



THE LIBRARY OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA LOS ANGELES





Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2007 with funding from Microsoft Corporation



LECTURES

ON',,,

HISTORY,

DELIVERED IN

THE NORMAL SCHOOL

OF

PARIS.

BY

C. F. YOLNEY,

AUTHOR OF THE RUINS OF EMPIRES, MEMBER OF THE NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF FRANCE, &c. &c.

TRANSLATED FROM THE FRENCH.

London,

Printed at the Oriental Press, by Wilson & Co. Wild Court,
FOR J. RIDGWAY,

YORK STREET, ST. JAMES'S SQUARE.

PRUMETER

a contract of the contract of

ा प्रशास्त्र भूगा

. 210 -

- r *=

D16 V88 LE 1800

PREFACE.

THE LECTURES ON HISTORY, I now present to the Public, are the same which obtained its suffrage when delivered in the NORMAL SCHOOL in the third year of the Republic. I should have wished to have rendered them more worthy of approbation, by further corrections, and by treating the different subjects more at large; but I found that, in clothing them in a new dress, any original merit that might belong to my first work, as a rapid and extempore composition*, would be

The Reader ought to be informed, that the Pro-

com-

completely destroyed. Besides, in our circumstances, the consideration of literary glory ought to be inferior to that of social utility; and with respect to the present subject, that utility is greater than it may perhaps at first sight appear. The more I have analysed the influence which History constantly exercises on the actions and opinions of men, the more am I convinced that it is one of the most fertile sources of their prejudices and errors.

From History the greater part of re-

the wife of the production of the

fessors of the Normal School lectured from some brief notes only, in the manner of Speakers in public alternblies. Their words were taken down by shorthand writers, and, being slightly revised, were immediately sent to the press. This was the case with my three first Lectures, and I had only sifteen days allowed me to prepare for the whole Course.

ligious opinious are derived; and granting to the spride of each sect the exception of its own tenets from error, still, among contradictory beliefs, one only can be right. It is evident, however, that whenever a belief is proved to be false, all the variety of actions and opinions of which it is the basis are deprived of their support, and fall to the ground with the original error. From History are likewise derived almost all the political maxims and principles which guide, overthrow, or consolidate governments. The sphere of civil acts and opinions which this second influencing power embraces in a nation, is sufficiently obvious, and need not be pointed out here. Finally, the reports which we every day hear, and which form a real branch of History, become the cause, more or less mediate,

Indeed, were the errors submitted to calculation, I should venture to predict, that nine hundred and ninety-nine out of a thousand of them would be found to belong to History; and I think I should then establish this maxim—that the prejudices and false ideas which each individual man possesses come to him from others, in consequence of the credulous confidence he places in reports; while all the truths and correct ideas he has acquired belong properly to himself, and are the fruits of his own personal experience.

I believe, therefore, that I should render an eminent service to my country, if this book should shake that respect for History which has become a dogma

dogma in European education—if, becoming the Universal Preface of every History, it should put the reader on his guard against the empiricism of authors and the illusions of his own mind-if it should induce all thinking men submit every narrator to a severe ex mination, with respect to his means of information, and the first source of his reports—if it should accustom the reader to render an account to himself of the motives of his belief, and to in-.quire-

- 1. Whether, since we testify an habitual indifference in verifying facts, and when we undertake that task find ourselves opposed by so many difficulties, it is reasonable to require more diligence and more success from others than from ourselves?
 - 2. Whether, since we form false and

passes under our own eyes, we can expect to be better informed of what passes, or has passed, at great distances of time or place?

- one present example of equivocal or false facts being transmitted to posterity with all the passports of truth, we have reason to suppose that men in former times were less daring, or more conscientious in their transactions?
- 4. Whether, since in the midsth of factions the historian is menaced by every party his writings offend, posterity, or the present age, can expect that he should make sacrifices which would be rewarded only by accusations of imprudence, or the barren honour of a funeral pomp?
 - 5. Whether, since it would be imprudent,

prodent, and almost impossible for any General to write his campaigns, any Minister his negociations, or any public man his memoirs, in the face of actors and witnesses who might contradict hims or rain his reputation, posterity can expect, when those witnesses or actors are dead, and can no longer dispute the statement, that self love, animosity, shame, distance of time, and defects referemently, should have permitted the real truth to be handed down with fidelity? in and

- tion and impartiality attributed to posterity, be not the deceitful consolation of innocence, or the flattery of seduction or fear?
- 7. Whether it be not true that posterity, frequently, collects, and, consecrates the depositions of the successful

on the part of his feeble and fallen opponents?

And, 8, Whether, in morals, it be not as ridiculous to pretend that facts illustrate themselves by growing older, as in physics to maintain that objects become more distinct in proportion as they remove farther from us have the facts of the control of the contr

I shall be very happy if even the imperfections of my work should give occasion to the accomplishment of a better, and determine some philosophic mind to treat profoundly the important questions which I have only pointed out, particularly those of the authority of testimony, and the conditions required for certainty; subjects which have never been properly discussed, but which form the pivot

according to the phrase of Helvetius, of our acquired ignorance.

For my part, the comparison of the 'prejudices and habits of different individuals and nations, has convinced, and nearly freed me of those of my education and my country. Having travelled from one country to another, and traced the alterations and various shades of rumours and statements, the origin of which I had wisnessed; having observed very false notions of events connected with the French revolution entertained in the United States, and in the like manner recognized the errors of those generally received in France with respect to many circumstances of the American revolution, which

which are already disguised by national pride, or the spirit of party, I cannot avoid confessing that I become daily more inclined to refuse my confidence to historians and to history? "Indeed I know not whether I am most astonished at the carelessness with which even thinking men believe on the most frivolous grounds, or their obstinacy in acting from their first impressions.-Every day I see new reasons for concluding that the disposition of mind most fayourable to instruction, the discovery of truth, and the peace and happiness of individuals and nations, is to believe with difficulty. In taking advantage, therefore, of my title of Professor, with which the Government has honoured me, were I to recommend any one precept to parents, who are the natural 3,400,400

natural instructors of their children, and to teachers of every kind, it would be, not to subject the belief of their pupils to al magisterial authority not to habituate them to an impligit reliance in the relations of others, to the belief of what they do not understand. On the contrary, I would warn them against that double inclination to credulity and confidence, the influence of which is the more powerful in consequence of its being derived from the ignorance, the indolence, and the pride natural to man. In a word, I would advise them to establish the system of instruction and education, not on the facts of any Ideal World, the aspects of which are constantly varying and subject to endless controversy, but on the facts of the Physical World, the knowledge , - m

knowledge of which being always reducible to the demonstration of evidence, presents a certain basis for judgment or opinion, and alone merits the name of Philosophy and Science.

TABLETON !

CONTENTS.

ack Temeson corien who was

ार्ग एक रहे के रहा है रहा है

ia est traction de la variante de la variante de la constante de la constante

The about the party of

LECTURE I.

PROGRAM.

LECTURE II.

LECTURE III.

Continuation of the same Subject. - Four principal Classes of Historians, with the different degrees of authority that belong to each. 1. Historians who have acted a part in the events they record. 2. Historians who only have witnessed those events. 3. Historians who have received their information from witnesses. 4. Historians on hearsay or tradition .-. The inevitable corruption of accounts transmitted by report. Abfurdity of the Traditions of distant times, common to all nations—They have their origin in the nature of the human understanding .- The character of History always proportionate to the degree of ignorance or civilization of a people. - Character of History among the Ancients, and among Nations unacquainted with the Art of Printing. - Effects of the Press upon History-The change it has produced in modern Historians .- The temper of mind best suited to the Study of History .- The absurdity of doubting every thing, less dangerous than that of doubting nothing .- Reasons for being cautious in our belief Page 25

LECTURE IV.

The preceding Subject resumed.—What is the utility that may be derived from History?—That utility divided

vided into three kinds: 1, The utility of good examples, more than counterbalanced by the bad. 2, Transmitting facts relative to the Arts and Sciences. 3, Political consequences of the operation of laws, and of the nature of governments, on the fate of nations.

The study of History under this last point of view belongs properly to but a small number of persons: it is only suited to youth, and to the greater part of the various classes of society under the first.—Well written Novels are preferable

Page 61

LECTURE V:

Of the Art of studying History with advantage.—
That Art not attainable by Youth.—The Study of History without proper Instruction more injurious than useful to young Minds.—Of the Art of teaching the Study of History.—Views of the Author on a course of Historical Studies.—Of the Art of writing History.—Examination of the Principles of Lucian and Mably.

Page 87

LECTURE VI.

Continuation of the same Subject.—Four methods of composing History. 1. According to the order of time (as in Annals and Chronicles.) 2. By adopting a dramatic or systematic arrangement. 3. By treating

the different subjects separately. 4. By an analytic or philosophic arrangement.—Illustration of those different methods.—Superiority of the last.—Its connection with politics and legislation.—It admits none but ascertained facts, and is only suitable to modern times.—Facts recorded of ancient times, can never possess a higher evidence than that of probability.—Necessity, on this account, of recomposing ancient History, and plan of Literary Society for collecting ancient documents in every part of Europe.—Prejudices would be destroyed by disclosing their origin.—Influence of History.—On the conduct of governments, and the fate of nations.—Effects of the Jewish writings on Europe.—Effects of the introduction of the Greek and Roman writers into education.—Conclusion. Page 124

:5201

1 7 3

one when the

LECTURES

ON

HISTORY.

LECTURE I.

PROGRAM.

Object, Plan, and Distribution of the Study of History.

HISTORY, considered as a science, differs essentially from the physical and mathematical sciences. In physical science the facts are permanent: they may be said to live, and are capable of being presented to the spectator, or re-exhibited to the witness. In history the facts exist no longer: they are dead, and cannot be resuscitated to the view of the spectator,

 \mathbb{B}

major confronted with the witness to Physical science addresses itself immediately to the senses of History addresses itself only to the simagination and theilmemony. Hence, there exists an important différence as to the degree of credit belonging to sphysical, or what may be called existing facts, and historical, or what may be called related facts. Physical facts carry with them evidence and certainty, because they are obvious, and display themselves bodily on the unchangeable stage of the universe. Historical facts, on thencontrary, floating like phantoms in the inregular mirror of the human understanding, where they connect themselves with the most extravagant fancies; "can only reach likelihood and probability. It is necessary, therefore, to estimate the degree of credi--bility that is due to them, and to examine them carefully under two points of view: 1. That of their own essence, that is to say, their analogy or incompatibility with physical facts of the same species still existing and known—This constitutes possibility. 2. That

witnesses with regard to their moral faculvities, their means of information, and their impartiality. This operation is a complicated judgment formed from a twofold consideration; and the fleeting nature of the objects renders the decision very delicate, and susceptible of a multiplicity of ervors.

Applying those observations to the most eminent of ancient and modern historians, we propose, in the course of these Lectures, to examine what character history maintains among different nations, and, particularly, what character it has assumed during the preceding century. We shall likewise point out the remarkable differences which have occurred in the historical genius of the same nation, according to the gradation of its mathematical and physical knowledge. From these inquiries a number of important questions will arise.

confidence ought we to attach to historical marrations, both in general and in particular cases?

2. What importance ought we to attribute to historical facts; and what advantage or inconvenience results from our

opinion of that importance?

we propose to ourselves, either in the teaching, or the studying of history?

In resolving these questions, we shall have occasion to inquire what rank the study of history ought to hold in public instruction; whether that study is proper for primary schools; and what parts of history are best suited to the different ages or professions of the students.

We shall next consider what men ought to devote themselves to the instructing of others in history; what method is preferable for teaching it; from what sources historical historical knowledge ought to be derived, and whence its materials should be collected; what requisites the historian ought to possess, and what precautions he ought to exercise; in what manner the subject ought to be treated; how the different subjects should be distributed; and, finally, what is the influence which historians possess over the opinion of posterity, the operations of government, and the fate of nations.

After examining history as a narrative of facts, and the facts themselves as a course of involuntary experiments which mankind undergo, we shall endeavour to take a rapid view of universal history, in order to collect its most interesting truths. Then, turning our attention to the nations most celebrated for knowledge and civilization, we shall trace the rise and the progress,

2. Of different sciences—such as astro-

^{1.} Of the arts—such as agriculture, commerce, navigation:

nomy, I geography, experimental philosophydibulance besterning of weight doma

- amining at the same time what ideas have been entertained on those subjects at different periods:
- 4. Finally, we shall observe the march and the progress of legislation: we shall mark the rise of the most remarkable civil and religious codes: we shall inquire in what order those codes have been transmitted from nation to nation, and from generation to generation; what effects they have produced on the customs, the manners, and the character of nations; what analogy the manners and the character of a people preserve with the climate and the physical state of the soil which they inhabit; what changes are produced in their manners, by transmigrations, and the intermixture of different races: and, taking a general view of the present state of the globe, we shall conclude by proposing the examination of the two following questions :-

1. What

What is the degree of civilization to which it may be estimated mankind have S. Of private and public me Ibering 10 .8. 2. What general indications result from history, for perfecting civilization, and for ameliorating the condition of the human species? 'Sanda the day of willens? 4 The transfer to represent the first in the state of th

LECTURE

canant a granding of this difficulty

TECTION THE ACTIONS

The literal meaning of the word HISTORY
is an Examination or Inquest of
Facts.—Modesty of the ancient, and
temerity of the modern, Historians.—
The Historian who writes on Testimony,
performs the part of a Judge, and remains an intermediate Witness with respect to his Readers.—Extreme Difficulty
of proving the real state of a Fact—
Difficulty, on the part of the Spectator,
of accurately observing it—Difficulty, on
the part of the Narrator, of accurately

WE have briefly indicated the course we propose to pursue. The plan will perhaps appear well conceived, both with respect to its extent, and its object; but, at the same time, the difficulty of the execution cannot

describing it.—Numerous Causes of Error, originating in Deception, Prejudice, Negligence, Omission, Partiality, &c. consists chiefly in three points:

1. The novelty of the subject; for we shall not confine our attention to one or a few nations, on which every interest is accumulated, while all others are despised, and no reason assigned for such conduct, except that of voluntary neglect. This certainly will be a new method of treating history.

2. The complication, which naturally arises from the extent, as well as the importance, of a subject embracing so many facts and events-a subject which considers the whole human species as one society, and nations as individuals; and which retraces the existence of those individuals to collect numerous and repeated facts, the results of which constitute what are called principles and rules; for principles in morals are not fixed and abstract criteria existing independently of human nature. On the contrary, principles are summary and general facts, resulting from the addition of particular facts, and

thereby becoming not tyrannical rules of conduct; but the bases of calculations approximating likelihood and probability.

we have observed in the Program, historical facts not being represented to the senses, but merely to the memory, they do not early with them that conviction which admits of no doubt. They always leave behind them a degree of uncertainty, on which opinion and private judgement will decide. But whenever we refer to private judgment and opinion, we touch delicate and dangerous cords, on the

my get + p & par 1' - 1 - . . .

the present movements in Europe—All men are born equal as to rights. What is this maxim, but a collective and summary fact, deduced from a multitude of particular facts? in this manner: Having compared all mankind, or at least an immense number of individuals, with each other, and finding them all furnished with similar organs or faculties, we discover, by way of addition, the total fact, that they are all born equal as to rights. What a right is, still remains to be defined; and that definition is more difficult than is generally imagined.

the vibration of which, self-love is ready to take arms. In this respect we shall observe the rules of prudence, which equality in its true sense, that of justice, prescribes. When we do not adopt, or when we shall think it necessary to reject the opinions of others, recollecting that they have an equal right to defend them, and that persuasion alone should be used to produce conviction, we shall pay to those opinions that respect and tolerance which we are entitled to claim for our own.

In the other sciences which are taught in this amphitheatre, the path to be pursued is already marked out, either by the natural order of the facts, or by the well-digested methods of the authors. In history, such as we regard it, the path is new, and has no model. We have some books that bear the title of Universal Histories; but besides the declamatory style which distinguishes the most celebrated works of this kind, they have the still greater fault of being only the partial histories of tribes,

tribes, or the panegyrics of families. The classical writers of Europe have treated; only of Greeks, Romans, and Jews; because, if we are not the descendants, we are at least the heirs of those people, with respect to civil and religious laws, language, science, and territory. Thus it appears to me, that history has not yet been treated in that comprehensive manner which ought to distinguish it as a science, particularly in a nation that has risen to so eminent a degree of knowledge and philosophy as to despise the savage and ferocious selfishness by which the ancients concentrated as it were the universe in a city or a tribe, and consecrated their hatred of every other people under the name of patriotism, instead of regarding them with the aspect of fraternity; a disposition of mind, which, while it does not preclude a just self-defence, makes room for all the finer sentiments of family and kindred.

The difficulties we have described, render

it highly necessary to observe order and method in treating a subject so extensive. As a first step, let us inquire what we ought to understand by the word history: for words, being signs of ideas, possess more importance than is sometimes attached to them. They are like the titles of books, which frequently mislead: it is always prudent to open them, if we would judge rightly of their contents.

The word history appears to have had a different acceptation among the ancients, from that which it has obtained in modern times. The Greeks, who framed it, understood by it a minute inquiry, a careful examination. In this sense it is employed by Herodotus. Among the moderns, on the contrary, the word history is applied to narrative or recital, even when it has no pretence to veracity. The ancients searched for truth; the moderns pretend they possess it. This is a rash conceit, when we consider how difficult truth is to be found in every investigation, and particularly

larly in those which relate to political occurrences. If It was, doubtless, this conviction that induced the ancients to adopt so modest a term, and, impressed with the same sentiment, we shall always consider the word history as synonymous with inquiry, examination, study of facts.

History, indeed, is merely an inquest of facts, and of facts which reach us only through the medium of other persons; an examination or hearing of witnesses is therefore always supposed to have taken place. The historian, who knows his duty, must consider himself as standing in the situation of a judge, who, calling before him the reporters and the witnesses of a fact, confronts them, interrogates them, and endeavours to arrive at the truth, that is to say, at the state of a fact such I as it has existed. Not witnessing the fact himself, and having no opportunity of convincing his senses, it is obvious that he never can reach complete certainty, and that he can judge only by analogy. Hence

Hence results the necessity of considering historical facts under a double relation; 1, with respect to their essence; 2, with respect to their evidence.

The contract of the second of

With respect to their essence, facts, as they are to be found in nature, or in the system of the universe, have but one manner of existence, -a manner which is constant and uniform, and which renders the rule of decision simple and invariable. If the facts related be consistent with the known laws of nature; if they be in the order of existing or possible things, they acquire, in the eyes of the historian, likelihood or probability: but hence originates a difference in the decisions which may be pronounced on the same facts; for every one judges of probability and likelihood according to the nature and the extent of his knowledge. To reason by analogy on an unknown fact, it is necessary to know some fact to which it may be compared. A correct measure must be applied to it. Thus the sphere

of analogies is extended, or narrowed, in proportion to the degree of knowledge already acquired. This tends in many cases to diminish the circle of judgment, and consequently of certainty: but this, perhaps, is no great inconvenience; for, as an eastern proverb justly observes, He who much believes, is much deceived. If there be a right, not to yield belief to what the conscience rejects, and to doubt when we cannot comprehend, will certainly be allowed to be one. Herodotus has set us an example which deserves to be quoted on this occasion. Speaking of the voyage of a Phænician vessel, which Nechos king of Egypt dispatched by the Red Sea, and which three years afterwards returned by the Mediterranean, he says, "The Phonicians related, on their return, that, in sailing round Libya, they had the sun upon their right: This story seemed to me by no means credible; but perhaps it may be believed by others." This circumstance is with us the strongest proof of the fact, and the conduct of Herodotus, who

who decided erroneously upon it, appears to me highly commendable; 1st, for reporting it without any addition or alteration; 2d, for not having exceeded the bounds of his own information, and for not believing, on the report of others, what he could not comprehend by his knowledge. Ancient historians and geographers, who were more presumptuous, Strabo for example, have, upon their imperfect knowledge, decided that the story was false: But their error, which is now demonstrated, is a useful warning to us to avoid pronouncing judgment from the dictates of prejudice, and with imperfect information. The maxims of prudence require that we should withhold our assent from propositions which we do not understand. This is really a natural right, a duty of reason; for the measure of conviction ought to be the only rule of our judgment; and, whenever we exceed its bounds, we are hurried from the belief of what we know not to what is improbable, and from improbability

to extravagancies and absurdities of every.

In this manner, and recover the rational founds of their first type, which we have a ware to their first type.

The second point of view under which facts ought to be examined, is that of their proof, This investigation is much more difficult and complicated than the former. The rules in this case are not fixed and constant, like those of nature; on the contrary, they are variable, as those of the human understanding, which may be compared to those mirrors with crooked and irregular planes, that have amused you (when you attended lectures on natural philosophy) by the fantastic appearances under which they represent objects. This comparison will perhaps appear to you the more just, as it is capable of a twofold application: for if, on the one hand, as is unfortunately too often the case, natural objects, which are always regular, should appear distorted when painted on the understanding; on the other hand, the caricatures which the mind has produced, when re-submitted to reflection,

may, by the same rules, be corrected in an inverse manner, and recover the rational forms of their first type, which was Nature.

The understanding may be regarded as a moveable wave, which disfigures objects by its various undulations; first, and most frequently, by those of passion, and next by those of negligence, imperfect judgement, and ignorance. These are points on which the searcher of truth, the historian, ought constantly to interrogate his witnesses. But is he not also liable to the same errors? He is a man; and are not negligence, ignorance, and prejudice, always the attendants of human nature? Consider, for a moment, what happens with respect to accounts that reach us from the third or fourth hand. Do you not imagine that you see a natural object which is reflected by a first glass to a second, by the second to a third, and so on from glass to glass, acquiring new shades, deviations, and undulations from each? Can you suppose that, under such circumstances, a'correct representation will be transmitted to you? Must not the mere translation from one language into another produce a considerable alteration in the shades of thought, without taking into the account those verbal mistakes which frequently occur3: But mark what happens every day, tin the isame language, the same country, and under your own eyes. 91Am event occurs in the very city in which you live Listen to the accounts which different witnesses give of it. - Frequently no two agree as to the circumstances, and sometimes they differ with regard to the most material facts. This may be experienced in a manner sufficiently amusing in travelling. A transaction takes place in one town; you have even witnessed it yourself: but advance ten leagues, and you hear it related in a new manner; eghoed from town to town, you at last find it, squige possible to recognize the origin of the story, and, struck at the confidence of others, you are tempted to distrust your own. I do a latter out.

Now, if it be difficult to prove the precise existence, that is to say, the truth, of facts that occur, among ourselves, how much greater must othis difficulty have been among the ancients, who had not the means of arriving at certainty that we possess? I shall not at present enter into the details connected with this part of my subject, as I intend to treat of it more fully in another Lecture. But, after having touched on the natural difficulties of discovering truth, I shall take notice of that which rises out of the passions of the relator and the witnesses, or what is called partiality. This I shall divide into two branches, viz. Voluntary partiality, and Compulsory partiality. The latter, which is inspired by fear, necessarily exists in all despotic states, where the publication of facts would be a perpetual censure on the government. In such states, if a man have the courage to relate the most notorious truth, and what public opinion most decisively proclaims, his book cannot be printed, or, if printed, it cannot

consequence of the existing order of things, that no man dare divulge his thoughts on public affairs through the medium of the press; or, vif he undertake so hazardous an enterprize, he must write equivocally, and practise concealment, dissimulation and falsehood. Such, however, is the character of the greater part of histories.

coldent team to a to the star their

The effects of voluntary partiality are still more extensive. The causes which, in the former case, produce silence, are in this motives for writing; and the author who acts under their influence feels a pleasure in propagating falsehood and error. In the former case, the author is constantly menaced by tyrants; in the latter, they encourage him. They pay for his praises, and stimulate his exertions; and, having imposed upon their own times by their actions, they impose upon posterity by their hired panegyrists.

I have not alluded to an involuntary,

but not less powerful kind of partiality; I mean that which arises from the civil or religious prejudices in which we are educated. In taking a general view of authors, it is difficult to discover any who have been totally free from prejudices of this nature. The influence of prejudice operated powerfully even among the ancients. Indeed, when we consider that in our tenderest age every thing that surrounds us conspires to impregnate us with prejudice-that opinions and ideas are infused into us by habit, by affection, by force, by persuasion, by threats and by promises—that our reason is encircled by sacred boundaries, over which we are prohibited to step; it is not surprising that the human mind should become a fabric of error. But when, upon examining ourselves, we see reason to believe that under similar circumstances we should have adopted the errors of others —and that if we have discovered truths, we perhaps owe our good fortune only to the accidental advantages we possess over those those who preceded us, far from entertaining sentiments of pride, or testifying a contempt for other times, we should rejoice that we live in a period of liberty, in which men are permitted to think agreeably to the dictates of nature and conscience. Fearing, however, from the example of others, that even conscience itself may be under error, we should not make a contradictory and tyrannical use of the liberty we enjoy; but, if unity of opinion cannot be obtained, we ought at least to seek, in toleration, the common utility of peace.

In the next Lecture we shall inquire what materials for history, and what means of information were possessed by the ancients, and, comparing their civil and moral state with that of the moderns, we shall point out the revolution which the press has produced in that branch of study, and in knowledge in general.

- with his pecture in:

Continuation of the same Subject. - Four principal Classes of Historians, with the different degrees of authority that be-· longi to each. 1. Historians who have acted a part in the events they record. . 2. Historians who only have witnessed - those events. 3. Historians who have received their information from witnesses. 4. Historians on hearsay or tradition .-The inevitable corruption of accounts · transmitted by report.—Absurdity of the Traditions of distant times, common to all nations-They have their origin in the nature of the human understanding .--The character of History always propor--tionate to the degree of ignorance or civilization of a people.—Character of History among the ancients, and among nutions unacquainted with the art of printing. - Effects of the press upon His-. tory .- The change it has produced in modern

oronari has stanited erel ton anied ere to the temper of a stanion of the temper of th best suited to the study of History.

The absurdity of i doubting every thing, less dangerous than that of doubting nothing. Reasons for being caution our belief.

Ruom what has been said, it will mitted, that, in estimating the certainty of historical facts, it is necessary to consider well what is the character of the lators and witnesses with respect to

1. Their means of information.

2. The extent to which they possess the moral faculties of sagacity and discern-

3. Their interests and affections:—from which result three kinds of partiality, zia, that of constraint, that of seduction, , and that of the prejudices of birth and education: this last, however excusable it may appear, is exceedingly powerful, and pernicious in its influence, since the passions and interests of whole nations are at once its origin and support; and nations,

tions; heing not less obstinate, and far more insolent in their errors, than individuals, exercise over their members the most arbitrary and oppressive of despotisms—that of national prejudice, whether civil or religious,

We shall have more than one occasion, to consider the different gradations, that take place in the value of evidence at present, continuing to develope the same question, we shall examine the degree of authority that belongs to testimony, according to its greater or less distance from facts and events.

In investigating the character of the different witnesses or relators of historical occurrences, we find they may be divided into, several gradual and successive classes, which, are proportionally, more or less entitled to our belief. The Historian who records, transactions in, which he has performed a part, ranks in the first class: Of this kind are the greater part of the authors

... 1771 1

of personal memoirs, of civil transactions, travels, &c. Facts which reach us important mediately from authors of this description, are liable to the least misrepresentation in the transmission. The relation possesses the highest degree of authenticity; but four belief in it ought still to be subject to all the moral considerations of interest, affection, and sagacity, of which we have a spoken: these considerations will always diminish the credit of a Historian writing under the influence of the highest degree of personal interest.

Writers of this description are, there-il fore entitled to our belief in so far only as their relations possess, here have been beautions

that some histories exhibit so naturable well connected a series of causes and effects, that our confidence is involuntarily given to the authors, and we may be said to recognize in their relations the stamp of truth or rather that of conscience.

2. The

The support of other evidence eg lyusubject to the laws of probability. Hence it follows, that, even in their highest degree of credibility, historical relations undergo all the judicial formalities of the hearing and examination of witnesses which a long and accumulated experience has introduced into the jurisprudence of nations " consequently, one author, like one witness, has no right to require our implicit confidence. It is indeed an error to regard a fact as proved, which is only supported by the evidence of one individual; for, if several witnesses could have been called, some modification, if not contradiction, would certainly have occurred. Cæsar's Commentaries are commonly regarded as an historical document, which, on account of the situation of its author, and his remaining uncontradicted, possesses an eminent character of certainty. Suctonius, however, informs us, that Asinius Pollio remarked in his Annals, that a number of facts were not correctly stated by Cæsar, because he had frequently been

CS

Ted

led into errors by the reports of his officers; and that Pollio, whose character as teonsul, and the friend of Horace and Virgil, gives weight to his testimony, hinted that Casar had personal motives for disguising the truth.

and anograma for sail in five ranh yes hot undergone the have witnessed the transactions they record, but who have not been actively engaged in them, and whose writings are therefore less liable to the suspicion of being composed under the influence of per--sonal interest. Their testimony, in most cases, inspires much more confidence than that of authors of the former description, Landeis invested with a higher degree of eredibility. It is still, however, stibject to the conditions of probability, and is to the judged, -1. according to the quality off-evidence; 2. according to the corrovboration of evidence; 3. according to the indispensable rules which we have laid down, of sound judgment, accurate obserovation, and impartiality. The daily experience

perience of every thing that passes abound is demonstrates, that, to prove eventa hoforious fact with accuracy and precision, is a delicate operation, and accompanied will a multitude of thim culties! the student of history ought therefore to be peculiarly cautious in admitting, is unquestionable, any fact which has not undergone the most in ordis ilivestigation, wand which is not supported by cvidence at once suffient in the medical strains and the control of the c

The third class is that of the heavers of evidence, that is, those who receive information of facts from the mouths of witmesses. They are pretty near to the first source of intelligence; yet there is suddenly introduced, in this case, a material difference as to the correctness of the narrative, and the precision of the pictures exhibited. The witnesses have seen and heard the facts they recount; their senses have been strongly impressed by then but, "impainting them on the understanding, they imprint, even contrary - C-4

to their wishes, modifications which vitiate their forms; and these forms become still more vitiated when they are reflected from this first undulating and moveable mirror to a second, which is requally variable. Hence a fact is no longer a fixed and positive being, as it exists in patters but here but here exists in nature, but becomes a fantastic image, transmitted from mind to mind, and is susceptible of all the variations which omission, confusion, and the addition of circumstances can create. It is discussed, criticised, variously interpreted and translated. All these operations alter its native purity, and require that should here make an important distinction between the two means employed in transmitting the knowledge of facts, speech and writing.

When a fact is transmitted by writing, its state becomes fixed, and it preserves immutably that kind of authority which it derives from the character of the narrator. It may have been previously disficured.

only small as it has been committed to paper, so it remains; and if, as it quently happens, different minds give to it different interpretations, they are still obliged to recur to that type which, if not original, is at least positive: besides, every written document possesses this farther advantage, that, notwithstanding the intervals of time and space, it transmits facts immediately as they existed, or as they have been recorded: it brings the author before us; it summons him from among the dead; and, at the distance of thousands of years, introduces us to a conversation with Cicero, Homer, Confucius, &c. Nothing more is necessary than to prove that the writing is not apocryphal, and that it is really the work of the person whose name it bears. If it is anonymous, it loses a degree of authenticity: as its author is concealed, its testimony should be submitted to the investigation of a severe criticism, and it will necessarily be liable to, all the suspicion which clandestine transactions never fail

to excite. If the work has been translated, it loses nothing of its authenticity; that in passing from one language to another, the facts are removed one degree farther from their origin, and they always receive a colouring, which is more or less faint or vivid; baccording to the disposition and tability of the translator still, however, we have the opportunity of examining the toriginal, and rectifying any mis-statements that may have been made.

In the transmission of facts by speech or tradition, we are deprived of this resource. In this case, all the capilices, all the eccentricities of the human mind are brought into action. It is easy to condeive, that facts which are transmitted from mouth to mouth, and from generation to generation, must undergo considerable alterations, when we frequently see an individual, at different periods, wary in his account of the same occurrences, according as he experiences the influence of a change of interests or feelings. Contend to the fidence

fidence in tradition is, therefore, generally decried, and becomes more inpardonable in proportion as it is removed by great intervals of time and place from its original source. We may at any time have incontrovertible proofs of the inaccuracy of tradition of Whoever will take the trouble to collect, either in country villages, or in towns, the traditions of the old people relative to the eyents of the age of Louis XIV, or even of a later period, will find an immense corruption of facts, a confusion of circumstances, and a com--pléte linconsistency established between the different witnesses and the different marrators. A striking proof of this variation exists in the history of the battle of Eontenov, of which we have a multitude of irreconcilcable accounts. Now, if such omissions, confusion and alterations take place in times otherwise enlightened, in a country already polished, and which possesses the means of correcting those errors, what must happen among mations where the aits are in their infancy or rilecay,

6 where

where disorder has reigned, or still reigns, in the social system, ignorance in the moral system, and where there prevails an against the system and where there prevails an against the system. of the first necessity? The testimony of the most accurate travellers of our own times, relative to savage nations, or even such as are called civilized affords us a proof of that improbability of relation, and that absurdity of tradition, to Traditions are in many which we allude. respects unworthy of notice, even in Asia, whence their origin has been derived. This will be readily assented to, upon considering the ignorance of the natives with regard to the facts and dates, which ought most to interest them. The Indians, the Arabs, the Turks, and the Tartars, are in general incapable of giving an account of their own age, or of that of their, relathe dimetion of ages winted appreciate the

It is by tradition however, by narratives transmitted from mouth to mouth,
from generation to generation, that all
history

history must necessarily have commenced.
This necessity is demonstrated by natural facts still subsisting, by the organization of man, and by the mechanism of the formation of society.

Indeed, since it is certain, that man is born completely ignorant and devoid of art, that all his ideas are the fruits of his sensations, all his knowledge the acquisition of his personal experience, or of the accumulated experience of anterior generations: since it is certain, that writing is an art extremely complicated in the principles of its invention; that even speech is another art which has preceded it; and to form which an immense series of ages must have elapsed-it may be concluded, with physical certainty, that the empire of tradition extended through all the duration of ages which preceded the invention of writing. I will even add the invention of the alphabetic writing; for it alone can paint all the shades of facts, all the modifications of thought: other

MARK TO DIE

other writing, which represents figures and not sounds, such as the hieroglyphics of the Egyptians, the notes or quippos of the Peruvians, or the pictures of the Mexicans, is only capable of exhibiting the basis or principal point of facts, and leaves all the variety of circumstances and connections in uncertainty: Now, as it is demonstrated, by facts and by reasoning, that the arts of writing and of language are the result of the social state, which is itself the offspring of circumstances and wants, it is evident that all that edifice of wants and circumstances, of arts and of social institutions, has preceded the empire of written history. sa' has esilog considerable granters and the cha-

-v Meanwhile it may be remarked, that the inverse proof of those physical facts exists even in the nature of the first relations exhibited by history. Indeed if, as we have said it belongs to the constitution of the human mind often to receive the images of facts very inaccurately, and to alter them in proportion as it is unin-

formed and unaccustomed to reason, lit follows, as a direct consequence, that the More barbarous nations inay have been the more will the commencement of their history be distinguished by every thing that is irrational, scontrary to mature and sound itidement Take a view of histotles in general, and you will find that they all commence in the manner I have described; that their details are chlinerical anthextravagant, in proportion as they ascend to periods of great antiquity, and are connected with the origin of rations won the contrary, as history approaches to known itimes, to ages in which the lafts, police, and the moral system have made a considerable progress, it assumes the character of probability, and exhibits a physleal and moral state of things, analogous to that which we at present experience, Thus, in comparing the history of all count tries, we arrive at this conclusion, that its representations are inconsistent with nature and reason, in proportion as the condition

"people by the nature of their historical as to that of sancarda and an anish of sancarda areas and their historical vages, which is the primitive state of every representations are more analogous to the order with which we are acquainted, in proportion as those nations become enlightened, polished, and civilized. is obvious when we arrive at those ages in which the arts and sciences begin to flourish; for we then find that a multitude of miraculous events, prodigies, and monsters of every kind, disappear before their lustre, as the phantoms, ghosts, and spectres with which a sickly and timid imagination peoples the obscurity and silence of night, vanish before the rays of the morning sun and Price of la romine

Let us then establish a maxim, pregnant with most important consequences in the study of history:

study of history; I have if the weather with a kind that we may calculate with a kind of accuracy, the degree of knowledge is and civilization which prevails among a oten.

"people by the nature of their historical relations: —or, in terms still not relations: —or, in terms still not relations to state of the relations of the rela

"That history takes its character from

the period of its composition.

This maxim naturally directs our attention to the comparison of two very important periods, in which history has been composed under very opposite circumstances, and with very different means information. I mean the period of manuscript, and the period of printed history. You know that until towards the conclusion of the fifteenth century all books were in manuscript—that it was only in 1440 that John Guttemberg, of immortal memory, made his first essay in the art of printing. He was followed by his associates Fusth and Scheffer, who made their first characters in wood, and afterwards in metal; and by this simple and ingenious invention instantaneously obtained an infinite number of repetitions or copies of the first model. This forturate in hovation produced a shange in the factoristic at the hours of their reader which are to some circle of their reader whemen ot some readers.

their judges and ecusors were proportion? When writing was the only means by which books could be produced, the time which such a laborious operation required, vand the expence which it occasioned, rendered copies exceedingly scarce and dear. Works of literature, of course, were ofested with much difficulty, but easily destroyed. One copyist slowly brought forth an individual book; the press in a inoment gives birth to a generation. Hence the procuring of books, and, consequently, opportunities of instruction of fevery killed, were opposed by numerous difficulties of the most discouraging na-Original copies being few, and confined to the possession of rich individials or public libraries, the number of persons who could collect materials for the composition of historical works was necessarily very limited. Their statements were "Hot so liable to be questioned as those of modern habrot

modern writers, and they might omit or alter facts with greater impunity! The circle of their readers being very confined, their judges and censors were proportionably few. There was no public opinion; every question was decided by the spirit of faction or prejudice, and passion alone influenced the judgment.

On the contrary, since the discovery of the art of printing, a work once proved to be authentic may, by the multiplication of copies, be submitted to an extensive examination, and to the critical discussion of an immense number of readers. To vitiate the text of an author, and to avoid detection, is no longer an easy task; and thus historical certainty has acquired a feal advantage.

It must be confessed, that the number of years which the composition of a book required among the ancients, and the still longer time necessary for its distribution before it could be said to be known, afforded

forded the opportunity of divulging bold truths, because time had destroyed or removed the greater part of those who were interested in the narration; and thus clandestine publication was favourable to historical veracity; but it was also an encouragement to partiality. If errors were thus established, it became less easy to refute them, as there were few who had the means of investigating them. But private circulation being equally in the power of the moderns, while they possess the means of combating its inconveniences, the add vantage appears to be entirely on their hey had received . We in the received

The nature of the circumstances of which I have spoken, tended, among the ancients, to concentrate both the study and the composition of history within a very narrow circle, consisting almost entirely of the rich, and men in public situations; for, to be acquainted with facts, it was necessary to have been actually engaged in public affairs: indeed, we shall frequently

frequently have occasion to remark, that the greater part of the Greek and Roman, historians were generals, magistrates, and men of fortune or of distinguished rank, In the eastern countries, priests, that class who, by the exclusive possession of learning and the distribution of knowledge, had invested themselves with the most powerful of all monopolies, were almost the only historians. Hence that character of dignity and elevation which distinguishes the authors of antiquity, and which was the natural and even necessary consequence of the cultivated education they had received.

The art of printing having increased and facilitated the means of reading and a composition, authorship has become an object of commerce, and modern writers have assumed a mercantile boldness, a rash confidence, which frequently debases history, and profance the sanctity of its object.

compilers and literary impostors; but the fatigue of copying their works prevented the lumber from descending to succeeding ages. Thus far the difficulty of multiplying books has been of scryice to science.

which tended to dispose quity the testage

But this advantage of the ancients was on the other hand, counterbalanced by a very serious inconvenience the wellfounded suspicion of an almost inavoidable partiality, 1, by the spirit of personality, the ramifications of which extended in proportion as the writer had been actively engaged in the transactions he recorded, and influenced in his political sentiments by interest or passion; 2d, by the spirit of family and consanguinity, which, among the ancients, and particularly in Greece, and Italy, constituted a spirit of general and indelible faction. It may be here remarked, that a work composed by an individual of a family became the common property of that family, borice for

mily, who espoused the opinions of the writer, which indeed were but the offspring of their own prejudices! Thus a manut script of the family of the Eabil, or the Scipios, was transmitted from age to age by inheritance; and if there existed in a, less, powerful family a manuscript which tended to disprove it, they seized it, and considered the prize an important victory. This was, in miniature, what the spirit of hostile states was upon a largery scale that selfish; proud; and intolerant; spirit, by which the Greeks and Romans; the enemies of the universe were prompted to destroy the writings, of other nations, and thus deprive us of the pleadings for the plaintiffs in the celebrated cause of their rapine. In this manner have they almost rendered us accomplices in their tyranny, by the giddy admiration and "secret" emulation with which their criminal triumphs inspire us. in hear a breaking the

But; among the moderns, in vain islan, historical work environed with seerecy, supported

supported by the credit of wealth, defended by the spirit of faction or family, and protected by all the power of authority. The investigation of a single day, the slightest opposition, is sufficient to raise doubts, and to overthrow an edifice of falsehood which the labour of years may have been necessary to erect. Such is the signal service the liberty of the press renders to truth, that the most obscure/indiavidual, if he have the virtues and the talents of an historian, may brave the indignation of nations, while he censures their errors and condemns their prejudices; though, indeed, it will perhaps be found, that the errors, the prejudices and the resentments which are generally ascribed to nations, belong, in reality, not to the people, but to their governors.

Accustomed as we are to the uniform influence of the press, we are not sufficiently sensible of all the moral and political advantages it produces. To estimate the effects of its privation, it is necessary

and a light of the property of the first

to have lived in a country where the art of printing does not exist. There we soon feel what confusion in accounts, absurdity in reports, uncertainty in opinions, obstacles to information, and general ignorance, the want of books and newspapers creates History owes benedictions to him who first published articles of intelligenec in Venice, for the little piece of money called a gazetta; the name of which journals of news still bear. Gazettes, indeed, are historical monuments of infinite importance: they are instructive and valuable even in their deviations from strict impartiality; since they thereby exhibit the prevailing spirit of the times in which they were published; and their contradictions always afford materials for the elucidation of facts. Thus, when we are informed that the first thing the Anglo-Americans do int forming their new establishments is to cut a road, and to commende a newspaper, it appears to me, that, in this double operation, they attain the object, and exhibit the analysis, of every good

)

social

social system: for society is nothing more than the easy and free communication of persons and thoughts; and all the art of government consists in preventing those violent shocks which tend to its destruction. As a contrast to this people, civilized as it were in the cradle, let us takea view of the nations of Asia, which have passed from infancy to decay, and, through every stage of their progress, have still been ignorant and barbarous. Doubtless they have been confined to this condition, because they neither knew the art of printing, nor were capable of constructing roads or canals.

Such is the power of the press—such its influence upon civilization—that is to say, on the development of all the faculties of man in the manner most useful to society—that the epoch of its invention divides the political and moral state of nations, as well as their history, into two distinct and different systems. Its existence so precisely marks the possession of know-

knowledge, that to know whether a people be civilized or barbarous, it is only necessary to ask the following questions: Does the art of printing flourish among them? Have they the liberty of the press?

Now, as it is certain that the situa of the ancients very much resembled the present state of Asia; as, even in coun tries considered free, the governments were always influenced by a mysterious spirit of party or faction, and privileged interests, which detached them from the people; and as they had the means of preventing or paralyzing every publication that might give them displeasure; it is reasonable to suppose that the authors of those times wrote under the influence of partiality, either compulsory or voluntary. How, for example, can it be expected that Titus Livy should have dared to paint in true colours the odious policy of the Roman senate, which, to divert the people from their claims long made with justice and moderation, fomented wars that, dur-

D 2

ing five hundred years, spread destruction over the earth; and which, when the plunder of the universe was amassed in Rome, as in the den of a banditti, finished its career by presenting the disgusting spectacle of robbers intoxicated with their enjoyments, and still insatiable, murdering one another at the division of the booty! Turn over the pages of Dionysius Halicarnassius, Polybius, and even Tacitus himself; and you will not meet with those emotions of indignation which the picture of the horrors they have transmitted to us ought to have excited. How unfortunate the historian who does not feel such emotions !- How miserable the age that compels him to suppress, them

From all these considerations I conclude, that, in the study of History, it is a delicate task to seize, and a difficult one to establish, the precise point of truth; and that the degree of certainty we may admit, cannot be rational, unless it be submitted to a calculation of probability. I

have dwelt upon the necessity of this calculation, because I am sensible of its importance; not in an abstract and speculative point of view, but as a useful maxim, applicable to every circumstance of life: for every individual life is a personal history, in which the events of yesterday become the subject of reflection to day, and of resolution to-morrow. Now, if it be true that happiness depends upon those resolutions, and the resolutions on the soundness of the reflections, it is of importance to consider what disposition of mind is best calculated for these operations. Here three alternatives present themselves':-to believe every thing-to believe nothing-or; to believe by calculation. Every one, in making his selection from these different modes, is influenced by taste; or, 'I should rather say, by habit and temperament; for temperament governs the bulk of mankind, and acts more powerfully in consequence of their not perceiving its effects. Some men exercise the powers of abstraction so far as to doubt the

the evidence of their senses . Such, it is said, was Pyrrho, whose celebrity in this kind of error has procured for it, the appellation of Pyrrhonism : But if Pyrrho, who so much doubted his own existence as to display no uneasiness when about to perish in a storm, and who regarded death and life with so much indifference that he did not commit suicide merely because he could not find motives to determine his choice, received from the Greeks the title of a Philosopher, he has received from philosophers that of a Lunatic, and from physicians that of a Valetudinarian. Indeed, rational medicine teaches, that this apathy and perversity of mind is the physical consequence of a nervous system, blunted or exhausted by the fatigues of a life too contemplative, and divested of sensations, or by the excess of passions too ardent, and too powerfully excited, which leave nothing behind them but the ashes of a consumed sensibility.

But if Pyrrhonism is a chronical malady mainoring the which

which seldom occurs, attaches itself only to minds and temperaments of a feeble kind, and is at worst merely ridiculous; doubting nothing, on the contrary, is a more common and a much more dangerous disease. It is the violent fever of an energetic constitution, which acquires by example a colitagious intensity, and terminates by exciting the convulsions of enthusiasm and the phrensy of fanaticism. Such are the periods of the progress of this malady of the understanding, that an opinion being once admitted from indolence or neglect, the mind becomes attached to it, and maintains it to be just from habit: It is defended from obstinacy and self-love; and, soon passing from the defence to the attack, the believer, influenced by that self-esteem called pride, and that desire of domination which seeks in the exercise of power the unlimited gratifications of every passion, proceeds to impose his creed upon others. is a menor

Te may be remarked of Fanaticism and pyrrhonism,

Pyrrhonism, that, though they are extremes diametrically opposite to each other, they have one common source, which is ignorance;—with this difference, however, that Pyrrhonism is a feeble ignorance, which never judges; and that Fanaticism is a robust ignorance, which always judges, and has judged every thing.

Between these extremes there is a middle term-that of forming an opinion after weighing and examining the reasons which ought to determine it-holding the judgment in suspence while there is not a sufficient motive for fixing it, and proportioning our belief to the degree of proof and evidence with which each fact is accompanied. This is called Scepticism, adopting the real sense of the word, which signifies to examine, to grope around an object with distrust. If I should now be asked, as I was by one of you in the last Lecture, whether it is my intention to lead you to scepticism, I must answer, that, in presenting to you my reflections,

it is not my design to inculcate a system; but if I did wish to establish any doctrine, it would be that of doubting, according to the rules I have already laid down. In doing this, I consider myself as serving at once the united cause of philosophy and liberty; for it is the peculiar character of philosophy to leave to every one the right of judging according to the measure of his sensations and his conviction. I would inculcate a spirit of investigating doubt, because all history informs me that confidence is the doctrine of error or of fulsehood, and the constant arm of despotism. The most celebrated of imposters. and the boldest of tyrants, commenced his book by these words: -There is no doubt in this book. It leadeth, into the right path him who walketh blindly-him who receiveth without inquiry my word, which saveth the simple, and confoundeth the wise *. Thus,

D 5 by

^{*} See the 1st Chapter of the Koran, 1st and succeeding verses.

by this first proposition, man is at oncedeprived of the free exercise of his will and his understanding: He is devoted to slavery; but, as a reward for rendering himself a slave, the true believer is made the minister of the prophet; and, receiving from Mahomet the Sabre and the Koran, he becomes a prophet in his turn, and says, "There is no doubt in this book: Believe in it," that is to say, think as I do; or die! A convenient doctrine, it must be confessed, since it dispenses with all labour and study. It has likewise this advantage, that while the sceptic calculates and examines, the fanatical believer acts and executes. The first, perceiving several roads at once, stops to examine where they would conduct him. The last, seeing only the path which is immediately before him, pursues it without hesitation: he runs straight forward, like those obstinate animals whose sight is circumscribed by pieces of leather attached to their bridles, in order to prevent them from turning to the right or the left, and, above all, to conceal E .: 12

conceal from their view the whip which corrects them: But unfortunate is the driver if they become unruly; for, already half-blind, they rush headlessly forward, and at last precipitate him and themselves down the first precipice they meet.

Such, Citizens, is the fate which presumptuous confidence prepares for ignorant credulity. On the contrary, the advantage resulting from an observing and circumspect system of doubting is such, that, aways reserving in the mind room for new proofs, it is constantly disposed to correct a first judgment, and to acknowledge former errors. Thus, if, as it may be expected, I should, either on this or on any other subject, divulge an erroneous opinion, the principles which I profess leave me the resource, or give me the courage, to say, with the ancient philosopher, I am a man, and nothing that belongs to human nature is foreign to me.

I invite you, Citizens, to search for and D 6 collect

collect the best observations that have been made on the subject of this Lecture. Unfortunately they are scattered through many volumes, and overwhelmed with a multitude of futile and paradoxical questions. Almost all the authors who have treated of Historical certainty, have regarded it with that partiality and prejudice of which I have spoken: they have exaggerated that certainty, because upon it almost all religious systems have had the imprudence to build their dogmas, instead of establishing them on natural facts capable of proof. It is desirable that this subject should be treated in a new and methodical manner: this would be rendering a real service, not only to literature, but to the moral and political sciences.

collect the best observations that have been made on VI SAUTOSAIhis Lecture. The furtiened through.

The preceding subject resumed. - What is the utility that may be derived from History?—That utility divided into three kinds: 1, The utility of good examples, more than counterbalanced by the bad. 2, Transmitting facts relative to the Arts and Sciences. 3, Political consequences of the operation of laws, and of the nature of governments, on the fate of nations.—The study of History under this last point of view belongs properly to but a small number of persons: It is only suited to youth, and to the greater part of the various classes of society under the first .- Well written Novels are preferable.

HITHERTO our attention has been confined to the consideration of the nature of historical certainty. Our researches on this subject may be recapitulated in the following propositions:

1. That

- 1. That historical facts, that is to say, related facts, reaching as only through the medium of the senses of others, cannot possess that degree of evidence, nor procure in us that conviction, which we obtain from our own senses.
- 2. That though, as it really happens, our own senses should deceive us, and though their testimony frequently requires examination, it would be absurd, and injurious to our liberty and to our right of opinion, to attribute greater authority to the sensations of others than to our own.
- 3. That, consequently, historical facts never can attain the two first degrees of certainty, which are those arising from physical sensation, and the recollection of that sensation; that they reach only to the third degree, which is that of analogy, or the comparison of the sensations of others with our own; and that their certainty divides into different classes, according to the greater or less likelihood of the facts, according to the distance of time and place between the occurrences

and the narrator, and according to the passage they have made from one reporter to another. Mathematicians having succeeded in submitting all those conditions to precise rules, and forming therefrom a particular branch of knowledge called the calculation of probabilities, to that science I refer you to complete your ideas on the question of historical certainty.

We come now to the question of utility; and following the method pointed out in the program, we shall consider what social and practical usefulness may be expected either from the studying or the teaching of history. I am sensible that this manner of treating the question is not the most methodical, since it supposes the principal fact already established and proved; but it will be found the most economical in point of time, and consequently the most useful, since it will greatly abridge the discussion. If I succeed in specifying the kind of utility which may be derived from history, I shall have proved its existence; but were I to question that utility, it would be necessary, in the first place, to state the distinction between history such as we now find it, and such as it might be treated: Next the distinction between the works of different historians; and perhaps I should have been embarrassed to prove that any utility resulted from some of those which have received the highest reputation, and possess the greatest influence; but I should thereby have had an opportunity of starting a question sufficiently interesting, viz. Whether History has not been more injurious than useful? Whether it has not occasioned more evil than good, both to nations and individuals, by the false ideas, the erroneous notions, and the prejudices of every kind which it has transmitted and consecrated? This thesis would have had the advantage over ours, of taking possession of our own facts, to prove that utility never was either the end or the primitive object of history; that the first motive of the rude traditions from which which it has sprung, was that mechanical desire, on the part of the narrators, which all men feel for describing their sensations, for expressing them as an instrument utters its sounds, and for recalling the image when the reality is absent or lost—a desire which is the peculiar passion of that age which has ceased to experience other enjoyments, and the foundation of all conversation among men who are unaccustomed to think; that, on the other hand, on the part of the auditors, the motive was curiosity, a second and not less natural desire, which we feel for multiplying our sensations, and for supplying the want of realities by images-a desire which converts every narration into a spectacle, or, if I may use the expression, into a magic lantern, the picture of which affords pleasure to the most rational of men, as well as to children.

This thesis would remind us that the first essays in history, composed without art and without taste, have been collected without

without discernment and without any object; that history was at first only a confused mass of incoherent and marvellous reports calculated to excite the attention of rude minds; that it was not until they had been fixed by writing, and become numerous, that facts more precise and more natural produced reflections and comparisons, the results of which were applicable to similar situations, that finally, it is only in modern times, and almost exclusively within a century, that history has assumed that philosophic character which searches, in the series of events, for a genealogical order of causes and effects, to deduce therefrom a theory of regulations and principles calculated to direct individuals and nations towards the object of their preservation or their improvement.

But, in proceeding to similar questions, I should have dreaded giving too much occasion to regard History under the relation of its inconveniences and faults. A criticism year

over profound may sometimes be mistaken for satire; and as instruction possesses a character so sacred that it ought not to admit the sports of paradox, I have avoided every appearance of that kind, and have thought it right to confine myself to the consideration of an utility already existing, or at least one which possibly may be found.

In studying History with the intention and desire of deriving a practical advantage from it, there appear to me three kinds of utility which deserve to be separately considered:—

The first is applicable to individuals, and may be called moral utility.

The second belongs to the arts and sciences, and it I denominate scientific utility.

The third, which applies to nations and their governments, I name political utility.

Indeed, if we analize the facts of which History is composed, it will be found that they they naturally resolve themselves into three classes: One, private occurrences, or the transactions of individuals; another, public occurrences, or the social order of government; and the third, facts connected with occurrences in the arts and sciences, or operations of the mind.

With regard to the first class, every one may have observed, that, in perusing historical works, either on account of the pleasure which the constant variety of their pictures afford, or the knowledge which may be gleaned from the experience of former times, it uniformly happens that we make a self application of the individual actions we find recounted; that we, in a manner, identify ourselves with the personages of the story; and that we exercise our judgment, or our sensibility, on every thing that occurs to them, deducing therefrom consequences which influence our own conduct. Thus, in perusing the histories of ancient Greece and Italy, every reader attaches a particular interest Below,

to certain characters; follows with attention the private or public life of Aristides or Themistocles, Socrates or Alcibiades, Scipio or Catiline, Cicero or Casar; and, from a comparison of their conduct and their destiny; forms reflections and precepts which influence his own, actions. This kind of influence, or, if I may so, call it, tutorship of History, chiefly exists in the biographical part, or descriptions of the lives of men whether public or private, in the manner of Plutarch and Cornelius Nepos. But it must be confessed that this kind of History, is liable, to more than one objection. In the first place, it may be accused of frequently approaching to the nature of romance; for it is obvious that nothing is more difficult than to prove with certainty, and retrace with truth, the actions and character of any man whatever. To accomplish that object, it is necessary to have known him intimately, to have followed and habitually studied him; and, in every connection of life, we know how difficult it is to avoid those

those passions of friendship or hatred which are obstacles to impartiality. Biographical works are almost always panegyrics or satires. This assertion is sufficiently proved and supported by memoirs published in our own times, with respect to several points, of which we may be able to speak as well informed witnesses.

In general, individual histories cannot be expected to possess accuracy and truth, unless when a man is the writer of his own life, and composes it with a conscientious fidelity; but if we consider what circum stances and qualities are necessary for this task, we will acknowledge that it is difficult, and almost impossible, to find them united in one person. If the writer be a vicious and immoral man, how can he be expected to publish his own shame? and what motive can we have for believing that he possesses the probity which this act requires? If he be a virtuous man, why expose himself to the charges of pride and falsehood, with which vice and envy will trobs. It

guished by vulgar foibles, can he be expected to possess the courage necessary to
reveal them?

מוכיתית על האבו חקום בנים ליע הייתו ליע In examining, then, all the motives which men may have for publishing their lives, it appears that they may be reduced either to a wounded self-love, which defends physical or moral existence against the attacks of malevolence and calumny, and this is the most legitimate and rational of motives or to an ambitious self-love, which wishes to manifest the titles it possesses to glory and consideration, or by which it believes itself worthy of them. Such is the influence of this vanity, that, assuming every form, it conceals itself even under those acts of religious and cenobitical humility, according to which the confession of past errors is the indirect and tacit eulogium of present wisdom; and the effort which that confession is supposed to require, becomes the necessary and interested means of obtaining pardon,

pardon, favour, or reward. Of this the case of the Bishop Augustin is a striking and appropriate illustration

It was indeed reserved for our age to exhibit another example in which self-love is immolated solely to the pride of executing an enterprize which never had a model; of displaying to his contemporaries a man who resembles none of them, and who, having no parallel in his kind, calls himself however the man of nature*; as if fate had decreed that a life passed in paradoxes should terminate by obtaining admiration and almost worship†, on account of having

^{*} See beginning of the Confessions of J. J. Rousseau.

There is, perhaps, no book in which as much pride has been collected in so few lines as in the first ten of that work.

There is this characteristic difference between Rousseau and Voltaire, considered as chieftains of opinions, that if you attack Voltaire before his partizans, they defend him by reasoning or pleasantry, but without passion, and at most only regard you as a person of bad

ing exhibited a continued series of illusions of the fancy, and errors of the heart.

na bino a gracial zota dal sono de ij Mhis

the Contract of the second of the bad taste: But if you attack Rousseau before his disciples, you excite in them a religious horror, and they regard you as a monster. In my youth I experienced those impressions myself; and having sought to discover the cause, it appeared to me, that Voltaire, addressing himself to the imagination rather than to the heart, to the judgment rather than to the feelings, does not heat the mind with any passion; and as he employed himself more in combating the opinions of others than in establishing his own, he produced the habit of doubting rather than that of affirmation, -a disposition of mind which always leads to tolerance. Rousseau, on the contrary, speaks to the heart more than to the head, to the affections rather than to the understanding. He exalts the love of virtue and of truth (without defining either) by the love of women, which is so capable of causing illusion. Having a strong conviction of his own rectitude, he suspected first the opinions, and then the intentions of others: this state of mind is the immediate cause of aversion in the feeble, and persecuting intolerance in the It is indeed worthy of remark, that the greater number of men who have, in our times, figured in the latter character, were, or pretend to be, the disciples and admirers of J. J. Rousseau. I 1871 - ... T

-This leads us to a second consideration of the subject which lis, that, bin admitttings the veracity of biography of this kind oit is possible that history would on that wery account be rendered inferior in sutility to novels. This must happen when the real adventures present the immoral spectacle of wirtue more unfortunate than vices for in fictitious adventures, we only esteem the art which exhibits vice more removed from happiness than virtue. If then, there exist a book in which a man, regarded as virtuous, and almost elevated into the patron of a sect, should decribe himself as the most unfortunate of beings; if that man confessing the transactions of his life stated a multitude of instances of bis meanness, infidelity and ingratitudeif the idea he gives of his temper be fretful, suspicious and envious-if, not content with revealing the faults which belonged to himself, he discloses those of others which belonged not to him—if this man was besides endowed with great talents as an orator and an author, and had acquired

required an authority as a philosopher—if the used those advantages only to panegyrize ignorance, detract from the social state, and to bring men back to their original savage condition—if the doctrine of Omar, revived under the mask of this name, and his principles serve to inculcate the inutility of the arts and the sciences, to proscribe all talents, all wealth, and, consequently, all the industry which the acquisition of property creates, perhups it would be difficult to find a single corner of utility in this too real history.

Formation thus obtained is purchased at too high a price, and that in an individual organized in a certain manner, excessive sensibility may degenerate into mental alienation *1 - It is doubtless to be regreted doubtless to be regreted.

It is well known that Rousseau died in this state, so plainly indicated by his last writings—To determine with precision the point at which that aborration of the

spoken so much of nature, should not have imitated that wisdom by which she exhibits externally all the forms that flatter our senses, while she covers with a thick veil every thing that threatens to shock our delicacy. From what has been said, I conclude, that the moral utility which may be derived from history is not spontaneous, that the result of an part guided by rules and founded on principles of which we shall treat when we shall have occasion to motice the primary schools.

the arts and sciences. Its sphere is more varied, more extensive, and involves fewer inconveniences than that of which we have just spoken. History, regarded, under this point of view, is a fruitful mine, in

ा प्राचेत त महा हैत बद्धार हर्त, इस्टर्डिंग हेन्द्र

understanding called folly commences, is a very difficult problem, which requires the united powers of medicine and philosophy for its solution.

exploring which every individual may exfract materials suited to the scionce or arts to which he is devoted, or which he is de-s sirous of cultivating. Researches of this kind possess the inestimable advantage of always throwing a real light on the subject under discussion, either by confronting: the different processes or methods adopted at different periods among different people; by the exhibition of errors formerly committed; and the comparison of experiments which it is always possible to repeat; or; finally; by the knowledge alone of the track which the human mind. has followed in the invention and progress of the lart or science to which you direct your attention; -a track which indicates by analogy that which ought to be followed in advancing towards perfection. ar the first training the state of the state of

To such researches as these we are indebted for numerous discoveries, some of which are original, others only revived, but for which their authors always merit our thanks: by their means, medicine has procured for us methods and remedies; allocations and inachines; and inachines; and inachines; and inachines; and inachines are stablish a generalish and the art of composing those moral ma-

The third kind of utility which History affords, is what I call political or social utility " It consists in collecting and meditating on all the facts which relate to the formation of societies and the mechanism of governments, with the view of obtaining general or particular results, calculated to serve as terms of comparison indanalogous or similar cases. In this fight, History, considered universally is a Vast collection of moral and social expet fiments which mankind make involuntati rily and very expensively on themselves, and in which every people, by exhibiting varied combinations of events, passions, causes and effects, unfolds to the attentive observer sall the springs and mechanism of hainan nature. Indeed, were it possible to obtain a correct view of the reciprocal operation of all the parts of each social

social machine, that is to say of the law bits, manners opinions, laws, internal and, external regimen of each nation it would be possible to establish a general theory of the art of composing those moral machines, and of laying down fixed and determined principles of legislation, political economy, and government no It is not ne cessary to point out all the advantages of such adabout un Unfortunately it is liable to too many difficulties in the execution: first, because the greater number of histories particularly the ancient, afford only imperfect or vicious materials; next, because the application made of those materials, and the reasoning founded upon them, scan only be, right in proportion as the occurrences are correctly represented. and to which every make the exhibiting

We all know how difficult it is to obtain true and precise details of a private, and preliminary transactions; and, in history, it is not the great and striking events that are instructive, but the accessary facts or the circumstances that have prepared

or produced them. This is evident, because it is only by a knowledge of the preparatory circumstances that we can be enabled to avoid or to obtain similar results. It is not from the issue of a battle that we receive instruction, but from the different movements that lead to its decision, which, though less splendid, are however the causes, while the event is only the effect " Such is the importance ofuthose details, that, without them, the term of comparison is victous, and has no analogy with the object to which we would apply it! This error, so serious in its consequences, is however habitual, and almost general, in History? facts are admitted; without discussion; conflinations Affice Total 202 202 Heart invented:

The details of negociations on which the great events of peace and war depend, are all very instructive historical facts, as they disclose the secret movements of passion and intrigue; but those facts will always be little known, because the honour or the interest of the agents seldom permits them to render a faithful account of their transactions.

invented, where no proper relation exists; hypotheses that have no foundation formed; and unjust applications made: Hence those errors of administration and government, falsely imitative, which sometimes: lead to the greatest misfortunes: 5 4 4 1.4 2

in the state of the state of the state of In this point of view the study of History is a very profound art; and if the! utility which results from it be of the most important kind, the art which procures it must be considered as the most? elevated. It is indeed the most transcendant part, and may be called the high mathematics of History.

These various considerations, instead of being digressions from my subject, facilitate the solution of the greater part of the questions connected with it. If it be asked whether History ought to form a part of the instruction of the primary schools? It is very obvious that this study. is not suited to them, because those .. schools are composed of youths whose underunderstandings are not yet unfolded, and who are incapable of judging of the occurrences of the social state. It is equally evident, that this kind of knowledge is only calculated to give them false and erroneous ideas, to inspire them with prejudices, and to make them idle prattlers, as the vicious system of education has, during two centuries, sufficiently proved in every corner of Europe. What can we learn, in our infancy, from the Histories of Livy and Sallust, the Commentaries of Casar, or the Annals of Tacitus, which are so prematurely put into our hands? What advantage, what instruction have we derived from them? The most skilful instructors of youth were so well convinced of the errors of this practice, that, notwithstanding their desire to introduce the reading of the Hebrew books into their system of education, they durst not venture to make the attempt, but gave them the form of a romance, under the title of the History of the People of God. sides, as the greater part of the pupils of the

the primary schools may be expected to devote themselves to arts and professions, the practice of which must absorb the whole of their time, "it is absurd to direct their attention to a science which they never can have an opportunity of cultivating, which indeed it will be necessary for them to forget, and which would only inspire them with that false pretension to knowledge which is always worse than ignorance. Primary schools, then, ought to reject the study of History under its great political relation. They should admit it, however, with respect to the arts, because there are several which are adapted to the understanding of youth, and the picture of their origin and progress may inspire the desire of analyzing them: but it would be necessary to compose books on purpose for this kind of study, and it is probable that the advantage thus obtained would not be worthy the trouble and the expence of such an undertaking.

E 6

The only kind of history that appears

to me suited to youth is the biggraphics or that of the lives of public and private men. Experience has proved, that reading of that kind practised in the midst of families produces a powerful effect on young minds: It inspires them with that desire of imitation which is a physical attribute of our nature, and determines most of our actions. The impressions received from such reading's often decide the business and inclinations of a whole life. These impressions arguire more force in consequence of their not being prepared by art; for the pupil, in making a reflect tion and forming a judgment, possesses at feeling of liberty, and believes himself, neither governed nor influenced by a superior if authority. Our ancestors were well convinced of the power of such impressions, at when, to give currency, to their dogmatic opinions, they formed the work which they called the Lives of the Saints, Alti. must not be imagined that compositions of that kind exhibit no merit or talents: on the contrary, many of them are written

ten with much art, and a profound knowledge of the human heart. This is sufficiently proved by their frequently fulfilling their object—that of imprinting a movement on the mind in the manner and direction intended.

In proportion as men's minds have been disengaged from religious ideas, they have proceeded to works of a philosophic and political kind; and the Hlustrious Men of Plutarch and Cornelius Nepo's have obtained a preference over the Murtyrs andu Holy Fathers of the Desert. Those models, it cannot be denied, are better fittedu for the use of men in society: but they have still the inconvenience of removing us too far from the manners of our own times, and giving rise to vicious comparisons, capable of leading us into very serious errors. Models of biography should be drawn from amongst ourselves, and from the present times; and if they do not exist, they ought to be created. Here the principle I have advanced, of the utility

lity of novels being superior to that of history, more particularly applies. It is desirable, that the government should encourage elementary books of this kind; but as they belong more to morals than to history, I shall only call to the recollection of their authors two fundamental principles of the art, from which they hever should deviate-brevity and clearness. An overflow of words fatigues the minds of youth, and renders them empty declaimers. Concise tracts, on the contrary, interest them, and render them thinkers. They will always profit less by reflections made for them, than by those which they make themselves.

observed, yield a mile in three kinds of tenns. I - in he is not to individuals, the second to the friend, yelds ments and so interest in a leading, apply cable to the arts who continue for hot, as neither of those utilities are to be found at first sight, her anattended with inconvenience and califerty, and as a peculiar venient to much precaution, is requisite art, joined to much precaution, is requisite

in that of roingue guied elevon to the study of the Art of studying History with Advantage.—That Art not attainable by Youth.

Instruction more injurious than useful to young Minds.—Of the Art of teaching the Study of History.—Views of the Author on a course of historical Studies.

Author on a course of history.—Examination of the Principles of Lucian amination of the Principles of Lucian.

HISTORICAL facts, as we have already observed, yield the materials of three kinds of utility. The first, relative to individuals; the second, relative to governments and societies; and the third, applicable to the arts and sciences: but, as neither of those utilities are to be found at first sight, nor unattended with inconvenience and difficulty, and as a peculiar art, joined to much precaution, is requisite

and Mably.

to collect them, we have commenced them examination of the rules of that art; and a shall now continue to develope them shy dividing them into two branches, I acther art of studying history; 2: the art of writing history in the state of the studying history; and the state of th

Thave already stated, that the study of: history is in no point of view suitedo to w early youth. The facts of which it is composed require a fund of experience and a maturity of judgment incompatible with that age: Obliged to believe on the authority of others, the young may contract errors and prejudices, the influence. of which will last through life. The true object of instruction is not to knowmuch! but to know well; and half-learning is a false knowledge a hundred times more! dangerous than ignorance. The only instruction youth can derive from history is of a moral kind, and consists in collecting maxims for their conduct in life; and, asa precepts thrawn from fact and example are most impressive, that part of history, selected

lected for their use, ought to be anecdotes and accounts of virtuous actions. These, however, ought to be employed with discretion, for too great an abundance cannot be easily digested. I may observe here; that the wish of saying and doing too much is a great error in French education! We'teach youth to speak, when we ought to instruct them how to think; words dissipate thought, meditation accumulates it. The prattle of the giddy and the frivolous engenders discord; but silence, the child of wisdom, is the friend of peace. The eloquent Athenians were only a nation of squabblers, but the silent Spartans: were a wise and dignified people. The Ancients honoured Pythagoras with the title of The Wise, doubtless because he had elevated silence into a virtue.

In the progress of education the minds of youth are more enlarged, and become more capable of receiving that instruction which history affords. If, however, we call to our recollection the impressions of

our early vears, wel mist acknowledge that the kind of reading which interested us most was, for a long period, raccounts of battles and military anecdotes. JIn perusing the ancient history of Rollin, or the history of France by Velli, we may recollect that we were accustomed to glide. rapidly or linger carelessly over the parts relating to manners, laws, and politics, until we arrived at descriptions of sieges, engagements, or private adventures; but even in adventures and personal histories it is usual to prefer those of great warriors to the biography of legislators and philos not to noderia. France that an unit shake the sophers.

These considerations lead to two reflections: one, that it is long before the study of history can be rendered useful to youth, with whom it has few points of contact; the other, that, as it touches them chiefly on the moral side, and more particularly on that of the passions, it is dangerous to allow them to apply to this study without a guide. We can only put into their hands

hands histories, which are prepared or sentenced for them; but in this case, do we teach them history of Do we not them exthibit facts such as we wish them to see them, rather than as they really are **

the history of Figure by Van, ve may Doubtless this mode of education has its advantages; but it may also be attended with inconveniences. If our ancestors, deceived themselves by adopting a moral, which, instead of directing, opposed all, the inclinations of nature, it is to be feared. that the present age also deceives itself in adopting one which tends to inflame and not to moderate the passions—It is to be feared that in passing from one extreme to another, from a blind credulity to a sayage incredulity, from a misanthropical, apathy to a devouring cupidity, from a servile the product of the second

^{*} Is not history, in general, transactions stated in the light in which the writers have viewed them? and in this case, may we not apply to them the words of Fontenelle! History is the romance of the human mind, and romances are the history of the human heart?

servile patience to a despotic and unsociable pride; we shall do no more than change one fanaticism for another, and, in abandoning that of the Goths of the ninth century, return to that of the children of Odin, the Franks, and the Celtæ, from whom we are descended. Such must certainly be the effect of that modern doctrine which tends to inflame the passions, and to push courage beyond the object of defence and preservation which nature indicates for its boundary—a doctrine which only inculcates warlike virtues and warlike manners; as if virtue; whose essence is to preserve, could connect itself with the idea of war, whose essence is to destroy—a doctrine which calls a savage hatred to every other nation patriotism; as if the exclusive love of our own tribe were not the special virtue of wolves and tigers; as if, in the great society of human kind, there were a different justice and a different virtue for nations and for individuals; as if a warlike and conquering people differed from a turbulent and wicked individual, who takes possession of his neighbour's property because he is the stronger—finally, a doctrine which only tends to lead back Europe to the ferocious manners of the Cimbri and the Teutones.

This doctrine is the more dangerous on account of its powerful influence on the minds of youth, who eagerly adopt its precepts, and become heated with military enthusiasm. Instructors of the nation, weigh well a fact which passes before your eyes! If the present generation, educated in gentle manners, and which, in infancy, knew no other toys than dolls and paper castles, has, in so short a time, taken a direction to sanguinary manners*, what may be expected from that which is rising up in the midst of rapine and carnage,

^{*} When I wrote this, in Ventose of the year 8, I had just crossed France from Nice to Paris. During my journey I frequently observed the children hanging cats on lamp posts, and guillotining poultry, in imitation of the revolutionary tribunals.

freynt swafforod adt saken daidwebne named Palnatocks divide all locations and another Lacedemon of

One step farther and there may be revived among us the extravagant effects of the phrensy which the doctrine of Odin formerly produced in Europe; a phrensy of which the Danish school, established by the governor of Jomsburgh in the tenth century, presents an example worthy of being cited. I take it from one of the best works of the present age, the History of Danemark, by Professor Mallet. Havi ing in his introduction, lib! 4. described the passion which the Scandinavians, in common with the Celtæ, entertained for war, and traced its cause in their laws? their education, and in their religion, "he relates the following story and the bush some story and the story and and the story and an entire the story and the story are story are story and the story are story are story are story and the story are st

of Danemark, who reigned about the middle of the tenth century, founded a town on the coast of Pomerania, called Julin or Jonisburgh. Here he formed a colony of young

young Danes, and appointed a person named Palnatocko governor This new Lycurgus made another Lacedæmon of his settlement. The education of youth was solely directed to the object of making them, soldiers. The colonists were prohibited from mentioning the word fear, even in the most imminent dangers. No inhabitant of Julin was allowed to yield to numbers. He was taught to fight intrepidly, without flying, however superior his enemy might be. The certainty of instant death only served to stimulate him to the combatal! It appears that this legislator had succeeded in effacing from the breasts, of the greater number of his disciples every sentiment of that passion, so powerful; and so natural, which makes us dread our dissolution. Nothing can be a more convincing proof of this than the following story, which deserves to be related here on account of its singularity.

[&]quot;Some Jonsburghers, who made an irruption into the territory of Hacco, a

Norwegian chieftain, were vanquished, notwithstanding the obstinacy of their resistance. A number of the most distinguished of the party having been made prisoners, were, agreeably to the custom of the times, condemned to death. This sentence, instead of affecting them, inspired them with joy. The first contented himself with saying, without changing this countenance or testifying the least mark of alarm. Why should not the same thing happen to me that happened to my father? he died, and I must die also:

their heads, having asked the second what he thought of his fate, he replied, that he knew the laws of Julin too well to pronounce any word that indicated fear.

To the same question the third replied, that he rejoiced in his fate, and that he preferred a glorious death to a life of infamy like that of his executioner.

The

"The fourth returned a longer, a more remarkable answer-' I suffer willingly;' said he, 'and this moment affords me the greatest satisfaction. I only beg that my head may be cut off as quickly as possible. It has frequently been disputed at Julin, whether we retain any of our senses after decapitation; I shall therefore hold this knife in one hand, and if after I am beheaded I lift it up against you, that will prove I am not entirely deprived of understanding; if I let it fall, that will be a proof of the contrary. Hasten, then, and decide the question.' Torchil cut the head off at one blow, and the knife fell to the ground.

"The fifth displayed the same tranquillity, and died smiling at his enemies.

"The sixth advised Torchill to strike him in the front;—'I shall extend myself motionless,' said he, 'and you may observe that I shall not even close my eyes. In Jomsburgh it is common not to shrink when we receive the stroke of death. We

prepare ourselves for it by an exercise.'

He died, and all the spectators witnessed
that he kept his promise.

The seventh, the historian tells us, was a man of singular beauty, and in the flower of his age; his long fair hair resembled silk, and floated in ringlets on his shoulders. Torchil having asked him whether he feared death:—'I meet it willingly,' said he, 'since in doing so I fulfil the great duty of life, and since I have seen those die whom I cannot survive. I only beg of you to take care that no slave may touch my hair, and that my blood may not stain it."

This story is a good example of the influence of education on human conduct. It at the same time shews the abuse which may be made of history, which constantly exhibits scenes of folly, vice, and crimes, and, consequently, serves as the model and the apology of the most flagrant deviations from justice and rectitude.

-100 ST

In vain will it be said, that the evils which result from such systems are sufficient to teach mankind to avoid them. There is a profound truth in morals to which a sufficient attention is not paid; which is, that the picture of disorder and vice always leaves dangerous impressions, and that it serves less to dissuade us from evil, than to familiarize us with it, and to harden us in its practice by the excuse which the example furnishes. This arises from the same physical mechanism by which an obscene story distierbs the chastest mind, and which proves to us, that the best means of preserving virtue is to withhold from it the images of vice.

The wisest conduct with regard to youth, is not to direct their attention to the study of history until they are capable of judging, in some degree, for themselves. They would then be more able to derive advantage from it, and their minds would not bend before the prejudices which an ordinary education inspires.

Were

Were L to trace a plan for studies of this kind, after requiring these conditions, the following appears to me the mode best calculated for carrying it into execution

Abetions will irent ity Abelia ideas OF ox In the first place, I would require that my pupils should possess a preliminary knowledge of the demonstrative sciences, such as mathematics, natural philosophy, astronomy, &c., that is to say, that they may have their minds stored with the means and the terms of comparisons, to enable them to judge of the facts they find stated in history. I have mentioned astronomy, because without some idea of that science we can know but little of geography, and, if destitute of geographical knowledge we know, not where to place the scenes of history, which float, in the mind like clouds in the air. I do not consider it necessary that my pupils should study the details of these sciences deeply. I would not even expect them to be entirely free from moral and religious prejudices. It will be sufficient if they are not too strongly

strongly prepossessed in their opinions, and that their minds are open to conviction. With this disposition I have no doubt that the varied spectacle of historical confradictions will rectify their ideas, by extending them. He who has fimited his acquaintance to the narrow circle of his own relations or party is obstinate; mhe Who knows no circul but that of his own church, is intolerant; for obstinacy and intolerance are always the fruits of a selfish ignorance: but when we mix with the world, when we have compared a number of opinions, we perceive that every man has his value, and every opinion its reasons. Thus we are taught to smooth the sharp angles of vanity, that we may roll gently along with the torrent of society. This fruit of experience, which may be so well gathered in travels, history also affords; for history is an agreeable journey, in which, without dangers or fatigue, we may travel through the universe of time and place. It was the second the property of the state of th

F 3 But

But the traveller would not take a station in a balloon; in an unknown; and inaccessible country, to proceed thence to the habitable parts of the earth; neither would I wish my pupils in history to plunge at once into the night of antiquity, with the view of turning rapidly to the ages contiguous to our own, which have no resemblance to the former. They will therefore avoid all those histories, which, at a single bound, transport us to the origin of the world, calculate its epoch as if it were an affair of yesterday, and declare, that this is a subject on which reason is not to be employed, and which must be believed without any proof.

As reason, however, is a loadstone which we ought not to abandon, let us leave those inhabitants of the antipodes in their own region, and, like prudent navigators; take, our departure from a known point; sail from shore to shore, and advance only as we become acquainted with the coast we would explore. We ought first to study

study the history of the country in which we were born, or in which we are destined to live, and where we can obtain the best evidence respecting the facts we wish to investigate, and examine the objects we wish to compare. I would not, however, entirely condemn a method which should. commence with the history of a foreign. country. The aspect of an order of things, customs, and manners, different from that with which we are accustomed, has a powerful effect in interrupting the current of our prejudices. It teaches us to view ourselves in a new light, which produces in as disinterestedness and impartiality: But there is one condition I hold to be indispensable, which is, that it must be the history of as country and times that are well known, and the events of which are capable, in a certain degree, of being verified. Let it be the history of Spain; Englandy Turkey, or Persia, lit is equally the same; withothis difference; that our best histories have hitherto been those of countries in Europe, Because with thosecountries we are best, acquainted. Let the student, in the first place, acquire a general idea of a given country and a given nation, in the most esteemed author. He will thus gain a step in the scale of historical knowledge; with which every step of his future progress should be connected. If he wish to follow the details, he will find the originals pointed out in the first work, and may consult and compare them. He ought to refer to those original sources of information on points with respect to which the author he has read testifies embarrassment or uncertainty. From a first known nation or period, lethim pass to the next most interesting and most closely connected with the points necessary to be elucidated in the former history Thus he will gradually acquire a sufficient knowledge of Europe, Asia, Africa, and the New World. According to my principle, of always proceeding from the known to the unknown, and from the near to the remote, I would not have him travel into distant times until he has obtained a complete

plete idea of the present. This idea once acquired, the may embark for antiquity, but with caution, advancing step by step, lest he should lose himself on a sea without shores, and where not a star is to be seen to direct his course.

cited of places a chock a strain pe con-

Arrived thus at the farther confines of historical times, we find some ascertained. epochs; we fix upon them as promontories, from which we endeavour to discover, in the gloomy ocean of antiquity, a few of those prominent points which rise like islands above the waves of events. Without leaving land, we ascertain by different call culations, as by triangles, the distance of some points, which become a chronological base, that serves to measure the distance of others. While we can see these known points, and can measure the intervals between them, we advance with the clue, in our hands; but when we see nothing except mists and clouds, and when the framers of cosmogonies and mythologies step forward and offer to conduct us

to the land of prodigies and fairies, it is time we should trace back our steps; for those guides usually require this condition, that they shall put a bandage over our eyes, and then there is no knowing where one goes: besides, they usually dispute among themselves who shall have the honour of leading their disciple; and it is paying too dear for a little science to purchase it at the price of peace.

Impressed with these considerations, my pupils would return from the chronology of the Assyrians and Egyptians with their minds full of doubts. They would not, pretend to know within an hundred years, at least, the period of the siege of Troy, and would be very much inclined to doubt the pretended human existence of all the demi-gods, as well as the deluge of Deucalion, the ship of the Argonautes, the one hundred and fifteen years of the reign of the Chinese Fohi, and all the Indian, Chaldean, or Arabian prodigies, which bear more resemblance to the tales of

p 127 333

of the thousand and one nights than to history; but to console them, they will have acquired sound ideas respecting a period of above three thousand years, which is all that we know of real history; and by comparing their notes, and all the extracts they may have carefully made in the course of their studies, they will have acquired the means of deriving from history all the utility it is capable of affording.

Tam sensible I may be told, that such a plant of study requires years for its execution, and that it would absorb all the time and faculties of any individual; that, therefore, it is only suited to a very small number of men, who, either by their personal means, or by those furnished to them by society, are embled to devote to it the whole of their time and attention. I admit the truth of this observation, and I agree to it the more readily as it is the natural consequence of what I have stated. Indeed, the more I reflect on the

nature of history, the more I am convinced that it ought not to be made the subject of general study, and diffused through all classes of society. All citizens ought to be instructed in the arts of reading, writing, arithmetic, and design Led can conceive why they ought likewise to be taught mathematics, which calculate the properties of bodies-geometry, which measures them experimental philosophy, which renders their qualities obvious elementary medicine, which teaches us to regulate our own machine, and to preserve our health-geography, which makes usl acquainted with the point of the universe on which we are placed, or in which west must exist. All these kinds of instructions are rendered necessary by the ordinary/ and practical events, common to every period of life and every condition in society. The utility of those studies is incontestible, because the subjects of them are constantly present to man, and constantly acting upon him. He cannot withdraw himself from their laws by his will, nor elude tomich d

elude their power by reasoning or sophism. The fact is present. It is under his finger. Hentouchest it, vand its existence cannot be disputed. But in history, in that fantastic picture of vanished events, the shadows of which only remain, what is the necessity of studying those fleeting forms which have perished, and which never will live again? Of what importance is it to the labourer or the artizan, the tradesman or the merchant, that there has existed an Alexander, an Attila, a Tamerlane, an empire of Assyria, a kingdom of Bactriana, a Republic of Carthage, of Sparta, or of Rome? Will it add to his knowledge any thing necessary for his conduct, or useful for his happiness? Would he be less comfortable or less happy though he knew not that there had existed great philosophers, or even great legislators, called Pythagoras, Socrates, Zoroaster, Confucius, Mahomet? The men are gone, their maxims remain; but it is the maxims which are of importance to us, and on them we ought to form our opinion

opinion, without regarding the mould which produced them, and which nature herself has, doubtless for our instruction, broken. She has not however destroyed the models; and if the maxims interest, they may be confronted with natural facts. Their similarity or discordance will decide the question of error or truth.

I must repeat that I cannot conceive the necessity of studying to acquire a knowledge of facts which no longer exist; and I perceive much inconvenience in making that study and universal and ordinary occupation: "One"obvious in convenience is, the employing so much time and wasting so much attention which might be much better applied to the useful sciences. The difficulty of ascertaining the truth or falsehood of historical statements is another inconvenience, which affords an inlet to all the chicanery of argumentation. By this habit men are led to substitute for the palpable demonstration of the senses, the vague sentiments of private might

private opinion and persuasion. Such are always the reasons of those who never reason at all, and which, being applied to error as well as truth, are only the expression of that self-love which is liable to exasperation on the smallest contradiction, and to engender the spirit of party, enthusiasm, and fanaticism.

There is still another inconvenience in history, which is, that it is only useful in results, the elements of which are so complicated, so uncertain, and so much calculated to mislead, that there can never be an absolute confidence or certainty of avoiding error. I persist, therefore, in regarding history, not as a science, because, in my opinion, that title is only applicable to the demonstrative branches of knowledge, such as mathematics, mechanics, geography, &c. but as a systematic art of calculating probabilities. In this it resembles the art of medicine; for though the elements which compose the human body have fixed properties; and

their

their combinations a determined and unit form operation, yet, as these combinations are numerous and variable, and as they are only known by their effects, the art of healing, is involved in a state of doubt and conjecture, which constitutes its difficulty, and places it above the sphere of ordinary acquirements. It is the same with respect to history. Though it be certain that particular occurrences have produced particular events for consequents quences, yet, as the positive state of these facts, as their relations and re-actions are not determined or known, there always exists the possibility of error. This renders their application and comparison to other occurrences a delicate operation, which requires minds much exercised in that kind, of study, and endowed with great acuteness of penetration. It is true, that under this last consideration I particularly allude to the political utility. of history, and L confess that, in my opinh nion, that utility is its proper and only object, Private morals at the wimprove of ment

begel

ment of the arts and sciences, appear to me only accessary utilities. The principal object, the fundamental art, is the application of history to government, to legislation, and to all the political economy of societies. I would therefore willingly denominate history the physiological science of government, since by a comparison of the past it teaches us to know the present and future state of political bodies," the symptoms of their disorders, the indicar tions of their health, the diagnostics of their agitations and of their crises, and," finally, the remedies which, in such cases, I may be applied. , for the secret Francisco de la la materialia

the difficulties we have described, that the study of history was, among the and cients, confined to men who were destined for public employments. Indeed, the best historiaus of antiquity, as well as of modern times, have been what are called statesmen; and in China, an empire famed for many wise institutions, a special col-

dege of historians has nexisted for lages. The Chinese have not unreasonably supposed, that the business of collecting and. transmitting the facts which constitute the life of a government and a nation; ought not to be abandoned to hazard not to the caprice of individuals. It has appeared to them, that the composition of history would form a magistracy which might exercise the most powerful influence on the conduct of nations and governments. They have, therefore, been desirous that men, selected for their knows ledge and their virtues, should be charged with the task of collecting the events of each reign, and that, without communicating with each other, they should deposit their notes or memorandunis into scaled boxes, which are not opened until the death of the prince or the expiration of his dynasty. I shall not here investigate the advantages of this institution! "It is sufficient for me to indicate its correspond ence with the elevated idea I have formed vierings for as all to sente & its worf

eggers.

fire a france must of an anticomposition of a substantial france must be an anticomposition of a substantial france and the subst

Two distinguished authors have written on the subject of historical composition. The first is Lucian, born at Samosata, inthe reign of Trajan, whose treatise is divided into criticism and precept an Inthe first part he ridicules, with that lively wit which is peculiar to himself, the bad taste of a multitude of historians, to whom the war of Marcus Aurelius against the Parthians: gave birth, and who perished, as he says, like a swarm of butterflies after a storm: Among the faults with which he reproaches them, he particularly notices their amplification of style, affectation of learned words, and superfluity of epithets, as well as, by a natural consequence of so corrupt a taste, falling into the opposite extremes of trivial expression, low and disgusting details, intermixed with daring falsehoods and base flattery. In short, the epidemical corruption with which the Roman writers of the second century

were rattacked, was distinguished by the same symptoms as that of which inodern Europe has exhibited numberless examples among every people with the same in old missinguished in the same as a same as the same beautiful.

In the second part, Lucian describes the qualities and the duties of a good historian : he would have him endowed with sagacity; capable of thinking justly, and of disclosing his thoughts; experienced in politics and in war; free from fear and ambition, and alike inaccessible to the seductions or the menaces of Power andisposed to declare the truth, without diffidence, and without acrimony; just with out severity; prepared to censure, but disdaining to calumniate; and (neither int fluenced by a party nor a national spirit. Invalword, he would have him a deitizen of the world, subject to no master, tobey ing no law, regardless of the opinions of his own times, and looking only for the esteem of the wise and the suffrage of posterity. And a meisus bacons on the given to his work alie form et a unalogue,

As to the style of history, I Lucian res commends that it should be easy; pure; clear, and suited to the subject; habitually simple in narrative, but becoming noble; dignified, and almost poetic, according to the scenes it pourtrays; seldomoratorical, and never declamatory. The reflexions ought to be short, the materials well dist tributed, and the evidence well scrutinized: In a word, the mind of the historian, as he observes, should be a faithful mirror; reflecting facts without distorting them. If he state a marvellous occurrence, he should simply describe it without affirmation or denial, that he may not be responsible for its truth or falsehood sihe ought to have no object but truth, no motive but the desire of being useful, and no recompence in expectation, but the approx bation of those who are the best judges of his labours. Such is the substance of the Treatise of Lucian of Low and the esiti i the wise and the suffice o.

The second author is Mably, who has given to his work the form of a dialogue,

We are at first somewhat surprised to find three Greeks discussing the insurrection of the Americans against the Finglish. Lucian would have laughed at this incongruity, but the severe Mably did not understand raillery. In the first conversation, he treats of the different kinds of history, and first of universal history and its preliminary study. In the second, he treats of particular histories, of their object, and makes some observations common to all the kinds.

on opening the first conversation, we find that it is necessary to be born a historian We are astonished to discover such a maxim in a brother of Condillac; but Condillac, gentle and amiable, analized; Mably, rigid and inflexible, judged and decided. He afterwards proposes with more reason, that his disciples should study politics, of which he points out two kinds: One founded on these laws which nature has established for procuring happiness

happiness to men, that is to say, whatever is really a law of insture or The others the work of men, or those variable and cons ventional laws, which are the offspring of passion, of injustice, and of forceir and from which there result only factitious good, and much certain evil: The first affords the historian sound ideas of justice, of the relations of ment, and of the means of rendering them happy. The second instructs him in the habitual progress of human affairs. Heylearns to calculate their movements, to foresee their effects; and to avoid their disasters. In those, and some other precepts, Mably is more copious and more instructive than Lucian : Butit is to be regretted that the former has neist ther imitated the order, the perspicuity, nor the gaiety of the latter. The whole of Mably's work breathesta sombre and acrimonious morosity: He respects no modern author: he thinks perfection is only to be found among the ancients whom he passionately admires; but notwithstanding this attachment, the prefers Grotius 4,000,000

Grotius to Tacitus. Tacitus, he says, has deduced no lesson of utility from the reign of Tiberius. His painting is strong, but his instruction feeble: his manner of describing the conduct of the Romans towards the nations called barbarous, affords just grounds to doubt the soundness. of his philosophy. According to Mahly, no history claims admiration except that of Titus Livy, a book which just criticism might very properly denominate a romance: of this he was aware, and therefore wished that a number of passages in this favourite author which displeased him should be expunged. He loves those have rangues which the characters in history never made. He praises Bossuet for presenting to his readers a great dramatic picture, and he grossly abuses Voltaire for his assertion that history is but a probable romance, only good when it can be rendered suseful. a It cannot be dissembled that the diffuse and redundant work of Mably, composed with no attention to style or method, is unworthy of the author 17. Tag .

author of the observations on the History of France. It does not possess that dies dactic brevity which lought to have been its principal merit, hand dwhich mindeed Lucian also wants. The one hundred and eighty pages of Mably may be easily reduced to twenty good pages of precepts. The reader would then save eight-ninths of his time, and be relieved from the pain which o the author's splenetic satire excites. Let us not, however, accuse him with that as a ! crime, since it formed his torment: though ? men are not borne historians, they are perhaps borne good humoured or morose and unfortunately the culture of letters? a sedentary life, and laborious studies, are calculated to thicken the bile, to produce obstructions, and to disorder the stomach. which is always the source either of gaiety or, chagrin. # Literary men are condemned when they ought to be pitied. They are reproached for passions, which form perhaps the talents for which they are admired. They have but one fault, that of labouring more for the benefit of others; than

than for themselves. Hitherto they have too much neglected the physical know? ledge of their own bodies, of that animated machine by which they live. They have neglected the laws of physiology and regimen, which are the fundamental sciences of the affections. This study would be peculiarly proper to the writers of personal history, and would give to then a kind of utility as new as important. If an observer, attorice a moralist and physic siologist, studied the relations which exist between the dispositions of his body and the temper of his mind-if he examined with care on what days and at what hours he possessed uncommon activity or languor of thought, hold and animated, or cold and feeble sentiments, he would percuive that those ordinary and periodical phases of the mind correspond with the equally common and periodical phases of the body with slow or easy digestions with different kinds of aliments, whether gentle oracrid, stimulating or sedative, of which certain liquors, such as wine and coffee si

11771

are striking examples with interrupted or, precipitated perspiration. In a word, he would be convinced that the movement, well or ill regulated, of the corporeal machine, is the powerful regulator of the movement of the thinking organ; that, consequently, what is called a vice of the mind or character, is frequently only a defect of the bodily temperament or functions which requires only a good regimen to be corrected; From such a study) well conducted there would result this utility, that, discovering the cause of many virthes and many vices in our physical habits, we should be furnished with valuable rules of conduct applicable to different temperaments, and we should thus be taught to cherish a spirit of indulgence, which would make us regard vien usually called peevish and Intolerant, only as diseased or ill-constituted, who, like other valetudinarians, ought to bet sent to mineral waters or bathing quarters in the growth of the of I long on it conduct of governare mitriking destaughte of vithounterryftes.

or grecipitated where pirition on like a spart

" dunt Rhower the into technology - Char-Continuation of the same subject. Four methods of composing History -1. According to the order of time, as in Annals and Chronicles—2. By adopting a dramatic or systematic arrangement. 3. By treating the different subjects separately 4. By an analytic or philoson phic arrangement. HIllustration of these different methods, Superiority of the last Its connection with politics and legislation .- It admits none but ascertained facts, and is only suitable to modern times. - Facts recorded of uncient times, can never possess a higher evidence than that of probability-Necessity on this account of recomposing ancient History.—Plan of a literary society for collecting ancient documents in every part of Europe Prejudices destroyed by disclosing their origin. - Influence of History on the conduct of governments. Mari I I.L.

ments, and the fate of nations. Effects of the Jewish writings on Europe. Enfects of the introduction of the Greek briand Romandworks into education. Contaction of the Spiritual and Romandworks into education.

Lucian has treated the qualities necessary for a historian, and the style proper for history! Malby has added some observations on the preparatory and auxiliary knowledge which this kind of composition requires; of that knowledge he confines almost exclusively to the laws of nations, and personal rights whether natural of factitious and conventional, which formed his favourite and particular study. The subject appears by no means exhadisted, and I shall add to the precepts of those anthors some hints on the art of collecting and recording historical facts, where where the second in the contract of the co

It appears to me, that there are four methods of treating history. The first, in which the order of time is followed, I

G 3

call

call the didactic or chronological method. The second, which is founded on the connection or co-relation of facts. I call the dramatic or systematic method. The third is arranged in the order of the different subjects. The fourth consists in an analytic exposition of the whole physical and moral system of a people. This I call the tanalytic or philosophic method. I shall explain myself farther.

The method in the order of time is a collection and classification of events according to their dates. The style is that of pure and simple narrative, intermixed with few or no reflections. Those who scall every thing that is rude and artless natural, may give that name to this method; but those who never fail to discower the hand of nature in every production, with the sole difference of more or less skill and combination, will denominate this method the most simple, the least complicated, and requiring the least degree of study and talent in the composition:

-position? thus we find that dundersthe of history has always been the first in every nation. But even in this diodest shape it has sometimes risen too a very high degree of merit, when writers, like Tacitus in his Annals, and Thucydides in his Peloponnesian War, have known how to select interesting facts, and to add to the fidelity of the picture all the bold and brilliant colouring that expression can give. On the contrary, when authors without any mark of taste present their readers with a confused mass of facts when all their labour is reduced to dull insipid narratives of the reigns and deaths of princes, or dry unanimated details of battles, plagues and famines, las is the case with almost all the historians of ancient and modern Asia, as well as those of the middle ages of Europe; then, destitute of interest, and barren in instruction, it must be confessed that this kind of composition deserves all that contempt which is commonly bestowed on books

a tryl of 4 half to bearing

bearing the title of chronicles. Such compositions are only rude draughts, without
any embeltishment; and though the materials may be well chosen and complete,
they form only the first step towards the
other kinds of history.

The second method, which I call dra Satic for systematic, consists in render all episodes or accessary narrations sul servient to the main story, and in connecting and blending all the collateral transactions with the principal event. We have a characteristic example of this me-Athod in the History of Herodotus; the basis of which is the War of the Persia and Greeks; and the author has so arranged the incidents, that, commencing with the origin of both nations, he traces the gradual rise of the power of each through all the ramifications that contributed to its formation, as a geographer follows to their sources all the streams that lose themselves in a principal river. By a series of incidents skilfully introeckelly, carried of the events. duced. bearing the title of chronicles, Such comquainted with the history of the Lydians, the Medes, the Babylonians subdued by Cyrus; then the Egyptians conquered by Cambyses; next the Scythians attacked by Darius; then the Indians; and in treating their history, he takes a general' view of the extremities of the world as known at that time of He returns at last to his leading subject; terminates it with the triuniph of the little Greek states over the immense multitudes of Xerxes, when they fought at Salamina and Thermopyla, This is the capital event which forms the catastrophe of the work. In this method, the author disposes of his materials as he pleases, and his success entirely depends upon his art and talent in connecting; suspending, and combining the principal events of his story, so as to produce an unity, or correspondence of all the parts with the whole. I have, therefore, odist tinguished this method by the tenh twit tematic : but when the historian confines himself to the development of one event,

which terminates a series, and is the solution of all that precede it, the gradual increase of interest which is thus excited gives to the work a dramatic character. This is the kind of history which is best adapted to conspiracies, where every thing is explained, and terminated at a final point.

Those different and varied advantages of freedom in the plant boldness in the execution, beauty in the detail, and interest in the result, have procured for this method the decided preference of the greater number of writers, particularly in modern times. It is, however, ito be regretted, that the opportunity which is thus presented for the forming of hypotheses, and the too great exercise of the imagiviation, renders this kind of history very diable to error We have brilliant examples rof this kind of composition in VertotispiRevolutions of Portugal; Sweden, Jand Romes and in an infinite number of sother histories far less ably written and to and probability worth constitute the art

In the third method the materials are classed and arranged in the order of different subjects feach of whiches separatealy)treated alt consists in tracing any particular art or science from its origin or from a given period, and considering it singly throughout the whole of its progress. Such is the task which Goguet has proposed to himself him his work cintituled The Origin of Laws, of Arts, and of. Sciences. 2. No choice of a subject could be more philosophic, but unfortunately mone could have been less philosophically managed. Before recurring to the delige of Noah for the origin of laws, arts and sciences, and every social institution, it would have been well to have examined -whether such a basis did not overthrow the whole edifice of history whether by adopting primitive facts contradictory to experience and probability, and inconsist ent with the best monuments of antiquity, we do not deprive foll selves of the right of resorting to those hales of experience and probability which constitute the art

G 6

of criticising and analysing facts. 11111 ought to be proved, that the book of Ge? nesis is not the compilation of an unf known hand, made after the return of the Jews from their captivity, and in which their national chronicles are interspersed with a cosmogony purely Chaldean, simil lar to that described by Berosus a mys thology of the same mature as the mythologics of all other nations, in which astronomical facts disguised are taken for political or physical facts, and in which the pretended history of the earth is only the history of the calendar. But were even this negative proved, it would still be fi-I diculous to assume for a text the Hebrew period from the deluge to Jacob, while it is entirely filled up with facts of Egyptian," Syriand Chaldean, Greek, Indiang and Chinese origin. Indeed, were those facts well analysed and compared, they would perhaps, demonstrate, that the to sacred! woods, the high places planted with the oaks of Mamre, the human sacrifices, of which Isaac nearly became the victim, Excellent and

and the idols of the women of Jacob, were so many customs of the Druidic and Tare tar worship, which at that time extended from the pillars of Hercules to the Serismi a worship, which is precisely the system of, Buddism, and ancient and modern Lamism, the seat of which was then at Thibety among the Bramius, celebrated from all antiquity as the fathers of Asiatic theolog gy are Those antiquities care profoundly elucidated in a work of the kind we are now considering. I allude to the History of ancient Astronomy, by Bailly, whose virtues and talents have received a recompence from the Revolution, which will not be considered as one of the slightest stains of that sanguinary period. I shall like wise mention, as thistories, arranged acti cording to othe order of the subjects? Dr., Henry's History of England Dr. Robertson's Disquisitions concerning the Trade of India, the History of the Fire nances of France by Forbonnais, the His-w tory of Fatalism by Pluquet, who, with his Dictionary of Heresies, has prepared excellent

excellent materials for another history of the same kind, the History of Fanaticism. No subject is inore capable of eminently uniting history with philosophy. Eanaticisin embraces a part of the theory of the sensations, of the judgment, of the conviction, and of the persuasion common to error and to truth-of that double disposition of the mind, which, sometimes passive and credulous, receives the yoke as a slave, and, sometimes active and zealous, imposes it as a tyrant. Such a history would also afford the opportunity of considering, in all nations, that terrible malady of the mind, which, influenced sometimes by persons, sometimes by opinions, and assuming alternately religious, political, and moral denominations, is still the same both with respect to its nature and its consequences, which are, the fury of civil discord, the carnage of intestine or foreign wars, the dissolution of social order by the spirit of faction, and the overthrow of empires by the delirium of ignorance and presumpracidadi beninsali kanasasha wark, basinof

ñ99d

to Theifourth other is, the analytic or philosophic inethod, is the same as the last with respect to the management of the subject abut it differs in this, if that, instead of treating a single art, science, br passion, &c. it embraces a political body in alloits parts ab Considering nations as individuals, it of ollows them through all the duration of their physical and moral existence, with this characteristic circumstance, wthat it first restablishes in otheir order all the facts relative to that existencerifor the purpose of afterwards deklucing from their reciprocal action the causes and effects of the rise, the grandeur, and the decline of that kind of moral combination called a political state or governamentura/Thus this kind of history may be regarded as the biography of annation, and the physiological study of the laws, of the growth and decay of itsusocial the dissolution of social order by the .ybod of faction, and the overthrow of rollices

I cannot point out any model of this method, because I know no work that has been

been conducted on the plan I have in view. It is a new species, of which I have only formed a complete idea within these few years. Obliged to adopt a method for drawing up my travels in Syria, I was led, as if were by instinct, to describe, in the first place, the physical state of the country, and to indicate all the circumstances of its soil and climate, so different from ours, and without which it would have been impossible to understand a number of its laws and customs. On this basis, as on the ground-work of a picture, is ranged the population, the different kinds of which I had to consider, their origin to trace, their distribution to follow: This distribution conducted me to the political state of the country, considered with respect to the form of the government, the order of administration, the source of the laws, their instruments and means of execution Arrived at the articles of manners, character, religious and civil opinions, served that there existed on the same soil as many contrasts of sect with sect, and

of race with race, as points of common resemblance between them? The problem became more complicated; and the more II investigated it, the mover Davas con--vinced of its extent and profundity. The authority of Montesquieu appeared to re-Solve it by his general rule of climate, which would constantly associate heat with indolence and servility on one part, and cold with energy and liberty on andther. It But the authority of Montesquieu was contradicted by a multitude of past facts, and by existing facts, which exhibiteditonegrunder the same sky,o and in a space of less than four degrees, three distinct and opposite characters. I therefore resisted the empire of a great name; and I resisted it the more readily in consequence of having already discovered a palpable error in Buffon, with respect to the pretended exhaustation of a soil, in which I observed all the fertility it ever was capable of possessing. With regard to Montesquieu; I was convinced that he 2 . 141

had only adopted with alterations, an opimion which some meient philosophers, and particularly Hippocrates, maintained in a sense much more just and procise. II know the celebrated treatise of that physician on air, soil, and water, i I have proved the truth of his assertions with regard to the influence which those three elements exercise on the constitution and temperament all perceived that a portion of the physical and moral habits of the people whom I studied, were indicated by the state of their soil, whether arid or marshy, elevels or mountainous, barren or fertile, and by the quantity and quality of their aliments. I conceived that all those circumstances entered as so many data into -the solution of my problem, and from that time I have constantly had in view the consideration of the following question What influence over the manners and the character of a people may be ascribed to the physical state, of their soil, consiadered under all the circumstances, of heat bas churchie, a necessary elemendiad

and cold, dryness and hunidity, downess and clevition, iffertility and abarrenness, doined to the quality of its productions?

If this be the sense in which Montes quieu understood the word climate, he should have said so, and then there would have been no dispute on the subject. Every day new facts accumulate, which demonstrate that the above circumstances powerfully and variously modify the physical and moral constitution of nations. and produce contrasts in neighbouring, and resemblances in distant countries that a people who migrate preserve for a long time habits which are discordant with their new residence, because those habits proceed from an obstinate mechanism of organization—that, finally, even in the same country, and under the same climate, the disposition and temperament of the inhabitants vary according to their customs, exercises, regimen, and aliments. The knowledge of those physical days form, therefore, a necessary element in the

the science of governing and of organizing a social body in conformity with the movement of nature, Pulitical legislation, properly understood is only the application of the laws of nature. Factitious and conventional laws ought, there fore, to be only the expression of physical and natural laws, and not the expression of the capticious will of an individual ta partylator revenya dration all as will which, though pronounced by the great majority of all mankind inight still be erroneous!" but as in researches of this kindpand in a science which is yet in its infine with of importance to badmitt nothing that Pait takes of the spirit of system dishall state the mode of proceeding which appears to me the best calculated to lead to truth. ... market of englishment intime the boings n

and country, it is necessary in the first place; too describe the climate loand by climated mean the general state of the weather the latitude and the temperature during the different seasons. The and

unal system of the winds, and the dry or humid cold or hot qualities that distinguish them, according to the different points from which they blow, with their duration mand, periodical returns the quantity of water that falls yearly the storms, the mists, and the hurricanes. Passing next to the physical constitutions of the soil, it is necessary to point out the aspect and configuration of the ground, as to plains and mountains to indicate where the country is woody, and where it, is open in what places the soil is arid, and in what aqueous, and whether the waters consist of marshes, rivers, or lakes -to determine the general elevation, and partial risings above the level of the sea, as well as the declivities of large masses of earth towards different points of the horizon to examine the nature of the different beds and strata which conipose the soil, distinguishing its qualities, Ju whether, argillaceous, calcareous, sandy, rocky, loamy, or vegetable; its banks of schistus, its granites, marbles, mines, volinfere f canoes.

canoes, salts, and metallic springs. Then ought to the described its wegetable and animal productions, usuch las the trees, plants, grains, and fruits of every kind with birds, quadrupeds, fishes, and reptiles III word, nothing that belongs to the play be scall state of the country ought to the limited to the point out the the sount out the

This ground work once laid, the next object of consideration is the human species 19 Aw lew ought first to be taken of the general temperament of the inhabit tants; next their local modifications, the kind and quantity of their alinents, and the most striking of their moral and physical qualities then, embracing the mass of population in a political point of view, we should consider it as distributed in to in habitants" of the woombiy and of othe towns; dandwinto Tabourers, fartizans, meros chants, soldiers, and agents of the governed ment. Frially, we should proceed to the developement off the general system of the government, the nature of its power, canocs, and

and the mode of exercising it in the diff ferent branches of the formation and execution of laws, administration of pour lice and of justice, public instruction, revenue and expenditure, foreign relations, military and naval forces, balance of commerce, and every part of political?
economy:

Ling length; alors on an analysis of commerce of c

From such a picture of well ascertained facts there would, in the first place, results alli the data necessary for acquiring and accurate knowledge of the moral and bolitical constitution of a mation; while the action and reaction of the several parts on each other would present an interesting subject for reflection, and exhibit conbinations highly useful in the profound theory of legislation and government? This of the control of the c

Pictures of this kind would be peculiarly instructive, were they the represent ations of the state of different and dissimilar nations in The contrast in the results would serve the better to explain the COREIGN power

power of physical facts operating as causes. There would then remain only? one operation, that of comparing the piczo tures the same people present at different periods, which would exhibit the successive action and genealogical order the moral and physical facts, have followed, and enable us to deduce therefrom laws of combination and rules of rational probability. Indeed, when we study the ancient and modern histories we now possess we readily perceive in the progress, or, if I may so call it, the life of political bodies, a mechanism which indicates the existence of more general and uniform laws than common observation has been o able to discover. This remark is not suggested by the comparison so often made of the duration of political societies to the lives of individuals, according to which all the phases of youth, maturity, and old age, are to be found in the rise, splendor, and decay of empires. This comparison, which is in every respect vicious, has a very pernicious tendency, since it induces us to consider

. TOWOR

consider the dissolution of political bodies as an unavoidable necessity, whatever may be the nature of their organization. That dissolution, however, is only the effect of a radical error in the system of legislation, which has hitherto been only directed to one of these objects, to create, to maintain, or to overthrow that is to say, it has conly embraced one of the three periods of which the existence of every thing is composed. I To determine the concomitant phenomena of each of those three periods, in order to form a general theory; embracing all the cases of a political body in its different phases of vigour or plenitude, of weakness or vacuity, and describing the different kinds of regimen suitable to an overflow or deficiency of population, would be a science equally new and important. - Such ought to be the object of history: but it must be confessed that this object cannot be well accomplished, except with respect to existing nations and in modern times, which afford the means of collecting all analo-1 41: 4 19 H gous

gions facts it il slave therefore more than duce thought that travels, wildertaken for this gobject (would be the hicans of procuring excellent materials for history; and not modern distory only but also that of ancientatimes; They would serve to colf lecti and ascertain an multitude of scattered facts; which are so many living mondments of antiquity, These monuments too are much more numerous than is generally imagined : Besides the ruins, inscriptions, medals, and even manuscripts which are frequently discovered wel have it still in our power to examine customs; manners, religions, and particularly danguage, the construction nof which isua complete thistory of vevery people; landidits associations land fanalogies, atheroluca of Priadre in the dark labyrinth of the soriany thing more than a smakinitain tolding branch of the history of munkinds

Menchave been too eager in the compilation of universal histories in Before such visty edifides were erected; nit would have been properto have prepared all the details, and to have elucidated the different parts of which they, were; to dibe geomposed. There should have been procured a good complete distory to be each mixtion, you at teastfall the fragments we possess should have been collected and putning order, that rational conclusions might have been drawn part it your or usual that store is a summanous say; it as a part of the store

the Greeks and Romans: we have servilely followed a narrow and exclusive method, which refers every thing to the system of an insignificant people of Asia unknown in antiquity; or to the system of Herodotus, the circumscribed limits of which exhibit only Egypt, Greece, and Italy, as if that small space contained the universe, or as if the distory of those nations were any thing more than a small and solitary branch of the history of mankind.

Mon drye is a son synt in the com-

we have ventured to step out of this path; aid the horizon is already so much en-

larged, that the most distant boundary of our classical histories presents only the last term of a career of anterior time, in which Thebes, which preceded all the kingdoms of Egypt, had flourished and fallen in which Asia had witnessed the dissolution of Bactria Tibet, and several Indian states then renowned for their antiquity in which hordes of Scythians had migrated from the sources of the Ganges and the Sampou to Great Britain and Danemark in which the religious systems of Bramism, Lamism, and Buddism, which is still more ancient, prevailed inharword, in which there had occurred all the various events of a period which exhibits the ancient Continent, from the extremity of Spain to the confines of Taretary, covered with one forest, and peopled with the same species of wandering savage tribes, under the different names of Celtes, Germans, Cimbri, Scythians, and Massagetes. After following the English writers in those profound researches, which have made us acquainted with the sacred books

of

of the Indians, the Vedans, the Pourans, and the Chastrans after stull ving the dno tignities of Tibet and Tartary with Georg 21. Pallas, and Strahlembergi and those of Germany and Scandinavial with Hornius Elichanan, Iablonsky, Marcowe Gebhard, and thre, it will be acknowledged that we are now only opening the mine of ancient history, and that in less than a century all our Græco-Roman compilations, all the pretended Universal Histories of Rollin; Bossuet, Fleury, &c. are books which must be re-cast. " Even the reflections of those works will be found of no value, since the facts on which they are founded are either totally false or mis-stated. Foreseeing this revolution, which already begins to be felt, I have sometimes reflected on the means best calculated to direct its operation. It shall disclose my ideas von this subject with confidence, because a truer picture of antiquity would have the moral utility of removing a multiplicity of prejudices, the source of which is only considered sacred because it is unknown;

and

room of yillithe haiting the self as which the self but the people of revery to alpose the self but the self of the self but the self of self but the self of the

It is obvious that a work of this kind cannot be executed by one individual, and that it requires the united exertions of a number of fellow-labourers. There is wanting a numerous society, which divided into sections, would methodically follow every branch of one identical plan of research. I see the elements of othis society in the different academies quintinrope, which, whether by their influence as "public bodies, or by the emulation they liave created, have been, whatever may be advanced to the contrary, the principal causes of the progress of instruction and science. Each of those academies, acting as a section of the great historico-philosophic society, might direct its inquiries to the history and the monuments of its own eghirgir. country,

country, in the same manner as the learned men of Petersburgh have done, with respect to Russia and Tartary, the English Society of Calcutta for India. China, and Tibet; and the Society of learned Germans for ancient Germania and Sarmatia. To those recent labours we are already indebted for works which, in descending to posterity, will do honour to the individuals who executed them, and the governments which favoured and encouraged them.

the researches would be afforced to seve principal sections. The first, under the anames of the Celtic, would have for the subject of its investigation all the dlanguages; and all the nations, which with characters of affinity rendered daily pione apparent, appear to have been spread over Grad, Great Britain, Italy, and all Germany, as fur as the Descrits of Grabbings and Sarmatia. To this branch should be attached the study of the Ersenthe Welch, and the

11 4

language

language of Brittany; the ancient Gerzeman, which is still preserved in the mosp dern German; and the Dutch and then English, which proceeds from the Gothicisthe dialects of which extended from Scanfoldinavia to Thrace and the Grecian contists nent.

The Literati of Sweden and Germany have, within these thirty years, proved, that the Aborigines of Europe and of Greece consisted of one race of savages, devoted to a pastoral, hunting, or wander ing life, and speaking a language radically the same. It becomes daily more and more evident, that the Gauls or Ccltw (Keltæ) spoke originally one language, which in Germany was called the Teutonic, in the more northern parts of Europe the Gothic, in Thrace the Scythic, and in Greece and Italy the Pelasgic. Those famous Pelasgi, from whom the Greeks and Romans sprung, were real Scythians, and the ancestors of the Thra-us cians, who, as Herodotus insinuates, preserved

served the Scythian idiom, and were consequently a Getic or Gothic race; for, by the names Gette, Gotthi, and Scythæ, the An cients meant the same kind of people. Th identity, it is true, is not apparent to us in the word Scyth; but it was sufficiently of vious to those who pronounced it s-kouth, a word composed of the article s, which in the Gothic is equivalent to our article the, and kouth, that is to say, Goth or Gaeth, which, in a number of ancient and modern dialects, signifies a warrior or man of courage*, and by transition, a wealthy, generous, or good man't. The warrior, who was brave and powerful, would naturally be styled rich, generous, and good, in contradistinction to the evils which aftended a state of poverty and weakness.

to, size a conflict out, but the chief the

The gonz of the Orientals, in which the sound of the gresembles the pronunciation of our was a guttural.

The similarity between the words good in English, gut hi German, and gover, is worthy of remark,

The masogothic glossary of Doctor Live, published at Upsal in 1769, contains in teresting details on this subject, to which the remarks of Gaterer, and Schozer have added some additional information. They have proved, that the Greek has a striking affinity to the ancient Gothic, both with respect to the words and the syntax. Thus, the enthusiastic admirers of the Greeks are exposed to the alternative of paying a part of their devotion to the Thracians and Scythians, or of withdrawsing it from their favourites, upon discovering them to be the brethren of the Wandals and Ostrogoths and according the site off

This consanguinity forms a point of contact, whence a second division arises. This division, which I call the Hellenistic Section, embraces the Greek and Latin languages, which have for descending branches all the idioms of the south of Modern Europe, the Bortugueze, Spanish, French, and Italian, and all the terms of science

2 113

science used by the nations in the north of Europe; among whom, Jass well as the people of the south, those two languages are intermixed with the ancient Gothic: Their ascending branches are a mixture of the Pelasgie idiom, with the Phienician, Egyptian, Dydian and Jonic words fintroduced by the Asiatic colonies, the first settlements of which have given rise to the fabulous stories of Danaus and Cadmus. It appears that the people of those colonies were to Greece and Italy, what the European emigrants have been to Asia and America; that they carried with them the arts and sciences of polished Asia, and became a stock of population which sometimes identified itself with the original inhabitants, and sometimes extirpated or absorbed them. Their migration may be traced by the alphabet " During the Trojan war, the number of the Greek letters was increased by three or four Lydian or Trojan characters cone of which is still to be found in Trench and Italiandalahala asine alone if

The information necessary to elucidate the labours of this section should be derived from a third, which, under the name of the Phænician, ought to embrace the study of the following idioms-The ancient Hebrew, or the Samaritan; the Hebrew of the second age, or the Chaldean; the Hebrew of the third age, or the Syriac; the more modern dialects of the Coptic and Egyptian, which are a mixture of the Greek with the old Egyptian; the Arabics and Ethiopic, which differ only in the character. To this section it would belong to make researches respecting Carthage, and its colonies in Spain, Sicily and Africa. Recent researches have discovered some remarkable traces of those settlements in the kingdoms of Fez and Morocco. Hollton would inform us to what branch we should refer the singular idiom of the Biscayens, which appears to have been at one time spoken over all Spain, and which has no analogy with the Celtic. It would explain to us the origin of the language of the inhabitants of Mount Atlas, called Berberes :

WOI

Berberes; a language which resembles none with which we are at present acquainted but I may here remark, that ancient dialects have in general been best preserved in mountainous countries. have in my possession a Berbere Vocabulary, but I have not yet had time to examine it sufficiently: I have merely observed a frequent use of the guttural r, which is the Gamma of the Greeks, the Gain of the Arabs, and which is found through out all the south of Asia. Timagine that this dialect is the ancient Numidian. This section would also, by the means of the Arabic, come in contact with several dialects of India and Africa, and with the Persian and modern Turkish, the basis of which is to be found in the Tartar and ancient Scythian languages. RIVER SECTION TO THE WAY OF THE

On this basis there should be formed a fourth section, destined to examine the numerous dialects which have branches of analogy from China to England. It might, perhaps, be able to explain to us how

how it happens that the Anglo Saxon has the same syntax as the modern Persian which is founded ion the language of the ancient Parthians, who were at Scythian people grand that amumber of words in the most scommon use are lalike in both idioms to It would explain storus who win Sweden and Denmark there is a wast number of geographical names which are also found among the Moguls, and throughout India why the Tartar of the Crimea quoted by Busbeck ambassador from the Emperor to Soliman II: resembles thei Missogothic of Ulphilas; that is too say, why andialect: of the Mogul tribes of Tchinguizkan is similar to a dialect of the ancient Scythiangor Gothic; of which Ishavesalteady spoken Hand and anotherent to conquests to rapidity and easily made 30 For this section there would be reserved the solution of many interesting problems, uponsthe first data of which we diaveghis therto only touched. In considering those analogiest of different languages ; ain collecting the similitudes which exist in the adt ! usages,

susages, the joustoms, wither manners, withe rites; and reven in the physical constitution tion of nations win considering that the Cimbri, the Teutones, the Germans, the Saxons, the Danes and the Swedes are all distinguished by the same characters of physiognomy as that race formerly called Massagetes or Great Getes, and in modern times Elutes and Mangols, that is to say white men from the west; in considering that the people of those countries are high in stature, and have white skins, blue eyes, and fair hair we are convinced that the first cause of this similarity of constitution, is a similarity of climate, food, and mode of life: but we must also admit that the other analogies are the consequences of migrations operated by those wars and conquests so rapidily and easily made among pastoral nations. It would be of importance to know the details of those migrations and conquests, and to learn at what periodathata terrible and powerful horde of Azes spread themselves to the extremities of the north whither they bore the , II.,

the name of the frightful religion of Woden; systematic ideas would, perhaps, lin-d: duce us to fix this epoch at the time when Mithridates, flying from Pompey, drove before him the people who inhabited the banks of the Euxine sea, who in their turn pushed forward the Sarmatians anbuttiwest have solid reasons for placing it at lan earlier date, and particularly for refusing to acknowledge-the existence of Oding or it Wodin, as the leader of that invasion. This pretended chieftan is the divinity worshipped in other countries under the different names of Budd, Bedda, Butta, m Fot, and Taut, that is, Mercury; which is evident from the name Mercury being given in the south to the same day of the week that in some parts cof the north of Europe is called Wensdag, Wodendag, and Wednesday, that is, Woden's day; and which on the one part connects this system with that of the Druids, who were the wors shippers of Teutates ; and consthe other part with that of the Ghetesp who were the adorers of Zalmanis, now the hama of Tibet Ball IF

Tibet and Tartary while her we consider that Tiber Bud-Tan (the land of Budd) is the ancient country of the Brahmans that, in the time of Alexander, those Brah mans or Gymnosophists were the most learned and most venerated cast in India that the most ancient pilgrimage of Asia was directed to Lah-sa and Poutala that the Scythian or Ghetic hordes have flocked thither from time immemorial—that their posterity, now called Tartars, still preserve their dogmas and rites and that this worship has sometimes occasioned schise matic wars among themselves, sometimes armed them against foreign unbelievers : when we consider all those things, it appears probable that some hordes who had emigrated from the deserts of Chamo and Buckaria, have been gradually driven to the Cimbric Chersonesus, by an im's pulse similar to that which conducted the present Turks from the mountains of Altai, and the sources of the Irtich, to the banks of the Bosphorus. I If this conjecture be well founded, the Swedish Chronicle, quoted

quoted in the History of Tchinguizkan, may have been correct in stating that the Swedes came from Kasgart and To emodal the fitch and sixth what he jast should be -mIt will be abvious; that the study of the ancient languages of Persia, Zend and Pehleve; and perhaps the Median, should likewise be allotted to this sections but it will require farther labours to determine whether the Sclavonic, spoken in Bohemia, Poland, and Russia, has really been transported from Mount Caucasus and the country of Mosques; as the Asiatic manners of the nations that speak it would induce us to believe of To future labours it will also belong to distinguish the Mongol from those of the Calmuc and Hunmig branches, dialects of which are spoken -in Finland, Lapland and Hungary; to determine whether the ancient language of India, the Sanscrit, is not the primitive dialect

of Tibet, and Indestan, and the origin of a multitude of dialects of Asia to discover swhatulanguagehisoreonnected, with athe Chinese and Malay idioms, which are spread

instorica!

Pacified Oceans a Such soughtd to abey the labours of the two other sections, namely the fifth and sixth, while the last should be devoted to the comparison of the flanguages of the east of Asia with those of the west of America, in order to prove the communication of the inhabitants of those continents.

The most useful works that could result from these labours would be the formation of dictionaries and grammars. Indeed it inight almost be asserted, that each language is a complete history, since it is a spictures of all the ideas of a people is I am therefore persuaded that the study of language is that which will enable us to ascend furthest in the genealogy of inations: by successively deducting what 'each nation has borrowed or supplied, we should at last be conducted to one or several primitive and original languages, the analysis of which would even elucidate the wonderful invention of speechan Ko historical

historical researches can be more suseful than those which tend to accumulate vocabularies, and grainmars; band in that operation the universal alphabets of which I have formed a plan, would be of real advantage, as, by reconciling all languages to one character, it would greatly abridge their study, and exhibit at one wiew the resemblance or the difference of the words of which they are composed. And we have the resemblance or the difference of the words of which they are composed.

It now remains for me to consider the influence which historical works have on the opinions of succeeding generations and on the conduct of nations and got vernments. A few examples will serve to illustrate the nature and power of this influence. Every one knows the effect produced on the mind of Alexander by the Iliad, which is a history in verse; The son of Philip becoming the enthusiastic admirer of the valour of Achilles, made it his model, and, carrying the historical poem in a golden box, nourished by its perusal his military passion. In tracing 277.19

tracing effects to their causes, it is not absurdate suppose that the conquest of Asia depended on this simple occurrence of the reading of Homer by Alexander. the conjecture at least is probable. But another fact, which is not less memorable, and more certain, is, that the History of Alexander, written by Quintus Curtius, was the principal cause of the terrible wars which agitated all the north of Europe at the end of the last and the commencement of the present century. All of you have read the History of Charles XII. King of Sweden, and you all know that it was the work of Quintus Curtius that inflamed him with the desire of imitating Alexander. The effects of this passion, which first shook, and finally consolidated the Russian Empire, may be said to have transplanted it from Asia to Europe; for, had; it not been on account of the war with Sweden, Peter Is would probably have remained at Moscow, and never have thought of founding Petersburgh: but had the historian and the poet accompanied their

- 3.2 - 1

their narrations with judicious reflections on the misery which conquests produced and instead of profaning the name of virtue by applying it to military affairs; pointed out the extravagance and criminality of war, it is probable what the minds of the two young princes of whom we have spoken, would have received anotther direction, and that their Lactivity would have been employed in the acquisition of solid glory, of which the Czar Peter, notwithstanding the defects of his education, had formed by far the most just before existen at a molecular before rendered them and the property the

shall now notice popular and nationabing stances of this influence. Whoever has read with attention the History of the Eastern and Western Empires, and that of Modern Europe, must have observed; that in all the convulsions of nations, in all the wars, in all the treaties of peace and alliance that have taken place within fifteen centuries, there has invariably been a reference

arreference to tribusactions recorded in the booksoof the Hebrews in If Popes pretend to anoint and consecrate Kings, sit is in imitation of Melchisedeck and Samuell If Emperors do penance for their sin's at the fect of Pontifis, it is in imitation of David and Hezekiah It is in imitation of the Jews, h that Europeans make war upon Infidels It is in imitation of Ahod, Eglon, and Judith, that individuals assassinate princes to obtain the palm of martyrdom; In the fifteenth century, when the art of printing promulgated those works which before existed only in manuscript," and rendered them books of general use, this influence: was double, and produced an epidemic mania of imitation. You know the dreadful effects to which this passion gave vise in the wars promoted by Luther in Germany in I those which Cromwell conducted vin England, and in those of the league which was terminated by Henry IVan Even infour own times, we have seen a striking example of the power of those the contains the contains and those

those effects exhibited in the war of the Americans against Great Britain. The passages of the Bible in which Moses and Samuel expose the abuses of royalty; served not a little to support the insurrection, as they had formerly assisted in overthrowing the throne of Charles. Thus the principal mover of the destiny of the universe, the Normal rule of an immensity of generations, has been drawn from the history of a petty nation, almost unknown to antiguity, and whose twelve tribes, consisting of a mixture of Arabs and Phænicians, inhabited only 275 square leagues: Even Solomon, in the height of his glory, never possessed more than 400 leagues of territory, one half of which was desert; and never governed more than 800,000 people; and consequently commanded only 200,000 soldiers. Suppose those books had never been known; then the system of Mahomet, which is an imitation of that of Moses, would never have existed The movement of the Roman world for those

wwn:

two centuries past, would have had a different direction. Suppose likewise that the first Presses had given birth only to good inoral and political works, the spirit of nations and of governments would have received another impulsion.

At last true philosophy, philosophy which is the friend of peace and of universal tolerance, had extinguished the fermient, and the eighteenth century seemed to approach the finest epoch of humanity; when a new tempest, hurrying men's minds in an opposite extreme, has overthrown the rising edifice of Reason, and has furnished us with a new example of the influence of History, and of the abuse of its comparisons. You must be aware that I allude to that mania of citations and imitations of the Greek and Roman history, which within a few years has struck us as it were with a vertigo *. Names; surnames, dress, manners, laws, seem all about to become Spartan or Ro-

man.

^{*} See the History of the Year 1793.

manonicAncient prejudices dalarmed; and recent passions irritated, have pretended to discover the cause of this phenomenon in a philosophic, spirit, of which they are ignorant; but that philosophic spirit; which is merely observation disengaged from passion and prejudice; easily recognizes its real origin in the system of edul cation which has prevailed for ia century and a half in Europe. The classical books so extravagantly admired; the works of the celebrated poets, orators, and historians of Greece and Rome, placed with out consideration in the hands of youth) have inspired them with their principles and their sentiments. Those chooks | exa tolling certain men and ceftain actions as models of greatness or perfectionsing flame The mind of the student with the nutural desire of imitation. Habituated under the collegiate lash to admire certain beauties realmore supposed, but low hichoin either case are equally above his comprehension, the becomes inspired with the blind passion of enthusiasm. We have

scen

seen this enthusiasmi at the commence inents of the presenting, Inamifest itself in a midiculous, admiration of the literature and arts of the Ancients Other circumstances have now turned this admiration towards politics, in which it displays a vehemence proportioned to the interests that are brought into action Waried in its form, in its name, and in its object; it is still the same passion; so that we have done nothing more than to change idols, and substitute a new worship for that of our ancestors. We reproach them for their superstitious adoration of the Jews, and we are guilty of an adoration no less superstitious of the Greeks and Romans. Our ancestors swore by Jerusalem and the Bible; and the new sect swearthy Sparta; Athens, and Titus Livy ti ep chaon we and ou an Italia correct un

apostles not a little remarkable that the apostles of this new religion are far from having a just idea of the doctrine they inculcate, wand the models they propose to uscare duite inconsistent with the object

they wish to promote uslikey boast rosas we the diberty and ispiritiof of mality which prevailed in Rome and Greece; but their forget that at Sparta an anstocracy of thirty thousand nobles, held two hundred thousand serfs under a yoke of the most cruel oppression; that of four millions of persons, which was all the population of ancient Greece *, more than three millions were slaves; that civil and political in equality was the dogma of the people and their legislators; that this principle was consecrated by Lycurgus and Solon progu fessed by Aristotle and the divine Plato and propagated by the generals and the gue , included the Greek, angambas-

The whole of the country known under the name of Greece, consisted of about 3850 square leagues; 1100 of this number were included in Macedonia, which, according to Strabo, contained, in the time of Alexander, that is to say, at the period of its greatest prosperity, 1,000,000 of persons. This is somewhat less than 1000 to the square league, and is the proportion of those countries which are considered the most populous. I apply it to the whole of Greece, in order that I may have no dispute on the subject with the admirers of an

ambassadors of Athens, Sparta and Rome, who speak in Polybius, Livy, and Thucy dides, like the ambassadors of Attila and of Tehinguizkan. They have forgotten that the same manners and the same government prevailed in what is called the most glorious days of the republic that this pretended republic, varying according to its epochs, was always an oligarchy, moones sisting of a noble and sacerdotal order possessing almost exclusively the land and public employments, and a plebeian mass oppressed with usurers, having only four acres of ground a-head, and differing from their slaves only by the right of flog an has

tiquity. It is, besides, the most favourable proportion for modern Greece. According to the calculations mades with much industry and knowledge by Felix the Consultof Salonica, Macedonia at present contains only 700,000 persons, which is a decrease of three tenths of The Morea contains only 300,000 in 700 square leagues, Attica 20,000, and all modern Greece united not 2,000,000, or 500 persons to the square league; n-a proportion somewhat greater than that of Spain.

ing them, growing old, or dying him the begardens of their centurions, in the slavery of camps, and in the midst of military rass pine; that in those states, pretended to bely founded on liberty and equality, all political call rights were concentrated in the hands of the indolent and factious inhabitants of the capitals, who viewed their allies and associates only in the light of tributaries.

Algrander and the rung greet lot II collow The more I have studied the celebrated constitutions of antiquity, the more have La been convinced that the governments of the Mamlouks of Egypt and the Deys of Algiers do not differ essentially from those of Sparta and of Rome; and that the Greeks! and Romans, we so much venerate, wants only the names of Huns and Vandals to: excite in us the ideas we have been taught to form of those nations ... Eternal wars the murder of prisoners, massacres of women, and children, breach of faith, in ternal factions, domestic tyranny and for reign oppression are the most striking features of the picture of Greece and Italy during Timppe,

during five hundred years, as it has been pourtrayed to us by Thucydides, Polybins, and Titus Livy. The war against Xerxes, the only just and honourable one in which the Greeks were ever engaged, was scarced by finished when the insolent vexations of Athens, on the sea commenced; in next comes the horrible Peloponnesian war; then the Theban; to these succeed the wars of Alexander and his successors; then follows those of the Romans, without affording the mind the satisfaction of repose over half a generation of peace.

highly praised:—but what was its object, what its effects? It was calculated to form men for acts of savage barbarity, as feromen animals are trained to fight lions and bulls. Their constitutions are admired bulls, their constitutions are admired bulls, their constitution of Sparta? Cast in a mould of brass, it condemned a nation of thirty thousand people, never to increase in population or territory; a regulation worthy, of the Monks of Ea,

Ma Monia dia , oc es no bessent gs un

Trappe Greek and Roman models are proposed to us but what analogy exists between a country like France, consisting of 27,000 square leagues, and containing 25,000,000 of people, and Greece? The Peloponnesus contained six federal independent states within 700 square leagues of territory. . Lacedamon, Twhich, bace cording to Thucydides, formed two-fifths of the Peloponnesus, consisted only of 280 leagues. Attica, including the 20 leagues of Megaris, consisted only of 165 lengues. The whole Grecian continent sincluding Macedonia, did not extend beyond 3850d square leagues, that is to say, one sixth of France, consisting of a territory which is not generally fertile. What comparison can there be formed between the manners and habits of the inhabitants of a number of small and semi-barbarous states poor

Now that I have seen the savages of America, I am more convinced of the propriety of this comparison. The first book of Thucydides, and all his descriptions of the manners of the Lacedamonians, is so well suited to the Fine Nations, that I would willingly call the Spartans the Iroquois of the old world.

buthand by prejudice—and one great consolidated nation which is the first in his to yet oexhibit a population of 25,000,000, spekking the same language, following the same customs, and whose various convulsions, during fifteen centuries, have only served to produce more conformity of man-

The modern Lycurguses have spoken to us only of bread and of iron. The ironof pikes produces nothing but blood, and bread is only produced by the iron of ploughs.—The poets step forward to celebrate what they denominate warlike virtues. Let us reply to the poets by the howlings of the wolves, and screams of the vultures, that gather the dreadful harvest of battles; or by the lamentations of wildows and orphans, expiring with hunger on the tombs of their husbands and fathers. -- Writers have endeavoured to dazzle, us with the glories of war: But unfortunate are the people who shine with greatests but we the all and splendown'

The friends of the arts have been seduced by the magnificence of some ancient works 1 but they forget that the temples and other great edifices of Athens were the first cause of its ruin, and the first symptom of its decay: being the fruits of a system of extortion, they at once provoked the resentment and the defection of its allies, the jealousy and cupidity of its enemies; besides, those masses of stone, however elegantly constructed, are a barren employment of labour, and a ruinous absorption of wealth. The palaces of the Louvre, Versailles, and the multitude of other vast buildings with which France is overloaded , have contributed to in-

when I consider that the Church of St. Genevieve, now the Pantheon, has cost more than thirty millions; thus St. Sulpice, and twenty other churches of Paris, have cost from five to the millions; that there is not a town in France containing ten thousand inhabitants, which

crease our taxes, and to produce disorder in our finances. Had Louis XIV expended from highways and canals othe 4,600,000,000 livres which his palace, already in decay, cost t, France would neither have known the bankruptcy of Law, nor its ruinous consequences now reproduced among us. To see him a serie to but that our item out that our trail our

Let us cease, then, to admire those And cients whose constitutions were oligarchies, whose policy consisted in the exclusive privileges

has not laid out a million, and not a parish which has not laid out sixty or eighty thousand francs in the construction of achurch, I am inclined to believe that France has lyasted ten millions in piling up those useless mounts of stone. This sum, however, is equal to the amount of four years of our present revenue, and double that at the time of the building. Such is the wisdom of nations and governments!

† There existed in the house of the old intendant of of buildings (d'Angiviller) a manuscript volume superbly bound, containing a register of the expences attending the building of Versailles, the recapitulation of which, in the last page, amounted to 1,400,000,000 le; but silver was then at 16 francs the mare, and now it is 52 francs.

privileges of cities, and whose morality was founded on the law of force, and the hatred of all foreign nations. Let us no longer ascribe to a ferocious and superstitious antiquity, a science of government with which it was not acquainted; for in modern Europe have arisen the grand and ingenious principles of the representative system, of the division and equilibrium of powers, and those profound analyses of the social state, which, by an evident and simple series of facts, demonstrate that there are no riches but in the productions of the earth, which feed, clothe, and shelter man—that those fruits are only to be obtained by labour-that labour being painful, it can only be stimulated among a free people by the attraction of enjoyments, that is to say, by the security of property-and that the maintenance of that security requires the public force called government. Government may therefore be defined an insurance bank, in the preservation of which each individual is interested in proportion

to

to the stake he has to risk, but which those who have none may naturally enough wish to destroy.

Having emancipated ourselves from the Jewish fanaticism, let us now repress that Vandal or Roman fanaticism, which, under political denominations, would lead us back to all the fury of religious contests. Let us repress that savage doctrine which would give to polished Europe the manners of barbarous hordes-which would make war a means of existence, though all history proves that war conducts every pcople, whether conquering or conquered, to the same inevitable ruin; since the neglect of agriculture and manufactures, which foreign wars occasion, produces scarcity, popular tumults, civil wars, and, finally, military despotism. Let us also disclaim that doctrine which elevates Assassination to the rank of Virtue, in opposition to the testimony of all History, which demonstrates, that assassinations have ever been the preludes to the greatest disasters;

for,

for, wherever daggers are displayed, the law is eclipsed. In our own times, the assassination of the vilest apostle of that horridisystem has only served to mislead the public opinion, and to bring about the destruction of 100,000 of our best citizens. We may kill men; but we cannot kill things, nor the circumstances which produce them. Brutus and Casca stabled Cæsar, and the Roman tyranny was consolidated: why? because from the time

រាននិង ខេត្ត ខណៈ ។ ពេក ខេត្ត ខេត

Jews, however, the assassination of tyrants was inculcated and protected: among Christians it has been taught and recommended by St. Thomas Aquinas, and by the Jesuits, who practised their doctrine on Princes who were not tyrants. Two Emperors, who are now alarmed at this doctrine since it has got into other hands, wish to re-establish the order of the Jesuits. Were they to succeed, they would one day find more difficulty in getting rid of those worthy fathers than the kings of France, Spain, and Portugal formerly experienced; for they would not be assisted by Voltaires, Helvetiuses, D'Alemberts and other antifanatical philosophers, who are now abhorred by some sovereigns, though Frederick II. was of their number.

of the Tribuics there was no longer an equilibrium of powers; because the caprice of the Roman people became the law of the state; because, after the taking of Corinth and Carthage, that poor indolent and deprayed people were bought and sold by their rapacious Generals, Pro-consuls, and Quastors, after they had gorged themselves with the wealth of the provinces they governed.

Brutus and Casca seem destined to obtain the same influence in this age, that Ahod and the Maccabees possessed in the last. Thus, under different names, the same fanatics continue to devour nations. The actors change on the scene, the passions never change, and all history exhibits only the rotation of a circle of calamities and errors; but at the same time all history proclaims that the first and general cause of those errors and calamities is human ignorance, which neither understands its true interests, nor even the means of attaining the object of its passions. It is not then motives of discouragement, nor misanthropic

misanthropic and antisocial declamations, that ought to result from our reflections, but urgent counsels of moral and political instruction addressed to nations and governments. Indeed it is particularly in this respect that the study of history assumes its noblest character of utility. Exhibiting a great number of facts and experiments on the developement of the faculties and passions of man in the social state, it furnishes the philosopher with principles of legislation more general and conformable to every hypothesis; bases of constitutions more simple and agreeable to the nature of man; theories of government more appropriate to climates and habits; practices of administration more profound and more consistent with experience; in a word, more efficacious and more paternal means of perfecting future generations, by commencing with ameliorating the condition of the present.

Hitherto I have sketched rather than completed my observations on history. It is proper that I should now apply the principles

NOTE BY THE TRANSLATOR.

THE preceding pages contain the whole of the Lectures on History, published by Citizen Volney in the beginning of the present year at Paris, with the exception of some observations on the construction of rooms for the accommodation of legislative; bodies, or any of those great assemblies in which public speaking is practised. In a long note, accompanied by a Plan, the Author has proposed a variety of improvements in the architecture of buildings of this kind; but as his remarks relative to that object are not connected with the subject of his Lectures, and as the engraving of the Plan would -have added considerably to the expence, and very little to the value of the book, it has been judged proper to omit both in the translation. In other respects the text of the Author has been regularly, and, it is hoped, accurately followed.

FINIS.

Printed by WILSON & Co. Wild Court, Lingoln's Inn Fields:

13 - 1 2 1 e

गाल्य क्षी १ व म स्वीमी अंद्री ciples I have laid down to the most eminent of ancient and modern works, and that I should put in practice the rules of criticism which I have proposed to you: but the excessive and rapid labour in which I have been engaged for two months, renders it necessary that I should pause before I proceed to this second part of my subject. I have performed one act- of devotion to the public*, by furnishing the first part, after a preparation of only fifteen days. Deprived of my manuscripts, it now becomes indispensable that I should suspend these Lectures, and employ some time in collecting new materials.

N. B. The Normal School being soon after given up, the Author had no longer any motive for continuing his labour.

L.

NOTE

^{*} The Author, after ten months imprisonment, (to Fructidor 6, of the year 2,) was exiled from Paris by a decree of the Convention, when he received at Nice, in the month of Frumaire, his unexpected appointment to a Professor's place, and the invitation of the Committee of Public Instruction to return immediately and fulfil the duties of that situation.







University of California SOUTHERN REGIONAL LIBRARY FACILITY 405 Hilgard Avenue, Los Angeles, CA 90024-1388 Return this material to the library from which it was borrowed.

REC'D LD-URI

FEB 2 5 1999



AA 000 100 548 7

