


GHQ/SCAP Records(RG 331)
Description of contents



- (1) Box no. 2205
- (2) Folder title/number: (6)
Education Ministry - 6th National Diet

(3) Date: Oct. 1949, Nov. 1949

(4) Subject:

Classification	Type of record
810	m

(5) Item description and comment:
Includes Contents List

(6) Reproduction: Yes No

(7) Film no. _____ Sheet no. _____

Title

Comment

-
1. Private School Law
LS (Oppler) Obj
ESS (Hanna) No obj
CIE (Loomis) Obj
GS/CS (MacCoy) No obj
 2. Amendment to National School
Establishment Law
CTS (Westenberger) No obj
ESS (Hanna) No obj
LS (Appleton) No obj
CIE (Trainer) No obj
GS/CS (MacCoy) No obj
 3. Amendment to the Board of
Education Law
ESS (Hanna) No obj
LS (Goodman) No obj
CIE (Trainer) No obj
GS/CS (MacCoy) No obj

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet)

CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

~~Capt. Guida~~
26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: LS/LAJ

Date: 25 October 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:

Private School Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

(1)

C O P Y

A. C. Oppler
2635-582

From: LS/L&J

To: GS

Date: 17 November 1949

1. The question of the scope of governmental control over private universities and schools is primarily one of policy. However, LS feels that excessive state control may impede that healthy development of freedom of expression and academic freedom which the Constitution of Japan guarantees and which is an essential element in any democratic society. LS did not review the School Education Law which also sets up a system of far reaching bureaucratic controls over private educational institutions by subjecting the establishment and abolition of such institutions to the approval of administrative authorities; by giving these authorities power to close the institutions in certain cases; and by similar devices. The tendency in the proposed bill to tighten even these controls may be connected with the desire of the drafters to make subsidies and loans by the government to private schools constitutionally safe under Article 89 of the Constitution which requires that subsidized educational institutions must be "under control of public authority." However, in the opinion of LS, it would have been sufficient to provide for tight governmental control only in the case private schools request financial support from the government. The proposed bill, while adequately covering this specific case in Article 59, nevertheless sets up a system of governmental control in general, regardless of the question whether the institution wants to be financially supported or not. It appears doubtful whether such general state supervision is needed or desirable. LS is inclined to believe that apart from the case of subsidies, it would have been more adequate not to extend the existing governmental controls over private schools but rather to lessen them by amending the School Education Law.

2. LS does not think that the establishment of the Private University and Private School Councils will work as effective check on the governmental authorities, since the members of these councils are appointed by the Ministry of Education or the Governor respectively and have purely advisory functions. In order to strengthen their powers it is suggested to provide in Article 8 that the authorities must follow the advice of the councils in case they intend to take action under Article 5, which gives the authorities the far reaching power to approve the establishment or abolition of private schools and to order them to be closed. The same suggestion is made with regard to the granting of subsidies and loans to private educational institutions, as provided in Article 59. Here a check appears to be particularly necessary in order to prevent favoritism in the choice of the institution to be subsidized as well as in the amount of the financial aid.

3. Article 9 of proposed bill provides that the private school council may make suggestions to the government on "the important matters concerning private schools." This very broad language implies that the councils, by making use of their authority to "suggest" may interfere in all important matters concerning the schools, whether the interference is covered by the law or not. It is therefore suggested that a restrictive phrase such as "in so far as this law provides for a control by the public authorities" be added.

4. Article 15 provides that members of the private school council shall not participate in the decision concerning affairs of their own, their spouses or their relatives within the third degree of relationship. However, they shall not be prevented from attending the conference, and getting the floor. This is

interpreted to mean that they may express their opinions but shall have no vote. This article should be clarified.

5. Article 30, Paragraph 3, states that in case a provision is to be included in the Act of Endowment concerning the person to whom the ownership of the residue shall belong, it shall be so made as to provide that the said person shall be selected from among school juridical persons or other persons engaged in educational undertakings. This places a legally objectionable limitation on the freedom of disposition over the property in the event of dissolution. The consequences of this limitation are all the more serious as Article 51, Paragraph 2 provides that properties not disposed of in accordance with stipulations of the act of endowment shall belong to the National Treasury. Article 29 of the Constitution of Japan declares the right to own or to hold property inviolable. Property rights shall be defined by law in conformance with the public welfare. Whether public welfare requires such far reaching restrictions on the property right of private schools corporations appears at least doubtful. Furthermore, the same article of the Constitution provides that private property may be taken for public use upon just compensation therefor. Obviously no compensation at all is being considered in the case of Article 51, Paragraph 2, of the bill.

6. According to Paragraph 18 of the supplementary provisions, Article 15 of the School Education Law is to be deleted. Since the revised draft of the present bill has eliminated Paragraph 3 of Article 5, which authorizes the governmental authorities to order changes of items in cases where private schools have violated the provisions of laws and orders, Article 14 of the School Education Law which contains a similar provision should also be deleted.

----- A. C. C. -----

Copy sent to CITE 11/22/49

CB

Rec'd GS 1/22/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida
26-6076

Note No. From: Govt Sec To: ESS Date: 25 October 1949

1. 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.
2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:
Private School Law

C. W.

File 010(25 Oct 49)ESS/JF
From: ESS

To: Govt Sec

WFM/JEA/EMR/JEH/eb
Mr. Hanna, 57-8417
31 October 1949

2

1. There is no objection to presentation to the Diet of the attached draft of proposed legislation.
2. It is felt, however, that para 1 of Article 60 should contain a statement "subject to authorized appropriations" or some similar restrictive statement in order that there might be exercised proper control through fiscal limitations over the amount of financial assistance which may be rendered to private schools.

1 Incl:
n/c

-----W.F.M.-----

filed GS 11/14/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: CI&E

Date: 25 October 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:

Private School Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

From: CIN

To: Govt Sect

Mr. Loomis 26-5679
Date: 28 October 1949

2

1. The Federation of Private Schools is strongly opposed to certain provisions of the proposed draft bill. They adopted resolutions at a meeting on 25 October, as follows:

"The laws and regulations in the draft, of which revision or elimination is proposed:

1. The word 'kantoku-cho' (supervisory office) in Article IV of the draft should be changed to 'shokatsu-cho' (office in charge or having jurisdiction over).

For administrative relations of the government to private schools are not limited to supervision. The word 'kantoku' (supervision) gives the misleading impressions of pressure and bureaucracy which may easily result in various evils.

2. Article V, section 3 should be eliminated.

The preceding section 2 provides for issuance of the order to close (the school) for violation of laws. Since the order to change (or rectify) is presupposed and therefore implied in it as a supervisory act preceding an illegal act, the section 3 seems entirely unnecessary. In enumeration of too many supervisory items, there is a danger of their abuses.

000.8

Draft Legislation

CIE

Govt Sect

28 October 1949

2
(Cont'd)

3. 1/2 of Section 3 of Articles 10 and 19 should be changed to 1/3. 1/3 is what was agreed between the Ministry of Education and Private School Federation.
4. Article 46 should be eliminated.

The private school, not being operated with public funds, the duty to submit to the government its reports of budgets and accounts should not be included in the law. If there is any need of a report on educational matters for purposes of research or investigation, application of Article VI will answer the need.

5. Article 48, Section 2 should be eliminated.

As, unlike a joint-stock company, the private school foundation does not transact business with general public, it does not seem necessary to stipulate such regulation for protecting creditor's rights. There is a danger of its application to students in providing such regulation.

6. Articles 63 and 64 should absolutely be eliminated.

To make it possible for the official(s) in charge to visit private schools for inspection is going too far; and also there are possible dangers of various evil practices accompanying such act.

The question involved in Article 64 is one which should be settled autonomously by each foundational person and should not be left to bureaucratic interference.

7. The criminal punishment in Articles 70 and 71 should be changed to administrative punishment.

It is not appropriate to settle illegal acts of the kind with criminal punishment.

8. Article 73, Sections 3, 4 and 5 should be eliminated.

Any technical illegality of the internal workings of the school foundation should be settled autonomously by various organs of the foundation and not by criminal punishment."

Dr. Loomis 26-5679

000.8

Draft Legislation

CIE

Govt Sect

28 October 1949

2
(Cont'd) 2. CIE requests that this bill be withheld from the Diet until agreement is reached between the Ministry of Education, the Attorney General, and the Federation of Private Schools.

1 Incl
n/c

-----D. R. N.-----

*Extract copy given to Mr. Yhole, CLCO
for consideration by Min. of Education.
also info copy to LS/LVU.*

Os. 11/1/49

~~XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX~~
SUPREME COMMAND FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

Amendments to Draft Private School Law Mr. Trainer
26-5679

CIE

GS

11 Nov 49

1

CIE has no objections to amendments to Draft Private School Law as submitted to CIE by GS through routing slip dated 9 November 49.

-----D.H.H.-----

CIVIL SERVICE DIVISION
Government Section

3 November 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: Parliamentary and Political Division, Government Section

SUBJECT: Private School Law (Draft)

The Civil Service Division makes no objection to subject bill.

W. Pierce MacCoyle
W. PIERCE MacCOY
Acting Chief, Civil Service Division

WPM:GP:aa

Bill

to be submitted for GS approval
Legislative Affairs Section, FOM
(TEL. 57-6010)

October 25, 1949

- 1. FOM Number: 37
- 2. Name of Bill: Private School Bill

- 3. Competent Ministry: Ministry of Education
- 4. Date of Cabinet Approval: October 14, 1949
- 5. SCAP Section concerned:

Deputy Chief, Education Division. C.I.E.

Dr. W.C. Eells

6. Remarks: (Reference:)

- Excerpt of
- 1. School Education Law Law No. 26 Mar. 31 1947
..... (Official Gazette No. 298) ..
 - 2. Ministry of Education Establishment Law.
..... Law No. 146 May 31 1949 Official Gazette
 - Extra 58
 - 3. The Registration Tax Law Law No. 27 of 1896
 - 4. Local Tax Law .. Law No. 110 July 7, 1948
 - Official Gazette No. Extra 1.
..... July 7, 1948

7. G.S Reviewers:

CS &: CIXE
 LS/LYJ
 GS/CS
 ESS

Received by GS
 Date 10/25/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CPG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: LS/LAJ

Date: 28 November 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by **the House of Representatives.**

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl
Amendments to the Private
School Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

11/28

Govt Sch. Law
HR

2

From: LS

To: GS

2635 - 582 A.C. Oppler
Date: 28 November 1949

1. The proposed amendments aim at lessening governmental controls over private schools and, therefore, follow the line of Legal Section's opinion, as expressed in Check Sheet of 17 November 1949. There are two amendments to Article 5, one proposed by the Social Democratic Party and one by the Committee for Education. Legal Section would give preference to the proposal of the Social Democratic Party.

2. It is to be noted that of the specific objections and suggestions made in above mentioned Check Sheet only Item 6 is covered and that Legal Section maintains the other points.

1 Incl:
w/d

----- A.C.C. -----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JH/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Note No.	From: Govt Sec	To: CI&E	Date: 28 November 1949
1.	1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the House of Representatives. 2. Your prompt comment is requested.		
	1 Incl Amendments to Private School Law		
	C. W.		

Capt. Guida
26-6076

P & P DIV

Mr. Trainor 26-5679

000.8

Draft Legislation

CIE

GS

28 Nov 1949

1 1. CIE has no objection to attached Draft Amendments to the Private School Law submitted to GS by Committee for Education, House of Representatives (Incl 1).

2. CIE disapproves alternative proposals of Draft Amendments to the Private School Law submitted by the Social Democratic Party (Incls 2 and 3).

3 Incls

1. Draft Amendments proposed by Com for Edu
2. Draft Amendments proposed by Social Demo Party
3. Draft Amendments proposed by Social Demo Party

-----D. R. N.-----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: CTS

Date: 26 October 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by Ministry of Education.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:

Partial Amendments etc. to
National School Establishment
Law

C. W.

Mr. Westenberger 26-8840
9 December 1949

2.

FROM: CTS

TO: Govt Sec

1. CTS has no objection to the Law for Partial Amendments to the National School Establishment Law.

1 Incl

w/d

H. T. M.

(2)

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida
26-6076

Note No. From: Govt Sec To: ESS Date: 19 October 1949

1. 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.
2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:
Law for Partial Amendments etc.
to National School Establishment Law

C.W.

File 010(19 Oct 49)ESS/JF
From: ESS

To: Govt Sec

J.P.G.
WFW/JRA/EMR/JEH/eb
Mr. Hanna, 57-8417
25 October 1949

- 2 No objection is interposed to the introduction of the attached bill in the Japanese Diet.

1 Incl:
n/c

-----W.F.M.-----

Rec'd GS 10/10/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida
26-6076

Note No. From: Govt Sec To: IS/LAJ Date: 19 October 1949

1. 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.
2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:

Law for Partial Amendments etc.
to National School Establishment Law

C. W.

Law for Partial Amendments, etc. to National School Establishment Law

From: LS

To: GS

R.B. APPLETON-Mei ji-479
Date: 27 October 1949

2.

1. No legal objections.

Incl: w/d

-----A.C.C.-----

Rec'd GS 10/31/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: CIAE

Date: 19 October 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:

Law for Partial Amendments etc.
to National School Establishment Law

C. W.

From: CIE

To: Govt Sect

Mr. Trainor 26-5679

Date: 24 Oct 1949

2

1. Subject draft law has been discussed with CIE by Ministry of Education.

2. Ministry of Education, Japanese Government, has suggested that Article 3 should be corrected to read as follows:

"Article 3. The Educational Personnel Certification Law (Law No. 147 of 1949) shall be partially amended as follows:

In Article 4 paragraph 6 item (2), 'Merchant Vessels, Practice,' shall be added next to 'Aquatic Practice,'.

In Annexed Table 1 Remarks (3), 'and Aquatic Products' shall be amended as ',Aquatic Products and Merchant Vessels'.

In the first column of Annexed Table 5, 'or aquatic products practice' shall be amended as ', aquatic products practice or merchant vessels practice'."

Mr. Trainor 26-5679

Draft Legislation

CIE

Govt Sect

24 Oct 1949

2 The Ministry reports that the added statements have been cleared through
(Cont'd) Japanese Government processing.

3. CIE has no objection to subject draft law with additions indicated
in paragraph 2 above.

1 Incl
n/c

-----F.C.D.-----

*Suggestions above were
referred to Miss. of Educa.
thru Mr. Urate 10/25/49
were accepted and revised draft
submitted 10/26*

CS


CIVIL SERVICE DIVISION
Government Section

19 October 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: Parliamentary and Political Division
Government Section

SUBJECT : Law for Partial Amendments, etc. to the
National School Establishment Law (Draft)

The Civil Service Division makes no objection to subject bill.


W. PIERCE MacCOY
Acting Chief, Civil Service Division

WPB:GP:rj

Bill

to be submitted for GS approval
Legislative Affairs Section, FOM
(TEL. 57-6010)

October 19, 1949

1. FOM Number: 28
2. Name of Bill: Bill for Partial Amendments, etc. to the National School Establishment Law.
3. Competent Ministry: Ministry of Education
4. Date of Cabinet Approval: October 12, 1949
5. SCAP Section concerned: C.I. & E Dr. W.C. Fells

6. Remarks: (Reference:)

References are attached herewith.
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

7. G.S Reviewers:

GS & CINE
ESS
LS/BJ
GS/CS

Received by GS
Date 10/19/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No. From: Govt Sec

To: ESS

Date: 25 October 1949

1. 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:
Partial Amendments to Board
of Education Law

C. W.

File 010(25 Oct 49)ESS/JF
From: ESS

To: Govt Sec

WFM/JRA/EMR/JRE/eb
Mr. Hanna, 57-8417
31 October 1949

2 There is no objection from a financial standpoint, to the introduction of the attached draft bill to the Diet.

1 Incl:
n/s

-----W.F.M.-----

Rec'd GS 11/10/49

3

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JW/JW/CTO/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No. From: Govt, Sec To: IS/LAJ Date: 25 October 1949

1.
 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.
 2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:
Partial Amendments to Board
of Education Law

C. W.

From: LS

To: GS

F.C. Goodman-2635-269

Date: 7 November 1949

2.

1. No legal objections.

2. Whether the postponement of the establishment of local boards of education in towns, villages and special wards contravenes the principle of local autonomy and might be interpreted by the public as a retreat from the original democratic intent of the law is a question of policy.

Incl: 1 W/D

-----A.C.C-----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS,

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida
26-6076

Note No. From: Govt Sec To: CIAE Date: 25 October 1949

1. 1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the Ministry of Education.
2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl:
Partial Amendments to Board
of Education Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

014
From: CIE

To: Govt Sect

Mr. Trainor 26-5679
Date: 3 November 1949

2

1. It is recommended that the second sentence of third paragraph of Article 66 be deleted. This modification has been discussed with Japanese Ministry of Education, which concurs.

2. CIE has no other objection to subject draft legislation.

1 Incl
n/c

-----D. R. N.-----

*Recommended amendment made
by Min. of Education and revised
page submitted 11/5/49*

CB.


CIVIL SERVICE DIVISION
Government Section

3 November 1949

MEMORANDUM FOR: Parliamentary and Political Division, Government Section

SUBJECT: Draft of Law for Partial Amendments to the Board of
Education Law

The Civil Service Division makes no objection to subject bill.


W. PIERCE MacCOY
Acting Chief, Civil Service Division

WPM:GP:aa

Bill

to be submitted for GS approval
Legislative Affairs Section, FOM
(TEL.57-6010)

October 25, 1949

1. FOM Number: 36
2. Name of Bill: Bill for Partial Amendments to the Board of Education Law.
3. Competent Ministry: Ministry of Education
4. Date of Cabinet Approval: October 12, 1949
5. SCAP Section concerned:
Education Division C.I.E

Mr. W. W. Carpenter
6. Remarks: (Reference:)
Reference is attached herewith
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
7. G.S Reviewers:

CS&: C/KE
ESS
LS/LYT
GS/CS

Received by GS
Date 10/25/49

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: LS/LAJ

Date: 26 November 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the House of Representatives.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl

Partial Amendments to the
Board of Education Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

Subject: Partial Amendments to the Board of Education Law *u/r*
HR

From: LS

To: GS

F.C. Goodman-2635-269

Date: 29 November 1949

2.
 1. This Section has no legal objection to subject bill.
 2. However, attention is invited to check sheet of 7 November 1949 from this Section to Government Section, same subject, regarding the policy questions involved.

1 Incl. w/d

----- A.G.C. -----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet)

CW/JN/JW/CPG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: **CIA&E**

Date: **26 November 1949**

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by **the House of Representatives.**

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl

**Partial Amendments to
Board of Education Law**

C. W.

P & P DIV

000.8
From: CIE

To: GS

Mr. Trainer 26-5679
Date: 28 November 1949

2

CIE strongly disapproves subject Amendments to Bill for Partial Amendments to the Board of Education Law as submitted by the Social Democratic Party.

1 Incl
n/c

-----D. R. N.-----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CPG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: LS/L&J

Date: 28 November 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the House of Representatives.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl

Partial Amendments to the
Board of Education Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

Subject: Partial Amendments to the Board of Education Law

HR.

11/28

From: LS

To: GS

F.C. Goodman-2635-269

Date: 29 November 1949

2.

1. This Section has no legal objection to subject bill.

2. However, attention is invited to check sheet of 7 November 1949 from this Section to Government Section, same subject, regarding the policy questions involved.

1 Incl. w/d

----- A.C.C. -----

GENERAL HEADQUARTERS
SUPREME COMMANDER FOR THE ALLIED POWERS

C H E C K S H E E T

(Do not remove from attached sheet) CW/JN/JW/CFG/vs

Subject: Draft Legislation

Capt. Guida

26-6076

Note No.

From: Govt Sec

To: CI&E

Date: 28 November 1949

1.

1. Immediate introduction of the attached draft bill in the Diet is proposed by the House of Representatives.

2. Your prompt comment is requested.

1 Incl

Partial Amendments to the
Board of Education Law

C. W.

P & P DIV

000.8

From: CIE

To: GS

Mr. Trainer 26-5679

Date: 28 Nov 1949

2.

CIE strongly disapproves subject Amendments for Partial Amendments to the Board of Education Law as submitted by the Democratic Liberal Party and Coalition Democrats.

1 Incl
n/c

-----D. R. H.-----