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## PREFACE,

Thelectures on History, I now present to the Public, are the same which obtained its suffrage when delivered in the Normal School in the third year of the Republic. I should have wished to have rendered them more worthy of approbation, by further corrections, and by treating the different subjects more at large ; but lfound that, in clothing them in a new dress, any original merit that might belong to my first work, as a rapid and axtempore composition*, would be com-

* The Reader ought to be infurmed, that the P'ro-

fessors
completely destroyed. Besides, in our circunstances, the consideration of literary glory ought to be inferior to that of social utility; and with respect to the present subject, that utility is greater than it may perhaps at fipst sight appear. The nore I have analysed the infuence which 静story constañty excrecises on the actions and opinions of men, the nore am I convinced that it is one of the most fertile sources of their prejudices and errors:
" From Histiory the greater part of refessors of the Normal School lectured from fome brief notes only, in the manner of Speakers in public alfemblies. Their words were taken down by fhorthand writers, and, being flightly revifed, weraimmediately fent to the prefs. This was the cafe with iny three fint Leclures, and I had only fifteen days 'allowed me to preparé for the whole Courfe.
ligious opinious are derived; and gfanting to the spride of eagh sect the cir ception of itsom tenets from error, still, among contradictory beliefs, one only es berfight. It is evident, however, that whenerer a belief is proped to be false, all the variety of actions and opinions of which it is the basis are deprived of their support, and fall to the ground with the original error. From History are likewise derived almost all the political maxims and principles which guide, overthrow, or consolidate governments. The sphere of civil acts and opinions which this second influencing power embraces in a nation, is sufficiently obvious, and need not be pointed out here. Finally, the reports which we every day hear, and which form a real branch of History, become the cause, more or less mediate,
of a number of false veas and erroneous actions. Indeed, were the errors of mankind submitted to calculation, I should venture to predict, that nine hundred and ninety-nine out of a thotisand of them would be found to belong to History; and I think I slould thén establish this maxim-that the prejudices and false ideas which each individual man possesses come to him from others, in consequence of the credulous confidence he places in reports; while all the truths and correct ideas he has acquired belong properly to himself, and are the fruits of his own personal experience.

I believe, therefore, that I shonld render an eminent service to my country, if this book should shate that $r e$ spect for History which has become a dogma
dogma in European education-if, becoming the Universal Preface of every History, it should put the reader on his guard against the empiricism of authors and the illusions of his own mind-if it should induce all thinking men to submit every narrator to a severe examination, with respect to his means of information, and the first source of his reports-if it should accustom the reader to render an account to himself of the motives of his belief, and to inquire -

1. Whether, since we testify an habitual indifference in verifying facts, and when we undertake that task find ourselves opposed by so many difficalties, it is reasonable to require more diligence and more success from others than from ourselves?
2. Whether, since we form false and
imperfect notions with respect on what passes uncer our own eyes, ve can expect to be bother informad of whit passes, or lus passed, at great distances of time or phace?
co 3. Whether, since we have more than one present example of equivocal or false facts being transmitted to posterity with all the passports of truth, we have reason to suppose that men in former times were less daring, or more conscientious in their transactions?
3. Whether, since in the midst of factions the historian is menaced by every party his writings offend, posterity, or the present age, can expect that he should make sacrifices which would be rewarded only by accusations of imprudence, or the barren honour of a funeral pomp ?
4. Whether, since it woild be im-
prudent,

## ix

pradent, and wriest inpessible for any Genertil to write his campaigns, any Minister his negociations, or any public man his memoirs, sh the face of actors and witnesses who might contradict trim or ruin his reputation, posterity can expect, when those witnesses or actors are dead, and can no longer dispute the statement, that self love, animosity, shame, distance of time, and defects rof memory, should have permitted the real truth to be handed down wifh fidelity?
6. Whether the pretended information and impartiality attributed to posterity, be not the deceitful consolation of innocence, or the flattery of seduction or fear ?
7. Whether it be not true that postexity . frequently, collects, and consecrates the depositions of the successful
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competitor, which silence the proofs on the part of his feeble and fallen opponents?

And, 8, Whether, in morals, it be not as ridiculous to pretend that facts illustrate themselves by growing older, as in physics to maintain that objects become more distinct in proportion as they remove farther from us ?

- I shall be very happy if even the imperfections of my work should give occasion to the accomplishment of a better, and determine some philosophic mind to treat profoundly the important questions which I have only pointed out, particularly those of the authority of testimony, and the conditions required for certainty, subjects which have never been properly discussed, but which form the
pivot of almost all our knowledge, or, according to the phrase of Helvetius, of our acquired ignorance.

For ny part, the comparison of the 'prejudices and habits of different individuals and nations, has convinced, 'and nearly freed me of those of my education and niy country. Having travelled from one country to another, and traced the alterations and various shades of rumours and statements, the origin of which I had wisnessed; having observed very false notions of events connected with the French revolution entertained in the United States, and in the like manner recognized the errors of those generally received in France with respect to many circumstances of the American revolution,
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which are already disgused by national pride, or the spirit of party, $I$ cannot avoid confessing that I become daily more inclined to refuse my confidence to historians and to history. Indeed I know not whether I am most asto nished at the carelessness with which even thinking men believe on the most frivolous grounds, or their obstinacy in acting from their first impressions.Excry day I see new reasons for concluding that the disposition of mind most favourable to instruction, the discovery of truth, and the peace and happiness of individuals and nations, is to believe with difficulty. In taking advantage, therefore, of my title of Professor, with which the Government has honoured me, were I to recommend any one precept to parents, ${ }^{i}$ who are the natural
natural, instructors of the on chteren $y$ and to teachers of every kind, it would be not th suloject the belef of their pupils to - a magisterial authority-nats * to habituate them to an impliqit reliance in the relations of others, to the belief of what they do not understand. On the contrary, I would warn them against that double inclination to eredulity and confidence, the influence of which is the more powerful in consequence of its being derived from the ignorance, the indolence, and the pride natural to man. In a word, I would advise them to establish the system of instruction and education, not on the facts of any Ideal World, the aspects of which are constantly varying and subject to endless controversy, but on the facts of the Inysical World, the
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knowledge of which being always reducible to the demonstration of evidence, presents a certain basis for judgment or opinion, and alone merits the name of Philosophy and Science.
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## LECTURES

ON
, HISTORE.

## LECTURE I.

PROGRAM.
Object, Plan, and Distribution of the Study of History.

History, considered as a science, differs essentially from the physical and mathematical sciences. In physical science the facts are permanent: they may be said to live, and are capable of being presented to the spectator, or re-exhibited to the witness. In history the facts exist no longer: they are dead, and cannot for resuscitated to the view of the spectator,
asorodionted with the witnéss.t Physicalscience addresses itself immediately to the senses? History addresses itself only to the inagitiation and theilmemony. Hence, there exists an important différence as to the degree of credit belonging to "physical, or what may be called existing facts, and historical, or what inay be called reluted facts. $\quad$ Physical facts carry Jwith them evidence and certainty, because they are obvious, and display themselves bodily on the unchangeable stage of the universe. Historical facts, on the conthay, floating like phantoms in the ineguilar mirror of the human understanding, where they connect themselves with the most- extrawagant fancies; "can only reach likelihood and probability. It is, necessaiy, therefore, to estimate the degree of credibility that is clue to them, and to examine them curefully under two points of view: 1. That of them own essence, that is to say, their analogy or incompatibility with physicalfaets of the same species still existing and known-This constitutes possibility.
e. That of scrutinizing the narrators and withesses with regard to thér moral faculties, their means of information, and their impartiality-This constitutes morial probability., This operation is a complicated judgment formed: from a twofold consideration; and the fleeting nature of the objects renders the decision very delicate, and susceptible of a multiplicity of ersors.

Applying those observations to the most. eminent of ancient and modern historians, we propose, in the course of these Lectures, to examine what character history maintains among different nations, and, particularly, what character it has assumed during the preceding centiry. We shall likewise point out the remarkable differences which have occurred in the historieal genius of the same nation, according to the gradation of its mathematical and physical -knowledge. From these inquiries a nuraber of important questions will arise.

1. What degree of certainty, or what confidence ought we to attach to historical narrations, both in general and in particular cases?
2. What importance ought we to attribute to historical facts; and what advantage or inconvenience results from our opinion of that importance?
3. What social and practical utility may we propose to ourselves, either in the teaching, or the studying of history?

In resolving these questions, we shall have occasion to inquire what rank the study of history ought to hold in public instruction; whether that study is proper for primary schools; and what parts of history are best suited to the different ages or professions of the sturients.

We shall next consider what men ought :o devote themselves to the instructing of others in history; what method is preferable for teaching it ; from what sources historical
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historical knowledge ought to be derived, and whence its materials should be collected, what requisites the historman ought to possess, and what precautions he ought to exercise; in what manner the subject ought to be treated; how the different subjects should be distributed; and, finally, what is the influence which historians possess over the opinion of posterity, the operations of government, and the fate of nations.

After cxamining history as a narrative of facts, and the facts themselves as a course of incoluniary experiments whick mankind undergo, we shall endeavour to take a rapid view of universal history, in order to collect its most interesting truths. 'Then, turning our attention to the nations most celebrated for knowledge and civilization, we shall trace the rise and the progress,

1. Of the arts-strch as agriculture, commerce, narigation:
2. Of difierent sciences-such as astro-
nomy, geography, experimental philosophys
3. Of private and public morals ;irien? amining at the same time what ideas have been entertained on those subjects at different periods:
4. Finally, we shall observe the march and the progress of legislation: we shall mark the rise of the most remarkable civil and religious codes: we shall inquire in what order those codes have been transmitted from nation to nation, and from generation to generation; what effects they have produced on the customs, the manners, and the character of nations; what analogy the manners and the character of a people preserve with the climate and the physical state of the soil which they inhabit; what changes are produced in their manness, by transmigrations, and the intermixture of different races: and, taking a general view of the present state of the globe, we shall conclude by proposing the examination of the two following questions:-
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Wol What is the degree of civilizationto which it may be estimated mankind have
 -2. What general indications result from history, for perfecting civilization, and fors ameliorating the condition of the human species?


## LECTURE II.

The literal meaning of the word History is an Examination or Inguest of Focts-Modesty of the ancient, and temerity of the modern, Historians. The Historian who torites on Testimony. performs the part of a Judge, and remains an intermediate Witness zoith respect to his Readers. - Extreme Difficulty of procing the real state of a FactDifficulty, on the part of the Spectator, of accurately observing it-Diffculty, on the part of the Narrator, of accuratcly describing it.-Numerous Causes of Er-ror, originating in Deception, Prejudice, Negligence, Omission, Partiality, \&c.

We have briefly indicated the course we propose to pursue. The plan will perhaps appear well conceived, both with respect to its extent, and its object; but, at the same time, the difficulty of the execution
cannot be dissembled. This dificulty consists chiefly in three points:-

1. The novelty of the súbject; for we, shall not confine our attention to one or a few nations, on which every interest is accumulated, while all others are despised, and no reason assigned for such conduct, except that of voluntary neglect. This certainly will be a new method of treating: history.
2. The complication which naturally arises from the extent, as well as the importance, of a subject embracing so many facts and events-a subject which consi-, ders the whole human species as one society, and nations as individuals; and which retraces the existence of those individuals to collect numerous and repeated facts, the results of which constitute what are called principles and rules; for principles in morals are not fixed and abstract criteria existing independently of human nature. On the contrary, principles are summary and general facts, resulting from the addition of particular facts, and
therebydbecoming not tyramical rules of conduct; but istre bases of calculations approximàting likelifood and probability.. 3. The nature of the subject; for we have observed in the Proftam, historical facts not being represented to the senses, but merely to the memory, they do not catry with them that conviction which admits of ne doubt. They always leave behind them a degree of uncertainty, on which opinion and private judgenient will decide. But whenever we refer to private judgment and opinion, we touch delicate and dangerous cords, on

It. For example, analize the fundamental principle of the present morements in Europe-All men are born equal as to rights. What is this maxim, but a collective and summary fact, deduced from a multitude of particu. lar facts? in this manner: Having compared all mankind, or at least an inmense number of individuals, with each other, and finding them all furnished with simihar organs or. faculties, we discover, by way of addition, the total fact, that they are all born equal as to rights. What ${ }_{a}$ right is, still remains to be defined; and that definition is more dificule than is generally imagined.
the vibration of which, self-loveis ready to take arms: In this respectiwe shall observe the rules of prudence, which equality, in its true sense, that of justice, prescribes. it When we do not adopt, oriwhen we shall think it necessary to rejectithe opinions of others, recollecting that they hatve an equal right to defend them, and that persuasion alone should be used to produce conviction, we shall pay to those opinions that respect and tolerance which we are entitled to claim for our own . on

In the other sciences which are taught in this amphitheatre, the path to be pursued is already marked out, either by the riatural order of the facts, or by the welldigested methods of the authors. In his-tory, such as we regard it, the path is new, and has no model. We have some baoks that bear the title of Universal Ifistories; but besides the declamatory style which distinguishes the most celebrated works of this kind, they have the still greater fiult of being only the partial historics of
tribes, or the panegyries of familiest The classical writers of Eutope: have treated : only of Greeks, Romans, and Jews; be-n cause, if we are not the descendants, we are at least the heirs of those people, with respect to civil and religious laws, language, science, and territory. Thus it appears to me, that history has not yet been treated in that comprehensive manner which ought to distinguish it as a science, particularly in a nation that has risen to so eminent a degree of knowledge and philosophy as to despise the savage and ferocious selfishness by which the ancients concentrated as it were the unir verse in a city or a tribe, and consecrated their hatred of every other people under the name of patriotism, instead of regarding them with the aspect of fraternity; a disposition of mind, which, while it does not preclude a just self-defence, makes room for all the finer sentiments of family and kindred.

> Thediffeuities ypeliave described, rendex
it highly necessary to observe order and method in treating a subject so extensive. As a first step, let us inquire what we ought to understand by the word history : for words, being signs of ideas, possess more importance than is sometimes attached to them. They are like the titles, of books, which frequently mislead: it is alvays prudent to open them; if we would judge rightly of their contents.

The word history appears to have had a different acceptation among the ancients, from that which it lias obtained in modern times. The Greeks, who framed it, understood by it a minute inquiry, a carefut examination. In this sense it is employed by Herodotus. Among the moderns, on the contrary, the word history is applied to narrative or recital, even when it has no pretence to veracity. The ancients searched for truth; the moderns pretend they possess it. This is a rash conceit, when we consider how difficult trath is to be found in every investigation, and particularly
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larly in those which relate to political occurrences. It was, doubtless, this conviction that induced the àncients to adopt so modest a term, and, impressed with the same sentinient, we shall always consider the word history as synonymous with inquiry, examination, study of facts.

History, indeed, is merely an inquest of facts, and of facts which reach us only through the medium of other persons; an examination or hearing of witnesses is therefore always supposed to have taken place. The historian, who knows his duty, must consider himself as standing in the situation of a judge, who, calling befure him the reporters and the witnesses of a fact, confronts them; interrogates them, and endeavours to arrive at the truth; that is to say, at the state of a fact such I as it has eaisted. Not witnessing the fact himself, and having no opportunity of convinciag his senses, it is obvious that he never can reach complete certainty, and that he can judge only by analogy.

## 15

Hence results the necessity of considering historical facts under a double relation; 1. with respect to their essence; 2. with respect to their evidence.

With respect to their essence, facts, as they are to be found in nature, or in the system of the universe, have but one manner of existence,-a manner which is constant and uniform, and which renders the rule of decision simple and invariable. If the facts related be consistent with the known laws of nature ; if they be in the order of existing or possible things, they acquire, in the cyes of the historian, likelihood or probability: but hence originates a difference in the decisions which may be pronounced on the same facts; for every one judges of probability and likelihood according to the nature and the extent of his knowledge. To reason by analogy on an unknown fact, it is necessary to know some fact to which it may be compared. A correct measure must be applied to it. Thus the sifhere
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of analogies is extended, or narrowed, int proportion to the degree of knowledge already acquired. This tends in many cases to diminish the circle of judginent, and consequently of certainty: but this, perhaps, is no great inconvenience ; for, as an eastern proverb justly observes, He who much belicves, is much deccived. If there be a right, not to yield belief to what the conscience rejects, and to doubt when we cannot comprehend, will certainly be allowed to be one. Hérodotus has set us an example which deserves to be quoted on this occasion. Speaking of the voyage of a Phonician vessel, which Nechos king of Egypt dispatched by the Red Sea, and which three years afterwards returned by the Mediterranean, he says, "The Phoniciansrelated, on their return, that, in sailing round Libya, they had the sun upon their right: This story seemed to me by no means credible; but perhaps it may be believed by others." This circumstance is with us the strongest proof of the fact, and the conduct of Herodotus,

Who decided erroneously upon it, appears to me highly commendable ; Ist, for reporting it without any addition or alteration; 2d, for not having exceeded the bounds of his own information, and for not believing, on the report of others, what he could not comprehend by his knowledge. Ancient historians and geographers, who were more presumptuous, Strabo for example, have, upon their imperfect knowledge, decided that the story was false : But their crror, which is now demonstrated, is a useful warning to us to avoid pronouncing judgment from the dictates of prejudice, and with imperfect information. The maxims of prudence require that we should withhold our assent from propositions which we do not understand. This' is really a natural right, a duty of reason; for the measure of conviction ought to be the only rule of our judgment; and, whenever we exceed its bounds, we are hurried from the belief of what we know not to what is impropabie, and from improbability
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to extravagancies and absurdities of every kind

The second point of view under which facts ought to be examined, is that of their proof, This investigation is much more difficult and complicated than the former. The rules in this case are not fixed and constant, like those of nature; on the contrary, they are variable, as those of the human understanding, which may be compared to those mirrors with crooked and irregular planes, that have amused you (when you attended lectures on natural philosophy) by the fantastic appearances under which they represent objects. This comparison will perhaps appear to you the more just, as it is capable of a twofold application: for if, on the one hand, as is unfortunately too often the case, natural objects, which are always regular, should appear distorted when painted on the understanding; on the other hand, the caricatures which the mind has produced, when re-submitted to reflection,
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may, by the same rules, be corrected in an inverse manner, and recover the rational. forms of their first type, which was Nuture.

## The understanding may be regarded as

 a moveable wave, which disfigures objects by its various undulations; first, and most frequently, by those of passion, and next hy those of negligence, imperfect judgement, and ignorance. These are points on which the searcher of truth, the historian, ought constantly to interrogate his withesses. But is he not also liable to the same errors? He is a man; and are not negligence, ignorance, and prejudice, always the attendants of human nature? Consider, for a moment, what happens with respect to accounts that reach us from the third or fourth hand. Do you not imagine that you see a natural object which is reflected by a first glass to a second, by the second to a third, and so on from glass to glass, acquiring new shades, deviations, and undulations from each? Can you suppose that, under such circumstanees, a cor-
## 20

lect representation will be transmitted to you? Must not the mere translation from: one language into another produce a considerable alteration in the shades of thought, without taking inta the accoint those verbal mistakes which frequently occur 2. But mark what happens every day, in the same language, the same country, and under your own eyes. An event occurs in the very city in which you live-Listen to the accounts which different witnesses give of it.-Frequently no two agree as to the circumstances, and sometimes they differ with regard to the most material facts. This may be experienced in a manner sufficiently amusing in travelling. A transaction takes place in one town; you have even witnessed it yourself: but advance ten leagues, "and you hear, it related in a new manner; echoed from town to town, you at laist find it sat ce possible to recognize the origin of thestory, and, struck at the confidence of others, you are tempted to distirust your own.

## 21

Now, if it be difficult to prove the orevise existence, that is to say, the truth, of facts, that occur among ourselves, how much greater must this difficulty have been among the ancients, who had not the means of arriving at certainty that we possess? I shall not at present enter into the details connected with this part of my subject, as I intend to treat of it more fully in another Lecture. But, after have- $V$ ing touched on the natural difficulties of discovering truth, I shall take notice of that which rises out of the passions of the relater and the witnesses, or what is called partiality. This I shall divide into two branches, viz. Voluntary partiality, and Compulsory partiality. The latter, which is inspired by fear, necessarily exists in all despotic states, where the publication of facts would be a perpetual censure on the government. In such states, if a man have the courage to relate the most notorious truth, and what public opinion most decisively proclaims, his book cannot be printed, or, if printed, it cannot

Be circulated : thius it becomes a natural consequence of the existing order of things, that sю mandare divulge his thoughtsion public affairs through the medium of the press; or, if he undertake so hazardous an enterprize, he must write equivocally, and practise concealment, dissimulation and falsehood. Such, however, is the character of the greater part of histories.

The effects of voluntary partiality are still more extensive. The causes which, in the former case, produce silence, are in this motives for writing; and the author who acts under their influence feels a pleasure in propagating falsehood and error. In the former case, the author is constantly menaced by tyrants; in the latter, they encourage him. They pay for his praises, and stimulate his exertions; and, having imposed upon their own times by their actions, they impose upon posteaity by their hired panegyrists.
ra hase not alluded to an involuntary,
but not less powerful kind of partiality ; I mean that which arises from the civil or religions prejudices in which we are edticated. In taking ageneral view of authors, it is difficult, to discover any who have heen totally free from prejudices of this nature. 1 The influence of prejudice operated powerfully even among, the ancients. Indeed, when we consider that in our tenderest age every thing that surrounds us conspires to impregnate us with pre-jutice-that opinions and ideas are infused into us by habit, by affection, by force, by persuasion, by threats and by promises-that our reason is encircled by sacred boundaries, over which we are prohibited to step; it is not surprising that the human mind should become a fabric of error. But, when, upon examining ourselves, we.see reason to believe that under similar circumstances we should have adopted the crrors of others -and that if we have discovered truths, we perhaps owe our good fortunc only to the arcidental adrantages we possess orer
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those who preceded us, far from entertaining sentiments of pride, or testifying a contempt for other times, we should rejoice that we live in a period of liberty, in which men are permitted to think agreeably to the dictates of nature and conscience. Fearing, however, from the example of others, that even conscience itself may be under error, we should not make a contradictory and tyrannical use of the liberty we enjoy; but, if unity of opinion cannot be obtained, we ought at least to seek, in toleration, the common utility of peace.

In the next Lecture we shall inquire what materials for history, and what means of information were possessed by the ancients, and, comparing their civil and moral state with that of the moderns, we shall point out the revolution which the press has produced in that branch of study, and in knowledge in general.
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## LECTURE III.

Gontinuation of the same Subject. -Four principal Classes of Historians, zeith the different degrees of authority that be-- longito each. 1. Historians who hace acted a part in the events they record. \&. Mistorians who only have riitnessed -those events. 3. Historians who have reccized their information from zeitnesses. 4. Historians on hearsay or tradition.The ineritable corruption of accounts transmitted by report. - Absurdity of the Traditions of distent times, common to all nations-They hate their origin in the nature of the human understanding.-The character of History alwous propor--tionate to the degree of ignorance or cirilisation of a people. - Character of History among the ancients, and among. nutions unacquainted with the art of printing.- Effects of the press upon His-- tory.-The change it has produced in
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 best suited to the study of History.The absurdity of doubting every thing, less dangerous than that of doubting no-thing:- Reasoins for being cautious ins our belief:

Fhom what has been said, it will be admitted, that in estimating the certainty of listorical: facts, it is necessary to consider well what is the character of the relators and witnesses with respect to,
7. Their means of information.
2. The extent to which they possess the moral faculties of sagacitypand discernmenta
9. Their interests and affections from Which result three kinds of partiality, Ffow that of constraint, that ofiseduction, and that of the prejudices of rbirth and education: this last, howeyer excusable it may appear, is exceedingly powerfu, and pernicious in its influence, since the passions andinterests of whole nations are at once its origin and support; and na-
tions heing not less obstinate, and farmore insolent in their errors than individuals, exercise over their members the most arlitrary and oppresive of despotishist that of national prejudice, whether civilor religipus,

We shall, have more than one occasign, to consider, the different gradations that: take place $1 n_{1}$ the value of evidence: at present, continuing to develope the same questipn we shall examine the degree of authority that belongs to testimony; according to its greater or less distance from facts and eyents. -xtcern

In investigating the chatacter of the diffremenwitnesses, relators of historical occurrences, We fipd they may be divided into, severahadualand successiyuclasses, which, are proportionally more or less chfitled to. aur belief. The Historian who records; tansactons, in, which he has peromied. a part, ranks in the first class: Of this, Kind are the greater part of the authors
of personal menois of civit fiansactions, tiavels \&ec Facts which reach us am-l mediately fromathors of this description, are liable to the least misrepresentation int the transmission. The relation possesses the highest dergee of authenticity; butiour belicf in it ought still to be subject ito all the moral considerations of interest, afection, and sagacity, of which we have spoken: these considerations will always diminish the credit of a Historian writing under the influence of the highest degree of personal interest.

Writers of this description are, there-s fore entitled to our belief in so faronly as their relations possess, b) 2trsepo

1 Probability. It must be confessed that some histories exhibit notural a " concourse of events and circumstances, isos weil connected a series of causes and effects, that our confidence is involuntarily given to the authors, and we may be said to recognize in their relations the stanip of twith or rather that of conscicuce.

20 The support of other evidence squat ly yisubject the tave of probability. Hence it follows, that, even in their highest degtee of credibility, historcal relatons uadergo all the judicial formalites of the hearing and examination of witnesses, which a long and accumbated expericnce has introduced into the jurisprudence of nations: consequently, one author, "like one witness, has no right to require our implicit confudence. It is ninded an crsor to regard a fact as prored, which is only supported by the eyidence of oire individual; for, if several witucsses couled have been called, some modification, if not contradiction, would certainly have occurred. Ciesar's Commentaries are commonly'regarded as an historical docunent, which, on account of the situation of its author, and his remaining uncontradicted, possesses an eminent character of certainty. Suctonius, however, informs us, that isimius Pollio remarked in his Annats, that a number of factsiwere not correctly stated by Cissar, because he had frequently been
led nita errois by the reports of Phis off cers, and that Pollio, whose claricter ws consul, and the friend of Horace and Virgil, gives weight to his testimony, hinted that Cosar had personal motives for disguising the truth.

2f The second class is that of authors who have witnessed the transactions they record, but'who have not been actively en-. gatged in them, and whose writings are therefore less liable to the suspicion of lbeing composed under the influence of per--sonal interest. Their testimony, in mpos't cases, inspires much more confifènce flian that of authors of the former desefption, fand sis invested with a higher degiee of ocredibility:- It is still, however, subject to the conditions of probability, and is to te judged, - 1. according to the quathity of evidence; 2. according to the corrobotation of evidence; 3. according to the iirdispensable rules which we liave laid down, of sound judgment, accurate obseroration, and impurtiality. IHe daily ex-

Sperience of eve ry thing that passes afound Hs denonstitate, that, to prove erenta 10 -
 is a d dicate operation, and ace omparata Wiffamultivde oftiffacutfes: the stadent of hastory ought ancefole to bepediarly
 any fact whel Has not whatergbene the most terorols mivestigation, and wheh is hot sumpored loy chidence ant one suftr
 - The thindelass is that of the hearers of evadence, that is, those who pectue information of faces from the inbutlis of witnesses. They atre retty near to the first soure of intelligetre; "yet there as "sudidetry introfluced," this ease, "materkal difference as to the correctness 'of the narrative, and the precision of the picturesexhibited. The"witnesses have secu and thetrd the facts they tecoutht, their schises tate etbeen storngly inipressed by ther, 'but, "inpaintingthem on the understenditers, they moprit, eventcontrdry
to their wishes, modifications which vitiate their forms and these forms become still more vitiated when they are reflected from this first undulating and moveable mirror to a second, which is equally variable. Hence $a$ fact is no longer a fixed and positive being, as it exists in nature, but becomes a fantastic image, transmitted from mind to mind, and is susceptible of all the variations which omission, confusion, and the adddion of circumstances can create. It is discussed, criticised, variously interpreted and translated. All these operations alter its native purity, and require that we should here make an important distinction between the two means employed in transmitting the knowledge of facts, speech and writing.

When a fact is transmitted by writing, its state becomes fixed, and it preserves immutably that kind of authority which it derives from the character of the natrator. It may have been previously disfigured,
figured but as it lias berdive connitted to paper, so it remains, and if, as it frequently happens, different minds give to it different interpretations, they are still obliged to recur to thit type which, if not original, is at least positive : besides, eyery written clocument possesses this farther advantage, that, notwithstanding the intervals of time and space, it transmits facts imuediately as they existed, or as they have been recorded: it brings the author before us; it summons him from among the dead; and, at the distance of thiousands of years, introduces us to a conversation with Cisero, IIoncer, Confiecius, \&c. Nothilag more is necessary thopn to prove that the writing is not apocryphat, and that it is really the wow of the person whose name it bears. If it is anonymous, it loses a degrec of authentigity : as its autlior is couccaled, its testimpny should be submitted to the investigation of a serere criticisim, and to will yecessarily be liable to all the suspicion which claidestine transactions never fail
to excite, Ifthenwork heentranslated, it loses nothing of its authenticity", huit in passing from onfe language lo another, the fads ${ }^{\prime}$ are removed one degree farthed from their brigin, and they always receive a colouring, which is more or less faint or wivid, tracording to the disposition and sability of ! the translator still, fhovever, Gwe have the opportunity of examiningythe original, and rectifying any mis-statemetits that may have been inade.

- Tn the transmission of facts by speech or tradition, we are deprived of this resource. In this case, all the caprices, all the eccentricities of the human mind are Wrought into action. It is ceasy to cotrdeive, that facts which are transmittell from moath to mouth, and from geverathon to generation, must iundergo consiedertable alterations, when we frequently *ecan individual, at different periods, vary in his account of the same occurrences, adecorting as he experienecs the influence of a change of ititerests follings Con-
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fidence in triditionits, therefore, gencrally
 in proportion as tis renovellby great mtervils of time and place frombitsonginal source. Wemay at any timate incontrovertible proofs of the maccuracy of itradition: Whoever will take the tronble to collect, ëther im country villages or in towns, the traditions of the old people relative to the events of the age of Louis XIV , or even of a fater period, will find an inmense corruption of facts, a cousfusion of circumstanees, anid a compléte inconsisténcy eaftảblishèd 'bětwécèn the different witnesses and the different narfators A striking proof of this vatiation exists, in the history of the battle of Fontenoy, of which we häve a multitule of irreconcilcable accounts. 'Now, if such omissions, confusion and alterations take place in times otherwise enlightened, in a country already polished, and which possésses the méans of correcting those errors, what must happen aniong nations where the aits are in their infancy or decary,
where disorder has reigned, or still reigns in the social system, ignorance in the morat system, and where there prevails an indifference to every thing except objects, of the first necessity? The testimony of the most accurate travellers of our own times, relative to savage nations, or evensuch as are called civilized, affords us a proof of that improbability of rela tion, and that absurdity of tradition, to which we allude. Traditions are in many respects unworthy of notice, even in Asia, whence their orig in has been derived. This will be readily assented to, upon considering the ignorance of the natives with regard to the facts and dates, which ought most to interest them. The Indians, the A fabs, the Turks, and the Tartars are in general incapable of giving an account of their own age, or of that of their, relations.

It is by tradition however by naratives transmitted from mouth to mouth, from eneration to ocneration, that all history
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history nuist hecessarily have comnenced This uecessity is demonstrated by natural faèt's still subsisting, by the organization of man, and by the mechanism of the formation of society.
ratico
Indeed, since it is certain, that man is born completely ignorant and devoid of art, that all his ideas are the fruits of his sensations, all his knowledge the acquisition of his personal experience, or of the accumulated experience of anterior generations since it is certain, that writing is an art extremely complicated in the principles of its invention; that ever speeeh is another art which has preceded it, and to form which an immense series of ages must have elapsed - it may be concluded, with physical certainty, that the empire of tradition extended through all the duration of agres which preceded the invention of writing. I will even add the invention of the alphabetic writing; for it alone can paint all the shades of facts, all the modifications of thought:
other witting, which repesents figutes and rot sounds, such as the hierompipace of the Egytuans, the notes or quappos of the Perubians, or the pictures of the Trexicans, is only capable of exfibiting the basis or principal point of facts, and leaves ditthe variety of circunstances and connections in tuncertainty Now, ast lit is femornstrated, by facts and by reasonngot that the arts of writing and of language are the resilt of the social state, which s itself the offspring of circumstances and Wants, it is ethdent that all that edifice of wants and circumstances, of arts and of social institutions, 'hâs prèceded the empire of writtèn histoory.

- Meanwhile it may be remarked, that The inverse proof of those physichl facts exists even in the nature of the first elations ex hibitcd by históry. Thdeed if, has we hate said, it belongs to the constitutron of "the human mind often to receite the images of facts very inaccurately, and to alter them in proportion as it is unin-
formed und urnacustomed to Treason, iht
 miore bałtbapotis t nâtions finayllave beent the more wil the comnencement of their Aristory be distinguisked by every thing
 sound judement.rifulke view af Fistoatestregettral, and you will find thate they dheommence the then mer Ithe deseriod ; that thieir detalis faye chimericd and extra ragant, 'in proportion ads they dscend to periods of great anitiquity, andlare connéced with the origin of rations: on the contriary, ats history approaches to known itines, to ages in which the arts, police, and the moral system have madera considerable progress, it assumines the chroracter of probability, and exhibits a physieal and finomal state of thims, maloge to that which we at present experience. Thus, in comparing the history of all counitries, we arrive at this conclusion, that its represeritations are inconsisterit with nature ant reason, in proportion as the con-


# dition of nations approaches to that of sa 

 vages, which is the primitive state of every people, and that, on the contrary, its representations are more analogous to the order with, which we are acquainted, in proportion as those nations become enlightened, polished, and civilized. This is obvious when we arrive at those ages in Which the arts and sciences begin to flowfish, for we then find that a multitude of miraculous events, prodigies, and monster of every kind, disappear before their lustre, as the phantoms, ghosts, and spectres with which a sickly and timid imagination peoples the obscurity and skhence of night, yanish before the ways of the morning sun. ! with most important consequences in the study of history:"r arhat we may calculate, with kind "t' of accuracy o the degree of knowledge "fond civilization which prevails among a 00よIT , ,
people
"people by the nature of their historical «relations: on or in terms still, more ge-

"That history takes its character from 'the period of its composition.

This maxim naturally directs our attention to the comparison of two very important periods, in which history has been composed under very opposite circumstances, and with very different means of information. I mean the period of manuscript, and the period of printed history. You know that until towards the conclusion of the fifteenth century all books were in manuscript-that it was only in 1440 that John Guttemberg, of immortal memory, made his first essay in the art of printing. He was followed by his associates Fusth and Scheffer," who made their first characters in wood, and afterwards in metal; and by this simple and ingenious invention instantancously obtained an infmite number of repetitions or copies of the first model...'This fortu-

## $4 \%$

Frate ninovation poduced acharge int the subject of which we itrett which it is of importance to remark:

When witiog Was the only means ly which books could be produced, the time Which sucha laborious operation mernired, vat the expence which it occasionted, ${ }^{2}$ fendered copies exceedingly scarce and - dear. Works of literature, of conse, were acfedted with much difineulty, put easily destroyed. One cop ist slowly brought fotth an iondividual book; the pirss 3 io a Finoment gives sbirth to a generation. Herice the procuring of books, and, conesequently, opportunities of instruction of tevery kind, were opposed by numerats dideulties of the most discouraging mature. Original copiés being few, and conifined to the possession of rich indivithetals or public libraries, the number of Tersons who could collect materials for the composition of listorical tworls was hecessadrly very linited. Hheirstatenents were - Hot lso dable to be questioned as those of
modern witers, and frey inightomit or alter facts with gfeater inpunity! The "circle of their readers being very confmed, -their judges and cerrsors were proportionably few. There was no public opinion'; every question was decided by the spirft of faction or prejudice, and passion done influenced the judgment.

On the contrary, since the tiscovery of the art of 'printing, a work' once 'provell ${ }^{4}$ to be autheintic may, by the multiplication of eapies, we subinitted to an extensime examination, and to the critical discussion of an immense number of readers. To vitiate the text of an author, and to avoid fetection, is no longer an éasy task; and thus historienl certanity has aedured ta real devantahe.
 of yedrs which the domposition of book required honfor the ancients, anid the stifl loinger "tifite trecessary for its distribution before"it corld be saill to be krown, af-
forded the opportunity of divulging bolth truths, because time had destroyed or removed the greater part of those who averes interested in the narration, and thus clan destine publication was favourable to historical veracity but it was also an en couragement to partiality If errorswere thus established, it became less easy to ress fute them, as there were few who hath the mreans of investigating them, But brivate circulation being equally in the power of the moderns, while they possess the means of combating its inconveniences, the add vantage appears to be entirely, on their sidé.

The nature of the circumstances of which I have spoken, tended, ampng the ancients, to concentrate both the study and thie composition of history within a very narrow circle, consisting almost entirely of the rich, and men inpublicesitu ations, for, to be acquainted with facts, it was necessary to have been actually ent gaged in public, affairs : indeed, we shall
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frequently have occasion to remark, that the greater part of the Greek and Roman historians were gencrals, magistrates, and metri def fortune or of distingliished rank, In the eastern countries, priests, that class whol, by the ex́clusive possession of learning and the distribution of knowledge, hàd invested themselves with the most? poiderfif of all monopolies, were almost ${ }_{\text {in }}$ the only historians. Hence that character of "dignity and" elevation which distingitishes the "authors of antiquity, and whech "was the natural and eyen necessary, corsequence of the cultivated education they had received.

The $\mathfrak{e}$ art of printing having increased and facilitated the means of reading and composition, authorship has become an object of "conmerce, and modern writers hare assumed a mercantile boldness, a rasticornfidence, which frequently debases history, and profanes the" sanctity of its object.
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It is true that antiquity had likewise its compilers and literary impostorsow hyt the fatigue of cepying their vorks prexentedt the lumber from descending to succeed ing ages Thus far the dificulty of multiplying books has been of scryice to science
aftymorg teant :3s

But this ad vantage of the ancients was $y_{1}$ on, the other hand, counterbalancedt, by a very serious inconvenience - the well founded suspicion of an almostinayoifs. able partiality 1 , by the spirit, of person ality, the ramificatiops of which extended in proportion as the writer had been actively engaged in the transactions he recorded, and, influenced in his political sentiments by interest or passion- 2d, by the spigit of family and consanguinity, which $h_{2}$ among the ancients, and papticuLarly in Grecterind Italy, constituted a spirit of general munk indelible faction. It may be here remarked, that a work composed by an individual of a family, became the common property of that fa-
mily, wish espoused the opinions of tha: whiter, which indéedwere bute'the of spring of theiryon ptejudiceds Thus a manuT setript of (the fangily of the Eabitic of the Skipios, was transmitted froin age to age. by inlueritance;, and if there exsted in az less: powerful fandily as manusitiptwhich tended tod disprave it, they; seized 4t, and cofisidered the prize an inportant: westoty, This was, in miniature, what the, spirit) of lhostile statestwass upon a laggery
 spicitl by: which the, Greeksand Romatiss... the enemies of the universe, were prompt: ed to destray the writings of other nations, and thus deprive: us of the pleadt ingss's' for the 'plaintiffss in, the celqepretededs cradse of their rapine. In thisi mantrer have they athost rendered us accompliees in their tyraniny, by the giddy admiration and "seéret' enulation with which their criminal triumphs inspire us.

But, among the rnodems, ir wain isiat. histortedt work entironed whe seepecy,
supported by the credit of wealth, defended by the spirit of faction or family, and protected by all the power of authority. The investigation of a single day, the slightest opposition, is "sufficieit to raise doubts, and to overthrow an edifice of falschood which the labour of years may have been necessary to erect. Such is the signal service the liberty of the press renders to truth, that the most obscure/individual, if he have the virtues and the talents of an historian, may brave the indignation of nations, while he censures their, errors and condemns their prejudices; though, indeed, it will perhaps be found, that the errors, the prejudices and the re-s sentments which are generally ascribed to nations, belong, in reality, not to the people, but to their goveriors.

Accustomed as we are to the uniform influence of the press, we are not sufficiently sensible of all the moral and political advantages it produces. To estimate the effects of its privation, it is néeessary
to have, lived in a country where the art of printing does not exist: There we soon feel what confusion in accounts, absurdity, inireports, uncertainty in opinions, obstacles to infomation, and general ignd rance, the want of books and newspapers creates.asy History owes? benedictions to him who first published articles of intelligence in Venice, for the little plece of money called agasettí; the name of which journals of news still bear.- Gazettes, "indeed, arei historical monuments of imfinite importance: they are instructive and valuable even in their deviations from strict impartiality; since they thereby exhibit the prevailing spinit of the times in which they were published; and their contradictions always alford materials for the eluci-1 dation of facts. Thus, when we are informed that the first thing the Anglo-Americans do in forming their new establishments is to cut a road and to commence a newspaper, it appears to me, that, in this double operation, they attain the object, and exhibit the analysis, of every grood
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social system; for society is nothing more: than the easy and free communication of persons and thoughts; and all the art of government consists in preventing those violent shocks which tend to its destruction. As a contrast to this people, civilized as it were in the cradle, let us take a view of the nations of Asia, which have passed from infancy to decay, and, through every stage of their progress, have still been ignorant and barbarous. Doubtless they have been confined to this condition, because they neither knew the art of printing, nor were capable of constructing roads of canals.

Such is the power of the press such its influence upon civilization - that is to say, on the developement of all the faculties of man in the manner most useful to so-ciety-that the epoch of its invention divides the political and moral state of nations, as well as their history, into two distinct and different systems. Its existence so preciscly marks the possession of
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Knowledge, that to kow whether a peo ple be civilized or barbarous, it is onfy necessary to ask the following questions: Does the art of printing flourish among them? Have they the liberty of the press?

Now, as it is certain that the situation of the ancients very much resembled the present state of Asia, as, even in cound tries considered free, the governinents were always influenced by a mysterious spirit of party or faction, and privileged interests, which detached them from the people; and as they had the means of preventing or paralyzing every publication that might give them displeasure; it is reasonable to suppose that the authors of those times wrote under the influence of partiality, either compulsory or voluntary. How, for example, can it be expected that Titus Livy should have dared to paint in true colours the odious policy of the Roman senate, which, to divert the people from their claims long made with justice and moderation, fomented wars that, dur-
ing five hundred years, spread destruction over the carth; and which, when the plunder of the universe was amassed in Rome, as in the den of a banditti, finished its career by presenting the disgusting spectacle of robbers intoxicated with their enjoyments, and still insatiable, niurdering one another at the division of the booty! Turn over the pages of Dionysius Ifalicarnassitus, Polybius, and even Tacitus himself; and you will not meet with those emotions of indignation which the picture of the horrors they have transmitted to us ought to have excited. How unfortunate the historian who does not feel such emotions!- How miserable the age that compels him to suppress them?

From all these considerations I conclude, that, in the study of History, it is a delicate task to scize, and a difficult one to establish, the precise point of truth; and that the degree of certainty we may admit, cannot be rational, unless it be submitted to a calculation of probability. . I
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have dwelt upon the necessity of this cal. culation, because I ann sensible of its inportance, not in andabstract and speculta tive point of view, but as a useful maxinh, applicable to every circunstance of life: for every individual life is a personal history, in which the events of yesterday become the subject of reflection to day, and of resolution to-morrow, Now, if it be true that happiness depends upon those resolutions, and the resolutions on the soundness of the rellections, it is of importance to consider what disposition of mind is best calculated' for these operations. Here three alternatives present them-selves:-to beliece every thing-to believe nothing-or, tolbelice by calcultation. Every one, in making his selection from these dificrent modes, is influenced by taste; or, 'I should rather say; by halit and temperament; for temperament governs the bulk of mankind, and acts more powerfully in consequence of their not pereeiving, its effects. Some men exercise the powers of "abstaction "so" far as to doubt
the evidence of their senses Such, it is said, was Pyrrho, whose celebrity in this kind of error has procured for it the appellation of Pyrhonism: : But if Pyrrho, who so much doubted his own existence as to display no uneasiness when about to perish in a storm, and who regarded death and life with so much indifference that he did not commit suicide merely because he could not find motives to determine his choice, received from the Greeks the title of a Philosopher, he has received from philosophers that of a Lunatic; and from phy: sicians that of a Valetudinarian. Indeed, rational medicine teaches, that this apathy and perversity of mind is the physical consequence of a nervous system, blunted or exhausted by the fatigues of a life too contemplative, and divested of sensations, or by the excess of passions too ardent, and too powerfully excited, which leave nothing behind them but the ashes of a consumed sensibility.

But if Pyrrhonism is a chronical malady
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Which seldom occurs, attaches itself only to minds and temperaments, of a feeble kind, and is at worst merely ridiculous; doubting nothing, on the contrary, is a more common and much more dangerous disease. It is the violent fever of an energetic constitution, which acquires by example a coltagious intensity, and terminates by exciting the convulsions of enthưiasm and the phrensy of fanaticism. Such are the periods of the progress of this malady of the understanding, that an opinion being once admitted from indolence or neglect, the mind becones attached to it, and maintains it to be juist from habit: It is defended from obstinaty and self-love; and, soon passing from the defance to the attack, the believer, inGuenced by that self-esteem called pride, and that desire of domination which seeks The the exercise of power the unlimited gratification of every passion, proceeds to impose his creed upor others.

It may be remarked of Ranaticismand D 4

Pyrrhonism,

Pyrrhonism, that, though they are extremes diametrically opposite to each other, they have one common source, which is ignorance; -with this difference, however, that Pyrrhonism is a feeble ignorance, rehichnever judges; and that Fanaticism is a robust ignorance, which always judges, and has judged every thing.

Between these extremes there is a middle term-that of forming an opinion after weighing and examining the reasons which ought to determine it-holding the judgment in suspence while there is not a sufficient motive for fixing it, and proportioning our belief to the degree of proof and evidence with which each fact is accompanied. This is called Scepticism, adopting the real sense of the word, which signifies to examine, to grope around an object with distrust. If I should now be asked, as I was by one of you in the last Lecture, whether it is my intention to lead you to scepticism, I must answer, that, in presenting to you my reflections,
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it is not my design to inculcate a system; 'but if I did wish to establish any doctrine, it would be that of doubting, according to the rules I have already laid downers in doing this, I consider myself as serving at once the united cause of philosophy and liberty; for it is the peculiar character of philosophy to leave to cvery one the right of judging according to the measure of his sensations and his conviction. I would inculcate a spirit of inecstigating loubt, because all history informs me that confidence is the doctrine of error or of fulsehood, and the constant arm of despotism. The most celebrated of imposters, and the boldest of tyrants, commenced his book by these words:-There is no doubt in this boyk. It leadeth into the right path him who walleth blindly-him who receiveth Fithout inquiry my word, which save th the simple, and confoundeth the wise*. Thus, v 5 by

[^0]
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by this first proposition, man is at once deprived of the free exercise of his will and his understanding: He is devoted to slavery; but as a reward for rendering himself a slave, the true believer is made the minister of the prophet; and, receiving from Mahomet the Sabre and the Koran, he becomes a prophet in his turn, and says, "There is no doubt in this book: Believe in it," that is to say, think as I do; or die! A convenient doctrine, it must be confessed, since it dispenses, with all labour and study. It has likewise this advantage, that while the sceptic calculates and examines, the fanatical believer acts and executes. The first, perceiving several roads at once, stops to examine where they would conduct him. The last, seeing only the path which is immediately before him, pursues it without hesitation: he runs straight forward, like those obstinate animals whose sight is circumscribed by pieces of leather attached to their bridles, in order to prevent them from turning to the right or the left, and, above all, to
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conceal from their view the whip which corrects them: But unfortunate is the driver if they become unruly; for, already half-blind, they rush headlessly forward, and at last precipitate him and themselves down the first precipice they metro 3 xn

Such, Citizens, is the fate which pressumptuous confidence prepares for ignorant credulity. On the contrary, the advantage resulting from an observing and circurripect system of doubting is such, that, equates reserving in the mind room for new proofs, it is constantly disposed to correct a first judgment, and to acknowledge former errors. Thus, if, as it may be expected, I should, either on this or on any other subject, divulge an croneonus opinion, the principles which I profess leave me the resource, or give me the courage, to say, with the ancient philosophr, I am a man, and nothing that bebongs to human nature is foreign to me:

I invite you, Citizens, to search for and D 6
collect
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collect the best observations that have been made on the subject of this Lecture. Unfortunately they are scattered through many yolumes, and overwhelmed with a multitude of futile and paradoxical questions. Almost all the authors who have treated of Historical certainty, have regarded it with that partiality and prejudice of which I have spoken: they have exaggerated that certainty, because upon it almost all religious systems have had the imprudence to build their dogmas, instead of establishing them on natural facts capable of proof. It is desirable that this subject should be treated in a new and methodical manner: this would: be rendering a real service, not only to literature, but to the moral and political sciences.
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## LECTURE IV.

The preceding subject resumed.-What is the utility that may be derived from History? -That utility divided into three sinds: 1, The utility of good examples, more than counterbalanced by the bad.』, Transmitting facts relative to the Arts and Sciences. 3, Political consequences of the operation of laws, and of the nature of governments, on the fate of nations.-The study of History under this last point of view belongs properly to but a small number of persons: It is only suited to youth, and to the greater part of the various classes of society under the first.-Well written Nocels are preferable.

Hitherto our attention has been confined to the consideration of the nature of historical certainty. Our rescarches on this subject may be recapitulated in the following propositions:

1. That

## 1. That historical facts, that is to say,

 related facts, reaching ns onity through the medium of the senses of others, cannot possess that degree of evidence, hor procure in us that conviction, which we obtain from our own senses.2. That though, as it really happens, our own senses should deceive us, and though their testimony frequently requires examination; it would be absurd, and injurious to our liberty and to our right of opinion, to attribute greater authority to the sensations of others than to our own.
3. That, consequently, historical facts never can attain the two first degrees of certainty, which are those arising fromphysical sensation, and the recollection of that sensation; that they reach only to the third degree, which is that of analogy, or the comparison of the sensations of others with our own ; and that' their certainty divides into different classes, according to the greater or less likelihood of the facts, according to the distance of time and place between the occurrences
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and the narrator, and according to the passage they have made from one reporter to another. Mathematicians having succeeded in submitting all those conditions to precise rules, and forming therefrom a particular branch of knowledge called the calculation of probabilities, to that science I refer you to complete your ideas on the question of historical certainty.

We come now to the question of utility; and following the method pointed out in the program, we shall consider what social and practical usefulness may be expected either from the studying or the teaching of history. I am sensible that this manner of treating the question is not the most methodical, since it supposes the principal fact already established and proved; but it will be found the most cconomical in point of time, and consequently the most useful, since it will greatly abridge the discussion. If I succeed in specifying the kind of ntility which may be derived from history, I shall

6
have proved its existerce, but were T to question that utility, it would be necessary, in the first place, to state the dis tinction between history such as we now find it," and such as it might'be treated. Next the distinction betiven the works of different historians; and perhaps I should have been embarrasséd to prove that any utility resulted from some of those which have received the highest reputation, and possess the greatest influence; but I should thereby have had an opportunity of starting a question sufficiently interesting, viz. Whether History has not been more injurious thian usseful? Whether it has not occasioned more evil than good, both to nations and individualls, by the false ideas,' the erroneous notions', and the prejudices of every kind which it has trausmitted and consecrated?'Tlis thesis would have had the advantage ofer ours, of taking possession of our own facts, to prove that utility never was either the end or the primitive olject of history; that the first motive of the rude traditions from
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whiclit has sprung, was that mechanical desire, on the part of the narrators, which all men feel for describing their sensations, for expressing them as an instrument utters its sounds, and for recalling the image when the reality is absent or lost - a desire which is the peculiar passion of that age which has ceased to experience other enjoyments, and the foundation of all conversation among men who are unaccustomed to think; that, on the other hand, on the part of the auditors, the motive was curiosity, a second and not less natural desire, which we feel for multiplying our sensations, and for supplying the want of realities by inages-a desire which converts every narration into a spectacle, or, if I may use the expression, into a magic lantern, the picture of which affords pleasure to the most rational of men, as well as to children.

This thesis would remind us that the first essays in history, composed without art and without taste, have been collected
without discermment The wifhout arfy obs jeet: that history was at first only deonfused mass of incoliefent aind marvellous reports calculated to ex cife the attention of tude miñas ; that it was not until they liad beén fixed by writing, and bed come numerous, that facts more precise ano more natural produced yeflections and comparisons, the results of which were applicable to similar situations, that finally, it is orily in modern timés, and alnost exclusively within'a century, thát history has assumed that philosophic character which searches, in the series of events, for a genealogical order of causes and effects, to deduce therefrom a theory of regulations and principles calculated to. direct individuals and nations towards the object of their preservation or their im². provement.

But, in proceeding to similar questions, I should have dreaded giving too much oceasion to regard History under the relation bf itsirieonveniences and faults. A criticism
over profound may sometimes be mistaken for satire; and as instruction possesses a character so sacred that it ought not to admit the sports of paradox, I have avoided every appearance of that kind, and have thought it right to confine myself to the consideration of an utility already existing, or at least one which possibly may befound.

In studying History with the intention and desire of deriving a practical advantage-from it, there appear to me three kinds of utility which deserve to be separately considered: $\qquad$
The first is applicable to individuals, and may be called moral utility.

The second belongs to the arts and sciences, and it I denominate scientific utility.

The third, which applies to nations and their governments, I name political utility.

Indeed, if we analize the facts of which History is composed, it will be found that they
they naturally resolve themscles into three classes: One, private occurences, or the transactions of individuals, another, publie occurrerces, or the social order of government, and the third, facts connected with occurences in the arts and sciences, or operations of the mind.'
= With regard to the first class, every one inay have observed, that, in perusing historical "works, either on account of the pleasure which the constant variety of their pictures afford, or the knowledge which may be gleaned from the experience of former times, it uniformly happens that we make a self application of the individual actions we find recounted; that we, in a manner, identify ourselves with the personages of the story ; aurl that we exercise our judgment, or our sensibility, on every thing that occurs to them, dedacing therefrom consequences which influence our own conduct. Thus, in perusing the histories of ancient Greece and Italy, every rcader attaches a particular interest
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to certain characters; follows with attention the private or public life of Aristides or Themistocles, Socrates or Alcibiades, Scipio or Catiline, Cicero or Cosar; and, from a comparison of their conduct and their destiny, forms reflections and precepts which influence his own actions. This kind of influence, or, if I may so, call it, tutorship of History, chiefly exists in the biographical part, or descriptions of the lives of men whether public or private, in the manner of Plutarch and Cornelius Nepos. But it must be confessed that this kind of History is liable to more than one objection: In the first place, it may be accused of frequently approaching to the nature of romance; for $i_{t}$ is obvious that nothing is more difficult than to prove with certainty, and retrace with truth, the actions and character of any man whatever. To accomplish that objeect, it is necessary to have known him intimately, to have followed and habitually studied him ; and, in every comnection of life, we know how difficult it is to avoid
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those passions of friendship or hatred which are obstacles to impartiality. Biographical works are almost always panegyrics or satires. This assertion is suf ficiently proved and supported by memoirs published in our own times, with respect to several points, of which we may be able to speak as well informed witnesses.

In general, individual histories cannot be expected to possess accuracy and truth. unless when a man is the writer of his own life, and composes it with a conscientious fidelity ; but if we consider what circumstances and qualities are necessary for this task, we will acknowledge that it is difficult, and almost impossible, to find them united in one person. If the writer be a vicious and immoral man, how can he be expected to publish his own slame? and what motive can we have for believnig that he possesses the probity which this act requires? If he be a virtuous man, why expose himself to the charges of pride and falsehood, with which vice and envy will
not, fail to accuse lim? If he be disting guished by vulgar foibles, an he be ex-. pected to possess the courage necessary to reveal them?

In examining, then, all, the motives which men may have for publishing their lives, it appears that they may be reduced cither to a wounded self-love, which defends physical or moral existence against the attacks of malevolence and calumny, and this is the most legitimate and rational of motives-or to an ambitipus self-love, which wishes to manifest the titles it pos:sesses to glory and consideration, or by: which it believes itself worthy of them. Such is the influence of this vanity, that, assuming every form, it conceals itself even under those acts of religious and cenobitical humility, according to which the confession of past errors is the indirect and tacit eulogium of present wisdom; and the effort which that confession is supposed to require, becomes the necessary and interested means of obtaining pardon,
pardon, favour, or reward Of this the case of the Bishop Augustin is a striking and appropriate illustration

It was indeed reserved for our age to exhibit another example in which self-love is immolated solely to the pride of exccuting an enterprise zehich never had a moilel; of displaying to his contemporaries a man who resembles none of them, and who, having no parallel in his kind, calls himself however the man of nature*; as if fate had decreed that a life passed in paradoxes should terminate by obtaining admiration and almost worshipt, on accomnt of hav-

* See beginning of the Confessions of J. J. Rousscau. There is, perhaps, no book in which as much pride has been collected in so few lines as in the first ten of that work
$t$ There is this characteristic difference between Roussean and Voltaire, considered as chieftains of opinions, that if you attack Voltaire before his partizans, they defend him by reasoning or pleasantry, but without passion, and at most only regard you as a person of
bad
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ing exhibited a continued series of illusions of the fancy, and errors of the heart.

This
bad taste: But if you attack Rousseau before his disciples, you excite in them a religious horror, and they regard you as a monster. In my youth I experienced 4hose impressions myself; and having sought to discover the: cause, it appeared to me, that Voltaire, addressing himself to the inagination rather than to the heart, to the judgment rather than to the feelings, does not heat the mind with any passion ; and as he employed himself more in combating the opinions of others than in establishing his own, he prodoced the habit of doubting rather than that of /aflimmation,-a disposition of mind which al. Nays leads to, tolerance. Rousseau, on she contrary, speaks to the heart more than to the head, to the affec. tions rather than to the understanding. He exalts the love of virtue and of truth (without defining eitber) by the love of women, which is so capable of causing illusion. Having a strong conviction of his own rectitude, he suspected first the opinions, and then the intentions of others: this state of mind is the inarodiate cause of aversion in the feeble, and persscuting intolerance in the powerful. It is indeed worthy of remark, that the greater number of men who have, in our times, figured in the latter character, were, or pretend to be, the disciples and admirere of I. J. Rousseau. $z$ L2is . .......'
--This leads us to ajsecond consideration of the subject, which is, that, in $_{\text {admit- }}$ Iting the veracity of biography of this kind it is possible that history, would on that very faccount be rendered inferior in atility tonovels.' This must happen when the real adventures present the immoral spectacle of virtue more unfortunate than Wices for in fictitious adyentures, we only estéem the art which e ehibits vice more removed from happiness than virtue. If; then, there exist abook in which a man, regarded as virtuous, and almost elevated into the patron of a sect, should decribe himself as the most unfortunate of beings; if that man confessing the transactions of hisblife stated a multitude of instances of bis meanness, infidelity and ingratitudeift the ${ }^{\text {iddenthe gives of his temper be fret- }}$ fuls suspicious and envious-if, not content with revealing the faults which belonged to himself, he discloses those of others tohich belonged not to him-if this Mmo MYs incsicdes endowed with great talents as an orator and an authorg and had
acquired
accrumbed an authority as a philosopher--if he used those advantages only to paneigy rize ignorance, detract from the social istate, and to bring men back to thein original savage condition-if the doctrine of Ondry, revived under the mask of this sname, and his principles serve to inculcate the inutility of the arts and the sciences, to proscribe all talents, all wealth, 'and, consequently, all the industry which the acquisition of property creates, perhaps it would be difficult to find a single feomer of utility' in this too real history.?

It will perhaps be agreed, that the in-formation this obtained is purchased at too high a price, and that in an individual organized tin a certain manner, excessive sensibility may degrenerate into mental allienation .. It is doubtiess to be reyreted,
(i) yeant

* It is well known that Rousseau died in this state,亏 50 plainly indicated by his last writings- To determine with precision the point at which that aberration of the

E 2
ed, that the author of Emilius, who has -spoken so much of nature, should not thave imitated that wisdom by which she exhibits externally all the forms that flatter our senses, while she covers, with a thick veil every thing that threatens to shock ourdelicacy fis From what has been said, II conclades that the moral utility which may be derives from history is not :spontaneous, sibut the siesult of on art guided by rules and founded on principles of which we shald treat when we shall have roccasion toilnotiee the primary schools.

The second kind of utility relates to the arts and sciences., Its sphere is more varied, moreextensive, and involves fewer inconveniences than that of which we have just spoken. Mistory, regarded under this point of view, is a fruitful mine, in

understanding called folly commences, is a very dificult problem, which requites the united powers of medicine and philosobphy for ifs solution:

## $7 \pi$

exploring which every individual may exp-1" fracthinterials suited to theiseioncesorate to which the is devoted, ox 'whebthe is des. sirous of cultivating. Researches of thiss kind possess the inestimable advantage of always throwirg a real lighlit on slie subject under discussion, eitherl by confronting: the different processes or methods adopted at different periods among different people; byy the expibition of errors formerly* committed, and the comparison of experiments! which it is always possible ta. repeat; or; finally; by the knowledge alone of the track which the human mind. has followed in the invention and progress of the lart or science to which you direct. your attention;-a track which indicates: by analogy that which ought to be fol-. lowed in advancing towards perfection. na

To such rescarches as these we are in-debted for numerous discoveries, some of which are original, others only revived, but for which their authoms always merit our thanks: by their means, medicine has
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procured for us methods and remedies; surgery, instrunents, mechanics,utools and machines ; architecture, decorations

-The third kind of utility which History affords, is what $I$ call political or social utility. It consists in collecting and meditating on all the facts which relate to the formation of societies and the mecha nism of governments, with the wiew of abtaining general or particular results,? calculated to serve as terms of comparison? in analogous or similar cases. In this lifft, Mistory, considered universallyegs ist Wast collection of moral and social expee fiments which mankind make involuntat rity and very expensively on themselves, and in which every people, by exhibiting varied conibintions of cevents, passions, Catises aind effects, unfolds to the attentive observer all the springs and mechanism of heminan nature. : Indeed, were it possiWe to obtain a correct view of the reciprocal operation of all the pats of each
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 bits, mannevis opinions, lays, sinternad and extemaluregimen of each nationtrits would. be possible to establish a generalitheory of the art of composing those moral machiues, and of laying downifisedand de-: termined principles of degislation, political. econome, and government. $I_{\text {I }}$ is not $\mathrm{me}_{\text {is }}$ ecssary to point out all the advantages of such adabour. Unfortunately it is liable to too many difficulties in the execution: first, because the greater number of histories, particularly the ancient, afford only imperfect or vicious materials; next, because ${ }_{2}$ the application made of those materials, and the reasoning founded upon them; can only be right in proportion as theoocurences are correctly repsesented.

We all know how difficult it is to obtain trues and presise details of priyate and pretiminary trensactions; and, in history, it is mof the sुeat and striking cuents that are instructive, but the accessary facts or the circumistances that have prepaged
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on produced then. ${ }^{3}$ This is evident, be cause it is conly by a knowledge of the preparatery ciremintancest thatiwe can be' enabled to avoid or to obtan simhlar results. It is not from the issure of $\mathrm{r}^{3}$ battle ${ }^{1}$ that we receive instruction, but from the different movements that lealits its decistan, wheter, though less splendid, are lowe the causes, while the event is ${ }^{2}$ only the effect Sath is the importatices of futhơse details; "that, witherte them, the term on companison is vicious, and has ho aualogy with the ${ }^{\text {a }}$ object to whith ate would apply ite: This error, so serious in its conseftences, is however habitual, and almost general, in Histery: facts'areadmitted withou't 'chischssion; conllinations ${ }^{3}$


* The details of negociations on which the great events of peaces and war depend, are ald very instructive historical facts, as they disclose the secret movements of passion and intrigue; but those facts will always be little known, because the honour or the int?rest of the agents seldomi permits them to render a faithfo account of their trangactions. f. ! $\quad$.
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inrented, where no proper relation exists; lopotheses that have, no foundation formeds and unjust applications made Hence those errors of administration and govern-s ment, falsely: imitative, which sometimes: lead to the greatest misfortmes:

In this point of view the study of His-? tory is a very profond art; and if the! utility which results from it be of the mest important kind, the art which pro-: curcs it must be considered as the most clevated. It is indeed the most transcendant part, and may be called the high. muthematics of History.

These various considerations, instead of being digressions from my subject, facilitate the solution of the greater part of the questions connected with it. If it be ${ }^{\text {a }}$ asked whether History ought to form a part of the instruction of the primary schools? It is rery obrous that this study: is mot suited to thein, because those sehools are composed of youths whose
understandings are not yet unfolded, and who are incapable of judging of the oct currences of the social state It is equally evident, that this kind of knowledge is only calculated to give them false and erroneous ideas, to inspire them with preis judices, and to make them idle prattlers, as the vicious system of education has during two centuries, sufficiently proved in every corner of Europe. What can we learn, in our infancy, from the Histories of Livy and Sallust, the Commentaries of Casar, or the Annals of Tacituso which, are so prematurely put into our hands? What advantage, what instruction have we derived from them? The most skilful instructors of youth were so well convinced of the errors of this practice, that notwithstanding the desire to introduce the reading of the Hebrew books into their, system of education, the cherst not vernture to make the attempt, but gaye them tre form of a romance under the title of the Yistory of the People of God. Besides, as the greater part of the pupils of
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the primary schools may be expected to devote themselves to arts and professions, the practice of which must absorb the whole of their time, it is absurd to direct their attention to a science which they never can have an opportunity of cultivating, which indeed it will be necessary for them to forget, and which would only, inspire them with that false pretension to. knowledge which is always worse than ignorance. Primary schools, then, ought to reject the study of History under its great political relation. They should admit it, however, with respect to the arts, because there are several which are adapted to the understanding of youth, and the picture of their origin and progress nay inspire the desire of analyzing them: But it would be necessary to compose books on purpose for this kind of study, and it is probable that the advantage this obtained would not be worthy the trouble and the expence of such an undertaking.

1. The only kind of history that appears

## 

to me suited to youth is the bingrophions on that of the lives of public and privatel men. Experience has proved, that tead-s ing of that kind practised in the midst of $t$ familiest produces a powerful effeet ons young minds: It-inspires them with that desire of imitation which is a physical attribute of our nature, and determines most of our actions. The impressions received firom such readings often deciden the business and inclinations of a whole life, These impressions acquire nore force' in consequence of their not beingipreparedy by art; for the pupils in making a reflec-4 tion and forming a judgment, possesses a feeling of liberty; and believes himself neir: ther, governed nor influenced by alstiperier os authority. Our ancestors were well coner vinced of the power of such, impressions, when, to give curtency to their dogmatio opinions, they formed the work which they called the Lizes of , the sidiness. It ins must not be inasined that compositions of that lind exhibit no merit or talents: on the contrary, many of then ate with-

## $\$ 5$

ten with unchart, aind a proffould Ehowt ledge of the humba heart. This if sfoffit ciently próved by their frequently futfith ing thein object that of imprinting ab moventent on the mind in the framiereand directionintondell:

In proportion as mea's minds have beenc disengaget from religionsideas, they have proceeded to works's of a phitosophic and politicat kind; and the Thustrious'Mens of Plutarch and Cornelius Nepos' have obrtained a preference over the Murtyn's and Holy Fatkers of the Desert: Those models; it cannot he depied, are beiter fitted for the use of men in society: butt they have still the inconvenience of removing us too far from the manners of our own times, and giving rise to vicious comparisons, capable of leading us into very serious errors. Models of biography should be drawn from amongst ourselves, and from the present times; and if they do not exist, they ought to be created. Here the principle I have advanced, of the witi-
lity of novels being superior to that of history, more particularly applies. It is desirable, that the government should encourage elementary books of this kind; but as they belong more to morals than to history, I shall only call to the recollection of their authors two fundamental principles of the art, from which they frever should deviate-brevity and clearness. An overflow of words fatigues the minds of youth, and renders them empty declaimers: Concise tracts, on the contrary, interest them, and render them thinkers. They will always profit less by reflections made for them, than by those which they make themselves.



$\qquad$ $3 \%$
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 LECTURE $V$.

Of the Art of studying History with Advantage. -That Art not attainable by Youth.i The Study of History woithout propers Instruction more injurious than useful to young Minds.-Of the Art of teachinge the Study of History. - Views of the Author on a course of historical Studies. -Of the Art of writing History. -Exanination of the Principles of Lacian: and Mably.

Historical facts, as we have already observed, yield the materials of three kinds of utility. The first, relative to individuals ; the second, relative to governments and societies ; and the third, applicable to the arts and sciences: but, as neither of those utilities are to be found at first sight, nor unattended with inconvenience and difficulty, and as a peculiar art, joined to much precaution, is requisite

## $88^{3}$

to collect them, we have commenced the examination of the rules of thatert; and s shall now continue to develope them shy dividing them into two branches, 1 o the art of (studying history; 2. the art of writing history.

I have already stated; that the study of , history is in no point of view suited to early youth :The facts of which it is composed require a fund of experience and a maturity of jurdyment incompatible with that age. Obliged to believe on the authority of others, the young may contract errors and prejudices, the influence of whith will last through life. The true object of instruction is not to knowmuche: bitt to lenow well ; and half-learning is at false kiowledge a hondred timies : more: dangerons than ignorance. The only instruction youth can derive from history is of a moral kind, and corsists in collecting maxims for their conduct in life; and, as precepte alrawn from fact and eximple are most impressive, "that pate of histore", selected
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lected for theiruse, ought to be anecelotes andæacounts of virtuous actions. These, however, ought' to be employed with lis cretion, for too great an abundance cant? not be easily digested. I may observe liere; that the wish of saying and doing too much is a great error in French educatton We teach youth to speäk, when ${ }^{2}$ we ought to instruct them how to think; words dissipate thought, meditation accumulates it: The prattle of the giddy and the frivolous engenders discord; but silence,' the child of wistom, is the friend of peace.The eloquent Athenians were only: a nation of squabblers, but the silent Spartans: were a wise and dignified people. The Ancients honoured Pythagoras with the title of The Wise, doubtless because he had elevated silence into a virtue.

In the progress of education the minds of youth are more enlarged, and becone more capable of receiving that instruction which history affords. If, however, we: call to our recollection the impressions of:
our early years, we nitustackowledge that the kind of reading whel interested us most was, for a cong periof, accounts of battles and military anecdotes. In perusing the ancient history of Rollin, or the history of France by Velli, we may recollect that we were accustomed to glide, rapidly or linger carelessly over the partst relating to manners, laws, "and politics,' until we arrived at descriptions of sieges, engagements, or private adventures ©but even in adventures and personal histories it is usual to prefer those of greatiwarnors ${ }^{\dagger}$ to the biography of legislators and philos sophers.
${ }^{4}$ These considerations lead to tro reflec tions one, that it is long before the study? of history can be rendered useful to youth, with whom it has few points of contact; the other, that, as it touches them chicfly on the moral side, and more particularly on that of the passions, it is dangerous to allow then to apply to this study without a guide. We candondy prit intos theds
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hands histories which are prepared or seo lected for them; but in this case, do we teach them history: Do we not then exhilhit facts /such as we wish them to see them, rather than as they really are .

Doubtless this mode of education has its advantages; but it may also be attend ed with inconveniences. If our ancestors deceived themselves by adopting a moral, which, instead of directing, opposed all the inclinations of nature, it is to be feared that the present age also deceives itself in adopting one which tends to inflame and not to moderate the passions-It is to be, feared that in passing from one extreme to another, from a blind credulity to a savage incredulity, from a misanthropical apathy, to a devouring cupidity, from a servile

* Is not history, in general, transactions stated in the light in which the writers have viewed them? and in this cäse, may we not apply to them the words of Fontencle: History is the romance of the bumant mind, and romances are the bistory of the buman beart ?
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servile patience to a despotic and unsociable pride, we shall do ro more than change one fanaticism for another, and, in abandoning that of the Goths of the ninth century; return to that of the children of Odin, the Franks, and the Celtx, from whom we are descended. Such must certainly be the effect of that modern doctrine which tends to inflame the passions, and to pash courage beyond the object of defence and preservation which nature "indicatcs for its boundary - a doctrine which only inculcates warlike virtues and warlike manners ; as if virtue, whose essence is to preserve, could connect itself with the idea of war, whose essence is to destroy-a doctrine which calls a savage hatred to every other mation patriotism; as if the exclusive love of our own tribe were not the special virtue of wolves and tigers; as if, in the great society of human kind, there were a differentjustice and a different virtue for nations and for individuals; as if a warlike and conquering people differed from a tubulent and wick-
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ed individual, who takes possession of his neighbours property becanse the is the stronger-finally, a doctrine which only tends to lead back Europe to the ferocious manners of the Cimbri and the Teutones.'

This doctrine is the more dangerous on account of its powerful influence on the minds of youth, who eagerly adopt its precepts and become heated with military enthusiasm. Instructors ${ }_{7}$ of the nation, yeigh wella fact which passes before your eyes ! If the present generation, educated in gentle manners, and which, in infancy, knew no other toys than dolls and paper custles, has, in so short a time, taken a direction to sanguinary manners*, what may be expected from that which is rising up in the midst of rapine and carnage, and

* When I wrote this, in Ventose of the year 3, I had just crossed France from Nice to Paris. During my -journey I frequently oiserved the children hanging eats on lamp posts, and guillositing poultry, in initation of the revolutionary triburials.
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# and which makes the horrors'we anvent the sports of its youth? 1 


${ }^{1}$ One step farther and there may be revived among us the extravagant effects of the phrensy which the doctrine of Odin formerly produced in Europe; a phrensy of which the Danish school, established by the governor of Jomsbuigh in the tenth century, presents an example worthy of being cited. I take it from one of the best works of the present age, the History of Danemark, by Professor Mallet. ${ }^{\text {JHava }}$ ing in his introduction, lib. 4 deseribed the passion which the Scandinavians, in common with the Celta, entertaned for War, and traced its cause in their laws? ther education, and in their religion, he
 $3!!3$
$=2$ "History informs us that Harold, king of Dancmark, who reigned about the middle of the tenth century, founded a town onf the coast of Pomerania, calledJulin or Jomisburgh. Here he formed a colony of $-20 \%$
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Foung Danes, and appointed arperson named Palnatocko goyernor: This new Lycurgus made another Lacedicmon of his settlement, The education of youth was solely directed to the object of making them soldiers. The colonists were prohibited from mentioning the word fear, even in the most imminent dangers. No inhabitant of Julin was allowed to yield to numbers. He was taught to fight intrepidly, without flying, however superior his enemy might be. The certainty of instant death only served to stimulate him to the combat It appears that this legislator had succeeded in effacing from the breasts of the greater number of his disciples every sentiment of that passion, so powerful and so natural, which makes us dread our dissolution. Nothing can be a more convincing proof of this than the following story, which deserves to be related here on acconnt of its singularity.
"Some Jomspurghers, who made an irruption into the territory of Hacco, a

- Norsyegian chicftain, were yanquished, notwithatanding the obstinacy of thein sespistance. A mumber of the most distinguished of the party having been made prisoners, were, agreeably to the custom of the times, coudemned to death. This sentence, instead of affecting them, in*spired them with jay. The firstreontented himself with saying, iw ithout clanging this countenance or testifying the least márk of alarm- $V_{\text {Why }}$ should net the sampe审hing happen to me that huppeñal to my fiwther? ? he died, und L must die also:
rexe: "A soldicr, named Torchil, whocut off their heads, having asked the second what he thought of his fate, he replicd, that he knew; the laws of dulin too well to promounce:any word that indicated fear....
*2."Torthe same question the third replied, sthat he rejoiced in lis fate, and that she preferred a glorious death to a life of infanyetike that of his exceutioner.
wThe fourth returned a longer, a more femarkable answer-6I suffer willingly;' said he, 'and this moment affords me the greatest satisfaction. I only beg that my head may be cut off as quickly as possible. It has frequently been disputed at Julin, whether we retain any of our senses after decapitation; I shall therefore hold this knife in one hand, and if after I am beheaded I lift it up against you, that will prove I am not entirely deprivéd of understanding; if I let it fall, that will be a proof of the contrary. Hasten, then, and decide the question.' Torchil cut the head off, at one blow, and the knife fell to the ground.
"The fifth displayed the same tranquillity, and died smiling at his enemies.
" The sixth advised Torchill to strike him in the front;-'I shall extend myself motionless,' said he, 'and you may observe that I shall not even close my eyes. In Jomsburgh it is common not th shink when we receive the stioke of death. Ie
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prepare ourselves for it ${ }^{\text {py }}$ by exercise.' He died, and all the spectators witnessed that he kept his promise
"The seventh, the historian tells us, was a man of singular beauty, and in the Hower of his age, hip long faif hair resembled silk, and floated ing ringlets on his shoulders. 'Torchil having asked hipn wher ther he feared death:- I meet it willing ly, said he, ssince in doing so of fulfil the great duty of life, and since I have seen those die whom I cannot survive I onty beg of you to take care that no slave may touch my hair, and that my blood may not stain it."
$\therefore$ This story is a good example of the influence of education on human conduct. It at the same time shews the abuse which may be made of history, which constantly exhibits scenes of folly, vice, and crimes, and, consequently, serves as the model and the apology of the most flagrant de viations from justice and rectitude.
an train whilu be said, that the evils Which recuitt from such systems are suffieient to teach mathkind to avoid them. There is a profound truth in morals to which a sufficient attention is not paid; which is, that the picture of disorder and vice aliedys' leaces dangerous impressions, and that it serves less'to dissiutde us 'from evil? thah to familiarive us zoith ht, and to harden as in its praitice "by the excuse toticth the example firnishes. This arises from the same physical neechanism by which an obscene 'story' distiorbs' thè chustest niznd, and which proves to us, that the best means of preserving wirtue is to withliold from it the images of vice?

Thie wisest conduct with regrad to youth, is not to direct their attention to the study of history until they are capable of judging, in some degree, for themseltes. They'wold then be more able to derive alvantage from it, and their minds wold not bend before the prejudices which an ordinary education inspires.

Were to trace as plan for studies of this kind, after requiring these conditions, thefollowing appears to due the mode foest calculated for carrying it into execution.

In the first place, I would require that : my pupils should possess a preliminary Whowledge of the demonstrative sciences, such as mathematics, natural philosophy, astronomy, \&c. that is to say, that they may have their minds stored with the means and the terms of comparisons, to enable them to judge of the facts they find stated in history. I have mentioned astron@my, because without some idea of that science we can know but little of geography, and if destitute of geographical knowledge we know not where to place the scenes of history, which float in the mind like clouds in the air. I do not consider it necessary that my pupils should study the retails of these sciences deeply. I would not even expect them to be entirely free from moral and religious prejudices. It will be sufficient if they are not too strongly
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strongly prepóssessed dintheir opinions and that them minds are open to convietion. With this disposition I have no doubt that the varied spectacle of historical contradictions will rectify their ideas, by extending them. He who has ?imited his acquaintance to the natrow circle of his own pelations or party is bbstinate; mhe Who knows no cieed lut that of his own church, is intolerant; for obstinaey ant intolerance arcalways the fituts of selfish ignorance: but when we mix with the world, when we have compared a number of opinions, we perceive that every man Thas his value, and every opinion its reasons. Thus we are tatught to smooth the sliarp angles of vanity, that we may roll gently along with the torrent of society. This fruit of experience, which may be so well gathered in trávels, history also affords; for history' is an agreeable journey, in which, without dangers or fatigue, we may travel through the universe of time and place.
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## But the traycllow would not take asta-

 tign jn a balloon in an unknown and in accessible country, to proceed thence to the habitable parts of the earth; neither yould Id wish my pupils in history to plunge at once into the night of antiquity, with the view of turning rapidly to the ages contiguous to our own, which have no resemblance to the former. They will therefore avoid all those histories, which; at a single bound, transport us to the origin of the world, calculate its epoch as if it were an affair of yesterday, and declare, that this is a subject on which reason is not to be employed, and which must be believed without any proof.As reason, however, is a loadstone which we ought not to abandon, let us leave those inhabitants of the antipodes in their own region, and, like prudent navigators; take our departure from a known point; sail from shore to shore, and advance only as we become acquainted with the coast me would explore. We ought first to

## 和蚟。

stidy fle histoty on the countfy in whecr we were borm，or on which we are destined to live，and where we can bbtan the best： evidence respecting the facto we wish ${ }^{3}$ ． investigate，and examme the objects we wish to compare．I would not，however， ontirely condemm anethöd which should． commene with the history of a forebin country． 1 The aspect of an drdev of thingst， customs，and jomaners，different ficm that with whichus are aceustomed，has ax powerful effect in intertupting the current ofroup prejutifces．It teaches us to viow ourselves in a new light，which produces in us disinterestedness and impartiality． But there is one condition I hold to be indispensable，which is，that it must be the history of acountry and times that are well known，and the events of which are capable，in a certain degree，of benint varified．Let it lbe the history of Spain， Englandy Turkey；or Persia，it is equally the sames with this difference；that our best histories have hitlrerto been those of countries in Europe，Because with those－

- countries are best acquainted Let the student, in the first place, acquire a general idea of a givenocountry and fa given yation, in the most esteemed author. He swilk thus gain a step in the scale of historical knowledge ${ }_{j}{ }^{\prime}$ with which every step of his future progress should be connected. If he wish to follow the details, he will find the originals pointed out in the first work, and may consult and compare them. He ought to refer to those original sources of information on points with re: spect to which the author he has read testifies embarrassment or uncertainty: Froma first known nation or period, lethim passto the next most interesting and most closely connected with the points necessary to bee elucidated in the former history: Thus he will gradually acquire a suffieieit knowledge of Europe, Asia, Africa, and the New World. According to my principle, of always proceeding from the known to the unknown, and from the near to the remote, I would not have him travel into distant,times until he has obtained $x$ com-
plete idenof the present. This dea on ce acquired, he may embark for antiquity, but with eaution, advancing step by step, lest he should lose himself on a sed whithout shores; and where not a star is to be seen to direct his course. Whity umporati

Arrived thus at the farther confines of historical times, we find some ascertained. epochs; we fix upon them as promontories, from which we endeavour to discover, in the grloomy ocean of antiquity, a féw of these prominent points which rise like isfards above the waves of events. Without leaving land, we ascertain by different calt culations, as by triangles, the distance of sume points, which become a chronologit cal base, that serves to measure the distance of others. While we can see thesc known points, and can measure the intervals between them, we advance with the clue, in our hands; but when we sec nothing except mists and clotrds, and when the famers of cosmogonies and mythologies step formand and oftr to conduct us
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to the land of prodigies and fainies, it is time we should trace back outisteps; for those guides usually require this condit tion, that they shall put a bandage over our eyes and then there is no knowing where one goes: besides, they usually dispute among themselves who shall have the honour of leading their disciple; and it is paying too dear for a little science to purchase it at the price of peace.

Impressed with these considerations, my pupils would return from the chronology of the Assyrians and Egyptians with their minds full of doubts. They would not, pretend to know within an huodred vears, at least, the period of the siege of Troy, and would be very much inclined to doubt the pretended human existence of all the demi-gods, as well as the deluge of Deucalion, the ship of the Argonautes, the one rundred and fifteen years of the reign of the Chinese Fohi, and all the Indian, Chaldean, or Arabian prodigies, which bear more resemblance to the tales
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of the thousand and one nights than to history : but ato console them, they witl Have acquired souind ideas tespecting a period of above three thousand years, imhen is all that we krow of real history"; and by comparing ther notes, and all the extracts they may have carefuliy made in the course of their studies, they whth Have acquired the means of deriving from history all the utility it is capable of affording.

T I an sensible I may be told, that such aplan' of sturly requires years for its exedirfon, and that it would absorbl all the time and faculties of any individuat; that, therefore, it is only suited to a rerysmath number of men, who, either by their personal neans, or by those furnished to them "by society, are crabled to devote to it the "whble of their time and atention. 1 thmit the trtith of this niservation, and If agtee to it the more readily as it the matural conscutence of what I have stated. 'Tntect, the more I reflect on'the
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nature of history, the more I am convinced that it ought not to be made the subject of general study, fand diffused throughall classes of society. All citizens gught to be instruoted in the arts of readingowiting arithmetic, and design:s I can conceiye why they ought likewise to he thaght mathematics, which calculate? the properties of bodies-geometry, which measures them-experimental philosophyz which renders their qualities obviouselementary medicine, which teaches us to regulate our own machine, and to preserve our health-geography, which makes us acquainted with the point of the universe onrwhich we are placed, or in which we mustexist. All these kinds of instructions arenendered necessary by the ordinary and practical events, common to every perion of life and every condition in soci-s ety. The utility of those studies is inconsi testible, because the subjects of them are constantly present to man, and constantly asting upon him. He cannot withdraw himself from their laws by his will, nor
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elude their power by reasoning or sophism. The fact is present. It is under his finger: He touches it, and its existence cannot be disputed. But in history, in that fantastio 1 picture of vanished events, the shadows of which only, remain, what is the necessity of studying those fleeting forms which have perished, and which never will live again? Of what importance is it to the labourer or the artizan, the tradesman or the merchant, that there has existed an Alexander, an Attila, a Tamerlane, an empire of Assyria, a kingdom of Bactriana, a Republic of Carthage, of Sparta, or of Rome? Will it add to his knowledge any thing necessary for his conduct, cor useful for his happiness? Would he be less comfortable or less happy though he knew not that there: had existed great philosophers, or even great legislators, called Pythagoras, Socrates, Zoroaster, Confucius, Mahomet? The men are gone, their maxims remain; but it is the maxims which are of importance to us, and on them weought to form our

## 110

opinion, without regarding the mould which produeed them, and which nature. herself has, doubtless for our instruction, broken. She has not however destroyed the models; and if the maxims interest, they nayy be eonfronted with natural facts. Their simiratityor discordance will decide the questien of error or truth. ${ }^{2}$, achesipugnty

2 I must repeat that $I$ camot conceive the necessity of studying to acquire a knowledge of facts which no longer exist, and I perceive much inconvenience in making that study an universat and ordinary occupation: One "obvious int convenience is, the employing so much time and wasting so much attention whick might be much better applied to the useful sciences. The difficulty of ascertaining the truth or falsehood of historical statements is another in conrenience, whith affords an indet to all the chicanery of at gumentation. "By this habit men are led torsuldstitute for the palpable demonstration of the senses, the vague sentiment's of

## 111

private opinion and persuasion. Such are ahways the reasons of those who never -reason at all, and which, being applied to error as well as truth, are only the expression of that self-love which is liable to exasperation on the smallest contradiction, and to engender the spirit of party, enthusiasm, and fanaticism.

- There is still another inconvenience in history, which is, that it is only useful in results, the elements of which are so complicated, so uncertain, and so much calcutated to mislead, that there can never be an absolute confidence or eertainty of avoiding error. I persist, therefore, in regadding history, not as a science, because, in my opinion, that title is only applicable to the demonstrative branches of knowledge, such as mathematics,' meclanics, geography, \&e. but as a system= atic art of calculating probabilities. In this it. resembles the art of medicine; for though the elements which compose the human body have fixed properties; and
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their combinations a determined and unin form operation, yet as these combiuations are numerous and variable and as they are only known by their effects the art of healing is involyed in a state of doubt and conjecture, which constitutes its diffir culty, and places it above the sphere of ordinary acquirements It is the same with respect to history. Though it be certain that particular occurrences have produced particular events or conse? quences, yet, as the positive state of these facts, as their relations and re-actions are ; not determined or known, there always exists the possibility of error. This rentr ders their application and comparison to other occurrences a delicate operatiqn, which requires minds much exercised in that kind of study, and endowed with great acuteness of penctration. It is true that under this last consideration I particylarly allude to the politigal utilityer of history, and I confess that, in my opion nion that utility is its proper and only object ${ }_{j \text { se }}$ Prate morals the improre
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ment of the arts and sciences, appear to me only accessary utilities. The principal ${ }^{5}$ object, the fundamental art, is the applis cation of history to government, to legislation, and to all the political economy of societies. I would therefore willingly denominate history the physiological science of government, since by a comparison of the past it teaches us to know the present and future state of political bodies, the symptoms of their disorders, the inticar tions of their health, the diagnostics of their agitations and of their crises, and, finally, the remedies which, in such cases, may be applied.

It was, ‘doubtless, from a conviction of the dibiculties we have described, "that the study of history was, among the aincients, confined to men who were destined for public employments. $\cdots$ Indeed, the best historians of antiquity, as'well as of mos dern times, have been what are called statesmen; and in Chină, an empire famed for many wise institutions, a special coll

## 114

dege of historiats das rexisfell for ages The Chinese have not mineaspnally simpposed, that the business of collecting and. transmitting thie factsowhich constiflite the life of a government and a nation, ought not to be abandoned to hazard not to the caprice of individuals. It It las appeared to them, that the composition of history would form a magistracysuleh might exereise the most powerful intuence on the conduct of nations and goverio ments. They have, therefore, bech the sirous that'men, selected for their kiow ledge and their virtues, should be charged wiih the task of collecting the revents of each reign, and that, without communicating with each other, they shonld deposit theirnotes'or memorandunis into sealed. boxes, which are not opened until the death of the prince or the expiration of his dynasty. I shall not here investixgate the advantages of this institutiont? $\mathrm{It}^{\mathrm{t}}$ is sufficient for me to indicate its correspond ence with the elevated idea I hare formed vor
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## of thistory. In come now to the iart iof historical composition. <br> $\square$

bins zaispall.os
Two distinguished authors have whitten on the subject of historical composition. The fustislLucian, born at Samosata, in the teign of Trajan, whose treatise is divided into criticism and preceptss In the first part he ridlicules, with that lively wit which is peculiar to himself, the bad taste of a multitude of historians, to whom the war of Marcus Aurelius against the Parthiansi gave birth, and who perished, as he says, like a swarm of butterflies after a storm, Among the faults with which he reproaches them, he particularly notices their amplification of style, affectation of learned words, and supertluity of epithets, as well as, by a natural consequence of so corrupt a taste, falling into the opposite extremes of trivial expression, low and disgusting details, intermixed with daring falsehoods and base flattery. In short, the epidemical corruption with which the Roman writers of the second century
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were attacked, was listinguished by the same symptoms as that of which nedern Europe has exhibited numberless examples among every people.

In the second part, Lucian describes the qualities and the duties of a good histo rian : he would have him endowed whith ságacity ; capable of thinking justly, what of disclosing his thoughts; experiencee in politics and in war; free from fear añe ambition, and alike inaccessible to the seductions or the menaces of Power, dididposed to declare the truth, without difitidence, and without acrimony; just witht ont severity; prepared to censure, but disdaining to calumniate ; and ncitlier in ${ }^{2}$ fluenced by a partynor a nationalspinit. ud In a aord, he would have hima a eituzen of the world, subject to no master, obeying no law regardless of the opinions of his own times, and looking only for the esteem of the wise and the suffrage of posterity. illi.: a maijus batoona will
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As, to the style of history, illacian irescommends that it sliould abe easy;? pure clear, and suited to the subject; habitually simple in narrative, but becoming noble, dignified, and almost poetic, according to the scenes it pourtrays ; seldom oratorical, and never declamatory. The reflexions, ought, to be short, the materials well dis $\approx$ tributed, and the evidence well scrutinized. In aword, the mind of the historian; as he observes, should be a faithful mirror; reflecting facts without distorting them. If he state a marvellous occurrence, he should simply describe it without affirmat tion or denial, that he may notibe responsible for its, truth or falsehood the ought to ${ }^{\text {i }}$ have no object but truth, no motive but the desire of being useful, and no recompence in expectation, but the approbation of those who are the best judges of his labours. Such is the substance of the Treatise of Lucian.
'The second author is Mabiy, who has given to his work the form of a dialogue,
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and divided it into two conversations. We are at first somewhat surpised to find three Greeks discussing the insurrection of the Anericans against the Ehiglish: Lucian would have laugbed at this incongruity, sut the severe Mably did not understand rallery. In the first conversa tion, he treats of the different kinds of history, and first of universal listory and its preliminary study. In the second, he treats of particular histories, of their object, and makes some observations conit mon to all the kinds.

- On opening the first conversation,"we' find that it is necessary to be bom a historian We are astonished to diseover sech at maxim in a brother of Contillae : but Condillac, gentle and amiable, analized; ; Mably, rigid and inflexible, judged and) decided: He afterwards proposes with more reason, that his disciples should study politics, of which he points out twe kinds: One founded on these kiws which nature has established for phoemeng"
happiness
hatpigess to men, that is tor say, whatever is really $a_{\text {law of of inature. (r. The other, the }}$ work of (men, or those watiable and cont ventional laws, $\}$ which are the offspring: of passion of injustices, and of force, and frome whech there result only factitious grad, and much certain evil. The first affords the historian soundicleas of justice, of the relations of miens and of the means of rendering, them happy, the scoonch instructs him in the liabitual progress of Luman affairs. He learns to calculates their movements, to foresee their effects, and to avoid their disasters. . In those, and some etherpreeepts, Mably is more:copious: and moreninstructive than Lucian :e Butitt is to be regretted that the former has neither imitated the: onder, the perspicuity, nor the gaiety of the latter :The whole of Mably's :work breathes a sombre and acrimonious morosity: fle respects no modern anthor: he: thinks perfection is) only to be found among the ancionts: whon he passionately admires; but notwithstandiug this:stachment, ihe prefers

(irotius

Grotius to Tacitus. Tacitus, he says, has deduced no lesson of utility from the reign of Tiberius. His painting is strong, but his instruction feeble: his manner of describing the conduct of the Romans towards the nations called barbarous, affords just grounds to doubt the soundness. of his philosophy. According to Mably, no history claims admiration except that of Titus Livy, a book which just criticisin might very properly denominate $\boldsymbol{a}$ rmance: of this he was aware, and there fore wished that a number of passages in this favourite author which displeased him should be expunged. He loves those harangues which the characters in history never made. He praises Bossuct for presenting to his readers"a great dramatic picture, and he grossty abuses Woltaire \%or lis assertion that history is but a purobable romance, only good whenit can beuren dered usefud. a It cannot be dissembledes. that the diffiuse and redundant work of Mably, composedswith no attention ta style or method, is unworthy ofculle
auther of the oblerevations on the History t of France. It doest not possess that diAlactic bievity which ought to have beeiir its principal merit, and which indeed Lucianialso wants. "The one huadred and eighty pages of Mably niay: be easily ref: duced to twenty good pages of precepts. The reader would then save eight-riniths of is his time, and be relieved from the pain whielo the author's spleneticsatire excites Let us not, however, accuse him with that as a crime, since it formed his torment: though men are not bornc historians, they are perhaps borne good humoured or morose; and unfortinately the culture of letters, a sedentary life, and laborious studies, are calculated to thicken the bile, to produè obstructions, and to disorder the stomach, which is always the source either of gaiety or chagrin. ft Literary men are condemned when they ought to be pitied. They are reproached for passions, which form perhaps the talents for which they are admired., They have but one fault, that, of labouring more for the benefit of others;
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than for themselves? Hitherto they have too mach neglected the physical know ledge of their nwn bodies, of that anmatedanachine by which they live. They have neglected the laws of physiology and regimen, which ave the funamental sciences of the affections. This study would be peculianly proper to the writers. of personal history, and would give to thent a kind of utility as new as important ? If ansubserver, at once moralistand phys siologist, studied the relations which exist between the dispositions of his bodyi and the temper of his mind Lif he examined with care on what days and at what hours: hepossessed uncommon activity or langtor. of thenght;' bold and aninated, or cold and feeble sentiments, lie would pereceive that those orlinary and periodical phases of the mind correspond with the equatly common and peribical phases of the
 different kinds of aliments, "ưpethergentle or acmid, stimulating or sedative, of which certain liquors,' such as 'wine andecoffec,
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are striking examples-with interrupted or precipitated perspiration, - In a word, he would be conyinced that the movement, well or ill regulated, of the corporeal machime is the powerful tegulator of the makement on the thinking organ; that, conisequontlyn hat is called a vice of the mind or character, is frequently only a defect of the bodily temperament or functrons which requires only a good regimen to obe cor rectodd From such arstudy, Tedl conducted thete woutd result this'utility, that, disoovering the canse of many virthes and manytvices in our physicthathits, we shouk be furnished with-valubile rules of conductapplicable to different temperaments, and we should thus be taughto cherish a spinit of indulgence; wheh would make us? fegard bien usually called pevish amd intaleant, onty as diseasedror ill-comstiteted, who, liko nther vatetudinarians, ought ta bet sent to minetal watefs or bathing quatersi);

##  LECTURE VI.

Continuation of the same subject. - Four. méthods of composing History $-1.1=A c$ cording to the order of time, as in An* male and Chronicles-2.By adopting a dramatic or systematic arrangement 3. By treating the different subjects sea parately-4. By an analytic or philos?? phic arrangement It Illustration of these z different methods - Superiority of the last - Its connection with politics and legislation. -It admits none but asterrained facts, and is only suitable to modern times. -Facts recorded of ancient times, can never possess a higher evidence than that of probability -Necessity on this account of recomposing ancient His ${ }_{\text {Hi }}$ tory. -Plan of a literary society forms collecting ancient documents in every part of Europe -Prejudices destroyed by discipsing their origin. Influcncer of History on the conduct of govern-
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Whents, avid the fate of nations:-Effects of the Jewish writings on Europe.'I wistiffects of the introthetion of the Greek briand Roman works imto education:-Coinclasion.

Lecin has treated the qualities necessary for a historian, and the style proper for history Mally has added some observations on the preparatory and auxiliary knowledge which this kind of composition requres; that knowledge he confines dimbst exelusively to the laws of nations atud personal rights whether naturditheractitious and conventional, which fornied his favoute and particular stidy. The subject appears by no means exhatusted, a dndmi shall add to the precepts of those kathors some hints on the art of collecting tind ${ }^{3}$ recording o historical


It appears to me, that there are four methods of teeating history. The first, in uhich the orler of time is followed, I
call the didactic an Ghronological method. The second, which is founded on the connection or co-relatifn of facts 1 eafl the dramatic orsysternatic method. The third is arranged in the order of the different subjects The fourth consists in an analytic exposition of the whole physical and moral systemof a people. This I call the amalytic os philosophic method. I shall explain myself farther.

The method in the order of time is a collection and classification of events acs cording to their dates. The style is that of pure and simple narrative intermixed with few or no reflections. Those who call every thing that is rude and artless natural may give that name to this methed , but those who never fail to discos ver the thand of nature in every production, with the sole difference of more or less skill and combination, will denomimate this omethod the most simple, the least complicated, and requiring the least degxee of istudy and talent in the com-

- position thats nver find thint tunder sthe name of Annals and Chronicles, this \%mt of history has alivays been the first m every nation. But even in this diodest shape it has sometimes risen ito va vely high degree of merit, when writers, Mlke $v$ Tacitus in his Annals, and Thucydidesin. his Peloponnesian War, have knownhow to select interesting facts; and to add to thie fidelity of the picture all the bold and brilliant colouring that expression can give. On the contrary, when authors without any mark of taste present their readers with a confused mass of facts when all their labour is reduced to dall insipid narratives of the reigns and deaths of princes, or dry inanimated detalbsof battles, plagues and famines, has ishthe case with almost all the historians of ancient and modern Asia, as well as those of the middle ages of Europe; then, destitute of interest, "and barren in sinstizuction, it must be confessed that thiskind of composition deserves all that eontempt which is. commonly bestowed on books
obearing the title of chronicles. Suchicomposifions are only rude raughts, withont any enbelfishent and though the materials may be well chosen aria complete, they form only the first step to mards the other kinds of history.
"偪iThe second method, wheh I calldramsatic orl systematic, consists in rentering Hall episodes or accessary narrations sulbdsevient to the inan story, tand inlconnecting and blending all the collateral transactions with the principaleventy the have a characteristic example of this oneIthod in the History of Herodotus; the e basis of which is the War of the Persians and Greeks; and the author hads so arranged the incidents, that, commenemg with the origin of both nations, he traces the gradual rise of the power of each through all the ramifications that contributed to its formation, as a geograpler follows to their sburces all the streames that lose themselves in a principal tiver. By a series of incidents skilfully intio-
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-500 nou' 23 dimonio to sifis 3dt angisnd diuced Herodotus makes his readerss ae quainted with the bistory of the Lydians; the Medes, the Babylonians sublued by Cyrus; then the Lgyptians conquered dy Cambyses; next the Seythians attacked by Darius; then the Indians; and in treating their history, he takes a general view of the extremities of the worldeas. known at tliat time He returns at last. to his leading subject; terminates it with the triuniph of the little. Greek states over thie imueuse multitudes of Xerxes, when. they fought at Salamina and Thermopyla, This is the capital event which forms the catastrophe of the work. In this method, the author disposes of his materials as he pleases, and his, success entirely depends uponi his art and talent in connécting; suspending, aud combining the principal events of his stomy, so as to produce:an unity or forrespondence of all the parts with the whole. I have, therefore, odisist tinguished this methed by blly terah ty/sf
 himserf to the developement of one cevent,
which terminates a series, and is the solution of all that precede it, the graidual increase of interest which is thus excited gives to the work a dranatic character This is the kind of history which is best adapted to conspiracies, where every thing is explained, and terminated at affinal point:

Those different and varied advantages of freedom in the plan, boldness in the execution, beauty in the detail, and interest in the result, have procured for this method the decided preference of the greater number of writers, particularly in modern times. It is, however, be begretted, that the opportunity which is thus presented for the forming of hypotheses, and the stoo great exericise of the imagiquation, renders this kind of history very diable to erior. We have brilliant exam--Hes of this kind of compositionsin Xertodits Revolutions of Portugal; SWeden, yind Romes and in an infinite number of sother histories far less ably written.


## 0191

2ucon the ithird anethod the materíatsare iolassed and arranged in the orter offediffevent subjects, feach of wheltis separatedytreated a 16 consists in tracing any parit ticular art or science from its ongin or from a given period, and consideringet singly throughout the whole of its progitess. Such is the task which Gognet has aro ${ }^{-1}$ a posed to himself in his? work intiffed解The Origin of Laws, of Ats, hadeof Seiences. "Mo chore bfit a subjectedul be more philosophic, but uffortunatety none could have been tess philosopheany. mãuggeat Before recurring to the dedage of Noah for the origin of laws, arts and sciencés, cand evèy social mistitutiont? would have been well to have flexammed whetherlsuch a hasis did not ovettrow the whole edifec of history whether, Dy andoptirg primitive faets contradictorysto experiencésand probability, and inednsist ent with the best monments of antiquity, we do not depinve ofrselves of the ith int of resorting tof thibse idules of experelte and probabiliey which constitute the aft

## 13

 ought to be proved, that the book of Ges nesis as not the delinplation of an \%unf known hatd made after the return of the Jews from their?eaptivity, and in which their mational chronieles are iiftérspersed with ad coshogony purely Chaldean, simit Jar te that itesgribed by Berosus comys thology of the same inature as the myitio logiessof ofllother nations, in which aströ-s nomical facts disguised are taken for po litieal or physical facts, and in which the pretended history of the earth is only the history of the calendar. But were even this oegative proved, it would still be firl diculous to assume for a text the Hebrew period from the deluge to Jacobl, while it is entirely filled up with facts of Eyyptiant, Syriang Chaldean, Greek, Indiam? and Chinese origin!, Indeed, were those facts. well anailysed and compared, they awould perhaps denonstrate, that the sacted wouts, the high places plantcd with the: of frof oMfarte, the hyman sacrifices, of: whichysaze nearly becane the wictim,: juJ) ajodi and
and the idols of the women of dacoly we ene so many customs of the Druidic and Tare tarworship, which at that tingerexended from the pillars of Hercules to the Serisme ai worship, which is precisely the system of, Buddism, and aucient and modern Lamisns, the seat of whiche was then at Thibet ampng the Pramiss, celebrated from als antiquity as the fathers of Asiatic theologyo Those aptiquitics are profoundly elucidated in a work of the kind we are naw considering. I allude to the History of ancient Astronomy, by Bailly, whose virtues and talents haye received a recom-i pencefrom the Revolution, which will not be considered as one of the slightest stains; of that sanguinary period. if shall jlikeri wise mention, as histories arranged acti cording to the order of the subjects? Dr. Henry's History of England onde, Robertson's Disquisitions concerning ther 'lixale of India, the History of the Fir nances of Frmee by Forbonnais, the Hisw tory of Fatalism by Plaquet, whoo with his Dictionary: of Heresies, has prepared. excellent
excellent materials for anotion history of the same kind，the History of 9 anaticism． No subject is inore capable of eminently uniting history with philosophy．Earnati－ cism einbraces a part of the the ory of the sensations，of the judgment，of the convic－ tion，and of the persuasion common torerror and to truth of that double disposition of the mind，which，sometimes passive and credulous，receives the yoke as a slave，and， sometimes active and zealous，imposes it as a tyrant．Such a history would also afford the opportunity of considering，in all nations，that terrible malady off the mind，which，influenced sometimes by persons，sometimes by opinions，and as－ suming alternately religious，politicit，and monal denominations，is still the sameboth With respect to its nature and its conse quences，which are，the fury of civil discord； the carnage of intestine or foreigm wars； the dissolution of social order by the spinit of faction，and the overthrow of empires by the delirium of igmotance and presuinp－


## 2185

Io The forurth, othat is, the analy tie or philosophicinethod, is theisameiasithe last with respect: to the managementiof the sinbject: but it differs inilthis, in that, ioinstedad of treating a single art, science br passion, \&ec. it embraces a political body in sall sits parts. a Considering nations as individuals, it followsis them through all the duration of thein physical and moral existence, with this characteristic circumstance, that it first establishes in their order all the facts relative to that existences for the purpose of afterwards deducing from their reciprocal action the eauses and effects of the rise, the grandem, and the decline of that kind of moral combinationicalted a political state or governur moritern Whus this kind of historymay be regarded as the biography of a natioin, and the physiological study of the zaula, of the growth and decay of its social



I cannot point out any model of this method, because I know no trork that has

## 156

Weenternducted on the plan have im viev. It is a new species, of wich fore onfy formed complete ide within these -few years cobliged to adopt a method for drawing at my travels in Syria, 1 was led, Qis it were by instinct, to describe, in the firstplace, the pliysicalstate of the country, fand to indicate all the circumstances of its soil aud clinate, so different fiom ours, and without which it would have been im possible to understand a number of its laws and customs. On this basis, as on the ground-work of a picture, is ranged the population, the different kinds of which I had to consider, their origin to trace, their distribution to follow: This distris -bation conducted me to the politicalstate of the country, considered with respect to the form of the govennent, the onder of Admintration, the source of the laws, thein instruments and means of execution Armed at the articles of manners, chameter retigious and civi opmons, L obseted that there existed or the same soil as many contrasts of sect with sect, and

## 2937

- of face with race as points of common resemblance between them? The problem became nore complicated, and the more II investigated it, ther move lawas conTinced of its extent and profandity yrothe authority of Montesquien appated to teSolve it by his gencrat rule of climate, Which would constantly associate heat With indalence and servility on one part, and cold with energy and liberty on another, is But the authority of Montesquau was contradicted by a multitude of past facts, and byexisting facts, which exhibiteditane, under the same sky, and in a space of less than four degrees, three distinct and opposite characters. I there: fore resisted the empire of a great mame; and I resisted it the more readily in conseguerice of having already discoyered a palpable ergor in Buffon, with respect to the pretended exhaustation of a soil, in which I observed all the fertility it ever was capable of possessing. With regard to Montesquicu; I was convinced that he
ohad only adopted with alterations an opianion which Isome ancient philosophers, and particularly Hippocrates maintained $^{2}$ - in a sense much more just /and precise. II sknow the clebrated treatise of that physician anair soil, and water 1 L have proved the truth of his assertions with regard to the influence which those three eloments exercise on the constitution and - temperament. ${ }_{3}$ I perceived that a portion of the physical and moral habits of thepeople whom I studied, wereindicated by the -state of their soil; whether arid or marshy, level or mountainous, barren or fertile, and by the quantity and quality of their -aliments. it conceived that all those circumstances entered as so many datajinto -the solution of my problem, and from that time I have constantly had in view the consideration of the following question :6 What influence over the manners and the character of a people may be ascribed Ito the physical state of their, soid consisdered sundar all the cireumstances of heat and
and cold, diyness and bumidity itownes and clevationsffertility fand barrenness; joine to the quality of its productions? - If this be the sense; in which Monteo quie understood the word climate, athe tshould have sadid-so, and then therelwould Have been no dispute on the subject. Frieryiday nelv facts accumulaté, which dedionstrate that the above circumstances powerfully and variously modify the physical and moral constitution of mations, and produce contrasts in neighbouring, and resemblances in distant countuies that a people' who migrate preserve for a long time habits which are discordant with their new residence, because thase "habits proceed from an obstinate mechanism of organization-that, finally, even in the same country, and under the same climate, the disposition and temperament of the inhabitants yary according to their customs, exercises, regimen, and aliments. The knowledge no thoso physicallaws form, therefore, a necessary element in
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the science of govering and of organizingarocial bodyain conformityowith the movement of nature tion, properly understood, is only the application of the laws of nature in Factitiousiandleonventional laws ought, therefore, to be onty the expression of physicat and natural laws, and not the expression offthe capheious will of an individual, ${ }^{2}$ party though proifounceld by the ogreat majority. of all mànkind, imight still be erroneous? but as in tesearclies of thiskind,band ina seicnce whichisyet in its imfaney, importance to sadmitr nothing that pait takes of the spiritoof systemfoswall state theimode of proceeding which appeatsito me:the best calculated to lead to truth. ©
 s:Haying fixed apon a particular people and weountry, it is alecessaty in the fifst placeittondescribe thice yolimate foand by climate I mean the gencralsifate of the weathersisthes latituden andothertempera-

$14 \mathrm{I}_{1}$
uathaystem of the winds nind the dryor hupidh colld or het qualities that distin-t, guish then, ancerding ito the different points from which they blow, with their duration and periodical returns -the quantity of water that falls yearly the storns, the mists and the hurricanes. Passing next to the physical constitution of the soilsit is necessary to point out the aspect and configuration of the ground, as te plains and mountains to indicate, where the country is woody and where it, is openmint what places the $f_{f}$ soil is arid, and in what aqueous, and whether the waters consist of marshes, rivers, or lakes -to determine the general elevation, and partial fisings above the level of the sea, ass, well as the declivitics of large masses, of carth towards different points of the horizon-to examine, the nature of the dhffergut beds and strata which compose, the, soil, odistinguishing its qualities, whether, argillaceous, calcateous, sandy, rocky loamy, or vegetable; its banks of schistus, its grauites, marbles, mines, vol-
câtoes, salts, and metalliedsporigss. 3 Then
 anftial productrons, such as the trees, plants, gitans, and fruits of ecevery kind thits? birds, quàdrupeds, fishes, andyeeptiles! fonn a word, inothing that belongs toे the phyy sicap state of the country ought to to ${ }^{2}$


This ground work once laid, the mext object of consideration is the lumat spez cies sis view ought first to le atk en ${ }^{\prime}$ the generat termperament of the sinhabi tants, next therrsocal modifications the kind and quantity of their alinents, tand the most striking of theirmoralandiphysical qualities : then, embracinge mass of population in a political point of wew, we shoulat conster it as distributed intocm hatbitants of ${ }^{1 j}$ the eoterntry frici of the
 chatits, soldits, atregents of the govern-4 ment, Funtlyy we should praeeet to ther detchopement of ofloe general system of the goveriment, the mature of its power,

## 145

and the mode of exercising it in the difars ferent Sranclies of the formation and execution of laws，administration of po liee and of justice，public instruction， revenue and expenditure，foreign relas tions，military and nãval forces，batare of commerce，and every part of politicat economy．

From such a pieture of well asertaned facts there would，in the frist place，result all the dat a ne cessary for acquiring an accurate knowledge of the moraf and po－ litical constitution of a nation？while the aetion and reaction of the several parts on eacliother would present an interestingo surbject for reflection，and exhibit cont－ binations highly usefur in the proffond theory of legistation and govemmentandta

Pictures of this kind would be peculi－ arly Mostrutetive，were they the represent ations＇bf thie state of different and dise simiterintions lio lie oontrast in the re stferwould setwe the bettet to explain the ぞかりこのしつ

## 144 :

power of ophysical factstoperating is. causes. There would then remain only one operation, that of comparing the picz: tures the same people present at different: periods, which would exhibit the successive action and genealogical order the moral and physical facts have followed, and enable us to deduce therefrom laws of combination and rules of rational probability: Indeed, when we study the ancient and modern histories we now possess s, t wercadily perceive in the progress, ors if I may so eall it, the life of political bo-s dies, mechanism whichindicates the it existence of more general and $\downarrow$, unifomms lays than common obscrvation has been able to discover. This remark is not suggested by the comparison so often made of the duration of political societies do the is liyes of individuals, according to which all the phases of youth; maturity and old age, are to be found in the rise splendor and decay of empires, This comparison; which is in every respectivicious, has a very pernicious tenglency, since itinduces us to
consider the dissolutionidof politicat 60 : dies as an anawoidabletnecessity, whatever may be the mature of them oiganization? That dissolution, sitowever, ifse onlyot the effect of a radical error in the system of legislation, which has hitherto been only directed to one of these objects, to credtc, tomantain, or to overthento that is to say, it ohas inonly embraced one of the three periods of which the existence of every thing is composed. To detemine the concomitant phemomena of each of those three periods, in order to form a gene ral theory; embracing all the casês of 音 political body in its different phases of vigour or plenitude, of weakness or vacuity, and describing the different kinds of regimen suitable to an overflow or deficiency ef population, would be a science equably new and important. - Such ought to be the object.of history: but it must be con: fessed that this object cannot be well accomplislied, except with respect to existing nations and in moderin timés, which affort the means of collecting all analo-
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sions factsilit shave) therefore money than ouce thought that travels, ruidertaker for thisgobject, would bee thernicians of procuring exceltentimaterials for history; and notmodern history only; but also that of anoient times y They would serve to col leet and aseetain moltitude of scattered facts; which are so many living momsments of antiquity. These monuments too are much more numerous than is generaly imagined. Besides the ruins, intseriptions, medals, and even manuseripts which are tiequently discovered; we have it stilline ourt power to examine customs; mánners, teligions, and particularly langrage, ${ }^{3}$ the constritction of which isua complete history of every preofle fandidits associrtionsliand analogies, othe che of of
 gint of nationseme ... in is orent cruiff zers.

Menhave been too eager in the compillation of twiwerbathistoriesu Betore such whtredifides vere rected; Trit would:have been proper to have prepared:all the details,

[^1]
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antl tindave elucidated the different parts of whely ithey, avere: to fbelgcompased. Thereshouldhave beens procured agood eamplete Wistory of each riation, or at least all the frigments' we possess should haveobeen collected and put order, that rational conclusions might have bcen


- Hismunurs -as i

We have paid too much deference to the Greeks and Romans : we have servilely folloxed sa narrow and exclusive method, whifly refers every thing to the system of andinsignifican't people of Asia unkinown in anttiquity, or to the system of Herodo: *ust the circumscribed limits of which exhibit only Egypt,' Greece, and Italy, was if that simall space contained the iniverse; or as if the history of those nations were any thing more than a small and solitary branch of the history of mankind.
It is within a haudred years only that we have ventured to step out of this path; aird the hotizen is already so much en-

## (148

larged, that the most distant boundary of our classical histories presents only the last. term of a careen of anterior time, in which Thebes, which preceded all the kingdoms of Egypt, had flourished and fallen-Tin which Asia had witnessed the dissolution of Bactria, inibet, and several Indian states then renowned for their antiquity -in which hordes of Scythians had migrated from the sources of the Ganges and the Sampou to Great Britain and Danemark-in which the religious systems of Bramism, Lamism, and Buddism; which is still more ancient, prevailed ${ }^{25}$ in a word, in, which there, had occurred all the various events of a period which exhibits the ancient Continent, from the extremity of Spain to the confines of Tartary, covered with one forest, and peopled with the same species of wandering savage tribes, under the different mames of Celtes, Germans, Cimbri, Scythians, and Massagetesu After following the English writers in thosesprofound researches, which have mode ussacquainted with the sacred books

## 449

of the Indiants thei Vedams, the Poutains. and the Chastransainfter studying the and tiquities of Tibet and Wartary with Geow git Pallas, and Strahlembergip and thoseriots Germany and Seaudinaviatwith Hornitiss Elichanan, Iablonsky, Marcows, Gebhard, and Ihre, it will be acknowledgedthat we are how onty opening themine of ancient history, and that in less than a century all our Greco-Roman compilations; all the pretended Uniiversal Histories of Rollin; Bossuet, Fleury, \&cc: are books which must be re-cast. Wiven the reflections $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ those works will be found of no value; since the facts on which they are founded are either totally false or mis-stated. Foreseeing this revolution, which alrearlysegins to be felt, I have sometimes reftected on the means best calculated toj directits operation. I shall disclose my ideas on this subject with confidence, because a truer picture of antiquity) would have the moral utility of removing a multiplicity of prejudices, the source of which is only. considered sacred because it is cunlinozon;
and liketise the political uithity of com tributing to make the people of ecvery eountry regard eath other as brothersplay protucing titles of generlogy 0 which prove the epochs and the degree of their

 It is obvious that a work of this kifid camot be executed by one individual, and that it requires the united exertions of a number of fellow-labourers. There is wanting a numerous society, whichs cdivided into sections, would methodically follos every branch of one identicat plan of research. I see the elements of witis society in the different academies oforitinrope, which, whether by theirinfluenceras public bodies, or by the enulation they hideecreated, have been, whatever may be oulvauced to the contrary, the principal causes of the progress of instruction and science. Each of thuse a cademies, beting as section of the great histofteophithophic society mighterlipect its inquiries a the history and the monuments of its own

## (1) 51

 ed men of Petersburghinave datgus with
 lish Society of Calcutta forifladia, Chim, and Tibet; and the Socicty, of leatued Germans for ancient Germania and Sarmatia. To those recent labours we are ialready indebted for works which, ing descending to posterity, will do honour to the individuals who executed them, and the governments which favoured and encouraged them.

In the plan which than conceinge!, the researehes would be ahoted to sexe 1 principal sections. The first, under the =name of the Celtic, would have for the subject of its investigation all thed danguages and all the nations which with characters of aflinity rendered daily more apparents apmed to havo been spread oser
 as far as ther Peserts of Ginabricarabd Sarmatie.. forthis banoh shouldspe attached the stirefy of ithe Exse the Wcted, and atic
language of Brittany; the ancient Gersz mane which is still preserxed in the motr dern Germay and the Dutch and thers English, which progeed from the Gothicis the dialects of which extended from Scantis dinavia to Thrace and the Grecian contiss nent.


The Literati of Sweden and Germany have, within these thirty years, proyed, that the Aborigines of Europe and of Greece consisted of one race of savages devoted to a pastoral, hunting, or wander ${ }^{2}$ ing life, and speaking a language radically the same. It becomes daily more and more evident, that the Gouls or Cedte (helta) spoke originally one language which in Germany was called the Seutonic, in the more northern parts of Europe the Gothic, in Thrace the Scythic, and in Greece and Italy the Pelasgic. Those froms Pelasgi, from whom the Grecks and Romans sprung, were real Scythians and the ancestors of the Thra-w cians, who, as Herodotus insinuates, pre-

## 159

served the Seythian idion, and were consequently a Getic or Gothic race, for, by the names Gete, Gotthi, and Scythe, the Ancients meant the same kind of people. This identity, it is true, is not apparent to us in the word Scyth; but tit was sufficiently obvious to those who pronounced it $s$-kouth, a word composed of the article $s$, which in the Gothic is equivalent to our article the, and kouth, that is to say, Goth or Gdeth, which, in a number of ancient and modern dialeets, signifes a warrior or man of courage*, and by transition, a wealthy, generous, or good mant. "The warrior, who was brave and powerful, would naturally be styled rich, generous, and good, in eontradistinction to the cvils which aftended a state of poverty and wealmess.


- Triek goite of the Orientals, in which the sound of the giresembles vithe prondanciation of lourt thasta gat turatis. fowe similarity"betreten mic words god in Enitish.


The magogothic glossary of Doctonilhe, published at Upsal in 1769 contains ioteresting details on this subject, to whic the semarks of Gaterer and Scheozer have radded some additional infornation They have proved, that the Greek has a striking aptinity to the ancient Gothic, bath with tefsect to the words and the syntax. drhus the enthusiastic admirers of the Greeks are exposed to the alternative of paying a part of their devotion to the Thracians and Scythians,' or of withdtar. ing it from their favourites upon discoyeringethem to be the brethren of the Vaudals sand Ostrogoths:


- This consanguinity forms a pointiof - contitet, whence a second division axises. This division, which I call the Hellenistic ;Section embraces the Greek aud Latin languages which haye for descending - brauchess all the idioms of the south of Modexn Europen the: Yortugueze, Spanish, French, and Italian and allithe terms of

 people of the south, those tivo languages are intermized with the ancient Gothie. Their ascending branehes are a mixture of the Peläsgie adiom, with the Ploeniciah, Egyptiah, Jydian and Ionic words ifintroduced by the $A$ siatic colonies, the first settlements of which have given rise to the fàbulous stories of Danaus and Cadmus It appears that the people of those - colondes were to Greece and Italy, what theoEaropean emigirants have been to Asia and America; that they carried with them the arts and sciences of polished Asia, and. became a stock of population which sometimesidentifieditself with the original inhabitants, and sometines extirpated orabsonbed them. Their migration may be traped by the kaphabet, During the Trojan waf, te number of the Groek letters was incesaed. by three or fon sydian or Trojan chatuac. ters one of whith is istill to be oothod in
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The infornation necessary to elucidate the labours of this section should be dens rived from a third, which , under the name of the Phenician, ought to embrace the study of the following idioms-The an - Th cientHebrew, or the Samaritan; theHebrew! of the second age or the Chaldean; the Hebrew of the third age, or the Syriac; the more modern dialects of the Coptic and ${ }_{5}$ Eryptian, which are a mixture of the Greek with the old Egyptian ; the Arabic and Ethiopic, which differ only in the character. To this section it would belong to make researches respecting Carthage ${ }_{2}$ and its colonies in Spain, Sicily and Africa. ? Recent researches have discovered some : remarkable traces of those settlements in the kingdoms of Fez and Morocco of It rould inform us to what branch we should refer the singular idiom of the Biscayens, which appears to have been at one time spoken orer all Spain and which has not analogy with the Celtic It owld ext: plan to us the origin of the language of the inhabitants of Mount Atlas, called.

Berberes; oa language which resembles none with which weeare at present ac quainted: but I may here remark, that ancient dialects have in general been best preséved in mountainous countries. have in my possession a Berbere Vocabulary, but I have not yet had time to examine it sufficiently: Thave metely observed a frequent use of the gittural $r$, which is the Gamma of the Greeks, the Gain of the Arabs, and which is 'found through out alr the south of Asia: I Imagine that this dialect is the ancient Numidian. This section would also, by the nienans of the Arabie, come in contact with several dialeets "of 'India and Africa, and with the Persian and modern Turkish, the basis of which is to be found in the Tartarand ancient Scythian languages.

Oi this basis there should be formed at fourth section," "destined to examine thoo mumerous diatects which liave branchés of analogy from China to England. "It might, perhayss, be able to cexplain to us

## 0158

how it happens that the Anglo Saxominas the same syntax as the moderin ilersinh which is founded on the language of the ancient Parthians, awhozaére at Seythian people ; and that a number of words in theimost common use are fdike ing both idiomstle It would explainstonuswhy, in Sweden and Denmarky there is a vastmmber of geographical naines which are alsô found among the Moguls, and throughout India-why the Tartar of the Crimea, quoted by Busbeck ambassador from the Emperror to Soliman II: resembles theiMásogothic of Ulphilas; that is tossay; why a dialect of the Mogul tribes of Tchin: guizkan is similar to a dialect of the ancient Scythian or Gothic; of which Inhavesal-

 ${ }_{20}$ For this section there would be reserved thesolutionoflmany interesting problems, uponsthe first data of whichnwetriave hitherto only touched Ins considering those analogiest of differenth languages ; in collecting the similitudes which exist: in the
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asages the customs, the manners, w the rites; and eveni inf the physical constitítion of nations ; in considering that the Cimbri, the Teutones, the Germans, the Saxons, the Danes and the Siwedes are all distinguished by the same characters of physiognomy as that race formerly called Massagetes or Great Getes, and in modera times Elutes and Mangols, that is: for say white men from the west ;-in considering that the people of those countries are high in stature, and have white skins, blue eyes, and fair hair-we are convinced that the first cause of this similarity of constitution, is a similatity of climate, food, and mode of life : but we must also admit that the other analogies are the consequences of migrations operated by those warsand conquests so rapidily and easily made among pastoral nations. It would ibe of importances to know the details of those migrations and conquests, and to learnaft what period that terwible and powerful horde of Azes spread themselves to the extremities of the north; whither they bope

160
the name of the frightful religion of Wot den; systematic ideas would, perhaps, in-d duce us to fix this epoch at the time when Mithridates, flying from Pompey, drove before him the people who inhabited the banks of the Euxine sea, who in theirturn pushed forward the Sarmatians smbut we have solid reasons for plàcing it at an earlier date, and particularly for refusing to acknowledge the existence of Odin, or Wodin, as the leader of that invasion. This pretended chieftan tis thes divinity worshipped in other countries under the different names of Budd, Bedda, Butta, Fot, and Taut, that is, Mercury; which is evident from the name Mevcury being given in the south to the same day of the week that in some parts of the north of Europe is called. Wensdag, Wodendag, and Wednesday, that is, Woden's day, and which om the one part connects thisi systemiwith thatuof the Druids, who were the wor shippers of Teutates $\div$ andion the other paut with that of the Ghetes, ingho were thequetorers of Zamnasis, now, the duma of
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Tibet andulartary when we consider that Tibet Bud-Tan (the land of Büdd) is the ancient country of the Brahmâns $\frac{\text { ceit }}{}$ that, in the time of Alexander, those Bratimans or Gyminosophists were the most learned and most venerated cast in Indiant that the inost ancient pilgrimage of Asia was directed to $L a h$-sa and Poutalithenthe the Scythian or Ghetic hordes have flocked thither from time immemorial-that their posterity, now called Tartars, still presenve their dogmas and rites-and that this worship has sometimes occasioned schismatic wars among themselves, sometimes armed them against foreign unbelievers: when we consider all those things, it appears probable that some hordes who had emigrated from the deserts of Chamo and Buckaria, have been gradually driven to the Cimbric Chersonesus, 'hy an 'im' pulse similar to that 'which conducted the present Turks from the mountains of Altai, and the sources of the Irtich, to the banks of the Bosphorus. ${ }^{11}$ If this conjecture be well founded, the Swedish Chronicle,
quoted in tlie History of Thehinguzkan, may have becin correct in stating that the Swedes caméf from Kasgar: grlt io.ernodsf

It will be obvious; that the study of the ancient languages of Persia, Zend and Pehleve, and (perhaps the Median;sshould Tikewise be allotted to this section; but it will require farther labours to determine whether the Sclavonic, spoken in Bohemia, Poland, and Russia has really been transported from Mount Caucasus and the country of Mosques ; as the Asiatic manners of the nations that speak it would induce us to believe To future lahours it will also belong to distinguish the Mongel from those of the Calnucand Hunaic bxanches, dialects of which are spoken -inFinland, Lapland anduungaty; to determine whether the ancient language of Ladia, the Sanscrit, is uot the primitivendiadect of Tibet and Indostan, and the originiof a multitude of diateats of stiasitodisqaver swat language is ronneted withy the Chinese and Matay idions which are Soroctar
spread
spread pyer all the isles of India and the Pached Oceatis Such onght to be the labours of the two other sections，namely the fifth and sixth，while the last should be devoted to the comparison of the slan ${ }^{2}$ guages of the east of Asia with those of the west of America，in order to prove the communication of the inhabitants of thouse continents．

2 The most useful works that could result from these labours would be the formation of dictionaries and grammars．Indeed it ＂might alinost be asserted，that／each lan－ guage is a complete history，since it is a picture of all the ideas of a people $\mathbf{I}$ ain therefore persuaded that the study of language is that which will enablelus to ascend furthest in the gencalogy of ina－ tions：by successively deducting what ＇each nation has borrowed or supplied，we ishould at last be conducted to one oor se－ －verat pimitive and orginal languages，the ＂analysis of which woild even eluedate the wornderfat invention fof speectain（to ジき。 historica！
historical researches can be more useful than those which tend to accumalateino cabularies and grammans"; and that operation the universal alphateet of which If have formed a plan, would be of real advantage, as, by reconciling all languages. to one character, it would greatly abridge their study, and exhibity one oneriew the resemblance or the difference of the words of which they are composed. sbidy?
i. It now remains for me to consider the influence which historical works have on the opinions of succeeding generations, and on the conduct of nations and gon vernments. If A few examples will serve to illustrate the nature and power of this influence. Every one knows the effect produced on the mind of Alexander by the Iliad, which is a history in verses The son of Philip becoming the enthusiastic admirer of the valour of Achilles, made it his model, and, carrying the histtorical poem in a golden box, nourished by its perusal his military passion. In
tracing effectsito their causes, it is not absurd to suppose that the conquest of Asia depended on this simple occurrence of the reading of Homer by Alexander? the conjecture at least is probable. 1 i But another fact, which is not less memorable, and more certain, is, that the History of Alexander, written by Quintus Curtius, was the principal cause of ther terrible wars which agitated all the north of Europe at the end of the last and the commencement of the present century. All of you have read the History of Charles XII. King of Sweden, and you all know that it was the work of Quintus Curtius that inflamed him with the desire of imitating Alexander. 'The effects of -this passion, which first shook, and finally consolidated the Russian Empire, may be said to have transplanted it from Asia to Europe ; for, had it not been on account of the war with Sweden, Peter I. would probably have remained at Moscow, and never have thought of founding Petersburgh: but had the historian alld the poet accompanied
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Wheinarrations? with judicious reflections on the misery which conquests pootireed and, imstead of profaning the name of Wirtue by applying it to nillitary affairs, pointel out the extravagance and crimifality of fwar, it is probable that the minds of the two young princes, of twom we have spoken; would have received another direction, and that theirlactivity would have been employed in the acquisition of solid glory, of which the Czar Peter,' notwithistanding the defects of his education had formed by far the most just

S. I thave cited individual examples fit shall now notice popular and nationatin stances of this influence. Ito Whoeven has read witl attention the History of the Eastem and Western Empires, and that of Modern Furope, mist have observed; thatingall the convalsions of nations, in all the wars," in all the treaties of peace and alliance that haver taken iplace within fifteen centuries, there has invariably been
arroference to triusactions recorded in the kooksoof the Hebrews.)inf Popes pretend to amoint and consecrate Kings, it is in imitation of Melchisedeck and Samuel If limperors do penance for their sins at the fect of Pontiffs, it is in imitation of David and Heackiahno It is in imitation of the Jews, 1 that Europeans make war upon! $\mathrm{In}^{2}$ fidels. It is in imitation of Ahod, Eglon; and Judith, that individuals assassinate princes to obtain the palm of martyrdom? In the fifteenth century; when the art of printing promulgated those works which before existed only in minuscript;" and rendered them books of general use, this enfluence: was double, and produced an epidemic mania of imitation. You know the dreadfule effects to which this passion gaves xise int the wars promoted by Luther in Germanys in those which Cromwell conductedg int England, and in those of the iteague which was terminated by Henry ${ }^{\text {Van }}$ Iiven infour own times; we have seen a striking sample of the poiwer of
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those effects exhibited in the war of the Americans against Great Britain.ta Thé passages of the Bible in which Moses and *amuel expose the abuses of royalty; served not a little to suppor the insurection, as they had formerly assisted in overthrowing the throne of Charles. Thus the iprincipal, mover of the destiny of the unixerse, the Normal rule of an immensityio gene: rations, has been drawn from the history of a petty nation, almost unknown to antiquity, and whose twelve tribes, consist= ing of a mixture of Arabs and Phœeniciaus; inhabited only:275!square, leaguess Evien Solomon, in the height of his glory, never ppssessed more than 400 leagues of territory, onerhalf of which was desert; and मever governed more than 800,000 people; and consequently commanded only, 200,000 soldiers. Suppose those books had never been known, then the systemiof Mahomet whicho is an imitation of that of Moses, would never have existed, miliè moyement of the Roman world for those
two conturies past, wonidhave had a dif-症ent-direction Suppose likewse that the first Presses had given binth only to goon inoral and political works, the spirit of nations ant of governments would have received another impulsion.
$\Rightarrow$ At last true philoseply, "philosophy whicli is the friend of peace and of universal tolerance, had extinguished the ferment, and the eighteenth century seemed to approach the finest epoch of -hmanity; when a new tempest, hurrying mef's minds in an opposite extreme, has overthtown the rising edifice of Reason, and has furnished us with a new example of the influtence of History, and of the abuse of its comparisons." You must be aware that I allude to that mania of citations and imitations of the Greck and Roman history, which within a few ycars has struck us as it were with a vertigo*. Names; surnames, dress, manners, laws, seem all about to become Spartan or Ro-
manonrancicnit prejudices falarmed, sand recent passions irritated, haye pretended to discover the cause of this phenomenon in a philosophic spirit, of which theylare ignorant; but that philosophic spinit; which is merelyobservatioii disengaged from, passion and prejudice, easilysecognizes ito real origin in the systeurof edu* cation, which has prevailed for ia century and a half in Europe. The classicabbooks so extravagantly admired, the works of the celebrated poets, orators, and historians of Greece and Rome, placed watliout consideration in the hands of youth? have inspired them with their principles and their sentiments. Those pooks, l ex tolling certain men and certain actions as models of greathess or perfectiongsinz flane the mind of the student with the nuz tural desire of imitation. Habituated under the collegiate lash to admire certain beauties seal or supposed, buttowhichoin either case are equally above duis icompre hension, he loecomes inspired with the blind passion of enthusiasm. We have
seen this enthusiasm, the commeneer mentuof the present dige, Inanifest itselfin adidiculons adiniation of the literative and ares of the Ancients Other citcam? stances have now turned this admination towards politics, un whe th displays vehemence proportioned to the hiterests. that are brought into actiongisfaried in its form, in its name, and in its object; it is istill the same passion'; so that we have done nothing more than to change idole, and substitutes a new worship for that of our ancestors. We icproach them fur their superstitious adoration of the Jews, tand we are guilty of an adoration no, less superstitious of the Greeks and liomans. Our ancestors swore by Jerusalem and the Bible; and the new sect sweaz hy Sparta; Athens, and Titus Livy

It is not a little remarkable that the apostles of this new religion are far from having a just irlea of the doctrine they ineulcate, wand the models they propose to us ares duite inconsistent with the object
$1 \%$
 the libertomadispirit of c a mality whelv prevailed sint Rome and Grecé ; but they forget that th Sparta an aristocracy of thirty thousand nobles held too tundreds thousand serfs under a yoke of the mosts cruel oppression; that of four millions of: persons, which was all the population of ancient Greece ${ }^{*}$, moxe than three millions! were slaves; that civil and political ints equality was the dogma of the people and their legislators; that this principle was: consecrated by Lycurgus and Solon, pror fessed by Aristotle and the divine Plate, it and pronagated by the generals aus the


* The whole of the country known under the name of Greece, consisted of about 3850 square leagues ; $11000^{1}$ this number were includede Macedonia, which, acording to Strabo, contained, in the time of Alexander, that is to says, at the period of its greatest prosperity, $1,000,000$ of persons. This is somewhat less than 1000 to the square league, and is the proportion of those countriks which are considered the most popitous. I applyi it ato ne whole of Greece, in order that 1 nay have no disqute of the subject with the admirets of an.
ambassadors of Atliens, Sparta and Rome, who speak in Polybius, Livy; and thacy dides, like the anbassadors of Attila and of Tehinguizkans They have forgotten that the same manners and the same government prevailed in what is called the most glorious days of the republic? that this pretended republic, ivarying accordinge tor its epochs, was always an oligarehy; coonts sisting of a noble and sacerdotal ordery possessing almost exchisively the land and public: employments, and a plebeian mass oppressed with usurers, having only: four acres of ground a-head, auld differing from their slaves only by the right of flog
tijuity. It is, besides, the most favourable proportigno for modern Gresce. According to the calculations mador: with much industry and knowledge by Felit the: Consul fe of Salonica, Maceedonia, at present contay̆s only 700;000. persons, , which is a decreape of three tenthsor. The er Morea contains only 300,000 in, 700 square, Heagues, Attica 20,000 , and all modern Grecce united not 2,000,000, or 500 persons to the square league; ;-2 proportion somewhat greater than that of Spain.
ing theng growing old, or dyingnin thets gardensiof theixicenturions, in the she slavery, of camps and in the midst of military rams pine; that in those statess pretendedito beff founded on liberty and equality; alb politits cal rights, were concentrated in the chands of the indolent and factious inhabitants, of $A$ the capitals who viewed their alies and 2ssociates only in the light of tributaries. If The more I haver studied the celebrated constitutions of antiquity the more have Lh been convinced that the govermentsiotit the Mamlouks of Egypt and the Deys of Algiers do not differ esssutally y from, thosest of Sparta and of Rome ; and that the Greeks! and Romans, we so much venerate; ;wart only the names of Huns and Vandals to: excite in us the ideas we have been taught, to form of those mations. \% Eternal wars, the murder of prisoners, massacré : of women and children, breach of faith, in? ternal factions, domestic tyrany andforeign oppression are the most striking features of thac pisture of Greece and Ltaly
during fine hundred years, las has beener pourtiayed to us by Thicydides, Polybits, and Titus Livy. The war against Xerxes, the only justand honourable one inflhicha the Greeks were ever engaged, was scarce ly finished when the insolent vexations of? Athens on the sea coinmenced ; next comes the horrible Peloponnesian wart then the Theban; to these sticeed the wars of Alexander and his successors; then follow, thode of the Romans, without affording the mind the satisfaction of repose over half a geueration of peace.

The legislation of the Ancients has been? highly praised:-obut what was its objects what its effects? It was calculated to form men for acts of savage barbarity, as feiou chous animals are trained to fightlionslande bullad Sheir constitutions are eadniredt -but what was the constitution of Sparta? Castin a moakd of brasse tit condermed'a' nation of thirty thousbind people, thever to increase in population or territory; are gulation worthy of the Monks of
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Trappe Greck and Roma modelspares proposed to us :-but what analogy exists between a country like France, consisting:g of 27,000 square lagages, and containing i $25,000,000$ of people, and Greece? ? ${ }^{2}$ The Peloponnesus contained six federal inde-z? pendent states within 700 squateleagues ? of territory cording to Thucydides, formed two-fifths of the Peloponnesus, consisted only of 980 leaguesintica including the 20 leagues of Megavis, consisted only of 165 leagues. The whole Grecianscontinent including. Macedonia, did not extend bey ond 3850 d square leagues, that is to say tonesinth of France, consisting of acterritorywhich is not generally fertilew What comparison? can there be formed between the manners $f$ and habits of the inhabitants of a numbers of small and semi-barbarous states **, poor

* Now that I have seen; the savages ipf Amcrica; I) am more convisced of the propriety of this coppparison. The firft book of Thucydides, and all his descriptions of the manniers of the Lacedx monians, is's so well saited to the Five Natuitr, "that T would willingly call the Sparsaths the Iroqutois of the old world.
and piratical, ulivided, mand ehemiés by ? 1, hithennd bys preficdice-and one great 4 colesed hidatel nation which ist the first finluisu coryitiox exhbit a poputation of $95,000,000$, speliking the same language, following the sanie 'custons, aud whose various convolsions, during fifteen centuries, have only setved to produce more conformity of man' ners, and more unity of government?

The modern Lycurguses have spoken to ws only of bread and of iron. The irou of pikes produces nothing but blood, and bread is only produced by the iron of ploughts. - The poets step forward to celebrate what they denominate warlike virtues. Let us reply to the poets by the, hoirlings of the wotves, and screams of the vultures; that gather the dreadful harvest of battles' ' or by the lamentations of widows and orplans, expiring with hunger on the tombs of their husbands and fathers. - Wr riters have cindaroured to dazale usp with the glories of var : But unfortuan nate are the people who shine with greatest:
splendour in the pages nof mistory a Trace the heroes of ithe drania, thentcelebtity if acquired at the expencerf the in thppiness?

The friends of the arts have been seducet by the magnificence of some ancient woiks s but they forget that the teniples and other great edifices of Athenstivere the first cause of its ruin, and the first symptom of its decay: being the fruits of a system of extortion, they at once provoked the resentment and the defection of its allies, the jealousy and cupidity of its enemies ; besides, those masses of stone, however elegantly constructed, are a barren employment of labour, and a ruinous absorption of wealth. The palaces of the Louvre, Versailles, and the multitude of other: vast buildings with which Trance is overloaded*, have contributed to in-
*) When I consider that the Church of St. Gencyseve, now the Pantheon, has cost more than thirty mitions; that St. Sulpice, and twenty other charches of parns, have. cost from five to ten millions ; that there is not a town
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crease our taxes, abd to prodace disorder in our finances. Had Louis XIV ex pended on highways, and canals the 4,600,000,000 livres which his palace, already in decay, cost $\ddagger$, France would neither have known the bankruptcy of Latr, norcits, ruinous consequences now reproduced among: us.

Let us cease; then, to admire those Ans cients whose constitutions were oligarchies, whoses policy consisted in the exclusive privileges
has fot laid out a million, and not a parish which bas no fald out sixty or eighty thousand francs in the conseruction of achurch, 1 am inclined to belicve that France has lyatedeten, millions in piling up those useless mounts ${ }^{*}$ of stonc. This sum, however, is equal to the amountof four years of our present revenue, and double that at the time of the building. Such is the wisdom of nations and governments!
" + There existed in the house of the old intendant of of buildings, (d'Angiviller) a manuscript, volurne superbly bound, containinga register of the expences attending the building of Versailles, the recapitulation of which, in ? the last page, amounted to $1,400,000,000 \mathrm{l} . ;$; but silver was then at 16 francs the mare, and now it is. 52 franks.
privileges of cities, and whose morality was founded on the law of force, and the hatred of all foreigu nations. ${ }^{\text {Det }}$ us no longer ascribe to a ferocious and superstitious antiquity, a science of government with which it was not acquanted; for in modern Europe have arisen the grand and ingenious principles of the representative system, of the division and equilibrium of powers, and those profound analyses of the social state, which, by an evident and simple series of facts, demonstrate that there are no riches but in the productions of the earth, which feed; clothe, and shelter man-that those fruits are only to be obtained by labour-that labour being painful, it can only be stimulated among a free people by the attraction of enjoyments, that is tosay, by the security of property-and that the maintenance of that security requires the public force called gowerment. Government may therefore be defined an insitrance bank; in the preservation of which each individual is interested in proportion
to the stake he has to risk, but winch those who have none may naturally enough wish to destroy.

Having emancipated ourselves from the Jewish fanaticism, let us now repress that Vandal or Roman fanaticism, which, under political denominations, would lead us back to all the fury of religious contests. Let us repress that savage doctrine which would give to polishod Europe the manners of barbarous hordes-which would make war a means of existence, though all history proves that war conducts every pcople, whether conquering or conquered, to the same inevitable ruin; since the neglect of agriculture and manufactures, which foreign wars occasion, produces scarcity, popular tumults, civil wars, and, finally, military despotism. Let us also dischaim that doctrine which elerates Assassination to the rank of Virtue, in opposition to the testimony of all History, which demonstrates, that assassinations have ever been the preludes to the greatest disasters;
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for，wherèver daggers are displayed，the lasw is eclipsed．In our own times，the assassination of the vilest apostle⿻丷木斤正 of that horridsystem has only served to mislead the public opinion，and to bring about the destruction of 100,000 of our best citi $z$ cus．in We may kill men；but we cannot kill things，nor the circumstances which produce them．Bratus and Casca stabbed Cesar，and the Roman tyranny wasicon＇－ solidated：why？because from the time
＊．By the hand of Charlotte Corday．Among the Jews，however，the assassination of tyrants was in－ culcated and protected ：among Christians，it has been taught and recommended by St．Thomas Aquinas， and by the Jesuits，who practised their doctrine on Princes who were not tyrants．Two Emperors，who are pow alarmed at this doctrine since it has got into other hands，wish to re－establish the order of the Jesaits． Were they to succeed，they would one day find mare difficulty in getting rid of those zoortky fatbers than the kings of France，Spain，and Portugal formerly expe－ rienced ；＇for they＇would not be assisted by Voutaires， Helvetiuses，D＇Alemberts and other antifinatical philo． sophers，who are now．abhorred by some sovereigns， though．Frederick II．was of their number，

## 183

of the Tribuics there ras. no longer an equilibrium of:powers; because the caprice of the, Roman people became the law of the state; because, after the taking of Coninth, and, Carthage, that poor indulent and deprayed people were bought and solld by their mapacious Crenerals, Pro-consuls, apd Quæstors, after they had gorged themselves with the wealth of the provinces they, governed.

Brutus and Casca seem destined to obtain the same influcnce in this age, that Ahod and the Maccabces passessed in the last. .-Thus, under different names, the same fanatics continue to devour nations. The actors change on the scene, the pass sions never change, and all history exhir bits only the rotation of a circle of calamities and errors; but at the same time all history proclaims that the first and general cause of those crrors and calamities is Fuman ignorance, which neither understands its thue interests, nor even the means of attaining the object of its passions. It, is not then motives of discouragement, nor misanthropic
misanthropic and antisocial declamations, that ought to result from our reflections, but urgent counsels of moral and political instruction addressed to nations and govermments. Indeed it is particularly in this respect that the study of history assumes its noblest character of utility. Exhibiting a great number of facts and experiments on the developement of the faculties and passions of man in the social state, it furnishes the philosopher with principles of legislation more general and conformable to every hypothesis; bases of constitutions more simple and agreeable to the nature of man ; theories of government more appropriate to clinates and habits; practices of administration more profound and more consistent with experience; in a word, more efficacious and more paternal means of perfecting future generations, by commencing with ameliorating the condition of the present.

Hitherto I have sketched rather than completed my observations on history. It is proper that I should now apply the principles

## NOTA BY THE TRANSLATOR.

ThE preceding pages contain the whole of the Lectures on History, published by Citizen Volney, in the beginning of the present year at Paris, with the exception of some observations on the construction of rooms for the accommodation of legislative bodies, or any of thinse great assemblies in which public speaking is practised. In a long note, accompanied by a Plan, the Author has proposed a variety of improvements in the architecture of build-. ings of this kind; but as his remarks relative to that object are not connected with the subject of his Leetures, and as the engraving of the Plan would. bave added considerably to the expence, and very little to the value of the book, it has been judged proper to omit both in the tradslation. In other respects the text of the Author has been regularly, and it is hoped, accurately followed.
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ciples I have laid down to the most eminent of ancient and modern works, and that I should put in practice the rules of criticism which I have proposed to you: but the excessive and rapid labour in which I have been engaged for two months, renders it necessary that I should pause before I proceed to this second part of my subject. I have performed one act- of devotion to the public*, by, furnishing the first part, after a preparation of only fifteen days. Deprived of my manuscripts, it now becomes indispensable that I should suspend these Lectures, and employ some time in collecting new materials.
N. B. The Normal School being soon after given up, the Author had no longer any motive for continuing his labour.

L

* The Author, after ten months imprisonment, (to Fructidor 6, of the year ?,) was exiled from Paris by a decree of the Convention, when he received at Nice, in the month of Frumaire, his unexpected appointment to a Professor's place, and the invitation of the Committee of Public Instruction to return immediately and fulfil the duties of that situation.
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[^0]:    * See the 1s: Chanater of the Koran, ist and succeditry verses.

[^1]:    (1)
    $\because$ 理
    and

