

Name:

Course:

Instructor:

Date:

Comparison of electoral systems

The single-winner plurality system is the most effective in a democratic country. This system allows every individual voter to appoint a single candidate of their own choice. The applicant who gets the most votes during the election represents many voters. A single-member district is represented by a single officeholder, compared to a multi-member section, which means multiple officeholders. Plurality is when a member gets more polls than others but receives less than half of the cast votes.

The comparative representation democratic system comprises divisions in voters reflected proportionately in the chosen body. It is a system used to select the leaders of a country who make up the governing council. The results determine the number of seats that each party holds. If a party has more seats, it then forms the majority of the government.

Plurality voting differs from a majoritarian electoral system because, for the latter, a member must receive more votes without any question than the people who are vying combined. In Plurality voting, the foremost candidate shall be elected whether they have majority votes or not.

In both instances, the citizens cast their votes in an elect slate for electors. They then decide who the president-elect will become. The plurality system is the most accessible form of

finding the results of an election. To win, a candidate should only have an increased number of votes than the total votes for the opposition. This method is better during elections because the majority system has to get more than 50 votes in a constituency. The most hectic part about using this method is that members involved may not be satisfied with more than two vying candidates. There has, however, been the development of several variants to combat this problem.

SMP elections lead to a significant distortion between votes and the total number of votes. The single-member district has a better advantage in ensuring democracy prevails. The advantage of this electoral system includes; Providing voters with reliable constituency representation because each voter is allowed a single, easily noticeable vote. The constituency encourages the service by providing voters with an ombudsperson, easily discernible. There is maximum answerability because a single demonstrative is held accountable and can be defeated or re-elected when the next election arrives. This method ensures full geographic representation.

There are, however, several disadvantages related to this voting method.; there must be redrawing every so often to ensure the maintenance of a relatively equal population. There is a tendency to overrepresent the majority party and ignore other parties, which does not help produce proportional political standards.

Conclusion

The merits of this system in the close ties between representatives and constituents; there is accountability between leaders and voters. Because this system is used with plurality or majority voting guidelines, they promote stable and robust governance. Therefore, the single-member plurality best represents the power of the voters to exercise their democratic right to vote for a leader who will represent them.

Works Cited

Pulau, Heinz et al. "Election - Plurality And Majority Systems." *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 2021,

<https://www.britannica.com/topic/election-political-science/Plurality-and-majority-systems>.

"Single Member Plurality." *Sfu.Ca*, 2021, <http://www.sfu.ca/~aheard/101/SMP.html>.

The electoral knowledge Network, editors. "Single-Member Districts: Advantages And

Disadvantages —." *Aceproject.Org*, 2021,

<https://aceproject.org/main/english/bd/bda02a01.htm>.