The New Orleans Bee.

Office, 73 Chartres street. NEW ORLEANS, TUESDAY MORNING, OCTOBER 2, 1860. Vol. XVII—New Series. Vol. XXIIII.—Whole No. 11,793.

BY TELEGRAPH.

[TRANSMITTED TO THE NEW ORLEANS BEE.]

Four Days Later from Europe—The Steamship Canadian at Cape Race—Arrival of Persia at Liverpool—Liverpool Cotton Market Firm—Breadstuffs Dull—Consols Closed at 90 to 90½.

By E. Rosp L.C., at 10 o'clock p. M. of and 47 Old Levee office, the following supplement was made.

By D. E. Murphy, at 10½ o'clock, at No. 47 Magazine

Barcelona, Sp., 27 P. Vedra, at the entrance of the and Cheapside, Sunday, Saturday, Sept. 24.

CONSIDERATION.

Visitors have been the subject of reference to the applied creation of the Kentucky question, by Mr. BRECKENRIDGE in his speech at Ashland, on the 4th inst. By some it has been supposed that his allusion came from the fulling of the opposition to propound to him certain questions, and answered by DOUGLAS. But this reason is rendered untenable by the fact that Mr. BRECKENRIDGE had familiarized himself with the contents of the Norfolk speech, and replied to many portions of it. Moreover it had been proclaimed beyond doubt that Mr. B. would respond to those questions as promptly and as unhesitatingly as Mr. Douglas. Other hypotheses have been hazarded, but these are not satisfactory. The Bee has heard from the source of the Norfolk speech, that it was the result of the Northern Democrats, and that a response of vigorous nature he signed to follow their persistent outcry. The opposition proves to be well founded. The statement is made upon high authority by the Louisville Journal of the 28th, that when it became known among the Democrats of Alabama that Mr. BRECKENRIDGE was to speak in vindication of his position on the Kentucky platform, a messenger was dispatched by telegraph to Lexington in hot haste for the purpose of preventing Mr. BRECKENRIDGE from an unworthy the Norfolk questions, and the messenger reached Lexington in time to execute his mission.

There is, however, another feature of extensive interest connected with Mr. BRECKENRIDGE's avoidance of the Norfolk questions. The paper from which we have gathered the foregoing information adds, on authority which, it says, he prefers to Kentucky would feel at liberty to publish, that before the correspondence between the Breckinridge Committee and Mr. BUTLER had taken place, when Mr. BRECKENRIDGE was determined to appear in his own vindication, and while the whole plan of his appearance was still unprejudiced, his distinguished uncle, Dr. ROBERT J. BRECKENRIDGE, appealed to his personality to come out and get himself right with respect to the position on the Union question, and implored him by a fearful and dark appellation to divorce forever the suspicion of his unreasonable and the unbridled hate implied to discountenance and disown the pitiful and plans of the Disunionists, and to separate himself and his principles from them in decisive and explicit a manner as to afford no doubt whatever of his position. Mr. BRECKENRIDGE threatened to the appeal, and his better nature was excited. He resolved finally to vindicate himself before the public. He was herded to speak by a consideration of the danger of Anti-Union, and the disunion and the day of his appearance was fixed. Now is there any doubt whatever that he would have fulfilled his pledge, and placed himself firmly upon the Union platform, but for the fearfulness arguments of the messengers of Disunion, sent for the purpose? Yesterday of an ambition that proved powerful enough to crush affects the prestigious of patriotism. At the appointed time he delivered a speech, but one equivocal allusion to the Norfolk questions. Of the outcome of the argument made by the Louisville Journal. Indeed not needed the Bee thinks. We have never impugned Mr. BRECKENRIDGE's individual loyalty to the Union. We have never classed him among the conspiratorial fire-eaters who support him. But while differing from Mr. Douglas entirely in his idea of the dangers and the indispensability to forbid of to jeopardy the adherence by boldly disavowing and repudiating them. Which his antipode at Ashland did in the late September. It is perhaps a matter of opinion whether Mr. BRECKENRIDGE will ever answer the Norfolk questions. It seems promising that venerable and illustrious citizen, his uncle, he could not bring his influence to the effect, and it is not very likely that he will yield to less persuasive or more authoritative appeals. Mr. BRECKENRIDGE's example has proved contagious. Most of the Southern Breckinridge journals stand appalled at the overwhelming gesture of the Union sentiment, and refuse to quietly themselves whose condemnation of the Norfolk interrogatories. Even Mr. J. L. DAVIS himself, the grand high priest of the gospel of unbridledness, tempering the order of his Disunionism, said yours so gently as a sucking dove. In short, with here and there an exceptional, very rare, tribe, a few weeks ago so boldly and proclaimed that the election of LINCOLN would be a legitimate cause for Disunion, since, and Mr. BRECKENRIDGE, either dared the golden opportunity, or meet it with [illegible] and conditions.

[illegible] however, we must acknowledge that [illegible] a few Breckinridge sites tries [illegible] more intensely than their chief. On the 26th September a bonfire was given in the parish of St. Mary by that party. A correspondent writes to us that Mr. MOSES, late Attorney-General, addressed the meeting, and was called upon to answer the Norfolk questions. He did so promptly, and substantially that Douglas he could not quite for the party, for himself he would say that he considered the election of LINCOLN would be a sufficient cause for the dissolution of the Union. This is in not together with the parlor Frankman and moral example of the speaker. When any his compeers DAVIS, LOUIS SAUNDERS, SIMMS and the rest [illegible]

See advertisement of the Union Guards [illegible] and Distinct, which appears [illegible]

A GOOD HIT.—The Savannah Republican says: The Breckenridge Democracy [illegible] to hitch at every time it will crack of their new coverage. Dr. Miller, in a speech the other day, said he was for Douglas, but stand [illegible] and the Breckinridgers had come to him and were standing on the Kentucky platform. Douglas [illegible] were [illegible] and [illegible] by the Hardpaste prompt. The Dodge [illegible] the test by telling them that the Bee took the [illegible] over you in a Democratic platform [illegible] the party to them?

THE WRITER BITER.—A Bogtown Sun Bull [illegible] to the Charleston Courier says:

Hardy Winter Duffy made a speech [illegible] night in the New Assembly Hall [illegible] the subject of Americans and Union men. He announced himself unequivocally [illegible] and in favor of the Union, and he declared that he was unconstitutionally [illegible] Democracy in every respect and [illegible] decidedly opposed to it. Issues with [illegible] allusion to the Norfolk questions [illegible] the outcome of the argument made by the Louisville Journal. Indeed not needed the Bee thinks. We have never impugned Mr. BRECKENRIDGE's individual loyalty to the Union. We have never classed him among the conspiratorial fire-eaters who support him. But while differing from Douglas entirely in his idea of the dangers and the indispensability to forbid of to jeopardy the adherence by boldly disavowing and repudiating them. Which his antipode at Ashland did in the late September. It is perhaps a matter of opinion whether Mr. BRECKENRIDGE will ever answer the Norfolk questions. It seems promising that venerable and illustrious citizen, his uncle, he could not bring his influence to the effect, and it is not very likely that he will yield to less persuasive or more authoritative appeals. Mr. BRECKENRIDGE's example has proved contagious. Most of the Southern Breckinridge journals stand appalled at the overwhelming gesture of the Union sentiment, and refuse to quietly themselves whose condemnation of the Norfolk interrogatories. Even Mr. J. L. DAVIS himself, the grand high priest of the gospel of unbridledness, tempering the order of his Disunionism, said yours so gently as a sucking dove. In short, with here and there an exceptional, very rare, tribe, a few weeks ago so boldly and proclaimed that the election of LINCOLN would be a legitimate cause for Disunion, since, and Mr. BRECKENRIDGE, either dared the golden opportunity, or meet it with [illegible] and conditions.

[illegible] however, we must acknowledge that [illegible] a few Breckinridge sites tries [illegible] more intensely than their chief. On the 26th September a bonfire was given in the parish of St. Mary by that party. A correspondent writes to us that Mr. MOSES, late Attorney-General, addressed the meeting, and was called upon to answer the Norfolk questions. He did so promptly, and substantially that Douglas he could not quite for the party, for himself he would say that he considered the election of LINCOLN would be a sufficient cause for the dissolution of the Union. This is in not together with the parlor Frankman and moral example of the speaker. When any his compeers DAVIS, LOUIS SAUNDERS, SIMMS and the rest [illegible]

See advertisement of the Union Guards [illegible] and Distinct, which appears [illegible]

A GOOD HIT.—The Savannah Republican says: The Breckin