

GHQ/SCAP Records (RG 331, National Archives and Records Service)

Description of contents

(1) Box no. 2969

(2) Folder title/number: (18)
Adult Education Surveillance Report

(3) Date: Mar. 1949 - Apr. 1949

(4) Subject:

Classification	Type of record
9813	c

(5) Item description and comment:
Hyogo

(6) Reproduction: Yes No

(7) Film no.

Sheet no.

(Compiled by *National Diet Library*)

	attendance	M.	F.
Fudo Legal ^{Health.} Economics	67	39	28
Minamiyamada Economics, Education	53	37	16

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yamadamura A.E. Course Date 28th April 49
 Location Two places mentioned above, Yamadamura, Kuritogun
 Chairman of course Seichi Horii, farming technician
 Inspecting officer Gen. H. Kashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kotarō Onoda
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor, Seichi Horii, farming technician
 - b. Economics, Masujiro Sugie, Mayor
 - c. Education, Ben Mizuno, primary principal
 - d. Legal, Mitsutoshi Furumasa, Abk. Mayor
 - e. Health, Seichi Horii, Health officer
 - f. Welfare, Kotarō Onoda, village officer
 - g. Information, Yonosuke Kishimoto, Primary teacher
3. Period: 20.30 - 24.00 (7/3 - 28/4)
4. Attendance: 67 Male: 39 Female: 28
 - a. Age group 35 - 45 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal, Health, Economics Education
 - b. Audio or visual aids Slides - PTA meeting, American school
 - c. Open discussion on education and health problems
 - d. Critique or summary villagers are very inquisitive and earnest to study
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (~~No~~)

Publicity Printed schedules were distributed, steering committee made call door to door every time.

Budget ¥ 8,700

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? ^{90%} (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Everyone are interested with the education, gathering time is more punctual than others.

Some of them were very eager to know about democracy and Communism clearly in detail.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Education

Name Nakasumura A C Course Date 25th April 49
 Location Branch School, Ayame Nakasumura, Yasugun
 Chairman of course Jigemon Morita, Mayor
 Inspecting officer Genji Ohashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kinnimatsu Ishizuka
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor Seitaro Katsumi, youth ass'n president
 - b. Economics Nideo Tsuji, village officer
 - c. Education Hisoshi Tanada, primary principal
 - d. Legal Raichi Nakajima, Asst. Mayor
 - e. Health Keiro Kawabata, village health officer
 - f. Welfare Takashi Kobayashi, welfare officer
 - g. Information Kiyoshi Yamamoto, primary teacher
3. Period: 21.30-24.30 (1/3-4/5)
4. Attendance: 25 Male: 18 Female: 7
 - a. Age group 40-50 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor, Education
 - b. Audio or visual aids Slides - Rightful union activities, sovereignty, people with
 - c. Open discussion on farm labor problems and taxation programs
 - d. Critique or summary The place is a small fishing village of less than 40 houses, so they had a easy, comfortable, congenial feeling at home.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

publicity posters printing matters, list and schedule of the program.

Budget ¥ 26,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full ^{70%} conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? ^{70%} (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Nakasumura Authorities prepared one of the most careful, effective posters which described the purpose and Subject of Education to tell people what is going on for their ~~own~~ good interest.

Most people do not understand the meaning of "so called adult education" so quite indifference to this program. Publicizing Better, easier to understand, is most necessary to make the education effective.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Labor
Tsuchiyama A.E. Course Date 29th
 Location Tsuchiyama primary, Kita Tsuchiyama, Tsuchiyamacho Kurayama
 Chairman of course Takezo Yokota, village office chief clerk.
 Inspecting officer Gen. Hashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Takuzi Jihira, Mayor
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor Yasugoro Omani, farmer
 - b. Economics Sukeshiro Hattori, farmer, Coop director
 - c. Education, Nobuo Inuihara, village office ^{pres.}
 - d. Legal, Sadao Hirano, house dealer
 - e. Health, Kame Yabu, midwife
 - f. Welfare, Senjio Tsutsumi, Lumber dealer
 - g. Information, Yasugoro Omani, farmer
3. Period: (5/3 - 29/4)
4. Attendance: 325 Male: 180 Female: 145
 - a. Age group 25 - 40 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Yasugoro Omani, True spirit of democratic labor union
 - b. Audio or visual aids CIE FILM NO 95, 21.65 were shown
 - c. Open discussion on rationalization of industrial enterprises and unemployment.
 - d. Critique or summary For many children gathered to see movie. It was very hard to keep them quiet
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Publicity Posters, steering Committee's publicity among each Communities.

Budget ¥20,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? ^{80%} (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? ^{80%} (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Big crowd packed in school lecture hall. everyone listened to speeches attentively, but children made ^{too} much noise.

A special consideration should be paid to Central minor people in such an occasion.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Primary School Date 25 Apr. 49
 Location Shimo Sakamoto-mura, Shiga-gun
 Chairman of course Kyoko Yoshida
 Inspecting officer E. Yamataka.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kozaburo Yamada

2. Name of committee:

- a. Echijiro Furuta
- b. Kyoko Yoshida
- c. Minoru Ueda
- d. Kazuo Yamamoto
- e. _____
- f. _____
- g. _____

3. Period: 19 Mar. — 25 Apr.

4. Attendance: 300 Male: 150 Female: 150

a. Age group _____

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman 1. Public health

2. Public welfare.

b. Audio or visual aids charts and movies.

c. Open discussion none.

d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes X) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. *Publicity:*
 - a. *Adult education course pamphlet was distributed to each house.*
 - b. *The date and lessons of adult education course were announced on village notification boards.*

2. *Budget used: ₦2,000*

3. *Attendance rate:*

participants: 300

Attendants anticipated: 400

} *75%*

4. *Punctuality:*

I feel that the development of punctuality movement is necessary. On account of delay of opening time, closing time was usually late.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Primary School Date 28⁵ Apr. 49
 Location Sakamoto-mura, Shiga-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Shozaburo Kobayashi
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Genyu Nakayama

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. Ryojin Ohashi
- b. Mr. Eitaro Akiyama
- c. Mrs. Yae Nakashima
- d. Mrs. Kiku Itakura
- e. Mr. Yasuo Yamamoto
- f. Mr. Kazuhiko Yamaguchi
- g. _____

3. Period: 8 Apr. — 25 Apr.

4. Attendance: 66 Male: 41 Female: 25

a. Age group 35 (average)

5. Program used:

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public health
1. On health centre 2. Diseases-deprive of health 3. Private health and sanitation
- b. Audio or visual aids charts.
- c. Open discussion on health and sanitation in general.
- d. Critique or summary Very understandable and effective

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes X) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>) (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. *Publicity:*
 - a. *Distribution of pamphlet per "Buraku"*
 - b. *expression of posters ~~per~~ made by village*
 - c. *Announcements by village blackboard (3 places).*
 - d. *Issuance of audience ticket.*
2. *Budget used: ¥ 6,800.*
Deficit amount will be paid out of 28,340 yen of social education expenses.
3. *Attendance rate:*
Attendants: 66
Attendants anticipated: 100 } rate: 66%
4. *The instructors of this village were all famous people. But the attendance rate was generally not so good.*

ADULT EDUCATION INSPECTION REPORT.

Dr. Rinko Teramura.

Started the inspection of the Adult Education Program of the community level from the 7 of March.

My inspection was surprise inspection from the first one till the end except few of which I telephoned before hand.

The names of villages inspected are as follows:-

Gamo-gun
Ichinobe-mura,
Shima-mura
Azuchi-mura

Koga-gun
Sayama-mura

Takashima-gun
Honjo-mura
Kawakami-mura
Momose-mura

Shiga-gun
Komatsu-mura

Sakata-gun
Maibara-cho
Okinaga-mura
Okisato-mura
Samegai-mura
Kashiwabara-mura
Higashi-kuroda-mura
Sunjo-mura
Ibuki-mura
Torimoto-mura
Ohara-mura

Higashi-azai-gun
Torahime-cho
Osato-mura
Hayami-mura
Chikubu-mura
Asahi-mura
Odani-mura
Higashikusano-mura
Kamikusano-mura
Shimokusano-mura
Tane-mura
Yuda-mura
Nanao-mura

Nagahama city.

Otsu city.
Ishiyama school
C.P.H.

The number of villages which held the meeting in the evening were 23 out of 33 inspected villages.

The number of villages that heald the meeting during day time were 6.

The number of villages that heald the meeting from morning till evening that is throughout the day were 2, Torimoto and Hayami.

The numer of villages that held the meeting at each block were 29.

The number of villages that held the meetings at just one place were 4.

The villages that held the meetings giving less than 3 lectures at a time were 25.

The villages that held the meeting giving all the subjects at one night or day were 8.

The number of attendants or the % of attendants of the villages are generally better in the rural districts than in the cities and towns.

Nagahama city, Otsu city, and Torahime town were the worst among all the villages which I had inspected, in their program planning and administration. And so I should say there is no much effect of Adult Education in the above mentioned cities and towns. Nevertheless the chiefs of Social education section of the above mentioned cities and towns promised to replan and hold the better meeting sometime in the short future.

The number of villages that showed the slides or movie C.I.E. pictures were 17.

The villages that had the very good recreation programs were Higashikuroda, Okinaga, and Ibuki villages. Especially the Ibuki mura youth association was very cooperative and brought up the very fine recreation.

The recreation methods taken were mostly records-concert, singing, Japanese dances and plays acted by the school children.

Yuda-mura, Okinaga-mura and Ibuki-mura were the most successful villages on this adult education.

Critique or summary.

1. As a whole this adult education course was quite effective. Although there had been quite many difficulties and obstacles on carrying this course it was effective as for the first trial.

2. The period for the adult education course was not very wisely chosen. As for this is an agricultural prefecture it ought to have started a little earlier or later in the summer. Summer is far better for farmers and also easier to attend than, than in cold winter seasons.

3. It would be more effective if we did give a little more less lectures at a time. Giving the lectures on 7 different subjects in a short period was too complicated and difficult for the general public. It would be easier if they pick up one specific subject a whole month or so and go on to the next.

4. As a whole the publicity was poor. As the general public have no interest on these things, the most important thing to make people attend is the effective way giving the proper information, that is publicity.

There was to be more close cooperation of news-paper, village official gazette, loud-speakers announcement at the stations and theatres.

5. The speakers as a whole were little poor. Speakers on Education and Health were generally good but in other subjects sometimes the speakers were so poor that audience had not understood or took no interest. So the selecting of the speakers should be more careful.

6. In general where there was a close connection and cooperation between village office and administration committee the Adult Education was effective, that is the cooperation of the major, village officials, school teachers, youth and women's associations etc. When just one man in the village office is to handle this program of course that would fail, but this happened in very many villages.

7. It would be also a good try out if they carried out Adult Education course according to the different group of people such as one day for youth association, one day for the women's association, and the other day for general public, etc.

8. It is desired to push and support constantly from back on the social education program for it is very important and needed at present Japan.

Before closing this report I could say the Adult Education Course was quite effective. But it is necessary to have the constant guide and support, not just forget about as the period of A.D.C. is over.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Economy

Name Coop Meeting Hall Date 25 Apr

Location Shima-mura, Gamo-gun

Chairman of course Mr. Buhei FUKAI

Inspecting officer K. Shintani

Organization - very poorly established, have no specific place for course, which is occasionally held accompanying with other meeting.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Village Headman, Mr. Nakae

2. Name of committee:

a. Education - Village headman, primary school principle

b. Health - official of Health Center, school teacher

c. Labr - Village Headman

d. Inf - Village Ass. Headman

e. Legal - Village official

f. Welfare - Welfare Com

g. Econ - Coop Director

3. Period: 2 Mar - 25 Apr

4. Attendance: 150 Male: 140 Female: 10

a. Age group over 30 most

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman no Econ lesson was

b. Audio or visual aids no presented on the occasion of coop. general meeting

c. Open discussion no not as a independent adult education course.

d. Critique or summary no

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (0) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes 0) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. The lakeside area of Gamo-gun, such as Azuchi-mura and this village is not devotedly working for the programme. People in these villages are not interested in the adult education and this seems to be attributable to living manners and customs of the area.
2. This village's A E course has not been organized independently but has been presented depending upon other chances of meeting such as P.T.A, Agri Coop or Women's Assoc's Meeting, so that as many as possible audience would be available for the course. If the course presented independently, only a few attendants would be gathered, they said.
3. It is regrettable that committee and village authority have not due understanding on the course and the subjects of lessons are apt to turning aside of the original programme. They have such item as "School Sanitation" "Educational fundamental law" "Social Education" "How to cook oatmeal" etc, included in the A E course.
4. When I evaluated the presentation as unsatisfactory, village headman told me that as it was this village's presentation was a model one in those precinct when comparatively studied. We could see how it was the A E course in this neighborhood.
5. The committee was suggested to present one again more satisfactory on the coming summer season.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shosaiji Temple Hozuki Date April 27, 1949
 Location Wakigabata-mura Iwagami-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Kichinosuke Miyata mayor of village
 Inspecting officer Y. Kusatani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. K. Miyata mayor of village
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. M. Omori deputy mayor of village
 - b. Mr. K. Kawase treasurer of village office
 - c. Mr. T. Fukuyama an official of village office
 - d. Miss Y. Tanimoto an official of village office
 - e. Miss M. Tsujinaka an official of village office
 - f. Ms. S. Tautani a mechanic of forest union
 - g. _____
3. Period: from April 27 to May 25
4. Attendance: 38 Male: 25 Female: 13 (42 households)
 - a. Age group 20 - 35
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education
What is new education? What is the principle of democracy?
 - b. Audio or visual aids no aid
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No ✓)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Kuzoshi Iwanatsu a teacher of junior high school.

Mr. K. Iwanatsu, who is in charge of economic, was a substitute lecturer for Mr. S. Shigaya. Accordingly he was not so well-prepared for the lecture. He picked up the important characteristic of democracy. But his explanation was far from what attendants wanted to hear. It was very good that attendants were very enthusiastic about the lecture. Although they are living in very remote place, it was admirable that they have much interest in education and new laws.

IV. Budget.

¥1,200. was appropriated for adult education course.

Remuneration for lecturers ¥1,200.00

V. Publicity.

Posters urging villagers to attend the course were made and posted on bulletin boards by school children.

Villagers were notified about the details of the course through school children by teachers.

Circulars regarding adult education course were distributed among heads of blocks.

Quing to the fire which broke out on April 2, the adult education course has been postponed until April 27. The fire razed 8 dwelling houses and the village shrine which is about one eighth of the total dwelling houses. It was severe hit to this village.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kanaya Public Hall Date April 28 1949
 Location Hegashi-kura-mura Inuyama-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. K. Kawase mayor of village
 Inspecting officer Y. Kusatani C.F. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. K. Kawase mayor of village
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. T. Naguchi deputy mayor of village
 - b. Mr. K. Hashimoto treasurer
 - c. Mr. S. Kamino official of village office
 - d. Mr. Y. Inoue official of village office
 - e. Mr. M. Yamagawa principal of primary school
 - f. Mrs. Y. Nakayama chairman of village council
 - g. Mr. O. Fukuda teacher of junior high school
3. Period: From April 11 to April 28
4. Attendance: 52 Male: 32 Female: 20
 - a. Age group 30-40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman new Family Law
 - b. Audio or visual aids no
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No ✓)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. S. Kamino official of village office -

He grasped the general idea of the revision of civil law but he does not understand the reason why the old civil law has been replaced by new one. As his explanation failed to solve the question attendants have toward the fundamental change of civil law. I urged him to study actual cases and be well prepared for any questions coming up from attendants.

IV. Budget

710,000 were appropriated for adult education course in 1949 fiscal year. But the details of the budget have not decided yet.

V. Publicity

~~Plan~~

Pamphlets telling the details of the course and urging villagers to attend the course have been distributed among every household.

Posters have been posted on bulletin boards.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Eisshoji temple Kiso Date April 26 1949
 Location Tabachō Inugamigun
 Chairman of course Mr. S. Akada, a priest, chairman of public welfare committee
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. S. Akada a buddhist priest

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. K. Yamamoto official of village office
- b. Miss M. Kawabata president of women's organization
- c. Mr. R. Tsubimoto president of buddhist association
- d. Mr. M. Nomura member of town council
- e. Mr. R. Kogai ex-president of youth organization
- f. Mr. T. Yamamoto vice-president of P. T. A.
- g. Mr. K. Miyawaki a farmer

3. Period: from March 22 to April 30

4. Attendance: 26 Male: 20 Female: 6

a. Age group 30-40

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor

b. Audio or visual aids no aid

c. Open discussion

d. Critique or summary

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|------------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Seiichiro Hayashi, a farmer.
 Keeping the neutral position, he explained about the current labor problem, that is, the revision of three fundamental labor laws, which are now under heating discussion in national Diet.
 Although farmers are generally not interested in labor problem, he succeeded in letting the attendants listening to his lecture.
 It is desirable for him to study the purpose of the revision of labor laws.

IV Budget

Budget for A. E. Course is as follows.

1948 fiscal year.

Remuneration

¥850 (per capita) x 15 (lecturers) x 1 (days)	¥11,250.00	¥11,950.00
¥100 (" ") x 7 (steering committee member)	¥ 700.00	

Travel expense

¥ 2,000.00

Wear & Tear expense

¥ 3,500.00

Printing expense

¥ 3,500.00

Miscellaneous (including the purchase of books)

¥ 5,000.00

1949 fiscal year.

Remuneration

¥200 x 7 (steering committee members)

¥1,400.00

¥7,200.00

¥200 x 7 (lecturers)

¥1,400.00

¥100 x 14 (assistant lecturers)

¥1,400.00

Travel expense

¥3,000.00

Miscellaneous

¥6,000.00

Printing expense

¥5,000.00

Char. coal. & meeting place expense

¥5,000.00

Total 24,400.00

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Education

Name Asamiyamura A.E. Course Date 7th April 49
 Location Kami-asamiya, Asamiyamura, Kurogun
 Chairman of course Shonosuke Nenishi, farmer
 Inspecting officer Geo. T. Gashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kiyoichi Tsujimoto
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor Kamejiro Otani, farmer
 - b. Economics Mitsuo Higuchi, farmer
 - c. Education Toshiro Koyama, primary teacher
 - d. Legal Seizaemon Sowa, farmer
 - e. Health Shigemitsu Nenishi, farmer
 - f. Welfare Tsuneyo Sowa, farmer
 - g. Information Shoichi Sugimull, farmer
3. Period: 20.30 - 24.00 (13/3 - 8/5)
4. Attendance: 159 Male: 101 Female: 58
 - a. Age group 35-45 - average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor problems presented from different angles in thorough going way by special instructor Mr. K. Kanemitsu, Chief of Minatouchi Employment Security Office
 - b. Audio or Visual aids None
 - c. Open discussion on labor standard regulations, wages and efficiency regarding tea manufacturing industry
 - d. Critique or summary Everyone was very eager to listen to Mr. Kanemitsu, so vital and interesting speech as it was, as the Community is a tea growing center
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
publicity Steering Committee held conference with people in each communities. posters
Circular letters were circulated
Budget ¥ 20,000.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)
7. Is it effective? 100% (Yes) (No ~~✓~~)

III. Recommendations and Comment :

The place is one of the busiest tea growing communities and the season is just at hand, so the both of employees and Employers are very enthusiastic so much as they invited Minakuchi Employment Security office Chief Mr. H. Kanemitsu, instead of their local instructor for labor subject, to study especially about labor standard and employment legislations.

Tea picking and manufacturing is a short-seasonal work. Certain discontent exist among workers regarding wages as they are fixed one-sidedly by employers because the workers are not organized.

Time work or piece work is a main dispute in tea manufacturing. It is said that labor standard office is investigating the situation with much interests.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Village Meeting Hall Date 23 Apr
 Location Asahi-mura, Kangaki-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Tokuzen OOMI
 Inspecting officer K. Shintani

Only one place in whole village. 7 evenings covering 2-3 hours at each evening. Attendance has been only 20%

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Tokuzen OOMI

2. Name of committee:

- | | | |
|----|--|---|
| a. | <u>Propelling Com</u> | <u>Expert Com</u> |
| b. | <u>a. priest</u> | <u>Ed - principal of pri. school</u> |
| c. | <u>School teacher</u> | <u>Yth - Director of Youth Assoc.</u> |
| d. | <u>Village Assembly Man</u> | <u>Leg - Village official</u> |
| e. | <u>Chairman of Land Committee</u> | <u>Econ - Director of Agri. Coop</u> |
| f. | <u>Ass. Director of Women's Assoc.</u> | <u>Lab - former Village Ass. Headman.</u> |
| g. | <u>Vill. Economic official</u> | <u>Wel - Director of Woman's Assoc.</u> |
| | <u>Ass. Director of Youth Assoc.</u> | <u>Health - Village doctor</u> |

3. Period: 20 Mar 23 Apr

4. Attendance: 150 Male: 40 Female: 110

a. Age group All variety of adults (Youth 20%)

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman short presentation for introducing speaker

b. Audio or visual aids slide (our community life)

c. Open discussion no.

d. Critique or summary no.

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No O)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No 0)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes 0) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ?) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes 0) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No 0)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes ?) (No)
7. Is it effective? To some degree (Yes 0) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Gathering of audiences has been no good, having only one place for the course. The committee is suggested to repeat and reinforce the same course in coming non-busy-farming season.

2. Chairman expressed his opinions that some of 20 lessons would be better cut out, such as "Labor" and "criminal procedures" because in such farming area, those lessons would give no interest to the audience and therefore only a few attend to the place, while such lessons which had close connection with daily life ought to be increased such as "Meaning of democracy" "Economic information" etc. On this point I agree with his opinions.

3. Speakers' presentations were no good, they are required to study on the text more earnestly.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Otake Primary School Date April 17 1949
 Location Otake-mura Inuyama-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. G. Hayami mayor of village
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. G. Hayami mayor of village
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. S. Morimoto deputy mayor of village
 - b. Mr. R. Kamigaki a lumber dealer
 - c. Mr. K. Tatewara farmer
 - d. Mr. J. Sakagami a sake brewer
 - e. Mr. K. Shigenori a member of village council
 - f. Mr. J. Sawada a farmer
 - g. Ms. B. Tanaka a farmer
3. Period: From April 17 to May 10
4. Attendance: 75 Male: 27 Female: 48
 - a. Age group 30-40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education the essence of democracy Public Welfare, the new Constitution Economic
 - b. Audio or visual aids movie film, Comedy film 3 reels
 - c. Open discussion no discussion
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. M. Motomochi a principal of primary school
As he is used to speech, he explicated the principles of democracy systematically. Every word and expression he used was very familiar with attendants. So far as lecture is concerned, his was a success. However, if he had referred to freedom and equality, two important characteristics of democracy, a little more thoroughly, his lecture would have been more successful.
At any rate, his explanation about democracy and democratic thinking was satisfactory.

IV. Budget

The draft budget for A.E. course, ¥5,000.00, will be submitted to village council. (in 1989 fiscal year)

Travel Expense	1,500.00
Remuneration for lecturers	1,000.00
Tea & Wear Expense	1,500.00
Micellaneous	1,000.00
	<hr/>
	5,000.00

V. Publicity

Posters urging villagers to attend the course have been posted on bulletin boards in every block.

Villagers in charge of education in every block were asked to explain others the details of the course for other people.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shogonji temple Nakasato Date April 29 1949
 Location Higashioshitate-mura Colingun
 Chairman of course Mr. K. Nakano, village official
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C.E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. K. Nakano, village official
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. K. Nishigawa, chairman of youth organization
 - b. Mrs. K. Ohta, chairwoman of P.T.A.
 - c. Mr. T. Ohta, a member of agricultural adjustment committee
 - d. Mr. R. Kinka, a priest, a member of welfare committee
 - e. Mr. B. Sumeragi, a Buddhist priest, teacher of Sr. high school
 - f. Mr. K. Isobe, deputy mayor
 - g. Mr. S. Mitsuya, a Buddhist priest, a member of welfare committee
3. Period: from April 1 to April 30
4. Attendance: 57 Male: 30 Female: 27 (55 home holds. 100%)
 - a. Age group 50-60
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman New Constitution and Family System
 - b. Audio or visual aids _____
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No) *not so effective*
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Y. Tonomura, chairman of village assembly. Although he tried to make the audience understand new Constitution and new Civil law, he couldn't succeed. As he picked up various problems unsystematically and at random, his lecture had no point and was vague. Besides he exposed himself not well-prepared for his lecture. His replies for questions coming up from the audience were all of points and couldn't get them satisfied. I urged him to study new laws all over again, find out important points and be ready for any question coming up.

IV. Budget.

¥3,000.00 draft budget for adult education course ~~was~~ *was* submitted and approved by village assembly. The details of the budget have not been decided yet.

V. Publicity.

Generally speaking, publicity program is very poor.

No poster, leaflet and pamphlet prepared.

They ^{had} held block head meeting before the course started. Villagers were notified about the program through their block heads.

It was regrettable that they did not use any written media.

Afterwards, I found out that they didn't have to figure out any particular publicity medium. Because ~~the~~ regarding the publicity, youth organization members have been very eager to publicize the A.E. course. They held general meetings just for this purpose, and decided to take proper and effective step to get people together at the meeting places in the blocks where they were living, in consequence of which they have succeeded in getting good attendance percentage.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kitaharagawa Public Hall Date April 19, 1949
 Location Higashioshitate-mura Echi-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. K. Nakano, village official
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. K. Nakano village official
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. K. Nishigawa chairman of youth organization
 - b. Mrs. K. Ohta president of P. T. A.
 - c. Mr. T. Ohta a member of agricultural adjustment committee
 - d. Mr. R. Kimba a priest, a member of public welfare committee.
 - e. Mr. B. Sumiyagi a priest, a teacher of Sr. high school.
 - f. Mr. K. Iwabe deputy mayor of village
 - g. Mrs. M. Mitsuya a buddhist priest, a member of welfare committee.
3. Period: From April 1 to April 30
4. Attendance: 70 Male: 40 Female: 30 (57 households. Attendance ratio 123%)
- a. Age group 20-30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Regarding the agricultural co-operative association
 - b. Audio or visual aids no aid
 - c. Open discussion Some attendant asked "Did you come here just propagate the agricultural co-operative association?"
 - d. Critique or summary The lecturer replied "I don't want to propagate anything about the association. I want all of you to know how you should be ready for the forthcoming election for leading members in our agricultural co-operative association."
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. J. Tanaka, a leading member of village agricultural co-operative association. He explained the function of Agricultural Co-operative Association, comparing it with the Agricultural Society which was dissolved soon after the termination of war. He explicated as follows:

During war time, farmers were forced to join the above Society, irrespective of whether they wanted or not. Besides the organization and function were so feudalistic that the free will of farmers had never been reflected in the management of the Society. Now things have completely changed. In the Agricultural Co-operative Association, farmers can join or leave the Association whenever they want. The organization and management of the Association are very democratic. His lecture was brief and to the point. It can be said successful.

IV Budget.

¥3,000.00 draft budget for adult education course was submitted and approved by village assembly. The details of the budget have not been decided yet.

V Publicity

Generally speaking, publicity program is very poor.

No poster, leaflet, and pamphlet prepared.

They had held block head meeting before the course started.

Villagers were notified about the program through their block heads.

It was regrettable that village authorities did not take up any written media.

Afterwards, I found out that they didn't have to figure out any particular publicity media. Because, regarding the publicity, youth organization members have been very eager to publicize the A.E. course. They held general meetings also just for this purpose and decided to take proper and effective step to get people together at the meeting places where they are living, in consequence of which they have succeeded in getting good attendance percentage.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hyakusaiji Nei Public Hall Date April 20, 1949
 Location Kakui-mura Echigo
 Chairman of course Y. Kurita C. E. Section
 Inspecting officer Mr. M. Namura deputy mayor of village

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. M. Namura deputy mayor of village
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mrs. K. Noda vice-president of women's organization
 - b. Mr. Y. Nakanishi president of youth organization
 - c. Mr. T. Wakigaka vice-president of youth organization
 - d. Mrs. Y. Kimura
 - e. Mr. M. Noda a clerk of agricultural adjustment committee
 - f. Mr. T. Ogura a farmer
 - g. Mr. H. Nomura a farmer
3. Period: From March 19
4. Attendance: 77 Male: 55 Female: 22
 - a. Age group 20-30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
Economics The Functions of Agricultural Co-operative Associations
Education The Principles of Democracy
 - b. Audio or visual aids Slide "Law of Labor Union"
"The Vocational Stabilization Statute"
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No) *not so enthusiastic*
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Hebotaro Nakajima, a ~~technician~~ agricultural technician. He confined his lecture just to the function of agricultural co-operative association and did not touch the ~~up-to-date~~ current problems such as the Nine-Point Economic Stabilization Program, ~~and~~ the single exchange rate and the economic crisis in Japan agriculture which is now ~~threatening~~ threatening farmers in Japan. I urged him to pick up such current topics in his lecture.

Mr. Kinjiro Kawaguchi, a principal of primary school. Democracy was outlined clearly in his lecture. But his explanation was a little beyond the understanding ~~power~~ capacity of attendees. It was desirable for him to use easy and familiar expressions in his lecture.

IV Budget.

Additional budget for A. E. course was appropriated in 1948 fiscal year as follows:

Travel expense	¥6,200.00
Remuneration for lecturers	¥11,200.00
Total	<u>¥17,400.00</u>

V. Publicity.

Block heads meeting was convened for the publicity program of A. E. course. Villagers were notified about A. E. course program by the circulars made by village office.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hyakusaiji Otan Public Hall Date April 20 1949
 Location Kabui-mura Echigo
 Chairman of course Mr. M. Namura deputy mayor of village
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. M. Namura deputy chief of village
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mrs. K. Noda vice-president of women's organization
 - b. Mr. Y. Nakamichi president of youth organization
 - c. Mr. T. Wakizawa vice president of youth organization
 - d. Mrs. Y. Kimura
 - e. Mr. M. Noda a clerk of agricultural adjustment committee
 - f. Mrs. T. Ogura a farmer
 - g. Mr. H. Nomura a farmer
3. Period: From March 19
4. Attendance: 43 Male: 33 Female: 10 47
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
Legal Government New Constitution
 - b. Audio or visual aids _____
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

IV. Evaluation

1. Is location satisfactory and easily accessible? yes ~~no~~
2. Is it attractive & comfortable yes ~~no~~
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening yes ~~no~~ not so enthusiastic
4. Is time suitable for the presentation? yes ~~no~~
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable yes ~~no~~
6. Is committee working co-operatively and with full conviction for this program yes ~~no~~
7. Is it effective yes ~~no~~ not so effective

V. Recommendations and Comments.

Mr. J. Shigemori, president of forest Union. His well-preparedness for his lecture was proved in charts and illustrations. But his explanation about New Constitution article by article was dull and far from what attendants wanted to know. Accordingly despite his strenuous efforts, his lecture was a failure. I praised his enthusiasm about his lecture and urged him to pick up and emphasize important and practical points instead of explaining the whole aspect of new Constitution vaguely.

VI. Budget.

Additional budget for A. E. course was appropriated in 1948 fiscal year as follows:

Travel expense	₹ 6,200.00
Remuneration for lecturers	₹ 1,200.00
Total	₹ 7,400.00

VII. Publicity

Block heads meeting was convened for the publicity program of A. E. course. Villagers were notified about the ~~course~~ details of ^{the} course by circulars made by village office.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Gensabuji Temple Tsutsumi Date April 22 1949
 Location Hinatan-mura Inugami-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Kobichi Furukawa a principal of primary school
 Inspecting officer Y. Kusatani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kobichi Furukawa a principal of primary school
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Y. Teramura a member of agricultural co-operative association
 - b. Mr. S. Wata a priest
 - c. Mr. K. Nakajima a teacher of primary school
 - d. Mr. J. Maeda cloth dealer
 - e. Mr. I. Odagaki a farmer
 - f. Mr. Y. Tanaka a farmer
 - g. Mr. E. Matsuzoto a farmer
3. Period: from March 15 to April 21
4. Attendance: 22 Male: 17 Female: 5
 - a. Age group 20-30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal Government
"New Civil Law" "New Criminal Trial Law"
 - b. Audio or visual aids no aid
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. K. Nakajima

As people have much concerns about new civil law and new family system, lecturer should be careful to take it up correct. If lecturer just state the note-worshiply differences between new law and old one without giving proper reasons for the change, attendants would be much disappointed at new law or entertain something like animosity against ~~new law~~ new idea about family system. This lecturer tried his best, but in the above point his lecture cannot be said to be satisfactory.

IV. Budget

Budget bill for A.E. course was submitted and approved by village council in 1949 fiscal year.

Remuneration for lecturers (7300.00 per capita x 8)	2,400.00
Tear and Wear Expenses	600.00
	73,000.00

V. Publicity

They held Steering Committee conferences and discussed the publicity program.

Villagers were notified through their children in school.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shotokuji temple Gosode Date April 22, 1949
 Location Hinata-mura Isumi-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Kobichi Tsurubawa, a principal of primary school
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani A.E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kobichi Tsurubawa a principal of primary school
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Y. Teamura, a member of agricultural co-operative association
 - b. Mr. S. Kato a priest
 - c. Mr. K. Nakajima a teacher of primary school
 - d. Mr. J. Maeda cloth dealer
 - e. Mr. S. Idagaki a farmer
 - f. Mr. Y. Tanaka a farmer
 - g. Mr. E. Matsumoto a farmer
3. Period: from March 15 to April 26
4. Attendance: 17 Male: 11 Female: 6 (19 households)
 - a. Age group 40-50
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education "New Democratic Education" Public Health "Regarding tuberculosis"
 - b. Audio or visual aids Slide "How to prevent tuberculosis" "New Education"
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation

1. Is location satisfactory and easily accessible? yes ~~no~~
2. Is it attractive and comfortable yes ~~no~~
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening yes ~~no~~
4. Is time suitable for the presentation? yes ~~no~~
5. Was speaker's presentation effective & understandable yes ~~no~~
6. Is committee working co-operatively and with full conviction for the program? yes ~~no~~
7. Is it effective yes ~~no~~

III. Recommendations and Comments

Mr. Kohichi Furukawa a principal of primary school.

The way he explained about the principles of democracy was rather satisfactory, but he missed the very important point regarding the sense of impartiality, which is one of ^{intrinsic} ~~important~~ characteristics of democracy. After his lecture was over, I pointed it out and urged him to be sure to pick up this point in next chance.

Miss Kazuko Hibida

She with the aid of slide "How to prevent T.B.", her speech was very effective. I believe the misconception regarding T.B. on the part of attendants was absolutely swept away.

IV. Budget

Budget bill for A.E. course was submitted and approved by village assembly in the last session.

Remuneration for lecturers	2,400.00
Tear & Wear expenses	600.00
	<hr/>
	73,000.00

V. Publicity

They held the A.E. Steering Committee conference and discussed the effective way of publicity. They decided to adopt oral conveyance method which has been their conventional and effective way.

Villagers were notified through their children in school.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Komyoji Temple Date April 23, 1949
 Location Mekada Hatakawa-mura Echigo-ken
 Chairman of course Mr. S. Fujiwara, a member of public welfare meeting
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C.E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. S. Fujiwara, a member of public welfare committee

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. J. Ueno, official of village office
- b. Mr. K. Nishigawa official of village office
- c. Mr. S. Kitakawa official of village office
- d. Mr. S. Takahashi official of village office
- e. Mr. J. Yoshida a principal of junior high school
- f. Mr. J. Kitamura a principal of primary school
- g. _____

3. Period: From April 11 to April 30

4. Attendance: 153 Male: 127 Female: 26

a. Age group _____

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Health, Local Government, Education, Labor

b. Audio or visual aids no aid

c. Open discussion What is meant by New Education?

d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|------------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. J. Ogawa deputy mayor of village.
 This lecture was dull and rapid. He lacks basic knowledge about the labor problem. Besides he was not well-prepared for the lecture. The subject itself is far beyond his ability. He should be replaced by some other competent lecturer.

Mr. Sentaro Kitamura a village doctor
 He explained the function of health centre and then he referred to newly ~~prom~~ enacted laws regarding sanitation such as V. D. prevention law and T. B. prevention law. His lecture was rather good, but it was regrettable that the way he answered questions ^{didn't} ~~was~~ give the attendees ~~the impression of~~ full satisfaction.

IV. Budget.

Budget for A. E. course is as follows:

1948 fiscal year

Travel Expense 1,000.00
 Wear and tear expense 5,000.00

1949 fiscal year. 76,000.00

Remuneration & Rewards

Remuneration ¥1,200.00 per capita x 7 78,400.00

Rewards for lecturers 75,000.00

(lecturers who will be invited to social education of this village)

Miscellaneous 71,500.00

714,900.00

71,490.00

Tear & Wear expense 5,000.00

Foodstuff expense 10,000.00

Printing expense 10,000.00

Translation expense 2,000.00

Grand total

741,900.00

V. Publicity.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kurikara — (Keifukuji Temple) Date 20 Apr. 49
 Location Wani-mura, Shiga-gun.
 Chairman of course Mr. Kejiro Sakurai; Bunnosuke Ueno
 Inspecting officer E. Tzamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Goroemon Nishimura
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Shachiro Daido; Mr. Yoshichi Kawamura
 - b. " Shojiro Kubo
 - c. " Niro Aya
 - d. " Suekichi Kawanaka
 - e. " Juzo Tanaka
 - f. " Tadao Iba
 - g. " Matasaburo Tanaka
3. Period: 14 Mar. — 20 Apr.
4. Attendance: 65 Male: 49 Female: 16
 - a. Age group 35 — 40 (average)
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. On prevention of crime; b. The democratization of social life; c. Legal and government (Constitution; Family Law; Tax problem, etc.)
 - b. Audio or visual aids Charts. Movie show was formerly held.
 - c. Open discussion Very vigorous and enthusiastic. (The problems on Family Law and Criminal Procedure were the centre of discussion). No questions were presented by female.
 - d. Critique or summary Very successful. The explanation on legal and government by instructor Mr. Saburo Okumura was very good.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

- a. *Publicity*: (1) Through administration committee
(2) Distribution of adult education course pamphlet.
(3) Making of attendance list
(4) Expression of posters made by village authorities (3 sheets of poster per "Buraku".)
(5) Ringing siren before 30 minutes of this course.
- b. *Budget*: ¥ 24,800
- c. *Attendance rate*:
Attendance 65.
Attendants anticipated 100 } 65%
- d. The adult education course in this village, I think, is one of the most successful in Shiga-gun.
- e. Village authorities hoped the fairness of taxation. It is said that the taxation to the same business with same income is different between Takashima-gun and Shiga-gun, according to the jurisdiction of tax office. They said that, so far as the same prefecture is concerned, this is unreasonable.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Mimamisho — (Meeting Hall) Date 2 / Apr. 49
 Location Ikatachi-mura, Shiga-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Tokushin Murase
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Nobuzo Ohigashi

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. Akira Uotani
- b. Teiichi Tanaka
- c. Doyu Masima
- d. Haruo Terada
- e. Tane Nishimura
- f. Iyashichi Izumi
- g. _____

3. Period: 8 Mar. — 30 Apr.

4. Attendance: 119 Male: 76 Female: 43

a. Age group 40 — 45 (average)

5. Program used:

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Essence of democracy
b. New Constitution c. Story of local autonomy
d. New education e. Family Law
- b. Audio or visual aids Charts:
movies and slides are prepared. Economy play.
- c. Open discussion Vigorous. (marriage; divorce,
property inheritance; name system;
inheritant tax; National health insurance, etc.)
- d. Critique or summary Successful and effective

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes X) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. *Publicity*:
 - a. *expression of posters made by village.*
 - b. *Through school children*
 - c. *Through young men's association and women's society.*
 - d. *Distribution of adult education course pamphlet.*
2. *Budget*: ₦ 5,000
no remunerations to instructors.
3. *Attendance rate.*
Attendance 119
Attendants anticipated 140 } *rate: 85%*
4. *The explanations made by instructors were very good.*

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nukui — (Branch School) Date 22 Apr. 49
 Location Katsuragawa - mura, Shiga - gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Shiro Yamamoto; Shizuko Yoshikawa
 Inspecting officer E. Yamataka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Yuji Yokoyama
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Kyuzo Nishikawa; Mr. Tokujiro Yamamoto
 - b. " Yujiro Kusubata; " Ichitaro Tanaka
 - c. " Ichitaro Yamagata; " Shinjiro Sano
 - d. " Kenzaburo Okada; " Gorosaku Uekado
 - e. * Mrs. Tomiko Nakanishi
 - f. Mrs. Tsuse Yamada
 - g. Mr. Heibei Nakanishi
3. Period: 15 Mar. — 26 Apr.
4. Attendance: 40 Male: 28 Female: 12
 - a. Age group 35 — 40 (average)
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Legal and govern-
ment b. Economy c. Public welfare
d. Public health.
 - b. Audio or visual aids Charts.
 - c. Open discussion Vigorous (Child welfare law;
Labor disaster indemnity insurance;
Equilibrium of income and expenditure.)
 - d. Critique or summary successful and effective.
The explanation made by instructor of legal
and government was specially very good.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes X) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. *Publicity:*
 - a. *Distribution of adult education course pamphlet.*
 - b. *expression of posters made by village.*
 - c. *Through vocal announcement.*
2. *Budget: ₦ 10,000*
No ~~remuneration~~ remunerations to instructors.
3. *Attendance rate.*
Attendance 40
Attendants anticipated 70 } 57.1%
4. *The second adult education course in this village — so the attendance rate was not so good, but the participants were very enthusiastic.*
5. *I advised the village authorities to hold a movie show for adult education's visual aids.*

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Torahime-cho Higashiazai-gun Date 21st April
 Location _____
 Chairman of course Saga Tazo Town major
 Inspecting officer Rinzo Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Saga Tazo
2. Name of committee:

a.	Education: <u>Fujimori Toyozo</u>	middle school principal
b.	Information: <u>Fuji Yasutaka</u>	assistant mayor
c.	Legal: <u>Kabayashi Kazuo</u>	police chief
d.	Economics: <u>Osada Sakujiro</u>	agricul. assoc.
e.	Labor: <u>Nishikawa Hideoji</u>	youth association
f.	Welfare: <u>Kujita Tomotaru</u>	minsei jin.
g.	Health: <u>Tomonaga Tomotaru</u>	doctor
3. Period: 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 April
4. Attendance: 276/620 Male: 620 Female: 250
 - a. Age group 20 ~ 60 years old
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education, Economics, Welfare & Health
 - b. Audio or visual aids none
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary none
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? () (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

This town office & administration Committee are not cooperating fully and the mayor has no interest at all for this program. The present main problem they have is new middle school and thus there are troubles among the people of this town & town office. Willing to repeat this kind of program sometime in leisure time for farmers.

Budget

2000.-

Publicity

very poor
just by block heads.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Takebe, mura Kanzaki gun Date 18 / April
 Location Kamihiyoshi primary school
 Chairman of course Tanemura Chokei major
 Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tanemura Chokei
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education Kato Takao principal
 - b. Information Tsuji Toyokichi youth association
 - c. Legal Tanemura Osamu public official
 - d. Labor Minami Yosokichi farmer
 - e. Economics Tomita Hiroshi agricult asse.
 - f. Health Ogino Tei nurse
 - g. Welfare Umehara Kozo Mitsui Jin
3. Period: 26, 4, 8, 18, 23, 28, April & March 19.00~
4. Attendance: 100 / 447 Male: 65 Female: 35
 - a. Age group youth 90%
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health + Welfare
 - b. Audio or visual aids movie picture + slides
 - c. Open discussion presented
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

This ~~town~~ village is having also the meeting at one place and this is not enough. It would be more effective if they had it at several places. The presentations were very good and understandable.

Budget:
10000.-

Publicity:
by youth association + block heads.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Odami-mura Higashi azai gun Date 20th April
Location "Yono" Meeting hall
Chairman of course major
Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirota

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: _____

2. Name of committee:

- a. _____
- b. _____
- c. _____
- d. as reported before
- e. _____
- f. _____
- g. _____

3. Period: 1300 ~ 1600

4. Attendance: 480/619 Male: _____ Female: _____

a. Age group youth & middle aged man & women

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____

b. Audio or visual aids slides

c. Open discussion presented

d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Village officials are very eager for this program.
Here the lectures are held at 7 blocks 7 times
at each block.
80% of the ~~the~~ Number of Houses attended this course
since the first lecture.

Budget:-
not decided.

Publicity:-
through youth + women association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sakamoto omura Shiga-gun Date 21 April
 Location primary school
 Chairman of course major
 Inspecting officer Ryokai Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: aniskan major
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Kobayashi Sosaburo school principal
 - b. Information: Yamaguchi Kogaku newspaper man
 - c. Legal: Ishi Junji Kaguro judge
 - d. Economics: Matabe Shiro P.T.A. president
 - e. Labor: Kawashima Norio labor bureau man
 - f. Welfare: Takagi Ryohan high school teacher
 - g. Health: Yamamoto Ideuori doctor
3. Period: 8/4 ~ 21/4 7 times at each block one place
4. Attendance: 50 Male: 35 Female: 15
 - a. Age group 90% youth
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman welfare
 - b. Audio or visual aids _____
 - c. Open discussion bright
 - d. Critique or summary good
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The speakers are all very good, well selected.
Publicity is rather poor.
They should have planned the meeting at each block
or so. Only at one place is not suitable
Will have this kind of program during farming leisure
time.

Budget:

- 10,000.-
- ① printing
- ② travel expense
- ③ pay for speakers.

Publicity:
not good.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Economics

Name Kumoinura A Course Date 23rd April 49.
 Location Chokushi, Kumoinura, Kōka-gun
 Chairman of course Ryusei Yamami, Buddhist Priest
 Inspecting officer Govt. Ohashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Takeshi Nakajima
 2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor, Yasutaro Hakanishi, Industrial association director
 - b. Economics
 - c. Education, Zensu Ichino, primary principal
 - d. Legal, Kiichi Kise, junior high teacher
 - e. Health, Yoshimura, Doctor
 - f. Welfare, Ryusei Yamami, priest
 - g. Information, Takeshi Nakajima
 3. Period: (8/3 — 29/4)
 4. Attendance: 145 Male: 93 Female: 52
 - a. Age group 30 — 40 Average
 5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Hakanishi were labor and Economics
 - b. Audio or visual aids Slides — Rightful labor union movement, 3000 miles sewing machine
 - c. Open discussion on relation of farm labor with factory labor, nine points economic recovery program and rural communities
 - d. Critique or summary Slides pleased the audience very much, lecture was full of humor, discussions were lively and enjoyable, hostess everybody was happy.
 6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
 7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
- Publicity attendance book were distributed posters informed, publicized through school children by teachers
 Budget ¥20,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? ^{to full extent.} (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full ^{100%} conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? ^{100%} (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Two young village officers in charge of A & B are very active and energetic to do every efforts to bring the job to success.

They are taking a great care, not to make people tired and sleepy, in another words they are trying to keep them recreative and enjoyable, accordingly the educational effect is very great.

It is advisable to have an expert to take charge of recreation and publicity work in every villages, from now on, in occasions of these events.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Economics
 Name Oikaminuma AECourse Date Hotaka 1945
 Location CPH Kawanashita Oikaminuma, Kuritagon
 Chairman of course Suekichi Nakano village officer
 Inspecting officer Geo. O. Gashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Suekichi Nakano
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor, Gouhei Eedo Primary principal
 - b. Economics, Haruo Tanura Junior high teacher
 - c. Education, Hiroshi Kobayashi Senior high teacher
 - d. Legal, Ryujun Kurudawa Constitution diffuse instructor
 - e. Health, Matsurichi Yoshida, Doctor
 - f. Welfare, Tokuya Tamura, Welfare officer, Priest
 - g. Information, Hiroshi Kobayashi, Senior high teacher
3. Period: 20.30 - 24.30 (29/3 - 23/4)
4. Attendance: 130 Male: 93 Female: 37
 - a. Age group 35 - 45 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor Economics
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides Rightful labor union, Training of union executive members
 - c. Open discussion on rice delivery and tax problems
 - d. Critique or summary Everyone understood what is democracy and satisfied with having opportunity to grasp the sentiment of democratic Japan through this course
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

publicity Collected "oath of attendance" from populace posters.

Budget ¥25,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? ^{90%} (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? ^{90%} (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The place where meeting was held is small community of 96 households but attendance was more than 100%

Instructors were fully competent for the purpose. Convincible answers and explanations were given to every questions and discussions.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Consolidated studies for seven subjects
 Name Oshimura A & Course Date 22nd April 49
 Location Oishi primary school, Naka, Oshimura, Kuritogun
 Chairman of course Kendo Nakazawa, post master
 Inspecting officer Gen. Chashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kyūichirō Watanabe, Mayor
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor Ichimasa Kasemura, Forestry Association President
 - b. Economic Shigeo Fujita, Farmers' Coop President
 - c. Education Kōji Nakamura, Junior High Teacher
 - d. Legal Takayoshi Sakata, Farmer
 - e. Health Kira Nakayama, Doctor
 - f. Welfare Benkyō Matsudani, Primary Teacher
 - g. Information Kendo Nakazawa, Post Master
3. Period: 14.30 - 18.00 (26/3 - 22/4)
4. Attendance: 123 Male: 75 Female: 48
 - a. Age group 25 - 40 Average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Consolidated studies on seven subjects
 - b. Audio or visual aids None
 - c. Open discussion Thorough and thorough on general problems of different subjects, most important to their daily living such as public health, tax, family law, birth control, and population, 5 day school system, farm labor for full four hours.
 - d. Critique or summary People were so much satisfied with this study that they want to have such interesting & useful opportunity as often as possible in future.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

publicity posters prepared by school children steering committee did their best to publicize the project

Budget ¥10,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? *in full extent* (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? ^{100%} (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? ^{100%} (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The Consolidated studies of democracy on different subjects with 7 instructors present is the most effective and understandable than anything else.

Of course, the purpose of this meeting must be well publicized to the public, so that they can think and prepare to ask what they want to know, what they think of problems beforehand.

It is necessary for speaker to be sure to ask the questioner whether he is satisfied with the explanation or not every time, if not satisfactory further speech must be given.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Legal
Parasomura A.E. Course Date 19th April 49
 Location Parasomura Primary School, Parasomura, Kakagun
 Chairman of course Fatsumasuke Takumoto, Asst Mayor
 Inspecting officer Geno. Ohashi

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mrs Sei Waki
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labour Fumio Kitamura, village officer
 - b. Economics Taro Takasaki, village accountant
 - c. Education Shigeji Nakamura, junior high teacher
 - d. Legal Giichi Kawajiri, village officer
 - e. Health Gonosuke Fukui, Doctor
 - f. Welfare Faizuke Morikawa, village officer
 - g. Supervisor Ichiro Takada, farmer's cooperative director
3. Period: 14.30 — 18.00 (27/3 — 30/4)
4. Attendance: 215 Male: none Female: 215
 - a. Age group 30 — 40 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Family law under new Constitution
 - b. Audio or visual aids none, movies were shown on 10th inst however
 - c. Open discussion on marriage and the right of inheritance, legality of will
 - d. Critique or summary the whole attendance wished to have this kind meeting once a month at least continuously
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (X) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
Publicity Posters, through various meetings and groups, circulars, circular letters.
Budget ¥15,000

II. Evaluation:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? 90% | (Yes) (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The meeting was over crowded with women attendance only, very enthusiastic to listen to speech.

They discussed, questioned about problems lively and actively.

After the Course closed, they had a merry time of recreation, singing songs, folk dancing etc. for some time.

	attendance	M.	F.	F.
Chofukuji, Tadono, Labor,	124	17	7	
Gokhuraaji, Ueno, Economics	13	5	8	
Saifukuji, Nobira, Health	25	19	6	

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Labor, Economics, Health
 Name Aburahiura A.C. Course, Date 18th April 49
 Location three places as mentioned above
 Chairman of course Ginin Umeda, junior high principal
 Inspecting officer Georg Kaschi

I. Administration

- Name of chairman: Taiji Fujita
- Name of committee:
 - Labor, Saburo Okamoto, village officer
 - Economics, Suzeji Tatsudawa, farmer's coop director
 - Education, Ginin Umeda, junior high principal
 - Legal, Ryunkan Aoki, junior high principal
 - Health, Mikitaro Ohara, doctor
 - Welfare, Yukio Nakao, welfare chief
 - Information, Nagayuki Katabaka, social ed committee
- Period: 2130-2430 (7/3-25/4)
- Attendance: 62 Male: 41 Female: 21 in three places
 - Age group _____
- Program used:
 - Presentation of problem by chairman Labor, Economics, Health
 - Audio or visual aids None
 - Open discussion on respective subjects
 - Critique or summary women pleased with the program, old people are not interested
- How was it received:
 - Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()
- Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No -)

publicity Aburahi village news was published especially for the purpose. Posters, Circulars were distributed.

Budget ¥11,000

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? *Not as desired* (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? *bad weather* (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full *60%* conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? *60%* (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Just about the time of starting, the windy rain became so hard as people afraid to brave out of house, attendances of each meeting were pitifully small but they are not to be blamed

Civic movement by women's ass'n of this community is very active and there is a great deal of hope to develop the true democracy among female populace first.

Some women declared that they favor new system of democracy politically and socially but they like to stick to old family living domestically rather than new family ideas, they are still liable to depend upon men too much.

Otsu No 3

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ishiyama, Otsu City A E. Course Date 11th April 45
Location Kindergarden, Ishiyama, Otsu City
Chairman of course Mitsuji Yokoyama
Inspecting officer Taizen Hirata

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mitsuji Yokoyama
2. Name of committee:
 - a. The same as Otsu No 1
 - b. _____
 - c. _____
 - d. _____
 - e. _____
 - f. _____
 - g. _____
3. Period: 19.00-21.00 (18/3-16/4)
4. Attendance: 31 Male: 18 Female: 13
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids Labor union in England
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

publicity
Budget.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Otsu No 2

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Otsu City (Zeze) A.C. Course Date 4th April 49
Location Zeze primary school, Zeze, Otsu City
Chairman of course Mitsuji Yokoyama
Inspecting officer Taizen Hirata

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mitsuji Yokoyama

2. Name of committee:

a. The same as Otsu No 1

b. _____

c. _____

d. _____

e. _____

f. _____

g. _____

3. Period: 19.00 - 21.00 (18/3 - 16/4)

4. Attendance: 19 Male: 18 Female: 1

a. Age group 40 - 50 average

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor Union and democracy, labor legislations

b. Audio or visual aids Labor union in England

c. Open discussion _____

d. Critique or summary most of people are too indifferent for labor problems.

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Publicity
Budget

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Otsu No 1

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Labor, Otsu City A E Course Date 1st April 49
Location C.P.D. Otsu City
Chairman of course Mitsuji Yokoyama, Municipal Social Ed chief
Inspecting officer Genji Kashi (Taigen) Hirata

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mitsuji Yokoyama
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Labor, Taizen, Hirata, Shigaken Labor Committee
 - b. Economics, Haruo Kishizawa, Senior High Teacher
 - c. Education, Kiyoshi Hariguchi, Junior " "
 - d. Legal, Kakuzoemon Takeuchi, Social Ed Committee
 - e. Health, Sekio Takatsuki, City Health Officer
 - f. Welfare, Ryosei Hirose, Welfare Committee
 - g. Information, Junichi Kinoshita, Investigation Chief
3. Period: 19.00 - 21.00 (18/3 - 16/4)
4. Attendance: 58 Male: 30 Female: 28
 - a. Age group 40 - 50 average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Labor Union and de-mocracy, labor legislations
 - b. Audio or visual aids "How labor union is organized in England?"
 - c. Open discussion questions and answers exchanged
 - d. Critique or summary The more thorough going A.E. is necessary in community like Otsu.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (X) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Publicity
Budget.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Only three meeting places are not enough in the City like Oten. A E Course should be given in every school district, at least.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kayami-mura Higashiazai-gun Date 7th April
 Location Tokajichi Temple
 Chairman of course Sumikawa Yuji
 Inspecting officer Riiko Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tachibana Keiya
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Sawai Iazo principal of primary school.
 - b. Information: Nambu Hidetaka social education committee
 - c. Labor: Kitagawa Kenzo village council.
 - d. Legal: Sekiya Kuzoichi village mayor.
 - e. Economics: Matsumura Tohio president of agricult. assoc.
 - f. Welfare: Tachibana Keiya Minsei Jiu
 - g. Health: Hiraishi Hanako public health nurse
3. Period: 5 ~ 6 ~ 7, April
4. Attendance: 61 Male: 59 Female: 2
 - a. Age group 18 ~ 50 years old 35 years average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman all the subjects
 - b. Audio or visual aids Kamishibai, charts
 - c. Open discussion poor
 - d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Spending whole day for giving 20 lectures was a little too complicated. Audience might not have understood all the lectures. (From next time it might be better not to give so many lectures of different kinds at a time)

Budget: 2000.-

Publicity:

cooperation of youth association, women association and P.T.A.

Posters at several places of villages.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nagahama city Kamikem Date 12 April
 Location Kusitomo meeting hall
 Chairman of course _____
 Inspecting officer Rinko Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kawamura (City social Education)

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: Nodera Yoshimi school principal
- b. Information: Soga Nobuo school teacher
- c. Labor: Oshikari Kazuo man from labor bureau
- d. Legal: Tanaka Hidekazu lawyer
- e. Welfare: Wada Seikoro city Welfare section chief
- f. Economics: Ito Kazuo Economist
- g. Health: Hirobe Tomoo doctor of the H.C.

3. Period: 10, 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 25 April

4. Attendance: 62 Male: 12 Female: 50

a. Age group 20 ~ 40 years old

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education, legal + Health

b. Audio or visual aids slide

c. Open discussion none

d. Critique or summary yes

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

It was good to hold the meeting at each individual blocks but
Administration + publicity being poor, it was not very effective.

Budget:-
undecided.

Publicity:
poor.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shimokusano mura Higashiazai gun Date 17th April
 Location Daigo
 Chairman of course Izumi Mikoto
 Inspecting officer Rinzo Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ogawa Yasuto
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Nakagawa Chuzo principal of primary school.
 - b. Information: Ogawa Yasuto village official.
 - c. Legal: Kusano Iwao village council.
 - d. Labour: Mihara Seiichi youth association president
 - e. Economics: Kusano Ichio agricultural cooperation director
 - f. Welfare: Izumi Mikoto Mitsui In.
 - g. Health: Teramura Toshio doctor.
3. Period: 20.00 ~ 24.00
4. Attendance: 17/20 Male: 15 Female: 2
 - a. Age group 20 ~ 45 years old 35 average.
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education, Information, Economics
 - b. Audio or visual aids none
 - c. Open discussion school problems
 - d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (~~Yes~~) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (~~Yes~~) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Administration is very poor. and lack for cooperation of village officials + committee.
Time is rather too late to start with for the farming season has already started.
Promised to over do this kind of program sometime in agricultural leisure time.

Publicity:

through youth + women association.

Budget:-

₹1000.- (This is for 1949 budget and willing to plan adult education throughout this year).

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Doukimonawa Sakata, gun Date 22 April
 Location Neno Temple
 Chairman of course Ichiro Odo
 Inspecting officer Rioko Iwanawa

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ichiro Odo
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Shizuo Sugiyama school principal
 - b. Information: Ichiro Odo village official
 - c. Labor: Toshio Miyamoto "
 - d. Legal: Jingo Fujita "
 - e. Economics: Masaji Horieki agricult. coop assoc.
 - f. Welfare: Shuhei Miyamoto Minister Jim
 - g. Health: Neno Takigami doctor.
3. Period: 2:00 - 2:40
4. Attendance: 270 / 400 Male: 140 Female: 40
 - a. Age group 16 or 17 years old 1/2 youth & 1/2 over 40 years old
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health & Economics
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides Records (loud speaker equip)
 - c. Open discussion enthusiastic: questions brought up mostly on farmer's economics
for about 30 minutes.
 - d. Critique or summary good
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Y/As) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Village officials & Administrative Committee are cooperating fully together and especially the youth-association assisting this program fully which brought this program very attractive and effective.

Budget - 1000 - total
films for slides 15.00
Travel & wear
trip expenses

Publicity
youth association & by block heads

Recreation:

Record concert
dance & singing by youth association.
slides & movie pictures.

C.I.E. pictures were shown at primary school on 26th March.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nanamura Higashi-ogai-gun Date 22 April
 Location village hall
 Chairman of course Tomioka Yasuke village major
 Inspecting officer Riiko Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tomioka Yasuke
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Amamori Mokoyuki school principal
 - b. Information: Miyagawa Takao president of youth assoc.
 - c. Legal: Suzi Shu lawyer
 - d. Labor: Kitagawa Jirokichi director of agricult assoc.
 - e. Economics: Yamada Kiyo " "
 - f. Welfare: Teraguchi Keiken Missi Jin
 - g. Health: Kakei Shiro doctor
3. Period: 17, 24 March 1, 8 April 3 May
4. Attendance: 24/44 Male: 12 Female: 110
 - a. Age group 18 to 60 years old average 40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman 4th April Legal & Education
Legal Information & Welfare
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides are shown
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary fair
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes)? (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes)? (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes)? (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Speakers are trying hard and cooperating fully but administration is more or less poor. The meeting is held just at one place that is at the town hall and thus the attendants are unexpectedly few. They ought to have at 7 individual blocks. But the village official is willing to repeat this kind of program sometime in summer.

Budget:
1000.-

Publicity:
poor. Through youth association.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kido-mura, Shiga-gun Date April 29
 Location Kido Primary School, Kido, Kido-mura
 Chairman of course Yoshinari Kido, Village Mayor
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yoshinari Kido
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shigeichi Imanishi (Information)
 - b. Sakujiro Tsujiguchi (Education)
 - c. Kiyoshi Nishikawa (Health)
 - d. Yoshinari Kido (Legal)
 - e. Yuzo Kido (Welfare)
 - f. Idambei Nakai (Economics)
 - g. Sehiji Yamanaka (Labor)
3. Period: March 12, 19, 26; April 3, 9, 16, 23, 29
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 300 Female: 200 (80%)
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Welfare
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was fully and clearly delivered and the effect was very good
 - b. Audio or visual aids many films were screened and gave much interest to the audience
 - c. Open discussion was actively held
 - d. Critique or summary The village authorities, lecturers and audience were in full collaboration and the effect was very excellent
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : ₹ 2,000

Publicity : ① Posters & leaflets
② Through school childrens' every door visit
③ Through hamlet representatives
④ Through steering committee

Great success : Owing to the enthusiasm of village mayor and others concerned, the audience was increased at every time the course was opened and the audience requested to continue the course even after tonight when the AEC ~~is ended~~ has come to an end

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kasanimura, Kurita-gun Date April 28
 Location At Amidaji Temple, Atsumori, Kasami
 Chairman of course Esho Yamamoto, Buddhist Priest
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Esho Yamamoto
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Esho Yamamoto (Information)
 - b. Masakazu Komai (Legal)
 - c. Dunejiro Umezaki (Economics)
 - d. Ushitaro Tanaka (Labor)
 - e. Mitsumasa Nakamura (Education)
 - f. Yuki Nakamura (Health)
 - g. Dsuneko Mikami (Welfare)
3. Period: March 12, 26, April 11, 28
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 50 Female: 30 (80 families) 100%
- a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Information, Welfare & Education
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was interestingly and satisfactorily defined
 - b. Audio or visual aids Magic lantern: "Sakura Sogoro"
 - c. Open discussion was actively held but owing to shortage of time it was not enough to satisfy the audience.
 - d. Critique or summary The enthusiastic attitude of the village authorities and lecturers gave a great effect to the A.E.C.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget: ₹ 25,000

Publicity: (1) Posters & leaflets
(2) Through representatives in each hamlets
(3) Through women's & youths' Associations
(4) Through miscellaneous meetings

Meeting-places: 6-places

Cooperation: Village authorities' enthusiasm & Lecturers' ardent support.
It is shown by the early establishment of new system middle school and its smooth steering.

Report: Village newspaper: (1) Village office
(2) Farmers' Cooperative Association,
(3) Primary school & (4) middle school are included in its news items.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ibuki-mura, Sakata-gun Date April 26
 Location At Nakagawas, Taibeiji, Ibuki-mura
 Chairman of course Shinichi Mori, village mayor
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shinichi Mori
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Ichiro Godo (Information)
 - b. Jingo Fujita (Legal)
 - c. Shigeo Ingiyama (Education)
 - d. Toshio Miyamoto (Labor)
 - e. Yasuji Idoruchi (Economics)
 - f. Shuei Minamoto (Welfare)
 - g. Ikuo Takigami (Health)
3. Period: April 4, 8, 19, 26
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 28 Female: 10 (14 families
about 200%)
- a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Information & Legal
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was clearly and enthusiastically delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids magic lantern: "Weather Forecast"
"Start of Women" & "Fire Prevention in Japan"
 - c. Open discussion was actively held but not fully
owing to shortage of time
 - d. Critique or summary: The village authorities give
ardent support to the AEC and the cooperation
between them is very good accordingly the effect of
AEC is very good
6. How was it received?
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory (X)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

- Publicity : (1) Through steering committee
(2) Every door visiting by school children
(3) Through youths' association
(4) Through circular notification in hamlets
(5) Posters made by school children

Meeting place : 5-places

Recreation : Singing, dancing & plays by youths' association

Budget : none.

Cooperation : Village authorities cooperation is very outstanding, this is the cause of the brilliant success of the A.F.C.

Location : The Hamlet is on the mountain side of Mt. Ibuki and the audience are very honest and well listened to the lectures

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Idaemi-mura, Echi-gun Date April 23
 Location CPH Idonjo, Idaemi-mura
 Chairman of course Shotaro Nakagawa, Village Mayor
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tetsuzo Fukunawa, Vice-village mayor
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Susaku Nakagawa (Education)
 - b. Yoneji Yamada (Information), Middle school teacher
 - c. Shigeo Fukuyama (Labor)
 - d. Idisakazu Anishi (Legal)
 - e. Shozo Sano (Economics)
 - f. Sataro Kawai (Welfare)
 - g. Shoichi Namura (Health)
3. Period: March 26, 31, April 23, 28 May 2, 7
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 130 Female: 80
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Legal, Welfare
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was most fully and enthusiastically delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids Radio and many charts were made used of
 - c. Open discussion owing to shortage of time, open discussion was not held
 - d. Critique or summary The village authorities or lecturers were very enthusiastic and audience enjoyed AEC and the effect was very good
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget: 47,250

Publicity: (1) Posters in each hamlet
(2) Through school children, (visiting every door)
(3) Through steering committee

Meeting-place: 9-places, 6-times in every hamlet

Special AEC in the village primary school gathering Womens' society members and PTA members.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Musa-mura, Gamogun Date April 22
 Location Saifukuji Temple, Nishi-Orai, Musa-mura
 Chairman of course Shojun Yamada, Middle school principal
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shojun Yamada
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shojun Yamada (Education), Shoken Idirai (Labor)
 - b. Riichi Idirase ("), Genji Narita (Economics)
 - c. Kojun Akamatsu ("),
 - d. Keijiro Imajuku (Legal)
 - e. Tomiji Nishizawa (Welfare)
 - f. Mieko Nishimura (Health)
 - g. Teiichi Iwasaki (Information)
3. Period: March 15, 18, 22, 25, 29; April 22, 26, 29
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 30 Female: 18
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Education
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was powerfully and fully delivered and enthusiastic village authorities gave much help to the AEC
 - b. Audio or visual aids Magic Lantern: "Life of Akasaka"
 - c. Open discussion Owing to shortage of time, open discussion was not held
 - d. Critique or summary. Many charts and statistics tabulation were prepared and the effect of lecture was very excellent
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : 4 2 0,000

Publicity : (1) Posters put up in each hamlet
(2) Visiting every door by school children

Meeting-places : 8-places

Audience : To increase audience, 8-meeting places are prepared and the number of lectures are increased as often as possible

Charts : As many charts as possible are prepared, using cartoons. Especially charts for health are many

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Musa-mura, Gamo-gun Date April 22
 Location Josoin Temple, musa, musa-mura
 Chairman of course Shojun Yamada, middle school Principal
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shojun Yamada
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shojun Yamada (Education), Shokan Hirai (Labor)
 - b. Riichi Hirose ("), Aenji Narita (Economic)
 - c. Kojun Akamatsu (")
 - d. Keijiro Imajuku (Legal)
 - e. Tomoji Nishizawa (Welfare)
 - f. Mieko Nishimura (Health)
 - g. Teiichi Iwasaki (Information)
3. Period: March 15, 18, 22, 25, 29 April 22, 26, 29
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 20 Female: 18
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Education, Labor, Economics
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was clearly and enthusiastically delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids none, but Magic lantern is used in other places
 - c. Open discussion owing to shortage of time, open discussion was not held
 - d. Critique or summary The village authorities were much interested in A.E.C. and audience were very honest and both were congenial and the effect was very excellent
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : 42,000

Publicity : (1) Posters put up in each hamlet
(2) Through school children to every family

Meeting-places : 8- places

Audience : To increase audience, 8- meeting places are prepared and the number of lectures are increased as often as possible.

Charts : As many ^{charts} as possible are prepared, using cartoons. Especially charts for health are many

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nagahara-mura, Ika-gun Date April 21
 Location Shofukuji Temple, Tsukide, Ika-gun
 Chairman of course Manzo Hashimoto, Middle school Principal
 Inspecting officer Reichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Manzo Hashimoto
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Sabei Ota (Economics)
 - b. Shojiro Matsui (Legal)
 - c. Kakemon Matsui (Health)
 - d. Manzo Hashimoto (Education)
 - e. Ryutaro Ota (")
 - f. Eryu Kodo (Welfare)
 - g. Seiichi Yanagimoto (Information)
3. Period: April 21st May 2nd Masao Nishio (Labor)
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 14 Female: 12 (17 families)
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Economics, Education, Health
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids Magic Lantern: "New Constitution"
"Life of fly"
 - c. Open discussion was conducted but not actively
 - d. Critique or summary Village mayor and lecturers
were enthusiastic in their lectures and audience
enjoyed it
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair (0) Unsatisfactory (✓)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------------------|-------------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : ₹ 1,000

Publicity : (1) Posters in each hamlet

(2) Circular notification

(3) Oral notification by members of Steering Committee

(4) Through school children

visual aids : Buying films and magic lantern

Future Plan : Purchasing Talkies

Lecturers : Elected from among village volunteers, accordingly the effect is very good.

Meeting places : 10-places

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ichinobe-mura, Gamo-gun Date April 13
 Location CPH Nishi-ichinobe, Ichinobe-mura
 Chairman of course Kiyoji Mukai, village mayor
 Inspecting officer Reichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kiyoji Mukai

2. Name of committee:

- a. Shuji Furuchi (Education)
- b. Kiyoji Mukai (Information & Legal)
- c. Giichi Tsukamoto (Legal)
- d. Shoshichi Shimakawa (Economics)
- e. Shozo Kurose (Labor)
- f. Shoen Idiramatsu (Welfare)
- g. Tsuyako Fukami (Health)

3. Period: March 9, 23, 30 April 6, 13

4. Attendance: _____ Male: 38 Female: 10

a. Age group _____

5. Program used: Information & Legal

a. Presentation of problem by chairman was fully and vividly delivered and very effective

b. Audio or visual aids none

c. Open discussion was most actively conducted and some of audience remained till deep into the night and continued the discussion and the atmosphere was very pleasant

d. Critique or summary The audience was much interested in the AEC and general education and the result of the AEC was most effective

6. How was it received:
 a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (-)

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget : ¥ 3,5000

Publicity : (1) Posters, bulletin
(2) Through representatives of each hamlet
(3) Through youths' and young women's
associations
(4) Through middle school students
(5) distribution of programs

meeting places : 7-places

Recreation : magic lantern, doll theater

discussion : not only in AEC but also in days
set aside, discussion is actively held.

Remark : Village mayor and experts are very
enthusiastic and particularly interested
in the AEC and so it is very effective

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Idikone City Date April 12
 Location Shoenji Temple, Koizumi-cho, Idikone City
 Chairman of course Teitaro Nagai, Primary school teacher
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Teitaro Nagai
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Yoshiko Sato (Education & Information)
 - b. Junji Mori (Legal)
 - c. Sachio Ohashi (Labor)
 - d. Gennosuke Wakabayashi (Economics)
 - e. Keizen Miyagawa (Welfare, Economics)
 - f. Fumihide Yajima (Welfare)
 - g. Yoshizo Oda (Health)
3. Period: April 12, 13, 15, 18, 20
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 121 Female: 34 (160 families)
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used: Education, Information & Welfare
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was interestingly delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids none
 - c. Open discussion was actively conducted
 - d. Critique or summary The area and meeting places in Idikone City is so wide and many that the officers in charge of the AEC in Idikone City seem to be a little fatigued. In spite of it, the result of AEC pretty well
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Publicity : ① Through poster in each hamlet
② Through liaison committee in every hamlet.

Remark : gave advice to prepare charts used by lecturers