The New Orleans Bee.

OFFICE 73 CHARTRES STREET. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 5, 1866. Vol. XXVII—New Series. Vol. XVII—Whole—13,814

THE NEW ORLEANS DAILY BEE,
[illegible] & CO., Proprietors,
No. 73 Chartres Street.

TERMS OF THE BEE.

Mail Subscription—Daily, per annum, in advance, $10; half-yearly, $5; quarterly, $3.

Price of the Paper—Single copies, 10 cents.

The Semi-Weekly is published every Tuesday and Saturday. Subscription, $5 per annum, invariably in advance.

Rates of Advertising—Transient advertisements (during the run of the paper, four insertions) $1 50 per square; Semi-Weekly, three insertions, $1 50 per square; Tri-Weekly, six insertions, $1 50 per square; Bi-Weekly, eight insertions, $1 50 per square.

Advertisements inserted at intervals, to be charged at transient rates.

Liberal discount to Monthly Page Advertisements—Three squares, $30 per month.

Professional advertisers, who advertise largely, shall be allowed such discount from above rates as may be agreed upon. No less sum shall be charged than $5 per week.

All business notices out of Advertisements to be charged as regular, and in same rank, [illegible].

All Transient Advertisements must be paid for in advance.

All bills for regular advertisers shall be rendered monthly.

NEW ORLEANS:
TUESDAY MORNING, JUNE 5, 1866.

CITY AFFAIRS.—The sale of the City Bonds has been postponed by Col. Von Hinrichs, City Auctioneer, till to-morrow, (Wednesday,) on account of the weather. Lot No. 19, on St. Louis street, Second District, will be the first offered.

REPUBLICAN PARTY TACTICS AND PROGRESS.

A curious controversy has been going on between the New York *Tribune* and the New York *Times*. In one of its periodical seasons of ideological rupture [illegible] stimulated a [illegible] which a [illegible] in the contemporaneous might well suppose to have originated from the soul of Anacharsis Cloots inhabiting the terrestrial form and the social and political individuality known as Horace Greeley. This platform is brief, comprehensive, universal, and at the same time has the ragweeds and incompatibility which have always made it impossible to find two propositions of the Choctaw school, in matter of fact, either a Solomon or My Brother or an attainable top. Gunabay or Gibbets, as the case may be, proclaimed: "Our platform of reconstruction, from the hour of the rebellion's collapse and utter overthrow, has been short and simple—Universal Amnesty, Impartial suffrage." This platform-maker phrases his breath here [illegible] on a new and more reasonably human blade with a Jeffersonian line of ancestry and a boomerang tendency. It is proposed that the *Tribune*'s party, armed with this peculiar weapon, stand forth in deadly defiance of beleaguering enemies, not with a view to the glory of victory, so much as in the hope of the greater glory of defeat. In short, a sublime political heart-beat is the exploit which the Ghoste-Greeley philosopher-author would have their party duly prepared to perform before the shining hostess. That such a performance is contemplated must be doubted, when we read that the *Tribune* has [illegible] follows the declaration of the platform, to wit: "If the two Houses will embody this in a Constitutional Amendment and pass it by a two-thirds vote, we are ready to stand or fall with it before the people. We deem it quite probable that it might put the next Congress against us, and so let in the recoiled States on their own terms; but on good a platform it is safe to die, in the full assurance of a glorious resurrection."

It is important to observe that the *Tribune* contemplates, for the sake of a "glorious Resurrection," not the mere discomfiture of its party at the event of a political canvass, but its actual death and burial. The history of parties in this country will hardly furnish the resuscitated example of the *Tribune*. There were no resurrection for the old Federal party; no resurrection for the Whig party, of whom most illustrious chiefs, Clay and Webster, it was said that they annexed their own failures by trying to vault through new and untried roads, in [illegible] mad's chariot, linked from the worthless rubbish that had belched by the defunct Federal hankerings. The Democratic party, a colossal party [illegible] fund, and died both fast and hard; and, so far as its history now testifies, is destined to rise no more. There was once an Anti-Masonic party which found room and [illegible] in New York and Pennsylvania. It soon "stuck in the basket" with such squeamish vigor that it [illegible] and has not been seen or heard of since, except perhaps in the district of Thaddeus Stevens where, on rumor has it, its ghost sometimes walks and whispers inspirations of intolerance and proscription to that patriarch Rehoboam.

All the parties named, as history thus far writes them, no matter how they might have, living, lived, or were, are now, since they are dead, dead grass. This certainly presents a strong [illegible] against the *Tribune*'s theory of the eternal survivableness of its party after it shall have laid down its life in a self-sought martyrdom. Historical evidence plainly suggests the belief that if either the Democratic party, now struggling to its feet to hold on the door-knocker, or the Republican party, now strong [illegible] with divided counsels and dissensions leaders, to retain and guarantee its hold on the government, should, between this time and the next Presidential term, suffer general defeat and dissolution, it must then pass away silently as a garment that or as a reasonable expectancy, just as other parties had passed away previously.

The New York *Times* is not less devoted than the *Tribune* to the perpetuation of the Republican party. To its immediate preservation the *Times* greater devotion, for it is not willing to risk the experiment proposed by the *Tribune* of [illegible] the mind of the people of the country and [illegible] on abyss of non-existence, that the ashes of an indefinite [illegible] of a [illegible] in 1865 and power. The *Times*, in fact, sees no faith in the transcendental experiments of the [illegible] Radical organ. On the contrary, it believes that the programme, if it proved, will lead to the contrary and permanent extinction of the party. It says:

> The *Tribune* advocates a policy that will, certainly, kill the Union Party; for promises of [illegible] reconstruction [illegible] by [illegible] re-admission of Rebel States, will [illegible] to the Democratic party. On the contrary, in endeavoring to the best of its ability to prevent the success of a Copperhead Congress, by electing the present Congress of the Union Party. The *Tribune* feels sure the Union Party to commit suicide, and we believe that it will rise again more vigorous than before. The *Times* tells the party upon the manner in which it means the [illegible] and pursue the duties of to-day, prepared [illegible] for the duties of to-morrow. We do not [illegible] that [illegible] recklessly, defiantly [illegible] in over his head have no resurrection.

It is well to note that the *Times* and the *Tribune* express each other of knowingly favoring the same result. The *Tribune* asserts that the *Times*, as representing Seward and Administration conservatism, threatens such a secession from the Republican ranks as will give the Democrats or Copperheads a majority in the next Congressional and Presidential contests. The *Times* retorts that the *Tribune* entertains and impracticables, for the sake of their party of the future, are pandering to a passage which they know will drive men from the Republican ranks and expel the party from power which would pass into hostile hands. It is a pretty quarrel, and shows a breadth of intellect for the dominant party which may lead to important results. Enlightened in the charges of the *Tribune* is its own remedy:

> So in the simple truth that *The Times* despairs of preserving the Republican Union party in its present organization, and that it does it by urging upon it the necessity of its reorganization on the Democratic basis of the Northern States in such a future as will [illegible] the Democratic party [illegible] Democratic shall see fit to accord them. [illegible] the conservatives for which *The Times* has [illegible] preparing; why [illegible] [illegible] made? You don't expect to [illegible] the Union party for the Johnson programme, you have [illegible] that the Union party is [illegible] and must [illegible] from the Democratic party [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]