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RECALCULATION OF THE WORK OF Ph. v. JOLLY ON THE DENSITY OF OXYGEN

By

Robert E. Barieau ${ }^{\text {I/ }}$


#### Abstract

The original data of $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{v}$. Jolly on the density of oxygen has been recalculated, using the latest values of the necessary physical constants. The value obtained is $$
\begin{gathered} \rho=1.429054 \pm 22 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{I} \\ \text { with } \mathrm{g}=980.616 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} \end{gathered}
$$


## INTRODUCTION

The Helium Research Center is presently engaged in reviewing and recalculating all of the data that appear in the Iiterature from which the value of the gas constant $R$ is calculated. In this report I re-examined the work of $\mathrm{Ph} . \mathrm{v}$. Jolly on the determination of the density of oxygen at one atmosphere and at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

1/ Project Leader, Thermodynamics, Heilium Research Center, Bureau of Mines, Amarillo, Texas.

Work on manuscript completed August 1964.
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Ph. v. Jolly determined the density of oxygen by weighing a giobe, of about 1 iiter capacity, filled with oxygen and then weighing it evacuated. During the filling and evacuation, the globe was completely surrounded by ice and water so that it was accurately at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The globe was evacuated to a pressure of 0.02 mm Hg .

The volume of the giobe was determined by weighing the amount of water that would fill the globe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

Oxygen was prepared by electrolysis. The gas was freed of ozone and hydrogen by passing through a glass tube filled with glass chips and heated to a red heat. The gas was also passed over potassium hydroxide and phosphorous pentoxide.

DETERMINATION OF THE VOLUME OF Ph. v. JOLLY'S GLOBE
Ph. v. Joliy determined the voiume of his giobe by determining the weight of water that filled the volume. The fact that he corrected the weight of water for the weight of air displaced indicates that the weight of the empty giobe must have been determined with the stopcock open to the atmosphere. Under these conditions the relationship for the weight of water in vacuum is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{H_{2} \mathrm{O}}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{H_{2} \mathrm{O}}}\right)=M_{2}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{W}}\right)-M_{1} \frac{\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{W}}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{g}}\right)\right.}{\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{g}}\right)} \tag{I}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the above equation

| $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}=}$ mass of water |  |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{M}_{2}=$ | mass of weights on balance when globe filled with |
|  | water |
| $\mathrm{M}_{1}=$ | mass of weights on balance when globe filled with air |
|  | and open to the atmosphere |
| $\rho_{1}=$ | density of air during weighing of giobe when filled |
|  | with air |
| $\rho_{2}=$ | density of air during weighing of giobe when filled |
|  | with water |
| $\rho_{W}=$ | density of weights |
| $\rho_{g}=$ | average density of glass and stopcock in the globe |
| $\rho_{H_{2} O}=$ | density of water under conditions when the giobe |
|  | was weighed filled with water |

I- $\frac{\rho_{2}}{\rho_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}}$ is the buoyancy correction factor for the weight of air displaced by the water. Ph. v. Jolly made this correction. $1-\frac{\rho}{\rho_{g}}$
is the buoyancy correction factor for the weight of air displaced by the glass in the globe. As the ratio of two of these quantities is involved, the ratio only involves the change in the density of air between the two weighings. This correction is very small and was neglected by Ph. v. Jolly.
$I-\frac{\rho}{\rho_{W}}$ is the buoyancy correction factor for the weight of air displaced by the weights. In his determination of the weight of water, Ph. v. Jolly applied this correction.

Since Jolly gives the temperature of the air and the barometric pressure, I have recalculated the weight of water applying all corrections. In these calculations, I have assumed the density of the glass as being $\rho_{g}=2.6 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. Ph. v. Jolly gives the density of his weights as being $\rho_{\mathrm{W}}=8.4 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mi}$. I have calculated the density of air from the equation ${ }^{2 /}$.

2/ Barieau, Robert E.
The Density of Moist Air From $0^{\circ}$ to Near $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and Near Atmospheric Pressure. Helium Research Center Internal Report No, 36, August 1963.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho=\rho_{0} \frac{T_{0}}{T} P(1-0.37807 y) \frac{Z_{0}}{Z} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this equation
$\rho$ is the density of air in g/iter
$\rho_{0}$ is the density of $0.04 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2}$, dry air at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and 1 atmosphere pressure
$\rho_{0}=1.2932 \mathrm{~g} /$ Iiter
$P$ is the pressure in atmospheres, where 1 atmosphere is 76 cm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and in a gravitational field of $980.665 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$
in the Potsdam system.
$T$ is the absolute temperature
$T_{0}$ is the absolute temperature of the ice point
$y$ is the mole fraction of water vapor

$$
\begin{align*}
& Z_{0} \text { is the compressibility factor of } 0.04 \% \mathrm{CO}_{2} \text {, dry air at } \\
& 0^{\circ} C \text { and } 1 \text { atmosphere } \\
& Z_{\text {is the compressibility factor of the gas at } P \text { and } T} \\
& \frac{Z_{0}}{Z}=1-0.000602(P-1)+\left(0.00254 y+0.0758 y^{2}\right) P \\
& -\left(0.0000105+0.0000131 y+0.00131 y^{2}\right) t P \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

where in this equation, $t$ is the temperature in ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.
The mole fraction of water in air saturated with water vapor was taken as (3)
(3) Reference (2) page 17

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{\text {sat }}=1.0042 \frac{P_{s}}{P} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{S}$ is the vapor pressure of pure water in atmospheres and $P$ is the total atmospheric pressure in atmospheres. The vapor pressure of water was calculated from the equation given by Osborne, Stimson, and Ginnings (4)
(4) Osborne, N. S., H. F. Stimson, and D. C. Ginnings. Thermal Properties of Saturated Water and Steam. J. Research NBS, v. 23, 1939, pp. 261-270.

$$
\begin{align*}
\log _{10} P_{a t m}= & -3.142305\left[\frac{10^{3}}{T}-\frac{10^{3}}{373.16}\right] \\
& +8.2 \log _{10} \frac{373.16}{\mathrm{~T}}  \tag{5}\\
& -0.0024804(373.16-\mathrm{T})
\end{align*}
$$

The density of liquid water was taken from the table of Tilton and Taylor (5)
(5) Tilton, L. W., and J. K. Taylor. Accurate Representation of the Refractivity and Density of Distilled Water as a Function of Temperature. J. Research NBS, v. 18, 1937, pp. 205-214.

Ph. v. Jolly weighed his globe filled with air, with the stopcock open to the atmosphere, first on the right pan of his balance and then on the left pan. The two values were 142.074331 g and 142.072500 g with a geometric mean of 142.073415 g . Jolly adds to this 0.001876 as the sum of the corrections to his individual weights, giving

$$
M_{1}=142.075291 \mathrm{~g}
$$

Atmospheric conditions during this weighing were $t=7.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $P=721.57 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg}$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under Iocal gravity. In correcting the atmospheric pressure to standard gravity, I used $g_{\text {Iocal }}=980.7361$ $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{g}_{\text {standard }}=980.665 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$.

$$
5 \sec +\frac{2}{2}
$$

 ..... (8)
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Then $P=\frac{721.57 \times 980.7361}{760 \times 980.665}$

$$
\mathrm{P}=0.949503 \text { atmospheres. }
$$

Using the equations given previously, I calculate for the vapor pressure of liquid water at $7.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

$$
P_{s}=0.0099495 \text { atmospheres. }
$$

Then $y_{\text {sat }}\left(7.1^{\circ} \mathrm{c}\right)=1.0042 \times \frac{0.0099495}{0.949503}$

$$
\text { or } y_{\text {sat }}=0.0105226
$$

Jolly does not give a hygrometer reading for this weighing, but he does give a value of $65 \%$ for the weighing when water was present in the globe. I will take a relative humidity of $65 \%$ for the first weighing also. I then calculate for the density of air

$$
\rho_{1}=0.0011937 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mi}
$$

Then the true mass of the globe is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{M_{I}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{W}}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}}{\rho_{g}}\right)} & =142.075291 \frac{\left(1-\frac{.0011937}{8.4}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{.0011937}{2.6}\right)} \\
& =142.075291 \times \frac{0.9998578929}{0.9995408846} \\
& =142.120351 \mathrm{~g}
\end{aligned}
$$

电
and with a density of 2.6 the glass occupies 54.7 ml .
When filled with water at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the globe and water weighed 1150.304760 g on the left pan and 1150.292000 on the right pan giving a geometrical mean of 1150.298380. To this, Jolly adds 0.001302 for the calibration of his weights to give

$$
M_{2}=1150.299682 \mathrm{~g} .
$$

During this weighing, atmospheric conditions were $t=6.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, $P=721.3 \mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg} 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (local gravity), hygrometer $=65 \%$. I calculate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\frac{721.3}{760} \times \frac{980.7361}{980.665} \\
& P=0.949148 \text { atmospheres. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the equations given previously, I calculate for the vapor pressure of Iiquid water at $6.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
P_{s}=0.0094821 \text { atmospheres. }
$$

Then

$$
y \text { (sat) }\left(6.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)=1.0042 \times \frac{0.0094821}{0.949148}
$$

or

$$
y(s a t)=0.0100321
$$







$$
\text { a } 580 \text { pet } 0811 \div 8^{1 / 4}
$$



sterus

$$
\frac{1 \mathrm{Cec}-\mathrm{gee}}{20 d .06 t} \times \frac{5.155}{0 \mu \pi}=9
$$



$$
\operatorname{seg} 210.0=(26 k) x
$$

and with the air $65 \%$ saturated with respect to water vapor, the mole fraction of water vapor then is

$$
y=.65 \times .0100321=0.00652087
$$

Then with $t=6.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{P}=0.949148$ atmospheres and $y=0.00652087$, I calculate for the density of air

$$
\rho_{2}=0.0011964 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}
$$

Tilton and Taylor (6)
(6) Reference 5, page 213
give for the density of water at $6.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\rho_{\mathrm{w}}=0.9999546 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}
$$

Then the expression for the mass of water, $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}$, is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}\left(1-\frac{0.0011964}{0.9999546}\right)= & 1150.299682\left(1-\frac{0.0011964}{8.4}\right) \\
& -142.075291 \frac{\left(1-\frac{.0011937}{8.4}\right)\left(1-\frac{.0011964}{2.6}\right)}{\left(1-\frac{.0011937}{2.6}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



$\qquad$
or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}} \times 0.9988035457 & =1150.299682 \times .9998575714 \\
& -142.075291 \times \frac{0.9998578929}{0.9995408846} \times 0.9995398462
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
=1150.135846-142.054953=1008.080893
$$

Then $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1009.288461 \mathrm{~g}$.
Jolly calculated

$$
M_{H_{2} \mathrm{O}}=1009.286871
$$

which differs by 1.5 ppm from the value I have calculated.
Taking the density of water (7)
(7) Reference 5, page 213
at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ as $0.9998676 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$, I calculate for the volume of Jolly's globe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
V & =\frac{1009.288461}{0.9998676} \\
V & =1009.4221 \mathrm{ml}
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
V=1.0094221 \text { Iiters }
$$

## 
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$$
87=48+1884.70,10=10
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0-20-20
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { bachablias. M110L }
\end{aligned}
$$

## DETERMINATION OF THE MASS OF OXYGEN

Jolly determined the mass of oxygen in the following way. He completely surrounded the globe with ice and water and admitted oxygen until the globe was filled at atmospheric pressure. After equilibrium, the barometer was read and the stopcock closed. The globe was then removed and weighed. The globe was then returned to the ice bath and evacuated to 0.02 mm of Hg , the stopcock closed and the evacuated globe was weighed.

The weighings were carried out in the following way. Jolly had a tare globe that had the same external volume as his oxygen weighing globe, to within 0.06 ml . His weighing globe and tare globe were placed on the opposite pans of the balance. When his weighing giobe was filled with oxygen, weights were necessary on the pan with the tare globe. When the weighing globe was evacuated, weights were necessary on the pan with the weighing giobe. To correct for inequality of the balance arms, each weighing was done twice, interchanging the objects on the balance pans. Jolly applied corrections for the difference between the nominal value and actual value of his wetghts.

Let $M_{T}$ be the mass of the tare globe and its contents
Let $M_{G}$ be the mass of the globe to be filled with oxygen
Let $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}}$ be the external volume of the tare globe during the weighing

Let $V_{G}$ be the external volume at the time of weighing of the globe to be filled with oxygen

## 













$\log +\frac{2}{2}$
0
0


Let $\rho_{\text {air }}$ be the density of the air at time of weighing
Let $\rho_{W}$ be the density of the weights
Let $M_{W}$ be the mass of weights that bring the balance to equilibrium and

Let $g$ be the mass of oxygen in the main globe.
Let the first weighing be with the main globe filled with oxygen.
When the balance is in equilibrium the following equation applies.

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{G}+g_{1}-V_{G_{1}} \rho_{\text {air }}=M_{T}-V_{T_{1}} \rho_{\text {air }}+M_{W_{1}}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let the second weighing be when the main globe is evacuated. Then at equilibrium

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{G}+g_{2}-V_{G_{2}} \rho_{a i r_{2}}+M_{W_{2}}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}}\right)=M_{T}-V_{T_{2}} \rho_{\text {air }} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{I}-g_{2}=M_{W_{1}}\left(1-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}}\right)+M_{W_{2}}\left(I-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}}\right)  \tag{8}\\
&+\left(V_{G_{1}}-V_{T_{1}}\right) \rho_{\text {air }}-\left(V_{G_{2}}-V_{T_{2}}\right) \rho_{\text {air }}
\end{align*}
$$

This equation includes all buoyancy corrections.
Jolly did not give the temperature, pressure, and relative humidity of the air during any of his weighings. We can only assume a constant value for the density of air.
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(3)

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{T}} \text { and } \mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{G}} \text { will be a function of the temperature and also }
$$

a function of the pressure difference between the inside and outside of the globe.

I assume this variation may be expressed by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{T} & =\left(V_{0}+\delta\right)(I+\alpha t)  \tag{9}\\
V_{G} & =V_{0}(I+\alpha t)(I+\beta \Delta P) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta P$ is the pressure difference between inside and outside. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{G}-V_{T}=V_{0}(1+\alpha t) \beta \Delta P-\delta(1+\alpha t) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first weighing, we assume $\Delta \mathrm{P}=0$.
Then

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{1}-g_{2} & =\left(M_{W_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}\right)\left(I-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{w}}\right)  \tag{12}\\
& -V_{0}\left(I+\alpha t_{2}\right) \beta \Delta P_{2} \rho_{a i r}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta P_{2}=P_{\text {inside }}-P_{\text {outside }} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

during the time of the weighing when the main globe is evacuated.
The correction term $V_{0}\left(1+\alpha t_{2}\right) \beta \Delta P_{2} \rho_{\text {air }}$ has been called the correction for the contraction of the globe. Jolly neglected this correction. The necessity for making this correction was first

-4. Wh.


$$
\begin{align*}
& (80+1)\left(3+\rho^{v}\right)=T^{V}  \tag{e}\\
& (15 a+1)(20+1) \rho^{V}+\theta^{V}
\end{align*}
$$

(6in)


$$
\begin{align*}
& 90+0) 3: 9 \Delta 6(+a+1) 0^{2}=2^{V}-a^{2} \tag{00}
\end{align*}
$$

(51)

$$
21 n^{6} g^{q a s}\langle 5 x+\Sigma\rangle_{0} y=
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { stigoma }^{q}-\operatorname{sbtech}^{9}=8^{74} \tag{E5}
\end{equation*}
$$





pointed out by Lord Rayleigh (8) (9)
(8) Lord Rayleigh. On the Relative Densities of Hydrogen and Oxygen. Preliminary Notice. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), v. 43, 1888, pp. 356-363.
(9) Lord Rayleigh. On the Relative Densities of Hydrogen and Oxygen. Preíminary Notice. The Chemical News, v. 57, 1888, pp. 73-75.

The factor ( $1-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}}$ ) is the correction for air buoyancy on the weights. Ph. v. Jolly seems to have neglected this correction in determining the weight of his gases; while he, as we have seen, applied a buoyancy correction to his weights in determining the weight of water that filled his giobe at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In regard to this point Lord Rayleigh says (10)
(10) Lord Rayleigh. II On the Densities of the Principal Gases. Proc. Roy. Soc. (London), v. LIII, 1893, pp. 134-149. Footnote on page 140 .
"In v. Jolly's calculations the buoyancy of the weights seems to be allowed for in dealing with the water, and neglected in dealing with the gases. If this be so, the result would be affected with a slight error which, however, far exceeds any that could arise



$$
+50 . . x_{1}
$$








(01) evea dgiation tront dinioq



$$
x \times f \text { एुष्प तु }
$$

[^0]from neglecting buoyancy altogether." Lord Rayleigh evidently overlooked the fact that in weighing his water, Jolly used gold plated brass weights, while in weighing his gases, he used platinum weights. Under these conditions, neglecting buoyancy altogether introduces an error of 87 ppm Iow in the density of oxygen, while applying the buoyancy correction to the water determination and neglecting it in the determination of weight of gas, introduces an error of 56 ppm high in the density of oxygen. Applying both buoyancy corrections and assuming a $10 \%$ uncertainty in the density of air during the gas weight determinations, reduces the uncertainty in the density of oxygen to 5 ppm . I have therefore applied a buoyancy correction for the weights in the determination of the mass of oxygen. In these calculations, I have taken the density of air as being $0.0012 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$.

I reproduce below the data on the weight of oxygen given by Jolly in his paper.

TABLE 1. - Original data published by Jolly

|  | Gew. d. Kolbens $0^{\circ}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kolben } 0^{\circ} \\ & 0.02 \mathrm{~mm} \end{aligned}$ | Gew. d |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gew. d. } 0 \\ & 0^{\circ} \quad 760 \mathrm{~mm} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Bar. | $\mathrm{K}=\mathrm{T}+$ | $K=T-$ | Bar. | Gew. |  |
| I | 714.45 | 0.083799 | 1.272183 | 714.43 | 1. 355982 | 1.442470 |
| II | 711.30 | 0.077913 | 1.272187 | 711.28 | 1.350100 | 1.442579 |
| III | 715.02 | 0.074904 | 1. 272180 | 715.00 | 1.347084 | 1.442489 |
| IV | 720.42 | 0.095182 | 1.272224 | 720.40 | 1.367406 | 1.442570 |
| V | 722.02 | 0.098103 | 1.272380 | 722.000 | 1. 370483 | 1.442571 |
| VI | 706.93 | 0.069659 | 1.272188 | 706.91 | 1.341847 | 1.442562 |
| VII | 693.79 | 0.044552 | 1.272222 | 693.77 | 1.316774 | 1.442478 |
|  |  |  |  |  | MitteI | 1.442545 |
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The second column, headed Bar., is evidently the barometric pressure reduced to mm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under local gravity conditions. The third column gives the weight that must be added to the tare to balance the globe filled with oxygen to a pressure equal to the barometric pressure. The fourth column gives the weights that must be added to the evacuated globe to balance the tare. These are the data that $I$ have called $M_{W_{1}}$ and $M_{W_{2}}$.

Evidently, column 6 is nothing but the sum of columns 3 and 4 , that is $M_{W_{1}}+M_{W_{2}}$.

The fifth column is the barometric pressure minus 0.02 mm , which was the pressure when the globe was evacuated.

Column seven is evidently column six times 760 , divided by the pressure listed in column five. In table 2, I give this number calculated from columns five and six and compare it with Joliy's value given in column seven.

| TABLE 2. - Comparison of calculated data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\Delta \mathrm{P}$ | $M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}$ | $w_{1}+M_{w_{2}}$ | Jolly | $\Delta$ |
| I | 714.43 | 1.355982 | 1.442473 | 1.442470 | +. 000003 |
| II | 711.28 | 1.350100 | 1.442577 | 1.442579 | -. 000002 |
| III | 715.00 | 1.347084 | 1.431866 | 1.442489 | -. 010623 |
| IV | 720.40 | 1.367406 | 1.442572 | 1.442570 | +. 000002 |
| V | 722.00 | 1.370483 | 1.442614 | 1.442571 | +. 000043 |
| VI | 706.91 | 1.341847 | 1.442622 | 1.442562 | +. 000060 |
| VII | 693.77 | 1.316774 | 1.442478 | 1. 442478 | . 000000 |

Evidently there is a typographical error in connection with experiment III and possibiy with experiments $V$ and VI.

ath hata
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**1

If $M_{W_{1}}+M_{W_{2}}=1.357084$ for experiment III, then

$$
\frac{\left(M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}\right) 760}{715.00}=1.442495 .
$$

This is to be compared with Jolly's tabulated value of 1.442489.
This is sufficiently close to my calculated value that for experiment III, I take $M_{W_{1}}=0.084904$.

I then find the values given in tabie 3.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { TABLE 3. - Accepted values of } M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}} \\
M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}} \quad\left(M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}\right) \frac{760}{\Delta P}
\end{array}
$$

Joily

I
II
III
IV
V
VI
VII

1. 355982
I. 350100
1.357084
2. 367406
1.370483
1.341847
3. 316774

Mean
1.442473
1.442577

1. 442495
2. 442572
1.442614
3. 442622
4. 442478
5. 4425473
1.442470
1.442579
1.442489
6. 442570
1.442571
1.442562
1.442478
1.4425313

At the bottom of table 3, I give the mean of the values calculated by me and the mean of the values tabulated by Joily. However, Joily gives the mean of the values tabulated by him as 1.442545. This essentiaily agrees with the mean of my calculated values and not with the mean of his tabulated values. I have therefore conciuded that the values given in column 2 in tabie 3 are the values to


$$
2 \operatorname{cos+a} \cdot 1=\frac{\operatorname{aor}\left(s^{M+}+t^{M}\right)}{00 . z+T}
$$
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be used in calculating the weight of oxygen, $g_{I}-g_{2}$ of equation (12). These values must be corrected for the air buoyancy on the platinum weights and for the contraction of the globe.

For the buoyancy correction, I have taken the density of air as $0.0012 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$ and the density of platinum as $21.45 \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{ml}$. The factor

$$
\begin{aligned}
1-\frac{\rho_{\text {air }}}{\rho_{W}} & =1-\frac{0.0012}{21.45} \\
& =0.99994406
\end{aligned}
$$

must be multiplied by each of the values of $\left(M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}\right)$ iisted in table 3.

In order to make the correction for the contraction of the globe, it is necessary to know the value of $\beta$, used in equations (10) and (12). This coefficient has been determined by MoIes and Miravalles (11) (12),
(11) Moles, E. and R. Miravalles

A Cerca de la Compresibilidad de los Matraces vacios en la Determination de gases (The Compressibility of Evacuated Flasks in the Determination of Gas Densities) Anales de la Sociedad Espanola de fisica y quimica, v. 20, 1922, pp. 104116.
$\qquad$

 the the
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
(12) Moles, E. and R. Miravalles

Sur la Contraction des Ballons Vides dans les Mesures de la Densite de Gas (On the Contraction of Empty Flasks in Measurement of gas Densities) J. de Chemie Physique, v. 21, 1924, pp. 1-9.
who made measurements on many different globes. They give

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta\left(\mathrm{atm}^{-1}\right)=\frac{V}{W} \times 15.5 \times 10^{-6} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the volume of the flask in ml and
w is the weight of the flask in grams
For Joliy's flask

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{V} & =1009.42 \mathrm{ml} \\
\mathrm{w} & =142.12 \mathrm{gm} \\
\mathrm{~V} / \mathrm{w} & =7.103
\end{aligned}
$$

So that

$$
\beta=1.101 \times 10^{-4}\left(\mathrm{~atm}^{-1}\right)
$$

Since this correction is a small correction, I have taken $V_{0}\left(1+\alpha t_{2}\right)$ in equation (12) as being

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{0}\left(1+\alpha t_{2}\right) & =1009.4+54.7 \\
& =1064.1 \mathrm{mi} .
\end{aligned}
$$


 atand
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8-1 \quad 99
$$



$$
x_{0}
$$
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$$
\text { (1- nove) thoi } \times 191.1=a
$$



$$
5 . \lambda+2 \cdot p 00 d=(5+0+1) \cdot V
$$

$10+1.4001=$ ?
54.7 is the volume occupied by the glass in the flask. I have taken $\Delta \mathrm{P}_{2}$ in equation (12) as being minus the pressure given in column 2 of table 2, divided by 760 .

The calculations of the weights of oxygen are summarized in table 4. $g_{1}-g_{2}$ was calculated using equation (12).

TABLE 4. - Calculation of mass of oxygen

$$
\left(M_{w_{1}}+M_{w_{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{\rho_{a i r}}{\rho_{W}}\right)-V_{0}\left(1+\alpha t_{2}\right) \beta \Delta P_{2} \rho_{a i r} \quad g_{1}-g_{2}
$$

| I | 1.355906 | 0.000132 | 1.356038 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| II | 1.350024 | 0.000132 | 1.350156 |
| III | 1.357008 | 0.000132 | 1.357140 |
| IV | 1.367330 | 0.000133 | 1.367463 |
| V | 1.370406 | 0.000134 | 1.370540 |
| VI | 1.341772 | 0.000131 | 1.341903 |
| VII | 1.316700 | 0.000128 | 1.316828 |

The values of the correction for the contraction of the globe, represent a correction of 0.00014 in the final value of the density of oxygen. Lord Rayleigh (13)
(13) Reference 10 , page 147
estimated this correction to be 0.00032 , while Moles (14)
(14) Moles, E.

Etude Critique des Valuers Modernes de la Densite du gaz Oxygene (Critical Study of Modern Values of the Density of Oxygen Gas) Journal de Chimie Physique et Revue Generale des Colloides, v. 19, 1921, pp. 100-120.
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estimated it to be 0.00020 , which in a later paper by Moles and Gonzalez (15),
(15) Moles, E. and F. Gonzalez

Nouvelle Revision de la Densite Normale du Gaz Oxygene. (New
Revision of the Normal Density of Oxygen Gas.) Journal de
Chimie Physique, v. 19, 1921, pp. 310-323. Footnote 2 on
page 322.
was changed to 0.00016 .

## CALCULATION OF THE DENSITY OF OXYGEN

In this section I derive the formula necessary to calculate the density of oxygen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and at $i$ atmosphere where in this section, 1 atmosphere is defined as 760 mm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under the conditions of local gravity.

In each experiment, the globe contained $g_{1}$ grams of oxygen at a pressure $P_{1}$, near 1 atmosphere and at a volume $V_{0}$. When evacuated to the pressure $P_{2}$, the globe contained $g_{2}$ grams of oxygen, in the volume $V_{2}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{2}=V_{0}\left[1+3\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

I apply the exact equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} V_{0}=\frac{g_{1} R_{0} Z_{1}}{M} \tag{i6}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.i C_{1} q-S^{7}\right) 8+110^{3 V}=S^{V} \tag{x+1}
\end{equation*}
$$


: (d1)

$$
\frac{L^{\Sigma}+18}{4}=Q_{18}^{V}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{2} V_{2}=\frac{g_{2} R T{ }_{0} Z_{2}}{M}=P_{2} V_{0}\left[I+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right] \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is the molecular weight of oxygen and $Z$ is the compressibility factor. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1}}{P_{2}}=\frac{g_{1} Z_{1}}{g_{2} Z_{2}}\left[I+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right] \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{2}=g_{1} \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} \frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}\left[1+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right] \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}-g_{2}=g_{1}\left(1-\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} \frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}\left[I+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right]\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}=\frac{g_{1}-g_{2}}{I-\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} \frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}\left[1+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right]} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $g_{760}$ be the grams of oxygen that would be in the globe at a pressure of 760 mm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and with the barometric pressure the same. With $P_{760}$ representing this pressure, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{P_{1}}{P_{760}}=\frac{g_{1}}{g_{760}} \frac{z_{1}}{Z_{760}} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$



$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{q}-s^{9}\right) q+11 \frac{s^{5} 1^{8}}{s^{8} s^{2}}=\frac{1^{9}}{s^{q}} \tag{bi}
\end{equation*}
$$

30

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\delta_{1} 9-s^{q}\right) 8+1\right) \frac{s^{q}}{f^{q}} \frac{f^{S}}{s^{5}} 1^{B}=f^{8} \tag{et}
\end{equation*}
$$



Bn

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{5^{8} \cdot 1^{9}}{\left.\left(1_{1} 9-s^{q}\right) 8+1\right] \frac{5^{9}}{1^{9}} \frac{1^{5}}{5^{5}}-1}=1^{8} \tag{1s}
\end{equation*}
$$




(SS)

$$
\frac{1^{8}}{00 r^{5}} \frac{t^{8}}{00 r^{8}}=\frac{x^{9}}{00 r^{4}}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{760}=g_{1} \frac{Z_{I}}{Z_{760}} \frac{P_{760}}{P_{I}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and substituting for $g_{I}$ from equation (2I), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{760}=\frac{\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{760}} \frac{P_{760}}{P_{1}}}{1-\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} \frac{P_{2}}{P_{1}}\left[I+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right]} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

and finaliy

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{760}=\frac{\left(g_{1}-g_{2}\right) P_{760}}{P_{1}-\frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{2}} P_{2}\left[1+\beta\left(P_{2}-P_{1}\right)\right]} \frac{Z_{1}}{Z_{760}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (25) allows the mass of oxygen, that would fill Jolly's globe at a pressure of 760 mm Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, to be calculated.

Jolly assumed all of the compressibility factors were equal to one. For making the compressibility correction, I have assumed the compressibility factor, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=1-0.000965 \frac{\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{mm}}}{760} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the pressure in mm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, \beta$ is given by

$$
\beta=\frac{0.0001101}{760}=1.45 \times 10^{-7}(\mathrm{~mm} \mathrm{Hg})^{-1}
$$

(ES)

$$
\frac{\cos ^{9}}{1^{9}} \frac{x^{8}}{\tan } 4 \cdot 19 \cdot=\log
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{m^{4}}{0 d t} \text { eapoog } 0-i=s \tag{cs}
\end{equation*}
$$

The details of the calculations of $g_{760}$ are summarized in table 5.

| TABLE 5. | $\frac{\text { Calculation of mass of oxygen needed to fill Jolly's }}{\text { globe at } 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \text { and }} 760 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg at local gravity |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~g}_{1}-\mathrm{g}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{Z}_{1} / \mathrm{Z}_{760}$ | $\mathrm{~g}_{760}$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| I | 714.45 | 1.356038 | 1.0000579 | 1.4426165 |  |
| II | 711.30 | 1.350156 | 1.0000619 | 1.4427259 |  |
| III | 715.02 | 1.357140 | 1.0000572 | 1.4426369 |  |
| IV | 720.42 | 1.367463 | 1.0000503 | 1.4427042 |  |
| V | 722.02 | 1.370540 | 1.0000482 | 1.4427432 |  |
| VI | 706.93 | 1.341903 | 1.0000675 | 1.4427793 |  |
| VII | 693.79 | 1.316828 | 1.0000842 | 1.4426590 |  |
|  |  |  | Mean | 1.4426950 g |  |

The mean for $g_{760}$ given by Jolly is 1.442545 which means my calculated value is higher by a factor 1.00010 . This is principally due to the neglect, by Jolly, of the compressibility factor, $Z / Z_{760}$, and the contraction of the globe.

Using a value of 1.0094221 liters for the volume of Jolly's globe, the values of the density of oxygen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and under a pressure of 760 mm Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and local gravity were calculated. These values are given in table 6 .

TABLE 6. - Density of oxygen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 760 \mathrm{~mm}$ of Hg , local gravity

|  | $\rho, \mathrm{g} / 1$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| I | 1.4291509 |
| II | 1.4292593 |
| III | 1.4291711 |
| IV | 1.4292378 |
| VI | 1.4292764 |
| VII | 1.4293122 |
| Mean | 1.4291930 |
| $1.429229 \pm 22$ |  |
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& 4 \\
& \text { pozcesse if } \\
& 18 \\
& \text { cecses A. } 1
\end{aligned}
$$

The plus or minus is the standard deviation of the mean. REDUCTION OF THE DENSITY OF OXYGEN TO GRAVITY OF $980.616 \mathrm{CM} / \mathrm{SEC}^{2}$ Ph. v. Jolly gives for his laboratory in Munich,

```
Iatitude = 48 8
elevation = 515 meters
```

Woollard and Rose (16)
(16) Woollard, George P. and John C. Rose

International Gravity Measurements. Published by Society of ExpIoration Geophysicists, October 1963, 518pp., Composed and Printed by George Banta Company, Inc. Menasha, Wisconsin, p. 514.
give for the acceleration of gravity, at Munich, in the Potsdam system for the Residenz station

$$
g=980.7384 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { latitude }=48^{\circ} 8.5^{\prime} \mathrm{N} \\
& \text { longitude }=11^{\circ} 34.9^{\prime} \mathrm{E} \\
& \text { elevation }=510 \text { meters }
\end{aligned}
$$

The change in $g$ from a latitude of $48^{\circ} 8.5^{\prime}$ to $48^{\circ} 8^{\prime}$ is



$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { पण की } \\
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\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { I in in at a phationt } \\
& \text { usevan Oic = noidevala }
\end{aligned}
$$


given by Lambert and Darling's tables (17)
(17) Lambert, W. D., and F. W. Darling

Tables for Theoretical Gravity According to the New International Formula, Bulletin Geodesique Organe de Ia Section de Geodesie, No. 32, 1931, pp. 327-340.
as -0.00075 .
The change in $g$ from an elevation of 510 meters to an elevation of 515 meters is given by (18)
(18) International Critical Tables, v. 1, 1926, p. 402, McGrawHill Book Company, Inc., New York, 370 Seventh Avenue.
$-0.0003086 \times 5=-0.00154$.
Thus for Ph. v. Jolly's laboratory

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{g}=980.7384-.00075-.00154 \\
& \mathrm{~g}=980.7361 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To correct the values given in table 6 , to 760 mm of Hg , with $g=980.616$, we must multiply by

$$
\frac{980.616}{980.7361}=0.99987754
$$
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$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text {, 2eI00.07 a } 2 \times 3892900.0-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { \& antion ract oter }=\text { e }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{sernsege}-\mathrm{a}=\frac{313.039}{1325.088}
\end{aligned}
$$

These values are given in table 7 .

TABLE 7. - Density of oxygen at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 760 \mathrm{~mm}$ of $\mathrm{Hg}_{2}$

|  | $\rho \mathrm{g} / 1$ | $\rho \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{l}$ (Moles 1921) | Rat1o |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I | 1.4289759 |  |  |
| II | 1.4290843 | 1.42885 | 1.000088 |
| III | 1.4289961 | 1.42895 | 1.000094 |
| IV | 1.4290628 | 1.42887 | 1.000088 |
| V | 1.4291014 | 1.42893 | 1.000093 |
| VI | 1.4291372 | 1.42898 | 1.000085 |
| VII | 1.4290180 | 1.42901 | 1.000089 |
| Mean | $1.429054 \pm 22$ | 1.42886 | 1.000111 |

The third column of table 7 gives the values calculated by Moles (19),
(19) Reference 14, page 103.
decreased by 0.00004 , as Moles and Gonseler (20)
(20) Reference 15, page 322, Footnote 2.
indicate should be done.
The fourth column of table 7 gives the ratio of the densities calculated by me to that calculated by Moles. The values differ by almost 100 parts per million (ppm). This difference is principally due to the fact that Moles applied a buoyancy
if slobe nt mavig oxs asuluv ses.fI
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correction to the weights in the determination of the mass of oxygen, but he assumed the weights were of brass with a density of 8.4 , while $I$ assumed they were platinum with a density of 21.45. This leads to a difference of 87 ppm .

## COMPARISON WITH PREVIOUSLY RECALCULATED VALUES OF THE DENSITY OF OXYGEN

In Internal Report No. 44 (21)
(21) Barieau, Robert E.

Recaiculation of the Work of $V$. Regnault on the Density of Oxygen. Helium Research Center Internal Report No. 44, November 1963.

I recalculated the work of $V$. Regnault (22)
(22) Regnault, V. Deuxiene Memoire. Sur la Determination de la Densite des gaz, pp. 121-150. Troisieme Memoire. Determination du Poids d air et de la Densite du Mercure, pp. 151-162. Memories de l' Academie Royal des Sciences de $^{\prime}$ I' Institute de France, v. XXI, 1847.

[^1]
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oxygen follows:

| Author | $\rho \mathrm{g} / 1$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Regnault, Paris, 1847 | $1.429626 \pm 22$ |
| Jolly, Munich, 1879 | $1.429054 \pm 22$ |

This is the density of oxygen in grams per liter, at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and a pressure of 1 atmosphere, where 1 atmosphere is 760 mm of Hg at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a gravitational field of $980.616 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{sec}^{2}$ in the Potsdam system. RTBS datmom a Cliol.
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