Common failures to be avoided when we analyze Wikipedia public data

Felipe Ortega GsyC/Libresoft

Wikimania 2010, Gdańsk, Poland.

#1 Beware of special types of pages

- Official page count includes articles with just one link.
 - You must consider if you need to filter out disambiguation pages.
- Pay attention to redirects.
 - Sometimes people wonder how the number of pages in main namespace in the dump is so high.
- Break down evolution trends by namespace.
 - Articles are very different from other pages.
 - Explore % of already existing talk pages.
 - Connections from user pages.

#2 Plan your hardware carefully

- There are some general rules.
 - Parallelize as much as possible.
 - Buy more memory before buying more disk...
 - But take a look at your disk requirements.
 - It's very different when you can work on decompressed data, on the fly.
 - Hardware RAID is not always the best solution.
 - RAID 10 in Linux can perform decently in many average studies.

3# Know your engines (DBs)

- Correct configuration of DB engine is crucial.
 - You'll always fall short with standard configs.
 - Fine tune parameters according to your hardware.
 - Exploit memory as much as possible.
 - E.g. MEMORY engine in MySQL.
 - Avoid unnecessary backup...
 - But be sure that you have copies of relevant info elseware!
 - Think about your process:
 - Read only vs. read-write.

4# Organize your code

- Using a SCM is a must.
 - SVN, GIT.
- Upload your code to public repository.
 - BerliOS, SourceForge, GitHub...
- Document your code...
 - ...if you ever aspire to get interest from other developers.
- Use consistent version numbers.
- Test, test, test...
 - Include sanity checks and "toy tests".

#5 Use the right "spell"

- Target data is well defined:
 - XML
 - Big portions of plain text
 - Inter-wiki links and outlinks.
- Some alternatives
 - CelementTree (high-speed parsing)
 - Python (modules/short scripts) or Java (big projects).
 - Perl (regexps).
 - Sed & awk

#6 Avoid reinventing the wheel

- Consider to develop only if:
 - No available solution fits your needs.
 - Or you can only find proprietary/evaluation sofware.
 - Performance of other solutions is really bad
- Example: pywikipediabot
 - Simple library to query Wikipedia API.
 - Solves many simple needs of researchers/programmers.

#7 Automate everything

- Huge data repositories.
- Even small samples are excessively time consuming if processed by hand.
- You will start to concat individual processes.
- You will save time for later executions.
- Your study will be reproducible.
 - Updating results after several months becomes no-brainer solution.

#8 Extreme case of Murphy's Law

- Always expect the worst possible case.
 - Many caveats in each implementation.
 - Countless particular cases.
 - It's not OK with just the "average solution".
 - Standard algorithms may take much more than expected to finish the job.

#9 Not many graphical interfaces

- Some good reasons for that
 - Difficult to automate
 - Hard to display dynamic results in real-time.
 - Almost impossible to compute all results in a reasonable time frame for *huge* data collections (e.g. English Wikipedia).
- To the best of my knowledge, there are very few tools with graphical interfaces out there.
- Is there a real need for that??

#10 Communication channels

- Wikimedia-research-l
 - Mailing list about research on Wikimedia projects.
 - http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Research
 - http://meta.wikimedia.org/wiki/Wikimedia_Research_Network
 - http://acawiki.org/Home
- Final comments
 - Need for consolidated info point, once for all