Understanding Wikipedia's medical volunteers and WikiProject Medicine

Dear respondent,

I am Piotr Konieczny, a sociologist of new media at Hanyang University (and User:Piotrus on Wikipedia). I would like to better understand Wikipedia's volunteers who edit medical topics, many associated with the WikiProject Medicine, and known to create some of the highest quality content on Wikipedia. I hope that the lessons I can learn from you that I will present to the academic audience will benefit both the WikiProject Medicine (improving your understanding of yourself and helping to promote it and attract new volunteers) and the wider world of medical volunteering and academia. Open access copy of the resulting research will be made available at WikiProject's Medicine upon the completion of the project.

All questions are optional. The survey is divided into 4 parts: 1 - Brief description of yourself; 2 - Questions about your volunteering; 3 - Questions about WikiProject Medicine and 4 - Questions about Wikipedia's coverage of medical topics.

Please note that by filling out this questionnaire, you consent to participate in this research. The survey is anonymous and all personal details relevant to your experience will be kept private and will not be transferred to any third party.

I appreciate your support of this research and thank you in advance for taking the time to participate and share your experiences! If you have any questions at all, please feel free to contact me at: Piotr Konieczny, piotr.konieczny@gmail.com

Piotr Konieczny Associate Professor Hanyang University

Part 1: General Info

Q1. What is your gender?	
Female	
Male	
O Non-binary	
Prefer not to say	
Q2. What is your age?	
15 years or younger	
16 to 20 years	
21 to 25 years	
26 to 30 years	
31 to 40 years	
41 to 50 years	
51 to 60 years	
61 years and above	
O Prefer not to say	

O High school
Bachelor
O Master
O Doctoral
O Prefer not to say
Other:
Q4. What country are you a citizen of?
O United States
Another English-speaking country (ex. Canada, United Kingdom)\
Prefer not to say
Other:
Q5. Are you a medical professional or student?
Yes, I am a medical student
Yes, I am a practioner in the field of medicine
Yes, I am a medical researcher
○ No
O Prefer not to say
Other:

Q6. If you are a medical professional or related, could you briefly describe your position? Ex. nurse, surgeon, pharmacist, general practitioner, researcher, etc.
Your answer
Part 2. Volunteering
Q7. How long have you been volunteering on Wikipedia?
C Less than one year
1-3 years
4-6 years
7-9 years
O 10+ years
Q8. On average, how much time per week do you spend volunteering on Wikipedia?
C Less than one hour
1-3 hours
O 4-6 hours
7-10 hours
11 hours or more

	Did you volunteer before becoming an active Wikipedian? (As in, did you ever the time and labour for community service)
	Yes, significantly
	Yes, but only a little
	No
	Other:
Q10.	Did volunteering on Wikipedia inspire you to volunteer elsewhere?
	Yes, significantly
	Yes, but only a little
	No
	Other:
	If you volunteered outside Wikipedia, either before or concurrently, can you ly describe what volunteering activities you do besides being a Wikipedia or?
Your	answer
Q12.	Why do you volunteer on Wikipedia instead of volunteering elsewhere?
Your	answer
Q13.	Do you disclose your real life identity on Wikipedia? Why or why not?
V	

https://docs.google.com/forms/d/11H1khCUeqkT8KZzno9KcpH7Wi0S30VnRNr5JiJHIDfY/prefill and the control of the c

Q14. Do you agree or disagree with the following						
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral/don't know	Disagree	Strongly disagree	
Volunteering for Wikipedia is satisfying	0	0	0	0	0	
Volunteering for Wikipedia is stressful	0	0	0	0	0	
I would recommend editing Wikipedia to a colleague	0	0	0	0	0	
My colleagues know I edit Wikipedia, and it improves my reputation in their eyes	0	0	0	0	0	
If my colleagues knew I edit Wikipedia, it would attract criticism and damage my reputation	0	0	0	0	0	
More medical professionals should edit Wikipedia	0	0	0	0	0	

Q15. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements regarding why most medical professionals do not edit Wikipedia.

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral/don't know	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Editing Wikipedia is still too challenging/difficult	0	0	0	0	0
They don't know how easy it is to edit Wikipedia	0	0	0	0	0
They don't know they can edit Wikipedia at all	0	0	0	0	0
They are too busy with their professional responsibilities or career	0	0	0	0	0
They are too busy with other hobbies or family	0	0	0	0	0
They don't think editing Wikipedia is a reputable activity	0	0	0	0	0
Wikipedia is too unwelcoming/hostile to new editors, so most of those who try give up quickly	0	0	0	0	0
Incentives to edit Wikipedia are insufficient	0	0	0	0	0

Q16. What are your motivations for editing Wikipedia? Strongly Strongly Agree Neutral Disagree agree disagree Writing in Wikipedia is fun I think information should be free Writing in Wikipedia allows me to gain a new perspective on things and learn about many things People I'm close to want me to write in Wikipedia or respect me for doing so Writing in Wikipedia is beneficial for my career I feel it is important to help others Writing in Wikipedia makes me feel better about myself Volunteering on Wikipedia is a good escape from my own

Part 3. WikiProject Medicine
Q17. In your own words, can you briefly describe what you think is the main purpose of WikiProject Medicine?
Your answer
Q18 Are you a member of the WikiProject Medicine?
O Yes
○ No
○ Former
Q18a. If you replied "Yes" to the question above, how important is your role as a member of WikiProject Medicine to your wiki-identity? Very
1 ()
2 ()
3 🔘
4
5
Not important at all

Q19. What percantage of your total volunteering on Wikipedia is made of edits to or discussions about medical topics?
76% or more
50-75%
25-49%
O 10-24%
O 2-9%
O 1% or less

Q20. Do you agree or disagree with the following statements about WikiProject Medicine?						
	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Column 5
WikiProject Medicine, compared to other WikiProjects, is more professional	0	0	0	0	0	0
WikiProject Medicine, compared to other WikiProjects, is more friendly	0	0	0	0	0	0
WikiProject Medicine, compared to other WikiProjects, is more succesful	0	0	0	0	0	0
WikiProject Medicine has a growing reputation outside Wikipedia	0	0	0	0	0	0
WikiProject Medicine should receive more support from within Wikipedia (ex. from WMF)	0	0	0	0	0	0
WikiProject Medicine	0	0	0	0	0	0

Q21 What are WikiProject Medicine's biggest successes?

Your answer

project

12/30/22, 4:22 PM

Wikipedia (ex. from WHO, professional bodies, etc.)

WikiProject Medicine needs new members

More people outside Wikipedia should know

about

WikiProject Medicine

WikiProject Medicine steadily attracts new members

There are very few active members, and I fear for the future of the

Q22. What are WikiProject Medicine's biggest failures

Your answer

	Strongly agree	Agree	No opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagre	
Many people use Wikipedia as a source for medical information	0	0	0	0	0	
There are better, more reliable websites than Wikipedia for medical information that average person should be using instead	0	0	0	0	0	
Many medical professionals use Wikipedia as a source for medical information	0	0	0	0	0	
Q24. If you replied yes to the above question that "There are better, more reliable websites than Wikipedia for medical information that average person should be						

Your answer

Q25. In your experience, how reliable are medical topics on Wikipedia?
Very reliable
1 🔘
2
3 🔘
4 🔘
5 🔘
Very unreliable

Q26. What are the biggest challenges for ensuring Wikipedia's coverage of medical topics is high quality?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neutral	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Too many amateurs making low quality edits	0	0	0	0	0
Not enough volunteers	0	0	0	0	0
Undeclared advocacy, paid editing, spam and similar ethical problems	0	0	0	0	0
A biased active editor can significantly skew the coverage of topics they are interseted in	0	0	0	0	0
Usage of low quality sources	0	0	0	0	0
Paywalls make it difficult to access reliable sources	0	0	0	0	0
Not enough weight is given to sources that may not be peer-reviewed but could nonetheless be valuable as presenting an alternative	0	0	0	0	0

., 4.22 File					2 4.52	
Editing Wikipedia is too difficult	0	0	0	0	0	
Q27. How many y Wikipedia are con			nost of medio	cine-related to	pics on	
Less than 5 ye	ears					
6-20 years						
21-60 years						
More than a century						
O Never						
Other:						
Q28. Do you have any suggestions on what needs to happen for Wikipedia's medical content to significantly improve? Your answer						

Q29 How do you feel about the educational initiatives, where professors assign their students to edit Wikipedia articles in the field of medicine?

	Strongly agree	Agree	No opinion	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Such initiatives are usually net positive	0	0	0	0	0
Medical topics are too sensitive to be edited by students	0	0	0	0	0
I am an instructor who has organized such initatives	0	0	0	0	0
I have taken part in such initatives as a student	0	0	0	0	0

Q30. How do you feel about outside support from organizations like WHO/NIH and
similar for Wikipedia?

	Strongly agree	Agree	No opinon	Disagre	Strongly disagree
There is no such support or it is negigible	0	0	0	0	0
The current level of support is sufficient	0	0	0	0	0
Wikipedia is not seen as important by such institutions	0	0	0	0	0
Support from such institutions is problematic due to conflict of interest	0	0	0	0	0

Q31. Is there anything you would like to say that you would like to see quoted in the final research? Any message to the the readers of this research? Or comments to the researcher, perhaps something you think I should have asked about but did not?

Your answer

Get link

Never submit passwords through Google Forms.

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Goodle Forms