## SECRET

que

RETURN TO CIA Background Use Only Do Not Reproduce

OGC 69-0707

15 April 1969

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
SUBJECT:
1. Mr. Heinonen called to advise that Mr. would be available for a meeting on 11 April and asked if I would come to the LDGLOBE downtown office to attend. In preparation for the meeting I contacted Mr. Rocca to determine what recent developments had taken place in New York with regard to Herbert Itkin's accepting the compromise solution reached between Messrs. Hogan and Morgenthau.
2. Mr. Rocca advised that the State of New York had withdrawn from the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York its motion to remand Herbert Itkin to the State of New York for trial. Secondly, Mr. Rocca stated that the Family Court of Westchester County had denied Herbert Itkin's request for rehearing the custody award of Mrs. Itkin's two sons. Thirdly, Herbert Itkin had prepared a draft letter to George Washington University, School of Diplomacy (sic), in which Itkin purported to offer a manuscript describing his work with the CIA for publication by the University. Itkin did stipulate that the University would have to obtain the approval of the DCI before publication of the book. It is puzzling to fathom Itkin's real motivation in making this offer.
3. I met with Mr. on 11 April at the LPGLOBE office in Washington and explained to him the developments which had taken place with regard to Herbert Itkin's legal entanglements in New York City. I pointed out to Mr. that we assessed his risk of exposure based upon three possibilities: (a) the unpublished feature article prepared by of the which relates to Herbert Itkin's career could

SECRET

Estable 1
Excludion form enformation
the cod |
the factor throughout

## SECRE!

03
mention in view of his with Itkin;
(b) the New York County District Attorney's office has knowledge
of
use of that information; and (c) the assumption that Herbert Itkin
has been told by the FBI that is affiliated with CIA.
I further explained that at the present time it appears unlikely
that would be directly involved in Herbert Itkin's trial,
but we could not be absolutely certain that such problems would not arise in the future.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4. With regard to Mr. future assignments T
Tatal - Bull - B
explained that this was a decision which rested with the DDP,
and particularly the European Division, but from a legal standpoint we were not going to object to Mr. assignment to
I did advise Mr. assignment to that it was our opinion that he would be
better off not to return to New York City as conceivably the
District Attorney's office might want to question him further on
his relationship with Herbert Itkin, and we did not think that this
would be too helpful in trying to maintain cover. Mr.
Heinonen noted that the LDGLOBE office had considered sending
Mr. to New York to receive some covert training, but in
view of our concern about the New York area, they would make 03
plans for another location for this training. I asked Mr.
to be sure and advise us if he received any communication directly
from Herbert Itkin or any of his associates in the Conestoga, Ltd.
venture, and Mr. said that he would comply but doubted
that anyone would attempt to contact him.
<sub>0</sub> 2
5. Mr. Heinonen indicated that (L)GLOBE would be in touch
with the European Division during the week of 14 April to assess
the risk factors involved in assignment and seek a
suitable cover.
John & Theaner
JOHN K. GREANEY
Assistant General Counsel
cc: CCS (LP)GLOBE
C/CI/R&A
C/E/F
D/Security
OGC:JKG:bt o3
Orig subj file
1 - ITKIN subj file OF (1)
1 - JKG signer Start
l - Chrono

MPA