



DA30

K74p Knight -

1881 The

Southern Branch
of the
University of California
Los Angeles

Form L 1

DA

30

K74 P

1881

v. 8

This book is DUE on the last date stamped below.

JAN 3 1 1924

JUN 1 1956

MAY 1 4 1924

JUN 1 2 1924

JAN 2 7 1925

MAR 2 6 1925

40^m

APR 2 4 1925

MAR 2 6 1926

APR 2 8 1926

MAY 7 1926

MAY 7 1927

JUL 6

JAN 2 7 1928

MAR 3 1 1928

MAR 2 1936

APR 2 1 1954



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2007 with funding from
Microsoft Corporation





QUEEN VICTORIA. — Vol. viii. *Frontispiece*

217

THE POPULAR
HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

BY
CHARLES KNIGHT.

VOLUME VIII.

FROM THE ADMINISTRATION OF LORD GODERICH, 1827, TO
THE FINAL EXTINCTION OF THE CORN-LAWS, FEB., 1849.
WITH
AN APPENDIX OF ANNALS, 1849-1867, AND INDEX.

First American Edition

NEW YORK:
JOHN WURTELE LOVELL
1881.

DA30
K 7410
1881
v.8

CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.—A.D. 1827 to A.D. 1830.

Administration of Lord Goderich.—Turkey and Greece.—The battle of Navarino.—
Resignation of Lord Goderich.—Administration of the duke of Wellington.—Parliament.—The Schoolmaster abroad.—Progress of Education.—Mr. Brougham's speech on Law Reform.—The New Metropolitan Police.—Repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts.—Mr. Huskisson's retirement from the Ministry.—Ireland.—Election for Clare of Mr. O'Connell.—Ministerial views on Catholic Emancipation.—Opening of Parliament.—Emancipation referred to in the King's Speech.—The Catholic Relief Bill moved by the duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel.—Continued and violent debates.—The Catholic Relief Bill passed.—Mr. O'Connell's second return for Clare.—Meeting of Parliament.—Motions for Reform.—Illness and death of George the Fourth. 13—37

CHAPTER II.—A.D. 1830.

Oath of allegiance taken by Peers and Commoners.—Business of Parliament commenced.—Unmeasured language in the House of Commons.—Motion for a Regency in the event of the king's demise.—France.—Retrospect of government in reign of Charles X.—Prince Polignac appointed President of the Council.—Sudden prorogation of the Chambers.—Algiers.—The Royal Ordinances promulgated.—The three days of July. Duke of Orleans Lieutenant-General of the Kingdom.—Abdication of Charles X.—Duke of Orleans King.—Recognition by England of the new government of France.—Revolution of Belgium.—The opening of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway.—Early opposition to the Railway system.—The Locomotive Engine.—George Stephenson.—His son Robert.—Anticipations of the triumphs of Railways.—Death of Mr. Huskisson.—Opening of Parliament.—Declaration of the duke of Wellington.—The king's visit to the City postponed.—Defeat of Ministers on the Civil List.—They resign.—Mr. Brougham's parliamentary position.—Administration of earl Grey completed.—List of the Ministry. 38—69

CHAPTER III.—A.D. 1831.

Re-assembling of Parliament.—Reform Bill prepared by the Cabinet.—Lord John Russell introduces the Reform Bill.—Wonder and alarm at its contemplated provisions.—Fears of the Aristocracy.—The Debates on the First Reading.—The Debates on

the Second Reading.—Defeat of the Ministry in Committee.—Lord Grey and Lord Brougham urge the King to Dissolve.—The Dissolution and General Election.—The Reform Bill carried.—The Bill in Committee.—It is passed.—The Bill in the House of Lords.—The Bill rejected upon the Second Reading.—Resolutions of the House of Commons.—Parliament prorogued. 70—89

CHAPTER IV.—A.D. 1831 to A.D. 1832.

Intense excitement during the prorogation.—Dangers from popular ignorance.—Incendiary fires and machine-breaking.—The Derby, Nottingham, and Bristol Riots.—Destruction of property at Bristol.—The Cholera approaching.—Cowardly alarmists.—Central Board of Health formed.—Parliament.—New Reform Bill passed by the Commons.—The Bill in the Lords, read a second time.—Political Unions.—The Lords' Committee, and the majority against Ministers.—The King refuses to create Peers.—The Ministry resign.—The Duke of Wellington attempts to form a Government.—The negotiations fail.—The Reform Ministry returns to power.—The King's consent to a creation of Peers given, but not exercised.—Final passing of the Reform Bill.

90—108

CHAPTER V.—A.D. 1832 to A.D. 1833.

Dissolution of Parliament.—Proceedings for Elections under the Reform Act.—Effect of Changes in the system of Representation.—Condition of the Nomination Boroughs.—Electoral changes in close Corporations.—The new Representation.—Metropolitan Boroughs.—Manufacturing Towns.—Scotland.—Ireland.—Approaching meeting of the Reformed Parliament.—Fears of Anti-Reformers.—Extension of Popular Literature during the Crisis.—Parliamentary Representation of the United Kingdom before the Reform Acts of 1832. 109—125

CHAPTER VI.—A.D. 1833.

Opening of the New Parliament.—Election of the Speaker.—The King's Speech.—Mr. O'Connell's Amendment.—Declaration of Sir Robert Peel.—Coercion Bill for Ireland passed by the Lords.—Public Health.—Observance of the Sabbath.—Coercion Bill in the House of Commons.—The Coercion Bill passed.—Financial Measures.—Cobbett's Motion against Sir Robert Peel.—Bill for the Reform of the Irish Church.—Abolition of Slavery in the British Colonies.—Effects of the Abolition.—Limitation of Labour in Factories.—Bank Charter.—East India Company's Charter.—Prorogation. 126—149

CHAPTER VII.—A.D. 1834.

Poor Law Amendment Act.—Commission for preliminary inquiry.—Commissioners' Report.—Striking instances of Parochial Reform at Cookham and Southwell.—State of the Southern Agricultural Counties.—Of the Manufacturing Districts.—Violent opposition to the measure.—The Bill passed.—Debate on the Repeal of the Union with Ireland.—Irish Church.—Resignations of Mr. Stanley and three of his colleagues.—Resignation of Lord Grey.—The Melbourne Administration.—The Budget.—Prorogation.—The Grey Banquet at Edinburgh.—The Houses of Parliament destroyed by fire. 150—166

CHAPTER VIII.—A.D. 1834 to A.D. 1835.

The King's dismissal of the Melbourne Ministry.—Sir Robert Peel's Narrative of his appointment to the Premiership.—The Peel Administration formed.—Parliament dissolved.—The Tamworth Manifesto—Strong Parliamentary Opposition.—Mr.

Abercromby elected Speaker.—London University Charter.—Irish Church.—Repeated defeats of Ministers.—Resignation of Sir Robert Peel.—Lord Melbourne's New Ministry.—Exclusion of Lord Brougham.—His Resolutions on the subject of Education.—Reform of Municipal Corporations.—Report of the Commission of Inquiry.—Conflict between the two Houses.—The Bill passed.—Departing glories of Municipal Pomp. 167—184

CHAPTER IX.—A.D. 1836 to A.D. 1837.

Parliament.—Session of 1836.—Opposition of the Lords to the Irish Corporations' Bill.—Lord Lyndhurst.—Alleged Lichfield House Compact.—Tithe-Commutation Act.—Act for allowing Counsel to Prisoners.—Act for Regulation of Prisons and for appointing Inspectors.—General Registration Act.—Reduction of Stamp on Newspapers.—Reduction of Paper Duty.—Foreign Politics.—Belgium.—Spain.—France.—Conspiracies against Louis Phillippe.—Enterprise of Louis Napoleon Bonaparte at Strasbourg.—Parliament.—Illness of King William IV.—His death.—His character.—Accession of Queen Victoria.—Ministry at Her Majesty's Accession.—Table of Treaties.—Table of National Debt. 185—206

CHAPTER X.—*circa* A.D. 1837.

Social condition of Great Britain at the period of the Accession of Queen Victoria.—Occupations of the People.—Growth of Cities and Towns.—London.—Increase of Houses.—Supply of Food.—Improved means of Communication affecting that supply.—Cheapening of the necessaries of life.—Conveyance of Mails by Railway.—Limited Postal accommodation.—Public Health.—Inquiry into the Sanitary Condition of the Labouring Classes.—Exposure of the unfitness of many Dwellings for healthful occupation.—Workrooms without ventilation, such as those of Tailors and Milliners.—Public arrangements influencing the Sanitary Condition of the Labouring Population.—Neglect and ignorance universal.—Want of Drainage.—Inadequate Water supply for the preservation of cleanliness.—Public Baths and Washhouses unknown.—Interments within the precincts of large Towns.—Public Walks not provided.—State of the Factories.—Number of Workers in Textile Manufactures.—Beginnings of improvement.—Mining Population.—Employment of Children and Women in Mines.—Agricultural Labourers.—Operation of the New Poor Law.—Neglect of the Labourers by the richer Classes.—Miserable Cottage accommodation.—Dorsetshire Labourers.—Field employment of Women and Children.—Crime in England and Wales.—Juvenile Delinquency.—General state of Education.—First aid of the State to voluntary exertions.—Increase of Schools and Scholars.—Limited ability to read and write amongst the adult population.—General aspects of English Society. 207—229

CHAPTER XI.—A.D. 1837 to A.D. 1839.

Separation of Hanover from Great Britain.—Departure of the King of Hanover.—The Queen meets the Parliament.—Dissolution.—Ministry at the Queen's Accession.—The New Parliament.—Canada.—Insurrection in Canada.—Lord Durham appointed Lord High Commissioner.—Resigns his office.—His Report.—Coronation of the Queen.—Prorogation.—Review of the Session.—Canterbury Fanatics.—Career of John Thom.—Proclaims himself the Messiah at Boughton.—The Three Days' March of his deluded followers.—Neglect of Education in the District.—The Tragedy of Bosenden Wood.—Meeting of Parliament.—Chartism.—Condition of the Labouring Classes.—Jamaica.—Resignation of the Ministry.—Failure in the attempt to form a New Administration.—The Bedchamber Question.—Disloyal Tories.—Chartist Riots.—Insurrection at Newport.—John Frost and others tried for High Treason.

CHAPTER XII.—A.D. 1840 to A.D. 1841.

The System of Penny Postage first comes into operation.—Mr. Rowland Hill.—Opposition to the proposed change.—Postage Stamps.—Marriage of the Queen to Prince Albert of Saxe Coburg.—Privilege of Parliament.—Stockdale *v.* Hansard.—Attempt upon the Queen's Life.—Prorogation.—Affairs of the Levant.—Treaty of Alliance.—Exclusion of France.—Prince Napoleon lands near Boulogne.—Failure of his attempt to produce an insurrection.—Differences of England and France on the affairs of the East.—War threatened.—M. Thiers and Lord Palmerston.—Naval Successes against Mehemet Ali.—Interment at Paris of the remains of Napoleon.—Session of Parliament.—The Anti-Corn-Law League.—Declarations of the Ministry on questions of Free Trade.—Debates on the Sugar Duties.—Ministers defeated on the question of the Sugar Duties.—Meeting of New Parliament.—Amendment to the Address carried.—Resignation of Ministers. 255—277

CHAPTER XIII.—A.D. 1840 to A.D. 1842.

War with China and War with Afghanistan.—Commercial Relations with China.—Beginnings of the Opium War.—Its Moral Aspects.—Debates in Parliament.—Chusan captured.—Treaty made at Canton repudiated by the Emperor.—Canton attacked.—Arrival of Sir H. Pottinger.—Capture of Chin-Kiang-Foo.—Treaty of Naukin.—Afghanistan.—Lord Auckland, Governor-general of India.—Causes of the Afghan War.—British Army crosses the Indus.—The Bolan Pass.—Siege of Ghuznee.—Cabul entered in triumph.—Shah Soojah restored.—False security at Cabul.—Afghan plots.—Massacre of Burnes.—The British Army in peril.—Massacre of MacNaghten.—Capitulation of the British.—The Retreat from Cabul.—Ladies and children given up.—Destruction of the Army.—One survivor arrives at Jellalabad.—Sale's Defence of Jellalabad.—Arrival of General Pollock.—Cabul retaken.—Release of the prisoners.—The Army returns to India.—Lord Ellenborough's proclamations.—Gates of Somnauth. 278—300

CHAPTER XIV.—A.D. 1842.

Continuation of the previous notices of English literature.—Law of Copyright.—Mr. Serjeant Talfourd's long struggle to amend the Law.—Mr. Carlyle's petition.—Serjeant Talfourd's Bill rejected.—Lord Mahon's Bill.—Mr. Macaulay's Amendments.—Application of the Act to Copyrights about to expire.—Authors recently deceased.—Novelists.—Theodore Hook and the Silver-fork School.—Ephemeral Critics and Writers without knowledge.—Utilitarianism.—Changes in the Character of Literature.—Historians.—Macaulay.—Hallam.—Carlyle.—Lingard.—Fraser Tytler.—Palgrave.—Kemble.—Forster.—Mahon.—Napier.—Mitford.—Thirlwall.—Grote.—Arnold.—Novelists.—Bulwer Lytton.—Dickens.—Ainsworth.—Thackeray.—Serials.—Prevalence of Fiction.—Kitchen Literature.—Miss Martineau's Tales illustrative of Political Economy.—Social Aims of Novelists.—Dickens.—Mrs. Gaskell.—Kingsley.—Thackeray's Novels.—Poets.—Tennyson.—Browning.—E. Barrett Browning.—Thomas Hood.—Union of Pen and Pencil.—Theology.—Milman.—Robertson.—Political Economy.—Science.—Criticism.—Antiquarian Inquiry.—Travels.—Book Trade.—Newspapers.—Note on the Classes of Books published, 1816 to 1851.—Chronological Table of British Writers. 301—336

CHAPTER XV.—A.D. 1842 to A.D. 1843.

Sir Robert Peel, Prime Minister.—Commercial Distress.—Birth of the Prince of Wales.—Agitation against the Corn-Laws.—Sir Robert Peel's Bill for a Sliding Scale.—Lord John Russell's Proposal of a Fixed Duty.—Income Tax renewed.—Reduction

of the Tariff.—Petition for the People's Charter.—Assaults on the Queen.—Parliament prorogued.—Relations with France.—Treaty of Washington settling the Boundary Question.—Disturbances in the Manufacturing Districts.—Opening of Parliament, 1843.—Debate on the Depression of Manufactures.—Mr. Cobden and sir Robert Peel.—Corn-Law Debate.—Mr. Charles Buller's Speech on Systematic Colonization.—Monster Meetings for Repeal of the Union.—Arrest of O'Connell.—The Scotch Church.—Secession of Ministers to constitute the Free Church.—New District Churches in England.—The Rebecca Riots.—Suppression of the Disturbances in Wales. 337—355

CHAPTER XVI.—A.D. 1843 to A.D. 1845.

General Sir Charles Napier.—War in Scinde.—Destruction of Emaun-Ghur.—Battle of Meanee.—Annexation of Scinde.—Napier the beneficent Administrator.—Gwalior.—Recall of Lord Ellenborough.—Trial of O'Connell.—Debates on Corn Laws in the Session of 1844.—Position of Sir Robert Peel.—Renewal of the Bank Charter.—Regulation of Labour in Factories.—Lord Ashley's ten-hours' Clause rejected.—Debates on Sugar-Duties.—Opening Letters by the Secretary of State.—Reports of Committees.—Lady Hewlet's bequest.—O'Connell's Sentence reversed by the House of Lords.—Difference with France on the treatment of the British Consul at Tahiti.—Visit of King Louis Philippe to the Queen.—Prince de Joinville's Pamphlet on the Naval Forces of France. 356—377

CHAPTER XVII.—A.D. 1845 to A.D. 1846.

Parliament opened.—Improved state of the kingdom.—Sir Robert Peel's New Tariff.—Opposition of the Country-Party.—Mr. Cobden's motion for inquiring into Agricultural Distress.—Grant to Maynooth College.—Queen's Colleges in Ireland.—Jews admitted to Municipal Offices.—Prorogation.—Apprehended failure of the Potato Crop in Ireland.—Proposal of sir Robert Peel to the Cabinet.—Lord John Russell's Letter to the Electors of London.—Dissensions in the Cabinet.—Sir R. Peel resigns.—Failure of lord John Russell to form a Ministry.—Sir R. Peel resumes power.—Parliament opened by the Queen.—Sir R. Peel asserts his determination to be unshackled as Minister.—The New Tariff and the Corn-Law Bills introduced by sir R. Peel.—Debate of Twelve Nights in the Commons.—The Bills passed in both Houses.—Bill for the Protection of Life in Ireland, rejected by a majority against the Government.—Resignation of sir R. Peel.—The Russell Administration.—The Oregon Question settled.—British Columbia.—India.—War with the Sikhs.—Battle of Moodkee.—Sir Henry Hardinge and Sir Hugh Gough.—Battle of Feroyeshah.—Battles of Alliwali and Sobraon.—Treaty of Peace.—List of the Russell Ministry. 378—407

CHAPTER XVIII.—A.D. 1846 to A.D. 1848.

The great Irish Famine.—The Potato-Rot of 1845 and 1846.—Modes of relief adopted by the Government.—Mr. O'Connell's call upon England for help.—His death.—Munificent Subscriptions.—Irish Landlords and Cottier Tenants.—Navigation Laws suspended.—Ten Hours' Factory Bill.—Abundant Harvest in England.—Monetary Pressure and Panic.—Excitement on the subject of National Defences.—The Duke of Wellington's Letter.—Proposed increase of the Income Tax.—The House of Commons on the night of the 24th of February.—News of the Abdication of Louis Philippe.—Real causes of the overthrow of the French Monarchy.—The Revolution of 1848.—Provisional Government appointed.—Chartism in England revived by the Socialist Revolution.—The tenth of April.—Preparations of the Government.—

Physical-force Chartism at an end.—The attempt at Insurrection in Ireland.—The French Republic established in bloodshed.—Paris in a State of Siege.—Prince Louis Napoleon, President.—Convulsions of the Continent.—Progress of Improvement in England.—The Public Health Bill.—The impulse to social amelioration given by Prince Albert.—Free-Trade Banquet at Manchester to celebrate the final extinction of the Corn-Laws.—Meeting of Parliament the next day.—Tranquillity and Loyalty of England contrasted in the Royal Speech with the condition of the Continental Kingdoms, 403—428

Postscript. 429—432

TABLES.

	PAGE
CONTEMPORARY SOVEREIGNS AND RULERS	433, 434
POPULATION OF THE UNITED KINGDOM, 1851—1861	435
OCCUPATIONS OF THE PEOPLE, 1851	436
CENSUS OF RELIGIOUS WORSHIP, 1851	437
CENSUS OF EDUCATION, 1851	438
PUBLIC INCOME AND EXPENDITURE, 1815—1850	439
COMMERCE	440

APPENDIX.

Annals, 1849 441	Annals, 1859 461
“ 1850 444	“ 1860 463
“ 1851 446	“ 1861 465
“ 1852 448	“ 1862 467
“ 1853 450	“ 1863 469
“ 1854 451	“ 1864 472
“ 1855 453	“ 1865 475
“ 1856 455	“ 1866 478
“ 1857 456	“ 1867 482
“ 1858 459	

INDEX TO VOLS. I TO VIII. 487—640

POPULAR HISTORY OF ENGLAND.

CHAPTER I.

Administration of Lord Goderich.—Turkey and Greece.—The battle of Navarino.—Resignation of Lord Goderich.—Administration of the duke of Wellington.—Parliament.—The Schoolmaster abroad.—Progress of Education.—Mr. Brougham's speech on Law Reform.—The New Metropolitan Police.—Repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts.—Mr. Huskisson's retirement from the Ministry.—Ireland.—Election for Clare of Mr. O'Connell.—Ministerial views on Catholic Emancipation.—Opening of Parliament.—Emancipation referred to in the King's Speech.—The Catholic Relief Bill moved by the duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel.—Continued and violent debates.—The Catholic Relief Bill passed.—Mr. O'Connell's second return for Clare.—Meeting of Parliament.—Motions for Reform.—Illness and death of George the Fourth.

THE death of Mr. Canning placed Lord Goderich at the head of the Government. The composition of the Cabinet was slightly altered. Mr. Huskisson became Colonial Secretary, Mr. Herries Chancellor of the Exchequer. The government was generally considered to be weak, and not calculated for a long endurance. Its greatest accession of strength seemed to be in the acceptance of the office of Commander-in-Chief by the duke of Wellington. Lord Eldon, in serious apprehension that this appointment committed the duke to the support of the administration, wrote to him a letter which called forth this explanation: "If, on the one hand, the administration have no claim upon my services out of my profession, I, on the other hand, can be of no counsel or party against them." * The cabinet of lord Goderich had not a long existence. It lasted scarcely five months, and it fell through the petty jealousies of some of its members, which gave the finishing blow to the tottering fabric.

On the 10th of November it was known in London that despatches had been received at the Admiralty, announcing a great naval battle in the bay of Navarino. If the popular belief in omens of national success or disaster had not nearly passed away,

* Twiss, "Life of Eldon," vol. iii. p. 13.

the public might have looked with trembling anxiety to these despatches, in the dread that the battle would prove a defeat. For, at the lord's mayor's banquet on the 9th of November, a great device of illuminated lamps representing an anchor suddenly fell down upon the dignitaries below, slightly wounding the duke of Clarence and the lord mayor, scattering unwelcome oil over the dresses of the ladies who graced the civic feast, and altogether marring the usual flow of hollow compliment which is so coarsely proffered and so greedily accepted on these occasions. The Gazette soon proclaimed that the Turkish fleet had been nearly annihilated; that the flags of England, France and Russia floated supreme on the shores of the Morea. Nevertheless, politicians shook their heads at what they considered an aggression, which might lead to an interminable war—an aggression which ultra-Toryism regarded as particularly objectionable, inasmuch as it crippled the means of a despotic Power effectually to crush its rebellious subjects. The Sublime Porte had well learnt the lessons taught by the Congresses of Troppau and Laybach when it proclaimed, in its manifesto of the previous June, that "Almighty wisdom, in dividing the universe into different countries, has assigned to each a Sovereign, into whose hands the reins of absolute authority over the nations subject to his dominion are placed."

When the demand under the Treaty of London, which was made by England, France, and Russia, for an immediate armistice, as a preliminary and an indispensable condition to the opening of any negotiation, was announced by the Ambassadors of these Powers at Constantinople, the Divan declined to recognize any interference with its conduct towards its rebellious subjects. The Greeks readily accepted the armistice proposed by the Treaty. Ibrahim Pasha had come from Alexandria with the Egyptian fleet during the period of the discussions at Constantinople. The Allied fleets were lying off Navarino, their admirals being without authority to prevent the junction of the Egyptian fleet with the Turkish, already moored in that harbour. The Egyptian commander was informed by sir Edward Codrington, that he might return, if he chose, with a safe conduct to Alexandria, but that if he entered the harbour he would not be suffered to come out. Ibrahim Pasha made his choice to join the Turkish fleet. On the 25th of September a conference took place between the admirals and Ibrahim Pasha, at which the Egyptian prince entered into a verbal agreement for a suspension of hostilities during twenty days. The English and French commanders, relying upon this agreement, sailed to Zante to obtain fresh provisions. Ibrahim Pasha then came out of the

harbour, with the object of carrying his warfare to some other point in the Morea. Sir Edward Codrington met him near Patras with a small force and compelled him to return. After that, says the Protocol of the three admirals, "the troops of the Pasha have not ceased carrying on a species of warfare more destructive and exterminating than before, putting women and children to the sword, burning their habitations, and tearing up trees by the roots, in order to complete the devastation of the country." The despatch of Sir Edward Codrington, dated from H.M.S. Asia, in the port of Navarino, narrates the subsequent decisive event. The Count de Hayden, rear-admiral of Russia, and the French rear-admiral the Chevalier de Rigny, having agreed with him to enter the port in order to induce Ibrahim Pasha to discontinue his brutal war of extermination, took up their anchorage about two o'clock on the afternoon of the 20th of October. The Turkish ships were moored in the form of a crescent. The combined fleet was formed in the order of sailing in two columns, the British and French forming the weather or starboard line, and the Russian the lee line. The Asia led in, followed by the Genoa and Albion, and anchored close alongside a ship of the line bearing the flag of the Capitana Bey. The stations of the French and Russian squadrons were marked out by the English admiral, who was the chief in command. "I gave orders," says Sir Edward, "that no gun should be fired unless guns were fired by the Turks, and those orders were strictly observed." The three British ships passed the batteries, and moored without any act of hostility on the part of the Turks, although they were evidently prepared for a general action. At the entrance of the harbour were six Turkish fire-vessels, which a portion of the English squadron were appointed to watch. On the Dartmouth sending a boat towards one of these vessels her crew was fired upon by musketry. The fire was returned from the Dartmouth and La Syrène, which bore the flag of admiral de Rigny. An Egyptian ship then fired a cannon-shot at the French admiral's vessel, which was immediately returned; "and thus," says Sir Edward Codrington, "very shortly afterwards the battle became general." After describing, with the usual indistinctness, the movements of various ships, he comes to the catastrophe. "This bloody and destructive battle was continued with unabated fury for four hours, and the scene of wreck and devastation which presented itself at its termination was such as has been seldom before witnessed." Of the Egyptian and Turkish fleets, which numbered about a hundred and twenty men-of-war and transports, one-half were sunk, burnt, or driven on shore. The Allied admirals pub-

lished a notice after the battle, that as they did not enter Navarino with a hostile intention, but only to renew propositions to the commanders of the Turkish fleet, they would forbear from destroying what ships of the Ottoman navy might still remain, "now that so signal a vengeance has been taken for the first cannon-shot which has been ventured to be fired on the Allied flags." They threatened that if there were any new act of hostility they would immediately destroy the remaining vessels and the forts of Navarino. The despatch of Sir Edward announcing the victory contains a frank admission that he was not insensible to other feelings than those of professional obedience to his instructions: "When I found that the boasted Ottoman word of honour was made a sacrifice to wanton, savage devastation, and that a base advantage was taken of our reliance upon Ibrahim's good faith, I own I felt a desire to punish the offenders.—But it was my duty to refrain, and refrain I did; and I can assure his royal highness [the duke of Clarence] that I would still have avoided the disastrous extremity, if other means had been open to me."

The differences upon financial measures between Mr. Herries the Chancellor of the Exchequer, and Mr. Huskisson Secretary of State for the Colonies, could not be reconciled by lord Goderich, and he therefore tendered his resignation to the king on the 9th of January, 1828. His majesty immediately sent to lord Lyndhurst to desire that he and the duke of Wellington should come to Windsor. The king told the duke that he wished him to form a government of which he should be the head. "He said that he thought the government must be composed of persons of both opinions with respect to the Roman Catholic question; that he approved of all his late and former servants; and that he had no objection to anybody excepting to lord Grey." * It was understood that lord Lyndhurst was to continue in office. The duke of Wellington immediately applied to Mr. Peel, who, returning to his post as Secretary of State for the Home Department, saw the impossibility of re-uniting in this administration those who had formed the Cabinet of lord Liverpool. He desired to strengthen the government of the duke of Wellington by the introduction of some of the more important of Mr. Canning's friends into the Cabinet, and to fill some of the lesser offices. The earl of Dudley, Mr. Huskisson, lord Palmerston, and Mr. Charles Grant became members of the new administration. Mr. William Lamb, afterwards lord Melbourne, was appointed chief Secretary for Ireland. The ultra-Tories were greatly indignant at these arrangements. They groaned and re-

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," part i. p. 12; letter of the duke.

viled as if the world was unchanged. The duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel had disappointed the country by making a mixed government; Mr. Huskisson was odious to the agricultural and shipping interests; the whole cabinet was composed of a majority of favourers of the Roman Catholic claims; above all, lord Eldon was omitted. * The ex-chancellor considered himself very ill-used, and publicly said, "I don't know why I am not a minister." Mr. Peel, in his private correspondence of this date, shows how clearly he saw that the nation could no longer be governed upon the old exclusive principles. He was fast sliding into that liberality which was incomprehensible to those who had looked upon his previous career. He writes, on the 18th of January, "I care not for the dissatisfaction of ultra-Tories; this country ought not and cannot be governed upon any other principles than those of firmness, no doubt, but of firmness combined with moderation." A fortnight afterwards he asks, in writing to the same correspondent,—What would have been the inevitable fate of a government composed of himself and of some ultra-Tories whom he names, who would indeed be supported by very warm friends, but those very warm friends country gentlemen and fox-hunters, who would attend one night in parliament, but who would quickly weary of sitting up till one, two, or three o'clock in the morning, fighting questions of detail? The greater difficulty was expressed in the fact that the country could no longer be governed by "country gentlemen and fox-hunters." †

On the 29th of January Parliament was opened by Commission. The most important part of the Royal Speech was that which, after reciting the progress of events in the East, and referring to the treaty with France and Russia, says—"With a view to carry into effect the object of the treaty, a collision, wholly unexpected by his Majesty, took place in the port of Navarino between the fleets of the contracting Powers and that of the Ottoman Porte. Notwithstanding the valour displayed by the combined fleet, his Majesty deeply laments that this conflict should have occurred with the naval force of an ancient ally; but he still entertains a confident hope that this untoward event will not be followed by further hostilities, and will not impede that amicable adjustment of the existing differences between the Porte and the Greeks, to which it is so manifestly their common interest to accede." The expression "untoward event" produced angry remonstrances from many quarters—from the Whigs, from some of lord Goderich's ministry, from the friends

* "Diary of Lord Colchester," vol. iii. p. 534.

† "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," pp. 16, 17.

of Sir Edward Codrington. But all united in declaring that no blame was attached to that gallant officer. The duke of Wellington, in a few straightforward words, defended the expression. The Treaty of July was not intended to lead to hostilities. The former government thought the object of the treaty would be effected without hostilities. Therefore, I say, that when unfortunately the operations under the treaty did lead to hostilities, it was an untoward circumstance."

In the debate upon the Address in the House of Commons, Mr. Brougham raised very strong objections to the appointment of the duke of Wellington as the head of the government. Though he professed to entertain the highest opinion of the noble duke's military genius, he evidently undervalued his administrative talents. He thought a great soldier's experience was no fit preparation for civil duties. Mr. Brougham had not then the advantage of knowing, through the publication of the duke's "Despatches," how equal he was to the highest statesmanship, with probably the one exception of undervaluing the strength of popular opinion. Mr. Brougham in 1828 thought it unconstitutional that almost the whole patronage of the State should be placed in the hands of a military Premier. With his unflinching power of sarcasm, he considered that there was no validity in the objection that the duke was incapable of speaking in public as a First Minister ought to speak, because he had heard last year the duke declare in another place, that he was unfit for the situation of First Minister, "and he really thought he had never heard a better speech in the whole course of his life." Mr. Brougham wound up his objections to the appointment of the duke of Wellington by a passage of splendid declamation, whose concluding words, especially, were echoed through the country with extraordinary fervour—with an enthusiasm which speedily carried forward the small beginnings of a great change to very decided results which would more than ever make the action of the government in a great degree dependent upon the opinion of the people. When he had called the appointment of the duke of Wellington to the head of the government unconstitutional, let it not be supposed, he said, that he was inclined to exaggerate. "He was perfectly satisfied that there would be no unconstitutional attack on the liberties of the people. These were not the times for such an attempt. There had been periods when the country heard with dismay that the soldier was abroad. That was not the case now. Let the soldier be ever so much abroad, in the present age he could do nothing. There was another per-

son abroad—a less important person—in the eyes of some an insignificant person—whose labours had tended to produce this state of things—the Schoolmaster was abroad.”*

It was no idle boast of the orator that education was in various ways raising the moral and intellectual character of the community. There had been a real beginning of this great work. Yet it was only a beginning. To imagine, however, that England had been wholly destitute of the means of education, whilst the schoolmaster was doing his work efficiently in Scotland, is to a certain extent a mistake. When the endowed Grammar-schools were founded, it never entered into the minds of their benefactors that all the people—or, in the language of those ages, that all the poor—should be instructed. A few who were unable to pay for their education were to be selected, and these were to receive forever an education of the highest order. These schools were the natural successors of the schools and charities of the unreformed Church. This was the only system of education in England almost up to the time of the Revolution. The commercial classes had then grown into wealth and importance, and they began to think that schools in which nothing was taught but Latin and Greek were not altogether fitted for those destined to a life of traffic. At the beginning of the eighteenth century there were endowments and subscriptions in most towns, not for new Grammar-schools, but for new Free-schools. During the progress of education in recent years, it has been common to speak with contempt of these schools and of the instruction afforded in them. With a comparatively small population, these Free-schools, we venture to think in opposition to modern authorities, were admirable beginnings of the education of the poorer classes. While the Grammar-schools were making divines and lawyers and physicians out of the sons of the professional classes and the wealthier tradesmen, the Free-schools were making clever handicraftsmen and thriving burgesses out of the sons of the mechanics and the labourers; and many a man who had been a charity-boy in his native town, when he had risen to competence, pointed with an honest pride to the institution which had made him what he was, and drew his purse-strings to perpetuate for others the benefits which he had himself enjoyed. The Reports of the Commissioners for Inquiry into Charities presented in 1842, showed that the annual income of the Grammar-schools (some, however, being exempted from the inquiry) was 152,047*l.*, and the income of the Free-schools 141,385*l.* There was also an income amounting to

* Hansard, vol. xviii. col. 58.

19,112*l.* belonging to charities for general educational purposes. This income was a small educational foundation for the whole population in England and Wales at the beginning of the nineteenth century. The first attempt to place the instruction of the poor upon a broader basis was by the establishment of Sunday schools in 1783. Mr. Malthus (in 1803) described these institutions as very few, some objectionable, and all imperfect. But the Parliamentary Returns of 1818 exhibit 5100 Sunday schools, attended by 452,000 children. In 1833 the number of Sunday school scholars exceeded a million and a half. In 1818, the Returns, under a Circular Letter addressed to the ministers of the respective parishes, showed that there were about 15,000 unendowed day-schools, containing about 500,000 scholars. Of these 336,000 were in the ordinary schools,—the private day-schools, such as have always existed amongst us, for the education of the middle and poorer classes. There were only then, when the systems of Bell and Lancaster had been in operation about twelve years, 175,000 scholars receiving instruction partly or wholly gratuitous through the operation of private benevolence. Four years after Mr. Brougham had declared that the Schoolmaster was abroad, the Government Returns showed that both the paying and the non-paying scholars in the unendowed day-schools were more than doubled.

Moving onwards in other roads than that of school education, the Schoolmaster was abroad. "The Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge" originated with Mr. Brougham in 1826. It had long been felt that books of sound information were, through their dearness, inaccessible to the bulk of the people. Constable, in 1825, was "meditating nothing less than a total revolution in the art and traffic of book-selling." He would issue "a three shilling or half-crown volume every month, which must and shall sell, not by thousands or tens of thousands, but by hundreds of thousands—ay, by millions."* The sanguine bookseller published his "Miscellany," which led the way in the combination of superior literature with comparative cheapness; but its sale was numbered by hundreds rather than by millions. In 1827 "The Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge" commenced the publication of their Sixpenny Treatises—valuable manuals, but still far from supplying what the hard-worked classes wanted in the union of goodness and cheapness. Nevertheless the modern epoch of Cheap Literature was commencing. "The London Mechanics' Institution" was inaugurated in 1823 by Dr.

* Lockhart's "Life of Scott," vol. vi. p. 31.

Birkbeck; and gradually similar institutions were founded in populous towns of England and Scotland. In 1828, "The London University" was opened, the building having been in progress, and the organization nearly completed, in 1826 and 1827. To Mr. Brougham belongs the honour of being amongst the most zealous for the formation of this institution for the higher branches of education, independent of religious opinions. The opposite plan of "King's college" was developed at a public meeting in 1828. Unquestionably at this period "the Schoolmaster was abroad." In setting forth upon his mission he had to climb the steepest hill, like the prince of the Arabian story, surrounded by a chorus of hisses and execrations. But he went steadily on his way, stopping his ears to these sounds of impotent fury; and the prize which he won was the power of accomplishing all needful reforms by moral force, so that those who once feared and despised the people should never more be ready to proclaim that "the soldier was abroad."

On the ninth day after the assembling of Parliament, Mr. Brougham took that position which he has ever since maintained, of being the most indefatigable and persevering of Law reformers. The reformation of the Criminal Law was no longer opposed, except by a few whose opinions had very speedily come to be considered as worthless as they were obsolete. A Commission had been appointed to inquire into abuses in Courts of Equity. The course of improvement which was open to Mr. Brougham was to promote an inquiry "into the defects occasioned by time and otherwise in the laws of this realm of England, as administered in the Courts of Common Law." Mr. Brougham introduced his motion in a speech of nearly six hours. It has been said of this speech, "its huge length and unwieldy dimensions compelled attention." * These are not the qualities which usually compel attention in the House of Commons. During that extraordinary exhibition of the rare ability to mass an infinity of details, so as to make each contribute something to the general effect, the attention of the House was uninterruptedly sustained. The first listeners were among the last. Whilst the orator exhibited no signs of physical exhaustion, scarcely one of his audience seemed to feel a sense of weariness. † The peroration of this great effort of memory and judgment was the only portion that could be properly deemed rhetorical:—"It was the boast of Augustus—it formed part of the glare in

* Roebuck, "History of the Whig Ministry," vol. i. p. 50.

† We speak from personal observation, having sat under the gallery with the object of preparing the speech for publication in a more enlarged shape than the reports of the daily papers.

which the perfidies of his earlier years were lost—that he found Rome of brick, and left it of marble; a praise not unworthy a great prince, and to which the present reign has also its claims. But how much nobler will be the sovereign's boast, when he shall have it to say, that he found law dear, and left it cheap; found it a sealed book—left it a living letter; found it the patrimony of the rich—left it the inheritance of the poor; found it the two-edged sword of craft and oppression—left it the staff of honesty and the shield of innocence!" On the adjourned debate of the 29th of February, upon Mr. Brougham's proposition for a Commission, the Government, through the Law Officers and the Home Secretary, expressed its intention so far to concur in the motion as to consent that separate Commissions should issue—one for inquiry into the progress of suits at Common Law; the other into the state of the Laws affecting Real Property. Mr. Brougham concurring in this alteration, the two Commissions were forthwith appointed.

The House of Commons was now fairly engaged in the work of improvement. On the motion of Mr. Peel a Select Committee was appointed to inquire into the Public Income and Expenditure; to consider measures for an effectual control on all charges connected with this receipt and expenditure; and also for reducing the expenditure without detriment to the public service. No one can trace the course of our parliamentary history after the close of the war, without feeling how much of the tardy recognition by the government of principles of financial economy was due to the unwearied exertions of Mr. Hume. His views, however they might at times be impracticable, produced as a whole the inevitable triumph of all zealous and continuous labour. Mr. Secretary Peel early in the Session proposed another measure which, he said, might at first sight appear limited in its application, and local in its objects, but which was connected with considerations of the highest importance to the well-being of the country. He proposed that a Committee should be appointed to inquire into the state of the Police of the Metropolis. In the next Session of Parliament Mr. Peel carried his great plan for abolishing the local establishments of nightly watch and police, for forming the Metropolitan Police District, and for appointing a sufficient number of able men under the direction of the Secretary of State to be the police force for the whole of this district. For several years a prodigious clamour was raised against this force, not only by thieves and street walkers, but by respectable upholders of the Ancient Watch, and by zealous friends of the nation's freedom, who dreamt that the New Police would have the certain effect of depriving us of our imme-

STATE NORMAL SCHOOL,
Los Angeles, CALIF.

morial liberties. The New Police was to be "the most dangerous and effective engine of despotism." Sensible men were satisfied to believe that Mr. Peel's innovation would have no other effect upon our liberties than that of depriving us "of the liberty we have hitherto enjoyed of being robbed and knocked on the head at discretion of their honours the thieves."*

A great parliamentary struggle was at hand in 1828, which was the prelude to a still more important conflict in 1829. This was lord John Russell's motion, on the 26th of February, for a Committee of the whole House to consider of so much of the Acts of the 13 & 25 of Charles II. as requires persons, before admission into any office in corporations, or having accepted any office civil or military, or any place of trust under the Crown, to receive the sacrament of the Lord's Supper, according to the practice of the Church of England. The motion was opposed by Mr. Huskisson, lord Palmerston, and Mr. Peel. It was opposed, says sir Robert Peel in his Memoirs, "with all the influence and authority of the Government recently appointed." Nevertheless, on a division on the motion of lord John Russell, it was carried by a majority of forty-four, there being two hundred and thirty-seven in favour of the motion, and one hundred and ninety-three against it. Sir Robert Peel says, in his Memoirs, that the administration considered that they should not be justified in abandoning the service of the Crown in consequence of this defeat, and farther, that it would have been very unwise hastily to commit the House of Lords to a conflict with the House of Commons on a question of this nature. Mr. Peel eventually proposed a measure of compromise—that a declaration should be substituted in place of the Sacramental Test. The Bill as amended passed the House of Commons, and met with very little effectual opposition in the House of Lords, the two Archbishops and three bishops speaking in its favour. Sir Robert Peel says that the conciliatory adjustment of the question was what he earnestly desired; that had any other course been taken by the Government the final result of parliamentary discussion would probably have been the same—namely, the repeal of the Test and Corporation Acts; and that it may fairly be questioned whether the repeal would have taken place under circumstances more favourable to the true interests of the Church, or more conducive to the maintenance of harmony and goodwill amongst the professors of different religious creeds. It was in vain that lord Eldon described the bill to be "as bad, as mischievous, and as revolutionary as the most captious Dissenter could wish it to be." He nevertheless

* Fonblanque, "England under Seven Administrations." vol. i. p. 266.

prophesied truly when he said, "Sooner or later, perhaps in this very year, almost certainly in the next, the concessions to the Dissenters must be followed by the like concessions to the Roman Catholics." * Mr. Huskisson opposed the repeal of the Corporation and Test Act upon the same principle which had determined Mr. Canning's Opposition. "I am convinced," said Mr. Huskisson, "that the present measure, so far from being a step in favour of the Catholic claims, would, if successful, be the means of arraying an additional power against them." However eager for the application of religious toleration to themselves, the greater number of English Dissenters were ready to make common cause with the Brunswick clubs, who, without the slightest reference to political dangers, clung to the extremest assertion of Protestant Supremacy.

The support which Mr. Huskisson's opinions derived from his position as a Minister of the Crown very soon came to an end. He entered the Cabinet as a suspected man who was desirous of carrying forward his policy upon the questions of Corn-laws and commercial restrictions much faster than the head of the Government and the majority in Parliament deemed prudent and profitable. There were two cases of borough corruption before the House of Commons, those of Penryn and East Retford, which imperatively called for disfranchisement. On the discussions with regard to these disfranchisements it had been proposed that the seats of these offending boroughs should be transferred to Manchester and Birmingham. The Ministry opposed the proposition for giving both seats to these great communities. The bill for disfranchising Penryn and giving its seats to Manchester was expected to be thrown out by the Lords. The seats for one borough only would have to be transferred. Mr. Huskisson, in one of these debates, had declared that if there were only one case before the House he would have no hesitation in transferring the franchise to Birmingham. On the 19th of May Mr. Calvert moved that the franchise of East Retford should be extended to the neighbouring hundred. Mr. Huskisson in vain desired the postponement of the question; but when his vote was claimed for Birmingham, upon the ground of consistency, he yielded, and voted with the minority against the Government. He went home, he says, observing the intelligible looks of some, and hearing the audible whispers of others, and perhaps magnifying the impression which his vote might make, wrote, before he went to rest, a letter to the duke of Wellington, offering him the opportunity of placing the office of Colonial Secretary in

* Twiss, vol. iii. p. 38.

other hands, "as the only means in my power of preventing the injury to the king's service which may ensue from the appearance of disunion in his Majesty's councils." The duke immediately took the letter to the king, regarding it as an absolute resignation. The letter was marked "private and confidential." Friends of Mr. Huskisson went to the duke, contending that Mr. Huskisson's letter did not convey a formal resignation; that it was a mistake: "It is no mistake," said the inflexible premier, and the words passed into a common form of speech. Those of Mr. Canning's ministry who had joined the government of the duke of Wellington felt that this stern and resolute attitude was intended to get rid of them altogether. Lord Dudley, Mr. Grant, lord Palmerston, and Mr. Lamb resigned their offices. Sir George Murray succeeded Mr. Huskisson as Secretary of War and the Colonies; sir Henry Hardinge became Secretary at War in the place of lord Palmerston; the earl of Aberdeen Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in the place of earl Dudley; and Mr. Vesey Fitzgerald President of the Board of Trade in the place of Mr. Charles Grant. Other changes in civil and diplomatic appointments were the necessary consequence of this rupture.

The able French minister, who had ample experience of party conflicts during the term of representative government in his own country, at once assumes that the duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel, in eagerly seizing the opportunity of removing the four Canningites from the Cabinet, and of supplying their places with Tories, "thus manifested their anxious desire to rally all Protestants beneath the same standard, and to restore unity of principles and purpose in the government.* A little more than a week before the discussion on the disfranchisement of East Retford, sir Francis Burdett had obtained for the first time in the parliament of 1826 a majority of the House of Commons in favour of the Roman Catholic claims. The resolution was affirmed by a majority of 272 to 266. Sir Robert Peel says in his Memoirs that he should have declined to remain Minister for the Home Department and to lead the House of Commons, being in a minority on the most important of domestic questions. The duke of Wellington's government was in danger from the retirement of Mr. Huskisson and his friends, and Mr. Peel therefore remained to give his support to a Cabinet which now appeared to be wholly constructed upon the principle of hostility to the Catholic claims. The resolution for considering the Catholic claims which had been carried in the House of Commons was debated in the House of Lords on the 9th and 10th of June.

* Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 37.

The majority against the motion which had passed in the House of Commons was forty-four. But there were admissions in the course of the debate which were as important as a majority in favour of the resolution. The lord chancellor and the duke of Wellington had admitted that the Catholic question was a great difficulty, out of which they at present saw no outlet. "This statement," said the marquis of Lansdowne, "having been made by two noblemen so high in the confidence of the sovereign, it almost necessarily follows that it is accompanied with their intention of looking at this difficulty with a view to its final arrangement." *

The appointment of Mr. Vesey Fitzgerald to a ministerial office caused a vacancy in the representation of the county of Clare. The contest for this seat produced events in Ireland "of deep importance, especially in their relation to the Catholic question." † Mr. Fitzgerald was a person of great influence in the county of Clare. He had conciliated the Roman Catholics by a constant advocacy in Parliament for the removal of their disabilities. Certainly no Protestant could have had a fairer chance of support, not only from the landlords but from their tenantry. Yet the whole power of the Catholic Association was called forth to prevent his return, and to secure the election of Mr. O'Connell, who, by his faith, was disqualified from sitting in Parliament. During the short administration of Mr. Canning the Association, founded in 1823, had voluntarily dissolved itself, having confidence that the Minister would bring forward some effectual measure of relief. The accession to power of the duke of Wellington and Mr. Peel, and the subsequent changes in the Cabinet which had disturbed the balance of opinions on the greatest question of domestic policy, revived the Association with new strength, which was calculated to produce the most serious alarm. Lord Anglesey, the Lord-Lieutenant, had gone to Ireland with the decided opinion that concessions to the Catholics should be refused. What he saw there in the summer of 1828 produced in his mind a conviction of the positive danger of persevering in the old system of policy. Mr. O'Connell, whose power as a demagogue was probably never exceeded by any Irishman or Englishman—gifted with a popular oratory which completely won the hearts of a fervid peasantry—professing the utmost deference to the Catholic priesthood, which he swayed as much by his devotion as a son of the Church as by his prompt and versatile ability—wanting perhaps

* Hansard, vol. xix. col. 1292.

† "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. i. p. 105.

“very determined courage,”* but with every other quality for the leader of a rebellion—Mr. O’Connell stirred up his countrymen to a madness of which the Clare election was the type. The Catholics had a common grievance and a common sympathy, which, since the Union, had been a constant source of irritation and of occasional alarm. But a real sense of the imminent danger of refusing concession had never been produced, until the proof was supplied by the Clare election that local and personal attachments were weakened, that the friendly relations of men in different classes were loosened, and that a power had arisen “to unite the scattered elements of society into a homogeneous and disciplined mass, yielding willing obedience to the assumed authority of superior intelligence, hostile to the law and to the government which administered it.”† At the period of the Clare election the Lord-Lieutenant wrote to the Home Secretary that he was quite certain that the agitators could lead on the people to rebellion at a moment’s notice, but that the hope of tranquillity, present and future, rested upon the belief of O’Connell and his friends that they could carry their cause by agitation and intimidation, without coming to blows. Lord Anglesey believed their success to be inevitable. “There may be rebellion; you may put to death thousands; you may suppress it; but it will only be to put off the day of compromise, and in the meantime the country is still more impoverished, and the minds of the people are, if possible, still more alienated.”‡ On the 5th of July Mr. O’Connell was elected for Clare. A petition against his return was presented to the House of Commons, but nothing was done, for the Session was nearly at an end. The great Agitator did not attempt to take his seat during the three weeks which elapsed between his return and the prorogation of Parliament. He had six months before him for continued agitation. The Session closed on the 28th of July, without a word in the King’s Speech regarding Ireland.

The duke of Wellington, in the course of a debate in May, 1829, said, “It is now well known that during the whole of the last autumn and summer I had those measures in contemplation which have been since brought into effect. It is also well known that my principal object, and that to which all my efforts were directed, was to prevail upon the person in these kingdoms the most interested of all others, from his situation, in the settlement of the Catholic question, to give his consent to its being brought for-

* “Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel,” vol. i. p. 147 (Lord Anglesey’s expression).

† *Ibid.*, p. 116.

‡ *Ibid.*, p. 147.

ward."* In the autumn and summer of 1828 the duke had not only a difficulty with the king, but with the one of most importance amongst his colleagues. At the beginning of August the Premier and the Lord Chancellor had been in communication with the King. Mr. Peel was invited to participate in the proposed arrangement. He gave his deliberate opinion by letter to the duke of Wellington, that there was upon the whole less of evil in making a decided effort to settle the Catholic question than in leaving it, as it had been left, an open question. Mr. Peel, however, proposed to retire from the government, although he was willing to support it, but unwilling to undertake the management of this business in the House of Commons. Twenty years after, he says that this letter was written with a clear foresight of the penalties to which the course he resolved to take would expose him—"the rage of party, the alienation of private friends, the interruption of family affections." He would not condescend to notice other penalties, such as the loss of office and of royal favour, "if they were not the heaviest in the estimation of vulgar and low-minded men incapable of appreciating higher motives of public conduct."†

The efforts of the duke of Wellington to obtain the sanction of the king, that the whole subject of Ireland, including the Catholic question, should be taken into consideration by his confidential servants, were not successful during the remaining months of 1828. In his interviews with the duke his majesty manifested much uneasiness and irritation. Lord Eldon represents that the king told him, at an interview on the 28th of March, 1829, that his Ministers had threatened to resign if the measures were not proceeded in, and that he had said to them, "Go on," when an interview which had lasted several hours had brought him into such a state that he hardly knew what he was about.‡ Mr. Peel, very early in the course of these discussions, had expressed his opinion that whenever it was once determined that an attempt should be made by the Government to settle the Catholic question, the settlement should be, if possible, a complete one. Partial concessions would be of no use.§ On the 12th of January, 1829, the six Ministers who had voted uniformly against the Catholic claims, had each a separate interview with his Majesty, when he intimated his consent that the whole question of Ireland should be considered without his being pledged by such consent to adopt the views of his confidential servants, however unanimous they might be. On the 17th the duke of Wellington wrote to Mr. Peel,

* Hansard, vol. xxi. col. 1023.

† "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. i. p. 188.

‡ Twiss, vol. iii. p. 13.

§ "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. i. p. 189

that he did not see the slightest chance, in consequence of what had passed in interviews with the king, and with certain of the bishops, of getting rid of these difficulties, if Mr. Peel should not continue in office. Mr. Peel yielded to this earnest solicitation. When the draft of the Speech from the Throne was submitted to the king, he gave a reluctant assent to the passage which implied an intention on the part of the Government to make a decisive effort to adjust the Catholic question. The Parliament was opened by commission on the 5th of February. The day before the meeting of Parliament Mr. Peel addressed a letter to the Vice-Chancellor of Oxford expressing his intention to vacate his seat for that University.

In the Speech from the Throne the existence of an Association in Ireland dangerous to the public peace, and inconsistent with the spirit of the Constitution, was pointed out, to ask for such powers as may enable his Majesty to maintain his just authority. "His Majesty recommends that, when this essential object shall have been accomplished, you should take into your deliberate consideration the whole condition of Ireland; and that you should review the laws which impose civil disabilities on his Majesty's Roman Catholic subjects. You will consider whether the removal of those disabilities can be effected consistently with the full and permanent security of our establishments in Church and State, with the maintenance of the Reformed Religion established by law, and of the rights and privileges of the bishops and of the clergy of this realm, and of the churches committed to their charge." In the house of Peers the duke of Wellington announced that the measure which it was the intention of the Government to propose for the adoption of Parliament, would extend to the removal generally of all civil disabilities under which the Roman Catholics laboured, with exceptions solely resting on special grounds. In the House of Commons Mr. Peel made a similar announcement. The great contest in Parliament was not to come on till Mr. Peel should be in his place to take his proper share in the discussions. He was persuaded to allow his name to be put in nomination for re-election at Oxford. His friends did not sufficiently estimate the power of a party cry. Sir Robert Inglis, his opponent, was finally returned by a majority of one hundred and forty-six votes. Lord Colchester records the termination of the election, adding, "Cheers for lord Eldon in Convocation, hisses for the King, hisses and groans for Peel." Nevertheless the value of these hisses and groans may be tested from the fact that Mr. Peel polled twice as many first class men as sir

Robert Inglis, and the "No-Popery" and "Church in Danger" cries were not universally successful, for he had three hundred and thirty-three clergymen amongst his supporters. Mr. Peel took his seat for Westbury on the 3rd of March. The Bill for suppressing the Catholic Association had passed during the Secretary's absence from Parliament. In that interval several thousand petitions were presented to Parliament,—the greater part against the proposed measures of concession. Lord Eldon was the most indefatigable in the enforcement of the prayer of these very exclusive productions, which echoed his own assertion on the first night of the Session, that if a Roman Catholic were ever admitted to form part of the Legislature, or to hold any of the great offices of state, from that moment the sun of Great Britain was set for ever. In the House of Commons Mr. Peel gave notice, on the 3rd of March, that on the 5th he would call attention to that part of the speech from the throne which referred to the civil disabilities of the Roman Catholics. On the evening of the 3rd the king commanded the duke of Wellington, lord Lyndhurst, and Mr. Peel, to attend him at Windsor on the following day. The audience lasted five hours. The king most tenaciously insisted that no alteration should be made of the ancient oath of supremacy. The ministers as firmly maintained that without this alteration the measure of relief would be unavailing. They left the royal closet in the assured belief that their official functions were at an end. "At the close of the interview the king took leave of us with great composure and great kindness, gave to each of us a salute on each cheek, and accepted our resignation of office, frequently expressing his sincere regret at the necessity which compelled us to retire from his service."* Before the king went to rest a great and sudden change had come over him. He wrote to the duke of Wellington to acquaint him that he anticipated so much difficulty in the attempt to form another administration that he could not dispense with the services of those whose resignations he had accepted, and that they were at liberty to proceed with the measures of which notice had been given in Parliament. †

On the 5th of March, from ten o'clock in the morning, all the avenues of the House of Commons were crowded by persons who hoped to gain admission to the gallery. The doors were not opened till six o'clock; for, according to a notice previously given, the House was called over. To put an end to all possible cavil on the part of the king, Mr. Peel had suggested to the duke of Wellington that a distinct authority should be given to them to say to

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. i. p. 347.

† *Ibid.*, p. 349.

Parliament that the measures in contemplation were proposed with the entire sanction of his Majesty. That authority having been received during the night, Mr. Peel commenced his speech in these words:—"I rise as a Minister of the King, and sustained by the just authority which belongs to that character, to vindicate the advice given to his Majesty by an united Cabinet." With regard to himself, he had for years attempted to maintain the exclusion of Roman Catholics from Parliament and the high offices of state. He did not think it was an unnatural or unreasonable struggle. He resigned it, in consequence of the conviction that it could no longer be advantageously maintained. As Mr. Peel proceeded to explain the proposed measure, in a speech of four hours, the cheers of the House were occasionally heard in Westminster Hall. The Bill would admit a Roman Catholic to Parliament upon taking an oath, in place of the old oath of supremacy, that he would support the existing institutions of the State, and not injure those of the Church. It would admit a Roman Catholic to all the greatest offices of government, with the exception of Regent, Lord Chancellor of England, and Lord Chancellor and Viceroy of Ireland. All corporate offices and municipal privileges, all that pertained to the administration of justice, would be open to Roman Catholics. From all offices connected with the Church, with its universities and schools, and from Church patronage, they would be necessarily excluded. Commands in the army and navy had been open to them before this measure. Connected with the Bill of Relief, there were securities and restrictions proposed; and by a separate bill the qualification for the freeholder's electoral franchise in Ireland was increased from forty shillings to ten pounds. It is unnecessary to trace the course of the debates in either House during the conflict, which lasted to the 10th of April, when the Relief Bill was read a third time in the House of Lords by a majority of a hundred and four. It had been passed in the House of Commons, on the 30th of March, by a majority of a hundred and seventy-eight. Amidst the passionate invectives, the taunts and sneers, of the opposers of the measure, there was one sentence in the speech of a great man who relied upon no oratorical power for enforcing conviction, which made more impression upon the mind and heart of the nation than the highest displays of argument or declamation. Thus spoke the duke of Wellington, on moving the second reading of the Bill on the 4th of April: "My lords, I am one of those who have probably passed a longer period of my life engaged in war than most men, and principally, I may say, in civil war; and I must say this,—that if I could avoid, by any sacrifice

whatever, even one month of civil war in the country to which I am attached, I would sacrifice my life in order to do it. I say that there is nothing which destroys property and prosperity, and demoralizes character, to the degree that civil war does; by it the hand of man is raised against his neighbour, against his brother, and against his father; the servant betrays his master, and the whole scene ends in confusion and devastation. Yet, my lords, this is the resource to which we must have looked—these are the means to which we must have applied, in order to have put an end to this state of things, if we had not made the option of bringing forward the measures, for which I hold myself responsible.”* The great Captain was assailed as virulently as Mr. Peel was assailed, by the most furious of those who assumed to be the only true supporters of Church and State. The earl of Winchelsea published a letter in which he insinuated that the duke had supported the establishment of King’s College, that he “might the more effectually, under the cloak of some outward show of zeal for the Protestant religion, carry on his insidious designs for the infringement of our liberties, and the introduction of Popery into every department of the State.” The duke demanded that the letter should be withdrawn; the earl refused to do so. On the 21st of March the two peers had a hostile meeting in Battersea fields. The duke of Wellington fired without effect; the earl of Winchelsea discharged his pistol in the air, and then tendered a written apology. In a letter to the duke of Buckingham a month after this transaction the duke of Wellington thus defended a conduct which he admitted must have “shocked many good men;”—“The truth is that the duel with lord Winchelsea was as much part of the Roman Catholic question, and it was as necessary to undertake it, and carry it out to the extremity to which I did carry it, as it was to do everything else which I did do to attain the object which I had in view. I was living here in an atmosphere of calumny. I could do nothing that was not misrepresented as having some bad purpose in view.” When lord Winchelsea published his letter the duke determined to act upon it. “The atmosphere of calumny in which I had been some time living cleared away. The system of calumny was discontinued.”† Mr. Peel had to endure calumnies even more galling than those which the duke of Wellington decided to resist by the course which a brave soldier, jealous upon the point of honour, was then almost compelled to take in deference to the false opinions of society. Twenty

* Hansard, vol. xxi. col. 45.

† Duke of Buckingham, “Court of George IV., vol. ii. p. 397.

years after this great political struggle sir Robert Peel wrote the following solemn appeal to protect his memory. "I can with truth affirm, as I do solemnly affirm in the presence of Almighty God, 'to whom all hearts be open, all desires known, and from whom no secrets are hid,' that in advising and promoting the measures of 1829 I was swayed by no fear except the fear of public calamity, and I acted throughout on a deep conviction that those measures were not only conducive to the general welfare, but that they had become imperatively necessary in order to avert from interests which had a special claim upon my support—the interests of the Church and of institutions connected with the Church—an imminent and increasing danger." *

The Catholic Relief Bill received the royal assent on the 13th of April. Lord Eldon at two previous audiences of George IV. had urged him to refuse the royal assent. The king, who was a great actor, not only in the power of mimicry which he possessed but in exhibiting a well-feigned passion, deceived his ex-chancellor into the belief that his old master would peril everything, even his throne, by this obsolete exercise of the royal prerogative. Dangerous, almost infatuated, as was this advice of lord Eldon, we cannot doubt his sincerity; we cannot believe that any corrupt motive, or even any personal ambition, prompted his interference to avert what he believed would be a great political evil. He distrusted the Roman Catholics, not from a blind adherence to a worn-out bigotry, but from a reliance upon that unstatesmanlike caution which could not look beyond a dark Present into a brighter Future. Happily, he had to deal with a sovereign of different character than he who compelled Pitt—in the fear that he might drive the king into insanity—to lay aside the implied pledges of the Union, and thus to make the Legislature equivocate for thirty years with the just expectations of disappointed millions. A few childish lamentations, and there would be an end of the opposition of George the Fourth to the resolve of his Ministry. He would go to Hanover—he would return no more to England—let them get a Catholic king in Clarence—were his ejaculations at the interview of the 9th of April. On the 14th lord Eldon wrote to his daughter,—“The fatal Bill received the royal assent yesterday afternoon. After all I had heard in my visits not a day's delay.” †

About a month after the passing of the Bill Mr. O'Connell was introduced to the House of Commons for the purpose of taking his seat for Clare. A petition against his return had been referred to a Committee, who declared that he was duly returned. Mr.

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. i. p. 365.

† Twiss, vol. iii. p. 87.

O'Connell had been elected before the passing of the new Act, and the Clerk of the House accordingly tendered to him the oath of supremacy which was required to be taken under the old law. This oath Mr. O'Connell refused to take, claiming to take the oath set forth in the Relief Act. He was the next day heard at the bar. His courtesy, his moderation, his legal knowledge, surprised the House, and called forth the approving voices of the great law officers who had opposed his claim at once to take his seat. Upon a division a new writ was ordered for Clare. A large subscription was entered into for securing Mr. O'Connell's second return, which took place on the 30th of July. His violence at that election was a painful and disgusting contrast to his assumed gentleness at the bar of the House of Commons. His unmeasured words almost induced a general apprehension that the great measure of Catholic Emancipation had been too readily yielded to that sense of an overwhelming necessity which had converted opposing statesmen into its responsible promoters. There was a higher principle than the expediency which changed the policy of Mr. Peel—a principle thus proclaimed out of the walls of Parliament, to assert the Christian obligation of passing this law:—"It is the direct duty of every Englishman to support the claims of the Roman Catholics of Ireland, even at the hazard of injuring the Protestant Establishment; because those claims cannot be rejected without great injustice; and it is a want of faith in God and an unholy zeal to think that he can be served by injustice, or to guard against contingent evil by committing certain sin."* This was a great truth, maintained in words not to be forgotten, by a bold thinker who did not fear evil tongues—one whose tolerant zeal for the Church establishment, which he ardently desired to uphold, could not shut his eyes to the exclusive pretensions of those who would have built its security upon a rotten foundation. It was a truth whose constant recognition would support every conscientious statesman through the perils with which Ireland would yet be surrounded; would neutralize the interested agitation for the Repeal of the Union which the chief Agitator would for years carry forward to the verge of rebellion; would produce the general conviction that the "great injustice" being effectually removed, a fair field would be left for the removal or amelioration of social evils; would convert even the terrible calamity of a famine into a final blessing; would "assert eternal Providence" in manifesting that a righteous act would at last have its reward, in rendering the once wronged Ire-

* Dr. Arnold, "Christian Duty of considering the Roman Catholic Claims."—Miscellaneous Works, p. 6.

land no more a terror to England, but the sharer of her liberty and her prosperity—a true sister, no longer to be alienated by just complaints, much less by demagogic violence and priestly stratagem.

The Parliament was prorogued on the 24th of June. The landowners when they returned to their country mansions did not find happy faces amidst either tenants or labourers. The summer and autumn were wet and cold; the harvest was protracted; the crops were ill got in, and were hurried to market. They were found to be of inferior quality, and prices suffered temporarily a great depression. Then came the severest winter since 1813-14. Parliament met on the 4th of February, 1830. The King's Speech lamented that notwithstanding the indication of active commerce afforded by increased exports, distress should prevail amongst the agricultural and manufacturing classes. One effectual mode of mitigating the pressure upon industrial capital was announced in the intention to propose a considerable reduction in the amount of public expenditure. The promise was realized. The Chancellor of the Exchequer proposed, on the 15th of March, the total remission of the excise duties on beer, cider, and leather. Increased duties on spirits were to supply a portion of the deficiency. The propositions of the government were finally agreed to. Motions for Reform of Parliament were brought forward, with the usual fate of every previous attempt to carry a sweeping or a partial measure. Again was it resolved that the seat which was vacant through the corruption of East Retford should not be transferred to Birmingham. Mr. O'Connell proposed to bring in a Bill to establish universal suffrage, triennial parliaments, and vote by ballot. Lord John Russell had a counter-proposition for additional representatives for populous counties and large-unrepresented towns. The solution of this difficult problem seemed as far off as ever. It was helped forward by the imprudent conduct of a great peer who openly proclaimed what too many of the aristocracy felt in their hearts. A petition was presented to the House of Commons complaining of the interference of the duke of Newcastle in the elections for the borough of Newark, and praying that his power of ejecting tenants from the property which he held as lessee of Crown lands, should be prevented in future by the non-renewal of his lease. The government declared that it was not their intention to renew this lease: it was unnecessary, therefore, to grant a committee to inquire into this matter. The debate, however, disclosed a correspondence which roused a feeling of indignation throughout the land. A public meeting had been held at Newark to condemn

the proceedings of the nobleman who appeared to have held in small respect the well-known resolution of the House of Commons that it is a violation of the privileges of Parliament for Peers of the Realm to interfere in elections. The duke of Newcastle was invited to attend that meeting. He declined to attend, and asked the bold question, "May I not do what I will with mine own?" It was the argument of Shylock, when he demanded the pound of flesh, "'Tis mine, and I will have it." These words went forth to teach Englishmen that property had its duties as well as its rights, preparing the way for that quickly-coming change when the democratic element would assert its claim to be more respected—when the franchise would cease to be considered as a chattel which the great could call their own. One measure of great importance was proposed this session by Mr. Brougham, as a specific measure connected with his extensive views of Law Reform which he had developed in 1828. On the 29th of April, 1830, he moved for leave to bring in a Bill to establish Local Jurisdiction in certain districts in England. He showed that to recover small sums in the superior courts was a process involving delay and expense which prevented a creditor obtaining the satisfaction of his just demands. It was his hope that he might be eventually able to establish the system of local jurisdiction, from which he expected benefits unspeakably valuable to the country. That hope was long deferred. The County Courts, which were founded upon the recommendation of the Common Law Commissioners, arising out of Mr. Brougham's views in 1830, and upon their further enforcement when he became Lord Chancellor, were not established till queen Victoria had been eight years upon the throne.

On the 24th of May, a message was sent to both Houses of Parliament by the king, announcing his illness and stating the inconvenience of signing public instruments with his own hand. A Bill was introduced for the appointment of commissioners to affix the king's sign-manual by a stamp, in the king's presence, and by his immediate order given by word of mouth. The Bill received the Royal assent on the 29th of May. On the 26th of June, at three o'clock in the morning, king George the Fourth expired at Windsor Castle. It is difficult to look back upon the career of this prince, whose sovereignty either as Regent or King formed one of the most important eras in the annals of our country, without feeling how much his life had been one of great opportunities wasted and of natural powers perverted; how the circumstances by which he had been surrounded from his youth were almost wholly injurious to his character and his happiness.

The present generation,—in some degree by the force of contrast—have come to look very severely upon the faults of this erring brother. They were painfully visited upon him by the absence of all domestic happiness, by the feeling that he was not beloved or respected by the people he was appointed to rule over. The duke of Wellington has given a character of the monarch who held in dread the great captain's strong sense and inflexible resolution: "He was indeed," said the duke, "the most extraordinary compound of talent, wit, buffoonery, obstinacy, and good feeling—in short, a medley of the most opposite qualities, with a great preponderance of good—that I ever saw in any character in my life." *

* Raikes's "Diary," vol. i. p. 92.

CHAPTER II.

Oath of allegiance taken by Peers and Commoners.—Business of Parliament commenced.—Unmeasured language in the House of Commons.—Motion for a Regency in the event of the king's demise.—France.—Retrospect of government in reign of Charles X.—Prince Polignac appointed President of the Council.—Sudden prorogation of the Chambers.—Algiers.—The Royal Ordinances promulgated.—The three days of July. Duke of Orleans Lieutenant-General of the Kingdom.—Abdication of Charles X.—Duke of Orleans King.—Recognition by England of the new government of France.—Revolution of Belgium.—The opening of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway.—Early opposition to the Railway system.—The Locomotive Engine.—George Stephenson.—His son Robert.—Anticipations of the triumphs of Railways.—Death of Mr. Huskisson.—Opening of Parliament.—Declaration of the duke of Wellington.—The king's visit to the City postponed.—Defeat of Ministers on the Civil List.—They resign.—Mr. Brougham's parliamentary position.—Administration of earl Grey completed.—List of the Ministry.

ON Friday, the 25th of June, both Houses of Parliament had adjourned to the following Monday. The death of George the Fourth having taken place at three o'clock on the morning of the 26th, summonses were issued for the immediate attendance of the Peers for the purpose of taking the oath of allegiance to King William the Fourth as administered by the Lord Chancellor. According to ancient practice the oath to the Commons was to be administered by the Lord Steward. At an early hour, therefore, many members of the Lower House attended in the Long Gallery for the purpose of taking this oath. The Lord Steward, the Marquis of Conyngham, did not arrive till late. When the House did meet, Mr. Brougham made an indignant protest against the treatment which the Commons of England had experienced; for many members had that morning, like himself, been kept for hours dancing attendance in the Long Gallery, and waiting the pleasure of the Lord Steward. On the following Monday Mr. Brougham explained that he should not have indulged in the remarks which he had made on the Saturday had he been aware that the Lord Steward, being also governor of Windsor Castle, could not leave till he had handed over the body of the king to the Lord Chamberlain. The incident is scarcely worth notice, except as affording a very early indication of the policy of Mr. Brougham—"that he at least had no intention of any longer forming a portion of what was termed his Majesty's opposition, but that he was about to resume

in earnest the character of an opponent."* The voice of public scandal, whose echo never died away, asserted that the Marquis of Conyngham and his family had very important private interests to take care of at Windsor Castle, in the few hours that elapsed between the death of the king and their departure from the palace of which they had long been inmates.

On the 29th of June the business of parliament commenced. A message from the king recommended "such temporary provision as may be requisite for the public service in the interval that may elapse between the close of the present session and the meeting of a new parliament." During the remaining three weeks of the session there was much sharp discussion in both Houses. On the 30th of June, in the House of Lords, earl Grey, upon the question of an Address to his Majesty, moved an amendment to adjourn, in order to give time for the consideration of the Civil List, and the expediency of providing a Regency. The original motion was carried by a large majority. In the House of Commons, lord Althorp moved a similar amendment which was also lost. On that night, after the proposed amendment had been negatived, a new debate arose upon the question being put on the original Address. Several years had passed since the House of Commons had heard such unmeasured language as now proceeded from the orator who was the real leader of the Opposition. It is difficult to understand how this fierceness should have been provoked by any act or manifest temper of the government—by anything beyond the popular suspicion that the duke of Wellington was an enemy to the liberties of his country. A threat was supposed to have been held out in the other House by the duke which Mr. Brougham thus interpreted for him—"if you leave government in the minority, I will resign, and where then will you get a Field-Marshal to superintend your finances and your law-courts?" Mr. Brougham then warned the government that in the event of a new election they might look back even to the parliament with some of the pleasures of memory. Their case might be the same as that of prince Polignac, who must needs send the representatives of France to their constituents, and in choosing a new Assembly that great nation was up, not in arms, but in the panoply of reason. "We can perceive, sir, in this country as in that, that the day of force is over, and that the Minister who hopes to rule by an appeal to Royal favour or military power may be overwhelmed, though I in nowise accuse him of such an attempt. Him I accuse not. It is you I accuse—his flatterers—his mean, fawning parasites." Sir Robert Peel rose: "I

* Roebuck, "History of the Whig Ministry," vol. i. p. 251.

ask the hon. and learned gentleman, as I am one of those on this side of the House to which he is referring, whether he means to accuse me of such conduct? . . . I ask him whether he presumes to call me the mean and fawning parasite of anybody?" The historian of the Whig Ministry says, "Checked thus suddenly in mid-career, Mr. Brougham seemed at once to perceive that the phrase he had used, and the charge he had brought, were not to be justified." He disclaimed every intention of applying the words to sir Robert Peel himself. Sir Robert Peel required something more. Mr. Brougham had "no right to accuse men as honest, upright, and independent as himself, of being parasites. He would make the apology and retraction for the hon. and learned gentleman"—that "these words were uttered in the warmth of debate, and without reference to any individual application."* Mr. Brougham at once adopted the apology thus prescribed to him.

On the 6th of July Mr. R. Grant moved an Address to his Majesty, touching the expediency of making provision against the dangers to which the country might be exposed by a demise of the crown. The motion was rejected by a majority of a hundred and fifty-four. It was on this occasion that Mr. Macaulay, who had very recently been returned to Parliament for the borough of Calne, first exhibited his power of discussing a constitutional question upon broader principles than those of a mere debater. The Solicitor-General had talked about the delicacy due to the new monarch; that in previous cases of interference there had been a suspension of the executive functions. "I should wish," said Mr. Macaulay, "to ask the honourable and learned gentleman what he conceives to be the end and object of Parliament? The history of our hereditary form of government does not present us with any certain security for the wisdom or virtue of the chief magistrate. The destinies of the community may be entrusted to the feeble hands of infancy; and this and other consequences have afforded ample themes to the satirist and the declaimer. Look, at this moment, at the enormous weight and extent of power confided to the hereditary monarch, whether an infant or an adult. . . . Yet this enormous empire, with all its complicated interests, may be placed under the control of a thoughtless boy or girl. For a child, unable to walk or to express the simplest wish in its mother tongue, the claims of veteran Generals and of accomplished Statesmen are passed by. Senates pay it homage, and by the years of its rule laws are numbered and public Acts are dated. To many this system may appear, if not absurd, unreasonable; and what is the an-

* Hansard, vol. xxv. col. 286.

swer? Why in this enlightened age do we resist, and would oppose even with our lives any change of that system? What is the advantage that counterbalances its numerous and admitted evils? It may be designated in one word—certainty. . . . Under an hereditary government the Royal authority passes without interval from one Royal depository to another, and none can dispute in whom the right of supreme magistracy resides. If this certainty be of more value than wisdom, virtues, or public services—if it be paramount to every other consideration, then, I ask, what becomes of all the arguments of the honourable and learned member? He tells us to pause in the appointment of a Regency, and to choose well, rather than to choose soon; but if we follow his advice, we forego the only advantage of our hereditary form of government—its certainty.* A satisfactory measure by which this desirable certainty was attained, the personage in whom the nation could place the utmost confidence being nominated sole Regent, was passed in the new Parliament. The Duchess of Kent was appointed to this high office, in the event of the princess Victoria becoming Queen before she had attained the age of eighteen.

The king, on the 23rd of July, prorogued Parliament in person. The royal speech contained these flattering words: "It is with the utmost satisfaction that I find myself enabled to congratulate you upon the general tranquillity of Europe." On the 24th Parliament was dissolved by proclamation.

It has been observed by M. Guizot that the ties, apparent or concealed, that exist between France and England, have never been broken even by their rivalries. "Whether they know or are ignorant of it, whether they acknowledge or deny the fact, they cannot avoid being powerfully acted upon by each other."† Never was this truth more strikingly exemplified than in the effect produced upon English opinion with regard to our domestic politics, by that French revolution of 1830 which, bursting forth within forty-eight hours of the dissolution of our own Parliament, had a most decided influence upon the elections that took place during the month of August, and thus produced a change of administration which immediately led to our own peaceful revolution—the Reform of Parliament. To comprehend in some degree the causes of the great event which hurled the elder branch of the Bourbons from that throne upon which they appeared to be firmly seated after the battle of Waterloo, we must advert very briefly to the course of the government of France after the death of Louis XVIII., on the 16th of September, 1824.

* Hansard, vol. xxv. col. 326.

† Guizot, "Memoirs to illustrate the History of My Time," vol. i. p. 306.

On the 15th of August, a month only before the decease of Louis, the censorship of journals was re-established by a royal ordinance. The state of the king's health appeared to the minister, M. de Villèle, to require that the government should have in its hands this power of controlling the press. The good sense of Louis XVIII., and his desire to govern as far as possible in an enlightened and liberal spirit, preserved France during his reign from any popular convulsion. Under the Charter the struggles of parties were of a constitutional character. There were great orators in the Chamber of Deputies who were opposed to the government; there were bitter satirists in prose and verse, such as Courier and Beranger, who attacked the ultra-royalist party and the priestly party with unsparing ridicule; nevertheless, the nation had not arrived at the belief that another vital change in its institutions was necessary, and was content to confide in the power of the Charter gradually to repair its own deficiencies. Charles X. came to the throne. The French saw the change with something like dread, for he was considered the representative of ultra-royalist opinions. He at once manifested a solicitude that the people should accept him as a constitutional king. His first act was to abolish the censorship of the journals. He said to the peers and deputies that his great desire was to consolidate the Charter for the happiness of his people. He promised to each religious body protection for its worship. The ceremony of consecrating the king at Rheims was little in accordance with the spirit of the age, or the general character of the French. The people laughed and sneered when the "Moniteur" said:—"There is no doubt that the holy oil which will flow on the forehead of Charles X. in the solemnity of his consecration, is the same as that which, since the time of Clovis, has consecrated the French kings." Napoleon putting the crown upon his own head, was a fitter type of popular sovereignty in France than Charles X. anointed in seven parts of his body by the Archbishop of Rheims. Nevertheless, the king had solemnly promised to maintain the Charter, and the obsolete pageantries of his coronation were not imputed to him as a fault. The people had soon to learn how little dependence could be placed upon the professions, and even upon the liberal actions, of their new king. "Without false calculation or premeditated deceit, Charles X. wavered from contradiction to contradiction, from inconsistency to inconsistency, until the day when, given up to his own will and belief, he committed the error which cost him his throne."* He was at heart "a true emigrant and a submissive bigot." In 1826, a

* Guizot, vol. i. p. 266.

shrewd Englishman, writing from Paris, saw clearly how the bigotry would terminate:—"The French government are behaving very foolishly, flinging themselves into the arms of the Jesuits; making processions through the streets of twelve hundred priests, with the king and royal family at their head; disgusting the people, and laying the foundation of another revolution, which seems to me (if this man lives) to be inevitable." *

M. de Villèle's career, as the chief minister of Louis XVIII. and Charles X., had been of a longer duration than might have been expected from the discordant elements by which he was surrounded. For six years he had been the presiding spirit of the government. When he entered upon power he said, "I am born for the end of revolutions." This belief had little of the spirit of prophecy, however the prudence and sagacity of this minister might have retarded that isolation of the ruler from the ruled which is the beginning of new revolutions. The elections of 1827 were unfavourable to the government; and the minister, not having the cordial support of the whole royalist party, was compelled to retire from office. The dauphiness said to the king, "in abandoning M. de Villèle, you have descended the first step of your throne." M. de Martignac became the head of the cabinet which replaced that of M. de Villèle. His tendencies were liberal and constitutional; his talents had not their proper influence either with the king or the chambers. He did what was in his power to prevent the measures of repression which one party desired, and to carry forward those measures of conciliation which he thought would retard a rupture between the throne and the nation. Lafayette characterized the policy of Martignac in a very significant sentence:—"Three steps forward and two backward, we have the net product of one little step." To move forward at all, and not have the power of carrying the chambers in a retrogressive policy, was held at the Tuileries to be the fault of this minister. In August, 1829, a royal ordinance appeared changing the whole of the ministry, and finally appointing Prince Jules de Polignac president of the council. The prince had been ambassador to England; and many of the French, and not a few of the English, chose to believe that he had been appointed to his post through the influence of the duke of Wellington, and that his subsequent measures were taken in concert with our cabinet. Sir Robert Peel, on the 2nd of November, 1830, emphatically denied that the government of this country, directly or indirectly, had interfered in this ap-

* "Letters of Sydney Smith," vol. ii. p. 261.

pointment.* In the choice of Polignac as his prime minister, "Charles X.," says M. Guizot, "had hoisted upon the Tuileries the flag of the counter-revolution." On the 2nd of March, 1830, the chambers were opened. There was a half menace in the royal speech, which appeared to presage some exercise of arbitrary power. "If criminal manœuvres were to place obstacles in the way of my government, which I neither can, nor wish to foresee, I should find the power of surmounting them in a resolution to maintain the public peace, in the just confidence of the French people, and in the devotion which they have always demonstrated for their king." The address of the Chamber of Deputies, which was carried by a majority of 221 to 181, affirmed that it was their duty to declare to the king that the Charter supposed, in order to its working, a concurrence between the mind of the sovereign and the interests of his people; that it was their painful duty to declare that such concurrence existed no longer, as the administration ordered all its acts upon the supposition of the disaffection of the people. The next day the chambers were prorogued till the 1st of September. On the 16th of May they were dissolved. New elections were ordered for June and July, and the parliament so elected was to meet on the 3rd of August. Most men saw clearly that a great struggle was at hand. The duke of Orleans, on the 31st of May, gave a fête in honour of his brother-in-law, the King of Naples, at the Palais Royal, at which Charles X. and the royal family were present. M. de Salvandy said to the duke of Orleans, "This is truly a Neapolitan festival; we are dancing on a volcano." The duke agreed with him, adding that he would not have to reproach himself with making no effort to open the eyes of the king. "What am I to do? Nothing is listened to. Heaven only knows where they will be in six months. But I well know where I shall be. Under any circumstances my family and I remain in this palace." †

On the 12th of July, during the progress of the French elections, the news arrived of the capture of Algiers. For two or three years the French government had been carrying on a small war against that barbarian power. But the ministry of Polignac resolved to strike a great blow for the establishment of a colonial dominion, and for the revival of that passion for military glory which had so often bestowed popularity upon the rulers of France, in their neglect of the national industry and their indifference to the growth of the people's liberties. A formidable expedition sailed from Toulon on the 25th of May, of which the three hundred

* Hansard, Third Series, vol. i. p. 90.

† Guizot, vol. ii. p. 12.

and fifty ships carried forty thousand troops. Before the elections began, the landing of this expedition was announced. Before they were concluded, Algiers had been surrendered, and the Dey had been dethroned. But this triumph produced not the slightest effect upon the elections. In some respects, it made the electors more determined that a military glory should not encourage the tendencies to Absolutism at home. M. Guizot, upon hearing the news of the capture of Algiers, wrote, "I hope this success will not stimulate power to the last madness." The elections being completed, it was ascertained beyond a doubt that a very large majority of the Chamber of Deputies would be opposed to the administration of the prince de Polignac. Charles X. at this juncture was meditating some desperate act which would restore what he believed to be his legitimate rights. "The Charter contained, for a prudent and patient monarch, certain means of exercising the royal authority, and of securing the Crown. But Charles X. had lost confidence in France and in the Charter." * The historian of his own time relates that the Russian ambassador, count Pozzo di Borgo, a few days before the government was committed to its fatal determination, had an audience with the King, in which his Majesty's conversation led the shrewd diplomatist to have little doubt as to the measures in preparation. He had found the King studying the fourteenth article of the Charter, "seeking with honest inquietude the interpretation he wanted to find there: in such cases we always discover what we are in search of." † The fourteenth article of the French Constitution says that the King is supreme head of the State. How Charles X. interpreted this is disclosed in that Revolution of July for which it is affirmed France had no desire. "The spirit of legality and sound political reason had made remarkable progress. Even during the ferment of the elections, public feeling loudly repudiated all idea of a new revolution." ‡

On the 21st of July a Report, signed by the prince de Polignac, was presented to the King in council, in which it was represented that signs of disorganization and symptoms of anarchy presented themselves in every part of the kingdom; that the periodical press was the chief instrument of disorder and sedition. It had endeavoured to eradicate every germ of religious sentiment from the heart of the people; worst of all, it had dared to criticise the causes, the means, the preparations, and the chances of success of that expedition whose glory had cast such a pure and durable brilliancy over the crown of France. The laws were insufficient to restrain the

* Guizot, vol. i. p. 357.

† *Ibid.*, p. 358.

‡ *Ibid.*, p. 355.

licence of the press ; it was time, it was more than time, to stop its ravages. The report then set forth that the ordinary conditions of representative government did not then exist in France ; that a turbulent democracy had disposed of a majority of the elections through the means of the journals and by affiliated societies. The fourteenth article of the Charter was then appealed to as giving to the King a sufficient power, not indeed for the change of institutions, but for their consolidation and immutability. No government on earth could stand if it had not the power of providing for its own security, which is pre-existent to laws, because it is in the nature of things. The moment was come to have recourse to measures which were in the spirit of the Charter, but which are beyond the limits of legal order, the resources of which have been exhausted in vain. Such was the tenor of the document which an infatuated ministry presented to an infatuated king, as a justification of the decrees which they proposed for the overthrow of the Constitution.

The three ordinances by which the liberty of the periodical press was suspended, the Chamber of Deputies was dissolved, and the number of deputies was lessened, and their term of office regulated, were kept profoundly secret till nearly midnight of the 25th of July. No communication whatever was made to the heads of the police, nor to the commanders of the forces, that any unusual amount of vigilance or energy might be required in the possible event of a popular movement. The ministers had not the least idea that any effect would be produced by their acts beyond the suspension of obnoxious journals, and the re-election of a Chamber of Deputies under conditions more favourable to the government. At eleven o'clock on the evening of Sunday, the 25th of July, copies of the memorial of the ministers to the King, and of the three ordinances which had been signed in Council on that day, were sent to the responsible editor of the "Moniteur," to be published in his paper of the following morning.

On Monday morning, the 26th of July, whilst the population of Paris were quietly proceeding to their various duties or pleasures, Paris was shaken to its centre as by a political earthquake. Before the doors of the Bourse were opened, the holders of stock were crowding thither to sell. More important than the operations of commerce were the proceedings of the journalists. The proprietors and editors of the chief opposition papers took a wise and prudent course in the first instance. They consulted the most eminent lawyers, who gave their opinion that the ordinances were illegal, and ought not to be submitted to. One of the judges of

the Tribunal of First Instance authorized the "Journal of Commerce" to continue its publication provisionally, because the ordinances had not been promulgated in legal forms. Forty-four conductors of newspapers assembled at the office of the "National," signed a protest in which they declared their intention to resist the ordinances as regarded their own interests, and invited the deputies to meet on the 3rd of August as if no decree had gone forth for new elections. The Government, said this protest, has this day lost that character of legality which commands obedience; we resist it as far as we are concerned; it remains for France to judge how far it should carry its own resistance. On that Monday there was no appearance of popular insurrection. There was occasionally a cry in the streets of "Long live the Charter!—Down with the ministers!"

The next day a more ominous cry went forth—"Up with Liberty—Down with the Bourbons." The provisions of the decrees respecting the Press were to be carried through by naked force. Four of the most popular journals had been printed without the licence which was required by the ordinance. Sentinels were placed around the offices to prevent their sale; but copies of the journals, which, not only contained the ordinances, but the protest of the journalists, were thrown out of the windows, and were quickly circulated throughout Paris. The old scenes of the Revolution of 1789 were rapidly developed. In the Palais Royal, and other public places, men mounted upon chairs read the ordinances and the bitter comments upon them to assembled crowds. The steps taken by the police to prevent the farther issue of these papers were calculated to stimulate the excitement of the people into absolute fury. The doors of the offices where they were printed were broken open, and the presses rendered un-serviceable. The printers thrown out of their employ joined the crowds in the streets; and they are not a class to be injured without lifting up their voices against the wrong. In the course of that Tuesday the resistance to the acts of the government began to be transferred to men who might have been able to guide its course more safely than the declamation of the journalists or the passions of the populace. The Deputies were beginning to arrive in Paris. M. Guizot describes how, on reaching the city on the morning of the 27th, he found a note from M. Casimir Périer, inviting him to a meeting of some of their colleagues. "A few hours before," he says, "and within a short distance of Paris, the decrees were unknown to me; and, by the side of legal opposition, I saw on my arrival revolutionary and unchained insurrec-

tion."* He went to the meeting at the house of M. Casimir Périer and was selected, in conjunction with MM. Villemain and Dupin, to draw up in the name of the deputies present a protest against the decrees. This protest was adopted on the 28th. It was signed by sixty-three deputies. Its tone was moderate, and did not close the door against conciliation. It left to the king and his advisers a *locus penitentiae*.

The solution of the great question was very soon to be taken out of the hands of deputies who entertained a diversity of opinion; some wishing to carry resistance to the utmost limit of legal order and not beyond, some desiring a change of dynasty, and a few sighing for a republic. The people in the streets were not distracted by contending opinions; they were not inclined to look forward to "the fashion of uncertain evils." They saw that the government had forfeited its claim to their obedience, and they little cared what form of government might succeed to the one that had betrayed its trust. There were ten thousand soldiers in Paris under the command of Marmont. The immediate business which presented itself to the minds of the people was to fight, if necessary. Guizot relates that, whilst he and a few other deputies were consulting on the evening of the 28th of July, in a drawing-room of the ground-floor of a private residence, whose windows were open, a crowd of labouring people, youths, children, and combatants of every kind, filled the court-yard, and addressing the deputies, said, they were ready to defend them, if soldiers and police, as was stated, were coming to arrest them. At the same time they demanded an instant adhesion to their revolutionary proceedings. M. Guizot says, that the revolutionists at any price, the dreamers of an imaginary future, had rapidly thrown themselves into the movement, and became hourly more influential and exacting. "Some firm well-regulated minds ventured to resist and show themselves resolved not to become revolutionists even while promoting a revolution." This was a subtle distinction, which certainly did not enter into the views of the great body of the bourgeoisie, who entered almost with one accord into the contest with unconstitutional power, although they had everything to lose by the spread of anarchy. The manufacturers had closed their workshops, and sent their men into the streets to contend for their common liberties. The members of the National Guard, which had been disbanded in 1827, had again put on their uniforms and taken their arms, which the greater part of them had retained. The crowd, which on the evening of the 28th surrounded the drawing-room

* Guizot, "My Own Time," vol. ii. p. 3.

with open windows had been fighting themselves throughout the day, or knew that there had been fighting in almost every quarter of Paris. From daybreak, multitudes had begun to assemble, armed with sticks and pikes, old guns and sabres. They unpaved the streets; they threw up barricades of timber and of carts filled with the paving-stones; they seized the Hôtel de Ville; they hoisted the tri-coloured flag on its roof, and on the towers of Nôtre-Dame. The bells of the municipal palace and of the metropolitan church again called the citizens to arms as in the days of the first Revolution. Terror was in every family now as then; but there were no frightful excesses, no sanguinary scenes of popular vengeance, to make even the name of Liberty hateful. The people stood prepared for the struggle with the regular troops that was coming upon them—for Paris, on that morning of the 28th, had been declared by the government to be in a state of siege. Marmont had not begun to act after receiving the ordinance, which thus declared that the military power was the sole arbiter, before the insurgents were in possession of the chief part of the capital. He finally formed his troops in four columns, which were directed upon different points. It was not long before the sanguinary conflict began. It would be beyond the object of this history, even if it were in the power of the writer, to furnish a clear detail in a small compass of the struggles of this memorable day. Those who witnessed some of the many occurrences which were proceeding simultaneously in distant parts of Paris felt this difficulty in the subsequent discharge of their official duty. "The events," said M. Martignac, in the defence of Polignac, "so press upon, jostle, and confound each other, that the imagination can scarcely follow them, or the understanding range them in order." The first serious fighting appears to have taken place in the narrow street of St. Antoine, which was closed by barricades. From the houses approaching this street, paving-stones, broken bottles, and even articles of furniture, were showered upon the heads of the unfortunate soldiery. The column which was ordered to force this street returned to the Tuileries where Marmont had his head-quarters. Another column had to sustain an obstinate fight about the Hôtel de Ville. The general who commanded the troops obtained possession of the place, but he was compelled to confine his resistance to the populace to defensive operations. Another column lost many men at the Marché des Innocens. The fourth column sustained less loss. Night came on. The firing was still continued; the tocsin was rung from every church; the lamps were extinguished in the streets. Neither mail nor diligence left Paris. The communication with

the provinces by telegraph was cut off. During the afternoon five deputies headed by M. Lafitte had waited upon Marshal Marmont at the Tuileries to ask for a suspension of hostilities, that in the interval they might send a deputation to the King. The marshal said he could only despatch a messenger to the King to inform him of the proceedings of the assembled deputies and of the state of affairs in Paris. His aide-de-camp received at St. Cloud a verbal answer directing Marmont to hold out, to collect his forces, and to act in masses. In conformity with these orders, the column which had held the Hôtel de Ville returned at midnight to the Tuileries, having left in the streets several hundred men killed or wounded. The King in his suburban palace had no conception of the magnitude of the danger; but was passing his evening at cards, whilst the court routine went forward as if the distant boom of the cannon was a sound which should inspire no fear and awaken little sympathy.

On the 28th the working classes had almost exclusively borne the brunt of the battle. On the morning of the 29th, hostilities had again commenced by seven o'clock. National Guards, young students, and even deputies, were now at the barricades. The stately Faubourg St. Germain was now as ready for battle as the dingy Faubourg St. Antoine. The posts of the Luxembourg were disarmed. At a very early hour several royalists of high rank went to the Tuileries and had an interview with Marmont and Polignac. They urged the minister to recall the ordinances. He was calm and polite, but would promise nothing. He would consult his colleagues. They then suggested to Marmont that he should arrest the ministers. He seemed somewhat inclined to take their advice, when Peyronnet, one of the most obnoxious of the cabinet, came in, and exclaimed, "What! are you not gone yet?" They had stated their intention to go to St. Cloud. They set out, but Polignac got there before them. According to M. Guizot, the duke de Mortémart, Messrs. de Sémonville, d'Argout, de Vitrolles, and de Sussy, were "the enlightened royalists who attempted to give legal satisfaction to the country, and to bring about an arrangement between the inert royalty at St. Cloud and the boiling revolution at Paris. But when they demanded an audience of the king they were met by the unseasonable hour, by etiquette, the countersign, and repose." From Charles X., whose inconsistency in this trying hour of his destiny was as remarkable as in all his previous actions, they at last extorted a promise for the dismissal of the Polignac ministry, the appointment of the duke de Mortémart as President of the Council, and for other appointments which would be a guar-

antee for constitutional government. Still the king lingered and delayed the proper signatures till late in the day to the necessary ordinances. The duke de Mortémart, who set out on his return to Paris without a proper passport, met with a succession of interruptions from the royal guards. He had equal difficulty with the people in passing the barricades. The battle was raging all round Marmont at the Tuileries. The detachment at the Palais Bourbon was attacked, and the commander retired with his troops into the garden, and promised to be neutral. The Louvre was surrounded by masses of the populace, of whom a great number fell by the fire of the Swiss from the windows. At the Place Vendôme two regiments of the line were stationed, and a remnant of the gendarmerie. They were surrounded by the people, who, manifesting no inclination to regard the soldiers as enemies, the whole body of the troops with their officers went over to the side of the insurgents. On a second attack the Swiss were driven from the Louvre. The defection of the army, which was beginning to spread, proclaimed to Marmont that it was impossible to continue this contest. The insurrection had become a revolution. He hastily quitted the Tuileries with his troops to repair to St. Cloud. The populace as quickly broke into the palace. The tri-colour was hoisted on the staff where the white flag of the Bourbons had floated for fifteen years. The deputies who had met in the morning had determined to establish a provisional government. Lafayette, who had received from them the command of the forces in Paris, had, in the uniform of a National Guard, gone to take possession of the Hôtel de Ville. Upon the news of the defection of the two regiments, and the capture of the Louvre and the Tuileries, a municipal commission that had been formed by ballot, with authority to take all measures that the public safety might require, installed themselves at the Hôtel de Ville, surrounded by dead bodies heaped up on the Place. In a few hours the National Guard was organized; the administration of finance was provided for; the Post-office was again set in action; the mails and the diligences left Paris bearing the tri-colour flag. Three of the Royalists who had been at St. Cloud arrived at ten o'clock at night with the ordinances already mentioned, and with a further ordinance, repealing those of the 25th July, and appointing the Chamber of Deputies to meet on the 3rd of August. The three Royalists from St. Cloud came to negotiate for the preservation of the Crown to Charles X. They were interrupted by cries of "It is too late!" The sovereignty of France had vanished from the grasp of the elder branch of the Bourbons.

On the 30th of July the deputies who had held their previous

meetings at private houses, met more formally in the Hall of the Chamber of Deputies, inviting their absent colleagues to join them there. They came to a resolution of soliciting the duke of Orleans, who was at his country seat at Neuilly, to repair to the capital to assume the functions of Lieutenant-General of the kingdom. Forty deputies signed this resolution. Three only declined being parties to it, considering this as a decisive step towards a change of dynasty.* On the 31st the deputies so assembled published a proclamation which thus commenced: "France is free! Absolute power elevated its standard; the heroic population of Paris has beaten it down. Paris, under attack, has made the sacred cause triumph by arms which had succeeded already through the constitutional elections." The proclamation then announced that the deputies, in anticipation of the regular concurrence of the Chambers, had invited a true Frenchman, one who had never fought but for France,—the duke of Orleans,—to exercise the functions of Lieutenant-General of the Kingdom. "We shall secure to ourselves by law all the guarantees we require to render liberty strong and permanent." On the 1st of August the duke of Orleans was at the Palais Royal, had accepted the office, and proceeded on horseback to the Hôtel de Ville, as a mark of courtesy to the National Guard, and to their commander Lafayette. M. Guizot relates that the deputies accompanied the duke on foot across the barricades. Women and children surrounded them, dancing and singing the Marseillaise. Cries and questions of every kind burst incessantly from the crowd. Who was that gentleman on horseback? was he a Prince? A hope was expressed that he was not a Bourbon. "I was much more deeply impressed," says Guizot, "by our situation in the midst of that crowd, and their attitude, than even by the scene which followed a few moments after at the Hôtel de Ville. What future perils already reveal themselves for that new-born monarchy!" Lafayette, surrounded by his staff, advanced to the steps to meet the duke, who cordially embraced him. In the Great Hall the proclamation of the deputies was read, and received with cheers. The Lieutenant-General of the kingdom advanced to the window, holding Lafayette by the hand and waving the tri-colour flag. He then appointed provisional ministers, of whom M. Guizot was Minister of the Interior. Meanwhile it was known at St. Cloud that the king's authority was at an end. The crowd of courtiers quickly dropped off from him. In his restlessness he went to Trianon and then to Rambouillet. He was still surrounded by a large body of soldiery. On the 2nd of August he

* Guizot, vol. ii. p. 9.

addressed a letter to the duke of Orleans, inclosing a formal act of abdication in favour of his grandson the duke of Bordeaux. Remaining at Rambouillet with numerous soldiers around him, the provisional government began to be uneasy as to the possibility of another conflict. Three commissioners were sent to confer with Charles and to urge him to depart. Their recommendations were backed by the presence of six thousand of the National Guard, who marched to Rambouillet, accompanied by vast numbers of Parisians on foot and in vehicles of every description. The king consented to leave, and to proceed to Cherbourg, escorted by the Garde-du-Corps. Throughout his journey the unfortunate king and his family received no indignities from the people, but they saw on every steeple the tri-coloured flag, and the tri-coloured cockade in many a hat. They embarked for England on the 16th, and were carried to the coast of Devonshire, the king having decided that England should be his place of refuge. For a short time he resided at Lulworth Castle. He subsequently occupied Holyrood House. Some ultra-liberals in Edinburgh having shown an inclination to treat the fallen monarch with disrespect upon his arrival, sir Walter Scott published a manly and touching appeal to the more honourable feelings of his fellow-citizens. "If there can be any who retain angry or invidious recollections of late events in France, they ought to remark that the ex-monarch has, by his abdication, renounced the conflict into which, perhaps, he was engaged by bad advisers; that he can no longer be the object of resentment to the brave, but remains to all the most striking emblem of the mutability of human affairs which our mutable times have afforded."*

On the 3rd of August the duke of Orleans opened the legislative session in the Chamber of Deputies. In that Chamber during the next four days there was a partial opposition from the adherents of the fallen dynasty against the manifest tendency to a solution of the difficult question of a future government by the appointment of the duke of Orleans as king. The Charter of Louis XVIII. received some alterations, and then it was declared by a large majority, that, subject to the acceptance of the modified Charter, the universal and urgent interests of the French nation called to the throne the duke of Orleans. On the 9th of August the duke of Orleans in the Chamber of Deputies declared his acceptance of the Crown, with the title King of the French, and took this oath: "In the presence of God, I swear to observe faithfully the constitutional charter, with the modifications expressed in the declara-

* Lockhart's "Life of Scott," vol. vii. p. 226.

tion; to govern only by the laws and according to the laws; to cause good and true justice to be rendered to each according to his right; and to act in all things only with a view to the interest, the happiness, and the glory of the French people."

"While two American packets, escorted by two French men-of-war, rapidly conveyed the old king and his family from France, all France hastened to Paris."* An English historian may add that no inconsiderable portion of the population of this kingdom were, as he himself witnessed, looking with intense interest upon the localities of the great events of the three days. Some were fraternizing with National Guards in the *cafés*; others were mingling in a crowd of all nations at the evening *réceptions* of general Lafayette; a privileged few were banqueting at some shady *guinguette* with a great company of French, English, Belgian, and Polish liberals, whose fervid eloquence seemed the prelude to a very unsettled future of European Society. There was, however, so much to admire in the conduct of the French people, that although the traces of carnage were everywhere around—although men of education joined their voices in the common cry of "death to the ministers," as an atonement for the blood of the slain whose graves were daily strewn with *immortelles*,—the old idea of revolution had lost something of its terrors. There had been more bold speaking at our elections for the new Parliament than was considered in some quarters safe or decorous. Yet the sympathy of the British population with the revolution of France was not to be mistaken for an approbation of levelling and destructive doctrines, such as had led astray many enthusiasts amongst us in 1789. It was "a contrast to the first revolution;" it "vindicated the cause of knowledge and liberty, showing how humanizing to all classes of society are the spread of thought and information, and improved political institutions." † The sympathy was too manifest to be set at nought by the government of this country, even if it had been as much disposed to uphold "a royal rebellion against society," as it was the fashion unjustly to ascribe to the great warrior who was the head of the Cabinet. He, it has been stated, was for a short time perplexed and undecided. "When nothing was known beyond the ordinances of July, some one asked the duke of Wellington, 'What are we to think of this?' 'It is a new dynasty,' answered the duke. 'And what course shall you take?' inquired his friend. 'First, a long silence, and then we will concert with our allies what we shall say.'" ‡ A wiser and nobler policy than

* Guizot, vol. ii. p. 56. † Dr. Arnold, August, 1830. "Life," vol. i. p. 264.

‡ Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir R. Peel," p. 46.

“a long silence” and “concert with our allies,” was speedily adopted. When the new parliament was opened on the 2nd of November, “the ready manner in which ministers recognized the new government of France” was cordially approved by earl Grey.

The Revolution of France necessarily produced a great effect upon the popular feeling throughout Europe, and especially in the kingdom of the Netherlands. Since the settlement of Europe in 1815, when the Congress of Vienna decided that Belgium and Liège, together with the Seven United Provinces, should be formed into one kingdom, there had been constant dissensions between the Belgian and Dutch subjects of William Frederick I. The union with Holland had imparted an extraordinary impulse to the commerce and manufactures of Belgium, but this material prosperity could not blend the two peoples into one nation. The differences of religion and of language, and the inequality upon several financial matters of the northern and southern kingdoms, kept up the acrimony which was exhibited, not only in the public journals, but in the debates of the States-General. In April, 1830, Messrs. Potter, Tielmans, Bartells, and others, were tried at Brussels on a charge of conspiracy against the government of the Netherlands. The three named were found guilty, and sentenced to be banished. Potter was in Paris during the Revolution of July, the events of which period were not calculated to moderate his revolutionary zeal. He was an active agent in promoting the rising of the populace in Brussels on the 25th of August. In a second insurrection, of September, the Dutch troops were compelled to retreat before the armed insurgents. The contest went on in various sanguinary conflicts, until the five great Powers imposed a cessation of arms on both nations, and recognized the independence of Belgium by a protocol of the 4th of November.

The autumn of 1830 witnessed in England the most remarkable contrast between the triumphs of intellect and the disgraces of ignorance. On the 15th of September the first Railway for the conveyance of passengers was opened, the carriages being drawn by a locomotive engine, at the speed of a racehorse. Immediately after the harvest the Southern Agricultural Counties were given over to more havoc and alarm than had ever attended the operations of the frame-breaking general Ludd. There was a war of the labourer against the farmer, in shape of incendiary fires of barns and corn-stacks, and the destruction of threshing-machines and other implements of industry beyond the commonest tool. Before we proceed to trace the course of the universal political excitement of the next two years, let us rapidly view the rise of the

new power of communication which was destined to produce results beyond all possible conception in the progress of civilized communities. The simultaneous manifestation of the belief of large bodies of labourers, that their condition would be bettered by driving back society to the commonest inventive arts of savage tribes, and to the barbaric ignorance which, in destroying capital, would make all poorer, may be more fitly treated of in a subsequent view of the condition of labourers in husbandry, when incendiary fires and the destruction of machinery were traced to causes of no temporary nature.

On the 2nd of March, 1825, there was a debate in the House of Commons on the motion for the second reading of the Bill for the Liverpool and Manchester Railway. The subscribers to this undertaking were the bankers, merchants, traders, and manufacturers of Liverpool and Manchester. They had not engaged in this project with a view to individual profit as shareholders, for it had been agreed that no person should hold more than ten shares, and they would be satisfied if they received ten per cent., or even five per cent. upon their investment. Their great object was the increase of commerce. It was alleged that no such encouragement was necessary; for there were two or three canals, which were sufficient for every purpose of commerce in the districts through which the railway was to pass. The answer was, that under the existing system cotton had been detained at Liverpool for a fortnight, whilst the manufacturers of Manchester were obliged to suspend their labours, and goods manufactured at Manchester could not be transmitted to Liverpool in time for shipment, on account of the tardy canal conveyance. Then came the rejoinder. The experiment of conveying goods on a railway had been tried, and had completely failed. The best locomotive engine that could be found had been selected; and the average rate on a plane surface was not three miles and three-quarters per hour which was slower than canal conveyance.* Before a Committee upon the Bill, Telford and others expressed an opinion that with the improvement of the locomotive the speed upon a railway might be fifteen miles, and even twenty miles an hour. These opinions were called "the gross exaggerations of the powers of the locomotive steam-engine;" and it was contended that even if such a speed could be attained, the dangers of bursting boilers and broken wheels would be so great, that we should as soon expect that "people would suffer themselves to be fired off upon one of Congreve's ricochet rockets, as trust themselves to the mercy of such a ma-

* Hansard, 2nd series, vol. xii. cols. 845 to 854.

chine going at such a rate." In the same article from which we quote, the general question of railways is thus summarily disposed of; "As to those persons who speculate on making railways general throughout the kingdom, and superseding all the canals, all the waggons, mail and stage-coaches, post-chaises, and, in short, every other mode of conveyance by land and by water, we deem them and their visionary schemes unworthy of notice." *

The Bill for the Liverpool and Manchester Railway passed, in spite of the most strenuous opposition from canal companies, and from proprietors of land through or near which the line was intended to run. For four years the works went on. Difficulties were overcome which then appeared insuperable, but which would be deemed trifles in the great engineering operations of a later period of railway speculation. Nevertheless, satisfactory as was this progress, no one believed that a passenger traffic would arise that would dispense in any considerable degree with mails and stage coaches. Railways were not a new invention; nor were locomotive engines. Tramroads were used in collieries; and the construction of a steam-engine that would move forward with a weight behind it had been attempted by various projectors. But the tramways were laid on yielding beds, and out of level; and the engines would either not go at all or very soon come to a stand. The alliance of the railway and the locomotive was still far distant. In 1813 there was a superintendent engineer of a colliery at Killingworth, who had gradually risen from the humble position of an engine-fireman to be worthy of an employ which placed him above the condition of a labourer. This self-taught man was George Stephenson. His mind was ever active. He had constructed an incline and an apparatus by which waggons descending from the coal-pit to the loading-place were made to draw up the empty waggons. At the time when Davy had invented his safety-lamp, Stephenson had constructed a similar lamp, without any knowledge of the contemporary invention, and this lamp is still in use in the pit at Killingworth. In 1814 he had constructed a locomotive engine for the colliery in which he was engaged. It was a success, drawing eight loaded waggons along the tramway at the rate of four miles an hour. He then declared that there was no limit to the speed of such an engine, if the works could be made to stand it. During the next ten years his skill and perseverance raised him into employment as the engineer of railways connected with colliery properties. A more important undertaking was the construction of the Stockton and Darlington Railway, on which an engine was employed which drew a load of ninety

* "Quarterly Review," vol. xxxi. p. 361.

tons, at the rate of eight miles an hour. In 1824 he surveyed the line for the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, and superintended that work till the line was opened in 1830. Great were the objections of engineers to locomotives being employed on this line; but, with the true confidence of genius, George Stephenson persevered in working out, with the aid of his son Robert, the plans of an engine which would produce results undreamt of by the most sanguine believers in the wonders to be effected by the appliances of science to the industrial arts. He persuaded the directors of the railway to offer a prize for the best locomotive. The Rocket engine constructed by him won the prize. The old modes of transit were from the hour of that experiment changed throughout the whole civilized world. Burke has described in glowing imagery the beneficent angel of a noble house unfolding to lord Bathurst, in the reign of Anne, the commercial grandeur of his country; but pointing to America, a little speck, a seminal principle, then scarcely visible in the national interests, which, seventy years afterwards, should give to England a commerce equal to the whole of that which the young man saw at the beginning of the century. We may imagine the angel of the humble house of Stephenson showing to the father and the son, intensely meditating over their models and their plans, what would be the effect of their projects upon themselves and upon the world. To the father he might have said—you shall not only construct mighty works yourself, but be the precursor of a great race of engineers who will cover England, Scotland, and Ireland with a web of railways, bringing districts once inaccessible to commercial interchange into easy communication, equalizing prices throughout the land, cutting tunnels through the adamant rock, carrying bridges over great rivers. You were the first that should realize the dream of the poet's "Car of Miracle,"

"Steady and swift the self-moved chariot went."*

Before ye both shall "taste of death,"—the one in the fulness of years, the other too soon called away from his appointed task—the whistle of the Locomotive shall have been heard upon the continent of Europe, from the Garonne to the Danube. France, Belgium, Germany, Spain, Italy, shall have welcomed the sound; Russia shall not have forbidden its approach. It shall have penetrated the densest forests of North America; its jubilant voice shall tell that a railway has connected the coasts of the Atlantic and the Pacific. Wherever England has colonized there shall have come this sound, the herald of the never-resting spread of her arts, her

* Southey, "Curse of Kehama," xxiii.

laws, and her language. To the son the angel might have foretold, —it shall be yours to connect Birmingham with London, as your father connected Liverpool with Manchester; you shall carry the ponderous train over the broad Tyne at Newcastle, and, more daring, over the Menai Strait, by a tubular bridge that shall be a wonder of the world; you shall complete the railway communication between West Canada and the United States without interruption by the waters of the St. Lawrence; you shall unite Alexandria with Cairo, to perfect the overland route to India, by works as grand and far more useful than the Pyramids, and “forty centuries shall look down upon you,” the true conqueror. The aggregate results in their own country that followed that auspicious, and yet so melancholy, opening of the Liverpool and Manchester Railway, might have been shown to them in a vision too vast to be comprehended by us, except by the aid of common computation. Four hundred millions sterling expended upon ten thousand five hundred miles of railway; the receipts of these lines in one year, that of 1860, nearly twenty-eight millions; the passengers in that year, one hundred and sixty three millions, exclusive of periodical ticket-holders; in that year the merchandise carried, nearly thirty million tons; the coal and other minerals above fifty million tons; the live-stock, consisting of cattle, sheep, and pigs, about thirteen millions.* Extend the view beyond our own land, and even figures convey an inadequate idea of the effect produced upon civilization by the untutored genius of the engine fireman of Killingworth, and the more cultivated mind of his equally illustrious son.

Glimpses of the coming era of railways might have filled the mind of the great economist who led the advancing steps of Commercial Freedom, and who, of all statesmen, was best fitted to deal with the difficulties that would surround the rush of speculation to this novel species of enterprise. At the public meeting of the 18th of June, 1824, for erecting a monument to James Watt, Mr. Huskisson said, that the man to whose memory they owed a tribute of national gratitude had, by his discovery, “subdued and regulated the most terrific power in the universe,—that power which, by the joint operation of pressure and heat, probably produces those tremendous convulsions of the earth, which in a moment subvert whole cities, and almost change the face of the inhabited globe. This apparently ungovernable power Mr. Watt reduced to a state of such perfect organization and discipline—if I may use the expression—

* For details of these results in England, Scotland, and Ireland, see “Companion to the Almanac” for 1862.

that it may now be safely manœuvred and brought into irresistible action—irresistible, but still regulated, measured, and ascertained—or lulled into the most complete and secure repose, at the will of man, and under the guidance of his feeble hand. Thus one man directs it into the bowels of the earth, to tear asunder its very elements, and bring to light its hidden treasures; another places it upon the surface of the waters, to control the winds of heaven, to stem the tides, to check the currents, and defy the waves of the ocean; a third, perhaps, and a fourth, are destined to apply this mighty power to other purposes, still unthought of and unsuspected, but leading to consequences, possibly, not less important than those which it has already produced.* The “other purposes still unthought of and unsuspected” might be dimly contemplated in the triumphs of the locomotive engine.

Mr. Huskisson had been returned as the representative of Liverpool to the new Parliament. Being in ill-health he was unable to appear on the hustings when his constituents re-elected him; but he was sufficiently recovered to attend the intended magnificent ceremonial of opening the railway. On the morning of the 15th of September he took his seat in the first of the eight carriages that were to proceed to Manchester. At the other end of that carriage was the duke of Wellington. There were eight locomotive engines with their attached carriages forming this procession. On quitting the tunnel at Liverpool the Northumbrian engine, which drew the three carriages containing the directors and their most distinguished visitors, took the south line of the railway, the seven other engines with their carriages proceeding along the north line. The speed of the Northumbrian was accelerated or retarded, as the visitors might desire, to look at particular portions of the works. At Parkside there was a stoppage. Many of the gentlemen in the Northumbrian carriages got out. Mr. Huskisson was induced by a friend to go forward to speak to the duke of Wellington, from whom he had been estranged by his vote on the transfer of the seat of East Retford. As Mr. Huskisson approached, the duke held out his hand. It was a moment of agitation for the sensitive commoner. Suddenly a cry was heard—“Get in—get in.” The Rocket was coming. There was a space of four feet between the two lines; and only eighteen inches between the carriages as they overhung the lines. Many ran round to the end of the stationary carriage. Mr. Huskisson lost his presence of mind; fell upon the north rail as he attempted to escape; and his right leg being crushed by the Rocket, he felt at once that

* “Huskisson’s Speeches,” vol. iii. p. 672.

the injury was fatal. He was carried to the vicarage of Eccles. The surgeons, who were quickly in attendance, declared that he would sink under amputation. From the moment he was struck he received the solace of the presence of his wife. He died that night after nine hours of agony; and was buried in the cemetery at Liverpool, followed to the grave by thousands of his sorrowing constituents.

The formal commencement of the first session of the ninth parliament of the United Kingdom had taken place on the 26th of October; the members of the two Houses had taken the customary oaths; Mr. Manners Sutton had been re-elected Speaker of the Commons;—when, on the 2nd of November, King William the Fourth opened the parliament in person. Never had public expectation been so roused to discuss the probable tone of the King's Speech; never was one of the great parties more exultant, or the other more indignant,—not so much at that portion of the speech which had reference to the revolutions of France and the Netherlands, or the outrages of the Southern counties, or the efforts to produce a clamour in Ireland for a repeal of the union, but at the concluding paragraph, in which it was indirectly but unmistakably intimated that a reform in parliament, for whose necessity public opinion had been so loudly expressed in the recent elections, would have no sanction from the government. The obscurity of the oracle was soon dissipated by the interpretation of the chief priest. In the House of Lords, when earl Grey had said that the only mode to avert from this country the dangers which were apprehended from the political convulsions of Europe was to secure the affections of the people; to redress their grievances; “and, my lords, I will pronounce the word, by reform in parliament,” the duke of Wellington thus replied: “He was fully convinced that the country possessed at the present moment a legislature which answered all the good purposes of legislation, and this to a greater degree than any legislature ever had answered in any country whatever. He would go further, and say, that the legislature and the system of representation possessed the full and entire confidence of the country. . . . He was not prepared to bring forward any measure of the description alluded to by the noble lord. He was not only not prepared to bring forward any measure of this nature, but he would at once declare that as far as he was concerned, as long as he held any station in the government of the country, he should always feel it his duty to resist such measures when proposed by others.” * In the House of Commons not a

* Hansard, 3rd series, vol. i. col. 52.

moment was lost by the real leader of the House,—whose importance as a leader of the people had been unequivocally pronounced by his election as one of the representatives of Yorkshire—in declaring his determination to bring the great question of reform to an immediate practical issue. The Commons have a constitutional right to do whatever business they please before the king's speech is taken into consideration. On the motion that the usual sessional orders be agreed to, Mr. Brougham said "he could not allow the opportunity which that question presented to pass over without giving notice of his intention on that day fortnight to bring the great question of a reform of the Commons' House of Parliament fully under consideration. His object was not revolution but restoration." On that day fortnight the government of the duke of Wellington and Sir Robert Peel was at an end. "Never," says Sydney Smith, "was any administration so completely and so suddenly destroyed; and, I believe, entirely by the duke's declaration made, I suspect, in perfect ignorance of the state of public feeling and opinion." * During that fortnight men's minds in the metropolis and throughout the country were in a most unusual and, in some respects, alarming state of ferment. In parliament it was sufficiently evident, not only from the altered character of the Lower House in the distribution of seats, but from the unmeasured language against ministers of some of their former supporters in both Houses, that upon the first important question the government would be in a minority. Sir Robert Peel is reported to have said, immediately after his retirement from office, "We have alienated the Tories without conciliating the Whigs."

It is usual some time after the commencement of a new reign that the sovereign should go in state to the city and dine in Guild-hall. The King had promised to dine with the Lord Mayor on Tuesday, the 9th of November. The Lord Mayor elect, Mr. John Key, on the previous Saturday, wrote to the duke of Wellington that while the feelings of all the respectable citizens were decidedly loyal, and they were desirous to testify their loyalty on the approaching occasion, he had learned that there were some desperate characters who would take the opportunity of making an attack on his grace's person on his approach to the hall. He therefore suggested the propriety of the duke coming strongly and sufficiently guarded. The king was advised upon this warning to decline fulfilling the promise which he had made to the Lord Mayor. On the 8th of November lord Althorp asked sir Robert Peel for an explanation "of one of the most extraordinary and

* "Memoirs," vol. ii. p. 313.

alarming events that he had ever known in the course of his public experience. . . . It was not in London alone that the most serious effects would result from this affair. The alarm throughout the kingdom would be excessive." Sir Robert Peel explained that it was not fitting that the duke of Wellington, after all the services he had rendered to his country, should be seen going to Guildhall with a large military guard. In the course of the Saturday and Sunday the most industrious attempts had been made to inflame the public mind against the new police. On the night of the 2nd, after the King had been to parliament, there had been sixty-six cases of assault committed on the police constables. He sincerely believed that if his Majesty had not been advised to forego the satisfaction of visiting the city of London, there would have been such a disturbance of the public peace as would have rendered it necessary to resort to military authority for its preservation. The explanation seemed in some degree satisfactory to the House, but it was contended by many of the journals that the too ready credence of the government to alderman Key's alarm was to disseminate alarm throughout the country. It was more rationally contended in parliament that the unpopularity of the duke of Wellington, produced chiefly by his declaration against reform, ought not to have cast a doubt upon the universal popularity of the King. Sir William Knighton records that the duke of Wellington told him that he advised the King and Queen not to go, because the probability was that bloodshed would have happened in their presence. "In regard to myself," he said "I have no desire to be massacred; which would have happened. I would have gone, if the law had been equal to protect me; but that was not the case. Fifty dragoons on horseback would have done it; but that was a military force. If firing had begun, who could tell where it was to end? I know what street firing is; one guilty person would fall, and ten innocent be destroyed. Would this have been wise or humane, for a little bravado, or that the country might not be alarmed for a day or two? It is all over now, and in another week or two will be forgotten."*

For another week the business of Parliament went on with tolerable smoothness. On the 15th of November, on the order of the day for the House to go into a Committee upon the Civil List, sir Henry Parnell moved, as an amendment, that a Select Committee be appointed to examine the accounts presented to the House by order of his Majesty connected with the Civil List, and to report thereon. The debate was very short, being principally

* "Memoirs of Sir William Knighton," vol. ii. p. 182.

confined to the mover of the amendment and to the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Goulburn, who met the motion of sir Henry Parnell by a decided negative. The division was very quickly taken, showing, in a house of four hundred and thirty-seven members, the Ministers to be in a minority of twenty-nine. The next day lord Sidmouth thus expressed himself in a private letter: "Last night's division was a surprise to the Ministers and their opponents. The general expectation appears to be that the duke will resign to-day. In that case the regrets of the good and the fears of the wise will be almost universal." * Lord Sidmouth appears to have had a confused recollection of Johnson's line.

"Fears of the brave, and follies of the wise."

There were fears, and there were follies, which drove the duke of Wellington and sir Robert Peel from power. Sir Robert Peel is reported to have said immediately after his retiring from office, "The downfall of the Cabinet was inevitable. The duke, by his declaration against all Reform, hastened the catastrophe. The head of the government ought never to allow his secrets to be discovered." † The follies of the wise were associated with the fears of the brave. "I was defeated," the duke subsequently said, "on the Civil List. . . . I admit I resigned next morning because I did not wish to expose his Majesty and the country to the consequences that might result from the government going out on the success of the question of Parliamentary Reform." Sir Robert Peel corroborated this: "Though we retired on the Civil List question, yet it is impossible to deny that the anticipation of the probable manifestation of opinion on the question of Reform in this house entered into the consideration of the government."

The resignations of the Ministers were formally announced in both Houses on the 16th of November, it having been previously known that earl Grey had been sent for by the King. On that evening lord Althorp said he hoped that, as there was no longer any administration in existence, Mr. Brougham would not submit to the House that evening a question of so much importance as that of which he had given notice. Mr. Brougham said, if the motion were put off it would be contrary to his opinion and to his wishes, but that if he gave up his opinion he would do so in deference to the wishes of the House. "And further, as no change that may take place in the administration can by any possibility affect me, I beg it be understood that, in putting off the motion, I will put it off

* "Life of Lord Sidmouth," vol. iii. p. 428.

† Guizot, "Memoirs of Peel," p. 51.

until the 25th of this month, and no longer. I will then, and at no more distant period, bring forward the question of Parliamentary Reform, whatever may be the condition of circumstances, and whosoever may be his Majesty's Ministers." * On the 22nd of November the Commoner who had so decidedly proclaimed his isolation from the expectant Ministry—further declaring on the 17th, "I have nothing to do with them except in the respect I bear them,"—took his seat as Chancellor in the House of Lords. The patent by which he was created a Peer was not then in the hands of the Clerk of Parliament. As Mr. Brougham he could be Speaker of the House of Lords, but he had only power to put the question. The negotiations which had been carried on for Mr. Brougham's acceptance of a minor office than that of Lord Chancellor are for the most part matter of conjecture, with the exception of the fact that he refused the office of Attorney-General. We personally know, as we knew thirty years ago, that Mr. Brougham's reluctance to leave the House of Commons was almost insuperable; that the high dignity of Lord Chancellor was not the object of his ambition; that there was no coquetting on his part, having the Great Seal in prospect, when he made in the House of Commons the declarations that we have recited. Lord Althorp himself said—and it is now, through the lapse of time no violation of confidence to repeat his words—"I almost forced the Great Seal upon Mr. Brougham. I told him that if he did not consent to join the administration the possibility of forming a government of his political friends would be broken up. Slowly, most unwillingly, was his consent wrung from him. 'What,' he said, 'leave the House of Commons, if I yield, do not be surprised if my repentance should urge me to some rash act of which you may too soon hear.'"

The administration of earl Grey had been readily completed, when the difficulty about Mr. Brougham had been surmounted. On the 22nd of November the principal members of the government kissed hands on their appointments; and on that evening earl Grey, in the House of Lords, made his statement, as Prime Minister, of the principles which would determine the conduct of his government. Moderate Reform; Economy and Retrenchment; repression of Outrage and relief of Distress; the preservation of Peace with Foreign Powers—these were the principles which he and his friends would uphold, and for the maintenance of which they threw themselves upon the confidence and support of their sovereign, of their lordships, and of the country. In the course of a fortnight all the minor offices were filled up, and were printed in

* Hansard, 3rd series, vol. i. col. 563.

the Gazette. The two Houses continued to sit for a month after the accession of the Whigs to power; but, in consequence of the necessity for re-election of various members of the Lower House, the proceedings were of little permanent interest. On the 23rd of December earl Grey, and the Chancellor of the Exchequer, moved the adjournment of the Houses to the 3rd of February.

MINISTRY, DECEMBER, 1830.

CABINET.

Marquis of Lansdowne	Lord President of the Council.
Lord Brougham and Vaux	Lord High Chancellor.
Lord Durham	Lord Privy Seal.
Earl Grey	First Lord of the Treasury.
Viscount Althorp	Chancellor of the Exchequer.
Sir James Graham, Bart	First Lord of the Admiralty.
Viscount Melbourne	Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Viscount Palmerston	Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Viscount Goderich	Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Right Hon. Charles Grant	President of the Board of Control.
Lord Holland	Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster.
Lord Auckland	President of the Board of Trade and Master of the Mint.

NOT OF THE CABINET.

Right Hon. C. W. W. Wynn	Secretary at War.
Sir James Kempt	Master-General of the Ordnance.
Lord John Russell	Paymaster-General of the Forces.
Right Hon. G. A. Ellis	First Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Duke of Richmond, K.G.	Postmaster-General.
Right Hon. Robert Grant	Judge-Advocate-General.
Lord Nugent, Robert Vernon Smith, Esq., Francis T. Baring, Esq., Hon. G. Ponsonby	Lords of the Treasury.
Right Hon. E. Ellice, Right Hon. Spring Rice	Joint Secretaries of the Treasury.
Right Hon. Poulett Thomas	Vice-President of the Board of Trade and Treasurer of the Navy.
Sir Thomas Denman	Attorney-General.
Sir William Horne	Solicitor-General.

GREAT OFFICERS OF STATE.

Marquis Wellesley	Lord Stewart.
Duke of Devonshire	Lord Chamberlain.
Earl of Albemarle	Master of the Horse.
Marquis of Winchester	Groom of the Stole.

IRELAND.

Marquis of Anglesey	Lord Lieutenant.
Lord Plunkett	Lord High Chancellor.
Hon. E. G. S. Stanley	Chief Secretary.

SCOTLAND.

Francis Jeffrey, Esq.	Lord Advocate.
Henry Cockburn, Esq.	Solicitor-General.

CONTEMPORARY SOVEREIGNS AND RULERS, 1815—1837.

GREAT BRITAIN.	FRANCE.	AUSTRIA.	PRUSSIA.	SWEDEN.	RUSSIA.	SPAIN.
1815 Regency	Louis XVIII.	Francis I.	Frederic William III.	Charles XIII.	Alexander I.	Ferdinand VII.
1818 —	—	—	—	Charles John XIV.	—	—
1820 George IV.	—	—	—	—	—	—
1824 —	Charles X.	—	—	—	Nicolas I.	—
1825 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1830 William IV.	Louis Phillippe.	—	—	—	—	—
1833 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1835 —	—	Ferdinand I.	—	—	—	Regency.
1837 Victoria,	—	—	—	—	—	—
GREAT BRITAIN.	DENMARK.	PORTUGAL.	PAPAL STATES.	NAPLES.	SARDINIA.	UNITED STATES.
1815 Regency.	Frederic VI.	John VI.	Pius VII.	J. Joach. Murat, J	Victor Emanuel.	James Madison,
1817 —	—	—	—	Ferdinand IV.	—	(President).
1820 George IV.	—	—	—	—	—	James Monroe.
1821 —	—	—	—	Ferdinand I.	Charles Felix.	James Monroe.
1823 —	—	—	Leo. XII.	—	—	Re-elected 1821.
1825 —	—	—	—	Francis	—	John Quincy Adams.
1826 —	Pedro IV.	—	—	—	—	—
1828 —	Maria da Gloria.	—	—	Ferdinand II.	—	Andrew Jackson.
1829 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1830 William IV.	—	—	Gregory XVI.	—	Charles Albert.	—
1831 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1833 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1837 Victoria,	—	—	—	—	—	—
						Andrew Jackson.
						Re-elected 1833.

POPULATION OF THE UNITED KINGDOM.

COUNTIES OF ENGLAND.	1821.	1831.	COUNTIES OF WALES.	1821.	1831.
Bedford	83,716	95,383	Anglesey	45,063	48,325
Berks	131,977	145,289	Brecon.....	43,613	47,763
Buckingham.....	134,068	146,529	Cardigan.....	57,784	64,780
Cambridge.....	121,909	143,955	Carmarthen.....	90,239	100,655
Chester.....	270,098	334,410	Carnarvon.....	57,958	65,753
Cornwall.....	257,447	302,440	Denbigh.....	76,511	83,167
Cumberland	156,124	169,681	Flint.....	53,784	60,012
Derby.....	213,333	237,170	Glamorgan.....	101,737	126,612
Devon.....	439,040	494,168	Merioneth.....	34,382	35,609
Dorset.....	144,499	159,252	Montgomery.....	59,899	66,485
Durham.....	207,673	253,827	Pembroke	74,009	81,424
Essex	289,424	317,237	Radnor.....	22,459	24,651
Gloucester.....	335,843	386,994			
Hampshire	283,298	314,313	Total.....	717,438	805,236
Hereford.....	103,243	110,976			
Hertford.....	129,714	143,341			
Huntingdon	48,771	53,149			
Kent.....	426,016	479,155	SHIRES OF SCOTLAND.	1821.	1831.
Lancaster.....	1,052,859	1,336,854	Aberdeen.....	155,387	177,651
Leicester.....	174,571	197,003	Argyll.....	97,316	101,425
Lincoln.....	283,058	317,244	Ayr.....	127,299	145,055
Middlesex.....	1,144,531	1,358,541	Banff.....	43,561	48,604
Monmouth.....	71,833	98,130	Berwick.....	33,385	34,048
Norfolk	344,368	390,054	Bute.....	13,797	14,151
Northampton.....	162,483	179,276	Caithness.....	30,238	34,529
Northumberland	198,965	222,912	Clackmannan.....	13,263	14,729
Nottingham.....	186,873	225,320	Dumbarton.....	27,317	33,211
Oxford	136,971	151,726	Dumfries.....	70,878	73,770
Rutland.....	18,487	19,385	Edinburgh.....	191,514	219,592
Salop.....	206,153	222,503	Elgin.....	31,162	34,231
Somerset.....	355,314	403,908	Fife.....	114,556	128,839
Stafford.....	341,040	410,483	Forfar.....	113,430	139,606
Suffolk.....	270,542	296,304	Haddington	35,127	36,145
Surrey.....	398,658	486,326	Inverness.....	90,157	94,797
Sussex.....	233,019	272,328	Kincardine.....	29,118	31,431
Warwick.....	274,392	336,988	Kinross.....	7,762	9,072
Westmoreland	51,359	55,041	Kirkcudbright.....	38,903	40,590
Wilts.....	222,157	239,181	Lanark.....	244,387	316,818
Worcester.....	184,424	211,356	Linlithgow.....	22,685	23,291
York, { East Riding.....	190,449	204,008	Nairn.....	9,006	9,354
York, { North Riding...	183,381	190,873	Orkney & Shetland	53,124	58,239
York, { West Riding....	799,357	976,415	Peebles.....	10,046	10,578
Total.....	11,261,437	13,089,338	Perth.....	139,050	142,894
			Renfrew.....	112,175	133,443
PROVINCES OF IRELAND.	1821.	1831.	Ross and Cromarty	68,828	74,820
Leinster.....	7,757,492	1,909,713	Roxburgh	40,892	43,663
Munster.....	1,935,612	2,227,152	Selkirk.....	6,637	6,833
Ulster.....	1,998,494	2,286,622	Stirling.....	65,376	72,621
Connaught.....	1,110,229	1,343,914	Sutherland.....	23,840	25,518
Total.....	6,801,827	7,767,401	Wigton	32,240	36,258
			Total.....	2,093,456	2,365,807

	1821.			1831.		
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.
England.....	5,483,679	5,777,758	11,261,437	6,375,394	6,713,944	13,089,338
Wales.....	350,487	366,951	717,438	394,075	411,161	805,236
Scotland.....	983,552	1,109,904	2,093,456	1,115,132	1,250,675	2,365,807
Ireland.....	3,341,926	3,459,901	6,801,827	3,794,880	3,972,511	7,767,401
Total.....	10,159,644	10,714,514	20,874,158	11,679,481	12,348,301	24,027,782

CHAPTER III.

Re-assembling of Parliament.—Reform Bill prepared by the Cabinet.—Lord John Russell introduces the Reform Bill.—Wonder and alarm at its contemplated provisions.—Fears of the Aristocracy.—The Debates on the First Reading.—The Debates on the Second Reading.—Defeat of the Ministry in Committee.—Lord Grey and Lord Brougham urge the King to Dissolve.—The Dissolution and General Election.—The Reform Bill carried.—The Bill in Committee.—It is passed.—The Bill in the House of Lords.—The Bill rejected upon the Second Reading.—Resolutions of the House of Commons.—Parliament prorogued.

WHEN the Legislature re-assembled on the 3rd of February, numerous petitions were presented to the House of Commons praying for Reform of Parliament. Lord Althorp then informed the House that on Tuesday the 1st of March the government would be prepared to submit their plan for the improvement of the representative system; and that the task of explaining the nature and extent of the reform which they contemplated would be confided to Lord John Russell. He was best entitled to propose a full and efficient improvement of the representation who had so often failed in his most zealous attempts to procure a partial one. In the House of Lords earl Grey stated that a measure of reform which would be effective, without exceeding the bounds of a just and well-advised moderation, had received the unanimous consent of the whole government, and would at as early a period as possible be submitted to the other House of Parliament. It is now known that a Committee of four members of the government, two of whom were of the Cabinet, had been selected by lord Grey to consider the manifold details connected with so large a change, and to prepare a Bill. That Committee consisted of lord Durham, sir James Graham, lord John Russell, and viscount Duncannon. The assertion of a son of earl Grey that his father "laid down the principles on which the measure was to be founded, the details of which were to be worked out by other members of the government," is no doubt substantially correct.* The measure prepared by the Committee, which was finally adopted by the Cabinet, was submitted to the king on the 30th of January. The time had gone by, as far as regarded England, when, on that day which was then

* "Life and Opinions of Charles Earl Grey," by Lieut.-General Hon. C. Grey, 1861.

called the anniversary of a martyrdom, according to Voltaire every king in Europe rises from his bed with a crick in his neck. William IV. is represented to have been anxious to postpone the proposal of the measure to parliament. Lord Grey would have had little difficulty in convincing him that the Crown was most safe when the three branches of the Constitution were working in harmony with the just desires of the people.

In the interval between the announcement of the 3rd of February, and the bringing forward the Reform Bill, the Chancellor of the Exchequer had introduced his budget, of which some portions were carried and others abandoned. The duties on newspapers and advertisements were to be reduced; those on coals, on candles, and on printed cottons were to be abolished. The propositions to reduce the duty on glass and the duty on tobacco were withdrawn; for some of the taxes offered as substitutes had been strenuously opposed. The Whig ministry had not learnt to know the ministerial safety of firmness. It was to be the privilege of the financial minister of fourteen years later to produce improvements in our architectural taste and in our domestic comforts, which few could have anticipated, by relieving the manufacturers of glass altogether from the payment of duty and from the interference of the officers of revenue with their processes. In that same interval lord Brougham had also introduced a Bill for establishing a new Court in Bankruptcy, which was read a first time.

During the month of February the scope of the Reform Bill had been kept profoundly secret. A few persons were in the confidence of the government whose judgment and experience were valuable in the arrangement of its details. But when on the 1st of March the Speaker came down to prayers, and not only found the House remarkably full, but every empty seat bearing a label of the name of its proposed occupant, not a dozen of that crowd had the slightest conception of the nature of that measure which they might be called upon to support or to oppose. The speech with which lord John Russell introduced the proposition of the government was lucid, slightly argumentative, entirely free from rhetorical ornament. The proposed reform was far too great to require more than the simplest and most intelligible statement. It was intelligible enough to produce both wonder and ridicule. It was proposed to a House of Commons of which one hundred and sixty-eight members for England were returned by boroughs. They could scarcely imagine that the whole system of nomination should come to an end. Sixty boroughs were proposed to be wholly disfranchised, as having each less than two thousand in-

habitants ; forty-seven boroughs, having less than four thousand inhabitants each, were to return one member instead of two ; and a single borough which had formerly returned four members was to be reduced to half its number of representatives. It was not proposed wholly to fill up the seats thus to be disposed of, but to reduce the number of members of the House of Commons. The existing number of six hundred and fifty-eight was to be curtailed to five hundred and ninety-six. Seven unrepresented large towns were to have the right of returning two members each, twenty smaller towns unrepresented were to return one member each. London was to be divided into four districts, each having two members. Fifty-four members were to be added to the county representation. These and other details of the measure were subsequently altered. Instead of the old rights of election in boroughs, a household franchise of 10% was substituted. Corporations were deprived of the exclusive privileges which some possessed of returning members. The duration of elections was to be remedied by a previous registration. When lord John Russell proceeded to read the names of the boroughs to be disfranchised wholly or in part, then indeed was the excitement of the House at an unprecedented height. For once in the grave records of Parliamentary debate we find a morsel of description upon which the imagination may raise up a picture of a most extraordinary scene. "The noble lord accordingly read the following list, in the course of which he was frequently interrupted by shouts of laughter, cries of 'Hear, Hear,' from members for these boroughs, and by various interlocutions across the table." * For many members it was indeed a personal question of the last importance. Statesmen, too, who looked beyond individual interests were aghast at a proposal so sweeping, so revolutionary as they were warranted in believing. It was left to Opposition members somewhat below the highest mark to reply that night to lord John Russell. Sir Robert Peel sat rigid as a statue, his face working with internal emotion; his brow furrowed as by the wrinkles of age. Around him were many of his supporters, bursting again and again into uncontrollable laughter at what appeared to them the prelude to a certain and speedy downfall of the ministry. There were fashionable parties that night where the hosts and the guests sat late in anxious expectation of intelligence from the House. At one of these was the duke of Wellington. As news of the ministerial proposition was read or told there was a burst of merriment in the company. "It is no joke," said the duke ;

* Hansard, vol. ii. col. 1077.

“you will find it no laughing matter.” All London knew the next day what the ministerial project meant. Lord Eldon wrote, in his first moments of surprise, “There is no describing the amazement this plan of reform has occasioned.” *

Sir Robert Inglis, the member for the University of Oxford, was the first to reply to the arguments of lord John Russell. His speech was an able one, anticipating most of the arguments which were employed for the seven wearisome nights of debate on the introduction of the Bill, and making a free use of that great weapon of alarm which had been so successfully employed by his party, from the time when Pitt abandoned his position as a reformer in the general terror at the first outbreak of the French revolution. The lapse of forty years had furnished a new argument to prove the danger of any accession to the strength of the democratic principle. Sir Robert Inglis maintained the impossibility of the co-existence of a monarchy with a free press and a purely popular representation. “Sir, I am fully persuaded that a representative system so exclusively popular as that which the noble lord wishes to introduce, has never yet been found in juxtaposition with a free press on the one hand and with a monarchy on the other.” † The destruction of the monarchy was to involve the simultaneous destruction of the House of Peers. On the very day, said sir Robert Inglis, when the House of Commons of 1648 murdered their king they voted the Lords to be useless and dangerous. The abolition of the House of Lords was the most stirring and practical of the prophecies of the alarmists. “Whatever,” added sir Robert Inglis, “the intentions of the framers or of the supporters of this measure may be, I am quite sure that, if carried, it will sweep clean the House of Peers in ten years.” In or out of Parliament the cry was that the destruction of the aristocracy by the passing of the democratic Reform Bill was inevitable.

The word aristocracy was used, both by the enemies and friends of reform, with a very loose signification. By some it was intended exclusively to mean the nobility; to others it more properly signified the governing body of the great and wealthy families, and not a particular class whose rank was hereditary. It is recorded that during one of the debates on the Reform Bill lord Sidmouth said to lord Grey, “I hope God will forgive you on account of this Bill; I don’t think I can:” to which lord Grey replied, “Mark my words; within two years you will find that we shall have become unpopular, for having brought forward the most aristocratic meas-

* Twiss, vol. iii. p. 120.

† Hansard, vol. ii. col. 1122.

ure that ever was proposed in parliament." * We have understood that lord Althorp expressed pretty much the same opinion. Neither of the two ministers could have meant to say that the Reform Bill would especially increase the power and influence of the Peers. Lord Eldon must also have used "aristocracy" in the extended sense of a governing body not wholly composed of a privileged order, when he said at a Pitt dinner in May, "The aristocracy once destroyed, the best supporters of the lower classes would be swept away. In using the term lower classes he meant nothing offensive. How could he do so? He himself had been one of the lower classes." † To see nothing in the social condition of our country but a governing body of great families and the "lower classes," was one of the defects of the able lawyer's mental vision. He boasted, and not improperly so, that, like himself, "the humblest in the realm might, by a life of industry, propriety, and good moral and religious conduct, rise to eminence." But the father of lord Eldon and of lord Stowell would have been indignant enough could he have foreseen that his distinguished son would have ranked him as one of "the lower classes;" the father being, according to the description of his other remarkable son, "a considerable merchant, who by a successful application of his industry to various branches of commerce raised a competent fortune." ‡ The ex-Chancellor, when he thus talked about "the lower classes," looked at them with the prejudices of caste which, singular enough, were most cherished by the new nobility. That system had not only been modified, but almost destroyed, a century before John Scott sat in the House of Peers. "The nobility and the middle classes in England followed the same business, embraced the same professions, and what is far more significant, intermarried with each other." § There was another mode of amalgamation in England between the highest and the humblest, which was more rare and yet not less instructive. In the lapse of time, some of the lower classes—some even of the lowliest—could, by right of blood, have stood upon the same level as the proudest peer of the realm, who in common with them could trace his lineage to one of the three great fountains of honour, Edward III., Edward I., or Henry III. The Marquis of Chandos, who was one of the most conspicuous of the noble opponents of the Reform Bill, was christened Richard Plantagenet, by reason of his descent from Elizabeth of York. there were others of his time not so highly placed, but perhaps

* "Life of Lord Sidmouth," vol. iii. p. 439, note.

† Twiss, vol. iii. p. 127.

‡ *Ibid.*, vol. i. p. 23.

§ De Tocqueville, "France before the Revolution," book ii. chap. ix.

more happily, who could carry up their lineage even higher than himself. In 1845, John Penny, apprentice to Mr. Watson, saddler, of Windmill-street, the only surviving son of Stephen James Penny, late sexton of St. George's, Hanover-square, could claim undoubted descent from Thomas of Woodstock, duke of Gloucester, fifth son of king Edward the Third. At the same period George Wilmot, keeping the turnpike-gate at Cooper's Bank, near Dudley, who is shown to have descended from Edmund of Woodstock, earl of Kent, sixth son of king Edward the First, was taking toll "almost under the very walls of those feudal towers that gave the name to the barony of which he is a co-heir."* The aristocracy and the lower classes were not wholly separated by an exclusive right of quartering coat armour. The author of "Royal Descents" thinks that the princely blood of Plantagenet might be found to flow through veins even more humble than some of those which he has recorded. He adds, "This is as it should be. There is no prescriptive right of interminable gentility, any more than of great talents or personal attractions." The intermarriages that prevented the aristocracy becoming a caste in England, and the constant elevation of what lord Eldon denominated "the lower classes" to form part of the governing body; rendered it quite certain that the revolution, as it was called,—the Reform measure of 1831,—would resolve itself into something very different from the government of a democracy; and that no fears were more idle than those which proclaimed that the degradation of rank and the destruction of property were close at hand.

The second night of the debate was memorable for the speeches of Mr. Macaulay and Mr. Stanley, of which sir James Mackintosh said, they were "two of the finest speeches ever spoken in Parliament." M. Guizot, having affirmed that "the loftiest minds, the most eloquent orators of England, called for reform with earnest conviction, and seemed to regard it as even more indispensable than irresistible," † then quotes, though imperfectly, the peroration of Macaulay's speech:—"Turn where we may, within, around, the voice of great events is proclaiming to us, Reform, that you may preserve. Now, therefore, while everything at home and abroad forebodes ruin to those who persist in a hopeless struggle against the spirit of the age, now, while the crash of the proudest throne of the continent is still resounding in our ears, now, while the roof

* We derive these particulars from the curious and interesting volume of the late Mr. C. E. Long, entitled "Royal Descents: a Genealogical List of the several persons entitled to Quarter the Arms of the Royal Houses of England," 1845.

† "Memoirs of Peel," p. 56.

of a British palace affords an ignominious shelter to the exiled heir of forty kings, now, while we see on every side ancient institutions subverted and great societies dissolved, now, while the heart of England is still sound, now, while old feelings and new associations retain a power and a charm which may too soon pass away, now, in this your accepted time, now, in this your day of salvation, take counsel, not of prejudice, not of party spirit, not of the ignominious pride of a fatal consistency, but of history, of reason, of the ages which are past, of the signs of this most portentous time. Pronounce in a manner worthy of the expectation with which this great debate has been anticipated, and of the long remembrance which it will leave behind. Renew the youth of the State. Save property, divided against itself. Save the multitude, endangered by its own ungovernable passions. Save the aristocracy, endangered by its own unpopular power. Save the greatest, and fairest, and most highly civilized community that ever existed, from calamities which may in a few days sweep away all the rich heritage of so many ages of wisdom and glory."* The comment of M. Guizot upon this burst of eloquence is: "These sombre prognostics, this powerful language, carried some disturbance into the soul of Peel."

The third night of the debate presented unusual interest in the antagonism of lord Palmerston and sir Robert Peel. The minister who had quitted office when the friends of Mr. Canning appeared to be insulted in the ejection of Mr. Huskisson from the Cabinet, now stood forward as the advocate of a measure of reform compared with which the case of East Retford was but a drop in the ocean. Lord Palmerston ventured to assert that if Mr. Canning "had lived to mark the signs of the present times, and to bring his great and comprehensive intellect to an examination of the difficulties to be overcome, he would have been as ardent a supporter of the measure now proposed by the government as any of the friends he saw around him." † Sir Robert Peel, in his reply, exclaimed, would to God that Mr. Canning were here, "to confound the sophistry and fallacies of reformers, and to win back the people by the charms of truth and eloquence to a right appreciation of the form of government under which they live." Sir Robert Peel concluded his speech by a solemn admonition to the ministers: "It was the duty of the government to claim, not to stimulate, the fever of popular excitement. They have adopted a different course—they have sent through the land the fire-brand of agitation, and no one can now recall it." ‡ The debate on lord

* "Speeches of T. B. Macaulay, corrected by himself," p. 18.

† Hansard, vol. ii. col. 1323.

‡ *Ibid.*, col. 1334 and 1356.

John Russell's motion for leave to bring in the Bill was closed on the seventh night without a division; and when the Speaker had decided that the ayes had it, the cheers from the ministerial benches were enthusiastic. The bill was read a first time on the 14th of March.

On the 21st of March, lord John Russell moved the order of the day for the second reading of the Reform Bill. Sir Richard Vivian moved, as an amendment, that the bill be read a second time that day six months. The second reading was carried by a majority of one only in a house of six hundred and eight; "probably the greatest number which up to that time had ever been assembled at a division." * In ordinary times this bare majority would have compelled the retirement of a ministry from office. They had now the support of the great body of the people, and they must fight the battle till the proper time should arrive for an appeal to the constituencies that had returned the unreformed parliament. On the 18th of April the House went into Committee on the order of the day, to consider the provisions of the bill for the amendment of the representation. General Gascoigne, following lord John Russell, moved, "that it is the opinion of this House that the total number of knights, citizens, and burgesses, returned to parliament for that part of the United Kingdom called England and Wales, ought not to be diminished." † The debate was adjourned to the 19th. At a late hour the House divided; for General Gascoigne's amendment, 299; against it, 291. The ministry were beaten by a majority of eight. A man of the rarest genius, though not a striking parliamentary orator,—Francis Jeffrey—has left an exquisite picture of an outdoor scene on this memorable daybreak: "It was a beautiful, rosy, dead calm morning, when we broke up a little before five to-day; and I took three pensive turns along the solitude of Westminster Bridge; admiring the sharp clearness of St. Paul's and all the city spires soaring up in a cloudless sky, the orange red light that was beginning to play on the trees of the Abbey and the old windows of the Speaker's house, and the flat, green mist of the river floating upon a few lazy hulks on the tide and moving low under the arches. It was a curious contrast with the long previous imprisonment in the stifling roaring House, amidst dying candles, and every sort of exhalation." ‡

On the 21st of April, in the House of Peers, lord Wharncliffe gave notice that he should the next day move that an address be presented to his Majesty praying that he would be graciously

* May, "Constitutional History," vol. i. p. 352.

† Cockburn, "Life of Lord Jeffrey," p. 317.

pleased not to exercise his undoubted prerogative of dissolving parliament. On the same evening in the House of Commons, ministers were again defeated by a majority of twenty-two on a question of adjournment. Mr. May states that this vote could not bear the construction which lord Brougham affirmed on the following day, that it amounted to "stopping the supplies." The question before the House was a question concerning the Liverpool election. "Late down in the list of Orders for the day a report from the Committee of Supply was to be received, which dropped by reason of the adjournment."*

On the morning of Friday the 22nd, the Prime Minister and the Lord Chancellor were with the King. They had come either to lay the resignations of the Ministry at his Majesty's feet, or to request him to dissolve the Parliament. The popular story of the time was that the King was reluctant to dissolve until the notice given by lord Wharncliffe was felt by him to be an interference with his prerogative; that then he was impatient to go at once to Parliament, and said, if the royal carriages were not ready send for a hackney coach. Mr. Roebuck has given a most interesting relation of "the whole scene of this interview of the King and his Ministers, as related by those who could alone describe it."† The Chancellor was requested to manage the King on the occasion. His Majesty was startled at their proposition of a dissolution—how could he, after such a fashion, repay the kindness of Parliament in granting him a most liberal civil list, and giving to the Queen a splendid annuity in case she survived him. Nevertheless the Chancellor said that the further existence of the present House of Commons was incompatible with the peace and safety of the kingdom. Lord Grey stated that without a dissolution they could not continue to conduct the affairs of the country. But nothing is arranged, said the King, the great officers of State are not summoned—the crown and the robes are not prepared—the Guards, the troops, have had no orders, and cannot be ready in time. The "daring Chancellor," as Mr. Roebuck terms him, replied, deferentially, that the officers of State had been prepared to be summoned, and that the crown and robes would be ready. The difficulty about the troops was not so easily answered. The orders for the attendance of the troops upon such occasions always emanate from the sovereign. "Pardon me, Sir," said the Chancellor, "I have given orders, and the troops are ready." The King then burst out, "You, my Lord Chancellor, ought to know that such an act is treason, high treason, my lord."

* "Constitutional History," vol. i. p. 352.

† "History of the Whig Ministry," vol. ii. p. 148.

The Chancellor humbly acknowledged that he did know it, and that nothing but his own solemn belief that the safety of the State depended upon that day's proceedings could have emboldened him to venture upon so improper a proceeding. The King cooled down; the speech to be read by his Majesty was in the Chancellor's pocket; and the Ministers were dismissed with something like a menace and a joke upon the audacity of their proceedings. The Lords had begun to assemble at two o'clock. At twenty minutes before three the Lord Chancellor took his seat, and almost immediately withdrew from the House, lord Shaftesbury being called to the chair. Lord Wharnccliffe rose to make his motion. At the moment of completing the reading of his resolution, the Lord Chancellor entered the House, and immediately addressing it said, with great emphasis, "I never yet heard that the Crown ought not to dissolve Parliament whenever it thought fit, particularly at a moment when the House of Commons had thought fit to take the extreme and unprecedented step of stopping the supplies." * There was great confusion, with cries of "The King, the King." Lord Londonderry rose in fury, and exclaimed, "I protest, my Lords, I will not submit to"—The Chancellor, hearing the King approaching, clutched up the Seals and rushed again out of the House. There was again terrible confusion. The earl of Shaftesbury resumed the chair, and the earl of Mansfield proceeded to deliver a general harangue against the Reform Bill. The Lord Chancellor had met the King whilst the noise of the House was distinctly audible. "What's that?" said his Majesty. "Only, may it please you, Sir, the House of Lords amusing themselves while awaiting your Majesty's coming." The King entered the House, cut short lord Mansfield's oration, and after the Speaker of the House of Commons and about a hundred members had attended at the bar, commenced his Speech with these very decisive words: "My Lords and Gentlemen, I have come to meet you for the purpose of proroguing this Parliament, with a view to its immediate dissolution." The House of Commons, before the Usher of the Black Rod had tapped at the door, had been a scene of turbulence and confusion—even outrivalling that of the Lords—which the Speaker had vainly endeavoured to repress. Lord Campbell, at that time member for Stafford, says, "Never shall I forget the scene then exhibited in the House of Commons, which might convey an adequate idea of the tumultuary dissolutions in the times of the Stuarts. The most exciting moment of my public life was when we cheered the guns which announced his Majesty's approach." † Had those guns been

* Hansard, vol. iii. col. 1807.

† "Lives of the Chancellors," chap. ccx., note.

heard a day later, the probability is that both Houses would have resolved upon an Address to the King against dissolution, and the royal prerogative would not have been exercised at all, or exercised under circumstances of great difficulty and danger.

On the dissolution of Parliament there was an illumination in London, sanctioned by the Lord Mayor, which was attended with more mischief from the turbulence of a mob—who broke the windows of the duke of Wellington and other anti-reformers,—than productive of any real advantage to the popular cause. After the Edinburgh election the Lord Provost was rudely assaulted, and was with difficulty rescued by the soldiery. These things were disclaimed by Reformers as being the acts of blackguards ; but it must be acknowledged that there was a very slight approach to justice in the charge that sir Robert Peel had made against the government, that they had, “like the giant enemy of the Philistines, lighted three hundred brands and scattered through the country discord and dismay.”* The zeal of their supporters, we fear, was not everywhere satisfied with the formation of Political Unions ; but that they read without much dissatisfaction the newspaper reports of smashed glass, and rude assaults during the elections in towns where the magistrates were supine and the police feeble. Such proceedings seriously damaged the just cause of peaceable Reform. The cry that went through the country of “The Bill, the whole Bill, and nothing but the Bill,” delighted the Government, for it set aside all minor differences of opinion amongst Reformers, and materially influenced the elections by the application of this simple test to a candidate,—would he support the Bill ? But the mob-violence became to them a source of anxiety and alarm, producing distrust and desertion amongst the ranks of Reformers. “For God’s sake keep the people quiet in Scotland,” wrote the Lord Advocate a few months later ; “nothing in the world would do such fatal mischief as riot and violence.” †

The appeal to the people was signally triumphant. Parliament opened on the 14th of June, when Mr. Manners Sutton was chosen Speaker for the sixth time. The king went in state to the House of Lords on the 21st of June, and in his speech recommended the important question of reform in the representation to the earliest and most attentive consideration of Parliament. On the 24th lord John Russell again brought in the Reform Bill, with a few alterations. The measure thus proposed was confined to England. There was to be a separate bill for Scotland, which was brought in by the Lord Advocate on the 1st of July ; and a separate bill

* Hansard, vol. ii. col. 1356.

† “Life of Jeffrey,” p. 324.

for Ireland, which was brought in by Mr. Stanley on the 30th of June. The discussion upon the English bill was to take place on the second reading, which was moved by lord John Russell on the 4th of July. There were three nights of debate. Mr. Macaulay's speech on the second night was described by lord Jeffrey as putting him "clearly at the head of the great speakers, if not the debaters, of the House." One passage of that speech may be now read with especial interest. "Your great objection to this bill is that it will not be final. I ask you whether you think that any Reform Bill which you can frame will be final. For my part, I do believe that the settlement proposed by his majesty's ministers will be final, in the only sense in which a wise man ever uses that word. I believe that it will last during that time for which alone we ought at present to think of legislating. Another generation may find in the new representative system defects such as we find in the old representative system. Civilization will proceed. Wealth will increase. Industry and trade will find out new seats. The same causes which have turned so many villages into great towns, which have turned so many thousands of square miles of fir and heath into cornfields and orchards, will continue to operate. . . . For our children we do not pretend to legislate. All that we can do for them is to leave to them a memorable example of the manner in which great reforms ought to be made." * On the third night of the debate the House divided: for the second reading, 367; against it, 231. On the 12th of July the House went into Committee. It was not till the 6th of September that the bill came out of this harassing stage of its progress, being the thirty-ninth sitting of the Committee. Night after night there were debates upon every clause of disfranchisement and every clause of enfranchisement. The leader of this mode of opposition was Mr. John Wilson Croker, whose power of mastering the most obscure details, whether in politics or literature, was perhaps unrivalled, and, we fear we must add, whose application of his minute researches was not always quite honest. His mind was formed by nature and habit for controversy. His acuteness and his energy were supported by his determined will, and his passionate resolve to look only at one side of the shield. He was a master of sarcasm, which, however, was not unaccompanied by a kindly spirit. Guizot, with a just discrimination between the value of set speeches and real business, assigns to this "man of vigorous, clear, precise, and practical mind," † the real leadership in the oppo-

* "Speeches of T. B. Macaulay, corrected by himself," p. 32.

† "Memoirs of Peel," p. 56.

sition to the Reform Bill in the House of Commons. The minister who was always ready to repel his attacks was one of very different character. Lord Althorp subdued his adversaries, and was a buckler to his supporters, by his singleness of purpose. Never was any one more truly described than the Chancellor of the Exchequer was by Jeffrey : " There is something to me quite delightful in his calm, clumsy, courageous, immutable probity and well-meaning, and it seems to have a charm for everybody." * Mr. Croker was to the last a most unyielding advocate of principles which had ceased to have any consistent application. When the Reform Bill passed, he believed, with men of more timidity and less intellectual grasp, that the time was not far distant when all that England prized would perish under a reformed parliament. In September, 1832, it was written of Mr. Croker by one of his own party, that " no words can describe his desponding, hopeless view of all public matters ; national ruin and bankruptcy with him are inevitable." † In 1836 his friends observed that he absolutely seemed to rejoice at any partial fulfilment of his prophecies. " Fitzgerald once said to lord Wellesley at the Castle, ' I have had a very melancholy letter from C—— this morning.' ' Aye ! ' said lord Wellesley, ' written, I suppose, in a strain of the most sanguine despondency.' " ‡ It is satisfactory to contrast the opinions of the duke of Wellington after the passing of the Reform Bill, as reported by the same authority : " He said, we have seen great changes ; we can only hope for the best ; we cannot foresee what will happen ; but few people will be sanguine enough to imagine that we shall ever again be as prosperous as we have been. His language breathed no bitterness, neither sunk into despondency." §

Before the Reform Bill came out of Committee, an important alteration was carried by the marquis of Chandos,—that tenants-at-will paying fifty pounds per annum for their holdings should have a vote for the county. This proposal, which involved other amendments of a similar tendency, was carried by a majority of eighty-four. It was contended that this clause, instead of making the farmers more independent, as was alleged, would make a tenant wholly dependent upon the will of his landlord in the exercise of his franchise. Undoubtedly, before the repeal of the corn-laws, which, in freeing the farmers from the shackles of protection, gave scope for the exercise of their skill and capital, the tenant-at-will was more subservient to the commands of the owner of the soil. It may, however, be doubted now, when the interests of landlord

* Cockburn, " Life of Jeffrey," p. 322.

‡ Raikes's " Diary," vol. iii. p. 43.

† Raikes's " Diary," vol. i. p. 87.

§ *Ibid.*, vol. i. p. 68.

and tenant have become more identified, because the social relations of each are better understood, whether it would be possible, as apprehended by lord Milton, that "a knot of persons, of great landed possessions, would combine for the purpose of securing the representation, and by the power which the adoption of these proposed amendments would give them, would fix the whole representation in the hands of an oligarchy."* Freed from the committee; having been read a third time, after a division in a House whose diminished numbers showed how wearisome were the protracted discussions; the Reform Bill passed the House of Commons on the 21st of September, the numbers being three hundred and forty-five for the measure, and two hundred and thirty-six against it.

On the 22nd of September the House of Lords presented an unusual attendance of Peers. Peeresses were accommodated at the bar, and the space allotted to strangers was thronged to an overflow. The Lord Chancellor takes his seat at the Woolsack. The Deputy-Usher of the Black Rod announces "A Message from the Commons:" the doors are thrown open; and lord Althorp and lord John Russell, bearing the Reform Bill in their hands, appear at the head of a hundred members of the Lower House. Lord John Russell, in delivering the Bill to the Lord Chancellor, who had come to the bar, says with a firm and audible voice, "My Lords, the House of Commons have passed an Act to Amend the Representation of England and Wales, to which they desire your Lordships' concurrence."† The words, usually of mere form and ceremony, by which a Message from the Commons is communicated to the Lords, were spoken by the Lord Chancellor with unusual solemnity of tone and manner amidst breathless silence.‡ The Bill was then read a first time, and was ordered to be read a second time on Monday, the 3rd of October.

During the five nights of debate in this memorable week, the House of Lords stood before the nation presenting examples of the highest eloquence that ever characterized a great deliberative assembly. Whatever adverse sentiments the Reports of these debates might excite, they could not fail to impress the thinking part of the nation with the conviction that the House of Lords fitly represented the most powerful nobility in Europe, not only because it was the most wealthy and the best educated of any aristocratic assembly; not only that it was surrounded with grand historical associations; but that, however it might be opposed for a

* Hansard, vol. vi. col. 274.

† This scene forms the subject of a historical painting.

‡ Hansard, vol. vii. col. 480.

time to the prevailing popular opinion, it was in many essentials so intimately allied with the body of the people that they could never be in a long continued state of isolation or antagonism. This debate was opened by earl Grey. There was a calmness and solemnity in his words and manner which well befitted the statesman who now, in his sixty-eighth year, stood prominently forward as the advocate of a measure which he had proposed in the House of Commons forty years before; and, more than advocate, as the responsible author of a Reform Bill of far greater scope and of more practical importance than any plan which he had supported during his long parliamentary career. But it was not enough, he said, for a public man, pretending to the character of a Statesman, to show that what he has to propose is in conformity with opinions long established in his mind; he is bound to feel the conviction that in proposing a measure affecting the mighty interests of the State, the course he takes is called for by justice and necessity. He has further to prove that he has not brought right opinions into notice rashly, precipitately, or at a dangerous season. Having explained the general character of the proposed Bill, he thus vindicated its extent and comprehensiveness.* “I felt that the most prudent and the safest measure of Reform would be a bold one, because, when I looked at the condition of the country—when I considered how just the claims of the people were—and when, above all, I reflected upon the absolute necessity of satisfying the respectable and reasonable part of the community, in order that thereby the Government and Legislature might be furnished with a ground on which a firm and safe stand might be made in defence of the principles of the constitution, if ever they should be really assailed—from all these considerations, I say, I was satisfied that nothing but a bold and decisive measure would give such general satisfaction and content as would set the question at rest.”† Earl Grey was followed by lord Wharncliffe, who moved an amendment to the effect that the Bill be rejected altogether.

On the second night of the debate the duke of Wellington spoke at much greater length than he usually spake. He maintained that this country having enjoyed a larger share of happiness, comfort, and prosperity than were ever enjoyed by any nation, could any man believe that these advantages would remain if such a democratic assembly as that proposed should once be established in England? “A democracy has never been established in any part of the world that it has not immediately declared war against property, against the payment of the public debt, and against all the

* Hansard, vol. iii. col. 935.

† *Ibid.*, col. 1342.

principles of conservation which are secured by, and are in fact the principal objects of, the British Constitution as it now exists." The duke of Wellington's speech gave a very significant anticipation of the rejection of the Bill by the Lords, and of the probability that, the government being defeated, there would be another ministry who would propose a reform that might not be dreaded as "a bold and decisive measure." "I recommend to you to keep yourselves free to adopt any measure upon this subject which should secure to this country the blessings of a government."* The duke, after the passing of the Bill, always asked, How is the King's government to be carried on? The long continued Tory belief was that lord Grey's vital change—"that sweeping Bill which prevented him, and will prevent any other government from ruling the country again," †—would render any government impossible but that which was dictated by the will of a turbulent democracy. The great soldier's notion of "ruling," which was the one idea of his party, was something different from that which we entertain at this day, when the best rule which England has ever lived under is the most in harmony with the sober desires of the great middle class, and most careful of the rights and liberties of the universal people.

Lord Dudley, upon the third night of debate, delivered an elegant and classical speech opposing the Bill, which lord Brougham subsequently characterized as "an exercise or essay of the highest merit, on change, on democracies, on republicanism,—an essay or exercitation on some other thesis, but not on this Bill." ‡ One part of the speech of lord Dudley was however anything but irrelevant to the measure before the House. In a very few words it comprehended volumes of declamation that had been already spoken, of the well working of nomination boroughs and of all the other anomalies of the representative system. "It was only by the abuses of the Constitution, as they were called, that the due balance was maintained, and the evils which would arise from the superiority of the popular branch of the Legislature prevented, or at least mitigated. It was only because the Crown and the House of Lords had an influence in that of the Commons, which was wholly unacknowledged by the theory of the Constitution, that the Constitution had been maintained." § The fourth night of the debate was chiefly occupied by the able speeches of lord Carnarvon and lord Plunkett.

On the fifth and last night the speech of lord Eldon was affect-

* Hansard, vol. vii. col. 1205.

† Raikes's "Diary," vol. iii. p. 7.

‡ Speech on Reform, October 7th.

§ Hansard, vol. vii. col. 1342.

ing, from his allusions to his great age, and to his early education upon cheap terms in the Corporation school of Newcastle-upon-Tyne. He appeared to think that the school would be destroyed by lessening the influence of the Corporation and that of freemen of the borough; and that thus a hope which he had cherished would not be realized. "I had hoped that when my ashes were laid in the grave, where they probably soon will be, that I might have given some memorandum that boys there, situated as I was, might rise to be Chancellors of England." On that night the great lawyers had almost exclusive possession of the House,—two ex-chancellors and he who now sat on the Woolsack. Lord Brougham rose to speak before his friend and rival, lord Lyndhurst. To attempt a selection of passages from this speech—from what lord Lyndhurst described as "a splendid declamation which had never been surpassed on any occasion even by the noble and learned lord himself"—would be to carry us beyond our proper limits. One passage however in the peroration may be fitly given: "Hear the parable of the Sibyl; for it conveys a wise and wholesome moral. She now appears at your gate, and offers you mildly the volumes—the precious volumes of wisdom and peace. The price she asks is reasonable; to restore the franchise, which, without any bargain, you ought voluntarily to give: you refuse her terms—her moderate terms,—she darkens the porch no longer. But soon, for you cannot do without her wares, you call her back;—again she comes, but with diminished treasures; the leaves of the book are in part torn away by lawless hands,—in part defaced with characters of blood. But the prophetic maid has risen in her demands—it is Parliament by the Year—it is Vote by the Ballot—it is Suffrage by the Million! From this you turn away indignant, and for the second time she departs. Beware of her third coming; for the treasure you must have; and what price she may next demand, who shall tell? It may even be the mace which rests upon that wool-sack. What may follow your course of obstinacy, if persisted in, I cannot take upon me to predict, nor do I wish to conjecture. But this I know full well, that, as sure as man is mortal, and to err is human, justice deferred enhances the price at which you must purchase safety and peace;—nor can you expect to gather in another crop than they did who went before you, if you persevere in their utterly abominable husbandry, of sowing injustice and reaping rebellion." * Lord Lyndhurst followed. The debate was concluded by a reply of earl Grey to the principal arguments

* "Brougham's Speeches," vol. ii p. 384.

against the Bill which had been adduced during those five nights. He was exhausted, as were nearly all his listeners, but his intellectual vigour was never more signally manifested. Of the sincerity of his concluding words not even the bitterest of his political adversaries could doubt: "I have lived a long life of exclusion from office; I had no official habits; I possessed not the advantages which those official habits confer. I am fond of retirement and domestic life, and I lived happy and content in the bosom of my family; I was surrounded by those to whom I am attached by the warmest ties of affection. What, then, but a sense of duty could have induced me to plunge into all the difficulties, not unforeseen, of my present situation? What else, in my declining age,—

‘What else could tempt me on those stormy seas,
Bankrupt of life, yet prodigal of ease?’” *

Between six and seven o'clock on the morning of Saturday the 8th of October, the House of Lords divided upon the second reading of the Reform Bill:

- Non-contents, present 150, proxies 49 —	199
Content, present 128, proxies 30 —	158

Majority against the Bill— 41

Lord Eldon rejoices, in a letter of the next day, that the mob would not stay for the close of the debate. † Their patience during a cold and drizzling night of waiting in Palace Yard had been worn out; and when the Peers came forth there were none to salute them with cheers or hisses. The rolling of the carriages alone was heard, as Reformers or Conservatives, in the broad daylight, went to their homes as quietly as if a whole nation had not been anxiously awaking that morning to know how the great work was so far concluded.

The rejection of the Reform Bill by the House of Lords was not unforeseen. The disproportion of the two parties in that House was perfectly well known. During the reigns of George III. and George IV., the creation of peers had been almost exclusively confined to the Tory party;—the bishops had, with very few exceptions, been selected with no forgetfulness of their political opinions. To remedy, in some degree, this disproportion, sixteen new peers had been created before the second reading of the Bill. Lord Grey, in moving that reading, had addressed to the

* Hansard, vol. viii. col. 338.

† "Life," vol. i. p. 329.

bishops a very significant warning "to put their house in order." Many of the peers had refrained from voting; but on the 7th of October the bishops were on their bench in strong numbers; and, of thirteen present, twelve voted against the bill, nine others sending their proxies for the same object of defeating the measure which had so triumphantly passed the House of Commons. The great contest was yet, however, to be fought out in another campaign. The Lords had gone from the house on the Saturday morning, after such a night of excitement and fatigue as few had before encountered. On the following Monday lord Ebrington, member for Devonshire, moved in the House of Commons a resolution to the effect that the House lamenting the present fate of the bill for amending the representation, feels itself called upon to re-assert its firm adherence to the principal and leading provisions of that great measure, and to express its unabated confidence in the integrity, perseverance, and ability of those ministers who, in introducing and conducting it, had so well consulted the best interests of the country. The resolution was carried by 329 votes to 198. The public enthusiasm gave a hearty assent to the principle urged on that occasion by Mr. Macaulay, when he asked, "ought we to abandon the bill merely because the Lords have rejected it? We ought to respect the lawful privileges of their House, but we ought also to assert our own." Riot and outrage at Derby; and at Nottingham the burning of the Castle by a frantic mob, clouded for a time the hope which all honest reformers entertained that reason and justice should alone prevail. The saddest, however, could relish the wit, which, however pungent, was like oil upon the waves. "Mrs. Partington" became famous throughout the land:—"As for the possibility of the House of Lords preventing ere long a reform of parliament, I hold it to be the most absurd notion that ever entered into human imagination. I do not mean to be disrespectful, but the attempt of the Lords to stop the progress of reform reminds me very forcibly of the great storm of Sidmouth, and of the conduct of the excellent Mrs. Partington on that occasion. In the winter of 1824, there set in a great flood upon that town—the tide rose to an incredible height—the waves rushed in upon the houses, and everything was threatened with destruction. In the midst of this sublime and terrible storm, Dame Partington, who lived upon the beach, was seen at the door of her house with mop and pattens, trundling her mop, squeezing out the sea-water, and vigorously pushing away the Atlantic Ocean. The Atlantic was roused. Mrs. Partington's spirit was up; but I need not tell you that the contest was un-

equal. The Atlantic Ocean beat Mrs. Partington. She was excellent at a slop, or a puddle, but she should not have meddled with a tempest. Gentlemen, be at your ease—be quiet and steady. You will beat Mrs. Partington.” *

The ministry stood firm, although they were taunted with their continuance in power after they had found themselves opposed by such a majority in the House of Lords as no minister had ever encountered a second time. There was no wavering in the king. He went to the House of Peers on the 20th of October; and having given the royal assent to lord Brougham's Bankruptcy Court Bill, amongst other bills, he prorogued the parliament, stating that its attention must necessarily be called upon at the opening of the ensuing session to the important question of a constitutional reform in the Commons House of Parliament.

* Sydney Smith : “ Speech at Taunton,” Oct. 12, 1831.

CHAPTER IV.

Intense excitement during the prorogation.—Dangers from popular ignorance.—Incendiary fires and machine-breaking.—The Derby, Nottingham, and Bristol Riots.—Destruction of property at Bristol.—The Cholera approaching.—Cowardly alarmists.—Central Board of Health formed.—Parliament.—New Reform Bill passed by the Commons.—The Bill in the Lords, read a second time.—Political Unions.—The Lords' Committee, and the majority against Ministers.—The King refuses to create Peers.—The Ministry resign.—The Duke of Wellington attempts to form a Government.—The negotiations fail.—The Reform Ministry returns to power.—The King's consent to a creation of Peers given, but not exercised.—Final passing of the Reform Bill.

IT is impossible to look back at the interval between the prorogation of Parliament on the 20th of October, 1831, and the conclusion of the labours of the last unreformed Parliament on the 16th of August, 1832, without a sense of relief in feeling that the country had passed without permanent damage through a crisis of unexampled danger, aggravated by a special visitation of Providence which many persons regarded as a judgment. The times were truly alarming. Nevertheless, during the great political conflict of seven months,—during the terrific outbreak of a knot of miscreants at Bristol, the occasional violence of the mob in London, the partial outrages of the peasantry of the southern counties, the terrors of a new and frightful disease for which no medical authority could prescribe a satisfactory treatment and which no public regulation could arrest—the political excitement was so great and universal, that, like combatants on a field of battle, the energy of the hour was sufficient to repress, whether amongst reformers or anti-reformers, any sentiment of fear that would have amounted to a panic. The nation, whether ranged on one side or the other, had never been so much in earnest since the days of the Long Parliament. It is true that the popular cause could number its supporters by thousands, whilst those on the other side might be counted by hundreds. But the leaders of the hundreds believed that they had everything to lose, and they not only fought with desperation themselves, but were cheered on by a most zealous following, who sincerely dreaded that the end of all government and the destruction of all property were close at hand. There were everywhere wrong-headed men in popular assemblies ranting about the unequal distribution of wealth; pretended teachers of political econ-

omy proclaiming the tyranny of Capital, and showing how easily a change might be made by which the labourers, without any intervention, might till the fields and work the looms. Some more modestly proposed that at the death of any member of the community his widow and children should have no exclusive claim; and that all his property should be divided amongst every member of society of adult age. The absurdities that hung around every scheme for the "division of property" neutralized their possible effect upon the great body of mechanics, who were not without some means of instruction that had been placed within their reach. There was another class more open to dangerous advice, and more incapable of weighing the probable consequences of lawless acts.

The labourers in husbandry had been often told that they had a claim upon a much higher rate of allowance from the poor's-rates, whilst at this very time the enormous pressure of those rates was driving the land even of whole parishes out of cultivation. The labourers believed, as they had been long encouraged by magistrates to believe, that the parish was bound to find work and pay wherever there was no profitable work to be done. The "Organizâtion du Travail" of the French political philosophers in 1848 was not an original invention. In England we had not the National Workshop, but we had the Parish Gravel-pit. The gravel-pit lowered the wages of all agricultural labour, by confounding the distinctions between industry and idleness, between strength and weakness, between dexterity and clumsiness. All the moral qualifications that made one labourer more valuable than another were utterly broken down. And so, when the weekly pittance for unprofitable labour was doled out by the overseer of the poor,—when the farmer equalized the rate of wages by reducing his ploughman and carter almost to the level of the gravel diggers, and sent their wives to the overseers to make up by allowance the just payment of which they were defrauded—the peasantry took to burning ricks and breaking machines. The machine breaking was intelligible. Machines were held to be substitutes for manual labour, and thus to diminish profitable employment. But the rick burning: How could arson be a relief for hunger? The destruction of food raised the price of food. The excessive ignorance of the peasantry—the hateful isolation of their class from their employers—the neglect of the rich—made them apt listeners to the devilish promptings of some village Cade in the beer-shop. They had undoubted grievances; and we can scarcely wonder that paupers and poachers became rick-burners and machine breakers, in the

proceedings of the mob sufficiently indicated the class of persons of which it was composed. They beat in the doors of the Bridewell with sledge hammers, set free the prisoners, and fired the building. Another party conducted the same operations with equal success at the New Borough Jail. A third manifested their zeal for Liberty by releasing all confined in the Gloucester County Jail. There were to be no more prisons in Bristol. From these three places of confinement the flames were rising at one and the same time. Fire now became the great manifestation of the savagery which some dreaded, or pretended to dread, as the natural result of the Reform agitation. The Mansion-house was set on fire. The demoniacs ran from room to room, kindling the flames, and when the roof fell in the progress of the conflagration had been so rapid that many were cut off from a retreat. The bishop's palace was reduced to ashes. The Custom-house followed. This building was near the Mansion-house in Queen's Square. Prisons and stately buildings were not the sole objects of this most careless outbreak. There was no rallying-cry in the streets, such as that of "No Popery" in 1780, and of "Church and King" in 1791. No voice was heard to exclaim "The Bill." It was all mad fury without any possible object except plunder and the indulgence of the grossest sensuality. At three o'clock in the morning there were forty-two dwelling-houses and warehouses burning. Two sides of Queen's Square, with the exception of two houses, were destroyed. The flames were lighting the ruffians who paraded the streets and, knocking at the doors of ale-houses and liquor-shops, were demanding "drink or blood." Their intoxication quelled the outrages even more effectually than the soldiery, who were now brought back into the city and hesitated not to fire and charge as they might have done far more advantageously had force been employed at the commencement of the outbreak. The outrages were at an end; not through this final act of tardy vigour by direction of the magistracy, but through the exhaustion of the handful of blackguards when the daylight showed the extent of the ruin which they had perpetrated. It was a lamentable circumstance, though one not without its lesson, that Colonel Brereton, having been brought to trial at a court-martial for neglect of duty, shot himself on the fourth day of the inquiry. The law avenged itself in January on the rioters. Bristol had for many a year to levy a rate of 10,000*l.* for compensation to the losers of property.

The Author of the "Popular History" ventures to obtrude some words which he wrote at this fearful season—not as containing any

very striking reasoning or exhortation, but as expressing his own feelings in common with those of the eminent men with whom he was then associated in the diffusion of knowledge: "In moments such as these, when we hear of a few hundreds of abandoned miscreants,—not working men in any sense of the title, but thieves and outcasts,—not knowing the first interests of working men because wanton destroyers of the capital by which labour must be supported,—when we hear of a small band of these most ignorant of mankind, in this enlightened country, in this intellectual age, holding the lives and possessions of a community of eighty thousand people, * even for a single hour at the mercy of their lawless passions, we could almost be tempted to think that real freedom should never be the birthright of such spurners of the laws of God and man. Cast your eyes for a moment upon such scenes of frantic riot, of desperate outrage, of grovelling drunkenness in the lowest stage of brutal abandonment; think of the terror of the peaceful inhabitants of such a place in those hours of midnight plunder and Sabbath riots; and picture to yourselves the wives and children of those once happy families clinging to their husbands and their parents to shield them from the destruction that was let loose in such unnatural and hideous shapes. When you have pondered upon these things, look round for the remedy. It is the diffusion of sound knowledge which leads to the cultivation of genuine religion. Unless you, each in your own circle, put down that ignorant spirit that would make this temple of our once industrious and peaceful island 'a den of thieves,' our liberties are at an end, because our security is at an end. There can be no liberty without security. Unless you, each in your own circle, endeavour to instruct the less informed in the knowledge of their rights in connexion with their duties, we shall all go backward in freedom, and therefore in national prosperity." †

Whilst Bristol was burning, the cholera had come to England. At the beginning of November, cases which had terminated fatally were reported from Sunderland. A true Christian,—one whose honour it was to lead the way in the establishment of a better system than prevailed in great public schools,—a liberal thinker who regarded "the Ministerial Reform Bill as a safe and necessary measure,"—is described as filled with the most anxious fears towards the end of 1831. Dr. Arnold was accustomed to

* The population of Bristol in 1831, as shown by the Population Returns published in 1832, was above 100,000.

† "The Rights of Industry: addressed to the Working Men of the United Kingdom. By the Author of 'The Results of Machinery.'" 1831.

proceedings of the mob sufficiently indicated the class of persons of which it was composed. They beat in the doors of the Bridewell with sledge hammers, set free the prisoners, and fired the building. Another party conducted the same operations with equal success at the New Borough Jail. A third manifested their zeal for Liberty by releasing all confined in the Gloucester County Jail. There were to be no more prisons in Bristol. From these three places of confinement the flames were rising at one and the same time. Fire now became the great manifestation of the savagery which some dreaded, or pretended to dread, as the natural result of the Reform agitation. The Mansion-house was set on fire. The demoniacs ran from room to room, kindling the flames, and when the roof fell in the progress of the conflagration had been so rapid that many were cut off from a retreat. The bishop's palace was reduced to ashes. The Custom-house followed. This building was near the Mansion-house in Queen's Square. Prisons and stately buildings were not the sole objects of this most careless outbreak. There was no rallying-cry in the streets, such as that of "No Popery" in 1780, and of "Church and King" in 1791. No voice was heard to exclaim "The Bill." It was all mad fury without any possible object except plunder and the indulgence of the grossest sensuality. At three o'clock in the morning there were forty-two dwelling-houses and warehouses burning. Two sides of Queen's Square, with the exception of two houses, were destroyed. The flames were lighting the ruffians who paraded the streets and, knocking at the doors of ale-houses and liquor-shops, were demanding "drink or blood." Their intoxication quelled the outrages even more effectually than the soldiery, who were now brought back into the city and hesitated not to fire and charge as they might have done far more advantageously had force been employed at the commencement of the outbreak. The outrages were at an end; not through this final act of tardy vigour by direction of the magistracy, but through the exhaustion of the handful of blackguards when the daylight showed the extent of the ruin which they had perpetrated. It was a lamentable circumstance, though one not without its lesson, that Colonel Breton, having been brought to trial at a court-martial for neglect of duty, shot himself on the fourth day of the inquiry. The law avenged itself in January on the rioters. Bristol had for many a year to levy a rate of 10,000*l.* for compensation to the losers of property.

The Author of the "Popular History" ventures to obtrude some words which he wrote at this fearful season—not as containing any

very striking reasoning or exhortation, but as expressing his own feelings in common with those of the eminent men with whom he was then associated in the diffusion of knowledge: "In moments such as these, when we hear of a few hundreds of abandoned miscreants,—not working men in any sense of the title, but thieves and outcasts,—not knowing the first interests of working men because wanton destroyers of the capital by which labour must be supported,—when we hear of a small band of these most ignorant of mankind, in this enlightened country, in this intellectual age, holding the lives and possessions of a community of eighty thousand people, * even for a single hour at the mercy of their lawless passions, we could almost be tempted to think that real freedom should never be the birthright of such spurners of the laws of God and man. Cast your eyes for a moment upon such scenes of frantic riot, of desperate outrage, of grovelling drunkenness in the lowest stage of brutal abandonment; think of the terror of the peaceful inhabitants of such a place in those hours of midnight plunder and Sabbath riots; and picture to yourselves the wives and children of those once happy families clinging to their husbands and their parents to shield them from the destruction that was let loose in such unnatural and hideous shapes. When you have pondered upon these things, look round for the remedy. It is the diffusion of sound knowledge which leads to the cultivation of genuine religion. Unless you, each in your own circle, put down that ignorant spirit that would make this temple of our once industrious and peaceful island 'a den of thieves,' our liberties are at an end, because our security is at an end. There can be no liberty without security. Unless you, each in your own circle, endeavour to instruct the less informed in the knowledge of their rights in connexion with their duties, we shall all go backward in freedom, and therefore in national prosperity." †

Whilst Bristol was burning, the cholera had come to England. At the beginning of November, cases which had terminated fatally were reported from Sunderland. A true Christian,—one whose honour it was to lead the way in the establishment of a better system than prevailed in great public schools,—a liberal thinker who regarded "the Ministerial Reform Bill as a safe and necessary measure,"—is described as filled with the most anxious fears towards the end of 1831. Dr. Arnold was accustomed to

* The population of Bristol in 1831, as shown by the Population Returns published in 1832, was above 100,000.

† "The Rights of Industry: addressed to the Working Men of the United Kingdom. By the Author of 'The Results of Machinery.'" 1831.

preach a practical sermon to his school on Sundays. His biographer says,—“There are those among his pupils who can never forget the moment when, on that dark November afternoon, after the simple preface, stating in what sense worldly thoughts were or were not to be brought into that place, he at once began with that solemnity which marked his voice and manner when speaking of what deeply moved him:—‘I need not tell you that this is a marked time, —a time such as neither we, nor our fathers for many generations before us, have experienced; and to those who know what the past has been it is no doubt awful to think of the change which we are now about to encounter.’ But in him the sight of evil, and the endeavour to remove it, were hardly ever disjoined; and whilst everything which he felt partook of the despondency with which that sermon opens, everything which he did partakes of that cheerful activity with which the same sermon closes in urging the example of the Apostle’s ‘wise and manly conduct amidst the dangers of storm and shipwreck.’” * Very different from a counsellor of “wise and manly conduct” was a writer in a periodical work of the highest authority, published in November, 1831. To produce a terror amongst the community for a political object has been considered the especial function of a corrupt minister. To exaggerate real causes of alarm, in the endeavour to terrify the heads of families into a retreat from the political battle-field, was now the object of a factious journalist. He asked, what has been done to meet this fatal contagion? Anticipating the sudden paralyzation of commerce through every limb of our body politic,—with prodigious masses of artisans sunk at once into the depths of pauperism,—he asks whether the ministers have considered the necessity of guarding against the rapacity of monopolists as respects food and fuel; whether they had begun to think of public stores of bread? Have they considered what ought to be done for the supply of our markets, the regulations as to travellers, inns, and public conveyances of all kinds? “Have they even dreamed of the enormous burden of care that may within a week devolve upon them as a cabinet?” Unquestionably the ministers had not so dreamed. They knew perfectly well, as the author of this article most probably knew, that any interference with the laws of demand and supply would render a temporary disturbance of the ordinary intercourse between man and man, between town and country, ten thousand times more dangerous. The advice to private persons is as remarkable for the most extravagant selfishness, as the advice to the government is conspicuous for ignorance of the commonest

* “Life of Dr. Arnold,” vol. i. p. 272.

laws of political economy. Such families as mean to quit the town in which they reside ought to hold themselves prepared for immediate flight; the civil power should be prepared to take charge of the houses and property left behind; the opulent must expect to pay dearly for such protection, "but they have a right to expect it." When the desire to quit the town becomes general, the more that go the better; but none must go unless they have the means of conveyance. There should be lazarettoes out of town to which families might if they pleased remove; care being taken that families of the same class be placed together. Encampments might be allowed under proper regulations. All these recommendations are for the runaways. Those who have the courage to remain in great cities, such as London, are not to be less scrupulous in manifesting the same selfish cowardice. To the utmost practicable extent disfurnish the house. Get rid of all superfluous domestics, and take care that it shall be impossible for those that are retained to communicate with any one out of doors. All letters and supplies of food must be received from the police messengers. They must be drawn up to a window of the first floor by means of a rope having a yard of chain and an iron pail attached to it. Mixed up with some semi-medical precautions, the article sets forth how in some cities this pest destroys here a sixth, there a fourth, and in a third town a half of the population. The plague of Marseilles, the plague of Messina, are examples of false confidence. To excite fear is a true mode of being safe. At Messina, where no precaution was adopted, "all at once the pest was found raging, and the populace rose in the frenzy of wrath and despair, and glutted themselves with murder."* Marseilles was named without a word of "Marseilles' good bishop." There were many in England, lay and clerical, who remembered noble examples in their own country, of the duty of the rich to the poor in such a season of calamity, and they followed them in the spirit of Christian brotherhood. Perhaps the cholera awakened some of this feeling which had been too long slumbering.

The Ministers were solemnly warned by this writer of the responsibility they incurred, if they neglected those preparations which they alone could make. "The more rigorous the laws, and the more strictly they are enforced, the more certainly will the government be pronounced a merciful one." The government did not neglect preparations, and did not shrink from wise precautions; but these were of a very different character than such as would have plunged the whole country into a confusion far more

* "Quarterly Review" No. xci. November, 1831, article viii.

dreadful than any visitation of the most pestilent disease. A Central Board of Health was formed, which, in a circular letter dated from the Council Office, recommended as to precautionary measures, that every large community should be divided into sections to form distinct Boards of Health, each to consist, if possible, of a resident clergyman, of a number of substantial householders, and of one medical man at least. Such boards were to appoint inspectors, each of whom was daily to visit a hundred houses, and upon their reports to endeavour to remedy such deficiencies as might be found to exist in the primary elements of public health, namely, food, clothing, bedding, ventilation, space, cleanliness, habits of temperance, prevention of panic. With regard to intercourse with suspected or infected persons or places, they strongly deprecated all measures of coercion for avoiding communication, which measures, when tried upon the Continent, had invariably been found productive of evil. Temporary cholera hospitals, detached, insulated, and thoroughly exposed to free and open air, were recommended to be established. Fortunately the good sense of the English people prevented a natural and wholesome alarm being degraded into panic. Religious trust and active benevolence were much better supports than the practical atheism which would have turned domestic servants out of doors, and have fled from the duties of social life to seek some imaginary hiding-place where the destroyer could not come. On the 6th of November the people knelt in their churches to utter a form of prayer, whose words would not be forgotten in their private orisons: "Lord! have pity on thy people, both here and abroad; withdraw thy heavy hand from those who are suffering under thy judgments, and turn away from us that grievous calamity, against which our only security is in thy compassion." The visitation of this calamity, although very fatal in some districts, was by no means extensive, compared with the aggregate number of the population. It had died out after the ensuing summer. There had not been during its continuance any marked interruption in the ordinary intercourse of life, and in the communications between place and place. The cholera left a real blessing behind it. The care of the public health from that time became a duty which no ministry could neglect, and which, after many experiments in the organization of a fit machinery, placed us in a condition not only to mitigate the effects of any pest in recurring years, but to elevate the whole body of the people in habits of cleanliness and comfort, and to prolong the duration of life in village and in city, in the pleasant fields and in the close factories.

In the midst of the cholera visitation, Parliament assembled on the 6th of December. In the King's Speech, first of all was recommended a careful consideration of the measures to be proposed for the Reform of Parliament; a speedy and satisfactory settlement of the question becoming daily of more pressing importance to the security of the State and to the contentment and welfare of his majesty's people. On the 12th of December, lord John Russell introduced the new Bill for Parliamentary Reform. It was in many respects really a new measure. The results of the Census of April had been obtained. The Census of 1821 had been found a fallacious guide as to what boroughs ought or ought not to be disfranchised. Taking the Census of 1831 as the basis of the population test, the boundaries of towns, which had been carefully surveyed, were included in the boroughs of which they had previously formed no part. A mixed test of the importance of boroughs was to be determined by the number of persons, the number of houses and the amount of assessed taxes paid. The disfranchised boroughs were still to be fifty-six, though the list of those to be placed in what was called Schedule A was materially varied from that formerly proposed. Schedule B, of boroughs to return only one member, was now reduced from forty-one to thirty, whilst others which had formerly been in this schedule were to be taken out, and to return two members. These variations from the former scheme were rendered necessary chiefly by the determination of the government not to diminish the number of the House of Commons, continuing the number as it then stood of six hundred and fifty-eight. Some of the most ardent Reformers thought that the Bill was impaired by these alterations. Sir Robert Peel taunted the ministers with having adopted amendments offered from his side of the House, but nevertheless expressed his determination of giving to the principle of this Bill a steady and firm opposition. On the second reading in the House of Commons there was a debate of two nights, terminating on the morning of Sunday the 18th, when the ministerial majority was a hundred and sixty-two. Parliament was now adjourned to the 17th of January.

To follow the progress of the Reform Bill through the House of Commons during the next two months would be impossible for us to attempt, even if the details of the conflict—in which the cleverness, pertinacity, and unfairness of the opposition were strikingly in contrast with the good-humoured steadiness of lord Althorp, and the impassability of lord John Russell—were less wearisome than they now would be when the interest of such a session of skirmishes is wholly lost in the result of the great bat-

tle. The Scotch and Irish bills were brought in on the 19th of January. On the 20th, the House went into Committee on the English Bill, which Committee was not ended till the 10th of March, the Report being considered on the 14th. On the 19th, the third reading of the Bill was moved. There was again a final debate, in which the combatants on each side were marshalled in as great numbers as on any previous occasion. In a House of five hundred and ninety-four members the Bill was passed by a majority of a hundred and sixteen.

On Monday the 26th of March the Reform Bill was carried up to the House of Lords, and was read a first time on that day. There was a general opinion that the Bill would not pass unscathed through the Upper House without a large creation of Peers. On the 7th of January Sydney Smith wrote to the countess Grey, that everybody expected a creation as a matter of course: "I am for forty, to make things safe in Committee."* It was impossible that lord Grey should not have felt the most extreme reluctance to resort to so bold and hazardous a measure. Somewhat later, Sydney Smith wrote, "If you wish to be happy three months hence, create Peers. If you wish to avoid an old age of sorrow and reproach, create Peers." Upon this letter of Sydney Smith, which was addressed to lady Grey, the following note is written by herself: "Many of lord Grey's friends, as represented by Mr. S. Smith, concurred in the opinions expressed in this letter, and the whole of the liberal press, the 'Times' in particular, urged the necessity of creating Peers, with alarming violence, and did not scruple to assert that even the life of an old and timid man should be sacrificed for the good of the country! And had the Bill been again thrown out, there is every probability that lord Grey would have run considerable risk. Fully aware of this fact, it was therefore an act of no inconsiderable courage to resist the entreaties of his friends and the opinion of the public; but the event justified the wisdom of his decision."† The "alarming violence" of the liberal press at this subsequent period was only the reflection of the more alarming violence which then prevailed throughout the country. In the concluding portion of his speech on moving the second reading, lord Grey said, "My lords, I admit that we have of late heard none of that outcry on the part of the people which first marked the progress of this Bill. In its place, a fearful silence at present prevails,—a silence which may, perhaps, lead some persons foolishly to imagine that the people are

* "Memoir," vol. ii. p. 334.

† "Life and Opinions of Charles, Earl Grey, by his son, the Hon. C. Grey," p. 16.

no longer looking at this question with the same feelings of interest. But I caution your lordships to beware how you form that opinion." * The previous strong manifestation of popular opinion; the formation of Political Unions throughout the country; the open talk of making force prevail if reason could not prevail, had produced some alarm in the Court. Communications, it is affirmed, had passed between the king's private secretary and lord Wharncliffe, in which the royal wish had been expressed that the opposition to the Bill in the House of Lords should be less decided. Lord Grey was aware of some partial change of opinion. He said on this first debate on the second reading, "I must confess that I look with something like hope to that which appears to be a sort of approach to a favourable decision on the part of this House." Lord Wharncliffe and lord Harrowby had announced to him that they intended to vote for the second reading, but with a full intention of striking from the Bill those parts which they deemed the most obnoxious. † The debate was carried on for four nights, lord Ellenborough having moved as an amendment that the Bill be read that day six months. At seven o'clock in the morning of the 14th of April, the Bill was read a second time by a majority of nine—a hundred and eighty-four contents; a hundred and seventy-five non-contents. There were votes for the Bill from some who had been absent from the division in 1831; some who had voted against it now abstained from voting; seventeen who had voted against the previous Bill now voted for this Bill. Jeffrey, who was present through the debate, described it as not very brilliant, but in its latter stage excessively interesting. Lyndhurst's, he said, was by far the cleverest and most dangerous speech against the government; lord Grey's reply, considering his age and the time, really astonishing,—he having spoken near an hour and a half after five o'clock, from the kindling dawn into full sunlight. Of the aspect of the House through that night the Lord-Advocate has left a striking picture.‡ The benches of the Peers very full; their demeanour, on the whole, still and solemn; nearly three hundred members of the Commons clustered in the space around the throne, or standing in a row of three deep below the bar; the candles renewed before the blue beams of the day came across their red light, and blazing on after the sun came in at the high windows, producing a strange effect on the red draperies and dusky tapestries on the walls.

Parliament was adjourned for the Easter recess till the 7th of

* Hansard, vol. xii. col. 25.

† Roebuck's "Whig Ministry," vol. ii. p. 261.

‡ Cockburn—"Life of Lord Jeffrey," vol. i. p. 330.

May. Although there might be some rejoicing at the majority for the second reading of the Bill, the popular conviction was, that it was not safe from mutilations which would have materially changed its character. For three weeks there was incessant agitation, far more formidable than riot and window-breaking. Petitions from almost every populous place exhorted "King William, the father of his country," not to hesitate if a necessity should arise for creating Peers. The petition from Birmingham to the Lords implored them to pass the Reform Bill into a law unimpaired in any of its great parts and provisions. On the day appointed for the Parliament to meet, the Political Unions of Warwick, Worcester, and Stafford were assembled in Birmingham, at New Hall Hill. It was considered to be the largest meeting ever held in Great Britain. There was a solemnity in the enthusiasm of this vast body of people which may awake the memory of the fervid zeal of the old Puritans. One of the speakers, Mr. Salt, called upon the vast multitude to repeat, with head uncovered, and in the face of Heaven, the words which he should repeat—and every man bared his head, and slowly uttered word by word this comprehensive resolve—"With unbroken faith through every peril and privation we here devote ourselves and our children to our country's cause." On that afternoon the House of Lords went into Committee on the Reform Bill. The first great principle of the measure was the disfranchising of the boroughs. Lord Lyndhurst moved, that the first and second clauses of the Bill be postponed. These were the disfranchising clauses; and the motion was carried against ministers by a majority of thirty-five. Lord Grey, on that Monday night, moved that the Chairman of the Committee should report progress, and ask leave to sit again on Thursday. His motion was carried. The interval of two days preceded a week of intense excitement, such as the country had not witnessed in any previous stages of this contest,—such as had certainly not occurred in the memory of man,—perhaps had not occurred since the Revolution of 1688.

On the morning of the 8th of May the Cabinet, not without some apprehensions of the ultimate consequences of such a proceeding, resolved upon asking the king to give his sanction to a large creation of Peers. Lord Brougham has recorded his doubts as to this step in the following words:—"I had a strong feeling of the necessity of the case, in the very peculiar circumstances we were placed in; but such was my deep sense of the dreadful consequences of the act, that I much question whether I should not have preferred running the risk of confusion that attended the loss

of the Bill as it then stood, rather than expose the Constitution to so imminent a hazard of subversion."* The king without any hesitation refused his assent to the proposition. "His Majesty's resolution had already been shaken by the threatening aspect of affairs, and by the apprehensions of his family and Court, and he not unnaturally shrank from so startling an exercise of his prerogative."† The resignation of the ministers was at once tendered to the sovereign, and the next day was formally accepted by letter. The details of this audience have not yet escaped into the materials for history which are found in private memoirs. Let us stray a moment from the dull highway of politics into the pleasanter by-path of a private interview between lord Althorp and the Lord Advocate of Scotland. "I went," writes Jeffrey on the 9th, "to Althorp at ten o'clock, and had a characteristic scene with that most honest, frank, true, and stout-hearted of all God's creatures. He had not come down stairs, and I was led up to his dressing-room, where I found him sitting on a stool, in a dark duffle dressing-gown, with his arms (very rough and hairy), bare above the elbows, and his beard half shaved, and half staring through the lather, with a desperate razor in one hand and a great soap-brush in the other. He gave me the loose finger of the brush hand, and with the usual twinkle of his bright eye and radiant smile, he said, 'You need not be anxious about your Scotch Bill for to-night, for I have the pleasure to tell you, we are no longer his Majesty's ministers.'" Lord Althorp's parting exhortation to the Lord Advocate was, "Do what you can to keep peace, and with your last official breath exhort and conjure the lovers of liberty to be lovers of order and tolerance." On the evening of the 9th, lord Grey announced to the Lords, and lord Althorp to the Commons, the resignation of ministers. On the 10th lord Ebrington moved, that the House should address the King, expressing their deep regret at the change which had taken place in the Councils of his Majesty, and to implore him "to call to his Councils such persons only as will carry into effect, unimpaired in all its essential provisions, that Bill for reforming the representation of the people which has recently passed this House." The motion was carried by a majority of eighty—ayes 288; noes, 208.

For one week the nation was left to its conjectures, to its fears, to its anger, at the position of the government. The functions, indeed, of a government were suspended. The Whig Cabinet had gone out without leaving one holder of a subordinate office who

* "British Constitution," 1861, p. 270.

† May—"Constitutional History," p. 119.

would consent to join the government which the duke of Wellington had received authority from his sovereign to form. But though the nation was in suspense, that week was not a time of inactivity. In every populous place there were public meetings; and there was scarcely a town or city where resolutions were not entered into that petitions should be presented to the House of Commons, praying that no supplies should be granted till the Bill was passed unimpaired. Mr. John Wood,—whose political character was distinguished through many years of public service for his temperate support of liberal principles,—on presenting a petition from Manchester, signed in the space of three hours by twenty-five thousand persons, stated that the whole of the north of England, as a deputation which brought the petition informed him, was in a state of indignation which it was impossible to describe. It was his firm conviction that if a boroughmongering faction should prevail, the people would themselves take the most effectual mode of stopping the supplies, by telling the tax-gatherers to call again when the Reform Bill was passed. The dangerous scene coming on which Mr. Macaulay had dreaded when the first Reform Bill was rejected by the House of Lords: “I do not predict, I do not expect, open armed insurrection. What I apprehend is this,—that the people may engage in a silent but extensive and persevering war against the law. I fear that we may, before long, see the tribunals defied, the tax-gatherer resisted, public credit shaken, property insecure, the whole frame of society hastening to dissolution.”* All these possible consequences presented a greater danger than the threats of some Political Unions that they would march to London and bivouac in the Squares; more fearful than the protestations of the Birmingham Union that two hundred thousand men should go forth from their shops and forges to encamp on Hampstead Heath, and there become the arbiters of the nation’s destiny. Much of this was wild talk, and equally wild were the speculations that the duke of Wellington had resolved to put an end to such popular demonstrations by the power of the sword. A debate ensued upon the presentation of the Manchester petition, in which violent expressions were employed, touching “a fighting duke and a military government,”—of a people not to be diverted from their purpose by “swords and bayonets.” It was stated that the duke of Wellington had stood pledged since the 9th to quiet the country in ten days. The Scots Greys, quartered at Birmingham, had been employed on the Sunday in grinding their swords, as was afterwards told the world in the Autobiography of a trooper

* “Speeches of T. B. Macaulay, corrected by himself,” p. 59.

who held some strong political opinions in common with other members of his corps, and was punished, as many averred with some appearance of truth, for the too free expression of those opinions.* The duke of Wellington had other business in hand far more pressing, and with far more promise of success, than an attempt to govern England by the sword. He set out with confidence upon a royal commission to endeavour to give the necessary cohesion to the variously shaped atoms whose parliamentary union had thrown out the Reform Bill. Out of the conglomeration of these, a road was to be formed over which the state carriage might travel in safety,—not a macadamized road, but one constructed of round and square, smooth and rough materials, thrown together in a heap, to become serviceable when the people had sustained many accidents with fortitude, had ceased to be impatient of unavoidable obstruction, and were reconciled to what they deemed tyrannous. Of the failure of this plan the duke of Wellington gave a narrative to the House of Lords on the 17th of May. His majesty, when he was left entirely alone by his ministers, had sent for lord Lyndhurst, “to inquire if, in his opinion, there were any means, and if so, what means of forming a government for his majesty, on the principle of carrying an extensive Reform in the representation of the people.”† Lord Lyndhurst communicated with the duke of Wellington, and the duke found that a large number of his friends were not unwilling to give their support to a government formed upon such a principle, and especially to resist the advice which had been given to the king by his retiring ministers. His majesty thought an extensive measure of Reform should be carried. He, the duke, was always of opinion that Reform was unnecessary, and would prove most injurious. Nevertheless, he went about his task without any object of personal ambition. No part of the Bill before Parliament was safe, but undoubtedly a part of the Bill would be less injurious than the whole Bill. The duke very clearly pointed to a sufficient cause for his failure,—he had differed with some right honourable friends with whom he had been for many years in habits of cordial union. Sir Robert Peel, the next night in the House of Commons made the whole course of the negotiation perfectly intelligible. Lord Lyndhurst had conferred with him, although no communication was then made by the express command of his majesty—and had asked whether he, sir Robert Peel, would enter into the king’s service at this crisis—whether he would accept that office which in political life is supposed to be the

* “Autobiography of a Working Man” (Somerville).

† Hansard, vol. xii. col. 993.

highest office of ambition, on the clear understanding that his majesty's past declarations with regard to Reform should be fulfilled. "I answered," said sir Robert, "under the influence of feelings which no reasoning could abate, that it would not be for my honour, or the advantage of the country, that I should accept office on the condition of introducing an extensive measure of Reform." * The different courses taken by the duke of Wellington and sir Robert Peel were characteristic of the two men. The first impulse, of the duke was to obey the wishes of his sovereign, not caring for a sacrifice of consistency. Sir Robert Peel took a broader view than that of a dutiful obedience to the wishes of his sovereign. He saw very clearly that no compromise of the principle of Reform would now satisfy the expectations of the people. He had made a sacrifice of his consistency on a previous great occasion. He would now maintain it, and let others carry the measure which he held to be dangerous. These personal questions are, to a certain extent, of a temporary nature. More important and permanent are the Constitutional questions which became prominent in this ministerial crisis. In the debate of the 17th the duke of Wellington said, with reference to the proposed creation of peers:—"I ask, my lords, is there any one blind enough not to see that if a minister can with impunity advise his sovereign to such an unconstitutional exercise of his prerogative, as to thereby decide all questions in this house, there is absolutely an end to the power and objects of deliberation in this House, and an end to all just and proper means of decision?" In answer to this question lord Grey said: "And I ask, what would be the consequences if we were to suppose that such a prerogative did not exist, or could not be constitutionally exercised? The Commons have a control over the power of the Crown by the privilege, in extreme cases, of refusing the supplies; and the Crown has, by means of its power to dissolve the House of Commons, a control upon any violent and rash proceedings on the part of the Commons; but if a majority of this House is to have the power, whenever they please, of opposing the declared and decided wishes both of the Crown and the people, without any means of modifying that power, then this country is placed entirely under the influence of an uncontrollable oligarchy." †

On the 15th of May it was announced in both Houses that Ministers had resumed their communication with his majesty. As the news went through the land the people everywhere settled down, in patience to abide the result. On the 18th lord Grey declared in the House of Lords that he now entertained a confident expecta-

* Hansard, vol. xii. col. 1074.

† *Ibid.*, col. 1006.

tion of being able to carry the Reform Bill unimpaired and immediately. Upon what grounds did this confidence rest? Lord Grey and lord Brougham had an audience of the King at Windsor, on the 17th. The King, it is stated, was alarmed, and manifested not only emotion, but displeasure. He kept the two peers standing, contrary to usage, during their audience. He retained his private secretary, sir Herbert Taylor, in the room, during the whole time. Lord Grey and lord Brougham declined to return to office unless the King gave a promise to the necessary creation of Peers. The promise was most reluctantly given. Lord Brougham requested permission to have it in writing. The words of this document were as follows: "The King grants permission to earl Grey, and to his chancellor, lord Brougham, to create such a number of Peers as will be sufficient to insure the passing of the Reform Bill—first calling up peers' eldest sons. (Signed) William R., Windsor, May 17, 1832."* The power of creation was never called into exercise. The King, through sir Herbert Taylor, employed his personal influence with the opposition Peers to induce them to desist from further attempts to arrest the course of the Reform Bill. It has been stated that his private secretary—a man of remarkable sagacity and discretion—had communicated to some of the more vehement of the Peers a narrative of what had passed at the interview at Windsor, and had thereby incurred a tremendous responsibility. There was no personal danger in his writing a circular letter to the anti-Reform Peers in general, stating "that all difficulties to the arrangements in progress will be obviated by a declaration in the House to-night from a sufficient number of Peers, that, in consequence of the present state of affairs, they have come to the resolution of dropping their further opposition to the Reform Bill, so that it may pass without delay, and as nearly as possible in its present shape." The pressure of the royal will upon the Peers was unconstitutional "This interference of the King with the independent deliberations of the House of Lords was in truth a more unconstitutional act than a creation of Peers."† But it overcame the difficulties of an alarming crisis. It saved the necessity of what was popularly called "swamping the House of Lords;" it averted the manifold dangers of a continued resistance to the wishes of the people; it removed a great embarrassment from the Cabinet,—for unquestionably the prime minister, the lord chancellor, and others, would have hesitated to use at all, certainly to use to their full ex-

* Roebuck's "History of the Whig Ministry," vol. ii. p. 331. Mr. Roebuck states that the document is missing from the papers of Lord Brougham, but that it was seen by many persons at the time.

† May, vol. i. p. 120.

tent, the powers which were granted to them. The advice tendered by sir Herbert Taylor was at once adopted. The duke of Wellington withdrew after his explanation on the 17th, and did not return to the House of Lords till the night after the passing of the Reform Bill. His wise and patriotic example was followed by a sufficient number of Peers to afford a decided majority for the ministers. On the 21st of May the discussion of the Bill was resumed. The duke of Newcastle, after several of the clauses had been passed, said, with bitter irony, that he would recommend to the Committee to vote all the details of the Bill at once, and send it up to a third reading. The business in Committee was finished on the last day of May. On the 4th of June the Bill was passed by a majority of eighty-four. The Commons next day agreed to the unimportant amendments proposed by the Lords, and on the 7th of June the English Reform Bill received the royal assent. The Reform Act for Scotland and the Reform Act for Ireland were also quickly passed—the Scotch Bill on the 13th of July; the Irish on the 18th. After the experience of thirty years this measure has been described by one perfectly well acquainted with the theory and practice of Parliament, as “a measure at once bold, comprehensive, moderate and constitutional; popular but not democratic, it extended liberty without hazarding revolution. . . . That it was theoretically complete, and left nothing for future statesmen to effect, its authors never affirmed; but it was a masterly settlement of a perilous question.” *

* May, “Constitutional History,” p. 357.

CHAPTER V.

Dissolution of Parliament.—Proceedings for Elections under the Reform Act.—Effect of Changes in the system of Representation.—Condition of the Nomination Boroughs.—Electoral changes in close Corporations.—The new Representation.—Metropolitan Boroughs.—Manufacturing Towns.—Scotland.—Ireland.—Approaching meeting of the Reformed Parliament.—Fears of Anti-Reformers.—Extension of Popular Literature during the Crisis.

PARLIAMENT, having been prorogued on the 16th of August, was dissolved by proclamation on the 3rd of December. A new parliament was then summoned. During the autumn and early winter the entire population had been engaged in preparing for that reconstruction of the entire framework of the representative system with which, according to some, a new and more glorious temple of liberty should be built up. According to others, this disjointed and dangerous scaffolding of modern experiment would perish in that whirlwind of anarchy which was soon to sweep over the land. The preparations for a general election were altogether so new,—so entirely different from the rough and ready license long associated with the exercise of the suffrage—that many in England began to think with regret of the good old times when the oath at the election-booth, amidst the bluster of counsel and the cheers or hisses of the mob, was the sole test of the freeholder's or occupier's right to vote. What formalities were now to be gone through. On the 20th of June there was affixed on the door of every church and chapel a notice, requiring all persons who might be entitled to vote for Knights of the Shire in respect of property situate in that parish or township, to send the particulars of their claims to the overseers. Of the claims thus made the overseers were to prepare a list, and publish it in the same manner before the last day of July. A similar process, with some slight variation, was to be pursued in boroughs. The overseers were to prepare the list, and parties who had been omitted were to demand the insertion of their names. But now arises a second process which, whether in village or in town, is for a fortnight to be a perpetual source of discussion and dispute, at every market dinner-table and in every club-room. Objectors to the names in the lists are to send their objections to certain authorities. The cavillings are hushed for awhile. The

objections are made ; but these are no more than the pleas for a judicial investigation. A new and strange machinery is to come into operation between the 15th of September and the 25th of October, to separate the wheat from the chaff. The great winnowing is the revising-barrister. A day or two of dispute in every county town and in every borough, and then the object is accomplished which is set forth in the Act—"it is expedient to form a Register." The lists are duly attested and copied into proper books. All the work of swearing and cross-examination, and decision of the returning-officer, —who, if he were the mayor of a borough, had as little legal knowledge as mayors commonly possessed,—was at an end. The marginal note to the statute describes in a few words what would be the result of this preliminary investigation—"no inquiry at the time of election, except as to the identity of the voter, the continuance of his qualification, and whether he has voted before at the same election."

The proclamation summoning a new parliament has gone forth. But what a change has taken place in the arrangements for the approaching hour of battle. For county elections the polling was to continue for two days, and to last during seven hours of the first day, and eight hours of the second. To accomplish this the counties were to be divided into convenient districts for polling. In the same way the elections for boroughs were to occupy only two days, and if necessary, polling-booths were to be erected at separate places of the same borough. Not altogether would the immemorial election-row, the speeches, the fun and the fight below the hustings, be discontinued. There would be a nomination-day, on which protestations and pledges might be duly given, and the troublesome querist bowed off or hooted down. After the nomination the humours of an election were pretty nearly over. There was much real business to be done in committee-rooms, and zealous partisans hurried to and fro, and whispered magical words of encouragement to voters whose consciences were too scrupulous for a sudden resolve. Eight-and-forty hours saw the end of all this. The day of payment was to come for the unhappy candidate ; probably the petition to the House of Commons against an undue return ; the determination of a committee to put down bribery ; the ranker growth of the weed after the scratching over of the ground on which it flourished.

If the modes of election were altered in this most revolutionary fashion, in places which had retained their old, or acquired their new, privilege of sending members to parliament, how much more revolutionary was the change which took away this right from fifty-six English boroughs returning collectively a hundred and

eleven members: and which reduced the number of representatives in other boroughs so as to take a hundred and forty-three members out of the old scheme of representation.

The history of our ancient system of summoning to Parliament for cities and boroughs is full of obscurity. It is difficult at first to understand how places which could never have been very populous or wealthy should have been selected, in common with towns which in very early times were great marts of commerce, possessing as they did all the organization of municipal government which was so marked a characteristic of the life of England in the days of feudality. These seats of industry were fitted for electoral rights. Gradually many of the municipal authorities usurped the rights of the freemen, and became themselves the sole possessors of the franchise. The earliest record of the regular existence of the House of Commons is from the reign of Edward the First. The list up to the time of Henry the Eighth of cities and boroughs sending representatives is strikingly illustrative of the stability as well as the mutability of human affairs. Of the hundred and sixty-three places of this early period which had not intermitted their privilege at the time of the Reform Bill,—returning collectively three hundred and thirteen members,—there were only twenty-nine wholly disqualified, and twenty-five partially disqualified, for want of a sufficient population in 1832. Mr. Hallam was of opinion that it would be difficult to name any town of the least consideration in the 14th or 15th centuries which did not at some time or other return members to parliament; and he concluded that if we found any inland town or seaport which had never enjoyed the elective franchise, we must suppose that it had since emerged from obscurity. He subsequently qualified this opinion, although true in the main, by recollecting exceptions in the northern part of England, such as Sheffield and Manchester.* Of the petty boroughs of the 15th and 16th centuries that passed into schedule A, we can scarcely understand why the number should have been so few, except by referring to the undoubted fact that representation was often regarded by the burgesses as a burden rather than a privilege. Their old custom of returning members to parliament often dropped into disuse. John Paston, in 1472, writes to his brother Sir John, "If ye miss to be burgess of Maldon, and my lord Chamberlain will, ye may be in another place. There be a dozen towns in England that choose no burgess which ought to do it. Ye may be set in for one of those towns, if ye be

* "Constitutional History," chap. xiii.

friended."* In the reigns of Edward VI., Mary, and Elizabeth, there were forty-six new writs addressed to comparatively petty places with the exception of the City of Westminster. Few of these were derived from a popular principle, such as had influenced the early constitution of the House of Commons. They were called into Parliamentary existence for the purpose of strengthening the government, whether Protestant or Popish, in the revolutions of religion. Of the boroughs of Edward the Sixth, seven passed into Schedule A; of those of Mary, five were thus disfranchised; and of those of Elizabeth sixteen. Of these comparatively modern seats of venality twelve were in Cornwall.

Of the fifty-six English boroughs which had been scheduled into a political death, the representative history would be exceedingly curious if it could be traced not merely from the dry rolls of parliament, but from the secret records of great peers, who held not only these very obscure places to do what they would with their own, but dominated over others which had their mark upon them in schedule B., and even over others which had no mark at all. "What right," exclaimed Sydney Smith, during the discussions on the Reform Bill, "has this lord or that marquis to buy ten seats in Parliament in the shape of boroughs, and then to make laws to govern me?" † This was not a rhetorical flourish; the question was founded upon a well-known fact. "The duke of Norfolk was represented by eleven members; lord Lonsdale by nine; lord Darlington by seven; the duke of Rutland, the marquis of Buckingham, and lord Carrington, each by six. Seats were held, in both Houses alike, by hereditary right." ‡ Marvellous is it to look back upon the condition of some of the nomination boroughs, which my lord handed over to his son just come from Eton, or to Vellum the Steward, or to Mr. Plumpkin, a neighbouring country gentleman, or to the distant relation in the County Militia who calls up the carriage at the Opera, or to the barrister who has written an article in the "Quarterly,"—as these nominees are described by the reverend humorist. Foremost is old Sarum, which, in 1831, returned two members, absolutely with a population that stands in the census thus, —, as too small to be enumerated. Nevertheless, a ceremony of choosing two burgesses who should interpret his patriotic wishes in Parliament was gone through by the one elector of the borough, who kept the ale-house at the foot of the venerable mound. Bramber borough, also returning two members, was in schedule A—borough and parish having twenty-

* "Paston Letters," Ramsay's edition, vol. ii. p. 71. † Speech at Taunton.

‡ May, "Constitutional History," p. 227.

one inhabited houses and six uninhabited, with a male population of fifty-six. The railway traveller approaching the Redhill station may look upon the picturesque woods and beautiful mansion of Gatton. Its glory is departed, for no longer come out upon the lawn the seven electors enjoying household suffrage to return the two right honourables who were nominated by the owner of that great house. Dunwich, once the seat of the first East-Anglian bishop, was washed away by the sea; but it had not lost all its splendour, for, out of its forty-four hovels came the half-dozen voters who returned the earl of Brecknock and a Commoner to represent them in Parliament. The changes in the coast line had made Winchelsea desolate, but no changes of society could deprive Winchelsea of its glorious privilege of having as potent a voice in the legislature as Liverpool. Most valuable were such places as property, for the nomination to them was notoriously sold. The nomination for Gatton, without the estate, was held to be worth a hundred thousand pounds as an investment. There is a passage in Locke's famous "Treatise on Civil Government" which sufficiently shows that such anomalies of English representation existed at the time of the Revolution, as they would continue to exist for nearly a century and a half later. "Things not always changing equally, and private interest often keeping up customs and privileges when the reasons of them are ceased, it often comes to pass, that in governments, where part of the legislature consists of representatives chosen by the people, in tract of time this representation becomes very unequal and disproportionate to the reasons it was at first established upon. To what gross absurdities the following of a custom, when reason has left, may lead, we may be satisfied when we see the bare name of a town, of which there remains not so much as the ruins, where scarce so much housing as a sheep-cote, or more inhabitants than a shepherd is to be found, sends as many representatives to the grand assembly of law-makers as a whole county, numerous in people and powerful in riches. This strangers stand amazed at, and every one must confess needs a remedy."

The electors of the favoured Nomination Boroughs, whether voters by scot and lot, or potwallopers, or holding by burgage tenure, had little cause to weep over what some parliamentary orators called the extinction of ancient rights. They had a very small share in the division of the spoil. A leg of mutton and trimmings at the Chequers was their general reward for the discreet exercise of their noble privileges. The borough patrons, Whigs or Tories, —for each party had a tolerably equal share in this species of

property,—put the purchase-money into their pockets at every election, without caring to oil the machinery which worked the mine. When Romilly bought his seat for Horsham of the duke of Norfolk, the “free and independent electors” had little advantage of the cash. When George the Third, in 1779, wrote to lord North, “If the duke of Northumberland requires some gold pills for the election, it would be wrong not to satisfy him,”* his grace of Northumberland’s electors were necessarily content with a bread pill or two without the gilding. There was no insurrection in the decayed boroughs; no threatened march to London, when their electoral privileges were quietly buried, never again to be exhumed. Not so noiseless was the grief of the close corporations, who, in some ancient cities and manufacturing towns, returned the representatives of populous communities. Sad was the day of December when the mayor, ten aldermen, and twenty-four common-council men of the city of Bath found that their power of choosing the representatives of fifty thousand persons was come to an end. Dire was the grief when the bailiff and twelve burgesses of Buckingham could no longer do the bidding of the lord of Stowe. With dejected looks the corporators of no very small number of boroughs had to go forth into the market-place to proclaim the writ that would cease to be a symbol of their exclusive power. And yet, in such case, what was called the respectability of a town was not wholly swamped in the flood of democracy. With singular inconsistency it was maintained, in the case of Bath, that “the first member elected by the new constituency was the very same gentleman whom the corrupt and jobbing corporators had returned for six or seven parliaments, as they had done his father for three parliaments before.”† The grief was, that the second member, whom the corporation generally chose from the families of the marquis of Bath or the marquis Camden, was one of those “extraordinary missionaries whom Mr. Hume despatched by the several stage-coaches, labelled and ticketed, and, for ought we know, specified in the way-bill, as members to be chosen for such and such a place.” What has Bath gained by the change? asks the reviewer. It gained John Arthur Roebuck instead of the nominee of lord Bath or lord Camden.

Let us turn from what the Reform Bill destroyed in England to what it created or restored. Mr. May has pointed out that in 1776 John Wilkes proposed a scheme comprising “all the leading

* Letters of Lord North, appended to Lord Brougham’s “Sketches of Statesmen,” vol. i. p. 137, edition 1855.

† “Quarterly Review,” vol. xlix. p. 267.

principles of Parliamentary Reform which were advocated for the next fifty years without success, and have been sanctioned within our own time." We refer to the "Parliamentary History," and find that the proposition of the famous demagogue, who then triumphantly sat in Parliament for Middlesex, was to give an increased representation to the metropolis; to lop off the mean, venal, and dependent boroughs; and to permit "the rich, populous, trading towns Birmingham, Manchester, Sheffield, Leeds, and others, to send deputies to the great council of the nation." The motion of Wilkes was of course negatived without a division. The terms in which lord North told him this would happen supply an amusing contrast to the political hypocrisy of half a century later, which maintained that "the rotten part of our constitution" was "a thing of beauty"—the ornament and safety of the State. The member for Middlesex, said the frank and good-humoured minister, "would find it no easy task to prevail on those who had an interest in the boroughs, on which he bestowed so many hard names, to sacrifice to ideal schemes of reformation so beneficial a species of property."* The increased representation of the metropolis was effected by the Reform Bill in the creation of four new boroughs—Marylebone, Finsbury, the Tower Hamlets, and Lambeth. The increase of Marylebone had been going on for more than a century. After the building of Cavendish Square in the reign of George the First, Marylebone became the most aristocratic district of London. There were five hundred and seventy-seven houses in the parish in 1739, and the number of persons who kept coaches was thirty-five. In 1831 the number of houses was eleven thousand six hundred and eight. The statistics of the nineteenth century would have little regard to the number of coaches as an index of the wealth of the people. The returns of assessed taxes afford a surer criterion. In 1831 the aggregate amount so paid for each one hundred persons was 168*l.* in the City, 150*l.* in Westminster, 120*l.* in Marylebone. Finsbury exhibited only three-fourths of the wealth thus indicated by direct taxation, though its population was greater than that of Marylebone. The Tower Hamlets contained the poorest population, with the greatest commercial wealth. It was the seat of the docks and of ship-building yards. It was a vast maritime city, with all the inequalities of condition that belong to such a population. The Tower Hamlets paid only a fourth of the amount of assessed taxes collected in Marylebone. Lambeth was the seat of the principal manufacturing industries of London. Its population was considerably less than that of the other new

* "Parliamentary History," vol. xviii. cols. 1295—1297.

metropolitan boroughs. Its large number of the smallest houses was balanced by its larger number of moderate-sized private houses; especially in its pleasant southern districts, which might then be called rural. Such were the populations of the metropolitan north that had succeeded "the archers of Finsbury and the citizens that come a-ducking to Islington ponds." * Such were the populations of the metropolitan south that had trodden out the memories of the bishop of Winchester's palace, of the Liberty of the Clink, of the Bear-garden, and of the Globe.

The most important of the manufacturing towns which attained to the dignity of sending two members to Parliament under the Reform Act were Manchester, Birmingham, Leeds, Sheffield, Sunderland, Wolverhampton, Bolton, Bradford, Blackburn, Halifax, Macclesfield, Oldham, Stockport, Stoke-upon-Trent, and Stroud. Brighton, a wealthy and fashionable watering-place, was included in this catalogue. Of the twenty-one less important new boroughs which were to return one member, the chief commercial towns were Ashton-under-Lyne, Bury, Dudley, Frome, Gateshead, Huddersfield, Kidderminster, Kendal, Rochdale, Salford, South Shields, Tynemouth, Wakefield, Warrington, Whitby, Whitehaven, and Merthyr-Tydvil. To this catalogue were added Chatham and Cheltenham. What vast changes in the whole structure of society are indicated by this bare enumeration! With this list is associated all the history of the wondrous rise of the textile manufactures, dating from the time of Arkwright and Crompton; of the first rotatory steam-engine of Watt, erected at Warrington in 1787; of the power-loom of Cartwright. How suggestive it is of the vast changes in the smelting of iron from the time of the improved processes of Roebuck; of the opening of vast beds of coal that lay useless beneath the surface, and of the workings of copper and tin mines, whose treasures were drowned till the new power of mechanics had made them dry; of the numberless beautiful arts that had been called into life in the working of metals; of the discoveries of chemistry, which had done as much for the triumphs of industry as the inventions of machinery. If the opposers of the Reform of 1832 could have put back the dial of a nation's progress for three more decades, we may ask how the population of Manchester and Salford, which in 1831 was two hundred and twenty-seven thousand, would have endured their position in 1861, when their population was four hundred and sixty thousand; how Birmingham, which in 1831 was a hundred and forty-two thousand, would have felt in 1861 with two hundred and ninety-five thousand; how

* Ben Jonson—"Every Man in his humour."

Leeds, with its hundred and twenty-three thousand would have been content when it had reached two hundred and seven thousand ; how Sheffield, with its hundred and twenty-six thousand would have remained quiet when it had reached a hundred and eighty-five thousand ; how Bradford, with forty-three thousand, would have rested without representation with a hundred and six thousand. Since the era of the Reform Bill there have been other changes in the relative importance of industrial communities, but not so great as those we have glanced at. Macaulay, in his speech on the second reading of the Reform Bill, exclaimed against the doctrine of finality—"Who can say that a hundred years hence there may not be, on the shore of some desolate and silent bay in the Hebrides, another Liverpool, with its docks and warehouses and endless forests of masts? Who can say that the huge chimneys of another Manchester may not rise in the wilds of Connemara?"* This is the poetry of eloquence. But it is a significant fact, illustrative of the same principle, that "another Liverpool" should have arisen on the sparsely populated south-western shore of the Mersey since Macaulay talked of industry and trade finding out new seats ; that Birkenhead should have been by the statute of the 25th of Victoria constituted a parliamentary borough,—the first borough obtaining the right of representation by the energy that in twenty years produced a port that might rival those of famous cities that had been growing for centuries.

We may add to this imperfect notice a few words about the Reform Act for Scotland. The number of its representatives had been determined by the Act of Union. Wilkes shrewdly said, in 1776, "I am almost afraid the forty-five Scottish gentlemen among us represent themselves." In 1831 the total number of county voters was about two thousand five hundred : the sixty-six burghs had an aggregate constituency of one thousand four hundred and forty. Edinburgh, with its population of a hundred and sixty-two thousand, had a constituency of thirty-three persons ; Glasgow, with its two hundred and two thousand, rejoiced in the same number of capable men to save its inhabitants from the troublesome choice of a fit and proper person to represent them in Parliament. The great authorities who domineered over the total Scotch electoral body of four thousand were under the dominion of the minister of the day who distributed patronage for the government, and of the succession of such ministers none was more active and adroit than the second lord Melville. Those who went to Parliament acted upon the sound principle of the Scotch county member,

* "Speeches," p. 32.

who said, "that his invariable rule was never to be present at a debate or absent at a division, and that he had only once in his long political life ventured to vote according to his conscience, and that he found that on that occasion he had voted wrong."* Without entering minutely into a description of the wonderful local machinery by which this extraordinary system of representation was managed, and of which system Jeffrey, as Lord Advocate, justly boasted that he had "left not a shred or patch," it may be sufficient to say that the elective franchise in counties being enveloped in feudal and technical absurdities, and thus costing a heavy price to attain, it was beyond the reach, not only of the lower class, but even of the majority of the middle and many of the higher classes. Of the town members Edinburgh returned only one; fourteen were returned by groups of burghs, each electing a delegate, and the four or five delegates so associated electing the representative. "Whatever this system may have been originally, it had grown, in reference to the people, into as complete a mockery as if it had been invented for their degradation. The people had nothing to do with it. It was all managed by town councils, of never more than thirty-three members, and every town council was self-elected, and consequently perpetuated its own interests. The election of either the town or the county member was a matter of such utter indifference to the people, that they often only knew of it by the ringing of a bell, or by seeing it mentioned next day in a newspaper; for the farce was generally performed in an apartment from which, if convenient, the public could be excluded, and never in the open air. †

The Reform Bill for Ireland, although contributing to the general improvement of the representation of the United Kingdom, was a much milder change of system than either the English or the Scotch bills. There was the same influence of great patrons in counties and boroughs; but the mode in which that influence was principally exercised, through the right of election in many places being vested in the corporations, was taken away by the Reform Act and vested in ten pound householders. There were no disfranchising clauses in the Act. The number of members was increased from one hundred to one hundred and five. The franchise, however, was comparatively very restricted. It was somewhat extended by a statute of the 13 & 14 Victoria, 1850.

The Elections were over before the close of the year. Accord-

* Hansard, Third Series, vol. vii. col. 543, from a speech of Mr. Gillon, member for Selkirk.

† Cockburn, "Life of Lord Jeffrey," vol. i. p. 75.

ing to the estimate of a journalist of that time, who was long famous for his scrupulous attention to the accuracy of minute details, there were two hundred and fifteen gentlemen who occupied seats for England in the last Parliament who were not returned to the new one. Of these, one hundred and forty-eight were anti-reformers. In Scotland, eighteen who were formerly in Parliament were also not returned. In Ireland, forty-two of the old members ceased to sit. Thirty of those who had thus lost their seats were Reformers; but they were principally driven out by Repealers. Altogether it was estimated that five hundred and eleven ministerialist reformers were returned; and a hundred and forty-seven who, now designated as Conservatives, were anti-ministerialists.*

And now the dreaded Reform Parliament was to assemble at the end of January, 1833. In 1835 a sensible traveller in England wrote to his friend in Germany, "Those who compared the Reform Parliament to the French National Assemblies have happily been greatly mistaken in their calculations; otherwise, instead of the tranquillity and satisfaction in which England lives, the guillotine would be already at work." † The crisis of terror through which many of the rich and fashionable classes had passed, and from which they had not yet emerged after six months' experience had shown them that chaos was not come again, is described by one who lived in the exclusive circles. Five days after the passing of the Reform Bill, Mr. Raikes thus writes in his Diary:—"I do not think that in all my experience I ever remember such a season in London as this has been; so little gaiety, so few dinners, balls, and fêtes. The political dissensions have undermined society, and produced coolnesses between so many of the highest families, and between even near relations, who have taken opposite views of the question. Independent of this feeling, the Tory party,—whose apprehensions for the future are most desponding, who think that a complete revolution is near at hand, and that property must every day become less secure,—are glad to retrench their usual expenses, and are beginning by economy to lay by a *poire pour la soif*. ‡ Those who have money at command are buying funds in America, or in Denmark, which they think least exposed to political changes." One of the chief terrors of the landed aristocracy, whether Whig or Tory, was that the Corn-laws would perish under the Reform

* "Spectator," January 12, 1833.

† Von Raumer—"England in 1835." vol. iii. p.

‡ "*Garder une poire pour la soif*"—"to keep a pear in case of thirst"—is equivalent to laying up something in case of want.

Parliament. "The Unionists," writes lord Eldon after the passing of the Bill, "are, it seems, unanimous for a repeal of the Corn-laws. The abused and misled lower orders are all for this. It will ruin them."* The ex-Chancellor,—who held pretty much the same opinions about commercial freedom as the traders of Worcester, who denounced in a petition to parliament, "the Free-trade system" as "a reliance on the doctrines of certain speculative persons called political economists," †—the great lawyer, who was the smallest of statesmen, believed that the entire prosperity of the country depended upon the expenditure of "the landed gentlemen," with "tradesmen and manufacturers," and that the ruin of the lower classes would necessarily follow if the repeal of the Corn-laws were to produce the lowering of rents. Many years were to pass before the industry of England should be freed, even in a Reformed Parliament, from the crushing weight of Corn-laws. The great minister who effected their repeal was a most strenuous opponent of the Reform Bill during its troublesome and dangerous birth. Other reforms which were loudly called for, or faintly suggested, and which were then denounced as "infamous projects," have, to a considerable extent, been realized during the course of thirty years. It was asked if lord Teynham, the author of a pamphlet entitled "How it must work," was of "same mind," when he suggested the reduction of the National Debt; the abolition of Excise taxation; an ascending property tax; abolition of slavery; freedom to our colonies under a domestic form of government of their own; abolition of bounties and monopolies of every description; a general plan of education for the people; a revision of the Free-trade system, including the Navigation-laws and the Corn-laws; a new legal code of cheap justice; a new municipal system. Accept the plan of Henry Francis, lord Teynham, said the leader of the alarmists, "and then England will be brought back to a far more enviable state of civilization than that which she enjoyed in the days of the Heptarchy. We shall have neither agriculture nor commerce, neither manufactures nor trade; we shall none of us be embarrassed with superfluous luxuries; there will be no property worth preserving or contending about." ‡ Marvellous are the changes of opinion when freedom of speech and freedom of writing are established. What was denounced as individual madness thirty years ago has become the established belief of the sanest nation on earth. The terrors of the alarmists at the "infamous projects" of lord Teynham, seem as obsolete as the belief of king

* Twiss, vol. iii. p. 181.

† Lansard, vol. xii. col. 1278

‡ "Quarterly Review," vol. xlvi. pp. 553—554.

James, that witches can raise storms and tempests in the air, either by sea or land.

There was a remarkable circumstance in the temper and habits of those called the working classes, during the political hurricanes of 1832, which we ought not wholly to pass over, although there may be a slight approach to egotism in the mention of it. Whilst Jeffrey was exhorting his official friends in Scotland to "keep peace," there had been at work for two or three months a little instrument for calming political agitation, at no expense to the government, called "Chambers's Edinburgh Journal." There was a similar instrument in England, which was commenced to be published during the very heat of the Reform Bill, called the "Penny Magazine." In the first number of that weekly sheet, which was issued on the 31st of March, 1832, it was proposed to lead the popular mind to "calmer and, it may be, purer subjects of thought than the violence of party discussion, or the stimulating details of crime and suffering." "We have, however," said the editor, "no expectation of superseding the newspaper, and no desire to supersede it. We hope only to share some portion of the attention which is now almost exclusively bestowed upon the folio of four pages, by those who read little and seldom." In looking back upon his work of that period—work which he regards as the best labour of his life—the author of this History is almost surprised at the boldness of the undertaking, when the whole country, in the opinion of many unprejudiced persons, was fast verging towards anarchy. The fact that, before the year was out, he had to record the regular sale of two hundred thousand copies, warranted the calculation that there were about a million of readers for that miscellany alone. Forty years before, Burke had estimated that there were eighty thousand readers in this country. The sale of the two unexciting miscellanies of the Reform period not only offered a proof of the vast increase of the desire for knowledge, but afforded a hope that the old system of governing by the ignorance of the masses was rapidly coming to an end.

PARLIAMENTARY REPRESENTATION OF THE UNITED KINGDOM
BEFORE THE REFORM ACTS OF 1832.

[The letter F indicates those Counties which, by Schedule F of the Reform Act, are divided into two electoral districts; F 2 is prefixed to undivided Counties, to which an additional member was given.]

COUNTIES.

ENGLAND AND WALES,—[Continuous from the reign of Edward I.]

Counties.	Members.	Counties.	Members.
Bedfordshire 2	F Somersetshire 2
F 2 Berkshire 2	F Staffordshire 2
F 2 Buckinghamshire 2	F Suffolk 2
F 2 Cambridgeshire 2	F Surrey 2
F Cornwall 2	F Sussex 2
F Cumberland 2	F Warwickshire 2
F Derbyshire 2	Westmoreland 2
F Devonshire 2	F Wiltshire 2
F 2 Dorsetshire 2	F Worcestershire 2
F Essex 2	Yorkshire (2 added in 1821) 4
F Gloucestershire 2	[From Henry VIII.]	
F Hampshire 2	Anglesey 1
F 2 Herefordshire 2	Brecknockshire 1
F 2 Hertfordshire 2	Cardiganshire 1
Huntingdonshire 2	Carmarthenshire 1
F Kent 2	Carnarvonshire 1
F Lancashire 2	F Cheshire 2
F Leicestershire 2	Denbighshire 1
Lincolnshire 2	Flintshire 1
Middlesex 2	Glamorganshire 1
F Norfolk 2	Merionethshire 1
F Northamptonshire 2	Monmouthshire 2
F Northumberland 2	Montgomeryshire 1
F Nottinghamshire 2	Pembrokeshire 1
F 2 Oxfordshire 2	Radnorshire 1
Rutlandshire 2	[From Charles II.]	
F Shropshire 2	F Durham 2

SCOTLAND.—*In the British Parliament, from the Union under Anne.*

Aberdeen 1	Lanark 1
Argyle 1	Linlithgow 1
Ayr 1	Orkney and Shetland 1
Banff 1	Peebles 1
Berwick 1	Perth 1
Dumbarton 1	Renfrew 1
Dumfries 1	Ross 1
Edinburgh 1	Roxburgh 1
Elgin 1	Selkirk 1
Fife 1	Stirling 1
Forfar 1	Sutherland 1
Haddington 1	Wigton 1
Inverness 1	Bute and Caithness, alternately 1
Kincardine 1	Clackmannan and Kinross, alternately 1
Kirkcudbright 1	Cromarty and Nairn, alternately 1

IRELAND.—*In the Imperial Parliament, from the Union under George III.*

Antrim 2	Limerick 2
Armagh 2	Londonderry 2
Carlow 2	Longford 2
Cavan 2	Louth 2
Clare 2	Mayo 2
Cork 2	Meath 2
Donegal 2	Monaghan 2
Down 2	Queen's County 2
Dublin 2	Roscommon 2
Fermanagh 2	Sligo 2
Galway 2	Tipperary 2
Kerry 2	Tyrone 2
Kildare 2	Waterford 2
Kilkenny 2	Westmeath 2
King's County 2	Wexford 2
Leitrim 2	Wicklow 2

CITIES AND BOROUGHS.

ENGLAND AND WALES.

[Continuous from the reign of Edward I.]

[The letters A, B, indicate the Schedule in which the Borough was placed by the Reform Act.]

City or Borough.	County wherein situated.	Members.	City or Borough.	County wherein situated.	Members.
A Arundel	(Sussex)	. 2	B Liskeard	(Cornwall)	. 2
Andover	(Hants)	. 2	Liverpool	(Lancashire)	. 2
A Appleby	(Westmoreland)	. 2	London (co. of itself, locally in Middlesex)		. 4
B Ashburton	(Devon)	. 2	A Lostwithiel	(Cornwall)	. 2
Barnstaple	(Devon)	. 2	A Ludgershall	(Wilts)	. 2
Bath	(Somerset)	. 2	B Lyme Regis	(Dorset)	. 2
Bedford	(Bedfordshire)	. 2	Lynn	(Norfolk)	. 2
A Bedwin	(Wilts)	. 2	B Malmesbury	(Wilts)	. 2
Beverley	(Yorkshire)	. 2	Malton	(Yorkshire)	. 2
A Blechingley	(Surrey)	. 2	Marlborough	(Wilts)	. 2
Bodmin	(Cornwall)	. 2	A Milbourne Port	(Somerset)	. 2
A Bramber	(Sussex)	. 2	Newcastle-upon-Tyne (co. of itself, locally in Northumberland)		. 2
Bridgenorth	(Shropshire)	. 2	Newport	(Hants)	. 2
Bridgewater	(Somerset)	. 2	New Sarum, or Salisbury, (Wilts)		. 2
Bridport	(Dorset)	. 2	B Northallerton	(Yorkshire)	. 2
Bristol (co. of itself, locally between Gloucestershire and Somerset)		. 2	Northampton	(Northamptonsh)	. 2
Bury St. Edmund's	(Suffolk)	. 2	Norwich (co. of itself, locally in Norfolk)		. 2
B Calne	(Wilts)	. 2	Nottingham (co. of itself, locally in Nottinghamshire)		. 2
Cambridge	(Cambridgeshire)	. 2	A Okehampton	(Devon)	. 2
Canterbury (co. of itself, locally in Kent)		. 2	A Old Sarum	(Wilts)	. 2
Carlisle	(Cumberland)	. 2	A Orford	(Suffolk)	. 2
Chichester	(Sussex)	. 2	Oxford	(Oxfordshire)	. 2
Chippenham	(Wilts)	. 2	B Peterfield	(Hants)	. 2
Cockermouth	(Cumberland)	. 2	Plymouth	(Devon)	. 2
Colchester	(Essex)	. 2	A Plympton	(Do.)	. 2
Coventry (co. of itself, locally in Warwickshire)		. 2	Pontefract	(Yorkshire)	. 2
Cricklade	(Wilts)	. 2	Portsmouth	(Hants)	. 2
B Dartmouth	(Devon)	. 2	Preston	(Lancashire)	. 2
Derby	(Derbyshire)	. 2	Reading	(Berkshire)	. 2
Devizes	(Wilts)	. 2	B Reigate	(Surrey)	. 2
Dorchester	(Dorset)	. 2	Ripon	(Yorkshire)	. 2
A Downton	(Wilts)	. 2	Rochester	(Kent)	. 2
B Droitwich	(Worcestershire)	. 2	St. Alban's	(Hertfordshire)	. 2
A Dunwich	(Suffolk)	. 2	Scarborough	(Yorkshire)	. 2
Evesham	(Worcestershire)	. 2	A Seaford	(Sussex)	. 2
Exeter (co. of itself, locally in Devon)		. 2	B Shaftesbury	(Dorset)	. 2
Gloucester (co. of itself, locally in Glou.)		. 2	Shoreham	(Sussex)	. 2
Great Marlow	(Bucks)	. 2	Shrewsbury	(Shropshire)	. 2
B Grimby	(Lincolnshire)	. 2	Southampton (co. of itself, locally in Hants)		. 2
Guildford	(Surrey)	. 2	Southwark	(Surrey)	. 2
A Hedon	(Yorkshire)	. 2	Stafford	(Staffordshire)	. 2
B Helston	(Cornwall)	. 2	Stanford	(Lincolnshire)	. 2
Hereford	(Herefordshire)	. 2	A Steyning	(Sussex)	. 2
Hertford	(Hertfordshire)	. 2	Taunton	(Somerset)	. 2
Honiton	(Devon)	. 2	Tavistock	(Devon)	. 2
B Horsham	(Sussex)	. 2	B Thirsk	(Yorkshire)	. 2
Huntingdon	(Huntingdonshire)	. 2	Totnes	(Devon)	. 2
A Ilchester	(Somerset)	. 2	A Tregony	(Cornwall)	. 2
Ipswich	(Suffolk)	. 2	Truro	(Cornwall)	. 2
Lancaster	(Lancashire)	. 2	B Wallingford	(Berks)	. 2
B Launceston	(Cornwall)	. 2	B Wareham	(Dorset)	. 2
Leicester	(Leicestershire)	. 2	Warwick	(Warwickshire)	. 2
Leominster	(Herefordshire)	. 2	Wells	(Somerset)	. 2
Lewes	(Sussex)	. 2	A Wendover	(Bucks)	. 2
Lichfield (co. of itself, locally in Stafford)		. 2	A Weobly	(Herefordshire)	. 2
Lincoln (co. of itself, locally in Lincolnshire)		. 2	Wigan	(Lancashire)	. 2
			B Wilton	(Wilts)	. 2

CITIES AND BOROUGHES.

ENGLAND AND WALES—continued.

City or Borough.	County wherein situated.	Members.	City or Borough.	County wherein situated.	Members.
Winchester	(Hants)	2	Monmouth, sharing with Newport and Usk (Monmouthshire)		1
Windsor	(Berks)	2	Montgomery (Montgomeryshire)		1
B Woodstock	(Oxfordshire)	2	Pembroke, sharing with Tenby and Wiston (Pembrokeshire)		1
Worcester (co. of itself, locally in Worcestershire)		2	Radnor, sharing with Kevinleece, Knighton, Knucklar, and Rhayder (Radnorshire)		1
Wycombe, Chipping (Bucks)		2			
A Yarmouth	(Hants)	2			
Yarmouth	(Norfolk)	2			
York (co. of itself, locally in Yorkshire)		2			
[Edward II.]			[Edward VI.]		
A East Grinstead	(Sussex)	2	A Bossiney	(Cornwall)	2
Retford	(Notts)	2	Boston	(Lincolnshire)	2
[Edward III.]			A Brackley	(Northamptonsh)	2
Dover	(Kent)	1	A Camelford	(Cornwall)	2
Harwich	(Essex)	2	Maidstone	(Kent)	2
Hastings	(Sussex)	2	A Newport	(Cornwall)	2
B Hythe	(Kent)	2	Penryn	(Cornwall)	2
Kingston-upon-Hull (co. of itself, locally in Yorkshire)		2	Peterborough	(Northamptonsh)	2
Maldon	(Essex)	2	A St. Michael's	(Cornwall)	2
B Midhurst	(Sussex)	2	A Saltash	(Do.)	2
Newcastle-under-Lyne (Staffordshire)		2	Theiford	(Norfolk)	2
Poole (co. of itself, locally in Dorset)		2	A West Looe	(Cornwall)	2
Richmond	(Yorkshire)	2	Westminster	(Middlesex)	2
A Romney	(Kent)	2			
B Rye	(Sussex)	2	[Mary.]		
Sandwich	(Kent)	2	Abingdon	(Berks)	1
Weymouth & Melcombe Regis (Dorset)		4	A Aldborough	(Yorkshire)	2
A Winchelsea	(Sussex)	2	Aylesbury	(Bucks)	2
[Henry VI.]			Banbury	(Oxfordshire)	1
A Gatton	(Surrey)	2	A Boroughbridge	(Yorkshire)	2
A Heytesbury	(Wilts)	2	A Castle Rising	(Norfolk)	2
A Hindon	(Do.)	2	A Higham Ferrers	(Northamptonsh)	1
B Westbury	(Do.)	2	Knaresborough	(Yorkshire)	2
A Wootton Bassett	(Do.)	2	B Morpeth	(Northumberland)	2
[Edward IV.]			B St. Ives	(Cornwall)	2
Grantham	(Lincolnshire)	2	[Elizabeth.]		
Ludlow	(Shropshire)	2	A Aldeburgh	(Suffolk)	2
Wenlock	(Do.)	2	A Beeralston	(Devon)	2
[Henry VIII.]			A Bishop's Castle	(Shropshire)	2
Baumaris	(Anglesey)	1	A Callington	(Cornwall)	2
Berwick-upon-Tweed (co. of itself)		2	B Christchurch	(Hants)	2
Brecon	(Brecknockshire)	1	Cirencester	(Gloucestershire)	2
Buckingham	(Bucks)	2	B Clitheroe	(Lancashire)	2
Cardiff, sharing with Aberavon, Cowbridge, Kenfig, Llantrissant, Loughor, Neath, and Swansea (Glamorgan-shire)		1	A Corfe Castle	(Dorset)	2
Cardigan, sharing with Aberystwith, Adpar, and Lampeter (Cardiganshire)		1	A East Looe	(Cornwall)	2
Carmarthen (co. of itself, locally in Carmarthenshire)		1	B Eye	(Suffolk)	2
Carnarvon, sharing with Conway, Criccieth, Nevin, and Pwllheli (Carnarvonshire)		1	A Fowey	(Cornwall)	2
Chester (co. of itself, locally in Cheshire)		2	A Haslemere	(Surrey)	2
Denbigh, sharing with Holt and Ruthin (Denbighshire)		1	Lymington	(Hants)	2
Flint, sharing with Caergwryle, Caerwys, Overton, and Rhyddlan (Flintshire)		2	A Minehead	(Somerset)	2
Haverfordwest (co. of itself, locally in Pembrokeshire)		1	A Newtown	(Hants)	2
			A Newton	(Lancashire)	2
			A Queenborough	(Kent)	2
			A St. Germain's	(Cornwall)	2
			A St. Mawes	(Cornwall)	2
			A Stockbridge	(Hants)	2
			Sudbury	(Suffolk)	2
			Tamworth	(Warwickshire)	2
			A Whitchurch	(Hants)	2
			[James I.]		
			Bewdley	(Worcestershire)	2
			Tewkesbury	(Gloucestershire)	2
			Tiverton	(Devon)	2
			[Charles II.]		
			Durham	(Durham)	2
			Newark	(Notts)	2

CITIES AND BOROUGHES.

SCOTLAND.

In the British Parliament, since the Union under Anne.

Edinburgh city 1 member.

Districts of Burghs.

Dis- tricts.	Burghs.	Counties where- in locally situated.	Mem- bers.	Dis- tricts.	Burghs.	Counties where- in locally situated.	Mem- bers.
1	Dingwall	Ross	1	8	Culross	Perth	1
	Dornoch	Sutherland			Dunfermline	Fife	
	Kirkwall	Orkney			Inverkeithing	Fife	
2	Tain	Ross	1	9	Queensferry	Linlithgow	1
	Wick	Caithness			Stirling	Stirling	
	Forres	Elgin			Dumbarton	Dumbarton	
	Fortrose	Ross			Glasgow	Lanark	
3	Inverness	Inverness	1	10	Renfrew	Renfrew	1
	Nairn	Nairn			Rutherglen	Lanark	
	Banff	Banff			Dunbar	Haddington	
	Cullen	Banff			Haddington	Haddington	
	Elgin	Elgin			Jedburgh	Roxburgh	
4	Inverury	Aberdeen	1	11	Lauder	Berwick	1
	Kintore	Aberdeen			North Berwick	Haddington	
	Aberdeen	Aberdeen			Lanark	Lanark	
	Aberbrothwick	Forfar			Linlithgow	Linlithgow	
	Brechin	Forfar			Peebles	Peebles	
	Inverbervie	Kincardine			Selkirk	Selkirk	
5	Montrose	Forfar	1	12	Ayr	Ayr	1
	Cupar	Forfar & Perth			Campbelton	Argyle	
	Dundee	Forfar			Inverary	Argyle	
	Forfar	Forfar			Irvine	Ayr	
	Perth	Perth			Rothsay	Bute	
	St. Andrews	Fife			Annandale	Dumfries	
	Austruther Easter	Fife			Dumfries	Dumfries	
6	Austruther Wester	Fife	1	13	Kirkcudbright	Kirkcudbright	1
	Crail	Fife			Lochmaben	Dumfries	
	Kilrenny	Fife			Sanquhar	Dumfries	
	Pittenweem	Fife			New Galloway	Kirkcudbright	
7	Burntisland	Fife	1	14	Stranraer	Wigton	1
	Dysart	Fife			Whithorn	Wigton	
	Kinghorn	Fife			Wigton	Wigton	
	Kirkaldy	Fife					

IRELAND.

In the Imperial Parliament, from the Union under George III.

Cities and Boroughs.	Counties wherein locally situated.	Mem- bers.	Cities and Boroughs.	Counties wherein locally situated.	Mem- bers.
Armagh	(Armagh)	1	Enniskillen	(Fermanagh)	1
Athlone (Roscommon and Westmeath)		1	Galway	(co. corporate)	1
Bandon	(Cork)	1	Kilkenny	(co. corporate)	1
Belfast	(Antrim)	1	Kinsale	(Cork)	1
Carlow	(Carlow)	1	Limerick	(co. corporate)	1
Carrickfergus	(co. corporate)	1	Lisburn	(Antrim)	1
Cashel	(Tipperary)	1	Londonderry	(Londonderry)	1
Clonmel	(Tipperary)	1	Mallow	(Cork)	1
Coleraine	(Londonderry)	1	New Ross	(Wexford)	1
Cork	(co. corporate)	2	Newry	(Down)	1
Downpatrick	(Down)	1	Portarlington (King's County and Queen's County)		1
Drogheda	(co. corporate)	1	Sligo	(Sligo)	1
Dublin	(co. corporate)	2	Tralee	(Kerry)	1
Dundalk	(Louth)	1	Waterford	(co. corporate)	1
Dungannon	(Tyrone)	1	Wexford	(Wexford)	1
Dungarvan	(Waterford)	1	Youghal	(Cork)	1
Ennis	(Clare)	1			

UNIVERSITIES.

Cambridge	[From the reign of James I. 2 members.]	Oxford 2 members.
	[From the Irish Union under George III.]	Dublin 1

CHAPTER VI.

Opening of the New Parliament.—Election of the Speaker.—The King's Speech.—Mr. O'Connell's Amendment.—Declaration of Sir Robert Peel.—Coercion Bill for Ireland passed by the Lords.—Public Health.—Observance of the Sabbath.—Coercion Bill in the House of Commons.—The Coercion Bill passed.—Financial Measures.—Cobbett's Motion against Sir Robert Peel.—Bill for the Reform of the Irish Church.—Abolition of Slavery in the British Colonies.—Effects of the Abolition.—Limitation of Labour in Factories.—Bank Charter.—East India Company's Charter.—Prorogation.

ON the 29th of January, 1833, the new Parliament was opened by Commission. The Act for abolishing the oaths to be taken before the Lord Steward had come into operation; and a House of Commons was at once formed. The attendance of members was very large. One of the new representatives of Ireland, Mr. John O'Connell, has described some of the circumstances of that first meeting. His father, Daniel O'Connell, he says, led what might have been called his "household brigade," namely, his three sons and two sons-in-law, down to the House. In the passages they met and were introduced to Cobbett, habited in a kind of pepper-and-salt garb, in fashion something between that of a quaker and of a comfortable farmer, and wearing a broad-brimmed white hat, thrown back so as to give the fullest view of his shrewd though bluff countenance, and his keen cold-looking eye. The "household brigade" proceeded to take their seats upon the second bench on the opposition side, somewhat indignant at a long quizzing from Mr. Stanley's eye-glass. The great Irish orator was more modest in selecting his seat than others of the Radical party, who boldly ranged themselves upon the front bench, as if to awe the Ministerial Whigs, who were immediately opposite. According to the Irish member's "Recollections," William Cobbett was amongst the most conspicuous of those who took this post of honour.* One who four days afterwards records what he saw, says, "On our entering the House, and turning our eyes to the Treasury bench, the first person we saw, in the place of the black-whiskered, bluff, yeomanlike lord Althorp, was the white-headed veteran of the Radicals, William Cobbett, who had taken his seat above the

* *Recollections and Experiences*," by John O'Connell, Esq., M. P., 1849, vol. i. chap. 1.

minister, and thus, as it were, installed himself as Leader of the Reformed House of Commons."*

The first business of the Lower House was the election of a Speaker. Mr. Hume, asserting the principle that it was necessary to have a Speaker who should represent the opinions of the majority of the House, and believing that the majority were Reformers, proposed Mr. E. J. Littleton, the member for the southern division of Staffordshire. He was seconded by Mr. O'Connell. Lord Morpeth, upon the principle of looking to the change which had taken place in the constitution of parliament, considered that it was most advisable to have all possible assistance to their proceedings from a gentleman of long practical experience and tried ability. He proposed the right hon. Charles Manners Sutton. The debate went on with little variation from the usual forms of parliamentary courtesy, when the broad-brimmed white hat of the singular man on the foremost place of the Treasury bench was taken off, and up rose the author of the "Register," who had written that he was born "to be one of the greatest terrors to one of the greatest and most powerful bodies of knaves and fools that ever were permitted to afflict this or any other country."† Out of that most powerful body of which he now formed a part some of the especial objects of his wrath had been withdrawn. But many objects of his old hatred still remained—many a country gentleman whose class he had denounced as unfeeling tyrants who squeezed the labourer for gain's sake; many "lords of the loom," who had been designated as "rich ruffians;" many of the "education-canters," who did not follow out his theory that nothing could "be good with regard to the labouring classes unless it made an addition to their victuals, drink, or clothing." Cobbett's style of speaking was as strange to the House of Commons as his habiliments. In supporting Mr. Hume's nomination of a Speaker, he began with these very plain words:—"It appears to me that since I have been sitting here I have heard a great deal of vain and unprofitable conversation." He objected to placing a man in the chair who had already received large sums of the public money. The right honourable gentleman had been for sixteen years in the office of Speaker; he had received every year a salary of six thousand pounds, taken from the starving people of England, Scotland, and Ireland. Mr. Hume's motion was rejected by a majority of two hundred and ten, and Mr. Manners Sutton again became Speaker.

On the 5th of February the King opened the Parliament for "the despatch of business," according to the formal expression of

* "Spectator," February 2.

† "Rural Rides," p. 18.

what is the duty expected from parliament. The despatch of business in that one session is recorded in six volumes of Hansard, containing seven thousand seven hundred and forty-four columns of debate. Great measures were indeed discussed in both Houses during that session, which lasted till the 29th of August. During this time the House of Commons had sat a hundred and forty-two days, upon an average of nine hours daily. The usual average had been four and a half or five hours.* The chief objects upon which the members of that House had thus vied with factory workers were suggested in the King's Speech; the approaching termination of the charters of the Bank of England and the East India Company; the state of the Church, more particularly regarding its temporalities; the Church of Ireland; the necessity of entrusting the Crown with greater powers for controlling and punishing the spirit of insubordination and violence in Ireland, which had greatly increased, and for preserving and strengthening the legislative union between the two countries. There were two other great measures, not indicated at the commencement of the session, that occupied the further attention of Parliament—the regulation of infant labour in Factories, and the abolition of Slavery in the West-India colonies. To the task of dealing with many of these important subjects, both Houses applied themselves with a sedate and earnest spirit. But there was one topic in the King's Speech which instantly called up a host of turbulent feelings, little in accordance with that spirit of brotherhood which some enthusiasts believed would in future render the House of Commons an assembly altogether labouring for the national good, and redeem it from its old character of the cockpit of party. The Irish members who followed the leadership of O'Connell came away "with hearts full of bitterness," after listening to the royal speech, believing that the only part of it which the King's infirmities or his inclinations allowed him to deliver with any distinctness was that in which he threatened Ireland. † The amendment was moved by Mr. O'Connell. Never, since on his own Irish ground of agitation he designated Mr. Stanley a "shave-beggar," had he ventured upon such coarseness as he now thrust upon the House of Commons. He described the echo of the King's speech as "a bloody and brutal Address;" "a bloody, brutal, and unconstitutional Address." Pity it was that the remarkable powers of O'Connell, the "Demos-thenic directness and vehemence," as Jeffrey described the oratory

* Hansard, 3rd series, vol. xx. col. 907: Statement of sir Robert Inglis, upon information derived from Mr. Rickman, an officer of the House.

† John O'Connell, vol. i. p. 9.

of this great artist, were so often degraded into the utterance of a copious vocabulary of scurrility. Mr. Stanley replied to what he called "the eloquent and forcible invective" of the honourable and learned member for Dublin. The speech of the Secretary for Ireland was something far more telling than invective, although it contained sufficient bitterness to make lasting enemies. He set forth the system of outrages which prevailed in a land where the law was a dead letter; where the law was insufficient to meet the exigencies of insurrectionary crime,—where no witness could afford to give evidence, where no jury could dare to convict, though the guilt of the culprit were as notorious as the sun at noon-day. "No government could apply itself efficiently to the remedying of grievances, unless it also possessed the power to make the laws respected." * The opening of Mr. Stanley's speech was received with cries of "Bravo" in the House, and even with applause in the strangers' gallery. He taunted Mr. O'Connell with the declaration that he would relieve Ireland "from the yoke of the Sassenach." The Government now told him that his panacea of Repeal "should be resisted to the death." Such was the spirit of courageous defiance that won for Mr. Stanley the name of "the Rupert of debate." Mr. O'Connell's amendment was finally rejected by a majority of three hundred and eighty-eight in a House of four hundred and sixty-eight; ayes, 40; noes, 428. Of his forty supporters, six were members for England, two for Scotland, and thirty-two for Ireland.

The debates upon the Address occupied five nights. It certainly was a national benefit that much of the eloquence which had been expended, both in England and Ireland, for the excitement of popular assemblies, now harmlessly exploded in a place where the freedom of debate was indeed unlimited, but where no demagogue could make extravagant statements without receiving an immediate contradiction. It was curious that the great Irish Agitator in his eloquent invective said no single word tending to raise a discussion about Repeal. "He keeps it, and prudently keeps it," said Mr. Macaulay, "for audiences of a very different kind." O'Connell and Cobbett might in their hearts aspire to be the Danton and Marat of a new National Assembly. But the House of Commons, with all its renovated spirit of democracy, was not so favourable a theatre for their coarser harangues as the Hall of the Catholic Association or the farmer's table of a country town on market-day. There was some voice of the past in that old Chapel of St. Stephen, which proclaimed that liberty had there been won by the gentlemen

* Hansard, vol. xv. col. 196.

of England, and that if it ceased to be a place where the habits of gentlemen could be preserved, it would cease to be a place worthy of the efforts which had been made by the people to renovate and exalt its character.

Looking beyond the almost solitary attempts of the English demagogue to speak in Parliament so as to set the poor against the rich,—hearing something more harmonious than the war-trump of the Irish leader to call his followers to skirmishes or pitched-battles in which the reward of victory would be the repeal of the union,—there were important lessons to be gathered from those five nights of debate introductory to the practical business of the session. They pretty conclusively showed, in the first place, that the expectations of the ultra-Tory party that the Reform Bill would be repealed—that another Restoration, more joyful than the royal triumph of Charles the Second, would terminate the execrated career of the Revolution of 1832 *—were sanguine hopes which would be quickly dispersed even by the breath of him who had most consistently opposed a Reform in parliament. On the third night of the debate on the Address, sir Robert Peel thus declared himself:—“The King’s Government had abstained from all unseemly triumph in the King’s speech respecting the measure of Reform. He would profit by their example, and would say nothing upon that head; but consider that question as finally and irrevocably disposed of. He was now determined to look forward to the future alone, and considering the Constitution as it existed, to take his stand on main and essential matters—to join in resisting every attempt at new measures which could not be stirred without unsettling the public mind, and endangering public prosperity. . . . He was for reforming every institution that really required reform; but he was for doing it gradually, dispassionately and deliberately, in order that the reform might be lasting.” † Further, the apprehensions of many who in common with lord Eldon thought they saw that monarchy, peerage, property, would inevitably sink under the rule and domination of democrats, were as signally disappointed. ‡ Sir Robert Peel, indeed, correctly describes the notices in the Order-book as promising motions for new laws on every imaginable subject; for simultaneous change in everything that was established. But the Order-book remains the principal historical record of schemes which were in great part the wind-bubbles of new members who thought that the spirit of innovation

* See “Quarterly Review,” vol. xlvii. p. 589.

† Hansard, 3rd series, vol. xv. col. 385. Given also verbatim from Hansard, in sir R. Peel’s “Memoirs,” vol. ii. p. 69.

‡ Twiss, vol. iii. p. 216.

would best recommend them to the constituencies by which they had been chosen. Although upon great political questions the disposition to force extreme opinions was very small, yet a vast mass of crude notions was evidently afloat upon social questions, which many with their strong prejudices and small knowledge thought themselves qualified to discuss. The complaint that the new parliamentary system did not work well was not confined to the remnants of the anti-Reform party. Jeffrey very frankly and truly wrote, after the House of Commons had risen for the Easter holidays:—"The friction in the working of the machine, and the consequent obstruction of its movements, has been much greater than was ever known; and though this may grow less when it has been longer in use, as is the case with all new machines, I am afraid part of it is owing to the increased number of independent movements, and part, perhaps, to the want of the old oiling which can no longer be afforded." *

The House of Commons having endured for six nights the incessant labour and excitement of the debates on the Address, had now a short interval of relaxation and calm, whilst in the Upper House the question of coercive measures for the repression of Irish disturbances was discussed with the judicial temper of that assembly. On the fifteenth of February lord Grey introduced the Bill for the more effectual suppression of local disturbances and dangerous Associations in Ireland. He stated that between the 1st of January and the end of December, 1832, there had been committed a total of nine thousand and two crimes,—homicides, 242; robberies, 1179; burglaries, 401; burnings, 568; houghing cattle, 290; serious assaults, 161; riots, 203; illegal rescues, 353; illegal notices, 2,094; illegal meetings, 427; injuries to property, 796; attacks on houses, 723; firing with intent to kill, 328; robbery of arms, 117; administering unlawful oaths, 163; resistance to legal process, 8; turning up land, 20; resistance to tithes, 50; taking forcible possession, 2. This enumeration sufficiently shows how large a proportion of the offences were out of the ordinary course of criminal acts. The proposition of the government was, to give the Lord Lieutenant power to forbid objectionable public meetings, and to introduce martial law into districts proclaimed to be in a state of disturbance. The Bill was read a third time in the House of Lords and passed on the 22nd of February. It was not till the 27th that the subject was introduced to the House of Commons. Meanwhile lighter topics might there be dealt with, by which personal hostility would not be so easily provoked. Joseph Pease,

* Cockburn, "Life of Jeffrey," vol. i. p. 346.

having been elected for the southern division of the county of Durham, appeared on the 8th of February to take his seat in the House. He objected to the oath tendered to him, and prayed to be allowed to make his solemn affirmation. The Speaker having stated that without the sanction of the House he dared not allow this course, the subject was referred to a Select Committee. Although some members might have dreaded that the sturdy Quaker would refuse to take off his hat when he passed the Speaker's chair, upon the Report of the Committee the affirmation was allowed to be substituted for the oath, the resolution being carried amidst the cheers of the House. One of the greatest of social questions, the Health of the People, was now brought into view by Mr. Slaney,—a gentleman who has never ceased for thirty years to promote some sound measure of real popular advantage. He proposed that a Committee be appointed to consider the best means of securing open places in the neighbourhood of great towns for the healthful exercise of the population. Mr. Lamb, the Secretary of State, regretted that too little attention had been paid to this subject at the passing of Enclosure Bills. On this occasion the expediency was suggested of throwing open the grounds of the Regent's Park, which had been kept so long closed to the public.* The table of the House had been covered with petitions praying for the better observance of the Sabbath, the greater part being presented by sir Andrew Agnew. Upon the presentation of one of these petitions, it was explained that the proposed alteration of the law was to prevent the tyranny by which individuals who followed certain trades on that day were compelled by their masters to violate their consciences by working on the Sabbath. Mr. Cobbett brought his strong common sense and plain English to deal with this point. A measure to prevent masters working men-servants on Sunday would be perfectly nugatory, unless it went into private families: "Were gentlemen backward in employing their coachmen, their grooms, and their footmen on Sundays? Why, these were a set of workmen who laboured very hard, in Hyde Park, for instance. They were well dressed up, and looked very fine with their gold-headed canes, but he would be bound to say, that if they had their choice they would much rather be at home with the maids."† The Bill of sir Andrew Agnew, which was truly described by Mr. M. D. Hill, the member for Hull, as "a Bill for the desecration of the Sabbath by

* The Regent's Park was laid out in 1812. The admission of the public was confined to its outer roads for twenty-six years, they being entirely excluded from the inside of the park till 1838.

† Hansard, vol. xv., col. 1191.

the rich, and for the observance of it by the poor ;”—which was termed by lord Althorp, “a Bill of pains and penalties uncalled for and impolitic, not desired by the public, and not deserved by them ;”—was rejected on the 17th of May. We may lastly mention, before we proceed to notice the greater legislative measures of this Session, that although there had been some mitigation of the Criminal Code so as to render capital punishments less frequent, there was yet much to be accomplished before the great truth was recognized by the legislature, “that laws which cannot be carried into execution without shocking the feelings of society and exciting sympathy for the offender, are contrary to reason, inconsistent with morality, and opposed to the interests of justice.” These were the words of a petition signed by five thousand inhabitants of the metropolis. On the debate upon this petition a hope was expressed that the Session would not pass without a more general application of secondary punishments. Mr. Lamb said, that the subject was under the consideration of government ; that a gentleman had been sent out to America to investigate the system of secondary punishments, and the state of prison discipline established in that country. Thus, then, thirty years ago we were only preparing to inquire into one of the most complicated social questions of more recent times. The difficulties of the question—difficulties which have become far greater since the growth of the Colonies has rendered the system of transportation almost impossible—seem principally to have induced lord Eldon to maintain the doctrine, now happily obsolete,—that the fear of death prevented the commission of those crimes against which it was directed. In a debate on the 25th of June, 1832, the ex-Chancellor said, that after the experience of half a century he had never known a lawyer or a politician who was able to point out to him what to his mind was a satisfactory secondary punishment. The legal or the legislative mind had scarcely then associated, in the smallest degree, the notion of reformation with secondary punishment.

On the 27th of February, in the House of Commons, lord Althorp moved the second reading of the Bill for the Suppression of Disturbance in Ireland. His speech was a temperate statement of facts, concluding with a forcible and almost eloquent inference from those facts : “We shall, doubtless, have divers declamations in praise of liberty, which no man wishes to gainsay ; but the question is, is it from a state of liberty that Ireland is to be rescued ? Is she not to be rescued from a state of great and severe tyranny ? Is she not to be rescued from a state of anarchy, where life has no safety, and property no security ? Liberty is some-

thing more than a name, and the benefits of liberty are the protection of life and property—the protection of every man in doing that which pleases himself, and is not detrimental to society.”* Very different in tone was the speech of Mr. Stanley on the same night. The terrific outrages which he detailed supplied the strongest arguments for the measure advocated by the government. But there was an object beyond the passing of this immediate measure which the Secretary for Ireland steadily kept in view in his fervid denunciations. He would put down, if possible, the “political domination” which prevented the free expression of public opinion in Ireland. In his hands the great Agitator experienced no mercy. He quoted the words of a ballad which had been sung in the streets of Kilkenny, in which the Sassenach tyrants—“those cursed demons”—must quit the land, and poor Irish captives be liberated, “all by the means of our noble Dan.” Mr. Stanley asked, with bitter emphasis, “Who was it that furnished to the ignorant people these topics of declamation, and then condemned the outrages which has been caused by the hatred and discontent which he had himself excited.”† Mr. Stanley brought down a storm of indignation upon the head of Mr. O’Connell by referring to a speech made by him only a few days before at a meeting of the working classes, in which he had termed the members of the House “six hundred robbers or scoundrels.” Henceforth it was “war to the knife” between these two great parliamentary orators. Whether it was politic to render peace impossible between the government and the astute leader of the Irish Repealers, may perhaps be doubted. Some of his followers might have wavered under a milder treatment. Very few now disbelieve that the charge against the chief of Mr. O’Connell’s lieutenants, that he privately said that ministers ought not to abate an atom of the bill, was substantially true. The cowardice of some who knew its truth, and had not the courage to support the member who, with more honesty than discretion, proclaimed it, permitted the allegation to be disposed of in the next session of Parliament as “founded upon information that was erroneous.” On the adjourned debate on the 1st of March, sir Robert Peel supported the government in a speech of extraordinary power. He referred to the catalogue of crime in one province, in which were included one hundred and ninety-six murders and murderous attempts—crimes of insurrectionary violence. “One hundred and ninety-six murders!—why, you have

* Hansard, vol. xv. col. 1226.

† *Ibid.*, col. 1282.

fought great battles, and achieved famous victories, at a less cost of English blood ! [An Hon. Member : No, no !] No ! but I say emphatically, Yes. The battle of St. Vincent cost you less. The terrible bombardment of Algiers cost you less. With less profusion of English blood you rolled back the fiery tide which the exulting valour of France poured upon the heights of Busaco. But why do I talk of battles ? Oh, how tame and feeble the comparison between death on the field of honour, and that death which is inflicted by the hand of Irish assassins." * The debate on the first reading of the Coercion Bill was carried on during five sittings. On the last night Mr. O'Connell spoke with his accustomed force. The Bill was read a first time by a majority of three hundred and seventy-seven. It was read a second time by a majority of two hundred and seventy-nine. But it was in Committee that the great Agitator, who could there speak as often as he pleased, was most effective. "He was free," says his son, "to meet every charge, answer every argument, throw back every taunt, and crush with overpowering ridicule every puny assailant." † The Bill was read a third time on the 29th of March. It was sent back to the Lords for their approval of the alterations in some enactments which ministers had thought it prudent to make, and being quickly passed, it received the royal assent on the 2nd of April. During this conflict the opinions of Mr. Stanley had been so strongly expressed, and the mutual hostility of the agitators and the Irish Secretary had become so personal, that he removed to the office of Secretary for the Colonies (vacated by viscount Goderich, who became Lord Privy Seal, by the title of earl of Ripon), and was succeeded by sir John Cam Hobhouse.

The difficulties of the government during the first session of the Reformed Parliament did not arise out of the affairs of England or Scotland. Their financial measures were not very strong ; they were compromises, for the most part, of large demands for the abolition of taxes, made by the independent members of the Reform party. The Chancellor of the Exchequer, for example, thought the tax upon newspapers a bad tax, but he did not consider its repeal would confer a benefit equivalent to the loss the revenue would sustain. He would reduce the duty on advertisements ; he would repeal the taxes on particular classes of servants, such as shopmen and bailiff's ; he would reduce the duties on soap ; altogether he would afford relief to the amount of more than a million and a quarter. This peddling mode of

* Hansard, vol. xvi. col. 95.

† John O'Connell, "Recollections," vol. i. p. 17.

looking at the operation of taxes long continued to prevail, for neither the legislature nor the people yet understood that the most oppressive of all taxation was that which interfered with the free march of industry; that any partial reduction, without sweeping away the fiscal regulations which hampered the operations of commerce or manufactures, was comparatively worthless. A motion was made, on the 26th of March, by Mr. George Robinson, for a Select Committee to revise the existing system of taxation with a view to the repeal of those burdens which pressed most heavily upon productive industry, and to substitute an equitable tax on property in lieu thereof. The motion, opposed by the government, was negatived. One of the objects contemplated by a certain class of reformers was the return to a paper currency, they contending that the distress of the country—a distress which was always assumed rather than proved—was produced by our existing monetary system. Mr. Attwood, the member for Birmingham, moved for a Select Committee on this subject on the 22nd of April, and after a debate of three nights, an Amendment of the Chancellor of the Exchequer was carried by a very large majority. Connected with this intricate subject, which of all others demanded the calmest consideration, was a violent motion of Mr. Cobbett on the 16th of May, calling for an address praying his majesty to dismiss sir Robert Peel from the Privy Council, as the author of the Currency Bill of 1819. The debate upon a proposition so utterly unreasonable and absurd was remarkable for a speech of sir Robert Peel, in which, replying to the taunts of his present assailant and of others, that he was the son of a cotton weaver, he said—“they taunted him with the obscurity of his birth, as if they were themselves the descendants of the Courtenays and the Montmorencies. . . . So far from that taunt causing him any shame, he felt only proud.” Cobbett, in rising to reply, was received with the strongest manifestations of disapprobation. Upon the division on his motion the ayes were four, the noes two hundred and ninety-eight. Lord Althorp then proposed that the resolution should not be entered on the minutes. The Speaker explained that every proposition is entered upon the minutes the moment it is moved and seconded, and that therefore he must put the question, “that the proceedings be expunged.” The House divided, ayes, two hundred and ninety-five; noes, four. There were two Irish members who voted with the minority. We record this to notice the mode in which a member of parliament, whether Irish, English, or Scotch, not absolutely devoid of the commonest principles of honesty, may be led by the violence of partisanship into a really

dishonest action. Mr. John O'Connell says, "I was one of four unfortunates who, amid the laughter and jeers of the House, went out in favour of the motion when the division came. I did so, not for Cobbett's reasons but my own—namely, the mischiefs done to Ireland by the object of his wrath." * The government was not less successful in supporting a measure in the House of Commons for the relief of the Jews from civil disabilities. That Bill, however, was lost in the Upper House. The Lord Chancellor was unsuccessful in carrying a Bill for establishing Courts of Local Jurisdiction in certain parts of the kingdom. It was introduced by him on the 28th of March. In the debate on the 24th of June it was opposed by all the law lords. They were most probably moved thereto by lord Eldon, who denounced it as "a most abominable Law Bill of the Chancellor's, one of the most objectionable I have ever seen proposed to parliament." * The Bill was finally negatived by 134 to 122. In these minor questions success or defeat was not important to the stability of the government. The great questions of the session which followed the Irish Coercion Bill involved a real trial of strength.

The King, in his speech, in calling attention to the state of the Church, and more particularly to the Church of Ireland, foreshadowed a contest that would last through his own reign and not be perfectly settled in another reign. Within a week after the meeting of Parliament, the Chancellor of the Exchequer obtained leave to bring in a Bill, to alter and amend the laws relative to the Church Establishment in Ireland. On the 11th of March the bill was read a first time. It was brought forward during the heat of the debates on the bill for the repression of Irish disturbances. This bill for the reform of the Irish Church was considered by the ministers to be "a healing measure," necessary to be immediately passed. There were various delays which prevented the preliminary resolutions being debated till the 2nd of April. The details of the measure of reform thus contemplated may be very briefly stated. The total revenues of the Irish Church were about £800,000 per annum. Upon these it was intended, after abolishing first-fruits, to impose a tax, varying according to the value of livings and bishoprics, exempting, however, all livings under £2000 per annum. The sum thus obtained was to be applied under Commissioners to the abolition of church-cess, the augmentation of poor livings, and the building of glebe-houses. Deans and chapters were to be abolished wherever they were unconnected with the cure of souls, and ten bishoprics were to be merged in those that

* "Recollections," vol. i. p. 39.

† Twiss, vol. iii. p. 201.

were to be preserved. If by the Act to be introduced any new value not properly belonging to the Church should be obtained, especially from the large increase that might be expected from letting episcopal lands, that value, estimated at three millions, should be appropriated to the exigencies of the State. In the passage of the bill through the House Mr. Stanley resisted this appropriation, as an alienation of Church property, and proposed that it should be paid into the hands of ecclesiastical Commissioners appointed under the Act. This alteration was stigmatized by Mr. O'Connell as the basest act which a national assembly could sanction. It was however carried by a large majority. The Bill finally passed in the Commons by a majority of a hundred and eighty. The second reading was debated in the House of Lords for three nights, and was carried by a hundred and fifty-seven votes against ninety-eight. In the Committee earl Grey was defeated by a majority of two upon an important clause, upon which the Committee was adjourned,—a movement which looked like an intimation that ministers might resign if the bill was not carried in its integrity. This and other amendments were, however, submitted to, and the bill passed on the 30th of July. The Commons agreed to the Amendments, and Mr. O'Connell, declaring that the Lords had not made the bill much worse than they found it, said that he received it only "as an instalment of a debt due to Ireland." There were at that time, as there still continue to be, many steadfast friends of the English Established Church who thought that this bill was really only an instalment of some healing measure that would make the Irish Church cease to be a danger and reproach. There were many who thought, as Mr. Macaulay thought in 1845, when he said in Parliament, "I am prepared to support my opinion that of all the institutions now existing in the civilized world, the Established Church of Ireland is the most absurd." On the other hand, there were many who supported the exclusively endowed Church of a minority, not only in the conscientious belief that its revenues ought not to be applied to secular purposes, but in the sanguine hope that, by preserving it in its integrity, it would remain a refuge and a bulwark until by the progress of enlightenment it might grow into a National Church in the truest sense of the term. Thirty years have seen very slight approach to the realization of this hope.

It is refreshing to escape out of the region of party violence and personal animosity, to behold the legislature applying itself to the calm consideration of the best way to accomplish a righteous act of justice and mercy, of which the first condition of its accom-

plishment was that the country should make a great pecuniary sacrifice. In 1823 Mr. Canning had proposed that decisive measures should be taken for producing such a progressive improvement in the character of the slave population by the amelioration of their condition as would prepare them for participation in civil rights and liberties.* In 1832 lord Althorp on the part of the government, in reply to a motion by Mr. T. Fowell Buxton for a Select Committee to prepare for the extinction of slavery in the British dominions at the earliest possible moment, moved an amendment which was essentially a repetition of the resolution of 1823. For nine years no sensible effects had been produced by that resolution. It was a friendly warning to the Colonists, but it was unheeded by all the Colonial legislatures, with the exception of some very slight improvements affecting the physical condition of the slaves, which they did not resist. Lord Althorp would not pledge himself to any immediate abolition of Slavery, because he did not think that the slave population was in a condition to receive that boon beneficially for themselves. The pacific emancipation of great multitudes in slavery had been held by Mackintosh, as we have seen, as a problem so arduous as to perplex and almost silence the reason of man.† Ten years after his emphatic declaration the government boldly proposed to the Reformed Parliament, not a compromise which might again postpone the decision of this question for another ten years, but a comprehensive and final measure. To the principle of this proposal there was scarcely any opposition; its details were considered in the spirit of a dignified liberality, worthy of a great nation preparing to do a great act. On the 14th of May Mr. Stanley, the Secretary for the Colonies, proposed five resolutions in a Committee of the House of Commons. The first declared—"that it is the opinion of the Committee that immediate and effectual measures be taken for the entire abolition of Slavery throughout the Colonies, under such provisions for regulating the condition of the negroes as may combine their welfare with the interests of the proprietors." The subsequent four resolutions were in substance as follows: 2, any children who should be under six years of age at the time of passing an Act for the abolition of slavery, were to be declared free: 3, all persons now slaves were to be entitled to be registered as apprenticed labourers, to acquire thereby all rights of freedom, under the restriction of labouring for their present owners for a time to be fixed by Parliament: 4, to afford compensation to proprietors against a risk of loss by the abolition of slavery: 5, to

* See *Ante*, vol. vii. pp. 596, 597.

† See *Ante*, vol. vii. p. 598

defray any expense in establishing an efficient stipendiary magistracy, for the purpose of assisting the local legislatures in providing for the religious and moral education of the negro population.* In the introduction of this measure Mr. Stanley adverted to the heavy responsibility which devolved upon him. The interests, the comforts, the prosperity, perhaps the very existence, of a large population in the West India Colonies hung upon the decision of those resolutions which he would have to submit—the generations yet unborn were to be affected for good or evil by the course which the House might think proper to adopt: “Nor can I conceal from myself or from this House the immense influence on the population of foreign countries which must arise from the result of the mighty experiment which we now propose to make.” † The resolutions of the Committee were modified in one or two points of importance. The term of apprenticeship for the field slaves was reduced from twelve years to seven; for the house slaves, from seven years to five. The term for the expiration of slavery was subsequently further reduced. It was originally proposed to make a loan of fifteen millions to the Colonial proprietors. Their acquiescence in the measure was secured by a positive gift of twenty millions. It is unnecessary to trace the progress of the Bill for Negro Emancipation through the two Houses. The debates were not of great length, and they exhibited no violence. Even the proposed grant, the interest of which was to be defrayed by an additional tax upon sugar, produced little remonstrance either from the Parliament or the people. The Bill was read a second time in the House of Commons on Monday the 22nd of July without a division. This was equivalent to the measure being substantially passed. Three days before, William Wilberforce had arrived in London in a state of health that precluded all hope. The last time he spoke in Parliament was on the case of the Missionary Smith, on the 1st of June, 1824. His biographers, alluding to the second reading of the Bill for the Abolition of Slavery, say, “The last public information he received was, that his country was willing to redeem itself from the national disgrace at any sacrifice. ‘Thank God,’ said he, ‘that I should have lived to witness the day in which England is willing to give twenty millions sterling for the abolition of Slavery.’” ‡ He died on Monday, July the 29th. The bill was read a third time in the House of Commons on the 7th of August. On the 25th of June the resolutions of the Committee of the Lower

* Hansard, vol. xvii. col. 1230.

† *Ibid.*, col. 1194.

‡ “Life of Wilberforce,” by his Sons, vol. v. p. 370. By a mistake of the biographers, the bill is stated to have been read a second time on Friday the 26th.

House were adopted in the House of Lords. Upon the second reading of the Bill lord Brougham said that the measure was loudly demanded by the all but unanimous voice of the people of England. He concluded by saying, that after the exertions of more than a quarter of a century, it was no little gratification to him, and no little consolation for many disappointments and disquietudes, to have lived to see this great and good work brought so near to a consummation.* The bill was there read a third time on the 20th of August.

The freedom of the negro slaves was to commence under the system of apprenticeship on the 1st of August, 1834. Many had dreaded that there would be insurrections in some of the West India islands during the interval between slavery and freedom. There was no insurrection; little turbulent manifestation of impatience. Planter and slave had equally settled into a sober preparation for their future condition. There is a most interesting account of the first day of Emancipation in Antigua, a passage of which we transcribe: "The first of August came on Friday, and a release was proclaimed from all work until the next Monday. The day was chiefly spent by the great mass of negroes in the churches and chapels. Thither they flocked as clouds, and as doves to their windows. The clergy and missionaries throughout the island were actively engaged, seizing the opportunity, in order to enlighten the people on all the duties and responsibilities of their new situation, and above all, urging them to the attainment of that higher liberty with which Christ maketh his children free. In every quarter we were assured that the day was like a Sabbath. Work had ceased; the hum of business was still, and noise and tumult were unheard in the streets. Tranquillity pervaded the towns and country. A Sabbath indeed! when the wicked ceased from troubling, and the weary were at rest, and the slave was freed from the master! The planters informed us, that they went to the chapels where their own people were assembled, greeted them, shook hands with them, and exchanged most hearty good wishes." †

The influence on the population of foreign countries, to which Mr. Stanley alluded, was intensely felt by the Abolitionists of the United States. Dr. Channing,—one of the most earnest of this band, who amidst neglect, calumny, and ridicule, have by perseverance become powerful enough to impart a moral character to the contest of the Northern States against the Southern,—delivered

* Hansard, vol. xx. col. 525.

† Thorne and Kimball, "Emancipator in the West Indies," quoted by Dr. Channing, in his Address on the 1st of August, 1442.

an address at Lenox on the anniversary of Emancipation in the British West Indies. This emancipation, he said, "whether viewed in itself, or in its immediate results, or in the spirit from which it grew, or in the light of hope which it sheds on the future, deserves to be commemorated. In some respects it stands alone in human history." The eloquence of Dr. Channing had for its especial object to rouse his countrymen into an emulation of a high and generous deed, effected by a nation which America, as well as other nations, had been too ready to traduce as given over to the promptings of selfish ambition. There were passages in that address which appear to have sunk into the American mind, to exhibit their fruits twenty years afterwards: "The cause had nothing to rely on but the spirit of the English people; and that people did respond to the reasonings, pleadings, rebukes of Christian philanthropy, as nation never did before. The history of this warfare cannot be read without seeing, that, once at least, a great nation was swayed by high and disinterested principles. Men of the world deride the notion of influencing human affairs by any but selfish motives; and it is a melancholy truth, that the movements of nations have done much to confirm the darkest views of human nature. What a track of crime, desolation, war, we are called by history to travel over! Still history is lighted up by great names, by noble deeds, by patriots and martyrs; and especially in Emancipation, we see a great nation, putting forth its power, and making great sacrifices, for a distant, degraded race of men, who had no claims but those of wronged and suffering humanity." At the hour at which we are writing on this great historical event, and considering its possible influence on foreign nations, there arrives a telegram from New York of the 7th of March, announcing that a message has been sent by President Lincoln to Congress, urging the passing of a Resolution for co-operation with the Slave States by means of pecuniary aid from the Federal revenues for the gradual emancipation of the slaves.*

We mention the remarkable fact of Mr. Lincoln's proposition to Congress, chiefly to point out that the suggestion of pecuniary compensation to the Slave-owners was not likely to be received by the planters of the Southern States, or even of the Border States, to whom it seems more peculiarly addressed, with any conviction that the position of the proprietors in the British West India colonies had been improved by the emancipation of the slaves a quarter of a century before. The owners of four millions of slaves have constantly alleged that the British government, by convert-

* "Times," March 20, 1862.

ing seven hundred thousand slaves into free labourers, had destroyed the prosperity of their West India colonies by practically repealing the divine law that all men must work,—that by the sweat of his brow every man should eat his bread. They have pointed especially to the largest and most fertile of the British West India islands—Jamaica—and they have maintained that from the 1st of August, 1834, may be dated the ruin of its towns, the desolation of its cane-fields, the bankruptcy of its sugar growers. The emancipated negro, they affirmed, will not work. Such a consequence was indeed foretold during the debates on the Abolition Bill. It was said that of Jamaica two-thirds were wood and bush—by bush being meant, not unprolific but uncultivated ground;—that it abounded in means of support; that the rivers teemed with fish; that the negroes possessed abundance of swine and poultry. Their numbers were too few to produce a competition for land; they would obtain it at nominal rent, or become squatters. Would not the free labourers, it was asked, rather cultivate the soil on their own account than work for wages. These were the opinions of the earl of Belmore.* The duke of Wellington held that it was absurd to expect that the negroes in such a climate as that of the West Indies would work as regular agriculturists unless they were obliged to work.† To this argument lord Brougham answered, that if it were the nature of the negro, under existing circumstances, to find a delight in cultivating his ground after his day's work was at an end, surely he would not so alter his nature as to refuse voluntary work when this new arrangement came into operation.‡ In too many cases the negro did refuse to work at the especial business of sugar cultivation, to which he had formerly been driven by the fear of the whip. Upon the growers of sugar-canes, who combined the business of the cultivator with that of the manufacturer in producing rum and sugar, the Emancipation Act, especially in Jamaica, came like a destroying blight. It was not a sudden uprooting of the solid foundations of a real prosperity, for every estate was encumbered, and every planter embarrassed; but it destroyed the system which had still enabled the proprietors profitably to supply the markets with their produce by compelling the greatest amount of work at the smallest pay out of the slave population. When hired labour became dear, or impossible to be procured at all,—when a white or coloured population could not labour with the physical energy of the black under a tropical sun,—there came insolvency, forced sales of estates, amazing reduction in the

* Hansard, vol. xx. col. 511.

† *Ibid.*, col. 518.‡ *Ibid.*, col. 522.

value of all property, families connected with West Indian interests reduced from opulence to poverty.

Ten years after the Emancipation, whilst Exeter Hall still boldly maintained the unmixed good of the Abolition of Slavery, there were writers and speakers who denounced the eloquence of Exeter Hall as "rose-pink sentimentalism." Those who in 1833 dreaded that the negro would not work, were too true prophets of a certain amount of evil. Whilst lord Brougham and others had believed that the ordinary laws of demand and supply would operate upon the freed negro, the experience of a considerable period had shown, it was thus quaintly alleged, that they had not so operated: "The West Indies, it appears, are short of labour; as, indeed, is very conceivable in those circumstances. When a black man, by working about half an hour a day (such is the calculation), can supply himself, by aid of sun and soil, with as much pumpkin as will suffice, he is likely to be a little stiff to raise into hard work! Supply and demand, which, science says, should be brought to bear on him, have an uphill task of it with such a man. Strong sun supplies itself gratis, rich soil in those unpeopled or half-peopled regions almost gratis; these are his 'supply,' and half an hour a day, directed upon these, will produce pumpkin, which is his 'demand.' The fortunate Black man, very swiftly does he settle *his* account with supply and demand:—not so swiftly the less fortunate White man of those tropical localities. A bad case his, just now. He himself cannot work; and his black neighbour, rich in pumpkin, is in no haste to help him."* Ten years roll on, and still the Black man "can listen to the less fortunate White man's demand, and take his own time in supplying it."† One amongst the ablest of recent authors goes to the West Indies, in 1859, and describes, in Jamaica, the negro "lying at his ease under his cotton-tree, and declining to work after ten o'clock in the morning. 'No, tankee, Massa, me tired now. Me no want more money.'"‡ The first desire, says Mr. Trollope, of man in a state of civilization is for property. "The negro has no such desire." What is to be done? Englishmen, whether prompted or not by Exeter Hall, will not assent to the doctrine, to say nothing of the difficulty of its enforcement, "that no Black man who will not work according to what ability the gods have given him for working, has the smallest right to eat pumpkin, or to any fraction of land that will grow pumpkin, however plentiful such land may be; but has an indisputable and perpetual right to be compelled, by the real proprietors of

* Carlyle, "Latter-Day Pamphlets; The Nigger Question;" first published in 1849.

† *Ibid.*

‡ Anthony Trollope, "The West Indies," p. 64.

said land, to do competent work for his living."* The traveller through Jamaica may ride on for days and only see a "cane-piece" here and there; but very frequently on the roadside are to be seen the pleasant gardens or provision-grounds of the negroes. In these are cocoa trees, bread-fruit trees, oranges, mangoes, limes, plantains, and other tall food-producing plants. The yam is there in great profusion, with a score of other edibles. "Then one sees also in these provision-grounds, patches of coffee, and arrow-root, and occasionally also patches of sugar-cane."† So the black man, then, is not altogether idle. He has not wholly gone back in his free condition to a state of uncivilization. His oranges, and his bread-fruit, and his yam, will grow with little labour. Having these he does not care for the half-crown a-day which he would be paid for digging cane-holes. Whilst in Jamaica there are eleven acres of land to one man, and that land will yield subsistence for scraping it, it is difficult to say how any new competition of free labourers could change the habits of the negro. The case is essentially different in Barbadoes, where there is no unoccupied land. This little island is fully peopled with negroes, and every negro works as a free labourer in the cane-fields or the mills, without hesitating to work after ten o'clock in the morning. The exportation of sugar from that island has been more than doubled since the Emancipation. In some islands, such as St. Vincent, the exportation of sugar has declined one-half. But the exportation of arrow-root has increased twenty-fold. In other islands immigrations of coolies have supplied the labour which the negro reluctantly performs. It has not displaced his labour, but has left him to the lazy but not wholly improvident enjoyment of his own provision-ground. The changes in the political circumstances of the world hold out to the West India proprietors some cultivation of equal importance with that of the sugar-cane. Some islands grow cotton, and they probably will grow much more. Whilst there is lamentation, and no doubt justly, over the reverses of the planters in particular islands or districts, it is stoutly maintained that the colonies generally are flourishing. It is universally maintained that the negro is prospering.‡ This condition of things, after a quarter of a century's experience, is perhaps as satisfactory as could reasonably be expected. It is far more satisfactory than a persistence in the sin of slavery would have been. Most truly is it said by Mr. Trollope, that "the discontinuance of a sin is always the com-

* "Latter-Day Pamphlets."

† Trollope, p. 28.

‡ See "The Ordeal of Free-Labour in the British West Indies," by W. G. Sewell (a Canadian): also in "Edinburgh Review," for January, 1862, an article on Mr. Sewell's book.

mencement of a struggle." Whether with the notable fact that the black African alone of wild men can live among men civilized,"* he will refuse to accommodate himself to the customary labours of civilization amongst the whites, is a problem not to be solved where the rich lands are so abundant that they yield no rent, for the inferior lands remain uncultivated. If this law of political economy be true, the time may not be very distant when the negro will cease to despise property, and not having land for nothing, will not continue to sit "up to the ears in pumpkins, imbibing sweet pulps and juices." However that may be, the question of slavery or no slavery was not a question of imports and exports. The conscience of England could not rest happy under the load of injustice, and she at once threw off the burthen and the shame.

Whilst the British Legislature was decreeing that within a few years no slave should exist in the empire, and was providing as far as it could for the moral and religious education of children who would instantly become free, a beginning was made in the reformation of a crying evil which, however, was surrounded with difficulties almost as great as those of negro emancipation. The condition of children in our Factories had long been one of neglected education, of excessive labour, producing together the miserable effect of stunted intellects and diseased bodies. On the day when Mr. Stanley introduced his Bill for the abolition of Negro Slavery, lord Ashley moved that the house should go into a Committee on the Factories Bill,—a Bill not only to prevent the overworking of children in factories; but to limit the hours of adult labour. The government proposed by lord Althorp to refer the bill to a Select Committee. A Commission had been appointed in a previous Session with a view to obtain information, and it would be inconsistent to legislate without having had time to read their Report, and the voluminous evidence which it contained. He contended that the effect of reducing the hours of labour might increase the power of foreigners to compete in the British market, thus causing the decline of the manufacturing interests of the country, and producing misery among the manufacturing population. He desired to see the insertion of a clause in the Bill, by which protection to children properly so called would be increased, whilst adults should be left unshackled and unrestricted. He moved that the Bill should be referred to a Select Committee, to whom it should be an instruction to make provision, 1st, that no child who had not entered upon its fourteenth year should labour more than eight hours a day; 2ndly, for securing opportunities of educating the children during the

* Carlyle.

times they were not employed ; and 3rdly, that a system of inspection be enforced throughout the factories so as to insure the execution of the above provisions. The amendment of lord Althorp was opposed, not without considerable heat, upon the ground that delay would be caused if the matter were referred to a Select Committee ; and that the protection should extend beyond those under the age of fourteen. The plea for instant legislation was one of the frequent manifestations that philanthropy often exhibits of an infantine impatience for the cure of a malady, without stopping to consider the greater derangements of society which a sudden and strong remedy might induce. The proposition of the government was rejected by a majority of twenty-three. The Bill went into Committee ; when lord Ashley proposed to limit the labour in factories to ten hours daily till the employed were eighteen years of age. Lord Althorp moved as an amendment that the hours of daily work should be limited to eight until children should attain the age of fourteen. The Committee divided against the proposal of eighteen years, rejecting it by a majority of a hundred and forty-five. Lord Ashley, finding, he said, that the noble lord had completely defeated him, surrendered the Bill into his hands. The Bill, whose operations were to commence on the 1st of January, 1834, provided regulations for the employment of children which were to come into gradual operation. School attendance was to be cared for, and medical superintendence was directed. Four Factory Commissioners were appointed to watch over the operation of the Act. This first attempt at legislation upon a great social question was materially amended by the statute of the present queen (7 Vict. c. 15.)

There were two other great questions before the parliament of 1833, which necessarily involved changes of system, by some accounted dangerous, by most received as an absolute necessity concurrent with the progress of society. One of these was the renewal of the Bank Charter, which would expire upon a year's notice after the 1st of August, 1833. A Secret Committee had been appointed in May, 1832. Its Report, presented in August of that year, was printed, and the transactions of the great Corporation would no longer be secret. A new Charter was granted, for a limited period, by an Act which received the royal assent on the 29th of August, 1833. The exclusive privileges of the Governor and Company were to end upon one year's notice given at the end of ten years after August, 1834. All other banks having more than six partners was restricted from issuing notes or bills within sixty-five miles of London. The notes of the Bank and of its

branches were to be a legal tender, except at the Bank and its branches. Weekly returns of bullion, and of notes in circulation, were to be sent to the Chancellor of the Exchequer in order to publication in the London Gazette. These were the more important provisions of the Act. In another ten years the constitution of the Bank was necessarily remodelled.

The charter of the East India Company had been renewed for twenty years in 1813, during which term they were to retain the exclusive trade to China. The trade to India was partially thrown open. In the Session of 1833, the success even of the limited experiment of private trade had sufficiently shown, that if British commercial intercourse with India should be freed from the restrictions that still pressed upon it, and that if China could be opened to general enterprise, a vast market would be created for our produce and manufactures. The charter was in 1833 renewed for twenty years. The territorial government of India was to remain in the hands of the Company. Its existence as a commercial body was to be wound up after the 22nd of April, 1834. All the regulations which interfered with the free admission of Europeans to India, and their free residence there, were to cease. The government of India was to be in a Governor-General and Councillors. A Law Commission was to be appointed to inquire into the jurisdiction of existing courts of justice and the operation of the laws. No native, nor any natural-born subject of the King resident in India, should be disabled from holding office on account of his religion, place of birth, descent, or colour. Slavery was to be mitigated, and abolished as soon as practicable. We shall have to show, in a future view of British industry, what vast results were accomplished by the assertion of the principle for which the traders of England had been contending for generations—that monopolies were injurious and ought to be abolished.

In the present chapter we have considered it important to display, at some length, the vast amount of work of the highest interest which was effected in the first session of the Reformed Parliament. The prorogation took place on the 29th of August. There was no exaggeration in the boast of the Speaker of the House of Commons when he thus addressed the King upon his throne: "Sire, in reviewing our labours of the last seven months, it may, I think, be truly said, that the history of Parliament will not furnish a Session so wholly engrossed and overwhelmed with matters so various and so difficult in their character, and so deeply important to the vital interests of the empire, as this Session.* We have

* Hansard, vol. xx. col. 901.

seen that many of the discussions on "matters so various and so difficult" had abiding results; that the legislation of the year was the commencement of a succession of political and social improvements whose value we are now all ready to acknowledge. This was the first genial seed-time, when the "good seed" might be expected to fall into "good ground," and "bring forth fruit." Nevertheless men's minds were much divided upon the question of what was "good seed." Such division effectually prevented, during this season of hope, as it has prevented for many succeeding years, any favourable result to the agitation of the question of the Ballot. It was a subject in great part new to Parliament, when, in 1833, Mr. Grote made this question his own, and continued for six years to maintain his conviction of the necessity for secret voting, with that temperate judgment, that unobtrusive learning, that calm philosophy, which subsequently he has devoted with rare success to labours probably more congenial than parliamentary excitement. On the 25th of April he moved, "that it is expedient that the votes at elections for members of Parliament be taken by way of ballot. The principle of the Ballot has been repeatedly expounded in more recent times, but never with greater success than during the period when the question was in the hands of Mr. Grote. - Year after year he gained new adherents to the principle. He had one hundred and six supporters in 1833; he had two hundred and sixteen in 1839. Since 1848, under the advocacy of Mr. Henry Berkeley, its supporters have grown fewer and fewer every year. In 1848 it was carried by a small majority. "Such reaction of opinion," it has been observed, "upon a popular measure, is more significant of ultimate failure than a steady position, without progress indeed, yet without reverses."*

* May—"Constitutional History," vol. i. p. 373.

CHAPTER VII.

Poor Law Amendment Act.—Commission for preliminary inquiry.—Commissioners' Report.—Striking instances of Parochial Reform at Cookham and Southwell.—State of the Southern Agricultural Counties.—Of the Manufacturing Districts.—Violent opposition to the measure.—The Bill passed.—Debate on the Repeal of the Union with Ireland.—Irish Church.—Resignations of Mr. Stanley and three of his colleagues.—Resignation of lord Grey.—The*Melbourne Administration.—The Budget.—Prorogation.—The Grey Banquet at Edinburgh.—The Houses of Parliament destroyed by fire.

THE great measure of the Session of Parliament for 1834 was the passing of the Act for "the Amendment and better Administration of the Laws relating to the Poor in England and Wales." That session was opened on the 4th of February, and concluded on the 15th of August. The Speaker, in his Address to the King on the day of prorogation, said, that the Poor Law Amendment Bill had almost from the commencement to the close of the session occupied the unwearied attention of the Commons. It was impossible, he continued, for them to approach a subject of such infinite delicacy and such immense importance "without much of apprehension and he might say, much of alarm." It was several years before the apprehension and alarm passed away; before the hope of the Speaker could be generally entertained, "that its benefits will be as lasting as they will be grateful to all ranks and classes of society." The Bill was brought in by lord Althorpe on the 17th of April. To understand the scope of the Parliamentary proceedings, we must notice with some detail the preliminary steps of a Commission which was appointed in 1832, "to make a full inquiry into the practical operation of the Poor Laws, and into the manner in which those Laws are administered." These Commissioners were also charged to report their opinion as to "what improvements might beneficially be made in these laws, and in the manner of administering them." This Report was signed by Charles James Blomfield, bishop of London; John Bird Sumner, bishop of Chester; and by Sturges Bourne, Nassau W. Senior, Henry Bishop, Henry Gawler, W. Coulson, James Traill, and Edwin Chadwick,—all known to the public for their capacity to deal with a question which had never yet been approached in a philosophical and courageous spirit of legislation.

The Report of the Commissioners, dated the 20th of February, 1834, was preceded by the publication of "Extracts from the information received," which volume was addressed to viscount Melbourne, Secretary of State for the Home Department. The Commissioners, in their introductory letter to this volume, expressed their belief that, although only a small part of the evidence which they were preparing to report, it contained more information on the subject to which it related than had ever been afforded to the country. These extracts were very largely circulated; and they contributed in a remarkable manner to the establishment of the principle that the utmost publicity and the freest discussion afford the best security for an eventual triumph of sound statesmanship over popular prejudice, enabling a government resolutely to labour for the removal of a deeply-rooted mischief—a task whose difficulties might have appeared almost insurmountable when "the inveterate canker" had eaten into the heart of the largest portion of the community.

The annual amount of the poor-rate at the close of the American War in 1783 was 2,132,487*l.* In half a century, that is, in 1833, the amount had risen to 8,606,501*l.* The population of England and Wales in the first period was about eight millions; in the second period about fourteen millions. The poor-rate levy had increased 300 per cent.; the population had increased about 75 per cent. Assuming that the increase of taxation, the fluctuation of the currency, and other disturbing causes, had contributed to produce this enormous increase, there could be no doubt in the minds of thinking men, that there was something beyond the mere pressure of the times which had long deranged the usual relation of labour and wages, and had produced, amongst the agricultural labourers especially, a reliance upon other means of support than their own industry and foresight. There had been several successful experiments of a parochial struggle against the mischievous system, which appeared to be rapidly proceeding to a consummation which would be equivalent to the whole rental of the country being swallowed up, not by poverty but by pauperism. Two or three remarkable men had been able to show, in their respective localities, that a consistent assertion of a principle might arrest the evil which a nation deplored, but of which few could see the way to a remedy. Of the parish of Cookham, in Berkshire, more than thirty years before the passing of the Poor Law Amendment Bill, the Rev. Thomas Whateley was the vicar. Under his active promotion and superintendence the most important reforms had been produced in an agricultural population, which, in common with the populations

of all the southern counties, had sunk into the lowest state of pauper degradation. "The moral and intellectual character of the good old English labourer, who in former times had boasted with honest pride that he never was beholden to a parish officer, was destroyed altogether."* Upon the termination of Mr. Whateley's pastoral residence in Cookham for forty years, his parishioners presented him with a service of plate. In his address of thanks he told them, that they, with no precedent to refer to, no authority to quote, had carried into active operation the great principles upon which the new Poor-Law Amendment Bill was founded. The destruction of the allowance system in aid of wages was the foremost of the principles to which Mr. Whateley alluded. You have effected, he said, "a saving of thirty thousand pounds to the ratepayers; but you did that which was worth more than double the money—you bettered the condition while you improved the manners and morals of the lower orders to a degree that is scarcely credible. . . . You have the satisfaction of having placed a Bible in every house, blankets upon every bed, fuel upon every fire, clothes upon every back, and plenty of wholesome food in every pantry." There was another great principle upon which the Poor-Law Amendment Bill was founded. Southwell, in Nottinghamshire, deserves especial mention as having been the parish where, with the humble experience of an overseer of the poor, one of the most energetic, clear-headed, and truly benevolent poor-law reformers saw clearly what great effects would result from refusing outdoor relief to the able-bodied poor, and offering the workhouse as a test of destitution. Mr. Nicholls, afterwards sir George Nicholls, in 1821 addressed a series of letters to the editor of the "Nottingham Journal," which were subsequently collected and published under the title of "Eight Letters on the Poor-Laws, by an Overseer." In the parish of Southwell at that time there was living, under the shade of the magnificent collegiate church, a pauper population constantly supported in their demands upon the rates by a bench of magistrates assembled weekly in petty sessions. There was a paid overseer to assist the ordinary overseers; there was a workhouse maintained at a considerable expense, without order or discipline, which had become the resort of the idle and profligate of both sexes. Under the bench of magistrates and the paid overseer the circle of pauperism became so widened as to embrace nearly the whole labouring population. Self-reliance and provident habits were destroyed. "In youth and in age, in sickness and in health, in seasons of abundance and in seasons of scarcity, with low prices

* Mr. Whateley's Evidence.

or with high prices, the parish was still looked to and relied upon as an unfailling resource to which every one clung, and from which every poor man considered that he had a right to obtain the supply of every want, even although such want was caused by his own indolence, vice, or improvidence.* The remedy for this evil was effected by discontinuing employment by the parish; by refusing allowances in aid of wages; by withholding the payment of rents of cottages; by excusing none from paying the poor's-rate; by establishing a school where the children of labourers burdened with large families might be fed and taught during the day; and by making workhouse relief the foundation of all other reforms. The workhouse principle insured the non-acceptance of parish relief unless under circumstances of actual want; such want being, at the same time, always certain of finding the relief of which it stood in need. By these energetic means the expenditure for the relief of the poor was reduced from 2,006*l.* in 1820-21 to 517*l.* in 1823,24. The examples of Southwell, and of Bingham, a parish on the opposite bank of the Trent, "were therefore of infinite value to the Commissioners, on whom had devolved the duty of devising a remedy for the abuses of the Poor-Laws; and they were relied upon accordingly as instances of substantial reforms, founded upon and growing out of the practical application of a principle simple and effective, and that might be reasonably expected, wherever it was adopted, to be as effective as it had proved in the case of these two parishes." †

In the Report of the Commissioners of Inquiry the workhouse of Southwell is described as one of the few instances of a place in which the aged and impotent are maintained in comfort, and the able-bodied supported, but under such restrictions as not to induce them to prefer it to a life of independent labour. Let us compare such a workhouse system with that which prevailed in parishes where the great ambition of the managers of the poor was to make the condition of the pauper infinitely superior to that of the independent labourer. Some of the details brought to light by the Commission of Inquiry are almost too ludicrous to be readily believed by those of the present generation. And yet no one who had experience of the parish business of the time of George IV. and William IV. would hesitate to admit their correctness to the fullest extent. Mr. Chadwick goes to the parish of St. Lawrence, Reading. The governor of the workhouse little knew the quality

* "Statement of the Proceedings at Southwell, drawn up by Mr. Nicholls in 1834, at the request of the Inquiry Commissioners.

† "History of the English Poor Law," by Sir George Nicholls, vol. ii. p. 250.

of the gentleman with whom he had to deal. Q. "What description of food do you give those under your charge?" A. "Good wholesome victuals as anybody would wish to taste. You shall taste it yourself. We give them all meat three times a week. The working men have a bellyful. We never weigh anything, and there is no stint, so as they do not waste anything. Then they have good table-beer and good ale." The querist then asked whether he might say that not only was the condition of those under the complacent governor's care better as regarded food, clothing, lodging, and comfort, than the labourers who toil out of doors, but that they were under no uncertainty, and had no anxiety about providing for themselves. "Yes, sir; you may say that. You may say, too, that they are better off than one-half of the ratepayers out of the house."* In this favoured parish of Reading the management of the out-paupers was equally characteristic, they having nearly the same amount of wages allowed them without work as could have been obtained by independent labourers with hard work. It was the same everywhere. The pauper was the favoured of God's creatures. Imposture and crime were bountifully rewarded, whilst industry and frugality met either with neglect or with persecution. There was a systematic slavery enforced in many parishes almost as cruel and certainly as demoralizing as the slavery in the West Indies. Mr. Hickson, a manufacturer at Northampton, a tradesman in London, and the owner of land at Stansford in Kent, thus describes his country experience. Some circumstance had occurred which obliged him to part with a hard-working industrious man, who had saved some money, who had cows and pigs, and who lived in a well-furnished cottage. Mr. Hickson says, "He told me at the time I was obliged to part with him,—'Whilst I have these things I shall get no work. I must part with them all. I must be reduced to a state of beggary before any one will employ me.' I was compelled to part with him at Michaelmas—he has not yet got work, and he has no chance of getting any until he has become a pauper; for, until then, the paupers will be preferred to him. He cannot get work in his own parish, and he will not be allowed to get any in other parishes."† When the hard-working industrious man became utterly destitute he would most probably get work, but his spirit would be broken. He might become reckless—he would certainly become surly. The administrators of parish relief, before they administered to

* "Extracts from Information," pp. 216—217.

† "Extracts of Evidence," p. 270. As to the operation of the Law of Settlement, see *ante*, vol. vii. p. 442.

the actual necessities of a poor man, were always occupied in discussions about his character. It was this pottering about "character," about "governors" (that is, magistrates and overseers), "being appointed for the punishment of evil-doers, and for the praise of them that do well," that produced grievous injustice under the old administration of the Poor-Laws. The squire, the clergyman, and the farmer, constituted themselves a tribunal for the suppression of vice and the encouragement of virtue, and they succeeded in producing either desperation or hypocrisy amongst the entire labouring population. If the junta was completed by the addition of a paid assistant overseer the discrimination was perfect. Those who have ever been conversant with the workings of the old system know that squalid filth was the test of destitution, and whining gratitude, as it was called, for the alms distributed was the test of character. If a labourer with a manly bearing came to the overseer, or to the Parish Committee, to remove some sudden calamity—if he asked something to prevent him selling his bed—he was insulted. The writer has seen the agonized tear of wounded pride start from the eye, and perhaps the groan of suppressed indignation escape from the lips;—if the groan was heard, that man's "character" was gone for ever. This pretence to discriminate between the good and the evil did much worse for the community than occasional injustice. It led away parish functionaries from the real object of their appointment—to administer relief to the indigent—into the belief that they were the great patrons of the whole labouring population, who could never go alone without their aid. They almost forced the condition of pauperism upon the whole working community by their beautiful system of rewards and punishments. They forgot that it was their business to give relief to destitution, and to destitution only, and so they established every sort of false test to guide them in the one duty of their office—to find out whether the want was real, and if so, to relieve it in a manner that would satisfy the necessity without destroying the honest pride of self-reliance.

The facts which we have thus touched upon as to the operation of the Poor-Laws in the southern counties must not be considered as exhibiting the general condition of the agricultural peasantry in the north, and certainly not as paralleled amidst the manufacturing population. The Commissioners indeed say in their letter to lord Melbourne, that "the mal-administration which was supposed to be confined to some of the agricultural districts appears to have spread over almost every part of the country and into the manufacturing towns." In the manufacturing districts

there was a peremptory and comparatively sudden necessity for the extension of the allowance system, arising out of the transition from hand to power-loom weaving. This vicissitude affected three-fifths of the population of Lancashire, especially in the country places, where the power-loom was not used, but where its effects had reduced the wages of the country weavers to a minimum which was not adequate for their maintenance. In the manufacturing towns the power-loom created a new but partial demand for labour, especially for that of children. To mitigate the inevitable distress the allowance-system was applied to able-bodied weavers. The farmers in their management of the parish funds could depress the rates of wages below the natural level. The manufacturers could not attempt—they certainly did not attempt—to imitate this practical oppression. The rate of wages of the hand-loom weavers did not sink lower than was to be expected as the natural result of an invention which compelled them to compete with the power of steam.*

On the 17th of April lord Althorp moved that leave be given to bring in a Bill to alter and amend the laws relative to the Relief of the Poor in England and Wales. It was absolutely necessary, he said, that there should be a discretionary power vested in some quarter to carry into effect recommendations calculated to introduce sound principles and the fruits of salutary experience into the administration of the Poor-Laws. It was his intention therefore to propose that his Majesty should be authorized to appoint a Central Board of Commissioners, invested with extraordinary power to enable it to accomplish the object proposed. The Bill introduced by lord Althorp was founded upon the recommendations of the Commissioners of Inquiry. It had remained a month under the consideration of the Cabinet, two of the Commissioners, Mr. Sturges Bourne and Mr. Senior, occasionally attending to afford explanations.† The great value of the preliminary inquiry and of the able Report of the Commissioners, as well as the sedulous attention which was bestowed upon all the details by a Committee of seven members of the Cabinet, may be inferred from the fact that, with very slight modifications, the Poor-Laws, as they are now administered throughout the country by Boards of Guardians acting under a Central Board, have during twenty-eight years been prescribed by the legislation of 1834. The proposition of this great measure was very favourably received by the House of Commons. The

* "Extracts," &c., p. 340.

† Nicholls—"History of the English Poor Law," vol. ii. p. 278.

second reading was carried by a large majority; ayes, 299; noes, 20.

Between the second reading on the 9th of May, and the third reading on the 1st of July, a most powerful opposition had been got up against the measure. It was maintained, and of course popularly believed, that it was a bill of pains and penalties against the poor; that the endeavour to take the relief of the necessitous out of the hands of benevolent magistrates was to expose the poor to the grinding tyranny of centralization which could have no sympathy with local or individual wants. The metropolitan parishes, whose select vestries had long enjoyed a fame for the most outrageous jobbery, were amongst the loudest opponents of the measure. The sentiments of Marylebone were spoken by sir Samuel Whalley, who maintained that the power proposed to be given to the Commissioners—"to these bashaws, to these three-tailed bashaws, was subversive to all constitutional principles. . . . He believed that one of the effects of this measure would be, that men of character would abstain from filling parochial offices, while those who undertook them must consent to be the degraded tools of the Commissioners."* On the day of the second reading the Common Council of London had, with only two dissentient voices, agreed to a petition against the Bill. Mr. Grote, one of the members for the City, nevertheless did not hesitate to express his approval of the main ends of the Bill, and of the new machinery by which those ends were to be accomplished. He declared that so strong was his conviction of the absolute necessity of some large remedial measure as an antidote to the overwhelming evil of pauperism—so firm was his belief of the necessity of some central supervising agency—that if it were to cost him the certain sacrifice of his seat he should feel bound to tell his constituents that he dissented from them, and that he would do his best to promote the attainment of this necessary, and in the main valuable, remedy.† Upon the third reading of the Bill the ayes were 157, the noes 50. The duration of the measure was then limited to five years.

Between the passing of the Bill by the Commons on the 2nd of July and its proposed second reading by the Lords, lord Grey had retired from the government, and lord Melbourne had become the head of the administration. It was not till the 21st that lord Brougham moved the second reading. "My lords," he said, "I should have been unworthy of the task that has been committed to my hands, if by any deference to clamour I could have been made to swerve from the faithful discharge of this duty. The subject is

* Hansard, vol. xxiii. col. 811.

† *Ibid.*, col. 815.

infinitely too important, the interests which it involves are far too mighty, and the duty correlative to the importance of those interests which the government I belong to has to discharge, is of too lofty, too sacred a nature, to make it possible for any one who aspires to the name of a statesman, or who has taken upon himself to counsel his sovereign upon the arduous concerns of his realm, to let the dictates of clamour find any access to his breast, and make him sacrifice his principles to a covetousness of popular applause."* Never were the qualities of the great orator more remarkably displayed than in this speech. Historical research, accurate reasoning, a complete mastery of facts, majestic rhetoric,—all were brought to bear upon a subject which the mere utilitarian would have clothed with the repulsive precision of statistical detail. The measure was opposed by lord Wynford; it was supported by the duke of Wellington. The House divided upon the motion for the second reading: contents, 76; non-contents, 13. During the progress of the Bill through both Houses many of the clauses were strenuously resisted in Committee. The amendments that were carried were however comparatively of little importance, and it finally received the royal assent on the 14th of August.

The three Commissioners, Sir Frankland Lewis, Mr. John Lefevre, and Mr. Nicholls, entered upon the functions of their office on the 23rd of August. On the 8th of August, 1835, they issued their first Report, which clearly indicated the extent and complicated nature of the subjects which had come under their consideration, and furnished an evidence of the sagacity with which they had set in motion an organization which was to comprehend the whole of England and Wales. This vast machinery, which, in 1860, was in force in six hundred and forty-six unions, including 14,427 parishes, with an aggregate population of 17,670,938 souls, has become so complete in its working, and is so thoroughly applied by Boards of Guardians throughout the country, that the provisions of the Act in 1834 with its subsequent amendments are perfectly familiar to the intelligent part of the community, and therefore any analysis of its enactments would be unnecessary in this place.

When the King closed the session of 1834, he with great justice complimented the two Houses upon their extraordinary exertions, and expressed his deep sense of the care and labour which they had bestowed upon the public business. There was one quality of the House of Commons as conspicuous as its energetic industry—its patience under the most wearisome inflictions. That it should

* Hansard, vol. xxv. col. 212.

have endured six nights of debate on the question of the Repeal of the Union with Ireland,—having the perfect certainty that nothing could be produced by Irish members on that subject which had not formed the staple of popular orations in many a mob-gathering, and that what could be replied would only be a rose-coloured version of well-known facts,—such a triumph of temper is a signal instance of meekness, only to be paralleled by that of a creature generally obstinate, and sometimes vicious, who was equally placid whether eating the bitter stem of an artichoke or the sentimental traveller's macaroon. One of the Irish members informs us how it became inevitable that such an inflexion should fall upon the House of Commons. Mr. Feargus O'Connor insisted at the beginning of the session that the testing of the Repeal question in Parliament should no longer be delayed. Mr. O'Connell in vain remonstrated. A cry was got up in Ireland in support of Mr. Feargus O'Connor's patriotism; "and, sorely against his will, and with very uncomfortable forebodings, the originator of the Repeal movement had to yield, and give notice for an early day of subjecting it to a Parliamentary discussion."* On the 22nd of April Mr. O'Connell, in a speech which lasted nearly six hours, moved for a Committee "to inquire and report on the means by which a dissolution of the Parliament of Ireland was effected; on the effects of that measure upon Ireland; and on the probable consequences of continuing the legislative Union between both countries." After a tremendous homily of the cruelties practised upon Ireland in barbarous times, and of the bribery by which the Union was effected, the debate was adjourned. On the second night Mr. Spring Rice occupied as long a time in showing what benefits Ireland had derived from the Union, and concluded by moving, as an amendment, that an Address should be presented to his Majesty, expressing the resolution of the House to maintain the legislative Union inviolate, but persevering in giving its best attention to the removal of all just causes of complaint, and to the promotion of all well-considered measures of improvement. On the sixth night of the debate five hundred and twenty-three members voted for the Address, only thirty-eight members voting with Mr. O'Connell. The Address was sent up to the Peers for their concurrence, which was given unanimously. It was presented to the King by deputations from both Houses.

The consequence of the pledge of removing all just causes of complaint, and of promoting all well-considered measures of improvement, was, that the affairs of Ireland occupied the greater

* John O'Connell, "Recollections and Experiences," vol. i. p. 82.

part of the session, split the Whig ministry into fragments, and rendered their future existence altogether precarious. On the 27th of May Mr. Ward, the member for St. Albans, having previously given notice of his intention to propose certain resolutions on the subject of the Irish Church, moved a resolution, "That the Protestant Episcopal Establishment in Ireland exceeds the spiritual wants of the Protestant population; and that, it being the right of the State to regulate the distribution of Church property in such manner as Parliament may determine, it is the opinion of this House, that the temporal possessions of the Church of Ireland, as now established by law, ought to be reduced." Mr. Ward's motion having been seconded by Mr. Grote, lord Althorp rose and said, that during Mr. Grote's address circumstances had come to his knowledge which induced him to move that the further debate on the subject should be adjourned till the following Monday. Lord Althorp on that Monday explained his reasons for adjourning the debate, which were, that four of his colleagues had differed from the rest of the Cabinet upon the question of appropriating the temporal possessions of the Church of Ireland; that consequently the Cabinet could not agree upon the mode in which the resolution of Mr. Ward was to be met; and that during the speech of the seconder of the motion of the 27th, he had learnt that Mr. Stanley, Secretary for the Colonies, sir James Graham, First Lord of the Admiralty, the duke of Richmond, Postmaster-General, and the earl of Ripon, Lord Privy Seal, had resigned their offices. Lord Althorp added, that the course his Majesty had been advised to adopt, had been to issue a Commission of Inquiry. Mr. Ward expressed his willingness to agree to an address to the Crown which affirmed the principle of his proposition, but declined withdrawing his resolution in want of such affirmation. Upon this lord Althorp moved the previous question, which was carried by a majority of two hundred and seventy-six, thus negating Mr. Ward's resolution. The four vacancies in the ministry were filled up by Mr. Spring Rice being appointed Secretary for the Colonies, lord Auckland First Lord of the Admiralty, the marquis of Conyngham Postmaster-General (without a seat in the Cabinet), and the earl of Carlisle Lord Privy Seal.

In the House of Lords, on the 6th of June, the proposed Commission of Inquiry into the state of the Irish Church was denounced by some peers as an "illegal and sacrilegious measure of prospective spoliation." Earl Grey, in a speech worthy of his high character and position, denied that the measure looked to anything that deserved the name of spoliation. The object of the Com-

mission was to collect facts, and he and his colleagues were prepared to act upon the commission so far as this—'that when it produces such a body of information as we expect, we will take it into consideration, and be prepared to act upon it honestly and conscientiously, with a view to the general interests of the country.' In the course of his speech the Prime Minister pretty clearly intimated that he was tired of his position—he was tired of a systematic opposition of their lordships to salutary improvements in conformity with the spirit of the age—opposition conducted in a feeling of bitterness calculated to excite throughout the country a factious spirit of discontent.

Earl Grey disclaimed the imputation as most unjust, that the ministry would take the revenues of the Protestant Church, and give them to the Catholic. Lord Brougham declared that he would as strenuously oppose as any noble lord on the other side of the House a proposition to give one single fraction of the fund to the Catholic Church. These ministers said this, well knowing the violent prejudices existing against any recognition of the church of the majority in Ireland. Dr. Arnold, a liberal thinker, but not a responsible politician, thought that the surplus of the Protestant Church ought to furnish the Christian people of Ireland with Catholic clergymen.* So thought the promoters of the Union

On the 9th of July the House of Lords exhibited the unusual spectacle of a great Minister, overpowered by his feelings, wholly losing his presence of mind. The Report of the Committee on the Bill for the Suppression of Disturbances in Ireland having been brought up, earl Grey said, "I rise, my lords,"—he could proceed no further. Again he said, "I rise, my lords."—The House cheered, as they had cheered before, but the Prime Minister could not proceed, and he sat down. The duke of Wellington then considerably presented petitions, to afford earl Grey time to recover himself. He at last rose, and tremulously said, "My lords, I feel quite ashamed of the sort of weakness I show on this occasion, a weakness which arises from my deep sense of the personal kindness which, during my having been in his service, I have received from my Sovereign. However, my lords, I have a duty to perform which, painful as it may be, I must discharge; and in rising to propose to your lordships to agree to the Report which has just been read, I have to state that I no longer do so as a Minister of the Crown, but as an individual member of parliament, strongly impressed with the necessity of passing this Act to invest the government, in whatever hands the government may be placed,

* "Life," vol. i. p. 280.

with the powers given by this Bill, and which I believe to be necessary to the maintenance of the peace of Ireland." * In the course of his speech earl Grey stated that on the previous day he had received the resignation of lord Althorp, which he had transmitted to the King. Former breaches had considerably weakened the government; he felt that in losing the assistance of his friend the Chancellor of the Exchequer, whom he considered as his right arm, he saw no alternative, but was compelled, by irresistible necessity, to tender his own resignation. He had desired to resign previous to the commencement of the session. He had completed his seventieth year, and although he might have been able to discharge the duties of the office which he held under ordinary and easy circumstances, considering the present condition of affairs the duties imposed upon him were too much for his strength. The circumstances which immediately led to this decision of earl Grey, as well as to that of lord Althorp, although of great interest at the time, are now unnecessary to detail with minuteness. They were connected with the proposed renewal for one year of the Irish Coercion Bill, which had been moved by earl Grey on the 1st of July. The only difference between that measure and the one which was about to expire was the omission of clauses by which certain offences might be tried by Court Martial. There was a warm debate in the House of Commons on the 3rd, in which it appeared that Mr. Littleton, the Irish Secretary, had confidentially communicated to Mr. O'Connell, that the Irish government had not demanded the insertion of the clauses prohibiting meetings. In the Bill introduced by earl Grey those clauses appeared. Lord Althorp had also intimated to Mr. O'Connell that they would not be inserted in the Coercion Bill. A majority of the Cabinet had determined to introduce the Bill in the form in which it was offered to the House of Lords by earl Grey. Upon this decision the Chancellor of the Exchequer resigned. On the 10th of July the House of Commons adjourned for four days. On the 14th viscount Melbourne stated in the House of Lords that his Majesty had honoured him with his commands to lay before him a plan for the formation of a new Ministry. He had undertaken the task, but it was not yet completed.

The task which his Majesty had first imposed upon lord Melbourne was one of insurmountable difficulty. It was to effect "an union in the service of the state of all those who stand at the head of the respective parties in the country." † The King in desiring

* Hansard, vol. xxiv. col. 1305-6.

† Letter from Lord Melbourne to the King, July 10, 1844, enclosed in a letter from Lord Melbourne to Sir Robert Peel.

lord Melbourne "to enter into communication with the leading individuals of parties," specially mentioned the duke of Wellington, sir Robert Peel, and Mr. Stanley. In an audience upon the 9th viscount Melbourne had laid before his Majesty some of those general objections which pressed forcibly upon his mind to unions and coalitions of opposing parties. He wrote to the King on the 10th that he considered the successful termination of such an attempt utterly hopeless. He had no personal dislikes or objections; on the contrary, for all the individuals in question he entertained great respect. In consequence of the communication to sir Robert Peel, on the 13th of July, he wrote to the King that such an union as that proposed could not, in the present state of parties and the present position of public affairs, hold out the prospect of an efficient and vigorous administration. The King admitted on the 14th that the opinions which had been stated by sir Robert Peel and by others, of the impracticability of his proposal, had appeared to him to be conclusive. The King had evidently imagined that if he could effect such a union of parties, the question of the Irish church, upon which he had recently expressed himself very strongly, in answer to an address from dignitaries of the Establishment, might be set at rest. The duke of Wellington and sir Robert Peel were prepared to take office, if they had been invited to do so "without conditions as to union with others of different political principles and party connections."* The King was not prepared at that time for so bold a step, necessarily involving a dissolution of parliament. On the 17th July lord Althorp stated in the House of Commons that lord Melbourne had completed his arrangements for the new administration, under which lord Duncannon would occupy the place vacated by the Premier, sir J. C. Hobhouse would be Commissioner of the Woods and Forests, with a seat in the Cabinet, and himself (lord Althorp) would, at the desire of his Majesty, resume his former office. The third reading in the House of Lords of the Coercion Bill was not proposed. In the Commons lord Althorp brought forward a less restrictive measure, which was carried by a majority of a hundred and forty, and was finally passed on the 26th of July.

Parliament had now a few weeks to sit before the usual time of prorogation. The financial statement of the government for the year ending July 5th, had to be made. The budget was a popular one. There was an estimated surplus of nearly two millions, and it was proposed to make various reductions in taxation. The

* See "Memoirs, by Sir Robert Peel," vol. ii. pp. 1 to 13.

repeal of the duty on Almanacs was a concession to the opinion that taxes upon knowledge were amongst the most objectionable. The repeal of the house-tax was an unstatesmanlike deference to popular clamour. The far more objectionable tax upon windows was allowed to remain. Legislators had a glimmering of light as to the impolicy of that taxation which interfered with the processes of industry. Mr. Poulett Thomson, President of the Board of Trade, admitted that the relief to be experienced from the reduction of the house-tax, or any other direct tax, was little in comparison to that which would have been derived from the reduction of the taxes on glass, paper, and cotton. Yet, as the house-tax had been a cause of general and loud complaint, it was right to take it off. Sir Robert Peel was not sure that those who clamoured most suffered most; the removal of the house-tax was merely a bonus to the landlord; the removal of the glass-tax would be a bonus to every class of the community. Before the prorogation the Irish Tithe Bill was carried in the Commons, not as proposed by the government, but with an amendment moved by Mr. O'Connell, which provided that two-fifths of the amount of tithes should be at once struck off, and the remaining three-fifths paid to the clergy by the landlord. The Peers wholly threw out the Tithe Bill four days before the prorogation of Parliament; and O'Connell went home to his congenial work of exciting the people to violent resistance. Parliament was prorogued on the 15th of August.

Whilst, during the vacation, Mr. O'Connell was publishing letters to lord Duncannon, in which he declared that "Ireland had nothing to expect from the Whigs but insolent contempt, and malignant and treacherous hostility," some of the leaders of the Reform movement were damaging the Whigs more materially by painfully exhibiting the worst symptom of the weakness of the government,—the hostile jealousy of two who had once formed the great strength of the Cabinet. Looking back upon the journalism of this period, it is difficult to arrive at an impartial estimate of the merits or defects of the two chief combatants who entered the lists at the "free and gentle passage of arms" of Edinburgh, at the banquet to lord Grey on the 15th of September. For some months lord Brougham had been a mark for the attacks of the ultra-liberal press. Harmless exhibitions of that vanity which occasionally peeped out through his real greatness had brought down upon the Chancellor a measure of indignation which is generally reserved for political crimes. In a tour through Scotland he made some oratorical displays, in which the reticence of the cautious statesman was abandoned in the excitement of popular applause.

At Inverness, the Chancellor, in telling the burgesses that during four years he had experienced from his Majesty only one series of gracious condescension, confidence, and favour, added words which were tossed about throughout the kingdom as "argument for a week, laughter for a month, and a good jest for ever." "To find that the king lived in the hearts of his loyal subjects in the ancient and important capital of the Highlands, as it had afforded him (lord Brougham) only pure and unmixed satisfaction, would, he was confident, be so received by his Majesty, when he (lord B.) told him, as he would do by that night's post, of the gratifying circumstance."* At the Grey banquet, earl Grey, in replying to his health, acknowledged the compliment of this great banquet in very dignified and touching words: "This most gratifying of all honours is not paid to a minister newly raised to power, in the vigour of his age, with a long career of active and useful services before him, and holding out an expectation to others of official benefits, not yet conferred: No, gentlemen, this proud mark of distinction has been given to a minister who has descended, I will not say has fallen, from power, whose official life has ended, whose long parliamentary career is hastening to a final close,—to one when the balance has been struck between his promises and his performances; to one when the past is before his country for its judgment; and the future, as far as he is concerned, presents no object either for hope or for fear."† Lord Brougham, in replying to the toast of the Lord Chancellor and his Majesty's other ministers, proclaimed the differences that existed between two classes of Reformers,—the hasty spirits who hurrying into the wished-for harbour by the nearest channel, and, not inquiring whether there was a compass on board, would run their vessel into the breakers,—and the more moderate, who would better provide for the safety of the voyage. "I wholly respect," he said, "the good intentions of these men, but when they ask me to sail in their vessel, I must insist on staying on shore." Lord Durham had his opportunity of reply: "My noble and learned friend (lord Brougham) has been pleased to give some advice, which I have no doubt he deems very sound, to some classes of persons,—I know none such,—who evince too strong a desire to get rid of ancient abuses, and fretful impatience in waiting the remedies of them. Now I frankly confess that I am one of those persons who see with regret every hour which passes over the existence of recognized and unreformed abuses."

* From "Inverness Courier," quoted in Fonblanque's "England under Seven Administrations," vol. iii. p. 99.

† "Annual Register for 1834," p. 142.

The dispute was not yet ended. At a meeting at Salisbury, lord Brougham made some strong remarks upon lord Durham. At another meeting at Glasgow, lord Durham said that the Chancellor had been pleased to challenge him to meet him in the House of Lords. "I fear him not; I will meet him there."

There were two most unexpected events which deranged the completion of these hostile purposes. First, the two peers could not meet on the old battle-ground. The Houses of Parliament were destroyed by fire on the 16th of October. It was between six and seven o'clock on that evening, that flames were seen bursting forth from the roof of the House of Lords, in that part of the building opposite to Henry the Seventh's Chapel, and in the corner next Westminster Hall. By nine o'clock all the apartments of that portion of the parliament buildings, including the Painted Chamber and the Library, were in flames, and the whole interior was in a few hours destroyed. The fire extended to the House of Commons, first destroying the large offices of the House, and next seizing upon the Chapel of St. Stephen. When all the interior fittings were destroyed, this building, which had been famous as the seat of English legislation from the time of Edward the Sixth, was a mere shell. It had stood in its strength and beauty like a rock amidst the sea of fire, and had arrested the force which had till then gone on conquering and overthrowing. The Speaker's official residence was also partially destroyed. There was one time when the destruction of Westminster Hall seemed almost inevitable. To those who mixed amongst the crowd in Palace Yard, and knew that the antiquities of a nation are amongst its best possessions, it was truly gratifying to witness the intense anxiety of all classes of people to preserve this building, associated with so many grand historical scenes. "Save the Hall!" "Save the Hall!" was the universal cry. There was a more efficient interposition than the destruction of the House of Lords to the purpose of the two peers to enter the lists where the Mowbray and Bolingbroke of modern times were to decide their quarrel.

"The king has thrown his warder down."

William the Fourth, without a word of preparation, intimated to lord Melbourne, on the 14th of November, that his ministry was at an end.

CHAPTER VIII.

The King's dismissal of the Melbourne Ministry.—Sir Robert Peel's Narrative of his appointment to the Premiership.—The Peel Administration formed.—Parliament dissolved.—The Tamworth Manifesto.—Strong Parliamentary Opposition.—Mr. Abercromby elected Speaker.—London University Charter.—Irish Church.—Repeated defeats of Ministers.—Resignation of Sir Robert Peel.—Lord Melbourne's New Ministry.—Exclusion of Lord Brougham.—His Resolutions on the subject of Education.—Reform of Municipal Corporations.—Report of the Commission of Inquiry.—Conflict between the two Houses.—The Bill passed.—Departing glories of Municipal Pomp.

MUCH that was obscure in the circumstances connected with the extraordinary act of the King in the removal of his ministers has been brought to light in the "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel." In his "Memorandum as to my appointment to the office of First Lord of the Treasury in 1834, and to the administration over which I presided," he says: "The time will come when these records will be interesting, and may throw a light on the history of the period which they embrace." Sir Robert states that he left England for Italy on the 14th of October, 1834, little foreseeing the probability of his sudden recall, and having had no communication previously to his departure with the duke of Wellington, or any other person, respecting the position and prospects of the administration which existed at the time when he quitted England. He treats with contempt the report that there had been some previous concert or understanding with the king in contemplation of events that took place in November. Sir Robert Peel was in Rome when a letter reached him from the king, dated November the 15th, in which his Majesty says, that having had a most satisfactory and confidential communication with the duke of Wellington, on the formation of a new government, he called upon Sir Robert Peel to return without loss of time to England, to put himself at the head of the administration. The messenger at the same time brought a letter from the duke of Wellington, pressing his immediate return home, and announcing that his grace held for the present the offices of First Lord of the Treasury and Secretary of State for the Home Department, till Sir Robert should return. The copy of a letter

from the king to lord Melbourne was enclosed by the duke, in which the ostensible ground for the dismissal of lord Melbourne is, that lord Althorp, by the death of his father, having been removed to the House of Peers, the general weight and consideration of the Government would be so much diminished in the House of Commons as to render it impossible that they should continue to conduct the public affairs. The duke of Wellington writes a confidential letter to sir Robert Peel, accompanying his official dispatch, in which, plainly implying that the king was not quite justified in being "so ready to seize upon the first notion of difficulties resulting from lord Spencer's death," he concludes by saying, "I don't think that we are at all responsible for his quarrel with them. It was an affair quite settled when he sent for me." Sir Robert Peel received this important communication on the night of the 25th of November. He left Rome the next afternoon. On his journey he had ample opportunities, he says, for considering various important matters coolly and without interruption. His habitual caution is strikingly manifested in his description of his meditations during his journey, which terminated in London on the 9th of December. "In my letters to the King and the duke of Wellington from Rome, I had merely given an assurance that I would return without delay to England. As I should, by my acceptance of the office of First Minister, become technically, if not morally, responsible for the dissolution of the preceding government, although I had not the remotest concern in it, I did not at once, upon the hurried statement which was sent to me of the circumstances connected with it, pledge myself to the acceptance of office. I greatly doubted, indeed, the policy of breaking up the government of lord Melbourne at that time. I entertained little hope that the ministry about to replace it would be a stable one—would command such a majority in the House of Commons as would enable it to transact the public business. I was not altogether satisfied by the accounts I first received with the sufficiency of the reason for the dissolution of the late government—namely, the removal of lord Althorp to the Lords—and the objections of the king to lord John Russell as lord Althorp's successor in the lead of the House of Commons." *

The sensation produced in London by the reported dismissal of the ministry was a natural consequence of the suddenness of the act, as it presented itself to the body of the people;—of its really unconstitutional character, as it appeared to thoughtful and well-informed men. On the morning of Saturday, the 15th of Novem-

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel, vol. ii. p. 30.

ber,—the day when the duke of Wellington was writing his confidential letter to sir Robert Peel,—the “Times” had this startling announcement, given in the words of a communication which had been received at an early hour that morning: “The king has taken the opportunity of lord Spencer’s death to turn out the ministry, and there is every reason to believe that the duke of Wellington has been sent for. The queen has done it all.” The act of the king was wholly without precedent. He might have become converted to the politics of the Opposition. He might have been alarmed at the possible scandal of the quarrel between the Chancellor and lord Durham. But there was no disunion in the Cabinet. The ministry had retained the confidence of Parliament up to the last day of the session. They had pressed no opinions upon his Majesty which could be disagreeable to him. The government of lord Melbourne had more elements of Conservatism than were agreeable to many Reformers, and therefore appeared unlikely to excite the fears of the king and of his Court. The sovereign has a constitutional right to dismiss his ministers, but it must be on grounds more capable of justification to Parliament than the simple exercise of his personal will. The suddenness of the resolve rendered an arrangement necessary which could not be justified by any precedent, except on one occasion of critical emergency in the last days of queen Anne.* The duke of Wellington, from the 15th of November to the 9th of December, was First Lord of the Treasury and the sole Secretary of State, having only one colleague, lord Lyndhurst, who held the Great Seal, at the same time that he sat as Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer. This temporary government was called a Dictatorship. “The great military commander” was told that he “will find it to have been much easier to take Badajoz and Ciudad Rodrigo than to retake the liberties and independence of the people.”† The famous caricaturist of the day, H. B., represented the duke multiplied into ten or a dozen members of the cabinet, seated at the council board. Journalists exclaimed, Why is the business of the country to be suspended till a stray baronet should return home from his pleasure tour? There were meetings and there were addresses, but there was no violence, and very little alarm. The majority of the people, whose zeal for a continued course of improvement had not been shaken by some reverses and shortcomings, knew perfectly well that what was called a Conservative gov-

* See a very able view of the constitutional question in Mr. May’s “History,” vol. i. pp. 120-125.

† Lord Durham—Speech at Newcastle on the 19th of November.

ernment could not meet the present parliament. There must be a dissolution to afford them any chance of a continuance in office. They would reserve their zeal for a practical issue of the contest between Reform and Conservatism.

And so, as to the inevitable necessity of a dissolution, thought sir Robert Peel. In spite of his doubts of the policy of breaking up the government of lord Melbourne, he had become convinced that he had no alternative but to undertake the office of Prime Minister instantly on his arrival. He at once waited upon the king, and accepted the office of First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer. With the king's permission he applied to lord Stanley and to sir James Graham, earnestly entreating them to give him the benefit of their co-operation as colleagues in the cabinet. They both declined. Lord Stanley manfully said, "The sudden conversion of long political opposition into the most intimate alliance,—no general coincidence of principle, except upon one point, being proved to exist between us,—would shock public opinion, would be ruinous to my own character, and injurious to the government which you seek to form." * When sir Robert arrived he found one important question practically decided,—the dissolution of the existing parliament. He does not appear to have been sanguine that the indications of a very great increase of the Conservative strength in the new House of Commons would be sufficient to insure the stability of his government. He looked beyond the immediate present. "It would certainly be sufficient to constitute a very powerful Conservative body, controlling a future government leaning upon Radical support." He tried to make a government as strong as he could with Conservative materials. The re-establishment, he says, of the duke of Wellington's government in 1830 would have saved him much trouble, but would have diminished the little hope he ever entertained of being able to make a successful struggle. So, amidst the reproaches of those who regarded the minister as doing them positive wrong by not reinstating them in their former offices, he constructed a ministry of which the duke's name was a tower of strength, and of which lord Lyndhurst as Chancellor gave the assurance that it would have the support of one man of great talents. The high qualities of statesmanship which distinguished lord Aberdeen were not yet sufficiently recognized. It was not a popular ministry, but it could not be held to comprise any of that band of violent anti-reformers who would have imperilled everything by resisting the declared opinion of the Prime Minister that

‡ "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. ii. p. 39.

he considered the Reform Bill as a final and irrevocable measure.* The facilities which the political constitution and the social habits of our country afford for the official expression of State policy, were never more remarkably displayed than in the course adopted by sir Robert Peel immediately that he had formed his administration. The Prime Minister was one of the representatives of Tamworth, a borough with a population under four thousand. To the electors of this comparatively unimportant place he addressed what has been denominated "the Tamworth manifesto." It was not a hasty expression of individual opinion, but a declaration of the general principles upon which the government proposed to act, and the address was submitted to the Cabinet for their consideration. Foreigners, who were looking with intense anxiety upon the ministerial crisis in London, might well be surprised that a little country town should be the first recipient of the government's confidential disclosures, and be thus the representative of the entire population of the United Kingdom. It is unnecessary to go over the various points of this long and comprehensive document. In his "Memoirs" sir Robert Peel declares that he held no language and expressed no opinions in this address which he had not previously held while acting in opposition to the government; that he did not attempt to mitigate hostility by any new profession, or to court popular favour by promises of more extensive reforms than those to whose principles he had previously assented; that although he therein made an explicit declaration that he considered the measure of Parliamentary Reform final and irrevocable, that language was not new, but was used by him when he took his seat as a member of the first parliament summoned under the Reform Act.

* Sir Robert Peel's Cabinet was arranged as follows :—

Right Hon. Sir Robert Peel	First Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer.
Lord Lyndhurst	Lord Chancellor.
Earl of Rosslyn	President of the Council.
Lord Wharncliffe	Lord Privy Seal.
Right Hon. H. Goulburn	Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Duke of Wellington	Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Earl of Aberdeen	Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Earl De Grey	First Lord of the Admiralty.
Right Hon. Sir H. Hardinge	Chief Secretary for Ireland.
Lord Ellenborough	President of the Board of Control.
Right Hon. Alexander Baring	Master of the Mint and President of the Board of Trade.
Right Hon. Sir E. Knatchbull	Paymaster of the Forces.
Right Hon. J. C. Herries	Secretary at War.
Right Hon. Sir George Murray	Master-General of the Ordnance.

The anticipations of sir Robert Peel that the Conservative party would be strengthened by a general election were, to a considerable extent, realized. So, also, was his apprehension that the increase of strength would not be sufficient to give stability to the new government. Before the parliament met it was calculated that the anti-ministerialists had a majority of a hundred and thirty-three, but that eighty-two votes were doubtful. Looking at the extraordinary efforts that had been made on both sides at this general election, and at the violence of party feeling which had been necessarily called forth, it appears almost surprising that, from the opening of parliament on the 9th of February, the ministry should not have been driven from their position before the 7th of April. Temporary accommodation had been provided for the business of the two Houses on the site of those destroyed by the fire on the 16th of October. On the 9th of February, when the House of Commons proceeded to the election of a Speaker, a larger number of members were assembled than ever had been known before to have been congregated at one time. Six hundred and twenty-two members divided on the question whether sir Charles Manners Sutton should be re-elected, or the right hon. James Abercromby be chosen to fill the chair. The votes for Abercromby were three hundred and sixteen; for Sutton, three hundred and six.

On the 24th of February the King opened the business of the session. The two last paragraphs of the King's speech expressed his Majesty's reliance on the caution and circumspection which would be exercised in altering laws which affected extensive and complicated interests, and were interwoven with ancient usages; and that, in supplying that which was defective, or renovating that which was impaired, the common object would be, to strengthen the foundations of those institutions in Church and State which are the inheritance and birthright of the people. In the House of Lords viscount Melbourne moved an amendment upon the two paragraphs, to the effect that their Lordships hoped his Majesty's councils would be directed in the spirit of well-considered and effective reform, and lamenting the dissolution of the late parliament, as having interrupted and endangered the vigorous prosecution of measures to which the wishes of the people were directed. This amendment was negatived without a division. In the House of Commons, lord Morpeth proposed a similar amendment, which, after three nights' debate, was carried by a majority of seven, the numbers being 309 against 302. However the eloquence of sir Robert Peel might fail to carry the complete approbation of the House of Commons, it unquestionably produced a powerful effect

upon the country, inducing a very general desire that a fair chance should be given to the administration for carrying forward their professions into satisfactory results. It is my first duty, said sir Robert Peel, to maintain the post which has been confided to me; to stand by the trust which I did not seek, but which I could not decline. Receive, at least, the measures which I propose; amend them if they are defective; extend them if they fall short of your expectations. "I offer you reduced estimates, improvements in Civil Jurisprudence, reform of Ecclesiastical Law, the settlement of the Tithe question in Ireland, the Commutation of Tithe in England, the removal of any real abuse in the Church, the redress of those grievances of which the Dissenters have any just ground to complain. I offer you these specific measures, and I offer also to advance, soberly and cautiously it is true, in the path of progressive improvement. I offer also the best chance that these things can be effected in willing concert with the other authorities of the State—thus restoring harmony, ensuring the maintenance, but not excluding the reform, where reform is really requisite, of ancient institutions."*

Satisfactory as such a declaration of general principles might appear to a large portion of the nation, those who were familiar, not only with the comparative strength of parties, but with the violent differences of opinion that would necessarily arise upon questions that would seem beyond the limits of party, would know perfectly well that the day of violent collision could not be far off. The government had been beaten in the choice of a Speaker; it had been out-voted on the amendment to the Address; its first diplomatic appointment could not be persisted in, for, on the 13th of March, the strong expressions used in the House of Commons as to the qualifications of the marquis of Londonderry to be Ambassador to Russia were sufficient to induce him to state in the House of Peers, on the 16th, that he had declined the post offered to him by the government. Another signal defeat of the Ministry involved a question which some deemed to be one of principle, but which we may now regard as belonging to the ancient confusion of principle with intolerance. On the 26th of March Mr. Tooke moved for an "Address to his Majesty, beseeching him to grant his Royal Charter of Incorporation to the University of London, as approved in the year 1831 by the then law officers of the Crown, and containing no other restriction than against conferring degrees in divinity and in medicine." Mr. Goulburn, the member for the University of Cambridge, moved, as an amendment, that they should

* Hansard, vol. xxvi. col. 242.

address the Crown for a copy of the memorials in this case to the Privy Council, and a statement of the proceedings. The real objection, which sufficiently appeared in the speech of sir Robert Inglis, the member for Oxford University, was contained in the fear that the motion for a Charter to grant degrees only in Arts and Law, was merely an attempt to get the small edge of the wedge in, and that the rest was sure to follow in time. The London University, it was implied, having no religious education in its system of instruction, was in a position of hostility to the Church. Sir Robert Peel supported the amendment. He was not opposed to some provision being made that should accord to Protestant Dissenters the power of acquiring academical distinctions; but he was opposed to a hasty resolve in favour of the terms on which the Charter should be granted to the London University.* The original motion was carried by 246 to 136. Such were the skirmishes previous to the grand battle.

On the 30th of March lord John Russel, after a debate of four nights, carried a resolution by a majority of thirty-three, that "the House do resolve itself into a Committee of the whole House to consider the temporalities of the Church of Ireland." On the 3rd of April lord John proposed a resolution in that Committee "that any surplus of the revenues of the Church of Ireland not required for the spiritual care of its members, should be applied to the general education of all classes of the people without religious distinction." After a debate of two nights the resolution was carried by a majority of twenty-five. On the 7th of April the report of the Committee was brought up. Lord John Russell proposed a resolution, "that it is the opinion of the House that no measure upon the subject of tithes in Ireland can lead to a satisfactory and final adjustment which does not embody the principle contained in the foregoing Resolution,"—namely, in the resolution agreed to on the previous night. Upon the division there appeared—ayes, 285; noes, 258; majority 27.

The division of the 7th was fatal to the existence of the Ministry. Sir Robert Peel's sagacity had distinctly seen that if the government were beaten upon the motion about to be made by lord John Russell for the alienation from ecclesiastical purposes of any surplus revenues of the Irish Church, there would be no other course but for the government to resign. On the 25th of March he addressed "a Cabinet Memorandum" to his colleagues, in

* In 1835 what is now known as "University College" was called, according to the original idea of its establishment, the "University of London." In 1837 the government institution of the "University of London," for conferring degrees on graduates of University College and King's College, and of other places of instruction, was established.

which he said—"Nothing can, in my opinion, justify an administration in persevering against a majority, but a rational and well-grounded hope of acquiring additional support, and converting a minority into a majority. I see no ground for entertaining that hope."* On the 8th of April the duke of Wellington, in the House of Lords, said that in consequence of the Resolution of the House of Commons, the Ministry had tendered their resignations to the King. Sir Robert Peel made a similar explanation to the House of Commons. "For himself, the whole of his political life had been spent in the House of Commons—the remainder of it would be spent, in the House of Commons; and, whatever might be the conflicts of parties, he for one, should always wish, whether in a majority or in a minority, to stand well with the House of Commons. Under no circumstances whatever, under the pressure of no difficulties, under the influence of no temptation, would he ever advise the Crown to resign that great source of moral strength which consisted in a strict adherence to the practice, to the principles, to the spirit, to the letter of the Constitution. He was confident that in that adherence would be found the surest safeguard against any impending or eventual danger, and it was because he entertained that belief that he, in conformity with the opinions of his colleagues, considered that a government ought not to persist in carrying on public affairs, (after the sense of the House had been fully and deliberately expressed,) in opposition to the decided opinion of a majority of the House of Commons."† The immense cheering of the House during this brief speech, and at its close was in many respects a reflection of the general public opinion that no minister during a short term of power had conducted the public affairs, under the pressure of extraordinary difficulties, with greater temper, honesty of purpose, and strict adherence to constitutional obligations. "After a conflict of four months," says M. Guizot, "the vanquished had grown far greater than his conquerors."‡ At any rate we may acknowledge that there was no individual amongst the victors who could fairly compete with the fallen minister in those qualities of practical statesmanship which consist not only in knowing what is best to do, but how and when to do it, and what to leave undone. The interest which the country generally felt in the duration of the ministry was not very vehement, nor, on the other hand, was there any intense desire for its fall. After three years of excitement the people rather desired a term of repose. An intelligent foreigner, Von Raumer, who came to London at this

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. ii. p. 89.

† Hansard, vol. xxvii. col. 984.

‡ "Memoirs of Peel," p. 77.

time, has described his sensations at the contemplation of the public calm during a great crisis. He goes on the 9th of April to the public dinner for the relief of decayed actors. The toasts, the music, the spouting, and the cheering greatly amuse him; but he says, "all these particulars lost their interest with me in comparison with one thought: In this very same hour the ministry was dissolved; and this dissolution was not (as it so often is in France) a mere concern of *coteries* and *tracasseries*, but had a real substantive meaning, and tended to real and efficient changes. What a deal of wit, good and bad—what angry passions—what hope and fear—what praise and blame—would have foamed over, like *cham-pagne mousseux*, in such an hour in Paris! Here, not a trace of the kind. . . . It seemed as if all that was passing without were but a light ripple on the surface of the waters. The weal of England, her riches, her laws, her freedom, seemed moored to some immovable anchor in the securest and serenest depths of ocean, whence neither winds nor waves can ever tear them loose. The clouds which flit along the face of heaven, and so often seem, to us timid spectators, to portend a coming storm, may here be regarded as but the passing fleeces of a summer sky; or rather as the proof and the earnest of an equable and safe state of the atmosphere." *

On the 18th of April viscount Melbourne, in moving the adjournment of the House of Lords, stated that the King had been pleased to appoint him First Lord Commissioner of the Treasury, and that he and his friends who had taken office had received from his Majesty the seals of their respective departments. The other House would adjourn to the 12th of May, as some time must necessarily elapse before ministers in that House, waiting their re-election, could proceed to business. On the 12th of May the Houses accordingly met. † The exclusion of lord Brougham from the ministry, by putting the Great Seal in Commission, was necessarily the subject of popular wonder. This exclusion was not to be explained at the time; it has never been satisfactorily explained at any subsequent period. The ultra-liberals exulted that those principles which the Chancellor had proclaimed at the Grey banquet had now no expression in the Cabinet; the friends of Education and of Law-reform lamented that the energy with which these great objects had been pursued was now to be confined to the

* "England in 1835," vol. i. p. 77.

† The Cabinet as formed at this time was the same as in the list given at page 38r, with the exception that the Great Seal was in Commission, lord Cottenham being appointed Chancellor in 1836.

independent exertions of a peer building his hope of success upon his own powers alone. It was a painful situation for one of such restless activity. To deliver elaborate judgments in the Court of Chancery; to be ready for every meeting of the Cabinet; duly to be in his place on the woolsack at three o'clock, rarely abstaining from taking a part in debate; after the adjournment of the House to sit up half the night writing out his judgments; occasionally to dash off an article in the "Edinburgh Review;" discoursing, writing, haranguing, on every subject of politics, or science, or literature, or theology; and then suddenly to have all the duties of official life cut away from him—to sink into the state which he of all others dreaded and despised, that of a "Dowager Chancellor;"—this, indeed, was a mortification not very easy to be borne, and we can scarcely be surprised if it were sometimes impatiently submitted to. Nevertheless, there was a great career of usefulness before Henry Brougham. It would be a longer career; and thus, after the lapse of a quarter of a century, we look back upon the unofficial labours of this remarkable man, to whom repose was an impossibility; and, measuring him with the most untiring of recorded workers, deem it marvellous that he has accomplished so much, and with few exceptions has accomplished it so well. He very soon proclaimed to the world that his comparative leisure would not be a season of relaxation. On the 21st of May he submitted to the House of Lords a series of resolutions on the subject of Education. His speech was a most elaborate review of whatever had been done, and a practical exposition of what he thought remained to be done. In these resolutions will be found the germ of many of the principles which have become established axioms in the education of the people. The main feature of his plan was the establishment of a Board of Education, empowered to examine into the state of endowed charities, and to compel a due application of their funds. These resolutions collectively affirmed that although the number of schools where some of the elementary branches of education are taught had greatly increased, there was still a deficiency of such schools, especially in the metropolis and other great towns; they maintained that the education given at the greater number of the schools established for the poorer classes of the people is of a kind by no means sufficient for their instruction, being for the most part confined to reading, writing, and a little arithmetic; they called upon Parliament to provide effectual means of instruction, doing nothing, however, to relax the efforts of private benevolence; they set forth that for the purpose of improving the kind of educa-

tion given at schools for the people at large it was necessary to establish proper seminaries for training teachers. The resolutions of lord Brougham were favourably received by the Prime Minister. The bishop of Gloucester and the archbishop of Canterbury expressed their general concurrence in the eloquent and instructive speech of the noble and learned lord, but they contended that in order to make education real and useful it must be founded on the basis of religion. Lord Brougham said that he was not unaware of the difficulties which surrounded this question on the subject of religion; but that he thought he should, at a future time, be enabled to lay before them a plan by which the objections which had been urged would be obviated. We have reason to believe that, at this time, an office analogous to that of Minister of Public Instruction might have been within the reach of lord Brougham. It may be doubted whether even his energy could have surmounted the difficulties presented in the religious aspect of the question.

On the 5th of June the great measure of the session was proposed by lord John Russell. He asked on behalf of his Majesty's government leave to bring in a bill to provide for the regulation of Municipal Corporations in England and Wales. The measure proposed by the government was founded upon the Report of a Commission appointed by the Crown, which, during a year and a half of laborious and minute investigation, had inquired into the condition of more than two hundred corporations. Lord John Russell quoted the conclusion of this Report, as calling for a safe, efficient, and wholesome measure of Corporation Reform:—"We feel it to be our duty to represent to your Majesty that the existing Municipal Corporation of England and Wales neither possess nor deserve the confidence or respect of your Majesty's subjects, and that a thorough reform must be effected before they can become, what we humbly submit to your Majesty they ought to be, useful and efficient instruments of local government."

The Report of the Commissioners on Municipal Corporations presents some interesting views of the early constitution of these bodies. The difficulty of accurately describing such early constitution is adverted to. Many of their institutions were established in practice long before they were settled by law. The examination of the charters by which a corporation is constituted was one of the duties prescribed to the Commissioners. In some cases their Report is confined to an enumeration of the early charters; in other cases a summary of their contents is given. The forms of the municipal government were defined by an express composition between the magistracy and the people in some towns.

It is deemed probable that the powers of the local government, in all ordinary cases, were exercised by the superior magistracy, but that in extraordinary emergencies the whole body of burgesses was called upon to sanction the measures which interested the community. The difficulty of conducting business in such an assembly seems to have suggested the expedient of appointing a species of committee out of the larger body, which acted in conjunction with the burgesses, and which was dissolved when the business was concluded. These committees afterwards becoming permanent, a governing body was created which in process of time became more and more independent of the general community. The greater number of the governing charters of corporations was granted between the reign of Henry VIII. and the Revolution. The general characteristic of these documents is to effect directly what the lapse of several centuries had been gradually accomplishing,—the removal of any control upon the governing body by the majority of their townsmen. Almost all the councils named in these charters were established on the principle of self-election. During the reigns of Charles II. and James II. many corporate towns were induced to surrender their charters, and to accept new ones which contained clauses giving power to the Crown to remove or nominate their principal officers. In his apprehension of the speedy arrival of the Prince of Orange, in October, 1688, James II. issued a proclamation restoring all the municipal corporations to their ancient franchises, as contained in their earlier charters. Since the Revolution the charters granted, including those of the reign of George III., exhibit a total disregard of any consistent plan for the improvement of municipal policy corresponding with the progress of society. In the greater number of cases the corporations had gradually parted with the duty and the responsibility belonging to good municipal government. By local Acts of Parliament the powers of lighting, watching, paving, and cleansing, supplying with water, and other useful purposes, had been conferred not upon the municipal officers, but upon Trustees or Commissioners, who were empowered to levy the necessary rates. A very small portion of the funds of the corporation was applied to any public purpose; large revenues were devoted to the support of what was called the dignity of the corporate body, the due sustenance of which dignity was amply provided for by periodical banquets. In some cases the corporation divided the surplus funds amongst themselves. Leases of corporate estates were also granted at low rents to the favoured few in those boroughs where it was believed that public and personal property were identical. It is more painful to reflect that

sometimes charity bequests of which the corporations were trustees were dealt with in the same corrupt manner. The Mayor of the borough, annually elected out of the close body, was the chief magistrate ; and in nearly all the corporations criminal jurisdiction was exercised within the limits of the borough, and quarter sessions were held for the trial of prisoners. The Recorder was appointed by the corporation, generally upon some principle of local favouritism, which excluded barristers of any general reputation in their profession. A High Steward was the grand functionary who was the medium of the lofty patronage of some noble house, which, by the present of a buck for the Mayor's dinner, secured the willing servility of successive magisterial grocers and drapers. The maintenance and regulation of the borough prisons were, of course, confided to the municipal officers. But previous to the establishment of a system of government inspection by a statute of 1835, it was impossible to imagine any more horrible dens of filth—any places of confinement more repugnant to our notions of the discipline that might lead to the reformation of the offender,—than these city or borough prisons.

The Commissioners of Inquiry found that there were two hundred and forty-six municipal corporations in England and Wales. Of these, two hundred and thirty-seven formed the subject of investigation. In one hundred and eighty-six boroughs the governing body was found to be self-elected. The ancient corporations were elected by a constituency known as freemen. Birth, marriage with the daughter or widow of a freeman, servitude or apprenticeship, being a member of a guild or trading company, gave a claim to the rights of freedom or burgess-ship. In the greater number of cities and boroughs the freemen had ceased to exist as connected with the municipal body. There were some places, indeed, where the freedom, confined to a few persons, secured to them valuable privileges, such as exemption from tolls. But in nearly all cities and boroughs where freemen were recognized they possessed the pernicious right of exclusive trading within the limits of the municipality. The Commissioners often found that the freemen had long ceased to consider themselves as forming any part of the corporation, which term in popular language was exclusively applied to the ruling body. One of the greatest struggles during the passage of the Reform Bill was to preserve what were called the rights of the freemen. It was a contest for the maintenance of those exclusive privileges injurious to the excluded many, corrupting to the privileged few, which it was the object of Municipal Reform to abolish. In many cases the freemen were non-resident,

But were persons unconnected with the town who were appointed to maintain the interests of particular families. Where the freemen were resident and had exclusive privileges, the dues from non-freemen, as at Liverpool, were so onerous, that they had little chance of competition with their enfranchised townsmen. Where the freemen generally had a voice in the election of municipal officers, the corruption at the annual elections, whether by liquor or by money, was so great, that the lower class of freemen became systematically demoralized.

Looking then at the inquiry into Municipal Corporations as bringing to light in the greatest number of cases a system which, to say the least, was a gross imposture, we can scarcely be surprised that the Commissioners, in winding up their Report, should have used some very expressive words: "We report to your Majesty that there prevails amongst the inhabitants of a great majority of the incorporated towns, a general and in our opinion a just dissatisfaction with their Municipal Institutions; a distrust of the self-elected Municipal Councils, whose powers are subject to no popular control, and whose acts and proceedings, being secret, are unchecked by the influence of public opinion; a distrust of the Municipal Magistracy, tainting with suspicion the local administration of justice, and often accompanied with contempt of the persons by whom the law is administered; a discontent under the burdens of local taxation, while revenues that ought to be applied for the public advantage are diverted from their legitimate use, and are sometimes wastefully bestowed for the benefit of individuals, sometimes squandered for purposes injurious to the character and morals of the people."

The great object of the bill proposed by lord John Russell was to open a free course to the beneficial operation of those subordinate bodies in the government of the country which were provided in our ancient institutions as an essential counterpoise to the central authority. It has been truly said that the diffusion of political duties and political powers over every part of the body politic is like the circulation of the blood throughout the natural body. In the case of municipal corporations that healthful circulation was essentially impeded by chronic diseases which required no timid practice effectually to subdue. The object of the Municipal Reform Bill was to place the government of the towns really in the hands of the citizens themselves; to make them the guardians of their own property and pecuniary interests; to give to them the right of making a selection of qualified persons from whom the magistrates were to be chosen; in a word, to put an end to power without responsibility.

We may judge of the opposition which the Bill of Corporation Reform was likely to encounter from the mode in which it was regarded by lord Eldon: "Its interference with vested rights shocked his sense of equity even more than the sweeping clauses of the Reform Act." To regard, he said, ancient charters as so many bits of decayed parchment was, in his eyes, "a crowning iniquity."* At this distance of time it is scarcely necessary to trace the course of the Municipal Reform Bill through both Houses of Parliament. The measure was in the House of Commons from the 5th of June to the 20th of July; the great battles were fought in Committee after the bill had been read a second time on the 15th of June. The chief struggle was for the preservation of the existing rights, privileges, and property of freemen. Upon the third reading there was an instructive exposition by sir Richard Vyvyan, the Member for Bristol, of the great principle upon which the Bill was to be shown by the strictest of all logical proof to be utterly subversive of the Constitution: "It was the vice of the present Bill that at the expense of one principle it went to set up another. It was an attempt to set up generally the republican principle of representation upon the ruin of the principle of vested right. It was against that principle of the Bill that he mainly protested, although he considered it vicious and dangerous in many other respects. And, let him ask, would the hereditary aristocracy support the principle of a Bill which was against all hereditary right? Would the Peers now declare that an old charter of incorporation was worth less than a patent of nobility on which the ink is scarcely dry? The Peers had now to fight their own battle. The first step that they took in this instance would be irrevocable. They would have to decide, when this Bill was sent up to them, whether their Lordships were to be maintained on the doctrine of temporary expediency, or to preserve their privileges upon the principle of vested right." † The third reading of the Bill was passed without a division.

The endeavour in the House of Lords to impair the efficiency of the measure for Municipal Reform was sufficiently prosperous to produce the danger of such a conflict between the Upper and the Lower Houses as had scarcely before occurred since the time of the Long Parliament. The opposition to the Bill in the House of Lords was headed by lord Lyndhurst. It is unnecessary to say that the pre-eminent abilities of this great advocate were called forth in the most striking manner. His subtlety was far more dangerous, not only to this measure but to the government which had adopted it, than all the impassioned violence of certain

* See Twiss, vol. iii. p. 246.

† Hansard, vol. xxix. col. 740.

Peers, who seemed to have come to the conclusion that this was a fit season for bringing to an issue the contest, as they deemed it, between aristocratic and democratic government. Their plan of campaign appeared to be carefully considered. It might have been more successful if, like a famous charge in modern warfare, the strength of the enemy had not been too much left out of consideration. They called to their aid an irregular leader, who, taking the sword and mace of an old captain of condottieri, laid about him with relentless fury, careless whether he damaged his own cause or that of his enemy. On the 30th of July, when the House of Lords determined to call in counsel to be heard on behalf of certain corporations, sir Charles Wetherell addressed the House, which address was concluded on the following day. His violent invectives were no doubt contributory to the adoption of certain damaging amendments. But they had a more permanent effect. They produced through the country an irritation against the obstructive powers of the House of Lords. The people felt that the permission of that august body for the use by an advocate of the most insulting expressions toward the House of Commons was a proof that the House of Lords was out of harmony with the spirit of the age. When the amendments of the Peers were sent back to the House of Commons—in a debate in which lord John Russell expressed a sober indignation at the license which had permitted counsel at the bar of the Peers to insult the other branch of the Legislature, and sir Robert Peel did not defend the language of the rash advocate, but maintained that it was extremely difficult to place any restriction on what counsel might please to express—Mr. Roebuck maintained that every act of the Lords proved that they contemned and hated the people, and that they were determined to show this contempt and hatred by insulting the people's representatives. The quarrel between the two Houses was growing very serious. Lord John Russell and sir Robert Peel, much to their honour, took the part of moderators in this great dispute. Sir Robert Peel, especially, whilst he contended that they should uphold the perfect independence of the House of Lords, expressed his willingness to make some concessions which would have the effect of reconciling the differences between the two Houses. There were free conferences between a Committee of the House of Commons and Managers on the part of the House of Lords. After the last conference on the 7th of September, three days before the prorogation of Parliament, lord John Russell recommended that for the sake of peace, and as the Bill, though deprived of much of its original excellence,

was still an effective Reform of Municipal Institutions, the House should agree to it as it then stood, reserving the right of introducing whatever improvements the working of it might hereafter show to be necessary. The Bill for Municipal Reform received the royal assent on the 9th of September.

Lord Eldon, in this perilous crisis of a contest between the Peers and the Commons, lamented that his infirmities prevented him from going down to the House of Lords—not to conciliate, not to reconcile the differences between the two Houses,—but to grapple with the proceedings altogether, and persuade the Lords utterly to reject the Bill. Sitting “pale as a marble statue,” and seeing terrible changes gradually darkening over all he had loved and venerated in corporate institutions,* we may venture to inquire if the outward glories of municipal power thus departing were as dear to his troubled soul as their ancient charters? What wonderful manifestations of grandeur were presented to the admiring eyes of the people by the majority of corporations as they existed in 1835! What processions were there on every possible occasion, of red gowns and blue, with mace-bearer and beadle! To walk in togged state to church, or to proclaim an election writ, or to open a ginger-bread fair;—to be adorned with golden chains as mayor and aldermen sitting on high in their tribunals at quarter sessions; to look venerable, clothed in scarlet and fur, at solemn supper in open hall like the Tudor and Stuart kings, on Fair-nights, holding the Pie-powder Court, where the “dustfoot” might go for justice,—these were indeed gorgeous displays. Magnificent pageants on the Mayor’s day existed in a few provincial cities and boroughs: Norwich had its “Whifflers” and its “Dragon.” All the ancient and modern glories were to depart; even the Mayor’s feast was to be an inexpensive banquet, not defrayed out of the corporate funds. The Mansion Houses were to be let for warehouses. Well might the good ex-Chancellor weep, having only one poor consolation, that the City of London was to be spared; that its Lord Mayor would still have the glorious privilege of interrupting for one day in the year the real business of three millions of people, to assert by his men-in-armour, and his pasteboard Gog and Magog, his pretended rule over a community of which only one-thirtieth would be subject to his jurisdiction.

* See Twiss, vol. iii. p. 247.

CHAPTER IX.

Parliament.—Session of 1836.—Opposition of the Lords to the Irish Corporations' Bill.—Lord Lyndhurst.—Alleged Lichfield House Compact.—Tithe-Commutation Act.—Act for allowing Counsel to Prisoners.—Act for Regulation of Prisons and for appointing Inspectors.—General Registration Act.—Reduction of Stamp on Newspapers.—Reduction of Paper Duty.—Foreign Politics.—Belgium.—Spain.—France.—Conspiracies against Louis Philippe.—Enterprise of Louis Napoleon Bonaparte at Strasbourg.—Parliament.—Illness of King William IV.—His death.—His character.—Accession of Queen Victoria.—Ministry at Her Majesty's Accession.—Table of Treaties.—Table of National Debt.

THE disposition which had been manifested in the Session of 1835 by the majority of the House of Lords, threatening something beyond a passing difference with the majority of the House of Commons, became stronger and more confirmed in the Session of 1836. The compromise upon the English Municipal Reform Bill had averted, in some degree, the apprehension of a perilous conflict between the two branches of the legislature. The question of Corporation Reform in Ireland was to be disposed of in the session of 1836, with an absolute indifference to the opinions of the Commons. In 1835, on the reading of that Bill a third time in the Lower House at so late a period of the Session as the 13th of August, Mr. Sinclair, a Scotch member, anticipating the probable course that would be taken by the Lords, when in the next Session it should be sent to the Upper House, said that it must pass through the ordeal of an assembly in which the laws of truth and justice would not be set at nought,—in which vested rights would not be invaded,—in which no bill would pass for the destruction of the Protestant Establishment in Ireland, by transferring the influence from property, which in a preponderating ratio was in the hands of Protestants, to Roman Catholics, who in point of numbers would in most cases obtain the pre-eminence.* It is easy to judge from this declaration how sustained and bitter would be the controversy upon the subject of Irish Corporations in the Session of 1836, in which a new bill was brought in and passed by the House of Commons on the 28th of March. On the 18th of August of that year, just previous to the prorogation, lord Lyndhurst, who had with infinite courage and ability directed the

* Hansard, vol. xxx. col. 615.

triumphant strategy of the Tory Peers, took a review of the business of the Session, in which, with unmeasured sarcasm, he taunted the government with its failure in carrying certain measures which had been recommended in the Speech from the throne on the 4th of February. "And this, my lords, is a government! Was there ever, in the history of this country, a body of men who would have condescended so low as to attempt to carry on the government under such circumstances? In this House they are utterly powerless; they can effect nothing." * Sir Robert Peel, in a dinner-speech at the Merchant Taylors' Hall, had warned his hearers not to depend upon the prerogative of the Crown, or the influence or authority of the House of Lords, as bulwarks against the storm and struggle of events. The royal prerogative, the authority of the House of Lords were most useful, nay, necessary, in our mixed and balanced Constitution; "but you must not strain those powers." The dangerous opinion was gradually gaining ground that the House of Lords, was an obstructive body, and that their policy was determined by the will of some one peer who dominated over their general inertness. "It has generally yielded," says Mr. May, "with an indolent facility, to the domination of one or two of its own members, gifted with the strongest wills. Lord Thurlow, lord Eldon, the duke of Wellington, and lord Lyndhurst, have swayed it, at different times, almost with the power of a dictator." † Mr. Hume, in the House of Commons on the day of prorogation, had his own review of the business of parliament during the twenty-eight weeks of its sitting. He was not alone in the expression of his opinion when he asked whether it were possible that the Commons could allow the lords any longer to continue their opposition to all measures of real reform. They had lost nearly a Session. "If he lamented one thing more than another, it was to see the high-minded noblemen of England led by such a man as was now at their head." Such personalities were not confined to attacks in one House upon the members of the other House. The bitterness of lord Lyndhurst's invective stimulated even the placability of viscount Melbourne, to "refer him to what was once said by the earl of Bristol, of another great statesman of former times (the earl of Strafford) to whom the noble lord might, I think, be not inapplicably compared; and of whom it was said, 'the malignity of his practices was hugely aggravated by his vast talents, whereof God had given him the use, but the Devil the application.'" ‡ The experience of the Session of 1836 had pro-

* Hansard, vol. xxxv. col. 1293.

† "Constitutional History," vol. i. p. 268.

‡ Hansard, vol. xxxv. col. 1304.

duced amongst men of moderate opinions a very unwilling conviction of the necessity for remodelling the Upper House. The altered circumstances of the succeeding year had a sensible influence in assuaging this dangerous access of fever in our constitutional system. But an equally beneficial change in public opinion was produced by the calm conviction that this had not been "a lost Session." Several measures of real utility had struggled into life amidst the rivalries, the jealousies, the political hatreds of the opposing parties of each House. It is with these measures, and with their permanent effects, that the historian of the progress of a nation is best satisfied to deal, leaving the course of party struggle to the annalists of the immediate Present.

Before we altogether escape from the bitterness of party conflicts in the sessions of 1835 and 1836, chiefly excited by Irish questions, we may remark that a great deal of the heat of the Conservative party is to be attributed to the very general belief that the Whig party had made a compact with Mr. O'Connell and his followers, by which their hostility was to be averted on the distinct understanding that they were fully to participate in the sweets of office. Upon Lord Melbourne's announcement in April, 1835, that he had been appointed to the Premiership, Lord Alvanley asked him on what terms he had negotiated with Mr. O'Connell. Lord Melbourne answered that he had made no terms with Mr. O'Connell. Nevertheless in debate after debate it was affirmed, or implied, that a treaty of alliance, offensive and defensive, between the Whig government and the Irish Repealers, had been concluded on the last accession of the Whigs to power. The locality where this negotiation was completed was as distinctly pointed out as the house at Uxbridge which was the seat of the treaty between Charles the First and his parliament, or the Orchard at Hal where Boufflers and Portland settled the terms of the peace of Ryswick. A careful topographer does not hesitate to tell us, speaking of St. James's Square, and of No. 13, Lichfield House, built by Athenian Stuart, and so called from Anson, earl of Lichfield, "that here the Whigs and O'Connell often met, and the 'Lichfield House Compact,' with O'Connell was formed by the Whigs in 1835." * Mr. John O'Connell says, "stupid affairs enough were those meetings in the dusty unfurnished drawing-rooms of that dingy-fronted mansion." They got lectures on propriety and moderation, and vague promises of great things to be done at some future period, and some glimpses of a want of cordial feeling toward them and their English associates. Of the compact, he says, "it was an utter and unredeemed

* Cunningham, "Hand-Book of London."

calumny. No such compact ever was made. No engagement, no stipulation, no barter, no compromise of any kind, species or description, took place then, or at any time." * Enough, however, was admitted to give point to the virulence of Lyndhurst and the gentler sarcasms of Peel.

During the short administration of Sir Robert Peel he submitted to a Committee of the House of Commons the details of a measure for facilitating the settlement of the vexatious Tithe-question in England and Wales. He proposed to establish a commission to superintend the voluntary commutation of tithe in parishes, and to remove the impediments in the way of an easy accomplishment of such voluntary principle. The Committee agreed to the proposed resolution for a payment in money in substitution for tithe, to be charged upon the titheable land in each parish, such payment subject to variation at stated periods according to the prices of corn. On the 9th of February, in the session of 1836, lord John Russell introduced the Government plan, which was founded upon the same principle as that of Sir Robert Peel, of a money payment instead of a payment in kind, but differing from it as establishing something more effective than a mere voluntary commutation. By the measure of lord John Russell, a voluntary commutation was in the first instance to be promoted; but in case of no such agreement a compulsory commutation was to be effected by commissioners. The object of the Tithe Commutation Act which was finally passed was to assimilate tithes as much as possible to a rent-charge upon the land. That charge was to be determined by taking the averages of the corn returns during seven preceding years; and a fixed quantity of corn having been previously determined as a proper portion for the tithe-owner, the amount of money payment was to be settled by a septennial average of the price of corn. The opposition to this measure assumed no party character. The clergy did not feel their interests to be invaded. The land owner and farmer had for years complained that no institution was more adverse to cultivation and improvement than tithes, as Dr. Paley had long before declared. The clergy were disposed to believe that the plan of the same sagacious political philosopher to convert tithes into corn-rents would secure the tithe-holder a complete and perpetual equivalent for his interest. This measure, with subsequent statutory improvements, has worked successfully under the management of three Commissioners. To one of the most wise and energetic of these Commissioners, the late Rev. Richard Jones, may be ascribed many of the early triumphs over the difficulties of

* "Recollections," vol. i. p. 133.

carrying this measure into practice, and the subsequent general conviction that it is calculated to remove all grounds of discord and jealousy between the clergy and their parishioners, and thus add security and permanence to the property of the church.

Another measure of the Session of 1836, which amply refuted the opinion that legislators in either House could only look at great social questions through the mists of party, was the passing of the Bill for allowing Counsel to Prisoners. The final debate upon the Bill in the House of Lords was remarkable for a most honourable declaration of lord Lyndhurst, that his former opposition to the measure had been converted into a hearty approval of it. In an interesting volume by Mr. Matthew Davenport Hill* we have a succinct and very complete history of the course of public opinion on the question of counsel to prisoners. He shows that even Judge Jeffreys had told a jury that he thought it a hard case that a man should have counsel to defend himself for a twopenny trespass, but that he should be denied counsel where life, estate, honour, and all were concerned. It was not until 1824 that any attempt was made in parliament to remove this disability under which prisoners laboured. In that year Mr. George Lamb, the brother of lord Melbourne, brought the subject before the House of Commons. He was supported by sir James Mackintosh, Dr. Lushington, and Mr. Denman. Mr. Canning was favourable to the change, but the speech of Mr. Attorney-General Copley had converted him into an opponent of the measure. Sydney Smith in 1826 drew a picture of the cruel oppression involved in the disability of the prisoner's counsel to address a jury: "It is a most affecting moment in a court of justice when the evidence has all been heard, and the judge asks the prisoner what he has to say in his defence. The prisoner, who has (by great exertions, perhaps, of his friends) saved up money enough to procure counsel, says to the judge, 'that he leaves his defence to his counsel.' We have often blushed for English humanity to hear the reply, 'Your counsel cannot speak for you; you must speak for yourself.' And this is the reply given to a poor girl of eighteen—to a foreigner—to a deaf man—to a stammerer—to the sick—to the feeble—to the old—to the most abject and ignorant of human beings!"† In 1834 the Prisoners' Counsel Bill was introduced into the House of Commons by Mr. Ewart. The debate was on the second reading, when Mr. Hill, then member for Hull, seconded Mr. Ewart's

* "Suggestions for the Repression of Crime, contained in Charges delivered to Grand Juries of Birmingham."

† "Edinburgh Review," vol. xiv. p. 5, quoted by Mr. Hill.

motion. The measure was passed by the House of Commons without a division, but was rejected by the Lords. It was brought forward again by Mr. Ewart in 1835—when it dropped on account of the late period of the Session—and in 1836. In the latter year it was carried by a majority of forty-four. It was then introduced to the House of Lords by lord Lyndhurst. It was on that occasion that he made his honest recantation of his former opinion. He had come to a conviction that the evils and inconveniences of allowing counsel to prisoners had been greatly exaggerated, and ought not to be put for a moment in competition with that which the obvious justice of the case so clearly demanded. Twice did the House of Lords debate this question, but the measure passed without a division. Lord Abinger, formerly Mr. Scarlett, might have great doubts as to the policy of the Bill, and be afraid of their lordships becoming too much in love with theory; but no expression of doubt, no plea for delay, could stand up against the united opinions of such men as lord Denman, lord Cottenham, then Chancellor, and lord Lyndhurst. It is a remnant, said lord Lyndhurst, of a barbarous practice. The continuance of it is against the great current of authority. It is contrary to the practice of all civilized nations. An alteration was essential to the due investigation of truth.

We have incidentally referred to the important Act that was passed in the session of 1835 for effecting greater uniformity of practice in the government of prisons in England and Wales, and for appointing Inspectors of Prisons in Great Britain. This most salutary statute was founded upon Reports of a Select Committee of the House of Lords. The duke of Richmond, who was the promoter of this inquiry, laboured most assiduously in the collection of the evidence upon which the measure of reform was to be founded, himself with other peers visiting many of the ill-regulated dens where the old criminal became more hardened in his iniquity, and the youthful offender was systematically trained to a maturity in guilt. The Report of the Lords' Committee proposed that entire separation between the prisoners should be enforced, except during the hours of labour and of religious worship and instruction. Many persons out of the large number examined by the Committee were governors or chaplains of gaols in the country, and they all, without a dissentient voice, concurred in opinion as to the contaminating influence of prisons as they were then conducted. They held that the associations of prisons were destructive to every casual offender. The county gaols, especially those under corporate management, could only be regarded as great nurseries

of crime. There was no religious instruction, no education of juvenile prisoners, no employment. The county gaols and houses of correction were better managed, some system of discipline being observed. But none of the gaols in corporate towns could present a more disgusting example of the contaminating influence of an ill-managed prison than the great gaol of Newgate, under the jurisdiction of the Lord Mayor and Aldermen of London. In the session of 1836, when the Inspectors appointed under the Act of 1835 had made their first Report, the management of prisons again came under the consideration of Parliament. This Report drew attention to what the duke of Richmond described as "the dreadful state of the City gaols." The visits of the Inspectors had produced a correction of some of the most striking evils of the country gaols, and he trusted that before the next session they would find the great gaol of the City of London not in a worse condition than the prisons in other parts of the country. It was on this occasion that an earnest conviction of the horrors of the gaol-system with regard to juvenile offenders was manifested in a manner which promised at no distant time the establishment of Reformatories. The marquis of Lansdowne acknowledged that although this country abounded with the means of juvenile employment, yet the number of juvenile offenders exceeded that of any country in Europe. - He announced that lord John Russell, the Secretary for the Home Department, was preparing, at least as a matter of experiment, the means of establishing a place of detention having the character of an asylum or refuge, where children yet young in crime, but who were the victims of ignorance, of abandonment, of desertion by their parents, or were totally incapable of distinguishing between right and wrong, should be saved from condemnation to any prison whatever.* The Act for the Government of Prisons was not as yet extended to Scotland, except as regarded the appointment of Inspectors. The first Inspector of the Scotch prisons was Mr. Frederick Hill. From his early Reports there is no difficulty in coming to the conclusion that they were absolutely worse than the worst in England. Dirt, idleness, drinking, gambling, fighting, and stealing were their distinguishing characteristics. Prisoners of different ages, tried and untried,—thieves, deserters, persons convicted of petty assaults,—all were herded together, almost secure from observation, and wholly unprovided with useful employment. It was, says Mr. F. Hill in his Report of 1838-39, "a system under which the smuggling of forbidden articles into the prisons, smoking, drinking, gambling, swearing, the use of

* Hansard, vol. xxxv. Debate of August 12th.

obscene language, stealing from each other, cheating, quarrelling, fighting, and various acts of tyranny, are of common occurrence; and under which robberies are planned to be executed after the offenders shall have left prison."*

One of the most important measures towards a more complete system of national statistics was brought forward by lord John Russell in the session of 1836. On the 12th of February he introduced the Bill for the General Registration of Births, Deaths, and Marriages. At the same time he brought forward a Bill for amending the law regulating the Marriages of Dissenters, which regulation was connected with the establishment of a General Civil Registration. With regard to the second Bill it was shrewdly anticipated by sir Robert Peel that, when no point of honour was concerned, many of the Dissenters, particularly the female portion of them, would prefer being married in church. There were no intolerant prejudices opposed in the legislature to the passing of the Bill which permitted Marriages to be solemnized in the presence of the district-registrar. To the other Bill no stickler for antiquity could prefer the parochial registry established by Secretary Cromwell exactly three hundred years before this measure was to come into operation, to one general system which under responsible officers should supersede the variable entries of sixteen thousand parishes, so often lost or mutilated, and so difficult to be referred to even when properly preserved. The important office of Superintendent-Registrar was created by this statute. The Poor-Law Unions were divided into districts for which Registrars were appointed, with a Superintendent-Registrar in each Union. The regulations by which a complete registration of births and deaths is accomplished are now familiar to every father and mother, and every occupier of a house in which any birth or death may happen, who are bound to furnish information of the fact to the Registrar. Mr. Porter, in his valuable "Progress of the Nation," says, "The establishing of a department for the systematic registration of births, marriages, and deaths, in England and Wales, has been of great use in the examination of questions depending upon various contingencies connected with human life." Certified copies of the entries of births and deaths are sent quarterly by the Registrar to the Superintendent-Registrar, and by him to the Registrar-General. It is from this source that we derive the knowledge of many most interesting facts connected with the progress of the population—facts which the scientific knowledge and the literary skill of the

* "Crime: its Amount, Causes, and Remedies," by Frederic Hill, p. 180.

heads of the Registrar-General's department have redeemed from the ordinary dulness of statistics to constitute some of the most attractive reading of the public journals. The Registrar-General's Annual Report enables the legislature to form a tolerably accurate estimate of the increment of the population in the decennial intervals of a census.

In this session there were two most important changes proposed by the Government with reference to journalism and the general commerce of literature. On the 20th of June the Chancellor of the Exchequer moved "that the duty payable on every sheet whereon a newspaper is printed shall in future be one penny." The newspaper stamp for many years had been fourpence. Amongst the opponents of this measure one county member complained that already the mails were so heavily laden on a Saturday night with newspapers that it was hardly safe to travel by them. The Chancellor of the Exchequer had anticipated that the penny stamp would produce quite as much as the fourpenny stamp. "If he is right," said sir Charles Knightley, "then the quantity of newspapers must be more than trebled, and if so, there must be a tax raised for their conveyance." The proposition of the Chancellor of the Exchequer was carried by a majority only of thirty-three, and with some alterations finally passed the House of Lords. The other measure was a reduction of the duty on paper. Lord Francis Egerton, himself a man of letters, in presenting a petition before the government proposition was introduced, claimed for this subject the best attention of the House on account of the effect which the state of the law produced on literature, especially upon cheap literature. By the Act to repeal the existing duties on Paper, which received the royal assent on the 13th of August, the varying duties according to the class or denomination were merged in one uniform duty upon all paper of three halfpence per pound. The relief to the publishers of cheap works was as timely as it was important. We may instance that it came to save the "Penny Cyclopædia" from extinction in the fourth year of its struggle against heavy loss, under the opposing conditions of paying at the highest rate for literary labour, and selling at as low a rate as that of works in which the quality of the authorship was a secondary consideration.

The great interest of events at home after the French Revolution of 1830, has precluded us from giving even a passing notice of foreign politics. Since that time, indeed, the peace of Europe had not been materially disturbed so as to influence the political action of the British Government. Belgium had quietly settled

down into a Constitutional Monarchy, subsequently to the fortunate period for that country when prince Leopold of Saxe-Coburg was chosen king in 1831. In that year the boundaries of the new kingdom were defined, and the peaceable possession of his territory was guaranteed by the five great Powers to this most sagacious and discreet of sovereigns. The stability of the Belgian monarchy appeared to be still more effectually secured by the marriage of king Leopold in 1832 to the eldest daughter of Louis Philippe, king of the French. At the close of the parliamentary session of 1836, it was lamented in the king's speech that Spain was the only exception to the general tranquillity of Europe; that the hopes entertained of the termination of the civil war in that country had not been realized. Under the treaty of Quadruple Alliance, whose object was the restoration of internal peace in Spain, Great Britain had afforded to the queen of that country the co-operation of a naval force. Ferdinand the Seventh, who died in 1833, had left by his will his infant daughter Isabel as heir to his throne. The queen-mother, Christina, was appointed queen-regent. The brother of Ferdinand, Don Carlos, immediately disputed the title of Isabel, maintaining that by the Salic law females were excluded from the sovereignty of Spain. The civil war which ensued lasted till 1840. The partisans of Don Carlos were then finally defeated; but the contest was attended with so many circumstances of bitter and cruel animosity, that the Spanish nation became greatly demoralized, and the old glories and prosperity of the country appeared to be altogether passing away. The intervention of our government, and the whole scope of the Quadruple Treaty of 1834, were the objects of severe parliamentary censure. The British Legion, under the command of lieutenant-general sir De Lacy Evans, which acted with the consent of our government in aid of the queen of Spain, accomplished some brilliant exploits, and was generally successful against the Carlist troops; but these triumphs were bought with severe losses. The intervention of Great Britain, whether direct or indirect, excited little sympathy at home; for popular opinion was gradually reaching the conviction that the safety and prosperity of our country were best maintained by leaving foreigners to fight out their own quarrels, always provided that the honour of the nation should not be compromised by apathy or inertness.

During the six years in which Louis Philippe had been king of the French, his reign had not been exempted from solitudes of a more painful nature than the ordinary cares of monarchs. In the first two years of his rule events had been in some degree pro-



ATTEMPT TO ASSASSINATE LOUIS PHILIPPE. — Vol. viii. 195.

pitious to him. The duke of Reichstadt, the son of Napoleon, died in 1832. His presence in France might at any time have raised up a host of Bonapartists, whose movements might have been exceedingly dangerous to the Citizen King. The attempts of the duchess of Berri to excite an insurrection in favour of her son, the duke of Bordeaux, had signally failed. Freedom of debate in the Chambers, and the liberty of the press, appeared the best guarantees for the security of the constitutional government. But the unrestricted power of speaking and writing was not used with moderation. The licence of the press, and the occasional hostility of the Chambers, produced a counter-disposition on the part of the king to struggle against what he believed to be the evils of the representative system. There were constant changes of administration since Lafitte took the reins of government in November, 1830. In 1831 Lafitte was succeeded by Casimir Perier, who had a premiership of something more than a year and a half. From October, 1832, to September, 1836, there had been nine changes of ministry—Soult, Guizot : Soult, Broglie ; Soult, Thiers ; Gérard ; Bassano ; Mortier ; Broglie, Humann ; Broglie, d'Argout ; Thiers. In September, 1836, the heads of the cabinet were Molé and Guizot. During these changes, and the consequent excitement of parliamentary conflicts, there had been more than one conspiracy of which the great object was to assassinate the king. The 28th of July, 1835, was the second day of the fêtes to commemorate the Revolution of 1830. Louis Philippe, with his three sons and a splendid suite of military officers, was riding through the line of the National Guard, drawn up on the Boulevard du Temple, when an explosion resembling a discharge of musketry took place from the window of a house overlooking the road. Fourteen persons, amongst whom were marshal Mortier and general De Virigny, were killed upon the spot. A shower of bullets had been discharged by a machine consisting of twenty-five barrels, which, arranged horizontally side by side upon a frame, could be fired at once by a train of gunpowder. The king was unhurt. The police rushed into the house and seized the assassin, who was wounded by the bursting of one of the barrels. He proved to be a Corsican named Fieschi, who maintained that he had no object in this wholesale massacre but his desire to destroy the king. Another attempt upon the life of Louis Philippe was made in 1836, by a man of the name of Alibaud, who fired into the king's carriage, the queen and his sister being with him. A third attempt was made in the same year by another desperado, named Meunier. In the history of such fearful manifestations of wickedness or madness, there

is nothing more remarkable than the extraordinary escapes of Louis Philippe as if he bore a charmed life.

More interesting at the present day than these brutal attempts at assassination was the failure of an enterprise which contemplated, without any apparent organization, the overthrow of a strong government by a young man of twenty-five, who relied only upon his name, his abilities, and his daring. Charles Louis Napoleon, the youngest son of Louis Bonaparte, king of Holland, and of Hortense Eugénie, daughter of the empress Josephine by her first husband, had so dwelt upon his boyish remembrances of his illustrious uncle, that when in 1832 the duke of Reichstadt died, and he became, according to a decree of 1804, heir to the throne, the natural course of his ambition was to assert his claim against one whom he regarded as a usurper. Louis Philippe was always apprehensive of the rivalry of this young man. He had refused him permission to return to France in 1830. He had farther influenced the government of Rome to order him to quit the Papal territory. Escaping from Italy, he resided with his mother in the Chateau Arenenberg in Switzerland, where he devoted himself to the study of politics and of military science, and became known in Europe as a writer of diligent research and unquestionable ability. Whatever study he pursued and whatever ideas he promulgated had evidently some bearing upon what he implicitly believed would be his great future.

The ordinary relations of the attempt of Louis Napoleon—availing himself of the general unpopularity of the king of the French, to risk the result of a popular commotion to overthrow the Orleans dynasty—have recently received a new interest from the official revelations of M. Guizot. He relates that on the evening of the 31st of October the Minister of the Interior brought to him a telegraphic despatch received from Strasbourg, dated on the evening of the 30th, which announced that about six o'clock that morning Louis Napoleon "traversed the streets of Strasbourg with a party of" A mist which enveloped the line of telegraph had left the remainder of the despatch uncertain. Guizot and the Minister of the Interior repaired instantly to the Tuileries, where they found the whole Cabinet assembled. All was conjecture. Instructions were drawn up founded upon many possible contingencies. The ministers remained with the king nearly the whole night, expecting news which came not. During those hours of suspense, the queen, the king's sister, the princes, entered again and again to ask if anything had transpired. "I was struck," says M. Guizot, "by the sadness of the king, not that he seemed uneasy or

subdued, but uncertainty as to the seriousness of the event occupied his thoughts ; and these reiterated conspiracies, these attempts at civil war, republican, legitimist, and Bonapartist, this continual necessity of contending, repressing, and punishing, weighed on him as a hateful burden. Despite his long experience and all that it had taught him of man's passions and the vicissitudes of life, he was and continued to be naturally easy, confiding, benevolent, and hopeful. He grew tired of having incessantly to watch, to defend himself, and of finding so many enemies on his steps." *

The next morning, the 1st of November, an aide-de-camp of the commandant at Strasbourg brought to the perplexed king and his ministers a solution of the telegraphic mystery. Louis Napoleon, having the support of a colonel who commanded a battalion, had presented himself at the barrack of a regiment of artillery, and was received with shouts of "Long live the Emperor." At another barrack the attempts of the prince upon the fidelity of the troops was repulsed ; and he and his followers were arrested by the colonel and other officers of the forty-sixth regiment of infantry. The affair was over in a few hours without bloodshed. One only of the known adherents of Louis Napoleon, M. de Persigny, his intimate friend, effected his escape. On ascertaining the result of this rash enterprise, queen Hortense, whose affection for her son was most devoted, hurried to France to intercede for him with the government. From Viry, near Paris, she addressed her supplications to the king and M. Molé. M. Guizot says, "She might have spared them. The resolution of not bringing prince Louis to trial, and of sending him to the United States of America, was already taken. This was the decided inclination of the king, and the unanimous advice of the cabinet." The adventurer was brought from the citadel of Strasbourg to Paris, where he stayed only a few hours. He was then taken to L'Orient, where he embarked on the 14th of November in a frigate which was to touch at New York. The sub-prefect of L'Orient waited on the prince when he was on board, inquired whether he would find any resources when he arrived in the United States, and being told that none were at first to be expected, the prefect placed in his hands a casket containing fifteen thousand francs in gold, which the king had ordered him thus to appropriate. Louis Napoleon remained in the United States till October, 1837, when, hearing of the illness of his mother, he encountered the risks of a return to Europe, and was with Hortense at her death. The French government demanded his extradition from Switzerland. The Cantons refused to comply ; but Louis Philippe enforced his

* "Memoirs to illustrate the History of My Time," vol. iv. p. 197 ; 1861.

demand by the irresistible argument of an army, and the prince withdrew to England. The fashionable circles of London regarded him merely as a man of pleasure, and he was popular in country houses from the spirit with which he could follow hounds in a fox-chase. His attempt at Strasbourg had only excited laughter here. He was not generally regarded as possessing any force of character that would justify a lofty ambition.

On the 31st of January, 1837, Parliament was opened by Commissioners. The most important passage in the royal speech had reference to the state of the province of Lower Canada. It is unnecessary here to enter upon the history of those discontents which ended in insurrection. Grievances were removed, and revolts were put down, at no distant period; from which time the course of events may be regarded as a whole. Few of the proceedings of parliament during a session which circumstances had rendered unusually short acquired a legislative completion. Lord John Russell proposed the government plan for introducing Poor-Laws into Ireland. The dissolution of parliament interrupted the progress of the Bill. The Chancellor of the Exchequer proposed a measure for the abolition of Church-rates, which was strenuously opposed, and finally was abandoned by the government. Lord John Russell introduced a series of bills for the further amendment of the Criminal Law. These also were to stand over till another session. Only twenty-one public Acts, none of which effected any important changes, received the royal assent of king William the Fourth.

On the 9th of June a bulletin issued from Windsor Castle informing a loyal and really affectionate people that the king was ill. It announced that he had suffered for some time from an affection of the chest, which had confined him to his apartment, had produced considerable weakness, but had not interrupted his usual attention to business. There was less apprehension of a serious result from it being generally known that his majesty, previous to his accession to the throne, had been subject to violent attacks of what is called the hay-fever. This malady had returned. From the 12th of June bulletins were regularly issued till the 19th. The irritation of the lungs had then greatly increased, and respiration had become exceedingly painful. By the king's express desire the archbishops of Canterbury and York prepared a prayer for his restoration to health, which, on the 16th, was ordered by the Privy Council to be used immediately before the Litany. On Sunday, the 18th of June, the symptoms assumed a more alarming character, and it was announced in the bulletin of the 19th that his majesty on that day had received the sacrament at the hands of the arch-

bishop of Canterbury. On Tuesday, the 20th of June, the last of these official documents was issued. His majesty had expired that morning at twelve minutes past two o'clock.

The lapse of a quarter of a century has enabled us to appreciate the justice of those parliamentary eulogies on the character of William the Fourth which immediately followed his death.* In the House of Lords, Viscount Melbourne dwelt upon his zeal and assiduity in the discharge of the public business; upon his fairness and sense of justice—"most fair, most candid, most impartial, most willing to hear, to weigh, and to consider what was urged even in opposition to his most favourite opinions." The duke of Wellington bore distinct testimony to the total absence of vindictive feelings in the late king. The opposition of the duke when prime minister to the views of the Lord High Admiral, had compelled him to resign that great office which he was most anxious to retain; and yet on his accession he employed the duke in his service, and manifested towards him the greatest kindness. Earl Grey described him as truly "a Patriot King"—one whose most anxious desire was to decide what was best for the country over which he ruled. Lord Brougham entirely agreed in what had been said of the amiable disposition, the inflexible love of justice, and the rare candour by which the character of William the Fourth was distinguished. In the House of Commons, lord John Russell panegyricized the conduct of the late king towards his ministers as marked by sincerity and kindness. He was in the habit of stating his opinions frankly, fairly, and fully; never seeking any indirect means of accomplishing an object, but in a straightforward and manly way confined himself to an open, simple, and plain attempt to impress the minds of others with the opinion which he might at the moment entertain. If his constitutional advisers differed from him, and still continued to be his servants, he left them wholly responsible for carrying into effect the course of policy which they recommended. His devotion during his last illness to the public business was the same as it had been through his whole reign. During a period of great suffering whatever required immediate attention received immediate notice. On the last day of his life he signed one of those papers in which he exercised the royal prerogative of mercy. Sir Robert Peel bore the same testimony to the king's utter forgetfulness of all amusement, and even of all private considerations, that could for a moment interfere with the most efficient discharge of his public duties.

It is impossible to read these testimonies to the public virtues

* See Debates in both Houses on the Queen's Message, June 22—Hansard, vol. xxxviii.

of William the Fourth, given by the most eminent men of the two great parties in the State, without subscribing to their general truth. They did not overdo their praise. They did not attempt to represent their late master as a sovereign of eminent ability, of a highly cultivated understanding, of great refinement. They spoke of him as a man who honestly endeavoured to understand the important questions upon which he had to decide, and faithfully to do his part of letting his ministers work out their own policy without meddling and without intrigue. It was certainly a beautiful part of the character of the king that he did not treasure up the grievances of the duke of Clarence. Queen Caroline's Solicitor-General, who had denounced him as a slanderer, became his Lord Chief Justice. The duke of Wellington, who turned him out of a lucrative office which he could ill-afford to give up, was retained as his Prime Minister when he came to the Crown. It is impossible not to see in how marked a manner, although it might have been without a direct intention, the traits most dwelt upon in the public character of William the Fourth were in forcible contrast with the public and private life of the Regent and of George the Fourth. This contrast was evidently in the mind of the preacher who thus spoke of the monarch then unburied: "When he entered into his palaces he did *not* say, 'All this is my birthright; I am entitled to it—it is my due. How can I gain more splendour? how can I increase all the pleasures of the senses?'" *

We have noticed one slip in the prudent course of the constitutional government of the sailor-king—his abrupt dismissal of the ministry of Lord Melbourne. This mistake has been ascribed, and perhaps not untruly, to the influence of the family by whom he was surrounded. For many years the duke of Clarence enjoyed as much domestic happiness as the Royal Marriage Act permitted to him. Mrs. Jordan, one of the most fascinating of actresses, lived with him in all the relations of a faithful and affectionate wife, except that of a sacred inviolability of the nuptial tie. This lady bore him ten children. The world did not look unkindly upon this union. The five sons and the five daughters were affectionately cherished by their father; and when state reasons led the duke of Clarence to marry in 1818, the excellent sense and kindly nature of the princess Adelaide of Saxe Meiningen were shown in her treatment of the Fitzclarences. When she became queen, her own court was a model of correctness; but the presence here of her husband's offspring was no offence against its decorum, except to the few whose strictness bordered on uncharitableness. This

* Sydney Smith—"Sermon at St. Paul's."

family were freely received in the highest society. There, however successful had been the reforms of the Greys and Russells, Toryism, and indeed very mild Whiggism, looked with apprehension upon any further progress in the battle against decayed institutions. For a season the king caught the fears of those around him, and he changed his government. When lord Melbourne returned to office he had not to complain of an obstructive power behind the throne.

It was five o'clock on the morning of the 20th of June when the doors of Kensington Palace, where the princess Victoria dwelt with her mother, were opened to the archbishop of Canterbury, the Lord Chamberlain, and the physician of William IV., Sir Henry Halford. They had come direct from the dark chamber of death at Windsor, to tell the youthful princess, who had attained the age of eighteen on the 25th of May, that she was Queen of one of the greatest amongst the nations. The intelligence had been momentarily expected. The household was astir at an early hour of the sunny morning; the three messengers were at once in the presence of their Sovereign Lady. Lord Melbourne had an audience at nine o'clock. At eleven the state apartments of Kensington Palace were filled with members of the Privy Council of the late king—Peers, Cabinet Ministers, the two Archbishops, the Lord Mayor of London, Right Honourable Commoners. The duke of Cumberland, now King of Hanover, and the duke of Sussex, were conspicuous in that historical group which Wilkie has painted. The doors of the Council Chamber were opened; and Queen Victoria, entering with the duchess of Kent,—pale, but perfectly self-possessed—took her seat at the head of the Council table. The Lord Chancellor administered the oaths prescribed for the sovereign's accession; the Privy Councillors took the oaths of allegiance and supremacy: and then for the first time was heard by her assembled subjects that exquisitely modulated voice which for a quarter of a century has lent a charm to the formal periods of a speech from the throne. The opening sentence of the Queen's Declaration had a graceful simplicity, which appeared more like the natural effusion of the heart than the elaborate composition of a ministerial adviser:—

“The severe and afflicting loss which the nation has sustained by the death of his majesty, my beloved uncle, has devolved upon me the duty of administering the government of this empire. This awful responsibility is imposed upon me so suddenly, and at so early a period of my life, that I should feel myself utterly oppressed

by the burden, were I not sustained by the hope that Divine Providence, which has called me to this work, will give me strength for the performance of it, and that I shall find in the purity of my intentions, and in my zeal for the public welfare, that support and those resources which usually belong to a more mature age, and to long experience."

The queen's demeanour on that eventful morning impressed every one who was present, not only with profound admiration, but with confident hope of a glorious future. Truly did sir Robert Peel say of this demeanour that there is something which art cannot imitate and lessons cannot teach. There was evidence of a high and generous nature in her solemn expression of regret at the domestic calamity which had just occurred; in her manifestation of a deep and awful sense of the duties she was called upon to fulfil; in the becoming and dignified modesty of all her actions. When the newspapers described how, on the next day, Victoria, the queen, appeared at a window overlooking the courtyard of St. James's Palace, "dressed in black silk, with a crape scarf over her white tippet, and a little black chip bonnet,"—when they told how, looking "paler than usual," she wept as she acknowledged the plaudits of the people, such plaudits "as never could be surpassed"—*—there were few amongst her subjects who did not put up a prayer for the happiness of this young creature, exposed so early to all the temptations of luxury and all the bewilderments of power. But there was little fear. There was confidence,—as she had been brought up in the unobtrusive simplicity of her mother's life—as her natural abilities had been diligently cultivated—as from her childhood she had learnt the great lessons of self-denial—as she was well-grounded in religious principle—that the perils of such greatness would be escaped. Never were the feelings of the nation more nobly expressed than by a voice from the pulpit of the metropolitan cathedral:

"What limits to the glory and happiness of our native land, if the Creator should in his mercy have placed in the heart of this Royal Woman the rudiments of wisdom and mercy; and if, giving them time to expand, and to bless our children's children with her goodness, He should grant to her a long sojourning upon earth, and leave her to reign over us till she is well stricken in years! What glory! what happiness! what joy! what bounty of God!" †

* "Spectator," June 24.

† "Works of the Rev. Sydney Smith," vol. iii. p. 320.

MINISTRY AT THE ACCESSION OF QUEEN
VICTORIA.

CABINET

Marquis of Lansdowne	Lord President of the Council.
Lord Cottenham	Lord High Chancellor.
Viscount Duncannon	Lord Privy Seal and First Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Viscount Melbourne	First Lord of the Treasury.
Right Hon. T. Spring Rice	Chancellor of the Exchequer.
Earl of Minto	First Lord of the Admiralty.
Lord John Russell	Secretary of State for the Home Depart- ment.
Viscount Palmerston	Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Lord Glenelg	Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Sir John Cam Hobhouse	President of the Board of Control.
Lord Hoiland.	Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster
Right Hon. C. P. Thomson	President of the Board of Trade.
Viscount Howick	Secretary at War.

NOT OF THE CABINET.

Earl of Lichfield	Postmaster-General.
Right Hon. Henry Labouchere	Master of the Mint and Vice-President of the Board of Trade.
Sir Henry Parnell	Paymaster-General of the Forces.
Right Hon. Sir R. H. Vivian, Bart.	Clerk of the Ordnance.
Sir John Campbell	Attorney-General.
Sir R. M. Rolfe	Solicitor-General.

GREAT OFFICERS OF STATE.

Duke of Argyll	Lord Steward.
Marquis of Conyngham	Lord Chamberlain.
Earl of Albemarle	Master of the Horse.

IRELAND.

Earl of Mulgrave	Lord Lieutenant.
Lord Plunkett	Lord High Chancellor
Viscount Morpeth	Chief Secretary.

SCOTLAND.

Right Hon. John A. Murray	Lord Advocate.
Andrew Rutherford, Esq.	Solicitor-General.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF TREATIES.

(Continued from vol. vii. p. 644.)

- 1815 March 23 : Treaty of Vienna between Great Britain, Austria, Russia, and Prussia, confirming the principles of the treaty of Chaumont, March 1, 1814, on which they had acted ; and uniting Belgium to the Netherlands under the sovereignty of the king of the Netherlands.
- 1815 June 8 : Federative Constitution of Germany signed at Vienna.
- 1815 July 3 : Convention of St. Cloud between Marshal Davoust on the one part, and Wellington and Blücher on the other, by which Paris was surrendered to the Allies, who entered it on the 6th.
- 1815 August 2 : A Convention signed at Paris between Great Britain, Austria, Russia, and Prussia, styling Napoleon the prisoner of those powers, and confiding his safeguard particularly to the British government.
- 1815 Sept. 14 : A Convention entered into at Vienna, whereby the duchies of Parma, &c., were secured to the Empress Maria Louisa, and on her demise to her son by Napoleon.
- 1815 September 26 : The Treaty denominated of the Holy Alliance, ratified at Paris by the Emperors of Austria and Russia and the King of Prussia.
- 1815 November 5 : A Treaty ratified at Paris between Great Britain and Russia respecting the Ionian Islands, which were declared to form a united state under the sole protection of the former power.
- 1815 November 20 : Peace of Paris between France on the one part, and Great Britain, Austria, Russia, and Prussia, on the other, establishing the boundaries of France, and stipulating for the garrisoning of several of the fortresses in France by foreign troops for three years.
- 1818 October 9 : A Convention entered into by the great powers of Europe, assembled at Aix-la-Chapelle, on the one part, and the Duke de Richelieu on the other, whereby it was stipulated that the army of occupation should quit the French territory on the 30th of November ensuing ; it was also agreed that the remaining sum due from France to the Allies was 265,000,000 francs.
- 1819 August 1 : Congress of Carlsbad.
- 1820 October 20 : Congress of Troppau.
- 1820 October 24 : Treaty between Spain and America : Florida ceded to the United States.
- 1821 May 6 : The Congress of Laybach, which had been for some time attended by the sovereigns of Austria, Russia, and Prussia, finally broke up, having issued two circulars stating it to be their resolution to occupy Naples with Austrian troops, and proscribe popular insurrection.
- 1822 August 25 : Congress of Verona.
- 1824 February 4 : A Convention between Great Britain and Austria laid upon the table of the House of Commons, by which the former agreed to accept 2,500,000*l.* as a final compensation for her claims upon the latter power, amounting to 30,000,000*l.*
- 1825 February 28 : Convention between Great Britain and Russia ; frontier of north-west coast of America settled.
- 1825 April 17 : France recognizes the independence of St. Domingo.
- 1825 April 18 : Treaty of Amity between Great Britain and Columbia.

- 1825 October 18 : Treaty between Great Britain and Brazil for Abolition of Slave Trade.
- 1829 July 6 : Treaty of London between Russia, France, and Great Britain, for the settlement of the affairs of Greece.
- 1829 September 14 : Peace of Adrianople, between Russia and Turkey, by which Russia acquires the protectorate of Moldavia and Wallachia.
- 1830 May 7 : Treaty between Turkey and the United States. American vessels allowed to pass to and from the Black Sea.
- 1830 November 2 : The independence of Belgium recognized by England and France.
- 1831 The Commercial Union of the northern states of Germany, known as the Zollverein, commenced under the auspices of Prussia.
- 1831 November 15 : A Treaty signed between Great Britain and France, for a settlement of the points of dispute between Holland and Belgium, to which Holland acceded March 13, 1838.
- 1833 July 8 : Treaty at Constantinople between Turkey and Russia, by which it was stipulated that the Dardanelles should be shut to all foreign vessels of war.
- 1834 April 22 : Quadruple Treaty between Great Britain, France, Spain, and Portugal, in support of the two queens, Isabella and Maria.
- 1835 Supplementary Treaties with Portugal and Spain, by the former of which the Methuen Treaty with Portugal was annulled.
- 1840 July 15 : Treaty signed in London between Great Britain, France, Austria ; Russia, Prussia, and Turkey, for the settlement of the dispute between Turkey and Mehemit Ali.
- 1841 July 13 : Convention at London between the European Powers and Turkey, by which the closing of the Dardanelles against ships of war is made general to them all while Turkey is at peace.
- 1842 August 29 : Treaty of Nankin with China, by which several ports were opened to the British trade, Hong-Kong ceded, and an indemnification of 21,000,000 dollars paid.
- 1845 May 29 : A Convention signed in London between Great Britain and France for the suppression of the Slave Trade.
- 1846 November 16 : Austria, Russia, and Prussia revoke the treaty of 1815, constituting Cracow a free republic, and restore the territory to Austria. Soon after, the kingdom of Poland is incorporated with Russia. Great Britain, France, Sweden, and Turkey unite in a protest against these proceedings.
- 1849 August 6 : Treaty of Milan, between Austria and Sardinia.
- 1850 February 27 : Treaty of Munich between Austria, Bavaria, Saxony, and Würtemberg, to form a Southern German Union against the pretensions of Prussia.
- 1850 April 19 : Treaty at Washington between Great Britain and the United States, respecting a ship-canal through the state of Nicaragua, to connect the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans.
- 1850 July 2 : Treaty of Peace between Prussia and Denmark, Prussia withdrawing from the support of the duchies of Holstein and Schleswig ; and on July 4 a protocol was signed in London between Great Britain, France, Prussia, and Sweden, guaranteeing the integrity of the Danish territories.

THE NATIONAL DEBT,

FROM THE PEACE OF PARIS, 1815, TILL 1837.

			Principal.	Interest.	
			£	£	
Peace					
"	George III.	56 & 57	1816	845,968,483	32,055,350
"		57 & 58	1817	839,382,145	31,591,927
"		58 & 59	1818	840,582,664	31,485,753
"		59 & 60	1819	836,530,982	31,168,540
"	George IV.	60	1820	834,900,960	31,354,749
"		1	1821	827,984,498	31,105,319
"		2	1822	835,207,294	29,722,533
"		3	1823	827,480,164	30,142,582
"		4	1824	819,023,672	29,174,122
"		5	1825	809,831,468	28,987,773
"		6	1826	808,826,590	29,415,102
"		7	1827	805,098,942	29,328,782
"		8	1828	800,032,289	29,167,877
"		9	1829	796,799,542	29,067,658
"	William IV.	10	1830	784,803,997	28,325,936
"		11	1831	782,716,684	28,329,986
"		1 & 2	1832	781,457,599	28,351,318
"		2 & 3	1833	774,730,379	28,481,181
"		3 & 4	1834	773,234,401	28,517,236
"		4 & 5	1835	788,664,201	29,135,811
"		5 & 6	1836	789,496,896	29,667,464
"	Victoria	6 & 7	1837	787,529,114	29,537,333
"		7			
"	1				

See continuation on page 439.

THE GROWTH OF THE DEBT.

Period.	Debt.	Interest.	Years of war.	Increase of Debt in Years of war.	Remarks.
	£	£		£	
1691	3,130,000	332,000			
1701	12,552,486	1,219,147	1691—1697	11,392,925	French War.
1714	36,175,460	3,063,135	1702—1713	21,932,622	War of the Succession.
1748	75,812,132	3,165,765	1718—1721	14,025,424	} Continental Wars.
			1740—1748	22,531,551	
1763	132,716,049	5,032,733	1756—1763	58,141,024	Pitt's Administration.
1792	239,663,421	9,432,179	1774—1783	104,681,213	American War.
1815	861,039,049	32,645,618	1793—1815	621,375,628	War of French Revolution and against Napoleon.
Decrease of National Debt, 1816 to 1837 .				£854,080,387	
				73,570,535	

CHAPTER X.

Social condition of Great Britain at the period of the Accession of Queen Victoria.—Occupations of the People.—Growth of Cities and Towns.—London.—Increase of Houses.—Supply of Food.—Improved means of Communication affecting that supply.—Cheapening of the necessaries of life.—Conveyance of Mails by Railway.—Limited Postal accommodation.—Public Health.—Inquiry into the Sanitary Condition of the Labouring Classes.—Exposure of the unfitness of many Dwellings for healthful occupation.—Workrooms without ventilation, such as those of Tailors and Milliners.—Public arrangements influencing the Sanitary Condition of the Labouring Population.—Neglect and ignorance universal.—Want of Drainage.—Inadequate Water supply for the preservation of cleanliness.—Public Baths and Washhouses unknown.—Interments within the precincts of large Towns.—Public Walks not provided.—State of the Factories.—Number of Workers in Textile Manufactures.—Beginnings of improvement.—Mining Population.—Employment of Children and Women in Mines.—Agricultural Labourers.—Operation of the New Poor Law.—Neglect of the Labourers by the richer Classes.—Miserable Cottage accommodation.—Dorsetshire Labourers.—Field employment of Women and Children.—Crime in England and Wales.—Juvenile Delinquency.—General state of Education.—First aid of the State to voluntary exertions.—Increase of Schools and Scholars.—Limited ability to read and write amongst the adult population.—General aspects of English Society.

IN the celebrated description of Macaulay of “the state in which England was at the time when the Crown passed from Charles the Second to his brother,” he rests its necessary imperfection upon the “scanty and dispersed materials” from which it was composed. In now attempting a description of the state in which the United Kingdom was at the time, or about the time, when the Crown passed from William the Fourth to his niece, we have not the same apology for its incompleteness. The materials from which it must be composed are embarrassing, not from their scantiness but from their fulness,—not from their dispersion in scarce and curious tracts, in private letters and diaries, in the observations of foreigners, in estimates of national wealth resting upon no solid bases, in county histories, in meagre newspapers, in old almanacs. In 1837 we were passing out of the transition state of very imperfect statistics to the period when every aspect of our social condition was to be delineated; when every dark corner was to be explored; when every fact connected with Education, Public Health, Crime and Punishment, Industrial Employment, Pauperism, was to be recorded and tabulated;—the period of Commissioners and Boards—the period when, according to Sydney Smith, “the whole earth

was, in fact, in Commission." Out of several hundred Official Reports we may to some extent learn what we were a quarter of a century ago, and be enabled to answer the question, "Are we improved?"

In commencing our survey of what Shakspeare, in his famous comparison of "the state of man in divers functions" with the working of "the honey-bees," calls "a peopled kingdom," we beg to repeat what we said at the outset of this History, that we do not apply the term "People" to any distinct class or section of the population. We especially protest against the abuse of the term "People" which some amongst us have adopted from the modern literature of France, when they assume that the non-capitalist portion of the industrious classes are exclusively "the People." The intelligent public officers to whom has been committed the superintendence of the Census during the last twenty years have classified the "Occupations of the People" as the occupations of the entire community.

The Census of 1841 is sufficiently near the date of the accession of Victoria to furnish a tolerably correct estimate of the various functions performed in that great working-hive of which she was the queen-bee. In the spring of that year, out of the entire population of England, Wales, and Scotland, amounting to nearly nineteen millions, nearly eight millions, male and female, were distinctly classified by their occupations. They were the supporters of the residue of the population, including children of all ages. Speaking in round numbers, three millions were engaged in commerce, trade, and manufactures; a million and a half in agriculture; seven hundred thousand were labourers not agricultural; a hundred and thirty thousand formed the army at home and abroad; two hundred and eighteen thousand were employed on the sea and in inland navigation; sixty-three thousand were professional persons, clerical, legal, and medical; a hundred and forty thousand were following miscellaneous pursuits as educated persons; seventeen thousand were in the government Civil Service; twenty-five thousand were parochial and other officers; eleven hundred thousand were domestic servants; five hundred thousand were persons of independent means; and two hundred thousand were alms people, pensioners, paupers, lunatics, and prisoners. This wonderful variety of stations and pursuits constitutes the distinctive character of modern British civilization. All are held together upon that great principle which Plato sets forth in emphatic words: "It is not alone wisdom and strength which makes a State simply wise and strong. But order, like that harmony called the diapason, is dif-

fused throughout the whole State, making both the weakest, and the strongest, and the middling people, concent the same melody.”

A very large proportion of the three millions of the people engaged in commerce, trade, and manufacture were necessarily to be found in the cities and towns. In 1811, at the commencement of the Regency, there were in England only twelve of the cities and towns whose population exceeded thirty thousand; in Scotland there were four above that number. In 1841, taking the same limits of places* as in 1811, there were thirty-one cities and towns in England with a larger population than thirty thousand, and in Scotland six. In the course of thirty years the London of one million ten thousand people had become the dwelling-place of one million seven hundred thousand; * Manchester and Salford, which thirty years before 1841 numbered a hundred and ten thousand, held two hundred and ninety-six thousand; Liverpool of one hundred thousand had reached two hundred and sixty-four thousand; Birmingham with eighty-five thousand had added a hundred and five thousand to its number; Leeds with sixty-two thousand had added ninety thousand; Bristol with seventy-six thousand had added forty-six thousand. Sheffield, Rochdale, Norwich, Nottingham, each under forty thousand, had about doubled their numbers. Plymouth and Portsmouth had grown in a less proportion. In Scotland, Edinburgh had increased from a hundred and two thousand to a hundred and sixty-six thousand; Glasgow from a hundred thousand to two hundred and seventy-four thousand; Paisley, Aberdeen, Greenock, had doubled their numbers, each exceeding sixty thousand. Of non-commercial places the extension of Brighton and Cheltenham were the most remarkable; in thirty years they had quadrupled their populations. The growth of the smaller provincial seats of trading and manufacturing industry was as remarkable as the instances we have selected of the greater places. Of the sixty-eight chief cities and towns of Great Britain whose comparative population is exhibited in decennial periods by the Census of 1841, the total amount of the population was two million six hundred and ninety-two thousand at the commencement of the Regency, and five million three hundred and forty-one thousand, three years after the Crown passed to Queen Victoria. During the period of thirty years, when the population of sixty-eight principal towns had increased 100 per cent., the aggregate population of England and Scotland had increased only 54 per cent., that is, from twelve million to eighteen million five hundred thousand.

* In the Census of 1814 more extended limits of London were given as adopted by the Registrar-General, which gave the population as 1,873,676.

The one million seven hundred thousand of the people of London in 1841 were inhabiting two hundred and sixty-three thousand houses. Since 1811 the number of houses in the metropolitan district had increased in a much higher proportion than the increase of population. There had been rapidly going on since the Peace, not only in London but in every part of the country, that want which appears never to be satisfied,—the demand for more houses, whatever number of houses are built. In London, during the half century from 1811 to 1861, there has ever been an increasing number of the people ready to pay rent,—people beginning housekeeping, people seeking better house accommodation than the old dwellings, people turning their city houses into warehouses and daily going to and fro in search of health in suburban air. One of the most curious social problems at the period of the Queen's accession, as it had been the marvel of several previous generations, was the apparent difficulty of feeding even five hundred thousand, much more a million, a million and a half, two millions, of human beings, collected together in places more or less densely peopled, comprised within a circle whose radius was four miles from St. Paul's.

In 1841, the metropolitan district of the Registrar-General comprised a population of nearly nineteen hundred thousand on a radius considerably smaller than that of the plan given in the census of 1831, which, in a radius of eight miles, comprised a population a little under eighteen hundred thousand.

A proclamation of Elizabeth against the extension of London exhibits the dread of the Government that the increasing multitudes "could hardly be provided of sustentation, of victual, food, and other like necessaries for man's relief, upon reasonable price." The economists of our own day have shown how visionary would be such a dread under the self-regulating movements of the present social organization. The greatest wonder that London presented to a New Zealander who was brought to England some years ago, was the mystery of feeding an immense population, as he saw neither cattle nor crops. The enormous number, and the fluctuations in their number, of the persons to be fed; the quantity and variety of the provisions to be furnished; the necessity in most cases for their immediate distribution in convenient localities; the accurate proportion of the supply to the demand, so as to be ample without waste;—these considerations point to the difficulty, as well as the paramount importance, of furnishing their daily rations to a host far greater than any army which a commissariat upon the grandest scale could undertake to sustain even for a single day. "This

object," says Dr. Whately, "is accomplished far better than it could be by any effort of human wisdom through the agency of men who think each of nothing beyond his own immediate interest,—who, with that object in view, perform their respective parts with cheerful zeal, and combine unconsciously to employ the wisest means for effecting an object the vastness of which it would bewilder them even to contemplate."*

What appeared to the economist so vast an undertaking in the London of 1831, must at the first glance have appeared a greater difficulty in 1841, when the population had still gone on rapidly increasing. But during that decennial period the means of communication had been so largely increased that the certainty of the supply of food, both as to its abundance and the time occupied in its transit, could be more accurately measured. The corn-barge was still duly sailing from the maritime counties to the port of London to bring the produce of many thousand acres for sale in Mark Lane; the steamboat was also moving up the Thames to bring corn quickly from the ports of the Baltic; and the first steam-ships from England had crossed the Atlantic in 1838 to contribute to the transportation of that supply of foreign produce which was scantily poured in till the fetters of Protection were knocked off. The cattle of Smithfield were still travelling in vast droves from the north by Highgate, and from the eastern counties by the Whitechapel Road; but the Birmingham Railway was already bringing large numbers to market in better condition and at a lower cost than were effected by the drovers' toilsome march. That railway, and the few others that were gradually being opened, brought also to London large quantities of country-killed meat. In the smaller articles of produce, London, by the agency of steam-vessels, had now an almost inexhaustible supply from foreign ports. Fresh vegetables and fruits came to Covent Garden Market from Portugal; the Pas de Calais sent millions of eggs from its small farms; and the eggs which Ireland exported to England, as well as Scotch eggs and English eggs brought in coasting vessels, justify the calculation that the London population had in the first year of the Queen an annual supply of a hundred millions of eggs collected from sources which were not accessible thirty years before. In 1813 a great meeting of noblemen and gentlemen was held in the Thatched House Tavern, St. James's, to establish a Fish Association, the object of which was to insure a better supply of that luxury of the wealthy in the metropolis. It was scarcely in the contemplation of this meeting that the humbler

* Introductory Lectures on Political Economy, 1831.

markets where the poor congregate should ever have an adequate supply of a perishable article, then brought up the river by hoys dependent upon wind and tide, or carried by stage-coaches at a large increase of the first cost. It has been stated in an interesting little volume,* that when salmon were brought from Scotland in sailing vessels, one cargo in three was totally spoiled from the voyage being protracted by adverse winds. The steam-boats were in 1837 delivering some hundred tons of salmon from Scotland to Billingsgate. A few years later the railways from the southern coast were rendering the turbot and the sole almost as cheap in the London markets as in the fish-shops of the fashionable watering-places near which they were taken. Within the last ten years, the electric telegraph has come to the aid of the railway, by apprising the fish-dealers in London when there is a glut of fish—as of mackerel, which is sometimes taken in extraordinary quantities. Instead of perishing on the shore, the mackerel thus finds its way to thousands of consumers, “alive, alive, O;” as the itinerant dealer was allowed to proclaim on a Sunday morning in the old days of slow conveyance.

As important as the equalization of prices in the necessaries of life by improved means of conveyance, was the more rapid communication beginning to be effected in the transmission of letters. The Railway System was so far established in 1838, that an Act of Parliament was passed in that year for providing for the conveyance of Mails by railroads. The mails between Manchester and Liverpool were conveyed by railroad as early as 1830; but between London and every town in Great Britain the comparatively slow mode of conveyance continued to prevail. Upon the reduction of the Newspaper Stamp from fourpence to a penny in 1835, the despatch of Newspapers through the post office was so greatly increased that some of the mails were obliged to run without their usual number of passengers, the contractors being indemnified for their loss. The addition to the old mode of conveyance enabled day-mails to be despatched, when the routine of the post office had been revolutionized by Rowland Hill. The organization which was necessary to ensure the triumph of a cheap and uniform rate of Postage was so little developed when the Queen came to the throne, that there were only three thousand post offices in England and Wales, while the number of parishes was eleven thousand. It was estimated that a fourth of the population were entirely destitute of postal accommodation. Four hundred of the Registration districts, the average extent of each being nearly

* “Our Exemplars Poor and Rich,” p. 123.

twenty square miles, were without a post office. Yet when we consider what was the cost of postage at that time, we may possibly come to the conclusion that the facilities afforded for sending and receiving letters were ample. The number of chargeable letters in 1839 was in the proportion of four letters per annum to each individual of the population of England and Wales; three in Scotland; one in Ireland. Large as this number may seem when compared with the period of Mr. Palmer's reforms in 1784, when the first mail coach left London, we can only judge of its comparative littleness when we learn that the average of England and Wales in 1861 was in the proportion of twenty-four letters to every one of the population; nineteen in Scotland; and nine in Ireland.* A representative of the spirit which sees nothing but evil in every great social improvement wrote thus in his Diary of July 8, 1839: "The Chancellor of the Exchequer, in bringing forward his Budget, has proposed that the postage on a single letter should be reduced to one penny. This will increase the number of idle scribblers; be of little benefit to the lower classes who seldom have occasion to write; and is likely only to advantage the commercial houses and bankers who can well afford to pay the postage." † The greatest benefit to his country which the organizer of cheap postage has effected—a benefit which he may contemplate with the honest pride of every man who feels that he has not lived for himself alone—is that he has given a motive for education to "the lower classes," who now often "have occasion to write;" that he has linked together the affections of households that have become separated by distance, so that even to the colonist in Australia a letter can now find its way as cheaply as it once travelled from London to Windsor.

The distribution of the food of London to its two hundred and sixty-three thousand houses was accomplished more by the agency of shop-keepers than by the stall-sellers at markets. The costard-monger, who derived his name from the apple which he sold, was still the great purveyor of vegetables in the silent streets of the suburbs. He had almost wholly passed away from the busy streets. The orange-woman standing on the pavement with her basket had nearly ceased to traffic. The millions of oranges that steam had brought ripe from the Antilles were to be found in every quarter where there was a fruit-shop or a stall. The public

* In a Lecture delivered at the Royal Institution in 1862, Mr. M. D. Hill brought together a vast body of interesting facts on the Postage System, which we trust he will publish in some permanent form.

† Raikes's "Diary," vol. 3.

places of refreshment in 1837 were not essentially different from those of the beginning of the century as far as regarded the chop-house and the eating-house. But there were two important changes. The institution of Clubs had materially contributed to the luxurious comforts of the higher classes at a far less expense than that of the old tavern; and the two thousand Coffee-houses that had sprung up in London, where the artizan could obtain his cup of tea or coffee for three half-pence or two-pence and read the newspapers and periodical works, was an advance indicating a very different state of society from that which prevailed in the exclusive days of the Regency.

Valuable to every class of the London population as was the partial cheapening of some of the necessities of life by the gradual equalization of prices produced by improved conveyance, the physical and moral condition of the great bulk of the people would have been little improved, as long as they were compelled to crowd in districts undrained, in perishing houses ill-ventilated, in pestilential courts and alleys from which typhus especially and every form of contagious and epidemic disease never departed. It is difficult, with all the recorded experience of the better time that has succeeded the first awakening to the consideration of the great question of Public Health, to believe that we are only looking back a quarter of a century when we trace what the humbler classes of London, and of all other great towns, were enduring when Victoria became our Sovereign. Three years before her Majesty's Accession, the public mind was roused, in some degree, to the consideration of this evil in the metropolis by a distinguished architect, Mr. Sydney Smirke; and before a road was made from the east end of Oxford-street direct to Holborn, he pointed out that there was a district—known by the names of The Rookery and the Holy Land,—the retreat of wretchedness, the nest of disease, the nursery and sanctuary of vice. There was scarcely a single sewer in any part of it. Where the plague once raged there was then constant fever. There were houses in which squalid families were lodged in the proportion of twenty-four adults and thirty children to nine small rooms. There were Lodging Houses in which sixty persons occupied nightly a pestilential den of filth and depravity. There were many such places in other quarters of the town. Under the authority of the Poor Law Commissioners in 1838, Dr. Arnott and Dr. Kay made a laborious investigation into the prevalence of fever in the metropolis; and Dr. Southwood Smith carried on similar inquiries into some of the causes of sickness and mortality to which the poor are peculiarly ex-

posed. When their Reports were published, even thoughtful men, as well as those who had rarely looked below the glittering surface of society, were little prepared for such a revelation of the details of the mass of vice, misery, and disease, which existed in close contiguity with the most opulent parts of the great city. In 1839 an Address to her Majesty from the House of Lords, prayed that a similar inquiry should be instituted with regard to the labouring classes in other parts of England and Wales; and such an inquiry was in 1840 required to be extended to Scotland. This duty was undertaken by the Poor Law Commission; and their Report, prepared by Mr. Chadwick, embraced the evidence not only of their Assistant Commissioners, but of numerous medical men of the highest authority. The miserable dens of the working classes in London, inhabited not exclusively by the lowest in condition and in morals, were not unfrequently surrounded by the luxurious mansions of the rich and fashionable, and commonly by the well-constructed houses of the middle ranks. The proportion in which each class bore up against the ills which flesh is heir to are indicated, however imperfectly, by returns of the comparative mortality amongst the average of a town population. The lowest in the scale of well-being indicated by duration of life were the mechanics, labourers, and their families; the highest in the scale were the families of the gentry and professional persons; the medium place was that of the tradesmen and their families. Comparative poverty, no doubt, had a large share in this result; but the peculiar character of the dwellings of each, and the habits of filth and intemperance induced by the total unfitness of many tenements for healthful occupation, had a much larger share in that astounding difference in the death-rate, which showed that the families of the most opulent classes lived twice as long as those of their least fortunate neighbours. In closed courts where the sunshine never penetrated, where a breath of fresh air never circulated, where noxious vapours filled every corner from the horrible cesspools, where the density of population was so excessive as in itself to be sufficient to produce disease, where a single room was often occupied by a whole family without regard to age or sex,—the wonder is how the poor lived at all, uncared for by the rich, who knew them not—neglected by their employers, who in some trades exposed them to labour in workshops not far superior in ventilation to the Black Hole of Calcutta. Amongst these careless and avaricious employers the master-tailors were the most notorious, who would huddle sixty or eighty workmen close together, nearly knee to knee, in a room 50 feet long by 20 feet

broad, lighted from above, where the temperature in summer was 30 degrees higher than the temperature outside. Young men from the country fainted when they were first confined in such a life-destroying prison; the maturer ones sustained themselves by gin, till they perished of consumption, or typhus, or delirium tremens.* One of the most eminent of our living physiologists says, "Mr. Chadwick has shown that many are driven to drinking gin as affording a temporary relief to the feelings of depression and exhaustion produced by living in a noxious atmosphere." † The overworked class of milliners and dressmakers employed in the larger work-rooms of London, ill-ventilated, and rendered doubly injurious by the constant habit of nightwork, when the air was still more deteriorated by gas and lamplight,—this suffering class of young women was being constantly renewed, more than one-half dying of lung diseases before they had attained the average age of twenty-eight.

In the General Report of 1842, next in importance to the inquiry into the condition of the residences of the labouring classes, was the investigation into the public arrangements external to the residences which influenced the sanitary condition of the mass of the population. In London, in the seats of manufacturing industry, in the ports, in the boroughs with a moderate number of inhabitants, in the smallest towns and villages, there was ample evidence of neglect and ignorance, so manifest, and yet so little observed by the people themselves and by the local authorities, that after twenty years of remedial measures, we look back with horror upon the state of the towns in which the father of a family of the present day passed his infancy and boyhood. It was the same throughout the land, whether under the palatial walls of Windsor Castle, or under the crescents of Bath built upon the brows of the hills, or in the steep lanes climbing up to the Cathedral of Durham, or in the open channels in the wynds and closes of the romantic city of Edinburgh, or in the enormous seats of factory labour in Lancashire and Yorkshire, or in Liverpool the great emporium of Commerce which was fast rivalling London—wherever there was a want of drainage, there were always disease, and misery, and families rendered destitute by premature deaths. In Liverpool there were eight thousand cellars occupied by thirty thousand people, few of which cellars, from the absence of drains and sewers, were entirely free from

* Compare the vivid descriptions in "Alton Locke" with the evidence in the "Sanitary Report" of 1842, pp. 98 to 104.

† "Psychological Inquiries." By Sir Benjamin C. Brodie Bart. 3rd edit., p. 78.

damp, and most of them were inundated after a fall of rain. In a Report laid before the British Association for the Advancement of Science it was stated, that the proportion of the population of Liverpool that lived in cellars was 13 per cent., of Manchester $11\frac{3}{4}$ per cent., of Salford 8 per cent., of Bury $3\frac{3}{4}$ per cent. In Ashton, Staleybridge, and Dukinfield, where only about $1\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. of the population lived in cellars, the death-rate was abundantly kept up by the common practice, rendered necessary by the insufficient dwelling accommodation, of three, four, five, and even six persons sleeping in one bed.

In the poorer districts of London, such as Whitechapel, and in nearly every city and town of Great Britain, the supplies of water were wholly inadequate to preserve the cleanliness and consequent health of the labouring population. It has been ascertained in all sanitary inquiries that an adequate supply of water had a most advantageous effect on the health of the people. In 1841 there was not a house of the labouring classes in the Whitechapel district in which the water was laid on. Where the poor had to fetch water from the pump or from the plug in the street at a considerable distance, they would rarely take the trouble to obtain the supply without which their dwellings and their persons would be marked by that absence of cleanliness which is almost always accompanied by a low state of morals.

At the commencement of the Queen's reign there was no public provision in London, nor as far as we know in any of the provincial towns, for promoting cleanliness amongst the poor by the establishment of Public Baths and Washhouses. In 1832, when the cholera first appeared in England, there was a poor woman named Catherine Wilkinson, who was so impressed with the necessity of cleanliness as a preventive to the disease, that she encouraged her neighbours to come to her comparatively better house, which comprised a kitchen, a parlour, three small bed-chambers, and a yard, for the purpose of washing and drying their clothes. The good that was manifest induced some benevolent persons to aid her in extending her operations. The large amount of washing done in one week in a cellar, under the superintendence of this excellent woman, represented the amount of disease and discomfort kept down by her energetic desire to do good without pecuniary reward. Such was the origin of public baths and washhouses, which Catherine Wilkinson had the satisfaction of seeing matured in Liverpool in 1846, in a large establishment under the Corporation, to the superintendence of which she and her husband were appointed. In 1844 an Association for promoting Cleanliness

amongst the Poor hired an old building in the midst of a dense and indigent population near the London Docks, and fitted it up as a bath-house and a laundry. What has been since done by this first step in the promotion of cleanliness amongst the poor is one of the most interesting circumstances in our social progress. A quarter of a century ago London was behind every other country in Europe in a provision for convenient and inexpensive public baths. A warm bath, if such could be found without traversing five hundred streets, would have cost the mechanic as much as a week's dinners. Such a bath may now be procured for twopence.

Equally injurious to health as an insufficient supply of pure water was the prevailing custom twenty years ago of burying the dead in towns. In March, 1842, a Select Committee of the House of Commons was appointed to consider the expediency of framing some legislative enactment (due respect being paid to the rights of the clergy) to remedy the evils arising from the interment of bodies within the precincts of large towns, or of places densely peopled. Their Report averred that England exhibited, especially through its capital, "an instance of the most wealthy, moral, and civilized community in the world, tolerating a practice and an abuse which has been corrected for years by nearly all other civilized nations in every part of the globe." A Supplement to the General Sanitary Report was prepared by Mr. Chadwick in 1842 on the subject of Interment in Towns. It is full of the most curious facts, which contributed to awaken public attention to this national disgrace. The parochial divisions of the metropolis, and the rapid increase of the population in each division, had filled the graveyards in the very heart of the densest neighbourhoods, in a manner which was truly described by a witness before the Parliamentary Committee as "sickening and horrible." Liverpool and Manchester had established Cemeteries long before London had attempted this partial remedy of an enormous evil. In 1832 an Act was passed for the formation of a cemetery at Kensal Green. The cemeteries of Norwood and Highgate were added some eight or ten years later, but all these were for the opulent. The parish graveyards still continued open in their constantly increasing abomination. It was the same nearly in every other city and large town, till almost absolute powers were given to the government to put down the evil by the strong hand.

A Committee of the House of Commons was appointed in 1833 to consider the best means of securing open spaces in the vicinity of populous towns, as Public Walks and places of exercise, calculated to promote the health and comfort of the inhabitants. Lon-

don had its parks in the west-end: but the east, inhabited by a dense population, presented no opportunity to the toiling artisan to enjoy the fresh air without a long walk beyond the region of chimneys. In the seats of the three great manufactures of the kingdom, cotton, woollen, and hardware, whilst the wealth of the large towns had increased in proportion to the increase of population, no provision had been made to afford the people the means of healthy exercise or cheerful amusement. Debasing pleasures naturally took the place of innocent recreations.

In 1831 one of our most lucid prose writers, whose beauty of style was not altogether based upon a solid foundation of wisdom, speaks of the factory system, which was an inevitable consequence of the application of invention and discovery to manufacturers, as "a wen, a fungous excrescence from the body politic: the growth might have been checked, if the consequences had been apprehended in time."* He describes this system as one "which in its direct consequences debases all who are engaged in it; a system that employs men unremittingly in pursuits unwholesome for the body and unprofitable for the mind." In 1841 the total number of persons engaged in the cotton manufacture was about half a million. The total number employed upon all textile fabrics in Great Britain was eight hundred thousand, of which number about four hundred and fifty-three thousand were males, and three hundred and forty-six thousand were females. Many of the evils which Southey exaggerates, in the dread which ultra-Toryism had of artisans and factory-workers,—of all whom they believed could not be regimented into obedience, as they imagined to be possible with the agricultural labourers,—had in 1833 begun to be remedied by legislative interference. These evils had grown up in the rapid development of the powers of the steam-engine; but in 1841, when the textile factories employed five hundred and fifty-five thousand of both sexes above twenty years of age, and two hundred and forty-five thousand under twenty years, the number of very young children had been greatly reduced, the hours of labour were rendered more moderate, and the education of children, with a proper regard for their health, was a duty to be provided for.† Much remained to be done, but a beginning had been made. Independently of the unwholesome dwellings in which many of the factory operatives were compelled to abide at the epoch of which we are writing, their health was better cared for, during their hours of labour, than in the miserable workshops in which too many artisans then earned their bread.

* Southey, "Colloquies," vol. i. p. 171.

† *Ante*, p. 146

In 1841 the number of persons employed under ground in Mines was about a hundred and ninety-four thousand,—about an eighth of the total numbers employed in the cultivation of the surface. More than one-half of this number were employed in coal-mines. In 1840 a Commission was appointed to inquire into the employment of the children of the poorer classes in mines and collieries. The Report of that Commission, presented to Parliament in 1842, exhibited in some mining districts a state of things, with regard not only to children but to women, which could scarcely be paralleled by any of the barbarous practices which have contributed to make negro slavery so abhorrent to the feelings of the people of England. Till this Report was presented, few were aware that a child of six years of age, with a girdle round his or her waist, to which was attached a chain passing under the legs, and fastened to a cart, had thus to drag a load on all fours through avenues not so good as a common sewer. Children and women who were not employed in dragging loads by the girdle and chain had to carry loads of coal on their backs up steep ascents equal in distance to the height of St. Paul's, fourteen times a day. An old Scotch-woman said to one of the Commissioners, "You must just tell the Queen Victoria that we are quiet, loyal subjects; women-people here don't mind work; but they object to horse-work; and that she would have the blessings of all the Scotch coal-women if she would get them out of the pits, and send them to other labour." Through the employment of children the seeds of painful and mortal disease were sown, which were steadily developed as they advanced to maturity; but their moral corruption, through their subjection to uneducated and ferocious men and the indiscriminate employment of girls and boys, was as serious an evil, of which the evidence was perfectly clear. Children of seven years of age, of amiable temper and conduct, who went for one season into the collieries returned greatly corrupted, and, as one witness emphatically said, "with most hellish dispositions." The effect of this employment upon women was to produce a total ignorance of all domestic duties; it wholly disqualified them from even learning how to discharge the duties of wife and mother. This awful misuse of the labour of children and women proceeded not from the necessities of the collier's family but from his own gross and sensual indulgences. It was in evidence that many of the miners worked only eight or nine days in a fortnight, and then spent the large earnings of two-thirds of their working-time in drinking and gambling. Throughout the Staffordshire collieries cockfighting was the ordinary amusement of the population. Their vices had been transmitted from father to

son ; the employment of women and children was a relic of former days of barbarous ignorance in the general community. In these cases the slave-driver of his wife and children was the father of the family. But there was another species of slavery in the apprenticeship of orphan boys or paupers to "butty" colliers—those who took assistants or partners in their work.* It was the custom of many of these hard taskmasters to take two or three apprentices at a time, supporting themselves and families out of the labour of these unfortunate orphans, who from the age of fourteen to twenty-one never received a penny for themselves, by a servitude in which there was nothing to learn beyond a little dexterity readily acquired by short practice. The cruelties which were sometimes endured by these unhappy boys appear now to belong to the times of Elizabeth Brownrigg ; yet there is nothing in the story of her whipping her apprentices to death that could not be exceeded by the admission of a collier that he had been in the habit of beating his apprentice with a flat piece of wood in which a nail was driven, projecting about half an inch. There are middle-aged men at the present time amongst us, who having escaped from the horrors of a pit-boy's life twenty years ago, detail the cruel punishments that were inflicted by those who knew no mode of enforcing obedience but that of brutal severity.

The total number of Agricultural Labourers in Great Britain in 1841 was a little above one million one hundred and thirty-eight thousand, of which number fifty-six thousand were females. Since 1811 the proportion of the agricultural to the commercial and miscellaneous classes of the people had been gradually diminishing. The general condition of the agricultural labourers of the South, at the period of passing the Act for the Amendment of the Poor Law, has been already indicated.† The amended law had been in operation for three years, when a Parliamentary Inquiry was instituted upon complaints of its administration. The evidence regarding three rural Unions occupied nine-tenths of the labours of the Committee. In 1834 the labourers had manifested a lawless spirit of opposition to the operations of the new law. In 1837 they had become aware that the intention of the amended law was to raise them to a better condition instead of grinding them down. They had increased wages and greater regularity of employment ; they had not to endure the degradation of receiving as alms what they had a right to demand as the wages of their industry. Un-

* "Butty. A working companion ; a comrade. Not so general with us as amongst the miners and colliers."—*Miss Baker's Northamptonshire Glossary.*

† Chap. vi. pp. 150 to 158.

doubtedly there was individual suffering in the transition from the allowance system to the stern refusal of aid to the able-bodied labourer. In 1840 a great thinker, who had looked below the surface of immediate evils, thus wrote: "Let us welcome the New Poor Law as the harsh beginning of much, the harsh ending of much! Most harsh and barren lies the new plougher's fallow-field, the crude subsoil all turned up, which never saw the sun; which as yet grows no herb; which has 'out-door relief' for no one. Yet patience: innumerable weeds and corruptions lie safely turned down and extinguished under it; this same crude subsoil is the first step of all true husbandry; by Heaven's blessing and the skyey influences, fruits that are good and blessed will yet come of it."* But the time was yet distant when what was wanting in the New Poor Law towards placing the agricultural labourer in his proper relation to the whole social system would be supplied, not by what is called the charity of the rich, but by their feeling of brotherhood towards those who sat with them in the same place of worship, and whose children were christened in the same font as their children. There was a great deal to be done by education before the agricultural labourer could be rendered provident and moral by instruction; before the poor should cease to be abject, and the rich should cease to be overbearing; before the friendly intercourse between man and man, which religion and philosophy equally prescribe, should stand in the place of that proud reserve and that suppressed insolence which were the remaining badges of feudality. The time was still distant when, to say nothing of the sympathy arising out of an enlarged public sentiment, land-proprietors and rich cultivators would be ashamed to let the labourers and their families inhabit cottages inferior to the thatched stables and cattle-sheds of the slovenly farmyards of a quarter of a century ago. A recent writer says of Dorsetshire, that "the next thing after the advent of Judge Jefferies that gave the county a downright shake from end to end was the crusade of S. G. O."† What was that crusade of one who seldom put his lance in rest except to tilt against some armour-clad oppressor? The publicity given by this famous correspondent of the "Times" to the miserable condition of the Dorsetshire labourers produced a controversy in Parliament, in which facts alleged against the neglect of the landowners and farmers of that country were attempted to be disproved. The "Times" then employed a Commissioner to see with his own eyes what was the real condition of a county held to be chronically be-

* "Chartism," by Thomas Carlyle, p. 22.

† "Quarterly Review," April, 1862, p. 285.

hind the age. That Report was entirely confirmatory of all that had been alleged of the excessively low rate of wages, which in most cases was about seven shillings a week; of the oppression exercised over the labourer who did job-work, by his master giving him whatever the master liked; of the system of paying the labourers partly in kind, when they were compelled by the farmers to take an inferior quality of corn called "grists" at a price equal to or above the market price of the best grain; and of the disgraceful state of the labourers' cottages, small, inconvenient, and so entirely unfit for the decent accommodation of a family that in some cases nine persons slept in one room. Lord Sidney Godolphin Osborne (S. G. O.), rector of Bryanstone, near Blandford, in Dorsetshire, had in 1842 testified to the physical and moral injuries to the labourer of a dwelling of the most confined space without anything like proper drainage. His evidence was taken by one of the Special Assistant Commissioners, who reported "on the Employment of Women and Children in Agriculture." Of the early employment of children of both sexes in the fields the greatest evil was to be found in their removal from school before they had acquired the commonest rudiments of knowledge. The employment of women was injurious in their being withdrawn from the proper superintendence of their families, and in that consequent absence of domestic comfort which in most cases drove the labourer to the beer-shop.

In 1836 a Commission was appointed to inquire as to the best means of establishing an efficient Constabulary Force in the counties of England, for the prevention of offences, the detection of criminals, and the protection of property. The Report of this Commission showed that there was an average of a hundred thousand commitments annually of the able-bodied population; and that from eleven thousand to twenty thousand persons were constantly in gaol. Crimes of violence were gradually decreasing, though crimes characterized by fraud were increasing in a still greater proportion. The criminal population of London, and of a few of the larger towns, had been kept within narrower bounds than in the time of George the Fourth, by the establishment of a vigilant Police Force. In the rural districts there was no power but that of the parish constable to prevent the most extensive system of depredation. Even the labouring classes were constantly plundered of the produce of their gardens and garden allotments. "There is no protection for us," said a labourer to a clergyman near Bath. The altered character of criminal punishments, as exhibited in the sentences passed in the years before and after the accession of the

Queen, is very remarkable. In 1834 four hundred and eighty persons were sentenced to death; in 1838 a hundred and sixteen. In 1834, eight hundred and ninety-four persons were sentenced to transportation for life; in 1838 two hundred and sixty-six; in 1834 two thousand four hundred were sentenced to transportation for seven years; in 1838 under nineteen hundred. There was a proportionate increase in the sentences of minor punishments. The number sentenced to terms of imprisonment or to summary punishment was eleven thousand five hundred in 1834; it was thirteen thousand in 1838. The per-centage of criminals unable to read and write was, in 1840, 33.32 for England and Wales. The proportion of criminals unable to read and write was 7 per cent. more in the agricultural counties than in the manufacturing and mixed counties.

It was found, upon an average of five years, 1838 to 1842, that more than one-third of those committed for trial at the assizes were under twenty years of age. The records of summary convictions exhibited a frightful amount of juvenile delinquency. It was estimated that in London thirty thousand of those who have been called the Arabs of civilization, depending from day to day on the uncertain support of mendicancy and plunder, were under sixteen years of age. There was a district lying near Westminster Abbey, called "The Devil's Acre," where depravity was universal; where professional beggars were fitted out with all their appliances of imposture where there was an agency office for the hire of children to be carried about by forlorn widows and deserted wives to move the compassion of street-giving benevolence; where young pickpockets were duly trained in the art and mystery which was to conduct them in due course to an expensive voyage for the good of their country. A Scotch gardener, Andrew Walker, attempted to weed the Devil's Acre; and in 1839 set up a school, in a stable, for reclaiming and instructing the wretched children who swarmed around him. This was the beginning of "Ragged Schools" in London. In that year died John Pounds, the Portsmouth cobbler, who for many years had been rescuing poor children in a similar way, in the populous town where he earned a scanty living. In the course of his benevolent career he had been the gratuitous instructor of five hundred children, who without him would have swelled the numbers of the criminal population. When the Queen came to the throne there was not a Ragged School in London, Liverpool, Manchester, or Birmingham. Bristol was the first great town in which such an institution was organized. Aberdeen led the way in Scotland, to attempt the abatement of juvenile delinquency by the establishment of Industrial Schools.

In that sermon upon the accession of Victoria with whose prophetic aspirations we concluded our last chapter, the preacher, taking a short view of the duties which devolved upon the young Queen,—what ideas she ought to form of her duties,—and in what points she should endeavour to place the glories of her reign,—says, “First and foremost, I think the new queen should bend her mind to the very serious consideration of educating the people. Of the importance of this I think no reasonable doubt can exist. It does not in its effects keep pace with the exaggerated expectations of its injudicious advocates, but it presents the best chance of national improvement.”

A quarter of a century is past since these words were uttered. The necessity that was first and foremost in the thought of the sagacious divine, and the especial application of his words, can only be adequately measured, and properly understood, by referring to the fact that up to the period of the accession of queen Victoria the government had scarcely considered it a part of its duty to interfere with the course of private benevolence in rendering assistance to the general education of the people. In 1834, however, lord Althorp, then Chancellor of the Exchequer, had proposed a vote of 20,000*l.* for assisting in building schoolhouses. This sum was to be placed at the disposal of the Treasury; but the government was to take the recommendations of the National Society and of the British or Lancasterian Society, in its appropriation. Grants of nearly similar amount were made by Parliament in 1835, 1836, and 1837. During those four years a sum, double to that contributed by Parliament, had been supplied by the private funds of individuals towards the erection of schools. The same private funds, in addition to the old endowed charities, had been deemed sufficient to carry on the great work of rescuing the people out of the thick darkness in which too many of them were groping their way through life. In February, 1839, the government first constituted a Board of Education, consisting of five Privy Councillors, with the President of the Council as the head of the Board. It was proposed that the distribution of the votes of Parliament for the promotion of education should be confided to this Board, which was especially charged with the formation of Normal Schools. In June of that year a vote of 30,000*l.* was proposed by the government, and was only carried by a majority of two in the House of Commons. After a debate of three days, two hundred and seventy-three members voted an amendment to the ministerial proposition, praying the Queen to revoke the Order in Council by which the Board of Education had been appointed. An address

of the same character was carried by a large majority in the House of Lords. But the government, with a firmness for which every poor child born in the reign of Queen Victoria has cause to be grateful, persevered in its plan.

We have thus anticipated the regular course of our notice of parliamentary proceedings that we may proceed to the last, but not the least important, point of our estimate of the social condition of the great body of the people at the accession of the Queen. In the debate of June, 1839, Mr. Wyse, one of the lords of the Treasury, who, as Member for Waterford, had during several sessions laboured with unwearied diligence to rouse the House of Commons to sanction even the faintest beginnings of a national system of education, boldly maintained that, instead of standing the highest in rank in point of civilization, this country might be regarded as being almost the lowest in comparison with other European nations, in the general diffusion of knowledge amongst the people. He instanced the evils which were constantly experienced of want of education in the elements of science, in matters of every-day life, and in the general pursuits of industry. The farmers were almost wholly ignorant of agriculture as a science; the greater number of them kept indifferent accounts, and many of them none. They were jealous of their labourers, discouraged the education of their children, and made no attempts to improve their social condition. In the towns the gross ignorance of all sanitary arrangements prevented any desire for the improvement of the condition of the lower classes being made available. The people, whether of town or country, whether in the wynds of Glasgow or the cultivated fields of Norfolk, were equally incapacitated by the want of education from rising above the misery and degradation of their social condition. Calculating that there were three million of children in England to be supplied with instruction, half of whom were left in a state of complete ignorance, he maintained that through the effects of this ignorance there were large masses of the population either actually in the commission of crime, or preparing for it. Most truly did he say that the inattention of the upper classes led to the dislocation of the lower classes from them, and they were thus often induced to adopt Chartism and infidelity. The religious education as given in this country was not sufficient.* As an especial illustration of this remark Mr. Wyse referred to an extraordinary occurrence in 1838, when a band of fanatics near Canterbury manifested an amount of ignorance which appeared rather to belong to the superstitions of barbarous countries than

* Hansard, vol. xlviii. cols. 529 to 538.

to the England of the 19th century. We reserve the relation of this outbreak of the grossest ignorance for our next chapter.

Popular education had been making progress in England since 1818.* Taking the Public and Private Schools, it appears as to Day Schools that while in 1818 there was a scholar for every 1725 persons, in 1833 there was a scholar for every 11.27 persons. As to Sunday Schools, it appears that while in 1818 there was one Sunday scholar for every 24.40 persons, in 1833 there was one scholar for every 9.28 persons. The Day scholars in 1833 had more than doubled those of 1818; the Sunday scholars were three times as many. Between 1811 and 1841 there had been above thirteen thousand schools established, of which five thousand four hundred were Public Schools, and eight thousand seven hundred Private Schools.† The increase of Public Schools in the period between 1831 and 1841 had been equal to the total increase in the three decennial periods from 1801 to 1831. The increase from 1831 to 1841, in the numbers of schools where the children of the Labouring Classes could be taught in the schools to which the State had begun to lend a grudging assistance, had slowly proceeded, amidst the disputes of the advocates and the opponents of popular education in the abstract, and of Churchmen and Dissenters, who, essentially differing as to the religious elements in the instruction of the poor, resisted the interference of the State at all. The increase of schools eight or ten years before the accession of the Queen had produced very little visible effect upon those growing into young men and women. In 1838, upon a comparison of statistical returns in some agricultural and manufacturing districts, the conclusion was come to that, speaking roughly, it might be safely asserted that less than one-half of the adult population of England could write, and that less than three-fourths could read.‡

If we were to attempt to pass from the condition of the poor and lowly to any minute view of the condition of the rich and powerful, we should necessarily be in the region of vague generalities instead of having our course marked out by trustworthy statistics. We must therefore conclude this imperfect notice of the social condition of the kingdom by a few remarks, having reference to all classes, derived from the observations of others rather than from our own impressions.

An Englishman whom circumstances had compelled to relinquish the fashionable society of the Regency for a residence in Paris, returns to London in 1841. He finds the great capital very

* See *ante*, p. 19.

† See Education Report, Census 1851, pp. xix. xx.

‡ "Penny Magazine," vol. vii. p. 324.

much altered, and in some respects, such as the buildings and parks, considerably improved. The change of society, he says, has become very apparent within the last few years. "It was called, and perhaps justly, in my time, dissipated; but the leaders were men of sense and talent, with polished manners, and generally high-minded feelings."* One of the most distinguished of Frenchmen comes as ambassador to England in 1840, and regarding with a philosophical intelligence both the great and the humble, he thus contrasts the past with the present. Looking back to the end of the eighteenth century, he says that there were at that time, even in the elevated classes of English society, many remains of gross and disorderly manners. Precisely because England had been for centuries a country of liberty, the most opposite results of that liberty had been developed in startling contrasts. A puritan severity was maintained side by side with the corruption of the courts of Charles II. and the first Georges; habits almost barbarous kept their hold in the midst of the progress of civilization; the splendour of power and of riches had not banished from the higher social regions the excesses of a vulgar intemperance; even the elevation of ideas and the supremacy of talent did not always carry with them delicacy of taste, for the Sheridan who had been electrifying Parliament by his eloquence might the same night have been picked up drunk in the streets. This picture of M. Guizot is not an overcoloured one. The union of dissipation with sense and talent and high-minded feelings was not absolutely necessary to the existence of the better qualities. M. Guizot goes on to say, "It is in our time that these shocking incongruities in the state of manners in England have vanished, and that English society has become as polished as it is free—where gross habits are constrained to be hidden or to be reformed—and where civilization is day by day showing itself more general and harmonious." Two conditions of progress, he continues, which rarely go together, have been developed and attained during half a century in England: the laws of morality have been strengthened, and manners have at the same time become softer, less inclined to violent excesses, more elegant. "Steam," says Mr. Raikes, "has here dissolved the exclusive system, and seems to have substituted the love of wealth for both the love of amusement and of social distinction." There is some truth in this; but the moralist will have no difficulty in making his choice between a profligate exclusive society, and one less brilliant, perhaps less educated, where wealth and learning and refinement are more diffused. Mr. Raikes

* Raikes's "Diary," vol. iv. p. 181.

tells us of Gaming Clubs, the members of which died early, often by their own hands; and how those who survived often looked back to the life at the fashionable Club as the source of their embarrassments.* He tells us of frequent duels, which formerly ended more fatally than when he returned to England. Duelling was not banished then; it was not ridiculed and despised, as it is now. As long as manners were "gross and disorderly," duelling was inevitable; it was almost necessary. The eminent writer and statesman, whose testimony to the social condition of England we are glad to quote, says that the double progress of a stricter morality and a refinement of manners was not confined to the higher and middle classes, but was very apparent amongst the bulk of the people. "The domestic life, laborious and regular, extends its empire over these classes. They comprehend, they seek, they enjoy more honest and more delicate pleasures than brutal quarrels or drunkenness. The amelioration is certainly very incomplete. Gross passions and disorderly habits are always fermenting in the bosom of obscure and idle misery; and in London, Manchester, or Glasgow there are ample materials for the most hideous descriptions. But take it all in all, civilization and liberty have in England, during the course of the nineteenth century, turned to the profit of good rather than of evil. Religious faith, Christian charity, philanthropic benevolence, the intelligent and indefatigable activity of the higher classes, and good sense spread amongst all classes, have battled, and now battle effectually, against the vices of society and the evil inclinations of human nature. When one has lived some time in England one feels to be in an atmosphere cold but healthy, where the moral and social health is stronger than the moral and social maladies, although these abound there." † Such impressions of English society are written under date of 1840. The sentence, "when one has lived some time in England," seems to carry us to the time when M. Guizot found a home amongst us. But, at any rate, in 1840 that change was beginning, which it is one of the greatest glories of the reign to have seen steadily advancing—a better understanding between rich and poor, high and lowly, of their relative duties,—something far safer and happier than arrogant assumption or haughty indifference,—than envious discontent or grovelling servility—in their habitual intercourse.

* "Diary," vol. iii. p. 85.

† Guizot—"Mémoires pour servir à l'histoire de mon temps," tome v. 1862.

CHAPTER XI.

Separation of Hanover from Great Britain.—Departure of the King of Hanover.—The Queen meets the Parliament.—Dissolution.—Ministry at the Queen's Accession.—The New Parliament.—Canada.—Insurrection in Canada.—Lord Durham appointed Lord High Commissioner.—Resigns his office.—His Report.—Coronation of the Queen.—Prorogation.—Review of the Session.—Canterbury Fanatics.—Career of John Thom.—Proclaims himself the Messiah at Boughton.—The Three Days' March of his deluded followers.—Neglect of Education in the District.—The Tragedy of Bosenden Wood.—Meeting of Parliament.—Chartism.—Condition of the Labouring Classes.—Jamaica.—Resignation of the Ministry.—Failure in the attempt to form a New Administration.—The Bedchamber Question.—Disloyal Tories.—Chartist Riots.—Insurrection at Newport.—John Frost and others tried for High Treason.

FROM the hour that the Crown of these kingdoms devolved upon Queen Victoria, dates a change which was a real blessing in the relations of the Sovereign to the Continent of Europe. Hanover was at that instant wholly separated from Great Britain. By the law of that country a female could not reign except in default of heirs male in the Royal family. But in addition to the great advantage of separating the policy of England wholly from the intrigues and complications of a petty German State, it was an immediate happiness that the most hated and in some respects the most dangerous man in these islands was removed to a sphere where his political system might be worked out with less danger to the good of society than amongst a people where his influence was associated with the grossest follies of Toryism and the darkest designs of Orangeism. On the 24th of June the duke of Cumberland, now become Ernest Augustus, King of Hanover, left London. On the 28th he made a solemn entrance into the capital of his states, and at once exhibited to his new subjects his character and disposition by refusing to receive a deputation of the Chambers, who came to offer him their homage and their congratulations. By a proclamation of the 5th of July he announced his intention to abolish the representative constitution, which he had previously refused to recognize by the customary oath. We shall have little further occasion to notice the course of this worst disciple of the old school of intolerance and irresponsible government, and we may therefore at once state that he succeeded in depriving Hanover of the forms of freedom under which she had begun to live;

ejected from their offices and banished some of the ablest professors of the University of Göttingen, who had ventured to think that letters would flourish best in a free soil; and reached the height of his ambition in becoming the representative of whatever in sovereign power was most repugnant to the spirit of the age.

The funeral of William the Fourth had taken place at Windsor on the 9th of July. On the 17th the Queen went in state to Parliament. The chronicles of the time are eloquent in their descriptions of the enthusiasm with which her Majesty was received, and of the extraordinary concourse of ladies of rank in the House of Lords to do honour to the rare occasion of the presence there of the third female sovereign who had thus met the Lords and Commons assembled in Parliament. In the speech from the throne her Majesty stated that amongst the useful measures which Parliament had brought to maturity she regarded with peculiar interest the amendment of the Criminal Code and the reduction of the number of capital punishments. She hailed this mitigation of the severity of the law as an auspicious commencement of her reign. "It will be my care," she said, "to strengthen our institutions, civil and ecclesiastical, by discreet improvement wherever improvement is required, and to do all in my power to compose and allay animosity and discord." These were not words of course. They were the key-note of that harmony which, during the progress of a quarter of a century, has superseded in a great degree the harsh discords which had too long distinguished the contests of parties and of principles. The Parliament was prorogued and was dissolved the same evening.

The ministry which Queen Victoria found at her accession* was one whose general character was in harmony with the opinions in which she had been educated. Viscount Melbourne, the First Lord of the Treasury, was at her hand to guide and assist her in the discharge of the technical business of her great office. The daily duties of the Sovereign are of no light nature. Many of the complicated details of the various departments of the State must pass under the eye of the Constitutional Monarch for approval, and a vast number of documents can only receive their validity from the signature of the Sovereign. During the elections, which were over early in August, the party contests assumed a tone not entirely constitutional; for the adherents of the ministry alleged to their constituents that in supporting them they were exhibiting their loyalty to the Queen, whilst the adverse party main-

* See List, p. 203.

tained that her Majesty had only passively adopted that administration of her uncle which she found established. But amidst these fluctuating demonstrations of political management there was one feeling predominant, which was certainly favourable to the duration of the ministry—that of a deep and growing attachment to the person of the young Sovereign. Never was there a more enthusiastic demonstration of popular feeling than when, on the 9th of November, the Queen went in state to the City to dine with the Lord Mayor at Guildhall. In less than a fortnight after the gorgeous banquet and the universal excitement of a general holiday in London, the real business commenced which was to test the position of the Government. On the 15th of November the new Parliament met. Mr. Abercromby was re-elected speaker without opposition. On the 20th the Queen in person opened the new Parliament. The most important paragraph in her Majesty's speech was—"I recommend to your serious consideration the state of the province of Lower Canada." At the opening of the last Parliament of king William the Fourth a similar expression of solicitude as to the condition of Canada is found in the king's speech. We shall endeavour to trace as briefly as possible how the discontents which existed at the beginning of 1837 broke out into open insurrection at the end of that year, and how they were finally allayed.

In the House of Commons on the 6th of March, 1837, lord John Russell brought forward a series of resolutions which declared that since the 31st of October, 1832, no provision had been made by the legislature of the province of Lower Canada for defraying the charges of the administration of justice, and for the support of the civil government within the province; that for four years the payments in arrear had amounted to a large sum which the House of Assembly, in answer to a recommendation of the Governor, declined to discharge by a vote of supply, declaring, amongst other things, that it persisted in the demand of an elective legislative council, and a free exercise of its control over all the branches of the executive government. The resolutions of lord John Russell expressed the refusal of the British Legislature to accede to these demands, although it was held expedient to adopt certain improvements in the legislative council and in the executive council. These resolutions were passed by large majorities in both Houses. The promulgation in Canada of the determination of the British Government was a signal for revolt. On the 22nd of December the Government announced in both Houses of Parliament that there was an open insurrection and rebellion in

Lower Canada, and it was in consequence proposed that the adjournment for Christmas should be for a shorter period than originally intended. The debate on this occasion shows how completely it had become a creed of those who held strong democratic opinions that the dominion of England in America should at once be brought to a conclusion. "Great," said sir William Molesworth, "would be the advantages of an amicable separation of the two countries." The civil war which sir William Molesworth considered almost inevitable, would, he believed, involve this country in a contest with the United States of America. A passage in the philosophical baronet's speech is worth considering, although a quarter of a century has passed over since its utterance. "How powerful soever the central government of the United States may be when it goes along with the wishes of the people, it is most feeble when the people are opposed or indifferent to its commands, or when one or more of the sovereign states are desirous of evading its decrees. It is exactly in a case like this that the central government of that republic is weakest; for how anxious soever it may be, from general views of policy, to prevent all interference in the affairs of Canada—all hostilities with this country—yet its people will see in this struggle but a repetition of their own glorious struggle for independence; they will behold in the conduct of England toward Canada the sequel of those despotic and unjust principles which a little more than half a century ago caused them to shake off our yoke." * The ultimate consequence of this temporary estrangement of the popular party in Canada from the Imperial government was the establishment of a better system of policy. This change had been strenuously advocated by colonial reformers, who contended that all which was needed to preserve our colonies was "a clear demarcation of their rights from ours, a full exemption from all control in the matters which solely regarded them, with a supervision only on the part of the empire in matters strictly of imperial concernment." This view, it is added, "may be said to have rendered Canada loyal." †

Between the adjournment and the re-assembling of Parliament, important events had taken place in Canada. On the 14th of December the rebels were defeated at St. Eustace, their leaders saving themselves by flight. On the 29th of December the United States' steamboat "Caroline," which was laden with arms and ammunition for the insurgents, was attacked and burnt on the territories of the United States. This act was committed under the

* Hansard, vol. xxxix. col. 1465.

† "Secularia," by Samuel Lucas, M.A., p. 265.

orders of the governor, sir Francis Head. It produced a long controversy between the two governments, and was justified by the necessity of defending the British territory, which had been assaulted from the American side. The President of the United States subsequently forbade the attacks of the citizens of the republic on neighbouring states. On the 5th of January, 1838, the insurgents, headed by Dr. Mackenzie, surrounded Toronto, but were repulsed by sir Francis Head. Such was the course of the insurrection, when, on the 22nd of January, in the House of Commons, lord John Russell moved for leave to bring in a bill, by which, for a certain time, the calling of an assembly in Lower Canada, which was a duty incumbent on the governor for the time being, might be suspended, and authority be given to meet the present emergency, and to provide for the future government of the province. He thought with respect to the principal question on which the alleged grievances of the Canadians were based, a satisfactory adjustment might, in the course of time, be arrived at. He proposed that a high functionary should be sent out, who should be conversant with matters of administration, with the most important affairs which are from time to time brought before Parliament, as well as with the affairs of the various states of Europe, and moreover that it should be implied by his nomination that he was favourable to popular feelings and popular rights. He then announced that her Majesty had been pleased to entrust the conduct of this affair, with the high powers implied in the appointment, to the earl of Durham, who had accepted the office. Lord Durham, in his place in the House of Lords, stated that he did not go to Canada for the purpose of suspending the constitution, but in the endeavour to provide for the extraordinary state of circumstances produced by the rebellious part of the Canadian community, that would render it impossible for the constitution to continue in operation. "I go," he said, "not for the purpose of exercising that power, that species of discreditable power, as the noble and learned lord [Brougham] calls it, which is to be vested in me; but in the first place to restore, I trust, the supremacy of the law, and next, to be the humble instrument of conferring upon the British North American provinces such a free and liberal constitution as shall place them on the same scale of independence as the rest of the possessions of Great Britain." *

Lord Durham landed at Quebec on the 29th of May, 1838. The history of the administration of Canada by her Majesty's High Commissioner furnishes a remarkable proof of how great and

* "Hansard," vol. xi. col. 243.

salutary changes may be effected in the government of a great dependency, by the union of firmness and conciliation, and by the application of liberal political principles in the place of a continued system of coercion and tutelage. Lord Durham had at his side an adviser, a person of eminent ability and with large views of statesmanship—Mr. Charles Buller. All went well for a few months. The rebellion was dying out. The French population had given up their notion of being supported by the borderers of the United States, and were conciliated by seeing that no difference was to be made between British and French subjects. A general amnesty was published, and measures were taken for inquiring into the feasibility of a plan for constituting a Federal Union of the British North American provinces. The measure which lord Durham pursued with regard to the disposal of the rebel prisoners was successful in the colony, but obnoxious to many of influence in the legislature of Great Britain. By an ordinance of the 28th of June it was declared that eight rebel leaders in the jail of Montreal who had acknowledged their guilt should be transported to Bermuda; that sixteen other rebel leaders who were named had fled, and that if any of either class should return without permission, and be found in the province, they should suffer death. On the 7th of August lord Brougham made a strong attack upon what he described as the “appalling fact of fourteen persons, and M. Papi-neau making fifteen, being adjudged to suffer death, if they appeared in Canada, not one of those individuals having been previously tried. Such a proceeding was contrary to every principle of justice, and was opposed to the genius and spirit of English law, which humanely supposed every accused party to be innocent until he was proved, to be guilty.”* On the 10th of August lord Melbourne announced that her Majesty’s Government had counselled the disallowance of the ordinance. When lord Durham received the news of this censure of his administration he resolved to resign his great office; and on the 9th of October he issued a proclamation, according to the terms of the Indemnity Act which lord Brougham had proposed and carried, which proclamation was a humiliating abrogation of the ordinance of the 28th of June. Lord Durham at the same time published a document in which he justified the policy pursued by him since his arrival in Canada, and announced his determination of resigning his government. He did not wait to obtain leave for his return. This was a grave error. He arrived home in a state of wretched health, produced by the irritation of a proud and sensitive nature working upon a feeble con-

* “Hansard,” vol. xliv. col. 1022.

stitution. The censure implied in the abrogation of the ordinance of lord Durham was a severe measure towards a man whose great hope was to have secured the allegiance and prosperity of Canada, by a system of high policy which was utterly opposed to the old methods of colonial government. Great lawyers at home differed as to the legality of the course which he pursued. The eloquence of lord Brougham in denouncing it as an illegal act of despotic authority met with supporters amongst those who hated lord Durham's liberal policy. He came home a broken-hearted man; and his career, which might otherwise have been so glorious, terminated in his death in 1840, but not without the noble satisfaction he derived from establishing his policy in his counsels to his successor, Mr. Poulett Thompson. During the voyage home his Report on the affairs of British North America, which is attributed to the pen of his friend Mr. Charles Buller, was in great part prepared. It is a most able view, not only of the particular circumstances of the provinces which were placed under lord Durham's government, but generally of colonial administration. A passage in the conclusion of this Report is now of higher interest than the occurrences to which it had a special reference. "The experiment of keeping colonies and governing them well ought at least to have a trial, ere we abandon for ever the vast dominion which might supply the wants of our surplus population, and raise up millions of fresh consumers of our manufactures and producers of a supply for our wants. The warmest admirers, and the strongest opponents of republican institutions, admit or assert that the amazing prosperity of the United States is less owing to their form of government, than to the unlimited supply of fertile land, which maintains succeeding generations in an undiminishing affluence of fertile soil. A region as large and as fertile is open to your Majesty's subjects in your Majesty's American dominions." At that time emigration from the United Kingdom was upon a very limited scale. The vast resources of Australia were yet undeveloped. In 1837 the emigrants to the North American colonies were about 30,000, and to the United States 36,000; to the Australian colonies and New Zealand the number was only 5,000. In 1838 the emigration to America was reduced to one-fourth of the amount of the previous year, whilst that to Australia was trebled. From 1815 to 1838 the annual average of emigrants to all places during the 24 years was 38,376. From 1839 to 1852, when what is termed the Irish Exodus was in full operation, and the gold discoveries had been made in Australia, the annual average during the 14 years was 181,612. The

realization of the great triumph of science in accomplishing the passage by steam power alone across the Atlantic offered new facilities for emigration. The first vessels which solved this problem were the "Sirius" and the "Great Western;" the one having accomplished the voyage to New York in twenty days, the other in sixteen days. We may conclude this rapid notice of the affairs of our American colonies by stating that, after a series of defeats in November, especially one on the 17th near Prescott in Upper Canada, the insurrection was wholly suppressed. In 1840 an Act was passed for the union of the provinces of Upper and Lower Canada as one province, under one Legislative Council and one Assembly.

The coronation of the Queen at Westminster Abbey took place on the 28th of June. The splendours which had attended the coronation of George the Fourth were to some extent dispensed with. There was no solemn procession of the Estates of the Realm. There was no banquet in Westminster Hall, with its accompanying feudal services. But there was a gorgeous cavalcade which more than realized the pomp of ancient times, when the king came "from the Tower of London to his Palace at Westminster through the midst of the City, mounted on a horse, handsomely habited, and bare-headed, in the sight of all the people." Charles the Second was the last king who thus went to his coronation in procession from the Tower. Queen Victoria went from Buckingham Palace through the line of streets from Hyde Park Corner, where the houses were not hung with tapestry, as of old, but where galleries and scaffolding were raised throughout the line, and the windows were filled with ladies whose enthusiasm was as hearty as that to which Elizabeth bowed. Never were the streets more crowded. Never were the cheers of an enormous multitude—swelled, it is said, by two hundred thousand persons from the country—more deafening than when the Queen passed along; the last of a cavalcade in which, next to herself, the persons most greeted by the popular voice were the Duke of Wellington and his old opponent Marshal Soult, who came as a Special Ambassador on this occasion. The day was remarkable, not only for the entire absence of accidents, but for the wonderful forbearance of that class who are most usually active on public occasions; there being only seven persons brought to the police stations for picking pockets. The day was observed throughout the kingdom as a general holiday; with public dinners, feasts to the poor, and brilliant illuminations. On this occasion, when the attachment of the people to their young queen was so universally manifested, some

might recollect an eloquent passage of a speech made by lord Brougham in the House of Lords six months before, in which he qualified his opposition to some of the details of the Civil List: "Not any one among you all can rejoice more sincerely than I have done in the enthusiasm of affection which has burst from all her subjects, to greet the accession of the reigning Monarch. They have generously let expectation usurp the place of gratitude. They have taken counsel with hope, rather than experience. For as memory scatters her sweets with a cold and churlish hand, it has been found more pleasing to array the object of the general love in the attire of fancy; and as fervent a devotion has been kindled towards the yet untried ruler, as could have glowed in her people's bosom after the longest and most glorious reign, in which she should have only lived and only governed for the country's good; by some chronic miracle escaping all error and all failure, and only showering down blessings upon mankind. I heartily rejoice in this enthusiasm, and I do not complain of it as premature. I rejoice in it because it must prove delightful to the royal object of it. I rejoice still more because I know that it will stimulate the queen to live for her country, in order to earn the affections which have already been bestowed, and justify the opinion which has been formed, and is so fondly cherished upon trust. But most chiefly do I rejoice, because it extinguishes for ever all apprehensions of the English people's loyalty and trustworthiness; puts to shame all who would represent them as disaffected towards monarchical institutions; demonstrates the safety of entrusting them with an ample measure of political rights; and teaches to statesmen this great practical lesson, that the more we extirpate abuse from our system, the more searching we make our reforms, the more we endear the Constitution to the people by making them feel its benefits—the safer will be the just rights of the monarch who is its head, and the stronger will be the allegiance of the subject who cheerfully obeys." *

Parliament was prorogued by the Queen in person on the 16th of August. The chief measures which had occupied the discussions in both Houses were the settlement of the Civil List and the state of Canada. Other measures, which provoked less conflict, were not less important. In his review of the measures of the Session the Speaker emphatically dwelt upon the provision made for the destitute in Ireland. He said that no measure like the introduction of a Poor Law into a country circumstanced as Ireland

* "Speeches of Henry lord Brougham," vol. iv. p. 337.

is with respect to the number and condition of its population, could be proposed without incurring heavy responsibility; but that looking at what had been done on this subject by former parliaments with respect to England, they had thought that the time was come when they might legislate for Ireland with safety and with a reasonable prospect of success. The Irish Poor-Law Statute was in great degree founded upon three comprehensive Reports of Mr. Nicholls. The Speaker expressed a hope that the execution of that most important law would be watched over and guided by the same prudent and impartial spirit which governed the deliberations which led to its enactment. It was felt by every one conversant with the subject, that no better prospect could be afforded of the probable realization of this hope than the immediate determination of the Government that Mr. Nicholls should proceed to Ireland for the purpose of carrying the new law into operation, which he had, in great part, planned, basing it upon the most searching inquiry and the most careful consideration. Amongst the other important measures of the Session were a mitigation of the law of imprisonment for debt; the abridgment of the power of holding benefices in plurality; and the abolition of composition for tithes in Ireland, substituting rent-charges payable by those who had a perpetual interest in the land. In his Address to her Majesty the Speaker adverted to "the improving opinions and increasing knowledge of the educated classes of the community." He probably considered that the time was distant when there would be "improving opinions and increasing knowledge" amongst the bulk of the population who could scarcely be recognized as "educated classes." A circumstance which occurred during this Session furnished a sufficient proof of the necessity for exertion before the labours of Parliament to maintain respect for our laws and institutions by salutary amendments should be generally appreciated.

A month before the coronation of the Queen, that manifestation of popular ignorance took place in Kent, to which we alluded in our last chapter. The Reports of the parliamentary discussions upon the subject at the beginning of June in that year, are under the head of "Canterbury Fanatics." The debates to which these occurrences gave rise were little more than personal and party squabbles; except in the argument of Mr. Hume that such an exhibition of brutal ignorance, in a district within fifty miles of London, where the people were surrounded by country gentlemen of great wealth, and where there was a large body of clergy, presented an occasion for endeavouring to arouse the government to the absolute necessity of a comprehensive system of education for the

people.* Little as the attention of parliament was aroused by the proceedings of a band of Kentish fanatics, the notice of foreign countries was painfully directed towards this contradiction of our boasted advance in civilization as an event almost inconceivable in the Nineteenth Century.†

About the beginning of the year 1832 a stranger made his appearance at Canterbury who attracted a good deal of notice by his commanding figure and handsome features. He represented himself as a Jew, which character was in some degree supported by his ample beard at a time when such an ornament was not common amongst Englishmen. He gradually put forth pretensions of superior sanctity, and mysteriously intimated that he had a mission to fulfil of no common nature. Devotees soon surrounded him, not wholly of the lowest and most ignorant class, but comprising a few having wealth and respectable position. Parliament was prorogued in August of that year, after the passing of the Reform Bill, and the electors of Canterbury began to look out for proper persons to represent their opinions, whether Reforming or Conservative. The handsome Jew had then become sir William Courtenay, the expectant heir of great estates, and a Knight of Malta. There were two candidates for the suffrages of the citizens in the ministerial interest—the Hon. Richard Watson and viscount Fordwich. Two Conservative Baronets came forward to oppose them, but quickly withdrew. No other candidate of known character appearing in the field, a knot of citizens calling themselves Conservatives put forward sir William Courtenay. He came on the hustings in a velvet coat, “like a mountebank,” said some irreverent newspapers. He was proposed and seconded at the election by two Conservative tradesmen; members of the liberal professions, and even a clergyman of the Church of England, all of Conservative politics, voted for him; ‡ and the adventurer, who was manifestly an impostor or a madman, actually obtained three hundred and seventy-eight votes. Such was the indiscriminating violence of party feelings at the crisis of our great Constitutional Reform. Defeated in the object of his ambition he retired to Herne Bay, which was then more distinguished as a resort of smugglers than as a fashionable watering-place. In some affray

* Hansard, vol. xliii. col. 547.

† See “*Annuaire Historique*” for 1838, p. 487.—This most extraordinary episode in the history of the first year of the reign of Queen Victoria appearing to us as something more important than a mere outbreak of local fanaticism, we thought it our duty to ascertain by personal inquiry if any elucidation of these events could be obtained from witnesses still living in the district where they occurred.

‡ Hansard, vol. xliii. cols. 1108, 1112.

between the coastguard and a band of these free-traders, sir William Courtenay came forward as a witness ; subjected himself by his evidence to an indictment for perjury ; and having been convicted under his proper name of John Nicholls Thom was sentenced to a term of imprisonment. He underwent some portion of the penalty of the law until his extravagances rendered it necessary to transfer his safe keeping from the County Prison to the County Lunatic Asylum. In the fourth year of Thom's confinement, namely, in August, 1837, his father and mother-in-law presented themselves to lord John Russell, the Home Secretary, who was residing at Endsleigh in Devonshire, to express their deep distress at the continued confinement of their son ; stating that they had been told by his keepers that there was no danger likely to arise from his liberation ; promising that the utmost care should be taken of him by themselves ; and affirming that he had sufficient property to pay for any expense attending upon such care.* Upon these assurances lord John Russell, in his official capacity took measures for obtaining Thom's release ; and having recommended that a pardon should be granted in the case of the prisoner, which was equivalent to an order for his discharge, he was taken away from the asylum in October by some person not accustomed to the treatment of lunatics. The visiting justices had not opposed this discharge. During the winter of 1837, and the spring of 1838, the unfortunate man, who had been described by the medical superintendent of the asylum simply as a lunatic fancying himself a knight, and having a right to an estate and to a castle, was living in farm-houses and cottages in a rural district between Canterbury and Faversham, and there acquired a most extraordinary influence over the deluded people amongst whom he dwelt. From the subsequent parliamentary proceedings connected with this case, it appeared that Thom had been engaged in extensive mercantile transactions in Cornwall ; had left Truro for Liverpool in May, 1832, for the purpose of selling a quantity of malt ; and after having written a sane and affectionate letter to his wife, had never returned to his home with the money derived from this sale, and had passed entirely out of the notice of his family whilst he was pursuing the high destinies of sir William Courtenay.

On the pleasant high road to London, about six miles from Canterbury, is the village of Boughton-under-Blean. On the right of the road before reaching Boughton is an extensive wood called Bosenden. The parish of Herne Hill on the north adjoins Boughton, as does Dunkirkville, a populous non-parochial place, on the

* Lord John Russell's Statement, Hansard, vol. xliii. col. 1099.

south. Boughton Church is a mile from the village. The hamlets of Goodnestone, Graveney, and Selling lie at short distances around. No district can present a more thoroughly English character of quiet beauty. Broad meadows, rich corn and clover lands, hawthorn hedges bursting into blossom, scattered cottages with pretty gardens, farmhouses with apple and cherry orchards—the scene altogether, such as we beheld it on a morning of May, seemed of all others the most ill-suited for such a tragedy as had been here witnessed on a similar May Morning. The winter of 1837–8 was one of extraordinary severity. The spring was cold and raw. The price of wheat was steadily rising. The labourers were suffering through the inclement season, and both farmers and labourers were complaining of the operation of the new Poor Law which compelled the employer to pay wages to his labourers instead of sending them to the poor-rates, and which refused relief to the able-bodied except under the workhouse test. Sir William Courtenay, as he was still called, went about amongst the discontented of both classes, to proclaim himself a great social Reformer. He told the labourers that all laws were made for their oppression, and that their greatest enemy was the new Poor Law. He told the farmers that they were oppressed by their landlords, and that the time would come when they should live upon the land without paying rent. On such matters his harangues on the village-green, or around the blacksmith's shop, were not essentially different from what Mr. Fielden the member for Oldham, and his friends Mr. Feargus O'Connor and the Rev. J. R. Stephens were telling the people at Manchester at this very time, calling upon them to shed the last drop of their blood rather than submit to that law of devils which had filled the country with Poor Law Bastiles, which were intended to be a chain of barracks to be garrisoned by the military and by Russell's Rural Police.* But Courtenay had far more powerful means of arousing the ignorant than those possessed by the common demagogues who afflicted the land in the first decade of the reign of Victoria. He told them that not only was his worldly rank so great that he was to sit on her Majesty's right hand on the approaching day of the coronation, but that he was really the Messiah; that no harm could befall him whatever force came forth against him; that if he anointed them with oil and gave them the sacrament no bullet could touch them; but that if they disobeyed his commands he should rain fire and brimstone upon them and then call them to judgment. At the entrance of Boughton village there is a little public-house, the Woodman's Hall. In

* "Spectator," June 9, 1838.

a room of that house the frantic impostor used to perform his impious rites. The old landlord, with whom we conversed, did not like to acknowledge his share in this fanaticism, but he was not unwilling to speak of the tall and stout man whom he had heard preach of a Sunday afternoon—"Oh! he was a fine man, and talked so grand." It was Monday morning the 28th of May when the landlord of the Woodman's Hall saw Courtenay coming over the fields from Fairbrook, a yeoman's house where he was harboured. There were many people with him whom he compelled to go on, as he threatened to shoot them if they hung back, for he had two pistols in his hand. Away they went, and four days after the old publican had heard him preach that he was the Messiah, he saw him lying dead in Bosenden Wood with many others who fell with him. "Ah; it was a sad job."

At the head of a large troop of willing or terrified followers, about a hundred in number, Courtenay sallied forth from Boughton on that morning of the 28th of May, with a flag of white and blue and the device of a rampant lion. A broken loaf was also carried upon a pole. They marched by one of the petty byroads to Goodnestone near Faversham. Here some of the multitude attempted the old and ready process of redressing their wrongs by putting a lighted match to a bean-stack; but lo, it would not burn; and this was a miracle wrought by the power of him they worshipped. To Herne Hill they next proceeded; where Courtenay required that his people should be fed, and without delay their wants were supplied. Sometimes praying with the fanatics on their knees,—occasionally performing some piece of imposture, such as firing at a star to bring it down,—he led them into Bosenden Wood. The night was coming on, and before the people dispersed he said they should have music from heaven to attest his mission. There was method in his madness, for there was a confederate up a tree with a flute. Supper was given them at a farmhouse, and they slept in the barns. An intelligent tradesman of middle age who lives in Boughton parish, on the road to Herne Hill, described to us the movements of Courtenay and his followers on that day. He knew most of those who believed in him and were amongst his train. They were not all ignorant labourers. There were small farmers amongst them, with some of whom Courtenay had been living, going about from house to house. They not only had confidence in his divine mission, but were assured that every man should have an estate in land, and should enjoy plenty, as well as eternal happiness. Many of the more decent people who followed him were at the present time ashamed to

speak of it. There was one who was now an office-bearer in a Wesleyan congregation who would speak frankly of the circumstances, and acknowledge the folly of which he had been guilty. But the greater number of the rabble were horribly ignorant. They could not well be otherwise. At Dunkirk, with a large population, there was then no church and no clergyman. There were no National or Lancastrian schools for the children in any of the villages. The people were utterly neglected by their rich and educated neighbours. The Wesleyans had their meetings in cottages, but they had then no chapel. The statements of this sensible tailor of Boughton were perfectly in accordance with the details of the education of this district presented by Mr. Wyse in parliament in 1839. Out of fifty-one families at Herne Hill there were forty-five persons above the age of fourteen, of which number only eleven could read and write. Forty-two out of a hundred and seventeen children were at school, but they learnt little, and those who had left school and could once read the New Testament could not do so now. The Sunday schools were inefficient, as the children could never be brought to connect what they learned with their practice in life. In the ville of Dunkirk there were a hundred and thirteen children, of whom only ten could read and write, thirteen could do so a little, and the remainder not at all. Of a hundred and nineteen children in Boughton, thirty-two attended the Sunday school, but only seven went to a school where writing was taught.* All with whom we conversed were strongly impressed with the belief that the occurrences which they deplored were wholly attributable to the neglect of education, and to the indifference of the superior classes to the welfare of their poorer neighbours. Out of evil comes good, said an honest wheelwright of Selling. After the outbreak the Dunkirk gentry built a church. The Boughton people were ashamed of what Dunkirk people did, and built a National school. Clergy and Dissenters bestirred themselves, and church, chapel, and schools in a few years rose up and flourished.

With the dawn of the 29th of May the fanatics were on their march from Bosenden Wood. Along the great road they tramped for ten miles till they reached Sittingbourne—along that road where the fields on each side looked like one garden to the foreigner who travelled from Dover—along that road which exhibited

* Hansard, vol. xlvi. col. 538. These statistics, quoted by Mr. Wyse, were furnished from a Report made to the Central Society of Education on the State of the Peasantry at Boughton, Herne Hill, and the Ville of Dunkirk, near Canterbury, by F. Liardet, Esq., published in 1838.

such beauty to the eyes of a sanguinary republican in 1792 that he exclaimed, "Oh, what a pity, what a pity, if they set about to revolutionize this fine country." * If the ignorant enthusiasts who followed an impious madman along that road in 1838 had gathered in any formidable numbers, there might have been scenes even worse than the days of terror in France,—scenes that would have revived the memories of the Anabaptists of Münster. John Thom and John Matthias present a remarkable parallel in their fates. The baker who made himself master of Münster, and calling himself its king, undertook with thirty followers to disperse a military force which came against him, perished with all around him at the first onset with regular soldiery. The factor of Truro, who styled himself king of Kent, stood up to do battle with a detachment of the 45th Foot regiment, who had seen a hundred fights, and he and eight of his wretched disciples fell at the first volley. The circumstances of the catastrophe may be shortly told. From Sittingbourne the rabble marched during two days through a circle of vilages on the south of the great road—Newnham, Eastling, Throwley, Sheldwich, Lees, Selling. The leader prayed and preached—promised and denounced—the peasants knelt—they obtained food at yeomen's houses—they gathered more and more in numbers—farm-labourers quitted their field-work—women threw down their hoes and left the beans unweeded. The third night closed upon this mad march as they once more entered Bosenden Wood, and lay down in barns or under the leafy canopies of that spring-time. On Thursday morning the 31st, three men appeared in the camp to search for a farmer's labourer who had been seduced from his employment to follow a man who promised that all should have plenty without work. One of these, a constable, bore a warrant to arrest the servant who had offended against the law. Thom took as effectual a method to resist intruders as did his great predecessor Cade when he hanged the clerk of Chatham—Thom instantly shot the constable. Drawing his sword, he hacked the body of his victim, and cried out to the people, "Now, am I not your Saviour?" Two of the fanatics then knelt at his feet. One of them, who still lives to repent his folly as he quickly repented it for a year in Maidstone gaol, was asked by Thom whether he would follow him in the body, or go home and follow him in heart; upon which he sprang to his feet and exclaimed, "Oh be joyful—the Saviour has accepted me—Go, go on—till I drop I'll follow thee." The murder of Mears, the constable, caused a messenger to be sent to Canterbury for mili-

* *Ante*, vol. vi. p. 522.

tary aid. A party of a hundred men immediately marched in two divisions. One division under major Armstrong proceeded into the centre of the thick wood, about a mile and a half from the road. Here a party of fifty or sixty men made their appearance, led on by one who answered the description of the person called Courtenay. Lieutenant Bennett, with his section of the soldiers, advanced towards these men, one of whom carried a white flag. The lieutenant and the leader of the fanatics each advanced till they were within two rods of each other. Courtenay commenced running; jumped over the trunk of a tree; there was a shot; and lieutenant Bennett fell. The soldiers screamed with horror; there was rush upon them with bludgeons by the frantic rabble, led on by Courtenay; another man was shot by them; the command was given to the soldiers to fire; Courtenay and eight others of the rioters were killed; several were severely wounded. Those who did not fly in time were apprehended, and were subsequently tried. Three were sentenced to death, which was commuted for transportation; and six others were imprisoned for a year. The wretched cause of this bloodshed and misery, at once madman and impostor, was buried in the churchyard of Herne Hill. Not a stone marks where he lies under the green turf. But years passed over before the peasants ceased to visit that grave, firmly believing his coming to life again would take place, although the promise he had given to the poor woman who lived in the farm in the wood that if he were killed, and she poured water on his face, he would assuredly revive, was not fulfilled.

Three hundred years before these events there was a display of fanaticism of a very different nature at Adlington, in Kent, not twenty miles from Bosenden Wood. Elizabeth Barton belonged to an age "when the pretensions to miraculous powers, which still lingered round the shrines of a thousand saints and martyrs, imposed to some extent upon the clearest understandings."* The statute, by which she and five others were attainted, states that she deluded by her false revelations a great multitude of the king's subjects "inclined to newfangledness." The followers of John Thom were also inclined to newfangledness; but their fanaticism was not connected with the superstitions of a perishing faith or the devices of a cunning priesthood. It was the ignorance that had no foundation of religious belief or secular knowledge; the ignorance that had not been reached by the divine or the schoolmaster; the ignorance which was a reproach to the nation, and especially discreditable to the richly endowed Church under whose

* *Ante*, vol. ii. p. 354.

proudest seat of Christian instruction such a state of society had grown up. Although forming an exceptional illustration of the degrees in which knowledge had advanced during the nineteenth century, these events are yet suggestive of how much was required to be done before England should arrive at the state of social improvement which marks the greatest difference between the first year and the twenty-fifth year of the reign of Queen Victoria.

When the Parliament was opened by the Queen on the 5th of February, 1839, a passage in the Royal Speech had reference to a state of domestic affairs which presented an unhappy contrast to the universal loyalty which marked the period of the Coronation. Her Majesty said: "I have observed with pain the persevering efforts which have been made in some parts of the country to excite my subjects to disobedience and resistance to the law, and to recommend dangerous and illegal practices." Chartism, which for ten subsequent years occasionally agitated the country, had then begun to take root. On the previous 12th of December a proclamation had been issued against illegal Chartist assemblies, several of which had been held, says the proclamation, "after sunset by torch light." The persons attending these meetings were armed with guns and pikes; and demagogues, such as Feargus O'Connor and the Rev. Mr. Stephens at Bury, addressed the people in the most inflammatory language. The existence of Chartism was a painful and almost an appalling fact, of far deeper significance than the discontents of ignorant masses of the manufacturing population stirred up by unscrupulous leaders. Thinking men felt that there was something rotten in the composition of society—a gangrene that must be removed before the body politic could be sound and healthful. The state of the labouring classes was the subject of anxious solicitude, in their want of employment or their low wages, partly arising out of the great changes produced by scientific applications to industry, at a time when an unsound commercial and fiscal policy forbade the developments of skill, under the influence of increasing capital, to be a general blessing. The evils of unrestricted competition, in its operation upon a superabundant population of artisans and factory workmen, were then very slightly mitigated by any considerate feelings of the employer for the employed. There was no sympathy,—there was in most parts a jealous antagonism,—between the two classes. Dr. Arnold wrote to a friend in 1839, "Men do not think of the fearful state in which we are living. If they could once be brought to notice and to appreciate the evil, I should not even yet despair that the remedy may be found and applied; even though it is the

solution of the most difficult problem ever yet proposed to man's wisdom, and the greatest triumph over selfishness ever yet required of his virtue."* It was seen, however imperfectly, at that period, what a resource England, Scotland, and Ireland possessed against the evils of over population,—against the terrible competition for a bit of potato ground, or for a hand-loom, or for a seat in a tailor's pestilent garret—in all which forms of unprofitable labour there was the sweat of the brow but not the earning of the bread. These sufferings were taking place "in a world where Canadian forests stand unfelled, boundless plains and prairies unbroken with the plough; on the west and on the east, green desert spaces never yet made white with corn; and to the over-crowded little western nook of Europe, our Terrestrial Planet, nine-tenths of it yet vacant or tenanted by nomades, is still crying, Come and till me, come and reap me!" † The eloquent expounder of the miseries of that unhappy time has a hopeful prophecy of a better future: "Is it not as if this swelling, simmering, never resting Europe of ours stood, once more, on the verge of an expansion without parallel; struggling, struggling like a mighty tree again about to burst in the embrace of summer, and shoot forth broad frondent boughs which would fill the whole earth? A disease; but the noblest of all,—as of her who is in pain and sore travail, but travails that she may be a mother, and say, Behold, there is a new Man born!" ‡ It was not only the benevolent schoolmaster and the philosophic man of letters who were perplexed by the condition of the working-classes. M. Guizot records that in his first intercourse with sir Robert Peel in 1840, what struck him most of all was sir Robert's constant and earnest solicitude with regard to the condition of the labouring classes in England. "There is," he often said, "too much suffering and too much perplexity in the condition of the working classes; it is a disgrace as well as a danger to our civilization; it is absolutely necessary to render their condition less hard and less precarious. We cannot do everything, far from it; but we can do something, and it is our duty to do all that we can." § Was sir Robert Peel then thinking that the time might come when he should "leave a name sometimes remembered with expressions of goodwill in the abodes of those whose lot it is to labour, and to earn their daily bread by the sweat of their brow, when they shall recruit their exhausted strength with abund-

* "Life," vol. ii. p. 164.

† Carlyle—"Chartism," p. 112.

‡ *Ibid.*, pp. 112, 113.

§ Guizot—"Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 83.

ant and untaxed food, the sweeter because it is no longer leavened with a sense of injustice?"*

The document called "The People's Charter," which was embodied in the form of a Bill in 1838, comprised six points—universal suffrage, excluding, however, women; division of the United Kingdom into equal electoral districts; vote by ballot; annual parliaments; no property qualification for members; and a payment to every member for his legislative services. These principles so quickly recommended themselves to the working classes, that in the session of 1839 the number of signatures to a petition presented to Parliament was upwards of a million and a quarter. The middle classes almost universally looked with extreme jealousy and apprehension upon any attempt for an extension of the franchise. The upper classes for the most part regarded the proceedings of the Chartists with a contempt which scarcely concealed their fears. This large section of the working population very soon became divided into what were called physical-force Chartists and moral-force Chartists. As a natural consequence, the principles and acts of the physical-force Chartists disgusted every supporter of order and of the rights of property, and left the whole educated community very unwilling to sanction any measure which would rectify the anomaly of which many sound-hearted and right-thinking working men complained,—that the Reform Bill of 1832 had set up an invidious and irrational barrier against the claim of the artisan to the rights of citizenship; and had settled the question as to who was qualified to choose a representative in Parliament by the arbitrary and varying test of occupying a tenement at an annual rent of Ten Pounds. There were many of the best men amongst a class rapidly growing into the ability to judge temperately and honestly on all political questions who deeply felt this exclusion; and they resented it the more when they were confounded with the physical-force slaves of the brutal demagogues that disturbed England in 1839.

At the opening of Parliament it was stated in the Queen's Speech that in the West Indies the period fixed by law for the final and complete emancipation of the negroes had been anticipated by Acts of the Colonial legislatures; and that the transition from the temporary system of apprenticeship to entire freedom had taken place without any disturbance of public order and tranquillity. But although the emancipation had taken place without any excesses on the part of those who had now become free men, responsible only to the laws, the planters of Jamaica were in a state of moody dis-

* Sir R. Peel's Speech on the resignation of Ministers, June 29, 1846.

content at the altered relations between the capitalist and the labourer, and they testified their displeasure by opposition, often frivolous and always stubborn, to the desire of the Imperial government to protect the negroes from cruelties and oppressions. Local legislation came to a stand. Lord Melbourne and his colleagues determined to adopt a strong measure, by proposing to Parliament a suspension of the Constitution of Jamaica for five years, during which period the affairs of the colony would be administered by a provisional government. A motion to this effect was brought forward on the 9th of April by Mr. Labouchere. It was a great occasion for a trial of the strength of parties. Counsel were heard at the bar of the House of Commons against the bill, and it was not till the 6th of May that the parliamentary discussions on this subject were brought to a close by a division in which two hundred and ninety-four voted with the ministry and two hundred and eighty-nine against them. This slender majority showed upon how frail a foundation rested the tenure of office by the Melbourne ministry. On the 7th of May lord John Russell announced the resignation of ministers upon the ground of not having such support and such confidence in the House of Commons as would enable them efficiently to carry on the public business. Upon the resignation of her servants the Queen had consulted the duke of Wellington, who recommended that sir Robert Peel should be sent for. The attempt to form a new administration failed, and lord Melbourne and his colleagues returned to power in a week. On the 13th sir Robert Peel, having received her Majesty's permission to explain the circumstances under which he had relinquished the attempt to form an administration, made that explanation in the House of Commons. The Queen's most ingenuous truthfulness was conspicuous in these negotiations. Her Majesty at once asked sir Robert Peel whether he was willing to undertake the duty of forming an administration, at the same time telling him that it was with great regret that she parted with the administration which had just resigned. The next day sir Robert Peel submitted to her Majesty the names of those he proposed to associate with him. No objection was raised as to the persons who were to compose the ministry or to the principles on which it was to be conducted. But a difficulty suggested itself to the minds of sir Robert and his friends. He again waited upon the Queen to state to her Majesty the necessity of making some change in the appointment of ladies to fill the great offices of her household. Her Majesty consulted her ministers, and on the 10th wrote the following note: "The Queen having considered the proposal made to her yesterday by sir Robert Peel,

to remove the Ladies of her Bedchamber, cannot consent to adopt a course which she conceives to be contrary to usage, and which is repugnant to her feelings." Sir Robert, it seems, took an especial objection that the wife of lord Normanby, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, and the sister of lord Morpeth, the Chief Secretary, were in the closest attendance upon the Queen. He and his party had wholly disapproved the policy of conciliation which was advocated by the Irish administration, and they thus objected to the continued position about the royal person of the marchioness of Normanby and the duchess of Sutherland. Upon the abstract constitutional question it is now generally felt that sir Robert Peel was right. Immediately after he had declared his inability to form an Administration unless the Ladies of the Bedchamber were removed, the ministry recorded their opinion in a Cabinet minute that they held it "reasonable that the great offices of the Court, and situations in the household held by members of Parliament, should be included in the political arrangements made in a change of the Administration; but they are not of opinion that a similar principle should be applied or extended to the offices held by ladies in her Majesty's household." The Cabinet had precedents to support their view. Lady Sunderland and lady Rialton had remained in the bedchamber of Queen Anne for a year and a half after the dismissal of their husbands from office, and it was the uniform practice that the ladies of the household of every queen consort should be retained on changes of administration, notwithstanding their relationship to men engaged in political life.

The discussions in and out of Parliament which arose upon this question were protracted and violent. The people generally were inclined to think that an attempt had been made to treat the Queen with harshness by removing from her presence ladies who had become her personal friends—ladies exemplary in their private lives, and whose accomplishments shed a grace over the Court of a female Sovereign. Meetings were held in various parts of the country to express approbation of her Majesty's conduct. These were no doubt to some extent meetings influenced by political considerations; but the sentiments there expressed were consonant with the general opinion that the Queen was worthy of the most respectful sympathy with her actions and feelings. It is painful to relate that from this period was manifested, on the part of some who, disdaining the name of Conservatives, clung to the extremest Tory opinions, a virulence that did not even exempt from their personal attacks the conduct and character of the Sovereign. To those of the present day who have not traced the course of politics

in the early part of the Queen's reign it would seem impossible to believe that a member of Parliament, at a public dinner at Canterbury, should have designated the Sovereign who has secured to an unparalleled extent the love and veneration of her subjects, as one who thought that if the monarchy lasted her time it was enough; that this party firebrand should have been cheered when he talked of the abdication of James the Second as a precedent not to be forgotten. It would seem impossible to imagine that the colonel and officers of a regiment should have brought themselves under the censure of the Commander-in-Chief for having sat at a Conservative dinner, at Ashton-under-Lyne, to listen to "expressions most insulting and disrespectful towards the Queen."* Mr. Macaulay,—who, in May, 1839, had been returned as member for Edinburgh, upon the elevation of Mr. Abercromby to the peerage, when he retired from the office of Speaker,—thus expressed himself on the first night of the Session in 1840, in burning words that must have been bitterer to some than the contempt of good and quiet subjects: "A change has come over the spirit of a part, I hope not the larger part, of the Tory body. It was once the glory of the Tories that, through all changes of fortune, they were animated by a steady and fervent loyalty which made even error respectable, and gave to what might otherwise have been called servility something of the manliness and nobleness of freedom. A great Tory poet, whose eminent services to the cause of monarchy had been ill requited by an ungrateful Court, boasted that

'Loyalty is still the same,
Whether it win or lose the game;
True as the dial to the sun,
Although it be not shined upon.'

Toryism has now changed its character. We have lived to see a monster of a faction made up of the worst parts of the Cavalier and the worst parts of the Roundhead. We have lived to see a race of disloyal Tories. We have lived to see Tories giving themselves the airs of those insolent pikemen who puffed out their tobacco-smoke in the face of Charles the First. We have lived to see Tories who, because they were not allowed to grind the people after the fashion of Strafford, turn round and revile the Sovereign in the style of Hugh Peters. I say, therefore, that, while the leader is still what he was eleven years ago, when his moderation alienated his intemperate followers, his followers are more intemperate than ever." †

Let us contemplate this violence of the fierce and unscrupulous

* See Annual Register (then a vehicle of Conservative politics), 1839, pp. 311, 312.

† Macaulay's "Speeches," p. 201.

partisans who professed to serve under the leadership of sir Robert Peel, but who were most indignant that his great measure of Catholic Emancipation was attended with some practical results—let us view these Tory agitators going about the country proclaiming that the Poor Laws were a system of wholesale murder, that children were tortured in factories for the amusement of the mill-owners, and that the Corn-laws were the only restraint upon the power of the manufacturers to oppress their work-people—and then let us ask ourselves how the country could have been full of this madness and folly without inducing the physical-force Chartists to believe that their time for action was at hand. The first serious demonstration of this revolutionary spirit was made at Birmingham in a series of outbreaks and contests between the police, the military, and the mob, which lasted from the 4th to the 15th of July. There were smashing of windows and street-lamps; bonfires made of goods pillaged from warehouses; houses burnt down. The community of this thriving seat of manufactures, where workmen had ample wages and could afford to be Ten-pound householders, was kept in terror till the riots were put down by the necessary employment of the military under judicious regulation. There were the same demonstrations at Sheffield, with the disposition too common in that place to think lightly of secret murder. The most violent outbreak took place later in the year. At Newport, in Monmouthshire, there was a magistrate of the borough, named John Frost, who in the previous February had used violent language at a public meeting at Pontypool. Lord John Russell was greatly blamed for treating this insolent demagogue with some leniency, and for not at once removing him from his position as a magistrate. In a few months, however, he earned his degradation by new violence. During the summer and autumn he was organizing an insurrection in the country near Newport, where there was a large population engaged in labours connected with the mineral wealth of the district. The Attorney-General, on the trial for high treason in which this Chartist organization ended, described the country as, in a great degree, wild and mountainous, abounding in every part with mines of coal and iron, of late years worked to a considerable extent. In a district, he said, where, fifty years before, there were scarcely any inhabitants, save the scattered huts of a few shepherds and mountaineers, there was then a dense population, amounting to upwards of forty thousand. Those who know the wonderful country from which the Usk and the Taff, assisted by railroads running into the hearts of the mountains, bring their rich freights to Newport and Cardiff, will comprehend how

those towns alone now comprise more than fifty thousand inhabitants. It was arranged by the insurgents that on the night of Sunday the 3rd of November, three divisions from various points were to march upon Newport and take possession of the town while the inhabitants were asleep. The weather was such as to prevent the completion of these arrangements. The divisions from Nant-y-Glo and Pontypool did not join their leader at the time appointed, but after waiting till daylight he marched into the town with five or six thousand followers about ten o'clock. The mayor, Mr. Phillips, was very imperfectly prepared for resistance to this rabble, who came into the town five abreast, armed with guns, bludgeons, pikes, and pickaxes. Mr. Phillips, with his party of special constables and about thirty soldiers, had taken his position in the West Gate Inn, which stands in the market-place. The insurgents moved up to the door of the inn and called upon those within to surrender. The demand was of course refused. A volley from the street was then discharged against the bow-window of the room. The wooden pillars of the porch still show by bullet-holes the sort of conflict that here took place. Almost at the instant of the first street firing, the rioters broke open the door of the inn and poured into the house. There would have been a massacre of the civil and military guardians of the peace, had not the officer in command given orders to fire, as the Chartists were rushing down the passage. A volley soon put to flight the terrified assailants. The mayor distinguished himself by the gallantry which befits an English gentleman. He, with lieutenant Gray and serjeant Daley, fearlessly opened each one of the three shutters of the window that looked upon the street. A shower of slugs was immediately poured in upon them, and Mr. Phillips and several others were wounded; but the construction of the window enabled the military to pour a raking fire upon the mob, who soon fled in all directions. The soldiers then made a sortie and effectually cleared the streets. Frost and two other of the leaders, Zephaniah Williams and William Jones, were quickly apprehended. On the 1st of January they were tried at Newport, under a Special Commission before that able and constitutional judge, sir Nicholas Tindal. They were each found guilty of high treason, and received the capital sentence, which was finally commuted to transportation for life. Within the last few years the chief delinquent has been allowed to return. Sir Thomas Phillips was knighted by her Majesty, and was received at Court with signal honour.

CHAPTER XII.

The System of Penny Postage first comes into operation.—Mr. Rowland Hill.—Opposition to the proposed change.—Postage Stamps.—Marriage of the Queen to Prince Albert of Saxe Coburg.—Privilege of Parliament.—Stockdale *v.* Hansard.—Attempt upon the Queen's Life.—Prorogation.—Affairs of the Levant.—Treaty of Alliance.—Exclusion of France.—Prince Napoleon lands near Boulogne.—Failure of his attempt to produce an insurrection.—Differences of England and France on the affairs of the East.—War threatened.—M. Thiers and lord Palmerston.—Naval Successes against Mehemet Ali.—Interment at Paris of the remains of Napoleon.—Session of Parliament.—The Anti-Corn-Law League.—Declarations of the Ministry on questions of Free Trade.—Debates on the Sugar Duties.—Ministers defeated on the question of the Sugar Duties.—Meeting of New Parliament.—Amendment to the Address carried.—Resignation of Ministers.

ON the morning of the 10th of January, 1840, the people of the United Kingdom rose in the possession of a new power—the power of sending by the post a letter not weighing more than half an ounce upon the prepayment of one penny, and this without any regard to the distance which the letter had to travel.* At this time, when the system of a universal penny postage has been in operation two-and-twenty years—when the number of letters transmitted by the post has increased from 76 millions in 1839 to 593 millions in 1861, an increase of 680 per cent.—it is more interesting to look back upon the difficulties of achieving such a result than to trace the gradual success which in a few years put an end to all opposition to a system so pregnant with national advantage. To the sagacity and the perseverance of one man, the author of this system, the high praise is due, not so much that he triumphed over the petty jealousies and selfish fears of the post-office authorities, but that he established his own convictions against the doubts of some of the ablest and most conscientious leaders of public opinion. The government adopted his views reluctantly, strengthened in their hesitation by such a clear-headed supporter of the government as Sydney Smith. Temperate opposers of the government, such as the duke of Wellington and sir Robert Peel, saw great danger and little good in the project. Mr. Rowland Hill in 1837 published his plan of a cheap and uniform postage. A Committee of the House of Commons was appointed in 1837, which continued its inquiries

* *Ante*, p. 213.

throughout the session of 1838, and arrived at the conviction that "the mode recommended of charging and collecting postage, in a pamphlet published by Mr. Rowland Hill," was feasible, and deserving of a trial under legislative sanction. The Committee examined a great number of mercantile and other authorities, the questions and answers contained in their Report amounting to nearly twelve thousand. There were necessarily strong differences of opinion amongst the witnesses, many even of the most favourable to a reduction to a uniform rate considering that a penny postage was too low. Lord Ashburton, although an advocate of Post-office Reform, held that the reduction to a penny would wholly destroy the revenue. Lord Lowther, the Postmaster-General, thought twopence the smallest rate that would cover the expenses. Colonel Maberly, the secretary to the post office, considered Mr. Hill's plan a most preposterous one, and maintained that if the rates were to be reduced to a penny, the revenue would not recover itself for forty or fifty years. The Committee, after a long struggle between its members, negatived both a penny and a three-halfpenny rate as inadequate, and finally recommended the adoption of a twopenny rate. Public opinion, however, had been brought so strongly to bear in favour of a penny rate, that the Chancellor of the Exchequer, Mr. Spring Rice, on the 5th of July, 1839, proposed a resolution, "that it is expedient to reduce the postage on letters to one uniform rate of a penny postage, according to a certain amount of weight to be determined—that the parliamentary privilege of franking should be abolished, and that official franking be strictly limited—the House pledging itself to make good any deficiency that may occur in the revenue from such reduction of the postage." A Bill was accordingly passed to this effect in the House of Commons, its operation being limited in its duration to one year, and the Treasury retaining the power of fixing the rates at first, although the ultimate reduction was to be to one penny. This experimental measure reduced all rates above fourpence to that sum, leaving those below fourpence unaltered. With this complication of charge the experiment could not have a fair trial, and accordingly on the 10th of January, 1840, the uniform half-ounce rate became by order of the Treasury one penny. The final accomplishment of this great reform presented a signal example of the force of public opinion when brought to bear upon a subject unconnected with party feelings, and the demonstration of whose necessity had been established not by passionate appeals for public support and sympathy, but by patient investigation and conclusive reasoning. This was the high merit of the man who conceived the scheme of Post-office Re-



QUEEN VICTORIA. — Vol. viii. 256.

form; and the manifest earnestness of his character, and the invincibility of his logic, mainly conduced to establish those convictions in the public mind which eventually settled all doubts. Lord Melbourne, in moving the second reading of the bill, assigned as a conclusive answer to the question, how he could venture to tamper with so large a sum as that derivable from the Post-office revenue, that "there was such a general demand from all classes of the community for a measure of this nature, that it was a very difficult matter to withstand it."* In 1840 the number of letters sent through the post had more than doubled, and the legislature had little hesitation in making the Act of 1839 permanent, instead of its duration being limited to the year which would expire in October. A stamped envelope, printed upon a peculiar paper, and bearing an elaborate design, was originally chosen as the mode of rendering prepayment convenient to the sender of a letter. A simpler plan soon superseded this attempt to enlist the Fine Arts in a plain business operation. The plan of prepaying letters by affixing a stamp bearing the head of the ruler of the country, came into use here in May, 1840. The habit of prepayment by postage stamps has now become so universal throughout the world, that in 1861 the system was established in eighty different countries or colonies; and there were between six and seven hundred varieties of stamps known to the post-office authorities. Even in the Sandwich Islands the Postage Stamp was in use.†

On the 16th of January Parliament was opened by the Queen in person. The first paragraph of her Majesty's speech contained an announcement which had been previously made to the Privy Council. "Since you were last assembled, I have declared my intention of allying myself in marriage with the Prince Albert of Saxe Coburg and Gotha. I humbly implore that the Divine blessing may prosper this union, and render it conducive to the interests of my people as well as to my own domestic happiness, and it will be to me a source of the most lively satisfaction to find the resolution I have taken approved by my Parliament." The preliminary measures of the legislature for the naturalization of prince Albert, and for granting him an annuity, produced some discussion,—first, upon the subject of precedence, and next, as to the amount of the annual sum to be granted. The one question was settled by omitting from the bill of naturalization all mention of precedence; the other by a reduction of the ministerial proposition of an annuity of 50,000*l.* to one of 30,000*l.* The distresses of the country fully justified the decision of the majority who supported the grant of a

* Hansard, vol. xlix. col. 1214.

† Report of the Postmaster-General, 1862.

smaller sum. The good sense of the Queen and of prince Albert prevented the slightest expression of discontent at the vote of the House of Commons, which was carried by the union of the Conservative party with those generally classed amongst Radicals. The marriage took place on the 10th of February, and it was welcomed with general festivities throughout the country. There was a welcome of a higher kind from those who knew something of the character and acquirements of the young prince; from those who were aware that he had received a public education at the University of Bonn, and that his abilities were as conspicuous as his total freedom from all desire to find "a royal road to learning." But the people generally rejoiced in this union because it was understood to be one of affection, and which gave that earnest of domestic happiness which offered a solid foundation for the discharge of public duty. The modest answer of prince Albert to the congratulatory messages of the Houses of Parliament evidently expressed the feelings of one who was careful to weigh the words which he uttered. To the marquis of Lansdowne and other peers who had attended upon his Royal Highness with the congratulations of the peers, he said, "I return to the House of Lords my warmest thanks for the message which you have now delivered. I learn with lively satisfaction their approbation of the choice which her Majesty has made, and it will be the study of my life to justify the favourable opinion which you have now expressed."

There was a subject which occupied the attention of Parliament during this Session which excited considerable public interest at the time, but which must be dismissed by us as briefly as possible. It was the question of Privilege of Parliament. In 1837 the privilege of the House of Commons came into conflict with the jurisdiction of the Law Courts. There had been similar conflicts in earlier times, and the opinions of great lawyers were by no means settled as to the powers of Courts of Laws in matters of privilege. The words of the Bill of Rights would appear to have settled that "debates and proceedings in Parliament ought not to be impeached or questioned in any court or place out of Parliament." But since the Revolution there had been several occasions in which there was a clashing of jurisdictions. The House of Commons was probably taken by surprise when, in the case of Stockdale against Hansard, —which was an action for libel against the printer of the House of Commons, contained in a Report of the Inspector of Prisons, published by order of that House,—Lord Chief Justice Denman declared that the fact of the House of Commons having directed Messrs. Hansard to publish all their Parliamentary Reports was no



PRINCE ALBERT. — Vol. viii. 258.

justification for them, or for any bookseller who publishes a Parliamentary Report containing a libel against any man. A Committee of Parliament was then appointed to inquire into this question. The House resolved that the publication of its Reports was essential to the constitutional functions of Parliament; that the House of Commons has a sole and exclusive jurisdiction to determine upon its privileges; and that for any Court or tribunal to assume to decide upon matters of privilege inconsistent with the determination of either House, is a breach and contempt of the privileges of Parliament. Stockdale was defeated upon the first trial. He commenced a second action, when the Queen's Bench again decided against the privilege of the House. Upon a third action, which was undefended, Messrs. Hansard were instructed by the House of Commons not to plead; judgment consequently went by default; and the damages were assessed in the Sheriff's Court at six hundred pounds. Then ensued a contest between the House and the Sheriffs. As in all cases in which personal interests are held to be arbitrarily attacked, the sheriffs had a large amount of public sympathy when they, as well as Stockdale, were committed to the custody of the Sergeant-at-Arms. The sheriffs were kept in close custody for having refused to obey the order of the House for restoring the money which they had levied upon Hansard, holding that they were bound by their duty to the Court of Queen's Bench to refuse their obedience to the order. There were other actions arising out of these vexatious proceedings; but the main question was finally settled by the passing of an Act, which received the Royal Assent on the 14th of April, 1840, by which proceedings, criminal or civil, against persons for publication of papers printed by order of either House of Parliament, were to be stayed by the Courts, upon delivery of a certificate and affidavit to the effect that such publication was by order of Parliament. Mr. May has very clearly stated the necessity for this measure, which was one of compromise. "No course was open to the Commons befitting their high jurisdiction and dignity, by which the obedience of courts and plaintiffs could be ensured: their power of commitment was at once impotent and oppressive; yet they could not suffer their authority to be wholly defied and contemned. Hence their proceedings were inevitably marked by hesitation and inconsistency. In a case for which the Constitution has made no provision, even the wisdom of sir Robert Peel, and the solid learning of Mr. Serjeant Wilde, were unequal to devise expedients less open to objection." *

* "Constitutional History," vol. i. p. 454.

The Houses of Parliament were proceeding in due course in the discussion of various important matters—such as a rupture with China, the reform of the municipal institutions of Ireland, measures for further carrying into effect the Reports of the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, and the Corn Laws—when they were startled by a circumstance which produced a similar amount of alarm and indignation throughout the kingdom. As the Queen, with prince Albert, was riding up Constitution Hill in an open carriage, a pistol was fired at them, and in about half a minute there was a discharge of a second pistol. Neither of the royal couple were injured, and they both preserved remarkable presence of mind. Lord John Russell, in moving the next day for a joint address with the House of Lords to congratulate her Majesty on her escape, stated that the Queen, immediately after the attempt, proceeded to the house of her mother to relieve her mind under the anxiety in which she might have been thrown by exaggerated reports; and that on her return her Majesty showed herself in her usual manner to her subjects, affording a proof at once of her safety and of the kindness and fortitude of her character.* The youth, named Oxford, who had committed this atrocious crime, was a barman at a public-house. He was tried at the Old Bailey on the 9th of July. Witnesses were produced to prove that he was insane. Dr. Connolly held that he was of unsound mind. Dr. Chowne considered the doing a criminal act without a motive was a proof to some extent of an unsound mind—what was called moral insanity. Chief Justice Denman cautioned the jury against the dangerous doctrine that the commission of a great crime without an apparent motive was in itself proof of insanity. Sir Benjamin Brodie has written some sensible remarks upon the acquittal of the boy Oxford upon the ground of moral insanity. We give these remarks of an eminent physiologist, because juries are too often embarrassed by opinions and arguments which, if taken without great qualification, would have the effect of impairing the safer belief that every person not absolutely a lunatic is responsible for his actions: “It seems to me that juries have not unfrequently been misled by the refinements of medical witnesses, who, having adopted the theory of a purely moral insanity, have applied that term to cases to which the term insanity ought not to be applied at all. It is true, that the difference in the character of individuals may frequently be traced to difference in their organization, and to different conditions as to bodily health; and that, therefore, one person has more, and another has less, difficulty in controlling his temper, and regulating

* Hansard, vol. liv. col. 1047.

his conduct. But we have all our duties to perform, and one of the most important of these is, that we should strive against whatever evil tendency there may be in us arising out of our physical constitution. Even if we admit (which I do not admit in reality) that the impulse which led Oxford to the commission of his crime was at the time irresistible, still the question remains, whether, when the notion of it first haunted him, he might not have kept it under his control; and thus prevented himself from passing into that state of mind which was beyond his control afterwards. If I have been rightly informed, Oxford was himself of this opinion; as he said, when another attempt had been made to take away the life of the Queen, 'that if he himself had been hanged, this would not have happened.'*"

Parliament was prorogued by the Queen on the 11th of August. The Royal Speech touched upon some important points in the foreign relations of the country. Her Majesty congratulated the Parliament upon the termination of the civil war in Spain, the objects for which the Quadruple engagements of 1834 had been contracted having been accomplished. Differences with the government of Naples had been put into a train of adjustment by the mediation of France. Her Majesty was "engaged, in concert with the Emperor of Austria, the King of Prussia, the Emperor of Russia, and the Sultan, in measures intended to effect the permanent pacification of the Levant, to maintain the integrity and independance of the Ottoman empire, and thereby to afford additional security for the peace of Europe."

The events which had rendered the intervention of the European powers necessary for the pacification of the Levant were these: Mehemet Ali, the pasha of Egypt, had in 1831 invaded Syria, in a war which he waged against the pasha of Damascus. Egypt and Syria were both integral parts of the Ottoman dominions, and Mehemet Ali was bound to yield obedience to the command of the sultan. He refused to withdraw his troops from Syria; and in 1832 the sultan Mahmoud and his powerful viceroy were at open war. The Turkish government was saved from utter ruin by the aid of its most formidable enemy, Russia. But the victories of Mehemet Ali had secured for him the possession of Syria, yet only as a vassal of the Porte. A war between the sultan and his viceroy was prevented for six years by the mediation of England, France, and Russia. But in 1839 the sultan could no longer endure the ambition of his great vassal, who evidently designed to subject all Arabia to his sway; and Mahmoud sent an army across the

* Brodie's "Psychological Inquiries," 3rd edition, p. 98.

Euphrates to recover Syria. A signal victory of Mehemet Ali preceded the death of Mahmoud on the 1st of July, 1839. His successor, Abd-ul-Mejid, was only in his sixteenth year. The pasha of Egypt was encouraged in his resistance by the youth and apparent weakness of the new sultan, who was surrounded by treacherous officers. The influence of Mehemet Ali was so powerful at Constantinople that the Capitan Pasha (High Admiral) took the Turkish fleet through the Dardanelles to Alexandria and delivered it over to the sultan's rebellious viceroy. The strong will and propitious fortune of Mehemet Ali seemed to threaten the dissolution of the Turkish empire. The European powers tendered their mediation, which the sultan accepted. He offered Mehemet Ali the hereditary sovereignty of Egypt instead of remaining a vassal, but the ambitious pasha required to have Syria as well. France, although formally bound by a treaty of 1839 to act in co-operation with England, Russia, Austria, and Prussia, had her own policy as to a settlement of the Syrian question, and declined entering into the views of the other four powers. Lord Palmerston resolved to conclude a treaty for the maintenance of the Ottoman empire without the co-operation of France. The treaty of Alliance to which allusion was made in the Queen's speech was signed at London on the 15th of July, 1840.

The exclusion of France from the European alliance was very nearly precipitating us into a war with the government of Louis Philippe. M. Thiers, then president of the Counsel, evinced no desire to calm that passionate agitation which burst out in France in the belief that the nation had been insulted. The duke of Wellington, with his usual strong sense, rightly interpreted the disposition of the people and of the government of this kingdom. In a private letter of the 5th of October, he thus expressed himself: "God send that we may preserve peace between these two great countries, and for the world! I am certain that there is no desire in this country on the part of any party, I may almost say of any influential individual, to quarrel with, much less to do anything offensive towards France. But, if we should be under the necessity of going to war, you will witness the most extraordinary exertions ever made by this or any country, in order to carry the same on with vigour, however undesirable we may think it to enter into it." * Upon the conduct of lord Palmerston, then Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs, there was some diversity of opinion at home. Even members of the cabinet were not wholly in accord with his policy, and many of the public held that he was

* Raikes's "Private Correspondence with the Duke of Wellington," &c., p. 156.

rash and obstinate. His policy was signally triumphant. Although the cry of the Parisians for a few months was, "Guerre aux Anglais," the French Government found that their country was not in a condition to go to war, and that the popular cry for hostilities had some association with revolutionary tendencies. After the lapse of twenty-one years, M. Guizot has published his Memoirs of that stirring time, when he was ambassador in England. His intelligent and candid revelations may present to those who are curious to trace the movements and counter-movements of two such adroit players in the great game of politics as M. Thiers and lord Palmerston, a juster view of the causes of this temporary interruption of the friendly feelings between the two governments and of the policy of the British Minister for Foreign Affairs, than they could otherwise derive from the contemporary expressions of opinion either in England or in France.

The Resolutions of the four powers upon which the treaty of the 15th of July was founded had become known in London on the 23rd. At the anniversary of the 28th of July, when sixty thousand men were under arms in Paris, the popular desire for war was shown in the most marked manner. M. Guizot was perplexed by the contrast of the uneasiness of Lord Melbourne and lord John Russell with the decided language of lord Palmerston. In answer to the ambassador's despatches, M. Thiers had only one word to reply—"tenez ferme,"* but the warlike minister invited him to a meeting with the king and himself at the Château d'Eu on the 7th of August. Guizot left London for this interview on the 6th. Whilst he was crossing the Channel to Calais another person was crossing the channel to Boulogne, to be the hero of what was then described as "a wild attempt to excite civil war made by a maniac of the Bonaparte family."† The maniac of 1840 became the emperor of 1852.

On the 7th of July the French frigate *La Belle Poule*, commanded by the prince de Joinville, had sailed for the purpose of receiving at St. Helena, and transporting to France, the remains of the Emperor Napoleon. To this somewhat strange request of the government of Louis Philippe made by M. Guizot, the English Cabinet accorded its consent, lord Palmerston giving a courteous reply to the demand, whilst he was unable to conceal a passing smile. At this time prince Louis Napoleon was residing at Carlton Gardens, in London, and M. Guizot had been required to keep an eye on his movements. The ambassador described the refugee as being constantly in the park; as frequently also at the opera, where

* Guizot—"Mémoires," tome v. p. 255.

† "Spectator," August 8.

aides-de-camp stood behind him in his box. In public they were bragging and ostentatious. Their private life was idle and obscure. In spite of their tall talk M. Guizot thought there was little of reality in their boastful projects. The French Foreign Office, however, believed that some attempt would be made by this party of Bonapartists, although their action would be confined to a very narrow circle.

On the 4th of August a steam packet, the *City of Edinburgh*, which had been hired as for a party of pleasure, left the port of London, bearing prince Louis Napoleon, count Montholon, and about forty officers and attendants. Arms and ammunition, military uniforms, horses and carriages and a large quantity of specie, had been previously taken on board; with a tame eagle that the prince had taught to feed out of his hand. The steam-packet dropped down the river; took a French pilot on board at Gravesend; and made for the French coast, where it arrived on the evening of the 5th. Between two and three miles to the north of Boulogne is the miserable village of Wimereux, around which, in 1803, a camp was formed of a portion of the Grand Army for the invasion of England. The country here is barren, and a few hovels lie between the sand hills on the shore. Here, at the mouth of a petty stream, Napoleon caused a port to be formed, which at the end of six months was capable of containing a hundred and seventy vessels. It is now choked up and altogether decayed. Here, then, surrounded by associations with the memory of the great emperor—in the harbour which his army had dug out of the sands, and in view of the column which they had raised to his glory—the nephew landed with his followers at four o'clock on the morning of the 6th. Those of military rank had exchanged their ordinary dress for the uniform then worn by French officers. The invading band, who had been joined from Boulogne by a young lieutenant of the 42nd, named Aladenise, and three soldiers, marched towards the town, bearing a tri-coloured flag surmounted by an eagle. There were few persons about at that hour except two or three officers of the customs, who were compelled to march with them. Upon arriving at the guard-house in the Place d'Anton, an attempt to seduce the soldiers failed, and the party marched to the Quai de la Caserne. The barrack there, now given up to peaceful purposes as a vast storehouse, was occupied by the 42nd regiment. The officers slept out of the barrack, and had not arrived at five o'clock, when lieutenant Aladenise called up the soldiers, ordering them to take their arms, and march with the nephew of the emperor to Paris; Louis Philippe, he told them, had ceased to reign. The proposed march

was, however, interrupted by the arrival of captain Puygelier and two other officers. To the splendid offers that were made to the captain and his companions they turned a deaf ear. The captain was as unmoved by the threats of some of his men as by the promises of the adventurers. To the shouts of *Vive le Prince Louis* he replied *Vive le Roi*. A scuffle ensued, when a shot was fired from a pistol which Louis Napoleon had in his hand, by which a grenadier was wounded. The prince was not absolutely charged with a murderous intention in thus discharging his pistol, but it was implied that this part of the affair was an accident, or at least unpremeditated. Immediately after this the barrack-yard was cleared of the intruders, and they marched to the Haute Ville, distributing proclamations and throwing about money. They fancied they could seize arms in the old château for the purpose of arming the population, but their course was stopped by the sub-prefect of Boulogne, who, in the name of the king, commanded them to disperse. He was answered by a blow on the head with the eagle which one of the officers carried. They tried to force the door of the château. During this time the rappel had called out the National Guard, who marched out towards Wimereux, to do battle with a large force which they were told had landed there. It was now six o'clock. Failing in the attempt to force the château, unsupported by any portion of the population, there was nothing left to the adventurers but flight to the place of their debarkation. With a mad movement of defiance they marched on the Calais road, and then stopped at the Napoleon column, instead of proceeding over the hill to Wimereux. The first stone of the column had been laid by Marshal Soult in 1804. Left unfinished under the Empire, it had been proceeded with under Louis XVIII., "as a monument of peace." Louis Philippe, whose doubtful policy was to revive the national appetite for glory which belonged to the memory of Napoleon, was in 1840 finishing this column. But the statue of the great Emperor by which it is crowned was not placed there till 1841. The prince and his party surrounded the monument, whilst the eagle-bearer entered the column to plant the standard on its summit. He was left to mount the dark stairs whilst his leader and his companions made a hasty retreat before the large force that was now coming against them. The soldiery, commanded by captain Puygelier, with the National Guards and gendarmerie under the orders of the sub-prefect and the mayor, rendered resistance vain. Some fled into the fields. Louis Napoleon and five or six others got down to the sands to the north of the harbour. The prince threw himself into the sea and swam to a little boat. The National

Guard fired upon the fugitives, of whom one man was killed and another dangerously wounded. An inhabitant of Coulogne, who had been one of the National Guard in 1840, expressed to us the indignation which he felt at beholding men who were swimming for their lives being fired upon when their power of doing mischief was at an end. Louis Napoleon swam back and surrendered himself. He was taken to the dungeon of the château, where he remained two days before being conveyed to Paris.

The trial of the prince and of nineteen other conspirators took place on the 6th of October before the Chamber of Peers. Louis Napoleon maintained a bold front upon his trial. In the speech which he addressed to his judges he said, "I represent before you a principle, a cause, a defeat: the principle, it is the sovereignty of the people; the cause, that of the empire; the defeat, Waterloo. The principle, you have recognized; the cause, you have served; the defeat, you desire to avenge." He was sentenced to imprisonment for life; his companions to various terms of confinement. The prison of Louis Napoleon was the fortress of Ham in the department of Aisne. The six years of solitude which he there passed were not unprofitably employed in study. In 1846 he escaped in the dress of a workman, and again found a refuge in England. The Paris press of 1840 teemed with denunciations against the ministers of Queen Victoria, maintaining that they had encouraged the prince in his project, being angry with the government of Louis Philippe. It was asserted that lord Palmerston had made a visit to Louis Napoleon, or had been visited by him, previous to his departure. Lord Palmerston found it necessary to assure, upon his honour, le baron de Bourqueney, who represented the French embassy in the absence of M. Guizot, that neither he nor lord Melbourne had seen Louis Napoleon for two years, nor any one of the adventurers who had accompanied him.*

The conferences at the Château d'Eu were soon terminated. The king of the French went to Boulogne to express his thanks to the inhabitants for their loyalty on the 6th of August. To a deputation of the English he said that affairs between France and England were taking a favourable turn. M. Guizot returned to England, and was satisfied by the cordiality of his reception by the authorities and populace of Ramsgate that the English people bore no ill-will towards France. Arrived in London he found an invitation from the queen to visit her at Windsor, where he met the

* The details of this extraordinary attempt are to be collected from the proceedings on the trial of the conspirators, given in "Annuaire Historique," 1840, p. 268 to p. 291. See also Guizot's "Mémoires," tome v. p. 258 to p. 264.

king and queen of the Belgians, lord Melbourne, and lord Palmerston. With Leopold he had many confidential discussions, the sagacious king manifesting the strongest desire that the amicable relations between England and France should be preserved. M. Guizot says that not a word of politics passed between him and lord Palmerston or lord Melbourne. He was satisfied that Leopold's attempts to shake the resolution of the Foreign Secretary had produced no effect, that the situation was in no degree changed, and that events would follow the obscure course indicated by the treaty of the 15th of July. In a few days he had a private after-dinner talk with lord Palmerston. One part of that conversation still preserves its interest. "Is it true, my lord," said Guizot, "that you are increasing your fleet by several vessels?" This question had reference to the Mediterranean fleet. "Yes," replied lord Palmerston, "we will raise it to sixteen vessels,—you, at this moment, have raised yours to eighteen. Moreover, you have five new ships building, which will give you a preponderance which we cannot accept." * Mr. Cobden shows that in 1840-41 the accustomed proportion of the French navy to ours underwent a great and sudden derangement, and that instead of being content with two-thirds of our force, the French navy approached almost to an equality with our own. † M. Thiers, a few weeks later, contemplated an increase of 150,000 men to the French army, making a total of 639,000. ‡ It was not raised to this height, but it was greatly increased. The duke of Wellington, at the beginning of 1841, wrote: "I very much fear the consequences of these large armaments. It would almost appear that it was the interest of France to recommence the war in Europe." § One consequence of the feeling in France that produced these armaments was the interruption to two important treaties with England. M. Guizot on the 2nd of September wrote to M. Thiers: "If you conclude at the same time with England a treaty on material interests—the treaty of commerce, and a treaty on a great moral interest—the abolition of the slave-trade, that will produce a great effect and a good effect upon the English public." || At this period Mr. Porter, of the Board of Trade, had been sent to Paris to negotiate a commercial treaty. "I will receive Mr. Porter well," replied M. Thiers, "but it is a serious thing to consent to a treaty of commerce in our present situation. Nevertheless I comprehend the inconveniences of a refusal . . . I must hold counsel as to the treaty about the

* Guizot—"Mémoires," tome v. p. 282.

† "The Three Panics," p. 5.

‡ Guizot, tome v. p. 342.

§ Raikes's "Private Correspondence," p. 248.

|| Guizot, tome v. pp. 299, 300.

negroes. I dread to make treaty upon treaty with folks who have done so ill by us." * We have heard Mr. Porter say that one morning Thiers dipped his pen in the ink to sign the treaty, but suddenly said that he would wait another day. When that day came Mr. Porter had been ordered to proceed no further in the business. He believed that Thiers was sincere. He further believed, most unjustly, that lord Palmerston was the instrument of Russia. Mr. Porter—one of the most honest and confiding of men—was in some degree under the same influence as many other persons ordinarily of calm judgment. He surrendered his judgment to a monomania which acquired a plausible shape in the hands of a man of great talent and powers of persuasion, who talked of "treason" and "Russian gold" in a way that attracted many followers. These, by their frantic denunciations of lord Palmerston at "Urquhartite meetings," constrained even his political enemies to vindicate his personal honour.† The Foreign Secretary remained unmoved either by the extravagancies of a feeble minority in England, or the threatenings of a war-majority in France. He vindicated his policy by the promptitude of his acts.

The four powers had determined that the ports of Syria and Egypt should be declared to be in a state of blockade. Our Mediterranean fleet was under the command of sir Robert Stopford, and his second in command was Charles John Napier. Whilst in August admiral Stopford was blockading the port of Alexander, captain Napier was blockading Beyrout. On the 9th of September admiral Stopford was before Beyrout with the English fleet and a few Turkish and Austrian vessels. On the 10th the bombardment of the town and fortress commenced; which continued till the 16th, when the Egyptian troops abandoned the place. Meanwhile Napier, who had landed with 5000 men, had routed the army of Ibrahim Pasha, and had taken Saida by storm. On the 3rd of November the allied squadron commenced hostilities against the famous fortress of St. Jean d'Acre, which was considered to be almost impregnable. It was bombarded through that day. In the night the Egyptian troops quitted the town, and it was taken possession of by a party of Turks and Austrians. The bombardment of St. Jean d'Acre was remarkable as being the first occasion on which war-steamers had been employed in battle. To the rapidity of movement of four English steamers, which enabled them to take the most advantageous positions, it was in some degree owing that so strong a fortress was reduced by the cannon of ships of war in so

* Guizot, tome v. pp. 299, 300.

† See "Quarterly Review," vol. lxi. art. viii.

short a time, and with scarcely any loss to the assailants. When the thanks of the House of Lords were voted to admiral sir Robert Stopford and to commodore sir Charles Napier, the duke of Wellington stated that he considered the achievement of St. Jean d'Acre one of the greatest deeds of modern times. He thought it his duty on this occasion to warn their lordships that they must not always expect that ships, however well commanded, or however gallant their seamen might be, were capable of commonly engaging successfully with stone-walls.* The decided naval successes led to the final submission of Mehemet Ali. The British government, with the other powers, had recommended that if he yielded in a reasonable time; gave up possession of the Turkish fleet; and evacuated Syria, Adana, Candia, and the Holy Cities, he should be reinstated as Pasha of Egypt, and have an hereditary tenure of his pashalic. On the 2nd of December he accepted the terms proposed. The contest was at an end.

Looking at the execution of the treaty of the 15th of July, M. Guizot frankly acknowledges the errors of the policy of the French government. "We had attached to this question an exaggerated importance; we had regarded the interests of France in the Mediterranean as more associated than they really were with the fortunes of Mehemet Ali." France had, he says, believed that Mehemet Ali would have been able to resist all the efforts of the four powers united, when it was finally shown that an English squadron would be sufficient to subdue him. These errors, he continues, were public, national, everywhere spread, and maintained in the Chambers as well as in the country, in the opposition as well as in the government. "The hour of disappointment was come, and it was the cabinet over which M. Thiers presided which had to bear the burthen." † Louis Philippe refused his assent to the warlike speech which M. Thiers proposed for the opening of the Chambers. The ministry resigned, and Soult and Guizot were their successors.

The belligerent spirit which had been called forth in France by these differences between the English and French governments were not likely to subside into cordial friendship under the influence of a pageant which recalled the glories and the humiliations of the Empire. The population of Paris had the gratification of a magnificent spectacle on the 15th of December, when the remains of Napoleon were interred in the church of the Invalides. The procession has been described as wearing more of a triumphant than a funeral air. Long cavalcades of troops were succeeded by a few mourning coaches; Grenadiers of the Old Guard and Mame-

* Hansard, vol. lvi. col. 254.

† "Mémoires," tome v. p. 644.

lukes followed the splendid car on which was placed the body. Imperial eagles veiled with crape were carried by eighty-six non-commissioned officers. Even to the sword and the hat of the Emperor, which were laid upon the coffin, the whole solemnity was calculated to call up remembrances of the past which were not favourable to the security of the reigning family. There was no tumult ; but there were demonstrations of popular feeling which showed that the pacific policy of the king and of his new ministry was not so welcome to the populace as M. Thiers and war with Europe.

The public interest in foreign affairs became merged in the more immediate importance of the discussions upon great subjects of domestic policy, which signalized the Session of parliament opened by the queen on the 26th of January, 1841. This Session will be memorable, not more for the defeat of the Whig government after ten years of power, than for the unexpected adoption of the principles of free-trade by that government, after a long period of indecision, if not of hostility to any vital changes, especially in regard to the Corn-Laws. In February, 1839, Mr. Villiers was not supported by the members of the Cabinet, with the exception of Mr. Poulett Thompson, in his motion that evidence should be taken at the bar of the House on the operation of the Corn-Laws. Lord John Russell had, however, declared to his constituents at Stroud that the time had come for a change in the Corn-Laws, which he considered as indefensible in principle. The motion of Mr. Villiers was negatived by a majority of a hundred and eighty-one. Between the Session of 1839 and that of 1841 a most effective agitation against these laws had been carried on throughout the country. Associations were established in Manchester, and in other great manufacturing and commercial towns, for promoting the principles of free-trade ; and their scattered efforts were effectually combined by the formation of the Anti-Corn-Law League. This name was adopted by a convention of three hundred delegates assembled in London at the opening of the Session of 1839. Large sums were subscribed for the purpose of circulating tracts, and for engaging lecturers to enlighten public opinion upon the injurious operation of the Corn-Laws and other laws called protective. These efforts produced necessarily strong convictions in the minds of many of the thinking part of the community. But the principle of abandoning protection for agriculture could scarcely be expected to find favour with any large portion of landlords and cultivators, who believed that a fall in prices would be the ruin of their property and the bane of their industry. The class of mechanics and factory-workers were too ready to believe the fallacy proclaimed in

parliament, that a fall in the price of food would inevitably produce a lowering of the wages of labour. The Chartists always presented themselves in force at the Anti-Corn-Law Meetings, to maintain the one panacea for the distress of the country—universal suffrage. It is difficult therefore to imagine that when lord John Russell, on the 7th of May, 1841, announced the intention of the government to propose a fixed duty on the importation of foreign corn, instead of the sliding-scale which was then in operation, the Cabinet had come to this resolve solely with the object of obtaining some popularity that might eventually compensate them for the gradually increasing loss of their strength in parliament. The popular opinion was decidedly against them. The interests that would be affected by Free Trade were too deeply rooted in old habits and traditions—the benefits were too remote—to be swayed by the convictions of believers in the doctrine that legislation ought first to have regard to the general good—that of the consumers. Members of the government were necessarily open to the charge of inconsistency. Lord Melbourne, on the 3rd of May, was twitted by the duke of Buckingham that in the previous year, upon the motion of earl Fitzwilliam, “that it is expedient to reconsider the laws relating to the importation of foreign corn,” he had opposed the motion, believing that the advantages to be gained by the change were not worth the evils of the struggle. Lord Melbourne answered this reproof by stating that the words which he used also conveyed his opinion that a time might come, and circumstances might arise, when this question must be taken into consideration. Those circumstances had arisen; that time in his opinion had now come when it was found necessary, in order to meet the exigencies of the country, to adopt wide and extensive financial measures. Sir Robert Peel, in Part III. of his Memoirs relating to “Corn-Laws, 1845—46,” says that at the close of the Session of 1845 “the progress of discussion had made a material change in the opinions of many persons with regard to the policy of protection to domestic agriculture, and the extent to which that policy should be carried.” * He names lord John Russell and lord Melbourne as having adopted, as well as himself, the opinions on this subject generally prevalent at an early period of his public life among men of all parties. It would seem, therefore, rather unjust not to give the Whig Cabinet of 1841 some credit for being influenced by “the progress of discussion,” instead of repeating the charge commonly made against them of having rushed into free-trade principles “to make a bid for popularity” when the agitation of the League had produced its effect

* “Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel,” vol. ii. p. 98.

upon public opinion. Little effect had been produced in 1841. What lord John Russell proposed was not accepted by the League, which in point of fact repudiated his plan of a fixed duty, demanding an absolute freedom from all duty upon foreign corn.

The "wide and extensive financial measures" to which lord Melbourne alluded on the 3rd of May, were brought forward in propositions by the Chancellor of the Exchequer in his budget, to raise the duty on colonial timber, reducing that on Baltic timber; and to keep the existing duty on colonial sugar, lowering the duty on foreign sugar. These propositions were really a considerable step in the direction of Free Trade. The proposal in reference to the sugar duties was brought forward by lord John Russell in a Committee of Ways and Means on the 7th of May. During eight nights was this proposal debated with all the zeal that was stimulated by the fears of West India merchants and Canadian timber merchants, and by the more influential terrors of the landed interest. All saw in this abandonment of the principle of protection a dismal future of the general ruin of domestic industry. It was expected, moreover, in this protracted debate that the result would involve the fall of the Whig government, which had prematurely and rashly espoused the Free Trade doctrines. A necessary consequence would be the restoration to power of the Conservative party, who, it was supposed, would always hold to the principles of Protection, which Toryism had never willingly relaxed even when under the pressure of the economical doctrines of Canning and Huskisson. The importance of these debates on the sugar-duties has necessarily in a great measure passed away; but it is interesting to look back upon the opinions maintained by two of the most accomplished debaters of that day—sir Robert Peel and lord Palmerston. Sir Robert Peel maintained that if the principle of Free Trade announced by the government was that, without reference to any other consideration, we should go to the cheapest market, he could not concur in it. "In a country of such complicated relations as this, the rigid application of such a principle would involve us in inextricable confusion." Never, on the other hand, were Free Trade principles more ably advocated than by lord Palmerston. "We intend to supply the deficiency of the revenue by striking a blow at some of the great monopolies which have hitherto retarded the prosperity of the country. . . . The question is, whether the great springs of our national industry shall be relieved from some of those artificial obstructions which have hitherto retarded their development, or whether the sources of our national prosperity shall, for the benefit of private interests

and of privileged classes, continue to be choked up. The question is between Free Trade (and by Free Trade I mean trade open to competition) on the one side, and monopoly on the other. The question is between reason and prejudice: between the interests of the many and the profits of the few. . . . Protection, in the sense in which the word is used by those who now oppose the plan of the government, is a tax levied upon the industry and skill of the mass of the community to enable a few to remain indolent and unskilful. Such protection is not only erroneous in principle, but, after all, utterly useless to those for whose particular benefit it is maintained. Show me a trade that is free, by which I mean, open to fair competition, and I will show you a trade carried on with intelligence, enterprise, and success." From his own official experience he adduced many examples that "Protection is a game that two can play at." He showed conclusively that it was impossible that a great country like England could go on protecting, as it was called, its various interests, and that other countries should not follow its example.*

In his reply, which concluded the last night of the debate, lord John Russell emphatically asserted the principle of a free trade in corn. The noble lord the member from North Lancashire (lord Stanley) "had said, that the producer of corn could not depend upon his own skill and industry, but must rely upon the sunshine or the storm for the abundance or scarcity of his crop. That was true as to the producer of a particular country; he must depend upon a higher power. But the same power that directs the storm had given a remedy for any local disadvantage, for such was the bounty and benevolence of Providence, that if in one country there was a bad season and a deficient crop, another was blest with a good season and an abundant harvest. It was fortunately in the power of man, by his skill and ingenuity and the means they gave of traversing the ocean, to take advantage of the beneficence of the Creator. If that intercourse were not permitted by short-sightedness and error, do not let it be said that it was to the infliction of heaven that a deficiency of food was to be attributed. Let the blame be laid where it was due. Let the laws be blamed which defeated the magnificent scheme by which plenty would be given to all the nations of the world, more or less depending upon each other, and keeping up a kindly and a beneficial intercourse. Let the laws be blamed which blasted the fair prospects of a nation, and inflicted the curse of sterility, barrenness, and scarcity upon a land where plenty might reign, and marred the gracious designs of Providence by unjust legislation." †

* Hansard, vol. lviii. col. 655.

† *Ibid.*, col. 666.

Upon the question of the Sugar Duties the ministers were defeated by a vote of 317 to 281. With this majority of 36 against them it was expected that there would have been an immediate resignation. The notice to quit did not expel the tenants of Downing Street. On the 27th of May sir Robert Peel moved, "that her majesty's ministers do not sufficiently possess the confidence of the House of Commons to enable them to carry through the House measures which they deem of essential importance to the public welfare; and that their continuance in office under such circumstances is at variance with the spirit of the constitution." This Resolution was carried by a vote of 312 to 311. On the 7th of June lord John Russell stated that the ministry had determined to appeal to the country. On the 22nd the parliament was prorogued by the queen, and the dissolution was proclaimed the next day.

When the new Parliament met on the 19th of August, 1841, it had been ascertained with tolerable accuracy that the results of the elections were wholly unfavourable to the continued existence of the ministry. What was called the Conservative principle was not only triumphant over the Free-trade principle boldly proclaimed at the last hour by the Whigs; but many of their former supporters, now known as the Radical party, were either distinctly hostile towards the ministry, or very lukewarm in their support. The parliamentary trial of strength was close at hand. Mr. Charles Shaw Lefevre was re-elected Speaker without opposition. The queen did not open the Parliament in person, the Speech being read by the Lords Commissioners. With the absolute certainty that a change of administration would very quickly ensue, some expressions in the Speech from the throne must have appeared rather as the challenge to a future contest from a retreating force to an enemy about to drive it from the field, than as the measured and conciliatory language of the sovereign to those ranged in battle array on either side. The attention of Parliament was in this Speech called to the revision of duties affecting the productions of foreign countries. It would be for Parliament to consider not only whether some of those duties were unproductive to the revenue, but were vexatious to commerce; whether, further, the principle of Protection, upon which others were founded, was not carried to an extent injurious alike to the income of the state and the interests of the people. Her Majesty also expressed her desire that the Parliament should consider the laws which regulated trade in corn. It would be for them to determine whether those laws did not aggravate the natural fluctuations of supply, embarrass trade, derange currency, and diminish the

comfort and increase the privations of the great body of the community. Lord Stanley, on a subsequent night, expressed his regret that the Speech had been so framed as to be liable to misconstruction in the eyes of the country; that its recommendations appeared to be the recommendations of the Crown, and not of the ministers who constitutionally advised the Crown. Lord John Russell answered, that he thought it was generally understood that the Speech from the throne was the Speech of ministers; the Speech was the result of the advice of ministers, and ministers alone were responsible for it. M. Guizot shrewdly observes, that with the sagacity of party spirit the adversaries of sir Robert Peel estimated more accurately than perhaps he did himself the difficulties which awaited him. "Retiring with every possible advantage, the Whigs charged sir Robert Peel to repair their faults, and to perform their promises." *

In the House of Commons an Amendment to the Address was moved by Mr. Stuart Wortley, to the effect that the public expenditure having for several years exceeded the annual income, the House was convinced of the necessity of adopting measures for the purpose of remedying so great an evil; that it was the duty of the House humbly to submit to her Majesty that it was essential to the satisfactory results of their deliberations in reference to the subjects to which their attention had been especially directed, and other matters of public concern, "that your Majesty's government should possess the confidence of this House and of the country; and respectfully to represent to your Majesty that that confidence is not reposed in the present advisers of your Majesty." The debate on the Address was continued four nights. The speeches by the leaders of the two parties, sir Robert Peel and lord John Russell, were necessarily the most important. Sir Robert Peel, in concluding his address, said "If I accept office, it shall be by walking in the open light and in the direct paths of the constitution. If I exercise power, it shall be upon my conception—perhaps imperfect—perhaps mistaken—but my sincere conception of public duty. That power I will not hold unless I can hold it consistently with the maintenance of my own opinions, and that power I will relinquish the moment I am satisfied that I am not supported in the maintenance of them by the confidence of the House and of the people of this country." † The conclusion of lord John Russell's speech was equally emphatic: "I have only to express my conviction, that if this country is governed by enlarged and liberal counsels, its power and might will spread and increase, its influ-

* Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 91. † Hansard, vol. lix. col. 429.

ence will become greater and greater; that liberal principles will prevail, and civilization will be spread to all parts of the globe; that you will bless millions by your dominion and mankind by your example."* On the 27th of August the House divided on Mr. Wortley's Amendment, when in a House of six hundred and twenty-nine members the government was in a minority of ninety-one. In the House of Lords, when an Amendment to the Address, similar to that of the Commons, had been proposed by the earl of Ripon, the majority against ministers was seventy-two. Her Majesty's answer to the amended Address of the House of Commons conveyed the only decision which could be made by a constitutional sovereign. "Ever anxious to listen to the advice of the Parliament, I will take immediate measures for the formation of a new administration." The resignation of ministers was announced in both Houses on the 30th of August.

The biographer of sir Robert Peel has said, "Never perhaps had a first minister united at his accession so many elements and guarantees of a safe and strong government. But he was called on to perform the most difficult of tasks—a task essentially incoherent and contradictory. He was obliged to be at once a Conservative and a Reformer, and to carry along with him, in this double course a majority incoherent in itself, and swayed, in reality, by immovable and untractable interests, prejudices, and passions."† Sir Robert Peel has given his own testimony to the difficulties which beset his path at the very onset of his attempts as a commercial reformer. One of his first acts was to propose to his colleagues a material change in the Corn-Law of 1828. He had also to propose a removal of the prohibition which existed on the import of foreign cattle and meat. "These changes," he says, "although they gave little satisfaction to the most eager opponents of the Corn Law, and were indeed denounced by some as perfectly nugatory, were not effected without great murmuring and some open opposition to the government on the part of many of its supporters. The duke of Buckingham resigned his seat in the Cabinet rather than be a party to them, nor was it an easy matter to procure the unanimous adoption of the measures I proposed by the remaining members of the government."‡

* Hansard, vol. lix. col. 449.

† Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 89.

‡ "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," vol. ii. p.

THE MINISTRY AS FORMED BY SIR ROBERT PEEL.

CABINET.

Lord Wharncliffe	Lord President of the Council.
Lord Lyndhurst	Lord High Chancellor.
Duke of Buckingham*	Lord Privy Seal.
Right Hon. Sir Robert Peel	First Lord of the Treasury.
Right Hon. Henry Goulburn	Chancellor of the Exchequer.
Earl of Haddington	First Lord of the Admiralty.
Right Hon. Sir James Graham, Bart.	Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Earl of Aberdeen	Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Lord Stanley	Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Lord Ellenborough †	President of the Board of Control.
Earl of Ripon	President of the Board of Trade.
Right Hon. Sir Henry Hardinge	Secretary of War.
Right Hon. Sir E. Knatchbull, Bart.	Paymaster-General.
Duke of Wellington	Without office.

NOT OF THE CABINET.

Lord Lowther	Postmaster-General.
Lord Granville Somerset	Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster.
Right Hon. W. E. Gladstone	Vice-President of the Board of Trade and Master of the Mint.
Earl of Lincoln	First Commissioner of Land Revenue.
Right Hon. Sir George Murray	Master-General of the Ordnance.
Sir Frederick Pollock	Attorney-General.
Sir William Webb Follett	Solicitor-General.

GREAT OFFICERS OF STATE.

Earl of Liverpool!	Lord Stewart.
Earl Delawarr	Lord Chamberlain.
Earl of Jersey	Master of the Horse.

IRELAND.

Earl de Grey	Lord Lieutenant.
Sir Edward Sugden	Lord High Chancellor.
Lord Eliot	Chief Secretary.

SCOTLAND.

Right. Hon. Sir William Rae, Bart.	Lord Advocate.
Duncan M'Neil, Esq.	Solicitor-General.

* His Grace resigned January 31, and was succeeded by the Duke of Buccleuch.

† Lord Ellenborough accepted the Governor-Generalship of India, and was succeeded by Lord Fitzgerald and Vesel, October 23.

CHAPTER XIII.

War with China and War with Afghanistan.—Commercial Relations with China.—Beginnings of the Opium War.—Its Moral Aspects.—Debates in Parliament.—Chusan captured.—Treaty made at Canton repudiated by the Emperor.—Canton attacked.—Arrival of Sir H. Pottinger.—Capture of Chin-Kiang-Foo.—Treaty of Nankin.—Afghanistan.—Lord Auckland, Governor-general of India.—Causes of the Afghan War.—British Army crosses the Indus.—The Bolan Pass.—Siege of Ghuznee.—Cabul entered in triumph.—Shah Soojah restored.—False security at Cabul.—Afghan plots.—Massacre of Burnes.—The British Army in peril.—Massacre of MacNaghten.—Capitulation of the British.—The Retreat from Cabul.—Ladies and children given up.—Destruction of the Army.—One survivor arrives at Jellalabad.—Sale's Defence of Jellalabad.—Arrival of General Pollock.—Cabul retaken.—Release of the prisoners.—The Army returns to India.—Lord Ellenborough's proclamations.—Gates of Somnauth.

AT this point of our domestic history it may be convenient to take a rapid view of the events connected with two distant wars in which the country was now engaged—a war with China and a war in Afghanistan. Briefly referring to the origin of each of these serious contests, we shall conduct the narrative to the period when peace was concluded with the Chinese government, and when terrible calamities in India were overcome, and security was again won by the triumphs of our arms. We begin with China.

In the Session of Parliament which was opened on the 16th of January, 1840, it was announced, in the Speech of the Queen, that “events had happened in China, interrupting commercial intercourse.” The circumstances attending this interruption were debated in the House of Commons on the 7th, 8th, and 9th of April, upon a resolution moved by Sir James Graham, to the effect that the interruption in our commercial and friendly intercourse with China, and the hostilities which had since taken place, were mainly to be attributed to the want of foresight on the part of her majesty's advisers, and especially in their neglect to furnish the superintendent at Canton with powers and instructions calculated to provide against the growing evils connected with the contraband trade in opium. As the circumstances which led to this first war between Great Britain and the Chinese empire are really more important than any minute details of the events of the war, we must, as briefly as we can, relate what was the position of affairs up to the time when the discussion on Sir James Graham's motion took place.

By the new charter of the East India Company, granted in 1833, the Company's monopoly of the China trade was abolished. It had probably not been sufficiently considered that serious collision with a government that had so long and so pertinaciously insisted upon the exclusion of foreigners would be the natural consequence of the position in which the trade with China was now placed. The change was calculated to inspire vague fears of aggression amongst those who were not unacquainted with the fact that the merchants who, not a century before, had humbly asked for permission to establish a trade in India, were now the masters of that country, and that the descendants of Aurungzebe were their pensioners. The Chinese, however, had got accustomed to the East India Company, whose officers pretended to no public capacity, who came as humble merchants, and who kept up a quiet sleepy trade. In this intercourse there were occasional quarrels between the natives and the foreigners, but their traffic, whether legal or illegal, kept on its jog-trot course by the adroit management of the Company's agents, who could calculate to a nicety the amount of bribery that was necessary to propitiate a Chinese official. The British legislature upset all this; abolished supercargoes, vesting their authority in king's officers instead of mercantile agents; and authorized the executive to employ a Superintendent, with instructions to get into direct communication with the Chinese authorities. The number of European ships, merchants, and seamen, rapidly increased. The contraband trade in opium was suddenly augmented. In the first ten years of the present century the exports of opium from India to China averaged about 2500 chests; in 1833 they exceeded 23,000 chests, having risen from 13,000 chests in the previous year; and the subsequent average of five years was about 19,000 chests.* Opium was always an article prohibited by the Chinese government, or rather by the public acts of the Court of Peking. The provincial authorities invariably nullified everything which was done by the superior power to discountenance the illicit trade, so that when the government of Peking attempted to put down that traffic between Lin-tin and Canton, the viceroy of Canton substituted himself for the other opium smugglers, so that the whole affair bore the aspect of a juggle among the Chinese authorities.† The Imperial government of China increased the severity of the law against opium smuggling, but still the smuggling went on, and especially increased on the east coast. There was a belief in 1837-8 that the trade

* MacCulloch's "Commercial Dictionary," article, Opium.

† Speech of Mr. Charles Buller, Hansard, vol. liii. col. 788.

would be legalized. A mandarin in high authority at Peking, having sounder notions about smuggling than had prevailed in Europe with some persons, proposed that the sale of opium should be legalized, it being admitted like other goods with a duty of seven dollars a chest, whilst the expense of smuggling amounted to forty dollars. In a very able state paper he argued that the increased severity of the law against opium had only tended to increase the amount of the bribe paid to the official underlings for their connivance. The unfortunate mandarin was banished for his liberal advice, and the Imperial government adopted more violent but less effectual measures to put down the opium trade.* There were two motives which influenced the Court of Peking. They were alarmed at the rapid drain of silver for the purchase of opium, and they had to protect the interests of native poppy-growers. Lord Palmerston quaintly said, in the debate on the 9th of April, "The fact was that this was an exportation-of-bullion question, an agricultural-interest-protection question." † The Chinese authorities were at last brought into open collision with the resident British merchants. In consequence of an attempt to strangle a Chinese opium-smuggler in front of the factories at Canton, there was an affray between the Europeans and the Chinese. Captain Elliot, the Superintendent at Canton, issued a notice requiring all British-owned vessels trading in opium to leave the river within three days. But this measure did not conciliate the High Commissioner from the Imperial Court, Lin-Tsih-Sew, who in 1839 commanded all opium in British ships, whether in the Canton river or on the coast of China, to be given up. All the foreign residents being forbidden to leave China, Captain Elliot joined his countrymen in the Factories, which were surrounded by Chinese soldiers. More than twenty thousand chests of opium were delivered up and destroyed. War was now imminent. Captain Elliot applied for a naval force, and in October two English frigates were blockading Canton. These were attacked by Chinese war-junks, which were beaten off with great loss. In January, 1840, an imperial edict directed all trade with Great Britain to cease for ever.

Thus commenced what has been called the Opium War. Putting aside the consideration of the treatment of this subject as a party question—one which so nearly involved the fall of the Ministry, that in a House of five hundred and thirty-three members, they had only a majority of nine upon Sir James Graham's motion—it is startling, after this lapse of time, to trace the very different views which its moral aspects presented. The combatants were

* Davis's "Chinese," chap. iv.

† Hansard, vol. liii. col. 940.

fighting about the colour of a shield which showed its blackness on one side and its whiteness on the other. The representative of the British Government, it was argued by the supporters of the Ministry, had been treated in a manner contrary to all public law, and the whole body of English traders had been subjected to imprisonment and indignities in consequence of offences in which they had no participation. Exact reparation for these injuries, said Mr. Macaulay, In one of his despatches Captain Elliot describes his arrival at the Factory in the moment of extreme danger. "As soon as he landed he was surrounded by his countrymen, all in an agony of distress and despair. The first thing which he did was to order the British flag to be brought from his boat and planted in the balcony. The sight immediately revived the hearts of those who had a minute before given themselves up for lost. It was natural that they should look up with hope and confidence to that victorious flag, for it reminded them that they belonged to a country unaccustomed to defeat, to submission, or to shame; to a country which had exacted such reparation for the wrongs of her children as had made the ears of all who heard of it to tingle; to a country which had made the Dey of Algiers humble himself to the dust before her insulted Consul; to a country which had avenged the victims of the Black Hole on the Field of Plassey; to a country which had not degenerated since the great Protector vowed that he would make the name of Englishman as much respected as ever had been the name of Roman citizen."* "I am not competent," answered Mr. Gladstone, "to judge how long this war may last, or how protracted may be its operations, but this I can say, that a war more unjust in its origin, a war more calculated in its progress to cover this country with permanent disgrace, I do not know, and I have not read of." He asked, with reference to the eloquent description of the British flag planted on the balcony at Canton, "How comes it to pass that the sight of that flag always raises the spirits of Englishmen? It is because it has always been associated with the cause of justice, with opposition to oppression, with respect for national rights, with honourable commercial enterprise; but now, under the auspices of the noble lord, that flag is hoisted to protect an infamous contraband traffic, and if it were never to be hoisted except as it is now hoisted on the coast of China, we should recoil from its sight with horror, and should never again feel our hearts thrill, as they now thrill, with emotion, when it floats proudly and magnificently on the breeze." † Such were the contrary views in 1840 of the nature of this

* Macaulay's Speeches, p. 227.

† Hansard, vol. liii. col. 818.

war; such are the contrary views which still prevail amongst those who write upon this war. The China merchants of London, in a Memorial to lord Palmerston, expressed their opinion that unless the measures of the government were followed up with firmness and energy, the trade with China would no longer be conducted with security to life and property. This was the commercial point of view. The sentimental point of view is that, confident in our military power and the comparative ignorance of the Chinese in the arts of war, we forced upon them the contraband drug which the government would have kept out of the reach of the intemperate. The opponents of the Whig ministry in 1840 did not, however, deny the necessity of a hostile demonstration with respect to China. Sir Robert Peel said that, "after what had passed, British honour and the British name would be disgraced unless some measures were taken to procure reparation for the injuries and insults which had been committed on us. . . . Again and again I say, do not enter into this war without a becoming spirit—a spirit becoming the name and character of England. Do not forget the peculiar character of the people with whom you have to deal, and so temper your measures that as little evil as possible may remain. Remember that the character of the people has lasted for many generations; that it is the same now that was given to them by Pliny and many subsequent writers. It is your duty to vindicate the honour of England where vindication is necessary, and to demand reparation wherever reparation is due."*

A small naval force having been left in the Canton river to maintain a blockade, the British fleet sailed northward along the coast of China. The first important operation of the war was the capture on the 5th of July, by the squadron, of the city of Ting-hai in Chusan. The Chusan islands, upon the possession of which the maritime intercourse of the eastern coast of China essentially depended, were captured after a slight resistance. Admiral Elliot arrived as plenipotentiary at Chusan on the day on which the city was taken, and he despatched to Ning-po a letter from lord Palmerston to be transmitted to the emperor of China at Peking. The authorities at Ning-po refused to receive or to forward the letters. A blockade was consequently declared of the east coast, from Ning-po to the mouth of the Kiang. Captain Elliot in August entered the Pei-ho, which flows past Peking on the south. The letter of lord Palmerston was now forwarded, and on the 30th of August a conference was held between captain Elliot and the emperor's minister Keshen, who was subsequently appointed

* Hansard, vol. liii. col. 923.

Imperial Commissioner, Lin having been deprived of his office. Admiral Elliot somewhat indiscreetly consented to transfer the negotiations for peace to Canton, thus neutralizing the effect that had been produced by the successes at Chusan, and by the approach of British vessels of war to the vicinity of Peking. The admiral soon after resigned. A truce which had been announced by admiral Elliot was violated, in the midst of the negotiations, by an edict that all Englishmen and ships should be destroyed wherever they were met with near China. The forts Chuen-pe and Tae-cok-tow on the Canton river were now stormed and captured, and the Chinese squadron of war junks collected in Anson's Bay was destroyed. Negotiations were then resumed; and on the 20th of January, Captain Elliot issued a circular stating that a treaty had been agreed to by Keshen, the conditions of which were that Hong-Kong should be ceded to England; that six million dollars should be paid by the Chinese; that the trade should be opened within ten days; and that there should be direct official communication between the two countries on equal terms. Formal possession was taken of Hong-Kong, and Chusan was evacuated—not too soon—for the troops left there had been greatly reduced by the unhealthiness of the climate. Keshen proclaimed that the English barbarians were now obedient to order, and that all affairs were perfectly well settled. But, on the 11th of February an Imperial edict was received from Peking by the Commissioner at Canton, disapproving and rejecting the conditions agreed to by him. The war was now resumed with increased vigour.

On the 2nd of March general Gough,—who had entered the British army in 1794, had greatly distinguished himself in the Peninsular war, and in 1837 was in command of a division of the Indian army,—arrived in China to take the command of the British land forces. From the renewal of hostilities to the end of April there had been armistice upon armistice, during which the attempts of Captain Elliot to negotiate were repeatedly defeated by the intervention of the Imperial government. Keshen was degraded and deprived of his office for consenting to these suspensions of hostility, the Imperial edicts proclaiming, “it is difficult for heaven and earth to bear any longer with the English.” General Gough saw that this temporizing policy would only prolong the war, and be more injurious to the Chinese themselves than its vigorous prosecution. He determined to attack Canton. It was resolved to make the principal points of debarkation of the British forces to the north-west of the city, while another column was to take possession of the Factories, which had been ceded to the Chinese.

The attack upon the Factories was very soon successful. The point chosen for debarkation on the north-west was about five miles by the river line above the Factories. The troops were landed on the 23rd, and early on the morning of the 24th proceeded to the attack. General Gough describes the heights to the north of Canton. They were crowned by four strong forts, and the city walls ran over their southern extremity. The intervening ground between the point of landing and the forts was undulated, and intersected by hollows under wet paddy cultivation. The walls of Canton, of brick on a foundation of red sandstone, were about twenty feet thick, and varied in height from twenty-five to forty feet. At this time there were twenty thousand Tartar troops, famous for their courage and daring, garrisoning the city. On the 24th the two western forts were captured with comparatively small loss, and "in little more than half an hour after the order to advance was given, the British troops looked down on Canton within a hundred paces of its walls."* It was intended next day to assault the city itself, but the attack was prevented by a flag of truce being hoisted on the walls. Captain Elliot wrote to the general, requesting him to suspend hostilities, as he was employed in settlement of the difficulties. The terms were little different to those which had been formerly agreed to, and to which the Chinese government had refused its assent. General Gough observed upon this termination of the conflict: "Whatever might be my sentiments, my duty was to acquiesce; the attack, which was to have commenced in forty-five minutes, was countermanded, and the feelings of the Chinese were spared. Of the policy of this measure I do not consider myself a competent judge; but I say 'feelings,' as I would have been responsible that Canton should be equally spared, with the exception of its defences, and that not a soldier should have entered the town farther than the fortified heights within its walls." The terror induced by the operations of the fleet and army extorted from the authorities of Canton the payment of six million dollars as a ransom for the city.

Although trade was resumed in Canton, peace was still distant. The Imperial edicts still breathed vengeance against the "barbarians;" the British government disapproved the arrangements of captain Elliot. Sir Henry Pottinger, who arrived as Plenipotentiary on the 10th of August, took the chief direction of the affairs which had so long been in the hands of the Superintendent. He immediately published a copy of his credentials, authorizing and empowering him "to negotiate and conclude with the minister

* General Gough's Despatch, "Annual Register," 1841, p. 282.

vested with similar power and authority on the part of the emperor of China, any treaty or agreement for the arrangement of the differences now subsisting between Great Britain and China." He also issued a notification, in which, after stating his anxiety to promote the prosperity of all her majesty's subjects and other foreigners, he went on to say that "it was his first duty distinctly to intimate for general and individual information, that it was his intention to devote his undivided energies and thoughts to the primary object of securing a speedy and satisfactory close of the war; and that he therefore could allow no consideration connected with mercantile pursuits and other interests to interfere with the strong measures which he might find it necessary to authorize and adopt towards the government and subjects of China, with a view to compelling an honourable and lasting peace."

To the end of 1841 there were various successes achieved by the land and naval forces, which gave the British possession of many large fortified towns, amongst which were Amoy, Ting-hai, Chin-hai, Ning-po, and Shang-hai. The Chinese were nevertheless persevering in their resistance, and in most cases evinced a bravery which showed how mistaken were the views which regarded the subjection of this extraordinary people as an easy task. To the end of June these successes had produced no overtures from the Imperial government evincing a real desire for a pacification. The British fleet on the 13th of June entered the great river Kiang, and on the 6th of July advanced up the river, and cut off its communication with the Grand Canal, by which Nanking, the ancient capital of China, was supplied with grain. The point where the river intersects the canal is the city of Chin-Kiang-foo. "This city, with its walls in excellent repair, stands within little more than half a mile from the river; the northern and the eastern faces upon a range of steep hills; the west and southern faces on low ground, with the Imperial Canal serving in some measure as a wet ditch to these faces. To the westward the suburb through which the canal passes extends to the river and terminates under a precipitous hill."* On the morning of the 21st the city was stormed by the British, in three brigades. The resistance of the Tartar troops was most desperate. Our troops fought under a burning sun, whose overpowering heat caused some to fall dead. The obstinate defence of the place prevented its being taken till six o'clock in the evening. When the streets were entered, the houses were found almost deserted. They were filled with ghastly corpses, many of the Tartar soldiers having destroyed their families, and then committed suicide.

* "Annual Register," 1842, p. 273.

The city, from the number of the dead, had become uninhabitable. On the 9th of August the British fleet, proceeding up the river, had arrived before Nanking. General Gough determined to storm the fortified city, containing half a million of inhabitants. The debarkation was suspended, upon a communication from sir Henry Pottinger that he was negotiating with high officers of the empire who had now the direct authority of the emperor to treat for peace. The treaty was finally signed before Nanking on board the Cornwallis on the 29th of August, by sir Henry Pottinger on the part of Great Britain, and by Ke-ying, Elepoo, and Neu-Kien, on the part of the emperor of China. Its most important provisions were as follows: Lasting peace and friendship were to be maintained between the two empires; China was to pay to Great Britain twenty-one millions of dollars within four years; the ports of Canton, Amoy, Foo-choo-foo, Ning-po, and Shang-hai, were to be thrown open to British merchants, consular officers were to be allowed to reside at these ports, and just tariffs, as well as inland transit duties, established and published; Hong-Kong island to be ceded in perpetuity to Great Britain; all British subjects, whether natives of Europe or of India, then in confinement in any part of the Chinese empire, to be unconditionally released; an amnesty to be published by the emperor to all Chinese subjects on account of their having held service under the British government or its officers; correspondence between the officers of the two governments to be conducted on terms of perfect equality. On receipt of the emperor's assent to the treaty, and of the first six million dollars of the indemnity, the British forces were to retire from Nanking and the Grand Canal, and the military posts at Chin-hai were to be withdrawn; but the islands of Chusan and Ku-lang-su were to be held till the whole amount of the indemnity was paid, and the ports opened. On the 8th of September the emperor signified his assent to the treaty; which, on the last day of the year, received the ratification of the great seal of England.

On the 10th of September, 1838, lord Auckland, the Governor-general of India, who had entered upon his office at the end of 1835, proclaimed in General Orders his intention to employ a force beyond the North-West frontier. On the 1st of October he published a declaration of the causes and objects of the war. The ostensible object was to replace Shah Soojah on the throne of Cabul, the troubles and revolutions of Afghanistan having placed the capital and a large part of the country under the sway of Dost Mahomed Khan, Shah Soojah, driven from his dominions, had become a pensioner of the East India Company, and resided in

the British cantonment of Lodiana. Dost Mahomed had in May, 1836, addressed a letter to lord Auckland, which conveyed his desire to secure the friendship of the British government. He was desirous of obtaining the aid of the British against Persia, whose troops was besieging Herat, and to recover Peshawur from Runjeet Singh, the ruler of the Punjaub. The Governor-general in 1837 despatched Capt. Alexander Burnes as an envoy to Cabul. He was received with great courtesy. His instructions did not allow him to give any hopes of British assistance to Dost Mahomed. Soon after the arrival of Burnes a Russian envoy arrived at Cabul, who was liberal in his promises, but whose authority was afterwards disavowed by his government. In the posthumous narrative by sir Alexander Burnes of his journey to Cabul, he says that the tranquillity which had dawned on the east, and the invasion of Afghanistan by the Persians on the west, "had a prejudicial effect at Cabul, which was further heightened by the presence of an agent from Russia, who reached the place soon after my arrival. To the east, the fears of Dost Mahomed Khan were allayed—to the west they were increased; and in this state of things his hopes were so worked upon, that the ultimate result was his estrangement from the British government."* Capt. Burnes carried back with him a belief that Russia was meditating an attack upon British India, having established her influence in Persia; that Dost Mahomed was treacherous; and that the true way to raise a barrier against the ambition of Russia was to place the dethroned Shah Soojah upon the throne of Cabul, as he had numerous friends in the country. The alarm of the possible danger of a Russian invasion through Persia and Afghanistan led to the declaration of war against Dost Mahomed in the autumn of 1838, and to the preparation for hostilities under a Governor-general whose declared policy, at the commencement of his rule, was to maintain the peace which had been scarcely interrupted since the conclusion of the Birman war. But it is not to the apprehensions alone of the envoy to Cabul, or the impressions produced by him upon the sensitiveness of the Governor-general, that we must wholly impute the resolve to put forth the British strength in a distant and dangerous expedition. There was an universal impression throughout India that some imminent danger was about to assail us on the north-west; that a powerful combination of hostile powers, of which Russia was the head, was about to pour down upon our territories, whose arrival would be the signal for a general rise amongst the neighbouring

* "Personal Narrative," p. 143.

States and in our own provinces. * This general feeling of alarm was confirmed by the representations made to the Governor-general from all the intelligent men who were in the Government, or connected with the different districts in India.† Unquestionably there was a panic, and under such circumstances the heaviest charge against lord Auckland would have been that he remained in supine indifference.

On the 14th of February the Bengal division of the army under sir Willoughby Cotton crossed the Indus at Bukkur. The Indus is here divided into two channels, one of which is nearly five hundred yards in breadth. The passage of eight thousand men with a vast camp-train and sixteen thousand camels was effected without a single casualty. Sir John Cam Hobhouse, in moving the thanks of the House of Commons to the Indian army, in February, 1840, read a glowing description of this passage. "It was a gallant sight to see brigade after brigade, with its martial music and its glittering arms, marching over file by file, horse, foot, and artillery, into a region as yet untrodden by British soldiers." He quoted also from a periodical publication an eloquent allusion to the grand historical contrasts of this expedition. "For the first time since the days of Alexander the Great, a civilized army had penetrated the mighty barrier of deserts and mountains which separates Persia from Hindustan; and the prodigy has been exhibited to an astonished world of a remote island in the European seas pushing forward its mighty arms into the heart of Asia, and carrying its victorious standards into the strongholds of Mohammedan faith and the cradle of the Mogul empire."‡ The Bengal army was preceded by a small body of troops under the orders of Shah Soojah, and it was followed by the Bombay division under the command of sir John Keane. Into an almost unknown and untrodden country twenty-one thousand troops had entered through the Bolan Pass. Sir Willoughby Cotton, with the Bengal column, entered this Pass in the beginning of April. Beloochee rulers had rendered him all the aid in their power, but the Beloochee freebooters were murdering stragglers and cutting off baggage and cattle. The passage of this formidable Pass, nearly sixty miles in length, was accomplished in six days. For the first eleven and a half miles into the Pass the only road is the bed of the Bolan river. The mountains on every side are precipitous and sterile;

* Letter of General Cubbon from Bangalore, quoted by Lord John Russell in Debate, March 1, 1843—Hansard, vol. xlvii., col. 154.

† Lord John Russell—Hansard, vol. xlvii. col. 154.

‡ Hansard, vol. li. col. 1330.

not a blade of vegetation of any kind being found, save in the bed of the stream. There was no sustenance for the camels, unless it were carried for their support during six days, and thus along the whole route their putrefying carcasses added to the obstacles to the advance of the army.* At length the column emerged into the open country. Havelock, who now, after twenty-three years' service, had been promoted to the command of a company, has described how the eye swept with delight over a wide plain bounded with noble mountain ranges, how the carol of the lark mounting up in the fresh morning air broke charmingly on the English ear.†

The Bombay army sustained considerable loss from freebooters in their passage through the Bolan Pass, but the two columns were enabled to unite at Candahar, and to proceed to the siege of Ghuznee, under the command of sir John Keane. On the 22nd of July the British forces were in camp before this famous city, built upon a rock, towering proudly over the adjacent plain. The intelligent officers of the army could not have viewed without deep interest this stronghold of Mohammedism, where the tomb of sultan Mahmoud, the conqueror of Hindustan, was still preserved, and where Mohammedan priests still read the Koran over his grave. - The sandal-wood gates of this tomb, which in 1025 had been carried off from the Hindoo temple of Somnauth in Guzerat, were to acquire a new celebrity at the close of this Afghan war by an ostentatious triumph, not quite so politic as that of the sultan Mahmoud. At Ghuznee, Mohammedism maintained its most fanatical aspect. On the day before the final attack, major Outram attempted with part of the Shah's contingent to force the enemy from the heights beyond the walls. He describes that over the crest of the loftiest peak floated the holy banner of green and white, surrounded by a multitude of fanatics, who believed they were safe under the sacred influence of the Moslem ensign. A shot having brought down the standard-bearer, and the banner being seized, the multitude fled panic-stricken at the proof of the fallacy of their belief.‡ This was desultory warfare. But it had been determined that three hours after midnight, on the morning of the 23rd, the fortress and citadel should be stormed. Ghuznee was regarded by the Afghan nation as impregnable. It had a garrison of three thousand five hundred Afghan soldiers, with a commanding number of guns, and abundance of ammunition and

* Outram, "Rough Notes of the Campaign," pp. 71, 72.

† Kaye, "History of the War in Afghanistan," vol. i. p. 408.

‡ Outram, "Rough Notes of the Campaign," p. 111.

other stores. The Cabul gate was blown open by a terrific explosion; the storming party entered the gate; a few moments of darkness and confusion, and then the foremost soldiers caught a glimpse of the morning sky, and pushing gallantly on, were soon established in the fortress.* In two hours from the commencement of the attack Ghuznee was in the hands of the British forces. There were great doubts, almost universal doubts, at home as to the policy of this Afghan war. There could be no doubt as to the brilliancy of this exploit. The duke of Wellington gave his warmest testimony to the merits of the officer who had achieved this success. The duke went further. Carefully reserving his opinion as to the origin of the war, he declared, in assenting to the vote of thanks to the army of the Indus, that he had had frequent opportunities of noticing the arrangements made for the execution of great military enterprises, but that he had never known an occasion on which the duty of government had been performed on a larger scale, on which more adequate provisions had been made for all the contingencies which might have occurred, or in which more attention had been paid to the wishes of the officers, the comforts of the soldiers, and all those considerations which are likely to make a war successful.†

On the 29th of July the British army quitted Ghuznee. It entered Cabul in triumph on the 7th of August. Shah Soojah, restored to his sovereignty, was once more seated in the Bala-Hissar, the ancient palace of his race. Dost Mahomed had fled beyond the Indian Caucasus. The country appeared not only subjected to the new government, but tranquil and satisfied. There was a notion at one time of withdrawing the greater part of the forces, but it was finally determined that the first division of Bengal infantry with the 13th Queen's regiment should remain in Cabul and Candahar, and that Ghuznee and Jellalabad should be occupied by native regiments. One division of the Bombay army, which was returning home, effected the capture of the strong fortress of Khelat, with a view to the deposition of the Khan, who had conducted himself hostilely and treacherously towards the British. To the forces remaining in Cabul there were a few months of ease and recreation. As the spring and summer advanced insurrections began to break out in the surrounding country. Dost Mahomed had again made his appearance, and had fought a gallant battle with the British cavalry, in which he obtained a partial victory. Despairing, however, of his power effectually to resist the British arms, he wrote to Cabul, and delivered himself up to the

* Kaye, vol. i. p. 447.

† Hansard, vol. li. col. 1174.

envoy, sir William MacNaghten, claiming the protection of his government. He was sent to India, where a place of residence was assigned to him on the North-West frontier, with three lacs of rupees (about 30,000*l.*) as a revenue. But the danger of the occupation of Afghanistan was not yet overpast. The events of November and December, 1841, and of January, 1842, were of so fearful a nature as scarcely to be paralleled in some of their incidents by the disasters of the mutiny of 1857.

In September and October, 1841, the direction of affairs at Cabul was almost wholly in the hands of Sir William MacNaghten, the envoy. Sir Alexander Burnes was also there, but without any official appointment. The chief command of the army was committed to major-general Elphinstone, an old Peninsular officer, but whose energy had passed into a state of nervous debility, totally unfitting him for any sudden emergency. Shah Soojah was complaining that he had no real authority, and that he did not understand his position. Burnes was equally dissatisfied that at Cabul he was without employment, consulted at times, but possessing no responsibility. An administrative change was at hand. MacNaghten was appointed governor of Bombay, and Burnes looked forward to the attainment of a sphere of duty suited to his abilities, as the successor of MacNaghten. The British at Cabul were in a condition of false security. The army was in cantonments, extensive, ill-defended, overawed on every side. Within these indefensible cantonments English ladies, amongst whom were lady MacNaghten and lady Sale, were domesticated in comfortable houses. Sir Robert Sale had left Cabul in October, expecting his wife to follow him in a few days. The climate was suited to the English; and our officers, true to their national character, had been cricket-playing, riding races, fishing, shooting, and when winter came, astonishing the Afghans with skating on the lakes. After the catastrophe which we shall have to relate, an unfinished memorandum was found among the papers of sir William MacNaghten, in which he says, "I may be considered culpable for not having foreseen the coming storm. To this I can only reply that others, who had much better opportunities of watching the feelings of the people, had no suspicion of what was coming." * All looked with complacency upon the profound tranquillity around them, as that of an unclouded morning,—all

* Regardless of the sweeping whirlwind's sway,
That, hush'd in grim repose, expects his evening prey."

On the night of the 1st of November there was a meeting of Afghan chiefs, who were banded together, however conflicting might be their interests, to make common cause against the Feringhees (foreigners). One of these, Abdoollah Khan, who had been active in his intrigues to stir up disaffection, had an especial quarrel with Burnes, who had called him a dog, and had said that he would recommend Shah Soojah to deprive the rebel of his ears. He proposed that at the contemplated rising on the 2nd of November, the first overt act should be an attack on the house of Burnes. Lady Sale, in her journal of that day, says, "This morning early all was in commotion in Cabul; the shops were plundered, and the people were all fighting." Before daylight an Afghan who was friendly to Burnes came to report to him that a plot had been hatched during the night which had for its chief object his murder. The Vizier arrived with the same warning. Burnes was incredulous, and refused to seek safety either in the king's fortress-palace, the Bala-Hissar, or in the British cantonments. A mob was before his house. Perfect master of the language of the people, he harangued them from a gallery. At his side stood his brother Charles, and lieutenant Broadfoot, who had arrived to perform the office of military secretary to Burnes when he should be the highest in place and power. The mob clamoured for the lives of the British officers, and Broadfoot was the first to fall by a shot from the infuriated multitude. The insurgents had now forced their way into Burnes's garden, upon the culture of which he prided himself, and they called to him to come down. Charles Burnes and the servants of the house had been firing from the gallery. A Mussulman from Cashmere, who had entered the house, swore by the Koran that if they would cease firing, he would convey the brothers in safety to the Kuzzilbash (Persian) fort. The three entered the garden, when the betrayer proclaimed to the insurgents "This is Secunder Burnes." The brothers were instantly struck down, and were cut to pieces by the Afghan knives. Sir Alexander Burnes, who thus perished in the thirty-sixth year of his age, was of the same family as the great Scottish poet, his grandfather being the brother of the father of Robert Burns. He was one of those remarkable men who have won their way to high distinction in the service of the East India Company through their intimate knowledge of the Oriental languages, associated with that indefatigable spirit of inquiry and observation which have made Hindostan and the neighbouring countries so familiar to the nation that was gradually advancing to supreme dominion over two hundred millions of men. He is described as one of an impulsive tempera-

ment, whose mind was subject to fluctuations of opinion,—sometimes an alarmist, more frequently sanguine and over-confident. “His talents were great; his energies were great. What he lacked was stability of character.”*

From the 2nd of November to the 23rd of December, the position of the British at Cabul became more and more perilous. At the beginning of the insurrection some vigorous resolve, some demonstration of the power of the British arms, might have insured safety, if not ultimate triumph. There were four thousand five hundred good troops in the cantonments, but there was no one effectually to lead them against the rebels in the city. There were about six hundred British troops in the Bala-Hissar. MacNaghten at the beginning of December urged general Elphinstone to retire from the cantonments, and place the remainder of the troops in that fortress, in which they would be in a position to overawe the populace. The last alternative was to march at once to India, and turn with the desperate courage of the lion at bay upon their surrounding foes. General Sale and general Nott were expected with reinforcements, but they were themselves hemmed in by enemies. The public events of this distressing time are told with the clearness and spirit of the intelligent historian by Mr. Kaye. The alternations of hope and fear amongst the unhappy residents, especially the women, are recorded in the journal of lady Sale. In the first week of December the troops in cantonments were threatened by the near approach of starvation. The camp followers were living upon the carcasses of dead camels. Negotiations were going on with the Afghan chiefs for the safe retreat of the army, and for a supply of provisions. They were protracted from day to day, the Afghans requiring as a first condition that the forts in the neighbourhood of the cantonments should be given up. They were evacuated; and then the enemy looked down with triumphant derision upon those who, within their defenceless walls, were perishing, whilst the supplies which had been promised them were intercepted by a rabble from the city. Every day added to the expected difficulties of the retreat. The winter was setting in. On the 18th of December snow began to fall. MacNaghten, wearied and almost desperate amidst the bad faith and insulting demands of the chiefs, received on the evening of the 22nd a proposal from Akbar Khan, the son of Dost Mahomed, which even Elphinstone, enfeebled as he was by illness and generally inapt to offer a decided opinion, regarded as treacherous. On the morning of the 23rd, according to the proposal that had been made to him, Mac-

* Kaye, vol. ii. p. 13.

Naghten, with three friends, Lawrence, Trevor, and Mackenzie, went out about six hundred yards from the cantonment for a conference with Akbar Khan, the Sirdar (the title which the chief assumed). The envoy had desired to propitiate him by sending that morning the present of a beautiful Arab horse. On a hill side Akbar Khan's servants had spread some horse-cloths over the fallen snow. The party were invited to dismount and sit down. The Afghans were gathering round them in numbers—a circumstance which corroborated the suspicions of Lawrence and Mackenzie. In an instant they were seized from behind. The two who were best prepared by their apprehensions for some plot against their lives contrived to escape. Trevor was murdered; Akbar Khan rushed upon MacNaghten in the endeavour to seize and detain him. "The look of wondering horror that sat upon his upturned face will not be forgotten by those who saw it to their dying days. The only words he was heard to utter were, *Az barae Khoda* ('for God's sake!')." * Akbar Khan drew a pistol from his girdle—it was one of a pair which MacNaghten had presented to him the previous day—and he shot the unarmed envoy through the body. Wonderful to relate, not a gun was fired from the British cantonments, not a soldier went forth to avenge the murder of the British minister. On Christmas-day intelligence arrived that reinforcements were on their way from India. Major Pottinger, who now took the place of the unfortunate MacNaghten as political agent, exhorted the military chiefs either to fight their way to Jellalabad, or forcibly to occupy the Bala-Hissar. They preferred to capitulate. At a Council of War on the 26th a treaty was ratified, which contained the humiliating conditions that all the guns should be left behind except six; that all the treasure should be given up, and forty thousand rupees paid in bills, to be negotiated upon the spot; and that four officers as hostages should be detained to insure the evacuation of Jellalabad by general Sale. On the 6th of January, 1842, on a morning of intense cold, the army, consisting of four thousand five hundred fighting men and twelve thousand followers, began to move out of the cantonments. So tedious was the progress, chiefly caused by the disorderly movements of the camp-followers, that, at six o'clock in the evening, the rear-guard was leaving the walls of the cantonments which the advanced guard had quitted at half-past nine in the morning. The order and discipline which could alone save an army retreating in the midst of a hostile population had no place in that confused mass, who were without food or fuel or shelter. Akbar Khan came up with a body of six

hundred horsemen to demand other hostages as security for the evacuation of Jellalabad. On the 8th, Pottinger, Lawrence, and Mackenzie were placed in his hands. Akbar Khan declared that he also came to protect the British and Hindoos from the attacks of the Ghilzyes, one of the most fanatic of the Mussulman tribes of Afghanistan. His authority appears to have been exerted with all sincerity to interfere between these cruel assailants and their victims; but it was manifested in vain. The disorganized force entered the Pass of Khoord-Cabul, which for five miles is shut in by precipitous mountains, with a torrent rushing down the centre. On the hill-sides were the unrelenting Ghilzyes, who shot down the fugitives without a chance of being resisted or restrained. In this Pass three thousand men are stated to have fallen. "The ladies were mostly travelling in kujavas (camel-panniers), and were mixed up with the baggage and column in the Pass. Here they were heavily fired on."* Lady Sale, who rode on horseback, was shot in the arm. Her son-in-law was here mortally wounded. On the 9th, Akbar Khan, who had arrived with his three hostages, "turned to Lawrence and said that he had a proposal to make, but that he did not like to do so lest his motives might be misconstrued; but that, as it concerned us more than himself, he would mention it; and that it was, that all the married men, with their families, should come over and put themselves under his protection, he guaranteeing them honourable treatment, and a safe escort to Peshawur. He added, that Lawrence must have seen from the events of the day previous—the loss of captain Boyd's and captain Anderson's children—that our camp was no place of safety for the ladies and children."† Lawrence and Pottinger urged the acceptance of this proposal upon general Elphinstone. There were ten women and thirteen or more children; six married men went with them, with two wounded officers. It was better to trust to Akbar Khan for the protection of these helpless women and children than to continue their exposure to the attacks of the cruel tribes whom the Sirdar could not restrain, and to the horrors of a continued march in a most inclement season. "There was but faint hope," says lady Sale, "of our ever getting safely to Jellalabad; and we followed the stream. But although there was much talk regarding our going over, all I personally know of the affair is, that I was told we were all to go, and that our horses were ready, and we must mount immediately and be off."

On the 10th of January the small remnant of the force that had left Cabul on the 6th continued its march towards Jellalabad. The

* Lady Sale's "Journal."

† *Ibid.*

Native regiments were nearly annihilated by cold and hunger and the Afghan knife. The frost-bitten Asiatics, who still crawled to a narrow defile, were unable to make any resistance. The dying and the dead soon choked up the narrow gorge between the precipitous spurs of two hills. There was now not a single Sepoy left. Not more than a quarter of the men who had left Cabul now survived. The European officers and soldiers scarcely numbered five hundred. They would have fought with the energy of desperation, but they were hemmed in by the crowd of camp-followers, who from the first had rendered their march as dangerous as the assaults of their enemies. The next day Akbar Khan invited general Elphinstone and two English officers, brigadier Shelton and captain Johnson, to a conference. The Sirdar required that the three should remain as hostages for the evacuation of Jellalabad. Elphinstone implored the Afghan to permit him to return and share the fortune of his troops. The two officers were equally unwilling to leave their doomed comrades. But resistance was in vain. On the evening of the 12th the march was resumed. They had to struggle with the dangers of the Jugdulluck Pass, in which the steep road ascends through a dark defile. As they approached the summit they found a barricade of bushes and branches of trees. Here the relentless enemy was in waiting. A general massacre ensued, in which many of the remaining officers perished. Twenty officers and forty-five European soldiers were able to clear the barricade. The next morning they were surrounded by an infuriated multitude. They were as one to a hundred; most of them were wounded; but they were resolute not to lay down their arms. They all perished except one captain and a few privates, who were taken prisoners. Out of those who had been in advance of the column in the Pass, six reached Futtehabad, within sixteen miles of Jellalabad. These last companions in misery were three captains, one lieutenant, and two regimental surgeons. Five were slain before the sixteen miles were traversed. General Sale's brigade had held possession of Jellalabad from the morning of the 13th of November, when they took the place from the Afghans by surprise. From time to time they heard rumours of the perilous position of the British force in Cabul. At last a letter, addressed to captain MacGregor, the political agent, arrived from Elphinstone and Pottinger, stating that an agreement having taken place for the evacuation of Cabul, they should immediately commence their march to India. In the absence of any security for the safe conduct of the troops to Peshawur, they resolved to disobey these instructions, and not to surrender the fort, whose defences they



had been assiduously labouring to improve. The Afghan chief who bore the letter had been appointed governor of Jellalabad. On the 13th of January a sentry on the ramparts saw a solitary horseman struggling on towards the fort. He was brought in, wounded and exhausted. The one man who was left to tell the frightful tale of the retreat from Cabul was doctor Brydon.

The refusal of Sale and MacGregor to surrender Jellalabad was that heroic determination to face the danger which in almost every case makes the danger less. Akbar Khan lost no time in besieging Jellalabad. Sale had well employed his enforced leisure in repairing the ruinous ramparts and clearing out the ditch. He had made the place secure against the attack of an army without cannon. But the garrison was not secure against the approach of famine. Akbar Khan with a large body of horse was hovering around to prevent the admission of supplies. On the 19th of February a serious misfortune called forth new energies in these resolute men. An earthquake to a great extent rendered the labour vain which had been so long employed in the repairs of the works. By the end of the month the parapets were restored, the breaches built up, and every battery re-established. At the close of March, being at the last extremity for provisions, the garrison made a sortie, and carried off five hundred sheep and goats. It was known to sir Robert Sale that general Pollock was advancing to his relief. The time was come when a vigorous attack on the enemy without might have better results than a protracted defence. On the morning of the 7th of April three columns of infantry, with some field artillery and a small cavalry force, issued from the walls of Jellalabad to attack Akbar Khan, who with six thousand men was strongly posted in the adjacent plain. The columns were commanded by colonel Dennie, colonel Monteath, and captain Have-lock, who led the attack. In leading his column to storm a square fort colonel Dennie fell. Every point attacked by the three columns was carried, and the victory was completed by a general assault upon the Afghan camp. In a few hours the battle was over. Two days before this victory general Pollock had forced the Khyber Pass, which general Wild had previously attempted without success. This was an achievement in which the bravery of troops would have been thrown away if the arrangements of the general had been less skilful. The Afghans made no attempt to resist the progress of the troops till they were at the entrance of the Pass. They relied upon being able to destroy them from the precipices that overhung the road. The heights were carried on

both sides, and the Afghans themselves in the Pass were exposed to that fire from above which had been so perilous to the British army in its former marches through the mountainous barriers of their country. It is considered that if Pollock had moved precipitately with his main column into the Pass, he would probably have been driven back with great slaughter, but that the precaution he took in crowning the heights and turning the enemy's position secured him, though not without some fighting the whole way, a safe passage.* On the 16th of April Pollock's advanced guard was in sight of Jellalabad; and the two little armies were united in the exulting hope that it would be for them to retrieve the disasters which had befallen the British arms. Lord Ellenborough had arrived at Calcutta as Governor-general on the 25th of February. The close of lord Auckland's rule in India was clouded with misfortunes which fell heavily upon a proud and sensitive man. His policy was proved to be a mistake. Nothing in the annals of our country had ever exhibited so disastrous an issue to a war undertaken in the confidence that it would avert the possibility of an impending danger. When, on the 30th of January, the utter destruction of the army of Cabul was known at Calcutta, the Governor-general published a proclamation containing brave words. A new Governor-general had arrived, who, appointed by a new Administration, had been amongst the most vehement denouncers of the Afghan war.

The interest attached to the remaining history of this war is the interest attached to the hopeful anticipation of lord Auckland in his proclamation of the 31st of January. The calamity which had overtaken the British arms was, he said, "a new occasion for displaying the stability and vigour of the British power, and the admirable spirit and valour of the British-Indian army." The successes of Sale and Pollock had renewed the confidence of our countrymen in India that the storm would soon be overpast. They had interrupted the hopes of those native Powers who believed that the rule of the Feringhees was coming to an end. Shah Soojah had been for some time able to maintain himself in the citadel of Cabul after he had been left to his own resources. He finally perished by assassination. The English ladies, children, and officers, who were treated as prisoners rather than as hostages, were carried from fort to fort. General Elphinstone died at Tezeen on the 23rd of April. At the end of April, general England had forced the principal Pass between Juettah and Candahar; and

* Kaye, vol. ii. p. 338.

early in May had joined his forces to those of general Nott at Candahar. Ghuznee, which was in the possession of the Afghans, was recaptured by him on the 6th of September. General Pollock had been detained by sickness and other impediments at Jellalabad to the end of August. He then fought his way through the Passes, and was joined by general Nott. On the 15th of September the British standard was flying on the Bala-Hissar of Cabul. The prisoners of Akbar Khan had been hurried towards Turkistan. The khan who had charge of them agreed with the English officers, for the future payment of a sum of rupees and an annuity, that he would assist them to regain their freedom. The advance of the army upon Cabul secured the aid of other chieftains. On the 15th of September, the hostages, the ladies and the children, had quitted the forts of the friendly khan, and were proceeding towards Cabul, when, on the 17th, they were met by a party of six hundred mounted Kuzzilbashes, under the command of sir Richmond Shakespear, who had been sent by general Pollock to rescue them from their perils. On the 19th a horseman met the party alternating between hope and fear, to say that general Sale was close at hand with a brigade. The husband and the father met his wife and widowed daughter. Their happiness produced "a choking sensation, which could not obtain the relief of tears." The soldiers cheered; a royal salute from mountain-train guns welcomed them to the camp; the joy was proportioned to the terrible dangers that were overpast. On the 1st of October a proclamation was issued from Simla by lord Ellenborough, which stated that the disasters in Afghanistan having been avenged upon every scene of past misfortune, the British army would be withdrawn the Sutlej. On the 12th of October the army began its march back to India. Dost Mahomed was released, and returned to his sovereignty at Cabul.

Of the proclamation dated from Simla on the 1st of October there was much adverse notice in Parliament. Mr. Macaulay maintained that it was ante-dated; for that on the 1st of October the release of the captives on the 19th of September could not have been known to the Governor-general; and that knowing of this joyful event on the 12th he omitted all mention of it, that he might have the childish gratification of insulting his predecessor in the vice-royalty, by dating on the same day on which, in 1838, lord Auckland had published his unfortunate declaration of the causes and objects of the war. But there was another proclamation by lord Ellenborough which his ministerial friends could scarcely vindicate, and which brought down upon him the bitterest denunciations of his political enemies. It was as follows :

“From the Governor-General to all the Princes, and Chiefs, and People of India.

“MY BROTHERS AND MY FRIENDS,

“Our victorious army bears the gates of the temple of Somnauth in triumph from Afghanistan, and the despoiled tomb of Sultan Mahomed looks upon the ruins of Ghuznee.

“The insult of eight hundred years is at last avenged. The gates of the temple of Somnauth, so long the memorial of your humiliation, are become the proudest record of your national glory; the proof of your superiority in arms over the nations beyond the Indus.

“To you, Princes and Chiefs of Sirhind, of Rajwarra, of Malwa, and of Guzerat, I shall commit this glorious trophy of successful war.

“You will yourselves, with all honour, transmit the gates of sandal-wood through your respective territories to the restored temple of Somnauth.

“The chiefs of Sirhind shall be informed at what time our victorious army will first deliver the gates of the temple into their guardianship, at the foot of the bridge of the Sutlej.”

The Hindoo temple of Somnauth was in ruins, and it was maintained by those to whom the pompous words of the proclamation were distasteful, that the Governor-general meant to restore it, and thus to manifest a preference for one of the great rival creeds of India—a preference which the policy of England expressly forbade. This might be a wrong inference from the words of the proclamation. But to despoil the tomb of a worshipper of Mahomed, that honour might be done the worshippers of Vishnu, was to offer an outrage to those sensibilities which more than any other cause made and still make the British rule in India so like treading on beds of lava.

CHAPTER XIV.

Continuation of the previous notices of English literature.—Law of Copyright.—Mr. Serjeant Talfourd's long struggle to amend the Law.—Mr. Carlyle's petition.—Serjeant Talfourd's Bill rejected.—Lord Mahon's Bill.—Mr. Macaulay's Amendments.—Application of the Act to Copyrights about to expire.—Authors recently deceased.—Novelists.—Theodore Hook and the Silver-fork School.—Ephemeral Critics and Writers without knowledge.—Utilitarianism.—Changes in the Character of Literature.—Historians.—Macaulay.—Hallam.—Carlyle.—Lingard.—Fraser Tytler.—Palgrave.—Kemble.—Forster.—Mahon.—Napier.—Mitford.—Thirlwall.—Grote.—Arnold.—Novelists.—Bulwer Lytton.—Dickens.—Ainsworth.—Thackeray.—Serials.—Prevalence of Fiction.—Kitchen Literature.—Miss Martineau's Tales illustrative of Political Economy.—Social Aims of Novelists.—Dickens.—Mrs. Gaskell.—Kingsley.—Thackeray's Novels.—Poets.—Tennyson.—Browning.—E. Barrett Browning.—Thomas Hood.—Union of Pen and Pencil.—Theology.—Milman.—Robertson.—Political Economy.—Science.—Criticism.—Antiquarian Inquiry.—Travels.—Book Trade.—Newspapers.

BEFORE we enter upon a narrative, which may be best given continuously, of the great historical period from 1841 to 1846, during which sir Robert Peel was Prime Minister, we purpose to introduce a chapter on the Literature of that period and of the period immediately preceding. We shall attempt this in connection with the subject of the New Law of Copyright, which was finally settled in the session of 1842.

In the preceding volume we devoted a chapter to English Literature in the three latter decades of the reign of George the Third, and gave a chronological table of the principal writers of the present century, with the exception of those who were living at the end of 1861. We propose in this chapter to point out a few of the more prominent instances of the beneficial operation of the Copyright Act of 1842 with reference to the families of authors then recently deceased. Its benefits to living authors, whether in the maturity of a high reputation or rising into public notice, need not be illustrated by individual instances. The oldest writer with a dependent family, and the youngest writer who had given hostages to fortune, felt a comfort and a relief in its salutary provisions against the uncertainty of the future with regard to the descendants "of those who devote themselves to the most precarious of all pursuits." * What is now called "the Victorian Era" of

* "Pickwick Papers," 1837; Dedication to Serjeant Talfourd.

Literature, in contrast with "the Georgian Era," had established most prominent characteristics, and had produced the greater number of its eminent writers, at the period when the New Law of Copyright came into operation. We therefore do not essentially narrow the range of our view of "the Victorian Era" when we take our stand-point in the sixth year of the queen's reign. Although this view must be incomplete with regard to some popular writers of the more immediate present,* it relieves us from the invidious task of making any pretension to estimate the value of new reputations; leaving us to speak chiefly of those whose foremost place in the long file of illustrious contemporaries had been for the most part settled by continuous public opinion in the first decade of the queen's reign. Of these contemporaries we shall consider it most becoming to speak with the brevity of a bibliographer rather than with the elaborate judgment of a critic.

In the session of 1842 "an Act to amend the Law of Copyright"—the Act now regulating literary property—was passed, after a struggle that had endured five years. In 1837 Mr. Serjeant Talfourd first drew the attention of the House of Commons to the law of Copyright, as it then existed under the statute of the 54th of George the Third, which gave to the author of a book or his assigns the sole liberty of reprinting such book for the term of twenty-eight years from the date of publication, and if the author should be living at the expiration of that term, for the residue of his natural life. The proposition of Serjeant Talfourd was to extend the term of copyright in a book to sixty years, reckoned from the death of the writer, in addition to its duration during his life. The opposition to this proposal, on every occasion on which it was debated, was of a mixed character. There were legislators who altogether denied the inherent natural right of an author to a property in his labours. These maintained that it was for the public interest that he should labour without reward, or at any rate that he should receive as little as possible in the shape of a money reward, the love of fame being deemed by them amply sufficient to secure to the public an adequate supply of the best books. Others who did not go quite so far maintained that the existing term under the Act of George the Third—"an Act to amend the several Acts for the Encouragement of Learning by securing the Copies and Copyright of printed Books to the Authors of such Books and their Assigns"—was amply sufficient for the remuneration of authors. Others more reasonably opposed Serjeant Talfourd's Bill,

* We shall in part supply this deficiency by appending to this chapter a Table supplementary to that before given, *Ante*, vol. vii. pp. 523-528.

upon the ground that the term which he suggested would in many cases give a monopoly for eighty years and even for a hundred years. There was a class of publishers then, as there always will be, who were only debarred by the laws against piracy from reducing to practice the philosophical theory that an author should work for nothing, to make books cheap. One of these kept a very accurate account of the original date of a publication by a living author, and could calculate the chances of his life according to the most trustworthy tables of mortality. There was a worthy citizen and merchant-tailor of London of the days of Queen Elizabeth, who kept a most exact register of the funerals of the great and wealthy in his time. It was in the way of business, for he was "a furnisher of funeral trappings." * So was it in the way of business that the industrious publisher of Cheapside in the days of Queen Victoria recorded, with Christian satisfaction, the final release of those who, after long battling with fortune, left, by the expiry of their copyright, no heritable title to the property which they had created. Such encouragers of learning stoutly petitioned against any alteration of the law. There were few petitions for the Bill, but there was one which amused and edified the House of Commons in 1839. Of this remarkable and characteristic document we give a sentence or two: "The petition of Thomas Carlyle, a writer of books, Humbly showeth, That your petitioner has written certain books, being incited thereto by various innocent or laudable considerations, chiefly by the thought that said books might in the end be found to be worth something. . . . That your petitioner does not undertake to say what recompense in money this labour of his may deserve: whether it deserve any recompense in money, or whether money in any quantity could hire him to do the like. That this his labour has found hitherto, in money or money's worth, small recompense or none: that he is by no means sure of its ever finding recompense: but thinks that, if so, it will be at a distant time, when the labourer will probably no longer be in need of money, and those dear to him will still be in need of it."

The various discussions on the Copyright Bill during five sessions of parliament, brought forward many curious points of literary history. The almost universal range of the learning of Mr. Macaulay had a great effect in inducing the House in 1841 to reject Mr. Serjeant Talfourd's Bill. He argued against the public injury of a monopoly of sixty years that the boon to authors would be a mere nullity, but that considered as an impost upon the public it would be a very serious and pernicious reality. He maintained

* "Diary of Henry Machyn"—Camden Society.

that there would be the danger that, when an author's copyright should remain for so long a period in the hands of his descendants, many valuable works would be totally suppressed or grievously mutilated.* On that occasion Serjeant Talfourd's Bill was rejected by a majority of seven. His long-continued advocacy of the extension of copyright is held to have been unsuccessful "chiefly through the efforts of Mr. Macaulay who, strange to say, strained every nerve to defeat a measure calculated to give independence to a class of which he himself was so bright an ornament." † This judgment upon the conduct of a great writer towards his fellows is more than unkind, coupled with the entire suppression of the fact that in the next session of parliament when "the tardy act of justice was done to literary men," the benefits conferred upon them were greatly increased, not only by the insertion of clauses known to have originated with Mr. Macaulay, but by his proposition for an extension of time more practically beneficial than that proposed by lord Mahon. On the 3rd of March 1842, his lordship obtained permission to bring in a Bill to amend the law of Copyright, his plan being that the existing term of twenty-eight years should stand—he would make no addition to the term that was certain,—but that the copyright should last twenty-five years after the author's death. Mr. Macaulay proposed a different plan. He would make no addition to the uncertain term, but would add fourteen years to the twenty-eight years which the law allowed to an author. The illustrations from the history of literature, ancient and modern, foreign and domestic, which Mr. Macaulay brought forward, went to prove that the most valuable works of an author being generally produced in the maturity of his powers, his proposition would give a longer term of copyright than that of Lord Mahon. "To Lear, to Macbeth, to Othello, to the Faery Queen, to Paradise Lost, to Bacon's *Novum Organum* and *De Augmentis*, to Locke's *Essay on the Human Understanding*, to Clarendon's *History*, to Hume's *History*, to Gibbon's *History*, to Smith's *Wealth of Nations*, to Addison's *Spectators*, to almost all the great works of Burke, to *Clarissa* and *Sir Charles Grandison*, to Joseph Andrews, *Tom Jones*, and *Amelia*, and with the single exception of *Waverley*, to all the novels of sir Walter Scott, I give a longer term of copyright than my noble friend gives. Can he match that list? Does not that list contain what England has produced greatest in many various ways, poetry, philosophy, history,

* To meet this possible consequence a clause was introduced in the Bill of 1842.

† Alison—"History of Europe," chap. xli.

eloquence, wit, skilful portraiture of life and manners?"* Sir Robert Peel supported the amendment upon the original proposal, but at the same time he "admitted the weight of the argument founded upon the necessity for an author to provide for his family after death, and on this account he should be glad, if possible, to combine the two propositions, and besides the forty-two years of the amendment, to give an author's family a right for seven years after his death." Mr. Macaulay was against this suggestion. The proposition for the term of forty-two years certain was carried by a majority of seventy-nine; that for a further term of seven years commencing at the time of the author's death was carried by a majority of fifty-eight. The extension of term was to apply not only to future publications, but to books previously published in which copyright still subsisted at the time of the passing of the Act. There was an exception, however, in cases of existing copyright to the extension of the term to be enjoyed, when the copyright should belong to a publisher or other person who should have acquired it for other consideration than natural love and affection, in which case it should cease at the expiration of the existing term, unless the extension should have been previously agreed to between the proprietor and the author. The provisions of the Act of 1842 which had reference to an increased and increasing class of literary works—cyclopædias, reviews, magazines, or works published in a series of books or parts—were of the utmost importance both to the interests of publishers and of authors, which interests, rightly understood, are one and the same.

There were no attempts to conceal, on the part of the advocates for the extension of copyright, that one of the objects of that extension was to benefit the family of sir Walter Scott, and to give an assurance to the venerable age of Wordsworth that the advantage to himself or his family in the popularity which had followed his early period of neglect would not suddenly cease. In the House of Lords, lord Campbell, who warmly advocated the Bill, expressed his belief that the copyright of some of the works of the great author of the *Waverley* novels was about to terminate, and without the assistance of this Bill it was doubtful whether the descendants of that illustrious man could continue to occupy Abbotsford. † The case with regard to Scott's works was this: the copyright in four of his poems was expired at the passing of the Act—the *Lay of the Last Minstrel*, published in 1805, ceased to be copyright in 1833; and so *Marmion* in 1836, *Don Roderick* in 1839, *Rokeby* in 1841. The copyright of the *Lord of the Isles* would

* "Macaulay's Speeches," p. 255.

† Hansard, vol. lxiii. col. 812.

expire in 1842, when the copyright of Waverley would also be at an end. It was a favourite argument that a monopoly such as was contemplated by an extended period, would have a tendency to keep up a high price in books. Lord Mahon very truly said, that "the general diffusion of education, and the desire for cheap books which now prevails, precludes the possibility of prices being unduly raised by any copyright bill." Under the extended term of forty-two years, the copyright of Waverley and that of six or seven novels, its successors, have expired. But in 1862 those published between 1820 and 1826 still remain the exclusive property of the assignees of the copyright. It was truly prognosticated in 1842 that the interests of booksellers "would be better promoted by a low price to the multitude rather than by a high one to the few." Messrs. Black are issuing the Waverley novels in a series, of which three volumes, originally published at a guinea and a half, are comprised in a single volume at one shilling. The case of Wordsworth stands thus: he died in 1850 in his eightieth year. The old law gave him protection during his life; but it was an exceptional case. He was seventy-two years of age when the Act of 1842 was passed. Had he died before the passing of that Act the "Excursion" would have become the property of that class of speculators whose position Lord Brougham greatly commiserated. "He could show that as much as five thousand pounds had been expended in the expectation of the expiration of copyrights. A man was perfectly justified in making such preparations, and might already have filled his warehouses with the intended publications. Was it for their lordships to step in and ruin those men by a sudden change of the law?"* In the debates on the Copyright Bill the names of Coleridge and Southey were as freely used as those of Scott and Wordsworth. Sergeant Talfourd called up "Coleridge speaking as it were from the grave." It was well known that great as was the reputation of Coleridge in his latter years, "if the income-tax had continued to the day of his death, the collectors of it would have had a sorry recompense for the trouble of calling upon him for his return."† Coleridge has himself said, "I expect neither profit nor general fame by my writings; and I consider myself as having been amply repaid without income."‡ At the time of Coleridge's death in 1834, the copyright of many of his poems had terminated with his own life. His two tragedies, *Christabel*, and a few miscellaneous poems, had one or two years of legal protection

* Hansard, vol. lxiii. col. 802.

† Anonymous Letter, attributed to "a most illustrious pen" by the *Quarterly Review*, vol. lxix. p. 225.

‡ Preface to the first and second editions of his *Poems*.

left to them. His accomplished nephew, Henry Nelson Coleridge, and the poet's gifted daughter, the wife of his nephew, were engaged in producing those admirable editions of his works which acquired a new value under their hands. In these labours of affection were included a new edition of *The Friend*. The protection of the existing law was thus sufficient to keep off the violating hands of literary traders from these bequests to posterity. But the new law of 1842 enabled these labours to be completed by another son, Derwent. We have thus a monument raised to the father's memory in complete editions of his works, which acquired a new commercial value when the world had arrived at a juster appreciation than during his life of that combination of the poetical and philosophical faculty which rendered him one of the most remarkable men of his time. Southey, the early associate in literary enterprise of Coleridge and Wordsworth, was in 1842 in a condition of unconsciousness as to the various modes in which his name was connected with the copyright question. His overtaken intellect had given away a few years before, and he was quite insensible to what was said in parliament by friends or foes—equally indifferent to the just eulogies of Mr. Monckton Milnes, or to the vulgar ridicule of Mr. Wakley. This gentleman—who not only held a coroner's inquest in a jacobine fashion among "mighty poets in their misery dead," but volunteered an appraiser's estimate of the market value and the proper remuneration of living author's—called upon the House not to be led away by sentimental tales. Mr. Monckton Milnes answered the member for Finsbury, that when he talked of sentimental tales he must have heard the tale of a man of the purest and highest life, who was distinguished in many walks of literature,—a poet, a historian, a critic,—he must have heard that this man was at present bereft of his great mind, and that his family were very dependent for their future comfort on the event of the debate of that night.*

Dismissing the individual consideration of the influence upon the fortunes of their representatives effected by the Copyright Bill of 1842, we may briefly recapitulate the names of a few eminent writers, belonging to what is called the Georgian era, who had then recently paid the debt of nature. Of poets, Crabbe had died in 1832; James Hogg in 1835. Of novelists, John Galt had died in 1839, at the age of sixty. Whilst Scott was in the height of his reputation as a delineator of the past manners of his countrymen, Galt was noting with minute accuracy the domestic characteristics of the humbler classes of Scotland in his own day. The Ayrshire

* Hansard, vol. lxi. col. 1389.

Legatees was published in 1820; the Annals of the Parish, which appeared in 1821, established Galt's reputation. More interesting perhaps at the present time than either of these is his Lawrie Todd. This is not only the true picture of a Scotchman in America, but a most graphic representation of the extraordinary process by which mighty cities have grown up in the forest and the swamp. The persevering labours of adventurers such as the "splorifying" Lawrie have, during the last half century, built miserable shanties on the bank of many a solitary river which has now its vast quays and warehouses—large constituent parts of a mighty empire; yet an empire too extensive not ultimately to be separated by conflicting interests, although long held together by the silken thread of a federal union. Theodore Hook died in 1841. He was essentially the novelist of those manners which came to an end very soon after the close of the reign of the last of the Georges. The clever youth, of whom the Regent declared that "something must be done for Hook"—not for his wisdom or his virtue, but for his powers of mimicry—had five years in the Mauritius of luxurious gratification out of his enormous salary as Accountant-general of the colony. He returned to England a defaulter rather from carelessness than dishonesty; and from 1824 to 1841 wrote some dozen novels, whose aggregate volumes amount to forty. These productions constitute an important item in the collection known as "Standard Novelists." If a reader unacquainted with the literature of the last generation were to open these novels, from Sayings and Doings to Gilbert Gurney, and therein expect to find a "standard" of wit or humour—even of that worldly wisdom which results from a large observation and clear-sighted estimate of manners—we apprehend that, reasoning from a part to the whole, he would come to very unsound conclusions upon the literary characteristics of an age that had produced Maria Edgeworth and Jane Austen. Hook's novels are essentially artificial. He was, we trust, the last of that race of authors who, without being the hired servants of the great, found a place at their tables for the sole purpose of contributing to their amusement, like the jesters of the middle ages; the last of those who, indulging the belief that they were valued in their higher capacity as men of letters, arrogated a place in the fashionable world, and devoted all their small powers of ridicule to depict the violations of the conventionalities amongst the middle classes. Hook was almost the last of what was called the "silverfork school." It was a school that flourished before Reform-bills and railroads; and its disciples long persisted in their ignorant endeavour to paint the domestic life of

the flourishing citizen as uniformly vulgar, and in their base delineations of the struggles of honest poverty as revolting and disreputable. Every invention of industry, every social arrangement, which had a tendency to put high and low on a level of convenience and comfort, was hateful to such writers and to their fashionable admirers.

At the time when Theodore Hook flourished, in company with a host of imitators who combined the dandyism of the drawing-room with the ignorance of the servants' hall, the tone of periodical criticism was as essentially artificial as the novels and poems which it held up to popular admiration. It was characteristic of the ephemeral criticism which seized only upon the amusing portions of new books for extracts to administer to a lazy taste for the superficial and exciting, that a sort of antagonism was fomented between the class who desired to instruct and the class who sought only to amuse. It was the same dispute which Swift has so happily described in *The Battle of the Books*, between the Spider and the Bee. The "man of genius," as he called himself—and every small critic was "a man of genius" as well as the object of his laudation—unconsciously used the reproaches of the Spider to the Bee in his periodical attacks upon the literary drudge—"the obscure literary drudge who has not a single idea in his head save what he filches from the British Museum."* Swift's Spider was a self-producing creature, like the "man of genius." The "literary drudge" had his answer in the language of the Bee, who claimed to be the nobler creature of the two, in his universal range bringing home honey and wax, instead of feeding and engendering on itself and producing nothing but fly-bane and cob-web. The "compilers," as they were sneeringly called—and ignorantly called, for what great historical work, for example, is not essentially a compilation—had the best of the battle with the professors of "*la Littérature facile*." The habit of research, which could not be sneered down, tended to produce that accuracy which gradually became necessary in journalism and even in the fashionable novel. The value of drawing from nature and realities had been tested by the example of a young writer who never looked upon society or upon "still life" without seeing peculiarities which he faithfully reproduced in his characters and scenes. Dickens at once threw his broad day-light upon the "twilight interim" of the herd of his immediate predecessors in prose fiction. "The mob of gentlemen who write with ease" could no longer hug themselves in the false application of a dictum of Burke's: "It is the nature of all greatness not to be

* "New Monthly Magazine."

exact." Instead of attempting to verify a quotation, the usual phrase of those who dabbled in history, philosophy, or criticism, was, when they misquoted, "We perfectly well remember that So-and-so said." The poets and novelists, in describing a landscape, never attempted to look upon the face of nature. Their storms and their sunshine were derived from some hazy recollection of "The Seasons ;" their flowers and their trees would have puzzled the greatest master of classification to arrange even in families. They gave the apple blossom to the pear, and brought the wheat into ear before the rye. In their delineations of human character, vague generalities took the place of the nice distinctions of passions and humours found in the great dramatists of the Elizabethan age, and in the great novelists of the first half of the Georgian era. Everything, whether in Nature or in Art, in Science or Literature, in the Manners of high life or of low life, was indistinctly painted in their ephemeral manufacture for the Circulating Library. Their style was made up of a barbarous jargon of slip-slop English interlarded with the commonest phrases of French that had no idiomatic meaning. Occasional dashes of slang and half-profanity indicated that the old rags of the court-dress of Vice were still the patches upon the easier and neater costume of the age which had succeeded that of buckles and knee-breeches. All honour to a higher criticism, and to the nobler aims of popular writers following the increase of readers, this race is extinct. Each one of its many genii has faded into vapour, and has gone into a bottle to be sealed up with the seal of Solomon.

Little as there was of philosophy in the small criticism that prevailed in the latter time of George the Fourth, it might have had its origin in the popular expression of distaste to the doctrines of Utilitarianism, which were then advocated somewhat dogmatically in many influential quarters. The Utilitarian creed of morals, and the political economy creed which was associated with it, were imperfectly understood ; and they thus became to common apprehensions the exponents of whatever was hard, and narrow, and selfish, in a view of human actions and motives. Some of the disciples of Bentham and Malthus in many respects did great injustice to the real benevolence that was at the root of the principle of "the greatest happiness for the greatest number," and of the principle that mankind has a tendency to increase faster than the food which is to maintain an increasing population. The extreme Utilitarians could see no object in the pursuit of knowledge but the acquirement of something that would conduce to worldly success. With them the Industrial Arts attained their highest

lignity in ministering to the satisfaction of physical wants. The Beautiful was not an essential adjunct to the Useful. The Utilitarian teaching had many important results in legislation and jurisprudence. But it was wholly incompetent to deal with the whole realm of thought. Literature refused to submit to the dictation of a new school that soon produced its pedants in abundance. Out of the contests of thirty or forty years has grown up a school of compromise which best reflects the character of our age.

It has been expressively said, "All Literature is more or less both an effect and a cause, both a product and a power. It both follows and leads. It takes an impulse from its age, and it also gives an impulse to its age."* The amount of the impulse which literature imparts to an age must, to a considerable extent, depend upon the genius of the writers. The opinions and feelings of an age are sometimes so definite and so strong, that the most original thinker is moulded by them into a participation with the prevailing modes of thought, so that at one time every form of literature is highly coloured, and at another time all its tints are neutral. Gradually the prismatic colours become blended into an equable light, as the political and religious contentions of a stirring period fade away into something approaching a catholicity of opinion. A period of quietude succeeds a period of excitement. After the outburst of fiery writing produced by the French Revolution, English literature became for the most part Conservative. After the agitation of Parliamentary Reform had come to an end, writers as well as statesmen became tolerant and compromising. The liberal author was not to be hunted out of society as an Infidel and a Democrat; the Conservative was not to have the mob raised upon him as a slave of the Aristocracy and the enemy of the People. One principal cause of this approach towards forbearance, if not to union, was the general spread of intelligence. Extreme opinions flourish amidst popular ignorance. The passions and prejudices of sect and party, during a long period of contention such as the half century before the age of Victoria, influenced every expression of thought in Philosophy, in History, in Poetry, in Prose Fiction. It came at length to be perceived that two halves of any domain of letters are not equal to the whole; that the useful and the beautiful could not be advantageously cultivated apart like a potato-field and a flower garden; that as the classical and the romantic schools of the French drama were gradually blending, so, in History, it was not absolutely necessary to leave the Picturesque to the Novelist, and the Literal

* G. L. Craik, "English Literature and Language," vol. ii. p. 522.

to the Chronicler ; that Poetry would acquire a new charm by an infusion of the real with the imaginative, as, rightly applied, Pre-Raphaelism would work out a needful reform in the highest and the humblest Art. It is enough for us to indicate this change, without attempting any formal illustration of the progress of all literature in the present generation towards a certain homogeneity.

Of the Historians of this period those who have exercised the greatest influence upon their age have been Henry Hallam, Thomas Babington Macaulay, and Thomas Carlyle. As the office of historian has a wider range than the description of public events, each of these writers was also Essayist and Critic. Their modes of treating the Past and the Present were as essentially different as their mental characteristics. Guizot has described with more than ordinary animation a visit to Westminster Abbey in 1840 in which Macaulay was his *cicerone*. During three or four hours they walked together through this monumental gallery of the English nation. "At every step," says the memoir-writer, "I stopped, or Mr. Macaulay stopped me. Sometimes answering my questions, sometimes anticipating them, he explained to me an allegorical sculpture, he recalled to me a forgotten fact, he related to me an anecdote little known, he recited to me some fine passage from the poet or the orator at whose name we paused. . . . As the great dead of Italy throng around the passage of Dante, so the most illustrious personages of English History and Literature came out of their tombs before me, at the voice of a representative full worthy of them." * From the stores of his prodigious memory Macaulay thus improvised the learning which he elaborated in his writings. This eloquent monologue—for who that knew the illustrious *cicerone* could doubt that his ordinary monologue would in such a position almost become an oration—this monologue was uttered some seven years before the publication of the first two volumes of "The History of England from the Accession of James II." The great purpose of that History had been long seething in the mind of him who, in his earliest writings, exhibited "his tendency to concentrate his thoughts upon a single subject,—the rescue of our native English liberties from the futile and wearisome tyranny of the Stuarts, and the consolidation of those liberties by the settlement of the Revolution." † If the subject had been in his mind from the time when, in 1824, he wrote in "Knight's Quarterly Magazine" his "Conversation between Mr. Abraham Cowley and Mr. John Milton touching the great Civil War," the mode of

* "Mémoires," tome v. p. 155.

† Lucas, "Secularia," p. 248.

treating it was also in his mind when, in 1828, he wrote in "The Edinburgh Review" his article on "The Constitutional History of England from the Accession of Henry VII. to the death of George II. by Henry Hallam." He therein complains that we have good historical romances and good historical essays; that the imagination and the reason have made partition of that province of literature, and hold their respective portions in severalty, instead of holding the whole in common. "To make the past present," he says, "to bring the distant near, to place us in the society of a great man or on the eminence which overlooks the field of a mighty battle, to invest with the reality of human flesh and blood beings whom we are too much inclined to consider as personified qualities in an allegory, to call up our ancestors before us with all their peculiarities of language, manners, and garb, to show us over their houses, to seat us at their tables, to rummage their old-fashioned wardrobes, to explain the uses of their ponderous furniture, these parts of the duty which properly belongs to the historian have been appropriated by the historical novelist. . . . Sir Walter Scott gives us a novel; Mr. Hallam a critical and augmentative history. Both are occupied with the same matter. But the former looks at it with the eye of a sculptor. His intention is to give an express and lively image of its external form. The latter is an anatomist. His task is to dissect the subject to its inmost recesses, and to lay before us all the springs of motion and all the causes of decay." * It was for Macaulay, by a wonderful combination of the two great attributes of History and Romance, to build up a narrative that with the greatest power of charming the Fancy compelled, with very few exceptions, the submission of the Reason. Where he fails it is where he is carried away by the ardour of his political feelings and habits of thought, losing the historian in the partisan. But this total surrender to an overwhelming impulse in some degree ensured his triumph. It required no ordinary amount of energy to turn the young men and maidens who had been nurtured upon Hume, from a sickly sympathy with discrowned Stuarts and plotting Jacobites; to give them, in the place of that sugar-candy of History which sees nothing but the misfortunes of the great and forgets the wrongs and sufferings of the lowly, some more nourishing diet—some diet fitter for a great free people. His view of the Revolution and of the character of William of Orange was producing its effects when Prince Albert, with the moral courage and sagacity that were his by nature and education, said, in a large meeting of Churchmen on the 150th Anniversary of the Society for

* "Essays," vol. i. p. 113.

the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts, "This society was first chartered by that great man William the Third—the greatest sovereign this country has to boast of." Some of the Churchmen winced, and thought of Sacheverell and right divine.

If Macaulay were not always impartial himself, he was fully sensible of the value of impartiality. He characterizes Mr. Hallam's "Constitutional History" as eminently judicial—summing up with a steady impartiality, turning neither to the right nor to the left, glossing over nothing, exaggerating nothing. But Hallam in his impartiality seldom, we may perhaps say never, compromises the great cause of freedom and toleration by an indiscreet acceptance of the creeds of despotism disguised under the sentimentalism of loyalty, and the creeds of bigotry anathematizing heresy and schism. Under his somewhat cold and dry expositions there is a solid foundation of liberal and generous sentiment; a profound reverence for truth; a deep respect for popular rights, measured, however, by a natural dread of extreme democratic opinions. In the work which preceded his Constitutional History—"Europe during the Middle Ages,"—there is more of colour filling up the clear outline; but he has a distinct perception of the task before him. Its limited extent, its character of dissertation rather than of narrative, "must necessarily preclude that circumstantial delineation of events and of characters upon which the beauty as well as usefulness of a regular history so mainly depends." *

Macaulay is well described as "having knocked out the brains of the Stuart superstition." † The third eminent historian of the Victorian era has done something more than aid in this salutary work of destruction—he has taught us how to appreciate one of the greatest of England's sons—warrior, statesman, patriot—and yet king-killer. Mr. Carlyle has cleared away the rubbish that two centuries had accumulated round the memory of Cromwell; and has raised for him a monument that will endure when some of the marble shall have perished amongst which his statue has no place. Partisan as Mr. Carlyle is, he is not the partisan of party. His reverence is for the individual. He bows before intellectual power of which it is the nature generally to obtain the mastery over fortune; and thus by some he is held in his hero-worship to be the idolator of success rather than of virtue. Unquestionably his contempt for the weak is somewhat too decided—for those who halt between two opinions—for those who compromise the right with the expedient. Shams and unveracities, that absolutism rides over, are the abominations of a balancing age which it is his business to

* "Middle Ages"—Preface to First Edition, 1818.

† "Secularia," p. 270.

underrate. With a style which is well suited to the original character of his mind in its forcible quaintness and occasional ruggedness, there is no writer of narrative who has a greater command of that descriptive power which combines the rush of the poet with the minuteness of the naturalist—the hawk's swoop with the hawk's vision. Amongst writers professedly aiming at word-pictures there can no passages be found more eminently picturesque than many which arrest the reader and hold him captive in the "French Revolution" and in the "Cromwell."

The "History of England" of Dr. Lingard comes down to the Revolution of 1688. The author, a Roman Catholic divine, could not be expected to deal with the great ecclesiastical reforms of three centuries without a leaning to the opinions of his own Church. But he is worthy of all respect for his abstinence from any attempts to proselytize, and for his general fairness in commenting upon the documents upon which he claims the merit of having founded his narrative. If his professed endeavour to separate himself as much as possible from every party be not always successful, we may accept his declaration that he is not conscious to himself of any feeling which should induce him to pervert the truth.* The "History of Scotland," of Patrick Fraser Tytler, is another of those valuable works which are based upon authentic materials. The narrative is brought down to the Union of the crowns in 1603. Thus dealing with events that are not only obscure in the hands of the early narrators, but surrounded in all narratives. ancient or modern, with a haze of national prejudice, Mr. Tytler has succeeded in telling a great story in a just spirit—not in an unpatriotic spirit, but with a conviction that the historian's duty may be best performed by looking at the Past without surrendering his faith to legends and traditions which only represent the violence of semi-barbarous times. Over the Anglo-Saxon History new streams of light have been thrown by the learning of Sir Francis Palgrave and of John Mitchell Kemble. The "Historical essays" of John Forster, particularly those on "The Grand Remonstrance of 1641," and "On the Civil Wars and Oliver Cromwell," form a valuable supplement to those "Lives of Eminent British Statesmen" which appeared at an earlier period of his distinguished literary career. Lord Mahon's "History of England from the Peace of Utrecht to 1783," filled up a considerable gap in our historical narratives of particular eras which require to be treated with fulness of detail. This is a work which is safe from its conscientiousness; pleasing from its equable flow rather than

* Preface—Fourth Edition, p. ix.

from its occasional brilliancy; reflecting the mind of an amiable and accomplished writer, who ordinarily avoids the dogmatism of a political instructor, and seeks to inform rather than to convince. Lord Brougham's "Historical Sketches of Statesmen who flourished in the time of George III." would be out of nature if they pretended to a similar calmness and reticence. Yet, strong as they occasionally are in their language and tone, and therefore the cause of much controversy, it is obvious that the great orator of half a century has, with all his personal and party antipathies, a deep sympathy with honesty of purpose, a profound admiration of true eloquence, a just appreciation of the difficulties that surrounded the course of the advisers of the Crown, when the functions of the Constitutional Sovereign were ill understood in the lingering superstitions of expiring feudalism. With the exception of Sir William Napier's grand "History of the War of the Peninsula," we prefer to leave, without any attempt to characterize them, the historical narratives of our own immediate times. The merits of Sir Archibald Alison and of Miss Martineau are abundantly recognized by readers who properly estimate the value of their information, without being disturbed by the opposite political tendencies of the one or the other. *

The field of historical writing is too wide for any extension of these imperfect notices beyond the historians of our own country. If our limits would allow we might devote a page to a contrast between the popular History of Greece (Mitford's) in the time of George III., and two great works thus described by an accomplished scholar:—"Within the last fifty years more has been done by both English and foreign scholars to elucidate the history of Greece than at any former period since the revival of learning; and the results of all these labours are two English works on the history of Greece, such as no other nation can boast of." The works thus alluded to by Dr. Leonhard Schmitz are those of bishop Thirlwall and Mr. Grote. The one was commenced in 1835, and was greatly improved in 1845; the other, commenced in 1846, was completed in 1856. Dr. Arnold's "History of Rome" was left unfinished in 1842, when England could ill afford to lose a man of the purest virtue and the highest ability, who so put his heart into what he did that, if he had been longer spared, he might by his honest zeal have reconciled some of the theological differences of his time, and have produced a nearer approach to reconciliation between democratic and aristocratic politics. He died when these

* For obvious reasons we would only point out "The Pictorial History of England" as a general history that supplied a great want at the beginning of the Queen's reign.

contests appeared to threaten formidable convulsions in the Church and in the State.

Dr. Johnson, "speaking of the French novels, compared with Richardson's, said, they might be pretty baubles, but a wren was not an eagle."* The French novels were those which Gray had a lazy delight in dreaming over as he lay upon a sofa in his college rooms, and all around him was the dull monotony of his half-monastic life. Another generation turned away from Richardson and knew nothing of *Crebillon*. Our own wrens of fiction were hopping about and chirruping their little notes on every side in the quarter of a century after Johnson. How were they petted in every parlour, aye, and in every kitchen, in another half century! From 1816 to 1851 there were a hundred new works of prose fiction published in every year. † From 1852 to 1861, it may fairly be conjectured that their annual number had doubled. To catalogue even the names of all the writers of our own day in this department would occupy many pages. There has been a never-failing succession of new candidates for possible profit—even for the honour alone of appearing in print. In most cases born and dying like the Mayfly, they were perhaps to be envied in their one day's existence. But those who have lived through many Springs have given manifest proof that their long lives have not been idle. Never were novelists so numerous; never were the works of the enduring ones so voluminous. Shall we offend sir Edward Bulwer Lytton if we record that he began writing novels in the seventh year of George the Fourth, and is still writing novels in the twenty-fifth year of Victoria,—that there is not a province of fiction into which he has not made a foray, and carried off the richest spoils? Mr. James was in 1825 aspiring to be the imitator, if not the rival, of Sir Walter Scott, and had scarcely given up his especial function of revivifying, in an apparently endless series,

"Great heaps of ruinous mortality,"

when his own mortal career was terminated in 1860. Captain Marryat did not begin to write till he was nearly forty, and he died when he was fifty-six; but in that period he produced a novel each year. Dickens was writing "*Sketches by Boz*" in 1836; he was writing "*Great Expectations*" in 1861. Of his voluminousness it may be sufficient to say that his eight larger serial stories, according to a typographical estimate, contain nearly double the quantity of the four great novels of Fielding and the five of Smollett. Ainsworth published "*Rookwood*" in 1834; he

* Boswell, chap. xxiv. 1770.

† See Note at the end of this Chapter.

is still lingering around prisons and palaces and civic banqueting-halls. Thackeray was not a novelist proper till 1846; but what a large space has he filled in the world's eye during the sixteen years between "Vanity Fair" and the "Adventures of Philip." The Idleness of Authors must be classed with the false beliefs of another age.

It would be as manifestly impossible, as it would be unnecessary, for us to follow the flights even of the eagles. Many there are who cannot be classed amongst "the little birds," but whose names we can only indicate. Some have a moderate share of surviving reputation, such as the novelists of Irish life, John Banim, William Carleton, and Charles James Lever. Charlotte Brontë, who first awakened the world to an appreciation of her remarkable genius in 1847, has been too prematurely removed, before a wider experience might have mellowed her views of life without impairing their originality. A greater has succeeded to the elevated seat which she filled—the authoress of "Adam Bede." Let us proceed to a few general observations upon the increased and increasing prevalence of prose-fiction, and upon its general tendencies in obedience to the character and influence of the era in which its writers are living.

Superficially considered, an age of novel-reading might be pronounced to be an idle and a frivolous age. Yet the living generation is far more hardly worked than the generation immediately preceding; its work is exhausting, its pace is killing, compared with the times before the French Revolution. Yet the age is not frivolous, as in other times and other countries, for it is a home-keeping age, and its pleasures are of a domestic character. And home pursuits and habits have made the Novel take the place of the Comedy. We may repeat a few sentences we have written elsewhere: "It is remarkable how, within the last quarter of a century, the Novel has been the principal reflector of manners—how the players have, to a great extent, foregone their function of being 'the abstracts and brief chronicles of the time.' It was not so when Fielding and Smollett held 'the mirror up to nature' in the modern form of fiction, whilst Goldsmith and Sheridan took the more ancient dramatic method of dealing with humours and fashions. The stage has still its sparkling writers—England is perhaps richer in the laughing satire and fun of journalism than at any period. But the novel, especially in that cheap issue which finds its entrance to thousands of households, furnishes the chief material from which the future philosophical historian will learn what were our modes of thought and living—our vices and our

follies—our pretensions, and our realities—in the middle of the nineteenth century.”* The severe moralist of ten years ago might say, as he may now say, that the Theatre was a rare indulgence for the middle classes, and was scarcely accessible to the lower; but that the Circulating Library was sending its seductions into every household, and that the fictions of the Monthly Serial and the Weekly Sheet were interfering with the serious thoughts and duties of life amongst all classes; that, even if they did not corrupt, they were diverting from useful studies. There was something of justice in this harsh estimate. The so-called mischief, which before the age of Serials was confined to the Circulating Library, had reached the humblest ranks in the Penny Weekly Sheets. The popular tendency had forced upon every weekly periodical the necessity for introducing fiction in some form or other. The great masters of fiction did not shrink from publishing their creations in weekly or monthly fragments. The humbles, hacks, utterly devoid of knowledge and abounding in bad taste could reproduce all the forgotten trash of the Minerva Press, in what has been called the Kitchen literature. Their labours were crowned with an enormous popularity in periodicals which founded their large circulation upon a meretricious cheapness. Hence, for the most part, a deluge of stories that, to mention the least evil of them, abounded with false representations of manners, drivelling sentimentalities, and impossible incidents. The apologist for the light reading of his time could not shut his eyes to the ever-present fact, that in proportion as the number of readers had increased, the desire of the mass of the population had been rather for passing amusement than solid instruction. But his true apology would be founded upon another ever-present fact. The labouring people of this country were labouring harder than any other people not only from the absolute necessity of the competition around them, but through the energy of their race. The middle classes were carried along that stream of excitement which had grown from the tranquil course of Denham’s “Thames,” “though gentle, yet not dull,” into the rush of the “swift and arrowy Rhone.” The quickened Post, Railways, Telegraphs, had made all life go faster. The energies of all had become overtaken. It could not, therefore, in the nature of things be expected that much of the reading of all classes should have been other than for amusement. Further, when it was considered how comparatively recent had been the training for any reading amongst a large proportion of those who had become readers, we could scarcely look for a great

* “Half Hours with the best Authors,” vol. iv. p. 482, edit. 1848.

amount of serious application in their short leisure after a hard working day. The entertainment which was presented to all whether in the shape of a shilling novel or a penny journal was not debasing; it might enfeeble the intellect, but it did not taint it. We had got beyond the scurrilous stage—the indecent stage—the profane stage—the seditious stage, of cheap Miscellanies.

Let us turn to another aspect of the prose fiction of our age, in regard to which it would be difficult for the most sturdy utilitarian to deny that it has accomplished higher ends than the supply of mere amusement.

About the beginning of the reign of William the Fourth there was a lady whose zeal as a political and social teacher has been unwearied, who came suddenly upon the world as a writer of an extensive series of tales, having a more distinct purpose than other works of imagination. Her purpose was to teach political economy through fiction:—

“So we, if children young diseased we find,
Anoint with sweets the vessel’s foremost parts,
To make them taste the potions sharp we give.”*

Miss Martineau had small encouragement at the outset of her adventure. Paternoster Row would willingly have bargained for the sugar on the edge of the cup without the physic within. Gray’s Inn Square, wherein dwelt the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge, believed that the sweets would impair the efficacy of the physic. At length a somewhat obscure sectarian publisher made a bidding; and a little volume was cast upon the world entitled “Illustrations of Political Economy. No. 1. Life in the Wilds: A Tale.” Without intermission for more than two years came out every month a new Tale, with a short “Summary of Principles” indicated therein. The success was complete. “I may take my stand upon Science,” said the now popular authoress. “The sciences on which I touch bear no relation to party.” Without inferring that Miss Martineau did not strenuously labour to fulfil what she considered her mission as a teacher of political and social truths, we may venture to believe that her skilful development of an interesting story—her great power of assimilation, by which local images and scenes were reproduced as if they had been the result of actual observation—her skilful admixture of narrative and dialogue—her ability to conceive a character and to carry it through with a real dramatic power—that these qualities excited the admiration of thousands of readers, who rose from the perusal of her monthly volumes without the “Principles” having taken the

* Tasso—Fairfax’s translation—book i. Canto 3.

slightest hold upon their minds. Her triumph as a novelist was the more remarkable as her purpose was a mistake in Art. It was the same mistake that Joanna Baillie made in her "Plays on the Passions." As it was a mistake to make the conduct of a drama wholly rest upon the exhibition of one intense master passion, it was also a mistake to conduct a novel so as to lay aside most of the modifying social circumstances which would divert the progress of the action, and render a denouement according to scientific laws at least improbable. Nevertheless, we hold these remarkable little books to have, in a considerable degree, led the way in the growing tendency of all novel-writing to extend the area of its search for materials upon which to build a story, and to keep in view the characteristic relations of rich and poor, of educated and uneducated, of virtuous and vicious, in our complicated state of society, so as to bring all classes and conditions nearer to each other in the exposition of a common humanity prescribing a common brotherhood. This was the great benefit to his age which Charles Dickens has accomplished, without having a ground of scientific "Principles" for his social pictures—indeed, sometimes too ostentatiously despising the doctrines of political economy in his search after a broader foundation for lessons to be implied by his readers rather than enforced upon them. Whatever be the political or theological opinions of the more prominent novelists of the Victorian era, no one, even twenty years ago, could get away from the fact that the one solemn and imperative duty of every man and woman in these days is to act upon the precept of "Blessed is he that considereth the poor,"—to act upon it, not in the spirit of alms-giving, but in the spirit of Christian brotherhood. To understand, wherever possible, what are the habitual thoughts and feelings of the great mass of the people; to go to the root of that isolation which separates the receiver of wages from the capitalist; to see where the scientific laws which regulate Labour and Capital press unequally, and how their inevitable tendency to a segregation of classes can be modified; to ascertain what is the true nature of the popular prejudice which requires to be enlightened on political questions; to cast away all undue suspicion of democratic opinions and of religious dissent, and to open as wide as prudence may prescribe the doors of the Senate and of the Church; lastly, to trace crime to its dens, and finding out how much it is identified with misery, and with that barbarism which sits grim and dangerous by the side of civilization, to abate if possible the want, and to remove the ignorance before the dimness of the child becomes the total darkness of the adult;—such are the duties which it is the especial

honour of many of the present race of our writers of prose fiction to have successfully inculcated. They have brought us to know our fellows in the great community to which we belong. It is a knowledge which promises safety to the great and to the rich; to the landowner and the merchant; to the lawyer and the divine; to all who serve the State in administrative functions; to the secular teacher, and even to the abstracted student who would "let the world slip:"—"Blessed is he that considereth the poor; the Lord will deliver him in time of trouble." All honour to those beguilers of life's dull hours who have laboured to bring us all to a knowledge of each other by repeated efforts, such as those of Charles Dickens; to the illustrious females, such as Elizabeth Gaskell, who have seen in this work an especial vocation; to a band of manly thinkers, of whom Charles Kingsley is the type. They have their reward, though not a complete one, in seeing the great change which marks the difference between 1831 and 1861. The author of "Alton Locke," who, from his recollections of twenty years ago, drew a painful picture of the hateful severance of classes, thus describes the great change which presents itself to his view in 1862: "Before the influence of religion, both Evangelical and Anglican; before the spread of those liberal principles, founded on common humanity and justice, the triumph of which we owe to the courage and practical good sense of the Whig party; before the example of a Court, virtuous, humane, and beneficent; the attitude of the British upper classes has undergone a noble change. There is no aristocracy in the world, and there never has been one as far as I know, which has so honorably repented, and brought forth fruits meet for repentance; which has so cheerfully asked what its duty was, that it might do it. It is not merely enlightened statesmen, philanthropists, devotees, or the working clergy, hard and heartily as they are working, who have set themselves to do good as a duty specially required of them by creed or by station. In the generality of younger laymen, as far as I can see, a humanity (in the highest sense of the word) has been awakened, which bids fair, in another generation, to abolish the last remnants of class prejudices and class grudges."*

The novels of Mr. Thackeray are signal examples of a great change in the mode of conducting prose fiction. When Garrick played Macbeth in the court costume of the reign of Anne, the pit did not hiss the anachronism. When the bold baron of the Minerva Press talked to his ladye-love in the style of Sir Fopling Flutter, the scene of their passion might have equally fitted Al-

* "Alton Locke," Preface to edition of 1862, p. viii.

wick Castle or Sion House. The trusting reader did not regard language, or costume, or local colouring, as in any way essential to the development of a story whether of the 15th or the 19th century. Mr. Thackeray saw the great vulgar and the little vulgar of the Club or the Drawing-Room, when he first looked around him for his materials for satire, and he laid them bare in his "Book of Snobs." He has painted the passion for notoriety, the childish ostentation, the sacrifice of comfort for show, the pride that goes before a fall, the money-worship of the scheming mothers, the flirtations of the ambitious daughters, the sycophancy, the hypocrisy, the selfishness of his own age. He has shown the same inner life in the days of "two pages and a chair," of buckles and periwigs. But he never confounds the characteristics of the Past and the Present. If there is often a family likeness in his portraits, he is careful to individualize them by peculiarities characteristic of the shifting fashions of a generation. "The Virginians" belong to the time when Decorum sat prim and solemn by the side of George and Charlotte at Kew; when if the high-born wanted an occasional frolic with Licentiousness they were perfectly indifferent to the effects of their example upon the swinish multitude who admiringly looked on. "Vanity Fair" is of the Regency,—its noise and its glitter,—the constable to keep order in the crowd, the profligacy going forward where justice stands hoodwinked outside the door.

If any attempt only to indicate those who have taken rank amongst the Novelists of the Victorian age be embarrassing from the length of the roll, what shall we say of the Poets, whose name is legion. The elder, such as Bowles and Robert Montgomery, will not be numbered by future critics as belonging to the same class as the ephemeral tribe of another age that Johnson's "Lives" have rescued from oblivion. Allan Cunningham and Bryan Waller Proctor, who belonged to the middle period, are worthy of long memory. A younger race to whom we, individually, were bound by ties of friendly intercourse—Winthrop Mackworth Praed, Sidney Walker, John Moultrie, Derwent Coleridge—may perhaps be more impartially estimated by others, but by none with a more hearty esteem for those who are living, and with a deeper regret for those who were too prematurely taken away. Ebenezer Elliott will be remembered for more enduring qualities than are displayed in his "Corn Law Rhymes." John Clare is still amongst us in the flesh, but his true pictures of rural life and of the peculiar aspects of his own Midland scenery will never again delight by that truth and freshness which stand out amidst the imitative and con-

ventional herd. Richard Monckton Milnes has accomplished the very difficult feat of taking a really distinguished position as a poet, without impairing his usefulness as a politician. Richard Barham was equally successful in producing the most humorous *Fabliaux* of the nineteenth century, without compromising his character as a clergyman by putting on the dress of a Troubadour.

Dramatic Poetry had nearly reached its culminating point when Victoria came to the throne. Sheridan Knowles, Bulwer Lytton, Leigh Hunt, Thomas Noon Talfourd, James White, had attained a well-merited popularity, before the time when the scene-painter and the property-man usurped the functions of the poet. We need not enlarge upon their merits, nor contrast them with a time which has produced no drama to be acted which is likely to be known after it has dragged through a brief and inglorious life. We have dramas not for the stage; of which those of Henry Taylor may be mentioned as noble poems, and those of Robert Browning, of whom we have presently to speak in his general poetical character, as vivid dialogues without the "business" which makes a play.

The two great poets who came early in the fourth decade of the nineteenth century, to fill up the void when Byron, and Keats, and Shelley had passed away, were Alfred Tennyson and Robert Browning. Wordsworth, Rogers, Crabbe, Southey, Coleridge, Campbell, were still amongst us when Tennyson, an undergraduate of Trinity College, Cambridge, published, in 1830, "Poems, chiefly lyrical." Browning, educated at the University of London, in 1835 published "Paracelsus." Moving onward by different roads towards high excellence and permanent fame, each is, in his several way, a representative of our age. To Browning belongs its inquiring and sceptical spirit; to Tennyson its cultivation of the home affections, its sympathy with all natural emotions, whether belonging to the refined or the uneducated. To Browning it belongs to follow Paracelsus in his wanderings through continental Europe; to see Pippa pass in the Trevisan; to be in Sardinia with Victor Amadeus and Charles Emmanuel; to celebrate Colomb's Birthday at Cleves. In his greater Dramas and his Dramatic Lyrics nearly all his scenes are laid in foreign lands which had become accessible to Englishmen in the age of steamboats and railroads. He leaves to others to walk in English lanes and amid English trees. This is the landscape amid which Tennyson moves. Where "The lady of Shallott" dwells

"On either side the river lie
Long fields of barley and of rye."

"The Gardener's Daughter" grew amidst meadows

“Dewy fresh, browsed by deep-udder'd kine,”

and to the solitary garden comes

“The windy clanging of the minster clock.”

When the poet leaves the familiar scenes of to-day he takes us into the same English landscape of the past. We look upon “King Arthur”

“Among the mountains by the winter sea ;”

Godiva, “clothed on with chastity,” rides through old Coventry, but the poet thinks of her as he is “waiting for the train.” However steadily regarding the Past and glancing at the Future, he has still the great nineteenth century flashing upon his mind :

“Yet I doubt not through the ages one increasing purpose runs,
And the thoughts of men are widened with the process of the suns.”*

Tennyson looked upon the transition time of 1832, when fear of change was perplexing the old, and hopes of a bright future were leading on the young, and he thought of his country, as

“A land of settled government,
A land of just and old renown,
Where Freedom broadens slowly down
From precedent to precedent.”

At once the poet of progress and of conservatism, he is essentially the representative of the opinions that have made our country secure, amidst the war of Opinion which has been raging all around us. What Shakspeare was to the age of Elizabeth as the suggestive poet of a just patriotism, Tennyson is to the age of Victoria.

We cannot close the mention of our Poets without adding the name of Elizabeth Barrett, who in wedding as true a poet as herself—one who could walk with her in all the fields of learning—over whose thoughts her genius would have a perceptible influence—gave a double immortality to the name of Browning. Nor must we forget one who long stood alone in the most remarkable combination of humour and pathos, which gave him an equal command over laughter and over tears. Thomas Hood was a poet of the rarest genius; and yet he was classed by many with the laborious manufacturers of jokes who had little care for any result of their witticisms other than the passing smile. Put Hood’s “Whims and Oddities” by the side of Colman’s “Broad Grins,” and we at once see the almost immeasurable superiority to the merely grotesque of the “infinite jest” which belongs to the “most excellent fancy.” There was in Hood’s table-talk a gravity, almost amounting to melancholy, which surrounded his humour

* “Locksley Hall.”

with a halo which added to its charm without impairing its power. It was the same with his writings. The depth of his sympathies with sorrow and suffering burst out in his latter years in those pathetic lyrics which abided in the memories of many who were then coming into the active labours of life, and made them thoughtful about more things than money-getting. The economist might say that "Songs of the Shirt" presented a one-sided picture of human affairs. Rigid moralists might affirm that the frail self-destroyer might better be left unwept. Nature triumphed. We believe that the true relations of Labour and Capital, and the just limitations of Christian sympathy with sin, were better understood by looking at the exceptional cases which the Poet drew in his day of sickness and poverty.

Hood occasionally illustrated his writings by his own sketches. When he died a remarkable publication was in its full vigour in which the Pen and the Pencil were united to present the ludicrous aspects of human life, and not seldom the serious aspects of that sorrow which seemed to spring from legislative indifference to social evils. "Punch" has been one of the most vital emanations of this mixed quality of the ludicrous and the reflective. In this school, Douglas Jerrold first took that hold of the public mind which his brilliant wit, his ready sarcasm, and his real benevolence, long commanded. In this school Thackeray first won his spurs. To look over the forty volumes of the twenty years' existence of "Punch," is to trace the political and social England of the Victorian era through a medium which, if the age of the Tudors or the Stuarts could have tolerated such a mirror, would have been worth a wilderness of State Papers, such as we are now rescuing out of the dust of oblivion.

We have thus hastily run through three principal classes of Literature which have been "both an effect and a cause" in relation to their age. For very obvious reasons we pass by the great mass of the Theology of this epoch, which the future historian will have to study as carefully as the High-Church and Nonconformist polemics must be studied to understand the character of the time which produced Jeremy Taylor and Baxter. In the Episcopal Church of England, and in the Presbyterian Church of Scotland, there have been heresies and schisms, discords and separations, which have left little leisure for the calm pursuits of learned investigation, or the cultivation of an eloquence suited to all time. The Butlers and Barrows have had few rivals in logical profundity; the Lardners and Paleys have scarcely had adequate successors as historical or textual commentators. Those, we presume to

say, who have most stood aloof from the controversies of their own day appear most certain of a durable place in the esteem of another generation. Such appreciation will, we believe, be awarded to the Ecclesiastical Historian, Dean Milman; to Frederick W. Robertson, the most fervent and yet the most tolerant of preachers; to those who have walked in the footsteps of Arnold, and have more enforced that Religion of Love which is all-comprehensive, than the Worship which rests upon ceremonial, and the Faith which assumes to be most Christian when it is most exclusive. But amidst the controversies between the two great sections of the English Church, one great fact stands out, to mark most distinctly that the spirit of the age has made its Religion more practically beneficent; and out of division has compelled union. When the Clergy, whether Anglican or Evangelical, discovered clearly that apathy and neglect amidst surrounding ignorance and vice were not only a reproach but a danger, Dissent saw that the area of proselytism was materially narrowed, and that its triumphs must henceforth be won in the generous and honest rivalry of all religionists in doing good—in Schools, in Hospitals, in Prisons—in the pestilent Alleys and the marsh-girt Hovels; by weaning the drunkard from his dram by inducing a desire for knowledge; by teaching the slattern and the scold that discomfort makes the husband brutal and the child undutiful. There is other teaching than that of homilies; and all Christian teachers have learnt that there is other work for them to do than that which Sunday brings.

The essential dependence of all social improvements upon accurate Statistics has been signally manifested in the period about which we are writing. The Political Economists, of whom without disparagement of others we may mention John Stuart Mill as the most original and influential, have more than ever built their Science upon Statistics. Macculloch and Porter were individually leading the way in supplying Theory with its only safe and durable materials. Graham and Farr directed official inquiries to more extensive uses than the correction of Tables of Mortality. They made the figures of the Registrar-General's Office subserve every amelioration of our social condition; rendering even the most careless observer sensible that health is dependent upon cleanliness and upon ventilation, and that the epidemics, which were once deemed the scourges of a wicked generation, are the visitations of a Gracious Ruler to teach Man to read in the great book of Nature how sharp and certain are the penalties of the social neglect of His laws.

The scientific writers, whether in Natural History, or Physi-

ology, or Physics, or Mathematics, are so numerous, and their labours have produced such mighty results upon Arts and Industry, that to name the more eminent would in us be presumptuous. It is not for us to speak of the great Geologists—Buckland, Sedgwick, Lyell, De la Beche, Hugh Miller—of the interpreters of primeval ages who have trod in the footsteps of Cuvier—Owen, Murchison, Forbes—of those who have compelled that alliance of Science with Sacred Texts which can never impair the true value of Revealed Truth. But we may pronounce one word of respect for the teachers who, not stepping down from their lofty heights of pure science, have made its abstract wisdom lovely in the eyes of the uninitiated—of such as Brewster, as the younger Herschel, as Sabine, as Airy, as Babbage, as Arnott, as Whewell, as Faraday. Of the great Discoverers and Inventors—Wheatstone, of the Electric Telegraph—Talbot, who first showed how the sun could paint, and thus made Photography the delight of the age, multiplying all remembrances of the perishing ruin or the fresh landscape, and making the familiar faces of the parent or the sister of the English home its truest memorials in the Antipodes—of these, and especially of the Chemists, who have penetrated more deeply than any other philosophers into the hidden secrets of nature—of these the honours are inscribed on the imperishable column which records our Victories over Matter, compelling its unwilling obedience to the service of man.

The Critics and Essayists abound in an age when Reviews and Magazines abound more than ever. There are distinct works which stand out as self-contained achievements. The elder D'Israeli's "Curiosities of Literature;" Walter Savage Landor's "Imaginary Conversations;" Hallam's "Introduction to the Literature of Europe;" "Guesses at Truth," by Julius Hare and his brother; "Essays written in the Intervals of Business," by Arthur Helps; "The Statesman" of Henry Taylor—these are works of real vitality. In Art Criticism Mrs. Jameson exhibited her remarkable knowledge of the religious symbolism of the Middle Ages, whilst Mr. Ruskin was startling the orthodox critics by his eloquent originality on the characteristics of "Modern Painters." Let us add a word on the impulse which was given in the first ten years of the Queen's reign to Shakspearean criticism. It would be arrogant in the author of this History to dwell upon his own labours as a commentator on Shakspeare; it would be affectation in him not to mention them in association with the names of Collier, Dyce, Halliwell, and Hunter. The spirit of inquiry applied to the illustration of Shakspeare and our early dramatists, was in some degree



a continuation of the labours of the commentators of the previous century, but constructed upon those broader principles of criticism with which Coleridge had made us familiar. But it was a peculiar characteristic of this era that not only were the labours of eminent individuals, such as Palgrave and Kemble, directed towards a more searching investigation into all questions of our history and early literature, but that the deeper and more accurate spirit of antiquarian inquiry was followed up by the formation of Archæological Institutes and Associations, not merely in London but in every part of the country. The same spirit gave rise to the establishment of Publishing Societies, such as the Camden Society, for printing old manuscripts and reprinting scarce books. These and many other peculiarities in the literary tendencies of the Victorian era, afford satisfactory proof that the age of loose research and vague generalities was happily past, in whatever department of literature aspired to a permanent influence.

If we were to attempt a record of those whom an age of universal communication has sent forth to explore the uttermost ends of the earth—if we were to trace even such persevering and sagacious explorers amidst the dust of ages as Layard, and such interpreters of the great fruitful past at Wilkinson—we might add to the suggestive interest of our chapters, but should usurp the functions that belong to a more special history of our age. Of Travel in the time of Queen Victoria, there are no details more full of human interest than those which belong to African research, and to the Arctic expeditions, the last of which only developed how our countrymen would persevere and die in the discharge of the duty assigned to them, and how their followers in the same course would never rest till a difficult problem had been solved, whatever might be its intrinsic value.

We may conclude with a remark or two on the Commerce of Literature. When we look back at the various periods of English publication, and consider how amazingly the aggregate number of books published in any one period had increased, we must also regard the size and price of the works published, to form any adequate notion of the general diffusion of literature. Even with a general reduction of price during a quarter of a century, with the substitution of duodecimos for quartos, and with single volumes beyond all former precedent, there is little doubt that the annual returns of publishing in all its departments had been doubled in 1850, as compared with 1825. The book-trade was to be estimated, not by the number of the learned who once collected folios, and of the rich who rejoiced in exclusive quartos, but of the many to whom

a small volume of a living author had become a necessity for instruction or for amusement, and who desired to read our established literature in editions well printed and carefully edited, though essentially cheap. This number of readers had been constantly increasing, and as constantly pressing for a reduction of price upon modern books of high reputation.

The altered tone and ability of newspapers was decidedly marked at this period. At the beginning of the present century the local newspapers "had no editorial comments whatever,"* and scarcely an original paragraph. The conductors of our five hundred provincial journals at the end of the first half of the century were watching for every particle of news in their own districts; reporting public meetings; waiting for electric telegraphs; pondering upon grave questions of social economy; and, to the best of their judgment, fairly representing the course of events. Much of this intelligent and honourable spirit they owed to the progressive improvement of the London Newspaper Press.

* "Life of Edward Baines," by his Son.

NOTE ON THE CLASSES OF BOOKS PUBLISHED, 1816 TO 1851.

IN 1853 there was issued a "Classified Index to the London Catalogue of Books, 1816-1851," in which there are 34 Divisions of Classification. For a special object we took the pains to analyze this octave volume of 300 pages, and were thus enabled to estimate in round numbers the sort of books which the public were buying, or reading, or neglecting, in these 36 years. We found that they were invited to purchase in the following proportion of classes:—

Works on divinity	10,300
History and geography	4,900
Fiction	3,500
Foreign languages and school-books	4,000
Drama and poetry	3,400
Juvenile books	2,900
Medical	2,500
Biography	1,850
Law.	1,850
Science.—Zoology	550
" Botany	700
" Chemistry	170
" Geology	280
" Mathematics	350
" Astronomy	150
" Natural philosophy	300
	2,500
Arts, &c.—Antiquities	350
" Architecture	500
" Fine arts	450
" Games and sports	300
" Illustrated works	500
" Music	220
" Genealogy and heraldry	140
	2,460
Industry.—Mechanics, &c.	500
" Agriculture	250
" Trade and commerce	600
" Political economy, statistics	700
" Military	300
	2,350
Moral Sciences.—Philology, &c.	350
" Education	300
" Moral philosophy	300
" Morals	450
" Domestic economy	200
	1,600
Miscellaneous (so classed)	1,400
	45,510

Upon calculations based upon the London Catalogues of Books from 1828, we learn that after the lapse of a quarter of a century there were three times as many books published as in 1828: that the comparative increase in the number of volumes was not so great, showing that of the new books more single volumes were published; that the average price of each new work had been reduced nearly one-half; and that the average price per volume had fallen about five shillings below the price of 1828.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE OF BRITISH WRITERS,

In continuation of the Table in Volume vii. p. 528.

IMAGINATION.	FACT.	SPECULATIVE & SCIENTIFIC.
<p>W. H. Ainsworth, born 1805. Rookwood, 1834. W. E. Aytoun, b. 1813. Lays of the Scottish Cavaliers, 1849.</p>	<p>Sir A. Alison, b. 1792. History of Europe, 1839.</p>	<p>G. B. Airy, b, 1801. Gravitation, 1838. Neil Arnott, b. 1788. Elements of Physics, 1827.</p>
<p>P. J. Bailey, b. 1816. Festus, 1839. John S. Blackie, b. 1809. Lays and Legends of Greece Sir John Bowring, b. 1792. Specimens of the Russian Poets, 1821 C. Shirley Brooks, b. 1815. Plays, 1850. The Silver Cord, 1861. Frances Browne, b. 1818. Poems. Robert Browning, b. 1812. Paracelsus, a poem, 1835.</p>	<p>Charles Babbage, b. 1792. Economy of Manufactures and Machinery, 1832. Edward Baines, b. 1800. History of Cotton Manufactures, 1835. Robert Bell, b. 1800. Lives of the English Poets. George Borrow, b. 1803. The Bible in Spain; The Zincali, 1841. Sir David Brewster, b. 1781. On some Principles of Light, 1813. Henry Lord Brougham, b. 1779. Enquiry into the Colonial Policy of the European Powers, 1803. Historical Memoirs. Political Philosophy. Henry T. Buckle, b. 1822. History of Civilization in England. (Died in 1862.)</p>	<p>Joseph Bosworth, b. 1788. Elements of Anglo-Saxon Grammar, 1823. W. T. Brande, b. 1780. Outline of Geology, 1817. Manual of Chemistry, 1819. Sir B. C. Brodie, b. 1783. Physiological Researches on the influence of the Brain on the Action of the Heart, 1811.</p>
<p>William Carleton, b. 1798. Traits and Stories of the Irish Peasantry. John Clare, b. 1793. Poems of Rural Life, 1820. W. Wilkie Collins, b. 1825. Antonina, 1850. The Woman in White, 1860. Eliza Cook, b. 1817. Poems.</p>	<p>John Hill Burton, b. 1809. Benthamiana, 1843. History of Scotland, 1853. Thomas Carlyle, b. 1795. Life of Schiller, 1824. French Revolution, 1837. William Chambers, b. 1800. Book of Scotland. Robert Chambers, b. 1802. Traditions of Edinburgh. F. R. Chesney, b. 1789. Survey of the Euphrates and the Tigris, 1850. Mrs. Cowden Clarke, b. 1809. Concordance to Shakspeare, 1845. J. Payne Collier, b. 1789. Works of Shakspeare, 1844. G. Lillie Craik, b. 1799. Pursuit of Knowledge under Difficulties, 1831.</p>	<p>W. B. Carpenter, b. 1813. Principles of Physiology, 1839. Arthur Cayley, b. 1821. Mathematical Terminology Edwin Chudwick, b. 1801. Education; Sawjaty Improvement. John Cumming, b. 1810. Propphetical Interpretation.</p>

IMAGINATION.	FACT.	SPECULATIVE & SCIENTIFIC.
<p>Charles Dickens, b. 1812. Sketches by Boz, 1836. E. Disraeli, b. 1805. Vivian Grey, 1828.</p>	<p>Sir E. S. Creasy, b. 1812. The Fifteen Decisive Battles of the World. Peter Cunningham, b. 1816. Handbook of London, 1849. Wm. Hepworth Dixon, b. 1821. John Howard, a Memoir, 1849. Alexander Dyce, b. 1798. Editions of Greene, Peele, Marlowe, Webster, Shake- speare. Sir Henry Ellis, b. 1777. Introduction to Domesday Book, 1833.</p> <p>William Farr, b. 1807. On Vital Statistics, 1837. J. D. Forbes, b. 1809. Norway and its Glaciers, 1853. John Forster, b. 1812. Life of Oliver Goldsmith, 1848. J. A. Froude, b. 1818. History of England, 1856.</p>	<p>Charles Darwin, b. 1816. Voyage of a Naturalist, 1816. Origin of Species, 1859. A. De Morgan, b. 1806. Elements of Arithmetic, 1830. Sir Charles Eastlake, P.R.A. b. 1793. Contributions to the Litera- ture of the Fine Arts. William Ellis, b. 1800. Social Economy for Schools Michael Faraday, b. 1794. On Chemical Manipula- tion, 1817. James Fergusson, b. 1808. Principles of Beauty in Art, 1849. Handbook of Architecture. J. F. Ferrier, b. 1808. Institutes of Metaphysics, 1854. Albany W. Fonblanque, b. 1797. England under Seven Ad- ministrations, 1837.</p>
<p>Elizabeth C. Gaskell, b. 1822. Mary Barton, 1848. T. C. Grattan, b. 1797. Highways and Byways, 1838.</p>	<p>George Gilfillan, b. 1813. Bards of the Bible, 1850. Gallery of Literary Por- traits. G. R. Gleig, b. 1795. Military History of Great Britain. George Grote, b. 1794. History of Greece, 1846.</p>	<p>W. E. Gladstone, b. 1809. The State considered in its Relations with the Church, 1840. George Godwin, b. 1815. The Builder. John Gould, b. 1804. A Century of Birds from the Himalaya Moun- tains, 1830. Thomas Graham, b. 1805. Elements of Chemistry, 1842. Robert Grant, b. 1800. History of Physical Astro- nomy, 1852 Thomas Guthrie, b. 1800. The Gospel in Ezekiel. Pleas for Ragged Schools.</p>
<p>Anna Maria Hall, b. 1802. Sketches of Irish Character. Mary Howitt, b. 1804. Forest Minstrel, and other Poems, 1823.</p>	<p>Samuel Carter Hall, b. 1801. Ireland, its Scenery and Character; Art Journal, 1839. J. O. Halliwell, b. 1821. Dictionary of Archaic and Provincial Words, 1846. Life of Shakspeare, 1848. William Hanna, b. 1808. Memoir of Dr. Chalmers.</p>	<p>J. Renn Hampden, b. 1792. Bampton Lectures, 1832. Sir W. Snow Harris, b. 1791. Rudimentary Laws of Elec- tricity, 1834. Sir J. F. W. Herschel, b. 1790. Examples of the Applica- tion of the Calculus to Finite Differences, 1820. Outlines of Astronomy, 1849.</p>

IMAGINATION.	FACT.	SPECULATIVE & SCIENTIFIC.
	Arthur Helps, b. 1818. Essays written in the Intervals of Business, 1841. The Spanish Conquest in America, 1855. Walter Farquhar Hook, D.D., b. 1798. Ecclesiastical Biography, 1845—52. Church Dictionary, 1854. William Howitt, b. 1795. Book of the Seasons, 1831. History of Priestcraft, 1833.	M. D. Hill, b. 1792. Jurisprudence. Reformatory Legislation. J. R. Hind, b. 1823. Account of Recent Comets, 1845. J. D. Hooker, b. 1817. Flora Antarctica. Flora Indica.
John Keble, b. 1790. Christian Year, 1827. Lyra Innocentium. Charles Kingsley, b. 1819. Alton Locke, 1846. James Sheridan Knowles, b. 1784. Leo, or the Gipsy, 1809.	Charles Knight, b. 1791. Results of Machinery, 1830. Pictorial Shakspeare, 1842.	T. H. Key, b. 1799. Latin Grammar, 1846.
Walter Savage Landor, b. 1775. Poems, 1795. Imaginary Conversations, 1824—29. Mark Lemon, b. 1809. The Drama; Editor of Punch. Samuel Lover, b. 1797. Rory O'More, 1831. Legends and Stories of Ireland. Sir E. L. Bulwer Lytton, b. 1805. Falkland, 1827. The Caxtons, 1849.	Austen Henry Layard, b. 1817. Nineveh and its Remains, 1847. George H. Lewes, b. 1817. Biographical History of Philosophy, 1845. David Livingstone, b. 1817. Missionary Travels and Researches in South Africa, 1857. George Long, b. 1800. Civil Wars of Rome, 1844—48. Editor of Penny Cyclopædia.	Edwin Lankester, b. 1814. Natural History. R. G. Latham, b. 1812. Varieties of Mankind, 1850. Sir G. Cornwall Lewis, b. 1806. Influence of Authority in Matters of Opinion, 1849. John Lindley, b. 1799. Monographia Rosarium, 1820. Alexander William Crawford, Lord Lindsay, b. 1812. History of Christian Art, 1847. Lives of the Lindsays, 1849. Sir Charles Lyell, b. 1797. Principles of Geology, 1833. F. D. Maurice, b. 1805. The Kingdom of Christ, 1841. Religions of the World. Theological Essays, 1853. Henry Melvill. Sermons, 1833. Edward Miall, b. 1809. Voluntary Education. Ethics of Nonconformity. John Stuart Mill, b. 1806. System of Logic, 1843. Principles of Political Economy, 1848. William Allen Miller, b. 1817. Elements of Chemistry, 1857. Sir R. I. Murchison, b. 1792. Silurian System, 1839.
Charles Mackay, b. 1813. Poems; Life and Liberty in America. Gerald Massey, b. 1828. Poems. H. H. Milman, b. 1791. Fazio, a Tragedy, 1815. History of Christianity, 1840. R. Monckton Milnes, b. 1809. Poems: Life of John Keats, 1848. Dinah Maria Mulock, b. 1826. John Halifax, Gentleman, 1856.	J. R. Maculloch, b. 1790. Principles of Political Economy, 1825. Sir Frederick Madden, b. 1801. Layamon's Brut, 1847. Wycliffe's Bible, 1850. Samuel Roffey Maitland, b. 1792. The Dark Ages, 1844. Essays on the Reformation in England. Harriet Martineau, b. 1802. Illustrations of Political Economy, 1834. History of the Thirty Years' Peace, 1850. David Masson, b. 1822. Life of Milton, 1859. Henry Mayhew, b. 1812. London Labour and the London Poor.	

IMAGINATION.	FACT.	SPECULATIVE & SCIENTIFIO.
<p>Hon. Mrs. Norton, b. 1808. The Undying One, and other Poems, 1830.</p>		<p>Francis W. Newman, b. 1805. Phases of Faith, 1850. History of the Hebrew Monarchy, 1847. John Henry Newman, b. 1801. Tracts for the Times, 1833 —36. Religious Development. Catholicism in England. Richard Owen, b. 1804. Directions for preparing Animals and Parts of Animals for Anatomical purposes, 1835. John Philipps, b. 1800. Treatise on Geology, 1837 —39. Rivers of Yorkshire, 1853. E. B. Pusey, b. 1800. Tracts for the Times, 1833 —36.</p>
<p>Bryan Waller Procter, b. 1770. (Barry Cornwall.) Dramatic Scenes, and other Poems, 1819.</p>	<p>James Robinson, Planché, b. 1796. History of British Costume, 1834. Dramas.</p>	<p>Sir H. C. Rawlinson, b. 1810. Philology. Charles Richardson, b. 1775. Dictionary of the English Language, 1837. Henry Rogers, b. 1806. Life of John Howe, 1836. Contributions to Edinburgh Review. Peter Mark Roget, b. 1780. Animal and Vegetable Physiology, 1834.</p>
<p>Leitch Ritchie, b. 1800. Novels and Tales.</p>	<p>W. Howard Russell, b. 1821. War in the Crimea, 1855.</p>	<p>J. Forbes Royle. Flora of the Himalayan Mountains, 1839. John Ruskin, b. 1819. Modern Painters, 1843. Edward Sabine, b. 1790. Terrestrial Magnetism. Adam Sedgwick, b. 1786. Geology. Samuel Smiles. Self Help, 1859. William Smith, b. 1814. Dictionary of Greek and Roman Antiquities, 1842.</p>
<p>Catherine Sinclair, b. 1800. Beatrice; or, the Unknown Relatives, 1852.</p>	<p>Earl Stanhope (Lord Mahon), b. 1805. —History of the War of Suc- cession in Spain, 1832. History of England, 1836. Sir James Stephen, b. 1790. Ecclesiastical Biography. William Stirling, b. 1818. Annals of the Artists of Spain, 1848. Agnes Strickland, b. 1805. Lives of the Queens of England, 1840—51. Letters of Mary Queen of Scots, 1842.</p>	<p>Mary Somerville, b. 1790. Mechanism of the Heavens, 1832. Arthur Penrhyn Stanley. Sinai and Palestine in con- nection with their His- tory, 1856. John Bird Sumner, b. 1780. Records of Creation, 1816. Isaac Taylor, b. 1787. Elements of Thought, 1824. Alfred Swaine Taylor, b. 1808. On Poisons in Relation to Medical Jurisprudence and Medicine, 1848.</p>
<p>Henry Taylor. Isaac Comnenus, a Play, 1827. Alfred Tennyson, b. 1810. Poems, 1830.</p>	<p>Sir James Emerson Tennent, b. 1804. History of Modern Greece, 1830. Ceylon, an Account of the Island, 1859.</p>	

IMAGINATION.	FACT.	SPECULATIVE & SCIENTIFIC.
<p>W. M. Thackeray, b. 1811. Paris Sketch Book, by Michael Angelo Titmarsh, 1840. Frances Trollope, b. 1790. The Abbess, 1832. Martin Farquhar Tupper, b. 1810. Proverbial Philosophy, 1839.</p>	<p>Connop Thirlwall, b. 1797. History of Greece, 1845-52. William J. Thoms, b. 1803. Early Prose Romances, 1828. Editor of Notes and Queries James Thorne, b. 1815. Rambles by Rivers, 1844. John Timbs, b. 1801. Laconics, 1825-26 ; Year Book of Facts, 1839. Robert Vaughan, b. 1800. Life of Wycliffe, 1828. Sir J. G. Wilkinson, b. 1798. Materia Hieroglyphica, 1828. Manners and Customs of the Ancient Egyptians, 1836. Christopher Wordsworth, b. 1808. Athens and Attica, 1836. Greece : Pictorial, Descrip- tive, and Historical. Thomas Wright, b. 1810. Queen Elizabeth and her Times, 1838. The Celt, the Roman, and the Saxon, 1853. Matthew Digby Wyatt, b. 1820. Specimens of the Geometrical Mosaics of the Middle Ages, 1848.</p>	<p>Tom Taylor, b. 1817. Life of Haydon, 1853. R. C. Trench, b. 1807. Notes on the Miracles, 1846. Philology. Archbishop Whately, b. 1787. Bampton Lectures, 1822. Elements of Logic, 1826. Charles Wheatstone, b. 1802. Binocular Vision ; Electric Telegraph. William Whewell, b. 1795. Bridgewater Treatise on Astronomy, 1833. Samuel Wilberforce, Bishop of Oxford, b. 1805. Life of William Wilber- force, 1838. Sermons. Robert Willis, b. 1800. Architecture of the Middle Ages, 1835. Principles of Mechanics, 1841. Forbes Winslow, b. 1811. Application of the Princi- ples of Phrenology to the Elucidation and Cure of Insanity, 1831. Nicholas Wiseman, b. 1802. Lectures on the Doctrines and Practices of the Catholic Church, 1836. Ralph Nicholson Wornum, b. 1812. History of Painting, 1847. Analysis of Ornament, 1856.</p>

CHAPTER XV.

Sir Robert Peel, Prime Minister.—Commercial Distress.—Birth of the Prince of Wales.—Agitation against the Corn-Laws.—Sir Robert Peel's Bill for a Sliding Scale.—Lord John Russell's Proposal of a Fixed Duty.—Income Tax renewed.—Reduction of the Tariff.—Petition for the People's Charter.—Assaults on the Queen.—Parliament prorogued.—Relations with France.—Treaty of Washington settling the Boundary Question.—Disturbances in the Manufacturing Districts.—Opening of Parliament, 1843.—Debate on the Depression of Manufactures.—Mr. Cobden and sir Robert Peel.—Corn-Law Debate.—Mr. Charles Buller's Speech on Systematic Colonization.—Monster Meetings for Repeal of the Union.—Arrest of O'Connell.—The Scotch Church.—Secession of Ministers to constitute the Free Church.—New District Churches in England.—The Rebecca Riots.—Suppression of the Disturbances in Wales.

THE ministerial arrangements of sir Robert Peel were completed; the members of the House of Commons who had accepted office were all re-elected. On the 16th of September, 1841, the Prime Minister made a declaration of his policy in the most explicit terms, which policy amounted to this, that not a word would he utter of what he intended to do. He asked for the confidence of the House, whilst he considered the mode in which the great financial evil of the previous seven years could be removed. Being pressed upon the subject of the Corn-Laws, he said, in a subsequent debate, he should have thought it reasonable that on returning to power after a lapse of ten years, he should not have been called upon within a month to propose an alteration of the law in respect to the trade in corn. If he were to be responsible for not instantly proposing a measure on the Corn-Laws, what must be thought of that government that had held office for five years, and never, until the month of May, 1841, had intimated an united opinion on that subject? During the remainder of the Session, from all the manufacturing districts came the most afflicting statements of the depression of trade and of the sufferings of the operative classes. Again and again it was said that the Corn-Laws were the principal cause of this commercial distress, and sir Robert Peel was urged not to let parliament separate without making some disclosure of the measures which he contemplated for the settlement of this question. The prorogation took place on the 7th of October. During these three weeks of continued debate not a syllable could be extracted in either house of parliament from any member of the gov-

ernment, as to the course to be pursued, by which hope might be afforded to those who suffered, and discontent might be deprived of some of its power of stirring up a starving population into madness. The Royal Speech, delivered by Commission, was as vague and mysterious as the individual declarations of members of the Cabinet. The difficulties of sir Robert Peel arose, as we have already seen, not only from the distrust of his political opponents, but from the almost impossibility of reconciling some members of his own administration to any large change of financial and commercial policy opposed to their own class interests, and to the principles which had so long held them together as a great party.

On Tuesday the 9th of November the "London Gazette Extraordinary" announced the birth that morning of a Prince. By letters patent of the 8th of December the Queen created "our most dear son, the Prince of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Ireland (Duke of Saxony, Duke of Cornwall and Rothsay, Earl of Carrick, Baron of Renfrew, Lord of the Isles, and Great Steward of Scotland), Prince of Wales and Earl of Chester." On the following 25th of January the baptism of the Prince of Wales was performed in the royal chapel of St. George at Windsor, the King of Prussia, who had visited England for this especial occasion, being one of the sponsors.

After four months of anxious speculation on the part of the public as to the course that the ministry would pursue upon the two great questions of finance and trade, the Queen opened the Session of Parliament on the 3rd of February, 1842. The King of Prussia accompanied the Queen to witness this ceremonial. A great personal interest was given to the speech by its opening sentence: "I cannot meet you in parliament assembled without making a public acknowledgment of my gratitude to Almighty God on account of the birth of the Prince, my son; an event which has completed the measure of my domestic happiness." The state of the finances and of the expenditure of the country was recommended to the immediate attention of parliament; and so also was recommended "the state of the laws which affect the importation of corn and of other articles the produce of foreign countries." There was no amendment to the Address. It was announced on the 3rd by sir Robert Peel that on the 9th he should move for a Committee of the whole House for the purpose of considering the laws which affected the import of foreign corn. When the day arrived great was the excitement in and around the House of Commons. Six hundred Anti-Corn-Law delegates had gone in procession to Palace Yard and had there taken their station, crying out

from time to time, as members passed them, "Total Repeal," "Fixed Duty," "No Sliding Scale." The plan which sir Robert Peel developed in his speech was in no degree calculated to allay the commercial discontent with regard to the trade in corn. He maintained the existing principle of the sliding scale of duties on the importation of foreign corn, but he lowered the protection afforded, and introduced a more liberal method of fixing the averages. To no party was the minister's scheme satisfactory. The Whigs, by lord John Russell, proposed, instead of the sliding scale, a fixed duty of eight shillings per quarter. Mr. Villiers and Mr. Cobden insisted upon that total repeal of all duties on corn which they had long so strenuously and consistently advocated. The ultra-Protectionists demanded that a higher rate of duties should be adopted at every move in the sliding scale. The debates upon each and all of these various principles were carried on without intermission till the bill introduced by sir Robert Peel passed the House of Commons on the 7th of April. For four nights lord John Russell's proposition of a fixed duty was debated, and it was rejected by a majority of a hundred and twenty-three. During five nights the motion of Mr. Villiers for the abolition of all duties on corn was discussed, and it was rejected by a majority of three hundred and ninety-three. The higher Protectionist scale proposed by Mr. Christopher was rejected by a majority of three hundred and six. In defending his proposal sir Robert Peel maintained that it was of the utmost importance to the interests of the country that we should be as far as possible independent of foreign supply; that the main sources of the supply of corn should be derived from domestic agriculture; but that all foreign supplies should be for the purpose of making up deficiencies, rather than as the chief sources of subsistence. There was a tone, however, in the speech of sir Robert Peel which indicated to some of the Protectionists that the minister was not the man to carry out their extreme views. "I should not consider myself," he said, "a friend to the agriculturalist if I asked for a protection with a view of propping up rents, or for the purpose of defending his interest or the interest of any particular class." Lord Palmerston, in a speech at the close of the Session, in which he reviewed the measures of the late and present ministers, congratulated the government upon having come into office fully imbued with those sound principles, "the enunciation of which has excited so much admiration on this side of the House, and has created so much surprise and alarm on the other." * Sir Robert Peel retorted—in allusion to lord Palmerston's support of

* Hansard, vol. lxx. col. 1237.

the Reform Bill after his opposition to all reform in the time of Mr. Canning—that harsh and intolerant criticisms on the versatile opinions of others proceeded with a very bad grace from the noble lord. It was insinuated that he had deluded his supporters by the extent and importance of the alterations he had made in the Corn-Laws. There might have been shades of difference—there might have been occasional dissatisfaction and complaint—but he had the firm belief that the conduct of himself and his colleagues in office had not abated one jot of that confidence on the part of their friends which cheered and encouraged them in the blank regions of Opposition. Subsequent revelations have shown how materially sir Robert Peel was hampered by the suspicions of his party. In his own Memoirs, published by the Trustees of his papers, he says, “During the discussions in parliament on the Corn-Law of 1842 I was more than once pressed to give a guarantee (so far as a minister could give it) that the amount of protection established by that law should be permanently adhered to; but although I did not then contemplate the necessity for further change, I uniformly refused to fetter the discretion of the government by any such assurances as those that were required from me.” *

The measure propounded by sir Robert Peel upon the Corn-Laws was that halting between two opinions which it was evident he himself could not regard as a final settlement of the question: “I did not *then* contemplate the necessity for further change.” This measure, like most compromises, required something like a tone of apology both to his friends and his opponents. But the minister was on far safer ground when he came forward with his great financial measure. When he proposed the renewal of the property-tax for the purpose of sweeping away a host of vexatious and embarrassing duties upon foreign commerce, he had not to defy the opposition of a great class-interest such as that of the landed proprietors and the agriculturists. He would make many of the tax-payers indignant, especially those who saw in direct taxation according to their means, the closing of that door of escape from the general burden of the community of which many of the rich could avail themselves by parsimony or absenteeism. The ministerial proposition was tax upon all incomes above 150*l.* a year, not to exceed sevenpence in the pound, for the limited period of three years. The tax, he said, would not only supply the deficit occasioned by the excess of expenditure over revenue, but would justify such a large reduction of commercial taxation as would, in regard to the expenditure of most individuals, indirectly make up the dif-

* “Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel,” vol. ii. p. 101.

ference that was taken from them by direct taxation. Out of a tariff of twelve hundred articles sir Robert proposed to reduce the duty on seven hundred and fifty. "We have sought," he declared, "to remove all absolute prohibitions upon the import of foreign articles, and we have endeavoured to reduce duties which are so high as to be prohibitory, to such a scale as may admit of fair competition with domestic produce. In cases where that principle has been departed from, and prohibitory duties maintained, we justify our departure from the rule by the special circumstances of the case. With respect to raw materials, which constitute the elements of our manufactures, our object, speaking generally, has been to reduce the duties on them to almost a nominal amount. In half-manufactured articles, which enter almost as much as the raw material into our domestic manufacture, we have reduced the duty to a moderate amount. And with regard to completely manufactured articles, our design has been to remove prohibition, and to reduce prohibitory duties, so that the manufactures of foreign countries may enter into a fair competition with our own." That part of the financial measure which revived the obnoxious war tax upon income with all its original inquisitorial character, and its unequal operation upon permanent and upon uncertain revenues was strenuously opposed in both Houses. But the necessity for some bold measure for putting the finances of the country upon a solid foundation, bore down all opposition whether in or out of parliament. The commercial and manufacturing interests could not regard the new tariff with any feeling but that of satisfaction. The actual amount of the seven hundred and fifty reductions would not much exceed a quarter of a million sterling, but an immense number of vexatious custom-house restrictions were at once swept away, and an example was held up to foreign nations which sir Robert Peel believed would ultimately prevail. The agriculturists were in a fearful state of alarm. Salted and fresh meat, oxen, sheep, and cows, were to be admitted at reduced rates of duty. There was a wide-spread panic, raised upon prophecies that it would be impossible to compete with the foreign grazier; that meat would be reduced to three-pence per pound; and that all who had stock had better sell it as fast as possible. This senseless alarm, which we can laugh at in 1862, was only a foretaste of the terror which would prevail when a bolder approach should be made to those principles of free trade which, during the twenty years before sir Robert Peel's opening administration, had been slowly advancing, and which in the succeeding twenty years have changed the whole character of English industry, giving an impulse to every employment of capital

and labour beyond what the most sanguine of economists could have contemplated as so immediate and so permanent a result of legislation. After many debates in both Houses, the financial propositions of sir Robert Peel were carried with little alteration. The government had done something in its advance towards a sound commercial policy ; it had done nothing, as some had expected, to go back to antiquated principles, or to halt altogether on the road of improvement.

Whilst the House of Commons was in committee on the Income Tax Bill, its attention was diverted from the minute details involved in its numerous clauses by the presentation of a very remarkable petition. On the 2nd of May a long procession of working men, escorting sixteen of their number bearing a heavy burden, entered the lobby of the House of Commons. The load which required for its support this aggregate amount of human strength was a petition, signed, it was alleged, by three millions of people. The document was too large to pass through the folding doors of the House of Commons, and it was necessary to unroll it to carry it into the House. When unrolled it spread over a great part of the floor, and rose above the level of the Table. The petition set forth many evils of which the petitioners complained, and they demanded that the House of Commons "do immediately without alteration, deduction, or addition, pass into a law the document entitled 'The People's Charter.'" Mr. Thomas Duncombe presented the petition, and the next day he moved that the petitioners should be heard at the bar of the House in support of their allegations. The debate on this occasion was interesting. Probably its greatest interest was a speech of Mr. Macaulay. Of the six points of the Charter, he said, there was one for which he had voted—the ballot—and he saw no reason to change his opinion on that subject. There was another point of which he decidedly approved—the abolition of the pecuniary qualification for members of that House. He differed from the Chartists in their demand for annual parliaments, as he differed also as to the expediency of paying representatives of the people, and of dividing the country into electoral districts. He did not consider these matters as vital. They were subordinate questions when compared with that one question which still remained to be considered. "The essence of the Charter is Universal Suffrage. If you withhold that, it matters not very much what else you grant. If you grant that, it matters not at all what else you withhold. If you grant that the country is lost My firm conviction is, that in our country universal suffrage is incompatible, not with this or that form of government, but with all

forms of government, and with everything for the sake of which forms of government exist; that it is incompatible with property, and that it is consequently incompatible with civilization." * The motion of Mr. Duncombe was rejected by two hundred and eighty-seven votes to forty-nine.

It was in this Session that, after considerable debate in both Houses lord Ashley's bill for restraining the employment of women and children in mines and collieries was passed.† In this Session important alterations were made in the constitution of Courts of Bankruptcy. The Court of Review was formed of one judge instead of three judges; and District Courts of Bankruptcy were established. This amendment of the Bankruptcy Law was introduced by the Chancellor, lord Lyndhurst. Whilst parliament was sitting, two infamous assaults were made upon the person of the Sovereign. On the 30th of May John Francis, a young man under twenty years of age, fired a pistol at the Queen as she was returning to Buckingham Palace down Constitution Hill, in a barouche and four, accompanied by Prince Albert. Some rumour of the intended attempt upon the Queen's life had previously reached the Palace. Her Majesty, thinking of others rather than of herself, desired that none of the ladies in waiting should accompany her in her ride, which she would not forego for ambiguous threats that had reached the ears of the police. Francis, who had been immediately seized, was found guilty of high treason, and received the usual capital sentence, which on the 2nd of July was commuted into transportation for life. On the 3rd of July a deformed youth, named John William Bean, presented a pistol at her Majesty, but being seized by a bystander was prevented firing it. The mode in which the legislature dealt with offences of this nature was completely successful in putting an end to attempts which were odious and contemptible, having their origin in no feelings of public or private grievance, but were the results most probably, in each of the three cases which had shocked the public feeling, of a distempered imagination producing a morbid desire for notoriety. On the 12th of July sir Robert Peel brought in a bill for the better protection of the Queen's person. He proposed that any party not actually designing to take away the Queen's life, but intending to hurt her or alarm her, should be subject to transportation for a term not exceeding seven years; but that there should also be another punishment, more suitable to the offence and more calculated to repress it—a discretionary power of imprisonment with authority to inflict personal chastisement. What we have to guard

* "Macaulay's Speeches," p. 256.

† See *Ante*, p. 220.

against, said sir Robert Peel, is not any traitorous attempt against the peace of the nation by conspiring to take away the life of the Sovereign, but it is the folly or malignity of wretches who are guilty of acts prompted by motives which are scarcely assignable. The bill was rapidly passed through all its stages in both Houses.

On the 12th of August her Majesty prorogued parliament in person. The Queen's Speech necessarily adverted to the great financial and commercial measures of the Session, and expressed a trust that there were indications of a recovery from that depression which had affected many branches of manufacturing industry.

In the debate at the conclusion of the Session—a debate which was truly a passage-at-arms between lord Palmerston and sir Robert Peel—the Prime Minister said, that the non-ratification of treaties by France, and her delay in admitting our just claims, had been the consequences of that alienation, that irritable feeling, which either through the fault or the misfortune of the noble lord (Palmerston) had been the result of his foreign policy. “This country,” said sir Robert Peel, “has no feeling of hostility towards France. It was but the other day that we heard of the lamentable death of the duke of Orleans, the heir to the throne of France, with deep and universal regret and sympathy.”* The duke of Orleans was thrown from his carriage and killed on the 13th of July. Twenty years ago, so different was the mode of transmitting intelligence from one country to the other, that the news of this event reached London by pigeon-carriers on the morning of the 14th.† The foreign policy of the British government was chiefly intrusted by sir Robert Peel to lord Aberdeen. M. Guizot has said of this able and honest member of the Cabinet:—“Like Peel, he desired that peace and justice should prevail in the mutual relations of States:—better than any one else, he knew how to discern and accept their conditions, and to employ only those means and that language which were calculated to secure their predominance, and by inspiring the men with whom he treated with confidence in his moderation and equity, he disposed them to deal with him in the same spirit.”‡ In the debate of the 14th of August, sir Robert Peel said, “We have no hostile, no irritable feeling towards France, neither have we any fear; we are too proud, too conscious of our own strength, to regard the power of France with apprehension; but we deprecate, for the interests of humanity, the interruption of friendly relations with that country.”§ The Count de Jarnac, who succeeded M. Guizot in his

* Hansard, vol. lxx. col. 1281.

† See Raikes's “Memoirs,” vol. iv. p. 208.

‡ “Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel,” p. 145.

§ Hansard, vol. lxx. col. 1281.

embassy to England, had an interview with sir Robert Peel at this period, at which "in spite of his habitual reserve and laconicism," the Prime Minister strongly expressed his opinion upon the temper of the French government: "The recent policy of France has entirely alienated from you the party which sustains me. No one more often than myself has testified from its origin my respect and confidence for the actual government of France. I have supported it from the beginning with all my power against the convictions and antipathies of a great number of my partisans. I have never endeavoured to impede its march or augment its difficulties. But never have I foreseen that our relations would be placed in such a situation as I find them in to-day." Who, says Count Jarnac, shall fathom the depth of popular credulity? At this epoch Louis Philippe and his government were seriously accused of excessive condescension towards England, and sir Robert Peel and lord Aberdeen escaped not the reproach of extreme complaisance towards France.*

It was in this year that lord Ashburton, having proceeded to the United States as a Special Commissioner, concluded a treaty which settled the question as to the boundary between Canada and the state of Maine. Sir Robert Peel, at the commencement of the Session of 1843, declared that the treaty which lord Ashburton had concluded at Washington in August 1842, established such a division of the disputed district as secured our British possessions in North America, and at the same time preserved our military communication intact. Subsequent circumstances have manifested that these results were not altogether obtained by what lord Palmerston called "the Ashburton Capitulation." †

On the day after the prorogation of Parliament a proclamation by the Queen was issued, setting forth that in divers parts of Great Britain multitudes of lawless and disorderly persons had assembled and with force and violence had entered into mines, mills, and manufactories, and by threats and intimidation had prevented those employed from following their usual occupation. The distress in the manufacturing districts had been most severe for a considerable time. Many employers had failed; mills were shut up; the poor-rates were enormously increased; and Government was called upon to supply that aid for the relief of the distress which was beyond the management of local administrators of the Poor-Law. The working people upon the whole bore their privations with pa-

* "Revue des Deux Mondes," 15 July, 1861, p. 443.

† The boundary as finally agreed upon by the Treaty of 1842, is fully stated in the "English Cyclopædia," art. Canada, col. 276.

tience and fortitude ; but in many places the Chartists were busy stirring them up to demand higher wages than the capitalists could afford out of their reduced means, although the workmen perfectly well knew that their employers were fast sinking into ruin. Strikes were unavailing ; and then came riots. Sir James Graham, the Home Secretary, encountered the crisis with decision and firmness. The system of railroads had enabled him to send troops rapidly to any scene of outrage, and their appearance was sufficient in any case to effect the restoration of tranquillity without a repetition of such sanguinary collisions as those of Manchester and Bristol at a past time. Some of the more dangerous Chartists, amongst whom was Feargus O'Connor, were held to bail, and during the first fortnight of October Special Commissions sat at Stafford, at Chester, and at Liverpool, for the trial of persons accused of riots and political offences, a great number of whom were convicted and sentenced either to transportation or to various terms of imprisonment.

When parliament met on the 2nd of February, 1843, the government had few subjects for exultation, which were not more than counter-balanced by circumstances calculated to weaken the confidence of the country, if not to inspire distrust and alarm. The treaty with the United States, the conclusion of the war with China, the final success of military operations in Afghanistan, were the favourable topics of the royal speech delivered by Commission. The diminished receipt from some of the ordinary sources of revenue, which it was acknowledged must be attributed to reduced consumption caused by depression of the manufacturing industry of the country, was a most significant index of the continuance of that depression which a few months before had produced serious violations of the public peace. There was no Amendment proposed to the Address. But very little time was suffered to elapse before the one great cause assigned for the stagnation of commerce, for the languor of manufacturing industry, for men working at half-time or wholly unemployed, was rung into the ears of the minister in parliament. That cause had been most effectually proclaimed throughout the country by a body of agitators more powerful in their wealth, their social position, their talents, and their perseverance, than had ever before been banded together to force upon an unwilling legislature a great measure of Reform. Against this dreaded Reform was arrayed a no less powerful combination of the noble and the exclusive classes, hounded on by orators and writers who took their stand upon the wisdom of their forefathers, and despised all opinions founded upon increasing knowledge. On

the 13th of February lord Howick moved for a Committee to consider so much of her Majesty's Speech as referred to that depression of the manufacturing interest of the country which had so long prevailed. The debate was continued for five nights. It was on the fifth night that Mr. Cobden uttered one of those harangues which, making no pretensions to be eloquent, extorted conviction from a few, and respect from many, by their strong common sense, their logical array of facts, and their utter contempt for the conventionalities of party. It is time, he said, to give up bandying the terms Whig and Tory about from one side of the House to the other, and engage in a serious inquiry into the condition of the country. . . . "I tell the right honourable baronet that I, for one, care nothing for Whigs or Tories; I have said that I never will help to bring back the Whigs; but I tell him that the whole responsibility of the lamentable and dangerous state of the country rests with him." At the close of Mr. Cobden's speech sir Robert Peel rose with manifest emotion, and said, "the honourable gentleman has stated here very emphatically, what he has more than once stated at the Conferences of the Anti-Corn-Law League, that he holds me individually—individually responsible for the distress and suffering of the country; that he holds me personally responsible; but be the consequences of those insinuations what they may, never will I be influenced by menaces either in this House or out of this House, to adopt a course which I consider—" The minister was unable to complete his sentence. Mr. Cobden denied that he had said that he held sir Robert Peel personally responsible. "You did!" was the cry from the ministerial benches; "You did!" said sir Robert Peel. It was some time before the orator, usually so calm and unimpassioned, could shake off this sensitiveness, to which the term extraordinary might be applied, if it had not been associated with a lamentable event that had occurred on the previous 21st of January. On that day Mr. Drummond, private Secretary of the Minister, was shot by a Scotchman of the name of Mac Naughten, who had come to London brooding over some supposed official injury, and had mistaken Mr. Drummond for sir Robert Peel. There was no political motive in this act; the man upon his subsequent trial was shown to be a maniac. But it produced a deep impression on the mind of sir Robert Peel; and when Mr. Cobden held him individually responsible for the state of the country, he considered that the words were an incentive to assassination. This extraordinary scene was concluded by Mr. Cobden disclaiming, amidst repeated interruptions, the meaning which had been ascribed to his words. He

declared that in what he stated he intended to throw the responsibility of his measures upon the right honourable baronet as the head of the government, and in using the word individually he used it as the minister himself used the first pronoun when he said "I passed the tariff, and you supported me." The construction which Mr. Cobden had put upon the language he employed was accepted by sir Robert Peel. But, says his biographer, "he accepted the explanation coldly, and still maintained an air of reserved distrust." M. Guizot adds, "Who can tell how much fatal poison may be contained in words uttered without any evil design?" He repeats a sentiment which was expressed in a speech by Mr. Roebuck: "I ask the honourable member if he did not see the danger of such language? Passion does sometimes destroy people's judgment." The motion of lord Howick was finally rejected by a majority of a hundred and fifteen.

If the Corn-Laws were not on the point of being repealed, it was not for the want of perseverance in their parliamentary opposers. The general subject was directly and indirectly brought forward again and again; but on each occasion a large majority asserted the principle of protection. At the close of one debate, which had lasted five nights upon a motion by Mr. Villiers, Mr. Cobden declared that whatever might be the fate of the motion it would not have the slightest effect upon the progress of public opinion on this subject. The League would go on as it had hitherto done, and if there were any force in truth and justice, it would go on to an ultimate and not distant triumph. The landed and agricultural interests were so much alarmed by what they considered the coquettings of the government with free-trade, that when lord Stanley, as Secretary of the Colonies, proposed to impose a duty only of three shillings per quarter upon wheat imported from Canada, the more sensitive of the party shrieked out their horror of the Canadian Corn-bill as a free-trade measure. They were not soothed when they were told by Mr. T. Duncombe that "between their pledges to their constituents, their attachment to the government, and their antipathy to the Whigs, they were in a most unpleasant predicament. They smelt a rat; it was in the Canadian Corn-bill."* Skirmishes such as these occupied much of the time of the House during this Session. The Canadian Corn-bill passed—the one practical move towards a great change of policy, although its supporters did not clearly see where their steps were tending.

Important as was the question of the repeal of the Corn-Laws with reference to the condition of the great bulk of the people,

* Hansard, vol. xlix. col. 945.

there were legislators who could take a wider view of the circumstances of the country than was implied in the one prevailing cry of Cheap Bread. On the 6th of April Mr. Charles Buller moved an Address to the Queen on the subject of Systematic Colonization. His speech was a most able and comprehensive exposition of his belief that there was a permanent cause of the depression of industry, and the suffering of the people, in the constant accumulation of capital and the constant increase of population within the same restricted field of employment. He proposed Colonization as a means of remedying the competition both of Capital and Labour in this restricted field. He stated that Emigration had promised to be of little service until Mr. Wakefield propounded the theory of Colonization that goes by his name. The great principles of that theory were, the sale of colonial land, and the expenditure of the proceeds in carrying out labourers. The government opposed Mr. Buller's motion, upon the plea that it would raise false hopes in the public mind. Mr. Buller withdrew his proposal, but it was clear that, with or without an official inquiry, new principles of colonization would gradually take root and finally produce, in concurrence with the rapid development of the resources of a vast colonial empire, that refuge for a surplus population which has been attended with such beneficial results upon our domestic condition in the present generation.

At the beginning of May the agitation in Ireland for the Repeal of the Union had become sufficiently formidable to make a declaration of the intentions of the government a matter of imperative necessity. In the House of Lords the duke of Wellington, and in the House of Commons sir Robert Peel, expressed a determination to abide by the principle of the joint Address of both Houses in 1834—"to maintain unimpaired and undisturbed the legislative union between Great Britain and Ireland." Sir Robert Peel said that the executive government would rely as long as possible on the ordinary powers which the law and constitution gave them; but that if the necessity for increased authority should arise, they would not hesitate for a moment to appeal to Parliament for additional powers. He added, "I am also prepared to make, in my place here, the declaration which was made, and nobly made, by lord Althorp, that deprecating as I do all war, but above all civil war, yet there is no alternative which I do not think preferable to the dismemberment of this empire."* It was certainly time that the government should speak out. In January, at the weekly meeting of the Repeal Association, Mr. O'Connell announced that "1843

* Hansard, vol. lxxix. col. 25.

is and shall be the great Repeal year." He abstained from attendance in Parliament to devote himself to that system of political agitation which continued to arrest the removal of social evils till their overwhelming magnitude was fully manifested in the great collapse of the existing relations of landlord and tenant. The abuse of the right of property in land had long rendered the condition of the Irish peasantry inferior to that of any other peasantry in Europe. The organization for Repeal which Mr. O'Connell had accomplished was to be supported and extended by the means of a universal subscription. The members of the Repeal Association were to subscribe twenty shillings a year, but throughout the country there were to be associate subscribers of a shilling each, with a machinery of inspectors, wardens, and collectors. This great sinew of war thus compelled from a starving population was known as the "Rent." With most Englishmen there was not an utter forgetfulness of some benefits for his countrymen which O'Connell had worked out by his eloquence and his perseverance. But much of their respect had passed into a profound contempt for the unscrupulous agitator, which those who could pretty accurately read the public sentiment embodied in such graphic representations as that of "The Irish Ogre fattening on the finest pisantry"* Vast assemblies of the people were exultingly called by the great demagogue, "Monster Meetings." He addressed thirty thousand people at Trim on the 16th of March, and referred to the physical force by which he was surrounded as something much more effectual than idle petitioning of Parliament. Through the summer meetings of this nature were held in various parts of Ireland, where the same inflammatory language was repeated by laymen and priests with increasing vehemence. Father Mathew had been working a vast change in the habits of many of the Irish by the great Temperance movement, of which he was an honest and zealous leader. But O'Connell adroitly adopted the Teetotalers as the Repealers upon whom rested the hope of sweeping away Saxon domination. On the 15th of August there was an assembly of which the lowest estimate of the number was half a million of people. During the previous night, and from the break of day, the people had been marching from all points to the Hill of Tara, where the ancient kings of Ireland had been elected. A sort of crown was prepared which the Liberator was there to put on, and to declare to his people that the day was come when they should no longer be slaves,—when the land should overflow with plenty, and every one should hold his half-acre with fixity of tenure, and out

† "Punch," vol. v. p. 15.

of its produce maintain his portion of a constantly increasing population. When the Parliament was prorogued on the 24th of August, the Queen in her Speech noticed these persevering efforts to stir up discontent and disaffection ; and expressed her earnest desire to administer the government of Ireland in a spirit of strict justice and impartiality, and to effect such amendments in the existing laws as might improve the social condition and develop the resources of that-legislative union. Relying on the good sense and patriotism of the people, the Queen called upon those who had influence and authority in Ireland to discourage a system of pernicious agitation. This moderate declaration of the government was pronounced by O'Connell to be "an excess of impudence and stupidity combined." His dangerous career was coming to an end. A meeting had been announced for the 8th of October at Clontarf, near Dublin. On the 7th a proclamation was agreed to by the Privy Council at Dublin, which, referring to the seditious language used at the monster meetings, declared the proposed assembly to be illegal. The demagogue was at once tamed. He called the Repeal Association together ; and it was agreed that no meeting at Clontarf should take place. On the 14th Mr. O'Connell and his principal associates were arrested on charges of conspiracy, sedition, and unlawful assembling. The accused were admitted to bail ; and after various pretexts for legal delays of which they availed themselves, it was finally determined their trial should take place on the 15th of January, 1844.

At the prorogation of Parliament the Speaker addressed these words to her Majesty, upon a subject of great and permanent interest : " We have witnessed, with deep concern, the unhappy divisions which have occurred in the Church of Scotland, and which have led to the secession of many of her most valued ministers. We have endeavoured, we trust successfully, to heal those divisions, and to restore peace to an establishment which is fraught with such inestimable blessings to the inhabitants of that part of the United Kingdom." The "unhappy divisions" of the Scotch Church had been maturing for nine years, till, in 1843, the event occurred which is known as "The Disruption." In 1834 the differences which had existed for more than a century between the supporters of lay patronage, long known as the "Moderates," and the opposers of lay patronage, then recently termed "Non-Intrusionists," assumed a more important character than that of a war of pamphlets, by the Anti-patronage party obtaining a majority in the General Assembly, and passing a measure known as the Veto Act. The object of this Act was to make it a law of the Church

that no presentee to a benefice was to be held fit to be ordained as minister of a parish unless he were acceptable to a majority of the parishioners. The mode of collecting the opinions of a congregation was this: The Presbytery, when a presentee laid his presentation before them, appointed him to preach twice in the church, and they also appointed a day for "moderating in a call," or, in other words, for receiving dissents. If a majority of the male heads of families in communion with the church dissented, the presentee was rejected as an unfit person. In 1839 the question of a presentation to the parish of Auchterarder, in Perthshire, which had been agitated in the ecclesiastical courts, where the decision of the Presbytery against the presentation was confirmed, was finally decided on appeal in the House of Lords against the Presbytery. There was another case, that of Strathbogie, in which this important question was agitated under somewhat different circumstances, but in which the decisions of the ecclesiastical and civil courts were also at variance. The formal disruption of the Scotch Church took place at the meeting of the General Assembly on the 18th of May, 1843, when, before the roll of members was made up, Dr. Welsh, who had been Moderator of the preceding Assembly, read a protest against the constitution of the Assembly signed by 120 ministers and 73 lay elders. Those who had signed the protest and their adherents then left the Assembly in a body, and constituted in another place "The General Assembly of the Free Church of Scotland." Of this Assembly Dr. Chalmers was chosen as Moderator. On the 23rd of May the disruption was completed, by the seceders and many other clergymen who were members of the Assembly signing "An Act of Separation and Deed of Demission," which completely cut them off from the benefits as well as burdens of the Establishment. "Whatever previous differences of opinion existed, and whatever objections there were to a body such as the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland, entitled to legislate and adjudicate for its own interest and according to its own feelings, being exempt from the control of the courts of law, the sacrifice of emolument and station thus made elicited general respect and sympathy, and was creditable to the sincerity of those who made it." * The intervention of the legislature by passing "An Act to remove doubts respecting the admission of Ministers to benefices" presents one of many examples of the difficulty of legislating for unity in ecclesiastical establishments when conscientious scruples lead to separation. The Free Church pursued its course perfectly indifferent to the operation of a measure which

* English Cyclopædia; article, "Free Church of Scotland."

was expected "to restore religious peace in Scotland." That peace was best promoted by leaving a people keenly alive to all questions of religious liberty to follow the impulses of their own feelings, in making an adequate provision throughout the land for a zealous and able body of ministers chosen and supported upon the voluntary principle. It may be mentioned that there was a secession from the Church of Scotland a century before the great difference which resulted in the Veto of 1834. This seceding body was called the "United Secession Church." There was a smaller body of seceders in the middle of the last century who formed the "Relief Church." In 1847 these two bodies coalesced and became the "United Presbyterian Church." They now constitute a most extensive organization, comprising nearly a fourth of the congregations of Scotland.

An important statute affecting the Church of England was passed in the session of 1843. It was an Act for increasing the means of spiritual instruction in populous parishes, by making a portion of the revenues of the Church available for the endowment of additional ministers. The Ecclesiastical Commissioners for England were empowered to borrow 600,000*l.* from the governors of Queen Anne's Bounty for the purpose of forming districts for spiritual purposes in populous parishes with the consent of the bishop of the diocese, each such district to be permanently endowed and to become a new parish upon a new church being consecrated. The patronage of such new districts or parishes might be conferred, either in perpetuity or for one or more nominations, on any person or persons contributing to the permanent endowment of the minister or toward providing a church or chapel for the use of the inhabitants. The vast extension of church-building in this country, beyond the precedent of any former time, may to a considerable extent be attributed to this brief but important enactment.

The Annalist of 1843 says that his narrative of public events would be very imperfect if he did not include some particular notice of three popular movements in three different quarters of the United Kingdom. In one chapter of the "Annual Register," we find, therefore, the history of the Repeal agitation in Ireland, of the Secession from the Church of Scotland, and of the Rebecca riots in South Wales. There is no parity of interest in the abortive efforts to destroy the legislative union of two nations; in the great schism in an established church; and in what is dignified by the name of an insurrection for the removal of turnpike-gates. Yet if the historian were to consult only his own fancy in recording what is picturesque, the riots in Wales would furnish a much more

spirit-stirring record than the most elaborate description of the popular ceremonials of the Hill of Tara, or of the procession of seceding ministers from St. Andrew's Church through the streets of Edinburgh to Canonmills Hall, four abreast. We must tell the story of Rebecca and her daughters as briefly as we can under the temptation it affords for graphic details. It is recorded that when the first turnpike upon "the ancient highway and post-road leading from London to York and so into Scotland" was established in 1663, the toll was so unpopular that "the mob" broke the toll-gates. "The mob" is rather a loose term to apply to the drovers, swineherds, pack-horse carriers, and waggons, of the days of Charles II., who would rather have flourished through roads described in the first Turnpike Act as "almost impassable and very dangerous to his majesty's liege people," than pay the somewhat heavy toll which the Act prescribed. But even in those very insecure times, when the parish constable was the chief instrument for preserving the peace of the realm, we hear of no general insurrection in Middlesex, or Hertford, or Cambridge, or Huntingdon, to pull down the toll-gates and injure the toll-keepers. At the beginning of 1843, in the counties of Pembroke and Caermarthen, there suddenly appeared at nightfall a body of horsemen under the command of a leader who wore a female dress, and who was generally surrounded with a body-guard in similar costume. These were Rebecca and her daughters, and their commission was derived from the following verse in the twenty-fourth chapter of Genesis: "And they blessed Rebecca, and said unto her, Thou art our sister, be thou the mother of thousands of millions, and let thy seed possess the *gate* of those which hate them." Rebecca was ubiquitous; for wherever there was a gathering for the purpose of a nocturnal assemblage, there was a Rebecca. Amidst the blowing of horns and the firing of guns such a body of men would arrive at a turnpike gate, and immediately proceed to break the gate into fragments, saw off the posts close to the ground, and pull down the toll-house. This remarkable combination soon extended to all the South Welsh counties, with the exception of Brecknockshire. The disturbances were not confined to any peculiarly obnoxious locality. There was one indiscriminate onslaught upon the turnpike system, and so successful were these operations during the spring and summer that nearly all the roads in the autumn were practically toll free. When bodies of ignorant and lawless men feel their physical strength, they are easily induced to believe that this power is sufficient to bid defiance to the law, for the removal of every supposed

grievance. The local magistrates were unable to contend with Rebecca ; and Rebecca was very soon set up as an authority to provide summary redress against Poor Laws, and Tithes, and heavy rents, and all other evils which small occupiers of land, little elevated above the condition of common labourers, believed themselves peculiarly subjected to. On the 10th of June a large body marched into Caermarthen, consisting of some thousands on foot and three hundred on horseback. Their purpose was to pull down the work-house. Their excesses were stopped in the middle of their career of destruction by the arrival of a troop of cavalry who had ridden thirty miles without drawing bit. The whole province was gradually falling into the condition of the worst parts of Ireland. Intimidation by threatening letters had become general ; landowners, clergymen, and magistrates were kept in terror of the vengeance of Rebecca ; the law was so utterly suspended that when an old woman who kept a turnpike gate was deliberately shot by one of the rioters, and the fact of her murder was distinctly made out to a coroner's jury, they returned a verdict that she died from suffusion of blood which produced suffocation. The government at last sent down a large body of troops into Wales, and, what was equally serviceable, they also sent a detachment of that active and intelligent body, the London Police, who were everywhere engaged in tracing the secret agencies by which this extraordinary conspiracy had been conducted. A Special Commission was appointed for the trial of the offenders, and three of the leaders were sentenced to transportation. The Turnpike Acts in force in Wales were in many instances oppressive and unequal. A government Commission of Inquiry was appointed to examine into the operation of these laws, and into the other causes of disturbance. Ignorance and poverty were at the root of the matter ; but the special object of the Rebecca organization ceased to be a cause of complaint and agitation, after the passing of an Act in the next Session of Parliament for the Consolidation and Amendment of the laws relating to Turnpike Trusts in South Wales.

CHAPTER XVI.

General Sir Charles Napier.—War in Scinde.—Destruction of Emaun-Ghur.—Battle of Meanee.—Annexation of Scinde.—Napier the beneficent Administrator.—Gwalior.—Recall of Lord Ellenborough.—Trial of O'Connell.—Debates on Corn Laws in the Session of 1844.—Position of Sir Robert Peel.—Renewal of the Bank Charter.—Regulation of Labour in Factories.—Lord Ashley's ten-hours' Clause rejected.—Debates on Sugar-Duties.—Opening Letters by the Secretary of State.—Reports of Committees.—Lady Hewlet's bequest.—O'Connell's Sentence reversed by the House of Lords.—Difference with France on the treatment of the British Consul at Tahiti.—Visit of King Louis Philippe to the Queen.—Prince de Joinville's Pamphlet on the Naval Forces of France.

IN Trafalgar Square, under the shadow of the Nelson Column, is a statue of "Charles James Napier, General." The inscription bears that it was "erected by Public Subscription, the most numerous Contributors being Private Soldiers." This renowned warrior is ordinarily termed "Conqueror of Scinde." By the side of this figure was erected, also by public subscription, a statue of one of the scientific benefactors of the human race, Edward Jenner. That statue has been removed to Kensington Garden. An objection was raised that one whose science had so materially conduced to the prolongation of life, and to the consequent increase of the population of the world, was somewhat out of place in his close proximity to a great soldier—especially great in the estimation of the "Private Soldiers" whom he had led to triumph—whose vocation was, according to the belief of an ancient poet, "to ease the earth of her too numerous sons." But the "Conqueror of Scinde," taking a broad and just view of his career, was a promoter of civilization, by which alone the earth is adequately peopled; and thus he had also a claim to be recorded as a benefactor of mankind in his successful endeavour to make his conquest a source of good to the conquered people. He was the just and beneficent Administrator of Scinde. His "victories of Peace," are "not less renowned than those of War." He was the warlike instrument of injustice; but the aggression, which resulted in triumphs as brilliant and as decisive as any other of the wonderful events of the career of the British in India, may be received as a remarkable addition to the many instances of dangers and difficulties overcome, as if some special working out of the decrees of a superintending Provi-

dence, which does not permit the supremacy of a dominant nation for the gratification of its own ambition, but through that agency carries forward the great law of Progress.

Scinde, a country capable of an almost boundless increase of agricultural and commercial wealth, having the river which bears its name (as well as that of Indus) flowing through its whole extent, was, in 1842, under the rule of a body of despotic nobles, the Ameers, who about seventy years before had dispossessed the legitimate sovereigns. The original inhabitants, who groaned beneath the yoke of these conquerors, cultivated the fields less for themselves than for their tyrannous masters, to whom the land was little better than a hunting ground, whilst their idea of government was simply that of exacting tribute by their fierce military retainers, the Beloochees. Whatever were the relations of these rulers to the people whom they misgoverned, the British authorities in India had repeatedly entered into treaties with them, and in the treaty of 1820 these words were used: "The two contracting parties mutually bind themselves from generation to generation never to look with the eye of covetousness on the possessions of each other." But the passage of troops through Scinde was necessary for carrying on the war with Afghanistan. The Ameers remonstrated, but were compelled to yield. Something more was required by a subsequent treaty. Kurrachee and Tatta were ceded to the British, with power to station troops there; and the free navigation of the Indus was stipulated as another condition of our friendship. At an earlier period some of the Ameers had expressed their fears that Scinde was gone—"the English had seen the river." After he had withdrawn from Ghuznee, and when the terror of the British name was no longer sufficient to command a compliance with enforced engagements, the Ameers began to manifest hostile designs. Sir Charles Napier, having learned that they had assembled an army of twenty-five thousand men, resolved upon a direct and immediate act of hostility, instead of allowing them to gain time by delays and negotiations. He "had permission," he has said, "from the Governor-General to assemble an immense force to impose his final treaty;" he told the Governor-General it could be done with the troops he, Napier, already commanded. Boldness and promptitude in this short war effected more than unlimited reinforcements. Emaun-Ghur, in the desert of Beloochistan, was a stronghold where the mercenaries of the Ameers could gather together, safe from pursuit. Napier resolved to attack this fortress, whither upon his approach a large body of troops were marching. But having ascertained that the Beloochees had muti-

nied and turned back upon reaching the wilderness where there was no water, he saw that a march of eight days from the Indus was impossible for a numerous army. On the night of the 5th of January, 1843, he commenced a perilous adventure. With three hundred and sixty of the 22nd Queen's regiment on camels, with two hundred of the irregular cavalry, with ten camels laden with provisions and with eighty carrying water, he set forth to traverse this arid waste, defying the armed bands on every side. After a few days the camels which drew the howitzers were unable to draw them over the sand-hills, and the unshrinking Irish soldiers took their place. When the fortress, which no European eye had before seen, was reached, it was found deserted. The governor had fled with his treasure, but he had left immense stores of ammunition behind. Napier resolved to destroy Emaun-Ghur; and having mined it in twenty-four places, by a simultaneous explosion all the mighty walls of the square tower, which stood as it were the monarch of the vast solitude, crumbled into atoms, and the wild bands who went forth to plunder and harass the populous Scinde, had to retire still further into the desert. Napier and his hardy companions, after undergoing great privations on their march back by a different route, rejoined the main army on the 23rd near Hyderabad. In the House of Lords in 1844, upon a motion for the thanks of Parliament to Sir Charles Napier and his army, the duke of Wellington said that the march to Emaun-Ghur was one of the most curious military feats which he had ever known to be performed, or had ever perused an account of.

The British Resident at Hyderabad was Major Outram. On the 12th of February, the Ameers with one exception, the Ameer of Khyrpore, signed the treaty which in the previous December had been tendered to them, and which, as was to have been expected from its hard conditions, they had evaded signing. This was Lord Ellenborough's "final treaty," which Napier was to have imposed upon them by an immense force. The day after the signature Major Outram was attacked in the Residency by eight thousand Beloochees. He had only a hundred foot-soldiers with him. In the river, however, there were two war steamers. To these he effected his retreat, by presenting a bold front to his assailants, whilst the guns of the steamers swept the flanks of the pursuers. With the loss only of three men killed and two wounded the gallant officer joined the main army. The force which Napier commanded could scarcely however be termed an army, if that name is to be applied only to a large body of soldiers. It consisted of four hundred British of the 22nd, and two thousand two hundred

sepoys and other native troops. The 22nd were under the command of Colonel Pennefather, a name of renown in the Crimean war. The artillery consisted of twelve guns. With this force the battle of Meanee was fought on the 17th of February. On this day Napier wrote in his journal, "It is my first battle as a commander: it may be my last. At sixty, that makes little difference; but my feelings are, it shall be *do or die*." Whatever deeds have been done by heroic Englishmen under the inspiration of duty never was there a greater deed of warfare than the victory of Meanee, which was won by two thousand six hundred men against twenty-two thousand. The Beloochees were posted on a slope behind the bed of the river Fulailee, which was for the most part dry. The half-mile between the two armies was rapidly passed; the bed of the river was crossed; up the slope ran the intrepid 22nd, and from the ridge looked down upon the Beloochees "thick as standing corn." The Beloochees covering their heads with their large dark shields, and waving their bright swords in the sun, rushed with frantic gestures upon the front of the 22nd. The Irish soldiers, with shouts as loud and shrieks as wild and fierce as theirs, met them with the bayonet, and "sent their foremost masses rolling back in blood."* The native infantry came up; the artillery took a commanding position, and mowed down the Beloochees with round-shot and canister. Upon the slope went on the deadly conflict for three hours, the assailants rushing upwards against an enemy who resolutely held his ground, the gaps in his ranks being closed up as fast as they were made. The result was at one time uncertain. The greater number of the European officers were killed or wounded. Napier was in the thick of the fight, and though surrounded by enemies was unharmed. Had he fallen, there would have been a tale to be told of rashness courting destruction. Like Nelson, his daring was his safety; but then it was under the direction of his genius. He saw, what the eye only of a great commander can see, the opportunity for closing a doubtful struggle by one decisive blow. He ordered a charge of cavalry. Defying the guns on the top of the ridge, the chosen band of horsemen charged right into the enemy's camp. Those who had so long stood firm on the hill fell into confusion. The 22nd and the sepoys gained the ridge, and drove the Beloochees over. The mighty host of the Ameers was thus beaten by a handful of troops led on to victory by one who had gained his experience in the great battles of the Peninsula; by one who knew that large masses of men, however brave and strong, are comparatively

* Sir W. Napier.

weak unless their movements are directed by some master-mind, bold in the conception of his plans, cool in their execution, and having all the resources of strategy at his command at the instant when all would be lost by ignorance or incertitude.

Sir Charles Napier followed up his victory the next day by a message sent into Hyderabad that he would storm the city unless it surrendered. Six of the Ameers came out, and laid their swords at his feet. He returned their jewelled weapons to the humbled chiefs. He refused to intrude upon their privacy by occupying their splendid palaces, heroically abiding in his humble tent. There was another enemy yet unsubdued—Shere Mahomed of Meerpoor. On the 24th of March Napier, who had been reinforced and had now five thousand troops, attacked this chief who had come with twenty thousand Beloochees before the walls of Hyderabad to recover the city. It was a hard-earned victory, which was followed up by the British occupation of Meerpoor. The spirit of the Beloochees was so broken that after two slight actions in June, when Shere Mahomed was routed and fled into the desert, the war was at an end. Scinde was annexed to the British possessions, and Sir Charles Napier was appointed its Governor. He ruled the country for four years. He saw the great natural resources of Scinde, and he led the way in rendering them available for commercial purposes by costly public works. The great branch of the Indus was opened to restore the fertility of Cutch. A gigantic pier was constructed at Kurrachee, by which a secure harbour was formed; and now the port is connected with the Indus by a railway. He made the revenue of the province sufficient to support the expenditure for its civil and political administration. But above all, he made the native population prosperous and contented under the British rule. The state of the people under his wise government is thus described by the historian of the Scinde war: "The labourer cultivates in security his land; the handicraftsman, no longer dreading mutilation of his nose or ears for demanding remuneration for his work, is returning from the countries to which he had fled, allured back by good wages and employment. Young girls are no longer torn from their families to fill the zenanas of the great, or sold into distant slavery. The Hindoo merchant and Parsee trafficker pursue their vocation with safety and confidence; and even the proud Beloochee warrior, not incapable of noble sentiments, though harsh and savage, remains content with a government which has not meddled with his right of subsistence, but only changed his feudal ties into a peaceful and warlike dependence. He has, moreover, become personally attached to a conqueror

whose prowess he has felt in battle, and whose justice and generosity he has experienced in peace." * The best proof that this high praise is not exaggerated is furnished by the fact that during the great period of danger through which we have passed, when so many enemies of the British power raised their heads in revolt, Scinde was faithful.

The close of the year 1843 was marked by another great military success in India. The state of Gwalior was in 1804 placed under the protection of the British government. The successor of the Rajah who died in 1843 was a minor, and a Regent was appointed, with the approbation of the Governor-General. The Regent was expelled by the Mahrattas, and the British Resident was insulted. Lord Ellenborough, to whom war appeared a noble pastime in which an amateur might laudably indulge, immediately sent sir Hugh Gough from Agra with fourteen thousand troops; and on the 29th of December he fought the battle of Maharajpoor, when the Mahrattas were defeated with great loss. On the same day Major-General Grey also defeated the Mahrattas at Punnar. The usurping government immediately submitted, and the strong fortress of Gwalior was occupied by a British governor. These warlike proceedings, however brilliant and successful, were not acceptable to the majority in the direction of the East India Company. In Parliament, on the 21st of April, 1844, sir Robert Peel, in answer to a question put to him by Mr. Macaulay, said, "I beg to state that on Wednesday last Her Majesty's Government received a communication from the Court of Directors, that they had exercised the power which the law gives them to recall at their will and pleasure the Governor-General of India." There were loud cheers from the Opposition benches. Mr. Macaulay then stated that he should not now bring forward a motion of which he had given notice, respecting the occupation of Gwalior. In the House of Lords the duke of Wellington declared that the Government had not concurred in the measure adopted. There was a prevailing opinion in Parliament and in the country that the recall of a Governor-General upon their sole authority was a dangerous power to be entrusted to the Court of Directors; nevertheless there was a feeling that India would be safer under sir Henry Hardinge, who had seen too much of war to be inflated by its "pride, pomp, and circumstance."

When the Session of Parliament was opened by the Queen on the 1st of February, 1844, there was a State trial proceeding in Ireland, which excited more attention throughout the country even

* Sir W. Napier.

than the royal speech. This speech could not avoid an indirect reference to the circumstances which had placed Daniel O'Connell and seven others at the bar of their country. It was the fifteenth day of this trial in the Court of Queen's Bench, in Dublin. At this remarkable juncture her Majesty said to the Lords and Commons—and she uttered these words with a marked emphasis—"At the close of the last Session of Parliament, I declared to you my firm determination to maintain inviolate the Legislative Union between Great Britain and Ireland. I expressed at the same time my earnest desire to co-operate with Parliament in the adoption of all such measures as might tend to improve the social condition of Ireland, and to develop the natural resources of that part of the United Kingdom. I am resolved to act in strict conformity with that declaration." It was the 12th of February, the twenty-fourth day of the trial, when O'Connell and the other traversers were, under various counts of the indictment, found guilty of conspiracy. As the Queen's speech could not offer "observations on events in Ireland, in respect to which proceedings are pending before the proper legal tribunal," so the attack upon the policy of the government, which was inevitable, could not be opened with propriety whilst the great legal issue was in suspense. But on the 13th of February the question was raised in both Houses. The marquis of Normanby moved a resolution pledging the House of Lords to examine into the causes of the discontent prevalent in Ireland, and to carry into effect the true principles of Union by securing to that country equal rights. After two nights' debate the resolution was rejected by 175 votes against 78. In the House of Commons, lord John Russell moved for a Committee of the whole House on the state of Ireland. The debate was continued for nine nights, Mr. O'Connell himself taking a part in it. It would be wearisome to attempt to follow its course, even in the barest outline. Perhaps the most impressive as well as the most truthful sentiment uttered was contained in the conclusion of sir Robert Peel's speech: "I do earnestly trust that the influence of public opinion, as well as that of the laws, may control this agitation—may convince those who are concerned in it, that they are prejudicing the best interests of Ireland—impeding its improvement, preventing the application of capital, and hindering the redress of those grievances which can, I think, be better redressed by the application of individual enterprise than by almost any legislative interference. I have the firmest conviction that, if there were calmness and tranquillity in Ireland, there is no part of the British dominions which would make such rapid progress as that country; for I know that there are fa-

cilities for improvement, opportunities for improvement, which would make that advance more rapid than that of any other part of our empire. I do hope—and I will conclude by expressing that earnest hope,—that this agitation and all its evil consequences may be permitted to cease.”* On the division on the 23rd of February the numbers for lord John Russell’s motion were, 225,—against it 324. The sentence against Mr. O’Connell was not pronounced till the 30th of May, when he was adjudged to be imprisoned for twelve months; to be fined 2000*l.*; and to be bound in his own recognizances, and by two sureties, to keep the peace for seven years. The other persons convicted, with the exception of one not brought up for judgment, were sentenced to nine months’ imprisonment, and to a fine of 50*l.*, with smaller sums for their own recognizances, with sureties, to keep the peace for the like terms of seven years.

When sir Robert Peel met the House of Commons in the Session of 1844, he was enabled with justice to take a favourable view of the condition of the country as the best justification of his financial policy of 1842. There had been a disposition, he said, to draw too hasty a conclusion as to the operation of the great change in the Customs’ Duties. The Government had asked for time properly to judge what would be the effect of that alteration. It was now admitted that there was a material improvement in some branches of manufacture. With regard to Revenue, he was enabled to state that the course of deficiency had been suspended, and that the revenue of the current year would be amply sufficient to meet the existing charges. He explicitly declared that he had not contemplated, and did not contemplate, an alteration in the present Corn-Laws. Neither on account of the price of corn, nor on account of variations in that price, was he led to form a more unfavourable opinion with respect to the operations of the existing law, than he entertained at the time when he proposed it.

The improvement in the condition of the people was attributed by members of the Opposition less to the measures of the Government than to the effects of a plentiful harvest. To this cause may be ascribed the diminished agitation for the repeal of the Corn-Laws. But the League held firmly together, and continued its salutary course of endeavouring to enlighten the public upon the permanent results of those laws. During the Session there were two formal discussions on the subject of Protection to Agriculture. Mr. Cobden, on the 12th of March, moved for a Committee to inquire into the effects of protective duties on agricultural tenants

* Hansard, vol. lxxiii. col. 254.

and labourers. The motion was negatived by a majority of 91. On the 25th of June, Mr. Villiers proposed Resolutions which contemplated the total repeal of the Corn-Law. These Resolutions, after a debate of two nights, were rejected by a majority of 204. In this debate, as at the beginning of the Session, sir Robert Peel maintained his determination to stand by the existing law. He avowed himself an advocate for protection to agriculture, not for the sake of the landlords, but from a conviction of the evils which the sudden removal of protection would inflict upon general interests, domestic and colonial. He looked to those general interests; he looked especially to Ireland, of which agriculture was the great staple.

The principles avowed and the measures proposed by sir Robert Peel in his legislative career must be viewed under two aspects. He is the minister of expediency, holding a party together by deference to the opinions of the majority that placed him in power, and in this capacity we may always trace in his speeches some evasion of the logical points of a controversy—some inclination to skirmish on the outside of the field of debate instead of encountering the risks of a general conflict of principles. Thus he always deals with the question of Protection, and especially of Protection to Agriculture. His adversaries tell him again and again that he is speaking against his own convictions. Mr. Villiers closed the debate of the 25th of June, by saying that sir Robert Peel had just made a speech with which the agriculturists were well pleased; but he had made the same sort of speech for them in 1839, and had thrown them overboard afterwards, because the state of the season and the distress of the people had made it indispensable to give some relief to the country: the same thing would happen again. Mr. Milner Gibson averred that sir Robert Peel would not say that he meant to make Protection permanent, but his will must give law to his party, for they could not make a Ministry without him. As a party-leader we must therefore regard the First Lord of the Treasury when he deals with questions upon which the majority appeared to be irrevocably committed. Now and then, indeed, there was a slight indication that the powerful minister, under some pressure of circumstances, might think for himself and risk the consequences of an independent judgment. The extreme Protectionists were occasionally restless and suspicious under his reserved and cautious demeanour. There were men amongst them quite ready to become their leaders in the character of advocates; but the doubt always arose—could they govern as statesmen? The nation forbade the experiment in its support of

the temperate Conservatism of sir Robert Peel. Those who suspected more than ever that he was losing the "undoubting mind" of a party-leader, could not affirm that he was acting against a secret conscientious belief that should have urged him forward with or without his following. For him, the abandonment of Protection was not a purely scientific question. Representative Government imposed upon him, for a time at least, the inevitable distinction between speculation and action. Essentially different was the course of the minister when some great policy could be safely treated without reference to the chances of a division. When such an occasion arose, the timid partisan threw off his trammels and became the confident legislator.

The question of the renewal of the Bank Charter furnished such an opportunity, which real statesmanship knew how to seize. He was now consistently acting upon principles which he had tardily adopted upon conviction a quarter of a century before. Considering, he said, the part which he took in 1819 in terminating the system of inconvertible paper-currency, and in re-establishing the ancient standard of value, it would be to him a source of great personal satisfaction, if he should obtain the assent of Parliament to proposals, which were in fact the complement of these measures, which were calculated to guarantee their permanence and to facilitate their practical operation. He chiefly looked forward as the result of these proposals to the mitigation or termination of evils such as had at various times afflicted the country, in consequence of rapid fluctuation in the amount and value of the medium of exchange. The leading proposals which he submitted to the House of Commons on the 6th of May, were, briefly, as follows:—to continue for a limited time, under certain conditions, the privileges of the Bank of England; to provide by law that the Bank should be divided into two departments, one confined to the issue and circulation of notes, the other to the conduct of banking business; to limit the amount of securities upon which it should be lawful for the bank to issue Promissory Notes payable upon demand; to provide that a weekly publication should be made by the Bank of the state both of the circulation and the banking departments. With regard to other banks the issue of promissory notes payable to bearer on demand was prohibited to any bank not then issuing such notes, or to any bank hereafter to be established; and the banks of issue that were to continue were to be subject to limitations of the extent of issue, and to various regulations, including the weekly publication of the amount of notes issued. The bill founded on sir Robert Peel's Resolutions was carried, with very slight opposi-

tion, through both Houses. M. Guizot says, "he keenly enjoyed that success; and delighted to speak of his Bank act as one of the most important achievements of his public life. Perhaps because it was one of those in which he most fully succeeded in obtaining the object which was ever present to his mind—the union of scientific truth with practical efficiency."* Eighteen years have gone by since the passing of this measure; and unquestionably its "practical efficiency" has often been tested in times of improvident speculation. On the other hand, whilst the advocates for unlimited paper currency maintained that the "scientific truth" shackled all the operations of industry, which would have flourished with less risk under a perfectly free system of banking, more sober economists could not suppress their belief that, in a season of commercial collapse, the Bank Act increased the difficulties of the crisis, and made a season of pressure become a season of ruin to a far wider class than that of rash speculators.

In the Session of 1843 the Ministry had brought forward a measure for the Regulation of Labour in Factories, which embraced a comprehensive plan for the education of the children employed in the large and increasing establishments where the cotton, flax, wool, and silk manufactures were carried on. These education clauses produced an outcry throughout the kingdom, equally strong from Churchmen and from Dissenters; so that the Government was compelled by the hostility of sects and parties to make no provision at all for the proper training of a class of children who, with a very few exceptions in the case of some enlightened millowners, were left in their ignorance and evil habits to grow up into brutalized and dangerous men and women. Sir James Graham, who had assiduously laboured in the construction of an efficient plan of factory education, was thus compelled, after his measure had been four months under discussion in Parliament, to announce that he would not in that Session press the education clauses in the Factories Bill. He had made alterations, he said, which had not abated the hostility of the Dissenters; the Church had not given him any very cordial support; and without general concord and co-operation the Bill would be inoperative, and would engender religious strife and animosity. Early in the Session of 1844 Sir James Graham again introduced a Bill for the Regulation of Labour in Factories; but the education clauses of the former Bill were now dropped; and, without any provision whatever for education, the sole object aimed at was a proper limitation of the hours of employment for women and children. The House was in

* "Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," i. p. 191.

Committee on this Bill on the 15th of March, when upon the discussion of the interpretation clause which defined the word "Night," and the word "Meal-time," lord Ashley proposed an amendment, "that the word 'night' shall be taken to mean from six o'clock in the evening to six o'clock in the following morning; and that the word 'meal-time' shall be taken to mean an interval of cessation from work, for the purpose of rest and refreshment, at the rate of two hours a day; with a view to effect a limitation of the hours of labour to ten in the day." Sir James Graham opposed this amendment, which he asserted would seriously affect the commercial prosperity of the country, reducing the returns on capital, and involving a diminution of wages to the amount of twenty-five per cent. The government Bill proposed to retain the existing term of twelve hours of factory labour, extending protection to females and young persons. Sir Robert Peel maintained that the opposite suggestion for the limitation of labour to ten hours for females and young persons, would produce a limitation to ten hours for male adults also. The most urgent argument that sir Robert Peel used was founded upon statistical facts. The exports of the cotton, linen, silk, and woollen manufactures of the country amounted to thirty-five millions sterling out of forty-four millions of all British manufactures exported; so that five-sixths of the exported manufactures of this country would be subjected to a new law, which was to provide that it would not be legal to labour at them for more than fifty-nine hours instead of sixty-nine hours a week; the Saturday being proposed by lord Ashley to remain without alteration. There would thus be two hundred and fifty days in a year, of which the working hours being reduced from twelve to ten, there would be five hundred hours less labour during fifty-two weeks, which would be equivalent to a loss of seven weeks' working time. Singular were some of the arguments by which lord Ashley's proposal was supported. Lord John Russell, for example, would vote for it in the hope that a limitation in the hours of labour would compel us to resort to additional supplies of foreign corn to counteract the effect of falling wages. The government were defeated, lord Ashley carrying his amendment by one hundred and seventy-nine votes against one hundred and seventy. Sir James Graham said that the proposed alteration, when the eighth clause came to be discussed, could be considered in a more substantive form. The next night lord Ashley stated that he should so word his clause, that for two years the limitation should be for eleven hours, and that after that period the ten hours' restriction should take effect. On the 22nd, when the gov-

ernment strongly opposed the amendment of their Bill in this modified shape, the debate was terminated by two divisions, one of which was contradictory of the other. Upon the proposal to fill up the blank in the clause with the word "twelve," one hundred and eighty-three voted in favour of the motion, and one hundred and eighty-six against it. The House again dividing on the motion that the blank be filled up with the word "ten," for the motion there were one hundred and eighty-one votes, against it one hundred and eighty-eight. In various and complicated shapes this question continued to be discussed for two months, till on the 13th of May, sir Robert Peel, having declared that, estimating that a ten hours' Bill would strike off thirty-six thousand pounds a week from the wages of those employed, and believing it was the duty of the government to take a comprehensive view of all the great interests, commercial, political, social, and moral, of all classes, he would resist the wishes of the factory operatives in order to promote their welfare. "I protest," he said, "against the doctrine, that we are to concede because it is the popular will. If we are satisfied that it is not for the popular interests, then it is our painful but necessary duty to resist. If this House be of a different opinion—if you are satisfied that you must make this great experiment on labour—or if you think concession is inevitable, and that you must give way to the wishes and feelings of the people—be it so! But if you take that course, and if you resolve (as you cannot but do in consistency) to pursue it, you must—I say it with all respect—you must do so under other auspices, and under guides who can trace a clearer and a better way than can the present administration." This very plain intimation, which the biographer of sir Robert Peel describes as "using his right with a somewhat ungentle haughtiness," produced its natural effect. The House rejected the ten hours' clause by a majority of one hundred and thirty-eight, and the government Bill was passed.

The question of the Sugar Duties, which was formerly debated less on financial grounds than on moral and religious, has now lost, in the equalization of duties between colonial and foreign sugar, the interest which once attached to the strong repugnance of a great party to admit slave-grown sugar. Upon commercial grounds there were also two parties, whose interests and principles were strongly opposed. The West India interest resisted that enlargement of the area of supply which would result from the admission of any other than colonial sugar. With them went the formidable Anti-slavery party. The Free-Traders, constantly protesting against the preference of colonial over foreign sugar, had against them the

great band of enthusiasts who regarded slave-grown sugar as the abomination which every good housewife ought to resist, by supporting that monopoly of the West India proprietors which was quite insufficient to supply the demand in this country, and therefore kept all sugar dear. The government in 1844 still held to the principle of a differential duty; but the Chancellor of the Exchequer, on the 3rd of June, proposed an abatement of the duty on foreign sugar provided it was the produce of free labour. Upon a proposition of Mr. Miles, a conservative member, for reducing the duty upon British plantation sugar to 20s. per cwt. instead of 24s., and foreign free-grown sugar to 30s., the government were defeated by a majority of two hundred and forty-one against two hundred and twenty-one. This division was a great crisis for the ministry. The division took place on a Saturday morning. On the Sunday a Cabinet Council was held, and on the Monday sir Robert Peel, amidst intense excitement, called upon the House to reconsider its decision, of which important consequences might be the result. This was to declare, in words that could not be mistaken, that if he were to continue in office he must have the full and untrammelled support of the party he represented. The House did reconsider, reversing its decision by a majority of two hundred and fifty-five against two hundred and thirty-three. It was on the occasion of this debate that Mr. Disraeli, with a more marked hostility towards sir Robert Peel than he had before displayed, adverted to the former opinions of the Prime Minister, when he said that he had never entered into the Anti-slavery cry, and would never enter into the cry of "Cheap Sugar." "Now the right honourable gentleman had adopted certain opinions of a very decided character with respect to slavery; and he told the House cheap sugar was of such importance that the existence of his ministry depended upon it, and that the character of his supporters must be sacrificed to secure his ministry. Twenty-four months had only elapsed, and the right honourable gentleman came forward with a detestation of slavery in every place—except in the benches behind him. If the Anti-slavery repugnance were only a little more prevalent—if the right honourable gentleman did not expect upon every division, and at every crisis, that his gang should appear, and the whip should sound with that alacrity which he understood was now prevalent—it would be a little more consistent with the tone which he assumed with respect to the slave-trade, and with that which was now the principal subject of discussion."

The great questions, financial and social, whose decision was to have a permanent influence upon the progress of improvement,

produced little excitement beyond the walls of parliament compared with one ministerial act, which startled the nation for awhile and then quickly passed into oblivion. The British people are, as they ought to be, excessively jealous of any secret and apparently despotic exercise of power by the executive government. The power of issuing a warrant from the Secretary of State for the opening of letters at the Post Office had been exercised, under the sanction of the law, from the very first formation of that institution. It was the express condition in the preamble to the early Acts of Parliament relating to the Post that the government should have this power. In subsequent statutes the power had been confirmed, with certain modifications, even as recently as at the accession of Queen Victoria. There could be no question about the law of the case, and the power had been exercised by Secretaries of State of all parties, without any apprehension that in a discreet use of this right they had violated the great principles of English liberty; from Mr. Fox to lord John Russell they believed that such a power was necessary to the safety of the State. On the 14th of June Mr. Thomas Duncombe presented a petition to the House of Commons from Serafino Calderara, Joseph Mazzini, W. J. Linton, and Wm. Lovett, complaining that their letters had been opened at the Post Office. Sir James Graham stated that, under the power of the act of 1837, which consolidated previous laws, he had given a warrant, no longer in existence, to open the letters of one of the petitioners, whom he did not wish to name. On the 24th of June Mr. Duncombe moved for a Committee of Inquiry, which was refused by a majority of forty-four. For some weeks the country became agitated with a prevailing belief that no letters were safe; that there was an extensive spy-system conducted within an inner chamber of the Post Office. There were few who did not to some extent adopt the declaration of the four petitioners, "that they considered such a practice, introducing as it did the spy-system of foreign states, as repugnant to every principle of the British constitution, and subversive of the public confidence which was so essential to a commercial country." This was indeed a fertile theme for journalists and caricaturists. Continental nations looked on with astonishment at the ebullition of popular rage at an act which appeared to them the simplest and most natural course of a government to pursue. They were accustomed to much stronger displays of bureaucratic power. Sir James Graham, it soon became understood, had thus endeavoured to trace the intrigues of one distinguished foreign enthusiast, whose designs he was powerless to repress in a country where aliens were subjected to no stringent

supervision. Wisely did the ministers resolve at last, that the disclosures of all the facts in a full inquiry was the best mode of setting themselves right in public opinion. On the 2nd of July sir James Graham expressed his anxiety for inquiry; and when Mr. Duncombe moved again for a Committee, the suspected Secretary of State, whilst proposing that the Committee should be secret, moved that it should consist of nine persons, none of whom should be connected with office, and five of whom should be selected from the Opposition. This fairness went far to disarm the public hostility. In August the Committee made their Report. It is a most interesting and elaborate review of the history of the practice, from the time of the statute of Anne in 1711, to that of its exercise in 1844. The Committee reported that the general average of the warrants during the present century did not much exceed eight a year. A similar Committee was formed in the House of Lords. Their Report showed that since 1822, one hundred and eighty-two warrants had been issued, averaging about eight per annum; two-thirds of which had been for the purpose of tracing persons accused of offences, or of tracing property embezzled by suspected offenders. The warrants for detention of letters, at periods when the circumstances of the country seemed to threaten public tranquillity, had scarcely, upon an average of twenty-one years, exceeded two warrants annually in Great Britain. After the publication of these Reports the people were effectually tranquillized; the advertisers of secure envelopes and anti-Graham wafers ceased to find customers; the law remained unaltered. There was a generous motive for the indignation expressed at the opening of the letters of Señor Mazzini, for it was understood that he was the one petitioner to whom the warrant of sir James Graham applied. It was said that this warrant was issued at the instance of the Sardinian minister. The duke of Wellington, in the House of Lords, declared that he was enabled to state that there was no foundation whatever for such a rumour. The Report of the Committee of the House of Commons related that the warrant to open and detain letters addressed to Mazzini was issued on the 1st of March and was cancelled on the 3rd of June; that representations had been made to the government that plots, of which he was the centre, were carrying on upon British territory to excite an insurrection in Italy; that the information, calculated to frustrate this attempt, which was deduced from the letters so opened, was communicated to a foreign power; but the information so communicated was not of a nature to compromise, and did not compromise, the safety of any individual within the reach of that foreign power,

nor was it made known to that power by what means, or from what source, such information had been obtained.

An Act of this Session for the "Regulation of Suits relating to Meeting-Houses and other Property held for Religious Purposes by persons dissenting from the United Church of England and Ireland," was a measure of justice promoted and carried through by the Government. In the time of Charles the Second there was a bequest of certain manors in York in trust to support "godly preachers of Christ's holy gospel." The lady Hewlet, who made this bequest, was a dissenter, and the terms "godly preachers" was sufficient to indicate that the bequest was not intended for the benefit of the Church of England; neither could the sect of Unitarians which afterwards arose have been held as "godly preachers" in the seventeenth century. But after the lapse of many years the Trinitarian secretaries to which lady Hewlet belonged, gradually became Unitarians. Other dissenters, however, held that those in possession had no legal right to the benefit of the bequest, having forfeited it when they abandoned the doctrine which she considered that of "Christ's holy gospel." After a suit of fourteen years, and an appeal to the House of Lords, the judgment of the Court of Chancery was confirmed, that the Unitarians were excluded from the bequest, and that the Trinitarian Protestant dissenters ought to be the possessors of the property. To put an end to the recurrence of similar disputes, lord Lyndhurst brought in a bill, which, however, was strenuously opposed in and out of parliament. The principal object of the Bill was to confirm in the full possession of their establishments any society of Protestant dissenters which had been in possession of them for twenty years. The bishop of London opposed the Bill, on the ground that it legalized the use of chapels for purposes entirely contrary to that for which they were founded. But there was another object in the Bill which provoked a wider opposition. Previous to a statute of 1813, the exceptions to the Act of Toleration which exclusively affected Unitarians had continued in force; in repealing these exceptions the Legislature had not made the Act retrospective. It was the intention of the present Bill to give Unitarians as firm a possession of their ancient property as of that which they had acquired since the statute of 1813. This measure generally was denounced by certain portions of what is called the Religious World as being solely for the benefit of a sect; which other sects, suspending their own differences to unite against a community, rich and intelligent indeed, but a mere fraction as to its numbers,—denounced as standing outside the pale of Christianity. Never-

theless in both houses the Bill, with an alteration of the term of occupancy from twenty to twenty-five years, was passed by large majorities.

The actual prorogation of parliament was deferred for a month later than was required by the exigencies of public business. On the 9th of August lord John Russell took a survey of the general condition of the country, his speech being remarkable for the absence of party spirit. Sir Robert Peel recapitulated the measures of the Session, which he said was distinguished for important and efficient legislation. The House of Commons then adjourned to the 5th of September. The House of Lords was engaged in one of the most important functions of that body, that of being a Court of Law in the last resort. Mr. O'Connell and the other prisoners had appealed for a reversal of their sentence upon a Writ of Error. The judges of the English courts were referred to by the Lords for their opinions. Six of the eleven counts were decided by all of nine judges to be bad or informal; seven of the judges held that the judgment must stand, as the Irish judges alone could know upon how much of the verdict they had grounded their sentence. The peers were now to decide. The people both of Ireland and England were waiting their decision with intense interest; the Irish Repealers anticipating that the servile and despotic peers of England would eagerly embrace the opportunity of condemning their great agitator to a prolonged incarceration, and the people of England for the most part being afraid that, through the high sense of honour and the impartial justice of these peers, he would be let loose for further mischief. It was a question of legal construction which could only be properly settled by the Law Lords. On the 5th of September, when the judgment was to be delivered, some lay peers contended that they had a right to vote. The ministry, speaking with a voice of moderation by lord Wharncliffe, one of their supporters, left the decision of the question with the four Law Lords, although it was pretty well known what their decision would be. It was voted by lord Denman, lord Cottenham, and lord Campbell, that the judgment of the Court below should be reversed; lord Brougham voted that it should be maintained. O'Connell on the 6th of September left his prison in a triumphal car, wearing the green and gold repeal cap; and escorted by thousands of people paraded the streets of Dublin for four hours. He then addressed the multitude from his own house in Merriion-Square. He told them that on the next Monday, at Conciliation Hall, he would put forth his plans for the future. His triumph was celebrated throughout Ireland by the most enthusiastic demon-

strations. But his power was gone. His "plans for the future" were altogether abortive. He again had his Monster Meetings, but they ceased to furnish a subject of apprehension to the government. From his balcony on the 6th of September he had told the people, "this is the great day for Ireland—a day of justice." By the justice he was extinguished.

During the month of August the governments of Great Britain and France had been nearly on the point of a serious rupture. In September, 1843, the queen of Tahiti (Otaheite), named Pomaré, who was a follower of the English missionaries, and had professed her desire that her subjects should be converted to Christianity, was induced—probably under the threats of the admiral of a French squadron off her shores—to place herself under the protectorate of France. The natives were angry at this, and showed marked hostility to the French among them. In November admiral Dupetit Thouars landed troops on the island; set up the French flag, removing queen Pomaré's ensign; and issued a proclamation that Tahiti belonged to France. The French government disavowed this act; but, says M. Guizot, "The French flag had just been planted in the islands of Polynesia, and we could not consent that, at the very moment of its appearance, it should be withdrawn."* French honour would be wounded, said a party in the Chambers, if the national flag which the admiral had set up should be removed. The office of British consul at Tahiti was filled by a missionary named Pritchard. When queen Pomaré was deposed he hauled down his flag, declaring his functions as consul were at an end, as he was not accredited by the English government to a French colony in that capacity. Four months afterwards the French authorities, believing that he had instigated the hostility of the natives to their rule, seized him, and required as a condition of his release from imprisonment that he should immediately leave the Pacific. Mr. Pritchard arrived in England on the 26th of July, and there was immediately an outburst of national indignation which the government did not attempt to repress. On the contrary, sir Robert Peel said in parliament on the 31st of July, "We have received accounts from Tahiti, and presuming on the accuracy of these accounts, which I have no reason whatever to call in question, I do not hesitate to say, that a gross outrage, accompanied with gross indignity, has been committed upon the British consul in that island." M. Guizot, in his account of this affair, says the words which sir Robert Peel had used were "unseasonable and inaccurate;" but he adds, that sir

* "Memoirs of Sir R. Peel," p. 168.

Robert Peel, in his communication with the French government, stated that he did not admit the correctness of the newspaper versions of his speech. Nevertheless the parliamentary language of lord Aberdeen might have been equally termed "unseasonable;" for on the 1st of August he said, "the late outrage of the French at Tahiti was of so flagrant a character as to be almost incredible." M. Guizot says in his memoirs of sir R. Peel, that he could not with propriety relate the delicate negotiations to which this affair gave rise between lord Aberdeen and himself, which, "ended in results, just in themselves, honourable to the two cabinets, and salutary to the two countries."* The French government, whilst maintaining that it had good reason for sending Mr. Pritchard away from the island, acknowledged that useless and vexatious proceedings had been taken respecting him, of which they disapproved, and offered to award him compensation for his losses and sufferings.

Upon the prorogation of parliament it was stated in the Queen's Speech that the danger of an interruption to the good understanding and friendly relations between this country and France had been happily averted by the spirit of justice and moderation which had animated the two governments. The *entente cordiale* was to be confirmed by a visit of the King of the French to her Majesty. On the 7th of October he arrived at Windsor Castle, where he remained for a week, being installed a Knight of the Garter, and entertained with sumptuous banquets. It will perhaps be for the historian at some future day to relate whether Louis Philippe, during this friendly visit, forebore altogether to approach the delicate subject of matrimonial alliances of his own family with Spain. His far-reaching projects presented, a year or two later, a question of far greater danger to the good understanding and friendly relations between the two countries, than the arbitrary acts perpetrated upon a poor missionary at Tahiti.

It was in this year that the Prince de Joinville published his celebrated "Note on the State of the Naval Forces of France." With the general public a document like this passes into oblivion, after the lapse of eighteen or twenty years, unless it be revived for the purpose of maintaining some exclusive opinions either of a war-party or a peace-party. We have alluded to this production in the preceding volume; † and there we should have left the subject, had not a politician of no ordinary mark recently called attention to it as a point of history,—of course with his own gloss. "The principal contribution to the first panic," says Mr.

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 178.

† *Aule*, vol. vii. p. 377. note.

Cobden, previous to the publication of the duke of Wellington's letter, was the pamphlet of Prince Joinville. It is difficult now, after a calm perusal of this tract, to understand how it could have been pressed into the service of the alarmists. It is filled throughout with complaints of the inferiority of the French navy, and offers not a few probably unmerited compliments to the superior management of England." Mr. Cobden then quotes the concluding passage of the pamphlet: "I have been obliged to expose the secret of our weakness compared to the greatness of British power; but I should think myself happy if, by the sincere avowal of those sorrowful truths, I were able to dissipate the illusion, in which are so many clever persons, as to the real condition of the navy of France, and to decide them to ask with me those salutary reforms which alone can give our navy a new era of power and glory."*

The Prince de Joinville quotes a passage from a speech in the House of Commons on the 29th of February, 1844, in which it was said that if steam-vessels had been employed as at present, Napoleon might easily have landed even fifteen or twenty thousand men on our shores; and that although such a debarkation would not have had much success, the effect would have been "to destroy that confidence which our insular situation now inspires." The speaker, says the royal pamphleteer, adjured the legislature to take into consideration the great use that might be made of steam navigation in the event of a new war.† This is the text which the Prince takes for his exhortation. Let us build steam vessels of war as quickly as possible, to maintain some approach to equality with the hundred and twenty-five steam vessels of war of Great Britain, of which number seventy-seven are armed. No suggestion could have been more proper. But what are the definite objects he proposes for a large addition to the French steam navy, beyond the defence of the ports and arsenals of France in the event of a war? "Our successes would not be brilliant, because we should take care at first to avoid compromising all our resources in decisive encounters. But we should carry on war with certainty, because we should attack two things equally vulnerable—the confidence of the English people in the insular position of their country, and its maritime commerce. Who can doubt that, with a steam navy strongly organized, we should have the means of inflicting upon the enemy's coasts losses and sufferings unknown to a nation which has never felt all the miseries which war

* "The Three Panics," p. 7.

† The speaker was Mr. Spring Rice, on the subject of Harbours of Refuge. See Hansard, vol. lxxiii. col. 400.

brings in its train? As the complement of these sufferings would come the evil, equally new for that nation,—that of confidence lost. The wealth accumulated on its coasts and in its ports would cease to remain in security.”

We have given “a calm perusal to this tract,” and we do *not* find it “difficult to understand how it could have been pressed into the service of the alarmists.” Mr. Cobden quotes a remonstrance by sir William Molesworth to the “Spectator” in January, 1848, in which the philosophical statesman asks “the editor of that staid and philosophical print” whether he considered the French to be ruffians, Pindarees, freebooters, so that “you believe it necessary to keep constant watch and ward against them, as our Saxon forefathers did against the Danes and the Nordmen, lest they should burn our towns, plunder our coasts, and put our queen to ransom.” The year 1847—when Louis Philippe and his ministers were full of ambitious projects not very different from those which Louis the Fourteenth had cherished—was not so far removed from 1844, that the people of England had forgotten that a son of the king of the French had published a pamphlet which, to use Mr. Cobden’s words “had sounded like a tocsin in our ears;” that a prince of the blood royal had proposed to “burn our towns and plunder our coasts;” had, to repeat the honest language of our great soldier which we have before quoted, issued “an invitation and provocative to war, to be carried on in a manner such as had been disclaimed by the civilized portions of mankind.”*

* The pamphlet of the Prince de Joinville, with its accompanying tables, is given in full in “Annuaire Historique,” 1844, Appendice, p. 372.

CHAPTER XVII.

Parliament opened.—Improved state of the kingdom.—Sir Robert Peel's New Tariff.—Opposition of the Country-Party.—Mr. Cobden's motion for inquiring into Agricultural Distress.—Grant to Maynooth College.—Queen's Colleges in Ireland.—Jews admitted to Municipal Offices.—Prorogation.—Apprehended failure of the Potato Crop in Ireland.—Proposal of Sir Robert Peel to the Cabinet.—Lord John Russell's Letter to the Electors of London.—Dissensions in the Cabinet.—Sir R. Peel resigns.—Failure of Lord John Russell to form a Ministry.—Sir R. Peel resumes power.—Parliament opened by the Queen.—Sir R. Peel asserts his determination to be unshackled as Minister.—The New Tariff and the Corn-Law Bills introduced by Sir R. Peel.—Debate of Twelve Nights in the Commons.—The Bills passed in both Houses.—Bill for the Protection of Life in Ireland, rejected by a majority against the Government.—Resignation of Sir R. Peel.—The Russell Administration.—The Oregon Question settled.—British Columbia.—India.—War with the Sikhs.—Battle of Moodkee.—Sir Henry Hardinge and Sir Hugh Gough.—Battle of Ferozeshah.—Battles of Alliwali and Sohraon.—Treaty of Peace.—List of the Russell Ministry.

WHEN Parliament was opened by the Queen on the 4th of February, 1845, "the improved condition of the country" was a fertile subject of her Majesty's congratulation. "Increased activity pervades almost every branch of Manufacture; trade and commerce have been extended at home and abroad." At this period the Income Tax was about to expire. Why then, with a certainty of improved revenue from imports, which had risen from sixty-five millions in 1842 to eighty-five millions in 1845, was it necessary for her Majesty to say, with reference to the Income Tax, that it might be expedient to continue its operation for a further period? The reason alleged for this recommendation was, "thus to obtain the means of adequately providing for the public service, and at the same time making a reduction in other taxation." Simple as were these words they were full of significance. The one object in 1842 of imposing an Income Tax was to repair the financial disorder of the country. That object had been accomplished. On the 5th of January, 1845, there had been an excess of income over expenditure of nearly three millions and a half. The Income Tax had produced something above five millions. It was in many respects an objectionable tax, and if not to be wholly abolished there was ample room to reduce the amount of the impost by one-half. But the minister had a great policy to carry into effect. His partial experiment on Free Trade had prepared him to make a bolder experiment, upon the doctrine that the surest way to improve

the condition of the great body of the people was to remove those taxes upon large branches of industry which not only interfered with their extension, but placed many of the comforts and conveniences of life beyond the reach of the humbler classes. M. Guizot has truly as well as eloquently said—"the greatest happiness of the greatest number of human beings as the supreme object of society and of government, was the superior power of which sir Robert Peel had made himself the minister, and which swayed all his opponents; some of them governed like himself, others intimidated or paralyzed by this great idea, which was clearly or dimly present to their minds, either as an incontestable right, or as an irresistible fact." *

The financial statement of sir Robert Peel was made on the 14th of February. Continuing the Income Tax, he estimated there would be a surplus of 3,400,000*l.* He said—laying down general principles which ought ever to be kept in mind by a British financial minister—"In the first place you have to consider the claims which may be urged in favour of a reduction of taxation on account of the heaviness with which certain imposts press on articles of general consumption. You are bound also to consider what taxes press on the raw materials which constitute the staple of the manufactures of the country. You are also bound to consider what taxes cause a great increase in the establishments necessary for their collection, and what are those taxes the remission of which will enable us to diminish those establishments, so as to reduce the expense of collection. You are bound also to consider what are those taxes the removal of which will give more scope to commercial enterprise, and occasion an increased demand for labour." † Broadly to state the minister's plans for obtaining such results as these, he proposed to abolish all duties on exports, including the duty on coal; he would abolish the import duties on four hundred and thirty raw materials used in manufactures, which, although yielding to the Treasury only 320,000*l.*, would still be a real and efficient relief; he would altogether remove the duties on cotton-wool, which yielded 680,000*l.*; the reduction to be effected on the sugar-duties would amount to 1,300,000*l.* More important, perhaps, than any of the imposts to be abolished or reduced was the repeal of the duty upon glass. That duty, upon the value of the manufactured article, was not less than from 200 to 300 per cent.; there was no duty which required such a system of perpetual and vexatious interference with the manufacturer. In France, in Bel-

* Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 232.

† Hansard, vol lxvii. col. 473.

gium, and in Bohemia, there was no excise duty on glass. In Bohemia, in particular, the manufacture had been brought to a state of admirable perfection. We had peculiar facilities for obtaining the same results, and yet we could not compete with foreigners in the manufacture of glass. "If you permit," said sir Robert, "this article to be free of duty, it is difficult to foresee, in the first place, to what perfection this beautiful fabric may not be brought, and secondly, it is impossible to say to what new purposes glass manufactured by our own skill and capital, may not be applied." Seven years only had elapsed after the sagacious minister had made this confident anticipation, when we saw a wondrous fabric arise constructed almost wholly of glass, in which the British manufacturer was not ashamed to exhibit his glass wares by the side of his foreign rivals, not perhaps reaching the perfection of some glass fabrics but very nearly approaching their beauty of form and colour. Eleven more years elapsed, and then, in the more extended competition of a second International Exhibition, the British manufacture of glass for domestic use stood at the head of every European production. But, more than all this, it is only necessary to look with a rapid glance at the architecture of our public buildings, of our shops, of our mansions and villas, and even of our humblest cottages, to see how, in conjunction with the subsequent repeal of the window tax, the removal of the duty on glass had enabled every building to be erected with a regard to convenience and comfort, to health and cleanliness, which the existence of those imposts to a great extent prevented. It was the merit of sir Robert Peel rarely to forget the effect of taxation upon the condition of the poor. He had in view the quarry of the cottage window, rarely mended when broken, but patched with paper or stuffed with rag, when he said—"If the House sanction the removal of the duty upon glass, you will thereby confer on the poorer classes a most extensive benefit." It is unnecessary now to follow the discussion upon sir Robert Peel's financial measures, beyond noticing a few points in which the jealousy of free-trade principles occasionally broke out in objections almost ludicrous. One member complained of the removal of the duty on grease, as he judged it would lead to a great importation of foreign butter; another moved the omission of lard from the list of articles to be admitted free of duty. Mr. Cobden, upon such objections, besought the landed interest not to make a pitiable exhibition of themselves; lord John Russell advised them either to surrender the principle of protection to native industry altogether, or resolutely to stand by it in and out of Parliament. Bolder than the parliamentary free-traders was

Mr. W. J. Fox, who, at one of the meetings of the League in Covent Garden Theatre, said of those who were horrified at the notion of repealing the duties on butter, bacon, and cheese, "they keep a great chandler's shop, and they look to every minute article in their store, how they can pervert the power of legislation to make the community pay more for the benefit of the aristocracy. There was a time when trading at all was thought inconsistent with the possession of that dignity. Your feudal baron did not mind robbing by the strong hand, but he turned away with contempt from robbing by the short weight of a protective duty." The time was approaching when as strong things would be said in and out of Parliament against the minister who had sufficiently manifested his indisposition to support the chandler's shop. The course which sir Robert Peel was pursuing, of asserting the principles of free-trade cautiously and yet successfully, by enlisting the Opposition, for their support against the imperfectly concealed hostility or indifference of his own supporters, was gradually preparing for him an amount of rebellion and insubordination of which he was too experienced a party leader not accurately to contemplate the final result—his own deposition.

Upon the opening of parliament lord John Russell endeavoured to produce some ministerial declaration on the subject of the Corn-Laws, by affirming that Protection was the bane of agriculture. He obtained no contradiction from sir Robert Peel; but he was asked by Mr. Miles, one of the most sturdy of the Protectionists, whether he had found it convenient to make a compact alliance with the Corn Law League? Protectionists and Free-traders equally sought support for their arguments at this time in the distress which was said to exist amongst the agriculturists. On the 13th of March Mr. Cobden moved for a Select Committee to inquire into the causes and extent of this alleged agricultural distress, and into "the effects of legislative protection upon the interests of land-owners, tenant-farmers, and farm labourers." His motion was rejected by a majority of ninety-two. But his speech produced a great impression upon the country; and it has been said that upon the prime minister himself it had a marked influence. On this occasion Mr. Cobden came forth with a spirit-stirring and impassioned eloquence which few had considered within the range of his oratorical powers. To the Country party he said—"You live in a mercantile age, when the whole wealth of the world is poured into your lap. You cannot have the advantages of commercial rents and feudal privileges, but you may be what you always have been, if you will identify yourselves with the spirit of the age. The Eng-

lish people look to the gentry and the aristocracy of this country as their leaders. I, who am not one of you, have no hesitation in telling you, that there is a deep-rooted, an hereditary prejudice, if I may so call it, in your favour in this country. But you never got it, and you will not keep it, by obstructing the spirit of the age. If you are indifferent to enlightened means of finding employment for your own peasantry; if you are found obstructing that advance which is calculated to knit nations more together in the bonds of peace, by means of commercial intercourse; if you are found fighting against the discoveries which have almost given breath and life to material nature, and setting up yourselves as obstructives of that which destiny has decreed shall go on—why, then, you will be the gentry of England no longer, and others will be found to take your place.”

The speech of Mr. Cobden on his motion for a Committee was replied to by Mr. Sidney Herbert; but that reply was more damaging to the cause of agricultural protection than the arguments of Mr. Cobden and Mr. Bright, or the agitation of the League. It was the incaution of a young man of remarkable candour, and somewhat inexperienced in parliamentary tactics, when he said, as the representative of an agricultural constituency, that it would be distasteful to the agriculturists to come whining to parliament at every period of temporary distress. Parliament had awarded to the agriculturists a certain amount of protection; with that they were content; and in adverse circumstances, such as failure of crop, they would meet them manfully and put their shoulders to the wheel.* Agriculturists were indignant at being represented as content with the protection they enjoyed;—the assumption (which was meant for advice) that they would put their shoulders to the wheel, instead of crying out for help to Hercules, was something different from the zealous friendship upon which they had calculated so long and reposed so confidently. The rejection of Mr. Cobden's motion did not calm their anger. On the 17th of March, Mr. W. Miles moved that in the reduction of taxation “due regard should be had to the necessity of affording relief to the agricultural interest.” It was then that Mr. Disraeli pronounced unmistakably that sentence of open war against sir Robert Peel, which, whether in its skirmishes or pitched battles, for a year or two was admired by many as the daring of a noble courage in its insults to the first statesman of his time. Sir Robert Peel sometimes winced at these attacks, but in most cases he shook off the reckless words, “like dew-drops from the lion's mane.” “I remember,”

* Hansard, vol. lxxviii. col. 818.

said Mr. Disraeli, "to have heard the right honourable baronet at the head of the government say, he would sooner be the leader of the gentlemen of England than possess the confidence of sovereigns. . . . They were the right honourable baronet's first love, and though he may not kneel to them now as in the hour of passion, still they can recall the past. He does what he can to keep them quiet; sometimes he takes refuge in arrogant silence, and sometimes he treats them with haughty frigidity; and if they knew anything of human nature, they would take the hint and shut their mouths. But they won't. And what then happens? The right honourable baronet, being compelled to interfere, sends down his valet, who says in the genteelest manner, We can have no whining here." (Describing sir Robert Peel as one who, by skilful parliamentary manœuvres, had tampered with the generous confidence of a great people, he addressed these words to the Treasury bench—"Dissolve, if you please, the parliament you have betrayed, and appeal to the people who I believe mistrust you; for me there remains this at least—the opportunity of expressing thus publicly my belief, that a Conservative government is an Organized Hypocrisy.")

In this speech of Mr. Disraeli there was a skilful allusion to that former desertion of the principle of ultra-Toryism which many yet remembered with the bitterness of intolerance; "Protection appears to be in about the same condition that Protestantism was in 1828. The country will draw its moral." Protestantism was now to have a new cause of offence. On the 3rd of April sir Robert Peel brought forward the plans of the government respecting the improvement of the College of Maynooth, proposing a grant of 30,000*l.* a year, to be secured by act of parliament. On the 11th, when the Bill was to be read a second time, the table of the House was covered with petitions. There had been meetings throughout the country, in which Churchmen and Dissenters had been equally conspicuous in denouncing the measure as a renunciation of the Protestantism under which the empire had flourished. An annual vote had been taken for many years for the Roman Catholic College of Maynooth. It was the object of the government, to use the words of sir Robert Peel, "to adopt in a friendly and generous spirit the institution provided for the education of the Roman Catholic priesthood—to extend the parliamentary provision for that purpose, and to attempt, not by interference with the doctrine or discipline of the Roman Catholic Church, but by a more liberal provision to improve the system of education, and to elevate the tone and character of that institution." During six

nights of debate in the Commons there was not a shaft in the quiver of bigotry, still replenished however scantily, which was not then discharged against the government, and especially against sir Robert Peel. Sir Robert Inglis, the most moderate and rational of his theological opponents, declared that although shattered and torn the flag of Protestantism still remained at the mast-head, and he would fight for it as unflinchingly as when in better days it waved untorn and unbent over our empire. Mr. Plumptre would not say that the religion of Rome was exclusively that of Antichrist. but he believed that it was so, completely and prominently; and he was further of opinion that it would be a fearful and national sin to endow such a religion. Mr. Ferrand solemnly believed that if the government could induce her Majesty to attach her signature to this bill she would sign away her title to the British crown. Colonel Sibthorp was the loudest in the chorus, "Really, if I had not seen the First Lord of the Treasury take the oaths at the table of this House, I should have doubted whether he were a Protestant, a Roman Catholic, or a Mahometan; nor should I be surprised if the time should yet come when we shall see him sitting cross-legged as a Mahometan, or embracing a Pope. I must say that I have lost all confidence in that man." Leaving the question of Maynooth untouched, Mr. Disraeli embraced the occasion for another personal assault upon the Prime Minister: "There is now no longer any constitutional opposition, because there is no government formed on definite principles. Something has risen up in this country as fatal in the political world as it has been in the landed world in Ireland; we have a great parliamentary middleman. It is well known what a middleman is: he is a man who bamboozles one party and plunders the other, till having obtained a position to which he is not entitled, he cries out, 'Let us have no party questions, but fixity of tenure.'" In spite of the unmitigated opposition in both Houses the Maynooth Bill was carried by considerable majorities. So also was the ministerial measure introduced by sir James Graham for establishing three purely secular colleges in Ireland, wholly independent of religious tests or creeds for the education of the middle classes. On the first debate on the measure sir Robert Inglis termed it a great scheme "of godless education." The measure was carried by a large majority in the Commons upon the third reading, and was passed without a division in the Lords. But the faint cry of "godless education" raised by sir Robert Inglis was echoed with far greater vehemence by the Roman Catholic clergy, whose prelates declared, through Mr. O'Connell, in the House of Commons, that this was a bad

scheme of education, and the Bill a penal and revolting measure. The "godless colleges" of Cork, Galway, and Belfast, nevertheless have flourished, unharmed under the constant attempts of a bigoted priesthood to oppose in this case, as well as in the National Schools of Ireland, that system of instruction without religious teaching which the soundest statesmen have constantly regarded as one of the best means of softening the religious animosities and abating the injurious jealousies between Catholic and Protestant. There was another measure carried this session in the spirit of religious liberality—that of the admission of Jews to municipal offices.

The Session of Parliament was terminated by the prorogation by the Queen on the 9th of August. In the usual Address to her Majesty the Speaker, in addition to his notice of the great financial measures of the session; the endowment of Maynooth; and the provision of the means of academical instruction in Ireland; adverted to two other labours in which the Commons had been engaged. The Session had been rendered unusually laborious by the rapid development of private enterprise in extending the railway communications of the kingdom; anticipating the most beneficial results from the facilities thus afforded to the internal trade of the country, they had devoted much time and labour to the legislation requisite for the construction and regulation of those important works. The condition of the destitute poor of Scotland was the other subject of general legislation which the Speaker recapitulated: "Assisted by the information which your Majesty has directed to be laid before us, we have made such amendments in the law as will provide for the more effectual relief of the poor, and for a better system of parochial management, under the control of a general Board of Supervision."

Parliamentary warfare was for a season at an end. The Queen and Prince Albert, on the day of prorogation, embarked at Woolwich on a visit to Germany. The prime minister gladly sought the retreat of Drayton-Manor, where the murmurs of his once devoted partisans could scarcely reach him. An observer of London fashionable life at this time has written—"The language of the Tory party is more bitter and violent against him [sir R. Peel] than ever I heard in society of the olden time from disappointed Whigs against Mr. Pitt. But I do not imagine this to be traced to a no-popery cry. If sir Robert had left the Corn-Laws untouched, he would have carried the Maynooth question by a triumphant majority without a schism. His inattention to what the landed interest call their agricultural distress, their apprehen-

sions that he will ultimately repeal those laws, and also his notorious neglect of those who, in good report and ill report, had stuck to his skirts till they had brought him through the battle, and then found that his patronage was lavished on their opponents—all these things have so embittered their minds that they have seized with readiness the first opportunity to stick their teeth in his flanks, and have rallied all the sectarian interests to take part in the *curle*." *

On the 31st of October, the Cabinet having been called together by sir Robert Peel, a meeting took place at his house, and on the following day the Cabinet again assembled. The "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," which were published by his executors in 1857, enable us now to trace with a distinctness which could not previously be derived from other sources, the beginning of that train of events which led to the repeal of the Corn-Laws. The documents which these Memoirs contain, relate to the information received by sir Robert Peel on the probable failure of the potato crop, and exhibit his correspondence on the subject with other members of the government. With something like an apology for giving these documents at length, he describes them as "the materials from which the future historian will extract that which is worthy of permanent record, and from which, with the aid of other contemporary evidence, he will pronounce his judgment on the motives and conduct of public men." †

There were three members of the government who, from their official station, were chiefly responsible at this time for instituting inquiries into the probability of a sudden and extensive defalcation in the ordinary supply of food, with a view to the adoption of measures calculated to mitigate the evil consequences of such a defalcation. These ministers were, the First Lord of the Treasury, the Secretary of State for the Home Department, and the Lord Lieutenant of Ireland. The correspondence between sir Robert Peel and sir James Graham goes on from the 12th of August to the 15th of October amidst alternations of hope and alarm. On the 15th, the official reports having assumed a very serious aspect, sir Robert writes—"My letter on the awful state of the potato crop in Ireland crossed yours to me. . . . Interference with the due course of the laws respecting the supply of food is so momentous and so lasting in its consequences, that we must not act without the most accurate information. I fear the worst." To obtain the most accurate information the prime minister dis-

* Raikes's "Diary," vol. iv. pp. 423-424.

† "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel" p. 107.

patched to Ireland two men eminent in their respective departments of science—Professor Lindley and Dr. Lyon Playfair. The reports of the Botanist and the Chemist were not calculated to mitigate the apprehensions of official and other observers in Ireland. The Secretary of the Irish Agricultural Improvement Society had obtained proofs that the entire potato crop was more or less affected in every part of the country; and he says that a panic had seized all parties to a greater extent than he ever remembered since the cholera. The alarm in Scotland was also great and rapidly increasing. Sir James Graham clearly sees what is at hand. “The Anti-Corn-Law pressure is about to commence, and it will be the most formidable movement in modern times.”

Such was the information which sir Robert Peel had to lay before the Cabinet on the 31st of October. He told his colleagues in a Cabinet memorandum that “inaction—the letting things take their own course—seems to me impossible. . . . Inaction and indifference might involve the country in serious danger, and the government in the heaviest responsibility.” It is unnecessary here to go through the various suggestions of the memorandum. The prime minister found few inclined to deal boldly with the danger: “It became evident that very serious differences of opinion existed, as to the necessity of adopting any extraordinary measures, and as to the character of the measures which it might be advisable to adopt.”* The Cabinet separated, fixing another meeting for the 6th of November. The accounts received in the interim were not of a nature to allay the apprehensions of the week before. At that Cabinet sir Robert Peel proposed to issue immediately an Order in Council, remitting the duty on grain in bond to one shilling; opening the ports to the temporary admission of all grain at a smaller rate of duty. He further proposed to call parliament together on the 27th to ask for indemnity, and to declare an intention of submitting immediately after the recess a modification of the existing Corn-Laws. Three only of his colleagues gave their support to the First Lord of the Treasury—the earl of Aberdeen, sir James Graham, and Mr. Sidney Herbert. On the 2nd of November lord Stanley wrote to sir Robert Peel to express the regret with which he saw how widely he differed in opinion with sir James Graham and himself, as to the necessity of proposing to parliament a repeal of the Corn-Laws. Sir Robert Peel replied that he had not proposed to the Cabinet that they should recommend to Parliament a repeal of the Corn-Laws; still less that they should offer

* “Memoirs,” p. 148.

their advice to the Queen that the Corn-Laws ought to be abandoned.

On the 22nd of November lord John Russell addressed a public letter to the electors of the city of London, which began with stating precisely the same truth which sir Robert Peel had endeavoured to impress upon his Cabinet. "The present state of the country in regard to its supply of food cannot be viewed without apprehension. Forethought and bold precautions may avert any serious evils—indecision and procrastination may produce a state of suffering which it is frightful to contemplate." He complains that the queen's ministers had met and separated without affording any promise of seasonable relief. He points out that the duties on the importations of corn were so contrived, that "the corn barometer points to fair while the ship is bending under a storm." Then comes a declaration from the leader of the Whig party which forbids all further advocacy of "fixed duty" in opposition to "sliding scale." "I confess that on the general subject my views have in the course of twenty years undergone a great alteration. I used to be of opinion that corn was an exception to the general rules of political economy; but observation and experience have convinced me that we ought to abstain from all interference with the supply of food. Neither a Government nor a Legislature can ever regulate the corn market with the beneficial effects which the entire freedom of sale and purchase are sure of themselves to produce." It was no longer worth while, he said, to contend for a fixed duty; the imposition at present of any duty without a provision for its speedy extinction, would only prolong a contest already sufficiently fruitful of animosity and discontent. "Let us then unite to put an end to a system which has been proved to be the blight of commerce, the bane of agriculture, the source of bitter division among classes, the cause of penury, fever, mortality, and crime among the people." Sir Robert Peel prints this letter in his Memoirs with this observation:—"It was asserted by many who were careless about the foundation of their assertions, that I had been influenced in the advice which I offered to the Cabinet by the appearance of this letter. A simple reference to dates will prove that this could not have been the case." *

On the 25th and 26th of November the Cabinet was occupied in the discussion of instructions to be given to the Commission which had been appointed, for the consideration and adoption of such measures as might tend to mitigate the evil consequences of

* *i.* Memoirs," p. 179.

the apprehended scarcity. These instructions were unanimously approved of by the Cabinet. Sir Robert Peel felt that the danger to be apprehended was so fully admitted and was set forth in such strong terms in the letter of the Secretary of State to the Lord Lieutenant, that it was "difficult to reconcile the issue of this letter with passiveness and inaction in respect to the means of increasing the supply of food." Before the instructions, therefore, contained in the letter were finally assented to by the Cabinet, he read to them a memorandum, of which the opening sentence was quite sufficient to indicate the final tendency of his opinions: "I cannot consent to the issue of these instructions, and undertake at the same time to maintain the existing Corn-Laws." On the 29th of November he put in circulation amongst his colleagues a memorandum, addressed in the first instance to the duke of Wellington, in which "are contained the reasons which induce me to advise the suspension of the existing Corn-Laws for a limited period." The answer of the duke of Wellington is very characteristic. He was of opinion that the government should avoid to break down the Corn-Laws till that measure should appear to be absolutely necessary. "But of this I do not entertain a doubt—if it is necessary to suspend the Corn-Laws to avoid real evils resulting from scarcity of food, we ought not to hesitate." The duke then comes to the Party View of the question which sir Robert Peel had not discussed—could he carry on a government for the Queen, supposing that the support of the landed interest were withdrawn from him? The duke was afraid that sir Robert Peel must reckon upon its being withdrawn from him, unless he should be able to show clearly the necessity of the measure in question. "In respect to my own course, my only object in public life is to support sir Robert Peel's administration of the government for the Queen." The duke, if sir Robert Peel thought that his position in parliament and in the public view required that the course should be taken which he recommended, had no hesitation in saying, "I earnestly recommend that the Cabinet should support him, and I for one declare that I will do so." Others of the Cabinet took a very different view. Mr. Goulburn writes to sir Robert Peel,—“an abandonment of your former opinions now would, I think, prejudice your and our characters as public men, and would be fraught with fatal results to the country's best interests. . . . In my opinion the Party of which you are the head is the only barrier which remains against the revolutionary effects of the Reform Bill.” Lord Wharncliffe was of opinion “that the Queen's speech, if it should ultimately be decided to recommend any modification or a tem-

porary suspension of the Corn-Law, should do so in terms which would show our decided intention to uphold the principle of protection in some way or other."

The discussions in the Cabinet continued till the 2nd of December, when sir Robert Peel brought before his colleagues a specific measure for the proposal of a new law which would be "founded upon the principle of the present law, while it continues in operation, but will in the course of that operation ensure the ultimate and not remote extinction of protective duties." He believed that some such measure as he had suggested might receive the assent of all his colleagues. Lord Stanley and the duke of Buccleugh, however, each signified his inability to support a measure involving the ultimate repeal of the Corn-Laws. Sir Robert Peel, thinking that the public interest would be very injuriously affected by the failure of an attempt made by a government to adjust the question of Corn-Laws, repaired to Osborne on the 5th of December, and humbly solicited the Queen to relieve him from duties which he could no longer discharge with advantage to her Majesty's service. The Queen then informed sir Robert Peel that it was her intention to propose to lord John Russell to undertake the formation of a government. In a letter to the Queen, written after the audience, sir Robert Peel stated that if the opinions of his colleagues had been in concurrence with his own, he had been fully prepared to take the responsibility of suspending the Corn-Laws, and of entering upon a comprehensive review of restrictions on the import of foreign grain and other articles of food, with a view to their gradual diminution and ultimate removal. With reference to the proposed new administration he adds—"Sir Robert Peel is prepared to support, in a private capacity, measures which may be in general conformity with those which he advised as a minister."

Lord John Russell was at Edinburgh when a summons reached him to attend the Queen. He went to her Majesty with the conviction that he could not accept the great trust now offered to him. He felt that his party being in a large minority in the Commons, he could not properly undertake the formation of a government. But the offer of sir Robert Peel, to give his assistance in a private capacity towards the settlement of the Corn-Law question, determined lord John Russell to depart from this resolution. There was a week of negotiation as to the specific nature of this limited offer. Sir Robert Peel was convinced that previous concert, or a previous pledge on his part, to support a particular measure of adjustment would be distasteful to the House of Commons, and

embarrassing to all parties. With this view lord John Russell expressed his concurrence ; but required at the same time that sir Robert Peel should give assurances, that would have amounted substantially to a pledge, that he would support the immediate and total repeal of the Corn-Laws. The ex-minister did not feel it to be consistent with his duty to enter upon the consideration of this important question in Parliament, being fettered by a previous engagement of the nature of that required from him. Nevertheless lord John Russell, in the afternoon of the 18th of December, stated to her Majesty at Windsor Castle that he was ready to undertake the formation of a government. In consequence sir Robert Peel was invited by her Majesty to a parting interview on his relinquishment of office. On entering the room at Windsor, on the 20th of December, her Majesty said to him very graciously, "So far from taking leave of you, sir Robert, I must require you to withdraw your resignation, and to remain in my service." Lord John Russell had written to the Queen on the morning of that day that he had found it impossible to form an administration. He had informed the Queen that he had had solely in view the settlement of the question of Corn-Laws ; he admitted that sir Robert Peel had been willing, from the commencement to the end, to diminish the difficulties of a new government in attempting that settlement, although sir Robert Peel could not of course rely upon the support of his political friends. It was therefore necessary, he wrote, that all those who were prominent in the political party to which lord John Russell was attached, should give their zealous aid, and act in concert in the new administration. "Lord John Russell had in one instance been unable to obtain this concert, and he must now consider that task as hopeless which has been from the beginning hazardous." The one instance was that of lord Grey ; he had objected to one proposed appointment, and lord John Russell had been unwilling to admit the force of the objection. We can easily understand how the self-asserting inheritor of a great name should have failed in substantiating his objection to a statesman with the reputation of lord Palmerston. Lord John Russell having absolutely relinquished the formation of a government, sir Robert Peel at once decided, if such were her Majesty's pleasure, on the resumption of his office. He wished, he said, to be able to announce to his late colleagues that he had not hesitated to re-accept the appointment of First Minister. The Cabinet met at Downing-street in the evening of the 20th, and he told them that, whether supported or not, he was firmly resolved to meet parliament as her Majesty's minister, and to propose such measures as the public

exigencies required. Lord Stanley declared that he must persevere in resigning. He thought the Corn-Laws ought to be adhered to, and might have been maintained. The duke of Buccleugh would not at once decide upon resigning. The other members of the Cabinet declared their determination to support sir Robert Peel in the course he had announced to them. The duke of Wellington was the man to admire courage whether civil or military. He told the House of Lords, on the ministerial explanations, "I applauded the conduct of my right honourable friend; I was delighted with it. It was exactly the course which I should have followed myself under similar circumstances, and I therefore determined to stand by him." The new Cabinet enlisted an able coadjutor in lord Dalhousie; and Mr. Gladstone, who had retired from office some time previous, succeeded lord Stanley as Secretary of State for the Colonial Department. Lord Wharncliffe, the President of the Council, had died, during this great crisis, on the 19th of December.

The biographer of sir Robert Peel has described his hesitation in the session of 1845, to apply the strict principles of commercial freedom to the trade in corn, as the "touching perplexity of a sincere and conscientious mind, carried forward in the direction of its own inclination by a great flood of public opinion and passion, and struggling painfully against its adversaries, its friends, and itself." * The "perplexity" was now over. The struggle of the "sincere and conscientious mind" against itself was passed. Six days after sir Robert Peel had declared to the Cabinet that he was again her Majesty's minister, he wrote to the princess Lieven at Paris a short note, in which he casts off the reserve of the statesman to give unrestrained expression to his natural feelings: "However unexpected is the turn which affairs have taken, it is for the best. I resume power with greater means of rendering public service than I should have had if I had not relinquished it. But it is a strange dream." †

It was the 22nd of January, 1846, when the Queen opened the Parliament in person. The Royal Speech necessarily alluded to the failure of the potato crops in Ireland, and to the means that had been adopted for alleviating the sufferings caused by this calamity. Her Majesty had had great satisfaction in giving her consent to the measures for the repeal of prohibitory, and the relaxation of protective, duties. The prosperous state of the reve-

* Guizot—"Memoirs of Sir Robert Peel," p. 250.

† "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 251.

nue, the increased demand for labour, and the general improvement which had taken place in the internal condition of the country, were strong testimonies in favour of the course that had been pursued. "I recommend you," said the Queen, "to take into your early consideration, whether the principles on which you have acted may not with advantage be yet more extensively applied, and whether it may not be in your power, after a careful review of the existing duties upon many articles, the produce or manufacture of other countries, to make such further reductions and remissions as may tend to insure the continuance of the great benefits to which I have adverted." There might have been some doubt as to the interpretation of the words "further reductions and remissions;" but the ministerial explanations of sir Robert Peel and of lord John Russell, in which the details of the negotiations for the formation of a government were fully stated, left no doubt whatever that a free trade in corn, however gradually to be accomplished, was now the great object of sir Robert Peel's administration. After the mover and seconder of the Addresses in the House of Commons had delivered their speeches, sir Robert Peel immediately rose. Having gone through the explanatory details expected from the head of the government after a ministerial crisis, he did not wait for the attacks of those adversaries who were once his submissive friends. He boldly proclaimed his determination to stand free from the trammels of party. "Sir, believe me, to conduct the government of this country is a most arduous duty; I may say it without irreverence, that these ancient institutions, like our physical frames, are 'fearfully and wonderfully made.' It is no easy task to ensure the united action of an ancient monarchy, a proud aristocracy, and a reformed constituency. I have done everything I could do,—and have thought it consistent with true conservative policy,—to reconcile these three branches of the state. I have thought it consistent with true conservative policy to promote so much of happiness among the people that the voice of disaffection should be no longer heard, and that thoughts of the dissolution of our institutions should be forgotten in the midst of physical enjoyment. These were my attempts, and I thought them not inconsistent with true and enlarged conservative policy. These were my objects in accepting office—it is a burden too great for my physical, and far beyond my intellectual, structure; and to be relieved from it with perfect honour would be the greatest favour that could be conferred on me. But as a feeling of honour and a strong sense of duty require me to undertake those responsible functions, I declare, sir, that I am ready to incur these risks,

to bear these burdens, and to front all these honourable dangers. But, sir, I will not take the step with mutilated power and shackled authority. I will not stand at the helm during such tempestuous nights as I have seen, if the vessel be not allowed fairly to pursue the course which I think she ought to take. I will not, sir, undertake to direct the course of the vessel by the observations taken in 1842. I will reserve to myself the marking out of that course; and I must, for the public interest, claim for myself the unfettered power of judging of those measures which I conceive will be better for the country to propose. Sir, I do not wish to be the minister of England; but while I have the high honour of holding that office, I am determined to hold it by no servile tenure. I will only hold that office upon the condition of being unshackled by any other obligations than those of consulting the public interests, and of providing for the public safety." *

This speech was of course accepted as a declaration of war by all those who interpreted Conservative Policy as one almost exclusively directed to the upholding of class interests and class prejudices. Mr. Disraeli, in declaring his intention of adhering to the principles of protection which had sent him into that House, did not attempt to defend those principles, but confined himself, as he did for the greater part of the Session, to the bitterest attacks on the minister who was about to give them their final and irrevocable blow. Sir Robert Peel's conduct, he said, was that of the captain, who having received the command of a fleet from the sultan to attack Mehemet Ali, steered that fleet at once into the enemy's port. Sir Robert Peel, a great statesman, who was always marching after the events of his age! He was just as much a great statesman as he who got up behind a carriage was a great whip. There could be no mistake as to the intentions of the so-called Conservative Party, whether they spoke in the "wild and hurling words" of the brilliant orator, or in the bucolic accents of the lords of many acres. By the mouth of Mr. Miles they gave notice that the "strongest constitutional opposition would be given to the minister's plan, and that every impediment would be made use of to prevent its passing into law." The plan was not yet developed, but its scope and objects were pretty correctly anticipated.

On the 27th of January the avenues of the House of Commons were filled with eager crowds: the seats below the bar were thronged with listeners, amongst whom were prince Albert and the duke of Cambridge; the strangers' gallery was crammed to excess, whilst hundreds who had obtained tickets were unable to get

* Hansard, vol. lxxxiii. col. 94.

admission. His whole plan of financial and commercial policy was developed by sir Robert Peel in a speech of four hours. He said, in the onset, that he was not about to apply the principle of relaxation of protective duties to any one particular class: "I am not about to select that great interest connected with the agriculture of the country, and call upon the landowners to relinquish protection, unprepared at the same time to call upon other protected classes to relinquish protection also. In the confidence that the principle for which I contend is a just and a wise one, I ask all protected interests to make the sacrifice, if it be a sacrifice, which the application of that principle will render necessary."* The duties on tallow and timber were to be extensively reduced; these were the only raw materials still subject to duty. The duties on foreign manufactured goods were to be abolished or reduced. As the silk manufacture had increased in the ratio of the removal of duties, there was now to be a further reduction. On cotton and woollen fabrics duties were to be removed or lessened by one-half. Articles of food were relieved from taxation either wholly or partially. The differential duties on free-labour sugar were abated about fifty per cent. Animal food and vegetables were admitted duty free. One-half of the existing duties on butter, cheese, hops, and cured fish were removed. Live animals were no longer to be subject to any import duty. Here was a tariff which a few years before would have shaken the isle from its propriety; but what a clamour would have gone through the land, echoed at every county meeting and every market table, if a minister had proposed, as sir Robert Peel now proposed, to admit buck-wheat and Indian corn duty free; to submit colonial grain to a merely nominal duty; and altogether to remove protection from every other species of grain at the end of three years. In the interval the duties on grain were to be as follows. When wheat was under 48s. per quarter the duty was to be 10s.; at every rise of a shilling per quarter in the market price the duty was to be a shilling lower; till wheat should be at 54s. and the duty at 4s.; after which the duty should not further change. The existing price of wheat being 54s. the duty would be at once reduced from 16s. to 4s. Some relief of the burthens upon agriculture, involved in an alteration of the law of settlement, a consolidation of highway boards, the removal of the cost of prisoners from the county rates—these and other minor concessions, which were real benefits, were received only with ridicule, in the face of the startling fact that the staff of protection, which had so long been leant upon, would be utterly broken and

* Hansard, vol. lxxxiii. col. 241.

cast away. The philosophical reasoners knew well that the hale man would then be able to walk without his crutch; but the country party were not greatly addicted to philosophical politics. Sir Robert Peel concluded the speech, in which he offered, on the part of the government, these proposals "for the ultimate adjustment of this question by affirming that there had been a great change in the opinion of the great mass of the community with respect to the Corn-Laws." He recommended his plan as a whole to their calm and dispassionate consideration, with no other feeling or interest in its ultimate issue than that it might, to use the words of her Majesty's speech, conduce to promote "friendly feelings between different classes of my subjects, to provide additional security for the continuance of peace, and to maintain contentment and happiness at home, by increasing the comfort and bettering the condition of the great body of my people."

On the 9th of February a debate was begun, which continued twelve nights, on the motion that the Speaker should leave the chair to go into Committee on the Customs and Corn Importation Act. Mr. Miles had proposed as an amendment, "that this House will, upon this day six months, resolve itself into the said Committee." On the fifth night of the discussion, the 16th of February, sir Robert Peel, with even more than his ordinary debating ability, reviewed every species of objection, whether from agriculturists, or shipowners, or manufacturers, that had been raised to the various details of his measure. Towards the conclusion of his speech he warmed into an eloquence such as had been rarely equalled since the days of the great orators of former generations.

"This night is to decide between the policy of continued relaxation of restriction, or the return to restraint and prohibition. This night you will select the motto which is to indicate the commercial policy of England. Shall it be 'advance' or 'recede?' Which is the fitter motto for this great empire? Survey our position; consider the advantage which God and nature have given us, and the destiny for which we are intended. We stand on the confines of Western Europe, the chief connecting link between the old world and the new. The discoveries of science, the improvement of navigation, have brought us within ten days of St. Petersburg, and will soon bring us within ten days of New York. We have an extent of coast greater in proportion to our population and the area of our land than any other great nation, securing to us maritime strength and superiority. Iron and coal, the sinews of manufacture, give us advantages over every rival in the great competition of industry. Our capital far exceeds that which they can com-

mand. In ingenuity—in skill—in energy—we are inferior to none. Our national character, the free institutions under which we live, the liberty of thought and action, an unshackled press, spreading the knowledge of every discovery and of every advance in science—combine with our natural and physical advantages to place us at the head of those nations which profit by the free interchange of their products. And is this the country to shrink from competition? Is this the country to adopt a retrograde policy? Is this the country which can only flourish in this sickly artificial atmosphere of prohibition? Is this the country to stand shivering on the brink of exposure to the healthful breezes of competition?” *

Turning then from commercial freedom in manufactures to commercial freedom in agriculture, he asked if in some future season, when “the years of plenteousness shall have ended,” and “the years of dearth may have come,” would it be no satisfaction to you to reflect, that by your own act you have been relieved from the grievous responsibility of regulating the supply of food? “When you are again exhorting a suffering people to fortitude under their privations; when you are telling them, ‘these are the chastenings of an all-wise and merciful Providence, sent for some inscrutable but just and beneficent purpose—it may be, to humble our pride, or to punish our unfaithfulness, or to impress us with a sense of our nothingness and dependence on His mercy;’ when you are thus addressing your suffering fellow subjects, and encouraging them to bear without repining the dispensations of Providence, may God grant that by your decision of this night, you may have laid in store for yourselves the consolation of reflecting that such calamities are, in truth, the dispensations of Providence—that they have not been caused, they have not been aggravated, by the laws of man, restricting in the hour of scarcity the supply of food!” †

On the 27th of February the debate on Mr. Miles’s amendment on the motion for going into Committee on the Customs and Corn Importation Act, was brought to a conclusion. During the twelve nights of debate, forty-eight members spoke in favour of Free Trade and fifty-five on the side of Protection. To attempt the briefest analysis of the general arguments for the removal of Protection, and of the anticipations of commercial and agricultural ruin if Protection were abolished—arguments now so perfectly understood, and fears now, so thoroughly exploded—would be as wearisome as useless. Upon a division the numbers were, for Mr. Miles’s amendment, 240; against it, 337, being a majority for the

* Hansard, vol. lxxxiii. col. 1041.

† *Ibid.*, col. 1044.

government of 97. The House of Commons went into Committee on the 2nd of March. The various clauses of the proposed Bill were debated with the same earnestness for four nights; when the second reading was carried by a majority of 88. On the third reading there was a debate of three nights. On the 15th of May, at four in the morning, 327 members voted for the third reading; and for an amendment of the marquis of Granby, that the Bill be read that day six months, 229 voted, giving a majority for the third reading of 98. In the House of Lords the Bill was passed with less difficulty than might have been anticipated. The duke of Wellington on the 18th moved the first reading of the Corn Importation Bill, and on the 19th the duke of Buccleugh moved the first reading of the Customs Duties Bill. It is as unnecessary to follow the course of debate in the Lords as in the Commons. The second reading of the Corn Bill was carried by a majority of 47; 211 being for the second reading, against it 164. The second reading of the Customs Duties Bill was carried without a division. The various clauses of the Corn Bill having been debated in Committee, the Bill on the 19th of June was reported without amendments. On the 25th of June both Bills were read a third time and passed; to which on the 26th the royal assent was given by commission. By the Corn Importation Act, that scale of duties, as proposed by the government on the 27th January, was to continue until the 1st of February, 1849; after which day a duty upon grain of one shilling per quarter, and of fourpence-halfpenny per cwt. upon flour and meal, was to be levied, for purely statistical purposes. A revolution, scarcely second in importance to the Reform Bill, was thus accomplished. England entered on a new course of commercial policy; of whose beneficial results the experience of the succeeding sixteen years has left too strong an evidence to allow any but a few devotees of an obsolete creed to abide in "their temples dim."

During the progress through the House of Commons of the measures of commercial freedom, a Bill entitled, "For the Protection of Life in Ireland," which had passed in the House of Lords, was brought under discussion in the House of Commons. It was opposed with the utmost vehemence by the Protectionists, who in the rejection of the measure hailed the prospect of being revenged on sir Robert Peel; and by the majority of the Whigs, who, consistently adhering to their often declared principles upon coercion bills in Ireland, nevertheless saw in the defeat of the government the door opened for their return to power after it had been closed against them for five years. On the 21st of June sir Robert

Peel accurately calculated upon the double event—the passing of the Corn-Law Repeal Bill un mutilated in the House of Lords, and the rejection of the Bill for the Protection of Life in Ireland by the House of Commons. He took a decision at once dignified and patriotic. He addressed a memorandum on the position of the government to the Cabinet, in which he suggested to the deliberate and dispassionate consideration of his colleagues, “to determine whether, after the passing of the Corn and Customs Bills, it would be for the interest of the Crown, of the country, and for the honour and character of the government, that they should remain in office.” He said, with an honesty worthy of all imitation, “a government ought to have a *natural* support; a conservative government should be supported by a conservative party; support from the compassion of its enemies, or even from the personally friendly feelings of those who *ought* on public principle to oppose a government, is a hollow and not a creditable support. Depend upon it that we shall not pass the Irish Bill into a law.” He was decidedly, he told them, of opinion “that we should not fall into the errors of the last Whig government—retain office after we have lost power, or advise a Dissolution with little prospect of securing a majority of members, honestly and cordially concurring with us on great political principles.”* The memorandum being communicated to the other members of the government, there was not the slightest difference of opinion as to the course to be pursued.

There were six nights of debate upon the Irish Bill. On the 25th of June lord George Bentinck, who had for some time filled the office of the aristocratic leader of the Protectionists, expressed himself with a violence towards the Prime Minister that more than rivalled the attacks of his untitled coadjutor. Mr. Cobden answered lord George Bentinck: “I have to say, in contradiction to the noble lord, that if the right honourable baronet chooses to retire from office in consequence of this vote, he carries with him the esteem and gratitude of a larger number of the population of this empire than ever followed any minister that was ever hurled from power.” After Mr. Cobden had spoken the division took place; for the second reading, 219; against it, 292; majority against the government, 73. On the 29th of June the resignation of ministers was announced by sir Robert Peel. He cast a retrospect over the reasons which had influenced his conduct, and the results which had been obtained. “The name,” he said, “which ought to be associated with the success of those measures, is not the name of the noble lord, the organ of the party of which he is

* “Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel,” pp. 238, 297.

the leader, nor is it mine. The name which ought to be, and will be, associated with the success of these measures, is the name of one who, acting, as I believe, from pure and disinterested motives, has, with untiring energy, made appeals to our reason, and has enforced those appeals with an eloquence the more to be admired because it was unaffected and unadorned: it is the name of Richard Cobden." He concluded his speech with those emphatic words which have been inscribed upon the pedestal of more than one monumental tribute of a nation's gratitude:—"It may be that I shall leave a name sometimes remembered with expressions of good will in the abodes of those whose lot it is to labour and to earn their daily bread by the sweat of their brow, when they shall recruit their exhausted strength with abundant and untaxed food, the sweeter because it is no longer leavened with a sense of injustice."

The House of Commons adjourned to the 3rd of July. On the 6th lord John Russell, as First Lord of Treasury, and the other members of the Administration were sworn into office.

From the 16th of July, when, after the change of government, the House of Commons met again for the dispatch of business, to the 28th of August, when parliament was prorogued by commission, the measures passed were various and important. The impending distress in Ireland occupied much of the attention of the Legislature. Two measures of permanent social interest became law. One enactment has worked a most material and salutary change in the power of the people to obtain cheap justice—the establishment of County Courts for the Recovery of Small Debts. The other, directed to important sanitary objects, which received the royal assent on the 26th of August, declares that "it is desirable for the health, comfort, and welfare of the inhabitants of towns and populous districts, to encourage the establishment therein of Public Baths and Washhouses, and open Bathing Places." To town councils and parish vestries is given the power to establish such public conveniences, the expenses of which, beyond the receipts, are to be paid as part of the poor's-rate.

On the 4th of July sir Robert Peel wrote to lord Hardinge, "there is nothing I would not have done to insure the carrying of the measures I had proposed this Session. I pique myself on never having proposed anything which I have not carried; but the moment their success was insured, and I had the satisfaction of seeing two drowsy Masters in Chancery mumble out at the table of the House of Commons that the Lords had passed the Corn and

Customs Bills I was satisfied. Two hours after this intelligence was brought we were ejected from power; and, by another coincidence as marvellous, on the day on which I had to announce in the House of Commons the dissolution of the Government, the news arrived that we had settled the Oregon question, and that our proposals had been accepted by the United States without the alteration of a word."*

The settlement of the Oregon question was announced to the House of Lords on the 29th by the earl of Aberdeen. He made a brief statement of what had occurred since the President of the United States, Mr. Polk, had sent his message to the Senate with a direct refusal of the repeated proposals of the British Government to submit the whole question to arbitration. When lord Aberdeen afterwards saw that the Senate and House of Representatives had adopted Resolutions of a conciliatory and friendly description, he at once put aside all idea of diplomatic etiquette, and sent over to the British minister the draft of a convention to be proposed for the acceptance of the United States' Government. The President having submitted this draft for the opinion of the Senate, that body, by a majority of thirty-eight votes to twelve, adopted a Resolution advising the President to accept the terms proposed. Mr. Buchanan, the American Secretary of State, accordingly informed our minister that the conditions offered were accepted, "without the addition or alteration of a single word."†

This settlement of the Oregon question relieved the British nation and the government from a long-continued cause of anxiety. In 1845 Mr. Polk, in his inaugural address, affirmed that it was his duty "to assert and maintain by all constitutional means, the right of the United States to that portion of our territory which lies beyond the Rocky Mountains. Our title to the country of the Oregon is clear and unquestionable; and already are our people preparing to perfect their title by occupying it with their wives and children."‡ The value of the Oregon territory was so little understood when the treaty of peace was concluded in 1783, that no provision whatever was made for its occupation. Forty years later the claims of the British government and that of the United States to this region had become so opposed, that lord Castlereagh said to Mr. Rush, the American minister, that by holding up a finger a war regarding Oregon might be produced between the two countries. During the foreign secretaryship of Mr. Canning the apprehension of hostilities became greater. In 1818 a convention had

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 309.

† Hansard, vol. lxxxvii. col. 1038.

‡ "Annual Register for 1845," p. 279.

been entered into, by which the whole territory was to be open to the settlers of both countries for a period of ten years; but the agreement was not to be construed to the prejudice of any claim which either of the contracting parties might have to any part of the country. In 1844 Mr. Calhoun, Secretary of State at Washington, explained his reasons for declining proposals made by the British government, in a state paper, in which he contended that times so far from impairing the American claims had greatly strengthened them, by the rapid advance of the population of the United States towards that territory. An emigration, estimated at not less than a thousand in 1843 and fifteen hundred in 1844, had flowed into it, and that the current thus commenced would continue to flow with increased volume hereafter. "There can, then, be no doubt now, that the operation of the same cause which impelled our population westward from the shores of the Atlantic across the Alleghany to the valley of the Mississippi, will impel them onward with accumulating force across the Rocky Mountains into the valley of the Columbia, and that the whole region drained by it is destined to be peopled by us."* In 1845 the President in a public address while negotiations were pending, referred, contrary to all usage, to other contingencies than a friendly and satisfactory termination of the differences between the two governments. Upon this declaration sir Robert Peel expressed himself with deep regret that such a reference should be made in a tone and temper which was not likely to lead to an amicable and equitable settlement of the differences. We have rights, he said, respecting this territory of Oregon clear and unquestionable. "We trust still to arrive at an amicable adjustment—we desire to effect an amicable adjustment of our claim—but having exhausted every effort to effect that settlement, if our rights should be invaded we are resolved—and we are prepared—to maintain them." †

We have thus briefly traced the course of those differences which were happily concluded before the administration of affairs was handed over by the Peel government to that of lord John Russell. The territory in dispute has now become the rising colony of British Columbia. In looking to the probable extensive emigration in a few years to this vast territory—in many parts fertile, abundantly watered by numerous streams, and most attractive in adding one more to our gold-productive colonies,—we are struck by an eloquent prediction of the great modern orator of the United States, which was made at Boston three months after the speech of sir Robert Peel on the Oregon question. The anticipation of Mr.

* "Annual Register for 1845," p. 288.

† Hansard, vol. lxxxi. col. 199.

Webster carries us forward to the consideration of a Future of far greater significance than the disputes of the Past. "I believe that it is in the course of Providence, and of human destiny, that a great state is to arise, of English and American descent, whose power will be established over the country on the shores of the Pacific; and that all those rights of natural and political liberty, all those great principles that both nations have inherited from their fathers, will be transmitted through us to them, so that there will exist at the mouth of the Columbia, or more probably farther south, a great Pacific republic, a nation where our children may go for a residence, separating themselves from this government, and forming an integral part of a new government, half-way between England and China; in the most healthful, fertile, and desirable portion of the globe, and quite too far remote from Europe and from this side of the American continent to be under the governmental influence of either country. This state of things is by no means so far off as we may imagine—by no means so remote from the present time as may be supposed; and, looking to this state of things, this question becomes one upon which intelligent and well-disposed men might very readily come to an agreement."*

In writing to lord Hardinge on the 4th of July, sir Robert Peel mentions "our reception in England of your closing exploits on the Sutlej." In the royal speech, on the prorogation, the Queen congratulated her parliament on the victorious course and happy conclusion of the war in India, and announced that perfect tranquillity prevailed throughout the whole of the British possessions in that quarter of the world. It was in December, 1845, when the British occupied most of the southern bank of the Sutlej, that the Sikh army began to cross the river for the purpose of making an attack on the British frontier. Runjeet Sing, the ruler of the Sikhs and the Punjaub, had, up to the time of his death in 1839, always continued on terms of amity with the British government. His son, Kurruck Sing, who died in 1840, was the ruler of the country, his elder brother being imbecile. An illegitimate son of Runjeet Sing, who succeeded to the government, was assassinated in 1843. His son, being very young, the mother assumed the power, giving her favourite, Lall Sing, the appointment of Vizier. There was no strong hand, as in the days of Runjeet Sing, to restrain the army, and thus, having crossed to the southern bank of the Sutlej, they took up an intrenched position at the village of Ferozeshah. In this camp the Sikhs had a force of more than 50,000 men, with 108 pieces of cannon. The British force, under the commander-in-chief,

* "Annual Register for 1845," p. 301.-

sir Hugh Gough, was advancing from Umballah in order to relieve Ferozepoor, held by sir J. Littler, which had been partially invested. On the 18th of December the British army reached Moodkee, and that evening repulsed an attack of the Sikhs. In this battle sir Robert Sale received a wound, of which he died two months afterwards. The British army, consisting of 16,700 men, and 69 guns, then marched towards Ferozepoor. Sir Henry Hardinge accompanied the army. Up to the time of the Sikhs crossing the Sutlej he had carefully avoided every demonstration which could be construed into an act of hostility. It was now his clear duty to repel aggression, and he put into the performance of that duty all that energy of a chivalrous nature which had so illustrated his career in the Peninsular war. Waving the claims of his rank as Governor-General, he offered to sir Hugh Gough to serve under him as second in command. On the 21st and 22nd of December was fought the great battle of Ferozeshah. The British attacked the intrenched camp on the evening of the 21st. The resistance of the Sikhs was most obstinate. Night closed before the British had become masters of a part of the intrenched quadrangle. Their situation was one of considerable peril. The British reserves had been engaged to the last man; the troops had fought a desperate battle after a long march; they were wearied, and had little food. A writer, who had access to special information, has given an animated description of the night which followed the attack on the intrenched camp. "Side by side, with the dying and the dead, the living lay down. They strove to sleep; some of them did sleep in spite of cold, hunger, thirst, and, worse than all, the cries and groans of their wounded comrades. And all around them and above, the horizon was illuminated with the flames of burning huts, exploding shells, tumbrils, ammunition carts, and occasionally a mine. Moreover, they suffered, even then, from a constant fire of artillery, which became at one time so annoying—where the Governor-General was in person—that he was forced to order two regiments, the 70th and 1st Bengal Europeans, to charge with the bayonet. But sir Henry Hardinge and sir Hugh Gough knew no rest at all; they went about from corps to corps, animating the men and cheerfully demonstrating to the officers that there was no alternative but victory or death."*

"The long night wore away," wrote sir Hugh Gough, "and dawned the morning of the 22nd." The line was again formed. All the artillery of the British was brought up to the attack, but this arm of war was over-matched by the heavy cannon of the Sikh

* "Quarterly Review," vol. lxxviii. p. 203.

batteries. Relying upon the unfailing British weapon, the bayonet, the line advanced. The two commanders rode in front. The inner works were carried; the troops entered the village. But the day was not yet gained. The Sikh army of reserve had marched from the camp above Ferozepoor early in the morning, and their advanced guard became engaged with our cavalry. They however drew off, but soon again appeared in tremendous force. Very touching are the words in which the veteran commander-in-chief described his feelings: "The only time I felt a doubt was towards the evening of the 22nd, when the fresh enemy advanced with heavy columns of infantry, cavalry, and guns; and our cavalry horses were so thoroughly done up that they would not command even a trot. For a moment then I felt regret (and I deeply deplore my want of confidence in Him who never failed me nor forsook me) as each passing shot left me on horseback: but it was only for a moment." The cavalry movement, with jaded horses and exhausted men, decided the struggle. A panic seized the Sikhs, who believed the British were going to fall on their flank in force. They abandoned their guns, and retreated across the Sutlej. The British in that terrible struggle, almost unmatched in Indian warfare, lost 2415 men, with many distinguished officers. The European regiments were fearfully thinned. Of these the 62nd left half its numbers on the field.

Having crossed the Sutlej the Sikhs again took up a fortified position. The British army were so destitute of ammunition that they were unable to prevent the Sikhs again establishing a portion of their army on the left bank of the river. They intrenched themselves near the village of Alliwall to prevent sir Harry Smith's communication with the main army. With 12,000 men and thirty-two guns he attacked them, carried their camp by storm, captured the whole of their cannon and munitions of war, and drove the entire force precipitately across the Sutlej.

On the 10th of February was fought the great battle of Sobraon. The Sikhs had here intrenched themselves on the southern bank, having constructed a bridge of boats across the river. The victory of Alliwall had enabled sir Harry Smith to unite with the main army. A siege train had arrived from Delhi. Sir Hugh Gough was strong enough to attack the Sikhs, at some moment when the accidents of climate would permit him fairly to engage the enemy. He waited for a fall of rain to swell the ford, or a thaw of snow upon the hills, which would deprive them of any means of crossing except by their bridge of boats. A fall of rain came; the ford was impracticable. The Sikhs, dislodged from

their intrenchments, retreated across the bridge, which broke down with the weight of the masses, having lost 13,000 men and sixty-seven guns. The British loss was about 2000 killed and wounded. On the 20th of February Lahore, the capital, was occupied; Dhuleep Sing, the young Maharajah, was reinstated in the city; and a treaty was signed by which he was continued in the relation of a friendly sovereign with the British government.

THE MINISTRY AS FORMED BY LORD JOHN RUSSELL.

CABINET.

Marquis of Lansdowne	Lord President of the Council.
Lord Cottenham	Lord High Chancellor.
Earl of Minto	Lord Privy Seal.
Right Hon. Lord John Russell	First Lord of the Treasury.
Right Hon. Charles Wood	Chancellor of the Exchequer.
Earl of Auckland	First Lord of the Admiralty.
Right Hon. Sir George Grey	Secretary of State for the Home Department.
Right Hon. Viscount Palmerston	Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs.
Earl Grey	Secretary of State for the Colonies.
Right Hon. Sir John Cam Hobhouse	President of the Board of Control.
Earl of Clarendon	President of the Board of Trade.
Right Hon. T. B. Macaulay	Paymaster of the Forces.
Marquis of Clanricarde	Postmaster-General.
Lord Campbell	Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster.
Right Hon. Viscount Morpeth	Woods and Forests.
Right Hon. H. Labouchere	Chief Secretary for Ireland.

NOT OF THE CABINET.

Duke of Wellington	Commander-in-Chief.
Marquis of Anglesey	Master-General of the Ordnance.
Right Hon. Fox Maule	Secretary at War.
Right Hon. T. M. Gibson	Vice-President of the Board of Trade.
H. G. Ward, Esq.	Secretary of the Admiralty.
Right Hon. R. L. Shiel	Master of the Mint.
J. Jervis, Esq.	Attorney-General.
David Dundas, Esq.	Solicitor-General.

GREAT OFFICERS OF STATE.

Earl Fortescue	Lord Steward.
Earl Spencer	Lord Chamberlain.
Duke of Norfolk	Master of the Horse.

IRELAND.

Earl of Bessborough	Lord Lieutenant.
Right Hon. Maziere Brady	Lord High Chancellor.

SCOTLAND.

Right Hon. Andrew Rutherford	Lord Advocate.
Thomas Maitland, Esq.	Solicitor-General.

CHAPTER XVIII.

The great Irish Famine.—The Potato-Rot of 1845 and 1846.—Modes of relief adopted by the Government.—Mr. O'Connell's call upon England for help.—His death.—Munificent Subscriptions.—Irish Landlords and Cottier Tenants.—Navigation Laws suspended.—Ten Hours' Factory Bill.—Abundant Harvest in England.—Monetary Pressure and Panic.—Excitement on the subject of National Defences.—The Duke of Wellington's Letter.—Proposed increase of the Income Tax.—The House of Commons on the night of the 24th of February.—News of the Abdication of Louis Philippe.—Real causes of the overthrow of the French Monarchy.—The Revolution of 1848.—Provisional Government appointed.—Chartism in England revived by the Socialist Revolution.—The tenth of April.—Preparations of the Government.—Physical-force Chartism at an end.—The attempt at Insurrection in Ireland.—The French Republic established in bloodshed.—Paris in a State of Siege.—Prince Louis Napoleon, President.—Convulsions of the Continent.—Progress of Improvement in England.—The Public Health Bill.—The impulse to social amelioration given by Prince Albert.—Free-Trade Banquet at Manchester to celebrate the final extinction of the Corn-Laws.—Meeting of Parliament the next day.—Tranquillity and Loyalty of England contrasted in the Royal Speech with the condition of the Continental Kingdoms.

Postscript.

Tables.—Sovereigns.—Populations.—Occupation of the People.—Census of Education.—Census of Religious Worship.—Revenue and Expenditure.—Commerce.

ONE of the most intelligent and experienced of the official men attached to the Whig Government thus wrote in the beginning of 1848: "The time has not yet arrived at which any man can with confidence say that he fully appreciates the nature and the bearings of that great event which will long be inseparably associated with the year just departed." That event was "the great Irish Famine." This thoughtful writer adds, "Unless we are much deceived, posterity will trace up to that famine the commencement of a salutary revolution in the habits of a nation long singularly unfortunate, and will acknowledge that on this, as on many other occasions, Supreme Wisdom has educed permanent good out of transient evil."*

We have seen how the first appearances of the Potato-Rot, which was to deprive the population of Ireland of their too-exclusive article of subsistence, induced that alarm in 1845 which was the turning-point in the memorable change of the policy of sir Robert Peel as to the supply of food for the population of all this kingdom. The disease in the crop of 1845 was not so universal as

* "Edinburgh Review," vol. lxxvii. p. 229. Article "On the Irish Crisis," by Sir Charles Trevelyan.

to prevent a considerable portion being saved; but the quantity that was found unfit for food when the store-pits were opened in 1846, fully testified to the wisdom of the step which sir Robert Peel took upon his own responsibility before he quitted office, of giving a commission to a great mercantile house to purchase a hundred thousand pounds' worth of Indian corn in the American markets. That supply was intrusted to Irish commissariat officers in the spring of 1846, to sell from various depôts at a moderate price, wherever the ordinary supplies of food were found to be deficient. The necessity for something more effectual than even such a timely but partial supply was soon apparent. In 1846 the fatal potato blight took place earlier, and was much more destructive, than in 1845. Father Mathew, the great temperance apostle of Ireland, has described how, on the 27th of July, he saw in a journey from Cork to Dublin the doomed plant blooming in all the luxuriance of an abundant harvest; but how, on his return on the 3rd of August, he beheld one wide waste of putrefying vegetation: "In many places the wretched people were seated on the fences of their decaying gardens, wringing their hands, and wailing bitterly the destruction that had left them foodless." The experience of the partial failure of 1845 had not taught the people that it was dangerous to depend upon one species of food alone. The great proportion of the early culture of 1846 was that of potatoes. Towards the end of July the potatoes began to show symptoms of the disease of the previous year. There was first a little brown spot on the leaf; the spots gradually increased in number and size, until the foliage withered, and the stem became brittle. Then, although the stalks remained green, the leaves suddenly blackened; the growth of the root was arrested; the staple food of a nation had perished. No potatoes were that year pitted. Those that were of any value when dug up were immediately sold or consumed, in the natural apprehension that they would rot. The autumn came, and it was now found that the potato crop had, with very few local exceptions, universally and entirely failed.

Before the distress in Ireland had reached its height—before the word "Famine" was the only term which could express the real state of human beings who would die for want of food if help were not bestowed upon them—the government of lord John Russell had devised various modes for the relief of sufferings and privations which were, even in their early stage, far more extensive than those which had been caused by the failure of the potato crop in various years during the previous quarter of a century.

On the 28th of August, 1846, the royal assent was given to

three Acts especially directed to meet the inevitable failure of the great Irish esculent. They were Acts for the employment of the poor in the distressed districts for a limited period; for providing additional funds for provisional loans and grants for public works; and for authorizing a further issue of money in aid of public works. The application of these measures was a tentative process. The Labour Rate Act at the beginning of September was directed to be applied to twenty-four districts, proclaimed to be in a state of distress. At the beginning of October this measure was found ineffectual, and the Lord-Lieutenant issued a circular authorizing the undertaking of works of permanent utility. In the House of Commons, on the 20th of January, 1847, lord John Russell described how the Labour Rate Act and other acts of the last session had worked. An immense staff of servants, upwards of eleven thousand, had been employed to furnish labour to half a million of adults, representing two millions of souls, at an expense estimated for January of 800,000*l.* It was difficult to find trustworthy servants, and to prevent the improper employment upon the works of persons by no means destitute. It was desirable for the government to adopt new measures; to form Relief Committees empowered to receive subscriptions and levy rates, and to be intrusted with donations from the State. Out of the sums thus raised they were to purchase food, and deliver rations to the famishing inhabitants. The system of affording relief through public works had utterly broken down; the payment of money was evidently liable to gross abuse; and undrest food, such as meal, might be exchanged for less needful articles by the improvident. Rations of cooked food offered the most effectual mode of relieving the helpless and prostrate people. Then began that beneficial system which was limited by the Act to the 1st of October, and which reached its highest point in July, 1847, when upwards of three millions of persons received separate rations. The Famine was stayed. The harvest was approaching in which the staple food was not affected by the disease. Impressively it has been said by sir Charles Trevelyan—"This enterprise was in truth the grandest attempt ever made to grapple with famine over a whole country. Organized armies, amounting altogether to some hundreds of thousands, had been rationed before; but neither ancient nor modern history can furnish a parallel to the fact, that upwards of three millions of persons were fed every day in the neighbourhood of their own homes, by administrative arrangements emanating from and controlled by one central office."*

* *Edinburgh Review*, vol. lxxxiii. p. 269

The last time that the voice of Daniel O'Connell was heard in Parliament was on the 8th of February, 1847. He called upon the House of Commons to do something speedy and efficacious—some great act of national generosity, calculated upon a broad and liberal scale. "Ireland is in your hands—in your power. If you do not save her, she cannot save herself." The great demagogue was dying. The wretched parodists of his system of agitation, which was powerful for good as for evil—the ranting and swaggering young Irishmen, who, as Charles Lamb said of the early dramatists, used blood as they would "the paint of the property-man in the theatre"—had separated from him when he declared against the employment of physical force to obtain the Repeal of the Union. In the famine he perhaps clearly saw how social evils had been neglected for the advocacy of purely political objects very uncertain in their possible benefits for the future, and deeply injurious in their present tendency to put aside all real improvement. He died at Genoa on the 15th of May. Had he lived he would have seen and acknowledged how England had answered, not merely his call upon her generosity, but had yielded at once and wholly to the cry that had gone up to Heaven from the afflicted land, and had stretched forth her hand to succour and to save. Distressing as it must be, even at this distance of time, to look back upon the amount of human misery produced by this national calamity, there were circumstances connected with its relief that we can regard with pride and admiration. The noble exertions of public officers and private individuals, the unstinting employment of the pecuniary resources of the government, the munificence of the subscriptions sent to Ireland from the sister kingdom, the tender sympathy with the sufferers of every true English heart—these might be considered by a captious few as the mere payment of a debt from the happier island; but if so the payment was large and liberal, and such as could scarcely have been anticipated, even by those who best understood the English character. Captain Mann, an officer of the Coast Guard, who had seen the whole course of this great affliction, and who, when the worst was over, said, "I frequently look back upon it as a fearful and horrid dream," was of opinion that "such sufferings, and such help, cannot be easily forgotten." The Government in 1846 and 1847 advanced more than seven millions of money. The British Association for the Relief of the Distress in Ireland and Scotland applied to Ireland half a million sterling of the subscriptions raised. The Society of Friends collected in addition 168,000*l.*; and many persons in England contributed largely, independently

of any association. Sir Robert Peel, in his Cabinet Memorandum of November 1, 1845, thought there would be no hope of contributions here for Irish relief. He apprehended that the charitable would have Monster meetings and Repeal rent too present to their minds to make any great exertions.* All such feelings quickly passed away. England did her duty. "In the hour of her utmost need, Ireland became sensible of an union of feeling and interest with the rest of the empire, which would have moved hearts less susceptible of every generous and grateful emotion than those of her sons and daughters." † It was during the eventful years from 1846 to 1850 that the vast amount of Emigration took place which has been called the Irish Exodus. Before the gold discoveries in Australia, the emigrants from England and Scotland were comparatively few. "It is to Ireland that we must chiefly ascribe the departure during those five years, of a million and a quarter of emigrants from the ports of the United Kingdom, three-fourths of whom went to the United States." ‡

Sir James Graham wrote to Sir Robert Peel at the commencement of the alarm with respect to the potato crop, "A great national risk is always incurred when a population so dense as that of Ireland subsists on the potato; for it is the cheapest and the lowest food, and if it fail no substitute can be found for starving multitudes." § Why was the population of Ireland driven to subsist on the cheapest and the lowest food? Why was the agriculture of the South and West in a neglected state, little wheat being grown, with oats inferior for milling purposes, and green crops almost unknown? Why was the sole foundation for a sufficiency of food the renting of a bit of land on which a family could be located in a miserable cabin; the population rapidly increasing, inasmuch as land used for raising potatoes will support three times the number as the same land laid down to corn will support? These small tenures paid the landlord a higher rent than could have been obtained by letting to cultivators on a large scale. The rent of the cottier tenantry was always forthcoming, either in money or in kind. This certain payment, however delayed, was the absolute condition of their precarious existence upon the cheapest and lowest food. Too often, even in the best times, they were standing on the margin of absolute want, especially when they were waiting for the ingathering of their crop. It was as

* Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 143.

† Sir Charles Trevelyan—"Edinburgh Review," vol. lxxvii. p. 316.

‡ See the Twenty-second Report of the Emigration Commissioners, 1862. Table, p. 65.

§ "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 114.

truly as boldly said, by a great political economist, soon after the Irish crisis—"With individual exceptions (some of them very honourable ones), the owners of Irish estates do nothing for the land but drain it of its produce." He had previously said—"In no sound theory of private property was it ever contemplated that the proprietor of land should be merely a sinecurist quartered on it."* Mr. O'Connell, in the speech we have quoted, replied to some such inference:—"It is asserted that the Irish landlords do not do their duty. Several of them have done their duty; others have not. . . . But recollect how incumbered is the property of Ireland, how many of her estates are in Chancery, how many in the hands of trustees." The legislature did recollect this; and in 1849 passed the Act by which a Commission for the sale of Incumbered Estates was established. From the beneficial operations of this Commission, and through a better spirit infused into the proprietors of unincumbered estates, much of the land has come into the hands of skilful cultivators; and that race of cottier tenantry, of whose family one or more of its members was supported by beggary, has in great part vanished. Religiously and wisely did the Society of Friends in Ireland regard the mysterious dispensation with which their country had been visited in the blight of the potato, "as a means permitted by an All-wise Providence to exhibit more strikingly the unsound state of its social condition."

The question of the probable scarcity of food, not only in Ireland and in the Highlands and Isles of Scotland but in the kingdom generally, was very early in the session brought before parliament; upon a proposal of the government for the total suspension of all import duties upon corn, and of the Navigation Laws through which importation was restricted. There was very little opposition to this measure. The Protectionists were paralyzed by the fearful presence of the Irish famine, which forbade the consideration of class interests whilst three millions of people were crying out for food. Sir Robert Peel has justly said, that if subsequent events could fairly be taken into account, the various measures taken by parliament to mitigate the sufferings of 1846 and 1847, and "the hurried suspension of the Navigation Laws and of the remaining duties on articles of subsistence, would exercise no unfavourable influence on the opinion which might be formed on the precautionary measures of 1846." Unanimously as these measures were passed, as an absolute and uncontrollable necessity, there was scarcely a debate during the session in which

* John Stuart Mill—"Principles of Political Economy," book ii. chap. ii. sect. 6.

the Repeal of the Corn-Laws, and the abolition or reduction of Protective Duties, were not denounced as causes of present injury and of future mischief. When the Budget was brought forward, lord George Bentinck denied that free-trade had caused any increased consumption, as affirmed by the Chancellor of the Exchequer, except in slave-grown sugar and in foreign silks; and he read an address from the Spitalfields weavers to himself, which thus concluded:—"We entertain the idea that had your lordship possessed the reins of government, the people of Ireland would not have perished to the extent they have, because we conceive that your lordship would not have regarded the fashionable principles of political economy, whereby the people might have been saved." His lordship with great complacency affirmed that he agreed with them.

It was during this session that the Ten Hours' Factory Bill was carried upon the motion of Mr. Fielden; the members of the government being divided in opinion, not only upon the general question of this interference with labour, but as to the probable benefit, or rather injury, of limiting factory labour to ten hours or to eleven hours. Sir Robert Peel was strongly opposed to restrictions of labour which might lessen the power of the operatives to command the material necessaries and comforts of life. It had been said that a diminution of the hours of labour would tend to the moral and intellectual improvement of the great labouring class. "I firmly believe," said Sir Robert Peel, "that the source of the future peace, happiness, and prosperity of this country, lies in the improvement, religious as well as moral, of the different classes of society; but it is thus in advocating the elevation of the people that I oppose these restrictions. I do not deny the advantage of leisure; but of this I am perfectly convinced, that the real way to improve the condition of the labourer, and to elevate the character of the working classes of this country, is to give them a command over the necessaries of life." When the Bill went to the House of Lords, lord Brougham laughed at the idea of passing the Bill for the sake of mental improvement. After ten hours' work a man was too tired for the task of self-cultivation. He had been trying to educate the peasantry for these twenty-five years, and his constant competitor and antagonist, by which he had always been defeated, was—Sleep.

On the 23rd of July Parliament was prorogued by the Queen. Her Majesty, after announcing her intention immediately to dissolve the present parliament, said—"I rely with confidence on the loyalty to the throne, and attachment to the free institutions of this country, which animate the great body of my people. I join

with them in supplications to Almighty God that the dearth by which we have been afflicted may, by Divine blessing, be converted into cheapness and plenty."

The prayer was heard. On the 17th of October thanksgivings were offered up in all the churches of England for the abundant harvest. But in a country of such large and complicated relations as Great Britain, the transition from dearth to plenty cannot produce that universal gladness which is felt in simpler communities, when the garners are newly filled, and the privations of the summer and the dread of the winter are removed in a bounteous autumn. In September and October there had been such a pressure upon the merchants and traders as had not been experienced since the great panic of 1825. Mercantile houses in London of the highest eminence suspended their payments. Corresponding disasters occurred at Manchester, Liverpool and Glasgow. All the usual accommodation in the Money Market was at an end. In October the alarm swelled into a general panic; the crash of eminent houses went on in London; in the country, not only mercantile firms but banks were failing; the funds fell rapidly; exchequer bills were at a high rate of discount. On the 19th of October a deputation from the bankers, merchants and shipowners of Liverpool addressed the First Lord of the Treasury on the necessity for some remedial measures. On the 23rd a deputation of London Bankers urged upon the government to grant relief by a suspension of the Bank Charter Act of 1844. On the 25th of October a letter was addressed, signed by lord John Russell and the Chancellor of the Exchequer, to the Governor and Deputy-Governor of the Bank of England, in which it was announced that "her Majesty's Government have come to the conclusion that a time has arrived when they ought to attempt, by some extraordinary and temporary measure, to restore confidence to the mercantile and manufacturing community." They recommended, therefore, the Directors of the Bank of England to enlarge the amount of their discounts and advances upon approved security, charging a high rate of interest. The letter added, "if this course should lead to any infringement of the existing law, her Majesty's Government will be prepared to propose to Parliament on its meeting a Bill of Indemnity." The recommendation was immediately acceded to by the Bank of England. There was a partial restoration of confidence; but the ruin had been too widely spread not to make its effects long felt by the mercantile and manufacturing classes, and thus, by abridging the capital engaged in the employment of labour, extending the suffering to the class least able to endure it.

The New Parliament was opened on the 18th of November. Mr. Charles Shaw Lefevre was re-elected Speaker. On the 23rd the royal speech was delivered by Commission. It announced that although the course recommended by Ministers to the Bank of England might have led to an infringement of the law, the law had not been infringed. It went on to say that the alarm had subsided; that the pressure on the banking and commercial interests had been mitigated; and that the abundant harvest with which the country had been blessed had alleviated the evils which always accompany a want of employment in the manufacturing districts. On the 30th of November, the Chancellor of the Exchequer, in a speech in which he defended the government interference with the Bank Act of 1844, ascribed the recent pressure to the continued drain of available capital for the purchase of foreign corn and for the construction of railways. He moved the appointment of a Select Committee "to inquire into the causes of the recent commercial distress, and how far it has been affected by the laws for regulating the issue of Bank-notes payable on demand." After three nights of debate the motion was agreed to. It is unnecessary to follow the course of that debate. A law was passed for the Prevention of Crime and Outrage in Ireland; and a Bill to remove the Civil Disabilities of the Jews was read a first time, the second reading being deferred till the 7th of February. The measure proposed by lord John Russell was occasioned by the return at the general election of the baron Rothschild as one of the members for the City of London. It was first presented in the shape of a Resolution, affirming the eligibility of Jews to all functions and offices to which Roman Catholics were admissible by law. The Prime Minister, who was the colleague of baron Rothschild vindicated his measure in a speech of great eloquence and historical research. He was opposed by sir Robert Inglis and lord Ashley; he was supported by Mr. Gladstone and Mr. Disraeli.

On the 20th of December it was moved and carried in both Houses that Parliament should be adjourned to the 3rd of February.

When Parliament met after the Recess, there was existing in the country an unusual excitement on the subject of our national defences. Many may remember how the great domestic festival of Christmas was somewhat marred that season by a letter of the earl of Ellesmere which appeared in the "Times" of the 25th of December, 1847. From the 10th of October, 1846, when the King of the French and M. Guizot, his minister, by a stroke of policy intended to circumvent the supposed designs of the British govern-

ment, secretly prepared and hastily accomplished the double marriage of the Queen of Spain with the Infante of Spain, the duke of Cadiz, and of the Infanta with the duke de Montpensier, the son of Louis Philippe, it was evident that between the two Courts of France and England there would no longer be friendship. There had been too much bad faith on the part of the King to permit the old relations to continue, which had existed during the days of the *entente cordiale* at Windsor Castle and at the Chateau d'Eu. M. Guizot exaggerated the importance to France of the Spanish alliance. He has said, that he had openly laid down the principle that the throne of Spain should not pass from the House of Bourbon, and that he secured its triumph when it was on the point of defeat.* The British Government perhaps took an equally exaggerated view of a new Family Compact, in being, as M. Guizot infers, more influenced by memory and imagination than enlightened by observation; the Past casting its mighty shadows over their minds, and leading them to waste their strength in the pursuit or avoidance of phantoms.† Whether the apprehension of the mischief that was especially provided against by the Allied Powers in 1814 was well-founded or unreasonable, the correspondence between the two governments became altered in its character; and the English people, with a natural hatred of trickery, and with peculiar feelings of disgust at some circumstances connected with the marriage of Queen Isabella to the Infante, from that time forth ceased to regard Louis Philippe with the sentiments of respect and confidence which once prevailed. When lord John Russell, therefore, in making his financial statement for the year exhibited a probable excess of expenditure beyond revenue, occasioned by the Irish famine and by the commercial panic, he said it was obvious that the deficiency must be met by taxation, or by great reductions in the army and navy. He adverted to a Letter of the duke of Wellington, on our National Defences, which had been described by a foreign writer, ‡ animated by the most friendly feelings toward England, as a pamphleteering reply to the Prince de Joinville. Nothing, he continued, could be more foreign to the intentions of the duke of Wellington, or could have given him greater pain, than the publication of sentiments which he had confidentially expressed to a brother officer. The duke had communicated to the government what he considered to be a deficiency in our defences; but it was far from his wish to make any public appeal, or to exasperate relations between England and other

* Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir R. Peel," p. 336.

† *Ibid.*, p. 330.

‡ M. Chevallier.

countries. It was clear from the statements of lord John Russell that France was the country from which danger was apprehended. "Under a king," he said, "who was a sincere lover of peace, since 1833 the active preparations and increase of the naval force of France had been very extensive." The proposition of the government was to increase the Military, Naval and Ordnance estimates by 358,000*l.*; to lay the foundation of a Militia by a grant of 150,000*l.*; and to meet the probable deficiency of revenue by increasing the Income Tax to 5 per cent. The proposed armament was moderate, compared with the public alarm on the subject of invasion; but it was evident that a reduction of expenditure would be strenuously demanded by the union of two very opposite parties—the Free-traders and the country gentlemen. The House of Commons was debating these subjects, when the question whether we could look to the professed friendship of Louis Philippe as our security for peace, or prepare for a rupture arising out of his bitter remembrance of our dissatisfaction with his schemes for the aggrandizement of his house, suddenly received a solution most unexpected—pregnant with far higher consequences than the success or the failure of an obsolete policy, totally out of character with the altered condition of society in which a king could no longer say—"I am the State."

Mr. Cobden, in his "Historical Episode,"—which, however decided may be the bias of his opinions, exhibits many of the qualifications of the historian,—has preserved the recollection of a scene of singular interest. "On the evening of the 24th of February, 1848, whilst the House of Commons was in session, a murmur of conversation suddenly arose at the door, and spread throughout the House, when was witnessed—what never occurred before or since, in the writer's experience—a suspension for a few minutes of all attention to the business of the House, whilst every member was engaged in close and earnest conversation with his neighbour. The intelligence had arrived of the abdication and flight of Louis Philippe, and of the proclamation of the Republic." Mr. Cobden relates a curious anecdote of the impression which this startling intelligence produced upon sir Robert Peel. Mr. Cobden and Mr. Hume were sitting together when the news reached their bench. Mr. Hume stepped across the floor to tell it to the ex-minister; and on returning to his place, repeated the following remarkable words as sir Robert's commentary: "This comes of trying to carry on a government by means of a mere majority of a Chamber, without regard to the opinion out of doors."*

* "The Three Panics," p. 12.

Before we proceed to relate, with necessary brevity, the portentous event which shook Europe to its centre, "with fear of change perplexing monarchs," we feel it due to M. Guizot, who has been accused of precipitating the fall of his sovereign by his conduct in the Spanish marriages, to exhibit his opinion upon this debateable matter: "It has sometimes been said that the Spanish marriages, and the ill-humour which the English government had felt on their account, were not without their influence in this catastrophe. This is a frivolous mistake with regard to its nature and causes; they were altogether internal. It was a social and moral crisis—an earthquake, French at first, and afterwards European, but with which the external relations of States and their Governments had nothing to do." *

The real causes of the "social and moral crisis," by which the government of France was overthrown, were too deeply imbedded in the feelings and opinions of that large portion of the French people who were shut out from an active participation in public affairs, to be at first seen and understood by political observers in England. The proximate causes were on the surface. A very short time sufficed to reveal, what might have been conjectured from the tendency of much of the current literature of the time, that the employers of labour and the receivers of wages had no bond of union or sympathy; were suspicious of each other; were regarding each other as natural enemies,—the one accustomed to view their almost exclusive possession of political power as their exclusive and inalienable right; the other, debarred from civil privileges, hating the government much, but hating the middle class more, upon whose affections the government was founded. The Opposition in the Chambers were ready to excite this uneasiness in the masses. They wielded the double weapons of the Tribune and the Press; dangerous as orators they were more dangerous as journalists. Seeking for the attainment of power whilst they strove to accomplish an electoral reform, they did not hesitate to ally themselves with men of more extreme opinions, whose democratic principles were directed rather towards the maintenance of the doctrine of "Equality" under a new form, than to the assertion of "Liberty," as understood by the founders of the Revolution which had overthrown the ancient monarchy; which was the cry of the indignant citizens who destroyed the monarchy of the Restoration, to place upon the throne one who was proud to call himself a Citizen King. The constitutional opposers of the government of Louis Philippe in 1848 were ready enough to propitiate the assert-

* Guizot, "Memoirs of Sir R. Peel," p. 337.

ors of Equality, without perceiving that it had taken a practical shape in which was involved the modification or destruction of all the conditions of society which rested on the basis of individual property exchanging Capital for Labour, and which, carrying out the principle of Competition, regarded the Consumer more than the Producer. A profound thinker has said, "the passion for Equality has never ceased to occupy that deep-seated place in the hearts of the French people which it was the first to seize: it clings to the feelings they cherish most fondly."* In 1848 the principle of Co-operation was regarded by the workmen of France less as a means of benefitting their condition, than as placing all men upon a level. Vain attempt to war against Nature, who, in making men unequal in bodily and mental vigour, has decreed that the most skilful, the wisest, and the strongest shall be foremost in the race.

It is not compatible with the limits of our work to enter into any minute detail of the Revolution of February. The legislative session had opened on the 28th of December, 1847. The king's Speech contained an allusion to the agitation for "electoral and parliamentary reform,"—which words had become a toast at several provincial banquets. Petitions for reform had been presented to the Chamber of Deputies. On the opening of the session there had been discussions in the Chamber on the legality of peaceful and unarmed political meetings. On the 22nd of February there was to have been a reform banquet in the twelfth *arrondissement* of Paris—a quarter where the materials for disorder were abundant. The Minister of the Interior forbade the meeting, as the committee for the banquet had proposed a procession of National Guards in uniform, and of students. The uniform of the National Guards had almost disappeared from public view. They were no longer favoured and flattered by the government. The principal leaders of the parliamentary Opposition now announced that the banquet was adjourned, in consequence of the declaration of the Minister of the Interior. The postponement was loudly murmured at by the democratic journalists. On the morning of the 22nd the streets were crowded at an early hour. About noon a crowd surrounded the Chamber of Deputies; and a cry was raised of "Down with Guizot;" but in the evening the city was quiet. Not so during the night. The government was collecting troops, and the people were raising barricades. The *rappel* was again heard calling out the National Guard at seven in the morning of the 23rd. Some firing soon took place between the populace and the Municipal

* De Tocqueville, "France before the Revolution of 1789," Mr. Reeve's translation, p. 313.

Guards. But the National Guards had come to an agreement amongst themselves to act the part of conciliators rather than that of the opposers of the people ; and their presence in consequence prevented any attempt of the regular troops to disperse the multitudes assembled in various quarters. Soon the cry of *Vive la Reforme* was heard amongst groups of the citizen soldiers. The royal occupants of the Tuileries began to be seriously alarmed. A council was hastily summoned, when M. Guizot, finding that the Cabinet could not rely upon the firmness of the king, expressed his determination to retire. He himself announced his resignation to the Chamber of Deputies. There was joy that night in Paris, for it was thought that the cause of Reform had gained a victory. Houses were illuminated as if the crisis were passed. But a band of republicans bearing a red flag had come forth, and gathering together before the Hotel of Foreign Affairs occupied by M. Guizot, where a battalion of infantry was stationed, a shot fired from the mob was answered by a volley from the soldiery, and fifty fell, killed or wounded. A procession was immediately formed. The bodies of the dead were carried by torchlight through the streets, amidst the frantic cries of excited crowds demanding vengeance. The opportunity of restoring tranquillity by the exercise of force had passed away. During the night the king had reluctantly decided for concession. He had sent for M. Thiers and offered him the formation of a ministry. As the condition of his acceptance M. Thiers stipulated that M. Odillon Barrot should be a member of the Cabinet. This was entirely to yield upon the question of Reform, and wholly to change the policy of the government. But there was no alternative for the perplexed king. The change of administration was announced by placards in the morning. The command of the troops had been given to Marshal Bugeaud during the night ; and it is probable that he would have adopted no half measures to support the Crown. His command was superseded by the new ministers, who judged that the danger of insurrection was passed. They were deceived. About noon the populace attacked the Palais Royal, and sacked the apartments. The Tuileries was next to be assailed. The king left the palace with his queen. The mob broke in. The throne was carried along the Boulevards, and was burnt at the foot of the column of July.

The Chamber of Deputies met at half-past twelve, when M. Dupin announced the abdication of Louis Philippe. M. Dupin also announced that the king had abdicated in favour of his grandson, the comte de Paris, appointing the duchess of Orleans regent.

The duchess, leading her two sons by the hand, entered the Chamber, accompanied by the duke de Nemours. She said, "I have come here with all I have dear in the world." Some repugnance was manifested at the presence of the royal strangers, but the duchess appearing unwilling to retire, a stormy discussion began. By a law of 1842 it was declared that during the minority of the comte de Paris, in the event of the demise of the king, the duke de Nemours should be regent. The debate turned upon this difficulty. It was soon interrupted by the rush of a crowd that filled all the passages of the Chambers and swarmed into the Hall. The mother and her children were surrounded by armed men; but still she resolved to remain. She heard the demand for a Provisional Government; she heard the assertion that a Regency could not be created. Amidst clamours and threats she was forced by her attendants out of the Hall. The Deputies were scarcely free agents, as, with the applauses or the hisses of the fierce Republicans who were now in command of the situation, the members of a Provisional Government were nominated. Seven Deputies were finally appointed to this responsibility. In the meantime another Provisional Government had been formed at the Hotel de Ville. The members chosen by the Chamber were Lamartine, Marie, Ledru-Rollin, Crémieux, Dupont de l'Eure, Arago, and Garnier Pagès. The Provisional Government of the Hotel de Ville consisted of Marrast, Flocon, Louis Blanc and Albert. The Seven proceeded to the Hotel de Ville, and there, after violent altercation, came to a compromise with the Four. Liberty and Equality shook hands. There was to be a Republic; but a Republic in which the principles of Socialism should be the paramount element. At the top of the stairs of the Hotel de Ville, Lamartine proclaimed the Republic to the populace below. The Provisional Government of Eleven declared that the Chamber of Deputies was dissolved; that a National Assembly should be convoked, the members of the "ex-Chamber of Peers" being forbidden to assemble. On the 25th "a Proclamation," signed by Garnier Pagès and Louis Blanc, declared that the Provisional Government undertook to secure the existence of the workman by labour; to guarantee labour to all citizens. On the 26th the members presented themselves to the people assembled before the Hotel de Ville; and there Lamartine proclaimed the abolition of Royalty and the establishment of the Republic, with the exercise of their political right by the people. The prospect of universal suffrage was made still more agreeable by the announcement of the opening of National Workshops for the unemployed workmen.

Chartism had slumbered in England since the monster petition of 1839.* The principles of Socialism, which had been diligently propagated in France during the ten years which preceded the Revolution of 1848, gradually made their way to a small extent in this country. Enthusiasts multiplied, who believed that the evils of Competition were to be swept away by one broad recognition of the blessings of Co-operation. Whilst Robert Owen, the most benevolent of fanatics, still continued to predict that grass would soon grow in the streets of London at the time when happy communities should produce every necessary and every luxury of life for themselves in capacious parallelograms, there were less harmless regenerators of society who asserted that universal felicity could not exist without the complete establishment of democratic principles which should know no distinctions of rank and wealth. "Liberty and Property," wrote Voltaire, "is the cry of England. It is of far higher worth than St. George and my right—than Saint Denis and Mount Joy. It is the cry of Nature." The social regenerators of England in 1848, when they had taken the government of the country into their own hands, "would divide the land into small farms, and give every man an opportunity of getting his living by the sweat of his brow." Such was one of the doctrines propounded at "the Convention" held in the week which preceded the great demonstration of the 10th of April. The organ of Chartism was the "Northern Star," of Mr. Feargus O'Connor, one of the members for Nottingham; a brawling ignorant demagogue, who, not without an eye to his own profit, had contrived to induce many hard-working people to subscribe their money to his schemes for establishing "The National Land Company." This association was, in truth, founded upon those rights of property which his disciples, with some inconsistency, were inclined to abolish for all landowners except themselves. In the meantime, whilst the higher mysteries of Chartism only now and then peeped out, the great business of the Chartists was to get up a Petition to Parliament for their five points, which being completed, and alleged to have received 5,706,000 signatures, was to be presented to the House of Commons by Mr. Feargus O'Connor on the 10th of April.

The preparations for this day would have been calculated to alarm any other than an energetic government. A great convulsion might have shaken all our institutions into temporary disorder, had not the avowed designs of the physical-force Chartists been promptly met by such an organization as would have crushed mob-violence into annihilation at the moment of its display. The

* *Ante*, p. 247.

ministry took the advice of the duke of Wellington. He so judiciously arranged a plan for the presence of the military power at every point where a contest might by possibility occur, that, without the display of a single soldier, effectual resistance was impossible. "You have left one point unprotected—one way in which they could escape," said a member of the Cabinet to the great captain. "That was precisely what I intended to do," replied the duke.* But, more than all the combinations of military science was a display of strength which proclaimed to the Chartists,—some of whom were young enthusiasts who had brooded over the evils of society hopelessly and passionately; many, desperate men, such as the *proletaries* of France; all, without any definite plan, at the command of ignorant and presumptuous leaders,—that they alone were not the People. On that morning, a hundred and seventy thousand special constables stood shoulder to shoulder in the streets of London, each armed only with a staff which represented the strength of the law—a band where a real equality of rights and duties placed the peer side by side with the shopkeeper; where the merchant stood amidst his clerks and the manufacturer with his workmen; where the humblest and the highest exchanged the resolves of good subjects, that, come what might, the generation which had seen so many ameliorations of the state of society peacefully accomplished, should not be disgraced by an attempt to redress grievances by physical force, under the direction of empty-headed demagogues. The display of the national will,—the unostentatious preparations of the government, and their bold resolve not to prevent the proposed meeting of the Chartists, on Kennington Common, but steadily to oppose their return over either of the bridges in procession, was enough for present safety and future peace. This resistance to their return in multitudes at night-fall was accomplished, not by the soldiery, who continued unseen to the last, but by the police alone. The great Petition was ignobly carried to the House of Commons in a cart, to be presented by Mr. Feargus O'Connor without his legions. Physical-force Chartism was really at an end, although its revival was several times attempted, to be put down by the same firmness.

Contemporaneous with the abortive proceedings of disaffection in London, in Glasgow, and in a few provincial towns, was what at first appeared to be a serious renewal of insurrection in Ireland. An association was in 1847 formed in Dublin, called the "Irish Confederation." Of this Confederation Mr. Smith O'Brien, with several others who attained to distinction as the utterers of

* We state this from private information.

the boldest sedition, and the announcers of the bloodiest resolves, were leading authorities. The French Revolution gave a new impulse to their exertions. "Up with the barricades and invoke the God of battles," cried Mr. Meagher, at a meeting held to congratulate the Provisional Government of France. The uttering of seditious speeches was, up to April, an offence which the law regarded as a misdemeanour; but an Act was then passed which rendered it felony to compass or imagine the deposition of the Queen, or to give expression to any such intention. Under this Act, Mr. Mitchell was tried and convicted; and Mr. Duffy was about to be prosecuted. It was determined by Mr. O'Brien and his bold associates in an act of treason, to rise in August to rescue Mr. Mitchell, and to prevent the trial of Mr. Duffy. A War Directory was appointed by the Confederation, and a mighty warrior, Mr. O'Brien, was to take the field in person and lead his forces to the overthrow of the despotic government. On the 28th of July the great rebel was in arms. He engaged the Police in a pitched battle near Ballingarry, where he had seven of his army killed and several wounded. On the 5th of August he was arrested at Thurles. The adventure of the "cabbage-garden" will be long remembered, in connection with the wise mercy of the government, which, after a few years, permitted his return to Ireland, to warn political fanaticism of the probable danger of that public contempt which awaits the anarchist who is too silly to be mischievous. The insurrection had soon come to an end. There were trials for high treason, of which the ridicule attached to the traitors could not prevent the people acknowledging that their capital sentence was deserved; rejoicing equally when, in the next year, after the demand of a writ of error, the sentence was commuted to transportation. Ireland was now free to apply herself to the amelioration of her social evils, instead of continuing a vain struggle for a separation from a country which had saved her in the direst hour of her calamity, and which was now ready to devote her capital and her skill, in a hearty endeavour to improve the material resources of the neglected land.

The essential differences between the institutions of England and of France, and the no less remarkable diversity in the political aspirations of the people of each, may in some degree account for the contrast between the two countries which 1848 presented. The insurrectionary movements in the one were suppressed without bloodshed. In the other, within four months after the proclamation of the Republic, sixteen thousand persons were killed or wounded in the streets of Paris. The short career of the Provis-

ional Government of France had been upheld by military force, amidst the increasing animosities of the Socialists. When the National Assembly was opened and had appointed an Executive Commission of five members, there was an attempt to form another Provisional Government of those who had now come to be designated as Red Republicans. This attempt was put down. The election of prince Louis Napoleon to a seat in the National Assembly was met by the proposition of a decree for his banishment. He was admitted to take his seat by the vote of a great majority. Close upon this event came the terrible crisis which, after four days' fighting, ended in the total rout of the insurgents; the appointment of general Cavaignac as Dictator; and the declaration that Paris was in a state of siege, that is, under martial law. This state endured from the 24th of June till the 20th of October. On the 21st of December prince Louis Napoleon Bonaparte took the oath as President of the French Republic, to continue in office till May, 1852.

The year 1848 will be memorable, not only for the Revolution of France, and its great example of extreme democratic opinions terminating, after a sanguinary struggle, in military despotism, but for the universal up-heaving of the continental kingdoms in an earthquake of which the tremblings have not yet subsided. To trace these events beyond this general reference to them would be beyond the scope of our history. We could not attempt to narrate the convulsions of Italy; the war between Austria and Sardinia; the insurrection of Hungary and the Hungarian war; the violated promises of sovereigns to their peoples; the dead calm, which was not peace, that ensued when Absolutism had triumphed; without going into a view of cause and effect, of the merit of contending principles, of the balance of good and evil, in the absence of which a meagre narrative of occurrences would be wholly unsatisfactory."*

Amidst the general disquiet of Europe, the Session of Parliament came to a close on the 5th of September. It was in no boastful spirit that the Queen said, that, surrounded by convulsions and wars between neighbouring States, she had had the satisfaction of being able to preserve peace for her own dominions, and to maintain our domestic tranquillity. "The strength of our institutions has been tried, and has not been found wanting." In these troub-

* In the *Appendix*, we do not profess to present the occurrences there briefly noticed in their chronological succession with any attempt to digest them historically. We offer those imperfect Annals of nineteen years as some aid to the reader, if he should desire to trace the course of very marked events from the close of this history.



NAPOLÉON III. — Vol. viii. 426.

lous times the social improvement of the country steadily went forward. The great measure of establishing a General Board of Health, and to create Local Boards, was the timely work of this Session. It is unnecessary to point out how the condition of the cities and towns of this kingdom has been essentially changed—how the amount of human suffering has been lessened, and the moral improvement and contentment of the inhabitants in dense and populous districts promoted, by the Public Health Act. The awakening had succeeded to a lethargic sleep. No one was more strenuous in proclaiming how individual energy was to make legislative provisions effectual than Prince Albert. At a meeting of the "Society for Improving the Condition of the Labouring Classes," he uttered words which sank deep into the national mind: "Depend upon it, the interests of classes too often contrasted are identical, and it is only ignorance which prevents their uniting for each other's advantage. To dispel that ignorance, to show how man can help man notwithstanding the complicated state of civilized society, ought to be the aim of every philanthropic person; but it is more peculiarly the duty of those who, under the blessing of Divine Providence, enjoy station, wealth and education."

On the 31st of January, 1849, there was a public banquet in the Freetrade Hall at Manchester, to celebrate the triumph of that principle which had so often been advocated in that vast room. Two thousand persons were assembled. The renowned leaders of the League were the chief speakers. Their special vocation was now at an end, but they were ready again to do battle for political truth if a defeated party should attain power, and attempt to undo the great work of seven years. The hand of the clock was approaching the hour which was to begin another day, when the band struck up the inspiring air of "A good time coming boys." A chorus, very solemn in its joyfulness, burst from those two thousand voices. As the clock struck twelve, the chairman called for silence. "THE GOOD TIME HAS COME," he shouted. Then that multitude stood up, and with the British huzza which has struck terror into many an enemy proclaimed that one foe to the well-being of the people was at last laid low. The first of February, so hopefully expected, so patiently waited for, had arrived. The millions who earned their daily bread by the sweat of their brow might at last eat "untaxed food."

When the Session of Parliament was opened by the Queen on the 1st of February, the same newspaper contained the Speech of her Majesty, and the Report of the great banquet at Manchester. The royal speech contrasted the tranquillity and loyalty of Eng-

land with the condition of the continent, convulsed by anarchy or trodden down by absolutism. Who, with any pretence to political philosophy, can now fail to trace this peace and contentment, in a very material degree, to the extinction of that injustice which had been so long perpetrated by delusive legislation, for the supposed interests of an exclusive class? The great statesman who accomplished this work points, with an honest exultation, to those who had been loudest in condemnation of the measures of 1846, who, on the 10th of April, 1848, openly rejoiced that provision had been made for the total repeal of the Corn-Laws. Yet, he says, these admissions were retracted, on the removal of all danger from popular disaffection. "They were retracted without due reflection on the causes which had interfered in the hour of danger to promote loyalty to the throne, and confidence in the justice of parliament." *

All these inconsistencies of party feeling have long since passed away. We have no pleasure in recalling the wearisome period of controversy that preceded the common agreement of all, except a few who still pored over their obsolete statistics, to enter upon a new era of manly exertion, unimpeded by class prejudices and unembittered by class animosities. We were becoming an united people, even in that dawning of a brighter day when the Queen met her Parliament on the 1st of February, 1849, and said—"I observe with satisfaction that this portion of the United Kingdom has remained tranquil amidst the convulsions which have disturbed so many parts of Europe. . . . It is with pride and thankfulness that I advert to the loyal spirit of my people, and that attachment to our institutions which had animated them during a period of commercial difficulty, deficient production of food, and political revolution. I look to the protection of Almighty God for favour in our continued progress; and I trust you will assist me in upholding the fabric of the Constitution, founded as it is upon the principles of freedom and of justice."

* "Memoirs by Sir Robert Peel," p. 319.

POSTSCRIPT.

I HAVE thus completed a labour of seven years, in writing the History of my country from the Roman period to a remarkable epoch of the reign of Queen Victoria. With a reverent heart I thank the Supreme Controller of all human designs that He has permitted me, in reaching a prolonged term of the life of man, to carry forward my purpose to its close.

In referring from time to time to the irrevocable results of this long-continued occupation—irrevocable, because this History of five thousand pages has been produced at periodical intervals, thus precluding the power of revising it as a whole—I am conscious of errors that might have been corrected under other circumstances. But I am not conscious of any material want of harmony between the earlier and the later portions—certainly of no essential discordance of principles and feelings. Whatever may be the defects of this narration,—stretching over nineteen hundred years of recorded time and comprehending a vast body of facts, of whose quantity and varied character the Indexes, full as they are, will give an inadequate conception—I am warranted in saying that it is the only complete History of England—a Library History and not a School History—which is the production of one writer. With the exception of three Chapters, the “Popular History” has been wholly written by myself.* This unity of thought, whatever may be the knowledge and ability of a historian, must have a certain value beyond what may be attained by a division of labour. Being the production of one mind, the due proportions

* Those three chapters are Chap. xviii. of Vol. v. Chap. xx. of Vol. vi. and Chap. xxv. of Vol. vii. Being confined to the subject of the Fine Arts, I felt that they required technical knowledge and a peculiar judgment to which I could not pretend. I therefore confided them to Mr. James Thorne, who has for some years contributed many articles on Art to works in which I have been engaged.

of the narrative, from the first Chapter to the last, have, I trust, been maintained.

Having undertaken, perhaps somewhat rashly, to write a History of England that, regarding only its chronological extent, involved a large range of research,—more especially so as it was intended from the first to embrace the Social as well as the Political History of many ages,—it is scarcely necessary for me to apologize for not having plunged into the great ocean of unpublished State Papers, which have, in very recent days, afforded most valuable materials for the authors of special Histories of particular eras. Such an employment would have rendered it impossible for me to have completed my undertaking in double or treble the years during which it has been my continued occupation. At the same time I may conscientiously state that I have not taken upon trust the facts or opinions of any previous writers of the general history of our country or of the history of any detached regnal periods. I have consulted no inconsiderable number of books that are the essential foundations of the English Historical Library; and for modern times I have not neglected that vast field of Memoirs and Letters, English and Foreign, in which there are always fruits to be gathered by the writer who will diligently seek for them.

Of the spirit which has animated me during the progress of this work, and has sustained me through the difficulties of my task, I will venture to say a few words. I had a fixed purpose in view when I commenced it. I addressed myself, not exclusively, but with a steady regard, to those of either sex who were entering upon the serious duties of life. Passing from the elementary works that had been used in the course of ordinary education, there were hundreds to whom a fuller History,—not dry, not didactic, not written with the prejudices of party or sect—would be an acquisition: Feeling my responsibilities to be increased by the fact that my duty was to impart knowledge and not to battle for opinions, my desire has been to cherish that love of Liberty which is best founded upon a sufficient acquaintance with its gradual development and final establishment amongst us; to look with a tolerant judgment even upon those who have sought to govern securely by governing absolutely; to trace with calmness the efforts of those who have imperilled our national independence by foreign assault or domestic treason, but never to forget that a just love of country is consistent with historical truth; to carry forward,

as far as within the power of one who has watched joyfully and hopefully the great changes of a generation, that spirit of improvement which has been more extensively and permanently called forth in the times of which this concluding Volume treats, than in the whole previous period from the Revolution of 1688. I doubtless have been foiled in many cases in the accomplishment of my leading purposes; but the wish to effect these objects has been always present.

In the Introduction to my First Volume I have stated the circumstances which led me to entertain the idea of writing a book that might be recommended for purposes of instruction, "when a Young Man of Eighteen asks for a History of England." With a pardonable pride, I may presume to mention that my desire to produce such a book has been welcomed in a manner far beyond my hope—I fear beyond my desert. Whilst the Prince of Wales was pursuing his studies at the University of Cambridge, my History was used as a text-book, and was quoted and recommended by the Reverend Charles Kingsley, the Professor of Modern History, in the course of Lectures which His Royal Highness attended. The exalted rank of the Student—the literary eminence of the Professor—combine to render this compliment most grateful to me. It affords me the consolation of believing that, whatever may be the errors and deficiencies of my undertaking, it has been recognized by one whose opinion is of no ordinary value, as a well-meant endeavour to write the History of the Kingdom and of the People with a due sense of my responsibility to be just and truthful, and with a catholicity of mind that may be preserved without the suppression of honestly-formed opinions.

I am writing the concluding sentences of this Postscript in my birth-place—in Windsor. In solitary walks of my boyhood—by the windings of the Thames, or looking from the gentle hills of the Forest,—the "proud keep" seldom rose to my view but I connected it with some historical memory. To me those majestic towers were interpreters of Heaven's o'er-past decrees. They formed my mind to look upon the History of my country as the most interesting of studies; to endeavour to draw from that study a just guide to the public duties of a youth who had the happiness to be born a Briton. If what I have written in these Volumes should inspire similar feelings; if this History should be found more calculated for truthful instruction than the Histories which were accessible to me in my early days, I have not written in vain.

In the *Faery Queen*,—passages of which present themselves to my memory as I ramble amongst the scenes where I first read that noble poem, a man “of ripe and perfect age” unrolls the ancient book of “*Briton Moniments*” to two youthful champions, who burn with fervent fire to attain a knowledge of “their country’s ancestry.” As the old man of Spenser unfolded the “records from ancient times derived,” to induce that feeling of patriotism which would make his chivalrous visitors brave and courteous maintainers of England’s honour, I would point to the earliest and to the latest of our Annals, to exhort the rising generation to a grateful sense of the blessings of which they are the heirs. To the young man who is passing into active life at a season in which his country has attained a position of greatness unprecedented, and of security not easily to be shaken, whilst I look back to early days of national danger and difficulty, but nevertheless days in which the true Englishman rarely lost heart or hope,—to him especially I would say, in the words of the poet who now wears the Laurel which Spenser wore,

“Love thou thy land, with love far-brought
From out the storied Past, and used
Within the Present, but transfused
Thro’ future time by power of thought.”

CHARLES KNIGHT.

CONTEMPORARY SOVEREIGNS AND RULERS.

GREAT BRITAIN.	FRANCE.	AUSTRIA.	PRUSSIA.	SWEDEN.	RUSSIA.	SPAIN.
1830 William IV.	Louis Philippe.	Francis I.	Frederic William III.	Charles John XIV	Nicolas I.	Ferdinand VII. Isabella II.
1833 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1835 —	—	Ferdinand I.	—	—	—	—
1837 Victoria.	—	—	—	—	—	—
1840 —	—	—	Frederic William IV.	—	—	—
1844 —	—	—	—	Oscar IV.	—	—
1848 —	Louis Napoleon. (President of Re-public.)	Francis Joseph I.	—	—	—	—
1850 —	—	—	—	Charles XV.	—	—
1852 —	Napoleon III.	—	—	—	—	—
1855 —	—	—	—	—	Alexander II.	—
1861 —	—	—	William I.	—	—	—

CONTEMPORARY SOVEREIGNS AND RULERS.

GREAT BRITAIN.	DENMARK.	PORTUGAL.	PAPAL STATES.	NAPLES.	SARDINIA.	UNITED STATES.
1829 George IV.	Frederick VI.	Miguel.	Pius VIII.	Francis I.	Charles Felix.	Andrew Jackson.
1830 William IV.	—	—	—	Ferdinand II.	Charles Albert.	—
1831 —	—	Maria, restored.	Gregory XVI.	—	—	Andrew Jackson, re-elected 1833.
1833 —	—	—	—	—	—	Martin Van Buren.
1837 Victoria.	Christian VIII.	—	—	—	—	—
1839 —	—	—	—	—	—	W. H. Harrison, (Died in office.)
1841 —	—	—	—	—	—	John Tyler.
1845 —	—	—	Pius IX.	—	—	James Knox Polk.
1846 —	Frederick VII.	—	—	Francis II.	—	—
1848 —	—	—	—	—	Victor Emmanuel II.	Zachary Taylor, (Died in office.)
1849 —	—	—	—	—	—	Millard Fillmore.
1850 —	—	Pedro V.	—	—	—	Franklin Pierce.
1853 —	—	—	—	—	—	James Buchanan.
1857 —	—	Louis Philip.	—	Francis II. (Dethroned.)	—	Abraham Lincoln, (Assassinated.)
1861 —	—	—	—	—	—	—
1861 —	Christian IX.	—	—	—	ITALY.	—
1863 —	—	—	—	Victor Emmanuel.	—	Andrew Johnson.
1865 —	—	—	—	—	—	—

OCCUPATIONS IN GREAT BRITAIN,

And number of Persons engaged in them (arranged in the order of the Numbers) in 1851. [This classification was not called for in the Census for 1861.]

Occupations.	Persons.	Occupations.	Persons.
Agricultural Labourer.....	1,460,896	Iron-miner	28,088
Farm Servants, Shepherd.....	1,038,791	Printer.....	26,024
Domestic Servant.....	501,465	Nurse (not Domestic Servant).....	25,518
Cotton, Calico, manufacture, printing and dyeing.....	376,551	Shipwright, Shipbuilder.....	25,201
Labourer (branch undefined).....	306,767	Stone Quarrier.....	23,489
Farmer, Grazier.....	274,451	Lodging-house Keeper.....	23,089
Boot and Shoe maker.....	267,791	Lead-miner.....	22,530
Milliner, Dressmaker.....	219,015	Copper-miner.....	22,386
Coal-miner.....	182,696	Straw Hat and Bonnet maker	21,902
Carpenter, Joiner.....	178,773	Cooper.....	20,245
Army and Navy.....	152,672	Watch and Clock maker.....	19,159
Tailor.....	146,091	Brewer.....	18,620
Washerwomen, Mangler, Laundry-keeper.....	137,814	Dock Labourer, Dock and Harbour Service.....	18,462
Woollen Cloth manufacture.....	114,570	Clergymen of Established Church.....	18,587
Silk manufacture.....	112,776	Protestant Dissenting Minister....	9,644
Blacksmith.....	104,061	Police.....	18,348
Worsted manufacture.....	101,442	Plasterer.....	17,980
Mason, Pavior.....	101,425	Warehouse,—Man, Woman.....	17,861
Messenger, Porter, and Errand Boy	98,860	Saddler, Harness-maker.....	17,583
Linen, Flax manufacture.....	89,206	Hatter, Hat manufacture.....	16,975
Seamen (Merchant Service) on shore or in British ports.....	85,913	Coachman (not Domestic Servant), Guard, Postboy.....	16,836
Grocer.....	80,946	Law Clerk.....	16,626
Gardener.....	80,032	Coachmaker.....	16,590
Iron manufacture, moulder, founder	75,721	Cowkeeper, Milkseller.....	16,526
Innkeeper, Licensed Victualler, Beer-shop keeper.....	73,068	Ropemaker.....	15,966
Seamstress, Shirtmaker.....	67,989	Druggist.....	15,643
Bricklayer.....	67,691	Surgeon, Apothecary.....	15,163
Butcher, Meat Salesman.....	65,499	Tin-miner.....	15,050
Hose (Stocking).....	65,376	Paper manufacture.....	14,501
School,—master, mistress.....	63,660	Coalheaver, Coal Labourer.....	14,426
Lace manufacture.....	62,808	Greengrocer, Fruiterer.....	14,320
Plumber, Painter, Glazier.....	62,472	Musliu manufacture.....	14,098
Baker.....	56,981	Confectioner.....	13,865
Carman, Carrier, Carter, Drayman	55,423	Tinman, Tinker, Tinplate worker.....	13,770
Charwoman.....	49,184	Staymaker.....	13,699
Draper (Linen and Woollen).....	48,082	Solicitor, Attorney, Writer to the Signet.....	13,256
Engine and Machine maker.....	43,760	Dyer, Scourer, Calenderer.....	12,964
Commercial Clerk.....	40,897	Currier.....	12,920
Cabinet maker, Upholsterer.....	40,575	Builder.....	12,818
Teacher (various), Governess.....	38,294	Farm Bailiff.....	12,805
Fisherman, Woman.....	37,683	Hair-dresser, Wig-maker.....	12,173
Boat, Barge, Man, Woman.....	37,268	Coal-merchant, dealer.....	12,092
Miller.....	36,512	Glass manufacture.....	12,005
Earthenware manufacture.....	35,443	Carpet and Rug manufacture.....	11,457
Sawyer.....	34,306	Goldsmith, Silversmith.....	11,242
Railway Labourer.....	32,062	Brass founder, moulder, manufacture.....	11,230
Straw-plait manufacture.....	31,168	Malster.....	11,150
Brick-maker, dealer.....	30,963	Railway Officer, Clerk, Station-Master.....	10,948
Government Civil Service.....	30,553	Bookbinder.....	10,923
Hawker, Pedlar.....	30,244	Road Labourer.....	10,467
Wheelwright.....	29,882	Wine and Spirit Merchant.....	10,439
Glover.....	29,800	Fishmonger.....	10,256
Shopkeeper (branch undefined)....	29,408	Merchant.....	10,074
Horsekeeper, Groom (not domestic)	28,533	Ribbon manufacture.....	10,074
Jockey.....			
Nail manufacture.....			

* This is the Army and Navy of the *United Kingdom*, exclusive of the Indian Army and Navy.

RELIGIOUS WORSHIP.
FROM THE CENSUS, MARCH 30TH, 1851.

[This classification was not repeated in 1861.]

ENGLAND AND WALES.

	Total Number of Places of Worship.	Open in the Morning.	Sittings (Morning)	Attendance (Morning).
Church of England.....	14,077	11,794	4,852,645	2,541,244
Methodists.....	11,974	5,566	1,538,612	808,752
Independents.....	3,244	2,261	901,352	524,612
Baptists.....	2,789	2,055	636,864	360,806
Quakers.....	371	362	94,805	14,364
Unitarians.....	229	183	60,044	28,483
Presbyterians.....	161	149	86,535	47,582
Plymouth Brethren.....	132	101	14,613	5,699
New Church (Swedenborgians).....	50	44	11,465	48,46
Sandemanians.....	6	6	956	439
Moravians.....	32	28	8,543	4,993
Isolated Congregations.....	539	338	74,876	36,969
	33,574	22,887	8,281,310	4,378,789
FOREIGN PROTESTANTS.				
Lutherans.....	6	6	2,172	1,152
French Protestants.....	3	2	530	225
Reformed Church, Netherlands.....	1	1	350	70
German Protestant Reformers.....	1	1	200	120
	11	10	3,252	1,567
OTHER CHRISTIAN CHURCHES.				
Roman Catholics.....	570	542	175,309	252,783
Greek Church.....	3	3	291	240
German Catholics.....	1	1	300	500
Italian Reformers.....	1	0	0	0
Catholic and Apostolic (Irvingites).....	32	29	6,545	3,176
Latter Day Saints.....	222	147	23,413	7,517
	829	722	205,858	264,216
Jews.....	53	50	8,100	2,910
Total.....	34,467	23,669	8,498,520	4,647,482

SCOTLAND.

	Total Number of Places of Worship.	Open in the Morning.	Sittings (Morning)	Attendance (Morning).
Established Church of Scotland ...	1183	1022	713,567	351,454
Other Presbyterians.....	1431	1249	743,773	467,020
Episcopal Church.....	134	116	35,769	26,966
Independents.....	192	169	70,851	26,392
Baptist.....	119	98	24,330	9,208
Quakers.....	7	7	2,153	196
Unitarians.....	5	5	2,438	863
Methodists.....	82	65	21,768	8,937
Evangelical Union.....	28	26	10,589	3,895
Other Protestants.....	13	12	1,800	667
Isolated Congregations.....	60	41	9,321	2,871
Roman Catholics.....	117	101	48,771	43,878
Irvingites.....	3	3	675	272
Latter Day Saints.....	20	18	3,177	1,304
Jews.....	1	1	67	28
Total.....	3395	2933	1,619,049	943,951

SCHOOLS. ENGLAND AND WALES.

CENSUS OF EDUCATION, 1851.

	Schools.	Scholars.
Military, Naval, Corporation, Workhouse, and Prison Schools	610	48,826
Endowed Schools :—		
Collegiate and Grammar Schools	566	35,612
Other endowed Schools	2,559	170,667
	<u>3,125</u>	<u>206,279</u>
Supported by Religious Bodies :—		
Church of England	10,555	929,474
Independents	453	50,186
Wesleyan Methodists	381	41,144
Roman Catholics	339	41,382
Udenominational	514	82,597
Others	466	44,003
	<u>12,708</u>	<u>1,188,786</u>
Ragged, Orphan, Blind, Deaf and Dumb, Factory, Colliery, and Me- chanics' Institution Schools, Agricultural Schools, and other Public Schools	1,081	109,214
Total of Public Day Schools, as above	15,411	1,413,170
Total of Private Day Schools	29,425	695,422
Total Day Schools	<u>44,836</u>	<u>2,108,592</u>
Evening Schools, from which returns were obtained in 1851, 1,545; Scholars, 39,783, of whom 70 per cent. were males.		
Literary, Scientific, and Mechanics' Institutions :—Returns obtained in 1851 from 1,057 Institutions, with 163,395 Members.		

SCOTLAND.

	Day Schools.	Scholars.
Supported by General or Local Taxation	1,039	88,900
" " Endowments	491	39,537
" " Religious Bodies	1,385	114,739
Other Public Schools	434	36,869
	<u>3,349</u>	<u>280,045</u>
Private Day Schools	1,893	88,472
	<u>5,242</u>	<u>369,517</u>
Sabbath Schools, 3,803; Scholars, 292,549; Teachers, 25,411.		
Evening Schools for Adults, 438; Scholars, 15,071.		
Literary and Mechanics' Institutions, 221; Members, 29,655.		

The Census of 1861 did not require the returns of Education in the above form, but the Board of Education Returns, from the Reports of Inspectors, give the number of children attending the inspection of Primary Schools in England and Wales in 1861 as 879,884, and in 1867 as 1,210,301. In Scotland in 1861 (exclusive of Roman Catholic Schools) the number inspected was 148,806, and in 1867 it was 180,799.

PUBLIC INCOME AND EXPENDITURE,

FROM 1815 TO 1850.

Year.	Income.	Expenditure.	
	Net Receipts.	Interest on Debt funded and unfunded.	Total amount expended in the Year.
	£	£	£
1815	72,210,512	31,576,074	92,280,180
1816	62,264,546	32,938,751	65,169,771
1817	52,055,913	31,436,245	55,281,238
1818	53,747,795	30,880,244	55,348,578
1819	52,648,847	30,807,249	55,406,509
1820	54,282,958	31,157,846	54,457,247
1821	55,834,192	31,955,304	57,130,586
1822	55,663,650	29,921,493	53,710,624
1823	57,672,999	29,215,905	56,223,740
1824	59,362,403	29,066,350	59,231,161
1825	57,273,869	28,060,287	61,520,753
1826	54,894,989	28,076,957	55,081,073
1827	54,932,518	28,230,847	55,823,321
1828	55,187,142	28,095,506	54,171,141
1829	50,786,682	29,155,612	51,835,137
1830	50,056,616	29,118,858	49,078,108
1831	46,424,440	28,341,416	49,797,156
1832	46,988,755	28,323,751	46,379,692
1833	46,271,326	28,522,507	45,782,026
1834	46,425,263	28,504,096	46,678,079
1835	45,893,369	28,514,610	45,669,309
1836	48,591,180	29,243,598	48,093,196
1837	46,475,194	29,489,571	49,116,839
1838	47,333,460	29,260,238	47,686,183
1839	47,844,890	29,454,062	49,357,691
1840	47,567,565	29,381,718	49,169,552
1841	48,084,360	29,450,145	50,185,729
1842	46,965,631	29,428,120	50,945,169
1843	52,582,817	29,260,160	51,148,254
1844	54,003,754	30,495,459	52,211,000
1845	53,060,354	28,253,872	53,386,603
1846	53,790,138	28,077,987	50,943,830
1847	51,546,265	28,141,531	54,502,947
1848	53,388,717	28,563,517	54,185,136
1849	52,951,749	28,323,961	50,874,696
1850	52,810,680	28,091,590	50,231,874

NATIONAL DEBT (continued from p. 206).

Peace,.....			Principal.	Interest.
			£	£
	1 & 2	1838	786,840,165	29,432,903
	2 & 3	1839	787,236,060	29,385,451
	3 & 4	1840	788,644,401	29,415,924
	4 & 5	1841	792,209,685	29,462,030
	5 & 6	1842	791,757,816	29,300,112
	6 & 7	1843	792,664,743	29,047,473
	7 & 8	1844	787,987,194	28,272,652
	8 & 9	1845	785,115,222	28,125,113
	9 & 10	1846	782,977,684	28,025,253
	10 & 11	1847	790,376,351	28,442,683
	11 & 12	1848	791,817,338	28,307,343
	12 & 13	1849	790,927,016	28,091,579
	13 & 14	1850	787,029,162	28,025,523

EXPORTS.

REAL OR DECLARED VALUE OF BRITISH AND IRISH PRODUCE
AND MANUFACTURES EXPORTED, 1815 TO 1850.

£		£		£	
1815	51,603,028	1827	37,181,335	1839	53,233,580
1816	41,657,873	1828	36,812,756	1840	51,406,430
1817	41,761,132	1829	35,842,623	1841	51,634,523
1818	46,603,249	1830	38,271,597	1842	47,331,023
1819	35,208,321	1831	37,164,372	1843	52,278,449
1820	36,424,652	1832	36,450,594	1844	58,584,292
1821	36,659,630	1833	39,667,347	1845	60,111,081
1822	36,968,964	1834	41,649,191	1846	57,786,875
1823	35,458,048	1835	47,372,270	1847	58,842,377
1824	38,396,300	1836	53,368,571	1848	52,849,445
1825	38,877,388	1837	42,069,245	1849	63,596,025
1826	31,536,723	1838	50,060,970	1850	70,367,885

IMPORTATION OF FOREIGN GRAIN.

The framers of the law of 1829 intended it to prevent fluctuations in the prices of English grain, but in seven years, notwithstanding the provision, the prices varied from 75s. 3d. in 1829 to 36s. 8d. in 1835, descending regularly every year; while in the three years, 1829, 1830, and 1831, there were imported 4,557,736 quarters. The total quantity imported during the next ten years kept increasing, with occasional exceptions; but in 1845, waiting the repeal of the duty, the importation was only 1,142,700 quarters, of which only 315,615 were taken for home consumption. In 1846, after the repeal, there were imported 1,437,944 quarters, and 1,995,852 were taken for home consumption. In 1847, there were imported 2,650,058 quarters; besides 6,329,646 cwt. of wheat-meal, 3,614,637 quarters of Indian corn, and 1,451,020 cwt. of Indian corn-meal. In 1848 there were imported 2,594,013 quarters of wheat, 1,765,475 cwt. of flour, and 1,586,781 quarters of Indian corn. In 1849 the imports were 3,872,134 quarters of wheat, 3,371,301 cwt. flour; 2,247,424 quarters of Indian corn, and 102,173 cwt. of Indian corn-meal. In 1850 were imported 3,754,593 quarters of wheat, 3,855,050 cwt. of flour, 1,286,264 quarters of Indian corn, and 11,401 cwt. of meal.

From 1851 the computation has been made in cwt., and the wheat and flour placed under the head of wheat, 1 cwt. of flour being reckoned equal to 1 $\frac{1}{4}$ cwt. of grain. In 1851 there was imported a total of 23,161,718 cwt. of wheat, and 7,747,011 cwt. of Indian corn. In 1852, wheat 18,092,627 cwt., Indian corn 6,305,472 cwt. In 1853, wheat 27,077,079 cwt., Indian corn 6,619,213 cwt. In 1854, wheat 19,426,781 cwt., Indian corn 5,784,420 cwt. In 1855, wheat 13,940,322 cwt., Indian corn 5,208,570 cwt. In 1856, wheat 22,611,568 cwt., Indian corn 7,619,199 cwt. In 1857, wheat 17,620,499 cwt., Indian corn 4,931,927 cwt. In 1858, wheat 22,300,941 cwt., Indian corn 7,503,536 cwt. In 1859, wheat 21,497,734 cwt., Indian corn 5,632,727 cwt. In 1860, wheat 31,841,926 cwt., Indian corn 7,936,123 cwt. In 1861, wheat 37,646,705 cwt., Indian corn 13,244,366 cwt. In 1862, wheat 50,042,394 cwt., Indian corn 11,694,818 cwt. In 1863, wheat 30,837,892 cwt., Indian corn 12,736,594 cwt. In 1864, wheat 28,837,203 cwt., Indian corn 6,285,938 cwt. In 1865, wheat 25,843,552 cwt., Indian corn 7,096,033 cwt. In 1866, wheat 29,371,679 cwt., Indian corn 14,322,863 cwt. In 1867, wheat 39,136,780 cwt., Indian corn, 8,540,429 cwt.

AVERAGE GAZETTE PRICES OF BRITISH WHEAT, BARLEY, AND OATS, PER IMPERIAL QUARTER.

	1847.		1848.		1849.		1850.		1860.		1867.	
	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.	s.	d.
Wheat	69	9	50	6	44	3	40	3	53	3	64	5
Barley	44	2	31	6	27	9	23	5	36	7	40	0
Oats	28	8	20	6	17	6	16	5	24	5	26	20

QUANTITIES OF BRITISH WHEAT, BARLEY, AND OATS SOLD IN THE PRINCIPAL MARKET TOWNS OF ENGLAND AND WALES.

The Returns were from 290 towns previous to 1865, since that date they have been from only 150 towns.

[IN QUARTERS.]	1847.	1848.	1849.	1850.	1860.	1867.
Wheat	4,637,617	5,399,834	4,453,983	4,688,247	4,623,457	2,724,673
Barley	2,041,140	2,401,130	2,099,821	2,235,271	1,787,056	1,575,733
Oats	760,334	1,022,875	851,080	866,033	495,880	284,776

APPENDIX.

ANNALS, 1849-1867. A BRIEF CHRONOLOGICAL ACCOUNT OF PUBLIC EVENTS; AND A SUMMARY OF LEGISLATION AND STATISTICS.

INDIA.—At the opening of Parliament on the 1st of February, the Royal Speech announced the beginning of a war of a very serious nature; "A rebellion of a formidable character has broken out in the Punjab, and the Governor-General of India has been compelled for the preservation of the peace of the country, to assemble a considerable force, which is now engaged in military operations against the insurgents."

[To make the narrative of Indian Affairs in 1849 intelligible, we must trace the origin and progress of the war during 1848.]

On April 18, 1848, Mr. Van Agnew and lieutenant Anderson, in company with Khan Singh, the newly appointed governor of Mooltan, had reached the town of Mooltan, and had been killed in a quarrel with the troops of the old governor, Dewan Moolraj, who immediately broke out into open rebellion. On June 18, lieutenant Edwardes, with a small British force, having joined the forces of the Rajah of Bhawalpoor, engaged and defeated the army of Moolraj, who retreated to the town. General Whish undertook to besiege it, but was compelled, by the desertion of Shere Singh with his troops, to raise the siege on Sept. 22. He fell back a few miles, while Lord Gough with a relieving army assembled at Ferozepoor, and another large force was concentrating at Roree. On Oct. 9, Shere Singh separated himself from Moolraj, and skilfully led his army to the country north-west of Lahore, and south-west of Wuzerabad. Here he was joined by Chuttur Singh. On Nov. 21, lord Gough found them entrenched on the left bank of the Chenab, behind Ramnuggur, with nearly 40,000 men and 28 guns, and attacked them. On the 23rd the Sikhs withdrew; on Dec. 3, major-general Thackwell crossed the Chenab at Wuzerabad, a fight took place, and on the 4th the rebels retreated to Jailam, a little beyond which place they strongly entrenched themselves. Lord Gough had also crossed the Chenab, but stopped all further fighting till Mooltan should have been taken, which place was then being besieged by general Whish. On Dec. 21, the British troops with their Indian allies advanced to the attack, they cleared the suburbs, and established themselves within 500 yards of the walls. The place was heavily cannonaded till the 29th, when several breaches were made, a granary was set on fire, and several small magazines exploded. On the 30th the principal magazine containing nearly 800,000 lbs. of powder blew up, killing the Dewan's mother, many of his principal officers, and destroying a great number of houses, mosques, and other public buildings. On the 31st the garrison made a sortie, but the attack was repulsed with great loss to the assailants.

[To connect the notices of Foreign Affairs in 1849, we give the following very brief abstract of events in 1848.]

ITALY.—Insurrection in Sicily. January 12. Provisional government proclaimed in Palermo. March 18. Insurrection in Milan against the Austrians. March 19. The Italian flag hoisted in all towns of North Italy. March 23. The king of Sardinia espouses the cause of Italian regeneration against Austria. May 15. Fighting at Naples between the royal troops and the National Guard; the city given up to pillage and massacre upon the defeat of the people. May 29. The Austrians defeated at Goito by the Sardinian army under king Charles Albert. June 12. The whole of the Venetian territory, except the city of Venice, was in the hands of the Austrians. July 27. Retreat of the Sardinian army, after six days' fighting with the Austrians. August 4. They capitulated to the Austrian Field-Marshal Radetsky. Sept. 21. Armistice between Sardinia and Austria.

GERMANY.—March 13. Revolution at Vienna—Prince Metternich flies from the city—Constitutional government to be established. March 18. A week of commotion and fighting in Berlin—A new ministry appointed—An amnesty proclaimed. June 16. Renewed conflicts in Berlin. July 12. The archduke Johann of Austria installed at Frankfort as lieutenant-general of Germany. Oct. 6. Insurrection in Vienna—Count Latour, the minister of war, assassinated. Oct. 16. The emperor of Austria issues a proclamation appointing prince Windischgrätz commander-in-chief, and announces his determination to put down by force the party in rebellion. Oct. 28. Windischgrätz attacks Vienna with 75,000 men. Nov. 7. The imperial troops in possession of the city—Hungarian troops sent to assist the insurgents are defeated. Dec. 2. Ferdinand II., emperor of Austria, abdicates in favour of his nephew. To the end of the year continued fighting between the Hungarians and Austrians.

Jan. 5. Buda-Pesth taken by the Austrians, and Kossuth the Hungarian President retires to Debreczin.

Feb. 1. Parliament opened by the Queen in person. She said in her speech that she hoped that the joint-intervention of England and France would produce a permanent settlement of the troubles in Sicily, and stop any further effusion of blood. Feb. 6. The ministers brought in a Bill to continue the suspension of the Habeas Corpus Act in Ireland for six months, which was opposed by Mr. J. O'Connell and some other Irish members, but carried through all its stages by large majorities, and received the royal assent on the 27th. Feb. 7. The Chancellor of the Exchequer proposed a grant of 50,000*l.* for the relief of the distress in Ireland, which met with some opposition, but ultimately passed the House of Lords on March 6.

Feb. 8. The Roman National Assembly adopts a republican form of government, and divests the Pope of all temporal power; against these decrees the Pope published a protest on the 14th, and on the 18th appeals to the Roman Catholic powers for protection.

March 6. The emperor of Austria issues the charter of a new constitution for the whole empire. It contained many improvements, but was only accepted by some of the states.

March 8. The Sardinian government recommences hostilities against Austria, and on the 23rd, the Sardinian army was utterly routed at Novara. On the 25th, Carlo Alberto resigned his crown in favour of his son Victor Emmanuel.

March 12. The second reading of the Navigation Bill was carried in the House of Commons by 266 against 210; it passed the House of Lords with little opposition, and received the royal assent on June 26.

March 30. Haynau, the Austrian commander, takes Brescia, in Italy, with great slaughter of the inhabitants, and nearly the total destruction of the city.

April 2. Trial of the Paris insurgents. Barbes, Albert, Blanqui, Sobrier, and Raspail, transported for various periods.

April 18. Prince Albert laid the first stone of the Great Grimsby Docks.

April 24. The Austrians defeated at Gran by the Hungarians, who likewise reoccupy Pesth.

April 26. Civita Vecchia occupied by French troops under general Oudinot.

April 29. The king of Prussia, who had been elected hereditary emperor of Germany, by the National Assembly at Frankfort, on this day declined by his plenipotentiary the proffered dignity, and rejected the Frankfort framed constitution.

May 1. Meeting of Protectionists held in the Hall of Commerce, Threadneedle-street, London, under the presidency of the duke of Richmond, to form an association for the purpose of "arresting the progress of Free-trade;" of opposing the repeal of the Navigation Laws; and of re-establishing Protection.

May 7. The second reading of the Parliamentary Oaths Bill, relieving Jews and other dissenters from certain parts of the existing oath, was carried by 278 to 185. After passing the Commons, the bill was thrown out by the Lords on June 26, by a majority of 95 to 70.

May 7. An insurrection having broken out in Dresden on the 3rd, the city was on this day bombarded by Prussian and Saxon troops, and the insurgents were driven out of the town.

May 11. On the appeal by the writ of error to the House of Lords by W. Smith O'Brien and three others, the judgment was confirmed, and they were all sentenced to transportation.

May 16. Prince Albert addressed a public meeting of the Servants' Provident and Benevolent Society, pointing out and recommending the advantages of vesting savings in government annuities. He told them that their society was founded on the correct principle "which requires every man by personal exertions, and at his own choice, to work out his own happiness."

May 19. A large meeting held at Cape Town, to express the indignation of the whole colony against the attempt of the Colonial Office to make the Cape a penal colony. The same feeling had been expressed at previous meetings. Subsequently the inhabitants com-

bined to refuse supplies to the public departments ; and at length the intention was abandoned.

May 27. The Legislative Assembly of the French Republic holds its first sitting.

June 3. The French army, commanded by general Oudinot, commences its attack on Rome. After a brave defence it was surrendered on July 3.

June 4. The Incumbered Estates, Ireland, Bill passed the House of Commons after a slight opposition ; it received the royal assent on July 28.

June 13. A red republican procession visit the National Assembly of Paris, ostensibly to present a petition, but in a threatening attitude. They were dispersed by the troops without violence ; but Paris is thereupon declared in a state of siege by the Assembly ; and on the 14th several of the newspapers were ordered to be suppressed, and Ledru Rollin and others to be prosecuted for conspiracy, and endeavouring to excite a civil war. Several of the leaders in the movement succeeded in making their escape.

June 21. The Russians, who had entered Hungary in aid of the Austrians, take Kronstadt, and having joined the Austrian army under general Wohlgenuth, defeat the Hungarians at Szered ; and on the 23rd defeat them again at Eperies. On August 2 the Russians captured Debreczin.

June 27. A public meeting held at the London Tavern, London, to receive a deputation from Ireland, on the subject of the distress in that country.

July 6. The German army, which had been sent to the aid of the Schleswig-Holstein insurgents, and was besieging Fredericia, defeated by the Danes, who took 1800 prisoners. An armistice for six months between Denmark and Prussia, was concluded on the 20th.

July 12. Serious affray between the Orangemen and the Roman Catholics at Dolly's Brae, near Castlewellan, in Ireland. Several of the Roman Catholics were killed, and some of their houses wrecked and burnt. On Sept. 13, a majority of the magistrates in petty sessions, by their chairman, the earl of Roden, refused to take informations against the Orangemen accused of the offence. The refusal was repeated on Oct. 9, for which the earl of Roden and two other justices were discharged from the magistracy.

July 27. An insurrection broke out in Cephalonia and Corfu, which was suppressed, and the instigators punished.

Aug. 9. The Hungarians after various alternations of success and defeats were utterly routed at Temeswar ; and on the 13th the remains of the army and G6rg6y surrendered to the Russians at Grosswardein.

Aug. 22. Venice surrendered to the Austrians after an energetic defence.

Sept. 8. Louis Napoleon, president of the French Republic, publishes a letter to colonel Ney, insisting on a general amnesty, a secular administration, and other improvements for the subjects of the Pope.

Sept. 16. Prayers offered up in all the churches for the removal of the cholera, which was raging with great intensity. It continued to prevail during the autumn, till on Nov. 15, thanksgivings were offered on its cessation.

Oct. 30. The president of the French Republic dismisses his ministry.

Nov. 3. Sir James Ross returns from an unsuccessful search after sir John Franklin.

Nov. 5. Russia and Austria require Turkey to withdraw her protection from the Hungarian refugees who had fled into her territory ; this is refused. Turkey appeals to England, and on this day the British fleet entered the Dardanelles ; where, however, it returned on the 13th to Besika Bay.

Nov. 7. The inauguration of the Queen's College at Cork took place on this day.

Dec. 1. The queen-dowager Adelaide died at Bentley Priory, Stanmore, Middlesex, aged 57.

Dec. 16. At Mullinahone, in Tipperary, a vast assemblage of tenant-farmers and cottiers met to petition in favour of tenant-right, which included lower rents determined by a valuation, compensation on quitting for permanent improvements, and a lease. This meeting was followed by many others with the same object.

Dec. 28. Letter appeared from sir Robert Peel to his tenantry on "the Present State and Prospects of Agriculture."

INDIA.—On Jan. 2, 1849, a breach was made near the Delhi gate, but the entrance was not found practicable ; but the Bombay column had forced an entrance on the opposite side of the town, which was ultimately taken possession of by the British troops ; the fort having been captured on the following day, while the citadel still remained in possession of Moolraj. On Jan. 13, lord Gough, having advanced towards Jhelum with 22,000 men and 130 guns, found himself in front of the Sikh army, which, under the command of Shere Singh, occupied a long line from Moong to Russool. Here the British troops were halted, in order to give them a day's rest before attacking the enemy. The Sikh batteries, however, some of which were within range, commenced firing on the British position, some of the shot falling close to the commander-in-chief. This occasioned him to change his plan, and a cannonade was ordered on the enemy's centre, which was kept up on both sides for an hour or two. Lord Gough ordered a flank movement of infantry to be made

on the left, but it was met by a concealed battery. The repulse occasioned some confusion. A regiment of light dragoons retreated in consequence of a misunderstanding of orders, and one cavalry regiment turned and fled. Night put an end to the combat; the British bivouacked near the field of battle, and the Sikhs withdrew. This was the battle of Chillianwallah, in which the British loss was 731 men killed, and 1446 wounded. That of the Sikhs was far greater. On the 22d, Moolraj, the citadel of Mooltan having become untenable, surrendered himself and his garrison unconditionally.

On Feb. 15, lord Gough having discovered that Shere Singh, with the main body of his army, instead of leaving, crossed the Jhelum, was on his march to Lahore, advanced in pursuit. General Whish had in the meantime arrived at Ramnuggur, with a brigade of his force, and learning that Shere Sing was approaching Wuzeerabad, dispatched col. Byrne with a detachment of men and guns to prevent or delay his crossing the Chenab. This was effected; and the Sikh army encamped itself near Goojerat. The British forces under general Whish, and brigadiers Markham and Hervey, were then enabled to cross the Chenab, and co-operate with lord Gough, who continued to advance. On Feb. 21 took place the battle of Goojerat. Lord Gough, with 25,000 men and 100 guns, attacked the strongly posted Sikh army of 60,000 men and 59 guns. After nine hours of obstinate fighting, the Sikhs were totally routed, and Shere Singh escaped to the Salt Range Hills with only 8000 men. On March 8, he came to the British camp to propose a capitulation, but was told that nothing but an unconditional surrender would be accepted. On the 14th this was made, and the Sikh forces laid down their arms.

The Afghans, under Dost Mahomed, had taken a hostile part against the British during this contest. They had taken the fort of Attock, and captured several British officers. Sir William Gibert was sent against them, and, after some other successes, on March 21, Dost Mahomed and the remnant of his army reached the Khyber Pass, through which they were able to retreat unmolested. On March 29, the Governor-General of India issued a proclamation that the Punjab was annexed to the British dominions. In July, Moolraj was tried and found guilty of the murder of Mr. Agnew and lieu. Anderson, but was recommended to mercy, and in September he was sentenced to be transported for life.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 12 & 13 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 29. *An Act to amend the Laws in force for the Encouragement of British Shipping and Navigation.*

Cap. 77. *Further to facilitate the State and Transfer of Incumbered Estates in Ireland.*

Cap. 106. *To amend and consolidate the Laws relating to Bankruptcy.*

Cap. 111. *To amend the Nuisances Removal and Diseases Prevention Act, 1848.*

Révenue, 52,951,749*l.*; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 8,881,141*l.*; Navy, 6,942,397*l.*; for all objects, 50,853,623*l.*; Debt, 790,927,017*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce and Manufactures, 63,596,025*l.*

Emigration—To North American Colonies, 41,367; to the United States, 219,450; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 32,191; to all other places, 6490; total, 299,498.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 5031; number of passengers, 63,841,539; traffic receipts, 11,806,498*l.*

Number of Paupers in England and Ireland, on Jan. 1, 1,555,166.

1850.

Jan. 3. A proclamation issued by the Queen, appointing a Commission for the Promotion of the Works of Industry of all Nations, to be holden in 1851, of which Prince Albert became the acting chief. In pursuance of this object a numerous meeting was held at the Mansion-house, London, on the 25th.

Jan. 10. The Enterprise and Investigator leave Woolwich in search of Sir John Franklin.

Jan. 17. A meeting of the Manchester Chamber of Commerce took place, in order to consider the important question of how best to insure a more certain and steady supply of cotton. Several suggestions were offered, but no important mode of action was decided on.

Jan. 31. Parliament opened by commission. The speech announced the happy continuance of peace and amity with foreign powers; that the differences which had arisen between Austria and Turkey respecting the reception of the Hungarian refugees was peacefully terminated; that the United States and Sweden had granted reciprocity to British ships; the speech then referred to the cholera, and to the loyal reception of her majesty in Ireland; it congratulated the Houses on the improved condition of commerce

and manufactures, and upon the freedom of the kingdom from the wars and convulsions which had prevailed during the last two years on the continent of Europe.

Feb. 4. Social riots in Paris occasioned by the orders for the police to cut down the trees of liberty ; this continued for two days, but the mobs were dispersed by the troops.

Feb. 6. The king of Prussia takes the oaths to the new constitution agreed upon for that kingdom.

March 15. The Chancellor of the Exchequer made his financial statement. He showed that there would be a surplus of revenue, with which he proposed to remove the duty on bricks, and to make loans for purposes of drainage and agricultural improvements to England, Scotland and Ireland.

March 15. The king of Würtemberg opens his States Assembly with a speech attacking the insidious ambition of Russia, and announcing the formation of a league, under the sanction of Austria, between Würtemberg, Bavaria, and Saxony.

March 21. A grand banquet was given at the Mansion-house by the lord mayor of London to the mayors of 188 towns, to the foreign ambassadors, the ministers and prince Albert, to promote and forward the project of the Great International Exhibition. In his speech to the meeting, prince Albert said, "It must indeed be most gratifying to me to find that a suggestion which I had thrown out, as appearing to me of importance at this time, should have met with such universal concurrence and approbation."

June 17. In the House of Lords, a resolution was moved by lord Stanley condemnatory of the foreign policy of ministers in relation to the affairs of Greece, which was carried after some debate by a majority of 37 against ministers. On the 20th Mr. Roebuck gave notice in the House of Commons of his intention to move a resolution approving the whole foreign policy of the government. The debate on this resolution commenced on the 24th, and was continued on the 25th and the 28th, when the House divided—For the resolution, 310 ; against it, 264 ; majority in favour of ministers, 46.

July 2. Sir Robert Peel died in consequence of a fall from his horse on June 29, aged 62. On July 4, lord John Russell pronounced a panegyric on the deceased statesman, and suggested a public funeral, which was declined, on the ground of his own expressed wish, "to be interred without ostentation or parade of any kind." On July 22, a numerous meeting was held at Willis's Rooms to consider of means to perpetuate his memory. On the 10th a meeting had been held at Bury to promote the erection of a monument to his memory at his native place ; and, on the 15th, one in the city of London for a national testimonial.

July 4. A protocol, signed in London, on the part of Great Britain, France, Prussia, and Sweden, guaranteeing the integrity of the territories of Denmark. A peace had been concluded between Denmark and Prussia on the 2nd respecting the duchies, but the Schleswig-Holsteiners refused to submit. On the 25th, the Danish army totally defeated the insurgents at Idsted, and entered the town of Schleswig.

July 22. Lord John Russell withdrew in the House of Commons the Jews' Oath of Abjuration Bill. On the 26th the Baron de Rothschild presented himself at the table of the House to take the oaths as member for the city of London, but on saying that he desired to be sworn on the Old Testament, a stormy debate arose, which was adjourned. It was resumed on the 29th, and on the motion of Mr. Hume that the clerk be directed to swear the baron on the Old Testament, the House divided after a debate—for the motion 113, against it 59.

Aug. 18. The parliament was prorogued by her Majesty in person.

Aug. 28. The submarine telegraph wire was sunk between Dover and Cape Grisnez, near Calais.

Aug. 30. Prince Albert laid the foundation stone of the National Gallery at Edinburgh. In his address on the occasion he said—"Let us hope that the impulse given to the culture of the Fine Arts in this country, and the daily increasing attention bestowed upon it by the people at large, will not only tend to refine and elevate the national tastes, but will also tend to the production of works, which, if left behind us as the memorials of the age, will give to after generations an adequate idea of our advanced state of civilization."

Sept. 1. The Elector of Hesse Cassel declares his dominions in a state of siege in consequence of the Chamber requiring to have a regular budget laid before them, in concordance with the constitution of 1831. An insurrection takes place, and on the 13th the Elector fled to Hanover. He is restored by Austrian and Bavarian troops, although Prussia declared her intention of supporting the constitution against the Elector, advanced troops, and even took possession of Cassel, but ultimately withdrew them on Dec. 5 ; and on Dec. 10 an order was issued by the prime-minister of Hesse, for the immediate payment of the taxes refused by the Chamber under pain of fine and imprisonment.

Sept. 10. The Roman Catholic Synod, which had met at Thurles in Ireland on Aug. 22, closed its labours by condemning the establishment of the Queen's Colleges, and proposing a Roman Catholic university.

Oct. 25. A return banquet for the purpose of promoting the great International Exhi-

bition of 1851, was given by the Lord Mayor of York to the Lord Mayor of London and the mayors of the principal cities and boroughs of the United Kingdom, at which prince Albert was present. On his health being drunk, he pronounced a warm eulogium on the late sir Robert Peel. In the course of it he said, "If he has had so great an influence over this country, it was from the nation recognizing in his qualities the true type of the English character, which is essentially practical. Warmly attached to his institutions, and revering the bequests left to him by the industry, wisdom, and piety of his forefathers, the Englishman attaches little value to any theoretical scheme. It will attract his attention only after having been for some time placed before him; it must have been thoroughly investigated and discussed before he will entertain it. Should it be an empty theory, it will fall to the ground during this time of probation; should it survive this trial, it will be on account of the practical qualities contained in it; but its adoption in the end will entirely depend upon its harmonizing with the national feeling, the historical development of the country, and the peculiar nature of its institutions."

Dec. 6. Cardinal Wiseman was enthroned as an archbishop. The act caused an extreme agitation throughout the country. On the 10th various addresses were presented to her Majesty on the subject of this aggression.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 13 & 14 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 2. *An Act to restrain Party Processions in Ireland.*

Cap. 33. *To make more effectual Provision for regulating the Police of Towns and populous Places in Scotland, and for paving, draining, cleansing, lighting, and improving the same.* This is the first general and comprehensive Act for the management of towns in Scotland.

Cap. 52. *To make better Provision for the interment of the Dead in and near the Metropolis.* This gives power for the formation of extra-mural cemeteries, and forbids, after certain orders have been issued, the burial in any of the parish churches or churchyards within the metropolitan district.

Cap. 59. *For the better Government of her Majesty's Australian Colonies,* forming the colony of Victoria, giving a representative constitution, and empowering the legislative body to levy customs, duties, and other taxes.

Cap. 65. *For enabling Town Councils to establish Public Libraries and Museums.*

Cap. 93. *For improving the Conditions of Masters, Mates, and Seamen, and maintaining Discipline in the Merchant Service.*

Cap. 99. *For the better assessing and collecting the Poor Rates and Highway Rates in respect of small Tenements.* The Act gives power to vestries to determine whether tenements of a rateable value of not more than 6*l.* shall be rated to the owners or the occupiers.

Revenue, 52,810,680*l.*; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 8,955,061*l.*; Navy, 6,437,883*l.*; for all objects, 50,231,874*l.*; Debt, 787,029,162*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce and Manufactures, 71,367,885*l.*: total of exports, 73,300,951*l.*

Emigration—To North American Colonies, 32,961; to the United States, 223,078; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 16,037; to all other places, 8,773: total, 280,849.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 6,221; number of passengers, 72,854,422; traffic receipts, 13,204,668*l.*

Number of Paupers in England and Ireland, 1,228,513.

1851.

Jan. 2. The French ministry resigned; on the 10th the *Moniteur* contained the official list of the new ministry appointed by the Prince President. The new ministers were on the evening of the 10th defeated in the Legislative Assembly on a point of minor importance by 330 to 258. On the 18th the assembly passed a vote of want of confidence in the ministry, by 417 to 278. On the 24th a message from the Prince President was received, communicating the formation of a Cabinet composed of persons not members of the assembly.

Jan. 10. General Changarnier deprived of his offices as commander of the National Guards and of a division of the army.

Jan. 27. Earl Grey, the Secretary of State for the Colonies, in a despatch to the Governor of Canada, relinquished to the provincial legislature the right of disposing absolutely of the clergy reserves in Canada.

Feb. 4. Parliament opened by the Queen in person, who in the royal speech intimated that a bill in reference to ecclesiastical titles would be introduced to Parliament in consequence of the bull recently issued by the Pope, appointing certain dioceses in England.

Feb. 20. Mr. Locke King obtained leave to bring in a Bill to extend the 10*l.* franchise to counties. This was carried against the government by a majority of 100 to 52, which circumstance, coupled with the smallness of the majority (281 to 267) in favour of government against a protectionist motion of Mr. Disraeli on the 13th, led to the resignation of the ministry on the 22nd. Lord Stanley and the earl of Aberdeen were each requested to form a government, but neither being able to do so, the former ministers were, by the advice of the duke of Wellington, reinstated in office, and resumed their places on the 3rd of March.

March 10. Public meetings of English Roman Catholics held in Freemasons' Hall, London, to petition Parliament against the Ecclesiastical Titles Bill. A meeting of Irish Roman Catholics for the same object was held in Dublin on the 30th of April.

March 13. Opening of Owen's College, Manchester, an educational institution founded in accordance with the will of Mr. John Owen, who bequeathed 100,000*l.* for the purpose, with the condition that no religious test should be applied in the admission of students.

March 31. The decennial census of Great Britain and Ireland taken this day.

May 1. The Great Exhibition of the Industry of All Nations opened in Hyde Park by the Queen. On the 9th of July a grand entertainment was given at the Guildhall in celebration of the Great Exhibition, when the Queen and prince Albert attended. The Exhibition was closed to the public on the 11th of October. On the 15th the awards of the Juries were announced, and the closing ceremony took place. The total number of admissions to the Exhibition was 6,007,944, being a daily average of upwards of 43,500. The largest attendance was on Tuesday the 7th of October, when 109,915 persons were admitted. The gross receipts were about 506,000*l.*; the expenses about 330,000*l.* The number of exhibitors was about 15,000.

May 3. Prince Albert, at the Royal Academy dinner on this day, in responding to the toast proposed by the president, remarked that "We have now on the one hand the eager competition of a vast array of artists of every degree of talent and skill, and on the other as judge, a great public, for the greater part wholly uneducated in art, and thus led by professional writers, who often strive to impress the public with a great idea of their own artistic knowledge by the merciless manner in which they treat works, which cost those who produced them the highest efforts of mind and feeling."

May 22. Proclamation issued by the government of New South Wales, claiming the royalty of the newly-discovered gold-fields for the Crown. The amount of gold exported from New South Wales to the end of 1851 was 464,336*l.*

June 17. Mr. Cobden moved an address to the Crown, praying her majesty to direct the foreign secretary to enter into communication with the French Government to promote a mutual reduction of armaments. Lord Palmerston objected to the adoption of the address, while he expressed the general concurrence of the government in its principle and object. The motion was withdrawn.

Aug. 1. The Lord Mayor of London, the Commissioners of the Great Exhibition, and many other distinguished persons, visited Paris by invitation, and were entertained for several days by the Municipality.

Aug. 18. Death of the king of Hanover in his 81st year. He was succeeded by his son George V.

Aug. 27. Submersion of the Great Cable Telegraph between England and France. It was opened for public use on the 13th of November.

Nov. 4. Opening of the session of the French Legislative Assembly, when the Prince President in his message recommended the restoration of universal suffrage. On the 13th the Assembly (by 353 votes against 347) threw out the bill, which had been proposed by the ministry in accordance with the President's message, for the repeal of the existing electoral law and the substitution of universal suffrage.

Dec. 2. The Prince President of the French Republic issued a decree dissolving the Legislative Assembly, establishing universal suffrage, proposing the election of a president for ten years and the establishment of a second Chamber; also declaring Paris in a state of siege. MM. Thiers, Changarnier, Bedeau, Cavaignac, Lamoricière, and Charras were arrested, and placed in confinement in the Castle of Vincennes. About 180 members who attempted to constitute a meeting of the Assembly, with M. Berryer at their head, were likewise arrested.

Dec. 18. At this date thirty-four departments of France were under martial law.

Dec. 21. On this and the preceding day the votes of the people were taken, on the principle of universal suffrage, on the question of the election of a president of the republic for ten years.

Dec. 22. Viscount Palmerston quitted office. [See parliamentary explanation. February 3, 1852.]

LEGISLATION. SESSION 14 & 15 VICTORIA.

Cap. 19. *An Act for the better Prevention of Offences*, inflicting punishments for attempts at crime, and authorizing the apprehension of persons found in possession of house-breaking instruments at night, and of suspected persons.

Cap. 28. *For the well-ordering of Common Lodging-houses*, regulating the number of lodgers, and all other matters conducing to cleanliness and health.

Cap. 61. *For providing a Metropolitan Market and Conveniences connected therewith in lieu of the Cattle Market at Smithfield*. The market is now held in the Caledonian-road, Islington.

Cap. 79. *To consolidate and amend the Laws relating to the Regulation of Steam Navigation, and to Boats and Lights to be carried by Seagoing Vessels*.

Cap. 82. *To improve the Administration of Justice in the Court of Chancery and in the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council*. This is the Act by which the Court of Appeal in Chancery was established.

Cap. 99. *To amend the Law of Evidence*. Parties to a suit enabled to give testimony, and documents to be produced in Courts of Common Law.

Cap. 100. *For further improving the Administration of Criminal Justice*. Enabling the judges to correct errors in the indictment not material to the merits of the cause; removing certain minute details from indictments, and giving juries the power of convicting for a crime though not precisely the one proved, as in case of a charge for misdemeanor or embezzlement which may turn out to be a larceny, the criminal may be found guilty in the form charged.

Revenue, 52,233,006*l.*; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 8,723,940*l.*; Navy, 5,849,917*l.*; for all objects, 49,506,610*l.*; Debt, 782,869,382*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce 74,448,722*l.*; total of exports, 115,821,092*l.*; imports, 152,889,053*l.*

Emigration—To North American Colonies, 42,605; to United States, 267,357; to the Australian Colonies, and New Zealand, 21,532; to all other places, 4,472: total, 335,966.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 6,890; number of passengers, 85,391,095; traffic receipts, 14,997,459*l.*

Number of Paupers in England and Ireland, 1,070,080.

1852.

Jan. 1. Installation of prince Louis Napoleon as President of the French Republic for ten years. On the 10th eighty-three members of the late Legislative Assembly were banished from France, and 575 persons, arrested for resistance to the *coup d'état* of December 2nd, were conveyed to Havre to be transported to the penal settlements of Cayenne, in Guiana.

Jan. 9. The principal engineering firms of London and Lancashire discharged their workmen, and closed their works, rather than submit to the demands of the Amalgamated Society of Operative Engineers for the abolition of piece-work and overtime. This unhappy dispute lasted several months, at great cost both to masters and operatives, and occasioned much distress among the families of the workmen.

Feb. 3. Parliament opened by the Queen. The royal speech recommended the reconsideration of the Reform Act of the late reign, with a view to its amendment on some points. In the House of Commons, in the debate on the address lord John Russell, in answer to a question, explained the circumstances under which lord Palmerston had quitted office. Lord Palmerston, as foreign secretary, had sent a despatch of importance in which his colleagues had not concurred, and he had hastily expressed his approbation of the proceedings of the Prince President of the French Republic in the *coup d'état* of December 2nd.

Feb. 9. New Reform Bill introduced into the House of Commons by lord John Russell, by which the franchise was proposed to be 5*l.* rated yearly value in boroughs, and 20*l.* occupation, or 10*l.* copyhold rated yearly value in counties.

Feb. 20. Defeat of government on the Local Militia Bill, an amendment by lord Palmerston that the force should be *general* instead of *local* being carried by 136 votes to 125. In consequence of this vote lord John Russell's ministry resigned on the 21st.

Feb. 27. The earl of Derby, having accepted the Premiership, explained in the House of Lords the intended policy of his Cabinet. He said that the question of protection to

agriculture was one to be solved only by a reference to the well understood and clearly expressed opinion of the people. The members of lord Derby's Cabinet were as follows:—Earl of Derby, First Lord of the Treasury; earl of Lonsdale, President of the Council; lord St. Leonards, Lord High Chancellor; marquess of Salisbury, Lord Privy Seal; Rt. Hon. B. Disraeli, Chancellor of the Exchequer; Rt. Hon. S. H. Walpole, Secretary of State for Home Affairs; earl of Malmesbury, Foreign Secretary; Rt. Hon. sir J. S. Pakington, Colonial Secretary; duke of Northumberland, First Lord of the Admiralty; Rt. Hon. J. C. Herries, President of the Board of Control; Rt. Hon. J. W. Henley, President of the Board of Trade; lord J. J. R. Manners, Commissioner of Woods and Forests.

March 2. Re-organization of the Anti-Corn League in consequence of the accession to power of a protectionist ministry.

June 1. Electric Telegraph opened throughout from London to Dublin.

June 1. Parliament closed by the Queen in person, and dissolved by proclamation. A new Parliament called.

July 14. Death of the duke of Wellington at Walmer Castle, Dover, in his 84th year, after a slight illness. His death took place as if he had fallen asleep in his chair. On the 18th of November his remains were interred in St. Paul's Cathedral near those of Nelson, being conveyed thither by military procession along the streets of London. On the 6th of December the House of Commons voted 80,000*l.* for the expenses of the funeral.

Oct. 7. At a public dinner at Bordeaux the Prince President of the French republic delivered a speech, in which he made the declaration that "The empire is peace."

Nov. 4. The French senate received a message from the Prince President recommending the re-establishment of the empire.

Nov. 11. The Queen opened parliament in person. The earl of Derby stated that he was prepared to bow to the decision of the country in favour of free-trade, as indicated by the result of the elections for the present parliament.

Dec. 1. The French Corps Législatif proclaimed the definitive result of the voting on the *plebiscite* respecting the re-establishment of the empire, as follows:—Ayes, 7,864,189; Noes, 253,145; Null, 63,326. The Prince President, in accepting the imperial dignity, took the title of Napoleon III.

Dec. 6. Ministers announced in both Houses of Parliament that the government had recognized the re-establishment of the empire in France, and Napoleon III. as Emperor.

Dec. 16. On the debate on the ministerial budget, which continued through four sittings of the House of Commons, the government was left in a minority of 19—the votes in favour of ministers being 286, against, 305. In consequence of this vote, the earl of Derby's ministry resigned office on the 17th, and the earl of Aberdeen accepted the Premiership. The new Cabinet was composed as follows:—Earl of Aberdeen, First Lord of the Treasury; earl Granville, President of the Council; lord Cranworth, Lord High Chancellor; duke of Argyll, Lord Privy Seal; Rt. Hon. W. E. Gladstone, Chancellor of the Exchequer; viscount Palmerston, Secretary of State for Home Affairs; earl of Clarendon, Foreign Secretary; duke of Newcastle, Colonial Secretary; sir James Graham, First Lord of the Admiralty; sir Charles Wood, President of the Board of Control; Rt. Hon. Sidney Herbert, Secretary of War; sir W. Molesworth, Commissioner of Parks and Public Buildings; marquess of Lansdowne, and lord John Russell, without office.

Dec. 20. Proclamation issued by the Governor-General of India, annexing Pegu as a province to the British territory in India.

Dec. 31. The amount of gold exported from the Colony of New South Wales during 1852 was 2,660,946*l.*

LEGISLATION. SESSION 15 & 16 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 12. *Copyright Amendment Act*, for carrying into effect a convention with France on the subject of copyright, by which the right of translation is mutually reserved on publishing a notice to that effect with the work.

Cap. 31. *To legalize the formation of Industrial and Provident Societies*,—enabling them to pay officers, sue for subscriptions, to carry on trades, &c., on being registered. This is the Act under which Co-operative Societies are formed.

Cap. 51. *Enfranchisement of Copyholds*. By this Act any lord of the manor or tenant may compel or require enfranchisement on certain defined terms.

Cap. 56. *For regulating the Qualifications of Pharmaceutical Chemists*,—enabling

examiners appointed by the Pharmaceutical Society to grant certificates to persons who have satisfactorily passed an examination, who may then assume the title of Pharmaceutical Chemists;—a penalty of 5*l.* is imposed on any one assuming the title without having a certificate.

Cap. 76. *To amend the Process, Practice, and Mode of Pleading in the Superior Courts of Common Law at Westminster, and in the Superior Courts of the Counties Palatine of Lancaster and Durham.* An important Act, making great alterations in and simplifying the proceedings in the Common Law Courts.

Cap. 83. *For amending the Law for granting Patents for Inventions.*—Reducing the payment of fees on the first grant of a patent, and regulating the periods of payment for lengthened terms of years.

Revenues, gross receipts, 56,576,840*l.*; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 9,023,940*l.*; Navy, 5,849,917*l.*; for all objects, 53,850,445*l.*; Debt, 780,869,382*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 78,076,854*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 32,873; to the United States, 244,261; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 87,881; to all other places, 3,749: total, 368,764.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 7,336; number of passengers, 89,135,729; traffic receipts, 15,710,554*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,080,953.

1853.

Jan. 30. The emperor Napoleon III. married to Mdle. de Montijo, duchess of Teba.

Feb. 17. The duke of Newcastle stated in the House of Lords that the transportation of convicts to the Australian Colonies would no longer be resorted to, except in the case of Western Australia.—Termination of the Kafir War by the submission of the Chiefs Macomo, Sandilli, and Kreili.

Mar. 4. Inauguration of general Pierce as President of the United States of North America.

Mar. 22. A Treasury Minute issued authorizing the establishment of mints in Australia.

April 1. Manchester constituted a city by royal charter.

April 8. The Chancellor of the Exchequer brought forward his plans for a modification of the form of the National Debt, the object being to lay the foundation of a permanent irredeemable 2½ per cent. stock. The resolutions embodying this plan were adopted by the House of Commons.

April 18. The Chancellor of the Exchequer introduced his budget, in which he proposed to repeal the duties leviable on 105 articles, of which the principal item was soap; and to make important reductions in the duties leviable on 126 articles, including the stamp duties on receipts and life assurance policies, and the duties on advertisements and on tea. He proposed also to extend the income-tax to Ireland, and to widen its range, so as to include incomes between 100*l.* and 150*l.*, and to bring real property within the operation of the legacy duty.

May 12. The Great Exhibition of Industry of Ireland opened in Dublin by the lord-lieutenant.

June 20. Peace with Birmah proclaimed by the governor-general of India.

Nov. 7. Thirty-three persons tried at Paris for plotting to assassinate the emperor Napoleon. Ten received sentence of transportation for life; the others were sentenced to various terms of imprisonment.

Nov. 15. Death of Donna Maria II., queen of Portugal. She was succeeded by her eldest son, Don Pedro VIII.

Dec. 31. The amount of gold exported from New South Wales in 1853 was 1,781,172*l.*

The following events are preliminary to the War with Russia in 1854-5:—

On the 3rd of May prince Menzikoff, the Russian ambassador to the Porte, presented the *ultimatum* of the Russian government on certain matters in dispute between the Czar

and the Sultan. On the 22nd prince Menzikoff left Constantinople. On the 4th of June orders were transmitted by the English and French governments to the commanders of their Mediterranean fleets to approach the Dardaneiles without delay. On the 16th of June the Porte finally rejected the Russian *ultimatum*. On the 26th the emperor of Russia issued a manifesto against the Porte, in which he announced the marching of Russian armies upon the Danubian provinces of Turkey. On the 2nd of July the Russian army crossed the Pruth, thus invading Turkish territory. On the 27th of September the Porte declared war against Russia; and on the 1st of October, appealed to France and England for material aid in the war. The English and French fleets entered the Bosphorus on the 22nd of October. On the 3rd of November the Russians placed Moldavia and Wallachia under martial law. On the 4th the Turks were attacked at Oltenitza by general Dannenberg with 9000 Russians, when the Russians were repulsed with a loss of 1200 men. On the 30th the Turkish fleet lying in the harbor of Sinope, with the citadel and town of Sinope, were destroyed by the Russian admiral, Nachimoff. On the 3rd of December the combined fleets of England and France entered the Black Sea, on the demand of the Porte, on which the Russian fleet retired within the harbour of Sebastopol. On the 5th a protocol was signed at Vienna by the representatives of France, England, Austria, and Prussia, for the re-establishment of peace between Russia and Turkey, and for the maintenance of the integrity of the Ottoman empire as an essential condition of the balance of European power.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 16 & 17 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 15. *An Act to limit the time of taking the Poll in Counties at contested Elections for Knights of the Shire to serve in Parliament in England and Wales to one day.*

Cap. 51. *For granting to her Majesty Duties on Succession to Property, and for altering certain Provisions of the Acts charging Duties on Legacies and Shares of Personal Estates.* Succession duties are laid on real estates by this Act, the rate varying according to the nearness of consanguinity.

Cap. 73. *For the Establishment of a Body of Naval Coast Volunteers, and for the temporary transfer to the Navy in case of need, of seafaring men employed in other Services.* Enables volunteers to enter for a period of five years, during which period they are to be trained to the navy for a time not exceeding 28 days in each year, and in case of imminent danger to be called into actual service, but not to be sent more than 100 leagues from the coast during exercise and actual service. They are to receive the same allowances as able seamen. While volunteers they are exempt from serving in the navy or militia, and a regulated bounty is given, which is not to exceed 6*l*.

Cap. 99. *To substitute, in certain Cases, other Punishment in lieu of Transportation.*—Penal imprisonment is substituted by this Act.

Cap. 137. *For the better Administration of Charitable Trusts.* Commissioners are appointed, with powers to investigate the affairs of any charitable trusts, and authorize legal proceedings to enforce the proper fulfilment of the trust if it should be necessary.

Revenue, 57,535,215*l*.; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 9,876,962*l*.; Navy, 6,625,944*l*.; for all objects, 51,044,986*l*.; Debt, 770,923,001*l*.

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 98,933,781*l*.

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 34,522; to the United States, 230,885; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 61,401; to all other places, 3,129; total, 329,937.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 7,686; number of passengers, 102,286,660; traffic receipts, 18,035,879*l*.

Number of Paupers, 1,016,081.

1854.

[The principal events of the War with Russia, which will fill so large a space in the History of the years 1854 and 1855, will be given separately from other events in our Annals of those two years.]

Jan. 31. In opening parliament queen Victoria, in the speech from the throne, expressed her regret that the endeavours made by her in conjunction with the emperor of the

French, had failed to procure an amicable settlement of the differences which had arisen between Russia and Turkey. On the 6th of February the Russian ambassador withdrew from Paris, and on the 8th baron Brunnow, the Russian ambassador at the British court, left England. On the 20th the Grenadier and Coldstream Guards embarked at Southampton for Turkey. Other troops followed in rapid succession. On the 11th of March the Queen reviewed at Spithead the fleet under command of admiral sir Charles Napier, previous to its sailing for the Baltic. On the 12th a treaty of alliance between France, England, and Turkey, was signed at Constantinople. March 25. England and France, having sent an *ultimatum* to the Russian emperor, received an answer to the effect that the Czar had no answer to send. War against Russia declared on the 27th by France, and on the 28th by Great Britain. On the 21st of April Odessa was bombarded by the French and English fleets. On the 10th of May the "Tiger" war steamer ran ashore near Odessa in a dense fog; in this position she was attacked by the Russians who disabled her, and took her crew of two hundred men prisoners. On the 17th Silistria was invested by the Russians, who, after a siege of forty days, abandoned their operations against the place. Two British officers, captain Butler and lieutenant Nasmyth, encouraged and directed the Turks in their heroic defence. On the 29th of May the allied troops disembarked at Varna, where, during the period of their encampment, they suffered greatly from disease and insufficient accommodation. On the 29th of June the Russian batteries at the Sulina mouth of the Danube were destroyed by two British steamers. In the Baltic the fortress of Gustafsvaern was bombarded by the British fleet on the 22nd of May. The British force was reinforced by ten thousand French troops, who left Boulogne on the 15th of July in British ships of war. On the 16th of August the fort of Bomarsund, with a garrison of 2000 men, surrendered to the allied fleet, after three days' bombardment. On the 15th of September the Russians evacuated Moldavia after which the Danubian provinces were garrisoned by the Austrians. On the 14th of September the allied forces landed in the Crimea, on the western shore, near 45° N. lat., without opposition. The army included 23,000 French, 25,000 British, and 8000 Turks. On the 20th the first conflict with the Russians in the Crimea took place in the battle of the Alma, in which the Russians were completely worsted. On the 26th, after a forced march of fourteen hours, the allied forces occupied Balaklava, and subsequently encamped before Sebastopol. On the 29th marshal St. Arnaud, the commander-in-chief of the French forces, fell a victim to disease after having transferred his command to general Canrobert. On the 17th of October the bombardment of Sebastopol by the allied armies and fleets commenced. On the 25th the Russians attacked the allies at Balaklava, but were repulsed with loss. By an unhappy misreading of an order of lord Raglan, the earl of Lucan directed the light cavalry to charge the overwhelming Russian force. Of 607 men who went into the action, only 198 returned. On the 5th of November the battle of Inkerman was fought, in which the Russians, in immense numbers, attempted a surprise before daybreak, but were obliged to retire with a loss of about 10,000 killed and wounded. The struggle lasted the entire day. On the 14th a violent storm occurred in the Black Sea, which destroyed many store ships, and caused great calamities on shore. This was followed by a season of intense suffering amongst the troops; the roads were impassable: the weather was bitterly cold; men and horses, ill supplied with food and shelter, perished in large numbers, whilst medical attention and hospital accommodation were woefully deficient. This being known at home from the reports of the correspondents of the newspapers, gave rise to great dissatisfaction with the management of affairs, and private subscriptions to a large amount were speedily raised to mitigate the distress. Miss Nightingale organised a numerous staff of nurses, and proceeded with them to Constantinople, to superintend the hospitals, which were principally at Scutari, and to attend the sick and wounded, by which attention the lives of many hundreds were saved.

June 10. Crystal Palace at Sydenham opened by queen Victoria.

Sept. 1. The emperor Napoleon entertained at Boulogne the king of the Belgians, the king of Portugal, and the Prince Consort of England.

Sept. 9. The deaths from the cholera in London for the week ending this day were 2050. In three months, August, September, and October, 10,500 persons died of cholera in London.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 17 & 18 VICTORIA.

Cap. 5. *An Act to admit Foreign Ships to the Coasting Trade.* Foreign vessels are admitted on paying the same duties as British ships.

Cap. 38. *For the Suppression of Gaming Houses.*

Cap. 74. *To render Reformatory and Industrial Schools in Scotland more available for the benefit of Vagrant Children.* Young persons under fourteen found begging, and without visible means of subsistence, may be sent to these schools without being charged with crime.

Cap. 114. *To extend the Rights enjoyed by the Graduates of the Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, in respect to the Practice of Physic, to the Graduates of the University of London.* Bachelors and doctors of medicine are privileged to practise as physicians in the same manner as graduates of Oxford and Cambridge, but this privilege does not extend to surgery, pharmacy, or midwifery.

Revenue, 58,903,495*l.*; Expenditure — Army and Ordnance, 9,685,079*l.*; Navy, 6,640,596*l.*; for all purposes, 55,647,991*l.*; Debt, 771,335,801*l.**

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 97,184,726*l.*; total exports, 115,821,092*l.*; imports, 152,389,053*l.*

Emigration— to North American Colonies, 43,761; to the United States, 193,065; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 83,237; to other places, 3,366: total, 323,429.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 8,054; number of passengers, 111,206,707; traffic receipts, 20,215,724*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,004,068.

1855.

Jan. 25. Lord John Russell retired from office in consequence of an approaching discussion in the House of Commons, on a motion of which Mr. Roebuck gave notice on the 23rd for a select committee to inquire into the condition of our army before Sebastopol, and into the conduct of the commissariat and medical departments of the army.

Jan. 31. Resignation of lord Aberdeen's cabinet, in consequence of the defeat of the government on the 20th, in the division on Mr. Roebuck's motion; the votes being 305 against ministers and 148 in their favour. The earl of Derby was invited by the Queen to undertake the formation of a government, but declined; lord Palmerston accepted the responsibility, and the members of his cabinet were sworn into office on the 8th of February. The ministry stood thus: viscount Palmerston, First Lord of the Treasury; earl Granville, President of the Council; lord Cranworth, Lord High Chancellor; duke of Argyll, Lord Privy Seal; Right Hon. W. E. Gladstone, Chancellor of the Exchequer; sir George Grey, Secretary of State for Home Affairs; earl of Clarendon, Foreign Secretary; Right Hon. Sidney Herbert, Colonial Secretary; lord Panmure, War Secretary; Right Hon. sir James Graham, First Lord of the Admiralty; Right Hon. Vernon Smith, President of the Board of Control; sir B. Hall, Commissioner of Works and Public Buildings; earl of Harrowby, Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster; marquess of Lansdowne, without office.

Feb. 20. Lord John Russell left London to attend the conferences of the representatives of the great powers, on the terms of peace between Russia and Turkey, as the British plenipotentiary.

Feb. 22. Withdrawal from office of Mr. Gladstone, sir James Graham, and Mr. Sidney Herbert, on account of the House of Commons insisting upon the appointment of a select committee in terms of Mr. Roebuck's motion. Their places in the cabinet were filled up by the appointment of sir G. C. Lewis, sir Charles Wood, and lord John Russell.

April 17. Arrival of the emperor and empress of the French at Windsor on a visit to the Queen. On the 19th they were entertained by the Lord Mayor at a splendid fête in the Guildhall of London. They took leave on their return home on the 21st.

April 20. The Chancellor of the Exchequer made his financial statement, in which he intimated that the deficiency occasioned by the Russian war was about twenty-three millions, of which he proposed to raise sixteen millions by loan, and the rest by a readjustment involving an increase of taxation.

June 13. The new Metropolitan Cattle Market opened. Prince Albert attended the opening, and in replying to the address read to him by the recorder, after remarking on the enormous growth of London, said that this growth "imperatively requires that those establishments which are to minister to the common wants of the whole should keep pace with its growth and magnitude. This can only be undertaken by public bodies, they can only be carried out by public spirit. . . . I hail the spirit which is rising among us."

July 13. Lord John Russell resigned his office of Colonial secretary in consequence of discussions in the House of Commons in reference to the part taken by him in the Vienna Conference. He was succeeded by sir William Molesworth.

* Up to this date the financial year ended on Jan. 4; all after end on March 31.

Aug. 1. Viscount Canning was entertained at a public banquet by the East India Company, previous to his departure to assume the functions of governor-general of India.

Aug. 18. Queen Victoria and prince Albert visited the emperor of the French in Paris, remaining till the 27th, when they embarked at Boulogne on their return to England.

Nov. 22. The first stone of the Birmingham and Midland Institute laid by prince Albert. At the banquet afterwards, in the Town-hall of Birmingham, in replying to the toast of his health, he dwelt on the advantages to be derived from the higher branches of education; he remarked on the error of considering scientific knowledge as antagonistic; and said, "Far be it from me to undervalue the creative power of genius, or to treat shrewd common sense as worthless without knowledge. But nobody will tell me that the same genius would not take an incomparably higher flight, if supplied with all the means which knowledge can impart, or that common sense does not become, in fact, only truly powerful when in possession of the materials upon which judgment is to be exercised."

Nov. 30. The king of Sardinia arrived at Windsor on a visit to the Queen.

RUSSIAN WAR.—On the 6th of January Conferences were opened at Vienna between the plenipotentiaries of Great Britain, France, Austria and Russia, with a view to the restoration of peace. These conferences continued till the 21st of April, when they were suspended without any practical result. On the 10th of January Victor Emmanuel, king of Sardinia, joined the Allies, and undertook to send 15,000 men to the Crimea to aid in the war against Russia. On the 12th of February an imperial ukase ordered the organization and arming of the entire militia of the Russian empire for the defence of the "orthodox faith." On the 17th the Russians attacked Eupatoria, but were repulsed by the Turks who formed the garrison of the place. On the 2nd of March Nicholas, emperor of Russia, died, and was succeeded by his son Alexander II. The event was announced to the British Houses of Parliament within five hours of the emperor's decease, the intelligence being transmitted by telegraph. On the 4th of April the British fleet, under rear-admiral Dundas, sailed for the Baltic. The Baltic coast was blockaded from the 19th of April. The French fleet in the Baltic was under the command of admiral Pénaud. On the 9th of April the second bombardment of Sebastopol commenced and was continued, with little effect, till the 28th. On the 16th of May general Canrobert resigned the chief command of the French army in the Crimea to general Pellesier, but continued to serve as a general of division. On the 24th of May Kertch was occupied by the Allies, whose fleets swept the sea of Azoff, captured and garrisoned Yenikali, and bombarded Taganrog, Mariapol, Gheisk, and other towns, destroying public buildings, government magazines, and provision stores. On the 7th of June, at Sebastopol, the French troops captured the Mamelon, two redoubts, and 62 guns, and took 130 officers and 400 men prisoners. On the 18th the French attacked the Malakhoff and the English the Redan, but were repulsed by the Russians with considerable loss. On the 28th lord Raglan, the British commander-in-chief in the Crimea, died of cholera, in his 68th year; he was succeeded by general Simpson, as next in command. On the 11th of July, in the Baltic, the fortifications of Sweaborg were bombarded by the allied fleets of England and France. On the 16th of August the battle of the Tchernaya, near Sebastopol, took place, in which the Russians were defeated by the French and the Sardinians. On the 5th of September the third bombardment of Sebastopol commenced, and on the 8th the French took the Malakhoff; the English who attempted to take the Redan were repulsed. The Russians who had suffered to a frightful extent from the effects of the shot and shells which had been poured upon the city and its defences—about 17,000 officers and privates having been killed, wounded, and missing in three days—evacuated the south side of Sebastopol at night, after sinking their ships and blowing up the defences. On the 9th the Allies took possession of the place, which they found in a ruinous condition. On the 17th of October Kinburn, at the mouth of the Dnieper, surrendered to the Allies. On the 18th the Russians blew up the fortress of Oczakoff. On the 10th of November the emperor Alexander visited his army near Sebastopol. On the 11th of November general sir William Codrington assumed the command of the British army in the Crimea. On the 26th the fortress of Kars surrendered to the Russians, after a protracted and gallant defence, continued for several months amidst severe privations; general Williams and the other English officers, his companions, who became prisoners of war, were treated with great kindness by the Russian general. On the 16th of December Austria sent proposals for peace, the terms of which had been sanctioned by the Allies, to the emperor Alexander at St. Petersburg. On the 19th the united kingdom of Sweden and Norway joined the alliance of the Western Powers.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 18 & 19 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 27. *An Act to amend the Laws relating to the Stamp Duties on Newspapers, and to provide for the transmission by post of printed Periodicals and Publications.* By this Act the stamp duty was removed except for purposes of transmission by post.

Cap. 88. *To facilitate the Erection of Dwelling-houses for the Working Classes in Scotland.* Granting powers under supervision for small associations to hold land and build houses suitable for the working classes.

Cap. 116. *For the better Prevention of Diseases.* In case of any endemic, epidemic, or contagious disease occurring in any part of England the Privy Council and the General Board of Health are empowered to issue rules and directions for the removal of nuisances, the speedy interment of the dead, for house to house visitation, the dispensing of medicines, &c., the expenses to be paid out of the rates or funds administered by the local authorities.

Cap. 120. *For the better Local Management of the Metropolis.* By this Act the construction of sewers, and such matters as cannot be effected by parishes singly, are confided to a board, the members of which are elected by the various parishes contained in the metropolitan district.

Cap. 126. *For diminishing expense and delay in the Administration of Criminal Justice in certain cases.* Offenders charged with simple felony where the thing stolen does not exceed 5s. in value, may be dealt with summarily by a police magistrate, if they consent; and if the value exceeds 5s. it may be so dealt with on the offender pleading guilty; if he does not, the case must go for trial.

Cap. 132. *For facilitating the Erection of Dwelling-houses for the Working Classes.* This, with some variation in detail, is for a similar purpose to that for Scotland, stated above.

Cap. 133. *For limiting the Liability of Members of certain Joint-Stock Companies.* Enables Joint-Stock Companies to be formed, of which the individual liabilities are limited, but they must be registered, and the word "limited," must form the last word of the name of the company, and must always appear where the title is given.

Revenue, 62,815,952*l.*; Expenditure—Army and Ordnance, 15,631,602*l.*; Navy, 14,490,105*l.*; for all purposes, 65,721,755*l.*; Debt, 801,978,763*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 95,688,085*l.*: total exports, 116,691,300*l.*; imports, 143,542,850*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 17,966; to the United States, 103,414; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 52,309; to all other places, 3,118: total, 176,807*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 8,280; number of passengers, 118,595,135; traffic receipts, 21,507,599*l.*

Number of Paupers. 1,018,075.

1856.

Jan. 31. The queen, in opening parliament, announced that Russia had accepted as a basis for negotiation the proposals for a general peace put forth by Austria, with the sanction of the other allied powers.

Feb. 1. Protocol signed at Vienna by the representatives of Russia, France, Great Britain, Austria, and Turkey, accepting the Austrian propositions as a basis for peace.

Feb. 1. Despatch from general Codrington announcing that the destruction of the Sebastopol docks had been completely effected.

Feb. 5. A new Order of Merit, "The Victoria Cross," instituted, the decoration of which is to be conferred upon inferior officers and privates in the army and navy for distinguished gallantry.

Feb. 7. The governor-general of India announced by a proclamation the deposition of the king of Oude, and the annexation of his territory to the British possessions in India. The king was allowed a pension of 120,000*l.* a year.

Feb. 25. The Peace Conferences opened at Paris, attended by the representatives of France, Austria, Great Britain, Russia, Sardinia, and Turkey. Prussia was subsequently admitted.

Feb. 29. Suspension of hostilities in the Crimea.

March 16. Birth of the Prince Imperial of France. On this occasion the emperor issued an amnesty in favour of upwards of a thousand political exiles.

April 25. The Queen reviewed a fleet of more than 300 men-of-war at Portsmouth. At the commencement of the review the fleet extended in an unbroken line of anchorage for about five miles.

April 29. Peace with Russia officially proclaimed by a herald in the streets of London.

May 3. An amnesty granted to political exiles, in virtue of which Frost, Williams, Jones, and Smith O'Brien, were permitted to return to England. On the 11th of November a free pardon was granted by the queen to these political offenders.

May 29. Public celebration of the conclusion of peace. Magnificent fire-works in London, Edinburgh, and Dublin. A general illumination took place.

June 1. Disastrous inundations in France. For the relief of the sufferers subscriptions were raised in England to the amount of more than 40,000*l*.

July 12. Evacuation of the Crimea by the allied troops.

Oct. 8. Seizure by the Chinese authorities of a *lorcha*, or small trading vessel, which bore the British flag. This led to hostilities between Great Britain and China.

Oct. 13. Surrender of the city of Herat to the Persians, when the governor and his family were put to death. The Persians having thus violated a treaty to which Great Britain was a party, war was proclaimed against Persia at Calcutta on the 1st of November.

Oct. 24. Canton attacked by the British, who bombarded it on the 3rd of November.

Oct. 27. Railway opened between Montreal and Toronto.

Nov. 22. An insurrection in Sicily suppressed by the military.

Dec. 9. Bushire, in the Persian Gulf, taken by the British expedition.

Dec. 10. Mr. Sheepshanks presented to the nation his collection of drawings and paintings. The collection has been placed in the South Kensington Museum.

Dec. 16. The Queen went to Spithead to receive from the representative of the United States government the Arctic discovery ship *Resolute*, which having been abandoned in the ice by its crew, was recovered by an American ship, and having been completely repaired by the American government, was now restored to Great Britain.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 19 & 20 VICTORIAÆ.

Cap. 47. *An Act for the Incorporation and Regulation of Joint-Stock Companies and other Associations.* One of the most important parts of this Act is that relating to the winding-up of companies.

Cap. 64. *To Repeal certain Statutes not in use.* 112 statutes, most of them obsolete, but hitherto unrepealed, are at once swept away.

Cap. 65. *To encourage the providing of Improved Dwellings for the Labouring Classes in Ireland.*

Cap. 69. *To render more effectual the Police in Counties and Boroughs of England and Wales.* This empowers the justices assembled in quarter sessions to adopt and enforce a more general system than had hitherto taken place; the divisional police of a county was to be consolidated, unless the secretary of state authorizes a division; and county constables are empowered to act in any borough within the county; and boroughs may be consolidated with the county for the purposes of police.

Cap. 83. *To provide for the better Defence of the Coasts of the Realm, and the more ready Manning of the Navy, and to transfer to the Admiralty the Management of the Coast Guard.* The coast guard men are to have the privilege of making allotments of wages, and of pensions, as seamen in the navy, and the officers are to have the training of the Royal Naval Coast Volunteers.

Cap. 98. *To amend the Laws relating to the Burial of the Dead in Ireland.* This establishes burial boards in Ireland as in England.

Revenue, 70,262,882*l*.; Expenditure—Army, including Ordnance, 32,006,603*l*.; Navy, 19,654,585*l*.; for all purposes, 88,453,385*l*.; Debt, 829,579,798*l*.

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 115,826,948*l*.: total exports, 139,220,353*l*.; imports, 172,544,154*l*.

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 16,378; to the United States, 111,837; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 44,584; to all other places, 3,755: total, 176,554.

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 8,707; number of passengers, 129,347,592; traffic receipts, 23,165,491*l*.

Number of Paupers, 1,030,823.

1857.

[This year was remarkable for the Mutiny of the Native Army in British India, which was not finally subdued till 1858. It may

be convenient to give the events connected with this alarming outbreak under a separate head in each of these years, after the general Chronology.]

Feb. 3. Parliament opened by commission.

March 3. A motion proposed by Mr. Cobden censuring the government for the war with China, was carried, after four nights' discussion in the House of Commons, by 263 to 247 votes.

March 3. Treaty of peace between Great Britain and Persia, signed at Paris by lord Cowley and Ferukh-Khan.

March 3. Election of Mr. Buchanan as president of the United States of North America.

March 13. The Chancellor of the Exchequer brought forward his budget, which contained proposals for the remission of taxation to the extent of eleven millions.

March 14. Treaty signed at Copenhagen for the abolition of the Sound dues. The share of indemnity money payable by Great Britain was 1,125,206*l.*

March 19. Prince Albert, accompanied by the prince of Wales, opened new schools in Golden Lane, Barbican. In the course of his answer to the address presented to him, he said, "You have not been content with the bare attempt to force, perhaps upon unwilling recipients, a boon, the value of which might not be appreciated, but you have wisely sought to work upon the convictions of the parents of the children you wish to benefit by extending your assistance only to those who, by a small contribution out of their hardly-won earnings, have proved that they are awake to a sense of the vast importance it is to their offspring that the means of being fitted to pass successfully through life, and, by honest industry, to better their condition, should be brought within their reach."

March 21. Parliament dissolved, and a new parliament summoned.

April 21. Departure of the earl of Elgin on a special embassy to the emperor of China at Peking.

May 5. Prince Albert opened the Exhibition of Art Treasures at Manchester. In addressing the committee, he remarked on the "generous feeling of mutual confidence and good will between the different classes of society, of which it [the Exhibition] affords so gratifying a proof."

May 7. Parliament opened by commission. Mr. J. Evelyn Denison elected Speaker of the House of Commons.

May 21. The House of Commons agreed to grant to the Princess Royal an annuity of 8000*l.* per annum, and a dower of 40,000*l.*, on occasion of her marriage with prince Frederick William of Prussia.

June 1. A number of war-junks taken from the Chinese in the Canton river.

June 22. Educational Conference in London, at which prince Albert presided. In his speech he detailed some of the statistics of the state of education, but he said—"You will probably trace the cause of our social condition to a state of ignorance and lethargic indifference on the subject among parents generally; but the root of the evil will, I suspect, be found to extend into that field on which the political economist exercises his activity—I mean the labour market—demand and supply." He pointed out how this acted. Of the labourer he said—"His children are not only his offspring, to be reared for a future independent position, but they constitute part of his productive power, and work with him for the staff of life." He therefore exhorted the meeting to work "upon the minds and hearts of parents, to place before them the irreparable mischief which they inflict," by keeping their children from the "right of knowledge."

June 25. An order in Council conferred the title of prince Consort on prince Albert.

July 11. Failure of the Atlantic Telegraph Cable, the cable having snapped after 335 miles length had been given out.

July 25. Public meeting at the Mansion House, London, in aid of the sufferers from the Indian mutiny. In the course of three months upwards of 260,000*l.* was raised for this purpose.

Nov. 12. In consequence of a severe commercial panic, the government authorized the Bank of England to extend its issues, which had the effect of mitigating the pressure upon the money market, and restoring confidence. An estimate was made to the effect that the aggregate liabilities of commercial houses, which had fallen during the panic, amounted to about forty-five millions sterling. At this time there was great distress in the manufacturing districts.

Nov. 18. Ambassadors from the two kings of Siam received by queen Victoria at a Court held at Windsor.

Nov. 18. Great distress in Kafirland. About 30,000 destitute Kafirs brought in as servants to the Cape Colonists.

Dec. 3. Parliament opened by the Queen in person, having met at this season chiefly for the purpose of passing a bill of indemnity, exonerating the government in regard to the permission given to the Bank of England to extend its issues for the purpose of mitigating the pressure of the recent commercial panic. On the 12th the royal assent was given to the bill of indemnity, and the Houses adjourned.

Dec. 29. Canton taken by the British forces, and Commissioner Yeh made prisoner.

INDIA.—Jan. 24. A mutinous spirit began to manifest itself among the Sepoys of the East India Company's army at Barrackpore, Berhampore, Lucknow, Meerut, and elsewhere. The origin of the disaffection was said to be the use of cartridges made up in paper, which had been greased with a mixture of cow's fat and hog's lard—a composition offensive to the Hindoo, who regards the cow with religious veneration, and also to the Mahomedan, who looks upon the hog with abhorrence. On the 10th of May the mutiny broke out at Meerut, from which place the insurgents marched to Delhi, where on the 11th being joined by several native regiments they proclaimed the king of Delhi as Emperor, and massacred many of the English residents. Lieut. Willoughby blew up the magazine, and made his escape. On the 20th general Anson arrived before Delhi with a small force of British and native troops. On the 27th general Anson died of cholera, which also struck down his successor, sir H. Barnard. General Reed, who took the command on July 5th, was obliged, from ill-health, to transfer it to general Wilson, on the 22nd. General Nicholson having arrived with reinforcements, Delhi was taken by assault on the 14th September, the fight being continued in the city till the 20th. The British lost about 1,200 killed and wounded. General Nicholson died on the 23rd. The native troops, at Lucknow, broke out in mutiny on the 31st of May. They were dispersed by the troops under sir Henry Lawrence. On the 5th of June the Europeans at Allahabad, were besieged in the fort by the mutineers. The small British force in Cawnpore were in like manner hemmed in, and were compelled to surrender to Nana Sahib on the 26th of June, general Wheeler having died on the 21st from a wound received in making a sally on the previous day. The English were embarked in boats on the Ganges, to be conveyed to Allahabad, in accordance with the terms of surrender. They were fired upon with cannon, many boats were sunk, and those persons who got on shore were cut down. Colonel Havelock, who had arrived at Calcutta from the Persian Gulf on the 17th June, was immediately despatched, with the rank of brigadier-general, in command of the troops intended for the relief of Cawnpore. On the 1st of July general Havelock left Allahabad with a force of 2,000 Europeans; on the 16th he defeated Nana Sahib before Cawnpore; and on the 17th entered the town. On the 19th he again defeated Nana Sahib at Bithoor. At Lucknow, in a sortie against the Sepoy besiegers, on the 2nd of July, sir Henry Lawrence was wounded, and died on the 4th—major Banks took the command, and held possession of the Residency and fort. On the 15th general Neill defeated the mutineers at the Pundoo Nuddee, south of Cawnpore. On the 16th Nana Sahib murdered the women and children who were in his custody at Cawnpore, and flung their bodies into a wide and deep well. On the same day general Havelock gained his ninth victory, between Cawnpore and Lucknow. On the 25th of September he reached Lucknow with 2,500 troops, and relieved the Europeans besieged in the Residency. On the 26th he forced the mutineers to abandon their entrenchments. On the 3rd of November sir Colin Campbell, who had been appointed commander-in-chief of the army in India on the 11th July, and left London for Calcutta on the 12th, arrived at Cawnpore. On the 17th of November he delivered the Europeans from their confinement in the Residency, which was evacuated by the 23rd. On the 25th of November sir Henry Havelock died, eight days after he received the tidings of his having been created a Knight Commander of the Bath. On the 6th of December sir Colin Campbell defeated the Gwalior Contingent, and on the 8th sir Hope Grant also defeated them higher up the Ganges.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 20 & 21 VICTORIAE.

Cap. 3. *An Act to Amend the Act of the 16 & 17 Victoria, cap. 95, to substitute in certain Cases other Punishment in lieu of Transportation.* No offender in future to be sentenced to transportation, but persons under sentence of penal servitude may be sent to places beyond sea, the Privy Council appointing the places; and magistrates may commit convicts whose licences are revoked to penal servitude in any convict prison.

Cap. 14. *An Act to amend the Joint-Stock Companies' Act, 1856.* Much of this Act relates to the duties of officials, but it also enacts that where more than 20 persons are engaged in partnership, and are not registered, each is declared separately liable for the debts of the firm. When a winding-up order has been obtained against any company, the liquidator may obtain a warrant for the arrest of any shareholder whom he may have reason to suspect of being about to abscond or to conceal his property, and his books, papers, moneys, and other property may be seized.

Cap. 48. *To make better Provision for the Care and Education of Vagrant, Destitute and Disorderly Children, and for the Extension of Industrial Schools.* Parents may be compelled to pay towards the support of their child a sum of not more than 3s. per week.

Cap. 54. *To make better Provision for the Punishment of Frauds committed by Trustees, Bankers, and other Persons intrusted with Property.* After detailing the various frauds enacted against ; as appropriating property, making false statements with intent to deceive ; keeping fraudulent accounts, &c., the offender is declared liable to penal imprisonment for three, or by imprisonment with or without hard labour for two years, or by fine as the court shall direct.

Cap. 71. *For the Regulation of the Care and Treatment of Lunatics, and for the Provision, Maintenance, and Regulation of Lunatic Asylums in Scotland.* Private asylums are to be licensed ; district asylums are to be provided in the large towns, and Commissioners to be appointed who are to inspect and make an annual report.

Cap. 72. *To render more effectual the Police in Counties and Burghs in Scotland.* This Act in effect is for organizing a general police in Scotland, which had previously been only local.

Cap. 77. *To amend the Laws relating to Probate and Letters of Administration in England.* This important Act removes the jurisdiction in all such matters, from the Ecclesiastical Courts to a Special Court instituted by this Act ; cap. 79, was a similar Act for Ireland.

Cap. 83. *An Act for more effectually Preventing the sale of Obscene Books, Pictures, Prints, and other Articles.* On information given upon oath, magistrates may issue search warrants, authorizing the use of force in the day time, in order to search the suspected premises.

Cap. 85. *To amend the Law relating to Divorce and Matrimonial Causes in England.* This, like cap. 77, removes all such matters from the Ecclesiastical Courts to a Court especially appointed, of which the Judge holds the same office in the Probate Court, the Lord Chancellor, the Chief Judges, and the senior Puisne Judges of the Law Courts, may sit with him ; and in suits for annulling marriage, applications for new trials, &c., they must be heard by three or more Judges, the Judge of the Probate Court being always one.

Revenue, 72,334,062*l.* : Expenditure—Army, 20,811,242*l.* ; Navy, 13,459,012*l.* ; for all objects, 71,371,533*l.* ; Debt, 834,722,963*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 122,066,107*l.* : total of exports, 146,174,301*l.* ; imports, 187,844,441*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 21,001 ; to the United States, 126,905 ; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 61,248 ; to all other places, 3,721 : total, 212,875.

Railway Traffic : Miles open, 9,094 ; number of passengers, 139,008,888 ; traffic receipts, 24,174,610*l.*

Number of Paupers, 979,117.

1858.

Jan. 14. An attempt was made to assassinate the Emperor and Empress of the French by an explosion of hand grenades. The Emperor and Empress escaped unhurt, but five persons were killed, and about sixty wounded. For this attempt five persons were tried and convicted, of whom two, Orsini and Pieri, were guillotined at Paris.

Jan. 25. Marriage of the Princess Royal of England with prince Frederick William of Prussia, in the Chapel of St. James's Palace.

Jan. 31. Completion of the launch of the Great Eastern steam-ship, the commencement having been made about three months previously.

Feb. 10. The blockade of Canton raised.

Feb. 22. Lord Palmerston's Cabinet resigned, in consequence of an adverse vote (234 to 215) of the House of Commons, proposed by Mr. Milner Gibson, expressing regret that her Majesty's government, previously to inviting the House to amend the law of conspiracy, had not made some reply to the despatch received from the French government dated Jan. 20th, 1858. On the retirement of viscount Palmerston the earl of Derby was entrusted with the formation of a Ministry. The new Cabinet was constituted as follows : —Earl of Derby, First Lord of the Treasury ; marquess of Salisbury, President of the Council ; lord Chelmsford, Lord High Chancellor ; earl of Hardwicke, Lord Privy Seal ; Rt. Hon. B. Disraeli, Chancellor of the Exchequer ; Rt. Hon. Spencer Walpole, Secretary of State for Home Affairs ; earl of Malmesbury, Foreign Secretary ; Rt. Hon. sir E. B. Lytton, Colonial Secretary ; major-general Peel, Secretary for War ; earl of Ellen-

borough, President of the Board of Control; Rt. Hon. sir J. S. Pakington, First Lord of the Admiralty; Rt. Hon. J. W. Henley, President of the Board of Trade; lord John Manners, President of the Board of Works.

March 14. A proclamation was issued by the Governor-General of India to the people of Oude, threatening confiscation of the lands of such talookdhars as do not submit to the government. This proclamation was disapproved by lord Ellenborough, the President of the Board of Control, and the publication in this country of his despatch to the governor-general upon the subject led to lord Ellenborough's withdrawal from the Cabinet.

March 15. Lord Malmesbury, the Foreign Secretary, laid on the table of the House of Lords the correspondence that had taken place between her Majesty's government since its accession to office and the French government, and said that the correspondence had concluded in all honour and good feeling on both sides.

March 30. Conference at Shanghai of the representatives of Great Britain, France, Russia, and the United States. The reply of the Chinese government to the communications of the ambassadors being considered unsatisfactory, it was resolved to proceed to Tien-tsin.

May 15. Massacre of the English and French Consuls, and about 20 other Europeans, at Jeddah, the port of Mecca.

May 20. Arrival of the British and French expedition at Tien-tsin, after having captured the Pei-ho forts.

May 26. Treaty of Tien-tsin concluded between the Chinese government and Great Britain, represented by the earl of Elgin. Separate treaties with the Chinese were made by France, Russia, and America.

July 23. Jeddah bombarded by the British ship Cyclops, and again on the 5th of August.

Aug. 5. The Queen and Prince Consort visited the emperor Napoleon at Cherbourg on occasion of the opening of the Napoleon Docks.

Aug. 12. The earl of Elgin landed at Jeddo, the capital of Japan, and negotiated a favourable treaty with the Emperor, which was signed on the 26th.

Aug. 22. Congratulatory message sent by queen Victoria to president Buchanan by the Atlantic Telegraph and responded to in a friendly manner by the president. The telegraph soon ceased to transmit messages, and the efforts made to effect a communication by telegraph between the opposite shores of the Atlantic have been unsuccessful.

Sept. 1. The East India Company's government transferred to the Crown by Act of Parliament, which was announced throughout India by a royal proclamation on the 1st of November.

INDIA.—On the 2nd of January, sir Colin Campbell defeated the rebels at Futteghur, and established an artillery dépôt there. On the 19th the first party of ladies and children, and of sick and wounded officers from Lucknow, arrived at Calcutta. They landed under a royal salute amid the cheering of several hundreds of Europeans. On the 29th Saugor, in Central India, which had been invested by the rebels for upwards of six months, was relieved by sir Hugh Rose. March 2. Sir Colin Campbell commenced the siege of Lucknow, taking possession of the Dilkoocha palace and park. On the 19th Lucknow fell into the hands of the British, the rebels, to the number of 50,000, seeking safety in flight. On the 13th of April the garrison of Azimghur was relieved by sir E. Lugard. On the 13th of May sir Hope Grant defeated the rebels at Nawabgunge, near Lucknow, and on the 23rd the strong fortress of Calpee was taken by sir Hugh Rose. On the 19th of June sir Hugh Rose re-took Gwalior from the rebels, and reinstated the Maharaja Sindia in his capital and possessions.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 21 & 22 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 26. *An Act to abolish the Property Qualifications of Members of Parliament.* Repealing all the Acts requiring a property qualification for a member of Parliament.

Cap. 48. *To substitute one Oath for the Oaths of Allegiance, Supremacy, and Abjuration; and for the Relief of her Majesty's Subjects professing the Jewish Religion.* Preserves the form of oath, allows Quakers to "affirm" instead of "swear;" and Jews to omit the words, "on the faith of a Christian."

Cap. 49. *To provide for the Relief of her Majesty's Subjects professing the Jewish Religion.* This Act admits Jews to sit in Parliament, but they are restricted from presenting to any ecclesiastical benefice, and from holding the offices of Lord Chancellor, Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, or High Commissioner of the General Assembly of the Church of Scotland.

Cap. 90. *To regulate the Qualifications of Practitioners in Medicine and Surgery.*

Councils are appointed to examine applicants as to qualifications, and on approval they receive certificates entitling them to practice. The Act also regulates the Royal College of Physicians, and the College of Surgeons in London, and other medical colleges.

Cap. 91. *To enable Joint-Stock Banking Companies to be formed on the Principle of Limited Liability.* Repeals so much of a former Act as prevented the formation of such Companies; but only on condition of not issuing notes in the United Kingdom.

Cap. 99. *To provide for the Government of British Columbia.* By this Act British Columbia was constituted a distinct colony.

Cap. 106. *For the better Government of India.* This Act transferred the Government of India from the Board of Directors to her Majesty with a new secretary of state for its management.

Revenue, 67,881,513*l.*; Expenditure—Army. 14,405,850*l.*; Navy, 10,590,000*l.*; for all objects, 68,128,859*l.*; Debt, 826,134,640*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 116,608,756*l.*: total exports, 139,782,779*l.*; imports, 164,583,832*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 9,704; to the United States, 59,716; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 39,295; to all other places, 5,251: total, 113,972.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 9,064,763*l.*; total imported, 22,793,126*l.**

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 9,542: number of passengers, 139,193,699; traffic receipts, 23,956,749*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,037,967.

1859.

Jan. 9. The Governor-General of India published decrees constituting the Punjab a lieutenant-governorship, and ordering the general disarming of Upper India.

Jan. 25. At this date the entire pacification of Oude was reported.

Jan. 30. Prince Napoleon, cousin of the emperor, married at Turin to Princess Clothilde, daughter of the king of Sardinia.

Feb. 3. Parliament opened by the Queen in person.

March 1. Mr. Walpole and Mr. Henley stated to the House of Commons their reasons for withdrawing from lord Derby's government on account of some of the provisions of the Reform Bill proposed by the Government.

March 31. The debate in the House of Commons on the government Reform Bill, which had continued for several days, terminated in the defeat of ministers by a majority of 330 against 291 votes.

April 18. Tantia Topee, one of the leaders of the Indian Mutiny, executed at Seepree by sentence of a court-martial.

April 19. Parliament dissolved.

June 7. Queen Victoria opened Parliament in person. On the address in answer to the Queen's Speech the ministers were left in a minority of 13,—the votes being 323 to 310—and in consequence resigned the office. Viscount Palmerston was entrusted with the formation of a new Cabinet. The ministry, as arranged by him, was as follows:—Viscount Palmerston, First Lord of the Treasury; earl Granville, President of the Council; lord Campbell, Lord High Chancellor; Duke of Argyll, Lord Privy Seal; Right Hon. W. E. Gladstone, Chancellor of the Exchequer; Right Hon. sir G. C. Lewis, Secretary of State for Home Affairs; lord John Russell, Foreign Secretary; duke of Newcastle, Colonial Secretary; Right Hon. Sidney Herbert, Secretary for War; Right Hon. sir C. Wood, Secretary for India; duke of Somerset, First Lord of the Admiralty; Right Hon. E. Cardwell, Chief Secretary for Ireland; earl of Elgin, Postmaster-General; Right Hon. T. M. Gibson, President of Board of Trade; Right Hon. C. P. Villiers, President of Poor Law Board; Right Hon. sir George Grey, Chancellor of the Duchy of Lancaster.

June 25. The allied forces accompanying the English and French ambassadors to the Chinese court, being obstructed in their passage up the Pei-ho river, on their way to Peking, attempted to force their way, but were repulsed with the loss of about 450 men.

Aug. 13. Parliament prorogued by commission.

Oct. 30. For several months a large proportion of the workmen employed in the Build-

* The imports of bullion were not registered at the Custom House until Nov., 1857.

ing trades of the metropolis continued on strike, their demand being chiefly for the establishment of nine hours as the time of a working day: much distress prevailed in the families of the workmen. Many of the employers having adopted the rule of payment by the hour, the men gradually returned to work.

ITALY. On the 1st of January, the Emperor of the French at his new year's day reception of the foreign ambassadors, said to M. de Hubner, the Austrian representative:—"I regret that our relations with your government are not so good as they were, but I request you to tell the emperor that my personal feelings for him have not changed." These words reported in the newspapers caused a great sensation throughout Europe. On the 10th the king of Sardinia, in opening the Piedmontese Chambers in Turin, said:—"The horizon in which the new year rises is not perfectly serene. . . . While we respect treaties, we are not insensible to the cry of grief which reaches us from so many parts of Italy." On the 11th of February, Count Cavour, the Piedmontese Prime Minister, in a speech to the Turin Chamber of Deputies, charged Austria with assuming an offensive attitude towards Sardinia by placing large bodies of troops along the frontier. On the 27th Lord Cowley arrived at Vienna, as an envoy from the British government, with a view to mediate between the Austrian government on the one hand, and France and Sardinia on the other. This mission was unsuccessful. On the 1st of April the French government decided to send an army of 60,000 men to the Sardinian frontier. On the 19th the Austrian government sent an *ultimatum* to the Court of Turin, requiring Sardinia to disarm immediately, and to disband the Italian volunteers. Three days were allowed for an answer. Sardinia rejected this *ultimatum*, and Great Britain, Prussia, and Russia, protested against the conduct of Austria. France proceeded to prepare for war. Peaceful revolutions took place on the 27th in Tuscany, and on the 31st at Parma, provisional governments being formed in both cases. On the 30th the Austrian army crossed the Ticino. On the 20th of May the French and Piedmontese, under the command of the emperor Napoleon and Victor-Emmanuel, defeated the Austrians at Montebello. On the 27th Garibaldi, at the head of the Italian volunteers, took possession of the city of Como, after defeating the Austrians in several engagements. On the 30th the emperor of Austria put himself at the head of his army at Verona. On the 31st the Austrian army was defeated by the Sardinians at Palestro. On the 1st of June the French troops occupied Novara. On the 4th was fought the battle of Magenta, in which the Emperor of the French commanded the Imperial Guard and the Zouaves. The Austrians were defeated with immense loss in killed, wounded, and prisoners. On the 5th, Milan and on the 7th Pavia, were evacuated by the Austrians. On the 8th the emperor Napoleon and Victor-Emmanuel entered Milan in triumph. On the 22nd the Austrian army retreated across the Mincio. On the 24th the battle of Solferino took place, in which the Austrians, 170,000 in number, were commanded by the emperor Francis-Joseph, and the allied French and Sardinians, numbering about 150,000, by the emperor Napoleon. The battle, which lasted from five o'clock in the morning till eight at night, was attended with enormous slaughter. An armistice was agreed to on the 8th of July, and on the 11th a personal interview took place at Villafranca, between the emperors of France and Austria, who came to an agreement as to the terms of peace. The treaty of Peace was signed at Zurich, on the 11th November, by the representatives of Austria, France and Sardinia. On the 18th of November General Garibaldi published a proclamation to the Italians, intimating his withdrawal from the service in consequence of the obstacles placed in his path by the demands of a crafty policy, but exhorting the Italians to rally round Victor-Emmanuel.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 22 & 23 VICTORIAE.

Cap. 27. *An Act to facilitate Grants of Land to be made near Populous Places for the Use of regulated Recreation for Adults, and as Play-grounds for Children.* Enabling lands given for such purposes to be held by trustees, empowering corporations and parishes to grant lands, for the purchase or maintenance of which personal property of not more than 1000*l.* in value may be bequeathed.

Cap. 34. *To amend and explain the Act of the 6 Geo. IV. cap. 129, to repeal the Laws relating to the Combination of Workmen, and to make other Provision in lieu thereof.* Allows workmen to unite for the purpose of regulating their wages or other matters, peaceably and in a reasonable manner, and without threat or intimidation, direct or indirect, but not to break or to induce another to break any contract.

Cap. 56. *To amend the Act 5 & 6 Wm. IV. cap. 63, relating to Weights and Measures.* Imperial standards are to be furnished, and inspectors appointed in counties and towns, who are to examine beams, scales, weights, and measures; false ones being subject to forfeiture and fine. Owners of markets are to provide such articles for general use, and to have their accuracy tested at least twice a year.

Cap. 66. *For regulating Measures used in the Sale of Gas.* A general Act by which inspectors are appointed, and meters are to be examined and stamped, and no meter to be considered legal unless stamped.

Revenue, 65,477,284*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 13,294,814*l.*; Navy, 9,215,487*l.*; for all objects, 60,147,914*l.*; Debt, 823,934,880*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 130,411, 529*l.*: total exports, 155,692,795*l.*; imports, 179,182,355*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 6,689; to United States, 70,303; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 31,013; to all other places, 12,427: total, 120,432.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 8,624,566*l.*: total imported, 22,297,698*l.*; exported, 18,081,139*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 10,002; number of passengers, 149,807,148; traffic receipts, 25,743,502*l.*

Number of Paupers, 983,837.

1860.

Jan. 24. Parliament opened by the Queen in person. The speech stated that a conference of the great powers of Europe had been proposed with reference to the affairs of Italy, but the conference had been postponed;—whether in congress or in separate negotiation, her majesty would “endeavour to obtain for the people of Italy freedom from foreign interference by force of arms in their internal concerns.”

Feb. 10. The chancellor of the exchequer brought forward his budget, which included, among other measures, an extension of the licence system to refreshment houses for the sale of wines, the abolition of the duties on paper and numerous other articles, with the income-tax at sevenpence in the pound on incomes between 100*l.* and 150*l.*, and tenpence in the pound on incomes above 150*l.* a year.

March 1. Lord John Russell introduced a bill to amend the representation of the people of England and Wales. Similar Reform bills were introduced for Scotland and Ireland.

Feb. 12. By votes recorded on the 11th and 12th, the people of Tuscany and the Romagna decided on annexation to Sardinia.

Feb. 15. General rising of the people of Sicily against the Neapolitan government.

Feb. 24. Treaty between France and Sardinia signed at Turin, by which Savoy and Nice were annexed to France.

May 1. War between the Maoris of New Zealand and the British, in consequence of a dispute about the sale of some lands.

May 3. Lord John Russell's Reform bill was read a second time in the House of Commons, after being debated for four nights.

May 16. Garibaldi's troops defeated those of the king of Naples at Lioppo. On the 17th Garibaldi issued a proclamation announcing that he had assumed the responsibility of the dictatorship of Sicily.

May 21. In the House of Lords, on the motion of lord Monteagle, the Paper Duties Repeal Bill was thrown out by 193 votes to 104.

May 22. Garibaldi defeated the Neapolitan forces, and on the 27th entered Palermo. On the 29th the ministers of the king of Naples resigned their functions.

June 1. Armistice agreed to between Garibaldi and the Neapolitan troops in Sicily.

June 11. Lord John Russell stated in the House of Commons that, in consequence of the large number of amendments on the proposed Reform bill of which notice had been given, and the lateness of the session, the government had resolved to withdraw the bill.

June 23. The Queen reviewed 18,000 volunteers in Hyde Park.

July 5. The House of Commons, on the motion of lord Palmerston, passed three resolutions in vindication of the privileges of the House against the recent aggression of the Lords, in throwing out the Paper Duties Repeal Bill, the rejecting of which, being a money bill, was not within the province of the Lords.

July 9. The prince of Wales, accompanied by the duke of Newcastle, Secretary of State for the Colonies, embarked at Plymouth on a visit to Canada and the United States. On the 18th of August the prince was at Quebec. On the 20th of September he entered the United States on his way to Washington to visit the president, travelling under the title of baron Renfrew. On the 15th of November he landed at Plymouth on his return home.

July 16. Opening of the fourth annual congress of the International Statistical Congress in London, when the Prince Consort, as president, delivered an address.

July 21. England, France, and other European powers, united in sending an expedition to Syria to protect the life and property of Europeans, and arrest the effusion of blood, in the conflicts which might occur and were still threatened between the Druses and the Maronites.

Aug. 7. The Queen reviewed about 20,000 volunteers in the Queen's Park, Edinburgh.

Aug. 20. Fuad Pasha, the commissioner sent to Syria by the sultan, caused 167 persons implicated in the massacres in Syria to be executed in Damascus, and many others to be sent to Constantinople to be imprisoned and put to hard labour.

Aug. 21. The Taku forts at the mouth of the Pei-ho river taken by the British and French troops, after a determined resistance by the Tartars forming the garrison. The allies proceeded to occupy Tien-tsin, the ambassadors and the main body of the army going on towards Peking.

Aug. 28. Parliament closed by commission.

Sept. 7. The king of Naples left his capital in a Spanish ship for Gaeta. Garibaldi entered Naples on the 8th, accompanied only by his staff, and immediately organized a government. On the 9th he proclaimed Victor-Emmanuel king of Italy, and on the 11th handed over the Neapolitan fleet to the Sardinian admiral.

Sept. 29. Ancona capitulated to the Sardinians. The papal army, with their commander, general Lamoricière, surrendered themselves prisoners of war.

Oct. 1. Garibaldi defeated the Neapolitans in the battle of the Volturno.

Oct. 24. Peace concluded between Great Britain and France on the one hand, and China on the other. The allies were represented by the earl of Elgin and baron Gros; China was represented by prince Kung, brother of the emperor. On the 5th of November the allied forces left Peking.

Nov. 7. The king of Sardinia entered Naples, and was received with general enthusiasm. On the 9th Garibaldi retired to his small property on the little island of Caprera.

Dec. 13. Death of the earl of Aberdeen, aged 77.

Dec. 14. Message of president Buchanan to the United States' congress, deprecating the threatened secession of the Southern states.

Dec. 20. Secession of South Carolina from the United States of North America.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 23 & 24 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 8. *An Act to amend the Law relating to the unlawful Administering of Poisons.* Administering poisons or noxious substances with intent to injure, aggrieve or annoy, is made punishable as a misdemeanor for three years, with or without hard labor; if endangering life or causing bodily harm, by penal servitude for not more than ten or less than three years. Persons indicted for the felony may be convicted of the misdemeanor.

Cap. 11. *To amend the Law relating to Endowed Schools.* Provides for the admission of children of parents not in communion with the Church of England, where not expressly required by the endowment.

Cap. 27. *For granting to Her Majesty certain Duties on Wine Licences and Refreshment Houses, and for regulating the licensing of Refreshment Houses, and the granting of Wine Licences.* Licenses for the retailing of foreign wine to be drunk on the premises to be granted by the commissioners of inland revenue, within certain limited hours, and under certain regulations.

Cap. 78. *To place the Employment of Women, young Persons, and Children in Bleaching Works and Dyeing Works, under the Regulations of the Factory Acts.*

Cap. 84. *For preventing the Adulteration of Articles of Food or Drink.* Articles of food adulterated with anything prejudicial to health, subjects the seller, if aware of it, to a penalty of 5*l.* Chemical analyzers are to be appointed, and purchasers may have a suspected article analyzed on payment of a fee varying from 2*s.* 6*d.* to 10*s.* 6*d.*

Cap. 151. *For the Regulation and Inspection of Mines.* Forbids the employment of boys under the age of twelve, or not under ten, with a certificate of having attended school for a certain period. Penalties are imposed for giving false certificates, and inspectors are appointed.

Cap. 154. *To consolidate and amend the Law of Landlord and Tenant in Ireland.* Several acts are repealed, and fixtures of trade and agriculture erected by a tenant at his own expense are made removable, if not injuring the freehold. Compensation is to be made for the crops or manuring the land on the removal of the tenant.

Revenue, 71,080,660*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 14,915,243*l.*; Navy, 11,823,859*l.*: for all objects, 69,502,289*l.*; Debt, 819,079,310*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 9,786; to United States, 87,500; to Australasian Colonies and New Zealand, 24,302; to all other places, 6,881: total, 128,469.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 6,719,000*l.*: total imported, 12,584,684*l.*; exported, 10,393,512*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 10,433; number of passengers, 163,483,572; traffic receipts, 27,766,622*l.*

Number of Paupers, 973,255.

1861.

Jan. 1. The French government abolished the passport system in respect of British subjects travelling in France.

Jan. 3. President Buchanan received commissioners from South Carolina, who requested that the troops should be removed from the forts of the United States in Charleston Harbour. The President, in accordance with the views of the majority of his Cabinet, refused to withdraw the troops.

Jan. 12. Famine in north-western India, owing to want of rain. Besides money granted by the government, and raised by subscription in Calcutta, upwards of 100,000*l.* was transmitted from London and Liverpool, the contributions of the people of Great Britain for the relief of the starving millions of the Indian rice country.

Feb. 4. The emperor Napoleon, in opening the French Legislative Chambers, invited free discussion on the topics submitted to their consideration, and intimated that the newspapers would now be permitted to publish the debates.

Feb. 5. Parliament opened by the Queen in person, who, in the Royal speech, expressed her concern at the unhappy differences which had arisen between the States composing the North American Union, and her heartfelt wish that these differences might be susceptible of a satisfactory adjustment.

Feb. 14. Gaeta surrendered to the Piedmontese general, Cialdini, after suffering severely from the prolonged siege and bombardment. The ex-king and queen of Naples left the harbour on board the French ship *Mouette*.

Feb. 26. Mr. Bruce took up his residence at Peking as British plenipotentiary at the Chinese Court.

April 8. The decennial census of Great Britain and Ireland taken this day.

1	England and Wales.....	20,061,725
2	Scotland	3,061,329
3	Ireland	5,764,543
4	Islands in the British Seas.....	143,779
	Army, Navy and Merchant Seamen.....	303,412
	Total.....	29,334,788

The males and females numbered in each case as follows: (1) 9,758,852 males and 10,302,873 females; (2) 1,447,015 m. and 1,614,314 f.; (3) 2,804,961 m. and 2,959,582 f.; and (4) 66,394 m. and 77,385 f.; the total consists of 14,380,634 of the one sex, and 14,954,154 of the other.

April 8. Disturbances in Warsaw, in which in a few days about a thousand persons were killed and wounded by the Russian troops.

April 15. The chancellor of the exchequer brought forward his budget, in which he proposed to reduce the rate of income-tax from 10*s.* to 9*s.* in the pound, to abolish the paper duties, and to re-enact the existing duties on tea and sugar for one year.

April 15. Proclamation of Mr. Lincoln, the new president of the United States, calling out the militia of the several states, and summoning an early session of congress, to meet on July 4th.

April 18. At Harper's Ferry the commissioners of the Federal government, being pressed by a superior force, destroyed the armoury, the arsenal, and 15,000 stand of arms, and retired to Pennsylvania.

April 29. In the House of Lords it was stated by lord Wodehouse that her Majesty's government had decided not to intrude advice or counsel on the government of the United States; but that lord Lyons, the British ambassador at Washington, had been instructed to express on every fitting occasion the earnest desire of her Majesty's government that the differences between the North and the South might be amicably arranged.

April 29. Jefferson Davis, president of the Confederate States, sent a message to the Confederate congress respecting the war with the Federal States. In this message he announced that a permanent constitution of the Confederate States had been ratified.

May 3. Messages from the Queen to both Houses of Parliament intimated her majesty's sanction to a marriage between the princess Alice and prince Louis of Hesse. On the 6th, Parliament voted to the princess Alice a dower of 30,000*l.* and an annuity of 6,000*l.*

June 1. Death of Count Cavour, prime minister of Victor-Emmanuel, king of Italy.

June 10. Repulse of the Federal American troops by the Confederates at Great Bethel, between James river and York river.

July 4. The Federal American congress, in extraordinary session, authorized the employment of 500,000 Volunteers, and voted a supply of 500 million dollars to carry on the war.

July 21. The Federal army beaten by the Confederates at Bull's Run, near Manassas Junction, after nine hours' severe fighting.

Aug. 6. Parliament prorogued.

Oct. 25. Death of Sir James R. G. Graham, Bart. aged 69.

Nov. 8. The "Trent," West India mail steamer, which left Havannah on the 7th, was boarded by the "San Jacinto," United States man-of-war, commanded by captain Wilkes, and four passengers—Messrs. Slidell and Mason, Confederate commissioners to London and Paris, with their secretaries—were forcibly abducted, the commander of the "Trent" and the Admiralty agent in charge of the mail energetically protesting against the outrage, which they had no power to prevent.

Nov. 30. Earl Russell, secretary of state for foreign affairs—having received information on the 27th of the seizure of the Confederate commissioners on board the "Trent,"—despatched instructions to lord Lyons to demand the restoration of the four passengers taken from the "Trent" by captain Wilkes. On the 13th of December the first draft of troops was sent off from this country for Canada, followed by several detachments in the next ten days. On the 27th of December, Mr Seward, the American secretary of state, informed lord Lyons that the four prisoners would be cheerfully given up by the United States government, which had not authorized or sanctioned the act of captain Wilkes in capturing them. They were accordingly given up to lord Lyons on the 2nd of January, 1862, and sent by him immediately to England.

Dec. 14. Death of the Prince Consort at Windsor Castle, in his 43rd year.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 24 & 25 VICTORIA.

Cap. 14. *An Act to grant additional facilities for depositing Small Savings at Interest, with the security of the Government for the due Repayment thereof.* This Act enables a depositor of any amount not less than a shilling to deposit his savings in any money-order office, which he may withdraw from any other office, and which, while it remains, receives an interest of $2\frac{1}{2}$ per cent. per annum.

Cap. 47. *To facilitate the Construction and Improvement of Harbours, by authorizing Loans to Harbour authorities, to abolish Passing Tolls, and for other purposes.* The chief purposes are to abolish tolls, unless facilities are afforded to equalize the dues on foreign ships with those of British, and to abolish passing tolls.

Cap. 55. *To amend the Laws regarding the Removal of the Poor, and the Contribution of Parishes to the common Funds in Unions.* Reduces the power of removing poor persons to a residence of three years without receiving parish relief. The contribution of parishes to the common fund is to be computed on the rental, and not on the payment to its own poor. A similar Act was passed for Ireland. (Cap. 76.)

Cap. 109. *To amend the Laws relating to Fisheries of Salmon in England.* This ensures the preservation of salmon in English rivers by many detailed precautions.

Cap. 117. *To place the Employment of Women, young Persons, Youths, and Children in Lace Factories under the Regulation of the Factory Acts.*

Cap. 133. *To amend the Law relating to Agricultural Drainage.* Enables parties to join in effecting drainage that could not be accomplished by individuals.

Cap. 134. *To amend the Law relating to Bankruptcy and Insolvency.* Abolishes the Courts of the Commissioners of Bankruptcy and the Court of Insolvency, and enacts the course of procedure before new judicatures.

Revenue, 70,283,674*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 18,013,896*l.*; Navy, 13,331,668*l.*; for all objects, 72,792,059*l.*; Debt, 818,048,896*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 125,102,814*l.*: total exports, 159,632,498*l.*; imports, 217,485,024*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 12,707; to United States, 49,764; to Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 23,738; to all other places, 5,561: total, 91,770.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 6,331,225*l.*: total imported, 12,163,937*l.*; exported, 11,238,372*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 10,869: number of passengers, 173,773,218; traffic receipts, 28,565,355*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,019,539.

1862.

Jan. 5. Garibaldi accepted the presidency of the Rifle Association of Genoa, and advised the members, in a letter, "to hasten to prepare themselves to take up arms, for the moment was approaching when they would have to give fresh proofs of their valour."

Feb. 23. The Greek insurgents, who had for some time held possession of Nauplia, capitulated to the Greek Government.

March 1. The earl of Elgin arrived at Calcutta to succeed earl Canning as Governor-General of India.

March 4. President Lincoln, in a message to the Federal congress, proposed a plan for co-operation with the Slave States for the gradual emancipation of slaves by means of pecuniary compensation. It was approved of by the House of Representatives on March 10, by 88 votes to 31, and by the Senate, on April 3, by 32 votes to 10.

March 8. The Merrimac, Confederate iron-clad war-steamer, attacked the Federal fleet at Newport News, and disabled and sunk several vessels. The Monitor, Federal iron-clad steamer, arrived in the evening, and on the following day maintained a close fight with the Merrimac for several hours, the contest ending in a drawn battle.

March 9. A meeting of 300 Italian delegates held at Genoa, at which Garibaldi presided. On the 12th the Provisional Committee received a warning from the Government to be calmer in tone, or the authorities might find it necessary to suppress the association.

March 21. Garibaldi received at Milan with enthusiastic cries of "Rome and Venice."

March 25. The Pope pronounced an allocution in favour of the temporal power of the papacy. At the same time the canonization commenced of twenty-three martyrs, who had been put to death in the Philippine Islands in the sixteenth century. It lasted till June 8, and was attended by upwards of 200 prelates and about 6000 other ecclesiastics.

March 25. The Italian Government ordered the amalgamation of the southern army, consisting principally of Garibaldi's volunteers, with the regular troops.

March 27. The prince of Wales arrived at Cairo. He subsequently visited Jerusalem and other places in the Holy Land.

April 6. Battle between the Federals and Confederates at Pittsburg Landing.

May 3. The Tae-ping rebels in China defeated with great loss. On the 21st Ningpo was taken by the Imperialists.

May 25. The Federal American troops, under general Banks, defeated at Winchester by the Confederates, who, however, had to abandon Corinth on the 29th.

June 19. The Federal House of Representatives passed a bill confiscating the slaves of rebels.

July 1. Marriage of the princess Alice to prince Louis of Hesse-Darmstadt.

July 10. Freedom of the city of London presented to Mr. Peabody, for his munificent gift of £150,000 for the benefit of the poor of London.

August 3. A proclamation issued by Garibaldi, addressed to the young men in his army, exhorted them to be ready for an approaching combat against "arrogant foreign oppressors." A proclamation from Victor Emmanuel followed, warning the young men of Italy against being led into a dangerous and disloyal course of action by illusions, "forgetful of the duty of gratitude due to our best allies."

August 20. Garibaldi took possession of the town of Catania in Sicily. On the 21st the Italian government proclaimed Sicily in a state of siege.

August 25. Garibaldi landed in Calabria. The royal troops were marched against him, and on the 29th, after a skirmish at Aspromonte, in which he and his son Menotti were wounded, both were taken prisoners, and conveyed to Spezia.

August 30. The Federal American army under general Pope defeated a second time at Bull's Run by the Confederates. General Pope retired, and took up a position on Arlington Heights, near Washington.

Sept. 8. At this date, in 24 Poor-Law Unions in the cotton districts, there were 140,165 persons receiving out-door relief, at a cost of 7,922*l.* per week. The pauperism in these districts amounted to 7·3 per cent. of the entire population.

Sept. 17. The Confederate army defeated by general M'Clellan at Antietam, after several previous encounters in which it had been worsted, and forced to evacuate Maryland, into which it had advanced.

Sept. 22. President Lincoln issued a proclamation declaring all slaves to be free after Jan. 1, 1863, whose owners may be in insurrection against the Federal Government at that date.

Oct. 5. Riot in Hyde Park between the adherents of the Pope and the sympathizers with Garibaldi. Several persons were severely injured.

Oct 6. The King of Italy publishes a decree of amnesty for Garibaldi and his associates in the recent rising, except such as had deserted from the royal army.

Oct. 13. The Prussian Representative Chamber closed by the king in consequence of its refusal to vote a large estimate for military expenditure proposed by the military.

Oct. 23. The Tae-pings, in China, driven out of Kah-sing by the Imperialists.

Oct. 24. Peaceful revolution in Greece. King Otho had to quit the kingdom, and a provisional government was appointed.

Nov. 7. The financial estimates of Austria for 1863 were laid before the Chamber of Deputies, thus practically acknowledging the right of the representatives of the people to control the finances of the empire.

Nov. 9. An address sent to the Pope, signed by 8943 members of the Italian clergy, praying him to give up Rome as the capital of the new kingdom, and to restore peace to Italy.

Nov. 23. Prince Alfred proclaimed King of Greece at Athens, but the choice declined by the British Government, as all the protecting powers had agreed that none of their families should accept the crown. He received 230,000 votes on Feb. 3, 1863.

Dec. 20. From this date the distress in the cotton districts began slowly to decrease. Up to this time 407,830*l.* had been raised for its relief by the Central Relief Fund, and 236,926*l.* by the London Mansion House Fund. The number of persons on the relief books was 275,000 at the time.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 25 & 26 VICTORIÆ

Cap. 8. *To prevent the employment of Women and Children during the night in certain operations connected with Bleaching by the open-air process.* They are not to be employed in any of the operations of dyeing, bleaching, or finishing, between eight in the evening and six in the morning, of yarn or cotton cloth, silk, wool, or flax, or any mixture of them, in any building where steam, water, or other mechanical power is used.

Cap. 18. *To amend the Law as to Whipping of Juvenile or other offenders.* The number of strokes is to be specified, and if the offender be not more than fourteen years old, they are not to exceed twelve, to be inflicted with a birch rod. In Scotland no one above sixteen is to be whipped for theft or offences against property.

Cap. 40. *To carry into effect the treaty between her Majesty and the United States of America for the Suppression of the African Slave Trade.* A mutual right of search is given within certain limits, the slaves captured are to be freed, mixed courts of justice are to be appointed, the captains and crews of condemned vessels are to be given up to the Government to which they belong, as also any other subjects found on board.

Cap. 43. *To provide for the Education and Maintenance of Paupers' Children in certain Schools and Institutions.* Guardians are empowered to send children from the Union to any properly certified school, and to pay for them; but no child to be sent without the consent of its parent, unless it has been deserted or is an orphan, and no child to be kept against its will beyond the age of fourteen.

Cap. 53. *To facilitate the proof of Title to, and the Conveyance of, Real Estates.* This Act is almost entirely professional, but it establishes a registry, and the registration is a warranty of the title, except in cases of fraud.

Cap. 61. *For the better Management of Highways in England.* This long Act gives permission to districts to form a Board for the management of the road, and to levy a rate on the occupiers.

Cap. 66. *For the safe keeping of Petroleum.* Not more than forty gallons may be kept within fifty yards of a dwelling-house without a licence. The penalty for transgressing the law is 20*l.* a day.

Cap. 68. *For amending the law relating to Copyright in Works of the Fine Arts, and for repressing the commission of Fraud in the production and sale of such works.* The

Act mainly aims at repressing the reproduction of such works by means of photography, in violation of the rights of the possessors of the originals.

Cap. 79. *To amend the laws relating to Coal Mines.* No new mine is to be opened from the passing of this Act, and no existing mine to be worked after Jan. 1, 1865, with less than two shafts, well separated by natural strata.

Cap. 86. *To amend the law relating to Fraudulent Marking of Merchandise.* Every person selling merchandise with forged trade marks is liable to a penalty not exceeding 5*l.* or less than 10*s.* on each article so sold, besides the value of the article itself.

Cap. 93. *For Embanking the north side of the river Thames from Westminster-bridge to Blackfriars-bridge, and for making new streets in and near thereto.* This marks the commencement of the great improvements that have been made and are making on the banks of the Thames.

Cap. 114. *For the Prevention of Poaching.* Constables or police officers are empowered to search any person suspected of coming from any land where he may have been in quest of game, or of having game in his possession, or of having a gun or nets for the taking of game. If any such are found, the offender to be taken before justices, where he may be fined 5*l.*, with the forfeiture of the game or such instruments for procuring game as may be found in his possession.

Revenue, 69,674,479*l.*; Expenditure—Army (including ordnance), 16,854,290*l.*; Navy, 12,598,042*l.*; for all purposes, 72,792,059*l.* In this year commenced an expenditure for fortifications which is not included, as it was provided for by the creation of terminable annuities.

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 123,992,264*l.*; Total of Exports, 166,168,134*l.*

Imports, 225,716,976*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 15,322; to the United States, 58,706; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 41,843; to other places, 5,146: total, 121,214.

Gold imported from Australia, 6,704,753*l.*; total imported, 19,903,704*l.*; exported, 16,011,963*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 11,551; number of passengers, 180,485,727; traffic receipts, 29,128,558*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,084,651.

1863.

Jan. 9. The British Legation at Rio Janeiro having demanded in vain an indemnity for the plunder of a British vessel wrecked on the coast of Brazil, and also an apology for the imprisonment of some naval officers who were taken not in uniform, and accused of a riot, caused five Brazilian merchant vessels to be seized. They were given up on an undertaking to pay the indemnity to be settled in London; the other question was referred to the arbitration of the King of the Belgians.

Jan. 14. The Prussian Chambers opened their session at Berlin. The King's message stated that their sanction would be asked for expenses incurred without their previous sanction, and hoped that a mutual good understanding might be arrived at. On the 22nd an address was prepared and carried after several days' debate, complaining that "the ministers had carried on the administration against the constitution, and without a legal budget." During the debate the Prime Minister, count von Bismark, said, "the Prussian ministry is quite different from the English. The latter, call it what you will, is only the ministry of the parliament, but we are the ministers of the King."

Jan. 22. The insurrection in Poland commenced, in consequence, it is said, of its being discovered that the conscription taking place in Warsaw, instead of being carried out on the usual plan, was used to remove all the young men of education suspected of liberal tendencies. The young men withdrew to the woods, provided themselves with arms, and prepared for resistance. The insurrection rapidly spread: detached parties of Russian soldiers were killed; the railways were broken up, the telegraph wires cut; and dividing themselves into small parties, the insurgents were frequently successful in defeating detachments of Russian troops sent against them.

Feb. 3. The King of Prussia replied to the address of the Lower Chamber, refusing to acknowledge the right of the people to control the financial expenditure through their representatives.

March 7. The princess Alexandra landed at Gravesend, and was married to the prince of Wales on the 10th.

March 18. Langiewicz, the principal leader of the Polish insurgents, who had been proclaimed dictator on the 10th, after two successful encounters with the Russians on the

16th and 17th, was attacked by them at Zagoseie, and defeated after a desperate contest. The insurgent force was dispersed, but Langiewicz and some of his adherents escaped across the Austrian frontier into Galicia. They were there disarmed and imprisoned at Cracow.

March 18. Prince William George of Denmark was elected King of Greece by the National Assembly, and proclaimed under the title of George the First, France and England approving of the choice.

March 31. The French entered Puebla after a bombardment of four days. The citadel, with the whole town, surrendered on May 17.

April 3. The Sultan of Turkey left Constantinople on a visit to Egypt. He arrived at Alexandria on the 8th, when he declared that he came but as a guest, but he had previously declared his disapproval at the large grant of land to the Suez Canal Company, and had forbidden forced labour.

April 7. Great Britain, France, and Austria sent separate notes to St. Petersburg, remonstrating against the cruelties practised by Russia in Poland. On the 14th Prince Gortschakoff replied, ascribing the revolt to the revolutionary principles with which Europe was infested, and that in England was organized the conspiracy which was armed abroad to keep up disorder in the empire.

April 16. The German Federal Diet debated on the ordinance of the King of Denmark of March 30, by which he gave a constitution to all his European dominions exclusive of the members of the Confederation, and by which Holstein was to have an independent army, and to share with the rest of the kingdom in the general burdens. The Diet would not recognize it, and protested against the assumption of the King that the Diet had no right to interfere in the question of the Duchies.

April 22. Prince Christian of Denmark announced that he accepted the crown of Greece for his son George; and the King of Denmark informed the Rigsraad that the ordinance of March 30 had been opposed by the Great Powers of Germany, but that he should persist in his adoption of it.

April 22. An earthquake at Rhodes by which 2000 houses were destroyed, killing and injuring some thousands of its inhabitants.

May 12. Radama, King of Madagascar, assassinated, and his widow proclaimed Queen.

May 26. Herat captured by Dost Mohammed Khan of Afghanistan, who died on June 9, after which the succession was contested by his sons.

June 10. General Forey with the French army entered the city of Mexico; they were received, as was stated, with great enthusiasm. The President, Juarez, retired to San Juan Potosi.

June 18. The King of the Belgians, by his award in the case of the imprisonment of the British naval officers, declared that there was no intentional offence given by the Brazilians.

June 24. After a trial in the Court of Exchequer lasting three days, the case of the Alexandria, seized on behalf of the United States for an infringement of the Foreign Enlistment Acts, was decided in favour of the defendants and against the seizure.

July 1, 2, and 3. Battle of Gettysburg, in which the Confederates failed to defeat the Federals, and were forced to retire on the 4th. On the same day Fort Hudson, on the Mississippi, after a long siege was surrendered to the Federals.

July 15. An insurrection broke out in New Zealand, near Auckland. On the 17th general Cameron had an action with a large force of the natives, who, availing themselves of rifle pits, fought desperately, but were defeated with great loss. On the 22nd the native settlement of Kiri-Kiri was attacked, and taken after a vigorous defence. From this time the natives would not meet the British in the field, but through July and August continued to ravage the province. The colonists rose in their own defence, and within a few weeks had 4000 volunteers and militia under arms.

July 20. Mexico proclaimed as an empire by an Assembly of Notables, with the archduke Maximilian as Emperor. A deputation was appointed to wait on him and tender the crown.

Aug. 15. In September, 1862, Mr. Richardson had been murdered in Japan by the attendants of the prince of Satsuma, and as no satisfaction could be obtained from any of the Japanese authorities, Admiral Kuper had been sent with a British squadron to reduce the prince's fortified port of Kagosima. On this day the bombardment commenced, which was vigorously replied to; but in two days the fort, the palace, the arsenal, and a great part of the town were reduced to ruins, and three steam-vessels destroyed. The British loss was two captains and eleven seamen killed, and thirty-nine wounded.

Aug. 17. The Frankfort Congress of Princes assembled at the desire of the emperor of Austria to consider of a plan for the reformation of the federal constitution of Germany.

Prussia declined to appear. On Sept. 1 it was terminated without any result, although the emperor hoped that a second Congress would be more satisfactory.

Sept. 3. A body of 700 Poles under Lelewel attacked a much superior force of the Russian army, who were compelled to retreat, although the Poles had 100 men killed. On the 6th another battle was fought, Lelewel was killed, and the insurgents, after a gallant defence, had to fly for refuge into Galicia.

Sept. 7. Prince Gortschakoff rejected the proposal of France for a conference respecting Poland, the welfare of which, he said, was, in common with all his other dominions, the object of the Czar's devoted solicitude.

Sept. 23. The Ionian Assembly voted in favour of uniting themselves to Greece, thanked Queen Victoria for surrendering the Protectorship and for the benefits conferred, and the other powers also for their ready concurrence in the surrender, but declined the pecuniary stipulations.

Oct. 30. The King of Greece, after having visited France and England, arrived at Athens, where he was enthusiastically received.

Nov. 4. The Emperor of the French addressed the Sovereigns of Europe, proposing a general Congress for the purpose of regulating the questions now agitating their peoples, and thus avoiding war. It was declined on the part of England by earl Russell as leading to no practically good result; and by Austria, unless with a stipulation that there should be no territorial alterations adopted. The project was then abandoned. On the 5th the French Chambers were opened by the emperor who announced the sending of the proposal for a Congress, congratulated them on the prosperity of the country, and on the success of the armies in Mexico and Cochin China, and alluded to the distressing position of Poland.

Nov. 6. Czaschkowski, who had maintained a desultory war against the Russians in the government of Radom in Poland, was defeated on this day, his force dispersed, and himself taken prisoner but he died of his wounds within a few days.

Nov. 15. Frederic VI., King of Denmark, died aged 55, and was succeeded by Christian IX., the father of the princess of Wales and of the King of Greece, in virtue of a treaty signed in May, 1852, by which the princess Christiana and the prince of Holstein-Augustenburg resigned their claims in his favour for a pecuniary consideration, in order to avoid a separation of the kingdom. The treaty was signed on behalf of Great Britain, France, Prussia, Austria, and Russia. On the 17th the young duke of Holstein-Augustenburg claimed the Duchy, announcing that his father, who was still alive, could not sell his rights, and his claim was admitted by the Frankfort Diet, but his representative was not admitted to sit.

Dec. 8. At Santiago, in Chili, on the last evening of a long festival, in honour of the Immaculate Conception, in the church de la Compania (a Jesuit church) some of the muslin drapery of the image of the Virgin caught fire. The interior of the church, with the roof, was chiefly of wood; there was but one available exit and this was at once rendered impassable by the rushing crowd who choked the passage, and in fifteen minutes 2000 corpses, mostly females, were all that remained of the congregation inclosed within that fiery furnace. Some of the priests subsequently preached that all who there died were martyrs, but the government forbade any building to be erected on the site.

Dec. 24. The Saxon and Hanoverian troops, acting under the orders of the Frankfort Diet, marched into Altona, and took possession of it "without prejudice to the only temporarily suspended rights of the sovereign." The Danish forces withdrew without offering any opposition.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 26 & 27 VICTORIA.

Cap. 13. *For the Protection of certain Gardens in Ornamental Grounds in Cities and Boroughs.* Where land has been set apart for the use of the public, and where trustees or other authorities neglect to keep it in proper order, this Act enables the governing corporations of the place to take possession, and a rate may be imposed for its due maintenance.

Cap. 14. *To amend the Law relating to Post-office Banks.* This Act provides that money deposited in the Post-office Savings Bank at one place may be transferred at the desire of the depositor, so as to be paid at any other savings bank, and also for the transference of the deposits of the former savings banks to the Post-office Savings Banks.

Cap. 27. *To amend the Law relating to Marriages in Ireland.* The details of the forms to be observed in the civil celebration and registration of Protestant marriages in Ireland. Cap. 90 does the same for Roman Catholic marriages; and cap. 11 provides for the establishment of a registrar-general and assistants, for the registration of births and deaths in Ireland.

Cap. 41. *To amend the Law respecting the Liability of Innkeepers, and to prevent certain Frauds upon them.* No innkeeper is to be liable to any guest for the loss of

property, or injury to goods (except horses or other live animals, or carriages) to a greater amount than 30*l.*, unless expressly deposited with the said innkeeper.

Cap. 44. *For the further security of the Persons of Her Majesty's Subjects from Personal Violence.* In awarding punishments for robbery committed with violence, the Court may order any convicted male offender, in addition to other punishment, to be twice or thrice privately whipped; if under 16, a birch rod to be used, and the strokes not to exceed twenty-five; if above that age, the strokes not to exceed fifty, and the exact number, with the instrument to be used, to be stated in the sentence.

Cap. 52. *To further extend and make compulsory the practice of Vaccination in Ireland.* Within six months from the time of birth every child is to be vaccinated, under a penalty of 10*s.* for neglect of the parent or guardian. Registrars are appointed, and the vaccinator is to be paid. A similar Act (cap. 108) was passed for Scotland, but the penalty for neglect is here 20*s.*

Cap. 70. *To facilitate the execution of Public Works in certain manufacturing districts; to authorise for that purpose Advances of Public Money to a limited amount upon Security of Local Rates; and to shorten the period for the adoption of the Local Government Act, 1858, in certain cases.* Numbers of the labouring and manufacturing classes still remaining out of employment, the loan Commissioners are authorized to advance sums not amounting in the whole to more than 1,200,000*l.* to such Local Boards as may wish thus to effect sanitary or other works of public improvement. No sum advanced to exceed one year's rateable value of the district to be improved.

Cap. 124. *For the more effectual condensation of Muriac Acid Gas in Alkali Works.* All works for the manufacture of alkali are by this Act compelled to take measures for condensing and preventing the diffusion of the destructive gas that might escape. Inspectors are appointed to see that effective means are used under penalties of not exceeding 50*l.* for a first offence, and 100*l.* for every subsequent offence. The owner to be proceeded against in the first instance, but if it be proved that the offence was committed, without his knowledge, by a servant, he may be proceeded against. No alkali works are to be opened until registered with the inspector.

Revenue, 70,003,501*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 16,204,790*l.*; Navy, 11,3370,588*l.*; for all objects, 69,302,008*l.*; Debt, 817,559,322*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 146,602,342*l.*; total of exports, 196,902,409*l.*

Imports, 248,919,020*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 18,083; to the United States, 146,813; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 53,054; to other places, 5,808; total, 223,758.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 5,995,368*l.*; total imported, 19,142,665*l.*; exported, 75,303,279*l.*

Railway Traffic: miles open, 12,322; number of passengers, 204,699,466; traffic receipts, 31,156,397*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,329,136.

1864.

Jan. 8. The Princess of Wales gave birth to a son, who was baptized on March 10 as Albert Victor Christian Edward.

Jan. 31. The proposal of Austria and Prussia that the troops of the German Confederation should be restricted to the occupation of Holstein, and should not interfere with Schleswig, having been rejected in the Diet of Frankfort by ten votes to five, the two Powers announced that they should take the matter into their own hands, as parties to the treaty of 1852, and they summoned Denmark to annul the constitution by which Schleswig had been incorporated into the kingdom. Denmark applied for time to obtain the sanction of the Rigsraad, which was refused, and marshal Wrangel, at the head of 70,000 men, summoned general de Aieza to evacuate Schleswig, to which the reply was that he was ordered to defraud it.

Feb. 12. The King of Ashantee having made war on the Fantees (an African territory under the protection of the British at Cape Coast), who had refused to give up two fugitives claimed by him, governor Pine ordered a force to be marched to repel and punish the Ashantees. The wet season set in much earlier than was anticipated, and, without seeing an enemy or firing a shot, the force of above 1200 men, chiefly coloured men officered by Europeans, were prostrated by fever. The loss by death, independent of the suffering was fearful, and the remains of the expedition were ordered to return. The affair gave rise to a sharp discussion in Parliament on June 17.

Feb. 25. The four Italians who were arrested on the previous Dec. 29, charged with

an intention of assassinating the Emperor of the French, were this day tried in Paris. Their names were Greco, Imperatori, Trabuco, and Scaglioni. Greco and Trabuco stated that the money, the explosive bombs, and the weapons had been furnished by Mazzini (who in London denied it). The other two pleaded that they had been drawn into the conspiracy. All were found guilty. Greco and Trabuco were sentenced to transportation; Imperatori and Scaglioni to twenty years' imprisonment.

March 10. Maximilian II., King of Bavaria, died, and was succeeded by his son, Ludwig II.

March 11. At nearly midnight the town of Sheffield and its neighbourhood was overwhelmed by the bursting of the large water reservoir at Bradford. Within the space of two or three hours 250 human beings, from the man of eighty to the baby in arms, were drowned in their beds, or in the attempt to escape, or crushed beneath the falling dwellings. The destruction of property was immense.

March 16. The King of Dahomey defeated in an attack on Abeakutah, with a loss of 1000 killed and 2000 taken prisoners.

April 3. Garibaldi landed at Southampton, where he was received with great enthusiasm. On the 11th he made his entry into London, amid an immense crowd, and took up his abode with the duke of Sutherland at Stafford House.

April 14. The Chincha Islands, of which the guano had been pledged for the security of the Peruvian public debt, seized by a Spanish squadron.

April 18. The Prussians captured Dybbøl by assault, after a siege of nearly two months. The Danes in their resistance lost nearly 5000 men, killed, wounded, or taken prisoners; but they succeeded in removing the greater part of their force to the neighbouring isle of Alsen, after which the bridge was destroyed. The loss of the Prussians was estimated at 2000 killed and wounded.

April 25. A conference on Danish affairs commenced in London, attended by the representatives of France, Russia, Austria, Prussia, Denmark, Sweden, and the German Confederation. The representatives of Great Britain were the earl of Clarendon and earl Russell.

April 27. Three tribes of Caucasians, having been subdued by Russia, commenced leaving the country to the number of 300,000, to take refuge in Turkey. Through the want of means of conveyance to Trebizond, numbers perished on the shores of the Black Sea: others arriving at Trebizond in crowded ships, in greater crowds than were expected, and insufficient means having been provided for their transport to places of permanent settlement, fever and small-pox broke out, and occasioned an enormous loss of life before their removal could be effected.

May 6 to 10. General Grant having advanced to attack general Lee, preparatory to the future advance upon Richmond, the fighting was continued from the Friday till Tuesday, the struggle on the last day having lasted for fourteen hours. General Lee maintained his position, but the loss on both sides was terrific. On Thursday the 12th, the Federals again attacked general Lee at Spottsylvania, where he had taken up a strong position. Here the Federals claimed the advantage, as they captured some cannon and took some prisoners, but the loss of life was great, "the men on both sides falling like grain." On the 20th, having been strongly reinforced, finding he could not dislodge general Lee from his position, general Grant made a flank movement, and gained a position south of the North Anna river, where he was furiously attacked by the Confederates, but maintained his ground.

June 19. The Alabama, a Confederate steamer which had inflicted enormous loss on the Federal commerce, was on this day met, on leaving Cherbourg, by the Federal gunboat, Kearsage. After an engagement lasting about an hour, the Alabama, in a sinking state, made for the French coast, which she was unable to reach. Captain Semmes, with a portion of the crew, was picked up by an English steam-yacht, and landed at Southampton.

June 25. William, King of Würtemberg, died, aged 83, and was succeeded by his son Charles.

June 25. The London Conference on Danish affairs held its last sitting, Denmark and the German Powers alike rejecting the proposals of the neutral mediators.

June 26. The Prussians attacked and took the isle of Alsen.

July 19. Nanking stormed and captured by the Imperialists, aided by a disciplined force under major Gordon. The two chief leaders of the Taepings surrendered to major Gordon, stipulating that their lives should be spared; but as soon as the Imperialists obtained possession of them they were executed; upon which major Gordon withdrew from the service.

July 31. At Petersburg, near Richmond, a mine containing seven tons of gunpowder was sprung, a breach was made, and about 500 of the Confederate garrison killed. The Federals then made an assault with 18,000 men, but after a contest of some hours were forced to retire.

Aug. 6. The Maori war in New Zealand brought to a conclusion by the unconditional submission of most of the tribes : the revolt was punished only by the forfeiture of a small portion of their lands.

Aug. 8. The statue of Daniel O'Connell installed in Dublin ; on the same day his effigy was burnt by the Orangemen in Belfast, and the ashes were buried with mock solemnity on the following day. The Roman Catholics assembled and attacked the procession, and from this day to the 19th the rioting was almost incessant. The two factions fought in large bands. The police were powerless ; they were pelted with stones and driven away. Additional policemen and a military force were sent for, but 3000 soldiers and 1000 policemen were unable to suppress the rioting. Chapels and houses were wrecked ; and the windows were broken throughout whole streets. The military had to fire on the crowd, and many of the ringleaders on both sides were captured. Before the riots were ended there were nine persons killed, and 176 wounded were attended to in the General Hospital, besides many who received surgical assistance in their own houses.

Sept. 4. General Sherman, the commander of the Federal army of the Potomac, having beaten the Confederates in several encounters, had at length cut off the communications of general Hood with the South ; and on this day took possession of Atlanta in Georgia, which general Hood had felt himself compelled to abandon. This was a most serious loss to the Confederates as it contained the arsenals, the foundries, and the manufactories, which had chiefly supplied the Confederate armies during the contest. General Hood retreated towards Virginia.

Sept. 15. A treaty concluded between France and Italy, by which it was agreed that the French troops should be withdrawn from Rome within two years, the Italian government undertaking to protect the Pope against any attacks from without, and that Florence should be the seat of the Italian government. On this arrangement becoming known at Turin, a riot broke out, which was promptly quelled by the military, but an immediate change of ministry was the consequence.

Oct. 30. A treaty of peace between Denmark, Prussia, and Austria, signed at Vienna, by which Denmark consented to surrender the Duchies to the two powers, to pay a large sum of money, and to submit to a rectification of the frontiers of Jutland.

Nov. 1. A violent cyclone in the Bay of Bengal, which extended along the coast from Calcutta to very near Madras. The waters of the Ganges were driven back by the wind, and submerged a large portion of the Sunderbunds ; the island of Saugor was inundated, and 7000 persons out of a population of 8500 were drowned. At Masulipatam the sea broke into the land, along a distance of more than 80 miles, and in some instances penetrated inland for 17 miles, destroying villages, their inhabitants, crops, and cattle, and filling up the wells. The total loss of life during this terrible night was estimated at not less than from 70,000 to 80,000 souls. On the flood returning the number of bodies of men and animals left behind was so great, that but for the exertions of the English authorities in burying and burning them, and providing food and shelter for the unfortunate survivors, an infectious fever would have followed close on the previous calamity.

Dec. 6. Abraham Lincoln elected President of the United States for a second time, and Andrew Johnson elected Vice-President.

Dec. 21. General Sherman, having marched from Atlanta in Georgia to Savannah in South Carolina, took possession of that town without any resistance being made, general Hardee, the commander of the Confederates, retreating, as not being strong enough to resist.

Dec. 24, 25. The Federal fleet attacked Wilmington in North Carolina, which had been the great dépôt for the blockade-running vessels from the Bahamas. After having ineffectually exploded on the 24th a vessel with 215 tons of gunpowder under the walls of Fort Fisher, the outer fort which guarded the harbour, and bombarding it on the 25th, a party of men were landed to storm it, but they were repulsed with considerable loss, and the fleet retired.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 27 & 28 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 44. *To amend the Act relating to Divorce and Matrimonial Causes, 20 & 21 Vict. cap. 85.* Where a wife has obtained a protecting order from one magistrate, and the husband or a creditor may have applied for the discharge of such order, the cause may be heard, and the order discharged, by the successor of the magistrate who made the order, should he have died or been removed.

Cap. 47. *To amend the Penal Servitude Act.* No convict to be sentenced to a less period than five years, and where any previous conviction has taken place, for not less than seven years. Corporal punishment may be inflicted for offences within the prison. Licences are to be granted in a prescribed form ; the holder must report himself within three days to the police of the locality where he intends to reside, and once in every three months afterwards the licence to be produced whenever called for by a magistrate or police-officer. Any constable or police-officer may apprehend, without a warrant, any

licensed holder reasonably suspected of a breach of the conditions endorsed on the licence, and the breach forfeits the licence.

Cap. 48. *For the extension of the Factory Acts.* With some modifications, the provisions of previous Acts are extended to the manufacture of earthenware (except bricks and tiles, not being ornamental tiles), lucifer matches, percussion caps and cartridges, the employments of paper-staining and fustian-cutting

Cap. 108. *To explain the Statutes of her present Majesty for amending the Law relating to the Removal of the Poor.* The period of settlement within which a person likely to become chargeable to the parish or union may be removed, is altered from five years to three.

Cap. 113. *To amend the Law relating to the Conservancy of the River Thames, and for the Purposes relating thereto.* Six additional conservators are to be elected. The right of taking ballast from the Thames is taken from the Trinity House, and given to the Conservators. The privileges of the Watermen's Company are restricted, and certificates are to be granted by the Conservators to persons above twenty years of age, who upon examination are found capable of navigating lighters or steam-boats. Barges navigated from above Teddington Lock, and not descending below London Bridge, are exempt from having a licensed waterman on board. The Conservators are empowered to regulate the tolls for steam-boats, and to provide rules for fishing-boats as to their nets and lines. No gravel, stones, mud, or earth, or other refuse may be thrown, or so placed as to be carried by floods, into the Thames, nor any substance produced in making gas, nor any other offensive matter, is to flow into the Thames, under a penalty not exceeding 20*l.* for each offence.

Cap. 116. *For making provision for distributing the Charge of Relief of certain classes of Poor Persons over the whole of the Metropolis.* The expense of providing a refuge for the houseless poor is by this Act ordered to be divided in certain proportions among all the unions and parishes within the metropolitan district; and proper wards, or places of reception, are to be provided, to be approved of by the Poor-Law Board, at the mutual expense.

Cap. 117. *To render permissive the use of the Metric System of Weights and Measures.* Legalises contracts made under the French decimal system.

Revenue, 70,208,964*l.*; Expenditure — Army, 14,723,976*l.*; Navy, 10,851,596*l.*; for all objects, 67,056,286*l.*; National Debt, 813,230,152*l.*

Exports of British and Irish Produce, 160,449,053*l.*; total of exports, 212,619,614*l.*

Imports, 274,952,172*l.*

Emigration—to North American Colonies, 12,721; to United States, 147,042; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 40,942; to all other places, 8,195; total, 208,900.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 2,656,971*l.*; total imported, 16,900,951*l.*; exported, 13,279,739*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 12,789; number of passengers, 229,348,664; traffic receipts, 33,911,547*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,198,129.

1865.

Jan. 13. On this day the attack on Fort Fisher, the advanced fort of Wilmington Harbour, was renewed, and a bombardment continued until Sunday, the 15th, when the works were stormed, and the garrison, amounting to 1200 men, were compelled to surrender. The resistance was obstinate, and the Federal loss severe. Within a day or two of the capture, the powder magazine of the fort exploded, and 300 of the Federal troops perished.

Feb. 15. The emperor of the French opened the session of the Legislature with a speech, in which he congratulated them on the increased probability of a continued peace, with its accompanying prosperity; spoke of the withdrawal of the French troops from Rome; promised further progress in free trade by a removal of the French navigation laws; an extension of the powers of communes and departments to manage their local affairs without the intervention of the State; to give the right of provisional release, even in criminal cases, with or without bail; and to suppress altogether personal arrest in civil or commercial matters.

Feb. 17. The Confederates were forced to evacuate Charleston. Preparations had been made for several days preceding; all the wealthy inhabitants had removed; the guns were spiked, military stores destroyed, and the troops, about 14,000, retreated northwards. The Federals on entering the town found about 200 pieces of cannon, and the fortifications uninjured. After they had obtained possession, a fire broke out, which de-

stroyed 5000 bales of cotton, and the explosion of a depôt occurred, by which several hundred lives were lost.

March 11. A strike of the iron-workers in North Staffordshire against a reduction of wages, rendered necessary by a fall in the price of iron, had taken place. An endeavour was made to obtain the means of resistance by those on strike by allowing the workers in other districts to accept the terms of the masters on condition of subscribing to their support; upon which the ironmasters throughout the kingdom agreed to lock-out all the men unless those of North Staffordshire accepted the offered terms. They were refused, and on this day the lock-out commenced. It continued till April 5, when the men of South Staffordshire submitted to the condition of not subscribing, and the works were opened. It was calculated that the total weekly wages amounted to 120,000*l.*, the withdrawal of which occasioned extreme general distress, while the foreign trade was interrupted, and to some extent thrown into other channels.

March 13. The president of Paraguay, F. S. Lopez, addressing his council and deputies, stated that Brazil and the Argentine Confederation, by their armed interference in the domestic affairs of Uruguay and their claims as to the right of navigation on the Parana and the Paraguay, justified the commencement of hostilities against them. He had been preparing an army and a fleet for some time, and he had fortified Humayitá on the Paraguay river. Early in April he invaded the province of San Pedro in Brazil and Corrientes, a State of the Argentine Confederation. As the attack was unexpected, he carried all before him for a time.

March 20. On the appeal of Dr. Colenso, bishop of Natal, against the sentence of Dr. Grey, the bishop of Capé Town, who had deposed him from his bishopric, the Judicial Committee of the Privy Council decided that the bishop of Cape Town had no jurisdiction over the bishop of Natal, and had, therefore, no power to remove him from his office, and that the proceedings against Dr. Colenso were null and void in law.

April 2. The Federals under general Grant having broken through the Confederate defences around Petersburg, general Lee evacuated both Petersburg and Richmond, retreating northwards towards Lynchburg. Thus ended a siege, which with some interruptions, had lasted for three years. On the 9th, general Lee, with his army, surrendered to general Grant.

April 14. President Lincoln was shot through the head by an assassin while sitting in his private box in the theatre at Washington. The murderer leaped upon the stage, exclaiming, "Sic semper tyrannis," and succeeded for the time in making his escape. President Lincoln died early on the following morning. On the same evening a murderous attack was made on Mr. Seward in his own house, the assassin stabbing him in several places, killing one of his servants, and fracturing the skull of Mr. Frederic Seward, who endeavoured to save his father. Andrew Johnson, the Vice-President, succeeded to Mr. Lincoln's office.

April 26. Wilkes Booth, the assassin of President Lincoln, having been tracked to a barn near Port Royal, on the Rappahannock, Maryland, was summoned to surrender by a troop of horse, he refused, fired at the sergeant of the troop, who returned the fire, and shot him through the head, of which wound he died in about three hours. His companion named Harrold was taken prisoner.

May 1. Addresses of condolence for and of horror at the crime of the assassination of President Lincoln, voted in the Houses of Lords and Commons. On the immediately following days similar addresses were voted in public meetings in every considerable town in the United Kingdom.

May 10. Jefferson Davis, President of the Confederate States, with his wife, sister, brother and some members of his staff, captured at Irwinsville, in Georgia, by a detachment of Federal cavalry. The troops were divided into two parties, the more effectually to surround the place, and prevent the escape of Davis; but when meeting they mistook each other for hostile troops, and before the mistake was rectified two men were killed and several wounded. Mr. Davis was placed in close confinement.

May 22. President Johnson issued a proclamation for opening the blockaded ports of the United States from and after July 1, except those in the State of Texas; and on June 2, earl Russell, in a letter to the Admiralty Board, announced that the Confederates would no longer be recognised as belligerents in British ports.

June 11. War having been declared against Paraguay by Brazil and the Argentine Confederation, an army and a fleet had been despatched to repel the attack of the Paraguayans, and to carry the war into their own country. The fleet ascended the Paraná river, and on this day were attacked by the Paraguayan steamers. They were repulsed, five out of eight were destroyed, and five heavily-armed gun-boats were taken.

June 17. The Prussian Parliament was prorogued. During the sitting the financial and military proposals of the government had been rejected, and in dismissing them, the minister, Von Bismark, accused them of placing difficulties in the way of the ministry, which were detrimental to the welfare of the country; told them that the government

would be carried on in the way indicated by itself, and trusted that ultimately the house and the nation would approve and thank the king for the course pursued. The President of the House, Von Grabow, addressing the assembled representatives, said that the effort to transform a constitutional government into an absolute police and military despotism had been carried to the utmost limit, and would be wrecked on the loyalty of the people, manifested by their choice of constitutional representatives.

July 7. Mrs. Surratt, Messrs. Payne, Azteroth, and Harrold, were hung at New York, after a trial before a court-martial which lasted for many days, as guilty accomplices and conspirators with Booth in the murder of the late President Lincoln.

July 22. Instructions were issued by the Privy Council for taking precautions against the introduction of cholera at the seaports. Hospitals were formed at several of them, to which seamen suffering from the disorder might be immediately removed on their arrival.

August 2. An order was issued by the Privy Council, including regulations for guarding against the cattle plague, which had begun to spread rapidly and fatally, in consequence of which another order was issued on the 11th, commanding the destruction of infected beasts, and imposing a penalty of 20*l.* for infractions of the regulations. This was followed by other orders appointing inspectors at the ports to examine foreign cattle, and in country districts, to insure the carrying out of the orders in Council. On Sept. 22, in consequence of the plague having extended widely to sheep, a new order was issued, by which the previous orders were made to apply to all infected animals, the word animal to include "any cow, heifer, bull, bullock, ox, calf, sheep, lamb, goat, or swine." In October a Government Commission was appointed to investigate the cause and the means of repressing the disease.

Aug. 11. An insurrection of the negroes in Jamaica broke out in the parish of St. Thomas-in-the-East, near Morant Bay. The outbreak was accompanied by some atrocious murders, burning of property, and plunder. Military force was rapidly forwarded. Governor Eyre took very decided steps for its repression, and the insurrection was extinguished, but accompanied by some circumstances that excited much discussion in England. The negro troops behaved with great faithfulness and courage.

August 16. A navigation treaty with Prussia was signed.

August 26. Austria and Prussia communicate to the Diet at Frankfort the results of the interview at Gastein; they were—that the duke of Augustenburg-Holstein had no right to the Duchies of Schleswig-Holstein; that the King of Denmark was the legal possessor; and that he had resigned his claim to the two Powers by the treaty of Vienna. They would therefore hold them jointly for the present.

August 29. The French fleet arrived at Portsmouth in return for the visit of the English fleet to Cherbourg, and the officers were magnificently entertained by the English officers and the mayor and corporation of the town.

Sept. 2. A deputation of upwards of 2000 inhabitants of Schleswig-Holstein visited Copenhagen, and were received with great enthusiasm by the Danes.

Sept. 15. The Dublin police took possession of the office of the *Irish People* newspaper, and seized the persons found on the premises, charged with being members of the Fenian Brotherhood and engaged in treasonable attempts against the Government. On the same day numerous arrests were made in Cork, the persons arrested being charged with the like offence; but in their cases bail was taken for their appearance at a subsequent investigation, while at Dublin the persons charged were remanded to prison till another hearing. Other arrests followed, and on Sept. 20 some persons were apprehended in Manchester and Sheffield and conveyed to Dublin charged with complicity in the conspiracy. On Nov. 10, Stephens, *alias* Power, the reputed Chief Centre for Ireland, was apprehended, examined, and committed for trial.

Sept. 21. Valparaiso and other ports of Chili blockaded by a Spanish fleet under Admiral Pareja, upon the refusal of the Chilian Government to consent to the claims of the Spanish Government, on a summons of only four days; the said claims having been previously arranged by the Spanish ambassador, but who had been withdrawn and his arrangements repudiated.

Oct. 18. Viscount Palmerston, First Lord of the Treasury, died, aged 81. His lordship had been at the head of the Cabinet from February, 1855, with the exception of the short interval of the Derby-Disraeli Ministry in 1858, until his death. He was buried in Westminster Abbey. Earl Russell was his successor as chief of the Cabinet.

Nov. 6. The Shenandoah, Confederate frigate, which had been active and successful in inflicting damage on the commercial vessels of the United States, arrived in the Mersey, and was surrendered to the British Government on the 9th. The captain and crew having been set at large, the ship was delivered over to the consul of the United States.

Nov. 9. The Irish International Exhibition, which the prince of Wales had opened, was closed on this day.

Nov. 23. Stephens, the head-centre of the Fenians, who had been committed for trial to Richmond jail, made his escape. On the 28th, the trial of the other conspirators commenced in Dublin; and on Dec. 1, Luby, the first tried, was convicted, and sentenced to twenty years penal servitude. Other trials followed with similar results.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 28 & 29 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 18. *For amending the Law of Evidence and Practice on Criminal Trials.* In trials for felony or misdemeanor, if evidence on the part of the accused be not called, the accused or his counsel have the right of addressing the jury last. If witnesses are called, and are adverse, it may be proved that they had made other statements previously.

Cap. 75. *For facilitating the more useful application of Sewage in Great Britain and Ireland.* Enables places not governed under the Public Health Act, or the Local Government Act, to form themselves into districts, levy rates, construct works for draining and cleansing, prevent the pollution of streams within their limits, and make contracts for the use of the sewage.

Cap. 79. *To provide for the better distribution of the Charge for the Relief of the Poor in Unions.* The burial of the poor by the guardians, and all charges of vaccination and registration fees, are to be charged on the common fund of the union, and not on the parish; and the period of residence required for a settlement is further reduced from three years to one year.

Cap. 83. *For regulating the use of Locomotives on Turnpike and other Roads for agricultural and other purposes.* When a locomotive is passing along any road, one person must precede it on foot, displaying a red flag, to warn drivers of carriages of its approach; it is not to go at a greater rate than four miles an hour along a highway, or than two miles through any town or village; towns of above 5000 inhabitants may regulate the speed and the hours at which locomotives may pass along the highways under their jurisdiction.

Cap. 90. *For the establishment of a Fire Brigade within the Metropolis.* Under the Metropolitan Board of Works a Fire Brigade is established, to be supported by a rate, to which the Fire Insurance offices also contribute.

Cap. 99. *To confer on the County Courts a limited jurisdiction in Equity.* To the County Courts are given the powers and jurisdiction of the higher Chancery Courts in suits which do not involve an amount in value above £500. Evidence to be taken by word of mouth, and complaints to be heard in open court.

Revenue, 70,313,437*l.* Expenditure—Army, 14,382,672*l.*; Navy, 10,898,253*l.*; for all objects, 66,462,207*l.*; National Debt, 808,289,398*l.*

Exports of British and Irish produce, 165,835,725*l.*; total exports, 218,831,576*l.*

Imports, 271,072,285*l.*

Emigration to North American Colonies, 17,211; to the United States, 147,258; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 37,283; to all other places, 8,049; total, 209,801.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 5,051,170*l.*; total imported, 14,485,570*l.*; exported, 8,493,332*l.*

Railway Traffic: Miles open, 13,289; number of passengers, 251,959,862; traffic receipts, 35,751,655*l.*

Number of Paupers, 1,157,884.

1866.

Jan. 2. The Gazette appointment appeared of sir H. R. Storks, and a Commission to inquire into the disturbances in Jamaica and the means taken for their suppression.

Jan. 16. The county and city of Dublin, in consequence of the discovery of quantities of concealed arms, came under the operation of a Government proclamation, by which no person was allowed to appear with fire-arms, and empowering the police to search for arms in houses where they have reason to think they are concealed.

Jan. 23. General Prim, who had headed an abortive insurrection in Spain on the 3rd of January, on this day crossed the frontier into Portugal. He had been pursued by several columns of Spanish troops, but all failed either to intercept him or bring him to action.

Jan. 29. Mr. George Peabody, the American merchant, in addition to the 150,000*l.* previously given, makes a second magnificent donation of 100,000*l.* for the relief of the

poor in London. The trustees of the former gift had expended 80,000*l.* in erecting dwellings for the poor, in which very superior accommodation was afforded at rents below what they usually paid, 1*u.* which gave an interest of 3 per cent. on the capital sunk. In four blocks of buildings accommodation had been provided for 850 persons. The Queen wrote a letter of thanks to Mr. Peabody, and regretted that he had not deemed it compatible with his position as an American citizen to accept the honours which she would have been glad to bestow.

Feb. 2. The Special commission appointed to try the Fenian conspirators in Ireland finished its task this day. Forty-one persons had been tried, either in Dublin or in Cork, and of these, thirty-six had been convicted or pleaded guilty, three were acquitted, and in one case the jury disagreed and were discharged. The sentences were for various terms of penal imprisonment.

Feb. 20. Prince Couza, the Hospodar of the Danubian Principalities, deposed, and the throne offered to the Count of Flanders, who at once declined.

March 31. The Spanish fleet, under admiral Mendez Nunez, in spite of the remonstrances of the European consuls and the commanders of the English, French, and United States vessels, bombarded the unprotected Chilean port of Valparaiso. For seven hours the ships fired upon the town without a shot in opposition. At the end of that time they withdrew, leaving the town in flames. The inhabitants, of whom only three lost their lives, were then at liberty to extinguish the fire, in which they were assisted by the fire-engines and crews of the foreign vessels. The damage done by this useless and barbarous attack was estimated at 20,000 dollars.

April 7. Mr. Johnson, the President of the United States, having passed his veto on the Civil Rights Bill, for conferring on the coloured population equal rights with the whites, the Senate by 33 votes to 15 overruled his veto.

April 16. Alexander II., Emperor of Russia, while entering his carriage at St. Petersburg, was shot at by a Russian named Karakosoff. The pistol was fortunately turned aside by a workman named Kommissaroff, who was ennobled for the act; the assassin was seized, and a military commission appointed to try the criminal and investigate the cause of the attempt. It was found that there was a secret society who had been conspiring against the safety of the state: and numerous arrests were made. Karakosoff was hung on the 16th of September; and 34 persons were convicted of having belonged to the secret society, of whom one was sentenced to be hung, and the others to imprisonment for life or for definite periods; but the emperor commuted the punishment of death for that of imprisonment, and reduced the terms of imprisonment of the rest.

May 10. The old-established discount house of Gurney, Overend, and Co. (limited) suspended payment, with liabilities to the amount of 10,000,000*l.* This was followed on the 11th by the suspension of several other great firms, among them Peto, Betts, and Co., the railway contractors, the English Joint Stock Bank, and a number of minor ones. This was what became known as the Black Friday, and produced a panic which lasted (although the Bank of England discounted securities to the amount of 4,000,000*l.* at advanced rates of discount) until the Bank was authorized by the Chancellor of the Exchequer to increase the issue of bank-notes at a minimum rate of 10 per cent. for discount. This to some extent allayed the panic, but the consequences, immediate and remote, were long felt in all the commercial relations of the country.

May 31. An armed party of Fenians crossed the Niagara river from near Buffalo, possessed themselves of an empty mill called Fort Erie, and cut the telegraph wires. As soon as the fact became known, the Canadian volunteers were marched against them, attacked them on the 2nd of June, but were not successful in capturing them. In the meantime, the Government of the United States had given directions to General Grant to employ military force to prevent reinforcements crossing, as it was known a large number of Fenians had assembled on the United States frontier, and this was effectually done. The Canadian force having been strengthened, again advanced on Fort Erie, which the Fenians abandoned, leaving 32 men as a piquet, who were captured, and six of them were tried by drum-head court-martial and shot. The main body, about 400, in recrossing the Niagara river, were seized by the Federal gunboats, disarmed, and after a few days' confinement, discharged on recognizances to appear when called on. On the 7th of June President Johnson issued a proclamation against the Fenians, forbidding any assistance being given to them; on the previous day General Sweeney, the organizer of the raid, had been arrested at St. Alban's; on the 7th, Roberts, the Fenian civil chief, was arrested in New York; and warrants were issued for the arrest of some of the prominent members of the party.

June 5. The proposed Conference at Paris abandoned in consequence of the refusal of Austria to assent to the programme for the settlement of disputes without hostilities, she demanding as a previous stipulation that no territorial addition should be made to any of the contending states.

June 15. The Prussian armies enter Saxony and Hanover, after a proclamation of war against Austria, and all states allied with her, had been issued by the King of Prus-

sia. On the 17th, one division took possession of Stade, in Hanover, and on the 18th, the other entered Dresden.

June 18. Earl Russell's ministry were beaten by a majority of 315, against 304, on the rating clause of the Franchise Bill. On the 26th it was announced to the two Houses that they had resigned, and that the Earl of Derby was to form a new ministry.

June 22. A military insurrection broke out in Madrid, but was repressed after 200 of the insurgents had been killed. Order was not restored until the next day with a total loss of 1000 lives, and 1750 prisoners thrown into gaol, many of whom were subsequently executed.

June 23. The Italian army numbering between 80,000 and 90,000 men, crossed the Mincio, advancing against the Austrians; they were met at Custozza, defeated after a battle of many hours, and forced to retreat across the Mincio with great loss.

June 27 and 28. The Austrians were defeated by the Prussians at Nachod, in Bohemia.

July 3. The main army of the Austrians defeated at Sadowa, near Königgrätz, with great loss. On the 4th, the Emperor of Austria applied to the Prussians for an armistice, which was refused. He immediately ceded Venice and the dependent Italian territory to the Emperor of the French; and desired his mediation for the conclusion of a peace with all the belligerents.

July 5. The princess Helena married to his royal highness prince Christian of Schleswig-Holstein-Sonderburg-Augustenburg.

July 7. The shore end of the new Atlantic Cable was fixed at Foilhummerum Bay, near Valencia, and paid out for thirty miles to be spliced on to the main cable on board the Great Eastern, lying ready to receive it. On the 15th it was successfully spliced, and the steamer proceeded to deposit the main cable. On the 27th, the shore end was safely landed in Newfoundland, messages were sent, and the cable to connect it with the American continent, about seventy miles, was at once commenced. Messages passed between Queen Victoria and President Johnson on the 29th and 30th.

July 23. A popular meeting in Hyde Park, London, having been forbidden by Sir R. Mayne, an immense crowd gathered in the evening, and, the gates being closed, the railings were torn down and the crowd entered, greatly damaging the flower-beds and shrubberies. Some forty or fifty persons were captured for rioting and assaults on the police, and on the following days were fined or sentenced to short terms of imprisonment by the police magistrate.

July 26. An armistice and preliminaries of peace agreed upon between Austria and Prussia, and on Aug. 2, an armistice between Prussia and Bavaria. A truce was also agreed upon between Austria and Italy on July 25.

Aug. 2. Peace signed at Prague between Austria and Prussia; it contained also a provision for the cession of Venetia to Italy. On the 30th, the treaty was ratified. Austria consented to be entirely excluded from the German Confederation, and to pay 20,000,000 Prussian thalers towards the expenses of the war.

Sept. 2. The sunken end of the Atlantic Cable of 1865 recovered by the Great Eastern, spliced, and found to be in good working order. Communications were made to Valencia, and eventually was continued on to Newfoundland.

Sept. 6. The King of Prussia announces to the Legislature the annexation of Hanover, Hesse Cassel, Nassau, and Frankfort, with a part of Hesse-Darmstadt, which has also to pay 300,000*l.* towards the expenses of the war. Schleswig-Holstein had been previously appropriated.

Sept. 21. Treaty between Saxony and Prussia signed, by which Saxony enters the Northern Confederation. On the 26th, the king and queen of Saxony returned to Pillnitz.

Oct. 21. After much opposition and a long negotiation, prince Charles of Hohenzollern, who had been elected Hospodar of the Danubian Provinces, now united under the name of Roumania, was received at Constantinople by the Sultan on this day with distinguished honours; a palace was assigned for his residence, he paid homage, and received the investiture.

Nov. 13. A remarkable shower of meteors occurred, lasting from 11 p.m. to 3 or 4 a.m. of the following morning.

Dec. 3. President Johnson, in his message to Congress, recommended conciliation towards the Southern states, and their re-admission into the Union; stated that the differences with Great Britain respecting the Alabama were not settled; that he had caused the prosecution of the Fenians to be abandoned, considering their offence political; adding that strict neutrality must be preserved and raids prevented; that the French had consented to withdraw from Mexico; and that the finances were entirely satisfactory.

Dec. 11. The French troops evacuated Rome according to the convention of Sept. 18, and the Papal standard replaced that of France on the castle of St. Angelo.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 29 & 30 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 19. *To amend the Law relating to Parliamentary Oaths.* The oath now prescribed only contains a declaration of allegiance to the reigning sovereign, with an engagement to maintain the succession, and the rights and liberties of the subject.

Cap. 28. *To enable the Public Works Loan Commissioners to make advances towards the erection of Dwellings for the Labouring Classes.* Loans may be made for this purpose, with the approval of the Treasury Commissioners, to any local or other authority invested with town or local government; to any Railway, Dock, or Harbour Company, or to a society or association established for the like purpose. Where such bodies are authorized to purchase lands, if not already a corporate body they are to be deemed such for the purposes of this Act. A similar Act (cap. 44) was passed for Ireland.

Cap. 32. *To amend the Procedure and Powers of the Court for Divorce and Matrimonial Causes.* On a decree for dissolution of marriage, if the husband has no property on which a gross annual payment can be secured, the Court may order a weekly or monthly payment.

Cap. 37. *To amend an Act of the 54 Geo. III. cap. 123, to prevent Frauds and Abuses in the trade of Hops.* Heavy fines are imposed for not marking correctly the pockets or bags with the name of the grower, the place where grown, the date, and the weight; for mixing hops of different growths or dates; for packing foreign hops in British marked bags; or for wilfully defacing or altering the marks or symbols on any packages. Vendors, if required, are to give full information from whom the hops were received.

Cap. 65. *To enable her Majesty to declare Gold Coins to be issued from her Majesty's Colonial Branch Mints as legal tender for payments.* The title explains itself, and the coinage of the Australian mints has been declared a legal tender. A charge for coining may be made.

Cap. 67. *For the union of the colony of Vancouver Island with the colony of British Columbia.* The separate government of Vancouver Island is abolished, and it is to send representatives to the legislature of British Columbia.

Cap. 69. *For the amendment of the Law with respect to the Carriage and Deposit of Dangerous Goods.* Nitro-glycerine or Glonoine oil, and other substances as may be determined by an Order in Council, are to be distinctly marked "specially dangerous" on the outside of each package, under heavy penalties for omission; and no warehouse owner or carrier is bound to receive any such package.

Cap. 85. *To facilitate the establishment, improvement, and maintenance of Oyster and Mussel Fisheries in Great Britain.* Under the sanction of the Board of Trade owners or occupiers of the sea or river shores may lay down an oyster-bed, within prescribed limits, supply it with oysters or spawn from any natural public oyster-bed, which are then to become private property. Within the limits no one to fish except with a line or a net fitted to catch floating fish only.

Cap. 89. *For vesting in the Conservators of the River Thames the Conservancy of the Thames and Iris, from Staines in Middlesex to Cricklade in Wiltshire.* This Act abolishes the powers of the Upper Navigation Commissioners, transfers all their rights and property in locks, canals, &c., to the Thames Conservators, to whom five new members were added, and to them is given the power to make regulations for the navigation, to collect tolls, to repair and maintain the banks, weirs, and locks, to prevent the pollution of the water, and to receive payment for water taken within their limits for the supply of the public.

Cap. 90. *To amend the Law relating to the Public Health.* This important Act is divided into four parts. Part I. empowers the formation in any district of a committee for the formation and due management of the sewers, with power to levy a rate for that purpose; to compel persons to drain into the public sewer or to form a cesspool; to furnish the district with water; to take charge of all wells, pumps, or fountains from which the public supply is furnished; and to dispose of the sewerage. Part II. is for the prevention of nuisances arising from over-crowded or ill-ventilated houses; to prevent nuisances from smoke; to disinfect houses where contagious diseases have prevailed; to empower the local authorities to provide a carriage for the conveyance of persons suffering from contagious disease to the hospital or elsewhere (who may not ride in a public conveyance without notice to the driver). Ships in harbour are subjected to the like regulations. Part III. is miscellaneous, and contains a number of regulations for the purpose of securing or procuring cleanliness, a supply of water, and other details. Part IV. extends the Act to Ireland, with certain modifications.

Cap. 114. *To amend the Public Libraries Act.* A library or museum may now be established in any town or parish, without taking proceedings under the former Acts. A majority of assents at a meeting duly called being sufficient.

Cap. 122. *To make provision for the Improvement, Protection, and Management of Commons near the Metropolis.* All commons within the Metropolitan District are no longer liable to inclosure; and, providing compensation for pecuniary rights, a scheme may be furnished to the Inclosure Commissioners for transferring their care to the local authorities or to the Metropolitan Board of Works.

Revenue, 67,812,202*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 14,569,279*l.*; Navy, 10,259,788*l.*; for all objects, 65,914,357*l.*; National Debt, 802,842,949*l.*

Exports of English and Irish Produce, 188,917,536*l.*: total of exports, 238,905,082*l.*

Imports, 295,290,274*l.*

Emigration—to the North American Colonies, 13,225; to the United States, 161,000; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 24,097; to all other places, 6530: total, 204,882.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 6,839,674*l.*; total imported, 23,509,641*l.*; exported, 12,742,639*l.*

Railways: Miles open, 13,854; number of passengers, 274,403,895; traffic receipts, 38,164,354*l.*

Number of paupers, 1,111,009.

1867.

Feb. 11. Alarm of an attempt of the Fenians to attack the Castle of Chester. The plot had been disclosed by an accomplice, and prompt measures were taken for its defeat, which were effectual. Some 1400 or 1500 suspected persons assembled in the streets of Chester, but the swearing in of special constables, and the arrival of 500 of the Scotch Fusiliers, compelled them to disperse in scattered groups, without disturbing the public peace.

Feb. 13. On this day another party of Fenians, about 800 in number, assembled at Cahirciveen, in the county of Kerry. They sacked a coastguard station at Kells, seized the arms, and shot a mounted policeman bearing despatches, robbing him of his horse and arms. They then cut the telegraph wires, interrupting the messages by the Atlantic cable for about five hours, by which time they were restored. Troops were immediately sent from Cork to Killarney, and on the 14th the insurgents withdrew into the Toome mountains by the Gap of Dunlo, whither they were pursued by a strong military force on the 15th. A large number of arrests was made in the next few days, at Dublin and elsewhere, of persons coming by sea, chiefly from the United States.

Feb. 17. The first ship, the *Primo*, a vessel of eighty tons burthen, passed through the Suez Canal, and by a temporary freshwater canal from the Mediterranean into the Red Sea.

Feb. 24. The first Parliament of the North German Confederation was opened at Berlin.

March 5. The Fenians again commenced a rising in several parts of Ireland. The mail-train from Cork to Dublin was sent off the line, and the telegraph wires were cut, destroying the communication with all Munster. The Government had been informed of the intention and were fully prepared. At Tallaght, near Dublin, a party of twelve policemen met about 200 Fenians, and summoned them to surrender, but they fired on the police, who returned the fire, wounding five, and ultimately capturing eighty-three. At Drogheda 1000 Fenians had a combat with the police for the possession of the market-house, and here forty prisoners were taken. At Kilmallock a party attacked the police-station, which was defended; three of the assailants were killed, and fourteen taken prisoners. Dromore police-barrack was burnt down, a coastguard station near Kilrush was plundered of the arms, as also were the police-barracks and several houses at Holy Cross, by different parties of Fenians.

March 23. A secret treaty was laid before the different legislatures, between Prussia and the Southern States of Germany, by which the military contingents of Bavaria, Würtemberg, Baden, &c., are placed at the disposal of Prussia, thus, in fact, incorporating all Germany into one entire empire for defensive military purposes. The treaty had been concluded in the previous July.

April 1. The French great International Exhibition opened in a very incomplete state. By the early part of May, it was most effectively completed.

April 10. Commencement of the trial, at the Central Criminal Court, of colonel Nelson and lieutenant Brand for the murder of Mr. Gordon, in Jamaica. The charge of the Lord Chief Justice to the grand jury occupied six hours, in which he laid it down as a principle that martial law could not override civil law. The grand jury threw out both the bills of indictment on the following day.

April 27. The trial of general Burke and Patrick Doran, the first two of the Fenians accused of being concerned in the late outbreak, commenced at Dublin. Both were found guilty of high treason on May 1, and sentenced to be executed on the 29th. The sitting of the commission terminated on May 24. A number of prisoners had been found guilty of treason-felony, and sentenced to various periods of imprisonment. Doran, after some delay, had his sentence commuted to penal servitude; Burke was left for execution until the 27th, when, in compliance with numerous petitions, his sentence was also commuted.

May 6. A popular demonstration in Hyde Park in favour of reform, which had been at first forbidden by the Government, but at the last moment permitted, was held without the slightest disturbance of the public peace.

June 6. Attempt made by a young Pole in Paris to assassinate the Emperor of Russia, while seated in a carriage with his two sons and the Emperor of the French. The pistol burst, blew off the hand of the assassin, without damaging any of the persons in the carriage. He was subsequently tried and sentenced to death, but not executed, in compliance with a desire of the Emperor of Russia.

June 7. The Conference for the settlement of the Luxemburg question met in London; it consisted of the representatives of England, Russia, Austria, Italy, the Netherlands, Belgium, France, and Prussia. On the 11th, the terms were agreed upon: Luxemburg to remain subject to the King of the Netherlands; the fortress to be evacuated by Prussia, and to be demolished as quickly as possible; the neutrality of the whole territory to be guaranteed by the subscribing powers.

June 8. The emperor of Austria crowned King of Hungary, with great ceremony, swearing to preserve the old Hungarian constitution, which had been a source of contest between the nation and the sovereign for many years.

June 13. Jefferson Davis, late President of the Southern Confederacy, was admitted to bail at Richmond, U.S., and took his departure a few days afterwards, for Montreal, in Canada.

June 19. On this day the Commission sitting to inquire into the outrages committed against non-union men at Sheffield, were first able to obtain any evidence directly implicating any individual. A man named Hallam, who had been committed to prison for refusing to answer, declared himself willing to disclose all he knew. He then acknowledged the crimes of having been a party to the blowing-up of several places, and to the shooting, twice, at a man named Linley, who died in consequence, and in whose case a verdict of wilful murder had been found. His evidence was confirmed by a confederate named Crookes, and they said they were hired and paid by one Broadhead, the secretary of the Saw Grinders' Union, and the treasurer of the Amalgamated Unions. On a subsequent day this man acknowledged the truth of these accusations, and stated that for nineteen years he had been the instigator and the rewarder of most of the offences of rattening and personal outrage committed in Sheffield, but persisted in saying that the unions knew nothing about this: they only knew they were committed, and purposely left the whole management in his hands, and never examined his accounts. These discoveries were made after the announcement that truthful avowal would absolve even the most guilty participators from all personal punishment. On July 8 the investigation closed, and certificates of indemnity were granted to Broadhead, Crookes, and the other witnesses who had made the disclosures.

July 6. The Viceroy of Egypt arrived in London on a visit; and on the 12th the Sultan of Turkey. Both were received by the Queen, and entertained with great hospitality by the corporation of London and other public bodies and private persons. The Sultan was lodged in Buckingham Palace, the Viceroy at Dudley House, the residence of Lord Ward.

July 10. On an invitation to attend the rifle-shooting at Wimbledon, 2000 Belgian volunteers were brought over in the Government steamer Serapis, and were warmly welcomed by the British riflemen, the London corporation, &c.

July 17. A grand naval review at Spithead took place, in the presence of the Sultan of Turkey and Viceroy of Egypt, who were received by the Queen on board her yacht. She decorated the Sultan with the Order of the Garter, but the review was somewhat marred by stormy weather.

Aug. 17. An insurrection broke out in Spain; the provinces of Catalonia and Aragon were entered from France by a few armed men, under generals Contreras and Pierrad, who were immediately joined by others. General Prim was expected to join them, but did not. Madrid, and nearly the whole of Spain, were in a few days declared to be under martial law. It was suppressed with little difficulty.

Aug. 26. The first engine and train passed over the Mont Cenis Railway, the summit elevation being 6700 feet above the sea level. The train was worked on the centre-rail system, and the trip was completely successful.

Sept. 10. The sitting of the North German parliament was opened with a speech from

the King of Prussia, in which a variety of measures were promised to be introduced, most of them tending to a closer unity of the various states.

Sept. 14. Some of the transports sailed from London and Liverpool, conveying stores and ammunition for the Abyssinian expedition, for the purpose of coercing the Emperor Theodore to deliver the British captives. The military force was to be forwarded from India.

Sept. 18. A most daring attack made at Manchester on the police van which was conveying to jail two men, named Kelly and Deasy, charged with being Fenians. Between forty and fifty men had assembled, armed with revolvers and other weapons. They intercepted the van, shot the horses, knocked the driver from his seat, dispersed the few policemen who guarded it, with much difficulty broke it open, and shot the policeman inside, who refused to give up the keys of the separate cells. Ultimately the two Fenians were liberated, and although handcuffed made their escape, with fifteen other prisoners who were being conveyed to prison. This was about 4 p.m. Before the following morning, William O'Meara Allen, the man who shot the policeman in the van, and Michael Larkin, were in custody, charged with wilful murder, and several others charged with riot and murder, who were examined before the magistrate, and remanded. On the 26th the examination was resumed, and on the 5th of October twenty-three were committed for trial. On Oct. 29 a special commission was opened at Manchester, before which W. O'Meara Allen, M. Larkin, W. Gould, T. Maguire, and E. Shore, were indicted for the murder of Charles Brett. On Nov. 1 they were all found guilty, and sentenced to death. On Nov. 23, Allen, Gould, and Larkin were hung. Shore's punishment was commuted, and Maguire was pardoned, as there seemed to have been a mistake as to his identity.

Sept. 23. General Garibaldi was arrested at Sinigaglia, in order to prevent his heading an intended insurrection at Rome, and placed in confinement at Alessandria. A number of persons were also apprehended at Rome as participators in the projected revolt. He was sent, a few days later, to his island of Caprera in a Government vessel.

Oct. 20. From the time of the arrest of Garibaldi to this date continual irruptions of insurgents into the Papal States were made with varying success; anarchy was prevailing, and it seemed that the Italian Government could not prevent the hostilities. France threatened to interfere, and troops were embarked at Toulon; but on the 19th Rattazzi, the Italian minister, resigned, and general Cialdini was nominated in his place. He announced that stringent measures would be adopted. The insurgents, headed by Menotti Garibaldi, withdrew from the Papal territories, and the French preparations for intervention were abandoned.

Oct. 28. General Garibaldi having escaped from Caprera, joined the insurgents in attacking the Papal troops, and closely approached Rome; the attempt of Victor Emmanuel to form a ministry under Cialdini having likewise failed, although another under general Menabrea had been named, the emperor of the French had despatched an expedition from Toulon to support the Pope, and on this day the first division landed at Civita Vecchia. On Oct. 30 a portion of the French troops entered Rome; on the same day a part of the Italian royal army crossed the Papal frontier for the purpose of preserving order; and Garibaldi, with his volunteers, retired from the immediate neighbourhood of Rome to Monte Rotondo.

Nov. 1. After a trial at Dublin, colonel John Warren, the first Fenian prisoner indicted at this Commission was found guilty. He had come from the United States, and, with several others, landed near Youghal. He claimed to be a citizen of the United States, but, born in Ireland, the claim was disallowed; and his counsel by his direction, withdrew. He had other counsel assigned, and was convicted partly on the evidence of an accomplice.

Nov. 3. Garibaldi was attacked by the Papal and French troops at Mentana. After a sharp fight his band was scattered, and he fled to Terni, within the Italian territory, where he was arrested by the royal authorities, and, with his two sons, who had also been taken, was placed in confinement.

Nov. 4. A part of the expedition against Abyssinia arrived at Suez from India.

Dec. 13. A daring attempt made to effect the escape of two prisoners, remanded on a charge of having been parties to the murder of Brett, the police constable, at Manchester, by blowing up the wall of the House of Detention, in Clerkenwell, London. A barrel of gunpowder was placed against the wall, and exploded. About 60 feet of the wall was thrown down between 3 and 4 o'clock p.m., the usual time for exercising the prisoners; but the hour had been changed and the prisoners were in their cells. The effect, however, was terrible. Six persons were killed, and nearly 50 men, women, and children, were more or less injured. Several persons were apprehended on suspicion of having been engaged in the crime. Six were tried at the Criminal Court in April, but only one, named Barrett, was found guilty, and he was hung on May 26.

LEGISLATION. SESSION 30 & 31 VICTORIÆ.

Cap. 3. *For the Union of Canada, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick, and the government thereof, and for purposes connected therewith.* The governments are united into one, under a Viceroy, with a general parliament held at Ottawa, now the seat of government. Ontario, Quebec, Nova Scotia, and New Brunswick, have each a Lieutenant-Governor, with a Provincial Legislature.

Cap. 6. *For the establishment in the Metropolis of Asylums for the Sick, Insane, and other classes of the Poor, and of Dispensaries; and for the Distribution over the Metropolis of portions of the Charge for Poor Relief; and for other purposes relating to the Poor Relief in the Metropolis.*

Cap. 29. *To amend the Law in respect of the Sale and Purchase of Shares in Joint Stock Banking Companies.* Contracts for sale or purchase of such shares are declared to be void, unless the shares to be sold are clearly designated in writing, either by their number or by the name of the holder.

Cap. 35. *To remove some defects in the Administration of the Criminal Law.* Persons acquitted, in certain cases may be allowed their costs; persons accused are allowed to summon witnesses, who may have their expenses allowed by the Court. Additional powers are given for taking the depositions of persons dangerously ill; and jailers are to bring up persons indicted, without a writ of *habeas corpus*, upon an order of the Court.

Cap. 48. *For amending the Law of Auction of Estates.* In sales of land by auction it is to be stated in the conditions of sale whether it is to be sold with or without reserve; if it be sold without reserve no one is allowed to bid on behalf of the owner; in sales under orders from the Court of Chancery, the practice of opening biddings after a sale is to be discontinued.

Cap. 75. *To remove certain Religious Disabilities affecting some of her Majesty's Subjects, and to amend the Law relating to Oaths of Office.* The restriction as to the religion of the Lord Chancellor of Ireland is removed; judicial or corporate officers may attend their places of worship in their official robes without incurring any penalty; and a form of oath is provided to supersede the former ones of allegiance, supremacy, and abjuration.

Cap. 102. *Further to amend the Laws relating to the Representation of the People in England and Wales.* This is the Reform Act of 1867. The act is long, but its details have been made widely known.

Cap. 103. *For the Extension of the Factory Acts.* The provisions of previous Acts are extended to females and young persons employed in blast-furnaces, copper-mills, forges, foundries, or in the manufacture of machinery, or of any article of metal, or of India-rubber, or gutta-percha; and to any premises in which is carried on the manufacture of paper, glass, tobacco, letter-press printing, bookbinding, and any other premises in which fifty or more persons are employed, with exceptions as to nightwork in blast-furnaces, iron-mills, and printing-offices; but so as no one is to be employed more than sixty hours in any one week.

Cap. 105. *To establish equitable Councils of Conciliation to adjust Differences between Masters and Workmen.* Masters and workmen, in any locality, may call a meeting, and agree to form a council of conciliation, and petition the Secretary of State for a licence; the council may not consist of less than two nor more than ten masters and workmen; they have power to determine questions submitted to them and to enforce their awards; a rate of wages or price of labour may not be established by them; no counsel or attorneys to be heard before the courts unless by consent of both parties.

Cap. 127. *To amend the Law relating to Railway Companies.* The rolling stock of a railway is protected from seizure by creditors by this Act; the remedy is by petition to the Court of Chancery, who may appoint a receiver or manager of the railway.

Cap. 130. *For the regulation of Agricultural Gangs.* No child under eight years of age to be employed; licences to gang-masters are to be granted by magistrates: no female to be employed in the same gang with males, or without a female licenced as gang-master being present; and the magistrates are to fix the distances within which children are to be allowed to travel to their work.

Cap. 134. *For regulating the Traffic in the Metropolis, and for making provision for the greater security of persons passing through the streets.* This is the Act now in operation.

Cap. 141. *To amend the Statute Law as between Master and Servant.* Compensation for breach of contract may be awarded on either side, which, with any fines imposed, are to be recovered by distress, and in default of payment to be punished by imprisonment.

Cap. 146. *For regulating the Hours of Labour for Children, Young Persons and Women employed in Workshops.* No child under eight is to be employed in any handicraft; no child to be employed more than six hours and a half on any one day, and such

employment to be between 6 a.m. and 8 p.m.; no young person or female to be so employed for more than twelve hours, with time for meals; no person to be employed in any handicraft on Sunday or after two o'clock on Saturday afternoon. There are also regulations for the children attending schools.

Revenue, 69,434,508*l.*; Expenditure—Army, 14,675,540*l.*; Navy, 10,676,101*l.*; for all objects, 66,780,396*l.*; National Debt, 800,848,847*l.*

Exports of British and Irish produce and manufactures, 181,183,971*l.*; total exports, 226,057,136*l.*

Imports, 275,249,853*l.*

Emigration—To the North American Colonies, 15,503; to the United States, 159,275; to the Australian Colonies and New Zealand, 14,466; to all other places, 6,709: total, 195,953.

Amount of Gold imported from Australia, 5,801,207*l.*; total imported, 15,800,159*l.*; exported, 7,889,030*l.*

Number of paupers, 1,148,643.

INDEX.

- ABBOTT**, Justice, presides in court at Hone's first trial, 1817, vii. 466, 468; and that of the Cato-street conspirators, 1820, 556.
- Abbott**, Charles. See Colchester, lord.
- Abercrombie** fails in reducing Ticonderoga, 1758, vi. 45; general of the Bengal army, 1790, 574.
- Abercromby**, James, elected speaker of the House of Commons, viii. 172; again elected, 1837, 232; his review of the session 1837-8, 239; raised to a peerage, 252.
- Abercromby**, sir Ralph, commands the army in Ireland, 1798, vii. 111; commands the British expedition to Holland, 1799, 138, 139; superseded by the duke of York, 139; lands his army at Aboukir Bay, 162; defeats the French near Alexandria, Mar. 21, 1801, 163; death of, from a wound, March 28, 163.
- Aberdeen**, earl of, negotiates for peace, 1814, vii. 354; approves of the declaration of Frankfort, vii. 389; foreign secretary, 1828, 25.
- Abjuration of James II.**, bill for, rejected 1690, v. 506.
- Abjuration of the Pretender**, bill for, passed 1702, v. 106, 107.
- Abo**, peace of, 1743, v. 502.
- Abolition of Slavery**. See Slavery.
- Aboukir**, battle of, July 27, 1799, vii. 132.
- Abury**, the Druidical temple at, i. 24.
- Academy of Ancient Concerts**, established 1710, v. 316.
- Academy**, Royal; attempts to establish an academy of art, vi. 366, 367; scheme for a, suggested by sir J. Thornhill, 367; the idea revived; first exhibition of the works of living artists, April 21, 1760, 368; second exhibition, 368; George III. first patron; Reynolds first president, 370, 371; foundation of the, Dec. 10, 1768, 371; first exhibition and exhibitors in 1769, 371; exhibition and exhibitors in 1780, 371; supplants all rivals; sources for the history of the, 372.
- Acland**, gen., joins Wellington at Vimiero, vii. 273.
- Acre** besieged unsuccessfully by Bonaparte, 1799, vii. 132.
- Act of Grace**, 1690; exceptions to, iv. 506, 507.
- Act of Security** passed by Scottish parliament; assent refused, 1703, v. 176; granted, 1705, 177.
- Act of Settlement**, independence of judges determined by, iv. 559; passed 1701; clauses repealed, v. 96; copy of, 109, 110.
- Acton Burnel**, statute of, passed in 1283, i. 428.
- Adam**, James and Robert, their architectural works, vi. 381.
- Adam**, remarks of, on the sentence of Muir and Palmer, vii. 34-35.
- Adams**, John, high-mindedness of, in defending captain Preston at Boston in 1770, vi. 130; his conference with lord Howe, the British commissioner, 1776, 192; one of the commissioners for settling the conditions of peace between England and the United States; signs the preliminaries, Nov. 20, 1783, 288; interview with Geo. III. in 1785 as minister plenipotentiary; evidence against the charge of the king's incivility towards Jefferson and, 293, 294; president of the United States, 1796-1800, vii. 363, 637.
- Adams**, J. Q., an American plenipotentiary for concluding the peace of Ghent, vii. 383; president of the United States, 1825-9, viii. 68.
- Adams**, Samuel, rouses the Americans, 1773, vi. 155; denies that the Americans have lost their good will towards England, 1774, 161; proscribed by Gage, 175.
- Addington** (afterwards lord Sidmouth), elected speaker of the House of Commons, Nov. 1790, vi. 500; anecdote of Burke and Pitt by, 519; his sanguine ex-

- pections of the duke of Brunswick's campaign, 550; visits Duncan's fleet, vii, 93; hopes roused by Nelson's victory of the Nile, 104, 105; first lord of the treasury and chancellor of the exchequer, Mar. 14, 1801, 155; remonstrates with Bonaparte for his encroachments, 174; his policy towards France, 176; requires, through lord Whitworth, Bonaparte to explain his views towards Great Britain, 177; negotiates for sharing the power with Pitt, 182; weakness of his ministry, 190; resigns May 10, 1804, 192; joins Pitt, 199; viscount Sidmouth and president of the council, 1805, 199; resigns, July, 202; lord privy seal, 1806, 229; resigns, 1807, 247; home secretary, 324, 640; measures recommended by, to put down the riots of 1812, 324; his employment of spies justified, 457, 460; has no faith in a favourable change in the internal condition of the country, 463; states the difficulty of prosecuting for libel; his circular letter giving the judge increased powers in cases of libel trials, 463; this proceeding considered unconstitutional, 463, 464; his opinion of the laws against reformers, 487; promises the Manchester magistrates government support against the reformers, 491; thanks them for their measures for preserving tranquillity, 493; succeeded as home secretary by sir Robert Peel, 1822, 576; resigns his seat in the cabinet, 584.
- Addison, Joseph**, defends Steele against the charge of libel imputed to him by the House of Commons, 1713, v. 276; writes for the "Tatler," 283; member of parliament; popularity; his influence and aims as an essayist, 284; with Steele he directs the popular attention to Milton, Shakspeare, and our old English ballads, 288-292; the precursor of English novelists, the Spectator's Club described by, 288-292; his works, with those of other essayists, create a general taste for reading, 292; ridicules the political extravagances of the ladies of his day, 298, 299; description of contemporary female characters by, 305; remarks on puppet plays and the opera, 306; description of a masquerade, 307; remarks on the superstition, 313-315; the popular music, 315; and the translations, from the Latin and Greek, of his day, 318; rebukes Pope for his controversial spirit, 320; appointed secretary of state, Apr. 1717, 394; supports the Peerage Bill, 1719, 404.
- Adelaide, princess, of Saxe Meiningen**, marries the duke of Clarence (William IV.), July 13, 1818, vii. 479; character of, viii. 200.
- Adjutors**, organization of the, iii. 535; refusal of, to allow the army to be disbanded, 535; seizure of Charles I. by, at Holmby, 536.
- Admius**, son of Cunobelin, instigates a Roman invasion of Britain, i. 27.
- Adrianople**, peace of, Sept. 14, 1829, viii. 205.
- Afghan War, 1838-1842**, narrative of the, viii. 287, 300.
- African, The, Company**, commenced operations 1530, iv. 429.
- African and Indian Company**, constituted 1695, v. 59; projects the founding a colony at Darien, 61; three vessels, with 1200 men, sail from Leith, July 26, 1698, 62; arrive at Darien, Nov. 4, 63; the colonists quarrel with, and declare war against the Spaniards, 64; food grows scarce; famine and pestilence prevail; they forsake Darien, 64, 65; the company send out 1300 more men, 66; the colony blockaded by the Spaniards, 67; it capitulates; and abandons the settlement, 67; this failure rouses the spirit of the Scotch, who inveigh against William and the English, 67; it continues a source of irritation for several years between the two nations, 69; but the difficulties are smoothed over in the union, 69; quarrels with the East India Company; a captain of one of their vessels is seized and executed by the E. I. Company on a false charge of murder, 178.
- Aghrim**, battle of, July 12, 1691, iv. 535.
- Agincourt**, description of, and its locality, ii. 23; battle of, on 25 Oct. 1415, 25, 26.
- Agnew, sir Andrew**, presents petitions to parliament praying for the better observance of the Sabbath, viii. 132.
- Agra**, battle of, 1803, vii. 221.
- Agricola**, the great civiliser of Britain, i. 31; is appointed to the command of Britain, A.D. 69, 37; campaign of, 38, 39; attack on Galgacus and the Caledonians by, 39; announces the possession of "the very extremity of Britain," 40; ascertains that Britain is an island, 41; recalled by Domitian, A.D. 84, 41; line of forts from the Clyde to the Forth raised by, 41; notice of the works of, in Britain, 48; caused the sciences and the Roman language to be taught to the sons of British chiefs, 52; established municipalities, 56.
- Agriculture in Britain**, state of, under the Romans, i. 47; state of, in queen Anne's time, iv. 419; great influence of the population of London and Westminster upon, iv. 419; state of, in Norfolk, about 1770, vi. 301; Coke's efforts for the improvement of, 301; state of, in Suffolk, 302, 303; manufacture of implements of, 304; in Buckinghamshire; its dairy farm produce, 305, 306; in Oxfordshire and Northamptonshire, 306, 307; improvements in Bedfordshire; the duke of Bedford's exertions, 308; Bakewell's art of fattening cattle and sheep; improved breeds, 309, 310; reclamation of the fens of Cambridgeshire

- and Lincolnshire, 311, 312; of Lincoln Heath, 313, 314; and the Wolds, 314; state of, in Nottingham and Derby-shires, 314, 315; Surrey, Middlesex, and Kent, 315; in Sussex, Hants, and Berkshire, 316; in Wiltshire, 318; backward state of farming in Wiltshire, 319; progress of, in Dorsetshire; enclosure of lands from 1734 to 1828, 320; in Devonshire and Somersetshire, 321; in Cornwall, 322; Wales, 322, 323; and the west midland counties, 323; system of under-drainage discovered, 1764; uncultivated wastes of Staffordshire; slow progress of, in Lancashire, 324; reclaiming of the mosses in the Fylde district, 324; Cheshire; progress of, Yorkshire in, promoted by the labours of the marquis of Rockingham, 325; sir Digby Legard, 326; and James Croft, the agricultural collier, 327, 328; land and cattle of Durham, 329; sheep and waste land of Northumberland, 329; progress of, stimulated by the example of the Culleys, 329; Westmoreland and its lake district, 330; the Lothians, Haddington, Roxburgh, and Selkirk-shires, 332; Scotch sheep, 333; in Ayrshire, 334; Lanarkshire and Renfrewshire, 335; progress of, in the north-western district of Scotland, 335, 336; in Ireland, 337; the potato cultivation, 338, 339; bad harvests and high prices from 1795 to 1800, vii. 144-146.
- Ahmedabad taken by general Goddard, vi. 433.
- Ahmednuggur captured by the British, 1803, vii. 220.
- Aikenhead, Thomas, hanged for blasphemy in 1696, v. 57.
- Aislabie, Chancellor of the Exchequer, bribed by the directors of the South Sea Company, v. 412; accused of fraud; expelled the House of Commons, and committed to the Tower, 414.
- Aitken, *alias* John the painter, attempts to burn the English dockyards; his trial and confession, vi. 194.
- Aix-la-Chapelle, peace of, May 2, 1668, v. 263; treaty of, 1748, v. 502, 569.
- Aix Roads, lord Cochrane's enterprise in, April 11, 1809, vii. 283.
- Akbar Khan, his treachery towards, and murder of, MacNaghten, viii. 293; his conduct towards the British army, 294, 296.
- Akenside, Mark, his "Pleasures of Imagination" published 1744, vi. 387.
- Akerman, keeper of Newgate; his house set on fire by the Gordon rioters, 1780, vi. 231.
- Alba, duke of. See Philip of Spain.
- Alban, St., legend of the martyrdom of, as told by Bede, i. 64.
- Albemarle, A. J. von Keppel, earl of; he is taken into William III.'s favour, which causes the jealousy of Portland, v. 85.
- Albemarle, earl of, commands the land forces in the Havannah expedition, 1762, vi. 66.
- Alberoni, cardinal Giulio, prime minister of Spain; sends a million French livres to Spaar, the Swedish envoy in Paris, to stir Charles XII.'s soldiers, v. 394; early career; instigates disputes; and intrigues against England and France, 396, 397; retaliates Byng's destruction of the Spanish fleet by seizing all British goods in Spanish ports, 400; leagues with the Pretender; detected plotting against the regent Orleans; France consequently declares war against Sp in, 1718, 401; he organizes expeditions against France, England, and Scotland, 402; dismissed his offices, and banished the country; returns to Italy, Dec. 1719, 403.
- Albert, archduke of Austria, commands the Austrian army at the siege at Lille, 1792, vi. 551.
- Albert, prince, of Saxe Coburg, marriage of, to queen Victoria, viii. 257; his high opinion of William III., 313; speech of, proclaiming the unity of interest in all classes of society, 562.
- Albert Edward, prince of Wales, born Nov. 9, 1841, viii. 338.
- Albion, belief of its once having formed part of the Continent, i. 13.
- Albuera, battle of, May 16, 1811, vii. 318.
- Alcuin, notice of, i. 92.
- Aldrich, dean Henry, his architectural works, v. 343.
- Alexander I. of Russia; defeat of his army at Austerlitz; forms a treaty of alliance with the king of Prussia, vii. 212, 213; wars with France, 1807, 256, 257; concludes the peace of Tilsit with Napoleon; the interview on the Niemen raft with Napoleon, 257, 258; terms of the treaty, patent and secret, 258, 259; offends Bonaparte by relaxing the severity of the Russian commercial law; allies himself with Sweden; Bonaparte wars against him, 1812, 338, 339; concludes an alliance with Prussia, 345; his meeting with Frederick William in the great square of Leipzig, 349; attends the congress of Vienna, 1814, 410; obtains the sovereignty over Poland, 412, 413; organizes the Holy Alliance, 414; succeeded by Nicholas I. 1825, viii. 68; succeeds Paul I. as emperor of Russia, 1801, 638.
- Alexandria, Bonaparte lands at, July, 1798; captured by the French, vii. 99; battle of, Mar. 21, 1801, 163; capitulates to the British, Mar. 20, 1807, 254.
- Alexius, emperor of the East, receives the Anglo-Saxons expelled by William the Conqueror, as his guards, i. 215.

- Alfred, son of Ethelred, is induced to make a descent on England, is betrayed by earl Godwin, and put to death, i. 185.
- Alfred the Great, birth of, in 849, i. 96; early years of, 97, 98; improbability of his having been taught to read by his step-mother, 99; at Rome in 853; consecrated king by pope Leo, at Rome, on the death of Ethelwulf, 100; probable impression made on the mind of, by the sight of Rome, 100; makes no claim to Wessex on the death of Ethelbald, 101; employment of, during the life of Ethelbert, 101; description of his home at Wantage, 102; the translation of Boetius by, has original passages descriptive of the state of England, 103, 104; state of the Church during the youth of, 104; constitution of the army, 105; state of rural industry, 105, *et. seq.*; provision for the poor, 107; becomes "secundarius" on the accession of Ethelred in 866, 109; marries, 109; defeats the Danes who had invaded Mercia, A.D. 868, 110; fights and gains the battle of Æscedun, in 871, 114, 115; is defeated at Wilton, 116; first naval battle of, against the Danes, in 875, 117; probable unpopularity of, 117; makes peace with Guthrum in 878, 119; retreat of, to Athelney; heads a band of outlaws in Somersetshire, 119; traditional stories of, during his concealment, 120; re-appears, and gains the battle of Ethandune, in 878, 122, 123; Guthrum sues for peace and is baptised, 124; terms of the treaty, 124; laws of Alfred, 125, 126; writings and translations of, 128, 129, 130; repulses an invasion of the Danes in Kent in 884, 129; his care for the education of his family, 131; defeats an invasion of the Danes under Hasting, 132, 133; creates a navy, 133; death of, in 901, 134; character of, 134; share of, in the subdivision of the kingdom for administrative and judicial purposes, 135; courts of justice in the time of, 138; tenure of lands, 140.
- Alfric, earl of Mercia, treachery of, i. 178.
- Algarves. See Godoy.
- Algiers, battle of, Aug. 27, 1816, vii. 419, 420; see Exmouth, lord, 417, 420; captured by the French, 1830, viii. 44.
- Alibaud, attempts to take Louis Philippe's life, 1830, viii. 195.
- Alien Bill, passage of, through Parliament, vi. 559, 566; passed Jan. 4, 1793, 566.
- Ali-Ghur stormed by the British, Sept. 4, 1803, vii. 221.
- Allectus assumes the government of Britain, A.D. 292, i. 44; defeated, and Britain re-subjected to the Romans, 44.
- Allegiance, Oath of; many clergymen refuse to take it, 1689, iv. 463.
- Allen, Ethan, an American revolutionist, 1775; captures Ticonderoga, vi. 170, 171; made prisoner on his march against Montreal; sent to England, 183.
- Alliance, The Holy, a treaty so called concluded at Paris, Sept. 26, 1815, vii. 414, denounced by the British parliament, 414.
- Almanacs, duty on, repealed, 1834, viii. 164.
- Almanza, battle of, April 25, 1707, v. 197.
- Almeida, siege of; surrender to the French, Aug. 1810, vii. 309.
- Almenara, battle of, July 27, 1710, v. 239.
- Alompra, his conquests; ascends the Birman throne, vii. 628.
- Althorp, viscount, Chancellor of the Exchequer, 1830, viii. 67; his budget for 1831, 71; description of, by Francis Jeffrey, 82; interview of Jeffrey with, just after the resignation of the Grey ministry, 1831, 103; speech on moving the second reading of the bill for the suppression of disturbances in Ireland, 1833, 133; financial statement, 135, 136; his bill for limiting the hours of labour in factories passed, 146, 147; introduces the Poor-law Amendment bill, 1834, 150, 156; moves the adjournment of the debate on the Irish Church question and the previous question, 160; resigns his chancellorship, 1834; reasons for doing so, 160, 161; resumes it again in the Melbourne administration; brings forward a modified Coercion bill, 163; financial statement and proposed reduction of taxes, 163, 164; his removal to the Lords (as earl Spencer) the ostensible cause of the dismissal of the Melbourne ministry, 168.
- Alt Ranstadt, peace of, Sept. 24, 1706, v. 264.
- Alum Shah, Great Mogul; seeks British protection, vi. 153; Hastings deprives him of the money and district granted by Clive, 428; his power restored to him by general Lake, vii. 221.
- Alvinzy, commands the Austrian army in Italy; defeats Bonaparte at Caldiero, Nov. 12, 1796; repulses Bonaparte's attempts to cross the bridge of Arcole; retreats to Vicenza, vii. 67; compels Joubert to retreat to Rivoli, 1797, 85; where he is defeated by Bonaparte, Jan. 14, 86.
- Amboyna acquired by the British, 1810, vii. 622.
- Ameer Khan. See Khan Ameer.
- Ameer Singh. See Ochterlony.
- Amelia, princess, her illness affects George III.'s mind, vii. 312; dies Nov. 2, 1810, 312.
- American affairs. British possessions in North America, v. 596, 597; war between the British and French, 1754-63, 599, 600; government and prosperity

- of the British colonies, 82, 83; the resistance to the Stamp Act, 1764-66, 83, 84, 89-97; the passing of the Revenue Act causes renewed disturbances, which are slightly abated on the repeal of all the duties except that on tea, 123-131; the destruction of the tea in Boston harbour induces the home government to adopt coercive measures, which still further embittered the American spirit, 155-168; hostilities commence April, 1775, at Lexington, 168-170; and the war continues till 1782, when the independence of America is recognized by Great Britain, 170, 220, 235-239, 246-256, 288-295; vi. 602; government and condition of the United States, vii. 361, 362; party spirit, 363; the United States declare war against Great Britain, June, 1812, chiefly on account of the right of search being claimed by the latter country; the war was terminated by the peace of Ghent, 1815, 364-383.
- American Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1776, vi. 185, 186; copy of the declaration, 187, 188.
- American Secession: threatened separation of the North and South, vii. 375, 376.
- American Stamp Act. See Stamp Act.
- Amherst, sir Jeffery: general, 1758; commander of the English troops in America; captures Louisbourg, vi. 40; succeeds Abercrombie; captures Ticonderoga, July 1759; co-operates with Wolfe against Quebec; embarks on Lake Champlain; driven back by storms, 45; removed from the governorship of Virginia, 127.
- Amherst, lord, governor-general of India, 1823, vii. 628; unable to maintain peace; war with the Birman empire, 1824-6, 628-631; comparative freedom of the press in India under his administration, 634.
- Amiens, peace of, negotiation for, vii. 166, 167; concluded Mar. 27, 1802, 167, 170; terms of the treaty, 167, 168.
- Anderson, William, a printer of libellous pamphlets against the government, indicted for high treason, convicted and executed, iv. 584.
- André, major: adjutant-general; carries on a secret correspondence with Arnold on the part of Clinton; his interview with Arnold, 1780, vi. 237; he is captured as a spy; tried by court-martial, and sentenced to death, 237; executed Oct. 2, 238.
- André, M. P. H., introduces lithography into England, 1801, vii. 551.
- Anglesey, H. W. Paget, marquis of, distinguishes himself in Moore's retreat, 1808, vii. 276; lord lieutenant of Ireland, 26; is convinced of the expediency of granting concessions to the Catholics, 27.
- Anglo-Saxon agriculture in Alfred's time, i. 105, *et seq.*
- Anglo-Saxon Church, ignorance of the priests of, at the accession of Alfred, i. 104; state of, at the time of Dunstan, 162; cathedrals and churches of the, 162, 163; provisions for the clergy, 163; parish priests of the, 164; learning of the, 165.
- Anglo-Saxon kings, authority of, i. 98; their mansions, 98.
- Anglo-Saxons, state of, at the time of Ethelbert, i. 84-88; literature of, 90; social ranks among, 104; love of feasting indicated in the Bayeux tapestry, 200; continued resistance of, to William the Conqueror, 216; security afforded to, by the establishment of Norman fiefs, 222; continued existence of, as a People, 222.
- Anhalt-Dessau, prince of, his military inventions, v. 18.
- Anjou, duke of, renounces his claim to the throne of France, v. 270.
- Ankerstroem. See Gustavus III.
- Anne, the princess, and her husband, go over to William III., iv. 368; Anne's marriage to prince George of Denmark, 1689, 498; applies to parliament for an increased income, and has it increased from 20,000*l.* to 50,000*l.* per annum, 498, 499; friendship for Mrs. Churchill (alias Mrs. Freeman), 498; quarrels with her sister, queen Mary, 557; Mrs. Freeman is commanded to leave the royal palace; Anne goes with her, 557; her position and character in 1693, v. 15; accession of, Mar. 8, 1702, 111; declares to the privy council her intention of carrying on the warlike preparations against France commenced by William III., 112; her address to parliament, which continues to sit; her fine voice, 112; favours the Tory party, 112, 113; speech at the close of the session, 1702, 114; meets her first parliament, Oct. 20, 117; grants Marlborough a dukedom and 5000*l.* a year, 120; opens the session of Parliament, November 9, 1703, 123; announces the conclusion of the Methuen treaty with Portugal, 123; entertains Charles, Archduke of Austria, at her court, acknowledging him as king of Spain, 124; stops a contest between the Lords and Commons by proroguing parliament, 125; queen Anne's bounty restoring the first fruits and tenths to the Church, 123; revives the practice of touching for the king's evil, 129; provides out of the privy purse for fresh recruits, 131; grants Woodstock to Marlborough, 143; prorogues parliament, Mar. 14, 1704, 149; exhorts the Commons to follow up Peterborough's successes in Spain, 160; indignant at the Tories for raising the question of the succession, 169; revived the Order of the Thistle, 1703; announces the ratification of the Union treaty, 195;

- speech on giving her assent to the Act of Union, 195; she determines to continue the war; receives speedy supplies from parliament, 196; Marlborough presents her letter to Charles XII., 198; dismisses Harley; she grows cold towards Mrs. Freeman, and makes Mrs. Masham her new favourite, 204; death of her husband, George of Denmark, Oct., 1708, 207; supposed to have private audiences with Harley; her opinions regarding a successor, 208; her temper tries Marlborough's patience, 208; her interviews with Harley, 218; present at Dr. Sacheverel's trial, 219; public addresses to, 230; advises the nation to be quiet, 230, 231; dismissal of Whigs from office, 235; dissolves her third and calls her fourth parliament, 236; announces her determination to support the Church of England, 237; dismisses the duchess of Marlborough from her service, the "key" scenes, 238; her anger at the duchess's revenge; makes the duchess of Somerset her lady of the wardrobe, and Mrs. Masham the keeper of the privy purse, 238; parliament addresses her on the subject of Harley's narrow escape from assassination, 247; promises not to make peace with France unless in concert with the Dutch, 248; opens parliament, Dec. 7, 253; dismisses Marlborough from his offices, 255; creates twelve new peers, contrary to lord Dartmouth's advice, 25; announces to parliament the commencement of negotiations for peace at Utrecht, 257; the terms upon which peace may be made, 270, 271; and the conclusion of the treaty, 273; intriguing of the Jacobites, 275; calls upon parliament to suppress seditious papers, 276; her agitation at the contest between Oxford and Bolingbroke brings on a sudden illness, July 27, and she dies Aug. 1, 1714, 278.
- Anson, George: commodore, 1741; his expedition to Peru; difficulties encountered in doubling Cape Horn; half his crew killed by scurvy; takes refuge in Juan Fernandez; secures prizes; attacks Païta, v. 478; enters Canton; returns to Spithead, June, 1744, 480; defeats the French off Cape Finisterre, May 3, 1747, 568: first lord of the Admiralty, 1757, vi. 33; commands an expedition against St. Maloes, 1758; his partner, the duke of Marlborough, fails in taking St. Maloes; Cherbourg taken, 39.
- Anti-Corn-Law League, the, viii. 270.
- "Anti-Jacobin," the, started by Canning, Nov. 20, 1797, vii. 80.
- Antoninus, wall of, i. 41, 42.
- Antwerp surrenders to Marlborough, 1706, v. 174; taken by Dumouriez, Nov. 30, 1792, vi. 552, 589.
- Appeal of murder: case of Ashford and Thornton; lord Eldon supports the bill for abolishing the practice, vii. 484, 485.
- Appropriation, principle of, in granting supplies. established 1689, iv. 465.
- Apsley, lord. See Bathurst, earl.
- Arapiles. See Salanaca.
- Arbuthnot, admiral, conveys Clinton and his forces from New York to Charleston, vi. 234.
- Archer, Thomas, architect of St. John the Evangelist, Westminster, v. 342.
- Architecture, state of, in Britain, at the close of the third century, i. 60; as shown in English abbeys and cathedrals, 285, 286; bishop Swinfield's house in 1209, 445; London markets and shops, 447; domestic, in the 15th century, ii. 87; large consumption of timber in building dwelling houses, 88; furniture used in the houses, 89; improvements in building, temp. Henry VIII., 499; style of architecture in the reign of Elizabeth, iii. 234, *et seq.*; alterations by Wren, at Windsor Castle, by Charles II. in 1680, iv. 263; encouraged by William III. v. 332; Wren, 333; he commences the rebuilding of London, 334; building of St. Paul's, 336, 337; Wren's parish churches, 338; and miscellaneous buildings, 339; Vanbrugh; his late adoption of the profession of an architect, 340; general character of his works, 341; Hawksmoor; his St. Mary's Woolnoth, Limehouse church, and St. George's, Bloomsbury, 342; Gibbs' St. Martin's-in-the-Field's, 342; T. Archer's St. John the Evangelist; Talman's Chatsworth; Flitcroft's Woburn Abbey; amateur architects, Dean Aldrich; Dr. Clarke; and Burlington, 342; sir R. Taylor, vi. 381; Dance; the Woods; and the Adams, 381; sir W. Chambers, the architect of Somerset House, 381; Stuart, Revett, Smirke, 381; Wyatt, 382; bridge building and builders, 382; low state of architectural taste, and imitation of Greek models, vii. 526, 530; the latter instanced in the case of St. Pancras church, 530, 531, 537; James Wyatt, his classic and gothic buildings, 531, 532; his gothic restorations, 532; Samuel Wyatt, 533; sir John Soane's buildings at the Bank of England and elsewhere, 533, 534; Holland and sir R. Suirke, 535; Wilkins, 535; Nash, the architect of Regent Street, 536; and of the Regent's Park terraces and canals, 537; St. Marylebone church; extraordinary revival of church building, 537; Thomas Telford; his bridges at Montford, Buildwas, and at the Menai Straits; his other grand works, 537, 538; Rennie; his Waterloo Bridge and engineering works at various dockyards, 538, 539.

- Arcole, battle of, Nov. 1796, vii. 67.
- Arcos, duke of, invests Valencia, v. 159; opposes Peterborough's passage to Valencia; decamps; Peterborough effects an entrance, 159.
- Arcof captured by Clive; who is besieged in it by Sahib's army, v. 594; repulses all their attacks and drives them off, 594, 595.
- Arden of Feversham, story of the murder of, in 1551, ii. 504.
- Arenberg, duke of, commands the Austrians at Dettingen, 1743, v. 591.
- Argyle, marquis of, defeated by Montrose, iii. 500; opposes the project of sending Hamilton to invade England, 565; is restored to power after Hamilton's defeat, 566; trial and execution of, in 1661, iv. 154.
- Argyle, the earl of, refuses to take, without reserve, the test oath enacted by the Scottish parliament in 1682, is arrested and convicted of high treason, but escapes to Holland, iv. 279; lands in Scotland in 1685 to raise an insurrection in favour of Monmouth, 304; its failure, 305; and his execution, 306.
- Argyle, Archibald Campbell, earl of, tenders the Coronation Oath to William III., iv. 488.
- Argyle, Archibald Campbell, duke of, colonel; commands the Highlanders at Falkirk, 1746, v. 545; his plantations at Inverary, vi. 337.
- Argyle, John Campbell, duke of, commander of George I.'s army in Scotland, 1715, v. 368; commences operations against the insurgents, 371; marches against Mar; meets him at Sheriffmuir, and there fights him, 13th of Nov., 376, 377; compels the retreat of the rebel army; pursues it to Aberdeen, and disperses the troops, 380; deserts Walpole; is deprived by him of all his employments, 1739, 475; desires to form a coalition ministry; retires, 1742, 488.
- Aristocracy, manners and position of, 1689-1714, iv. 448, 449; fear of their being destroyed by the Reform Bill of 1831, viii. 73, 74; it cannot be a caste in England, 74, 75.
- Arkwright, sir Richard, establishes his first cotton-mill at Cromford, near Matlock, vi. 315; activity of, and early career; exhibits his new machine, 1768, at Preston; mobbed; goes to Nottingham; takes out his first patent, 1769, 347; difficulties overcome in establishing its commercial value, 348.
- Arles, three British Bishops present at the first Council of, A.D. 314, i. 63.
- Armorica, foundation of a British colony in, i. 68; independent government established by, 69.
- Armstrong, John, his "Art of Preserving Health;" appeared 1744, vi. 387.
- Army, establishing of a standing, as an integral part of government, 1689, iv. 470; strength of, in 1692, 559; 1694, 589; reduced 1697, v. 43; disbanded 1699, 81; number of soldiers voted in 1702, 106; standing armies denounced by Pulteney, 1732, 441; increased, vi. 22; debate on the army estimates, 1790; its increase opposed by Burke, 496, 497; armies of England and France, 174, 175.
- Arnold, Benedict, an American revolutionist, 1775, vi. 170; appears before Quebec; attacks the city, Dec. 31; and is severely wounded, 183; general; commands an army of irregular troops in conjunction with Gates, 200; attacks Burgoyne's position, 200; his treachery; interview with André, 237; lands at James Town; makes an incursion into Virginia; burns Richmond, 248.
- Arnold, Dr., sermon of, at the time of the cholera, 1831, viii. 95, 96; his History of Rome, 316.
- Arnot, sent from India, and his paper, "The Calcutta Journal," suppressed vii. 633.
- Artevelde, James, revolt of, against the count of Flanders, i. 505; is murdered, July, 1345, 506.
- Arthur, king, legend of, i. 78.
- Arthur of Brittany, claims of, to the Crown of England, i. 367; is supported by Philip of France, 368; heads an invasion of Poitou, and is captured, 370; mysterious death of, 371.
- Artois, count d'. See Charles X. of France.
- Arts, Society of. See Societies.
- Arts, state of, in Britain at the close of the third century, i. 60; sketch of the state of, in the seventeenth century, iv. 454, 455; patronage of the, by Charles I. and his court, v. 331; low state of the, during the civil war, 332; William and Mary patronize architects but give little encouragement to painters and sculptors, 332; architecture, 333-343; sculpture, 344-346; painting, 346-350; revolution in the, middle of the 18th century, vi. 340, 341; the duke of Bridgewater's canal schemes carried out by Gilbert, 341, 342; and Brindley, 342; invention of machines for manufacturing cotton, 344, 345; Watt's improvements in the steam engine, 360, 362; close connection between the fine and the industrial, 364, 365; artists stimulated by the Society of Arts, 364; low state of art, temp. Geo. II., 365, 366; painting, 1760-83, 366, 367; engraving, 377, 378; sculpture, 379, 380; architecture, 381, 382; restoration of the art specimens in the Louvre to their original countries, vii. 410.
- Arundel, earl of, collection of statuary formed by, iii. 367.

- Asaph ul Dowlah, nabob and vizier of Oude; consents to the seizure of his mother's and grandmother's property in liquidation of Hastings' demands upon him, vi. 436.
- Ashburton, A. Baring, lord, master of the mint, president of the board of trade, viii. 171; concludes the Boundary Treaty with the United States, 269.
- Ashby, his proceedings against the returning officer of Aylesbury for refusing his vote, in 1703, leading to disputes between the houses of Lords and Commons on their respective prerogatives, v. 125, 126.
- Ashford and Thornton, case of, vii. 484.
- Ashley, lord, A. A. Cooper; his maiden speech in parliament on the Treason bill, v. 23.
- Ashmolean museum built by Wren, v. 340.
- Ashridge, Hertfordshire, built by James Wyatt: character of its architecture, vii. 532, 533.
- Ashton, John, a Jacobite agent, tried for high treason, and convicted, 1691, iv. 531.
- Aske, Robert, leader of the Yorkshire insurgents in 1536, ii. 410; negotiations of with the duke of Norfolk, 411; has an interview with Henry VIII., and is pardoned, 412; the king's promises to the insurgents are not kept, and Aske again takes arms, 413; is taken prisoner and executed, 413.
- Aspern, battle of, May 21, 22, 1809, vii. 289.
- Assassination plot, 1696, against William III., v. 25-32.
- Assassins, notice of, i. 351; the scheik of, absolves Richard I. from any share in the murder of the marquis of Montferrat, 351.
- Asseerghur, taken by colonel Stevenson, vii. 225.
- Asser, his account of the early years of Alfred, i. 98, 99; interpolations in the history of, 117.
- Assignats circulated by the National Assembly; their depreciated value drive the people to plunder and rebel, 1793, vi. 593.
- Assye, battle of, Sept. 23, 1803, vii. 222-225.
- Astley, printer, proceeded against for his concern in publishing an account of lord Lovat's trial, 1747, v. 566.
- Asturias, prince of. See Ferdinand VII. of Spain.
- Ath taken by Marlborough, Oct. 4, 1706, v. 174.
- Athelstan, accession of, in 924, i. 145; annexes Northumbria, and rules over all Britain, 146; continental influence and alliances of, 147; attacked by the under-kings of Cumberland and Scotland, he wins the battle of Brunan-burgh, 148; code of laws of, 151; death of, in 940, 152.
- "Athenian Gazette," 1691-1696, published by Dunton, v. 282.
- Atkins, alderman, opposes the formation of a gas company as being destructive of the whale fishery, vii. 440.
- Athlone, earl of. See Ginkell.
- Athlone, besieged and taken by Ginkell, June 30, 1691, iv. 535.
- Athol, duke of. See Tullibardine.
- Athol, marquis of, his influence and dishonesty, iv. 486; supports the government, 1689; shut out of Blair Castle, 492.
- Attainder, bill of, against Sir John Fenwick, v. 37.
- Atterbury, bishop of Rochester; speaks against granting indulgence to Quakers; enthusiastic in the Pretender's cause, v. 415; one of the editors of Clarendon's "History," 417; arrest of, and committal to the Tower; causes a ferment in his church party, 417; proceeded against by bill enacting his punishment and deprivation; his trial, 1723, 418; and condemnation; embarks for France, June, 1723; and dies at Paris, 1732, 419.
- Attwood, M.P. for Birmingham; moves an inquiry into the effect of the monetary system on the distress of the people, 1833, viii. 136.
- Auchmuty, sir S., takes Monte Video, 1807, vii. 252; his share in the attack on Buenos Ayres, 255.
- Auckland, lord, president of board of trade; master of the mint, viii. 67; first lord of the admiralty, 1834, 160; governor-general of India; publishes a declaration of the causes and objects of the Afghan war, 286.
- Auerstadt. See Jena.
- Augereau, one of Bonaparte's generals, vii. 64; arrests sixty members of the Legislative Councils, 87.
- Augustin, sent by pope Gregory as Christian missionary to England, A.D. 597, i. 81; lands in the isle of Thanet, 81; converts Ethelbert, 83; conference and disagreement of, with the priests of the old British church, 84, 85.
- Augustina, the brave defendress of Zaragoza, 1808, vii. 272.
- Augustus II. of Poland; elector of Saxony; deposed by Charles XII., v. 198, 214; peace of Alt Ransstadt with Charles XII., Sept. 24, 1706, 264; king of Poland, 1690-1704; restored, 1709, 604; succeeded by his son, Augustus III., 1733, v. 446.

- Augustus III. of Poland enters into the coalition against Prussia, vi. 18; blockaded in his camp at Pirna; his army surrenders unconditionally, 19.
- Aurungzebe refuses to give any protection to the new East India Company, v. 45.
- Austen, Jane; high character of her six novels, vii. 517.
- Austerlitz, battle of, Dec. 2, 1805, vii. 212.
- Autun, in Gaul, artificers brought from Britain by Constantius to rebuild, i. 60.
- Auverquerque. See D'Auverquerque.
- Ava, king of, his aggression on British India; threatens to overrun our territory, the Birman war breaks out, vii. 628, 629; his own territory is invaded by sir A. Campbell, and he is compelled to agree to the treaty of Yandaboo; terms of the treaty, 630.
- Aylesbury election case, 1703, v. 125, 126.
- Ayrshire, agriculture and peasantry of, vi. 333, 344.

B.

- BACON, John (1740-1799), character of his sculpture, vi. 380; rilievi on Trinity House, by, vii. 533.
- Bacon, Friar Roger, notice of, i. 449.
- Bacon, sir Francis, speaks against a subsidy, and loses the Court favour, iii. 189; dissuades Essex from undertaking the lord-lieutenancy of Ireland, 210; ungrateful conduct of, in the prosecution of the Earl of Essex, 219; notice of his Apology, 219; opposes the bill in the Commons against monopolies, 223; maintains the necessity of removing ancient abuses in the first parliament of James I., 248; scheme of, for the plantation of Ulster, 288; is employed to procure the sanction of sir Edward Coke to the king's arbitrary measures, but fails, 304; assures Raleigh that his appointment to command the expedition to Guiana precluded the necessity of a general pardon, 309; is impeached by the Commons and fined for bribery and corruption, 317; the king remits his fine, and he retires to private life, 317.
- Bacon, sir Nicholas, appointed lord keeper, and opens Elizabeth's first parliament, iii. 20; as lord keeper, introduces to parliament a new bill against papists in 1571, 89.
- Badajoz surrenders to Soult, March 11, 1811; besieged by Beresford; relieved by Soult, May 15, vii. 318; siege and capture of by the British under Wellington, April 7, 1812, 331, 332.
- Baden, margrave of, beseeches Marlborough for aid against Tallard, v. 134.
- Baden, peace of, Sept. 7, 1714, v. 264.
- Bailly, J. S., mayor of Paris, 1789, vi. 482; harangues the king, 488; attempts to put down mob-dictation, 511; executed November, 1793, vii. 27.
- Baines, Mr., of Leeds, detects the spy system, 1817, vii. 459.
- Baird, sir David; general, vii. 163; joins Moore, 1808, 275; second in command at Corunna; carried off from the battle, 278.
- Bakewell, Robert, great skill of, in fattening sheep, vi. 309.
- Balcarres, earl of, a confidential agent of James in Scotland, iv. 485; with Dundee urges Gordon not to leave Edinburgh Castle, 485; arrested, 488.
- Bales aids in bribing the duke of Leeds to procure a charter of confirmation for East India Company, 1695, v. 15.
- Balfe found guilty of murdering Mr. Clarke; pardoned vi. 112.
- Ballads, the Robin-Hood, endurance of, i. 359; their detestation of oppression, and poetical excellence, 360; popular amusements described in, 363.
- Balliol, Edward, attempts to recover the crown of Scotland in 1332 i. 501; seeks the aid of Edward III., and agrees to hold Scotland as a fief, 501; continues the struggle for several years, but is expelled from Scotland in 1341, 502.
- Balliol, John, a claimant of the crown of Scotland in 1291, i. 460; agrees to do homage to Edward I., and is nominated king of Scotland, 462; summoned to Westminster for mal-administration, is treated with indignity, and is incited by his barons to assert his independence, 462; renounces his fealty to Edward, 463; is attacked by Edward, and resigns his crown at Montrose, 464; imprisoned in the Tower, 466; dies, 472.
- Ballot, the; its supporters, viii. 149.
- Balmerino, lord, trial and execution of, 1746, v. 560-562.
- Bamford, Samuel, a radical: quotations from his life illustrative of the acts, opinions, and objects of the demagogue reformers of 1816-19, vii. 448, 451, 454, 455, 458, 487, 491; leads the Middleton men at the great Manchester reform meeting, 1819, 488; his description of the massacre, 491, 492; tried for his concern in the Manchester meeting, and sentenced to imprisonment, 560.
- Bancroft, Dr., repudiates the scheme of burning the British dockyards, vi. 194.
- Banda isles acquired by the British, 1810, vii. 622.

- Bandoola, Maha, commander of the Birman army, vii. 629; his unsuccessful attack on Kangoon; and defence of Donoopew; killed Mar. 1825, 630.
- Bangalore stormed by lord Cornwallis, Mar. 21, 1791, vi. 574.
- Bangor, massacre of the monks of, i. 85.
- Bank of England established 1694, iv. 589; unable to pay its notes in specie, 34; competes with the South Sea company in buying up the annuities, 1720, v. 407; attacked by a mob, 1780, vi. 232; heavy pressure upon the, 1797, vii. 73; ordered by government to stop cash payments, 73, 74; pays all demands in bank notes which become the staple currency for years, 75; forged notes, and the punishment for forging them, in 1816, 1820, and 1823, 438; secret committees appointed to enquire into the state of the, 1819, 482; Peel's Act for the gradual resumption of cash payments, 483, 484; Soane enlarges and remodels it, 533, 534; run upon the, in consequence of a money panic, 1825, 601; public credit saved through the measures adopted by the, 603; effects of the exclusive privilege of the, on the currency circulation, 604, 605; removed by the sanctioning of joint-stock banks with any number of partners beyond sixty-five miles of the branch banks of the, established, 1826, 605; new charter granted to the, 1833; the more important provisions of it, viii. 147, 148; renewal of its charter, 365, 366; government interference with the Bank Act during the commercial panic of 1844, 416.
- Bankruptcy Court bill, lord Brougham's, viii. 71; it receives the royal assent, Oct. 20, 1831, 89.
- Banks, Thomas, his monument of Chatham, v. 211; the first great English sculptor, born 1735, died 1805; character of his works, vi. 380; vii. 539.
- Banks, Joseph, accompanies captain Cook to New South Wales as naturalist, vi. 579.
- Bannockburn, battle of, on June 24, 1314, i. 482.
- Barbesleux, son of Louvois; French secretary of state; revives the project of shooting William III., iv. 569.
- Barcelona besieged and taken by the earl of Peterborough, 1705, v. 155-158; besieged by Philip V., 160; relieved by Peterborough, 160.
- Barclay, sir George, one of the participators in the plot to assassinate William III., 1696, v. 25, *et seq.*; the plot discovered; escape of, 30.
- Barère pronounces the doom of Lyon, vii. 17; on his motion queen Marie Antoinette is sent before the Revolutionary Tribunal, 26; his story of the Vengeur, 43; moves that no quarter be given to the English and Hanoverians, 43.
- Baring discerns a loss in our increased exports, 1815, vii. 427; foresees ruin as the result of Huskisson's free trade measures, 592.
- Baring, F. T., a lord of the treasury, viii. 67.
- Baring, Alexander. See Ashburton.
- Barlow, sir George, governor-general of India, 1805, vii. 226; recalled by the British ministry; superseded by lord Minto, 621.
- Barnard, sir William, M. P. for London; favours the repeal of the Septennial Act, v. 448.
- Barnave, protects the French Royal family from the mob, 1791, vi. 515.
- Barnet, battle of, 14 April, 1471, ii. 141, 142.
- Baroach surrenders to the British, 1803, vii. 221.
- Barras sent to punish the Toulonese, vii. 25; commands the municipal troops, 1791, 46; general-in-chief of the army of the interior, 1795, 59; his share in the revolution of the 18th Fructidor, 1797, 87; a member of the French Directory, 135; his conduct at the revolution of the 18th Brumaire, 1799, 135, 136; resigns, 136.
- Barré, colonel, his speech against Pitt, 1761, vi. 64, 65; his remarks on the repeal of the American duty, 129, 130; remonstrates against the Massachusetts Bay Government Bill, 1774, 158, 159; pension granted to, 1782, 268; Pitt presents him with the Clerkship of the Pells, vi. 444.
- Barrier, the treaty, Nov. 15, 1715, v. 264.
- Barrington, William, viscount, moves for Wilkes' expulsion from the House of Commons, 1768, vi. 105; Chancellor of the Exchequer, 1761, 140.
- Barrington, sir Jonah, his description of Dublin anticipating an attack from the United Irishmen, 1798, vii. 112; and of the passing the Union Bill in the Irish House of Commons, 1800, 126, 127.
- Barrosa, battle of, Mar. 5, 1811, vii. 317.
- Barry, James, his mural paintings on the walls of the Society of Arts room; his enthusiasm and patriotic conduct connected with them; his attempt not quite successful, vi. 376.
- Bartells, a Belgian insurrectionist; tried, found guilty, banished, 1830, viii. 55.
- Bartholomé, a member of the French Directory; arrested; banished, 1797, vii. 87.
- Bartholomew, St., priory of, in Smithfield, foundation of, i. 284.
- Barton, Andrew, the Scotch privateer, captured and slain by sir Thomas Howard, ii. 251.

- Basle, peace of, April 5, 1795, vii. 169.
- Bassein taken by general Goddard, vi. 433.
- Bassein, treaty of, Dec. 31, 1802, vii. 219.
- Bastia captured by Nelson, 1794, vii. 52.
- Bastille attacked by the mob, July, 1789, vi. 479; defence conducted by De Launay, 480; he capitulates, 481; the prisoners released, 481.
- Bataille, abbey of, (Battle Abbey) foundation of, i. 207.
- Bath, the great resort of pleasure seekers in the time of queen Anne, iv. 393; gaming at, vi. 402, 404.
- Bath, earl of. See Pulteney.
- Bathiany, marshal, commands the Austrians at Lauffeld, July, 1747, v. 568.
- Baths and Washhouses, establishment of, and benefits derived from, viii. 217, 218; encouraged by an Act of Parliament, 1846, 400.
- Bathurst, Allen, lord, progress of America during his lifetime described by Burke, vi. 167.
- Bathurst, earl; foreign secretary in the Portland ministry, 1809, vii. 249, 640; secretary for war and colonies, 1812, 640, 552; speech on the restraints put on Napoleon, 1821, 574; indignation caused by his circular prohibiting the flogging of slaves, 597; resigns, 1827, 611.
- Bautzen, battle of, May 20, 21, 1813, vii. 345.
- Bavaria, elector of. See Charles VII. of Germany.
- Bavaria, elector of, aids William in the war with the Netherlands, 1695, v. 16-18; captures Namur, 18; joins France; aids in the campaign against Marlborough, 1703; surprises Ulm, 122; refuses to quit France and join the Allies, 1704, 138; consequently his electorate is desolated by the Allies, 138; joined by Tallard, 140; defeated at Blenheim, 140-145; his hard struggle with Eugene, 143; with Villeroy he threatens Holland, 165; defeated by Marlborough at Ramilies, 174.
- Bavaria, electoral prince of, his claim to the Spanish throne, v. 71; appointed successor to the throne by the Partition treaty, and Charles II.'s will; dies Feb. 5, 1699, 76.
- Bavaria, elector of, an ally of France; driven from his capital by the Austrians, 1805, vii. 206; made king of Bavaria by Bonaparte, 1805, 213; compelled to join the Allies, 1813, 348; attends the Congress of Vienna, 1815, 410.
- Bavaria, electorate of, devastated by the Allies, 1704, v. 138.
- Baxter, Richard, expelled from his ministry at Kidderminster, iii. 460; joins the parliamentary army. 461; his account of the battle at Edgehill, 461; statement of, as to the oppression of non-conformists by the royalists, 466; his account of the adoption of the Covenant by the synod at Westminster and the House of Commons, 485; visit of, to the battle-field of Naseby, 506; description of the parliamentary camp, 506; appointed chaplain to Charles II., 144; notices of the Plague of 1665 by, 168, 170; of the great Fire of London in 1666, 180; notice of the duke of Buckingham by, 200; account of the proposals for a union of Protestants, which were rejected by parliament, 206; oppression experienced by, in 1675, 227; is tried, convicted, and imprisoned for libel in 1685, 304.
- Bayeux Tapestry, illustrations of the History of England afforded by, i. 198, *et seq.*
- Baylen, battle of, July 21, 1808, vii. 272.
- Bayonne, treaty of, May 5, 1808, vii. 642.
- Beachy Head, battle off, June, 1690, iv. 515.
- Bean, J. W., his attempt on the Queen's life, 1842, viii. 343.
- Beaufort, cardinal Henry, feuds between, and the duke of Gloucester, ii. 42; continued disagreements with Gloucester, 58; burns heretics, 60; causes the duchess of Gloucester to be tried for sorcery and conspiracy, 60; is suspected of having caused the death of Gloucester, 63; dies, 64.
- Beaulieu, an Austrian general; defeated and beaten back by Bonaparte, 1796, vii. 65; superseded in his command by general Wurmser, 67.
- Beaumarchais, author of Figaro; introduces Scubben to Silas Deane, vi. 198; lends him money, and freights a ship for him, 198.
- Becket, Thomas à, romance in the marriage of the father and mother of, i. 300; early education of, 301; made chancellor to Henry II., 302; magnificence of, 303; is sent ambassador to France, 304; his attendance with a numerous force at the siege of Toulouse, 305, 310; his perfections, 305; created archbishop of Canterbury in 1162, 311, 314; character of, 313; deportment and magnificence of, in his new office, 314; resigns the chancellorship and claims the restoration of church property, 314, 315; interferes to prevent the subjection of the clergy to the secular laws, 317; consents to the Constitutions of Clarendon, 318; the pope refuses to confirm them, 321; Becket supports the pope's decision, is arraigned as a traitor and found guilty, 321; flies from England, 322; excommunicates all those who maintain the Constitutions of Clarendon, 322; meets Henry at Touraine in 1170, and a temporary peace is effected, 325; returns to England, 326; is murdered, 327; consequences of his murder, 327; canonisation of, and miracles at the shrine of, 327, 334; Henry does penance before the shrine, 335.

- Beckford, desires to see the king his own minister, vi. 55: opposes cocrcionary measures against America, 125; alderman; lord mayor of London, 1770; defends the London remonstrance; his speech to the king, vi. 133; Chatham in raptures at his spirited conduct; death of, 1770, 134.
- Beckwith, his shop plundered by a mob, 1816, vii. 454.
- Bede's account of the passage of the Romans at Coway stakes, i. 20.
- Bedford, John, duke of, appointed by the parliament joint protector, with the duke of Gloucester, of Heury VI., ii. 40; wins the battle of Verneuil in 1424. 41; defeated at Montargis in 1427, 45; undertakes the siege of Orleans, 45; quarrels with the duke of Burgundy, 46; Orleans is relieved by Joan of Arc, 47, 50; relinquishes the siege of Orleans, 53; deprives sir John Fastolf of the order of the Garter for his behaviour at the battle of Patay, 53; dies, 58.
- Bedford, Francis, duke of, his agricultural improvements, vi. 308; promotes the drainage of the Fens of Lincoln, 312, 313.
- Bedford, John Russell, duke of; secretary of state; turned out of that office, 1752, v. 581; president of the council, vi. 75; interview with George III.; the king's indignation at his effrontery, 89; proposes that coercive measures be taken against America, 125.
- Bedfordshire, agricultural improvements of, vi. 308.
- Beggars, statute of Richard II. in 1388. respecting, i. 560.
- Belgium, independence of, recognized, Nov. 1830, viii. 55, 205.
- Belhaven, lord, a director of the African and Indian company; found guilty, v. 60; speech of, in the Scottish parliament, against the Union, 1706, 184, 187.
- Belle Ile, captured by the British, 1761, vi. 59.
- Belliard commands at Cairo; capitulates to the English, 1801, vii. 163.
- Bellingham, John, assassinates Mr. Perceval, May, 11, 1812; trial and execution, vii. 320, 321.
- Belmore, earl of, his opinions of the Willingness of the freed slave to labour, viii. 143.
- Bennett moves for an inquiry into the state of the London police, vii. 438, 439.
- Benningsen, general, commander of the Russian army; engages Bernadotte at Pultusk; defeated at Eylau, 1807, vii. 249, 250.
- Bentinck, lord William, his operations in Italy disappoint Wellington, 1812, vii. 334; governor-general of India, 635.
- Bentiuck. See Portland, duke of.
- Berar, Rajah of. See Bhoonsla.
- Beresford, marshal, drills the Portuguese, vii. 309; besieges Badajoz, 1811, 318; Wellington's advice to, 355.
- Bergen-op-Zoom surrendered to the French, Sept. 1747, v. 569.
- Berkeley, admiral, describes the bad state of the commissariat, 1809, vii. 299.
- Berkeley, admiral, entrusted with the reduction of Brest, 1694; Marlborough's treachery causes his attempts to fail, iv. 291.
- Berkeley, earl of, proposes to George I. to kidnap the Prince of Wales; first Lord of the Admiralty, 1718, v. 398.
- Berkshire, agriculture of, vi. 316, 317.
- Berlin Decree issued by Napoleon, Nov. 20, 1806, vii. 245, 641; terms of 264, 641.
- Berlin, treaty of, Aug. 5, 1796, vii. 169; convention of, Nov. 5, 1808, 642.
- Bernadotte. See Charles XIV.
- Bernard, sir Francis, governor of Massachusetts; disputes with the Assembly, vi. 124; recalled home, 129.
- Bernard, sir John, his bill for regulating theatres, v. 466, 467.
- Berne invaded and spoiled by the French, 1708, vii. 96.
- Berry, colonel, carries supplies to Enniskillen, and aids in defeating Marcarthy, 1689, iv. 482.
- Berry, captain, his bravery at the battle of St. Vincent, vii. 78.
- Berthier, Intendant of Paris, murdered by the mob, 1789, vi. 483.
- Berthier, a general in the French army, vii. 64; withdraws Bonaparte from the Assembly, 136; crosses the Alps at the head of a division of the army, 148.
- Bertrand du Guesclin encounters the Black Prince at the battle of Najara, i. 533; captured and released by the English, 539; activity and success of, in the wars in Gascony, 541.
- Berwick, James Fitz James, duke of, defeated at Belturbet, 1690, iv. 508; taken prisoner at Neerwinden, 581; sent to England to rouse the Jacobites, v. 25; secret visit to England for this purpose, 26; returns to France on the failure of the assassination plot, 28; keeps the Allied armies in check, 1704, 151; joins Philip of Spain, 161; compels the Allies to evacuate Madrid, and establishes Philip on his throne, 162, 197; commands the French army against Spain, 1719, 403; captures Fuenterrabia and St. Sebastian, 403; opposes prince Eugene on the Rhine; killed at the siege of Philipsburg, 446.
- Bewick, Thomas, reviver of wood engraving; his principal works, vii. 551.
- Bhoonsla, rajah of Berar, joins the Alliance against the British, vii. 219; defeated at Assye and Argaum, 222, 223, 225; signs a treaty of peace, 225.

- Bhurtpore.** See Combermere.
- Bidgegur** surrendered to Popham, vi. 436.
- Bignor, Sussex,** account of the remains of a Roman villa at, i. 60.
- Bill of Rights.** See Rights.
- Birkbeck, Dr.,** inaugurates the London Mechanics' Institution, 1823, vii. 20, 21.
- Birmese war, 1824—1826,** vii. 628, 631.
- Birmingham,** state of, in 1636, iii. 362; long noted for its hardware trade, iv. 400; riots at, against dissenters, 1791, vi. 508-510; great reform meeting at, 1832, viii. 102; obtains parliamentary representation, 116; population of, in 1831, and 1861, 117-118; riots of 1839 in, 253.
- Biron** aids in the campaign in the Netherlands, 1792, vi. 533.
- Bittern** formerly existed in Lincolnshire, iv. 406; and Wiltshire, vi. 319.
- Blackbourn, John,** a nonjuror, ejected from two livings; press corrector for Bowyer, iv. 464.
- Blackfriars-bridge** opened 1760; constructed by R. Mylne, vi. 382.
- Blackstone, Dr.,** queen's solicitor-general; his opinion as to Wilkes' right to sit in parliament, vi. 108.
- Blackwood, captain** of the Victory at Trafalgar, vii. 209.
- Blackwood's Magazine,** its influence and character, vii. 518.
- Blair, Hugh,** popularity of his sermons, vii. 513.
- Blake, admiral,** interrupts the operations of Rupert in the Irish seas, iii. 602; follows Rupert to the mouth of the Tagus, 17; demands his expulsion by the Portuguese, or to be admitted into the harbour, is refused, and has a slight skirmish, 17; defeats Van Tromp in the Downs, May 19, 1652, 19, 20; character of, 22; battles with Van Tromp and De Ruyter in 1652, 23; defeats Van Tromp off Cape La Hogue, Feb. 1653, and compels him to retire to Holland, 24; with Monk and Dean defeats Van Tromp near the North Foreland, 34; drives him back again to Holland in 1653, 34; gains a victory over the Dutch fleet, and Van Tromp is killed, July, 1663, 58; his remark on the dissolution of parliament by Cromwell, 69; subdues the African pirates, 77; conduct of, at Malaga, 77; captures two Spanish galleons off Cadiz, 81; gains a victory over the Spanish navy at Santa Cruz, 94; receives instruction to return home, 94; dies in 1657, within sight of Plymouth, 94; his body is disinterred from Westminster Abbey and buried in St. Margaret's churchyard after the Restoration, 144.
- Blake, William,** an engraver: his designs, vii. 550.
- Blakeney, general,** governor of Stirling Castle; defends it against the Young Pretender, 1746, v. 545; defends St. Phillip's Castle, Minorca, 1756, vi. 15.
- Blanc, Louis,** his remarks on the September massacres, 1792, vi. 544, 545.
- Blausac, camp marshal,** surrenders the French army to the British, 1704, v. 146.
- Blanket meeting** at Manchester, 1817, vii. 457.
- Blenheim** or Plenheim, battle of, fought Aug. 13, 1704, v. 139-146.
- Blenheim** designed by Vanbrugh, v. 341.
- Bliicher, marshal:** routs the French at the battle of the Katzbach, Aug. 26, 1813, vii. 348; the Saxon cavalry desert to; he places them in the rear, 349; crosses the Rhine, 353; battles with Napoleon at Brienne, Jan. 29, 1814, 354; Oxford degree conferred on, 358; concentrates his army upon Sombref, 396; maintains his position against Napoleon at the battle of Ligny, June 16, 1815, 396; marches to Wavre, 396; his share in the victory of Waterloo, 402, 403; his late arrival at the battle with Prussian troops, 403; Wellington remonstrates against his desire to kill Bonaparte, 407; and interferes with his blowing up the bridge of Jena, 409, 410.
- Blunt, sir John,** a South-sea director; the ministry favour his projects, v. 407.
- Boadicea,** revolt led by, i. 34, *et seq.*; destruction of Roman British cities during the revolt by, 35; defeat, and death of, by poison, 36.
- Board of Health,** a central, formed, 1831, viii. 98.
- Boat, ancient,** found in the river Arun in 1834, i. 22.
- Boats of the Britons,** nature of, in which they went to the assistance of the Veneti, i. 15.
- Bohun, Edmund,** the press licencer; his party feeling; removed from his office, iv. 574.
- Bois-le-Duc** surrendered to the Dutch, 1794, vii. 50.
- Bolan Pass,** passage of the, by the British army, 1839, viii. 289.
- Boleyn, Anne,** birth and childhood of, ii. 304; sent to the court of France with Mary, the sister of Henry VIII. in 1514, 304; the king's attachment to, publicly shown at Hampton Court, 305; incenses Henry against Wolsey, 313; writes to Cromwell in favour of Richard Herman, imprisoned for setting forth the Bible in English, 321; again incites Henry against Wolsey, 330; accompanies Henry to France in 1532, to meet Francis I., 311; married to Henry, 342; description of her coronation, 344; gives birth to Elizabeth, Sept. 7, 1533, 348; the king becomes jealous and sends her to the Tower, 375; her letter to the king, 377; true bills found against her and others, 380; trial and execution of, on May 19, 1536,

- 382; dying speech of, 382; doubts as to the guilt or innocence of, 385, 386; letter from, to Henry VIII., 383.
- Bolingbroke. See St. John.
- Bombay ceded to Charles II.; by him assigned to the East India Company, v. 592.
- Bonaparte, Joseph, commands the army sent against Naples, vii. 240; enters Naples, Feb. 15, 1806, 240; proclaimed king, Mar. 30, 240; joins Victor in Spain, 1809, 297; Marmont establishes a communication with, 334; defeated at Vittoria by Wellington, 1813, 346; Napoleon declares him no longer king of Spain, 1814; appointed Napoleon's lieutenant, 354; king of Spain, 1808-1814, 636; king of Naples, 1806-1808, 637.
- Bonaparte, Louis, proclaimed king of Holland, June, 1806, vii. 240; surrenders his sovereignty, 1811, 314, 315.
- Bonaparte, Louis Napoleon. See Napoleon III.
- Bonaparte, Lucien, president of the Council of Five Hundred, 1799, vii. 137; his conduct during the overthrow of the Council on Nov. 10 (19th Brumaire), 137; urges his brother's claims to the gratitude of France, 1815, 405.
- Bonaparte, Napoleon: born at Ajaccio in Corsica, vi. 141; early career, lieutenant-colonel of artillery, 1793; his opinion of Carteaux, vii. 22; plan for reducing Toulon, 22; appointed second in command to Barras, 1795, 59; suppresses the revolt of the sections of Paris, Oct. 5, 60-61; marries Josephine Beauharnois, Mar. 1796; submits a plan for the invasion of Italy to Carnot; appointed chief of the army of Italy, 63; reception by the army; defeats D'Argenteau, Beaulieu, and Colli; gains possession of Piedmont; forces the passage of Lodi, 65; levies heavy exactions from Milan and Pavia, 65; his plundering march to Leghorn, 66; where he seizes all the English merchandise; defeats generals Quosnadovich and Wurmser; defeated by Alvinz at Caldiero, Nov. 12; battle of Arcole, 67; compels Alvinz to retreat to Vicenza, 67; wins the battle of Rivoli, Jan. 14, 1797; Provera surrenders, Jan. 16; and Mantua capitulates, Feb. 2, 85-86; pursues the archduke Charles, and defeats him at Neumarkt, April 2; preliminaries of peace signed at Leoben, April 18; declares war against the Venetian republic, May 3, 86; enters Venice on the 16th and overthrows the republican government, 86-87; negotiates with Vienna, 90-91; confers with the Austrian negotiators at Udine, Oct. 16; cedes Venice to Austria, 91; peace of Campo Formio concluded Oct. 17, 92; appointed to the command of the "Army of England," 92; arrives in Paris, Dec. 5; his interest in the preparations for invading England, 93-94; persuades the Directory to send an expedition against Egypt, 95; appointed general-in-chief of the army in the East; preparations at Toulon; the expedition leaves Toulon, May 19, 1798, 96; seizes Malta, June, 97, 98; his anxiety at the sight of a supposed English sail, 98; landing of his army at Alexandria, 98; proclamation of, to the people of Egypt, 99-100; marches across the desert; gains the battle of the Pyramids, July 21; obtains possession of Cairo, 99; receives the news of Bruey's defeat with calmness, 104; his letter to Tipoo Sultaun, 128; marches to Syria; El-Arish surrenders, Mar. 18, 1799; and Gaza on the 25th, 131; captures Jaffa, 131-132; siege of Acre, 132; returns to Cairo; defeats the Turks at Aboukir, July 25, 133; obtains the news of Europe for the past year, 133; posture of European affairs, July, 1799, 133-134; leaves Egypt, August 24; arrives at Frejus, Oct. 9; and at Paris on the 16th, 135; revolution of the 18th Brumaire; his critical position at the Assembly on the 19th, 136; overthrows the Directory, 137; becomes consul in conjunction with Siéyes and Ducos, 137; appointed First Consul for ten years, Dec., 140; letter to George III., 140-141; hostile answer returned to it by lord Grenville, 141; considers the answer a satisfactory one, 146; his civil administration, 146-147; assumes the state of a sovereign, 147; joins his army at Dijon, 148; crosses the Alps by the pass of the Great St. Bernard, 148; enters Milan, May 30, 1800; gains the victory of Marengo, June 14; again in Paris, July 2, 149; threatens an invasion of England, 1801, 164; his audience with marquis Cornwallis, 166; and demand upon England, 1802, 172; effects of his rule upon French society; Consul for life; French encroachments, 174; his military establishment, 175-176; sends an expedition to reduce St. Domingo, 175; result of the expedition; capture and death of the president, Toussaint L'Ouverture, 176; publishes col. Sebastiani's report, 177; his interview with lord Whitworth, the English ambassador, 177; proceeds against Peltier for libel in an English court of law, 178; verdict given in his favour, 178; violence shown by, in his interview with lord Whitworth, 180, 181; arrests ten thousand English travellers in France, 183; thus he rouses the national spirit of Great Britain, 183; prepares for invading England, 184; exercises his troops at Boulogne, 187; declared Emperor of France by the French senate, May 18, 1804, 192; discovery of a conspiracy against; fate of the conspirators, 193; murder of the duc d'Anguien, March 20, 193; Napoleon and his army at Boulogne, 204; his anxiety, 205; persuaded by Décrès to give up the scheme of invading England, breaks up his Boulogne camp, 205, 206; Russia, Austria, and England coalesce against;

marches across the Rhine and the Danube, 206; compels 30,000 Austrians under gen. Mack to surrender at Ulm, Oct. 20, 207; his invasion projects frustrated by the battle of Trafalgar, 211; enters Vienna, Nov. 13, 212; defeats the Russians and Austrians at Austerlitz, Dec. 2, 212; his inhumanity towards the Russians; peace of Presburg, 213; heads the Confederation of the Rhine, 238; aggression of; murder of Palm, 239, 240; the French take possession of Naples, of which Joseph is proclaimed king, 240, 241; defeat of the French at the battle of Maida, 241; takes the field against Prussia, 243; position of the two armies, 244, 245; defeats the enemy at Jena, Oct. 14, 1806, 244; entry into Berlin; issues the Berlin decree against England, 245; entered Warsaw, Dec. 19; battle of Pultusk, Dec. 26, 250; battle of Eylau, Feb. 8, 1807, 251; defeats the Russians at the battle of Friedland, June 14, 257; concludes the peace of Tilsit with Alexander, July, 257-258; the interview on the raft on the Niemen, 258; terms of the treaty, 258; its secret articles, 259; they become known to the British government, 258-259; his rage on hearing the success of the English at Copenhagen, 259; his continental system; endeavours to smother the British trade, 263, 265; secret treaty of Fontainebleau, 265; schemes for possessing himself of the Peninsula, 265, 266; French invasion of Portugal, 265, *et seq.*; night of the regent of Portugal to Brazil, 267; abdication of Charles IV., March, 1808; entraps the king and his son, 269; an insurrection breaks out in Madrid, 269, 270; general Dupont defeated and baffled by the Spaniards, 272; battle of Baylen, July 21, 272; unsuccessful attempt to take Zaragoza, 272; defeat of the French at Vimiero, Aug. 21, 273; retreat of Torres Vedras, 273; convention of Cintra, 274; arrives at Bayonne to take the command of his army, Nov. 3, 275; pursuit of sir John Moore by; gives it up to Soult at Astorga, 277; the battle of Corunna and death of Moore, 278; destruction of the French squadron in the Aix roads by captain lord Cochrane, 283; Austria declares war against France, 284; leaves Paris, April 13; reaches Donauwerth on the 17th, 284; sir A. Wellesley compels Soult to retreat from Oporto, 285; the nations of Europe rise against France, 288, 289; battle of Eckmühl, April 22; entry of the French into Vienna, May 12; battle of Aspern, May 21 and 22; Napoleon shut up in the island of Lobau, 289; insurrection of the Tyrolese, 289, 290; battle of Wagram, July 5; determines upon divorcing Josephine, 291; the peace of Vienna, 291; return to Paris, 291; the British expedition to Walcheren, 294-297; defeat of marshal Victor, at Talavera, July 28; chagrin of, 298, 299; divorces Josephine; and marries the archduchess of Austria, 303; Wellington's lincs of Torres Vedras, 307, 308, 311; surrender of Almeida and Ciudad Rodrigo to the French, 309; battle of Busaco, Sept. 27, 1810, 310; Wellington retires within his lines; distress of Massena's army, 311; Louis Bonaparte resigns the sovereignty of Holland, which state is annexed to France, 1811, 314; his power and aggrandising view on the continent, 315; battles of Barrosa, Fuentes de Orono and Albuera, 318; withdraws troops from Spain for his expedition against Russia, 329; capture of Ciudad Rodrigo by Wellington, 330; siege of Badajoz, 331, 332; Marmont's manœuvres, 334, 335; defeat of the French at the battle of Salamanca, July 22, 1812, 335, 336; rage of, against Marmont, 337; siege of Burgos, 338; Wellington's retreat to Ciudad Rodrigo, 338; he invades Russia, June, 339, 340; gains the battle of Smolensk, Aug. 16, and Borodino, Sept. 7; and enters Moscow, Sept. 14, 341; conflagration of Moscow; its evacuation, Oct. 19, 342; destruction of his army in the retreat home, 342, 343; arrives at Paris, Dec. 18, 343; defeats the Russians and Prussians at Lützen, May 2, 1813; and at Bautzen, May 21, 22; armistice concluded, 345; battle of Vittoria, 346; battles at Dresden; death of Moreau, 347; the French armies sustain several defeats in Germany, 318, 349; battle of Leipzig, Oct. 19, 349; his retreat; wins the battle of Hanau, 350; Wellington in the Pyrenees, 350; San Sebastian; the British army in France, 351; battles of Wellington and Soult, 352; preparations for a campaign in France; battle of Brienne, Jan. 29, 1814, 354; battles with Blücher and Schwarzenberg; capitulation of Paris; battle of Orthez, 355; Toulouse; he abdicates, April 4, 355; his kingdom of Elba; peace at Paris, 357; lands in the Gulf of St. Juan near Cannes, Mar. 1, 1815, vii. 384, 385; the "Hundred Days" drama, 385, *et seq.*; retrospect of the restoration of the Bourbons, 385, 390; discontent of the people under Bourbon rule, 387, 388; desire of the army for his return to France, 388; his independent position at Elba; visited by sir N. Campbell, 390; his escape; attributed to English complicity, 391; the powers assembled in congress declare him liable to public vengeance; his advance; joined by Labedoyère, 392; and marshal Ney; arrives in Paris, Mar. 21, 392; his speech at the Champ de Mai, 393; reorganizes his army; crosses the frontier, June 15, and joins his soldiers at Charleroi, 394; Wellington's knowledge of his movements, 395; the battle of Ligny, 396; his manœuvres and march to the British position near Waterloo, 396; the field of Waterloo, 397; the position of the two armies, 398, 399; the struggle at Hougoumont, 399, 400; disconcerts his plans, 401; the arrival of the Prussians; rout and panic

- in his army, his final stand; and flight; the pursuit of the French, 404; he returns to Paris, June 21; the Chamber of Representatives compel him to abdicate; and require him to go to America, 405; unable to escape he yields himself to captain Maitland; becomes an object of curiosity in Plymouth Harbour; portrait taken by sir C. Eastlake; St. Helena assigned him for his future residence; legal discussions concerning him, 407; Wellington remonstrates against his being executed; Napoleon's hatred for Wellington, 407; history's errors regarding the disposition of the Allies, and Wellington's conduct towards Napoleon, 408, 409; his opinion of the "Holy Alliance," 415; abolished the slave traffic in France, 415; his death-scene, May 5, 1821, 573, 574; parliamentary complaints on the unnecessary strictures imposed upon him; his expansive mind and want of moral sense, 574; his public interment in the church of the Invalides, 1840, viii. 269, 270.
- Bonchamps, M. de, a Vendean commander, mortally wounded at Chollet, vii. 29.**
- Bond, Oliver, a merchant; one of the United Irish directors; arrested 1797, vii. 110.**
- Bonner, bishop of London, deprived of his see, ii. 563; exertions of, in 1555, to suppress heresy, 608; behaviour of, to Hawkes, 618.**
- Bordeaux, duke of; Charles X. abdicates in his favour, 1830, viii. 53; his mother, the duchess of Berri, attempts to get up an insurrection for his benefit, 195.**
- Borodino, battle of, Sept. 7, 1812, vii. 340.**
- Boscawen, admiral, appointed to watch a French fleet, 1755, v. 599; commands the fleet sent against Louisbourg, 1758, vi. 40; arrives there June 2; the place capitulates, July, 40; defeats the French fleet in Lagos bay, Aug. 17, 1759, 44.**
- Bosenden Wood tragedy. See Thom.**
- Boston, opposition to the Revenue Act in, 1768, vi. 123-125; destruction of tea in the harbour, 1773, 155-157; Boston port bill, 157, 158; its reception at, 163; state of parties in, 1775, 174; battle of Bunker's Hill, 176-178; blockaded by Washington, 178, 179; evacuated by the British, Mar. 17, 1776, 183, 184.**
- Boston port bill passed, 1774, vi. 158.**
- Boswell, his visit to Boulton's works, vi. 362.**
- Bosworth, battle of, on Aug. 22, 1485, ii. 189.**
- Bothwell, earl of. See Mary of Scotland.**
- Bothwell Bridge, battle of. See Charles II., and Covenanters.**
- Bouchain besieged by Marlborough; surrenders, 1711, v. 251, 252.**
- Boufflers, marshal; present at the battle of Steinkirk, 1692, iv. 567; reinforces the garrison of Namur; assumes the command of it, 1695, v. 16; surrenders, Aug. 26; detained a prisoner, but soon released again, 18; negotiates with Portland the points of the peace of Ryswick, July, 1697, 39; threatens Holland, 1703, 122; intrusted with the defence of Lille, 1708; capitulates, Dec. 29, 209, 210.**
- Bouillé, marquis de, engages to protect Louis XIV. in his flight to Montmédy, 1791, vi. 512; the plan fails; the king is captured at Varennes, and Bouillé flies from France, 514, 515.**
- Boulogne, projected invasion of Britain from, by Caligula, i. 27; lighthouse built at, by Caligula, 28.**
- Boulton, Matthew; Roebuck refuses him a share in Watt's patent, vi. 361; becomes Watt's partner, 362; Boswell's visit to his works, 362.**
- Boundary treaty between England and United States concluded, Aug. 1842, viii. 345.**
- Bounty, Queen Anne's, restoring the first fruits and tenths to the church, 1703, v. 128.**
- Bourbon, the constable, leads the emperor's (Charles V.) forces against Rome in 1527, ii. 295; takes and sacks Rome, but is himself killed, 296.**
- Bourbon isle, acquired by the British, 1810, vii. 622.**
- Bourienne, Bonaparte's secretary; quotations from, vii. 93, 135; withdraws Napoleon from the Assembly, 1799, 135; chargé d'affairs at Hamburg, 265; instances of Napoleon's infringements of the continental system given by, 265.**
- Boutetort, lord, succeeds Amherst as governor of Virginia; opens the session; dissolves the Assembly, vi. 127, 128.**
- Boydell, John, a skilful engraver; his large business in engravings, vi. 379; his Shakspeare collection, 379.**
- Boyne, battle of the, July 1, 1690, iv. 510-513.**
- Braddock, gen.; his unsuccessful campaign on the Ohio, 1755; falls into an ambushade, v. 599; and is slain, 599.**
- Braudenburg, elector of. See Frederick I. of Prussia.**
- Brandreth, Jeremiah, captain of the Derbyshire insurrectionists; his conduct in the insurrection, 1817, vii. 460, 461.**
- Brandywine, battle of the, Sept. 13, 1777, vi. 199.**
- Brathwaite, sir J., captures Pondicherry, vi. 576.**

- Bray, Dr., founder of parochial libraries; and one of the founders of the "Christian Knowledge Society," and the "Society for Propagating the Gospel in Foreign Parts," v. 48.
- Breadalbane, lord, submits to the English government; is employed by it to conciliate the rebel Highland chiefs, iv. 541; his plans not successful; under suspicion of appropriating the secret money, 541; committed to Edinburgh Castle on a charge of high treason, 553; subsequently obtains a pardon, and is released, 553.
- Breaking the line, whose invention was this? discussed, vi. 264, 265.
- Breda possessed by Dumouriez, 1793, vi. 589.
- Breed's hill. See Bunker's hill.
- Brereton, col., attempts to quell the Bristol riots, 1831, viii. 93; tried by court martial; shoots himself, 94.
- Brest, expedition against, 1694; its failure, iv. 590, 591.
- Bridges and their designers, vi. 382; vii. 537, 538.
- Bridgewater, Francis Egerton, duke of; his canal schemes; carried out by Brindley, vi. 341-343; the father of British inland navigation, 343; with his brother, the marquis of Stafford, the chief promoter of the Staffordshire canal, 343.
- Bridport, lord, mutiny in his fleet at Spithead, 1797, vii. 79, 80; offers redress and pardon, 82.
- Brienne, Loménie de, archbishop of Toulouse; controller general of France; dismisses the notables, vi. 470; his scheme of La Cour Plénière, 472; dismissed his controllership, 472.
- Brienne, battle of, Jan. 29, 1814, vii. 354.
- Brigantes, revolt of, i. 42; joined by the Mæatæ; the revolt suppressed, 42.
- Brindley, James, a millwright, vi. 342; employed by the duke of Bridgewater to construct canals; his great works of the aqueduct over the Irwell, 342; and the subterranean canals at Worsley, 342, 343; appearance of, 343.
- Brissot, a Paris deputy at the Assembly on the left side, vi. 517; heads a faction, 532, 533; quarrels with Clavière; forces on a war with Austria, 532; in prison, 595.
- Bristol formerly the famous port of the West, iv. 389; slave trading pursued by the merchants of; not discontinued till George III.'s reign; streets, 390; increase of population, 391; glass manufactories in, 402; great riot at, Oct. 1831, viii. 93, 94.
- Britain, civilization and population of, at the time of Cæsar's invasion, i. 16; first invasion of, by Cæsar, and his retreat, 18; second invasion by, 19; condition of the country at the time, 20, *et seq.*; strength and prosperity of, A.D. 296, 44; panegyric of Eumenius on the state of, 44; Roman provinces of, 45; review of the domestic condition of, at the close of the third century, 46, *et seq.*; never a secure possession to the Romans, 58; resistance of, to the emperor Honorius, 69; close of Roman rule in Britain, A.D. 409, 69; mixed character of the population of, at the time of the invasion of the Saxons, 71.
- British army under Maximus, refuse to return from Armorica and found a colony in Brittany, i. 67, 68.
- British art, ancient specimens of, i. 24.
- British captives, fight as gladiators before Claudius, i. 32.
- British institution established, 1805, vii. 542, 543.
- British writers, chronological and analytical list of, v. 605-611; vii. 523-528.
- Britons, character of, by Diodorus Siculus, i. 17; by Ptolemy, 17; resistance offered by, to the invasion of Cæsar, 18.
- Brittany, position of, and character of the early inhabitants of, i. 14; assistance afforded to, by the Britons, 15; probable foundation of a British colony in, A.D. 388, 67; continued connection of, with Cornwall and Wales, 68; successfully resists the Emperor Honorius, and maintains its independence A.D. 409, 69.
- Britton, Thomas, the musical coal-man, v. 316.
- Brock, major-general; opposes Hull's invasion of Canada, 1812; drives him across the river Detroit, and compels him to surrender, Aug. 16, vii. 368, 369; praises the conduct of the Indians employed in the British army, 369.
- Brodie, sir Benjamin, his remarks on Oxford's insanity, viii. 260.
- Broghe, marshal de, minister of war; commander of the French troops, vi. 478; leaves Paris for the frontiers, 482.
- Broke, captain of the "Shannon," challenges the American frigate "Chesapeake" to a fight, and succeeds in capturing her, June 1, 1813, and restoring the prestige of the British navy, vii. 372, 373.
- Brooklyn, battle of, Aug. 27, 1776, vi. 190.
- Brougham, lord; his eulogy on Erskine, vii. 37; his description of Robespierre's character, 46; account of Wellington's interview with Pitt; and of Pitt's death-bed, 214; his efforts in bringing about the repeal of the orders in council declaring France and her allies in a state of blockade, 1812, 325; heads the op-

- position in parliament to the renewal of the income tax in 1816, 423; defeat of the bill, 423; his declamatory attack upon the regent, 424, 425; describes the state of the American trade in 1816, 428; moves an inquiry into the state of education among the London poor; impulse given to the committee's proceedings by his energy, 444; queen Caroline's chief legal adviser; defends her title, 557; queen's attorney-general, 1820, 561; announces the queen's arrival in England; opposes the appointment of a secret committee; defends his own conduct, 562; takes part in the conference for averting a public proceeding, 563; conducts the queen's defence at her trial, 567, 568; lays the case of Mr. Smith, a missionary, before parliament, 1824, 597, 598; supports the Canning ministry, 611, 612; objects to the duke of Wellington being prime minister; states that the schoolmaster is abroad, viii. 18; originates the "Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge," 1826, 20; promotes the formation of the London University, 21; speech on law reform, 21, 22; obtains the appointment of two commissioners to inquire into the state of the common law, and the laws affecting real property, 22; fails in obtaining the establishment of local jurisdiction, 1830; subsequent partial adoption of his views, 36; protests against the lord steward's treatment of the Commons; subsequent explanation, 38, 39; his attack upon the Wellington ministry, 39; apologises for his strong language, 40; gives notice in parliament of his intention to introduce the subject of reform, 62; on the resignation of the Wellington ministry he postpones his motion for a short time; lord chancellor, 65, 67; reluctance to accept this office, 65, 66; introduces a bill for establishing a new court in bankruptcy, 1831, 71; boldness in urging the king to dissolve parliament, 78; speech on the Reform bill, 86; his Bankruptcy Court bill receives the royal assent, 89; with lord Grey obtains the king's permission to create peers to insure the passing of the Reform bill, 107; speech of, on moving the second reading of the Poor Law Amendment bill, 1834, 157; his hostility with lord Durham; speeches at Inverness, at the Grey banquet, Edinburgh, and at Salisbury, 164, 165; excluded from the Melbourne ministry, 1835; his indefatigable energy, 176; speech on submitting a series of education resolutions to the Lords, 177, 178; his attack on the earl of Durham's proceedings; proposes and carries an Indemnity Act, which causes the earl's resignation, 235; his speech on the enthusiasm manifested towards the queen, 233; his "Historical Sketches of Statesmen" noticed, 316.
- Browne, marshal, commands an Austrian army; defeated at Losowitz, 1756, vi. 19; encamps before Prague, 1757, 34; defeated by Frederick at Prague, May 6, where he receives his death wound, 34.
- Browning, Mrs., poetical quotation from, vii. 595; her poetry, viii. 480, 481.
- Bruce, Robert, the elder, a claimant for the crown of Scotland in 1291, i. 460; agrees to do homage to Edward I., 461; sides with Edward in his invasion of Scotland, 463.
- Bruce, Robert, the younger, stabs Comyn, i. 472; assumes the crown of Scotland in 1306, 472; is defeated by the earl of Pembroke, 473; obtains some successes in 1307, and Edward I. assembles an army to attack him, but dies, 473; is recognised as king in 1309, 478; successes of, 478, 479; wins the battle of Bannockburn, on June 24, 1314, 481, 482; concludes a truce with Edward II. in 1323, 487; invades England in 1327, 493; dies in 1328, 495.
- Bruce, David, succeeds his father in 1328, i. 495; is attacked by Edward Balliol, who continues the struggle for some years, 502; returns from France to his kingdom in 1341, 502; invades England in 1346, is defeated by Queen Philippa at Nevill's Cross, is taken prisoner, 516; lodged in the Tower, 516.
- Bruceys, French admiral; conveys Bonaparte and his troops to Egypt, 1798, vii. 96; defeated by Nelson at the battle of the Nile, Aug. 1, 101-103; killed in the battle, 103.
- Bruges surrenders to Marlborough, 1706, v. 174.
- Brunan-burgh, ode on the battle of, from the Anglo-Saxon chronicle, i. 149, 150.
- Brunswick, C. W. Ferdinand, duke of, his proclamation, 1792, vi. 537; his advance into France; stopped by the battle of Valmy, 549; retreats, 550; lieutenant-general of the Prussian army, 1806, 243; receives his death wound at the battle of Jena; dies Nov. 10, 1806, 245.
- Brunswick, W. Frederick, duke of, headed the German insurrection, 1809, vii. 289; heads the Brunswickers at Waterloo, 395.
- Brussels saved from a bombardment by William III., v. 39.
- Brydon, Dr., the sole survivor of the British army retreating from Cabul, 1842, viii. 297.
- Buckingham, Stafford duke of, in conjunction with the duke of Gloucester, arrests earl Rivers, lord Grey, and sir Thomas Vaughan, and takes Edward V. into his charge, ii. 158; rewards heaped upon, by Gloucester, 159; Morton, bishop of Ely, committed as a prisoner to the custody of, 162; harangues the citizens of London in favour of Gloucester's claim to the crown, 165; with a deputation of

- citizens solicits Gloucester to become king, 166; receives the estates of the earldom of Hereford from Richard, 174; with Morton, organises an insurrection against Richard, 175, 176; unsatisfactory causes assigned for, 178; is suppressed, and he is executed at Salisbury, Nov. 2, 1483, 179.
- Buckingham, George Villiers, duke of, sudden rise and promotion of, by James I., iii. 298; procures the release of Raleigh from the Tower, 308; accompanies prince Charles in his incognito visit to Spain in 1623, 321; they return home, 323; popularity of, on the breaking off of the Spanish match, 323; is impeached by the Commons in 1626, 328; is elected chancellor of the University of Cambridge by command of the king, 329; asserted to have been the promoter of the war with France, 333; commands the expedition to aid the Protestants in Rochelle, 334; attempts to take the Isle of Rhé, and fails, 335; is denounced by Coke, in the House of Commons, 338; character of, by Clarendon, 338; is appointed to command a second expedition to relieve Rochelle, 339; is assassinated by Felton, Aug. 23, 1628, 340; execution of Felton, 341.
- Buckingham, George Villiers, duke of, becomes a member of the Cabal ministry, in 1667, iv. 200; seeks popularity, and advocates liberty of conscience, 200; character of, by Dryden, 201; complicity of, in Charles becoming a pensioner of France, 203; retires from the Cabal ministry, 221; committed to the Tower for opinions expressed in the House of Lords in 1677, 230.
- Buckingham, duke of, privy seal, v. 113; supports the motion for inviting the princess Sophia to England, 169; a great art collector, 332.
- Buckingham, duke of, made duke, 1822, vii. 576; account of George IV.'s unreservedness, 613.
- Buckingham, J. S., proprietor of "The Calcutta Journal;" proceedings against, for his strictures on Indian affairs, vii. 633, 634.
- Buckinghamshire, low state of agriculture in; dairy farming; produce of butter; duck rearing, and pillow-lace making, vi. 306.
- Buenos Ayres captured by sir Home Popham, 1806, vii. 242; regained by the French, 242; Whitelock's expedition against, 1807, 255, 256; his failure, 256.
- Buller, Charles, proposes the adoption of a systematic colonization, viii. 349.
- Bunker's hill, battle of Breed's or, June 17, 1775, vi. 177, 178.
- Bunyan, John, release of, from prison, after twelve years' confinement, iv. 220.
- Burdett, sir Francis, duel with Paull, 1807, vii. 227; conduct of, in the proceedings between Jones and parliament, and subsequent results, 1810, 304, 305; chairman of the London Hampden Club, 451; revives the question of parliamentary reform, 1818, 478; returned M.P. for Westminster, 480; again agitates reform, 1819, 485; found guilty of seditious libel and punished, 560.
- Burgesses summoned to parliament, by Simon de Montfort, in 1264, i. 416.
- Burgh Castle, Roman ruins of, i. 50.
- Burghersh, lord, British minister at Florence; announces Napoleon's escape from Elba, vii. 385.
- Burgos Castle invested without success by Wellington, 1812, vii. 338, 339.
- Burgoyne, general, moves for an inquiry into the East India Company's affairs, vi. 152; invades the United States; recaptures Ticonderoga; secures Fort Edward, 199, 200; his difficulties in procuring provisions, 200; retreats to Saratoga; yields to Gates on certain conditions; the convention of Saratoga, 200, 201; cold treatment of, by the British government; vindicates the convention of Saratoga, 215; defends his conduct in employing Indians, 215, 216.
- Burhampton taken by col. Stevenson, vii. 225.
- Burke, Edmund; his speech on American taxation, April 19, 1774, vi. 85, 159; first speech in parliament, Jan. 1766, 91; said to be the author of Junius's writings, 111; opposes Bedford's proposed coercitory measures towards America, 126; moves an inquiry into the American disorders, 131; his pamphlet on American taxation, 159; urges government to adopt conciliatory measures towards America, 166; his burst of oratory on America's rapid growth, 167; remarks on the public opinion regarding the American war, 206, 207; speech on economical reform, Feb. 11, 1780, 223; his proposals, 224; bill obstructed in its progress through committee, 225; rejection of the practical measures, 227; rejected as M.P. for Bristol, 240; charges against him: his defence, 240, 241; returned for Malton; brings forward his Civil List bill; it is rejected, 242; his speech denouncing the American tax, 259; obtains office in the Rockingham ministry, 262; compelled to compromise his scheme of economical reform; passing of his bill; proposes a bill for regulating the profits of the office of paymaster of the forces, 268; resigns office on the accession of the Shelburne ministry, 1782, 280; an agricultural improver, vi. 308; draws up the ninth and eleventh report on Indian affairs, 440; commends Pitt's speech on taxes, 455-456; passion displayed by, in the debate on the Regency bill, 460; his opinion of the French revolution, 492; opposes an increase of military power; declares France to be ruined, 496; renounces Sheridan's friendship and political opinions, 497; opposes Fox's motion for the repeal of the Test act, 498;

- his "Reflections on the Revolution," published; its remarkable popularity; his opinions on the revolution, 500, 501; his hatred towards the French revolutionary doctrines causes him to break friendship with Fox, 506; intensity of his hatred exemplified, 506; raises the cry of the church in danger, 507; anecdotes of, 519, 520; applauds the Polish revolution, 529, 530; remarks of, on the September massacres, 546; indignation of, at the results of the duke of Brunswick's campaign, 551; deprecates the proceedings of the French against their royal family, 558; oratorical dagger speech on the Alien bill, 566; note on the dagger scene, 571; speech of, on the expediency of war, 583; his idea of the policy that ought to be pursued towards France, 586; French opinion of, 587; shows the difficulty of negotiating with France, 595, 596; regrets Britain's treatment of the French fleet at Toulon, vii. 25, 26; objects to "a regicidal peace," 68; death of, July 9, 1797, 85; description of the United Irishmen by, 109; urges government not to abandon law, 110; his remarks on scarcity, 144.
- Burke's opinion of the ancient Britons, i. 23.
- Burnes, sir Alexander, his remarks on Russian intrigues in Cabul, viii. 287, 288; his position at Cabul, 291, 292; massacre of, by the Afghans, 1841, 292, 293.
- Burnes, Charles, murdered by Afghans, viii. 292, 293.
- Burnet, Dr. Gilbert, preaches at William III.'s coronation, iv. 473; his "Pastoral Letter" condemned and publicly burnt at Charing Cross, 1693, 574, 575; preaches at Whitehall, Dec. 2, 1697, v. 42; preceptor to Duke of Gloucester, 89; his system of education, 89; carries the news of William III.'s death to Queen Anne, 111.
- Burney, Fanny, writings of; description of court life by, vii. 515.
- Burns, Robert; his description of the Ayrshire farm labourer, vi. 334, 335; first volume of his poems published, 1786; his rapid and extensive popularity; character of his writings, vii. 502, 503.
- Burrard, sir Harry, appointed second in command in Portugal, 1808, vii. 271; arrives at Vimiero, 273; spoils Wellington's plans, 274.
- Busaco, battle of, Sept. 27, 1810, vii. 309, 310.
- Bussey, M. de, French minister in London, 1761, vi. 59; delivers the French *ultimatum*; is dismissed England by Pitt, 60, 61.
- Bustard, formerly abundant in Wiltshire; boldness of; its extinction in the county, vi. 319.
- Bute, John Stuart, earl of, groom of the stole to the prince of Wales, 1756, vi. 20; instils him with a knowledge of the constitution, 52; appointed a member of the council and cabinet by the prince, now George III.; animadversion against his influence; Pitt's indignation at the tone of the king's first address to the Privy Council prepared by, 54; intrigues with Doddington against the ministry; proclaims the policy of the new reign, 54, 55; secretary of state, 1761, 57; condemns Pitt's proposal of war against Spain as rash, 61; rejoices at Pitt's fall, 62; whom his party lampoon and caricature, 62; alienates Frederick of Prussia by refusing to grant his subsidy, 65; attempts to carry out Pitt's plans, 65; in his anxiety to obtain peace he offers to give up Havannah and Manilla 67, 68; prime minister, 1763, 70; attempts to restore the influence of the prerogative, 70; attacked by Wilkes and Churchill, 71, 72; popular dislike towards; resigns his employments, April, 8, 1763, 72; negotiates for Pitt's return to power, 75.
- Butler, col., commands the force which destroyed Wyoming, 1778, vi. 216.
- Butler, Charles, anecdote of Burke, by, vi. 521.
- Buxton, T. F., his resolution for abolishing slavery, 1823, vii. 596.
- Byng, admiral sir George, joins Leake off the Spanish coast, 1706, v. 169; cruises in the channel on the watch for the French fleet conveying the Pretender and forces to Scotland; it evades him, 1708, 203; he makes pursuit, but does not follow it up when they return to France, 203; sails for the Mediterranean June 4, 1718; anchors in the Bay of Naples; pursues and destroys the Spanish fleet, Aug. 11, 400.
- Byng, admiral John, despatched to the relief of Port Mahon; attacked by de la Galissonière, May 21, 1756, vi. 15; his timidity and proposal to return to Gibraltar; superseded by Hawke, 16; arrest; imprisonment in Greenwich Hospital; popular fury against, 16; trial at Portsmouth, 23; found guilty and sentenced to be shot; efforts made to save him by Pitt and others; execution of, Mar. 14, 1757; his demeanour, 24.
- Byng, sir John. See Strafford.
- Byron, lord George, reproaches England with the humiliation of Venice, vii. 90; his description of the Waterloo field of battle, 397, 398; his poetic satire "English Bards and Scotch Reviewers" published; describes the character of the writings of Coleridge, Wordsworth, and Southey, 505, 506; popularity; character of his verse romances, and his "Don Juan," 508; failure of his dramas, 514.
- Byron, John, commands an expedition to America, v. 479.

C.

- CABAL MINISTRY.** See Charles II., Buckingham, and Shaftesbury.
- Cabot, John,** and his sons, small encouragement given to, in 1496, by Henry VII., ii. 223; discovery of Newfoundland by, 237.
- Cabul** taken by the British, viii. 290, residence of the British army at, 291-294; its retreat from, 294, 295; retaken by the British, 299.
- Cade, John,** insurrection of, in 1450, ii. 105; defeats sir Humphrey Stafford, 106; marches to London, and beheads lord Say, 106; defeated by the citizens of London, and killed, 107.
- Cadiz** burnt by the earl of Essex in 1596, iii. 193, unsuccessful expedition to, 1702, v. 116.
- Cadoudal, Georges,** a royalist against Bonaparte; apprehended; executed, 1804, vii. 193.
- Caer-Cardoc,** notice of, i. 31.
- Cæsar,** history of England commences with, i. 13; his description of the Veneti, applicable to the Britons, 15; first invasion of Britain, 18; battle with the men of Kent, 18; retreat of, 18; second invasion of, 19; description of "the inland people" by, 19; advance of, into the interior, 20; opposed by Cassivelaunus, 20; crosses the Thames at Coway Stakes, 20; advances to St. Alban's, 20; enumeration by, of the tribes he encountered, 20; return of, to Gaul, taking hostages, 20; did not conquer Britain, according to Tacitus, 20.
- Caillebot, a Huguenot** commander; killed at the Boyne, 1690, iv. 512.
- Cairo** taken by Bonaparte, 1798, vii. 100.
- Calais,** siege of, by Edward III., i. 516; Froissart's story of the six burgesses, 517; an English colony founded in it, 519; bombarded by the English, 1694, iv. 492.
- Calcutta** purchased by the old E. I. Company, v. 203; captured by Surajah Dowlah, 1756; tragedy of the Black hole of, vi. 27, 28; retaken by Clive, Jan. 2, 1757, 27-29.
- Calder, sir Robert,** engages the French and Spanish fleets, and captures the Spanish vessels, July 22, 1805; tried and reprimanded, vii. 204; returns home just before the Trafalgar victory, 207.
- Calendar reform bill,** 1751; its provisions, v. 578, 579.
- Caligula,** intended invasion of Britain by, i. 27; pretended triumph of, 28; Napoleon and, at Boulogne, compared, vii. 197.
- Callcott,** character of his paintings, vii. 547.
- Calonne,** controller-general of finance, 1783; convokes the notables, vi. 465; shows the deficit in the finance; proposes a land tax on all classes; dismissed, 1787, 465.
- Camaloduun** attacked and destroyed by Boadicea, A.D. 61, i. 34, 35.
- Cambacères,** consul with Bonapart, 1799, vii. 140; has charge of the judicial administration, 147.
- Cambridge,** duke of, marries princess Louisa of Hesse, 1 June, 1818, vii. 479.
- Cambridge university,** James Pechell, the vice-chancellor, deprived of office for refusing a degree to a Benedictine monk, iv. 355.
- Cambridgeshire,** land of, vi. 311; quantity unreclaimed in 1794, 1806, 1846, 311; drainage and reclamation of the fens of, by the earl of Bedford, and Rennie, 312, 313.
- Cambronne, gen.,** accompanies Napoleon in his march to Paris, 1815, vii. 384; death of, at Waterloo, 404.
- Camden, battles of,** Aug. 16, 1780, vi. 235; and April 25, 1781, 249.
- Camden, lord,** sir Charles Pratt, lord chief justice; declares Wilkes & M.P. free from arrest, vi. 74; gives a verdict in favour of a printer of the "North Briton," 77, 78; thanked by the common council for his judgment on the general warrant question, 82; opposes the taxation of Americans, 92; lord chancellor, 1766, 98, 140; disclaims the measures adopted by the ministry, 121; dismissed his office, 122; supports Pitt's ministry, 1784, 444; and Fox's libel bill 1792, 526.
- Camden, earl,** lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 1795, vii. 109; recalled, 1798, 113; holds office in the Portland ministry, 1807, 249; secretary for war and the colonies, 1804, 640.
- Cameron, Dr. Archibald,** executed 1753, v. 571.
- Cameron of Lochiel** joins the young Pretender, 1745, v. 505; accompanies the prince in his flight to France, Sept. 1746, 564.
- Cameronians;** they reject the dispensing of the Test Act, iv. 483; oppose the union of the two kingdoms, 1706, 193; and burn the articles at the market cross of Dumfries, Nov. 30, 193; ordered to return home by the duke of Hamilton, 194. See Covenanters.
- Cameronian regiment;** it defeats the Highlanders at Dunkeld, 1690, iv. 539.
- Camisards.** See Cevennes; Cavalier.
- Camming, Thomas,** a quaker, sent against Fort Louis, which he takes, 1758, vi. 40; Goree surrenders to him, 40.

- Campan, Madame, conduct of, at the storming of Versailles, 1789, vi. 488.
- Campbell, colonel. See Argyle, A. C., duke of.
- Campbell of Finab, his exploits in Darien, v. 67.
- Campbell, A. See Argyle, earl of.
- Campbell, sir Archibald, defends Rangoon against the Birmese, vii. 629, 630; his campaign of 1825; takes Donoupeu; by repeatedly defeating the Birmese he compels them to agree to the treaty of Yandaboo, 630.
- Campbell, sir Colin; lieutenant, vii. 222; his description of the battle of Assye, 223, 224.
- Campbell, John. See Argyle, duke of.
- Campbell, John, lord, anecdotes of George III., vii. 37; of lord Ellenborough and Hone's trial by, 470; his remarks on the appeal of murder in 1818, 484, 485; his opinion of government's conduct towards the Cato-street conspirators, 556, 557; his feelings at the dissolution of parliament, 1831, viii. 79, 80.
- Campbell, sir John, Attorney-General in the Melbourne ministry, viii. 203.
- Campbell, sir Neil, appointed to watch over Napoleon at Elba, vii. 390.
- Campbell, captain Robert, of Glenlyon, his share in the Glencoe massacre, 1692, iv. 547-550; not prosecuted, 552.
- Campbell, Thomas, quotation from, vii. 158; wide reputation of his "Pleasures of Hope," and lyrics; "Gertrude of Wyoming," published 1809, vii. 509.
- Camberdown, battle of, fought between De Winter and Duncan, Oct. 11, 1797, vii. 93.
- Campion, the Jesuit, trial and torture of, for a conspiracy against Elizabeth in 1581, iii. 99.
- Campo Formio, peace of, Oct. 17, 1797, vii. 92, 169.
- Canada ceded by France, 1763, vi. 601; doubtful loyalty of, towards England, 1793, vi. 580; disputes with the mother country, viii. 233, 234; insurrection in, 233-236; lord Durham's government, 234, 235; his report on, 237.
- Canals, construction of, by Bridgewater, Brindley, and others, vi. 341, 343; increased sums expended on navigation and, vii. 75; constructed by Telford, 538.
- Canning, George; one of Pitt's supporters, vii. 54; story of his proposed connection with the Jacobins; advocates a continuance of the war, 54; defends Pitt's coercive policy, 62, 63; starts the "Anti-Jacobin," Nov. 1797, 83; retires from office, 1801, 154; Foreign Secretary, 1807, 249; obtains a knowledge of the secret article of Tilsit, 259; in favour of aiding the Spaniards, 270; remarks on Moore's retreat in Spain, 1809, 280, 281; duel with Castlereagh, 302; resigns, 302; grief at Perceval's death, 321; returns from Lisbon, and takes his seat in parliament, vii. 456; President of the Indian Board of Control, 1816, 456, 552, 553; imprudent expression regarding Ogden's petition, 478; offers duel to the author of an anonymous pamphlet, 478; vindicates the government's conduct towards queen Caroline, 562; refusing to take part in the proceedings against the queen he tenders his resignation; but the king insists on his retaining it, and following his own conduct with respect to the queen, 564, 565; his interest in the queen's trial, 570; resigns his office, Dec. 12, 1820; the king refuses to re-admit him into the Cabinet after the queen's death, 572; his Roman Catholic relief bill thrown out twice, 577, 578; appointed Governor-General of India, 578; but before he has started for India he is appointed Secretary for Foreign Affairs; his instructions to the duke of Wellington, the British representative at the Congress at Verona, 580, 581; and to the British ambassador at Paris; his declaration of neutrality, 582; his declaration of April, 1823, 583; his policy of the recognition of the Spanish provinces in South America; opposition towards it, 584; the king fails in obtaining Canning's dismissal, 586; conditions upon which a state which has successfully asserted its independence should be recognized by a neutral state, 587; discussions with the United States minister concerning the Spanish South American states, the Oregon territory, and the right of search, 588, 589; his warlike attitude and promptitude prevents the war of Spain against Portugal, 590; speech intimating Spain's aggression, and the conduct of the British government, 590, 591, defends Huskisson, 593; progress and liberty, 594; his resolutions for ameliorating the condition of slaves agreed to, 596; his position with regard to the Catholic question, 606; dislike of lord Eldon and the duke of York towards, 606, 607; anecdote of Canning and the chancellor at the funeral of the duke of York, where the former catches a cold, 608; opposite opinions of Peel and Canning on Catholic Emancipation, 609, 610; the king orders him to form a ministry; first Lord of the Treasury and Chancellor of the Exchequer, 1827, 610, 618; his offers to cabinet ministers rejected, 610, 611; his appearance and manner on meeting parliament, May, 1, 1827, 611; opposition shown towards him, 611, 612; attack of lord Grey, 612; charged with having pledged himself not to press the Catholic question, 613, 614; the charge probably a calumny, 614, 619; assigned causes of the hostility shown towards; speaks for the last time in parliament, June 18, on the Corn trade; unable to obtain relaxation; the king sends his physician to

- attend him; death of, Aug. 8. and burial, Aug. 16, 1827, 615, 616; concludes the treaty on Grecian affairs, 616; principle of international law laid down by, 616, 617; statesmen composing his cabinet, 618; *note*, on the negotiations preceding his premiership, 619; difficulties of carrying out his Indian peace policy 627.
- Canterbury burnt by the Danes, i. 178.
- Cantium (Kent) resistance offered by the people of, to the invasions of Cæsar, i. 18.
- Canterbury fanatics. See Riots.
- Canton blockaded by the British, 1839, viii. 280; again attacked by them, 1841, 283, 284.
- Canute proclaimed king of England on the death of Sweyn in 1014, i. 180; becomes sole king on the death of Edmund in 1017, 180; exiles the sons of Edmund, 181; marries Emma, the widow of Ethelred, 181; proscriptions by, and tyranny of, 181; introduction of Danish customs by, 181; reproval of his flatterers by, 181; benefits effected by, 182; pilgrimage to Rome, in 1030, 182; death of, in 1035, 182; buried at Winchester, 183; union of the Saxon and Danish races accelerated by, 183.
- Canute, king of Denmark, invades England in 1069, and is forced to retire by William the Conqueror, i. 219, 220.
- Capital punishment, abolition of, for thefts, vii. 436, 437, 484, 598; in the years before and after the Queen's accession, viii. 223.
- Caractacus, opposition offered by, to the invasion of Plautius i. 29; continued resistance of, to the Romans, 31; defeat of, 32; speech of, at Rome while a prisoner there, probably an historical embellishment, with a foundation on facts, 32; allusion of, to the houses of the Britons, 21.
- Caradoc. See Caractacus.
- Carausius, usurpation of, A. D. 286, i. 44; naval power of Britain during the reign of, 44; murdered by Allectus, 44; probably calculated on assistance of Germans in Britain when he revolted, 55.
- Carisbrook Castle, Charles I. imprisoned in, iii. 557; he attempts to escape from, 560.
- Carleon, description of, by Giraldus Cambrensis, i. 53.
- Carleton, captain George, quotations from, illustrative of Peterborough's Spanish campaign, 1705, 1706, v. 154-160.
- Carleton, a British general; makes his way into Quebec, defending it against Montgomery and Arnold, 1775, vi. 182; defeats the Americans; retires from Quebec, 1776, 184.
- Carlisle invested by the Pretender's army, 1745, v. 524; and capture, Nov., 524; taken by the duke of Cumberland, Dec. 30, 543.
- Carlisle, earl of, removed from the lord-lieutenancy of Ireland, 1782, vi. 275; his excessive gaming, 495; first Commissioner of Woods and Forests, vii. 618; Lord Privy Seal, 1834, viii. 160.
- Carlos Don, duke of Parma. See Charles III. of Naples.
- Carlsbad, congress of, Aug. 1, 1819, viii. 204.
- Carlton House remodelled by Holland; pulled down, 1826, vii. 534.
- Carlyle, his remarks on the negro question, viii. 144, 145; on the position of the agricultural labourer in 1840, 222; on the advisability of the labouring classes emigrating, 248; his petition for the Copyright Bill, 303; character of his historical works on Cromwell and the French Revolution, 314, 315.
- Carmarthen, marquis of. See Leeds, duke of.
- Carnac, druidical remains at, i. 15.
- Carnot compels the duke of York to raise the siege of Dunkirk, 1793, vii. 15, 16; captures Charleroi; and wins the battle of Fleurus, 48; a member of the French Directory; Bonaparte submits a plan for invading Italy to, 63; escapes being arrested by, 87.
- Carnutes, Cæsar's notice of, i. 24.
- Carnwath, Robert, earl of, impeached of high treason, tried and found guilty, v. 381; but is reprieved, 382; released from prison by an act of grace, 395.
- Caroline, queen, wife of George II.; influences her husband in retaining Walpole prime minister in opposition to electing sir S. Compton, v. 430; receives a jointure of 100,000*l.*, 430; her assistance to, and influence over her husband, 431; appointed regent, 1735, during the king's absence in Hanover, 452; regards the Porteous riots of 1736 as an insult to her authority, 459; taken ill, Nov. 9, 1737; dies Nov. 20, 465; character of, 465.
- Caroline, Matilda, sister of George III., born 1751, married in 1766 to Christian VII. of Denmark; unhappy marriage; charged with infidelity, and imprisoned in Kronberg castle; rescued by the British government, vi. 148, 149; retires to the castle of Zell, Hanover, dying in 1775, 149.
- Caroline. See George IV.
- Carteaux, general, suppresses a revolt at Marseilles, vii. 19; marches against Toulon, 1793, 20, 21; replaced in his command by general Dugommier, 22.

- Carter, rear-admiral of the blue ; slain at La Hogue, 1692, iv. 562.
- Carteret, lord, secretary of state ; removed from that office, 1724 ; appointed lord-lieutenant of Ireland, v. 420 ; offers 300*l.* for the discovery of the author of the Drapier's letters, 422 ; his motion for Walpole's removal rejected, 482 ; becomes a favourite of Geo. II., 489 ; secretary of state, 1742-1744, 492, 493, vi. 139 ; controls the cabinet ; an object of attack to the opposition, v. 493 ; created earl Granville, 493 ; obtains the king's confidence, 1747 ; fails in an attempt to obtain the administration of affairs, 565 ; president of the council, 1761, vi. 62.
- Carthage, attack upon, 1741, v. 476-478.
- Cartismandua, queen of the Brigantes, betrays Caractacus to the Romans, i. 31.
- Cartwright, Dr., invents the power loom ; his anxiety on account of it ; parliamentary grant to ; number of looms employed in 1813, '33 and '56, vi. 353, 354.
- Cassano, battle of, 27 April, 1798, vii. 134.
- Cassivelaunus, or Caswallon, opposes the advance of Cæsar, i. 19.
- Castanos, general, compels the French to surrender at the battle of Baylen, 1808, vii. 272.
- Castle, John, betrays his fellow Spencean philanthropists, vii. 453-455.
- Castlereagh, lord, temporary Irish secretary, vii. 113 ; applies the secret service money to the making converts to the government measure of the Union, 122, 123 ; description of ; moves the third reading of the Union bill, 126 ; war and colonial secretary, 1807, 249 ; challenges Canning to a duel, which takes place on Wimbledon Common, Sept. 22, 1809, 302 ; cause of the quarrel, 302, 303 ; resigns, 302 ; foreign secretary, 1812, 319, 552 ; one of the plenipotentiaries at the Congress at Vienna, 1814, 411 ; his diplomacy with regard to the annexation of Saxony to Prussia, 412 ; reception by the House of Commons, 421 ; offensive declaration made by, 422 ; with Wellington he represents Great Britain at Aix-la-Chapelle, 1818, 481 ; takes part in the conferences for averting a public proceeding in the case of queen Caroline, 563 ; commits suicide, Aug. 12, 1822, 579 ; his foreign ministry, 579, 580.
- Catalonia invested by the French, 1693, iv. 166.
- Cathart, lord, death of, on his way to Carthage, 1740, v. 476.
- Cathcart, lord, commander of the land forces sent against Denmark, 1807, vii. 260 ; present at the battle of Dresden, 1813, 347.
- Cathelineau, Jaques, heads the Vendean insurgents, 1793, vii. 28 ; killed, 28.
- Catherine of Aragon. See Henry VIII. and Wolsey.
- Catherine II. of Russia, her influence procures the Polish throne for Stanislaus, vi. 143 ; refuses to aid the British against America, 179 ; begins to reign, 1762, 600 ; death of, Nov. 10, 1796, vii. 70, 359.
- Catholic Relief bills passed, Feb. 1782, vi. 274, 275, and April, 1829, viii. 32.
- Catholics, Roman, their ineligibility for civil offices, iv. 466 ; oppression of Protestants in Ireland by, 1689, 476, *et seq.* ; struggle of the, in Scotland, 483, *et seq.* ; Catholic sovereigns represented at the Hague, 1691, 528 ; treaty of Limerick allowing them certain religious privileges, 536 ; penal laws against, 1699, v. 84 ; proceedings against Popish priests, 1735, 538 ; certain laws against, repealed 1778, vi. 227 ; fanaticism against, roused ; Protestant Associations against, formed, 228 ; the Gordon riots against, 228-233 ; the relief bill passed, 1782, 274, 275 ; allowed to vote at elections, 1793 ; bill introduced for repealing all the civil disabilities of, vii. 109 ; government's desire to emancipate them, 118, 119 ; George III.'s opposition to the repeal of the disqualification laws, 152, 153 ; he requires Pitt never to support Catholic emancipation, 191, 247 ; opposes the enlistment of, 247 ; Grattan's speech on the emancipation of, 485, 486 ; partial success of the Catholic relief measure, 1821-2, 577, 578 ; state of the Catholic question ; rejection of the relief bill, 606 ; sensation caused by the duke of York's speech on the bill, 607 ; on lord Liverpool's illness the advocates for and against Roman Catholic relief marshal themselves under the leaderships of Canning and Peel ; the debate in parliament, 608, 609 ; charges against Canning respecting the Catholic question, 613, 614 ; first majority obtained (1826) in favour of their claims, viii. 25 ; this resolution is not taken into consideration, 26 ; Roman Catholic Association founded, 1823 ; dissolved during the Canning ministry ; revived, 1828 ; it secures the election of O'Connell for Clare, 26-28 ; ministerial views on Catholic Emancipation, 27, 28 ; George IV.'s unwillingness to consent to his ministers attempting to settle the question, 28, *et seq.* ; Emancipation announced by government, 29, 30 ; violent debates on the bill, 30-32 ; it receives the royal assent, April 13, 1829, 33 ; O'Connell obtains admission into parliament under the terms of the new act, 33, 34.
- Cato Street Conspiracy ; antecedents of the ringleader, Arthur Thistlewood, vii. 554 ; who forms a plan of assassinating the members of the Cabinet, 554, 555 ; plot divulged and frustrated ; trial and execution of Thistlewood and five accomplices, 556.
- Cavalier, leader of the Camisards, repels Marshal de Montreuil, and concludes

- an amnesty with Marshal Villars, 1703, v. 121; died aged, a governor of Jersey, and major-general, 122.
- Cave, starts the Gentleman's Magazine, 1731, vi. 384.
- Cavendish, lord John, censures the ministers for their conduct of the American war, vi. 261; resigns office, 1782, 280; censures the terms of peace, 290; chancellor of the exchequer, March to July, 1782, 603; April to Dec., 1783, vii. 639.
- Cavendish, sir Henry, M.P. for Lostwithiel; his reports of the debates of parliament, 1768-77, vi. 103; his remarks on parliamentary privileges, 108; quotations from his debates, 107, 108, 113, 126, 131, 136, 137.
- Caxton, William, commences printing in England, about 1474, ii. 100; prints earl Rivers' "Dictes and Sayings of Philosophers," which is presented to Edward IV., 148; notice of other works printed by, 148.
- Cecil, William, lord Burleigh, is arrested with Somerset, to whom he was secretary, ii. 537; caution of, during Northumberland's rebellion, 576; intrigues in favour of queen Mary, 578; appointed to the Privy Council on the accession of Elizabeth, iii. 13; occupations of, during the reign of Mary, 18; prepared scheme for the restoration of Protestantism, 19; principle of, with regard to the right of national intervention, 26; skill displayed by, in the treaty of Edinburgh in 1560, 31; reasons of, for refusing Mary a safe conduct from France to Scotland. 35; intrigues carried on by, at the Scottish court, 50, *et seq.*; opinions of, as to the measures to be taken with Mary of Scotland on her taking refuge in England, 72; protest of, against the duke of Norfolk being tried for high treason, 78; opinion of, as to the inefficacy of penal laws against religious beliefs, 100; advises the Council to dispatch the warrant for Mary's execution, 121; complaint of, in 1569, of the decay of obedience in the people, 181; opposes the attack on Spain in 1596, but is overruled, 192; death of, in August 1598, 207.
- Cecil, Robert, earl of Salisbury, the rival of Essex and Raleigh, iii. 210; announces to the House of Commons in 1601 the queen's intention to abate monopolies, 222; causes James I. to be proclaimed on Elizabeth's death, 238; entertains James at Theobald's, and becomes prime minister, 239; remonstrates against Coke's unfairness on Raleigh's trial, 242; compelled by James to negotiate a treaty with Spain, 250; receives a communication from lord Mounteagle, with a letter that leads to the discovery of the Gunpowder Plot, 255; lesson of, to James as to his lavishness to Carr, 274; endeavours to procure from parliament a fixed revenue for the king, 284; death of, in May, 1612, 292.
- Cemeteries, want of, in England, 1842, viii. 218.
- Cerat heads the assassins of Sept. 1792, vi. 543.
- Cevennes, the revolt of 1702-4 in the, v. 120.
- Ceylon acquired by the British vii. 626, 627.
- Chadwick, Edwin, reports of, on the state of the workhouse at St. Lawrence, Reading, viii. 153; on the sanitary condition of the labouring classes, 215-217; and on interment in towns, 218.
- Chairs, extensive manufactory of, in Buckinghamshire, vi. 306.
- Chalier, a Piedmontese; a Girondin leader; establishes the Girondin party at Lyon; his atrocities; defeated by the sections, and killed, 1793, vii. 17.
- Chalmers, Dr. Thomas, his oratorical powers; popularity of his "Astronomical Discourses" published in 1816, vii. 513; character of his political economy writings, 520.
- Chambers, Richard, resistance of, to the payment of illegal customs' duties, iii. 348; refuses to pay Ship-Money, and is imprisoned, 361.
- Chambers, sir W., one of the founders of the Royal Academy, vi. 370; his architectural designs, 381; death of, 1796, 533.
- Champion, colonel, invades the Rohilla country, 1774, vi. 428.
- Championnet, a French general, enters Palermo; abolishes royalty; establishes a republic, 1799, vii. 133.
- Chandernagore surrendered to the English, 1757, vi. 29; again captured by the English, 1778, 433.
- Chandos, marquis of, opposes the Reform bill, viii. 71; his amendment of the bill, 82.
- Channing, Dr., remarks of, on the abolition of slavery, viii. 141, 142.
- Chantrey, style of his sculpture, vii. 541, 542.
- Chapelier, guillotined, 1794, vii. 32.
- Charette, commands the Vendéans, 1793, vii. 28; resumes arms on the occasion of the Quiberon expedition, 1795, 57.
- Charlemagne, character of, i. 91; career of, 92; benefits derived by Egbert from, 93.
- Charlemont, lord, panic of his troops at Barcelona, v. 157.
- Charlemont, earl of, commander-in-chief of the Irish volunteers, 1782, vi. 275.
- Charleroi, siege and capture of, by the French, June 25, 1794, vii. 48.
- Charleroy captured by the French, 1693, iv. 583.

Charles I., visit of, when Prince of Wales to the court of Spain in 1623, to see his proposed bride, *iii.* 322; succeeds his father, March 27, 1625, 325; change in the manners of the Court on his accession, 325; marriage of, with Henrietta Maria of France, 325; favour shown to the Roman Catholics by the king, 326; the first parliament meets, and demands redress of grievances, 327; it is dissolved, 327; writs issued under the privy seal, demanding loans from private persons, 327; failure of the naval expedition against Spain, 328; meeting of the second parliament, Feb. 6, 1626, 328; it impeaches Buckingham, 328; Charles commits sir John Eliot to the Tower for his speech in the House, but releases him soon afterwards, 329; dissolves the parliament, 329; disputes of, with the Lords as to infringements on their privileges, 329; subsidies illegally levied, and harsh measures pursued against such as resist, 330; dismisses the queen's foreign attendants, 331; war with France and its causes, 333; failure of the expedition to aid the Protestants in Rochelle, 334; meeting of the third parliament on March 17, 1628, 335; debates in, on the Petition of Right, 336; gives his assent to the Act, 338; prorogues parliament on its remonstrating against his arbitrarily levying customs' duties, 338; prepares a second expedition under Buckingham to relieve Rochelle, 339; Buckingham is assassinated, 340; the expedition sails, fails in affording relief, and Rochelle surrenders, 342; parliament meets and makes fresh remonstrances against the king's arbitrary conduct, 342; progress of religious differences in the church, 343; a remonstrance carried in the Commons against popish innovations and arbitrary taxation, 344, 345; dissolves the parliament, and imprisons some of the members, 345; a force raised in Scotland to assist Gustavus Adolphus, 345; jurisdiction of the Star Chamber extended, 346; continued exactions of, during the cessation of parliaments, 346; spirited resistance of individuals to them, 348; the imprisoned members refuse to plead in the King's Bench, and are recommitted, 351; Wentworth appointed Lord President of the North and Lord Deputy of Ireland, 352; prosecutions and severe punishments of the Puritan writers Prynne and Leighton, 353, 354; republication of the Book of Sports, 356; raises money by the sale of new monopolies, 358; issues a proclamation against the increase of houses in London, and raises money, in 1633, by extorting fines to avoid their being pulled down, 359; other arbitrary proclamations, and hackney-coaches forbidden, in 1635, to pass up and down London streets, 360; first project of Ship Money, 360; the writs of Ship Money extended in 1636, 361; the judges give their opinion in favour of the writ, 361; Hampden and many others refuse to pay, 363; judgment given against him in 1637, 364; increased resistance to the payment after the judgment, 365; prosecutions of Prynne, Burton, and Bastwick for their writings, 365; patronage given by Charles to the Fine Arts, 367; despotic interference of, with private property in London, but not productive of any public improvement, 368; visit of Mary de Medicis to, in 1638, 369; employs Inigo Jones to build Whitehall, 369; note on the portraits of, by Vandyck and Mytens, 370; visit of, to Scotland in 1633, 371; with Laud endeavours to impose episcopacy on Scotland, 371; outbreak in 1637 against it, and adoption of the National Covenant, 373; meeting of the General Assembly, 376; the Covenanters take up arms, and seize Edinburgh and other places, 377; he advances to Berwick with an army, and on June 18, 1639, a pacification is agreed to, 378; calls a parliament, which insists on the discontinuance of arbitrary taxation, and the punishment of the judges, 379; it is dissolved after sitting three weeks, 379; the Convocation grants money, and passes canons that render the church still more unpopular, 379; the Covenanters assemble fresh forces in 1640, and cross the Tweed, 380; he assembles an army at York, advances to meet them, and is completely routed at Newburn near Newcastle, 381; calls a Great Council of Peers at York, 381; agrees to a truce with the Scots, 381; summons a new parliament (afterwards named the Long Parliament), which meets in November 1640, 381; character of the House of Commons, 383; difficulty of relating its history, 386; opens the sitting in a tone of conciliation, 387; Stafford is impeached by the Commons, 391; Land arrested and impeached by the Commons, 392; Finch, Windebank, and the Ship-Money judges impeached, 392; trial of Strafford, 395, *et seq.*; Charles consents to his execution, 406; assents to the Act for preventing the dissolution of the parliament without its own consent, 408; the two Houses remonstrate against the queen quitting England, and she agrees to remain, 409; the Star Chamber and High Commission courts abolished by Act of parliament, 410; visits Scotland, and endeavours to conciliate the nation, 411; breaking out of the Irish insurrection of 1641, 413; the rebels pretend to have the king's commission, 415; he returns to London, 415; Remonstrance of the Commons, 416; magnificent entertainment given to, by the corporation of the city, 418; formation of parties for the approaching struggle, 419; Hyde becomes the king's adviser, and lord Falkland is made secretary of state, 419; receives the

Remonstrance from the Commons, and publishes an answer to it, drawn up by Hyde, 419; takes up his residence at Whitehall for the Christmas of 1641, 420; popular tumults and cry against the bishops, 421; twelve bishops protest against the force used towards them, and are committed to the Tower for treason, 423; the question of the militia raised in Jan. 1642, 425; his rights invaded by the claims of the Commons, 426; refuses a guard to the Commons, and on Jan. 3, attempts to seize the Five Members, 426; despondency at Whitehall, and tumults in the city, occasioned by the attempt and its failure, 429; leaves Whitehall and goes to Hampton Court, 430; refuses his assent to the militia bill, but assents to that for excluding the bishops from the House of Lords, 431; the queen leaves England, 431; he is refused entrance into Hull, 433; some peers and commoners join him at York, 433; he receives propositions from the parliament, 434; sets up his Standard at Nottingham, 450; attempts to negotiate with the parliament, 452; but it refuses unless the king's proclamations, declaring them traitors, are withdrawn, 452; marches on Shrewsbury, and publishes a "Protestation," 456; the first encounter in the civil war takes place at Worcester, 457; want of money of, 457; Battle of Edgehill, Oct. 23, 1642, 458; marches upon London, 462; Parliamentarians defeated by the royal army at Brentford, Nov. 12, 1642, 463; the royalists retire, 464; the Londoners march to Turnham Green, and the royal army retires into winter quarters at Oxford, 465; the war spreads through England, 466; the queen lands with an army, 467; sufferings of the royalists at Oxford, 469; Reading surrenders to Essex, 471; proceedings of the war in other quarters, 471; Edmund Waller's plot, 472; defeat of the parliamentarians at Chalgrove Field, and death of Hampden, 473; the queen joins her husband, 475; various incidents of the war, 476; Rupert takes Bristol, July 27, 1643, 477; Gloucester besieged by Charles, August 10, 478; relieved by Essex, Sept. 5, 479; battle of Newbury, 480; anecdote of the king trying his fortune with lord Falkland, by the *Sortes Virgilianæ*, 483; the Scots invade England, 490; he withdraws his troops from Ireland, who are afterwards defeated at Nantwich, 490; convokes a parliament at Oxford, Jan. 22nd, 1644, 492; is besieged by Essex and Waller in Oxford, and quits the city on June 3rd, 493; defeats Waller at Cropredy Bridge, 493; marches to the relief of York, 494; but is defeated at Marston Moor, July 2, 494; the queen leaves England, 495; he is defeated at the second battle of Newbury, 496; negotiates with the parliament, 498; treaty of Uxbridge, 498; victories of Montrose, 500; battle of Naseby, June 14, 1645, 504; the king's cabinet captured and opened, 505; surrender of Bristol, 508; Basing House taken, 509; Montrose defeats an army under Baillie, 513; Charles attempts to join him, but is defeated by Poyntz at Rowton Heath, and retires to Wales, 513; Montrose defeated at Philiphaugh, 514; defeat of Digby at Sherborne, his cabinet taken, and its contents published, 515; the king sets out for Oxford, 516; desires his son to leave England, 516; overtures for pacification, 517; letter of, to the queen, 518; lord Hopton accepts the command of the western army, 519; but the army is soon after dissolved and the war terminates in that quarter, 519; Prince of Wales leaves for Scilly, 519; the king's proposal to parliament being rejected, he negotiates, through Montreuil, with the Scots to take up his cause, 522; Fairfax gradually draws his troops round Oxford, 522; injunctions of the king to his wife, 522; account of his flight given by Hudson and Ashburnham, 523; adventures on the way to the Scottish army, 524; the Scots endeavour to induce him to consent to the abolition of Episcopacy, 527; is surrendered by the Scots to the English commissioners, 528; capitulation of Oxford, 529; end of the first Civil War, 529; confinement of, at Holmby House, 530; is removed from Holmby by Joyce, 537; treatment of, by the army, 539; proposals of the Independents to, 541; he rejects them, 542; popular movement in London in favour of the king, 543; he is lodged in Hampton Court, 546; the Independents still endeavour to come to terms with, but he continues to treat with both parties, 547; a letter of, intercepted by Cromwell and Ireton, 548; escape of, from Hampton Court, 551; narrative of the escape, 552; goes to the Isle of Wight, 553; Berkeley's mission to the army, 555; Scotch and English negotiations with, 557; is imprisoned in Carisbrook Castle, 557; parliament declares against any further treaty, 558; royalist reaction, 560; and riots, 561; his attempt to escape from Carisbrook Castle, 562; lord Goring rises in favour of, 563; Scotch army enters England under the duke of Hamilton, in aid of, 563; Cromwell marches from Wales, 565; and defeats them at the battle of Preston, 565; Cromwell's victory disarranges the king's schemes, 568; treaty of Newport, 569; skill displayed by, in discussion, and appearance of, at Newport, 569; concessions made by, at Newport, 570; letter of, to his son, 571; is carried to Hurst Castle, 575; is removed to Windsor by Harrison, 578; treatment of, 578; ordinance for his trial, 579; High Court of Justice appointed, 580; the king before the High Court, 581; trial of, Bradshaw presides, 581; is sentenced to be executed, 583; sentence of condemnation, 583; behaviour of, after condemnation, 584; his execution

Jan. 30, 1649, 585; remarks on, and his execution, 585; buried at Windsor Castle in St. George's Chapel, 589.

Charles II. leaves England for Scilly in 1646, iii. 519; goes first to Jersey, then to France, and afterwards to Holland, 520; is an exile at St. Germain's, 595; is proclaimed King of Scotland at Edinburgh on Feb. 5th, 1649, 596; commissioners from Scotland to Charles at the Hague, requesting him to return to his kingdom, 596; he refuses their proposals and dismisses them, 596; urged by the marquis of Ormond to show himself in Ireland, but only goes as far as Jersey, in consequence of hearing of the fall of Drogheda, 601; double dealing of, in negotiations with the Scottish Parliament, 606; gives Montrose a commission to wage war in Scotland, 606; who is defeated at Craighenichen, by colonel Strachan, 607; consents to proposals of Scottish Commissioners, and goes to Scotland, 610; political morality of, 610; supposed influence of the preachers upon the character of, 610; made to sign a declaration against Popery and Heresy in the Scottish camp, 612; battle of Dunbar, 614; crowned at Scone, 616; marches into England at the head of a Scotch army, 617; issues proclamations, 617; battle of Worcester, in which he is totally defeated by Cromwell, 618; escape and adventures of, 621; and return to France, 625; settles at Cologne, and prepares for a landing in England, iv. 69; Wilmot organizes a general insurrection throughout England in favour of; which fails through Cromwell's politic measures, 71; dissoluteness of, at Cologne, 82; Sludercomb's plot in favour of, discovered, 89; meets his brother James at Calais, intending to proceed to England, 111; endeavours to persuade Monk to join his cause, 112; court of, at Breda, 122; the Presbyterians send a deputation to him, to offer the crown on conditions, 123; Monk gives in his adhesion to his cause, 124; letter of Charles to the new parliament in April, 1660, and Declaration from Breda, 126, 132; meeting of the Convention Parliament on April 25, 1660, 127; debates in the House on the Bill of Indemnity, 127; the regicides and others excepted from it, 128; he embarks for England, 128; and lands at Dover, 130; enters London amidst the general joy of the people, 131; the Convention Parliament declares the Long Parliament to be dissolved, and abolish knight-service and purveyance, 135; a large revenue settled on the Crown, 136; money granted to enable him to disband the army, 137; difficulties as to the Church and Crown lands, 139; Act of Indemnity and Oblivion passed, 140; number of exceptions to it, 140; the regicides tried and executed, 142; insulting disinterment of Cromwell, Ireton, Bradshaw, and Blake, 143; the king's declaration respecting Episcopacy, 144; a bill, founded on the declaration of Breda, brought into the House, and rejected, 145; the Convention Parliament dissolved, Dec. 29, 1660, 145; the Navigation Act, and a Post-Office Act, re-enacted by the House before its dissolution, 145; insurrection of the Fifth-Monarchy men in April, 1661, suppressed, 146; proclamation of, against Quakers, Anabaptists, and other sectaries, 146; the coronation, 146; a new parliament meets on May 8, and ultra-royalism of its character, 148; provisions of, for strengthening the prerogatives of the Crown, 151; passing of the Corporation Act, 152; and of the Act of Uniformity, 152; attempts to force Episcopacy upon Scotland, 154; the urgency of parliament for more punishments of the Revolutionists resisted by Charles and Clarendon, 156; Vane and Lambert tried and condemned for high treason, 157; letter of the king, approving of Vane being "put out of the way," on account of his defence, 157; marriage of, to Catherine of Braganza, 158; presents his mistress, lady Castlemaine, to the queen, 159; sells Dunkirk to the French, 160; profligacy of the king and Court, 160; a dispensing power to relax the penal laws in ecclesiastical matters denied him by the parliament, 164; the Triennial Act repealed, 164; war with Holland commenced in 1665, 164; first appearance of the great Plague in 1665, 165, *et seq.*; the Five-Mile Act passed against the non-conformists, 171; the Settlement Act of 1662 passed, 172; naval fight of four days with the Dutch, 175; the Licensing Act and restrictions on the Press, 177; the Great Fire of London, 178, *et seq.*; the king and duke of York set examples of activity, 181; change in the temper of parliament towards, 186; commissioners appointed to examine the public accounts, 186; his disgraceful state of indebtedness, 188; insurrection of the Covenanters in Scotland, suppressed by Dalziel, 190; state of the navy in 1666, 190; insurrection of the sailors for want of pay, 193; the Dutch attack and burn English ships in the Medway, in 1667, 194; peace concluded with Holland, 195; Clarendon deprived of office, 195; formation of the Cabal ministry, 200; character of the ministry, 201; principles of, and conduct of the king, 202; his desire to imitate Louis XIV., 203; general corruption of political parties, 203; constitutional restraints against his becoming despotic, 205; movements of the Cabal in favour of toleration towards non-conformists, 205; the House of Commons negative proposals for a union of Protestants, 206; conclusion of the Triple Alliance between England, Holland, and Sweden, in 1668, 206; the duchess of Orleans visits England, 208; secret treaty concluded with

Louis XIV., on May 22, 1670, for the conquest of Holland, and the receipt of a pension, 209; the Commons vote a grant for a war against France, 209; the Conventicle Act renewed, 209; renewed persecution of non-conformists, 211; the king's French concubine, 212; growing discontent of the House of Commons at the king's foreign policy, 212; mutilation of sir John Coventry, and passing of the Coventry Act, 213; attempt of Blood to steal the regalia, 214; he is pardoned and pensioned by the king, 214; the shutting up of the Exchequer, 215; public alliance with France, and war with Holland, 216; Declaration of Indulgence in religion issued by, 220; unpopularity of the measure, 223; the parliament declares that penal statutes cannot be suspended but by Act of parliament, 221; the Declaration is withdrawn, and the Test Act passed, 222; the Commons address the king against the marriage of the duke of York with Maria Beatrix of Modena, and they vote standing armies, the alliance with France, and the ministry, to be grievances, 223; they are prorogued, the Cabal ministry is broken up, and that of the earl of Danby formed, 224; composition of the House of Commons in 1673, 224; peace made with Holland, 226; enormous sums lavished by the king on the duchess of Portsmouth, 227; receipt of a pension from France by, 227; the licences of coffee-houses withdrawn, in order to suppress seditious discourse, in 1675, 229; they are reopened, 229; parliament meets, and Buckingham, Shaftesbury, and two other peers, are sent to the Tower for maintaining that the long prorogation amounted to a dissolution, 230; the king obtains a large grant from the Commons by means of bribery, 230; the prince of Orange arrives in England, in 1677, and marries the princess Mary, 232; contentions between the parliament and the king, 233; the statute inflicting the punishment of death for heresy repealed in 1678, 235; personal popularity of the king, 236; announcement of Titus Oates's Popish Plot, 236; excitement produced, and progress of the development, 237; murder of sir Edmondbury Godfrey, 238; the Commons pass an Act to exclude Catholics from both Houses, 239; the king's notion of the Plot, and his support of the queen against Oates's accusation, 241; sweeping committal of prisoners, and chief justice Scroggs's intemperate behaviour on their trial, 242; convictions obtained on doubtful or insufficient evidence, 242; the ultimate effects of the plot, 243; disclosure to the public of the secret treaty between Charles and Louis XIV., 244; the king's letters read in the House of Commons, 245; indignation of the House, and Danby is impeached, 245; the parliament is dissolved, in 1679, and great excitement produced by the election of a new one, 246; the king affirms the illegitimacy of the duke of Monmouth, to satisfy his brother, before he consents to leave England 248; a Cabinet government, on a scheme of sir. W. Temple's, appointed to succeed Danby, 248; the Exclusion bill read twice in the House of Commons, the Habeas Corpus Act passed, and the House prorogued, 251; and dissolved, 251; fresh persecutions and convictions on account of the Popish Plot, 252; persecutions of the Covenanters in Scotland, 254; murder of archbishop Sharpe, 257; insurrection in Scotland, 257; Monmouth sent to quell it, 253; battle of Bothwell Bridge, 253; origin of the terms *Whig* and *Tory*, 259; he is attended by James and the duke of Monmouth, rivals for the succession, on his illness in 1679, 260; sends Monmouth to Flanders, and James to Scotland, 260; alterations of, at Windsor Castle 263; is urged by the duchess of Portsmouth and others to name the duke of Monmouth his successor, 265; the Bill of Exclusion again brought into the House, 265; it is passed, and carried to the House of Lords by lord William Russell, where it is rejected, 267; the earl of Stafford convicted and executed for participation in the Popish Plot, 268; levity of the king's behaviour, 270; the parliament impeaches chief justice Scroggs, 270; the parliament dissolved and another summoned to meet at Oxford, 270; he concludes a new treaty with France, and receives a fresh subsidy, 271; the Oxford parliament again introduce the Bill of Exclusion, 272; the House of Lords refuse to receive the impeachment of Fitzharris, 272; the House of Commons is suddenly dissolved, after sitting seven days, on March 28, 1681, 273; the king's Declaration, and the Whig Vindication, 274; trial of Plunket, the Roman Catholic archbishop of Armagh, for a Popish Plot, and of Stephen College for an attempt to seize the king's person, 274; both are convicted and hanged, 274; Dryden produces his "Absalom and Achitophel," after Shaftesbury's arrest for high treason, 276; Shaftesbury is indicted, and the grand jury throw out the bill, 276; manœuvres of the Court to obtain the nomination of a sheriff of London, 277; the army establishment, 281; a *quo warranto* against the Corporation of London, which submits to conditions, 282; other corporations surrender their charters, 283; trial and acquittal of Count Königsmark for the murder of Thomas Thynne, 284; satisfaction of the king at the acquittal, 284; the Rye-House Plot, 284; trials and executions of lord William Russell and Algernon Sidney, 286, 289; persecution of the Covenanters in Scotland, 289; the University

- of Oxford publish a decree against seditious books, and its members preach passive obedience, 290; Evelyn's account of the king's amusements on Sunday, Feb. 1, 1685, 291; he is struck with apoplexy on Feb. 2, 291; receives the rites of the Roman Catholic Church, and dies on Feb. 6, 292; Chelsea Hospital, as founded by, 292.
- Charles V., the emperor, succeeds his grandfather Ferdinand in 1516, ii. 262; is chosen emperor in 1519, 269; meets Henry VIII. at Gravelines, and propitiates Wolsey, 273; leagues with Henry VIII. against Francis I. in 1521, 279; wins the battle of Pavia in 1525, and takes Francis prisoner, 289; releases Francis on very hard terms, 294; war of, against Pope Clement VII., 294; attack and sack of Rome by the troops of, under the Constable de Bourbon, 296; character of the armies at Rome, 297; is crowned emperor in 1530, 235; opposes the annulling of Henry VIII.'s marriage with Catherine, 325; objects to the removal of the divorce suit from Rome, 333; caution of, in negotiating the marriage of Philip with Mary, 586; abdicates the crown in Oct. 1555, 629; dies, 640.
- Charles Albert of Sardina succeeds to the throne after Charles Felix, 1831, viii. 68.
- Charles of Lorraine commands the Austrians; checks the French in Bohemia, 1742; v. 487; expels the French from Germany, 492; commands the Austrians at Leuthen, 1757, vi. 38.
- Charles II., of Spain and Naples, represented at the Hague, 1691, iv. 527; his early life and feebleness; the question of his succession, v. 71, 72; tormented by intriguing agents, 92; alters his will in favour of France, and dies Nov. 1, 1700, 93, 264.
- Charles III., of Spain and Naples; as Don Carlos, duke of Parma, he invades Lombardy, Naples, and Sicily; crowned king of Naples and Sicily, v. 446; reigned in Spain, 1759-88, vi. 600; and in Naples, 1734-59, 600.
- Charles, IV., of Spain, began to reign, 1788, vi. 600; concludes the treaty of Fontainebleau with Napoleon, 265; abdicates the crown, 1808, 268, vii. 637.
- Charles, archduke, of Austria; emperor VI. of Germany; his claim to the Spanish throne supported by England; his visit to Anne's court, 1703, v. 124; lands at Lisbon, 151; proclaimed as Charles III., of Spain, at Denia; he presses for the siege of Barcelona, 156; his partial success in Catalonia, 158; his incompetency; besieged in Barcelona, 1706, 160, 161; his inactivity in marching on Madrid, 161, 162; Peterborough's disgust of, 162; his Spanish claims acknowledged by the Estates of Brabant, 174; sustains reverses, 1707, 197; obtains a few successes; enters Madrid, Sept. 1710, 239; discord amongst his allies; defeat at Villa Vieiosa; cause hopeless, 240, 241; elected emperor, Oct. 8, 1711, 252, 603; died Oct. 20, 1740, 481.
- Charles VII., emperor of Germany; as elector of Bavaria he disputes Maria Theresa's succession to the German throne, v. 485; enters Bohemia; takes Prague; crowned king of Bohemia and emperor of Germany; his capital city, Munich, taken by his opponents, 487; died at Munich, 1745, 494.
- Charles X., of France; count D'Artois, brother of Louis XVI.; leaves France, 1789, vi. 482; ready to return in arms, 511; urges the emperor of Germany and king of Prussia, to rescue Louis, 297; prepares an expedition, but abandons it, and returns to England, 1795, vii. 58; signs a convention with the Allies for the cessation of hostilities, 1814, 356; ascends the throne, 1824, viii. 42, 68; government, consecration, and inconsistency of, 42, 43; his differences with the Chamber of Deputies, 44; promulgates the royal ordinances, 1830, 46; the people are roused, 46-50; his wavering conduct, 50, 51; loses his crown, July, 1830, 51; his movements, 52; abdicates in favour of his grandson; compelled to leave France; takes refuge in England, 53.
- Charles XII., of Sweden; his energy in warring against Denmark, whose navy, with admiral Rooke's assistance, he succeeds in driving back into Copenhagen, v. 86; concludes a peace with England, 86; his career from 1700 to 1707, 197, 198; visited by the duke of Marlborough, 198; account of the interview, 198; invades Russia; defeats the native army near the Beresina; and at Smolensko; receives a signal defeat from the Czar Peter the Great at the battle of Pultowa, July 8, 1709, 214, 215; succeeds Charles XI., 603; reappears in history, after a seclusion of five years, 1714, 391; defeated at Stralsund, 391; and slain at Frederickshall, 1718, 401.
- Charles XIV., of Sweden, J. J. Bernadotte; serves in the army under Jourdan, vii. 49; joins Bonaparte with an army, 86, opposes any change in the constitution of France, 1799, 135; commands the army of Hanover, 1805, 296; attacked by Benningsen at Pultusk, Dec. 1807, 249; installed crown prince of Sweden, Nov. 1, 1810, 315; alienates himself from Bonaparte and joins Alexander of Russia, 338, 339; defeated Oudinot at Gross Beeren, Aug. 23, 1813, 348; and Ney at Dennewitz, Sept. 6, 348, 349; his further opposition to the French 349; becomes king of Sweden, 1818, viii. 268.

- Charleston besieged by the British; capitulates, May 12, 1780, vi. 234, 235.
- Charlotte, Queen, princess of Mecklenberg; colonel Græme's favourable report of her induces George III. to choose her for his queen, vi. 57; he marries her, Sept. 8, 1761; her appearance and character, 58; coronation of the king and queen, Sept. 22, 59; entrusted with the care of the king, 1810, vii. 313; death of, Nov. 17, 1818, 481.
- Charlotte, princess; public hopes of her marriage with Leopold, which occurs May 2, 1816, vii. 424; grief at her death, Nov. 6, 1817, 474, 475; admiration of her conduct, and sympathy with her husband; her dissension with the prince Regent; he causes an inquiry into her conduct; she refuses to marry the prince of Orange, 475; flies to the princess of Wales; her character, 476.
- Charnock joins with Barclay and others in the design against William's life, 1696, v. 27; the plot is discovered and he is captured, Feb. 22; tried, 30, 31; found guilty and executed, 31.
- Charter, the people's, principles of, viii. 249; parliamentary debate on, 1842, 312.
- Charter house, trial and execution of the prior and some of the monks of, for refusing to take the oath of supremacy to Henry VIII., ii. 359, 360; continued persecution of the remainder, 361.
- Chartism, its prevalence among the labouring classes, viii. 247, 248; principles advocated by the Chartists, 248, 249; Chartist riots, 1839, 253; revival of, 1848, 423, 424; Chartist designs crushed by the government preparations, 423, 424.
- Chartreuse, Castle of, surrenders to Marlborough, 1702, v. 115.
- Chatham, William Pitt, earl of, speaks in parliament, 1736, v. 461; gentleman of the bedchamber to the Prince of Wales, 1738, 468; denounces the convention with Spain, 1739, 472; supports the grant for continuing the war in Flanders, 1744, 493; speaks against the amendment for securing the independence of the Commons, 520; obtains office under the Pelham administration, 1747, 565; the king refuses to nominate him secretary-at-war; appointed vice-treasurer of Ireland; then paymaster general; integrity in the discharge of his office, 567; chagrined at not obtaining the secretary of state seals in 1754; marries lady Grenville, and retires to Hayes, 590; his outburst against the levity of the House of Commons; deserted by Fox, 591; Newcastle offers him a seat in the Cabinet, and the probability of having the secretary of state seals, to support the subsidies; refuses the offer, 600; opposition speech, Nov. 13, 1755; dismissed from his paymaster office, Nov. 20, 601, 602; advocates an increased army, vi. 13; opposes the bringing over foreign troops to England, 14; refuses to agree to a coalition with Fox; appointed secretary of state, 1756, 21; adopts Duncan Forbes' suggestion of enlisting Highlanders into the service, 22; supports the Prussian subsidy, 23; endeavours to save adm. Byng; dismissed from office, 1757, 25; king's dislike to; Cumberland urges the dismissal of; freedom of London presented to; Newcastle refuses to hold power with, 25, 26; "Mr. Pitt's administration;" Newcastle made first lord of the treasury, and Pitt secretary of state, having also the ministerial power, 26; has the whole conduct of the war and of foreign affairs, 33; energy in conducting the latter, 39, 40; his lavishness and energy, 42; appoints Wolfe to the command of the Quebec expedition; invites him to dinner; Wolfe's declamatory conduct, 42; moves that a public monument be erected in honour of Wolfe, 48; his vigorous prosecution of the war during the conference for peace, 59; promises Frederick of Prussia his assistance, 60; breaks off the negotiations with France; advocates war against Spain; his measure defeated by the court party, 61; resigns his seals of secretary of state, Oct. 5, 1761; his conduct in his interview with the king; receives a pension of £3,000; and wife made a baroness; storm of ridicule and abuse against; joy at his fall, 62, 63; his popularity undiminished, 63; his German policy attacked by Grenville, 63; his calmness during the receipt of col. Barré's insolent speech; the new ministry adopt his plans, 64, 65; his patriotic outbreak in parliament; success of his projected expedition against Martinique, 66; and Havanuah, 66; dissatisfied with the conditions of the peace of Paris, 1763; states that "that spirit which has made us what we are" compensates for the increase in the national debt, 68; negotiations for his return to power fail, 75; the king again offers him the premiership; his terms, 88; earl Temple persuades him to give up the negotiation; again applied to, but declines the post, 89; speech in favour of repealing the American Stamp Act, arguing that a parliament has no right to impose a tax on a colony not represented in it, 91; his reply to Grenville's defence, 92; huzzaed for his conduct in the Stamp Act Repeal Bill, 97; forms a ministry; lord privy seal and earl of Chatham, 1766; composition of his ministry; his political plans, 98; defends the order for laying an embargo on corn; forces on a parliamentary inquiry into the East India Company's affairs; his Cabinet becomes disordered, 100; illness and incapacity for business; stated to be a sham, 101; loses his popularity; helpless condition of mind and body; resigns his post; retires to Burton-Pynsent; the struggles of his ministry, 102; his remarks upon the king's

- address on opening parliament, 118 ; his reply to lord Mansfield's speech, 118, 119 ; announces his union with the Rockingham party ; his plan of parliamentary reform, 122 ; warns the Americans not to carry their liberty notions too far, 128 ; his strong approbation of Beckford's speech, 134 ; remarks on the publication of the parliamentary debates, 137 ; advises government to be moderate and prudent, 158 ; his speech on America, 160 ; moves the withdrawal of troops from Boston 165 ; urges government to adopt measures of conciliation, 165 ; his speech on Gage's conduct, 168 ; withdraws his son from the British army, 182 ; his memorandum concerning America ; recovers his health slightly after a two years' illness, 1774, 196 ; occupies his seat in the House of Lords ; sensation produced by his reappearance ; his speech ; moves for an address to the king advising that a stop be put to the American hostilities, 197 ; his speech for moving an amendment upon the address ; inveighs against calling in the aid of foreign princes and Indians, 202, 203 ; lord Suffolk replies, defending the employment of Indians, 203 ; Chatham counter-replies in an invective against their employment, 203 ; lord North presses the king to apply to, to aid in supporting the administration ; the king's dislike towards, 208 ; national feeling towards, 209 ; description of his appearance, 1778 ; speaks against the duke of Richmond's address, 210 ; description of this his last speech, 209, 210 ; swoons while making an effort to address the House a second time, 210 ; the accident throws the House into confusion, 210 ; death of, at Hayes, May 11, 1778 ; funeral and monuments, 211.
- Chatham, John, earl of, commands the expedition to the Scheldt, 1809, v. 292, 293 ; his indolence, 295 ; censured ; succeeded by lord Mnlgrave, as master general of the ordnance, 304.
- Chaucer's descriptions of the social state of England, *temp.* Edward III., i. 534, 535 ; costume described by, 535, 536 ; manners of the people, 536 ; satire of, on the state of the Church, 543 ; characteristics of, and his influence on English literature, 558, 559.
- Chaumont, treaty of, March 1, 1814, vii. 389, 643.
- Chauvelin, French ambassador in England, 1792 ; himself and suite received with reserve and coldness, vi. 522, 523 ; correspondence with lord Grenville, 529, 566, 567.
- Chelsea hospital built by Wren, v. 340.
- Cherbourg taken by the British, August 8, 1754, vi. 39.
- Cheshire, its agricultural condition, vi. 325.
- Chesterfield, lord, his speech against the bill for licensing plays, 1737, v. 468 ; lord lieutenant of Ireland, 1744 ; sent on a mission to the Hague to obtain the duke of Cumberland's appointment to the command of the confederate armies, 495 ; opposes the bill making it high treason to hold correspondence with the Pretender's sons, 497 ; with lord Mansfield's assistance he carries through the bill for reforming the calendar, 1751, 578 ; secretary of state, 1746-8, vi. 139.
- Chevalier, P., account of Druidical remains in Brittany by, i. 16.
- Chevalier St. George. See Stuart, James.
- Cheyte Sing, rajah of Benares ; unable to comply with Hastings's extortionate demands, he is arrested, vi. 436 ; the native population release him and compel Hastings to fly ; routed and deposited by Popham, 436.
- Chimney tax. See Hearth money.
- China, war with, viii. 278-286.
- Cholera in England, 1831, viii. 95 ; precautions taken against it, 97-99.
- Choiseul, duke de, administers the foreign affairs of France ; his negotiations with England, vi. 59 ; breaks them off, and signs the Family Compact with Spain, 61 ; desires a rupture with England ; sudden fall from power, 142.
- Chollet, battle of, Sept. 1793, vii. 29.
- Christchurch gateway, Oxford, built by Wren, v. 340.
- Christian VII. of Denmark, marries Caroline of England, 1766 ; his character ; allows her and his ministers to be arrested, vi. 148, 149 ; ascends the throne, 1766, 600 ; succeeded by Frederick VI. 1808, vii. 637.
- Christin Church in Britain, doctrinal dissensions in the, in the fourth century, i. 65 ; influence of, in Roman Britain, 66 ; disunited character of, 74 ; suppression of the Pelagian heresies in, as related by Bede, 74 ; conference and disagreement of with Augustin, 84, 85.
- Christianity, first appearance and decadence of, in Britain, i. 25 ; doubtful statement of the introduction of, into Britain, by Lucius, who reigned A.D. 180, 63 ; certainly established in Britain as early as the beginning of the fourth century 63 ; persecution of, under Diocletian and Maximian, 64.
- Chun Castle, an early British work, i. 22.
- Chunda Sahib attacks Mahomed Ali, v. 594 ; killed, 596.
- Church, corrupt state of, in the time of Henry II., i. 311 ; state of, under Edward III., 542, 543 ; attempts of Wycliffe to reform, 544 ; ill effects produced by its privileges of "benefit of clergy," and of sanctuary, *temp.* Henry VII., ii. 231, 232 ;

- position of, in the early part of the reign of Henry VIII., 264; the immunities of the clergy offensive to the laity, 264; persecution of Richard Hunne for heresy, and for having Wycliffe's books, 265; the Londoners declared by the bishop to be in "favour of heretical wickedness," 265; the king maintains his prerogative against the claims of the clergy, 266; the clergy in Convocation, participate with the Commons in the resistance to Henry the VIII.'s demand for a large subsidy, 285; archbishop Usher's scheme for the reformation of, iii. 424; conference of the bishops with the Puritan divines at the Savoy, in 1661, iv. 147; earnest desire of Clarendon to re-establish, in its ancient splendour, 148; resistance offered by, to the efforts of James II. to introduce Roman Catholics, 329; archbishop Sancroft and six bishops petition to be excused compliance with the king's order for the clergy to read in churches the declaration for liberty of conscience, 351; they are committed to the tower, 353; brought before the King's Bench to plead, and are held to bail, 354; tried for a seditious libel and acquitted, 355; public rejoicing at their acquittal, 355; the bishops refuse king James's request that they would sign a declaration of abhorrence against the proceedings of William, prince of Orange, 367; establishment of societies for religious instruction, v. 43; feud between the, and the dissenters, 1702, 117, 118; cry of "the church in danger" raised, 1705, 168, 169; provision made at the union of the kingdoms for the Scottish, 190; the leniency of Dr. Sacheverel's sentence regarded as a triumph of the high, 226; popular disposition in favour of the, 230; Anne determines to uphold and encourage the established English, 1710, 237; schism in the Scottish Kirk; they resent the English government's interference in their affairs, 1736, 460; Burke raises the cry of the church in danger, 1790, vi. 506; a "more evangelical spirit" among churchmen, vii. 511; chief details of the bill for the reform of the Irish; it is passed, 1833, viii. 138; the Irish church, 137, 138; appropriation of the temporal possessions of the Irish protestant church proposed, 1834, 160; Lord Russell's resolution to the same effect, 1835, 174; the "Disruption" in the Scotch church, 351, 352; the Free General Assembly constituted; the United Presbyterian Church formed, 352; effects of the Act for increasing spiritual instruction in populous districts, 353.
- Church rates, proposal by government to abolish, defeated, 1837, viii. 198.
- Churchill, Charles, assists Wilkes in the "North Briton," 1762, vi. 71; attacks Bute in his "Prophecy of Famine," 1763, 72; defends Wilkes against Hogarth, 78; Hogarth's portrait of, 78.
- Churchill, George, assists his brother the duke of Marlborough at the battle of Blenheim, v. 145.
- Chusan Islands captured and evacuated by the British, viii. 282, 283.
- Cibber, sculpture executed by, v. 332, 344.
- Cider tax repealed, 1766, vi. 98.
- Cintra, convention of, 1808, vii. 274, 642.
- Cities and towns of England, condition of, *temp.* Richard I., i. 358.
- Ciudad Rodrigo taken by the French, 1810, vii. 309; and recaptured by Wellington, Jan. 19, 1812, vii. 330.
- Clarence, George, brother of Edward IV., created duke of, in 1461, ii. 125; marries Isabel, daughter of the earl of Warwick, 133; joins Warwick in a rising against Edward, 134; Edward taken prisoner, but escapes, 135; is defeated at Stamford, and escapes to France with Warwick, 135, 136; is reconciled to his brother, 140; is accused of participating in the murder of prince Edward, 144; endeavours to obtain in marriage the heiress of Burgundy, but is opposed by Edward, 153; is accused of treason, and convicted; dies in the tower, Feb. 1478, 153; no foundation for the statements of his drowning, or that Gloucester was implicated in his death, 154.
- Clarence, William, duke of. See William IV.
- Clarendon, Constitutions of, passed in 1164, i. 318, 320; the pope refuses to confirm them, 320.
- Clarendon (sir Edward Hyde) earl of, becomes the adviser of Charles I. in 1641, iii. 419; draws up the answer to the Remonstrance of the Commons, 419; the Presbyterian party endeavour to obtain his expulsion from office as a condition of the restoration, iv. 124; the Declaration from Breda written by, 126; double dealing of, 138, 141; address of, as chancellor, to the parliament, 140; earnest desire to re-establish the Church of England, 148; maintains the principle of the Act of Oblivion and Indemnity, 149; marriage of his daughter to the duke of York, and his singular conduct, 150; opposes the desire of parliament for more punishments, 155; advises the sale of Dunkirk to the French, 160; the populace accuse him of having been bribed, 160; is deprived of office in 1667, 195; character of, 196; he is impeached on Nov. 12, leaves the country, and is banished by an Act, Dec 29 1677; settles at Montpellier in France, 193.
- Clarct. See Wine.

- Clarke, Mrs., The duke of York's favourite; allowed to tamper with military matters, vii. 281, 282.
- Clarkson, Thomas, his zealous efforts to obtain the abolition of the slave trade, vii. 232, 233.
- Claudius, invasion of Britain by, i. 28, 29; joins Plautus, takes Camalodunum and returns to Rome, 29.
- Clausel, gen., commands the French at Salamanca after Marmont's death, vii. 336.
- Claverhouse (John Graham, of) cruelties of, towards the Covenanters, iv. 254; is defeated by them at Drumclog in 1679, 257; behaviour of, at the battle of Bothwell Bridge, 258; causes Brown, "the Christian carrier" to be shot, and insults his wife, 260.
- Clavering, gen., a member of the council of Calcutta, vi. 429; claims the governor-generalship, 431; and assumes its powers; he is compelled to give it up; death of, 432.
- Claviere, minister of finance, 1792, vi. 531; quarrels with Brissot, 232; resumes his office, 540.
- Clay, Henry, an American plenipotentiary for signing the peace of Ghent, vii. 383.
- Clement XIII., pope, 1758-1769, vi. 600; defends the Jesuits, 145.
- Clement XIV.; he suppresses the Jesuits, 1773, vi. 145; pope 1769-1774, 600.
- Clergy, the position and condition of, in the 15th century, ii. 94; intercourse of, with the laity, 94; payment of curates, 95; dislike of, to the monastic orders, 96; influence of domestic chaplains, 96; influence of, in the making of wills, 97, 98; injurious effects of the "benefit of clergy" upon the morals of the people, *temp.* Henry VII., 230; increased dissatisfaction of the people with the oppressions of, 317; statutes passed in the parliament of 1529 against ecclesiastical abuses, 318; resistance offered by them to the passing of, 320; visited with heavy penalties for submitting to Wolsey as legate, 333; Act abolishing the payment of annates by, to the see of Rome, carried into effect in 1533, 335, 336; ordered to preach at Paul's cross that the pope hath no authority in the realm, 349; visitation of the monasteries ordered in 1535, 567; delinquencies of the monks, 371; dissoluteness of the inmates of religious houses exposed by the visitation of commissioners, 422; occasional exceptions, 422; character of the parochial clergyman, 507; married clergy expelled from their livings in 1554, 601; increased severity of the persecution against married clergymen, 617; state of, at the commencement of the civil war in 1642, iii. 438; number ejected from their livings on the adoption of the Presbyterian Covenant, in 1643, 488; legal provision for, not interfered with during the Civil War, iv. 138; the ejected ministers restored in 1660, 138; income of the clergy compared with that of other grades of society, 450; their condition and character, *temp.* Q. Anne, 451; exhorted by Burnet to "labour more," 452; divisions among the clergy regarding the Comprehension Bill and the reforming the liturgy, 1689, 466; introduction of the terms High and Low Church, about 1689, 468; the episcopal clergy of Scotland "rabbed;" and turned out of their livings by the Covenanters, 484; protestant clergy of Ireland deprived of their benefices, seek refuge in England, where they are aided by public subscriptions, and appointed to lectureships, &c.; their property in Ireland is transferred to the Roman Catholics, 495; division in the Church, 502; Queen Anne restores the "first fruits and tenths," of all preferments, to the church for the benefit of the; it is popularly known as "Queen Anne's Bounty," v. 128; the English clergy, 1737-1783; their disregard of morality; neglect of their sacred functions, vi. 411, 412; their conviviality, card-playing, and apathy, 413; Cowper's description of the clergyman of his time, vii. 500.
- Clerk, John, of Eldin, his claim to the idea of breaking the line of battle at sea considered, vi. 264.
- Clinton, sir Henry, appointed commander of the British army in America, 1778, vi. 212; sails from New York; invests Charleston, which capitulates, May 12, 1780; returns to New York leaving Cornwallis in command, 235; Washington's letter to, remonstrating against Cornwallis's severities, 235; his reply, 236; accepts Arnold's treacherous overture; and opens a secret correspondence with him, 237; demands André's release, 238.
- Clive, Robert, lord; general in the Indian Army, which he enters as ensign, v. 593; captain; attacks and takes Arcott; besieged therein by Rajah Sahib, 594; who, however, is compelled to raise the siege, 594; Clive takes Trichinopoly; returns to England; marries Miss Maskelyne; appointed governor of Fort St. David, and lieutenant-colonel, 1755, 595, 596; returns to Fort St. David, June; appointed to the command of the expedition against Surajah Dowlah; retakes Calcutta, Jan. 2, 1757; his successful night attack frightens the subahdar into a peace; enters into an alliance with him; Chandernagore taken from the French; his unscrupulous policy towards Omichund, vi. 29;

- his own defence of this conduct in 1773; defeats Surajah Dowlah at Plassey, June 23, 29, 30; installs Meer Jaffer into the subahdarship of Bengal; his character; establishes the British ascendancy in India, 31; governor and commander-in-chief of Bengal; establishes the British power in India, 153; returns to England, 1767, 153.
- Closter-seven, convention of, Oct. 22, 1757, agreed to by the duke of Cumberland, vi. 36, 601; the king refuses to ratify it, 38.
- Cloth. See Wool: Yorkshire: Leeds.
- Clothing, dearness and scarcity of, in the 15th century, ii. 91.
- Club-life, picture of, early part of George III.'s reign, vi. 404, 405.
- Coal, practice of melting iron with coal introduced by lord Dudley, 1619, iv. 396; extensive fields of, in South Wales, 398; Newcastle trade in, and enormous consumption of, by London, in queen Anne's time, 415, 416; cruelties inflicted on women and children employed in coal mines, viii. 220, 221.
- Coal-trade to France, and restrictions on exportation, in the reign of Henry VIII., ii. 498.
- Cobbett, William, his partiality for the Wiltshire Downs, vi. 318; attributes the panic of 1816 to the scarcity of corn, vii. 430; advocates parliamentary reform; sudden influence of his writings amongst the labouring classes in 1816, 449, 450; flies to America, and ceases to publish his Register for four months, 464; personal appearance of; takes his seat on the Treasury bench in the Commons, 1833, viii. 126, 127; his speech against appointing Mr. Sutton speaker, 127; against Agnew's Sabbath observance bill, 132; his intemperate motion to dismiss Peel from the Privy Council as the author of the currency bill of 1819, rejected, 136.
- Cobden, Richard, insists upon the total repeal of the corn duties, viii. 339; his speech laying the responsibility for the country's distress on Sir R. Peel, 347; his speech on moving for an inquiry into the extent of the agricultural distress; his motion rejected, 381.
- Cobenzel, Austrian plenipotentiary, at Udine, 1797; Bonaparte's simile with his tea service, vii. 91, 92.
- Cobham, lord, captures Vigo, 1719, v. 403.
- Coburg, prince of, commands the French at Neerwinden, 1793, vi. 590; his contradictory proclamations, 591; commands the allied army, vii. 13; driven over the Sambre by Jourdan, 27.
- Cockburn, admiral, with general Ross, incite the American negroes to revolt, 1814, vii. 376.
- Codrington, sir Edward, admiral in command of the allied fleet; interferes with Turkey's conduct towards Greece, viii. 14, 15; gains the battle of Navarino, 15.
- Coercion Bill for Ireland, viii. 131-135; becomes law, April 2, 1833, 135; proposed renewal of, not carried, 1834, 162; passed in a modified form, 163.
- Coffee, tax on, 17th century, iv. 428.
- Coffee-houses, vi. 397, 398.
- Cogidubnus, British legate at Chichester, under the Roman empire, i. 52.
- Cohorn, engineer of the States-general; besieged in Namur, 1692, iv. 564; wounded, 564; aids in recapturing Namur, 1695, v. 19; aids Marlborough in his campaign of 1702, 115; with him plans the capture of Antwerp, 122.
- Coifi, chief priest of the Northumbrian Saxons, converted by Paulinus, destroys the idols, i. 89.
- Coinage. See Money.
- Coins, ancient British, i 26, *note*.
- Coke, Mr., his agricultural improvements of Norfolk, vi. 301, 302.
- Coke, sir Edward, bitterness of his speech as attorney-general against the earl of Essex, iii. 218; brutality of his conduct when prosecuting Raleigh, 242; as lord chief justice, issues a warrant to apprehend the earl of Somerset for the murder of Overbury, 300; dissatisfaction of James with his conduct on the trial, 303; opposes the arbitrary measures of the king, and is dismissed, 304; draws up a petition in the Commons, in 1621, against the growth of popery, 320; assists in the debate on the Petition of Right in 1628, 336; denounces the duke of Buckingham in the House of Commons, 338.
- Colchester, the ancient Camalodunum, i. 26; description of the castle of, 29; supposed temple to Claudius at, 30.
- Colchester, lord, his account of the battle of Steinkirk, iv. 568.
- Colchester, Charles Abbot, lord, his account of the king's refusal to make concessions to Catholics, vii. 153; quotations from his diary, 190, 192, 196, 197, 202, 204, 229, 233, 235, 248, 280, 282, 306, 312, 314, 322; as Speaker gives the casting vote for censuring lord Melville, 201.
- Colepepper; committed to the Gate House for presenting the Kentish petition, 1701, v. 99.
- Coleridge, S. T., remarks of, on the French Revolution of 1789, vi. 491, 492; on Burke's "Reflections," 501; and on Robespierre, vii. 46; character of his poetic labours, 503; failure of his dramas, 514.

- College, old, of physicians, built by Wren, v. 340.
- Colli commands the Austro-Sardinian army against Bonaparte, 1796, vii. 64.
- Collier, Jeremy, castigates play writers, v. 49.
- Collingwood, Cuthbert, commands the *Excellent* at the battle of St. Vincent ; supports Nelson in the contest, vii. 77 ; watches the French and Spanish fleet, 204 ; aids Nelson in gaining the victory of Trafalgar. Oct. 21, 1805, 209, 211 ; his grief for the loss of Nelson, 210, 211 ; his advice to Duckworth, 253.
- Collins, publication of his " *Eclogues*," 1742, and " *Odes*," 1746, vi. 387.
- Collins, character of his paintings, vii. 548.
- Collet d' Herbois aids in destroying Lyon, 1793, vii. 18.
- Colonization, systematic, plan of, proposed by Charles Buller, viii. 349.
- Combermere, viscount, commander-in-chief of India, vii. 631 ; bombards Bhurtpore, Nov. 23, 1825, and captures it, Jan. 18, 632.
- Combination laws passed in the 18th century ; repealed in the 19th, v. 574-576.
- Committee of Public Salvation instituted 1793, vi. 592.
- Companies, mania for, 1689-1714, iv. 430.
- Comprehension Bill introduced, not passed, 1689, iv. 466.
- Compton, Henry, bishop of London, preaches the sermon at the opening of St. Paul's cathedral, Dec. 2, 1797, v. 42.
- Compton, sir Spencer, treasurer of Prince of Wales, 1727, v. 429 ; speaker of the House of Commons, 429 ; Walpole draws up the royal speech for him ; disappointed at not obtaining the primiership, 430 ; first lord of the Treasury, 1742, vi. 139.
- Conan, the leader of the British forces under Maximus, founds a colony in Britanny, A.D. 388, i. 68.
- Condé, Prince de, flies from Paris, 1789, vi. 482.
- Condé, surrendered to the Allies, July, 1793, vii. 14.
- Condorcet, a republican, vi. 516 ; a left side member of the Legislative Assembly, 517.
- Confans, a French admiral, defeated by Hawke, 1759, vi. 48, 49.
- Congress. See Hague, Verona, Vienna, Laybach, Carlsbad, Troppau, Radstadt.
- Congreve, his estimate of the female character of his day, v. 300.
- Coningsby, lord, impeaches the earl of Oxford, 1715, v. 364.
- Constable, character of his painting, vii. 547, 548.
- Constantine, accession of, to the government of Britain, i. 44 ; glowing account of prosperous state of Britain under, 44.
- Constantine, raised to the imperial throne by the aid of the army in Britain, A.D. 306, i. 63 ; the civil government of Britain remodelled by, 65 ; death of, A.D. 337, 65.
- Constantinople, treaty of, July 8, 1833, viii. 205.
- Constantius, the emperor, supports the authority of Paulus, and applauds his savage cruelties, i. 66.
- Constitutional Charter issued by Louis XVIII., 1814, vii. 385, 386.
- Contades, mareschal de, defeated by Ferdinand, 1759, vi. 43.
- Contemporary sovereigns, tables of, i. 605-607 ; ii. 641 ; iii. 628 ; iv. 596 ; v. 603, 604 ; vi. 600 ; vii. 636-638 ; viii. 433, 434.
- Convention, English, of 1689, declared a parliament, iv. 462, 463.
- Convention, Scotch, of 1689, proceedings of, iv. 483-488.
- Conversation, the, of the 18th century, vi. 408, 409.
- Conway, general, takes the citadel of Aix, 1757, vi. 35 ; valour displayed in campaign of 1761, 60 ; votes against the ministry on the question of the legality of general warrants, 80 ; dismissed from his regiment and parliament for so doing, 81 ; secretary of state, 1765, 90 ; introduces the repeal of the American Stamp Act Bill, 96 ; enthusiasm towards him for being the mover, 96, 97 ; secretary of state, 1765-1768, 98, 140 ; moves for the cessation of war, 261 ; uses harsh language towards Pitt, 446.
- Conyngham, marquis of, lord steward ; governor of Windsor Castle ; delays swearing in the members of parliament, viii. 38, 39 ; postmaster-general, 1834, 160 ; lord chamberlain, 203.
- Cook, captain, his voyages and discoveries ; visits Otaheite, New Zealand, and New South Wales ; discovers the Society Islands, vi. 577, 578.
- Cook, Thomas, chairman of E. I. Company ; concerned in bribing members of parliament, v. 14.
- Cooke, Henry, restorer of the Raffaele cartoons, v. 349.
- Cooke, M.P. for Middlesex ; death of, vi. 112.
- Cookham, its high parochial condition, viii. 151.
- Cookworthy discovers a fine clay for porcelain, vi. 356.
- Coote, sir Eyre, commander of the British forces in India, vi. 433 ; defeats Hyder Ali, July, 1, and Aug. 27, 1781, 435.
- Coote, sir Eyre, conducts the siege of Flushing, 1809, vii. 295.
- Cope, sir John, commander-in-chief of Scotland, v. 508 ; marches from Stirling in

- pursuit of the rebels, 1745; declines to encounter the prince's army amongst the mountains; marches on to Inverness, 509; embarks at Aberdeen; lands at Dunbar, Sept. 18; marches towards Edinburgh, 510; takes up his position at Preston to receive his opponent; where his troops are routed on the 22nd in the battle of Preston Pans, 512, 513.
- Copenhagen, battle of, April 2, 1801, vii. 157, 160; bombarded by the British, 1807, 261.
- Copley. See Lyndhurst.
- Copley, J. S., West's rival in painting; example of his style, vii. 543, 544.
- Copper mines and smelting, iv. 397-417.
- Copyright Act passed, v. 293; service rendered to literature by, 293, 294; passing of the new Copyright Act, 1842; Macaulay's amendments, viii. 302-304; beneficial application of, to old copyrights about to expire, 305-308.
- Coracles, small fishing boats, used by the Britons, i. 22.
- Coram, captain. See Foundling.
- Corday, Charlotte, cause of her assassinating Marat; the deed is done, July 13, 1793; she is executed, vi. 597.
- Cork capitulates to Marlborough, Sept. 29, 1690, iv. 523.
- Cornish, alderman, his attainder reversed, 1689, iv. 494.
- Corn-law, the first passed in England in 1463, ii. 76; effects of that passed 1815, vii. 425, 426, 577; a new act passed 1822, 577; relaxation of the, resisted, 1826, 605, 606; fears of their being repealed, viii. 119-120; general opposition manifested towards the Corn-laws; the Anti-Corn-law League, viii. 270, 271; sir Robert Peel refuses to propose any alteration in the Corn-laws, 337, 338; after a prolonged debate sir Robert Peel's Bill is adopted, 338, 339; a Corn-bill for Canada passed, 348; debates on the, 364; proposed to be modified by sir R. Peel, 387; their appeal advocated by lord J. Russell, 388; different opinions of the Cabinet members as to their repeal, 388, 389; sir R. Peel's plan for a new Corn-law Bill, 395; debate on the bill, 396, 397; it is ultimately passed, 398; and received the Royal Assent, June, 1846, 398; banquet at Manchester to celebrate the repeal of the Corn-laws, 427.
- Corn trade at the end of the 17th century, iv. 419, 420.
- Cornwall, mineral products and condition of, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 397, 398; agriculture of, vi. 322.
- Cornwallis, Charles, sails from Cork with seven regiments, Feb. 1776; present at the battle of Brooklyn, vi. 190; follows Washington in his retreat as far as Trenton, 191; routs the Americans at the battle of the Brandywine, Sept. 13, 1777; occupies Philadelphia, 199; left in command of Charleston, 235; his severities towards deserters and prisoners, 235; defeats Gates at Camden, Aug. 16, 1780, 235; his march through Carolina; crippled by the defeat of Tarleton at Cowpens, 1781, 247; defeats general Greene at Guilford, Mar. 15; recommends a vigorous attack upon Virginia, 249; marches into Virginia without orders; enters Charlottesville, and York Town, which last he fortifies, 250; besieged, 252; loses hope, and surrenders York Town, Gloucester, and his army, Oct. 19, 252; his approbation of the conduct of the French, 254; appointed governor-general of India, 1786; labours to improve the administration and finance; complains of the insufficient European troops, 573; Tippoo forces him into a war; Cornwallis takes Bangalore, Mar. 21, 1791; defeats Tippoo, May 15; compelled to retreat by sickness and famine, 573, 574; capture of Seringapatam, Feb. 1792; makes peace with Tippoo, Mar., 576; describes France's ability to carry on war in a state of anarchy, vii. 47, 48; unwilling to accept the command of the allied armies, 1794, 49; master-general of the ordnance; advice as to volunteers, 106; lord-lieutenant of Ireland and commander-in-chief, 1798; arrives in Dublin, June 20, 113; he softens the ferocity of the troops, 114, 115; marches against the French under Humbert; and compels him to retreat to the quarters of general Lake, by whom the French are made to surrender, 116; accused of being too lenient, 116; advocates the emancipation of the Catholics, 118, 119; wishes to postpone the introduction of the Union measure, 121, 122; his opinion of Grenville's answer to Bonaparte, 1800, 142; remarks of, on the influence of the king's narrow views on Irish affairs, 155; has no hopes of peace, 1801, 164; conducts the negotiations for peace at Amiens, 1801, 164; his interview with Napoleon, 166, 167; difficulties in diplomacy, 166; peace of Amiens concluded, 167; governor-general of India, 1805; died Oct. 5, 1805, 227.
- Coronation oath, dubious construction of, iv. 472; difficulties caused by this in George III.'s time, vii. 151, 191, 247; and in George IV.'s reign, viii. 236, *et seq.*
- Corporation and Test Acts, attempts to obtain the repeal of the, 1730, v. 435, 436; vi. 498; repealed, 1828, viii. 23.
- Corruption, parliamentary, 1693, 4, v. 14, 15, 412, 413.
- Corry, chancellor of Irish exchequer; duels with Grattan, vii. 124.
- Corsica ceded to France, 1768; Paoli's resistance, vi. 141; revolt of, 1794; annexed to Great Britain; restored to France, vii. 52.

- Corunna, battle of, Jan. 16, 1809, vii. 278.
- Cossin, Meer, subahdar of Bengal; quarrels with the English; war for deposing him; murders 150 prisoners; defeated, vi. 152.
- Costume, extensive use of hoop petticoats, v. 300; note on the Highland, 517, 518; pride taken in dress by the gentlemen, *temp.* 1737-1783, vi. 407, 408; Prince of Wales's, on his introduction to the house of peers, 1783, 137.
- Cotton, the first mill, established by Arkwright, vi. 315, 348; trade in 1788, 344; John Kaye introduces the fly shuttle; improvements in machines for spinning, 344; demand for yarn; Hargreaves completes his spinning jenny, 1767, 345; domestic cotton spinning about to cease, 346; Arkwright's invention of his patent spinning machine, 347; difficulties of bringing it into general use overcome, 318; invention of the spinning mule by Crompton; the machine completed, 1779, 349; given to the public, 350; rush to engage in spinning, 351; commencement of the system of employing factory children, 351; employment of steam engines in the manufacture of, 352; the old spinning wheel and the modern spinning mill contrasted, 352; Cartwright's invention of the power loom, 353; slow introduction into general use; number used in 1813, 1833, and 1856, 353; British import of American, in 1785, 1791, 1801, 1811, 1812, 1813, and 1859, vii. 367; attempts to grow, in India, 634, 635.
- Cotton, sir S. See Combermere, viscount.
- Couthon shares in executing the Lyonnese, 1793, vii. 18; his cruelty, 45, and death, 1794, 46.
- Country justices in the last century described, vi. 410.
- Court Martial Bill rejected, 1757, vi. 24.
- Courtenay, sir W. See Thorn.
- Courts of Law, established by Henry II., i. 315.
- Covenanters, under Baillie, are defeated by Montrose in 1645, iii. 513; obtain the rule in Scotland, after the capture of Hamilton, in 1648, 566; their exultation at the defeat and capture of Montrose, in 1650, 607; insurrection of, in the West of Scotland, in 1666, 189; cruelties practised towards, 190; resistance of, to the Black Indulgence, 255; attempt of Lauderdale to reduce, by military force, 256; cruelties exercised towards, 256; murder of archbishop Sharpe, 257; defeat Claverhouse at Drumclog, 257; are defeated at Bothwell Bridge on June 22, 1679, 257; moderation of Monmouth towards, 258; receive the name of Whig, 259; cruelties inflicted on, by the duke of York and Claverhouse, 260; a Test Act passed against the Covenant in 1682, 279; fresh cruelties committed upon, 279; renewed and illegal persecutions of, in 1683, 289; fresh laws obtained against them by James II. in 1685, and the soldiery let loose upon them, 298.
- Covent Garden Theatre built by Smirke, 1808, 1809, vii. 534.
- Covered ways, or roads, of the Britons, in Wiltshire, continued existence of, i. 23.
- Cox, David, character of his paintings, vii. 549.
- Cowpens, battle of, Jan. 1781, vi. 248.
- Cowper, William, earl, appointed lord keeper, 1705, v. 169; his faint hopes of peace with France, 233; reads George I.'s first speech to the English parliament, 363; passes sentence of death, as lord high steward, upon the lords concerned in the rebellion of 1715, 381; his motion for the repeal of the pest house laws rejected, 415; opposes the passing of the bill of pains and penalties, 1723, 418.
- Cowper, William; his description of the conversation of his time, vi. 408; of the apathy of the clergy, 412, 413; quotations from his "Task," 479; vii. 314; late appearance as a writer, 498, 499; first volume published, 1784; and second in 1785; character of his writings; died 1800, 499; manners of his age as depicted by, 500, 501.
- Crabbe, George, quotations from, vi. 303, 412; a poet of two periods, vii. 501; his early poems; those called "The Library" and "The Newspaper" noticed, 501; appearance of his volume containing the "Parish Register," 1807; of "The Borough," in 1810; of "Tales," in 1812; and of "Tales of the Hall," in 1819, 510; delineations of manners contained in his poems, 510, 511; died 1832, viii. 307.
- Cracow made a free republic, 1846, viii. 205.
- Cradock, sir John, commands the British in Portugal, vii. 284; removed to Gibraltar, 284.
- Craggs, postmaster-general, bribed, v. 412; commits suicide, 414.
- Craggs, James, appointed secretary at war, 1717, v. 394; receives a bribe from the South Sea Company directors, 412; dies of small pox during the inquiry into the bribes connected with the South Sea Scheme, 1721, 414.
- Cranbourne tried and executed for designing to kill Will. III. 1696, v. 32.
- Cranmer, Thomas, sent with the ambassador to the crowning of the emperor Charles V., in 1530, ii. 325; writes a book to prove the invalidity of the King's

- marriage to Catherine, 325; unfeeling remark of, concerning the burning of Frith, 334; returns to England, and is made archbishop of Canterbury, 342, 343; requests Henry's licence to pronounce on the divorce, and obtains it, 343; holds a court at Dunstable, Catherine refuses to appear, and he pronounces sentence of divorce on May 23, 1533, 344; description of the coronation of Anne Boleyn by, 344; endeavours ineffectually to exempt sir Thomas More from taking an oath as to the illegality of the king's first marriage, 355; his letter to the king in favour of Anne Boleyn, 379; dissuades the king from putting the princess Mary to death, 388; servility of, 417; opposes the Act of the Six Articles, but ultimately submits to it, 433; consents to the Act declaring the marriage of Henry to Anne of Cleves invalid, 439; his opinion of Cromwell, 442; discovers to the king the profligacy of Catherine Howard, 444; accused of heresy; but relieved by the king, 461; causes the Paraphrase of the New Testament by Erasmus to be translated into English, 528; prepares the Book of Common Prayer, 532; signs the death-warrant of lord Thomas Seymour, 537; writes to Warwick and the confederated lords that Somerset is secured, 556; amount of participation of, in the burning of Joan Bocher, 562; book of Canon Laws issued by, 563; committed to the Tower on the accession of Mary, Nov. 14, 1553, 584; pleads not guilty to the charge of high treason, and withdraws his plea, 585; condemned for heresy at Oxford, 602; he recants, 624; publicly withdraws his recantation, and declares his repentance for having made it, 625; is burnt on March 21, 1556, 625.
- Cranston, lord, present at Rodney's victory over De Grasse, 1782, vi. 266.
- Craufurd, general; his bravery at the siege of Ciudad Rodrigo, 1812; mortally wounded, vii. 330.
- Craven dialect, specimens of the, vi. 328.
- Crayford, chalk caves at, i. 22.
- Cressy, battle of, on Aug. 26, 1346, i. 512-514; won by the steady bravery of the yeomen of England, 515.
- Crillon, duc de, besieges Gibraltar, 1782, vi. 284.
- Crime, prevalence of, 1692, iv. 569.
- Criminal laws, extreme severity of, *temp.* Henry VII., ii. 241, 242, 337-339; statute for the punishment of offences against property, passed in 1545, 489; reforms in the, vii. 436, 437, 483; viii. 133.
- Cristall, sir Henry, Froissart's account of his captivity among the Irish, ii. 391.
- Croft, James, a collier and enthusiastic agriculturist; his career and perseverance; a model of the modern British spirit, vi. 327, 328.
- Croker, J. W., his intellectual powers; his steady opposition to the Reform bill, 1831, viii. 82.
- Cromartie, lord, trial of; found guilty; pardoned, v. 560-562.
- Cromlechs, supposed purpose of, i. 23.
- Crompton, Samuel, inventor of the cotton mule, vi. 346, 347; his character, 349; secretes his machine from the rioters of 1779; works at it in secret, 349; he is ultimately compelled to give it to the public for 67*l.* 6*s.* 6*d.*; general employment of his machine, 350.
- Cromwell, Oliver, first appearance of, in the House of Commons, in 1629, iii. 343; presents a petition from Lilburne, imprisoned by the Star-Chamber, to the Long Parliament, in 1640, 389; seizes the magazine at Cambridge, and stops the transmission of the University plate to the king, 446; letter of, to the Commissioners at Cambridge, iii. 478; character of, 487; hazardous situation of, at the skirmish of Winceby, 487; distinguished services of, at the battle of Marston Moor, 494; letter of, to his brother, concerning the battle, 495; brings a charge against the earl of Manchester, 496; thinks the army ought to be re-modelled, 497; great importance of his military services, 501; active measures of, against the royalists, 502; letter of, announcing the result of Naseby battle, to the Speaker of the House of Commons, 502; commands the right wing at battle of Naseby, 504; his conduct in it, 505; letter of, to Fairfax, announcing that he had taken 300 clubmen, 508; invests Bristol in company with Fairfax, 508; his account of the surrender, 508; Winchester surrenders to, 508; batters down Basing House, 509; his discipline of the Ironsides, 532; wishes to prevent the army being disbanded, in opposition to the Presbyterians, 533; organization of the Adjutors, 535; leaves London, and joins the army, 536; waits on Charles at Royston, 537; proposals of, and of the Independents, to Charles, 541; the king rejects them, 542; Cromwell and Ireton endeavour to serve the king, 546; they intercept a letter of the king's, 549; he breaks off his intercourse with the king, 550; conduct of, towards the Levellers, 555; suspicion that Charles made his escape from Hampton Court at the instigation of, 556; tries to effect a reconciliation between the Presbyterians and Independents, 558; leaves London to quell an outbreak in Wales, 561; takes Pembroke, 563; marches against the duke of Hamilton, 565; and defeats him at Preston, 566; enters Scotland, 566; the victory throws both king and parliament into alarm, 568; returns from

Scotland, 572; letter to Hammond, 573; arrives in London, 578; extraordinary religious enthusiasm displayed by, 580; conduct of, at the trial of the king, 584; speaks in favor of the petition of lord Capel, 591; puts down a mutiny in Whalley's regiment, 593; in conjunction with Fairfax suppresses the Levellers in the army, 593; is presented with a service of plate by the Corporation of London, for putting down the Levellers, 594; leaves London for Ireland as lord-lieutenant there, 597; embarks at Milford Haven, 598; arrives at Dublin, 598; addresses the Irish, 598; issues a proclamation, 598; takes Drogheda, Trim, Dundalk, and Waxford, 599; his account of the slaughters, 600; meets with a stout resistance at Waterford, 602; Cork surrenders to him, 603; his policy in Ireland, 603; returns to London, 605; leaves Ireton as deputy in Ireland, 605; arrival, and enthusiastic reception of, in London, 610; is created General of all the forces, 611; marches to Scotland, 611; meets with a vigorous resistance from Lesley, 612; his danger at Dunbar, 612; position of his army at Dunbar, 614; gains the battle of Dunbar, on Sept. 3, 1650, 614; his letter to parliament, 615; takes Perth, 616; letter of, to parliament, announcing the probable invasion of England by the Scotch army, 617; totally defeats it at Worcester, on Sept. 3, 1651, 619; and compels Charles II. to fly to France, 625; description of his army, 625; returns to London, iv. 13; his reforming policy, 14; urges the advancement of two great measures,—an act of Amnesty, and a Law for the Election of future parliaments, 14; attends a conference at Lenthall's house on the settlement of the nation, 15; dialogue between White-locke and, 25; opposes the proposal for perpetuating the parliament, 27; conference at his residence at Whitehall, 27; dissolves the Long Parliament, 29; and the Council of State, 30; summons a parliament, 32; receives a despatch from Blake and Monk, announcing their victory over the Dutch fleet, 34; his Little Parliament meets on July 4, 1653, 34; character of the Little Parliament, 31; speech of, to the Little Parliament, 36; its constitution is provisional, 38; it abolishes the Court of Chancery, 39; the Little Parliament resigns its authority into the hands of, 40; he is declared protector, Dec. 16, 1653, 41; remarks on, 41; is made chancellor of Oxford, 45; patronises learning, 48; love for music, 48; character of his government as protector, 53; incentives to assassinate, 56; sagacity and prudence of, 56; royalist plot to assassinate, discovered and put down, 56; two of the conspirators, John Gerard and Peter Vowell executed, 56; tact displayed by, 57; foreign policy of, displayed in promoting the union of the Protestant States of Europe; and in treaties and alliances with Sweden, France, and Portugal, 58; causes Don Pantaleon de Sa to be executed for murder, 59; explains his policy to the first parliament of the protectorate, 60; speech of, on opening the session, 61; parliament questions his authority, 62; upon which he closes the door of the parliament house, 63; and admits those members only who sign a pledge to obey him, 65; is thrown from his coach, 66; temper of his parliament, 67; dissolves it, 68; puts down a royalist rising, 70; refusal to pay taxes to, 71; appoints major-generals for collecting the taxes, 72; conduct of the major-generals, 72; toleration of, in religious matters, 73; greatness of, in his foreign policy, 75; practical spirit of, infused into his army, 76; increases the strength of the navy, 76; disappointment of, at Venables not capturing Hispaniola, 77; his abhorrence of vice in the army, 78; interference of, in favour of the Vaudois, or Waldenses, 80; attempts of, to procure a settlement in England for the Jews, 80; endeavours to obtain a pledge from the republican leaders not to oppose his government, 82; imprisonments Vane, and other political opponents, 82; conference of, with Ludlow, 83; speech of, at the meeting of his second parliament, 85; indications of a legislative mind displayed in the speech, 86; Napoleon compared with, 86; public indignation at, for excluding members from the parliament, 87; Acts passed for security of his person, 87; his part in the case of James Nayler, the Quaker, 88; Sindercomb's plot against, 89; the trial and death of, 89; his parliament congratulate him on his escape from it, 89; Parliament vote his being made king, 91; conferences on the subject, 92; declines to accept the title, 93; pamphlet entitled "Killing no Murder," published, threatening death to, 94; is inaugurated as protector, and invested with the kingly power, June 26, 1657, 95; readmits the members he excluded from parliament, 95; his daughter Mary is married to lord Falconbridge, and Frances to the earl of Warwick, 96; parliament meets, 96; a second house, 97; dissensions between him and the parliament, 98; it is dissolved by, 98; puts down a royalist rising; several ringleaders are condemned and executed, 99; successes abroad, 100; Dunkirk taken, 100; his family afflictions, 101; death, 102; burial, 106; disinterred from Westminster Abbey, and buried at Tyburn, 143; notice of Cromwell's free trade policy towards Scotland, v. 58.

Cromwell, Richard, proclaimed protector, Sept. 4, 1658, iv. 104; character of, 104; financial difficulties of, 105; meeting of parliament, 106; bill passed for the recognition of his title, 107; hostility of the army towards, 107; his weak gov-

- ernment, 108; compelled to dissolve the parliament, 109; his government ends, 109; restoration of Long Parliament, under the name of "The Rump," 109; leaves Whitehall, 109; he and his family pass into obscurity, 109.
- Cromwell, Thomas, said to have been present at the sack of Rome, ii. 297; adheres to Wolsey on his fall, 315; defends him in parliament, 316; letter of, to Wolsey, 317; writes exhortations to Frith and Tyndale, the reformers, 334; becomes vicegerent, 366; issues a commission for the visitation of the monasteries, 367; unworthy use made of their property by, 367; official corruption of, 369; effects a reconciliation between the princess Mary and her father, 387; absolute power of, in ecclesiastical affairs, 416; participation of, in the plunder of the religious houses, 424; measures taken by, to pack the parliament of 1539, 427; disgraceful conduct of, in procuring the attainder and condemnation of the aged countess of Salisbury, 436; promotes the marriage of Henry with Anne of Cleves, 437; dissatisfaction of the king with the match, 439; is arrested for treason, 441; demands a trial by law, 442; is attainted and executed July 23, 1540, 442; injunctions of, for the keeping of a parish register-book of births, deaths, and marriages, 469.
- Crosbie, alias Clench, proposes to carry off William III., v. 27-28.
- Crosby, Brass, lord mayor, 1771; decides a lawsuit against an officer of the House of Commons, who had arrested a printer for publishing the debates, vi. 136; ordered to attend before the bar of the House; committed to the Tower, 136; released, 137.
- Crowle, parliamentary proceedings against, 1751, v. 577.
- Crown Point surprised by the Americans, 1773, vi. 170, 171.
- Crusades, the first preaching of, in 1095, i. 254; incentives offered for prosecuting, 254.
- Crusaders, progress of the first, i. 255-257; rout of the body under Peter the Hermit and Walter the Penniless, 257.
- Cuesta, general of the Spanish army, vii. 297; his temerity, 297; forsakes the charge of sick and wounded left him by Bonaparte, 299.
- Culleys, they reinvigorate the agriculture of Northumberland, vi. 329.
- Culloden, description of the moor of, v. 552; battle of, April 16, 1746, 554; barbarous treatment of the wounded after the battle, 556.
- Cullum, sir John, describes the agricultural improvements of Suffolk, vi. 302, 303.
- Cumberland, its population, products, and manufactures, iv. 416, 417.
- Cumberland, Henry Frederick, duke of, Geo. III.'s brother; fined £10,000 on a charge of criminal conversation brought by lord Grosvenor; accompanies Mrs. Horton to Calais; there marries her; the pair are forbidden the Court; the king's disgust, vi. 149; from these circumstances emanated the Royal Marriage Act, 150.
- Cumberland, William Augustus, duke of, second son of George II.; accompanies his father at the battle of Dettingen; commands the English left, v. 491; commands the allied armies in the campaign of 1745; attacks the French at Fontenoy, May 11, 495; forms a column; its extraordinary march, and steady retreat, 495; marches after the rebels, 531; pursues their retreating army, 540; invests Carlisle, Dec. 21; it capitulates, Dec. 30; his hard terms to the garrison; summoned to London to command the forces against the threatened French invasion, 543, 544; sent back to Scotland to retrieve the disasters of Falkirk, 547, 548; arrives at Edinburgh, Jan. 30, 1746, 549; the sight of his army compels the Highlanders to fly from Stirling, 550; arrives at Aberdeen, 551; advances against the rebels, April 8; the passage of the Spey; reaches Nairn, 551, 552; a night attack is attempted upon his camp; advances against the prince on Culloden Moor, 553; and gains a victory over him, April 16, 554; his unpopularity, 556; his view of the Rebellion, 557; anecdote of his insolence, 559; commands the British at the defeat at Lauffeld, 1747, 568, 569; George II. desires him to be Regent, 580; urges that Pitt and Temple be turned out of power, vi. 25; driven out of Hanover by Richelieu; and the capitulation of Closter-Seven is agreed to between them; his father's anger, by whom he is insulted, 36; resigns his post of commander-in-chief, 36; appointed to negotiate with Pitt for his return to power, 88.
- Cumberland, duke of, Ernest Augustus, his political opinions, vii. 323; becomes king of Hanover, 1837, viii. 230; his intolerant and despotic government, 230, 231.
- Cunningham, Alexander, description of the Highlanders by, iv. 489.
- Cunobellin, state of Britain during the period of, i. 21; power and dominion of, and state of civilisation in the reign of, 26.
- Currency, See money.
- Curwen, M.-P. for Carlisle; his account of Burke's intense hatred to French revolutionary principles, vi. 506.
- Curwen institutes an inquiry into the Poor Laws, 1816, vii. 443.

Custom House, London, built by Wren, v. 340.
 Cutts, lord, his bravery before Namur, 1695, v. 18; captain of the Guards, 30;
 sent on before to commence the attack on the village of Blenheim, Aug. 13,
 1704, 141; which order he performs, 142; takes part in the final struggle, 145.
 Cymbeline. See Cunobelin.

D.

- DAGGER-SCENE in Burke's speech, 1792, vi. 566, 571.
 D'Alegre, his escape from the Bastille, 1756, vi. 479.
 D'Allegre, marquis, defeated by Marlborough, and taken prisoner, v. 167.
 Dalrymple, sir Hew.; mortification caused by his being appointed to command
 the troops in Portugal, vii. 271; recalled home, 276.
 Dalrymple, sir John, one of the commissioners who invested William with the
 government, iv. 488; master of Stair; secretary of state for Scotland; orders
 of, to Hill and Livingstone, regarding the rebel chiefs, 541; grudge of, against
 the Mac Donalds, of Glencoe, 542; suspected of having a "black design"
 against them, 543; letters of, connected with the Glencoe massacre, 545, 550; is
 implicated, by the commission of 1695, as the original cause of the massacre
 551; William dismisses him from his office, 532.
 Dalton, John, author of the Atomic theory, viii. 521.
 Damnaree, Daniel, his share in the riots of 1710, v. 228; he is tried for high trea-
 son, found guilty, but subsequently pardoned, 227; becomes master of queen
 Anne's barge, 328.
 Dampierre, general, commands the French republican army, 1793, vii. 13;
 killed in an attack on the allied forces, May 8, 13.
 Dance, architect of the Mansion House and Newgate, vi. 381.
 Dane-gelt, payments of, i. 175; abolished by Edward the Confessor, 189.
 Danes, or Northmen, ravages of, i. 94.
 Danes invade Mercia, A.D. 868, i. 110; again in 870, and advance to East Anglia,
 111, 112; obtain possession of Mercia and Northumbria, 116, 117; massacre of,
 in England in 1002, 177.
 Danton, G. J., a leader of the Cordeliers, vi. 518; calls the people to arms, Aug.
 9, 1792, 538; appointed minister of justice, 540; encourages the September mas-
 sacres, 545; protects Dumouriez against the Jacobins, 590; supports the estab-
 lishment of the revolutionary Republic, 594; guillotined, 1794, vii. 31.
 Dantzie, duke of, the Tyrolese beat him back from their territory, vii. 290.
 D'Arco, count, Bavarian general; defeated at Schellenburg, 1704, v. 137.
 Dardanelles, Duckworth's expedition to the, 1807, vii. 253.
 D'Argenteau defeated at Montenotte, 1796, by Bonaparte, vii. 65.
 Darien Company. See African and Indian Company.
 Darmstadt, prince of, assists sir G. Rooke in his expedition against Cadiz, 1702, v.
 116; and at the capture of Gibraltar, 1704, 152; remains there in command of
 the garrison, 152; he is besieged by the Spaniards, and makes a successful re-
 sistance, 153; joins Peterborough, 1705; urges the plan of besieging Barcelona;
 quarrels with Peterborough, 155; assists Peterborough in surprising Mont-
 jouich, but is killed in the attack, 156, 157.
 Darnley. See Mary of Scotland.
 Dartmouth, William Legge, lord; secretary of state, 1710, v. 235: dissuades, un-
 successfully, Anne from making twelve new peers, 257.
 Dartmouth, lord; colonial secretary, 1773, vi. 155; privy seal, 1775, 180.
 Darwin, Erasmus, curious character of his poems, resulting from his combining
 science with poetry, vii. 504.
 Das Minas, a Portuguese general, defeated at Almanzar, 1707, v. 194.
 D'Aubach, general, abandons Treves and Saarbruch, 1705, v. 165.
 Daun, marshal of the Austrian army; advances to relieve Prague, vi. 35; co-
 operates with Loudoun in surprising Frederick, 39.
 D'Auverquerque, a friend of William III., iv. 461; his conduct at Steinkirk, 567,
 569; joins Marlborough with his army, 1705, v. 167; makes a feint attack on the
 weak portion of the French lines, v. 167; leads the Dutch cavalry at the battle
 of Ramillies, 1706, 173; dies, 1708, 210.
 Davics, Rowland, chaplain in the army; a bet of his illustrative of the gambling
 spirit of the age, iv. 508; quotations from, illustrative of William's campaign
 in Ireland, 1690, 503, 509, 511, 512, 516, 522.
 Davoust, L. N., enters Berlin, Dec. 25, 1806, vii. 245.
 Davy, sir Humphry, invents the safety lamp, 1815, vii. 521.
 Dawson, James, executed July, 1746, v. 560.
 Dean Forest destroyed to make charcoal for smelting iron, iv. 395.
 Deane, Silas, the American agent at Paris, 1776, vi. 184; appointed to make a

- treaty of alliance with France, 192; his share in promoting incendiarism in England, 193, 194.
- Decrès, admiral, minister of marine; persuades Napoleon to postpone the invasion of England, 1805, vii. 206.
- Deccan, nizam of the, concludes an alliance with Cornwallis, vi. 574; his contingent to the English army, vii. 129; cedes his Mysore territory for British aid and protection, 218; his territories devastated by the Pindarees, 625.
- Declaratory bill passed, 1766, vi. 94.
- Declaratory, Indian bill, passed, 1788, vi. 573.
- Declaration of Independence. See American Declaration of Independence.
- Declaration of Pilmütz. See Pilmütz.
- Declaration of Rights, twice brought forward by Grattan, vi. 275.
- Dee, Dr. John, in trouble for casting the nativities of queen Mary and the princess Elizabeth, ii. 630.
- Defoe's (Daniel) "Journal of the Plague Year," notice of, iv. 167, *et seq.*; his account of the effect of shutting up the exchequer in 1671, 215; reason given by, why the dissenters opposed the Declaration of Indulgence, 220; statement of, that the persecution of the dissenter arose from political, not religious, motives, 226; his account of the excitement produced by the popish plot in 1678, 239; joins the insurrection of the duke of Monmouth in 1685, 308; his opinion of the Declaration of Indulgence, 342; remarks on Bristol by, 390; opinion of, on the bills in the northern counties of England, 392; description of Bath by, 393; London glass-houses used by vagrants for lodging in, supported by the statement of his "Colonel Jack," 403; stocking weaving noticed to be very extensively practised by, 403; the Lincolnshire fens noticed by, 406; account of Manchester by, 410; salmon referred to by, 417; account of agricultural counties referred to by, 420; opposes the drama, v. 49; probably the author of the Legion Memorial, 100; stir caused by his pamphlet on "The Shortest Way with Dissenters," 119; he is tried for writing seditious pamphlets, found guilty, and pilloried at the Royal Exchange, Cheapside, and Temple Bar, 1703, 119; publishes his "Hymn to the Pillory," 119; and an account of the great storm, 1704, 126; his prejudiced remarks against maypoles, 130; Carleton's Memoirs erroneously ascribed to, 155; his "Review" appeared, 1704; its character and aim, 282; his honesty and independence, 295; his power of personifying his characters, 330; the main principle of his writings, 330.
- De Grasse lands a large force at James Town, vi. 250; his engagement with sir S. Hood, 1781, 251; defeated by Rodney, 1782; surrenders himself a prisoner, 264-266.
- De la Place, captain, commanded the garrison at Ticonderoga; surrenders the fort, May 10, 1775, vi. 170.
- Delacroix, French minister for foreign affairs, considers the Rhine the boundary of France, vii. 70.
- De la Rue gives Portland warning of the assassination plot, v. 30.
- De Launay. See Launay.
- Delaval, admiral, his conduct in the battle of La Hogue, iv. 562-563.
- Delhi taken by the British, vii. 221.
- Demerara retaken from the French, vi. 260.
- Democrats, an American political party; its contests, leaders, and vicissitudes, vii. 363, 364, 375.
- Dendermonde surrendered to Marlborough, Sept. 5, 1706, v. 174.
- Denham, sir John, the poet; surveyor general, v. 334.
- Denia captured by the English, 1705, v. 156; besieged, 1708, 155.
- Denman, sir Thomas, counsel for the Derbyshire insurrectionists, 1817; his opinion of Brandreth, vii. 460; appointed queen's solicitor-general, 1820, 561; the conferences, in which he took part, for averting public proceedings against the Queen, fail in their object, 564, 565; boldness of his summing-up speech at the queen's trial, 569; attorney-general in the Grey ministry, viii. 67; supports the bill for allowing counsel to prisoners, 189.
- Denmark, George of. See George of Denmark.
- Dennewitz, battle of, Sept. 6, 1813, vii. 348, 349.
- Dennis, John, his rage at Pope's satire, v. 320.
- Dennonville persuades Blansac to surrender to Marlborough, v. 145.
- De Pontis, French admiral, inability of, to take Gibraltar, 1704, v. 153.
- De Précy, his defence of Lyon, and escape, 1793, vii. 17, 18.
- Derby, earl of (E. G. S. Stanley), chief secretary for Ireland, 1830, viii. 67; speaks in favour of reform, 75; his hostile speeches against O'Connell, 1833, 128, 129, 134, 135; his hostility with the agitators becoming so personal, he exchanges his Irish secretaryship for that of the colonies, 135; resists the appropriation of funds to be sanctioned by the Irish Church Reform Bill, 137, 138; resolutions proposed by, for abolishing slavery in British colonies, 139, 140; debates on, and progress of the bill for this purpose; it becomes law, 140, 141;

- resigns his secretaryship, 1834, 169; declines joining Peel's ministry, 170; takes part in the debate on the sugar duties, 273, 274.
- Derbyshire, silk trade and lead mines of, iv. 405, 406
- Derbyshire, insurrection of, 1817, vii. 462.
- Derwentwater, J. Ratcliffe, earl of, joins the pretender's army, 1715, v. 371; impeached of high treason by Mr. Lechmere; he is tried and found guilty, 381; his wife implores the king to pardon him, 381; he is executed on Tower Hill, Feb. 24, 1716, 382.
- Desaix present at the battle of the Pyramids, 1798 vii. 99; killed at Marengo, 1800, 149.
- Desèze, counsel for Louis XVI.; conducts his defence, vi. 567.
- Desmoulins, Camille, calls the Parisian mob to arms, 1789, vi. 478.
- Despard, colonel E. M., execution of, and accomplices, for treason against the king, Feb. 21, 1803, vii. 179.
- Desperz, his account of the destruction of the French army, 1812, vii. 342.
- D'Estaing, admiral of the French fleet; his campaign of 1778, vi. 213.
- Detroit captured by the Americans, 1812, vii. 370.
- Dettingen, battle of, June 27, 1743, v. 491; note on, 501.
- Deuxponts, count de, present at Cornwallis's surrender, 1781, vi. 253.
- Devonshire, Georgiana, duchess of, her interest in Fox's election, vi. 449.
- Devonshire, agriculture in; Dartmoor, vi. 321.
- De Winter, Dutch admiral; defeated and taken prisoner at the battle off Camperdown, Oct. 11, 1797, vii. 93.
- De Witt, John, concludes the Triple Alliance with Temple in 1668, 206; chief administrator of Holland on the French invasion in 1672, 217; he and his brother murdered by the mob, 217.
- D'Harrach, count, Austrian ambassador at Spain; anecdote of, v. 93.
- Dhuleep Sing restored to power by the British, viii. 406.
- Dickens, Charles, voluminousness and character of his writings, viii. 309, 317, 321.
- Dickinson, John, opposes the Revenue act, vi. 123.
- Dickson, sergeant, his capture of Manchester, 1745, v. 528.
- Dieppe, bombarded, 1694, iv. 592.
- Dillon, panic of his troops, vi. 533.
- Dinners, style of, early part of the 18th century, v. 309, 310.
- Diocletian, persecution of Christians in Britain by, i. 64.
- Dion Cassius, relation of the discovery of Britain being an island, i. 41.
- Disraeli, Benjamin, his acrimonious remarks on sir R. Peel, viii. 369-382, 383, 384, 394.
- Disruption, the, in the Scotch Church, 1843, viii. 351, 352.
- Dissection of murderers, law passed for the, 1752, v. 581; subsequently repealed, 581.
- Dissenters, the sacramental test remains in force against, 1689, iv. 467; Toleration act for, passed, 467, 468; societies for the reformation of manners encouraged by the, after the revolution, v. 47; feud between the Church and, 1702, 117, 119, 120; Defoe's pamphlet on "the shortest way with the," 168, 169; they join the whig political party, 168, 169; riots against, 1715, v. 364, 365; toleration measures for the relief of, proposed, 1718, 401; they design a project for obtaining the repeal of the Corporation and Test acts; Walpole by a manœuvre makes the attempt futile; they obtain partial relief occasionally from the Indemnity act; they obtain the repeal they sought for, 1828, 435, 436; they agitate for the repeal of the Test act, 1790, vi. 498; clamour raised against the, 506; the Birmingham riots chiefly directed against the, 507, 510; bill for regulating the marriage of, 1836, viii. 192, 193.
- Doddington, G. B., his share in the quarrel between the Prince of Wales and George II., 1736, v. 462; treasurer of the navy; resigned, 579; promises of offices from the Prince of Wales, 579, 580; intrigues with Bute against Pitt and his ministry, vi. 55; rejoices at Pitt's fall, 62.
- Doehla, J. C., his account of the surrender of the American army, 1781, vi. 253, 254.
- Dolben, M.P. for Liskeard, complains of the dangerous nature of Sacheverel's sermons, 1710, v. 217, 218.
- Domesday Book of 1085, account of, i. 232; classes of the people shown by, 233; industry of the country, tenure of lands, and extent of forests, shown by, 233; the New Forest, 235; gardens and vineyards shown to exist by, 236; mills, mines, salt-works, and fisheries noticed by, 236, 237; condition of the people in cities and burghs shown by, 237, 238, 239; number of manors held by Normans in, 239, 240; Norman castles enumerated by, 239, 240; number of churches noticed by, 241.
- Domestic architecture of the fifteenth century, ii. 87; consumption of timber in, 88.

- Dominica captured by the English, 1761, vi. 60; by the French, 1778, 213; restored to the English, 1782, 290.
- Donawert. See Schellenberg.
- Donington Castle built by Wilkins, vii. 535.
- Donoow captured by sir A. Campbell, 1825, vii. 630.
- Doric buildings, vii. 535.
- Dorset, Lionel Cranfield, earl of; Lord Chamberlain, iv. 461; implicated in the Preston plot of 1691, 533.
- Dorsetshire, agricultural improvements in; land enclosed; wretched state of its peasantry, vi. 321; and agricultural labourers, viii. 222, 223.
- D'Orvilliers, count; commands the French fleet; engages the English off Ushant, 1778, vi. 214.
- Dost Mahomed Khan, the usurping ruler of Afghanistan, viii. 286; estranges himself from the British government, who declare war against him, 287, 288; and drive him from the kingdom; gains a battle over British troops; surrenders himself prisoner to the British, 290, 291; released and returned to his sovereignty, 299.
- Doonay, surrender of, to the allies, 1710, v. 234.
- Douglas exposes the perils of sending a Scotch colony to Darien, v. 61.
- Dover, Roman lighthouse at, i. 28.
- Dover Castle, ancient chapel in, doubtful statement of its having been built by King Lucius, i. 63; taken by the Normans, 211.
- Downie, Captain, his gallantry at Plattsburg, 1814, vii. 379.
- Downing College, Cambridge, built by Wilkins, vii. 535.
- Drake, sir Francis, naval successes of, in 1585, iii. 104; successful expedition of, to Cadiz, to foil the purposed invasion by Spain, 138; Plymouth supplied with water by, 139; early exploits of, 143; sails with the fleet from Plymouth on July 19, to meet the Armada, 152; captures a valuable galleon, 153; attacks the Spanish fleet with fire-ships in Calais roads, 157; pursues the scattered ships after the fight off Gravelines, 157, 158; his despatch to Walsingham, 158; assists in the destruction of the Armada in action and in its flight, 160; with sir John Norris, heads an expedition to seat don Antonio on the throne of Portugal, 163; takes Coruna, fails at Lisbon, but takes and burns Vizo, 163; with sir John Hawkins, sails in 1595 to attack the Spaniards in South America, but fails, and dies, 192.
- Drake, the English minister at Bavaria; intrigues with France, vii. 193.
- Drama, state of, in the time of Elizabeth, iii. 182; made an instrument of for attacking the Puritans, 183; the early Elizabethan, 229; Marlowe, Peele, Greene, &c., 229; characteristics of Shakspeare, 232; Prynne's "Histrio-Mastix," a violent attack on Stage Plays, 353; support given to, by the four Inns of Court, 355; character of the English drama, temp. Charles I., 356; deteriorated in morals from that of Elizabeth, 356; the playhouses shut up at the commencement of the Civil War in 1642, by the influence of the Puritans, 440; degraded condition of, during the time of Charles II., iv. 192; licentiousness of, end of 17th century; an order issued in 1697 to suppress it, v. 48; stage estimate of the female character in the beginning of the 18th century, 300; Steele's relish for the drama, 301; he notices several plays, 301; popularity of puppet shows and Italian operas, 306; the stage becomes political, 466; the act for licensing plays, by which the Lord Chamberlain's interference with theatrical representations was legalised, 467; improved moral state of the theatre, 1774, vi. 400, 401; public taste directed by Garrick, 460; Garrick's acting, 401.
- Drapier. See Swift, v. 421-423.
- Dresden, peace of, Dec. 25, 1785, v. 502; taken by Frederick, 1756; he spares the art specimens, vi. 19; battles of, Aug. 24, 25, and 27, 1813, vii. 348.
- Dress. See Costume.
- Drinking in the first half of the 18th century, v. 309.
- Drouet aids the French royal family in attempting to escape from France, 1791, vi. 516.
- Druidical sacrifices, i. 23; punishment of offenders, 25.
- Druidism, Cæsar's statement that it was originated in Britain, i. 16; the system of, as described by Cæsar, 17.
- Drummond, captain, his supposed murder, 1705, v. 178.
- Drummond, Peel's private secretary; shot by mistake instead of sir R. Peel, viii. 347.
- Drummond, Andrew, a London banker; suspected of favouring the Pretender; examined by Government; found innocent; confidence in him restored; becomes the royal banker, v. 536, 537.
- Drummond, George, urges a vigorous resistance to the Pretender, 1745, v. 510.
- Drummond, James, joins the Pretender's son; is by him created duke of Perth, 1745, v. 509; has differences with Lord Murray, 527; escapes from Scotland,

- 1746; death of, 537; skirmishes with the country people on the retreat, 541; unwillingness to forsake his men, 542.
- Drummond, lord John, fails in siezing Edinburgh Castle, 1715, v. 369; guards the passage of the Spey; falls back on Cumberland's approach, 1746, 551.
- Drummond, William, viscount Strathallan, commands the Stuart force at Perth, v. 526; created viscount, 1711; taken prisoner at Sheriffmuir, 1715, 536; killed at Culloden, April 16, 1746, 537; commands the Highlanders at Sheriffmuir, 545.
- Drumossie. See Culloden.
- Dryden, John, produces his "Absalom and Achitophel," preparatory to the indictment of Shaftesbury for high treason, iv. 276; and his poem of "The Medal," after the bill had been thrown out by the grand jury, 277; publishes his "Hind and Panther," in 1687, 348; bust of, by Scheemakers, Westminster Abbey, v. 345.
- Dubois-Crancé, supersedes Kellermann in his command, vii. 17.
- Du Chaila, persecutor of the Cevennes Protestants, v. 120.
- Duckworth, sir J. T.; vice-admiral of the white; knight of the Bath, vii. 253, 254; failure of his expedition to the Dardanelles, 254.
- Ducos, a member of the French Directory, vii. 135; consul, 1799, 137.
- Dudley, lord, introduces the smelting of iron with coal, iv. 396.
- Dudley and Ward, viscount, Secretary of State for Foreign Affairs in the Canning ministry, vii. 618; one of the Wellington ministry, 1828, viii. 16; resigns, being succeeded in his secretaryship by the earl of Aberdeen, 25; his speech on the Reform Bill, 1831, 85.
- Duellng, prevalence of, first half of 18th century, v. 310.
- Dugommier, replaces Carteaux in the command of the army before Toulon, 1793, vii. 22.
- Dulwich gallery of pictures, vii. 543.
- Dumont, Anthony, his part in Grandval's plot against William III., 1692, iv. 565, 568.
- Dumont, his remarks on the Declaration of the Rights of Man, and the abolition of feudal rights, vi. 484; on Mirabeau, 503, 504; on the French constitution of 1791, 516; his visit to England, 1791-1792, 522, 523; remarks on the September massacres, 1792, 548.
- Dumouriez, C. F., minister of Foreign Affairs, 1792, vi. 531; advocates war against Austria; plans the campaign, 532; advises the dismissal of Rolland, 533; opposes the duke of Brunswick, 549; concludes a secret agreement with him, 550; the Austrians raise the siege of Lille on his approach; he gains the battle of Jemappes, Nov. 6, 551; the Austrians retreat before him; enters Antwerp, Nov. 30, 552, 589; marches into Holland; hatred of, by the Jacobins; takes Breda, Klundert, Gertruydenberg; is defeated at Neerwinden, March 18, 1793, 590; deserts the Convention; makes overtures to join the prince of Coburg in re-establishing the constitutional monarchy; his army forsakes him; lives an exile in England till 1823, 591.
- Duncan, Adam, admiral, deserted by several of his ships in the blockade of the Texel, vii. 83; stratagems for deceiving the Dutch, 93; sights the enemy on Oct. 21, 1797, and gives them a signal defeat in the Battle of Camperdown, 92, 93.
- Duncan, Rev. H., established a savings bank at Ruthwell, 1810, vii. 446.
- Duncannon, viscount, helps to prepare the Reform bill, viii. 70; lord privy seal; first commissioner of the land revenue, 203.
- Duncanson, major, his share in the Glencoe massacres, iv. 547.
- Duncombe, Thomas, his motion for hearing the petitioners on presenting the People's Charter rejected, viii. 342.
- Dundas. See Melville, lord.
- Dundas, sir David, commands the allied troops at Toulon, 1793, vii. 22; second in command of the troops in Holland, 50; takes part in the British expedition to Holland, 1799, 138; commander-in-chief, March 18, 1809, 291; discourages the expedition to Walcheren, 291.
- Dundee, viscount, wishes to make a compromise with William III., iv. 486; the king refuses to do so, and upon the Convention giving an order to arrest Dundee, he escapes and raises the clans, 489; meeting general Mackay, at the pass of Killiecrankie, he there defeats him, 492; but falls in the hour of victory, July 27th, 1689, and with him the cause of James II., 492, 493.
- Dundonald, earl of, lord Cochrane; his account of the state of the navy, 1793, vii. 40, 41; his opinion of Nelson, 104; M.P. for Westminster, 1809; his enterprise in the Aix roads, April 11, vii. 283.
- Dunkirk taken by the French under Turenne and the English under Lockhart, in 1658, and delivered to the English, iv. 100; sold by Charles II. to the French in 1662, 160; failure of the English to take, 1793, vii. 15.
- Dunning holds aloof in the proceedings against Wilkes, 1763, vi. 107; his motion on the influence of the crown—226, 227; pension granted to, 268.
- Dunstan, St., early life and rise &c., i. 153; becomes the chief adviser of Edred

- 154 ; enforces celibacy on the clergy, and renders the national church more Romish, 154 ; outrage of, at the coronation feast of Edwy, 156 ; banishment of, 157 ; miracles attributed to, 160 ; chief minister during the reign of Edgar, 161 ; state of the church at the time of, 162, *et seq.* ; alterations effected in, by, 164 ; reforms effected by, 165 ; despotic but vigorous government of, 168 ; arbitrary power of, 169 ; disputation with bishop Beornhelm at Calne, and asserted miracle, 170 ; crowns and curses Ethelred, 172, dies in 988, 173.
- Dunwallo, king, formation of roads in Britain by, i. 21.
- Dupin signs the protest against the royal proceedings against the French press 1830, viii. 48.
- Dupleix, Joseph ; governor of Pondicherry, v. 593 ; aids the nizam of the Deccan, 593 ; he is compelled to give way before Clive, 595.
- Dupont, a French general, defeated at Baylen, by Castanos, vii. 272.
- Durand, col., commands the garrison at Carlisle Castle, v. 525.
- Durham Cathedral, foundation of, i. 285.
- Durham, low state of agriculture in, 1750-1800 ; famous for its breed of cattle, vi. 329.
- Durham, earl of ; lord privy seal, 1830, viii. 67 ; helps to prepare the Reform bill, 70 ; hostile speeches of, against lord Brougham, 1834, 165 ; appointed lord high commissioner for appeasing the Canadian grievances, 234 ; his government and policy, 235, 236 ; lord Brougham's attack on his measures causes him to resign, 236 ; dies broken-hearted ; his report on the affairs of British North America, 236.
- D'Usson, a French general, surrenders Galway, 1691, iv. 535.
- Dutch, differences of the, with the Long Parliament, iv. 18 ; war with England commenced, 19 ; battles of Van Tromp and Ruyter with Blake, in 1652, 22, 24 ; Van Tromp again defeated, in 1653, 34 ; Van Tromp defeated and killed, 58 ; war of, with Charles II. in 1665, 164 ; sea-fight off Lowestoffe, 164, 173 ; De Ruyter's flight of four days against Monk, 175 ; their coasts ravaged, 178 ; their fleet enters the Medway, burns the ships there, and blockades London, in June, 1667, 194 ; defeated in Southwold Bay by the duke of York, 216.
- Dwyer reveals the Cato-street conspiracy to the home office, vii. 556.
- Dyer, John, his poem of the "Fleece" referred to, giving an account of a weaver's labours, iv. 387 ; other quotations from, vi. 344, 345.

E.

- EARTHQUAKE at Lisbon, 1755, Nov. 23, v. 602.
- Earthenware, the Staffordshire potteries the chief seat of the trade, iv. 401 ; state of the trade at the beginning of the 18th century, 1774, and 1857, vi. 356 ; chiefly promoted by Wedgwood and Cooksworthy, 356.
- East India Company, charters granted for the incorporation of, iii. 280 ; first English factory at Surat founded in 1612, 280 ; a new company is established, and called "The English Company," 1638, v. 44 ; the two are amalgamated four years afterwards, 45 ; seizes a vessel belonging to the Darien (African and Indian) Company, 177, 178 ; retrospect of their affairs in India, 1745, 592-596 ; the French Company becomes their rival, and attack Madras, 1746, 592, 593 ; and nearly undermine the English power ; Clive enters the army, 593 ; organizes an attack upon Arcot, which he takes ; he is besieged by rajah Sahib, 594 ; who relinquishes the siege, 595 ; Clive takes Trichinopoly in conjunction with major Lawrence ; hostilities suspended, 595 ; Pitt institutes an inquiry into their affairs, vi. 100 ; their pecuniary affairs in disorder ; they petition parliament for a loan of a million and a half ; a committee appointed to inquire into their affairs, 152 ; the directors quarrel ; things go to ruin ; matters mended by Clive's judicious measures, 153 ; their rotten financial position, 154 ; the Regulation Act ; Warren Hastings, first governor-general ; allowed to export teas free of duty to the American colonies, 154 ; Clavering, Monsell, and Francis appointed members of the council, 1774, 429 ; Fox proposes that the authority of, be transferred to a board of commissioners, 442 ; they refuse to bear the expenses of sending troops to India ; they are compelled to do so by the passing of Pitt's Declaratory bill, 573 ; inquiry made into their affairs by the House of Commons, 1813 ; which results in several important measures, vii. 623 ; renewal of their charter for twenty years ; important alterations in the charter provisions ; ceases to be a commercial Company, 1831, viii. 148 ; its trade with China abolished ; effects of the abolition, 278, 279.
- East India House designed by Holland, vii. 534.
- East Indies, Thomas Coryat's account of the country, iii. 281 ; embassy of Sir Thomas Roe to Agra, 1615-19, 282 ; his account of the Mogul rulers of Hindostan, 282 ; contrasted with the present state, 284.
- Eastlake, sir C., sketching Napoleon, 1815, vii. 406.

- Ebrington, M. P. for Devonshire, moves that the principles of the rejected Reform bill be not given up, viii. 88; moves that the king be implored to call a reform ministry, 163.
- Ecclesiastical power, rapid growth of, in England from the Conquest to the time of Stephen, i. 283; numerous religious foundations established, 284; Cistercian abbeys erected, 285; churches and cathedrals, 285; such foundations a substitute for personal service in the crusades, and a commutation for crimes, 286.
- Eckmull, battle of, April 22, 1809, vii. 288.
- Economical Reform bill brought forward by Burke, 1780; his speech, vi. 223, 224; other motions proposed, 225-227; practical measures of Burke's bill rejected, 227; the bill is again introduced, 1782, 267; passed, 268.
- Eddystone lighthouses, their fates and constructors, iv. 394.
- Edeu, secretary for Ireland; moves for the repeal of the act asserting the independence of Ireland, 1782, vi. 275; his account of the anarchy in France, 1789, 485.
- Edgar, accession of, in 960, i. 160; Dunstan the chief minister during the reign of, 169; peaceful reign of, 165; licentiousness of, and story of Elfrida, 166, 167; rowed down the Dee by kings, 167; arbitrary power of, 169; death of, in 975, 170.
- Edgar Atheling chosen king by the Londoners, i. 211; submits to William the Conqueror, and is treated with kindness, 212; flies to Scotland, 218; invades England, with Sweyn, 219, 220; becomes a pensioner of William, 228; again flies to Scotland, 251.
- Edgehill, battle of, on Oct. 23, 1642, iii. 458.
- Edgeworth, abbé, attends Louis XVI. at his execution, vi. 560.
- Edgeworth, Miss, her delineations of the Irish, vii. 516, 517.
- Edinburgh, Charles Edward's entry into, 1745, v. 511.
- Edinburgh Castle held by the duke of Gordon for James II., 1689, iv. 485-488; he surrenders it, 491.
- Edinburgh Review started, 1802; its services to literature, vii. 517.
- Edmund, St., king of East Anglia, defeated and slain by the Danes, in 870, i. 113; buried at St. Edmund's Bury, 113.
- Edmund the Etheling, accession of, in 940, i. 152; murdered, 152.
- Edmund Ironside, accession of, in 1016, i. 180; successes of, against Canute, 180; divides the kingdom with Canute, and dies in 1016, 180.
- Edred, succession of, in 940, i. 151; revolt in Northumbria subdued by, 154; death of, in 956, 151.
- Edric, treachery of, to Ethelred and Edmund Ironside, i. 178, 179, 180.
- Education, low state of, among the people in the beginning of the eighteenth century, v. 286; and also among ladies in higher grades of society, 298; Swift's remarks upon ladies' education, 302, 303; Sunday-schools originated by Raikes, 424; inquiry into the state of, moved for by Henry Brougham, 1816, vii. 444; the Education Commission, 444; it merges into the Charity Commission; extent of instruction in 1816, 445; schoolmaster abroad, 1828, viii. 19; benefits derived from the endowed grammar schools, 19, 20; schools and scholars, 1818 and 1833; Sunday-schools and free schools, 19; diffusion of knowledge by the establishment of the Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge, a cheap and sound literature, the London Mechanics' Institution and others similar to it, the London University and King's College, 20, 21; increased popular desire for sound literature as shown by the circulation of the "Penny Magazine," 121; lord Brougham's education resolutions, 177, 178; Walker's school, in the Devil's acre, the origin of the London ragged-schools; grants in aid of, 1834-1837, 224, 225; the board of education constituted, 1839; general state of, in 1839, 226; increase of schools and scholars, 1831-1841, 227; neglect of, in the Boughton district, 244; sir J. Graham compelled to withdraw his education clauses in the Bill for regulating labour in factories, 366; government grant to Maynooth College; establishment of the three Irish secular colleges of Cork, Galway, and Belfast, 384, 385.
- Edward, succeeds his father Alfred in 901, i. 142; insurrection of Ethelwold against, 142; repels the Northmen and the Welsh, and subdues East Anglia, 143; death of, in 924, 144.
- Edward the Martyr, accession of, in 975, i. 170; assassination of, in 978, 171.
- Edward the Confessor, son of Ethelred, brought up in Normandy, i. 179, 184; brought over to England by Hardicanute, 185; succeeds Hardicanute in 1042, 187; marries earl Godwin's daughter and neglects her, 187, 188; abolishes the Dane-gelt, 189; introduces Norman adherents and customs, 190; is opposed by earl Godwin in his wish to punish summarily the rioters against earl Eustace of Boulogne, 191; banishes Harold and Godwin, 192; imprisons his wife, 192; triumph of the Norman party, 192; is forced to restore Godwin and Harold, 193; death of, in 1066, 203.
- Edward I., born in 1239, i. 400; swears to the "Provisions of Oxford" in 1258, 412; refuses to be absolved by the pope from observing his oath, 413; commands his

- father's forces in the civil war against the barons, 414; is defeated at the battle of Lewes, 415; wins the battle of Evesham, on Aug. 4, 1265, 417; storm on the Day of, 419; crusade undertaken by, in 1269, 421; attempted to be assassinated at Jaffa, 422; his wife Eleanor of Castile sucks the poison from the wound, 422; concludes a truce with the sultan, and returns to Europe in 1272, where he learns that his father is dead, 423; succeeds "by the unanimous assent of the nobles and great men," and is crowned, Aug. 19, 1274, 423; festivities at the coronation, 425; attacks Llewellyn prince of Wales, who is forced to submit to do homage, 425; various useful statutes passed in the first parliament of, 427; persecution and banishment of the Jews, 429; visits king Philip of France, at Amiens, 429; first statute of mortmain, 428; insurrection of the Welch, 430; Llewellyn is slain, and his brother executed, 431; final subjection of the Welch, and birth of prince Edward at Caernarvon, who is created prince of Wales in 1284, 432; statute passed by, for the settlement of Wales, 432; condition and manners of the people in the time of, 434, *et. seq.*; negotiates a marriage for his son with the heiress of the crown of Scotland, but she dies, and the succession is disputed, 456; commences his war against the independence of Scotland, 457; Statute of Confirmation of the Charters, giving the sole right of raising supplies to the people, on October 10, 1297, 453; is appointed umpire of the claimants to the Scottish crown, 460; demands an acknowledgment of his superiority as liege lord, 461; it is not given by the states, but assented to by Bruce and Balliol, and the crown is awarded to Balliol who does homage, 462; subdues an insurrection in Wales, 462; invades Scotland, and compels Balliol to resign his crown to him, 464; receives the homage of the bishops and peers of Scotland, 464; revolt of Wallace against, 465; defeats the Scots at Falkirk, 467; the pope demands that the dispute should be left to his decision, and the claim is indignantly rejected by the parliament of Lincoln, 469; constitution of the parliament of Lincoln, 470; again invades Scotland, and concludes a treaty excluding Wallace, 470; besieges and takes Stirling, 470; causes Wallace and Fraser to be executed, 471; Robert Bruce the younger, heads another revolt in 1305, 472; assembles an army to attack Bruce, but dies before he reaches Scotland, on July 7, 1307, 473.
- Edward II. succeeds his father in 1307, i. 474; conduct of, in his youth, 475; is crowned Feb. 24, 1308, 475; recalls Gaveston, whom his father had banished, and marries Isabella of France, 475; appoints Gaveston governor of Ireland, 475; discontent occasioned by the favour shown to Gaveston, 476; Gaveston is exiled and again recalled, 476; is taken prisoner and hung in 1312, 477; successes of Robert Bruce against, 478; reconciles himself to the barons and marches into Scotland, 480; battle of Bannockburn on June 24, 1314, 482, 483; famine in England, 483; rise of the Dispensers and wealth of, 484; insurrection of the barons, by whom the Dispensers are banished, 485; the sentence against the Dispensers annulled by a parliament at York, 486; overcomes the barons, and causes the earl of Lancaster to be executed, 486; invades Scotland, suffers from want of provisions, is obliged to retreat, 487; concludes a truce with Bruce, 487; order of Knights Templars suppressed in England in 1308, 487; the queen goes to France to conclude a treaty with Philip in 1325, 490; Roger Mortimer joins the queen at Paris, and returns with her and prince Edward in arms against the king in 1326, 490; Edward is deposed and imprisoned, and the Dispensers are hung, 493; is murdered at Berkeley Castle in 1327, 493; wretched state of England during the reign of, 496, 497.
- Edward III., son of Edward II., is invested with the foreign possessions in 1325, and does homage to the king of France, i. 499; joins his mother and Roger Mortimer in an insurrection against his father, 491; is declared guardian of the kingdom, 492; his father is deposed, and he is crowned, Jan. 29, 1327, 492; first campaign of, against the Scots, 494; concludes a peace, recognizing the independence of Scotland in 1328, 494; marries Philippa of Hainault, 495; seizure and execution of Mortimer, 496; imprisonment of Isabella, 495; transition state of feudality in the time of, 498; growth of independence among the people, and the effect of the writings of Wycliffe and Chaucer upon, 500; establishment of the English language, 500; supports Edward Balliol's claim to the Scottish crown, and wins the battle of Halidon Hill, 501, 502; claims of, to the crown of France stated, 502; naval victory of Sluys gained by, 504; comparison of the peoples of England, France, and Flanders in the time of, 504; supports James Artevelde in his revolt against the count of Flanders, 505; relieves Jane de Montfort, besieged in Hennebon by Philip of France, 506; invades France with an army in 1346, 506; the strength of his army consisted in the superiority of the English yeomen over the feudal nobles, 506; statute providing that England should not be subject to France in case of his acquiring that kingdom, 508; early successes in the invasion, 509; march to and passage of the Somme, 510, 511; battle of Cressy, on Aug. 26, 1346, 512-514; Cressy, the victory of the yeomen, 515; battle of Neville's Cross, and capture of David Bruce

- by Queen Philippa, 516; siege of Calais, 516; surrender of and pardon of the six burgesses at the intercession of the queen, 517; Edward founds an English colony at Calais, 519; institution of the Order of the Garter in 1349, 519; decoration and enlargement by, of his palaces of Westminster and Windsor, 520, 521; great pestilence of the Black Plague in 1349, 521; mortality and misery occasioned by, 522; Statute of Labourers passed to mitigate the effects of, 522, 523; injustice and inefficiency of the statute, 524; he repels an invasion of the Scots, and ravages the Lothians, 527; the battle of Poitiers, won by the Black Prince on Sept. 19, 1356, and John, king of France, taken prisoner, 528, 529; chivalrous reception of John in London, 529; miseries occasioned in France by the invasion, and rise of the Jaquerie, 530; renewed invasion of France in 1359, 531; peace of Bretigny concluded, 533; contrast of the state of the people of England and France, 533; stipulations in favor of freedom by the Commons of England, 533; statutes passed for the distinction of ranks, 533; accession of Charles V. in France, and resistance offered by, 537; war with France renewed, 540; resumes the title of king of France, 540; the French recover the conquests of Edward, 541; his queen Philippa dies in 1369, and his son Edward dies in 1376, 541; Richard is presented to parliament as his successor, 542; rising influence of the duke of Lancaster, and dotage of the king, 541; dies on June 21, 1377, 542.
- Edward the Black Prince accompanies his father in the invasion of France in 1346, i. 506; conduct of, at the battle of Cressy, 514, 515; ravages Gascony and Auvergne in 1355 and 1356, 527; cruelties of ancient war as compared with modern, 526; wins the battle of Poitiers on Sept. 19, 1356; and takes prisoner John, king of France, 528, 529; chivalrous treatment of his captive, 529; appointed prince of Aquitaine, 537; supports the cause of Peter the Cruel in Spain, 538; wins the battle of Najara in 1367, 538; captures and releases Bertrand du Guesclin, 538, 539; summoned by Charles V. to answer complaints of misgovernment, refuses, and war recommences, 540; takes Limoges, concludes a truce, and returns to England, 540, 541; dies in 1376, 542.
- Edward IV. (earl of March) escapes with Warwick to Calais, after being declared traitors by the parliament at Coventry, ii. 116; is present at the battle of Northampton, 117; succeeds his father as duke of York, on his defeat and death at Wakefield, Dec. 31, 1460, 119; enters London, and is proclaimed as king on March 4, 1461, 120; marches with Warwick to the North and wins the battle of Towton, 121; crowned June 29, 1461, 125; causes sir Baldwin Fulford to be executed, 125; other Lancastrians attainted in parliament, 126; renewed attempts to restore Henry in 1462 and 1464, 128, 129; suppressed by the battle of Hexham, 129; Somerset beheaded, 129; concludes a truce with Scotland, 129; extravagance of, and debasement of the coin by, 129; injury to the industry of the country, 130; arts of, to obtain popularity, 130; marriage of, to Elizabeth Woodville, 131; marries his sister to the heir of the duke of Burgundy, 132; insurrection in Yorkshire against an impost, 134; Clarence and Warwick take him prisoner, 135; he escapes, defeats them at Stamford, and they fly to France, 135; queen Margaret, with Warwick and Clarence, invade England, he is deserted, and Henry is restored, 137; he flies to Holland, 138; obtains some help from the duke of Burgundy, 138; returns to England and lands at Ravenspur, 139; is reconciled to Clarence, 140; wins the battle of Barnet, 143; defeats queen Margaret at Tewkesbury, on May 4, 1471, 143; insurrection of Falconbridge, suppressed by Richard, duke of Gloucester, 144; patronage of literature and printing by, 148; invades France, is cajoled by Lewis XI., and concludes the treaty of Picquiny, 151, 152; opposes the marriage of Clarence with the heiress of Burgundy, 153; accuses Clarence of treason, who is condemned, and dies in the Tower, 153; war with Scotland in 1480, and the town of Berwick taken from the Scotch, 155; death of, on April 9, 1483, 155.
- Edward V., accession of, April 9, 1483, ii. 156; provision for the education of, 156; dissensions in the council of, 157; earl Rivers and others of his council arrested, 157; his mother and brother take sanctuary in Westminster, 157; Gloucester appointed protector, 158; time of coronation appointed, 159; the duke of York removed to the Tower, 164; declared illegitimate by parliament, 166, 167; death of, with his brother, 168, 169; evidence as to the murder of, considered, 169, 170.
- Edward VI., born Oct. 12, 1537, ii. 415; succeeds his father, Jan. 28, 1547, 520; the duke of Somerset chosen protector, 521; influence of the young king's character, and journal of, 522; progress of the Reformation under, 527; repeal of Henry VIII.'s various statutes of treason, 529; dissatisfaction of, with the guardianship of Somerset, 538; insurrection in Cornwall and Devonshire against the innovations on the old religion, 543; siege of Exeter by the insurgents, 544; defeat of the insurgents at Clifft Heath, and numerous executions, 544; John Ket's Norfolk rebellion against inclosures, 545; the rebels encamp on Mousehold heath, 546; their regular organization, 547; defeat a royal army in Norwich,

- 548; the earl of Warwick defeats the rebels, on Aug. 27, 1549, 549; Ket and his brother hung, 550; notice in Edward's Journal of the confederacy of nobles against Somerset, 552; who removes him to Windsor Castle, 555; Somerset is arrested and sent to the Tower, 557; the protectorship revoked, 557; new laws against the breaking of inclosures, 559; general pardon granted, except to Anabaptists, 560; persecution of that sect, and burning of Joan Bocher, 560, 561; aversion of Edward to signing the warrant for her execution, 561; Articles of Belief issued by, 562; popish bishops deprived of their sees, 564; remonstrates with the princess Mary against her use of the mass, 564; Somerset restored to a place in the council, 566; Somerset again arrested, convicted of felony, and executed in Jan. 1551, 567; peace with France and restoration of Boulogne, 570; Northumberland the actual governor, 570; becomes ill, 571; makes a will altering the succession in favour of lady Jane Grey, 571; dies on July 6, 1553, 572; character of, 572; educational institutions of, 572; table of the female heirs to the crown named in the will of Henry VIII. and the devise of Edward VI., 574.
- Edwin, king of Northumbria, receives Paulinus, i. 88; converted to Christianity by Paulinus, 89.
- Edwy, accession of, in 956, i. 155; outrage of Dunstan during the coronation feast of, 156; marriage of, with Elgiva, 157; revolt of Odo, and appointment of Edgar as joint king, 158, is separated from Elgiva, 158; death of, in 960, 158.
- Egalité. See Orleans, duc d'.
- Egbert, early years of, at the court of Charlemagne, i. 91; chosen king of Wessex in 800, 93; defeats Beornwulf, of Mercia, in 823, at Ellendune, and consolidates England, 94; dies, 837, 95.
- Egerton, colonel, unsuccessful results of his Mahratta expedition, vi. 433.
- Egerton, lord F., urges the importance of reducing the paper duty, 1836, viii. 193.
- Egremont, lord, secretary of state, 1761-3, vi. 64, 140; again secretary of state, 1763, 72; dies of apoplexy, 75.
- Egyptians or gipsies, statute of Elizabeth against, iii. 200.
- Ehrenbreitstein capitulates to the French, Jan. 1799, vii. 133.
- El Arish seized by Jezzar, 1799, vii. 130; recovered by the Allies, 161.
- Elchingen, battle of, 1805, vii. 206.
- Eldon, John Scott, lord, his maiden speech on Fox's India bill, 1783, vi. 442; attorney-general; draws up the Traitorous Correspondence bill, 584; his prosecution speech against Hardy, vii. 36; chancellor, 1801-1806, 155, 640; his opinion of the Volunteers, 189; opposes any repeal of the laws, 435; chancellor, 1807-1827, 640; the criminal code, 437; advocates the abolition of the appeal of murder, 484, 485; considers the Manchester reform men as guilty of treason, 492; promotes the passing of the six acts, 1819, 494; recognizes Caroline as queen of England, 561; opposes any measures unfavourable to the corn-laws, 605, 606; his test of official fitness; anecdote of, at the duke of York's funeral, 1827, 608; resigns his chancellorship on the accession of the Canning ministry, 1827, 611; considers himself ill-used on being omitted from the Wellington ministry, viii. 17; objects to the bill for the repeal of the Corporation and Test acts, 23; opposes the relief of Roman Catholics, 29; endeavours to persuade the king to refuse his assent to the measure, 33; his fears for the destruction of the aristocracy by the Reform bill, 73; his speech against reform, 1831, 86, against the repeal of the corn-laws, 1833, 119, 120; laments his inability to obtain the rejection of the Municipal Reform bill, 184.
- Elections and election scenes, v. 168; vi. 448; vii. 480; viii. 26, 27, 109, 110.
- Elgin marbles purchased by government, 1816, vii. 542.
- Elgiva, queen of Edwy, persecution of, by Dunstan and Odo, i. 157.
- Elie, his share in the attack on the Bastille, 1789, vi. 481.
- Eliot, sir John, committed to the Tower for his speech in the House of Commons on the impeachment of the duke of Buckingham, iii. 328; refuses to make any submission, and is released, 329; assists in the debate on the Petition of Rights in 1628, 337; prepares protestations against popery and arbitrary taxation, 344; the Speaker held in the chair while they are voted, 344; is sent to the Tower, after the parliament had been dissolved in 1629, 344; refuses to acknowledge the jurisdiction of the King's Bench in matters committed in the House of Commons, 351; is recommitted to the Tower in March, 1629, and dies on November 27, 1632, 351; his employments while imprisoned, 352; the judgment against him reversed after the restoration, 352.
- Elizabeth, wife of Edward IV., takes sanctuary in Westminster with her second son the duke of York, ii. 158; the duke of York taken from under her care to join his brother in the Tower, 164; submits to Richard and leaves the sanctuary 183; alleged harsh treatment of, by Henry VII. and confinement in the nunnery of Bermondsey, 196; dies in 1492, 218.
- Elizabeth, queen, born Sept. 7, 1533, ii. 348; interview of, with Edward after Henry's death, 520; is courted by lord Thomas Seymour, who is executed for

treason, 536; joins Mary in her progress to London, 580; is present at the coronation of Mary, 583; is sent for from Aslbridge to court by Mary, 596; accused of a conspiracy with Courtenay, earl of Devonshire, and committed to the Tower, 598; letter of, to Mary, 599; is removed to Woodstock, 600; suspected of a knowledge of the Dudley conspiracy, in 1556, 630; letter of, to Mary, 631; declines a marriage with the duke of Savoy, 634; the Spanish ambassador's account of her character, 639; succeeds to the crown on Nov. 17, 1558, iii. 13; refuses to attend mass on Christmas Day, 14; the parliament press her to marry, and she replies, 16; coronation and popularity of, 17; Mary's statutes in favour of popery repealed, 21; moderation of her proceedings, 23; peace concluded with France, 23; becomes the acknowledged head of the reformed religion, 25; lends assistance secretly to the Reformers of Scotland, 28; sends an army to the assistance of the Lords of the Congregation in Scotland, 29; concludes a peace with Scotland, in which she provides for the safety of the Reformers, 31; sends an ambassador to condole with Mary on the death of Francis II., 33; policy of, towards Mary, 31; refuses her a safe-conduct for her return from France to Scotland, 34; increased public spirit of the people under, 40; restores the coin to its standard purity, 41; processions of, 42; sends aid to the French protestants, in 1563, 43; act against papists passed, requiring the oath of supremacy to be taken, 44; Edmund and Arthur Pole convicted of conspiracy, and imprisoned for life, 44; Act against "fond and fantastical prophecies, 44; continued refusal of, to declare Mary of Scotland her successor, 46, *et seq.*; recommends lord Robert Dudley to queen Mary as a husband, 46; refuses to consent to her marriage with Darnley, 46; secretly aids Murray and the reforming nobles in their revolt against Mary, 48; becomes godmother to the infant James of Scotland, 57; interferes to procure an impartial trial of Bothwell, 62; remonstrates with the Scottish nobles against the imprisonment of Mary, 65; and more strongly against her extorted abdication, 67; embarrassment of the government of, on Mary's taking refuge in England, 72; question as to the justice of detaining Mary as a prisoner, 73; refuses to see Mary during the conference appointed to hear the charges against her, 75; anxiety of, for the safe custody of Mary, 77; commits the duke of Norfolk to the Tower for contracting to marry the queen of Scots, 78; insurrection in the North in favour of the Roman Catholic religion, 78; touching story of lady Catherine Grey, 79; incorrectness of the statement that she died in the Tower, 80; intrigues of Philip of Spain and the Roman Catholics against, 83; the earls of Westmorland, and Northumberland head a Roman Catholic insurrection in the north, 86; suppressed by the earl of Sussex, 86; papal bull of excommunication against her, 88; new statute against papists, 89; she is urged to proceed criminally against Mary 89; trial of the duke of Norfolk on the discovery of a fresh intrigue, 91; reluctance of Elizabeth to order his execution, 92; massacre of St. Bartholomew's, 95; conduct of Elizabeth on receiving the news, 96; insecurity felt by, in consequence of the continued intrigues in favour of Mary, 97; plots of the Jesuits in England against, 99; Campion and others executed, 100; increased severities against the papists, 100; affords assistance to the Protestants in the Netherlands, 100; declines the sovereignty of them offered to her, 101; represses the ambitious views of Leicester, 102; naval successes of Drake, in 1585, 104; Walsingham's announcement of new conspiracies, 104; conviction and execution of Parry, 105; Babington's conspiracy, 106; trial of the conspirators, 107; alleged participation of Mary in the plot, 109; Mary is transferred to Fotheringay, 110; letter of Elizabeth to James VI., 110; association for her protection, 112; statute for the protection of the queen's person, 113; issues a commission for the trial of Mary under this statute, 113; judgment is pronounced against Mary, 117; parliament urges the execution of the sentence, and the queen's reply, 119, 120; continued hesitation of, 121; signs the warrant for Mary's execution, 121; interview of, with Davison, 121; endeavours to exonerate herself from the charge of having caused Mary's death, 125; slight grounds for the justification, 126; examination of the charge, in Davison's statement, that she desired that Mary might be privately assassinated, 129, *et seq.*; threatened invasion of England, by Spain, 137; suspicions of, that James of Scotland intended to aid Spain, 139; fresh preparations made by Philip, 140; an army assembled at Tilbury, 142; measures taken for the defence of the coast, 145; speech of, to the army at Tilbury, 146; loyalty of the Roman Catholics, on the threatened invasion by Spain, 147; libels published abroad against the queen, 147; the Armada is sent forth, 148; its force, 148; the English fleet at Plymouth, and its force, 150; superiority of the mariners, 150; notice of the commanders, 151; the fleet leaves Plymouth on July 19, 1588, to encounter the Armada, 151; the successful fight up Channel, 153; tactics of the English fleet, 154; several actions off the Isle of Wight, on July 25, 155; the Armada attacked by English fire-ships in Calais-roads, 157; the last great fight, on July 29, 158; flight of the scattered Armada to the north, 159; destructive

- losses of the Armada in action and in its flight, 160; triumphal procession of the queen to St. Paul's, 161; expedition sent to Portugal to assist Don Antonio, 163; Vigo taken and burnt, 163; energy of the people shown by their volunteering against Philip, by their discoveries, and in their literature, 164; religious sects in the second half of her reign, 166; progress of the Nonconformists, 167—169; efforts of archbishop Whitgift to repress puritanism, 169; statutes against non-conforming protestants and popish recusants, in 1533, 170; sends an expedition to aid Henry IV. and the Protestants of France, 185; indications of a contest with parliament for prerogative against privilege, 187; contests between the Crown and Commons, 188; Morice sent to prison for a speech in the House of Commons, 189; extenuations of Elizabeth's conduct, 190; attempt of Philip to procure her to be poisoned, 191; expeditions against the West Indies and against Cadiz, 191; statute passed for the relief of the poor, in 1597, 196; statute against vagabondage, 197; statute for regulating wages, 199; statute against Egyptians, or gypsies, 200; statutes against the increase of buildings in London, 203; death of Burleigh, 206; and of Philip, 207; continued war with Spain, 207; state of Ireland, 208, *et seq.*; Elizabeth quarrels with Essex, and appoints him lord lieutenant of Ireland, to suppress the rebellion of Tyrone, 210; he fails, and returns to England, 212; interview of, with Essex, 212; her exposition of her method of retaining the affection of the people, 213; commits Essex to "free custody," 213; Essex attempts an insurrection, 216; it fails, and he surrenders, 217; is tried with the Earl of Southampton, 217; and is executed, on Feb. 25, 1601, 220; the Commons remonstrate against monopolies, 222; and she promises to abate them, 224; she dies, March 24, 1603, 225; note on Essex's ring, 226.
- Elizabeth, daughter of James I., married to the elector palatine in 1613, iii. 295; her husband chosen king of Bohemia, on the Protestant interest, 313; the palatinate invaded by the Catholic powers, 314; tardy and useless assistance afforded by James, 314; the elector defeated at Prague, and expelled from the palatinate, 314.
- Elizabeth, princess, accompanies Louis in his flight from Paris, 1791, vi. 512—514; guillotined, 1794, vii. 32.
- Elizabeth, czarina of Russia, joins the coalition against Prussia, vi. 18; reigned 1741—17 2, 465.
- Elkington, Joseph, discovers the system of under drainage, vi. 324.
- Ellenborough, lord; lord chief justice, vii. 229; determines to preside in court, and to convict W. Hone, 468; his harshness and persecution of the prisoner, who obtains a verdict of not guilty, 468; presides over another trial with the same result, 470; conduct after the trial; contemplates resigning his office, 470; president of the Board of Control, viii. 171; governor-general of India, 298; his proclamations to the people of India, 300; makes war on the Mahrattas; recalled by the East India Company, 361.
- Ellesmere, lord, denies that Wellington was surprised at Waterloo, vii. 394, 395.
- Elliott, captain, superintendent at Canton; his proceedings; blockades Canton; his arrival at the Factory described, viii. 280; his futile attempts at negotiation, 283; settles the difficulties, 284.
- Elliot, general, governor of Gibraltar; his defence of the fort, 1782, vi. 282, 283, 284.
- Elliott, Mrs., quotation from, on the execution of Louis XVI., vi. 569; anecdote by, of the French feeling towards Fox and Pitt, 587, 588.
- Elphinstone, major-general, appointed to command the British army in Cabul, viii. 291; his conference with Akbar Khan, 295; died April 23, 1842, 298.
- Emaun-Ghur, Napier's march to, and destruction of, viii. 357, 358.
- Emigration, extent of, 1815—1852, viii. 236.
- Emmanuel College chapel, Cambridge, built by Wren, v. 340.
- Emmett, Robert, heads an insurrection in Dublin, July 23, 1803, vii. 185; trial and execution of, 186.
- Empson, sir Richard and Edmund Dudley, ministers of Henry VII. in his extortions, 227, 228; convicted, and executed for extortion, on the accession of Henry VIII., 246.
- Enghien, duc d', arrest and murder of, 21 Mar., 1804, vii. 193.
- Engraving, state of, temp. 1760—83, vi. 377; Strange and Woollett, vi. 377; mezzotint engraving and engravers, 378; Boydell, his large business in engravings, 378, 380; extension of the public taste for, vii. 549; Sharp, and other line engravers, 350, 351; wood engraving revived by Bewick; his publications; lithography and its earliest cultivators, 351.
- Enniskillen, the Irish Protestants take refuge in, 1689, iv. 472; threatened siege of, 482.
- Episcopalian clergy, of Scotland, not well favoured towards the Test Act, iv. 483; hatred of the Scotch to the, 484.

- Episcopalian, their strength in Scotland, iv. 538.
- Erasmus's account of his pilgrimage to Walsingham and Canterbury, ii. 233 ; remarks of, on the ill condition of the houses, and the inattention to diet in England, 242 ; his compliment to the spirit of the people, 243.
- Ercole, Renaldo, duke of Modena, vii. 64 ; buys Bonaparte off his territories, 65.
- Ernest Augustus of Hanover. See Cumberland.
- Erskine. See Mar, earl of.
- Erskine, Thomas, lord, defends lord George Gordon, 1781, vi. 233 ; his maiden speech, 1783 ; advocates Fox's India bill, 412 ; defends Paine, 1792, 264 ; opposes the Traitorous Correspondence bill, 584 ; council for Thomas Hardy, vii. 36 ; his reply to the attorney-general's charge, 36 ; defends Tooke and Thelwall, 37 ; passage from his speech for Hardy, 39 ; lord Chancellor, 1806, 229, 640.
- Espremeil arrested and imprisoned for opposing the establishment of "La Cour Pleniére," vi. 472.
- Essayists ; the "Tattler," commenced by Richard Steele, 1709, v. 280 ; its aim ; it becomes the substitute of the old newspapers, 281 ; influence and objects of the, 285 ; their endeavours in diffusing knowledge, 286, 287 ; they direct the attention of the people to our old English ballads, and standard poets, 287, 288 ; and create a general taste for reading, 293 ; their description of the prude and the coquette, 305 ; of puppet shows and the masquerade, 306, 307 ; their reprobation of drinking in excess, 309 ; of giving luxurious dinners, and of duelling, 310 ; the "Rambler," commenced by Johnson, 1750 ; the "Idler," 1758 ; the "Adventurer," issued 1752 ; the "World," 1753 ; and the "Connoisseur," 1754 ; Moore and Cambridge ; Colman and Thornton, vi. 390.
- Essequibo retaken by the French, vi. 261.
- Essex, London supply of food from, vi. 305 ; extinction of saffron cultivation in, 305.
- Essex, Robert Devereux, earl of, accompanies Drake in the expedition to attack Spain, iii. 163 ; early career of, 186 ; commands the expedition sent to aid Henry IV., 186 ; defeats the attempt of Philip to poison Elizabeth, 191, 192 ; is appointed to the command of an expedition to attack Spain, 192 ; takes and burns Cadiz, in 1596, 193 ; commands another expedition with Raleigh, with whom he disagrees, 194 ; quarrels with the queen, and is then appointed lord-lieutenant of Ireland, in 1599, 209 ; fails to suppress the rebellion of Tyrone, and returns to England, 210 ; interview of, with the queen, 212 ; is committed to "free custody," 213 ; schemes of, in favor of James, 215 ; attempts to raise an insurrection, 216 ; it fails, and he surrenders, 217 ; trial of, with his accomplice the earl of Southampton, 217 ; conduct of Bacon, 218 ; the execution of Essex, on Feb. 25, 1601, 220 ; note on the story of the ring, 226.
- Essex, Robert Devereux, earl of, divorced from his wife, iii. 294 ; proclaimed a traitor by Charles I. as captain-general of the parliamentary army, 435 ; marches from London against the king on Sept. 9, 1642, 454 ; at Northampton, 456 ; moves his army to Worcester, and is defeated by Rupert, 457 ; engages the royal forces at Edgehill, and marches to London, 462 ; indecision of, 465 ; Reading surrenders to, 471 ; relieves Gloucester, 479 ; surprises Cirencester, 480 ; engages the royal forces at Newbury, 480 ; blockades Oxford, 493 ; disagreements between Waller and, 493 ; besieges Exeter, 493 ; sad condition of, in Cornwall, 495 ; he leaves his troops, and his army is dispersed, 496 ; meeting at the house of, 497 ; death of, Sept. 16, 1647, 329 ; medal struck in honour of, 329.
- Essling. See Aspern.
- Ethelbald, ravages in England by the Danes during the reign of, i. 95 ; aids his father in a battle against the Danes in 850, 97 ; had obtained the kingdom of Wessex from his father during his lifetime, 101 ; death of, 101.
- Ethelbert, king of Kent, power and dominions of, i. 81, 82 ; receives the Christian missionary Augustin, 83 ; is converted, 83 ; account of the code of laws published by, 85, 86 ; death of, A. D. 616, 85.
- Ethelbert, succeeds Ethelwulf, as king of Kent, i. 101 ; and his brother Ethelbald, as king of Wessex, in 860, 101 ; dies 866, 108.
- Ethelfleda, daughter of Alfred, energetic character, military successes, and death of, i. 143.
- Ethelred, succeeds Ethelbert, 966, i. 109 ; death of, 116.
- Ethelred the Unready, accession of, in 978, i. 172 ; crowned and cursed by Dunstan, 172, 173 ; attacked by the Danes, under Sweyn, in 980 ; and following years, 174 ; pays tribute to them first in 991, 174 ; continued in succeeding attacks, 175 ; massacre of the Danes by order of, in 1002, 177 ; is again attacked by Sweyn, and England is ravaged, 177 ; Canterbury burnt by the Danes, 178 ; attacked again by Sweyn, king of Denmark, who proclaims himself king of

- England, 179; retires to Normandy, 179; recalled to England, 180; death of, in 1016, 180.
- Ethelwulf, ravages in England by the Danes during the reign of, i. 95; journey of, to Rome, 99; death of in 858, 100.
- Eugene, prince, commands the Austrian army, 1701, v. 102; becomes a confidant of Marlborough's, 135; sent to cover the Rhine in the campaign of 1704, 135; announces the movements of the Gallo-Bavarian army to Marlborough, 140; having united his force with Marlborough's, they resolve to give the enemy battle, 141; marches against them, Aug. 13, and takes up his position on the right, in front of the elector, with difficulty, 142; his attacks upon whom meet with but slight success, 143; his troops are mistaken for those of the enemy, 145; joins the duke of Savoy's forces; defeats the French, and drives them out of Italy, 1706, 163; plans with Marlborough an attack upon France; crosses the Alps and the Var, and sets down before Toulon, July 25, 1707, 199, 200; joins Marlborough, 204; directs the siege of Lille, 1708, 209; encamps with Marlborough and 100,000 men before Lille, June 21, 1709; Tournay surrenders, 213; aids in investing Douay, 1710, 234; visits London, 266; conducts the campaign of 1712, in co-operation with the duke of Ormond; opens the siege of Quesnoy; it surrenders, July 4, 267, 268; he is deserted by the duke of Ormond, and defeated by Villars at Denain, July 24, 268; defeats the Turks at Peterwaradin, 1717, 397; conducts the war against marshal Berwick, 1734, 443; died 1736, 446.
- Evans, sir De Lacy; commands the British Legion in Spain, viii. 194.
- Evelyn, John, his uncertainty as to James II.'s whereabouts, iv. 474; his grumble at Duncombe, 516; his house at Sayes Court is hired by William for Peter the Great, 1698, v. 54; notes on Wren, and the rebuilding of St. Paul's, 334; introduces Gibbons into notice, 344.
- Evertsen, dutch admiral, engaged in the defeat off Beachy Head, 1690, iv. 515.
- Evesham, battle of, Aug. 4, 1265, i. 417.
- Ewart introduces the Counsel for Prisoners' bill twice, viii. 189.
- Excise scheme by Walpole; he fails in passing the bill embodying this scheme, 1733, v. 442, 443.
- Excommunication, small effect of, on the people, *temp.* John, i. 375, 376.
- Exmouth, lord, concludes a treaty with Algiers; an outrage having been committed on the British, he is sent out with an expedition, vii. 417; his offered terms not being answered, 418, 419; he fights the battle of Algiers, Aug. 27, 1816, 419, 420; and on the following morning the Dey accepts the terms originally offered, 420.
- Eylau, battle of, Feb. 9, 1807, vii. 250, 251.

F.

- FAIRFAX, sir Thomas, presents the people's petition to Charles I. at York, iii. 434; disputes the supremacy of the north with lord Newcastle, 471; commands one of the parliamentarian armies, 491; besieges Lathom house, 493; nominated general, 498; urges that Cromwell should be chief commander of the horse, 501; takes an active part in the battle of Naseby, 502, &c.; letter from Cromwell to, 508; Bristol invested by him and Cromwell, 508; advances upon Oxford, 521; which surrenders to him, 529; secures the Bodleian library from spoliation, 529; influence of, in the army, not so great as that of Cromwell's, 532; allows the king to have an interview with his children, 540; advances upon London, 543; it submits, 545; marches through London in triumph, 546; he and Cromwell put down the Levellers in the army, 554; fights the insurgents at Maldstone, and quells the insurrection, 563; invests London, which surrenders to him, 563; orders sir Charles Lucas and Sir George Lisle to be shot, 563; his lady's conduct at the trial of Charles I., 582; suppresses the Levellers in the army, 594; unwilling to invade the Scots, 611; resigns his office of general, 611.
- Falci, peace of, July 2, 1711, v. 264.
- Falkirk, battle of, Jan. 17, 1746, v. 545-547.
- Falkland, Lucius Cary, lord, charges of, against the lord chancellor Finch, iii. 387; becomes secretary of state, in 1641, 419; his tolerance and moderation the cause of his quitting the parliamentary party, 424; prince Rupert refuses to take directions through, 458; death of, at battle of Newbury, Sept. 20, 1643, 481; character of, and conduct at battle of Newbury, 482.
- Falkland Island, vicissitudes of the, vi. 142.
- Family Compact, treaty known as the, Aug. 15, 1761, vi. 61.
- Famine in England, and terrible effects of, in 1228, i. 410.
- Fancourt, colonel, slain, 1806, in attempting to save his men from mutinied Sepoys, vii. 227.

- Farnham, a great provincial corn market formerly, iv. 420.
- Fastolf, sir John, wins the battle of Herrings, ii. 46; degraded from the Order of the Garter for cowardice at the battle of Patay, 54.
- Fearney, William, his share in capturing the San Josef, 1797, vii. 78.
- Federation fête at Paris, July 14, 1790, vi. 499, 500.
- Females, political zeal of, first half of 18th century, v. 298, 299; employments and dress of, 299, 300; estimate of their character, 301.
- Fens, drainage of the Lincolnshire, iv. 407; vi. 312, 313.
- Fenwick, sir John, implicated by Goodman in the Invasion plot, 1696, v. 37; there being deficient legal evidence to convict him, he is attainted and executed, Jan. 28, 1697, 37, 38.
- Ferand, a deputy in the French Convention; murdered, 1795, vii. 58.
- Ferdinand of Castile marries his daughter Catherine to prince Arthur, the eldest son of Henry VII., ii. 222; uses the English army for the furtherance of his own plans, 251; concludes a truce with France, 255; dies in 1516, and is succeeded by his grandson, Charles V., 262.
- Ferdinand I. of the Two Sicilies. See Ferdinand IV. of Naples.
- Ferdinand III., grand-duke of Tuscany; recognizes the French republic, vii. 64.
- Ferdinand IV. of Naples, 1759-1806, vi. 600; vii. 637; flies to Palermo, 1799, vii. 133; 1806, 240; reascends the throne, 1815; in 1816 he assumed the title of Ferdinand I. of the Two Sicilies, succeeded by Francis in 1825, 637.
- Ferdinand VII. of Spain, solicits Napoleon's protection, 1808, vii. 268; Charles IV. abdicates the crown in favor of, Mar. 19, 268; falls into Napoleon's power, 269; proclaimed king of Spain, 1808; war declared against France, 269; recognized by Napoleon as king of Spain, 1814, 353, 359; released by the French, 1823, 584; died 1833, viii. 68, 194.
- Ferdinand, Don, duke of Parma, vii. 64; buys Napoleon off his territories, 65.
- Ferguson, his march on Solignac hindered, vii. 274.
- Ferozeshah, battle of, Dec. 21 and 22, 1845, viii. 404, 405.
- Fersen, count de, aids in contriving Louis's flight from Paris, 1791, vi. 512, 513.
- Feudal system introduced into England by William the Conqueror, i. 241; exactions imposed through it by William, 242; substitution of rent and wages for feudal services, ii. 76; death struggle of, *temp.* Henry, VI., 101.
- Feudality, transition state of, *temp.* Edw. III., i. 497, 498; description of, as given by Froissart, 499; military spirit fostered by, 499.
- Feudal rights abolished in France, 1789, vi. 485.
- Fenillans, a French political party, twice obtains the administration of affairs, 1792, vi. 531, 532, 531.
- Fielding, Henry, produces his "Pasquin," 1737, v. 466; his "Inquiry into the Causes of the late Increase of Robbers," 1750; places of entertainment; drukenness, 582; gaming among the vulgar; his account of the state of London, 583; his "Adventures of Joseph Andrews," published, 1742; its success; Johnson's opinion of, vi. 389; "Jonathan Wild," published, 1743; "Tom Jones," 1749, 389; "Amelia," 1751; died 1754, 389; description of contemporary manners by, 392-396, 410-417, 418-423.
- Fieschi. See Louis Philippe, viii. 195.
- Fire of London. See London.
- Firmin, his scheme for employing the poor; his religious tenets; his death puts a stop to the printing of Socinian books, v. 46.
- Fisher, John, bishop of Rochester, is accused of participation in the treason of the Holy Maid of Kent, ii. 553; is sent to the Tower for refusing to swear to the illegality of the marriage of Henry VIII. with Catherine, 356; is tried, convicted, and executed, 362, 364.
- Fisher, captain, communicates to Portland a design against William's life, v. 29.
- Fitzgerald, lord Edward, brother of the duke of Leinster, vii. 110; a member of the Irish Directory; on the arrest of the other members he conceals himself; his house surrounded by the military; he resists, kills the magistrate and is himself shot, 111; died June 5, 1798, 112.
- Fitzgerald, captain Robert, saves Dublin from being plundered and burnt, 1690, iv. 517.
- Fitzgerald, Vesey, president of the Board of Trade, viii. 25; influence among the Clare Roman Catholics, 26.
- Fitzharris, lord, description of Pitt's feelings on lord Melville being censured by parliament, 1805, vii. 201.
- Fitzherbert, Mrs., marries the Prince of Wales (George IV.), Dec. 21, 1787, vi. 458.
- Fitz-Osbert, or William with the Long Beard, complains to Richard I. in 1196, of civic corruptions and oppressions, raises an insurrection, is stabbed and hanged, i. 356, 357.
- Fitzwilliam, earl, lord lieutenant of Ireland; recalled the same year, vii. 53; dismissed his lord lieutenancy of the West Riding, 493.

- Flaxman, John, an eminent sculptor, vi. 380; high character of his designs, vii. 541.
- Fleet prison, state of the, 1729, 1730, v. 436, 437.
- Flesselles, mayor of Paris, shot, July, 1789, vi. 482.
- Fletcher of Saltoun, his opinion on the relative positions of England and Scotland, v. 57.
- Fletcher, lieutenant-colonel, helps Wellington in constructing the lines of Torres Vedras, vii. 300.
- Fleurus, battle of, 1690, iv. 525; May 29, 1794, vii. 48.
- Fleury, cardinal, prime minister of Louis XV., v. 425; his offers to the English, 474.
- Flitcroft, architect of Woburn House, v. 343.
- Flodden Field, battle of, on Sept. 9, 1513, ii. 258, 259.
- Florida ceded to Spain, 1782, vi. 290; to Great Britain, 1763, 601; to the United States, 1820, viii. 204.
- Flushing, failure of the British to take, 1809, vii. 294, 295.
- Foley, commander of the Goliath at the battle of the Nile, 1798, vii. 102; present at the battle of Copenhagen, 1801, 159.
- Folly, the coffee-house; its visitors, iv. 450
- Fontainebleau, peace of, Sept. 2, 1679, v. 263; treaty of, vii. 265; concordat at, Jan. 25, 1813, 643.
- Fontenoy, battle of, May 11, 1745, v. 495, 496.
- Fonthill erected by Wyatt, vii. 532.
- Food, supply of London with, 19th century, viii. 210-212.
- Forbes, Duncan, lord advocate, 1736, v. 459; conducts the inquiry into the Porteous riots, 459; proposed the enlisting of Scotch regiments, 498, 499; MacDonald informs him of Charles Edward's descent upon Scotland, 505; considers it rash and desperate, 507, 508.
- Foster commands the English in the Pretender's army, v. 371; assumes the command of the whole army on English ground, 372, 373; his preparation for the enemy, 373, 374; tries to obtain favourable terms of surrender, 375; escapes from Newgate, 383, 384.
- Fort Augustus destroyed by the Highlanders, v. 551.
- Fort Boyer captured by the British, Feb. 1815, vii. 382.
- Fort Edward taken by Burgoyne, 1777, vi. 199, 200.
- Fort St. George capitulates to the British, 1746, v. 593.
- Foster, speaker of Irish House of Commons; his passing the Union bill described, vii. 126, 127.
- Foster, John, his "Essays," vii. 519, 520.
- Fouché, his share in the execution of the Lyonnese, 1793, vii. 18; assists Bonaparte's intrigues, 135.
- Foulon, intendant of marine, vi. 478; murdered by the mob, 483; Lafayette attempt to save him, 483.
- Foundling Hospital established by captain Coram; it promotes, instead of repressing the great social evil, vi. 422, 423.
- Fourbin, admiral, commands the fleet conveying the pretender James and his force to Scotland; he fails in making a landing and returns to Dunkirk, 1708, v. 203.
- Fox, Charles James, his first speech in parliament, 1769, vi. 107; indignation of, at government's conduct towards Burgoyne, 204; leader of his party; character, 258; strong language used by, in moving for an amendment of the king's address; motion lost, 259; secretary of state, 1782, 262; his resolution to carry Burke's Economical Reform bill, 267; moves the repeal of the statute asserting Ireland's dependence, 277; his differences with Shelburne in the negotiations with Franklin for a treaty of peace, 279, 280; resigns his secretaryship, 280; mistake of, 281; commencement of the party conflict between Pitt and, 490; secretary of state, 1782, 303; excesses in gambling, and remarkable elasticity of mind, 407; coalesces with Lord North, appointed secretary of state, 439; re-elected for Westminster; unpopularity, 439; his India bill; proposes to govern India by a board, 441; bill carried in the Commons, 443; rejected by the Lords, 443, 444; the king orders him to give up his office, 443; contests the seat for Westminster; enthusiasm of the duchess of Devonshire in his cause; fails, but takes his seat as a Scotch representative, 448; considers France England's natural foe, 454; denies that the prince of Wales had married Mrs. Fitzherbert, 458; renounces the prince's acquaintance on hearing that such really was the case, 459; supports the prince's claim to the regency, 459; in raptures on hearing of the fall of the Bastille, 492; opposes the army estimates, 496; disputes with Burke, 496, 497; proposes the abolition of the Corporation and Test acts, 498; differs with Burke in his opinion on the new constitution of France, 504, 505; which results in a breach of friendship, 506; emotion evinced by, 506;

- favours the abolition of the slave trade, 526; his Libel bill; difficulty of passing it through the Lords, 526; attempts made by lord Loughborough to bring about a coalition between Pitt and, 526; his opinion of the September massacres, 1792, 546; joy of, at the Prussian retreat, 551; anxiety for Louis's fate; proposes that the national sense against his execution be made known to France, 558; Portland and other whigs separate from Fox, and join Pitt's party, 560; opposes Pitt's Indian Declaratory bill, 1788, 573; opposes the policy of warring with France, 582, 583; and the Traitorous Correspondence bill, 584; popularity of, in France, 588; proposes that peace be re-established with France, 595; defends the duke of York, and blames Pitt for the Dunkirk failure, 1793, vii. 15; speech of, on the stability of the Jacobin government, 26; his indignation at the project of assimilating the English and Scottish laws on sedition and lease making, 33; his indignation at Pitt's coercive measures; dreads the approach of absolutism, 62; secedes from the whig party, 95; again appears in his place in parliament, Jan. 1800, 143; desires peace, 175; his admiration of Pitt's speech, 183; the king refuses to admit him to his counsels, 1804, 191; secretary of state for foreign affairs, 1806, 229; the king becomes reconciled to, 231; declining health of, 231; died Sept. 13, 1806, 232; his last speech in parliament, 235; fails in obtaining peace, 235, 237; buried with public honours, Oct. 10, 237, 238; rivalry with Pitt, 238.
- Fox, Henry, lord Holland, his opinion of the rebellion of 1745, v. 516; married the duke of Richmond's daughter clandestinely; opposes the marriage act of 1753, 585; Newcastle offers him the secretaryship of state with the lead of the Commons; refuses them, 589, 590; obtains a seat in the cabinet, deserting Pitt, 1754, 591; favours the bringing over foreign troops for the defence of this country, vi. 14; secretary of state; resigns this office, Oct. 1756, 21; created lord Holland, 1763; retires from public affairs; retaining his office of paymaster, 72, 73.
- Fox, commodore, takes 40 French ships, 1747, v. 568.
- France, rival factions of the Burgundians and Armagnacs, during the reign of Charles VI., i. 603; distress occasioned by, ii. 29; a great cause of the successes of Henry V., 29; the Armagnacs massacred and expelled from Paris by the mob in 1418, 30; duke of Burgundy murdered at Montereau, 33; accession of Charles VII. to the throne in 1422, 41; Charles VII. is conducted to Rheims by Joan of Arc, and crowned there in 1430, 53; invaded by Edward IV. in 1475, 150; treaty of peace with England agreed to at Picquiny, 151.
- Francis I. succeeds to the throne of France in January, 1515, ii. 262; commences war against Charles V. and takes Milan, 262; meets Henry VIII. in the Field of the Cloth of Gold, 273; is taken prisoner at the battle of Pavia in 1525, 289; is released by Charles V. on agreeing to very severe terms, 291; project of for invading England and marching to London, 457; death of, in 1547, 457.
- Francis I. of Austria. See Francis II. of Germany.
- Francis II. of Germany, succeeds Leopold as king of Hungary, 1792, vi. 531; rules Lombardy, vii. 64; welcomes the Russians into Moravia, 133; joins the coalition against France, 134; joins his army at Austerlitz; interview with Napoleon; his cessions by the peace of Presburg, 213; emperor of Germany, 1792-1806; Francis I. of Austria, 1806-1835, 636; viii. 68.
- Francis John, his attempt on the Queen's life, 1842, viii. 343.
- Francis, sir Phillip, said to be the author of Junius' letters, vi. 111; reports the speeches of Mansfield and Chatham, 1770, 118; appointed a member of the Calcutta Council; opposes Hastings; brings charges of corruption against him, 430; immoralities of; assumes the governor-general's power on hearing of Hastings's resignation, 431; duels with Hastings; wounded; resigns office; returns to England, 433; his speech on our alliance with France, 454.
- Franklin, Benjamin, printer of the "Craftsman," convicted of libel for writing an article therein, 1731, v. 440; opposes the passing of the Stamp Act, 1765, vi. 84; recommends the Americans to submit for awhile, 84; examined by the House of Commons on the American Stamp Act; the condition of the North American colonies, 93; the temper of the colonists towards Great Britain before 1763; the American spirit and resolution never to pay the stamp duty, 93; appears before the lords of the council, as agent for Massachusetts; insulted by them; dismissed his post of deputy postmaster-general, 157; returns to America; deprecates attempting to restore the interests between England and America, 173; but still shrinks from war, 173; his conference with lord Howe; despatched to Paris to procure a treaty of alliance with the French Court; lands in France, Dec. 8, 1776; presents a memorial to count de Vergennes, 193; his reception in the Parisian court circles; his influence upon the national thought, 193; besieged by applications to recommend officers for the American service, 198; minister plenipotentiary of the United States, May, 1779; entrusts Paul Jones with the command of an expedition against the British coasts, 218; his predictions of the future of America, 246; negotiates with Shelburne and

- Fox for a treaty of peace, 279, 280; one of the commissioners for settling the articles of peace between England and America; signs the preliminaries, Nov. 30, 1783, 288; recommends amity between England and America, 292.
- Frank-pledge, nature of, i. 137.
- Fraser, John. See Mac Iver.
- Frazer, general, repulsed at Alexandria, 1807, vii. 254.
- Frederick, Prince of Wales; his income dependent upon the will of his father, George II., v. 431; his arrival in England, 1728; fails in obtaining princess Wilhelmina of Prussia in marriage, 433, 434; his popularity; marries the princess of Saxe-Gotha, April 27, 1736, 461; opposes his father; applies to parliament for an increase of income, 462; fails in obtaining it, 462; will not allow his wife to be confined at Hampton Court; hurries her to St. James's, 462; where she gave birth to a girl; the king's anger at his conduct; he is banished from St. James's; removes to Norfolk House, 1737, 463; his popularity increases, 463; his mother refuses to see him on her dying bed, 463; his son George Augustus (afterwards George III.) born May 25, 1738, 468; his apparent reconciliation with his father, 488; his death March 20, 1751, 579; receives but few honours at his burial; his son George created Prince of Wales; a revenue is assigned to his widow, 580; who dies, Feb., 1772, vi. 149.
- Frederick I. of Prussia; elector of Brandenburg; fights against the French at Fleurus, iv. 525; present at the congress at the Hague, 528; invested with the Garter, 528; his character, 529; concludes a treaty with Marlborough, 1704, v. 147; reigns 1701-1713, 603.
- Frederick II. king of Prussia, succeeds Frederick William I., 1740, v. 481, 600; lays claim to Silesia, 485; it not being recognized he invades the country; secures the greater part of it; defeats general Neipperg at Molwitz, April 10, 1741; his conduct in the battle, 486; again presses the cession of Silesia; his demand refused; and the Austrians receive a second defeat from him, May 17, 1742; enters into a treaty with Great Britain and Hungary, 487, 488; overruns Bohemia, 493; treaty between George II. and, concluded at Westminster, Jan. 16, 1756, vi. 17; his power as a king; suddenly commences war, 18; takes Dresden, Sept. 10; blockades Pirna; spares the picture gallery at Dresden; obtains possession of the State papers; defeats the Austrians at Losowitz, Oct. 1; Pirna surrenders; retires into winter quarters, 19; receives 200,000*l.* from the British government, 1757, 23; commences his second campaign, April; his character; marches into Bohemia; defeats the Austrians in the battle of Prague, May 6; bombards Prague; defeated by the Austrian marshal Daun at the battle of Kolin, June 17, 34, 35; defeats prince de Soubise at Rosbach, Nov. 5, and prince Charles de Lorraine at Leuthen, Dec. 5, 37, 38; a subsidy of 670,000*l.* voted to, by the British government, 39; defeats the Russians at Zorndorf, Aug. 25, 1758, 41; popularity of, among the English, 41; surprised by the Austrians; his presence of mind saves the troops, 41, 42; defeated by the Russians at Kunersdorf; wins the victories of Legnitz and Torgau, 48, 49; his unsuccessful campaign; writes to Pitt, who promises the king's support, 60; Bute refuses him his subsidy, 65; succeeded by Frederick William II., 1786, 600.
- Frederick IV., of Denmark, 1699-1730, v. 604; vi. 600; his conquests, and contest with Charles XII., v. 391.
- Frederick VI. of Denmark, crown prince; refuses the demands of the English envoy, vii. 260; declares war against Great Britain, 261; ascends the throne, 1808, 637; present at the Vienna congress, 410.
- Frederick William I. of Prussia, 1713-1740, iv. 603; wars against Charles XII., 1715, v. 391; died May 31, 1740, 481.
- Frederick William II. of Prussia, 1786-1797, vi. 600, vii. 636; succeeds Frederick the Great, vi. 456; interviews with the emperor of Germany; they issue the declaration of Pilnitz, 520; surrender of Mayence to, 1793, vii. 14; obtains a subsidy from England, 47; his Polish raid, 1794, 51, 52.
- Frederick William III. of Prussia succeeds Frederick William II., 1797, vii. 636; takes the part of Orange against France, vi. 456, 457; his wavering conduct; joins France, 1805, vii. 213; bribed into hostilities with Britain, 239; uneasiness of his ties with France, 240; commands the Prussian armies, 1806, 243; defeated at Jena, 241; rejects Napoleon's overtures for peace, 251; concludes an alliance with Russia, 345; his meeting with Alexander at Leipzig, 1813, 349; present at the Vienna congress, 1815, 410.
- Freemantle, captain, his account of and conduct at the battle of Copenhagen, 1801, vii. 158-160.
- Frere, J. H., writer in the "Anti-Jacobin," vii. 88; British ambassador in Spain; advises Moore to advance on Madrid, vii. 276.
- Feron aids in massacring the Toulonense, 1793, vii. 25.
- Friedland, battle of, June 14, 1807, vii. 256.
- Friend, sir John, trial of, v. 31.

- Frobisher, sir Martin, expeditions of, to the Polar seas, iii. 143; takes part in the attack on the Armada, 151; takes Brest from the Spaniards in 1594, and is killed, 192.
- Froissart, sir John, picture of chivalry by, i. 490.
- Froud, Mr., view of, as to state interference in social affairs, i. 561-565.
- Fuenterabia captured by the French, 1719, v. 403.
- Fuentes, count de, ordered to leave London, 1762, vi. 65.
- Fuentes de Onoro, battle of, May 6, 1811, vii. 318.
- Furniture and utensils, notice of those in use in the 15th century, ii. 89
- Fuseli, his paintings, vii. 353, 354.

G.

- GAGE, general, supercedes Hutchinson as governor of Massachusetts; appointed the colonial commander-in-chief, 1774, vi. 163; coldly received at Boston; fortifies Boston isthmus, 164; orders a detachment to destroy the military stores at Concord, 168, 169; offers pardon to all on certain conditions, excepting Hancock and S. Adams, 175; called home, 180.
- Gainsborough, Thomas, exhibits at the Royal Academy, 1769, vi. 371; portrait and landscape painter, 373; his merits and excellencies, 374.
- Galgacus, resistance of, to the invasion of Agricola, i. 39; doubtful speech, and defeat of, 39, 40.
- Gallisoniere, admiral La; engages Byng, 1756, vi. 15.
- Galt, John, died 1839; his novels, viii. 307, 308.
- Galway, lord; he is defeated by the French and Spaniards on the plains of Almanza, v. 197; placed at the bar of the House of Lords to give an account of his proceedings in Spain, 241.
- Galway surrenders to Ginkell, iv. 128.
- Gambier, admiral lord, commands the expedition against Copenhagen, 1807, vii. 260; commands the expedition against the French in Aix roads, 1809; fails to assist heartily his subordinate officer, lord Cochrane; tried by court martial and acquitted, 283; British commissioner for concluding the peace of Ghent, 383.
- Game laws, vii. 446.
- Game in Anne's reign, v. 312; in those of George I. and II., vi. 404, 405, 407.
- Ganganelli. See Clement XIV.
- Gaols, inquiry into the state of the, 1729, 1730, v. 436; the fraud and extortion of the wardens of the Fleet; shocking case of cruelty towards captain Macpheadris by Bambridge, 437, 438; the horrors of the Marshalsea prison, 438; public attention drawn to the state of the, by Howard, 421-422; state of prisons in England and Scotland, viii. 190, 191.
- Garat, his visit to England, 1791, 1792, vi. 522, 523.
- Gardiner, colonel, killed at Preston Pans, 1745, v. 513; Dr. Doddridge's account of his death, 514.
- Gardiner, Stephen, bishop of Winchester supports the king's supremacy, but opposes the Reformation, ii. 459; becomes a great heretic hunter, 459; prepares articles for queen Catherine Parr's impeachment, but the king rejects them, 464; objects to the printing of a book of homilies, and of a translation of Erasmus's paraphrase of the New Testament, 528; continued resistance of, to the Reformation, 534; deprived of his bishopric and committed to the Tower in 1550, in the reign of Edward VI., 564; consents to use the Common Prayer, 566; released on the accession of Mary, 580; is made lord chancellor, 584; sermon of, before Mary, exhorting her to severity, 593; exertions of, to suppress heresy, 608; colloquy of, with Rogers, 611; increased severity of, against the married clergy, 617; death of, 627.
- Garnet, Henry, the Jesuit, tried for his complicity in the Gunpowder Plot, iii. 269; his doctrine of equivocation, 270.
- Garrick improves the tone of the stage, v. 302; his Shaksperian acting, vi. 401.
- Garter, the order of the, instituted by Edward III. in 1349, i. 519.
- Gas, Pall Mall lighted by, 1807; its introduction has considerable influence in preventing crime; opposition shown to it by the partizans of the persons employed in the whale fisheries, vii. 440.
- Gascoigne, general, moves for a diminution in the number of parliamentary members, viii. 77.
- Castanga, marquis of, governor of the Netherlands; represents Charles of Spain at the Hague congress, 1691, iv. 527.
- Gates, general in the United States army, vi. 200; encamps on Behmus' heights; compels Burgoyne to yield with the honours of war, 1777, 200, 201.
- Gaul, Druidism in, i. 15; Cæsar's account of the Druidical judges of, 24.
- Gauls, the, assisted by the Britons, i. 14.

- Gaveston, Piers. See Edward II.
- Gawilghur taken by the British, Dec. 15, 1803, vii. 225.
- Gay, author of the Beggar's Opera, v. 307.
- Gaza surrendered to the French, March 25, 1799, vii. 131.
- Gazi Hassan resists the Russians; capitan pasha, vi. 145.
- General warrants, debates on the legality of; officers dismissed for voting their illegality, vi. 79-81, 84.
- Genoa, annexation of, to France, 1805, vii. 202, 203.
- Gentleman, country, character and description of, the *temp.* William and Anne, v. 290; *temp.* Geo. III. vii. 498.
- Gentleman's magazine started by Cave, 1731, vi. 384.
- Geoffrey of Monmouth, fables of, i. 14.
- George of Denmark marries queen Anne, 1689, iv. 498; votes for the Occasional Conformity bill, 263; lord high admiral, 201; died October, 1708, 207.
- George I., elector of Hanover, 1698, v. 90; refuses to co-operate with Marlborough, 171; becomes heir-apparent on the death of his mother, the princess Sophia; born May 28, 1660, 277; proclaimed king on the death of queen Anne, 1714; lauds at Greenwich, Sept. 18, 361; personal appearance and character, 361; Sophia, princess of Zell, and the Königsmark tragedy, 362; his account of an early impression as king of England, 362, 363; coronation of, October 20; opens his first parliament, March 17, 1715, 364; speech on adjourning parliament, 365; speech on opening parliament, 1716, 380; refuses to see lady Nithsdale's petition; appealed to for mercy towards the rebel lords; his power to pardon affirmed, 382; leaves for Germany; his awkward position as king of England, 390; his hostility towards Russia; jealousy of his son, 391, 392; dismisses Townshend his secretaryship; offers him the lord lieutenancy of Ireland, 392, 393; announcements on opening parliament, 1717, of the triple alliance, and Gyllenberg's arrest, 393; quarrels with the prince of Wales, 398; speech on opening parliament, 1718, 400; recommends the settling of the peerage question, 404; recommends the consideration of the national debts, 406; dissuaded from going to Hanover, 1722 417; James' proposal to, 418; announces the treaty of Hanover, 1725, 425; speech at closing the session, 1727, sets out for Hanover, June, 3; dies on his journey, June 10, 428.
- George II., his father's jealousy of him, 1716, v. 392; appointed guardian of the realm during George I.'s absence in Hanover, 392; quarrels with his father, 1717, 398; banished from the royal residence; sets up an opposition court at Leicester House; the earl of Berkeley makes a proposal to George I. to seize him and carry him to America, 398; governor of a Welsh copper company, 408; succeeds his father to the throne of England, June, 1727, 429; R. Walpole obtains a confirmation of his power through queen Caroline's influence, and by bribing the king, 430; the civil list revenue settled on him as income, 430; his son, Frederick, prince of Wales, dependent upon him for his income, 431; fought at Oudenarde and Dettingen; influence of the queen over, 431; conduct of, towards the prince of Wales, 433; remarks on opening parliament, 1732; strikes Pulteney's name off the privy council list, 440; determined to stand by Walpole, 445; speech on opening parliament, 1734, 446, 447; his warlike desires, 447; visits Hanover; returns to England, Oct. 22, 1735, 452; his unpopularity; pasquinade on, 461; returns to England, Dec. 1736; quarrels with his son, 461, 462; banishes him from St James' palace, 463; illness of the queen; and death, Nov. 20, 1737, 463, 464; his grief, 465; the birth of George III. excites in him a stronger jealousy towards his son, 468; announces the convention with Spain on opening parliament, 1739, 471; calls upon parliament for support against Spain, 474; speech to parliament, 1740, 480; goes to Hanover, 1741; concludes a treaty of neutrality without his minister's knowledge, 482; exults at the results of his meditation between Hungary and Prussia, 487, 488; reconciled to his son, who he admits to court, 488; national jealousy roused by his taking Hanoverian troops into English pay, 489; prorogues parliament; lends his army to Maria Theresa; departs for Germany, 591; joins his army, June, 19, 1743; commands the rear guard; his gallantry; gains the battle of Dettingen, June 27; and expels the French from Germany; his enthusiastic reception in England, 491, 492; restrained from leaving England, 1744, 493; returns from Hanover, Aug. 31, 1745, 519; Andrew Drummond becomes his private banker, 537; refuses to nominate Pitt secretary at war, 567; speech on opening parliament, 1747, 569; death of the prince of Wales, March 20, 1751, 579; his grandson assumes the title; desires the duke of Cumberland to be sole regent, 580; asks for increased forces, 592; goes to Hanover; ministerial difficulties about subsidies, 600; his address; supported by Hamilton and Fox; opposed by Pitt, 601, 602; introduces Hessian troops for England's defence, vi. 14; speech of, 1756; forms a treaty with Frederick of Prussia, 17; different tone of speech, 1756; his real opinions, 21; asks parliament for a subsidy to Prussia, 23; attempts made to dupe, 24; his strong dislike to Pitt and Temple; fails to form a ministry, 25, 26; finally

compelled to accept the Pitt administration, 26; his anger at the duke of Cumberland, 36; refuses to ratify the convention of Closter-Seven, 38; recommends a Prussian subsidy, 39; death of, Oct. 25, 1760, 49.

George III. born May 24, O. S. 1738, v. 468; attains his majority; entreats not to be separated from his mother, vi. 19, 20; lord Bute is made his groom of the stole, 20; succeeds George II. as king, 1760; his conduct on hearing of his father's death, 50; character and education, 51; Bute's influence over, 53; opens parliament, Nov. 15, 54; his speech; the Commons vote him £800,000; his enthusiastic reception by the people; policy of his reign, 55; recommends an act for securing the independence of judges, 56; marriage projects; his choice falls upon princess Charlotte of Mecklenburg-Strelitz, 57; whom he marries, Sept. 8, 1761; her character and appearance, 58; coronation, Sept. 22, 59; gives Pitt a pension, 62; promises a vigorous prosecution of the war, 64; resolves on war with Spain, 65; speech of, 1762, 67; Bute attempts to uphold the principle of prerogative, 71; speech on the peace, 1763, and its advantages, 73, 74; desires to govern and form a ministry of his own, 76; his sensitiveness on the general warrants question, 80; orders Conway and others voting against the ministry to be dismissed their offices, 81; illness of, 87; his indignation at the conduct of his ministers in the Regency bill matter induces him to offer Pitt the premiership; Pitt's terms, 88; Temple persuades Pitt to break off the negotiations; his disgust at the conduct of Grenville and Bedford, 89; orders the suppression of the American disturbances, 91; his right to make laws for the colonies declared, 94; expresses himself as being now for and now against the repeal of the Stamp act, 95; seeks Pitt's advice as to a new ministry, 98; urges Pitt's expulsion from parliament, 106; Junius' address to; speech on proroguing parliament, 1769; his graziers' speech on opening parliament, 1770, 117; offers North the lord commissionership of the treasury, 122; speech, 1768, on North American proceedings, 125; address and remonstrance of the city of London to, 132, 133; his harsh reply, 132; a second remonstrance presented to, by Beckford, with a speech; no intimation having previously been given he is at a loss what to do, 133; his indignation at the lord mayor's charging a House of Commons' officer with assault for arresting Miller, a printer, 135; speech on opening the session, 1770, 142; imprisonment of his sister the queen of Denmark; obtains her release, 148, 149; requests parliament to amend the laws respecting royal marriages, 150, 151; message to parliament on the Boston outrages 157; his letter to North favouring military measures against America, 157; speech, 1774, 165; his answer to a remonstrance presented by Wilkes, 168; his estimate of the value of addresses; speech, 1775, on the American war, 179; the petition of congress to, rejected, 180; his opinion of Chatham's speech advising a cessation of hostilities with America, 197; speech, 1777, 201; announces a treaty between France and America, and withdrawal of the British minister from Paris, 208; his surprise at Chatham's being buried with public honours, 211; rejoices at the rejection of Burke's Economical Reform bill, 227; takes measures for repressing the riots of 1780, 230; holds a council to explain the riot act; his opinion of the right construction, 232; gives his claims on St. Eustatius to the army and navy, 243; inconsistency of his speech, 1781, 257, 258; his hatred of Fox, 258, 259; his reply to the address praying for a discontinuance of the war, 261; his dislike of having the opposition for his ministers, 261; compelled to change his ministers, 262; recommends economical reform, 267; expresses his concern at the discontent in Ireland, 276; appoints Shelburne to the lordship of the Treasury, 280; speech, 1782, announcing the independence of America, 289; his alleged incivility towards Jefferson and Adams, 292; Jefferson's testimony to this effect, 292; and that of Adams to the contrary, 293; fond of agricultural pursuits, 316; chosen patron of the Royal Academy, 370; patronizes West; his delight in West's pictures, 375, 376; remarks on India, 1782, 441, 442; his dislike to Fox's India bill, 442, 443; dismisses the coalition ministry, 443; his firmness in retaining the Pitt ministry, 446, 447; speech, 1786, 452; announces the commercial treaty with France, 453; speech, 1787, 456, 457; refuses to help the prince of Wales to pay his debts, 457; attacked by insanity; the prince of Wales appointed Regent, 459, 460; recovers; public rejoicing; his gratitude to Pitt for his firm attachment, 462; speech, 1788, 463; speech, 1790, 493, 495; his coldness towards Talleyrand, 522; pacific speech, 1792, 523; dismisses the lord chancellor, Loughborough, 527; royal proclamation against seditious writings, 527; speech, 1792, 529; speech, Dec., 1792, 552; reconciled to lord Loughborough, 561; his popularity; calibre of his mind, 565, 566, asks for increased forces, 1793, 570; parliament's address to, 570; announces France's declaration of war against Britain, 581; speech, 1793, vii. 13; anecdote of, and Loughborough's constructive treason, 38; objects to his son's removal from his military command, 49; speech, 1794, 53, 54; cuts Wilberforce, 55; orders lord Malmesbury to demand the princess Caroline for the prince of Wales, 55,

56; speech 1795, 57; assailed by the mob; his courage, 61; holds a council to provide a remedy for the money panic, 1797, 73; speech, 1797, 75; speech, 1798; congratulates the nation on the victory of the Nile, 104; speech, Nov. 1798; remarks on the volunteers and the Irish Union, 105; speech, 1799, 118; pernicious effects of his resistance to the proposed union with Ireland, 119; expresses his satisfaction at the measure, 121; remarks on the completion of the Union, 127; speech, July 1799, 134; speech, 1801, 152, 153; opposes any concessions to Catholics, 152; again attacked by insanity, 155; attributes its cause to Pitt's conduct, 155; speech, 1802, alludes to France's encroachments, 174; Despard's conspiracy for killing; message to parliament for calling out the militia, 179, 180; reviews the volunteers, Oct. 1803, 189; another attack of insanity, Feb. 12 to April, 1804, 190; refuses Pitt's request for an administration on a broad basis, but offers him the premiership under certain prescribed limitations, which Pitt accepts, May, 1804; opposes Catholic emancipation, 191; curious incident in reading his speech on proroguing parliament, 1804; indications of a new grand-alliance, 197; Napoleon's letter to, 198; desires Grenville to form a ministry, 228; requires a pledge of the Grenville ministry, which causes them to resign, 246, 247; opposes the Roman Catholic enlistment bill, 247; sympathy of the people with, on account of his infirmities; nearly blind, 249; speech, 1807, 256; speech, 1808, 262, 263; his high opinion of Wellington, 301; celebration of "The Jubilee," the fiftieth year of his reign, 303; speech, 1810; alludes to the Peninsular war, 306; the distressing condition of his daughter brings on his last attack of insanity, Oct. 1810, 312; death of, Jan. 19, 1820, 495.

George IV., born Aug. 12, 1762, vi. 87; introduced to the House of Peers, 1783; Carlton House assigned him as a residence, 441; his income, 457; embarrassed by debts; marries Mrs. Fitzherbert, 458; his claims to the Regency disputed, 460; Pitt's proposition for restricting his power as regent, 461; his first speech in parliament, 527; the princess Caroline of Brunswick demanded in marriage for him, vii. 55, 56; her character, arrival in England, and meeting with the prince; they are married April 8, 1795, 56; George III. causes an inquiry to be made as to the princess's conduct, 230; re-appointed Regent Feb. 5, 1811, 313, 314; restriction on, about to expire; his letter as to the choice of a ministry, 318; his character, 320; the formation of the Liverpool ministry, 323; declaration of, on the American war, 364, 365; speech, 1814, 378; message to parliament, 1815, 392; his unpopularity, 425; speech 1817, on the state of the country; outraged; parliament addresses him on the subject, 455; speech, 1817, 462; serious illness of, 475; his dissension with his daughter, 475, 476; causes of his gloom; opens parliament by commission, 477; dissolves parliament, 1818, 419; speech, 1818, 481; speech, 1819, on the state of the country, 486; his satisfaction with the conduct of those who put down the Manchester reform meeting; his reply to the London address, 493; quiet transition from one reign to another, 495; patronizes Nash, 536; presents the Phigaleian marbles to the nation, 542; speech, 1820, alludes to the Cato-street conspiracy, 553; the queen Caroline debate, 558, 559; the queen intimates her intention to come to England, 558; his desire for divorce, and to have the queen tried for high treason; his differences with his cabinet, 558, 559; the ministerial propositions agreed to, 559; prepares for his coronation, 560, 561; opens parliament in person, and leaves the settling of his revenues to its disposal, 560; the queen's journey towards and arrival in England, 561, 562; message of, to parliament, and the green bag containing papers concerning the queen's conduct, which is laid before parliament; Canning (for government) and Brougham vindicate their respective conduct in the affair of queen Caroline, 562, 563; conferences for averting a public proceeding; these negotiations fail, 563, 564; Canning sends in his resignation, but the king insists on his retaining office; secret committee appointed, and the consequence of their report is that a bill of Pains and Penalties is brought against the queen; her trial commenced Aug. 7, 1820, 565; swarm of addresses presented to the queen, 565, 566; Brougham's defence; Denman's bold and unmeasured language, 568; motions for the second and third readings carried, and abandonment of the bill of Penalties, 569; the national rejoicing thereat, 570; discussions in the next session on the queen's affairs; she accepts a 50,000*l.* annuity, and all general interest in her ceases, 571; the king's coronation, July 19, 1821, 571, 572; the queen vainly attempts to be present at the ceremonial; she dies Aug. 7; the king sails for Dublin, Aug. 1; the passage of the queen's remains through the city to Brunswick causes a riot; Canning having resigned, the king refuses to allow of his re-admission into the cabinet, 572; speech, 1822, on Irish outrage and agricultural distress, 577; embarks at Greenwich for Scotland, Aug. 10, 1822; lands at Leith, Aug. 18; his cordial reception, 578; speech, 1824, 584; his manifesto, 585; fails to obtain Canning's dismissal; foreign influence upon the king; speech, 1825; recognizes the South American republics, 586; speeches of, illustrative of the suddenness of the money panic

- of 1825, 539; his difficulty in choosing a new ministry, 610; his unreservedness in talking of State affairs, 613, 614; his compassion for Canning's ill-health, 615; his share in the negotiations preceding Canning's premiership, 619; desires Wellington to form a ministry, viii. 16; speech, 1828, 17, 18; refuses to acquiesce in the emancipation of Roman Catholics; gives a reluctant consent to a passage to that effect in his speech; speech, 1829, 29; dismisses the Wellington ministry, but foreseeing the difficulties of forming another, he recalls it, 30; his interviews with Eldon, 33; speech, 1830, 35; illness of; his death, June 26, 1830; his position in life and character, 36.
- Gernaine, lord George Sackville; present at Minden; unable to understand prince Ferdinand's messages; tried by court martial; declared unfit for any military post, vi. 43, 44; secretary of state, 1775-1782, 180, 298; approves of Cornwallis's severity in America, 247; refuses to sign any treaty giving independence to America; retires from office; created a peer, 260.
- Germanus, the arrival of, in Britain, about 449, and his suppression of the Pelagian heresy, i. 74.
- Gertruydenberg taken by Dumouriez, 1793, vi. 589.
- Ghent surrenders to Marlborough, 1706, v. 174; to the French, 1708, 204; retaken by the Allies, 207; pacification of, Nov. 8, 1576, 261; peace of, Dec. 24, 1814, vii. 614; viii. 360, 361; it concludes the war with America, 383.
- Ghorka war, 1814, 1815, viii. 624.
- Ghuznac, siege and capture of, by the British, 1839, viii. 289, 290; recapture, 299.
- Gibbon, inconsistencies of the account given by Gildas of the Britons after the departure of the Romans, pointed out by, 58; a member of parliament, 1774, vi. 162; describes a scene in the House of Commons, 162; his experience of club life in London, 405.
- Gibbons, G., statue of Charles II. at Windsor Castle by, iv. 264.
- Gibbs, architect of St. Martin's in the Fields, v. 342.
- Gibbs, counsel for Hardy, 1794, vii. 36.
- Gibraltar taken by Rooke and Darmstadt, Aug. 3, 1704, v. 151; besieged by the Spaniards ineffectually, 1727, v. 426, 427; and again, 1719-82, vi. 234, 281, 286; siege ended by the peace, 287.
- Gibson, proceedings against, for obstructing a scrutiny into an election, 1751, v. 577.
- Gifford, William, supports the "Anto-Jacobin," vii. 88; destroys the Della Cruscan school by his "Baviad" and "Mæviad," vii. 505; edits the "Quarterly Review," 518.
- Gilbert, John, aids in constructing the Bridgewater Canal, vi. 342.
- Gildas the historian, inconsistencies in the account of the Britons, given by, i. 72.
- Gin act, 1736, v. 453, 454.
- Ginkell, earl of Athlone; captures Athlone, June 30, 1691, iv. 535; defeats the Irish under St. Ruth, at the battle of Aghrim, July 12, 535; takes Limerick, and quells all opposition to William's cause, 536; accompanies William in his campaign of 1695, 590, *et seq.*
- Girondins, a French political party, vi. 517; obtains the administration of affairs, 531; dismissed from power, 533; they desire a Republic, 538; their power and policy, 548, 549; they vote the abolition of royalty, 549; and the death of the king, 570; insurrection against them, 596, 597; its political existence extinguished, 596; their triumph in the provinces, vii. 17.
- Girtin, Thomas, his water-colour paintings, vii. 548.
- Gladsmuir. See Preston Pans.
- Gladstone, W. E., remarks of, on the opium war, viii. 281; colonial secretary, 392.
- Glass, duty on; glass houses in Defoe's time, iv. 403; reduction of the duty on, 1831, viii. 71; and repeal, 1845; important results consequent thereon, 379, 380.
- Glenceo; dislike of lord Breadalbane towards the MacDonalds of, iv. 542; tardy submission of the chief MacIan to William's government, 543; letters of Dalrymple concerning the extirpation of the tribe of, 546; captain Campbell despatched to, with a troop of soldiers, 548; description of the glen; treacherous behaviour of Campbell, 548; perpetration of the massacre, Feb. 13, 1692, 549; inquiries are made into the massacre, 551; but no one is punished but Dalrymple, who is merely dismissed from his secretaryship, and Breadalbane, who is imprisoned for a short time, and then pardoned, 552.
- Glendower, Owen, heads a revolt of the Welsh against Henry IV., i. 591; is declared prince of Wales, 592; repulses the army of sir Edmund Mortimer, 592; and that of the king, 593; concludes a treaty with, and receives assistance from France, 601; successful resistance of, 602; is exempted from the general pardon of Henry IV. to the Welsh in 1411, 602; uncertain time of the death of, 602.
- Gloucester, Humphrey, duke of, appointed joint protector of Henry VI. with the duke of Bedford, ii. 40; marries Jacqueline of Hainault and quarrels with the duke of Burgundy, 41; the pope declares the marriage void, 41; marries Elea-

- nor Cobham, 42; feuds between Gloucester and Henry Beaufort, bishop of Winchester, 42; continued struggle with Beaufort for predominance in the council, 59; his wife accused of sorcery, 59; trial of the duchess with Bolingbroke and Southwell for sorcery and conspiracy, in 1440, 60; they are found guilty, the duchess imprisoned for life and Bolingbroke executed, 61; is arrested for high treason, and found dead in his bed on Feb. 28, 1447, 62.
- Gloucester, duke of, son of princess Anne, died at Windsor, July 30, 1700; system upon which his education was pursued, v. 89.
- Gloucester, William, duke of; George III.'s brother; born 1743; married Lady Waldegrave privately, 1766, vi. 150.
- Glynn, serjeant, counsel for Wilkes, 1763, vi. 74; elected M.P. for Middlesex, 1769, 112.
- Goddard, General, takes Ahmedabad and Bassein, vi. 433.
- Goderich, F. J. Robinson, viscount; treasurer of the navy and president of the board of trade, vii. 552; chancellor of the exchequer; his sanguine views of the state of the country, 1825, 599, 600; secretary of state for war and the colonies, 618; placed at the head of the government, 1827, viii. 13; unable to reconcile Mr. Herries and Mr. Huskisson, he resigns, Jan. 9, 1828, 16; colonial secretary, 67; lord privy seal and earl of Ripon, 1833, 135; resigns, 1834, 160.
- Godiva of Coventry, story of, i. 202.
- Godolphin, lord, betrays the Brest expedition to James, iv. 531; implicated by Fenwick with holding treasonous correspondence with James II., v. 37; made first commissioner of the treasury, 1701, 94; obtains a high place among queen Anne's councillors, 1702, 113; he calls the Whigs into public service, giving them the places formerly possessed by the Tories, 150; joins Marlborough in insisting on Harley's dismissal from office, 1708, 203; has to struggle for office in opposition to Harley, 218; Harley obtaining the upperhand, Godolphin is dismissed from office, 1710, 235.
- Godoy, prince of Algarves; a favourite at the Spanish court, vii. 265; his schemes against the royal family, 268, 269; seized by the French, 269.
- Godwin, earl, induces Alfred, son of Ethelred, to land in England to oppose king Harold, and betrays him, i. 185; importance of, in the reign of Edward the Confessor, 187; his daughter Edith is married to Edward, 187; patriotism of, 188; origin and rise of, 188; refuses to punish arbitrarily the men of Dover for the riot against earl Eustace, 191; is banished with his sons, Sweyn and Harold, 192; is recalled, and the Anglo-Saxon party triumphs, 196; dies, 197; his death a public calamity, 197.
- Godwin, his improbable proposal to Canning, viii. 128.
- Goethe served in the German army in the campaign of 1792, vi. 550.
- Gohier, a member of the French Directory, vii. 135; imprisoned in the Luxembourg, 136.
- Goldsmith, Oliver, his history of England published, 1771, v. 585; asserts that the Marriage Act had impeded marriages, 585.
- Goodman, concerned in the Assassination plot, v. 27; implicates Fenwick with plotting against William, 37; tampered with, 38.
- Goodwin helps to suppress the Derbyshire insurrection, 1817, vii. 461, 462.
- Gordon, duke of, holds Edinburgh castle in favour of James II., iv. 485; refuses to fire on the town at the instigation of some partizans of James II., 487; the castle is surrendered by him, 491.
- Gordon, lord George, president of the Protestant Association; his fanaticism against the Papists; assembles 60,000 of his adherents at St. George's-fields; marches to Parliament, and presents his petition to repeal the act passed in favour of Roman Catholics, 1780, vi. 228, 229; from this resulted the "Gordon" riots, 230, 233; committed to the Tower; tried and acquitted, 233.
- Goree surrendered to the British, 1758, vi. 40.
- Gortz, baron, plans an insurrection in England, v. 393.
- Gospel Propagation Society established 1701, iv. 453.
- Gothic architecture and architects, 1784-1820, vii. 531, 532, 535.
- Gough, sir Hugh, general; commands the British land forces in China, viii. 283; attacks Canton, 284; defeats the Mahrattas at the battle of Maharajpore, 1843, 361; advances to relieve Ferozepore, 404; with sir H. Hardinge gains the battle of Ferozeshah, 404, 405; wins the battle of Sobraon, 405.
- Goulburn, Henry, a British commissioner for concluding the peace of Ghent, vii. 383; chancellor of the exchequer, viii. 64; secretary of state, 171; his motion on the London university, 173; his free-trade financial propositions, 259.
- Gower, characteristics of his poetry, i. 559.
- Gower, earl, British minister at Paris, vi. 492; recalled Aug. 1792, 541.
- Græme, colonel, employed to report on the marriageable princesses for George III., vi. 58.
- Grafton, duke of, killed in attacking Cork, Oct. 9, 1690, iv. 531.
- Grafton, A. H. Fitzroy, duke of, visits Wilkes in the Tower, vi. 116; secretary of

- state, 1765, vi. 89; resigns his office on account of Rockingham's weak administration, 1766, 97; appointed first lord of the treasury in Chatham's ministry, 1766, 98; becomes the real minister during Chatham's illness, 101; agonized by "Junius'" attacks, 112; divorced from his wife; marries the duchess of Bedford's niece, 115; resigns Jan. 28, 1770, 122; accuses Hillsborough of suppressing some of the council minutes, 126, 127; first lord of the treasury, Aug. 2, 1766 to Sept. 12, 1767; Dec. 1, 1767 to Feb. 1770, 140; resigns his office of privy seal, 1775, 180.
- Graham, general, wins the battle of Barrosa, 1811, vii. 317; captures San Sebastian, 351.
- Graham, sir James, first lord of the admiralty, 1830, viii. 67; helps to prepare the parliamentary reform bill, 70; resigns his office, 1834, 160; declines joining Peel's ministry in 1834, 170; his motion against the Queen's advisers, 278, 280; introduces his bill for regulating labour in factories; compelled to withdraw his education clauses, 356, 367; debate on the bill, 367, 368; ultimately passed, 368.
- Grammont, duke de, his conduct at Dettingen, 1743, v. 492; falls at Fontenoy, 1745, 495.
- Granada ceded to England, 1782, vi. 290.
- Granby, marquis of, second in command at Minden, 1759, vi. 44; commands the British in the campaign of 1761, 60; commander-in-chief; resigns, 1770, 121.
- Grand Alliance formed by William, v. 102.
- Grandval plans a design for assassinating William, iv. 565, 566; tried by court-martial; executed, 569.
- Grant, Charles, secretary for Ireland, vii. 552; joins the Wellington ministry, viii. 16; resigns, 1828, 25; president of the board of control, 67.
- Grant, R., moves for a regency, 1830, viii. 40; judge advocate general, 67.
- Grantham, lord, secretary of state, 1782, vi. 280, 603.
- Granville, earl. See Carteret.
- Grattan, Henry, champion of Irish liberty; his character, vi. 273; claims free trade and legislative independence as his country's right; supports the Roman Catholic relief bill, 273, 274; fails twice in carrying his declaration of rights, 275; congratulates Ireland in having acquired her freedom; repeal of statute of George I. asserting the dependence of Ireland, 277; national acknowledgment to, 277; speech on parliamentary reform, vii. 108; brings in a bill for repealing catholic disqualification, 109; states that he will not attend the House of Commons, 111; returned member for Wicklow, Jan. 1800; his orations against the Union, 123-126; duels with Mr. Corry, 124; his last speech in favour of Catholic emancipation, 485, 486; death of, May 14, 1820, 486.
- Graves, admiral, his encounter with de Grasse, 1781, vi. 251.
- Gray, his account of the trial of the rebel lords, 1746, v. 561, 562; his description of Westmoreland, vi. 329.
- Green, captain, prosecuted and executed for the supposed murder of captain Drummond, 1705, v. 178.
- Green, attacked by rioters, 1768; tried for murder; acquitted, vi. 104, 105.
- Greene, president of an American judicial court; refuses to release or exchange André, vi. 238.
- Greene, general, commands the American army in Washington's absence, vi. 236; defeated at Guilford, 1781; compels the Britishers to fall back, 249; defeated at Camden, 249.
- Greenwich Hospital, William determines to erect, iv. 594.
- Gregg, a clerk of Harley's; he is detected in a correspondence with the French secretary of state; he is tried, convicted, and executed, v. 203.
- Gregory, Pope, and the Anglo-Saxon slaves in Rome, Bede's story of, i. 79.
- Grenada captured by the British, 1763, vi. 66.
- Grenville, George, opposes Pitt's proposal to war with Spain, vi. 62; leader of the House of Commons, and treasurer of the navy, 64; opposes Pitt's German policy, 64; secretary of state, 71; first lord of the treasury; chancellor of the exchequer, 1763; his ministry, 72; moves that duties be laid on articles of American commerce; a stamp duty on paper, and others, 83; his stamp act is passed, 1765, 84; and rouses the American spirit, 85, 86; refuses to put the princess dowager's name in the Regency bill; the king contemplates dismissing him, 88; but is obliged to retain him, 89; defends his stamp act against Pitt's oratory, 91, 92; mobbed, 97; opposes Wilkes' expulsion from parliament; his emotion, 106, 107; his last speech in parliament; died Nov. 1770, 131; first lord of the treasury and chancellor of the exchequer, 1763-5; secretary of state, 1762, 140.
- Grenville, lady Hester. See Chatham, v. 590; vi. 62.
- Grenville, sir Richard, heroic conduct of, in a naval fight with the Spaniards, iii. 186.
- Grenville, Thomas, sent to negotiate with Franklin, 1782; returns from Paris,

- vi. 279, 280; negotiates at Vienna, 1794, vii. 47; first lord of the admiralty, 1807, 252; plans an expedition to the Dardanelles, 252; its failure, 254.
- Grenville, lord W. W., secretary of state; his correspondence with Chauvelin, vi. 529, 566, 567; remonstrates against the aggressive measures adopted by France, 554; brings forward a Treasonable Attempts bill, vii. 61; it is passed, 62; his hostile reply to Bonaparte's letter to the king, Jan. 1800, 141; opinion of statesmen on it, 142; his speech against Bonaparte, 143; succeeded as foreign secretary by lord Hawkesbury, 1801, 155; administration of Fox and, 1806, 228; first lord of the treasury, 229; weakness of his ministry after Fox's death, 229; they deliver up the seals of office, March 25, 1807, 246; retires to Dropmore, 576.
- Grey, Charles, earl, his efforts in favour of reform in the representation of the people, 1792, vi. 527; moves an address to the king to postpone the Irish Union, vii. 124; first lord of the admiralty, 1806, 229; his remarks on the negotiations with France, 237; advocates resistance against Napoleon, 246; Dis-senters' enlistment bill; the king opposes it, 247; applied to, to form a government, 1812 322; foreign secretary, 1806, 640; his speech against lord Sidmouth's unconstitutional conduct in the case of trials for libel, 463, 464; his attacks upon Canning; he charges him with having pledged himself not to press the Catholic question, 614; approves of the ready recognition of the New Orleans French government by parliament viii. 52; declares the necessity of reform in parliament, 61; formation of his ministry, 65, 66; principles of his government, 65; members of his ministry, 67; with lord Brougham he urges the king to dissolve parliament on the defeat of his ministry on the reform question, 78, 79; his speech explanatory of the proposed reform bill, 1831, 84; his reply to the principal arguments against the bill, 86; resists the entreaties of his friends to obtain the passage of the reform bill by the creation of peers, 100; replies to lord Lyndhurst's speech, 101; upon the king refusing to create peers his ministry resigns, 103; Wellington failing to form an administration, he is recalled; obtains the king's consent to the creation of peers; power not exercised, 107; passing of the reform bills, 108; introduces the coercion bill for Ireland, which is passed, 1833, 131, 134, 135; defends the commission for inquiring into the state of the Irish church against unjust imputations, 161; overpowered by his feelings in telling the Lords of his resignation, 1834, 161; cause of his resignation, 162, 163; great banquet to, at Edinburgh, 165, 166.
- Grey, lady Jane, married to lord Gullford Dudley, proclaimed queen by Northumberland, June 10, 1553, ii. 575; conversation of, in prison respecting Northumberland's apostacy, 581; with her husband, lord Gullford Dudley, pleads guilty to the charge of high treason, 585; execution of both, and her remarkable fortitude, 593; memorial of, in the Beauchamp tower, 594.
- Griffiths, admiral, his accounts of the "La Vengeance" story, vii. 43.
- Grimaldi, marquis of; Spanish ambassador in France; signs the "Family compact," vi. 61.
- Grosley, his remarks on England and Londoners, vi. 310.
- Gross-Beeren, battle of, Aug. 23, 1813, vii. 348.
- Grote advocates the ballot principle, viii. 149; supports the poor law amendment bill, 156, 157; and the Irish church appropriation motion, 160.
- Grouchy appointed to watch Blücher at Waterloo, viii. 30.
- Grumbkow, general, sent on a mission to Charles of Sweden, to whom he gives an account of Marlborough, v. 198.
- Guadaloupe capitulated to the English, 1759, vi. 44.
- Guest, general, governor of Edinburgh castle, 1745; the young pretender prevents food from going in; the governor fires upon the town; the prince revokes his order, v. 515.
- Quickwar, a Mahratta chieftain, takes no part against the British, vii. 219.
- Guilds of trade, oppressive exercised by, i. 356.
- Guiscard, marquis de, his early career; employed as a French spy in England; has a meeting with the queen; he is arrested March 8, 1711, his correspondence with France having been detected; he is taken before the council, where he stabs Harley with a pen-knife; he is killed by the councillors, v. 246, 247.
- Guizot, M., opinion of, as to the application of the Britons for Roman aid against the Picts and Scots, i. 73; his remarks on Canning's foreign policy, vii. 593; joins in protesting against the royal decrees of 1830, viii. 47, 48; description of the meeting of Lafayette and the duke of Orleans; made minister of the interior, 52; at the head of the cabinet, 1832, 1836, 195; his official revelations respecting Louis Napoleon's enterprise, 196; his picture of English society, 229; appointed to keep a watch over prince Napoleon's movements, 263; his return to England; satisfied of the goodwill of the natives to France, 266; with Soul succeeds Thiers in the ministry, 269; describes the difficulties of Peel's position, 276; his description of a visit to Westminster Abbey with Macaulay, 312; his Spanish marriage plans, 417; his conduct vindicated, 419; resigns his post as minister, 421.

- Gunpowder plot. See James I.
 Guntzburg, battle at, 1805, vii. 206.
 Gustavus III. of Sweden, succeeds Adolphus Frederic, 1771, vi. 600; agrees to subsidize an army to Spain, vi. 520; shot by Ankerstroem, March 6, 1792, 531.
 Guy, an M.P. and secretary to the treasury; sent to the Tower for being bribed, v. 14.
 Gwalior captured by the British, vi. 433; again occupied by them, viii. 361.
 Gwyn revives the idea of an academy of painting, vi. 367; his bridges, 382.
 Gyllenberg, count, the Swedish envoy in London; arrested for intriguing to raise a rebellion in England, v. 393.

H.

- HABEAS Corpus act passed in 1679, iv. 251; suspended, 1689, iv. 475; 1695, v. 24; 1722, 418; 1794, vii. 35; 1800, 145; 1817, viii. 457; a second time in 1817, 463; the suspension repealed, 1818, 477.
 Hadrian visits Britain, A.D. 120, and builds Hadrian's wall, i. 41, 42; character of, by Gibbon, *ib.*
 Hague, congress at the, 1691, iv. 528, 530; treaty of the, May 21, 1659, v. 262; triple alliance of the, January 4, 1717, 264;
 Haileybury college, built by Wilkins, vii. 535.
 Halidon Hill, battle of, July 19, 1333, i. 502.
 Halifax, Charles Montagu, earl of, forms part of William's new ministry in, 1693; his eloquence, iv. 586; chancellor of the exchequer, v. 22; instrumental in establishing the standard of money, 1696, 36; first lord of the treasury, 1697, 40; impeached for advising a partition treaty, 97; loses his places in the privy council on Anne's accession, 1702, 113; votes an inquiry into the alleged danger of the Church, 170; patron of letters, 294, 295.
 Halifax, George Savile, earl of, parliament endeavours to find some charge against him, iv. 498.
 Halifax, earl of, secretary of state, 1763-1765, vi. 72, 140; proceedings against, for arresting Wilkes and Wood, 80.
 Hall, Robert, character of his preaching, vi. 513.
 Hallam Henry, character of his historical writings, viii. 314.
 Halle, treaty of, 1610, v. 261; taken by the Bavarians, 1809, vii. 290.
 Hamburg, peace of, May 22, 1762, vi. 601.
 Hamilton, duke of. See Long Parliament, and Cromwell, Oliver.
 Hamilton, lieutenant-colonel, his share in the Glencoe massacre, iv. 547.
 Hamilton, duke of, president of the Scottish convention of estates, iv. 485; alleged with others to have secreted soldiers in cellars and other places, 486; causes the convention doors to be locked, 486; authorized by William, in 1693, to investigate the massacre of Glencoe, but the duke dying, the inquiry was not proceeded with till 1695, 551.
 Hamilton, duke of; he disperses the Cameronians assembled to oppose the union, v. 194; killed in a duel with lord Mohun, 1712, 310.
 Hamilton, Gerard, his speech in favour of the subsidies, 1755; from which he is known as single speech Hamilton, v. 601.
 Hamilton, John, governor of Carlisle; surrenders to the duke of Cumberland, 1745, v. 544.
 Hamilton, lady, aids Nelson at Naples; recommended to the national gratitude, vii. 100.
 Hamilton, Richard, his baseness and treachery, iv. 475; his faithlessness causes the son of sir W. Temple, who had pledged to his being faithful, to drown himself in the Thames, iv. 475; placed at the head of troops for bringing the Ulster protestants to subjection, 477; succeeds Maumont as commander of James II.'s forces, 479; is superseded by Rosen, 480; leads the Irish cavalry at the battl of the Boyne, is taken prisoner, 512.
 Hampden, John, refuses in 1636, to pay the illegal assessment of Ship Money, iii. 363; contests the right in the Exchequer Court, 364; difference of the judges, but ultimate decision against him, 364; increased importance of, after the trial, 365; sent as commissioner to attend the king on his visit to Scotland in 1641, 411; attempted to be seized by the king on Jan. 3, 1642, 427; is recalled when about to attack the royal army, 465; takes an active part in the siege of Reading, and urges Essex to attack Oxford, 471; and to concentrate his forces, 472; is wounded at Chalgrove Field, 473; dies June, 1643, 473; character of, described by Clarendon, 473, 474.
 Hampden clubs for parliamentary reform, vii. 451.
 Hanau, battle of, 1813, vii. 350.
 Hancock, John, proscribed by Gage, vi. 175.
 Handel, statue of, by Roubiliac, v. 346.
 Hanover, George, elector of. See George I.

- Hanover, treaty of, September 3, 1725, v. 265, 425.
- Hansard and Stockdale, case of, viii. 258.
- Hanway, Jonas, his philanthropy; the first to use an umbrella in London, vi. 423.
- Harcourt, sir S., conducts Sacheverel's defence, 1710, v. 224; lord chancellor, 241; his motions at Oxford's trial, v. 395.
- Harcourt, colonel, commands the Madras army, vii. 220.
- Hardicanute, accession of, in 1039, i. 185; tyranny, dissoluteness, and death of, in 1042, 186.
- Hardinge, sir Henry, secretary at war, 1828, viii. 25; secretary for Ireland, 171; governor-general of India, 361, 404; his courtesy towards sir H. Gough, 404; shares with him the gaining of the Ferozeshah victory, 404, 405.
- Hardware trade, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 400.
- Hardwick, architect of Marylebone church, vii. 537.
- Hardwicke, Philip York, earl of, chancellor, 1737-1756, v. 585, vi. 138; introduces the Marriage bill, 1753, v. 593; retires from his office, 1756, vi. 21; negotiates with Newcastle and Pitt, 26; prepares George III.'s first speech in parliament, 54; speech on the independence of the judges, 1761, 56.
- Hardy, sir C., commands a fleet; tactics, vi. 217, 218.
- Hardy, captain, present at the battle of Copenhagen, vii. 158; and at Nelson's death at Trafalgar, 1805, 211.
- Hardy, Thomas, trial of, for high treason, October, 1794, vii. 35; Erskine's defence, 36, 37; acquittal of, 37.
- Hare, one of the conspirators against William's life, 1696, v. 26.
- Hargreaves, James, completes his spinning-jenny, 1767, vi. 345; how he discovered it, 345, 346; mobbed and ill-used, 346.
- Harley, president of the French parliament, fails in obtaining peace from the Dutch, v. 13; represents France at the Ryswick congress, 39.
- Harley. See Oxford, earl of.
- Harlow, his painting, vii. 545.
- Harold succeeds Canute as king of Mercia and Northumbria in 1035, i. 184; seduces Alfred, son of Ethelred, to land in England, and puts him to death, 185; death of, in 1039, 185.
- Harold, son of earl Godwin, banished with his father, i. 191; they return, and are favourably received by the inhabitants of London and Southwark, 196; restored to their dignities and possessions by the Witan, 197; conduct and character of, during the reign of Edward, 198; sets out for Normandy, but is wrecked, made a prisoner by the earl of Ponthieu, but released by the interference of William of Normandy, 199, 200; assists William in his war against the duke of Brittany, 200; swears to support William in his claims to the throne of England, 201; returns to England, and suppresses an insurrection in Northumbria, 202; marries, 203; the crown of England bestowed on him by the Witan in Sept. 1066, 203; defeats his brother Tostig and the Norwegians, 206; is himself defeated and slain at Hastings in Oct. 1066, 207; buried at Waltham Abbey, 208.
- Harrington, earl of, English ambassador at Spain, v. 396.
- Harris. See Malmesbury, earl of.
- Harris conspired against William's life, 1696, v. 26.
- Harris, general, commands an Indian army; enters the Mysore territory, vii. 129.
- Harrison improves the spinning wheel, vi. 345.
- Harrison arrested at a Reform meeting, 1819, vii. 487.
- Harrowby, earl of, foreign secretary of state, 1804, vii. 640; president of the council, 552, 555; Cato-street conspiracy revealed to, by Hidon and Dwyer, 555; succeeded as president by the duke of Portland, 618; his partial advocacy of the Reform bill of 1832, viii. 101.
- Harwich, a great port of the eastern coast; vicissitudes of its condition in the 18th century, iv. 422.
- Hastings, battle of, Oct. 13. 1066, i. 207; obstinate resistance of the Saxons at, 207.
- Hastings, marquis of. See Moira, lord.
- Hastings, Warren, first governor-general of India, vi. 154, 427; previous career and conduct as governor of Bengal; nefarious bargains with the nabob of Oude, 428, 429; opposition towards, by the Indian council, 430; accused upon a charge of corruption by Nuncomar and others, 430; disputes with Francis; Clavering illegally assumes the governor-generalship on the false announcement of his having resigned, 431; reappointed at the expiration of his five years' term, 431; makes war against the Mahrattas, 432; duels with Francis, and quarrels with Impcy, 433; pressed for money, 435; lays Cheyte Sing, the rajah of Benares, under heavy contributions; arrests him for neglect of payment, 436; a native insurrection compels him to release the rajah and quit the city; his heavy exactions from the nabobs and begums of Oude, 437, 438; his defence of his conduct in this case, 437; concludes peace with Tippoo Saib; his administration ceases, 1785, 438; acquitted of all the charges brought against him. 1794, vii.

- 56; examined before a committee of the House of Commons on Indian affairs, 1813, 623.
- Haighton, John, prior of the Charter House, with his brethren, refuses to take the oath of supremacy to Henry VIII., ii. 359; he is tried and executed, 359, 360.
- Havannah, conquest of the, 1762, vi. 66, 67.
- Haversham, lord, moves for inviting the princess Sophia to England, v. 169; his remarks on the Scotch, 178, 179; denounces the naval miscarriages of 1707, 201.
- Havre, bombarded by the British, 1694, iv. 592.
- Hawke, admiral, sir E., defeats the French fleet off Belle Isle, Oct., 14, 1747, v. 568; captures some French merchantmen, 1755, 600; supersedes Byng in the command of the fleet sent against the French, 1756, vi. 16; sent out on an expedition against Rochefort; quarrels with Mordaunt, and nothing is done, 35; driven, by stormy weather, from before Brest, 48; attacks the French fleet in Quiberon Bay, Sept. 20, 1759, and gains a victory; intrepid conduct in the battle, 48.
- Hawkesbury, lord. See Liverpool, earl of.
- Hawkesmoor, his architectural works, v. 342.
- Hawley, general, left in command of the English troops in Scotland by the duke of Cumberland, 1745, v. 514; marches through Lullithgow to Falkirk; his contempt for the "undisciplined rabble," 545; he engages with them at Falkirk, Jan. 17, 1746; and is disgracefully defeated, 546; his retreat; causes several officers and soldiers to be tried by court martial; two soldiers are shot, 547.
- Hay, James, earl of Carlisle, lavish extravagance of king James towards, iii. 274; Clarendon's character of, 274.
- Hayden, count de, a Russian rear-admiral; present at the battle of Navarino, 1827, viii. 15, 16.
- Haydon, his paintings, vii. 544.
- Hazlitt, William, character of his essays, vii. 519.
- Head, sir Francis, governor of Canada; orders the "Caroline" to be burnt; repels the insurgents attacking Toronto, viii. 234.
- Healey, Joseph, a reformer; tried and imprisoned, 1820, vii. 560.
- Hearth money, iv. 384.
- Hemskerck, Dutch painter; patronized by William III., v. 349.
- Hengist and Horsa, landing of, in England, A.D. 449, i. 75.
- Henley. See Northington.
- Henrietta Maria, advice of, to Charles, concerning Rupert, iii. 455; letter of, to Charles, 455; lands at Burlington with an army, 467; letter of, to Charles, 467; warning of, to her husband, 469; joins him, 475; her character, 475; enters Stratford-upon-Avon, and sleeps in Shakspeare's house, 476; meets Charles on his first battle-field, 476; conduct of, 484; goes to Exeter, 493; gives birth to a princess there, while being besieged by Essex, 493; flies to France, 495; condition of, at the Louvre, 624.
- Henriot, death of, 1794, vii. 46.
- Henry of Huntingdon, his account of the invasion of the Northmen or Danes, i. 94.
- Henry, surnamed Beau-Clerc, knighted in 1086, i. 245; is bequeathed 5000 pounds of silver by his father, 246; assists his brother, duke Robert, at the siege of Rouen, and kills Conan, 250; becomes possessed of Mount St. Michael, and maintains a siege against his two brothers, 251; hastens to England and seizes on the crown treasures on the death of his brother William in 1100, 261; is crowned 261; publishes a charter of liberties, 262; finds the necessity of English support against the Norman barons, 262; reforms effected by, 262; marriage of, with Matilda, the niece of Edgar Atheling, 263; induces his brother Robert to relinquish his claim to the crown, to establish which he had invaded England, 264; subdues many of the disaffected barons, 265; quarrels with his brother for protecting Robert de Bellesme, 266; fosters the disaffection of the barons in Normandy against Robert, 266; invades Normandy in 1105, 267; wins the battle of Tenchebrai, and takes Robert prisoner, 238, 269; the son of Robert is patronized by Louis of France, a war takes place, and the battle of Noyon is fought in 1119, 269; devastation of Normandy, 270; death of Matilda in 1118, 270; marriage of his son William the Atheling, 271; inhumanity of, towards the children of his natural daughter Juliana, wife of Eustace de Breteuil, 271; wreck of the Blanche-Nef, and the death of his son William, 272; second marriage of Henry in 1121 with Adelaide, 273; declares his daughter Matilda, the widow of the emperor of Germany, his heir, in 1126, 273; dies on Nov. 30, 1135, 274.
- Henry II., born in 1133, i. 274, 298; early career and marriage of, 298; is acknowledged successor to Stephen, 298; crowned on Dec. 19, 1154, 299; beneficial vigour of, in the establishment of order, 300; makes Thomas à Becket chancellor and his chief adviser in 1157, 302; character of, 304; sends Becket as ambassador to France, to contract a marriage between his eldest son and a daughter of the French king, 304; declines to surrender Maine and Anjou to his brother

- Geoffrey, and gives him a pension instead, 306; compels Malcolm of Scotland to surrender Cumberland and Northumberland, 306; invades Wales, and is unsuccessful, 306; continental wars of, 310; creates Becket archbishop of Canterbury, 311; quarrels with him as to his claim for the resumption of church property, 315; state of secular law and establishment of law courts, 315, 316; evils of the clerical exemption from the secular law, 317; Constitutions of Clarendon passed to enforce the secular law, 318-320; the pope refuses to confirm them, Becket supports the pope's decision, is arraigned as a traitor, is found guilty, 321; and flies from England, 322; Henry convenes a synod at Oxford in 1166, which orders the persecution of heretics, 323; meets Becket at Touraine, in 1170, and a hollow peace is effected, 325; Becket returns to England and is murdered, 325-327; contrast of the characters of Henry and Becket, 327; first landing of the Anglo-Normans in Ireland in 1169, 328; commands Strongbow to return to England, who obeys, 330; goes himself to Ireland, and receives homage as feudal superior, 331; swears his innocence of Becket's murder before the pope's legate, and is absolved, 332; facts of the story of Rosamond Clifford, 332; rebellion of his sons, 333; imprisons his wife Eleanor, 333; insurrection in England repressed, 334; William king of Scotland, invades England, 334; and is taken prisoner, 335; is liberated on doing homage to Henry, 335; Henry does penance at the tomb of Becket, 335; is reconciled to his eldest son, 335; important reforms in the administration of justice effected by, 336; mission from Jerusalem in 1185 to urge him to undertake its relief, 337; resolves to take the cross in 1188, 338; his sons Richard and John join the king of France in a war against him, 338; dies on July 6th, 1189, 339.
- Henry III. succeeds his father John on Oct. 18, 1216, when only ten years old, and is crowned at Gloucester, Oct. 28, i. 394; the battle of Lincoln gained against the French army of prince Louis, 395; the regent Pembroke dies in 1219, and is succeeded by Hubert de Burgh, 396; redress of grievances obtained by the right preserved by the people to grant supplies, 397; the Charters confirmed for a third time in 1225 in order to obtain supplies, 397; declares himself of age in 1227, and asserts his right to govern of his "own free will," 398; denial of this doctrine by one of his judges, 398; quarrels with DeBurgh, but together with the pope continues his exactions on the people, 398; satirical and political songs, 399; marries Eleanor, daughter of the count of Provence, in 1236, 400; extortions of, 400, 401; tyrannical exercise of the privilege of purveyance, 401; sale of justice by, 401; oppressions on the Londoners by, 401, disaffection occasioned, 403; repeated violation of the Charters by, 404; swears again to observe them, 406; ill success of his foreign enterprises, 406; condition of the nation under, 407; value of money, and fluctuating prices of provisions in the reign of, 408, 409; taxation of the industry of the people by, and resistance made to by the clergy, 410; famine in 1258, 410; parliament meets at Westminster, and demands the appointment of a commission, 411; the "Provisions of Oxford," 412; obtains a dispensation from the pope to violate them, 413; a civil war takes place headed by Simon de Montford, 413; prince Edward commands for his father against the barons, 414; taken prisoner at the battle of Lewes, 415; the queen collects troops abroad, but does not attempt to land in England, 416; burgesses summoned to parliament in 1264, by Simon de Montford, 416; released by the issue of the battle of Evesham, in which de Montford is slain, 417; Award of Kenilworth, and efforts of the parliament to restore tranquillity, 419; continued resistance of Simon de Montford the younger, 420; dies, Nov. 16, 1272, 423.
- Henry IV. [see Hereford, duke of] ascends the throne, Sept 30, 1399, i. 587; confederacy of nobles against, 590; revolt of the Welsh under Owen Glendower against, 491; is repulsed by Glendower, 592; accused of the murder of Richard II., 593; persecutes the followers of Wycliffe, 595; statute "de heretico comburendo" passed for the suppression of the Lollards, 595; burning William Salter, 596; the Commons pray for repeal of the statute, and he rejects the prayer, 597; signs a warrant for burning John Badby, 597; France and Scotland refuse to acknowledge him as king, 597; war with Scotland, and battle of Homildon Hill on Sept. 14, 1402, 598; revolt of the Percles against, and its probable causes, 599; battle of Hateley Field at Shrewsbury, 599, 600; politic clemency of Henry, 600; hostilities with France, 601; revolt of Archbishop Scrope and others, 602; suppression of the revolt, and execution of Scrope and Nottingham, 602; continued struggle of the Welsh, under Owen Glendower, 602; invades France, 603; dies, March 20, 1413, 604.
- Henry V. knighted by Richard II. in 1399, when eleven years old, i. 583; commands in Wales against the Insurgents in 1402, 592; joins his father to oppose the revolt of the Percles, 599; is wounded at the battle of Shrewsbury, 599; obtains a victory over the Welsh in 1405, 601; early character of, 603; made Captain of Calais, 604; proclaimed king, March 21, 1413, ii. 13; clemency of, 13; alleged conspiracy of the Lollards, headed by sir John Oldcastle in 1414 against, 15;

- suppressed, and all the conspirators pardoned except Oldecastle and eleven others, 15; claims the crown of France and the restoration of the old English possessions, 16; injustice of the demands, 17; preparations for the invasion of France, 17; conspiracy of the earl of Cambridge, lord Scrope, and others against, 18; lands near Harfleur, Aug. 14, 1415, 18; nature of the forces, 18; siege of Harfleur, 19; sickness of the English army, 20; march from Harfleur, 20; passage of the Somme, 22; description of Agincourt and its locality, 23; battle of Agincourt on Oct. 25, 1415, 25, 26; rejoicings in London on arrival of the news, 28; triumphant entry of, into London, 28, 29; the factions in France a cause of his success, 29; second expedition against France in 1417, 30; takes Caen, 30; besieges Rouen, 31; it surrenders after severe famine, 32; informal conferences at Meulan, 33; the duke of Burgundy murdered at an interview with the Dauphin at Montreuil, 33; concludes a treaty with Phillip, the young duke of Burgundy, 34; and the treaty of Troyes with Charles VI. on May 21, 1420, by which he was to marry Katherine and be recognized as heir to the crown on the death of Charles, 34; Henry and his queen return to England, 35; ill effects of his conquests on the condition of England, 35; the duke of Clarence killed, 36; releases James I. of Scotland, who accompanies him in his expedition to France in 1421, 37; besieges and takes Meaux, 37; dies Aug., 31, 1422, 38; estimate of the character of, 39.
- Henry VI., born Dec. 6, 1421, ii. 37; succeeds his father, Sept. 1, 1422, and the dukes of Bedford and Gloucester are appointed Protectors by the parliament, 40; Charles VI. of France dies, and Henry is proclaimed king, 40; victory of Verneuil gained by the duke of Bedford, 41; tutelage of, under the earl of Warwick, 43; apparent severity of Warwick's discipline, 43, 44; siege of Orleans commenced, 46; Orleans is relieved by Joan of Arc, 50; is crowned king of France, at Paris, on Dec. 17, 1430, 57; death of the duke of Bedford, 58; continued disgraces and losses in France, 58; marries Margaret of Anjou, and surrenders or loses all the possessions in France, 59; the duke of Suffolk takes the side of cardinal Beaufort against the duke of Gloucester, 62; Gloucester and Beaufort die, 62, 63; rise of the house of York, 102; power of the duke of Suffolk, 102; impeachment and banishment of Suffolk, 104; his murder, 104; insurrection of Jack Cade in 1450, 105; other revolts in England, 107; unpopularity of Somerset, on account of his losses in France, 108; Henry becomes imbecile, 109; intrigues of the queen and Somerset to secure their power, 109; the duke of York elected Protector by the peers, 110; the king recovers his faculties, 111; selfishness of the barons, 111; Somerset is released from arrest, and York superseded, 111; York marches towards London, 111; first battle of St. Albans, on May 22, 1455, and death of Somerset, 112; is conducted to London by York, 112; a parliament summoned, and York made Protector a second time, 113; the parliament not revolutionary, but influenced by a desire to remove oppressive taxation, 114; the Protectorate superseded in 1456, 114; attempts to reconcile the Lancastrian and York factions, 115; commencement of the Civil War, in 1459, 116; battle of Blore Heath, 116; is taken prisoner at the battle of Northampton, in 1460, 117; a parliament assembled at Westminster, and York claims the crown, 118; the peers effect a compromise, that York should succeed on Henry's death, 119; the queen resists the compromise, and assembles an army, 119; battle of Wakefield, and death of York, Dec. 31, 1460, 119; Margaret advances to London, 119; defeats Warwick in the second battle of St. Albans, and recaptures the king, 120; Henry is deposed by parliament, and Edward IV. proclaimed, March 4, 1461, 120; battle of Towton, 122, 123; attained in parliament for the death of Richard, duke of York, 126; renewed attempt of queen Margaret, in 1462; repulsed, 127; story of her throwing herself and son on the protection of an outlaw, 127; renewed attempt against Edward, and loss of the battle of Hexham, 129; the king is recaptured, and committed to the Tower, and Margaret retires to the Continent, 133; Margaret is reconciled to Warwick, 133; invades England with Warwick and Clarence, Edward is deserted, and Henry restored, 137; Warwick and Clarence appointed Protectors, 138; is taken prisoner at the battle of Barnet, and re-committed to the Tower, 142; queen Margaret lands at Weymouth, assembles forces, and is defeated at the battle of Tewkesbury, 143; she is taken prisoner, 145; death of Henry, in May, 1471, and doubts as to the duke of Gloucester having been his murderer, 145.
- Henry VII. (earl of Richmond) taken by his uncle, the earl of Pembroke, to Brittany, after the battle of Tewkesbury, ii. 147; the duke of Buckingham and bishop Morton put themselves in communication with, previous to their revolt against Richard III., 177; assembles soldiers for a landing in England, 177; is prevented by a storm, 178; leaves Brittany to avoid being delivered up to Richard, 183; receives some forces from the king of France, and lands at Milford Haven, Aug. 7, 1485, 186; battle of Bosworth, Aug. 22, 187; crowned on the field of Bosworth, 190; parliamentary title to the crown given him, 191;

marries Elizabeth of York, 192; suitability of the character of, for his times, 193; aversion of, to the House of York, 193; imprisons the earl of Warwick, son of George, duke of Clarence, 194; insurrection against, headed by Lambert Simnel, who pretended to be earl of Warwick, 195; suppression of the insurrection at the battle of Stoke, on June 4, 1487, 195; alleged harsh treatment of the dowager queen Elizabeth, 196; exhibits the real earl of Warwick to the people, 198; timid foreign policy of, 198; allows France to annex Brittany, 200; insurrection in the northern counties on account of oppressive taxation, 200; the earl of Northumberland killed, but the insurrection suppressed by the earl of Surrey, 200; invades France, in 1492, and invests Boulogne, 201; concludes a hurried peace on receiving a large sum of money from Charles VIII., 201; probable motives for the peace, 201; demands the surrender of Perkin Warbeck from the duke of Burgundy, but compliance is evaded, 207, 208; execution of sir William Stanley, and others, for corresponding with Warbeck, 209; concludes a treaty with the duke of Burgundy, by which Warbeck is compelled to go to Scotland, 210; statute of treason passed providing that subjects are bound to serve the king *de facto*, 210; employment of spies by, 211; letters of Bothwell to, describing the position of Warbeck in Scotland in 1496, 212; is attacked by Warbeck and James IV., but they are compelled to retreat, 215; insurrection in Cornwall against renewed taxation, 215; suppressed, 216; concludes a truce with James IV., by which Warbeck is forced to leave Scotland, 216; Warbeck lands in Cornwall, attacks Exeter, and is repulsed, 216; is deserted, and flies to sanctuary at Beaulieu Abbey, 217; his treatment of Warbeck after his surrender, 218; Warbeck attempts to escape, is retaken, and confined in the Tower, 218; exhibited to the public, and reads his confession, 218; the earl of Warwick accused of treason, and of joining in conspiracy with Warbeck, 219; they are both executed, in Nov. 1499, 220; commits Edward de la Pole, earl of Suffolk, to the Tower, 221; marries his eldest son, Arthur, to the princess Catherine of Arragon, in 1501, 222; account of the royal court, 222; his fondness for jewels, 223; erection of his palace at Richmond, and chapel at Westminster, 223, encouragement by, of Cabot and his sons, 223; passion of, for wealth, 225; concludes a treaty with Scotland, and gives Margaret, his daughter, in marriage to James IV., in 1502, 225; his son Arthur dies, 225; marries Arthur's widow to his next son, Henry, after obtaining the pope's dispensation, 225; his queen Elizabeth dies, 226; seeks for an advantageous marriage for himself, 226; dies on April 21, 1509, 227; extortions of Henry through the agency of Empson and Dudley, 227; depression of the aristocracy by, 228; originates the Star-chamber court, 230; few parliaments held during his reign, 230; progress of maritime discovery in his reign, 237.

Henry VIII. succeeds his father, April 22, 1509, *ii.* 245; Empson and Dudley convicted and executed for extortion, 246; marriage of, to Catherine of Arragon, 246; remarkable modification of the coronation oath by, 246; skill of, in music, 248; interferes in favour of pope Julius II. in a war against France, 249; revives the claim to the crown of France, 250; claims a feudal superiority over Scotland, 250; retains his sister's legacy, in order to compel her husband, James IV. of Scotland, to abandon the French alliance, 251, an army sent to Spain to invade Guienne, 251; Wolsey, the almoner, acts as war-minister, 252; rise of Wolsey, 253; the army returns from Spain without effecting its object, 254; naval warfare, and mutual ravages on the coasts of France and England, 254; despotic measures of, for the security of the coast, 254; expedition of, to France, in 1513, 255; ostentatious display of magnificence, 255; the emperor Maximilian takes military service under him, 256; wins the Battle of the Spurs, 256; Terouenne and Tournay surrender, 257; war with Scotland, 257; battle of Flodden Field, on Sept. 9, 1513, and death of James IV. of Scotland, 259; ravages on both sides of the Scottish border, 261; attack by the French on Brighton, 261; peace with France, and marriage of the princess Mary to Louis XII., 261; death of Louis, and accession of Francis, 262; Henry asserts the rights of the crown against the claims of the clergy, 266; desires to be chosen emperor, and sends Pace on a mission to Germany, 268; Henry and Francis meet in the Field of the Cloth of Gold, 271; meets Charles V. at Gravelines, 273; conviction and execution of John Stafford, duke of Buckingham, 275; increasing despotism and cruelty of, 276; writes a book against Luther, "On the Seven Sacraments," 278; Leo X. bestows on him, in 1521, the title of "Defender of the Faith," 278; concludes a league with Charles V. against Francis I., 279; arbitrary measures of, to raise money, 280; riot of the Londoners on "Evil May Day" against the Flemish traders, 280; parliament summoned, in 1523, and earnest debate on the granting of a subsidy, 283-284; opposes the endeavour of his sister Margaret to obtain a divorce, 286; Scotland is invaded to enforce the removal of the duke of Albany from the regency, 286; the earl of Surrey destroys Jedburgh, and ravages the borders, 287; the duke of Suffolk sent with an army to France, in 1523, which devastates

the country, but has no other success, 288; resistance of the people to taxes levied without the authority of parliament, 290-291; insurrection in Suffolk occasioned by, 291; the levy revoked, and the insurgents pardoned, 292; his opinion as to the war between the emperor and the pope, in 1527, 292; first agitation of the question of divorce from queen Catherine, 299; sends supplies to the pope while in confinement, 302; growing attachment of, to Anne Boleyn, 304-305; war declared against the emperor, 305; the pope sends a commission for Wolsey and cardinal Campeggi to inquire into the validity of his marriage, 306; interview of the legates with Catherine, 309; unpopularity of the divorce with the people, 310; opening of the legatine commission, on June 18, 1529, 311; Catherine refuses to acknowledge its jurisdiction, 312; is declared contumacious, 312; Wolsey is deprived of the Great Seal, on Oct. 17, 1529, 313; creates sir Thomas More chancellor, 316; the parliament passes statutes against ecclesiastical abuses, 319; opposition of the clergy to the reading of the scriptures, 320; the king is released from his debts by act of parliament, 323; Christmas amusements of, and large sums lost by, at gambling, 323; sends the earl of Wiltshire and Cranmer to obtain the pope's consent to the dissolution of his marriage, 325; opinions of the universities of Oxford and Cambridge in favour of the divorce, 326; hypocrisy of the plea of conscience for desiring a divorce, 327; grants a general pardon to Wolsey, who retires to his see, 328; causes him to be arrested for high treason, 330; unfeeling conduct of, on hearing of Wolsey's death, 331; commencement of the Reformation in England, 332; prosecutes the clergy for having submitted to Wolsey as legate, 333; fears being cited to Rome on the divorce cause, 333; threatens the pope with the loss of his power in England, but persecutes reformers, 334; requires Frith and Tyndale to renounce their errors and to return from the Netherlands to England, 334; the payment of annates to the see of Rome abolished by, in 1533, 335; increased cruelty and tyranny of, after the death of Wolsey, 335; severe laws passed against poisoners, gipsies, vagabonds, and for punishing wanderers by death on a third offence, 337-340; goes with Anne Boleyn to France in 1532, to meet Francis, 341; marries Anne Boleyn, 342; Cranmer pronounces sentence of divorce, on May 23, 1533, 344; description of the coronation of Anne Boleyn, by Cranmer, 344; Catherine steadily refuses to renounce the title of queen, 346; princess Elizabeth born, Sept. 7, 1533, 348; statute forbidding appeals to Rome passed, 349; statute for the punishment of heresy, 350; Frith burnt, 351; statute vesting the succession in the issue of queen Anne, 351; the Holy Maid of Kent and her visions, 352; she is tried and executed for treason, 352; Fisher, bishop of Rochester, and sir Thomas More, included in the charge, 353; they are sent to the Tower for refusing to swear to the illegality of the king's first marriage, 356; Act of Supremacy passed, 358; Act of Succession passed, with new definitions of treason, 358; execution of the monks of the Charterhouse for refusing to take the oath of supremacy, 361; Hollanders burnt for heresy, 361; the act allowing the free importation of books repealed, 361; Fisher and More tried for treason, convicted, and executed, 362, 363; Cromwell appointed vicegerent, 366; visitation of the monasteries, in 1535, 367; statute for the dissolution of the smaller monasteries, 370; statutes passed for the regulation of trade and prices, 372; death of Catharine on Jan. 7, 1536, 373; Anne Boleyn suspected of heresy, 373; May-day at Greenwich, 1536, 374; Anne Boleyn sent to the Tower, 375; her letter to the king, 377, 389; Cranmer's letter to the king, 378; true bills found against Anne Boleyn and others, 380; her trial and execution on May 19, 1536, 382; her dying speech, 382; marriage of Henry to Jane Seymour, on May 20, 383; doubts as to the guilt of Anne, 385, 386; new law of succession passed, 387; state of Ireland, 391-395; rebellion of the Fitzgeralds in Ireland in 1534, 395; it is suppressed, and the Fitzgeralds executed, in violation of a promise given, 401; assumes the title of king of Ireland, 402; proclamation of, against holidays, 408; insurrection in Lincolnshire in 1536, occasioned by the proclamation, and the suppression of monastic establishments, 409; rude answer of, to the Lincolnshire petitioners, 409; the insurrection suppressed, 410; insurrection in Yorkshire, headed by Robert Aske, 410; crafty instructions of, to the duke of Norfolk, as to treating with the insurgents, 411; dissatisfaction of, with Norfolk, for granting a free pardon on their dispersion, 411; renewal of the insurrection in Yorkshire in 1537, 413; the insurgents repulsed from Carlisle and Hull, martial law proclaimed, and many hung, 413; execution of many of the leaders in London, 414; orders martial law to be proclaimed, which is to apply to monks and canons that be faulty, 414; executions at Windsor for sympathy with the insurgents of the North, 415; birth of Edward VI., and death of queen Jane Seymour, 415; negotiations abroad for a new wife, 416; sanctions the printing of Coverdale's Bible, 417; disputes in Westminster Hall with John Lambert on the eucharist, 418; Lambert is burnt, 418; Act of 1539, for the dissolution of abbeys, 419; assigns pensions to the abbots, monks, and others who surrender,

- 423; wasteful disposal of the possession of the religious houses, 425; destruction of the buildings, 425; subserviency of the parliament summoned in 1539, 427; Acts passed for the dissolution of abbeys, and to enable Henry to found and endow bishoprics, 428; projected appropriations of the monastic revenues, and their subsequent misapplication, 450; suppresses the hospital of the Knights of St. John, 430; passing of the intolerant and atrocious statute of the Six Articles, in 1539, 431; persecutions and executions under this statute, 433; preposterous claim of, to unlimited obedience, embodied in this statute, 434; causes the De la Pole family to be arrested, on Reginald Pole having written against his divorce, 435; the aged countess of Salisbury, Pole's mother, executed in 1541, 435, 436; marries Anne of Cleves, 438; dislikes her, 439; the marriage declared invalid, 440; causes Cromwell to be arrested, 441; and attainted of high treason, 441; Cromwell is executed, July 28, 1540, and on the same day Henry marries Catherine Howard, 442; heretics burnt for affirming the legality of his marriage with Catherine of Arragon, and for denying his supremacy, 443; the profligacy of Catherine Howard revealed to him by Cranmer, 444; promises her life on confession, but breaks the promise, 445; she is attainted and executed, Feb. 12, 1541, 446; and another new treason declared by the Act of attainder, 446; marries Catherine Parr, in July, 1543, 446; endeavours to effect a marriage between his son Edward and Mary of Scotland, 449; sends the earl of Hertford to Scotland, who ravages it, burns Leith, and other places, 450, 451; is opposed by cardinal Beaton in his claims to supremacy, and in his attempt on the independence of Scotland, 453; sanctions a proposal to assassinate Beaton, 453; invades France with great pomp, having obtained a subsidy and a second extinction of his debts from parliament, in 1544, 455; besieges and takes Boulogne, 456; wants funds for providing land defences against a projected invasion by France, 457; peace concluded with France and Scotland, 458; continued persecution for heresies under Act of the Six Articles, 459, 460; relieves Cranmer from an accusation of heresy, 462; Anne Askew and others burnt, 463; queen Catherine Parr suspected of heresy, 464; the duke of Norfolk and earl of Surrey arrested on charges of high treason, 465; Surrey beheaded, and Norfolk remitted for execution, but escapes owing to the King's death, 466; death of Henry on Jan. 28, 1547, 466.
- Henry IV., of France, succeeds to the throne in August, 1589, iii. 184; English expedition sent in aid of, 185; abjures the Protestant religion, 191; concludes a separate treaty with Spain, 207; sends Sully to congratulate James I. on his accession, 240; is assassinated in 1610, 285; scheme of, for an European confederacy, 285.
- Henry, Patrick, his early career, vi. 85; his eloquence in opposing the Stamp act, 1765, 86.
- Heptarehy, little interest afforded by the events of the, i. 88; incessant wars during the, 89.
- Hereford, Henry duke of (afterwards Henry IV.), quarrel of, with the duke of Norfolk, i. 579; is banished, 581; his patrimony seized by Richard II., 582; lands at Ravenspur, July 4, 1399, 583; interview of, with Richard II., 584; entry of, with the captive Richard, into London, 585; claims the crown, 587; his hereditary pretensions, 588; superior right of Edmund Mortimer, the progenitor of the House of York, 588. See Henry IV.
- Hereward heads an insurrection in 1072, in the isle of Ely, i. 225; successes of, 225; is compelled to submit to William I., 227; fate of, 227.
- Hermans, general, defeated by the French and Dutch, 1799, vii. 139.
- Hero-worship, ancient tumuli, probable memorials of, i. 24.
- Herries, J. C., chancellor of the exchequer, viii. 13; quarrels with Huskisson, 16; secretary at war, 171.
- Herschell, sir William, discovered Uranus, 1781, vii. 520; his great telescope erected at Slough, Aug. 28, 1798, 520.
- Hertford, Edward Seymour, earl of, commands the forces in the invasion of Scotland, and burns Leith, ii. 450; ravages the southern counties, 451; conceals for a time the will of Henry VIII., 521; raised to the office of Protector by the executors of the will, and created duke of Somerset, 521; endeavours to enforce the treaty for the marriage of Edward VI. with the queen of Scotland, 523; invades Scotland and proposes terms for a Union, 524; wins the battle of Pinkie and returns to London, 527; causes Henry VIII.'s statutes of treason and other arbitrary laws to be repealed, 529; difficulties of the government of, arising from the circumstances of the times and his own character, 534; causes his brother, lord Thomas Seymour, to be attainted for treason, and signs the warrant for his execution, 537; probable guilt of Seymour, and justification of Somerset, 538; evidence of Sharrington against Seymour, 538, 539; ineffectual endeavours of Somerset to redress grievances, 540; proclamations against unreasonable prices and against inclosures, 541; insurrection in Cornwall and Devonshire against innovations in religion, 542; suppressed at the battle of

- Cliff, near Exeter, 544; insurrection of Ket the tanner in Norfolk, against inclosures, 546; suppressed by the earl of Warwick at Mousehold heath, 549; marriage of Mary of Scotland to the dauphin of France, and war declared with both those countries, 550; successes of the Scotch, 550; becomes obnoxious to the nobility from his protection of the rights of the poor, 551, 552; confederacy of the nobles, headed by Warwick against, 553; issues a proclamation inviting the king's subjects to repair to Hampton Court, where Edward was, for the king's protection, 554; fails to get the support of the army or to move the people to rise in his favour, 554; removes Edward to Windsor, 555; lord Russell and the army join Warwick, 555; is arrested, 557; conveyed to the Tower, 557; articles exhibited against, 558; the Protectorship is revoked, he is imprisoned till Feb. 6, 1550, and fined, 559; attempts of, to re-establish his power, 565; is arrested and tried for high treason, 567; acquitted of treason and found guilty of felony, 567; is beheaded January 22, 1551, 568; character of, and his influence on the progress of the Reformation, 569.
- Hertford, lord, English ambassador at Paris, vi. 81.
- Hervey, lord, vice-chamberlain, 1730, v. 435; duels with Pulteney; character of, 440; conversation of, with Walpole on Caroline's death, 464.
- Hervey, colonel, advises Wellington to cease pursuing the enemy at Waterloo, vii. 404.
- Hesse, elector of, subsidied by England, v. 600.
- Hewlet, lady, her bequest; discussion as to the claimants thereof, viii. 372, 373.
- Hexham, battle of, May 15, 1464, ii. 129.
- Hicks, Mrs., hanged for witchcraft, 1716, v. 314.
- Hickson, an agent of the young Pretender; apprehended, v. 515.
- Hickson, his description of the evils of the poor-law system, viii. 154.
- Hidon, Thomas, reveals the Cato-street conspiracy, vii. 555.
- High and Low Church, introduction of the distinction of, iv. 469.
- Highlanders, description of the, by Cunningham, iv. 489; government proceedings against the, 538, *et seq.*; their share in the rebellion of 1715, v. 366-389; description of the; the Black Watch, or 42nd Regiment, 498, 499; aid the rebellion of 1745, 505-516; costume of, early part of the 18th century, 517, 518; their further operations in the rebellion of 1745, 1746, 523-533, 539-566; drafted into the British army by Chatham, vi. 22.
- Higgs, Thomas, invents a machine similar to the "jenny," vi. 346, 347.
- Highwaymen, 18th century, vi. 392, 393.
- Hill, colonel, commands the garrison at Fort William, 1690, iv. 539; his share in the Glencoe massacre, 541, 542, 547; declared not guilty by the Scotch parliament, 552.
- Hill, captain, murders Mountford, the player, iv. 576.
- Hill, general, his struggle with Soult, Dec. 1813, vii. 352.
- Hill, Frederic, first inspector of Scotch prisons; their state according to his reports, viii. 191.
- Hill, sir G. F., vice-treasurer of Ireland, vii. 552.
- Hill, M. D., M. P. for Hull; description of Agnew's Sabbath Observance bill, viii. 132; history of public opinion on the question of counsel for prisoners by, 189, 190.
- Hill, Rowland, revolutionizes the routine of the Post Office, viii. 212; his proposed penny postage plan; opposition to it; it is tried and found completely successful, 256, 257.
- Hill, T. W., his remarks on Worcestershire potato cultivation, vi. 323, 324; engaged in the Birmingham riots, 1791, 508, 509.
- Hillsborough, lord, secretary for the colonies, 1768-1772, vi. 123, 140, 603; orders Bernard to rescind the resolution adopted by the Massachusetts Assembly to oppose the Revenue act, 123; accused of garbling the council minutes; appoints lord Boutetort governor of Virginia, in room of sir J. Amherst, 127; secretary of state, 1779-1782, 603.
- Hislop, sir Thomas, commands the army of the Deccan, vii. 625.
- Hispaniola. See San Domingo.
- Historian, province and duties of the, i. introduction.
- History, specimens of the false statements of, vii. 408; differently treated by our leading historians, Macaulay, Hallam, and Carlyle, viii. 312-315.
- Hoadley, Dr. Benjamin; his treatises burnt by the mob, v. 226; bishop of Salisbury; urges Walpole to give the Dissenters promise of future relief, 435.
- Hobhouse, his objection to the income tax, vii. 106.
- Hobhouse, sir J. C., secretary of state, viii. 135; president of the board of control, 203; his speech, moving a vote of thanks to the Indian army, 288.
- Hoche, sergeant, commands a detachment of French guards in the riots, 1789, vi. 488; his exploits at Quiberon, 1795, vii. 57; appointed to command the expedition to Ireland, 1796, 71.

- Hofer, Andrew, leader of the Tyrolese insurrectionists, 1809, vii. 289; his exploits, 290; capture and death, Feb. 20, 1810, 291.
- Hofland, a river scenery painter, vii. 548.
- Hogarth William, the regenerator of modern English painting, v. 349, 366; his character as a painter, 350; his pictures a delineation of contemporary manners, 351, 352; dramatic character of his paintings and prints, 352; the life of the streets as shown in his works, 331, 333; profligacy among the high and low ranks of society, 351; manners of the time as delineated in his pictures of the scene at the cockpit and at the gaming house, 355; that of the rake's levee, 356; marriage-a-la-mode, 356; in his election prints, 357; in his pictures of "The Sleeping Congregation," 359; and "Credulity, Superstition, and Fanaticism, a medley," 359; attacked by Wilkes in the "North Briton," vi. 78; his caricature portrait of Wilkes; attacked by Churchill, 78; whose portrait he publishes, 78.
- Hohenlinden, battle of, Dec. 3, 1800, vii. 150.
- Holhenlohe, prince of, commands the Prussians at Jena, vii. 244.
- Holbein, Hans, productions of, under Henry VIII., ii. 519.
- Holbourne, admiral, shrinks from attacking the French, vi. 36.
- Holcroft, Thomas, remarks on Hardy's trial, vii. 35, 36.
- Holderness, earl of, secretary of state, 1751-1761, vi. 26, 45, 139; removed from this office, 1761, 57.
- Holkar, a Mahratta chief, vii. 219; war declared against, 1804; subdued by the British, 226.
- Holkar the younger aids the Pindarees in their war with the British, vii. 625; defeated Dec. 21, 1817, at the battle of Meehadpore; peace concluded with the British, Jan. 6, 1818, 626.
- Holland, Henry, his architectural designs, vii. 534.
- Holland, lord. See Fox, Henry.
- Holland, lord, remarks on lord North's conduct, 1781, vi. 258; his account of North's announcement of his resignation, 1782, 262; remarks on the income tax, vii. 106; a member of the Grenville ministry, 246; defends himself for defending lord Grey, 1827, 613; chancellor of the duchy of Lancaster, viii. 68, 203.
- Holland annexed to France, 1810, vii. 314.
- Holmes, major, concerned in the assassination plot, 1696, v. 26. *et seq.*
- Holsten Beck, prince of, takes an active part in the battle of Blenheim, 1704, v. 143.
- Holwell, his account of the tragedy of the Black Hole, vi. 27, 28.
- Holy Alliance. See Alliance.
- Home, John, his estimate of the Highland forces, 1745, v. 511; his description of the battle of Falkirk, 545, 546.
- Homildon-Hill, battle of, 14 Sept. 1402, i., 598.
- Hompesch, general, pursues the Gallo-Bavarian army after their defeat at Blenheim, v. 144.
- Hone, William, prosecuted for libel, vii. 465; his first, 466; second, 468; and third trials, 469, 470; in all he is found not guilty.
- Hood, captain, present at the battle of the Nile, 1798, vii. 102.
- Hood, sir Samuel, unable to hinder De Grasse's operations, 1781, vi. 245, 251; joined by Rodney, 1782, 263; compels the "Ville de Paris" to strike, 265; captures four French vessels, 266; sails against Toulon; negotiates with the inhabitants, vii. 19; the fleet and port are surrendered on his agreeing to support them in the restoration of peace and monarchy, 19; compelled to evacuate the place by the French, 22, 23.
- Hood, Thomas, his poetry, viii. 325, 326.
- Hook, Theodore, his novels, viii. 308, 309.
- Hooke, colonel, a Jacobite agent; offers French assistance to the Cameronians, and other Scots disaffected towards the government, v. 202.
- Hooper, bishop of Gloucester, martyrdom of, ii. 613.
- Hoopes, sir John, retreats through Spain, 1808, vii. 275, 276; succeeds to the command of the army on Moore's death; embarks his troops, 278; captures Balitz and the South Beveland, 1809, 294; battles against Soult, 1813, 352.
- Horner, Francis, remarks, of, on the reduction of the circulating medium, 1816, vii. 430; died Feb. 6, 1817, 472.
- Horton, Mrs., her connection and marriage with the duke of Cumberland, vi. 149, 150.
- Hosier, admiral, blockades Porto Bello, v. 426.
- Hospitals, London medical, dates of the opening of the, vi. 423.
- Houchard, general in the French army; defeats the Austrians near Hondscoote, Sept. 8, 1793, vii. 15; the convention puts him to death for not stopping the English in their retreat from Dunkirk, 15.
- Howard, Mrs. See Suffolk, lady.

- Howard, John, high sheriff of Bedfordshire; his philanthropical effort to remedy the state of prisoners; publication of his book "on prisons," 1777, vi. 421, 422.
- Howe, Richard, earl; captain; captures two French vessels, 1755, v. 539; takes Aix, 1757, vi. 35; reinforces the troops in America; appointed one of the British commissioners, 184; employs conciliatory measures towards the Americans, 189; his negotiations with Franklin and others, 192; sails to Newport; hindered from engaging the enemy by a storm, 215; admiral; gives chase to the Brest fleet, vii. 41, 42; gains a victory over it, June 1, 1794, 42; his personal visit to the fleet quells the mutiny at Spithead, 82.
- Howe, major-general sir William, engaged in the battle of Breed's, or Bunker's hill, 1775, vi. 177; leads an attack against the Americans, 178; left in command of the British American army, 180; leaves Halifax; lands in Staten Island, 1776, 184; his letter to George Washington, Esq., refused, 189; gains the battle of Brooklyn, 190; his further operations against Washington, 1776, 190, 191; evacuates Jersey; his strategic movements, 1777, 199.
- Howe, lord, slain at the head of his regiment in America, 1758, vi. 40.
- Hudson's Bay Company, chartered 1760, iv. 429.
- Huggins, John, reprimanded for selling the wardenship of the Fleet, v. 437.
- Hull, port of, and trades, iv. 414; whale fishery carried on by the vessels of, 414; obtains parliamentary representation, 1832, viii. 116.
- Hull, general, capitulates to Brock, 1812, vii. 369.
- Hullin aids in attacking the Bastille, 1879, vi. 481.
- Humbert, general, commands the French force sent to Ireland, vii. 115; which surrenders to general Lake, Sept. 8, 1799, 116.
- Hume, erroneous statement of, as to the condition of Britain in the third century, i. 45.
- Hume, M.P. for Montrose; his complaints on behalf of queen Caroline, vii. 557; moves the issuing of a proclamation for her coronation, 571; his efforts to promote the recognition of true principles of financial economy, viii. 22; votes for Littleton as speaker, 127; reviews the work of the session of 1736, 186.
- "Hundred Days," account of the crisis called the, vii. 385, 392-404.
- Hunne, Richard, persecution of, for heresy and being possessed of Wycliffe's books, ii. 265; violent death of, 265; the bishop of London's chancellor accused of his murder, 265.
- Hunt, Henry, attends a meeting of the Hampden club in London, vii. 451; and also the Spa-field meeting, 453, 454; fails in being returned M. P. for Westminster, 480; presides over a reform meeting in Smithfield, 487; takes the chair at the great reform meeting at Manchester, 1819, 488; he is arrested and tried, 492; found guilty and punished, 560.
- Hunt, Leigh, his "Story of Rimini" published, 1816, vii. 509; charm and pleasantness of his essays, 129.
- Hunt, Robert, his evidence of the assassination plot, 1696, v. 26, 27.
- Huskisson, William, present at the taking of the Bastille; defends his conduct in the French revolution, vi. 491, 492; praises Louis's firmness in the insurrection of the 20th of June, 1792, 535; first commissioner of Woods and Forests and Land revenues, vii. 552; president of the board of trade, Jan. 1823; obtains a seat in the Cabinet, 1825; develops a system of commercial policy on a broad and liberal basis; his reciprocity of duties bill passed, July 4, 1823, 592; clamour against his proposal to abolish the prohibitory duties on silk; vindicates his motives for advocating free trade, 592; he is eloquently defended by Canning, 593, 594; colonial secretary in the Goderich ministry, 1827, vii., 13; his differences with Mr. Herries causes lord Goderich to resign, 16; joins the Wellington administration, 16; opposes the repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts, 23; compelled, for consistency's sake, to vote against the government, he retires from the ministry, 1828, 25; his speech on the power of steam; returned M. P. for Liverpool, 60; present at the opening of the Liverpool and Manchester railway, 61; killed by an accident Sept. 15, 1830, 62.
- Huss, John, adopts the tenets of Wycliffe, ii. 13; is summoned to Constance and there burnt in 1415, 13.
- Hussey, Dr., remarks of, on the state of Ireland, 1796, vii. 109, 110.
- Hutchinson, colonel, studies the state of public affairs, iii. 394; conference of, with lord Newark, 436; defends Nottingham Castle with bravery, 476; returned as member of parliament, 516; presents a petition in favour of sir John Owen, 591, 592; goes to London, and submits like others, to Cromwell's government, iv. 31, effects of the Civil War described by Mrs. Hutchinson, 43; she cites the colonel as a good example of an accomplished gentleman of the Independent party, 46; kind of life led by, after his retirement from public affairs, 48; reveals Lambert's plot to Oliver Cromwell, 99.
- Hutchinson, governor of Massachusetts, vi. 128; removes all the troops from Boston, 130; superseded in his post by general Gage, 163.

- Hutchinson, general, engages the French at Castlebar, vii. 116; succeeds to the command of the army in Egypt; invests Cairo, 163.
- Hutchinson, lord, appointed to offer queen Caroline an annuity under certain conditions, vii. 561, 562.
- Hutton William, established a circulating library at Birmingham, 1751, vi. 384; remarks on a handbill celebrating the fall of the Bastille, 1791, 166; his warehouse plundered by the Birmingham mob, 509.
- Huy taken by Marlborough, 1703, v. 122; by Villeroy, 1705, 165.
- Hyde, sir Edward. See Clarendon, earl of.
- Hyder-Ali defeats the English in Madras and obtains possession of the country, vi. 153, 154; his inroad upon the Carnatic, 434, defeated by Coote, 435; death of, 1782, 438.

G.

- IBRAHIM BEY evacuates Cairo, 1798, vii. 100.
- Ibrahim Pasha, proceedings of, at Navarino and the Morea, 1827, viii. 14.
- Imhoff, Mrs., married Warren Hastings, vi. 431.
- Impey, sir Elijah, chief justice of the Supreme Court at Calcutta; causes Nuncomar to be tried for forgery, vi. 430; who is found guilty and executed, 431; quarrels with Hastings, 433; collects evidence against the begums of Oude, 436.
- Impressment, system of, established by law, 1704, v. 132; evils of impressment exaggerated, vii. 365.
- Inclosure Bills passed, 1760, 1779, vi. 300; 1789, 1797, vii. 75.
- Income, Gregory King's scheme of the, of the various grades of society in 1688, iv. 424, 425, 456; of clergy compared with that of other classes, *temp.* queen Anne, 451.
- Income-tax, first proposed by Pitt, 1798; objections to it, vii. 105-107; proposed continuance of, 1816; opposition to it; the proposal rejected, 422, 423; renewal of, proposed by sir R. Peel, and resolved upon by parliament, notwithstanding great opposition, viii. 341; re-imposition of, proposed by sir R. Peel, 379.
- Indemnity Acts for Dissenters passed, 1727-1828, v. 436.
- Indemnity Act passed, 1766, to exonerate those who had advised an embargo on corn, vi. 99.
- Indemnity bill introduced, 1689, iv. 471.
- Indemnity bill, 1818, vii. 477.
- Independents. See Nonconformists.
- India bill, Fox's, brought forward, Nov. 18, 1783; the plan of government proposed, vi. 441; opposition to it; carried in the House of Commons, Dec. 9, 1783; rejected in the Lords, 442, 443; Pitt introduces his, Jan. 11, 1784; thrown out, and Fox's re-introduced, 446; Pitt's India bills passed; plan of government laid down by them, 450.
- Indian affairs, history of, 1744-1754, v. 592-596; 1756-1757, 26-32; 1760-1773, 152-154; 1773-1784, vi. 427-438; India bills of Fox and Pitt, 440, 443, 446, 450; history, from 1785 to 1793, 572, 577; 1798, 1799, vii. 128, 130; 1799, 1806, 217, 228; 1807-1826, 620, 635; 1836, 1842, viii. 286, 300; 1842-1844, 356, 361; 1845, 1846, 404, 405.
- Indian Trade Reform bill suffered to drop, 1692, iv. 538.
- Indians employed by the Americans, 1759, vi. 45; by the British, 1777, 199; Chatham denounces the practice as a national stain, 202, 203; Burgoyne defends his conduct in employing them, 215, 216; destruction of Wyoming by, 1778, 216, 217; again employed by the British, 1812, vii. 369; their steadiness and order praised by Brock, 369.
- Industrious classes, state of the, *temp.* Richard I., i. 360-362; sports, games, and amusements of 362, 363.
- Industry; variety of employments among the western counties of England, iv. 397; the hardware trade of Birmingham, 400; earthenware trade 401; glass manufacture, 402; stocking trade, 403; progress and vicissitudes of the lace trade, 404; Lombe's silk mill completed in 1717, 404; progress of the silk trade, 405; rapid development of the cotton trade between 1700 and 1850, 409; linen trade at Warrington, and discouragement given to it, 412; most prosperous when guided by natural laws, 412; of the Yorkshiremen in queen Anne's time, 414; of Leeds, 414; cutlery trade of Sheffield, 414; imports, exports, and trade of Hull, 414; the coal trade, 415, 417; the salmon trade, 417; of Scotland, very limited before the Union, in 1707, 417; of the inhabitants in the Norwich district, 421; curious evidence of this, 421; great difficulty of passing from one occupation to another about the time of queen Anne, 439, 440; jealousy of the great formerly shown towards the rise of the lower classes, 441; progress of the national, in agriculture, vi. 300, 339; and the arts, 340, 363; general depression

- of, 1812, vii. 324; and again in 1816, from the exhaustion of war, viii. 431, *et seq.*; and the heavy poor rates levied, 443.
- Inglis, sir R. H., returned M.P. for Oxford, 1829, viii. 29; his speech against the Reform bill, 1831, 73 74; opposes the granting a charter to the University of London, 174.
- Ingulphus, abbot of Croyland, account of the invasion of the Danes in 870 by, i. 111; his behaviour to his predecessor, 223; account by, of the resistance of Hereward, 225, 226.
- Innocent XII., his pacific turn, iv. 528; his decision as to the Spanish succession, v. 92; pope 1691-1700, 604.
- Inns as described by the poets and novelists of the 18th century, vi. 394, 395.
- Innsbruck surrendered to the Bavarians, 1809, vii. 290.
- Insurance offices, date of the establishment of the earlier, iv. 431.
- Interregnum, period of, in 1688-9, iv. 373.
- Inverness taken by the young Pretender, 1746, v. 551.
- Inwoods, the architects of St. Pancras church, vii. 537.
- Iona, religion and learning fostered at, by Columbia, in the 8th century, i. 90.
- Ipswich loses its colliery and cloth trade; takes the lead in the manufacture of agricultural implements, iv. 422; mutiny at, 1689, 469.
- Irefon, Henry, commands the left wing at Naseby, iii. 504; intercepts a letter of the king's in 1647, 548; saves the life of sir John Owen, seconded by col. Hutchinson, 591; left in Ireland by Cromwell as his deputy, 605; dies there in Nov. 1651, iv. 32; his body disinterred from Westminster Abbey after the Restoration, and buried at Tyburn, 143.
- Irish Church Reform bill. See Church.
- Iron; England before and after the iron era, contrasted, iv. 394; Forest of Dean formerly the seat of the manufacture of, 395; evidences of the Romans having smelted, 395; coal first used for smelting; state of the iron trade in 1740 and 1851 compared, 396; hardware trade at Birmingham, 400; extensive manufacture of steel at Sheffield, 414; improvements in the manufacture of; Carron iron works founded by Dr. Roebuck, 1759, vi. 354; coal used for smelting, 354; invention of the art of converting cast-iron into malleable-iron; modern and ancient iron structures compared, 356.
- Isabel of Spain, her claim to the throne disputed by Don Carlos, viii. 194.
- Isabella, queen of Edward II. See Edward II. and Edward III.
- Isnaill, siege of, 1790; stormed by the Russians; massacre of the besieged, vi. 502.

J.

- JACKSON, capt. Andrew, organizes the opposition to sir E. Pakenham's advance upon New Orleans, Dec. 1814 and Jan. 1815, vii. 381; president of the United States, 1829, 1837, viii. 68.
- Jacobins, a French political party; Robespierre its head, vi. 518; they attempt to impeach La Fayette, 536; suspend their hostility towards Dumouriez, 589; their measures for governing France, 591, 594; energy, their characteristic, 594; their vigour in meeting the Toulon revolt, vii. 20; their energy and atrocities, 26, 27; they recognize the existence of the Supreme Being, 44; fall of Robespierre and his adherents, 46.
- Jacquerie, in France, the consequence of the miseries occasioned by the ravages of the English, i. 531.
- Jaffa taken by the French 1799, vii. 131; massacre of its inhabitants, 131, 132.
- Jaffier, Meer, Surajah Dowlah's general; conspires, with Clive's assistance, to obtain supreme power, vi. 29; Clive's treaty with, 29; becomes subahdar of Bengal, 32; the English make war on Cossein to dethrone him, and restore Jaffier, 152.
- Jamaica, critical state of affairs in, viii. 249, 250.
- James I. of Scotland is released from his captivity at Windsor, and accompanies Henry V. in his expedition to France in 1421, ii. 36.
- James IV. of Scotland receives and protects Perkin Warbeck in 1495, ii. 209; invades England on his behalf, but retreats, 215; concludes a truce, and sends Warbeck from Scotland, 216; marries Margaret, daughter of Henry VII., in 1502, 225; concludes a treaty with France in May, 1512, 251; invades England, 257; valour displayed by, at Flodden Field, Sept. 9, 1513, 259; slain, 259.
- James I. of England (VI. of Scotland), born June 19, 1566, iii. 57; is crowned in Scotland, July 29, 1567, on his mother's abdication, 66; writes to Elizabeth, in favour of his mother on her condemnation, 121; suspected of joining in the projects of Spain against England, 139; he represses the insurrection fostered by Spain, 191; attempts to obtain a subsidy to enable him to assert his claim to the succession of the crown of England, 220; the Gowrie conspiracy, 221; pro-

claimed king of England, March 24, 1603, as James I., 233; quits Scotland with his queen, Anne of Denmark, 239; absurd dispensations of knighthood by, 239; expectations of the Roman Catholics from, 240; coronation of, 240; the two plots, the "Main" and the "Bye," 241; Raleigh, Cobham, and Grey, indicted for endeavouring to advance Arabella Stuart to the throne, 241; Raleigh is confined in the Tower, 241; he presides at a conference at Hampton Court between the heads of the Church and the leaders of the Puritans, 245; a new version of the Holy Scriptures, ordered by, 245; meeting of his first parliament and dispute with it respecting its privileges, 246; assertion of their rights by the Commons, in their "Apology," 246; they remonstrate against some innovations on the canons, aiming at excluding nonconformists from civil rights, 247; recommends an Union with Scotland, 248; the Commons complain of the grievances of purveyance and wardship, 248; he concludes a treaty of peace with Spain in Aug. 1604, 250; unpopularity of, 250; character of, 252, 253; Cecil communicates the letter of Mounteagle respecting the Gunpowder Plot to the king, 257; the vaults under the Parliament House searched, and Guido Fawkes is taken, 257; dispersion of the conspirators, 258; examination and torture of Fawkes, 259; confession of Winter, and details of the plot, 260, 262; the conspirators attempt to raise a revolt, 263; resist their arrest, 264; are killed or taken prisoners, 264; employment of Ben Jonson as a spy, 265; trial of the conspirators, 266, 267; trial of Garnet the Jesuit and unfair means taken to procure his conviction, 269; Garnet's doctrine of equivocation, 270; new statute against papists, imposing an oath of allegiance, 271; statutes against poaching and drunkenness, 272; addiction of James to field sports 273; lavishness of, to favourites, 274; levies a feudal aid on his eldest son being knighted, 275; imposes taxes on merchandise, and the Commons remonstrate against their illegality, 276; the colonization of North America commenced in 1606, 276; New England colonized by the Pilgrim Fathers in 1620, 278; charters granted to the East India Company, 280; sir Thomas Roe sent ambassador to the East Indies, 282; the Commons continue to remonstrate against the king's arbitrary measures, and are dissolved, 285; the cowardly and selfish policy of James in matters of religion, 285; forces episcopacy on Scotland and burns an Arian in London, 285; dedication to, of the authorized translation of the Bible in 1611, 286; judicious measures for the plantation of Ulster in 1613, 288; raises money by the sale of the new title of baronet, 289; assists Middleton in bringing the New River to London, 289; attempts to repress the growth of London, 290; threatens to remove his court from, and is requested to leave the Thames, 290; story and death of Arabella Stuart, 291; death of Cecil, and Carr assumes the government, 292; death and character of prince Henry, 294; marriage of the princess Elizabeth to the elector palatine in 1613, 295; a parliament called in 1614, they pass a vote against the king's right of imposing customs duties, and are dissolved without passing a single bill, 297; commits five of the members to the Tower, 297; levies a Benevolence, to which Oliver St. John refuses to contribute, and is fined 5000*l.*, 297; sales of the public offices by, 298; rise of the new favourite, George Villiers, 298; trial of the earl and countess of Somerset for the murder of sir Thomas Overbury, 300; deceitful conduct of James towards the earl, 300; they are convicted and pardoned, 301; mysteries of the causes for the murder of Overbury, and for the king's pardon to the murderers, 303; letters of James in reference to the affair, 306; he is opposed in his arbitrary measures by lord chief justice Coke, whom he dismisses, 304; issues a proclamation for sports on Sundays after divine service, 305; releases Raleigh from the Tower, in 1616, and allows him to undertake an expedition to Guinea, 309; causes him to be executed under his previous sentence on his return unsuccessful in 1618, at the instigation of the Spanish ambassador, 312; the elector palatine chosen king of Bohemia in the Protestant interest, 313; protection to papists given by the Spanish ambassador and anger of the populace caused by it, 315; calls a parliament and solicits money, 315; the Commons reply by impeaching monopolists, 316; lord Bacon is also impeached for and convicted of bribery and corruption, 317; conduct of the parliament in punishing Floyd, 318; strong feeling evinced by the Commons in favour of the elector palatine, 319; negotiates for a marriage of Charles with an infanta of Spain, 320; the king and the Commons at issue on a question of privilege, 320; he dissolves the parliament, 320; journey of prince Charles and Villiers to the court of Spain, 322; the Spanish match broken off, 323; statute passed declaring all monopolies to be contrary to law, 323; the earl of Middlesex impeached for bribery, 323; war commenced in favour of the elector palatine, 324; death of James, March 27, 1625, 324.

James II. when duke of York marries Ann Hyde, the daughter of Clarendon, in 1661, iv. 150; gains a victory over the Dutch off Lowestoffe in 1665, 173; takes the command of the English fleet in 1672, and fights an obstinate battle with the Dutch in Southwold Bay, 216; refuses to take the oath prescribed by the

Test Act, and resigns his post of lord high admiral, 222; the House of Commons address the king against the duke's marriage with Maria Beatrix of Modena, 223; his daughter Mary marries the prince of Orange, 232; leaves England in 1679, 247; the Bill for the Exclusion of, read twice in the House of Commons, 250; returns to England in disguise on learning the sickness of Charles, 260; is sent to Scotland and cruelly persecutes the Covenanters, 260; assumes a more active share in the government of England, 263; is presented before the Grand Jury at Westminster as a popish recusant by Shaftesbury and others, 264; returns to Scotland, 265; he procures a Test Act against the Covenanters to be passed by the Scottish parliament, 279; fresh persecution of the Covenanters by, 279; he returns to England, 280; prosecution of alderman Pilkington for a libel, who is fined 100,000*l.*, 283; and of Titus Oates for a libel, who is fined 100,000*l.*, 283; marriage of his daughter Anne to prince George of Denmark, 290; succeeds to the throne, Feb. 6, 1665; his address to the Council promising to preserve the established religion, 295; alteration in the ritual of the coronation by, 296; issues a proclamation for the levying of customs duties, 296; selection of ministers by, 297; releases Papists and Quakers from imprisonment, but no other dissenters, 298; requires and obtains new laws against the Covenanters, 298; outrageous attempts to secure the elections for the new House of Commons, and large increase of the number of Peers, 300; servile spirit of the Commons, who vote a revenue for life, 301; they address him praying that the laws may be enforced against all dissenters, 302; Titus Oates convicted and punished for perjury, 302; and Richard Baxter for libel, 303; insurrection in Scotland, headed by the earl of Argyle, 304; its failure, and Argyle's execution, 306; landing of the duke of Monmouth at Lyme, 306; the House of Commons pass a Bill of Attainder against him, 307; the insurgents defeated at the battle of Sedgemoor, 313; interview of, with Monmouth, 316; Monmouth executed, 317; military executions in the West, under Kirke and others, 317; and judicial atrocities committed by Jeffreys on the insurgents, 319; scandalous traffic in the prisoners sanctioned by the king, 319; tendencies of, to absolutism, 320; dismissal of Halifax, 321; opens parliament, announces his employment of Popish officers, and of having dispensed with the Test Act, 323; the Commons timidly address him in favour of Protestantism, 324; opposition to the dispensing power shown in the House of Lords, 324; fresh trials and convictions for political offences, 325; parliament, after two prorogations, is dissolved, 325; Sunderland becomes a Roman Catholic and chief minister, 326; the Jesuits paramount in the government, 326; sends an embassy to Rome, 326; obtains the sanction of the King's Bench as to his power of dispensing with the Test Laws, 328; appoints Roman Catholics to benefices, 329; an Ecclesiastical Commission constituted, 330; monastic establishments opened in London in 1686, 331; Rev. Samuel Johnson tried and convicted of a libellous publication concerning the army, 332; and he is degraded from the ecclesiastical office, and publicly whipped, 333; recommends Anthony Farmer, a suspected papist, to be elected president of Magdalen college, 336; the Fellows are expelled by the Ecclesiastical Commission on their electing Dr. John Hough, 337; Tryconnel is appointed the lord-deputy of Ireland, 338; publishes a declaration for liberty of conscience in Scotland, 340; and in England, 340; camp formed on Hounslow Heath, 344; receives the Papal nuncio publicly at Windsor, 346; makes a progress through the country, 346; orders the declaration for liberty of conscience to be read in churches, 349; the seven bishops petition against compliance, 350; the bishops are committed to the Tower, 353; brought before the King's Bench to plead, and held to bail, 354; tried for a libel, and acquitted, 355; public rejoicings on their acquittal, 355; birth of a son announced, 356; his legitimacy violently disputed, 356; James solicits advice of the bishops, 363; he adopts measures of concession, 363; restores the Charter of London, 363; reinstates the President and Fellows of Magdalen College, 363; dissolves the Ecclesiastical Commission, 363; joins the main body of his army at Salisbury, 367; lord Cornbury and other officers desert his cause, 367; the duke of Grafton, lord Churchill, and other commanders, go over to William, 367; prince of Denmark, and princess Anne, join William, 368; returns to London, 368; publishes a proclamation appointing Parliament to meet, and nominates Commissioners to bring about an agreement with the prince of Orange, 369; issues a proclamation for a general amnesty, 369; his queen, with the infant prince, flies from Whitehall on Dec. 10, and goes to France, 369; he quits Whitehall Dec. 11, 370; throws the Great Seal into the Thames, 370; being discovered at Sheerness, is brought back to London, 372; quits the kingdom, 372; lands at Kinsale, Mar. 12, 1689, 474; Tyrconnel secures Ireland in favour of, 475; enters Dublin, Mar. 24, 477; his wavering conduct, 477; displeased at Rosen's cruel order, 481; takes a personal share in the besieging of Londonderry, and the defeat of Macarthy, viscount Mountcashel, at the battle of Newton Butler, 482; his letter to the Scotch convention, 486; his cause falls on

- the death of Dundee at the pass of Killiecrankie, 492; opens a parliament at Dublin, May 7, 495; its severity towards protestants; issues a coinage of money, 495, 496; Shrewsbury resigns his seals by order of, 504; meets with disappointment from Louis XIV. of France, 509; effects of his bad administration of public affairs in Ireland, 509; prepares to oppose William; leaves Dublin, June 16, 1690; retires before his opponent, and comes to a stand on the banks of the Boyne, 509; on the 1st of July the battle takes place; he is defeated; and retreats to Dublin, 511, 512, *et seq.*; his speech to the magistrates and resolve to forsake the Irish, 513; quits Dublin, July 3, 516; embarks at Passage, and arrives at Brest on the 4th; his project of invading England is coldly received by Louis, 516; his courtiers persuade the French that he was deserted by the Irish; Irish refugees in France are on that account harshly dealt with by them, 517; sends Tyrconnel over to Ireland as his viceroy there, 535; issues a declaration to the English, 560; induces Louis to aid him in an invasion of England, 560; joins his camp at Normandy, April, 1692, 561; commiserates the slaughter of his "poor English" at the battle of Steinkirk, 567; his petty revenge on William's relations on the decease of queen Mary, 595; contemplates the invasion of England, 1696, v. 25; sends Berwick to head the Jacobites if they should rise, 25; issues protestations against William, 1697, 41; dies at St. Germain, Sept. 16, 1701, 103; he opposed Wren's first design for St. Paul's, 336.
- James, John, his architectural works, v. 342.
- Jameson, col., commands the American militia, vi. 237.
- Java, conquered by the British, 1810; lost at the peace, vii. 622.
- Jedburgh, burnt by the earl of Hertford, in 1545, ii. 451.
- Jefferson, Thomas, his admiration of Henry's eloquence, vi. 85; makes the draught of the declaration of independence, 185; governor of Virginia, 248; defends himself against charges of neglect, 248; narrow escape from being made prisoner; succeeds Franklin as minister at Paris; accuses George III. of incivility towards himself, 292; heads the democratic party, vii. 70; president of the United States, 1801-1809, 363; considers his election as a pacific revolution, 363; dreads going to war, 363; his extravagant hopes of success in the war with Great Britain, 1812, 365; his prophetic fears of the separation of the Union, 376, 377; his opinion of Bonaparte, 574; and cordiality with Great Britain, 588.
- Jeffrey, Francis, despairs of British success in Spain, 1808, vii. 277; remarks on Wordsworth's poetry, 506; and Scott's, 507; his reviews, 517; description of a steamboat on Lock Lomond, 522; lord advocate, 584; description of early morning after the rejection of the reform bill, viii. 67; his anxiety for the prevention of riots, 80; his description of lord Althorp, 82; his interview with Althorp on the resignation of the Grey ministry, 1831, 103; his remarks on the bad working of the new parliamentary system, 131.
- Jeffreys, George, as recorder of London, fines and imprisons a jury for having found a verdict in favour of Penn and Mead, indicted for attending a conventicle, iv. 211; exertions to, to procure the return of Dudley North as sheriff of London, 278; services of, while lord chief justice, in making towns surrender their charters in 1683, 283; brutal behaviour of, on the trial of Sidney in 1683, 288; and on that of Richard Baxter for libel in 1685, 303; atrocious cruelty of, in punishing the followers of Monmouth, 317; condemnation of lady Alice Lisle, 318; is rewarded with the Great Seal for his conduct, 319; the insolence of, repressed in the House of Lords, 324; he fails to procure the conviction of lord Delamere for treason, 325; is discovered in disguise at Wapping in London, and committed to the Tower, 371.
- Jekyll, sir Joseph, regards Sacheverel's sentence as a triumph, v. 225; returned a member of the parliament, 1710, 236.
- Jellalabad, Sale's defence of, viii. 297.
- Jemappes, battle of, Nov. 6, 1792, vi. 551.
- Jena, or Auerstadt, battle of, Oct. 14, 1806, vii. 241.
- Jenkins, Rev. H., notice of his account of Colchester Castle, i. 30, note.
- Jenkins, Capt. R., ordered to appear before the House of Commons; states the barbarities he had received from the Spaniards to the duke of Newcastle, but obtains no redress; he appears before the House, and there exhibits the ear that had been cut off, v. 471, 472.
- Jenkinson. See Liverpool, earl of.
- Jenner, Edward; benefit to the world resulting from his discovery of vaccination, vii. 521.
- Jenyns, Soame, describes his visit to sir J. Jolly, vi. 409, 410.
- Jerdan, William, his account of Perceval's death, 1812, vii. 320, 321.
- Jersey, French attack upon, 1781, vi. 242.
- Jerusalem, mission from the kingdom of, to England in 1185, i. 337; capture of, by Saladin, in 1187, 338.

- Jervas, Charles, his paintings, v. 348.
- Jervis, sir John; sails in command of a fleet against the Spaniards, vii. 76; considerably aided by commodore Nelson in gaining the battle of St. Vincent, Feb. 14, 1797, 77, 78; rewarded with an earldom, 79; provides Nelson with a fleet to go against Bonaparte, 97.
- Jesuits, suppression of the, 1773; their beneficial aid in advancing literature and science, vi. 145, 146.
- Jews, massacre of, at the coronation of Richard I., i. 340; plundered by John in 1211, 375; persecution and banishment of, *temp.* Edw. I., 429; attempt of Cromwell to re-introduce into England, iv. 80; the mines chiefly worked by them in John's reign, v. 396; bill passed, 1753, for the naturalization of; popular clamour against the bill, 584; bill for their relief from civil disabilities passed the Commons; thrown out in the Lords, 1833, viii. 137; another introduced by lord Russell, but not passed, 415.
- Jezzar, pasha of Acre, seizes El Arish, vii. 130; his defence of Acre, 131.
- Joan of Arc, first appearance of, ii. 48; account of her youth, and introduction to the king of France, 49; relieves Orleans, 50; terrors inspired in the English troops by, 51; the siege of Orleans raised by, 52; her enthusiasm a sufficient cause for her success, without assigning miraculous powers, 53; wins the battle of Patay, 53; conducts Charles VII. to Rheims, where he is crowned in 1430, 55; attacks Paris, and is repulsed, 55; is taken prisoner at Compiègne by the Burgundians, 56; is tried for sorcery at Rouen before the bishop of Beauvais, 56; is burnt on May 30, 1431, 57.
- John, son of Henry II., joins Philip of France in a war against his father, i. 338; intrigues against his brother Richard, 348; deposes the chancellor William Longchamp, 349; surrenders some of his brother's continental territories to Philip, and does homage for the rest, 354, 355; gives out that Richard has died in prison, 355; attempts to bribe the emperor of Germany to keep his brother a prisoner, 355; crowned May, 27, 1199, 367; the claim of Arthur of Brittany supported by Philip of France, 367; war with Philip, truce, and war renewed, 369; captures Arthur at Tours, 370; suspicions of having caused him to be murdered, 370; is driven out of Normandy by Philip, 372; quarrels with Pope Innocent III. in 1207, respecting the appointment of an archbishop of Canterbury, 373; the kingdom placed under an interdict, 374, 375; leads an army into Ireland in 1210, and effects some useful reforms, 374; represses the incursions of the Welsh, 375, 376; plunders the Jews to raise money for his expeditions, 376; small effect of the interdict on the industry of the people, 376, 377; the kingdom excommunicated and the king deposed by the pope in 1212, and the crown promised to Philip, 378; he anticipates Philip's warlike measures, by sending a fleet which burnt Dieppe, and destroyed many French ships, 378; he submits, and swears fealty to the pope, 379; France prepares to invade England, and a naval victory is gained by the English, 380; admits Langton to the see of Canterbury, 380; invades France in 1214, and is defeated at Bouvines, 381; the clergy and barons enter into a league against him at St. Edmundsbury, 383; he solicits the aid of the pope, 383; the army of God and Holy Church (the army of the barons) march to London, 384; signs Magna Charta on June 15, 1215, at Runnymede, 384; provisions of the Great Charter, 385, 386; effects of, upon the nation, 387, 388; rapid movements of John, after signing the charter, and fallacy of the tradition as to his retirement, 389; with an army of mercenaries he ravages England, and the pope annuls the charter and excommunicates the barons, 390; the crown offered by the barons to Louis of France, 391; resistance of the fortresses to the French, 392; suspected treachery of Louis, 393; death of John on Oct. 18, 1216; buried at Worcester, 394.
- John, archduke, of Austria, commands the Austrian army; his campaign with Moreau; concludes an armistice with him, vii. 150.
- Johnson, Joseph, tried and imprisoned for being concerned in the Manchester reform meeting, vii. 560.
- Johnson, Rev. Sam., tried and imprisoned in 1683 for writing Julian the Apostate, iv. 290; tried and convicted for a libellous publication concerning the army, 332; degraded from the ecclesiastical office and publicly whipped, 334.
- Johnson, Dr. Samuel, he is touched for the king's evil by Queen Anno, v. 129; his gratitude to Gen. Oglethorpe, 437; does penance at a book-stall at Uttoxeter, vi. 383, 384; forms a link between two periods of literature, 385; goes to London, 1737, 384; his vicissitudes and employments for half a century; dies, 1784; his delight in Crabbe's poem "the Village," 385; his "London," published 1738; "Vanity of Human Wishes," 1749, 387; brings out the "Rambler," 1750, and the "Idler," 1758; writes for the "Adventurer," 390; his partiality for tavern life, 397; his prejudice against tradesmen, 417.
- Johnstone, sir James, his remarks on Fox's India declaratory bill, vi. 573.
- Joinville, Prince de, his pamphlet on the naval forces of France, viii. 376, 377.

- Joliffe, sir William, his account of the Manchester reform meeting of 1819, vii. 489, 490, 492.
- Jones, col., describes the British system of taking towns, vii. 329, 330; and the capture of Badajoz, 331.
- Jones, Inigo, the first to imitate Grecian temples in British churches, v. 338.
- Jones, John Gale, proceeding between parliament and, on a question of privilege, 1810, vii. 304, 305.
- Jones, John Paul, employed by Franklin in an expedition against Great Britain, 1779, vi. 218; attempts to capture Leith and Edinburgh, but is driven back by a gale: attacks some ships convoyed by the Serapis and Scarborough; is the former; a native of Scotland, 219.
- Jones, Rev. Richard, aids in establishing the principle of the Tithe Commutation Act, vii. 188.
- Jordan, Mrs., her happy connection with the duke of Clarence, viii. 200.
- Joseph II. of Germany, 1765-1790, vi. 600; vii. 636; attempts to coerce Holland, vi. 452.
- Josephine Beauharnois. See Bonaparte, vii. 63, 291; 303.
- Joubert, Alvinzy compels him to retreat, vii. 83, joined by Bonaparte, 86.
- Jourdan, J. B., rises from a packman to command a French army, vi. 585; driven by the prince of Coburg over the Sambre, Oct. 1793; vii. 27; marshal; appointed to the command of the army of the Moselle, 1794, 48; defeats the Austrians at Arlon; captures Charleroi; wins the battle of Flenrus, June 29; and compels the duke of York to retreat, 49; appointed to the command of the Sambre and Meuse, 1796, 63; failure of the campaign, 68; his campaign with the archduke Charles, 1799, 183; major-general; defeated by Wellington at Vittoria, June 21, 1813, 346.
- Joyce, Rev. Jeremiah; Stanhope's private secretary; charged with high treason, vii. 35.
- Joyeuse, Villaret, admiral of the Brest fleet; defeated by Howe, June 1, 1794, vii. 41.
- Judges, independence of, iv. 559.
- Julian, the emperor, builds warehouses for the reception of British corn, i. 47; large quantity furnished to the continent, 47; commands Paulis, governor of Britain, to be burnt, 66.
- Juliana, Maria, Christian VII.'s step-mother; heads a court party against the queen, Struensee and Brandt, vi. 149.
- Junius, his letters, vi. 108, 109; characteristics of his writings; abuses Wilkes, 110; a master of personal invective, 110; attempts made to give the credit of his productions to sir P. Francis, lord Temple, and Burke; lord Lyttleton probably the writer, 111; personality of his libels; his attacks upon the duke of Grafton, whose administration he wished to destroy, 113; his private letters; his character; paltry-minded, ambitious, vain, cowardly, 114; assaults the duke of Bedford, 115; his address to the king; prosecution of the publisher, Mr. Woodfall, who escapes punishment, 116, 117.
- Junot, A., crosses the Bidassoa; captures the Spanish capital, 1808, vii. 266; defeated by sir A. Wellesley at Vimiero, Aug. 21, 1808, 273; retreats to Torres Vedras, 274.

K.

- KALENDAR, note on the French Revolutionary, vi. 598, 599.
- Kandy, king of, acquiesces in the British possessing the maritime provinces of Ceylon, vii. 627; deposed for his cruelties, 627.
- Katzbach, battle of the, Aug. 26, 1813, vii. 348.
- Kay, John, introduces the use of the fly-shuttle about 1760, vi. 343.
- Keane, sir John, general, has temporary command of the army in America; commands a division; advances against New Orleans, vii. 381; wounded, 382; commands the Bombay division of the Indian army, viii. 288; his passage through the Bolau Pass; besieges Ghuznee, 289.
- Keate, Thomas, surgeon-general of the army; not consulted about the Walcheren expedition, 1809, vii. 292.
- Keith joins his brother in an expedition to collect the Jacobites in Scotland, v. 402.
- Keith, marshal, slain at Lochkirchen, Oct. 1758, vi. 42.
- Keith, Rev. Alexander, his cheap marriage trade; damaged by the new marriage act, 1753, v. 586.
- Keith, lord, announces to Napoleon that St. Helena is to be his future residence, 1815, vii. 406.
- Kellermann, gains the battle of Valmy, Sept. 20, 1792, vi. 549, 550; besieges Lyon, 1793, vii. 17; superseded in his command by Dubois-Crancé, 17.

- Kelso burnt by the earl of Hertford, in 1545, ii. 451.
- Kempenfeldt, admiral, his unsuccessful cruise, 1782, vi. 260; perishes at the sinking of the Royal George, Aug. 29, 1782, 285.
- Kendal, duchess of, bribed by the South Sea Directors, v. 412; caricatures of, 413; bribed to promote Wood's patent, 420; accompanies George I. on his last visit to Hanover, 1727, 427.
- Kenmore, viscount, commands the Pretender's Scotch forces, 1715, v. 371; sentenced to death for his concern in the rebellion, 381; executed on Tower Hill, Feb. 24, 1716, 382.
- Kent, duchess of, marries the duke of Kent, July 13, 1818, vii. 479; birth of their daughter, the future queen, Victoria, May 24, 1809; death of the duke of Kent, Jan. 23, 1820, 495; appointed sole regent should the king die before the 18th year of the princess Victoria, viii. 41; present at Queen Victoria's audience with the privy council, 201.
- Kent, his painting, &c., v. 344, 345.
- Kent, agriculture of, vi. 315.
- Kentish petition; its purport, v. 98, 99; ferment caused by the imprisonment of the presenters, 99.
- Keppel, admiral; appointed to the command of the channel fleet; sails from St. Helens, June 17, 1778; captures the *Lecorne*; sails to Portsmouth, vi. 214; again puts to sea, July 9; engages D'Orvilliers off Ushant; his second in command, sir H. Palliser, unable to renew the conflict; debates on their conduct; Palliser charges Keppel with misconduct and incapacity; court-martial on, and acquittal of, 214; created a viscount, and appointed first lord of the admiralty, 285.
- Ker, John of Kersland; his account of the Cameronian demonstration in 1706; he joins them, acting as a spy to watch their proceedings, v. 192; performs the same part in the Jacobite plots of 1707; offers the services of 5000 men to colonel Hooke, 202.
- Key, John, lord, mayor, 1830; warns the duke of Wellington to come guarded to his banquet, viii. 62.
- Keyes, an assassination plotter; tried, 1696, v. 31.
- Khan, Ameer, joins the Pindarees against the British, vii. 625.
- Kiel, treaty of, Jan. 14, 1814, vii. 643.
- Killiecrankie, battle of, July 27, 1689, iv. 492, 493.
- Killigrew, admiral, fails in keeping back the French fleet, iv. 583.
- Kilmarnock, lord, joins the rebel ranks, 1745, v. 510; fails in raising his tenants to fight for the Stuart cause, 523; trial of, July 28, 1746; pleads guilty; description of his appearance, 561; executed on Tower Hill, Aug. 18, 562.
- Kilwarden, lord; lord chief justice, murdered by the Emmett insurrectionists, 1803, vii. 185, 186.
- Kimbolton, lord. See Manchester, earl of.
- King, Gregory, estimates the population from the hearth tax returns, iv. 384; his "scheme of the income and expense of the several families in England," analyzed, 424-426, 439-441, 443, 444, 450-452.
- King tried for taking part in the assassination plot, v. 31.
- King, lord; extract from his diary on a petition for lord George Murray, v. 384, 385, lord chancellor; causes a bill to be introduced enacting that all law proceedings be in the English language, 439.
- King, Dr., his interview with Charles Edward in 1750 in London, v. 570, 571.
- King's Weston, built by Vanbrugh, v. 341.
- Kingsley, Charles, his novel entitled "Alton Locke;" quotation from, descriptive of the enlightened behaviour of class towards class in 1862, viii. 322, 323.
- Kinsale capitulates to Marlborough, 1690, iv. 523.
- Kirehdenkern, battle of, July 15, 1761, vi. 60.
- Kit's Coty House, description of, i. 22.
- Kleber, J. B., defeated by the Vendéans at Chollet, Sept. 1793, vii. 29; serves in Jourdan's army, 49; surrender of Maestricht to, 1794, 50; his struggle against a Mussulman army, 132; assassinated, June 14, 1800, 161.
- Klundert taken by Dumouriez, vi. 590.
- Kneller, Godfrey, a German; patronized by the duke of Monmouth; and becomes the fashionable portrait painter in England, v. 346; list of his more famous likenesses, and their general characteristics, 347; president of a private academy of painting, vi. 366.
- Knight, Miss C., quotations from her "Autobiography," illustrative of the relations between the prince Regent and princess Charlotte, vii. 475.
- Knight, sir John, complains of the exportation of corn, v. 29.
- Knight, John, imprisoned for being concerned in the Manchester reform meeting, 1819, vii. 560.
- Knight, cashier of the South Sea Company; flies to Brabant; his tamperings with the cash books, v. 413.

- Knight service instituted by William the Conqueror, i. 241, *et seq.*; finally abolished in 1660, iv. 135.
- Knights Templars. See Templars.
- Knox, John, preaches at Perth, and the monastic houses are destroyed, iii. 27; preaches against the seizure of the Church patrimony by the nobles, 32; attacks of, upon Mary, and her avowed hatred of him, 36; preaches against the marriage of Mary with a Roman Catholic prince, 45; returns to Scotland in 1567, and preaches violently against Mary, 65.
- Koenig invents the steam printing machine, vi. 522.
- Köln, battle of, June 17, 1757, vi. 35.
- Königsmark, count Philip, tragedy of his death, v. 362.
- Kosciusko, engages in the American cause, 1777, vi. 198; appointed leader of the Polish army, 1794, vii. 51; defeats the Russians April 4, 51; compelled to retreat by the Prussian and Austrian forces, wounded in battle, Oct. 10, 51.
- Kuchuk Kanarji, peace of, 1774; its terms, vi. 145, 601.
- Kunersdorf, battle of, 1759, vi. 48.
- Kyrie, John, "the Man of Ross," a descendant from a serf of bishop Swinfield, i. 411.

L.

- LABEDOYERE, colonel in the Grenoble garrison; joins Napoleon, 1815, vii. 392; tried by court martial on Louis' restoration, and shot, 416.
- Labelye, the builder of Westminster Bridge, vi. 282.
- Laborde engaged in the battle of Vimiero, vii. 273.
- Labouchere, Henry, master of the mint; vice president of the board of trade, viii. 203.
- La Bourdonnais, governor of the Isle de France; Fort St. George capitulates to, 1746, v. 593.
- Labourers, wages of, in 1288, i. 443; statute for regulating the wages of, 522; statute for preventing their removal, 524; gradual emancipation of, from serfdom, 559; statute of 1388, against their changing their professions, 559; in husbandry, not sufficient for the demand, 560; the wives of, subjected to the statute for the regulation of apparel, ii. 69; statute for regulating the hours of labour of, 82; scale of wages for, 83; injury done to, by parliamentary interference with, 84; combinations among, and statute against, in 1423, 86; distress occasioned among, by the fluctuation in the price of wheat, and the statutes fixing a rate of wages, 240; the effects of inclosures upon, 254; sufferings of, in the reign of Henry VIII., from the Statute of Wages in 1515, and the debasement of the coin, 495; love of old ceremonies among, 531; the Protector Somerset's efforts in behalf of, 541; insurrection of, against inclosures, in 1549, 542, 543; festivals and sports of, *temp. Eliz.*, iii. 180; severities exercised upon, by the Law of Settlement, 198; statute against the able-bodied, who refuse to work at the usual wages, 199; the legislature declare, in 1563, that the statutes for the regulation of wages cannot be enforced, on account of the high price of provisions, 204; other causes of suffering, 205; healthful influence of the Puritan gentry upon, *temp. Charles I.*, iv. 45; their repulsion of strict Puritanism, 47; condition of an agricultural labourer, *regno* of queen Anne as compared with one of the present day, iv. 441, 442; character of the agricultural, *temp. queen Anne*, 442; hardship and distress among, caused by the corrupt state of the silver coinage, v. 20; labour question between master and, from the reign of Edward III. to the present time, 574-576; the laws against the combination of, 575; number of agricultural, in 1770 and 1851, vi. 299; condition of the farm, of Suffolk, 303; the Luddite riots and destruction of machinery, 1812, vii. 324; desire and attempts to level all distinction between, and artisans, viii. 91; incendiary fires and machine breaking, 91; riots at Derby, Nottingham, and Bristol, 1831, 92, 93; admonition to, 95; limitation of labour in factories, 146, 147; pauperised condition of, 1834; injustice done to the independent, by the old parochial system, 152, 155; sanitary condition of; state of their dwellings; evil effects of bad drainage on their health, 214, 217; cleanliness amongst town labourers, promoted by public baths and washhouses, 217, 218; condition of the factory workers, 219; cruelties inflicted on the children and women employed in the coal mines, 320, 321; condition of agricultural, 221-223; condition of, during the prevalence of Chartism in 1839, 247, 249; popularity of Chartist principles amongst, 249; sir J. Graham's bill for limiting the hours of labour in factories to twelve; debate and amendments on it, 367, 368; the bill passed, 368; Fielden's bill, for limiting the number of working hours in factories to ten, passed, 414.
- Lace trade, reigns of William and Anne, iv. 403, 404.
- La Fayette, G. M., appointed major-general in the United States army, 1777, vi.

- 198; present at Cornwallis's surrender, 1781, 253; elected commander of the French national guard, 1789, 482; attempts to save Foulon, 483; ordered to march to Versailles, 487; conducts the royal family to Paris, 488; takes the oath at the federation fête, 499; keeps watch over the royal family in the Tuileries, 512; they escape, 512, 513; causes them to be captured and conducted back to Paris, 514, 515; commands the centre army sent against Austria, 1792, 532; denounces the instigators of the insurrection of June 20; the mob compel him to fly from Paris, 536; opposes the orders of the assembly; is declared a traitor; quits his army; is arrested by the Austrians, and imprisoned, 541, 542; commands the national guard of Paris, July, 1830; obtains possession of the Hotel de Ville, viii. 51; where he receives the duke of Orleans, 52.
- La Feuillade**, French general, besieges Turin, v. 163.
- Lafitte** heads a deputation requesting Marmont to suspend hostilities, viii. 50; obtains the premiership of France, 1830, 195.
- Laguerre**, patronized by Charles II. and his court, v. 332.
- Lahar** reduced by captain Popham, vi. 433.
- La Hogue**, battle of, May 19, 1692, iv. 562, 563.
- Lake school of poetry**, vii. 505.
- Lake**, general Gerard, his campaign against the Irish rebels, 1798, vii. 113; surrender of the French under Humbert to, 116; commands the troops in Hindostan; compels the French to retreat; his triumphant campaign completed in the victory of Laswarree, Nov. 1, 1803, 221.
- Lake district**, farmers of the, vi. 330; the home of poetry, 330; cultivation in the; changes in the social condition of its inhabitants; turnips first grown as a field crop, 1793, 330, 331.
- Lamartine**, remarks of, on the September massacres, 1792, vi. 545.
- Lamb**, sir C. M.; his account of Burke's dagger speech, vi. 571.
- Lamb**, Charles, character of his essays, vii. 519.
- Lamb**, William. See Melbourne.
- Lamballe**, princesse de, a friend of Marie Antoinette; her death scene, Aug. 10, 1792, vi. 543, 544.
- Lambert**, John, trial of, for denying the real presence in the eucharist, ii. 418; disputation of, with Henry VIII., 418; is burnt, 418.
- Lambert**, General, engaged in the attack on New Orleans, 1815, vii. 382.
- Lambesc**, prince, attempts to quell the Paris riots, 1789, vi. 478.
- Lancashire** before the cotton era, iv. 403; formerly an agricultural county, vi. 324; the hill farmers of, now and eighty years ago, 324, 325; Fylde moss converted into productive land, 325; double occupation of farmer and weaver in, 346; rapid increase of Bolton, Bury, Birmingham, and Manchester, 351, 352.
- Lancaster**, John of Gaunt, duke of, apprehensions entertained of the ambitious designs of, i. 546, 566; is accused of treason, 567; demands a trial, but the witness against him dies, 568; leaves England to assert his claim to the crown of Castile, 569; returns to England in 1389, 574; dies in 1398, and his possessions are seized by Richard II., 581.
- Lancastrian party**, defeat of the, at the battle of Towton, ii. 121; extreme distress of, in exile, 126; total depression of, after the battle of Tewkesbury, 147.
- Landau**, siege of; capitulates to the allies, 1704, v. 147, 148.
- Landen**, or Neerwinden, battle of, July 29, 1693, iv. 581-583.
- Landseer**, sir Edwin, succeeds Ward as an animal painter, vii. 548.
- Langara**, admiral of the Spanish fleet; taken prisoner by the British; surprise at William IV.'s obedience as a midshipman, vi. 282, 283.
- Langdale**, destruction of his distillery by the Gordon rioters, 1780, vi. 233.
- Langton**, Stephen, elected archbishop of Canterbury, in 1207; but John refuses him admission, and banishes the monks, i. 374; takes possession of his see, in 1213, 380; opposes the despotism of John, 380; joins the barons at Saint Edmundsbury, in their league against John, 383; conveys the provisions of Magna Charta to John at Oxford, who rejects them, 383; refuses to excommunicate the barons on the order of the pope, 391; excommunicates "all violators of the liberties of the Church," 405, 406.
- Lansdown**, lord, Henry Petty; chancellor of the exchequer, 1806, vii. 229, 640; his financial propositions, 246; lord president of the council, viii. 67, 203.
- Lanusse** leads the French infantry at the battle of Alexandria; slain, Mar. 21, 1801, vii. 162.
- Las Torres**, count of, besieges San Mateo, 1705; is compelled to raise the siege by Peterborough, who follows his retreating army, v. 158.
- Laswarree**, battle of, Nov. 1, 1803, vii. 221.
- Latimer**, Hugh, offer of a bribe by, to Cromwell, ii. 369; participation of, in the burning of heretics, 419; preaches against images and relics, 420; resigns his bishopric on the passing of the Six Articles Act in 1539, and is committed to prison, 433; commendation of archery by, 476; advice of, to pay sufficient salaries to officials, and prevent their taking bribes, 478; description of a

- farmer's life by, 485; accused of speaking seditiously against the debasement of the coinage, 493; remonstrance of, against burials in towns, 501; sermon of, on the execution of lord Thomas Seymour, 539; committed to the Tower, after the accession of Mary, on Nov. 13, 1553, 584; condemned, with Ridley and Crammer, for heresy, at Oxford, 601; burning of, with Ridley, at Oxford, in Sept. 1555, 623.
- Latin language, probable prevalence of, in England, under the Romans, i. 59; opinion of lord Macaulay as to its non-prevalence, 59; incorporation of such Latin in the Anglo-Saxon and Welsh languages, 59.
- Latour, an Austrian general; defeated by Moreau, 1796, vii. 68.
- Latude, his escape from the Bastille, 1756, vi. 479.
- Land, archbishop, introduction of ceremonial observances into the Church service by, iii. 343; persecution of William Prynne, 354; and of Dr. Alexander Leighton, 354; approves of Strafford's principle of *thorough*, 357; urges on the establishment of episcopacy in Scotland, 371; which occasions, in 1637, the revolt of Scotland, and the adoption of the National Covenant, 373, *et seq.*; his palace, at Lambeth, attacked by the populace, on May 11, 1640, 383; his fears of the parliament of 1640, and his superstitious forebodings, 384; opinion of, as to infringements of law, 391; is arrested, and impeached by the Commons, 392; Parliament resumes proceedings against, 497; defends himself with skill and courage, but is condemned for high treason, and is beheaded on Jan. 10, 1645, 497.
- Lauderdale, earl of, visits Paris, Aug. 1792, vi. 537, 538; his doubts of British operations in Holland, 1793, 588; sent to Paris to negotiate for peace, vii. 236, 237; opposes the gas company, 1816, 440.
- Launay, De, governor of the Bastille, vi. 479; defends it against the mob, July 14, 1789, 480; capitulates on condition of having a free pass; he is seized and murdered by the mob, 481.
- Laurens, Henry, president of congress; foresees more war, May, 1778, vi. 211, 212; a commissioner for acquiring peace, 1782, 288.
- Lauriston, general, enthusiastic reception of, in London, as the bearer of peace, 1801, vii. 165; his despatch to Bonaparte, 1805, 205.
- Lauzun, general, his conduct at the Boyne, 1690, iv. 511; considers Limerick untenable, 519.
- Lavalette tried by court martial for aiding Bonaparte; condemned to death; escapes vii. 416.
- La Vendée, the war in, 1793, vii. 27-30.
- Law, proceedings of, ordered to be transacted in the English language, 1731, v. 439, 440.
- Law, John, his Mississippi scheme, v. 410-412.
- Law of Settlement. See Settlement.
- Law reform. See Brougham.
- Lawrence, captain of the "Chesapeake," his combat with Broke; died 1813, vii. 373.
- Lawrence, his conference with Akbar Khan; suspects his good faith; placed as a hostage in his hands, viii. 295.
- Lawrence, major, commands the forces blockading Trichinopoly, 1752, v. 595.
- Lawrence, sir Thomas, popularity of, as a portrait painter, died 1830, vii. 545.
- Laybach, congress at; circular issued by, 1821, vii. 575; viii. 204.
- Layer, Christopher, executed for being concerned in a conspiracy against George I., 1722, v. 418.
- Leach, sir John, vice-chancellor, vii. 552; the king's adviser in the matter of the queen, 558.
- Lead mines of Derbyshire, iv. 405.
- Lead, black, mine of Borrowdale, iv. 417.
- Leake, admiral sir John, attacks De Pontis, and destroys his fleet, March, 1704, v. 153; as admiral sails with reinforcements for the relief of Barcelona, 1706, 160; captures Sardinia; carries Port Mahon, 1708, 207.
- Lear, legend of, given by Geoffrey of Monmouth, i. 14.
- Lebas, guillotined, 1794, vii. 46.
- Le Brun imprisoned, vi. 595, associated with Bonaparte as consul, vi. 140; has the control of the finances, 147.
- Lechmere, returned M.P. 1710, v. 236; impeaches the earl of Derwentwater of high treason, 1716, 381; brings in a bill to strengthen the Protestant interest, 387; his amendment of the Septennial bill, 388.
- Leclerc aids in expelling the Assembly from their hall, 1799, vii. 137, 138.
- Lede, marquis di, lands in Sicily with an army; his operations, 1718, v. 400.
- Lee, Arthur, appointed a commissioner to take charge of American affairs in Europe; his negotiations, vi. 192.
- Leeds, description of its cloth market, and the clothiers attending it, iv. 414;

- obtains parliamentary representation, 1832, viii. 116; popularity of, in 1831 and 1861, 116.
- Leeds, Thomas Osborne, duke of, urges William not to abandon England, iv. 500; authorized by William to present an Act of Grace for political offences to the peers; 506; presides at Mohun's trial, 576; impeached for bribery, but on the prorogation of parliament, the impeachment fell to the ground, v. 14, 15.
- Leefdale, baron de, a partner in Grandval's plot to assassinate William, 1692, iv. 569.
- Lee Priory, Kent, built by Wyatt, vii. 532.
- Lefebvre, general, taken prisoner by the British, 1808, vii. 276.
- Legard, sir Digby, his agricultural improvements, vi. 326, 327.
- Legendre, his petition to Louis, 1792, vi. 535.
- Legends, early British, i. 13, 14.
- Legge, H. B., chancellor of the exchequer, v. 600; vi. 139; refuses his signature to the subsidy warrants, 1755, v. 600; he is dismissed his office, 602; reappointed to it, 1756, v. 21, 139; again dismissed, 1757, 25; receives the freedom of London, 25; again reappointed, 1757, 33, 140; again removed, 1761, 57.
- Legion Memorial, the, 1701, v. 100, 101.
- Legislative Assembly established, 1791; its constitution and parties, vi. 517.
- Legnitz, battle of, 1760, vi. 49.
- Leicester, Simon de Montfort, earl of. See Montfort.
- Leicester, earl of, recommended as a husband to Mary of Scotland in 1564, iii. 45; is appointed to the command of the army in the Netherlands, 101; ambitious views of, 102; repulsed at the battle of Zutphen, 103; death of, 161.
- Leicestershire, its trade and manufactures, iv. 403.
- Leipzig, alliance of, April, 1631, v. 262; battles of, Oct. 16 and 18, 1813, vii. 348, 349.
- Leith burnt by the earl of Hertford, in 1545, ii. 450.
- Lely, extensive employment of, by the court of Charles II., v. 332, 346.
- Lemnox, lady Sarah, George III.'s love for, vi. 57.
- Leoben, preliminaries of peace of, signed April 18, 1797, vii. 86, 169.
- Leopold I., of Germany, represented at the Hague congress, 1691; his interests, iv. 528; desires the prolongation of war, 1697, v. 39; married one of Charles II. of Spain's sisters, 71; delays signing the Partition treaty, 1700, 91; joins the Grand Alliance against France, 1701, 603; succeeded by Joseph I., 1705, 603.
- Leopold II. of Germany; meets the king of Prussia at Pillnitz; they issue the declaration of Pillnitz, 1791, vi. 520; died, Mar. 1, 1792, 531; the French declare war against him, 1792, vii. 169; emperor, 1790-1792, 636.
- Leopold, of Belgium, prince of Saxe Coburg; marries princess Charlotte, May 2, 1816; national acquiescence in the marriage, vii. 424; national sympathy at his loss of the princess, 1818, 475; chosen king, 1831; marries Louis Philippe's eldest daughter, viii. 194.
- Lepaux, president of the French Directory, his proclamation, 1797, vii. 76.
- Lescure, a Vendean leader, vii. 28; his humanity saves 5000 Vendean; his horror on hearing of the queen's death, 29; death of, 1793, 29.
- Lesley, general David (afterwards earl of Leven), a military leader of the Covenanters surprises Edinburgh castle in 1639, iii. 377; commands an army which marches to oppose Charles I. at Berwick, 378; commands the forces, in 1640; sent with a petition to England, 381; routs the king's troops at Newburn, near Newcastle, on Aug. 28, 381; enters England at the head of the Scottish army, 490; defeats Montrose at Philliphaugh, 514; re-establishes the Covenanted power in the Lowlands, 514; letter of, to the Committee of both kingdoms, concerning the king's arrival at Newark, May 6, 1646; Charles I. returns to Scotland with the Scotch army, 514; Cromwell meets with a vigorous resistance from, in his advance into Scotland in 1650, 612; position of his army at Dunbar, 613; where he is defeated, 614; makes another stand at Stirling, 615; invades England as lieutenant-general under Charles, 616; defeated at Worcester, 619, 620.
- L'Estrange, sir Roger, establishment of the London Gazette by, in 1665, iv. 176; is made licenser of the press, 176.
- L'Estrango, colonel, commands the hussars at the Manchester massacre, 1819; vii. 491, 492.
- Lethbridge, sir Thomas, his motion against Burdett, vii. 305.
- Letters, difficulties of transmission of, in the 15th century, ii. 99.
- Letters opened by the secretary of state; agitated state of the country thereat; inquiry into the extent of the practice, viii. 370, 371; reports of the committees; they tranquillize the public, 371.
- Leuthen, or Lissa, battle of, Dec. 5, 1757, vi. 38.
- Levellers, rise of, in the army, iii. 555; the mutiny of, suppressed by Cromwell, in 1647, 556; character of, 592; a mutiny of, in 1649, again suppressed by Cromwell and Fairfax, 593.
- Leven, earl of. See Lesley, general David.

- Lewes, battle of, on May 13, 1264, i. 415.
- Leycester, moves for Melville's impeachment, 1805, vii. 201.
- Libel bill fails in being passed, 1791, but is carried June 11, 1792, vi. 526, 527.
- Libraries, parochial, founded by Dr. Bray. v. 48; and established by act of parliament in 1708, 48.
- Licensing act, expiration of the, 1693, iv. 573; proposed renewal of, rejected, 1695, v. 14.
- Licensing plays, the act for, 1737, v. 467.
- Licentiousness of society at various periods in the 17th and 18th centuries, iv. 450, v. 48, 49; vi. 404, 411, 415.
- Liège, captured by Marlborough, Oct. 23, 1702, v. 115; invested by Villeroy, 1705, 165.
- Ligny, battle of, June 16, 1815, vii. 396.
- Ligonier, sir John, commander of the English cavalry at Lauffeld; taken prisoner, v. 569.
- Lilburne, John, taken prisoner at Brentford, but is released, iii. 464; publishes, in 1647, bitter denunciations against the betrayers of the people, 547; permitted to ride out for his health, having been sent to the Tower, 555; goes to the rendezvous of the Adjutors, 555; conduct of his regiment there, 555; publishes several pamphlets, for which he is committed to the Tower, 592; sends out another pamphlet, 593; sends forth more pamphlets, 594; in consequence of which he is tried in 1649, but is acquitted, 595; speech of, at his trial, 595; rejoicings throughout London at his acquittal, 595.
- Lilla, besieged by the Allies, 1708, v. 207-209; surrenders Dec. 17, 215; bombarded unsuccessfully by the Austrians, Oct. 1792, vi. 551.
- Limbourg taken by Marlborough, 1703, v. 123.
- Limehouse church, built by Hawksmoor, v. 343.
- Limerick, the Jacobites take refuge in, iv. 518; description of the town and surrounding country in a military point of view, 519; invested by William III., Aug. 9, 1690, 520; Sarsfield, the commander of the garrison, with 500 horse, makes a successful attack upon William's supplies and artillery, 521; several attempts to take the town by assault failed, and the siege raised on the 29th, 522; capitulates to general Ginkell, 1691, 535; treaty of, 537.
- Lincoln, general, commands the forces in Charleston; capitulates May 12, 1780, vi. 235.
- Lincoln, Abraham, president of the United States; his message proposing a gradual emancipation of the slaves, 1862, viii. 142.
- Lincolnshire, population of; reclaiming the land in, iv. 406; fens of, drained and reclaimed by Rennie, vi. 312, 313; reclamation of the heath and wolds of, 314-315; 22 persons to every 100 acres in 1841, 322.
- Lind, captain of the Edinburgh city guard; his evidence as to the Porteous riots, v. 457.
- Lindsay, lieutenant, his share in the Glencoe massacre, 1692, iv. 548, 549, 552.
- Line engraving and engravers, 1784-1820, vii. 550.
- Linen trade, the, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 412.
- Lingard, Dr., character of his "History of England," viii. 315.
- Liuiers, general, commands the troops in Buenos Ayres, 1817; obtains favourable terms from general Whitelock, vii. 256.
- Lisbon, peace of, Feb. 13, 1668, v. 263; earthquake at, 1755, 602.
- Lisle, lady Alice, execution of, for harbouring a traitor, iv. 318.
- Lisle, negotiations at, for peace, 1797, vii. 85.
- Lissa. See Leuthen.
- Literature, view of the, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 453; sketch of the, from 1709 to 1742; the essayists; general literal capacity of the period; the legislature connected with, and the poets, v. 279-296; manners of the same period illustrated by its, 298-316; rise of a reading public, 317; spread of knowledge of ancient, 318; popular element in controversy, 318; "battle of the books," by Swift, published 1704, 320; Pope's essay on criticism, 320; banter upon antiquaries, 321; his "Dunciad," 322; character of Swift's writings, 327; his "Tale of the Tub," 328; "Gulliver's Travels," 329; Defoe, 330; "The Craftsman," the party organ for Walpole's opponents, Pulteney and others, printed by Benjamin Franklin, who is convicted of a libel, 1731, 440; the proceedings of Parliament not published for several years subsequent to 1747, 566; Henry Fielding's "Inquiry into the Causes of the late Increase of Robbers, 1750," 582; Goldsmith's "History of England," 1771, 585; Wilkes and Churchill start the "North Briton," June, 1762, vi. 71; Churchill's "Prophecy of Fame, Jan. 1763, 72; Dickenson's "Letters from a Farmer in Pennsylvania," 123; arrest of printers for publishing the debates of parliament, 135; after much agitation and rioting, the affair blows over, and the printers and others are released from prison, 136, 137; advanced by Jesuitical efforts, 145, 146; Yorkshire dialect 60

- years since, vi. 328 ; changes in the commerce of, 383, 384 ; the age of magazines, 384 ; Johnson the link between the two periods, 1737-1583, 385 ; state of, time of George II., 386, 387 ; Richardson, 387, 388 ; Fielding, 388 ; Smollett, Sterne, Goldsmith, 389, 390 ; the writers from 1760-1783, 389, 390 ; publication of Burke's " *Reflections on the French Revolution*," 1790, 501 ; " *Anti-Jacobin*," started by Canning, first number appeared Nov. 20, 1797, vii. 88 ; immense influence of Cobbett's " *Two-penny Register* " upon the labouring classes, 1816, 449, 450 ; sketch of English 1784-1820, 497, 528 ; Cowper, 498, 500 ; Crabbe, 500, 502 ; Burns, 502, 503 ; Darwin, 504, 505 ; Delia Crusean school, 505 ; the Lake school, 505 ; Southey, Coleridge, 506 ; and Wordsworth, 506 ; Scott, 507, 508 ; Byron, 508 ; Shelley and Keats ; the narrative poems of Campbell, Rogers, Byron, Hunt, Moore, 509, 510 ; and Crabbe ; delineation of manners contained in his works, 509, 511 ; a more Evangelical spirit is revived amongst the people, 511, 512 ; the theological literature, 512, 513 ; sermons on Chalmers, Blair, and Hall, 513 ; hatred of the stage, 514, 515 ; plays written by Byron, Coleridge, and Milman, 514 ; the smaller novelists, 514, 515 ; the Waverley novels, 516, 517 ; and Jane Austen's writings ; the *Edinburgh Review*, 517 ; and the *Quarterly* ; *Blackwood's Magazine* ; the essayists ; Lamb, 519 ; Hazlitt, 519 ; Hunt and Foster : the political economists—Malthus, Mill, Ricardo, and Chalmers, 520 ; coarseness of the journalism of 1820, 565, 566 ; the press and its regulation in India, 632, 633 ; " *Penny Magazine* " started, March 31, 1832, viii. 121 ; its large circulation a proof of the increased desire of the people for knowledge, 121 ; the new law of copyright of 1842, and its application to copyrights about to expire, 301, 308 ; the novelists—Galt, 307 ; Hook, 308, 309 ; ephemeral critics and superficially informed writers, 309, 310 ; utilitarianism, 310, 311 ; changes in the character of literature, 311, 312 ; the historians—Macaulay, 312-314 ; Hallam, 314 ; Carlyle, 314, 315 ; Lingard, Tytler, Foster, Mahon, 315 ; Brougham, 316 ; Napier, Mitford, Thirlwall, Grote, 316 ; the novelists—Lytton, Dickens, Ainsworth, Thackeray, and others, 317, 318 ; prevalence of serials and works of fiction, 318, 319 ; Miss Martineau's political economy tales, 320, 321 ; the novels of Dickens, Gaskell, 321, 322 ; Kingsley, 322 ; Thackeray, 323 ; the poets—Tennyson, Browning, 324, 325 ; Hood, 325, 326 ; Punch ; Theological writers, 326, 327 ; the political economy and statistical writers ; the scientific writers, 327, 328 ; the essayists and critics, 328, 329 ; antiquarian publication ; travels, the book trade, 328, 329 ; newspapers, 330 ; analysis of the literature issued 1816-1851, 331 ; chronological table of the British writers of the present century, 332-336.
- Lithography, invention of ; introduced into England ; early prints and lithographs, vii. 551.
- Littleton contests the speakership of the Commons with Harley, 1701, v. 104.
- Liturgy, bill for the reform of, rejected, 1689, iv. 467.
- Liverpool, communication between the trading towns and, very imperfect before the Mersey, Irwell, and Weaver were made navigable, iv. 411 ; rapid progress of, 411 ; formerly engaged in the slave trade, 411.
- Liverpool, earl of, Robert Jenkinson ; lord Hawkesbury ; makes his first speech on the side of government, 1794, vii. 54 ; foreign secretary, 1801-1801, 155, 40 ; his frequent interviews with Otto ; signs the preliminaries of peace with France, 1801, 164 ; complains of Napoleon's conduct, 167 ; his correspondence with Talleyrand, 1804, 193 ; declines the premiership ; lord warden of the Cinque Ports 1806, 228 ; home secretary, 1807, 249 ; unable to send Wellington fresh troops, 297 ; his fears for the safety of the British army in Portugal, 1809, 301 ; war and colonial secretary of state, 1809-1812, 303, 640 ; declares England unable to continue operations long in the Peninsula, 316 ; prime minister ; first commissioner of the treasury, June, 1812, 323 ; home secretary, 1804-1806, 640 ; congratulates Wellington on his victory at Salamanca, 337 ; proposes a bill of pains and penalties against queen Caroline, 565 ; but subsequently abandons it, 570 ; presses for the re-admission of Canning into the Cabinet, 572 ; tries a second time in vain, 581 ; his answer to the king's question as to what political principles were to be followed, 585, 586 ; measures proposed for insuring the stability of the public credit, 604, 605 ; he is against granting relief to Roman Catholics, 606 ; taken with a fit of apoplexy, Feb. 18, 1827, which incapacitates him for business ; his long friendship with Canning, 608, 609.
- Livingstone, sir Thomas, commander of the forces in Scotland, iv. 540 ; instructions to, as to Highland rebels, 541 ; considered not guilty of the Glencoe massacre, 552.
- Local Jurisdiction Courts bill rejected, 1833, viii. 137.
- Lochiel. See Cameron of Lochiel.
- Locke opposes the depreciated currency theory, v. 22.
- Locker, E. H., note on ; quotation from his memoir on major André, vi. 239.
- Lollards, rise and increase of the, i. 558 ; the doctrines of, enforced by Piers Ploughman, 558 ; the statute de heretico comburendo, the first in England for punishing heresy with death, passed in 1400 for the suppression of, 595 ;

burning of William Salter, a London clergyman, 596; alleged conspiracy of, against the State, on the conviction of Oldcastle for heresy, ii. 14; suppressed, and some of the conspirators executed, 15; new statute against, 15; the spirit of, not wholly trodden out in time of Henry VIII., 263.

Londinium, sacked and destroyed, by Boadicea, A.D. 61, i. 34; Roman antiquities of, discovered in 1784, 37.

London, state of, under the Romans, i. 52; Roman remains found at, 53, 54; power and population of, in the time of Stephen, 293; amusements and exercises of the inhabitants, 293; they assist in the "Rout of Winchester," and capture the earl of Gloucester, 294; oppression on the industry of, by Henry III., 401; riots in, in consequence, 403; unpopularity of Queen Eleanor, 404; notice of bishop Swinfield's house in Old Fish-street, in 1289, 446; markets and shops, 447; state of the streets and highways, 448; construction of the houses, 448; coal not then generally used 448; state of, in 1558, ii. 116; sanitary regulations for, 211; riot in, on Evil May Day, 1517, against the Flemings, 280; buildings of, *temp.* Henry VIII., 497; state of the highways and streets, 499; statute of sewers passed for, in 1427, 500; Act for the supplying with water from Hampstead and other places, 500; provision for lighting, 501; statute for regulating watermen's fares, 501; unwholesomeness of the churchyards in, 501; wealth of the traders in, 501; Henry Machyn's Diary of the sights and events in, during the first year of the Marian persecution, 626, *et seq.*; villanies practised in, *temp.* Eliz., iii. 202; increase of London and Westminster, 202; statute against the increase of buildings, 203; hospitals and almshouses, 203; plague of 1603 in, 240; New River brought to, by sir Hugh Myddleton in 1613, 289; continued increase of, 290; ravages of the plague in 1625, 326; proclamation by Charles I. against the increase of houses in, and fines extorted in 1633 to prevent their being pulled down, 359; hackney-coaches forbidden, in 1635, to pass up and down the streets, 360; despotic order of Charles I. to pull down houses and shut up shops, but without any aim at public improvement, 368; reception given by, to Mary de Medicis in 1638, 368; apprentices of, tumults raised by, in favour of the parliament, 422; skirmishes of, with Lunsford and the cavaliers, 424; shutting up of the playhouses in, 440; described by Milton at the commencement of the Civil War, 441; character of the volunteers and militia of, at the time of the Civil War, 442; active exertions of the women of, in favour of the parliamentary party, 442; character of the apprentices, 443; ordered to be fortified, and plan of fortifications, 451; inhabitants of, march to Turnham Green, 461; anxious for peace, 465; plot to arm the royalists in, discovered, 471, 472; unusual agitation of, 477; prowess of the trained bands of, at the battle of Newbury, 481; consternation of, at the approach of the army, 538; tumults in, 543; royalists re-action and riots in, 559; popular demonstration in, in consequence of Cromwell's departure, 561; bonfires lighted throughout on account of John Lilburne's acquittal, 595; tumult of the Fifth Monarchy men, in 1657, iv. 92; rising of apprentices in, against Oliver Cromwell, 99; anger of people of, at the expensive pagantry at Oliver Cromwell's burial, 106; burning of the Rump in 1660, 119; the Great Plague of 1665, 165, *et seq.*; deficient supply of water, bad drainage, and crowded dwellings, great promoters of its fatality, 166; Defoe's narrative of it, 166; departure of the Court, and of such of the inhabitants as were able, 168; some of the clergy and the nonconforming ministers remain, 171; Great Fire of, 178, *et seq.*; accounts of, by Pepys and Evelyn, 179, 180; by Baxter, 181; estimated loss occasioned by, 183; Wren's plan for rebuilding the city 185; panic of, on the Dutch burning the English ships in the Medway, 193; cut off from their supply of coal by the Dutch fleet, 194; panic of the inhabitants at the revelations of Oates's Popish Plot in 1678, 239; excitement in, on the occasion of the Court manœuvring to cause Dudley North to be appointed sheriff, 278; a *quo warranto* against the city for misdemeanours, which submits to conditions, 282; monastic establishments opened in 1686, 330; great rejoicings in, on the acquittal of the seven bishops in 1687, 355; riots in, on James II., quitting Whitehall, 371; influence of the large population of, upon agriculture, 419; population in 1688 and 1801, 426; vessels belonging to the port of, in 1702, 427; extensive commerce of, at the commencement of the 18th century, 427; the various trading companies of, the banking system commenced in, *temp.* Charles II., 429; mania for companies in, at the beginning of the 18th century, 431; lotteries, 431; the tradesmen of, in queen Anne's reign, 433; the rebuilding of, by sir C. Wren after the fire, 434; the progress of the fashionable world of, to the west, 434, 435; its streets; their state, passengers and police, 435, 436; desire of nobility and gentry to gather in, 449; the manners and customs of, as influenced by this desire, 449; large amount of pauperism in, *temp.* queen Anne, 453; club life of, v. 310, 311; Wren's improvements in, 336; St. Paul's cathedral, 336, 338; the parish churches of, built by Wren, 338, 339; street life of, *temp.* Anne and George I., 352, 353; the Londoners prepare to re-

- sist the Highlanders, 1745, 533; public feeling in, during the rebellion, 533; prevalence of robberies, and scarcity of police in, *temp.* George II., 584; riot; of Spitalfields weavers in 1765. vi. 88; the Wilkite mob, 1768, 104; riots among the sailors and coalheavers, 104; address and remonstrance of the city of, denying the legality of the parliament, 132, 133; contests between the house of commons and the corporation of, 134; address and remonstrance of the city of, to the king, denouncing the government measures respecting America, 168; monument in memory of W. Pitt, earl of Chatham, erected in the Guildhall by the corporation of, 211; Gordon riots in 1780, 228; supply of animal food for, from Essex, 305; butter and ducks from Bucks, 306; sale of cattle and sheep at Smithfield in 1732, 1770 and 1859, 310; eating, coffee and chop-houses; and other public refreshment places in, 396, 398; Highbury barn; the Devil tavern; the Mitre; the White conduit house; the Globe; Garraway's and White's, 397; the Chapter coffee-house, 398; Ranelagh gardens opened, 1742, 398; the company at the Ranelagh and Vauxhall gardens, 398, 400; the Pantheon opened, 1772, 399; club-life and gambling in: Almacks, Boodle's, White's, &c., 405, 406; the citizens and tradesmen of; their gluttony and ignorance, 416; manners and characters of the lower orders, 417, 418; rabbles and mobs in, 419, 420; the police and watchmen of, 420, 421; thanksgiving in, on the king's recovery, 1783, 462; a-sault on the king by a mob of, 1795, vii. 61; the declaration of the inhabitants of, that they will defend the British kingdom, 1803, 184; the corporation of, opposes the renewal of the Income tax in 1816, 423; its crime encouraged by its police: extensive prevalence of crime and vice, 439; state of, before and after the passing of the Westminster Paving and Lighting Act in 1762, 440; the introduction of gas in Pall Mall in 1807 considerably influenced the prevention of crime, 440; mendicity, vagrancy, and destitution in, 440-442; evils produced by the law of settlement, 441, 442; inquiry into the state of education of the poorer classes of, 444; saving banks in, 445, 446; the Hampden Club of, 451; the Spenceans, 452; the meeting in the Spa fields, and the riot in the city, which latter is put down by the Mayor, 453-455; architecture and architects of, 529-539; Waterloo bridge commenced 1811, completed 1817; built by Rennie; Southward and Vauxhall bridges, 538-539; docks of, and London bridge built by Rennie, 539; the sculpture of, 539-542; the Townley, Phigaleian, and Elgin marbles, 541, 542; enthusiastic sympathy of its mechanics with queen Caroline, 564, 566; mechanics institution of, inaugurated by Dr. Birkbeck, 1823; university of, opened, 1828, viii. 20, 21; dread of the proposed new police for, 1828, 22, 23; increased representation of, effected by the Reform Act of 1832; condition of the four new boroughs—Marylebone, Finsbury, the Tower Hamlets, and Lambeth, 115, 116; population and houses in, 1841, 208, 210; supply of food to, 210-214; sanitary of its labouring classes, 214, 218; evils arising from interment in, 218; Walker's usefulness in reclaiming the Devil's Acre, 224.
- London bridge, building of, in 1209, i. 376; new bridge, vii. 539.
- London Gazette, establishment of, by Sir Roger L'Estrange, in 1665, iv. 176.
- Londonderry, siege of, and gallant defence by Walker and Baker, 1689, iv. 471, 478; invested April 20, 479; miseries, starvation, and agonizing despair endured by the besieged, 480; the relief, 482; the siege is raised, Aug. 1, 482.
- Londonderry, marquis of. See Castlereagh, lord.
- Londonderry, marquis of, anger of, in parliament, 1831, viii. 79; being considered incompetent to act as ambassador to Russia he declines the post, 1835, 173.
- Long parliament, the meeting of, on Nov. 3, 1640, iii. 382, 383, 387; its character, 484; opened by Charles I., 388; Lenthall chosen speaker, 389; votes the release of the persons imprisoned by the Star Chamber, 389; Pym's speech in, impeaching Strafford, 390; Strafford and Laud impeached by, 391; Finch, Windebank, and the Ship-Money judges impeached by, 392; orders issued by, for destroying crucifixes, images, superstitious pictures, &c., 393; a bill of attainder passed against Strafford, 404; act passed against the untimely adjourning or dissolving of the parliament without its own consent, 406; it proceeds in its reforms, and abolishes the Star-Chamber Court, the Court of High Commission, and other arbitrary courts, 410; act for the pacification of Scotland passed, 410; the Irish insurrection of 1641 break out, 413; requested by the king to take measures for the suppression of the rebellion, 413; debate on the Remonstrance, and its adoption, 416; formation of parties for the approaching struggle, 419; the earls of Holland, Leicester, and Essex, join the parliamentary party, 419; the Remonstrance presented to the king, 419; popular tumults, increase of petitioning, and cry against the bishops, 421; rise of the terms of Roundhead and Cavalier, 422; twelve bishops protest against the force used towards them, and are committed to the Tower for treason, 423; the question of the militia ordered to be taken into consideration, on Jan. 3, 1642, 425; the claims of the Commons an invasion of the rights of the Crown, 425; the king

attempts to seize the five members, Pym, Hollis, Hampden, Haslerig, and Strode, on Jan. 3, 426; sir R. Verney's account of the proceedings on the occasion, 428; the members retire to the city, and the king demands them at Guildhall, 430; they are brought back in triumph to the House, 431; the governors of Portsmouth and Hull, are directed by ordinance to hold those places for "the king and parliament," 432; the bill for excluding bishops from the House of Lords agreed to, and the Militia bill refused, by the king, 432; the House approves of Hotham having refused the king entrance into Hull, 433; the leaders prepare for war, but send propositions to the king at York, 434; the king sets up his standard at Nottingham, 430; attempts to negotiate, 452; but his propositions are rejected, 452; issues a declaration of war, and Essex marches from London, 455; sends proposals to Charles, after the battle of Edgehill, to negotiate, 462; sends commissioners to Charles at Oxford, in March, 1643; to negotiate for peace, 469; establishes a Great Seal, and provides for the due administration of justice, 470; Edmund Waller's plot against, 472; Waller's arrest, and execution of Challoner and Tomkins, 472; negotiations of, for an alliance with Scotland, 485; its members swear to the "solemn League and Covenant," 486; conformity to Presbyterianism enforced by, 488; resolves to fill up the vacancies in the House, and increased strength of the Independents in consequence, 516; receives overtures of pacification from the king, and rejects them, 518; negotiation with the Scots for the surrender of the king, 526; state of parties in, 526; the treaty concluded, and the king surrendered, 528; end of the first Civil War, 529; struggle of the Presbyterian members to repress the Independents, who are strong in the army, and are headed by Cromwell, 532; vote passed for disbanding the army, 534; petition against, presented by the officers, 534; proceedings of Adjutors, 535; private resolution of the members of, to arrest Cromwell, 537; the army removes the king from Holmby, 537; the army advances to London and demands the impeachment of eleven of the Presbyterian members, 538; they withdraw, and the House votes the adoption of the army's proceedings, 538; tumults in London, and the mob forces the House to rescind a vote passed on the day before, 544; the speaker and many of the members proceed to the army, and the Presbyterian party resumes its predominance, 544; Fairfax and the army restore the speaker and the Independent members, 545; declares against any further treaty with the king, 558; reaction of the people against, in favour of the king, 559; treaty of Newport commenced, 569; a Remonstrance sent by the army to, 572; the army is marched into London, 575; the House purged by Colonel Pride, 576; ordinance for the King's trial, 579; the High Court of Justice appointed, 579; the king sentenced to death, 583; issues a proclamation that no new king be proclaimed without its authority, 587; Icon Basiliké printed, 587; the House of Lords voted useless, and the office of king abolished, 589; Council of State appointed, 589; trial and execution of the royalists, duke of Hamilton, earl of Holland, and lord Capel, 591, 592; public indignation occasioned by, 592; the Levellers are suppressed, 593; a thanksgiving day appointed on account of the suppression of the Levellers, 594; trial of John Lilburne, 595; appoints Cromwell lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 597; Rupert driven from the Irish coast, 602; Cromwell honourably received by, on his return from Ireland, 610; prepares forces for a war with Scotland, 611; Cromwell constituted general by act of, 611; despatch of Cromwell to, announcing the victory of Dunbar, 614; gives Cromwell liberty to return home, 616; letter of Cromwell to, announcing the invasion of England, 617; defeat of the royalist army at Worcester, 619, 620; Charles and his adherents declared rebels and traitors to the commonwealth, 620; courts martial held upon nine prisoners taken at Worcester, and three executed, of whom one was the earl of Derby, 620; reward of 1000*l.* offered by, to any one who would capture Charles II., 621; law for the election of future parliaments passed, the House voting not to sit beyond Nov. 3, 1654, iv. 14; conference on the settlement of the nation, 15; foreign relations of the commonwealth, 17; differences with the united provinces, 18; Navigation Act passed, 18; war declared against the Dutch, 19; general thanksgiving appointed on account of the successes of Blake over Van Tromp, 24; petition of the army to, 25; the question of future parliamentary representation, 27; dissolution of, 28; public opinion on dissolutions of, 30; restored under the name of "the Rump," 109; resolves that the military shall be under the civil power, 110; discussions as to form of government, 110; royalist insurrection breaks out, 113; council of officers, 113; committee of safety, 113; the parliament is ejected, 113; is restored by the council of officers, 115; disaffection of the city towards it, 118; Monk employed to quell the disaffection, 118; burning of the Rump in Jan. 1660, 119; the secluded members are restored by Monk's intervention, 120; renewal of the Presbyterian measures on their restoration, 122; final dissolution of, on March 16, 1660, 122.

- Longchamp, William, appointed chancellor of England by Richard, is deposed by prince John, in 1190, i. 349; retires to Flanders, and writes to Richard, 350.
- Longwy taken by the Prussians, Aug. 22, 1792, vi. 542, 549.
- Losowitz, battle of, Oct. 1, 1756, vi. 19.
- Lotteries, multitudinosity of, *temp.* William III., iv. 431, 589; suppressed 1698, 431.
- Loudon, earl of, commands the British troops in America, vi. 22; shrinks from attacking Louisbourg, 36; censured and recalled, 40; surprises Frederick in his camp, 41.
- Loughborough, A. Wedderburn, lord, solicitor-general, 1771; reproves Fox's expression of "criminals," vi. 136; insults Franklin, 157; attorney-general; his opinion on the employment of the military in suppressing riots, 222; supports Fox's Libel bill, 1792, 526; attempts to promote a union between Fox and Pitt, hoping thereby to obtain the lord chancellorship, 560; his further intrigues for the same object are successful; he obtains the great seal, Jan. 28, 1793, 561; his "Traitorous Correspondence" bill passed in a modified form, 584; his extravagant idea of the success of the British arms in Holland, 589; principal adviser of the trials of Hardy and others; anecdote of George III. and, vii. 38; his opinion on the order forbidding the Bank to pay in cash, 73, 74; one of "the king's friends," 152; opposes the concessions to Catholics, 153; resigns his chancellorship, 1801, 155; lord chancellor, 1783, 639.
- Louis, prince, of Baden, commands the allied armies in 1704, alternately with Marlborough, 135; aids him in gaining the battle of the Schellenberg or Donawert, July 2, 137; despatched by Marlborough to carry on the siege of Ingoldstadt, 140; conducts the siege of Landau, which capitulates in Dec. 148.
- Louis of France offered the crown of England, in 1215, i. 391; arrives in England, and receives the homage of the barons in London, 392; suspected treachery, and decline of the popularity of, 392; his forces are defeated at Lincoln by the earl of Pembroke, 395; and a fleet with reinforcements destroyed near Dover, 395; makes a treaty with Henry III., and withdraws, 396.
- Louis, XI., of France, meets Edward IV., and cajoles him into a disgraceful treaty, ii. 48; breaks off the contract for the marriage of the dauphin with Edward's daughter, 155.
- Louis XIV.; extent of his aid to King James, 1690, iv. 509; receives James' project of invading England, with coldness, 516; his power and kingdom, 525; himself, not the French, the real enemy of England, 526; besieges Mons and compels it to surrender, 532; on the death of his minister Louvois he offers his assistance to James in invading England, 560; conducts the siege of Namur, 563; which surrenders to him, 565; his position and opinions, 578; the routine of his day, 579; prepares for a campaign, 1693, 580; suddenly leaves his army and returns to Versailles, 580; promises to aid James in invading England, if the Jacobites rise, v. 25; negotiates for peace with William III., 1697, 39; the treaty of Ryswick is concluded, 40; his reception of the English ambassador, Portland, 1698, 51; sends count Tallard as his ambassador at the court of William, 51; William's dread of Spain and the Indies being acquired by, 72; seizes the Spanish crown for his grandson William in opposition to the terms of the two partition treaties, 77; suspected of bribing the English, 80; his ostentatious extravagance and that of his court bring about the poverty of the nation, 86; his policy, 87; attempts to influence Charles of Spain to place the succession in the Bourbon family, 91; he accepts the will of Charles, 93; his grandson succeeds to the Spanish dominions, 93; on the death of James II., in 1701, he proclaims his son the prince of Wales, as James III., king of England, 103; concentrates the military of France upon Germany, 133; receives news of the defeat of his armies at Blenheim, 146; feels his losses in the Low Countries; invited to invade Scotland, 174; pride roused by Marlborough's demands; his example, and appeal to his subjects, fires the spirit of the nation, 212; anguish at the exhausted state of the kingdom and inability to obtain peace, 234; secretly promotes the election of Charles of Austria to the German empire, 1711, 250; makes viscount Bolingbroke a present of a ring worth 4000*l.* during his embassy at Paris, 1713, 273; his concessions in the peace of Utrecht, 273; died Sept. 1, 1715, 368.
- Louis XV., of France, is declared of age; takes upon himself the government of France; appoints duke de Bourbon his minister, v. 425; refuses to marry the infanta of Spain to whom he was betrothed, 425; joins his army at Fontenoy, 1745; urged to retire from the battle by marshal Saxe, but he spiritedly remains to see the battle out, 495; sends an army to invade Brabant, 1747; present at the battle of Laufeld, 568; sensitiveness to Frederick the Great's ridicule, vi. 17, 18; sides with Maria Theresa in the Seven Years' war, 1756, 18; his nervousness caused by an attempt on his life by Damieus, 1757; misery of

- the country and extravagance of the court, 59; died, May 10, 1774, 160; reigned 1715-1774, 603.
- Louis XVI. quarrels with his parliament, vi. 471; attends the meeting of the states-general, 1789, 474-475; his views on the union of the three orders, 477; agrees to the formation of the national assembly, 477; refuses to withdraw the troops stationed between Paris and Versailles, 478; yields to his people's demands, 482; revived loyalty of the national guard towards, 486; his audience with the Parisian women, 487; besieged in the Versailles palace by the mob, 488-489; himself and family removed to Paris, 488; his speech at the sitting of the national assembly, Feb. 4, 1790, 494; attends the federation fête, 499-503; his deposition demanded by the mob, 511; himself and family vigilantly watched; he escapes with it from Paris, July 21, 1791, 511-512; is captured at Varennes, and conducted back to Paris, 514-515; the people's hatred of royalty, 515; the formation of the legislative assembly agreed to by, 517; confidence in, revived, 520; desires emigrants to disarm; applies his veto to the decrees against the emigrants, 521; opposed to declaring war with Austria; his powers as king, 532; insulted by Roland whom he dismisses; agrees to the formation of a camp near Paris, but not to the deportation of priests, 534; his firmness in the interview with the insurrectionists of June, 1792, 535; his deposition again demanded, 539; blockaded in the Tuileries by the mob; places himself and family under the assembly's protection, 539; removal of the royal family to the Temple, 541; all the members of which are there harshly treated, 556-557; he is impeached at the bar of the convention, 558; anxiety for his fate shown in the British parliament, 558; his trial, 567-568; condemnation, 569; and execution, Jan. 21, 1793, 570; proceedings of the British parliament, 570.
- Louis XVII., of France, presented to the people at the federation fête, 1790, vi. 499; unharmed by the people at the opera, 519; present at Louis XVI.'s interview with the insurrectionists of June 20, 1792, 535; imprisoned in the Temple, Aug. 1792, 556; death of, in the Temple, Paris, June 8, 1795; shameful treatment of, vii. 58.
- Louis XVIII., of France, enters Paris, May 3, 1814, vii. 356; reception of, on his entry into London, 357; retrospect of his restoration, 385-390; promulgation of the constitutional charter, 385, 386; its provisions, 385, 386; partly his own work, 386; discontent shown under his government, 387-389; deserted by marshal Ney, dissolves the chambers and removes his court from Paris to Ghent, 392; public entry into Paris, July 8, 1815, 409; execution of Labedoyère and marshal Ney, 416; evacuation of France by the allied troops, 1818, 481; declares war against Spain, 1823, 582; the campaign; occupation of Madrid and overthrow of Spain, 584; death of, Sept. 6, 1824; his good sense preserves his kingdom from convulsions, viii. 41, 42; anecdote of, 44; reigned from 1814 to 1824, vii. 636; viii. 63.
- Louis Philippe (France) present at the battle of Valmy, 1792, vi. 549; and at that of Jemappes, 1792, 551; appointed lieutenant-general of France, 1830, viii. 52; opens the legislative session; accepts the throne of France, Aug. 9, 53; his daughter married to king Leopold of Belgium, 1832; death of the duke of Reichstadt, 191, 195, his government and frequent changes of ministry; attempts to assassinate him by Fieschi (1835), Alibaud and Meunier (1836), 195, 196; his fears of Louis Napoleon, 196, 197; the king's perplexity caused by Louis Napoleon's enterprise at Strasbourg, 197, 198; the king sends him to America; compels his extradition from Switzerland, 197, 198; his visit to the Queen, 375; his Spanish marriage plans, 417; doubts as to his friendliness towards England, 417, 418; his abdication 418-420; stormed in his palace by the mob, and compelled to fly, 421.
- Louisbourg capitulates to the British, 1758, vi. 40.
- Louvain given up to Marlborough, 1706, v. 174-175.
- L'Ouverture, Toussaint, accomplishes the freedom of the St. Domingo slaves; commander-in-chief of their armies, 1796, vii. 175, 176; president and governor for life, 1801; Bonaparte sends an expedition against him, 176; resists, but is compelled to submit; arrested and imprisoned; died April 27, 1803, 176.
- Louvois, chief minister of France; suspected to have been poisoned, 1792, iv. 560; favours Dumont's plot against William III., 568.
- Lovat, Simon Fraser, lord, takes possession of Inverness for government, v. 376; his perfidy and wavering conduct during the rebellion of 1747, 548; he is impeached by the House of Commons, Dec. 1746; trial of, 562; and death, 563.
- Lowe, sir Hudson, governor of St. Helena; complained of as being harsh to Napoleon, vii. 574.
- Lowick tried for conspiring against William III.; and executed, v. 32.
- Lowry, his engraving machine, vii. 550.
- Lucerne, prince de, present at Cornwallis's surrender, vi. 253.
- Lucius, or Lever Maur, "who reigned in Britain, A. D. 180," doubtful statement

- of his having become a Christian under pope Eleutherius, and having built the chapel in Dover Castle, i. 63.
- Luddism active in 1812, vii. 323; in 1816, 433; in 1817, 458; in 1830, viii. 55; and in 1831, 91.
- Ludlam, Isaac, executed for insurrectioning, vii. 462.
- Ludlow, Edmund, parliament wishes to apprehend him, 1689, on hearing of his being in London; he returned to Vevay, iv. 498.
- Lundy appointed governor of Londonderry by William, iv. 477; but being suspected of an intention to surrender he is turned out by the townspeople, 478.
- Luneville, peace of; preliminaries signed July 28, 1800, vii. 149; and completed on Feb. 9, 1801, 150, 170.
- Luther, Martin, denouncement of the sale of indulgencies by, ii. 263; attends the Diet at Worms, 277; intrepid behaviour of, before the Diet, 278; Henry VIII. writes a book against, 278; Luther's works burnt at Paul's Cross, 279; spread of the doctrines of, in Germany, 295.
- Luttrell, col., his motion against Wilkes, vi. 105; opposes Wilkes as a candidate for Middlesex; defeated; but is declared to be the legal representative, 1769, 106.
- Luttrell, Temple, proposes a measure for manning the navy, 1777, vi. 195.
- Lützen, battle of, May 2, 1813, vii. 345.
- Luxemburg, French general, gains the battle of Fleurus, 1690, iv. 525; helps Louis in besieging Namur, 564; defeats William III. at Steinkirk, 566; campaign of 1693, 580, *et seq.*; again defeats William at Landen, 580, 582.
- Lyndhurst, J. S. Copley, lord; advocates the allowance of counsel to prisoners, v. 127; sergeant; Watson's counsel, 1817, vii. 455; lord chancellor, 1827, 611, 618; resigned 1830, viii. 64; moves that the disfranchising clauses of the Reform bill be postponed, 102; negotiates for the formation of a ministry willing to carry out an extensive parliamentary reform, 1832, 105; his temporary government with the duke of Wellington, 1835, 169; lord chancellor in the Peel ministry, 171; opposes the Municipal Reform bill, 182; his hostile speech against the Melbourne government, 1836, 185, 186; approves of the bill for allowing counsel to prisoners, 189-190.
- Lyndsay, Patrick, M.P. for Edinburgh; his speech on the Porteous riots, v. 455; evidence of, on these riots, 458-459.
- Lyon, siege of, by, and surrender to, the Jacobins, 1793, vii. 17-18; massacre of the Lyonese, 18-19.
- Lytelton, sir George, opposes the convention with Spain, v. 472; speaks against an amendment of the address for preventing parliamentary corruption, 519; chancellor of the exchequer, 1755, 139.
- Lytleton, Thomas, lord, his claims to the authorship of Junius's Letters, vi. 111.

M

- MAAS, Dirk, a Dutch battle painter, v. 349.
- Macarthy, viscount Mountcashel; commands a force advancing against Ennis-killen; defeated 1689, iv. 482.
- Macaulay, lord, low estimate of ancient Britain by, i. 16; his remarks on Pitt's policy in 1793, vii. 26; M.P. for Calne, 1830; his first speech in parliament, viii. 40; speaks in favour of reform, 75, 76, 81; dangers feared by, on the rejection of the Reform bill, 104; M.P. for Edinburgh; his speech on disloyal Tories, 252; his influence in causing the rejection of serjeant Talfourd's copyright bill, 303; his plan, 304, 305; eloquence and powerful memory evinced by, as Guizot's *cicerone* in Westminster Abbey, 312; his mode of treating history, 312; his estimate of Hallam's "Constitutional History," 314; his speech on "the people's charter" presented by Duncombe, 342.
- MacCallum Mhor See Argyle, J. Campbell, duke of.
- Macclesfield, Thomas Parker, earl of, lord chancellor, impeached, 1725, for malversation in that office; he is found guilty, excluded from his office, and fined 30,000*l.*, v. 424; helps Chesterfield in carrying the bill for reforming the calendar through the Lords, 1751, 578.
- MacDonald of Boisdale, advises Charles Stuart to forego his insurrection designs, 1745, v. 504.
- MacDonald of Glencoe. See Glencoe.
- Macdonald of Keppoch, raises his clan, and joins Dundee in defeating Mackay at Killiecrankie, iv. 490; letters of fire and sword issued against 555; slain at Culloden, v. 555.
- MacDonald, of Kinloch Moidart, argues against the Pretender's plans, v. 504; joins his cause, 505.

- MacDonald, lady Margaret, aids the young Pretender in his escape from Skye, v. 564.
- Macdonald, general, defeated at Trebbia, 1799, vii. 134; and at Katzbach, 1813.
- MacDonald, Flora, aids prince Charles during his wanderings in the Highlands, 1746, v. 564.
- Macdonnell, colonel, his exploit at Waterloo, vii. 400.
- Machyn's "Diary of a Resident in London," notices from, ii. 626.
- MacIntosh, brigadier; commands a detachment sent to aid the sothern insurgents; his march to Seton House, 1715, v. 371; commands the rebels at Preston, 375; escapes from Newgate, 384-385.
- MacIver survives the massacres after Culloden, v. 558.
- Mack, general, communicates secretly with Dumouriez, vi. 590; Austrian commander; surrenders 30,000 troops to Napoleon at Ulm, Oct. 20, 1805, vii. 207.
- Mackay, general, appointed to the command of the army in Scotland, iv. 491; his character, 491; marches upon Blair castle; is met by Dundee while advancing through the pass of Killecrankie, and sustains a defeat, July 27, 1689, 422, 493; takes an active part in the capture of Athlone, June 30, 1691, 535; builds Fort William and fixes a garrison there under colonel Hill, 539; slain in the conflict at Steinkirk, Aug. 3, 1692, 167.
- Mackintosh, sir James, his remarks on Burke's opinions, vi. 500; his speech in defence of Peltier, 1803, vii. 178, 179; wrote the declaration for the Londoners, 184; takes up the advocacy of amendment in the criminal laws on the death of sir S. Romilly; moves for a select committee to consider this subject, 483, 484; opinion of, on the emancipation of the slaves, 598; results of his efforts for the repeal of capital punishments, 598; supports the bill for allowing counsel to prisoners, viii. 189.
- Maclean, colonel, repulses Arnold; saves Quebec, 1775, vi. 183; tenders Hastings's resignation of his governorship, vi. 431.
- MacNaghten, sir William, directs the affairs at Cabul, viii. 291; appointed governor of Bombay, 291; his conference with Akbar Khan, by whom he is massacred, 294.
- Macphedris, Captain John, his ill-treatment in the Fleet prison, v. 437.
- MacPherson, Cluny, demand of, on joining the Pretender, 1745, v. 438; his dashing bravery at Clifton, 542; keeps up a correspondence with Charles Edward, 571.
- Macquirk tried, and found guilty of murdering Mr. Clarke; pardoned, vi. 112, 113.
- Madison, James, president of the United States 1809-1817, vii. 637; viii. 638; his hostile message against England, vii. 364; proposes a repeal of the Embargo acts, 1814, 375; deprecates the destruction of the Washington public buildings by the British, 377.
- Madras attacked and taken by the French, 1746, v. 593; restored to Britain, 593.
- Madrid, taken by the duke of Berwick, v. 197; entered by the allies, 1710, 239; and the French, 240; taken by the French, 1808, vii. 276; entered by Wellington, Aug. 1812, 337; occupied by the French, 1823, 584.
- Mæata, revolt of, i. 42; suppressed, 42.
- Maestricht, surrendered to Kleber, 1794, vii. 50.
- Magdalen bridge, Oxford, built by Gwyn, vi. 382.
- Magdalen College. See Oxford.
- Magna Charta, proposed to John at Oxford by archbishop Langton and the earl of Pembroke, who rejects it, i. 383; signed by John at Runnymede, on June 15, 1215, 384; provisions of, 385, 386; effects of, upon the nation, 387, 388; provisions for the observance of, 389.
- Magentius, revolt of, A. D. 350, i. 66.
- Maharajpoo, battle of, Dec. 29, 1843, viii. 361.
- Mahomed Ali, flies to Trichinopoly, v. 593; struggles against Chunda Sahib, 594.
- Mahon, lord, his plan for amending the copyright law, viii. 304; characteristics of his History of England, 315.
- Mahoni commands at Murviedro; deceived by Peterborough; arrested, v. 159.
- Mahratta wars, history of the, 1778-1782, vi. 433-435; and 1802-1805, 219-226.
- Mahrattas, peishwa of, concludes an alliance with Cornwallis, vi. 574; prepares to invade the British territories, viii. 625; surrenders to the British; abdicates his throne; becomes a pensioner of the East India Company, 626.
- Maida, battle of, July 4, 1806, vii. 241.
- Mail coaches, introduction of, 1784, vi. 394.
- Maillard leads the Parisian mob of 1789, vi. 486; president of the Assembly; his sentence of death on prisoners, 543.
- Maine, duc de, receives an important command in the French army, v. 16; incompetent and cowardly, 16.
- Maitland, captain, Bonaparte surrendering to him, he conveys the ex-emperor to Plymouth, vii. 406.

- Malacca ceded to the British, 1824, vii. 627.
- Malaga, sea fight off, 1704, v. 152.
- Malcolm, king of Scotland, receives Edgar Atheling, i. 218; invades England, in 1070, and marriage of, with Margaret, sister of Edgar, 223; civilization of Scotland promoted by Margaret, 228; unsuccessfully attacks William II., 251; killed, 251.
- Malcolm, king of Scotland, compelled to surrender Cumberland and Northumberland to Henry II. in 1157, i. 306.
- Malesherbes, counsel for Louis XVI., 1792, vi. 567; guillotined, 1794, vii. 32.
- Malesherbes submits to Marlborough, 1706, v. 174.
- Malmesbury, Harris, lord, British *chargé des affaires* at Madrid, 1770, vi. 142; minister at Berlin; his correspondence with the home government, 143; recommends that the proceedings against Portland be postponed, 561; sent on an especial mission to Berlin to purchase assistance by subsidy, 1793, vii. 47; appointed a negotiator to demand the princess Caroline of Brunswick for the prince of Wales, 55, 56; negotiates unsuccessfully for peace with France, 1796, 69; leaves Paris, Dec. 19; gives warning of a French expedition against Ireland, 71; appointed to negotiate for peace at Lisle, 1797, 85; the negotiations are put an end to by the revolution of the 18th Fructidor, 87; quotation from his diary, 192; remarks on the effect of the news of the Ulm surrender on Pitt, 207.
- Malplaquet, battle of, Sept. 11, 1709, v. 212-214.
- Malta taken by Bonaparte, 1798, vii. 97, 98; surrenders to the British after a two years' blockade, Sept. 1800, 149, 150; retained by the British, 181.
- Malthus advocates the adoption of savings' banks, vii. 445.
- Manchester, its population in Charles II.'s reign, and fifty years later, iv. 409; its men and manufactures, 410; the Blanket meeting at, 1817, vii. 457; the great reform meeting, 488; and massacre at, 492; obtains parliamentary representation, 1832, 114; population of, with Salford, in 1831, and 1861, 115.
- Manchester, earl of, as lord Kimbolton, accused with the five members, of sedition by Charles I., iii. 426; commands at the second battle of Newbury, iii. 496; is accused of indecision and decay by Cromwell in parliament, 496.
- Mandat prepares to resist the insurrectionists of the 10th Aug., 1792; murdered, vi. 538.
- Manners, illustration of, 1709-1742, from Hogarth, v. 352-359; 1737-1783, vi. 390-426; 1784-1820, vii. 499, 501, 510, 516.
- Mansfield, William Murray, earl of, attorney-general; his interview with lord Kilmarnock, v. 561; becomes chief justice and lord Mansfield, 1756, vi. 21; declares Wilkes's outlawry null and void, 105; presides at Woodfall's prosecution, 117; chancellor of the exchequer, 1767, 140; his house sacked, and its contents, including his valuable library, burnt by the Gordon rioters, 1780, 231; opposes the "contractors" and "revenue officers" bills, 268.
- Mantua besieged by the French, vii. 85-86; capitulates, Feb. 2, 1797, 86.
- Manufactures, list of articles with which foreigners were not allowed to compete, ii. 93.
- Mapes, Walter, satires of, on the state of the Church in the time of Henry II., i. 311.
- Mar, James Erskine, earl of, appointed secretary of state for Scotland, 1706, v. 366; deprived of his office; marries the duke of Kingston's daughter; organizes an insurrection in Scotland; inaugurates it by raising the Pretender's standard at Braemar, September 6, 1715, 367; his want of energy and action; debilitates the powers of the insurrectionists, 368; his movements cramped by the duke of Argyle; detaches a part of his army under MacIntosh; withdraws Argyle's attention from MacIntosh by a feint, 370; his indecisive march; halts at Sheriffmuir; receives a severe reverse in the conflict with Argyle, Nov. 13, 15, 16; accompanies Charles in his flight to France, 380.
- Marat harangues the populace of Paris, 1789, vi. 481; desires to erect 800 gibbets, 502; advises that the royal palace be well watched, 512; aids in bringing about the September massacres, 1792, 544; denounces the moderation of Dumas, 589; excites the people to plunder the shops, 593; represents the executive power of France, 595; hates Robespierre, 596; assassinated by Charlotte Corday, July 13, 1793, 597.
- Marcus Antoninus sends a number of Germans into Britain, i. 54.
- Marcngo, battle of, June 14, 1800, vii. 149.
- Margaret, the heiress of Alexander III., of Scotland, dies in 1290, and leaves the succession to the crown in dispute, i. 456.
- Margaret of Anjou. See Henry VI.
- Margaret of Burgundy, sister to Edward IV., married in 1468, ii. 132; supports the pretensions of Lambert Simnel against Henry VII., 194; in 1492 protects Perkin Warbeck, 203; visited by Henry VIII. in 1513, 257.
- Maria Josepha of Austria, married Augustus III. of Poland; compelled by force

- to give up his state papers, vi. 19.
- Marie Louise, archduchess of Austria, marries Bonaparte, vii. 303; gives birth to a son, March, 1811, 314; appointed regent of France, 354.
- Marie Theresa, daughter of Charles VI.; heiress of his states, v. 481; the English parliament grants a subsidy to, 482; marries Francis, grand duke of Tuscany; her claim disputed, 485; flies to Hungary; throws herself on the support of the Hungarians; the fortunes of her armies, 487; restores her conquests in Bavaria, 491; grieves at the loss of Silesia; joined by Louis XV., vi. 17; opposed to the partition of Poland, 143; empress of Germany, 1745-1762, 600.
- Marie Antoinette escapes from the mob, 1789, vi. 488; removed to Paris, 488; presents the dauphin to the people, 1790, 500; interview with Mirabeau, 503; accompanies Louis XVI. in his flight from Paris and capture, 512-514; her apparent calmness at the opera, 519; present at Louis's audience with the 20th June insurrectionists, 535; description of, at the attack on the Tuileries, August, 1792, 540; imprisoned in the Temple, 541; treatment pursued towards, 556, 557; guillotined, Oct. 16, 1793, vi. 26, 27.
- Marlborough, S. Churchill, duchess of, lady of the bedchamber to the princess Anne, her friendship for and large influence over the princess, iv. 499; urges her to obtain her increased income from the parliament, and not from the king, 499; she is dismissed the royal palace, 557; she loses her influence over the queen, v. 205; her account of the queen's political intrigues with Harley at private audiences, 208; her income 9,500*l.* per annum, 209; her description of Sacheverel's character, 231, 232; she is hated and given up by Mrs. Morley (Anne), 234, 235; her dismissal from Anne's service, 1711, 238; her revenge, 238; her meanness towards Vanbrugh, 341.
- Marlborough, duke of, John Churchill; an expedition against Ireland sails under his command, which disembarks near Cork, September, 22, 1690; takes Cork on the 29th, iv. 523; and Kinsale, 523; he is entrusted with the command of the English troops in Flanders, 534, offers to go over to James, 535; is dismissed from office by William, 537; in consequence of plotting for James's recall to the throne, 558; treachery of, in the Brest affair of 1694; offers William his services, which are refused, 592; implicated by Fenwick with holding treacherous correspondence with James II., v. 37; governor of the duke of Gloucester, 89; receives the order of the garter, and is made captain-general of Anne's forces, 1702, 112; he is sent as envoy extraordinary to the States-general; his preparations for war, 113, 114; appointed generalissimo of the allied forces by the States; takes Venloo, September 18; Ruremonde, Stevenswart, Liege, October 23, and the castle of Chartreuse, 115; an adventure, escape, and return to London, 115; he is made duke of Marlborough, 1702, 120; desires to assist the Camisards, 121; takes Ulm, Bonn, Huy, 1703; proposes a grand design, but is thwarted by the States; takes Limbourg, 122, 123; his difficulty in recruiting the army, 131; Louis XIV. concentrates his forces upon Germany, 1704, 133; Marlborough plans a series of operations for opposing him, which he carries out in secrecy; marches to the Moselle, there gives Villeroy the slip, and pushes on to the Danube, 133, 134; his march along the Rhine; his attention to detail; the high spirits of his army, 134; passes the Neckar, June 3, 135; meets prince Eugene on the 10th, and prince Louis of Baden, on the 13th, 135; encamps at Giengen; receives intelligence of the vicinity of the Bavarian and French army; description of the Schellenberg, 136; storms the Schellenberg in conjunction with prince Louis, July 2, 137; lays waste many villages in Bavaria, 139; encamps at Friedberg, August 3; at Schonefeldt on the 10th; joins prince Eugene on the 11th, 139, 140; they resolve to attack the enemy who are encamped five miles off, 141; marches upon their position, 141; attacks Tallard's head-quarters at Blenheim, 142; which leads to a general conflict, 144; he gains the victory, August 13, 144; his anxiety to obtain sufficient food for his troops and prisoners, 147; sets down before Ulm, Aug. 20; it capitulates September 12; sets down before Landau, which also capitulates, December; concludes treaties with Bavaria and Prussia; receives honours; arrives at the Hague, Dec. 16; embarks at Rotterdam on the 22nd and arrives at St. James's by the 26th, 147, 148; honours bestowed on, 148; enthusiastic reception; opposes the Conformity bill, 149; embarks at Harwich, March 31, 1705, 161; his plans are opposed by the Dutch; crosses the Moselle and Saar, June 3, 164; scarcity of food, and other disappointments, cause him to despond; rouses his spirits, joins d'Auverquerque, and regains possession of Huy, July, 11, 165; forces the French lines, July 17, 167; Villeroy retreats beyond the Dyle; he follows, but his plans are thwarted by the Dutch generals, 167, 168; the first stone of his palace at Woodstock laid, 168; opposes jobbery; arrives at the Hague, April 27, 1703, 170, 171; his confidence of victory; advances to give battle to the French, 171, 172; the battle commences; he is nearly made prisoner; the village of Ramillies is seized by his forces; gains the victory of Ramillies, 173, 174; Antwerp, Ghent, Bruges, and Oudenarde surrender; Ostend is besieged; capitulates July 7; Menin captured,

- August 22; Dendermonde surrenders, Sept. 5; Ath falls October 4; he returns to England, 174, 175; his pension of 5,000*l.* per annum settled upon his posterity, 196; visits Charles XII. at Alt Ranstadt, April 27, 1707; and diplomatically with him, 198; accomplishes little in his campaign in the Netherlands; plans an attack upon France, 199; prepares to attack the French before Oudenarde; is joined by prince Eugene; and attacked by fever brought on by long marches and disappointments, 1708, 204; defeats the French at Oudenarde, July 11; his description of the battle, 205, 206; besieges Lille, 207; his disgust at the queen's temper; he is on the point of retiring from business, 208, 209; his yearly income nearly 55,000*l.*; covers the siege of Lille; his meanness towards Webb, 209, 210; urges upon Godolphin the necessity of another campaign, 212; his desire and attempt to reduce France to accept unimilitary terms; rouses the French national spirit, surrender of Tournay, 212, 213, gains a victory at Malplaquet, September 11, 1709, 213; represents England at the conferences of Gertruydenberg, 1710, 233; his despondency at the state of affairs, 233; mortified at the dismissal of his Whig friends and relations from office, 235; returns to London, December; coldness of the queen, 237; and opposition of Harley and St. John towards him, 237, 238; sinister motives imputed to him; his wife dismissed from Anne's service, 238; hostility shown towards, 241; leaves London, March 4, 1711, to assume his command in the Netherlands, 241; his terror of the press, 249; forces the French lines with an inferior force, 251; invests and takes Bouchain, 252; his successes disparaged by the press; he writes under their attacks, 252; lands at Greenwich on his return home, Nov. 17, 253; his answer to the queen's speech insinuating his desire for prolonging war, 253, 254; he is still opposed by Harley and the ministry; is turned out of all his offices by the queen, Dec. 31, 255; his avariciousness; charged with illegally taking public moneys; his defeuce thereof, 255, 256; his resignation in adversity, 266; his name omitted in the regency list, 361, 363; retains his office of captain-general and master of the ordnance, 363; death of, 1722, 416.
- Marlborough, duke of, resigns his military commission, 1743 v. 482; commands the troops sent against Cherbourg and St. Maloes, 1758, v. 39.
- Marlborough House built by Wren, v. 340.
- Marmont, marshal, appointed to command 20,000 men to be sent against England, 1805, vii. 205; commands the army of Hollaud, 206; weakens the garrison of Ciudad Rodrigo, advances to its relief, 330; commander of the French army in Spain, 1811; his manœuvres near Salamanca, 334, 335; a fault he commits is taken advantage of by Wellington, which results in the battle of Salamanca, July 22, in which he is wounded and defeated, 335, 336; Napoleon's rage against, 337; commands the forces in Paris, 1830, viii. 48; ordered to act against the July revolutionists; the struggle of July 28, 50; he is besieged in the Tuileries and compelled to give in, 51.
- Marriage act, 1753, vi. 585-587.
- Marriage act, Royal, vi. 149-152.
- Marriages bill, regulation of Dissenters', 1836, viii. 192.
- Marsellais, their arrival in Paris, July 30, 1792, vi. 536; they assemble before Danton's club, 538; their contest at the Tuileries, 539, 540.
- Marshalsea prison, the horrors of the, v. 438.
- Marsiglia, defeat of the duke of Savoy at, 1693, iv. 583.
- Marsin, general, commands a division of the French army at the battle of Blenheim, v. 140-143; joins his army with that of Villars 1705, 164.
- Marston Moor, battle of, July 2, 1644, iii. 494.
- Martignac, M. de, French minister; character of his policy, viii. 43.
- Martineau, Harriet, success and objects of her political-economy tales, viii. 321, 322.
- Martinique surrendered to the British, 1762, vi. 66.
- Mary, queen of England, born 1516, refuses to acknowledge the validity of her mother's divorce, ii. 387; refuses to accede to Edward's request to forbear the use of the mass, 565; bold letter of, to Edward VI., 566; addresses a letter to the council on the death of her brother, and claims the crown, 575; is joined at Kenninghall by numerous adherents, 576; is proclaimed in London, 579; triumphant arrival of, in London, 580; Northumberland is tried and executed for treason, 580; causes of her being so joyfully received by the people, 582; the coronation of, 583; description of her person, 584; meets her first parliament, and passes an Act for repealing certain treasons, and the penalties for denying the king's supremacy, 584; repeal of Acts of Edward VI. relating to religion, 585; projected marriage of, to Philip of Spain, and dissatisfaction of the people, 586; arrival of ambassadors to arrange terms, 587; insurrection of sir Thomas Wyatt against the marriage, 588; courage and address shown by Mary on the occasion, 589; the population of London arm in her behalf, 590; Wyatt is defeated, 592; lord Guilford Dudley and lady Jane Grey beheaded, 594; execution of Suffolk and numerous others, 595; trial and acquittal of sir Nicholas

- Throckmorton, 595; the jury committed to prison, 596; execution of Wyatt, 596; the princess Elizabeth sent for to court, 597; is committed to the Tower, 598; letter of Elizabeth to, 599; the Spanish ambassador urges her trial and execution, 599; unquiet condition of the country, 600; fiery zeal of Gardiner to suppress the Reformation, 600; the married clergy expelled from their livings, 601; Cranmer, Latimer, and Ridley condemned as heretics at Oxford, 601; arrival of Philip of Spain, 602; marriage of, with Philip, and pageantry on the occasion, 632; bribery exercised by Philip, 604; arrival in England of cardinal Pole, 605; he absolves the realm, 605; submission of the parliament to the papal see, 606; the statutes against heretics revived, 607; the Marian persecution, 608; list of Protestant victims, 609; cardinal Pole exhorts to gentleness, but gives a commission to Gardiner, Bonner, and others, to deal with heretics then in prison, 610; encouragement of the spy-system for the discovery of heresy, 622; Philip leaves England, 627; discontent of Mary at his not returning, 628; opposition of the parliament to pope Paul IV.'s claims of supremacy, 629; Dr. Dee in trouble for casting the nativities of the queen and the princess Elizabeth, 630; the Dudley conspiracy for robbing the exchequer, and placing Elizabeth on the throne, 630; its discovery, and execution of the conspirators, 631; Elizabeth suspected of participation, and her letter to the queen, 632; cardinal Pole created archbishop of Canterbury, 632; his moderation not satisfactory to the Pope, 632; Philip returns to England, March, 1557, 632; war declared against France at Philip's instigation, 634; the English forces assist in winning the battle of St. Quentin, 634; Calais besieged and taken by the French, on Jan. 7, 1558, 636; Guisnes and Hammes taken, and the English wholly expelled from France, 638; her last illness and death, on Nov. 17, 1558, 640.
- Mary, daughter of James II., marries the prince of Orange, in 1677, iv. 232; proclaimed queen with William, 375; coronation of, with her husband, April 11, 1689, 473; asks the princess Anne what she means by applying to parliament to increase her income, 498; appointed regent in William's absence, 507; her energetic conduct in retrieving the disaster Beachey Head, June, 1690, 515; quarrels with her sister Anne, 557; addresses a dispatch to the commanders of the fleet; enthusiasm caused on its being read, 561; joins in the national gratitude by making a gift of the royal palace of Greenwich as an asylum for the disabled mariners of England, 563; issues proclamations for the discovery of seditious libellers, and for the apprehension of highwaymen, 569; her reply to the deputation requesting an inquiry into the naval miscarriages, 583; she is taken dangerously ill at Kensington, 593; her conduct highly praised by William; her fortitude and resignation in illness, 593; dies of the small pox, Dec. 28, 1694, in her 33rd year; her character and burial, 594.
- Mary, queen of Scotland, born Dec. 7, 1542, ii. 419; Henry VIII. negotiates a marriage between her and his son Edward, 419; the project defeated by the queen-mother and cardinal Beaton, 449; the duke of Somerset endeavours to enforce the treaty, 523; is contracted in marriage to the dauphin of France, 550; the marriage solemnised in Paris, in 1558, 635; becomes queen of France on the accession of her husband Francis II., in 1559, iii. 23; assumes the royal arms of England, 24; refuses to ratify the treaty of Edinburgh in 1560, 31; answer of, to Throckmorton on being urged to sign it, 32; her husband, Francis II., dies, Dec. 6, 1560, 32; lord James Murray sent as ambassador to request her return to Scotland, 33; policy of, towards Elizabeth, 34; indignation of, at being refused a safe-conduct, 35; imprudent avowal of her hatred of John Knox, 36; farewell of, to France, 37; arrival and reception of, in Scotland, Aug. 19, 1561, 38; contrasted with Elizabeth, 38, 39; summons Knox before her for preaching against her marriage with a papist prince, 45; writes to the Council of Trent, that if she succeeded to the throne of England she would bring both kingdoms under the apostolic see, 45; lord Robert Dudley recommended as a husband by Elizabeth, 45; continued efforts of, to be declared successor to the crown of England, 46, *et seq.*; marries Henry, lord Darnley, July 29, 1565, 47; Murray revolts unsuccessfully, and takes refuge in England, 48; discontent of the reforming nobles at the marriage, 50, 51; suppresses the revolt, and banishes the insurgent lords, 51; rise of Rizzio, 51; joins the league of Catherine de Medici and the duke of Alva for the extermination of Protestantism in Europe, 52; jealousy of Darnley, 53; quarrels with the queen, and unites with the Reformers, 54; murder of Rizzio, 55; described by the English ambassadors, 55; gives birth to a son, June 19, 1566, 57; amicable relations with England established, and Elizabeth becomes godmother to James, 57; Bothwell becomes Mary's adviser, 58; she visits Darnley when sick, and has him removed to Kirk of Field, near Edinburgh, 59; the house is blown up, and Darnley murdered, 60; undoubted guilt of Bothwell, 60; his mock-trial, and acquittal, 62; is carried off by pretended force by Bothwell, 63; and is married to him, 63; the nobles revolt against the marriage, and she surrenders to them at Car-

- berry Hill, on June 18, 1567, 61; carried prisoner to Lochleven, 61; is compelled to abdicate on July 24, in favour of her son, James VI., 66; Murray is proclaimed regent, 67; question as to the authenticity of the letters to Bothwell attributed to her, 68; escapes from Lochleven, 68; romantic circumstances of, 69; assembles forces, fights, and loses, the battle of Langsyde, May 16, 1568, 71; takes refuge in England, 71; question as to the justice of her detention, 73; is removed to Bolton Castle, and is indignant at being considered a prisoner, 74; conference appointed to hear the charges against her, 74; proceedings of the conference at York and London, 75; placed under the care of the earl Shrewsbury, 76; project for the marriage of, to the duke of Norfolk, 78; is removed from Tutbury Castle to Coventry, 79; intrigues of Philip of Spain and the Roman Catholics in favour of, 85; plan for giving her up to her own subjects to be punished, 96; insecurity of, 96; alleged complicity of, in Babington's conspiracy, 109; is transferred to Fotheringay, and her papers are seized, 110; a commission issued for her trial at Fotheringay, 113; proceedings on the trial, 114, 115; and judgment against, 116; conflicting opinions on the judgment, 118; proclamation is made of the judgment, and she is excluded from all claim to the crown of England, 119; the warrant of execution is issued, 121; is refused a confessor, 123; is beheaded at Fotheringay, on Feb. 8, 1587, 125.
- Marylebone church, built by Hardwick, vii. 537.
- Masham, Mrs., becomes a favourite of queen Anne's 1708, v. 205; contrives a secret correspondence between Anne and Mr. Harley, 208; obtains the ascendancy in queen Anne's confidence, 235; keeper of the privy purse, 1711, 238.
- Massachusetts Bay government regulation bill passed, 1774, vi. 158.
- Massacres of September, 1792, in Paris, vi. 542-546.
- Massena, Andrew, barbarity of, v. 139; a general in Bonaparte's army, vii. 64; commands the French sent to pillage Berne, 1798; obtains a large share of the booty, 96; baffled in driving the English out of Portugal, 1810, 309, 311; Almeida surrenders to, Aug. 16, 310; loses the battle of Busaco, Sept. 27, 310, 311; sufferings of his army, 310; retreats into Spain, 318.
- Matilda, daughter of Henry I., married in 1114 to the emperor of Germany, i. 271; becomes a widow in 1124, 273; named successor to the crown of England in 1126, 273; marries Fulk, earl of Anjou, in 1127, 273; her son Henry born in 1133, 274; arrives in England to assert her claim to the crown, 288; is besieged in Arundel Castle, but allowed by Stephen to join the earl of Gloucester at Bristol, 289; after the battle of Lincoln, is proclaimed queen in 1141, 291; revolt of the Londoners against, 292; escapes to Devizes, 294; besieged in Oxford, and escapes, 296; leaves England, 297.
- Mauritius acquired by the English, 1810, vii. 622.
- Maury, abbé, advocates for the proprietary rights of the clergy, vi. 495.
- Maximilian, the emperor, concludes a treaty with Henry VIII. against Louis XII. of France, ii. 255; takes military service under Henry, 256; leads the charge at the Battle of Spurs, 256; dies 1519, 262.
- Maximus, assists Theodosius in repulsing the Piets and Scots in their invasion of Britain, i. 67; proclaimed emperor of Britain, 67; leads a British army into Gaul, is defeated, and put to death, A. D. 388, 67.
- Mayence surrenders to the king of Prussia, July 22, 1793, vii. 14.
- Maynooth College, grant to, proposed, viii. 383; stormy debate on the measure, 383, 384; finally carried, 385.
- Meadows, general, commands the British army in the Carnatic, vi. 574; takes Severndroog and Octradroog, 575.
- Meane, battle of, Feb. 17, 1843, viii. 359, 360.
- Mechanics' Institution of London inaugurated by Dr. Birkbeck, 1823, viii. 20, 21.
- Meehudpore, battle of, Dec. 21, 1817, viii. 626.
- Meer Cossein. See Cossein.
- Meer Jaffier. See Jaffier.
- Mehemet Ali, pasha of Egypt, invades Syria, thereby involving himself in war with Mahmoud; his successes and aggrandizing views, viii. 262; compelled to submit to the terms proposed by Britain, 269.
- Melas, general, drives back Moreau, vii. 134; drives the French from Nice; prepares to battle Bonaparte; defeated at Marengo, June, 1800; concludes an armistice, 149.
- Melbourne, W. Lamb, viscount, chief secretary for Ireland, 1828, viii. 16; resigned the same year, 25; home secretary, 67; speech in the debate on secondary punishments, 133; his difficulty in forming a ministry according to the king's plan; ultimate formation of his ministry, 1834, 163; sudden dismissal, 166; ostensible cause of his dismissal, 167, 168; not brought about by any act of the ministry, 169; his amendment on the address, 172; first lord of the treasury, 1835, 176, 203; exclusion of lord Brougham from his ministry, 176; his reply to lord Lyndhurst's hostile speech, 187; denies having made a compact with the O'Connell party, 187; his eulogy on William IV., 199; first lord of the

- treasury, 231; proposes the suspension of the Jamaica constitution for five years; his proposition carried, 250; his ministry resigns, but again returns to power, 250, 251; adopts free-trade opinions, 271.
- Melcombe. See Doddington.
- Melfort, earl of, his letters to Balcarres intercepted and read before the Convention, iv. 488.
- Melrose Abbey, foundation of, l. 285.
- Melville, Henry Dundas, lord; lord advocate of Scotland; supports the opposition party, vi. 269; declares that lord Chatham never advocated reform, 269; supports Pitt, 441; opposes the hostile motion against the ministry, 1784, 445; recalls earl Gower, the ambassador at Paris, 541; urges Loughborough to decide as to taking the great seal, 561; secretary of state; delivers the king's message about seditious practices to the Commons, vii. 34; praises the British humanity shown at Howe's victory, 1794, 43; his conference with the king on the Catholic question, and the Coronation oath, 153; succeeded in his secretaryship by lord Hobart, 155; first lord of the admiralty, 200, 552; implicated on a charge of tampering with the public money; vote of censure passed against him; resigns, 1805, vii. 201; progress of the motion for impeaching him, 201, 202; his acquittal, June 12, 1806, 230; home secretary, 1791-1794; war and colonial secretary, 1794-1801, 639; retires from the admiralty, 611.
- Menai suspension bridge built by Telford, vii. 537.
- Mendicity of London in 1815, 1816, vii. 440, 441.
- Menin taken by Marlborough, Aug. 22, 1706, v. 174.
- Menou, general-in-chief of the interior; unable to suppress the Lepelletier section revolt, 1795, vii. 59, 60; superseded by Barras, 59; succeeds Kieber in the command of the French army in Egypt, 161; his charge at the British, 1801; beaten back by the Highlanders, 163.
- Methodism, rise of; its professors produce a reformation in the English manners, vi. 425; characters of its leaders and originators; Whitefield and Wesley, 425, 426; Wesleyism, 425; the Cambuslang conversions, 426.
- Methuen, British ambassador at the Portuguese court, concludes the Methuen treaty, v. 123; his account of the battle of Almanza, 197; secretary of state, 1716, 393; resigns, 394.
- Methuen treaty, terms of the, with Portugal, 1703, v. 123, 264; annulled, 1835, viii. 205.
- Milan entered by the French, 1796, vii. 65.
- Milan decree issued by Bonaparte, Dec. 1807, vii. 264, 642.
- Militia bill passed, 1756, vi. 22.
- Miller, J., a printer. See Onslow, colonel.
- Milton, John, embodiment of early English legends by, i. 14; his description of London at the commencement of the Civil War, iii. 440; publishes his "Iconoclastes," in reply to the "Icon Basiliké," 587; appointed Latin secretary to the Council of State, 590; his death, and warning of, against slavery, iv. 229. See Addison, v. 288.
- Minden, battle of, Aug. 1, 1759, vi. 43.
- Mines. See copper, coal, lead, tin, &c.
- Mines in Britain, under the Romans, notice of, i. 47; remains of iron works yet existing, 48.
- Minorea taken by the English, 1708, v. 207; surrendered to the French, 1756, vi. 15, 16; restored to England, 1763, 68, 261; surrendered to the French, 1782, 261; ceded to Spain by Great Britain, 290.
- Minto, lord, governor-general of India, vii. 620; quells the mutiny at Madras and other places, 621, 622; acquisition of the eastern archipelago; other acts of his administration, 623; resigns, 1813, 623; first lord of the admiralty, viii. 203.
- Mirabeau, comte de, bold speech of, at a meeting of the National Assembly, 1789, vi. 477; moves for an address to the king for the removal of the troops, 478; prevents the National Assembly from assuming the power to declare war, 499; named president of the National Assembly, Jan. 1791, endeavours to secure order, and curb violent measures, 502; negotiates with Marie Antoinette; his services paid for by Louis, 503; his character and unwearied energy, 502, 503; death of, April 2, 1791; burial at the Pantheon; disinterred, 1793, 504; advocates the king's veto, 520.
- Moir, lord, his severe measures towards deserters, vi. 236; gains a battle near Camden, 249; sets out to aid the Vendéans, but arrives too late, vii. 30; governor-general of India, Oct. 4, 1813, 623; obtains permission to war against the Pindares on a large scale, 625; the campaign, 625, 626; breaks up the Mahratta confederacy, 626; rules issued by, for regulating the newspaper press in India, 633.
- Molesworth, sir William, remarks of, on Canadian affairs, viii. 233.
- Molwitz, battle of, April 10, 1741, v. 486.

- Mona (Anglesey), the isle of, taken by Suetonius, i. 33.
- Monastic establishments, magnificence and riches of, *temp.* Hen. VII., ii. 232.
- Money, value of, in the reigns of Henry III. and Edward I., i. 408; scarcity of, during the wars of the Roses, ii. 77; labourers to be paid in, and truck forbidden by statute in 1465, 83; money prices of clothing in 1450-1485, 91; debasement of the coin in 1461, 129; altered value of, in 1547, 471; debasement of coin by Henry VIII. and Edward VI., 490-494; rise of prices, occasioned by the influx of the precious metals, iii. 204; a debased brass coinage issued by James II. in 1689, iv. 496; depreciated state of coinage in 1695, v. 20, 21; guineas rise to thirty shillings apiece, 21; extensive circulation of clipped, 21; a new coinage issued, and the old collected by government in payment of taxes, 22, 23; remarkable scarcity of, 1696, 33, 34; the government refuses to reduce the proper standard of; the currency of the new coinage established, 36; want of, caused by the South Sea scheme, 411; Wood's copper coinage for Ireland; Swift's letters causes the patent to be withdrawn, 420, 422; depreciation of the American currency, 1779, vi. 220; suspension of cash payments by the Bank of England, Feb. 1797, vii. 73, 74; currency of cash almost superseded by that of paper, 74; the panic in 1797; funds fall to 47, 84; reduction in the circulating medium, a fall rise in the value of, 430; state of the currency 1816, 483, 484; the panic of 1825, 600, 606; the panic of 1847, viii. 415, 416.
- Monk, George (duke of Albemarle), left by Cromwell to manage affairs in Scotland, iii. 618; conduct of, in Scotland, iv. 112; Charles endeavours to engage him on his side, 112; resolves to restore the parliament, 113; sends commissioners to London to negotiate with the army, 113; marches to London, 116; is employed by the parliament to quell the disaffection in the city, 118; causes the secluded members to be restored, 120; promises sir A. Haslegrig to oppose the setting-up of Charles Stuart as king, 120; agrees to act for Charles, 159; suppresses the insurrection of Lambert, 124; receives the king on his landing at Dover, 130; produces private letters from the marquis of Argyll to procure his conviction, 154; appointed to the command of the fleet against the Dutch with prince Rupert, 174; meets their superior fleet unexpectedly, but fights obstinately for four days, 175; ravages the Dutch coast, 178; commands the forces sent against the duke of Monmouth in 1685, but is forced to retreat, 309.
- Monkton, general, falls in attacking Quebec, vi. 47.
- Monmouth, duke of, connives at the mutilation of sir John Coventry, iv. 212; pretensions of, to legitimacy, 247; marriage of, to the duchess of Buccleugh, 247; the king solemnly affirms his legitimacy, 248; is sent to take the command of the army against the Covenanters, 257; defeats them at Bothwell Bridge on June 22, 1679, 258; returns suddenly to England to attend the sick-bed of Charles, and is considered as a rival for the succession, 259, 260; is sent to Flanders, 260; returns to England and is received with great joy, 261; is made by the Whigs the Protestant candidate for the crown, 266; his triumphant progress in the west of England, 266; dissatisfaction of, at the acquittal of Count Königsmark for the murder of Thynne, 284; accused of a participation in the Rye House Plot in 1683, 284; he escapes, 285; is pardoned, 289; lands at Lyme in 1685, 306; publishes a declaration against James II., 307; is very favourably received at Taunton, 309; proclaims himself king, 309; marches to Bristol, 310; fails in receiving support, and retreats, 311; skirmish at Philip's-Norton, 311; battle of Sedgemoor, 313; flight and capture of, 314; abject behaviour of, in his interview with James, 315; execution of, 316; considered by the people as a martyr for the Protestant religion, 316.
- Monnet, gen., commander of Flushing; cuts dykes to drive away the British, 1809, vii. 294.
- Mons besieged and taken by the French, 1691, iv. 530.
- Monson, col., a member of the council at Calcutta, opposes Hastings, vi. 429; his death, 431.
- Montague, Charles. See Halifax, earl of.
- Montcalm, marquis de, commander of the French troops in Canada, 1759, vi. 45, 46; attacks Wolfe on the heights of Abraham, Sept. 13; defeated, 47; and mortally wounded; died Sept. 14, 47.
- Montenotte, battle of, April 12, 1796, vii. 65.
- Monte Video. See Auchmuty, vii. 252.
- Montfort, Jane de, heroic defence of the Castle of Hennebon, i. 506; is relieved by sir Walter Manny, 506.
- Montfort, Simon de, earl of Leicester, attends the parliament at Westminster, in 1258, i. 411; nominated head of the council, 412; is forced to withdraw to France, 412; character of, 413; returns to England and a civil war takes place, 414; wins the battle of Lewes, and takes Henry III. prisoner, 415; burgesses summoned to parliament in 1264 by, 416; is defeated and slain at the battle of Evesham, 418; prohibition of holding him for a saint, 419; continued resistance offered by his sons, who murder the nephew of Henry, 420.

- Montgomery, Richard, general in the American army, invades Canada, 1775 ; killed in an attack upon Quebec, Dec. 31, vi. 183.
- Montjuich. See Peterborough.
- Montrevel, marshal de, unable to subdue the Camisards, 1703 ; superseded by marshal Villars, v. 121.
- Montrose, James Graham, marquis of, victories of, in Scotland in 1645, iii. 500 ; influence of his letter containing an account of these victories upon Charles I., 500 ; advice of, to Charles I., 501 ; defeats the Covenanting army under Baillie, 513 ; defeated at Philiphaugh by Lesley, 513 ; counsels Charles II. to win his kingdom by battle, 596 ; who gives him a commission to do so, 593 ; lands in the Orkneys, March, 1650, 607 ; defeated by colonel Strachan at Cragchonichen, 607 ; wanders among the Highlands, and is taken on May 3, 607 ; exultation of the Covenanters at his capture, 607 ; sentenced to death, 607 ; ill-treatment of, at Edinburgh, 608 ; conduct of, before parliament, and at his execution, 608 ; character of, by Clarendon, 609.
- Montserrat taken by the French, vi. 260 ; restored to England, 290.
- Moore, sir John, takes Wexford, June 21, 1798, vii. 113 ; appointed to command 11,000 men in the Peninsula ; his mortification at being made subordinate to sir H. Dalrymple, and sir H. Burrard, 271 ; commences his march into Spain, Oct. 1808 ; his progress through the country to Sahagun ; commences a retreat ; pursued by Napoleon, Dec. 24, 276 ; halts at Lugo, 277 ; marches to Comnna ; defeat of the French there, Jan. 16, 1809 ; death of, 278 ; his burial, 279 ; opinions on his retreat, 280 ; sufferings of his army. 280.
- Morcar and Edwin, insurrection of, suppressed by William the Conqueror, i. 218 ; join Hereward, and death of Edwin, 226 ; imprisonment of Morcar, 227.
- Mordant, sir John, has joint command of the Rochefort expedition, 1757 ; acquitted by court martial, vi. 35, 36.
- More, Hannah, rejoices at the fall of the Bastille, vi. 492 ; her writings, vii. 512.
- More, sir Thomas, unsuccessfully attempts to prevent the riot on " Evil May-Day," in 1517, ii. 281 ; is chosen speaker of the House of Commons in 1523, 283 ; is created lord chancellor in 1529, and speaks harshly against Wolsey, 317 ; opposes the doctrines of the Reformers, 318 ; lays before parliament the opinion of the universities in favour of Henry's divorce, but is himself against it, 326 ; retires from the Chancery, 336 ; doubts whether he sanctioned the burning of John Baynham in May, 1532, 337 ; official character of, 337 ; implicated in the charge of conspiracy against the king with the Holy Maid of Kent, 352 ; is committed to the Tower with Fisher, bishop of Rochester, for refusing to swear to the illegality of the king's first marriage, 356 ; tried for treason, convicted, and executed on July 6, 1535, 362-364.
- Moreau, J. V., serves in Jourdan's army, vii. 49 ; appointed to command the army of the Rhine and the Moselle, 1796, 63 ; failure of his campaign, 68 ; his retreat through the Black Forest to Strasbourg, 68 ; beaten back by gen. Melas ; defeated, 1799, 134 ; campaign of, in Germany, 1800, 149 ; victory of Hohenlinden gained by, Dec. 3, 150 ; conspires against Bonaparte, 1804 ; exiled, 193 ; takes part in the battle of Dresden against Napoleon, in which he is wounded ; death of, 347.
- Morgan, gen., defeats Tarleton at Cowpens, Jan. 17, 1781, vi. 248 ; joins his forces with Greene's, 248.
- Morland, George, the representative of his day in animal painting, vii. 548.
- Morington, earl of. See Wellesley, marquess of.
- Morpeth, lord, his eulogy on Francis Horner, 1817, vii. 472 ; votes for Sutton as speaker of the House of Commons, 1833, viii. 127 ; moves an amendment for reform in the address, 1835, 172 ; secretary for Ireland, 203.
- Mortemart, duke de, his audience with Charles X., promises extorted by, from the king, 1830, viii. 51, 52.
- Mortimer, Roger. See Edward II. and Edward III.
- Mortmain, statute of, passed, 7 Edwd. I., i. 428.
- Moscow, entry of the French into, Sept. 14, 1812, vii. 340 ; the conflagration of ; evacuated by the French, Oct. 19, 342.
- Mounier, president of the French Assembly, vi. 487 ; obtains the king's consent to the articles of the constitution, 487.
- Mountcashel, viscount. See Macarthy.
- Mountfort, William, a player, murder of, by Hill, iv. 576.
- Mountjoy, lord, sent by Tyrconnel on a mission to James II. at St. Germain's ; on his arrival there he was put into the Bastille, iv. 475.
- Mourad Bey heads the Mamlooks ; defeated by the French at the Battle of the Pyramids, 1798, vii. 99.
- Muir, Thomas, sentenced to transportation for advocating a reform in the representation of the people, 1794, vii. 33.
- Municipal corporation reform, viii. 178-184 ; report of the commission of inquiry showing the generally corrupt state of the corporations, 179-181 ; passing of lord

- Russell's reform bill through parliament, 182, 183; it receives the royal assent Sept. 9, 1835, 184; departing glories of municipal pomp, 184; bill for corporation reform in Ireland; opposed by the lords; passed by the Commons, Mar. 28, 1836, 185.
- Municipalities, character of those established by the Romans in Britain, i. 56, 57; form of government in, 56.
- Munro, sir Hector, major; defeats an Indian army, vi. 152, 153; compelled to retreat before Hyder Ali, 435.
- Murat, Joachim, helps Bonaparte in suppressing the revolt of the sections in Paris, May, 1795, vii. 60; assists Kleber against a Mussulman army, 1799, 132; with Leclerc clears the Assembly, Nov., 137; siezes Godoy and sends him to Bonaparte, 1803, 269; king of Naples, 315; deserts Napoleon for the Allies, vii. 413; his entering into a correspondence with the ex-emperor hastens his fall, 413.
- Murray, lord George, obtains the king's pardon for joining the rebellion of 1715, v. 384; joins Charles Edward, 1745, 509; takes part in the battle at Preston-Pans, Sept. 22, 512; quarrels with the duke of Perth, 527; his tactics in approaching Derby, 530; he convinces Charles of the uselessness of advancing farther into England; persuades him to retreat to Scotland, 531, 532; his able and creditable management of the retreat from Derby, 539, 540; halts at Clifton, 541; securing the army's retreat by stemming the tide of the pursuing enemy; reaches Carlisle; represents to Charles that his troops could not hold the place, 542; signs an address to the prince showing the desertions in the Highland army, 549; leads the Highlanders to the attack of Cumberland's camp; but finds a surprise impracticable, 553.
- Murray, James, earl of, sent as ambassador to France to request the return of Queen Mary, iii. 33; with other reformers revolts against Mary's marriage with Darnley, 47, 48; is forced to fly to England, 48; returns to Edinburgh on the death of Riccio, 67; is proclaimed regent after Mary's abdication, 67; defeats Mary at the battle of Langsyde, 71; accuses Mary of the murder of Darnley at the conference in London, 75; favours Norfolk's project of marrying Mary, 78; is assassinated in Jan. 1570, 93.
- Murray, William. See Mansfield, earl of.
- Musgrave, sir Christopher; a leader of the opposition party, 1693; bribes received by, iv. 577.
- Mutiny bill, an important part of the government: first passed in 1689, iv. 470, 471. Mutiny at Ipswich, 1689, iv. 469; at Spithead, 1797, vii. 80-82; at the Nore, 82, 83; at Vellore, 1806, 227; and at Madras, 1809, 621, 622.
- Muttra, battle of, 1803, vii. 221.
- Mylne, Richard, architect of Blackfriars bridge, vi. 382.

N.

- NADIN, unable to apprehend the leaders of the reform meeting at Manchester, 1819, vii. 491.
- Nagpore, rajah of, joins the Mahratta confederacy against the British, vii. 625; defeated by the British, 626.
- Nairn, William, lord, impeached of high treason, tried and sentenced to death, 1716, v. 381; reprieved, 382; released from prison by an act of grace passed, 1717, 395.
- Nanur, siege and capture of, by the French, June 30, 1692, iv. 563; besieged by the allies, 1695, 16-18; and taken, Aug. 26, 18.
- Nankin, treaty of, Aug. 29, 1842, viii. 205, 286.
- Nantes, revocation of the edict of, iv. 322; effect of, in France, as described by Burnet, 322.
- Napier, sir C. James, major; taken prisoner at Corunna, 1809, vii. 278; a benefactor of his race, viii. 356; his march on, and destruction of, Euaun-Ghur, 358, 359; gains the battle of Meanee, 359; Hyderabad surrenders to him; he defeats and quells Shere Mahomed, and becomes the governor of Scinde, 360.
- Napier, sir C. John, his services under admiral Stopford in the campaign in Egypt and Syria, 1840, viii. 268, 269.
- Napoleon, comparison of his intended invasion of Britain with that of Caligula, i. 28.
- Napoleon III. of France, son of Louis Bonaparte, king of Holland; Louis Philippe's fear of him, viii. 196, 197; his futile revolutionary attempt at Strasbourg, 1836, 197; sent to America by Louis Philippe; escapes to Switzerland; Louis compelling his extradition from thence, he withdraws to England, 198; crosses the channel to Boulogne, 263; fails to produce an insurrection, 264, 265; surrenders; is imprisoned, 266; tried, 266; sentenced to imprisonment for life in Ham fortress; but escapes, 266; elected President of the French Republic, 1848, 426.

- Naseby, battle of, 14 June, 1645, iii. 504.
- Nash, Beau, master of the ceremonies at Bath; his rule, vi. 401, 402; suppresses duelling at Bath, 405.
- Nash, John, his architectural works, Regent Street, Park, and Canal, vii. 535, 536.
- Nasmyth, his landscape painting, vii. 548.
- National Debt, commencement of the, 1073, iv. 571; its growth, 571, v. 406, 407; reduction of the interest on the, 573; increase of the, 1755-1763, vi. 68; table showing its growth, 1691-1792, 604-606, viii. 204; fears of ruin from its increase, vi. 297; growth of, 1793-1815, vii. 645; viii. 204; 1816-1837, 204.
- Navarino, news of the battle of, received in London, viii. 13; it occurred, Oct. 20, 1827, 15, 16.
- Navigation act obstructs the Scottish trade, v. 58; suspension of the navigation laws, viii. 413.
- Navy, difficulty of manning the, vi. 195; corruption prevalent in the, 415; the British and French navies, 1793, 580, 581; state of the, 1793, vii. 40; discontents and mutinies in the, 1797, 79, 82; number of men voted for the, 1802, 175; the British navy of 1812, 370; its glory departed, 371, 372; restored again by the Shannon and Chesapeake conflict, 372.
- Necker, James, controller-general of finance, vi. 463; dismissed the post, May, 1781, 463; recalled to it again, 1789, 472; again dismissed, July, 1789, 478.
- Neerwinden. See Landen.
- Neerwinden, battle of, Mar. 18, 1793, vi. 590.
- Nelson, Horatio, post-captain, 1793; present at the surrender of Toulon to lord Hood, vii. 20; his daring achievement of the capture of Bastia, Corsica, 1794, 51; commodore; joins admiral Jarvis's fleet; disobeys his superior officer; engages, with the assistance of Troubridge and Collingwood, several of the enemy's vessels at the battle of St. Vincent, Feb. 14, 1797, 76, 77; his desperate condition; gallantry shown in boarding and taking the San Nicolas and San Josef; his name not noticed in Jarvis's despatches, 78, 79; made a knight of the bath, 79; watches the movements of the Toulon fleet, 96; appointed to command a Mediterranean fleet, 1798, 97; he misses the French fleet, sails to Alexandria, and returns to Sicily, 98; refused supplies by the Neapolitans; obtains them through his interview with lady Hamilton; again sets out for Alexandria, 100, 101; position of the French fleet, Aug. 1; Nelson's manœuvres, 101, 102; the conflict; Nelson wounded; his heroism, 102; the French flag ship, L'Orient, on fire; the awful silence succeeding the explosion; returns to Naples; his injunctions for fighting, 103; vice-admiral under sir Hyde Parker, 1801, 156; opposes his admiral's arguments for delay; his approach to Copenhagen, 157; the battle of Copenhagen, April 2, 158; disregards Parker's signal to stop fighting, 159, 160; concludes an armistice, 160; fails in cutting the armed flotilla out of Boulogne harbour, 164; appointed to command the Mediterranean fleet; his letter to the lord mayor 203; his chase after the combined fleet, 204, 205; worn out with anxiety he returns home, 204; offers his services against Villeneuve; preparations, 207; enthusiastic reception at Portsmouth, 208; his last farewell to England; sets down before Cadiz; his system of breaking the line; the enemy in sight, 209; his preparations for battle, 209, 210; his victory, Oct. 21, 1805; his death, 210, 211; the nation's grief; the funeral, 211, 212.
- Nepaul, war with, 1813-1816; its results, vii. 624.
- Nero, attempts of, to reconcile the revolted tribes after the defeat of Boadicea, i. 36.
- Nevill's Cross, battle of, won by queen Philippa, in 1346, and David Bruce taken prisoner, i. 516.
- Newburn, battle of, on Aug. 27, 1640, iii. 381.
- Newbury, battle of, Sept. 19, 1613, iii. 481; second battle of, on Oct. 27, 1644, 496.
- New England, foundation of the colony of, in 1620, by the Pilgrim Fathers, iii. 278, 279.
- Newcastle, duke of, entertains William III. at Welbeck, 1695, v. 19; made lord privy seal, 1705, 169; captain Jenkins reports to him his ill-treatment by the Spaniards, but receives no redress, 471; his jealousy of Walpole, 475; helps his brother, Mr. Pelham, in the administration; his talent for pioneering business, 566; becomes jealous of the duke of Bedford, 581; gets him turned out of the office of secretary of state, 581; becomes first lord of the treasury at his brother's death, 1754; his claims to the post, 588; character of; offers Fox the seals of secretary of state, who refuses them, 588, 589; Pitt's and Fox's opposition; the latter obtains a seat in the Cabinet, 591; becomes terrified at the opposition to the subsidies; applies to Pitt for help, who refuses it, 600; opposing the ministry in a speech in parliament; Pitt is dismissed his office of paymaster, 602; placed at the head of the ministry, 602; Fox resigns his seals, and Newcastle, having no support, vi. 20, 21; also resigns

- his, 21; George again offers him the administration of affairs; refuses to act with Pitt, 26; but ultimately consents; first lord of the treasury, 26; supports Butc, 61; retires from the treasury, June, 1762, 66; becomes privy seal, 1765, 89; secretary of state, 1746-1754; first lord of the treasury, 1754-1756, 139; 1757-1762, 140.
- Newcastle, duke of, his interference with the Newark election complained of, viii. 35; proceedings against, 36; his remarks on the Reform bill, 108.
- Newfoundland ceded to Great Britain, 1713, v. 273.
- Newmarket races held at, *temp.* William III., iv. 421.
- New Orleans, attack on, by the British, 1814, 1815, vii. 381, 382.
- Newspapers; first beginning of the newspaper-press, iv. 452; the newspapers, 1709, 1742, v. 280, 284; eagerness for, 1745, 525; provincial papers badly conducted about 1757, vi. 36; newspapers, 1737-1783, 384; number of American, 1775, and 1810, vii. 366; of London in Crabbe's time; *The Times* first assumed the name, 1788, 502; *The Times* of Nov. 28, 1818, the first sheet of paper printed by steam, 522; increased circulation of, due to the steam-printing machine, 522; violence and ribaldry of, during queen Caroline's trial in 1820, 566; the newspapers of India; their regulation by government, 632; ease of Mr. Buckingham, proprietor of *The Calcutta Journal*, 633; royal ordinance against the liberty of the French press, 1830, viii. 46, 47; the protest of the newspaper conductors, 47; stamp on, reduced, 1836, 193, 212; altered character of, 1842, 330.
- Newton, sir Isaac, as master of the mint he succeeds in establishing an adequate supply of circulating medium, v. 23; statute of, by Roubiliac, 346; declares Wood's Irish coinage satisfactory, 420.
- Newton-Butler, battle of, 1689, iv. 482.
- New York evacuated by the Americans, Sept. 15, 1776, vi. 190.
- Ney, Michael, marshal, serves in Jourdan's army, vii. 49; defeats the Austrians at Elehingen and Guntzburg, 1805, 206; defeated at Dennewitz, 1813, 348; deserts Louis XVIII. for Napoleon, 1815, 392; executed on the return of Louis to power, 416.
- Nicholls, sir George; his letters on the Poor Law reforms at Southwell, vii. 152; appointed a poor law commissioner, 1834, 158; and to initiate the working of the poor law in Ireland, 238, 239.
- Nile, battle of the, Aug. 1, 1798, vii. 101, 102.
- Nimeguen, peace of, Aug. 11, 1678, v. 263; surrendered to the French, 1794, vii. 50.
- Nipchoo, treaty of, ratified June 14, 1728, v. 265.
- Nithisdale, William, earl of, impeached of high treason, 1716; tried and found guilty, v. 381; his wife vainly petitions the king to pardon him; she effects his escape to France, 382, 383.
- Nizam. See Deccan.
- Nollekens, Joseph, his sculpturing, vi. 380; vii. 540.
- Nonconformists, rise and growth of, in the second half of the reign of Elizabeth, iii. 166, *et seq.*; notice of the Marprelate tracts, 168; objections of, to the ceremonies of the church, 168; preaching in private houses prohibited, 169; statutes against Puritans and Popish recusants in 1593, 170; the nonconformist ministers preach resistance, 170; severities directed against the Brownists or Independents, 171; opposition of the nonconformists to the habits and amusements of society, 171; music and dancing held to be corrupting by, 177; offensiveness of Sabbath sports and games to, 178; denunciations of gaming by, 181; aversion of, to stage plays, 182; petition James I. for a conference with the English clergy, which is held at Hampton Court, 245; James insults and decides against them, 245; a new code of canons, tending to exclude them from civil rights, established in Convocation, 247; settlement of New England in 1620 by the Pilgrim Fathers, 279; increase in the numbers of, from disgust at the principles and conduct of James and his courtiers, 393; offence given to, by the proclamation in 1618, for the practice of sports on Sundays, 305; consternation of, at the defeat of the elector palatine and the triumph of Roman Catholicism, in Germany, 314; offence given to by Laud's introduction, in 1628, of new ceremonies into the church service, 343; the religious opinions of, identified with the assertion of civil rights, 345; excessive punishment of the Puritan Prynne, for writing against stage-plays, 353; and of Dr. A. Leighton for writing against prelacy, 355; aversion of the Puritans to all dramatic exhibitions, 356; conviction and excessive punishment of Prynne, Burton and Bastwick, in 1637, for their anti-prelatical writings, 365; triumph of the principles of, on the meeting of the Long Parliament in 1640, 393; great influence of the Puritans at the commencement of the Civil War, 438; the shutting up of the playhouses and discouragement of popular amusements a consequence of, 441; Baxter's statement of the oppressions of the, by the royalists, 466; increased bitterness of feeling between them and the Cavaliers, 476; the Presbyterian Covenant adopted by the synod at Westminster, and by Parliament,

- 484; growing dissensions between Presbyterians and Independents, 527; struggles between, in the House of Commons, 527; power of the Independents in the army, 532; opinions of the Independents, 532; the position of the Presbyterians and Independents compared with the Gironde and Mountain parties of the French revolution, 534; negotiations of the Independents with the king, 541; the king's deceptive policy towards them, 542; Cromwell tries to effect a reconciliation between the Presbyterians and Independents, 558; depression of, after the Restoration, *iv.* 144, *et seq.*; insurrection of the Anabaptists in London in April, 1661, 146; ineffectual conference at the Savoy between the bishops and the Puritan divines, 147; the Corporation Act and Act of Uniformity passed against, 152; Conventicle Act passed against in 1664, 162; the Five-Mile Act, passed against, 171; the penalties of, not enforced against for a short time after the Fire of London, 205; propositions made by, for a union of Protestants, 206; re-elected by parliament, 206; declaration of indulgence by Charles II. in 1672, 220; release of John Bunyan from prison, 220; the indulgence unpopular among, 220; in 1655 the House of Commons prays James II. to put in execution the laws against all dissenters from the Church of England, 302, the principle of resistance not extinguished in, as shown by their joining Monmouth at Taunton, 309; they generally consider the declaration of James II. in 1687, for liberty of conscience, as a snare, 342.
- Nonjurors, origin of the, *iv.* 463; bill against, passed, 467; libellous pamphlets issued by, in 1692, 569.
- Nore, mutiny at the, 1707, *vii.* 82, 83.
- Norfolk, soil of, *vi.* 300, 301; agricultural improvements in, promoted by Coke, 301, 302; a seat of the husbandry implement trade, 304; number of persons to every 100 acres in, in 1841, 322.
- Norman clergy, character of, at the time of William I., *i.* 223, 224; nobles, various characters of, and beneficial exertions of some, 225; barons, description of their modes of life in their castles in the time of Stephen, 280.
- Normandy, marquis of. See Buckingham.
- Norris, sir William, sent to desire of Aurungzebe his favour for the New East India Company, 1698, *v.* 45; mission failed; dies on his way home, 45.
- North, Dudley nominated sheriff by the lord mayor of London in 1682, in order that he might return partisan jurymen, *iv.* 278; is rejected by the Commons Hall, but ultimately sworn in, 279.
- North, Frederick, lord, chancellor of the exchequer, 1767-1782, *vi.* 102, 103, 140, 603; moves the repeal of the American duty on all articles but tea, 129; his proposition carried, 129; nearly demolished by the mob, 1771, 137; his apology for retaining office, 137; first lord of the treasury, 1770-1782, 140, 603; brings in the Boston port bill, 1774, 157; and the Massachusetts bay government regulation bill, 158; his conciliatory measure, 166; his Prohibitory bill, 182; exults at the display of public spirit, 1778; introduces two bills, one on American taxation, the other appointing commissioners to treat with America, 207; fear and astonishment of the House at his submissions and concessions, 208; wishes to resign his premiership on hearing of the peace between France and America, 208; his speech on the address to the king, 218; his amendment on Burke's Economical Reform bill, 225; his consternation and distress at the news of Cornwallis's surrender, 1781, 255; announces the resignation of his premiership, Mar. 20, 1782; the scene in parliament on this occasion, 262; consents to the proposed free trade measures towards Ireland, 272; coalesces with Fox, appointed secretary of state, 1783, 439; ordered to give up his seals by the king, 443; home secretary of state, 1783, *viii.* 639.
- Northampton, battle of, the Lancastrians defeated, 10 July, 1460, *ii.* 117.
- Northamptonshire, the county of, *vi.* 307; low state of farming, 307; farmers and labourers, 308.
- Northmen, or Danes, ravages of, *i.* 95.
- Northumberland, its wretched breed of sheep, and large districts of waste land, 1760-1783, *vi.* 329; unsettled state of its inhabitants long after the Union; agriculture stimulated by the Culleys and others, 329.
- Northumberland, Dudley, duke of. See Warwick, earl of.
- North-West Company for trading in furs; ultimately united with the Hudson's Bay Company, *iv.* 429.
- Norwich Cathedral, foundation of, in 1094, *i.* 286.
- Nottingham, earl of. See Winchelsea.
- Nottinghamshire, the oak of Sherwood forest succeeded by turnip and wheat fields, *vi.* 314; "the cars" reclaimed, 315.
- Novels, careless and inaccurate style of, *viii.* 310; prevalence of; large numbers published in a serial form, 318, 320; changed manner in conducting prose fictions as shown in the works of the novel writers, 318, 322.
- Nugent lord, moves for a list of persons holding crown places and pensions, *vi.* 225; his Irish free trade motions, 272.

Nuncomar, an intriguing Hindoo, executed by the British for forgery, vi. 429, 431.

O.

- OATES, Titus, first revelation of the Popish Plot by, in 1678, iv. 236; is brought before the Council, 237; is examined by the House of Commons, 239; increasing boldness of his accusations, and assertion of the queen having concerted the king's murder, 241; has a pension assigned him by the Commons, 242; fresh convictions on the evidence of, in 1679, 252; payments to, and to other witnesses, 253; is a witness against lord Stafford, who is convicted, in 1684, 268; contradicts Dugdale on the trial of Stephen College, the "Protestant joiner," and his pension is stopped, 275; is prosecuted for a libel on the duke of York in 1683, and 100,000*l.* damages awarded, 290; is convicted of perjury, and sentenced to be imprisoned, pilloried, and whipped, in 1685, 303; released from prison, pardoned, and pensioned, 1689, 494.
- O'Brien, Smith, a member of the Irish Confederation; his share in the insurrection of 1848, viii. 424, 425.
- Occasional Conformity, bill against; its objects; discussion on; rejected, 1702, v. 117, 118; a new bill introduced, 1703; again rejected, 124; thrown out a third time, 1704, 150; its repeal desired by Stanhope, 1718, 401.
- Ochterlony, sir David, compels the Ghorka commander, Ameer Singh, to capitulate, 1815, vii. 624; engages to support the rajah of Bhurtpore, 631.
- O'Coigley, arrested and executed, 1797, for conspiring against government, vii. 110-111.
- O'Connell, Daniel, returned M.P. for Clare, who as a Roman Catholic was disqualified from sitting there, 1828, viii. 26; his great influence over the Irish peasantry, 26, 27; upon taking his seat, 1829, refuses to take the oath of supremacy, claiming that set forth in the Relief act; returned a second time for Clare, and takes his seat, 33; moves an amendment in the king's speech; coarseness of his language; Stanley's reply to; the amendment rejected, 129; again attacked by Mr. Stanley, 134, 135; condemns the appropriation of funds to be sanctioned by the Irish church reform bill, 138; his speech on the repeal of the Union question, 1834, 159; his amendment on the Irish tithe bill, 164; his alleged compact with the Melbourne Whig government, 187; his efforts to bring about a repeal of the Union, 350, 351; arrested for conspiring, 351; tried, 362, 363; found guilty and punished, 363; appeals for a reversal of his sentence, which he obtains; Ireland celebrates his triumph, 373; loss of his demagogic power, 374; his last parliamentary speech; died May 15, 1847, 411.
- O'Connor, Arthur, a member of the Irish Directory; tried for high treason, May, 1797; acquitted, vii. 111.
- O'Connor, Feargus, insists upon parliament's testing the repeal question, 1834, viii. 159 attempts to rouse the people to rebel, 242, 243, 246; heads the chartist movements of 1848, 423.
- Odo, archbishop of Canterbury, revolts against Edwy, and separates him from his wife, i. 158.
- Oglethorpe, general, promotes the state of prisons inquiry, 1729, 1730, v. 436, 437; pursues the retreating army of the rebels, 1745, 540.
- O'Hara, general, commands the Toulon garrison; wounded; taken prisoner, 1793, vii. 22.
- Oldcastle, sir John, condemned as a heretic, ii. 14; respited by the king, and escapes, 14; joins in a conspiracy with the Lollards, and is retaken in 1418, and burnt, 15.
- Oliver, alderman, his share in the arrest of a House of Commons officer, 1771; imprisoned in the Tower, vi. 135-138.
- Oliver the Spy acts as government spy against reformers, 1817, vii. 458, 459.
- Omichund, engages in a conspiracy to depose Surajah Dowlah; Clive's treachery towards, vi. 29, 30; causes him to die an idiot, 31.
- Onslow, sir Richard; chosen speaker of the House of Commons, 1708, v. 210; retires from the speakership; 1761; pensioned, vi. 57.
- Onslow, colonel, his proceedings against printers for publishing parliamentary debates, 1771, vi. 135.
- Opium trade, circumstances connected with the, which led to the war with China, viii. 278, 280.
- Oporto taken possession of by Soult, Mar. 29, 1809, vii. 284.
- Oppian, description of the dogs of Britain by, i. 22.
- Orange, prince of. See William III.
- Orange, prince of, relieves Charleroi, 1794, vii. 48; engaged at Waterloo, vii. 395, 396.
- Orange, princess of, arrested; her cause espoused by the king of Prussia, vi. 456, 457.

- Oregon question, settlement of the, viii. 401, 402.
- Orford, earl of. See Walpole, Robert; Russell, Adm. Edward.
- Orleans, duke of, regent of France; refuses to aid the Pretender against England, 1715, v. 369; desires England to support his claim to the throne, 390, 391; his lenity towards Alberoni, 401; informs the British government of the proposed Jacobite expedition to Scotland, 1719, 402; and the Jacobite plot of 1722, 417; died, 1723, 424.
- Orleans, duke of, banished Paris for taking part in a discussion against the court, 1788, vi. 471, 472; assumes the name of Egallité; votes for Louis XVI.'s death, 568, 569; his defence for so doing, 569; imprisoned at Marseilles, 596; executed, Nov. 1793, vii. 27.
- Orleans, duke of. See Louis XVIII. of France.
- Ormond, marquis of, assembles a force in Ireland in favour of Charles II., iii. 597; besieges Dublin, and is defeated by the garrison, 598; visits London to forward a plot against Cromwell, from whom he receives a hint that he is known, and returns to Bruges, iv. 99; attempt of Blood, Dec. 7, 1670, to seize and hang, 213; the king desires that he should pardon Blood, 214.
- Ormond, duke of, makes, in conjunction with sir George Rooke, an unsuccessful expedition to Cadiz, v. 115, 116; put in command of the British forces, 1712; receives secret instructions from the ministry not to hazard a battle, while there was a chance of negotiating peace; besieges Quesnoy; it surrenders, July 4, 267; proclaims an armistice with France; withdraws his forces from the allied army, 268; his march from Ghent to Bruges, 272; impeached of high treason; flies to France; an act of attainder passed against him, 1715, 364; passes from France into Spain, 401, 402; appointed to command a proposed expedition against England, 402.
- Orrel, captain, his interest at the riots of 1710, v. 227, 228.
- Orrery, earl of, arrested, and sent to the Tower, 1722, for conspiring, v. 418.
- Orthez, battle of, Feb. 27, 1814, vii. 355.
- Osborne, lord S. G., draws attention to the condition of the Dorsetshire labourers, viii. 222, 223.
- Ostorius Scapula, defeat of Caractacus by, i. 31; triumph decreed to, 32; defeat and death of, 33.
- Otahette discovered, 1767; visited by Captain Cook, and Bougainville, vi. 578; dispute between France and England as to the national rights over, viii. 375.
- Oterbourne, or Chevy Chase, battle of, on Aug. 10, 1388, i. 573, 574.
- Otto, M., negotiates the preliminaries of peace, 1801, vii. 163, 164; remonstrates against the newspaper remarks on Bonaparte, 172.
- Oudenarde, battle of, July 11, 1708, v. 205, 207.
- Outram, Major, British resident at Hyderabad; attacked by the Beloochees, viii. 359.
- Oxford, state of, in 1289, i. 449; parliaments held at. See Charles I. and Charles II.
- Oxford university, the members of, preach passive obedience in 1664, iv. 390; Walker, the master of University College, becomes a Roman Catholic, 329; mass celebrated in University College, 332; John Massey installed dean of Christchurch, 331; the king recommends Anthony Farmer, a suspected papist, to be elected president of Magdalen College, 336; the Fellows elect Dr. John Hough, 336; they are cited before the Ecclesiastical Commissioners, and are expelled, 337.
- Oxford, his attempt upon the queen's life; acquitted on the ground of insanity; remarks on this acquittal, viii. 260.
- Oxford, Robert Harley, earl of; a Tory leader; moves for the disbanding of the troops, Dec. 1798, v. 78, 79; chosen speaker by the fifth parliament of William III., 1701, 94; speaker of Anne's first parliament, 1702, 117; he is turned out of the ministry by Marlborough and the Whigs, 1708, 201, 202; has private audiences with the queen; commences a system of political intrigue with her, 208; the impeachment of Sacheverel brings him in opposition with Godolphin, 218; his intrigues are successful; Godolphin is dismissed, and himself is made chancellor of the exchequer, 1710, 235; is seriously opposed by the Tories; stabbed by Guiscard, Mar. 8, 1711, at a privy council sitting, 245, 246; which leads to his being made earl of Oxford, and lord high treasurer, and to the establishment of his ministerial influence, 247, 248; carries on a secret negotiation with France for peace, 252; invites Eugéné to dinner, 266; quarrels with Bolingbroke; he is dismissed from office, 1714, 277; lord Coningsby impeaches him of high treason, 1715; he is committed to the Tower, 364; upon petitioning for release in 1717 he is put upon trial, and acquitted, but not included in the act of grace passed soon after, 395; his national debt proposal, 406.
- Oxfordshire, agricultural condition of, vi. 307.
- Oyster dredging by the ancient Britons, i. 22.

P.

- PAGET**, sir William, appointed by Henry's will one of the 16 counsellors to Edward VI., ii. 520; warns Somerset that the people desire liberty, and urges repressive measures, 541; reproaches Somerset for his too great lenity, 551; despotic principles of, 551; writes to the confederated lords that Somerset is secured, 552; opposes a bill for the punishment of heresy with death, which is thrown out by the peers, 601.
- Paget**, lord. See Anglesea.
- Paine**, Thomas, author of "The Rights of Man," his early career, vi. 515; invites the Parisians to establish a republic, 516; found guilty of libel in publishing his book, 564; a member of the National Convention of France, 564; votes that Louis XVI. be imprisoned and banished, 569.
- Pains and Penalties bill** passed against two Irish priests, 1723, v. 418; and against Atterbury, bishop of Rochester, 418, 419; against Queen Caroline, introduced 1820; examination into the evidence; trial of the Queen; abandonment of the bill, vii. 565, 570.
- Painters in Water Colours, Society of**, started 1805, vii. 548.
- Painting**; celebrated painters in England from Henry VIII.'s time to William III., v. 346; general decline of portrait painting from Lely to Reynolds, by whom it was restored, 347; low state of the art of, *temp.* George II. vi. 366; formation of the English school of, initiated by Hogarth: idea of a royal academy started by Thornhill previous to 1724; revived in 1749; public exhibition of paintings in 1760, and 1761, the first practical embodiment of the idea, 367, 368; exhibition of designs for sign-boards, 369; sign painters, 369, 370; foundation of the Royal Academy, Dec. 10, 1768, 369, 370: the British Institution established, vii. 542; the National and Dulwich galleries, 543; rise of water colour painting; Society of Painters in Water Colours formed 1805, 548.
- Pakenham**, sir Edward, commands the British army in America, 1814, vii. 380; his appearance raises the confidence of the army, 381; his advance upon New Orleans, and struggle with general Jackson; organizes an attack upon the enemy, Jan. 7, 382; in which he is mortally wounded, 382.
- Paley**, his high intellectual position among English churchmen, vii. 513.
- Palliser**, sir Hugh, accuses admiral Keppel of misconduct and incapacity, vi. 214; tried by court-martial; acquitted, 215.
- Palm**, German minister in London; disavows the secret articles of the treaty of Vienna; ordered to leave England, v. 426.
- Palm, J. P.**, executed by the French for publishing a certain book, Aug. 26, 1806, vii. 239.
- Palmer**, Thomas Fyssh, sentenced to transportation for advocating a reform in the representation of the people, vii. 33.
- Palmerston**, lord, secretary at war, 1809, vii. 303; 1820, 552; in the Canning cabinet, 618; joins the Wellington ministry, 1828, viii. 16; opposes lord Russell's motion for the repeal of the Corporation and Test acts, 23; resigns office with Mr. Huskisson and the other Canningites, 25; secretary of state for foreign affairs, 67, 203; advocates reform, 1831, 76; success of his policy towards France, 263; denies having visited Louis Napoleon for two years previous to 1840; his conversation with Guizot on the fleets of England and France, 267; absurdly suspected of being in the pay of Russia, 268; advocates free trade in the debate on the sugar duties, 272.
- Pamplona** surrendered to the British, Oct. 31, 1813, vii. 351.
- Paoli**, the Corsican patriot; defends the island against the French, 1768, vi. 141; becoming an exile, he seeks refuge in England, 141; organizes a revolt against the French, 1794, vii. 51.
- Paris**, entry of the allies and Louis XVIII. into, July, 1815, vii. 409.
- Paris**, peace of, signed Feb. 10, 1763; its terms, vi. 67, 68; treaty of, May 15, 1796, vii. 169; peace of, July 20, 1806, 641; peace of, Jan. 6, 1810, 643; treaty of, ratified by Napoleon and the allies, April 11, 1814, 643; between France and the allies, May 30, 1815, 644, vii. 389; peace of, Nov. 20, 1815, viii. 204.
- Parker**, Hyde, admiral; engages the Dutch off the Dogger Bank, 1781, vi. 245; commands the fleet against the Danes, 1801, vii. 156; allows Nelson to fight and win the naval battle of Copenhagen, 158, 159.
- Parker**, Richard, chosen president of the delegates of the mutineers at the Nore, May, 1797; trial and early career; executed at Sandwich, June 30, vii. 83, 84.
- Parkyns**, sir William, concerned in a conspiracy against William III. 1696, v. 27, *et seq.*; is executed, 32.
- Parliamentary reform**: two attempts at reforming parliament made, iv. 575; Chatham recommends, vi. 122; agitates the public mind, 1780, 222; Pitt's scheme of, proposed, 268; and rejected, 269; his second bill for, produced, 439; rejection of his third bill for, 451; in Ireland, vii. 108, 109; taken up by igno-

- rant and uneducated persons, 448, 449; Cobbett advocates it, 449; the Hampden club reformers, 450, 451; Burdett twice revives the question of, 478, 485; lord Russell becomes a reformer and obtains the disfranchisement of Graunpound, 485; cases of borough corruption, viii. 24; preparation of the Reform bill, 70; lord John Russell introduces the bill before a full house, 71; purport of the bill, 71, 72; its reception by the opposition, 72; sir R. Inglis's reply, 73, 74; debate on the bill, 75, 77; Macaulay's speech, 76; speeches of Palmerston and Peel, 76; defeat of the ministers in committee, 77; introduction of separate reform bills for England, Scotland, and Ireland, 80; Macaulay's speech on the English reform bill, 81; the bill is read a second time and passed into committee; Mr. Croker's steady opposition to it, 82; his fears as to the results of its passing, 82, 83; the bill passes from the Commons to the Lords, 83; the five nights' debate; speeches of earl Grey, 84, 86; of the duke of Wellington, 84, 85; of lords Dudley, 85; Eldon, 83, 86; and Brougham, 86; the bill thrown out by the Lords, 87, 88; resolutions of the Commons, 88; the king's speech again recommends reform; new bill introduced by lord John Russell; alterations contained in it; second reading of bill in Commons; passed by them; introduced into the Lords, 100; scene at the second reading; defeat of the ministers in committee, 101; the king refuses to create peers to insure the passing of the bill; the ministers resign; the Commons implore the king to call another reform ministry, 103; the king consents to the creation of peers; abatement of the opposition of the anti-reform peers, 107; passing of the Reform bills for Great Britain and Ireland, June and July, 1832, 108; changes effected by the working of the reform acts, 109, 119.
- Partition Treaty, negotiations between England and France for a, v. 72; the partition scheme originated with France, 72; the negotiations are carried on, 74; correspondence and proceedings of William in connection with the first, 74, 75; it is signed Oct. 11, 1698, by Portland and sir J. Williamson, 76; stipulations of the first, 76; the second signed in 1700, 77.
- Paston Letters, curious illustrations of the manners of the time, afforded by, ii. 71, 72, 74, 77, 78, 79, 81, 88, 89, 90, 94, 96, 97, 99, 100, 134, 148; instance from, of the endeavour to influence a county election, 113.
- Pastons, defence of Caister Castle by, against the duke of Norfolk, ii. 75.
- Paterson, William, proposes the scheme of a great national bank, 1691, which is carried out by the establishment of the Bank of England, 1694, iv. 590; obtains a 300,000*l.* subscription for forming a company for trading to Africa and the Indies, v. 59, 60; sails with the colony for Darien, July 26, 1698; quarrels with the ship's officers and the council, 63; he is attacked by fever, June, 1699, 66.
- Paul, Lewis, his cotton machine, vi. 345.
- Paul I. of Russia, succeeds Catherine, favours the French interests, vii. 70; joins the coalition against France, 134; won back to the French side, 147; assassinated, 1801, 162; emperor, 1769-1801, 636.
- Paulinus, conversion of Edwin, king of Northumbria, by, i. 89.
- Paul, James, brings charges against the marquis of Wellesley, vii. 217, 218, 227; duel with Burdett; commits suicide, 1807, 227.
- Paulus, the notary, suppresses the revolt of Magnentius, i. 66; despotism of, in Britain, 66; burnt alive by order of the emperor Julian, 66.
- Paving and Lighting Act, passed, 1762, vii. 440.
- Pearls found in Britain, i. 22.
- Pearson, captain, his naval engagement with Paul Jones; defeated, vi. 219.
- Peel, sir Robert, first returned to parliament, 1809; chosen chairman of the currency committee; declares himself open to conviction, vii. 483; modifications of his views regarding the currency question, 483, 484; passing of the act called Peel's act, 483; appointed home secretary, 1822, 576, 598; objects to the extent of Mackintosh's measures for abolishing capital punishment, 598; his high position as an anti-Catholic partisan, 606; debate with Canning, the head of the Catholic party, on the Catholic question, 609, 610; resigns his office on Canning becoming minister, 1827, 610, 611; freedom from acrimony in his opposition to Canning, 612; his testimony in favour of Canning's political integrity, 614; his share in the negotiations for the premiership, 619; home secretary in the Wellington administration, viii. 16; consulted by Wellington in the formation of the new cabinet, 17; gradual advocacy of liberal principles, 17; adoption of his motion for inquiry into the public income and expenditure, and the state of the metropolitan police, 22; proposes a compromise of the bill for repealing the test and corporation acts, 23; refuses to acquiesce in the government's bringing forward the relief bill, 28; consents to continue in office on Wellington earnestly soliciting him to do so, 28, 29; vacates his seat for Oxford, 29; fails to be re-elected; but obtains the seat for Westbury, 30; speech explanatory of the bill for the relief of Roman Catholics, 31; solemnly affirms that his conduct with respect to this question was regulated by honest motives, 33; compels Mr. Brougham to apologise for his strong language

- against the Wellington ministry, 40, 41; his retirement from office, 1830, 61; his emotion at the introduction of the Reform bill, March, 1831, 72; his reply to lord Palmerston's speech, 76; refuses to advocate reform upon any terms, 1832, 106; his declaration as to reform, 1833, 130; speech of, supporting government in the Irish Coercion bill, 135; Cobbett's motion to have him dismissed the privy council, 136; his narrative of his appointment to the premiership, 167, 168; first lord of the treasury and chancellor of the exchequer, 1834, 170; formation of his ministry, 170, 171; list of his cabinet; his Tamworth manifesto, 171; general principles of his government, 173; ministerial defeats, 173, 175; supports the amendment on the motion for a London university charter, 174; speech of, on explaining the cause of his resignation, 175; acts the part of moderator in the dispute between the two houses, 183; eulogises William IV., 199; his solicitude as to the condition of the labouring classes, 218; fails in forming a new ministry on account of the Queen's refusing to dismiss the ladies of the bed-chamber, 250, 251; speaks against free-trade principles, 272; moves that the ministry have not the confidence of the House of Commons, 274; his speech on the address, 275; difficulties of his position, 276; proclaims the necessity of the war with China, 282; supports Macaulay's amendments to the copyright bill, 305; his reserve as to his policy, and of the intended course to be pursued in the corn-law question, 337, 338; his opinions on this question, 340, 341; introduces a bill for better protecting the queen's person, 343; his debate with lord Palmerston as to his policy towards France, 344; considers the Ashburton treaty decisive of the boundary question, 345; resolves to maintain the union with Ireland, 349; his speech on the state of Ireland, 362; considers the prosperous state of the country a justification of his financial policy of 1842, 363, 364; advocates protection to agriculture, 364; his position reviewed, 364, 365; his bank act passed, 365; its advantages and disadvantages, 366; objects to lord Ashley's ten-hour clause in sir J. Graham's bill for regulating factory labour, 366, 367; conduct of, in the sugar-duty debate; Mr. Disraeli's hostility towards, 369; remarks of, on the Tahiti affair, 375; his financial statement for 1845, 379, 380; opposition to his proposals, 381; again attacked by Mr. Disraeli, 382, 383; introduces the government plans for a grant to Maynooth college, 383; denunciations poured upon him, 384, 385; his measure carried, 385; Tory bitterness towards, 385; apprehends a failure of the potato crop, 386; proposes a modification of the corn-laws, 387; dissensions in his cabinet as to suspending the corn-laws, 388, 389; he resigns his premiership, 390; refuses to pledge himself to support the repeal of the corn-laws, 390, 391; his parting interview with the queen, 391; reassumes his office, 391, 392; the Duke of Wellington's admiration of his courage, 392; ministerial explanation of; determines to hold his power unshackled, 394; again attacked by Mr. Disraeli, 394; his new tariff and corn-law bill, 395, 396; debate on his plans, 396; his speech in support of his measures, 396, 397; adoption of his bills, 398, 399; the bill for the protection of life in Ireland, rejected; violent attack on, by lord Bentinck; defended by Mr. Cobden, 399, 400; vindicates his own conduct; resigns office, 399; opposed to limiting the hours of factory labour, 414.
- Peagee bill introduced; rejected, 1719, v. 404, 405.
- Pelham, Henry, speaks against the motion for repealing the Septennial act, 1734, v. 450; appointed first lord of the treasury, Aug. 1743, 492, 439; succeeds Walpole in his command of the House of Commons, 493; turned out of office for 48 hours; conducts the financial part of the administration, 565, 566; regarded the peace of Aix-la-Chapelle as a necessity; his despondency at the weakness of the nation, 572; proposes the reduction of the national debt interest, 573; death of, March 6, 1754, 588; first lord of the treasury and chancellor of the exchequer, 1743-1754, vi. 139.
- Pellegrini, Antonio, a ceiling painter, v. 340.
- Peltier, trial of, for libelling Bonaparte, 1803, vii. 178; Mackintosh's speech in defence of, 178, 179.
- Pembroke, William, earl of, one of the leaders of the barons who obtained Magna Charta, i. 382; is appointed regent to Henry III. in 1217, 394; succeeds in expelling the French under Louis, 394; death of, in 1219, 394.
- Pembroke, Thomas Herbert, earl of, represents England at the conferences at Ryswick, 1697, v. 39.
- Pendergrass. See Portland, earl of, v. 29.
- Penn, Richard, governor of Pennsylvania; entrusted with the presentation of a petition to the king, 1775, vi. 180; examined in the House of Lords, 181.
- Penn, William, arrested on March 5, 1682, with Mead, for attending a Quaker's meeting, iv. 211; behaviour of Jeffreys, 211; is acquitted, 211; obtains a grant of land in America in lieu of money owing to him by the crown, 292; resolves to found a colony for the Quakers there out of the reach of penal laws, 293; obtains a charter from the king, who names the settlement Pennsylvania, 293;

- with the assistance of Algernon Sidney, frames a constitution for it, 293; lands at Newcastle on the Delaware, Oct. 27, 1682, 293; founds Philadelphia, and enters into a treaty with the Indians, 294; influence of, in procuring the release from prison of 1400 Quakers on the accession of James I., 298.
- Penny Magazine started, 1832; large circulation of; proof of the increased desire for knowledge, viii. 120, 121.
- Penny Postage. See Post.
- People, history of the, desirableness of, i. preface, vii.
- Perceval, Spencer, opens the case for the crown in the trial of Peltier, 1803, vii. 178; opposes the bill for promoting the enlistment of Roman Catholics, 237; chancellor of the exchequer, 1807, vii. 248; moves that the duke of York is innocent of the charge brought against him, 282; premier, 1809; constitution of his ministry, 303; his ministry retained on the prince of Wales becoming Regent, Feb. 1811, 314; assassinated in the lobby of the House of Commons by Bellingham, May 11, 1812, 321.
- Perron commands the Scindia's army, vii. 219; defeated by general Lake; puts himself under British protection, 221.
- Persigny, M. de, aids Louis Napoleon in his enterprise at Strasbourg, 1837; effects his escape, viii. 197.
- Perth, duke of. See Drummond, James.
- Pestilence in 1479, notice of, ii. 154.
- Peter the Great, czar of Muscovy, visits England in 1698; Evelyn's house is hired for him; he engages himself as a ship carpenter; description of, v. 54; career of, from 1698 to 1709, 214; when his empire is invaded by Charles XII. of Sweden, whom he defeats at Pultowa, July 8, 1709, 215; enters Mecklenberg and threatens Denmark, 1716, 392.
- Peter the Hermit, first preaching of the Crusade by, i. 254; first crusade led by, 256; rout of, 257.
- Peterborough, Charles Mordaunt, earl of, impeached 1689, iv. 497; his early career, v. 153; and character, 154; he is sent on an expedition to Spain, 154; opposes the prince of Darmstadt's and the archduke Charles' plan of besieging Barcelona; but finally agrees to it, 155, 156; quarrels with the prince of Darmstadt, 156; attacks Montjuich; his men are repulsed, he rallies them, and finally reduces the post; Barcelona soon after surrenders, 1702, 156, 157; raises the siege of San Mateo; pursues Las Torres and his army; commences operations for the defence of Valencia, 158, 159; removes the besieging army under Arcos out of his path, and obtains admission into Valencia by a stratagem, 1706, 159; defeats Philip's troops, 160; relieves Barcelona, 160; goes to Madrid; his gaiety of temper as shown by a letter, 161; his disgust at Charles; leaves him; returns to Spain, 1707; recalled by government, 162; his subsequent occupation, 163; receives the thanks of parliament for his services in Spain, 241.
- Peters, Hugh, idea afforded by, of the havoc of the Civil War, iii. 511; execution of, as a regicide, iv. 142.
- Petion, Villeneuve, sent by the National Assembly to conduct Louis XVI. back to France, 1791, vi. 515; chosen mayor of Paris, 518; his conduct in the insurrection of June 20, 1792, 535; popularity of, 536; heads a deputation demanding the king's deposition, 538.
- Petition of Right passed in 1628, iii. 388.
- Petticoat costume, 18th century, v. 300.
- Petty, lord Henry. See Lansdown, lord.
- Phigaleian marbles, viii. 542.
- Philadelphia occupied by the British, 1777, vi. 199.
- Philip of Spain contracted in marriage to queen Mary, ii. 586; marriage of, to Mary, 602; bribery of the English parliament by, 604; leaves England, 627; continued interference of, in its affairs, 628; return of, to England, 632; war of, with the Pope and France, 633; engages England to join in the war against France, 634; quits England, 634; his ambassador's account of Elizabeth's character, 640; proposes himself as a husband to Elizabeth, iii. 14; efforts of, to suppress Protestantism in the Netherlands, 83; cruelties of the duke of Alva in carrying his desires into effect, 84; intrigues of, against Elizabeth, 85; schemes of, for invading England, 101; his fleet in Cadiz destroyed by Drake, 138; fresh preparations for the invasion, 140; the Armada sets sail from the Tagus, May 28, 1588, 148; ill-success and defeat of the Armada, 153. *et seq.*: fresh preparations made by, 162; Corunna and Vigo taken and burnt by the English fleet, 163; intrigues of, in Scotland against England, 191; endeavours to have Elizabeth poisoned, 191; death of, in 1598, 207.
- Philip V. of Spain succeeds to the throne, 1700, v. 93; enters Catalonia, 1706; besieges Charles in Barcelona, 159, 160; Peterborough compels him to raise the siege; retires to Madrid; but is driven hence by the allies, 161; the national enthusiasm rises in his favour, 162; the duke of Berwick compels the allies to evacuate Madrid, 162; re-seated on his throne, 1707, 197; he is opposed by

- Charles and assisted by Staremberg and Stanhope, 1710; is defeated at Almenara and Saragossa, 229; the duke of Vendôme takes command of his army and retrieves his cause, and establishes his family on the throne, 240; stipulations granted to, by the treaty of Utrecht, 273; the French invade his territory; they compel him to dismiss his minister, Alberoni; accedes to the Quadruple Alliance, Jan. 1720, 403, 404; succeeded by Ferdinand VI. 1746, 600.
- Philippa, general commands the French at the siege of Badajoz, 1812; his defence, vii. 331; capitulates to the British, 332.
- Phillips, sir Thomas, aids in quelling the Frost insurrection at Newport, viii. 254.
- Philpot, the martyr and persecutor, ii. 619.
- Physicians' College, founded in 1518, ii. 516.
- Pichegru, Charles, defeated by the duke of York, May, 1794, vii. 48; compels the British under Dundas to abandon Holland, 49-50; suppresses an insurrection in Paris, April 1, 1795, 58; banished France, 1797, 87; implicated in a conspiracy against Bonaparte, 1804; he is found strangled in prison, 193.
- Pleton, sir Thomas, takes part in capturing Ciudad Rodrigo, 1812, vii. 329, 332; his arrival at Quatre Bras interferes with Ney's movements, 395; slain at the battle of Waterloo, June 18, 1815, 402.
- Picts and Scots, irruption of, into Britain, i. 67; opinions of Dr. Lingard and Dr. Lappenberg that they were the same people, 67; driven back by Theodosius, 67.
- Piedmont, annexed to France, Sept. 1802, vii. 174.
- Pierson, major, defeats the French under Rullecourt on Jersey Island, 1781; killed, vi. 243.
- Pilgrimages to holy places, popularity of, in the 15th century, li. 97; to shrines, prevalence of, *temp.* Henry VII., 233; Erasmus' account of his pilgrimages to Walsingham and Canterbury, ii. 234.
- Pilgrim Fathers, settlement of, in Massachusetts, in 1620, iii. 280.
- Pilnitz, declaration of, vi. 519, 520; vii. 169.
- Pindarees, war with the, 1817-1818, vii. 625, 626; results in the breaking up of the Mahratta confederacy, which had aided the Pindarees, 626.
- Pinkie, battle of, Oct. 10, 1547, ii. 527.
- Pitt. See Chatham.
- Pitt, William, unsuccessful candidaté as M. P. for Cambridge University; returned for Appleby, 1780; first speech in support of Burke's Civil List Bill; Wilberforce's prophecy of, vi. 241, 242; his hostile speech towards the ministry, 259, 260; his motion for the Reform of Parliament proposed, 1782, 268; rejected, 269; becomes chancellor of the exchequer, 280, 603; commencement of the party conflict between Fox and, 290; his argument in favour of a commercial treaty with France, 357; introduces his second reform bill; it is rejected; as also another bill for preventing abuses in public offices, 440; appointed first lord of the treasury and chancellor of the exchequer, Dec. 19, 1783, 444; his anxiety and disinterestedness, 444, 445; his India bill thrown out; his struggle against a majority in the Commons, 446; addresses to the king for the removal of the Pitt ministry; his popularity; and final triumph, 447; general character of; re-elected for the University of Cambridge, 448; squibs against, 449, 450; considered a safer minister than Fox, 449; his financial measures, 449; introduces his bill for promoting commerce between Great Britain and Ireland; withdrawn; his reform bill is rejected a third time, 451; his scheme of the sinking fund; its futility, 452; his speech in favour of the commercial treaty with France, 453, 454; consolidates the taxes; Burke's tribute of thanks to; Pitt's increase of popularity, 455; endeavours to restrict the prince of Wales' power as regent, 460, 461; the king's gratitude to, for his conduct during his illness, 461; his popularity still further increased, 462; hopes for an improved state of France; visits France, 1783, 463, 464; his spirited conduct averts the war with Spain, 498; his calmness and confidence in the stability of the British power, 519; keeps aloof from the declaration of Pillnitz, 520; displays the flourishing condition of Great Britain, 524; his eloquent speech in favour of abolishing the slave trade immediately, 525; supports Fox's libel bill, 526; attempts made to bring about a coalition between Fox and Pitt, 526; his opinions on parliamentary reform, 527, 528; lord warden of the Cinque Ports, 552; his strong desire to remain neutral with France, 553; his opinion of the French proceedings against Louis XVI., 559; his interview with lord Loughborough; is induced by him to favour a war policy, 561; his address to the king on the execution of Louis XVI., 570; his Indian declaratory bill, passed 1788, 573; defends his abandonment of the cause of parliamentary reform, 583; opinions of, on the French war, 585, 586; measures adopted by, towards France, 586, 587; they are opposed by Burke, 586, 587; Fox 587, 588; Sheridan, Grey, and Erskine, 588; points out the difficulty of conducting a negotiation with the French, 595; Fox lays the blame of the war miscarriages in the campaign of 1793 upon, vii. 15; Canning becomes his supporter, 53; opposition of Wilberforce to the address, 1794, 55; brings before the House of

- Commons a seditious meetings bill, 1795, 61 ; tenour of the bill ; disputes with Fox ; the bill is passed, 62 ; in consequence of a heavy pressure on the Bank of England he is unable to obtain financial assistance, 1797, 73 ; his difficulty got over, 73, 74 ; introduces bills for suppressing mutiny in the navy, 1797 ; the mutiny at the Nore is thereby quelled, 83 ; advocates peace with France, 84 ; proposes an income tax ; his estimate of its amount, Dec. 3, 1798, 106 ; the measure passed, 106 ; anxious to promote the relief of the Irish Catholics, 118, 119 ; his resolutions on the Union agreed to, 121, 124 ; endeavours to persuade the king not to openly oppose the Catholic emancipation question, 152 ; the king refusing to do so, Mr. Pitt resigns, March 14, 1801, 154, 155 ; the king's insanity imputed to the hasty resignation of, 155, 156 ; negotiations for his return to power, 1803 ; stipulates for more than Addington will grant, 182 ; his speech advocating war with France, 183, 184 ; commands the volunteers at Walmer, 188, 189 ; presses for an administration on a broad basis ; obtains the premiership on certain prescribed conditions, 191, 192 ; his government opposed by the Addington, Grenville, and Fox parties, 196 ; effects a reconciliation with Mr. Addington, 199 ; carries the question of war against Spain, 199 ; his mortification on the charges of corruption being brought against lord Melville ; further increased on the passing of the vote of censure, 201 ; effect of the news of Mack's surrender at Ulm on, 207 ; his feelings on hearing of the battle of Trafalgar and death of Nelson, 211 ; Napoleon's triumph at Austerlitz completes the ruin of his health, 213 ; last days of Pitt ; story of the desertion of his death bed, 214 ; died January 23, 1806, 215, 216 ; public honours conferred on, 228.
- Pius VI., feebleness of his government, vii. 64 ; buys Napoleon off from invading his territories, 65.
- Pius VII., compelled to officiate at Napoleon's coronation, 1804, vii. 64 ; imprisoned Savona, 314 ; released, 353.
- Plague, the great, of 1665, first appearance of, iv. 165 ; the condition of London, such as to promote it, 166 ; Defoe's narrative of it in London, 166, *et seq.* ; superstitious fears of the people respecting it, 167 ; notices of, by Pepys and others, 168, 169.
- Plassey, battle of, June 23, 1757, vi. 30, 31.
- Platiere, R. de. See Roland.
- Plattsburg, the British fail to take, 1814, vii. 379.
- Plautius, sent from Gaul by Claudius to invade Britain, i. 28, 29 ; attacks Togodumnus and Caractacus with indifferent success, 29 ; applies for succour to Claudius, 29 ; triumph bestowed on, 29.
- Plentheim. See Blenheim.
- Plymouth, not a great naval station at the end of the 17th century, iv. 393 ; its prosperity caused by the erection of the breakwater by Telford, 394.
- Pocock, sir George, admiral ; reduces Havannah in conjunction with the earl of Albemarle, vi. 66, 67.
- Poitiers, battle of, won by the Black Prince, 19 Sept. 1356, i. 527.
- Poland, incorporated with Russia, viii. 205.
- Pole, cardinal Reginald, publishes a book against the divorce of Henry VIII., ii. 435 ; his family in England are arrested, in 1539, and his mother, the aged countess of Salisbury, executed, in 1541, 436 ; he arrives in England, in 1554, as legate, 605 ; the parliament submits to the pope, and he absolves the realm, 606 ; moderation of, during the Marian persecution, 610 ; success of his efforts towards a reconciliation defeated by the zealotry of pope Paul IV., 629 ; is made archbishop of Canterbury, 632 ; his proceedings against the bodies of dead heretics, 632 ; his death, 640.
- Police, state of London, 18th century, vi. 420, 421 ; inquiry into the state of the, made 1816, 1817, 438, 439 ; the new Metropolitan formed, 1829, viii. 22.
- Pollnac, prince Jules de, president of the French council, 1829, viii. 43 ; his ministry sends out an expedition against Algiers, 44 ; informs the king of the unsettled state of the kingdom, 45 ; refuses to recall the royal ordinances ; the king is compelled to dismiss the ministry of, 50.
- Political Union, extensive formation of, 18th, viii. 101.
- Pollock, gen., his Indian campaign, 1842, vii. 297, 299.
- Pondicherry, siege of, raised by the English, v. 593 ; surrenders to the English, vi. 60 ; taken by sir John Braithwaite, 576.
- Poniatowski, prince, heads the Poles in their claim for independence ; leaves Poland, vii. 51.
- Ponsonby, G., proposes several reform measures, vii. 111 ; moves an amendment in the address claiming independence for Ireland, 120 ; his sorrow at Perceval's death, 321 ; a lord of the treasury, viii. 67.
- Ponte-corvo, prince of. See Charles XIV. of Sweden.
- Poor, condition of the Anglo-Saxon, i. 107 ; progress of legislation for the relief of, iii. 195 ; statute passed for, 39 Eliz., the foundation of the English Poor Law, 196.

- Poor Laws administration of 1816, vii. 443, 444; the poor law amendment bill brought in by lord Althorp, April 17, 1834, viii. 150; the report of the poor law commissioners, 151; debates on, opposition to, and passing of, the bill, 157, 158; it receives the royal assent, Aug. 14; first report of the commissioners, 158; introduction of the poor laws into Ireland proposed, 1837, 198; working of the new poor law, 222, 224, 242, 243; introduction of the, into Ireland by Mr. Nicholls, 239.
- Pope Alexander, essays by, in the "Spectator" and "Guardian," v. 293; sketch of his life, 296; and traits of his character, 296, 297; his "Rape of the Lock," 303, 305; highly remunerated for his translations of the Iliad and Odyssey, 318; his essay on criticism and attack on Dennis, 320; his satire upon antiquaries, 321; the Dunciad, 322, 323; vi. 386; remarks on the commentators, public schools, v. 323, 324; the universities and the traveller, 324; entomologists and florists, 325; condemns Vanbrugh's architecture, 341; his libel on lord Harvey, 440; died 1744, vi. 386.
- Popham, sir Home, captures Buenos Ayres, vii. 241, 242.
- Popish Plot of 1668. See Charles II., and Oates, Titus.
- Population of England, at the end of the third century, a very mixed one, i. 54; introduction of large bodies of Germans, Burgundians, and Vandals, by the Roman emperors, 54; mixed character of, at the departure of the Romans, 71; cowardice and effeminacy of, as described by Gildas, not credible, 72.
- Popular amusements and games, ii. 223, 224, 243-247, 510, 512; iii. 42, 172-181; iv. 147.
- Popular sports, *temp.* Richard I., 362, 364.
- Port. See Wine.
- Porteous, John, captain of the Edinburgh city guard; fires on a mob, killing several persons; convicted of murder; sentenced to death; reprieved, v. 456, 457; murdered by the mob, 458.
- Porteous riots in Edinburgh v. 454, 460.
- Porter, George, his share in the assassination plot. 1696, v. 27, 28; gives evidence against Fenwick, 37.
- Portland, William Bentinck, earl of; example of his integrity, v. 15; accompanies William to the siege of Namur, 1695, 17; summons Boufflers to surrender the place, 17; William orders a grant of an estate to him, which is recalled, on the Commons showing it to be unconstitutional, 23, 24; is informed of the assassination plot by Fisher, Pendergrass, 29; and De la Rue, 30; arranges with marshal Boufflers the preliminaries of the peace of Ryswick, 1697, 39; is sent on a mission to France by William, 1698, 50; description of his *entrée* and private audience, 51; his reception by Louis and the French court, 51; correspondence of, in connection with the first Partition Treaty, 74; signed by him as commissioner, Oct. 11, 76; jealousy of, towards Albemarle; he retires from court and gives up all his offices; but is persuaded by William to continue the negotiations for the second Partition Treaty, 85; he is impeached by the Commons for his concern in the Partition Treaties, 97; attends William on his death bed, 107, 108.
- Portland, duke of, appointed viceroy of Ireland, 1782, vi. 275; first lord of the treasury, 1783, vii. 439, 639; supports the Alien bill, 560; opposes lord Loughborough being chancellor; after considerable hesitation gives his adherence to the war party, 560, 561; appointed third secretary of state, 1794, vii. 53; requested to aid the bribery for accomplishing the Irish Union, 122, 123; nominal head of the administration, 1807; resigns the premiership, 1809; died October 29, 1809, 248, 249; home secretary of state, 1794-1801, 639, 640.
- Portmore, lord, governor of Gibraltar; hastens to defend it in his 80th year, v. 427.
- Porto Bello, taken by admiral Vernon, v. 475.
- Porto Carero, cardinal, his practisings on Charles II. of Spain, to induce him to give the Spanish inheritance to France, v. 92; his purpose accomplished; chief of the Spanish council, 92, 93.
- Portugal, prince regent of. See John of Portugal.
- Post, insecurity and tardiness of the, 18th century, vi. 393, 394; introduction of mail coaches, 1784, 394; rapid increase and celerity of communication by, viii. 212, 213; insufficiency of postal accommodation, 212, 213; public opinion on Rowland Hill's penny postage plan, 255, 256; the system is tried, found successful, and finally adopted, 256, 257; variety of stamps in use, 257.
- Pottinger, sir Henry, the British plenipotentiary at Canton; his proceedings as such, viii. 284, 285; concludes the treaty of Nanking, 286.
- Pounds, John, his benevolent career; died 1839, viii. 224.
- Power loom, invented by Dr. Cartwright, vi. 353.
- Pragmatic Sanction published by Charles VI., April, 17, 1713, v. 264.
- Prague, peace of, May 30, 1625, v. 262; taken by the elector of Bavaria, Nov. 25, 1741, 487; besieged by Frederick of Prussia, 1758, unavailingly, vi. 34, 35.

- Pratt, sir C. See Camden, Lord.
- Presburg, peace of, signed, Dec. 26, 1805, vii. 213.
- Presbyterians. See Nonconformists.
- Press, the. See Newspapers.
- Preston, lord, plots against William; tried; and convicted, iv. 531; implicates several eminent persons with being concerned in the plot, 533.
- Preston, captain, tried for abetting the murder of a mulatto; defended by John Adams; found "not guilty," vi. 130, 131.
- Preston-Pans, battle of, Sept. 1745, v. 512, 514.
- Prevost, sir George, governor-in-chief of the British North American provinces, vii. 369; his failure in an attack on Plattsburg; outcry raised against him; resigns; demands a court martial, but dies before it commences, 379.
- Priestley, Dr. Joseph, accused of being opposed to the church of England, vi. 507; becomes a Unitarian minister at Birmingham, 1780, 507; his character, 507; his "Familiar Letters to the Inhabitants of Birmingham," published, 1791; the riots of 1791; the rioters burn his chapel, house, and books, and compel him to flee the town, 508.
- Printing, begun in England by Caxton about 1474, ii. 100.
- Printing and the importation of books, encouragement afforded to by Richard III., ii. 182, 183.
- Prior, Matthew, accompanies St. John, viscount Bolingbroke, on his embassy to France, 1712, v. 272; excluded from the act of grace, 1717, 395.
- Prisons. See Gaols.
- Pritchard, British consul at Tahiti; the French outrage on that island compels him to quit it, viii. 374, 375.
- Privateering, prevalence of, 1781; abolition of, recommended, 1856, vi. 244, 245.
- Probus, emperor, sends Burgundians and Vandals into Britain, i. 54.
- Prohibitory bill passed, vi. 182.
- Prome occupied by the British, April, 1825, vii. 630.
- Property qualification bill, passed 1711, v. 245.
- Provera marches to relieve Mantua; surrenders himself and army to Bonaparte, Jan. 16, 1797, vii. 85, 86.
- Provisions, prices of, in the reigns of Henry III. and Edward I., i. 409; prices of, in 1495 and 1497, ii. 240; proclamation by the Protector Somerset against the unreasonable prices of, 511; scarcity of, and fluctuations in the prices of, in 1573, 1576, 1587, and 1596, iii. 205.
- Prynne, William, excessive punishment of, in 1633, for publishing the "Histriomastix" iii. 353; renewed severe sentence on, in 1637, for anti-prelatical writing, 365; is released with Burton and Bastwick, on the meeting of the Long Parliament in 1640, 389; triumphant entry of, into London, 389; prosecution of Land by, in 1645, iii. 497.
- Ptolemy, list of towns in Britain by, i. 56.
- Public health, state of the, more especially that of the labouring classes, viii. 214, 215; causes of the bad, 215, 216; arrangements for bettering the, 217, 218; general and local boards of health established; public health act passed, 427.
- Pulteney, William, opposes Walpole; his name struck off the Privy Council list, v. 440; his spiteful quarrel with Walpole; and duel with lord Hervey; opposes the bill for propo-ing standing armies, 441; and the resolution to publish the parliamentary debates, 442; shows the fallacy of the arguments in favour of reimposing the duty on salt, 442; argues that it is a step towards a general excise, 442; favours the motion for repealing the Septennial act, 448; opposes the Gin act, 1736, 453; created earl of Bath, 484.
- Pultowa, invested by the Swedish, v. 215; battle of, July 8, 1709, 215.
- Purchase, George, charged with high treason for taking part in a riot, 1710, v. 227; tried, convicted, pardoned, 227; his share in the riot, 229, 230.
- Puritans. See Nonconformists.
- Putnam, Israel, a farmer and tavern-keeper; heads his neighbours in the revolution; becomes a general, vi. 174; commands the Americans at the battle of Brooklyn, 1776, 190.
- Pym, John, joins in the protestation of the House of Commons in 1621, against the king's interference with their liberty of speech, iii. 320; assists in the debate on the Petition of Right, in 1628, 337; prophetic threat of, to Wentworth, on his becoming minister to Charles I., 350; speech of, impeaching Strafford, 391; conducts the proceedings on the trial of Strafford, 396; moves in the Commons, that the form be changed to a bill of attainder, 397; produces the notes of the Privy Council furnished by Henry Vane, against Strafford, 398; his vehement reply to Strafford's defence, 401; attempted to be seized by the king, on Jan. 3, 1642, 425; addresses the Londoners, iii. 466; death of, Dec. 8th, 1643, 488; buried in Westminster Abbey, 488.
- Pyrenees, treaty of the, Nov. 7, 1659, v. 262; battles of the, 1813, vii. 297, 299; view from the, at sunrise, described, 350.

Q.

- QUADRUPLE** alliance signed Aug. 2. 1718; joined by the duke of Savoy and Spain, v. 264.
- Quakers**, interview between George Fox and Cromwell, iv. 74; case of James Naylor, a Quaker, 88; George Fox lays before Cromwell the sufferings of the, 102; proclamation against holding conventicles, and passive resistance offered to, 146; stubborn resistance of, to the provisions of the Conventicle act in 1664, 162; Penn and Mead arrested and tried for attending a Conventicle, 210; they are acquitted, and Jeffreys fines and imprisons the jury, who are liberated by the judges of the Common Pleas, 211; founding of Pennsylvania by Penn in 1682, 292; fourteen hundred released from prison on the accession of James II., 298; exempted from the Toleration act on certain terms, iv. 463; bill for the relief of, passed, 1722, v. 416; endeavour to obtain the abolition of the slave trade, vii. 232.
- Quarterly Review** started, 1809, in opposition to the Edinburgh Review; its editor, partisans, and criticism, vii. 517, 518.
- Quebec**. See Wolfe, vi. 45, 46; capitulates to the English, Sept. 18, 1759, 47; besieged by the Americans, 1760, 49; blockaded by them, 1775, 183.
- Queensbury**, dnke of, character and amusements of the, vi. 403-404.
- Queensbury**, James Douglas, duke of high commissioner to queen Anne; quells the riots made in opposition to the union, 1706, v. 191; he is threatened with assassination, 191; induces Ker to try to thwart the Cameronian schemes, 192; he is advised of the proceedings of the Jacobite plotters by John Ker, 202.
- Quesnoy**, surrendered to Ormond and Eugene, July 4, 1712, v. 268; taken by the Austrians, 1793, vii. 14.
- Quiberon Bay**. See Hawke, vi. 48.
- Quiberon**, the British expedition to, 1795, vii. 57.

R.

- RADSTADT**, peace of, Mar. 6, 1714, v. 264; congress at, 1797-1799, vii. 133, 134; 170.
- Raeburn**, his portrait painting, vii. 545.
- Raffles**, sir Stamford, lieutenant-governor of Java, vii. 622; established a factory in Singapore, 1819, 627.
- Raikes**, Robert, ordered to attend the bar of the House of Commons for printing the debates, 1729, v. 441; Sunday schools originated by, 1781, vi. 424; changes in English society noticed by, viii. 227, 228.
- Rajah of Nagpoor**. See Bhoonsla.
- Rajah Sahib**, his contests with Clive; put to death by the Mahrattas, v. 595, 596.
- Raleigh**, sir Walter, failure of his first attempt to found a colony in North America, iii. 144; commands the land forces at Plymouth, on the approach of the Armada, 152; goes on board the fleet, 153; assists Essex in his attack on Cadiz in 1596, 192; commands another expedition with Essex, with whom he disagrees, 193; is deprived of his offices by James I., 242; indicated in 1603, for projecting to dispossess James in favour of Arabella Stuart, 242; brutality of Coke, and calm bearing of Raleigh, during the trial, 243; he is convicted, reprieved, and confined in the Tower, 244; his employments during his confinement, 244, 308; opposition of, to the treaty with Spain in 1604, 250; is released from the Tower in 1616, 308; undertakes an expedition to Guiana, to discover a gold mine, 309; is attacked, driven back, and returns to England, 311; arrested at Plymouth, 311; and executed under his former sentence on Oct. 29, 1618, 312; popular indignation occasioned by his execution, 313.
- Ramilles**, battle of, May 23, 1706, v. 173, 174.
- Ranelagh gardens**, its company and amusements, vi. 398, 399.
- Rangoon**, taken by the British, 1823; defended by sir A. Campbell, vii. 629.
- Reciprocity of Duties bill** passed, July 4, 1823, vii. 592.
- Re-coinage bill** passed, 1695, v. 23.
- Recognition act** passed, 1690, iv. 506.
- Reform**, Irish church. See Church, viii. 137, 138; 160, 161; 174.
- Reform**, law. See Brougham, viii. 21, 22.
- Reform**, parliamentary. See Parliamentary Reform.
- Reformation**, commencement of, in England, in 1531, ii. 332; Henry VIII. obtains from Convocation a recognition of his being supreme head of the church in England, "as far as the law of Christ will allow," 333; payment of annates to the see of Rome abolished in 1533, 335; statute forbidding appeals to Rome passed, 349; act of supremacy passed, 358; visitation of the monasteries in 1535, and statute for their dissolution, 367; English bibles ordered to be set up in churches in 1538, 417; act of 1539 passed for the dissolution of abbeys, 419-428; exposure of impostures connected with images and relics, 420; destruction of the religious houses, 424; act passed in 1539 for enabling the king to make

- bishops, 429; projected applications of the monastic revenues, and their non-fulfilment, 430; the intolerant statute of the Six Articles passed, 431; reformers executed or driven out of the country by this act, 433; continued burnings for heresies in England, under the Six Articles act, 460, 461; Anne Askew and others burnt, 462; beneficial effect of the act of 1548, allowing of the marriage of priests, 507; various forms of church service before the establishment of the Book of Common Prayer in 1548, 509; discussions regarding the keeping of holidays, 509; the reading of the Bible forbidden "to the lower sort," 511; progress of, under Edward VI., 527; the Paraphrase of the New Testament published in English, and the Book of Homilies, 528; ecclesiastical visitation to inquire as to the existence of Roman Catholic abuses, and the reading of the Bible in English in churches, 528, 529; act for administering the Sacrament in both kinds, 530; act for the suppression of chantries, 530; proclamation against religious processions, 531; the abolition of them not popular, 531; act for the uniformity of service, 531; publication of the Book of Common Prayer, 533; persecution of Anabaptists, and burning of Joan Bocher, 561; Articles of Belief set forth by Edward VI., 562; book of Canon laws issued by Cramer, 563, 564; Roman Catholic bishops deprived of their sees, 563, 564; execution of Somerset, and account of his promotion of the doctrines of the Reformation, 566; sweeping changes effected by Mary on her accession, 584, *et seq.*; Cranmer, Latimer, and Ridley condemned for heresy at Oxford, 601; the statutes against heretics revived, 606; the Marian persecution, 609, *et seq.*; list of Protestant victims, 609; martyrdom of Hooper, bishop of Gloucester, 612; of Rowland Taylor, at Hadleigh, 615; prevalence of intolerance in both Reformers and Papists, 619; Cranmer burnt, March 21, 1556, 625; the church services ordered to be performed in English on the accession of queen Elizabeth, iii. 14; Mary's statutes in favour of popery repealed, 21; dissensions among the Reformers, and progress of Protestantism on the continent, 24; persecution on the continent of Protestants in the Netherlands and France occasions the settlement of a great number of Calvinists in England, 83, 84; a new statute against Papists passed in 1571, 89; growth of the Puritanical party, 90; plots of the Jésuits against Elizabeth and the Reformation, 98; increased severities enacted against Papists, priests being ordered to quit the country, 100; the pope excommunicates England, and publishes a crusade against, 140.
- Reformation in Scotland, progress of, ii. 446; Patrick Hamilton, the first Protestant martyr in Scotland, burnt at St. Andrews, in 1528, 447; James V. opposes himself to it, 447; he refuses Henry VIII.'s proposal to make himself supreme head of the church, 447; Wishart burnt, March 26, 1546, 454; cardinal Beaton assassinated, May 29, 454; hostility of the queen regent to the Reformers, iii. 26, 27; the Lords of the Congregation remonstrate, 27; John Knox arrives from the continent, preaches at Perth, and the Reformers destroy the monastic houses, 27; Elizabeth lends secret assistance to the Reformers, 28; the Congregation has recourse to arms, 28; Elizabeth assists them openly with an army, and they besiege and take Leith, 30; a Confession of Faith drawn up by a parliament in 1560, 31; other acts passed establishing the reformed religion, 32; Knox preaches against the abstraction of the church patrimony, 32; Knox's attacks upon Mary, and her avowal of her hatred of him, 36, 37; essential differences of, from that of the Reformation in England, 48; danger of the establishment of, from the opposition of Mary, 50; the pope's authority abolished, and the Confession of Faith of 1560 sanctioned, by the parliament in December, 1567, 68.
- Regency bill passed, v. 169. differences between George III. and his ministers on the, 1765; a regency bill passed, vi. 87; another passed, 1788, for appointing the prince of Wales regent, 461.
- Register Book of births, deaths, and marriages, order for the keeping of, in every church, by Thomas Cromwell, ii. 469.
- Registration bill passed, 1836, viii. 192.
- Regner Lodbrok, first invasion of England by, i. 96; second invasion and death, 108.
- Remonstrance of London, 1770, vi. 132, 133; of the Massachusetts state against war with Britain, 1812, vii. 364, 365, 368.
- Rennie, John, aids in draining the Lincolnshire fens, vi. 313; his early career; and engineering works of Waterloo bridge, and at the Docks, vii. 538, 539.
- Rents, rise of, in the reign of Henry VIII., ii. 495.
- Resumption bill passed, 1699, v. 83.
- Revenue, the amount of, in 1547, ii. 471; sources of, 473; of the crown as settled on Charles II., iv. 379.
- Revenue act, opposition to the, by the Americans, 1768, vi. 123.
- Revolution of 1688, the commencement of a new era of English history, iv. 378, *et seq.*
- Revolution in Scotland, iv. 487.

- Revolution, French, vi. 463-495; 499, 500; 592-504; 511-523; 528, 529; 531-559; 567-570; 577; 589; vii. 30; 31, 32; 41-48; 57-61; 63-74; 84-87; 90-98; 130-137; 139-143; 146-150; 161; 162-168.
- Revolution, French, of 1830. See Charles X., viii. 45-53.
- Revolution, French, narrative of the, of 1818, viii. 418-422; 425, 426.
- Reynolds, sir Joshua, a leading portrait painter, vi. 366; first president of the royal academy; knighted, 371; contributes to the royal academy's first exhibition, 371; critique on his works; the true founder of the English school of painting, 37; died in 1792, vii. 543.
- Ricci, Loreuzo, chief of the Jesuits; opposes the suppression of the company, vi. 145.
- Ricci, Sebastian, a ceiling painter, v. 349.
- Ricci, Marco, a ceiling painter, v. 349.
- Richard of Cireucester, record by, of "a profound peace," from A.D. 211-286, i. 43; list of cities in Roman Britain given by, 51.
- Richard I., behaviour of, on the death of his father, i. 339; crowned Sept 3, 1189, 340; massacre of the Jews at the coronation of, 340; engages in the third crusade, 341; mistaken sympathy for the character of, 341; quarrels with Philip of France and marries Berengaria of Navarre, 343; conquers Cyprus, 343; besieges Acre, 343; which surrenders, 345; Philip returns to France in anger, 346; Richard orders the massacre of the Turkish hostages, because the Holy Cross had not been delivered 346; marches towards Jerusalem, 346; defeats Saladin in 1191, 347; is forced to retreat after reaching Jaffa, 348; hears of disturbances in England occasioned by the intrigues of his brother John, 348; Longchamp his chancellor in England deposed, 349; Richard is falsely accused of the murder of the Marquis of Montferrat, 351; last Eastern campaign of, 352; approaches Jerusalem, but again retreats, 353; attempts in vain to retake Jaffa, agrees to a truce, and sails from Acre on Oct. 9, 1192, 354; is captured on his return, and imprisoned by the emperor of Germany, 354; is ransomed, 355; lands at Sandwich, March 12, 1194, 359; leaves England on May 9, lands at Harfleur, and pardons John, 365; drives Philip out of Normandy, 365; besieges Chaluz, is wounded, and dies April 6, 1199, 365, 366.
- Richard II., coronation of, on July 16, 1377, i. 547; appointment by parliament of a council of regency, 547; ill success of his foreign wars, and increase of taxation, 549; insurrection against the poll-tax, headed by Wat Tyler, in 1381, 550; the demands of the insurgents granted by, at Mile End, 551; Wat Tyler killed in Smithfield during a parley with the king, who then heads the insurgents himself, and at length dismisses them to their homes, 552; the grants revoked, 552; proposes to abolish slavery, but parliament refuses, 553; statute against the preachers of heresies, 555; contest with the pope as to the bestowal of benefices, 556; is supported in it by the parliament, 557; rise of the Lollards, 557; unnecessary and oppressive interference of the government of, in social affairs, 562-565; marries Anne of Bohemia, 566; intrigues of his uncles and ministers, 568; war with France to support the Flemings in 1383, and truce in 1384, 568; becomes suspicious of the duke of Lancaster, 568, 569; invades and ravages Scotland, 569; rise of Michael de la Pole, 569; projected invasion by the French, and destruction of the French and Flemish fleets, 570; extravagance of, and appointment of a commission of regency, 571; impeachment and dismissal of De la Pole, earl of Suffolk, 572; tampers with the judges, and obtains an opinion that the commission is illegal, 572; goes to London, the Lords assemble in arms, and defeat his adherents, 573; for about a year the government in the hands of a parliamentary council, 573; battle of Otterbourne fought in 1388, 573; dismisses his guardians and assumes the government in 1389, 574; his queen, Anne, dies, 574; goes to Ireland in 1394 with a large army, 574; marries Isabella of France, 575; parliament repeals the commission of regency, and the king becomes despotic, 577; duke of Gloucester arrested and murdered, 578; submission of the barons, 578; quarrel of the duke of Hereford with the duke of Norfolk, 580; he banishes them both, 581; seizes the possessions of the duke of Lancaster on his death in 1398, 581; goes to Ireland with an army, but has little success, 583; learns that Henry of Lancaster has landed in England, and returns to Conway, 584; is captured by the earl of Northumberland, 584; carried to London, 585; is deposed, and resigns his royalty, crown, and heritage, 586; is imprisoned in the Tower, 587; death of, at Pontefract, in 1400, 590; Henry IV. accused of being his murderer, 593; doubts as to the death of, and statement of his escape from Pontefract, and death of, at Stirling, in 1419, 594.
- Richard III. created duke of Gloucester in 1461, ii. 125; flies with Edward to the duke of Burgundy on the restoration of Henry VI., 139; lands with Edward at Ravenspur, 139; successfully attacks Warwick at the battle of Barnet, 142; distinguishes himself at the battle of Tewkesbury, 143; different accounts of the personal appearance of, 144; accused of the murder of prince Edward, 144;

- suppresses the insurrection of Falconbridge, 145; doubts as to his guilt of the murder of Henry VI., 146; quarrels with Clarence, 150; marries Anne, daughter of the earl of Warwick, and widow of prince Edward, 150; popularity of, in the North, 153; opposes the treaty of Picquiny, 152; no foundation for the statement of his causing Clarence's death, 154; takes Berwick, 155; swears fealty to Edward V., 156; arrests earl Rivers and the members of Edward's council, 157; is appointed Protector, 158; accuses Hastings of treason, and causes him to be executed, 161, 162; proclaims his life in danger, 162; accuses Jane Shore of sorcery and conspiracy, 163, 164; Shaw preaches in favour of his claim to the crown, 165; and the duke of Buckingham harangues the citizens on the same subject, 165; parliament assign him the crown on the ground of the illegitimacy of Edward V., 166; causes earl Rivers and others of Edward's council to be beheaded, 167; he is crowned at Westminster, July, 6, 1483, 168; death of the two princes in the Tower, 168; evidence as to their murder considered, 168-170; ready submission of the people to, and its causes, 174; mixed character of Richard, 174; acts of clemency and restitution by, 175; revolt of Buckingham, 175; which is suppressed, and Buckingham executed, in 1483, 179; merits of Richard as a legislator, 180; salutary laws passed by the parliament of, 180; causes the statutes to be first printed, and in English, 182; encourages printing and the importation of books, 182; death of his son, 183; negotiates with the duke of Brittany, for the delivery of Henry of Richmond, 183; death of Anne, his queen, 184; publicly disavows any intention of marrying Elizabeth, his brother Edward's daughter, 184; mistaken estimate by, of Henry of Richmond, as an adversary, 184; insufficient precautions against treason taken by, 185; Richmond lands at Milford Haven, 186; inadequate preparations to resist, 187; battle of Bosworth, Aug. 22, 1485, 188; his death, 189.
- Richardson, Samuel, his novel of "Pamela," published 1740, 1741; its extraordinary popularity, vi. 388; his "Clarissa" appears 1748; and "Sir C. Grandison" in 1751, 388.
- Richborough, Roman colony at, i. 49; notice of the ruins of, 51.
- Richelieu, duc de, advises that a battery be directed on the duke of Cumberland's column, at Fontenoy, 1745, which is forced to retreat, v. 495; drives the duke of Cumberland out of Hanover, 1757; convention of Closter Seven, vi. 36.
- Richmond, duke of, secretary of state, 1766, vi. 140; moves for Penn's examination, 181; for an address to the king on the state of the nation, 1778, 209; proposes a bill for extending the right of vote, and other parliamentary reforms, 230.
- Right of search, disputes between England and America on the, vii. 325, 364.
- Riots, the Porteus, of Edinburgh, 1736, v. 454-459; the Wilkite, London, 1768, 104, 105; and 1771, 136, 137; the Gordon, 1780, 228, 233; the Birmingham, 1791, 507, 508; in London, 1795, vii. 61; throughout the country, 1800-1801, 145, 146; on the occasion of Burdett's committal to the tower, 1810, 305; in 1812, 324; in 1816, 431 433; the reform, in the city, 1816, 454, 455; at Queen Caroline's funeral procession, 1820, 572; in 1826, 605; the Bristol, 1831, viii. 91-95; raised by John Thom amongst the Canterbury fanatics, 230-247; the Chartist, of 1839, 253; the Frost insurrection at Newport, 253, 254; rioting in 1842, 345, 346; the Rebecca riots in Wales, 353-355.
- Ripon. See Goderich.
- Ripperda, duc de, sent on a secret mission to Vienna, to negotiate a treaty, v. 425; disgraced; sent to prison; reveals the secret articles of the treaty of Vienna, 426.
- Rivers, earl, patronage of printing by, ii. 148; appointed of the council of Edward V., 155; arrested with the other members of the council by order of Gloucester, 157; beheaded, 157; poetic composition by, 167.
- Rivoli, battle of, Jan. 14, 1797, vii. 85, 86.
- Roads, British and Roman, different character of, i. 21, 23.
- Robert succeeds William the Conqueror, as duke of Normandy, i. 246; state of Normandy under, 250; denounces his brother William as perjured, and prepares for war, 252; mortgages his dukedom in 1096 to William, 252; becomes one of the leaders of the Crusade, 253; returns to Europe, and marries, 262; invades England, but is reconciled to his brother Henry, to whom he cedes his claims to the crown of England, 264; rebellion of Robert de Belesme against, who is however pardoned and restored to his estates, 266; disaffection of the Norman barons against, fostered by Henry, 266; is attacked by Henry and taken prisoner, at the battle of Tenchebrai in 1105, 269; dies a prisoner in 1135, 269.
- Robert, earl of Gloucester, becomes an adherent of Matilda against Stephen, i. 280; encourages the people of Bristol in their attacks on Stephen's partisans, 280; maintains possession of Bristol and Leeds, 282; lands in England with Matilda in 1130, 288; wins the battle of Lincoln and takes Stephen prisoner, 290, 291; captured at Winchester, and exchanged for Stephen, 294; character of, 294; death of, in 1147, 298.

- Robertson, a smuggler, condemned to death for robbing an excise collector, but escapes, v. 456.
- Robespierre, Augustin, commissioned by the French convention to punish the Toulonese, vii. 25; death scene of, July, 1794, 45, 46.
- Robespierre, M. S., leader of the Jacobins; mover of the self-denying ordinance of the national assembly, vi. 518; his hatred of Marat, 596; rouses the mob against the Girondins, 596; maintains the system of terror, vii. 44; officiates at the festival of the Supreme Being, 45; his speech in the hall of the Convention, 45; his arrest; attempts to commit suicide; is guillotined, July 28, 1794, 46; uncertainty as to what was his real character, 46.
- Robin Hood, first mention of, i. 359; as to the reality of, 359, 360; the representative of resistance to aristocratic tyranny, 360; detestation of oppression, and poetical excellence shown in the Robin Hood ballads, 361; theory of the adventures connected with, being founded on those of the defeated adherents of Simon de Montfort, 417.
- Rochambeau, comte de, commands the French armament sent to reinforce the Americans, 1780, vi. 236; present at Cornwallis's surrender, 253; a commander in the French army sent against Austria, 1792, 582.
- Rochefort, failure of the British expedition against, 1757, vi. 35.
- Rochepaquelein, Henri de la, a Vendean leader, vii. 28; obtains the chief command of their army, 29; death of, 1793, 29.
- Rochester, earl of, lord lieutenant of Ireland, v. 94, 113; supports the motion for inviting Sophia of Hanover to England, 169.
- Rockingham, Charles Wentworth, marquess of, named first lord of the treasury, 1765, vi. 89, 140; difficulty of his position arising from the disturbances in America, 90; weakness of his administration, 97; again becomes first lord of the treasury, 1782; the king's aversion to; composition of his ministry, 262; notices the power of revenue officers at elections, 269; died July 1, 1782, 280; his success as a cultivator, 325.
- Rodney, admiral sir George, destroys the gun-boats in Havre, vi. 44; commands the fleet sent against Martinique, 66; his victory over the Spaniards off Cape St. Vincent, Jan. 16, 1780, 224; captures St. Eustatius, Feb. 1781, 243; defends his conduct against certain charges, 245; arrives at the Barbadoes, Feb. 19, 1782; effects a junction with Hood's squadron, 263; chases de Grasse; gives him a signal defeat, April 12; first employs the manœuvre of "breaking the line," 264; question whether he was entitled to the merit of the idea, discussed, 264; capture of the Ville de Paris, 265; and other French vessels, 266.
- Roebuck, Dr., originator of modern improvements in manufacturing iron, and founder of the Carron iron works, vi. 354; becomes embarrassed, 355; refuses to allow Boulton a share in Watt's patent, 361; ultimately agrees to sell his share to Boulton, 362.
- Rogers, Samuel, his "Jacqueline" published 1814, and "Italy," 1822, vii. 509.
- Rohilla war, 1774, vi. 428.
- Roland, appointed minister of the interior, 1792, vi. 531; his wife; her enthusiastic republicanism, 532; his dismissal, 534; resumes office, 540; execution of his wife, 1793, vii. 27.
- Roliça, battle of, Aug. 17, 1808, vii. 273.
- Roman dominion in Britain, civilizing effects of, i. 46, *et seq.*; roads in Britain, notice of, 46; policy in employing natives in their armies, 49; the army an instrument of civilization, 49; fortresses in Britain, notice of, 49; colonies in Britain, list of, 50; ancient remains of, 50; cities of, 51; administration in Britain, 56; municipal establishments of, 56; customs, existing remains of, 61; municipalities, rise of separate sovereignties in Britain, from, 73.
- Romans, progress of the conquests of, in Britain, i. 31; encouraged the settlement of foreigners in Britain, 56; municipal institutions established by, 57; British iron manufacturing, tin and lead mining by the, iv. 325, 396.
- Rome, intercourse of Britain with, i. 26; taken by the Goths, 69; occupied and spoiled by the French, 1798, vii. 96; annexed to France, 1811, 314.
- Romilly, sir Samuel, present at a dinner with Wilkes and Mirabeau; Wilkes defends the severity of the criminal law, vi. 419; rejoices at the occurrence of the French revolution, 493; remarks of, on the September massacres, 1792, 545; describes the various parties in 1794, vii. 37; remarks on the bread riots, 1800, 1801, 145; description of French despotism, 1802, 174; praises Pitt's speech, 1803, 183; solicitor-general, 229; sums up the evidence for Melville's impeachment, 1806, 230; engaged in the inquiry into the princess of Wales's conduct, 230; eloquent speech on the abolition of the slave trade, 246; remark of, on Mrs. Clarke's interference in military promotions, 281, 282; his eulogy on Mr. Perceval, 321; his remarks on Brougham's speech against the regent, 425; one of the leaders in bringing about an amelioration of the nation's social condition, 435; his efforts in reforming the criminal laws lead to the abolition of capital punishment for several cases or kinds of thefts, 436-438; returned M. P. for Westminster; death of his wife a proximate cause of suicide, Nov. 2, 1818, 480.

- Rooke, sir George, present at the battle of La Hogue, iv. 561; drives Tourville out of his shelter in the bay of La Hogue, destroying nearly all the French ships, 563; defeated at the battle of St. Vincent, and loses a large number of merchant ships he was convoying, 584; commands the armament against Denmark, whose navy he drives to the walls of Copenhagen, 1693, v. 86; commands the fleet sent against Cadiz, 1702, 115; fails in taking it, but captures some Spanish galleons, 116; commands the expeditionary fleet against Catalonia, 1704, v. 151; lands at Barcelona, but re-embarks, and effects a junction with sir C. Shovel; the two admirals, with the prince of Darmstadt, attack and capture the rock of Gibraltar, Aug. 2, 152; fights a drawn battle with the French fleet, off Malaga, 152.
- Rosbach, battle of, Nov. 5, 1757, vi. 37.
- Rose, George, secretary of the treasury; does not acquiesce in Pitt's bill for reform, vi. 451, 452; account of the national assembly's conduct by, 1791, 513; urges Pitt to include Fox and Grenville and their friends in his administration, vii. 191; introduces a bill for regulating savings' banks, 1816, 445.
- Rosen supersedes Hamilton as commander of the forces besieging Londonderry, iv. 479; his inhuman conduct, 480; he fails in taking the place; raises the siege, Aug. 1, 1689, 483.
- Ross, gen., himself and adm. Cockburn incite the slaves of America to rebellion, 1814, vii. 376; defeats the Americans and burns several buildings in Washington, 376-378; mortally wounded in battle, Sept. 11, 1814, 379.
- Roubiliac, L. F., his sculptures, v. 345.
- Royal Society incorporated by charter in 1662, iv. 451.
- Rubens, his paintings; employed by Charles I., v. 348.
- Rupert, prince, appointed to the command of the horse in the royal army at Nottingham, iii. 449; early career, 455; first encounter between his cavalry and the parliamentary force, 457; insolence displayed by, 458; conduct at the battle of Edgehill, 458, 459; gains the battle at Brentford, 463; and that of Chalgrove Field, 472; takes Bristol, 477; relieves Lathom House, 493; possesses himself of York, 494; is defeated at the battle of Marston Moor, 494; retreats to Chester, 494; is defeated at Naseby, 504; his impetuosity, 504; surrenders Bristol, 508, 509; is dismissed from his command by Charles I., 508; meeting of with Charles, at Newark, and final parting, 515; appears in St. George's channel with a formidable fleet, 297; admiral Blake interrupts the operations of, 602; takes refuge in Kinsale, 602; compelled to leave the Irish coast, 602; sails to the coast of Portugal, and is followed to the Tagus by Blake, iv. 17; escapes to Spain, 17; appointed to the command of the fleet against the Dutch in 1666, with Monk, 174; is suspected of not having aided Monk with sufficient promptitude in his battle with the Dutch fleet, 175; assists in ravaging the Dutch coasts, 178; is appointed to the command of the fleet on the resignation of the duke of York, in 1673, 222.
- Rush, Richard, the United States plenipotentiary in London; his description of queen Charlotte, vii. 480, 481; his despatch to Monro on Canning's letter to himself, 588; his discussions with Londonderry and Canning, 588, 589.
- Russell, admiral Edward, allows himself to be tampered with by James II., but is disgusted at that king's declaration, iv. 561; commands the English fleet at the naval victory of La Hogue, 562; removed from the command of the fleet, 1693, 577; restored again shortly after, 587; appointed to look after Tourville in 1694, 591; implicated by Fenwick with having held treasonous correspondence with James II., v. 37; created earl of Orford, 1697, 38; impeached for his concern in the Partition treaties, 97; struck off the privy council list on Anne's succession, 113.
- Russell, lord John, takes up the cause of parliamentary reform, 1819; obtains the disfranchisement of Grampound vii. 485; proposes a partial repeal of the corporation and test acts, so as to admit dissenters to public offices; his measure adopted in a compromised form, viii. 23, 24; paymaster of the forces, 67; the government reform bill introduced by him; his speech explanatory of its purpose, 1831, 71, 72; moves the second reading of the bill, 77; it having been thrown out he again brings it forward in the new parliament, 80; carries it in the House of Lords, 83; re-introduces it into the Commons, Dec. 12, 1831, 99; his resolution on the surplus funds of the Irish church, 1835, 174; its adoption by the Commons leads to the resignation of the Peel ministry, 174; his municipal corporation reform bill, 178, *et seq.*; his measure for commuting tithes, 188; brings forward bills for a general registration, and for regulating the marriage of dissenters, 192; his bills for introducing poor law into Ireland, and amending the criminal law, 1836, 198; eulogises William IV., 199; home secretary, 203; government adopts his resolutions condemnatory of the conduct of the Canadian legislature, 232; his bill for inquiring into and redressing the Canadian grievances, 234; grants the release of Thom, 241; condemned for his leniency towards Frost, 253; proposes a fixed duty on the importation

- of corn, 271, 272; advocates the principle of a free trade in corn, 272, 273; his speech on the address, 275; his letter to the city of London, electors advocating a repeal of the corn laws, 388; the premiership offered him by the queen, 390; which he accepts; but is unable to form a government, 391; first lord of the treasury, July, 1846; 400; his financial statement; apprehends a large expenditure; his plans for meeting it, 417, 418.
- Russell, lord Wm., moves a resolution in the House of Commons to take into consideration how to suppress popery and prevent a popish succession, iv. 266; carries up the Exclusion bill to the House of Lords, 267; accused of a participation in the Rye House plot in 1683, 284; is arrested and brought to trial on July 13, 285; noble behaviour of his wife, 287; is convicted, and beheaded on July 21, 288.
- Ryder, Richard, his bill for punishing stocking or lace frame destroyers passed, 1812, vii. 324; home secretary, 1809-1812, 640.
- Rysbrack, J. M., his sculptures, v. 345.
- Ryswick, treaty of, concluded, Sept. 22, 1697, v. 40, 264.
- Ryland, his house burnt down by the Birmingham rioters, 1791, vi. 509.

S.

- SACHEVEREL, Dr., enraged at Defoe for his pamphlet on the dissenters, v. 119; preaches before the lord mayor, Nov. 5, 1709, 216; he is ordered to attend at the bar of the House of Commons, and is impeached, 218; proceedings of parliament against, 219; the articles of impeachment, 220, 221; popular manifestations in favour of, 223; the parliament sentences him to three years' suspension from preaching, and his sermons to be burnt, 225; this lenient sentence is celebrated as a triumph by the high church party, 226; his ovation and progress through the country, 231-234; characteristic description of him, as given by the duchess of Marlborough, 231-234; preaches before the Commons on the thanksgiving day for peace, July 7, 1713, 275.
- Safety Lamp. See Davy; Stephenson.
- St. Alban's, first battle of, on May 22, 1455, ii. 112; Warwick defeated by Queen Margaret in the second battle of, in 1461, 120.
- St. Aubyn, sir John, remonstrates against the king's perfering Hanoverian to English interests, v. 489.
- St. Bartholomew's, massacre of, iii. 96, 97.
- St. Christopher's given to Great Britain, 1714, v. 273; taken by the French, 1782, vi. 260-263; restored to Britain, 1783, 290.
- St. Domingo, French expedition to; resistance opposed by Toussaint, vii. 175, 176.
- St. Eustatius, capture of, by Rodney, 1781; the king surrenders his interest in it to the army and navy, vi. 244; retaken by the French, 260.
- St. Germain, count de, French secretary at war; interview with Steuben, vi. 198.
- St. Jean d'Acre, bombardment and capture of, 1810, viii. 268.
- St. John, viscount Bolingbroke; he is taken into Marlborough's confidence, v. 133; resigns his place in the ministry, 1707, 201; his connection with Swift and the party press, 244; envies Harley for being stabbed; insinuates that the blow was intended for himself; has a misunderstanding with Harley on this point of honour, 247, 248; congratulates Marlborough on his successes, 1714, 251; secretly negotiates with France for peace, 252; his letter to Ormond, 267; double-dealing of, 268; created viscount Bolingbroke, 1712, but is dissatisfied at not having received an earldom; is despatched on an embassy to the French court; he secures the interest of the duke of Savoy, 272, 273; obtains private interviews with the chevalier St. George, 273; Louis XIV. presents him with a ring 4000*l.* in value, 273; urges the chevalier to turn Protestant; introduces his Schism bill, 275; quarrels with Oxford, and gains the mastery over him, obtaining the supremacy in the ministry, 277; is disappointed at George I. being proclaimed king, 360; loses his secretary of state, 363; escapes to France; becomes the Pretender's secretary of state; is impeached by Walpole, June 9, 364; meets the Pretender at Paris, 386; is dismissed from his service, 386; obtains leave to return to England; obtains an interview with his sovereign, 1727, 427; actively opposes Walpole, 1734, 448; his character described by Walpole, 450, 451; he quits England, 451.
- St. Julien, admiral, his contest with the British at Toulon, 1793, vii. 19, 20.
- St. Just, cruelty of, vii. 45; decreed and led to the guillotine, 1794, 46.
- St. Lucia taken by the British, 1762, vi. 66; again, 1778, 213; ceded to the French, 1783, 290.
- St. Pancras church, solecism and unadaptability of its design, vii. 530, 531; designed by the Inwoods; its cost, 537.
- St. Paul's Cathedral, burning of the steeple of, in 1561, iii. 41; opening of Wren's new building, Dec. 2, 1697, v. 41; proposals to repair the old edifice; it is burnt down, 1666, 334, 335; Wren's first design for a new cathedral, 336, 337; first stone laid, 1675; the last, 1710, 337; criticism on, 337.

- St. Petersburg founded by Peter the Great, 1703, v. 214.
- St. Philip, Byng neglects to try the relief of, vi. 15; it surrenders to French arms, June 27, 1756, 16.
- Saint Ruth, commander-in-chief of James II.'s Irish army, iv. 535; defeated by the English under Ginkell at Aghrim, July 12, 1691, 535.
- St. Sebastian captured by the French, 1719, v. 403; taken by the British under general Graham, 1813, vii. 351.
- St. Vincent taken by the British, 1762, vi. 66; regained 1783, 290.
- St. Vincent, battle of, Feb. 14, 1797, vii. 77, 78.
- St Vincent, earl. See Jervis.
- Saladin, extent of the power of, i. 337; takes Jerusalem, 338; fails to relieve Acre, 345; neglecting to restore the Holy Cross, 345; the hostages at Acre are massacred, 346; beheads the Christian captives in retaliation for Richard's massacre of the hostages, 346; defeated by Richard in 1191, 347; compels Richard to retreat to Ascalon, but interchanges courtesies with him, 348; captures Jaffa, 353; agrees to a truce with Richard for three years in 1192, and dies in 1193, 354.
- Salamanca, or Arapiles, battle of, July 22, 1812, vii. 335, 336.
- Sale, sir Robert, general, leaves Cabul, viii. 291; harassed by the enemy, 292, 293; takes Jellalabad, 296; his heroic defence of the place, 297, *et seq.*; marches to the relief of Cabul, 299; mortally wounded at battle of Ferozeshah, 1845, 401.
- Salt, first bed of rock salt discovered in Cheshire in 1670, iv. 407; heavy duties levied upon; abolished in 1823, 408; consumption, of in 1839, 408; duty on, revived 1732, v. 442; which has the effect of preventing the refuse salt as manure, vi. 325.
- Sauroft, William, archbishop; refuses to take the oath of allegiance to William III., iv. 463; absent at the coronation of William III., 473; deprived of his archbishopric, 1691, 531.
- Sanctuary, enormous abuse of, *temp.* Henry VII., ii. 231.
- Sanderson, sir Thomas, raises a war-cry against Spain, 1739, v. 472, 473.
- San Domingo, trade of, 1789; slave revolt in, 1791, vi. 577.
- Sandwich, lord, sent to watch over the duke of Cumberland, v. 569; secretary of state, 1763, vi. 75; he resolves to prosecute Wilkes; sets spies on Wilkes's movements and steals his papers; complains of him in Parliament, 79; secretary of state, 1763-1765, 140; aids in cross-examining Penn on the feeling of America towards England, 181; replies to Chatham's proposed amendment on the address, 1777, 202.
- Sandys, moves for Walpole's dismissal from the king's councils, 1741, v. 481; his motion rejected, 482.
- San Mateo besieged by count of Las Torres; relieved by Peterborough, v. 158.
- Saratoga, convention of, signed Oct. 17, 1777, vi. 201.
- Sardinia taken by admiral sir J. Leake, 1708, v. 207.
- Sarsfield, general, commander of the garrison at Limerick; makes a sortie during the siege of 1690 upon the guns and stores of William's army, destroying or rendering them useless, iv. 521; inactive part taken by, at the battle of Aghrim, July, 1691, 535.
- Sarum, town and hill-fort in the time of the Roman dominion, i. 25.
- Saunders, admiral, supersedes West in his command, 1756, vi. 16; conveys Wolfe and his army to Quebec, 45.
- Savannah taken by the British, vi. 220.
- Savery, Thomas, steam-engine invented by, iv. 416.
- Savile, sir George. M. P. for Yorkshire; presents the county petition for redress of grievances, 1780, vi. 222; moves for a list of crown places, 225; his house gutted by the Gordon rioters, 230.
- Savings banks, establishment of, at Tottenham in 1798 and 1804; at Bath in 1808; at Ruthwell in 1810; and in London 1816, vii. 445, 446.
- Savo annexed to France, 1792, vi. 552; restored to Piedmont, vii. 411.
- Saxe, marshal, appointed to command the troops for the projected invasion of England, 1743; that expedition failing he takes the command of an army in the Low Countries, v. 490; commands the French at Fontenoy, 1745, 494; persuades Louis XIV. to retire from the battle, 495; gains the victory over the allies at Lauffeld, July 2, 1747, 568, 569.
- Saxe Gotha, princess of. See Frederick of Wales, v. 461, 463; 580, 581.
- Saxon shore. "Litus Saxonieum." opinions of Dr. Lappenberg, Sir F. Palgrave, and Mr. Kemble as to its meaning a settlement of Saxons, i. 55.
- Saxon invasion of Britain, obscurity of the events of, i. 74; rapid succession of Saxon kings or chiefs, 75; gradual extension of the dominions of, 78; native seats of, 78; heathendom. account of, 81; period, close of; 209, influence of, on the national character, 209.
- Saxons, probable settlement of large numbers of, in England, before the Saxon invasion, i. 56, 59; principle of personal freedom probably derived from, 58; obscure interval between their supremacy in Britain, and that of the Romans, i. 69.

- Schellenberg, or Donawert, battle of the, July 2, 1704, v. 137.
- Schism bill, passed 1714, v. 277; Stanhope desires the repeal of the, 401.
- Schomberg, marshal, lands in Ireland with an army, Aug. 13, 1689, iv. 483; unable to do anything from the neglected condition of the army, which suffered from want of clothes, medicine, and even necessaries, 501; reinforced, 507; leads the centre at the battle of the Boyne, 510; rallies the retreating Protestants and is slain, July 1, 1690, 510.
- Sciences, sketch of the state of the, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 454, 455; knowledge of the, spread and increased by the Jesuits, vi. 146; scientific labours and discoveries of Herschell, Davy, Dalton, Wollaston, and Jenner, vii. 520, 521; scientific writers in 1842, 327, 338.
- Seinde, conquest and wise administration of, by sir C. J. Napier, 1843, viii. 356-361.
- Scindia wars with Holkar; then allies with him against the British, vii. 219, 220; defeated at Assye by Wellington, 222, *et seq.*; and again Nov. 29, 1803; signs a treaty with the British, Dec. 30, 225; joins the Mahratta confederacy against the British, 625; agrees to aid the British against the Pindarees; his faithlessness, 626.
- Scott, sir Walter, prejudices of, against the union, v. 183; his verse romances; publication of "The Lay of the Last Minstrel," 1805; "Marmion," 1808; and the "Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border," vii. 507; two critiques, 507; his immense popularity, 508; failure of his dramas, 514; high success of his Waverley novels, 516, 517; his commercial failure an example of the danger of drawing upon future wealth, 603, 604; his honorable efforts to discharge his obligations, 604; urges the Scotch to treat Charles X. respectfully, viii. 53; benefits conferred on his family by the copyright act, 305, 306.
- Sebastiana, general, his report of the practicability of France reconquering Egypt, vii. 177; the French ambassador at Constantinople; his offers to sultan Selim; 253; joins Victor in the Peninsula, 297.
- Secular law, state of, *temp.* Henry II., i. 315.
- Sedgemoor, battle of, July 5, 1685, iv. 313.
- Seditious meetings bill brought in by Pitt, vii. 61; opposition to it, 61, 62; passed 1795, 62; another passed, Mar. 29, 1817, vii. 457, 458.
- Selden, John, joins in the protestation of the House of Commons, in 1621, against the king's interference with their liberty of speech, iii. 320; assists in the debate on the Petition of Right, in 1628, 336; committed to the Tower after parliament had been dissolved, in 1629, 344.
- Selwyn, major, denounces British interference in continental affairs, v. 520.
- Selnac, original name of the spot where the battle of Hastings was fought, i. 206, *et seq.*
- Septennial act, debates and remarks on the; passed 1716; v. 387, 388; its repeal agitated, 448.
- Seringapatam, besieged and taken by the British, 1791, vi. 575; and again in 1799, vii. 130, 131.
- Seton, Mr., of Pitmedden, one of the commissioners for establishing the union, 1706, v. 189; his arguments in favour of the scheme, 190.
- Settlement, early establishment of the law of, iii. 197; ill effects of, and severities exercised under, 198; its severity on the poor, iv. 439, 440; vi. 308; vii. 442; slightly alleviated, 1861, 442.
- Seven years' war, history of the, vi. 18, 19; 34-44; 59-61; 65-69; 601.
- Severus, wall of, i. 41, 42; suppresses the revolt of the Brigantes and Mæatae, 43; death of, A.D. 211, 43.
- Seville, peace of, Nov. 9, 1729, v. 265, 432.
- Seymour, sir Edward, his remarks on the want of good British generals, iv. 568; his contempt of Scotland's poverty, v. 59; comptroller, 1702, 113.
- Seymour, lord Thomas, brother of Somerset, marries Catherine, widow of Henry VIII., ii. 535; quarrels with his brother, 535; addresses the princess Elizabeth after the death of his wife, 536; is accused of treason, convicted, and executed, 536; charge against, of defrauding the Mint in conjunction with sir J. Sharrington, 539; letters of, to the princesses Mary and Elizabeth, 539.
- Shaftesbury, Anthony Ashley Cooper, earl of, becomes a member of the Cabal ministry in 1667, iv. 200; character of, by Butler and Dryden, 201; complicity of, in Charles becoming a pensioner of France, 203; shifting policy of, 203; is created earl of Shaftesbury, and made lord chancellor, 218; character of, 219; declares the Declaration of Indulgence illegal, 221; is dismissed from his office of chancellor, and becomes the leader of the opposition, 224; letter attributed to, descriptive of the composition of the House of Commons, 224; committed to the Tower for opinions expressed in the House of Lords, in 1677, 230; is suspected of having fomented the Popish Plot in 1678, 236; is named president of the council in 1679, and continues to lead the opposition, 237; is dismissed from the presidency, 261; presents the duke of York as a popish recusant to the Grand Jury at Westminster, 261; supports the Exclusion Bill in the House of Lords,

- which is rejected, 267 ; is indicted for high treason in 1681 ; and the grand jury throw out the bill, 275 ; he flies to Holland, 279 ; death of, in 1683, 279.
- Shah Alum. See Alum, Shah.
- Shah Soojah, an expelled sovereign, and pensioner of the East India Company, viii. 286 ; the British undertake the Affghan war to re-enthrono him, 287 ; restored to his sovereignty, 290 ; complains of his position, 291 ; assassinated, 298.
- Shakspere, William, characteristics of his dramas, ili. 232 ; nationality of, 232 ; knowledge of, and taste for, disseminated by Steele, v. 287 ; Roubiliac's statue of, 346 ; impulse given to Shaksperean criticism, viii. 328, 329.
- Shannon, fight between the, and the Chesapeake, June 1, 1813, vii. 372.
- Sharpe, Granville, aids in promoting the abolition of slavery, vii. 232.
- Sharp, William ; his engravings, viii. 156.
- Shaw, sir James ; his courage in quelling the riots of 1816, vii. 454.
- Sheffield, trades of, iv. 414 ; obtains parliamentary representation, 1832, viii. 116 ; population in 1831 and 1861, 116.
- Shelburne, earl of, dismissed his office, and treated with coldness by the king for voting against government on the general warrant question, 1764, vi. 81 ; secretary of state, 1766-1768, 98, 140 ; praises the king's nobleness of mind, 257 ; secretary of state, 1782, 262, 603 ; his remarks on contractors, 268 ; circular issued by, on the volunteer question, 271 ; his Irish trade motion rejected, 273 ; commences overtures of peace with Franklin, 279 ; Fox obtains a share in the negotiations ; differences arise between the two ; Shelburne appoints himself lord of the treasury, 1782, 280 ; resigns his premiership, Feb. 24, 1783, 291 ; advocates for free trade, 291.
- Shelley, P. B. ; "Queen Mab" published, 1812 ; drowned, 1821 ; high character of his poetry, vii. 509.
- Shepherd, sir Samuel, attorney-general ; prosecutes Hone for libel, vii. 465, 466.
- Sheridan, R. B., elected M.P. for Stafford, vi. 242 ; resigns office, 1782, 280 ; defends the proceedings of the National Assembly ; Burke renounces him, 497 ; condemns with others, the proceedings of the National Convention against Louis XVI. 558 ; opposes the "Traitorous Correspondence" bill, 584 ; patriotically supports the mutiny bills of 1797, vii. 84 ; his speech on the projected French invasion of England, 1798, 95 ; moves an amendment to the address, 1799, 120 ; advocates a hearty co-operation with Spain against France, 270.
- Sheriffmuir, battle of, Nov. 14, 1715, v. 372-378.
- Shippen ; his remarks on the king's speech, 1717, v. 399 ; sent to the Tower in consequence, 399.
- Shore, sir John. See Teignmouth, lord.
- Shovel, sir Cloudesley, joins with sir G. Rooke and the prince of Darnstadt in the capture of Gibraltar, 1704, v. 152 ; commands the fleet carrying troops to Barcelona, 155 ; commands the English and Dutch fleet employed in the attack upon France, 1707 ; bombards Toulon ; wrecked on his return home, Oct. 22, 200 ; supposed to have been murdered by an old woman ; description of the wreck, 201.
- Shrewsbury (or Hateley Field), battle of, July 21, 1403, when Hotspur fell, i. 600.
- Shrewsbury, duke of, negotiates with Anne as to her revenue, iv. 499 ; William III.'s favourite minister ; resigns his secretary of state'ship at the command of James II., to whom he deserts, 1690, 504 ; again offered the office of secretary of state, which he accepts along with a dukedom, 588 ; recommends Marlborough to William's favour, 592 ; correspondence between William and, 1696, v. 33-35 ; accused of having communicated with James II., 37 ; the Queen appoints him lord high treasurer, 278 ; 360.
- Sidmouth, viscount. See Addington.
- Sidney, Algernon, opposes the trial of the king, ili. 579 ; is accused of participation in the Rye-House Plot, in 1683, iv. 285 ; his defence, and conviction, 288 ; is beheaded on Dec. 7, 289.
- Sidney, sir Philip, serves in the army sent to assist the Netherlands, ili. 102 ; death of, at Zutphen, 102 ; funeral of, 136.
- Sieyès, abbé, proposes that the 'Tiers Etats be called the "National Assembly," vi. 476 ; his plans for a constitution accepted by the people, vii. 59 ; a member of the French directory, 135 ; appointed one of the three consuls, 1799, 137.
- Sign paintings. See Painting.
- Sikh war, 1845, 1846, viii. 403-405.
- Silbury Hill, the largest artificial mound in Europe, i. 24.
- Silchester, i. 25 ; account of the Roman city of, i. 51, 52.
- Silk ; Lombe's mill at Derby ; trade of ; state of manufacture, iv. 405-406 ; prohibition of Indian silks, 428.
- Silures, unsubdued during the reign of Claudius, i. 33.
- Simmel, Lambert, imposture of, ii. 195 ; represents himself as son of the duke of Clarence, 195 ; his pretensions supported by the duchess of Burgandy, 195 ; proclaimed king in Dublin, 195 ; lands in England with a force under Martin

- Swartz, is defeated at Stoke, on June 4, 1487, and taken prisoner, 195; appointed to a mean office in Henry's kitchen, 196.
- Sindercomb, Miles, plot of, against Cromwell, iv. 89; its failure, 89.
- Singapore, ceded to the British, vii. 627.
- Sinking fund scheme, Pitt's, vi. 452, 453.
- Siward, earl of Northumbria (the Siward of Shakespere's Macbeth), notice of, i. 189.
- Skippon, major, his speech to the Londoners, iii. 464; takes the place of Essex as commander, 496; commands the centre with Fairfax at battle of Naseby, 503; escorts the payment of money to the Scots for the surrender of Charles I., 528.
- Slave trade carried on by Bristol traders, 17th and 18th centuries, iv. 390; by the merchants of Liverpool and Lyme, 411; Assiento contract for the supply of slaves renounced by England 1750, vi. 601; debate on, 1292; parliament resolves on its abolition, 526; slaves emancipated by France, 1792, vii. 176; she desires to re-establish the trade, 176; debates on, 1806; progress of the cause of abolition; parliament resolves to abolish, 232-234; slave trade abolition bill passed; receives the royal assent, March 25, 1807, 246; England and America agree to put down, 383; abolished by Bonaparte; persisted in by Portugal and Spain, 415.
- Slavery, existence of, in England under the Romans, i. 58; imposed by statute as a punishment for vagrancy in 1547, ii. 488; the statute repealed in 1549, 560.
- Slavery, colonial; Canning's resolutions for ameliorating the condition of slaves with a view to their ultimate emancipation agreed to, vii. 596, 597; slavery laws, 597; case of Mr. Smith the missionary, 597, 598; résumé of parliamentary efforts towards abolishing, viii. 139; resolutions in Mr. Stanley's bill for the abolition of, in the British colonies, 139, 140; progress of the bill through parliament 1833, 140; the first day of emancipation in America, Aug. 1, 1734, 141; Dr. Channing's address on the abolition of, 141, 142; proposition of president Lincoln in 1862 to emancipate slaves, 142; effects of the abolition, 143, 146; treaty with Brazil for abolition of, Oct. 18, 1825, 205; emancipation of the slaves in Jamaica, 249.
- Smirke, sir R., his architectural works, vi. 381, 534.
- Smith, John, a missionary, tried on a charge of inciting the Demerara negroes to revolt; sentenced to death; dies in consequence of imprisonment while in ill-health; his case brought before the British parliament, vii. 597, 598.
- Smith, sir Sidney, conducts the blowing up of the French fleet and arsenals on the evacuation of Toulon, Dec. 1793, vii. 23; defends Acre, 1799, 132, 133; commands the English fleet assisting the Turks, 1801, 161; commands a squadron at Palermo, 1806, 240.
- Smith, Sydney, desires the continuance of peace, 1823, vii. 583; "Mrs. Partington's" speech on the reform bill, 1831, viii. 88, 89; urges lord Grey to create peers to secure the passing of the reform bill, 100; describes the cruelty of not allowing counsel to prisoners, 189, 190; his idea of the queen's first duty, 225.
- Smolensk, battle before, 1812; evacuated by the Russians, vii. 340.
- Smollett, Tobias; his vivid description of the attack upon Carthage, v. 476, 477; publication of his "Roderick Random," 1748; "Peregrine Pickle," 1751; and "Ferdinand, Count Fathom," 1753, vi. 389; manners of 18th century illustrated from his works, 391-393, 395, 397, 415.
- Soane, sir John, built the Bank of England, the Treasury front, and some other edifices, vii. 533, 534.
- Sobraon, battle of, Feb. 10, 1846, viii. 405.
- Social evils, *temp.* William and Anne, iv. 452.
- Societies for reforming manners, first adopted by the Puritans, v. 47; Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge established about 1698, 48; Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, established about 1698, 48; an older one was established in 1649, but it died out soon after the Restoration, 48; artists stimulated by the Society of Arts, vi. 365; Society of Sign Painters, and exhibition of paintings by them, 369; meetings of the London Corresponding, the Constitutional Information, and the Friends of the People Societies, denouncing the French revolutionary doctrines, 563; Hampden Clubs, vii. 448, *et seq.*; Society of Spencean Philanthropists, 451, 452; British Institution, 542; Society of Painters in Water-colours, formed 1805, 548; Society for the Diffusion of Useful Knowledge originated by lord Brougham, 1826, viii. 20.
- Socinians, statute against, 1698, v. 45.
- Soda, manufacture of, promoted by the abolition of the tax on salt, iv. 408.
- Solmes, count, leads the Dutch guards at the battle of the Boyne, iv. 511; his charge on the Irish, 512, 513; commands the English at Steinkirk; his conduct at the battle blamed, 567, 568; slain, 1693, 568.
- Somers, lord, named solicitor-general, 1689, iv. 461; made lord keeper, 1693, 577; is the leader of his party, 587; promoted to the lord chancellorship, 1697; and made a peer, v. 38; sends William a blank commission for appointing

- commissioners, 75; removed from his lord chancellorship, 1700, 84; impeached in 1701 for his concern in the Partition treaties, 97; conferred with by William on the state of English politics, 1-4; loses his place in the privy council on the accession of queen Anne, 1702, 113; his weariness of factions, 127; has a great influence in bringing about the union of the two kingdoms, 181; his opinion on the Septennial Act; died, 1716, 338.
- Somerset House, notice of the building erected by the duke of Somerset, li. 557.
- Somerset, Robert Carr, earl of, rapid ride of, from London to Edinburgh to inform James of Elizabeth's death, iii. 239; lavishness of James towards, 293; assumes the government of the kingdom after Cecil's death, 274; marriage of, to the divorced lady Essex, 294; trial of, with the countess, for the murder of Sir Thomas Overbury, 299, 300; deceitful conduct of the king towards, 300.
- Somersetshire, a specimen of its dialect, iv. 399; agricultural progress of; King's Sedgmoor and the Quantock hills, vi. 321; Exmoor, its black-cocks and stags, 322; forty-one persons to every 100 acres, 322.
- Sophia, princess, of Hanover; her parentage, husband, and child; description of; becomes heir to the English crown, v. 90, 91; death of, May 14, 1728, 277.
- Sopha, princess of Zell, wife of George I., from whom she was divorced and confined in Aldhen Castle on account of a supposed connection with count Königsmark, v. 362; died November 13, 1726, 428.
- Soubise, prince de, encamps near Mucheln, vi. 37; defeated at Rosbach by Frederick, 37.
- Soult, marshal, serves in Jourdan's army, vii. 49; defeated by Moore at Corunna, Jan., 1809, 276; his testimony to Moore's merits, 279; takes possession of Oporto, March, 29, 284; obliges Wellington to retreat, 1809, 299; defeated at the battle of Albuera, May, 1811, 318; retreats to Seville, 318; and joins forces with Marmont, 318; commands the French army in Spain, 1813, 347; fights the battles of the Pyrenees, 347; his engagement with Wellington and Hill, 352; defeated by Wellington at Orthez, Feb. 27, 1814, 355; retreats to Toulouse, where he again fights with Wellington, April 10, 355; his enthusiastic reception at the queen's coronation, viii. 237.
- South Sea Company, its proposed scheme; eagerness of the public to obtain shares, 1720, v. 407; various other contemporary schemes, 408; the company endeavours to obtain a monopoly by repressing other companies, which brings about its own downfall; the rapid sinking of the stock, 409; and final bursting of the bubble; Walpole appointed to restore the national credit, 411; the South Sea scheme compared with the Mississippi scheme of John Law, 410, 412; bribery complaints from various trades, showing their deplorable state and the universal want of money, 413; Walpole's plan for sustaining the national credit; committee of inquiry appointed; flight of Knight, the cashier of the company; the various fates of earl Stanhope, earl of Sunderland, Aislable, James Craggs, and the postmaster-general, 414; the directors' estates are confiscated, and themselves declared incapable of holding government office, 415.
- Southey, Robert, character of the ancient Britons by, i. 23; he condemns the Inquisitorial character of the property tax, vii. 423; his style described by Byron, 505; his mental decay, viii. 307.
- Southwell, poor law reforms carried out at, prior to 1834, viii. 152.
- Sovereigns, Table of. See Tables.
- Spafields meeting of reformers and riot, 1816, vii. 453-455.
- Spencean philanthropists, the, their origin and objects, vii. 451, 452.
- Spencer, earl. First Lord of the Admiralty, 1794, vii. 53; secretary of state for home department, 1806, 229.
- Spenser, Edmund, embodiment of early English legends. by. i. 14; characteristics of his poetry, iii. 230.
- Spenser, Hugh. See Edward II.
- Spithead, the mutiny at, 1797, vii. 80, 82.
- Stael, Madame de, her remarks on Pitt and Fox, vi. 588; her description of the first days of the consulate, vii. 148.
- Stage coaches in the 18th century; manners as connected with, vi. 393, 394.
- Stair, earl of, commands the British troops in Flanders, 1743, v. 491; cut off from his supplies; advises George II. to make some perilous adventure; his advice not being adopted, he resigns his commission, 492.
- Stamp Act passed 1711; duties imposed by it; effects produced by, v. 269; for taxing America, 1765, vi. 83, 84; opposition to it in America, 84, 85; debates and remarks on the, 84-86; further opposition in America, 90; petition of London merchants against it, 90, 93; Pitt urges its repeal, 91-93; examination of Dr. Franklin on the American temper respecting the, 93, 94.
- Standard, battle of the, i. 283.
- Stanhope, James, earl of, general, sent with reinforcements for the relief of Barcelona, 1706, v. 159; carries Port Mahon in conjunction with admiral Leake, 1708, 207; applies the question of Sacheverel's impeachment to the high church

- doctrines, 222; persuades Charles to take the field against Philip V.; gains the battle of Almenara; July 20, 1710; and that near Saragossa, Aug. 20; enters Madrid, Sept. 21, 239; retreats to Brihuega; is surprised by the French under Vendôme; fights with him, and surrenders himself and army, Dec. 9, 241; becomes a secretary of state, 364; introduces a law excluding crown pensioners from parliament, 389; negotiates, for a French alliance, with the abbé Dubois, 391; discomfited violent measures against Russia, 392; reverses Gyllenburgh's intrigues to the council; disagrees with Townshend, 393; obtains the chief administration of affairs, 393; raised to the peerage, 1717, 397; desires to avert the war with Spain, 1718, 400; obtains the repeal of the occasional Conformity and Schism acts; desires also that of the Test act; and the mitigation of the penal laws against Roman Catholics, 401; his death, caused by a rush of blood into his head during a fit of anger, 1721, 415.
- Stanhope, earl, his experiments on the construction of steam-ships, 1794, vii. 40.
- Stanhope, Charles, cleared of the charge of bribery brought against him v. 414.
- Stanislaus Leczinsky, set up as king of Poland by Charles XII., v. 198; began to reign, 1704; Augustus restored in 1709, 604; his claim to the throne supported by France on the death of Augustus, 446.
- Star-Chamber court instituted by Henry VII. in 1488, ii. 230; extended jurisdiction given to, by Charles I., iii. 346; severe sentence of, on Prynne, in 1633, for publishing the "Histrio-mastix," 353; and on Dr. Leighton for writing against Prelacy, 355; convicts and inflicts heavy punishments, in 1637, on Prynne, Burton, and Bastwick, for their anti-prelatical writings, 365; fines the city of London 70,000*l.* for an infringement of the conditions on which they held their lands in Ulster, 359.
- Starenberg, general, joins general Stanhope in a campaign against Philip of Spain, 1710, v. 239; engages Vendôme at Villa Viciosa, Dec. 10.
- Statutes of Labourers, passed in 1349 and 1351, in order to fix their residence, and to establish a scale of wages, i. 522, 523; injustice and inefficiency of, 521.
- Steam-boats, Stanhope's experiments in the construction of, vii. 41; introduction of, on the Clyde, the Thames, and Loch Lomond, vii. 522; first employed by the navy in the Birmese war, 631; improvements in, war steamers first employed in battle at the siege of St. Jean d'Acre, 1840, 268.
- Steam-engine; Savery's invention; bill for encouraging the, iv. 416; employed in the cotton manufacture, vi. 352; thirty-three million spindles driven in 1256 by, 352; vast improvements in the, made by Watt, 361; Watt and Boulton's Soho works, 362; final establishment of the, and its amazing influence upon the affairs of the world, 363; the sword's antagonist, 363; applied to navigation purposes, vii. 40; viii. 521, 522.
- Steam printing machine; its influence in the spread of knowledge, vii. 522.
- Steele, Richard, Swift's intimacy with, v. 243; turned out of his employment for satirizing Harley, 243; expelled the House of Commons for writing a pamphlet entitled the "Crisis," 1713; ably defended by Addison and Walpole, 276; starts the "Tatler," April 12, 1709-280; character and aim of this work, 281; his influence and objects as an essayist, 285; with Addison directs the attention of the people to Shakspeare and Milton, 287, 288; his creation of Sir A. Freepart, Captain Sentry, and Will Honeycomb, 280; the Spectator's club, 290, 291; his notice of three plays, 301; description of the prude and coquette by, 305; denounces luxurious dining and the fashion of duelling, 310; endeavours to repress gaming, 312; advocates for mercy towards the rebel lords, 382.
- Steinkirks, battle of, Aug. 3, 1692, iv. 566, 567; debate on the, 567, 568.
- Stephen, earl of Boulogne, swears to the succession of Matilda, i. 273; departs for England on the death of Henry I., 278; crowned king of England on Dec. 26, 1135, 279; remarkable outbreak of the people against the Forest Laws, and general confusion, 279; preferred by the people to a female sovereign, 280; evil effects of the feudal system under, 280; early reaction of the nobles in favour of Matilda, 280; is attacked by David, king of Scotland, who supports the claim of Matilda, 281; the Scots defeated by the bishop of Durham, with the aid of the Saxons, in the battle of the Standard, 283; reduces some of the disaffected barons, 283; quarrels with the clergy, and arrests the bishops of Salisbury and Lincoln, 287; his brother, the bishop of Winchester, denounces him at a synod, and he is threatened with excommunication, 287; Matilda lands in England to support her claim, and is besieged in Arundel castle, 289; is allowed to pass to Bristol to join the earl of Gloucester, 289; evils of the destructive partisan war, 289, battle of Lincoln, 280; taken prisoner and confined in Bristol castle, 291; his wife expels Matilda from London with the aid of the inhabitants, 292; is exchanged for the earl of Gloucester, who had been captured at Winchester, 294; sympathy of the people for, 294; besieges Matilda in Oxford, 296; turmoil and desolation in the kingdom in 1142, 296; is attacked by Henry II., whom he accepts as his successor, 297; dies Oct. 25, 1154, 298.
- Stephenson, George, his rise from the position of engine foreman; his patent

- safety lamp ; constructs a locomotive, 1814 ; viii. 57 ; constructs the Darlington and Stockton, and the Liverpool and Manchester railways, 57, 58 ; his " Rocket " engine ; railway works by himself and his son, Robert, 58, 59.
- Sterne, Lawrence, his " Tristram Shandy " published, 1759-1767, vi. 389.
- Steuben, aide-de-camp to Frederick of Prussia, vi. 198 ; volunteers into the American service, 198 ; condition of the American army according to, 204 ; he drills and re-organizes it, 205 ; one of the court appointed to try André ; his concern for André ; 238 ; renders valuable assistance at the siege of York Town, 1781, 252.
- Stevenson, colonel, pursues the Scindia's army, vii. 222 ; unable to join Wellington in time to take part in the battle of Assye, 222.
- hampoor, 225.
- Stewart, General, sent to take Rosetta ; compelled to retreat, 1807, vii. 254.
- Stockdale and Hansard, case off. See Hansard.
- Stockholm, treaty of, Mar. 24, 1724, v. 265 ; Mar. 3, 1813, vii. 643.
- Stockings, manufacture of, in the 16th, 17th, 18th and 19th centuries, iv. 403.
- Stoke, battle of, in support of Lambert Simnel, on June 4, 1487, ii. 195.
- Stonehenge, notice of, i. 24.
- Stopford, sir R. admiral ; his naval successes against Mehemet Ali, viii. 268, 269.
- Storni, great, of 1703 ; Eddystone lighthouse destroyed by it, iv. 394.
- Stourmont, lord, maltreated by the Gordon rioters, 1780, vi. 231 ; secretary of state 1779, 231 ; 1782, 603.
- Stothard, his paintings ; vignettes, vii. 544.
- Strabo, statement of, relating to the peaceful communication of the Britons with the Romans, after Cæsar's invasion, i. 20.
- Strafford, earl of (sir Thomas Wentworth), supports the Petition of Right in 1628, iii. 337 ; becomes chief minister of Charles I. after the death of Buckingham, 351 ; is created a viscount, made Lord President of the North, and Lord Deputy of Ireland, 352 ; explains to Laud the principle of *thorough*, on which England and Ireland were to be governed, 353 ; proposes to the king a monopoly of salt, 358 ; strong expressions in favour of the levy of Ship Money, 363 ; prevented by illness from taking the command of the English forces against the Scots at Newburg, 381 ; wishes to return to Ireland, but the king pledges himself for his safety, and he remains in London, 382 ; is impeached by the House of Commons in 1641, 390, 391 ; is arrested and committed to the Tower, 392 ; charges brought against, 394 ; preparation of Westminster Hall for the trial of, 395 ; conduct of during the trial, 396 ; his defence, 397 ; papers of the Privy Council furnished against, 398 ; his eloquent speech on, during his defence, 400 ; a bill of attainder passed against, by both Houses, 404 ; firm demeanour of, at his execution, on May 12, 1641, 496.
- Strafford, lord sir John Byng, accusation against, respecting Oliver the spy, quite unfounded, vii. 452, 460.
- Strange, sir Robert, an engraver ; his diligence and skill, vi. 377.
- Struensee, a favourite of the king of Denmark ; a conspiracy organized against by the queen dowager ; tried and beheaded, vi. 148, 149.
- Struensee, a favourite of the king of Denmark ; a conspiracy organised against by the queen dowager ; tried and beheaded vi. 148, 149.
- Strutt, Jedediah, obtains a share in Arkwright's spinning machine, vi. 345.
- Stuart, Charles Edward Louis Casimir (The Young Pretender) ; born in 1720, v. 416 ; called from Rome to Paris to aid in an invasion of Great Britain, 1743 ; the expedition failing he retires to Gravelines, 491 ; Jacobitism of England and Scotland, 497, 499 ; determines upon restoring the fortunes of his house, 500 ; writes to his father of his intentions, 500 ; arrives at Erika, July 23, 1745, 503 ; his voyage from Belleisle ; takes shelter in MacDonald's house ; his cold reception, 504 ; persuades Clanranald and two other chiefs to join him ; lands at Borodale, July 25 ; communicates with the clan chiefs ; persuades Cameron of Lochiel to aid in his enterprise, 505 ; the Glenfinnan gathering, Aug. 19 ; his standard is raised and the Stuart proclamation read, 506 ; his own resources and the crippled state of the government's army 508 ; marches southward ; reaches Perth Sept. 4, having passed Cope marching northwards ; enters Perth ; his cause gains ground ; he is joined by Drummond and Murray, 509 ; crosses the Forth ; joined by lord Kilmarnock ; reaches Corstorphine ; preparations for defence at Edinburgh, 510 ; obtains possession of the town, Sept. 17 ; enters Holyrood house ; James VIII. proclaimed king ; Cope embarks his troops at Aberdeen ; lands at Dunbar, and marches towards Edinburgh, 511 ; battle of Preston-Pans, Sept. 21, in which Cope receives a signal defeat, 512, 513 ; sleeps at Pinkie house ; and returns to Edinburgh, 514 ; blockade of Edinburgh Castle ; intends crossing the border, 515 ; English opinions of the rebellion, 516 ; enters Cumberland, Nov. 8 ; expects a great insurrectionary movement among the English ; the inhabitants of Kilmarnock refuse to rise, 523 ; cause of non-resistance to his advance ; Carlisle is invested, Nov. 10, 524 ; and taken three days after, 525 ; the dubiousness of his cause - he continues his march into Eng-

- land, 527; Manchester recruits: the town is taken by a sergeant, a drum and a woman; Johnstone's narrative thereof, 528; the lukewarmness of Manchester, 530; marches on to Macclesfield; crosses the Mersey; reaches Derby, Dec. 5, 530; they plunder the town of the collected taxes; the duke of Cumberland pursues him, and gen. Wade advances upon him from the north, 531; the enthusiasm of his Highlanders; their eagerness to fight Cumberland; a retreat is resolved upon, 531; Johnstone's fictitious narrative of the panic in London, 532, 533; the Londoners prepare for defence, 534; his cause receives no encouragement from the commercial or industrious public, 535, 5 6; he retreats from Derby, 535; loses confidence; his mistaken notions and weakness of character, 539; he is pursued by Cumberland and Oglethorpe, 541; the retreat is delayed, by bad roads; lord Murray takes up a position near Clifton to wait the attack of the pursuing army, 541; has a skirmish with the foremost dragoons whom he beats back; arrives at Carlisle; the prince leaves that city in the hands of a few followers; his callous indifference as to their fate, 543; Carlisle is invested by the Duke of Cumberland; it capitulates, Dec. 30; the Highland army recrosses the border, Dec. 20; reaches Glasgow; makes heavy levies on the citizens; gen. Hawley's contempt for the Highlanders, 545; the battle of Falkirk, 545; Hawley's retreat, 547; lord Lovat's double dealing, 548; the prince's rage at hearing of the desertions in his army, 549; arrival at Inverness, 551; encamps at Culloden Moor, 552; his confidence of gaining a victory, 553; is met by Cumberland and receives a thorough defeat, May 16, 1746; his conduct in the battle, 554; the barbarities after the battle, 557; his wanderings in the highlands; gains shelter in South Uist island; escapes to Skye; is befriended by Flora MacDonald, 564; escapes to France, Sept. 20, 564; refuses to quit Paris when ordered; is imprisoned at Vincennes; turned loose in Savoy; visits England in 1750, 570; Canova's bust of him at Rome; takes the title of count of Albany; died, Jan. 31, 1788, 587.
- Stuart, James Francis Edward (the Pretender), makes an attempt to land in Scotland, but fails and returns to Dunkirk, 1708, v. 203; his private interviews with Bolingbroke, 1712, 272; adheres firmly to the church of Rome in spite of the Jacobites urging him to turn Protestant, 275; issues a manifesto on the death of Queen Anne, asserting his right to the vacant throne, 363; the earl of Mar raises his standard at Braemar, Sept. 6, 1715; and proclaims him James VIII. of Scotland, and James III. of England, 367; lands at Peterhead, and joins the camp at Perth, Jan. 16, 1716; his spiritless appearance; assumes the royal state, 378; retreats before Argyle; takes flight to France, Feb.; his compassion for the villagers whose homes had been burnt down, 380; arrives at Paris; meets Bolingbroke there, 386; and dismisses him from his service, 386; forms an alliance with Spain, 1718; arrives at Madrid, 401; failure of the expedition against Scotland, 402; birth of his son, the Young Pretender, 1720, 416; declaration issued, Sept. 22, 1722, proposing that George I. should yield up the British throne to James III., 418; it is burnt as a libel by order of parliament, 418; hopelessness of his cause, 1727, 433; bill against his two sons, 1744, 437.
- Stuart James, his Athenian antiquarian inquiries, vi. 381.
- Stuart, sir John, commander of the British army; defeats the French general Beynier at the battle of Maida, July 4, 1806, vii. 241.
- Stubbs, Philip, picture of the times afforded by his "Anatomie of Abuses," iii. 172, *et seq.*
- Suetonius, account by, of the expedition of Caligula to invade Britain, i. 28.
- Suetonius Paulinus assumes the command of the Romans in Britain, A. D. 58; attacks and takes Mona (Anglesey), the chief seat of the Druids, i. 33; returns to repress the revolt of Boadicea, 36; obtains a conquest over her, 36.
- Suffolk, agricultural condition of, vi. 302; the labourers, farmers and housewives of, 303; a seat of the husbandry implement trade, 304.
- Suffolk, Howard, lady, George II.'s mistress; Walpole devotes himself to queen Caroline, not lady Suffolk, v. 430.
- Suffolk, lord, a secretary of state, 1771-1779, vi. 202, 603; his reply to Chatham's speech on the address, 202, 202.
- Sugar duties, alterations of a free trade tendency in the, proposed by lord John Russell, 1841, viii. 272; debates on them, 272, 274; rejection of the ministerial propositions, 274; further debates on the, 1844, 368, 369.
- Sajah Dowlah, vizier of Oude; Shah Alum's landed property sold to; hires an army from the English; invades the Rohilla country, vi. 428; died, 429.
- Sullivan, general, in the American army; taken prisoner at Brooklyn, vi. 190; employed by Howe as his agent to the congress, 192.
- Sunderland, Charles Spencer, earl of Marlborough's son-in-law; dismissed his secretaryship of state, 1710, v. 235; secretary of state, 1717, 394; accused of being concerned in the South Sea scheme fraud; acquitted; resigns his post of first commissioner of the Treasury, 416; his death, 1722, 416.
- Sunderland, Robert Spencer, earl of, one of William's confidential advisers, iv.

- 586; his character and principles, 588; entertains William at Althorp, 1695, v. 19; lord chamberlain; issues an order to prevent stage licentiousness, 48; attempts to obtain the lord chancellorship for Somers, 88; William's correspondence with, on the state of English affairs in 1701, 104.
- Surajah Dowlah succeeds his grandfather, April, 1756; in hopes of booty he storms and takes Calcutta; tragedy of the black hole, June 20, vi. 27; sufferings of the prisoners, 27, 28; marches from Moorshedabad; encamps near Fort William; signs a treaty of alliance with Clive; goes over to the French, 29; defeated by Clive at Plassey, June 23, 1757, 30, 31; seeks protection from the French at Patna; murder of, 31.
- Sutton, Manners, re-elected speaker of the House of Commons, 1819, vii. 481; again in 1830, viii. 61, chosen for the sixth time, 1831, 80; again, with Mr. Littleton as candidate, 1833, 127; fails in being elected, 1835, 172.
- Sunwaroff, a Russian general; captures Ismail; cruelties perpetrated, vi. 502; defeats the Poles, Oct. 10, 1794; captures Warsaw; barbarities inflicted on the inhabitants, vii. 51; commands the Russo-Austrian army, 1799; gains the battle of Trebbia, 134; returns home, 147.
- Sweyn, with a Danish fleet, ravages England in 980, i. 173; returns to avenge the massacre of the Danes, 176; lands again in 1012, and proclaims himself king of England, 189; London surrenders to, 189; death of, in 1011, 180.
- Swift, Jonathan, points out to parliament men the dangers of quarrelling, v. 97; a satirical party writer; his character as a partisan, 243; his dealings with Harley and St. John who make him their tool, 244; his opinion on Marlborough's dismissal from office, 255; the operation of the stamp duty according to, 270; author of the pamphlet "The Public Spirit of the Whigs," is presented with £100 by the earl of Oxford, 276; his contributions to the "Tatler," 293; means in asking for place, 294; his remarks upon the education of ladies, 303; his "Battle of the Books," 319; character of his writings, 328; marvellous power of preserving the circumstantiality of his tales, and the complete personification of his characters, 328; his "Tale of a Tub," 328; and "Gulliver's Travels," 329; condemns Vanbrugh's architecture, 341; author of the Drapier's letters inveighing against Wood's patent for a copper coinage, 1724, 421; a reward of £300 offered for the discovery of the author of the letters; withdrawal of the patent 422; died, 1745, vi. 386; application of his story of the "Spider and the Bee," viii. 309.
- Swinfield, bishop of Hereford, account of the Household Roll of, for 1289 and 1290, i. 435; domestic life and duties of the bishop, 436; manor houses of, and modes of living at, 437; Christmas feast of, 439; labourers, and serfs under, 441; journey of, to London, 444; lodging the provisions on the road, 445; arrival of, in London, 446; notice of London markets and shops, 447; departs from London, 448; visitation of, 449; gardens, orchard, and vineyard, of, at Bosbury, 451; building operations of, at Womenswold, in Kent, 453; furniture provided for 453; dresses provided by, and the prices, 454.

T.

- TABLES, of treaties, 1326-1731, v. 258-265; of contemporary sovereigns from 1689-1714, 603; of British writers arranged chronologically, 605-611; chronological list of treaties from 1732-1748, 502; principal officers of state, 1741-1770, 139, 140; contemporary sovereigns, 1714-1788, vi. 600; treaty list, 1750-1784, 601-602; principal officers of state, 1768-1782, 603; the growth of the national debt, 604, 606; treaties from 1787 to 1802, vii. 169, 170; population of Great Britain; of England in 1700, 1750, and 1801; of Scotland and Wales in 1801, all arranged by counties, 171; contemporary sovereigns and rulers, 1789-1814, 636, 638; principal officers of state, 1783-1815, 639, 640; chronological table of treaties, 1802-1814, 641-644; the national debt, 1793-1815, 645; population of Great Britain in 1811, 645; table of deceased British writers of the 19th century, 523-528; list of George IV.'s ministers, June, 1820, 552; lists of the Canning cabinet, 1827, 618; and the Grey ministry, Dec. 1830, viii. 67; contemporary sovereigns and rulers, 1815-1837, 68; population of the United Kingdom, 1821 and 1831, 69; lists of the Peel cabinet, 171; and of the Melbourne ministry, 1837, 204; table of treaties, 1815-1850, 204, 205; and of the national debt, 1815-1847; growth of the debt, 206; chronological table of British writers of the 19th century, 332-336; table, of contemporary sovereigns, 433, 434; of the population, 1841-1851, 435; occupations of the people, 1851, 436; census of religious worships, 437; and education, 1851, 438; public income and expenditure, 1815-1850, 439; commerce, 440.
- Tacitus, statement of, that Cæsar did not conquer Britain, i. 20; his account of the Britons affords an early indication of the national character, 31; the speeches attributed by, to Caractacus, Boadicea, and Galgacus, probably fictitious, but affording a true representation of the facts and feelings of the time, 32.
- Talavera, battle of, 28th July, 1809, vii. 298, 299.

- Talbot, lord, defied by Joan of Arc, ii. 50; taken prisoner at the battle of Patay, 54; the possessions of the duke of Burgundy, ravaged by, 58; death of, at Castillon in 1458, 59.
- Talfourd, T. N., his eloquent speech at Reading, vii. 493; principles of his copy-right bill, viii. 302, 303; the bill rejected, 303.
- Taliesin, notice by, of the massacre of the monks of Bangor, i. 85.
- Tallard, sent as ambassador to the English court, 1638; his remarks upon the state of political parties, v. 51, 52; negotiates with William III. the terms of the Partition treaty, 73, 74; ordered to leave the Rhine and advance into Suabia at the head of his army, 1704, 132; joins the Elector of Bavaria, Aug. 8. 140; they encamp near the Nebel, 141; takes up his head quarters at the village of Blenheim, 141; faults in the disposition of his troops, 142; he leads the main body of the French army; is opposed by Marlborough, defeated, and compelled to retreat to Sonderheim, where he surrenders himself, 144; carried to England by Marlborough, 148.
- Talleyrand, Bishop of Autun; considers church property to belong to the nation, vi. 495; visits London as the agent of the French government; received with coldness and reserve; returns to Paris; again returns to England on an embassy, 522; which also had a bad reception, 523; assists Bonaparte's intrigues for power, vii. 135; his correspondence with Grenville, 140, 143; Fox's negotiations with, for peace, 1806, 235; end of the negotiations, 237; suspected of having revealed the secret articles of Tilsit to the English, 259; one of the plenipotentiaries at the Congress of Vienna, 411, *et seq.*; secretly negotiates with Castlereagh and Metternich, 412; resigns his Presidentship of the Council, 416; anxious to prevent capital punishment, 416, 417.
- Talmash commands some troops sent against Bresl, iv. 591; unable to land his men; mortally wounded in the attempt, 1694, 591.
- Tatler, objects, plan, and character of Steele's; started, 1709, v. 280-281.
- Taylor, Rowland, behaviour of, in prison, ii. 614; martyrdom at Hadleigh in 1555, 615.
- Tea, formerly taxed; prices of, early part of 18th century, iv. 428.
- Teignmouth, sacked by the French under Tourville, July, 1690, iv. 519; a subscription raised in behalf of the inhabitants of, 519.
- Teignmouth, lord; as sir John Shore, he succeeds earl Cornwallis as governor-general of India, vii. 128; succeeded by lord Mornington, 1798, 128.
- Telford, Thomas, his career and great engineering works, vii. 537, 538; opinion in 1830 of the capabilities of the locomotive engine, viii. 56.
- Templars (Knights), mission from the kingdom of Jerusalem to, in 1185, i. 337; dissolution of the order of the, in France, in 1307, 488; and suppression of, in England, in 1308, 488; their house in London given to the students of the law, 489.
- Temple, earl, moves an amendment in the address, 1755, v. 601; first lord of the admiralty, 1756, vi. 21; desires to save Byng under cover of the king's name; dismissed his office, 24; lord privy seal, 33; fails in obtaining the garter, 49; supports Pitt's war policy, 1761, 61; resigns office, 62; Wilkes' friend; disapproves of the "North Briton's" attack on the Scotch, 72; visits Wilkes in the Tower, 74; dismissed his lord-lieutenancy of Buckinghamshire, struck off the privy council list, 174; his share in the negotiations for Pitt's return to power, 1765, 89; declines the king's offer of the first lord of the treasuryship, 98; suggested to be the author of "Junius," 111, 112, 115; lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 1782, 280; secretary of state, 1783; differs with Pitt; resigns, 444.
- Temple, sir William, concludes the Triple Alliance in 1668, iv. 206; it is repudiated in 1671, and he retires to private life, 215; notice of the prince of Orange by, 231; suggests a scheme of government by a cabinet, 249.
- Tenchebrai, battle of, in 1105, i. 268.
- Tennyson, Alfred; his poetry, viii. 324, 325.
- Tessé, marshal, joins Philip V.; with him invades Catalonia, 1706, v. 160; raises the siege of Barcelona, 161.
- Test Act, William proposes, without avail, the repeal of the, 1689, iv. 467; repeal of suggested, 1730, v. 435-436; again in 1772, vi. 147; in 1790, 498; repealed, 1828, viii. 23, 21.
- Tewkesbury, the Lancastrians totally defeated at the battle of, on May 4, 1471, ii. 143.
- Teynham, Henry Francis, lord, his reform plans and suggestions, viii. 120.
- Thackeray, W. M., voluminousness and character of his novels, viii. 318, 323.
- Thaet, complete insulation of, in the time of the Romans, i. 49.
- Theatre. See Drama.
- Thelwall, John, arrested on a charge of high treason, vii. 35; trial of; defended by Frestine, and acquitted, 37.
- Theodosius, repel the invasion of the Picts and Scots into Britain, i. 67.
- Thiers, M., his account of the intended invasion of Britain by Napoleon, i. 28; his

- remarks on Wellington, vii. 307 ; president of the French council, viii. 262 ; his warlike tone towards England, 263, 267 ; the king refusing to acquiesce in his hostile speech to be delivered to the chambers, he resigns, 269.
- Thistle, order of the, revived by queen Anne, 1703 ; statutes of the, broken by Georges I. and IV., v. 194.
- Thistlewood, Arthur, a Spencean fanatic, vii. 452 ; one of the leaders of the Spafields reformers, 453 ; antecedents of, 554 ; conspires to assassinate the cabinet ministers ; his plot discovered, and himself tried and executed, 1820, 554-556.
- Thom, J. N., his earlier career ; imprisoned, viii. 241 ; released by lord John Russell, 241 ; proclaims himself the Messiah at Boughton, 242 ; rouses the people, 242, 243 ; his three days' march, 243-245 ; the tragedy of Bosenden Wood, 246 ; slain in the encounter, 246.
- Thomson, James, his "Castle of Indolence," published, 1748.
- Thomson, C. P., vice-president of the board of trade and treasurer of the navy, 1830, viii. 67 ; his remarks on Peel's repeal of the house tax, 1834, 164 ; president of the board of trade, 164, 203.
- Thornhill, sir J., his roof paintings, v. 349 ; opens an academy of painting, 1724, vi. 366 ; suggests a plan for a Royal Academy, 367.
- Thurlow, Edward, attorney-general, vi. 157 ; lord chancellor, 1778-1792, 209, 603 ; vii. 639 ; opposes Burke's Economical Reform bill, vi. 267 ; and the contractors' and revenue officers' bills, 268 ; opposes Fox's libel bill in the House of Lords, 526 ; becoming obnoxious to the ministry, he is compelled to give up the great seal, 1792, 526.
- Ticonderoga, British attack on, 1758, repulsed, vi. 40 ; surprised by the Americans, 1775, 170, 171 ; recaptured by Burgoyne, 1777, 199.
- Tielmans, tried for conspiring against the Netherlands government ; found guilty ; banished, 1830, viii. 55.
- Tierney, George, opposes Pitt's income tax scheme, 1798, vii. 106 ; his conduct in the Caroline debate of, 1820, viii. 567 ; master of the Mint, 618.
- Tilsit, treaty of, July, 1807, vii. 258, 642.
- Tinville, Fouquier, furious zeal shown by, as public accuser, vi. 591, 592 ; executed, 1795, vii. 58.
- Tippoo Saib, son of Hyder Ali ; concludes a peace with the British, vi. 438 ; wars against the British under Cornwallis, 1790, 1791 ; defeated May 15, 1791 ; surrenders Seringapatam, Feb., 1792, and concludes a peace, Mar. 19, 573-575 ; Bonaparte's letter to, 1799, vii. 128 ; he relies upon assistance from the French ; general Harris enters his territory of Mysore, Mar. 5, 1799, 129 ; Sepingapatam stormed and Tippoo slain, May 4, 130 ; division of his territory, 130.
- Titus, allusion of, to the security afforded to the Britons by the sea, i. 15.
- Tocqueville, his remarks on the public opinion respecting the French revolution, vi. 491 ; and the French national character, vii. 21.
- Tolentino, treaty of, Feb. 19, 1797, vii. 109.
- Toleration Act, passed, 1689, iv. 467 ; an imperfect boon, 467, 468.
- Tone, Wolfe, captured ; sentenced to death ; commits suicide ; died Nov. 19, 1798, vii. 117.
- Tooke, Horne, arrested on a charge of high treason, vii. 35 ; trial of, 37 ; defended by Erskine and acquitted, 37.
- Torgau, battle of, 1760, vi. 49.
- Torres Vedras, construction of, and events connected with Wellington's lines of, vii. 307-311.
- Torrington, earl of, sent out to fight the French, defeated off Beachy Head, June, 1690 ; his baseness and hesitating conduct, iv. 515.
- Tostig, brother of Harold, succeeds Siward as earl of Northumbria, i. 197 ; oppression exercised by, occasions an insurrection, 202 ; is banished, 203 ; invades England with a force of Norwegians, is defeated and slain at Stamford Bridge in 1066, 206.
- Toulon, besieged by the allies, 1707, v. 200 ; negotiations ; of the royalists of, with lord Hood, vii. 19 ; the fleet and harbour surrendered to the British, 20 ; political opinions of its inhabitants, 20, 21 ; besieged by the republicans, 19-23 ; the British compelled to evacuate the place, 23 ; republican barbarities, 24, 25.
- Tournay, surrendered to the allies, 1709, v. 213 ; invested by the French, 1745, 495.
- Tourville, count de, a French admiral ; defeats Torrington off Beachy Head, June 30, 1690, iv. 514 ; anchors off Torbay, July 22, lands his troops at Teignmouth and devastates the village, 518 ; sails for France, 519 ; defeated by Russell off La Hogue, May 19, 1692, 562 ; his fleet, having assembled, is again dispersed on the 23rd by admiral Rooke, 563 ; joins the Toulon fleet, 584 ; sails into the Mediterranean, 590.
- Townley, colonel in the Pretender's army ; tried, v. 560 ; executed, 1746, 560.
- Townley, Charles, his collection of sculpture ; bought for the British Museum, 1805, viii. 541, 542.

- Townshend, lord, Charles, his fear that France will render aid to the Pretender, v. 378; disagrees with Stanhope; dismissed from his office of secretary of state; offered the lord lieutenancy of Ireland, 393; which he reluctantly accepts, 393; but is dismissed from it, April 3, 1717, 394; appointed secretary of state in room of earl Stanhope, 1721, 415; accompanies George I. to Hanover, 1727, 427-429; quarrels with Walpole; resigns office, 434; and retires to the country, 434.
- Townshend, brigadier-general, completes Wolfe's victory before Quebec, 1759, vi. 47.
- Townshend, Charles, chancellor of the exchequer, 1766, vi. 98, 140; his astonishment at Pitt's political schemes, 99; dies of fever, 1768, 102.
- Townshend, Thomas, draws attention to the tardiness of action by the navy authorities, 1778, vi. 213; secretary of state, 1782, 280, 603.
- Towton, the battle of, on March 29, 1461, and victory of the Yorkists, ii. 122, 123; constitution of the contending armies of the Roses, 122.
- Trafalgar, battle of, Oct. 21, 1805, vii. 208-211.
- Traitorous correspondence bill passed, 1744; debate on it, v. 497, 498; another introduced, 1793, and passed in a modified form, vi. 584; debate on, 585, 588.
- Travancore, rajah of, attacked by Tippoo, vi. 573; wars against Britain, 1807-1809, vii. 620, 621.
- Travelling, means for, as shown in bishop Swinfield's journeys between Prestbury and London, i. 444, 448; another journey from Oxford to Canterbury, in 1289, 446; travelling in London, 1289, 447; as shown in his visitations, 1290, 449; mode of, as exhibited by a Scotch army in 1312, 479; dangers of, in the latter half of the 15th century, ii. 98; dangerous condition of the London streets, *temp.* Henry VIII., 499; statute passed for repairing the highways, 1555, 500; means for, on the Thames, *temp.* Henry VIII., 501; insecurity of, illustrated in the murder of Arden of Feversham, in 1551, 504; custom of resorting to the seaside for pleasure a modern one, iv. 391; horror of, in a hilly country, 391; partly caused by the bad roads, *temp.* queen Anne, 392; Bath and Tunbridge Wells, the principle resorts of pleasure seekers, 393; in Yorkshire, *temp.* Q. Anne, 413; bad state of the road between London and Kensington, 1736, 461; stage coaches, inns, and travelling accommodation about 1737, vi. 362; dangers from highwaymen, drunken drivers, and rapid coaching, 393; first mail coach started (1784) by Mr. Palmer, 394.
- Treasonable Attempts bill passed 1795; its objects; debate on it, vii. 61, 62.
- Treason, bills for regulating trials in cases of high, brought forward; not passed, 1692, iv. 558; passed 1695; lord Ashley's speech on, v. 23.
- Treaties, chronological table of, and the wars connected with them, from 1326 to 1731, v. 258, 263; from 1732 to 1748, 502; from 1750 to 1784, vi. 601, 602; from 1787 to June 25, 1802, vii. 169, 170; from 1802 to 1814, 641, 644; from 1815 to 1850, viii. 204, 205.
- Trevor, sir John, master of the rolls; parliamentary corruption commenced by, iv. 503; receives a thousand guinea bribe for assisting in passing the Orphans act; he is discovered, found guilty, and expelled the House of Commons, of which he was the speaker, v. 14.
- Tribute, not paid by Britain for some time after the invasion of Cæsar, i. 27.
- Trichinopoly defended by Mahomed Ali, v. 594; the siege raised by the British, 595.
- Triennial bill introduced and passed by both Houses, 1693; but vetoed by the king; becomes law, 1694, iv. 575, 593.
- Triple Alliance, the, concluded, Jan. 4, 1717, v. 393.
- Trogoff, admiral, commands the Toulon fleet, 1793; an anti-revolutionist, vii. 19.
- Troppau, Congress of, Oct. 20, 1820, viii. 204.
- Trotter, the navy paymaster; his evidence against lord Melville, 1805, vii. 200.
- Trumbull, sir William; ambassador and secretary of state; first encourager of Pope's poetical talents, v. 296.
- Tullibardine, marquis, joins the Scotch insurrection of 1715, v. 368; and the earl Marischal's expedition, 1719, 402; accompanies Charles Edward in his descent upon Scotland, 503; raises the Pretender's standard, Aug. 19, 1745, 506.
- Turin invested by the French, 1706; prince Eugene compels them to raise the siege, v. 133.
- Turner, bishop of Ely, enters into Jacobitical intrigues, iv. 531; implicated by Preston in his plot, 533.
- Turner, J. W. M.; character of his principal pictures, vii. 546; his early popularity, 547; style of his early water colour paintings, 548.
- Turnpikes, laws relating to, amended and consolidated, in consequence of the Rebecca riots, viii. 355.
- Tyrawley, lord, placed at the bar of the House of Commons to give evidence on the Spanish war, v. 241.
- Tyrconnel: his schemes in favour of James II. iv. 475; puts Ireland into a state of defence, 475; dominates over the Irish protestants, and places the power of

the kingdom in the hands of the papists, 476; assumes the viceroyship of Ireland under James, 1691, 535.

U.

- ULFRUS, lands given by, to the chapter of York, with a horn as the symbol of the title, i. 141.
- Ulin capitulated to the allies, Sept. 12, 1704, v. 147; peace of, July 3, 1620, 261; besieged by Napoleon; to whom it is surrendered by Mack, Oct. 20, 1805, vii. 207.
- Union, Anne empowered by parliament to negotiate for a, with Scotland, 1702, v. 114; progress of the negotiations; debates and speeches; national feelings elicited, 176-195; the act of union received the royal assent, March 6, 1787, 195.
- Union with Ireland proposed in George III.'s message to parliament, Jan. 22, 1799, vii. 107; progress of the bill for; debates, &c., 118, 126; completed 1800, 187.
- United Irishmen, the, organized; they scheme a rebellion, vii. 109, 110; narrative of the rebellion, 111, 118.
- University of London opened, 1828, viii. 21; debate on the motion for granting a charter to the; the motion carried, 1835; various names applied to the institution, 174.
- Utrecht, commencement of negotiations at, 1711, v. 257; union of, Jan. 22, 1579, 261; terms of the peace announced to parliament, 270; treaty of, signed by Great Britain, France, Savoy, Portugal, Prussia, and the States-General, April 11, and by Spain, July 13, 1713; conditions of the treaty, 264, 273.
- Uxbridge, lord, leads the English cavalry at Quatre Bras, vii. 396; his leg shot off at Waterloo, 403.

V.

- VACCINATION discovered by E. Jenner; the great good that has resulted from its practice, vii. 521.
- Vagrancy, statute to repress, in 1504, ii. 240; atrocious severity of one in 1536, 340; renewed statute in 1547, imposing slavery as a punishment, 488; repealed, 1549, 560; statute of Elizabeth against, iii. 197; inefficiency of the laws against, 198; hardships of the vagrancy laws on the poor, vii. 441.
- Valençay, treaty of, 8 Dec. 1813, vii. 643.
- Valencia besieged by Phillip, v. 159; stratagems employed by Peterborough in accomplishing its relief, 159, 160.
- Valenciennes, siege of; and capitulation to the allies, July 28, 1793, vii. 13.
- Valmy, battle of, Sept. 20, 1792, vi. 549.
- Vanbrugh, sir John, commences the Blenheim palace, 1705, v. 168; begins his practice as architect in his 36th year, with Castle Howard, 341; his chief works; their character condemned by the wits and essayists, but properly appreciated by sir J. Reynolds, 311.
- Vaudamme, general, repulsed by Abercromby in Holland, 1799, vii. 138; defeated and taken prisoner at the battle of the Katzbach, 1813, 348.
- Vandeweldes, their sea paintings; they found a school of painting, v. 349.
- Vane, sir Henry, the younger, produces the papers of the Privy Council to prove the guilt of Strafford, iii. 398; chief negotiator with Scotland for an alliance with the parliament, 485; his modifications of the treaty in favour of toleration, 486; a leading Independent statesman, 520; wishes to keep the army intact, 533; one of the chief disputants with the king at the treaty of Newport, 533; bears testimony to the talent of Charles, 570; retires into the country after the ejection of members by the army, till after the king's execution, 577; remarks of Mrs. Hutchinson on his conduct at this period, 577; becomes an active member of the Council of State, 590; President of the Committee for the Navy, iv. 17; conduct of, at the dissolution of the Long Parliament by Oliver Cromwell, 28; publishes a pamphlet describing a new form of government, 81; Cromwell in vain endeavours to persuade him not to act in opposition to his government; and he is imprisoned, 82; is excepted from the Act of Indemnity in 1660, but his life recommended to be spared, 142; is tried for high treason in 662, 157; able defence of, and the king's letter recommending him to be put out of the way on account of it, 157; his execution, on June 14, 1662, 158.
- Vansittart, Nicholas, as British envoy attempts, to avert a war with Denmark, 1801, vii. 157; chancellor of the exchequer, 1812-1820, 552, 640; unwilling to believe that the annexation of Saxony to Prussia had been consented to by British ministers, 411.
- Varangians, the Anglo-Saxons driven from England, become the emperor's guard at Constantinople, i. 215; valour displayed by, 216.
- Vauxhall gardens, company and amusement of, 18th century, contrasted with those of Ranelagh, vi. 398-400.
- Vellore, mutiny at, 1806, vii. 227, 228.

- Vendôme, duke of, commands the French army in the Netherlands, 1707, v. 199; and the one sent against Brabant, 1708, 204; his incredulity regarding Marlborough's quick march of July 11, 1708; he is defeated by him the same day, 206; commands Philip V.'s army; defeats Stanhope and Staremberg, 240.
- Venetî, inhabitants of Brittany, character of, i. 14; intimate relations of, with England, 14; resistance offered by, to Cæsar, 14; assistance afforded to, by the Britons, 14; conquest of, by Cæsar, 15.
- Venice entered by the French, May 16, 1797, vii. 86; cession of, to Austria, 1797, 90, 91.
- Venloo carried by storm by the English, Sept. 18, 1702, v. 115.
- Venner, Thomas, futile attempt at insurrection of, in 1657, in favor of the Fifth Monarchy, iv. 92.
- Verdun capitulated to the Prussians, Sept. 2, 1792, vi. 549.
- Vergennes, count de, French minister for foreign affairs; his interview with Franklin and Lee, 1776, vi. 193; with Steuben, 1777, 198; and with Mr. Oswald, Shelburn's agent, 1782, 279; offended at the United States signing a peace without obtaining the consent of France, 288, 289.
- Vergniaud, an eloquent Girondin, vi. 517; obtains a draft of a decree for forming the national convention, 540; his war cry against the Prussians, 1792, 542; proposes to obtain the people's judgment on the sentence passed against Louis XVI.; votes the king's death, 569; as president of the convention declares the sentence of death against Louis, 569; interferes in behalf of Mrs. Elliott, on her being arrested, 1793, 588; executed, Oct. 31, 1793, vii. 26, 27.
- Vernon, Edward, vice-admiral; takes Porto Bello; despatched against the Spanish-American possessions; advises the capture of Carthage, v. 476; renders no assistance to general Wentworth, 478; boasts of having destroyed all the enemies' castles, 478.
- Verona, congress of, Aug. 25, 1822, viii. 204.
- Verrio, employment of, to ornament Windsor Castle, iv. 263; his ceiling paintings, v. 332, 348.
- Verulam, attacked and destroyed by Boadicea, A. D. 61, i. 35.
- Vespasian, conquests of, in Britain, i. 30; triumph bestowed on, 30.
- Victor, marshal, with Macdonald, defeated by Suwarroff at Trebbia, 1799, vii. 134; defeated by Melas, 1800, 149; retreats from Talavera to Torrijos; joined by Sebastiani and king Joseph, 1809, 297.
- Victor Amadeus II. of Savoy joins the confederacy against France; his war with the French, iv. 529; his despair at the devastation of his country by the French; Schomberg sent to cheer him, 534; defeated at Marsiglia, 583; makes peace with France, v. 35; thus losing his chance to the English crown; marries Anne Marie of Orleans, a descendant of Charles I. of England, 91; Anne requests subsidies for him, 123; besieged in Turin by the French, 1706, 163; joins the allies in a campaign against France, 1707; ineffectually bombards Toulon, 200; signs the treaty of Utrecht; Sicily, and a claim to the Spanish succession, yielding to, 273; reigned as king of Sardinia, 1720-1730, vi. 600.
- Victoria, queen, daughter of the duke and duchess of Kent, born May 24, 1819, viii. 201; she succeeds to the throne on the death of her uncle, William IV., June 20, 1837; her demeanour and appearance at the audience with the privy council, 201, 202; meets her parliament; enthusiastically received; her speech; general feeling of loyalty towards, and attachment to; opens her new parliament, 231; speech, 232; her coronation, 237, 238; opens parliament, 1839; speech, 241; refuses to accede to sir R. Peel's request to dismiss her ladies of the bedchamber, 250; approbation of her conduct manifested by some, 251, 252; and aspersions thrown on her behaviour by others, 251, 252; marries prince Albert, 257; her life attempted by Oxford, 260; speech on proroguing parliament, 261; speech on opening parliament by commission, 274; her answer to the amendment of the address, 276; birth of her first child; and of the prince of Wales; speech on opening the parliamentary session, 1842, 388; two attempts on her life by Francis and Bean; bill passed for better protecting her person; speech on proroguing parliament, 343; speech, 1844, 362; visited by Louis Philippe, 375; speech, 1845, 378; with prince Albert visits Germany, 385; offers the premiership to lord J. Russell, 390, 391; her parting interview with sir Peel, 391; speech, 1846; 392; prorogues parliament; speech, 414; speech, 1849, 427, 428.
- Vienna entered by the French, 1805, vii. 212, 213; and May, 1809, 288.
- Vienna, congress of, 1815, vii. 410-411.
- Vienna, treaty of, April 30, 1725, v. 265; the treaty of alliance of, March 16, 1731, 265; 432; peace of, Nov. 18, 1738, 452, 502; peace of, Oct. 14, 1809, vii. 291, 292, 642; treaty of, March 23, 1815; federative constitution signed at, June, 1815; convention entered into at, Aug. 1815, viii. 204.
- Villadaria, marquis of, captain-general of Andalusia; opposes sir George Rooke

- and duke of Ormond, 1702, v. 116; sent to recapture Gibraltar, meets with no success, and is superseded by De Pontis, 153.
- Villars, marshal, concludes a negotiation with Cavalier, the leader of the Camisards, or revolted Protestants of the Cevennes, 1704, v. 121; unites his army with Marsin's, 1705, 164; opposes himself between the allies and France, 1709; follows them after the siege of Tournay; encamps at Malplaquet, 213; defeated by Marlborough at that place, 213; constructs a series of fortified lines, which are forced by Marlborough, 1711, notwithstanding the superiority of his French army, 251; opposes the allied army, 1712; corresponds secretly with Ormond, 267; defeats prince Eugene at Denain, July 24, 268.
- Villeneuve, admiral of the Toulon fleet; chased by Nelson to the West Indies, and back to Europe, vii. 201; where he engages with sir R. Calder, July 22, 1805, 204; ordered by Napoleon to enter the Mediterranean and proceed to Toulon; in executing which he is defeated by Nelson at Trafalgar, 209, 210.
- Villeroi succeeds Luxembourg as general of the French army, v. 15. 16; takes Dixmuyde and Deynse, and attacks William before Namur, 16; retires, 16; attacks prince Eugene, but is repulsed, 1700, 103; appointed to command a detachment of the French army in the campaign of 1704, 132; ordered to follow Marlborough, who gives him the slip near the Moselle, 133, 134; threatens Marlborough before Landau, 147; takes Huy and invests Liege, 1705, 165; retreats before Marlborough within the French lines, 165; Marlborough follows and forces the lines; Villeroi then retreats beyond the Dyle, 167; his position, May 23, 1706, 172; defeated by Marlborough at the battle of Ramillies, 173; retreats to Louvain, 174.
- Vimiero, battle of, Aug. 21, 1808, vii. 273.
- Vinegar Hill, battle of, near Enniscorthy, 1798, vii. 113.
- Virginia, first attempts to found a colony in, iii. 144; founding of the colony of, under James Smith, in 1606, 275; sufferings; of the first colonists, and subsequent progress of the colony, 277, 279.
- Vittoria, battle of, June 21, 1813, vii. 346.
- Volunteers; earl of Shelburne's circular proposing the raising of, 1782, vi. 271; spontaneously raised by the Irish, 1779, 273; strength of, in Ireland, 1782, 275; formation of corps of, encouraged by government, 1794, vii. 39; imperfectly aided by the government, 1798, 106; rapid enrolment of, in July and August, 1808, 186; total number in November, 187; Pitt and the volunteers at Walmer, 187; general organization of, throughout England and Scotland; reviewed by the king at Hyde Park and elsewhere, 188, 189; debate on the volunteer system; it is supported by Pitt, 189, 190; feebly aided by the ministers, 189; abstract of a list of yeomanry and volunteer corps, Dec. 1803, 195.
- Vyvyan, sir Richard, moves for the rejection of the Reform bill, 1831, viii. 77; speech of, on the Municipal Reform bill, 1835, 182.

W.

- WADE, general, carries off Gyllenberg's papers, v. 394; quells a riot at Glasgow, 1725, 423; evidence of, on the Porteous riots, 1736, 459; sends troops under Oglethorpe to pursue the rebels of 1745, 541, 542; ordered to return to Newcastle, 544.
- Wages of labourers in 1288, i. 433; of artificers, 452; statutes for the regulation of the scale of, for labourers, 523; statutes for the regulation of, and for the hours of labour, in 1495, ii. 82, 83; truck forbidden, 83; new statute for the regulation of, in 1495, 240; found ineffectual, and repealed in 1496, 240; new statute for the regulation of, in 1515, 496; the legislature declare in 1563 that the statutes cannot be enforced on account of the high price of provisions, iii. 204; income and wages of various classes in the time of queen Anne, iv. 440, 441; of the outdoor agricultural labourer, 441; combinations of labourers to demand a rise in, in Edward III.'s reign, v. 574, 575; the relation between master and man in the question of, 575, 576.
- Wagram, battle of, July 5, 1809, vii. 290.
- Wakefield, battle of, defeat of the Yorkists, and death of the duke of York, Dec. 31, 1460, ii. 119.
- Walcheren, narrative of the expedition against, 1809, vii. 291-297.
- Waldeck, prince of, conduct of, at the battle of Fontenoy, 1745, v. 495.
- Waldegrave, lord, character of George III. as portrayed by, vi. 51.
- Waldegrave, lady, marries the duke of Gloucester, vi. 150; letter of, to her father, sir E. Walpole, on her position as duchess of Gloucester, 151, 152.
- Wale, a sign painter; his portrait of Shakspeare, vi. 369.
- Wales, state of, as described by Giraldus in 1188, i. 307, 308; Llewellyn, prince of, is summoned to do homage to Edward I., and refuses, 425; is attacked by Edward and forced to submit, in 1277, 425; his attendants in London dislike their food and their treatment there, 426; renewed revolt of, in 1282, 430; is slain,

- and his brother executed, 431, 432; prince Edward, born at Caernarvon, April 25, 1284, created prince of Wales, 432; statute passed for the settlement of, by Edward I., 432; insurrection in 1287 suppressed, 456; another insurrection in 1295 subdued, 463; popularity of Richard II. in, 590; revolt of, against Henry IV. under Owen Glendower, 590, 591; severe measures taken for the repression of, 592; Henry IV. and his army repulsed, in 1402, 598; successful resistance of, during the life of Glendower, 601, 602.
- Walker, Andrew, weeds the Devil's Acre; founder of the London ragged schools, viii. 224.
- Walker, George, a Presbyterian minister, one of the principal defenders of Londonderry, iv. 477; appointed, with Baker, to succeed Lundy as governor of Londonderry, during the siege, 479; sl. in at the battle of the Boyne, July 1, 1690, 512.
- Walker, James, Vauxhall bridge designed and executed by, iii. 539.
- Wallace, William, heads a revolt in Scotland in 1297, against Edward I., i. 466; defeats the English at Stirling, 466; created guardian of the kingdom, 467; is defeated at Falkirk, 468; continued warfare of, 468; is betrayed, and taken prisoner, 471; is taken to London, where he arrives Aug. 22, 1305, 471; is executed, 471.
- Waller, Edmund, the royalist plot of, discovered, iii. 472; saves his life by bribing the House of Commons, 472.
- Waller, sir William, successful against the royalists, iii. 471; defeated at Lansdown, 476; blockades Oxford, in company with Essex, 493; jealousies between him and Essex, 493; pursues the king into Worcestershire, and is defeated by him, 493; called out for the defence of London, 496.
- Walloon weavers, introduction of, into England by the protector Somerset, ii. 569; driven from England by Mary, 569.
- Walmoden, count, commands the English army in Hanover, 1794, vii. 50; defeated by Pichegru, 50.
- Walpole, Robert, succeeds St. John as secretary at war, 1708, v. 204; his argument in applying the principle of resistance to the general doctrine of the subjects' obedience, 221; he is elected a member of queen Anne's third parliament, which met Nov. 25, 1710, 236; defends Steele in the House of Commons, 276; his contempt for literature, 294; condemns Vanbrugh's architecture, 341; impeaches Bolingbroke of high treason, June 9, 1715, 364; moves the adjournment of the House to prevent the appeal for mercy towards the rebel lords, 382; character of his ministerial government, 390; resigns the chancellorship of exchequer, 394; opposes an address of thanks, 1718, 400; opposed the Peerage bill, 1719, 404; his prudence during the South Sea scheme, 409; called upon to retrieve the national credit, 411; again becomes chancellor of the exchequer, 1721, 415; cancels Wood's patent for a copper coinage, 422; his interview with George II. announcing George I.'s death, 429; prepares the royal speech for sir S. Compton, the minister elect; is confirmed in power by bribing the king, 430; quarrels with Townshend; becomes supreme in the administration, 434; successfully repulses the attempts of the Dissenters, to obtain a repeal of the Corporation and Test Acts, 435, 436; revives the salt duty, 1732, 442; his warehousing system for the prevention of smuggling; his excise scheme, 443, 444; narrowly escapes being mobbed, 444; debate upon the excise scheme; it fails in passing, 445, 446; maintains the policy of neutrality in the wars of 1734, 447; advantages obtained thereby, 447; his character as given by Wyndham, 448, 449; his character of Bolingbroke, 450, 451; attends the queen during her last illness, 464; fears the loss of power in consequence of her death, 464; not a patron of letters, 466; proposes to enlarge the lord chamberlain's powers in reference to plays, 466; brings forward his bill for licensing plays, 467; driven into a war with Spain; persists in keeping office, 469; reproves sir W. Wyndham, 474; his struggle to retain power; he is deserted by the Duke of Argyle, whom he dismisses from all his employments, 475; regarded with jealousy by the duke of Newcastle, 475; endeavours to prevent the war with Spain, 480; Mr. Sandys persuades the king to remove him from office; Walpole's defence, 481; the motion is negatived; his unpopularity increases; he resigns, Feb. 1, 1742; created earl of Orford, 483; a parliamentary inquiry is made into his administration; he passes through the ordeal, 483; he is carried about in effigy by the mob, 488; anecdote of, 488; died March, 1745, 494.
- Waltheof, earl, submits to William the Conqueror, i. 214; joins Canute and Edgar Atheling on their invasion in 1070, 219; refuses to take an active part in the conspiracy against William in 1073, 229; is betrayed by his wife (daughter of William the Conqueror), and executed, 229.
- Walton, captain, his conduct in the battle with the Spanish fleet, 1718, and model despatch to his admiral, v. 400.
- Wansdyke, notice of, i. 23.
- Wantage, description of Alfred's home at, i. 102, 103.

- Warbeck, Perkin, lands in Ireland in 1492, and announces himself as second son of Edward IV., ii. 203; claims and receives the protection of the duchess of Burgundy, 203; doubtful *ex parte* accounts of, and pretended confession, 204; letter of, to Isabella of Spain, 206; attempts a landing at Deal, and is repulsed, 209; is expelled from Burgundy, and finds refuge with James IV. of Scotland, 210; marries Catherine Gordon, 211; his position in Scotland in 1496 described by one of the spies of Henry VII., 213; difficulties of supposing him an impostor, 213; invades England, supported by James IV., but is compelled to retreat, 215; unpopularity of, occasioned by the foreign assistance, 215; leaves Scotland, and lands in Cornwall, 216; attacks Exeter, but is repulsed, 216; is deserted by his forces, and flies to sanctuary at Beaulieu Abbey, where he is taken prisoner, 216, 217; attempts to escape, is retaken, and imprisoned in the Tower, 217; exhibited to the public, and reads his confession, 219; is executed at Tyburn, Nov. 23, 1499, 220.
- War-chariots, Southey's notice of, i. 23; Cæsar's description of, 24.
- Ward, James, an animal painter, vii. 548.
- Ward, M. P. for St. Alban's; his intended Irish church motions, viii. 160.
- Wardle, colonel, causes an inquiry to be made into the duke of York's conduct as commander-in-chief, 1809, vii. 281.
- Warner, Rev. Dr., manners of; as evidence of those of the clergy of his day, vi. 413.
- Warren, sir J. B., commands the squadron sent against Quiberon, 1795, vii. 57; engages the French off Ireland, Oct. 1793, 117.
- Warsaw, alliance of, Mar. 31, 1683, v. 263; treaty of, Feb. 24, 1768, vi. 601; defended by Kosciusko, 1794, vii. 51; entered by the French, 1807, 250.
- Warwick, Neville, earl of, supports the claims of Richard duke of York, ii. 110; important assistance of, at the first battle of St. Alban's, 112; returns from Calais to attend an attempted reconciliation of the York and Lancastrian factions, 115; attempt on his life and his escape to Calais, 116; is declared a traitor by the parliament at Coventry, 116; lands in Kent, enters London, wins the battle of Northampton, and takes Henry prisoner, in 1460, 117; defeated by queen Margaret at the second battle of St. Alban's in 1461, 120; joins Edward, duke of York, and enters London, 120; marches with Edward to the North, and wins the battle of Towton, 122; the representative of popular opinion, 125; becomes estranged from Edward, 132; wealth and power of, 134; joins with Clarence in an attempt to dethrone Edward, who is taken prisoner, but escapes, 135; is defeated by Edward at Stamford, 135; is reconciled to queen Margaret, 136; invades England, and restores Henry without a battle, 137; is slain at the battle of Barnet, April 14, 1471, 142.
- Warwick, Dudley, earl of, nearly made prisoner at the battle of Pinkie, ii. 526; is sent to subdue the Norfolk rebels under Ket, 549; defeats them at Dussindale, 549; recommends clemency, 550; acquires political power, 551; heads the confederacy of nobles against Somerset, 553; obtains possession of the Tower, 554; is joined by lord Russell and the army, 555; is created duke of Northumberland, 567; becomes the actual governor of the king, 570; marries his son to the lady Jane Grey, 571; causes lady Jane to be proclaimed queen, June 10, 1553, 575; leaves London to oppose the advance of Mary, 577; is arrested, 579; tried for treason, convicted, and executed, 580; apostatises before his death, 581.
- Washington, George, born 1732, v. 598; his early career; becomes adjutant-general; unsuccessfully defends the British posts on the Ohio; serves under general Braddock, 1755, 598, 599; his opinion of American affairs, 1774, vi. 161; his views of civil war, 171; appointed commander-in-chief of the United States army, 1775, 175; his character; refuses all pay but the discharge of expenses, 176; composition of his army; arrives at Boston, July 176; blockades the town, 179; his impatience; makes preparations for an attack, 183; the British evacuate the place, Mar. 17, 1776, 183; refuses Howe's letter to him, 1776, 189, 190; retreats before Cornwallis; crosses the Delaware and destroys the boats, thus hindering his pursuer's passage; surprises the Hessians at Trenton; retreats to Princetown, 191; there defeats a body of British troops; his high military qualities displayed in the campaign, 192; perplexed at Howe's conduct; marches to oppose him at Philadelphia, 199; goes into winter quarters at Valley Forge; sufferings of his army from want of food and clothing, 204; augurs new difficulties and greater struggles, 220; remonstrates against Cornwallis's proceedings, 235; receives a commission of lieutenant-general in the French service, 1780, 236; Clinton demands André's release from, 238; confirms the sentence passed upon André by a court martial; defence of his conduct for so doing, 238; his difficulties in sustaining his army, 246; anxiety for the arrival of DeGrasse's fleet; on hearing that it had gone to the Chesapeake he changes his plan of operations and also marches thither; his able management of the march, 250, 251; siege, 252; and capitulation of Cornwallis, 253; be-

- queaths his swords to his sons, 294; bids farewell to his army, 294, 295; and retires into private life; resigned his commission, Dec. 20, 1782, 294, 295; retires from the presidency of the United States Dec. 1796; alarmed at the party spirit rising in the states, vii. 70, 71; president of the United States, 1789-1797, vii. 637.
- Washington, British attack on, Aug. 1814, vii. 377, 378.
- Waterford capitulates to William III., July 21, 1690, iv. 518.
- Waterloo, battle of, June 18, 1815, vii. 396-404.
- Watson, admiral; co-operates with Clive in destroying the pirates of Gheriah, and in the re-capture of Calcutta, 1757, vi. 28; refuses to sign Clive's false treaty, 29.
- Watson, bishop, opposes a free trade between England and France, fearing the latter's pre-eminence in a commercial contest, vi. 358.
- Watson, sen., a Spa-fields meeting leader. vii. 452; addresses the meeting, 453; tried for high treason; found not guilty, 455.
- Watson, jun., a Spa-field meeting leader, vii. 452; impels the mob to riot; his prominent part in the riot; taken, 454; escapes, 455.
- Watt, James, his career as philosophical instrument maker at Glasgow, vi. 359, 360; turns his attention to the improvement of the steam engine, 360, 361; gradual maturing of his thoughts and final success, May, 1768, 361; connects himself first with Dr. Roebuck, 361; but subsequently with Boulton, 361; and the two become the proprietors of the Soho works, 362; vast influence of his invention on the affairs of the world, 362, 363.
- Weaver, description of the labours of a, iv. 387; double occupation of farmer and, in Lancashire and Ireland, vi. 346.
- Webb, general, present at Blenheim, 1704, v. 145; conducts a convoy to Lille; attacked by a large French force; succeeds in defeating them, and arrives before Lille with his army; his success the main cause of the surrender of Lille; receives the thanks of parliament; meanness of Marlborough towards, causes him to leave the army, 1708, 207, 210.
- Webb, John, inspector of hospitals; his report on the unhealthiness of Zealand, vii. 296.
- Wedgwood, Josiah, improves the manufacture of earthen and china-ware, vi. 356-357.
- Wellesley, marquess of, his speech on the French revolution, vii. 31; despairs of British success in Holland, 1794, 48; fears a French invasion of Ireland, 1796, 71; succeeds lord Teignmouth as governor-general of India, 1798, 128; negotiates with Tippoo Sahib, 129; attacked in parliament, 217, 218; 227; adopts the subsidiary system policy, 218; resigns the government of India, July, 1805, 226; secretary for foreign affairs, 1809, 303; resigns the seals, Feb. 19, 1812, 319; appointed lord-lieutenant of Ireland, 576; lord steward, viii. 67.
- Wellington, duke of, Arthur Wellesley, condemns Massena's conduct in his retreat of 1811 as barbarous, v. 139; colonel; assists his brother lord Mornington in governing India, vii. 129; appointed to the command of the Nizam of the Deccan's army, 1799; his wonder at the size of the army, 129; congratulates his brother on the success of the British arms in India, 130; returns to England, Sept. 1805, 217; reinstates the Peishwa in his capital of Poonah, 219; appointed to the command of 17,000 men, June 26th, 1803; his letter to Scindia, 220; crosses the Godavery, 221; how he formed his plan of the battle of Assye, 222; the battle, Sept. 23, 224, 225; battle of Argaum, Nov. 29; obtains possession of Fort Gawilghur, Dec. 15, thus ending the Mahratta war, 225; receives the order of the Bath; returns to England; account of the famine in India by, 226; principal secretary in Ireland, 1807, 249; his advice on the Buenos Ayres affairs, 255; commands the reserve forces in the Copenhagen expedition, 1807, 260; sent with troops to Portugal; sails from Cork, July 12, 1808, 270, 271; placed under Dalrymple and Burrard, 271; lands at Figuera, Aug. 1; defeats the French at Rolicca, Aug. 17, 273; gains the victory at Vimiero, Aug. 21, 273; his future actions clogged by Burrard's command, 274, 275; convention of Cintra; recalled home; examined before a court, 275; opinion of, on Mrs. Clarke's briberies, 282, 283; ordered to replace sir John Cradock in Portugal; lands at Lisbon, April 22, 1809, 284; passage of the Douro; compels Soult to retreat from Oporto, 284, 285; enters Spain; makes a junction with Cuesta, 297; gains the battle of Talavera, July 28, 298-300; created viscount Wellington, 300; arranges for the defence of Portugal, 300; worried by the ministers, 301, 302; sagacity and penetrating judgment of, 307; construction of the lines of Torres Vedras, 308; they stop Massena's course, 309, 310; unable to relieve Ciudad Rodrigo, 309; battle of Busaco, Sept. 27, 1810, 309, 310; evacuates Coimbra and retires within his lines, 310; his arguments with the ministry for continuing the war in the Peninsula, 316, 317; pursues Massena on his evacuating Portugal, and defeats him at Fuentes, d' Onoro, May 5, 1811, and at Albuera, May 16, 316, 317; preparations for the campaign of 1812, 329; insufficient artill-

lery and means of transport; capture of Ciudad Rodrigo, Jan. 19, 1812, 330; siege of Badajoz, Mar. 16 to April 7, 331; the assault and surrender, April 7, 331, 332; unable to restrain the excesses of his soldiers, 333, 334; difficulties in obtaining supplies and reinforcements; his perseverance under the obstacles created by government, 333, 334; advances into Spain, June 13; capture of the Salamanca forts, June 29, 334; follows general Marmont's movements, 334, 335; wins the battle of Salamanca, July 22, 335, 336; his conduct before the battle, 335; and after, 336; effects of the victory, 337, 338; enters Madrid, Aug. 12; the siege of Burgos fails, 338; the retreat, 333; his candour, 338; commander-in-chief of all the forces in the Peninsula; gains the battle in Vittoria, June 21, 1813, 346, 347; obtains possession of the passes of the Pyrenees, 350; his remonstrance to the admiralty, 371; passes into France, 351; after some struggling he effects the passage of the Nivelle, 352; gains the battle of Orthez, Feb. 27, 1814, 355; crosses the Garonne, and defeats Soult at Toulouse, April 10, 355; lands at Dover, June 28, 358; receives the thanks of parliament for his services, 358, 359; his respect for private property and defenceless places, 377; succeeds lord Castlereagh as British minister at Vienna, 390; his opinion of Bonaparte's escape from Elba, 391; prepares to defend the Netherlands, 391, 392; his accurate knowledge of Napoleon's advance, 394, 395; attends the ball at Brussels, 391; his sudden departure from it, and march to Quatre-Bras 395; the battle of Ligny, 396; takes up his position on the field of Waterloo; Byron's description of the field of Waterloo, 396, 397; his position and that of the French, 397, 398; the character and condition of the two armies, 398, 399; the struggle at Hougoumont, 399-401; the battle as described by Wellington, 401; British firmness and endurance, 401; Wellington's fortitude, 401; Wellington's and Blucher's share in the victory, 402, 403; arrival of Blucher and the Prussians, 404; the crisis; the victory won, June, 404; joins in pursuing the French, 404; his emotions at the loss of his soldiers, 404; refuses to acquiesce in Bonaparte's going to America, and declines having anything to do with his execution should that be resolved on, 407; signs the declaration of the allies, 408; erroneous views concerning Wellington's conduct entertained by M. Thiers, 408; his firm moderation preserves Paris from unnecessary humiliation, 409, 410; representative of Great Britain at Aix-la-Chapelle, where the evacuation of France by the allied troops is agreed upon, 481; master-general of the ordnance, 552; a member of the conference for averting public proceedings against queen Caroline, 563; induces George IV. to consent to Canning being secretary for foreign affairs; represents Great Britain at the Verona congress; Canning's instructions to him, 581; resigns both his seat in the cabinet and his office of commander-in-chief on the accession of Canning's ministry, 1827, 611; his share in the negotiations for a new premier, 619; his interest in the Madras mutiny, 622; accepts the command-in-chief of the army, 1827, viii. 13; on the resignation of Lord Goderich the king requests him to form a government, 16; formation of his administration, 16, 17; defends the expression "untoward event," in the royal speech, 17, 18; Brougham objects to his being at the head of the government, 18; his severe attitude towards Mr. Huskisson leads to the other four Canningite ministers resigning 24, 25; his views on the Catholic question, 27; his difficulty in obtaining the king's sanction to allow this question to be brought forward by government, 28; announces the intention to the Lords, 29, 30; the king dismisses his ministry but recalls it, 30; speech of, on the Catholic Relief bill, 31, 32; duel with the earl of Winchelsea on his refusing to withdraw a calumny against him, 32; character of George IV. as given by, 37; Brougham's harsh opinion of his ministry, 39; his proposed policy towards the new French government of 1830, 54; present at the opening of the London and Manchester railway, 60; his declaration against parliamentary reform, 61, 62; the lord mayor elect advises him to enter London with a strong guard, 63; advises the king not to go to London, and is himself, from apprehension of bloodshed, deterred from going, 63; resigns his premiership, 64; his reception of the news of the proposed Reform Bill of 1831, 72; his speech against it, 84, 85; popular speculations as to his putting down public excitement, 104; attempts to form a government, 105, 106; his account of the cause of his failure, 106, 107; withdraws from opposing the reform bill, 108; supports the Poor Law Amendment bill, 158; his consideration in enabling earl Gray to recover himself in parliament, 161; negotiations for the Peel ministry, 167, 168; his dictatorship, as first lord of the treasury and sole secretary of state, with lord Lyndhurst, 1834, 169; secretary of state for foreign affairs, 171; announces the resignation of the Peel ministry to the lords, 175; his eulogy of William IV., 199; his enthusiastic reception at the queen's coronation, 237; recommends sir R. Peel to the queen for her minister, 250; opposed to the proposed system of a penny postage, 255; deprecates a war with France, 262; praises the conduct and achievements of the Indian army, 290; his reply to sir R.

- Peel's memorandum vindicating his conduct in the corn-law question; determines to support Peel's administration, 389, 390; his admiration of Peel's courage, in re-accepting the premiership, 392; his successful plan for repressing a Chartist insurrection, 424.
- Wentworth, general, commands the land forces against Carthagera, 1741, v. 76; attacks Fort San Lazaro; fails in taking it, 478.
- Wesley, John, with Whitefield, the founder of Methodism; his character, vi. 425, 426.
- West, Benjamin, a favourite of George III.'s; one of the founders of the Royal Academy, vi. 370; exhibits at the first Royal Academy exhibition, 371; succeeds Reynolds as president, 374; early career, 374; patronized by the king, 375; critique on his picture of "The Death of Wolfe," 375; nominally head of the British School of painting, 1792-1820, vii. 543.
- West, rear-admiral; his attack upon the French fleet unsupported by Byng, 1756, vi. 15; superseded by admiral Saunders, 16; received with favour at court, 16.
- Westmacott, his sculptures, vii. 541.
- Westminster, Edward the Confessor's palace of, i. 203.
- Westminster bridge opened 1750; constructed by Labelye, vi. 382.
- Wetherell, sir Charles, counsel at the trial of Watson, 1817, vii. 455; reorders of Bristol; an opponent of the Reform Bill, his conduct rouses the Bristolians into a riot, viii. 92, 93; his irritating address in behalf of municipal corporations, 1835, 183.
- Weymouth, Thomas, viscount, secretary of state, 1768-1770, vi. 106, 140; complains of Wilkes' libelling him, 193; opposes the duke of Richmond's motion for an Address, 1778, 210; secretary of state, 1775-1779, 603.
- Wharreliffe, lord, gives notice of his motion to request the king not to dissolve parliament, 1831, vii. 77; makes his motion, 79; moves for the rejection of the reform bill, 80; said to have been requested to moderate his opposition to the reform bill, by the king, 101; his modified opposition, 101; lord privy seal, 332; died Dec. 19, 1846, 171.
- Wharton, Philip, duke of, makes a furious attack upon the earl of Stanhope, which so roused the earl's anger as to cause his death, 1751, v. 415.
- Whateley, rev. Thomas, important poor law reforms carried out by, at Cookham, Berkshire, viii. 151, 152.
- Whitbread, Samuel, presides at a meeting of the Society of "the Friends of the People," vi. 564; moves for a vote of censure against lord Melville, vii. 201; and his impeachment, 1805, 201, 202; his grief for Mr. Perceval, 1812, 321; declaims against the destruction of military buildings at Washington, 1814, 378, 379; his peace motion rejected, 1815, 393; protests against the annexing Saxony to Prussia, 411; his poor law reform plan, 1807, 444, 445.
- Whitefield, George, character of, one of the founders of Methodism, vi. 425, 426.
- Whitehall burnt down in 1698; the banquetting house the only portion saved, v. 50.
- Whitlock, gen. appointed to command the British troops at Plata, 1807, vii. 257; lands with 7,800 men near Buenos Ayres, June 28, 255; failure of his expedition; tried by court martial and disgraced, 256.
- Whitworth, lord, English ambassador at Paris; his interview with Bonaparte for the purpose of obtaining an explanation of his views towards Great Britain, February 1803, vii. 177; violence shown by Bonaparte at a second interview with, March, 180, 181.
- Widdrington, William, lord, impeached of high treason, 1716, v. 381; tried and found guilty, 381; is reprimanded, 382; an act of grace, passed 1717, releases him from prison, 395.
- Wight, Isle of, conquered by Vespasian, i. 30; untruth of the tradition that John retired to, after the signing of Magna Charta, 390.
- Wilberforce, William, returned M.P. for Hull, 1780, vi. 241; his experience of club life, vi. 407, 408; and the licentiousness among Cambridge students, 415; returned M.P. for Yorkshire in the parliament of 1784, 448; draws the attention of the House to the African slave trade; the committee appointed to decide that it shall be gradually abolished, 525; his first open opposition to Pitt, 1794, vii. 55; his opinion of lord Greenville's hostile answer to Napoleon, 1800, 142, 143; fears the defeat of the Austrians, by Bonaparte, 1805, 206; indefatigable efforts of, to obtain the abolition of the slave trade, 234; the two great objects of his life; his "Practical Christianity," published, 512; his vain attempts to end the struggle between George IV. and queen Caroline, 564, 565; last speech in parliament, 1824, 598; his thankfulness for having lived to see England's willingness to abolish slavery, died July 29, 1833.
- Wilkes, John, publishes the "North Briton," 1702, vi. 71; attacks the king and Bute, 71; his comments on the king's speech in the "North Briton," No. 45; arrested and imprisoned; he is released, being protected as a member of parliament from arrest, 74, deprived of his colonelcy of militia, 74; supported and

- encouraged by earl Temple, 77 ; caricatured by Hogarth ; defended by Churchill, 78 ; Sandwich proposes to prosecute him ; sets spies on his motions, and obtains clandestinely some of his papers ; wounded in a duel with Mr. Martin ; takes flight to France ; is expelled the House of Commons, 79 ; his proceedings against Halifax and Egremont fail ; but he obtains 1600*l.* from Mr. Wood for false imprisonment, 80 ; prosecuted for libels, found guilty, and outlawed, Nov. 1, 1764, 82 ; elected member for Middlesex ; surrenders himself at the King's Bench prison as an outlaw ; the mob endeavours to rescue him, 101 ; legal proceedings against him for libel, he is sentenced to two years' imprisonment and two fines of 500*l.* ; lord Weymouth prosecutes him for libel, and Wilkes is expelled the house, 105 ; his birthday celebrated by the Londoners, 105 ; his expulsions from parliament and re-elections ; agitations and debates about the legality of his re-elections, 106 ; attacked by Junius, 109 ; released from prison ; becomes an alderman and city agitator, 134 ; concerned in the printer's affair, 1771 ; the House and the king afraid to prosecute him, 136 ; obtains a seat in parliament without opposition, 1774, 165 ; lord mayor of London, 1775 ; presents the address and remonstrance to the king, denouncing the government measures towards America, 163 ; chamberlain of London ; his address to Pitt, 1784, 447 ; his parliamentary reform motion, 1776, viii. 114, 115.
- Wilkie, sir David, style and popularity of his paintings, vii. 545.
- Wilkins, William, his architectural works, vii. 535, 536.
- Wilkinson, Catherine, the originator of public baths, 1832, viii. 217.
- William of Normandy, descent and position of, i. 194 ; visits Edward, and observes the position of affairs, and the state of parties at his court, 194 ; releases Harold from his imprisonment by the count of Ponthieu, 200 ; exacts an oath from Harold to support his claim to the throne of England, 201 ; rage of, at the news of Harold having been chosen king, 204 ; prepares for the invasion, 203 ; lands at Pevensey, 205 ; fights and wins the battle of Senlac, or Hastings, 206 ; founds the "Abbey of Bataille," 207 ; elected king of England, 210 ; subdues London, 211 ; crowned on Dec. 25, 1066 ; tumult on the occasion, and probable cause of, 211, 212 ; Edgar Atheling submits to, 212 ; conciliatory policy of, 212 ; builds fortresses and creates fiefs, 212 ; visits Normandy, 213 ; oppressions of the Norman chieftains in England while away, 214 ; Anglo-Saxon insurrections against, 216 ; returns to England, and promises to maintain the laws of Edward the Confessor, 216 ; violates his promise, and becomes tyrannical, 217 ; remarkable energy of, 217 ; insurrection in the North suppressed by, 218 ; repulses the invasion of Canute, king of Denmark, and devastates Northumbria, 219 ; scarcity and famine in consequence, 219 ; confiscation of Saxon estates by, 221 ; gradual restoration of security by the establishment of Norman fiefs, 221 ; numerical preponderance of the Saxons in the time of, 223 ; marches to Chester in 1070, and suppresses the insurrection in Mercia, 223 ; blockades Hereward in the Isle of Ely, and compels him to submit, 226, 227 ; insurrection in Maine suppressed by, with an army of Normans and English, 229 ; revolt of nobles in England suppressed, and execution of Waltheof, 229 ; continental wars and family quarrels of, 230 ; oath of fealty taken to, 230 ; Domesday Book compiled by order of, in 1085, 231 ; Forest Laws enacted by, and misery occasioned by the enclosure of the New Forest, 235 ; knight service instituted by, 242, *et seq.* ; feudal exactions imposed by, 243 ; besieges Nantes in 1087, is hurt, and dies on Sept. 9, 246 ; character of, 247.
- William II., Rufus, coronation of, as king of England, on September 26, 1087, i. 247 ; early severities of, and insurrection of the Norman nobles against, 248 ; insurrection suppressed by the assistance of the English, 249 ; oppressive proceedings of, 249 ; description of the court of, 249, 250 ; repulses Malcolm of Scotland, and builds Carlisle castle, 251 ; his rother Robert challenges him, and declares war, 251 ; taxes England to pay the mortgage money for Normandy to duke, Robert, 252 ; sufferings of England from the oppressions of, 252 ; seizes Normandy in 1095, 258 ; Westminster Hall burnt by, 258 ; killed by Walter Tyrel on August 1, 1100, 259.
- William, Prince of Orange, called to the command of the forces of Holland on the invasion in 1672, by Louis XIV., iv. 217 ; after the murder of the De Witts, he succeeds in expelling the French, 218 ; marries the princess Mary, 222 ; concludes the peace of Nimeguen, 234 ; is invited to interfere in the affairs of England, 360 ; publishes a declaration announcing his design to come to the assistance of the English people, 362 ; sails from Helvoetsluys, and lands at Torbay, Nov. 5, 1688, 365 ; marches to Exeter, 365 ; his first reception not encouraging, 366 ; is joined by the duke of Grafton and Churchill, and other commanders, 367 ; and by the prince of Denmark, and the princess Anne, 368 ; advances to Hungerford, 369 ; his Dutch guards march to Westminster, 372 ; sends a message to James, which induces him to leave London, and proceed to Rochester, 372 ; arrives at St. James's Dec. 19, and is met by peers, members of former parliaments, the lord mayor and citizens, 373 ; is

requested to call a Convention, and in the meanwhile undertake the administration of affairs, 374; parliament meets in 1689, 374; their proceedings, 375; their final resolutions, 376; princess of Orange arrives at Whitehall, 376; Declaration of Rights, 376; William and Mary proclaimed king and queen, 378; William III., the preservation of England due to his resolution and conduct, iv. 457; weak health, character and appearance, 457; wishes to govern by a balance of parties; his own secretary for foreign affairs, 460; twelve judges appointed by; jealousy of the English towards his Dutch friends Bentinck, Auverquerque, and Zuylistein, 461; speech on opening parliament, Feb. 18, 1689, 462; desires large supplies, the abolition of the hearth tax, 465; and religious toleration, 466; desires to make all Protestants eligible to government employment, 467; suspected of being adverse to the Anglican church, 468; quells the mutiny at Ipswich, 469; apprehends several persons disaffected towards him, 470; opposition towards, shown by the Whigs, in the postponing of the Indemnity bill, 472; coronation of William and Mary, April 11; the Commons promise to support him in a war with France, 473; negotiates with Tyrconnel, who faithlessly schemes in James's favour, 475; refuses to proscribe Claverhouse, 485; his letter to the Scotch convention, suggesting a union, 486; the throne of Scotland settled upon himself and Mary, 487; speech of, on meeting parliament, Oct. 19, 1689, asking for supplies to carry on the war with Ireland and France, 497; annoyed by the misunderstandings as to the princess Anne's position, 498; his disgust at the contest between the Whigs and Tories; resolves to go to Holland, leaving the kingdom in the queen's hands, 500; changes his design, and resolves to go to Ireland; dissolves parliament, 501; refuses to inform the Commons who recommended Shales to be commissary of stores, 501; applies himself to remedy the abuses of the government departments, and to prepare for an Irish campaign, 502, 503; his dislike to bribery; deserted by his favourite minister, Shrewsbury, 504; jealousy of parliament in settling the revenue, 505; act of recognition, 506; authorizes Carmarthen to present an act of grace for political offences, which was passed, 506; prorogues parliament, places the government in the queen's hands and leaves London for Ireland, June, 11, 1690, 507; his energetic conduct and vigilance in reorganizing the army, 507; absurd reports of him in Ireland previous to his landing at Belfast on the 14th of June, 508; his march to the Boyne; considerate conduct of his troops, 509; encamps on the Boyne's bank, opposite to that on which James II. was: while reconnoitring he is wounded; his death is reported, and triumphantly proclaimed in Paris, 511; arrangements for the battle; position of the army; Schomberg commands the centre, his son the right, and William himself the left; courage and perseverance of; gains the battle, July 1; his departure for Ireland the signal for the French to attack the English coast; the queen's energetic conduct, 515; William's movements from place to place; enters Dublin, July 6, 516; captures Waterford on the 21st, 518; determines to invest Limerick, 518; William encamps before Limerick, August 8th, 519; commences the siege on the 19th; but raises it on the 29th, 522; leaves Ireland and lands at Bristol, Sept. 6, 522; speech on opening the second session of parliament, Oct. 2, 523; large war supply granted him; his position with regard to English and foreign politics, 524, *et seq.*; his mental qualities a security against England's degradation, 526; closes his second parliamentary session, Jan. 5, 1691; and leaves for Holland, where he is enthusiastically received, 527; congress at the Hague; stipulates for the religious toleration of the Waldenses; a secret article to that effect is introduced into a treaty signed Feb. 8, 1691, 530; he breaks up the congress; arrives in England, April 13, and leaves again for Holland, May 1, 530; vacancies filled up, 530; plot of Preston and Ashton against William; his clemency and conduct with regard to this and other plots, 531; sends Marlborough into Flanders, 534; plots against his government in Scotland, 538; his lenient disposition towards the Highlanders, 539; examination of the question as to William's share in the Glencoe massacre, 544-546; causes an inquiry into the massacre, 551-553; his leniency towards Stair, 552; character of, unjustly assailed, 554, 556; Marlborough is dismissed from office; court quarrels, 557; bill for establishing the independence of the judges vetoed by; sets out for Holland, March 5, 1692, 559; Grandval's plot to assassinate William discovered, 565; defeat of William by Luxemburg at the battle of Steinkirk, Aug. 3, 566; his concern for his soldiers at this battle, 567; William returns to England, Oct. 18, 569; speech on meeting parliament, Nov. 4, 570; vetoes a parliamentary reform bill, 575; closes his session of parliament; changes some of the ministry, 577; makes preparations for a campaign, 579; Kensington and Versailles, 579; leaves London to join his army on the continent, Mar. 24, 1693; prepares for battle; defeated at Landen, July 29, 581, 582; his conduct in battle, and admirable skill in covering his retreat, 582; thankful that the result was not worse, 582; returns to Kensington, Nov., 584; forms a new ministry, 585, *et seq.*; adopts the principle of govern-

ment by party, 585, 586; prorogues parliament and sets out for the continent, May, 1694, 590; his vexation at the failure of the Brest expedition, 591; returns from the continent, Nov. 9; opens parliament on the 12th; his unhappiness at the illness of the queen, 593; she dies, Dec. 28, 1694; his grief at her loss, 594; he abstains from business for weeks after, v. 13; prorogues parliament, becomes reconciled to Anne, and departs for the continent, 15; sits down before Namur, 16; obtains possession of the town, July 28, 17; and of the citadel, Aug. 26, 1695, 17; returns to Kensington, Oct. 10; his enthusiastic reception in England; makes a progress through the country, 19; opens a new parliament, Nov. 22, 22; orders a grant of an estate in Denbighshire to the earl of Portland, but on the commons showing it to be unconstitutional he recalls it, 23, 24; informs the parliament of a design to assassinate him, and of a threatened invasion of England, 24; assassination plot against, Feb. 1696, 24. *et seq.*; leaves England for the Netherlands, May, 33; his financial difficulties in carrying on the campaign nearly compel him to forsake his kingdom and go to the Indies, 33, 34; closes parliament, 38; embarks for Holland, April, 1697; saves Brussels, 38, 39; negotiations for peace are carried on at Ryswick, 39; the treaty of Ryswick concluded, Sept. 22, 40; returns to England, Nov. 26; makes an entry into London, and is enthusiastically received, 41; his speech on opening parliament, Dec. 3, 1697, 42; and in 1698, 45; sends Portland on an embassy to France, the chief point for negotiation being that of the Spanish succession, 50; his vexation at the defenceless state of the kingdom, 53; hires Evelyn's house for Peter the Great; his interview with the czar, 54; grants the Commons' petition to discourage the woollen and encourage the linen trade of Ireland, 56; his answer to the petition against the African and Indian company, 60; his conduct with regard to the company's Darien scheme, 62; its failure rouses the Scotch against, 67; he proposes the union of the two kingdoms, 69; his anxiety for a partition treaty before the death of Charles II., king of Spain, 72; the partition treaties, 73; goes to Loo, and carries on a negotiation for a partition treaty, 74; correspondence of, with his ministers, 75; obtains a blank commission from his lord chancellor, Somers, 75; the first partition treaty signed, October 11, 1698, 76; the second is signed in 1700, but Louis dupes William, and seizes the Spanish crown for his own grandson, 77; speech on opening the new parliament, 78; notwithstanding his anxious desire to retain his troops, they are disbanded by parliament, Dec., 78; he is deprived of his Dutch guards, 79; his mortification, and resolve to abandon England, 79; the speech he intended to deliver to parliament announcing this resolution, 79, 80; but his judgment gets the better of his rash resolve, 80; the dismissal of his Dutch guards, 81; discussion and inquiry into the extent of Irish forfeitures of estates, 83; a bill of resumption is brought in, to which the king reluctantly gave his assent, proroguing the parliament immediately after, 83; Albemarle, a new favourite of, 84; persuades Portland not to discontinue the negotiations for a second partition treaty, 85; he sends an armament to protect Sweden; Denmark is driven back, and signs a treaty of peace, 86; his difficulty in governing caused by the party factions, 88; his anxiety on the Spanish succession question, 92; Charles's will is accepted by Louis, and his grandson Philip succeeds to the Spanish throne, 93; conduct of, 94; the new parliament; changes in the ministry, 94; he asks assistance for the States-General, 95; the act of settlement, 96; impeachment of Somers and others for their share in the partition treaties, 97; the popular feeling against the House of Commons which is presented with the Kentish petition, 98; and the Legion memorial, 100; after long discussions about the partition treaty, the lords and commons at length encouraged the king to enter into a league with the States-General against France; he prorogues parliament, June 24; and shortly after embarks for Holland, 101; forms the great alliance, 102; the treaty is signed at the Hague, Sept. 7; the death of James II., 102, 103; Louis proclaims the prince of Wales king of England, by the title of James III.; William confers with Sunderland on the state of affairs in England, 103, 104; returns from Holland, Nov. 4; and dissolves parliament on the 11th, 104; he opens his last parliament Dec. 30; and delivers his last speech, Dec. 31, 105; the Commons vote him a liberal supply; fractures his collar bone by a fall from his horse; desires the Commons to take steps for the union of England and Scotland, 106, 107; death of, Mar. 8, 1702, 107, 108; discontinued the practice of touching for the king's evil, 129; prince Albert's high opinion of, viii, 313.

William IV.; admiral Langara's astonishment at his obedience to orders as midshipman, vi. 282; marries the princess Adelaide of Saxe-Meiningen, July 13, 1818, vii. 497; lord high admiral, 1827, 611; accident to, at the lord mayor's banquet, vii. 11; succeeds to the throne on the death of George IV., June 25, 1830, 38; addresses to on the expediency of providing a regency in case of his decease, 40; speech on proroguing parliament, July, 1830, 41; opens parliament Nov. 2; declares that no reform will be sanctioned by the government, 61;

- owing to a statement that the duke of Wellington would be attacked, he declines dining with the lord mayor, and postpones his visit to the city, 62, 63; requested to dissolve parliament by lords Grey and Brougham; description of the interview, 78, 79; his excitement; dissolves parliament, 79; opens parliament, 1831; recommends the consideration of the reform question, 80; his constancy; prorogues parliament, 89; again recommends reform, Dec. 1831, 99; desires the lords to give a less decided opposition to the reform bill, 101; petitions to, to create peers if necessary, 102; refuses to create peers at the request of the cabinet, 103; but ultimately gives a reluctant consent to this measure; uses his personal influence with the opposition peers, 107; and thus secures the passing of the reform bill, 108; his speech on opening parliament 127, 128; compliments parliament for their exertions bestowed upon public business, 158; desires to effect the union of different party leaders in the same ministry, in the hope of settling the Irish church question, 162, 163; dismisses the Melbourne ministry, 166, 168; unprecedentedness of this act, 168, 169; his speech, 1835, 172; speech on opening parliament by commission, 1837, 198; his illness and death, June 20, 1837, 198, 199; character of, 199, 200; his funeral, 231.
- Williamson, sir Joseph. See Partition Treaty, v. 86.
- Wilson, a smuggler; robs an excise collector; is apprehended, tried, and found guilty; attempts to escape from prison; heroism displayed in saving his comrade Robertson; executed April 14, 1736, v. 455, 456.
- Wilson, John, his writings in "Blackwood's Magazine," vii 518.
- Wilson, Richard, contributes to the first Royal Academy exhibition, vi. 371; classic landscape painter, 374, his works compared with those of Gainsborough, 371.
- Wiltshire, a pastoral country; agricultural improvements; bustards formerly abundant in; boldness of this bird; the last specimen probably seen in, in 1805, vi. 319; backward state of farming in, 320.
- Wiltshire Downs, ancient British monuments on, i. 24.
- Winchelsea, Daniel Finch, earl of, introduces, as earl of Nottingham, the comprehension bill into the House of Lords, iv. 466; his despatch to the naval officers, 1692, 561; his differences with Russell, 577, 578; appointed secretary of state, 1702, v. 113; urges prosecution of the Whigs, 1711, 245.
- Winchelsea, earl of, his calumnious letter to the duke of Wellington; refusing to withdraw it, a duel takes place in Battersea Fields, Mar. 21, 1829, and an apology tendered at its conclusion, viii. 32.
- Winchester, the ancient city of, i. 25.
- Winchester, Henry, bishop of, influences the election of his brother Stephen in 1135, i. 279; denounces him at a synod for offences against the clergy, 288; supports Matilda, 292; rejoins his brother and supports his cause by the authority "of the holy see," 295; superseded as legate, 297.
- Windham, William, approves of parliament's conduct in expressing its feeling on the situation of the French royal family, 1792, vi. 559; sent to Holland to smooth the difficulties as to the command of the allied army, vii. 49, 50; appointed secretary at war, 1794, 53; manages the Quiberon expedition, 57; superseded by Charles Yorke, 1801, 155; opposes Yorke's motion for an army of reserve; advice as to volunteers, 186, 187; secretary of state for war, 1806, 229; his treatment of the volunteers renders him unpopular, 230; secretary of state, 1806, 640.
- Wine; effects of the Methuen treaty upon the wine trade, v. 123; claret the general wine of England prior to 1703; port since that date, 123; restrictions on the importation of the French, removed by the Scottish parliament, 176, 177.
- Winstanley, his lighthouse at Eddystone; perishes with it, 1703, iv. 394.
- Winton, George, earl of, impeached of high treason; tried and found guilty, 1716, v. 381; makes his escape, 383, 384.
- Witcraft, instances of the belief in, 18th century, v. 314, 415.
- Wolfe, James, colonel, 1757; volunteers to take Rochefort with the assistance of 500 men, vi. 35; serves under Amherst in the expedition against Louisbourg; his gallantry, 40; made major-general and commander of the Quebec expedition; his dinner with Pitt, 42; his passage of the St. Lawrence; lands near Quebec, 45; his night voyage; takes possession of the heights of Abraham; battle with Montcalm; death, Sept. 13, 1759, 46.
- Wolseley, colonel, with colonel Barry, conveys supplies to Enniskillen, and defeats the duke of Berwick, 1689, iv. 482.
- Wolsey, cardinal Thomas, birth, and rise of, under Henry VIII., ii. 252; acts as war minister to Henry VIII. while almoner, 252; accompanies the expedition to France, and is made bishop of Tournay, 257; made chancellor, cardinal, and papal legate, 262; and archbishop of York, 263; magnificence of, 263; the pope secures his support against the doctrines of Luther, 263; maintains the papal supremacy in England, 264; the ostentation of Wolsey the result of policy, 266; his labour and difficulties as a minister, 267; propitiated by Charles V. in his

- meeting with Henry at Gravelines, 273; asserted promotion by, of the conviction and execution of the duke of Buckingham in 1521, 274; appointed to mediate between Francis I. and Charles V. 279; aspires to the papal chair, and fails, 279; he endeavours to overawe the Commons into the granting of a large subsidy, 283; fosters dissensions in the court of Scotland, 285; takes the blame on himself of having levied taxes without the consent of parliament, 292; sent ambassador to France, 299; difficulties of, arising from the question of the king's divorce from Catherine, 300; concludes an alliance with France, 302; first troubles of, from the king's attachment to Anne Boleyn, 303, 304; receives the French embassy at Hampton Court, 305; is authorized by the pope to inquire into Henry's marriage, 306; is associated with cardinal Campegius for the purpose, 307; arrival of Campegius in England, 308; interview of Catherine with the legates, 308; dissatisfaction of Henry with, 312; is deprived of the great seal, 313; leaves York Place, 314; rejoicings at his fall, 314; destitution of at Esher, 315; is defended in parliament by Thomas Cromwell, 316; is accused of slandering the church of England in the court of Rome, 317; continued interest of, in his colleges, 328; receives a general pardon, and resides in his see of York, 328; his great popularity there, 329; is arrested for high treason, 330.
- Wood, alderman, his conduct in the riots of 1816, vii. 451; moves a vote of censure against government, for employing Edwards, 556.
- Woodfall, H. S., printer and conductor of the "Public Advertiser," containing Junius's letters, vi. 108; publishes a collected edition of the letters, 109; prosecuted for publishing Wilkes's address to the king, 117.
- Wool, act passed in 1689, for the prevention of the exportation of, and encouraging the woollen manufacture of this kingdom, iv. 385; considered to be the basis of England's wealth, 386; manufacture of, chiefly in the western counties from time of Edward VI., 386; annual value of wool, and the woollen manufactures at the end of the 17th century, 386; Bristol formerly the seat of the commerce of, and the woollen manufactures, 390, 391; extensively employed in making stockings, 403; woollen manufacture of Yorkshire 150 years ago, 412; Kendal green cloth, 416; Ireland applies herself to the woollen manufacture; William is implored to discourage it, v. 55; Ireland prohibited from exporting, 1782, vi. 271.
- Woollett, William, a skilful engraver of landscape paintings, vii. 377, 378.
- Wordsworth, William, quotations from his poem on the French revolution, vi. 491; and his sonnets, vii. 173; his writings described by Byron; first appears as a poet in 1793, 506; character of his writings, 506; advantages derived by, from the copyright act of 1842, viii. 305, 306.
- Wren, sir Christopher, plan of, for rebuilding the city of London, iv. 185; alterations of, at Windsor Castle, 264; he is extensively patronized by William, v. 333; description of his mind; becomes assistant surveyor-general, 333, 334; studies the architecture of France, 335; his plan of rebuilding London, 336; commences the erection of St. Paul's, 1675, 336; his first plan; the cathedral finished, 1710, 336, 337; criticism on the building, 337; his skill shown in the London parish churches, such as St. Stephen's, Walbrook, 338, 339; other buildings erected by, 340; retires from public life, 340; dies at the age of 90, and is buried in the choir of St. Paul's, 340.
- Wurmser, Austrian general; succeeds Beaulieu in the command of the army in Italy, 1796, vii. 67; defeated by Bonaparte, 67; defends Mantua; capitulates; chivalrous treatment of, by Bonaparte, 86.
- Wurtemberg, duke of, joins Marlborough; shares the alternate command with him, iv. 523; his part in the battle of Steinkirk, 566.
- Wyat, sir Thomas, insurrection of, against the marriage of queen Mary with Philip of Spain, ii. 588; he marches to London, 591; and is defeated, 592; is executed, 597.
- Wyatt, James, his architectural designs, vi. 382; vii. 531-533.
- Wyatt, Samuel, architect of Trinity House, Tower Hill, vii. 533.
- Wyattville, sir Jeffrey, his earlier architectural works, vii. 533.
- Wycliffe, prosecuted for his opinions, i. 542; supported by the duke of Lancaster, 542; resistance of, to Peter's pence, 543; translates the new Testament, 543; the precursor of the Reformation, 543; the religious opinions of, not the cause of the insurrection in 1381, 553, 554; many of his opinions declared heretical by a synod of divines, 554; petitions against the Act for the punishment of preachers of heresies, 555; it is repealed, 555; his translation of the Scriptures is proscribed, 556; his death in 1384, 556; enduring effects of his labours, 556; the council of Constance orders his body to be disinterred and burnt, ii. 14.
- Wykeham, William of, deprived of his temporalities and dismissed from court, 542; notice of his constructions and institutions, 543.
- Wyndham, sir William, a Tory leader, attacks Walpole, 1734, v. 449; his portrait

of Walpole, 449; secedes from the House of Commons; his adieu; and reproof from Walpole, 473, 474; died, 1740, 475.
Wyoming, description and state of, vi. 216; destruction of the forts, and massacre of the inhabitants, 1778, 216.

Y.

- YANDABOO, treaty of, signed Feb. 24, 1826, vii. 630.
Yarmouth, lord, empowered to negotiate for peace, 1806, vii. 236.
York Town taken possession of by lord Cornwallis, 1781, vi. 250.
York, rise of the House of, ii. 102; character of Richard, duke of, 102; popularity of, 108; takes up arms against Somerset, 108; is arrested, 108; is elected Protector by the peers, 110; is superseded, 111; marches towards London, 111; wins the first battle of St. Alban's, on May 22, 1455, 112; conducts Henry to London, 112; is appointed Protector a second time, 113; is again superseded, 114; absents himself from the king's councils, 115; attends in London, in 1458, an attempted reconciliation of the York and Lancastrian factions, 115; commencement of the Civil War of the Roses, in 1459, 116; is declared a traitor by the parliament at Coventry, 116; flies to Ireland, 116; returns from Ireland, after the battle of Northampton, in 1460, 117; claims the crown in a parliament at Westminster, 118; the peers compromise the claim by enacting that York should succeed on Henry's death, 119; queen Margaret resists the compromise, and assembles an army, 119; battle of Wakefield, and death of York, on Dec. 31, 1460, 119.
York, the duchess of, takes refuge, in 1460, in the chambers of John Paston, in the Temple, ii. 117; notice of her family there with her, 118.
York, Frederick, duke of, son of George III., born Aug. 16, 1763, vi. 87; leads the English guards against the French, vii. 13, 14; besieges Valenciennes; the garrison capitulates, July 28, 1793; advances against Dunkirk; compelled to raise the siege, 15; his forbearance and generosity, 15; announces the French decree of no quarter to the British, 44; defeats Pichegru, May 10, 1794, 48; he is recalled on account of incompetency for his position, 49; loses Holland, 51; supersedes sir R. Abercromby in the command of the British and Russian armies in Holland, 1799, 138; his reverses and incompetency, 139; charges brought against him for misrule at the Horse Guards, 281; parliamentary inquiry into his conduct; his disgraceful connection with Mrs. Clarke, 281, 283; his acquittal; resigns his office of commander-in-chief, Mar. 20, 1809, 282; appointed the king's *custos*, with an allowance of 10,000*l.*, 481-483; commander-in-chief, 552; his speech on the Roman Catholic Relief bill, 1825; sensation caused by it, 607; his death, Jan. 5, 1827, and burial, Jan. 20, 607, 608.
Yorke, Charles, secretary of war, 1801, vii. 155; proposes to raise an army of reserve of 50,000; and subsequently to enrol every fighting man, 1803, 186; home secretary, 1803, 640.
Yorkshire, population of, in 18th century, iv. 412; the five great clothing towns in, 412; travelling in, *temp.* queen Anne; industry of, *temp.* queen Anne, 414; the marquis of Rockingham's example to the farmers of, vi. 325; cultivation of the East Riding wolds; rapid agricultural progress promoted by Legard's experiments, 326; moors of; improvers, 327; James Croft, the collier, 327, 328; dialect of Craven in, 328.
Young, Arthur, quotations from, illustrative of the agriculture of England, vi. 299, 300; 325, 329; and that of Ireland, 338, 339; notices the prevalence of farming and weaving being the employment of one man in Ireland, 346; quotations from his "Travels in France," illustrating the opinions and state of society in, and agricultural condition of, France, 455, 456; 466, 467; 470, 475; 483, 486, 493.
Young, Edward, his description of ladies' employment, v. 308; his "Night Thoughts" published 1741, vi. 387.

Z

- ZARAGOZA, victory of the allies won near, 1710, v. 239; siege of, 1808, vii. 272.
Zonaras, account by, of the speech of Caractacus, i. 21.
Zorndorf, battle of, 25 Aug. 1758, vi. 41.

ENGLAND.	SCOTLAND.	FRANCE.	SPAIN.	GERMANY.	PAPAL STATES.
1413 Henry V. 1422 Henry VI.		1422 Charles VII.		1411 Sigismund 1431 Albert II. 1437 Frederick III.	1410 John XXII. 1417 Martin V. 1431 Eugene IV. 1447 Nicolas V. 1455 Calixtus III. 1458 Pius II. 1464 Paul II. 1471 Sixtus IV. 1484 Innocent VIII.
1461 Edward IV. 1483 Edward V. Richard III. 1485 Henry VII. 1509 Henry VIII.	1437 James II. 1462 James III. 1488 James IV.	1461 Louis XI. 1483 Charles VIII. 1498 Louis XII. 1515 Francis I. 1547 Henry II.	1474 Ferdinand and Isabella 1517 Charles I.	1493 Maximilian I. 1517 Charles V.	1492 Alexander VI. 1503 Pius III. 1503 Julius II. 1513 Leo X. 1522 Adrian VI. 1523 Clement VII. 1534 Paul III.
1547 Edward VI. 1553 Mary	Mary.	1547 Henry II.	Charles I. 1555 Philip II.	Charles V 1557 Ferdinand I.	Paul III., 1550 Julius III., 1555 Marcellus II., 1555 Paul IV.

UC SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY



A 000 189 359 3

