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## PREFACE.

Iv preparing the present volume for use in American schools and colleges, the Editor has judged it most advisable to abridge somewhat the length of the Exercises, whilst retaining all the critical and grammatical apparatus for a thorough understanding of the use of the Greek Particles in Prose Composition. He ventures to hope that the volume has in this way lost none of its utility for students in general, but rather the reverse. The Editor has also given some (but by no means full) directions with reference to the arrangement of words in sentences, as well as some hints as to the position of particles in a sentence.

It has not been deemed expedient to attempt to supply an English-Greek Vocabulary, especially as the very excellent English-Greek Lexicon of Yonge, edited by Prof. Drisler, will shortly be accessible to students.

The Editor takes pleasure in acknowledging his indebtedness to his late coadjutor, Prof. Hyde, of Burlington College, for several suggestions tending to increase the value of the present volume.
J. A. S.

New-York, Sept. 10: 15:1

## PREFACE TO THE ENGLISH EDITION.

The following work is the completion of my "Practical Introduction to Greek Prose Composition,"-a completion that has been long announced, but long suspended in consequence partly of ill-health and partly of more pressing engagements.

I am happy in having this opportunity of bearing testimony to the general excellence of Mr. Dale's recent Translation of Thucydides, contained in Mr. Bobn's Classical Library. I have found it nearly always an extremely accurate, and very often an extremely happy version of that difficult author. The rolumes of Plato that have kitherto appeared in that collection, are also, as far as my acquaintance with them enables me to pronounce an opinion, very creditable to their respective. Translators, Mi. Cary (whose translation of the Gorgias has supplied me with many examples) and Mr. Davis. I need not say, that Mr. Kennedy's Translation of the Speeches of Demosthenes against Aphobus and Onetor is both accurate and elegant.

To the translators of a past generation my principal obligations are due to Spelman, the translator of the Anabasis, and Sir W. Jones, whose version of the Orations of Isæus is usually correct, and is accompanied with very instructive Introductions and Notes.
T. K. A.

Lyndon, Aug. 19, 1850.
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## INTKODUCTORY REMARKS.

ON THE ARRANGEMENT OF WORDS IN A SENTENCE.

## I. In Simple Sentences, usually:

1. The subject stands first, the predicate (verb, or adjective with $\mathrm{\epsilon}_{\mathrm{i} v a \iota \text { ) last. The object is placed before }}$ the predicate, the attribute after its substantive; as,


 ó $\pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ П \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma a s . ~$

But the subject is often placed last; as, à áov́vas $\tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ē̃ $\lambda \epsilon \xi \in \nu \delta$ Kर̂pos. (See 10.)
2. When several objects belong to the same predicate, the most important one is generally placed next before the predicate, and the rest placed before it in the order in which each is supposed to have been added to the first object, those that entered the mind first being placed nearest to it; as, oi "E $E \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s$ roùs



3. Adverbs of place and time generally precede the


4. The object of a person, in the dative or accusative, precedes the object of a thing; as, $\grave{̀} \nu \pi a \hat{\imath} \delta a ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu$

5. The adverb of time precedes the adverb of

6. The adverb of manner is generally placed next the predicate; as, oi "E $E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \epsilon s$ тav́т $\eta$ т $\hat{\eta}$ ท̀ $\mu \epsilon \in \rho a$ év



## II. Compound Sentences.

7. The position of a dependent sentence corres. ponds to that of the word of which it is the resolution;




8. But a substantive sentence stands after the verb;


9. Inverted position.-The position of words in a sentence is said to be inverted, when the predicate is put before the subject, the attributive before its substantive, or the objective words, especially the adverb,


 ка́лдоs.
10. If particular emphasis is to be laid on the sub-
ject, it is placed last in the sentence; as, oüt $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ŋ̀ रov̂̀to $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu ~ o i ~ ' ~ A \rho \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \iota o \iota \cdot ~ т \hat{\omega} \nu ~ \delta \epsilon ̀ ~ X a \lambda \delta a i ́ \omega \nu ~ o i ~ \pi a-~$
 Є้ $2 \in o \nu$.
11. If two words are to be distinguished as emphatic, one is placed first, the other last; as, $\pi a \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\dot{\sim} \rho \in \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \mu \omega \dot{\nu}$ є่ $\sigma \tau \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \in \dot{v} \sigma \epsilon \in \beta \iota a$.
12. The established rule is, that no sentence is placed out of its proper position, either first or last, but for the sake of emphasis.
13. If a thought is first to be expressed generally, and then applied to some particular object or case, so that emphasis is to be laid thereon, the end of the sentence is the proper place ; as, ả入入à кaì $\mu \iota \sigma \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ тьs $\epsilon \kappa \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ -


14. In dependent sentences, the inverted position is more usual than in the words which they represent, and is used as the sense and rhythm of the sentence may require.
15. A substantive sentence introduced by öть, $\dot{\varsigma}$, that, is placed before the principal verb, when that which it expresses is to be brought more directly for-
 $\eta u ้ \xi \eta \tau a \iota \pi a \rho a \lambda \epsilon i \not \psi \omega$.
16. Sometimes the position of the adjectival sentence is inverted to bring it prominently forward, while the substantive is little more than an adjective expressing some attribute of the adjectival sentence, and is in the case thereof; as, òv $\epsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \in \varsigma$ äv $\delta \rho a$, oűtós

17. Inverted position applies also to local adverbial sentences, introduced by relative adverbs of place, o $\hat{v}$, $\hat{\eta}$, iva, \&c. In temporal and conditional adverbial sentences, no change of this sort takes place, as their proper place is before the verb.
18. If, in a dependent sentence (especially an adjectival sentence), any word is to be especially brought forward, it is placed sometimes before the conjunction ;
 joūıv.
19. If, in a number of clauses, the attention is to be particularly called to any one word, as the leading notion of the whole sentence, it is placed either at the beginning or end of the whole sentence; as, $\tau$ о $\boldsymbol{v} \tau \omega \nu$
 $\lambda \dot{\jmath} о \mu а$.
20. Very frequently, a subject common to both the principal and subordinate clause is placed first; as,

21. In a sentence which stands with others in a paragraph, that word is most properly placed first which is most connected with the preceding sen-


 $\kappa о \pi т о \mu$ е́ $\eta \nu$.
22. An especial method of bringing a word or words more prominently forward, is by separating those which, as making up one notion, would naturally be joined together. Hereby, generally only one is marked as important, but sometimes two, especially
when they stand at the beginning and enil of the sentence; as, $\dot{a} \xi \iota \omega$ $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon \mu i ́ a \nu ~ \mu o \iota ~ \delta i a ̀ ~ \tau o \hat{\tau} \tau о ~ \pi a \rho ’ ~ i ́ \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 from the words used to strengthen it.
23. When a negative is prefixed to an article or a relative, a conjunction, or a preposition, it may not be separated therefrom.
24. The relation between the same or cognate notions especially if they are contraries, is signified by their being put beside one another; as, $\pi a \rho ’$ ov̉к є́'sé-


 $\lambda \in \omega \varsigma, \& c$.
25. When in a sentence, or two co-ordinate sentences, there are two words joined together, which are opposed to two other words likewise joined together, the words which correspond to each other, correspond to each other in their position; the arrangement of the words of the one pair being exactly the opposite of the other pair of words. So: substantive, adjective ; adjective, substantive. This figure is called chiasma, from its analogy with a Greek $X$; as, тол入áкıs jंסov̀̀ ßраұєìa $\mu \iota к р a ̀ ̀ ~ т і к к \tau є \iota ~ \lambda u ́ \pi т \nu . ~$

The Latins were very fond of this figure.
26. Sometimes the predicates of two co-ordinate sentences are placed contrary to their natural order, the one whose sense requires that it should follow the other, being placed before it ( $v \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu \pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho o \nu)$. This latter takes place when the notion which should stand second is to be brought forward as the more important

入ó9ı vaiєıข.
27. Another method of calling attention strongly to a word, or the notion on which emphasis is to be laid, is by placing immediately after it some particle; as, $\pi \epsilon \in \rho, \delta \dot{\eta}, \gamma^{\prime}$, or ${ }^{\prime} \nu \nu$; or a parenthetical word, such as


Two simple sentences are connected with each other either by the way of co-ordination, or by the way of subordination. Co-ordinate sentences $=$ independent of each other, but united by a conjunction either copulative, disjunctive, or adversative; as, кaí, $\tau$ ';
 tences $=$ dependent one on the other, so that the one is incomplete without the other; expressed by the sub-


On the "Position of Words and Sentences," cf. Kühner's Graek Gram. mar, § 348.
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## PART II.

## Сhap. 1. 'A $\lambda \lambda a ́ . ~ § 1 . ~$

1. a) 'A $\lambda \lambda a$ (from äd $\lambda o s$, other) is an adversative par. ticle denoting principally difference and separation: = but (in direct opposition: e. g. 'not A, but B'), or but, yet, however (in limitations and qualifying restrictions: in such sentences, for instance, as, 'a good man, but rather weak').

Observe that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ is distinguished from $\alpha \partial \lambda \alpha$ (alia) by a change of accent.
2. b) In direct opposition ả àá usually follows a negative; which, however, may be implied by a question.
[Direct opposition.] Oن̉к ảvסрòs öркоє $\pi i \sigma \tau \iota s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$


[Qualifying opposition.] Aiซðpà̀ $\gamma v v a i ̂ \kappa ' ~ ' ̈ \gamma \eta \mu a s, ~$ $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \pi \lambda$ ovoiav. Gn.
3. c) When a universal negative is meant to be followed by a universal affirmative, the affirmative notion is usually omitted, being understood by implication.

Thus, when ád $\lambda \lambda a ́$ [or $\delta$ '́] follows oú $\delta \in i ́ s$ or $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i ́ s$, it is necessary to supply éкабтоs as the subject.


 (Soph.).
4. d) This idiom is found also in Latin:

Quî fit, Mrecenas, ut ne mo quam sibi sortem Seu ratio dederit, seu fors objecerit, illâ Contentus vivat? laudet [ = sed quisque laudet] diversa sequentes? (Hor. 1 Sat. 1, 1.)
5. e) The same kind of omission occurs in statements that we ought not to do this, but that: which would be, if fully expressed, 'we ought not to do this, but we ought to do that.' See the first sentence of the follow ing Exercise.

## Exercise 1.

6. (1) It is not right, O Council, either to like or to dislike any man from outward appearance, but to try him by his actions: for many a man who converses but little and dresses with propriety, has been the cause of great evils; and others, though disregarding such ( ${ }^{\circ}$ proprieties of conduct), have done you many good services.
(2) From ${ }^{18}$ all ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that has been said then, Socrates, by what possible means can we contrive to bring a man ${ }^{\text {b }}$ who has any power of mind, or wealth, or body, or birth, to honor justice, $\dagger$ and not rather ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ laugh at hearing it praised? †Although therefore ${ }^{d}$ a man may be able even to show what we have said to be false, and knows well enough that justice is best, he is, I imagine, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ very indulgent, and feels no resentment against the unjust; so that unless any man abstains from injustice, either from disliking it through an admirable nature, or from acquired experience, ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$-of all the rest not one is willingly just, but either through cowardice, old age, or some other weakness, condemns injustice, because unable to do it. $\dagger$ And that ( ${ }^{\circ}$ it is so), ${ }^{g}$ is plain: for the first of these persons who arrives at power, is the first to commit injustice, as far as he is able.

- Strengthen this 'all' by $\delta \dot{\eta} . \quad$ b Say: 'what device ( $\mu \eta \chi \alpha \nu \eta$ ) is there that a man should be willing to honor,' \&cc. 'c à $\lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta}=a c$ non potius.




## Chap. 1. § 2. ( $\mu$ èv—ả入入á.)

7. a) 'A $\lambda \lambda a ́$ sometimes follows $\mu e ́ \nu$ ( $=$ quidem), in both direct and qualifying oppositions.
8. b) When $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a \dot{a}$ occurs in a qualifying opposition, the first or conceded notion being accompanied by $\mu \in ́ \nu$ (indeed), the second notion is negatived by à an' ouv.
 кало́v.
9. c) When ả àdá follows $\mu$ é $\nu$ in direct oppositions, it must not be supposed equivalent to $\delta \overline{\text { é }}$ : for it always marks a far stronger opposition, such as that between two opposite notions, a denial and an affirmation, and the like.

 мápvaбগai (Il. 16, 239).

## Exercise 2.

10. Having then ( $\tau$ oivov) conveyed so much property from the house, having received the money arising from the sale of so large an estate, and having moreover laid hands ${ }^{\text {a }}$ on the rents which became due in 'all that interval, they imagine that they shall obtain possession of the remainder also : and to such a height of assurance have they advanced, that o though they did not indeed venture to meet us in a direct form of action, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ yet they entered a protestation of legitimacy in behalf of our opponents, that was at once false and inconsistent with their own previous conduct.

2 Here $\delta \iota a \phi o \rho \in i \nu=$ to plunder; with reference to the dispersion of the plundered property, by its being divided amongst the parties concerned.
b Or, 'to bring the cause to a fair issue:' єủ̀voסıcía sioctéval, \&c. opp.


## CHap. 1. §3. (oủ $\mu$ óvov-ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ [ $\kappa a i ̄]$.)

11. a) 'Not only-but also' is usually oủ $\mu$ óvov$\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каí. The second member is now and then



12. b) If the $\mu$ óvov relates principally to a particular notion, which is to be excluded from being the sole thing, it is usually placed after that notion, instead of immediately after the ov.


c) Od́ $\mu \dot{\nu} \nu о \nu a ̉ \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$ (without каí) is used when the second notion is so much the stronger that the first is virtually put aside as being unnecessary to be dwelt upon. (See Arnold's Gk. Gram. 1444, g.)

## Exercise 3.

13. (1) A tyranny aims at three objects: the first being that the subjects of it should be mean-spirited, for a mean-spirited person will not plot against any man; the second that they should distrust each other, for a tyranny is not put down, till there are some persons who have confidence in one another; for which reason also it is that tyrants are ${ }^{\circ}$ ever at war with men of high character, ${ }^{a}$ as being ill-suited ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to their government, not only from their not choosing to be governed despotically, but also because they can be trusted both by their own associates ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and by others, and do not
betray either their own friends or other persons. The third object is incapacity for public business, for no man attempts what is impossible.
(2) If any amongst you are angry with those who aspire to the conduct of state-affairs, but run away from danger, they cannot justly entertain this opinion of me; for I not only performed with alacrity what I was ordered to do, but also dared to expose myself voluntarily to danger ; and this I did, not as thinking it a triffing matter to fight against the Lacedæmonians, but in order that, if I should ever be brought into trouble without fault of mine, $\dagger$ I might find favor with you on account of such conduct, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ and receive full justice at your hands.
[^1]
## Exercise 4.

14. (1) The Lacedæmonians were †in those days ${ }^{\text {a }}$ so far from acting as our ancestors did- $\dagger$ from warring, that is, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ against the barbarians and benefiting the Greeks-that they would not even remain quiet; but though they had, not only a sufficient territory, but one so large as no other Grecian city possessed, they neglected agriculture, trades, and all other things, and never ceased to beset the Peloponnesian cities, and attack them unjustly one by one, till they had subdued them all except Argos. ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(2) Perceiving that the Thebans, $\dagger$ and I may almost add that you as well as they, ${ }^{\text {d }}{ }^{\circ}$ influenced by ${ }^{\text {e }}$ those of your respective citizens who managed the interests of Philip, and had been corrupted ( ${ }^{\circ}$ by his bribes), regarded with indifference and adopted not one single
measure of precaution against what was the most formidable danger of all, and needed extreme watchful-ness-the suffering the power of Philip to increasebut were ${ }^{\circ}$ ever prone to hostile feelings and angry collision, I labored with incessant vigilance to prevent this, not only from conceiving it, upon my own judgment, to be for your advantage, but because I knew that Aristŏphōn and again Eubūlus had all along desired to effect this friendship, and though $(p)$ they often opposed each other on ${ }^{f}$ other subjects, were invariably agreed upon this.
[^2]
## Exercise 5.

15. (1) ${ }^{\circ}$ Statements of this kind must not only be provided ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as so many) names for ${ }^{a}$ the previous examination, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ but must be shown to have really taken place, and be confirmed by the testimony of relations. Now ( $\tau o i v v \nu$ ) when we challenged them to produce any of Euctēmön's friends who knew that any female lived with him, or that Callippe was his ward, and to decide this by submitting to torture such domestics as were still alive, or, if any one of their own slaves asserted that he was aware of these facts, to deliver them up to us, they would neither receive ${ }^{\circ}$ ours nor give up ( ${ }^{\circ}$ theirs to us).
(2) These things are not for ${ }^{17}$ your glory, O Lace-dæmonians-neither your offending against the common rights of Greece and against your forefathers, nor your destroying us your benefactors, $\dagger$ to gratify the enmity of others, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ without having been wronged yourselves: but ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{it}$ will be for your glory) to spare, to relent ${ }^{d} \dagger$ from the influence of a prudent compassion, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ considering not merely the $\dagger$ fearful character ${ }^{f}$ of what
we shall suffer，but what manner of men we who are to suffer are．
 before the Archon，who determined whether an action should be granted．
c Say：＇on account of the enmity of others．＇deं érıкスaoNinval（ $\leftrightharpoons$
 to $\lambda a \beta$ óvicas being probably the whole state of the case，matters，\＆cc． Others connect oัк $\tau \omega \sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho о \nu \iota$ with $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \kappa \lambda \alpha \sigma \hat{\imath} \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha l$ ，as if it were $\dot{\epsilon} \pi เ \kappa \lambda \alpha-$



## Chap．1．§ 4．（ả入入à кaí without hóvov preceding．）

16．a）Even without a preceding $\mu$ óvov，we some－ times find $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ cai in the sense of but even，nay even， after negative words and notions，ov＇ס́́，ovं $\delta \in i \prime$＇，\＆c．Thus：

 $\tau \grave{\alpha}$ тotav̂тa $\mu \omega \rho a i v o \nu \tau a s ~ a ̀ m \epsilon \delta \epsilon i к \nu v \epsilon ~(X e n . ~ M e m . ~ 1, ~ 1, ~ 11) . ~$.
b）Frequently，however，the $\kappa a i$ in $a ̉ \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \kappa a i$ belongs， in the sense of＇even，＇to the single notion that it pre－ cedes．

## Exercise 6.

17．（1）For you must consider，not only whether you are not losing money，but whether you are not also losing your reputation，about which you are more soli－ citous than about money；and not you alone，but also your ancestors（ ${ }^{\circ}$ were so before you）：a proof of which is，that when they formerly possessed great wealth， they parted with it all in the pursuit of honor；where－ as，for the sake of their reputation，they never once declined any danger（ ${ }^{\circ}$ however great），but did not cease to spend even their private fortunes in addition （ ${ }^{\circ}$ to the public revenues）．
（2）Have I not been deeply wronged from the be－ ginning，and am I not ${ }^{\circ}$ even now persecuted by them，
because I seek to obtain redress? Is there one of you who can help feeling an honest indignation against the defendant, and compassion for me; seeing that he, besides an estate of more than ten talents which he inherited, has got another of equal amount, belonging to me, while I have not only lost my patrimony, but am by the villany of these men deprived even of what they have returned me?

## Chap. 1. § 5. ( ${ }^{2} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ov̉ $\left[\hat{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \eta{ }^{\prime}\right]$.)

18. a) ' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' ov̀ ( $\grave{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \dot{a} \mu \hat{\eta}$ ) is either 'but not' or 'and not.' These particles are very often used where we use ' not' only, in sentences of the form: ' $A$ not $B$.' A question with ov sometimes precedes:
 тòv 9 €óv ( $=$ not the deity). $P l$.
19. b) When the proposition introduced by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ou ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \dot{\eta}$ ) is the second clause of a question, or follows a clause of comparison introduced by $\dot{\omega}, \tilde{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, it is equivalent to 'and not rather' (ac non).
20. c) The particles $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o ${ }^{3}(\vec{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \prime \prime$ ) may often be translated by 'instead of;' especially when they introduce the second clause of a question, where, in English, we should use the participial substantive (e. g. Sià $\tau i$ $\pi a i \zeta \epsilon \iota \rho \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ' o $\dot{v}$ $\pi$ тovóá $\epsilon \iota \varsigma$; why are you jesting instead of being in earnest ?).
21. d) ' $A \lambda \lambda$ ' où $\delta$ é $=$ nay-not even.

## Exercise 7.

22. (1) If this ( ${ }^{\circ}$ our opponent) had not been thought to have perjured himself on that occasion, it is clear that his associate ${ }^{\text {a }}$ would have succeeded in his protestation; and the woman who had been sworn ${ }^{\text {b }}$ by him to be the legitimate daughter of my uncle [ ${ }^{\circ}$ she, I
say], not my mother, would have been declared his heiress.
(2) When * Philip had taken ( $p$ ) Nicæa from the Thebans, and made it over to the Thessalians, then ${ }^{\text {d }}$ did the Thebans, tnow that ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i$ ) the danger was come to their own doors, apply at once to the Athenians, and you marched out and entered Thebes, both infantry and cavalry, $\dagger$ in full military array, e before Demosthenes had written a single syllable about an alliance ( ${ }^{\circ}$ with them). And what introduced you into Thebes was the critical state of their affairs, their alarm, and their need of ${ }^{\circ}$ some alliance; not Demosthenes.
(3) If you are talking about a strictly just proportion, in the first place you are ignorant that, as now, so in former times, ${ }^{\text {f }}$ of those triremes that fought for Greece, which were three hundred in all, this state furnished two hundred; and, secondly, you gain little favor with this your audience by thus falsely accusing me: for why do you now tell us what we ought ${ }^{8}$ to have done, and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ why did you) not rather propose the measures then [or, instead of proposing them then]; for you were ( $p$ ) in the city and $\dagger$ attended our deliberations? ${ }^{\text {h }}$
(4) If, O judges! Leochărēs or Dicæŏgĕnes were only going to defend themselves from this charge, I should be satisfied with what has been already said; but, since they are prepared to enter into the whole question of ( ${ }^{\circ}$ my right to) the inheritance, I wish you to hear an account of the transaction from me as well as from them, that ${ }^{i}$ being apprised of the truth and not deluded ( ${ }^{\circ}$ by our opponents), you may decide the cause as you think right.

* è $\kappa \in \stackrel{i}{\nu}$ os, contrasted with the oîtos that denotes the opposite party in court. ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Here $\delta$ (a $\alpha \rho \tau \cup \rho \eta \uparrow \hat{\eta} \eta \mathrm{a}$, to have been declared in his pro-

 is usually omitted. $\quad$ b Use $\pi \alpha \rho \in i v a i ~(=$ interesse) only. $\quad$ What moods of the infin. follow $\mu^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ?


## Chap. 1. § 6. (ả $\lambda \lambda a ́$ inceptive.)

23. ' $A \lambda \lambda$ á may also begin a speech when it is opposea to that of another person.
a) In this way it often introduces answers in a quick, abrupt manner, when they are of an opposite character to what was just said, or to some previous thought or wish of the speaker, or even to some general view, from which the speaker declares that, for his own part, he entertains a different opinion [= nay but; well; why].
b) In this way it often introduces objections [=at]: but (c) often approbation and assent ${ }^{1}$ [ả $\lambda \lambda a ̀ \alpha \kappa \lambda \hat{\omega} s \mu o \iota$ סокєis $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu . P$ l.]: and (d) is used in exclamations, exhortations, \&c., especially when the discourse is suddenly interrupted, and something new introduced. à $\lambda \lambda$ ' ádv́vatov, 'nay, but it's impossible' (or, 'why, it's impossible'): à $\lambda \lambda$ à $\beta$ ov́ $\lambda o \mu a \iota, ~ ' w e l l, ~ I ~ w i l l!' ~(o r, ~ ' w e l l ~$ then, I will!') ${ }^{1}$
[A messenger had told the Greek generals that Ariæus would wait for them the rest of that day, and, if they did not join him, set out with-



It must be observed, that in very many instances where $a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́$ is used in this way, to introduce a remark in a spirited and abrupt manner, we should in English introduce it without any particle.

## Exercise 8.

\The mark $\ddagger$ will be used to indicate that it will be best to use a particle in translating the clause into Greek.]
24. (1) Clearchus said thus much, and Tissaphernes replied ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to this effect:-" Well, I rejoice, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ O Clearchus, to hear sensible language from you; for as long as

[^3]you entertain $(p)$ these opinions, it seems ${ }^{76}$ to me, that if you were to devise any mischief against me, you would also at the same time be your own enemy. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ But that you may learn, +if it may be so, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ that you cannot justly distrust either the king ${ }^{50}$ or me, listen in your turn to me."
(2) Phalinnus, hearing this, smiled, and said: "Why, you seem to be a philosopher, young man, and speak not inelegantly ; be assured, however, e that you are a foolish person, if you imagine that your courage will prevail over the power of the king." ${ }^{50}$
(3) Clearchus said in reply: " $\ddagger$ This" 6 is what you' say: but take back from us this answer; that it is our opinion, that if we should have to $\dagger$ act $a^{5}{ }^{5}$ the king's friends, we should be more valuable friends with our arms, than if we had parted with them; and ${ }^{\circ}$ so if we should have to go to war with him, we should fight better with our arms than if we had parted with them."
 occurs only in this passage.-Kr. b Use $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ here; to be answered by $\delta \epsilon$, with but that below. ${ }^{\text {e } E} \dot{l}$ with opt. in a conditional clause may be followed by the present tense of $\delta о \kappa \in i v$ when an inf. with $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu(=$ opt. with $\alpha \nu)$ is dependent on that verb. Just as ' if yous were -, you would -,', may become 'if you were--, I think you would,' \&c. d According to Hermann, this is the force of $\ddot{\alpha}^{2}$ in $\dot{\omega} s$
 when no intimation of doubt appears appropriate.



Chap. 1. § 7. (ảd $\lambda a ́$ in repeated suppositions, made interrogatively and rejected.)
25. The inceptive $a \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is used extensively in the introduction of supposed reasons, which are only stated to be rejected. The ad $\lambda \lambda a$ a is employed both in the interrogative suppositions, and in the rejecting clauses.


 ขך $\downarrow \tau a \iota$ ( $A n d$.).

Passages of this kind are very common in the orators. In English we should use with the questions after the first either 'or' or 'well then,' 'then.' For instance: 'Was it A ?'- 'No.' 'Well then, was it B?' - 'By no means.' 'C then?' and so on.

## Exercise 9.

26. (1) What then can induce you to admit his qualification? The belief that ${ }^{\text {a }}$ he has not been guilty of any crime? Nay but he has committed the very greatest offences against his country:-or ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the persuasion) that he will come to be a better ${ }^{\circ}$ citizen? [If so] then ${ }^{\text {b }}$ let him first $\dagger$ have become $(p)$ so, and not claim to sit as a member of the Council, till he has done some manifest service ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to the state), as he then inflicted upon it a manifest injury. For it is ever more prudent to show your gratitude to men for $\dagger$ actions ( ${ }^{\circ}$ really performed). ${ }^{\text {c }}$
(2) Do not, on any account, O senators, pronounce a sentence like this. For why should' I meet with this treatment at your hands? Because ${ }^{\text {d any one has ever }}$ been put upon his trial and lost his property through me? No man can prove this against me. Or because I am a busy-body and unruly and quarrelsome? Nay, but + I do not employ the little that I have in the indulgence of such tempers and habits.e Because then I am an exceedingly insolent and violent person? Why he would not even himself assert this, unless he chose to affirm what is false upon this point as upon all the rest. Well then, because I was in power in ${ }^{19}$ the days of the four-hundred and ill-treated many citizens? Nay, but I fled with the people to Chalcis on the Euripus, and when I might without any apprehension have taken a part in their government, I chose $\dagger$ a life of danger with the great mass of yourselves. ${ }^{\text {f }}$
 ${ }^{\text {d }} \pi$ пótepov＇ótı．e Say：＇I do not employ such means（ ${ }^{\circ}$ as I have）


Chap．1．§ 8．（ả $\lambda \lambda a^{\cdot} \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o乞̂v•ả $\lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ô̂v－$\gamma \epsilon$ ．）
27．a）＇A $\lambda \lambda a$ sometimes follows conditional particles， $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ，\＆c．，and may be construed by＇yet，＇＇yet at least，＇ ＇$\alpha t$ least＇（＝saltem；certe ；at certe）．Ei $\sigma \hat{\omega} \mu a$ סov̂入ov，
 $\pi o \lambda \lambda a ́ ~ \gamma \epsilon ~ i ̈ \sigma \tau \epsilon ~(D i n a r c h) . ~-.-J u s t ~ s o ~ ' a t ' ~ i n: ~ s i ~ m i h a ~$ bonâ republicâ frui non licuerit，at carebo malà．

28．b）Also when $a d \lambda a ́$ stands in the middle of its clause，it has this meaning of at least（tamen，certe）：but the opposed notion may be readily supplied with an $\epsilon i$
 （Eur．Or．1561．）＇that we may at least save my daugh－ ter，＇i．e．may at least save her［if we can do nothing more］．

29．c）In this sense $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}-\gamma \epsilon,{ }^{\prime} \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\hat{\nu} \nu, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\dot{\nu} \nu$ －$(\gamma \epsilon)$ ，are also found（either with or without a preced－ ing $\epsilon i, \epsilon i \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ）；the $\gamma \epsilon^{\prime}$ ，of course，renders the preceding notion emphatic．The conclusive oivy here resembles our＇however，＇which refers，like it，to a preceding statement．${ }^{2}$

30．d）The form $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ a $\nu \hat{v} \nu(\gamma \epsilon)=$＇now at length，＇ ＇now at last，＇＇even now，＇is very common（i．e．if［or though］not before，yet at all events now：$\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ì $\pi \rho o ́ \tau \epsilon \rho \frac{1}{}$ ，


 $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$ belongs to $\sigma v \gamma \gamma^{\prime} \nu \in \sigma \hat{N} \epsilon$（ $=$ si nihil adhuc faciatis，certe adeste）； àd入à $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu(=$ si non alio tempore，at nunc saltem）．Kl．

 vim habet præter $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ et $\gamma^{\prime}$ ，ut legentes jubeat respicere ad ea，quæ fa－ cienda fuerunt；quæ si suscepta essent（hoc est，oüv），hoc quidem certe， quod jam infert，illi reliquissent（ $K l$ ．）．





 $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho о \in ́ \chi o v \sigma \iota \nu$ (Isocr.). Kr.
[' $A \lambda \lambda a ́ \quad \gamma^{\epsilon}$ probably never occur together, if Wolf is
 in П. 1. Kl .]

Exercise 10.
31. (1) O soldiers, our present condition is indeed one of difficulty ; but nevertheless we must not be faint-hearted, but strive that, if we possibly can, we may save ourselves by a victory $(p)$; † but, if not, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ may at all events die an honorable death.
(2) The Chalcidians, ${ }^{b}$ though under such obligations to you, did not make you a suitable return; but ( ${ }^{\circ}$ on the contrary), as soon as you crossed over into Eubœa to assist Plutarchus, $\dagger$ at the commencement ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( 0 of the affair), they did indeed pretend to be your friends; but no sooner had we passed Tamynæ, and were crossing the Cotylæan ${ }^{\text {d }}$ mountain, as it is called, than Callias the Chalcidian, seeing our army inclosed in a difficult country from which we could not retreat without ${ }^{34}$ a victory ( $p$ ), assembled an army from ${ }^{18}$ every ( ${ }^{\circ}$ part of) Eubœea, and his brother Taurosthĕnēs brought over his Phocian mercenaries, and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ both of them) $\dagger$ came down upon us ${ }^{e}$ to destroy us.
(3) It is my present opinion, that we ought to send $(p)$ to Anaxibius, and tell him, that we have not entered the city to use any violent measures, but to obtain from you, if possible, some advantage to ourselves; and if not, ${ }^{f}$ yet at all events to make it evident, that we do not quit ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the city) $\dagger$ from trusting false representations, but because we are persuaded to do so. ${ }^{\text {g }}$



ك Say : 'being deceived, but being persuaded.'

## CHap. 1. § 9. (ả入入à $\left.\mu \eta^{2} \nu.\right)$

32. a) ' $A \lambda \lambda \alpha$ à $\mu \eta=1$ ) at sane; at profecto ; at vero (sed sane; sed vero, \&c.);-2) atqui (in the minor premiss of a syllogism).
33. b) The particles have an adversative force (that of ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda{ }^{\prime}$ ) combined with a strong affirmation or asseveration ( $\mu \eta \eta^{\nu}=$ vero).
 $\tau 0 \hat{v} \Phi \iota \lambda i \pi \pi o v \cdot ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \nu(b$ but assuredly) öт $\gamma \in$ ov่ $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{-}$ $\sigma \in \tau a \iota \delta \hat{\eta} \lambda o \nu . \quad$ (Dem.)
34. c) The ả $\lambda \lambda a ́$ in $a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \eta े \nu$ is often used as an inceptive particle (as explained in 205). In this way à $\lambda \lambda \lambda$ à $\mu \eta \nu$ may occur in answers of assent; in animated appeals; and generally wherever a speaker bursts, as it were, into the conversation in a lively, abrupt manner.
 סè $\tau o \hat{v}$ ßápous $\mu \epsilon \tau a \delta i \delta o ̛ v a l ~ \tau o i ̂ s ~ \phi i \lambda o u s . ~ ' i \sigma \omega s ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a ̆ \nu ~ \tau i ́ ~ \sigma \epsilon ~$ каì $\dot{\eta} \mu \in i ̂ s ~ к о и ф i ́ \sigma a ı \mu \epsilon \nu . ~ K a i ̀ ~ o ̀ ~ ' A \rho i ́ \sigma \tau а \rho \chi o s . ~ ' A \lambda \lambda a ̀ ~$
 (Xen. Mem. 2, 7, 2.)

Here the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda a$ denotes the 'alacritas quedam respondentis' [cf. note $23, b]$; and $\mu \eta \dot{\eta}$ adds an asseveration, = 'why, indeed-:' or 'well, I am indeed-.'
35. d) The $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ is often used to imply a confident assumption (just as our 'surely' is): Hence the particles $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \dot{a} \nu$ frequently occur (a) in the minor premiss of a syllogism ; and ( $\beta$ ) whenever, in the progress of an argument, a statement is assumed. ' $A \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad \mu \eta \prime \nu$ here $=\left(\right.$ atqui $\left.{ }^{1}\right)$; but ; but now, now.

[^4] ß $\omega \mu \circ$ í, єiテì äpa каì Sєоí (Luc.).
36. e) The statement which a speaker, or writer confidently assumes, is often a further development of his argument: hence the particles $\grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ often mark a transition to a new (usually a stronger) argument (= but further; but more than this; but besides this; nay

 ' $A \grave{\lambda} \lambda \grave{a} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ є̇тоíєь каі̀ тáde (nay more, he did this alsó


 тov́s $\tau^{\prime} \dot{a} \mu \epsilon \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu \tau a s$ oủк $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\eta} \nu \in \iota$ (here there is a transition to quite a new branch of the subject. Xen. Mem. $1,2,4)$.
37. f) Occasionally $a \lambda \lambda a ̀ \mu \dot{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ are employed to terminate a digression, the speaker assuming that he has doubtless said enough, and may return to his subject.


38. g) In $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a \dot{\alpha} \mu \eta \nu-\gamma \epsilon ́$, the $\gamma^{\prime}$ does not belong to $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda d \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, but adds emphasis to the interposed word:
 Mem. 1, 1, 10).
39. $h$ ) 'A $A \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu-\gamma$ ' is also used when a speaker takes up the speech of another, and, approving of what he had said, adds another



40. i) Various particles are found with $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, but quite unconnected with them in sense.

## Exercise 11.

41. (1) I am surprised, that if I have defended any ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of you) from the cold-of-winter or from an enemy, not one of you remembers this. Now surely it is right and just to remember good actions rather than evil ones.
(2) Nor assuredly ( $\delta \dot{\eta}$ ) will I assert this,' that you are a disgrace to your ancestors. On the contrary, within ${ }^{33}$ these few days, you stood in array before the descendants of those ( ${ }^{\circ}$ whom your fathers conquered), and defeated them, with the assistance of the gods, though many times as numerous as yourselves. And upon that occasion you quitted yourselves like brave men, $\dagger$ to gain a kingdom for Cyrus; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ but now that your own safety is at stake, you ought, I may surely assume, to exhibit even greater courage and alacrity. But, besides this [or, nay more], you ought also to feel greater confidence in yourselves, $\dagger$ as compared with ${ }^{\text {b }}$ your enemies, now ( ${ }^{\circ}$ than then): for then, though you had never tried yourselves against them, and saw no limit to their numbers, you still dared to attack them with the spirit of your ancestors: when then you already know by experience, that even when they outnumber you many times over, they still do not like to await your attack, why should you any longer fear them?
(3) 'Have you not observed, $\dagger$ with reference to the arts, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ as for instance ( ${ }^{\circ}$ with respect to) the children of potters, how long a time they help and look on before they meddle with the ${ }^{\circ}$ actual making of pots ${ }^{\circ}$ them-selves?'-_'Yes, indeed.'d_'Again [or, but further], every creature will fight' too $\dagger$ with more than usual spirite in the presence of its offspring.' - 'It is so.'

[^5]
${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \kappa \alpha{ }^{2} \mu \dot{d} \lambda \alpha$.

${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \pi \rho o{ }^{\prime}$, c. acc.<br>e $\delta \iota a \phi \epsilon 0$ ór $\tau \omega$.

 runtamen.)
42. a) In the combinations oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ ả $\lambda \lambda a ́$, ov $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota$ $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}$ (which is far less common) there is always an
ellipse. Sometimes the verb of the preceding or following sentence may be supplied with ov $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta} \nu, \& c$. to deny the previous assertion, the $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha^{\prime}$ introducing an opposite statement: it often, however, happens that the notion of opposition is too general and vague to be thus


 horse fell on his knees, and very nearly threw Cyrus over his head: he did not however [throw him over his head], but Cyrus with great diffculty stuck on, and the horse gor up again.
43. b) In translating, we may, of course, proceed more simply, and translate oủ $\mu \eta ̀ \nu$ (or $\mu \in ́ v \tau \tau o \iota) ~ a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́$, 'however, nevertheless' (veruntămen, attamen). 'Aєi...







44. c) $O \dot{v} \mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ à $\lambda \lambda a ́$ may refer to a preceding $\mu e ́ v$.


 vious $\sigma \nu \mu \beta$ ánt $\omega \nu$ ( Isocr.).
45. d) The $\mu \eta \nu$ may have a concessive force, the concession being qualified by the succeeding statement:




## Exercise 12.

46. (1) In our days those who fill the high places of the state are busied about petty objects, and have left it to us who have ${ }^{\circ}$ hitherto stood aloof from statepolitics, to tender you advice on subjects of this im-
portance. If, however, your foremost men are thus narrow-minded, the more ought the rest of us to consider boldly, how we may escape from our present state of ${ }^{\circ}$ mutual hostility; for, as things now stand, it is in vain that we draw up the articles of a peace: for we do not terminate our wars, but only postpone them; waiting $(v)$ each of us for the time when we may inflict some incurable wound upon the others.
(2) When the Lacedæmonians, then, were at such ${ }^{3}$ a state of variance with the Athenians, those at Athens $\dagger$ who wished to do away with the treaty were also, †on their part, ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ immediately urgent ( ${ }^{\circ}$ against it). Amongst others who were so was Alcibiades the son of Clinias, a man who in age was still at that time a youth, $\dagger$ as he would have been considered in any other state, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ but honored on account of the nobility of his ancestors. He did indeed really think it better to side with the Argives; but he also took a violent part in opposition ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to the treaty) $\dagger$ from ${ }^{\circ}$ mortified pride, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ as well ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as from conviction), because the Lacedæmonians had negotiated it through the agency of Nicias and Laches.

Chap. 1. § 11. (ả $\left.\lambda \lambda a ̀ . . . \gamma^{a} \rho \cdot a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ \gamma^{\prime} \rho^{\prime}.\right)$
 particles retains its proper force. The à $\lambda \lambda$ á opposes something to what preceded; the ráp introduces the reason which occasions or justifies that opposition. The particle $\gamma \alpha ́ \rho$, instead of being placed after a word or two of its own clause, was often placed immediately after the $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \dot{a}$; so that $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$ became a regular formula.

The force of $\gamma$ á $\rho$ may always be explained; but it frequently refers to a statement that is only implied (see examples in the notes to Exercise 22).
48. The $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ́$ has frequently not its directly $a d v e r$ sative, but its inceptive force (as already explained in 25). In this way à $\lambda \lambda a ̀$ áá may be an abrupt form of assent, accompanied by the reason of that assent.
49. An objection that would in Greek be introduced by $a \partial \lambda a ̀$ $\gamma$ á $\rho$, is often introduced in English by a single 'but.' Hence the pupil should endeavor to obtain a clear notion of when the English 'but' should or may be translated by à̉入à $\gamma a ́ \rho$.
a) 'But' should be translated by ả àà $\gamma$ áp when it combines an objection with the grounds upon which it is founded.

Thus in a sentence like this: 'She is perhaps one

 т $\rho a \gamma \omega \delta \iota \kappa$ óv) - 'But she has no torches,'-is the objection of Chremylus: $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ oủк $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \in \iota \gamma \grave{a} \rho$ $\delta \hat{a} \delta a s$ (Ar. Plut. 423)

b) In a restriction or drawback that renders useless, nullifies, \&c. some preceding statement.

Thus:

'I have a good and promising son, but he will not study:' i. e. but his good qualities are of little use, for he will


c) In passing on to another argument, because it is not necessary to dwell upon one that is either begun, or merely mentioned to be waived.

Thus: 'but you all know (remember, \&c.) these facts as well as I do; I will therefore proceed to' \&c. ( $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a ̀$ $\gamma$ à $\rho \tau a \hat{v} \tau a$ тávтєs ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \tau \epsilon, \mu \epsilon ́ \mu \nu \eta \sigma 9 \epsilon, \& c$.
d) So, in resuming a line of argument that has been interrupted by a digression, with which it is not necessary to proceed.

Thus: ' But it is unnecessary to dwell on this topic; [ will therefore return' \&c.

## Exercise 13.

50. (1) I am well aware, that the king would grant even to the Mysians many guides, and many hostages, as a pledge to conduct them out of his territories without fraud. And I am very sure, that for us he would do this with three times the pleasure, if he saw us preparing to settle in his country. But ${ }^{a}$ I am afraid, that if we once learn to live in idleness and plenty, we shall, like the lotus-eaters, forget the thoughts of a return home.
(2) If you are wise, your treatment of this man will be the reverse of that bestowed on dogs; for men tie up savage dogs in the day-time, and let them loose at night: whereas, if you act sensibly, you will tie this fellow up at night, and let him loose in the day. But ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to pass on to another subject) I am surprised, that, if I have given offence to any of you, you bear it in mind and publish it; whereas if I have defended any from the cold, or from the enemy, or have relieved any one who was sick or in want, these things are not remembered by any one of you.
(3) $\dagger$ In which way ${ }^{\text {a }}$ would the money spent amount to the larger sum, if these men were to receive the arrears due to them? or if, those arrears remaining unpaid, you should have to $\dagger$ engage and pay ${ }^{\text {b }}$ another. and more powerful ( ${ }^{\circ}$ body of) mercenaries? But Heraclīdēs, as you informed me, thinks that this money amounts to a very large sum. $\dagger$ Most assuredly, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ both to obtain and to pay away $\dagger$ such a sum ${ }^{d}$ is a far less ( ${ }^{\circ}$ difficult matter) now, than ( ${ }^{\circ}$ it would have been to obtain and pay) the tenth part of it before our arrival (v). For it is not the ${ }^{\circ}$ mere numerical value that determines much and little, but the power both of the giver and of the receiver; and your annual revenues will now amount to more, than all your possessions ( $v$ ) together were worth formerly.
a But I will not do（or advise）this，for \＆c． ad aliam rem（ $K r$ ．）．${ }^{\text {c }}$ пот́́pos．
$\uparrow \omega \sigma \alpha \sigma \approx \alpha$, （mercede conducere）．e $\eta \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ ．
$\mathrm{b}=$ sed transibo
d Say：＇to hire：＇$\mu / \sigma^{-}$
f Say：＇this：＇тoûto．

## 

51．The particles $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\eta}$（ prceterquam，nisi $=$ except， but，$\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ）are used after a negative or a word that ex－ presses separation．Thus oủठeis ä $\lambda \lambda$ os ả $\lambda \lambda$＇${ }^{\eta}$＇（nemo atius nisi or prceter：fully＇nobody else but than＇）．Here properly the $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ refers to the negative，the $\eta$ to ${ }^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \lambda o s$.

52．This combination appears to be a pleonastic form，that has arisen from the two simpler forms：ou－


So Stallbaum：Orta est hæc locutio ex confusione duarum loquend formarum，quarum altera oppositionem［à $\left.\lambda \lambda \alpha \alpha^{\prime}\right]$ ，altera comparationem




 simplicibus enuntiatis usurparetur，postea etiam ad interrogantes senten－ tias translata est．Eandem explicationem habet $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ 说，proeterquam （Stallbaum）．

53．But very frequently the $\alpha$ a $\lambda \lambda$ os falls away after the negative，so that the form becomes ovं $\delta \epsilon i \varsigma a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta^{\eta}$ ．

Again the process of abridgment is carried on still further（by the omission of ä ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda$ os from either of the two forms in 52），and we have the rare forms ouvois ả入入á or oủסєis グ．

Hence the forms for nemo alius nisi，or prceter，are：
1）oủ $\delta \epsilon i s, a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{\eta}{ }^{1}{ }^{1}$
2）oủסєis ä̀ $\lambda \lambda o s, a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́$ ．
3）oủ $\delta \epsilon i \stackrel{c}{ } a^{2} \lambda \lambda o s, a ̀ \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$ ．


[^6]
54. Instead of oú $\delta \in i s \neq \alpha \lambda \lambda o s$, the first clause may contain a negative particle with some adverbial form derived from ä $\lambda \lambda o s$ (e.g. ä $\lambda \lambda \omega s$, ä $\lambda \lambda o-$ $\tau t, \& c$.) or from ${ }^{\prime \prime} \tau \in \rho o s$.
55. The preceding sentence may also be a 'rhetorical question' or 'question of appeal,' which (when it coatains no negative) is virtually negative.
56. Here, too, the $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ or the ${ }^{\eta}$ may disappear from the second clause; or the $\alpha \lambda \lambda$ os from the first.

Thus the forms are:

$\tau i ́ s a ̈ \lambda \lambda o s(o r a ̉ \lambda \lambda o s \tau \tau s) a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́ \ldots$. $\quad$ (rare.)

тis... $\eta$;
Obs. tis ädnos is, Who else? What other person? äd $\lambda$ os $\tau \iota s$ is, Does any one else? Does any other person?






 $E i \mu \in ̀ \nu \mu \eta \delta a \mu \hat{\omega} \varsigma$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma$ oiồ т' $^{\prime}{ }^{\eta} \nu \quad \delta \eta \lambda o \hat{\nu} \nu$ tàs aủtàs
 \&c. (Isocr.) $\Sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho a ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ a v ̉ \tau \hat{v} \tau a \hat{\tau} \tau a ~ \delta o ́ \xi \epsilon \iota, \mu \eta \delta a \mu o \hat{v}$


 $\pi \epsilon \nu \tau а \kappa \iota \sigma \chi \lambda i \omega \nu \kappa а і$ тєขтакобi$\omega \nu$ б $\rho а \chi \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ (Dem.). Tí
obvious that it must be uncertain whether, in some passages, the correct

 do nothing but.' Krüger says, that $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}{ }^{\prime \prime}$ appears to give prominence to
 ception.


 $\mu \in \nu 0$;

## Exercise 14 (oủ $\delta \epsilon i s, \& c .--a ̉ \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$ ).

57. (1) The bee feeds upon ${ }^{\text {a }}$ no ${ }^{\circ}$ kind of food but what has ( $p$ ) a sweet juice.
(2) For this reason all physicians forbid their patients to take any but the very smallest ( ${ }^{\circ}$ quantity of) oil in what they are going ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to eat.
(3) It is manifest that the greater the number of those who were domiciled, and who visited a place, $\dagger$ the greater would be the increase of imports and exports, of rents and taxes. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Now, ${ }^{d} \dagger$ for the increase of revenue from such sources, ${ }^{e}$ e it is not even necessary to make any kind of outlay, but ( ${ }^{\circ}$ that of) a few $\dagger$ liberal and friendly ${ }^{f}$ votes and attentions.
(4) In Cnidus the oligarchical constitution was altered after the nobility quarrelled amongst themselves, because ${ }^{\circ}$ so few were capable of holding office ${ }^{\circ}$ together; and, as has been said, if the father was in office, the son was not, nor even, if ( ${ }^{\circ}$ there were) several brothers, any but the eldest.
(5) Having done this, and summoned the Corcyræans to an assembly, they told them that this ( ${ }^{\circ}$ which they had done) was the best thing (for them), and that so $\dagger$ they would be in the least danger of being enslaved ${ }^{g}$ by the Athenians; and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ they directed) that for the future they should receive neither party except $\dagger$ coming in a quiet manner ${ }^{\text {h }}$ with a single ship, but should consider $\dagger$ a larger force ${ }^{i}$ as hostile.
[^7]
## Exercise 15 (oủ $\delta \varepsilon i s ~ \& c .-a ̉ \lambda \lambda ’ \eta ้)$.

58. (1) $\dagger$ A vast multitude of depositions ${ }^{\text {a }}$ having been read at the trial, some of the deponents ${ }^{b}$ stating that they delivered to the plaintiff certain of my effects, others that they were present when he received them, others again that they had made purchases from him and paid him the prices, not one of them has he sued for false testimony; nor has he dared to proceed against any witness except this one individual, whose evidence he cannot show to have fixed him with the receipt of a single drachm.
(2) The mountain that we see, reaches above sixty stadia in length, yet we see no troops watching us $\dagger$ in any part of it, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ except on the road itself. It seems, therefore, far more advisable to endeavor to win some part of the unguarded ${ }^{\text {d }}$ mountain by stealth, and seize it before them, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ if possible, than to fight against fortified posts and men prepared ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to resist).
a Say: 'more than very many depositions in all.' b In the Greek say: 'some of them,' i. e. the depositions; and so below. The verbs to sue, to proceed against, will have the thing (the deposition) for their object instead of the personal object (the witness or deponent).


## Exercise 16 (oủסєis ä $\lambda \lambda o \varsigma-a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ́)$.

59. (1) Many noble and important schemes did my country both form and happily effect by my means; and that it was not unmindful of this, take the following proof, Æschines: When the people came to elect a person to make the funeral oration over the slain, immediately after the disaster, they did not elect you, though you were proposed ( $p$ ), † and possess so splen. did a voice; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nor Dēmadēs, though he had just concluded the peace; nor Hēgēmōn; nor any of your party: but [they elected] me.
(2) At the time that Aristarchus the son of Moschus was accused before the Council of having murdered

Nicodemus, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ we know that Midias, whom Demosthenes is now prosecuting, went before the Council, and said that nobody but Aristarchus was the murderer of Nicodemus, and that he had perpetrated this murder with his own hand; and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ we know) that he advised the Council to proceed to the house of Aristarchus and † have him arrested.c

 ——, that he had murdered Nicodemus.' c Say: 'and arrest him;' it being common to speak of a person's doing that, which he causes to bo done by others.

## Exercise 17 (oủס $\varepsilon i s ~ \& c . a ̈ \lambda \lambda o s-\eta$ ).

60. (1) Cleon my opponent was the first cousin to the deceased by the father's side, and ${ }^{\circ}$ so his son, whom he pretends that Astyphilus adopted, was his second cousin. But Cleon's father was removed by adoption into another family, and my opponents are still members of that family; so that by law they are no relations whatever to Astyphilus. Since then there could be no question on this head, they have forged a will, O judges (as I believe that I shall prove), and are now striving to rob me of my brother's property; and so confident Cleon both was then and is now, that no man but himself will obtain the estate in dispute, that no sooner was the death of Astyphilus announced, my father being then confined by illness and I absent from the city on foreign service, than he entered upon the occupation of the land, and claimed all my brother's effects, in right of his son, before you had determined any thing on the subject.
(2) It would be natural, I presume, to suppose, that whenever Astyphilus was in town, he would go to those sacrificial feasts which other Athenians usually attend, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ accompanied by ( ${ }^{\circ}$ Cleon and) none but Cleon; being in the first place a member of the borough, and secondly his cousin, $\dagger$ and still further ${ }^{b}$ the man whose
son he was going to adopt. Now ${ }^{\text {c }}$ the clerk shall read to you the deposition made by the members of his borough, to the effect, that he never attended ( ${ }^{\circ}$ such sacrificial feasts) in company with him.
(3) Again, councillors, if I had' served in the car. alry, I should not deny it, as if I had been guilty of some dreadful ${ }^{\circ}$ fault, but should claim to be examined and passed, after proof given that no citizen had suf fered wrong at my hands. And I perceive that you are of this opinion as well ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as myself), and I see many of those who were then in the cavalry ${ }^{\circ}$ now sitting as members of the Council, and many ${ }^{\circ}$ more of them who have been appointed ( $p$ ) trierarchs or generals. Do not therefore ${ }^{d}$ suppose that I malke this defence from any other motive, than because the defendants have dared to state against me what is palpably false. ${ }^{\text {e }}$
(4) oư $\delta \epsilon i$ is \&c.-ả̀ $\lambda$ á.] Though there are many causes from which changes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of political constitutions) arise, he does not mention ${ }^{\circ}$ any but one, that men, living prodigal lives, and overwhelming themselves in debt by taking up money at usury, thus become poor, as if at first all or the great majority were rich.
[^8]
## Chap. 2. § 1. " $A \lambda \lambda \omega$ s (otherwise). " $A \lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \in \kappa a i$.

61. "A $A \lambda \omega \mathrm{~s} \tau \epsilon \kappa$ к' (both otherwise and also $=$ ) 'especially' (præsertim). The phrase relates to some condition or state of things the existence of which is assumed. Such condition is, however, not always fully expressed (by
 ticiple or even an adjective or (rarely) substantive. Oíठè







 bach).

## Exercise 32.

62. (1) It is manifest to the Olynthians, that they do not now fight for glory, nor for a part of their territory, but to defend their state from ruin and slavery; and they know both what he did to those Amphipolitans who betrayed their city to him, and to those inhabitants of Pydna who received him ( ${ }^{\circ}$ within their walls). And, as a general principle, an absolute monarchy is, I presume, an object of suspicion to free states, and especially if they occupy a neighboring territory.
(2) Even if you should succeed in passing the mountains unobserved, or in seizing them before the enemy, and should contrive to defeat in the plain both their cavalry and their foot, whose numbers amount to above twenty thousand men, you will ${ }^{\circ}$ then arrive at the rivers; first, the Thermōdön, which is three hundred feet in breadth, which it will be a difficult matter, I imagine, to pass, especially with a numerous hostile army in front, and a numerous one following in your rear; and secondly, the Iris, which is also three hundred feet broad: in the third place, the Halys, not less than two hundred stadia in breadth, which you will not be able to pass without boats; and who will there be to supply you with boats? And so again the Parthĕnius is impassable, which you would arrive at, if you were to cross the Halys.

## Chap. 2. § 2. (ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau \epsilon$.)

63. a) " $A \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau \in$ differs, especially in its origin, from $\ddot{a}^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \in \kappa a i$.
b) " $A \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau \epsilon=$ 'alioque modo,' id est 'majore que modo' (Hermann): that is, it states that the thing occurs in another way, and implies that it is a greater, a more important way (prcetereaque; adde quod), 'and besides this,' 'and more than this,' ' moreover.' Thus (Soph. (Ed. Tyr. 1110) Edipus, having said that he thought he saw the shepherd they had so long been Iooking for, adds a reason for this opinion, and goes on thus:
 тov̂ - and moreover (and besides this), I recognize those who are conducting him as being like servants of mine.


 $\beta \lambda a ́ \psi a l$ oủ $\mu$ évtou тобои̂тó̀ $\gamma \in \lambda \epsilon \iota \phi 9 \hat{\eta} \nu a l$, öбov єiкòs

 6,72 (the only passage in which the form occurs in that historian).
c) When this $\ddot{d} \lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau \varepsilon$ is followed by a clause with є́á $\nu$ or $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$, it is equivalent to 'the more' (if, since \&c.) ; or 'especially' (if, since \&c.)

Here, though this $\alpha \lambda \lambda \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon$ is construed 'especially,' like ă $\lambda \lambda \omega s \tau \epsilon$ кaí, yet it gets the meaning in a different way (as explained in $b$ ). The
 to these particles, but to the word or notion it precedes.
 $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota \pi \epsilon \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \rho \circ \sigma \eta \nless \epsilon \iota \nu \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i \nu$, ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \tau^{\prime} \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$
 $\epsilon \in \kappa$ ìvovs, the more so (or especially) since \&c. (Isocr.)

## Exercise 18.

64. (1) I have gone through these ${ }^{\circ}$ points in the
belief that you, who are not one of the many but a king over numerous ${ }^{\text {os subjects, }} \dagger$ should not think like ordinary men, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nor judge of the merit either of things or persons by the ${ }^{\circ}$ mere pleasure ( ${ }^{\circ}$ that they produce), but should try them by the test of useful actions; the more so, since all the professors of philosophy, though they differ with respect to the best means of training and educating the soul-some of them saying that their pupils will best attain to wisdom by controversial logical disputes, others by political training, and others by some third method of their own-yet do all agree in this, that the man of liberal education ought, as the result of all these methods, to prove himself able to give prudent counsel.
(2) $\dagger$ But as to the forming ${ }^{\text {a }}$ your army for battle; the marching them, either by day or by night, by narrow or open ways, by mountainous paths or across plains; b how to encamp; how to place your guards and watches both by night and day; how to lead towards the enemy; how to retreat from them; how to march by a city belonging to the enemy; how to march up to a rampart, and to retreat from it; how to pass woods or rivers; how to be on the guard, either against cavalry or against men armed with javelin or bow: and if, when you are marching by way of either wing, the enemy should appear, how to form a front against them; and if you are marching by your front, and the enemy appear in another part and not in front, how to lead against them; thow to get the best intelligence ${ }^{\text {c }}$ of the enemy's affairs, and how best to conceal your own from them: $\dagger$ in all these matters, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ what can I say to you? for you have often heard from me all that I knew of them; and, besides, whenever you have thought any one an adept in any of these affairs, you have not neglected to apply to him for information, nor are you unskilled in them.

[^9]and connect the subsequent clauses by $\bar{\eta}{ }^{\circ}$ ö $\pi$. $=$ or how). $\quad$. Say:

d Say: 'all these ( ${ }^{\circ}$ points) why should I tell you ?' $\tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha$ $\delta \grave{e} \pi \alpha ́ \nu \tau \alpha$ dc. (the $\delta$ '́ commencing the principal clause. See English-Greek Vocabulary).

## Chap. 2. §3. ä $\lambda \lambda \omega$ (continued).

65. 'A $A \lambda \omega$ (properly 'otherwise') sometimes stands before a substantive, and seems to have the force of 'useless,' 'worthless,' or 'mere.' It perhaps gets this meaning from that of 'otherwise than as it should be;' from which it obtained the meaning of temere (rashly, recklessly), and $\mu a ́ t \eta \nu$, frustra.
 $\mu a(=$ tantum nomen, non nisi nomen: a mere name) кai


So $\tau \eta े \nu$ äl $\lambda \lambda \omega$ (sc. oi óo $\nu$ ) $=$ without purpose or aim; idly [ $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \varsigma \dot{a} \delta o \lambda \epsilon \sigma \chi \omega \hat{\omega}, ~ D e m].$.

## Exercise 19.

66. (1) I shall therefore acquaint you with all that [ think proper for us to do during our stay. In the first place, we must supply ourselves with provisions out of the enemy's country; for there is here no suff. cient market to supply us: besides, except some few of us, we have no money to buy with, and the country is inhabited by the enemy. We shall therefore run the risk of losing many of our men, if we go in search of provisions in a careless and unguarded manner ; so that I am of opinion that you should go out upon these expeditions in strong foraging parties, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and not wander about the country rashly, tand that the care of attending to this should be left to us ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (i. e. the generals).
(2) $\dagger$ With respect then to the preparations ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{we}$
ought to make), the dangers we have to guard against, and the measures which are the most likely $\dagger$ in all human probability ${ }^{\text {d }}$ to command success, $\dagger$ I have said, I believe, all that is necessary.e But to attend to the execution of them, day by day; to turn unexpected occurrences to the best account; to know the proper time for every ( ${ }^{\circ}$ step to be taken), and to judge correctly what may be gained over by $\dagger$ amicable discussion, ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ and what requires force: ( ${ }^{\circ}$ all this) is the task of the generals who command. And hence it is, that the statesman who advises political measures has the most difficult and trying post; for what has been wisely recommended, after being tested and approved with great zeal and labor, is oftentimes ruined by the perverse management of those who are intrusted with its execution.
 we should see to ( $\bar{\epsilon} \tau \mu \epsilon \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \hat{\imath} \alpha \iota)$ this.' e Begin: 'The preparations then' \&c.: compare note $c . \quad$ d Say: 'according to human calculation.' e Say: 'have pretty-nearly ( $\sigma \chi \in \delta \delta \nu$ ) been said by me.' - оцı入ia, i. e. intercourse, discussion, \&c.

$$
\text { Снар. 3. § 1. " } A \mu a .
$$

67. "A $A \alpha=$ 'together,' 'at the same time,' 'at once.'] Its principal use is to mark the occurrence of two events at the same time either (1) actually or (2) virtually, the first being no sooner over, than the second commences.
68. a) In ä $\mu a \mu$ èv . . . äá $\mu a$ $\delta$ é (simul . . . simul) we have an instance of anaphora (that is, of the emphatic repetition of a word, which then, at least in the second and subsequent clauses, takes the first place). They are construed by 'at once . . . and; both . . . and;' and sometimes 'partly . . . partly.' Tò $\pi \in i ' 9 \epsilon \iota \nu$ oîó $\tau$ ' єîvaı

 єєка́бтш (Pl.).
69. b) "A $A$ a . . кaí connects either single notions,

 Xen.], or whole propositions: in the latter case, it is equivalent to our 'no sooner-than;' or 'as soon as ver ;' 'when once,' 'the moment,' followed by a principal clause, \&c.; or by 'when at once' \&c., according to the view with which the speaker points out the coincidence in point of time.
 no sooner grow rich, than they detest you' (or), 'the moment (as soon as ever, \&c.) they grow rich, they hate you.'

## Exercise 20.

71. (1) $\dagger$ Upon this occasion ${ }^{2}$ the Greeks observed, that a square was not a proper disposition for an army when pursued by the enemy; for whenever the flankcolumns are forced to close in either by the roads becoming narrower, or by mountains, or by a bridge, the heavy-armed troops must necessarily be forced out of their ranks, and march uneasily, being at once pressed together and disordered; so that of necessity they become useless for want of order. $\dagger$ On the other hand, ${ }^{b}$ when the flanks come to be again extended, the men who before were forced out of their ranks, must spread themselves out, and consequently leave ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at first) an opening in the centre, which very much disheartens those who are thus exposed, when the enemy is behind them. Besides, when they had a bridge or any other defile to pass, every man was in a hurry, ${ }^{48}$ wanting to be first, upon which occasion the enemy had a fair opportunity of attacking them.
(2) They manage their invasion of the country of the Cardūchi in the following manner, ${ }^{61}$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ and that for two reasons) both that their design might not be dis.
covered, and that they might put it into execution, before the enemy had taken possession of the heights. When it was about the last watch, and ${ }^{\circ}$ only so much of the night was left, as to allow them to traverse the plain while it was yet dark, they struck their tents; and, marching at the word of command, came to the mountains by break of day. Cheirisŏphus commanded the vanguard with his own people, and all the lightarmed troops; and Xenophon brought up the rear with the heavy-armed, having none of the light-armed, because there seemed no danger of the enemy's attacking their rear, while they were marching up the mountain.

$$
\text { a }{ }^{\epsilon} \nu \tau \alpha \hat{v} \hat{A} \alpha \delta \dot{\eta} . \quad \text { b } \alpha \hat{v} .
$$

## CHAP. 3. § 2. (ä $\mu a$ with participles.)

72. a) With a participle ${ }^{\circ} \mu a$ may be resolved into a sentence with 'whilst,' ' $\alpha s$,' or (if it is a past participle) by 'when,' or 'as soon as,' with the pluperfect.
 $\phi \epsilon$ úyovtєs ä $\mu$ а є́ті́тршбкоу (Xen.).
 two reasons influencing the mind at the same time. ' $E \pi \epsilon i$ '

 ن́ $\pi$ o $\pi$ o $o$ ú $\mu \in \nu 0$.
73. " $A \mu x$ is also $=\sigma v$ v, 'together with,' 'with.' The substantive belonging to it often takes a participle when time is expressed: $\ddot{a}^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \hat{\varphi} \sigma і \tau \varphi \dot{\alpha} \kappa \mu a ́ \zeta о \nu \tau \iota$.

## Exercise 21.

74. (1) The Thracians who had escaped, ${ }^{\circ}$ now came together ; and many of them had' escaped out of the very hands ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of their pursuers), they being targeteers, and the Greeks heavy-armed troops. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ After they were
${ }^{\circ}$ thus assembled in a body, they first attacked the division commanded by Smicrēs, one of the Arcadian generals; when he was already on his march to the place of rendezvous with a considerable sum of money. $\ddagger \dagger$ For some time ${ }^{b}$ the Greeks fought as they marched on; but, as they were crossing a water-course, the Thracians put them to flight, and killed both Smicres himself and all his men. Of another division, which was under the command of Hēgēsander, one of the eight generals, they left but eight men alive; but Hegesander himself escaped.
(2) The rest of the generals $\dagger$ arrived at the place of rendezvous ${ }^{\text {c }}$ some with difficulty, and others without any at all. But the Thracians, after they had gained this advantage, gave notice to one another, and assembled, with great resolution, in the night; and, at daybreak, great numbers both of horsemen and targeteers were drawn up round the hill on which the Greeks were encamped; and, their numbers continually increasing (v), they attacked the heavy-armed troops with great security; for the Greeks had neither archers, darters, nor horse ; whilst they, advancing with their light-armed men and horse, hurled their darts, and, whenever the Greeks offered to attack them, retreated with ease: and others assailed them from some other quarter. Thus, whilst many of the Greeks were wounded, not a man was hurt on the side of the Thracians: so that the former could not stir from the place, and were at last debarred from water by the Thracians. Being reduced to great extremity, terms of accommodation were proposed; but ${ }^{\text {d }}$ after the other points were settled (v), the Thracians $\dagger$ refused to give ${ }^{e}$ hostages, which the Greeks insisted on. This put a stop to the treaty.
= When the ground of a statement depends in this way on the character or quality of the two persons (or each being what he is), it is usual to express this antithetically by making one the apposition to the subject, enother the object. Thus: I am healthier than you because I am tem-
perate, you intemperate,' would become, 'I am healthier than you, a temperate ${ }^{\circ}$ man than an intemperate.' Use this turn here.

 the meaning of (to give), to be ready to give.)

Chap. 4. "Av. § 1. (Repetition of äv.)
75. The general construction of ${ }^{\prime \prime} \nu$ with the different moods has been already given in the first Part, and more will occur below under the particular particles. We here consider only the cases of its repetition and omission: which we state in Hermann's very clear explanation of these points in Attic construction.
76. a) Pervulgatum est, ă $\nu$ indicativo et optativo junctum iterari, ut bis terve in eadem sententia positum inveniatur. Eadem ratio cadit etiam in infinitivum et participium, quia hæ verbi partes nihil nisi conversam ex indicativo vel optativo orationem continent. Sed id non temere fieri posse, sponte patet.
77. b) Sunt autem duo modi, quibus repeti ä $\nu$ potest, (1) unus, quum pluribus verbis interpositis ab eo verbo, quicum conjungi debeat, longius avulsum est, quam ut non videatur concinnitas orationis repetitionem requirere, (2) alter, qui est longe frequentissimus, quum in parte aliqua sententiæ iteratur. Plane enim eadem hujus particulæ ratio est, quæ est negationum. Etenim quemadmodum, quum ad totam sententiam pertinet negatio, iterari in partibus quibusdam solet, ut oủ火 eै́ $\sigma \tau \nu$ oủסei's, sic etiam, quum tota sententia conditionalis est, refertur ea conditio etiam ad partes ejus primarias.
78. c) Sunt autem partes illæ tales, ut addant ali quid, quod illa principalis sententiæ ratione afficiatur.

1) Id modo est participium, ut,



2）modo conditio aliter expressa，ut

$\lambda a ́ \beta o \iota \mu \iota, \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \alpha \iota \mu ’$ à̀ oî＇aủтoîs фроע⿳⺈．－（Electr．333．）
3）modo affirmatio major，ut



4）modo negatio，ut

5）et in formula ov̉火 à $\phi$ ¢ávous äv．${ }^{1}$ ． Tum，quæ par est negationi，in interrogatione，ut 1. $\tau \hat{\omega}$ үà $\rho$ à̀ каì $\mu \in i \zeta o \nu \iota$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \xi a \iota \mu ’$ à $\nu \hat{\eta} \sigma o \iota^{\prime}$ ；－（Ed．R．772．）

6）Denique in divisione sententiæ，ut

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { 1. } \omega \sigma \tau^{\prime} \text { oủ } \chi^{i}, \mu a \nu \tau \epsilon i ́ a s, \gamma^{\prime} \text { à } \nu \text { oú } \tau \in \tau \hat{\eta} \delta^{\prime} \epsilon ่ \gamma \dot{\omega}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { (Ed. R. 857.) }
\end{aligned}
$$


Harum rationum ubi nulli locus est，non potest iterari $\stackrel{a}{ } \nu$ ．

79．Cæterum hæc iteratio particulæ in primis usitata est Atticis．Ra－ rius invenitur apud Herodotum，raro apud Epicos．

80．Geminatum 㐅ّ̆ $\nu$ Elmsleius ${ }^{2}$ jure negavit conjunctivo consociari． Cur vero？Quia，ut jam ostendimus，hic modus verbi non per se adsciscit ă $\nu$ ，sed ă $\nu$ pertinet ad vocem，ex qua pendet conjunctivus．Hujus rocis ea particula significatum quum mutet，nihil est in tali sententia，quod re－ peti possit．Neque enim ad prædicata pertinet ${ }^{2} \nu$, ，sed ad ipsam condi－
 hæc per optativum in obliquam orationem vertuntur，non potest iterari $\alpha \nu$.

81．To this we will only add，that the words to which a $\nu$ ，when it oc－ curs singly，frequently attaches itself by a kind of preference，are natur． ally those which，when they stand early in their clause，take an ar $\nu$ ，which is afterwards repeated before its proper verb．

[^10]82. Such words are, besides negatives and interrogatives (which Her mann mentions above):
a) Affrmative adverbs, denoting degree, intensity, and the like- $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda$ $\lambda o \nu\left(\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau^{\prime}\right) \alpha_{\alpha} \nu \cdot \sigma \phi o ́ \delta \rho^{\prime}$ ă $\nu \cdot \epsilon і к о ́ \tau \omega s$ ă $\nu, \& c$.
b) Adverbs of place, time, manner, \&c.—то仑̂, $о$ óт $\epsilon$, потє́, $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha, \tau \alpha ́-$

83. The participle to which an $\alpha \nu$, that is afterwards repeated before the verb, attaches itself, is often one that might itself take $\ddot{\alpha}^{2} \nu$ : or, in otler words, when, instead of two verbs with $\nsim \nu$ and the optative, a participle is used, and one verb, the participle naturally takes $\alpha \nu$, as well as the verb. Very often, however, ă $\nu$ is appended to a participle, which it does not modify. Thus, as Hermann observes in Soph. ©Ed. R. 446- $\sigma v-$
 alent to $\epsilon i \quad \sigma v \uparrow \in i n s$, the ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ being added, not to give it this meaning, but on account of the following verb.

## Exercise 22.

84. (1) They say that these mountains are full of woods, so that there are hopes you will not be discovered. However, if you send before the rest of your army some light men equipped for expedition, who, both by their number and habit, may look like plunderers, these men, if they meet with any of the Armenians, will prevent those that they can take from giving an account of things; and, by driving away those they cannot take, will hinder them from seeing the whole army, and will cause them to take their measures only as against a band of thieves.
(2) Do you imagine that, if all of them had reasoned like Leōcrătes, and run away, any of these glorious actions would have been performed, or that you would still be dwelling in this land ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of ours)? As then, gentlemen, you praise and honor the good, so ought you also to detest and punish the bad, and especially ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ this Leocrates, who neither feared nor stood in awe of you'.
(3) As, where fighting is necessary, he is accounted the strongest and bravest, who has subdued the greatest number ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of his foes), so when we have to persuade, that man should be held to be the most eloquent and efficient, who has brought over the greatest number to our own way of thinking.b

2 This is an instance of $\not \approx \lambda \lambda \omega s ~ \tau \epsilon \kappa \alpha i \grave{i}$ standing before a single substan. tive. b $\quad$ ооєє̂̀ $\tau \iota \nu a \dot{\delta} \mu о \gamma \nu \omega ́ \mu о \nu a$ é $\mu о$ ó.

## Exercise 23.

85. (1) When they had breakfasted, Cyrus called his captains together, and spoke to this effect: 'Friends! how many valuable things are we, in my opinion, perfectly throwing away, when the gods are offering them for our acceptance! for now you yourselves behold * that the enemy have fled for fear of us. And how can any body think that they *who, though possessed of an intrenched post, have left it and fled, will stand and look us in the face on fair ground? \$They who did not stand, before they had made trial of us, how should such men stand after they are beaten, and have been so ill treated by us? $\ddagger$ How should the worst of those men make up their minds to fight us, * of whom the best have been destroyed?'
(2) 'Is this then (oiv ),' said he, 'your meaning, that your father also, from being a foolish ${ }^{\circ}$ man, is become +sensible and right-minded ${ }^{\text {a }}$ in this one day's time?' 'Exactly so,' he replied. 'It is your opinion therefore (ápa) that +soundness of mind ${ }^{b}$ is an emotion of the soul, like grief, not $\dagger$ the result of mental ${ }^{c}$ discipline; for if he who is to be of sound mind, must ${ }^{\circ}$ first become $\dagger$ thoughtful and prudent, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ a man cannot, I presume, after being of unsound mind, become rightminded all in a moment.' 'How so ( $\tau i \delta \epsilon ;$ ), Cyrus?' said he: 'did you never observe an individual attempting from folly to fight with a stronger than himself, who, upon being defeated, was immediately cured of his folly with reference to that ${ }^{\circ}$ adversary? And did you never see a city putting itself in array against another city, which, after a defeat ( $v$ ), it is presently ready to obey instead of fighting with it?'
${ }^{\text {a }} \sigma \dot{\omega} \phi \rho \omega \nu . \quad$ b $\sigma \omega \phi \rho o \sigma \dot{v} \nu \eta$. e $\mu \dot{\alpha} \eta \eta \mu \alpha$, a thing learnt: con-


* Means that this clause is to precede the other in the Greek order.

Chap. 4. § 2. De omisso ä้ propter aliud ả้ (Hermann).
86. Quemadmodum iteratur ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ in una sententia, ita in oratione membris quibusdam distincta non raro in uno tantum membro ponitur, in altero autem omittitur. Quod si quæris qua lege fiat, res ipsa monstrat, sic demum posse, si utrumque membrum ad commune quiddam referri licet, ita ut, si præmittas $\dot{\alpha} \nu$, ad utrumque verbum pertineat.
87. Sit nobis pro fundamento hujus disputationis
 pav

 Prius $\pi \hat{\omega} s \not \partial \nu \nu$ ad duo refertur verba, ideoque recte et ordine factum, ut, sequente deinde divisione, neutri de duobus, quæ proxima sunt, verbis, $\epsilon \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi a \rho \kappa \epsilon ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \epsilon \nu$ et $\dot{a} \nu \tau \iota-$ $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \chi o l$, additum sit, etsi potuit addi utrique. Sed quod deinde sequitur, $\pi \hat{\omega} \varsigma$, inversa orationis forma, novam incipit sententiam, neque est pars prægressæ; itaque etiam suum sibi $\not \partial \nu$ adjici postulat.
88. Nunc videamus alia. In eodem libro scriptum



 est, novum sibi $\not{\alpha} \nu$ vindicans, каì $\delta \in \notin \iota ~ \breve{\alpha} \nu ~ \sigma \epsilon$.





 Schæferus, Bremius, Reisigius, alii, nəc sine probabilitate. Non videtur tamen necessarium esse, quia hoc in mente habebat scriptor, kevōuvou $\delta^{\prime}$
 aủt $ิ$ थ̀ $\pi \lambda$ oútous.

## Exercise 24.

90. (1) But what is the greatest proof, that it is on account of my affliction, and not from insolent pride, as this man asserts, that I ride on horseback, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ this proof I say) it is easy to see the force of. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ For, if I possessed any property, $\dagger$ I should ride on a mule with a Spanish ${ }^{\text {b }}$ saddle, and not ride other men's horses: whereas now, since I cannot procure myself a thing of this kind, I am often forced to make use of other men's horses.
(2) I would give a great deal, gentlemen, if, as judges in this cause, you would feel for me as you would feel for yourselves if you had experienced a similar treatment. For I am very sure, that if you were to entertain the same feeling, in a case that is not your own, that you would entertain in one that was, there would not be one amongst you, who would not be indignant at what has occurred, but you would all ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of you) deem that the penalties (enacted) are too light for ${ }^{\text {c }}$ those who are guilty of such practices.
 this was a luxurious mode of conveyance with which Midias was reproached. Buttmann, in an Excursus on Dem. in Midiam, shows that the word $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \rho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta$, derived from $\dot{\alpha}, \sigma \tau \rho \epsilon \in \phi \epsilon \iota \nu$, and therefore implying firmness, relates properly to the saddle, but he thinks that very possibly this kind of easy saddle for invalids, drc. was usually placed upon a mule, as a quiet easy animal. Hence the grammarians sometimes make it a kind of saddle, sometimes a mule.

$$
\text { e } \pi \in \rho^{\prime} \text {. }
$$

Chap. 4. "Av. §3. (äv omitted with eै $\delta \epsilon \epsilon, \hat{\eta} \nu, \& c$. .).
91. In statements of what it would be (or have been) right or wrong, possible or impossible, praiseworthy or blameable to do, the imperfect is usually found without $a^{2} \nu$, although it is implied that the thing so characterised has, in point of fact, not been done.
92. Such imperfects are $\epsilon \in \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu, \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \nu$, $\epsilon$ é $\delta \epsilon$,
 tives (often gerundives) with $\grave{\eta} \nu$ (кá入入ıov, סiкаıov, крєiт. тоv, ádúvaтov, \&c. $\grave{\nu}$ ). Cf. Gr. 790. Jelf. § 85̆8, 3 (Madvig. § 118).

1) $E \grave{l}$ ä $\pi a \nu \tau \in \varsigma \dot{\omega} \mu \circ \lambda о \gamma o v ̂ \mu \in \nu \quad \Phi i \lambda \iota \pi \pi o \nu \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \in i \rho \eta \dot{\eta} \nu \nu$



 $\grave{\eta} \mu \tau \tau \in ́ \rho a$ ỏ $\rho \gamma \hat{\eta}$ ( $T h .1,8$ ).

 7, 10).
(So in Latin, debebarr, poteram, licebat, decebat; par, aquum, \&c. erat.)

## Exercise 25.

93. (1) For the law enacted, that if a man gave true information, the offered pardon should be granted; but, if false, that he should die. +Now undoubtedly ${ }^{\text {a }}$ you are all aware of this fact, that both I and my father escaped with our lives; whereas this was not possible, if I really did' inform against my father, but either he or I must have died.
(2) $\dagger$ Herein consists the specious shunning of confederacies which they have put forward; not that they may avoid committing injustice with others, but that they may be able to commit it by themselves; and that, wherever they have the power, they may act with violence; and where they escape observation, they may take unfair advantage; and, if in any case they have seized on something, they may not be put to the blush. And yet if they were, as they say ( ${ }^{\circ}$ they are), honest men, the more impregnable they were to their neighbors, the more manifestly might they have shown their virtue by $\dagger$ submitting the claims of either party to a friendly arbitration."



## 

94. Though the omission of $\ddot{a}^{\nu} \nu$ with $\epsilon \in \delta \epsilon$, $\epsilon \chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$, $\pi \rho о \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \epsilon$ ( $\left.9 a v \tilde{u}^{\mu} a \sigma \tau o \nu \& c.\right) \hat{\eta} \nu$, and the other forms mentioned in 92 , is very common, yet these forms are also found, and that not uncommonly, with ${ }^{\prime} \nu$.
95. According to Hermann, ä $\nu$ must be added to them, whenever the contrary is really the case: for instance,

We must use


96. There are several passages, where a contrary statement of this kind is really added: e.g. oüt $\gamma \dot{a} \rho$






 plied that this could never be allowed (ả $\lambda \lambda$ ' оข้к $\grave{\epsilon} \sigma \tau \iota \nu$ àкира).


 Mid. § 35). The conclusion therefore to be drawn is, $\pi \rho \circ \sigma \delta \in i ̂ o u ̂ \nu ~ \tau o u ̂ \delta \epsilon ~ \tau o u ̂ ~ \nu o ́ \mu o u . ~$
97. (1) As a guide for ourselves in writing Greek, it will be well to follow Hermann's rule, and to express the $\breve{\alpha} \nu$ with $\check{\text { ü }} \delta \epsilon$, \&cc., when the object is to express, or at all events convey clearly, the notion, that such would indeed have been the case, but is not, the contrary being in fact true.
98. (2) As a guide, however, to account for its insertion or omission $3^{*}$
in all passages of the Greek writers, the rule will not serve. Of this Bäumlein gives, amongst several examples, this short one from Herodo-
 $\pi o l \epsilon \in \epsilon t s, \nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\epsilon} \dot{v} \pi^{\prime} \alpha i \chi \mu \hat{\eta} s$. The conclusion to be drawn is this:
 have had $\chi \rho \hat{\eta} \nu$ ă $\nu$. Bäumlein observes, that the young man wishes to justify his father's conduct on a certain supposition: he therefore uses the indicative without ă $\nu$ to state it as objectively true; even though he goes on to point out that such conduct rested on a false interpretation of the dream. The fact is, that though the conditional meaning is usually, in the case of these verbs, expressed in Greek as objectively true (in the indicative), yet whenever the writer deems it advisable to point out, that this indicative is to be understood conditionally, he may add the ă $\nu$, which expresses this. So, on the other hand, even in a case where the contrary is obviously true, he may, when he does not wish to imply this strongly, omit the ${ }^{\circ} \nu \nu$, so as merely to assert, ' if that were so, this certainly was so.'

## Exercise 26.

99. (1) Since it happens that we are now to deliberate about subjects upon which these ${ }^{\circ}$ orators have frequently spoken before ${ }^{\circ}$ now, I am of opinion that I may fairly lay claim to your indulgence, even though I am the first to rise. For, if they had given you proper advice upon former occasions, it would not now be necessary for you to deliberate ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at all).
(2) Cĕphalus, the father of Pơlemarchus, was likewise in the house; and he seemed to me to be considerably aged, for I had not seen him for a long time. $\ddagger$ He was sitting, with a crown on his head, $\dagger$ on a chair with a cushion upon it; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ for it so happened, that he had been offering sacrifice in the court ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of his house). We sat down therefore by him, for some chairs were standing there in a circle. As soon, then, as Cĕphalus saw me ( $p$ ) he saluted me, and said,--'It is not often, Socrates, that you come down to us in the Piræus: you ought however to do so, for, if I had strength enough left to walk to the city, there would be no need for you to come here, since I should go up to you; but now you ought to come ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to us) here more frequently; for, be assured, that with me (dat.) the more the pleasures of the body die away, the more
$\dagger$ does my desire for conversation and the pleasure that I take in it increase. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
(3) But they maintain that he was cast into the sea. From ${ }^{\text {c }}$ what vessel? $\ddagger$ It is plain that the vesse] was one of those that were then in the port. How then ( ${ }^{\circ}$ was it, that the body) was not found? Moreover, it would surely have been likely, that there should be some trace in the vessel, if a man had been killed in it, and was thrown overboard in the night. $\dagger$ But, as the case really stands, ${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ my accusers assert that they did find traces in the vessel in which he was drinking, and from which he went on shore, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the vessel) in which they themselves allow that the man did not die; but as to the vessel from which he was thrown overboard, they found neither the vessel itself nor any trace. I will call witnesses ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to prove the truth) of what I say.

2 Say: 'on both a cushion and chair.' b Say: 'the more do the desires and delights about conversation ( $\pi \in \rho \backslash$ 入órous) increase.'
c Say: 'in what vessel.' The action of throwing him overboard was performed in the vessel, though he was thrown out of it. When an action may be considered in two ways, the Greeks are fond of using the preposition that suits the assumed or implied act or state with the verb that denotes the act or state expressed. Cf. Grammar, 1433. d vûv $\delta \in \epsilon^{\prime}$

CHap. 4. § 5. (On the omission or insertion of aैע with

100. With $\ddot{\omega} \phi \epsilon \lambda o \nu\left(=\right.$ debebam) the particle ${ }^{a} \nu$ is probably nowhere found, whether the $\omega \dot{\omega} \phi \in \lambda o \nu$ introduces an unfulfilled wish, or stands in a simple categorical proposition.
101. The reason Hermann gives is, that since it signifies that the thing in question ought to be or have been, you can never oppose the contrary: 'but now it ought not;' but only, 'now it is not.'
102. With ${ }_{\epsilon}^{\epsilon} \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda o \nu$ the ${ }_{\alpha} \nu \nu$ is very rare: its insertion is however admissible, since to ${ }^{\prime} \epsilon \mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \nu$ à $\nu \pi o \circ \eta \eta^{-}$
$\sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$（＝facturus fuissem）you may oppose $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$＇oủ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda \omega$ （at non facturus sum）．H．
（An instance occurs in Example 2 of the following Exercise．）
 $\epsilon$ єंßou入ó $\mu \eta \nu$ only are found．The proper force of $\bar{\epsilon} \beta o v-$ $\lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \nu(=$ vellem $)$ is that the speaker would utter the wish，if the circumstances were such as to give him any hope of its fulfilment．Since，however，this is not the case，he does not utter it．

Observe that ${ }^{\boldsymbol{\epsilon}} \beta$ oùóó $\eta \nu$ exactly agrees with our $I$ would that（as the imperfect or preterite of＇I will＇）．

104．Hermann says ${ }^{\prime} \beta$ ov ${ }^{\prime} o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ is plainly correct，because you cannot oppose to cupiebam the contrary at non cupio．But to get rid of the dif－ ficulty that neither to $\epsilon \in \beta o u \lambda o ́ \mu \eta \nu$ ă $\nu$ can you oppose $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{3}$ ov̉ $\beta$ oú $\lambda o \mu a l$ ，he
 set，cui recte opponitur at non bene est．Quare etiam Latini vellem dicunt．＇

105．The truth would seem to be，that $\bar{\epsilon} \beta o v \lambda \dot{\beta} \mu \eta \nu$ á $\nu$ is the natural ang usual form，but that the speaker may，when he pleases，use the equally correct form $\mathfrak{\epsilon} \beta$ ov ${ }^{\prime}$ ó $\mu \eta \nu$ ，the statement being then，in form，the statement of an objective fact．


 §є̀ кт入．（Antiph．de Cced．Herod．Init．）

## Exercise 27.

106．（1）Would，O Athenians，that the Senate of five hundred and the popular assemblies were properly conducted by those who preside over them，and that the laws which Solon drew up，with a view to the or－ derly conduct of our orators，were ${ }^{\circ}$ still in force；that the oldest citizen first might，as the laws direct，ascend the tribune in a dignified manner，without any uproar or confusion，and give from his experience the wisest counsels to the State；and that then any one of the other citizens who pleased should，each according to seniority， separately and in turn，deliver his sentiments upon each
( ${ }^{\circ}$ question under discussion:-would, I say, that these regulations were still observed); for so in my opinion the State would be better governed than in any other way, and the fewest public trials would occur; whereas now, since every thing has been abolished, the value of which used formerly to be allowed, that best and wisest proclamation has ceased to be made, 'who amongst those who are upwards of fifty years old wishes to address the assembly, and then of the other Athenians, each in his turn?'
(2) But come now, even if my father wished to remain, do you imagine that his friends would either have allowed him to stay ( ${ }^{\circ}$ in the city), or have given security for him, instead of turning him from his purpose, and praying him to go away to a place, where he would be in safety himself without destroying me?

Chap. 5. § 1. "Apa.
107. "Apa (a particle whose origin and primary meaning is still undetermined) $=$ igitur: ut videtur ; forte (after conditional particles) ; scilicet.
108. The most probable derivation seems to be that from $\quad$ o.p $\alpha$, to $f i$, to be adapted or suitable: so that the particle properly denotes conformity to the nature or state of things. Hartung contends, that it comes from the same root as $\dot{\alpha} \rho-\pi \dot{\alpha} \dot{\delta} \omega$, ra-pio, re-pente, and the German rasch, so that it originally denotes suddenness, and hence surprise, \&cc. ${ }^{1}$ Rost

[^11]refers it (not, I think, with more probability) to atpetv, to raise, with reference to its power of raising or exciting the attention. So that the particle would primarily mean 'attend!' 'mark you!' He observes, however, correctly, that though $\check{\alpha}_{\rho} \rho x$ may be used to introduce an unexpected or startling announcement, yet it may also stand just as well, where the announcement is of the directly opposite character, expressing the fulfilment of an expectation.
109. Krüger makes the primary meaning of the particle, that of denoting that the statement is apparent or discernible in a fact that is placed before us. And there is no doubt, that the force of the particle may generally be given by 'it seems,' 'it would seem,' 'we see,' 'see you,' and the like. If this were the original meaning, á $\rho \alpha$ ( $\alpha^{\prime} \rho,{ }^{\prime} \dot{\rho} \alpha^{\prime}$ ) might be related to the Hebrew ra-ah, videre.
110. In questions äpa denotes, according to Hartung, the perplexity or embarrassment of the person who asks the question, or incredulity, doubt as to whether the question can be satisfactorily answered, the being prepared to expect a surprising answer, or the like.But Klotz is, I think, right in saying, that such questions always relate to a present state of things, either one that has been described, or one that is (as is often the case in dramatic narration especially) easily understood.
 (ALsch. Sept. 91.)

 єтau! ('from what incomprehensible cause!' H.)
 $\kappa \rho a \iota \omega \dot{\nu} \omega \nu$ á $\rho a$ ('to our astonishment, since we deemed you the son of mortal parents.' H.) : to which Klotz replies: 'Non miratur, qui hoc dicit, sed ratiocinatione quadam colligit, videri sibi Edipum generatum a deo immortali aliquo, et, quod summa vis esset in voce $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ $\mu \alpha \kappa \rho a \iota \omega \prime \nu \omega \nu$, ad hanc vocem adjecit istam particulam.'
111. The formula $\hat{\eta} \pi o v a ̈ \rho a ; \hat{\eta} \pi o v-\alpha{ }^{\text {áp }} \rho a ;=$ num forte igitur? is not uncommon.
112. The particle may also stand in dependent interrogative clauses with pronouns, óто́тєроя, ómoíos, $\pi$ ó- $^{-}$ $\tau \in \rho o s$, oios \& c .

Plutus (on recovering his sight), says: Ai $\sigma \chi$ v́vo $\mu a \iota$

é $\lambda a ́ \nu 9 a v o \nu=$ "quum considero quales igitur homines isti fuerint. "A $\rho a$ dicit rebus ita comparatis, quod visu recuperato, jam agnoverat quales essent." $R l$. But we shall see that this use of the particle in the after recognition of a past mistake is very common. 'With what men (äpa $=$ ), as I now see, I unwittingly leept company with.'

## Exercise 28.

113. (1) 'Therefore, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ my father,' said he, 'Cyaxares says that he will supply provisions to all that go from hence, however great the number may be.' 'Are you going then, my son, trusting entirely to this, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the wealth ( ${ }^{\circ}$ that is) of Cyaxares?' 'I ${ }^{\circ}$ am,' said Cyrus. 'How!' said he, 'do you know what the amount of this wealth is ?' 'No, by Zeus,' replied Cyrus, 'I do not.' ' 'And are you,' said he, 'nevertheless, trusting to this, ${ }^{\circ}$ this unknown ${ }^{\circ}$ amount? Don't you know, that you will require many things, and that you must now of necessity spend much more?' 'I do know ${ }^{\circ}$ that,' said Cyrus. 'If then,' he replied, 'the ( ${ }^{\circ}$ means of supplying this) expense should fail him, or he should even ${ }^{\text {d }}$ be deliberately stating what is false, how then ${ }^{e}$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ tell me) will the affairs of the army stand?' 'Plainly, not well.'
(2) On the one hand, ${ }^{f}+I$ produce all that I possess to form our joint stock; ${ }^{8}$ and, on the other, you have added to that joint stock ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of ours) all the property you brought me. And we must not consider which of us ( ${ }^{\circ}$ under these circumstances) has contributed the greater numerical amount, but must be thoroughly convinced of this, that whichever of us proves the better partner, that ( ${ }^{\circ}$ is the one who) contributes what is of most value.
[^12]Chap. 5. § 2. (aैpa in inferences; or its conclusive use.)
114. In inferences, äpa (=ergo, 'then') often, but by no means always, as Hartung would have it, relates to something important and unexpected, and is therefore connected with surprise.







## Exercise 29.

115. (1) How is it possible for a man to be more impious ( ${ }^{\circ}$ than the accused), or more of a traitor to his country? $\ddagger$ In what way can a man more shamefully disgrace his arms than tby not choosing ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to take up arms ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at all) and repel the enemy? Must not he have deserted his comrade and his post, who did not present himself ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at all) to have a post assigned him? In what case would he' have fought in defence of what is holy and sacred, who has shrunk from every danger? To whom would he have left his country greater ( ${ }^{\circ}$ than he found it)? ${ }^{\text {b }}$ for, as far as he is concerned, it is abandoned and brought into the power of its enemies. And will you not then ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ put this man to death, who is guilty of all these crimes? ( ${ }^{\circ}$ If not,) then whom will you punish? those who have committed some one of ${ }^{\circ}$ all these ${ }^{\circ}$ offences? Then will it appear a lighter matter to commit great crimes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ than small ones), if you are seen to exhibit more anger against small crimes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ thar in the case of greater ones).
a Say: 'than if he did not choose.' b These clauses allude to the duties of a good citizen, as defined in the laws. ${ }^{c} \in i \tau \alpha=$ deinde,' 'post talia,' in indignant questions. See Gr. 1358.

Chap. 5. § 3. (ápa in the recognition of a past mistake.)
116. "Apa is often used, like our then (or ' then after all,' 'then it seems'), in the after recognition of a mistake. In this way it often stands with an imperfect (especially in $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ä $\rho a$, ov к $\hat{\eta} \nu$ ápa), to denote that now, from knowing better, one is undeceived with respect to an opinion hitherto entertained. This use is not, however, confined to the Imperfect (see the second Example in the Exercise).




In this usage, $\dot{\omega} \stackrel{a}{ }{ }^{\circ} p a(\dot{\omega} s=$ quam $)$ may be con-


 (Xen.).

## Exercise 30.

117. (1) ( ${ }^{\circ}$ As to) gambling and bad company, it becomes evident, after a time, even to those who were deceived ( ${ }^{\circ}$ by these temptations), that, after all, they were only pains baited with pleasure, which, when they ensnare us, prevent us from ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the performance of) useful actions.
(2) Nay, said I, how can I with propriety correct you, a man whose character for goodness and virtue is established, especially when I am one who is reported to be a babbler, and to measure the air; and who, which is the most senseless charge of all, am called a poor man? †And yet $I^{\text {a }}$ should have been put quite out of heart by this appellation, if I had not seen a great crowd of spectators following a horse belonging to the foreigner Nicias, which I met lately, and heard some of them talking a great deal about him. And what did I do, but go up to the groom, and ask him
'whether the horse had a large fortune.' But he stared at me, as if my question proved that I could not possibly be of a sound mind, and said, 'Why, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ how should a horse have'any fortune?' †Then, indeed, ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ I looked up again, when I heard that even a poor horse may, it seems, be a good one, if it have naturally a good $\dagger$ spirit and temper. ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Do you therefore give me a detailed account of your employments, being persuaded that even I am not disqualified from becoming a good man.


Chap. 5. § 4. єi (ėà $\nu$ ) ảpa.
118. The inference implied by äpa is sometimes weakened down into a probable or merely possible conjec-

 $\mu \eta$ äpa, nisi forte (ironically).





## Exercise 31.

119. (1) I am obliged to call as witnesses neither my own friends, nor my opponents' enemies, nor persons who are not acquainted with either them or me, but friends of their own. And if perchance they should persuade even these to withhold their testimony (as I think they will not; at all events, not all of them), yet this they will never be able ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to accomplish), to do away with the truth, and the character which Timar-

[^13]chus bears in the city; ${ }^{\circ}$ one which I have not procured for him, but he ( ${ }^{\circ}$ has gained it) for himself. For the life of a well-conducted man should be too pure for any charge of criminality to adhere to it. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(2) This law, O judges, is a general one for us all; that if a man has no legitimate male children, he may dispose of his property ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as he pleases), unless it be that, when he makes the disposition, he is either insane, or his faculties are impaired by old age, or some other ( ${ }^{\circ}$ infirmity specified) in the law. Now that Philoctēmôn was not affected by any of these infirmities, I will prove to you in a few words.
(3) If then the Thebans should be conquered, as they ought to be, the Lacedæmonians will not be too powerful, since they will have opponents in these Arcadians, who dwell upon their borders; but if the Thebans should by chance recover ( ${ }^{\circ}$ their strength), and save themselves, yet at least they will be ${ }^{\circ}$ all the weaker, if these men have been taken into alliance and saved by us. So that, upon every view of the case, it is expedient that we should not abandon the Arcadians, nor let them think, if perchance they should be preserved, that their escape is due to their own exertions or to any ${ }^{\circ}$ nation but ourselves.
(4) Already, in consequence of the trickery of Demosthenes, you are admitting a shameful abuse into ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the practice of) our courts. $\ddagger$ The prescribed rules of procedure ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ are confounded and perverted ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ for it is the accuser who defends himself; the person impeached $\dagger$ acts the part of accuser; ${ }^{d}$ and the jury at one time forget the points about which they are sitting as judges, and at another are compelled to give their votes upon questions that do not belong to their jurisdiction. And the defendant, if by any chance he should touch upon the ${ }^{\circ}$ real question at all, declares, not that the decree he proposed was a constitutional one, but that some other person who had formerly proposed a similar decree, had already been acquitted:
( ${ }^{\text {a a line }}$ of defence) on which I am told that Ctēsiphōn relies with the greatest confidence.
a Say: 'so pure as not to admit of ( $\left.\bar{\epsilon} \pi \iota \delta^{\prime} \chi \chi \in \sigma \uparrow \alpha u\right)$ the belief of a criminal charge (aitio $\pi о \nu \eta \rho \alpha$ ).' ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \hat{\eta} s \pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \omega s$ סíraıa, i. e. 'the just requirements of the state,' or 'the rules which the state has established, as being in her opinion just.' e 'To confound and pervert,' $\mu \in \tau a \phi \in ́ \rho \in \iota . \quad$ d $S a y$ : 'accuses.'
120. (a) ' $\Omega$ s á $\rho a=$ how that, that with reference to a state of things, either then existing or about to be explained: (b) the a $\rho a$ sometimes appears to have an ironical force ; that forsooth.
[On $\dot{\omega}$ ápa when $\dot{\omega} s=q u a m$, cf. 116.]
${ }^{\text {T}} O_{\tau \iota}$ ă $\rho a$ is less common.






## Excrcise 32.

121. (1) But nevertheless, O judges, Demosthenes is so ready with his falsehoods and reckless assertions; so indifferent to shame, to conviction, to curses, that he will dare, I am told, even to affirm of me, that forsooth I too was formerly condemned by the Council; and that I am now, so he says, guilty of the most inconceivable inconsistency, because, whereas I formerly had to defend my own cause against the decision of the Council, I now appear as the adviser of the Councill, assisting it in the prosecution of this defendant, with respect to the ${ }^{\circ}$ very decision that was ${ }^{\circ}$ then made,-in which he gets up a fictitious transaction,
one that never really took place at all, and ${ }^{2}$ has the face to assert a falsehood in your presence.
(2) I, O soldiers, offer, as you see, what sacrifices I can, both for your interests and my own, that I may both say, and plan, and do what will be most for your credit and advantage, as well as for mine. And ${ }^{\circ}$ even now I was consulting the gods by sacrifice, whether it would be more expedient to introduce this subject, and treat with you about it, or to have nothing at all to do with it. But Silanus the soothsayer, being aware ( $v$ ) that I too, from being constantly present at the sacrifices, am not ${ }^{\circ}$ wholly unacquainted ( ${ }^{\circ}$ with their nature), assured me indeed, which is the point of most importance, that the victims were favorable; but informed me ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at the same time), that they indicated some fraud and treachery ( ${ }^{\circ}$ about to be practised) against me,-as knowing, forsooth, that he was himself treacherously intending to accuse me before you.
${ }^{2} \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \ldots \tau 0 \lambda \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$. The $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is occasioned by the preceding oủ $\gamma \in \gamma \in \nu \eta \mu \in \nu 0 \nu$, though the construction is different; the two participles being in agreement with different words and in different cases.

## Chap. 5. § 6. (ả $\lambda \lambda$ ’ äpa.)

122. ' $A \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ ä $\rho a$, often (Klotz says more often than not) with a word or words interposed, exactly correspond to our 'but then,' in objections, which are often (not necessarily) of an unexpected kind, but are always founded on a statement previously made as a state of things described or assumed, and the like.
123. The objection is often conveyed in the form of a question, which is founded upon what has occurred, the state of things before the speaker, \&c. It often insinuates an objection, with something of irony.

 but then [if not any land, as you say], a house? (Xen.).
(For ${ }_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{a}$; (num? ? ) see Part I. 312.)

## Exercise 33.

124. (1) But absolute monarchs are not much given to attend at public exhibitions. For, as it is not safe for them to go, where they would not be superior in power to the assembled spectators, so the affairs of their kingdoms are not so firmly established, that they can intrust them to others and go abroad; for so they would be in danger of being at once deprived of their kingdoms, and of the power of avenging themselves on those who had injured them. But you may perhaps say: 'Well, but then ${ }^{\text {a }}$ spectacles of this kind come to them, even when they stay at home.' 'Nay, by Zeus, O Simonides, but few out of many ( ${ }^{\circ}$ are thus brought to them); and these, such as they are, are sold so dear to monarchs, that the exhibitors of the most trifling spectacle expect, when they leave the presence of a king, to carry off more money, than they gain from all the rest of the world in their whole lives.'
(2) 'Do not warlike contests appear to require art?' 'Most assuredly,' said he. 'Ought we then to bestow more care upon the art of making shoes, than upon that of making war ?' 'By no means.' 'But then we forbade the shoemaker to think of being at the same time either a husbandman, or a weaver, or a builder, $\dagger$ in order that the work of shoemaking might be well done; and in like manner we assigned to each of the other tradesmen one single business,-that for which each was naturally fitted, and which he would be likely to execute well, because he would abstain ( $p$ ) from all other employments, and practise that one all his life without neglecting the proper seasons.'
[^14]
## Сhap. 6. § 1. 'Atáp.

125. a) 'A $A$ áp $[=a \hat{v} \tau \epsilon$ ä $\rho a]$ retains the emphatic power of ápa, and thus gives additional weight to the word or notion introduced by it. Oíס" oiкє́таs хрض̀ ко-
 $\gamma \nu \omega \mu \eta \pi \rho \circ \sigma \phi \in ́ \rho \in \sigma \Im a \iota$ ö̀ $\lambda о \nu$ á $\mu \alpha ́ \rho \tau \eta \mu a$ (Xen.).
126. b) In Attic Greek, à $\underset{\text { áp occurs principally }}{ }$ when the speaker suddenly breaks off the discourse, and passes on to something else. 'O $\mu \dot{\eta}$ є่ є८८та́ $\mu \in \nu 0$ os oüтє

 そ้р $\xi^{\prime}$ ат $\sigma \epsilon \delta \iota \delta a ́ \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \nu ~ \tau \grave{\eta} \nu ~ \sigma \tau \rho a \tau \eta \gamma i a \nu$.
127. c) Hence $\dot{a} \tau a ́ \rho$ is very common in questions, when any thing is suddenly opposed to something before said.


(Ar. Nub. 688.)

## Exercise 34.

128. (1) It is easier to climb a steep ascent, when there is no fighting, than to march upon level ground, when the enemy are posted on both sides of us; and, when a man has not to fight, he can see what is before him better at night, than he can in the day-time whilst he is fighting; and a rough road is easier to the feet of men who are not fighting, than a smooth one to men at whose heads an enemy is hurling (pass.) his missiles. Nor does it seem to me impossible to steal ( ${ }^{\circ}$ such a march), since we can both choose the night for it, that we may not be seen; and take so large a circuit, that no sound will reach the enemy. I am also of opinion, that if we make a false attack $\dagger$ near where we now are, we shall find the rest of the mountain the more unguarded; for, in consequence of this, they will ${ }^{\circ}$ be more ( ${ }^{\circ}$ likely to) keep their forces collected here. But
how is it that $\mathrm{I}^{\prime}$ am bringing forward ( ${ }^{\circ}$ this question) about stealing? for I hear that amongst you Lacedæmonians, Cheirisŏphus, $\dagger$ all who are of the highest rank ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ practise stealing from your very childhood; and that it is no disgrace, but a credit, to steal whatever the law does not forbid. And, to the end you may learn to steal with the greatest dexterity and secrecy imaginable, why ${ }^{\text {b }}$ your very laws order that you should be publicly flogged, if you are caught stealing. Now then is your time to give proof of your education, and to take good care ${ }^{\text {c }}$ that we are not caught stealing some of the mountain, for fear we should be well trimmed ${ }^{\text {d }}$ (for our pains).
(2) That Zeus is a sophist, and that this art is a very honorable one, Homer shows in various passages, but especially in this ( ${ }^{\circ}$ before us). He says that Minos converses with Zeus every ninth year, and goes to be instructede $(p)$ by Zeus as if he were ( $p$ ) a sophist.
(3) 'You do not, I take it, desire the excellence by which men become statesmen and qualified to govern?' 'I do indeed exceedingly wish for that excellence.' 'By Zeus, you desire an excellence of the noblest kind, and a very great art: for it is the qualification of kings, and is called royal. But have you considered whether it is possible for one who is not just $\dagger$ to be skilled in these matters? ?
${ }^{2}$ Say : 'as many of you as are ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of the) $\delta \mu o ́ \tau \iota \mu o t:$ ' the term for those who in oligarchical and highly aristocratical constitutions were admissible to the highest offices. Our term peers (= pares) had a similar meaning.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The sort of ironical force given by the why and very is to be expressed
 are emphatic. $\quad{ }^{\mathrm{d}} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} s \pi \lambda \eta \gamma \grave{\alpha} s \lambda \alpha \beta \in i \nu$, with reference to the actual flogging at Sparta. e $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \in \cup \sigma \delta \mu \in \nu \circ s$, a partcp. mid. with a passive


## Chap. 7. § 1. Av̂.

129. a) The original meaning of ai is retro, retroversus (back, backwvards), as in â̂ є'pv́w, retro trahere (Hom.).
130. b) Its more usual meaning is, rursus (again), and sometimes iterum, not only in the repetition of the same thing, but also in the mention of a new action commencing after the completion of one with which it is connected ('as rursus is sometimes used,' $K l$.) $=j a m$ (Ellendt).

 хоаîs, каї ктд. (Xen.).
131. c) A very common meaning is vicissim, ab alterâ parte (on the other hand), with reference to the similarity, not so much of an action, as of a person or thing.



 §ıŋүウ́боная (Xen.).

So rursum (rursus): e.g. aliâque ratione malevolus, aliâ amator, ruià rursus ( = again) anxius, alid timidus corrigendus (Cic.). Kl.
132. d) Hence arises the meaning contra, implying opposition between what follows and what precedes; the opposition being not in the particle $a \hat{v}$, but in the notions brought together by $a \hat{\imath}$.

 (Pl.).

The most common combinations are $\delta \overline{\hat{v}}$ and kai aर्v: : the datter frequently in immediate combination in Plato; but usually (in Thucyd. and Xnn. always) with the opposed notion between the particles ( $K r$. .).

## Exercise 35.

133. (I) The whole of this sum he left in the house.

He left also seventy minas which were lent to Xūthus on a maritime adventure; twenty-four minas in Pa sion's bank, six in that of Pylades, and sixteen in the hands of Dēmŏmĕlēs, the son of Dēmōn; besides friendly loans, to the amount of a talent, lent to different persons in sums of two and three minas. These last-mentioned sums amount to more than eight talents and thirty minas, which, together with those first mentioned, you will find amount to fourteen talents.
(2) The Medes and Hyrcanians spoke to this effect: 'And how can we,' said they, 'distribute these things without you and your people?' Cyrus, in return, made this answer: ' $\dagger$ Is this then, my friends,' said he, 'your opinion, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that whatever is to be done, we must all of us upon every occasion attend? and shall not I be thought sufficient by you to transact any thing for you that may be necessary, nor you sufficient to transact any thing for us? By what other means can we possibly create ourselves more trouble, and do less business than by acting thus? But look,' said he, ' $\ddagger$ we have kept these things for you; and you have reposed a confidence in us that they have been well ( ${ }^{\circ}$ and faithfully) guarded. Do you, on the other hand, distribute these things, and we will repose a confidence in you, that they have been properly distributed. And, again, we on our side will endeavor to accomplish another public service.'
(3) Do not look upon it as a disadvantage, that the Barbarians belonging to Cyrus, who before fought on your side, have now forsaken you; for these are even worse soldiers, than those we have already overcome. At all events, they ran away from us and fled to our foes; and it is far better that those who are the first to fly, should be found in the enemy's ranks rather than in our own. If, again, any of you are disheartened, because we have no horse, in which the enemy abound, let them consider that ten thousand horsemen are no more than ten thousand men; for no one was ever
killed in an action by the bite or kick of a horse. It is the men who do every thing that is done in battle.


## Exercise 36.

134. 'If,' said Socrates, 'this path of life, as it leads neither through government nor slavery, so also did not lead through human society at all, there would perhaps be some meaning in what you say. If, however, you remain ( $p$ ) amongst your fellow-men, and, proposing neither to govern nor to be governed, you also refuse voluntarily to pay court to those who rule ( ${ }^{\circ}$ in the state), you perceive, I take it, how ${ }^{\circ}$ well the stronger, both in public and private life, understand how to make the weaker sit down in tears, and to turn them into their slaves. Or do you ${ }^{\circ}$ really not observe the men, who, whilst others are sowing and planting, both reap the corn, and cut down the timber, and beset those who being weaker will not pay them court, until they have brought them to choose rather to become the slaves of the more powerful, than to wage ${ }^{\circ}$ perpetual war against them? And, again, in private life, are you not aware that the bold and strong enslave and make a gain of the cowardly and the weak?' 'Nay,' said he, 'but I, that I may escape from treatment of this kind, do not even $\dagger$ confine myself by any trammels of citizenship, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ but am every where a foreigner.'

2 Say: 'do not shut myself up in (=into) any citizenship,' кara$\kappa \lambda \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \in เ \nu$ €́aut

## CHAP. 7. § 2. aṽ ( $\pi a ́ \lambda c \nu ~ a i ̂) . ~$

135. $A \hat{\delta}$ is also joined in various ways with the nearly synonymous particles mádıv and aîIıs: e. g. $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu ~ a \hat{v}$ (less commonly â̂ $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu)$ : also $a \hat{v}, \uparrow \varsigma s, a \hat{v}, a \hat{v}-$
 a ̂ $\pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu$ (Pflugk ad Heracl. 488), combining renewal, opposition, and return.
136. a) Si conjunguntur bæ tres particulæ, videtur aủsts eam rem, a quâ proficiscentes repetitionem statuimus, efferre; $\alpha \tilde{u}$ contra repetitionem indicare; $\pi \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{\iota} \iota$ autem, quod ipsum quoque ab loci significatione profectum est, id potissimum sibi velle, ut ad eam, in quâ jam fuerimus, rerum conditionem revocetur auditor ( $K l$.).
b) Contra si conjunguntur particulæ $\pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \iota \nu \alpha \bar{\nu}$ (pro quo interdum dicitur etiam $\alpha \hat{v} \pi \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \nu \nu$ ) priore particulâ id potissimum, quod ante fuit, quoque id, quod nunc fit, referendum est, indicatur; posteriore autem particulâ omnino repetitio ejusdem rei aut similis certe significatur (Id.).



## Exercise 37.

137. (1) I would therefore return to the measures I recommended immediately after this. $\ddagger$ When I saw (cf. 149), O Athenians, your navy falling to decay, when I saw the richer citizens purchasing a virtual exemption from public taxes at the expense of a small contribution, and the middle and lower classes losing all their property, and still more the state always losing the right season of action in consequence of these abuses, I proposed a law which compelled the rich to do their duty, relieved the poor from oppression, and, what was of most consequence, enabled the state to be prepared with her armaments and warlike preparations in time.
(2) When our unexpected preservation enabled him to return again to the city, at first indeed the fellow was timid and dejected, and, rising in the assembly, half dead ( ${ }^{\circ}$ with fear), desired you to appoint him a commissioner for the preservation of the peace. But when Philip was dead, and Alexander had succeeded to the throne, then once again beginning to practise his impostures, he erected altars to Pausanias, he loaded the Senate with the odium of offering sacrifices of thanksgiving for the good news, affixed upon Alexander the nickname of Margitēs, and dared to as-
sert that he would never stir from Macedonia, but would be contented to walk about in Pella, and observe the entrails of the victims.

## Char. 8. A

138. a) $A \hat{i} 9 \iota s$ nearly resembles the particle $a \hat{i}$ from which it is derived, but has a more definite demonstrative force.
b) ' $A \hat{v} 9$ ss a particulâ a $\mathfrak{v}$ ita differre videtur, ut magis nos jubeat respicere ad eam rem unde omnis repetitionis significatio profecta est' (Klotz).
139. Like $a \hat{v}$, it is used to denote the repetition of the same or a similar action; it points out however the connection of the two (the original and repeated action) more strongly than that particle.
140. Hence $\alpha \hat{v}$ tus is more common than $\alpha \hat{v}$, in connection with other adverbs of time ( $\pi \rho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mathscr{A} \epsilon \nu, \pi \rho \omega \hat{\omega} \tau \circ \nu$ \&c.).


141. $A \hat{v} \hat{y}$ us is also used, like our again, in the sense of ever afterwards, ever hereafter, in negative sentences,
 (Pl.) Legg. 4,711 c. So ó aû̀ts xpóvos (Pl.).

It is doubtful whether the Attic form is properly aî̀ts or aîrts Cf. Ellendt. Lex. Soph. Dindorf. ad Aristoph. Aves. 1326 (Kl.).

## Exercise 38.

142. (1) To those who alleged this, Clearchus re-plied,-_'I consider all these things, as well as the other bearings of the case; but I reflect that, if we now depart, we shall be looked upon as departing + with hostile intentions, ${ }^{a}$ and as acting contrary to the terms of the truce. †And then, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ in the first place, no one will
provide a market for us, nor ( ${ }^{\circ}$ assign us any place) where we may supply ourselves with corn; and, again, we shall have nobody to guide us; and, whilst we are employed in these matters, Ariæus will desert us; so that we shall have no friend left, but ${ }^{\circ}$ rather even those who were so before will ${ }^{\circ}$ then be our enemies. And whether there is by chance any other river that we can cross I cannot say, tbut, at all events, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ we know that it is impossible to pass the Euphrates if an enemy tries to prevent it.
(2) When they arrived at a strong place, which had neither city nor houses ( ${ }^{\circ}$ upon it), but where ${ }^{d}$ great numbers of men and women, with their cattle, were assembled, Cheirisophus ordered it to be attacked the moment he came before it, and when the first company suffered, another went up, and another; for the place being surrounded by a river, they could not attack it on every side with all their forces at once. When Xenophon came up with the rear guard, the targeteers and heavy-armed men, Cheirisophus said to him, 'You come very seasonably, for this place must be taken; for, unless we take it, the army will obtain no provisions.' ${ }^{e}$
a $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \grave{\imath} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \mu \omega=$ ' on condition of war: for war.' b $\quad$ E $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$.
c - $\delta$ ' oû̀. d Say: 'there', aủtó́ $\epsilon$. Cf. Gramm. 1258, a.

- Say: 'unless we shall take the place, there are no provisions for the army;' this use of the present to describe the consequence as an objective fact actually occurring, gives great spirit to a narration. Cf. Gramm. 1295.


## Cinap. 9. Aùtíka.

143. Av̇тіка (from aủтós: cf. ทָviка, тпрі́ка, \&c.) =ео ipso tempore, illico, statim, to denote (1) the immediately present moment [strengthened in aùтíca $\nu \hat{v} \nu, \mu a ́ \lambda ’ a v ̀-$ тіка ін Hom.; aưтіка на́入а in prose: то̀ aưтіка орр. то̀ $\mu \epsilon ́ \lambda \lambda o \nu . T h].-(2)$ The immediately commencing future

 nunc . . . felicem fingimus . . . mox contrariam considerabimus).
144. (3) With participles it is used to denote the immediate coincidence of another action or event with that denoted by the participle.
 he was born (Hdt.). [Cf. $\alpha^{\prime} \mu \alpha \quad \gamma เ \gamma \nu o ́ \mu \in \nu 0 \iota ~ \lambda a \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \nu 0 \mu \in \nu$, at the moment of
 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \epsilon \in \kappa \epsilon \nu \tau 0$, the moment (as soon as cver) it had landed.]-(4) Aùtíкa... каí are joined (like á $\mu \alpha \ldots$. . каí: 1447, b) in the sense of simulac (as soon

 aùtiкa $\tau \in \ldots \kappa \alpha$ i. (I have not found another instance of this construction.)
145. (5) Av̇тiкa is used (=for instance) in arguments, \&c., when the first instance that presents itself is


 instance that occurs to me, \&c.). In such passages av̇тiкa is sometimes introduced by $\gamma a ́ \rho, \gamma \epsilon ́ \tau o \iota, \delta \dot{\eta}$, and the like (Kl.).

Compare the Latin vel; e. g. cujus sunt innumerabilia exempla, vel Appi majoris illius, qui \&c. (Cic.)

## Exercise 39.

146. (1) Brasidas had just taken Amphipolis, and was within a night of taking Eiion; for if the ships had not quickly come to its aid, in the morning it would have been in his hands. After this Thucydides arranged matters in Eion, so that it might be safe, both for the present time, if Brasidas should attack it, and in future, receiving those who had chosen to come there from the country according to the terms of the treaty.
(2) As all other arts when neglected sink in their worth; and, $\dagger$ as in the case of our bodies when in good condition, if we abandon them to a course of laziness and inactivity, they become unhealthy ; so a discreet temper of mind, temperance, and the command of our passions and courage, when a man remits the prac-
tice of them, from thenceforward turn again into vice. We ought not therefore to be remiss, nor throw ourselves immediately on every present pleasure; for I think it a great thing to acquire a dominion, and yet a greater to preserve it when acquired. For to acquire often befalls a man who contributes nothing towards it but boldness in the attempt; but to preserve an acquisition that one has made, this cannot be done without discretion, nor without the command of one's passions, nor without much care.
(3) 'But my opinion is,' said he, 'that a governor ought to differ from the governed, not by a life of ease, but by care and circumspection, and by his readiness to undergo toil and labor.' 'But, my son,' said he, 'there are some matters wherein you are to contend not with men, but with circumstances; ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and it is no easy matter to come off triumphantly in a contest with these. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ For instance, you know that if the army have not necessaries, your command is immediately dissolved, and falls to pieces.'


CHAP. 10. Táp. § 1. ( $\gamma$ áp at the commencement of narratives, \&c.)
147. Táp (= $=\hat{\epsilon}$ ä ä $\rho a)$ properly denotes an explanatory affirmation; the predominating notion being sometimes the explanation, sometimes the affirmation.
148. As explanatory it is used especially after demonstratives, and such sentences as $\sigma \eta \mu \epsilon i o \nu$ ( $\tau є \kappa \mu \eta \eta_{\rho} \iota \nu$,
 $\delta$ é, and the like. Also not uncommonly after such formulæ with the superlative, as: ò $\delta$ è $\mu$ '́ $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$ ( $\delta \epsilon \iota \nu o ́-$ тatov \&c.): тò סè $\mu$ é $\gamma \iota \sigma \tau o \nu$.

After expressions of this kind, we seldom use a conjunction in English.












149. It should also be observed, that when a speaker has stated what he is going to prove, or, generally, in the beginning of any narrative for which the hearers have been prepared, the statement or narrative is usuailly introduced by $\gamma^{a} \rho$.

Thus Demosthenes, in his famous description of the consternation that prevailed at Athens, when the news arrived that Philip had occupied Elatea:

 каьóтата.

 De Cor. 284.

## Exercise 40.

150. (1) When the Persians made a descent upon Attica, our forefathers did not wait for their allies, but, taking the general war upon themselves alone, they marched to encounter the army that looked down with scorn upon the whole of Greece, with none but their own national troops, a few against many myriads, as cheerfully as if they were only going to hazard the lives of others in the contest; and the Lacedæmonians no sooner received intelligence of the invasion of Attica, than they laid aside all other care, and came to
our assistance with as much haste and zeal as if it had been their own country that the enemy were ravaginge And this is a token of the dispatch and emulation ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of both nations): it is said that our forefathers, on the very day that they heard of the descent of the Persians, marched to the frontiers, gained a victory over the enemy, and raised a trophy; and that the Lacedæmonians, marching with their whole army, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ accomplished twelve hundred stadia in three days and as many nights!
(2) I must also, it seems, defend not only myself but Lycinus, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ and show) that neither have they any grounds for accusing him. I assert then that his position, with respect to the murdered man, was the very same as mine; for there was no quarter from which he would have received money, if he murdered him, nor was there any danger whatever that he would have escaped by his death. And here is a convincing proof that he did not desire his destruction: when he had the means of bringing him to trial for his life, and destroying him by the instrumentality of your own laws, if he had felt bound to accomplish his destruction, thus both effecting his own purpose and laying the state under an obligation to himself, if he had proved him guilty, he did not choose to do so ; nay, he did not even ${ }^{5}$ stir in the matter at all.


## Exercise 41.

151. (1) Their shameless audacity and sordid avarice will be more clearly perceived by you, when you have heard the whole case, which I shall begin to relate from that part, whence you will soonest and most easily learn the state of our controversy. $\ddagger$ Dinias, our father's brother, was our guardian, he being our uncle, and we orphans; at which time, judges, a violent enmity subsisted between him and Cleonymus.
(2) I am sensible, then, that we shall have much more trouble, if you return by sea; for in that case we' shall be obliged to supply you with ships; ${ }^{a}$ whereas, if you go by land, you' will have to fight ( ${ }^{\circ}$ not we). Still, however, I must tell what I know ; for I am well acquainted both with the country of the Paphlagonians and with their strength. $\ddagger$ Their country contains both many very fair plains, and mountains of a great height. And, first of all, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ I know the place where you must, of necessity, enter it; for there is but one pass, and that lies between two mountain-peaks, hanging over each side of the road, exceeding high. These a very few men, posted there, may defend; and, if the enemy are once masters of this pass, all the men in the world cannot force their way. These peaks I can even point out to any one you think proper to send along with me. On the other side of this pass, I know that there are plains, and upon them a body of horse, which the Barbarians themselves think exceeds all the cavalry the king is master of.
> a Say: 'the ships;' i. e. the ships that you will require.
> ${ }^{\text {b }}$ eĩ̛ús; i. e. to begin with the first point.

## Chap. 10. § 2. ( $\gamma$ áp anticipative.)

152. 'Sæpe in ratione reddendâ invertunt Græci ordinem sententiarum, causam præmittentes: quo genere loquendi sæpissime usus est Herodotus.' (H.)





The following sentence is then often introduced by o $0 \hat{\nu}$ : $^{`} \mathrm{O} \Pi \rho \delta \xi \in \nu 0 s$,



## Excrisise 42.

153. (1) Whilst Sciōnē was invested, Perdiccas sent a herald to the Athenian generals, and concluded an arrangement with the Athenians, from the hatred he entertained against Brasidas, in consequence of the retreat from Lyncus. And as Isagoras the Lacedæmonian happened to be then on the point of taking an army by land to join Brasidas, Perdiccas, partly because Nicias advised him, now that he had come to terms with the Athenians, to give them some conspicuous proof of his steady adherence to their cause, and partly also because he was himself anxious that the Lacedæmonians should never enter his territories again, won over to his views his friends in Thessaly (for he was always intimate with the principal men), and stopped the army and its equipments, so that they did not even try the mind of the Thessalians on the subject.
(2) I called Damnippus ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to me), and spoke to him thus: 'You are a friend of mine, and I have been brought to your house: I have committed no crime, but am destroyed for the sake of my money. Do you then, seeing me treated in this way, zealously apply all your power to effect my preservation.' He promised to do so ; but thought it best to mention the matter to Theognis, who he believed would do any thing whatever, if a man were to offer him money. Now I was acquainted with the house, and knew that it had two doors; whilst then he was conversing with Theognis, it occurred to me that it would be my best plan to attempt my escape in this way.

Chap. 10. § 3. ( $\gamma$ áp in questions.)
154. 'In omni interrogatione locus est particulæ ráp, quia intelligitur semper nescio vel dic mihi, vel
simile quid. Unde et Latini quisnam vel nam quis dicunt' (Hermann). Reisig and Kruiger make its interrogative use elliptical, referring to a preceding declara-
 (See Reisig En. ad Ed. Col. 1570.)



155. Hartung says, that, if we except the case where the question is also a reply, the use of $\gamma$ á $\rho$ in questions carries with it an expression of surprise. Though this is not always the case, yet it often is. Such a question may be prefaced by why? what? in English.

## Exercise 43.

156. (1) We remember when you, writhing and twisting your body round the Bema, used to say, as if you were engaged in a personal contest with Alexander, 'I confess that I prevailed on the Lacedæmonians to revolt, that I brought over the Thessalians and Perrhæbeans.' What! could you' then induce a single village to revolt? Would you go near, I do not say a city, but even a single house where there is any danger? But if money is dispensed any where, then indeed you will beset it closely, but you will never do any action worthy of a man.
(2) I will also relate an anecdote that was told me about him by Hermogĕnēs the son of Hipponīcus. He said, that after Meletus had brought in his bill of indictment against Socrates, when he heard him converse on any subject rather than the ${ }^{\circ}$ approaching trial, he told him that he ought to be considering what defence he should make; and that Socrates at first replied, 'How? don't you think that I have spent all my life in preparing it?' and that, upon his asking him, how? he replied, that he had never ceased to inquire into the nature of justice and injustice, both doing what was just, and refraining from what was
unjust, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ a conduct) which he thought was the best method of preparing for his defence.

Chap. 10. § 4. (Tí $\gamma \dot{a} \rho ;$ )
157. Tí áp $^{\rho}$; is used in various ways:
a) Sometimes (like quid?) it is used as a formula of transition to a new question.

Thus in Xen. Mem. iii. 10, the answer to one ques-



b) Sometimes it has the force of quid enim? quidni cnim? in reply to a preceding question or assertion; it is then a form of assenting, where we may understand ä $\lambda \lambda_{0}\left(S_{\text {. }}\right)$ : so that the full force is ' $[y e s]$ : for what [else is it, if not as you say]?' It may be construed by 'why not?' 'certainly,' 'undoubtedly'. (or, if the assertion or question was negative), 'certainly not.'
 фєıг 入ógovs.-Tí үáp; (Pl.)
c) Sometimes it introduces a question expressing emotion (quid ergo?) Soph. (Ed. Col. 539. 547:

Tyndareus. $M \epsilon \nu$ é $\lambda a \epsilon, \pi \rho о \sigma \phi S$ '́ $\gamma \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu \iota \nu$ ả $\nu o ́ \sigma \iota \nu \nu \kappa a ́ \rho a ;$


## Exercise 44.

158. (1) 'Tell me, Critobūlus,' said he, 'if we were in want of a good friend, by what test should we endeavor to try him? Ought we not first to look out for one who is master of his stomach; and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ has conquered) the love of drinking, gluttony, sleep, and laziness? for he who is the slave of these things will never be able to do what he ought either for himself or for his friend?' 'No, by Zeus, he will not,' replied he.
'We must then have nothing to do with one who is the slave of these propensities?' 'Certainly not,' said he. 'How,' said he, 'if a man is of expensive habits, and so, being unable to supply his own wants, is always requiring some of his neighbor's property, and who, when he receives any money, can never repay it, and when he is refused, hates him who refuses ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to help him), don't you consider that a man of this character is a troublesome friend?' 'Decidedly,' says he. 'Must not we then keep aloof from him?' 'Certainly,' he replied, 'we must keep aloof from him.'
(2) 'How, again, if a man, though able to earn money, yet is greedy of it, and so is a hard man to deal with, delighting ( $v$ ) to receive, but not liking to repay ?' 'In my opinion,' says he, 'this man is even worse than the other.' 'How now if a man, from the love of making money, has no leisure to do any one thing, but consider by what means he may put money into his pocket?' 'We must have nothing to do with him either, for he would be useless to any one, who tried to make a friend of him.' 'Well then! what if a man is factious, and anxious to raise up many enemies against his friends?' 'By Zeus, we must fly from this man also,' he answered.

## Chap. 10. §5. ( áp in replies.) $^{\text {. }}$

159. In replies, $\gamma a ́ \rho$ is often used with an apparent ellipse of a 'yes' or ' $n o$ ' (vai' or ou').

 $\tau \omega \nu$. M̀̀ $\gamma a ́ \rho$, єैф $\eta$ (Pl.).

In all probability, as Klotz observes, there is not really a preceding ellipse, but rather a following one; that is to say, ráp has its original power of making an
emphatic affirmation, from which an obvious inference is left to be drawn by the hearer.

Thus in Aristoph. Plutus, 1148, after Chremylus had asked,

Mercury replies,-
 apud vos multo melius est (ex quo sequitur, ut velit manere). Klotz.

## Exercise 45.

160. (1) Hereupon the Greeks made answer, Cleanorr the Orchomenian speaking in the name of the rest: ' O Ariæus! thou most wicked of all men, and the rest of you who were friends to Cyrus! have you no regard either to the gods or men? You who, after you have sworn to us to look upon our friends and enemies as your own, now conspire with Tissaphernes, the most infamous and deceitful of all men, to betray us; and having both destroyed those persons, to whom you gave your oaths, and deceived the rest of us, now come with our enemies to invade us?' To this Ariæus answered, 'But it first appeared that Clearchus was forming designs against Tissaphernes, Orontas, and all the rest of us.'
(2) 'What injury,' says Cyrus, 'have I done you, that you should now, for the third time, be found endeavoring to betray me?' Orontas saying that he was not provoked to it by any injury, Cyrus continued, 'You own then you have wronged me?' ' $\ddagger \mathrm{I}$ am under a necessity of owning it,' replied Orontas: upon which Cyrus asked him again, 'Can you yet be an enemy to my brother, and a friend to me?' 'Though I should,' says Orontas, 'O Cyrus! you would never think me so.'

## Chap. 10. § 6. (oủ jà $a \not a v, \& c$.)

161. Such an omitted hypothetical clause as we represent by otherwise or else (either alone, or after for, since), is in Greek omitted altogether, after $\grave{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \grave{\iota}$ (оट̌к)

 кри́тtou tà $\sigma a ̀ ~ \pi a ́ Я \eta, ~ n o n ~ m e ~ a m a s, ~ m e a ~ f i l i a ; ~ n e q u e ~$

 à $\nu$ єî̀ov tò $\chi \omega$ pion (Th.).
162. Two propositions introduced by $\gamma$ á $\rho$ are occasionally found together in the best Greek authors.

## Exercise 46.

163. (1) If you make an order, that whoever of you happens to be present, ${ }^{a}$ shall assist the commander in chastising those who are guilty of disobedience, it will be the most effectual means to frustrate the designs of the enemy; for, from this day, instead of one Clearchus, they will find a thousand, who will suffer no man to neglect his duty. But it is now time to make an end, for it is probable the enemy will presently appear; and, if you approve of any thing I have said, ratify it immediately, that you may put it in execution. But if any other person thinks of any thing more proper, though a private man, let him propose it, for our preservation is a general concern.
(2) Thēraměnēs afterwards arrived from Lacedæmon; and when some of the Generals and Taxiarchs (amongst whom were Strombichides and Diŏnysŏdōrus), with some other citizens, who, as they afterwards proved, were your true friends, accosted him, they were exceedingly indignant, for he returned with a peace, the character of which we have learnt to know by experience; for it cost us the lives of many good
citizens, and our own banishment by the Thirty; and ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the terms of it were, not that we should pull down ten stadia of the long walls, but entirely raze the whole of them; and, far from obtaining any other advantage, should deliver up our ships to the Lacedæmonians, and throw down the walls of the Pireus.
(3) I was observing, that we have many fair prospects of safety. $\ddagger$ In the first place, we are observing the oaths which we called upon the gods to witness; whilst our enemies have committed perjury, and violated both the treaty and their oaths. Thus we may fairly expect that, under these circumstances, the gods, who are able quickly to make the mighty men weak, and, when they please, to save the weal with ease, though surrounded by dangers, will declare against them, and combat on our side. Again, that you may feel yourselves bound to acquit yourselves like brave men, knowing that the brave are preserved by the gods even in extreme perils, * I will call to your recollection the dangers that your forefathers encountered: $\ddagger$ when the Persians and their allies came with that innumerable host, as if to annihilate Athens, the Athenians, having the courage to encounter them, gained the victory.


Сhap. 11. § 1. $\Gamma$ '́.
164. a) $\Gamma \epsilon^{\prime}$ (quidem, certe) is a restrictive particle which, without excluding the notion to which it is opposed, gives that to which it is attached a degree of emphasis that distinguishes it from all others.

[^15]b) Accordingly as $\gamma \epsilon$ distinguishes its notion as being less than others, or greater than they, it may be construed by (at least) quidem (certe, saltem), or by even, himself (itself), etiam, vel, adeo.
c) The force of the $\gamma \epsilon$ ' is often to be given in English by a mere elevation of the voice, adding emphasis to the word or notion to which the $\gamma$ ' belongs.-It does not connect sentences. $H$.




 (Pl.).
165. With respect to the place of $\gamma^{\prime} \epsilon$, it follows, like $\delta \eta^{\prime}$, the notion to which it belongs. Yet both these particles may (1) stand between an article or preposition and its noun, or (2) separated from their notions
 $\delta \pi \alpha \tau \eta p$.

## Exercise 47.

166. (1) We are all of us aware, that up to a certain point' every man is, even against his will, restrained by shame from not doing what is just, and openly declares himself against the unjust, especially if certain persons are the sufferers; and we shall find that what is corrupting every thing, and is the beginning ( ${ }^{\circ}$ and root) of all evils, is the not choosing to do what is just $\dagger$ simply and universally. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(2) 'Is not God' essentially good, and must we not assert him to be so?' '†Without doubt.' ' 'Moreover, nothing' that is good is hurtful, †is it?' ${ }^{\circ}$ 'I think not.' 'Does then any thing that is not of a hurtful nature ${ }^{\circ}$ ever hurt?' 'By no means.' 'Does that which hurts not, do any evil?' 'Nor this either.' 'And what does no evil, cannot be the cause of any evil?' '†Of course not.' ' 'Now what is good is beneficial, is it not?' 'Yes.' 'It is there-
fore the cause of prosperity ?' 'Yes.' 'Good, therefore, is not the cause of all' things, but the cause of those things only which are in a right state-not the cause of those things which are in a wrong state.' 'Entirely' so,' said he. 'Neither then can God,' said I, 'since he is good, be the cause of all things, as most men affirm, but only the cause of a few things to men, but of many things not the cause; for our blessings are much fewer than our troubles, and no other must be assigned as the cause of our blessings; whereas, of our troubles we must seek some other cause, not God.'
(3) 'Whate is it that must induce men to believe what is asserted? Is it not the testimony of witnesses?' 'I presume' ( ${ }^{\circ}$ it is).' 'And what is it that must render their testimony credible? Is it not the rack?' 'Assuredly.' 'And what must cause us to disbelieve the allegations of my adversaries? Is it not their declining t the test of torture?'s '†Yes; beyond a doubt.' ${ }^{g}$ 'By what kind of proof then is it possible to demonstrate to you more clearly than by uhis, that my mother was the legitimate daughter of Ciron?'
a $\dot{\alpha} \pi \lambda \hat{\omega} s$, simply for its own sake; per se: 'to do what is just because it is just, and from no lower motive.' b $\quad \tau_{i}^{\prime} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu ;$ c $\bar{j} \gamma \alpha^{\alpha} \rho ;=$ nonne (ita est)? d $\pi \hat{\omega} s \gamma$ áp; quî enim [sc. esse potest]?
e Say: 'whence ( $\pi \delta \dot{\prime} \uparrow \tau \nu$ ) must things asserted be believed ?' and continue the corresponding form: 'is it not from ( $\epsilon \kappa$ ) testimonies?'


## Chap. 11. § 2. ( $\gamma$ é in replies.)

167. T' is also used in replies and supplementary additions that are closely connected with another person's speech, to correct or complete it., Пóтєроע ádє入фळَ $\mu \eta \tau$ fòs




This use is a very frequent one, because it is natu-
ral that in an answer we should wish to give prominence and emphasis to a particular word or notion. The answer may be either affirmative or negative.
168. It sometimes happens that a preceding yes or no must be mentally supplied.
 Aristoph. Vesp. 401).

## Exercise 48.

169. (1) 'Let us know then,' says Xenophon, 'what you have in your power to give to the army, the captains, and the generals, if we come; to the end that these may make their report.' He promised to every common soldier a cyzicene, two to the captains, and four to the generals; with as much land as they desired, besides yokes of oxen, and a walled town near the sea. 'If,' says Xenophon, 'I endeavor to effect what you desire, but am prevented by the fear that may be entertained of the Lacedæmonians, will you receive into your country any who shall be desirous to come to you?' He answered, 'o Yes; and not only that, but (203) I will treat them like brothers, give them a place at my table, and make them partakers of every thing we shall conquer.'
(2) To this Hystaspes replied: 'By Hera (Juno), I am glad that I put the question to you.' 'How so?' said he. 'Because I too will endeavor to do this. There is but one point that I am in the dark about; how I can show that I rejoice at your successes: whether I must clap my hands, or laugh, or what I must do.' $\ddagger$ Artabazus said, 'You must dance the Persian dance.' This caused a good deal of laughing.
(3) On this occasion, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cyrus is said to have asked the young man if he would accept of a kingdom in exchange for his horse? and the young man is said to have replied thus: 'I would not indeed accept a kingdom, but I would give it to lay a brave man under
an obligation to me.' Then Cyrus said: 'Come, ${ }^{\text {I }}$ will show you where you may throw blindfold, and not fail to hit a brave man.' 'By all means, then,' said Sacian, taking up a clod, 'show me where, for I will assuredly throw this clod ${ }^{\circ}$ there.' Then Cyrus showed him a place where a great many of his friends were ; and the man, shutting his eyes, threw his clod, and hit Pheraulas as he was riding by,-for Pheraulas happened to be carrying some orders from Cyrus,and when he was struck he did not even turn back, but went on the business that was ordered him. The Sacian then, looking up, asked whom he had hit. 'None, by Zeus!' said Cyrus, 'of those that are present.' 'But assuredly,' said the young man, 'it was none of those that are absent.' 'Yes, by Zeus!' said Cyrus, 'you hit that man who is riding hastily on there by the chariots.' 'And how came he not to turn back?' said he. Then Cyrus said: 'Why, in all probability, it is some madman.'

a $ย \nu \wedge \propto \delta \eta \eta_{0}$

Chap. 11. § 3. ( $\gamma$ ' with pronouns ; є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ í, ò ö $\omega$ s, \&c.)
170. $T \bar{\epsilon}$ is frequently attached to pronouns, and, from the emphasis they thus receive, the accent of є' $\gamma \omega$, $\epsilon^{\prime} \mu o o^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime} \mu$ '́, is moved back to the first syllable ( $\epsilon ้ \gamma \omega$ -
 $\gamma \epsilon$, and it would not be easy to find $\sigma o \hat{\gamma} \gamma \epsilon$ in old MSS. Klotz).
171. With relative pronouns $\gamma \in$ adds emphasis to the whole relative clause, it being one that confirms or completes a preceding statement: ôs $\gamma \in(o ̈ \sigma \tau \iota \varsigma \gamma \epsilon)$, quippe

 jubes).

## Exercise 49.

172. (1) Cyrus, on reading the letter, immediately commanded Orontas to be apprehended, and caused seven of the most considerable Persians about him to assemble in his tent; and, at the same time, gave orders to the Greek generals for bringing their heavyarmed men, and placing them round his tent with their arms in their hands. This they did, and brought with them about three thousand heavy-armed men. He also called Clearchus to the council, as being a man whom both he and the rest looked upon to be of the greatest dignity among the Greeks.
(2) The Mitylenæans ought all along to have received no more honor from us than all the rest, and ${ }^{\circ}$ then they would not have come to such a pitch of insolence; for, †not only in this instance but in all, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ it is the nature of man to despise those who court him, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and to respect those who do not stoop to him. But let them even now be punished as their crime deserves, and do not lay ${ }^{\circ}$ all the guilt upon the aristocracy, whilst you acquit the commons; for they all attacked us', seeing that they might now, if they had come over ( $p$ ) to us, have been in possession of their city again; but they believed $(p)$ the chance they ran with the aristocracy to be the safer, and ${ }^{\circ}$ so joined them in the revolt.

## Chap. 11. §4. ( $\gamma$ є́ with pronouns, continued.)

173. $\Gamma^{\prime}$ is used with the other relative pronouns in the same way as with ös, ö otis (172), and even (though very rarely) with the interrogative $\tau$ is; when the prin. cipal stress of the question rests on the pronoun.
 ноь фaivetaı (Pl. Rep. 1, 329, a).
b) tivos $\gamma$ ' $\dot{v} \pi^{\prime}$ 'ä $\lambda \lambda$ ou; 'from whom else, then, tell me?' (Eur. Hec. 774).

Exercise 50.
174. (1) I joined, as my accuser asserts, with Philip in singing pæans, when the walls of the Phocian cities were levelled with the ground. And by what proof can this charge be satisfactorily established? $\ddagger$ I was invited to his table, together with the other members of the embassy; and the invited guests, who dined together on that occasion with the embassies from the Greek states, were not fewer than two hundred persons. But, amongst all these persons, I, it seems, made myself remarkable by joining in the hymn, instead of remaining silent ( ${ }^{\circ}$ during the performance).-So Demosthenes asserts, though he was neither present himself, nor has called before you any one who was, to give his evidence. And to whom then did I make myself conspicuous, unless I forsooth acted as the leader does in a chorus? If then I was silent, you accuse me falsely; but if, our own country being uninjured, and no public calamity having fallen upon our citizens, I did' join the other guests in singing the pæan, by which the goddess was honored, and no slight put upon the Athenians, I acted the part of a religious, not of an impious man, and ought to be acquitted.

CHap. 11. § 5. ( $\gamma$ ' in exclamations.)
175. $\Gamma$ ' is very extensively used in appeals, and axclamations of every kind $(E \hat{\nu} \gamma \epsilon$.'O $O \rho \rho \hat{\omega} s \gamma \epsilon$. Ka-
 like, are of constant occurrence) ; for instance, in exclamatory commands or wishes: e. g. $\Sigma \Sigma^{\prime} \lambda \lambda a ́ \beta \epsilon \tau \in \gamma^{\prime} \gamma^{\prime}$ aủ

 Aul. 70).
176. In this way $\gamma^{\prime}$ is sometimes found appended immediately to the name of a deity in an oath that is equivalent to a vehement form of assertion.

##  <br> (Aristoph. Av. 11.)

(This use has been denied by some critics. Even Porson altered Aristoph. Eccles. 748, to get rid of Mà $\tau \delta \nu \nu$ חo $\sigma \epsilon \delta \hat{\omega} \gamma^{\prime}-$.) See Klotz, Devar. p. 282.
177. In questions (a) $\gamma^{\prime}$ is naturally often used to add emphasis
入oús $\gamma \in \delta v \nu \eta$ Atén ; Xen. Mem. 3, 6, 14); but (b) its use immediately after the interrogative word is not common, though instances are found:

(See tis $\gamma \in$ above, 173, b.)

## Exercise 51.

178. (1) 'Don't you know,' said Adeimantus, 'that in the evening there will be a torch-race on horseback in honor of the goddess?' 'On horseback?' said I; 'that is something new! Are they to have torches, and hand them on one to another, contending on horseback? or how do you mean?' 'Just so,' replied Polemarchus.
(2) For the reason, O Athenians, why the law is drawn up in these terms, 'that the Senate may not ask for the present if it has not built ( $p$ ) the triremes' is this: that it might not be left to the people to be persuaded or deceived at their pleasure. For he who drew up ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the law was of opinion, that he ought not to leave this matter in the power of the orators, but that the regulation, which was at once just and expedient for the interest of the people, should be prescribed by law. 'Have you built no triremes? then don't ask for the reward:' now where the law forbids ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the one party) to ask, must it not emphatically forbid ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the other) to bestow?'
[^16]
## CHap. 11. § 6. ( $\gamma$ ' with other particles.- $\gamma \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \not \eta^{\prime} \nu$.)

179. In connection with other particles, when $\gamma^{\prime}$ stands first, it attaches itself in meaning to a preceding word, and the following particle retains its usual signification.
180. 'The only difference between $\gamma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ and the simple $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ is, that by the use of $\sim \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ the preceding word is brought prominently forward by a particular emphasis. In this case the Romans always use vero alone' (Hartung, vol. ii. 383).



 $\tau i$ àv $\dot{\eta} \mu i ̂ \nu$ ó $\phi \in \lambda o s$ 解 ; (Xen. Mem. 1, 45.)

Ut vero colloqui cum Orpheo, Mrusceo, . . . liceat, quanti tandem astimatis? Cic. Tusc. 1, 41 (Hartung).

Of course the observation just quoted from Hartung must be understood with the limitation, that the $\mathcal{\gamma}^{\prime}$, if preceded by $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \varepsilon \prime$, dcc., will have its usual force of adding emphasis to the whole clause which the $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon$ ', dc., introduces (196).
181. a) The particles $\gamma \grave{\text { è }} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu(=$ quidem certe) have also an adversative force ( $=$ certe vero; tamen), however, nevertheless, \&c. This arises from the strong affirmation, which the $\gamma$ ' restricts to the statement made, to the virtual exclusion of some other supposition, or of all other conceivable suppositions.

 тө́татор (Xen. Cyr. 6, 1, 7).
b) $\Gamma^{\prime} \xi \mu \eta \eta^{\prime} \nu$ particulis tribuit Devarius vim quandam adversativam, idque rectissume fecit, si earum usum spectamus. Quamquam per se neutra particula adversativam vim habet. Гé ening particula id agit etiam in his locis, ut ea res, ad quam referenda est ipsa, cum restrictione efferatur, $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ autem rem ita profecto dicit esse. Sed ut sæpe in aliis vocabulis hoc factum est, sic etiam in particula $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ per adfirmationem nata est quædam oppositionis significatio, ut in hac quidem parte plane respondeat Latinæ particulæ vero, quæ ipsa quoque ex adfirmativa vi nacta est adversativam potestatem. Itaque quum particulæ $\gamma^{\grave{\epsilon}} \mu^{\prime} \dot{\nu} \nu$ primum significent aliud nihil nisi: quidem certe, tamen Latine recte verti pos-
sunt: certe vero, ut vertit G. Hermannus ad Vigerum p. 828, ed. tert.. usus hoc Euripidis versu de Electra v. 754.

quod reddas: E' longinquo enim accidit vox, clara quidem certe, aut etiam, ut fecit Hermannus: at vero clara. Quamquam in particulis at vero adversativa vis magis exstare videtur quam in Grecis particulis: $\gamma^{\prime} \mu \dot{\mu} \nu$ (Klotz).
182. The particular or clause introduced by $\gamma^{\grave{\xi}} \mu \hat{\eta}^{\prime} \nu$ is often the last of a series, and one the truth of which is undeniable, whatever may be thought of the preceding statements. Here the Romans use jam vero, as well as vero only: in English we may introduce the particular by 'and as to --.' Thus, in the first example (180), $\partial \sigma \mu \omega \bar{\omega} \nu \bar{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\eta} \nu . .$. , we may translate it: 'and as to smells, of what advantage would they have been to us, if no noses had been given to us?'

## Exercise 52.

183. (1) Upon that occasion, you heard, on one side, Aristarchus ordering you to go to the Chersonēsus, and, on the other, Seuthes proposing terms to engage you in his service, when all of you declared you would go with Seuthes, and all gave your votes for it. Say, then, if I committed any crime in carrying you whither you all resolved to go. If, however, when Seuthes began to break his promise concerning your pay, I then commended him, you would certainly have reason both to accuse and hate me; but if I, who was before his greatest friend, am now his greatest enemy, how can you any longer with justice blame me, who have given you the preference to Seuthes, for those very things about which I quarrel with him?
(2) His first expedition was against the Pisidians and Mysians, which he commanded in person; and those whom he observed forward to expose themselves, he appointed governors over the conquered countries, and distinguished them by other presents; so that brave men were looked upon as most fortunate, and cowards as deserving to be their slaves; for which reason, great numbers presented themselves to danger, where they expected Cyrus would take notice of them. And as for justice, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ if he observed any man anxious to
get a high character for that ${ }^{\circ}$ virtue, his chief care was, that such a one should enjoy a greater affluence, than those who aimed at raising their fortunes by un just means.



## Chap. 11. § 7. ( $\gamma$ è $\mu$ ย́̀ $\tau o \iota$.

184. a) $\Gamma$ è $\mu$ '́v $\nu o l$, certainly however; however (the preceding word being rendered emphatic) is closely connected in meaning with $\gamma \grave{\epsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$.

Particulæ $\gamma^{\grave{\epsilon}} \mu^{\prime} \epsilon$ ' $\nu \tau o \iota$ continent adfirmativam quandam restrictionem non sine majore quadam oppositione, quasi Latine dicas certe tamen, $t a$ men vero (Klotz).
 transitions to a final and undeniable assertion; e. g. $\omega \sigma \tau^{\prime}$ 它 $\tau \hat{y}$ Kúpou




## Exercise 53.

185. Then Artabazus, he who had said heretofore that he was his relation, rose up after him and spoke: 'You have done very well, Cyrus,' said he, 'in beginning this discourse; for while you were yet very young, I set out with a desire to be your friend; but, observing that you were not at all in want of me, I was afraid to approach you. But when you afterwards requested even me to exert myself in diligently delivering Cyaxares' orders to the Medes, I thought to myself, that if I assisted you in this matter with zeal, I should become your intimate friend, and have the privilege of conversing with you as long as I pleased. Well, this commission was so effectually done, that I had your commendation. After this the Hyrcanians first became our friends, and this while we were in great distress for allies; so that, in our transport, we
almost carried them about with us in our arms. Af. ter this, when the enemy's camp was taken, you had not, I believe, any leisure for me, and I excused you: after this Gobryas became our friend, and I was rejoiced at it: then Gadatas too, and it was now become a difficult matter to get any share in you. When, however, the Sacians and Cadusians became your allies and friends, it was probably very fit for you to cultivate them and pay them attentions, for they did so to you. But when we came back again to the place from whence we set out, then seeing you taken up with your horses, your chariots, and your engines, I thought that, when you were at leisure from all this, then you would have leisure for me: when, however, the terrible news arrived, that all mankind were assembling against us, I felt that this was indeed a most important crisis: but, if things succeeded well here, I thought myself sure that you and I should then plentifully enjoy each other's company and converse.

186. Tè $\delta \eta$.--Here the particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ simply adds a strong asseveration to the word or clause restricted (and so emphasized) by $\gamma \epsilon$.
 $\dot{\eta} \gamma \epsilon \hat{\imath} ;-\Lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \tau a i ́ \gamma \in \delta \dot{\eta}$, dicitur quidem certe (Kl.).
187. Hermannus (Vig. p. 828, ed. tert.) recte ait, interesse hoc inter $\gamma \grave{\epsilon}$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$ et $\gamma \in ́ \tau o l$, quod $\delta \dot{\eta}$ sententiam per $\gamma^{\prime}$ restrictan simpliciter confirmet, тoí autem eam sententiam indicet oppositam esse præcedentibus quodam modo.-Nunc hoc teneamus $\gamma \epsilon$ ' restringere aliquam rem, $\delta \dot{\eta}$ autem cam quasi planam atque apertam, de qua jam dubitari nullo modo possit, ponere. Latine dicas quidem aperte, quidem profocto, quidem certe, quamquam hoc etiam pro $\gamma^{\prime}$ єo८ alio quodam modo accipi potest. Differt enim aliquid, qua mente dicas quidem certe. Sed $\gamma \mathfrak{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$, ut indicavimus, est simplex illud quidem certe, sine ulla oppositionis significatione (Kl.). -The two particles are joined together in the Latin quir-dem (Hartung).
188. These particles occur most frequently, when the emphasis and asseveration belong especially to a single word.
'Aєí $\gamma \in \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \eta \lambda \grave{\eta} s$ ò̀ кai Spáбovs $\pi \lambda e ́ \omega s$, always, always art thou pitiless, \&c. (Asch. Prom. 42.)
189. Tè $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi$ ou has nearly the same force as $\gamma \grave{\text { è }} \delta \dot{\eta} ;$ it does not, however, directly assert the truth of the statement, but assumes it with the kind of appeal to the person addressed that belongs to $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v(=$ opinor $)$. A word or words may be inserted between $\gamma \epsilon$ and反ŋ́rou.

 койдть סотє́oข סікюข (Pl. Euthyphr. 8, e).

## Exercise 54.

190. (1) But with regard to your trouble in the war, lest you should fear that it may prove great, and we may still be none the more successful, let those arguments suffice you, with which on many other occasions I have proved the error of your suspicions respecting it. At the same time, I will also lay before you the following advantage, which yourselves do not appear ever yet to have thought of as belonging to you, respecting the greatness of your empire, and which I never urged in my former speeches; nor would I even now, as it has rather too boastful an air, if I did not see you unreasonably cast down. You think then that you only bear rule over your own subject allies; but I declare to you, that of the two parts of the world open for man's use, the land and the sea, of the whole of the one you are most absolute masters, both as far as you avail yourselves of it now, and if you should wish to do so still further; and there is no power, neither the king nor any nation besides at the present day, that can prevent your sailing [where you please] with your present naval resources.
(2) About the same part of the summer, when Brasidas, being on his march with one thousand seven hundred, heavy-armed, to the Thrace-ward countries, had come to Heraclea in Trachinia; and when, on his sending before him a messenger to his partisans in Pharsalus, and requesting them to conduct himself and his army through the country, there came to Melitia, in Achaia, Panærus, Dorus, Hippolochidas, Torylaus, and Strophacus, who was proxenus to the Chalcidians; upon that he proceeded on his march, being conducted both by other Thessalians, and especially by Niconidas of Larissa, who was a friend of Perdiccas. For, on other grounds, it was not easy to pass through Thessaly without an escort, and with an armed force, especially to pass through a neighbor's country without having obtained his consent, was regarded with suspicion by all the Greeks alike.

## 

191. The particles $\gamma$ '́ $\tau \circ$ ( $=$ quidem certe; certe tamen) carry with them the notion, that the assertion made is opposed to what preceded. Гè ó $\dot{\eta}$ simply affirm; but $\gamma \in$ тoc also imply, that the assertion made is the least that can be insisted on. Whatever else may be true or false, this at least, this at all events, cannot be denied. (See above on $\gamma \grave{~} \delta \dot{\eta}, 186,187$. .)

 (Aristoph. Vesp. 933.)
(quod rectissime Hermannus ita vertit: adnuit quidem certe, ut hoc hanc fere in se contineret ratiocinationem: etsi non respondet, ita sibi quoque videri, certe tamen adnuit.) $K l$.


fortasse Erinys est ex tragoedia: tuetur quidem certe insanum quiddam et tragicum ${ }^{1}$ (K1.). - Quidquid illud est, hoc manifesto saltem verum est, in vultu aliquid inesse furiale et tragicum (Hoogeveen).
192. Sometimes $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is added to strengthen the particles $\gamma^{\prime}$ тoו: after they have excluded the notion of all opposition, $\delta \dot{\eta}$ adds a positive assertion.


(Soph. ©Ed. R. v. 1164.)
193. The particles $\gamma^{\prime} \tau \sigma$ often occur in oppositions

 An. 2, 5, 19: $\dot{\eta} \tau \tau \omega \mu \in \uparrow a$, not necessary. Kr.).

## Exercise 55.

194. (1) 'Well, then,' said Simonides, 'if you are in a worse condition, with regard to the objects of sight, yet, at all events, you have greatly the advantage from the sense of hearing; since you are never without an abundant supply of that most delightful of all sounds, the hearing of your own praises. For all those who approach you applaud every thing you say, and every thing you do. And, on the other hand, you are never exposed to what is most painful, the hearing yourself censured or reproached; for no one ventures to rebuke a king to his face.'
(2) 'May we not then properly call this man's intellectual power, so far as he really knows, knowledge; but that of the other, opinion?' 'Certainly.'
${ }^{1}$ Si dixisset poeta:

ista oppositionis vis nulla esset, et hoc tantum modo significaretur, quod per sese satis planum atque apertum esset (Kl.).
'But now, if the person who we say only opines things, and does not know them, becomes indignant, and raises a dispute, alleging that our position is not true, shall we have any method of soothing and gently persuading him, and yet at the same time concealing that he is not in a sound state?' 'At all events, we undoubtedly ought ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to have some such way),' replied he.

## Chap. 11. § 10. ( $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \not \gamma \epsilon$. $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$.)

195. With relative, temporal, and conditional particles, $\gamma$ é introduces a thought that confirms or completes a preceding one; e. g. by adding the necessary limitation or restriction.

Si quando particula $\gamma^{\prime}$ adjuncta est ad particulas relativas, quales
 modo explicanda res est, uti in pronominibus relativis. Spectat enim ista vis, quæ est in particula $\gamma^{\epsilon}$, ad omnem condicionem, quæ efficitur per istas particulas, ut etiam in his locis Latine commode reddi possit per particulam quidem ( $K l$.).
196. $E^{\prime \prime} \gamma \in(=$ si quidem, if at least, if that is ( $\epsilon i \ldots$ $\gamma \in$ when the $\gamma \epsilon$ ' distinguishes the interposed word, $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$ when its influence extends to the whole clause); $\epsilon \pi \epsilon i \gamma \epsilon$ (quando quidem, quoniam quidem) ; to which the remark just made also applies, as also to other combinations.
 vera narras), nobody, if that is (or, if at least) what you say is true.
197. When a condition is introduced by $\epsilon_{l}^{\prime \prime} \gamma \epsilon$, it is not necessarily implied that the condition really exists ; it may be even an improbable or impossible one, since all that is asserted is, that if that condition really exists, then assuredly the consequence is also true.


 5*

7, 6, 22 (=nam si qua est ab amicis cautio, omnem novr a nobis sedulo adhibitam esse, ne hinc \&c. [intellige: sed non est ulla ab amicis cavendi ratio, ut opinari videmini]. Kr.

## Exercise 56.

198. (1) On what reasonable argument, then, could we ourselves shrink from it; or on what plea addressed to our allies there could we refuse to succor them? For, since we have entered into league with them, we ought to assist them, and not to object, that they too have not assisted us. For we united them with us, not that they might come here to help us in their turn, but that, by annoying our enemies there, they might prevent their coming here to attack us. And it is in this way that empire has been won, both by us and by all others who have enjoyed it; I mean, by readily taking part with those barbarians or Greeks who from time to time called them to their aid; since, if all should remain quiet, or ${ }^{\text {a }}$ nicely choose whom they ought to assist; we should make but slight additions to it, but should rather run a risk of losing even what it now is.
(2) Philip, king of the Macedonians, to the senate and people of Athens, health!-I have received three of your citizens in quality of ambassadors, who have conferred with me about the dismission of the ships commanded by Leodamas. I cannot but consider it as an extraordinary instance of weakness, if ${ }^{\prime}$ you imagine I can possibly believe, that these ships were destined to import corn from the Hellespont for Lemnos; and that they were not really sent to the relief of the Selymbrians, now besieged by me, and who are by no means included in the treaty of pacification, by which we stand mutually engaged.
(3) Fellow-soldiers! it is certain that the relations between us and Cyrus have undergone a corresponding change on both sides; for neither are we any longer his soldiers, since we refuse to follow him, neither does
he any longer give us pay. I know he thinks himself unjustly treated by us; so that, when he sends for me, I refuse to go to him, chiefly through shame, because I am conscious to myself of having deceived him in every thing; in the next place, through fear, lest he should cause me to be apprehended and punished for the wrongs he thinks I have done him. I am therefore of opinion that this is no time for us to sleep, or to neglect the care of ourselves, but to consult what is to be done. If we stay, we are to consider by what means we may stay with the greatest security; and if we resolve to go away, how we may go with the greatest safety, and supply ourselves with provisions; for without these, neither a commander or a private man can be of any use.
${ }^{a}$ Or, 'make distinctions of race in choosing', \&c.; according to the


## Chap. 11. § 11. ( $\delta$ é $\gamma$ є.)

199. a) When $\delta \epsilon \in \notin$ occur together, the $\delta \epsilon$ is merely placed there as requiring another word to rest upon: the $\gamma^{\prime}$ still belongs to that preceding word, with the obvious exception, that if that word is the article, the $\gamma^{\prime}$ belongs to the following substantive.
200. b) These particles are sometimes employed (like каí- $\gamma^{\prime}, 203$ ) to add emphasis to the last member of a series in enumerations.

 (Xen. Cyr. 7, 5, 30.)
201. c) So in other instances, when a statement is to be strongly affirmed, with opposition ( $K l$.) ; hence sometimes $=$ (but) on the contrary, (but) on the other hand.
 $\nu \omega \rho$ бокє $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (Xen. An. 4, 5, 8.)

## Exercise 57.

202. (1) Upon this Xenophon said, 'Of this' a we are all aware, that the king and Tissaphernes have caused as many of us as they could to be apprehended; and it is plain they design, by the same treacherous means, if they can, to destroy the rest. We' therefore ought, in my opinion, to leave nothing undone, that we may not fall under their power, but rather, if possible, subject them to ours.
(2) I hear there are Rhodians in our army, the greatest part of whom, they say, understand the use of the sling, and that their slings carry twice as far as those of the Persians, who, from throwing large stones, cannot injure their enemy at a great distance ; whereas the Rhodians', besides stones, make use of leaden balls. If, therefore, we inquire who have slings, and pay them for them, and also give money to those who are willing to make others, granting at the same time some other immunity to those who voluntarily enlist among the slingers, possibly some will offer themselves, who may do us good service.
(3) In little cities, the same people make both the frame of a couch, a door, a plough, and a table; and frequently the same person is a builder too, and very well satisfied he is if he meet with customers enough to maintain him. It is impossible therefore for a man that makes a great many different things to do them all well. But in great cities, because there are multitudes that want every particular thing, one art alone is sufficient for the maintenance of every one ; and frequently not an entire one neither, but one man makes shoes for men, another for women. Sometimes it happens that one gets a maintenance by sewing shoes together, another by cutting them out; one by cutting out clothes only, and another, without doing any of these things, is maintained by fitting together the pieces so cut out. He therefore that deals in a busi-
ness, that lies within a little compass, must of necessity do it the best.
> ${ }^{\text {a }} \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha \mu \hat{c} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$.

## Chap. 11. § 12. (каi-- $\boldsymbol{\gamma}^{\prime}$.)

203. Kai- $\gamma^{\prime}$ ( $=$ et certe ; etiam certe; et adeo. Kr.) are used, as Devarius expresses it, to superadd a notion to what preceded; i. e. the notions are connected by the copulative $k a i^{\prime}$, and the $\gamma^{\prime}$ adds emphasis to its own notion; implying that, whatever may be said of the rest, this at least is certain. Hence каi- $\gamma^{\prime}$ are sometimes virtually equivalent to non modo-verum etiam.

 $\pi o ́ v \tau \omega \nu . \quad$ (Aristoph. Equit. 296.)
204. The particles $\kappa a \grave{-}-\gamma^{\prime}$ often occur (like $\delta \in ́ \quad \gamma \epsilon$, 200) in connection with the last member of an enumeration; sometimes before one, which, though not the last, is to have the hearer's attention particularly called to it.

 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (Plat. Phced. 58.)
205. These particles also frequently occur in answers or other replies which add more than what was contained in the question, or other address. Neopt.
 670).

## Exercise 58.

206. (1) I indeed am of opinion that all men ought to feel grateful to those from whom they receive kindness. But you, before I did you any service, gave me a favorable reception by your looks, your words, and
your presents, and were never satisfied with promising what you would do for me. But now, that you have accomplished what you desired, and are become as considerable as I could make you, you have the heart to allow me to be thus disgraced amongst the soldiers. But I am confident, time will inform you that you ought to pay them what you promised, and also that $\dagger$ your own feeling ${ }^{\text {a }}$ will not endure to behold those who volunteered their services to you, now loading you with reproaches. I have, therefore, only this favor to ask of you, that when you pay it, you will study to leave me in the same credit with the army, in which you found me.
(2) 'Does a man who practises gymnastic exercises, and applies himself diligently to them, pay attention to the praise and censure and opinion of every one, or of that one man only who happens to be a physician, or teacher of the exercises?' 'Of that one only.' 'He ought therefore to fear the censures, and covet the praises of that one, and not ${ }^{\text {b }}$ those of the multitude.' 'Clearly.' 'He ought therefore so to practise and exercise himself, aye, and so to eat and drink, as seems fitting to the one who presides and knows, rather than to all others together.'

## Chap. 12. § 1. $\Delta \epsilon \in(\mu \grave{e} \nu-\delta$ '́).

207. a) The particle $\delta$ é distinguishes its notion from a preceding one, but without excluding that notion, or contradicting it as a direct opposition.
b) The other, or conceded, notion, is usually expressed by the particle $\mu$ év.
c) Particulæ $\mu \in ́ \nu-\delta \epsilon ́ ~ c u m ~ a l i q u a ̂ ~ d i v e r s i t a t i s ~ n o t i o n e ~ c o p u l a n t ~(B u t t-~ . ~$ nann).
d) M $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu$ is weakened from $\mu \hat{\eta} \nu$, vero, and probably $\delta \epsilon$ from $\delta \frac{1}{\prime}$;
though Hartung refers $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ to $\mu \in \hat{i} s=$ єis ( $\left.\mu^{\prime} \alpha,{ }^{\prime \prime} \nu\right)$, so that $\mu \epsilon \prime \nu$ is its neuter; and $\delta$ ' to $\delta$ ís = $\delta$ úo, so that they would mean firstly-secondly.
208. In the connection of sentences, $\delta \varepsilon$ is used adverbially, as a particle of transition, to introduce any continuation of a discourse, that does not require some other more definite adverb (as the conclusive oîv, therefore; the causal yáp, for, \&c.). Here we generally either use and, or continue the discourse without a particle (Madvig).

This and the common connection of disjunctive clauses by $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ - $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ are so common, that we shall give no separate examples of these usages; but in the following Exercises on this chapter, we shall print in italics 'and,' and other Euglish particles when they are to be translated by $\delta \epsilon^{\circ}$.

## On the position of $\mu \in ̀ \nu-\delta$ É.

209. a) The $\mu \in ́ \nu-\delta$ - ${ }^{\prime}$ are commonly placed after the words which are opposed to each other; yet they are often to be referred to the predicate or to the whole



210. b) When a substantive or adjective is connected.with the article or a preposition, $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu$ and $\delta^{\prime}$ are very often placed between the article and the preposition, and between the preposition and substantive or
 but uniformity in this respect is by no means always

 Oủסè $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu \pi \alpha \rho o \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \nu \epsilon i \rho \eta ́ \nu \eta \nu$, oủ $\delta$ è $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ aưtovouiav $\tau \grave{\eta} \nu$ év

 ض̀ $\mu \in \tau \in \dot{\rho} \rho a \nu($ Isocr.).
211. Mév- $\delta$ é in anaphora.]-Anaphora is the emphatic repetition of the same word in two consecutive clauses. (It may still be considered an anaphora when, instead of the same word, an equivalent one is emphat-
ically used.) In the case of anaphora, the repeated word generally has $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ the first time it occurs, $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ the second. Here we should use 'and;' and very often without anaphora.
 roîs à ya9oîs, I keep company with the gods and with good men (Xen.).

## Exercise 59.

212. (1) Do you imagine that the dangers which then filled the city with alarm, were of a trifling and ordinary character? - ( ${ }^{\circ}$ with alarm), in the midst of which this Leōcrates ran away from the city himself, carried with him what money he had, sent for the household gods of his fathers, and advanced so far in this betrayal of his country, that, as far as his will was concerned, the temples were deserted, the watch-posts on our walls deserted; our city and our country abandoned. And yet at that time, gentlemen, who would not have pitied the city; not merely what citizen ( ${ }^{0}$ would not), but even what foreigner who had ever in former days resided ( ${ }^{\circ}$ at Athens)? --and who was such a hater of the people, such a hater of Athens, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that he could bear to see himself without a post assigned him, when the defeat and the calamity that had befallen the people ${ }^{\text {b }}$ was announced; and the city was in a state of universal excitement at the news, and ${ }^{\circ}$ all the hopes of safety for the people were placed in those who were above their fiftieth year?
(2) As therefore I am of opinion that such misunderstandings will be most easily removed by conferences, I am come with an intention of convincing you that you have no reason to distrust us; for to mention the first point, which is of the greatest moment, our oaths, to which we have called the gods to witness, forbid us to be enemies; and whoever is conscious to himself of having neglected these, that man I shall never consider a happy one. For, in the war that is
waged against the gods, I know no swiftness so great that it could enable him to escape; no darkness so thick that he could fly to it ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for concealment); $\dagger$ no place so strong that he could retire to it ${ }^{\text {c }}$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for defence) : for all things, in all places, are subject to the gods, and every where they are equally lords of all. Now, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ this is my opinion concerning both our oaths, and the gods, whom, by our agreement, we have made the depositaries of our friendship. But, as to human advantages, I look upon you to be the greatest we can promise ourselves at this juncture ; for while we are with you, every road is pervious, every river passable, and we are sure to know no want; but without you, our whole ${ }^{\circ}$ homeward journey becomes obscure (for we are utterly unacquainted with it), every river impassable, every multitude terrible, and solitude the most terrible of all; for that is attended with the want of every thing.


 munitum locum) $\dot{\pi} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau a i \eta$. Cf. Kruiger, who compares for the ö $\pi \omega s=$


${ }^{\mathrm{d}} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, which conclude a subject, and prepare for a transition (with $\delta \epsilon$ ).

Chap. 12. § 2. ( $\mu$ è $\nu-\mu e ́ v — ~ \delta e ̀ ̀-~ \delta e ́)$.
213. When there is a double opposition, expressed in two relative clauses, each with its accompanying
 $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ and $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$ are often, either both or one of them, doubled; the $\mu \epsilon ้ \nu$ being used with the first relative and its demonstrative, the $\delta \dot{6}$ with the second pair.
214. This parallelism is, however, but seldom found so regularly carried out as in the following example:







 $\tau a ́ \xi \iota \nu(P l$.$) :$

 $\delta^{\prime}$ ầ $\sigma o i ́(P l$.$) .$
215. In the following example the $\delta \in$ is only once used : ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{O} \nu \mu \dot{e} \nu \tau \dot{\delta} \tau \epsilon$

 5, 30).

## Excrcise 60.

216. And, besides these, he maintains garrisons in the citadels ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of his towns); and, although the gar-rison-troops receive their pay and rations from the officer who is appointed for this purpose, the king holds a review every year of the troops in his pay, and all of whose duty it is to appear under arms, appointing them all to march to the place of rendezvous, except the troops in the citadels at the place $\dagger$ for which ${ }^{\text {a }}$ the review is fixed. And the king himself reviews those who are in the neighborhood of his own residence, and sends persons who are in his confidence to inspect those who dwell at a distance ; and all those commanders of garrisons, chiliarchs, and satraps, who are found to have their full numbers, and produce them at the review, supplied with good horses and arms: these ${ }^{\text {b }}$ governors he both distinguishes by honors ( ${ }^{\circ}$ conferred upon them), and enriches by presents of great magnitude; whereas ${ }^{\text {b }}$ whatever governors are discovered to be either neglecting their garrisons, or making money by unjust means, these he punishes severely, and, deposing them from their governments, appoints other commissioners in their stead.

## Chap. 12. § 3. ( $\delta \dot{\epsilon}=$ autem. $)$

217. A second explanatory or completing designation is usually appended to the first by $\delta_{\epsilon}(=$ autem $)$.
 'meus autem frater;' but, in English, 'and my brother.'
218. $\Delta \epsilon$ ' ( $=$ autem) is also very frequently used in explanatory (especially parenthetical) remarks, where we either use 'now,' or, more commonly, no conjunction.


 (Xen. Cyr. 1, 2.)

Exercise 61.
219. (1) Dicæŏgĕnes, having sailed as captain of the Paralus, was slain in a sea-fight at Cnidus; and, as he left no children, Proxenus, the father of Dicæogenes ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the defendant), produced a will to our parents, which they believed to be a true one, and by virtue of it they divided the inheritance. $\ddagger$ Dicæogenes the defendant was by it adopted by the deceased Dicrogenes, the son of Mĕnĕxĕnus and our uncle, and appointed heir to a third part of his property; and each of the daughters of Menexenus had a decree for her share of the residue: facts, for which I call before you as witnesses the persons who were present at the time.
(2) Consider [then] on what ground the parties who corne before you respectively rest their claims. These men rely on a will which our uncle, who imputed no blame to us, made in resentment against one of our relations, but virtually cancelled before his death, having sent Posidippus to the magistrate (for the purpose of solemnly revoking it); but we, who were his nearest kinsmen, and most intimately connected with him, derive a clear title, both from the laws which
have established our right of succession on the score of relationship, and from Cleōnymus himself, whose intention was founded on the friendship subsisting between us; †not to urge that ${ }^{\text {a }}$ his father and our grandfather, Polyarchus, had appointed us to succeed him, if he should die without children.


СНАР. 12. § 4. (каi- $\delta e ́$.
220. $K a i-\delta \dot{\epsilon}=$ et vero etiam: atque etiam.

Since the Greeks used the same word ( $\kappa a i$ ) both for and and also, they had no means of expressing and also, but that of combining the synonymous $\delta \epsilon$ twith каí (Hartung).

This combination is common in Xenoph.; far less



 $\dot{a} \pi \epsilon \in \delta \epsilon \iota \xi \in \nu$ (Xen.).

A $\mu$ év sometimes precedes: $\Pi o \lambda \lambda a \chi o \hat{v} \mu$ è $\nu$ ơ̂v кaì
 $7,1,30)$.

## Exercise 62.

221. (1) At this time, Xenophon, observing the numerous Hoplites of the Greeks, observing their numerous targeteers, and bowmen, and slingers, and even of horse, who now from practice were become right good troops, and ${ }^{\circ}$ considering that they were in Pontus, where so large a force could not have been assembled for a trifling sum, thought it an admirable plan to procure for the Greeks a territory and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ increase of) power, by founding ${ }^{\circ}$ there a city.
(2) Agasias the Stymphālian, and Aristōnymus the Methydrian, who were also captains of the rear-guard,
and others also, stood apart from the trees, for it was not safe for more than one company to be posted amongst them. †Then it was that ${ }^{\text {Callimachus de- }}$ vised (pres. hist.) a stratagem: he advanced two or three steps from the tree under which he was standing; and whenever the stones were thrown, he nimbly retreated, and at each advance ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of this kind) more than ten wagon-loads of stones were consumed.
(3) Cyrus then constituted different officers to take care of different affairs. He had his receivers of the revenues, his paymasters, overseers of his works, keepers of his treasures, and officers to provide things that were proper for his table. Nay, he even appointed as masters of his horse and of his dogs such as he thought would bring these animals into the best condition for his use. But, as to those whom he thought fit to have as joint guardians of his power and grandeur, he himself took care to have them the best; he did not give this in charge to others, but thought it his own business.


## Chap. 12. § 5. ( $\delta$ é in apodosi.)

222. In the old Epic language, $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (allied to $\delta \eta^{\prime}$ ) stands with a certain emphasis in the apodosis after relative clauses and conjunctions. In Attic prose this is rare, and is only found where the apodosis, after conjunctions or a relative adverb of comparison ( $\omega \varsigma, \omega \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ), is denoted prominently by a demonstrative word [this is the most common case] or personal pronoun, which indicates an opposition to some other object; and, in the same way, after a participial construction, which contains an opposition to the principal sentence. $M$.



тє $\mu \hat{\omega} \tau a i ̂ s ~ \mu о \nu a \rho \chi i a \iota s ~ o ̋ \tau \iota ~ \delta e ́ o \nu ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ \mu о \nu a ́ \rho \chi o v s ~ \tau \grave{\nu \nu ~ ф \rho o ́ v \eta . ~}$


 Prot. 313, A.).

## Exercise 63.

223. (1) $\dagger$ As to my own particular (for ${ }^{\text {a }}$ I hear Dexippus tells Cleander, that Agasias had never done this if I had not given him orders), for my part, I say, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ I am ready to clear both you and Agasias of this accusation, if he will say that I was the author of any of these things, and to condemn myself, if I began throwing stones or any other violence, to the last of punishments, and will submit to it. My advice also is, that if Cleander should accuse any other person, he ought to surrender himself to him to be tried; by this means you will be free from censure. As things now stand, it will be hard if we, who expect to meet with applause and honor in Greece, should, instead of that, not even be in the same condition with the rest of our countrymen, but be excluded from the Greek cities.
(2) As to what you say, that we made our way by force to our present quarters, we called upon the inhabitants to receive our sick under their roofs; but, when they did not open their gates, then we did indeed march in where the place itself of its own accord offered us admission; and, though we have done no violence in any respect, our sick are ( ${ }^{\circ}$ we allow) quartered in the houses, yet at their own charges; and we place guards at the gates that our sick may not be at the mercy of your Harmost, but in our own power, to convey them wherever we please. And the rest of us live as you see in the open air, and continue in our ranks, prepared to reward kindness by kindness, and to defend ourselves against ill treatment. And, as to what you threaten ( ${ }^{\circ}$ us with), that, if you ${ }^{\circ}$ once resolve to $d \theta$ so, you will make an alliance with Corylas and the Paph-
lagonians against us, $\ddagger$ we then, if it must be so, will fight even against you both together-for before now we have fought with others many times as numerous as you-and, if we think well to do so, will also make the Paphlagonian our friend; for we hear that he is actually longing for your city and your coasts: we will endeavor, therefore, to make him our friend by assisting him to obtain what he desires.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }^{\mathrm{b}} \text { n } \hat{u} \nu \text { resumptive, though o } \begin{array}{c}
\nu \\
\nu \\
\text { had preceded. }
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

Снар. 12. § 6. ( $\mu$ è $\nu$ - $\delta$ ' resolved by whereas or whilst.)
224. The Greeks often express contrasted notions by clauses co-ordinately connected by $u \in ́ v-\delta \epsilon ́$, where we should rather point out the contrast more sharply, by connecting a subordinate clause introduced by whereas, whilst (or, sometimes, although), with a principal one.

Such clauses either contain a question whether the two suppositions can stand together, or depend on a negative that precedes them both, or are stated in a clause (with $\epsilon \iota, \dot{\omega} s$, ö $\tau \iota, \& c$., or in the acc. c. infin.) that implies the absurdity or inconsistency of supposing both the notions to be true. $M$.


 Legg. 8, 840.)





 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \phi \lambda_{\epsilon}{ }^{\prime} a s ;(D e m$.

## Exercise 64.

225. (1) † It was owing to these things, ${ }^{a}$ to the great injury which Decelea inflicted on them, and the other expenses which fell heavily ${ }^{\text {b }}$ upon them, that their pecuniary resources began to fail them; and it was at this time that they imposed upon their subjects the tax of the twentieth of all sea-borne commodities instead of the tribute, thinking that by this regulation they should raise a larger amount of money. For, the longer the war continued, the more were their revenues injured, whilst their expenses were not on the same scale as before, but much greater.
(2) When many dreadful events were taking place in the city, and all the citizens had suffered the most distressing misfortunes, a man would have especially grieved and wept for the afflictions of the state ${ }^{\circ}$ itself, when he saw that the people had voted the freedom of the slaves, the admission of the foreign residents to the rights of citizenship, and the restoration of the disgraced to their forfeited privileges,-( ${ }^{\circ}$ this people,) who before those days used to pride itself on being tof pure original race ${ }^{\text {c }}$ and free; and so complete was the change, that whereas the city was accustomed to fight for the freedom of the other Grecian states, she was in those days contented if she could combat without loss for her own preservation.
(3) On this ${ }^{\text {d }}$ they went to their tents, and in going discoursed among themselves how great a memory Cyrus had, and how he gave his orders, naming all the persons that he gave directions to. This Cyrus did out of his great care and exactness; for he thought it very strange that whilst mean artificers, each of them, know the names of the tools belonging to their art, and a physician knows the names of all the medicines and instruments that he uses, a general should be such a fool as not to know the names of the commanders
that are under him, and that he must necessarily use as his instruments.
${ }^{2} \delta_{i}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\alpha}$ кal $\tau o ́ \tau \epsilon$ (the discourse is continued from what went before).
b Use the adjective $\mu$ '́ $\gamma$ as (as predicate). c aủ ó $\chi \grave{\sim} \omega \nu$. d 'Ek roútou $\delta$ グ.

## Chap. 12. § 7. ( $\delta$ é in replies, questions, and personal addresses.)

226. a) $\Delta$ é may begin a reply that is opposed to what has been said by another.


(In Latin vero would be used with personal pronouns: ego vero; mihi vero, \&c. Kl.)
227. b) In questions, $\delta$ é is sometimes used as an adversative, the interrogator giving vivacity to his question by omitting the concessive member; but sometimes it has a copulative force, and continues a question which had been interrupted by the answer given to it. The same principle holds good in answers.

 púкшб८; (i. e. though you will not keep a man to protect you from your enemies, will you nevertheless,' \&c.? Xen.





In adversative questions introduced by $\delta$ é, we should use then, or but-then: sometimes in resumptive ones, well-then; and-then; sometimes merely and.
228. c) In addressing a person after his name, oú ( $\sigma o l^{\prime}, \& c$. .) usually follows with $\delta$ é: this form indicates emotion (Cf. Herm. ad El. 147). Mavé̀ $\alpha \epsilon$, $\sigma$ oi $\delta$ è тá $\delta \epsilon$ $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \omega \kappa \tau \lambda$.

## Exercise 65.

229. (1). Then Xenophon rose up, and spoke thus in behalf of the soldiers: 'We are come hither, O men of Sinōpe! well satisfied with having preserved our persons and our arms; for, to secure and carry off any booty along with us, and at the same time to fight with our enemies, was impossible. And now, since we arrived among the Greek cities-at Trebisond ${ }^{\text {b }}$-we paid for all the provisions we had, because they supplied us with a market; and, in return for the honors they did us, and the presents they gave to the army, we paid them all respect, abstaining from those barbarians who were their friends, and doing all the mischief we are able to their enemies, against whom they led us. Inquire of them what usage they have received from us; for the guides, whom that city has sent along with us through friendship, are here present.'
(2) To this he made no objection, but said that he had brought some things necessary for the funeral, and had given earnest for them; he therefore exacted a promise from me to pay what they cost, and desired me to give him back the earnest-money, engaging to bring me to those who had received it of him: soon after, indeed, he affected to insinuate that Cyron died insolvent, though I had not then spoken a word about his fortune. Now, if he had not known me to be the grandson of Cyron, he would never have made such an agreement with me, but would rather have addressed me thus:-' Why, who are you? What concern have you with the burial? I know you not. You shall not come within my doors.'
[^17]
## Chap. 12. § 8. (ס́é as resumptive.)

230. $\Delta \hat{\epsilon}$ is also used resumptively after a parenthesis, but usually with reference to a preceding $\delta \delta^{\prime}{ }^{1}$

Denique particula $\delta$ ह̀ sæpe etiam post parenthesin inferri solet, non solum ibi, ubi significatam jam antea per $\delta \epsilon \in$ particulam oppositionem rursus excipit, sed ibi etiam, ubi nihil ejusmodi erat significatum. In his enim locis nascitur interposita alia sententia oppositio quedam, qua quasi novum quiddam illud, quod jam antea indicatum erat, infertur, quoniam propter parenthesin neglectum videbatur. Apud Xenophontem quidem in Disciplina Cyri, ii. 5 , § 19, hæc leguntur: Taṽтa $\delta^{\prime}$ 'ả $\gamma \alpha \sigma \hat{\jmath} \epsilon$ is







Exercise 66.
231. But after the tyrants of the Athenians and those in the rest of Greece (which even at an earlier period was for a long time subject to tyrants), the most and last, excepting those in Sicily, had been deposed by the Lacedæmonians (for Lacedæmon, after the settlement of the Dorians, who now inhabit it, though torn by factions for the longest time of any country that we are acquainted with, yet from the earliest period enjoyed good laws, and was always free from tyrants; for it is about four hundred years, or a little more, to the end of this war, that the Lacedæmonians
${ }^{1}$ Difficilior illa quæstio, num etiam ibi, ubi $\delta \epsilon ́$ non præcesserit, finita parenthesi poni $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ possit. Qua de re ego ita adfirmandum esse puto, ut si quando pluribus interjectis verbis aliqua notio ita comparata est, ut aliquo modo antecedentibus opponi posset, eam per particulam $\delta \in$ é recte inferri existumem, veluti in illo loco Herodoti, quem hic posuerunt gram-



 illatum sit per particulam adversativam.
have been in possession of the same form of government ; and, being for this reason powerful, they settled matters in the other states also);-after, I say, the deposition of the tyrants in the rest of Greece, not many years subsequently the battle of Marathon was fought between the Medes and Athenians.

## Chap. 13. § 1. $\Delta \eta$.

232. $\Delta \dot{\eta}(=-d u m,-d e m,-j a m$, as in quoniam, quispiam, and as used with adjectives and adverbs ${ }^{1}$ ) is a particle which intimates an affirmation on the part of the speaker, that he knows the thing in question to exist at the moment actually present, or present to his mind. Hence it also denotes, in general, certain existence as a fact; an appeal to what is evidently before one's eyes, \&c.; ; it intimates that the assertion made is true now, or with reference to the thing of which it is asserted, whatever may be the case with reference to other ${ }^{2}$ times or things.

It seems most probable that $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is a weakened form of $\ddot{\eta} \delta \eta$. Rost considers it related to $\delta \bar{\eta} \lambda o s$ and $\delta a \hat{\eta} \nu a l$. Kłotz derives $\tilde{\eta} \delta \eta$, and therefore $\delta \dot{\eta}$, from єiס $\delta^{\prime} \nu \alpha$ al.

The connections in which $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is of extremely frequent occurrence are:
233. a) With adverbs of time; denoting that the thing is limited to the precise time denoted by the adverb. $\dot{\epsilon} \nu \tau a \hat{v} 9 a \delta \dot{\eta}$. $\nu \hat{v} \nu \bar{\nu} \dot{\eta}=($ á $\rho \tau \iota)$ just now. $\pi \rho i ̀$
 pare nuncdum, etiamdum, vixdum.

[^18](' $E \nu \tau a \hat{v} 刃 a$ a $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and тóтє $\delta \grave{y}$ very frequently commence the apodosis after $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \epsilon$, ' $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$. Cf. e.)
b) It is frequently used with imperatives and hortatory subjunctives (with which we often use now, then).
 фортiov. Compare dicdum, cedodum.-[Also adeo. Propera adeo puerum tollere hinc ab janua. Ter. Andr. 4, 4, 20.]
c) With reference to purposes of prerention, asseverations, \&c. it denotes the thing as settled, completed, certain, \&c. ${ }^{5} H$ ס'́, assuredly; $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \dot{\eta}$ (c. imper. =), hoc tantum te rogo, nihil amplius, ne.
( $\mathrm{On} \mu \hat{\eta} \tau \iota \delta \dot{\eta}$, dec. $=$ nedum, of. chapter on $\mu \dot{\eta}$.)
d) In explanations it denotes an immediate judgment of the mind, formed upon the obvious appearance of the thing. So in $\gamma \grave{a} \rho \delta \delta^{\prime}, \dot{\omega} s \delta^{\prime} \dot{\eta}$, oìa $\delta \dot{\eta}$ (utpote). Here there is often an admixture of irony, and sometimes of false pretence, as if the thing were so obvious, that it may be assumed as a fact without hesitation. Eisíyay tàs étalpióas $\delta \dot{\eta}$, he brought in the pretended courtezans
 (Th.). ó ooфòs $\delta \dot{\eta}$, sapiens scilicet ille (Pl.).
e) After demonstratives it denotes limitation to the thing in question, which it distinguishes as being the very thing meant, often as remarkable, well known. Here it may sometimes be construed precisely, just, sometimes simply, merely; ${ }^{2}$ it often, however, cannot be construed, but must have its force given by emphasis

 pare dumtaxat and demum.
f) With relative pronouns and adverbs it either denotes limitation to the particular object (as with demon-

[^19]stratives) or implies decision. ôs $\delta \eta_{\eta}{ }^{1}$ oîos $\delta \dot{\eta}, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \epsilon \delta \dot{\eta}$
 quce, ut satis constat. K.] So with iva (both as a local and a final particle).
g) With interrogatives $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi o \tau \epsilon$ imply (like $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in commands) impatience, as requiring an immediate explanation. Tis $\delta \dot{\eta} ;$ who ever? who in the world? $\tau i$
 $\sigma o \iota \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \circ \tau \epsilon$; It has the same meaning in exclamations: ö oos $\delta \grave{\eta}!$ [ö̃a $\delta \dot{\eta} \delta \delta \epsilon ́ \delta \eta \gamma \mu a \iota!$ Aristoph.]

Hand compares it with autem. 'Primum autem exprimit quærentis impetum et vehementiam, sive ea ab admiratione proficiscatur, sive ab indignatione et iracundiâ, maxime in reprehensione. Greci dicunt $\delta \bar{\eta}$.' 1, 575.
$h)$ 1. Its use with indefinites, including ö $\sigma \tau \iota \varsigma$, ö $\sigma o \varsigma$, and the dependent interrogatives, ómóros, \&c., when used indefinitely; and the corresponding adverbs, ${ }^{\circ}=9 \epsilon \nu$, \&c. Here, too, it denotes haste and indifference; any one, without inquiring who, or going further to take in others ( $=$-cunque, -vis, -libet). So that in effect it increases their indefiniteness. ö otıs $\delta \dot{\eta}$, nescio quis [but also quicunque]. ö $\sigma \tau \iota s$ ס́n $\pi о \tau \epsilon,{ }^{2}$ quicunque tandem; óтоîos $\delta \bar{\delta}$. öбoı $\bar{\delta} \dot{\eta}$, quotquot: ä $\lambda \lambda$ os $\bar{\delta} \dot{\eta}$, alius nescio quis: є"'тe $\delta \dot{\eta}$, whether it be that, \&c. (= sive).
i) 2. With $\tau i s, \pi o \tau \epsilon \in, \pi o u$, the $\delta \dot{\prime}$ precedes (it cannot, of course, stand at the beginning of a clause); $\delta \dot{\eta}$ $\tau \iota s$, quispiam, or nescio quis: $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon\left(\delta \dot{\eta} \pi о \tau \epsilon^{3}\right)$, uspiam; $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi$ ou ( $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v$ ), in some way surely (as used in a half-questioning or half-doubting manner; =opinor, ni fallor. See below).-3. "O oos $\delta \dot{\prime}$ often means any degree however small (= quantuluscunque).
'Pウ́

[^20]







k) After expressions of number and degree (e. g. comparatives and superlatives), it denotes limitation to the asserted magnitude or degree, which the thing precisely attains to. It may denote either that the number or degree is not exceeded, or that it is fully reached, i. e. is not fallen short of.

Móvos $\delta \dot{\eta}$, quite alone: $\tau \rho \in i \bar{s} \delta \dot{\eta}$, no more than three:

 $\mu$ '́ylotos $\delta \dot{\eta}$ (the very greatest).

## Exercise 67.

234. (1) In consequence of this, Mello, taking with him six of the properest persons amongst the exiles, armed with daggers and no other weapon, goes in the first place by night into the territory of Thebes. In the next place, having passed the day in an unfrequented spot, at evening they came to the gates, as if a returning from the country, about the time that the last parties came in from their working in the fields. When they had thus got into the city, they passed that night in the house of one Charon, and moreover ${ }^{\text {b }}$ spent the whole of the following day ${ }^{\circ}$ there.
(2) But when, upon trial, they found the water came up above their breasts; that the river was rendered uneven by large slippery stones; and that it was not possible for them to hold their arms in the water; which, if they attempted, they were borne away by the stream, and, if they carried them upon
their heads, they were exposed to the arrows and the other missive weapons of the enemy; -then they retired, therefore, and encamped on the banks of the river. From hence they discovered a great number of armed Carduchians, who were got together upon the mountain, in the very place where they had encamped the night before. Then indeed the Greeks were very much disheartened, seeing on one side of them a river hardly passable, and the banks of it covered with troops to obstruct their passage, and, on the other, the Carduchians ready to fall upon their rear, if they attempted it.
(3) The final issue of all things is as the Deity wills; but the plan and intention does itself declare the mind of the statesman. Do not then, do not impute it as my offence, that it was Philip's good fortune to be victorious in the battle, for that event depended upon God, not upon me; but prove that I did not take every precaution which human prudence could suggest; that I did not exert myself with integrity, with assiduity, with toil even greater than my strength; that the conduct I pursued was not noble, was not worthy of the state, was not necessary: let this be proved, and then at once ${ }^{\text {e }}$ accuse me.
(4) Gobryas then said: 'But if one has a mind to dispose of a daughter, whom must one tell it to?' 'This,' said Cyrus, 'must be told to me too; for I am a notable man in this art.' 'What art?' said Chrysantas. 'Why, in knowing what match' will best suit each particular man.' Then Chrysantas said: 'In the name of all the gods, then do tell me what wife you think will best suit me!' 'First,' said he, 'she must be little, for you are little yourself; and if you marry a tall wife, and would kiss her as she stands, you must leap up as little dogs do.' 'Well, as to that,' ${ }^{\text {d }}$ said he, 'you certainly provide with judgment; for I am †any thing but a good jumper.' ${ }^{\text {e }}$ 'And then,' said he, 'a wife with a snub nose would suit you admirably.'
'And what in the world is this for?' 'Because,' said he, 'you have a hooked nose, tand a hooked nose would fit best into a flat and sinking one.'f

 'and know that hook-nosedness would best suit (or fit into) snub-nosed-


## Exercise 68.

235. (1) I swear by all the gods and goddesses, that I have not even received from Seuthes what he promised me in particular. He is present himself, and, as he hears me, he knows whether I am guilty of perjury or not; and, that you may still have more reason to wonder, I also swear that I have not even received what the other generals have. † Nay, not even ${ }^{\text {a }}$ so much as some of the captains. And why then, why' did I act thus? I thought, fellow-soldiers, that the more fully I shared the poverty which he then suffered, the more would he show himself my friend when it was in his power. But now I no sooner see him in prosperity, than ${ }^{\text {b }}$ I at once thoroughly understand his character.
(2) As soon as they began their retreat, the enemy sallied upon them in great numbers, armed with bucklers, spears, greaves, and Paphlagonian helmets; while others got upon the houses on each side of the street that led to the fort, so that it was not safe to pursue them to the gates of it, for they threw great pieces of timber from above, which made it dangerous both to stay and to retire ; and the night coming on, increased the terror. While they were engaged with the enemy under this perplexity, some god administered to them a means of safety; for one of the houses on the right hand took fire on a sudden: who set fire to it is not known ; but, as soon as the house fell in, all the enemy who were in the houses ${ }^{c}$ on the right quitted them; and Xenophon, being taught this expedient by fortune,
ordered all the houses on the left to be set on fire. These being built of wood were soon in flames, upon which the enemy quitted them also.
${ }^{\text {a }} \mu \dot{\eta}$ тoí $\nu \nu \nu \mu \eta \delta \epsilon \in$ (ac ne quidem), though the particles are probably not found elsewhere in this connection (Krïger). b Supra, 85, 86.
c Cf. Gram. 1435: imitating the attraction of prepositions there explained.

CHap. 13. § 2. ( $\quad$ à $\rho \delta \dot{\eta}, \& c$.)
236. With declarative particles ( $\gamma$ áp, ís, oia), and the final particles, $\dot{\omega} s, i v a$, the particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ denotes that the fact is simply and precisely as stated. When a reason is introduced by $\gamma \dot{a} \rho \delta \dot{\eta}$, the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ adds to the statement the implied affirmation, that the reason given is now a certain fact proved by experience.

Ó̇ $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \dot{\eta}$, non enim profecto, is of common occurrence.
237. Hartung observes, that sometimes the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ does not relate to the ráp, but to the preceding word, from which it is separated by the intervening particle. He quotes $\Pi_{0} \lambda \lambda a ̆ a ̀ a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \dot{́}, ~ C y r .5,3,8 ; ~ \Phi \rho a ́ \sigma \omega ~ \gamma a ̀ \rho ~ \delta \dot{\eta}$, Eur. Rhes. 340 ; K $\omega \phi \grave{\eta} \nu$ үàp $\delta \grave{\eta}$ үайà àєıкі乡єь $\mu \in \nu \epsilon a i \nu \omega \nu, I l$. $\omega .54$.-Klotz says that he does not understand this remark; for that, for instance, in the first passage

 particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ only relates to $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda$ á, because the whole sentence is affirmed by the particles $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \dot{\eta}$. I cannot but think, however, that Hartung's meaning is obvious and correct; namely, that the $\delta \dot{\prime}$ sometimes does not so much affirm the whole clause, as attach itself to one of those words which it usually accompanies, to heighten their meaning by fixing it down precisely to the proper nature of the word. Thus with an imperative: e. g. $\phi$ '́pe $\gamma$ à $\rho \delta \grave{\eta}, \kappa \tau \lambda$. (Antiph. Cced. Her. 723): here the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ has just the same kind and degree of reference to
the imperative $\phi \in ́ \rho \varepsilon$ that it would have if no $\gamma a ́ \rho$ intervened.
238. The second passage Hartung translates: 'the earth all dumb [= senseless] as it is' (die ganz stumme Erde); Klotz: 'mutam enim jam profecto terram violat iracundus,' referring the $\delta \dot{\eta}$, not to $\kappa \omega \phi \dot{\eta} \nu$ only, but to the whole affirmation. This is a point that can hardly be decided, as the stress may equally well rest on the whole action, or on the notion that the object he wreaks his anger upon is the dumb earth.

## Exercise 69.

239. (1) But when the Paphlagonians and Spithridates brought in the booty they had taken, Herippidas, who had posted his officers for the purpose, took every thing away from Spithridates and the Paphlagonians, merely that he might enlarge the booty he himself should deliver in to the commissioners of sale. The Paphlagonians, however, did not put up with this treatment; but, as men who had been injured and disgraced, packed up their baggage and went off by night to Sardis, to offer their services to Ariæus, confident of a good reception from him, as he too had revolted from and was making war upon the king. Agesilaus ${ }^{3}$ took nothing to heart during this expedition so much as this desertion of Spithridates, Megabates, and the Paphlagonians.
(2) The plaintiff is so cunning, and determined to affect ignorance of what is right, that, although he has preferred a charge of perjury, upon which you are sworn to give your verdict, he declined to question the slave as to the truth of the deposition (the point about which he ought to have been most anxious), and now falsely asserts that he requires him to be delivered up for a different purpose. Is it not monstrous that he should complain of my refusal to deliver to him a freeman (for such I shall clearly prove Milyas to be);
and should not consider my witnesses hardly treated, when I offer to him a person who is confessedly a slave, and he refuses to put their evidence to the test by examining him? for he surely cannot contend that the torture is for some purposes (which he himself desires) a certain criterion of the truth; and again, for other purposes, uncertain.
${ }^{\text {s }}$ Insert the terminative $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$.

Chap. 13. § 3. ( $\delta \eta$ ń retrospective and resumptive.)
240. $\Delta \eta^{\prime}$ has also an extensive use in appending a new notion, with an accompanying reference to what has immediately preceded.

Omnino usurpatur $\delta$ í particula, ubi aliquid ad ea quæ præcesserunt ita adjicitur, ut hoc statim ac vix absolutâ priore re fieri videatur ( $K l$.).

Thus Xen. Cyr. 1, 5, 1: "E $\delta o \sigma a \nu ~ \delta є ̀ ~ a u ̛ t ~ \hat{e ́ ~ к а i ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \epsilon-~}$


 these amount at once to a thousand men).
(Quibus in verbis particula propterea locum habet, quod simulas postrema electio facta est, jam erunt tot homines numero. $K l$.)
241. The retrospective and continuative force of $\delta \eta^{\prime}$ is shown principally in its employment as a resumptive particle, both after a parenthesis in the strict sense, and after all remarks of a more or less parenthetical character.

 speaker then goes on to explain the sense in which he understands this, and having done so proceeds: 'O av.
 often use now, or then: sometimes so, thus.

So also Thuc. 1, 128: The historian having stated
that the Lacedæmonians had called upon the Athenians to drive out the pollution of the goddess ( $\tau$ ò áyos є่̇ $\lambda a u ́ \nu \epsilon \iota \nu \tau \hat{\eta} s, ~ \uparrow \epsilon o v)$ proceeds to describe what this pollution was; and after this continues his narrative (in
 є̇ $\lambda a u ̛ v \epsilon \iota \nu$ є่ $\kappa$ '́ $\lambda \epsilon v o v \kappa \tau \lambda$., this pollution then the Lacedœmonians, \&c.

## Exercise 70.

242. (1) When after this the second expedition took place, which Xerxes, quitting his palace, and daring to assume the chief command, and collecting all the forces of Asia, conducted in person-( ${ }^{\circ}$ an expedition) about which what man, however desirous to use exaggerated language, has not fallen short of the truth?for he advanced to such a degree of insolent pride, that, deeming it a light task to subdue Greece, and wishing to leave such a memorial of it as should be of absolutely superhuman magnitude, he never rested till he had devised and forcibly executed what is in all men's mouths, sailed, that is, with his army through the main land, and marched on foot through the sea, by yoking together ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the shores of) the Hellespont on the one hand, and digging through Athos on the other.-Against this monarch, I say, filled with pride like this, and who effected works of this magnitude, the Lacedæmonians and our ancestors marched, dividing the danger between them; the former, with a thousand picked men and a few allies, to Thermopylæ against the land forces, to oppose in that pass their further progress, and our fathers ( ${ }^{\circ}$ sailed) to Artemisium, having manned sixty triremes against the whole navy of their enemies.
(2) Having then thus palpably brought forward an illegal decree, he will endeavor, assisted by his confederate Demosthenes, to do violence to the law by subtle artifices, which I will explain and announce to you beforehand, that you may not be deceived for want of
knowledge. $\ddagger$ These men will not have it in their power to deny that the laws expressly declare, that a crown conferred on any citizen by the people shall be proclaimed in no other place than the assembly; but they will drag into their defence, t the law by which the festivals of Bacchus are regulated, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and, making use of a certain part of the law, will entrap you to listen to them, and ${ }^{\circ}$ so producing an enactment that has no bearing upon this action, will assert that there are in the state two laws enacted relative to proclamations: one is that which I have now produced, expressly forbidding the proclamation of a crown granted by the people to be made any where but in the assembly; but there is another law, they will say, which is contrary to this, which allows the liberty of proclaiming a crown so conferred in the theatre, when the tragedies are exhibited, 'provided the people shall have so determined by their votes.' It is then, in accordance with this law, they will maintain, that Ctēsiphōn founded his decree.
a ó $\Delta$ ıo vvбıaкдs עópos.

Chap. 13. §4. (каi- $\delta \dot{\eta}$ as annective).
243. In каi- $\delta \dot{\eta}$, the two particles retain each its proper force. The kai appends what follows to what preceded, and the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ (with that retrospective force that often belongs to it [241]) intimates, that from that preceding statement what is now asserted is known, or may obviously be inferred. It conveys this information with the life and spirit that usually belong to it as a descriptive particle.
244. The particles кai- $\delta \dot{\eta}$ append in this way obvious conclusions where we should use so, just so, \&c.) particulars in an enumeration, which must not be overlooked [compare кai- $\gamma$ '́ in enumerations, supra [204];
and rapid transitions from a general statement to a par－ ticular instance of it，\＆c．





Respicitur particulis $\kappa a l$－$\delta$ 万，id quod recte Hartungius docuit；ad id，quod modo dictum erat，ut hoc significetur，cum quidem nos homines simus in aliqua custodia，etiam istud jam consentaneum esse，ut non discedamus ex ea injussu ejus，qui nos dedit in custo－ diam（ $K l$ ．）．


 $10,8,7$.

Ubi ideo adjecit posteriorem entintiationem per particulas kal－ớn Aristoteles，quod，priore sententia concessa，hoc jam necessario ita existumandum esse videretur（ $K l$ ．）．

 סó乡？є̇ $\sigma$ тì（Pl．Rep．2，367，D．）．

Quo in loco ưraivery ita accedit，ut significetur ceteris rebus positis hoc fere jom declaratum rideri，quo alacrior ac jucundior est oratio（Ell）．
（Klotz observes，that when kai－$\delta \dot{\eta}$ are used in enumerations，the meaning is，not that the notion so adduced is true rather than the others，but ouly that it is one which must not be overlooked in the enumeration with the rest．－To denote a proper ascensio ad ma－



 జєipoûvtai mo入入oús（Sirab．5，3）．

5．Ka i є́p $\omega \tau \hat{a} \delta \hat{\eta} \pi \hat{\omega} s \mu \epsilon \operatorname{Tá\pi \tau \eta ~(Pl.~Phced.1157,~c.).~}$
245．Hartung compares this lively and sudden selec－ tion of a single instance with the use of jum，in ad－ ducing examples or instances in proof of an assertion： Foecula jam quo de genere est（Lucret．2，430）．

240．This каi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ may be followed by another кai $(=a l s o)$ ；the particles кai $\delta \dot{\eta}$ каi are often preceded by
$\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o s \tau \in$ (in the proper case of $\ddot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda_{0}$ ) to convey an especial instance of a general statement.



## Exercise 71.

247. (1) 'For what purpose, with respect to the joint application of money, is the just man more useful than others?' 'When we wish to deposit it and have it kept safe, O Socrates.' 'You mean then, do you not, when we have no occasion to use it at all, but wish it to be left in deposit?' 'Exactly so.' 'When therefore our money is useless, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ then it is that) justice is useful with regard to it.' 'It would seem so.' 'And just so, when we have to keep a pruaing-hook safe, justice is useful both for a community and for a private individual; but, when we have occasion to use it, the art of vine-dressing is useful?' 'It seems so.'
(2) 'Indeed, my son,' said he, 'to a forced obedience this is the way; but to a willing obedience, which is much the better, there is another way, and a readier; for, whomsoever men take to be more knowing than themselves in what is for their interest and advantage, him they obey with pleasure. This you may know to be true in many other cases, and particularly in that of sick people, who are very ready to send for such as may prescribe what is fit for them to do: so ton at sea, the people that are on board are very ready and zealous to obey their pilots; and travellers are extremely averse to part with such as they think know the roads better than themselves: but when men think that they shall be injured by their obedience, they will reither yield to punishments nor be raised by rewards; for even presents are not willingly received by any man to his own prejudice.' ${ }^{\prime}$

## 

248. In каi $\delta \dot{\eta}$ the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ has often its temporal meaning (=jam), the кai having that of etiam; so that the $\kappa a i \quad \delta \dot{\eta}=$ even now; already; less commonly immediately, which meaning, however, it always has with the 'future, and when any thing is to happen.

With respect to the kal, it is to be remarked that sometimes it is to be taken separately, in the sense of and or also, and sometimes in close connection with $\delta \dot{\eta}$, as in the kindred forms, кal $\pi \rho i \nu$, , каi $\pi \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda a l$, when it may be rendered even (Hartung).
249. Hartung also observes, that in many instances the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in кal $\delta \dot{\eta}$ may have what he calls its metaphorical meaning, of lively and pointed annexation with added importance; and that the meaning of кal $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is decidedly temporal, only when it stands in the middle of a clause, or





 $\delta \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon \iota \rho \alpha \dot{\sigma} o \mu a l ~ \lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$, I will immediately (or, at once) endeavor to state (Dem. Phil. 4, 4, 44).
250. When this temporal кai $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is followed by another каi, the second каi does not belong in sense to the кai $\delta \dot{\eta}$, but to one of the following words (Hartung), $=$ et jam etiam ; atque jam etiam (Kl.).
251. When кai $\delta \dot{\eta}$ occurs in replies, the каi denotes readiness to meet the wishes of the other party, the $\delta$ ' intimating assent. Here the force of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ belongs to what Hartung calls the determinative meaning of the particle.

B入є́ $\Psi$ о̀ кáт $\omega$. "Kaì $\delta \dot{\eta} \beta \lambda \epsilon ́ \pi \omega$." (Aristoph. Av. 175.)

## Exercise 72.

252. (1) But so soon as Cleombrŏtus began to advance towards the enemy, in the first place, even before the bulk of the army was aware that he was in motion, the cavalry had already engaged, and that of
the Lacedæmonians had quickly been defeated, and in their flight had fallen in amongst their own heavyarmed; and moreover the heavy-armed of the Thebans were making their attack. However, that the body posted round. Cleombrotus had at first the better in the fight, any man may have a certain proof from hence; for they could not have taken him up and carried him off yet alive, unless those who fought before him had the better of it at that time.
(2) Cyrus's men were thus occupied. But the Assyrians had already dined ( $p$ ), and were forming themselves with a great deal of resolution. $\ddagger$ The king was marshalling them himself, driving around in his chariot; and he exhorted them to this effect: 'Men of Assyria! now is the time for you to quit yourselves like brave men, for now you are fighting for your lives, for the country where you were born, for the houses in which you were bred, aye and for your wives and children, and for every thing valuable that you possess. If you conquer, you will remain masters of all these as before; if you are defeated, be assured that you will give them all up to the enemy.
(3) Socr. But, my dear Phædrus, I shall expose myself, if I, $\dagger$ who am no poet, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ place myself in competition with a good one, and speak extempore on the same subject.

Phoed. Do you know how the case stands? Don't give yourself any more of these airs, for I have that to say, which will hardly fail to force you to speak if I utter it.

Socr. Then do not say it on any account.
Phoed. Nay, but here I do say it at once:--and the speech shall be an oath; for I swear to you-but by which, by which of the gods? shall it be by this plane-tree?-that, unless you make a speech to me before this very tree, I will never again either show or repeat to you another speech by any author whomsoever.

Socr. Ah, rascal, how well you have found out the
way to compel $\dagger$ a lover of speeches and $\operatorname{arguments}^{\boldsymbol{b}}$ to do whatever you bid him!



Chap. 13. § 6. (ǒ́ in lively suppositions.)
253. $\Delta \eta^{\prime}$, especially кai $\delta \gamma^{\prime}$, is also used in making suppositions in a lively, spirited way. The $\delta \dot{\eta}$, according to Hartung, here denotes indifference.
254. In suppositions, $\delta \dot{\eta}$ or кai $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is used in two ways:

1) With a conditional particle, and the subjunctive or optative mood.

 $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ àขтıкаЯıбтávaı, кт入. (Xen. Cyr. 1, 6, 43.)
2) In the indicative. [кai $\delta \dot{\eta}=f a c$, finge.]
 vii. 10, 2), Well, then, I set them down as equal in number to the former.

## Exercise 73.

255. (1) 'And how pray, father,' said he, 'shall one be best able to raise such an opinion of oneself?' 'My son,' said he, 'there is no readier way to appear wise and knowing in things wherein you desire to appear so, than to be in reality knowing in those things; and if you consider †each particular case by itself, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ you will find that what I say is true: for if you would appear a good husbandman when you are not a good one, or a good horseman, a good physician, a good player on the flute, or ( ${ }^{\circ}$ a good) any thing else ${ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ when you really are not so), consider how many contrivances you must use in order to appear so. And even suppose that you could even prevail with a great many people to commend you, that you might gain ${ }^{b}$ a
reputation, and if you should purchase fine instru ments, and furniture belonging to each of the arts, $\dagger$ scarcely would you have succeeded in deceiving them, when ${ }^{\text {c }}$ presently, on coming some time or other to give proof of your skill, you would be convicted, and would appear an arrogant boaster.
(2) 'Listen then,' said Cyrus, '( ${ }^{\circ}$ to determine) whether you think my advice to the purpose. I have very often hunted on the borders of your territory and that of the Armenians, with all the Persians that were with me; and, more than that, I have gone thither $\dagger$ before now, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ taking likewise from hence several horsemen from amongst my companions here.' 'Therefore,' ${ }^{e}$ said Cyaxares, 'by doing just the same things now, you will pass unsuspected; but if a much greater force should appear than what you used to have with you in hunting, this at once ${ }^{\mathrm{f}}$ would excite suspicion.' 'But,' said Cyrus, 'one may also frame a pretext that would be very plausible, both here and there also, if that is any one should report it there that I intend to undertake a great hunting-expedition; and,' said he, 'I would openly desire from you a body of horse.' 'Excellent,' said Cyaxares; 'and I shall refuse to give you any but a very few, as intending to march myself to our garrisons that lie towards Assyria. $\dagger$ For indeed I do really,'s said he, 'intend to go, thither, in order to strengthen them as much as possible.'
[^21]Chap. 13. § 7. ( $\mu \in ̀ \nu \nu \dot{\nu}$. $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \delta \dot{\eta}$.
256. a) Mèv $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is generally a concluding formula, in which the force of $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ is confirmative, that of $\delta \dot{\eta}$
retrospective; dismissing the statement made as now completed.
b) A summing up or recapitulation, introduced by $\mu \grave{e} \nu \delta \eta$, is usually followed by a transition to a new subject, or a new part of the same subject (usually a fuller explanation of it), introduced by $\delta$ '́, or sometimes by $\delta \grave{\epsilon} \delta \dot{\eta}$.
 aùt $\hat{c} \Phi \epsilon \rho a u ́ \lambda a s ~ \Pi \epsilon ́ \rho \sigma \eta s ~(X e n) .$.

c) In the progress of a narrative $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ is often used, where we should employ so, accordingly; i. e. when the fact stated is founded upon what preceded.
d) In $\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, as Klotz observes, each particle retains its usual force, and the $\mu \epsilon$ ' $\nu$ is usually followed by its corresponsive $\delta \epsilon$. But the two particles have a more blended meaning in the combinations $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$,
 would write $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \delta \delta \eta$ as one word (=vero; the $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ having here an adversative force). Kai $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \delta \eta$ kal Táv $\nu \alpha \lambda \delta \nu \quad \gamma \epsilon \epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \hat{i} \delta o \nu$, et vero etiam Tan-
 è $\pi \iota \sigma \tau \eta \eta^{\prime} \mu \nu \nu$ е́s $\gamma \in$. Pl. Phoedr. 266, c.
257. The particles $\delta \dot{e}$ é $\dot{\eta}$ retain, in this combination, each its usual force, so that $\delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \eta^{\prime}=$ cutem jam.
 589).
258. As each of the particles, $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and $\delta \dot{\eta}$, often follows a particle or pronoun, to add emphasis to it, or give it prominence and distinction, so the two particles are often used together in the same way.
 nunc vero jam; тои̂тo $\delta \grave{\text { è }} \delta \eta \eta$, hoc vero jam.
b) Here we should either merely pronounce the $i f$, \&c. with emphasis, or use a strengthening adverb of tirrs or degree: $\epsilon \dot{l}(\bar{\epsilon} \dot{\alpha} \nu) \delta \dot{\epsilon} \delta \eta^{\prime}$, and if', and if indeed (really, now, after all, dce.); and now if.

## Exercise 74.

259. When there came to me a person who was going to Persia, and bade me give him the letter I had written home; and I told the captain, for ${ }^{\text {a }}$ he knew
where the letter lay, to run and fetch it, he, accordingly, set off a-running; but that young man, armed as he was with corslet and sword, followed after his captain; nay more, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ the rest of his company, seeing him run, ran off with him; and all of them came back again, and brought me the letter. 'Thus,' said he, 'at all events, this company of mine is very exact in executing all the instructions they-receive from you.' $\ddagger$ The rest, as was natural, laughed $\dagger$ at this guand and attendance on the letter; " †but Cyrus said: "O Zeus, and all you gods! why what men then have we for our companions! They are so easily won by attentions, that many of them might be made one's friends for a little portion of meat; and they are such obedient persons, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ that they obey before they understand what they are ordered to do. For my part, I do not know what sort of men we should wish the soldiers to be, unless it be just such!' Thus Cyrus, laughing all the time, praised the soldiers.

 $\sigma \tau o \lambda \hat{\eta} s . \quad$ d A new clause would follow with $\delta \epsilon^{\prime \prime}$.

## 

260. $\Delta \hat{\eta} 9 \epsilon \nu$ (from $\delta \dot{\eta}$ and $\Phi \epsilon ́ \nu$, a weakened form of a $\eta^{1}{ }^{1}=$ scilicet) is properly an affirmative particle, but is mostly used either of a false pretence or notion $\left(=\pi \rho \rho^{-}\right.$ фаб८v, or $\omega$ s $\pi \rho о \sigma \in \pi о ю \hat{\nu} \nu \tau о:$ in appearance; as they [he, I] wished it to be thought), or as an ironical affirmation (scilicet, videlicet; quasi vero). It is, however, sometimes, though seldom, used as a simple explanatory particle.




[^22]
 vov aủ $\frac{1}{\omega} \kappa a \tau a ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̀ \nu ~ \mu \eta \tau \epsilon ́ p a ~(T h) . ~ .$.
261. $\Delta \mathfrak{\eta} \pi$ ou (opinor, ni fallor), I imagine, I suppose, surely.
 $\pi \rho о \sigma \eta$ иєєє єìvaı (Xen.). On үє̀ סи́тои, cf. supra 189.
$\Delta \dot{\eta} \pi$ ov often stands as the last word in a clause
 $\pi \rho a ́ \gamma \mu a \tau a$, Є่à̀ $\tau \grave{a}$ таро́vта $\pi \rho о \omega ́ \mu \epsilon Я a$, $\sigma \chi \in \delta o ̀ \nu$ l' $\sigma \mu \in \nu$ ätavтєs $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi$ ou (Dem.).
262. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v \uparrow \epsilon \nu$ (from $\delta \dot{\eta} \pi<0$ and $\Phi \eta \dot{\eta} \nu$ : compare $\delta \hat{\eta}$ Iєv), I hope, I suppose, surely (nempe, ironically: opinor). "Av9pw




## Excrcise 75.

263. (1) But, after flinging away all these advantages, and all but assisting him in securing them for himself, by Heavens, shall we then inquire to whom these consequences are owing! Yes; for we shall not allow that we are ourselves in fault; that I well know: for even in the dangers of the field not one of those who run away accuses himself, but ( ${ }^{\circ}$ every man) lays the blame on the general, on those about him, or any body rather than himself; but, for all that, the defeat of the army is due, I presume, to the fugitives collectively; for he who now accuses the rest might himself have stood his ground, and, if every man did this, they would be victorious.
(2) The Lacedæmonians, on hearing this, did not let their anger appear do the Athenians (for they had not sent their embassy to obstruct their designs, but to offer counsel, they said, to their state; and, besides, they were at that time on very friendly terms with
them, owing to their zeal against the Mede); in secret, however, they were annoyed at failing in their wish. So the ambassadors of each state returned home without any complaint being made.
(3) Meanwhile the Mantineans, and to those to whom the truce had been granted, going out under the pretext of gathering herbs and fire-wood, secretly went away in small parties, picking up at the same time the things for which they professed to have left the camp; but when they had now proceeded some distance from Olpæ, they began to retreat ${ }^{48}$ at a quicker pace. The Ambraciots and the rest, as many as happened thus to have gone out with them in a body, when they found that they were gone away, themselves also pushed forward, and began running, on purpose to overtake them.

## Chap. 15. $\Delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$.

264. a) $\Delta \hat{\eta} \tau a^{\prime}$ combines a confirmatory force with the notion of approval and assent; and serves, like $\delta \dot{\eta}$, only in a higher degree, to render prominent and more exactly define the word which stands before it. It may be joined with all the parts of speech, in order to define them, whether by extension or restriction of their meaning.
b) After interrogatives it is either consecutive (i. e. relates to a preceding statement) = then; or assumes in an impatient vehement way the answer it confidently

[^23]expects: sometimes it has the force of really, indeed

c) It is often used with imperatives ( $=$ do, do pray, \&c.); and very often in replies (usually with the repetition of the word assented to), where it denotes unconditional emphatic assent. It frequently has an ironical force ( $=$ forsooth).
a) Also, $\hat{\eta} \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$, sane profecto; then-really; indeed; oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$, minime vero; nequaquam; $\mu \eta$ خे $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau a$, nay do not, \&c.; do not, do not; каi $\delta \eta$ ๆ̃a, and indeed (e. g. in a question which it suddenly occurs to the speaker to put with reference to the subject of discourse).
(Mウ̀ $\delta \bar{\eta} \tau \alpha$ prohibitio est cum affectu asseverandi, quemadmodum oủ $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ negatio cum asseveratione (Devar.).








## Exercise 76.

265. Socr. What ${ }^{\text {a }}$ you state is a marvellous fact and strange, O Hippias; and tell me ${ }^{\circ}$ now, is not your wisdom able to improve in virtue those who associate with it and learn ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of you)?

Hip. Yes, and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to improve them) greatly, O Socrates.

Socr. But then, whilst you were able to improve the children of the Inucēni, were you unable to improve those of the Spartiates?

Hip. Far from it.
Socr. Then are the Siceliots really anxious to become better, but the Lacedæmonians not anxious?

Hip. Assuredly, I imagine the Lacedæmonians also are anxious ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for improvement).

Socr. Did they then shun your company from want of money?

Hip. Certainly not, since they have money enough.
Socr. Then how in the world can it be, that, though they are anxious ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for improvement), and have money, and you are able to be of the greatest benefit to them, they have not sent you away loaded with riches?
a In this passage $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha$ occure three times.

Chap. 16. $\Delta \iota o ́ . \delta \iota o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$.
266. $\Delta \iota_{0}\left(=\delta \iota^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ is wherefore; for which reason. $\Delta \iota o ́ \pi \epsilon \rho$ ( $=\delta \iota^{\prime}$ "o $\quad \pi \epsilon \rho$ ) has the same meaning, rendered however more emphatic by the addition of the $\pi \epsilon \rho$.
267. $\Delta i o$ is sometimes followed by кai, wherefore also, or $\delta \eta$; $\delta i o ̀ ~ \delta \eta ́, ~ f o r ~ w h i c h ~ v e r y ~ r e a s o n . ~ . ~$
(A very rare meaning of $\delta \delta o 6 \pi \epsilon \rho$ is propterea quod, because. 'A $\lambda \lambda$ '

 Mem. 4, 8, 7).

## Exercise 77.

268. I think that you have often admitted and acknowledged that ${ }^{\text {a }}$ there is a twofold method of treatment, both with respect to the body and with respect to the soul; and that the one is ministerial, by which we are enabled to procure food, if our bodies are hungry; drink, if they are thirsty; and, if they are cold, garments, coverlids, shoes, and all other things which our bodies require. And I purposely speak to you through the same images, in order that you may understand the more easily. For when any one supplies these things, being either a retail tradesman or a merchant, or a manufacturer of any of them,
a baker, a cook, a weaver, a shoemaker, or tanner, it is not at all surprising that such a person should appear, both to himself and others, to be concerned in the management ${ }^{\text {b }}$ of the body, $\dagger$ that is, to all who are ignorant ${ }^{\text {c }}$ that, besides all these, there is a gymnastic and medicinal art, to which' the ${ }^{\circ}$ true management of the body really belongs, and whose duty it is to rule over all these arts, and to use their respective productions, through knowing what meats or drinks are good and bad for the health of the body, whereas ${ }^{\text {d }}$ all those others are ignorant of this; for which very reason all those other arts are servile, ministerial, and base, as regards the management of the body, but the gymnastic art and medicine are justly the mistresses of these.



## Chap. 17. $\Delta$ iótı.

 in indirect questions, wherefore, why.

 $\lambda \omega \hat{\omega} \iota$ (Dinarch);
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (Pl. Phced. 100, c). But in this passage Baiter and Sauppe print $\delta \iota^{\prime}$ ó $\tau \iota$.
c) In the sense of that ( $=\stackrel{\delta}{\circ} \tau \iota$ ), $\delta$ เó $\tau \iota$ hardly occurs in the best writers, but stands Her. 2, 50 : Pl. Ep. 1; 309. It is also found in a letter of Philip's, and in a decree in Dem. de Coron.

## Exercise 78.

270. The next day the thirty Athenian ships, and as many of the Corcyræan as were sea-worthy, put out and sailed to the harbor at Sybŏta, in which
the Corinthians were anchored，wishing to know whether ${ }^{\text {a }}$ they would engage．${ }^{\text {b }}$ But they，having put out with their ships from the land，${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ and formed them in line at sea，remained quiet；not intending volunta－ rily to begin a battle，since they saw that fresh ships from Athens had joined them；and that they them－ selves were involved in many difficulties，with regard to the safe keeping of the prisoners they had on board，$\dagger$ and because there were no means of refitting their ships ${ }^{d}$ in so deserted a place．Nay，they were thinking of their voyage home，how they should re－ turn；being afraid that the Athenians might consider the treaty to have been broken，because they had come to blows，and not allow them to sail away．
[^24]
## Chap．18．§ 1．Ei，if－whether．

（The general construction of conditional clauses has been given in Part I．）

271．a）In Attic writers，when two conditional clauses are placed in contrast by $\epsilon i(\epsilon \in ⿳ 亠 厶 ⺝ 刂 \nu) ~ \mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu \ldots \epsilon \epsilon$ （ $\grave{\varepsilon} a \nu) \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \eta^{\prime}$ ，in the first such a general apodosis as $\kappa a \lambda \hat{\omega} s ~ є ่ \sigma \tau \iota \cdot \dot{\eta} \sigma u \chi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ ，\＆c．（it is well；well and good； be it so，or the like），is sometimes omitted，as contain－ ing a thought which may be easily supplied，and the discourse hastens on to the following more important thought．
b）The verb is sometimes omitted after $\epsilon i$ ，\＆c． Thus in $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \delta L_{a}=$ if it were not for ；if it had not been for，as in $\epsilon i \mu \dot{̀}$ dià tòv кúva，but for the dog． The verb omitted is usually $\epsilon \in \kappa \omega \lambda \dot{\imath} \neq \eta \nu$（ $-9 \eta \eta \sigma a \nu, \& c c$ ．）


c) So too $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\prime}$ receives the meaning of nisi. Oi



## Exercise 79.

272. (1) And now we have at length ${ }^{\text {a }}$ both fought and won the decisive battle; we have both Sardis and Croesus in our hands, have taken Babylon, and ${ }^{40}$ borne down all before us; and ${ }^{\circ}$ yet by ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Mithras! yesterday if I had not used my fists against several persons, I should not have been \&ble to get near you. $\dagger$ When' however ${ }^{\text {c }}$ you had taken me by the hand, and bade me stay by you, then I was at once ${ }^{d}$ an object of envy, because I passed the whole day with you fasting! Now, therefore, if it can be any how managed, that those who have been the most deserving may have the greatest share of you ( ${ }^{\circ}$ well and good) ; but, if not, ${ }^{e}$ I am ready to make a proclamation once again ${ }^{f}$ in your name, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to the effect) that all should quit you except us, your original friends.
(2) Beginning from childhood, they both teach and admonish them as long as they live. For as soon as any one understands what is said, nurse, mother, pedagogue, and the father himself, vie with each other in this, how the boy may become as good as possible; in every word and deed teaching and pointing out to him that this is just, and that unjust; this is honorable, and that base; this is holy, and that unholy; and this you must do, and that you must not do. And if the boy obeys willingly, it is well; but if not, e like a tree twisted and bent, they make him straight by threats and blows.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Now at length— $\nu \hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$. b ' $\mu \alpha$ ' dixit non $\nu$ ', quia negat . . . ad Cyrum accedere potuisse nisi pugnis cum multis certasset' (Bornemann).
[^25]
## Exercise 80. ( $\epsilon i \mu \eta$ ì $\delta$ cá.)

273. (1) And from this delay, Archidamus incurred the greatest censure, though he had, $\dagger$ even while the war was gathering, ${ }^{\text {a }}$, been thought to show a want of spirit, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and to favor the Athenians, by not heartily recommending hostilities. And again, after the army was mustered, the stay that was made at the Isthmus, and his slowness on the rest of the march, tgave occasion for charges against him, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ but most of all his stopping at Enoe. For the Athenians during this time were carrying in their property, and the Peloponnesians thought, that by advancing against them quickly they would have found every thing still out, but for his dilatoriness.
(2) Again, tell me with respect to Cimon. Did not they whom he took care of, pass a sentence of ostracism upon him, in order that they might not hear his voice for ten years? And did they not do the very same to Themistocles, and beside punish him with exile? And did they not sentence Miltiades, the conqueror at Marathon, to be thrown into the pit; ${ }^{\text {d }}$ and would he not have ${ }^{\circ}$ actually been thrown into it but for the president of the Prytanes? ${ }^{e}$
 infin. and partcp. of the present (as they are usually called) belong to the imperfect also, and may have its meaning of a pluperf. even after the present. Kr. ${ }^{\text {e }} \delta \iota \epsilon \in \beta a \lambda \in \nu$ aùtóv by prosopopœeia.
d Bápaspov, a gulf or pit at Athens, behind the Acropolis, into which prisoners were thrown. e The president ( $\left.\epsilon^{\epsilon} \pi \downarrow \sigma \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta s\right)$ of the Prytanes is here called ò Прútavıs.

Chap. 18. § 2. ( $\left.\epsilon i \quad \delta \grave{~} \mu \eta^{\prime}.\right)$
274. a) The form $\epsilon i \quad \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ (sin minus), but if not, often occurs without a verb; e.g. after $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \mu$ év (properly, potissimum quidem $=$ ) if possible; of the thing to be done by preference, if it can be done.

 (Isocr.).
b) This $\epsilon i \delta \grave{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$, having become a standing formula, was often used after ċà $\mu^{\mu} \epsilon_{\nu}$ (where one should expect $\epsilon$ 'à $\nu \delta \grave{\varepsilon} \mu \eta$ ). Also after negative notions it is found introducing the opposite supposition (though this is here affirmative, and would be introduced by $\epsilon i \delta \epsilon^{\prime}$, if the verb were repeated); the strict opposition being neglected from its having become the regular office of $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ to express, but on the contrary supposition; otherwise.


c) The notion indicated by $\epsilon i \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \mu \dot{\eta}$ is often not opposed to any preceding one stated in words (introduced by $\epsilon i[\mu \dot{\epsilon} \nu]$ ], but to one that is merely implied by the statement previously made.


 oûs oủ ßoúlovtaı (Th.).

## Exercise 81.

275. (1) With respect to our own ancestors, no man can prove that in the long succession of previous ages they attempted to subject to themselves any city, whether small or great; whereas all men know, that the Lacedæmonians, ever since they came into the Peloponnesus, have, in all their actions and all their deliberations, made it their sole object to subject to themselves, if possible, the whole Greek nation, but at all events the Peloponnesians.
(2) After she had told me every thing, I said: ' $\uparrow \mathrm{Be}$ sure not to tell a soul of this: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ if you do, no part of our agreement will stand. But I expect you to give me ocular proof of your assertions; for I don't want
words, but ${ }^{\circ}$ desire that the fact should be openly discovered, if ${ }^{\text {b }}$ it is as you say.'
(3) These actions, $O$ men of Athens, are noble actions to record, an honor to those who performed them, and the imperishable glory of our state: not ${ }^{\circ}$ so however what Leocrates has done, but ${ }^{\circ}$ rather he has voluntarily sullied with disgrace the glory that our city has accumulated from the earliest ages. If therefore you put him to death, you will be reputed by all the states of Greece to hate such actions, as much as they do; but, if not, you will both rob your forefathers of their ancient glory, and inflict a great injury upon your fellow-citizens.
[^26]
## Chap. 18. § 3. ( $\left.\epsilon i \mu \eta^{\prime}.\right)$

276. The particles $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\prime}$ often stand without a verb, in the sense of nisi.

In this sense they may follow negatives: oùk, oùסei's,
 or an interrogative that implies a negation.
 our use of unless; e. g. don't do it unless convenient to yourself.]
 каї á $\rho \in \tau \eta^{\prime}$ (Xen.).

## Exercise 82.

277. The Athenians, therefore, when preparations had been made on each side, both by deeds and words, sailed in the night to Minōa, an island off Megara, with six hundred heavy-armed, under the command of Hippocrates, and posted themselves in an excavated
piece of ground, from which they used to make their bricks for the walls, ${ }^{a}$ and ${ }^{\circ}$ which ${ }^{\text {b }}$ was not far off; while the troops, with Demosthenes, the other commander, consisting of light-armed Platæans, besides peripobli, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ placed themselves in ambuscade in the ground consecrated to Mars, which was at a less distance. Now no one was aware of this but those who were charged with the execution of it. When day was about to dawn, the traitors amongst the Megareans did as follows. They had for a long time past used means to secure the opening of the gates, and with the consent of the officer in command, in the guise of privateers, to carry on a cart during the night a boat worked by sculls, along the trench down to the sea, and so sail out; and before it was day they brought it again on the cart, and took it as far as the wall through the gates; that the Athenians in Minoa, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ as they pretended, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ might not know what precautions to take, no boat being visible in the harbor.
[^27]
## Снар. 18. § 4. ( $\epsilon i \mu \eta ̀ ~ \epsilon i)$.

278. When $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\prime}$ has the meaning of except, another $\epsilon i$ is sometirres subjoined; thus $\epsilon i \mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon i=$ nisi si; except if, unless: the predicate of $\epsilon i \mu \dot{\eta}$ being omitted.




## Exercise 83.

279. Socr. Well then, if these things are true, Polus, what is the great utility of rhetoric? For, from what has been now agreed on, every one ought especially to beware of acting unjustly, in the belief that ( ${ }^{\circ}$ by so acting) he will sustain great evil. Is it not so?

Pol. Certainly.
Socr. And if a man has committed injustice, either himself, or any one else for whom he has regard, he ought of his own accord to betake himself thither, where as soon as possible he will be punished, to a judge as to a physician, taking every pains lest the disease of injustice becoming inveterate should render the soul corrupt and incurable; for what must we say, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Polus, if ${ }^{\text {b }}$ our former admissions are to stand? Do not these things necessarily harmonize with the former in this, but in no other way?

Pol. For what else can we say, Socrates?
Socr. For the purpose, then, of excusing injustice, our own, or that of our parents, or friends, or children, or country, when it acts unjustly, rhetoric is of no use to us at all, Polus [nor is it of any use], unless any one supposes that he ought especially to accuse himself, and afterwards his relatives, and any other of his friends, who may have acted unjustly, and not conceal the crime, but bring it to light, in order that he may be punished, and restored to health; moreover, that he should compel both himself and the others to lay aside fear, and with his eyes shut, and in a manly way, deliver himself up, as to a physician, to be cut and cauterised, pursuing the good and the beautiful, without paying any regard to what is painful; if he has committed a wrong worthy of stripes, delivering himself up to be beaten; if of bonds, to be bound; if of a fine, to pay it; if of exile, to be banished; if of death, to die; being himself the first accuser of him-
self, and others his relatives; not sparing either himself or them, but employing rhetoric for this very purpose, that, the crimes being exposed, they may be freed from the greatest of evils, injustice. Shall we say thus, Polus, or not?
 $\pi \bar{\omega} s \lambda$ 'َ́ $\gamma \mu \epsilon \nu$ autem quecerentis, quid dicatur ac statuatur. Stallbaum.)
${ }^{\text {b }}$ єїтєр ( $=$ siquidem).

## Chap. 18. §5. ( $\epsilon i$ каi.. каì єi.)

280. Ei kaí, etsi, although; but sometimes, even if. Kaì $\epsilon i=$ even if (etiam si; vel si; etiam tum, si): here the even, preceding the supposition, marks it as an im probable and extreme condition, or, at all events, as the most unfavorable that can well be conceived.
281. Hartung says that in $\kappa a i$ ci the кai (as in каimep) has a heightening effect, and this force affects only the hypothesis or conditional particle; whereas in $\epsilon i$ кai the кaí has not any heightening effect; the particles imply no ascensio in majus; and moreover the force of the particle affects the whole contents of the concessive clause, not merely the conditional particle.
282. Hartung also objects to Hermann's statement, that кai $\epsilon i$ leaves it doubtful, whether the supposition really exists or is merely assumed, whereas $\epsilon i$ $\kappa a i$ signifies that the thing really is as we say. Klotz defends Hermann; but the example in the first extract of the following Exercise at once disproves the notion, for Demosthenes there uses $\epsilon i$ кaí, though the supposition is pointedly stated to be inconsistent with the fact.
283. a) Sauppe says: Utraque ( $\kappa a i$ $\epsilon i$ et $\epsilon i \kappa a i$ ) exprimunt ei rei quæ periodo primariâ continetur aliquid repugnare, sed eam nihilominus vel esse vel fieri. Kai $\epsilon i$ vero efficiunt, ut ea sola res, qua periodo conditionali profertur, repugnare videatur:
præposita particula conditionalı ( $\epsilon i$ кai) efficitur, ut res aliqua, quæ cum aliis compluribus ( $\kappa a i$ ) vel sit vel esse possit, repugnare, sed nihil valere dicatur. Ita каì $\epsilon \grave{\imath}$ particulæ vim eorum, quæ sequuntur, valde adaugent, $\epsilon i$ кaí minus efferunt: quanquam apparet $\epsilon i$ кai sæpe in locum particularum каì cì substitui posse (Sauppe, ad Dem. Ol. 2, 19).






 $\pi \rho a ́ т \tau \leqslant \iota \nu(D e m).$.
284. b) I own that I cannot perceive any certain distinction beyond this, that the кai in кai $\epsilon i$ adds emphasis to the whole contents of the conditional clause; in the rai it usually points out a particular notion in the supposition, whether as opposed to the true state of the case or not.

In $\epsilon i$ каì (like etsi) the $\kappa a i$ (as also $\mu \eta \delta$ é after $\epsilon i$ ) often relates to some following notion: e. g. Herod. 5,


## Exercise 84.

285. (1) We must look to the truth, not to the contrivances by which men like you patch up a plau sible story. Besides, good heavens! suppose it were ever so true that you had paid the portion (which you have not) who is to blame for that? Yourselves; for you took my property as a security. Did not Aphǒbus take possession of my estate (for which judgment was given against him) ten whole years before he became your brother-in-law? And was it right that you should recover every thing, while I, who have obtained a judgment, an oppressed orphan, and the loser of
a real portion, who alone of all mankind ought to have been exempted from the risk of costs, am thus reduced to distress, and have recovered nothing at all, though I have been ready to accede to any terms of your own proposing that were fair and reasonable?
(2) I know that the king would grant even the Mysians many guides, and give them many hostages, as a security to conduct them out of his territories without fraud; that would level roads for them, ${ }^{\circ}$ aye even if they insisted upon being sent away in chariots. And I know ${ }^{\circ}$ too that for us he would do all this with the utmost alacrity, if he saw us preparing to settle in his country.
(3) O Eryximachus, it is fair that you should either stop my hiccup or speak for me, till it is over. And ( ${ }^{\circ}$ they tell me) that Eryximachus replied: 'Well, I will do both; for I will speak in your turn, and you, when you have done ${ }^{\circ}$ hiccuping, shall speak in mine. And, whilst I am speaking, hold your breath for a long time together, and if your hiccup chooses to stop, well and good, but if not, gargle your throat with water; but, if by chance it is very violent, take something to tickle your nostrils with, and ( ${ }^{\circ}$ make yourself) sneeze; and if you do this two or three times, even if it is exceedingly violent, it will stop.' 'You can't begin your speech too soon,' said Aristophanes; 'and I will do what you tell me.'

Chap. 18. § 6. (oưס' $\left.\epsilon i . \epsilon_{i l}^{\prime} \tau \iota \varsigma, \& c.\right)$
 the connective particle which the ov́ $\delta \dot{\text { é }}$ or $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ involves, that belongs to the conditional particle; the negative belonging to the principal clause. So that, e. g. oú ${ }^{\prime}$ $\varepsilon i=\kappa a i \epsilon i \ldots$ ou. In other words, the particles $=e v e n$ if, with a not, that must be carried to the verb of the apodosis.


 $\dot{v} \mu \in i \hat{s} \gamma \in \kappa \iota \nu \dot{\eta} \sigma \epsilon \sigma \vartheta \epsilon$ (Dem.).
287. b) The negative of $\epsilon i \kappa a i$ is $\epsilon i \mu \eta \delta \delta^{\prime}$.

There is often an ellipse of such a notion as to see, to try, \&c. before $\epsilon i, \epsilon l \prime \pi \omega s$, ćád $\pi \omega s$ (if any how), $\epsilon i$ тои ( $\pi о \tau \epsilon, \& c$.), if haply.


 $\chi \triangleright \sigma \mu a$ (Th.).
288. c) Ei" $\tau \iota s$ ( $\tau \iota$, \&c.) frequently implies no doubt with respect to the existence of the object in question, but is nearly equivalent to öбтьs (whoever, whatever).
(Of course $\epsilon^{i}$ tis may be, and often $i s$, used in the strict sense of ' if any.')

## Exercise 85.

289. (1) To this Phalinnus replied: 'The king is of opinion that he has conquered, since he has killed Cyrus; for who is there, who now contends with him for the empire? He looks upon you also as his property, since he has you in the middle of his country, surrounded by impassable rivers, and can bring against you such a host that, even if he were to allow you to slay them, you would not be able to do it.
(2) When Anaxibius arrived, by land he assembled a body of mercenary troops, and wrested some of the Eolian cities from Pharnabazus. He also requited the cities that had marched against Aby ydos by ${ }^{\circ}$ now marching against them, and proceeded to invade and lay waste their territory. Moreover, he manned three ships at Abydos, in addition to those he had, and put to sea with them, to try whether he could any where meet with and capture any vessel of the Athenians or their allies.

## Chap. 19. E'̈т $\pi \epsilon \rho$ (ả $\lambda_{\lambda}^{\prime}$ є ${ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ ).

290. Ei'm $\epsilon \rho$ (si omnino) is only $\epsilon i$ strengthened by $\pi \epsilon ́ \rho$. It calls attention to the condition, and so adds emphasis to it. The condition introduced by $\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho$ may be one that is doubted (if really, if indeed); or a restrictive or corrective condition (if, that is; at least if); or it may be strongly affirmed, with a view to point out the certainty of the consequence that is said to follow the realization of the condition.
291. After $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho$ (especially after $\epsilon i \pi \tau \in \rho \pi \tau \in \in$, and $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}, \epsilon^{\prime \prime}(\pi \epsilon \rho)$, there is often an ellipse; which may always be supplied by a tense of the verb in the principal sentence, or by a verb expressing some more general notion suggested by it.

 oủk ảठıкєìtal, ठıá $\gamma \in \tau о \hat{\tau} \tau$, à $\lambda \lambda^{\prime} \in i ̋ \pi \epsilon \rho$, ß $\lambda a ́ \pi \tau \tau \tau a \iota$ $\mu$ óvov $=$ but at most only hurt [i. e. à $\lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ є'l $\pi \epsilon \rho \tau \iota \pi a ́ \sigma$ $\chi \in \iota, \beta \lambda a ́ \pi \tau \epsilon \tau a \iota ~ \mu o ́ v o \nu]$. Arist. Eth. v. 296. Tìv ä $\mu$ -

 $\lambda a \nu \hat{Y} \hat{y}$; i. e. but if at all, only when: [quod si quando id faciendum sit, tum certe demum quum uva nigiga jam fuerit.] Theoph. Plant. 11.

## Exercise 86.

292. (1) Gentlemen, you may infer from another strong circumstance, that Aphobus lived with his wife, and has continued to do so to this hour. $\ddagger$ The lady, $\dagger$ before lier union with him, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ did not remain a day single, but left a living husband (Timocrates) to come to him; whereas now, we see that, in a period of three years, she has not married any other man. Now ${ }^{\text {b }}$ who can believe, that on the former occasion she went straight from one man to another, to avoid living single; and that now (if she is really divorced) she
would have endured to remain single for so long time, when it was in her power to get a new husband, her brother possessing so large a fortune, and she herself being so young?
(2) This very thing was then mentioned, that there must always be in our state something that has the same regard for the constitution which you, the legislator, had, when you enacted the laws. 'Yes; that was mentioned,' said he. 'Yes; but,' said I, 'it was not made sufficiently clear, owing to the fear of what you objected, when you showed also that the illustration of this would be both tedious and difficult; for, indeed, it is not quite easy to discuss what remains.' 'What is that?' 'In what manner a state is to undertake the study of philosophy, so as not itself to be destroyed; for all great pursuits are dangerous; and, as the saying is, noble objects are difficult indeed.' 'But still,' rejoined he, 'let our demonstration be completed by making this evident.' 'Want of inclination,' said I, 'will not hinder me; but, if any thing, want of power [or, but at most, want of power only]; and now you shall at once be convinced of my readiness.'
[^28]
## Chap. 20. Eîta, ëtєєta.

293. a) Eita, "̈тєєтa, then, thereupon: in enumera-
 ( $\delta$ '́), \&c.; the opposition being sharper when the $\delta^{\prime}$ is omitted (Kr.), which it is more commonly than not. ${ }^{1}$

This seems to me a correcter view than that of Klotz, who says: 'Eîca simplex ordinem ac seriem tantummodo indicat, eî $\tau \alpha \delta_{\text {qu }}$ quandam oppositionis significationem in se continet.'

[^29]b) So also $\epsilon i \bar{\tau} a\left({ }^{\prime \prime} \pi \tau \epsilon \tau \tau a\right)$ are sometimes without $\delta \in ́$ in their temporal sense, $=$ and then; e. g. סıф9'́pasє́ $\pi i ́ \mu \pi \lambda a \sigma a \nu ~ \chi o ́ \rho т о v ~ к о и ́ \phi o v, ~ \epsilon i ̀ \tau a ~ \sigma v \nu \eta ̂ \gamma o \nu ~ к т \lambda . ~(X e n . ~$ An. 1, 10.)
294. "ETєє ${ }^{\prime}$, єita (= next, in the next place), sometimes refer to $\mu a ́ \lambda \iota \sigma \tau a \quad \mu \dot{\nu} \nu$ (principally, especially, above all). Cf. Soph. EEd. Tyr. 647. In Th. 6, $16 ; \mu \in ̀$
 (Dem. de Cor. 278).
 in questions that imply surprise at what is felt to be strange and inconsistent; the ground of the surprise having been stated or implied in what precedes the question.



 $\tau a$, which are more emphatic. $K a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau \mathfrak{a}$ тоьоито⿱ ővta oủ фi入єîs av̉тóv; (Xen.)
296. After a participle, the particles єĩa ( $\kappa \hat{d} \tau a$ ), ${ }^{\prime} \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a(\kappa a ̈ \pi \epsilon \iota \tau a)$ are often added to the predicate of the sentence (with the force of nevertheless; notwithstanding; for all that; after all). The єīa, éтєєтa properly denote sequence in time ( $=$ then) ; but in this construction imply strangeness or inconsistency in the agent's doing the second action, when (or after) he had done the first.

 ä $\lambda \lambda \omega \nu \pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau о \nu \tau a s ~ \sigma \omega \phi \rho o \nu \in i ̂ \nu(P l$.$) .$

## Exercise 87.

297. (1) First then, I will prove by witnesses that he made this will, not from having any cause of complaint against us, but in consequence of a quarrel between him and Dinias; next, that, when Dinias was
no more, he superintended all our affairs, and gave us an education in his house, to which he had removed us; and, thirdly, that he sent Pǒsidīppus for the magistrate, who, however, far from fetching him himself, ${ }^{\circ}$ actually sent away Archōnidēs when he came to the door. Call those who will prove the truth of my assertion.
(2) Are you so clever, that you can make just what use you please of the laws; or so powerful as to feel sure that those you have wronged will never obtain satisfaction at your hands? And are you not then ashamed of being so senseless as to look for advantages, not from the actual services that you have rendered to the state, but from the injuries that you have inflicted upon it with impunity?
(3) Come, then, let me read you also the several attestations of those public offices ${ }^{\text {a }}$ that I have discharged; and, in return, do you read those verses that you spoilt ( ${ }^{\circ}$ in the delivery)-
> 'Forth from the deep abyss behold I come,' And from the gates of darkness -;'

and
'Know that unwillingly I woes announce; 'c and
' O †in the first place and above all, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ may the gods -and then may all these ( ${ }^{\circ}$ your fellow-citizens) cut you off by a miserable death, like a bad citizen as you are, and a traitor, and $\dagger$ an actor of third-rate characters!'e

[^30]
## Chap. 21. E'itє.

 used where $\epsilon \in a ́ \nu(\eta ้ \nu, a ̈ \nu)$ would be used for $\epsilon$ i.
 -or; either-or), of indifference; the speaker leaving it undecided which supposition he assumes to be the true one.





299. The particles are sometimes preceded by о́то́тєра: e. g. Xen. Hell. 3, 5, 9.
300. The following forms also are sometimes used: viz.: $\epsilon^{i \prime \tau} \epsilon-\epsilon i \delta^{\prime}$ (sive-si vero), when the second member contains something opposed to the first: $\epsilon i \tau \epsilon-\eta$.





301. The particles $\epsilon^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \tau \epsilon-\epsilon^{\prime \prime} \tau \epsilon$ occur also in indirect questions. Ei-ciтє is rare (Anab. 6, 4, 20; and Pl. Legg. 878, 952 : cf. Crat. 424).
302. In the meaning and if it is better to write $\epsilon \ddot{Z} \tau \epsilon$, which, like クั้ $\tau \epsilon$, sometimes refers to a preceding oü $\tau \in(K r$.).

[^31]
## Exercise 88.

303. (1) They cannot say it was poverty that pre vented their making an immediate transfer ; for Timǒcrates has an estate of more than ten talents, and Onētōr above thirty; this then could not have been the cause. Nor can they allege that they had valuable property, but no ready money; or that the lady was a widow, and therefore they hurried on the marriage without paying her portion at once. For, in the first place, these men lend a great deal of money to other people; and, secondly, the lady was not a widow, but was living with Timocrates, and removed from his house, when they gave her away to Aphŏbus. This last excuse, therefore, it is plain, cannot be received. And I think, gentlemen of the jury, you will all agree upon this point,-that any man, contracting such an alliance, would rather borrow of another than not pay his sister's portion to her husband. For, in the latter case, he is esteemed as a debtor who is not certain to perform his engagements; whereas, if he gives away the lady and her money together, he becomes indeed a brother-in-law and a friend; he has then acted an honorable part, and is not looked upon with mistrust.
(2) Then Xenophon said: 'Would you consent (pl.), O Medosades, to leave it to the people in whose country we are (since you say they are your friends), to determine by their votes which party ought ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to leave it, you or we? This he refused, but urged, as the best thing to be done, that the two Lacedæmonians should go to Seuthes themselves about the pay, and said it was his opinion Seuthes would hearken to them; but, if they did not approve of that, he recommended them to send Xenophon with him, assuring them of his cooperation; and he a also begged they would not burn the villages. Upon this, they sent Xenophon with such persons as were thought most proper to attend him.
${ }^{\text {a }} \pi \rho \circ \sigma \hat{\eta} \kappa \in \nu$ ，which（according to Thom．Mag．）is an Attic idiom for тробท̆ルєь．

## СНар．22．＇$E \pi \epsilon i ́, ~ \epsilon ̇ \pi \epsilon \iota \delta ウ ́ . ~ . ~$

 $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \epsilon \delta \dot{\alpha} \nu$ ，of which the last is far the more common，and $\bar{\epsilon} \pi \dot{\alpha} \nu$ somewhat more common than $\epsilon \pi \eta \dot{\eta}, K r$ ．］are properly particles of time（when； after；postquam）；but，like most particles of time，also denote cause，
 as soon as ever：
b）Both are also since（ex quo）of time．
 ［See Part．I．］．

305．＂Particula є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ cum aliis conjuncta particulis has fere significationes habet：

є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ i $\tau 0,{ }^{1}$ nam profecto． є̇тєi $\gamma \epsilon$ ，quandoquidem． є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ тои каi，${ }^{2}$ nam certe qui－ dem（H．）；［nam profecto etiam；enim vero etiam （S．）］．

є̇тєime $\rho$ ，quum semel，quan－ do semel．
$\epsilon \in \pi \epsilon i \pi \epsilon \rho \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ，quandoquidem semel．
є̇ாeєঠウ́ $\gamma \in$ ，quoniam qui－ dem．＂（H．）
є̇ $\pi \epsilon i \quad \gamma \epsilon$ ס̂̀，quum quidem jam（Kl．）．

306．＇$E \pi \epsilon$＇$^{\prime}$＝for if not；for otherwise；for if so， \＆c．）is sometimes used argumentatively，where $\epsilon i \delta \dot{e}$ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ，or，after negative propositions，$\epsilon i \quad \delta \dot{́}$ ，might be employed．The particle does not really change its meaning of quando quidem，but there is an ellipse of a conditional sentence，such as if you doubt it；if you think so，\＆c．

[^32]





## Exercise 89.

307. (1) Euc. As I was going down to the port, I met with Theætētus, who was being carried from the camp at Corinth to Athens.

Ter. Alive or dead?
Euc. Alive, though scarcely so; for he is in a bad state from several wounds, though he suffers more from the disease that is prevalent in the army.

Ter. Is it dysentery?
Euc. Yes.
Ter. What a man you speak of as being in danger!
Euc. An honorable and good man, Terpsion; for indeed even a now I heard some persons highly extolling his conduct in the battle.

Ter. Nor is that surprising; but it would be much more wonderful if he had not behaved so. But ${ }^{\text {b }}$ why did he not stop here at Megara?

Euc. He was hastening home; although I begged and advised him, yet he would not.
(2) They ratified these oaths by the sacrifice of a boar, a bull, a wolf, and a ram, whose blood being poured into the hollow of a shield, ${ }^{\text {e }}$ the Greeks dipped a sword therein, and the Barbarians a spear. $\ddagger$ When they had pledged their faith, Clearchus said,--'Come now, O Ariæus! since your route and ours are the same, say, what is your opinion concerning our march? Shall we return the same way we came, or have you thought of any other more convenient?'

[^33]308. ' $E$ TL, yet, still, further.
 progress of an argument, these particles denote that what has been previously said no longer holds good; the case now arrived at being of a different character. The particles are then sometimes printed separately.





## Exercise 90.

310. (1) It was on such grounds and with such reasons, Lacedæmonians and allies, that we revolted; sufficiently clear ones for those who hear them to judge that we acted rightly, and sufficiently strong ones to alarm us, and make us betake ourselves to some means of safety; which indeed we wished to do long ago, when we sent to you, while the peace yet lasted, on the subject of our revolting, but were prevented by your not receiving us into alliance. But now, when the Boootians invited us, we immediately listened to their proposals, and thought that we should withdraw ourselves in a twofold manner,-from the Greeks, so as not to join in injuring them in company with the Athenians, but to join in giving them liberty; and from the Athenians, so as not to be ruined by them ourselves after the rest, but to be beforehand in acting [against them].
(2) On this account our crews have been wasted, and are still wasting; as some of our seamen, in consequence of their fetching wood, of foraging, and of distant watering, are cut off by their cavalry; while our servants, since we have been reduced to an equal footing, desert from us, and those of the foreigners
who went on board, as pressed men, straightway depart to the several cities; while those, again, who were at first elated by the high pay, and supposed that they were going to make money rather than to fight, since they have unexpectedly seen both the fleet and every thing else on the side of the enemy offering resistance to us, either leave us on finding some excuse for going over to the enemy, or in whatever way they severally can (and Sicily is a large country); while in some instances, by engaging in traffic themselves, after persuading the captains to take Hyccarian slaves on board in their stead, they have destroyed the perfection of our navy.
(3) And now Gylippus went away into the rest of Sicily for forces, intending to raise them both for sea and land service; and, at the same time, to bring over any of the cities that was either not hearty in the cause, or had hitherto stood entirely aloof from the war. Other ambassadors of the Syracusans and Corinthians were also sent to Lacedæmon and Corinth, in order that a fresh force might be sent over to them, in whatever way might answer best, whether in merchantmen or boats, or any how else; since the Athenians too were sending for reinforcements. Moreover, the Syracusans proceeded to man and practise a fleet, for the purpose of making an attempt in that way also, and were, in other respects, much more full of confidence.

CHap. 24. 'H.
311. 'H, 1) aut, vel ; 2) an ; 3) quam. ' $H$ —", autaut; vel-vel.
a) The comparative $\eta$ is sometimes used after $\mu \hat{a} \lambda$ خov omitted, or after a positive. This is the case after expressions of willing, choosing, and the like, because these contain the notion of difference, separation, or

 esse).
$Z \eta \tau \circ \hat{\sigma} \sigma \iota$ кєр $\delta a i \nu \epsilon \iota \nu, \hat{\eta}$ í $\mu \hat{s}, \pi \epsilon i ' 9 \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Lys.). 'A $A \eta$.

 $\lambda \epsilon i v, \hat{\eta} \zeta_{n}^{n} \nu(A n d o c$.$) .$
312. b) In the same way it stands not only after comparatives, but after words that express a difference
 Sláqopos), and after all words which have the force of a comparative ( $\delta \iota \pi \lambda a ́ \sigma \iota o s, \pi \rho i v, \phi 9 a ́ v \omega)$.









 oi ä $\nu \delta \rho \epsilon s$ av̉т $\omega \nu \nu$ í $\pi \epsilon \rho \epsilon \in \beta a \iota \nu o \nu(T h$.$) . Kr.$
313. c) The particle 号 occasionally connects different constructions by which similar notions are expressed.

 $\mu \in \nu o c$ Bíq (Th.).
314. d) In $\ddot{\eta}-\eta$ (as in aut-aut), the two members are equal; with a single $\vec{\eta}$, unequal (the $\ddot{\eta}=$ aut etiam); and there is generally a descensus a majore ad minus:
 'Chabrias maluit pro honoribus sibi decernendis mori, aut, si fieri posset, vincere' (Hand').
[The descent is here, not to a less desirable, but to a less probable event.]

 apparet, nee facile quis dixerit aut parum aut nihil, nisi cert؛ causà intercedente.' Hand, i. p. 537.
315. e) ' $H$ in questions, cf. Gr. 1360; in double questions, Gr. 1359. 1364: र̂ $\rho a \ldots$. . ${ }^{\prime}, 1359$, b. Cf. also 1466. Sometimes after a general and quite indefinite question, ${ }^{\eta}$ introduces one which, in the opinion of the speaker, is probably very near the truth: or-not.

 $\chi$ рóvov єúp $\mu \mu$ évŋs; (Pl.) Cf. Elmsl. Soph. (Ed. Col 66 ( $K r$.).


## Exercise 91.

316. (1) As in the persons of young people, who have shot up suddenly, so ${ }^{\text {a }}$ as to be very tall, there yet appears something childish that betrays their youth; so in Cyrus, it was not an impudence and boldness that appeared through that talkativeness, but a simplicity and affectionate nature; so that one would desire rather to hear yet more from him, than to be with him while he held his tongue.
(2) 'If I had happened to ask you to what class of painters Xeuxis belonged, if you had said he is a painter of animals, should I not have properly inquired of you what animals he paints?' 'Yes.' 'And that, for this reason, that there are many other painters who paint many other animals?' 'Yes.' 'But if, on the contrary, no one but Xeuxis painted ( ${ }^{\circ}$ animals), you would have answered well ${ }^{\circ}$ already? Certainly.
(3) Why does origanum, if thrown into the must, make the wine sweet? Two half-pints of it are thrown into a jar of wine. Is it perhaps because it abstracts the watery and feculent portions, which cause harshness ( ${ }^{\circ}$ in wines), by imbibing them itself? A proof that the harshness is thus caused is this: $\ddagger$ wines are rendered less mellow by being mixed with water, and by being suffered to remain long upon the lees. And, when sweet wine is made, the bunches of grapes are
exposed to the sun for a long sime, and the sun abstracts the watery particles and mellows all the rest. Now the origanum has the very same effect, for it is dry and hot.

2 As-so, $\omega \sigma \pi \epsilon p-$ oü $\tau \omega$ каí. This каí is usually, but not always, added in comparisons of this kind. See below under ка.́.

## Chap. 25. ${ }^{\wedge} H$.

317. ${ }^{\text {'H }} H$ (profecto) expresses confirmation. To strengthen it, $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ is often added ( $=$ assuredly, in asseverations, promises, \&c.).





318. ${ }^{3} H^{1}$ interrogat cum conjecturâ verisimiti vel persuasione (Ellendt.).

Krüger says, 'it indicates a doubt, a struggling against the acknowledgment that the thing is so; partly, however, only from urbanity; so that, as in the case of the Latin -ne, the answer may be affirmative or negative.' In. many examples I cannot perceive this force. Rost says, 'it indicates that the questioner takes a peculiar interest in making out the true state of the case.' ${ }^{s} H$


 $\epsilon \epsilon \phi \eta(P l$.). - Yery often in connection with other particles, e. g. $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu, \delta \dot{\eta}, \delta \dot{\eta} \pi o v, \delta \hat{\eta} \tau a, ~ a ̈ p a, \gamma a ́ \rho, ~ \kappa a i ́, ~ \pi o u ́$, тoù ă $\rho a$.
319. c) ${ }^{\wedge} H \operatorname{mov}(=o ̋ \nu \tau \omega \varsigma \pi o u)$ nihil significat aliud

[^34]nisi ejus, qui loquitur, de re aliqua conjecturam cum asseveratione conjunctam' (S. ad Lys, p. 108).


 aïбхıбтоv тóסє; (Kl.) Elimsley reads $\hat{\eta}$ خáp; Witschel oű $\pi o v$; Klotz and Pflügk defend the usual reading.
320. d) ${ }^{9} H$ mov (surely, $I$ imagine) is also used as an affirmative asseveration. If there is an ascensio a minori ad majus, we might use much more; and hence Budæus gave multo magis as a meaning of the particles. If there is a descensio a majori ad minus, they might, in the same way, be rendered multo minus; but this kind of descent is always ironical.
321. e) ${ }^{s} H$ 才á $\rho$; asks for information with respect to what the speaker suspects to be the case from what he has just heard. Of course it may often express surprise: sometimes it is used ironically, but the irony does not proceed from any force of the particles, but from the context.
 тои́т由ע ть Є่фúтєvбas; (Xen.) did you really (or actually)

 is it not so? often stands alone, to demand assent to an assertion just made.

## Exercise 92.

322. (1) Socr. Do I understand what kind of art you wish to call it? but I shall soon comprehend it more clearly. However, answer me. We have arts, have we not?

Gorg. Yes.
Socr. Of all the arts, some, I think, consist principally in workmanship, and stand in need of but few words, and others of none at all, but their work may be accomplished in silence, as painting, statuary, and
many others. With such arts, you appear to me to say rhetoric has nothing to do? is it not so?

Gorg. You apprehend my meaning perfectly, So. crates.

Socr. On the other hand, there are other arts which accomplish all by means of words, and require no work at all, or very little, such as theoretical and practical arithmetic, geometry, the game of dice, and many other arts; some of which require almost as many words as actions, and most of them more; so that altogether their whole activity and efficiency is by means of words. You appear to me to say that rhetoric is among arts of this kind.

Gorg. You say truly.
(2) Pol. Surely he who dies unjustly is to be pitied, and is wretched, is he not?

Socr. Less so, Polus, than he who slays him; and less than he who dies justly.

Pol. How so, Socrates?
Socr. Thus; because to act unjustly is the greatest of evils.

Pol. What,' is this really the greatest of evils? Is it not a greater evil to suffer unjustly?

Socr. By no means.
Pol. Would you yourself then wish rather to suffer unjustly than act unjustly?

Socr. I should wish neither of these; but, if I must necessarily either act unjustly or suffer unjustly, I should choose rather to suffer unjustly than to act unjustly.

Pol. Does he, then, who slays whom he pleases, slaying him justly, appear to you to be wretched, and an object of pity?

Socr. Not at all; †nor yet indeed ${ }^{\text {a }}$ is he to be envied.

Pol. Did you not say. just now that he was wretched ?

Socr. I said, my friend, that he is wretched who
slays another unjustly, and, more than that, to be pitied; but that he who slays another justly is not to be envied.
a oủס̀̀ $\mu \in ́ \nu \tau o l$.

## СНар. 26. "Hס $\quad$ ( $j a m$ ).

323. a) With reference to present and past time $\eta \not \partial \eta \eta$ $=a l r e a d y$, just, and in a negative proposition, hitherto (adhuc), as yet.

Toîs $\mu$ è $\nu \pi \rho o ̀ s ~ \tau a ̀ s ~ \pi u ̛ \lambda a s ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~ \hat{\eta} \nu \quad \dot{\eta}$ ä $\mu a \xi a$.
324. b) With reference to future time, at once, immediately, forthwith, stronger than táza, and opposed to the indefinite $\pi о \tau \varepsilon$, at some time or other, and èv $\dot{v} \sigma \tau$ é-




325. c) With commands and exhortations it may be construed at once: it is also used in impatient questions, like our now, and like quid jam? in the Latin comic writers.
$\Theta a ́ \rho \sigma є \iota, ~ \Pi a ́ \nu 9 є \iota a, \kappa a i ̀ \chi a i ̂ \rho \in ~ \kappa a i ̀ ~ a ̈ \pi \iota 9 \iota ~ \eta ้ \delta \eta ~(X e n) .$.

326. d) With reference to future time beginning and extending onwards from the present, $\eta$ " $\delta \eta=$ henceforth (posthac) ; $\eta \delta \eta$ oű $\kappa$, =henceforth not, or no more.
 oủ סıaтєтíнךтає Itoîs (Hisch.).
327. e) "Hס $\eta$ is also applied to space, and denotes contiguity.

328. $f$ ) Of unexpected or long expected events, $\eta \not \partial \eta \eta \pi o \tau \epsilon \in=$ at last, at length (jam tandem, tandem aliquando). But this combination has also the indefinite meaning of several times already.
'A


329. g) From this temporal meaning, $\neq 0 \eta$ passes by a natural transition to its other use; that of denoting immediate, present existence, i. e. present reality, certainty, \&c. In this way it often serves like our at once, to mark a consequence that immediately follows from a preceding statement, \&c., or a state that commences at once, or is at once discovered without going any further.




330. h) "H $\delta \eta$ frequently attaches itself to demon-
 is often $=$ present.
331. i) It is frequently found with кai.
 $\sigma 9 a \iota$ (Xen.).

For examples of $\eta \not \partial \eta$ see 255 , notes $d, f$.
 poral particle, but asseverative of what is now proved by the fact itself to have really occurred.]

$$
\text { Exercise } 93 .
$$

332. (1) Rhetoric comprehends under itself, one may almost say, all powers! And I will give you a strong proof of this. For I have often, ere now, gone with my brother and other physicians to various sick persons, who would neither drink their medicine, nor suffer themselves to be cut or cauterized by the physician; and, when the physician was unable to persuade them, I have done so by no other art than rhetoric.
(2) Gorg. I think, Socrates, that any one, if he did not know, would learn these things from me.

Socr. Stay; for you say well. If then you make any one a rhetorician, it is necessary that he should know what is just and unjust, either before or after. wards, from your instructions.

Gorg. Certainly.
Socr. What then? Is he who has learnt carpentering a carpenter or not?

Gorg. He is.
Socr. And is not he who has learnt music, a musician?

Gorg. Yes.
Socr. And he who has learnt medicine, a physiciar? And so, in the same way, with regard to other things, is not he who has learnt any particular art such a person as each science respectively makes its proficient?

Gorg. Certainly.
Socr. By the same reason, then, does it not follow that he who has learnt just things is just?

Gorg. Assuredly.
(3) He consented to do so, †out of regard for the. Messenians, whom he favored, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ and still more because he thought that, without employing the forces of Athens, with only continental tribes as his allies, and with the Atolians, he would be able to go by land against the Bœotians, through the Locri Ozollæ to Cytinium in Doris, keeping Parnassus on his right hand till he reached the Phocians, who, he thought, would eagerly join him ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (for the friendship they had always borne the Athenians ${ }^{\text {c }}$, or might even be brought over by force; and to Phocis Bootia is at once the bordering state. Starting, therefore, ${ }^{\text {d }}$ with all his armament from Leucas, in opposition to the wishes of the Arcananians, he coasted along to Sollium.
${ }^{\text {a }} \tau \hat{\jmath} \mathrm{M} \epsilon \sigma \sigma \eta \nu i \omega \nu$ Хd́pırı $\pi \in \iota \sigma \hat{\imath} \epsilon$ 's. b The inf. present sometimes follows $\delta$ oк $\hat{\omega}$ without ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} \nu$ (Gr. 1087), but only to denote an infallible result.
 to $\pi \in \iota \sigma$ ยi's.

> Снар. 27. "I Iva.
333. "I Iva, with the indicative of an historical tense, expresses an unattained result, which, however, would
be (or would have been) attained on the supposition made by the speaker (in the form of a wish, of a question implying a wish, or of a declaration of what should be or should have been).

 тà $\delta i \kappa a \iota a$ (Lys.). "I $\nu$ " $\grave{j} \nu ~ \tau v \phi \lambda o ́ s, ~ u t ~ e s s e m ~ c c e c u s: ~ " \nu \nu " ~$ є́ $\gamma \epsilon \nu \dot{\prime} \mu \eta \nu \tau v \phi \lambda \frac{\prime}{s}$, ut ccecus fuctus essem. "I va à $\pi \eta \lambda \lambda a ́ \gamma-$ $\mu \in \Im a$ (pluperf.), ut liberati essemus. H.
334. a) The Imperfect is used of a continued effect or state (as the being blind); also in the sense of solere (S.); the Aorist, of one considered, not as continuing, but as having taken place and being terminated.
335. b) "I $I$ a is here virtually conditional: ubi $=q u o$ statu, $q u \hat{a}$ conditione (like our then = if that were the case): that-so. The Indicative without a $\nu \nu$ is used in just the same way that it may be used in other conditional sentences; the consequence being stated, not as the consequence of a conditional proposition, but as an objective fact: e. g. $\epsilon i \mu \epsilon \nu$
 explaining the construction is proved, I think, to be the true one by the actual oc̣currence of ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ in the following passage of Isæus (274):-каitoo



(For the usual construction of " $/ \nu \alpha$, see Part I.)

## Exercise 94.

336. (1) I have many witnesses to speak to this point, and as many circumstantial proofs. In the first place, if he really never gave this testimony, he would have denied it, not now for the first time, but immediately upon its being read in court, when denial would better have served his purpose. In the next place, if I had without cause exposed him to a suit for false testimony against his brother (a charge on which men run the risk of degradation, besides pecuniary penalties), he would not have let the matter rest, but would have brought an action against me for compensation. Further, to sift the thing to the bottom, he would have demanded of me the slave who wrote the deposition, so that, if I refused to give him up, I might be deemed unworthy of credit.
(2) What they ought to have done was, immediately after my father's death, to have called in several witnesses, and requested them to seal the will, so that, in case of any dispute, the writing itself might have been referred to, and the whole truth ascertained. Instead of this, they thought proper to get certain other papers sealed, which were only memoranda, and did not specify all the assets; but the will itself, by virtue of which they became possessed of these same papers, and all the rest of the effects, and were discharged from responsibility for not letting the estate, they neither sealed nor delivered up. Very likely you should believe any thing they say about the matter!

Chap. 28. § 1. Kai, and; also (principally from Krïger).
337. Kai connects either single notions or sentences. Also каi-каí, both-and. Cf. тé.
a) When three or more notions are connected, the кai is placed, not like our and merely between the two last, but also between the others, and sometimes also before the first.
 $\pi о \delta a$.
338. b) Asyndĕton (i. e. the mere apposition of notions, without any conjunction) is also often found in enumerations of this kind.


339. c) When two adjectives belong to one substantive, the Greeks vsually connected them by kai, less commonly $\tau \grave{\epsilon}$ кai. Hence not the good old times, but the good and old times. This is especially the case after $\pi o ́ \lambda v s$, but even here the conjunction is sometimes omitted.
 $\tau \alpha$ (Pl.). $\Delta i \grave{\alpha} \pi 0 \lambda \lambda \omega \bar{\omega} \nu(\tau \epsilon) \kappa \alpha l \delta \epsilon \iota \nu \omega ิ \nu \quad \pi \rho a \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega \nu \quad \sigma \epsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \epsilon \in \nu 0 \iota \pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho \epsilon \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (Xen.).

and the corresponding adverbs $\dot{\omega} \sigma \tilde{v}^{\tau} \omega \varsigma, \& c ., \kappa a i=a s$. (Compare idem atque, \&c.)




 $\lambda \in \gamma o \nu(T h$.$) .$
341. e) After ou $\phi 9 a ́ v \omega$, ${ }^{\prime \prime} \mu a$, $\eta \not \partial \eta$, and after sentences that denote the passing of time, каi introduces the immediately following event, where we should use when. ovं ${ }^{\epsilon} \phi 9 \eta \nu$ (or $\epsilon \not \subset 9 a \sigma a$ )-каi, no sooner-than.






(See an example of $e$ in $25 \check{5}, 1$, note $c$.

## Exercise 95.

342. (1) Socr. Whether is it more base to commit an injustice or to suffer one? Answer me.

Pol. To commit an injustice.
Socr. Is it not, therefore, worse, since it is more base?

Pol. By no means.
Socr. I understand. You do not think, as it seems, that the beautiful and the good, and the evil and the base, are the same?

Pol. Certainly not.
Socr. But what do you say to this? Beautiful things in general, such as bodies, colors, forms, sounds, and pursuits, do you call them severally beautiful, without reference to any thing else? As, for instance, first of all, with respect to beautiful bodies, do you not say that they are beautiful; on account of their usefulness, in reference to the particular thing for which each
is useful, or on account of some pleasure, if in being seen they give delight to the beholders? Have you any thing else besides this to say respecting beauty of body?

Pol. I have not.
(2) Men. Do you think that you should yourself be able to speak, if you were obliged to do so, and the Senate should select you ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for the office)?

Soc. ${ }^{\circ}$ Certainly; and that I' should be able to speak, O Menexenus, is nothing surprising, since I have no such bad preceptress in rhetoric, but the very same who has made many good orators, and one really distinguished one, ${ }^{a}$ Pericles, the son of Xanthippus.

[^35]
## Exercise 96.

343. (1) They were constantly within a little of escaping, or of being destroyed. And thus amongst the troops of the Athenians, as long as they were fighting at sea on equal terms, every sound might be heard at once, wailing, shouting "they conquer," "they are conquered," and all the other various exclamations which a great armament in great peril would be constrained to utter. Those on board the ship were affected very much in the same way, † until at length;a after the battle had continued for a long time, the Sy racusans and their allies routed the Athenians, and pressing on them in a decisive manner, with much shouting and cheering of each other on, pursued thems to the shore.
(2) (The same continued.) Then the sea forces, as many as were not taken afloat, $\dagger$ put into the land ${ }^{\text {b }}$ at different parts, and rushed from on board to the camp; while the army, no longer with any different feelings, but all on one impulse, lamenting and groaning, de-
plored the event, and proceeded, some to succor the ships, others to guard what remained of their wall; while others, and those the greatest part, began now $(\eta \prime \delta \eta)$ to think of themselves, and how they should best provide for their own preservation. Indeed, their dismay at the moment had been exceeded by none ${ }^{\prime \mathrm{c}}$ of all they had ever felt. And they now experienced pretty nearly what they had themselves inflicted at Pylus; for, by the Lacedæmonians losing their ships, their men who had crossed over into the island were lost to them besides; and at this time for the Athenians to escape by land was hopeless, unless something beyond all expectation should occur.
 applied to being driven in by a storm (Kr.). © Strengthen oùốsis by óv.

Chap. 28. § 2. (kai continued.)
344. a) When a general remark is followed by a particular instance which illustrates its truth, $\kappa a i ̀ \nu \hat{v} \nu=$ and so now, and so in the present instance; каi то́тe= and so then. And generally a simple connection by кai is often found, where we should use and so, and thus, and by so doing, \&c.






 $\beta \in \lambda \tau i ́ o v s ~ \pi o ル \eta ́ \sigma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$ (Lys.).
345. b) $K a i^{\prime}=$ and cllso, too (nearly $=\kappa a i-\delta$ '́), when it introduces a clause in which the verb of the preceding clause is repeated, or a synonymous one used.


346. c) The Attics, as a general rule, never employ $\kappa a i-\tau \epsilon$ except when the $\tau \in ́$ has another кai (or $\tau \hat{\epsilon}$ ) answering to it, so that the first $\kappa a i$ connects with the preceding sentence a twofold clause connected by $\tau$ '́«aí or $\tau \dot{\epsilon}-\tau$ ć.

 9 $\mu$ ós (Xen.).

## Exercise 97.

347. (1) Now by sea, if they should wish to cross over the Crissæan gulf, the Athenians were ready to stop them, having sailed round with a fleet; while the march over Geranea did not appear safe for them, as the Athenians were in possession of Megara and Pegæ. For Geranea was both ${ }^{\circ}$ naturally difficult to cross, and was always guarded by the Athenians; and so at that time they knew they were going to stop them that way, as well ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as by sea). So they determined to wait in Bootia, and see in what way they might march across most safely.
(2) From this breach, where the ships came to land, the village is twelve stades off, the city of Corinth sixty, and the Isthmus twenty. The Corinthians, having heard long before from Argos, that the armament of the Athenians would come, went with succors to the Isthmus, all but those who lived above it: there were absent too in Ambracia and Leucadia five hundred of them, serving as a garrison ; but the rest, with all their forces, were watching where the Athenians would make the land.

Chap. 28. §3. (кai continued.)
348. Kai $=a l s o$, too, even, not only adds a new notion to one previously stated, but also frequently refers to a notion not expressed, but more or less easily supplied; and in cases of this kind the Greeks reckoned
upon more quickness of comprehension in seizing upon the intended notion than we do. Kai aùtós, even himself, himself (i. e. as well as others, or certain others). Kai oṽтos=atque is, isque; каi тav̂ta, idque, and that too.







 the opposition between $\pi \rho a ́ \tau \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$ and $\nu 0 \mu i \xi \epsilon \iota \nu)$. T $\omega \nu$


 $\nu \in i ̂ \nu \nu о \mu i \zeta о \mu \in \nu$ (Eur.).
349. a) In sentences of comparison, and in other sentences where notions are compared together, one being a demonstrative, the other a relative clause ( $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, ö $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$ ), the Greeks very seldom expressed кai' in the demonstrative clause only, but either in the relative clause, or (most commonly) in both clauses.
[So Krüger: but if the clause with $\ddot{\omega}^{\circ} \pi \pi \epsilon$ precedes, oú $\tau \omega \kappa \alpha^{\prime}$ is very far from uncommon. A personal pronoun may stand in the non-

b) The case is the same with $\eta^{\prime}(\eta--\eta)$, or, and after $\eta$, than, when a notion implying difference precedes; oư $\delta \delta^{\prime}, \mu \eta \delta \epsilon^{\prime}$ being used instead of $\kappa a \dot{i}$ if the notion is negative.






350. In the same way кaь appears in $\epsilon^{\prime \prime}$ (or $\check{\omega} s$ )
$\tau \iota \varsigma$ äд $\lambda o s$, where the $\kappa a i$ often refers to a $\kappa a i ̀ ~ \epsilon ̇ \gamma \omega ́, ~ \sigma u ́, ~$ oûtos, to be mentally supplied.



In many connections the кai does not belong to the single notion before which it stands, but to the whole proposition. So in $\dot{\delta} \delta \frac{\epsilon}{\epsilon} \kappa \alpha$, -.



## Exercise 98.

351. (1) Men. Perhaps the speaker will be obliged to speak extempore.

Soc. How so, my friend? They have each of them speeches ready prepared; and, moreover, even to speak extempore on such' subjects is no difficult task. $\ddagger$ If indeed one had to speak in praise of the Athenians before the Peloponnesians, or of the Peloponnesians before the Athenians, it would require an eloquent orator to persuade his hearers and win their applause ; but when a man contends ( ${ }^{\circ}$ for oratorical fame) before an audience whom he is extolling, it is no great matter to win the reputation of speaking well.
(2) Gorg. But indeed, Socrates, I profess myself to be such a man as you describe. Perhaps, however, it is right to attend to the wishes of the company who are present. For, some time since, before you came, I explained many things to the present company ; and now, perhaps, we shall protract it too far if we continue the discussion. We must, therefore, respect their wishes, lest we detain any of them who are anxious to be doing something else.

## Chap. 28. §4. (каí continued.)

352. After an interrogative pronoun or adverb, кai denotes that the notion it precedes is the particular notion about which one requires full information. In English we should pronounce the following words with
emphasis. [Hermann explains it differently: qui, $\tau i$ $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ каi $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota$, interrogat, is non solum quid, sed etiam an aliquid dicendum sit, dubitat. Klotz says: Si dico тí $\chi \rho \bar{\eta}$ каì $\lambda$ é $\gamma \epsilon \iota$, proprie hoc qucero, quid sit dicendum, etiam si solum de dicendo cogitemus; i. e. the questioner passes over all the particulars about which he might inquire, and confines himself to this particular one.]

 каì ठрáбєє тотє́ (Eur.).
353. Hand says: 'Græci addito кa! [Latini per etiam] interrogationi adjiciunt aliquam asseverationem rei negandoe, ideoque vim interrogationis augent. ${ }^{1}$-Qui interrogat $\tau^{\prime}$ र $\chi \rho \grave{\eta}$ ка. $\lambda \epsilon \prime \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$; is presumit nihil esse dicendum, additque suæ interrogationi affirmationem; quid re vera dicendum est? id est, nihil profecto.-Sed non semper exspectatur negatio' (Haind, ii. 576).
 belongs merely to some one following notion) adds emphasis to the precise cause alleged, omitting all the other possible accessory causes.
${ }^{\text {" }} 0 \pi \omega \varsigma \sigma \omega ́ \sigma \epsilon \iota \varsigma \mu^{\prime}$, є่ $\pi \epsilon i \kappa a ̉ \pi \omega \bar{\lambda} \epsilon \sigma a s$ (Aristoph.). IIp $\omega$ -
 $\tau a \hat{\tau} a \mathfrak{\epsilon} \xi \in \delta i \delta a \xi \in \nu(P l$.). Mì $\pi a \rho a ̀$ סógav àmoкрivov, íva $\kappa а i ́ \tau \iota \pi \epsilon \rho a i \nu \omega \mu \epsilon \nu(P l$.$) .$

## Exercise 99.

355. Socr. Come then, let us see now what in the world it is' that we are saying of rhetoric; for I, indeed, am not yet able to understand what I should say. When an assembly is held in a city, for the choice of physicians, shipwrights, or any other kind of artificer, is it not the case, that the rhetorician will refrain from giving his advice? for it is evident, that in each election the most skilful artist ought to be chosen. Nor will he be consulted, when the question is respecting the building of walls, or the construction of ports or docks, but architects only. Nor, again, when a delib-

[^36]eration occurs respecting the choice of generals, or the marshalling an army against enemies, or the occupation of posts,-but on such occasions those who are skilled in military affairs will give advice, and not rhetoricians. What do you say, Gorgias, on such points?
(2) The Mantineans and their allies were the first to join them, through fear of the Lacedæmonians. For a certain part of Arcadia had been reduced to subjection by the Mantineans, while the war with the Athenians was still going on; and they thought, that the Lacedærnonians would not allow their sovereignty over it, since they had now leisure ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( ${ }^{\circ}$ to interfere) ; so that they gladly turned to the Argives, considering them to be a powerful state, and one which was always at variance with the Lacedæmonians, and under a democratical government like ${ }^{b}$ themselves.
= i. e. 'not only inclination but also leisure.' b толлоі каl калоí. Kai to be expressed after the particle or comparison.

## (HAP. 28. §5. (кai continued.)

356. When the notion that follows каi is one of great importance, $\kappa a i=e v e n$; and often very, indeed. To this head may be referred the use of caí with adjectives and adverbs of number and degree: e. g. кai тávtes, even all, i. e. all without exception; кaì $\mu a ́ \lambda a$, very-indeed; каi $\pi$ ávu, properly quite entirely; with a negative, at all [ $\kappa a i ̀ \pi a ́ \nu v$ oưó̀ eîval, \&c.]. The кai
 бıноs каї $\pi a ́ \nu v ~ є \lambda \lambda o ́ \gamma \iota \mu о s . ~ W i t h ~ s u p e r l a t i v e s ~ \kappa a l=v e l . ~ . ~$







(Rischin.). $\triangle$ окє̂̂ $\mu$ оь $\pi \rho \grave{o ̀ ~ " E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu о s ~ к а i ̀ ~ \pi a ́ \nu v ~ o u ̛ \delta e ̀ ~}$
 $\mu a \kappa a i \lambda i a \nu \quad \sigma a \phi \omega \bar{s}$ (Aristoph.). Oîs $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \sigma \tau a \iota ~ \mu \epsilon \tau a-$


357. Kai is often prefixed to participles used adversatively, to bring out their meaning more emphatically: even when or if =even though. $\quad[O \dot{v} \delta \dot{\epsilon}, \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}=$ not even though.] This каi is often combined with ö $\mu \omega$ s (nevertheless).





358. Hermann, on CEd. Tyr. 414, where some read $\sigma \dot{v} k a l ~ \delta \epsilon \delta о р к \grave{\omega}$ oủ $\beta \lambda \epsilon \in \pi \epsilon \iota s, \kappa . \tau . \lambda$., observes, that even in this (virtually) adversative construction кai is not quamvis, but etiam; there being a reference to a less favorable contition (oủ بóvoy-à̀ $\left.\lambda \lambda \frac{\alpha}{\alpha} \kappa \alpha i ́\right)$ : tu [non modo si cæcus esses nihil cerneres, sed] etiam videns nihil cernis.
359. With $\kappa \alpha l$ дá $\lambda \alpha$ ( $\lambda_{i}^{\prime} \alpha \nu, \& c c$. ), there is sometimes a trajection, when

 $\lambda i ́ a \nu$ è̀ $\beta \rho \alpha \chi \epsilon \hat{\imath}$ र $\chi$ рóvш (Pl.). Kr.ad An. 1, 5, 8.

## Exercise 100.

360. (1) Nicostratus, with all the rest of the army, advancing by a different approach, and from a more distant point, against the hill, which was difficult of access, was beaten back in utter confusion, and the whole force of the Athenians was within a little of being conquered. For that day then, as the Mendæans and their allies did not give way, the Athenians retreated and pitched their camp; and the Mendæans, when night came on, returned into the town.
(2) In the mean time the trumpet sounded, upon which the enemy fled much faster than before; and the Greeks facing about, passed the river in all haste. Some of the enemy, on seeing this, ran back to the
river, and wounded a few of our men with their arrows; but many of them, even when the Greeks were on the other side, were observed to continue their flight.

## CHAP. 28. § 6. (каí continued.)

361. When каi ( $=$ even) limits the notion of a word, by making an assertion of that at least, if no more; even that, if no more; it may be construed if only; though but, \&c.: sometimes before an infin. by mere, merely. Tò кaì $\lambda$ '́ $\gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ тои̂тo, the merely saying this; the mere fact of saying this. Kaì тá̀al= not only now, but long ago: it may be construed in English by an emphatic long. Here also (as in 357) the corresponding forms that combine this meaning of cai with a negative are oủ $\delta$ é, $\mu \eta \delta$ é.

Túpàvod єival umpía тò каi Té̀ $\epsilon \iota \nu$ (Eur.). ' $\Omega_{S}$




 סокєîs $\lambda \epsilon ́ \gamma \epsilon \iota \nu$ (Aristoph.).
362. This кai (even) is often used to strengthen the
 kind whatever) ; öтобобойv (however much, however little); and the corresponding adverbs $\dot{o} \pi \omega \sigma o \hat{\nu} \nu, o \dot{o} \pi \omega \sigma \tau \iota o \hat{\nu} \nu$ (in any manner, in any way). With ov, $\mu \dot{\eta}$, we shall have, not кaì où ( $\mu \eta$ ), but oủ $\delta$ é, $\mu \eta \delta \delta ́_{\text {. }}$.





 $\mu a ́ \chi \eta \sigma є ~ \Lambda а к є \delta a \iota \mu o v i o v s ~(D e m.) . ~ O i ́ ~ \nu \iota \kappa \eta ́ \sigma a \nu \tau \epsilon s ~ \tau a ̀ ~ \pi \rho a ́ \gamma ~$



363. Kai $=$ also, even, may follow $\kappa a i=$ and, if even a single word be interposed: каi' тьs каі. каї є́ть каі
 $\epsilon$ i.
364. Kai is also used, where it may be explained by and so (кai ov゙т $\omega$ ) ; that is, to connect sentences of which the second contains a more definite exposition of the first; often to add a circumstance that follows from the preceding one, or is an instance of it. [Thus et for ei ita, et sic, et tim. Hand, vol. ii. 484.]

## Exercise 101.

365. I omitted in my former speech one point, as strong as any that were urged, to prove the non-payment of the marriage portion by these men to Aphŏ. bus. This I will now lay before you, and then proceed to expose the falsehoods you have heard from the defendant. You must know, gentlemen of the jury, when he first thought of putting in a claim to the property of Aphobus, he said he had paid, not a talent (which he now says was the amount of the portion), but eighty minas; and he set up tablets, on the house for twenty minas, on the land for a talent; wishing to preserve to Aphobus both the one and the other. Seeing, however, by the issue of the late trial, with what feelings an unscrupulous rogue is regarded by a jury, he comes to his senses, and thought how hard my case would appear if, after being so grossly plundered, Aphobus having all my estate, I had nothing of his to levy upon, and could show that I was hindered from levying by the defendant. And so what does he do? He removes the tablets from the house, and says the portion is only a talent, and for that the land is mort. gaged.

Chap．28．§ 7．（кaì $\gamma a ́ \rho$.
366．Kai خáp has several meanings：（1）etenim，so that the кai relates to the whole sentence：（2）nam etiam，so that the $\kappa a i=$ etiam，relates to the notion that stands next after the $\gamma$ áp：（3）nam et，the кai being
 éYénovo九 may mean：（1）for they are also｜resolved to aid them；（2）for they are resolved｜even to aid them； （3）for they are resolved both to aid them（and also to do something else；e．g．$\pi \rho \circ \sigma$ 白 $\chi \in L \nu$ тò $\nu$ 上ồv．Dem．）．In the second（and third）meaning the opposed notion may be inserted between the кai and the ráp．It is only in the first and second meanings that the form becomes negatively oúdè $\gamma$ á $\rho$ ．To the［first or］second belong
 meet with кaì үàp каí，etenim etiam，and кaì（oúסé） रà $\rho$ ，oủס́́．́．









 $\mu \grave{\eta}$ үŋ̂цає како́v（Gn．）．
 Kai тí；at cur tandem？（Pl．Phil．21，в．）
（In the following examples каì 犭á $\rho=$ nam etiam．）

## Exercise 102.

367．（1）None can justly praise the Lacedæmoni－ ans for their concord any more than pirates，robbers， and men who are engaged in other unjust and violent
courses; for even they destroy others by agreeing among themselves. But if I seem to any of you to have made a. comparison unavorthy of their glory, I waive it, and instance the Triballi, who, as all allow, agree together better than all other men, and yet slay not only their neighbors and those who dwell near to them, but all others whom they can reach.
(2) Euctēmōn lived ninety-six years, the greater part of which time he passed with apparent prosperity; for he had both a considerable fortune and a wife and family, with a reasonable share of the other ingredients of happiness; but in his advanced age he met with a calamity of no trifling kind, which threw his whole family into disorder, consumed a great part of his estate, and occasioned a dissension between him and his most intimate friends: what was the source of this evil, and in what manner it happened, I will explain as concisely as I am able,

## Chap. 29. Kaítєр, каитоь.

368. Kaitep is hardly ever found in Attic writers except with a participle or some virtually participial construction; whereas кaitoı (=although) is used only in independent sentences. Later writers use them even vice versâ. To the instance from Theophrastus, given in Gr. in 1340, of caimep with a finite verb, Kruiger adds Pl. Symp. 219 ; and for kaitoc with participle quotes Pl. Rep. 511 ; Lys. 31. 34?
369. Kaitou (although, though, but, however, \&c.: in arguing = now) quamquam, especially the corrective quamquam; sometimes verum, sed tamen. Kaitor $\gamma є$, the same, with more emphasis on the following asser. tion, (quamquam quidem)., Kaiтoı тi ф $\eta \mu \iota$; \&c.




 б $\eta$ s фıлобофias тò à $\mathfrak{\xi} i \omega \mu a \quad \mu \epsilon \gamma а \lambda о \pi \rho \epsilon \pi \epsilon ́ \sigma \tau \epsilon \rho о \nu ~ к а \tau а-~$








Of каíтo =now, see an example in 292, note $b$.

## Exercise 103.

370. (1) When they appeared before the Archon, they styled one of them the adopted son of Philoctēmōn, and the other of Ergaměnēs, whereas they now protest them both to be the sons of Euctēmōn; yet had they been lawfully begotten, and had they been adopted, as they first alleged, not even then could they have been called the sons of Euctemon; for the law forbids a son by adoption to return into the family from which he was emancipated, unless he leave a legitimate son of his own in the family which adopted him; so that, even from their own behavior, it is manifest that their evidence is false.
(2) Thus that he was in his perfect senses when the made the will in which he adopted him, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ as the law permitted him, you have heard clearly proved; so that on this head at least it is proved that Andrơclēs has given evidence that is false ; but, as he has protested also that Antidōrus was the legitimate son of Euctēmonn, this too I will show to be untrue.
[^37]
## Спар. 30. Мá.

371. Máa, by, a particle of swearing, taking the acc. of the deity.
a) It is principally used in negative asseverations, with où preceding or following, or both.

Ỏ̉ $\mu a ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ̀ s ~ Э \epsilon o u ́ s, ~ \epsilon i ~ \grave{~ \grave{\pi} a i \delta o \pi o \imath \eta \sigma a ́ \mu \eta \nu, ~ o u ̉ \kappa ~ o i ̂ \delta a ~} \epsilon i$

b) The negative is sometimes omitted.
 ouv $\pi o l e i ̂ v$.
c) In Attic prose, the name of the deity is sometimes omitted.

d) Nai $\mu a \dot{a}-$ is affirmative: and sometimes even $\mu \dot{a}$ itself occurs affirmatively, if the context sufficiently implies the affirmation.
 1407: so $\mu$ à $\Delta$ ía, By Zeus (Jupiter).

## Exercise 104.

372. (1) Notwithstanding these imprecations, notwithstanding the oaths and the oracle, which stand recorded even now, the Amphissæan Locrians, or rather their magistrates, despisers of all law, cultivated the plain again, walled in and restored the devoted and accursed harbor, and demanded toll from those who entered the port.
(2) Socr. I say, then, that these are two questions, and I will give you an answer to both. For I affirm, Polus, that rhetoricians and tyrants have very little power in cities, as I just now said; for they do scarcely any thing that they wish, though they do what to them appears to be best.

Pol. Is not this, then, to possess great power?
Socr. It is not, at least as Polus says.
Pol. I say that it is not? On the contrary, I say it is.

Socr. By Jupiter, not you. For you said that to have great power is a good to him who possesses it.

Pol. And I ${ }^{\circ}$ still do say so. ${ }^{2}$


#### Abstract

 fidence that cannot be shaken.


## Chap. 31. Mév。

373. a) Mév, shortened from $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, has properly the same asseverative power as that particle ( $=$ certainly, indeed). This it retains in some combinations, especially in $\mu$ èv oûv, as used in answers: properly quidem igitur, sane igitur, profecto igitur : hence (sane quidem=) immo, immo vero, 'cum vi augendi et corrigendi' (S.).

 immo vero omnia: nay, rather they can do every thing (Xen.).

The adverbs $\pi a ́ \nu v, \pi a \nu \tau a ́ \pi a \sigma \iota, \kappa о \mu \iota \delta \hat{y}$ strengthen the affirmation of $\mu \grave{\varepsilon} \nu$ o $v$.

 (Pl. Decidedly.-By all means.-Certainly).
374. b) In answers: oủ $\mu \epsilon ̀ \nu$ oûv, non ita profecto; non sane.

 є̇ $\tau \in ́ \rho \omega$ (Dem.).

3้75. c) $M \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oûv are also often used (like $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}=$ then; thus then; so) as conclusive or recapitulatory particles to terminate a subject, after which a new one is introduced by $\delta$ '́.
 $\mu e ́ \nu \omega \nu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho a ́ \sigma o \mu a \iota \kappa \tau \lambda$.
376. d) Also $\mu$ èv oû̀ (=ac, atque) 'in continuando sermone cum quadam conclusionis significatione usur-
patur，ut apud Latinos et quidem．${ }^{1}$ Пр $\omega$ тov $\mu \epsilon \frac{\nu}{\nu}$ ởv， ac primum quidem．＇（H．）．

377．e）The o乞̂v appears sometimes to refer to the preceding statement like our now（or indeed）followed


 －єंס́о́кєє $\mu$ оє кт入．（Lys．）．

378．It must be remembered that the $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ has often （as indeed in the last example）its proper force，and is followed by $\delta$ ©́．［He said so and so］：Ér $\kappa$ ivov $\mu$ è $\nu$ o iviv
 （Lys．）

379．Mév also retains the asseverative force of $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ in the combinations $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \mu \grave{̀} \nu$ ）$\dot{\eta}^{\prime}$（at sane jam），кai $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ $\delta \dot{\eta}$（et jam sane，et profecto jam），oủ $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ ס́n（minime vero）． In these combinations $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ never appears．－－After these particles a particular notion is often rendered emphatic by $\gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ ．

 oaı кт入．（Pl．Phoed．75，A．）

380．In $\mu^{\prime} \dot{\prime} \nu \epsilon$ the $\mu^{\prime} \nu$ points out a relation to the following clause，or a following word or notion；the $\gamma^{\prime}$ adds emphasis to the whole clause in which it occurs， or to that particular word in it，to which it is attached； so that the force must be given in English by some strengthening or restrictive pronoun；quite，only，at any rate．${ }^{2}$

The particles generally introduce an argument in confirmation of what precedes（Cf．Cyr．2，2，2；4，3， 18．K．ad Mem．3，14，6．）

[^38]Oi $\mu \in \nu \quad \gamma \in \nu \epsilon \omega \tau \epsilon \rho о \pi о \circ o i ̀ ~ к а і ̀ ~ \epsilon ่ \pi \iota \nu о \hat{\sigma} \sigma a \iota ~ o ̉ \xi \epsilon i ̂ s ~ к \tau \lambda .--~$ í $\mu \in \hat{\iota} \varsigma \delta$ è $\kappa \tau \lambda$ ．（Th．1，70．）

Often，however，there is no expressed opposition， as－
 （Th．3，39）：тои̃то $\mu \notin ้ \nu \gamma^{\prime}$ グठ $\eta$ oa申＇s，that is already quite clear（Ar．Acharn．155）．

On the difference between $\mu^{\prime} \boldsymbol{\nu} \gamma^{\alpha} \rho$ and $\mu^{\prime} \nu \quad \gamma \in$ Butmann（ad Mid． p．46，cf．$K r . T h .1,40$ ）says：＇Cum quis uno argumento vel exemplo aliquid probat，potest hoc ut sufficiens adferre；quod fit particulà ráp： potest etiam significare，plura quidem posse desiderari，sed hoc unum satis grave esse；quod fit addito $\gamma^{\prime}($ certe，saltem）．＇The $\mu \epsilon \in \nu \epsilon=$ sane quidem．

381．The notion opposed to or contrasted with that to which $\mu \epsilon \in \nu$ belongs，is usually accompanied by $\delta \in$ or



If the adversative notion is quite suppressed，we have $\mu \in ́ v$ solitarium ${ }^{1}$（Cf．$\delta$ é，Gr．1458， 7 ）．

## Exercise 105.

382．（1）These then being four，and always taking the best possible care，the former of the body，and the latter of the soul，flattery perceiving this，I do not say knowing，but sagaciously guessing it，and having di－ vided itself fourfold，and having stealthily put on the garb of each of these divisions，feigns itself to be that which it has put on；and it is not in the least concern－ ed for what is best；but by means of that which is most pleasant，captivates and seduces ignorance，so as to appear to be of great value．And thus cookery puts

[^39]on the garb of medicine, and pretends that it knows the aliment best for the body. So that if a cook and a physician had to contend before boys, or before men as foolish as boys, which of the two was acquainted with good and bad aliments, the physician or the cook, the physician would die of hunger. This then I call flattery; and I say that a thing of this kind is base, Polus (for I say this to you), because it looks to what is agreeable without regard to what is best ; and I affirm that it is not an art, but skill, $\dagger$ a skill acquired empirically, ${ }^{a}$ because it has no knowledge of the things which it employs, what they severally are in their nature, so that it is unable to tell the use of each. But I do not call that an art which is a thing without reason. If you are doubtful about these things, I am willing to give you a reason for them.
(2) The flattery, then, pertaining to cookery, as I have said, is concealed under medicine; and, in the same manner, under gymnastics, personal decoration, which is mischievous, deceitful, ignoble, and illiberal; deceiving by means of gestures and colors, by smoothness and outward appearance, so as to make men put on an adventitious beauty, and neglect that which is their own, and is acquired by gymnastics. That I may not then be prolix, I wish to tell you, after the manner of geometricians (for perhaps you can now follow me), that what personal decoration is to gymnastics, that is sophistry to legislation; and that what cookery is to medicine, that is rhetoric to justice.
(3) Let us consider this too. Are we not agreed that it is sometimes better to do the things which we just now spoke of; to slay, to banish men, and deprive them of their property, and sometimes not?

Pol. Certainly.
Socr. This then, as it seems, is agreed on both by you and me?

Pol. Yes.

[^40]Сеар. 32. Mévtol.
383. 1) $M e ́ v \tau o \iota=$ sane, enimvero, certainly; especially in affirmative answers. There is often an emphatic repetition of the verb:

 (Xen.).
384. 2) $=$ tamen; but, however, in objections and other oppositions.
 $\tau \in \iota \nu$ каЯ’ aútoús, $\mu \in \lambda \iota \tau о \cup \rho \gamma \epsilon i ̂ \nu ~ \mu \epsilon ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~$ oưס́ćv (Arist.).
3) 'In interrogationibus $\mu$ évto九 sic dicitur, ut videatur aliquis rem negare, quo certius ea ab respondente affirmetur' $(H$.$) : e. g.$
 pose each other, don't they?
385. 4) Ironically; =scilicet. ${ }^{1}$

 фабi $\delta \in i ̂ \nu ~ \grave{\nu} \nu \epsilon i ̂ \sigma શ a \iota ~(X e n) .$.
386. a) M'́vtolyє, or $\mu e ́ \nu \tau o \iota ~ \gamma \epsilon$, tamen; tamen certe (H.): $\gamma$ è $\mu$ évtou, certe tamen (H.). 'Sed hic ordo tantum ibi videtur locum habere posse, ubi verbum precessit, cujus vis voce $\gamma^{\prime}$ augeri possit; quod secus est in articulo. -Hinc numquam oư $\gamma \in \mu \in ́ \nu \tau o \iota$, sæpissime oủ $\mu$ évtocyє reperias.' (Kr. ad Anab. 1, 9, 14.)
b) "O $O \omega$ s $\mu$ '́v $\tau o \iota$, nevertheless: sometimes opposed to a concessive $\mu$ è $\nu$ ỗข.

Exercise 106.
387. (1) Since, then, he endeavored with his bro-ther-in-law to deprive me of my property, and has come into court relying on the influence of his friends,

[^41]the only course left for me is to seek redress at your hands. I am aware, gentlemen, that I have to contend against ingenious pleading and witnesses prepared to give false evidence. I think, however, the justice of my cause will give me such advantage in argument over the defendant, that if any of you had a good opinion of him before, you will learn from his conduct towards me that he has all along been (unknown to you) the vilest and basest of mankind.
(2) Socr. If, therefore, he who acts unjustly does not meet with the punishment he deserves, according to your account he will be happy.

Pol. So I say.
Socr. But, according to my opinion, Polus, he who acts unjustly, and is unjust, is in every way miserable; though more miserable if he does not suffer punishment, and does not meet with chastisement for his unjust actions; but less miserable if he suffers punishment, and meets with his just deserts both from gods and men.
(3) Cal. Tell me, Chærĕphōn, does Socrates say this seriously, or is he jesting?

Chorr. He appears to me, Callicles, to speak most seriously; but there is nothing like asking him himself.

Cal. You are right, by the gods, and I desire to do it. Tell me, Socrates, whether we must say that you are now speaking seriously or jesting? For, if you are speaking seriously, and if what you say is true, is not our human life altogether subverted, and are not all our actions, as it seems, contrary to what they ought to be?

## Chap. 33. M ${ }^{\prime}$.

388. On the apparently redundant $\mu \dot{\eta}, \mu \grave{\eta} ~ o u v$, see under où, and in the Grammar; and especially in the Appendix (from Madvig) at the end of Arnold's edition of the Olynthiac Orations.
 dum $=$ demum and quidem. Hartung); and, like it, may sometimes be construed by much less, sometimes by much more (or not-but ; not only-but). It is properly $=$ ne dicam, ne quis dicat $\left(\mu \eta^{\prime} \tau \iota \lambda \epsilon \epsilon_{\epsilon}\right)$ : the $\tau i=a t$ all, in the last.

## Exercise 107.

390. (1) Hence the only thing that his accusers do is to warn every body to give him nothing whatever, since he is to be punished for going to commit crimes, not merely for having committed or completed any. For this is their meaning when they say: 'He is going to form sieges:' 'he is leaving the Greeks exposed.'
(2) I take it, that if he had been informed that those who then used this language before him, had been crucified the moment they returned, he would have acted exactly as the king of Persia did. And how ( ${ }^{\circ}$ you will ask) was this?-When he was taken in by Timagoras, after giving him, it is said, no less than forty talents, as soon as he heard that he had been condemned and executed, and was consequently unable even to preserve his own life, much more to effect what he had promised him, he perceived that he had paid the money to one who had no ${ }^{\text {a }}$ control whatever over the measures of the state.

$$
\text { a } \mu \eta \delta^{\prime} \delta \tau \tau \iota \hat{v} \nu .
$$

## Снар. 34. M $\eta \dot{\nu} \nu$.

391. a) $M \eta^{\prime} \nu^{2}(=v e r o$, both in its concessive and adversative power. H.) is partly affirmative (which is ita

[^42]primary force) and partly adversative; commonly the latter, but generally with other particles.
392. b) M $M$ is used in appeals, questions, and solemn asseverations. Ei $\delta^{\prime}, a \not a \gamma \epsilon \mu \eta \dot{\nu}, \pi \epsilon i \rho \eta \sigma a \iota$ (Il. a, 302). Cf. 'move vero ocius te, nutrix' (Hartung). Ti' $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu$; quid vero? or quid quceso? $\hat{\eta} \mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ (profecto, verily, assuredly): and without an oath, $\hat{\omega} Z_{\epsilon \hat{u}-\hat{\eta}}^{\mu} \dot{\eta} \nu$ каi
 393. c) $M \eta v$ is also annective and adversative, like
 нatos, 'and certainly (but undoubtedly or assuredly) is temperate and by no means fond of money' (Pl.).
 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (this is certainly somewhat strange; it proves however, \&c. Pl. Gorg. 493.)
'A A $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$, at vero (and atqui), cf. 32 , sqq. On oủ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu \grave{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \hat{\ell}$, see 42, sqq. $\Gamma \mathfrak{c} \mu^{\prime} \mu \nu$ (certe vero): see $\gamma^{\epsilon}, 180,181$.
394. d) 'Kaì $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$ et vero, et sane aut atqui significat' (Herm.). The кai (and so the кaí implied in oưסè $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ) sometimes only indicates the correspondence of the clauses as in rai yáp in the sense of etenim, and кaì $\delta{ }^{\prime}$; but sometimes has a connective force, so that the kai is to be referred to the word that follows the $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ (as in каì $\gamma^{\prime} \rho=$ nam etiam: каi $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu-\gamma$ '́, certe quidem).
395. e) This каi $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu($ like $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \mu \dot{\eta} \nu)$ often con-
statement on the same subject.'-It differs from $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} k a i ́ ~(w i t h ~ w h i c h ~$ it is often associated), 'in that it denotes a separation and distinction between the statement which it accompanies and the preceding statement' (p. 79).-This, however good as a description of the use of the particle, does not explain its primary meaning, which is certainly that of a confdent assertion.

Hoogeveen says: 'Particula $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ in eo videtur differre a $\tau 0$ ', quod illud simpliciter affirmat partem, cui aponitur; a $\delta \eta$, quod in aliquâ re, quæ ad summum incrementum pervenerit, confirmata quasi triumphet; a $\gamma^{\prime}$, quod urget sequentia sed remittendo priora; at $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ ita affirmat posteriora, ut e prioribus nihil remittat; qualem ipsius potestatem et usum fere ohservavi, si non constantem et perpetuum, nempe quo nova rei confirmatce asseveratio accedit. Quicquid id est, primam ejus potestatem $\beta \in \beta \alpha \omega \omega \tau \kappa \eta \nu$ securus statuo, quia hanc quoque excercet, quum adversativa dicitur' (p. 354).
nects a new, further particular with something of oppo sition: moreover, but further.
396. f) Ỏ́v $\mu \dot{\prime} \nu$ ['modo non vero; modo non tamen; modo simpliciter sed vertitur.' Hoog.] are often used adversatively, i. e. to asseverate a proposition that is opposed to a preceding one.

1) If the opposition is real, the particles $=$ (profecto tamen non), but yet not; but assuredly not; not however.
Thus: Xen., after describing the attention paid by Socrates to his bodily health, says, ä̀ $\lambda a \grave{a}$ o o $\quad \mu \dot{\eta} \nu$ Iputтıкós $\gamma \epsilon$, \&c. (profecto tamen non erat homo mollis et delicatus. Kïhn.)
2) If there is no opposition between the sentence introduced by ov $\mu \dot{\eta} v$ and the preceding one, then the $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ in ov $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$, oúdè $\mu \eta{ }^{\prime} \nu$, has not a confirmative, but an adauctive force, like vero in neque vero.
397. g) Ó̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ oúdé sometimes carry on a preceding negation, adding to it the denial of a further (usually a more striking or important) particular. Thus after the passage just quoted $(396,1)$, ou $\mu \grave{\nu} \nu$ Iрvттıкós $\gamma \epsilon$,
 тoùs ovvóvtas є̇тoíє, nor yet did he; no, nor did he, \&c. (nor again did he; moreover he did not).
3) But sometimes the particles have an adversative sense $=$ however-not; but yet -not. 'At quum admista est vis ċvav$\tau \iota \omega \mu a \tau \iota \kappa \eta \eta^{\prime}[\mu \eta \nu]$, redditur tamen, et utraque negatio pertinet ad sequentia, diversa tamen, ita ut oủ neget $\dot{a} \pi \lambda \omega \hat{\varsigma}$, oủ $\delta$ '́ distincte, ut apud Theocr. Idyll. viii. 76.
 $\pi \iota \kappa \rho o ̀ \nu ~ a v ̇ \tau a ̂, ~ ' A \lambda \lambda a ́, ~ \kappa \tau \lambda$. Non tamen illi quicquam respondebam, ne verbum quidem amarum: ubi ov̉ negat tò à àt $\kappa \rho i ́ a \eta \nu$ generalius, oưסé distinctius tò ע
$\lambda o ́ y o v ~ \pi \iota \kappa \rho o ́ v . ~ S c h o l i a s t e s ~ e x p l i c a t ~ o u ̉ ~$
 тькро́д.' (Hoog.)
398. h) M $\eta \dot{\prime} \nu, \kappa a i \mu \eta \eta^{\nu}$ are also used in dialogues (and especially in plays), when the approach of a person is pointed out = 'and lo!' 'and see!' ["O $0 \in \mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ Aï $\mu \omega \nu$ ! (Soph. Ant. 626.)-Kaì $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ тò $\mu є \iota р а ́ к \iota о \nu ~ т о \delta i ̀ ~$ тробє́р $\chi є \tau а с . ~ P l$.

T' cannot follow $\mu \eta^{\prime} \nu$ without the interposition of a word. Ȯं $\mu \dot{\eta} \nu-\gamma \dot{\epsilon}$, non tamen--certe ; non profectoquidem.

## Exercise 108.

399. (1) Socr. Even at the beginning of our conference, Polus, I praised you, because you appeared to me to be well instructed in rhetoric, though you had neglected the art of dialectics. And now, is not this the reasoning by which ( ${ }^{\circ}$ as you asserted) even a child could confute me? and I, as you suppose, am now confuted by this reasoning of yours, when I said that a man who acts unjustly is not happy? How so, my friend? For assuredly I do not grant you any one of the things you assert.

Pol. Because you are not willing to do so ; for you ${ }^{\circ}$ really think as I say.
(2) Socr. Do you not, then, denominate all other things in the same manner beautiful, such as forms and colors, either on account of some pleasure, or utility, or both?

Pol. I do.
Socr. And is not the case the same as to sounds, and every thing that relates to music?

Pol. Yes.
Socr. And moreover, with respect to laws and pursuits, they surely are beautiful, for no other reason except that they are either useful, or pleasant, or both?
(3). 'It seems then that a cowardly and illiberal disposition will not have any portion of true philoso-
phy ?' 'I do not think it will.' 'What then?' 'Will the well-disposed man, who has moderate desires, and is not a lover of money, nor illiberal, nor arrogant, nor cowardly, ever be in any case unjust, or a breaker of engagements?' 'It is impossible.' 'When then you are examining, whilst a soul is still young, whether it is a philosophical one or not, you will consider this also, whether it be just and gentle, or unsocial and savage.' 'By all means.' 'Neither, as I think, will you omit this.' 'What ?' 'Whether it learn easily or with difficulty: or, do you expect that a person will ever love a thing sufficiently, if he is uneasy in its performance, and makes but small progress?' 'It cannot be.'

## Chap. 35. N $\eta$. Nûv.

400. $N \dot{\eta}=b y$, in affirmative oaths (with acc.).
401. a) $N \hat{v} v$, now.-N $\hat{v} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$, (1) now; (2) with a past tense = paullo ante, modo, 'just now.'
$\Delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu=$ scilicet nunc (S.). $\Phi \hat{\omega} \varsigma-o ̂ ̀ ~ \delta \grave{\eta} \nu \hat{v} \nu \kappa \epsilon \kappa \lambda \grave{\eta}-$

 ßoŋશウ́боутєs тои́тoıs, кт入. = etiam post ea, quce tu dixisti. Kr.
402. Nồ $\delta \in ́=$ nunc autem, nunc vero (in oppositions between a rejected supposition and the real state of things).




403. Núv, now, then. It is the same word as $\nu \hat{v} \nu$, the now of time, but used quite unemphatically, so as not to refer the whole sentence to present time, but only the word which it follows as an enclitic. In this respect it corresponds exactly with our unemphatic now, and,
like it, is often used with imperatives. 'It occurs chiefly in the dramatic poets: the $v$ is long in Aristophanes, long or short in the tragic poets' (Kr.).
$\sum \hat{\omega} \sigma o ́ v \nu \nu \nu$ aùтóv• $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ épa то仑 $\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o \nu($ Eur.).
 (Xen.).

## Exercise 109.

404. (1) As Homer says, then, Jupiter, Neptune, and Pluto, divided the government among themselves, after they had received it from their father. This law then respecting men was in existence in the time of Saturn, and always was, and still is, established among the gods, that a man who has passed through life justly and piously, should go, when he dies, to the isles of the blessed, to dwell there in happiness of every kind and in freedom from all that is evil; but that he who has lived unjustly and impiously, should go to the place of punishment and justice as to a prison, ${ }^{2}$ which' place they call Tartarus.
(2) After he had said this, he put an end to the conference. And Pharnabazus mounted his horse, and rode away; but his son by Parapitē, who was still a handsome youth, remaining behind, ran up to him and exclaimed, 'O Agesilaus, I take you for my guestfriend!' 'And I accept you as such,' he replied. 'Now remember,' said ( ${ }^{\text {the }}$ youth), and immediately gave the javelin in his hand, $\ddagger$ a beautiful one it was, to Agesilaus; and he, accepting it, took off the trappings from the horse of Idæus the painter, which were of great beauty, and presented them to him in return.
*Say: 'to the prison of punishment and ( $\tau \epsilon$ кaí) justice,' since they are both predicated together.

## Chap. 36. "O $\mu \omega$ s.

405. "O $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$, properly eodem modo: then tamen; nihilo minus ( $a \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime}{ }^{\circ} \mu \omega \omega \varsigma \kappa \tau \lambda$. $=$ but for all that, but yet ; nevertheless).

In a principal sentence, ö $\mu \omega$ s may refer to an ap. position (an Assumptive Apposition) in the same sentence.



 Ep. 317). Klotz
406. "O $\mu \omega \mathrm{s}$ is also used to strengthen the adversative relation betwcen a participle and another participle or verb. It may then either precede the participle cr follow it; and the participle may itself be strengthened by каіттє.





Compare Curt. 4, 1, 30: 'qui territi tamen spem obtinendi E'gyptum non amiserunt.' Cf. also Cic. Cat. 3, 5 ( $K r$.).

## Exercise 110.

407. (1) Socr. Come now, if I can, I will explain to you more clearly what I mean. As there are two subject matters, I say there are two arts; and that which relates to the soul I call political, but that which relates to the body I am not able to describe to you off-hand by one name; but of the culture of the body, which is one, I say there are two divisions, one gymnastics, the other medicine. But in the political art I lay down legislation, as corresponding to gymnastics, and the judicial to medicine. Now these respectively communicate' with each other, as being concerned about the same subject, medicine with gymnastics, and the judicial art with legislation; yet they in some respect differ from each other.
(2) 'But we must proceed,' he said, 'first of all, remind me of what you said, if I should appear to have forgotten it. For Simmias, as I think, is in doubt,
and fears lest the soul, though more divine and beautiful than the body, should yet perish before it, as being a species of harmony. But Cēbēs appeared to me to grant me this, that the soul is more durable than the body, but he argued that it is uncertain to every one, whether, when the soul has worn out many bodies, and that repeatedly, it does not, on leaving the last body, itself also perish, so that this very thing is death, the destruction of the soul, since the body never ceases decaying. Are not these the points, Simmias and Cebes, which we have to inquire into?'

They both agreed that they were.

## Chap. 37. 'Oто́тє.

408. 'Oד́'тє, (1) when, whenever: in re scepius factâ. (2) since: as quando, quandoquidem are used for quoniam in Latin: and now (that) in English. See the second passage in the Exercise. óтóтє $\gamma є$, quandoquidem.
[On ото́та⿱ with opt., cf. Gr. 1268. On отто́тє $\mu \dot{\eta}$, Gr. 1280.]

## Exercise 111.

409. (1) If the assertion of the defendants were true, and there stood on the statute-books two laws on the subject of the proclamations [of crowns], the Thesmothetre would, I presume, have discovered this, and the Prytanes referred them back to the Nomethětæ [legislative committee], so that one of the two would certainly have been abrogated, either that which allowed the proclamation, or that which forbade it. Since then nothing of this kind has been done, they stand convicted, I imagine, of affirming, not only what is false, but what is utterly impossible to be true.
(2) 'I have ordered,' said he, 'all to obey you in the disposition and order of this procession. And that they may attend to your orders with the more satisfaction. take these coats,' said he, 'and carry them to the
commanders of the guards; give these housings to the commanders of the horse, and these other coats to the commanders of the chariots.' On this he took them and carried them off. When the commanding officers saw him, they said to him: 'You are a great man, Phěraulas, now that you are to order us what we are to do.' 'No, not only so, by Zeus!' said Pheraulas, 'but it seems I am to be a baggage bearer too: at least I am now bringing you these two housings; one for you, and one for another person ; but do you take which of them you like best.'

## Chap. 38. "Otov.

410. a) "O $\operatorname{\pi rov,~(1)~where;~(2)~seldom~causai~}=$ since, seeing that (siquidem, quandoquidem).

 $\pi$ ро́кєьтає ктл.; (Xen. Cyr. 2, 3, 11). Cf. 195.
411. b) The apodŏsis is then often $\hat{\eta}$ тov $=$ surely 1
 -surely $=i f$, or since,-then surely) may sometimes be construed if-much more.


 (si enim Apollodorus et Callistratus urbes condere potuerunt, multo magis nos--possemus. Isocr.). Its strict correlative is $\epsilon^{\prime} \nu \mathcal{A} a$ or $\epsilon \nu \tau a \hat{v} \mathcal{I} a$.

## Excrcise 112.

412. (1) When they had received what was then given them, some of them spoke of Cyrus in this manner: 'Surely he must himself have great wealth, since he has given each of us so large a sum!' But some said: 'What do you mean by talking of much wealth? It is not Cyrus's nature to heap up treasures, but he
has more pleasure in giving away riches, than in getting them.'
(2) When they thought it right to exact punish ment even for words, would they not much more certainly have visited with the severest punishment a man who in very deed betrayed the city into the hands of its enemies?

## Chap. 39. § 1. "O $\pi \omega$ s.

413. "O $\pi \omega$ s, quomodo; properly a dependent interrogative adv. of manner: cf. Gr. 1304. 1365.
" $O \pi \omega$ s is but seldom as (in prose), as correlative to oút $\omega$ s or the like (expressed or understood) ; still less frequently is it (like $\dot{\omega}$ ) used for öть [cf. Gr. 1214, f] (except in oix öt $\pi \omega$ s. See 418.)

Like the other adverbial and pronominal forms commencing with $\dot{\delta}$ - (ómóros, ómoios, \&c.), it is used in replies, when the speaker repeats with surprise a question asked by the corresponding interrogative adverb or pronoun.
414. a) $=a s],{ }^{\prime \prime} O \pi \omega s$ є̈кабтоs ن́ $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ रaip $\kappa \iota$ каì Boú-



415. b) =that], Toûtov é $\chi$ (


 $\tau \epsilon \iota$ (Dem. 185, 409, 2).



On its use as a final particle (=ut) cf. Gr 1305, sqq.

For the remaining constructions of $\% \pi \omega s$, see Part I. $£ 48$, and Grammar, 1489, sqq.

## Exercise 113.

418. He will say: 'Tell me, Socrates, do you think that you don't deserve stripes for having, after chaunting so magnificent a dithyrambus, so inharmoniously and discordantly wandered away from the question?' 'Pray how?' shall I reply. 'How!' will be his answer; 'cannot you remember that I asked you about the absolutely beautiful; which, whenever it is present in any thing, makes that thing to be beautiful; every stone, wood, man, and god; every action, and every study.'

419. a) Ở $\chi$ ö $\pi \omega$ s is used elliptically, like oủ $\chi$ öт for non dico, non dicam: ȯ̇к $\dot{\epsilon} p \hat{\omega}$ ö́t $\pi \omega$ ( I will not say that); but appears to be found only in the sense of (non modo) not only not, or nedum. So $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ö $\pi \omega \omega_{s}$ (Xen. Cyr. 1, 3, 10), where $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ would be used, not ov: e. g. before an infinitive.



 non-sed etiam. Dem.). Toùs $\Theta \eta \beta a i ́ o v s ~ \eta ̄ \gamma \epsilon i ̂ t o ~ o ~ u ̉ \chi ~$


 тuđєiv (non modo non-sed ne-quidem. Isocr.). Mウ̀
 $\nu a \sigma ञ \epsilon$ (non modo non saltare, sed ne rectis quidem pedibus stare poteratis. Xen.).
420. b) Observe that all these forms are followed by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a} \kappa a i ́\left(\right.$ in a negative sense, $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ où $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ ) or $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda a^{\prime}$ only. The distinction is the same as between ou $\mu$ óvov à $\lambda \lambda a ́ a$ and $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda \grave{a}$ каí.

 example in a.]

## Exercise 114.

421. (1) He will not only not refer the habit and nurture of his body to brutish and senseless pleasure, and direct his life with reference to this, but will not even look to health, or make it his great object how he may be strong, or healthy, or handsome, unless he be also likely to become temperate and sober-minded by these means.
422. Nor was he alone silent on this head; but even the present claimant of the estate advanced nothing in opposition to my right, till he was instigated by this fellow to dispute it; for when I carried the money on the following day, Diŏclēs refused to accept it, alleging that he had received it from my adversary; yet I was not prevented from joining in the funeral rites, but assisted at the whole ceremony; the expenses of which were not only borne by my opponent, but were defrayed out of the money which Cirōn left: now it would have become him, if the deceased had not been really my grandfather, to have thrust me out, to have expelled me, and to have hindered me from conducting the burial in conjunction with them.

Сhap. 40. § 1. "Oтє. "Oть.
422. "Otє, when (quum, quando), cf. Gr. 1260. 'Aliud est óто́тє, quod infinitum; aliud öтє, quod finitum' ( $H$. .) ; in re scepius factâ, 1263 ; sometimes $=$ quandoquidem, 1279: öтє $\mu \dot{\eta}$, quando non; si non, 1280. [When öтє ov̉, when öтє $\mu \dot{\eta}$, 1161.] On $\mu$ é$\mu \nu \eta \mu a \iota$ ốтє, cf. $1276, b$; őтà with opt., 1268; òtè $\mu$ ย́v -家є $\delta \dot{\delta},{ }^{1}$ sometimes-sometimes. Its correlative is tótє.

[^43]423. "Oть (1), that, quod. (2) because, for סıà тoûto ӧть, i. e. סьótц. [For the general construction of öт $\tau$ see Part I. 320, sqq. and Gram.]
" $O \tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}$, after negatives (nisi), except ; but.
 yıuov (Hdt. 1, 143). Hermann (Vig. 846) explains the idiom thus: " "O $O \iota \mu$ خ inde ortum, quod recte diceba-
 non sit Athence; i. e. omnia Athence sunt; proeter Athenas nihil est ; nihil est nisi Athence."-Afterwards, the words passed into a standing formula, ö $\tau \iota \mu \dot{\eta}=n i s i$.

 $\delta \eta \lambda o \nu o ́ t t$ ) - [but cf. Buttm. Ind. ad Plat. Men. and And. 1, 30]-so oif'
 verb was repeated. Moıń $\sigma \epsilon \iota$ oì̀' öтı (Aristoph.).' Kr. (Cf. 1214.)

## Exercise 114.

424. At Pylus, in the mean time, the Athenians were still blockading the Lacedæmonians in the island, and the Peloponnesian forces on the continent remained where they were. But the watch was kept by the Athenians with great trouble, through want of both victuals and water; for there was no spring but one in the citadel of Pylus itself, and that not a copious one; but most of them were drinking such water as they would be likely to find by digging through the shingle near the sea. They suffered too from want of room, being encamped in a narrow space; and as the ships had no roadstead, some of them took their meals on shore in their turn, while others lay off at anchor. But their greatest discouragement was caused by the time being prolonged beyond their expectation; for they imagined that they should reduce them to surrender in a few days, shut up in a desert island as they were, and having only brackish water to drink.

Chap．40．§ 2．（ $\mu \grave{\eta}$ öтน－ả入入à［каí］．ở才 öтレ－ $a ̉ \lambda \lambda a ̀ \quad[\kappa a i])$ ．

425．a）M $\grave{\eta}$ öть is used elliptically for $\mu \grave{\eta} \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \omega$
 say；not to say，\＆cc．Oi $\mu a \iota$ àv $\mu \grave{\text { no oft } \iota ~ i \delta \iota \omega ́ т \eta \nu ~ \tau \iota \nu a ́, ~}$
 opinion，that not only an individual，but the Great King himself would find，＇\＆c．；or，＇I am of opinion，that，I will not say a private individual，but the Great King himself，＇\＆c．；or，＇I am of opinion，that even the Great King，much more a private individual，would，＇\＆c．
 but less commonly（M．）．
 oi фíخo九 aủtov̂（Yen．）．

426．b）When a negative follows with the predi－ cate which is common to both clauses，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ öт $-a \dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ ’ oúdé，＝not only not－but not even．
 $\sigma a \iota \mu o \iota$ є̇тó $\lambda \mu \eta \sigma \epsilon \nu\left(I_{s c c}.\right)$ ．

427．c）The common negative may also precede both clauses．


 dee，zed ne si minus quidem accipias，qualm est illarum rerum protium．Zen．）．

428．d）After a negative clause，$\mu \grave{\eta}$ on $\tau \iota=$ non
 $\sigma o ́ \mu \epsilon \Im a=$ much less to say any thing（Yen．）．

429．e）＇A $\lambda \lambda \alpha$＇without $\kappa \alpha \grave{\iota}$ is used after $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ö $\tau \iota$（as after out $\mu o ́ v o \nu$ ）， when the second clause，from its greater importance or more general meaning，makes it quite unnecessary to dwell upon the first．



 particle like $\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ，out $\nu$ ，may be inserted between the out $\chi$ oi $\pi \omega s$ ， $\mathbb{\& c}$ ．

## Exercise 116.

431. (1) I put questions, gentlemen of the jury, to each of these men, before many witnesses. I asked Onētor and Timŏcrates if any persons were present when they paid the marriage portion; Aphobus I asked, whether any were present when he received it. They severally answered, that no witness was present, but that Aphobus was paid by instalments in such sums as he desired ${ }^{\text {a }}$ from time to time. Now can any one of you believe (the portion being a talent), that Onetor and Timocrates put so large a sum into the hands of Aphobus without witnesses? Aphobus! against whom, though paying him in presence of a multitude, one would take every precaution, so as (in case of dispute) to be able to recover one's rights before a jury. In a transaction of such importance, nobody in the world would take steps without a witness, whomsoever he had to deal with, much less with such a person as Aphobus.
(2) I am sure you will all agree, that men who give false testimony are induced by one of three motives; either by a bribe to relieve distress, or by friendship, or by enmity to the adverse party. Not one of these motives can apply to my witnesses. Not friendship, certainly; as they are not men of the same pursuits or the same age with me, or even with each other. Not enmity to the plaintiff; for one of them was his brother, and pleaded for him; Phanus was his friend, and belonged to the same tribe; Philip was neither friend nor foe; so it is clear this motive cannot be alleged against them. Nor was it poverty; for they are all men of large fortunes, out of which they defray the charges of public offices, and cheerfully perform the duties imposed on them.
[^44]
## Chap. 41. § 1. OỦ.

432. a) $O \dot{v}$, not, is an objective negation, $\mu \dot{\eta}$ being subjective; or, as Hermann expresses it, "ov negat rem ipsam, $\mu$ ' cogitationem rei :" cf. Gr. 1157, sqq.; in dependent sentences, 1161, 1166 (and Part I. § 19, 50); with infin. (where $\mu$ ' is the usual neg.), 1165, 1167, 1168; 尚 $\sigma \epsilon$ oủ with infin., 1173 (Part I. 297) ; partcp., 1164; relative clauses, 1163); oй ф $\eta \mu \iota($ à $\grave{\omega}, \hat{\omega}, \& \mathrm{c}),$.1172 ; ( $\dot{\eta}$ ) oủ $\delta a$ ádvoıs = the non-destruction. où $\mu \dot{\eta}$, see 797, 798. тí ovं; 794. ou with fut. $=$ imper., 796. On position of ov, 1195. In questions, 1357.

Où is used before consonants, oìk before maspirated vowels, oủ $\chi$ before aspirated ones. But the original form oŭ (cum acuto) is used at
 $\nu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon}$. Oùr is however used in the form oùk, $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha}$...(because here
 atively rare où $\chi$ ' is used with emphasis ( Kr .). In answers oũ ( $o$ ük) $=n 0$.
433. $M \hat{a} \lambda \lambda$ ov $\hat{\eta}$ o $\dot{v}$ is sometimes found in the sense of 'rather than,' the ov being apparently superfluous.

 Polycl. 1226, 22).

Ó yáp; are sometimes inserted as a parenthetical question; $=$ is it (was it) not (so)?

 (Dem. contr. Aris. 673, 19).

## Exercise 117.

434. (1) The Athenians sent a trireme to Paches with intelligence of their resolution, and commanded him to despatch the Mytilenæans as quickly as possible. The next day, however, they felt immediately a degree of repentance, and reflected that the resolution they had passed was a cruel and sweeping one, to put a whole city to the sword, instead of ${ }^{\text {a }}$ those who were guilty. When the Mytilenæan ambassadors who were present, and those of the Athenians who co-operated
with them, perceived this, they got the authorities to put the question again to the vote.
(2) Here then is one public transaction of this young gentleman's which bears a striking resemblance -does it not?-to what he urges against me. Now, recall to mind a second. $\ddagger$ When Philip sent Python, the Byzantine, at the head of an embassy from all his confederate states, with a view to expose us ${ }^{\text {b }}$ and convict us of injustice, I did not submit to the insolence of Python, or yield to the torrent of his abuse, but rose up in my place, and answered him. I did not ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ betray the cause of the city, but retorted the charge of injustice against Philip himself with such evidence, that even his own allies were forced to rise up and confess it. Whereas this fellow co-operated with Philip, and gave testimony, and that, false testimony, against his country.
a Say: 'rather than (not).' b Say: 'the city.'

- Use the emphatic form.

Chap. 41. § 2. $\mu \eta^{\prime}\left(o v^{\prime} \mu \eta^{\prime}\right)$ redundant ; $\mu \eta^{\prime}$ c. participio.
435. After verbs that express or imply the notion of denial, prevention, or the like, and are followed by the infinitive (or acc.c. infin.), the infinitive usually takes an apparently redundant $\mu \eta^{\prime}$, or, if the principal verb is negatived, $\mu \eta$ oủ.
$\dot{a} \rho \nu \in i ̄ \tau a \iota ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$, negat se accepisse.
oủк ảpvєîtai $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ $\lambda a \beta \epsilon i v$, non negat se accepisse.
436. But non negat se accepisse is sometimes translated without any repeated negative; sometimes with $\mu \eta$ only before the inf. So that the three forms are:

437. Hermann explains the distinction between these three ways thus: (1) sine negatione simpliciter significatur non ego ita esse: (2) cum du-
plici negatione $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̀ dubitantius res proponitur: (3) cum solo $\mu \dot{\eta}$ gravius res affirmatur; = contendo non ita esse.-Hence in re futurâ (with reference to which it is natural to speak doubtfully) the use of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ou is the usual one.

The verbs that admit of this construction are verbs of denial and

 $\psi \eta \phi i \bar{S} \rho \mu \alpha \iota, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi о \chi \in \iota \rho о \tau о \nu \hat{\omega})$, \&cc.; of changing or retracting an opinion

 atraining (any body from-), or setting any body free from-( $\epsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \alpha \beta \circ \hat{u}$.
 $[==$ retinere $]$, àфaı $\rho о \hat{\nu} \mu \alpha l, \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \in \rho \hat{\omega}, \sigma \omega \hat{\zeta} \omega, \& c$.); and verbs that express
 (any body) $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon$ 's is used.] $M$.
438. The apparently redundant $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oú is also used after expressions that deny (expressly or by implication) the possibility of doing any thing: ou (oúסcis, \&c.) Súvataı $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ-; oủ o oîò $\tau \epsilon$ (ảסúvatov or á áv́vata) $\mu \grave{\eta}$ oú-(and the like), $\tau i ' s \mu \eta \chi a \nu \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\eta}$ oú-; So $\pi o \lambda \lambda o \hat{u}$ $\delta \epsilon ́ \omega \mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ-, or $\tau \grave{o} \mu \grave{\eta}$-.
 тayé $\lambda a \sigma \tau o s ~ \epsilon i ̂ \nu a \iota ~(P l.) . ~ E i ̉ a ̉ \lambda \eta গ ̂ \eta ~ \tau a v ̂ \tau a, ~ \tau i s ~ \mu \eta-$ $\chi a \nu \grave{\eta} \mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ $\chi \grave{\imath} \pi a ́ \nu \tau a \kappa a \tau a \nu a \lambda \omega \grave{\eta} \hat{\eta} \nu a \iota \epsilon i 今$ тò $\tau \epsilon-$ จvával; (Pl.).

It is seldom that $\mu$ ' is here used alone ( $o \dot{v} \delta \nu \nu \eta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o v \tau \alpha t ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \pi \epsilon i \hat{N} \epsilon c \vartheta \alpha_{6}$ rois $@ \eta$ ßaiols. Xen. Hell. 6, 1, 1), unless the article is prefixed, when $\tau \delta \mu \grave{\eta}$-and $\tau \delta \mu \grave{\eta}$ oú-are both found after a principal verb that is denied. Tov̂ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ (but not $\tau o \hat{v} \mu \grave{\eta}$ où) is also found. Also $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \grave{\eta}$ oủ after a principal verb with negative (M.). On these various constructions, cf. Grammar 1180-1183; and the Appendix to the Olynthiac Orations.
439. The particles $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov are also found with the infin. after expressions that denote or imply the speaker's disapprobation of a particular action, since such expressions intimate a desire that such action should not be performed, or, if it be a course of action, persisted in. Such expressions are $\delta \in \iota \nu o ́ v ~(a i ̉ \sigma \chi$ рóv, aỉ $\sigma \chi \dot{\nu} \nu \eta$ )
 aiб $\chi$ v́vouą. (M.)
440. Mì ov̀ (=nisi) also stand (but seldom in Attic prose) with participles and other attributive notions, or other notions of closer specification that form an excep-
tion to a general denial, or statement that implies or leans to a denial.

Ai $\pi o ́ \lambda \epsilon \iota s$ тод入аì каì $\chi a \lambda \epsilon \pi a i ̀ \lambda a \beta \epsilon i ̂ \nu — \mu \grave{\eta}$ ov̉ $\chi \rho o ́-$ $\nu \underset{\iota}{ } \kappa а і$ тольоркіа. (Dem.) $М$.
441. Hermann observes that $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with a participle or noun $=s i$ non; $\mu \eta$ ov $=n i s i$, the latter implying doubt or hesitation, the former simple assumption. (Vig. p. 800.)

On the general rule for the choice of $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ or ou with a participle, cf. Gr. 1164, and 1184-1192. I will add here that $\mu \dot{\eta}$ and its compounds are to be chosen, not only when $\mu$ ' may be resolved into a conditional clause, or into the notion of a class of a particular kind (= $=$ ooôtos ôs $\mu \grave{\eta}-$ ), but whenever it is dependent on a verb (infinitive or participle) that would itself require $\mu \boldsymbol{\eta}$ (not où) for its negative: for instance after an imperative, $\check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mathrm{c}$. infin. \&c.

## Exercise 118.

442. (1) Moreover, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ O judges, just so have they dealt with the ivory and iron that was left me; †they do not produce it. Now it is impossible that one who possessed so many slaves engaged in cabinet-work, and so many in the manufacture of cutlery, should not have left some iron and ivory: $\ddagger$ he must have had some, for, without any of these materials, what could the slaves have manufactured?
(2) Socr. Again, from the beginning, Theætetus, endeavor to tell me what science is; but never say that you are unable to do so; for if God wills, and you strive manfully, you will be able.

Thece. Indeed, Socrates, when you are thus urgent, it would be disgraceful for one not to endeavor to the utmost of one's power to say what one is able. He, then, that knows any thing, appears to me to perceive what he knows, and, as it now seems, science is nothing else than perception.

Socr. Well and nobly said, my boy; for it is right thus to declare one's opinion. But come, let us consider this together, whether it is solid or empty.

[^45]
## Chap. 42. Oúdé.

443. Oú $\delta \varepsilon^{\prime}(=$ ne-quidem) always relates to some preceding notion (expressed or implied); and when ovóéoúdé are repeated, they are not correlative particles (like neque-neque), but the first oúdé has its own proper force (connecting the negative notion with what preceded), and the second adds to it a new notion in the same independent way: = ne-quidem,-neque.

 тарабкєvá $a \sigma$ àa (Xen.).
444. a) Oúס'́ before a single notion $=$ ne-quidem. [Before ó $\sigma \tau \sigma \sigma o u ̂ v, \& c .$, cf. Gram. 1476, s.] b) Oúठ ©̈s (ne sic quidem), not even so.
445. c) Oưסè єis (ne unus quidem) is stronger than,
 ov́סєvós, кat' oú $\delta$ év, \&c., the separate forms ou' $\delta^{\prime}$ 'a $\phi^{\prime}$ évós, oưסલ̀ $\kappa a$ S' $^{\prime}$ èv are used. Cf. Buttm. Did. p. 70 (note 93).
(Compare Chap. on oűтє.)

## Exercise 119.

446. This then is the first point about which I will endeavor to give you information, if you will be kind enough to listen to me with attention. I will show you that the estate never belonged to the defendants at all, but was my mother's property inherited from her father ; and then that Aristarchus took possession of it by no law whatever, but ( ${ }^{\circ}$ on the contrary) is, in conjunction with his relations, injuring my mother in violation of all the laws ( ${ }^{\circ}$ upon the subject.)

## Сhap. 43. Oن̉кои̂̀.

447. Oن̉кои̂̀' oüкоขข. 'Particula oưкouv scribenda est oủкoûע ubi significat, (1) nonne ergo? nonne igitur?
(2) ergo (scilicet, nempe ${ }^{1}$ );-(3) oủкои̂v vel oủк oû̀, non ergo. (4) ои้кои ubi significat non sane, non profecto, nequaquam.' (Kühner.)
448. In oưкои̂ข = ergo the oúк seems tu be superfluous, "but it intimates that the speaker claims, in a half-questioning manner, the assent of the person ad-




Cf. Chap. on oîv (where other examples are given in the Exercises).

## Exercise 120.

449. (1) It argues great simplicity in any man to imagine that, when human nature is eagerly set upon doing any thing, he has any means of diverting it either by the rigor of the laws or by any other kind of terror. We must not, therefore, ${ }^{\text {a }}$ either take bad counsel through trusting to the punishment of death as our security, or leave to those who have revolted no hope of being allowed to repent and wipe out their offence in as short a time as possible.
(2) The defendant and Therippides paid me thirtyone minas, and charge me for payments to the proper-ty-tax eighteen minas. But I will put it at a higher sum than this, and make it thirty minas, that they may not have a single word to say against it. If then you take this talent from the eight, the remainder is seven; and so much they must have out of what they themselves allow that they have received; and therefore, even though they rob me of all the rest by denying that they have it in their possession, yet this at least they ought to have paid me, since they confess' ( $p$ ) that they have received it out of my property.
[^46]
## Chap. 44. O

450. O $\hat{\iota} v$, (1) thus, then, therefore, so, accordingly; (2) -ever.

This particle denotes conformity with the state of things, a consequence drawn from it, \&c.; or (according to Hartung) identity or equivalence: and is used in the following way:-
451. a) With relatives and indefinites it has the force
 cunque and quocunque; oîó $\pi \pi \epsilon \rho$ oîv, qualiscunque.
452. b) In the combinations $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{\prime}$ o $\hat{u} \nu, \dot{a} \tau \grave{a} \rho$ ov̂v, $\delta \grave{~ e}$ oûv ( $\delta$ ' oủv), it has nearly the force of " $\mu \omega \omega$, nevertheless.
 $\nu \omega \nu$, $\grave{\lambda \epsilon ́ \chi} \chi \eta \sigma a \nu \delta^{\prime} \dot{\omega} \nu(=0 \hat{\nu} \nu$, Ion. Hdt.), but nevertheless they were reported.
 oưv, the particle denotes indifference with respect to choice (as if, whichever be taken, it will come to the same thing).

E"'т’ о



454. d) In the combinations yoûv, yà o oîv, oưкоuv, $\mu \hat{\omega} \nu$ and $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ o $\hat{v} \nu,{ }^{1}$ [cf. Gr. 1479] the particle denotes the feeling of certainty raised to indifference, and that nothing can change.


 indifference and confidence). M $\eta \hat{\tau} \tau \rho, a^{\lambda} \lambda \lambda a ́ \mu o \iota \sigma \grave{v} \chi a i ̂ \rho \epsilon$.
${ }^{1}$ Sometimes, especially in Hdt., this particle, placed by tmesis between a preposition and its verb, denotes a cool proceeding, a rash or saucy action done with the utmost composure and indifference: 别 - 15
 (or without more ado) plunges into the water, clothes and all.
' Xapтà yov̂̀ тáб $\chi \omega$, тéкvov,' why assuredly what I suffer is a matter of rejoicing, my child (Eur.). Tòv סè


 татйp; (Eur.) surely (be it who it may) none of his children, nor his aged father? [On $\mu \in ̀ \nu ~ o \hat{\nu} \nu$, see $\mu \epsilon \in \nu, 375$, 376.$]$
455. Kai $\gamma \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho$ o $\begin{gathered}\nu \\ \nu \\ \text { proprie est etenim sane.-Sed sæpe } \gamma \text { á } \rho \text { redit ad bre. }\end{gathered}$
 $\phi a \nu \in \rho \delta \nu$. Plerumque кal $\gamma$ àp oùj in ejusmodi locis verti potest igitur,



(See an example of $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho$ o $\hat{\nu} \nu$ in Ex. on $\mu \alpha^{\prime}, 372$.
456. e) Consecutive use of ô̂v=accordingly, thus, then.] Here oîv denotes the identity or exact correspondence, of the conclusion or inference with the premises from which it is drawn. Hence it is extensively used (as a resumptive particle) in returning to a previous statement after a parenthesis or any long and involved clause ( $=I$ say, so, then); e. g. кaтavoẁ סè ò K $\hat{v} \rho o s$

457. So Homer uses $\dot{\omega}$ s oû̀, є̇ $\pi \epsilon i$ o $̀ \nu$, when after a detailed statement he returns to his narrative.


 $\kappa \tau \lambda$. (Hom.).

This is the only use of the particle in Homer:but in the common dialect oủv is very frequently used to denote a conclusion, inference, \&c.

On oủk oûv, oủкоиิv, oüкоuv, cf. oủкоиิข.
458. [The above is from Hartung, who derives oỉv from aîv, acc. of aîs a Laconic and Cretan form of aùtós. Hence his pressing the notion of identity. I think, however, that the meanings may be better deduced from the original meaning (this) being; oûv being (according to this view) derived from ${ }^{\text {éo }}$, öv, neut.
participle from cival. Hence the particle refers to a present state of things; very much like our thus, so, then.]

O $\hat{u} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$ et $\delta \grave{\eta}$ o $\hat{u} \nu$. . . aliquoties a Græcis conjunctæ sunt eodem jure, quo Latini et igitur jam et jam igitur dixerunt. Quæ enim harum particularum aptius cum voce præcedenti conjuncta esse videretur, ea priorem locum obtinuit, posteriorem illa quæ minus apte cum illà voce consociata erat. (Kl.)
459. In $\tau \hat{i}$ o $\hat{\tilde{\nu} \nu} \delta \dot{\eta}$; $\pi \hat{\omega} \mathrm{S}$ o $\hat{\nu} \nu \delta \dot{\eta}$; the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is connective; if it precedes the oiv $\nu$ and stands immediately after the interrogative particle, it affects $i t$, and modifies the nature of the question. T' oìv $\bar{\delta} \dot{\eta} ;=q u i d$ ergo? how so? how now? what then? \&c.
[" Verbis quid ergo instituitur interrogatio ex antecedentibus. Atque ea vel cum reliquâ interrogatione coeunt rel per se constant, sive sequatur nora quæstio sive non.-Ita et is loquitur, qui ex præcedentibus aliquid colligit, quee quum ita sint (=why then?); et is qui distinctiorem rationem requirit eorum quæ ante dicta sunt; quid his verbis tibi vis? (=how so?) et is qui consequentia quærit: what then? et is qui miratur rem, quæ dicta erat antea, in consequentia haud probabilem quid hoc est? (how so? how now ?), et is qui cum indignatione respondet, rem fieri de consequentia. In plurimis his comparari potest Græcum тí oūv." Vol. ii. p. 456.]

## Exercise 121.

460. (1) Socr. Let us then recur to what was before agreed on. Did you say that to be hungry is pleasant or painful? I mean the very fact of being hungry.

Col. I said it was painful: though to eat when hungry is pleasant.

Socr. I understand you: but still to be hungry of itself is painful; is it not so?

Col. I admit it.
Socr. And also to be thirsty?
Col. Assuredly.
Socr. Whether, then, shall I ask you any more questions? Or do you allow that all want and desire is painful?

Col. I allow it ; so do not ask.
Socr. Be it so.
(2) When Aristeus was returning from the pursuit, seeing the rest of the army conquered, he was at a loss which place he should risk going to, whether towards

Olynthus or to Potidæa. He determined, however, to draw his men into as small a space as possible, and at a running pace force his way into Potidæa; and he passed along the breakwater through the sea, annoyed by missiles [from the Athenian ships], and with difficulty; having lost a few men, but saved the rest.
(3) Mer. And in ships is a man a good commander of a vessel merely because he possesses nautical science; alke whether he be subject to sea-sickness or not? Or how shall we say?

Ath. He is by no means a good commander, if, besides his science, he is subject to the malady you mention.

## Exercise 122.

461. (1) Cal. What! does it follow from this that Pericles was a bad man?

Socr. Be that as it may, a man of this kind would be thought a bad manager of asses, horses, and oxen, if having received them, neither kicking, nor butting, nor biting, he should make them do all these things through vice. Does not every trainer of any animal whatever appear to you to be a bad one, who, having received it gentle, has made it more vicious than he received it? Does he appear so, or not?

Cal. Certainly, that I may gratify you.
(2) Immediately after these things, in the very same winter, Tissaphernes proceeded to Caunus, wishing to bring the Peloponnesians back to Miletus, and after making still another convention with them, to give them pay, and not have them driven to absolute hostilities with him; being afraid that if they were without supplies for many of their ships, they might either be compelled to engage the Athenians and be defeated, or through their vessels being unmanned the Athenians might without his assistance attain the object of their wishes. And again, he was most of all afraid that they might ravage the continent in search
of supplies. From calculating then and forecasting all these things, in accordance with his wish to reduce the Greeks to a footing of equality with one another, he consequently sent for the Lacedæmonians, and gave them supplies, and concluded a third treaty with them, to the following effect.
(3) Socr. Tell me now, with respect to those men whom you a little before mentioned, whether they still appear to you to have been good citizens, Pericles, Cimon, Miltiades, and Themistocles.

Cal. To me they do.
Socr. If, therefore, they were good citizens, it is evident that each of them made his fellow-citizens better instead of worse. Did they so, or not?

Cal. Yes.
Socr. When Pericles, therefore, began to speak in public, were the Athenians worse than when he addressed them for the last time?

Cal. Perhaps so.
Socr. There is no 'perhaps' in the case, my good friend, but this is a necessary consequence from what has been admitted, if he really was a good citizen.

Cal. But what then?
Socr. Nothing. But tell me this moreover, whether the Athenians are supposed to have become better through Pericles; or quite the contrary, to have been corrupted by him.

## Chap. 45. § 1. Oи̃тє.

462. 'Oưтє ab oủס́ ${ }^{\text {differt notione cequationis, quæ }}$ in $\tau$ té inest, et oúdé negationem vel alicui rei opponit vel disjunctam conjungit, ut modo sit, but not, modo, and not. Illud oưte autem significat atque non, ideoque in disjunctione duarum rerum comparandarum ponitur oưtє-oưtє, quasi dicas ut hoc non-ita illud non, hoc est, neither-nor. Si ad originem particulæ
non ad usum respicis comparabis oủ-סé cum ne-c, oưтe cum ne-que' (Hand, vol. iv. 96).

In connecting a negative clause with a preceding clause, the connection is made thus-
463. a) Not-nor by oú $\delta$ é ( $\mu \eta \delta^{\prime}$ ), when a negative member precedes.

 $i \pi \pi$ éas (Xen.).
464. b) [-and not] by кaì oủ (кaì $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ), when an affirmative member precedes: this is the regular form in Attic prose (in Ionic writers and the poets oúס́ or $\mu \eta \delta \epsilon ́$ can also be used here. $K$.).





 (Pl.) [On ä à $\lambda^{\prime}$ oú, cf. 18].
465. c) by oưтє-ойтє ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon-\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ ), neque-neque (neve-neve), neither-nor, when the two or more successive members are negative.-Of this construction no examples are necessary.
[If a negative stands at the head of a clause, either-or,-or, dc., must be translated by oü $\tau \epsilon-$ ой $\tau \epsilon$ —ой $\tau \epsilon$ (or $\mu \dot{\eta} \tau \epsilon$ — \&c.) ].
466. d) $O$ vैт $\epsilon$ - $\tau \in ́($ seldom $\kappa a i)$ do not exactly agree with neque-et, for they connect notions of cqual importance, whereas in neque-et there is an ascent to a more important, or a descent to a less important notion. [Hand, iv. 134. ${ }^{1}$ ]

 $\chi \chi^{\omega} \rho a \pi о \lambda є \mu i ́ a$ (Xen.).

[^47]467. e) Oú $\delta$ é ( $=$ ne-quidem) always relates to some preceding notion (expressed or implied). Cf. 440.

OÜт $\tau$-oúס́́ ( = neither-nor yet ; nor-not yet) oppose the notion which they connect disjunctively: cf. Martial, 6, 75, 4: has ego nec mittam Pontia, sed nec edam (will neither send-, nor on the other hand-). Hand. But Hermann observes that in oü't --oúdé there is no proper correlation, but the writer, dropping the construction oư $\tau \epsilon-$ oư $\tau \epsilon$ after the first oữ $\tau$, appends the second notion, not correlatively to the first, but independently ${ }^{\circ}$ as a new sentence: "Quæ est re verâ grata quædam familiaris sermonis negligentia." (Ad CEd. Col. 1141).
468. When oú $\delta$ é- oú $\delta$ é are repeated, they are not corresponsive particles (like neque-neque), but the first oúdé has its own proper force (connecting the negative notion with what preceded), and the second adds to it a second notion in the same independent way: $=$ ne-quidem,-neque.

 тарабкєча́бабগаı (Xen.).
469. The following connective forms are more rare, and belong mostly to poetry, viz. oút


 (Th).]

## Exercise 123.

470. (1) [Call.] "I will not prefer life to liberty ; nor will I desert my leaders either alive or dead; but will bury all the corpses of our allies who shall have fallen in battle. And when I have conquered the barbarians, I will not destroy any of the cities that have fought on the side of Greece, but will decimate every one that has sided with the barbarians: and of the temples that shall have been burnt or pulled down by
the barbarians, I will not rebuild a single one, but will allow them to remain as a monument of barbarian impiety to those who come after us."
(2) It is not meet, gentlemen of the jury, that I should be refused redress, or my opponent retain the wealth he has plundered. With regard to myself, though you have no actual experience of my disposition towards you, it is fair to presume that I shall not be worse than my father. Of the defendant you have some experience; and you well know that, though he has inherited a large fortune, he has not only shown no liberality to the public, but even grasps at the property of his neighbor. Bear in mind this, with the other facts of the case, and give your votes according to justice.
(3) Socr. And I know that I should be treated just in the same way, if I came before a court of justice. For I should not be able to mention any pleasures which I had procured for them, which they consider as benefits and advantages; but I neither envy those who procure them, nor those for whom they are pro cured. And if any one should say that I corrupt younger men, by causing them to doubt, or that I revile the elder men, by speaking bitter words, either privately or publicly, I should not be able to say the truth, that ' I say and do all these things justly, and for your advantage, judges, and nothing else.' So that I should probably suffer whatever might happen.
(4) Socr. Then, secondly, we should consider this, whether we have ever constructed any private building, either for any one of our friends, or for ourselves, and whether this building is beautiful or ugly. And if, on examination, we found that our masters had been good and famous, and that we have constructed, in conjunction with our masters, many and beautiful buildings, and many privately by ourselves, after we had left our masters, in that case it would become men of sense to undertake public works; but if we were
not able to show that we had a master, nor any building at all, or many, and those of no account, it would surely in that case be foolish to attempt public works, and to exhort one another to undertake them. Shall we admit that this is well said, or not?

Cal. Certainly.

## Chap. 45. § 2. OÜтє—oủסè (continued).

471. 'Oưт' a’ $\rho a$, oủ $\delta$ ' aै $\rho a$ obviate a prejudice or a false expectation.' (Hartung.) The äpa may sometimes have its force rendered perceptible by considering it equivalent to: 'as the person spoken of thought, feared, expected, \&c.'

Thus Il. $\epsilon, 333$ :


ойт' वै $\rho$ ' 'Aף 1 neither for instance Athênê, as he had feared, nor, \&c.
472. In oű' ' ô̂v-oût the oîv (according to Hart ung: see 483) denotes indifference with respect to choice: i. e. both notions are denied indifferently, the confidenc with which the denial is made not depending upon which of the two statements is thought probable by the person or persons addressed. The speaker denies them both, no matter whether others do or not.
473. In ouv' oîv, the oîv has the same force, but the $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ (of oú $\delta$ '́) refers to what precedes $=n o$, nor yet ; nor yet (is the following supposition at all more true than the preceding).

## Exercise 124.

474. (1) Moreover we ought not ${ }^{\text {a }}$ to be given to excessive laughter; for commonly where a man gives himself ${ }^{\text {b }}$ to excessive laughter, such a disposition requires also a violent change.-I think so, said he. If then any one should represent men of worth and note as overcome by laughter, we must not accept this ${ }^{\circ}$ rep-
resentation, $\ddagger$ much less if he ( ${ }^{\circ}$ so represents) deities. Much less, he replied.
(2) What other sophist ${ }^{c}$ then, or what private reasonings do you think will counteract these with success? I know none, said he. Certainly not, said I, but even the very attempt is excessive folly. For no other kind of feeling or disposition towards virtue either is or was, ay, or ever will be formed by the training of these men: any human one, I mean, my friend; for as to a divine one, let us, according to the proverb, leave that out of the question: for be assured that if under the present constitution of governments any thing is saved, and becomes such as it ought to be, you will not be wrong if you assert that a divine providence has saved it.
a 'A入入à $\mu \grave{\eta} \nu$ oủס' (the oú $\delta$ ' referring to what preceded, what fol-

c He has called the general body of citizens in the public assembly the worst and most ruinous sophists of all. Accordingly the citizens collectively are 'these men' alluded to below.

## Сhap. 46. Пе́ $\rho, \pi \hat{\eta}, \pi \lambda \eta \eta^{2}$.

475. Пє́ (enclit., utique ; often adversatively:= quamvis). It comes from the preposition $\pi \epsilon \rho i$, round, denoting the whole compass of the notion, so that the word to which it is attached, is to be taken in its whole extent. As Krüger expresses it, it 'presses the identity' of notions (ó au̇tòs ö or $\pi \epsilon \rho=$ the very same, who). In Attic Greek it is principally appended to relatives, and adverbs of time or condition (ö́ $\sigma \pi \epsilon \rho$, ${ }^{\circ} \sigma \sigma \sigma \sigma \pi \epsilon \rho \cdot o \hat{v} \pi \epsilon \rho$, óтоит $\rho \cdot \epsilon^{\prime \prime} \pi \epsilon \rho, \& c$. .) ; and to каi in каiт $\pi \epsilon \rho$. With che relatives it often has the force of our ever, soever.
' $E S$ и́pa öттоитєр є̇ $\pi \iota \tau v \gamma \chi a ́ v o \iota, ~ w h e r e v e r . ~$
476. a) $\Pi \hat{\eta}$ (or properly $\pi \hat{\eta}$ as dat. fem.) is an inrerrogative particle. As a local (interrogative) particle it stands between $\pi 0 \hat{v}$ and $\pi o \hat{\imath}$, and sometimes appears to denote rest in a place. But the difference is thus
explained by Reisig: " $\Pi \hat{\eta}$ a $\pi o \hat{\imath}$ differt eatenus, ut hoc sit in quem locum versus, illud quâ in viâ: unde intelligitur quo modo potuerit poeta variare dicendo: $\pi o \hat{\imath}, \pi \hat{a}$ ф́́po $\mu a \iota$; i. e. quorsum, quâ viâ feror? et cur $\pi \hat{\eta}$ duplìciter dicatur, vel de motu vel de statu. Eur. Hip. 877, $\pi$ â фú $\gamma \omega$; Arist. Plut. 438, $\pi$ oi ius фúyoı;" (ad OEd. Col. 23.) In interrog. is also in what respect? how? why?
477. b) $\Pi$ ' (enclit.) : indef.; any where, in any way,

478. c) $\Pi \hat{\eta} \mu \hat{\nu} \nu-\pi \hat{\eta} \delta \dot{\epsilon}$, partim-partim. Hermann recommended $\pi \grave{\eta} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \nu-\pi \grave{\eta} \delta \hat{\delta} \cdot$ but the particle is invariably circumflexed in the MSS.
$\Pi \lambda \eta \nu$, except: as conjunction, or quasi-preposition with gen.: $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu \epsilon i$, except if. [Related to $\pi \lambda \epsilon i \nu, \pi \lambda \epsilon$ éo , more. Kl. $]$
479. As a conjunction, it either has a complete sentence, or only a case appended to a preceding verb, so that properly the verb must be repeated with a negative ( $K_{r}^{r}$ ).
 $\pi \lambda \grave{\eta} \nu$ oi тúpavvor (Xen.).

## Exercise 125.

480. (1) In the first place let them reverence the gods above, who regard the desolation of orphans, and then the souls of the departed, whose nature it is to take an exceeding interest in their own descendants; and still further $\dagger$ the souls of those who are alive indeed, but in ( ${ }^{\circ}$ extreme) old age, and in ( ${ }^{\circ}$ the possession of) the highest honors, wherever a state is flourishing under good laws: if they love these tenderly, their children's children live a happy life.
(2) Soor. You say truly. And on this account we ought to trurn over all speeches again and again, and consider whether any easier and shorter way to it can any where be found, in order that we may not in vain go by a long and rough one, when we might have
taken a short and smooth one. If, therefore, you have heard of any thing that will assist us, from Lysias or any one else, endeavor to call it to mind, and tell it me.

Сhap. 47. Поте́, тои́, трі́v, тஸ́, тढ́тотє. 481. Пoтé (enclit.), at any time; at some time; once.

With interrogatives it expresses surprise: тis тотє; who in the world? (= tandem). 'Aci' moтє, and, less commonly, $\dot{a} \in i \delta \dot{\eta} \pi \sigma \tau \epsilon$ (where the $\delta \dot{\eta}$ adds emphasis to the $\dot{a} \in i)$, are favorite expressions with Thucydides: $=$ always; all along.
482. Пó (enclit.), (1) somewhere; (2) perchance, perhaps; (3) I imagine (opinor), used in conversation when any thing is assumed in a half-questioning way, that the speaker may build something on the assent of the person appealed to. $\Delta \dot{\eta} \pi \boldsymbol{\pi o v}, 261$. ${ }^{3} H$ rov, 320.
483. $\Pi_{\rho i \nu}$ [cf. Gr. 1272-1276]:=prius, potius, Gr. 1276, c.
484. Пы́ (enclit.), $\}$ till now, hitherto, yet, ever (yet), П'́тотє, $\} \quad$ after negatives.

485. a) Without a negative, $\pi \dot{\omega}$ occurs only in questions that are virtually negative.
486. b) $O \cup \cup ้ \pi \omega, \mu \dot{\eta} \pi \omega$ (ou̇ס́́ $\pi \omega, \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega)$, never yet, not yet: $\pi \dot{\omega}=$ dum in non dum ( $H$.).
 3, 2, 14). ' $E \kappa \tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ où $\delta$ ́́ $\pi \tau \omega$ oै $\nu \tau \omega \nu(P l$.$) .$
487. Пढ́тотє is seldom annexed to the simple ov,
 Ov́סєтө́тотє usually with aor. (not with pres. and fut. except in late writers). Lob. Phryn. 458.

Both $\pi \dot{\omega}$ and $\pi \dot{\omega} \pi о \tau \epsilon$ may be separated from the negative particle by the interposition of other words.
488. П'́, тө́тотє occur in virtually negative ques-
 Pl.); and $\pi \omega \boldsymbol{\pi} \pi \sigma \epsilon$ also after $\epsilon i$.
 ' $E \lambda \epsilon$ бо⿱


## Exercise 126.

489. (1) For the Corinthians, having their city situated on the isthmus, had always possessed an emporium ${ }^{\circ}$; as the Greeks of old, both those within the Peloponnese and those without, had intercourse with each other by land more than by sea, through their country: and they were very rich, as is shown even by the old poets; for they gave the title of "wealthy" to the place. And when the Greeks began to make more voyages, having got the ships ( ${ }^{\circ}$ I just mentioned), they proceeded to put down piracy; and rendered their city rich in income of money, as they afforded an emporium both ways. ${ }^{\text {a }}$
(2) Socr. What then? do you suppose that any one has ever proposed to consider within himself of five and seven, I do not mean seven and five men, or any thing else of the kind, but the numbers five and seven themselves, which we said were in his soul like impressions in wax, and that it is impossible to judge falsely respecting them, -has any man at any time considered these very things, speaking to himself and asking how many they are, and answered, one that he supposes they are eleven, and another that they are twelve, or do all men say and suppose that they are twelve?
a à $\mu \phi$ о́тє $\alpha_{\alpha}$ (Gr. 1516, 14), i. e. both by sea and land.

Chap. 48. § 1. Té-каí.
490. "Kaí particula est conjunctiva, тé adjunctiva" (H.).
491. a) Té-каí connect two notions so as to form one whole (the second is often, but not always, the
more weighty: cf. ä̀ $\lambda \omega \boldsymbol{\tau} \tau \epsilon \kappa a i$, whereas $\kappa a i-\kappa a i$ rather separate them as two co-ordinate notions, upon each of which the mind is to dwell.

Ká入入ıбтóv тє каí ăpıбтоע (Xen.). Ể те $\lambda$ е́ $\gamma \epsilon \tau \epsilon$

492. b) $K a i$ - $\tau \in($ et-que) is a freer poetical mode of connection (M.). But Hermann remarks: 'non magis кai- $\tau$ '́, quam apud Latinos et-que ${ }^{1}$ in partitione ponitur' (ad Bacch. 303); i. e. the members are not connected as equal, but the second is appended by $\tau \in$ as an additional notion.
493. c) In Thucydides $\tau \in ́$ is frequently used (like que, $K r$.) as a particle of continuation: the statement introduced is, however, very often an instance or result of what has previously been stated (-and so; ${ }^{2}$ and thus; thus for instance).



 observes: "Particula $\tau$ ' apud Thuc. sæpe simplex est copula; atque hic usus multo latius spectat, quam ob Rost. Gr. § 134, A, 5, b, $\gamma$, credideris'").
494. d) If more than two members succeed each other, they are connected in the following manner; (1) with the first member the connective is omitted, and the other members follow, connected by cai repeated; (2) каi-кai-кai, \&c. (3) тє́- $\tau \in ́-\tau \in ́, \& c$. (4) тє́-каі—каі', \&c.





[^48](5) $\tau \epsilon ́-\tau \epsilon ́, \& c .$, каi (Epic) Od. $\gamma, 413$. 'E $\chi$ '́ $\phi \rho \omega \nu$
 Єрабv $\mu \dot{\eta} \delta \eta s$.

 очба-ка і-каі ктл. (Xen.).
(7) After каi two members, considered, as it were,



 oav (Xen.).
(8) тé-каi ס̀̀ каí, quum-tum vero etiam. K.
495. e) It is not, indeed, uncommon to find $\delta$ é corresponding to a preceding $\tau \epsilon$; but an instance of this will hardly be found, except where the word or notion that precedes the $\delta$ ' is to be emphatically brought out in contrast with what preceded (Th. 1).
496. $f$ ) Sometimes $\tau \epsilon$ is followed, not by кai, but

 $\lambda o v \delta \hat{\varepsilon}$ ) ; the copulative connection with which the author began being given upfor an adversative one, either for the purpose of giving prominence to the second notion, or because it is far removed from the $\tau$ ' (M.).


 $\mu$ н́̀ous, öт८ кт入. (Xen. An. 5, 4, 8.)
497. g) When Hartung says that $\tau \in ́-\tau$ é are often synonymous with $\eta^{\eta}$ or ei't (vel), or with $\mu \in ́ v-\delta \epsilon ́$, of course he must be understood to mean that the copulative connection is used, when the other forms might be
 construed and expressed whether present or not.-Hence it is sometimes found irregularly in correspondence


 When two notions have a common predicate, $\tau \grave{̀}$ $\kappa a i$ are, as a general rule, not used, unless the predicate might be affirmed of each of the notions separately. If it is only true of the two when taken together, the simple кai is the regular connective particle.
499. To this rule, however, many exceptions occur:


 ätavтa; (Pl. Thecet. 204, d).-Dissen lays down the rule thus: "Ponitur tè кai etiam ubi divisum est predicatum aliquod inter duo subjecta, si fortiorem accentum rhetoricum ambo vel patiuntur vel postulant."
500. "Ne ibi quidem ubi altero membro non diversa commemorantur tè кaí ab loquendi consuetudine abhorrere verissime docuit Thiersch. comparans illa




## Exercise 127.

501. (1) Do you remember saying a little while ago, that we must not blame gymnastic teachers nor banish them from our cities, if a boxer does not use his skill in an honorable manner, buta behaves unjustly? And so, also, in the same way, if a rhetorician should employ his oratorical power to unjust purposes, we ought not to blame his teacher, nor drive him from the state, but should censure the person who acts unjustly, and makes a dishonorable use of his rhetorical skill?-Was this said, or not?
(2) Socr. You fight manfully, Theætetus. But is not this very all, the all when nothing is wanting to it?

Thece. Necessarily so.
Socr. And will not the whole be this very same thing, when nothing is wanting to it? but when any
thing is wanting, it is neither the whole, nor all, each becoming the same thing from the same cause?

Thece. It appears to me now, that the whole and the all do not ever differ from each other in any respect.

Socr. Did we not say, that where there are parts, the whole and the all $\dagger$ will make upa all the parts?
(3) You have apprehended my meaning quite correctly, said I, and I think that I can now make plain to you what before I could not, that in poetry and all fabulous writing one species of it is wholly imitative, as you say, namely, tragedy and comedy; another species is entirely a narration from the poet's own mouth,-a species you will principally find, I take it, in dithyrambics,-and, again, a third species, ( ${ }^{\circ}$ found) both in epic poetry and, indeed, tin many other sorts of composition, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ is compounded of both modes.

$$
\text { a Say: ‘will be.' b } \quad \text { по } \lambda \lambda a \chi 0 \hat{1}
$$

## Chap. 49. Té $\omega$ s.

502. Té $\omega$ s, so long, until [ $\tau \in \epsilon \omega$ - $\epsilon$ é $\omega$ s, but mostly ${ }^{\prime \prime} \omega \varsigma$ only]. This is its proper meaning in the form т'́فs $\mu$ év ('for a time'), i. e., more precisely, up to the time which is then defined by the opposed statement.


503. Even in Attic prose тé $\omega$ s is sometimes found for ${ }^{\text {éws (e. g. in Dem. Cf. Buttm. Ind. ad Mid. p. 187). }}$ $K r$.

## Exercise 128.

504. (1) Whether of the two had been the cause of the dissension, it is not, perhaps, my business to determine; but so far at least I may pronounce them both deservedly culpable, that, having till then been friends, and no just pretext arising for a breach of
their friendship, they so hastily became enemies on account of some idle words.
(2) We hold that the nearest of kin should marry the heiress, and that the property should be hers till ${ }^{a}$ her sons are of full age, ${ }^{\text {b }}$ but that they should then come into possession of it.
a Say: 'should be hers for a time, but when her sons,' \&cc. bimb $\delta i \epsilon \tau \epsilon s$ 市 $\beta$ ầ. Cf. Lid. and Scott.

## CHap. 50. Toi.

505. (1) Hermann derives the particle $\tau o{ }^{\prime}$ from $\tau \hat{\omega}$ $=$ propterea, igitur, and says that it denotes primarily a necessity, and then a self-imposed necessity of thinking any thing to be (or not be) so and so.
(2) Nägelsbach considers it to be the dative of $\tau v$ $=\sigma \dot{v}$; and hence to denote a confidential intimation to the person addressed, that the assertion is certainly true: it involves, therefore, an appeal to the judgment of the person addressed.
[On this supposition the force of toi may be given (though in an exaggerated way) by look you, let me tell (beg) you, \&c.]
(3) Hartung, deriving one toi from the demonstrative pronoun, refers the enclitic $\tau 0 i$ to the Gothic thauh, and makes its primary meaning that of satisfaction or acquiescence in any thing: from whence he deduces its use in proverbs, \&c.; in pert or forward deci-

 ย́ $\tau \iota]$; to denote the exhaustion of one's patience, so that

 finally to denote the resolution to put an end at once to
 enough the state detests you for this reason: ovitos, $\hat{\omega}$ c'
 бтo入єîs (tell me, do—or, tell me at once).]
(4) Finally, Klotz considers the stronger ( $\tau 0 \hat{\imath}$ accented) to have been demonstrative ( $=$ in this way); the weaker (enclitic) indefinite ( $\tau \omega^{\prime}=\tau \iota \nu \ell^{\prime}$, in some way, aliquo modo, nescio quomodo). The expression that the thing is so some how or other, is naturally an assertion of the fact, though the speaker does not pretend to know the means, by which it is or will be effected.

I am inclined to prefer, with Nägelsbach, the derivation from $\sigma v^{\prime}$, though that from $\tau \dot{\varphi}=\tau \iota \nu i$ has much to recommend it.
506. Its principal use is (a) with personal, demonstrative, and relative pronouns.

 $\sigma \tau \iota o \hat{\nu}$.
b) In maxims, proverbs, and other general propositions.

c) Also with verbs expressing emotion.

d) It is sometimes (apparently) adversative.
 mihi! quid heu mihi! verum tamen humana passi sumus, ut conquerendum non sit. (If derived from $\sigma$ v́, it will be: we have suffered, I tell you, the common lot of mortals.)
e) It is also used asseveratively in answers.


 $\delta \eta, \kappa \tau \lambda$. (Xen.)

It is combined with adversative particles (e. g. каiтol, tamen, quamquam; $\mu$ évtoı, tamen; àтáp тoı, à àá тol, at vero; at sane). Also oûtoc ( $\mu \eta$ خ́тol), certe non;

 nino. (H. Vig. p. 816.)
507. Toí, $\gamma^{\prime}, \pi \epsilon ́ \rho$, all add emphasis to the word they are attached to: roi adds this force asseveratively; $\gamma^{\prime}$, intensively; $\pi \epsilon \in \rho$, extensively.

In giving the force of $\tau o i$ with an imperative, Stallbaum represents it by id quod dicere liceat. (So in the third extract in the Exercise).

## Exercise 129.

508. (1) Listen,' Gorgias, to what I am surprised at in what you are saying; for perhaps your assertions are correct, but I do not correctly apprehend your meaning. You profess to be able to make any one a rhetorician, if he is willing to learn of you?-I do.That is, to be one who is believed upon all subjects, when he speaks before a multitude ${ }_{2}$ not from instructing men but from persuading them? -Exactly so. ${ }^{2}$
(2) Such is the truth; and you will know that it is so, if, dismissing philosophy, you betake yourself to greater things. For, look you, philosophy, Socrates, is an elegant thing, if one handles it moderately in youth; but if one dwells upon it longer than is becoming, it is the ruin of men.
(3) Socr. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Why, most excellent Polus! it is for this very purpose, let me tell you, that we get ourselves friends and sons, that when we, through being advanced in years, fall into error, you that are younger, being with us, may correct ${ }^{\text {c our }}$ life both in deeds and words. If, then, Gorgias and I have fallen into any error in our arguments, do you who are present correct us: you ought to do so. And if any thing that has been granted appears to you to have been improperly granted, I would wish you to retract whatever you please, only I beg you to attend carefully to one point.

Pol. What is that?
Socr. To restrain that prolixity of speech, which at first you attempted to employ.

Pol. What? shall I not be allowed to speak as much as I please?

Socr. You would indeed be very badly treated, my excellent friend, if, having come to Athens, where of all Greece there is the greatest liberty of speech, you alone should here be deprived of this liberty. But let me beg you to set this against it: if you speak in a prolix manner, and will not answer a question put to you, should not I be badly treated, if I am not allowed to go away and not listen to you?

 deeds in distress, and set us straight (= correct us) in argument. Bekker, with Baiter and Sauppe, gives the subjunctive here; Stallbaum the optative ; saying, "optativus post particulas finales in hujusmodi enuntiatis usurpatur, si vel ex alienâ mente et cogitatione loquimur, vel nihil nisi finem et consilium significamus adjuncta notione optandi." So that the opt. here would $=u t$ nos, id quod optamus, sustentetis et erigatis.

## Chap. 51. Toivev.

509. a) Toivvv, igitur,-jam vero, porro; therefore, then;-now, so now. It is also used, when a person proceeds with an argument; now further, but now. Besides this, it is frequently employed in lively replies: why, or why then; well then, "quum quis alterius orationem celeriter et alacriter excipit, eique prompto animo respondet." (K.) Very seldom as the first word of a clause. $P$.
510. b) Toígap, ergo, proinde [=hac de causâ igitur. Kl. Not from $\tau 0 i$, but the old $\tau 0 \hat{\imath}=\tau \hat{\varphi}$, cf. $50 \check{0}]$.
511. c) To九үápтoь, quapropter sane. тогүарои̂̀, hac de causâ igitur ; quapropter ; quocirca (more syllogistical. from the addition of oث̂v); proinde igitur (c. Imper.).

## Excrcise 130.

512. (1) Socr. Do you not say, then, that the wise and the foolish, cowards and the brave, rejoice and gricve in a equal degree, or cowards even more?

Cal. I do.

Socr. Now in common with me, draw the inferences that result from these admissions. For, they say, it is beautiful to repeat and consider beautiful things twice, and even thrice. We say, that the prudent and brave man is good; do we not?

Cal. Yes.
Socr. But that the foolish man and a coward is bad?
Cal. Certainly.
Socr. I will, therefore, go through the matter under discussion, as it appears to me to be: but, if I shall seem to any of you to grant myself what is not true, he must take me up and confute me. For, mind, I do not say what I say as knowing it, but I am inquiring in common with you, so that, if he who disputes with me should appear to say any thing to the purpose, I shall be the first to give in to him. I say this, however, in case you think the discussion ought to be finished; but if you do not wish it, let us give it up and depart.
(2) After he had committed these wrongs, he was not aware that he had become most miserable, ${ }^{1}$ and did not repent, but shortly afterwards, he did not wish to become happy by nurturing his legitimate brother, the son of Perdiccas, a child about seven years of age, to whom the government of right belonged, and by restoring it to him; but having thrown him into a well, and suffocated him, he told his mother Cleopatra that he had fallen in in pursuing a goose, and so met with his death. Wherefore now, since he has committed the greatest wrongs of all in Macedonia, he is the most miserable of all the Macedonians, not the - most happy ( ${ }^{\circ}$ of them). And,.perhaps, there are some among the Athenians, and you as likely as any cody, who would rather be any other of the Macedonians than Archelaus.

[^49]
## Chap. 52. ' $\Omega$ :

513. ' $\Omega$; (quam; quasi, tamquain; ut;-1unty, how, as (both of manner' and time), that, in order that. properly a relative adverb (from ós or from $\dot{\delta}$, which was originally both demonstrative and relative). Its original meaning therefore is ut, quomodo, quam (how).
' $\Omega_{\varsigma} \delta \dot{\prime}$ ' is used in ironical exclamations (=as if!), properly, how very much forsooth this would be so or so.
514. a) ' $\Omega$ s corresponds to quam-

 trina, nisi mens adsit.
(2) With superlatives (especially adverbs) and some positives.
 quam splendidissimus; $\dot{\omega}$ sả $\eta \eta \hat{\omega} s$, quam vere or verissime (properly sic ut vere, Kl.).
515. b) It corresponds to quasi and tainquam ; (1) with substantives: $=a s$, for:


(2) With participles (especially when used absolutely in Gen. or Acc.), to denote what seems or is given out. It has often the simple meaning of as, as being, \&ce. $i=q u i p p e$, or quippe qui). [Gr. 1142.]
(3) The meaning of as if belongs also to $\dot{\omega}$, with prepositions (e: g. $\epsilon i s, \epsilon \pi i$ ). This occurs in statements where less is said than is meant; e. g. to prepare himself, $\dot{\omega} s \dot{\epsilon} \pi i \quad \mu a ́ \chi \eta \nu$, as if for baltle, where there is no doubt that the person really prepared himself for battle.
 є" $\mu \epsilon \lambda \lambda \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ є́mì vavرaxíav (Xen.).
(4) It is probably from this usage of $\dot{\omega}$, that it obtained the force of a preposition (but only before personal names or pronouns) : e. g. $\eta \kappa \kappa \epsilon \nu \dot{\omega} \varsigma \dot{\epsilon} \mu \dot{\epsilon}=\tilde{\eta} \kappa \epsilon \iota \downarrow$ $\dot{\omega} \varsigma[\pi \rho o ̀ s] \epsilon \in \mu \dot{́}$.
 (Eur.). Kr.
(5) From this notion of what seems to be the case, may also be derived the meaning of $\omega$ s with (definite or indefinile) numerals $:=$ fere, circiter; and such combina-
 it were) for the most part ; hence mostly, generally, usually
 єival ó $\sigma \pi i \beta o s \dot{\omega} s \delta_{1 \sigma \chi} \chi \lambda i \omega \nu$ í $\pi \pi \omega \nu$. Xen. ['recte dici poterit etiam Latine, QUASI bis mille equorum.' Kl.] Kr.
516. c) As corresponding with ut, uti, $\omega$ s is used :
(1) $=a s: \dot{\omega} s$ oî $\mu a l$, ut opinor.
(2) In wishes: $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \stackrel{\epsilon}{\text { ép }} \boldsymbol{\text { ( }}$ äтó入ouтo! utinam [uti-nam] rixa pereat!

Here we find also oút $\boldsymbol{\text { ses }}$ ( $\omega s$ )- $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{s}$ : the clause of comparison, introduced by $\omega$ s, expressing the object of the protestation. [Cf. ita me dii ament, ut ego nunc lætor.]
(3) To denote an effect or consequence: кaì $\gamma \dot{a} \rho-\tau \grave{a}$
 eival, \&c.

In this use of $\omega_{s}$, it occurs, though less commonly than $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$, with $\ddot{\eta}$ after a comparative.

It has sometimes a similar force after the positive, or a substantive denoting a character or quality. Here we can use the infinitive: e. g. I lnow they are but ordinary (or ignorant) persons to contend with us, є̇iova-

(4) Like ut, and as, $\dot{\omega} s$ is also used of time; $\dot{\omega} s$ $i \delta \in \nu$ (ut vidit): it is also used sometimes (as other temporal particles are) of cause; 'Croesus, as it was summer, did so and so,' '̀s 9épos $\hat{\eta} \nu$ (Xen.).
517. d) As a final conjunction, to denote a purpose:
 ut haberet).

On $\dot{\omega}_{s} \ddot{a}^{\nu} \nu$ ( $\dot{\omega}$ s as final conjunction) with subjunct., see Pt. I.
518. e) ' $\Omega$; is also synonymous with ötц after verba
sentiendi et declurandi. Here the infinitive also occur's As being properly relatice, it also stands with reference to a preceding demonstrative or $\tau i$, or where one may easily supply the circumstance (that).
520. $f^{\prime}$ ) ${ }^{\text {s }} \Omega_{\varsigma}(u t)$ in connection with a substantive (for which a predicate must be supplied from the principal clause) is used, like the Latin ut, in order to explain the predicate in the principal clause. It expresses either comparison or limitation, and in the first case is to be translated by as, in the latter by for: the former occurs, when the object is assumed to possess in a high degree the thing affirmed in the predicate of the sentence ; the latter, when it is assumed to possess it only in a small degree.
 àv'p (as being a shepherd. Soph. Ed. R. 1118); but:
 cedcemonian; it being known that they were no great orators).
521. g) Special mention must be made here of parenthetical clauses, which often occur, and are apparently independent, and which are introduced by $\dot{\omega}$ (seldom $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ ) with the infin. A limitation is very often denoted by these clauses. That, of which such a subordinate clause gives the consequence or effect, must be supplied, e. g. тогои́т $\omega$ тро́т $\omega$.

 rim, $\dot{\omega}$ s $\sigma v \nu \epsilon \lambda o ́ v \tau \iota ~ \epsilon i \pi \epsilon i v,{ }^{1}$ ut paucis absolvam, $\dot{\text { s }} \gamma^{\prime}$ ноь ठокєiv, ut mihi quidem videtur, properly tali modo ut mihi videatur, $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \bar{\epsilon} \mu \grave{\epsilon} \epsilon \hat{\nu} \mu \epsilon \mu \nu \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma$ פat.

Such clauses are very often expressed in an abridged form without $\dot{\omega} s$, e. g. ov $\pi o \lambda \lambda \hat{\omega}$ 的 $\gamma \omega$ $\epsilon i \pi \epsilon \hat{\iota} \nu$, espe-
 tum absit, and in the still shorter form, ó $\lambda \dot{\prime}$ 'you, prope, prene.

[^50]According to the same analogy，ö $\sigma o \nu$ ，ö $\sigma a$ ，ő $\tau \ell$ ， with infin．，are used instead of $\omega$ s，e．g．öбоע $\gamma^{\prime} \mu$ ， Eiớval，quantum sciam（properly，pro tanto，quantum scire possim），ö $\tau \iota \mu^{\prime}$ єídéval．
 used with superlatives：

523．i）Sometimes $\dot{\text { es }}$ follows a comparative，グ being omitted：
 Brem．p．52）．
$" \Omega_{s}$（with accent）$=0$ ü $\tau \omega$ s，thus．It is common in the poets，especially the Ionians；but in prose is found only in oư $\delta^{\prime}$ ©゙s，каì $\omega \varsigma$.

## Exercise 131.

524．Socr．It turns out then that I was right，when I said that it is possible for a man to do what he pleases in a city，and yet not have great power，nor do what he wishes

Pol．As if，Socrates，you yourself would not like to be allowed to do what you please in a city，rather than not，and would not be envious when you saw any one either slaying whom he pleased，or taking away his possessions，or patting him in bonds．

Socr．Do you mean justly or unjustly？
Pol．Whichever he should do，is he not in either case to be envied？

Socr．Good words，I pray you，Polus．
Pol．But why？
Socr．Because it is not right，either to envy those that are not to be envied，or the wretched；but to pity them．

Pol．$\dagger$ What say you？${ }^{\text {a }}$ Does such appear to you to be the case with the men of whom I am speaking？

Socr．How can it be otherwise？

$$
\text { a } \tau_{i}^{\prime} \delta a^{\prime} ;
$$

## Chap. 53: " $\Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$.

525. " $\Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$ rclated to $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$, as ö $\sigma \tau \epsilon$ to ös, connects the following notions more closely with the preceding ones.

In the sense of as in comparisons, it belongs to Homer and the Tragedians, though found now and then in the older Attic prose writers (Lob, Phryn. 427).
" $\Omega_{\sigma \tau \epsilon}$ (c. infin.) is also sometimes $=e \hat{a}$ conditione ut,
 (Xen.).
${ }^{\prime \prime} \Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon=s$, therefore, wherefore: $\omega \sigma \sigma \epsilon$ (quocirca, igitur) oưס̀̀v aủтoîs $\pi$ poúp $\mu a ́ т \eta \nu(P l$.$) .$
$" \Omega \sigma \tau \epsilon$, so theut ; so as. On $\tilde{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ with Inf., cf. Pt. I. § 50.

The infin. after $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ may be strengthened by каi.
 oủк є̇тє $\overline{\text { áa }} \mu \beta a \nu \epsilon \nu(T h$.).

On $\ddot{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon \mu \dot{\eta}, \check{\omega} \sigma \tau \epsilon$ oủ, see Pt. I. 297, 298.

## Exercise 132.

526. (1) "I was but a boy when he came here before. However, ${ }^{2}$ Socrates, all men praise him, and say that he is the wisest man to speak. But why do we not go to him that we may find him within? He is staying, as I have heard, with Callias son of Hipponicus. Let us go then."

I said to him: "We will not go there yet, my friend, it is too early; but let us rise up and go into our court, and spend the time there walking about, until it is light; then we will go. For Protagoras stays mostly within; therefore be sure, we shall probably find him at home."
(2) Cle $n$ and Demosthenes, aware that if they gave way even the least degree more, they would be
destroyed by the Athenian forces, stopped the engagement, and kept their men off them, wishing to take them alive to Athens, if by any means, in accordance with their proposals, they might be induced to surrender their arms, and yield to their present danger. And so they sent a herald, to ask if they would sur render their arms and themselves to the Athenians $\dagger$ to be treated at their discretion. ${ }^{\text {b }}$
a Cf. 47. b Say: 'so that they should decide as they pleased

IHE END.


(1) $71=$

## A

## PRACTICAL INTRODUCTION

TO

## GREEK PROSE COMPOSITION.

## PART II.

(THE PARTICLES.)

## by

THOMAS KERCHEVER ARNOLD, M.A.<br>RECTOR OF LYNDON,<br>AND LATE FELLOW OF TRINITY COLLEGE, CAMBRIDGE.

EIRST AMERICAN EDITION, REVISED AND IMPROVED.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { N E W Y O R K: } \\
& \text { D. AFPLETON \& CO., } 443 \text { \& } 445 \text { BROADWAY. } \\
& 1866 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Estered, accurding to Act of Congress, in the year 1851, by D APPLETON \& COMPANY, in the Clerk's Office of the District Court for He Southern District of New-York.

## PREFAOE.

In preparing the present volume for use in American schools and colleges, the Editor has judged it most advisable to abridge somewhat the length of the Exercises, whilst retaining all the critical and grammatical apparatus for a thorough understanding of the use of the Greek Particles in Prose Composition. He ventures to hope that the volume has in this way lost none of its utility for students in general, but rather the reverse. The Editor has also given some (but by no means full) directions with reference to the arrangement of words in sentences, as well as some hints as to the position of particles in a sentence.

It has not been deemed expedient to attempt to supply an English-Greek Vocabulary, especially as the very excellent English-Greek Lexicon of Yonge, edited by Prof. Drisler, will shortly be accessible to students.

The Editor takes pleasure in acknowledging his indebtedness to his late coadjutor, Prof. Hyde, of Burlington College, for several suggestions tending to increase the value of the present volume.

J. A. S.

New-York, Sept. Int 1§!

## prefaice to the engilsh edition.

The following work is the completion of my "Practical Introduction to Greek Prose Composition,"-a completion that has been long announced, but long suspended in consequence partly of ill-health and partly of more pressing engagements.

I am happy in having this opportunity of bearing testimony to the general excellence of Mr. Dale's recent Translation of Thucydides, contained in Mr. Bohn's Classical Library. I have found it nearly always an extremely accurate, and very often an extremely happy version of that difficult author. The rolumes of Plato that have kitherto appeared in that collection, are also, as far as my acquaintance with them enables me to pronounce an opinion, very creditable to their respective Translators, Mr. Cary (whose translation of the Gorgias has supplied me with many examples) and Mr. Davis. I need not say, that Mr. Kemedy's Translation of the Speeches of Demosthenes against Aphobus and Onetor is both accurate and elegant.

To the translators of a past generation my principal obligations are due to Spelman, the translator of the Anabasis, and Sir W. Jones, whose version of the Orations of Isrus is usually correct, and is accompanied with very instructive Introductions and Notes.
T. K. A.

Lfadon, Aug. 19, 1850.

## Xenophon's Anabasis:

With Explanatory Notes for the use of Schools and Colleges in the United States. By JAMES R. BOISE, Professor of Greek in the University of Michigan. 12mo, 393 pages.

A handsome and convenient edition of this great classic, really adapted to the wante of schools, has long been needed; the want is here met by Professor Boise in a manner that leares nothing to be desired. Decidedly the best German editions, whether text or commentary be considered, have appeared within the last few years; and of these Mr. Boise has made free use; while, at the same time, he has not lost sight of the fact that the classical schools of this country are behind those of Germany, and that simpler and more elementary explanations are therefore often necessary in a work prepared for American schools. Nothing has been put in the notes for the sake of a mere display of learning-pedantry is out of place in a school-book; and nothing has been introduced by way of comment except what can be turned to practical use by the reader.

An historical Introduction, which will enable the pupil to enter on his task intelligently, is prefixed. An abundance of geographical information, embodying the latest discoveries of travellers, is supplied; and the whole is illustrated with Kiepert's excellent map, showing the entire route of the ten thousand on their retreat.

## The First Three Books of Anabasis :

With Explanatory Notes and References to Hadley and Kühner's Greek Grammars, and to Goodwin's Greek Moods and Tenses. A copious Greek-English Vocabulary, and Kiepert's Map of the Route of the Ten Thousand. 12mo, 268 pages.

## Xenophon's Memorabilia of Socrates :

With Notes and an Introduction. By R. D. C. RobBINS, Professor of Languages in Middleser College, Vermont. 12mo, 421 pages.

This will be found an exccedingly useful book for College classes. The text is largo nid distinct, the typography accurate, anl the notes judicious and scholarly. Instead of referring the student to a variety of books, few of which are within his reach, the editor has wisely supplied whatever is necessary. An admirably treatise on the Lifo of Socrates introduces the work, and Eng`ish and Greek Indexes render it nasy to refer to the text and notes.

## Greek Ollendorff;

Being a Progressive Exhibition of the Principles of the Greek Grammar. By ASAHEL C. KENDRICK, Professor of the Greek Language and Literature in the Unirersity of Rochester. $12 \mathrm{mo}, 371$ pages.

The present work is what its title indicates, strictly an Ollendorff, and aims to spply the methods which have proved so successful in the acquisition of the modern languages to the study of Ancient Greek, with such differences as the different genius of the Greck, and the different purposes for which it is studied, suggest. It differs from the modern Ollendorffs in containing Exercises for reciprocal translation, in confining them within a smaller compass, and in a more methodical exposition of the principles of language.

The leading object of the author was to furnish a book which should serve as an introduction to the study of Greek, and precede the use of any grammar. It will therefore be found, although not claiming to embrace all the principles of the Grammar, yet complete in itself, and will lead the pupil, by insensible gradations, from the simpler constructions to those which are more complicated and difficult. The exceptions, and the more idiomatic forms, it studiously aroids, aiming only to exhibit the regular and ordinary usages of the language as the proper starting-point for the student's further researches.

In presenting these, the author has aimed to combine the strictest accuracy with the utmost simplicity of statement. His work is therefore adapted to a younger class of pupils than have usually engaged in the study of Greek, and will, it is hoped, win to the acquisition of that noble tongue many in our academies and primary schools who have been repelled by the less simple character of our ordinary text-books.

## Exercises in Greek Composition.

Adapted to the First Book of Xenophon's Anabasis. By JAMES R. BOISE, Professor of Greek in the University of Michigan. 12mo, 185 pages.

These Exercises consist of easy sentences, similar to those in the Anabasis, baving the same words and constructions, and are designed by frequent repitition to make the learner familiar with the language of Xenophon. Accordingly, the chapters and sections in both are made to correspond. No exercises can be more improving than those In this rolume; obliging the student as they do, by analysis and synthesis, to master the constructions employed by one of the purest of Greek writers, and imbuing him arth the espirit of one of the greatest historians of all antiquity

## Selections from Herodotus;

Comprising mainly such portions as give a Connected History of the East to the Fall of Babylon and the Death of Cyrus the Great. By herman m. Johnson, D.D., Professor of Philosophy and English Literature in Dickinson College. $12 \mathrm{mo}, 185$ pages.

Tho present selection embraces such parts of Herodotus as give a connected history of Asiatic nations. These portions are not only particularly interesting in themselpes, but open to the student a new field, inasmuch as the other Greek and Poman anthors commonly put into his hands leave this period of history untouched.

Herodotus is peculiarly adapted to academical reading. It has charms for the student which no other text-book possesses, on account of the simple clegance of the style and the liveliness of the narrative. In preparing his notes, the editor has borne in mind that they are intended for learners in the earlier part of their classical course; he has therefore made the explanations in the former part of the work quite full, with frequent references to such grammars as are in the hands of most students.

The notes proper are purely explanatory and grammatical. Other remarks, in the way of criticism or investigation, are appended to the several chapters, for the sake of awakening reflection and inciting to further inquiry.

A condensed treatise on the Ionic Dialect, and the peculiar forms of declension and conjugation used by Herodotus, removes one of the most serious difficulties that has heretofore embarrassed the student in reading this author. If this chapter is learned in advance, the dialectic forms, otherwise so troublesome, will be recognized without the slightest difficulty.

The text is printed in large, bold type, and accompanied with a Map of the regions described.

## Sophocles' Edipus Tyrannus.

With English Notes, for the use of Students in Schools and Colleges. By HOWARD CROSBY, A.M., Professor of the Greek Language and Literature in the New York University. 12mo, 138 pages.

The object had in view in this publication is to furnish to college-students the masterpiece of the greatest of Greek tragic poets in a convenient form. No learned eriticism on text was needed or has been attempted. The Tauchnitz edition has been chiefly followed, and such aid is rendered, in the way of notes, as may assist, not render needless, the effurts of the student. Too much help begets indolence; too little, despair, the author has striven to present the happy mean.

The inviting appearance of the text and the merit of the commentary have made this volume a fayorite wherever it has been used.

# Germania and Agricola of Caius Cornelius Tacitus: 

With Notes for Colleges. By W. S. TYLER, Professor of the Greek and Latia Languages in Amherst College. 12mo, 193 pages.

Tacitus's account of Germany and life of Agricola are among the most fascinating and instructive Latin classics. The present edition has been prepared expressly for college classes, by one who knows what they need. In it will be found: 1. A Latin text, approved by all the more recent editors. 2. A copious illustration of the grammatical constructions, as well as of the rhetorical and poetical usages peculiar to Tacitus. In a writer so concise it has been deemed necessary to pay particular regard to the connection of thought, and to the particles as the hinges of that connection. 8. Constant comparisons of the writer with the authors of the Augustan age, for the purpose of indicating the changes which had already been wrought in the language of the Roman people. 4. An embodiment in small compass of the most valuable labors of such recent German critics as Grimm, Günther, Gruber, Kiessling, Dronke, Roth, Ruperti, and Walther.

## From Prof. Lincoln, of Brown University.

"I have found the book in daily use with my class of very great service, very practical, and well suited to the wants of students. I am very much pleased with the Life of Tacitus and the Introduction, and indeed with the literary character of the book througkout. We shall make the book a part of our Latin course."

## The History of Tacitus.

By W. S. TYLER. With Notes for Colleges. 12mo, 453 pages.

The text of Tacitus is here presented in a form as correct as a comparison of tae best editions can make it. Notes are appended for the student's use, which contain not only the grammatical, but likewise all the geographical, archæological, and historical illustrations that are necessary to render the author intelligible. It has been the constant aim of the editor to carry students beyond the dry details of grammar and lexicography, and introduce them to a familiar acquaintance and lively sympathy with the author and his times. Indexes to the notes, and to the nomes of persons and places, render reference easy.

From Prof. Hackett, of Nezoton Theological Seminary.
"The notes appear to me to be even more neat and elegant than those on the - Cesmanta and Agricoia.' They come as near to such notes as I would be glad to write urself ( $n$ a classic, as almost any thing that I have vet seen."

$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{2}$ It is only in Homer and Pindar that $\delta \dot{\eta}$ stands at the beginning of a proposition or clause．（M．）

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Here $\dot{\epsilon} \pi \iota \epsilon \iota \kappa \eta$, which is used of persons, not only in the sense of fair, kind, good, gentle, making allowances; but also of those who keep within the bounds of order and moderation, \&c. Cf. Pape. This meaning is omitted by Liddell and Scott. ${ }^{\text {b }} \beta \lambda \alpha \beta \in \rho o ́ s$. ( $\pi \rho o ́ s \tau_{l}$ ).
     On £́avt⿳ิ $=\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{h} \lambda \omega \nu$, cf. Gr. 1010 (791), §654, $2 . \quad$ d Say: ' that being looked upon by you as a better ${ }^{\circ}$ person on account of this conduct ( $\delta \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ), I might receive,' \&cc.

[^2]:    
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Say: 'and from warring:' a clause that is really explanatory, being added co-ordinately by kai. © Say: 'except that of the Argives.' d Say: ‘and almost you also.' e $\dot{\pi} \pi$ ( (gen.). § $\pi \in \rho$ í.

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ It often seems to denote little more than an alacritas quodam respondentis, as Klotz remarks.

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Romans here sometimes use autem. Si summo opere sapientia petenda est, summo opere stultitia vitanda est; summo autem opere sapientia petenda est, summo igitur opere stultitia vitanda est (Cic.). Hartung compares sed vero: e. g. Non homines solum, sed vero animalia cuncta (Lucr. 4, 983).

[^5]:    ${ }^{n} \pi \epsilon \rho \grave{\imath} \tau \hat{\eta} \mathrm{~K}$ Kúpou Baбı入єías.

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Since the full form with ouv $\delta \in ́ \nu$ would be où $\delta \grave{\delta} \nu \alpha \not \partial \lambda \lambda o, \dot{a} \lambda \lambda^{3} h$ ，and this
    

[^7]:     is a transition from the plural to the singular, since it is only one patient who desires, at any given time, to eat. c Say: 'the more would be imported, exported,' \&c. d $\mu \hat{\varepsilon} \nu$ oủv. e Say: 'for such increases of o your revenues.’ ${ }^{\text {§ } \phi \iota \lambda \alpha ́ \nu \uparrow \rho \rho \omega \pi o s, ~ i . e . d r a w n ~ u p ~(o r ~}$ conceived) in a friendly spirit towards men generally (as opposed to citizens). $\quad$ Say: 'they would least be enslaved.'
    

[^8]:    ${ }^{2}$ Begin: 'To the sacrifices at ( $\epsilon \nu$ ) which the other Athenians feast
    
    

[^9]:     the adjectives opess ${ }^{\prime} s, \pi \in \delta \iota \nu \delta s$, in agreement with the preceding 'wuays,'

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ De qua vide Elmsleium ad Heracl． 721.
    ${ }^{2}$ Ad Æsch．Prom． 768.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ The latest explanation of Hartung's view is contained in his Greek Grammar, p. 300. ""Aps denotes unimpeded derelopment. This may take place ( $a$ ) first in the object itself, (b) secondly in the mind of the person who perceives; i.e. it may be either subjective or objective. In the first case, it is the rapid development of an action or event (forthwith), which attracts our attention and causes surprise: in the second, it is the umimpeded insight into the true connection and relation of things, or a sudden recognition or perception and conclusion ('then, why then') Between the two stands investigation and information, which is impart ed by the simple statement or representation of the thing to be ex plained."

[^12]:    2 ои̉кои̂̀. b тoútols $\delta \dot{\eta}$. ${ }^{\text {c }}$ оủ $\mu$ èv $\delta \dot{\eta}$ (sc. oî̀a). ${ }^{\text {d }}$ каí. - = rebus ita comparatis; how if it should turn out so; if things should come to that pass. $\quad{ }^{\prime} \epsilon \gamma \omega \tau \epsilon \ldots \sigma \dot{v} \tau \epsilon$. $g$ 'To produce and
    

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ In reason $\epsilon i a ̆ \rho \alpha$ may, of course, $=$ if then.

[^14]:    2 'A sudden, unexpected objection' (Hartung). But Klotz explainz it: 'sed rebus ita comparatis, nempe ut non possint tyranni proficisci ad res spectandas, adferuntur illis talica et domi manentibus.'

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Kühner says: ' $\gamma$ '́ particula non habet ... vim restrictivam, sed argumentativam s. explicativam' (Xen. Mem. p. 215.)

[^16]:    ${ }^{2}$ See Gr. 1148.

[^17]:    
    b Here $\mu$ é $\nu$ is used, referring to Kotvwoíras $\delta \epsilon ́$, in a clause not included in this extract.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Cum adjectivis et adverbiis jam conjungitur, ut id quod his verbis dicitur distinctione lumen accipiat.-Græci usurpant $\delta \dot{\eta}$, veluti $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \grave{\alpha} \delta \dot{\eta}$. Dem. Cor. 32 (Hand).
     $\xi \in \xi^{\prime} \nu o \nu$, ubi $\delta i \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o u ̂ \tau o ~ \delta \grave{̀}$ sic dicitur, ut appareat propter eam jam rem, quum fortasse propter alias res minus hoc antea factum esset, honoratum esse firum peregrinum ( $K l$.).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Here it has also an annective force. $H$.
    ${ }^{2}$ Thus Cyrus, after stating that he wished for wealth that he might
    
     causis. Kl.].

[^20]:     $\epsilon \cup \rho \eta \eta \sigma \epsilon$, quamquam sic ... vis minus spectaret ad pronomen ipsum. Kl.
    ${ }^{2}$ Also $\delta \sigma \sigma \tau \iota 5 \delta \dot{n} \pi o \tau^{3}$ o $̀$ v.
    
     Xen.).

[^21]:    
     quoniam ab cogitatione Cambysis omnia pendent, legitimus est.' Borne-
    
     without going on to other suspicious circumstances. g кai $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \rho \tau \hat{\varphi}$ 兑 $\tau \tau$.

[^22]:     $\Delta$ tós.

[^23]:    ${ }^{1}$ Krüger considers the particle to come from $\delta y^{\prime}$ or $\delta \epsilon^{\prime}$, and $\epsilon i \neq a$ Rather the $\tau \alpha$ in both words is of the same origin. Klotz thinks it related to the old indefinite pronoun tos; so that $\delta \hat{\eta} \tau \alpha=j a m$ aliquâ tenus; jam aliquâ ratione; = jam sane; jam quidem; jam profecto; jam certe; since what we assert to be true somehow or other (though we can't tell how), we assert to be certainly true. Hartung thinks the $\tau \alpha$ identical with $\kappa \alpha$ in $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau i \kappa \alpha$, \&c., and $\tau \epsilon$ in $\tau \delta \tau \epsilon$, \&c. ( $\tau \alpha$ being Æolic, $\kappa \alpha$ Doric), both being from the old demonstrative pronoun $\tau \circ 5$, as $\pi o ́ \tau \epsilon, \pi \delta \delta \kappa \alpha$; noìs, koîos, \&c.

[^24]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \epsilon$＇，which，like our＇$i f$＇，is also used in the sense of whether．Cf．next Chapter．b Cf．Part I．c vaûs ăpavтєs．The usual form is
     necting different constructions．

[^25]:    
    ${ }^{6} \pi a ́ \lambda \iota \nu a \hat{u}, 136$.

[^26]:     $\mu \dot{n}$ c. futuro indicativi. Part I. 287. Gr. 799. b єi゙ $\pi \in \rho$.

[^27]:    a To make bricks for the walls, $\pi \lambda \iota \nu \uparrow ิ \epsilon \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon \nu$ $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \epsilon i \chi \eta$, a construction hardly to be found elsewhere ( Kr .). b кai without ö. c кal
     1,4 ) alias naves que Cyri erant $=$ præterea Cyri naves (Kr.). The Peripoli were the young men from ten to twenty. Cf. Gr. Antiqq.
    d Gr. 1433. Jelf, § 645.
    e $\delta \dot{n}$ supra, 233, d.

[^28]:    a Say: 'before she came to ( $\grave{\omega}$ ) Aphobus.' b каítoı.

[^29]:    ${ }^{1}$ Thus in Dem. it is very rarely expressed. K.

[^30]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \lambda_{\text {eitovprías }} \lambda_{\epsilon \iota \tau o v \rho \gamma \epsilon i \nu}$, i. e. 'to discharge the liturgies (state-burdens or public charges).' b Say: 'I am come, having left the abyss and gates of darkness.' This is to be expressed in one Iambic
     tidings ( $\kappa \kappa \alpha \gamma \gamma \in \lambda \epsilon i \nu)$. To be an Tambic Trimeter. d $\mu \dot{d} \lambda \iota \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \epsilon \nu^{\prime}$. ${ }^{\text {e }} \tau \rho \iota \tau \alpha \gamma \omega \nu \iota \sigma \tau \dot{\prime} s$, the third actor, whose parts, of course, were less important and difficult ones.

[^31]:    ${ }^{2}$ The second particle, or both, often take kaí, oủv, or $\delta \dot{\eta}$. The following forms and combinations occur :-

[^32]:    ${ }^{1}$ Most of these forms（which are placed here for convenience of re－ ference）will be illustrated in later Exercises．On $\begin{aligned} & \\ & \pi \\ & \text { ei } \\ & \gamma \epsilon \text { ，cf．195，sqq．}\end{aligned}$
    ${ }^{2}$＂Facile concedimus Porsono（ad Med．675）in hac quidem formula illud（ $\gamma^{\prime}$ ）arbitranti nusquam post $\tau 0$＇insertum reperiri＂（S．ad Hipp． Maj．288，c）．

[^33]:    ${ }^{a}$ è $\pi \in \mathfrak{i}$ tol кal $\nu \hat{\nu} \nu$ (the instance being given as a proof of the fact ).
    b What is the Greek particle for but when it introduces a question that suddenly occurs to a speaker? $(126,127$.

    - Suy: 'having slain a bull, dc. into a shield.'

[^34]:    ${ }^{1}$ The use of $\bar{\eta}$ in interrogations may be compared with that of our surely

[^35]:     but one even distinguished one.' 'Confertur hac ratione ea res, quæ aut magis vel imprimis valet, aut in locum alterius rei succedit.' Hand, ii. 533

[^36]:    ${ }^{1}$ Hermannus ad Vig. N. 320 indicari putat quamdam dubitationem, qua prorsus abhorret ab his particulis (Hand).

[^37]:    " Say: 'that he disposed (' of his property), and adopted ohim.'

[^38]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes igitur：e．g．$\nu \grave{\nu} \xi$ ，$\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ oî̀ $\dot{\eta}^{\eta} \mu \epsilon ́ \rho \alpha ~ \tau \epsilon \gamma \epsilon ́ \gamma o \nu \epsilon \nu$ où $\tau \omega$（ $P$ l． Tim．），which Cic．translates；Nox igitur et dies ad hunc modum et ob has generata causas，\＆c．
    ${ }^{2}$＂Particularum $\mu^{\prime} \nu \quad \gamma \in$ is usus est，ut $\gamma \in$ ad præcedentia confirma－ tionis causà referatur，$\mu^{\prime} \nu$ autem ad illud ipsum，de quo sermo est，spec－ tet．＂（Herm．）

[^39]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sometimes the adversative particle is omitted（1）in consequence of a change of construction：（2）because it is implicd by $\epsilon \bar{i} \tau \alpha$ or | E゙ $\pi \epsilon \iota \tau \alpha$ |
    | :--- |

    
     （3）because the writer passes from a disjunctive to a conjunctive struc－ ture of his sentence（Kr．）．Ubi $\mu^{\prime} \nu$－$\kappa a^{\prime}$ vel $\mu^{\prime} \nu$－$\tau \epsilon^{\prime}$ dicitur，proprie anacoluthon est，quo a disjunctione，quæ per $\mu$ é $\nu$ exprimitur，transitur ad conjunctionem，quam significant kaí vel $\tau \epsilon^{\prime}(H$ ．）．

[^40]:    ${ }^{2}{ }_{\text {é }} \mu \pi \epsilon \iota \rho^{\prime} \alpha$.

[^41]:    1 'Porsonus rarissime conjungi a tragicis $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ $\gamma$ ' observat. Harum particularum is usus est, ut $\gamma^{\prime}$ ad præcedentia confirmationis causâ refaratur ; $\mu \epsilon ́ \nu$ autem illud ipsum, de quo sermo est, spectet.' ( $H$.)

[^42]:    ${ }^{1}$ Also $\mu$ йтo $\gamma \in \delta \dot{\eta}$, which Hermann was wrong in wishing to rea:
    
    
    ${ }^{2}$ Mr. Stephens says of $\mu \eta \nu$, that 'it indicates the progress of thi mind from the subject under consideration to some other subject, som fresh topic or new idea; or, if not ta another subject, to some ulterios

[^43]:    ${ }^{1}$ Whenever the forms $\tau \dot{o} \tau \epsilon$, ${ }^{\circ \prime} \tau \epsilon$ are used $t$ wice (sometimes only once) for $\pi 0 \tau \epsilon \in-\pi o \tau \epsilon$, sometimes-sometimes, they are accented $\tau o \tau \epsilon \in-\delta \dot{\epsilon} \epsilon$. B.

[^44]:    

[^45]:    - Supra, 394.

[^46]:    * Oи̃кouv=non ergo: a general negative thus standing at the head of (and relating to) the whole clause is followed by oüテ $\tau$-oü $\tau \in$ for either-or.
    ${ }^{2}$ Oủkoû $\nu$, extra interrogationem, acerbam interdurn habet ironiam (Bremi, Dem. p. 238).

[^47]:    ${ }^{1}$ Pape thinks that there is especial emphasis on the affirmative clause.

[^48]:    ${ }^{1}$ I. e. in Cicero ; for, as Hand shows, other writers were less careful in observing this difference.
    ${ }^{2}$ Hand compares atque: Rhodum ct Cyprum-petebat. Atque (and so) illum cupido incessit, \&c. Tac. Hist. 2, 2.-i. p. 478.

[^49]:    ${ }^{1}$ This is ironical ; the speaker is mocking Socrates, who had asserted that the unjust man is not a happy or prosperous one, even though he sas gained a throne by his injustice.

[^50]:    

[^51]:    14
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