

federal register

January 24, 1975—Pages 3757-3964

FRIDAY, JANUARY 24, 1975

WASHINGTON, D.C.

Volume 40 ■ Number 17

Pages 3757-3964



PART I

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

This listing does not affect the legal status of any document published in this issue. Detailed table of contents appears inside.

SECURITIES EXCHANGES, ASSOCIATIONS, BROKERS, AND DEALERS —SEC requires financial reports for customers	3765
FOSTER GRANDPARENT AND SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAMS —ACTION revises income eligibility levels for certain individuals; effective 1-23-75.....	3798
FOOD DISTRIBUTION PROGRAM —USDA/FNS amends general regulations and policies; effective 1-24-75.....	3757
PROPERTY MANAGEMENT —DOT/FHA clarifies applicability of right-of-way regulations for property-acquisition..	3767
DRUG-LABELING REQUIREMENTS —HEW/FDA proposes deletion of exemptions in certain cases; comments by 3-25-75	3783
ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS —HEW/FDA certifies nystatin lotion; effective 1-24-75.....	3766
NEW ANIMAL DRUGS —HEW/FDA approves use of certain drugs in making swine feed; effective 1-24-75.....	3766
MEETINGS —	
HEW: National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, 2-14 and 2-15-75.....	3796
FDA: Panel on Review of Miscellaneous External Drug Products, 2-23 and 2-24-75.....	3793
DOT/FAA: United States Terminal Instrument Procedures Advisory Committee, 3-3 thru 3-7-75.....	3798
National Advisory Council on Supplementary Centers and Services: Committee and Project Reports, 2-20 and 2-21-75.....	3802

(Continued Inside)

PART II:

COMMERCIAL RADIO OPERATOR LICENSES—
FCC revises fee schedule; effective 3-1-75..... 3843

PART III:

MINIMUM WAGES—Labor/ESA issues general determination decisions for Federal and Federally assisted construction..... 3859

PART IV:

RESIDUAL FUEL OIL ALLOCATION—FEA establishes supplier percentages for February, 1975... 3947

reminders

Note: There were no items that are eligible for inclusion in the list of RULES GOING INTO EFFECT TODAY.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue only may be made by dialing 202-523-5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202-523-5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202-523-5022.

federal register

Phone 523-5240

Area Code 202



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The FEDERAL REGISTER provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$45 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

Commission of Fine Arts: Public Projects, 2-19-75.....	3799	Advisory Panel for Systematic Biology, 2-10 and 2-11-75	3804
Interior: Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, 2-13 and 2-14-75	3792	NASA: NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems, 2-19 and 2-20-75.....	3802
CSC: Federal Employees Pay Council, 2-19-75.....	3799	National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities: Museum Advisory Panel, 2-10, 2-13 thru 2-14, and 2-20-75	3803
National Science Foundation:			
Advisory Panel for Astronomy, 2-10 and 2-11-75	3803		
Advisory Panel for Environmental Biology, 2-10 and 2-11-75	3803		
Energy-Related Graduate Traineeships Evaluation Subpanel, 2-10 and 2-11-75	3804		

contents

ACTION		ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY		FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION	
Notices		Rules		Rules	
Foster Grandparent and Senior Companion Programs; income eligibility levels.....	3798	Air quality; State implementation plans: California.....	3767	National Flood Insurance Program:	
AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE		Proposed Rules		Areas eligible for the sale of insurance (4 documents) ..	3770-3772
Rules		Freedom of Information; fee schedules	3786	Special hazard areas.....	3773
Handling limitations:		EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION		FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION	
Grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Fla.....	3759	Proposed Rules		Rules	
Lemons grown in Calif.....	3758	Section 706 Agencies; designation; correction.....	3786	Prohibited trade practices:	
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT		FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION		Century 21 Homes Inc. et al... 3761	
See Agricultural Marketing Service; Food and Nutrition Service; Rural Electrification Administration; Soil Conservation Service.		Rules		William D. Campbell Jr. et al... 3762	
ALCOHOL, TOBACCO, AND FIREARMS BUREAU		Airworthiness directives:		FINE ARTS COMMISSION	
Notices		AIRResearch	3759	Notices	
Firearms; granting of relief.....	3789	Control zone.....	3760	Meeting	3799
CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION		Control zone and transition area..	3760	FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE	
Notices		Standard instrument approach procedures	3761	Notices	
Meetings:		Transition area.....	3760	Endangered species permit:	
Federal Employees Pay Council... 3799		VOR Federal airways.....	3760	Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens	3790
COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY		Proposed Rules		FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	
Notices		Airworthiness directives:		Rules	
Environmental statements; availability	3799	Bell	3784	Animal drugs:	
EDUCATION OFFICE		Control zone and transition area..	3784	Tylosin	3766
Notices		Transition areas (2 documents) ..	3785	Human drugs:	
Application closing date:		Notices		Nystatin lotion.....	3766
Indian elementary and secondary school assistance.....	3796	Meetings:		Proposed Rules	
EMPLOYMENT STANDARDS ADMINISTRATION		Terminal Instrument Procedures Advisory Committee... 3798		Drug-labeling requirements; deletion of exemption.....	3783
Notices		FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION		Notices	
Minimum wages for Federal and federally assisted construction; general wage determination decisions, modifications, and supersedeas decisions.....	3859	Rules		Advisory committee renewals:	
ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION		Fee schedule.....	3844	Laxative, Antidiarrheal, Antiemetic, and Emetic Drug Review Panel.....	3794
Notices		FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION		Medical Radiation Committee... 3794	
Official seal; adoption; correction	3802	Notices		Food additives:	
		National utility residual fuel oil allocation; supplier percentage notice for February, 1975.....	3947	E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co... 3794	
		FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION		Frog legs, frozen; agreement between India and United States... 3795	
		Rules		Human drugs:	
		Right-of-way and environment:		Deanol Acetamidobenzoate; opportunity for hearing; correction	3796
		Property management function; applicability	3767	Meetings:	
		FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD		Advisory committees.....	3793
		Notices		Radiation emission from and human exposure to electronic products; memorandum of understanding between Canada and United States.....	3794
		Applications, etc.:			
		Bass Financial Corp.....	3802		

CONTENTS

FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE					
Rules					
Food distribution; donation of foods for United States, its territories and possessions, and areas under its jurisdiction.....	3757	Fourth section application for relief.....	3813	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH ADMINISTRATION	
FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION		Hearing Assignments.....	3813	Proposed Rules	
Proposed Rules		Rerouting of traffic:		Employment related housing (temporary labor camps); additional hearing location.....	3787
Freedom of information; fee schedules.....	3786	Burlington Northern Inc. and Chicago, Rock Island & Pacific Railroad Co.....	3813	RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION	
GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE				Notices	
Notices		LABOR DEPARTMENT		Environmental statement: Generation and transmission facilities, Wis.....	3792
Regulatory reports review: Federal Trade Commission.....	3802	See Employment Standards Administration; Occupational Safety and Health Administration.		SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION	
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE DEPARTMENT		LAND MANAGEMENT BUREAU		Rules	
See also Education Office; Food and Drug Administration; Social Security Administration.		Notices		Financial statements furnished to customers of broker-dealers....	3765
Notices		Environmental statement: EHV transmission line corridor, Ariz.....	3790	Notices	
Meetings:		MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE		Option plans:	
Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research, Protection, National Commission.....	3796	Notices		Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (2 documents) ..	3809, 3810
Organization and functions: Health Resources Administration.....	3797	Clearance of reports; list of requests.....	3808	<i>Hearings, etc.:</i>	
HEARINGS AND APPEALS OFFICE		NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON SUPPLEMENTARY CENTERS AND SERVICES		Arkansas Louisiana Gas Co. and Greyhound Corp.....	3810
Notices		Notices		Bio-Medical Sciences Inc.....	3809
Applications, etc.: Old Ben Coal Co.....	3792	Meeting.....	3802	Continental Vending Machine Corp.....	3809
HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT		NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION		Jersey Central Power & Light Co.....	3809
See Federal Insurance Administration.		Notices		Robert Shelley Productions, Ltd.....	3811
INTERIOR DEPARTMENT		Meetings:		Wellington Fund, Inc. et al....	3811
See also Fish and Wildlife Service; Hearings and Appeals Office; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.		NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council....	3802	SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION	
Notices		NATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES		Rules	
Meetings:		Notices		Federal health insurance for aged and disabled; independent laboratories; correction.....	3765
Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel.....	3792	Meeting: Museum Advisory Panel.....	3803	SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE	
INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION		NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION		Notices	
Rules		Notices		Environmental statement: Upper Medicine Creek Watershed, Nebr.....	3793
Car service orders: Reading Co.....	3767	Petition for exemption: Vintage Reproductions, Inc....	3798	Equipment grant eligibility determination; Tygarts Valley District, W. Va.....	3793
Rules of practice; paper conservation.....	3769	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE		STATE DEPARTMENT	
Proposed Rules		Notices		Notices	
Operational circuitry reduction; petition to enlarge rulemaking..	3787	National Capital Parks; visitor transportation services.....	3792	Art object; determination.....	3789
Notices		NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION		TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT	
Abandonment:		Notices		See Federal Aviation Administration; Federal Highway Administration; National Highway Traffic Safety Administration.	
Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co.....	3814	Meetings, advisory panel:		TREASURY DEPARTMENT	
Lehigh Valley Railroad.....	3814	Astronomy.....	3803	See also Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms Bureau.	
Union Pacific Railroad Co.....	3814	Environmental Biology.....	3803	Notices	
		Science Education Projects....	3804	Antidumping:	
		Systematic Biology.....	3804	Batteries, rechargeable sealed nickel-cadmium, from Japan..	3790
		NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION			
		Notices			
		Applications, etc.:			
		Cleveland Electric Illuminating Co. et al.....	3807		
		Public Service Company of Oklahoma.....	3806		
		Environmental statements:			
		Liquid metal fast breeder reactor program.....	3804		

list of cfr parts affected

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.
 A cumulative guide is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since January 1, 1974, and specifies how they are affected.

7 CFR		21 CFR		40 CFR	
250.....	3757	135.....	3766	52.....	3767
910.....	3758	135e.....	3766	PROPOSED RULES:	
912.....	3759	449.....	3766	2.....	3786
14 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:		45 CFR	
39.....	3759	3.....	3783	PROPOSED RULES:	
71 (4 documents).....	3760	23 CFR		503.....	3786
97.....	3761	713.....	3767	47 CFR	
PROPOSED RULES:		24 CFR		1.....	3844
39.....	3784	1914 (4 documents).....	3770, 3772	13.....	3844
71 (3 documents).....	3784, 3785	1915.....	3773	49 CFR	
16 CFR		29 CFR		1033.....	3767
13 (2 documents).....	3761, 3762	PROPOSED RULES:		1100.....	3769
17 CFR		1601.....	3786	PROPOSED RULES:	
240.....	3765	1910.....	3787	1090.....	3787
20 CFR					
405.....	3765				

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED—JANUARY

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during January.

1 CFR		3 CFR—Continued		7 CFR—Continued	
PROPOSED RULES:		PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS OR EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		PROPOSED RULES—Continued	
203.....	2709	Memorandum of December 30, 1974.....	1221	52.....	3217
2 CFR		Message to Congress.....	1637	55.....	1706, 2694
PROPOSED RULES:		Notice of International Trade Negotiations.....	2670	56.....	1706, 2694
2.....	2592			59.....	1706, 2694
3 CFR		5 CFR		68.....	3007
PROCLAMATIONS:		213.....	1499,	70.....	1706, 2694
4339.....	749	1681, 2173, 2435, 2575, 3285, 3405		210.....	3452
4340.....	3553	294.....	2436	220.....	2697
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		352.....	1223	916.....	1515
6073 (Revoked in part by EO 11825).....	1003	PROPOSED RULES:		917.....	1516
6260 (Revoked by EO 11825).....	1003	1302.....	3477	980.....	2819
6359 (Revoked in part by EO 11825).....	1003	2401.....	2214	981.....	2589
6556 (Revoked by EO 11825).....	1003	294.....	3313	989.....	787, 788
6560 (See EO 11825).....	1003	6 CFR		1001.....	2589
10289 (Revoked in part by EO 11825).....	1003	602.....	3572	1004.....	2589
10896 (Revoked by EO 11825).....	1003	7 CFR		1002.....	2589
10905 (Revoked by EO 11825).....	1003	2.....	2419, 2991, 3285, 3572	1006.....	2589
11037 (Revoked by EO 11825).....	1003	6.....	2791	1007.....	2589
11126 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	51.....	2791	1011.....	2589
11145 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	180.....	1026	1012.....	2589
11183 (Commission continued by EO 11827).....	1217	215.....	3573	1013.....	2589
11287 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	220.....	1499, 3405	1015.....	2589
11342 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	225.....	3406	1030.....	2589
11415 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	246.....	3573	1032.....	2589
11472 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	250.....	3757	1033.....	2589
11562 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	270.....	1882	1036.....	2589
11583 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	271.....	1884, 2204	1040.....	2589
11625 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	272.....	1894	1044.....	2589
11667 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	273.....	1897	1046.....	2589
11753 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	274.....	1899	1049.....	2589
11756 (See EO 11824).....	751	301.....	1223	1050.....	2589
11768 (Amended by EO 11831).....	2413	401.....	1701, 1703	1060.....	2589
11776 (Committee continued by EO 11827).....	1217	722.....	1704, 2992	1061.....	2589
11807 (Council continued by EO 11827).....	1217	730.....	1027	1062.....	2589
11814 (See EO 11834).....	2971	874.....	1028	1063.....	2589
11824.....	751	905.....	2792	1064.....	2589
11825.....	1003	906.....	3286	1065.....	2589
11826.....	1004	907.....	753, 1228, 1704, 3214, 3285, 3573	1068.....	2589
11827.....	1217	910.....	753, 1228, 2205, 3004, 3758	1069.....	2589
11828.....	1219	911.....	2793	1070.....	2589
11829.....	1497	912.....	2206, 3004, 3759	1071.....	2589
11830.....	2411	913.....	2206, 3005	1073.....	2589
11831.....	2413	915.....	2677	1075.....	2589
11832.....	2415	916.....	1499	1076.....	2589
11833.....	2673	944.....	2793	1078.....	2589
11834.....	2971	959.....	3407	1079.....	2589
		971.....	1028, 2794	1090.....	2589
		981.....	3005	1094.....	2589
		1139.....	2694, 3214	1096.....	2589
		1430.....	3286	1097.....	2589
		1421.....	1029	1098.....	2589
		1434.....	2726	1099.....	2589
		1474.....	1705	1101.....	2589
		1806.....	2420	1102.....	2589
		1822.....	1229	1104.....	2589
		PROPOSED RULES:		1106.....	2589
		1.....	3604	1108.....	2589
		20.....	1711	1120.....	2589
		26.....	2208, 3217	1121.....	7, 2589
				1124.....	2589
				1125.....	2589
				1126.....	7, 2589
				1127.....	7, 2589
				1128.....	7, 2589
				1129.....	7, 2589
				1130.....	7, 2589
				1131.....	2589
				1132.....	2589
				1133.....	2589

FEDERAL REGISTER

7 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

1134	2589
1136	2589
1136	2589
1137	2589
1138	2589
1139	2589, 2695, 3218
1207	2697
1427	3601
1434	2726, 3310

8 CFR

100	3407
108	3407
214	2794
235	3210A
238	3408
242	3408
299	3210A, 3408

PROPOSED RULES:

103	2817
-----	------

9 CFR

73	757, 3574
78	2173
91	2691
97	757
113	757, 2691, 2692
304	2575
305	2576
317	2576
445	1500
447	1500

PROPOSED RULES:

112	788
113	788
114	788

10 CFR

1	1230
2	2973, 2974
35	3210B
50	2974, 3210C
51	2978
205	3210D
210	2795
211	2560, 2692, 3467
212	2795, 3467

PROPOSED RULES:

9	2714
19	799
20	799
40	2209
51	1005
170	3010
207	2212
211	3467
212	3467

12 CFR

225	2677
226	1681
265	1505
745	1505, 3287

PROPOSED RULES:

7	2836
226	1717
261	3474
309	2715
329	2212
404	2449
505	2715
523	1277

12 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES—Continued

524	1277
525	1277
526	1277
532	1277
544	3011
545	1076, 1278, 3011
556	1278
561	1076, 3011
563	1076, 3011
571	1279
588	1279
602	2590
720	2591

13 CFR

101	2419
107	1230, 1231
120	1682
123	3210D
301	1029
304	2796

PROPOSED RULES:

102	3014
-----	------

14 CFR

21	1029, 2173, 2420, 2576, 2797
23	2577
25	2577
29	2420
36	1029, 2173, 2797
39	1
	2, 1036, 1037, 1232, 1682, 2797, 2978, 2979, 3287, 3288, 3408, 3574, 3575, 3759
71	299, 1038, 1507, 1508, 1682, 2421, 2422, 2577, 3210K, 3289, 3409, 3575, 3760

73	299, 1038
75	299
91	2420
95	2577, 3576
97	1232, 3576, 3577, 3761
121	1039
228	2797
239	1039
288	1040, 3578
372a	1233
399	3409

PROPOSED RULES:

21	1061, 2823
36	1061, 2823
39	1711, 3312, 3784
49	2445
71	1059
	1061, 1518, 2824, 2825, 3220, 3312, 3313, 3611, 3784, 3785
73	1518, 3220
91	1072
310	2826
385	2826
389	2826
401	2446
1206	2716

15 CFR

377	1041, 2174
399	1041
923	1683

PROPOSED RULES:

4	2821
---	------

16 CFR

2	760
3	761

16 CFR—Continued

4	761
13	761, 3761, 3762
1500	1480

PROPOSED RULES:

4	2450
438	2450
439	2451
Ch. II	3276
302	3279
1500	1480, 1488, 1491, 2211, 2212
1512	1493, 2211, 2212
1700	2827

17 CFR

200	1009, 3222
210	1012
211	2678
231	1695, 2678
240	1012, 2678, 3765
241	1695, 2678
249	1013
251	2678

PROPOSED RULES:

1	789
200	3222
210	1078, 1079
240	1079, 1520, 1719, 2215
249	1079

18 CFR

2	2579, 3410
157	3410
260	2680

PROPOSED RULES:

1	1077
2	2716
3	1077
154	2716
157	2716

19 CFR

10	3448
141	3448
171	2797

PROPOSED RULES:

1	5, 3452
4	2437
111	2437, 3299
152	2437
174	2437
177	2437
201	2452

20 CFR

404	1233, 2683
405	1022, 3219, 3765
416	1508
614	3

PROPOSED RULES:

200	3317
405	797, 1057
730	791

21 CFR

2	2580, 2979
29	2798
121	2580
	2581, 2683, 2793, 2799, 2980, 3289
135	1013, 2422, 3448, 3766
135c	1013, 1014, 3448
135e	1013, 2422, 2800, 3766
449	3766
450	1512
1308	1236

FEDERAL REGISTER

21 CFR—Continued

PROPOSED RULES:	
3.....	3783
132.....	2822
133.....	2822
940.....	8
1304.....	787
1308.....	787

22 CFR

22.....	2800
51.....	1512
61.....	2423
PROPOSED RULES:	
6.....	2443
42.....	1515
212.....	2442
503.....	3317

23 CFR

490.....	2581
625.....	2179
653.....	3584
712.....	2179
713.....	3767
PROPOSED RULES:	
655.....	2708

24 CFR

58.....	1392
203.....	2800
205.....	3, 2800
207.....	2800
213.....	2800
220.....	2801
221.....	2801
232.....	2801
234.....	2801
235.....	2801
236.....	2801
241.....	2801
242.....	2802
244.....	2802
300.....	2683, 3210K
570.....	2582
1275.....	3734
1914.....	766,
	767, 2180, 2181, 2424, 2425, 3585,
	3586, 3770-3772
1915.....	767,
	776, 2182-2203, 2425, 3586, 3773
PROPOSED RULES:	
1280.....	1902
1909.....	3310
1911.....	3310
1914.....	3585, 3586
1915.....	3586

25 CFR

91.....	3586
PROPOSED RULES:	
41.....	3309
221.....	787

26 CFR

1.....	1014, 1236, 1238, 1697, 2683, 2802, 3289
3.....	1237
11.....	1016
20.....	1240
25.....	1240
PROPOSED RULES:	
1.....	1044, 1250, 2694, 3007
31.....	1251, 3299
301.....	1044, 3299

27 CFR

4.....	1240
28 CFR	
0.....	3409
4a.....	3210K
PROPOSED RULES:	
16.....	2443

29 CFR

99.....	2360
512.....	4
1601.....	3210M
1910.....	
1925.....	3593
1952.....	1512, 3593
2200.....	3594
2555.....	2203

PROPOSED RULES:

70.....	2705
103.....	2591, 3220
1208.....	2451
1601.....	3220, 3786
1610.....	3011
1908.....	2703
1910.....	797, 2822, 3392, 3787
1952.....	1082, 3606

31 CFR

316.....	754
PROPOSED RULES:	
1.....	2836
223.....	786, 2694

32 CFR

737.....	1402
870.....	3555
1459.....	1240
1470.....	1240
1811.....	3212

PROPOSED RULES:

286.....	2208
1608.....	2593
Ch. XIX.....	3010
Ch. XXI.....	3612

33 CFR

110.....	1016, 2688
117.....	3290
127.....	1016
210.....	2582

PROPOSED RULES:

117.....	3311
207.....	3601
209.....	2816
263.....	1612
380.....	1619
384.....	1620

34 CFR

232.....	3290
----------	------

35 CFR

67.....	2204, 3595
253.....	3213
PROPOSED RULES:	
9.....	3316

36 CFR

7.....	762
231.....	3290
PROPOSED RULES:	
404.....	2447
405.....	2447

38 CFR

3.....	1241
36.....	1513

PROPOSED RULES:

1.....	2829
--------	------

39 CFR

281.....	2179
----------	------

PROPOSED RULES:

262.....	3220
3001.....	2451

40 CFR

52.....	2585,
	2802, 3413-3444, 3566-3570, 3767
60.....	2803
85.....	3447
120.....	1041
180.....	1042,
	1043, 1241, 2179, 2586, 2803, 3571
406.....	915
416.....	3718
418.....	2650
426.....	2952
427.....	1874
428.....	2334
429.....	2804
432.....	902

PROPOSED RULES:

2.....	3786
52.....	1711, 2212, 2448, 2832, 2833, 3465
171.....	2528, 3010
180.....	1276, 1519, 2448
204.....	3466
205.....	3467
406.....	921
415.....	1712
416.....	3730
418.....	2654
426.....	2963
427.....	1879
428.....	2347
429.....	2833, 2834
432.....	912
443.....	2352

41 CFR

1-1.....	2810
1-2.....	2811
1-7.....	2812
Ch. 5.....	3291
7-1.....	3449
7-4.....	3449
7-7.....	3449
5A-60.....	3291
9-5.....	3294
9-7.....	2587
9-12.....	2587
9-16.....	2587
14-1.....	2812
14-3.....	2812
15-7.....	3595
15-12.....	3595
Ch. 51.....	2980
101-18.....	2587
105-63.....	2668
114-1.....	3450
114-3.....	3450
114-25.....	3450, 3596
114-26.....	3450
114-43.....	3451

PROPOSED RULES:


105-60.....	2838
105-61.....	3474

FEDERAL REGISTER

42 CFR		45 CFR—Continued		47 CFR—Continued	
37-----	3294	PROPOSED RULES—Continued		PROPOSED RULES:	
PROPOSED RULES:		612-----	3313	21-----	800
23-----	1204	1100-----	3014	73-----	801;
50-----	3218	1215-----	3462		1714, 1716, 2449, 2710, 2712,
59-----	2823				2713, 2828
66-----	3074	46 CFR		76-----	3223
72-----	8	35-----	2689	49 CFR	
43 CFR		78-----	2689	1-----	3412, 3596
1780-----	3294	97-----	2689	173-----	2435
4110-----	2812	196-----	2689	178-----	2435
PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:		221-----	2434	217-----	2690
5462-----	1017	503-----	2983	571-----	4, 1246, 1248, 2989, 3296, 3597
PROPOSED RULES:		PROPOSED RULES:		576-----	3296
2920-----	2818	10-----	3610	1001-----	3215
3500-----	2590	12-----	3610	1033-----	1700,
3520-----	2590	30-----	2707		2587, 2691, 2990-2991, 3597, 3767
45 CFR		151-----	2707	1064-----	1248
75-----	1242	167-----	3311	1100-----	3769
141-----	1017	283-----	2445	1125-----	1624, 3215
177-----	2813	538-----	1280	1208-----	2500
233-----	2435	47 CFR		PROPOSED RULES:	
1060-----	3213	0-----	2985	7-----	3456
PROPOSED RULES:		1-----	3844	213-----	1076
Ch. I-----	3607	2-----	1243, 2813, 3596	395-----	2208
11-----	3712	5-----	2813	571-----	10
19-----	2707	13-----	3844	575-----	1273
63-----	1516	73-----	1700	581-----	10
99-----	1208, 2208	76-----	2690, 3296	Ch. VI-----	2534
103-----	8	81-----	2435, 2986, 2988	1001-----	1718
166-----	3382	83-----	2986	1090-----	3787
182-----	3007	87-----	2988	1124-----	801
189-----	1053	89-----	2988	50 CFR	
250-----	2707	91-----	1021, 2988	28-----	762, 763, 1701, 3297
503-----	3786	93-----	2988	33-----	764, 1701, 2815, 3297, 3599
		95-----	1243, 2988, 3596	216-----	764
		97-----	2988	PROPOSED RULES:	
		99-----	2989	17-----	5
				21-----	2444
				216-----	2820
				219-----	2820

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES—JANUARY

Pages	Date
1-747-----	Jan. 2
749-1002-----	3
1003-1216-----	6
1217-1495-----	7
1497-1679-----	8
1681-2172-----	9
2173-2409-----	10
2411-2573-----	13
2575-2671-----	14
2673-2790-----	15
2791-2969-----	16
2971-3210-----	17
3210A-3283-----	20
3285-3404-----	21
3405-3552-----	22
3553-3755-----	23
3756-3964-----	24



rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER issue of each month.

Title 7—Agriculture

CHAPTER II—FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

SUBCHAPTER B—GENERAL REGULATIONS AND POLICIES—FOOD DISTRIBUTION

[Amdt. 28]

PART 250—DONATION OF FOODS FOR USE IN UNITED STATES, ITS TERRITORIES AND POSSESSIONS, AND AREAS UNDER ITS JURISDICTION

Miscellaneous Provisions

The regulations for the operation of the Food Distribution Program (31 FR 14297), as amended, are further amended to (1) update the quoted provisions of section 6 and add the new section 14 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-326, and add provisions of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973, as amended; (2) delete obsolete provisions relating to priority to be given to recipient agencies or recipients; and (3) delete the requirements that feeding operations conducted by food service management companies must be contracted for only on a fee-for-service basis and that contracts for such services must be approved by the appropriate Food and Nutrition Service Regional Office.

This amendment will enable the Department to distribute food more effectively in accordance with recent legislation which prescribes minimum levels of commodity assistance to certain eligible recipient agencies. In addition, this amendment will permit employment of food service management companies by institutions, summer camps for children, and nutrition programs for the elderly on the same basis that schools and service institutions may employ them under Parts 210, 220, and 225 of this chapter.

Since this amendment does not adversely affect the rights or obligations of any member of the public, in that it merely updates quoted statutes, deletes obsolete provisions, and removes restrictions on the use of food service management companies, it is hereby determined that compliance with the proposed rulemaking and public participation procedures is impracticable and unnecessary.

1. The authority citation for Part 250 is revised to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: (5 U.S.C. 301); sec. 32, 49 Stat. 774, as amended (7 U.S.C. 612c); 50 Stat. 323, as amended (15 U.S.C. 713c); secs. 6 and 9, 60 Stat. 231, 233, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1755, 1758); sec. 416, 63 Stat. 1058, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1431); sec. 402, 68 Stat. 843, as amended (22 U.S.C. 1922); sec. 210,

70 Stat. 202 (7 U.S.C. 1859); sec. 9, 72 Stat. 1792, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1431b); 74 Stat. 899, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1431 note); sec. 709, 79 Stat. 1212, as amended (7 U.S.C. 1446a-1); sec. 3, 82 Stat. 117, as amended (42 U.S.C. 1761); sec. 8, 80 Stat. 888 (42 U.S.C. 1777); sec. 707, 86 Stat. 94, as amended (42 U.S.C. 3045f); sec. 4, 87 Stat. 249, as amended (7 U.S.C. 612c note); sec. 2, 88 Stat. 286 (42 U.S.C. 1763).

2. In § 250.1, paragraph (b) is amended by revising subparagraph (6), by adding a new subsection to subparagraph (15), and by adding two new subparagraphs (16) and (17), as follows:

§ 250.1 General purpose and scope.

(b) Legislation. . . .

(6) Section 6 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended (hereinafter referred to as "section 6"), which reads in part as follows:

(a) The funds provided by appropriation or transfer from other accounts for any fiscal year for carrying out the provisions of this Act, and for carrying out the provisions of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, other than section 3 thereof, less (1) not to exceed 3½ per centum thereof which per centum is hereby made available to the Secretary for his administrative expenses under this Act and under the Child Nutrition Act of 1966; (2) the amount apportioned by him pursuant to sections 4 and 5 of this Act and the amount appropriated pursuant to sections 11 and 13 of this Act and sections 4, 5, and 7 of the Child Nutrition Act of 1966; and (3) not to exceed 1 per centum of the funds provided for carrying out the programs under this Act and the programs under the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, other than section 3 . . . shall be available to the Secretary during such year for direct expenditure by him for agricultural commodities and other foods to be distributed among the States and schools and service institutions participating in the food service programs under this Act and under the Child Nutrition Act of 1966 in accordance with the needs as determined by the local school and service institutions authorities.

(e) For the fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, and subsequent fiscal years, the national average value of donated foods, or cash payments in lieu thereof, shall not be less than 10 cents per lunch, and that amount shall be adjusted on an annual basis each fiscal year after June 30, 1975, to reflect changes in the series for food away from home of the Consumer Price Index published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor. Such adjustment shall be computed to the nearest one-fourth cent. Among those commodities delivered under this section, the Secretary shall give special emphasis to high protein foods, meat, and meat alternates.

(15) Section 707 of the Older Americans Act of 1965, as amended, which reads as follows:

(d) In donating commodities pursuant to this section, the Secretary of Agriculture shall maintain an annually programed level of assistance of not less than 10 cents per meal: *Provided*, That this amount shall be adjusted on an annual basis each fiscal year after June 30, 1975, to reflect changes in the series for food away from home of the Consumer Price Index published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor. Such adjustment shall be computed to the nearest one-fourth cent. Among the commodities delivered under this section, the Secretary shall give special emphasis to high protein foods, meat, and meat alternates. The Secretary of Agriculture, in consultation with the Commissioner, is authorized to prescribe the terms and conditions respecting the donating of commodities pursuant to this section, and, within ninety days after the date of enactment of his subsection (d), the Secretary of Agriculture shall issue regulations governing the donation of such commodities.

(16) Section 4(a) of the Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973, as amended, which reads in part as follows:

(1) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Agriculture shall until July 1, 1975, (i) use funds available under provisions of section 32 of Public Law 320, Seventy-Fourth Congress, as amended (7 U.S.C. 612c), and not otherwise expended or necessary for such purposes to purchase, without regard to the provisions of existing law governing the expenditure of public funds, agricultural commodities and their products of the types customarily purchased under section 32 (which may include seafood commodities and their products) to maintain the traditional level of assistance for food assistance programs as are authorized by law, including but not limited to distribution to needy families pending the transition to the food stamp program, institutions, supplemental feeding programs wherever located, disaster relief, summer camps for children, and the family commodity distribution program on Indian reservations not requesting a food stamp program; and (ii) if stocks of the Commodity Credit Corporation are not available, use the funds of the Corporation to purchase agricultural commodities and their products of the types customarily available under section 416 of the Agricultural Act of 1949 to meet such requirements.

(2) Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Agriculture shall, during each of the two fiscal years beginning July 1, 1975, and ending June 30, 1977, purchase agricultural commodities and otherwise carry out the provisions of this subsection with funds appropriated from the general fund of the Treasury. There are hereby authorized to be appropriated such funds as may be necessary to carry out the provisions of this paragraph. Authority provided in this paragraph shall be carried out only with such funds as are appropriated from the

general fund of the Treasury for that specific purpose, and in no event shall it be carried out with funds derived from permanent appropriations.

(17) Section 14 of the National School Lunch Act, as amended, which reads as follows:

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary, during the period beginning July 1, 1974, and ending June 30, 1975, shall—

(1) use funds available to carry out the provisions of section 32 of the Act of August 24, 1935 (7 U.S.C. 612c) which are not expended or needed to carry out such provisions, to purchase (without regard to the provisions of existing law governing the expenditure of public funds) agricultural commodities and their products of the types customarily purchased under such section, for donation to maintain the annually programmed level of assistance for programs carried on under this Act, the Child Nutrition Act of 1966, and title VII of the Older Americans Act of 1965; and

(2) if stocks of the Commodity Credit Corporation are not available, use the funds of such Corporation to purchase agricultural commodities and their products of the types customarily available under section 416 of the Agricultural Act of 1949 (7 U.S.C. 1431), for such donation.

3. In § 250.4, paragraph (b) is revised to read as follows:

§ 250.4 Availability of commodities.

(b) *Quantities.* The quantity of commodities to be made available for donation under this part shall be determined in accordance with the pertinent legislation and the program obligations of the Department, and shall be such as can be effectively distributed in furtherance of the objectives of the pertinent legislation. Beginning October 10, 1974, the quantity of commodities to be made available for any Federal fiscal year, or portion thereof, for distribution in any State to nutrition programs for the elderly shall be valued at not less than 10 cents for each meal which the State Agency on Aging, in accordance with current regulations and guidelines authorized by the Commissioner on Aging, estimates will be served within the State during the year. For the Federal fiscal year ending June 30, 1975, and subsequent fiscal years, the national average value of donated foods distributed to schools, or cash payments in lieu thereof, shall not be less than 10 cents per lunch served. The value of commodities to be distributed to nutrition programs for the elderly and to schools, or the amount of cash payments to be made to schools in lieu of commodities, shall be adjusted, on an annual basis, the first day of each fiscal year after June 30, 1975, to reflect changes in the series for food away from home of the Consumer Price Index published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics of the Department of Labor. Such adjustment shall be computed to the nearest one-fourth cent. Any commodities made available as a grant or as a sale for foreign currencies shall be made available to the maximum extent practicable for donation under this part. The Department may, at its discretion, re-

strict distribution of commodities to one or more classes of recipient agencies or recipients.

4. In § 250.8, subparagraph (b) (3) is revised to read as follows:

§ 250.8 Eligible recipient agencies.

(b) *Institutions.* . . .

(3) Institutions which desire to receive commodities under this part may employ food service management companies to conduct their feeding operations, provided that the institutions enter into written contracts with such companies. Contracts between institutions and food service management companies shall expressly provide that:

(1) Any commodities received by the institution and made available to the food service management company shall inure only to the benefit of the institution's feeding operation and shall be utilized therein; and

(2) The books and records of the food service management company pertaining to the feeding operation of the institution shall be available for a period of three years from the close of the Federal fiscal year to which they pertain for inspection and audit by representatives of the distributing agency, of the Department, and of the General Accounting Office at any reasonable time and place.

NOTE: The reporting and/or recordkeeping requirements contained herein have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Federal Reports Act of 1942.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Programs, No. 10.550, National Archives Reference Services).

This amendment shall become effective on January 24, 1975.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

RICHARD L. FELTNER,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 75-2216 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

CHAPTER IX—AGRICULTURAL MARKETING SERVICE (MARKETING AGREEMENTS AND ORDERS; FRUITS, VEGETABLES, NUTS), DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

[Lemon Reg. 676]

PART 910—LEMONS GROWN IN CALIFORNIA AND ARIZONA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Jan. 26-Feb. 1, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 910. The quantity of lemons so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of lemons, the quantity of lemons currently available for market, the fresh market demand for

lemons, lemon prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for lemons.

§ 910.976 Lemon Regulation 676.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona, effective under the applicable provision of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee, established under the said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling of such lemons, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of lemons that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

(1) The committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the quantity of lemons it deems advisable to be handled during the ensuing week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The committee further reports the demand for lemons at the beginning of this week was good, but is now showing an easier trend. Average f.o.b. price was \$4.87 per carton the week ended January 18, 1975, compared to \$5.10 per carton the previous week. Track and rolling supplies at 132 cars were up 8 cars from last week.

(1) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of lemons which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for lemons and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted

to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated among handlers of such lemons; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this regulation effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this regulation will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 21, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) This quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period January 26, 1975, through February 1, 1975, is hereby fixed at 190,000 cartons.

(2) As used in this section, "handled", and "carton(s)" have the same meaning as when used in the said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674))

Dated: January 22, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and
Vegetable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[FR Dec.75-2879 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Grapefruit Reg. 97]

PART 912—GRAPEFRUIT GROWN IN THE INDIAN RIVER DISTRICT IN FLORIDA

Limitation of Handling

This regulation fixes the quantity of Florida Indian River grapefruit that may be shipped to fresh market during the weekly regulation period Jan. 27-Feb. 2, 1975. It is issued pursuant to the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended, and Marketing Order No. 912. The quantity of grapefruit produced in the Indian River District in Florida so fixed was arrived at after consideration of the total available supply of Indian River grapefruit, the quantity currently available for market, the fresh market demand for Indian River grapefruit, Indian River grapefruit prices, and the relationship of season average returns to the parity price for Florida grapefruit.

§ 912.397 Grapefruit Regulation 97.

(a) *Findings.* (1) Pursuant to the marketing agreement, as amended, and Order No. 912, as amended (7 CFR Part 912), regulating the handling of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District in Florida, effective under the applicable provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674), and upon the basis of the recommendation and information submitted by the Indian River Grapefruit Committee, established under the

said amended marketing agreement and order, and upon other available information, it is hereby found that the limitation of handling such grapefruit, as hereinafter provided, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act.

(2) The need for this section to limit the quantity of Indian River grapefruit that may be marketed during the ensuing week stems from the production and marketing situation confronting the Indian River grapefruit industry.

(i) The Committee has submitted its recommendation with respect to the total quantity of grapefruit which it deems advisable to be handled during the next succeeding week. Such recommendation resulted from consideration of the factors enumerated in the order. The Committee further reports the market demand for Indian River grapefruit is about steady, with market supplies ample. Average f.o.b. prices per 1/2 bushel carton for the week ended January 19, 1975, averaged \$2.71 for white seedless and \$3.50 for pink seedless grapefruit. Shipments for the week ended January 19, 1975, and for the previous week were 375 carlots and 500 carlots, respectively. On January 19, 1975, there were approximately 8,314 carlots of Indian River grapefruit remaining for interstate shipments, while 6,686 carlots have been shipped to date.

(ii) Having considered the recommendation and information submitted by the committee, and other available information, the Secretary finds that the quantity of grapefruit which may be handled should be fixed as hereinafter set forth.

(3) It is hereby further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking procedure, and postpone the effective date of this section until 30 days after publication hereof in the FEDERAL REGISTER (5 U.S.C. 553) because the time intervening between the date when information upon which this section is based became available and the time when this section must become effective in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act is insufficient, and a reasonable time is permitted, under the circumstances, for preparation for such effective time; and good cause exists for making the provisions hereof effective as hereinafter set forth. The committee held an open meeting during the current week, after giving due notice thereof, to consider supply and market conditions for Indian River grapefruit and the need for regulation; interested persons were afforded an opportunity to submit information and views at this meeting; the recommendation and supporting information for regulation during the period specified herein were promptly submitted to the Department after such meeting was held; the provisions of this section, including its effective time, are identical with the aforesaid recommendation of the committee, and information concerning such provisions and effective time has been disseminated

among handlers of such Indian River grapefruit; it is necessary, in order to effectuate the declared policy of the act, to make this section effective during the period herein specified; and compliance with this section will not require any special preparation on the part of persons subject hereto which cannot be completed on or before the effective date hereof. Such committee meeting was held on January 21, 1975.

(b) *Order.* (1) The quantity of grapefruit grown in the Indian River District which may be handled during the period January 27, 1975, through February 2, 1975, is hereby fixed at 212,500 standard packed boxes.

(2) As used in this section, "handled," "Indian River District," "grapefruit," and "standard packed box" have the same meaning as when used in said amended marketing agreement and order.

(Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: January 22, 1975.

CHARLES R. BRADER,
Deputy Director, Fruit and Veg-
etable Division, Agricultural
Marketing Service.

[FR Dec.75-2890 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[Airworthiness Docket No. 74-WE-54-AD; Amdt. 39-2079]

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

AiResearch Model GTCP660-4 and -4R Auxiliary Power Units (APU)

Amendment 30-2064 (40 FR 1036), AD 75-01-06, requires installation of a placard restricting use of the AiResearch Model GTCP660-4 and -4R APU's during taxi or flight, a check of the adjustment of the fuel pump ultimate relief valve, and an initial and recurring inspection of the fuel pump body for presence of fatigue cracks. After issuing Amendment 39-2064, the agency has re-evaluated the need for the restriction prohibiting operation of the APU during aircraft taxi, and concluded that this operation restriction may be removed without compromising the original intent of Amendment 39-2064. Therefore, AD 75-01-06 is being amended to eliminate this taxi operation restriction and to correct an error in the APU serial numbers.

Since this amendment relieves a restriction, and imposes no additional burden on any person, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (31 FR 13697), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation

RULES AND REGULATIONS

Regulations, Amendment 39-2064 (40 FR 1036), AD 75-01-06 is amended as follows:

(1) By amending the first paragraph of the AD to read:

AIRSEARCH MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF ARIZONA. Applies to model GTCP660-4 (prior to Serial No. P37808) and GTCP660-4R (prior to Serial No. P133) Auxiliary Power Units installed in, but not limited to, Boeing B-747 airplanes, certificated in all categories

(2) By amending paragraph (a) (1) to read:

Within 15 days time in service after the effective date of this AD, install a placard in view of the flight crew to prohibit all inflight operation of the APU. Thereafter, the APU may not be used during flight but may be used for ground operations

This amendment becomes effective January 31, 1975.

(Sections 313(a), 601, 603, Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Los Angeles, California on January 16, 1975.

LYNN L. HINK,
Acting Director,
FAA Western Region.

[FR Doc.75-2187 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-GL-40]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of VOR Federal Airways

On November 11, 1974, a notice of proposed rule making (NPRM) was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (39 FR 39733) stating that the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) was considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would extend V-175 from Alexandria, Minn., via Park Rapids, Minn., Bemidji, Minn., to Roseau, Minn., and delete V-254 between Bemidji, Minn., and Roseau, Minn.

Interested persons were afforded an opportunity to participate in the proposed rule making through the submission of comments. All comments received were favorable.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective 0901 G.m.t., March 27, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

Section 71.123 (40 FR 307, 39 FR 39261) is amended as follows:

1. In V-175 "Alexandria, Minn." is deleted and "Alexandria, Minn.; Park Rapids, Minn.; Bemidji, Minn.; Roseau, Minn." is substituted therefor.

2. V-254 is revoked.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 17, 1975.

GORDON E. KEWER,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-2192 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-36]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Revocation of Control Zone

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to revoke the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone.

On December 15, 1974, the weather services performed by the United States Air Force for the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone were permanently ceased. There is no other available source for the service. Accordingly, it is necessary to revoke the Leavenworth, Kansas, control zone designation effective immediately.

Since this revocation will eliminate designated control zone airspace at Leavenworth, Kansas, it will not impose any additional burden on any person. Therefore, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective immediately as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.171 (39 F.R. 354), the following control zone is revoked: Leavenworth, Kansas.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on December 24, 1974.

A. L. COULTER,
Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.75-2189 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SO-2]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta) control zone and the Atlanta, Ga., transition area.

The Atlanta (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta) control zone is described in § 71.171 (40 FR 354) and the Atlanta transition area is described in § 71.181 (40 FR 441). In both descriptions, reference is made to "NAS Atlanta TACAN." Since the name of the TACAN has been changed to "Dobbins," it is necessary to amend the descriptions to reflect this change. Since this amendment is editorial in nature, notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended, effective immediately, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.171 (40 FR 354), the Atlanta, Ga. (Dobbins AFB/NAS Atlanta) control zone and in § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the Atlanta, Ga., transition area are amended as follows:

" * * * NAS Atlanta TACAN * * * " is deleted and " * * * Dobbins TACAN * * * " is substituted therefor.

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)); sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in East Point, Ga., on January 15, 1975.

DUANE W. FREER,
Acting Director,
Southern Region.

[FR Doc.75-2190 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SW-4]

PART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CONTROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING POINTS

Alteration of Transition Area

The purpose of this amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is to alter the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area times of designation from part time to full time.

On June 2, 1970, a final rule was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (35 FR 8475) designating the Clinton, Okla., transition area. Subsequently, on August 22, 1970, a final rule was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (35 FR 13425) which redesignated the Clinton, Okla., transition area as the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area and designated the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton Municipal Airport), transition area.

The Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area was designated part time and effective during the specific times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual. It has been determined that it would be advantageous to redesignate the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area as full time. An appropriate Notice to Airmen has been issued designating the transition area full time effective January 30, 1975. It is found that this situation will be in the best interest of the public and will impose no undue burden on any person. Notice and public procedure hereon are unnecessary and the amendment may be made effective January 30, 1975.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended effective 0901 G.m.t., January 30, 1975, as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the Clinton, Okla. (Clinton-Sherman Airport), transition area is amended by deleting "This transition area is effective during the specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the Airman's Information Manual."

(Sec. 307(a), Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348); sec. 6(o), Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1656(c)))

Issued in Fort Worth, Tex., on January 16, 1975.

A. H. THURBURN,
Acting Director,
Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.75-2191 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. 14251; Amdt. 952]

PART 97—STANDARD INSTRUMENT APPROACH PROCEDURES

Recent Changes and Additions

This amendment to Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations incorporates by reference therein changes and additions to the Standard Instrument Approach Procedures (SIAPs) that were recently adopted by the Administrator to promote safety at the airports concerned.

The complete SIAPs for the changes and additions covered by this amendment are described in FAA Forms 3139, 8260-3, 8260-4, or 8260-5 and made a part of the public rule making dockets of the FAA in accordance with the procedures set forth in Amendment No. 97-696 (35 FR 5609).

SIAPs are available for examination at the Rules Docket and at the National Flight Data Center, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591. Copies of SIAPs adopted in a particular region are also available for examination at the headquarters of that region. Individual copies of SIAPs may be purchased from the FAA Public Document Inspection Facility, HQ-405, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591 or from the applicable FAA regional office in accordance with the fee schedule prescribed in 49 CFR 7.85. This fee is payable in advance and may be paid by check, draft or postal money order payable to the Treasurer of the United States. A weekly transmittal of all SIAP changes and additions may be obtained by subscription at an annual rate of \$150 per annum from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402. Additional copies mailed to the same address may be ordered for \$30 each.

Since a situation exists that requires immediate adoption of this amendment, I find that further notice and public procedure hereon is impracticable and good cause exists for making it effective in less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 97 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended as follows, effective on the dates specified:

1. Section 97.23 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following VOR-VOR/DME SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

- Burlington, Iowa—Burlington Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 30, Amdt. 5.
- Cable, Wisc.—Cable-Union Arpt., VOR/DME-A, Orig.
- Chicago (Wheeling), Ill.—Pal-Waukee Arpt., VOR/DME Rwy 16, Amdt. 1.
- Chicago (Wheeling), Ill.—Pal-Waukee Arpt., VOR Rwy 16, Amdt. 15.
- Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., VOR Rwy 8, Amdt. 12.
- Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., VOR Rwy 21, Amdt. 7.
- Eveleth, Minn.—Eveleth-Virginia Municipal Arpt. VOR Rwy 27, Amdt. 5.
- Grand Rapids, Minn.—Grand Rapids, Itasca County Arpt. VOR Rwy 34, Amdt. 3.
- Hebron, Ohio—Buckeye Valley Arpt., VOR-A, Orig.
- Jamestown, N.D.—Jamestown Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 12, Amdt. 3.
- Jamestown, N.D.—Jamestown Municipal Arpt., VOR Rwy 30, Amdt. 4.
- Lawrence, Kans.—Lawrence Municipal Arpt., VORTAC-A, Amdt. 4.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., VOR Rwy 13 (TAC), Amdt. 12.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 31, Amdt. 4.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., VORTAC Rwy 35, Amdt. 2.
- Muncie, Ind.—Delaware County-Johnson Field, VOR Rwy 14, Amdt. 8.
- Muncie, Ind.—Delaware County-Johnson Field, VOR Rwy 20, Amdt. 5.
- Muncie, Ind.—Delaware County-Johnson Field, VOR Rwy 32, Amdt. 6.
- Olympia, Wash.—Olympia Arpt., VOR Rwy 17, Amdt. 6.

*** effective January 10, 1975.

Boston, Mass.—Gen. Edward Lawrence Logan Int'l Arpt., VOR Rwy 33L, Amdt. 13.

2. Section 97.25 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following SDF-LOC-LDA SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

- Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., LOC (BC) Rwy 27, Amdt. 8.
- Jamestown, N.D.—Jamestown Municipal Arpt., LOC/DME (BC) Rwy 12, Amdt. 1.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., LOC/DME (BC) Rwy 31, Amdt. 1.

3. Section 97.27 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following NDB/ADF SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

- Burlington, Iowa—Burlington Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 36, Amdt. 1.
- Cable, Wisc.—Cable-Union Arpt., NDB-A, Amdt. 3.
- DeKalb, Ill.—DeKalb Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 27, Amdt. 1.
- Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., NDB Rwy 9, Amdt. 15.
- Jamestown, N.D.—Jamestown Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 30, Amdt. 2.
- Lawrence, Kans.—Lawrence Municipal Arpt., NDB-A, Amdt. 1.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., NDB Rwy 13, Amdt. 6.
- Muncie, Ind.—Delaware County, Johnson Field, NDB Rwy 32, Orig.
- Parsons, Kans.—Tri-City Arpt., NDB Rwy 17, Amdt. 3.
- Parsons, Kans.—Tri-City Arpt., NDB Rwy 35, Amdt. 1.

*** effective February 27, 1975.

Savannah, Tenn.—Savannah Municipal Arpt., NDB Rwy 36, Orig.

*** effective February 13, 1975.

Atlanta, Ga.—Fulton County Arpt., NDB Rwy 8R, Amdt. 6.

*** effective January 13, 1975.

Chicago, Ill.—Chicago O'Hare Int'l Arpt., NDB Rwy 32L, Amdt. 11.

4. Section 97.29 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following ILS SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

- Burlington, Iowa—Burlington Municipal Arpt., ILS Rwy 36, Amdt. 1.
- Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 9, Amdt. 10.
- Jamestown, N.D.—Jamestown Municipal Arpt., ILS Rwy 30, Amdt. 2.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., ILS Rwy 13, Amdt. 2.
- Olympia, Wash.—Olympia Arpt., ILS Rwy 17, Amdt. 3.

*** effective January 13, 1975.

Chicago, Ill.—Chicago O'Hare Int'l Arpt., ILS Rwy 32L, Amdt. 12.

5. Section 97.31 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RADAR SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

Duluth, Minn.—Duluth Int'l Arpt., RADAR-1, Amdt. 9.

*** effective January 10, 1975.

Boston, Mass.—Gen. Edward Lawrence Logan Int'l Arpt., RADAR-2, Amdt. 3.

6. Section 97.33 is amended by originating, amending, or canceling the following RNAV SIAPs, effective March 6, 1975.

- Burlington, Iowa—Burlington Municipal Arpt., RNAV Rwy 18, Orig.
- Cable, Wisc.—Cable-Union Arpt., RNAV Rwy 34, Orig.
- Longview, Tex.—Gregg County Arpt., RNAV Rwy 22, Amdt. 1.

(Secs. 307, 313, 601, 1110, Federal Aviation Act of 1948; 49 U.S.C. 1496, 1354, 1421, 1510; sec. 6(c), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1656(e) and 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1))

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 16, 1975.

JAMES M. VINES,
Chief, Aircraft Programs Division.

NOTE: Incorporation by reference provisions in §§ 97.10 and 97.20 (35 FR 5610) approved by the Director of the FEDERAL REGISTER on May 12, 1969.

[FR Doc.75-2196 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

**Title 16—Commercial Practices
CHAPTER I—FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION**

[Docket No. C-2581]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES, AND AFFIRMATIVE CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

Century 21 Homes, Inc. et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.73 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*; 13.73-92 *Truth in Lending Act*; § 13.155 *Prices*; 13.155-95 *Terms and conditions*; 13.155-95(a) *Truth in Lending Act. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Prices*: § 13.1823 *Terms and conditions*; 13-

1823-20 Truth in Lending Act. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.1852-75 Truth in Lending Act; § 13.1905 Terms and conditions; 13.1905-60 Truth in Lending Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 82 Stat. 146, 147; 15 U.S.C. 45, 1601-1605) [Cease and desist order, Century 21 Homes, Inc., et al. Portland, Ore., Docket C-2581, Oct. 22, 1974]

In the matter of Century 21 Homes, Inc., Centurion Properties, Inc., and Century 21 Properties, Inc., corporations, and David L. Oringdulph, individually and as an officer of said corporations, Ralph E. Fish, individually and as an officer of Centurion Properties, Inc., and Phillip G. Mullard, individually and as a former officer of Century 21 Properties, Inc.; and Century 21 Development, a joint venture, and John F. Weiser, individually and as general manager of Century 21 Development

Consent order requiring a Portland, Ore., firm engaged in the construction, development and sale of residential real property among other things to cease violating the Truth in Lending Act by failing to disclose to consumers, in connection with the extension of consumer credit, such information as required by Regulation Z of the said Act.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:¹

It is ordered, That respondents Century 21 Homes, Inc., Centurion Properties, Inc., corporations, and their officers, and David L. Oringdulph, Ralph E. Fish and Phillip G. Mullard, individually and as officers and former officers of said corporations, and Century 21 Development, a joint venture, and John F. Weiser, individually and as general manager of Century 21 Development, and respondents' successors, assigns, agents, representatives and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division, or other device, in connection with any extension or arrangement for the extension of consumer credit, or any advertisement to aid, promote or assist directly or indirectly any extension of consumer credit, as "advertisement" and "consumer credit" are defined in Regulation Z (12 CFR 226) of the Truth in Lending Act. P.L. 90-321, 15 U.S.C. 1601 et seq.), do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Stating, in any such advertisement, the rate of any finance charge unless the rate of the finance charge is expressed as an "annual percentage rate," using that term, as required by § 226.10(d)(1) of Regulation Z.

2. Representing in any such advertisement, directly or by implication, the

¹ Copies of the Complaint & Decision and order filed with the original document.

amount of the downpayment required or that no downpayment is required, the amount of any installment payment, the dollar amount of any finance charge, the number of installments or the period of repayment, or that there is no charge for credit, unless all of the following items are stated in terminology prescribed under § 226.8 of Regulation Z, as required by § 226.10(d)(2) of Regulation Z:

- a. The cash price;
- b. The amount of the downpayment required or that no downpayment is required, as applicable;
- c. The number, amount, and due dates or period of payments scheduled to repay the indebtedness if the credit is extended;
- d. The amount of the finance charge expressed as an annual percentage rate; and
- e. Except in the case of the sale of a dwelling or a loan secured by a first lien on a dwelling to purchase that dwelling, the deferred payment price or the sum of the payments, as applicable.

3. Failing, in any consumer credit transaction or advertisement, to make all disclosures, determined in accordance with §§ 226.4 and 226.5 of Regulation Z, at the time and in the manner, form and amount required by §§ 226.6, 226.7, 226.8, 226.9 and 226.10 of Regulation Z.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of the preparation, creation or placing of advertising, to all persons engaged in reviewing the legal sufficiency of advertising, and to all present and future agencies engaged in preparation, creation or placing of advertising on behalf of respondents, and that respondents secure from each such person and agency a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order.

It is further ordered, That respondents notify the Commission at least thirty (30) days prior to any proposed change in Century 21 Development or in a corporate respondent, such as dissolution, termination, assignment or sale resulting in the emergence of a successor corporation or corporations, the creation or dissolution of subsidiaries, or any other change in such respondents as may affect compliance obligations arising out of the order.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondents named herein promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and of their affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business address and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged, as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order,

file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Decision and order issued by the Commission Oct. 22, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2243 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 8946]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

William D. Campbell, Jr. et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.10 Advertising falsely or misleadingly; § 13.15 Business status, advantages or connections; 13.15-225 Personnel or staff; 13.15-250 Qualifications and abilities; § 13.71 Financing; § 13.75 Free goods or services; § 13.155 Prices; 13.155-5 Additional charges unmentioned; 13.155-10 Bait; 13.155-35 Discount savings; 13.155-40 Exaggerated as regular and customary; § 13.160 Promotional sales plans; § 13.225 Services; § 13.240 Special or limited offers. Subpart—Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time: § 13.527 Contracting for sale any evidence of indebtedness prior to specified time. Subpart—Corrective actions and/or requirements: § 13.533 Corrective actions and/or requirements; 13.533-10 Corrective advertising; 13.533-20 Disclosures; 13.533-40 Furnishing information to media; 13.533-45 Maintain records; 13.533-45(k) Records, in general. Subpart—Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc.: § 13.1042 Disparaging products, merchandise, services, etc. Subpart—Failing to maintain records: § 13.1051 Failing to maintain records; 13.1051-20 Adequate. Subpart—Misrepresenting oneself and goods—Business status, advantages or connections: § 13.1520 Personnel or staff; § 13.1535 Qualifications.—Goods: § 13.1625 Free goods or services; § 13.174 Special or limited offers.—Prices: § 13.1778 Additional costs unmentioned; § 13.1779 Bait; § 13.1805 Exaggerated as regular and customary; § 13.1820 Retail as cost, etc., or discounted.—Promotional sales plans: § 13.1830 Promotional sales plans. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1852 Formal regulatory and statutory requirements; 13.1852-75 Truth in Lending Act; § 13.1855 Identity; § 13.1882 Prices; 13.1882-10 Additional prices unmentioned; § 13.1892 Sales contract, right-to-cancel provision; § 13.1905 Terms and conditions; 13.1905-50 Sales contract; 13.1905-60 Truth in Lending Act.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or applies sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, William D. Campbell, Jr., et al., trading as Rhode Island Carpets, Mt. Rainier, Md., Docket 8946, Oct. 1, 1974]

In the matter of William D. Campbell, Jr., and Jack S. Owens, individually, trading and doing business as Rhode Island Carpets

Consent order requiring a Mt. Rainier, Md., distributor and installer of carpeting and floor coverings, among other things to cease using bait and switch tactics; disparaging merchandise; misrepresenting sale prices; failing to maintain adequate records; misrepresenting the qualifications of its staff; failing to set forth contracts in the principal language used in sales presentations (e.g., Spanish); and failing to inform customers of their right to a three-day cooling-off period during which they may cancel their contract with full refund rights. Further, respondent is required to place a notice in all future advertising that F.T.C. has found that they have engaged in bait and switch tactics.

The order to cease and desist, including further order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:¹

I

It is ordered. That respondents William D. Campbell, Jr., and Jack S. Owens, individually, trading and doing business as Rhode Island Carpets or under any other names, and respondents' agents, representatives, and employees, directly or through any corporation, subsidiary, division or other device, in connection with the advertising, offering for sale, sale, distribution or installation of carpeting and floor coverings, or any other article of merchandise, in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Using, in any manner, a sales plan, scheme, or device wherein false, misleading, or deceptive statements or representations are made in order to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of carpeting or other merchandise or services.
2. Making representations, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, purporting to offer merchandise for sale when the purpose of the representation is not to sell the offered merchandise but to obtain leads or prospects for the sale of other merchandise at higher prices.
3. Disparaging in any manner, or discouraging the purchase of any merchandise or services which are advertised or offered for sale.
4. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that any merchandise or services are offered for sale when such offer is not a bona fide offer to sell such merchandise or services.
5. Failing to maintain and produce for inspection and copying for a period of three years following the date of publication of any advertisement, adequate records to document for the entire period during which each advertisement was run and for a period of six weeks after the termination of its publication in press or broadcast media:

¹ Copies of the complaint & decision and order filed with the original document.

a. The cost of publishing each advertisement including the preparation and dissemination thereof;

b. The volume of sales made of the advertised product or service at the advertised price; and

c. A computation of the net profit from the sale of each advertised product or service at the advertised price.

6. Using the words "Sale", "Low, Low Discount Prices", or any other word or words of similar import or meaning not set forth specifically herein, unless the price of such merchandise or service being offered for sale constitutes a reduction, in an amount not so insignificant as to be meaningless, from the actual bona fide price at which such merchandise or service was sold or offered for sale to the public on a regular basis by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time in the recent, regular course of their business.

7. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that any price amount is respondents' regular price for any article of merchandise or service unless said amount is the price at which such merchandise or service has been sold or offered for sale by respondents for a reasonably substantial period of time in the recent, regular course of their business and not for the purpose of establishing fictitious higher prices upon which a deceptive comparison or a "free" or similar offer might be based.

8. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a purchaser of respondents' merchandise or services will receive a "free" vacuum cleaner or any other "free" merchandise, service, prize or award unless all conditions, obligations, or other prerequisites to the receipt and retention of such merchandise, services, gifts, prizes or awards are clearly and conspicuously disclosed at the outset in close conjunction with the word "free" wherever it first appears in each advertisement or offer.

9. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that any merchandise or service is furnished "free" or at no cost to the purchaser of advertised merchandise or services, when, in fact, the cost of such merchandise or service is regularly included in the selling price of the advertised merchandise or service.

10. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a "free" offer is being made in connection with the introduction of new merchandise or services offered for sale at a specified price unless the respondents except, in good faith, to discontinue the offer after a limited time and commence selling such merchandise or service, separately, at the same price at which it was sold with a "free" offer.

11. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that merchandise or service is being offered "free" with the sale of merchandise or service which is usually sold at a price arrived at through bargaining, rather than at a regular price, or where there may be a regular price, but where other material factors such as quantity, quality, or size are arrived at through bargaining.

12. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a "free" offer is available in a trade area for more than six (6) months in any twelve (12) month period. At least thirty (30) days shall elapse before another such "free" offer is made in the same trade area. No more than three such "free" offers shall be made in the same area in any twelve (12) month period. In such period, respondent's sale in that area of the product or service in the amount, size, or quality promoted with the "free" offer shall not exceed 50 percent of the total volume of its sales of the product or service, in the same amount, size or quality, in the area.

13. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a product or service is being offered as a "gift", "without charge", "bonus", or by other words or terms which tend to convey the impression to the consuming public that the article of merchandise or service is free, when the use of the term "free" in relation thereto is prohibited by the provisions of this order.

14. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that a stated price for carpeting or floor coverings includes the cost of a separate padding and the installation of such padding and carpeting thereof, unless in every instance where it is so represented the stated price for floor covering does, in fact, include the cost of such separate padding and installation thereof; or misrepresenting in any manner, the prices, terms, or conditions under which respondents supply separate padding and provide installation in connection with the sale of floor covering products.

15. Representing, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, that respondents employ or have available for prospective customers a trained, qualified interior decorator; or misrepresenting in any manner, the training or qualifications of any of respondents' employees, agents, or representatives.

16. Representing, directly or by implication, orally or in writing, that purchasers of respondents' products are granted easy or assured credit terms by financial institutions with which respondents deal; or misrepresenting, in any manner, the amount, type, extent or any other facet of the credit terms respondents arrange or may arrange for their purchasers.

17. Contracting for any sale whether in the form of trade acceptance, conditional sales contract, promissory note, or otherwise which shall become binding on the buyer prior to midnight of the third day, excluding Sundays and legal holidays, after the date of execution.

18. Failing to furnish the buyer with a fully completed receipt or copy of any contract pertaining to such sale at the time of its execution, which is in the same language, e.g., Spanish, as that principally used in the oral sales presentation and which shows the date of the transaction and contains the name and address of the seller, and in immediate proximity to the space reserved in the contract for the signature of the

RULES AND REGULATIONS

buyer or on the front page of the receipt if a contract is not used and in bold face type of a minimum size of 10 points, a statement in substantially the following form:

You, the buyer, may cancel this transaction at any time prior to midnight of the third business day after the date of this transaction. See the attached notice of cancellation form for an explanation of this right.

19. Failing to furnish each buyer, at the time he signs the sales contract or otherwise agrees to buy consumer goods or services from the seller, a completed form in duplicate, captioned "Notice of cancellation", which shall be attached to the contract or receipt and easily detachable, and which shall contain in ten point bold face type the following information and statements in the same language, e.g., Spanish, as that used in the contract:

NOTICE OF CANCELLATION
[enter date of transaction]
(Date)

You may cancel this transaction, without any penalty or obligation, within three business days from the above date.

If you cancel, any property traded in, any payments made by you under the contract or sale, and any negotiable instrument executed by you will be returned within 10 business days following receipt by the seller of your cancellation notice, and any security interest arising out of the transaction will be cancelled.

If you cancel, you must make available to the seller at your residence, in substantially as good condition as when received, any goods delivered to you under this contract or sale; or you may if you wish, comply with the instructions of the seller regarding the return shipment of the goods at the seller's expense and risk.

If you do make the goods available to the seller and the seller does not pick them up within 20 days of the date of your notice of cancellation, you may retain or dispose of the goods without any further obligation. If you fail to make the goods available to the seller, or if you agree to return the goods to the seller and fail to do so, then you remain liable for performance of all obligations under the contract.

To cancel this transaction, mail or deliver a signed and dated copy of this cancellation notice or any other written notice, or send a telegram, to _____, at
(Name of seller)

(address of seller's place of business)
not later than midnight of _____
(date)

I hereby cancel this transaction.

(Date)

(Buyer's signature)

20. Failing, before furnishing copies of the "Notice of Cancellation" to the buyer, to complete both copies by entering the name of the seller, the address of the seller's place of business, the date of the third business day following the date of the transaction, by which the buyer may give notice of cancellation.

21. Including in any sales contract or receipt any confession of judgment or any waiver of any of the rights to which the buyer is entitled under this order including specifically his right to cancel

the sale in accordance with the provisions of this order.

22. Failing to inform each buyer orally, at the time he signs the contract or purchases the goods or services, of his right to cancel.

23. Misrepresenting, directly or indirectly, orally or in writing, the buyer's right to cancel.

24. Failing or refusing to honor any valid notice of cancellation by a buyer and within 10 business days after the receipt of such notice, to (i) refund all payments made under the contract or sale; (ii) return any goods or property traded in, in substantially as good condition as when received by the seller; (iii) cancel and return any negotiable instrument executed by the buyer in connection with the contract or sale and take any action necessary or appropriate to terminate promptly any security interest created in the transaction.

25. Negotiating, transferring, selling, or assigning any note or other evidence of indebtedness to a finance company or other third party prior to midnight of the fifth business day following the day the contract was signed or the goods or services were purchased.

26. Failing, within 10 business days of receipt of the buyer's notice of cancellation, to notify him whether the seller intends to repossess or to abandon any shipped or delivered goods.

27. Advertising the price of carpet, either separately or with padding and installation included, for specified areas of coverage without disclosing in immediate conjunction and with equal prominence the square yard price for additional quantities of such carpet with padding and installation needed.

28. Advertising any carpeting or floor covering using a unit of measurement not usually and customarily employed in the retail advertising of carpet or which tends to exaggerate the size or quantity of carpeting or floor covering being offered at the advertised price.

Provided, however, That nothing contained in this order shall relieve respondents of any additional obligations respecting contracts required by federal law or the law of the state in which the contract is made. When such obligations are inconsistent, respondents can apply to the Commission for relief from this provision with respect to contracts executed in the state in which such different obligations are required. The Commission, upon showing, shall make such modifications as may be warranted in the premises.

It is further ordered, That the respondents forthwith cease and desist from dissemination, or causing the dissemination of, any advertisement of merchandise except advertising in connection with respondent Campbell's operation of a retail liquor store, by means of newspapers, or other printed media, television or radio, or by any means in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, unless respondents clearly and conspicuously disclose in each advertisement the following notice set off from the text of the advertisement by a black border:

The Federal Trade Commission has found that we engage in bait and switch advertising; that is, the salesman makes it difficult to buy the advertised product and he attempts to switch you to a higher priced item.

One year from the date this order becomes final or any time thereafter, respondents upon showing that they have discontinued the practices prohibited by this order and that the notice provision is no longer necessary to prevent the continuance of such practices may petition the Commission to waive compliance with this order provision.

It is further ordered, That respondents shall maintain for at least a one (1) year period, following the effective date of this order, copies of all advertisements, including newspaper, radio and television advertisements, direct mail and in-store solicitation literature, and any other such promotional material utilized for the purpose of obtaining leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings, or utilized in the advertising, promotion or sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise.

It is further ordered, That respondents, for a period of one (1) year from the effective date of this order, shall provide each advertising agency utilized by respondents and each newspaper publishing company, television or radio station or other advertising media which is utilized by the respondents to obtain leads for the sale of carpeting or floor coverings and other merchandise, with a copy of the Commission's News Release setting forth the terms of this Order.

It is further ordered, That respondents deliver a copy of this order to cease and desist to all present and future personnel of respondents engaged in the offering for sale, sale of any product, consummation of any extension of consumer credit or in any aspect of preparation, creation, or placing of advertising, and that respondents secure a signed statement acknowledging receipt of said order from each such person.

It is further ordered, That the individual respondents, William D. Campbell, Jr., and Jack S. Owens promptly notify the Commission of the discontinuance of their present business or employment and of their affiliation with a new business or employment. Such notice shall include respondents' current business addresses and a statement as to the nature of the business or employment in which they are engaged as well as a description of their duties and responsibilities.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall within sixty (60) days after service upon it of this order, file with the Commission a report, in writing, setting forth in detail the manner and form in which it has complied with this order.

The Decision and Order was issued by the Commission, October 1, 1974.

CHARLES A. TOBIN,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2244 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodity and Securities Exchanges

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-11187]

PART 240—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS, SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Financial Statements Furnished to Customers of Broker-Dealers

The Securities and Exchange Commission today announced the adoption of an amendment to paragraph (n) of rule 17a-5 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. The adoption of this amendment follows the Commission's consideration of comments received in connection with the proposal to adopt the amendment announced in Securities Exchange Act Release No. 11017. This amendment modifies the present requirements of paragraph (n) and requires all broker-dealers subject to paragraph (k) of rule 17a-5 to furnish customers annually one unaudited report of financial condition and certain information concerning the firm's net capital in lieu of the quarterly reports previously required by paragraph (n). In addition, paragraph (m) of the rule presently requires broker-dealers to furnish an audited statement of financial condition and other specified information annually. Thus, under the revised rule, customers would receive two financial reports from broker-dealers annually approximately 6 months apart, one audited and one unaudited.

The Commission believes that it is important that customers of broker-dealers receive the information set forth in paragraphs (m) and (n) of the rule concerning the financial and operating condition of the broker-dealer to whom they entrust their funds and securities. The Commission is also of the view that customers of the broker-dealer will be provided with sufficient information to enable them to judge the financial condition of the broker-dealer to whom they entrust their funds and securities if the broker-dealer is required to provide an unaudited report pursuant to paragraph (n) in addition to the annual audited report required pursuant to paragraph (m) of the rule. The amendment would provide that such unaudited statement will be as of a date approximately 6 months after the date of the audited statement.

COMMISSION ACTION

Pursuant to sections 15(c)(3), 17(a) and 23(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Securities and Exchange Commission hereby amends § 240.17a-5(n) of Chapter II of Title 17 of the Code of Federal Regulations to read as follows:

§ 240.17a-5 Reports to be made by certain exchange members, brokers and dealers.

(n) Every member, broker or dealer who is subject to paragraphs (k), (l) and (m) of this rule shall furnish customers (as defined in paragraph (o) of this rule)

and shall file with the Regional Office of the Commission for the region in which the member, broker or dealer has his principal place of business and with the national securities exchange and the national securities association of which he is a member (or, if he is not a member, only with the Commission) not later than 285 days from the date of the audited statements required by paragraph (m) of this rule, an unaudited statement containing the information specified in subparagraphs (m)(1) and (m)(2) of this rule which shall be as of the date of the member's, broker's or dealer's fiscal period which ends nearest to 6 months from the date of the audited statements required to be furnished to customers pursuant to paragraph (m) of this rule.

(Secs. 15(c)(3), 17(a), 23(a), 48 Stat. 895, 897, 901, secs. 3, 4, 8, 49 Stat. 1377, 1379, secs. 2, 5, 52 Stat. 1075, 1076, sec. 7(d), 84 Stat. 1653, 16 U.S.C. 78o(c)(3), 78q(a), 78w)

By the Commission.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2241 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

Title 20—Employees' Benefits

CHAPTER III—SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

[Regs. No. 5, further amended]

PART 405—FEDERAL HEALTH INSURANCE FOR THE AGED AND DISABLED

Subpart M—Conditions for Coverage of Services of Independent Laboratories; Correction

A revision of Subpart M of Regulations No. 5, relating to conditions for coverage of services of independent laboratories under Medicare, and a preamble discussing comments received in response to a notice of such proposed revision, were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 19, 1974 (39 FR 33690).

In the preamble published at that time, Paragraph 5 of the list of changes made in the proposed revision as a result of comments, seems to suggest that a laboratory may not refer tests to another laboratory. Therefore, to more precisely reflect the provisions of the regulations, such paragraph 5 of the preamble to the regulations is revised to read as follows:

5. On § 405.1314 (Clinical laboratories; tests performed), we received several comments expressing concern over the proposed regulation which allowed laboratories to be certified in a specialty or subspecialty even though it was not performing tests in that specialty or subspecialty. We agree that a laboratory should be required to perform tests in all specialties and subspecialties for which it is certified. Therefore, we have deleted the portion of proposed § 405.1314 which permitted approval of a laboratory for a specialty or subspecialty even though tests in such specialty or subspecialty were not performed. Effective October 21, 1974, Medicare will approve independent laboratories only for specialties or subspecialties in which they are actually performing tests. However,

a laboratory may continue to refer tests in a particular specialty or subspecialty to a laboratory which is certified under Medicare to perform tests in such specialty or subspecialty regardless of whether the referring laboratory is approved for such specialty or subspecialty. This brings the Medicare regulations into line with the regulations of the Center for Disease Control in this area.

Also, the regulations published at that time contained the following minor errors:

1. As a result of numerous comments regarding the meaning of "significant volume of diagnostic tests," § 405.1310(a) was revised by deleting "performs a significant volume of" and substituting "accepts at least 100 specimens in any category during any calendar year." However, the phrase "diagnostic tests" should also have been deleted. Section 405.1310(a) should read as set forth below.

2. Since several professional groups had contended and we had agreed that predegree experience was just as valid as postdegree experience, we intended to delete the portion of § 405.1310(d) containing the limitation on predegree training. However, the phrase "or prior to qualifying as a technologist under § 405.1315(b)(4)" was inadvertently included. Section 405.1310(d) should read as set forth below.

§ 405.1310 Definitions.

For purposes of this Subpart M, the following definitions apply:

(a) *Independent laboratory.* An independent laboratory performing diagnostic tests means one which is independent both of the attending or consulting physician's office and of a hospital which meets at least the requirements specified in section 1861(e) of the Act to qualify for payment for emergency hospital services under section 1814(d) of the Act. A laboratory which: (1) is located in a hospital which meets at least the requirements specified in section 1861(e) of the Act to qualify for payment for emergency hospital services under section 1814(d) of the Act or, if outside the hospital, is operated under the supervision of the hospital or its organized medical staff, and (2) serves the hospital's patients, is not an independent laboratory. Services furnished by out-of-hospital laboratories under the direction of a physician, such as a pathologist, are considered to be subject to the conditions where the physician holds himself and the facilities of his office out to other physicians as being available for the performance of diagnostic tests. A laboratory maintained by a physician for performing diagnostic tests for his own patients is exempt from the conditions unless such laboratory accepts at least 100 specimens in any category during any calendar year on referral from other physicians. For purposes of this paragraph a category shall be one of the following: (i) Microbiology and serology; (ii) clinical chemistry; (iii) immunohematology; (iv) hematology; (v) pathology; (vi) radiobiassay.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

(d) *Subsequent to graduation.* The phrase "subsequent to graduation" means laboratory training and experience acquired after receipt of the degree specified. However, for purposes of § 405.1312 or § 405.1313, experience as a technologist in an approved clinical laboratory, which was gained prior to acquiring such degree, may be substituted on an equivalency basis of 1.5 years of such experience for every 1 year of postdegree training and experience; and experience as a general supervisor in an approved clinical laboratory, which was gained prior to acquiring such degree, may be substituted on a 1-for-1 basis.

3. Since we received numerous comments regarding possible confusion in the numbering scheme used in § 405.1315, we revised the numbering to provide for a separate paragraph for each category of technical personnel, by qualifications and duties. However, the phrase "In the case of a laboratory technologist or cytotechnologist not meeting the training and experience requirements defined in paragraph (b) (1) or (2) of this section" was inadvertently included. It is superfluous, and § 405.1315(c) (3) should read as follows:

§ 405.1315 Condition—clinical laboratory; technical personnel.

(c)

(3) Achieves a satisfactory grade in a proficiency examination approved by the Secretary. However, after December 31, 1977, initial certification as a cytotechnologist must be in accordance with paragraph (c) (1) or (2) of this section.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.800, Health Insurance for the Aged—Hospital Insurance)

Approved: January 17, 1975.

THOMAS S. MCFEE,
Deputy Assistant Secretary for
Management Planning and
Technology.

[FR Doc.75-2250 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 21—Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER C—DRUGS

PART 135—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS

SUBPART C—SPONSORS OF APPROVED APPLICATIONS

PART 135e—NEW ANIMAL DRUGS FOR USE IN ANIMAL FEEDS

Tylosin

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has evaluated a new animal drug application (98-595V) filed by Walnut Grove Products, Division of W. R. Grace & Co., Atlantic, IA 50022, proposing safe and effective use of a tylosin premix in making swine feed. The application is approved.

To facilitate referencing, the firm is being assigned a sponsor code number and placed in the list of firms in 21 CFR 135.501(c).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360b(i)) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Chapter I of Title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended in Parts 135 and 135e as follows:

1. In Part 135, § 135.501(c) is amended by inserting numerically a new sponsor 132 as follows:

§ 135.501 Names, addresses, and code numbers of sponsors of approved applications.

(c)

Code no.	Firm name and address
132	Walnut Grove Products, Division of W. R. Grace & Co., 201 Linn St., Atlantic, IA 50022.

2. In Part 135e, § 135e.10 is amended by adding paragraph (b) (28) to read as follows:

§ 135e.10 Tylosin.

(b)

(28) To 132: 4 grams per pound; item 4.

Effective date. This order shall be effective January 24, 1975.

(Sec. 512(i), 82 Stat. 347; 21 U.S.C. 360(b))

Dated: January 17, 1975.

FRED J. KINGMA,
Acting Director, Bureau of
Veterinary Medicine.

[FR Doc.75-2205 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER D—DRUGS FOR HUMAN USE
PART 449—ANTIFUNGAL ANTIBIOTICS
Certification of Nystatin Lotion

In the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 5, 1970 (35 FR 17069), the Food and Drug Administration published its conclusion regarding Nysta-Dome Lotion containing nystatin marketed by Dome Laboratories, Division of Miles Laboratories, Inc., 125 West End Ave., New York, NY 10023. The notice stated that the Food and Drug Administration had evaluated reports received from the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council and concluded that this preparation is effective for the treatment of cutaneous and mucocutaneous mycotic infections caused by *Candida* species (*Monilia*). Affected firms were requested to submit amendments to their antibiotic applications to provide for revised labeling.

Since the manufacturer has now provided labeling information in accordance with the reevaluation of the drug published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 5, 1970, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs concludes that the antibiotic regulations should be amended as set forth below to provide for the certification of this drug.

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 507, 59 Stat. 463, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 357) and under authority delegated to the Commissioner (21 CFR 2.120), Part 449 is amended by adding a new section to read as follows:

§ 449.550h Nystatin lotion.

(a) *Requirements for certification—(1) Standards of identity, strength, quality, and purity.* Nystatin lotion is composed of nystatin with one or more suitable and harmless suspending agents, emulsifiers, surfactants, and preservatives in a suitable and harmless vehicle. Each milliliter contains 100,000 units of nystatin. Its potency is satisfactory if it is not less than 90 percent and not more than 140 percent of the number of units of nystatin that it is represented to contain. Its pH is not less than 5.5 and not more than 7.5. The nystatin used conforms to the standards prescribed by § 449.50(a) (1), except safety.

(2) *Labeling.* It shall be labeled in accordance with the requirements of § 432.5 of this chapter.

(3) *Requests for certification; samples.* In addition to the requirements of § 431.1 of this chapter, each such request shall contain:

(i) *Results of tests and assays on:*

(a) The nystatin used in making the batch for potency, loss on drying, pH, and identity.

(b) The batch for potency and pH.

(ii) *Samples required:*

(a) The nystatin used in making the batch: 10 packages, each containing approximately 300 milligrams.

(b) The batch: A minimum of five immediate containers.

(b) *Tests and methods of assay—(1) Potency.* Proceed as directed in § 436.105 of this chapter, preparing the sample for assay as follows: Place an accurately measured representative portion of the sample into a high-speed glass blender jar containing sufficient dimethylformamide to give a convenient concentration. Blend for 3 to 5 minutes. Remove an aliquot and dilute with sufficient dimethylformamide to yield a stock solution containing 400 units of nystatin per milliliter (estimated). Further dilute with 10 percent potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.0 (solution 6), to the reference concentration of 20 units of nystatin per milliliter (estimated).

(2) *pH.* Proceed as directed in § 436.202 of this chapter, using the undiluted sample.

Since the conditions prerequisite to providing for certification of subject antibiotic have been complied with, and since the matter is noncontroversial, notice and public procedure and delayed effective date are not prerequisites to this promulgation.

Effective date. This order shall become effective on January 24, 1975.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

MARY A. MCENIRY,
Assistant to the Director for
Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of
Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-2213 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 23—Highways

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Subchapter H—Right-of-Way and Environment

PART 713—RIGHT-OF-WAY—THE PROPERTY MANAGEMENT FUNCTION

Applicability

This will amend the regulations of the Federal Highway Administration by revising § 713.302(c) of 23 CFR 713, Subpart C. Subpart C, dealing with the disposal of rights-of-way, was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on September 27, 1974 (39 FR 34654). Section 713.302(c) is amended to clarify the nonapplicability of the regulation to real property acquired for planned highway purposes but not used because of a determination not to construct the planned highway facility.

The proposed regulation will codify a revision of paragraph 2(c) of Volume 7, Chapter 4, section 2 of the Federal Highway Program Manual.

Section 713.302(c) is hereby revised to read as follows:

§ 713.302 Applicability.

(c) The provisions of this section do not apply:

(1) Where whole sections of the Interstate System are withdrawn under the provisions of 23 U.S.C. 103(e)(2) and (4), or

(2) Where real property has been acquired for planned highway purposes, but because of environmental concerns, widespread public objections, or other similar considerations, the State highway department (SHD) or other appropriate State authority determines not to construct the planned highway facility.

This revision will take effect immediately.

Issued on January 20, 1975.

NORBERT T. TIEMANN,
Federal Highway Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2202 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 40—Protection of Environment

CHAPTER I—ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 325-4]

SUBCHAPTER C—AIR PROGRAMS

PART 52—APPROVAL AND PROMULGATION OF IMPLEMENTATION PLANS

California

The section entitled "Control strategy: Photochemical oxidants (hydrocarbons) and carbon monoxide" and designated as § 52.240 in the June 22, 1973 FEDERAL REGISTER (38 FR 16564) is redesignated as § 52.269.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

ROGER STRELOW,
Assistant Administrator for Air and Waste Management.

[FR Doc.75-2181 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Title 49—Transportation

CHAPTER X—INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

SUBCHAPTER A—GENERAL RULES AND REGULATIONS

[S. O. 1208]

PART 1033—CAR SERVICE

Reading Co.

At a Session of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Division 3, held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 21st day of January 1975.

It appearing, that the Lehigh and New England Railway Company (LNE) has notified the Commission that, on or before January 24, 1975, it will be unable to transport the traffic offered it because its cash position makes continued operation impossible; and that, accordingly, the LNE has placed its embargo No. 1-75 against all traffic, effective January 7, 1975;

It further appearing, that the imminent cessation of all transportation services by the LNE constitutes an emergency situation such as that contemplated by section 1(16)(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act (49 U.S.C. 1(16)), as amended, by section 601(e) of the Regional Rail Reorganization Act of 1973 (Pub. L. 93-236); and that section authorizes the Commission under certain prescribed conditions, to direct a carrier or carriers by railroad to perform essential transportation services which another carrier is no longer able to perform;

It further appearing, that the legislative history to section 1(16)(b) indicates that its purpose is to assure the continuance of essential rail service for a period of sixty days, or in extraordinary circumstances for an extended period not to exceed 240 days, in the event that a railroad is required to cease operation under conditions described in the Act; and that such authority was intended as an interim emergency measure and not as a permanent solution;

It further appearing, that in determining whether the LNE should be operated pursuant to the authority of section 1(16)(b) and in its planning therefore, the Commission, consistent with Congressional intent and the provisions of the Emergency Rail Services Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 661), has coordinated its activities with the Department of Transportation and has been in consultation with representatives of the United States Railway Association, among others;

It further appearing, that the Commission has determined that based upon the statute and the directives contained in the legislative history of section 1(16)(b) of the Act, the operation of the lines of the LNE is necessary and such operation is in the public interest; that the Commission considered many factors, including but not limited to: the transportation requirements of the patrons of the LNE, the economic impact of a discontinuance of service, the amount of originating and terminating traffic on individual lines, transportation require-

ments of connecting carriers, condition of track, alternative carriers and transportation modes, and net operating revenues attributable to individual lines; and that, the Commission should direct a carrier to operate over the lines of the LNE;

It further appearing, that the Reading Company, Andrew L. Lewis, Jr., and Joseph L. Castle, Trustees (Rdg) should be directed to provide the services herein determined to be essential in the public interest, which were formerly performed by the LNE, because, among other things, the Rdg's proximity to the lines of the LNE, the volume of the traffic LNE interchanges with the Rdg, its familiarity with the operation of the LNE and its willingness and ability to perform the services required for shippers;

It further appearing, that the performance of the operations directed herein will not substantially impair the Rdg's ability adequately to serve its own patrons or to meet its outstanding common carrier obligations; that the performance of the directed operation should not violate the provisions of the Federal Railroad Safety Act of 1970 (45 U.S.C. 421);

It further appearing, that in light of the emergency situation which would result from a cessation of all transportation service by the LNE, public notice and hearings are impractical and not required by the procedures set forth in section 1(15) of the Act; that the public interest requires the continuation of operation over certain lines of the LNE by the Rdg for a period of operation of 60 days as provided by section 1(16)(b) of the Act; and that good cause exists for making this order effective upon the date served;

It further appearing, that the Rdg is presently a railroad in reorganization under section 77 of the Bankruptcy Act (11 U.S.C. 205) subject to the jurisdiction of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania; and that, accordingly, approval of said court may be necessary for the implementation of this order; and

It further appearing, and the Division so finds, that this decision is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969;

It further appearing, and the Division so finds, that cessation of service by the LNE would have serious economic consequences not only to the patrons of the LNE but also to the communities located within the area; and for good cause appearing therefore:

§ 1033.1203 Service Order No. 1203.

(a) *Reading Company, Andrew L. Lewis, Jr., and Joseph L. Castle, Trustees, directed to operate certain portions of Lehigh and New England Railway Company. It is ordered, That the Reading Company, Andrew L. Lewis, Jr., and Joseph L. Castle, trustees (Rdg), be, and it is hereby directed to enter upon that portion of the Tamaqua branch of the Lehigh and New England Railway (LNE) extending between milepost 2.20*

east of Hauto, Pennsylvania, and a connection with the Reading Company at milepost 6.55 in the vicinity of Tamaqua, Pennsylvania, and to operate such railroad and facilities subject to any necessary approval of the reorganization court of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania, for the purpose of handling, routing, and moving the traffic of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company in accordance with the lawful instructions of shippers and consignees and in compliance with the rules and regulations of the Commission, and subject to the rates and charges prescribed in tariffs lawfully published and filed in accordance with law and applicable to freight transported over the lines of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company; that such entry and operations shall commence on or before 12:01 a.m., January 24, 1975, and shall continue for a period of 60 days, unless such period is reduced by order of the Commission or unless further extended by order of the Commission, for cause shown, for an additional designated period; and that a certified copy of the order of the court authorizing the Reading Company to perform the directed service pursuant to the order of the Commission shall be filed with this Commission, with appropriate reference to this proceeding;

(b) *It is further ordered*, That the Lehigh and New England Railway Company shall, on the date of service of this order inform all persons who were given notice of its embargo No. 1-75, that said embargo shall no longer be applicable to service over its lines;

(c) *It is further ordered*, That the Reading Company shall (1) collect all revenues attributable to the handling, routing, and movement of freight traffic including all agents' and conductors' accounts and all payments from other carriers collected after the commencement of directed operations; (2) distribute such revenues in accordance with divisional agreements presently applicable, collecting and paying to the Lehigh and New England Railway Company the divisions of joint revenues payable to the Lehigh and New England Railway Company pursuant to such division agreements which are derived from services performed and events occurring prior to January 24, 1975, and collecting and retaining for the Reading Company on a segregated basis all such divisions of joint revenues payable to the Lehigh and New England Railway Company pursuant to such division agreements which are derived from services performed by the Reading Company in the place and stead of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company and from events occurring on or after January 23, 1974;

(d) *It is further ordered*, That all carriers are hereby directed to pay to the Reading Company, such sums as otherwise would be payable to the Lehigh and New England Railway Company including interline freight revenues, per diem, and all other interline accounts of whatsoever kind and nature coming due

under normal accounting rules and procedures for the settlement of interline transactions and accounts between carriers during the period this order is in effect and thereafter coming due for services performed and events occurring during the period of directed service;

(e) *It is further ordered*, That the Reading Company shall pay to all carriers amounts received by it but due to them for services performed by them, for per diem, and for events occurring either prior to the commencement of operations directed herein or during the period this order is in effect, all in accordance with established procedures for the settlement of interline transactions and accounts between carriers;

(f) *It is further ordered*, That in executing the directions of this Commission as provided for in this order, all carriers involved in the movement of traffic to the lines of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company shall proceed even though in some instances, no contracts, agreements or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the divisions of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic; that in the event reroutings are necessary pursuant to the directives of this and subsequent orders, the divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers, or upon failure of the carriers to so agree said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act;

(g) *It is further ordered*, That, in carrying out the operations directed herein, the Reading Company shall hire employees of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company to the extent such employees had previously performed the directed service and shall assume all existing employment obligations and practices of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company relating thereto, including, but not limited to, agreements governing rates of pay, rules, working conditions, and all current employee protective conditions, for the duration of the directed service;

(h) *It is further ordered*, That the Reading Company and the Lehigh and New England Railway Company shall, if possible, negotiate an agreement (hereinafter called the agreement) on all aspects of the directed operation subject to their determination, including, but not limited to use of and rental for equipment, use of, and compensation for, existing inventories of fuel, materials, and supplies, and rental for the use of rights-of-way and other rail facilities; that the Commission shall be represented at all such discussions; that the agreement shall be subject to approval by the Commission upon such procedure as the Commission shall later specify; and that in the event the Reading Company and the Lehigh and New England Railway Company fail to agree upon the terms for such use and compensation, the directed service shall continue pending a Commission determination to establish

such terms as it may find to be just and reasonable;

(i) *It is further ordered*, That in the event the parties achieve agreement, any funds to be paid the Lehigh and New England Railway Company thereunder shall be paid into an escrow account until the agreement is given approval by the Commission; and that in the event the parties are unable to reach agreement, any monies the Reading Company holds for the account of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company to compensate it to the use of its equipment and facilities and properties, in lieu of a final agreement, shall be paid into an escrow account until a determination has been made by the Commission as to what terms are just and reasonable;

(j) *It is further ordered*, That the Reading Company shall record the revenues earned and the costs incurred in and for the performance of the operations directed herein over the lines of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company, in a manner to be prescribed by the Commission, that the information so recorded, and supporting data where specifically required, shall be submitted by the Reading Company to the Commission for audit and evaluation immediately upon completion of the directed operation, or at such intervals, during the period of the directed operation, as the Commission may request; and that, if, for the period during which this order shall be effective, the cost to the Reading Company of handling, routing, and moving the traffic over the lines of the Lehigh and New England Railway Company shall exceed the direct revenues therefor, payment shall be made to the Reading in the manner provided by section 1(16)(b) of the Act;

(k) *It is further ordered*, That the Commission shall retain jurisdiction to modify, supplement or reconsider this order at any time and for such purposes as it may consider necessary consistent with the legislative intent and the express provision of section 1(16)(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, as amended;

(l) *It is further ordered*, That this order shall be served upon the United States Department of Transportation, the United States Railway Association, the Rail Planning Services Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, the governor of the State of Pennsylvania, Pennsylvania Public Utilities Commission, the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that notice of this order be given to the general public by depositing a copy in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by filing it with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

(m) *It is further ordered*, That this order shall be effective upon the date of service; that the operations which the Reading Company is herein directed to perform shall commence on or before 12:01 a.m., January 24, 1975; and that

such operations shall cease 60 days from the date the directed service shall be instituted by the Reading Company at 11:59 p.m., unless otherwise extended, modified, changed, or suspended by subsequent order of the Commission.

(Secs. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2), 24 Stat. 379, 383, 384, as amended; 49 U.S.C. 1, 12, 15, and 17(2). Interprets or applies Secs. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2), 40 Stat. 101, as amended, 64 Stat. 911; 49 U.S.C. 1(10-17), 15(4), and 17(2))

By the Commission, Division 3.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2261 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER B—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE
[Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 12)]

PART 1100—GENERAL RULES OF PRACTICE

Paper Conservation

At a general session of the Interstate Commerce Commission held at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 17th day of January, 1975.

It is ordered, That based on the reasons set forth in the attached notice, Chapter X of Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations be, and it is hereby, modified as set forth below.

It is further ordered, That this order shall become effective on January 24, 1975.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER as notice to interested persons.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

The Interstate Commerce Commission has amended its rules of practice in an attempt to conserve paper. The rule changes will permit persons submitting written pleadings, documents, and papers to use both sides of the paper (rather than one side) and to file 8 (instead of 15) copies of such pleadings, documents, and papers. These measures should permit persons appearing before the Commission to economize on paper and reproduction costs.

The rule is issued under the authority of sec. 12, 17, 24 Stat. 383, as amended, 385, as amended, 49 Stat. 546, as amended, 548, as amended, 551, as amended, sec. 304, 54 Stat. 933, sec. 403, 56 Stat. 285; 49 U.S.C. 12, 13a, 17, 304, 305, 306, 307, 309, 311, 902, 903, 904, 909, 916, 1003, 1010, 1017.

Issued in Washington, D.C., January 17, 1975.

Accordingly, this action modifies 49 CFR 1100.15 and 1100.16 so that they now read as follows:

§ 1100.15 Typographical specifications generally (Rule 15).

Except as otherwise provided respecting applications (Rule 38(a)), exhibits (Rule 84(a)), and informal complaints (Rules 24(a)), all pleadings, documents, and papers to be filed under these rules shall be on opaque, unglazed, durable paper not exceeding 8½ by 11 inches. To permit binding in covers of uniform size, margins of at least 1½ and 1 inch, respectively, shall be allowed on the left and right margins. Binding shall be on the left margin. Reproduction may be by printing, printing by offset press, multi-graphing, or mimeographing, or by any other process, provided the copies are clear and permanently legible. White-line blueprints which cannot be reproduced by photography are not acceptable. If directly typewritten, or if in facsimile reproduction of typewriting, the impression may be on both sides of the paper and must be double-spaced, except that long quotations shall be single-spaced and indented. Nothing less in size than Elite type shall be used. If

printed, adequate leading and nothing less than 10-point type shall be used, except that 8-point type may be employed in footnotes and in tabular matter where printing limitations so require. A pleading or brief in excess of 50 pages (except a pleading under modified or shortened procedure), including cover pages, indexes, and appendixes, must be printed. Printing by offset press will be accepted: *Provided*, That the type used is not reduced in size smaller than that required for typewritten documents and that where the pleading or brief exceeds 50 pages, the impression is on both sides of the paper. Failure to observe these specifications will result in rejection.

§ 1100.16 Copies. (Rule 16).

(a) *Generally*. The original and 8 copies of every pleading, document, or paper permitted or required to be filed under this part shall be furnished for the use of the Commission except as a different number is required under paragraph (b) of this section, or as otherwise provided respecting: answers (Rule 35(c)), applications (Rule 38(b) and Rule 40(c)); complaints, formal (Rules 26 and 37) and informal (Rule 24(a) and Rule 25(d)); depositions (Rule 64); exhibits (Rules 84(c) and 86); modified procedure (Rule 52); petitions in intervention (Rule 72(d)); prepared statements (Rule 77); protests in investigation-and-suspension proceedings (Rule 42(c)); replies (Rule 23(b)); and matters respecting oral argument (Rule 98); subpoenas (Rule 56(a)); time modification (Rule 21(b)); transcript correction (Rule 90(b)), and petitions for rehearing, reargument, or reconsideration (Rule 101(a)).

(b) *In bankruptcy proceedings*. Except as otherwise provided in an application form or instruction (Rule 38) and respecting exhibits (Rule 84(c)), the original and 19 copies of every pleading, document, or paper filed in a proceeding arising under the Uniform Bankruptcy Act shall be furnished for the use of the Commission.

[FR Doc.75-2261 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

RULES AND REGULATIONS

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development
 CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT
 SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM

[Docket No. FI-456]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
Arkansas	Desha	McGehee, city of	Jan. 23, 1975. Emergency	Mar. 23, 1974		
Massachusetts	Franklin	Greenfield, town of	do	Sept. 13, 1974		
Missouri	Reynolds	Ellington, city of	do	Mar. 23, 1974		
New York	Westchester	Hastings-on-Hudson, city of	do	Nov. 8, 1974		
Do	Oneida	New Hartford, village of	do	Feb. 22, 1974		
Ohio	Delaware	Galena, village of	do	Feb. 15, 1974		
Do	Warren	South Lebanon, village of	do	May 24, 1974		
Tennessee	Lauderdale	Ripley, town of	do	May 17, 1974		
Virginia	Lee	Unincorporated areas	do			
Washington	Whitman	Colfax, city of	do	Apr. 5, 1974		
West Virginia	Monongalia	Morgantown, city of	do	Aug. 2, 1974		
Wisconsin	Chippewa	Cadott, village of	do	Dec. 17, 1973		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 23, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 23, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 15, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
 Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc. 75-2178 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-457]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies (1) the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or under the regular flood insurance program; (2) the effective date on which the community became ineligible for the sale of flood insurance because of its failure to submit land use and control measures as required pursuant to § 1909.24(a); or (3) the effective date of a community's formal reinstatement in the program pursuant to § 1909.24(b). The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
Arizona	Pima	Tucson, city of	Jan. 20, 1974. Emergency	Aug. 2, 1974		
Do	Pinal	El Rey, city of	do	May 31, 1974		
Florida	Putnam	Paleika, town of	do	July 19, 1974		
Do	Sumter	Bushnell, city of	do	Jan. 10, 1974		
Georgia	Bulloch	Statesboro, city of	do	June 7, 1974		
Iowa	Linn	Fairfax, city of	do	May 3, 1974		
Indiana	Johnson	Franklin, city of	do	Dec. 28, 1973		
Do	Ohio	Unincorporated areas	do			
Do	Bartholomew	Rising Sun, city of	do			
Do	Lake	Unincorporated areas	do	Sept. 20, 1974		
Do	Lake	St. John, town of	do	Nov. 30, 1973		
Kansas	Rice	Lyons, city of	do	Feb. 15, 1974		
Maine	York	Biddeford, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Michigan	Midland	Midland, city of	do	May 3, 1974		
Missouri	Cape Girardeau	Jackson, city of	Jan. 9, 1974. Suspension withdrawn.	May 4, 1973		
Maine	Aroostook	Madawaska, town of	Jan. 20, 1974. Emergency	Aug. 9, 1974		

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
Missouri	Dunklin	Holcomb, city of	do	Mar. 29, 1974		
Mississippi	Franklin	Bude, town of	do	June 7, 1974		
New Jersey	Bergen	Rockleigh, borough of	do			
North Carolina	Johnston	Smithfield, town of	do			
Ohio	Clermont	Chilo, village of	do			
Do	Cuyahoga	Middleburg Heights, city of	do	Jan. 16, 1974		
Oregon	Washington	Hillsboro, city of	do			
Pennsylvania	Montgomery	Trappe, borough of	do	Nov. 15, 1974		
Texas	Walker	Huntsville, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Do	Denton	Lewisville, city of	do	Mar. 15, 1974		
Utah	Utah	Salem, city of	do	June 28, 1974		
Do	Emery	Ferron, town of	do	May 24, 1974		
Vermont	Washington	Marshfield, town of	do	Sept. 20, 1974		
Virginia	Richmond	Unincorporated areas	do			
Do	Rockingham	Elkton, town of	do	June 28, 1974		
Washington	Yakima	Yakima, city of	do			
West Virginia	Mason	Point Pleasant, city of	do			
Do	Fayette	Mount Hope, city of	do	Sept. 13, 1974		
Wisconsin	LaCrosse	Bangor, village of	do	Jan. 16, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 14, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2177 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-458]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies (1) the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or under the regular flood insurance program; (2) the effective date on which the community became ineligible for the sale of flood insurance because of its failure to submit land use and control measures as required pursuant to § 1909.24(a); or (3) the effective date of a community's formal reinstatement in the program pursuant to § 1909.24(b). The entry read as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
Alabama	Walker	Jasper, city of	Jan. 21, 1975. Emergency	Jan. 23, 1974		
Arkansas	Craighead	Lake City, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Colorado	Boulder	Broomfield, city of	Jan. 10, 1975. Suspension. Withdrawn.			
Florida	Seminole	Sanford, city of	Jan. 21, 1975. Emergency	Aug. 16, 1974		
Kentucky	Ballard	Wickliffe, city of	do	May 24, 1974		
Louisiana	Acadia Parish	Iota, town of	do	do		
Massachusetts	Middlesex	Frankingham, town of	do	Aug. 2, 1974		
Minnesota	Ramsey	Arden Hills, city of	do	Apr. 5, 1974		
Nebraska	Burt	Decatur, village of	do	Apr. 12, 1974		
New York	Nassau	Long Beach, city of	Jan. 10, 1975. Suspension. Withdrawn.			
Ohio	Belmont	Shadyside, city of	Jan. 21, 1975. Emergency	Nov. 23, 1973		
Do	Auglaize	St. Mary's, city of	do	May 7, 1974		
Oregon	Yamhill	Sheridan, city of	do	Oct. 18, 1974		
Do	do	Williamina, city of	do	Dec. 28, 1973		
Pennsylvania	Allegheny	Braddock, borough of	do	Mar. 29, 1974		
Do	Erie	Edinboro, borough of	do	Mar. 5, 1974		
Do	Susquehanna	Great Bend, Borough of	do	Sept. 20, 1974		
Do	Lebanon	Jackson, Township of	do			
Do	Washington	Marianna, Borough of	do	Feb. 8, 1974		
Do	Berks	Robesonia, Borough of	do			
Do	Allegheny	Swissvale, Borough of	do	May 14, 1974		
Texas	Kerr	Unincorporated Areas	do			
Do	Cooke	Lindsay, Town of	do			
Virginia	Franklin	Boones Mill, Town of	do	Aug. 16, 1974		
Do	Pittsylvania	Unincorporated Areas	do			
Washington	Skagit	Mount Vernon, City of	do	May 17, 1974		
Wisconsin	Dodge	Waupun, City of	do	Jan. 9, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 14, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2176 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

RULES AND REGULATIONS

[Docket No. FI 459]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table. In this entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. Each date appearing in the fourth column of the table is followed by a designation which indicates whether the date signifies the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 Status of participating communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	State map repository	Local map repository
California	Orange	Placentia, city of	Jan. 22, 1975. Emergency	June 14, 1974		
Colorado	Adams	Northglenn, city of	do			
Georgia	Liberty	Unincorporated areas	do			
Iowa	Cherokee	Cherokee, city of	do	Mar. 29, 1974		
Ohio	Mercer	Celina, city of	do	Apr. 12, 1974		
Do	Clark	New Carlisle, city of	do	Feb. 1, 1974		
Virginia	Independent City	Suffolk, city of	do	July 19, 1974		
West Virginia	Wyoming	Pineville, town of	do	July 20, 1974		

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 FR 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.

Issued: January 14, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2175 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI 450]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that comment and public procedure and the use of delayed effective dates in identifying the areas of communities which have special flood or mudslide hazards, in accordance with 24 CFR Part 1915, would be contrary to the public interest. The purpose of such identifications is to guide new development away from areas threatened by flooding. Since this publication is merely for the purpose of informing the public of the location of areas of special flood hazard and has no binding effect on the sale of flood insurance or the commencement of construction, notice and public procedure are impracticable, unnecessary, and contrary to the public interest. Inasmuch as this publication is not a substantive rule, the identification of special hazard areas shall be effective on the date shown. Accordingly, § 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:

§ 1915.3 List of communities with special hazard areas.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Alabama	Coffee	New Brockton, town of	H 010233 01 through H 010233 02	Alabama Development Office, Office of State Planning, State Office Bldg., 501 Dexter Ave., Montgomery, Ala. 36104. Alabama Insurance Department, Room 453, Administrative Bldg., Montgomery, Ala. 36104.	Mayor, City Hall, Town of New Brockton, New Brockton, Ala. 36351.	Jan. 17, 1975.
Do.	do.	Unincorporated areas.	H 010239 01 through H 010239 03	do.	Mayor, County of Coffee, P.O. Box 450, Elba, Ala. 36723.	Do.
Do.	Henry	do.	H 010261 01 through H 010261 02	do.	Mayor, City Hall, County of Henry, Headland, Ala. 36345.	Do.
Do.	Jefferson	Adamsville, city of	H 010267 01 through H 010267 02	do.	Mayor, City Hall, City of Adamsville, Adamsville, Ala. 35005.	Do.
Do.	Montgomery	Unincorporated areas.	H 010278 01 through H 010278 03	do.	Mayor, City Hall, County of Montgomery, Montgomery, Ala. 36104.	Do.
Do.	Pickens	do.	H 010283 01 through H 010283 05	do.	Mayor, County of Pickens, Aliceville, Ala. 35442.	Do.
Do.	Russell	do.	H 010287 01 through H 010287 06	do.	Mayor, County of Russell, Phenix City, Ala. 36867.	Do.
Arizona	Graham	do.	H 040032 01 through H 040032 03	Arizona State Land Department, 1624 West Adams, Room 400, Phoenix, Ariz. 85007. Arizona Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 7038, 718 West Glenrosa, Phoenix, Ariz. 85011.	Chairman, Graham County Board of Supervisors, Court House, County of Graham, Safford, Ariz. 85546.	Do.
Arkansas	Miller	Garland, town of	H 050138 01	Division of Soil and Water Resources, State Department of Commerce, 1920 West Capitol Ave., Little Rock, Ark. 72201. Arkansas Insurance Department, 400 University Tower Bldg., Little Rock, Ark. 72204.	Mayor, Town of Garland, Miller County, Garland, Ark. 71839.	Do.
California	Orange	La Verne, city of	H 060133A 01 through H 060133A 03	Department of Water Resources, P.O. Box 388, Sacramento, Calif. 95802. California Insurance Department, 107 South Broadway, Los Angeles, Calif. 90012.	Mayor, City Hall, City of La Verne, La Verne, Calif. 91750.	June 14, 1974, Jan. 17, 1975.
Do.	San Bernardino	Barstow, city of	H 060271 01 through H 060271 09	do.	Mayor, City Hall, 220 East View Ave., City of Barstow, Barstow, Calif. 92311.	Jan. 17, 1975.
Do.	Humboldt	Blue Lake, city of	H 060438 01	do.	Mayor, City Hall, City of Blue Lake, Blue Lake, Calif. 95525.	Do.
Colorado	Larimer	Wellington, town of	H 080104A 01	Colorado Water Conservation Board, Room 102, 1845 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Division of Insurance, 106 State Office Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203.	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Wellington, Wellington, Colo. 80549.	Mar. 22, 1974, Jan. 17, 1975.
Do.	El Paso	Calhan, town of	H 080192 01	do.	Mayor, Town of Calhan, Calhan, Colo. 80608.	Jan. 17, 1975.
Delaware	Sussex	Bethel, town of	H 100055 01 through H 100055 02	Division of Soil and Water, Conservation, Department of Natural Resources and Environmental Control, Tattnal Bldg., Capital Complex, Dover, Del. 19901. Delaware Insurance Department, 21 The Green, Dover, Del. 19901.	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Bethel, Bethel, Del. 19931.	Do.
Florida	Bay	Unincorporated areas.	H 120004 01 through H 120004 16	Department of Community Affairs, 2571 Executive Center Circle East, Howard Bldg., Tallahassee, Fla. 32301. State of Florida Insurance Department, Treasurer's Office, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Fla. 32304.	Chairman, Board of County Commissioners, County of Bay, Panama City, Fla. 32401.	Do.
Do.	Clay	do.	H 120064 01 through H 120064 09	do.	Clay County Commission and Zoning Board, County of Clay, Clay County Court House, Green Cove Springs, Fla. 32043.	Do.
Do.	Hernando	do.	H 120110 01 through H 120110 09	do.	Planning and Zoning Official, Hernando County, 9 North Brooksville Ave., Brooksville, Fla. 33612.	Dec. 13, 1974, Jan. 17, 1975.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	Seminole	do.	H 120289 01 through H 120289 12	do.	Seminole County Engineering Office, 4300 South Orlando Ave., Sanford, Fla. 32771.	Jan. 17, 1975.
Georgia	Ben Hill	Fitzgerald, city of.	H 130007 01 through H 130007 04	Department of Natural Resources, Office of Planning and Research, 270 Washington St. SW., Room 707, Atlanta, Ga. 30334. Georgia Insurance Department, State Capitol, Atlanta, Ga. 30334.	City Building Inspector's Office, 304 East Central Ave., City of Fitzgerald, Fitzgerald, Ga. 31750.	Do.
Do.	Dawson	Dawsonville, city of.	H 130064 01	do.	Mayor, City Hall, City of Dawsonville, Dawsonville, Ga. 30534.	Do.
Do.	Rabun	Mountain City, town of.	H 130252 01 through H 130252 02	do.	Mayor, Town of Mountain City, Mountain City, Ga. 30562.	Do.
Do.	Tift	Tifton, city of	H 130171 01 through H 130171 02	do.	Tifton City Hall, City of Tifton, Tifton, Ga. 31601.	Do.
Idaho	Bannock	Unincorporated areas.	H 160009 01 through H 160009 13	Department of Water Administration, State House, Annex 2, Boise, Idaho 83707. Idaho Department of Insurance, Room 206, State House, Boise, Idaho 83707.	Zoning Administrator's Office, Bannock County, Court House, P.O. Box 4777, Pocatello, Idaho 83201.	Do.
Do.	Latah	Deary, city of.	H 160133 01	do.	Mayor, City Hall, City of Deary, Deary, Idaho 83823.	Do.
Illinois	Lake	Unincorporated areas.	H 170857 01 through H 170857 15	Governor's Task Force on Flood Control, 300 North State St., Room 1010, Chicago, Ill. 60610. Illinois Insurance Department, 525 West Jefferson St., Springfield, Ill. 62702.	Ronald Cole, Chairman, Planning and Zoning Committee, Board of Supervisors of Lake County, Lake County, Ill. 60085.	Do.
Do.	Peoria	do.	H 170533 01 through H 170533 05	do.	Tri-County Regional Planning Commission, Suite 7-A, Junction City, Peoria, Ill. 61614.	Do.
Iowa	Butler	Aredale, town of.	H 190035 01	Iowa Natural Resources Council, James W. Grimes Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50319. Iowa Insurance Department, Lucas State Office Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50319.	Mayor, City Hall, Town of Aredale, Aredale, Iowa 50605.	Do.
Do.	Jackson	Monmouth, city of.	H 190161 01	do.	Mayor, Town Hall, City of Monmouth, Monmouth, Iowa 52309.	Do.
Do.	Winneshiek	Fort Atkinson, town of.	H 190284 01	do.	Paul Glass, Town of Fort Atkinson, Fort Atkinson, Iowa 52144.	Do.
Do.	Louisa	Morning Sun, town of.	H 190312 01	do.	County Board of Supervisors, Court House, Town of Morning Sun, Wapello, Iowa 52653.	Do.
Kansas	Ellis	Schoenchen, city of.	H 200097 01	Division of Water Resources, State Board of Agriculture, Topeka, Kans. 66612. Kansas Insurance Department, 1st Floor, State House, Topeka, Kans. 66612.	Mayor, City Hall, City of Schoenchen, Schoenchen, Kans. 67667.	Do.
Do.	Linn	Blue Mound, city of.	H 200195 01	do.	Mayor, City of Blue Mound, Blue Mound, Kans. 66010.	Do.
Kentucky	Kenton	Lakeview, city of.	H 210249 01	Division of Water, Kentucky Department of Natural Resources, Capitol Plaza Office Tower, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Kentucky Insurance Department, Old Capitol Annex, Frankfort, Ky. 40601.	Northern Kentucky Area Planning Commission, 1th & Lowell St., P.O. Box F, City of Lakeview, Newport, Ky. 41072.	Do.
Louisiana	Beauregard Parish.	Unincorporated areas.	H 220026 01 through H 220026 19	State Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804. Louisiana Insurance Department, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804.	Chairman, Beauregard Parish Police Jury, Parish Court House, Beauregard Parish, DeRidder, La. 70634.	Do.
Do.	Tangipahoa Parish.	do.	H 220206 01 through H 220206 12	do.	Mayor, Tangipahoa Parish, Amite, La. 70422.	Do.
Do.	Union	Bernice, town of.	H 220314 01	do.	Mayor, Town of Bernice, Bernice, La. 71222.	Do.
Maine	York	Shapleigh, town of.	H 230198 01 through H 230198 06	Bureau of Civil Emergency Preparedness, State House, Augusta, Maine 04330. Maine Insurance Department, Capitol Shopping Center, Augusta, Maine 04330.	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Shapleigh, Shapleigh, Maine 04076.	Do.
Do.	Cumberland	Sebago, town of.	H 230206 01 through H 230206 13	do.	Planning Board, Town of Sebago, Sebago, Maine.	Do.
Do.	Sagadahoc	Arrowsic, town of.	H 230208 01 through H 230208 02	do.	Town Manager, Town Office, Arrowsic, Maine.	Do.
Do.	Lincoln	Southport, town of.	H 230221 01 through H 230221 06	do.	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Town of Southport, Southport Maine 04569.	Do.
Do.	Kennebec	Windsor, town of.	H 230251 01 through H 230251 10	do.	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Windsor, Maine 04363.	Do.
Do.	Waldo	Knox, town of.	H 230258 01 through H 230258 10	do.	Town Manager, Town of Knox, Knox, Maine.	Do.
Do.	Hancock	Mount Desert, town of.	H 230287 01 through H 230287 16	do.	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Town of Mount Desert, Mount Desert, Maine 04660.	Do.
Do.	do.	Orland, town of.	H 230288 01 through H 230288 14	do.	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Town of Orland, Orland, Maine 04472.	Do.
Do.	do.	Southwest Harbor, town of.	H 230293 01 through H 230293 05	do.	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Southwest Harbor, Southwest Harbor, Maine 04679.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

3775

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	do	Winter Harbor, town of.	H 230302 01 through H 230302 10	do	Town Manager, Town Hall, Town of Winter Harbor, Winter Harbor, Maine 04603.	Do.
Do	Washington	Crawford, town of.	H 230309 01 through H 230209 12	do	Town Manager, Town of Crawford, Crawford, Maine.	Do.
Do	Oxford	Sweden, town of.	H 230341 01 through H 230341 02	do	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Town of Sweden, Maine.	Do.
Do	Somerset	Athens, town of.	H 230254 01 through H 230254 13	do	Town Manager, Municipal Bldg., Town of Athens, Athens, Maine 04912.	Do.
Do	do	Cambridge, town of.	H 230355 01 through H 230355 06	do	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Cambridge, Cambridge, Maine 04923.	Do.
Do	do	Cornville, town of.	H 230358 01 through H 230358 08	do	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Cornville, Cornville, Maine.	Do.
Do	do	Moose River, town of.	H 230363 01 through H 230363 08	do	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Moose River, Moose River, Maine.	Do.
Do	Penobscot	Etna, town of.	H 230385 01 through H 230385 07	do	Town Manager, Town Office, Town of Etna, Etna, Maine 04434.	Do.
Do	Aroostook	Amity, town of.	H 230418 01 through H 230418 12	do	Chairman, Selectmen, Town Office, Town of Amity, Amity, Maine.	Do.
Massachusetts	Franklin	Wendell, town of.	H 250131 01 through H 250131 11	Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Commission, State Office Bldg., 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202. Massachusetts Division of Insurance, 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202.	Town Manager, Town of Wendell, Wendell, Mass.	Do.
Michigan	Alpena	Alpena, city of.	H 260010 01 through H 260010 04	Water Resources Commission, Bureau of Water Management, Stevens T. Mason Bldg., Lansing, Mich. 48926. Michigan Insurance Bureau, 111 North Hosmer St., Lansing, Mich. 48913.	City of Alpena, Alpena City Hall, 208 North 1st St., Alpena, Mich. 49707.	Do.
Do	Ionia	Beiding, city of.	H 260096 01 through H 260096 02	do	Mayor, City of Beiding, Ionia County, Beiding, Mich. 48909.	Do.
Do	Montcalm	Greenville, city of.	H 260158 01 through H 260158 02	do	City Assessor and Zoning Administrator, City of Greenville, 411 South Lafayette, Greenville, Mich. 48838.	Do.
Do	Huron	Caseville, township of.	H 260257 01 through H 260257 07	do	Caseville Township Board, 6682 Main St., Caseville, Huron County, Mich. 48725.	Do.
Do	Oceana	Golden, township of.	H 260301 01 through H 260301 11	do	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Golden, Oceana County, Golden, Mich.	Do.
Minnesota	Lyon	Balaton, city of.	H 270563 01	Division of Waters, Soils and Department of Natural Resources, Centennial Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101. Minnesota Division of Insurance, R-210, State Office Bldg., St. Paul, Minn. 55101.	Mr. James E. Klukas, Clerk-Treasurer, Village of Balaton, Balaton, Minn. 56115.	Do.
Do	Benton	Rice, city of.	H 270597 01 through H 270597 04	do	Mayor, City of Rice, Benton County, Rice, Minn. 56367.	Do.
Do	Yellow Medicine	Wood Lake, city of.	H 270615 01	do	Mayor, City of Wood Lake, Yellow Medicine County, Wood Lake, Minn. 56297.	Do.
Do	Koochiching	South International Falls, city of.	H 270660 01 through H 270660 02	do	Mayor, City of South International Falls, Koochiching County, South International Falls, Minn. 56679.	Do.
Do	Sherburne	Big Lake, city of.	H 270663 01	do	Mayor, City of Big Lake, Sherburne County, Big Lake, Minn. 55309.	Do.
Do	Wright	Waverly, city of.	H 270666 01	do	Mayor, City of Waverly, Wright County, Waverly, Minn. 55390.	Do.
Mississippi	Tallahatchie	Webb, town of.	H 280213 01	Mississippi Research and Development Center, P.O. Drawer 2470, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Mississippi Insurance Department, 910 Woolfolk Bldg., P.O. Box 79, Jackson, Miss. 39205.	Mrs. Aiyce H. Long, Town Clerk, Town Hall, Webb, Miss. 39066.	Do.
Missouri	Carroll	Bosworth, City of.	H 290463 01	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Program and Policy Development, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101. Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 600, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101.	Presiding Judge, Carroll County Court, Court House, City of Bosworth, Carrollton, Mo. 64633.	Do.
Do	Moniteau	Tipton, city of.	H 290640 01	do	Mayor, City of Tipton, Moniteau County, Tipton, Mo. 65061.	Do.
Nebraska	Adams	Junjata, village of.	H 310293 01	Nebraska Natural Resources Commission, 7th Floor, Terminal Bldg., Lincoln, Nebr. 68508. Nebraska Insurance Department, 1335 L St., Lincoln, Nebr. 68509.	Mayor, Village of Junjata, Junjata, Nebr. 68955.	Do.
Do	Colfax	Clarkson, city of.	H 310359 01	do	Mayor, City of Clarkson, Clarkson, Nebr. 68629.	Do.
Do	York	Henderson, city of.	H 310378 01	do	Mayor, City of Henderson, Henderson, Nebr. 68579.	Do.
Do	Colfax	Howells, village of.	H 310380 01	do	Mayor, Village of Howells, Howells, Nebr. 68641.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
New Hampshire	Carroll	Effingham, town of	H 330012 01 through H 330012 06	Office of State Planning, Division of Community Planning, State House Annex, Concord, N.H. 03301. New Hampshire Insurance Department, 78 North Main St., Concord, N.H. 03301.	Selectmen, Center Effingham, Town of Effingham, Effingham, N.H.	Do:
Do.	Grafton	Grafton, town of	H 330054 01 through H 330054 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, Town of Grafton, Grafton, N.H. 03240.	Do.
Do.	Sullivan	Springfield, town of	H 330163 01 through H 330163 12	do.	Chairman, Board of Selectmen, Town of Springfield, Springfield, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Carroll	Albany, town of	H 330174 01 through H 330174 06	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Albany, Albany, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Cheshire	Stoddard, town of	H 330195 01 through H 330195 05	do.	Chairman, Planning Board, Town of Stoddard, Stoddard, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Rockingham	Danville, town of	H 330199 01 through H 330201 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Danville, Danville, N.H. 03819.	Do.
Do.	Coos	Dummer, town of	H 330201 12 through H 330202 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Dummer, Dummer, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Merrimack	Dunbarton, town of	H 330202 10 through H 330204 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Dunbarton, Dunbarton, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Carroll	Eaton, town of	H 330204 03 through H 330206 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Eaton, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Coos	Errol, town of	H 330206 09 through H 330208 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Errol, Errol, N.H. 03579.	Do.
Do.	Belknap	Gilmanton, town of	H 330208 07 through H 330217 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Gilmanton, Gilmanton, N.H. 03237.	Do.
Do.	Rockingham	Kingston, town of	H 330219 05 through H 330219 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Kingston, Kingston, N.H. 03848.	Do.
Do.	Stratford	Madbury, town of	H 330129 05 through H 330220 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Madbury, Madbury, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Carroll	Madison, town of	H 330220 03 through H 330224 01	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Madison, Madison, N.H. 03840.	Do.
Do.	Hillsborough	Mount Vernon, town of	H 330228 01 through H 330228 09	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Mount Vernon, Mount Vernon, N.H. 03067.	Do.
Do.	Rockingham	Newfields, town of	H 330233 01 through H 330233 02	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Newfields, Newfields, N.H. 03856.	Do.
Do.	Cheshire	Sullivan, town of	H 330236 01 through H 330236 09	do.	Chairman, Planning Board, town of Sullivan, Sullivan, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Merrimack	Webster, town of	H 330238 01 through H 330238 03	do.	Selectmen, Town of Webster, Webster, N.H.	Do.
Do.	Cheshire	Westmoreland, town of	H 330239 01 through H 330239 08	do.	Selectmen, Town Office, Town of Westmoreland, Westmoreland, N.H. 03467.	Do.
Do.	Carroll	Wolfeboro, town of	H 350116 01 through H 350116 02	do.	Chairman, Planning Board, Town of Wolfeboro, Wolfeboro, N.H.	Do.
New Mexico	Taos	Questa, village of	H 350116 01 through H 350116 02	State Engineer's Office, Bataan Memorial Bldg., Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501. New Mexico Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 1299, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501.	Mayor, Village of Questa, Taos County, Questa, N. Mex. 87556.	Do.
New York	Albany	Guilderland, town of	H 360010 01 through H 360010 26	New York State Department of Environmental Conservation, Division of Resources Management Service, Bureau of Water Management, Albany, N.Y. 12201. New York State Insurance Department, 123 William St., New York, N.Y. 10038.	Town Supervisor, Town of Guilderland, Town Hall, Guilderland, N.Y. 12064.	Do.
Do.	St. Lawrence	Hammond, town of	H 360700 01 through H 360700 10	do.	Town Supervisor, Town Hall, Town of Hammond, Hammond, N.Y. 13646.	Do.
Do.	Chenango	Afton, town of	H 361084 01 through H 361084 13	do.	Mayor, Town of Afton, Afton, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Greene	Jewett, town of	H 361114 01 through H 361114 18	do.	Supervisor, Town of Jewett, Jewett, N.Y. 12444.	Do.
Do.	Fulton	Mayfield, town of	H 361132 01 through H 361132 15	do.	Supervisor, Town of Mayfield, Mayfield, N.Y. 12117.	Do.
Do.	Essex	North Elba, town of	H 361156 01 through H 361156 10	do.	Supervisor, Town of North Elba, North Elba, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Wilmington, town of	H 361161 01 through H 361161 23	do.	Supervisor, Town of Wilmington, Wilmington, N.Y. 12997.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Putnam, town of	H 361236 01 through H 361236 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of Putnam, Putnam, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Montgomery	Glen, town of	H 361295 01 through H 361295 06	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Glen, Glen, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Chenango	Preston, town of	H 361306 01 through H 361306 12	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Preston, Preston, N.Y.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	Cortland	Freetown, town of.	H 361325 01 through H 361325 03	do.	Supervisor, Town of Freetown, Freetown, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Solon, town of.	H 361329 01 through H 361329 08	do.	Supervisor, Town of Solon, Solon, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Allegany	Ward, town of.	H 361364 01 through H 361364 03	do.	Supervisor, Town of Ward, Ward, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	West Almond, town of.	H 361365 01 through H 361365 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of West Almond, West, Almond, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Chautauqua	Clymer, town of.	H 361369 01 through H 361369 12	do.	Mayor, Town of Clymer, Clymer, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	French Creek, town of.	H 361370 01 through H 361370 12	do.	Supervisor, Town of French Creek, French Creek, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Sherman, town of.	H 361373 01 through H 361373 12	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Sherman, Sherman, N.Y. 14781.	Do.
Do.	Chenango	Coventry, town of.	H 361375 01 through H 361375 04	do.	Mayor, Town of Coventry, Coventry, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Franklin	Bellmont, town of.	H 361392 01 through H 361392 11	do.	Mayor, Town of Belmont, Belmont, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Brandon, town of.	H 361393 01 through H 361393 04	do.	Mayor, Town of Brandon, Brandon, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Duane, town of.	H 361396 01 through H 361396 06	do.	Supervisor, Town of Duane, Duane, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Greene	Windham, town of.	H 361401 01 through H 361401 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of Windham, Windham, N.Y. 12496.	Do.
Do.	Jefferson	Worth, town of.	H 361409 01 through H 361409 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of Worth, Worth, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Otsego	Decatur, town of.	H 361417 01 through H 361417 02	do.	Town Supervisor, Town of Decatur, Decatur, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	St. Lawrence	Pierrepont, town of.	H 361425 01 through H 361425 06	do.	Supervisor, Town of Pierrepont, Pierrepont, N.Y. 13674.	Do.
Do.	do.	Parishville, town of.	H 361427 01 through H 361427 06	do.	Supervisor, Town of Parishville, Parishville, N.Y. 13672.	Do.
Do.	Stenben	West Union, town of.	H 361437 01 through H 361437 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of West Union, West Union, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	do.	Wheeler, town of.	H 361438 01 through H 361438 04	do.	Supervisor, Town of Wheeler, Wheeler, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Jackson, town of.	H 361444 01 through H 361444 12	do.	Supervisor, Town of Jackson, Jackson, N.Y.	Do.
Do.	Wayne	Marion, town of.	H 361446 01 through H 361446 02	do.	Supervisor, Town of Marion, Marion, N.Y. 14565.	Do.
Do.	Sullivan	Wurtsboro, village of.	H 361476 01	do.	Mayor, Village of Wurtsboro, Wurtsboro, N.Y. 12700.	Do.
Do.	Madison	Wampsville, village of.	H 361485 01	do.	Mayor, Village of Wampsville, Wampsville, N.Y. 13163.	Do.
Do.	Orange	Chester, village of.	H 361541 01 through H 361541 02	do.	Supervisor, Town of Chester, Main St., Chester, N.Y. 10918.	Do.
North Carolina	Guilford	Unincorporated areas.	H 37011 01 through H 37011 29	North Carolina Office of Water and Air Resources, Department of Natural and Economic Resources, P.O. Box 27687, Raleigh, N.C. 27611. North Carolina Insurance Department, P.O. Box 26387, Raleigh, N.C. 27611.	County Board of Commissioners, Guilford County, Greensboro, N.C. 27402.	Do.
North Dakota	McHenry	Anamoose, city of.	H 380154 01	State Water Commission, State Office Bldg., 900 East Boulevard, Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501. North Dakota Insurance Department, State Capitol, Bismarck, N. Dak. 58501.	Mayor, City of Anamoose, McHenry County, Anamoose, N. Dak. 58710.	Do.
Do.	Nelson	Aneta, city of.	H 380155 01	do.	Mayor, City of Aneta, Nelson County, Aneta, N. Dak. 58212.	Do.
Do.	Sargent	Cogswell, city of.	H 380164 01	do.	Mayor, City of Cogswell, Sargent County, Cogswell, N. Dak. 58017.	Do.
Do.	Walsh	Edinburg, city of.	H 380165 01	do.	Mayor, City of Edinburg, Edinburg, N. Dak. 58227.	Do.
Do.	McHenry	Granville, city of.	H 380176 01	do.	Mayor, City of Granville, Granville, N. Dak. 58741.	Do.
Do.	Griggs	Hannaford City, city of.	H 380178 01	do.	Mayor, City of Hannaford City, Hannaford City, N. Dak. 58448.	Do.
Do.	Barnes	Litchville, city of.	H 380187 01	do.	Mayor, City of Litchville, Litchville, N. Dak. 58461.	Do.
Do.	Cavalier	Munich, city of.	H 380189 01	do.	Mayor, City of Munich, Munich, N. Dak. 58352.	Do.
Do.	Nelson	Petersburg, city of.	H 380194 01	do.	Mayor, City of Petersburg, Petersburg, N. Dak. 58272.	Do.
Do.	Wells	Sykeston, city of.	H 380207 01	do.	Mayor, City of Sykeston, Sykeston, N. Dak. 58456.	Do.
Do.	do.	Fessenden, city of.	H 380226 01	do.	Mayor, Town of Fessenden, Fessenden, N. Dak. 58438.	Do.
Do.	Emmons	Hazleton, city of.	H 380232 01	do.	Mayor, City of Hazleton, Hazleton, N. Dak. 58544.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.....	Sargent.....	Milnor, city of.....	H 380239 01.....	do.....	Mayor, City of Milnor, Milnor, N. Dak. 58060.	Do.
Do.....	Benson.....	Minnewaukan, city of.....	H 380240 01.....	do.....	Mayor, City of Minnewaukan, Minnewaukan, N. Dak. 58351.	Do.
Oklahoma.....	Oklahoma.....	Edmond, city of.....	H 400252 01..... through H 400252 25	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 2241 Northwest 40th St., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73112. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408, Will Rogers Memorial Bldg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.	City of Edmond, City Hall, 23 East 1st, Edmond, Okla. 73084.	Do.
Oregon.....	Columbia.....	Unincorporated areas.....	H 410034 01 through H 410034 39	Executive Department, State of Oregon, Salem, Ore. 97310. Oregon Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, 158 12th St. N.E., Salem, Ore. 97310.	Ron Reeves, Director, Columbia County Planning Department, Courthouse, St. Helens, St. Helens, Ore. 97051.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	do.....	H 410034 01 through H 410034 39	do.....	Ron Reeves, Director, Columbia County Planning Department, Courthouse, St. Helens, Ore. 97051.	Do.
Do.....	Deschutes.....	do.....	H 410055 01 through H 410055 24	do.....	Chairman, Deschutes County Commissioners, Courthouse, County of Deschutes, Bend, Ore. 97701.	Do.
Do.....	Lincoln.....	do.....	H 410129 01 through H 410129 31	do.....	Paul Broerhysen, Project Planner, Planning Department, Lincoln County, Lincoln County Courthouse, Newport, Ore. 97365.	Do.
Pennsylvania.....	Huntingdon.....	Rockhill, borough of.....	H 430491 01.....	Department of Community Affairs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120. Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 108 Finance Bldg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.	Dale Moore, Mayor, Borough of Rockhill, Rockhill Furnace, Pa. 17249.	Do.
Do.....	Monroe.....	Stroud, township of.....	H 420603 01 through H 420603 11	do.....	Township Board of Supervisors, Stroud Township, 1211 North 5th St., Stroudsburg, Pa. 18360.	Do.
Do.....	Cameron.....	Portage, township of.....	H 421132 01 through H 421132 07	do.....	Secretary, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Portage, Emporium, Pa. 15824.	Do.
Do.....	Tioga.....	Clymer, township of.....	H 421174 01 through H 421174 08	do.....	Chairman Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Clymer, Sabinsville, Pa. 16943.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Union, township of.....	H 421184 01 through H 421184 13	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Township of Union, Centon, Pa. 17724.	Do.
Do.....	Bedford.....	Broad Top, township of.....	H 421333 01 through H 421333 05	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Broad Top, Deane, Pa. 15622.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	East Providence, township of.....	H 421336 01 through H 421336 06	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of East Providence, Rural Delivery No. 1, Brezewood, Pa. 15323.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Junista, township of.....	H 421340 01 through H 421340 15	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Junista, Rural Delivery No. 1, Manns Choice, Pa. 15550.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Mann, township of.....	H 421346 01 through H 421346 09	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Mann, Rural Delivery No. 1, Arternas, Pa. 17211.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	West St. Clair, township of.....	H 421354 01 through H 421354 10	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West St. Clair, Alum Bank, Pa. 15521.	Do.
Do.....	Blair.....	Taylor, township of.....	H 421364 01 through H 421364 02	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Taylor, Roaring Spring, Pa. 15672.	Do.
Do.....	Cambria.....	Barr, township of.....	H 421434 01 through H 421434 10	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Barr, Nicktown, Pa. 15762.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	East Taylor, township of.....	H 421441 01 through H 421441 05	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of East Taylor, Conemaugh, Pa. 15600.	Do.
Do.....	Clarion.....	Redbank, township of.....	H 421611 01 through H 421611 09	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Redbank, Rural Delivery No. 1, Fairmount City, Pa. 16224.	Do.
Do.....	Columbia.....	Benton, borough of.....	H 421543 01 through H 421543 04	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Borough of Benton, Rural Delivery No. 3, Benton, Pa. 17814.	Do.
Do.....	Clearfield.....	Union, township of.....	H 421631 01 through H 421631 09	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Union, Rockton, Pa. 15306.	Do.
Do.....	Crawford.....	West Fallowfield, township of.....	H 421577 01 through H 421577 02	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Fallowfield, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hartstown Pa. 16121.	Do.
Do.....	Cumberland.....	North Newton, township of.....	H 421583 01 through H 421583 04	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of North Newton, Rural Delivery No. 2, Newville, Pa. 17241.	Do.
Do.....	Dauphin.....	Wayne, township of.....	H 421599 01 through H 421599 02	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Wayne, Rural Delivery No. 2, Halifax, Pa. 17032.	Do.
Do.....	Fayette.....	Redstone, township of.....	H 421635 01 through H 421635 04	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Redstone, Box 105, Fairbank, Pa. 15435.	Do.
Do.....	Fulton.....	Todd, township of.....	H 421605 01 through H 421605 09	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Todd, McConnellsburg, Pa. 17223.	Do.
Do.....	Greene.....	Greene, township of.....	H 421670 01 through H 421670 08	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Greene, Rural Delivery No. 1, Carmichaels, Pa. 15320.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Morris, township of.....	H 421675 01 through H 421675 04	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Morris, Rural Delivery No. 2, Prosperity, Pa. 15327.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Richhill, township of.....	H 421676 01 through H 421676 05	do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Richhill, Rural Delivery No. 1, Holbrook, Pa. 15341.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

3779

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.....	Huntingdon.....	Juniata, township of.	H 421642 01 through H 421642 02do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Juniata, Rural Delivery No. 3, Huntingdon, Pa. 16652.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Warriors Mark, township of.	H 421705 01 through H 421705 08do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Warriors Mark, Rural Delivery No. 4, Tyrone, Pa. 16686.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	West, township of.	H 421706 01 through H 421706 09do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West, Rural Delivery, Petersburg, Pa. 16669.	Do.
Do.....	Indiana.....	Rayne, township of.	H 421721 01 through H 421721 12do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Rayne, Rural Delivery No. 1, Clymer, Pa. 15728.	Do.
Do.....	Juniata.....	Lack, township of.	H 421742 01 through H 421742 17do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Lack, Blairs, Mills, Pa. 17213.	Do.
Do.....	Lawrence.....	Taylor, township of.	H 421800 01 through H 421800 03do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Taylor, 6th St., West Pittsburgh, Pa. 16166.	Do.
Do.....	Luzerne.....	Fairmount, township of.	H 421827 01 through H 421827 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Fairmount, Rural Delivery No. 1, Sweet Valley, Pa. 18656.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Union, township of.	H 421836 01 through H 421836 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 1, Township of Union, Shickshinny, Pa. 18655.	Do.
Do.....	Perry.....	Miller, township of.	H 421954 01 through H 421954 02do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 2, Township of Miller, Newport, Pa. 17074.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Tuscarora, township of.	H 421960 01 through H 421960 06do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Tuscarora, Rural Delivery No. 1, Millertown, Pa. 17062.	Do.
Do.....	Potter.....	Allegheny, township of.	H 421972 01 through H 421972 12do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 2, Township of Allegheny, Genesee, Pa. 16923.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Hebron, township of.	H 421979 01 through H 421979 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Hebron, Rural Delivery No. 2, Coudersport, Pa. 16915.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Hector, township of.	H 421980 01 through H 421980 12do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Hector, Rural Delivery, Sabinsville, Pa. 16943.	Do.
Do.....	Schuylkill.....	Reilly, township of.	H 422017 01 through H 422017 06do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Reilly, Branchdale, Pa. 17923.	Do.
Do.....	Snyder.....	Spring, township of.	H 422039 01 through H 422039 10do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Spring, Beaver Springs, Pa. 17812.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Washington, township of.	H 422041 01 through H 422041 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 3, Township of Washington, Middleburg, Pa. 17842.	Do.
Do.....	Susquehanna.....	Dimock, township of.	H 422078 01 through H 422078 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Dimock, Rural Delivery No. 1, Montrose, Pa. 18801.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Harmony, township of.	H 422082 01 through H 422082 12do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Harmony, Rural Delivery No. 3, Susquehanna, Pa. 18847.	Do.
Do.....	Tioga.....	Rutland, township of.	H 422099 01 through H 422099 09do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Mansfield, Pa. 16933.	Do.
Do.....	Warren.....	Columbus, township of.	H 422116 01 through H 422116 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Columbus, Rural Delivery No. 4, Corry, Pa. 16407.	Do.
Do.....	Washington.....	Cecil, township of.	H 422143 01 through H 422143 12do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Box 63, Cecil, Pa. 15321.	Do.
Do.....	Wyoming.....	Nicholson, township of.	H 422202 01 through H 422202 10do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Township of Nicholson, Nicholson, Pa. 18446.	Do.
Do.....	York.....	Chanceford, township of.	H 422217 01 through H 422217 26do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Felton, Pa. 17322.	Do.
Do.....	Luzerne.....	Jeddo, borough of.	H 422269 01do.....	Mayor, Borough of Jeddo, Rural Delivery No. 1, Freeland, Pa. 18224.	Do.
Do.....	Beaver.....	Center, township of.	H 422310 01 through H 422310 06do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Center, 849 Monaca Rd., Monaca, Pa. 15061.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Frankfort Springs, borough of.	H 422315 01do.....	Mayor, Borough of Frankfort Springs, Rural Delivery No. 1, Hookstown, Pa. 15650.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Patterson Heights, borough of.	H 422325 01do.....	Mayor, 802 Seventh St., Borough of Patterson Heights, Beaver Falls, Pa. 15010.	Do.
Do.....	Butler.....	Clay, township of.	H 422343 01 through H 422343 04do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Clay, Butler, Pa. 16001.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Concord, township of.	H 422346 01 through H 422346 02do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery 1, Karns City, Pa. 16041.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Donegal, township of.	H 422347 01 through H 422347 02do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Donegal, Rural Delivery No. 1, Chocora, Pa. 16025.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Prospect, borough of.	H 422356 01 through H 422356 02do.....	Mayor, Borough of Prospect, Prospect, Pa. 16052.	Do.
Do.....	Clarion.....	Farmington, township of.	H 422366 01 through H 422366 02do.....	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Farmington, Star Route, Leeper, Pa. 16233.	Do.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.	do.	Piney, township of.	II 422374 01 through II 422374 03	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Piney, Rural Delivery No. 2, Silgo, Pa. 16255.	Do.
Do.	do.	Riehlend, township of.	II 422375 01 through II 422375 04	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Riehlend, Rural Delivery No. 2, Emlenton, Pa. 16373.	Do.
Do.	do.	Washington, township of.	II 422378 01 through II 422378 03	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Washington, Fryburg, Pa. 16326.	Do.
Do.	Clearfield	Jordan, township of.	II 422383 01 through II 422383 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Curwensville, Pa. 16833.	Do.
Do.	Crawford	Conneaut Lake, borough of.	II 422386 01	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Linesville, Pa. 16424.	Do.
Do.	do.	Greenwood, township of.	II 422390 01 through II 422390 10	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Greenwood, Rural Delivery No. 2, Cochranton, Pa. 16314.	Do.
Do.	do.	Sparta, township of.	II 422398 01 through II 422398 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Sparta, Rural Delivery No. 2, Spartansburg, Pa. 16434.	Do.
Do.	do.	West Shenango, township of.	II 422402 01 through II 422402 05	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of West Shenango, Rural Delivery No. 2, Jamestown, Pa. 16134.	Do.
Do.	do.	Woodcock, borough of.	II 422403 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of Woodcock, Rural Delivery, No. 2, Saegertown, Pa. 16433.	Do.
Do.	Erle	Alblon, borough of.	II 422409 01 through II 422409 02	do.	Mayor, Borough of Alblon, 15 Franklin St., Alblon, Pa. 16401.	Do.
Do.	do.	Concord, township of.	II 422410 01 through II 422410 09	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Corry, Pa. 16407.	Do.
Do.	do.	Lake City, borough of.	II 422414 01 through II 422414 05	do.	Mayor, Borough of Lake City, 131 Main St., Lake City, Pa. 16423.	Do.
Do.	Forest	Jenks, township of.	II 422422 01 through II 422422 08	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jenks, Marienville, Pa. 16239.	Do.
Do.	Indiana	Banks, township of.	II 422435 01 through II 422435 02	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Glen Campbell, Pa. 15742.	Do.
Do.	do.	East Mahoning, township of.	II 422436 01 through II 422436 04	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 2, Township of East Mahoning, Marlon Center, Pa. 15759.	Do.
Do.	Jefferson	Beaver, township of.	II 422441 01 through II 422441 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Summerville, Pa. 15864.	Do.
Do.	do.	Eldred, township of.	II 422443 01 through II 422443 11	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Eldred, Rural Delivery No. 1, Sigel, Pa. 15360.	Do.
Do.	do.	Warsaw, township of.	II 422450 01 through II 422450 15	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Warsaw, Rural Delivery No. 1, Brookville, Pa. 15825.	Do.
Do.	Carbon	Summit Hill, borough of.	II 421451 01 through II 421451 04	do.	Mayor, Borough of Summit Hill, 107 East Holland St., Summit Hill, Pa. 18250.	Do.
Do.	Lawrence	Enon Valley, borough of.	II 422463 01	do.	Mayor, Box 5, Enon Valley, Pa. 16120.	Do.
Do.	do.	South New Castle.	II 422467 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of South New Castle, 2308 Morris St., New Castle, Pa. 16102.	Do.
Do.	do.	Wayne, township of.	II 422469 01 through II 422469 02	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Wayne, Rural Delivery No. 1, Box 406A, Ellwood City, Pa. 16117.	Do.
Do.	Mercer	Jackson, township of.	II 422480 01 through II 422480 06	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Jackson, Rural Delivery No. 2, Jackson Center, Pa. 16133.	Do.
Do.	do.	Lackwanna, township of.	II 422482 01 through II 422482 07	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Township of Lackwanna, Mercer, Pa. 16137.	Do.
Do.	Venango	Allegheny, township of.	II 422529 01 through II 422529 08	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery No. 1, Pleasantville, Pa. 16341.	Do.
Do.	do.	Clinton, township of.	II 422531 01 through II 422531 09	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Clinton, Rural Delivery No. 1, Harrisville, Pa. 16038.	Do.
Do.	do.	Cornplanter, township of.	II 422533 01 through II 422533 12	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Cornplanter, 412 Oak Rd., Oil City, Pa. 16301.	Do.
Do.	Warren	Eldred, township of.	II 422546 01 through II 422546 04	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Rural Delivery, Township of Eldred, Tusville, Pa. 16354.	Do.
Do.	do.	Pleasant, township of.	II 422548 01 through II 422548 05	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Pleasant, 45 Linda Lane, Warren, Pa. 16365.	Do.
Do.	do.	Triumph, township of.	II 422550 01 through II 422550 03	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Triumph, Rural Delivery No. 2, Tidoute, Pa. 16351.	Do.
Do.	Washington	Nottingham, township of.	II 422561 01 through II 422561 04	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Nottingham, Rural Delivery No. 1, Sugar Run Rd., Elghty Four, Pa. 15330.	Do.
Do.	do.	Robinson, township of.	II 422562 01 through II 422562 04	do.	Chairman, Board of Supervisors, Township of Robinson, North St., Rural Delivery 1, McDonald, Pa. 15057.	Do.
Do.	Wayne	Bethany, borough of.	II 422566 01	do.	Mayor, Borough of Bethany, Rural Delivery No. 1, Honesdale, Pa. 18431.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

3781

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.....	Fayette.....	Masontown, borough of.	H 422572 01	do.....	William S. Moser, Mayor, Borough of Masontown, 2 Court Ave., Masontown, Pa. 15461.	Do.
South Carolina..	Charleston.....	North Charleston, city of.	H 450042 01 through H 450042 09	South Carolina Water Resources Commission, P.O. Drawer 164, 700 Knox Abbott Dr., Cayce, S.C. 29033. South Carolina Insurance Department, 2711 Middleburg St., Columbia, S.C. 29201.	City of North Charleston, City Hall, Montague Ave., North Charleston, S.C. 29406.	Do.
South Dakota...	Lyman.....	Kennebec, town of.	II 460050 01	South Dakota Planning Agency, Office of Executive Management, State Capitol Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501. South Dakota Department of Insurance, Insurance Bldg., Pierre, S. Dak. 57501.	Mayor, Town of Kennebec, Kennebec, S. Dak. 57544.	Do.
Tennessee.....	Madison.....	Unincorporated areas.	II 470112 01 through II 470112 03	Tennessee State Planning Office, 660 Capitol Hill Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Tennessee Department of Insurance and Banking, 114 State Office Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219.	Jackson Municipal Regional Planning Commission, Madison County, Madison County Court House, 105 North Church, Jackson, Tenn. 38301.	Do.
Do.....	Morgan.....	do.....	II 470139 01 through II 470139 02	do.....	Morgan County Quarterly Court, Morgan County, Wartburg, Tenn.	Do.
Do.....	Lauderdale.....	Halls, town of.....	II 470231 01 through II 470231 04	do.....	Town Supervisor, Town of Halls, Halls, Tenn. 38010.	Do.
Texas.....	Atascosa.....	Unincorporated areas.	II 480014 01 through II 480014 30	Texas Water Development Board, P.O. Box 13087, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711. Texas Insurance Department, 1110 San Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701.	Atascosa County, Atascosa County Court House, Jourdanton, Tex. 78038.	Do.
Do.....	Harris.....	Missouri City, city of.	II 480304 01 through II 480304 09	do.....	City of Missouri City, City Hall, P.O. Box 26, Missouri City, Tex. 77459.	Do.
Utah.....	Box Elder.....	Mantua, town of.....	II 490009 01 through II 490009 02	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, State Capitol Bldg., Room 435, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Utah Insurance Department, 115 State Capitol, Salt Lake City, Utah 81111.	Mayor, Town of Mantua, Mantua, Utah 84302.	Do.
Vermont.....	Addison.....	Ripton, town of.....	II 500010 01 through II 500010 06	Management and Engineering Division, Water Resources Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602. Vermont Insurance Department, State Office Bldg., Montpelier, Vt. 05602.	Chairman, Ripton Board of Selectmen, Ripton, Vt. 05766.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Panton, town of.....	II 500169 01 through II 500169 02	do.....	Town Supervisor, Town of Panton, Panton, Vt.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Weybridge, town of.....	II 500174 01 through II 500174 02	do.....	Chairman, Weybridge Board of Selectmen, Weybridge, Vt.	Do.
Do.....	Caledonia.....	Danville, town of.....	II 500185 01 through II 500185 05	do.....	Chairman, Danville Board of Selectmen, Danville, Vt. 05828.	Do.
Do.....	Orange.....	Strafford, town of.....	II 500240 01 through II 500240 04	do.....	Chairman, Strafford Board of Selectmen, Strafford, Vt. 05072.	Do.
Do.....	do.....	Vershire, town of.....	II 500242 01 through II 500242 03	do.....	Chairman, Vershire Board of Selectmen, Vershire, Vt. 05079.	Do.
Do.....	Rutland.....	Danby, town of.....	II 500312 01 through II 500312 03	do.....	Chairman, Danby Board of Selectmen, Danby, Vt. 05739.	Do.
Do.....	Washington.....	Woodbury, town of.....	II 500314 01 through II 500314 04	do.....	Chairman, Woodbury Board of Selectmen, Woodbury, Vt. 05681.	Do.
Virginia.....	Clarke.....	Unincorporated	II 510036 01 through II 510036 13	Bureau of Water Control Management, State Water Control Board, P.O. Box 11143, Richmond, Va. 23230. Virginia Insurance Department, 700 Blanton Bldg., P.O. Box 1157, Richmond, Va. 23209.	Clerk's Office, Office of the Circuit Court of Clarke County, Berryville, Va. 22611.	Do.
Do.....	Smyth.....	do.....	II 510184 01 through II 510184 30	do.....	Mr. Marvin R. Perry, County Administrator, Board of Supervisors of Smyth County, Marlon, Va. 24354.	Do.
Do.....	Charles City.....	do.....	II 510198 01 through II 510198 16	do.....	Board of County Supervisors, Charles City County, Charles City, Va. 23030.	Do.
Do.....	Franklin.....	Rockymount, town of.....	II 510291 01 through II 510291 02	do.....	Chairman, Franklin County Board of Supervisors, County Office Bldg., Town of Rockymount, Rockymount, Va. 21151.	Do.
Washington.....	Douglas.....	Unincorporated areas.	II 530036 01 through II 530036 06	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 98501. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash. 98501.	Douglas County Regional Planning Commission, 110 3d St. NE., East Wenatchee, Wash. 98801.	Do.
Do.....	King.....	do.....	II 530071 01 through II 530071 36	do.....	King County Council, King County Court House, Seattle, Wash. 98104.	Do.
Do.....	Spokane.....	do.....	II 530174 01 through II 530174 25	do.....	County Commissioners, Spokane County Court House, Spokane, Wash. 99201.	Do.
West Virginia...	Jackson.....	do.....	II 540063 01 through II 540063 31	Office of Federal-State Relations, Room W115, Capitol Bldg., Charleston, W. Va. 25305. West Virginia Insurance Department State Capitol, Charleston, W. Va. 25305.	County Court of Jackson, County of Jackson, Ripley, W. Va. 25271.	Do.

RULES AND REGULATIONS

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do.....	Upshur.....	do.....	H 540198 01 through H 540198 23	do.....	Upshur County Court, City Hall, County of Upshur, Buckhannon, W. Va. 26201.	Do.
Do.....	Wirt.....	do.....	H 540211 01 through H 540211 17	do.....	President, Wirt County Court; County of Wirt, Elizabeth, W. Va. 26143.	Do.
Do.....	Wood.....	do.....	H 540213 01 through H 540213 27	do.....	President, Wood County Court, Court House, County of Wood, Parkersburg, W. Va. 26101.	Do.
Do.....	Wyoming.....	do.....	H 540217 01 through H 540217 34	do.....	Wyoming County Court, County of Wyoming, Pineville, W. Va. 24674.	Do.
Do.....	Kanawha.....	Handley, town of.	H 540279 01	do.....	President, Kanawha County Court, Court House, Town of Handley, Charleston, W. Va. 25301.	Do.
Wisconsin.....	Price.....	Unincorporated areas.	II 550343 01 through II 550343 02	Department of Natural Resources, P.O. Box 450, Madison, Wis. 53701. Wisconsin Insurance Department, 212 North Bassett St., Madison, Wis. 53703.	Chairman, County Board of Supervisors, County of Price, Court House, Phillips, Wis. 54555.	Do.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969).

Issued: January 13, 1975.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2006 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration
[21 CFR Part 3]

STATEMENTS OF GENERAL POLICY OR INTERPRETATION

Proposed Deletion of Exemptions from Certain Drug-labeling Requirements

The Commissioner of Food and Drugs, under provisions of 21 CFR 1.106(b) (3) and upon written request stating reasonable grounds therefor, will offer an opinion on a proposal to omit certain labeling information (i.e., full disclosure information) from the dispensing package of a prescription drug if, but only if, the article is a drug for which directions, hazards, warnings, and use information are commonly known to practitioners licensed by law to administer the drug. In 21 CFR 3.515 the Commissioner has offered such opinions exempting certain drug products from the labeling requirements of § 1.106(b) (3) (i) provided they meet the conditions prescribed in § 3.515. The Commissioner last offered such an opinion in an amendment to § 3.515 on June 8, 1962 (27 FR 5428), prior to enactment of the Drug Amendments of 1962.

Under the Drug Amendments of 1962, proof of effectiveness is now required for each claimed use of a new drug. To implement these Amendments the Food and Drug Administration, with the assistance of the National Academy of Sciences/National Research Council, Drug Efficacy Study Group, undertook a study of the effectiveness of the new drugs "approved" prior to October 10, 1962, on the basis of safety. This study has shown that many drug claims commonly known to practitioners in fact lack substantial evidence of effectiveness as required by the Drug Amendments of 1962. A number of these drugs, which are included in § 3.515, have been the subject of Drug Efficacy Study Implementation (DESI) notices. These FEDERAL REGISTER notices set forth the Administration's conclusions on the effectiveness of such drugs based on reports received from the NAS/NRC as well as other available information. These DESI notices require that a drug determined to be effective be labeled to comply with all requirements of the act and regulations and that its labeling bear adequate information for safe and effective use of the drug. Other drugs or indications concluded to lack substantial evidence of effectiveness have been or will be removed from the market.

The Commissioner has concluded that many DESI notices have superseded specific exemptions from drug labeling

requirements previously granted under § 3.515, and that any such exemption as it applies to a drug that is the subject of a DESI notice should be revoked.

The Food and Drug Administration is presently establishing procedures for developing old drug monographs. An old drug monograph will set forth conditions for which a prescription drug which is not a new drug and shall be deemed safe and effective and not misbranded. Such old drug monographs will supersede the labeling exemptions for those drugs remaining in § 3.515 which have not already been superseded by DESI announcements.

The following drugs currently listed in § 3.515 are the subjects of DESI notices:

1. Aminophylline (DESI 1626; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 26, 1972 (37 FR 14895)).
2. Atropine methylnitrate (DESI 3265; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 18, 1971 (36 FR 11754)).
3. Barbiturates (DESI 793; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 28, 1972 (37 FR 15186)). Specific barbiturate preparations have been subjects of other DESI announcements.
4. Digitalis (DESI 8627; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 27, 1972 (37 FR 15024)).
5. Epinephrine Injection (DESI 366; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of July 11, 1972 (37 FR 13564)).
6. Mannitol Hexanitrate (DESI 1786; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001)); evaluation for diagnostic purposes (DESI 2282; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of August 6, 1971 (36 FR 14507)).
7. Pentaerythritol tetranitrate (DESI 1786; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001)).
8. Pentaerythritol tetranitrate with phenobarbital (DESI 1786; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001)).
9. Quinidine Sulfate (DESI 6320; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of September 8, 1972 (37 FR 18227)).
10. Scopolamine methylbromide (DESI 3265; published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of June 18, 1971 (36 FR 11754)).

The Commissioner has also concluded that the reference to nitroglycerin in § 3.515 shall be amended to specify the sublingual dosage form which was the intent of the exemption. Sustained action nitroglycerin preparations are the subject of a DESI notice (DESI 1786) published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of February 25, 1972 (37 FR 4001).

Therefore, pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (secs. 502, 505, 701, 52 Stat. 1050-

1053, 1055-1056, as amended; 21 U.S.C. 352, 355, 371) and under authority delegated to him (21 CFR 2.120), the Commissioner proposes to amend Part 3 in § 3.515(b) by deleting the entries "Aminophylline," "Atropine methylnitrate," "Barbiturates," "Digitalis," "Epinephrine injection," "Mannitol hexanitrate," "Pentaerythritol tetranitrate," "Pentaerythritol tetranitrate with phenobarbital," "Quidine sulfate," "Scopolamine methylbromide," and by revising the entry "Nitroglycerin" to read "Nitroglycerin sublingual". As revised, § 3.515 (b) reads as follows:

§ 3.515 Exemptions from certain drug labeling requirements.

(b) The Commissioner of Food and Drugs has considered submitted material covering a number of drug products and has offered the opinion that the following drugs, when intended for those human uses for which they are now generally employed by the medical profession, should be exempt from the requirements of § 1.106(b) (3) of this chapter, provided that they meet the conditions prescribed in this paragraph. Preparations that are not in dosage unit form (for example, solutions) will be regarded as meeting the conditions with respect to the maximum quantity of drug per dosage unit if they are prepared in a manner that enables accurate and ready administration of a quantity of drug not in excess of the maximum per dosage unit:

Atropine sulfate. For oral use, not in excess of 0.54 milligram per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 0.54 milligram (1/120 grain) per dosage unit.

Chloral hydrate. For oral use, not in excess of 500 milligrams per dosage unit; for use as suppositories, not in excess of 1.0 gram per suppository.

Codaine phosphate. For oral use, not in excess of 65 milligrams per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 65 milligrams per dosage unit.

Codeine sulfate. For oral use, not in excess of 65 milligrams per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 65 milligrams per dosage unit.

Dihydrocodeinone bitartrate. For oral use, not in excess of 10 milligrams per dosage unit.

Dihydromorphinone hydrochloride. For oral use, not in excess of 4 milligrams per dosage unit.

Erythryl tetranitrate. For oral use, not in excess of 30 milligrams per dosage unit.

Homatropine methylbromide. For oral use, not in excess of 5 milligrams per dosage unit.

Hyoscyamine hydrobromide. For oral use, not in excess of 1 milligram per dosage unit.

Hyoscyamine sulfate. For oral use, not in excess of 1 milligram per dosage unit.

Hyoscyamus tincture. For oral use, not in excess of 2 milliliters per dosage unit.

Methenamine. For oral use, not in excess of 1 gram per dosage unit.

Morphine phosphate. For oral use, not in excess of 33 milligrams per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 33 milligrams per dosage unit.

Morphine sulfate. For oral use, not in excess of 33 milligrams per dosage unit; for injection, not in excess of 33 milligrams per dosage unit.

Nitroglycerin sublingual. For oral use, not in excess of 0.65 milligram per dosage unit.

Sodium chloride injection.

Sodium nitrite. For oral use, not in excess of 60 milligrams per dosage unit.

Theobromine. For oral use, not in excess of 325 milligrams per dosage unit.

Thyroid. For oral use, not in excess of 220 milligrams per dosage unit.

Water for injection, sterile.

Interested persons may, on or before March 25, 1975, file with the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, written comments (preferably in quintuplicate) regarding this proposal. Received comments may be seen in the above office during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 14, 1975.

SAM D. FINE,
Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-2206 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

[14 CFR Part 39]

[Airworthiness Docket No. 72-SW-10]

BELL MODEL 206A AND 206B HELICOPTERS

Proposed Airworthiness Directive

Amendment 39-1437 (37 FR 8438) AD 72-9-2, requires an inspection of the cabin roof reinforcing straps and the support fittings for cracks and loose rivets. It also requires replacement of a cracked strap, loose rivets or a cracked support fitting on certain Bell Model 206A and 206B helicopters. After issuing Amendment 39-1437, the agency received reports of additional cases of cracked cabin roof straps, P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24, on helicopters included in Amendment 39-1437 and on helicopters not included. Therefore, the agency is considering superseding Amendment 39-1437 with a new AD that requires replacement of the cabin roof straps P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24 with straps P/N 206-032-200-37 and -38 on Model 206A and 206B helicopters, serial numbers 1 through 1163.

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or comments as they may desire. Communications should identify the docket number and be submitted in trip-

licate to the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before February 24, 1975, will be considered by the Director before taking action upon the proposed rule.

The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received. All comments will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Office of Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is proposed to amend § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

BELL. Applies to Bell Models 206A and 206B helicopters, S/N 1 through 1163, certificated in all categories.

Compliance required within the next 1200 hours' time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished.

To prevent possible failure of the cabin roof straps, P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24, accomplish the following:

(a) Remove the cabin roof straps, P/N 206-031-200-23 and -24, in accordance with items 1 through 4 of Bell Helicopter Company Service Bulletin 206-01-74-2, dated November 12, 1974, or later approved revision.

(b) Install the cabin roof straps, P/N 206-032-200-37 and -38, in accordance with items 5 through 9 of Bell Helicopter Company Service Bulletin 206-01-74-2, dated November 12, 1974, or later approved revision.

The manufacturers' specifications and procedures identified and described in this directive are incorporated herein and made a part hereof pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(a)(1). All persons affected by this directive, who have not already received these documents from the manufacturer, may obtain copies upon request to the Service Manager, Bell Helicopter Company, P.O. Box 482, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. These documents may also be examined at the office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, FAA, 4400 Blue Mound Road, Fort Worth, Texas, and at FAA Headquarters, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. A historical file on this AD, which includes the incorporated material in full, is maintained by the FAA at its headquarters in Washington, D.C., and at the Southwest Regional Office in Fort Worth, Texas.

This supersedes Amendment 39-1437 (37 FR 8438), AD 72-9-2.

Issued in Fort Worth, Texas, on January 10, 1975.

NOTE: The incorporation by reference provisions in this document were approved by the Director of the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 19, 1967.

HENRY L. NEWMAN,
Director, Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.75-2188 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-SO-98]

CONTROL ZONE AND TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering an amendment to Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would alter the Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I., Control Zone and Transition Area.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Southern Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 20636, Atlanta, Ga., 30320. All communications received on or before February 10, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C., 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

As part of this proposal relates to the navigable airspace outside the United States, this notice is submitted in consonance with the ICAO International Standards and Recommended Practices.

Applicability of International Standards and Recommended Practices by the Air Traffic Service, FAA, in areas outside domestic airspace of the United States is governed by Article 12 of and Annex 11 to the Convention on International Civil Aviation, which pertain to the establishment of air navigation facilities and services necessary to promoting the safe, orderly, and expeditious flow of civil air traffic. Their purpose is to insure that civil flying on international air routes is carried out under uniform conditions designed to improve the safety and efficiency of air operations.

The International Standards and Recommended Practices in Annex 11 apply in those parts of the airspace under the jurisdiction of a contracting state, derived from ICAO, wherein air traffic services are provided and also whenever a contracting state accepts the responsibility of providing air traffic services over high seas or in airspace of undetermined sovereignty. A contracting state accepting such responsibility may apply the International Standards and Recommended Practices to civil aircraft in a manner consistent with that adopted for airspace under its domestic jurisdiction.

In accordance with Article 3 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, Chicago, 1944, state aircraft are

exempt from the provisions of Annex 11 and its Standards and Recommended Practices. As a contracting state, the United States agreed by Article 3(d) that its state aircraft will be operated in international airspace with due regard for the safety of civil aircraft.

Since this action involves, in part, the designation of navigable airspace outside the United States, the Administrator has consulted with the Secretary of State and the Secretary of Defense in accordance with the provisions of Executive Order 10854.

The FAA proposes to amend § 71.171 (40 FR 354) by altering the Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I., control zone to read as follows:

Within a 5-mile radius of Alexander Hamilton Airport (lat. 17°42'13" N., long. 64°47'54" W.); within 3 miles each side of St. Croix VOR 068° and 248° radials, extending from the 5-mile radius zone to 8.5 miles east of the VOR. This control zone is effective during specific dates and times established in advance by a Notice to Airmen. The effective date and time will thereafter be continuously published in the FAA publication, International NOTAMs.

The FAA also proposes to amend § 71.181 (40 FR 441) by altering the Christiansted, St. Croix, V.I., transition area to read as follows:

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within an 8.5-mile radius of Alexander Hamilton Airport (lat. 17°42'13" N., long. 64°47'54" W.); within 3 miles each side of St. Croix VOR 068° radial, extending from the 8.5-mile radius area to 8.5 miles east of the VOR; and that airspace extending upward from 1,200 feet above the surface within a 15-mile radius of Alexander Hamilton Airport; within 9.5 miles north and 4.5 miles south of the St. Croix VOR 068° radial, extending from the 15-mile radius area to 18.5 miles east of the VOR; within 9.5 miles south and 4.5 miles north of the ILS localizer west course, extending from the 15-mile radius area to 18.5 miles west of the LOM.

Revision of the procedure turn altitude from 3,000 feet MSL to 2,200 feet MSL for the VOR Runway 27 instrument approach procedure requires an extension to the 700 foot transition area to comply with the United States Standard for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS). Because the Christiansted RBN has been decommissioned, the control zone extensions and the transition area extensions designated for the protection of instrument approaches predicated on this RBN are no longer required.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) and 1110 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a) and 1510), Executive Order 10854 (24 FR 9565) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on January 20, 1975.

GORDON E. KEWER,
Acting Chief, Airspace and
Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.75-2193 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 75-SW-2]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Designation

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to designate a transition area at Malvern, Ark.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Fort Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before February 24, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Texas. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

In § 71.181 (40 FR 441), the following transition area is added:

MALVERN, ARK.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-statute-mile radius of Malvern Municipal Airport, Malvern, Ark. (latitude 34°19'57" N., longitude 92°45'45" W.); and within 3.5 statute miles each side of 046° T (040° M) bearing from the Malvern NDB (latitude 34°19'56" N., longitude 92°45'50" W.), extending from the 5-mile-radius area to 11.5 statute miles northeast of the NDB; excluding that portion which overlies the Little Rock, Ark., transition area.

The proposed transition area will provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing a new instrument approach procedure for the Malvern, Ark., Municipal Airport.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655 (c)).

Issued in Fort Worth, TX., on January 16, 1975.

ALBERT H. THURBURN,
Acting Director,
Southwest Region.

[FR Doc.75-2194 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 74-CE-22]

TRANSITION AREA

Proposed Alteration

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the transition area at Waterloo, Iowa.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Central Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106. All communications received on or before February 24, 1975 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief.

Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

A public docket will be available for examination by interested persons in the Office of the Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, 601 East 12th Street, Kansas City, Mo. 64106.

A new public use instrument approach procedure has been designed for an approach from the southwest to the Waterloo, Iowa, Municipal Airport. Accordingly, it is necessary to alter the 700-foot transition area at Waterloo, Iowa, to provide controlled airspace protection for aircraft executing the new instrument approach procedure.

In consideration of the foregoing, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth:

In § 71.181 (39 FR 440), the following transition area is amended to read:

WATERLOO, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 10-mile radius of the Waterloo Municipal Airport (lat. 42°33'28" N., long. 92°23'58" W.); and within 3½ miles each side of the Waterloo ILS localizer northwest course extending from the 10-mile radius area to 8 miles northwest of the OM; and 3 miles each side of the Waterloo, Iowa VORTAC 120° radial extending from the 10-mile radius to 15 miles southeast of the VORTAC; and within 3½ miles each side of the Waterloo, Iowa, VORTAC 194° radial extending from the 10-mile radius to 11½ miles south of the VORTAC; and within 3½ miles each side of the Waterloo, Iowa, VORTAC 001° radial extending from the 10-mile radius to 11½ miles north of the VORTAC; and within 3½ miles each side of the Waterloo, Iowa, VORTAC 316° radial extending from the 10-mile radius to 11½ miles northwest of the VORTAC.

This amendment is proposed under the authority of section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on December 23, 1974.

A. L. COULTER,
Director, Central Region.

[FR Doc.75-2195 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 2]

[FRL 327-2]

PROVIDING OF INFORMATION UNDER THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT

Proposed Fee Schedule

Notice is hereby given that the Administrator of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) proposes to amend Part 2 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations by amending § 2.111(a) to establish a uniform schedule of fees for document search duplication applicable to all constituent units of the Environmental Protection Agency.

Recent amendments to 5 U.S.C. 552, commonly known as the Freedom of Information Act, require each Federal agency to publish in the FEDERAL REGISTER a schedule of reasonable standard fees to be charged for searching for and duplicating requested records. The proposed regulation would comply with that requirement and would revise an existing fee schedule maintained by EPA but not previously published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The charge of \$2.50 per half hour for "record search time" and \$4.50 per half hour for "in-house computer programming time" are based on the estimated average salary of an individual performing the search and/or programming tasks. The charge of \$.20 per page for reproduction of documents is based on the combination of salary of the individual reproducing the copy, and machine and materials costs for making that copy.

The cost of computer time varies according to the computer system being used and the components of that system needed to complete the task. The fee for computer time will be the actual direct cost of the machine time, as well as any necessary in-house or contract programming costs.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the Environmental Protection Agency Information Center, Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C., 20460. Comments on all aspects of the proposed regulation are solicited. All comments received on or before February 24, 1975, will be considered. A copy of all public comments will be available for inspection and copying at the Environmental Protection Agency Information Center, Room 227, West Tower, Waterside Mall,

401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20460. Authority: 5 U.S.C. 552, 553.

Dated: January 21, 1975.

RUSSELL E. TRAIN,
Administrator.

It is therefore proposed to amend Part 2 of Title 40, Code of Federal Regulations, by revising § 2.111(a) to read as follows:

§ 2.111 Payment.

(a) *Charges.* Fees will be charged for copies of records which are furnished to a person under this part and for time spent in locating and reproducing them in accordance with the fee schedule below. No fee will be charged for periods of less than one-half hour spent in connection with a search for records or computer programming.

Record search time...	\$2.50 per half hour.
In-house computer programming time.	\$4.50 per half hour.
Reproduction of documents.	\$.20 per page.

If the information requested exists as a computer record and a print-out or tape is a means by which that information may be made available, the fee will be the actual direct cost of the computer system time added to any applicable search, in-house programming, reproduction, or contract programming costs.

[FR Doc.75-2306 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION

[29 CFR Part 1601]

706 AGENCIES

Proposed Designation

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-1731 appearing on page 3220 of the issue for Monday, January 20, 1975, the reference in the fourth line to "page 3209" should read "page 3210M".

FOREIGN CLAIMS SETTLEMENT COMMISSION

[45 CFR Part 503]

SEARCH AND DUPLICATION OF AGENCY RECORDS

Fee Schedule

Revisions of the regulations of the Foreign Claims Settlement Commission concerning the availability of public information as currently contained under Part 503 of its regulations are being considered to conform with the 1974 amendments (Pub. L. 93-502) to the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552).

Notice is hereby given of the proposal to amend the fee schedule as currently set forth in § 503.14 of the Commission's regulations governing the disclosure of information under the Freedom of Information Act. In the consideration of the 1974 amendments to the subject Act contained under Public Law 93-502, which becomes effective February 19, 1975, it is proposed to amend § 503.14 as follows:

§ 503.14 Fees for services.

Unless otherwise waived by paragraphs (d) and (e) of this section, the following fees shall be imposed for the reproduction of any record disclosed pursuant to this part.

(a) Copying of records and documents. Twelve cents per copy for each page.

(b) Search fees. (i) clerical searches. \$1.25 for each quarter hour spent by clerical personnel searching for and producing a requested record or document, including time spent copying any record. (ii) \$3 for each one quarter hour spent by professional or supervisory personnel searching for and producing a requested record, including time spent copying any record.

(c) Certification and validation of records. \$1 per certification or authentication.

(d) The Executive Director may reduce or waive payment of fees in whole or in part when he determines that such reduction or waiver is in the public interest because furnishing the information can be considered as primarily benefiting the general public, or unless the requester is a government agency or indigent.

(e) No fees will be charged (1) for time spent in examining the requested records for the purpose of determining whether an exemption can and should be asserted, (2) for time spent in deleting exempt matters being withheld from records to furnish, (3) for time spent in monitoring a requester's inspection of agency records made available to him in this manner, (4) for records not found or is determined to be totally exempt from disclosure, or (5) where the cost of providing the records is less than \$5.

(f) Payment of fees under this part should be made by check or money order payable to the Treasury of the United States.

(g) Unless the request for services where fees are chargeable under this part, specifically states that whatever cost is involved will be acceptable, or acceptable up to a specified limit that covers anticipated costs, a request that is expected to involve assessed fees in excess of \$50 will not be deemed to have been received until the requester is advised promptly at the time of receipt of the request of the anticipated cost and agrees to bear it. Where the anticipated fees exceed \$50, a deposit of \$25 must be made within 5 days of advising the requester of such costs.

Written comments concerning the proposed revisions to the Commission's regulations under the Freedom of Information Act, as amended by Pub. L. 93-502, are invited from interested persons. Comments may be presented in writing to the Executive Director, Foreign Claims Settlement Commission, Washington, DC 20579. All comments received not later than February 18, 1975, will be considered. The revised fee schedule set forth in § 503.14 will become effective on February 19, 1975.

WAYLAND D. McCLELLAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-2234 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

**INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION**

[Ex Parte No. MC-230 (Sub-No. 3)]

[49 CFR Part 1090]

**MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY
Circuitry Limitations**

JANUARY 20, 1975.

Petitioners: Brown Transport Corp. and Harper Motor Lines, Inc. (Atlanta, Ga.). Petitioners' representative: Harry C. Ames, Jr., and Marshall Krage, 805 McLachlen Bank Building, 666 Eleyenth Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20001.

Section 1090.5 (sometimes called the 85-percent circuitry limitation provision) of the Commission's regulations entitled Practices of For-Hire Carriers of Property Participating in Trailer-on-Flatcar Service, 49 CFR Part 1090, provides as follows:

§ 1090.5 *Circuitry limitations.* (a) Motor and water common carriers shall not participate in joint intermodal TOFC service which is to be provided in lieu of their authorized line-haul transportation, and motor and water common and contract carriers shall not utilize open tariff TOFC service, where the distance from origin to destination over the route including the TOFC movement is less than 85 percent of the distance between such points over the motor or water carrier's authorized service route: *Provided, however,* That the Interstate Commerce Commission may grant relief from the provisions of this paragraph upon consideration of an appropriate petition.

(b) For the purpose of paragraph (a) of this section the distance from origin to destination over the motor or water carrier's authorized route shall be computed (1) if a motor carrier operating over regular routes, over its authorized regular service route, (2) if a motor carrier operating over irregular or a combination of regular and irregular routes, through any gateway point which it is required to observe, and (3) if a water carrier, over the most direct available all-water route.

By joint petition filed December 31, 1974, Brown Transport Corp., and Harper Motor Lines, Inc., seek the institution of a rulemaking proceeding, proposing that the words "85 percent" in § 1090.5(a) of the above-noted trailer-on-flatcar (TOFC) service regulations be deleted, insofar as such words pertain to motor carriers, and the words "80 percent" be substituted in lieu thereof in this context.

Petitioners note that in Ex Parte No. 55 (Sub-No. 8), *Gateway Elimination*, 119 M.C.C. 530 (decided February 15, 1974), the Commission has recently adopted procedures (codified at 49 CFR 1065) pursuant to which a motor common carrier authorized to provide service from one point to another point by tacking or combining at a common point of service (a "gateway") separate irregular-route authorities (which authorities were issued to the carrier pursuant to an application proceeding, or proceedings, pending before the Commission on or before November 23, 1973), may (subject to certain other specified conditions), file for and obtain direct-service operating authority from the one point to the other point, thereby eliminating

the necessity of operating through the "gateway", provided that the most direct highway distance between the points to be served is not less than 80 percent of the highway distance between such points over the carrier's authorized routing through the gateway. In this context petitioners contend that the asserted disparity in the amount of circuitry reduction permitted in the elimination of such gateways and in the circuitry reduction permitted motor carriers under the TOFC service regulations is not justified, and urge that appropriate corresponding modifications in the circuitry limitation of the TOFC service regulations be made. Petitioners further assert that their proposed amendment would allow carriers to provide improved service, while the minor increase in the circuitry reduction permitted under the TOFC service regulations would not allow carriers to provide totally new services which would obtain from the indiscriminate substitution of direct TOFC service for indirect all-motor service.

Moreover, in the environmental analysis submitted in conjunction with their joint petition, Brown and Harper submit that the Commission's granting of the requested relief will have a beneficial impact upon the environment. In this context petitioners assert that adoption of the recommended change in the TOFC service regulations will encourage the increased use of rail transportation, and that the attendant reduction in the number of highway miles traveled by motor carriers will result in a significant reduction in fuel consumption, an increase in efficiency and economy in the movement of freight, a decrease in the emission of air pollutants, a reduction in traffic congestion, and an improvement in highway safety. The only potentially adverse environmental impact which petitioners foresee is the increase in the number of carriers making use of TOFC service; however, petitioners believe that this potential impact is outweighed in overall effect by the asserted substantial benefits which would follow from adoption of the proposed amendment.

In its environmental analysis petitioners further state that there are three possible alternatives to its proposed action, viz: the Commission could (1) take no action, (2) permit reduction in operational circuitry greater than that contemplated under petitioners' so-called "20 percent" circuitry reduction proposal, (3) establish the proposed amendment for a limited period of time, or (4) continue to allow greater circuitry reduction on a case-by-case procedure in accordance with the provision of § 1090.5(a). Petitioners, however, reject each of these alternatives as inappropriate. Thus, petitioners submit (1) that failure to act would mean foregoing the anticipated beneficial effects upon the environment outlined above, (2) that amendment of the considered provision of the TOFC service regulations to permit significantly greater circuitry reduction than that which petitioners propose would lead to destructive competition and an inefficient utilization of equipment, (3) that temporary establishment

of the proposed rule change would discourage carriers from making the commitments necessary to make full utilization of the new rule, and (4) that following a procedure of processing individual carrier's petitions seeking greater reduction in circuitry on a case-by-case basis, as is already provided for under the present TOFC service rules, would, per se, discourage motor carriers from attempting to utilize TOFC service, and, furthermore, would not be consonant with the need for expedition in this matter. Petitioners further contend that the proposed change in the regulations, by enabling carriers to operate more efficiently, will also serve to improve long-term productivity by the conservation of limited fuel supplies. Including their environmental analysis, petitioners submit that there will be no irreversible and irretrievable commitments of resources as a result of the proposed action; and that, on the contrary, such action should result in a better and more efficient utilization of existing resources.

No oral hearing is contemplated at this time, but any person (including petitioners) interested in making representations in favor of, or against, the relief sought in the petition may do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. Likewise, any person desiring to comment upon the environmental issues raised by the petition is hereby invited to do so by the submission of written data, views, or arguments. An original and fifteen (15) copies of such data, views, or arguments shall be filed with the Commission on or before March 24, 1975.

A copy of each representation should be served upon petitioners' representatives. Written material or suggestions submitted will be available for public inspection at the Office of the Interstate Commerce Commission, 12th and Constitution, Washington, D.C., during regular business hours. Notice to the general public of the matters herein under consideration will be given by depositing a copy of this notice in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission for public inspection and by filing a copy thereof with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2169 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

**Occupational Safety and Health
Administration**

[29 CFR Part 1910]

[Docket No. OSH-38]

**EMPLOYMENT RELATED HOUSING
(TEMPORARY LABOR CAMPS)**

Additional Hearing Location

Pursuant to section 6(b)(3) of the Williams-Steiger Occupational Safety and Health Act of 1970 (84 Stat. 1594,

PROPOSED RULES

29 U.S.C. 655), Secretary of Labor's Order No. 12-71 (36 FR 8754) and 29 CFR Part 1911, the hearing scheduled for Employment Related Housing was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on Tuesday, December 24, 1974, at 39 FR 44456, with notification of an additional hearing published on January 3, 1975, at 40 FR 797.

It has been determined that an additional hearing will be conducted in Fresno, California, on February 19, 1975. The hearing will begin at 9 a.m. local time in the Ballroom of the Hilton Inn, which is located at 1055 Van Ness Street, Fresno, California.

Interested persons may file a written notice of intention to appear, together

with two copies thereof. This notice must be postmarked no later than February 7, 1975. Such requests should be addressed to Mrs. Jeanne Ferrone, Attn: Docket OSH-38, Occupational Safety and Health Administration, Room 200, 1726 M Street Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20210. Phone: (202) 961-2487/2248. The notice must state the name and address of the person wishing to appear, the capacity in which he will appear, and the approximate amount of time required for his presentation. The notice must also include or be accompanied by, a statement of the position to be taken in regard to the specific issues being raised, and the evidence to be adduced in support of

this position. For persons wishing to appear at the hearings, but unable to attend, written statements postmarked no later than February 18, 1975, may be submitted to the above address. The written statements should be submitted in triplicate.

In all other respects, the notice of hearing published on December 24, 1974 (39 FR 44456) remains in effect.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 23rd day of January 1975.

JOHN STENDER,
Assistant Secretary of Labor.

[FR Doc.75-2331 Filed 1-23-75;9:56 am]

notices

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

[Public Notice 439]

CULTURALLY SIGNIFICANT OBJECT OF ART

Importation and Exhibition

Notice is hereby given of the following determination:

Pursuant to the authority vested in me by the Act of October 19, 1965 (79 Stat. 985), Executive Order 11312 of October 14, 1966 (31 FR 13415, October 18, 1966) and delegation of authority number 113 of December 23, 1966 (32 FR 58, January 5, 1967), I hereby determine that (1) the painting described,

Claude Monet 1866
DEJEUNER SUR L'HERBE
216 x 162 x 18 cm.

From—Pushkin Museum of Fine Arts, Moscow

to be imported, pursuant to a loan agreement between Mr. Thomas Hoving for the Metropolitan Museum of Art and the Minister of Culture of the U.S.S.R., for temporary exhibition without profit within the United States is of cultural significance and that (2) the temporary exhibition or display of such painting within the United States at the Metropolitan Museum of Art in New York City on or about January 25, 1975 to on or about February 20, 1975, is in the national interest.

Public notice of this determination is ordered to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

[SEAL] JOHN RICHARDSON, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary for
Educational and Cultural Affairs.

[FR Doc.75-2199 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms GRANTING OF RELIEF

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to 18 U.S.C., section 925(c), the following named persons have been granted relief from disabilities imposed by Federal laws with respect to the acquisition, transfer, receipt, shipment, or possession of firearms incurred by reason of their convictions of crimes punishable by imprisonment for a term exceeding one year.

It has been established to my satisfaction that the circumstances regarding the convictions and each applicant's rec-

ord and reputation are such that the applicants will not be likely to act in a manner dangerous to public safety, and that the granting of the relief will not be contrary to the public interest.

Brissa, Daniel Patrick, 946 N. St. Louis Avenue, Chicago, Illinois, convicted on July 6, 1966, in the Circuit Court, Cook County, Illinois.

Bryan, Jacob A., 2907 Page Drive, Baltimore, Maryland, convicted on March 23, 1954, in the Baltimore City Court, Baltimore, Maryland; and on February 23, 1957, in the Anne Arundel County Circuit Court, Maryland.

Burrows, Michael Raymond, 5238 South "K", Tacoma, Washington, convicted on December 7, 1965, in the Superior Court of the State of Washington, in and for Pierce County.

Butters, Robert J., McNeil Road, Fryeburg, Maine, convicted on October 4, 1965, in the Oxford County Superior Court, Maine.

Chapman, Roland C., P.O. Box 830, Forks, Washington, convicted on October 19, 1970, in the United States District Court, Tacoma, Washington.

Chesser, Choice L., 10403 Vern Street, Gibsonton, Florida, convicted on June 25, 1957, in the Circuit Court De Kalb County, Alabama.

Crenshaw, George E., 701 Rutherford Avenue, N.W., Roanoke, Virginia, convicted on July 29, 1971, in the Hustings Court of the City of Roanoke, Virginia.

Eaton, James Hoyle, Route #3, Booneville, Mississippi, convicted on June 19, 1959, and on May 2, 1937, in the United States District Court, Northern District of Mississippi.

Fillion, Ralph Rodger, 8805 37th S.W., Seattle, Washington, convicted on July 14, 1970, in the Superior Court of the State of Washington for the County of King.

Fortune, James Wellington, Route 1, Box 40-A, Milford, Virginia, convicted on July 7, 1971, in the Circuit Court of the City of Fredericksburg, Virginia.

Galeotti, Daniel Louis, Box 377, Main Street, Austin, Pennsylvania, convicted on December 10, 1958, in the Potter County Criminal Court, Courdersport, Pennsylvania; and on May 14, 1973, in the Cameron County Criminal Court, Emporium, Pennsylvania.

Gilcott, Wardell A., Route #201, Gardiner, Maine, convicted on September 4, 1943, in the Somerset County Superior Court, Maine; and on November 4, 1959, in the Kennebec County Superior Court, Maine.

Griffin, George L., 4702 18 Avenue, Phenix City, Alabama, convicted on December 2, 1953, in the United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama.

Jarzemkoski, John Joseph, Sr., 3664 Liermann Avenue, St. Louis, Missouri, convicted on April 14, 1970, in the United States District Court, East St. Louis, Illinois.

Lawrence, James C., 16313 Sandy Ford Road, Chesterfield, Virginia, convicted on March 18, 1970, in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia.

Lee, Delbert Gene, P.O. Box 507, Amity, Oregon, convicted on April 19, 1967, in the Lane County Circuit Court, Oregon.

May, Karl E., 376 Oak Street, Menasha, Wisconsin, convicted on August 11, 1971, in the Circuit Court, Outagamie County, Wisconsin.

Nystrom, Ellen, 923 Oak Street, Negaunee, Michigan, convicted on June 14, 1971, in the State of Wisconsin Circuit Court, Marinette County, Wisconsin.

Orawiec, Stanley V., Jr., 1714 Pingree Avenue, Ogden, Utah, convicted on July 6, 1971, in the District Court, 2d Judicial District, Weber County, Utah.

Pate, Kenneth Lee, 11 N.E. 81st Street, Kansas City, Missouri, convicted on April 28, 1967, in the County Court, Wyandotte County, Kansas.

Philpot, Chester R., Straight Creek, Kentucky, convicted on June 29, 1973, in the United States District Court, District of Columbia.

Pisegna, Dennis J., 1019 Washington Street, Farrell, Pennsylvania, convicted on June 14, 1973, in the Court of Common Pleas of Mercer County, Pennsylvania.

Scott, Stephen Michael, 3700 28th Street, Lot N, 160, Sioux City, Iowa, convicted on March 7, 1973, in the District Court, Woodbury County, Iowa.

Smith, Jack E., Rural Route #2, Menomonie, Wisconsin, convicted on November 3, 1972, in the State of Wisconsin, County Court, Dunn County.

Sottles, Leonard, 520 N. Mathilda Street, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, convicted on November 30, 1951, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Washington County, Pennsylvania; on or about July 17, 1952, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Centre County, Pennsylvania; and on November 25, 1959, in the Court of Quarter Sessions of Allegheny County, Pennsylvania.

Sundali, Brant M., 602 St. James Street, Rapid City, South Dakota, convicted on November 14, 1959, in the Circuit Court, Pennington County, South Dakota.

Ticknor, Larry Lowell, 1307 Holly Drive, Sioux Falls, South Dakota, convicted on July 20, 1973, in the United States District Court, District of South Dakota.

Tinklenberg, Harris J., 701 11th Street, Windom, Minnesota, convicted on July 4, 1959, in the Municipal Court, Long Beach, California.

Wakeland, Milo W., 111 Riverside Drive, Truth or Consequences, New Mexico, convicted on January 21, 1949, in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas.

Wever, Ewing Gene, 6604 18th Street, Rio Linda, California, convicted on February 14, 1962, in the Superior Court of the State of California in and for the County of Sacramento.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this 9th day of January 1975.

REX D. DAVIS,
Director, Bureau of Alcohol,
Tobacco and Firearms.

[FR Doc.75-2251 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary
**RECHARGEABLE SEALED NICKEL-
 CADMIUM BATTERIES FROM JAPAN**

Antidumping Proceeding Notice

On December 24, 1974, information was received in proper form pursuant to §§ 153.26 and 153.27, Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.26, 153.27), indicating a possibility that rechargeable sealed nickel-cadmium batteries from Japan are being, or are likely to be, sold at less than fair value within the meaning of the Antidumping Act, 1921, as amended (19 U.S.C. 160 et seq.).

There is evidence on record concerning injury to or likelihood of injury to or prevention of establishment of an industry in the United States.

Having conducted a summary investigation as required by § 153.29 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.29) and having determined as a result thereof that there are grounds for so doing, the U.S. Customs Service is instituting an inquiry to verify the information submitted and to obtain the facts necessary to enable the Secretary of the Treasury to reach a determination as to the fact or likelihood of sales at less than fair value.

A summary of information received from all sources is as follows: The information received tends to indicate that the prices of the merchandise sold for exportation to the United States are less than the prices for home consumption.

This notice is published pursuant to § 153.30 of the Customs Regulations (19 CFR 153.30).

[SEAL] DAVID R. MACDONALD,
*Assistant Secretary
 of the Treasury.*

JANUARY 22, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2281 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[INT FES 75-7]

**EHV TRANSMISSION LINE CORRIDOR
 (TG&E), ARIZ.**

**Availability of Final Environmental
 Statement**

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, has prepared a final environmental statement for the construction of two EHV Transmission Lines from Westwing Substation near Phoenix, to the Vail Substation near Tucson, Arizona. Tucson Gas & Electric Company proposes to construct the lines through portions of Maricopa, Pinal, and Pima Counties. The lines proposed are an extension of the power transmission system for power being generated at the coal fired Navajo Plant in northern Arizona.

The final statement considers the impact of powerline construction, operation, and maintenance.

Copies are available for inspection at the following locations during regular office hours:

Phoenix District Office, Bureau of Land Management, 2929 West Clarendon Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona. Telephone (602) 261-4231.

Arizona State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Room 3204 Federal Building, 230 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona. Telephone (602) 261-3706.

Office of Public Affairs, Bureau of Land Management, Room 5625, Interior Building, Washington, D.C. Telephone (202) 343-5717.

A limited number of single copies may be obtained by writing the Arizona State Director, Bureau of Land Management, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix, Arizona 85025.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

STANLEY D. DOREMUS,
*Deputy Assistant Secretary
 of the Interior.*

[FR Doc.75-2245 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

**Fish and Wildlife Service
 LINCOLN PARK ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS
 Endangered Species Permit; Receipt of
 Application**

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

Applicant:
 Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens
 100 W. Webster Avenue
 Chicago, Illinois 60614
 Mr. Saul L. Kitchener
 Assistant Director

LINCOLN PARK ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS

DIRECTOR,
 Bureau of Sport Fisheries & Wildlife,
 United States Department of Interior,
 Washington, DC 20240

DECEMBER 6, 1974.

DEAR SIR: The Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens, 100 West Webster, Chicago, Illinois 60614, hereby applies for a permit to place a pair of Snow Leopards (*Panthera uncia*) born Lincoln Park Zoo May 29, 1974 on breeding loan with Howletts Zoo Park, Bekebourne, England. Mr. John Aspinall, 1 Lyall Street, London SW1X 8DW, England (Phone: 01-235-5108) is the owner of Howletts.

At the present time Lincoln Park Zoo owns eleven (11) Snow Leopards and we frankly do not have the facilities to keep all of them. Besides this lack of adequate space it is a good idea for conservation of this species to disperse surplus animals to institutions that have already proved their ability to breed and maintain either this species or related ones. At this writing the pair of Snow Leopards under discussion are living in a small holding area off display. This area is minimal at present and obviously becomes more of a problem as the animals grow. It is imperative, therefore, that a decision be made on this application immediately.

At Lincoln Park Zoo we have always believed in cooperating with our sister institutions for the benefit of our animal charges. Towards this end we regularly send and receive many animals on breeding loans. We sent a female Snow Leopard to the Seattle Zoo on breeding loan as a potential mate for one of their males in June, 1974 and exchanged a female Snow Leopard for a male with Cincinnati Zoo. In early 1973 we ex-

changed Snow Leopards with Helsinki, Finland; we sent a Zoo-born female there, in return they sent one of their Zoo-born males here under Endangered Species Permit No. ES-324. I am happy to say that this male was the sire of the pair of animals under discussion in this application. It should be mentioned that this pair is therefore a full second generation birth.

Mr. John Aspinall became known to us in early 1973 from a notice in the *International Zoo News* stating his need for a breeding age male Gorilla. At that time he had eleven (11) Gorillas, but his male was not able to impregnate any of his females. An extensive correspondence ensued and one of the Chicago Park District Commissioners even inspected the facilities at Howletts and spent some time with Aspinall himself. He came away thoroughly satisfied that our animal would receive the optimum of care. (Commissioner Franklin Schmick was extremely concerned about Gorillas and in fact donated five (5) of our present Gorilla group. He had been to Cameroon, West Africa to visit a Gorilla capture camp and personally pick out a Gorilla he wished to donate and, as might be expected, was not an easy man to please.)

We also contacted a number of Zoos in England regarding Mr. Aspinall and they reinforced the Commissioner's thoughts and what we ourselves had begun to feel, that Aspinall would do very well indeed by the animal. Taking all of this into consideration we decided to send the male Gorilla.

The arrangements were handled with great dispatch by Aspinall and his staff. As a direct consequence of this loan Mr. Aspinall visited the United States with a film of his Howletts facility. He showed this film to our Zoological Society, among others, and appeared on national television with it as well. Our consulting veterinarian, Dr. Erich Maschgan, and Head Primate Keeper, James Higgins, accompanied the Gorilla to England, at Mr. Aspinall's expense, and both were impressed with him and his entire operation. Howletts Zoo Park is owned entirely by Mr. Aspinall and the public is allowed to visit only a few days of the year. There seems to be no doubt that Aspinall is entirely devoted to his animals and the conservation movement as a whole. From everything we know about him we believe he spares no expense or trouble to further the comfort and breeding potential of his animals.

By his own admission, Aspinall has always had a special feeling for Snow Leopards. When he visited here earlier this year he was extremely pleased with the possibility we would even consider loaning a pair to him. After the successful Gorilla transaction, we decided he would do everything in his power to breed Snow Leopards.

The Snow Leopards will remain the property of Chicago Park District/Lincoln Park Zoo and the offspring will be equally divided between the two parties to the agreement. Please find appended a copy of the signed breeding loan agreement for the Gorilla loan. The Snow Leopard agreement will be exactly the same.

Mr. Aspinall owns and is developing two other animal facilities at the present time, Chilham Rare Animal Compound and Port Lympne Estate. The latter will be open to the public. In 1974 he purchased and is now the owner/publisher of the *International Zoo News* the only international Zoo bulletin. Please find enclosed a copy of letters dated 18 November 1974 written by Mr. Aspinall and his secretary. It would seem salient here to point out that the Cats have done very well at Howletts. Bengal Tigers have bred well and they were the first to breed the Siberian sub-species in England. The most impressive breeding, however, is that of Clouded Leopards which has traditionally been a very

difficult species to breed and maintain in captivity. At present they have nine (9) living offspring along with the breeders who were the first to breed in England. *International Zoo Yearbook* Vol. 14 lists only Dublin, Ireland and Oklahoma City along with Howletts as having bred Clouded Leopards in 1972. More significantly, Howletts' were the only ones to survive.

After viewing Mr. Aspinall's film of his facilities and from personal discussions with him we all feel sure the Snow Leopards will be housed more than adequately. They will be maintained in a large outdoor cage similar to his successful Clouded Leopard areas. I have personally seen these areas in his film and although I cannot state exact dimensions they are bigger than any area I have seen in any Zoo keeping Clouded Leopards. There will be indoor dens although they will probably only be needed for cubbing since the weather there is not as severe as Chicago winters or summers and we house ours outside all year with only a wooden box for shelter.

In June, 1968 the IUCN listed the Snow Leopard as endangered stating that a rough working estimate for their numbers in the wild would be 400, give or take 200. In an updating of Snow Leopard information and status in 1972 the Snow Leopard is still red-sheeted in the IUCN data book. In this 1972 update no figures are given as to total numbers, but throughout its entire range the animal is severely threatened and under severe hunting pressure in most areas. Skins are still being offered for sale in various areas and it is interesting to note that Naumann & Nogge (1973) saw skins for sale at the Bazaar in Kabul, Afghanistan. Animals are still being exported from Russia as well. In a letter dated August 13, 1974 to the then Snow Leopard studbook keeper, Mr. Marvin Jones, the German animal dealer, Claus Gollembeck, reported that he delivered a pair of Snow Leopards to the Hannover Zoo, West Germany in January, 1974 and a single female to the Zurich Zoo, Switzerland in April, 1974.

These three animals were legally obtained by this dealer from the Russian government. In all probability they were wild caught animals. While the situation in the wild continues to be grim, the situation in captivity continues to brighten. At one time only 6% of Snow Leopards living in captivity were born there, but as of 1972 a full 35% were captive born. An increase of 29% in 10 years. Equally important, if not more so, are the second generation births at, at least, the Lincoln Park, Oklahoma City, Bronx, and Helsinki, Finland zoos.

Lincoln Park Zoo has had a total of 32 Snow Leopards born between 1960 and 1974 and because of this great number has had more experience with Snow Leopards than any other Zoo with the possible exception of Helsinki, Finland. All of our expertise will be made available to Mr. Aspinall and his staff. Find appended to this application a copy of a paper accepted for publication in *International Zoo Yearbook* Vol. 15, which summarizes our knowledge and experience of Snow Leopards. Mr. Aspinall also plans another trip to the United States in 1975 and we will consult with him at that time, as well.

The continuation of proper management of captive Snow Leopard stock is imperative and the dispersal, on loan, of this pair of Snow Leopards to Howletts is consistent with our philosophy and management policies at Lincoln Park Zoo. After a great deal of consideration we feel that Mr. Aspinall will make every effort to propagate and maintain these animals. He already has demonstrated his ability with other species of *Felidae* and

cooperates with other institutions as can be seen by items iv-v on page 2 of his appended animal inventory. The Siberian Tigers are registered in the studbook and there is no reason to believe he would not do so with the Snow Leopards. It should also be pointed out that since Lincoln Park Zoo now keeps the Snow Leopard studbook we would be in an excellent position to keep track of these animals.

I hereby certify that I have read and am familiar with the regulations contained in Title 50, Part 13, of the Code of Federal Regulations and other applicable parts 'in Subchapter B of Chapter I of Title 50, and I further certify that the information submitted in this application for a permit is complete and accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief. I understand that any false statement hereon may subject me to the criminal penalties of 18 U.S.C. 1001.

Sincerely yours,

SAUL L. KITCHENER,
Assistant Director.

1 LYALL STREET LONDON SW1X 8DW

TELEPHONE: 01-235 5108

Mr. SAUL L. KITCHENER,
Assistant Director,
Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens,
100 West Webster, Chicago, Illinois 60614

NOVEMBER 18, 1974.

DEAR Mr. KITCHENER: That you for your letter of 8th November and for all the documentation you kindly enclosed which I certainly hope will satisfy the authorities over here, with regard to the import of 1/1 Snow Leopard.

I hope that the letter Mr. Aspinall has written to you, which is enclosed, will suffice. The only information I can add to what he has already said, is that Howletts was the first zoo in Great Britain to breed Clouded Leopards, the first zoo to breed Siberian Tigers in this country (all of which are studbook registered in Leipzig), and the first zoo to successfully breed the Honey Badger, in the world.

Yours sincerely,

Miss SUSAN HUNT,
Secretary to Mr. John Aspinall.

1 LYALL STREET, LONDON SW1X 8DW, ENGLAND

Mr. SAUL L. KITCHENER,
Assistant Director,
Lincoln Park Zoological Gardens,
100 West Webster,
Chicago, Illinois 60614.

NOVEMBER 18, 1974.

DEAR SAUL: Please use the following information in the light of a testimonial with reference to our ability to look after 1/1 Snow Leopard which Lincoln Park has offered on loan to Howletts Zoo Park:

From an input of 8 Indian Tigers we have bred 37: the colony at the moment stands at 27 (12 of these we have bred this year). From 4 different females, our Siberian Tiger colony has had an input of 7 and now stands at 15, (4 having been bred this year). The Clouded Leopard colony has had an input of 2 and now stands at 11 (0/2 being bred this year, 0/1 of which is designated to yourselves and will be sent over at your request), these 2 females are now over 6 months old. We also have a breeding pair of Black Leopards. Our other cats are, as yet, too young to breed and consist of 1/1 Spotted Leopard, 1/1 Serval Cat and 1/1 Northern Lynx. The only adult group we have not yet bred suc-

cessfully are the Cheetahs, but we are now arranging three different enclosures for them so we can separate the females from the males on the pattern of Victor Manton who has bred them successfully in this country, at Whipsnade.

Enclosed is an up to date stock list which gives an indication of the animals we breed successfully at Howletts.

Yours sincerely,

JOHN ASPINALL.

LINCOLN PARK ZOOLOGICAL GARDENS

BREEDING LOAN AGREEMENT BETWEEN CHICAGO PARK DISTRICT AND MR. JOHN ASPINALL/HOWLETT'S ZOO PARK

OCTOBER 17, 1973.

The above parties to this agreement agree to the following concerning the loan of an adult male Lowland Gorilla (*Gorilla g. gorilla*) named Kisoro, age 13 years.

The Chicago Park District will loan Kisoro to Mr. Aspinall for the sole purpose of captive animal propagation at Howlett's Zoo Park. Mr. Aspinall agrees to provide all the necessary housing, food and veterinary care to the best of his ability.

While it is not practical to set a proper monetary value on an adult male breeding gorilla, we request that Mr. Aspinall insure Kisoro for \$10,000 U.S. Mr. Aspinall will furnish Chicago Park District proof of insurance coverage of \$10,000 U.S. before Kisoro is shipped to England. The insurance will cover the period of transit, as well as the duration of Kisoro's stay in England. Mr. Aspinall also agrees that he will assume all liability should any person be injured or property damaged by Kisoro while the animal is under his care. The Chicago Park District will, therefore, be free of any liability for Kisoro's actions under this loan agreement.

The cost of crating and shipping Kisoro to England will be borne by Mr. Aspinall. He will also be responsible for the cost of returning Kisoro to Chicago when and if this becomes necessary.

Due to the value of this animal adequate personnel should accompany the shipment of Kisoro to England, as well as his return to the United States, cost to be borne by Mr. Aspinall.

If any young are born between the Chicago Park District male and Aspinall's females, the offspring will be equally divided between the Chicago Park District and Mr. Aspinall, with the Chicago Park District receiving the first offspring. An attempt will be made by both parties to have each party to the agreement receive an equitable division of sexes of the young.

There will be a continued evaluation of this agreement by both parties especially related to the amount of time Kisoro will spend in England.

If for any reason this animal is offered for sale during its stay in England, Mr. Aspinall will have the first option to buy.

The agreement can be terminated by either party upon service to the other, with a minimum notice of ninety (90) days.

LESTER E. FISHER, D.V.M.,
Chicago Park District, Zoological
Gardens Director, Lincoln Park
Zoo.

JOHN ASPINALL,
(Owner),
Howlett's Zoo Park.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the

Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before February 24, 1975, will be considered.

Dated: January 21, 1975.

C. R. BAVIN,
Chief, Division of Law Enforcement,
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.75-2228 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

**National Park Service
NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS**

**Authorization of Additional Interpretive
Visitor Transportation Services**

Pub. L. 93-62 (Act of July 6, 1973, 87 Stat. 146), directed the Secretary of the Interior to provide interpretive visitor transportation services between or in Federal areas within the District of Columbia and its environs upon the determination that such services are desirable to facilitate visitation and to insure proper management and protection of these areas.

Pursuant to this authority, it has been determined that providing interpretive visitor transportation service between the Mall and on the grounds of the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Stadium is desirable to facilitate visitation and to insure proper management and protection of such areas. Therefore, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the direction of Public Law 93-62 and the authority of the Act of July 25, 1916, as amended and supplemented (16 U.S.C. 1, et seq.), interpretive visitor transportation services are to be provided between the Mall and the grounds of the Robert F. Kennedy Memorial Stadium.

MANUS J. FISH, JR.,
Director, National Capital Parks.

[FR Doc.75-2428 Filed 1-23-75;10:33 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals
[Docket No. M 75-81]

OLD BEN COAL CO.

**Petition for Modification of Application of
Mandatory Safety Standard**

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. section 816(c) (1970), Old Ben Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1405 to its Dixiana Mine, Norton, Virginia.

30 CFR 75.1405 provides:

All haulage equipment acquired by an operator of a coal mine on or after March 30,

1971, shall be equipped with automatic couplers which couple by impact and uncouple without the necessity of persons going between the ends of such equipment. All haulage equipment without automatic couplers in use in a mine on March 30, 1970, shall also be so equipped within 4 years after March 30, 1970.

In support of its petition, Petitioner states:

(1) All cars in use at the subject mine will be coupled together in units by pin-and-link couplers. A 1/4 inch hole will be drilled in each pin which will be fixed in position with a cotter key of appropriate size.

(2) The front end of the first car and the locomotive will be fitted with an Ohio Brass automatic coupler so designed as to permit an employee to couple or uncouple the units without the necessity of positioning himself between the unit and the locomotive.

(3) It is presently planned that most, if not all mine car units will consist of ten cars per unit. However, in the event it becomes more practical to combine cars into units of more or less than ten cars, each unit will conform to the specifications of pin-and-link couplers on all cars and automatic coupler on the front end of the car connected to the locomotive.

(4) Petitioner's alternate method will provide greater safety than the application of the mandatory safety standard because it will prevent the accidental uncoupling of cars which often occurs on undulating track.

The petition is supported by a schematic diagram detailing the specifications for the fixation of the pins in the pin-and-link couplers.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before February 24, 1975. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

Dated: January 16, 1975.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director, Office of
Hearings and Appeals.

[FR Doc.75-2246 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

**OIL SHALE ENVIRONMENTAL ADVISORY
PANEL**

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel will be held on February 13 and 14, 1975, at the Ramada Inn, 999 South Main Street, Salt Lake City, Utah. The meeting will begin at 10 a.m. on Thursday, February 13, in the Alta Room No. 1 and

conclude at 12 noon on Friday, February 14.

The Panel was established to assist the Department of the Interior in the performance of functions in connection with the supervision of oil shale leases issued under the Prototype Oil Shale Leasing Program. The purpose of the meeting is to review a proposal to stimulate insitu oil shale development; review the first quarterly environmental data reports for the Colorado Lease Tract C-b and for the two Utah lease tracts; review a proposed fish and wildlife management plan for the Utah lease tracts; to receive reports from departmental officials; have a technical briefing on the Uintah Basin tar sands; and to discuss other oil shale related matters.

The meeting is open to the public. It is expected that space will permit approximately 100 persons to attend the meeting in addition to the Panel. Interested persons may make brief presentations to the Panel or file written statements. Requests should be made to Mr. William L. Rogers, Chairman, Office of the Secretary, Department of the Interior, Room 688, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from Mr. Henry O. Ash, Office of the Oil Shale Environmental Advisory Panel, Room 820-A, Building 67, Denver Federal Center, Denver, Colorado 80225, telephone (303) 234-3275. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection 30 days after the meeting at the Panel Office.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

JACK HORTON,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.75-2248 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

**Rural Electrification Administration
DAIRYLAND POWER COOPERATIVE, WIS.
Supplemental Draft Environmental Impact
Statement**

Notice is hereby given that the Rural Electrification Administration intends to prepare a supplemental draft environmental impact statement specifically detailing certain items not adequately discussed or which have been changed from the draft environmental impact statement which was made available to the Council on Environmental Quality and the public on July 2, 1974. The original draft environmental impact statement was prepared in connection with a proposed loan guarantee for Dairyland Power Cooperative, 2615 East Avenue, South, LaCrosse, Wisconsin 54601, to provide for new generation facilities and related transmission facilities to be located near Alma, Wisconsin.

The proposed supplemental draft environmental impact statement will detail

a proposed 46 mile-161 kV transmission line addition from Alma, Wisconsin to Spring Valley, Wisconsin. The supplement will also detail the alternative of barge delivery of coal to the proposed plant site, the alternative of cooling towers discussed in more detail and the acquisition of certain land for a proposed ash pond and railroad loop coal delivery system. The land under question is presently owned by the Federal Government and is within the boundaries of the Upper Mississippi River National Wildlife and Fish Refuge.

Interested persons are invited to submit comments which may be helpful in preparing the supplemental Draft Environmental Impact Statement.

Comments should be forwarded to the Assistant Administrator—Electric, Rural Electrification Administration, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, with a copy to the borrower whose address was given above.

Additional information may be obtained at the borrower's office during regular business hours.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 17th day of January 1975.

DAVID H. ASKEGAARD,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2217 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Soil Conservation Service

TYGARTS VALLEY SOIL CONSERVATION DISTRICT, W. VA.

Equipment Grant Eligibility Determination

Notice is hereby given, in accordance with 7 CFR 662.2(c), of a determination that the Tygarts Valley SCD, Philippi, West Virginia 26416, is eligible for a grant of the following items of equipment (or materials) to carry out soil and water conservation work: One—1½-ton supply truck.

The grant is subject to the availability of the equipment from Federal excess property sources, and may be made on or before February 24, 1975.

JAMES S. BENNETT,
State Conservationist,
Morgantown, West Virginia 26505.

[FR Doc.75-2201 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

UPPER MEDICINE CREEK WATERSHED, NEBR.

Negative Declaration

Pursuant to section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969; part 1500.6(e) of the Council on Environmental Quality Guidelines (38 FR 20550) August 1, 1973; and part 650.8 (b)(3) of the Soil Conservation Service Guidelines (39 FR 19651) June 3, 1974; the Soil Conservation Service, U.S. Department of Agriculture, gives notice that an environmental impact statement is not being prepared for the Upper Medicine Creek Watershed, Hayes, Lincoln, and Frontier Counties, Nebraska.

The environmental assessment of this federal action indicates that the project will not create significant adverse local, regional, or national impacts on the environment and that no significant controversy is associated with the project. As a result of these findings, Mr. Wilson J. Parker, State Conservationist, Soil Conservation Service, USDA, 134 South 12th Street, Lincoln, Nebraska 68508, has determined that the preparation and review of an environmental impact statement is not needed for this project.

The project concerns a plan for watershed protection and flood prevention. The remaining planned works of improvement include conservation land treatment supplemented by four single purpose flood-water retarding structures.

The environmental assessment file is available for inspection during regular working hours at the following location:

Soil Conservation Service, USDA
134 South 12th Street, Room 604
Lincoln, Nebraska 68508

Requests for the negative declaration should be sent to the above address.

No administrative action on imple-

mentation of the proposal will be taken until after February 10, 1975.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 10.904, National Archives Reference Services)

Dated: January 17, 1975.

WILLIAM B. DAVEY,
Deputy Administrator for Water Resources, Soil Conservation Service.

[FR Doc.75-2200 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

ADVISORY COMMITTEES

Meetings

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App. I), the Food and Drug Administration announces the following public advisory committee meetings and other required information in accordance with provisions set forth in section 10(a)(1) and (2) of the act:

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
1. Panel on Review of Miscellaneous External Drug Products.	February 23 and 24, 9 a.m., Conference room J, Parklawn Bldg., 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md.	Closed February 23, open February 24, 9 a.m. to 10 a.m., closed February 24 after 10 a.m., Armond M. Welch, (HFD-109), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, 301-443-4960.

Purpose. Reviews and evaluates available data on the safety and effectiveness of active ingredients of currently marketed nonprescription drug products containing miscellaneous external drug products.

Agenda. Open session: Comments and presentations by interested persons. Closed session: Review of over-the-counter miscellaneous external drug products under investigation.

Committee name	Date, time, place	Type of meeting and contact person
2. Panel on Review of Miscellaneous Internal Drug Products.	February 23 and 24, 9 a.m., Conference Room C, Parklawn Bldg., 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md.	Closed February 23, open February 24, 9 a.m. to 10 a.m., closed February 24 after 10 a.m., Thomas D. DeCillis, (HFD-109), 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, Md. 20852, 301-443-4960.

Purpose. Reviews and evaluates available data on the safety and effectiveness of active ingredients of currently marketed nonprescription drug products containing miscellaneous internal drug products.

Agenda. Open session: Comments and presentations by interested persons. Closed session: Review of over-the-counter miscellaneous internal drug products under investigation.

Agenda items are subject to change as priorities dictate.

During the open sessions shown above, interested persons may present relevant information or views orally to any committee for its consideration. Information or views submitted to any committee in writing before or during a meeting shall also be considered by the committee.

A list of committee members and summary minutes of meetings may be obtained from the contact person for the committee both for meetings open to the public and those meetings closed to the

public in accordance with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act.

Most Food and Drug Administration advisory committees are created to advise the Commissioner of Food and Drugs on pending regulatory matters. Recommendations made by the committees on these matters are intended to result in action under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, and these committees thus necessarily participate with the Commissioner in exercising his law enforcement responsibilities.

The Freedom of Information Act recognized that the premature disclosure of regulatory plans, or indeed internal discussions of alternative regulatory approaches to a specific problem, could have adverse effects upon both public and private interests. Congress recognized that such plans, even when finalized, may not be made fully available in advance of the effective date without damage to such interests, and therefore provided for this type of dis-

cusson to remain confidential. Thus, law enforcement activities have long been recognized as a legitimate subject for confidential consideration.

These committees often must consider trade secrets and other confidential information submitted by particular manufacturers which the Food and Drug Administration by law may not disclose, and which Congress has included within the exemptions from the Freedom of Information Act. Such information includes safety and effectiveness information, product formulation, and manufacturing methods and procedures, all of which are of substantial competitive importance.

In addition, to operate most effectively, the evaluation of specific drug or device products requires that members of committees considering such regulatory matters be free to engage in full and frank discussion. Members of committees have frequently agreed to serve and to provide their most candid advice on the understanding that the discussion would be private in nature. Many experts would be unwilling to engage in candid public discussion advocating regulatory action against a specific product. If the committees were not to engage in the deliberative portions of their work on a confidential basis, the consequent loss of frank and full discussion among committee members would severely hamper the value of these committees.

The Food and Drug Administration is relying heavily on the use of outside experts to assist in regulatory decisions. The Agency's regulatory actions uniquely affect the health and safety of every citizen, and it is imperative that the best advice be made available to it on a continuing basis in order that it may most effectively carry out its mission.

A determination to close part of an advisory committee meeting does not mean that the public should not have ready access to these advisory committees considering regulatory issues. A determination to close the meeting is subject to the following conditions: First, any interested person may submit written data or information to any committee, for its consideration. This information will be accepted and will be considered by the committee. Second, a portion of every committee meeting will be open to the public, so that interested persons may present any relevant information or views orally to the committee. The period for open discussion will be designated in any announcement of a committee meeting. Third, only the deliberative portion of a committee meeting, and the portion dealing with trade secret and confidential information, will be closed to the public. The portion of any meeting during which nonconfidential information is made available to the committee will be open for public participation. Fourth, after the committee makes its recommendations and the Commissioner either accepts or rejects them, the public and the individuals affected by the regu-

latory decision involved will have an opportunity to express their views on the decision. If the decision results in promulgation of a regulation, for example, the proposed regulation will be published for public comment. Closing a committee meeting for deliberations on regulatory matters will therefore in no way preclude public access to the committee itself or full public comment with respect to the decisions made based upon the committee's recommendation.

The Commissioner has been delegated the authority under section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act to issue a determination in writing, containing the reasons therefor, that any advisory committee meeting is concerned with matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552(b), which contains the exemptions from the public disclosure requirements of the Freedom of Information Act. Pursuant to this authority, the Commissioner hereby determines, for the reasons set out above, that the portions of the advisory committee meetings designated in this notice as closed to the public involve discussion of existing documents falling within one of the exemptions set forth in 5 U.S.C. 552(b), or matters that, if in writing, would fall within 5 U.S.C. 552(b), and that it is essential to close such portions of such meetings to protect the free exchange of internal views and to avoid undue interference with Agency and committee operations. This determination shall apply only to the designated portions of such meetings which relate to trade secrets and confidential information or to committee deliberations.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

A. M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

[FR Doc.75-2209 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[FAP 5B3066]

E. I. DUPONT DE NEMOURS & CO.
Filing of Petition for Food Additive

Pursuant to provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409 (b) (5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348 (b) (5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 5B3066) has been filed by the E. I. DuPont de Nemours & Co., Wilmington, DE 19898, proposing that § 121.2502 *Nylon resins* be amended to provide for the safe use of nylon 612 resins made by the condensation of hexamethylenediamine and dodecanedioic acid as a component of food contact articles intended for repeated use.

The environmental impact analysis report and other relevant material have been reviewed, and it has been determined that the proposed use of the additive will not have a significant environmental impact. Copies of the environmental impact analysis report may be seen in the office of the Assistant Commissioner for Public Affairs, Rm. 15B-42 or the office of the Hearing Clerk, Food and Drug Administration, Rm. 4-

65, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852, during working hours, Monday through Friday.

Dated: January 13, 1975.

HOWARD R. ROBERTS,
Acting Director,
Bureau of Foods.

[FR Doc.75-2212 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

MEDICAL RADIATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App. I), the Food and Drug Administration announces the renewal of the Medical Radiation Advisory Committee by the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, for an additional period of two years beyond January 5, 1975.

Authority for this committee will expire January 5, 1977, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

Dated: January 16, 1975.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-2210 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

PANEL ON REVIEW OF LAXATIVE, ANTI-DIARRHEAL, ANTIEMETIC AND EMETIC DRUGS

Notice of Renewal

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Pub. L. 92-463, 86 Stat. 770-776; 5 U.S.C. App. I), the Food and Drug Administration announces the renewal by the Secretary, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, of the Panel on Review of Laxative, Antidiarrheal, Antiemetic, and Emetic Drugs for an additional period of 2 years beyond December 27, 1974.

Authority for this committee will expire December 27, 1976, unless the Secretary formally determines that continuance is in the public interest.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-2211 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

RADIATION EMISSION FROM AND HUMAN EXPOSURE TO ELECTRONIC PRODUCTS

Memorandum of Understanding Between the United States of America and Canada

Pursuant to the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 3, 1974 (39 FR 35697) that future agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and others would be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs issues the following notice:

A Memorandum of Understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and the Health Protection Branch of the Canada Department of National Health and Welfare was executed on December 16, 1974. The memorandum pertains to exchange of information on compliance program efforts that are of mutual concern to both agencies and is restricted to activities that involve controlling radiation emission and human exposure from electronic products. It reads as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, U.S.A. AND THE HEALTH PROTECTION BRANCH DEPARTMENT OF NATIONAL HEALTH AND WELFARE, CANADA

The Health Protection Branch of the Canada Department of National Health and Welfare (hereinafter referred to as HPB) and the Food and Drug Administration of the U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (hereinafter referred to as FDA) do hereby jointly agree to the following terms and conditions as stated herein.

I. *Purpose*: To establish a formal mechanism by which the Canadian Health Protection Branch and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration may develop a procedure to exchange information on compliance program efforts which are of mutual concern to both agencies. This understanding is restricted to those activities which involve controlling radiation emission and human exposure from electronic products.

II. *Items of Agreement*. A. FDA agrees that:

1. Prior to the issuance of standards by FDA under the Radiation Control for Health and Safety Act to control the emissions of radiation from electronic products, HPB will be consulted during the development stage of the standards, for advice on the latest available scientific and medical data in the field of electronic product radiation, the reasonableness and technical feasibility of such standards, and the standards currently in existence or being developed by HPB dealing with the same subject.

2. Copies of all regulations, standards, policy statements, and other similar documents pertaining to electronic product radiation will be provided to HPB.

3. Upon request from HPB, FDA will promptly furnish copies of decisions arising from inspections of manufacturers of electronic products prepared by FDA personnel.

4. Joint inspections of electronic product manufacturing plants may be conducted in the United States and Canada, provided the manufacturers so consent. This will afford opportunities for comparing inspection and reporting techniques, for exchanging inspection experiences, for developing common administrative practices, and for early mutual recognition of the inspectional findings of our respective inspectors and investigators.

5. FDA shall endeavor to provide prompt notification to HPB with respect to electronic product defects and noncompliance with FDA performance standards. This will include the name of the firm, the model number and other identification, the reason for the compliance action and a description of the manufacturer's corrective action program.

6. At appropriate intervals, and by mutual agreement, FDA will endeavor to arrange for meetings between its inspectors, technical experts, and management, and those of HPB for the purpose of reviewing the progress made through implementation of this information exchange.

7. Information shall be provided to the extent that United States law permits. Information furnished to FDA by HPB will be treated as confidential for interagency use only insofar as United States law permits. The provision of information shall not extend to the disclosures of financial data or trade secrets.

B. HPB agrees that:

1. Prior to the establishment of standards or regulations under the Radiation Emitting Devices Act or the Food and Drugs Act relative to radiation emitting devices, FDA will be consulted during the development stage for advice on the latest available scientific and medical data in the field of radiation emitting devices, and reasonableness and technical feasibility of such standards, and the standards currently in existence or being developed by FDA dealing with the same subject.

2. Copies of all regulations, standards, policy statements, and other similar documents pertaining to radiation emitting devices will be provided to FDA.

3. Upon request from FDA, HPB will promptly furnish copies of decisions arising from inspections of manufacturers of radiation emitting devices prepared by HPB personnel.

4. Joint inspections of radiation emitting devices' manufacturing plants may be conducted in the United States and in Canada, provided the manufacturers so consent. This will afford opportunities for comparing inspection and reporting techniques, for exchanging inspection experiences, for developing common administrative practices, and for early mutual recognition of the inspectional findings of our respective inspectors and investigators.

5. HPB shall endeavor to provide prompt notification to FDA with respect to radiation emitting device defects or noncompliance with Canadian performance standards.

6. At appropriate intervals, and by mutual agreement, HPB will endeavor to arrange for meetings between its inspectors, technical experts, and management, and those of FDA for the purpose of reviewing the progress made through implementation of this information exchange.

7. Information shall be provided to the extent national legislation permits. The provision of information will not extend to the disclosure of financial data or trade secrets.

III. *Name and Address of Participating Agencies*.

Health Protection Branch, Health and Welfare of Canada, Brookfield Rd., Ottawa, Canada K1A 1C1.

Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20852.

IV. *Liaison Officers*.

A. Mr. G. E. MacDonald (613-995-7059), Chief, Compliance Services, Environmental Health Directorate, Health Protection Branch.

B. Mr. Robert G. Britain (301-443-4016), Director, Division of Compliance, Bureau of Radiological Health, Food and Drug Administration.

V. *Period of Agreement*. This Memorandum of Understanding shall continue in effect unless modified by mutual consent of both parties or terminated by either party upon thirty (30) days advance written notice to the other.

This Memorandum of Understanding does not modify existing agreements nor does it preclude entering into separate agreements setting forth procedures for special programs which can be handled more efficiently and expeditiously by special agreement.

Nothing in this agreement is intended to diminish or otherwise affect the authority of

either agency to carry out its respective statutory functions.

Date: December 16, 1974.

ALEXANDER M. SCHMIDT,
Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare.

Date: December 16, 1974.

A. B. MORRISON,
Assistant Deputy Minister, Health Protection Branch, Canada Department of National Health and Welfare.

Effective date. This Memorandum of Understanding became effective on December 16, 1974.

Dated: January 16, 1975.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-2208 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

SANITARY QUALITY OF FROZEN FROG LEGS EXPORTED TO THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Agreement Between the Export Inspection Council, Ministry of Foreign Trade, India and the Food and Drug Administration.

Pursuant to the notice published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of October 3, 1974 (39 FR 35697) that future agreements or memoranda of understanding between the Food and Drug Administration and others would be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER, the Commissioner of Food and Drugs gives notice that an agreement was approved and accepted by the Food and Drug Administration and the Export Inspection Council, Ministry of Foreign Trade, India on January 13, 1975. This agreement, which provides for control of the sanitary quality of frozen frog legs exported from India to the United States, reads as follows:

MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AND THE EXPORT INSPECTION COUNCIL, MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE, INDIA

This Memorandum of Understanding has been developed and agreed to by the respective agencies to control the sanitary quality of frozen frog legs exported to the United States of America.

For purposes of this Memorandum, both parties agree to the following terms:

Lot. A lot is a quantity of frozen frog legs produced during a discrete period of time, by one manufacturer, packaged in identical containers identified by a code or mark traceable to the manufacturer and sealed in such a manner as to maintain integrity of the lot.

Salmonella- and *Arizona*-negative. Microbiological examination for the presence of *Salmonella* and *Arizona* will be conducted as follows: Place 15 pairs of frog legs into a flask containing 3,500 milliliters of broth. When single legs are estimated to average 25 grams or more, modify by culturing only one leg of each of the 15 pairs. Shake the flasks on a shaker for 15 minutes following which the lactose broth is decanted into another sterile flask and incubated at 35° C

for more than 24 hours. Following incubation, proceed according to "Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists," 11th ed. (1970).¹

THE EXPORT INSPECTION COUNCIL

1. The Export Inspection Council agrees to inspect each lot of frozen frog legs produced in this country and offered for export to the United States of America to assure that it is negative for *Salmonella* and *Arizona* based upon examination of sample units consisting of 15 pairs of frog legs and analyzed by methods prescribed in the above paragraph, "*Salmonella* and *Arizona*-negative."

2. The Export Inspection Council agrees to issue an export certificate for only those lots which meet the criteria of paragraph 1. Any lot which fails to meet such criteria will be denied export to the United States of America.

3. The Export Inspection Council agrees to require that all containers of all lots exported to the United States of America be identified by lot number, together with all other information required by the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

4. The Export Inspection Council agrees to include in the certificate for each lot exported to the United States of America the following information:

- a. Lot identification.
- b. Number and size of containers in the lot.
- c. Analytical results for *Salmonella* and *Arizona*.
- d. Date.
- e. Name and stamp or seal of authorizing official.

5. The Export Inspection Council agrees to furnish to the Food and Drug Administration a copy of the regulations and procedures used to assure that frozen frog legs are sanitary.

6. The Export Inspection Council agrees to furnish to the Food and Drug Administration a full description of the manufacturing processes and quality controls used to assure the production of sanitary frozen frog legs.

FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION

1. The Food and Drug Administration is responsible for the safety and quality of frozen frog legs imported into this country for human consumption.

2. The Food and Drug Administration will sample products certified under this program to assure that the exporting country and the exported products comply with specifications set forth in this Memorandum of Understanding and all other requirements of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The intensity of sampling may be reduced on gaining confidence in the compliance of the product to these specifications.

3. The Food and Drug Administration will share information about its audit sampling with the exporting country.

4. The Food and Drug Administration will share expertise and will provide consultative assistance to the exporting country when necessary to assure the safety of the frozen frog legs exported to the United States.

The Export Inspection Council and the Food and Drug Administration agree that this Memorandum of Understanding shall become effective on the date it is signed by the Food and Drug Administration. It shall remain in effect, and govern all frozen frog legs exported to the United States of America

pending revision or revocation at the request of either agency.

In witness whereof, the agencies have executed this Memorandum of Understanding. For the Food and Drug Administration.

By: A. M. Schmidt.

Title: Commissioner of Food and Drugs.

Country: The United States of America.

Date: January 13, 1975.

For the Ministry of Foreign Trade.

By: C. N. Modawal.

Title: Director of Quality Control and Inspection.

Country: India.

Date: January 13, 1975.

Effective date. This agreement became effective January 13, 1975.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

WILLIAM F. RANDOLPH,
Acting Associate Commissioner
for Compliance.

[FR Doc.75-2207 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[DESI 9386; Docket No. FDC-D-556; NDA No. 11-417]

DEANOL ACETAMIDOBENZOATE

Opportunity for Hearing on Proposal to Withdraw Approval of New Drug Application

Correction

In FR Doc. 75-521, appearing at page 1533 of the issue for Wednesday, January 8, 1975, the following corrections are made:

1. On page 1533, column 3, the eighth line from the bottom, the section number reading "314.11" should read "314.111".

2. On page 1534, column 1, the seventeenth line from the top, the word "patient" should read "parent".

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health

NATIONAL COMMISSION FOR THE PROTECTION OF HUMAN SUBJECTS

Meeting and Hearing

Notice is hereby given that the National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects of Biomedical and Behavioral Research will meet on February 14 and 15, 1975 in Conference Room 6, C Wing, Building 31, National Institutes of Health, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014. The meeting will convene at 9 a.m. both days and will be open to the public, subject to the limitation of available space. The meeting on February 14 will be open for presentation by the public of views on research on the fetus. In addition, the Commission will examine issues involved in research on the fetus and, time permitting, other items of its legislative mandate set forth in P.L. 93-348.

The deadline for requests to participate in the hearing on February 14 has been extended by the Commission from January 9 to January 31, 1975. It should be noted that this is the only hearing scheduled by the Commission to hear the views of members of the public regarding research on the fetus. Any person wishing to speak on February 14 must file a written request prior to the deadline and

receive approval from the Commission. A request to participate must include a detailed summary of the proposed presentation; oral presentation shall be limited to 10 minutes for each participant. Requests should be addressed to:

Staff Director, National Commission for the Protection of Human Subjects, Westwood Building, Room 125, 9000 Rockville Pike, Bethesda, Maryland 20014.

Requests for information should be directed to Ms. Anne Ballard at the address given above. Telephone number (301) 496-7526.

Dated: January 15, 1975.

CHARLES U. LOWE,
Executive Director, National
Commission for the Protection
of Human Subjects of Bio-
medical and Behavioral Re-
search.

[FR Doc.75-2215 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

Office of Education

INDIAN ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOL ASSISTANCE

Extension of Closing Date for Receipt of Applications

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Commissioner of Education has extended the February 15, 1975 closing date for receipt of applications for support of schools located on or near a reservation which are non-local educational agencies as well as local educational agencies which have been local educational agencies for less than three years under section 303(b) of the Indian Elementary and Secondary School Assistance Act, Title III of P.L. 81-874, as added by Title IV, Part A of Public Law 92-318 (20 U.S.C. 241aa-241ff), and Title VI, Part C of Pub. L. 93-380, previously published in the FEDERAL REGISTER at 40 FR 1535 on January 8, 1975, to February 18, 1975.

A. *Applications sent by mail.* An application sent by mail should be addressed as follows: U.S. Office of Education, Application Control Center, 400 Maryland Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202, Attention: 13.551. An application sent by mail will be considered to be received on time by the Application Control Center if:

(1) The application was sent by registered or certified mail not later than the fifth calendar day prior to the closing date (or if such fifth calendar day is a Saturday, Sunday, or Federal holiday, not later than the next following business day), as evidence by the U.S. Postal Service postmark on the wrapper or envelope, or on the original receipt from the U.S. Postal Service; or

(2) The application is received on or before the closing date by either the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education mail rooms in Washington, D.C. (In establishing the date of receipt, the Commissioner will rely on the time-date stamp of such mail rooms or other documentary evidence of receipt maintained by the Department of Health, Education,

¹ Copies may be obtained from: Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Box 540, Benjamin Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044

and Welfare, or the U.S. Office of Education).

B. Hand delivered applications. An application to be hand delivered must be taken to the U.S. Office of Education Application Control Center, Room 5673, Regional Office Building Three, 7th and D Streets SW., Washington, D.C. Hand delivered applications will be accepted daily between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. Washington, D.C. time except Saturdays, Sundays, or Federal holidays. Applications will not be accepted after 4 p.m. on the closing date.

C. Program information and forms. Information and application forms may be obtained from: Program Manager, Part A, U.S. Office of Education, Office of Indian Education, Room 3662, Regional Office Building Three, 400 Maryland Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20202.

D. Applicable regulations. The regulations applicable to this program include the Office of Education General Provisions Regulations (45 CFR 100a) and 45 CFR Part 186, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 24, 1974 at 39 FR 22424. (20 U.S.C. 241bb(b))

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Number 13.551; Indian Education Grants to Non-LEA's (Part A))

Dated: January 17, 1975.

T. H. BELL,

U.S. Commissioner of Education.

[FR Doc.75-2252 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

HEALTH RESOURCES ADMINISTRATION Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority Amendments

Part 7 (Health Resources Administration) of the Statement of Organization, Functions, and Delegations of Authority for the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare (39 FR 1456, January 9, 1974), is amended to reflect the following changes under section 7-B:

Organization and Functions. 1. Delete the title and statement for *Office of Management* (7A19), and substitute the following:

Office of Operations and Management (7A19). (1) Serves as the Administrator's principal staff for providing Administration-wide leadership in all phases of management and for direct conduct or general supervision of Administration-wide operational functions; (2) directs and coordinates the Administration's activities in the areas of management policy, operational planning, systems management, financial management, procurement and materiel management, grants management, and personnel management; (3) advises the Administrator on management implications of Administration plans and programs; (4) provides staff support and facilities for advisory councils, conferences, and meetings; (5) collaborates with the Office of Planning, Evaluation, and Legislation in the development and implementation of

the five-year program and financial plan for the Administrator; (6) provides facility and space management services for Administration field elements and directs the Agency's safety management program; (7) coordinates Agency programs with PHS Regional Office functions by providing liaison to the Office of Regional Operations, PHS; and (8) directs data systems management and the Agency's management information support systems.

2. *Within the National Center for Health Statistics* (7B00), the *Office of the Center Director* (7B01) is amended by replacing the current statement for that office and adding statements for its newly created staff offices as follows:

Office of the Center Director (7B01). (1) Plans, directs, administers, coordinates and evaluates the total vital, health, and health related statistics programs of the Center; (2) stimulates basic and applied research and developmental activities; (3) provides national and international leadership in vital and health statistics; (4) conducts a variety of professional activities to provide assistance to government agencies, to foster international relationships, and to improve the broad fields of vital and health statistics; (5) coordinates the Center's activities with public and private health statistical agencies; (6) directs and coordinates Center activities in support of the Department's Equal Employment Opportunity program; (7) provides management and administrative support for the Center; (8) provides program planning and development for the Center; and (9) develops and coordinates legislative activities.

Office of Program Support (7B02). (1) Plans, directs, administers, coordinates and evaluates the Center's fiscal, management, and administrative support activities; (2) develops, analyzes, improves and implements internal management policies, methods and procedures; (3) in cooperation with the Division of Financial Management, HRA, provides financial guidance and information to NCHS program managers in the operation of the Center's financial management system, including program policy interpretation in budget formulation and execution, and preparation of program planning and budgetary support data; (4) coordinates the Center's contracts activities; (5) directs the Center's employee development and training activities; (6) advises the Center Director on administrative policy matters affecting the Center's programs; and (7) maintains close liaison with the Office of the Associate Administrator for Operations and Management, HRA, and with other governmental agencies, and outside groups.

Office of International Statistics (7B03). (1) Plans, directs, coordinates and evaluates the Center's international statistical programs; (2) plans and conducts training programs in vital and health statistics for foreign statisticians and demographers; (3) determines needs for technical assistance in various foreign

countries and arranges for consultants and advisors to provide such assistance; (4) stimulates Center professional staff to conduct research in vital and health statistics in foreign countries; (5) develops and maintains an international statistical data file and conducts international studies of demographic and health statistics; (6) provides advice and guidance on disease classifications and disease classification problems in the Center; coordinates activities within the Center on classification of diseases and procedures; and has responsibility for development of revision proposals and U.S. position on decennial revisions of the International Classification of Diseases; (7) provides an Executive Secretary for the U.S. National Committee on Vital and Health Statistics; and (8) maintains liaison with key officials in HRA, the Department and international agencies on requests for data and consultant services.

Office of Program Development (7B05).

(1) Provides a focus for short- and long-range program planning, coordination, and evaluation of the adequacy and completeness of new and existing programs in meeting the Center's mission; (2) serves as liaison with organizations planning or conducting new initiatives in Federal health statistics; (3) provides staff advice on the development of new health statistics programs based on assessment of emerging needs; (4) in collaboration with the Associate Director for Management, translates planning into program and budget proposals for Center operations; (5) assists the Center Director in the assessment of program accomplishments through a program review process; (6) develops and coordinates the Center's legislative activities; (7) administers a program of project review as required by the Federal Reports Act, including official bureau clearance officer responsibilities; (8) serves as the Center coordinator for interdisciplinary and interprogram activities; (9) provides liaison with counterparts at higher levels; and (10) provides support services for the Center's conferences, advisory committees, and other advisory groups.

Office of Statistical Research (7B07).

(1) Provides general direction to and coordinates the Center's statistical research program; (2) plans and budgets Center-wide research activities; (3) stimulates research in the Center, and maintains close communication with research statisticians in universities and in private and governmental organizations; (4) conducts a program of basic measurement research and long-range applied research in statistical methodology; (5) promotes the publication and dissemination of statistical research findings; and (6) provides technical assistance to the Center Director in methodological matters.

Dated: January 17, 1975.

THOMAS S. MCFEE,

Acting Assistant Secretary for
Administration and Management.

[FR Doc.75-2249 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

UNITED STATES TERMINAL INSTRUMENT PROCEDURES (TERPS) ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of Pub. L. 92-463, notice is hereby given that the United States Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) Advisory Committee will hold a meeting beginning at 9 a.m., e.s.t., March 3 through 7, in Rooms 6A, B, C, Federal Aviation Administration, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. The following agenda item is scheduled for this meeting:

Discussion. Preparation of final draft for publication in the United States Standards for Terminal Instrument Procedures (TERPS) Handbook 8260.3A.

a. New Chapter 12, Departure Procedures.

b. Major revisions of the nonprecision instrument approach criteria.

c. Minor editorial changes to the entire handbook.

All those interested in attending the meeting should contact James A. Forgas, Chief, Flight Procedures Standards Branch, AFS-460, 800 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20591, telephone 202-426-8144. The meeting will be open to the public.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on January 16, 1975.

JAMES A. FORGAS,
Chairman, U.S. Terminal
Instrument Procedures
(TERPS) Advisory Committee.

[FR Doc.75-2197 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

[Docket No. EX 74-6; Notice 2]

VINTAGE REPRODUCTIONS, INC.

Petition for Temporary Exemption from Federal Motor Vehicle Safety Standards

The National Highway Traffic Safety Administration has decided to grant Vintage Reproductions, Inc. an exemption of its 1900 Series Horseless Carriages from many Federal motor vehicle safety standards on grounds of substantial economic hardship.

Notice of petition for the exemption was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 22, 1974 (39 FR 37526) and an opportunity afforded for comment. Vintage, of Fort Lauderdale, Florida, produces replicas of the 1901 Ford Runabout and several different 1901 Olds passenger cars and truck body types. The company requested exemption from the following safety standards applicable to passenger cars or trucks: 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, 111, 114, 115, 124, 201, 202, 203, 204, 207, 208, 210, 212, 215, 216, 301, and 302. The vehicles apparently will comply with Standards Nos. 107, 113, 205, and 211. The basic reason behind the request, described more fully in Notice 1, is that most safety standards are not "reasonable, practicable, or appropriate" for the configuration of 1901-style vehicles.

able, or appropriate" for the configuration of 1901-style vehicles.

In support of its petition the company argued that its vehicles would not present a significant hazard to traffic safety. In two years of production the company manufactured 199 vehicles and intends to manufacture not more than 900 units before terminating production. The overall concept of the vehicle is such, argues the company, that its appeal is primarily for occasional, limited use (e.g. auto shows, resorts), rather than for extensive use on the public roads. The company has 20 full-time employees and had a net loss of \$142.75 in the 12-month period ending April 30, 1974. Compliance with standards for which exemption is sought would involve substantial costs and, in the opinion of the company, destroy the character and hence the sales appeal of the vehicle.

No comments were received on the petition. The NHTSA concurs with the company's assessment that conformance would be costly and risky to the short term future of the company, and accepts its assessment that the effect of a denial would cause a loss of over \$60,000 in the year following it.

In reaching a decision that an exemption would be in the public interest, the Administrator has balanced the intent of Congress in providing temporary hardship exemptions to limited-production manufacturers against the need for traffic safety. While the manufacturer could produce as many as 30,000 units under a 3-year hardship exemption, it states that its intended production will not exceed 900. Many of the standards (e.g. 206, 214) are inappropriate for 1900-style vehicles that are open from the top and sides. Other standards, while appropriate (e.g. 109, 110), contain dimensional specifications outside the limits of 1900-style vehicles, making compliance impossible. Because of their small numbers and distinctive configurations, the Administrator does not believe that these vehicles will present a significant hazard to traffic safety.

The manufacturer has not shown that providing lap belts would create a hardship, and it thus is not exempted from that requirement of Standard No. 208.

For the reasons discussed above, the NHTSA finds that the exemptions requested are in the public interest and consistent with the objectives of the Act. Vintage Reproductions, Inc. is hereby granted NHTSA Temporary Exemption No. 74-6, expiring December 1, 1977, from the following motor vehicle safety standards: 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 108, 109, 110, 111, 114, 115, 124, 201, 202, 203, 204, 207, 208 (except for that portion of S4.1.2.3.2 that requires a Type 1 seat belt assembly at each designated seating position), 210, 212, 215, 216, 301, and 302.

(Sec. 3, Pub. L. 92-548, 86 Stat. 1159 (15 U.S.C. 1410); delegation of authority at 49 CFR 1.51)

Issued on January 21, 1975.

NOEL C. BUFE,
Acting Administrator.

[FR Doc.75-2231 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

ACTION

FOSTER GRANDPARENT AND SENIOR COMPANION PROGRAMS

Eligibility Levels

This notice revises the schedule of income eligibility levels for individuals and a family of two for the Foster Grandparent Program and the Senior Companion Program published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of November 4, 1974 (39 FR 39077). The figures increase the currently applicable guideline published by the Office of Economic Opportunity (OEO) by the 4.1 percent change in the Consumer Price Index during the four-month period of July 1974 through October 1974. The heading of the second column in the schedule has been changed from Couples to Family of Two to coincide with Census Bureau and OEO usage of terms. A third column, Family of Three, has been added to meet occasional needs therefor.

These ACTION programs are authorized pursuant to section 211 of the Domestic Volunteer Service Act of 1973, Pub. L. 93-113, 87 Stat. 402. Pursuant to section 421(4) of Pub. L. 93-113, 87 Stat. 414, the income eligibility levels are determined by the current applicable guideline published by the Office of Economic Opportunity, pursuant to section 625 of the Economic Opportunity Act of 1974, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2971(a)), and increased by the amounts individual states supplement the Federal Supplemental Security Income (SSI) Program. The states supplemental payments reflect the higher cost of living in the geographic area to be served by the project. Section 625 permits the OEO guideline to be adjusted for cost-of-living changes.

The income eligibility levels will be reviewed at least once a year, and similar schedules will be prepared to reflect any changes required as a result of that review.

Pursuant to section 420 of Pub. L. 93-113, this policy will become effective on January 23, 1975.

SCHEDULE OF INCOME ELIGIBILITY LEVELS FOR FOSTER
GRANDPARENTS OR SENIOR COMPANIONS

State	Individuals	Family ¹ of two	Family ¹ of three
Alabama.....	\$2,425	\$3,327	\$4,067
Alaska.....	4,277	5,569	6,861
Arizona.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Arkansas.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
California.....	3,493	5,847	6,617
Colorado.....	2,653	4,527	5,297
Connecticut.....	3,529	3,999	4,769
Delaware.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
District of Columbia.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Florida.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Georgia.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Hawaii.....	3,114	4,167	5,220
Idaho.....	3,073	3,555	4,325
Illinois.....	2,773	3,195	3,965
Indiana.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Iowa.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Kansas.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Kentucky.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Louisiana.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Maine.....	2,425	3,375	4,145
Maryland.....	3,901	5,457	6,257
Massachusetts.....	2,593	3,417	4,217
Michigan.....	2,809	3,663	4,433
Minnesota.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Mississippi.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Missouri.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Montana.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Nebraska.....	3,229	4,035	4,805
Nevada.....	2,893	4,143	4,913

State	Individuals	Family ¹ of two	Family ¹ of three
New Hampshire.....	2,713	3,387	4,157
New Jersey.....	2,857	3,567	4,387
New Mexico.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
New York.....	3,157	4,107	4,877
North Carolina.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
North Dakota.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Ohio.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Oklahoma.....	2,605	3,555	4,325
Oregon.....	2,629	3,399	4,169
Pennsylvania.....	2,665	3,555	4,325
Rhode Island.....	2,860	4,011	4,781
South Carolina.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
South Dakota.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Tennessee.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Texas.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Utah.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Vermont:			
Area 1.....	2,773	3,687	4,457
Area 2.....	3,013	3,927	4,697
Virginia.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Washington:			
King, Pierce, Snohomish.....	2,785	3,591	4,361
All other counties.....	2,617	3,267	4,037
West Virginia.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Wisconsin.....	3,265	4,515	5,285
Wyoming.....	2,425	3,195	3,965
Puerto Rico.....	2,425	3,195	3,965

¹ Family refers to a group of two or more persons related by blood, marriage or adoption and residing together.

JOHN L. GANLEY,
Deputy Director.

[FR Doc.75-2230 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

CIVIL SERVICE COMMISSION FEDERAL EMPLOYEES PAY COUNCIL Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, notice is hereby given that the Federal Employees Pay Council will meet at 2 p.m. on Wednesday, February 19, 1975. This meeting will be held in room 5323 of the U.S. Civil Service Commission building, 1900 E. Street, NW., and will consist of continued discussions on the fiscal year 1976 comparability adjustment for the statutory pay systems of the Federal Government.

The Chairman of the U.S. Civil Service Commission is responsible for the making of determinations under section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act as to whether or not meetings of the Federal Employees Pay Council shall be open to the public. He has determined that this meeting will consist of exchanges of opinions and information which, if written, would fall within exemptions (2) or (5) of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). Therefore, this meeting will not be open to the public.

For the President's Agent.

RICHARD H. HALL,
Advisory Committee Management Officer for the President's Agent.

[FR Doc.75-2229 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS NOTICE OF MEETING

JANUARY 17, 1975.

The Commission of Fine Arts will meet on Wednesday, February 19, 1975 at 11:30 a.m. in the Commission offices at 708 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20006 to discuss various public projects affecting the appearance of Wash-

ington, D.C. Inquiries regarding the agenda and requests to submit written or verbal statements should be addressed to Charles H. Atherton, Secretary, Commission of Fine Arts, at the above address.

CHARLES H. ATHERTON,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2242 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

COUNCIL ON ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

List of Statements Received

Environmental impact statements received by the Council on Environmental Quality from January 13 through January 17, 1975. The date of receipt for each statement is noted in the statement summary. Under Council Guidelines the minimum period for public review and comment on draft environmental impact statements is March 10, 1975. The thirty (30) day period for each final statement begins on the day the statement is made available to the Council and to commenting parties.

Copies of individual statements are available for review from the originating agency. Back copies will also be available at cost, from the Environmental Law Institute, 1346 Connecticut Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20036.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Contact: David Ward, Acting Coordinator, Environmental Quality Activities, Office of the Secretary, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Room 331-E, Administration Building, Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-3965.

FOREST SERVICE

Draft

Tongass N.F. Land Use Plan, Alaska, January 15: The statement concerns the implementation of a Land Use Plan prepared for the Tongass National Forest. The Plan divides the over 20 million acres into 13 planning units. Uses and activities include road building, timber harvesting, recreation development, mining, wildlife projects, off-road vehicle use, and others. A large acreage will remain roadless and undeveloped. (ELR Order No. 50076.)

South Fork Salmon River Planning Unit, Boise, Payette Valley Counties, Idaho, January 13: The statement concerns a planning unit containing 348,328 acres, approximately 246,000 of which are within Payette National Forest, 102,328 of which are within Boise National Forest, and 855 acres are in private ownership. The plan sets forth the allocation of lands to resource uses and activities. Minor adverse effects such as temporary air pollution due to burning of residual material after timber harvests are expected (50 pages). (ELR Order No. 50059.)

Sluslaw, Siskiyou, Umpqua N.F.'s, Supplement, Oregon and California, January 13: The statement supplements a final eis which was filed with CEQ on February 25, 1974, and refers to the use of herbicides on the three forests in forest management activities. The chemical agents to be used include 2,4-D, 2,4,5-T, 2,4,5-TP, Amitrole-T, atrazine, picloram, and dicamba. There will be impacts to non-target species and to wildlife. (ELR Order No. 50060.)

Timber Plan, Bighorn National Forest, Wyoming, January 13: The statement refers to a proposed timber plan for the Bighorn National Forest. Over the next ten year pe-

riod, 68,300 acres (28 percent of the suitable and available forest land) will be subject to various timber management activities. The expected annual yield is 54.2 cunits, including 21.9 million board feet of saw timber. There will be impacts to soil, air, water, and visual quality from timber cutting and the requisite road construction. (ELR Order No. 50067.)

Final

Silverleads Planning Unit, Salmon N.F., Lemhi County, Idaho, January 13: The statement refers to the 25,560 acre Silverleads Planning Unit, Lemhi County in the Salmon N.F. The planning area was divided into two management areas. The management areas are further divided into smaller segments called management units, which are separate parcels of land for which management requirements, protection needs, and resource uses are indicated. Adverse impacts will be to soil, water, vegetation, wildlife, scenics, livestock grazing, and roadless area values. Comments made by: AHP, USDA, DOI, EPA, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50058.)

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

Draft

West Fork of Bayou Lacassine Watershed, Jefferson Davis and Calcasieu Parishes, Louisiana, January 13: The statement refers to a watershed protection, flood prevention, and agricultural water management project. Project measures include 83 miles of channel works, land treatment measures, weirs, and pipe drops. There will be adverse impact to air and water quality, and to mature hardwood stands. (ELR Order No. 50066.)

North and South Mill Creek Subwatershed, Grant, Pendleton, Hardy Counties, West Virginia, January 17: Proposed is the construction of 5 single-purpose floodwater retarding dams and one multi-purpose floodwater retarding and recreation dam for purposes of flood control and recreation. The project will inundate 102 acres of farm land and 1.9 miles of stream (114 pages). (ELR Order No. 50090.)

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

Contact: For Non-Regulatory Matters: Mr. W. Herbert Pennington, Office of Assistant General Manager, E-201, AEC, Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-4241. For Regulatory Matters: Mr. A. Giambusso, Deputy Director for Reactor Protects, Directorate of Licensing, P-722, AEC, Washington, D.C. 20545, (301) 973-7373.

Draft

Plutonium Cardiac Pacemakers, January 16: The statement, a generic eis, refers to the proposed authorization of wide-scale use of plutonium powered pacemakers in cardiac patients. The statement outlines design and testing standards for pacemakers. Environmental impacts discussed include the possibility of plutonium release through accidental breach of the pacemaker, and the effects of exposure to the patient, those around him, and those involved in the handling and transport of the instrument. (ELR Order No. 50083.)

Proposed Final

The following statement, as the result of an agreement with CEQ and AEC is to be considered a proposed final. The commenting period on this statement is to be 60 (sixty) days, beginning with this FEDERAL REGISTER notice of availability (January 27, 1975).

Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor, January 16: The statement refers to AEC's administrative action to continue the program to develop Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) technology. Consideration is given to all aspects of the environmental effects

of the LMFBR program, including the postulated nuclear breeder power reactor industry. The LMFBR is expected to have a higher thermal efficiency and lower radiological releases than the current light water plants. The environmental effects of the LMFBR fuel cycle, including fuel fabrication and reprocessing, waste management and transportation, are not expected to differ significantly from light water plants that have a similar uranium-plutonium fuel cycle (seven volumes). Comments made by: USDA, USCG, TVA, HEW, DOT, EPA, DOI, FPC, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50089.)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

ARMY CORPS

Contact: Mr. Francis K. Kelly, Director, Office of Public Affairs, Attn: DAEN-PAP, Office of the Chief of Engineers, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20314, (202) 693-7168.

Draft

Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexico Navigation Channel, Louisiana, January 13: Proposed is the maintenance dredging of the Mermentau River-Gulf of Mexico Navigation Channel to its design cross section, 15 by 100 feet. Also included in the proposal are the maintenance of jetties at the entrance of the channel in the Gulf and the disposal of dredged materials in a manner that would least alter the existing ecological conditions and would create new tidal marsh. Annual dredging would result in destruction of benthic habitat and dredged material dumped on existing disposal banks would destroy vegetation and existing wildlife habitat. (ELR Order No. 50055.)

Yazoo River Basin Flood Control, several counties, Mississippi, January 14: Proposed is the construction of four large levees (already completed), channel modifications, drainage control structures, levees, floodwalls, and pumping plants for the purpose of flood control in the Yazoo River Basin. Previous attempts at channel improvement have resulted in siltation, bank caving, and deterioration of channel efficiency. Approximately 45,000 acres of woodlands, 42,000 acres of cleared lands, and 4,800 acres of channel area would be committed to the project (Vicksburg District). (ELR Order No. 50073.)

Final

Nome Harbor and Seawall, Alaska, January 13: The statement refers to the maintenance and operation of the Nome Harbor and Seawall. Typical maintenance requires the annual dredging of 16,000 to 20,000 cu. yds. of material. Adverse impact would be that resulting to marine organisms (Alaska) (62 pages). Comments made by: DOI, DOC, USCG, EPA, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50091.)

North Fork Feather River, Plumas County, California, January 16: The project concerns the construction of a diversion dam and diversion channel from North Fork Feather River into Lake Almanor to provide flood control protection. A total of 570 acres (336 agricultural and 234 residential) will be lost to the project. Adverse impacts will include loss of wildlife habitat, vegetation and esthetic values (42 pages). Comments made by: EPA, DOI, HEW, USDA, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50090.)

Cahokia Creek Low Dam, Illinois, January 17: The statement refers to a plan which is designed to replace an existing dam which has been damaged beyond engineering economically justifiable repairs. Failure of the existing structure could result in severe scour along the channel, threatening several

bridges and levees. The proposed is a rectangular broad-crested concrete dam with a spillway width of 188 ft. and a spillway basin with the exception of the temporary impacts which result from construction activities (noise, dust, and visual pollution), the only significant adverse impact will be the loss of 20 acres of woodland, which will be revegetated (St. Louis District). Comments made by: EPA, USDA, DOI, HUD, AHP, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50097.)

Marion Local Flood Protection, Kansas, January 14: The project consists of construction of a levee and floodway on Mud Creek and Cottonwood River for flood protection at Marion. The adverse effects resulting from the project include purchase of 3 home sites, increased flooding on some lands outside of the protected area, and alteration of the ground characteristics and vegetation on 110 acres of land required for the levee and diversion channel. There will also be temporary inconvenience to area inhabitants during construction (Tulsa District). Comments made by: DOI, EPA, HUD, DOT, USDA, DOC, HEW, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50070.)

Onaga Lake, Pottawatomie County, Kansas, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed construction of Onaga Lake, a multi-purpose lake project on Vermillion Creek. At the top of the multi-purpose pool the lake will have a surface area of 5,320 acres; at the top of the flood control pool the lake will have a surface area of 10,600 acres. The project will result in the inundation of 17 miles of stream; 12,210 acres of land will be purchased in fee simple for project purposes. Adverse impact will include the inundation of wildlife habitat and stream fishery; the displacement of people; effects to the agricultural economy; and loss of archeological and historical sites (Kansas City District). Comments made by: USDA, HUD, DOI, USCG, DOT, EPA, AHP, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50086.)

Hurricane Protection, New Orleans to Venice, Louisiana, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed enlargement of 36 miles of levee from City Price to Venice, and the construction of 16 miles of new levee from Phoenix to Bchemia, in order to provide protection from hurricane-induced flooding. Approximately 8,500 acres will be used for temporary ponding and 1,200 acres will be required for right-of-way; much of this land is estuarine marsh. Comments made by: DOI, EPA, USDA, DOC, DOT, HEW, AHP, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50082.)

Modification of Ludington Harbor and Channel, Michigan, January 14: The project involves the widening of Ludington Harbor breakwater entrance, inner channel, and inner harbor turning area, and deepening of the channel and turning area to depth of 27 to 29 feet. Dredged sand will be distributed south of the harbor in shallow, near shore waters parallel to the Buttersville bar and south adjoining bluffs. Adverse impacts include the removal of 5 acres of developable Pere Marquette Lake shore zone, loss of .75 acres of aquatic bottom land, and temporary effects such as construction, noise turbidity, and increased traffic. Comments made by: USDA, DOC, DOI, EPA, HUD, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50071.)

South Branch, Wild Rice River Flood Protection, Norman and Clay Counties, Minnesota, January 14: The proposed project involves the construction of local flood protection works, including channel modification, levees, and inlet modifications, on certain reaches of the South Branch Wild Rice River and Felton Ditch. Also included is the implementation of a wildlife corridor plan. A total of 314 acres of land will be committed to project measures; 6.5 miles of natural stream would be lost (St. Paul District).

Comments made by: AHP, DOI, USDA, USCG, FPC, EPA. (ELR Order No. 50074.)

Navigation Project, Davis, Carteret County, North Carolina, January 13: The statement refers to the proposed construction and maintenance of a deeper navigation project at Davis. Construction consists of deepening the existing project by 2 feet to provide a channel 7 feet deep, 75 feet wide, and 3,500 material in diked areas (34 pages). Comments made by: AEC, USDA, HEW, USCG, EPA, DOI, State and local agencies, and one citizens group. (ELR Order No. 50062.)

Candy Lake, Candy Creek, Osage County, Oklahoma, January 17: The statement refers to the proposed Candy Lake located in Osage County on Candy Creek. Project purposes are flood control, water supply, recreation, and fish and wildlife. The project consists of an earth dam, a reinforced concrete outlet works, an uncontrolled spillway, and project buildings and access roads. Adverse impacts are the inundation of 2,170 acres of land and 7.5 miles of Candy Creek, displacement of pipelines, powerlines and telephone lines, and relocation of 8 families (Tulsa District). Comments made by: EPA, HUD, DOI, DOT, USDA, AHP, HEW, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50096.)

Catherine Creek Dam and Lake, Union County, Oregon, January 16: The statement refers to the construction of an earth-fill dam on Catherine Creek, 2.9 miles of road relocation, and a fish hatchery. The purposes of the project are those of flood control, irrigation, recreation, anadromous fish enhancement, and water supply. Approximately 2300 acres will be acquired for the project. Adverse effects will include the loss of 2.5 miles of natural stream bed and an unspecified amount of land to be inundated. Water quality of the stream will be affected, and the acquisition of 480 acres of land for deer and elk will be necessary to compensate for the loss of big game winter range. Comments made by: EPA, USDA, DOT, FPC, DOC, DOI, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50085.)

Cooper River Rediversion Project, South Carolina, January 15: The statement refers to the construction of a channel to divert water from the Cooper River to the Santee River Basin to relieve shoaling problems in Charleston Harbor. The project will also include construction of a hydropower plant, fish hatchery, and fish lift. Adverse impacts include decreased biological productivity in Cooper River, land requirements for the diversion canal and disposal site, potential destruction of archeological sites, and turbidity and sedimentation during construction (235 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOC, DOT, DOI, EPA, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50078.)

Little Goose Lock and Dam, Whitman, Columbia, Garfield Counties, Washington, January 16: The statement refers to the existing Little Goose Lock and Dam Project, a navigation-hydroelectric project on the Snake River. Project measures include a navigation lock, a 3 unit hydroelectric spillway dam, and a 10,025 acre lake. The proposed action is the addition of 3 power units, and the continued operation and management of the project. Impacts discussed in the statement relate to recreational uses, navigation, wildlife habitat management, the operation of fish passage facilities, and the production of electric power (Walla Walla District) (200 pages). Comments made by: FPC, EPA, USCG, DOC, USDA, DOI, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50079.)

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Contact: Mr. Sheldon Meyers, Director, Office of Federal Activities, Room 3630 Waterside Mall, Washington, D.C. 20460, (202) 755-0940.

Final

Palmer Lake Sanitation District, Colorado, January 13: Proposed is the construction of an Interceptor sewer and a wastewater treatment plant (0.84 mgd capacity) to serve the Palmer Lake and Monument Sanitation Districts and the Woodmor Water and Sanitation District. A total of 21,000 feet of Interceptor has already been constructed. The facility would consist of two aerated lagoons, a polishing pond, and a chlorination system; effluent would be discharged to Monument Creek. The new facility would assure adequate sewage treatment for future area population growth. Comments made by: USDA, HEW, HUD, DOI, State and local agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50055.)

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

Contact: Mr. Andrew E. Kauders, Executive Director of Environmental Affairs, General Services Administration, 18th and F Streets NW., Washington, D.C. 20405, (202) 343-4161.

Final

Social Security Admin. Facilities, Baltimore, Baltimore County, Maryland, January 14: Proposed is the consolidation of Social Security Administration office and data processing facilities at two sites, one adjacent to SSA headquarters in Woodlawn, the other, Metro West, in the Orchard-Biddle Neighborhood Development Project in Baltimore. The former facility will comprise 1,265,270 sq. ft., the later 1,156,200, including 402,500 sq. ft. for parking (two volumes). Comments made by: HUD, OEO, OMB, GSA, DOT, DOI, AHF, (ELR Order No. 50072.)

DEPARTMENT OF HUD

Contact: Mr. Elchard H. Broun, Acting Director, Office of Environmental Quality, Room 7206, 451 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20410, (202) 755-6295.

Draft

Potter Urban Renewal Project, Middlesex County, New Jersey, January 17: The Township of Edison Housing Authority proposes to erect 866 units of low and moderate income housing on a 177 acre vacant, wooded site in North Edison, adjacent to an existing 90 unit Public Housing Project. The project would result in removal of vegetation and reduction of air and water quality. (ELR Order No. 50095.)

DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

Contact: Mr. Bruce Blanchard, Director, Environmental Project Review, Room 7260, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240, (202) 343-3891.

Draft

Development, Crow Reservation and Ceded Area, Big Horn and Treasure Counties, Montana, January 16: The statement refers to the probable impacts of two assumed levels of coal development on the Crow Indian Reservation and the Ceded Area. The lower level of development would result in the export of 20,000,000 tons of coal annually from three mines. The higher level of development would result in the mining of 90,000,000 tons annually from three mines, plus three mining complexes and the use of some coal in three conversion plants. There will be impacts on or from: air quality; wildlife habitats; historic and archeological resources; water consumption and water quality; the land surface; the socio-political structure; recreational uses. (ELR Order No. 50081.)

Final

Proposed Illamna National Resource Range, Alaska, January 16: The statement refers to

the proposed legislative designation of 2.8 million acres of public lands in the Lake Illamna Nushagak River area of Alaska as the Illamna National Resource Range. It is also proposed that a 47-mile segment of the Alagnak River be designated as a component of the National Wild and Scenic River System. The Bureau of Land Management would have primary responsibility for implementation of the land-use plan related to the action, with assistance coming from the Bureau of Sports Fisheries and Wildlife. Mineral development, except for valid existing rights, will be under the discretionary control of the Federal Government (629 pages). Comments made by: USDA, COE, DOC, EPA, FPC, DOT, DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50088.)

BUREAU OF OUTDOOR RECREATION**Draft**

Huron Clinton Land Acquisition, Washtenaw County, Michigan, January 13: The statement refers to the proposed use of Land and Water Conservation Fund Assistance for the acquisition by the Huron Clinton Metropolitan Authority of 3501 acres of land. The land would be used for intensive, multiple activity, daytime recreational use focused around a 618 acre impoundment on Mill Creek. The action will necessitate the relocation of 44 families and the reconstruction of roads and utilities. (ELR Order No. 50054.)

BUREAU OF RECLAMATION**Draft**

Columbia Basin Project, several counties, Washington, January 17: The statement concerns the construction of the Third Powerplant at Grand Coulee Dam and the extension of an irrigation system (now 50% complete) to provide irrigation for an additional 577,000 acres. The Grand Coulee Third Powerplant could accommodate additional powerplant capacity for peaking operations of at least 7,500 megawatts. (ELR Order No. 50098.)

BUREAU OF SPORT FISHERIES AND WILDLIFE**Final**

Cape Romain National Wildlife Refuge, Charleston County, South Carolina, January 15: The statement refers to the proposed designation of 28,000 acres of the Cape Romain Wildlife Refuge as wilderness within the National Wilderness Preservation System. Comments made by: EPA, COE, USDA, DOT, DOC, DOI, State agencies. (ELR Order No. 50077.)

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE**Final**

Proposed Yukon-Charley National Rivers, Alaska, January 16: The statement refers to the proposed Congressional designation of 2.23 million acres of lands and waters along the upper Yukon River as Yukon-Charley National Rivers, in order to protect the nationally significant natural, historic and archeological values. The Rivers would be administered by the National Park Service, and would be studied for possible inclusion in the National Wilderness Preservation System. The land in the Charley River basin would be closed to mining and mineral entry; mining would be permitted on the Yukon portion on a permit and lease system (included in the statement is a discussion of a conceptual master plan for management.) (669 pages). Comments made by: USDA, DOC, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, DOT, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50087.)

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Contact: Mr. Martin Convisser, Director, Office of Environmental Affairs, 400 7th Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20590, (202) 426-4357.

FEDERAL HIGHWAY ADMINISTRATION**Draft**

Columbus and Thomaston Roads, Widening, Bibb County, Georgia, January 17: Proposed is the widening of Columbus Road from the intersection of Columbus and Thomaston Roads (S.R. 22 and S.R. 74) easterly to a point east of Dempsey Avenue and the widening of Thomaston Road from I 475 to its intersection with Columbia Road. As many as three residences and two businesses will be displaced by the project (164 pages). (ELR Order No. 50091.)

Vista Avenue-Ridenbaugh Canal-U.S. 30 Connection, Ada County, Idaho, January 13: The proposed project consists of improving the existing Vista Avenue-Federal Way (U.S. 30) connection in Boise City, Idaho, including the improvement of three intersections, realignment of through routes, and widening rights-of-way to provide for the projected increase in through traffic flow. Adverse impacts include the displacement of families and businesses, construction disruption and the gradual conversion of residential land to commercial use. A 4(f) statement is included concerning Platt Gardens Park. (ELR Order No. 50057.)

Freeway 592, Polk and Warren Counties, Iowa, January 17: Proposed is the construction of Freeway 592 in Polk and Warren Counties, Iowa. The alternatives range from the upgrading of an existing road, requiring 27 additional acres to construction of a new facility, requiring as much as 690 acres. Dislocation of families and farms depends upon the alternatives chosen. (ELR Order No. 50093.)

S.R. 3028, Rapides Parish, Louisiana, January 17: Proposed is the construction of a 5.6 mile segment of State Route LA 3026 between the municipalities of Tioga and Timber Trails just north of Alexandria. The four-lane controlled access facility will displace 15 families and one business and will require the commitment of 215 acres of residential and forest land to roadway use. (ELR Order No. 50092.)

Loop 1, Austin, Travis County, Texas, January 13: The statement refers to the construction of a 4.3 mile section of Loop 1 (Mo Pac Boulevard) from R.M. Highway 2244 southeast to the City of Austin, south to US 290 west in Travis County. The project will displace one business and will take 315 acres of undeveloped land. There will be construction disruption (248 pages). (ELR Order No. 50065.)

SH 6 Bypass, Falls County, Texas, January 16: Proposed is the construction of the SH 6 bypass, from 3.0 miles south of Marlin to 2.0 miles north of the city. Total length of the four-lane facility will be approximately five miles. Construction of the project will result in the taking of right-of-way and the displacement of twelve residences and businesses (51 pages). (ELR Order No. 50084.)

Final

I-5, Santa Ana Freeway, Broadway Interchange, Orange County, California, January 13: Proposed is the improvement of the interchange of I-5 at Main Street and the construction of a new overpass at Broadway. Twelve businesses and 19 families will be displaced. A 4(f) statement is included concerning 5000 square feet of required right-of-way. Comments made by: DOT, DOI, HUD, COE, EPA, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50064.)

I-90, Big Timber to Greycliff, Sweetgrass County, Montana, January 13: Proposed is the construction of a 4-lane, 10.5 mile segment of I-90. The project will require approximately 3.5 acres of "Fireman's Island", which although belonging to the City of Big Timber is not a city park. Construction disruption will result (105 pages). Comments

made by: USDA, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, EPA, State agencies and one local agency. (ELR Order No. 50041.)

New Hampshire Rte. 175, Woodstock, Grafton County, New Hampshire, January 15: The statement refers to the proposed relocation of a 1.2 mile segment of New Hampshire Route 175 in the town of Woodstock. The new two lane facility will necessitate the acquisition of 2.5 acres of land. Other adverse impacts include increased traffic noise levels, temporarily increased siltation levels in the Pemigewasset River during construction, and the loss of wildlife habitat (104 pages). Comments made by: USDA, USCG, COE, HEW, HUD, DOI, EPA, (ELR Order No. 50075.)

Rte. 106, Merrimack County, New Hampshire, January 17: The statement refers to the improvement of 3.8 miles of N.H. Route 106 in the town of Loudon, Merrimack County. The new segment will have two lanes, 2 new bridges over the Soucook River, and six intersections. Adverse impacts include acquisition of 105 acres of land, relocation of 6 families and 1 business, loss of wetlands, and a temporary increase in the level of the Soucook River during construction. There will also be the normal temporary negative impacts associated with highway construction. Comments made by: EPA, HEW, HUD, DOI, FPC, USDA, USCG, State agencies, and concerned citizens. (ELR Order No. 50094.)

US 75 and Spur 503, Grayson County, Texas, January 14: The statement refers to construction of a 14.1 mile section of US 75, beginning at Travis St. in Sherman and ending at the Texas-Oklahoma line at Red River. Also included in the project is a 1.7 mile section of Spur 503 connecting proposed US 75 to existing US 75 and SR 75-A north of Iron Ore Creek. Both US 75 and Spur 503 are to be developed on new location to a multi-lane divided freeway design. Adverse impacts are the taking of additional grass and wooded areas (exact acreage not specified), and the displacement of 89 families, 11 businesses and 3 oil wells. Comments made by: DOT, HEW, HUD, COE, USDA, DOI, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50069.)

State Trunk Highway 59, Waukesha and Milwaukee Counties, Wisconsin, January 13: Proposed construction of 8.1 miles of 2-lane S.H. 59. Thirty-one residences will be displaced by the action and an unspecified number of acres taken. A 4(f) statement will be filed as land would be taken from a local park. Comments made by: HEW, DOI, HUD, EPA, OEO, State and local agencies. (ELR Order No. 50063.)

State Trunk Highway 28, Sheboygan Co., Wisconsin, January 13: Proposed is the construction, on new location, of 4.8 miles of State Trunk Highway 28, from Sheboygan Falls to the city of Sheboygan. Some additional land will be required for right-of-way, and a number of homes will be displaced (115 pages). Comments made by: EPA, HUD, HEW, DOI, State and local agencies, and citizens organizations. (ELR Order No. 50068.)

GARY L. WIDMAN,
General Counsel.

[FR Doc.75-2232 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ENERGY RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT ADMINISTRATION

OFFICIAL SEAL
Notice of Adoption
Correction

In FR Doc. 75-1887 appearing on page 3242 of the issue for Monday, January 20, 1975, the effective date, now reading "January 9, 1975", should read "January 19, 1975".

FEDERAL HOME LOAN BANK BOARD

[H. C. 184]

BASS FINANCIAL CORP.

Receipt of Application for Permission To Purchase the Assets of Potomac Building and Loan Association

JANUARY 20, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Savings and Loan Insurance Corporation has received an application from Bass Financial Corporation, Norridge, Illinois, a multiple savings and loan holding company, for approval of a bulk purchase of the assets of Potomac Building and Loan Association, Potomac, Illinois, an uninsured institution, under the provisions of section 408(e) of the National Housing Act, as amended (12 U.S.C. 1730a(e)), and § 584.4 of the regulations for Savings and Loan Holding Companies. Comments on the proposed acquisition should be submitted to the Director, Holding Companies Section, Office of Examinations and Supervision, Federal Home Loan Bank Board, Washington, D.C. 20552, on or before February 24, 1975.

[SEAL] GRENVILLE L. MILLARD, JR.,
Assistant Secretary,
Federal Home Loan Bank Board.

[FR Doc.75-2233 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

GENERAL ACCOUNTING OFFICE

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Receipt of Regulatory Report Proposals

The following requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public were received by the Regulatory Reports Review Staff, GAO, on January 17, 1975. See 44 U.S.C. 3512(c) & (d). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public of such receipt.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number, if applicable; and the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected.

Further information about the items on this list may be obtained from the Regulatory Reports Review Officer, 202-376-5425.

FEDERAL TRADE COMMISSION

Request for review and clearance of a new single-time FTC survey—Used Car Study. 54 state and territorial transportation officials will be required to provide information on used vehicle inspection programs and vehicle component degradation. The estimated average burden is 2 to 3 man-hours per response.

CARL F. BOGAR,
Regulatory Reports Review.

[FR Doc. 75-2264 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL ADVISORY COUNCIL ON SUPPLEMENTARY CENTERS AND SERVICES

COMMITTEE AND PROJECT REPORTS

Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to Pub. L. 92-463, that the next meeting of the National Advisory Council on Supplementary Centers and Services will be held on February 20 and 21, 1975, from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. at the Sheraton Harbor Island Hotel, 1380 Harbor Island Drive, San Diego, California.

The National Advisory Council on Supplementary Centers and Services is established under section 309 or Pub. L. 91-230. The Council is directed to advise the President and the Congress concerning the operation of Title III of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act.

Agenda items for the meeting will include: (1) an on-site visit to the Multi-age Grouping in Early Childhood Education project, Kenneth Tensell, Project Director, Belle Benchley Elementary School, 7202 Princess View Drive, San Diego, California 92120; (2) member reports on ESEA Title III project visitations since the last Council meeting; (3) recommendations and last chapter of Drop-Out Prevention report; (4) review and revision of Council's operational budget; (5) project report from Sam Kerman, Project Director, Equal Opportunity in the Classroom, Los Angeles County Education Center, 9300 E. Imperial Highway, Downey, California 90242; (6) review of Council's role in co-sponsoring the ESEA Title III annual meeting; (7) progress reports on the annual report and the Quarterlies on the handicapped and educational technology; (8) discussion of report on the non-public schools; and (9) report from the Committee on Special Concerns, Dr. William Harvey, Chairman.

The meeting of the Council shall be open to the public. Records shall be kept of all Council proceedings and shall be available for public inspection at the office of the Council's Executive Director, located in Suite 529, 425 13th Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on January 20, 1975.

GERALD J. KLUEMPKE,
Executive Director.

[FR Doc.75-2253 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL AERONAUTICS AND SPACE ADMINISTRATION

[Notice (75-3)]

NASA RESEARCH AND TECHNOLOGY ADVISORY COUNCIL COMMITTEE ON GUIDANCE, CONTROL AND INFORMATION SYSTEMS

Notice of Meeting

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems will meet on February 19-20, 1975, at the NASA Ames Research Center, Moffett Field, California 94035. The meeting will

be held in Conference Room 217 of Building 200. Members of the public will be admitted on a first-come, first-served basis, up to the seating capacity of the room, which is about 25 persons. All visitors must report to the Ames Research Center Receptionist in Building 200.

The NASA Research and Technology Advisory Council, Committee on Guidance, Control and Information Systems serves in an advisory capacity only. The current Chairman is Dr. Barry W. Boehm. There are 12 members. The following list sets forth the approved agenda and schedule for the February 19-20, 1975, meeting of the Guidance, Control and Information Systems Committee. For further information, please contact Dr. Peter R. Kurzhals, Area Code 202 755-3225

FEBRUARY 19, 1975

Time	Topic
8:30 a.m.	Report of the chairman (Purpose: To summarize issues and actions taken at the November 1974 meeting of the Research and Technology Advisory Council).
9:00 a.m.	Report of the Executive Secretary (Purpose: To brief the Committee on recent changes in the NASA organization and on the status of guidance, control, and information systems programs).
9:30 a.m.	Software Action Plan Review (Purpose: To obtain Committee comments on a plan for improving coordination and management of software research in NASA).
11:00 a.m.	Report on Outlook for Space Technology Forecast (Purpose: To brief the Committee on the organization, status and plans of the Working Group which developed the technology forecast document).
1:00 p.m.	Critique of the Technology Forecast Document (Purpose: To obtain Committee views and detailed comments on the forecasts of technology related to guidance, control and information systems).

FEBRUARY 20, 1975

8:30 a.m.	Review of New Initiatives (Purpose: To brief the Committee on proposed new systems technology program and obtain their comments and recommendations).
-----------	---

Time	Topic
10:30 a.m.	Committee Discussions and Recommendations (Purpose: To summarize Committee findings and recommendations and identify critical issues to be studied at subsequent meetings).
12 Noon	Adjournment.

Boyd C. Myers, II,
Assistant Associate Administrator for Organization and Management, National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

JANUARY 20, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2204 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

MUSEUM ADVISORY PANEL

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463), notice is hereby given that closed meetings of the Museum Advisory Panel to the National Endowment for the Arts will be held at 9 a.m.-5 p.m. on February 10, 1975; February 13-14, 1975; February 20, 1975 in the 11th floor Museum area, Columbia Plaza, 2104 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

These meetings are for the purpose of Panel review, discussion, evaluation, and recommendation on applications for financial assistance under the National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities Act of 1965, as amended, including discussion of information given in confidence to the agency by grant applicants. In accordance with the determination of the Chairman published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of January 10, 1973, these meetings, which involve matters exempt from the requirements of public disclosure under the provisions of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(4), (5)), will not be open to the public.

Further information with reference to these meetings can be obtained from Mrs. Luna Diamond, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call (202) 634-7144.

Edward M. Wolfe,
Administrative Officer, National Endowment for the Arts, National Foundation on the Arts and the Humanities.

[FR Doc.75-2387 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

ADVISORY PANEL FOR ASTRONOMY

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is

hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Panel for Astronomy to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10-11, 1975, in room 338 at the National Science Foundation, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this panel is to provide advice and recommendations concerning research proposals and projects in astronomy and to advise the Foundation of the impact of its research support programs on the scientific community in astronomy.

The agenda for this meeting will include:

FEBRUARY 10—MORNING SESSION (9 A.M.—12 NOON)

Summary Discussion of FY 76 Budget for Astronomy Long-range Plans.

AFTERNOON SESSION (1-5 P.M.)

Solar Astronomy Review.

FEBRUARY 11—MORNING SESSION (9 A.M.—12 NOON)

Reports by NSF Staff on Former Panel Concerns Theoretical Physics and Astrophysics Computational Facilities.

AFTERNOON SESSION (1-5 P.M.)

Future Trends in Astronomy.

This meeting will be open to the public but limited to 10 observers who may make written suggestions following the meeting. Individuals who wish to attend should contact Ms. Mary Saffell, Secretary to the Head of the Astronomy Section, Rm. 305, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-4196, no later than February 7.

For further information about this Panel, please contact Dr. Robert Fleischer, Head, Astronomy Section, at the above address. Summary minutes of this meeting may be obtained from the Management Analysis Office, Rm. 720-K, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550.

Fred K. Murakami,
Committee Management Officer.

JANUARY 20, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2218 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ADVISORY PANEL FOR ENVIRONMENTAL BIOLOGY

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory Panel for Environmental Biology to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10 and 11, 1975, in room 517, 1800 G Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this Panel is to provide advice and recommendations as part of the review and evaluation process for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Panel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual

research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Science Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463.

For further information about this Panel, please contact Dr. John L. Brooks, Program Director for General Ecology, Rm. 331, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-7324.

FRED K. MURAKAMI,
Committee Management Officer.

JANUARY 20, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2219 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ADVISORY PANEL ON SCIENCE EDUCATION PROJECTS

Notice of Subpanel Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Energy-Related Graduate Traineeships Evaluation Subpanel to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10 and 11, 1975 in room 464, 5225 Wisconsin Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

The purpose of this Subpanel is to provide advice and recommendations as part of the review and evaluation process for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Subpanel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries, and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Science Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463.

For further information about this Subpanel, please contact Mr. Joseph Danek, Program Manager, Fellowships and Traineeships Section, Rm. 464, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/282-7595.

FRED K. MURAKAMI,
Committee Management Officer.

JANUARY 20, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2220 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

ADVISORY PANEL FOR SYSTEMATIC BIOLOGY

Notice of Meeting

Pursuant to the Federal Advisory Committee Act (P.L. 92-463), notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Advisory

Panel for Systematic Biology to be held at 9 a.m. on February 10 and 11, 1975, at the Fairchild Tropical Garden, 10901 Cutler Road, Miami, Florida.

The purpose of this Panel is to provide advice and recommendations for specific proposals and projects.

This meeting will not be open to the public because the Panel will be reviewing, discussing, and evaluating individual research proposals. These proposals contain information of a proprietary or confidential nature, including technical information; financial data, such as salaries; and personal information concerning individuals associated with the proposals. These matters are within the exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552(b). The closing of this meeting is in accordance with the determination by the Director of the National Science Foundation dated December 17, 1973, pursuant to the provisions of section 10(d) of P.L. 92-463.

For further information about this Panel please contact Dr. H. Jack Schultz, Program Director, Systematic Biology, Program, Rm. 331, National Science Foundation, Washington, D.C. 20550, telephone 202/632-5846.

FRED K. MURAKAMI,
Committee Management Officer.

JANUARY 20, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2221 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

LIQUID METAL FAST BREEDER REACTOR PROGRAM

Notice of Availability of Proposed Final Environmental Statement

Notice is hereby given by the Atomic Energy Commission that the General Manager has issued a Proposed Final Environmental Statement on the Liquid Metal Fast Breeder Reactor (LMFBR) program and has solicited written comments on it during the sixty (60) days following publication by the Council on Environmental Quality of the Statement's availability in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Copies of the Proposed Final Environmental Statement will be placed in the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20545, as well as in the Commission's Albuquerque Operations Office, P.O. Box 5400, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87115; Chicago Operations Office, 9500 South Cass Avenue, Argonne, Illinois 60439; Idaho Operations Office, 550 Second Street, Idaho Falls, Idaho 83401; Oak Ridge Operations Office, Federal Building, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830; Richland Operations Office, Federal Building, Richland, Washington 99352; Nevada Operations Office, P.O. Box 14100, Las Vegas, Nevada 89114; San Francisco Operations Office, 1333 Broadway, Oakland, California 94612; and Savannah River Operations Office, Savannah River Plant, Aiken, South Carolina 29801.

Single copies of the Proposed Final Environmental Statement have been sent to all who commented on the draft.

Others, who wish to comment within the comment period ending March 25, 1975, may obtain single copies by addressing their request to W. H. Pennington, Office of the Assistant General Manager for Biomedical and Environmental Research and Safety Programs, U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545. Comments should be sent to the same address.

The Draft LMFBR Program Environmental Statement was issued on March 14, 1974 (39 FR 9692). Public comments were also solicited prior to the issuance of this Draft Statement (38 FR 27540). Additionally, being intent on affording the public a meaningful opportunity to express their views, the Commission held a legislative-type public hearing on the Draft Statement on April 25-26, 1974.

The Proposed Final Statement enlarges the examination of the matters dealt with in the Draft Statement and sets forth and considers the submitted views of the Environmental Protection Agency, other Government agencies, environmental groups, industrial organizations, and other commenters. The Proposed Final Statement has undergone internal reviews by an interdisciplinary committee of senior AEC personnel not involved in the Statement's preparation as well as by several outside consultants.

Ordinarily, this document would have been considered by the Commission to determine whether it should be issued in its present, or some modified, form as a Final Environmental Statement. In view, however, of the imminent assumption of AEC's research and development functions by the Energy Research and Development Administration (ERDA), the AEC has determined that it would be appropriate for the Administrator of ERDA to consider this document in proposed form prior to concluding the NEPA review process on the LMFBR research and development program. More specifically, the Commission has recommended to the Administrator that he review the Proposed Final Statement, as well as the views and comments received on it, and determine whether it, along with a supplementary document embodying those views and comments, should be adopted as ERDA's Final Environmental Statement on the LMFBR research and development program.

Adoption of the foregoing course of action is not required by NEPA. Nevertheless, in embarking upon it, the AEC considered it appropriate to determine whether or not during this further period of review—estimated to extend through the balance of FY 1975—planned LMFBR program activities should continue. With respect to the LMFBR Base Program, it is planned during this period to continue analytical, experimental and proof-testing activities at AEC laboratories and contractor sites as well as to continue design, construction and operation of test facilities. Principal facilities presently under design and construction are the Fast Flux Test Facility, the Sodium Pump Test Facility, the Fuel Element Failure Propagation Loop System, the Large

Leak Test Rig, the Sodium Component Test Facility, and the Component Handling and Cleaning Facility. Those principal facilities which will continue to be operated are the Liquid Metal Engineering Center, the Experimental Breeder Reactor—II, the Zero Power Plutonium Reactors, the High Temperature Sodium Facility, the Tower Shielding Facility, the Transient Reactor Test Facility, and the Hot Fuel Examination Facility. Based upon annualized averages, it is presently estimated that expenditures for the activities described in this paragraph during this further period of review will not exceed \$50 million per month.

With respect to the Clinch River Breeder Reactor Project (CRBRP), the Government and industrial participants, during this further period of review, will continue system and component design and will continue to order long-lead material and components. There will be no site clearance or construction activity at the CRBRP site. The components scheduled to be ordered during this period are the reactor vessel, the reactor vessel closure head, the reactor guard vessel, the reactor containment building vessel, three (3) intermediate heat exchangers, the turbine generator, twenty-one (21) primary control rod drive mechanisms, seven (7) heat transport system pumps, three (3) heat transport system valves, six (6) pump and intermediate heat exchanger guard vessels, seven (7) large sodium tanks, ten (10) steam generator modules, the condenser, non-sodium valves, and other related components. This would involve estimated average monthly CRBRP expenditures for the period of further review of about \$8 million.

It will be during this period of further review that the FY 1976 request will be made for authorization of appropriations for further expenditures on the Base Program and the CRBRP at planned levels which are commensurate with the conduct of the above-described work in these areas.

In undertaking its examination with respect to the continuation of LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during the period of further review, AEC considered and balanced the same factors it focused on in connection with the following Program and Project Determinations previously announced during earlier periods of the NEPA review:

Project Determination—July 24, 1973 (38 FR 19853)

Project Supplemental Determination—February 26, 1974 (39 FR 7478)

Program Determination—March 27, 1974 (39 FR 11326)

These factors are:

1. Whether it is likely that the continuation of LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during the further period of NEPA review will give rise to a significant adverse impact on the environment; the nature and extent of this impact, if any; and whether redress of any adverse environmental impact can reasonably be effected should modification, suspension or termination of Base

Program and/or CRBRP activities result from the NEPA review.

2. Whether continuation of the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during this further period of review would foreclose subsequent adoption of alternatives.

3. The effect of any delay in the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP upon the public interest.

4. Whether the additional commitment of resources to the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the prospective review period might affect the eventual decision reached on the NEPA review.

The results of AEC's examination are set forth in the following findings:

1. *Continuation of the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during the further period of review is not expected to give rise to any significant adverse impact on the environment.* Continuation of the LMFBR Base Program during the balance of FY 1975 will not lead to significant releases of radioactive or nonradioactive air and water pollutants. Releases will be small compared to releases due to other activities at various AEC program sites, and will be insignificant compared to normal industrial activities. All applicable Federal and state air and water quality standards will be met by such releases. Any potential construction impact will be minimized by preventive measures employed during construction. Redress of such environmental impact as will result from construction can be readily achieved and the environment can be returned to original surface contours.

With regard to the CRBRP, system and component design and the long-lead procurement activities will involve design, materials-forming and component manufacturing activities that will be conducted within existing offsite facilities. These activities will constitute a negligible increment to the existing work at these facilities. None of these activities will have any direct environmental impact at the CRBRP site.

2. *Subsequent adoption of alternatives—including reorientation, delay or termination—would not be foreclosed by continuation of the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the further period of review.* The LMFBR Base Program is a long term research and development undertaking which will require much additional time and resources to bring the LMFBR concept to a point where it can be considered to be a commercially viable energy option. The contract work to be performed on the LMFBR Base Program and the system and component design work for the CRBRP during this further period of review is a continuation of prior work and all these contracts are terminable by the Government at any time.

Federal Government FY 1975 funds allocated to energy research and development are estimated to be about \$1.6 billion. AEC's portion of this is \$803 million. Of that amount about \$356 million is assigned to energy research and de-

velopment in other than LMFBR development. This is an increase of more than \$105 million by comparison with the FY 1974 budget for AEC research and development on energy systems other than LMFBR. The Federal Government has no intention of restricting work on any other reactor or energy concept as a result of proceeding with LMFBR activities.

The planned CRBRP procurement activities will be directed only to the purchase of such long-lead time items as reactor components and related materials, the designs of which are well established and the manufacturing period for which is relatively long. These components would not be significantly changed by consideration of plant design alternatives relating to such major factors as plant power level, refueling options, and primary pump location. Moreover, if it were decided as a result of the NEPA review that further pursuit of the LMFBR program were to be deferred or discontinued, procurement of those items not yet delivered could be terminated and other use of or sale for scrap arranged for any deliverable materials, with relatively small dollar penalty. It is estimated that if such a decision were reached by July 1, 1975, the dollar penalty which might result from discontinuance of these procurement activities would be about \$15 million of Government and utility funds.

Finally, it should be emphasized that issuance of any construction permit for the CRBRP would be preceded by numerous technical reviews, another environmental statement on the Plant, and a hearing on safety and environmental matters in which interested persons may participate. Provision is also made by regulation and statute for further reviews of any decision to construct, and judicial review at the behest of any party to the construction permit proceeding. The industrial participants in the CRBRP expend their own funds at the financial risk that the outcome of the NEPA review or of the licensing process itself may require some alternative course—including project termination. Cf. *Coalition for Safe Nuclear Power v. AEC, et al.*, 463 F.2d 954.

3. *The public interest would be adversely affected by not continuing the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP activities during this further period of review since it could result in compromising Base Program and CRBRP viability by the loss of key management, scientific and engineering personnel and would result in delay in realizing the availability of a key energy option for the Nation, with significant adverse consequences in terms of increased Base Program and CRBRP costs and substantial dollar penalties in higher costs of electricity.* In AEC's earlier findings in regard to its interim LMFBR Base Program and Project reviews, it was noted that in assessing the wisdom of delaying the LMFBR program (continuation of which would be contingent on the outcome of the NEPA review), AEC could not blind itself to a

number of compelling national interest considerations. The development of viable energy options for the 1980's and beyond is a matter of vital national concern. The soundness of the domestic economy, the Nation's position in international trade (including, particularly, its balance of payments), the country's nondependence on foreign sources for energy supplies—indeed, the health and welfare of the American people—are directly affected by the availability of adequate means for meeting our overall energy needs during the remainder of this century.¹ The need for this country to have the domestic capability to meet both its near and longer term energy requirements has been strongly emphasized by recent and continuing events regarding the Middle East.

While many of these considerations cannot be quantified in dollar costs alone, it is worth noting that, if the LMFBR program does go forward and succeed, a one-year delay in the commercial availability of the breeder reactor could impose upon the U.S. economy a substantial dollar penalty in higher costs of electricity and increased environmental impact from extended use of less environmentally-compatible power generation facilities.²

Additionally, it should be reiterated that while a number of technological, environmental and other problems require treatment in connection with any successful demonstration of the breeder concept, availability of the breeder reactor as an alternative energy option would have special significance in regard to conservation of the Nation's fuel resources. Current-type light water reactors utilize less than 2 percent of the available energy from the uranium fuel which they burn. The LMFBR could utilize more than 50 percent of the total energy from uranium and thus extend the usefulness of domestic uranium reserves from decades to centuries.³

Moreover, the basic framework has now been established for all elements of the LMFBR program. A highly dedicated group of managers, scientists and engineers—both in Government and industry—has been brought together to work on the Base Program and CRBRP. A decision to delay or significantly curtail the Base Program and CRBRP during this

further period of review would essentially destroy the framework that has been established and would result in the loss of key management, engineering and scientific personnel in the participating organizations. It is estimated that approximately 17,000 people are engaged in LMFBR activities funded by AEC. About one-fourth of these are management, scientific and technical personnel. It is unlikely that a large fraction of these people could be utilized on other programs during the period that the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP would be delayed or curtailed. Thus, it appears that many of these individuals would be permanently lost to the LMFBR program under such circumstances.

Delaying the presently planned LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during this period would increase the overall cost of the LMFBR program. This is due to the increased expenditures associated with reducing the level of effort or cancelling subprograms and then restoring these programs later, including those costs associated with the difficult task of reassembling resources, replacing lost personnel, retraining personnel and refurbishing facilities and equipment.

A decision to delay or significantly reduce the Government sponsored LMFBR activities also could have serious adverse implications in terms of the nuclear power industry's willingness to continue its support of the development of the LMFBR.

4. *The additional commitment of resources to the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP during the further review period would not affect the eventual decision reached on the NEPA review.* Total cumulative expenditures on the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP through January 1, 1975, have been about \$1.9 billion. It is estimated that it will require an additional \$8.1 billion of Government funds plus substantial private funds to develop the LMFBR as a commercially-viable energy option. The \$250 million projected to be spent over the balance of FY 1975 on the Base Program and CRBRP is not insubstantial; however, in the context of prior and projected expenditures, it is not believed to be of such magnitude as to constitute an irrevocable commitment to the continuation of the Base Program and CRBRP. Nor, for the same reasons, would it affect the outcome of the NEPA review of the LMFBR program or the actions which may be appropriate in light thereof, including reorientation, delay, or abandonment, in whole or in part.

The risk involved in the expenditure of these resources during this further period of NEPA review of the LMFBR program is not unique. Such risks are continually being taken in research and development efforts. Notwithstanding the substantial prior expenditure of time, effort and funds, programs have been terminated where it had been found that their continuation was no longer justified. For example, the AEC-NASA space nuclear propulsion program, involving 15 years of effort and \$1.4 billion, was dis-

continued when the feasibility of a nuclear flight engine for use in space was very close to being established. Examples of other discontinued concepts and their program expenditures include: the sodium-cooled, graphite-moderated reactor (\$150 million); the heavy water component test reactor (\$23 million); the experimental gas cooled reactor (\$105 million); the Los Alamos Molten Plutonium Program (\$43 million); and the organic-cooled reactor (\$54 million).

Based on the results of the foregoing examination, AEC has determined that the actions expected to be taken during the balance of FY 1975 in connection with the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP should not give rise to any significant adverse impact on the environment; would neither constitute an irrevocable commitment of resources nor foreclose the subsequent adoption of alternatives of the type that could result from the ongoing review; and, if curtailed, would adversely affect the public interest. Accordingly, the Commission has recommended to the ERDA Administrator that the LMFBR Base Program and CRBRP continue as planned during this further period of review.

Dated at Washington, D.C. this 16th day of January, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

PAUL C. BENDER,
Secretary of the Commission.

[FR Doc.75-2041 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. P-351-A]

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF OKLAHOMA

Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses: Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

Public Service Company of Oklahoma (the applicant), pursuant to section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed one part of an application, dated November 20, 1974, in connection with its plans to construct and operate two boiling water reactors in Rogers County, Oklahoma, near the town of Inola. The portion of the application filed contains the information requested by the Attorney General for the purpose of an antitrust review of the application as set forth in 10 CFR Part 50, Appendix L.

The remaining portion of the application consisting of a Preliminary Safety Analysis Report accompanied by an Environmental Report pursuant to § 2.101 of Part 2, is expected to be filed during August 1975. Upon receipt of the remaining portions of the application dealing with radiological health and safety and environmental matters, separate notices of receipt will be published by the Commission including an appropriate notice of hearing.

A copy of the partial application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room,

¹ Message from the President of the United States Concerning Energy Resources, 93rd Congress, 1st Session, H. Doc. No. 93-85, April 18, 1973; Hearings before the Subcommittee on Foreign Affairs, 92d Congress, 2d Session, September 21, 26, 27; October 3, 1972; Updated AEC Cost Benefit Analysis, WASH-1184, January 1972.

² AEC's cost-benefit analysis as part of the LMFBR Program—Proposed Final Environmental Statement issued January 1975 shows that the dollar penalty for a one year delay in the commercial availability of the LMFBR may be in the range of \$1.0 to \$3.3 billion on a discounted basis.

³ Message from the President of the United States on "Clean Energy," 92d Congress, 1st Session, H. Doc. No. 92-118, June 4, 1971; 1970 National Power Survey, Part I, issued by Federal Power Commission, December 1971, pp. 1, 6, and 22.

1717 H Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20545 and at the Local Public Document Room Tulsa City—County Library, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102. Docket No. P-351-A has been assigned to the application and it should be referenced in any correspondence relating to it.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Atomic Energy Commission, Washington, D.C. 20545, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Directorate of Licensing, on or before March 18, 1975.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this 9th day of January, 1975.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

WALTER R. BUTLER,
Chief, Light Water Reactors
Branch 1-2, Directorate of
Licensing.

[FR Doc.75-1355 Filed 1-16-75;8:45 aml]

[Docket Nos. 50-440, 50-441]

**CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING
COMPANY, ET AL. (PERRY NUCLEAR
POWER PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2)**

Order To Show Cause

I. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, the Duquesne Light Company, the Ohio Edison Company, the Pennsylvania Power Company, and the Toledo Edison Company (the Licensees) are holders of a Limited Work Authorization authorizes the conduct of certain work activities pending the completion of action on the Licensees' application for construction permits for the Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2 (facility). The proposed construction site is (LWA) dated October 21, 1974,¹ which located on Lake Erie in Lake County, Ohio.

II. On December 9, 1974, the Licensees filed Amendment No. 22 to their Preliminary Safety Analysis Report (PSAR) with the then AEC Regulatory Staff.² The Licensees, in this Amendment, proposed a change to a prior commitment³

¹ See the letter dated October 21, 1974, from Mr. Roger Boyd of the then Atomic Energy Commission's (AEC) Directorate of Licensing to Mr. Harold L. Williams, Vice President—Engineering, Cleveland Illuminating Company. The LWA scope of work was supplemented by Mr. Boyd's November 8, 1974, letter to Mr. Williams. The complete scope of the LWA work is set forth in Attachment A to this Order.

² Effective January 19, 1975, the AEC Regulatory Staff became a part of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and is hereinafter referred to in this Order as the "NRC Staff".

³ On March 15, 1974, the Licensees committed in Amendment No. 12 to the PSAR to meet the NRC Staff's requirement to design safety-related buildings and structures to withstand the effects of the hydrostatic pressure resulting from a groundwater level of 618 feet mean sea level (hereinafter referred to as the "Commitment").

to design safety-related buildings and structures to withstand the effects of the hydrostatic pressure resulting from a groundwater level of 618 feet mean sea level. During the course of meeting with the NRC Staff on January 10, 1975, in Bethesda, Maryland, the Licensees stated that the proposed change was prompted because of, inter alia, the impracticality of meeting the Commitment. The proposed change involves unique hydrological and plant design features which might have affected the issuance of the LWA if the change had been known at that time. The effect of these events on the pending construction permit proceeding and the work being conducted under the LWA is discussed below.

Upon the completion of evidentiary hearings concerning environmental and site suitability matters for the facility, the cognizant Atomic Safety and Licensing Board (Board) issued a Partial Initial Decision, Environmental and Site Suitability⁴ on September 18, 1974, and a Supplemental Partial Initial Decision, Site Suitability and Environmental Matters on October 20, 1974.⁵ The Board in these partial initial decisions made the environmental findings required by 10 CFR 51.52 (b) and (c); and determined, based on the available information and review at that time, that there was reasonable assurance that the proposed site for the facility was a suitable location for two nuclear power reactors of the general size and type proposed by the Licensees. Thereafter, the NRC Staff issued an LWA in accordance with and pursuant to 10 CFR § 50.10(e) (1). The Licensees have commenced work under the LWA and are presently engaged in the performance of such activities.

The application for construction permits, consistent with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's regulations, is still pending before the Board. Among other considerations, the Board's consideration of radiological health and safety matters remains outstanding.

In the context of the environmental and site suitability phase of the proceeding before the Board, the Licensees' Commitment referred to above served as a predicate for the NRC Staff's position concerning environmental and site suitability matters in connection with the application for the construction of the facility.

The effect of the Licensees' Amendment No. 22 on site suitability is intertwined with radiological health and safety considerations. The Licensees' Commitment enabled the NRC Staff to relegate design questions concerning hydrostatic pressure to their health and safety review phase for resolution. However, the Licensees' recently proposed change represents a significant departure from generally used methods in the nuclear field. In such circumstances, the NRC Staff would have inquired further into various design alternatives to the one proposed in Amendment No. 22 before determining that the proposed site

was suitable. A site could be found suitable within the concept of the finding required by 10 CFR 50.10(e) (2) if it were determined that satisfactory design alternative(s) to the unique proposal existed, and that such alternative(s) were not compromised by the work proposed to be performed under the LWA. Based on a preliminary review to date of Amendment No. 22, the NRC Staff believes the proposed change may not be acceptable.⁶ Although the NRC Staff believes satisfactory alternatives exist, one such alternative—raising the elevations of the facility foundation—could be compromised by the continuation of the LWA work in this case. Specifically, to the extent excavation work under the LWA would permit any excavation of the lower till, the natural geologic foundation of the site could be so weakened as to foreclose this alternative. The lower till is probably more competent as a foundation material than an engineered backfill (such as crushed rock) which might be placed in the excavation in order to raise the elevation of the plant foundations. Concrete is an engineered backfill material which is as competent as the lower till. However, the financial cost of placing lean concrete as a backfill material in the excavation may render this approach impractical.

With respect to environmental concerns, the NRC Staff concluded that dewatering at the construction site would have a negligible environmental impact because site dewatering could occur only during the limited period required to construct the proposed facility.⁷ The bases for this conclusion, however, could be vitiated if the Licensees adopted the permanent dewatering system proposed in Amendment No. 22 because dewatering of the site would occur during the forty-year life of the facility. Moreover, the use of the permanent dewatering system gives rise to a number of environmental considerations which have not been previously reviewed and evaluated by either the NRC Staff or the Licensees. These environmental considerations include (i) the drawdown resulting from the proposed permanent dewatering sys-

⁶ The NRC Staff has questions regarding the structural integrity and the performance characteristics of the proposed permanent dewatering system, including the adequacy of the analytical methods used to determine (a) the basis for the proposed strength of the porous concrete blanket; (b) the basis for the seismic category and the safety classifications proposed for the permanent dewatering system; (c) the basis for the permeability values proposed for the porous concrete blanket; (d) the basis for the analysis of the flow distribution within the porous concrete blanket; (e) the effect of potential random cracking and localized crushing of the porous concrete blanket, as well as potential random differential settlement, on the system performance and functionality; (f) the analytical modeling of the dynamic response of the manholes; (g) the structural integrity of the embedded power supply to the submersible pumps; and (h) the proposed preliminary design of the porous concrete blanket.

⁷ Final Environmental Statement, Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2, § 4.1.1, pp. 4-1, 4-2, dated April 1974.

⁴ LBP-74-69, RAI-74-9, p. 538.

⁵ LBP-74-78, RAI-74-10, p. 701.

tem which could adversely affect the groundwater level beyond the boundary of the facility site, (ii) the potential adverse effects on the in situ and adjacent biota and vegetation resulting from the drawdown of the groundwater level, (iii) the potential adverse effects of discharging the groundwater from the proposed permanent dewatering system, and (iv) the potential adverse effect on shoreline erosion adjacent to the proposed site resulting from the pattern of groundwater flow which the Licensees propose to alter during the lifetime of the proposed facility. In short, Licensees' Amendment No. 22 requires, in the foregoing respects, further environmental review of the proposed facility.⁵

Copies of the Licensees' PSAR, including Amendments Nos. 12 and 22, Mr. Boyd's letters dated October 21 and November 8, 1974, and all pertinent information have been placed in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, Northwest, Washington, D.C. 20545 and the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's Local Public Document Room, Perry Public Library, 3753 Main Street, Perry, Ohio 44081.

III. In view of the foregoing and pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, and the regulations in 10 CFR Parts 2 and 50, *It is hereby found and ordered, That:*

The Licensees show cause, in the manner hereinafter provided, why all work activities under the LWA (see Attachment A to this Order) should not be suspended pending completion of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's review and evaluation of the environmental and site suitability considerations raised by Amendment No. 22 to the PSAR; and

The public health, safety or interest requires, pending further order, that all work activities under the LWA (see Attachment A to this Order) be temporarily suspended, effective immediately with the date of issuance of this Order.

The Licensees may, on or before February 13, 1975, file a written answer to this Order under oath or affirmation. Within the same time, the Licensees or any interested person may request a hearing. If a hearing is requested, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission will issue an order designating the time and place for hearing. Upon failure of the Licensees to file an answer within the time specified, an order will be issued, without further notice, suspending any further activities under the LWA.

In the event that a hearing is requested, the issue to be considered at such hearing shall be: whether or not the work activities under the LWA should be suspended pending completion of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's review and evaluation of the environmental and

site suitability considerations raised by the Licensees' Amendment No. 22 to the PSAR.

Order dated and issued at Bethesda, Maryland, this 20th day of January 1975.

EDSON G. CASE,
Acting Director, Office of
Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

ATTACHMENT A

The LWA work activities authorized are:

1. Preparation of the site for construction of the facility (including such activities as clearing, grading, construction of temporary access roads and burrow areas) such as:
 - a. Site survey and Layout—establishing permanent plant monuments and baselines.
 - b. Clearing, grubbing, and demolition.
 - c. Preliminary grading of the plant site.
 - d. Diversion of the minor stream east of the plant.
1. Reconstruction of 850 linear feet of Lockwood Road with a drainage culvert.
- e. Relocation of the major stream.
- f. Grading for Barge unloading facility.
 - i. Including construction of the crushed stone reactor haul road.
- g. Site Service Roads.
- h. Relocation of the 16-inch gas pipeline.
2. Installation of temporary construction support facilities (including such items as warehouse and shop facilities, utilities, concrete mixing plants, docking and unloading facilities, and construction support buildings) such as:
 - a. Construction Office and Warehouse Building.
 - i. Foundations and slab on grade.
 - ii. Complete steel superstructure and concrete floors.
 - b. Guard House.
 - c. Concrete Batch Plant.
 - d. Construction Power Substation.
 - e. Construction Power Distribution System.
 - f. Construction Parking Lots.
 - g. Contoured Berms Along Farmly Road.
 3. Excavation for facility structures.
 4. Construction of service facilities (including such facilities as roadways, paving, railroad spurs, fencing, exterior utility and lighting systems, transmission lines, and sanitary sewerage treatment facilities) such as:
 - a. Industrial Waste Lagoon Facility (2 lagoons—unlined).
 - i. Foundations.
 - ii. Concrete superstructure (retaining walls, etc.).
 - iii. Pumphouse structures complete.
 - iv. Underdrain filter system.
 - v. Earth dikes.
 - b. Sanitary Sewerage Facility including the Tertiary Treatment Building.
 - i. Foundations including sheet piling as required.
 - ii. Concrete retaining walls as required.
 - iii. Pipe anchor blocks.
 - iv. Building superstructures complete with equipment supports and/or foundations.
 - c. Railroad Spur Line—approximately 3½ miles long, entering the plant site from the southwest.
 - d. Onsite Railroad Sidings.
 - e. Onsite Railroad Bridge—over the relocated major stream.
 - f. Primary Access Road.
 - i. Bituminous Concrete.
 - ii. Includes large corrugated metal drainage culvert.
 - g. Sediment Control Dams.

1. In each of three construction drainage areas on site.
 - ii. Dumped riprap protection and a spillway with a metal skimming baffle.
 - h. Yard Piping.
 1. Plant storm drainage system—including catch basins and headwalls.
 - ii. Fire system.
 1. Site and Access Road Lighting System.
 - j. Perimeter Grounding System.
 - k. Chemical Cleaning Waste Lagoon—lined.
 1. Oil Interceptor Structures.
 - m. Construction Fencing.
 5. Construction of the following structures, systems, and components which are not subject to the provisions of Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 50:
 - a. Service Building.
 - i. Foundations.
 - ii. Equipment foundations.
 - iii. Superstructure.
 - iv. Backfill.
 - d. Circulating Water Line Installation.
 - e. Circulating Water Pumphouse.
 1. Fill concrete as required.
 - ii. Installation of waterproofing membrane.
 - iii. Placement of foundation mat.
 - iv. Concrete exterior and interior walls.
 - v. Backfill.
 - f. Water Treatment Building and Auxiliary Boiler Building.
 - i. Foundations.
 - ii. Equipment foundations and supports.
 - iii. Superstructure.
 - iv. Backfill.
 - g. On-shore Discharge Facility.
 - i. Cooling Towers.
 - i. Foundations.
 - ii. Superstructure and basins.
 - iii. Backfill.

[FR Doc. 75-2317 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on January 20, 1975 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the FEDERAL REGISTER is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

The symbol (x) identifies proposals which appear to raise no significant issues, and are to be approved after brief notice thru this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the clearance office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202-395-4529), or from the reviewer listed.

⁵ The NRC Staff, shortly, will file an appropriate pleading with the Board which will set forth the NRC Staff's views concerning what action in that forum, if any, is compelled by these changed circumstances.

NEW FORMS

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Survey of Maturity Distribution of Large Time Deposits at Weekly Reporting Banks, 416X, single-time, large commercial banks, Hulett, D. T., 395-4730.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Ground Water Pollution Problems in the Southeastern United States, on occasion, water resources professionals, Weiner, N., 395-4890.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Defense Supply Agency: Scientific and Technical Information Needs of the Defense Community, single-time, DOD contractors, National Security Division, 395-4734.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Center for Disease Control: A Research Action Evaluation Program for Venereal Disease in Los Angeles, CDC 1107, single-time, households, VD clinic patients, and VD clinic personnel, Hall, George, 395-4697.

Office of the Secretary: Human Services Planning Interview OS-3-75, single-time, State and sub-State public human service agencies, Human Resources Division, 395-3532.

National Institute of Education: Career Education Product Information Handbook: (1) Background Information Questionnaire, (2) Telephone Interview, (3) Market Survey—Formative Evaluation Questionnaire, NIE 80, NIE 80(A), on occasion, career education officials, Planchon, P., 395-3898.

EXTENSIONS

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND HUMANITIES

Individual Grant Application, NEA-2(REV), on occasion, individuals, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service: Regulations—Shell Egg Grading, on occasion, Evinger, S. K., 395-3648.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Health Services Administration:

Uniform Quarterly Reporting Requirements for Comprehensive Health Centers, 0429, quarterly, Reese, B. F., 395-5630.

Fiscal Technical Assistance Program, annually, PHS grantees, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

Migrant Hospitalization Demonstration Program Referral Form, HSA BCHS, on occasion, clients of 10 migrant health centers, Human Resources Division, 395-3532.

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Housing Management:

Survey and Planning Budget, HUD 627, on occasion, Evinger, S.K., 395-3648.

Counseling Agency Activity Report Part I and Part II—Instructions for preparation of Report, HUD 9902, quarterly, Evinger, S.K., 395-3648.

Summary of Budget Estimates (of Local Public Agencies), HUD-6221, annually, Evinger, S.K., 395-3648.

Protect Cost Estimate and Financing Plan, HUD 6200, on occasion, Evinger, S.K., 395-3648.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN,
Budget and Management Officer.

[FR Doc.75-2349 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 500-1]

BIO-MEDICAL SCIENCES, INC.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 17, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Bio-Medical Sciences, Inc. being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 19, 1975 through January 28, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2183 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[File No. 500-1]

CONTINENTAL VENDING MACHINE CORP.

Suspension of Trading

JANUARY 17, 1975.

It appearing to the Securities and Exchange Commission that the summary suspension of trading in the common stock of Continental Vending Machine Corporation being traded otherwise than on a national securities exchange is required in the public interest and for the protection of investors;

Therefore, pursuant to section 15(c) (5) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, trading in such securities otherwise than on a national securities exchange is suspended, for the period from January 20, 1975 through January 29, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2184 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

Delaying Effectiveness of Proposed Amendment to Option Plan

Notice is hereby given that the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) has filed an amendment to proposed changes in its option plan pursuant to Rule 9b-1 (17 CFR 240.9b-1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 delaying their effectiveness until the Commission allows them to become effective or disapproves the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors.

The proposed amendments to Rules 2.8, 18.1, 18.4, 18.5, 18.7 and 18.13, noticed on December 20, 1974 at 39 FR 44100,

seek principally to simplify Exchange arbitration procedures and to reduce the number of arbitrators serving on arbitration panels in controversies arising out of Exchange business. In addition, the proposed amendments would, among other things, change the qualifications of nonmember arbitrators serving on arbitration panels in disputes between Exchange members and nonmembers.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on the proposed amendments to CBOE's plan either before or after they have become effective. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to file number 10-54. The proposed amendments are, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

JANUARY 16, 1975.

[FR Doc.75-2185 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[70-5608]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT CO.
Proposed Issue and Sale of First Mortgage Bonds at Competitive Bidding

JANUARY 17, 1975.

Notice is hereby given that Jersey Central Power & Light Company ("Jersey Central"), Madison Avenue at Punch Bowl Road, Morristown, New Jersey 07960, an electric utility subsidiary company of General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed an application with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating section 6(b) of the Act and Rule 50 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transaction. All interested persons are referred to the application, which is summarized below, for a complete statement of the proposed transaction.

Jersey Central proposes to issue and sell, subject to the competitive bidding requirements of Rule 50 under the Act, up to \$35,000,000 principal amount of First Mortgage Bonds, due not later than March 1, 2005 ("bonds"). The interest rate (which will be a multiple of $\frac{1}{8}\%$ of 1%) and the price (which will be not less than 100 percent and not more than 102.75 percent of the principal amount of the bonds, plus accrued interest from March 1, 1975, to the date of delivery) will be determined by competitive bidding. The bonds will be issued under the Indenture, dated as of March 1, 1946, of Jersey Central to First National City Bank, successor to First National City Trust Company (formerly City Bank Farmers Trust Company), Trustee, as heretofore supplemented and amended, and as to be further supplemented and

amended by a Twenty-sixth Supplemental Indenture to be dated as of March 1, 1975. None of the bonds may be redeemed at the option of Jersey Central prior to March 1, 1980, if the funds for such redemption are obtained at an interest cost lower than the yield of the bonds, except under certain circumstances.

The entire proceeds (exclusive of premium and accrued interest) from the sale of the bonds, will be applied to the payment of a portion of Jersey Central's short-term bank loans outstanding at the date of sale of the bonds, for construction purposes or to reimburse Jersey Central's treasury for funds previously expended for construction purposes. Premium resulting from the sale of the bonds will be used for financing the business of Jersey Central, including the payment of the expenses of issuing and selling the bonds. The estimated cost of Jersey Central's 1975 construction program is approximately \$135,000,000 (including allowance for funds used during construction).

The fees and expenses to be incurred in connection with the proposed transaction will be supplied by amendment. It is stated that the Board of Public Utility Commissioners of New Jersey has jurisdiction over the proposed issue and sale of bonds and that no other state commission and no federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transaction.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than February 12, 1975, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said application which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon the applicant at the above-stated address, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. At any time after said date, the application, as it may be amended, may be granted as provided in Rule 23 of the General Rules and Regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof or take such other action as it may deem appropriate. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of the hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2186 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

ARKANSAS LOUISIANA GAS CO. AND GREYHOUND CORP.

Application for Unlisted Trading Privileges and of Opportunity for Hearing

JANUARY 17, 1975.

The Midwest Stock Exchange, Inc. has filed an application with the Securities and Exchange Commission pursuant to section 12(f) (1) (B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and rule 12f-1 thereunder, for unlisted trading privileges in the common stock of the following companies, which securities are presently listed and registered on that Exchange and will continue to be listed and registered on one or more other national securities exchange. Applications have been filed with the Commission to withdraw and strike from listing and registration on the Midwest Stock Exchange the common stock of the following companies, to be effective upon the granting of unlisted trading privileges.

Arkansas Louisiana Gas Company, File Nos. 7-4714, 1-3751.

Greyhound Corporation, File Nos. 7-4715, 1-2117.

Upon receipt of a request, on or before February 5, 1975 from any interested person, the Commission will determine whether the application shall be set down for hearing. Any such request should state briefly the nature of the interest of the person making the request and the position he proposes to take at the hearing, if ordered. In addition, any interested person may submit his views or any additional facts bearing on the said application by means of a letter addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549 not later than the date specified. If no one requests a hearing, this application will be determined by order of the Commission on the basis of the facts stated therein and other information contained in the official files of the Commission pertaining thereto.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.2237 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC.

Delaying Effectiveness of Proposed Amendments to Option Plan

Notice is hereby given that the Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc. (CBOE) has filed an amendment to proposed changes in its option plan filed pursuant to Rule 9b-1 (17 CFR 240.9b-1) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 delaying their effectiveness until the Commission allows them to become effective or disapproves the changes in whole or in part as being inconsistent with the public interest or the protection of investors. The amendments were

originally published at 39 FR 44100 on December 20, 1974.

The proposed amendments would modify rule 2.6 in two ways: First, the definition of a quorum for the Floor Procedure Committee would be changed from "three" to a "majority" to reflect the growth in the size of the Committee. Second, the Floor Procedure Committee would be empowered to appoint additional Floor Officials from among those members who are regularly engaged in business on the Exchange floor. These additional Floor Officials would assist the Floor Procedure Committee in overseeing activity on the floor and in maintaining a fair and orderly market. The additional Floor Officials would not be members of the Floor Procedure Committee and would not have the authority to halt trading under Rule 6.3, to declare a fast market and take related action under proposed Rule 6.6 or to impose fines under Rule 6.20.

Proposed Rule 6.6 is new and would grant the Floor Procedure Committee and its members authority to adopt special procedures to deal with unusual market conditions. Whenever a large influx of orders in a particular class of option contracts impairs the maintenance of a fair and orderly market under normal trading procedures, it is proposed that a Floor Official may declare the market in that class to be "fast." Under a "fast market" declaration, a Floor Official would be authorized to do one or more of the following with respect to the class or classes involved:

(i) Assign one or more classes or series of options traded at the post to Board Brokers at other posts.

(ii) Authorize Board Broker clerks to execute transactions.

(iii) Assign brokerage responsibilities for particular series to specific Floor Brokers in the trading crowd.

(iv) Authorize one or more Market-Makers to act as Floor Brokers.

(v) Direct that one or more trading rotations be employed pursuant to Rule 6.3.

(vi) Restrict the entry of new orders into the book.

(vii) Take such other actions as are deemed necessary in the interest of maintaining a fair and orderly market.

The fast market would be terminated as soon as conditions would permit. This proposal is intended to provide an alternative to halting trading under existing rule 6.3.

Proposed rule 6.6 would also empower the Floor Procedure Committee to place restrictions on the entry of certain types of orders in one or more classes or series of option contracts if deemed necessary for the maintenance of a fair and orderly market. Any such restriction would require approval by the Exchange's Board of Directors if it were to remain in effect for longer than two consecutive business days.

All interested persons are invited to submit their views and comments on the proposed amendments to CBOE's plan either before or after they become effective. Written statements of views and comments should be addressed to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Com-

mission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Reference should be made to File No. 10-54. The proposed amendments are, and all such comments will be, available for public inspection at the Public Reference Room of the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1100 L Street, NW, Washington, D.C.

Dated: January 20, 1975.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2238 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[File Nos. 24NY-7375, 3-4598]

ROBERT SHELLEY PRODUCTIONS, LTD.
Order Temporarily Suspending Exemption
JANUARY 16, 1975.

I

Robert Shelley Productions, Ltd. ("Productions" or "issuer") is a New York corporation originally located at 14 East 62nd Street, New York, New York. It was organized on April 9, 1971 to engage in production of theatrical, television and film works. The issuer changed its name in January, 1972 to National Cultural Industries, Inc., and its present location is 510 Sylvan Avenue, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey.

On July 2, 1971 Productions filed a notification pursuant to Regulation A in connection with a proposed offering of 300,000 shares of its \$0.01 par value common stock at \$1.00 per share. The offering was to be conducted by Norbert Associates, Inc. as underwriter on a "best efforts one-third-or-none" basis. After one amendment to the notification, the offering commenced on October 19, 1971. The offering was completed on February 11, 1972, with the sale of 161,350 shares.

II

The Commission, on the basis of information reported to it by its staff, has reasonable cause to believe that:

A. The offering circular filed by Productions contains untrue statements of material facts and omits to state material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading, in the following respects:

1. The statement that Productions owned certain assets which, in fact, it did not own at the commencement of the offering;

2. The listing of an individual as a director who, in fact, was not a director of the issuer; and

3. The statement that an advance against royalties in the amount of \$10,000 was paid to the author of a certain stage play.

B. The terms and conditions of Regulation A have not been met in the following respects:

1. The offering circular inaccurately sets forth the issuer's assets;

2. The offering circular incorrectly identifies an individual as a director of the issuer; and

3. The offering circular incorrectly states the amount of an advance against royalties that was paid to the author of a certain stage play.

C. The offering was made in violation of Section 17 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

D. Orders of Injunction were issued in New York State Supreme Court against the issuer and Robert Shelley, its president, after the filing of the notification which would have rendered the Regulation A exemption unavailable if it had occurred prior to such filing.

III

It appearing to the Commission that it is in the public interest and for the protection of investors that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be temporarily suspended,

It is ordered, Pursuant to rule 261(a) of the general rules and regulations under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, that the exemption of the issuer under Regulation A be, and hereby is, temporarily suspended;

It is further ordered, Pursuant to rule 7 of the Commission's rules of practice, that the issuer file an answer to the allegations contained in this order within thirty days of the entry thereof;

Notice is hereby given That any person having any interest in the matter may file with the Secretary of the Commission a written request for a hearing within thirty days after the entry of this order; that within twenty days after receipt of such request the Commission will, or at any time upon its own motion may, set the matter down for a hearing at a place to be designated by the Commission for the purpose of determining whether this order of suspension should be vacated or made permanent, without prejudice, however, to the consideration and presentation of additional matters at the hearing; and that notice of the time and place for the said hearing will be promptly given by the Commission. If no hearing is requested and none is ordered by the Commission, the order shall become permanent on the thirtieth day after its entry and shall remain in effect unless it is modified or vacated by the Commission.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2239 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

[812-3699]

WELLINGTON FUND, INC., ET AL.

**Filing of Application for an Order
Permitting Certain Proposed Transactions**

JANUARY 17, 1975.

Notice is hereby given That Wellington Fund, Inc., Windsor Fund, Inc., Ivest Fund, Inc., Exeter Fund, Inc., Explorer Fund, Inc., Trustees' Equity Fund, Inc., Wellesley Income Fund, Inc., W. L. Morgan Growth Fund, Inc., Westminster Bond Fund, Inc., and Fund for Federal Securities, Inc., all open-end diversified

management investment companies, and Gemini Fund, Inc., a closed-end diversified investment company ("Fund"), all of which are registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act"); The Vanguard Group, Inc. ("Vanguard"), a Pennsylvania corporation; and Wellington Management Company ("WMC") (collectively "Applicants"), P.O. Box 823, Valley Forge PA. 19482, filed an application on October 4, 1974, pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder for an order permitting Applicants to engage in certain transactions. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations therein which are summarized below.

WMC is presently the "external" investment adviser to each of the Funds and the principal underwriter for all of the Funds except Exeter and Gemini, which do not continuously offer their shares and have no principal underwriter. Pursuant to investment advisory contracts and principal underwriting agreements between WMC and each of the Funds, WMC, in addition to performing advisory and underwriting functions, also provides virtually all of the day-to-day internal corporate administrative services and most of the personnel required for each Fund's operation.

The application states that the boards of directors of the Funds, which, except for Explorer Fund, consist of the same individuals (Explorer Fund has one less member), have conducted a detailed review and analysis of the means by which the Funds could best obtain corporate administration, investment advisory and distribution services. The boards concluded that the Funds should (a) employ a full-time chief executive officer, a full-time supporting executive and a managerial and clerical staff all of whom would be independent of any external investment adviser or distributor; (b) assume for themselves the responsibility of performing, at cost, most corporate administrative functions presently performed by WMC; and (c) continue to contract with WMC for investment advisory and distribution services. The application states that WMC has agreed to enter into revised advisory and underwriting relationships with the Funds based upon their determination to "internalize" corporate administrative functions and to assist in the implementation of these revised relationships. The application also states that Funds and WMC have negotiated a revised investment advisory fee rate schedule which, based upon specified past and present levels of the Funds' assets, will reduce the total advisory fees to be paid by the Funds to WMC by the amount of expenses previously borne by WMC plus an additional amount that will result in reduced total expenses for the Funds.

Funds propose to internalize their corporate administration functions by acquiring, capitalizing, and operating a "service company"—Vanguard—which will be owned by the Funds. Funds will

contract with Vanguard to obtain, at cost, substantially the following services: Fund accounting, including the maintenance of books and records; Fund reporting, including the preparation and filing of federal and state securities and tax reports and shareholder communications; supervision of the maintenance of shareholder accounts; administration of the arrangements between Funds and their custodian and depository banks; performance of budget and control functions, including the preparation of budgets and supervision of payments for services; and monitoring and planning, including the review and evaluation of externally performed services.

Under the proposal, Funds will have their elected executive officers who will be employed by Vanguard and who will be responsible for the Funds' general management. No officers or employees of Vanguard will be permitted to own any securities of or to have interests in any external adviser or distributor for the Funds. The initial board of directors of Vanguard will be composed of the eleven directors of the Funds. The initial staff is estimated to consist of sixty officers and employees, most of whom are presently employed by Funds or WMC.

Applicants propose that Funds capitalize Vanguard by purchasing shares of its common stock (or other securities) in proportion to the Funds' relative net assets on the last business day of the month preceding the date on which shares are purchased. The total initial capitalization cannot exceed \$500,000 and is expected not to exceed \$300,000, which represents the amount necessary for working capital and the purchase of needed office equipment and furnishings from WMC. Based upon Fund assets on August 31, 1974, Applicants estimate that each Fund would contribute approximately .02 percent of its current net assets and in no event more than .05 percent thereof. The amount invested by each Fund will be periodically adjusted by purchases and sales of Vanguard stock among the Funds to reflect changing net assets, assuring that investments do not become disproportionate. Each Fund will be entitled to vote its shares of Vanguard. In the event a Fund terminates its relationship with Vanguard it will be entitled to receive the fair value of its shares of Vanguard.

Applicants contemplate the purchase by Vanguard of various tangible assets such as office furniture, equipment and supplies necessary for the conduct of its business, including furnishings to be purchased from WMC at a negotiated price not to exceed \$60,600.

Section 17(a) of the Act provides, in part, that it shall be unlawful for any affiliated person of or principal underwriter for a registered investment company, acting as principal, knowingly to sell any security or other property to such registered investment company or to any company controlled by such registered company or, knowingly to pur-

chase from such registered investment company any security, with certain exceptions not relevant to the application. Section 17(b) of the Act provides, however, that the Commission, upon application, may exempt a transaction from the provisions of section 17(a) if evidence establishes that the terms of the proposed transaction, including the consideration to be paid, are reasonable and fair and do not involve overreaching on the part of any person concerned, and that the proposed transaction is consistent with the policy of each registered investment company concerned and with the general purposes of the Act. Vanguard would be an affiliated person of several of the Funds which will own more than 5 percent of its voting securities. Further, since there will be common directors and Funds will own all of Vanguard's voting securities, Vanguard may be deemed to be directly or indirectly controlled by, or under common control with, one or more of the Funds. Vanguard's voting securities, Vanguard's owned person of Funds; and Funds may be deemed to be affiliated persons of each other. WMC is an affiliated person of each of the Funds. Thus, Applicants state, the proposed purchases and sales of Vanguard shares between Funds and Vanguard and sales of tangibles by WMC to Vanguard may be deemed subject to the provisions of section 17(a).

Section 17(d) of the Act and Rule 17d-1 thereunder, in pertinent parts, prohibit an affiliated person of a registered investment company, acting as principal, to participate in, or effect any transaction in connection with any joint enterprise or arrangement in which any such registered investment company or a company controlled by such registered company is a participant with the affiliated person unless an application regarding such transaction is filed and an order is granted approving such joint enterprise or arrangement prior to its submission to security holders for approval; and provide that, in passing upon such application, the Commission will consider whether the participation of such registered or controlled company in such arrangement is consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act, and the extent to which such participation is on a basis different from or less advantageous than that of other participants.

Applicants state that by virtue of the affiliations described above one, or more or all of the Funds, Vanguard and/or WMC may be deemed to be engaged in such a joint transaction and prohibited from participating in the proposed transaction unless the Commission issues an order allowing the implementation of the proposal.

Applicants therefore, request an order pursuant to section 17(b) of the Act and rule 17d-1 thereunder permitting (1) Vanguard to issue and the Funds to purchase securities of Vanguard as described above; (2) Funds periodically to purchase and sell their securities of Vanguard among themselves to maintain

ownership of Vanguard proportional to their assets; (3) WMC to sell office furnishings and equipment to Vanguard; and (4) Funds, Vanguard and/or WMC to enter into and implement the proposed joint transaction described herein.

Applicants represent that all the proposed arrangements and transactions described in the application comport with the applicable statutory standards.

Funds state that by internalizing the management of Funds' corporate administrative affairs through Vanguard the Funds can achieve increased independence from, and an increased ability to monitor and evaluate the performance of, any external investment adviser or distributor, thereby increasing their ability to obtain the best investment advisory, distribution, and administrative services at a reasonable cost. The Funds further state that the proposed arrangement will increase the Funds' bargaining in obtaining advisory and underwriting services and reduce the Funds' expenses both immediately and in the long run by having corporate administrative services performed at cost. The Funds estimate that their expenses will be immediately reduced by approximately \$300,000 to \$500,000. The Funds state the proposed capitalization of Vanguard to be reasonable in view of the anticipated working capital needs of Vanguard and the de minimis portion of each Fund's assets to be invested and fair since each Fund will contribute capital in proportion to its current net assets with the capital contributions being adjusted periodically so that no Fund will have a disproportionate investment. Applicants also state the consideration to be paid to WMC for office furnishings and equipment necessary to conduct Vanguard's business is reasonable and fair and involves no overreaching on the part of any person concerned.

Applicants further note that the proposed arrangement will be submitted for approval by each Fund's shareholders who must also approve any necessary changes in Fund policies. The Funds state that the essential purposes of the proposal—to increase their independence and control of their affairs, increase their ability to obtain and monitor external services and reduce costs—are consistent with the provisions, policies and purposes of the Act.

Notice is further given That any interested person may, not later than February 11, 1975 at 5:30 p.m., submit to the Commission in writing a request for a hearing on this matter accompanied by a statement as to the nature of his interest, the reason for such request and the issues of fact or law proposed to be controverted, or he may request that he be notified if the Commission shall order a hearing thereon. Any such communication should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of such request shall be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing)

upon Applicants at the address stated above. Proof of such service (by affidavit or in case of an attorney-at-law by certificate) shall be filed contemporaneously with the request. As provided by Rule O-5 of the rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, an order disposing of the application herein will be issued as of course following said date, unless the Commission thereafter orders a hearing upon request or upon the Commission's own motion. Persons who request a hearing or advice as to whether a hearing is ordered will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter, including the date of hearing (if ordered) and any postponements thereof.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] SHIRLEY E. HOLLIS,
Assistant Secretary.
[FR Doc.75-2240 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice 681]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

JANUARY 21, 1975.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested. No amendments will be entertained after the date of this publication.

MC 140008 Sub 1, Wellington Transportation Corporation, now being assigned March 17, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 44735 Sub 13, Kissick Truck Lines, Inc., now being assigned March 19, 1975 (2 days), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 139979, American Colloid Carrier Corp., now being assigned March 21, 1975 (1 day), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC-F-12218, Crouch Bros., Inc.—Control—Caddo Express, Inc., and MC 134308 Sub 8, Caddo Express, Inc., now being assigned March 24, 1975 (1 week), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 116763 Sub 284, Carl Subler Trucking, Inc. now being assigned February 21, 1975, at Chicago, Ill., Room 1086A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn St.

MC 117165 Sub 37, C. J. Davis, DBA St. Louis Freight Lines, now being assigned, February 20, 1975, at Chicago, Ill., Room 1086A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St.

MC 139841, Denver Trans-Corp., now assigned February 3, 1975, at Denver, Colo., is cancelled and the application is dismissed.

MC 119774 Sub 77, Eagle Trucking Company, now assigned January 29, 1975, at Denver, Colorado, is cancelled and the application is dismissed.

MC-F-12234, Century Express, LTD.—Purchase—Lansdale Transportation Co., Inc., now assigned January 29, 1975, at Philadelphia, Pa., is postponed indefinitely.

MC 124211 Sub 244, Hilt Truck Line, Inc., now assigned February 5, 1975, at Denver, Colorado, is cancelled and the application is dismissed.

MC 106401 Sub 38, Johnson Motor Lines, Inc., application dismissed.

MC 139724 Sub 2, Novo Package Delivery, Inc., application dismissed.

MC 139794 Sub 1, Somerset Limousine Service, Inc., application dismissed.

No. 36060, Seaway Port Authority of Duluth, et al. v. Burlington Northern, Inc., et al., now being assigned April 23, 1975 (4 days), at St. Paul, Minn., in a hearing room to be designated later.

MC 107496 Sub 950, Ruan Transport Corporation, now assigned February 6, 1975, at Chicago, Ill., is advanced to February 3, 1975, in Room 204A, Everett McKinley Dirksen Building, 219 S. Dearborn St., Chicago, Ill.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc.75-2255 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 a.m.]

[I.C.C. Order 138 Under Rev. S. O. No. 994]

BURLINGTON NORTHERN, INC. AND CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.

Rerouting or Diversion of Traffic

In the opinion of R. D. Pfahler, Agent, the Burlington Northern Inc., and the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company are unable to transport traffic to or from connections or to or from shippers located at Burlington, Iowa, or Mediapolis, Iowa, because of flooding.

It is ordered, That: (a) The Burlington Northern Inc., and the Chicago, Rock Island and Pacific Railroad Company being unable to transport traffic to or from connections or to or from shippers over their joint line between Burlington, Iowa, and Mediapolis, Iowa, because of track damage from high water and flooding; that carriers and their connections are hereby authorized to reroute or divert such traffic via any available route to expedite the movement. The billing covering all such cars rerouted shall carry a reference to this order as authority for the rerouting.

(b) Concurrence of receiving roads to be obtained. The railroad desiring to divert or reroute traffic under this order shall receive the concurrence of other railroads to which such traffic is to be diverted or rerouted, before the rerouting or diversion is ordered.

(c) Notification to shippers. Each carrier rerouting cars in accordance with this order shall notify each shipper at the time each car is rerouted or diverted and shall furnish to such shipper the new routing provided under this order.

(d) Inasmuch as the diversion or rerouting of traffic is deemed to be due to carrier disability, the rates applicable to traffic diverted or rerouted by said

Agent shall be the rates which were applicable at the time of shipment on the shipments as originally routed.

(e) In executing the directions of the Commission and of such Agent provided for in this order, the common carriers involved shall proceed even though no contracts, agreements, or arrangements now exist between them with reference to the divisions of the rates of transportation applicable to said traffic. Divisions shall be, during the time this order remains in force, those voluntarily agreed upon by and between said carriers; or upon failure of the carriers to so agree, said divisions shall be those hereafter fixed by the Commission in accordance with pertinent authority conferred upon it by the Interstate Commerce Act.

(f) Effective date. This order shall become effective at 3:30 p.m., January 10, 1975.

(g) Expiration date. This order shall expire at 11:59 p.m., January 31, 1975, unless otherwise modified, changed, or suspended.

It is further ordered, That this order shall be served upon the Association of American Railroads, Car Service Division, as agent of all railroads subscribing to the car service and car hire agreement under the terms of that agreement, and upon the American Short Line Railroad Association; and that it be filed with the Director, Office of the Federal Register.

Issued at Washington, D.C., January 10, 1975.

INTERSTATE COMMERCE
COMMISSION,
R. D. PFAHLER,
Agent.

[F.R. Doc.75-2258 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 a.m.]

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATION FOR RELIEF

An application, as summarized below, has been filed requesting relief from the requirements of section 4 of the Interstate Commerce Act to permit common carriers named or described in the application to maintain higher rates and charges at intermediate points than those sought to be established at more distant points.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with rule 40 of the general rules of practice (49 CFR 1100.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

FSA No. 42929—Wrought Iron or Steel Oil Country Tubular Goods and Line Pipe to Colley, Louisiana. Filed by Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, (No. B-507), for interested rail carriers. Rates on wrought iron or steel oil country tubular goods and line pipe, in carloads, as described in the application, from Minnequa and Pueblo, Colorado, to Colley, Louisiana.

Grounds for relief—Rate relationship. Tariff—Supplements 62 and 63 to Southwestern Freight Bureau, Agent, tariff 259-F, I.C.C. No. 5080. Rates are

published to become effective on February 20, 1975.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[FR Doc.75-2260 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[AB 43 (Sub-No. 7)]

ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD CO.
Abandonment of Line

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and

It appearing, that no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Massac County, Ill., on or before January 29, 1975, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 13th day of January, 1975.

By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[AB 43 (Sub-No. 7)]

ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD COMPANY,
ABANDONMENT BETWEEN METROPOLIS AND
BROOKPORT, MASSAC COUNTY, ILLINOIS

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated January 13, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Company from Metropolis to Brookport, in Massac County, Ill., a distance of 5.78 miles, if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that the environmental effects of the proposed action are not considered significant because no traffic has been handled on the line since March, 1973, no diversion of traffic from rail to truck will occur, and there are no development plans or land use policies in the tributary territory which are dependent on the availability of rail service. In addition, no major ecological impacts would result should

the abandonment be authorized. Furthermore, the Illinois Department of Conservation and the Massac County Bicentennial Committee have expressed their desire to purchase that portion of the right-of-way that passes through Fort Massac State Park for a linear park.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2086.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before February 17, 1975.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason is filed to demonstrate why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.

[FR Doc.75-2257 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Finance Docket No. 25949]

LEHIGH VALLEY RAILROAD
Abandonment of Line

JANUARY 21, 1975.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and

It appearing, That no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the Borough of Towanda, Pa., on or before January 29, 1975, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 16th day of January, 1975.

By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[Finance Docket No. 25949]

LEHIGH VALLEY RAILROAD, ABANDONMENT
BETWEEN DUSHORE AND TOWANDA, PENNSYLVANIA

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated January 16, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment of its entire line of the Lehigh Valley Railroad (LV), between Dushore and Towanda, Pennsylvania,

a total distance of 20.889 miles, if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that the environmental impacts are not considered significant because there have been no operations over the line since June 1972, and prospects for substantial increased demand are speculative, alternate means of transportation are available including rail service over the lines of the Lehigh Valley Railroad Co. at Towanda. The increase in traffic created by diversion to motor carriers will not result in a significant increase in energy consumption nor a significant degradation of the area's air quality or noise levels. The Pennsylvania Department of Transportation is negotiating with Lehigh Valley to purchase this line and restore service at least initially to Monroeton. Reinstitution of service by the State would substantially mitigate any anticipated adverse effects.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2086.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before February 17, 1975.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason is filed to demonstrate why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.

[FR Doc.75-2259 Filed 1-23-75;8:45 am]

[Docket No. AB 33 (Sub. No. 6)]

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CO.
Abandonment of Line

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available for public inspection upon request; and

It appearing, that no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Jackson County, Colo., on or before January 21, 1975, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this order shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy thereof in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission at Washington, D.C., and by forwarding a copy to the Director, Office of the Fed-

eral Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 6th day of January, 1975.

By the Commission, Commissioner Tuggle.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD,
Secretary.

[Docket No. AB 33 (Sub-No. 6)]

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY ABANDONMENT PORTION, COALMONT BRANCH BETWEEN WALDEN AND HEBRON, IN JACKSON COUNTY, COLORADO

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated January 6, 1975, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Union Pacific Railroad Company of its line from Milepost 93.00 at Walden southwesterly to

Milepost 108.00 at Hebron, a distance of about 15.0 miles, all in Jackson County, Colo., if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that the environmental effects of the proposed abandonment are not considered significant because no traffic has moved over the line since 1970 and there is no immediate prospect of obtaining additional business that would warrant continued operations and maintenance. Although abundant coal resources are located in the general area affected by the abandonment, there are no indications that these resources will be developed in the near future or will be adversely affected by the proposed abandon-

ment. In addition, any anticipated shipments in the area involved can be accommodated by motor carrier services with negligible impacts on the local air and water quality.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-343-2086.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before February 5, 1975.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason is filed to demonstrate why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.

[FR Doc.75-2256 Filed 1-23-75; 8:45 a.m.]