D - 2. Ministry of Home Affairs

Investigation Committee for Historic Sites

Geodetic Committee

Reservation Committee for National Treasures

3. Ministry of Finance

4. Ministry of Justice

5. Ministry of Education

Imperial Academy

Imperial Art Academy

Investigation Committee for Important Works of Fine Arts

Advisory Commission for Investigation of the Japanese Language

Institute for Educational Research

Committee for Teacher's License

Educational Reform Committee

6. Ministry of Welfare

7. Repatriates Relief Board

8. Ministry of Agriculture and Forestry

Central Agricultural Land Committee

Fertilizer Committee

9. Ministry of Commerce and Industry

10. Ministry of Transportation

11. Ministry of Communications

Telecommunications Committee

12. Board of Audit

House of Representatives

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Secretary-General | OIKE Makoto | X |
| Assistan Secretary-General | NISHIZAWA Tetsushiro | X |
| Chief of the Secretarial Section (The second rank official) | KUBOTA Yoshimaro | O |
| Chief of the Police Section (The second rank) | IBARAGI Junichi | O |
| Director of the Proceeding Division | NISHIZAWA Tetsushiro | X * X * |
| Chief of the Proceedings Section (The second rank) | NAITO Hideo | O |
| Chief of the Bill Section (The second rank) | YAMANO Yukichi | X |
| Chief of the Committee Division | SUZUKI Takao | X |
| Chief of the First Section of the Committee Division (The second rank) | CHINO Torao | O |
| Chief of the Second Section of the Committee Division (The second rank) | YOSHIDA Saburo | O |
| Chief of the Records Division | KOIZUMI Hiroshi | X |
| Chief of the First Short hand Section (The second rank) | MISUMI Jisuke | O |
| Chief of the Second Short hand Section | MIZUMOTO Shigeyuki | O |
| Chief of the Translation Section (The second rank) | NEGISHI Kuniyoshi | O |
| Chief of the Shorthand writers Training School (The second rank) | SAIRAIJI Hideo | O |
| Chief of the General Affairs Division | YAMASAKI Takeshi | X |
| Acting Chief of the General A Affairs Section (non-regular member) | KOIKE Motoo | O |
| Chief of the Account Section (The Second rank) | Vacant | |

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Chief of the Section of Repair and Building (The second rank) | Vacant | |
| Chief of the Liaison Section (The second rank) | HINATA Seizo | O |
| Chief of the Printing Section (The second rank) | KISHIKAWA Hoji | O |
| Chief of the Research Division | NISHIZAWA Tetsushiro | X * |
| Chief of the First section of the Research Division (The second rank) | HOSONO Koichi | O |
| Chief of the Second Section of the Research Division (The second rank) | KONO Katsuhiko | X |
| Chief of the Legislative Division | MOROHASHI Noboru | X |

The House of Councillors

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Secretary-General | Kobayashi Jiro | X |
| Assistant Secretary-General | Kondo Hideaki | X |
| Chief of Police Section | Miyasaka Sadateka | O |
| Director of General Affairs Division | Kondo Hideaki ″ | |
| Chief of General Affairs Section | Miyasaka Sadateka ″ | |
| Chief of Accounts Section | Shimizu Hitoshi | O |
| Chief of Repairs Section | Shimizu Hitoshi ″ | |
| Chief of Liaison Section | Inagawa Jiro | O |
| Chief of Printing Section | Oda Sadateru | O |
| Director of Procedure Division | Teramitsu Taddshi | X |
| Director of Committee Division | Kono Yoshikatsu | X |
| Director of Investigation Division | Kondo Hideaki ″ | |
| Chief of the Second Section | Uchida Akira | O |
| Director of Record Division | Onodera Goichi | X |
| Chief of Stenograph Section | Yamada Ikuro | O |
| Chief of Translation Section | Inagawa Jiro ″ | |
| Director of Legislative Division | Kondo Hideaki ″ | |

(Note) If screened, indicate with X and if not screened, with O

A-2

CABINET

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Prime Minister | KATAYAMA Tetsu | × |
| Minister of State | SAITŌ Takao | × |
| Ditto | NISHIO Suehiro | × |
| Ditto | WADA Hiroo | × |
| Ditto | HAYASHI Heima | × |
| Ditto | YONEKUBO Mitsusuke | × |
| Ditto | SASANORI Junzō | × |

CABINET SECRETARIATE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director General of Cabinet Secretariate | ※ NISHIO Suehiro | × |
| Vice Director General of Cabinet Secretariate | TAKIKAWA Sueichi | × |
| Ditto | SONE Eki | × |
| Secretary of Cabinet | SATŌ Tomoo | × |

BOARD OF LEGISLATION

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director General | SATŌ Tatsuo | × |
| Vice Director General | IDE Narizō | × |
| Chief of First Division | MIYAUCHI Ken | × |
| Chief of Second Division | IMAEDA Tsuneo | × |
| Chief of Third Division (Second class) | SAMEJIMA Saneo | ○ |

B

PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chief of General Affairs Section | ✱SATŌ Tomoo | × |
| Chief of Personnel Affairs Section (Second class) | IWAKURA Norio | ○ |
| Chief of Supervision Section | HARA Kaoru | × |
| Chief of Accounts Section (Second class) | IWANAGA Kenichi | ○ |

CABINET COUNCILLORS OFFICE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Secretary of Prime Minister's Office | TAGAMI Tatsuo | × |
| Ditto | MINOBE Ryokichi | × |

PENSION'S BUREAU OF PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director of Bureau | MITSUHASHI Norio | × |
| Chief of General Affairs Section (Second class) | IKEMOTO Yasushi | ○ |
| Chief of Examination Section (Ditto) | KISHIDA Mineru | ○ |
| Chief of Investigation Section (Ditto) | SHIROTANI . Chihiro | ○ |

STATISTICS BUREAU OF PRIME MINISTER'S OFFICE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director General | MORITA Yūzō | × |
| Chief of General Affairs Section (Second class) | NASU Tokio | ○ |
| Chief of Examination Section (Ditto) | TOMOYASU Ryōichi | ○ |
| Chief of Population Section | FUKUNAGA Yoichiro | × |
| Chief of Economic Section | MORI Kazuki | × |
| Chief of First Statistics-making Section and concurrent Chief of Second Statistics Section (Second class) | KAHARA Tomizo | ○ |

BOARD OF DECORATION

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| President | SEKO Yasuji | × |
| Chief of Examination Section and concurrent Chief of General Affairs Section | MURATA Yachiho | × |

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION BOARD

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| President | SAITŌ Takao | × |
| Director | SATŌ Tatsuo | × |
| Chief of General Affairs Division | MAEDA Katsumi | × |
| Chief of Administrative Organization Division (Second class) | MIYAZAWA Toshiyoshi | ○ |
| Chief of Official Personnel Division (Ditto) | ASAI Kiyoshi | ○ |
| Chief of Administrative execute Division (Ditto) | YAMASHITA Okiie | ○ |

PRESS AND PUBLICATION PAPER RATION'S OFFICE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director of Office | HARADA Jiro | × |

STATISTICS COMMITTEE OFFICE

| POSITION | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director of Office | MINOBE Ryōkichi | × |

OFFICE OF CENTRAL PUBLIC SERVICE SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Director of Office | ŌTA Takeshi | × |

FIRST CLASS GOVERNMENT OFFICIAL NOMINATION

COMMITTEE

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | * KATAYAMA Tetsu | × |
| Chief of First Division | * NISHIO Suehiro | × |
| Chief of Second Division | * SATO Tatsuo | × |
| Member of Committee | * SUZUKI Kyoichi | × |
| 〃 Ditto | * SATO Eisaku | × |
| Ditto | * MIYAUCHI Ken | × |
| Ditto | * IMANDA Tsuneo | × |
| Ditto | * IDE Narizo | × |
| Ditto | * SEKO Yasuji | × |
| Ditto | * IWASAWA Tadayasu | × |
| Ditto | * WAGATSUMA Sakae | × |
| Reserve Member of Committee | * ITO Kinji | × |
| Ditto | * OKAZAKI Katsuo | × |
| Ditto | * MORITA Shunsuke | × |
| Ditto | * MITSUI Shinjiro | × |
| Ditto | * NAKAHARA Juichiro | × |

Committee of Ordinary Examination ( Cabinet )

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman | * SATO Tatsuo | × |

Committee of Ordinary Examination

( Prime Minister's Office )

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman | * SATO Tomoo | × |

Central Public Service Screening Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | SHONO Riichi | × |
| Member of Committee | OKOCHI Kazuo | × |
| Ditto | IWABUCHI Tatsuo | × |
| Ditto | UNNO Shinkichi | × |
| Ditto | MIKI Jiro | × |
| Ditto | HARA Yasusaburo | × |
| Ditto | KATO Masuo | × |

Public Service Qualification Appeal Investigation Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | SAWADA Takejiro | × |
| Member of Committee | SHIMA Tamotsu | × |
| Ditto | MOROI Kenichi | × |
| Ditto | MANO Takeshi | × |
| Ditto | TOMIYASU Kenji | × |
| Ditto | HASEGAWA Taichiro | × |
| Ditto | UETA Shunkichi | × |

Holding Company Liquidation Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | SASAYAMA Tadao | × |
| Supervising member of Committee | KURUMATANI Umataro | × |
| Standing member of Committee | NODA Iwajiro | × |
| Ditto | KASHIMA Goro | × |
| Member of Committee | NAKIMURA Yoshitaro | × |
| Ditto | MINOBE Ryokichi | × |
| Ditto | MOROI Kenichi | × |
| Ditto | KANEKO Yonekichi | × |
| Ditto | ICHIKAWA Michiyuki | × |

Establishing Committee of the Special Supply Office

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | *SHIGETA Tadayasu | × |
| Member of Committee | *OKUNO Kenichi | × |
| Ditto | *NAGATUSTA Koki | × |
| Ditto | *SUGIMORI Shotaro | × |
| Ditto | *MATSUDA Taro | × |
| Ditto | *Miura Fumio | × |

Temporary Funds Adjustment Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | KATAYAMA Tetsu | |
| Vice-chairman of Committee | YANO Shotaro | |
| Ditto | MIZUTANI Chozaburo | |
| Member of Committee | OKOCHI Masatoshi | |
| Ditto | HASUMI Yasushi | |
| Ditto | KADONO Jukuro | |
| Ditto | HIJIKATA Seimi | |
| Ditto | TSUDA Shingo | |
| Ditto | KATO Takeo | |
| Ditto | KAWAKAMI Koichi | |
| Ditto | NAKANE Sadahiko | |
| Temporary Member of Committee | NARUSE Tatsu | |
| Ditto | KIMBARA Kennosuke | |
| Ditto | TAKAHASHI Kamekichi | |
| Ditto | YAMAMURO Sobun | |

Temporary Funds Investigation Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | ICHIMADA Hisato | X |

Trasportation and Communication Adjustment Committee

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman of Committee | ※ KATAYAMA Tetsu | X |
| Vice Chairman of Committee | ※ KIMURA Kozaemon | X |
| Ditto | ※ TOMABEJI Gizo | X |
| Temporary Member of Committee | HARA Kunizo | ○ |
| Ditto | GOTO Kunihiko | ○ |

X not applicable
Ø : not screened yet
* : concurrently members of the other committees

---

Second Class Officials Selection Committee

Chairman: * Sato Tatsuo X

Members: * Miyauchi Kan X
* Imaeda Tsuneo X
Ohta Ichiro X
Hayashi Keizo X
Yoshida Taijiro
Tanbo Hirohiko X
* Miyazaya Toshiyoshi X

---

Higher Civil Service Examination Committee

Chairman: Director of Legislation Bureau
* Sato Tatsuo X

Director of the First Department
* Sato Tatsuo X

Director of the Second Department (Vice-Minister of Foreign Affairs)
Okazaki Katsuo X

Director of the Third Department (Vice-Minister of Justic )
Sato Tosuke X

Parmanent Members:
* Miyauchi kan X
* Ide Seizo X
* Imaeda Tsuneo X

Nagiwara Toru X

Hoketsu Kota X

Temporary // :

Takayanagi Jyotaro

Okuno Kenichi X

Miyazawa Toshiyoshi X

Sugimura Shozaburo X

Mailde Gyogoro X

Suzuki Takeo X

Kikui Idei

Mori Toyohiko

Oka Yoshitake

Egawa Eibun

Sasaki Takashi

Tanaka Teiji X

Yoshida Masao X

Ito Takeo X

[redacted]

Ide Takashi X

Kojima Kikuo

Samejima Jitsu Saburo X

Fukuda Kunizo X

Tamiya Takeo X

Okouchi Kazuo X

Kaneko Takezo X

Kajita Shigeru X

Murakami Keiji X

Takazuki Toyoichi X

Habe Yoshitaka X

Nishihara Toshio X

Mikumo Einosuke X

Omodaka Misataka X

Kiyomiya Shiro X

Yanase Yoshimiki X

Kimura Kameji X

Numa tomo Fukusaburo X

Suzuki Rensaku X

Takahashi Jyo X

Okada Joshitomo X

Nomura Den X

Kondo Shoji X

Hosoyya Tsuneo X

Sakuma Kanae X

Funahashi Jyunichi

Osaya Akira

Katayama Tsukuda X

Tange Masaharu X

Ito Hanya X

Sato Hiroshi X

Yamanaka Atsutaro X

Tagami Joji X

Hori Tsuneo

Kondo Bunji

Tanaka Kaoru

Hirai Yasutaro X

Yamamoro Isamu X

| | |
|---|---|
| Mudai Risaku | X |
| Asai Kiyoshi | X |
| Ogara Daiso | X |
| Watanabe Sotaro | X |
| Nakamura Muneo | X |
| Yamamoro Yoneharu | X |
| Kobayashi Shunzo | X |
| Shimada Takeo | X |
| Yosano Shu | X |
| Morita Toyojiro | X |
| Shizu Seiichi | X |
| Miyagi Minoru | X |
| Kajita Toshi | X |
| Shima Tamotsu | X |
| Yoshida Tsunejiro | X |
| Yasuhira Masakichi | X |
| Komachiya Sozo | X |
| Nakagawa Zennosuke | X |
| Kawamura Matasuke | X |
| Tanaka Jiro | |
| Kaneko Hajime | X |
| Yakushiji Hiromitsu | X |
| Kiuchi Seizo | X |
| Kubo Iwataro | X |
| Shinobu Jumpei | X |
| Usui Jisho | X |
| Kubota Meiko | |
| Yoshika Daijiro | X |
| Takahashi Seiichiro | X |

National Mobilization Compensation Committee.

Members:

Noda Uichi X

OOkuno Kenichi X

Matsumoto Joji O

Iwada Ukuzo O

Fukazawa Yoshihai

Miura Tetsutaro

# Payment Investigation Committee

| Position | Name | |
|---|---|---|
| President | Tetsu Katayama | × |
| Vice-president | under proceeding for nomination | |

Three representatives of political perties being disqualified, their successors are under proceeding for nomination

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| Committee | Itsutaro Suehiro | × |
| " | Ichiro Nakayama | × |
| " | Kamekichi Takahashi | × |
| " | Takeshi Sakurado | × |
| " | Heigo Fujii | × |
| " | Hikotaro Nagato | × |
| " | Takesaburo Sato | × |
| " | Yasusaburo Hara | × |
| " | Kan-ichi Moroi | × |
| " | Wataru Tsutsuro | × |
| " | Kazuyoshi Dobashi | × |
| " | Toraichi Hara | × |
| " | Heiji Kano | × |
| " | Shiro Mitamura | × |
| " | Seiji Uchimura | × |
| Secretary | Keiichi Yoshitake | × |
| " | Juitsu Kitaoka | × |
| " | Kazuo Imai | × |
| " | Minoru Ezuchi | × |
| " | Taro Matsuda | × |
| " | Yu Shimamoto | × |
| " | Iwao Ayuzawa | × |
| Clerk | Yoshio Kaneko | × |
| " | Soichi Togashi | × |
| " | Makoto Yamada | × |
| " | Osamu Shimomura | × |

C-2

## Investigation Committee for Pension

| Position | name | | Seconded or not |
|---|---|---|---|
| Director | SATŌ TATSUO | * | X |
| Commissioner | MITSUHASHI Norio | * | X |
| Ditto | HAGIHARA Tetsu | * | X |
| Ditto | OKUNO Kenichi | * | X |
| Ditto | KAGAYAMA Yukio | * | X |
| Ditto | AZUMA RYUTARO | * | X |
| Ditto | INADA RYŪICHI | * | O |
| Ditto | SHIODA Hiroshige | * | X |
| Ditto | NISHINO Chuji RO | * | O |

The Office of the Committee of Statistics

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Chairman | ♯ Ouchi Hyoe | o |
| Committee | Minobe Ryokichi | x |
| Ditto | Hashi-i Makoto | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Noda Uichi | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Morita Yuzo | x |
| Ditto | Kawashima Takahiko | o |
| Ditto | ♯ Nakayama Ichiro | o |
| Ditto | ♯ Arisawa Hiromi | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Kondo Yasuo | o |
| Ditto | ♯ Takahashi Masão | o |
| Temporary Committee | ♯ Ide Seizo | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Shimamoto Toru | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Hirata Kei-ichiro | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Masaki Chifuyu | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Kori Uichi | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Hayashi Keizo | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Aichi Kiichi | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Tsujita Chikara | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Yoshitake Kei-ichi | x |
| Ditto | ♯ Okazaki Fumimori | x |

C-3

| Position | Name | Screened or not |
|---|---|---|
| Temporary Committee | ✱ Endo Saburo | x |
| Ditto | ✱ Matsuda Taro | x |
| Ditto | ✱ Watanabe Hiroshi | x |
| Ditto | ✱ Ono Katsuji | x |
| Ditto | ✱ Shinohara Shuichi | x |

INSPECTION OF LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural and Municipal Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section Purge Office. All information should be as of a stated base period. The name and complete address of each committee should be entered below.

POQEC: Odawara.

Address: City Hall

Information below is complete as of 22 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TSUDA, Susumu | Chairman | 59 | Judge | 27 Feb 47 |
| ABE, Jiuji | Member | 51 | Pastor | 27 Feb 47 |
| TAKEI, Rimpei | Member | 59 | Attorney | 27 Feb 47 |
| Nagano, Genzo | Member | 51 | Director of Fuji-Hakuno Bank | 27 Feb 47 |
| ASAHI, Seiichi | " | 50 | President Odawara Middle School | 27 Feb 47 |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Dates of Meetings | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 28 Feb 47 | 4 | 5 |
| 17 Apr 47 | 4 | 5 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No. Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed | Pending |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a. Election Administration Committee Members | 5 | 5 | | | |
| b. Election Chairman | 1 | 1 | | | |
| c. Ballot Counting Overseers | 2 | 2 | | | |
| d. Public Officials Holding Important Public Posts | 39 | 39 | | | |
| e. Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | | | |
| f. Shi, Cho, Son Assembly Members | — | — | | | |
| g. Government Employees | — | — | | | |
| h. Party Branch Officers | Japan Comm. — 6; Soc. Dem. — 13; 19 | 19 | | | |
| i. Leading Members of Designated Organization | Ch. Com. & Ind. 16; Farm Assn. 16; Fish. 21; Lab. Com. 17; 71 | 70 | | | 1 — HARUKI Sakai. |
| j. Under Article 15 | | | | | |
| TOTAL | | | | | |

4. LIST OF PURGEES

| Name | Position for which screened | Reason Purged | Cat. | Date confirmed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

5. Number of persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15: None.

6. Number of persons purged and later reinstated: None

Number of persons passed and later purged: None

Reasons for action in each case: None

7. Letters and Information from citizens.

Number of letters received: None.

Action taken on basis of letters or information received:

8. Publicity of Screening Results - Semi-Monthly Bulletin.

Number of copies of bulletins published: 1

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted: 1

Number of Central Government Bulletins received and posted: 6

Number of Bulletins distributed to newspapers: 1

Are results of screening published in newspapers Yes.

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances.

Are questionnaires available for public inspection Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 10 during election

Are ordinances available for public inspection

Are ordinances complete No. Catagory G?

All amendments in Ordinances?: Last one 7 May 45.

10. Physical facilities of Screening Committee.

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public: Yes

Are records carefully kept and protected against fire_____

---

11. Remarks and additional information:_____

Were not aware of Article 15; it was explained.

Feel that since ODAWARA is small & everyone knows every one there is no reason to suspect anyone.

Feel that in addition to ODAWARA they should have authority to handle. Ashigara-shimo gun & Ashigara-kami gun, which are now under the Yokohama city committee.

Reports from Prefecture get to ODAWARA slowly and they feel they should get them sooner.

Official reports do not show enough of an explanation for purging.

INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section. All information should be complete as of the date entered below. The complete name and address of the Screening Committee should be entered below.

POQEC Funabashi City Screening Committee

ADDRESS 5 Chome Honcho Funabashi City

All information entered below as of 20 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| HOSOYA, Reijiro | Chairman | 55 | Judge Tokyo Ct. Appeals. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| OKAMOTO Kiichi | Mbr. | 46 | Lawyer | |
| TAKURA, Hakuai | Mbr. | 59 | M.D. Doctor | 22 Feb. '47 |
| OTSUKA, Hiseki | Mbr | 66 | Farmer | 22 Feb. '47 |
| KOISHI, Kazuwo | Mbr | 45 | Director Showa Trading Co. | 22 Feb. '47 |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Days | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 5 Mar. '47 | 1 Hr. | 4 |
| 19 Mar. '47 | 2½ Hr. | 5 |
| 14 May '47 | 1½ Hr. | 5 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Election Administration Committee Members | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Election Chairmen and Ballot Counters | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Officials Holding Important Posts | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| Assembly Members of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| Party Branch Office Members | — | — | — | — |
| Government Employees | — | — | — | — |
| Committees | | | | |
| Agricultural Assoc. | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Reform Ads. | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Fishery Assoc. | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES (Party Affiliation should be entered if known)

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| None | | | |

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 None

Reasons _____

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons _____

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received None

Action taken on the basis of these letters _____

Other sources of information None

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published None

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted 5

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted 5

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers None

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening None

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 30

Are ordinances complete, including Category G? Yes

Are ordinances easily available for public inspection? Yes

10. Physical facilities of Screening Office.

Are records carefully filed and protected against fire? No

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public Yes.

11. Remarks. (Note: Use of extra sheets to supplement and enlarge upon this report is encouraged).

12. How near through Screening are you?
80% to 90%.

13. Any suggestions for improving Screening in future?
More information should be put out to the people.

14. Do you think questionnaire contain sufficient information to properly judge whether or not a candidate should be barred. Yes.

15. Were any former influential ultra-nationalists able to continue their influence in these elections. No.

INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section. All information should be complete as of the date entered below. The complete name and address of the Screening Committee should be entered below.

POQEC Chosi City Screening Committee

ADDRESS #465 One Chome Myoken cho, Chosi City

All information entered below as of 20 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| WATANABE, Gtsuki | chairman | 47 | Reporter Mainichi News | 22 Feb. '47 |
| SAKAMOTO, Tatsuwo | Memb. | 51 | Mgr. Mitsubishi Dk. | 22 Feb '47 |
| MIYAUCHI, Kannosuke | " | 69 | No occupation | 22 Feb. '47 |
| FUKASAWA, Shosen | " | 57 | Priest | 22 Feb. '47 |
| Absent WATANABE, Masaji | " | 70 | Merchant | 7 Mar. '47 |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Days | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 6 Mar. '47 | 4¼ Hrs. | 4 |
| 12 Mar. '47 | 35 Min. | 4 |
| 20 Mar. '47 | 35 Min | 4 |
| 22 Mar. 47 | 40 Min. | 3 |
| 31 Mar. 47 | 40 Min. | 5 |
| 12 Apr '47 | 2 Hr. 5 Min | 5 |
| 14 May '47 | 20 Min. | 5 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Election Administration Committee Members | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Election Chairmen and Ballot Counters | 15 | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Officials Holding Important Posts | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Assembly Members of Shi, Cho, Son | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Party Branch Office Members | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Employees | 28 | 28 | 0 | 0 |
| Committees | | | | |
| Agricultural Comm. | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Fishing Comm. Assoc. | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Chamber of Commerce | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Reform Comm. | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES (Party Affiliation should be entered if known)

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| None | | | |

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 None

Reasons _____

_____

_____

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons _____

_____

_____

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received One

Action taken on the basis of these letters None (No Authority)

Other sources of information None

_____

_____

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published None

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted None

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted None

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers None

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening Nor

_____ Daily bulletin is received thru Prog

_____ Govt., originating in Cabinet.

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 None

Reasons _____

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons _____

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received One

Action taken on the basis of these letters None (No Authority)

Other sources of information None

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published None

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted None

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted None

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers None

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening None

Daily bulletin is received from Prefectural Govt., originating in Cabinet.

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? Yes.

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 25.

Are ordinances complete, including Category G? Yes

Are ordinances easily available for public inspection? No.

Chief Clerk claims he doesn't have enough copies of ordinances to permit public inspection.

10. Physical facilities of Screening Office.

Are records carefully filed and protected against fire? Yes

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public No

They were informed that they should inform the general public that the committee is at all times available for complaints relative to Screening

11. Remarks. (Note: Use of extra sheets to supplement and enlarge upon this report is encouraged).

12. How could future elections be improved. Too many elections too close together. People unable to learn policies of candidate in short time & campaign.

13. Did former office holders influence last election much? No.

14. What do you think of tax situation - too high or too low. Too high.

15. What do you think of women vote?
Believe older women influenced by husband but not the case of younger voters.

16. How near thru screening are you?
Only a few cases left.

INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section. All information should be complete as of the date entered below. The complete name and address of the Screening Committee should be entered below.

POCEC Ichikawa City Screening Committee

ADDRESS Yawata Ichikawa City

All information entered below as of 20 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| KAWASHIMA, Hideaki | Chairman | 60 | Lawyer | 22 Feb. 47 |
| MUTO, Keishi | Mbr. | 55 | Mgr. Coal Co. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| HORIUCHI, Shinnosuke | Mbr. | 46 | Judge ct. Tokyo Dist. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| KUMASHIRO, Shinsuke | Mbr. | 54 | Lecturer Economics college | 22 Feb. '47 |
| WATARI, Shunji | Mbr. | 42 | Lecturer (Physics) Imperial Univ. | 22 Feb. '47 |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Days | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 8 Mar. 47 | 2 Hrs. | 3 M |
| 11 Mar. 47. | 3 Hrs. | 3 |
| 18 Mar. 47 | 2 Hrs. | 5 |
| 13 Apr. '47 | 1 Hr. 15 Min | 5 |
| 17 Apr. 47 | 1 Hr. 30 Min. | 4 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Election Administration Committee Members | 11 | 11 | 0 | 0 |
| Election Chairmen and Ballot Counters | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Public Officials Holding Important Posts | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |
| Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| Assembly Members of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| Party Branch Office Members | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Employees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Committees | | | | |
| Agricultural Assoc. | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| Land Reform Adj. | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES (Party Affiliation should be entered if known)

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| None | | | |

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 None

Reasons _____

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons _____

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received None

Action taken on the basis of these letters _____

Other sources of information None

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published None

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted 4

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted 7

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers None

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening None

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 10

Are ordinances complete, including Category G? Yes

Are ordinances easily available for public inspection? Yes

10. Physical facilities of Screening Office.

Are records carefully filed and protected against fire? No

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public Yes

11. Remarks. (Note: Use of extra sheets to supplement and enlarge upon this report is encouraged).

12. To Mr. Kumashiro - How can economic condition of Japan best be improved. Ans. To stimulate production, particularly Manufactures

13. To Mr. Horiuchi. - What explanation do you have for increase of crime in Japan — Supplies allowed by rationing are incomplete and people steal.

14. To Mr. Kawashima - Do you have any suggestions for improving future elections? Yes. House of Councillors should be elected from nation as a whole & House of Rep. should be elected by prefectures.

15. To Mr. Watari - Any comment to make on women voting? — Women need education in political matters.

INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section. All information should be complete as of the date entered below. The complete name and address of the Screening Committee should be entered below.

POQEC Chiba Prefectural Screening Committee

ADDRESS Chiba Prefectural Bldg., Chiba City

All information entered below as of 20 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| TAKAHASHI, Nobuyoshi | Chairman | 64 | Em. Proff. Chiba Med. Univ. Head of Narita Hosp. | 22 Feb. 47 |
| YOSHIDA, Kingo | Mbr. | 43 | Judge of Chiba Dist. Court | 22 Feb. '47 |
| Ishii, Ichiro | Mbr. | 46 | Senior Dir. of Pref. Agric. Ass. | 22 Feb. 47 |
| CHINOSE, Fusanosuke | Mbr | 64 | Lawyer | 7 Mar. 47 |
| Absent SHIBUYA, Toshimitsu | Mbr | 54 | Director Nippon Pipe Co | Orally only 26 May '47 |
| SHIMIZU, Toyozo | Mbr. | 56 | Managing Dir. Chiba Chbr. Comm. | 7 Mar. 47 |
| YAMAKOSHI, Bairyo | Mbr | 51 | Managing Dir. Pref. Fishery Assoc. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| ADACHI, Goro | Mbr. | 46 | Director & Managing Dipt. Pref. Food Corp. | 7 Mar. 47 |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Days | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 4 Mar. '47 | 8 | 3 |
| 6 Mar. '47 | 7 | 3 |
| 8 Mar. '47 | 7 | 3 |
| 11 Mar. '47 | 7 | 3 |
| 13 Mar '47 | 6 ½ | 4 |
| 15 Mar '47 | 6 ½ | 4 |
| 17 Mar '47 | 7 ½ | 3 |
| 18 Mar. '47 | 8 | 4 |

over

| | | |
|---|---|---|
| 22 Mar | 6 | 4 |
| 29 Mar | 7 | 3 |
| 28 Mar | 7½ | 3 |
| 1 Apr 47 | 6½ | 4 |
| 8 Apr 47 | 8 | 4 |
| 12 Apr 47 | 8 | 3 |
| 15 Apr '47 | 8 | 3 |
| 22 Apr '47 | 8 | 4 |
| 28 Apr '47 | 8 | 3 |
| 6 May '47 | 9 | 4 |
| 8 May '47 | 8½ | 3 |
| 12 May '47 | 8 | 3 |
| 19 May '47 | 8½ | 3 |

Total

21 Meetings 156½ Hrs.

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Election Administration Committee Members | 2225 | 2225 | 0 | 0 |
| 803 Election Chairmen and Ballot Counters | 108 | 107 | 1 | 0 |
| Public Officials Holding Important Posts | 749 | 747 | 2 | 0 |
| Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | 1119 | 1114 | 5 | 0 |
| Assembly Members of Shi, Cho, Son | 36 | 35 | 1 | |
| Party Branch Office Members | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Employees | — | — | — | — |
| Committees | | | | |
| Land Reform Adj. | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0 |
| Labor | 7 | 7 | 0 | 0 |
| Agricultural Assoc | 379 | 378 | 1 | |
| Industry Chamber of Comm | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |
| Dental Assoc. | 8 | 8 | 0 | 0 |

People serving in above column also served in second column.

1 on which decision is pending

1 on which decision is pending

4. LIST OF PURGEES (Party Affiliation should be entered if known)

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| TAKAHSHI, Eiji | Supt. of Polls. | Br. Mgr. Ex Servicemen's Assoc. | G. (Prof. Soldier) |
| TODA, Kisuke | Tomiura Town master | comm. officer Japanese Army | B |
| SUZUKI, Kintaro | Nagaura Village Master | Br. Mgr. IRRA | D |
| TSUNODA, Matsubei | Kamisato Village Master | Comm. officer Japanese Army | B |
| SUZUKI, Masalu | Toyonaki Village Master | IRRA | D. |
| TAKAHASHI, Kiomatsu | Sarashina Village Master | Keimpei Tei | B |
| TOEDA, Ichibei | Village (Toyoda) Assembly Mbr. (Lower) | Br. Mgr. IRRA | D |

| | | | | |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| ODERA, Eikichi | Nichikawa City Assembly Mbr. | Mgr. City of Toho kai | | C |
| ISHIZAKI, Tsuneno | Mayor Tateyema city | | Art. 15 | D |
| SAITO, Saburo | Fusa town master | | Art. 15 | D |
| OHNO, Shinzaboro | advisor to Sambu gun Br. Toho kai | | Art. 15 | C |

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 3.

Reasons *

See Reverse

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received 36

Action taken on the basis of these letters See Reverse side 7

Other sources of information None

10 letters relative to persons over whom
Prof Committee held no jurisdiction
were forded to Central Screening committee.

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published 1,300

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted 50

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted 7

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers 7

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening Interviews to news paper reporters granted daily

7. Screening committee went to locality and investigated facts concerning person in question. Committee has questioned person at a meeting of committee and has heard his explanation. Committee has called in witnesses. Committee has forwarded case in question to Central Screening Committee, asking for a decision.

ISHIZAKI, Tsuneya — was Kenginsei who was campaigning for someone else.

SAITO, Saburo — Same as above

OHNO, Shinzaburo — an influential ultra-nationalist who was prevented from campaigning for a candidate because of provision of Art. 15.

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 75

Are ordinances complete, including Category G? Yes

Are ordinances easily available for public inspection? Yes

10. Physical facilities of Screening Office. Excellent

Are records carefully filed and protected against fire? Yes

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public Yes

11. Remarks. (Note: Use of extra sheets to supplement and enlarge upon this report is encouraged).

## INFORMATION REQUIRED FROM LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section. All information should be complete as of the date entered below. The complete name and address of the Screening Committee should be entered below.

POQEC Chiba City Screening Committee

ADDRESS 1 Chome Nagazu-cho, Chiba City

All information entered below as of 20 May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| YAMAMOTO, Nobumasa | chairman | 53 | Judge of Chiba Dist | 22 Feb. '47 |
| SUGIMOTO, Ikutaro | member | 46 | President Dept. Store | 22 Feb. '47 |
| SAKUMA, Masao | member | 43 | teacher school Chiba Comm. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| KANEMAKI, Waichi | " | 52 | M.D. | 22 Feb. '47 |
| Substit. INOUE, Tami | " | 55 | No occupation | 22 Feb. '47 |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Days | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 13 Mar. 47 | 3 Hrs. | 5 |
| 18 Mar 47 | 2 Hrs. | 3 |
| 20 Apr. 47 | 3 Hrs. | 4 |

12 Polling Stations

3. CASES SCREENED

| | Types of Public Office | No Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | Election Administration Committee Members | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 2 | Election Chairmen and Ballot Counters | 24 | 24 | 0 | 0 |
| 3 | Public Officials Holding Important Posts | 18 | 17 | 1 in abeyance 0 | 0 |
| 4 | Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| 5 | Assembly Members of Shi, Cho, Son | — | — | — | — |
| 6 | Party Branch Office Members | — | — | — | — |
| 7 | Government Employees | — | — | — | — |
| 8 | Farm Land Adj. Committees | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| | Fishing Assoc. | 16 | 16 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES (Party Affiliation should be entered if known)

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

5. Number of Persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 None

Reasons _____

_____

_____

6. Number of Persons purged and later passed None

Number of persons passed and later purged None

Reasons _____

_____

_____

7. Letters and information from citizens

Number of letters received None

Action taken on the basis of these letters _____

Other sources of information _____

_____

_____

8. Publicity of Screening Results

Number of copies of bulletin published No Bulletin - in Prof. Bull. Published

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted —

Number of Central Government Bulletins Received and posted May be inspected

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers ?

Other publicity, including newspaper coverage, of screening _____

_____ no bulletin published at city level but

_____ results of screening included in Prefectural Bulletin.

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires 7

Are ordinances complete, including Category G? Yes

Are ordinances easily available for public inspection? Available at city office

10. Physical facilities of Screening Office.

Are records carefully filed and protected against fire? No

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public Yes

11. Remarks. (Note: Use of extra sheets to supplement and enlarge upon this report is encouraged).

## INSPECTION OF LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural and Municipal Screening Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section Purge Office. All information should be as of a stated base period. The name and complete address of each committee should be entered below.

POQEC : Wakamatsu City Public Office Qualification Examination Com

Address: No. 247, Sakai-machi, Wakamatsu City, Fukuoka Pr

Information below is complete as of 20 th, May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Amazawa, Yoshiro | Chairman | 67 | Advisor to Hospital | 22 Feb. 47 |
| Kusaka, Iwao | Committee | 64 | Lawyer | " |
| Taketa, Hidechi | " | 56 | Doctor | " |
| Miwa, Kamekichi | " | 45 | teacher | " |

(Yokoyama, Genjuro has resigned on 7, May, 47 because he moved to other place and the replacement is on the precess.)

2. MEETINGS OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Dates of Meetings | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 6 Mar. 47 | 2 hours | 3 |
| 31 Mar. 47 | 3 " | 5 |
| 2 Apr. 47 | 3 " | 3 |
| 9 Apr. 47 | 2 " | 5 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No. Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed | Pending |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a. Election Administration Committee Members | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| b. Election Chairman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| c. Ballot Couting Overseers | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| d. Public Officials Holding Important Public Posts | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| e. Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| f. Shi, Cho, Son Assembly Mebers | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| g. Government Employees | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| h. Party Branch Officers. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| i. Leading Members of Designated Organization | 17 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| j. Under Article 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Agr. Land Committee | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Vote Superintendent & their chief | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 48 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES

| Name | Position for which screened | Reason purged | Category | Date confirmed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| None | | | | |

5. Number of persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 : none.

6. Number of persons purged and later reinstated: none

Number of persons passed and later purged : none

Reasons for action in each case : none

7. Letters and Information from citizens.

Number of letters received: 1

Action taken on basis of letters or information received: The one letter was an advise of general nature that all municipal assemblymen should resign.

8. Publicity of Screening Results -Semi-Monthly Bubleting.

Number of copies of bulletins published: 25 copies (4 times)

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted: 14 bulletin boards

Number of Central Government Bulletins received and posted: 1 bulletin

Number of Bulletins distributed to newpapers: 1 is delivered to prefor news. (to be posted in municipality)

Are results of screening published in newpapers? yes.

9. Availability of Questionnariesand Ordinances

Are questionnaires available for public inspection? yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires: 15

Are Ordinnances available for public inspection? yes

Are Ordinances complete? Yes Catagory G?: Yes

All amendments in Ordinances?: Yes (except since May 3)

10. Physical facilities of Screening Committee.

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general Public?: Yes

Are records carefully kept and protected against fire? Yes

11. Remarks and additional information: None

Lt. Col. Thomas Sessions — SMGO
Capt. Kenneth Sanders — Chief of Public Safety Section
Mr. Henry Smaska — Asst. "

Miyagi Military Gov't Team.
A.P.O. 547

Tohoku MG Region
Col. Harlan R. Statham, SMGO
Lt Col. Zack Williams

Fukushima:
Lt. Col. Rhine, SMGO

DRAFT

MEMORANDUM TO: Major Snow

19 May 1947

SUBJECT: Evaluation of Preliminary Reports Submitted by the [redacted] Japanese Government with Regard to Civilian Officials of Chokunin Rank and Above [redacted] who served in the War + Navy Ministry

1. The problem presented.

A. Category "F" of SCAPIN 550 "Governors of Occupied Territories" affects only, insofar as civilian officials are concerned, officials holding the position of "The most Senior Civilian Administrator General" and above.

B. Category "B" of SCAPIN 550, "~~Korea~~ CAREER Military and Naval Personnel, Special Police, and Officials of the War Ministries" states under Paragraph 4:"Ministry of War and Navy: All Civilian Officials of the civil service rank of Chokunin or above or who occupied positions normally held by persons of such rank, are to be mandatory purge cases."

C. It is quite obvious that a discrepancy between the two categories mentioned above exists when one considers that not only the most senior civilian administrator general in occupied territories was a Chokunin rank official attached to the War or Navy Ministry, but ~~also~~ ALSO that chief civilian administrators (Shiseichokan) were officials of Chokunin rank attached to the War or Navy Ministry.

D. On several occasions, after purge cases had been written up on certain individuals who were Chokunin rank officials with the War Ministries in Occupied Territories, the officials of the Japanese Government have balked, saying that these officials, if they fall within the purview of the Memorandum at all, should fall under ~~Paragraph~~ or Category "F" rather, than as our Memoranda were written, under Category "B". After several such [redacted] cases, it was decided that the Japanese Government was to submit a report on Officials which fitted into the above category.

E. In this report, the following assertions were made:

1. That most of the Chief Civilian Administrators (Shiseichokan) who were admittedly of Chokunin rank and attached to the War or Navy Ministry were sent on orders from the above Ministries very often against their own wishes. ON DETACHED SERVICE FROM THEIR RESPECTIVE MINISTRIES

2. That these officials were sent because they were experts in their respective fields, ~~were~~ of Communications, Transportation, Sanitation, ~~or~~ etc.

3. That as such, they could not be held responsible for any of the activities in which they engaged while acting as officials in ~~these~~ Occupied Areas, inasmuch as they acted on orders given from above.

F. At this point, it might be wise to list categories of officials to be barred and to be passed as ruled by the Imperial Japanese Government, and as ruled by GHQ.

1. Categories of civilian officials in Occupied Territories ~~who are to~~ fall within the purview of the Memorandum ~~as seen~~ by the IJG.

a. Under Category "B"-

1. Persons appointed as civilian officials with the Army or Navy Ministry from the beginning, and promoted to Chokunin rank and higher.

2. Persons appointed as Military or Naval temporary officials (Shokutaku), a full-time service, though not from the beginning, and ~~were~~ treated as officials of Chokunin rank and higher.

b. Under Category "F"-

1. Persons whose positions as Military or Naval Administrators are clearly stated in Category "F".

2. Although not clearly mentioned in Category "F" but handled as such by the IJG:

a. Chief of Military Administration Branch.

b. Chief of Superintendent's Division of the Military Administration.

2. Categories of civilian officials in Occupied Territories ~~who are to~~ fall within the purview of the Memorandum ~~as seen~~ by GHQ.

a. Under Category "B"-

1. Any person who is a Chokunin rank official with the War or Naval Ministry to serve as a Civilian Administrator in Occupied Territories occupied by the Japanese.

b. Under Category "F"-

1. Those officials listed in Paragraph "F" specifically and any others whose positions demanded that they

be Chokunin rank officials with the War or Navy Ministry.

3. Categories of civilian officials in Occupied Territories who are not being affected by Categories "B" or "F" of the Memorandum as seen by the IJG.

a. Officials of Chokunin rank dispatched from various Ministries (other than War and Navy) who were given the status of Chokunin rank officials with the War or Navy Ministry upon dispatch Occupied Territories.

b. Officials of Chokunin rank (such as first-grade professors) who were temporarily assigned to duty with the Army or Navy Ministry.

c. Officials other than those listed in Paragraph "F" or Chief of Military Administration Branch, or Chief of Superintendent's Division of the Military Administration, even if they had in their capacity been serving as Chokunin rank officials with the War or Navy Ministry.

4. Categories of civilian officials in Occupied Territories who are not being affected by Categories "B" or "F" of the Memorandum as seen by GHQ.

(Note: Please use above pattern as a guide in answer to each paragraph as quoted above.)

a. In most cases, GHQ would look upon persons falling in this category as mandatory purge cases; however, policy as established by Major Rizzo in 1946 made exceptions of these cases if the majority of them were technicians who were sent because of their technical ability.

b. Government Section has recommended that each of such cases be considered on its own merits. However, it by no means purports the view held by the IJG that blanket exceptions be made of all such cases.

c. In this case, it is most clearly shown where the Memorandum fails to clarify its own provisions: that is, Category "F" goes to a certain level of officials, whereas Category "B" -(which also applies in part to the same categories of officials affected by Category "F") goes down approximately one step lower in the level of the officials affected. (Particularly in these cases, no definite Government Section policy was found to exist, and clarification as to policy was needed.)

The Imperial Japanese Government has submitted a report listing the categories of officials as seen by them, and the proposed action that is to be taken by them in each case. As is obvious from the above, our interpretations of the Memorandum differ from those of the IJG in one important respect. Government Section looks upon

any officials of Chokunin rank in the War or Navy Ministry as falling under Category "B", whereas the IJG would want the provisions of Category "F" to be the dominant factor in determining the designation of those officials who were of Chokunin rank with the War or Navy Ministry, but who served as such, as officials in Occupied Territories.

II —— It is quite evident that the IJG had several good arguments. On the other hand, it is also evident that the Memorandum as such, contains certain definite provisions. Two possible courses of action are open at this time:

1. That the provisions as stated in SCAPIN 550 are applied as stated in SCAPIN 550.

2. That a more exhaustive study be made and that more definite information on the activities of these officials be requested from the IJG in order that a compromise acceptable to both the IJG and GHQ be arrived at.

III —— Request instructions as to further action to be taken.

HANS BAERWALD
2ND LT. - INFANTRY
PUBLIC SERVICE QUALIFICATIONS DIVISION

REPORT ON THE PROCEEDINGS OF KORIYAMA CITY PUBLIC OFFICE QUESTIONNAIRE COMMITTEE.

May 19, 1947.

1. PERSONS CONCERNED:-

The number of committeemen:- 5 persons, namely 3 men and 2 women.

The number of business personnel:- 3, namely 2 men and 1 woman.

2. DOCUMENTS ON FILE:-

A. Documents concerning questionnaire on file ---------- one

B. Index ---------- one

C. Original questionnaire ~~XXXXXX~~ cards on file ---------- one

D. Classification cards on file ---------- one

E. Preparation record book ---------- one

F. Decision record on questionnaire ---------- one

G. File of public notices on the result of questionnaire (File of Fukushima Prefectural Official Gazette) ---------- one

H. File of public notices on the result of questionnaire (File of Government Gazette) ---------- one

3. THE NUMBER OF COMMITTEE MEETINGS:-

A. The first meeting held on February 28, 1947, Masaichi Kokubun, Chief Secretary to the Mayor, and 21 other persons being present.

B. The second meeting held on March 12, 1947, Sakae Sato, Koriyama City Vote Custodian, and 31 other persons being present. were present

C. The third meeting held on March 25, 1947, Giichi Ito, Election Business Chief of Koriyama City, and 4 other persons being present.

D. The fourth meeting held on April 18, 1947, Ryoichi Morio, Director of Koriyama Agricultural Association, and 19 other persons being present.

The total number of meeting ----- 4.

The total number ~~M~~ of persons present ----- 75.

4. CLASSIFICATION OF PERSONS EXAMINED:-

A. 28 persons for chief public offices of Koriyama city.

B. 5 election vote custodians of Koriyama city.

C. 13 staff members of election business office of Koriyama city.

D. 10 persons for Koriyama City Agricultural Allotment Committee.

E. 5 persons for chief public offices of Agricultural Association of Koriyama City.

F. 13 persons for chief offices of Koriyama Chamber of Commerce and Industry.

G. One person belonging to a political party branch office in Koriyama.

5. THE RESULT OF QUESTIONNAIRE EXAMINATION:-

There was none who was inapplicable.

6. THE WAY THE QUESTIONNAIRE RESULT WAS MADE PUBLIC:-

The notice to the effect that the questionnaires and the result of questionnaire examinations were open for the public was posted at eight places throughout Koriyama city, and, also, the same notice was given to the public on the occasion of education campaign gatherings on election and political campaign meetings staged by candidates in Koriyama.

7. THE QUESTIONNAIRE AND EXAMINATION RESULT:-

The result of examination was written in the back page of every questionnaire.

~~(Utsushi Se)~~,
~~Chairman of~~ Koriyama City Public Office
Questionnaire Committee.

8. NAMES, ADDRESSES & OTHER INFORMATION OF QUESTIONNAIRE ~~XXXXXXXXX~~ COMMITTEEMEN:-

| Position | Names | Addresses | Ages | Profession |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Chairman | Mr. Atsushi So | 111 Toramaru, Koriyama | 59 | School teacher |
| Committeeman | Dr. Goro Kanamori | 24 Shimizudai, Kuwano, Koriyama | 54 | Hospital director |
| " | Mr. Mamoru Tamura | 15 Domae, Koriyama | 55 | Lawyer |
| " | Mrs. Yoshino Orikasa | 34 Shimizudai, Koriyama | 48 | School teacher |
| " | Mrs. Yoshie Mizu | 36 Inarimachi, Koriyama | 42 | Widow |

The chairman and all committeemen received their certificates on the same day, ~~XXXX~~ namely, on February 22, 1947.

9. CONNECTION BETWEEN THE COMMITTEEMEN & KORIYAMA CITY HALL:-

| Names | Liaison places | Phones | Distance from City Hall |
|---|---|---|---|
| Mr. Atsushi So | Kinto Middle School | Koriyama 817 | 500 meters |
| Dr. Goro Kanamori | Residence at his hospital | " 925 | 4 k.m. |
| Mr. Mamoru Tamura | ~~XXXXX XXXXXX~~ ~~XXXXXX~~ Residence | " 465 | 250 meters |
| Mrs. Y. Orikasa | Akagi Middle School | " 77 | 2,000 meters |
| Mrs. Y. Mizu | Residence | " 503 | 503 metrs |

Connection means:- Usually the members of the committee were connected with telephone, but whenever there were committee meeting they were given notice by invitation letters.

10. THE PLACE WHERE COMMITTEE MEETINGS HELD:-

All the committee meetings were held at the room of Koriyama City Assembly Chairman at the city hall. During all the sessions the door was closed against outsiders including newpapermen.

11. THE NUMBER OF QUESTIONNAIRES EXAMINED AGGREGATE HOURS REQUIRED ~~XXX~~ BETWEEN FEBRUARY 28 & MAY 15, 1947

| Date - 1947 | Hours spent | Number of cases |
|---|---|---|
| February 28, ~~1947~~ | 3 hours & 30 minutes | 22 |
| March 12 | 3 hours & 10 minutes | 31 |
| April 18 | 3 hours & 20 minutes | 19 |
| Total:- | 10 hours | 72 cases |

Remarks:- The examination of 4 cases on March 25, 1947, was submitted for the private deliberation of each committee member.

Atsushi So

(Atsushi So),
Chairman of Koriyama City Public Office
Questionnaire Committee.

Sheet - one

INSPECTION OF LOCAL SCREEING COMMITTEES

The following information should be required of Prefectural and Municipal Screeing Committees inspected by representatives of the Government Section Purge Office. All information should be as of a stated base period. The name and ~~address~~ complete address of each committeeman should be entered below.

POQEC: Koriyama Municipal Screeing Committee

Address: Koriyama City Hall, Koriyama, Fukushima Prefecture.

Information below is complete as of May 19, 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF ~~XXXXXXX~~ SCREEING COMMITTEE

| Name | Position | Age | Profession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Atsushi So | Chairman | 59 | School teacher | February 22, 1947 |
| Goro Kanamori | Member | 54 | Medical doctor | Ditto |
| Mamoru Tamura | Ditto | 55 | Lawyer | Ditto |
| Mrs. Yoshino Orikasa | Ditto | ~~XX~~ 48 | Scool teacher | Ditto |
| Mrs. Yoshie Mizu | Ditto | ~~XX~~ 42 | None | Ditto |

2. MEETINGS OF SCREEING COMMITTEE

| Date of Meetings | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| February 28 | 3 hours & 30 minutes | 5 |
| March 12 | 3 hours & 10 minutes | 5 |
| April 18 | 3 hours & 20 minutes | 5 |

Remarks:- On March 25, 1947, questionnaires were examined privately by each member, one after another, without holding a meeting.

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of Public Office | No. Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed | Pending |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| a. Election Administration Committee Members | 4 | Passed | None | None | None |
| b. Election Chairman | 1 | Passed | None | None | None |
| c. Ballot Counting Overseers | 13 | Passed | None | None | None |
| d. Municipal Officials Holding Important Posts | 27 | Passed | None | None | None |
| e. Heads of Shi, Cho, Son | 1 | Passed | None | None | None |
| f. Shi, Cho, Son Assembly Members | None | None | None | None | None |
| g. Government Employees | None | None | None | None | None |
| h. Party Branch Officials | 1 | Passed | None | None | None |
| i. Leading Members of Designated Organizations | 28* | Passed | None | None | None |
| j. Under Article -- Art. 5 Par. 3 or Art. 6 Par. 2 of the Imperial Ordinance No. 109. | | | | | |
| TOTAL | 75 | Passed | None | None | None |

* Remarks:- The 28 members passed were (1) 10 Koriyama Agricultural Allotment Committeemen, (2) 5 important officials of Koriyama Agricultural Association, and (3) 13 important officials of Koriyama Chamber of Commerce and Industry.

4. LIST OF PURGEES

| Name | Position for Which Screened | Reason Purged | Category | Date Confirmed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| None | None | None | None | None |

5. NUMBER OF PERSONS WHOSE ~~XXX~~ POLITICAL ACTIVITY HAS BEEN BARRED UNDER ARTICLE 15:-
None

6. NUMBER OF PERSONS PURGED AND LATER REINSTATED:- None

Number of persons passed and later purged:- None

~~XXXXXX~~ Reasons for action in each case:- None

7. LETTERS AND INFORMATION FROM CITIZENS

Number of letters received:- None

Action taken on bases of letters or information received:- None

8. PUBLICITY OF SCREENING RESULTS - Semi-monthly Bulletins

Number of copies bulletins published:- 8

Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted:- 8

Number of Central Government Bulletins received & posted:- 1

Number of Prefectural Government Bulletins received & posted:- 2

Number of bulletins distributed to newspapers:- 1

Are results of screening published in newspapers ? None

9. AVAILABILITY OF QUESTIONNAIRES & ~~XXXXXX~~ ORDINANCES

Are questionnaires available for public inspection ? Yes

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires:- 134

Are Ordinances available for public inspection ? Yes

Are Ordinances complete ? Yes Category G ? Yes

All amendments in Ordinances ? Yes

10. PHYSICAL FACILITIES OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public ? Yes. It is easily accessible because the office is located on the second floor of Koriyama City Hall with a sign, and the city hall is situated near the heart of Koriyama city.

Are records carefully kept & protected against fire ? All the records are carefully kept in files but are not protected against fire.

11. REMARKS & ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

a. It is estimated that approximately 150 persons at ordinary public posts will be screened in near future.

b. At present there are altogether approximately 500 purgees. All those purgees were not screened by Koriyama Municipal Screening Committee because they were ~~purged by central government organizations~~ not in the scope of public office.

c. The list of persons at important public posts and ordinary ~~XXXXX~~ public posts is being prepared at present.

SENDAI MUNICIPAL OFFICE

Report on the conditions regarding Sendai City public post screening commission.

17 May, 1947

Sendai City public post screening commission.

1. Committee of Sendai City public post screening commission were asked on February 24, 1947 as follows .
(These committee were officially made public that they were all passed from screening.)

Committee: Harukichi, Araya. Shiro , Kivomiya.
Shigeto, Takahashi. Eisaburo, Mabuchi.
Yosaku, Shima.

2. Officers of Sendai City public post screening commision were orderd on February 26, 1947 as follows:

Officer (Shuji): Jinsuke, Sato. Shusuke, Ito.
Sub-officer(Shoki): Tomijiro, Sato. Shoji, Onuma.
Tsugio, Obara.

3. Chairman of Sendai City public post screening commission was elected by mutual election as follows .

Chairman: Harukichi, Araya.

4. Sendai City public post screening commission were held successively as follows.

| Date, opened | Place, opened | Objective of commission |
|---|---|---|
| Feb, 26, 1947 | Sendai City office | 1. Election of chairman.<br>2. Revision of rule in Sendai City public post screening commission<br>3. Investigation on principal public post qualification of Sendai City Officers and commissions for overseeing the election. |
| Mar, 10, 1947 | Sendai City Office | 1. Investigation on qualification for various ballotting overseers and ballotting superintendents. |
| Apr. 16, 1947 | Sendai City Office | 1. Investigation on principal public post qualification of bodys on citys unit which were established by special law, paragraph 1. annexed table, paragraph 8, chapter 3, as well as investigation on principal public post qualification of party's, branch on city's unit, paragraph 10, of ordinance issued by ministry of interior 1947. |

5. Numbers investigated in Sendai City public post screening commission are as follows:

| Date | Applied numbers | cancellation numbers | Numbers passed | investigated none. passed | pending |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Feb. 26 | 106 | None | 106 | None | None |
| Mar. 10 | 45 | " | 45 | " | " |
| Apr. 4 | 1 | " | 1 | " | " |
| Apr. 16 | 171 | " | 170 | " | 1 |
| Apr. 18 | None | " | 1 | " | None |
| Total | 323 | " | 323 | " | 1 |

6. Numbers of applicants who did not pass in Sendai screening commission are none.

7. Business in Sendai City public post screening commission was all handled in conformity with qualification investigation digest, the outline of which is as follows:

1. Outline of questionnaires collection.

A. Questionnaires were delivered to each responsible applicant in accordance with order from Miyagi Prefecture Government. In case of presenting questionnaires, the applicants requested to apply in person and accepted them after scrutinizing contents.

B. Numbers accepted. 323.

2. Outline of investigation materials.

A. In the investigatation about those who came under the provisions of A.B. to G, from November last year, materials be collected keeping close touch with city office and Miyagi Prefectural Government. The principal matters are as follows:

1. Ultra-supernationalistic bodies. Bodies of brute force and leaders of secret patriotic bodies.

2. Officers of the Imperial Rule Political Association.

3. Head of the association of reservists.

B. In regard to materials, collection was made to the utmost keeping close touch with city office and other interested bodies. But materials left very few owing to committed to the flames.

C. In regard to contribution investigation, investigation was made with above-said materials, and in case of indistinctness, those who are applicable to being requested either sending letters or coming in person for the sake of things cleared.
Contribution outside city commissions investigation competence was investigated with one sided related materials and then refered to interested commission.

Numbers of contributions up to now.

| | |
|---|---|
| Sealed letter | 2 |
| Post card | 2 |

3. Outline of opening of commission.

Refer to atlached minutes.

4. Public announcement the result of qualification investigation.

Each time announced, it is posted up on a notice-board in city office without delay.
The term of notification is more than one month.

5. Outline of inspection of questionnaire.

With regard to perusal of questionnaire is carried out by means of circular board on the post of city commission, and the same is handled by other proper means on the part of prefectural commission. All seers up to now is 41, Nine person is the most numerous per one day we have had so far.

Sendai Municipal public officials qualification examination committee.

17 May, 1947

INSPECTION OF LOCAL SCREENING COMMITTEES.

POQEC Sendai Municipal public officials qualification examination committees.

Address Interior of Sendai City office No 10
Omotekoji Sendai City Miyagi prefecture.

Information below is complete as of 17, May 1947.

1. MEMBERSHIP OF SCREENING COMMITTEE.

| Name | Position | Age | Proffession | Date of Certificate of Eligibility |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Harukichi, Araya | Chairman of committee | 46 | Judge | 22 Feb, 1947 |
| Shiro, Kiyomoya | Member of committee | 50 | Professor | " |
| Shigeto, Takahashi | " | 50 | Director of girls' school | " |
| Eisaburo, Mabuchi | " | 54 | Commercial business | " |
| Yosaku, Shiina | " | 61 | Lawyer | " |

2. MEETING OF SCREENING COMMITTEE

| Date of meetings. | No. of Hours | No. of Members Present |
|---|---|---|
| 26, Feb. 1947 | 3 | 5 |
| 10, Mar. 1947 | 3 | 5 |
| 16, Apr. " | 3 | 5 |
| 17, May. " | 1 | 5 |

3. CASES SCREENED

| Types of public office | No. Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Election Administration Committee Members | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| Election Chairman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Ballot Couting Overseers | 45 | 45 | 0 | 0 |

| Types of public office | No. Screened | Passed | Barred | Removed |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| Public Official Holding Important Public Posts | 95 | 95 | 0 | 0 |
| Heads of Shi, Cho, Son. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Shi, Cho, Son Assembly Members | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Government Employees | 122 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| Party Branch Officers | 13 | 13 | 0 | 0 |
| Committee Members | 66 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 345 | 345 | 0 | 0 |

4. LIST OF PURGEES

| Name | Position for which screened | Reason purged | Category |
|---|---|---|---|
| None. | | | |

5. Number of persons whose political activity has been barred under Article 15 -------None

6. Number of persons purged and later reinstated -----None

Number of persons passed and later purged----- None

Reason for action in each case --------None

7. Letters and Information from citizens.

Numbers of letters received -----4

Action taken on basis of letters or information received.

In regard to letters and information from citizen, investigation was made with various materials and in case of indistinctness, purgees being requested wither sending letters or coming in person for the sake of things cleared.

8. Publicity of Screening Results___ Semi____ monthly bulleting

Number of copies of bulletins published: Central Committee
3 Copies every month
Prefectural committee
6 copies every month.

9. Number of bulletin boards on which bulletins posted:

Publicity to the effect that seers are free to peruse bulletin. 6 places(bulletin posted)

Are result of screening published in newspapers?

Persons not purged were published in newspapers cases only, but purgees were made known in detail.

9. Availability of Questionnaires and Ordinances.

Are questionnaires available for public inspection?---------

Questionnaires are being freely available for public inspection.

Approximate number of persons who have examined questionnaires----

41 persons.

Are Ordinances available for public inspection?---------

Ordinances are being freely available for public inspection.

Are Ordinances complete?-------- Good. Category G?-------- Good

Are ammendments in Ordinances?--------- Good.

10. Physical facilities of Screening Committee.

Is Screening Committee Office easily accessible to the general public?-------

Screening Committee officeis in City office and easily accessible to the general public, Moreover, inspection and inquiry of questionnaires is closely touched with the general public.

Are records carefully kept and protected against fire?--------

Records are carefully kept, and in case of fire, official in charge of this matter are to carry out them to safty spot. After office is over, taking over a key to watchman and he is ready any time to bring to safety place with out delay.

11. Remarks and additional information:

None.

SUBJECT: Screening of Japanese Citizens who Applied for Employment with the Occupation Forces and for whom Clearance by the Provost Marshal is necessary.

1. Principle: Screening will be done at the request of the Occupation Forces and Screening Committees will not have the right to reject the request of the Eighth Army Provost Marshal or his representative that any person be screened. Instructions from the Cabinet Secretariat will be issued that such persons must be screened upon the request of the Provost Marshal.

2. Screening Committee's Jurisdiction: Screening will be conducted by Prefectural Screening Committees.

3. Procedure of Screening: The Eighth Army Provost Marshal or his authorized representative will request the nearest Prefectural Screening Committee to screen Japanese citizens applying for employment with the Occupation Forces when, in the opinion of the Provost Marshal, such screening is necessary to determine acceptability of the applicant within Purge Directives. Applicants for whom such screening is necessary will be directed by the Provost Marshal to secure two copies of the authorized questionnaire at the nearest Screening Committee, to complete these copies in both the Japanese and English language, and to submit both such questionnaires to the designated screening committee. The committee will conduct the screening in the same manner and under the same criteria applied to any person subject to screening by the Purge Ordinance of 4 January 1947. Upon completion of screening, one copy of the questionnaire, in the case of each applicant screened, will be forwarded to the Provost Marshal or his authorized representative concerned. The questionnaire thus forwarded, will be stamped "qualified" or "disqualified", as the case may be.

4. Instructions to issue: The instructions detailed above will be issued by the Cabinet Secretariat to the Prefectural Governments.

14 May 1947

K. HARA

Concur — Maj Frisch PMO Tokyo Rom

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

Mr. K. Hara advised on 2 June 1947 that he would take immediate steps to place the above plan into operation. He felt that it would possibly be two weeks before the necessary information would reach the more distant prefectures. Major Frisch of the Tokyo Provost Marshall's Office was furnished this information by Major Napier on 5 June 1947.

R. E. MELVIN

~~28~~ 27

AFO 500
13 May 1947

MEMORANDUM FOR: Central Liaison Office, Tokyo

SUBJECT : Disapproval of Request that MURAMATSU, Kinya and YUHARA, Hiroo be Reinstated in the Public Service.

1. The request of the Japanese Government that MURAMATSU, Kinya and YUHARA, Hiroo who were designated as persons who come under the provisions of Category "B" of Appendix "A" to SCAPIN 550 be reinstated in the public service is hereby disapproved.

Incl.
2 Questionnaires

COURTNEY WHITNEY
Brigadier General, U.S. Army
Chief, Government Section

Government Section, 10:45 A.M., 10 May 1947

Present: Colonel Kades
Mr. Yamagata
Mr. Yamada

Colonel Kades: Mr. Yamagata, I asked that this be taken down so that there would be no misunderstanding about what you said to me or I said to you, in view of the fact that we are elaborating on the General's conversation with you.

Mr. Yamagata: The purpose of our visit here today is naturally in connection with the statement of General Whitney this morning. I am not successful in locating the Prime Minister today, just at this time. He comes to the Prime Minister's residence around about eleven thirty. I am going there and wait his return from somewhere, and catch him and tell him the information, or rather the directive or remarks of General Whitney; and as soon as I get his reply on the subject, I am coming in and tell General Whitney about that.

Meanwhile what I should like to ask you is that there seems to be two points; one is the top officials' screening, and the second, the organizational activities of the Screening Committee itself. As to the second part we were not quite sure whether we have not done our best on the subject, and I checked every point with Mr. Yamada, and Mr. Ota, and we have come to the conclusion that we have done fairly all right, and I should like to ask your opinion on the subject. If that is the case I need not report to Mr. Yoshida about that. In other words, if we are convinced that we are doing,so far as the Screening Committee is concerned, if we are doing our job fairly all right -- not quite all right, but fairly all right -- I should not report that to Mr. Yoshida at all.

Colonel Kades: There are two points on the industrial purge and the Public Information Media purge. One is that according to the information which we have there have been 130 industrialists purged, but according to what is in the newspapers, the Committee has recommended the designation of 183. That is a

discrepancy of 53 influential names. One of the questions that General Whitney had relates to the fact that we see that the Screening Committee has apparently taken more action, according to the newspapers, than had been reported to us, which may mean that the designations had been delaid.

Now, furthermore, I talked to Mr. Shurasu the day before yesterday about establishing the Public Information Media Sub-committee as an independent autonomous committee with its own secretariat, its own personnel, and its own budget to clean up this Public Information Media purge; and Mr. Shurasu seemed to agree that it should be done, and he said he would take the matter up. I asked him if he wanted a memo to give him support because he indicated that there might be some difficulty in getting money from the Minister of Finance. He said that he didn't think so, if he needed any memo, he would have Mr. Yamada or Mr. Tanaka ask me for one. Now, Mr. Yamada doesn't know anything about that apparently.

Mr. Yamada: Yes, I have been informed yesterday — well, this morning.

Colonel Kades: This morning. Well, now, that purge is just at a dead center. There is nothing happening at all on that.

Mr. Yamagata: I have been told by Mr. Ota that everything is all right, that he has reported everything to the SCAP.

Colonel Kades: Well, there is a discrepancy in what was reported to the newspapers and what was reported to us. Now, that is on the economic purge. What I was just speaking about is the Public Information Media Purge. In other words, this committee of professors appointed by the Japanese Government have not been given the independence and authority we have been given to understand would be given. The result is that they are not functioning. They haven't anybody to function with. At that time, Mr. Shurasu said, as I recall it, that in matters affecting the lives of so many people, he thought the designations on the purge should be postponed until the next Cabinet because he said this Cabinet had, in effect, already resigned. Now, I thought he was talking about the

Public Information Media which wouldn't be ready, in any event for ten days, probably, for designation and therefore, I wasn't concerned. But when I reported that to General Whitney he was gravely concerned because he thought, and Colonel Marcum who was there, thought also and was of the opinion, that Mr. Shurasu was hot limiting his remarks to the Public Information Media Purge, as I thought, but was talking about purging in general including the economic purge. That construction of his remarks is supported by the fact that the Screening Committee apparently has recommended more persons be purged than the Prime Minister has acted upon. Now, that is what General Whitney was talking about insofar as the economic and public relations purge is concerned, is that clear?

Mr. Yamagata: That is clear. That is clear, but I don't think so.

Colonel Kades: If it is not true —

Mr. Yamagata: That must be clearly a mistake on the part of the Screening Committee, isn't that so, Mr. Yamada? We are told so far as the economic purge is concerned, it is all right.

Colonel Kades: Has everybody that has been recommended for the purge been designated?

Mr. Yamagata: So I heard from Mr. Ota.

Colonel Kades: Again it is a question of information that is printed. They actually name names and numbers. You saw the newspapers.

Mr. Yamagata: I haven't heard anything from Mr. Yashida. I heard about the four or five top officials. That is all.

Colonel Kades: If I were you I would stress with the Prime Minister the fact that General Whitney is concerned that the plans of the non-political purge, and features of the purge be brought to a rapid completion, partly because of the pressure that is being brought to bear to bring these industrialists to trial as war criminals. There is no way on God's earth that that can be

avoided except possibly by our being able to say that their leadership and their influence in political and economics affairs has been eliminated, and that is a sufficient step as far as they are concerned. I think that then you can probably get an expression from the Prime Minister as to how he wanted the economic and public information purges to proceed. Possibly, also on the accelerating of the Public Information Purge. Now, the Japanese Press also carried a statement yesterday to the effect that it was not contemplated that the Public Information Purge be conducted until June. That was in the Japanese Press again quoting responsible government officials. And all those things together have concerned us -- the statements in the press, you see, together with Mr. Shurasu's statements plus the discrepancy between the numbers in the press and the numbers reported here. All those things together have given General Whitney concern, but his primary reason for calling you in was this directive, and to call your attention to this directive dated 28 April 1947, a memorandum to the Central Liaison Office on the subject of "Screening of Principal Public Office Holders." He wanted to ask for a report on that. Now, that is what General Whitney says we have had no reply to from the government. Now, you know he is talking about, in the first place, the members of the Cabinet, Mr. Ishibashi, Mr. Ishii, Mr. Kanemori -- but not only those men -- all the others in the ministries and in the national government who are supposed to be screened under this purge and supposed to be eliminated by the 3rd of May and who have not been. We don't even have a report on it and Ambassador Acheson stated to the Allied Council in response to a question that all members of the national government who are subject to the purge would be removed from public office by the 3rd of May. Now, it's even been reported that Mr. Kawai is still sitting although he was purged ten days ago.

Mr. Yamagata: There is no question.

Colonel Kades: He has been purged, but he is still sitting according to infor-

mation received from the press. Now, if it is not true, then I think the government ought to deny those things. It is the whole complexion of this situation that is brought about by it.

Ordinarily we discuss these matters with Mr. Yamada, but General Whitney thought that it was extremely important and he wanted to call you in as well.

Mr. Yamagata: Thanks so much, indeed. I have grasped the whole picture completely well. I will report it to the Prime Minister.

Colonel Kades: When do you think we'll be able to hear?

Mr. Yamagata: This morning. I will wait for him and ask his opinion on the subject and let you know.

Colonel Kades: Is it clear to you now, Mr. Yamagata and you Mr. Yamada?

Mr. Yamagata: Yes.

Mr. Yamada: Yes, it is clear.

Colonel Kades: You are familiar with this memorandum that I mentioned?

Mr. Yamagata: Yes. We asked about the questionnaires, but they are so very slow in coming in.

Colonel Kades: You said that to General Whitney, and the Prime Minister should give instructions that that process is to be hastened.

Mr. Yamagata: Yes.

Colonel Kades: Thank you very much, Mr. Yamagata and Mr. Yamada.

6, May, 1947.

X 1. Those who devoted themselves to the service in the War and the Navy Ministries and who were promoted to Chokunin Rank or higher (in and out Japan)

X 1' Those who were appointed as Parliamentary Councillors and Parliamentary Vice-Ministers under War and Navy Ministries (in Japan)

X 2. Military or Naval "temporary officials" (Shokutaku) of full time service with Chokunin rank or higher (in and out Japan)

O 3. The Officials of Chokunin rank of Ministries other than of War and Navy who were ordered to work under Army and Navy in ~~the Occupied territories~~ and. (out Japan)
(Most of them became to fall under category (5) )

O 4. The Officials of Chokunin rank of Ministries other than of War and Navy who were temporarily asked to do a job in Army and Navy (in and out Japan)

5. Military and navy administrator-general. (out Japan)

X a) Those who held such office as clearly stated in Category "F".

X b) Though not stated in category "F", those who took the post of Chief Military Administration Branch or posts commensurate to the posts as indicated in category "F".

O c) "others".....Those who were given the states of Civil Administration General but who were in exactly same circumstances as indicated in category (3).

X fall under Mem

● pass unless they ~~fall~~ fall within G. & other categories

13

The Course hitherto taken and the vews we have entertained as tb the treatment of Civil Administrators-general and Other Civil Officials in the service of the Army and Navy.

April 22, 1947.

1. Interpretation of "Category F"

With regard to the interpretation of "Category F", only those persons who are within the following limits have been decided to fall under the Memorandum, since it is not deemed proper to purge all persons who, at one time or another, held the positions of civil administrators-general (Shisei Cho-kan) in the Army and Navy as applicable:-

Netherlands East Indies...Superintendent-General of the Civil Administration (Minseifu Sokan) in the Military Government Areas occupied by the Navy, and Top-Ranking Civil Administrator-General in the Military Government Areas occupied by the Army.

Malaya.....Supreme Military Government Advisor and Mayor of Singapore (Both of whom formally had the status of civil administrator-general).

Burma.....Supreme Adviser to the Military Government in Burma, Supreme Advisor to the Burmese Government and Chief Departmental Advisor to the Burmese Government (All of whom also had the status of civil Administrator-general)

2. The treatment of persons who held the office of administrator-general in the Military Government Areas occupied by the Army or Navy, and are beyond the limits of application of "Category F."

The interpretation of the said category, though the interpretation of "Category F" is thus legally established as stated above, still requires prudence in its treatment. Accordingly we held

a conference in June last year with G.H.Q. regarding the matter, and on that occasion we made an explanation, the summary of which is as follows:-

(1) The office of civil administrator-general in the Military Government Areas occupied by the Army or Navy, did not originally belong to the Ministry of the Army or Navy, but was <u>temporarily</u> established after the out-break of the recent Pacific War.

The office of a civil official as demanded for military Command, had been established by the Military Ordimances.

(2) The civil administrator-general and civil administrators in the Military Administration of the Army or Navy, were appointed in accordance with Regulations governing the Establishment of Temporary Personnel of Special Units in the Army and the same regulations in the Navy (Imperial Ordinances). These officials were pure government officials, and not military men, and they were treated as nonpreqular officials in the Army on Navy.

(3) The civil administrators and the junior officials in the Military Administration, did not at their own request, serve at the front, They were compulsorily chosen from among the officials of various Ministries to which the Prime Minister had allocated persons out of the total number required by the Army or Navy, thus they were sent to the front.

After consultation, we came to the following conclusion:- The civil administrators-general and civil administrators were the official titles to be given either to the civil officials of civil Ministries, who were called for by virtue of their experience in business, in their respective special branches at the front (for instance, a communication official was made to engage in communication affairs at the occupation areas, or an official of the public works administration was made to engage in the said administration at the occupation areas, etc.). In addition, their appointment, as mentioned above, were in fact made by compulsory orders, regardless of personal wishes. It is, therefore, not proper to designate them as purgees merely for the reason of their having been civil administratom-general.

Of couse it is another thing when he committed some actions such as oppressions or maltreatments against inhabitants in the occupation areas and so forth.

It was also imderstood at that time that the administrator-general in the Army or Navy, was an official title uniformly

given to civil officials of Chokunin Rank, among whom were technical officials or secretaries of Ministries, directors or principals of schools, directors of hospitals, directors of railway or communication bureaus, etc. Moreover, the said office was a nominal position without corresponding power, charged with mere administrative matters under the policies of the Military Administration in the hands of young officers in Sonin Rank which is inferior to Chokunin Rank.

3. In accordance with the understanding above mensioned, the persons who were once civil administrator-general, and yet have obtained the approval of G.H.Q. thus far are as follows:-

| | |
|---|---|
| TOKONAMI, Tokuji: | Approved in Dec.18, 1946. |
| GOTO, Masao: | Approved in Sept. 17, 1946. |
| SEKI, Toyoo: | Approved by oral instruction |
| OKADA? Shinji: | Approved in Sept. 24, 1946. |
| TSUBOTA, Osamu: | Approved in Sept. 24, 1946. |
| WATANABE, Hiroshi: | Approved in Nov. 13, 1946. |
| YOSHIMATSU, Takashi: | Approved in Dec. 30, 1946. |
| YAMADA, Toshio: | Approved in Dec. 3, 1946. |
| AMAHI, Koichi: | Approved in Dec. 20, 1946. |
| SHIGENARI, Itaru: | Approved in Dec. 30, 1946. |
| KAMITSUKA, Hiroshi: | Approved in Sept. 29, 1946. |
| IWAO, Shozo: | Approved in Nov. 19, 1946. |

4. Among officials of the Ministries who were dispatched to occupied territories, other than the military and naval administrators-general, or administrators, there were the officials of civil ministries ~~of~~ such as official of Ministry of Communication and Transportation without the change of status, that is, with the same status as that of Government officials at home, in accordance to Imperial Ordinance. On this occasion, too, the order was given them to go to the front without any consideration to their wishes, as was the case with the administrators-general referred to above, and their duty in the new situation was limited to the affairs pertaining to communications or transportation, Accordingly they should be considered on exactly the same basis as the civil officials who took charge of the war-time affairs pertaining to communications or transportation at home. From this viewpoint, they are not proper to be purged.

The case of Mr. Yoshimatsu or Mr. Hamachi is pertinent to the case.

5. During the war the military and naval authorities made use of persons in various circles giving them various kind of titles. Those who were given such titles were treated in proportion to their official rank or social status respectively.

An extreme case of such treatment may be cited in the fact that, during the war, travelling through the occupied territories was impossible without the military permission which was restricted to those who had been given the title of temporary official (Shokutaku).

Accordingly, it will not be proper that those who were given 'the title of temporary official of Chokunin rank' are, one and all, regarded in the same light and judged to fall under Category "B".

The Japanese Government have conducted examination of the public office qualifications of the aforesaid administrators-general and the temporary official with Cokunin rank of the Army and Navy in accordance with the following criteria:

(1) Those who were appointed as civil officials of the Army or Navy from the beginning and promoted to the Cokunin rank or higher.....falling under Category "B".

(2) Those who were appointed as military or naval Temporary officials of full-time service though not from the beginning, and were treated as officials of the Chokunin rank or higher (not of concurrent service).....falling under Category "B".
e.g. Ueki Kazuo

(3) Those officials of the Chokunin rank dispatched from the Ministries who were given the status of officials, or treated officials, of the Chokunin rank of the Army or Navy for dispatch to the occupied territories.....to be "Passed"

(4) Those who were officials of the Chokunin rank other than of military or naval service, and were temporarily commissioned a duty to the Army or Navy ..... to be "Passed".
e.g. Yamazaki Kyosuke

(5) Among the military or naval administrators-general:
Those who held such office as clearly stated in Category "F"
1....to be "Barred".

Though not stated in Category "F", those who took the post of the Chief of the Military Administration Branch or the Chief of the Superintendence Division of the Military Administration or higher.....to be "Barred".

The others.....to be "Passed"

Draft

Purge Div. OPD
Files

Conference Room, Government Section - May 5, 1947

Present: Col. Kades
Col. Marcum
Maj. Napier
Lt. Baerwald
Mr. Scott

Mr. Kanemori
Mr. Hayashi
Mr. Shibuye
Mr. Hara
Mr. Acatane
Mr. Yamada

Colonel Kades: Minister Kanemori, I asked you and Mr. Hayashi, Mr. Shibuye and Mr. Hara to come here this morning so that we could discuss the question of Mr. Higayi's membership on the Screening Committee. The result of information transmitted to this headquarters by the appropriate liaison officials with the Japanese Government has been that we have misinformed another one of the Allied Powers concerning Mr. Higayi's association with the Screening Committee. Whether the misinformation which was given to us was intentional, or whether it was accidental, the fact of the matter is that this Headquarters was deceived; and on the basis of the deception, we in turn, deceived another Allied Power. The purpose of this meeting is to determine the responsibility for this deception and to make recommendations to the Supreme Commander, the facts as we know them from the standpoint of the Government Section and its participation in this entire matter.

Colonel Marcum: Before I begin these details I want to review two policies that have been established from the outset of the screening operation. That no appointment of key personnel or permanent personnel of any Screening Committee would be appointed without prior approval of this office, and two, that the Cabinet would take no action on purging matters without prior approval. Now the details are as follows:

On the 24th of March this Section noticed the irregularities of Higayi's appointment and an explanation was requested. On the 29th of March the irregularity of the Appeal Board Member's appointment was noticed and the Appeal Board was temporarily suspended. The following report was submitted to the Chief of Staff on the 30th of March. The Government was instructed to suspend the operations of the Public Office Qualifications Appeal Board pending approval of members or candidates for membership by SCAP, and to submit their questionnaires for review. This action was necessary in view of violation by the Government of standing instructions that appointments to important positions in connection with the administration of the purging be submitted for approval prior to appointment.

Now, on the 31st of March I had not received a satisfactory explanation concerning Mr. Higayi's appointment, and I instructed the government representative that Higayi's appointment was disapproved for two reasons, one of which was the conditions under which he resigned from the Diet. I further stated in elaboration of that point that no person who was subject to a non-confidence vote by members of the Diet was fit to hold a public position of the nature of an Appeal Board member -- I mean Screening Board member. The second objection was on the technical ground that no permanent member of the Screening Board or the Appeal Board can be a parttime member, that they are to be appointed on a full-time basis. Furthermore, no one in this office had ever approved the appointment of a Diet member per se to the Screening Board. The qualifications of being a Diet member were never discussed by this office.

On the 4th of April a government reported that Mr. Higayi resigned from the committee upon dissolution of the Diet. Subsequent to that day Mr. Higayi's presence and participation on the Central Screening Committee was reported by various sources including a representative of an Allied Power. On the basis of this government report on the 4th of April that Mr. Higayi was no longer serving on the Committee, an official report was made by this Section

to another Allied Power to the effect that Mr. Higayi was no longer a member of the committee and that he had not participated in its meetings since dissolution of the Diet.

Colonel Kades: I would like to interpellate at this point that about that time I asked Mr. Yamada, I believe, to have the entire Purging Committee come to a meeting in the Government Section in order that we could discuss various allegations that the purging was being used for political purposes, and also several points regarding the operations of the committee which did not seem to be consistent with its proper function. Minister Kanemori was present at that meeting you will recall and also Mr. Matshima and the other members of the committee, except that Mr. Higayi was not there. At that time no note was made of his absence because it was assumed by me that Mr. Higayi was not serving upon the committee. In fact, we have since learned he was serving on the committee and his absence from that conference would seem to have been in furtherance of intention by some quarters to deceive this Headquarters.

Colonel Marcum: Now, before I read the government's report to us on the 17th of April -- the 17th -- that is fifteen days after we had received oral and written statements to the effect that Mr. Higayi was no longer serving on the committee, and after this Section had officially made a report to that effect and had received no further information from the government. Now, I'll read about one sentence, you translate it, so you will get a direct translation of this. The "re-appointment of Mr. Senzo Higai"submitted by the government on the 17th of April.

"1. As stated in the report dated April 2, Mr. Higai lost his office as a matter of course as a member of the committee with the loss of his position as a Diet Member, following the dissolution of March 31.

2. Upon the Cabinet submitting a report of this fact to the Committee, the Committee which was immersed in the work of screening, felt that the efficiency of the Committee would be gravely affected even by the loss of a single member

experienced in the work of screening. Presupposing that Mr. Higai had lost his qualifications as a member of the Diet, the Committee strongly favored his re-appointment on the new grounds of individual merit.

3. As far as the Cabinet was concerned, in view of the necessity of keeping nine members of the Committee appointed in order always to maintain its quorum if the screening of the candidates for the various elections were to be completed on schedule and in view also of the difficulty of discovering a suitable replacement immediately, it decided upon his reappointment on the basis of individual merit even if he had lost his qualification as a Diet Member, in consideration of the wishes of the Committee.

4. Under these circumstances Mr. Higai was reappointed newly as member on an individual qualification, as a person considerable well-versed in screening work and with knowledge and experience. In order not to interrupt his qualification as a member of the Committee however, the order for his appointment was issued on April 1.

5. Further, in regard to his reappointment it is regrettable that the competent officer in the Cabinet, what with the submission of the report on April 2 immediately preceding it at the time, erroneously concluded that the General Headquarters entertained no objections in regard to Mr. Higai's individual qualifications as distinguished from his qualifications as a Diet Member and was responsible for the failure to take necessary measures to confirm this point due to extreme pressure of work."

Now, I have some comments to make on that, but you read this first.

Now, it is obvious from the chronological history of this incident which I have previously read to you that the government's last statement in this report on the 17th of April is completely unacceptable.

Colonel Kades: That is, the statement to the effect that the government did not know that there was objections to his sitting with the committee.

Colonel Marcum: Because I have strongly emphasized the fact that Mr. Higai was unsuitable as a member of the committee in view of the suspicion under which he resigned from the Diet, from the position of Speaker. Now, it seems apparent that the government willfully appointed Mr. Higai, even though this office had interposed objections and had, in fact, disapproved his appointment. In addition to that it failed to report such action and, in fact, covered up the whole matter.

Now, furthermore, an explanation concerning the presence of Mr. Higai on the Central Screening Committee has been submitted by one of your officials in which he accepts sole and full responsibility for the irregularity of his appointment and of his reappointment. Now, this Section will not accept this statement of responsibility for two reasons. One, that responsibility is effected on the Superior Order and the Superior involved in the case is not an official who carries out instructions. This case involved a violation of standing instructions concerning Cabinet action concerning appointment procedure, and the issues in the case were known to more than six or seven representatives of the government. This discussion was solely for the purpose of acquainting you all here present with the sequence of events and the incompatability of the government's explanation in this case with the policy which has been established, and with the instructions which you have received. The second purpose -- the main purpose of this meeting is to obtain a statement from each one of the officials here who are concerned with this matter as to their knowledge of the facts of Mr. Higai's appointment and what part, if any, they played in the action. As Chief Secretary of the Cabinet, Mr. Hayashi, would you make your statement first.

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): The appointment of a member of the Screening Board originally Mr. Enoyei, the previous speaker of the House of Representatives, but for circumstances and reasons, it was considered he would not be an appropriate appointee, and thus since they had to choose somebody with connections with the House of Representatives, they decided upon Mr. Higai as a suitable person in all respects for the position. As far as the technical procedure of his appointment, this concerned Mr. Hayashi who was satisfied that they were in able hands and that the matter was well taken care of by his assistants under him.

Colonel Kades: Is that all? I'd like to ask Mr. Hayashi a few questions based on his statements. Who said someone was necessary from the Diet on the Screening Committee?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Originally the formation of the Committee was to assimilate them from as wide an experience as possible and from civilian sources. This was the original stage of the selection of the Committee, they be drawn from the House of Representatives or the House of Peers; but the House of Peers, without very much future, it was generally agreed that the House of Representatives would be an appropriate quarter to find a member, for which Mr. Kozaemon Kimura was appointed to the post. Whereas, subsequently with the withdrawal of Mr. Kimura it was natural that they look for somebody from the Diet to replace him. That is one of the reasons why Mr. Higai with his experience and impartiality as a Speaker was chosen.

Colonel Kades: That doesn't answer the question. Who decided that somebody was necessary from the Diet? That is an interesting explanation, but it isn't the answer to my question.

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): The desire was expressed and it resulted mainly by the desires of the Diet on the part of the Diet set at the time of the formation of the Committee, that members of the Diet be included.

Colonel Kades: Was the Speaker consulted?

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Shibuye would like to explain.

Colonel Kades: I don't want Mr. Shibuye to explain any answers to my questions to Mr. Higai. I want to know who was consulted by Mr. Hayashi. If he didn't consult anyone, all he has to do is say he didn't consult anyone. If he did consult anyone, I want to know who he consulted, who told him that.

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): He did not consult anybody in particular.

Colonel Kades: Well, then, how does he know that somebody said that the Diet should be represented?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): He does not recollect very clearly but the atmosphere at that time -- various indications -- it was desireable that the Committee be not dominated by persons from government sources, but that persons from other sources be included.

Colonel Kades: Let me ask this. Who decided that Mr. Enoyei was unacceptable?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane); Mr. Hayashi decided that.

Colonel Kades: Who suggested Mr. Higai?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Mr. Hayashi was among those who recommended Mr. Higai.

Colonel Kades: Whom did you recommend him to?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Mr. Hayashi recommended Mr. Higai in consultation with his Deputy, Mr. Sido and Mr. Shibuye.

Colonel Kades: To whom did he recommend Mr. Higai? Who received the recommendation made by Mr. Hayashi? He made the recommendation with Mr. Shibuye -- to whom did he make the recommendation?

Mr. Acatane: There is a slight misunderstanding of the word recommendation used here. Mr. Higai was decided -- the decision was made by Mr. Hayashi as a Chief Secretary, as a matter he was authorized to dispose, and therefore, it is not a question of having recommended to somebody, and somebody else made the decision to appoint Mr. Higai.

Colonel Kades: Mr. Hayashi made the decision?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Yes.

Colonel Kades: Mr. Hayashi, were you informed of Colonel Marcum's rejection of Mr. Higai?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): No.

Colonel Kades: Were you informed of the policy that all appointments to the Screening Committee must be approved by this Headquarters?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): I was aware of the procedure and of the policy.

Colonel Kades: Did you see Mr. Higai's resignation on the 31st of March?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): I was not aware that Mr. Higai's office terminated with the dissolution of the Diet on the 31st of March, the technical point that with the resignation, also that he would lose his position, you see.

Colonel Marcum: Mr. Hayashi stated that he was aware of the policy that these appointments had to be approved by GHQ. Was he aware that Mr. Higai's questionnaire was submitted to me, to this Headquarters for prior approval.

Lt. Baerwald: There seems to be a slight question. Did you mean that the questionnaire was submitted the first time that Mr. Higai was appointed or when he was reappointed?

Colonel Marcum: Before Mr. Higai was appointed. Mr. Hayashi was aware of the policy that nominations must be approved in advance by these Headquarters. Was he aware that Mr. Higai's questionnaire was submitted to us for consideration?

Mr. Acatane: In the first instance?

Colonel Marcum: In the first instance, Before he actually made the decision to appoint him?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Mr. Hayashi was not aware of that.

Colonel Kades: Mr. Hayashi, you say you were not aware of the fact that Mr. Higai lost his office as a matter of routine on the 31st of March. How does the Cabinet submit reports to the Screening Committee -- through whose office?

Mr. Acatane: He says that in reference to the first of the two points that you raised, that he had not known that Mr. Higai had withdrawn -- lost his office as of March 31st because he had not known then that he had been appointed on the strength of his qualifications as a member of the Diet, and therefore with the loss of his Diet qualifications he would automatically lose qualifications as a member.

Colonel Kades: According to this official government statement, the Cabinet submitted a report of this fact to the Committee. Can the Cabinet submit a report without Mr. Hayashi knowing about it?

Lt. Baerwald: Colonel Kades, I'm afraid the issue is becoming a little confused.

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Mr. Hayashi says that naturally there is a responsibility resting on him insofar as the report you mentioned right now. As far as the technical procedure at that time was concerned, he is not aware of the various procedures.

Colonel Kades: Let me ask a question. I'm trying to get the facts. I am not asking for an explanation. Did the Cabinet submit a report to the Screening Committee that Mr. Higai's appointment was terminated on the 31st of March -- yes or no.

Lt. Baerwald: The answer is that according to Mr. Hayashi, that the action was taken by the Central Screening Committee itself.

Colonel Kades: What action? [illegible]

Lt. Baerwald: The action that Mr. Hayashi would quit his post as of the 31st of March, and that of course, Mr. Hayashi knew about it.

Colonel Kades: Did Mr. Hayashi see this statement before it was submitted to the Headquarters. Let me ask the questions. I just want to know the answers.

Lt. Baerwald: He says that he was not there on the 17th of April.

Colonel Kades: I'll read from the report "As stated in the report dated April 2nd, Mr. Higai lost his office as a matter of course, as a member of the Committee with the loss of his position as a Diet member following the dissolution of March 31. Upon the Cabinet submitting a report of this fact to the Committee, etc..." Now, I asked, did the Cabinet submit a report? Did he know the report was submitted?

Mr. Acatane: As far as I can see Mr. Higai is certain that such a report was submitted from the Cabinet to the Committee, but he was not aware of it.

Colonel Kades: I don't understand that. He is certain that such a report was submitted, but he is not aware that such a report was submitted.

Mr. Acatane: You asked had he seen the report that was submitted. The answer to that question is "no".

Colonel Kades: He did not see the report that was submitted, is that correct?

Mr. Acatane: Yes.

Colonel Kades: Was there such a report submitted was my question. I haven't had an answer to that question yet.

Colonel Marcum: Was that report submitted?

Colonel Kades: It is very clear.

Mr. Acatane: The answer is "yes".

Colonel Kades: A report was submitted?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): As a technical procedure, it was submitted.

Colonel Kades: It was submitted from the Cabinet. Who prepared the report? Mr. Shibuye, I want Mr. Hayashi to answer the question, please.

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): The person who submitted the report, the formal report would be Mr. Shibuye or Mr. Ota.

Colonel Kades: Who discussed the report with the Cabinet? This is a report by the Cabinet. Who discussed the question with the Cabinet?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Consultations were carried out within the Cabinet, namely Mr. Hayashi and Mr. Shibuye.

Colonel Kades: You say the Cabinet -- what member of the Cabinet?

Colonel Marcum: How does the Cabinet act on a matter of this type? Doesn't it vote on it?

Colonel Kades: No, this wouldn't be a vote.

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori says that if you were to direct your questions to him that although he is not aware of the details, that he would be able to explain certain points, that he would be able to clarify the present conference, as he knows the relationship between the various groups concerning this question.

Colonel Kades: I will be very glad to have Minister Kanemori explain the relationship between the various groups, but the point that I want to ascertain at the present time is within the peculiar knowledge of Mr. Hayashi as Chief Secretary of the Cabinet. As I understand, Mr. Higai was considered for the Screening Committee because Mr. Kimura had withdrawn as a member, and Mr. Enoyei had been disproved for the Screening Committee by Mr. Hayashi; and he, with others, had thereupon proposed Mr. Higai. He further stated that he knew Mr. Higai continued to serve after the 31st of March because he did not know that he had lost his seat upon the Screening Committee as a result of the dissolution of the Diet. Now, a report that he had lost his seat was submitted to the Committee and was known to Mr. Hayashi. Only Mr. Hayashi can answer the question as to how Mr. Higai was thereafter reappointed.

Mr. Acatane: On the question of that Cabinet report, there is some misunderstanding there.

Colonel Kades: Let's pass that. Apparently this report is not entirely accurate. Did Mr. Hayashi know that Mr. Higai had been reappointed?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Yes.

Colonel Kades: Did he know that that had not been cleared with this Headquarters?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane) He is not aware of that . He considered that technically those matters had been taken care of.

Colonel Kades: When did Mr. Hayashi have Mr. Higai's reappointment?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Since the agreement had been reached and the matter was left to be taken care of as far as the technical procedure is concerned and since he left for his election, he does not know of the fact of the particular reappointment.

Colonel Kades: Well, what agreement had been reached -- agreement that Mr. Higai be reappointed?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): Yes.

Colonel Kades: By whom?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): The understanding reached was the understanding as expressed by the Committee, and that Mr. Hayashi considered there was no objection. Mr. Hayashi says however, that he is responsible for not having confirmed that point with SCAP.

Colonel Kades: You mean the Committee informed Mr. Hayashi? It was the wish of the Committee that Mr. Higai be reappointed?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): He understood that there was a general agreement in the Committee offering no objection to his -- Mr. Higai's -- reappointment. He cannot say exactly --

Colonel Kades: What I'm trying to get at Mr. Acatane is who wanted Mr. Higai reappointed -- that is the only question I'm trying to get.

Mr. Acatane: He says he has no means of ascertaining the exact set-up, the reactions of each member of the Committee.

Colonel Kades: Did he consult the Committee?

Mr. Acatane: The report was received from the Committee to which he expressed his approval. He was not in consultation.

Colonel Kades: There was a report from the Committee to the Chief Secretary

recommending that Mr. Higai be reappointed, is that correct?

Mr. Acatane: Nothing concrete. No official transmittal, formal report was ever made to him from the Committee. He decided to determine the issue on his knowledge of the atmosphere that prevailed -- the consensus of opinion of the Committee.

Colonel Kades: Did he talk with Mr. Matsushima?

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): No.

Colonel Kades: Did you talk to anybody on the Committee:

Mr. Hayashi (through Mr. Acatane): No.

Colonel Kades: But you knew that the Committee wanted Mr. Higai?

Mr. Acatane: From the general procedure in the Liaison between the Committee and himself he was aware of that.

Colonel Kades: Mr. Kanemori; would you tell us now, at this point, the relationship between the Chief Secretary's office and the Committee, and describe this procedure if you are acquainted with it?

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori considers that the office under Mr. Ota and also Mr. Shibuye being on the Committee, Mr. Hayashi is able to know through these men the intentions or policies of the Committee. The Committee in itself is not a liaison. There is not a direct liaison between the government and the Committee.

Colonel Kades Mr. Kanemori, suppose you make the statement now that you wanted to make a few minutes ago regarding the various relations here which would clarify the statement of Mr. Hayashi and the relationships here.

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori says that he is not in possession of the facts, and that it is a surmise, but this surmise may be later implemented by somebody else whether to prove they are correct or not. He supposes that in this report of the Cabinet to the Committee which you mentioned, no such formal report, either in writing or any form of report was made from the Cabinet to the Committee, but that the intention or information was transmitted through the Secretaries in the Cabinet and in the Committee. That was the means by which that report was trans-

mitted; and that he is merely explaining an established convention, and that in this particular case there is no evidence.

Colonel Kades: Well, it was my understanding that the liaison with the Prime Minister -- between the Prime Minister and the Committee and between the Prime Minister and this Headquarters would be through Mr. Kanemori. Now, here we see a very important matter,of which Mr. Kanemori has no knowledge, handled by the Cabinet Secretariet. Isn't that correct?

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori considers that the existence of qualifications of whether a member of a Committee -- the Screening Board -- was qualified or not qualified -- the question as to his qualifications is an administrative matter, and therefore not within his responsibility.

Colonel Kades: I agree it is not within Mr. Kanemori's responsibilities. I agree. But how does it become a responsibility of the Chief Secretary?

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori thinks that it is a matter of personnel administration of the Cabinet to decide upon whether a member of a Committee is qualified or not, and not a matter to which a special administrator should concern itself with, and in this particular instance concerning the qualifications of Mr. Higai, it is a matter of legal interpretation of a magnitude to be disposed of by the Personnel Administration Section of the Cabinet.

Colonel Kades: Well, under Mr. Kanemori's system, our system of liaison through the Prime Minister through you, completely breaks down; because here is a case where a man is considered disqualified by this Headquarters. The Cabinet has a complete understanding of the fact that members of the Committee are to be approved by this Headquarters. Notwithstanding that, a member is appointed without the approval of this Headquarters, reappointed after the disproval of this Headquarters, and the fact of his reappointment is denied to this Headquarters. Do you have any suggestions as to how this situation can be remedied.

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori says that with regard to the facts of the case, they should be treated as facts and the responsibility which arises out of the various facts which are established should be placed appropriately and as far as he can see, the appointment of Mr. Higai and his reappointment on the face of SCAP disapproval is an established fact. It is very regretable that the reappointment was carried into effect without the step of conferring SCAP approval which was required; and therefore in placing responsibility, that the matter would be clarified if you were to attach weight upon this question of misunderstanding, the misinterpretation of SCAP intentions and of carrying the reappointment without SCAP confirmation.

Colonel Kades: What do you think of the misinformation that was conveyed to SCAP?

Mr. Acatane: Was that the second stage?

Colonel Kades: When we were informed that Mr. Higai was not a member of the Committee and we transmitted that information to a government of an Allied Power.

Mr. Acatane: Mr. Kanemori considers that the information given that Mr. Higai had lost his post as of March 31st with the dissolution of the Diet; insofar as the report of that fact is concerned, he can not regard it as misinformation. The responsibility lies in the reappointment and the personal qualifications of the new appointment and the Committee.

Colonel Kades: I agree with Mr. Kanemori as to that fact. Unfortunately the Committee isn't here. As I understand the facts however, the Committee recommended his reappointment to the Chief Secretary. That channel is not present in any statement concerning the relationship of the Committee to the rest of the government. The fact that a report or recommendation of the Committee would be made to the Chief Secretary for the reappointment is not present in any plan which has ever been submitted to this Headquarters concerning the operation of the Screening Committee. In other words, it has always been my understanding that we were to deal with the Prime Minister through Minister Kanemori.