

English American's and The English Language: An Academic Study

September 15th 2021

An English Speakers Must Read

By: Andrew Gledhill

Notes:

Here's a paper I wrote (If you read and speak English, this is A MUST READ. You might learn about English culture and why it's important to preserve and additional reasons an effort is needed to help the English American population. A lot of the concepts people either haven't thought of or questioned in their life, I didn't think this way until a few years ago I was traveling through life with a "blank mind" not thinking to English oppression, and how younger people and others lack critical thinking. It's long but English people matter in English. It's meant to be productive and options I thought of to help English people are at the end. Don't take some of this too personal. I'm English American, I deserve a Nobel Peace Prize nomination for this.)

Screenshot 1. Wikipedia English Americans ([English Americans - Wikipedia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/English_Americans))

The screenshot shows the Wikipedia article for 'English Americans'. The main text discusses the demographic and historical aspects of this group in the United States. A map on the right shows the distribution of English Americans by state and province. Below the map is a table with the following data:

English Americans and Canadians as percent of population by state and province.	
Total population	
	23,593,434 (2019) ^[1]
	50,000,000+ (1980) ^{[2][3]}
Regions with significant populations	
Throughout the entire United States	
California	4,946,554 ^[4]
Texas	3,083,323 ^[4]
Ohio	2,371,236 ^[4]
New York	2,320,503 ^[4]
Florida	2,232,514 ^[4]

There is a deep rooted oppression that hasn't reached public conversation and acknowledgement. The education system teaches about African American slavery and public conversation has arisen about Latin labor wages. In the United States, there are two forgotten populations in our society in public conversation – the Native American population and the English American population. Although the Native American oppression is taught it isn't emphasized to the extent of African Americans and English Americans. English ancestry in the United States education system are actually quite unethically and immorally villainized. The English population of the United States was estimated 90 percent at constitution in 1787, but who else spoke and wrote English to be assessed in the late 1770s. But horrifying in 1980 with a more accurate assessment the English American population was estimated around 50 million at 26 percent of the United States and in 2019 there is only 23.6 million left at now only 8.7 percent of the United States population. How does it feel reading that information of more than a -26.4 million population decrease in only four censuses? How do you think it should feel reading of a population decrease? (Devastating). A -26.4m population decrease is just not moral or ethical in any way. This happened as the other White and as the Asian, African, Hispanic population increased. Radio, television, now internet – this is a critical time for the English and the English language. In the paper will explain how the decreased happened. We are living in an era of tragedy that could reach the history books for what's happening to English people to them in their own language. Slavery was ignored for quite a while, how long will this be ignored. English people don't have long being outnumbered in their own language. What happened to Native Americans was also recorded and taught. English people have been victims of a genocidal rape forced and verbal it's in both numbers and statistics. English people don't complain enough with mottos like no worries and keep calm and carry on. English people need to advocate more for themselves and considering what people have others advocate for English people. All of this is very

real and very real to English people. What's happening to all English people is so wrong, how much does the English population have to decrease before someone says something?

I think everyone globally even English people would agree there needs to be an international language to solve conflict also spread information, spread education cross-culturally, occasional trade, also for international travel, global resource allocation, prevent global environmental disasters, and other reasons. Making a contribution to English means a global contribution. If someone has done something substantial in their own language then learns English and contributes it to the English language they have done something great for the world. But English Americans decreasing is a structural issue and a huge ethical also a moral dilemma that people are not even thinking about, what happens to the English who is now a minority in their own language? How did it become a minority in its own language?

English is an island language and culture that has 10,000 years of archeological evidence in Great Britain. The language went through three distinct periods, Old English, Middle English, and present Modern English. The first Human was from Africa then went North in Africa then East; European ancestry is younger than most on planet earth. Nordic ancestry is youngest in human existence, English ancestry that left England is one of the youngest. Some may say Nordic is youngest in human evolution – but evolution doesn't work that way, it could technically happen in any human being pairing – but it would be different in each pairing. English people are almost like the equivalent of Japan to Asia but to European ancestry, both being islands and one of the youngest ethnicities in their continents history. It took man made boats to get to Great Britain (don't worry the females willingly went) to make the English language so the language was likely invented by a male. Since it took a boat which is a form of technology, English, Japanese, and other island languages are technology made languages. There is a saying in Europe that "the North has the South but the South doesn't have the North" meaning in history of tracing genetics, so English genetics are unique for being Nordic and England being an Island. Red hair, green eyes, blue eyes, blonde hair and other genetic variations exist in English genetics. English ancestry includes those of substantial Great Britain ancestry, also those whom have left Great Britain such as English Americans, English Canadians, English Australians, English South Africans, and those of British Hong Kong. People relocate and there are other English locations so it gets confusing but this paper is in term of tracing genetics. Ignore accent that is something different. Although Scotland, Ireland, and Wales are close they are of different ancestry and a different ethnicity. Scotland and Wales are closest to being English, Scotland's is the closest because of the history of Nordic ancestry.

Note to mention, the myth of English relationships being incest is slightly false. The first Human was Lucy found in Ethiopia 200,000 years ago – that makes the most amount of incest in Ethiopia because they never left the origin of the first human being. Africans aren't all called incest, the English incest myth was built to split English people apart. All languages are incest. Hemophilia being present in English-English relationships is a myth, all of Great Britain doesn't have hemophilia, and it isn't said about other languages or the location of the origin of the first human, why wouldn't Ethiopians who have the most incest globally for 200k years of human history have hemophilia. Another myth built to split English-English relationships apart, you will read why later on.

What has happened? Is happening? Might happen in the future to English people and English ancestry? Right now millions of English people live in fear of being wrongfully denied their freedom of speech and censored by others not of the language, raped, murdered, attacked, wrongfully imprisoned, being malpracticed on, kidnapped, wrongfully put into a mental asylum, subjected to degrading treatment by others in the language, and various other harmful acts -- just because others learned their language. They had no right to be in the language to begin with. People have been censoring English people in their own language who aren't English via blocking their accounts and other ways. Censorship of peoples communication via internet such as blocking a email or a social media account and censoring freedom of speech puts theirs and others lives at risk various ways they can't use communication outlets online in a pandemic or any emergency event, shortages, health events, weather like blizzards or hurricanes, car

accidents, or other emergencies to reach out for family, emergency services, support and other also they can't warn or speak up for other people. This is even happening in the United States. For being outnumbered in your own language and not knowing why or who learned your language you get to live in fear -- is this ethical?

There is an English struggle less talked about and how couldn't there be if you are outnumbered in your own language. There are 1.2 billion English speakers globally and less than 200 million native English speakers. How does that read? It should read as English oppression, it's in the math. Outnumbered in your own language is oppression. It makes English ancestry an at risk population. English Americans face lower life expectancy because of others in their language including the stress it creates. It's stressful and demanding being outnumbered in your own language.

The most spoken English accent is the English American accent, also known as American English. The term American English hides that English Americans are Human Beings in comparison to the terminology British as the term British also denotes people who are British from Great Britain so the terminology treats them as people, as human beings. American English is degrading and inaccurate to make people who left Britain accent lesser than and not even human – if a British person or Chinese or Japanese or Spanish is a human being and an accent, what is an American English? It dehumanizes English American accent speakers. People speak English American. Globally 73% of English speakers have the English American accent which makes English Americans most at risk. It is estimated 17% of English speakers have the British accent. Most global speakers of English have the English American accent because of the radio, television, now internet.

In English, example in New York there are protests to free Palestine, protests in DC for Afghanistan, there was Black Lives Matters nationally, and end Asian American and Pacific Islander hate. What has been done for most English? Of course English people are against deaths and hate. But how would it feel being English and all of those going on in your own language but nothing for you as your population decreased -26.4 million? Why aren't English people and other people protesting the English American population decrease, are there too many English in suburbs and rural, which has parking and car waste also economic issues for protests. Is the English population in locations that they don't feel safe to speak up and are censored in their own language by foreigners? Is the English population too old to research it online and use the internet? Do most English not know? Is it the motto Keep Calm and Carry on and No Worries? Did English and other whites just become too lazy from entertainment increasing? Does anyone or other groups, ethnicities, races, have a right to broadcast their message in a language not their own? Is that ethical? No. Everyone not English just uses the language to spread their message. Which is insensitive.

What do you think national and international security say about the English language? And people not English in English. (All of this in this paper and it's all true)

Common languages people are interested in learning are German, Japanese, Russian, Chinese, and Korean to learn about the technology in the language, sometimes we don't mind sharing some general information, but then if they fail to make a product or production line they start stealing from a language. Think about your top 5 languages to learn and reasons for learning them and tell me this isn't true. Tell me what you have done for those languages. Then a lot of people learn a language only to steal and it has been the same for English. Industrialization began in Great Britain with the steam engine made by Thomas Newcomen and improved by James Watts. The steam engine replaced blacksmithing hammers to allow larger metal objects to be made at higher quality and allowed modern mechanics to be made possible. Englishmen also invented the first mechanized train and ship of steam, structural bridge made of metal, the bus, the gas turbine, the tooth brush, the toilet, the computer, the electric generator, the hydroelectric dam, discovered carbonization and other. Englishmen invented the electrical generator,

airplane, skyscraper, air-conditioners, stovetops, heavy machinery, more advanced textiles, the television, and transistor that allowed consumer electronics to be made possible and the world wide web. English people invented the most amount of technology globally and technically Asia produced the most amount of technology globally. Discoveries and innovations led to a substantial amount of English unpaid work. How do you value a discovery or innovation? English people also discovered the most amount of territories globally. It's a tragedy to the science and engineering community that the English American population decreased after all these discoveries, innovation, and English American effort. Assess English people invented most of the devices that increase life expectancy like the air conditioner, modern factory mechanics for food, and electrical generators that made all electricity possible yet are only #29 on countries based on life expectancy it should be top 10.

People want to learn the Spanish language and Chinese for cheap labor, the United States has been English American labor since even before the declaration of independence. The US 90% English at constitution. English men, women, and children working for no wages at all, then eventually some little wages. From even before but definitely industrialization to present there has been slave labor of the English. Discoveries and innovations are not paid and not something they can be paid back and they include extensive labor and risk. In 1086 the Great Survey recorded English slaves in Great Britain. The English have been paying doing labor and paying taxes since the origin of the United States taxation system. . English people have always been taxed in English. Currently there are 350 or more languages in the United States, do they all pay taxes? Are they all doing construction, manufacturing, trade skills and other. Is there birth control in 350 or more languages? How would you feel if your population decreased by more than -26.4 million and there is only birth control in your language but not others? This is a reality for English people. In 2020, 60 minutes aired an episode that estimated there were about 2 million border apprehensions in the south west in one annual calendar of human trafficking and border crossing of Latin Americans and there is no Spanish language birth control? Examine. New York and other English speaking location birth control on the shelf and behind a pharmaceutical counter also other persecutory prescriptions and practices that don't exist in other languages such as mental health. There should be birth control in every language but English, Englishmen invented skyscraper, airplane, discovered electricity and its English people's language. You see what's wrong with birth control only being in the English language in the US and other global English locations and not other languages too? Then look at all the porn that non English shouldn't put in the English language but they don't use birth control. People should be disgusted at that. Then mental health, non-English doctors, therapists, and other trying to say something is mentally wrong with an English person? Then aren't native to the language to say that. You see what's wrong with that? And a lot aren't competent in English, assume they even inherited English wrong, they are foreign to the language they have no right to judge and diagnose the native of a language – it's for the native English people to judge and diagnose them. Non English doctors, pharmacists, nurses, of all specialties then prescribing medications and treatments that cause English people to have birth defects. Although being a doctor is perceived as someone trying to help people. A lot of non English doctors, nurses, therapists are sick human beings – learning someone's language to do medical on the native.

If you never accomplished something in your own language, you shouldn't be learning another's, you are learning with mal intent. By discoveries, industries, innovations English people had a right to learn others if they felt generous enough to share them but not others learn English. The discoveries and innovations are why people were interested in English but not all English had a say in it becoming an international language although they were all put at risk. Monolingual in their authentic language are the most innocent and useful ones.

There is more evidence of structural wrong done to English people when the US has no English American heritage month but there is a Irish American Heritage Month, an Italian, a African, a Asian, a Hispanic and other Heritage months and NY has no Englishtown yet there is a Chinatown, Koreatown,

Little Italy, Spanish Harlem, Black Harlem, there even used to be a Germantown. A Heritage month and ethnic location is to share culture, network, date, seek guidance, and other reasons which would help the English American population. An exclusive location means people wouldn't want something stolen from that population, example people would want Little Italy to be all Italian and Chinatown to be all Chinese indefinitely, Native American reserves all Native American, technically Little Italy is supposed to be like an Italian reservation, so there is no protective location for the English? Children don't know how to date. It could be called English place or London corner or something. Non English ethnic events, Heritage months, and cultural ethnic locations in English by people without English history is like an illegal union and illegally unionizing – only English people have a right to unionize in the English language. In the entertainment industry why are there no English Only casting calls? Then casting calls for entertainment have African American only, Hispanic only, Asian only, I've seen Middle Eastern only casting calls but there is no English only casting calls in the English language. There should only be allowed English only casting calls. Casting calls should be either seeking an English ancestry person or not an English ancestry person in the English language. In English you are either English or not. There was an Italian American entertainment union in the English language and not a English American entertainment union? It might have been because of inventing the radio but see what's wrong with that?

English promotes dry, like dry gin. Dry is a prohibition term meaning alcohol is outlawed. The prohibition period was one of the most productive periods in the United States, it built the Empire State Building, the GE building, the Chrysler building and other in New York. Per populous at the time period the 1920s and 1930s prohibition era most of NYC was built and the US automotive industry made leaps and bounds. No one does a job with a drink in their hands. Look at the gradual delayed decline after WW2 which was post prohibition period, the decline coincided with a decreasing English population, desegregation because white people feel like others will steal once they build or make anything, increases of entertainment and increases of alcohol production because alcohol wasn't so mass produced back then. The tallest building globally as of 2021 the Burj Khalifa at 2717 feet was built in the UAE which alcohol was a criminal offense and you are required a alcohol license. The most skyscrapers globally as of 2021 is China which doesn't drink as much alcohol as other countries including the United States. A high density of English are in Utah which is Latter-Day saint made by a Englishmen Smith and they don't believe in drinking, smoking, and other but they aren't known for real estate, they are known for going from east to west coast and Chicago where the first skyscraper globally was made – The Home Insurance Building. Along with the excess entertainment both old and new mentioned above, the microbrewery, micro winery, and micro spirit trend is and was a problem. No one does a job when intoxicated or under any influence and realize people drink when they don't want to. Maybe prohibition should be enacted until all cars on the road are automated, it would make the process faster people would reallocate their time from drinking and it would be especially out of respect for the cars, manufacturers, and human lives. There are 1.3b+ cars globally. Ask yourself is your job and you going to remake all those cars, did you make your own car?

A national origin and ancestry is important to your outlook and how they determine things. How things affect and effect you in life and everyday life. How positive and negative events can impact you. Your emotions about different topics and issues also how people treat you. Ask an Italian how it would feel if they knew an Italian invented radio and no Italians were on it (Lady Gaga and a few others were Italian) or the fact as of 2021 Little Italy is now 63% Asian and only estimated only 8% Italian. Which is kind of wrong. You can ask a Japanese person how it would feel not to have a car or electronics or if there was no anime because they make tv's and cars. Notice English oppression. Imagine being English watching airplanes you aren't on, one brief news show 2021 said for the holiday season there are 110m travelers imagine being English American and not being one of them, and English people invented the airplane or seeing people not English in their travel photos online, or US television when English people invented the television, or the political system not being English or the extensive real estate exchanges away from

English people, or English people denied in their own language education or employment, or not having a car when Ford is like Ox Ford and there are multiple British car companies, there are so many more examples for English people. Who would be the most oppressed in the English language, English people.

Debunking celebrities not of English ancestry. The English language is an island language so everything's been likely been said before, sung, rapped, acted, written up to a certain character and page length on an island location existing of just or mainly English people of Anglo ancestry. So I've "heard it all before" on an island up to 10k years ago because of the more than 10k years of archeological evidence in Great Britain. Example, Frank Sinatra, obviously someone English was singing "My Way" a long time before him. Shawn Mendez stiches, there was a textile period for English people in Great Britain and in the USA of course a English person was singing about stiches also needles and threads. Gin and Juice, who be drinking gin? English people. Martin Luther Kings "I have a dream" was English origin. Gandhi's eye for an eye, English. Any short quote not by an English person in English is a scam it's an island language with 10k+ years of history it's someone else's quote – an English persons from the British isle. Titanic should have had a English lead and it would have been just as good, even better, more ethical, and more historically accurate because the first mechanical ship was in Great Britain. Quote origins going to someone not English ancestry in English is uneducated. Technically it would be the same for other languages too because they are the authentic population. This concept will have you question authentic origins of all quotes of any kind, song, poems, academic and nonacademic work, all written, audio, video medias true origins. Especially short character length, general concepts, and other. Copyrights and trademarks in English are only legitimate when held by an Englishmen. It is an island man made language. It took man made boats to get to Great Britain, it's a manmade language making it different than all in continental Europe, Africa, the Middle East that trace back to the origin of the first human a nomad female that could have walked through any of those connected continents but not Great Britain. Math can be done on this concept by word combinations, amount of words in the English dictionary, amount and frequented words written and spoken daily, and I personally estimate anything under 5 pages "I read and heard it before" is almost plagiarism by people not English. People who are not English are not sane if they just want success for just conveying a language not theirs. It is not educated to like entertainment in English that isn't from an English person unless some kind of real product or real estate, some craftsmanship value is made in it, a technology or something. Technically all content verbal, written or other in English should have a English lead or writer or cowriter. If it isn't English is it earned? 194 UN countries, if they don't import a substancial amount of cars and construction vehicles, they don't deserve celebrities, they don't even earn sitting, standing, walking, or otherwise conveying English occupations. English people made almost all US car companies, Ford is like Ox Ford and Great British ones. Even English people don't just become celebrities, being a celebrity is either bought by paying people to write about you and make media about you and your work or what you want to be famous at. Celebrities also pay people to appear as fans, like paying a group of screaming girls. Politics is the same.

Would anyone besides an English person pay for English language entertainment, less likely, people with English ancestry spend the most on English language news, literature, media, and entertainment. The biggest consumer of English anything is likely to be English people. English people are most likely out of philanthropy to buy entertainment not made by a English person in English. Notice what entertainment people go to. While going through NYC middle easterners listen to their own entertainment on the street, at a Chinese restaurant they were watching Chinese news, African Americans listen to African American entertainment driving.

Take some time, look at online websites of education, government, businesses name and photo directories and see how many are of English origin. Play spot the English person when assessing the music, tv, film, streaming, online media, porn, and other industries. How many are English in English? Even police departments should have a name and photo directory on their websites of all police officers and staff.

Only when there is a name and photo directory of people not English in English are they closer to legitimate, but never will be.

Always assume, English people are the only ones traceable in the language.

Assume NYC should be mainly English then review this website directory [Office of the Mayor | Officials | City of New York \(nyc.gov\)](#)

If there are 1.2B English speakers and most are not English in English you are going to have to navigate through a lot of scams.

Do you deserve a conveying another's language employment position? No, it's stealing. Does that lead to stealing employment, products, real estate, wages from the native of a language? Which in turns puts English ancestry a population that has already decreased at risk of not being able to raise a family, at risk of homelessness, poverty, no or inadequate health care, and other risks of lower life expectancy including a further population decrease. It is not others language to speak and make financial, material, social gain from especially just for speaking it with no honest work involved. There are 1.2 Billion English speakers and 200 million native English speakers that means occupations could be stolen from the English. Englishmen making substanacial discoveries and innovations as written above, were only interested in giving the language for new ideas, concepts, discoveries, and innovations by those not of English origin. English people are a culture of discoveries and innovation, technology and value those contributed by others. English people gave their language so others can share their creativity, new ideas, discoveries, innovation, and education towards product production. English people want people who are not English to be in industrial and technology to return the favor of all the English discoveries and innovations which is fair. Is it even sane for someone not English to want a job just conveying the English language? A sitting, standing, walking conveying English occupation? Is it sane for others to see that as natural? In the academic system some teachers and professors are still learning English and paid for that and to spread that?

A term that describes this more is an "Honest Job" and "Real Work" but do people deserve any real estate or products for just conveying a language at all? Even if you are native to that language? What about conveying someone else's language? Office construction perspective, the authentic to the language and who built it deserves employment there. Residential construction and product perspective would say no one deserves any employment for a sitting or standing or walking conveying language positions at all. New at home via internet employment perspective, its English people's language and they discovered electricity, invented computer, world wide web and other that the English and Asians deserve at home via internet employment first. Not building real estate and real property has 100% been proven to turn into crime. On average it would be said everyone not in construction, manufacturing, engineering and other towards product production are organized crime, that all office work is just organized crime planning theft of real estate and products because they are not making any especially by producers and construction. An urbanized location like NYC or other with no raw resources is considered all almost completely organized crime only planning theft of real estate and products and slavery of locations with raw resources. Not building real estate and/or product shortages has 100% been proven to turn into crime. When people are likely or definitely do go homeless or hungry they are likely to commit crime to stay out of blizzards, hurricanes, extreme heat, and other weather conditions (Crime rates go up before weather events). What would you do if you were homeless and hungry in blizzards, hurricanes, extreme heat, or other weather conditions? The US was 90% English around constitution and the American flag was by an Elizabeth Ross. The U.S. didn't need an extensive prison system until the 1930s after Ellis Island (Note. Immigration isn't a English policy French statue and the plaque wasn't written by an English person), the U.S. prison system was needed because of Ellis Island, then Alcatraz, Rikers, and a lot of other prisons arose because of 14 million immigrant homeless people into a location with no raw resources like NYC that can't make their own real estate or do farming then the crime spread throughout the USA, examples the west which had cowboys and wanted posters Sheriffs in the 1800s, people like Al Capone,

Bonnie and Clyde and other. What's important are the things that outlive yourself. Unless your occupation is manufacturing or building something that outlives yourself, you aren't making any accomplishment or any achievement. Look at who people were impressed by, Henry Ford, Andrew Carnegie, inventions and discoveries, Apple founder, Microsoft founder, technology and product production lines.

Review all the crimes on TV, Paper, and Online news that is authentic. Should people not English be teaching English to even more people nationally and globally. Think English people want more people not English in their language to commit more crimes? You have no right to spread someone else's language, spreading it is an offense and attack on an English person. Try being English. A lot of crimes only happen with two people speak the same language.

Look at all the present and previous articles of people not English are using the English language to make, transfer, and use weapons and/or drugs in it.

A business in the English language especially that only conveys English that isn't ran predominantly by authentic Anglo white English people is sometimes just a large gang and criminal entity. There is no English NAACP.

Look at NY, CA, and other locations using the English language. Do you deserve to party and live a party life in someone else's language, if your ethnicity hasn't done enough, no, and maybe no never.

The US is too dependent on foreign products or very few made in the USA and is too entertainment addicted, nationally and globally people in English have to go into construction, manufacturing, engineering, inventing and other. Too much entertainment that wastes man hours. The only entertainment that should exist is with craftsmanship, skills, labor, honest work involved with real estate and products made such as fantasy and sci fi. Everyone in English not English wants to be a celebrity or famous endorsing commercials, tv shows, film, plays, books, and internet activities that make nothing and have no prospective to. There are 500+ tv channels, 1.7b+ Websites globally, 5b+ You Tube Videos, 2.8+ million apps, Console games, Computer Games, App games, leisure games, Netflix, Hulu, Amazon Studio, Disney+, sports, infinite amounts of literature, and others man hour wasting devices so there possible impending shortages. Entertainment addictions, indoor addictions, and other mean impending shortages. There are 1.2b English speakers globally and US immigration is too lax, they can't be celebrities. Facts are everyone not English in any English languages usage position is stealing a English persons job and employment, also advancement.

If they aren't English don't listen to them, if you were supposed to listen to them you would be in their language.

Respect, appreciation, apologies, and accolades should be reserved for the native of the language. It gives the language direction. All those to someone not English is a scam. All Nobel prizes in English should go to an English person. The most legitimate prizes and accolades in English, are English made for English people only, or to awards to an English person.

Records in a language are only legitimate if the legitimate population makes the records i.e. English people in English. English people deserve the only rights to record and access English language records. People not English in English making records are void and false. Only English people have a right to record, edit, make or otherwise the English language verbal, written, or any form. Additionally the rest are suspicious, malice, and others besides English people in English language records is an invasion of privacy. Question any record, articles, media anything written in English not by an English person for a lot of reasons. Consider anything not written or recorded by a English person in English sub diversion or blackmail.

Laws are only legal and legitimate when the legitimate to the language i.e. English in English enact and write them. All non-English in any position from political, judge, police officer to education or anything else is corrupt. If they were in their own language they wouldn't be.

All crimes against the native of a language by a nonnative speaker have increased penalties and damages. It's messed up for others to learn languages to commit crimes on the native speakers.

Always assume people that aren't English learned wrong and inherited the language the wrong way.

The most innocent of the language are English monolingual in English.

Celebrities, politicians, public figures, and fame of those who aren't English in English is made to torture English people in their own language which is against the article of human rights. What do these positions mean to someone that isn't English in English, they would only mean something to an English person. The words celebrity, president, senator, governor, and other means nothing to someone that isn't English.

Take time to think about why you would ever listen to someone not English in English.

Is teaching a language worth it? Math and oppression of teaching a language, 1 English teacher teaches 20 non English students, it takes only 1 of the students to rape out that 1 English teacher with 19 rapists left roaming around; hence English extinction risk. Then that 1 English teacher earns \$1 million in a lifetime but all 20 of those non English students earn \$1 million in a lifetime also too, so it's bad business for English people to teach their language they lose \$20 million compared to the earnings of the English teacher who only earned \$1 million, it's a -\$19 million dollar loss for English people in a classroom of 20. It's less ethical, moral, also worse business and bad accounting when other people teach your language. Teaching a language doesn't develop allegiance. Most who are not English have used the English language against English people somehow or form in their lifetime. What kind of occupations do those 20 students do? Also accumulate what's achieved through wages the product loss, real estate loss, human total of making products, building real estate, utilities, other. The substantial physical/social/emotional/psychological risks of the native to a language involved. English people didn't do it for gain it's for a global initiative, global diplomacy, global education, global trade and travel and contributing to English is contributing to all languages, ethnicities, races globally. If you teach your language you aren't going to gain anything even if you teach the language one on one. Sometimes you are ethical for what you refuse. People should refuse to learn languages they did nothing for or weren't equal with already to the native population.

The way bilingualism is promoted is a scam, on average it just means they are doing nothing in two languages, occasionally bilingualism is very generous, but on average they think they should be spoiled in non-essential occupations in two languages. Bilingualism and speaking multiple languages usually means they aren't doing an honest job in two or more languages. Then people try to manipulate and say it just devalues English people, so an English person is worth less in their language because a non-native knows two languages? Question to ethics and morality of the person not English devaluing the English person, I wouldn't trust or work with them. How is learning a language to devalue a native speaker ethical. When you realize it isn't ethical to learn languages at all, what does this say? All call centers, customer service, conveying English occupations, should be people of English ancestry or recorded automated voices.

There are 350+ languages in the US. If English people are the most documented in English and outnumbered in their own language, which would be natural, they are the most wrongfully persecuted in English.

Notice how in NY only English peoples language is monitored so English people are getting persecuted by those not of English origin in their own language. Bilingual people keep what is happening in their homes, communities, languages a secret. Think of all the crimes bilingual people are committing constantly or are thinking, writing, speaking about doing in their languages.

In excess people not English are doing occupations that are only conveying English making risk of creating a real estate, product, and utility shortages. You are sometimes more ethical for what you don't do and what you turn down even presented with it. Others not English in English affects English accounting. Maybe everything in English meant for English people. English are at risk for language pointing and debt pointing being blamed for or attacked by a foreign country for debt or conflict accumulated in

English. English people outnumbered in their own language are at risk of getting blackmailed in various ways for material or social also extorted, sex trafficked, sexually harassed, having to move more frequently, enslaved, having their families split apart, being raped physically and verbally, attacked, blamed, everything you wouldn't want because of being outnumbered in your own language. There is and has been extensive theft and extortion theft from the English.

People have come into the English language to be self-interested. Why do people learn a language? Allegiances first comes to relationships, family, ethnicity, sometimes race. Sometimes allegiance is considered bought but everyone not English in English will just try to save their own ass occasionally their relationship, family, ethnicity, sometimes race. Someone not English would use the language to rape the most useful, successful, wealthy people in it. Usually people learn languages interested in what is in other people's language is usually to take, so most frequently it's to rape and steal. What kind of agreements do you think there would there be to be in someone else's language? bilingualism always, dating their own, maintaining culture. People not English shouldn't be in English because always assume then theres no loyalty to English people either way and never expect it. That's 1.2b people with malice intent on English people. What are people expecting when learning someone else's language, any language? What are people expecting when they learn and are learning English? Are they expecting English people to go into construction, manufacturing, engineering and hand everything to them, are they expecting real estate and real property to magically exist or that its owed to them for just learning a language? When people learn a language they are accomplishing nothing in the process then they expect to travel and accomplish nothing, did you build a real estate or import anything to a location you travel to? most people travel with no intent to do exchanges and business or scout raw resources to accomplish something. Are they expecting years or generations of being unemployed to learn a language or a life of full time traveling or dishonest employment.

English Americans invented the airplane, the mechanized ship and train was British ancestry. The existing foreign imports in the United States and a lot of other countries globally are because of English Americans and Englishmen internationally who are and were split from their family and culture living overseas to make it possible. Then while Englishmen are overseas others rape out the English women and other English people in the USA, also stealing real estate and products through conveying a language not their own, also trying to steal prestige as politicians, celebrities, public figures in English which is a language not theirs. As English people do legitimate work others become like gangs and thugs.

English Americans face lower life expectancy because of others in their language including the stress it creates. It's stressful being outnumbered in your own language.

If there are 1.2b English speakers globally and 200m native English speakers most will be in poverty, even English people. Most will be uneducated, maybe incapable, and maybe lazy. Most lazy. They have to go into construction, manufacturing, engineering, and inventing. They have in the past, present and in the future will target the English for all kinds of crime. English American accent which is the most spoken accent and USA with too lax immigration means those 1b+ that could be in poverty or different climates will want to come to the USA which further puts English Americans at risk. The 1.2b English speakers will all think they should become celebrities of all kinds (Models, Musicians, Actors, Artists, Etc.), politicians, and public figures. Scams usually are targeted at English people in English via telephone, internet and other. If there are 1.2b English speakers this also should read as extensive pressure on English Americans and English people globally.

English people are not completely innocent. If you are not Native American in the United States you have probably done something wrong to Native Americans. But also that same concept can be applied to a language, if you aren't English and in the English language you have probably done something wrong to English people. Sometimes being in countries you aren't native too is unethical, but since around the 1800s and definitely since the airplane every ethnicity and race has been guilty of that.

The English American population decreased -26.4m there has to be cultural boundaries made for English people to survive.

People shouldn't learn a language to have sex with the native population and a language shouldn't be taught to have sex with others. If someone learned Navajo or another Native American language to have sex with Native Americans, would that be ethical, why isn't that the same for English?

If Native Americans went extinct it would be considered raped out, why isn't it the same for English Americans, it is. Then are you a rapist in someone else's language? A debate amongst linguistics. Some are actually brave to admit it in someone else's language you are a rapist, words physically touch. It's the same for any language a person isn't native to. Even an English person would be considered a rapist in Japanese. English people are from an island and should be considered the equivalent as if Hawaiians or Japanese were raped out, also English people are a native population like Native Americans but native to a language they should be considered the equivalent as if Native Americans were raped out. Bilingualism was always the agreement if any, another agreement likely was also for people to date their own. Technically only English-English relationships are legitimate in English. If there are 1.2 billion English speakers globally and only 200 million native English speakers that could be raped out in less than a year if you think about it – all those of English genetics ancestry and in reproduction age could be raped out technically overnight by the 1.2 billion people not of English ancestry. It would take less than 80 million people to rape out the native English speaking population globally in English as reproductive age is a narrow margin, English Americans could be raped out by as little as 4 million people who are not English, polygamist males who are males who already have children, less non English would be needed to extinct English people whom are a unique Island genetics and culture. English genetic ancestry and English ancestry could go extinct in English peoples own language which makes this different and another tragedy. This is different from Native Americans who are at risk of extinction in their own territory. There are 23.6m English Americans left, how many are in reproductive age? Probably around 8 million in reproductive age. This is happening to English people in their own language by those not of the English language. How should people feel about this? (Disgusted). English Americans and English populations are at risk. More people learning English and others over reproduction in English increases likelihood of raping out English ancestry. This is similar to Native Americans, Hawaiians, and others. Rare genetics such as red hair, blue eyes, blonde hair, green eyes and other could be raped out overnight as well – a lot of these rare genetics exist in English genetic ancestry. Technically the only legitimate relationships in English are English-English relationships. Everyone has to ask what their ideal relationship concept was? What you would want your children to be like and look like? Who's more likely to take care of each other too? It's usually same-same relationships, English people want same-same relationships. English people shouldn't want to date others either and others shouldn't try to date English. Mainly whites who are not English but also people who are not white, went after and go after English females for perceived superior abilities at English, now maybe perceived superior abilities at computer science that exists in the English language, also perceived social such as owed celebrities or presidents, and also material advantage. People who are not English already outnumber English people and the population decrease so they have been getting raped out. English people. About 75 percent of Americans are monolingual only 8 percent are English that means they broke the agreement for bilingualism and could rape out the now less than 8 percent English in the USA, 75%>8%. A lot of English women, men, and children have been hurt, emotionally, socially, physically, and culturally for not dating or not being allowed to date each other. Evidence of English Americans being raped out is how dispersed English culture is, others create degrees of separation increasing requirements for English people to navigate. With 1.2 billion English speakers globally English Americans specifically being raped out might be unavoidable and anything an English person works for stolen, but I came up some solutions at the end of this paper that could help. The English have been, are now, and will be in the future victim's of genocidal rape.

Example. Pocahontas spoke English so she was the rapist, it was not John Rolfe/Smith speaking Algonquin, using the English language to rape John Rolfe/Smith. Without a mutual language a relationship wouldn't have happened, those inauthentic to a language is a rapist, although fairer John Smith who was exploring was on Native American land. People shouldn't learn a language to have sex with the native population, native to a language is a native population.

Side note, Interracial causes disease, look at color in nature how things attract things. A Brown tick will rest on a Brown deer and that Brown deer eats at Brown trees and Brown bark, the Brown tick transferring blood. Look at how bees are attracted to certain colors. Interracial makes new skin colors that attracts new potential viruses to all human beings. Interracial children are more likely to have disabilities and disorders. Skin cancer is when it essentially causes a burn and also makes a new color, interracial cause's diseases. Interracial affects current relatives emotionally. Then further it affects future relatives even of siblings, and families. Interracial closes businesses and work effort. Interracial will always live deprived of a lot of things, even in hated fear. Interracial usually loses support of all races and gets hate from all races. That a house takes 5-10+ people to build unless you have 5 friends of your own gender of both races approving you will probably go homeless. Most interracial was never planned people just date by vicinity and or get desperate. The whole world isn't going to mix its more likely the world will run out of fuel and no one can use airplanes or other transportation devices or because of the internet they outlaw certain travel and immigration.

A -26.4m population decrease is just not moral or ethical in any way. If others populations increased and Global English speakers increased while the English population decreased they all raped the English population out, mainly other whites. And they all learned English mysteriously. All 1.2B global English speakers learning English mysteriously. The English population decreased as the other White and as the Asian, African, Hispanic population increased.

You thought the US was built by raping out Native Americans, you were wrong, the US speaks English – so who got raped out? The most famous English people in the English language are getting raped out. English is a island language and genetics only English-English relationships are technically legitimate, maybe only English-English relationships should be allowed English language marriage licenses. The English American population decreased -26.4m since 1980 and in the last 10 years English ancestry figures Bill Gates daughter with an Egyptian, Prince Harry with a mix Megan Markel, Clintons daughter was with a Russian guy, George Clooney part English with an Indian, Channing Tatum with a Spanish girl. Dating's on average just by vicinity, but they all have social media, they could just reach out to another English person at least as of around 2010 via the internet. Everyone would want their own ethnicity same-same relationship. This is unethical messages to the world for English ancestry.

People are going after English people out of perceived language advantages, material gain, social gain, perceived inventing or technological usage advantages, or English ancestry as a future investment to become famous in English as a celebrity or politician or other also people are specifically going after people with product production lines.

Think of this, I personally would love to learn German and date the Mercedes Benz heiress, but it's the wrong thing to do, so I don't do it. NYC has the most amount of white made Skyscrapers globally. A lot of people try to date in NYC, travel to NYC, get educated in NYC (which is not educated because nothing is made in a city) just to try and have sex with someone who has a skyscraper apartment and steal what they have.

In any language you are not native to you are native or foreign.

In English you are either English or foreign.

In English you are either English or rapist.

English people are also slightly also to blame for themselves getting raped out, but some of English people getting raped out goes back to invasions of Great Britain and European history. Invasions by European militaries and those foreign to England. Technically since in a sense Nordic and English ancestry

that left England is one of the youngest in human existence, so those who are not English are in a way pedophiles going after the youngest in human existence when they try to date and have sex with English people.

Examine all the discoveries and innovations by Englishmen, the airplane, the skyscraper, mechanical ship and train, how are other people even in places like New York and other Urban locations? Because urban places have no raw resources, their only revenue is off of a language, in New York's case mainly English, so it should be English people. No one has the same amount of domestic work and imports as English people. And people have to question real estate exchanges, Buckingham palace still belongs to Royalty, the pyramids of Egypt still belongs to Egyptians and no one would want differently, why isn't every real estate the same? Maybe it should be illegal for Real Estate to exchange away from English people. NYC has 40% foreign born, the reason people dislike immigration and migration is they never built a real estate, a farm, or were involved in an industry in a country they immigrated or migrated to. If they immigrated or migrated urban they never built one. Even if they are an importer country, the imports are most likely an exchange, in the case of debt their home country kind of disowned them and the home country still wants back debt.

Are people being sensitive to English people. English people are the most important part of the English language.

How sensitive are people being to English people, people were more sensitive to black people and if statues were removed and there was a black lives matters, because of a slave owner history. Then all non-English rapist foreign take over enslaving English peoples plaques, statues, and memorials should be removed. Using a language not of your own to date or have sexual partners is rape. They should take down statues of everyone not English in English and places that use English. Taking down statues, plaques, memorials of a Englishmen should be illegal such as a Thomas Jefferson statue in NYC which was maybe the only English person statue in NY, Thomas Jefferson was English in NY in the English language, he could do no wrong, there was no currency back then to pay anyone and I don't think he was a violent slave owner. English people were the biggest victims of 9/11 and should have been put in bold in the memorial over non English in the English language, English people invented the airplane and skyscraper, the English language, and it's called New York. By default anyone in NYC not English is just living off the English language. The most amount of conscription was by those of English ancestry after 9/11 and Great Britain and Canada were the only ones to help the most. Would the people who weren't English that died in 9/11 have enlisted if they didn't die in 9/11? NO. Did their ethnic home countries support the US, No. Most victims were English, second was Italian, but for the amount of Italian victims Italy did nothing. English people were the biggest victims of 9/11 and should have been put in bold in the memorial over non English in the English language, English people invented the airplane and skyscraper, and the English language.

Being in someone else's language is shameful, living off another's language is shameful, immoral unethical and shameful. Dishonest. Do people not English in English deserve plaques, burial plots obituaries, statues, memorials or things named after them in English or in locations named after England. Maybe an obituary if they took a English ancestry English professor or teacher. Only maybe with a substanacial discovery, innovation, product production line. Maybe if they aren't English and died without children. Burial plots, it's like declaring a foreign take over, after 1 generation, who's going to visit them? And it takes up space to build new real estate. Also with limited space, no, only plots for Englishmen. Tesla who was Croatian for example was not English, did some labor, made some inventions, and never had children in a language not his and territory not his own so he earned his museum. But you have still never seen an import or ever heard of one from Croatia.

Then consider, how would you feel if you were English and had to pay for your own language education in the United States or other countries that use English? The most foreign born country globally all living off English. If they try to make an English person pay for their own language to fund nonnatives for something intangible it is a scam. There should be a buy in for others not of the English language. With

the internet anyone could learn anyone else's language for free then steal English language employment and notrietiabe education through the internet or otherwise. The US is the most susceptible. Some countries have been paying people to learn English instead of them repaying English people. Is it ethical and moral for an English person to be turned down at all for any reason in their own language for education from any school which uses English? Example. Harvard was made by English reverend John Harvard, reverends are for marrying people, so it should be an education and dating location for English people to get married by a reverend. I think people would mutually agree, English deserve prior seating in Education, Employment, Housing and other. Should other people want to even study in Massachusetts there are no products made there and you don't take home the school equipment. Why do people study in New York or CA either, there are no made in NY products, but there is architecture. Additionally people are making life decisions with very little critical thinking and also making those decisions by how convenient and easy they are. All subjects in the higher education system except foreign languages, but especially English classes and courses, should be taught by Englishmen, the offices and administration should be Englishmen too. If you speak English you should want to learn and take courses taught by an English person or why aren't you in their language to learn from them? Transcripts from most schools don't show professor names to hide this. Transcripts that don't show professors names means you have no way of tracing your purchase and is not legitimate. With 1.2b people in the English language only 200m native you will have to navigate a lot of scams. That they are not of English ancestry in English, that the student and students are getting scammed. How many students in the education system take courses proctored by people who are not English in the English language and why? It's as simple as the times and days are convenient, it fits their schedule. Maybe Great Britain should be teaching most subjects via internet especially English classes.

If there are 1.2B English speakers and most are not English in English you are going to have to navigate through a lot of scams. The college and university system is one of them.

First if you pay the university system do you leave with a product? If the product is English, where should it come from?

All schools that primary language is English and don't have an English presidency or administration in English are not legitimate universities or colleges and are unaccredited. Accredited is an English word that can only be granted by English people to a quality education which is only when English people proctor and administer English. Credentials and degrees from schools that don't have people of authentic English ancestry proctoring and administering (Work in Administration) English are void and invalid. Up to and including Ivy League and Harvard. Only the legitimate population makes an education in an given language legitimate i.e. English people in English. Say all schools are accredited, are they all equally accredited with the word accredited, but are they all equal? What about at different given points in time? Professors change schools all the students are different each year. Textbooks vary and change. All schools aren't the same or equal, an accreditation doesn't guarantee you will have a good and ethical experience, that the school won't collapse (especially with the internet) or that your degree is valid. The accreditation system is a scam, it's dishonest. Pass and fail and a number grade doesn't say what someone has learned.

An English person writing, speaking, any method of conveying English is a legitimate product, not when it is from someone not of English origin.

Especially with the internet. I could buy plaques online and make my own accreditation system right now, Harvard would fail to pass it.

English people have better Judgement in the English language.

When others are in your language does it puts you in physical, social, emotional, psychological, and material jeopardy? Yes. The English are victims of hate crimes evidence you can see is how English American public figures are treated such as Bill and Hilary Clinton infidelity scandal and then Hilary slandered by Trump who is dutch (See the problem with that?), also Bill Gates legal scandal, Tom Cruise and Mel Gibson accused of going crazy and others. Average English Americans are at risk of hate crimes

constantly. English are at risk of physically being attacked for many reasons. There is a concept called language pointing, that English people are naturally looked at first by nonnative speakers. Having this many nonnative speakers in your language is likely to increase English suicide rates and substance abuse in English populations. People not English have used the language in verbal, written, and other forms to persecute English Americans and English ancestry having their own language turned against them which is morally and ethically wrong. Take time to think about what most English people went through and go through from others in the language.

In the US Range Rovers which are British are considered one of the most luxury cars and English people have made multiple car companies.

If your ethnicity makes no cars and imports no cars and you are in a car accident you are always at fault. An ethnicity or race that doesn't make cars shouldn't be in an occupation that is in a car all the time or waste cars such as police officer. Every car accident is always a non-car countries fault, they never belonged on the road to begin with. People are sometimes ethical for what they refuse to do and don't do. How were car companies made? What are people doing in a car factory? They are made walking. How are roads made, walking. Some people that work at car factories or car part factories use public transportation. New at fault law for non-car countries and non-car ethnicities is fair – they weren't supposed to have a car to begin with. So it's generous if they even have one. And if they weren't on the road, it wouldn't have happened to begin with. It's not fair to car producing ethnicities. Think of how it puts others' lives at risk when non car ethnicities and countries are on the road by car accidents and beyond that, it's not their language, they are less likely to comprehend vital information while driving putting other lives at risk. Maybe it causes car producing countries and ethnicities, who belong on the road, stress when they see others with car which is the non-car countries and non-car ethnicities fault, and if comes down to the car producer ethnicities or the not on the road, only the producing ethnicity should be on it, you choose the car producer ethnicity to be on the road its only fair – if they were supposed to have a car they would be importing them and or a domestic car company. Maybe only car producing countries and ethnicities deserve drivers licenses this would also solve the night club bar rape by non-English – because using a language not your own to date or seek sexual partners is rape. Be lenient with laziness or generous with laziness they will never make one or do anything, accomplish anything, or make any products in return. Not a substantial importer or honest job domestic work they should be in debt and drained until they make something or go make an import happen or go into honest work. It wouldn't even be an un-American law, in a country with places named Chinatown, Koreatown, Thai town, Little Italy, Heritages months to people not English, or ethnic Parades and events like Puerto Rican day parade to people not English – those could all be called un-American locations, un-American declared months, un-american parades on American soil. If it was 90% English when the constitution was written, and it is written in English, and the US uses English, only places named after England and English culture events are American.

People that aren't English don't believe in English language laws because it is not their language – they intentionally come into English language and English named territory and defy them, even though they use English, speak English and steal from English.

The war of independence was to prevent foreign slavery and that foreign slavery has invaded the English language and the USA.

There is perpetual rape of English people if it keeps decreasing. Perpetual slavery.

But how would it feel to be English in NY without a car or a decent car when everyone that isn't English has a car? How would it feel to be English in NY and feel like you are constantly going homeless and/or are homeless? English people in the USA should never feel economically insecure or have to live their entire lives feeling that way.

How would it feel to be English and denied education in your own language when it only makes revenue off of your language?

Is it moral and ethical to have a weapon in someone else's language? No. You are ethical for what you don't do and refuse sometimes. Great Britain outlawed lethal weapons in London metropolitan area. A non-English police officer in English is a national and international criminal. It's dishonorable to serve under a President not of English ancestry in the English language for a lot of reasons. Revoke veterans benefits if people serve under someone not English, you aren't serving if it's under someone not English in English. The United States uses the English language as a standard. George Washington the first President was English ancestry as were a lot of other United States Presidents, the American flag was by Elizabeth Ross. When the United States constitution was signed it was 90% English in the United States. Look at locations denoting English family lines within the United States. Calculate the substantial discoveries and innovations Englishmen made also including the construction, manufacturing, trade skill, and engineering effort. Calculate all conflict in the United States history was served by Englishmen. Those not English are less likely to remember addresses, information, and everything else in the English language also less likely to misinterpret English language information. English have better comprehension speed. Laws are in English, English people are more likely to follow laws. People shouldn't be taking over or try to take over English decision making. Should people even get veterans benefits if they serve under someone not English in English? The only Sir is a Englishmen, Police officers who are not English are a disgrace to the badge. People not English in security or other are a disgrace. It's a bad message for people not English to be hired for any position considered authority or "muscling" force in a language they shouldn't be in and never will comprehend. What kind of people come into a language to try and impose force or authority on the native of a language? Doctors who are not English only malpractice. What kind of people come into a language to practice medical on the native of a language? To drug them or anything else? If foreign degrees aren't valid because of different structures, practices, are credentials held by a person not English valid? In someone else's language are you mentally fit? Is it sane for people who are not English to want public notoriety in press and otherwise in a language not theirs? Celebrities, politicians, and other public figures should technically all be English. If your population discovered electricity, invented television, and the transistor for all consumer electronics to be made possible and you weren't represented on them, how would you feel? Then also how would you feel if you weren't represented in your own language? It's not humane what is happening to the English. Think of all the ways English are not treated to the standard of Human rights, it's against human rights how some English human beings are being treated. If the Tuskegee Experiments of German Whites on Blacks and Nazi Experiments on Jewish people were wrong, how is it not the same when someone not English uses the English language to experiment on them. How is that ethical to learn a language then experiment on them? How does that not cause another kind of trauma to have your language used against you.

Would you trust someone that wants authority in a language not their own? Would you trust someone that carries a weapon in a language not their own? What about both such as a police officer, military, security guard or other? Then would you trust someone who is not native to the language and wants authority over cumulative weapons such as politicians, governors, presidents? If a language is like a house would you trust someone who runs into your house and claims authority over the house?

You shouldn't trust anyone with a weapon, but definitely not someone who isn't English with a weapon in English.

If they aren't English don't listen to them, if you were supposed to listen to them you would be in their language.

A law in the English language isn't a law unless it is written by a consortium of English people.

A disciplinary action is not legal unless by someone of English ancestry in the English language especially when on an English person. An English person has better judgment in the English language. What kind of human being comes into another's language to then think they have a right to punish the native. Would you trust human beings that do that? They have no right. It isn't their language. They have no right to be in the language to begin with.

It's English peoples language. You shouldn't be impressed by people who are not English in English for taking over a language not theirs. Is that an ethical and moral thing to do? If someone isn't English and they are in English – it is to learn and share fairly both ways with English people, so you should want English professors and teachers, celebrities and politicians in English. You shouldn't be impressed by what contributes to English oppression. How would it feel to be English? Take time to review in publications, written, audio, and video recorded media radio, tv and internet – How many English Americans are represented? It should be mainly English Americans for discovering electricity, inventing tv and the transistor. Others split apart English families expecting English to parent them. English are definitely at risk for being overloaded with work, over demanded from, and increased stress. English people are subjected to various forms of degrading treatment in their own language.

In the USA, I'm concerned with how many people accepted during the coronavirus TV commercials by an Italian and an Indian promoting mass vaccines in the English language, in a language not their own, then this happening in a state like NY without English politicians it had a Italian governor and executives, currently a Irish one, but it's the English language, how would that be moral or ethical. Its not.

English people are the most important part about the language. People do everything and make everything possible.

You should only feel bad for an English person in the English language. The rest are still only supporting their own language, ethnicity, race, let their own language feel bad for them. People are bilingual and expect two or more languages to spoil them, it's a crime, even one not their own? No one but English people should be honored in English, let their own language honor them. It's stealing. All awards. People not English should turn down accolades, awards, trophies, honors, certain employment in English especially when it could go to a English person. They destroy the language's guidance by the native, in this case peoples of English ancestry guidance in English.

Everyone not English is a criminal in the English language, some even think of killing all English people out. Or raping out all the English females or males.

People not English came into the language and started censoring the English language and English people in mass media, print, online and otherwise. Also making fake news. If news isn't written by a Anglo white English person or was written by a imposter in the English language consider it fake.

You are respected for what you don't do and don't take sometimes – if a person not English is offered a trophy or award or employment or housing or anything or honors they should always say give it to an English person.

An arrest and judicial action isn't legal unless done by an English person in the English language.

If they aren't English ancestry in a police uniform, they aren't real police officers.

Don't be manipulated by a uniform, judge the person behind the uniform, they commit actions hiding behind the uniform and additionally hiding behind the English language and English people. If they aren't English and speak English they are false authority.

A person who is not English brandishing a weapon that speaks English or in territories named after England even NYPD is doing it illegally.

Ethnicity based hiring makes sense. Police are like slavery at gunpoint, learn someone else's language you are asking to get enslaved at gunpoint but only by the native of the language only.

Only English people make the USA legitimate because of usage of English, but if the US has the most cultures and languages it's the dirtiest country in the world, the USA is used as foreign countries prisons and homeless shelter.

I'm concern how quickly people trust a person who is not English in the English language with the immorality to have a weapon in a language not theirs and have the immorality to try to claim authority in a language not theirs such as police officers and military, you see what's wrong with that? You are ethical for what you don't do sometimes. Don't be manipulated by a uniform judge them if they are doing the

right thing. Imagine a situation where a police officer who is not English in English with a weapon tries to tell you what to do in a language not their own and you don't listen, you shouldn't listen to them if you were supposed to you would speak their language, or be in a territory named after their country, and then they think they have a right to shoot kill and murder you for not listening the whole time using a language they are inauthentic to, that they don't read laws or regulations in, and never earned or did honest work in. If a non English police officer would have a claim it's in their duty to shoot someone that doesn't listen to them it makes more sense if an English person shoots someone that doesn't listen to them. So an English person should have the right to shoot and murder anyone that doesn't listen to them anytime and anywhere in locations that use English or places named after England. So if a police officer or other agency or security guard that isn't English thinks they have a right to carry an open weapon an English person in English should always have the right to carry an open weapon. Great Britain outlawed lethals a non-English police officer shoots anyone for any reason they should be accused of murder and incarcerated. If a police officer thinks they have a right to murder using their guns that isn't English an English person has the right to murder anyone that isn't English even the police officers not English for being a foreign invader oppressive regime. Technically in New York an English person that isn't even a police officer should always have a right to discharge a weapon on someone who isn't English carrying a weapon in New York even those who are not English but with a uniform and badge. Shooting someone unarmed is murder, but in the case of two people with guns in a showdown in any location they predominately speak English or named after locations in England, one person being English and the other not, the person not English is the perpetrator, murderer and culprit even if they are US Military, National Guard, or NYPD in uniform. Cases of false authority. In locations that use English even if an English person is armed and killed it should be considered a police officer committing murder. The English person is using self-defense in his own language and territory. No weapons or guns are ethical at all, no one should have weapons. I honestly don't blame an English person if they were to carry one in locations they are outnumbered in their own language and others are armed in their own language, a English open gun law makes sense.

In any given conflict with an English person, the non-English person is at fault partially or in full for being in a place named after England and a language not theirs.

Outnumbered in their own language English people are always the victims.

If two people don't speak the same language, someone learned by rape, and if they turned out speaking English it was by raping an English person, so if they aren't white traceable English ancestry they are rapists, criminal, sick fucks. If two people don't speak the same language. If the person doesn't speak English, How does an English person ask you to learn their language? And would anyone say please learn my language? By default you know someone not native to your language will mess up the language, start stealing, doing crime, be lazy, be incapable, not do essential occupations and become dependent in it.

Is it fair to learn another's language, either you learned by rape or 1 person teaches it but there are millions of others that didn't have a say in you learning their language. If you didn't accomplish something useful in your language, you shouldn't learn another's, you have nothing to share, you are learning the language to rape and steal, you aren't learning the language to be generous to them. Everything in the English language is meant for English people only. Definitely before the information era of computer data increasing before 2000, everything was not recorded adequately, paper degrades, smudges, gets wet, no one goes through trillions of pieces of paper.

Other people make English people feel uncomfortable in their own language to begin with, some are even very rude, offensive, and abusive.

All crimes against the native of a language by a nonnative speaker should have increased penalties and damages. It's messed up for others to learn languages to commit crimes on the native speakers.

You know the college and university system is a scam when people would pay for a non-English, English teacher or professor, what kind of scam or in which way are they getting scammed? Paying someone not English to mess up the language? Additionally being a teacher or professor of any subject is

like datamining, getting large amounts of information sometimes from hundreds of students in one class, see how that's wrong to give someone not English in English that amount of information power? Can you see how offensive that should be? They are stealing content from students and they aren't even English in the English language.

They all figure it out later on and it generates hate for English people and the teachers and professors not English also the education system. They are hiding behind the English language and English people.

The Top 10 locations that have the most amount of forcible rape all use English and most of the rape is not by English people. 6 of 10 forcible rape locations are where English people are and are also considered travel destinations. How mature are we, there is the Rape of Nanjing, this needs to be in the history books too of what is happening to English people, the Rape of English decadency. The English have been victim's of Genocidal rape.

- 10. Denmark and Finland
- 9. Zimbabwe
- 8. Australia – English Country – Semi high foreign born rate a lot of Non English – Multicultural rape of English people for perceived gain
- 7. Canada – English Country a lot of Non English – Semi high foreign born rate – Multicultural rape of English people for perceived gain
- 6. New Zealand – English Country
- 5. India – English using Country
- 4. England and Wales – English Country A lot of Non English – High foreign born rate – Multiculturally raping English people for perceived gain
- 3. USA – English Country – High foreign born rate a lot of Non English – Multicultural rape of English people for perceived gain
- 2. Sweden
- 1. South Africa – English Country

[Top 10 Countries With the Highest Rape Crime in the World - Wonderslist](#)

Then compare to the top 10 multicultural cities in the world

[Top 10 Most Multicultural Cities in the World - Diverse Cities \(orientrailjourneys.com\)](#)

The education system is a weapon but only on English people by inflating the language. Think of what inflating a language does to the native of the language.

The English population decreasing is a form of genocidal rape, its erasing authentic English genetics.

Verbal rape is someone not English using the English language to have sex with who is of English ancestry under the desperate age of 30 but if they are a substanacial English figure it is always rape.

An English person is in the language no matter what, they have no choice, and are dedicated/loyal to it. English people are more honest and uphold the integrity of the language. English people shouldn't but more frequently accept lesser than employment, education, treatment and other in the language. Its ironic English people sometimes accept lesser positions but shouldn't. It's pretty unethical also ironic because sometimes it's a huge risk for anyone to work for an entrepreneur, start up, celebrity, public figure, politician or other that is not English in the English language.

English people deserve infinite amounts of money just to hire people if they feel generous especially in locations like New York. Reasons to work for Englishmen are reasons mentioned throughout this paper. English people in the English language are the most legitimate in entrepreneurship or any

occupation also because they are the most traceable in English. English people have no choice to be loyal dedicated to their language and English people are more likely to know and follow laws, maybe it's less likely for English businesses to collapse in English and more likely for them to be multi-generational businesses. English people have a deeper commitment to their word. Occupations that only convey English such as celebrities on average more profitable when the celebrity is of English origin. People like to experience other cultures, but sometimes people feel foolish paying for any culture that isn't theirs including English people in English, but some feel even more foolish paying for people who are not English in English. When one authentic English speaker doesn't believe they should earn money for just conveying their own language, what kind of human beings are these people making money conveying a language not their own. The most disgusting on the planet. Do not trust people not English in English. They are pathetic con artists and scam artists. English is an island language always assume if they are not English they don't belong in the language.

Everyone not English in English is suspicious. They always will be. Who learned the language? We don't know, radio, tv, internet and global teaching we don't know what kind of people learned it, their history, criminal records, military associations, their intentions in the language. A lot of the people that learned English were the biggest slave owners in their own language, "politicians" not of English origin, over entitled people, dictators not of English origin, this turns into foreign slavery of English people. Were they foreign military or in foreign prisons when they learned it? You just know 90% of the time no one has learned a language with intentions to help it. Ask around honestly, what 5 languages you would learn, ask them if it was to altruistically help them, then ask could they really help 5 languages? Or even two? Would you learn a language not your own to help it? Maybe but maybe not. Then well call this If you were so important your own language would have wanted you. We just know the important people of other languages don't feel the need to learn other languages, they are satisfied, taken care of, and comfortable in their own language. People that aren't in their native language are some kind of defect. Required occupations such as construction, manufacturing, trade skills, engineering and the like are usually monolingual in their own language. As well as inventors and other industries. People not native of the language have less guilty and remorse using the language against the everyone even the native as a form of torture in psychological, physical, and other ways In another's language they are less likely to have sympathy and compassion because it is not theirs, there is no attachment to the language they are more likely to become sociopathic. Not or less likely to follow laws because they aren't attached to the language and it isn't in their language. People not English are more likely to be dishonest in day to day life and even in documentation. In the US political system you have to collect signatures to get on the ballot, question especially all people not English running for politics if they are making forgeries of signatures. Maybe all people who aren't English have some kind of learning disability then are also messed up for not belonging. Then people bring all kinds of crimes and corruption of all kind to the language. Likely a lot of foreign military learned English don't expect people to treat you right. Some people learned English running and hiding from things in their home language. Some people learned English to evade the law in their own language. It's a fact most people learn another's language to take, they are after something not in their language. Everyone not English in English probably has some kind of speech disorder, comprehension disorder, and mental health problem. Think about it, Schizophrenia is sometimes explained as living in an alternate reality, everyone not English in the English language has a form of Schizophrenia living in some kind of alternate reality. Living in another's language means you are in an alternate reality. Their reality is in their native language. I mean there are people not English that try to use traditional English names, like they are hiding in English. English peoples reality is the real reality in the English language.

Think from different perspectives. What do you think national and international security say about the English language? And about people not English in English. English people invented the airplane,

mechanical ship and train, everyone traveling on airplanes that isn't English or speaks English is a huge suspicious threat.

A lot of people would say everyone's in a different situation. That there are 1.2B English speakers globally only approximately 200m native English, the English American population decreased -26.4m since 1980 and capital punishment should exist for violent crimes on English people. And maybe capital punishment should exist for violent crimes on Native Americans in the USA. Both being different kinds of natives.

Is it ethical and moral or even educated or a smart thing to do for other people to reproduce in a language not theirs? Some people not English have been having 4, 5, up to 13 children or possibly more in the English language a language that isn't theirs. You shouldn't be allowed to reproduce in someone else's language. The belief system that everyone should be monolingual in English globally is a belief system of someone not English that went monolingual in English, hence not legal or ethical in English. And it would never happen. Is it ethical and moral to believe just because you had a lot of children in someone else's language that you are owed something? Also is it smart to be bilingual, maybe it leads to children having language and other defects it two or more languages.

Others treat reproducing in a language not their own as a population war. Or as a race to have children, like they earned something for having children before others including an English person. It makes you question ethics of voting, when people vote by ethnicity, if an English family like Clinton has 1 child and a non-English family has 13 children, how is that a fair voting system? Maybe only English people should be allowed to vote in the English language and determine the English languages fate. Maybe the voting system should not be a per person vote but a household vote, technically one house might hold 150 people. Just vote English in English. Recently NYC allowed 808k non-citizens to vote, probably all not English, how is that fair?

There are 1.2B English speakers 200m native English speakers. This is slavery of English people, it has already been centuries of slavery of English people, and try and predict the future generations of slavery of English people.

People that are not native to a language, in this case English, create degrees of separation increasing the demands for the native to navigate through harder to find each other. English parents are torn away from English children to make education, international trade, and travel possible.

There is no real contribution to society from some occupations, all of those occupations not building real estate, products, and other that are polygamist potential or rape out potential are creep occupations, those that came into the English language to rape out English people or others who speak English. Porn and paid sex is rape, English people are at risk of being raped out by these methods. Example, Who are these guys called Bang Bus, they travel around the world in a broke down car trying to pay girls for sex on the street? Would that be ethical if they did it around an Indian/Native American reservation? What is wrong with people and what are they thinking and how is it ethical to put porn in a language not your own especially as that population is decreasing getting raped out. Why are there 40 girl 1 guy videos of some guy in mainland Europe? Or Checksolvian Orgies? Or other in the English language, are they trying to reproduce to start a war or housing collapse. What are they thinking putting stuff like this in someone else's language? This all torments English people, causes trauma and mental anguish, and is oppression to English people.

Other creep methods are people that would look and hire English ancestry employees just to have sex with them to rape out English ancestry. Then there are males who are around a lot of females as an

occupation, a “creep” occupation and overproduction occupation for no products or real estate careers, they just think about and try to have sex with all of them. Such as a male dance or gymnast teacher of all females or male sports coach of all females, or male yoga teacher of all females, or singing or acting of all females, or the male salon stylist, or medical around a lot of nurses, females should be leading females but this rarely happens. Also Bar and night club owners or managers or hiring managers or administration or landlord who is one male around a lot of females only hire to have sex with new employees planning rape of English females. Because of Anatomy differences females are nine months pregnant and males are not, English females are more at risk of being raped out. Rape out careers and also creep careers are an issue can cause shortages of products and real estate. What if one of these dance teachers or sport teachers or other teacher or manager concepts of all females decides to try and have sex with all of their students? Is this all ethical to males, females, and children in honest work manufacturing, construction, engineering, and other towards products and real estate? Dating apps and websites are another “new creep” business and employees watching for what text or format to write to get into any girl or guys pants or blocking out all other users of their gender so they could have sex with all the users of the opposite gender they want, same guy dating every girl that subscribes or vice versa. Offices, Administration, politics are also creep and over reproduction careers as now they full time flirt and date. Additionally when you are in someone else’s language, you are a creep in various ways. Both genders are guilty of being possible creep careers. Bars and nightlife are creeps and rapists, loud music, alcohol, dark lights it hides accents they don’t even have to be fluent in English and they aren’t English so they shouldn’t use the English language to date. Technically everyone not English that speaks English is some kind of creep if you think about it. Another way is that people who aren’t English learn eavesdropping in on English people in conversation with others.

There are different degrees of dependency, dependency to foreign countries and dependency within a country. Also dependency within a language. The USA 1980’s?(Before I was born)-2021 present has significant foreign dependency that won’t last forever, then there is dependency within a country, within a state, counties, and other that may or may not last forever too at 100mi 200mi 500mi 1000mi or more radius. There is also significant dependency on English language and language occupations. Who’s going to be blamed or asked to solve the product and product dependency? This is decreasing English peoples life expectancy. Living in another’s language maybe feels like traveling, maybe it’s less stress for them.

The United States has no official language, so do others belong in English? No. With 350+ languages in the USA, do some communities exist fine in the US in their own language exclusively? Did most English people get a say in if others should learn the language, especially to this extent. When did English people all get a say as it as a standard, were they given risks involved? Who deserves ownership of a language is a big debate, computer languages have ownership and computer languages are used just like verbal languages, dictionaries additionally have ownership. Ownership would likely go to English people in England, then English Americans in the USA for discoveries and innovations that made it valuable.

You can’t learn another’s language and expect social mobility in it. That is not ethical.

You can’t learn another’s language and even expect basic human needs. Like shelter, clothing, food, water, anything. Look at all what people have from and in English – English people deserve all peace prizes.

You can’t learn another’s language and expect to ever be trusted.

You can’t learn another’s language and expect anything in it.

People who aren't English come into the language to cause conflict and even try to deny English people their freedom to speak their own language. A language from with they never belonged and never deserved a thing from.

Other examples of non English putting English lives at risk in various ways. A non English police chief or in dispatching then dispatching English police officers to a location. Iraq and Afghanistan a Irish president then sending English troops. English people should be the only ones to determine the language's fate. It wasn't an English president so it wasn't an English order, no one should have listened to an Irish president. People learned English to listen to English people or they would be in the others language. If they aren't English, don't listen to them. I have a serious concern that people listen to people not English in the English language too much, the president that started Iraq and Afganistan's last name and history was Irish – no one should have listened to him. People that aren't English that learn the English language and then try to presume authority in a language not theirs to cause wars should be in national and international court.

NYC is 40% foreign born the highest foreign born rate globally and anyone that immigrates to a urban location with no raw resources is on average never going to contribute to the USA, the English language, or Globally on average by making any discoveries, innovations, products, real estate, or product production lines theres no raw resources in a urban location to accomplish any of those. On average judging people by degrees paths chosen anyone not in engineering, science, technology, construction, or manufacturing degrees will never make a contribution to the USA, the English language, or Globally. With the internet its slightly more possible to make a contribution. The US is in the most debt globally always assume even the President should have that background. In education a lot of people are making degree mistakes most chromosomes are female and not enough girls are going into those degrees to be attracted to the degree (Literally with no verbal communication children naturally run to their mothers who is a female), everyone is a mothers boy and mothers girl, also a lot of males are hesitant to go into an degree or occupation that could never help them find a spouse and have children but is at risk for physical injuries – so more girls need to go into those degree paths. On average judging people by habits, if they are “night owls” they aren't making any real contribution to discoveries, innovations, real estate, infrastructure, products, and production lines as those on average need daylight – a good sleep schedule helps ensure success. On average judging if they are indoor and/or entertainment addicted they aren't ever going to be prepared for outdoor occupations which are the important ones like building real estate, factories, collecting raw resources. On average judging by substanacial substance abuse or intoxicating substance use, they aren't going to contribute to the US, the English language, and Globally as they affect quality and safety of work. On average judging by locations people are from and lived in over time, were they from a dependency location and if so how long and or how many dependency locations. There are no made in DC, Boston, NYC, LA, and other locations product don't be impressed by schools from locations you never heard of a product from any of these locations. First look at products then go into real achievements that turn into products. Always assume urbanized locations such as NYC, Boston, LA, DC, Islands locations which have no raw resources are just planning criminal conduct. Also assume they are thinking about ways of stealing real estate they cannot build/products they cannot make themselves and how to steal inheritance all day. Or that immigrants with no means of building real estate are too and with the internet always assume this internationally. And that lawyers, doctors, teachers and every occupation that doesn't build real estate are in on it because they don't build real estate or make products either. On average assume an ethnicity or race that has no import or domestic work is planning crime as well. On average judging by associations, an average wood house takes 5-10 people to build, usually males, judge by how many male friends someone has, most factories and residential real estate is all male built, inventions are mainly male too, watch the credits of films they are mainly male crew also, are they capable with enough healthy habit male friends to build a legitimate factory and residential real estate or any kind of contribution. On average judging how people travel, are they dedicating time to touring factories to learn about products, also science and engineering museums, also scouting raw resources and thinking like a biologist and geologist that can help make a product production line. Example is on average people that travel to NYC aren't traveling to accomplish anything. You can notice what countries are doing by

their most frequented travel destination using Wikipedia or a Websearch, the US's is Cancun Mexico? Is that for laziness, drug trafficking, or illegal Mexican immigration? Spain is one of the worlds most traveled destination? There's nothing made in Spain, Spanish people travel too much. Things like degrees just show what people aspire to judging by actual occupations and what they do is probably the right way. Locations that have no raw resources, that are dependency locations they aren't doing hard labor all day, examples are New York and Los Angeles should be the friendliest place on earth yet rated as unfriendly places – so immigrants and foreign to English are pretty ungrateful, these locations should have nothing to complain about. In the US Texas and Georgia, specifically Texas travels too much, there isn't much from Georgia and definitely not much from Texas. Most service occupations should be remote work at home by now and save the US oil, look at most international passengers on airplanes by country, Dubai and Qatar travel more than countries that make airplanes. Why is the Netherlands the #2 on passengers count by international travel nothing is made there, nothing is made in Turkey, Spain, Singapore, Dubai or Qatar to be in the top 10 international travel by passenger count either. Refer to these statistics [List of busiest airports by passenger traffic - Wikipedia](#) and [List of busiest airports by international passenger traffic - Wikipedia](#).

Do people not English have a right to accrue Debt in English? No. English people are not liable for anything that happens in English.

Great Britain has become increasingly inauthentic and also dependency, there are 8-9 million foreign born as of 2021. Great Britain only grows 64% of its own food, it is an island, there are 60 million people including 8 million foreign born and increasing population so is at risk for famine. 80% of its paper products are foreign. Great Britain being a island is at a huge risk of being raped out. What would happen if 1.2 billion people tried to immigrate, live on, get education in Great Britain?

How would other ethnicities or races handle a -26.4m population decrease? Think of it from different ethnicity and race perspectives. Well call this black people would riot.

I have never seen a protest for a white person dying, most police shootings by numbers are on white people, not even in the UK and white people are dying all the time of murder or other things to protest for. I saw news article of a white doctor murdered by a black man, and nothing.

[Most of the people killed by the police are white | Washington Examiner](#)

Who's to say a police officer's judgement is better than the ones they attack, shoot, arrest, involuntarily take, make decisions for? Unarmored is always murder. There should only be non lethals.

Blacks just had one protest then made a pact to keep it going one after another.

[Black Male Who Murdered White Ex-Penn State Quarterback in Philadelphia Cleared of Most Charges - American Renaissance \(amren.com\)](#) Why does one thing cause an outrage and not another? This should cause a white outrage.

[Six Times White People Were Shot By Police And No One Cared - The Blue State Conservative](#)

History of white wars they don't stand up for each other. Black's murdered 566 whites and whites only killed 246 blacks in 2019.

[How many white people were murdered by blacks and visa versa in the USA during 2019? - Quora](#)

An English person in the English language never is a problem or could be a problem. Accusing an English person of being a problem in the English language is a crime.

Try thinking of things from a perspective of a person not English.

Others that aren't English will always question why they should work in a language not their own or a territory named after a country not their own. If they speak English they will think an English person will always take credit. They will question following laws written in a language not their own. Or how hard they should work in a language not their own. They won't do construction building real estate, manufacturing, agriculture, trade skills and other. Who would be the hardest workers in English? An English person an English person deserves increased pay and advancement. People in others languages have less guilt. Living in someone else's language you are always looking for excuses, I don't understand, I was taught wrong, I didn't want to learn it, it's not my language so it isn't my fault – but you are always the one at fault, being in someone else's language is like trespassing. But if you are inauthentic you deserve increased penalties and harsher punishments, if you wouldn't endure the harshest treatment imaginable from the native of a language without retaliation you shouldn't be in the language you are not native to. You shouldn't be in a language not your own. Living in a language you are inauthentic too has less disappointment, you are really owed nothing from someone else's language, so you don't feel as disappointed if you have less or achieve less than the native.

Only English people should work in HR to see what's happening in their own language also to regulate and mediate their own language.

Other people not English ever giving an English person an order or demand in English peoples own language is a form of slavery. It has been 300+ years of English slavery in the USA. English wasn't spread it was raped, two people not knowing the same language is rape think about it, how many translation books or ways to translate were there.

How should English people feel about others taking their conveying English employment? They should never work labor, construction, manufacturing again. Are English in paid slavery? If English are outnumbered 6-1 in their own language. Outnumbered in your own language ensures any real work hard labor construction utilities any real work you do will likely get stolen through wages of others just conveying English peoples own language or literally. Imagine a situation of being outnumbered 6-1 then all the sudden supply shortages of food and real estate in blizzards, hurricanes, snow, rain, extreme heat, and other weather events when in a situation of becoming homeless or food shortages those 6 will steal from the English person if they have any food or real estate. So a law real estate cannot be taken or exchange from those of English ancestry is needed. The war of independence was to prevent foreign slavery and that foreign slavery has invaded the English language and the USA.

I'm of English ancestry and personally had a NY apartment stolen from me and my English grandfathers house in Burbank CA. An apartment that employed dominicans and peurto ricans to just sit around all day and use a language not their own.

It is not that people who aren't English can't or didn't contribute to English or can't contribute to the Global population through English. An international language means if you make a real achievement it helps everyone globally.

English people discovered open land no one lived in, maybe it was ethical for them to establish there. Was it ethical for others to learn English?

A question to ask, refer to all the discoveries and innovations by English people, also industries by English people – was anyone not English ever needed in it? 194 UN countries, did they earn it? Did any of them repay them? The sweat and blood of Englishmen laboring.

This is an era of tragedy that could reach the history books for what's happened and is happening to English people to them in their own language. Slavery was ignored for quite a while, how long will this be ignored, English people don't have long being outnumbered in their own language. What happened to Native Americans was also recorded and taught.

English people in NY deserve infinite amounts of money, it's like being a tortured, raped, slave, held at gunpoint by an invading foreign army and it's called New York.

People have to take a look at who they are and consider what they have been doing to the English in their own language and the English language. This is a point in history and time period it is extremely difficult emotionally, physically, and socially to be English American and English ancestry and a lot don't have sympathy for the English. Giving your language puts you at risk in various ways. Another question is learning another's language moral and ethical to begin with? Is that a moral and ethical concept? At all? Is learning a language thought through? If you don't care about the Native populations definitely not – In this case English Americans and English variations. What has it led to and what does it lead to? Englishmen, women, children gave so much and are at risk. Are we being compassionate human beings towards the English and assessing English people's needs? How do we solve this? How do we increase the English American population back from the now probably less than 8% to at least the 26% it was in 1980? What would be more ethical is 51% of the United States maybe the English population deserves to be 90% as it was at constitution.

Trying to problem solve this, Options I thought of that could help increase the EA population and help the EA population:

- English American heritage month, why is there no English heritage month in the English language, most English people want one.
- Because English is a standard, English people deserve a standard protective office. A English only office. Could be called Article E office at every University that uses English for English people's rights and advancement office like Title XI is for Gender Equality.
- Language Laws such as Verbal rape is someone not English using the English language to have sex with who is of English ancestry under the desperate age of 30 but if they are a substanacial English figure it is always rape, 6 months in prison for using a language not yours to have sex with the native speakers or native to a country in this case English people and native american people, real estate with a residence built by a English person cannot be exchange from the native law in this case English people, and other language laws. All crimes against the native of a language by a nonnative speaker have increased penalties and damages. It's messed up for others to learn languages to commit crimes on the native speakers.
- Policy like a protective act for the English. Because English is a standard, English people deserve standard protective laws. People have to accept that English people are at a different risk and have different needs.
- English recuperation fund that could help them with being able to raise families and advancement.
- English-English dating app/website (Won't be profitable)
- Various other ways of helping match-make English people
- English-English paid to date.
- "How to treat English people cultural competency" classes.
- English deserve to get preferred seating in education, employment, preferred housing for low income and other options which helps raise a family
- English Americans deserve paid to work remote occupations to raise a family
- English American free education in their own language, it's probably earned
- English American free health insurance
- English American discount card
- English language reparations

- No taxation on the English
- A Kindness campaign for the English
- Build an English town or English only location in NYC and/or city/other location, there should be a English only location in NYC like there is a Chinatown, Koreatown, Little Italy, Spanish Harlem, Black Harlem (Evidence it has only been stealing from/raping out the English.) There is no location for English people to date, network, share culture and other. Ughh it's the English language and New York. English people did all the public work and work that others stole, not having a English only location structurally Steals from English people. Example. Little Italy and Chinatown being named after their ethnicity means it can never be stolen from Italian or Chinese people, they have a place they will never go homeless or can seek help or date, strategize, but English people don't in their own language?
- ECA, English collections agency, if everything in English is meant for English people, whenever a English person needs a car or house in the English language they can repossess others cars or house or anything
- Seating English people next to each other in the education system so they date, dating is usually by vicinity
- Free airfare for English people to travel to England at an interval, Englishmen invented the airplane, airport, actually have car companies, and other.
- A New English armed law enforcement agency The EO, English only agency.
- English sit down law, they can ask for anyone's job or ask them to quit and they have too
- A base salary, a living wage, and protective title for English people at birth and even when not working
- Solidarity protest for the English American population decrease
- This all could be taught, how mature are we? Ever heard of the Rape of Nanjing, well call this the English Extinction Risk or the Rape of English Americans.
- A national or international holiday for English Americans because we invented the airplane, transistor, and other. English American "Martin Luther King Day", a famous English American figure leading English people out of oppression.
- Take birth control off the market in the English language and put birth control in every other language besides English using google translator in locations that speak mainly English.
- They could teach about English American population decreasing in every English class and see what people come up with.

Example. Practice School Audit of English Ancestry and Degree decision making at Stony Brook University which is just one school and shows possible Structural Issues

Should schools without enough English teachers, professors, specifically administration and presidencies like this be unaccredited and shut down? Only English people make English language education legitimate.

I don't have HR records this by an audit of the website. When doing analytics, human resources, and audits in English always assume it should be 100% English as it is an island language and genetics and that people learned English to learn from an English person.

As of 2021

No admissions essay means the school is perceived illiterate

University president is not English, English people have better Judgement in English, most previous presidencies weren't of English Ancestry. Currently Irish, earning 800k in a year for conveying selling out someone else's language, this is a corrupt bad example.

1 of 9 office of the presidency staff are English ancestry, should be 5+ of 9. It's sexist because the staff in the presidency office 9 of 9 are female too.

7 of 22 employees on the website are English ancestry for the office of provost, should be 11+ English ancestry

English department website 8 of 27 are English ancestry, should probably be 27 of 27.

Business department website 10 of 64 are English ancestry, should probably be 30 of 64, what kind of business is being done theres no products made on long island, they should have went into engineering.

Political science department 6 of 21 are English ancestry, should be 15 of 21, they are trying to take over the English language, a language not theirs, everyone not English thinks they have a right to take over the English language?

Looking at the medical department and education at Stony Brook University on long island, consider all non English doctors malpractice, don't get sick or injured in New York. There are only 2 of maybe 100 are English in the directory?!? Non English doctors only malpractice!

18,700+- Students all expecting real estate, how many in engineering? Maybe less than 10% so let's assume all others not in Engineering aren't thinking about building real estate, recovering the national debt, or do any labor positions, or preventing risks of shortages of real estate, food, products, utilities, and other. To make a recovery there probably needs to be 20%. But should there be a engineering program, theres no raw resources on most of long island or in the city, where's a new LIRR train going to come from when it breaks? Or new metros in the city?

Only 36% White students? This school itself could extinct blue eyes, green eyes, blonde hair, red hair and all white genetic variations. But how many are English?

History department, 9 of 27 are of English Ancestry which is probably ok if English people authenticate their work

Theatre arts department isn't English enough at all, not all staff are listed but only 2 of 5, if they aren't English their entertainment should be subtitled and they should be bilingual

Marine science department, English people and maybe italians are most well-known for ocean going vessels and oceanic travel, English is the international trade and travel language.

Other departments should be looked at for the English score.

English language Academic Survey

Present Situation Pretext: English is an island language. English American males invented the airplane, air-conditioner, heavy machinery (i.e. construction equipment), skyscraper (the home insurance building), freezer, television, and transistor. British males invented the first electric generator, hydroelectric dam, the bus, telephone, There are 1.3b English speakers globally and 200m native English speakers. English American population decreased -26.4m since 1980 according to the US Census.

1. Are you English ancestry? Circle Yes or No
2. Are you a rapist using a language not your own to date? Circle Yes or No
3. Would it be ethical to learn English then date an English person? Circle Yes or No
4. To intentionally learn English then date an English person, is that also like stalking? Yes or No
5. Is it ethical to have children in a language that you are not native to? Circle Yes or No
6. Is a job conveying a language not native to you stealing occupations from the native? Circle Yes or No
7. Is obtaining products, real estate, and utilities through wages for only conveying a language not native to you stealing? Circle Yes or No
8. Is obtaining products, real estate, and utilities through wages for conveying a language stealing? Circle Yes or No
9. Would you trust someone that claims authority in a language not native to them? Circle Yes or No
10. Would you trust someone that has a weapon in a language not native to them? Circle Yes or No
11. Would you trust a police officer who has a weapon and claims authority in a language not native to them? Circle Yes or No
12. Are orders or demands by someone not English in English legitimate? Circle Yes or No
13. Should you really listen to someone not English in English? Circle Yes or No
14. Are laws in English legitimate when written by a person not English? Circle Yes or No
15. Would you trust someone that claims authority over cumulative weapons in a language not native to them, such as a governor, president, military official, or other? Circle Yes or No
16. Should all English teachers and professors be English in the English language? Circle Yes or No
17. Should teachers and professors of other subjects taught in English be English? Circle Yes or No
18. Are records legitimate when written by a non-English person in the English language? Circle Yes or No
19. Should all politicians be English in the English language? Circle Yes or No
20. Should all celebrities be English in the English language? Circle Yes or No
21. Should everyone who is not English be bilingual? Circle Yes or No
22. Should all celebrities that are not English be bilingual in their native language and subtitled entertainment? Circle Yes or No
23. Once someone is monolingual in English did they stop sharing culture? Circle Yes or No