et

. 24

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

an enemy effort in the form of either a raid or a more seri-

Mr. Mitchell: Well, these reports of Admiral Bloch and the Martin-Bellinger reports and all these other documents we have in evidence dealt very heavily with the question of reconnaissance. The general tenor of them was the conclusion that if you wanted a complete, sure defense against a carrier borne air attack you should have a reconnaissance the evening before and catch the carriers at dusk before they started their night run, and with the alternative if that was not done, was to try to get the planes out and break up the attack after the carrier planes left the carriers the next morning, which was not so certain.

Now, the studies that were presented there that we have been offering and considering this morning indicate that a pretty large number of patrol planes would be needed for the long reconnaissance and then a very large number of bombing planes would be needed to go cut and smash the carriers after they were discovered and I notice that in the recommendation of General Martin made in his study of the air situation in Hawaii under date of August 20, 1941, exhibit 13, which we referred to this morning, he made this recommendation:

(Reading)

"It is recommended that the War Department give

5

8

0

10

11

12

13

1 %

15

16

17

18

. 10

20

21

22

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

immediate consideration to the allotment of 180 B-17D type airplanes or other four-engine bombers with equal or better performance and operating range and 36 longrange torpedocarrying medium bombers to the Hawaiian Air Force for the performance of search and attack missions in an area bounded by a circle whose radius is 833 nautical miles and center is Oahu, as follows?

"72 for daily search missions.

"36 for attack missions (these airplanes will be in readiness daily, fully armed and loaded with bombs for a mission).

"72 for maintenance and reserve from which 36 may be used to augment the attack force.

"180 total B-17D's.

"36 torpedo-carrying medium bombers of the B-26 or other suitable type."

Now, his report shows that he was considering a 360 degree reconnaissance, all around the circle and which was the extreme requirement for a perfect defense against any attack from any direction.

Now, there are other figures in his report as to reconnaissance over limited arcs.

Now, compared with what Martin recommended on August 20th for a complete security there against air attack we had

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

a very slim equipment, did we not?

General Marshall: Well, I stated, if you recall, we had a serious shortage in four engine bombers. We only had twelve.

Shef-- 6 Shack fls 7

left no striking force.

3

4

0

5

7

10

11

12

13

15

1.1

WASHINGTON.

16

17

19

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

8 8

had not any bombers to sink the carriers? General Marshall: No, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: That is it. After you located them, you

Mr. Mitchell: As an aid to Navy PBY air reconnaissance,

that was practically nothing, wasn't it, or almost nothing?

only a small supplement to the Navy reconnaissance, but it

General Marshall: A little more than that, sir. Not

Mr. Mitchell: It seems to be the fact, according to these figures, and your judgment is, isn't it, that as far as security by long distance reconnaissance and bombing the carriers the night before the proposed air attack is concerned, the equipment was quite inadequate:

General Marshall: Was deficient.

Mr. Mitchell: What would be the result of that? That they would have to confine their long-distance reconnaissance to the limited sector that you stated, or what could they do under those circumstances?

General Marshall The provision of General Martin there is for complete and perfect reconnaissance. That is all right. That certainly is to be done, if you can provide the planes. I might, incidentally, say even at the top of our production, we were never able to give Hewall, in 1943 and 1944, what the Commander of Hawaii wanted, any more than

в

1-4

we were able to give any commander all he wanted. That was an unavoidable situation always, in a war of the character we were involved in. However, there were ways to improve the situation by increased viligence, by the operation of the attack planes, the interceptor planes, in every way we could in that fashion.

There was also this to be considered, which we always had in mind, and that is the great hazard the enemy undertook in sending his people so far from home. A surprise is either a triumph or a catastrophe. If it proved to be a catastrophe, the entire Japanese campaign was ruined, and advance into Malasia, and advance into the East Indies would have been out of the question.

Singapore would not have been captured, the Burma Road would not be cut off, and the attack on New Guinea probably would not have occurred. So you have an enemy hazarding a great risk in this stroke. Therefore you measure somewhat your means of defense against the hazard he is accepting in doing it.

I agree with General Martin that if the planes were available that was a very appropriate assignment. It was on the side of conservatism which is certainly a good side to take in the defense of a fortress such as Hawaii, and the Fleet more than the fortress against air attack. Does

B

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

that explain my point of view?

Mr. Mitchell: Yes. Your answer deals with two problems:
One is the question whether you expected an attack and the
other one, what position you were in to defeat it.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: I was intending to confine my first question to this proposition: Assuming the attack is made, to what extent, under the circumstances, and with the material they had available, would you conclude that they had adequate means for either breaking up the attack at sea, or on the carriers, or else destroying it, mitigating it the next morning.

General Marshall: I think they had at least the means to so have broken up that attack that it could do limited harm.

The Vice Chairman: What was your last answer's.

General Marshall: I think they had sufficient means to sufficiently break up the attack so it could only have done limited harm.

Mr. Mitchell: By that, you mean if everybody had been on the alert and the radar operating and reporting planes at distances of 130 to 150 miles, and every pilot was in the seat, the motor going, everybody on the alert, and the antiaircraft men with ammunition, with that amount of

h4

Questions by Mr. Mitchell

warning that you could get from that sort of reconnaissance, you could have mitigated the attack:

General Marshall: Roughly, sir. I would not say every pilot in his seat, but in a condition of alert.

Mr. Mitchell. Your idea is with the forces available, they could have broken up the Jap planes in the air to an extent?

General Marshall: It would have greatly lessened the damage done.

Mr. Mitchell: These reports which you had and considered laid a great deal of emphasis on the need for an aerial reconnaissance, did they not?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. I might add at this time the question was the availability of material. I think at that time we had about 148 B-17's of which an appreciable number were of the old model, without leak-proof tanks and with other deficiencies of equipment; we had 12 B-24's altogether.

Mr. Mitchell: You do not mean in Hawaii, do you. General Marshall: I mean all together.

Mr. Mitchell: The whole army?

General Mershall: The United States Army. We had four in Panama; we had 35 in the Philippines; we had 12 in Hawaii; we had a few on the West Coast, and we had 50 or 60 to

h5

0

4 6

7

0

11

13

15

16

AL

fle

18

20.

10

21

23

22

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

develop the pilots for the production of planes then in prospect.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you know here in Washington at that time, or keep track of the number of bombers that were in commission and those that were not?

General Marshall; Yes, sir. That was a continual check with us, because we had so few, and everybody wanted them.

I might add there again in connection with this, that
the greatest trouble was we had to have crows prepared to
fly these planes as they came off the production line which,
as I say, was then approaching the full quantity production.
That demanded planes that had to be in the air almost constantly, and tremendous maintenance, while at this time we
only had about 50 or 60 altogether to produce crows for the
flow of planes then in prospect.

3

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

Mr. Mitchell: The first days of our hearing we had figures introduced by Colonel Thielen and Admiral Inglis as to the types of planes, both Naval and Army planes, in Hawaii on December 7, and how many were in commission and how many were out. It is given by fields there in that data, but we attempted to total it, and while our compilation may not be absolutely correct, the way we calculated it it means the Navy had available on the Hawaiian Islands on December 7 the following: Patrol planes, many of which were not in full condition for use, but I am giving you the total planes and some of them were out of commission, they had 54 PBY-5, 27 PBY-3 and 8 PBY-1, or a total of 89. And on that day there were in addition I think 7 fighter Naval planes left by carrier.

On the same day the Army had 50 bombers of various types. B-170s they had a total of 12, and only 6 in commission. That is the 4-engine bomber. Then the B-18, what is that?

General Marshall: That is a 2-engine bomber of an earlier type.

Mr. Mitchell: Fit for reconnaissance work?

General Marshall: They are good for reconnaissance, not because of great range but they are a sturdy, reliable plane.

Mr. Mitchell: There were a total of 33 there, of which 21 were in commission. The A-20, what type is that?

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D

12

1.3

1-1

15

16

17

16

10

20

21

22

23

General Marshall: That is a fighter or interceptor plane.

Mr. Mitchell: What is the B-12?

General Marshall: That is a rather obsolete bomber type.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, they had in addition 152 fighters of various types, of which less than 100 were in full readiness TERRET RECORD RECORD FOR THE RECORD R for use, I mean fit to fly.

General Marshall: For operation.

Mr. Mitchell: Yes, for operation. So there was a great shortage of both fighters and bomber planes.

Now the Jap attack was made with six carriers, and I ought to remember but I think there were two or three hundred planes, which would greatly outnumber anything in the way of fighting planes that existed at Hawaii.

Is it your idea that with that very large power of the Japs in the air, with our limited fighter forces at Hawaii we could have mitigated the attack very considerably or only partially?

General Marshall: I think so. They could not stop it, but they could have greatly lessened the damage that was done. They could disorganize it, taken it off its targets.

Mr. Mitchell: You say you had to weigh the situation there and the risk by considering not only the materiel that

0

10

11

12

13

11

15

16

17

113

10

20

21

(4)

23

25

you had but the probability or possibility of the Japs risking an attack. Your idea, as you stated it, as I understand, was it would be a risky performance for the Japs and they might not have hazarded a considerable part of their carrier fleet for such an enterprise.

General Marshell: It was accepting a hazard to do that, for several reasons: One was the effect in bombing by a few planes on carriers. It does not take very many hits to do grievous harm. The other was the action of our shipping that was not demaged in the fight.

Mr. Mitchell: It might turn out in a chase and sink the Jap task force?

General Marshall: We had some carriers in the Hawaiian District.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, with the Japs planning an attack down along the Asiatic Coast as their main venture, the smart officers over there would feel, would they not, that it would be a great stroke to protect their flanks and give them more time if they made a surprise attack that is temporary but at least it would cripple the United States Fleet at Hawaii?

General Marshall: That was the whole purpose of it. It was a subsidiary raid in order to protect the Japanese operations in the south against any action by our Fleet.

Mr. Mitchell: That would be an objective that any smart

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

-1

5

0

8

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

50

21

22

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell officer would think about as a thing to be attained, if he could, wasn't it? In other words, the Japs, in their plan of attack, stick that up as number one. Their proposal was

General Marshall: The distances in their advance south towards Singapore were very great. If there was an effective fleet on their flank their hazard would have been greater.

to cripple the American Fleet at Hawaii, if they could.

Mr. Mitchell: Would your judgment as to the probability of the Jap attempt by air attack be affected by the knowledge that the Japs had an espionage system in Hawaii which made them completely familiar with our whole situation there, our forces, our movements, their habits and non-alertness, nonreconnaissance, and that they had, up to an hour of that attack, free use of commercial cables and other international means of communication to report that situation to their home country, would not that have encouraged them very considerably to take the risk that you spoke of?

General Marshall: It could have. My own reactions, as nearly as I recall them, which is extremely difficult, to disassociate with the back sight, is that that specific operation was not visualized by me. I was more inclined to feel that the hazards were too great and that they would not risk it, which would mean that in their movement to the south they would have to proceed somewhat conservatively rather than

1-1

dash in to great distances, as they actually did, once our Fleet was crippled. I think that is, as nearly as I can give it, a fair estimate of my thinking at the time.

Mr. Mitchell: In other words, you were 2,000 miles away and you might not visualize or be conscious of the local conditions, and you would expect your local commanders to be conscious of the local conditions?

regardless of the distance from here to Hawaii my thinking, as nearly as I can recreate it now, was that the hazard of coming in there, with the sizable Naval force, was so great, in my opinion, that they would not risk it, but would rather proceed on a more conservative basis of actual operations to the southward, to the China Sea, towards Malaysia. As it was, they went without regard to any fears, and went out on the end of the plank through all of Malaysia, Indonesia and the New Guines District.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, if they knew exactly what the conditions were at Hawaii, the lack of reconnaissance and alertness and all that during the few days in December, their risk would not appear to them to be quite so great.

General Marshall: You have to take into consideration the length of time involved in that movement, and of course it could be recalled at any time by radio message, and the

3

*

7

8

Ð

10

11

13

14

15

WASHINGTON.

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

25

24

great change that had been effected if active offensivedefensive measures had been taken, as to what would happen to their air force, as to the loss of one or two carriers alone.

They knew we had other planes. Whether or not they knew they were they were enroute there, whether or not they knew they were only passing through there, all those are factors to be considered.

As a matter of fact, as you know, the reinforcement planes from MacArthur, that finally took off after the delay due to adverse winds, arrived during the middle of the attack. All those were considerations that the Japanese General Staff, the Japanese Naval Staff, would have to take into consideration themselves, and they could have made quite a difference in the result.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, all the time, I think the record shows or will show, they had complete data of the conditions right down to .December 6.

General Marshall: No question about that at all.

Mr. Mitchell: And they could have recalled their flight at the time if they got information that an alert really had been made?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Were you aware of the fact that the merchant shipping had been diverted from the northern ship

5

0

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

31

19

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

lanes and sent down to the Torres Strait area in October and that left a wide swath of the ocean without any traffic in 1t?

General Marshall: Yes, sir, I was aware of that situation, and the reasons for it.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you know that during the end of November and the first week in December the Navy, particularly its direction-finding system in the Pacific, for a week prior to Pearl Harbor had lost complete track of all but one division of the Japanese carriers?

General Marshall: I have a faint recollection that I did not know all the time where all the Japanese ships were. I do not recall being aware of the fact that it was the carrier divisions that were the missing ones. It may be I knew it, but I do not recall.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you have anything to do with the decision to shift the merchant fleet, merchant vessels into the Torres Strait area, or was that purely a Naval matter?

General Marshall: I do not recall. It may have been discussed with me, and it probably was, but I have no recollection. I do recall the shift.

Mr. Mitchell: The shift?

General Marshall: Yes.

Mr. Mitchell: Was it a safety measure, to prevent the

(6)

0

20 21

23

22

24

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

loss of the merchant shipping?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: From Jap attack?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. Admiral Stark of course can give you more direct information on that, but that was the reason. It was a more secure voyage, in the light of the developing situation.

Mr. Mitchell: You used the words "merchant shipping".

I think technically it would be more correct to say the slow boats.

General Marshall: The slow boats?

Mr. Mitchell: The slow boats.

General Marshall: It was those that made something around, probably, I will say, under 12 knots, maybe under 10 knots. The faster ships, like the Dollar Lines, went straight through to the north of Guam.

Mr. Mitchell: During the last week or two in November and early in December did you have frequent conferences with the Secretary of War, the Secretary of the Navy, Admiral Stark, and possibly the President about the situation?

General Marshall: I had practically daily conversations with Admiral Stark over the phone or personally, and the same with the Secretary of War personally, and very frequently with the Secretary of State, during which, I think on

WARD & PAUL. WASHINGTON, D C

0

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

.

3

6

G

7

8

0

11

12

ĸ.

13

1-1

15

16

17

31

20

10

21

22

23

25

24

practically every occasion, the Secretary of the Navy was present, and usually Admiral Stark, when I was present.

Mr. Mitchell: What did those discussions relate to?

In other words, did they have anything to do with the imminence of war and prospects of an attack by the Japanese?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. They had to do with the measures to be taken diplomatically, on a high Government level, towards Japan. They had to do with the military situation, as Admiral Stark and I viewed it. They had very specifically to do with our hope that action, war action in the Pacific, could be delayed as long as possible.

Mr. Mitchell: I will offer in evidence at this time as Exhibit 58 a document labelled as follows:

"1. List taken from President Roosevelt's appointment book specifying his engagements with the Secretaries of State, War and Navy, General Marshall and Admiral Stark, for period October 1 to December 7, 1941.

"2. Telephone calls made from outside through White House switchboard on December 6, 1941 and December 7, 1941, as compiled from operators notes available."

I understand that means calls from outside through the White House switchboard with persons outside of the White

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

50

21

23

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

House, not messages to people in the White House.

"3. List of dinner guests at White House Saturday evening, December 6, 1941.

"4. List showing the President's appointments Saturday, December 6, 1941.

"5. List showing the President's appointments Sunday, December 7, 1941."

The Chairman: That is all Exhibit 58?

Mr. Mitchell: All that is Exhibit 58.

The Chairman: That will be filed.

(The document referred to was marked Exhibit 58.)

Mr. Mitchell: During these conversations you say they related quite often to the question of postponing Japanese attack, if possible, until you could get better prepared.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Was the situation at Hawaii discussed specifically in any of those conversations or conferences? General Marshall: I think so. We covered the whole Pacific: Alaska, Hawaii, the Philippines, Guam, Wake Island.

Mr. Mitchell: Will you tell us in your own way just. what, if anything, you can remember about the conversations at those discussions?

General Marshall: From a purely military side Admiral

B

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

Stark and I together endeavored to put forward the policy of the necessity of taking every measure that we could think of, politically or diplomatically, to carry along the situation in the Pacific without disruption, at least until we had an opportunity to prepare the forces there. The first consideration at that time in the matter of preparation was in relation to the Philippines, which up until April, 1941, had literally nothing in terms of numbers and equipment. As early as February we had taken the women and children out.

I had gotten authority to double the number of Philippine scouts from 6,000 to 12,000, and then I issued an order which stopped the return of men who only had two years, who had completed their two-year tour, which I believe possibly was illegal, I do not recall now. In order to provide experienced people to assist General MacArthur -- or to assist General Grunert at that time, in the development of additional scout organizations and also in the development of a Philippine Army, basic training, we also had to have the time to collect the shipping, to go through the lengthy procedure of withdrawing these ships from South American runs, against which there was very serious opposition from all sides virtually, and to obtain troops sufficiently trained at that time to be near to the part out there.

We were then in the process, beginning roughly the 1st

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D

(7)

4.

O

of August, of receiving the first of real quantity production, and we were trying to rush that through to the Philippines primarily, until we gave them sufficient reinforcements to make it dangerous for the Japanese to make any movement either against the Philippines or, more particularly, to the south of the Philippines, leaving them free to be attacked on the flank.

We needed time for this. It was estimated that the principal reinforcements and material could be gotten out there by about the 5th of December. Of course there were the delays in obtaining the ships, there were the delays in delivering the planes, and there were the delays produced by adverse head winds to that then difficult and considered dangerous flight by a B-17 in that period from the West Coast to Hawaii.

On the Naval side, Admiral Stark of course can speak for himself, but I recall very specifically he was struggling to get a delay until about the 1st of February, in order that the Fleet could be outfitted. I believe the Fleet training was the main consideration. That I cannot testify to with any authority. I refer to most of the items that pertain to the Army side of the affair.

Now in all these discussions we analyzed the situation as it changed from week to week, as delays developed which

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

3

0

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

would not permit us to reach the stage of defensive security that we had hoped by the limiting date that had been suggested, sometime in December, and a discussion of the measures that might be taken appropriately by the Government towards the Japanese which would at least maintain the status quo until we were in better shape.

Of course in those matters I was not a factor, you might say, in the discussions, except where it had a military implication, although there was complete freedom for expression if I cared to inject myself into the diplomatic statement in the communications proposed.

But of course it was more appropriate for me to confine my observations to the phases of the discussion or the documents that had a direct military implication.

Does that give you a fair idea, sir?

Mr. Mitchell: That gives me a fair idea. You were kept informed, I suppose, of the diplomatic developments.

General Marshall: I think in the main I knew about all that was going on, because I not only sat in on a great many of the discussions personally, but in addition to that Mr. Stimson would always talk to me when he returned from any of his discussions with Mr. Hull.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember whether, in that latter period, the latter part of November and early December of 1941,

21

23

24

4

5

U

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

in any of these discussions the question was raised about the security of the Fleet at Pearl Harbor, or whether, because of lack of preparedness and the chances out there something ought to be done with the Fleet, that it either put to sea or move back towards the coast? Was there any discussion of that kind?

General Marshall: I do not recall discussions of that kind once the Fleet was in Hawaii. I have a very faint recollection -- a very definite recollection, as a matter of fast, of a long series of discussions regarding the location of the Fleet before it went out to Hawaii.

Mr. Mitchell: That was in 1940?

General Marshall: Well, at the time it went out there. I must admit that I have forgotten a good many of the pros and cons that were being discussed at the time.

Mr. Mitchell: Those discussions related to the suitability of Pearl Harbor as a training place for the ships. They did not have any question at that time, prior to December, 1940, after the Fleet had gone out there, as to the question of the security of the Fleet in port. I am wondering whether in any of these conversations, as you approached December 7, you or Admiral Stark in your presence, or the Secretaries of War or Navy raised any question about the dangers to the Fleet in Pearl Harbor at that time, and the question whether,

19

20

21

23

22

24

sir.

0

8

8

11

12

13

14

15

(8) 16

17

31

20

10

15

22

23

24

25

perfect defense, you should do something about it? General Marshall: I do not recall that specifically,

if air attacks were at all possible and you were not in

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember with whom you had your discussions in 1940 about the question of basing the Fleet originally at Pearl Harbor in the spring of 1940?

General Marshall: I think they were with Admiral Stark, with the Secretary of War, the Secretary of the Navy, and I believe the President, although I do not know whether the discussion was with him directly or whether I got the result of his statement and then we made the presentations, I do not recall.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember yourself what objections were made or advanced at that time towards putting the Fleet at Pearl Harbor?

General Marshall: As I said a little previously, I am sorry to have to state to you that I do not recall the details well. I do not recall the argument between the Atlantic and the Pacific, which at that time was a great issue, as to how much of the Fleet would be in the Atlantic, how much would be in the Pacific. We had a tremendous problem then of the sea lanes across the Atlantic, the deficiency of the British in guarding the convoys, and the hazardous position of the

0

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

British Isles during that period.

Now I do not recall exactly, as I say, the pros and cons of that, but I know it was going on at that time and I was in the middle of it.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, now, we come up to this question of the modus vivendi. You and Admiral Stark had been working for more time. Were you aware that on November 20th the Japa had made a proposal to the United States that involved practically our termination of aid to China and our opening up of the freezing regulations and furnishing the Japs with oil?

General Marshall: I have no definite recollection, but I am quite certain there was.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you have access to these diplomatic intercepts during that period, that is the decoded Japanese messages to and from Tokyo and Washington?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. The majority of them went over my desk, those that were supposed to be critical.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember seeing any of those in which the Japs instructed their Ambassadors here to get an effirestive agreement first by the 25th of November and later at least by the 29th?

General Marshall: I remember that very well, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember those messages which said if they did not get it signed, sealed and delivered on that

19 20

23

23

21

24

.

6

4

-

6

7

8

0

11

10

12

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

date something automatically would happen?

General Marshall: Yes, sir, I remember that.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, then, when the modus vivendi came up -- what date was it, do you remember? Around the 25th or 26th?

General Marshall: I think it was earlier than that.
About the 21st, was it not?

Mr. Mitchell: The 21st?

General Marshall: Yes.

Mr. Mitchell: What part did you have in that discussion?

General Marshall: I was absent on that particular day

on an inspection trip, as I recall, and I learned of the

matter on my return from General Gerow. I believe there

was a memorandum from him to me. He had attended the meeting

with Admiral Stark and he had expressed a view in regard

to the outcome.

Mr. Mitchell: That is right.

General Marshall: And he submitted the memorandum to me describing the conditions and giving me the data.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you agree with General Gerow's position? General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Which he reported in that memo?

General Marshall: Yes, sir, particularly that portion

where he states that he informed Admiral Stark verbally that

he regretted the reference to Army Forces in the Navy comments on proposition A-1. He felt that no restrictions should be placed on the Army's preparations to make the Philippines secure.

The point was we had almost nothing there, we had everything to put there, and if we did not do anything we were helpless, and we continued helpless if the thing broke.

Mr. Mitchell: You learned that that proposal had been dropped?

General Mershall: Yes, sir, I learned that.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you learn at that time of the fact that Mr. Churchill had wired him about it and that it was sent back for the Chinese, and did you know about Chaing Kai-Shek's protest in which he said the Chinese Army would collapse if anything like that occurred?

General Marshall: I have no recollection of seeing Mr. Churchill's message, but I have a very clear recollection of Mr. Hull describing the Generalissimo's reaction. Whether or not I read his message I do not know, but I know I was clearly aware of his very energetic opposition to the proposal.

Mr. Mitchell: In the light of what you just said and what you knew, were you reconciled to the Secretary's decision not to attempt the modus vivendi proposal?

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

deneral Marshall: I think I was, sir. I recall this, that we were very much disappointed that we could not get this through, because it looked like a very slender hope of delaying matters to give us more time, and as I also recall, and the records will show, we had movements on the ocean at that time that were very critical, Marines coming out of Shanghai, and hazard to some movement, a more serious one was a group I think of four wessels of fair speed that were moving to the north of Guam straight into the Philippines and a large convoy of slow vessels that was moving south towards Torres Strait.

Mr. Mitchell: Now did you know, in advance of its submiss on to the Japanese, the contents of Mr. Hull's state-ment to them of November 26, 1941?

General Marshall: No, sir, I did not.

Mr. Mitchell: Were you consulted about that? General Marshall: I do not think I was, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: To go back shortly to something I omitted,
I call your attention to a document called "Aid de Memoirs
Defense of Hawaii". It is a photostat. It seems to have
some writing at the head of it. Do you know whose handwriting
that is?

General Marshall: I do not think that is mine. The writing at the bottom is distinctly mine.

(9)

10

9

6

0

P 12

14

13

10

17

19

18

20

21

23

23

24

25

follows

.2

3

4

0

7

8

10

11

12

13

1-1

15

10

17

19

18

20

21

...

23

24

25

Mr. Mitchell That is a memorandum you gave to the President, is it, at or about the time that it is dated, 5-3-41?

General Marshall: I am told -- this is purely hearsay -I am told that I was called to that conference at the White
House to discuss -- I have forgotten what the issue was, it
did have a relation -- I think it was the movement of the
fleet -- and I made a hurried call -- this was sent me, and
I made these notes on the face of it, and gave copies to
the President. I made this note at the bottom of the page.

Mr. Mitchell: I am told, and it will appear later, that this handwriting at the top is --

Mr. Gesell: General Watson's, the Military Aide to the White House.

Mr. Mitchell: We will offer this in evidence as Exhibit 59.

The Chairman: Let it be identified and filed as Exhibit 59.

(The document referred to was marked Exhibit No. 59.)

Mr. Mitchell Would you mind reading it for us, General?

General Marshall: (Reading)

h2

3

B

5

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

15

1.4

10

17

19

10

20

21

23

25

23 24

"AIDE MEMOIRE

"Defense of Hawaii

"The Island of Oahu, due to its fortification, its garrison, and its physical characteristics, is believed to be the strongest fortress in the world.

To reduce Oahu the enemy must transport overseas an expeditionary force capable of executing a forced landing against a garrison of approximately 35,000 men, manning 127 fixed coast defense guns, 211 antiaircraft weapons, and more than 3,000 artillery pieces and autometic weapons available for beach defense.

"Air Defense. With adequate air defense, enemy carriers, naval escorts and transports will begin to come under air attack at a distance of approximately 750 miles. This attack will increase in intensity until when within 200 miles of the objective, the enemy forces will be subject to attack by all types of bombardment closely supported by our most modern pursuit.

"Hawaiian Air Defense. Including the movement of aviation now in progress Hawaii will be defended by 35 of our most modern flying fortresses, 35 medium range bombers, 13 light bombers, 150 pursuit of which 105 are of our most modern In addition Hawaii is capable of reinforcement by heavy bombers from the mainland by air. With this force

G

available a major attack against Oahu is considered impracticable.

"In point of sequence, sabotage is first to be expected and may, within a very limited time, cause great damage.

On this account, and in order to assure strong control, it would be highly desirable to set up a military control of the islands prior to the likelihood of our involvement in the Far East."

Now, the footnote refers back to the paragraph, "Hawaiian Air Defense," which reads as follows:

"Including the movement of aviation now in progress, Hawaii will be defended by 35 of our most modern flying fortresses * * *"

"Due to make a mass flight from mainland to Hawaii
May 20. A number of this type of plane could be dispatched
immediately if the situation graw critical."

Mr. Mitchell: Well, that number of the most modern flying fortresses that you visualized in May, did they arrive at Hawaii?

General Marshall: They did not, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: So this estimate was made then on the supposition --

General Marshall: As to the prospective delivery of planes.

3

4

5

G

'

0

10

11 -

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

28

200

24

25

Mr. Mitchell: Which couldn't be made? General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember how you happened to give that memorandum to President Roosevelt? What was the occasion of it?

General Marshall; That was what I was trying to recall. I have forgotten at the moment just exactly what the discussion was. I will try to stir up my memory. There was some definite thing that was under discussion. I have forgotten what it was. Rather, a definite consideration under discussion.

Mr. Mitchell: General Watson has in his handwriting at the top:

"Modern Planes have completely changed the situation as to defensibility."

Was that a subject of discussion on your part, that memorandum by him?

General Marshall: I had no discussion with General Watson at all that I can recall. I have a vague recollection there was something about the capability of Hawaii to defend itself without the presence of the Fleet, but I will check up on that and try to refresh my memory.

Mr. Mitchell: I notice in the paragraph in the memorandum entitled "Air Defense," you assume here that "enemy

àa

carriers, naval escorts, and transports will come under air attack at a distance of approximately 750 miles." That visualized a sufficiently adequate patrol force at Hawaii, air reconnaissance to detect the enemy carriers at that distance?

General Marshall: It visualized the available recon-

Mr. Mitchell: To hit them after they were discovered? General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: That is pretty nearly the limit of the Martin-Bellinger requirement, isn't it?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: There is a reference in that memorandum to "sabotage is first to be expected and may, within a very limited time, cause great damage."

Was that your point of view in May, 1941, that sabotage would be a greater peril than any other kind of an attack on Pearl Harbor?

expressed here, the first in sequence, because sabotage was always one of the difficult points, the disposing of troops to meet it without unduly exciting everybody, and the maintenance of them in that dispersed condition to control it.

And, of course, military control of the Islands would have exercised a very great restraint on all of the people, which

3

5

7

8

8

11

13

15

14

17

16

16

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

would have lessened the hazard decidedly, just as was done when we got on a war basi:.

Mr. Mitchell: In this "Aide Memoire" you also assume a state of alertness and the best use of the equipment at Pearl Harbor, a state of alertness against air attack?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: We have, General, a memorandum for the President on the subject of ground forces, submitted to him by the Chief of Staff. It is undated. It does not seem to have so much to do with air attacks as the furnishing of additional ground forces far distant installations, and outposts. Have you a copy of it before you?

General Marshall: Yes, sir, in skeleton form.

Mr. Mitchell: The only thing it says about Hawaii is on page 3. In the first full paragraph, it says:

"Hawaii. Authorized and present 41,000. Naval installation (Kaneohe Bay) being expanded requiring additional defenses. Presence of Fleet reduces threat of major attack. Reinforcements can be deferred as long as Fleet remains in Pacific. Reinforcements must be available in the United States to give Fleet freedom of action."

Did that paragraph refer wholly to the ground forces in Hawaii as distinguished from -- when I say ground forces I mean forces to defend against landing attacks -- or did it

3

4

5

.

7

8

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

. 20

aı

23

23

24

25

General Marshall; It is a resume of the entire ground forces. The idea was to so build up that command in Hawaii that it required no naval assistance; that a secure base was maintained, with the task of defense resting in the hands of the Army.

Mr. Mitchell: In the margin of the paragraph I read about Hawaii, are the words "O.K. Leave as is." It will later appear that that is in the President' handwriting.

The Chairman: Is that made an exhibit?

Mr. Mitchell: We will make it one now. Exhibit 60 is the memorandum to the President, subject "Ground Forces" just referred to.

The Chairman. It will be filed as No. 60.

(The document referred to was marked Exhibit No. 60.)

Senator Ferguson: Has the date of that been fixed yet? Could commsel place the date?

Mr. Mitchell. I can't. It is a document we obtained from the White House files, but it is undated.

General Marshall: We have been unable to find any record of it in the War Department.

The Vice Chairman: This was a memorandum from General Marshall to the President?

Mr. Mitchell: That is right, on the subject of ground

hg

2

1

3

4

G

5

7

8

0

11

10

12

13

1.1

WASHINGTON.

16

15

17

10

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

The Vice Chairman: Does the General have any idea what time it was submitted?

forces in all areas, a great many places.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you happen to have any idea yourself, General?

General Marshall: I have no recollection of it at all.

It doesn't show here on this copy that I even signed it.

Sometimes it was the case, and it may have been here, that
the President would call me to appear very quickly to discuss
a certain subject, on which I had no notes at all, and they
would give me some papers that pertained to it and I would
do the best I could with those papers.

There may have been something of that sort here. I don't know.

Mr. Mitchell: General Mershall, we have here a transcript of proceedings before the Army Pearl Harbor Board, Volume 35, as of Tuesday, September 26, 1944, and on page 4050 there is testimony given by Mr. Stimeon about a statutory war council meeting in the Department.

Secretary Stimson said:

"General Marshall read a long letter from General MacArthur in the Philippines, telling us of the progress of the reorganization of the Philippine Army and the construction of airports throughout the Islands."

- 17

Then again -- I think Mr. Stimson was reading from his own diary here.

Well, I started too soon. I meant to start with November 25, 1941. He read:

meeting of three. Hull showed us the proposal for a three-months: truce which he was going to lay before the Japanese today or tomorrow. It adequately safeguarded all of our interests, I thought, as we read it, but I don't think there is any chance of the Japanese accepting it because it was so drastic.

"Then we had a long talk over the general situation there which I remember."

Then he quotes from his dairy:

"We were an hour and a half with Hull, and then I went back to the Department, and I got hold of Marshall.

At 12 o'clock I went to the White House where we were until nearly half past one."

He says:

"That's an hour and a half."

Then the diary proceeds as follows:

"At the meeting were Hull, Knox, Marshall, Stark, and myself. There the President brought up the relations with the Japanese. He brought up the event that we were

h10

2

4

3

5

6

7 8

9

10

11

12

14

13

10

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

likely to be attacked perhaps as soon as -- perhaps next Monday for the Japs are notorious for making an attack without warning, and the question was what we should do.

"We conferred on the general problem."

The diary continues:

"When I got back to the Department I found news from G-2 that a Japanese had started. Five divisions had come down from Shantung and Shansi to Shanghai, and there they had embarked on ships, 30, 40, or 50 ships and have been sighted south of Formosa. I at once called up Hull and told him about it and sent copies to him and to the President, of the message."

Do you remember that conference?

General Marshall: I have no detailed recollection of the conversations back and forth, but I have a very distinct recollection of the situation that was developing at that particular moment in the China Sea.

Mr. Mitchell: Have you any recollection of this beyond the statement of President Roosevelt, have you any memory of that?

General Marshall: I don't remember, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: That was on the 25th.

Now, I call your at tention to this message that was sent to General Short over your signature on November 27. Were you in the city on the 27th?

h11

.

.

General Marshall: I was not, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Where were you?

General Marshall: I was in North Carolina.

Mr. Mitchell: What was going on there?

General Marshall: General McMair was having a very large maneuver, I imagine about 300,000 troops, or there abouts. It was a vital day, and I flew down on the afternoon of the 26th to see the operations on the 27th, and flew back late that evening, so that I appeared on the office on the early morning of the 28th.

Mr. Mitchell: Before you left on the 26th, had this proposal to send a warning message out to the oversess outposts been discussed with you?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. My recollection of it, which is rather confirmed by the memorandum of General Gerow under date of the 27th, I believe that we had a considerable discussion on the joint board on the morning of the 26th, at which it was decided that an alert should be drafted and dispatched immediately.

General Gerow had the task of drafting the alert.

Whether or not he had a draft copy with him at the time or whether he was to prepare it after he returned to the War Plans Division I do not recall. I left in the afternoon following this meeting of the Joint Board in the

3

**

G

5

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

17

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

morning. Present at the meeting was Admiral Stark, myself, the Deputy Chief of Staff of the Army, General Bryden, General Gerow, and I believe at that time the officers of the Air Corps, and their opposites were present from the Navy.

Mr. Mitchell: The message was sent over your name then while you were away?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: When did you see the draft after you returned?

General Marshall: I saw it, the actual message, as it was sent, I think, the moment I reached my deak on the morning of the 28th.

Mr. Mitchell: This memorandum referred to by General Gerow of November 27 is the one in which he states "The Secretary of War sent for me about 9:30 a. m. November 27, 1941." That is the one you refer to, is it?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: When you saw the message of the 27th to General Short after you returned from maneuvers, what was your reaction as to its contents and sufficiency?

General Marshall: I concurred in the message and the manner in which it was drawn.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you see at the same time the

h13

h13 2

AL

--

identical message sent to the Commander on the West Coast?
General Marshall: I saw the message -Mr. Mitchell: To all the commanders?
General Marshall: Pacific commanders.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you see General Short's response and the responses of the other commanders to the warning message that had been sent to them?

General Marshall: I assume I did. I find in looking at the copy I did not initial it. I assume I must have seen it.

8

O

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

Mr. Mitchell: Have you seen this photostat? General Marshall: Well, I saw the actual --Mr. Mitchell: The original of it?

General Marshall: The original of it.

Mr. Mitchell: The photostat showing the report of General MacArthur of November 28 and the report of General Short on November 28.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mtchell: It is our Exhibit 46.

You are not relying on your present recollection but on the existence of this document?

General Marshall: In what respect?

Mr. Mitchell: To know whether you received it or not?

General Marshall: Well, I know I received this because
there is my own reference of that to the Secretary of War
and my initials on the copy, and the two were clipped together.

Mr. Mitchell: You remember that they were both clipped together?

General Marshall: No, I don't remember the clipping together. When I checked back to find out about the thing I found them clipped together and noticed I had not initialed the under copy but I assumed that I saw it.

Mr. Mitchell: How did you happen to route it to the Secretary of War?

1

5

G

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

General Marshall: Because I thought it was very important that he should see this particular message. It had been my custom always when there was anything up that was out of the ordinary that he might miss I always initialed it for him and had it taken directly to his room.

Mr. Mitchell: The fact that he participated in your absence in the drafting of the message to which these were responses, did that have anything to do with your sending it to him?

General Marshall: It might have; I don't recall, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: What do you remember now about your appraisement of or reaction to General Short's message of the 28th?

General Marshall: I have not a clearout recollection at all because shortly after the attack, I presume about an hour and a half, I was in conversation with Colonel Bundy in regard to the measures we were then taking to reestablish ourselves on the West Coast, to get the convoys straightened out, and see what other measures we had to take throughout the United States for security, and he mentioned this message, which he apparently had reexamined, and referred to the sabotage factor in it, and also referred to the implication he had gotten from the liaison with the Navy which is inoluded in the message.

3

4

5

6

0

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

He did that while he was standing at my desk just before his departure from my room, when we concluded the other part of the conversation, which was the virtual redeployment of all our military sources to meet the situation as it developed.

Mr. Mitchell: What was the date of that talk with Colonel Bundy?

General Marshall: I would say that that was an hour and a half or an hour, thereabouts, after the news of the Attack on Pearl Harbor.

Mr. Mitchell: On December 7?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Well then, at that time Colonel Bundy brought up with you the question of Short's report of November 287

General Marshall: My recollection of it is that when we finished this business I had him in there for, he being the officer in immediate charge of all details relating to the Pacific, that was his subsection of the War Plans Division, or the section of the War Plans Division, he would be in charge, and so I was doing business with him direct as to What we were to do to reestablish the situation, and when we finished that, as I recall the incident he was leaving the room and stopped about half-way out of the room and made a reference to the message, which he evidently had looked back

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

on it to see what was going on, and referred to this sabotage clause, and I have forgotten just what his reference to it was. I recall his reference to lisison with the Navy. He referred to that. They had gone ahead with the procedure.

Shefner of

WAS 13

13.

3

4

. (3

7

8

0

11

12

13

11

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

Now, my difficulty in answering your question was it is very hard for me to associate myself with the statement about what came next be cause from that instant on I was completely involved in the most active period during the war that next six weeks.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, I was referring more especially to your appraisement of or reactions to this message of Short's on November 28th when it was shown to you, or you saw it on the 28th?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you notice the brevity of it or the difference in contents --

General Marshall: I have no recollection regarding it at all.

Mr. Mitchell: (Continuing) by comparison with any of the other reports that you received?

General Marshall: I have no recollection regarding it at all, other than the fact that I find the two messages together and that I signed the upper one.

Mr. Mitchell: In the ordinary course of operations in the department of the General Staff where would the messages have gone for consideration?

General Marshall: It would have gone to the War Plans
Division and by the Executive officer there they would have

WARD & PAUL. WASHINGTON, D C

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

been routed to the particular section that had that, which was Colonel Bundy's section.

Mr. Mitchell: At no time between November 28th and the 7th of December did anyb ody ever core back to you and mention the Short report or question its sufficiency or anything of that kind?

General Marshall; I have no recollection of any comment.

Mr. Mitchell: Had you any information that after the warning message was sent there was no air reconnaissance being conducted at Hawaii for any distance, any considerable distance?

General Marshall: No, sir, I had no intimation of that.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, did you after November 27th, when
this warning was sent out, make any inquiry as to what measures
were being taken at Hawaii?

General Marshall: None that I recall.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you make any inquiry, any further inquiry about what measures were being taken at these other posts where the warning message had been received, or one like it?

engaged in the business of trying to get our materiel rerouted to General MacArthur as rapidly as we possibly could
and we had as you will see in Magic, picked up the fact of the

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

report that he was unloading at night. I learned that from the Japanese. I did not learn that from MacArthur.

Mr. Mitchell: To make my question clear, I was talking of the period between November 28th and December 7th, as to what information, if any, you had about the stage of the alert or what steps were being taken in Hawaii for defense against --

General Marshall: I said as to Hawaii I had no information mi I thought you then asked me about the other places.

Mr. Mitchell: Yes.

General Marshall: And I said there, I think, that I had nothing regarding the alert but we had information regarding what was going on which we obtained through Magic, as related to the Philippines. I have forgotten the date of the Magic but it is in the record, as to unloading and rushing of supplies ashore being carried out at night so that the Japanese could not see exactly what was going on.

Mr. Mitchell: Well, I take it then that your recollection about Short's reply of November 28th in the very brief examination you made of it you are not in a position now to remembr and to state what your reactions were to it?

General Marshall: I carnot state any reactions that I hai to it. It came through the office.

Mr. Mitchell: Was there any consideration given in the War Department that you had knowledge of after November 28th

24

23

-

O

and before December 7th of sending any additional warnings to General Short or any other commander ?

General Marshall: I had no recollection of such.

Mr. Mitchell: What was the liaison committee?

General Marshall: The liaison committee was a group consisting of the Under Secretary of State, representatives of the War Department, - usually two would go over, - the same from the Navy Department, which met in the office of the Under Secretary of State and discussed matters pertaining to all three departments, largely attache details, equipment for South American and Latin-American countries, sometimes Chinese matters, and it developed during the period after I became Chief of Staff and before the outbreak of the war for us into many larger considerations but in the early stages it was engaged mostly in minor details regarding requests of Ambassadors and the desires of the State Department that affected the Army and Navy, particularly as to material and equipment to Latin-American countries. Eventually the larger matters were discussed.

Mr. Mitchell: I think you told us that you currently saw these decoded intercepts of the Jap diplomatic messages.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Didyou also see these decoded intercepts of Jap messages relating to military installations and ship

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

movements?

General Marshall: I would assure I would, yes, the sare as the diplomatic.

-r. Mitchell: I think the record shows, I think General Miles said that at a certain date about that time, in the surmer or early fall of 1941, you ordered not only the G-2 evaluations of those ressages but the raw material or original copies of dispatches should be shown to you.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember that at that time?

General Marshell: I have a recollection of that.

Mr. Mitchell: He said it was commencing August 5, 1941.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: How did they come to you? Were copies deli vered and kept in your files?

General Marshall: I beg parion, sir?

Mr. Mitchell: Were copies delivered and kept in your files?

General Marshall: "At first they care in somewhat of a loose leaf arrangement and they were all returned and I stopped that and required that they be put in a locked pouch because I found in the various offices there was inevitable carelessness and also I felt inevitably the fact that we were doing this would leak out.

1

6

0

8

0

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

10

17

18

10

20

21

23

24

25

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

I had been told when I becare Chief of Staff that my predecessor, General Craig, was very guarded in the mat ter, primarily because he thought it was illegal and that, therefore, if we were to continue we would have to be exceedingly careful. That factor, of course, more or less vanished from consideration and was replaced entirely by the urgent necessity, from our point of view, of guarding the secret.

Mr. Keefe: Mr. Chairman, I am not sure that I understood that last statement of General Marshall. You mentioned the fact that your predoessor, General Craig, considered the practice, some practice as being illegal?

General Marshall: The intercepting of these ressages. Mr. Keefe: The intercepting of these foreign ressages? General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Keefe: As being illegal?

General Marshall: Yes, sir; contrary to the Espionage Act, I believe.

Mr. Keefe: I wanted to be sure that I understood you. General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you happen to know at that time of the provision in the Federal Communications Act which forbids the interception of communications?

General Marshall: What is that?

Mr. Mitchell: Did you know anything about it then?

General Marshall: I think that is the Act I should have referred to. When I said the Espionage Act I should have said the Federal Communications Act.

Mr. Mitchell: And that the Supreme Court held before December 1941 some time that that applied to government Intelligence or police authorities as well as to pri vate persons?

General Marshall: I think I knew that, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Were you aware of the fact that at Haraii. for instance, there wasn't any legal way up to December 7th, when the attack occurred, of obtaining copies of the Jap messages that the Japs sent from Hawail to Tokyo or that Tokyo sent back to their spies in Hawaii that care over corrercial cables?

General Marshall: Yes, sir, I was aware of that, particularly because, as I recall, Mr. Stirson was very ruch concerned in his desire to obtain that information.

Mr. Mitchell: But afterwards you were concerned with the question of security?

General Marshall: When you say "afterwards", I am not . referring to December 7th. I am referring to about a year back before that.

Mr. Mitchell: Prior to that day.

General Marshall: Prior to that day.

0

8

0

10

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

5

O

8

8

10

11

12

13

1.1

15

16

17

18

10

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Mitchell: I mean after the remark had first been made to you about the matter.

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: And the fear of war becare apparent.

General Marshall: The minute the danger of war to America became apparent our intense concern was the secrecy of the source because its value was quite evident.

Mr. Mitchell: Was there any regulation in the War Department that you established or knew about that forbade the people in the War Department, such as G-2 and War Plans Division, from sending to Hawaii not the text of any intercepted ressages, nor a paraphrase of it, nor the fact that they had decoded it, but the substance of the information that they had derived by the intercept?

General Marshall: I am unaware of any regulation on that subject. As a matter of fact practically everything concerning Magic was oral rather than written, in my recollection.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you know that G-2 was not sending out the gist of those intercepted messages in all cases?

General Marshall: Was not sending out the gist?

Mr. Mitchell: Not sending out the gist. General Miles

testified that he never, of course, sent a copy of a message,

WARD

of an intercepted Jap decoded message to Hawaii and he would not send a paraphrase of it and he did not want to let them know at Hawaii that he was cracking the cole and he went further, I understand, and I think said that the information derived in that way could not in a covered up way be passed on to Hawaii. Was that your understanding of the practice?

General Marshall: I do not know as I got that understanding but I know that the G-2 of the War Department, whoever he was, General Miles, General Strong, General McCabe or Colonel McC, be, General Lee and later General Bissell, always were emphatic in their safeguarding of the source and not advertising anything that was done, to hazard the source.

The extent to which they might transmit the information was one that I am not familiar with, just what they did, because there was a continual passage of data from the G-2 of the War Department in the performance of his mission to the G-2's of the various overseas divisions and as the security factor was always ever present in the mind of the Assistant Chief of S taff, G-2 of the Army, that thought that he would be reckless had never occurred to me. His fear was that I would be reckless.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember of ever seeing these intercepted Jap messages relating to dividing Pearl Harbor into area A, B, C, D and E and locating the --

0

8

0

11

12

13

1 -1

15

16

17

18

20

19

23

15

24

23

0

8

0

10

11

12

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

General Marshall: I do not recall the ressage. Ik now the one you are referring to.

Mr. Mitchell: You have examined the book?

General Marshall: Yes, sir. I saw it in the book.

Mr. Mitchell: And you have no recollection of ever see-

General Marshall: I have no recollection of that.

Mr. Mitchell: Are you familiar with the decoded Jap
message of November 19th, translated November 28th, which appears in the book of diplomatic intercepts at page 154, which
set up an emergency system of communication between the Japs
and their foreign representatives by the use of certain words
and weather broadcasts?

General Marshall: I remember seeing this winds message at the time it came through. This is the winds message, I believe.

Mr. Mitchell: That is the ressage that established the code, the one on page 154. It is in Japanese there.

General Marshall: Oh, I see. I do not remember exactly that. I am familiar with that specific winds message which would utilize this code, I believe, would it not?

Mr. Mitchell: Well, there are two. There is this message which came in on the 19th of November and was translated on November 28th, it says here, in which the Japs said to

WARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D C

WASHINGTON D

17

16

10

18

21

20

22

24

23

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

cs

24

25

Witness Marshall

uestions by: Mr. Mitchell

their Washington diplomatic representatives:

"Regarding the broadcast of a special ressage in an emergency."

General Marshall: I think I can say now specifically I 414 see 1t.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember then that after that message was received that any attempt was made to alert monitoring stations to listen in to the Japanese weather broadcasts to see whether what we call an implementing message was later sent out?

General Marshall: I do not know whether I knew just what it was then but I know now what instructions were given by G-2, so whether I knew it then or not I am not prepared to say.

Mr. Mitchell: Did you ever see or know of any second message, an implementing message by which the Japs in the weather broadcast said the "East wind - rain" or "North wind - cloudy", indicating war with the United States?

General Marshall: I have no recollection of such a message or such data, rather.

Mr. Mitchell: How?

General Marshall: I have no recollection of such data.

Mr. Mitchell: The FCC, the Federal Communications Commission monitoring station which had been alerted to listen

Ei.

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

31

19

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

for this implementing weather broadcast report shows that on December 7th, after the Pearl Harbor attack, they 114 intercept an implementing message weather broadcast which contained not the expression "East wind - rain", which meant trouble with the United States, but "West wind - clear", which meant trouble with Great Britain. That was after the Japanese attack. Did you ever hear or know of that?

General Marshall: No, sir, I do not know anything about that.

Mr. Mitchell: What were your usual office hours during the first week in December 1941?

General Marshall: Well, at that period of short days and cold it was my custom to arrive at the War Department about 7:30 and to leave the Department somewhere between 4:30 and 5 and then ride in the evening from ? to 9. On Sunday, which brings into question December 7th, it was my habit to have breakfast about eight and then ride after that and then go to the War Department.

Mr. Mitchell: Then go to the War Department? General Marshall: Yes, sir.

Mr. Mitchell: Do you remember this diplomatic message from Tokyo to their Ambassadors here, what we call for short the fourteen part message and the one P.M. message?

General Marshall: Yes, sir.

20 21

22

23

24

9

5

(3

8

0

10

11 .

12

13

1-1

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

Witness Marshall

Questions by: Mr. Mitchell

Mr. Mitchell: Will you state in your own way just when you first knew about that and under what circumstances?

General Marshall: I first was aware of this message when I reached the --

The Chairman: I suggest, General, it is now practically four o'clock.

Mr. Mitchell: Yes, it is four o'clock.

The Chairman: Unless the General wishes to go on the committee might wait until tomorrow.

General Marshall: What is your plessure? The Chairman: We have been a Journing at four o'clock. We will stand in recess until 10 o'clock tomorrow.

(Whereupon, at 4 o'clock P.M., December 6, 1945, an adjournment was taken until 10 o'clock A. M., Friday, December 7, 1945.)