark arch of the political heavens-yet, even now, after all the vacilation-the fraudulent practicesthe actual and attempted wrongs-the violation of compacts-of co stitutional guarantees -the inhumanity and the criminality of the National Administration-FAITH. star-eyed, penetrates the gloom and sees beyond the clouds that limit our vision blazing in the sky of Nations, the Constellation of the union shining IN ITS ORIGINAL EFFULGENCE-WITH NO MIS-SING PLEIAD-THE STARS ALL THERE. [Loud

















