











TEXT-BOOKS OF SCIENCE

ADAPTED FOR THE USE OF

ARTISANS AND STUDENTS IN PUBLIC AND SCIENCE SCHOOLS

ASTRONOMY



ELEMENTS

OF

ASTRONOMY

BY

SIR ROBERT STAWELL BALL, LL.D., F.R.S.

LOWNDEAN PROFESSOR OF ASTRONOMY IN THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE

NEW IMPRESSION

3
3
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
9
3
3
9
3
3
3
9
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3
3</t

LONGMANS, GREEN, AND CO. 39 PATERNOSTER ROW, LONDON NEW YORK, BOMBAY, AND CALCUTTA

1910

All rights reserved

B4 HO WHAL AMARCHLAD

PREFACE.

THE READER of this volume is expected to possess such knowledge of Mathematics as may be gained by studying the Elements of Euclid and Algebra, together with a rudimentary acquaintance with the geometry of Planes and Spheres.

While the book is mainly intended for beginners, yet it is believed that more advanced students may find portions of it useful. This will perhaps be especially the case in the last chapter, where a somewhat extended account is given of the important constants of Astronomy, with references to the original sources of information.

Many of the illustrations have been taken from Delaunay's 'Cours Elémentaire d'Astronomie,' and a few from Secchi's 'Le Soleil,' from Guillemin's 'Le Ciel,' and other sources. In the last chapter extensive use has been made of Houzeau's 'Répertoire des Constantes Astronomiques.'

DUNSINK : June 4, 1880.

ROBERT S. BALL.

In the present edition the work has been revised throughout. Special care has been taken in the endeavour to bring the chapter on Astronomical Constants up to a recent standpoint. The author acknowledges with many thanks the help he has received from his friend Dr. J. L. E. Dreyer, Director of Armagh Observatory.

CAMBRIDGE: March 1896.

ROBERT S. BALL.

335925



CONTENTS.

CHAPTER I.

ON THE INSTRUMENTS USED IN ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS.

PACE

I
I
2
3
4
6
8
II
14
16
19
21
26
28
30
33
37
38
39

CHAPTER II.

THE EARTH.

Form of the Earth .				•		•	•	•	•	43
The Atmosphere .					•					46
Atmospheric Refraction			•			•	•		• •	47

CHAPTER III.

THE DIURNAL MOTION OF THE HEAVENS.

				PACE
The Celestial Bodies				53
The Celestial Sphere				54
The Fixed Stars				55
Constellations				58
Diurnal Motion of the Heavens .				65
The Equatorial Telescope				67
Circles of the Celestial Sphere				72
Circumpolar Stars				74
The Globe			-	76
Rotation of the Earth				78
Shape of the Earth connected with its Rot	ation			80
Definition of Terms				80
The Transit Instrument				83
Error of Collimation				85
Error of Level				86
Error of Azimuth				88
Determination of Right Ascensions .				92
The Meridian Circle				96
Latitude	•			IOI
Phenomena dependent on Change of Place	•			103
Determination of Latitude				107
Numerical Illustration		¢		108
Star Catalogues			· .	110

CHAPTER IV.

APPARENT MOTION OF THE SUN.

Annual Motion of the Sun	• •				III
Observations of the Sun	•				116
The Micrometer		•			119
Apparent Diameter of the Sun	•		•		127
The Heliometer					128
Effect of Refraction		•			132
Right Ascension and Declination of th	e Sun.				134
Mean Time and Sidereal Time					138
The Ecliptic					140
Day and Night		•	•		142

							PAGE
Zones into which the Earth is Divided			. 7				153
Effect of Refraction on the Length of t	he	Da	у.				156
Twilight			•			Ξ.	157
Changes of Temperature on the Earth							161
Mean Temperature			•				164
Effect of the Atmosphere							166
The Origin of Right Ascensions .							167
Celestial Latitude and Longitude .					•		176
The Sun's Path in Space							177
Velocity of the Sun							181
Changes in the Length of the Seasons						÷	186
0							

CHAPTER V.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE SUN.

Dimensions of the Sun	187
Sun Spots	188
The Nature of Sun Spots	191
The Solar Spectrum	194
The Chromosphere and the Prominences	196
The Corona	198
Constitution of the Sun	199
Zodiacal Light	200

CHAPTER VI.

MOTION OF THE EARTH AROUND THE SUN.

Revolution of the Earth			201
Precession of the Equinoxes	•		209
Numerical Determination of the Precession			215
Gradual Displacement of the Perihelion of the Earth's Orbit			216
The Aberration of Light		•	217
Velocity of Light			219
Nutation of the Earth's Axis			223
Annual Parallax of Stars	•	•	226

CHAPTER VII.

THE MOON.

Apparent Movements of the Moon	•				•	232
Phases of the Moon		•	•		•	233

										PAGE
The Eccentricity of the Moor	i's C)rbi	t							237
Motion of the Perigee										237
Mean Motion of the Moon										238
Figure of the Moon										238
Parallax of the Moon .										239
Dimensions of the Moon .										242
Movements of the Moon .										243
Motion of the Moon's Nodes										244
Sidereal Revolution of the Mo	oon									245
Rotation of the Moon										246
Mountains in the Moon .										247
Periods connected with the Su	in a	nd	the	Mo	oon					250
Eclipses										251
Prediction of Eclipses										254
Eclipses of the Sun										255
Frequency of Eclipses										256
Prediction of Solar Eclipses	•		•			•				257
Occultations of Fixed Stars .										258
Determination of Longitudes	by t	he	Mo	on						259

CHAPTER VIII.

THE PLANETS.

Determination of Planets										263
The Zodiac						5 %				265
Kepler's Laws										265
The Planet Venus										268
Mercury										276
The Superior Planets .										270
The Planet Mars .										270
Appearance of Mars .										285
Satellites of Mars .										286
Jupiter										280
Saturn										202
Law of Bode										204
Discovery of New Planets										205
The Minor Planets										207
Elements of the Movements	s of	a	Pla	ane	t					200
The Parallax of the Sun .										302

CHAPTER IX.

COMETS AND METEORS.

a 1											PAGES
Comets .	•	•	•			•	•				307
Periodic Comet	s										200
IT IL I C	~	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	309
Halley's Comet	•	•	•	•							310
Distinction betw	veen	Plan	nets a	nd C	omets						211
Shooting Store							•	•	•	•	3**
Shooting Stars	•	•	•	•							312

CHAPTER X.

UNIVERSAL GRAVITATION.

The Law of Gravitation					322
Perturbations of the Planets.					328
Masses of the Planets		÷.			330
Gravitation at the Surface of the Celestial Bodies					332
Perturbations of the Moon					222
The Secular Acceleration					224
Cause of the Precession of the Equinoxes	•				225
Nutation .	•			i.	228
	•	•	•	•	330

CHAPTER XI.

STARS AND NEBULÆ.

Star Clusters	338
Spectra of Stars	340
Telescopic Appearance of a Star	341
Stellar Photometry	343
Variable Stars	344
Proper Motion of Stars	345
Motion of the Sun through Space	346
Real Proper Motion of the Stars	348
Double Stars	349
The Double Star Castor	350
Motion of a Binary Star	351
Dimensions of the Orbit of a Binary Star	354
Determination of the Mass of a Binary Star	355
Colours of Double Stars	356
Nebulæ	357
Classification of Nebulæ	357
Spectra of Nebulæ	360
Application of Photography to the Study of Stars and Nebulæ	360
	~

CHAPTER XII.

ASTRONOMICAL CONSTANTS.

Obliquity of the Ecliptic			PAGE 362
Secular Diminution of the Obliquity of the Ecl	intic		363
Precession of the Equinoxes			364
Nutation			365
Aberration			366
Refraction			367
Twilight			367
Semidiameter of the Sun			368
The Sun's Parallax			369
Elements of the Sun's Rotation			372
Rotation of the Sun			372
Bodies seen Passing across the Sun			372
Heating and Actinic Power of the Sun .			373
On the Physical Constitution of the Sun			373
Period of the Solar Spots			374
Elements of the Orbit of Mercury			374
Transits of Mercury across the Sun			375
Diameter of Mercury			375
Mass of Mercury			376
Rotation of Mercury on its Axis			376
Plane of the Equator of Mercury			377
Physical Conditions of the Surface of Mercury			377
Brightness of Mercury			377
Elements of the Orbit of Venus			377
Transits of Venus across the Sun			378
Apparent Diameter of Venus			378
Ellipticity			379
Mass of Venus			379
Rotation of Venus			380
Brilliancy of Venus	· .		380
The Earth-Duration of the Year			381
Perihelion			382
The Eccentricity and Equation of the Centre	•		383
Tables of the Sun	•		383
Dimensions of the Earth			384
Ellipticity of the Earth			385
Gravitation			385
Rotation of the Earth			386
Density	•		387
Mass of the Earth			387

The Manne Country Veriation					PAGE
The Moon—Secular Variation	•	•	•	•	300
The Inclination of the Lunar Movements		•	•	•	309
The Inclination of the Lunar Orbit to the Ech	DUIC	•	•	•	390
The Parallax of the Moon	•	•	•	•	390
Semidiameter of the Moon	1.	•	1	•	391
The Mass of the Moon	•	•	•	•	391
The Lunar Equator	•	•	•	•	392
Libration	•	•	•	•	392
Figure of the Moon	•	1	1	•	393
Moments of Inertia of the Moon	•	•	•	•	393
Topography of the Moon	•	•	•	•	394
Brilliancy of the Moon	•	•	•	·	395
Heat from the Moon	•	•	•	·	395
Elements of Mars	•	•	·	•	396
Diameter of Mars	•	•	•	•	397
Rotation of Mars	•	•	•	•	397
Direction of the Axis of Rotation of Mars .	•	•	•	•	398
Mass of Mars	• 11		•	•	398
Brilliancy of Mars				•	399
Physical Condition of Mars	. –		•		399
Satellites of Mars					399
Eccentricities of the Minor Planets					400
Inclinations					401
General Features				•	401
Elements of Jupiter					402
Great Inequality of Jupiter					402
Dimensions of Jupiter					403
Rotation of Jupiter					403
Situation of the Axis of Rotation of Jupiter .					404
Mass of Jupiter and his System					405
Brilliancy of Jupiter					406
Physical Conditions of Jupiter					406
Satellites of Jupiter					407
Elements of the Four Outer Satellites					408
Synodic Revolutions					408
Eccentricities and Inclinations of the Satellites					409
Masses of the Satellites					400
Perturbations of the Satellites			1		410
Rotation of Jupiter's Satellites	140	117			410
Elements of Saturn		2			411
Great Inequality of Saturn		AVI.			411
Rotation of Saturn			140	-	412
Mass of Saturn				1	412

xiii

	PAGE
Brilliancy of Saturn	. 414
Rings of Saturn	. 414
Mass of Saturn's Ring	. 417
Satellites of Saturn	. 418
Elements of Uranus	. 421
Equatorial Diameter of Uranus at its Mean Distance from the Sur	n 422
Mass of Uranus	. 423
Rotation and Brilliancy of Uranus	. 423
Satellites of Uranus	. 424
Elements of Neptune	. 425
Diameter, Brilliancy, Mass	. 427
The Satellite of Neptune	. 427
Comets Determined by a Single Apparition	. 428
Halley's Comet	. 428
Tuttle's Comet	. 430
Faye's Comet	. 430
Biela's Comet	430
D'Arrest's Comet	. 432
Winnecke's Comet	432
Brorsen's Comet.	. 432
Encke's Comet	432
Other Periodic Comets	433
Physical Theory of Comets	433
Showers of Shooting Stars	434
The Leonides	435
Radiant Points	435
The Invariable Plane	436
Motion of the Solar System through Space	437
Star Catalogues	438
Magnitudes of the Stars	439
Measurement of the Brightness of Stars	439
Variable Stars	440
Colours of Stars	440
Scintillation	441
Stellar Spectroscopy	441
Parallaxes of the Stars	143
Proper Motions of the Stars	446
Double Stars	117
Nebulæ_List of Authorities	440
The Milky Way	449
The Zodiacal Light	451
	431
INDEX	153

xiv

ASTRONOMY.

و د د رو مور میر میرد و د د رو مرور میرمد میرود د در میرد مرور و مرور د در در میرد مرور و مرور میرود میرو میرد

CHAPTER I.

ON THE INSTRUMENTS USED IN ASTRONOMICAL OBSERVATIONS

§1. Introduction.—The sun, the moon, and the other objects which shine in the heavens on a clear night constitute what are known as the *celestial bodies*. To study the celestial bodies is to pursue the branch of science which is called *astronomy*. This includes all that can be learned of the motions of the celestial bodies, of their actual dimensions and distances, and of their physical constitution.

§2. Astronomical Instruments.—The instruments which are employed in making astronomical observations may be conveniently divided into three classes. Instruments of the first class are for the purpose of extending our powers of vision, so as to permit us to use our eyes to greater advantage than would be possible vithout such assistance. By instruments of this class we we enabled to view the features of the celestial bodi if they were much nearer to us than they really are. We can thus become acquainted to a certain extent with the shapes and appearance of many of the celestial bodies which would otherwise be very imperfectly known. By the help of these instruments we are also enabled to perceive large numbers of celestial bodies which are not sufficiently conspicuous to be detected by the unaided eye. The instruments of this class are termed *telescopes*.

We shall subsequently see that the position of a celestial body on the surface of the heavens is to be expressed by certain angular magnitudes, and therefore the means by which these magnitudes can be determined must be explained. The various appliances which are used in making such *measurements of angles* form the second class of instruments.

The celestial bodies are in constant motion, real or apparent. In order to study these motions it is not only necessary to ascertain the different positions which the bodies assume, but it is also essential to have the means of *measuring with accuracy the intervals of time* which elapse during which the celestial body is passing from one position to the positions which it subsequently occupies. We must therefore be provided with instruments for the purpose of measuring time. Clocks or chronometers, therefore, constitute the third class of instruments.

§ 3. Angular Magnitude.—It will first be necessary for us to consider briefly the circumstances of ordinary vision with the unaided eye. We shall then be able to comprehend what is the nature of the assistance which is afforded when a telescope is interposed between the eye and a distant object.



Let A B (Fig. 1) be an object viewed by an eye placed at the position o. Then the line A B which connects two points of the object is seen to subtend an angle A o B at the point o. If the eye be moved to the point o', which is at one-half the distance from M, the line A B will subtend an

2

angle A o' B. It is obvious that the angle A o' B is greater than the angle A o B; and if the line A B be very small in comparison with its distance from o, the angle A o' B will be approximately double the angle A o B. It thus appears that when the distance of an object is halved the angle under which the object is seen is doubled. In the same way it can be shown that if the distance of the object be reduced to one-third, the angle which it subtends will be increased threefold, or more generally that if the distance be diminished in any ratio the angle subtended at the eye will be increased in the same ratio. It will be convenient to speak of the angles A o B, A o' B as the apparent magnitudes of the line A B, and we are thus led to the following law :—

The apparent magnitude of a linear dimension of an object varies inversely as the distance of the object from the eye.

Since the areas of similar figures are proportional to the squares of their linear dimensions, it is obvious that the apparent area of an object is proportional to the square of the apparent magnitude of one of its dimensions, and hence we are led to the result that—

The apparent area of a distant object varies inversely as the square of the distance of the object from the eye.

§ 4. Brightness of a Distant Object.— A luminous object, whether it shines by its own light or by the light from some

other body reflected from it, radiates light in all different directions. Let us consider a certain point m of the body M (Fig. 2), and enquire how the apparent brightness of this point depends upon the distance at which the eye is placed. From the



point m rays of light diverge in all directions, and of these

rays a certain number enter the pupil a b of the eye. The rays that enter the pupil will all be contained in the cone of which m is the vertex, while the base of the cone is the area of the pupil. If, however, the eye be moved to a distance which is one-half its former distance, so that the pupil occupies the position a' b', then the rays which enter the eye will be those contained in the cone, which has the pupil at a'b' for its base and the point m as its vertex. It is obvious that the angle a m b, which the pupil subtends at m, is half the angle a' m b', which is subtended when the pupil moves into the new position. It therefore follows that the apparent area of the pupil a' b' subtended at the point *m* is four times as large as it is when the pupil has the position a b. The quantity of light received by the pupil from a given point of the body must therefore vary inversely as the square of the distance. It does not, however, follow that the brightness of the object increases as the eye approaches thereto; for although the quantity of light received from a small spot of the body increases as the eye approaches the body, yet the apparent area of the spot increases in the same ratio. The quantity of light received is, therefore, always proportional to the apparent illuminated area, so that the intrinsic brightness of the object is always the same whatever be the distance from which it is viewed.

Some modification of this statement would be required when, as in the case of distant terrestrial objects, a great thickness of air has to be traversed by the rays. In this case the absorption of the light by the atmosphere has the effect of diminishing the brightness of a distant object.

§ 5. Lenses.—Telescopes are usually made by inserting into a tube a certain number of specially shaped pieces of glass, termed *lenses*. It will be necessary for us to describe some of the different forms of lenses and their properties, in order to understand the combination of lenses which forms a telescope.

If a converging lens D (Fig. 3) receive a pencil of rays diverging from a point A, the rays will, after refraction, converge towards a certain fixed point a. A converging lens



will act in the same way upon a pencil of rays which diverge from a point A (Fig. 4) not situated exactly in the axis of symmetry of the lens, and will bring them to intersect at a.



Let us suppose that the point A recedes farther and farther from the lens, then the point a will draw in closer and closer towards it. When the point A has at last withdrawn to such



a distance that the rays which diverge from it have become sensibly parallel, the point a will have moved in to a certain distance o a, which is called the *focal length* of the lens (Fig. 5).

The influence of diverging lenses (Fig. 6) upon a pencil of light is opposite to that of converging lenses. If a convergent



pencil of rays falls upon a diverging lens it renders them less convergent, or even parallel or divergent. If the pencil consists of parallel rays, the diverging lens renders

them divergent ; if the pencil consists of divergent rays, the diverging lens will render them more divergent.

§6. Formation of Images.—An object A B (Fig. 7) is placed in front of a converging lens, at a distance from that lens which is greater than its focal length.

This object is supposed either to be self-luminous or to be illuminated by the rays from some source of light. From each point of the object a pencil of rays will diverge; this



pencil will fall upon the converging lens, and after passing through the lens the rays will converge to meet at a point on the other side of the lens. Thus from the point A of the image a pencil of rays will diverge, which, after passing through the lens, converge to the point a. In a similar manner the pencil of rays proceeding from the point B will converge to the point b.

It therefore appears that each point of the original object will be the source of a pencil of rays, which converge to a corresponding point after passing through the lens. The figure produced in this way is called an *image*. If the object A B be at an exceedingly great distance, then the image will be formed at the principal focus of the lens.

When we wish to observe the details of a small object it is usual to employ a single lens for the purpose, which is held

between the object and the eye. In this way the eye can be brought much closer to the object than would be possible for distinct vision without the assistance which the lens affords. The structure of the eye is such that distinct vision is only possible when the divergence of the rays which enter the pupil does not exceed a certain amount. If the eye be brought too close to an object for distinct vision, then the divergence of the rays from that object is too large. By placing a simple converging lens between the eye and the object the divergence of the rays is diminished, and thus distinct vision is rendered possible.

This effect of a lens can be illustrated by a figure. Let A B be a small object which is to be examined, and let it be



placed *between* the lens and its focus F, the eye of course being situated at the other side of the lens. The rays which diverge from the point A will, after passing through the lens, have their divergency diminished; they will therefore appear as if they diverged from a point a more remote from the lens, and situated upon the line joining the centre of the lens to the point A. In a similar way the rays from the point B will appear to come from the point b, and thus the whole object A B will appear of the same dimensions as its image a b. The distance of the image from the eye will depend upon the distance of the object from the lens, and the latter may be adjusted so that the image shall be situated at the distance of distinct vision. The eye is thus able to

see distinctly the details of the image, because the image is larger than the object would appear if it were placed at the distance of distinct vision and viewed without the aid of the lens.

§ 7. The Telescope.—The telescope in its most simple form consists of a combination of two lenses, one of which forms an image of the distant object while the other magnifies that image.

Let L (Fig. 9) be a lens, called the object lens, which receives rays coming from a distant object. An image a bis formed of this object where o A and o B are directed from the centre of the lens to the extremities of the distant object. The image a b is then magnified by the lens into the image a' b'. The lens L' is termed the eye piece.



The distance at which the image $a \ b$ is situated from the object lens depends upon the distance of the object itself from the object glass. When the latter is so great that the rays from a point may practically be considered to form a parallel beam, then the distance from $a \ b$ to the object glass is equal to the focal length of the object glass. This is of course the case when the telescope is used for astronomical observations. The distance at which the lens L' is placed from the image $a \ b$ depends upon the eye of the observer, because the image $a' \ b'$ must lie at the distance for distinct vision, which is different in different persons.

We can now ascertain the effect which the telescope produces in magnifying a distant object. The angle which the object subtends at the object glass is equal to $A \circ B$.

Since the length of the telescope may be regarded as quite inappreciable when compared with the distance of the object, it follows that A o B is also equal to the angle which the object would subtend if viewed by the unaided eye. But when we view the object through the telescope we see, instead of the object, the image a'b', and this image subtends an angle b o' a. It therefore appears that the telescope augments the angle at which an object is seen in the ratio of the angle b o' a to the angle b o a. Since these angles are small we shall be approximately correct in assuming that the angle $b \circ a$ bears to the angle $b \circ a$ the same ratio as the distance from ba to the eye lens bears to the distance from ba to the object lens. The distance from b a to the eve lens is very nearly the same thing as the focal length of the eye lens, because the rays, on emerging from the eye lens, have to be nearly parallel in order to have them in a suitable form for distinct vision. We have also seen that the distance from b a to the object lens is equal to the focal length of the object lens, and hence we deduce the important result which is thus stated :---

The magnifying power of a telescope is equal to the ratio of the focal length of the object glass to the focal length of the eye piece.

An important practical consequence follows from this law. We are enabled, by simply changing the eye piece, to augment indefinitely the magnifying power of the telescope. The advantage of increasing the magnifying power beyond a certain point is, however, neutralised by the fact that the brilliancy of the object is diminished.

Let us next consider the effect of the telescope upon the brilliancy with which the object is seen. From each point of the object a pencil of rays diverge, which may be considered to be parallel. Thus the entire surface of the object glass receives rays from each point of the distant object. These rays, after passing through the object glass, converge to a point m in the image a b (Fig. 10). Diverging there, they

fall upon the eye lens, and thence into the eye of the observer. If the eye lens had not been used, the eye of the observer would have to be placed at the distance of distinct vision, about 8 or 10 inches from m. The beam would



accordingly have attained a considerable degree of divergence, and only a portion of the rays would have been able to enter the pupil. A portion of the light grasped by the object glass would therefore have been wasted. By the intervention of the eye piece the eye is enabled to be brought much closer to the point whence rays are diverging, and thus the beam is intercepted by the eye while the section of the diverging cone is still smaller than the pupil. In this way the eye piece enables us to avail ourselves of all the light grasped by the object glass.

When all the light enters the pupil, then the quantity of light which illuminates the point *m* of the image is equal to the quantity of light which fell upon that point from the object glass. It is therefore proportional to the area of the object glass. It should be observed, however, that in what has been said we have overlooked the loss of light which occurs in consequence of its absorption by the glass both in the object glass and the eye piece, and also the loss which takes place by reflection at the different surfaces ; the effect of these losses is to render the quantity of light received by the eye from a point of the object glass and the eye piece been perfectly transparent.

We have, then, seen that the magnifying power of a tele-

scope depends entirely upon the ratio of the focal lengths of the object glass and the eye piece, while the quantity of light transmitted from each point of the object to the eye depends upon the aperture of the object glass.

It will now be obvious that the quantity of light with which the image in a telescope is illuminated depends upon the *size* of the object glass. If, therefore, the magnifying power of the telescope be increased while the aperture of the object glass remains the same, the intrinsic brilliancy of the image is diminished, for the same quantity of light has now to be spread over a larger area.

The same considerations will also explain how it is that a telescope can render an object visible which, without such aid, would be invisible. Take, for example, a telescopic star. The rays from such a star, falling upon the pupil, fail to produce an impression upon the retina, because the aperture of the pupil is so small that a sufficiency of rays to produce the required impression cannot enter the eye. When the aid of a telescope is called in, all the rays which fall upon the surface of the object glass are so modified that they can enter the eye. In fact, if we may use the illustration, the telescope acts as a sort of funnel, and pours a large quantity of the rays in through the small aperture of the pupil. Omitting the sources of loss already referred to, we may say that the quantity of light which enters the eye with the aid of the telescope bears to the quantity which enters without that aid the same proportion which the area of the object glass bears to the area of the pupil.

§8. Achromatic Object Glasses.—A beam of ordinary white light consists of a number of rays of different coloured lights mingled together. When a beam of white light is refracted through a prism, the different coloured lights are separated, and what are called prismatic colours are observed. It will be found that the bluish rays are more bent than the red, while the greenish tints occupy an intermediate position. When a beam of white light falls upon a converging lens, the

blue rays being more bent than the red, the focal length of the lens for the blue rays is somewhat shorter than it is for the red. The image of an object which emitted only blue rays would therefore be formed somewhat nearer to the object glass than the image of an object which emitted only red rays. The light from the different celestial bodies consists generally of a mixture of several different colours, and it follows that a number of images will be produced at the foci corresponding to the different colours. It is true that these foci are all near together, but the effect is still sufficient to render the image of the object, as seen through the object glass, somewhat indistinct and fringed with colours.

It was, however, discovered by Dolland in 1758 that the difficulty arising from this cause could be obviated. He found that by a suitable combination of two lenses an object glass could be constructed, in which the focus of the red rays and that of the blue rays could be made to coincide. The *achromatic* object glass, as this compound lens is termed, is shown in Fig. 11. One of these lenses is convergent, and is



made of what is called *crown glass*; the other is divergent, and is formed of *flint glass*. There is a remarkable difference between the action of flint glass and crown

glass upon light. If we take a convergent lens of crown glass and a convergent lens of flint glass, and if these lenses be such that each of them has the same focal length for the red rays, then the focal length of the blue rays will be shorter for the flint lens than for the crown. In fact, the effect of the flint glass in separating the rays of a compound beam is more marked than that of the crown. If we take a diverging lens formed of flint glass, and unite it to a converging lens formed of crown glass, then when a beam of light pours through the compound lens the red rays are bent by the converging lens and unbent by the diverging lens; the blue rays are also bent by the converging lens and unbent by

the diverging lens. But the lenses can be so apportioned that the total effect will be to bend the red rays and the blue rays by the same amount, and therefore to concentrate them all at one focus. The effect of the union of the two lenses is thus to produce what is equivalent to a single lens, which acts equally upon the blue rays and the red rays.

When the blue rays and the red rays have thus been brought to coincide, the intermediate rays of orange, yellow,



and green may practically be considered to have been brought to the same point. The construction of the achromatic object glass so that the various corrections shall be made with nicety is a most delicate mechanical operation. Until recently good achromatic object glasses above 6 or 8 inches in diameter were very rare, but now object glasses of 36 inches in aperture and upwards have been successfully accomplished. A section of an achromatic telescope is shown in Fig. 12. The achromatic object glass A is fixed at the extremity of a tube, at right angles to its axis. At \mathbf{r} is the eye piece, which consists of two lenses fitted into a tube which slides in and out of a tube DC by the aid of a rack and pinion r. The eye piece sometimes consists of only a single lens, and this arrangement is undoubtedly preferable when the object under examination is situated near the centre of the field of view. There is, however, so much distortion produced by a single eye lens in those objects which lie near the margin of the field of view that the compound eye piece, consisting of two lenses, is generally preferred. By its aid the same magnifying power is retained while the distortion is greatly diminished. On the other hand, the introduction of a second lens is of course attended with some sacrifice of light.

In focussing the telescope the eye piece is drawn in or out by the rack until the object is seen distinctly. If the telescope be intended for terrestrial objects it is usual to replace the astronomical eye piece by another eye piece which contains four lenses. The reason of this is that the astronomical telescope always exhibits an object turned upside down, and the second pair of lenses have to be added to the eye piece for the purpose of showing objects in their ordinary position.

§ 9. Reflecting Telescope.—Telescopes are also constructed by means of the *reflection* of light from spherical mirrors. Suppose a luminous object AB is placed in front of a spherical mirror M (Fig. 13). The rays from the point A form a diverging beam, which, after reflection from the surface of the mirror, form, in accordance with the laws of reflection, a converging beam, which comes to a focus at the point *a*. In a similar way the rays diverging from B are brought to a focus at the point *b*. Thus an image of the entire object AB will be formed at the position a b.

There are various different forms in which the principle of the mirror can be employed in the construction of a reflecting telescope. The most simple arrangement, and

probably the best, is what is known as the Newtonian telescope (Fig. 14). The rays of light from a distant object A B fall upon a concave mirror M, and tend to form an image of the object at the point a b. Before, however, the rays reach the position a b, they are intercepted by a small diagonal



mirror N, which directs the rays to the side of the tube, where they form the image a' b'. This image is then viewed by the observer at the side of the telescope with the aid of the eye piece o.

The magnifying power of a Newtonian reflecting telescope is equal to the ratio of the focal length of the large mirror to

FIG. 14.



the focal length of the eye piece. The light-grasping power is proportional to the area of the large mirror.

The construction of a large mirror is an exceedingly delicate and difficult operation; the size is, however, not so limited as that of achromatic object glasses. The largest

telescope which has yet been constructed is of the Newtonian form. This magnificent instrument was constructed by the late Earl of Rosse at Parsonstown, and the large mirror is six feet in diameter. The amount of concavity in the mirror is designedly exaggerated in the figure. In the case of the great mirror at Parsonstown the depression at the centre is only about half an inch, and the focal length is about sixty feet. The polished surface of the mirror differs but little from a small portion of a sphere with a radius of 120 feet.

Another form of reflecting telescope is represented in Fig. 15, and is called the Gregorian telescope. In this case the large mirror M is pierced by a circular aperture, and the small mirror N is also concave, and is situated in the axis of the tube. The rays from the distant object, after reflection at



the surface of the large mirror, form an image of the object at a b. The rays diverging from this image fall upon the small mirror at N. After reflection from the small mirror they are returned through the aperture in the large mirror, and form another image at a' b'. They are then viewed by the eye piece, placed at 0. In the Cassegrain telescope the small mirror is convex, and is placed at the other side of the focus of the large mirror.

The mirrors were formerly composed of what is called speculum metal, an alloy consisting of two parts of copper and one of tin. Of late years, however, they are usually constructed of silvered glass.

§ 10. The Measurement of Angles.—If a right angle be divided into ninety equal parts, each one of the parts thus obtained is termed a *degree*. If a degree be subdivided into sixty equal parts, each one of these parts is termed a *minute*;
and if a minute be subdivided into sixty equal parts, each of these parts is termed a *second*.

An angle is, therefore, to be expressed in degrees, minutes, and seconds, and, if necessary, decimal parts of one second. For brevity certain symbols are used: thus 49° 13' 11"'4 signifies 49 degrees, 13 minutes, 11 seconds, and four-tenths of one second.

We shall now explain what is meant by a graduated circle. Let the circumference of a circle A D B (Fig. 16) be divided into 360 parts of equal length. The division



lines separating these parts are denoted by 0° , 1° , 2° , up to 359°. It is usual in small circles to engrave upon the circle only those figures which are appropriate to every tenth division. The actual numbers found on the circle are, therefore, 0° , 10° , 20° , &c. There is, however, no difficulty in finding at a glance the number appropriate to any intermediate division. To facilitate this operation the divisions 5° , 15° , 25° , which are situated half-way between each of the numbered divisions, are sometimes marked with a larger line, so that they can be instantly recognised.

The interval between two consecutive divisions on a

circle is often, for convenience, termed a degree. The reader must, however, carefully remember that the word *degree means an angle and not an arc.* With this caution, no confusion will arise from the occasional use of the word to denote the small arc of the circle instead of the angle which this arc subtends at the centre.

For the more refined purposes of science the subdivision of the circle must be carried much further than the division into degrees. The extent of the subdivision of each arc of one degree into smaller arcs depends upon the particular purpose for which the graduated circle is intended.

The most familiar instance of a graduated circle is the ordinary drawing instrument termed a *protractor*. The protractor is employed for drawing angles of a specified size. For example, suppose that from a point c in a straight line A B (Fig. 16) it is required to draw a line C D so that the angle B C D shall be equal to 43° . The centre of the protractor is to be placed at c, and the division marked o° upon the protractor is to be placed upon the line A B. Then a dot is to be placed upon the paper at the division 43° , and a line C D drawn through the dot from the point c is the line which is required.

The extent of the subdivisions is limited by the size of the instrument. Thus in a protractor six inches in diameter the length of the arc of one degree is about the twentieth of an inch. If much further subdivision be attempted, the divisions are so close together that they cannot be conveniently read without a magnifier. A protractor of this size is, therefore, usually only divided to 30-minute spaces.

For astronomical instruments the graduated circles are generally subdivided to a greater extent than 30-minute spaces. In the instrument known as the meridian circle the divisions of the circles are usually engraved on silver, and two consecutive divisions are only five minutes, or in some instruments only two minutes, apart. In the latter case the entire circumference contains $30 \times 360 = 10800$ divisions. The circles in this case are about three feet in diameter, and the divisions are read by microscopes.

Mechanical ingenuity has, however, obviated the necessity for carrying the subdivisions of the circumference of a graduated circle to an excessive degree of minuteness. By the graduated circles attached to large astronomical instruments we are now able to 'read off' angles to the tenth part of a second. If this had to be effected by divisions alone there would require to be 12,960,000 distinct marks upon the circumference, and this is clearly impossible with circles of moderate dimensions. We shall presently explain the contrivances by which this vast extension of the accuracy with which angles can be measured has been obtained.

§ 11. Circular Measure.—There is another mode by which the magnitude of angles may be expressed, which, though unsuited for the graduation of astronomical instruments, is still of the greatest importance in many astronomical calculations. If we measure upon the circumference of a circle an arc of which the length is equal to the radius of the circle, and if we draw straight lines from the two extremities of this arc to the centre of the circle, the joining lines include a certain definite angle, which is termed the unit of circular measure. This is sometimes called the radian. It can easily be shown that the unit of circular measure is independent of the length of the radius of the circle. Whether the radius of the circle be an inch or a yard, the number of degrees, minutes, and seconds in the radian always remains the same.

We can now see how the magnitude of any angle whatever may be expressed by the number of radians and fractional parts of one radian to which the given angle is equivalent. This number is called the *circular measure of the angle*. It is often necessary to convert the expression for the magnitude of an angle in radians into the equivalent expression in degrees, minutes, and seconds. To

accomplish this we first calculate the number of seconds in one radian.

Since the circumference of a circle is very nearly equal to 3'14159 times its diameter, the arc of a semicircle is very nearly equal to 3'14159 times the radius. The angle subtended at the centre of the circle by the arc of a semicircle is of course 180°. It therefore follows that 180° must be almost exactly equal to 3'14159 radians. By reducing 180 degrees to seconds, and dividing by 3'14159, it is found that one radian is very nearly equal to 206265 seconds.

It is frequently necessary in astronomical calculations to make use of the principle that when the length of an arc of a circle is very small in comparison with the radius, the length of the arc may generally be taken as the length of the chord.

To illustrate the application of this principle we shall state here a problem which very often occurs in astronomy.



A distant object, of which the apparent diameter is A B (Fig. 17), subtends a known angle at the eye placed at o. If the distance O A from the eye to the object be known, it is required to find

the length A B. It generally happens in astronomical calculations that although the length A B may be exceedingly great, yet the ratio of the length A B to the distance OAis comparatively small. If a circle be described of which o is the centre and OA the radius, this circle will pass through the points A, B, and the length of the chord A B will practically be equal to the length of the arc. We may therefore compute the *arc* instead of the chord. The angles subtended at the centre of a circle by arcs upon its circumference are proportional to the lengths of those arcs; it therefore follows that the required distance A B must bear to the length OA the same ratio which the angle A O B bears to the angle subtended by an arc equal to OA—i.e. to

an angle of one radian. If θ be the angle OAB expressed in seconds, we therefore have the relation

AB: QA::θ: 206265,

from which A B is determined.

§12. Instruments for Measuring Angles.—In practical astronomy it is frequently necessary to measure the angle which two distant points subtend at the eye. Take, for example, two stars, which may be regarded as almost mathematical points on the surface of the heavens; then it may be required to measure the angle which is formed by the two lines which may be conceived to be drawn from the eye of the observer to the two stars. In effecting this measurement it is first of all necessary to bring two radii of a graduated circle into coincidence with the two sides of the angle which is to be measured, and then to ascertain the number of degrees, minutes, and seconds between the two radii.

Before the invention of the telescope one of the methods of bringing the radius of the graduated circle into coincidence with the visual ray was by the operation known as 'sighting.'

At one end A of a bar A B (Fig. 18) a vertical slit is fixed, while at the other end B is a pin. The eye being

FIG. 18.

placed at the slit, the bar can be so placed that the object under observation appears exactly behind the pin. The bar containing the sights can turn about the centre of a graduated circle, of which a quadrant is represented (Fig. 19). When the sights have been properly directed to one of the objects to be observed, the position of the bar on the graduated circle is read off. The sights are then directed to the other object, and the position of the bar

is again read off. The difference between the two readings gives the angle between the two objects.

The great drawback to this contrivance is its want of accuracy, which renders it quite unfitted for astronomical



observations in the present state of the science. In fact, it is impossible to avoid errors, which may amount to some or even many minutes of arc, in measuring angles by such a contrivance. Even the improved sights used by Tycho Brahe could

FIG. 20.



scarcely distinguish less than a minute. The sighting is therefore now replaced for astronomical purposes by the incomparably superior contrivance which the invention of the telescope has placed in our hands.

At the focus of the object glass of a telescope a diaphragm with a circular aperture is placed, and across this circular aperture two extremely fine lines, usually taken from a spider's web, are stretched (Fig. 20). The intersection of these lines marks a certain point in the field of view, and the telescope is to be directed to the distant object, so that the object or a specified point thereof shall coincide with the intersection of the wires.

It remains to be shown what specific line in the tele-

scope is to be actually regarded as the line of vision which is to replace the line joining the two sights in the comparatively rude apparatus already described. Let A represent (Fig. 21) the distant object, the rays from which fall



upon the object glass at *o* and are then brought to a focus at a point B, which coincides with the intersection of the two cross wires. The rays which fall upon the object glass must in general be deflected in passing through the glass, but there is one ray among those which diverge from A which the object glass does not deflect. If the line A B be drawn, it cuts the object glass at a point o. A ray passing along the direction A o cannot be deflected by the object glass, for otherwise it would not travel to the point B after passing through the object glass. This ray must pass through a certain point, which is called the optical centre of the object glass. The line joining the optical centre of the object glass to the intersection of the cross wires is actually defined in the telescope itself, without reference to external objects. This line is adopted as the line of sight of the telescope, and is known as the optic axis. It is necessary to observe that the optic axis need not necessarily coincide with the actual axis of the cylindrical tube of the telescope itself, nor is it either the line joining the centres of the object glass and the eye piece. The eye piece, in fact, may be displaced without altering the optic axis. If it be desired to effect a small change in the position of the optic axis of the telescope, this is effected by moving the diaphragm containing the cross wires in a direction transverse to the tube itself; the line joining the optic centre of the object glass to the intersection of the cross wires can thus be moved within certain limits.

We can now understand how the telescope, when provided with the cross wires, affords a much more exact instrument than the sights placed on a bar. In the latter case the slit of the one sight and the pin of the other have to be of considerable dimensions, in order to be readily seen, and consequently the sighting of the object can only be very coarsely accomplished. But in the telescope the optic centre of the object glass may be considered almost a mathematical point, while the intersection of two exceedingly fine lines is perhaps the most definite method we possess for indicating the position of a point. It follows that the optic axis of the telescope has extreme precision, for it is the line joining two definite points.

The lines furnished by the spider possess in a high degree the necessary qualities for forming the cross lines we have described. In the first place, they are exceedingly fine and uniform in thickness, and they are also sufficiently elastic to ensure that when once adjusted with the right degree of tension they shall remain constantly stretched.

The spiders' webs with which we are all so familiar are often used for this purpose, but the cocoons formed by some species of spiders are perhaps the most convenient source whence these lines can be derived.

At night it is necessary to illuminate the wires, as otherwise they could not be seen against the dark background of the sky. This is accomplished in two different ways. In the first of these methods the light from a lamp is thrown into the tube, so as to illuminate the entire field, and then the lines are seen as dark objects against the bright background. This is the most satisfactory method of rendering the wires visible whenever it is applicable. In the case, however, of very faint stars the illumination of the field will sometimes render them invisible. It then becomes necessary to resort to a different method of making the wires visible. By certain optical arrangements, which need not here be described, the light from a lamp is thrown *across* the field so

that it illuminates the lines while the field still remains dark. In this case, therefore, bright lines are seen in a dark field, while in the other dark lines are on a bright field. Arrangements are provided by which either kind of illumination can be adopted, according to circumstances. The illuminated lines are, however, not so sharply seen as the dark lines, and therefore the latter are always preferred when the objects under examination are sufficiently brilliant to be visible in the illuminated field.

The telescope is to be attached to the divided circle in place of the sights which we have already described. The optic axis of the telescope could not conveniently be placed in the actual plane of the divided circle. It is, however, quite sufficient that it should be parallel thereto, nor is it even necessary that the optic axis should pass through a perpendicular to the plane of the graduated circle drawn through its centre.

Let us suppose that the angle between two distant points has to be measured. The plane of the graduated circle is first to be placed so that it passes through the two points; the telescope is to be turned so that the image of one of the points coincides with the intersection of the wires. The graduated circle is then to be 'read off' by means of a pointer, fixed quite independently of the telescope and the circle. The reading having been made, the telescope, bearing with it the graduated circle, to which it is rigidly attached, is to be turned round until the optic axis is directed towards the other point, the plane of the graduated circle remaining unaltered. The circle is again to be read off by the same fixed pointer. The difference between the two readings determines the angle through which the optic axis of the telescope must be turned in order to be moved from one object to the other. This angle is the angular distance of the two objects, which has thus been determined quite independently of the position of the telescope itself with respect to the graduated circle.

The degree of precision which can be attained in the measurement of angles by the aid of a telescope may be estimated by a consideration of the thickness of a spider's line. A line taken from a cocoon ordinarily used has a thickness of about 0.003 inch. In a telescope of about 20 feet focal length the thickness of a line of this size will subtend at the object glass an angle of about one-quarter of a second. This, therefore, indicates the degree of exactitude with which the telescope might be directed towards a distant point.

For many purposes it is found more accurate to have two parallel spider lines tolerably close together, and then to bring the image of a distant point so as to bisect the distance between the lines. As the eye can judge with considerable delicacy of the equality of the distances of the point from the two lines, this method has much to recommend it for certain kinds of observation.

§ 13. Method of Reading an Angle.—The reading microscope consists of an object glass at B (Fig. 22) and an



eye piece at A, connected by a tube. At the focus of the object glass a pair of cross lines are inserted, and the diaphragm which carries the cross lines is capable of being moved by a screw, which carries a graduated head at *a*. A portion of the graduated circle, which is rigidly FIG. 23.



attached to the telescope, is shown at C D (Fig. 23). The

microscope is adjusted so that a distinct image of a portion of the graduated limb of the circle can be seen when the

eye is placed at A. The appearance presented in the field of the microscope is shown in Fig. 24. It may be supposed that the circle is so divided that the distance from one division line to the next is equal to 5 minutes. A few of these division lines will be seen in the field, and also the cross wires



which are attached to the screw of the micrometer. As the telescope, carrying with it the graduated circle, is moved the division marks are seen to move through the field of the microscope, while the cross lines of course remain fixed. If the microscope screw be set so that the divided head stands at zero, then the optic axis of the microscope intersects the graduated limb of the circle at a definite point, which is the reading of the circle. If this optic axis actually passed through one of the division lines, then this division line would be seen to pass through the intersection of the cross lines, and the degrees and minutes corresponding to that division line would be the reading of the circle.

It will, however, generally happen that the optic axis of the microscope will intersect the limb of the graduated circle at a point lying between two adjacent division lines. In this case the two division lines will be seen, as in Fig. 24, to be lying one on each side of the point defined by the intersection of the cross wires. To accomplish the reading of the circle it becomes necessary to determine the accurate reading, which corresponds to the point in which the intersection of the cross wires divides the distance between the two adjacent divisions. Assuming that the divisions of the graduated circle increase from the top of the figure to the bottom, then the distance from the mark m to the intersec-

tion of the cross wires has to be ascertained. The distances between the division lines being 5 minutes, we shall suppose that the thread of the screw at the head of the microscope is such that five complete revolutions of the screw would be sufficient to carry the intersection of the cross wires from one division line to that next adjacent. It follows that each revolution of the screw corresponds to one minute of arc. If, therefore, the head of the screw be subdivided into sixty equal parts, it is plain that a rotation of the head through one of these parts will carry the cross lines over a distance of one second.

The reading is effected by moving the cross lines in the direction of the arrow until their intersection is brought to coincide with m. The number of entire revolutions will give the number of minutes, and the number of fractional parts the number of seconds, between the division mark m and the point of the graduated limb, which was intersected by the optic axis of the microscope when the cross wires were set at zero.

In order to illuminate the graduated limb at night, a mirror is placed between the object glass and the graduated limb. The light from a lamp falling upon the mirror is reflected upon the limb, while a hole in the centre of the mirror allows the limb to be seen by the microscope.

§ 14. Error of Eccentricity.—In large circles it is usual to have four microscopes, or sometimes more, placed symmetrically round the circumference, and the reading is made at each of the microscopes separately. By this means an important source of error is entirely eliminated. This must now be explained, and for simplicity we shall take an example.

A meridian circle was turned through a certain angle, and the value of that angle was measured by four microscopes placed round the circumference at angles of 90° apart. The value of the angle by

Microscope I. is	104°	56'	47″'I
II.	104	56	51.3
III.	104	56	47'1
IV.	104	56	41.4

If everything had been perfectly right, then it is obvious that these four angles ought to be equal to each other. But various sources of error are present.

I. There are doubtless actual errors of *judgment* in making the coincidence of the cross wires with the division mark. These errors are, however, but small, and in a good instrument should not amount to a single second.

2. There are also errors of *workmanship* in the execution of the division marks upon the limb of the graduated circle, and also in the screws of the different microscopes. Still these errors are but small, and in the instrument with which the measurements now under discussion were made they certainly do not exceed a single second.

It is therefore plain that we must look to some other source for an explanation of the discrepancies between the four values which have been found.

The chief source of the error is the *eccentricity*, which arises from an unavoidable lack of absolute coincidence between the centre of the graduated circle and the axis about which the telescope and the graduated circle revolve. The existence of an error from this cause is inevitable whenever a graduated circle is mounted upon an axis, but fortunately we can easily eliminate its effects, so that they shall not vitiate our results.

It must first be observed that what we *want to measure* is the angle A O B (Fig. 25), through which the telescope has been rotated. What we *actually do measure* is the number of divisions of the circle between A and B. It is, however, obvious that the arc of the circle (which is proportional to the number of divisions) will not be proportional to the angle at o, unless the point o, about which the telescope rotates,

coincides with the centre of the graduations. If, however, we have two microscopes diametrically opposite, one of them



will indicate the arc A' B' and the other the arc A B. Draw B C parallel to A A'; then since C A' is equal to A B, the sum of the two measured arcs is C B'; but the angle which C B' subtends at the circumference is obviously equal to the angle at 0. It follows that $\frac{1}{2}$ C B' subtends an angle 0 at the centre, and therefore we have the following important result :—

The arithmetic mean of the readings of two diametrically opposite microscopes is independent of the error of eccentricity.

In the case at present under consideration the mean readings of the diametrically opposite Microscopes I. and III. is

104° 56′ 47″'1,

while the mean given by Microscopes II. and IV. is

104° 56' 46"'4

The small discrepancy between these two results may fairly be attributed to some of the other sources of error to which we have referred. It will, therefore, be natural to take the mean of all four microscopes as the final result, which is accordingly

104° 56′ 46″.75.

§15. The Measurement of Time.—In many astronomical investigations it is necessary to have an accurate method of measuring time. This is best effected by the astronomical clock. The clock is really only an arrangement for counting the vibrations of a pendulum, while at the same time it sustains the motion of the pendulum. It will first be necessary to give an outline of the principles upon which the motion of the pendulum depends.

If a weight A (Fig. 26) be attached to a cord A B which is suspended from a fixed point B, the contrivance forms what is Fig. 26. known as a simple pendulum.

When at rest the cord will hang in the vertical position B C, with the weight directly under the point of support B. If the cord B C be drawn out of the vertical position into the position B A, and if the weight A be released, it will descend until the cord occupies the vertical position B C, after passing which the

cord will ascend into the position BA'. If we could suppose that the resistance of the air were entirely removed. then it would be found that the weight would rise at A' to precisely the same vertical height that it had at A, or that the angle ABC is equal to the angle A'BC. After a momentary pause in the position BA', the weight will again descend, pass through the position c, and rise again to A. Again pausing, it will commence to descend, and again rise to A', and so on indefinitely. Owing, however, to the resistance of the air, and also, it should be added, to the rigidity of the cord at the point of suspension, the weight A will not on each occasion rise quite to the same height that it occupied before, and consequently the amplitude of the swing will gradually diminish until finally the weight comes to rest again in the position c. We shall, however, in what follows overlook the effect of the resistance of the air; for the motion of the pendulum can be indefinitely sustained, notwithstanding the resistance of the air.



A certain definite time is required for the weight to pass from the point A to the point A', and we shall first proceed to enquire into the circumstances by which the duration of that time-called the *time of vibration*-is to be determined. In the first place, it is to be observed that if the arc A A' form but a small portion of the entire circumference of the circle which could be described around the centre B with the radius BA, the time taken to move from A to A' is independent of the length of the arc. For example, if the length of the cord A B be one yard, and if the length of the arc A A' be one inch, then the time taken by the weight to move from A to A' is very nearly the same as it would have been had the arc A C A' been half an inch or had it been two inches, the length of the cord AB being the same in all three cases. It is no doubt true that there is a minute difference of time, that the short arc is described in a somewhat shorter time than the long arc; but this difference bears such an exceedingly small ratio to the total time that for all practical purposes it may be omitted. We are thus enabled to state the following remarkable law :---

The time of vibration of a simple circular pendulum through a small arc is independent of the length of the arc.

This property of the pendulum is often known as its isochronism.

It is also easy to show that the time of vibration does not depend upon the *weight* of the pendulum. If the weight A be replaced by one which is double the amount or half the amount, the time of vibration is still found to be the same, and still found to be nearly independent of the length of the arc.

Nor is the case altered when the material of which the weight of the pendulum is composed is changed. A ball of lead, of iron, or of wood will vibrate precisely in the same time, whatever be its weight or whatever be the arc (supposed small) through which it swings, provided only that the length of the cord by which it is suspended remains unaltered.

We have thus ascertained that the time of vibration depends solely upon the length of the cord by which the weight is supported. It can be shown from theoretical considerations, and it can also be readily verified by actual experiment, that the following law expresses the true relation between the length of a pendulum and the time of its vibration.

The time of vibration of a simple circular pendulum is proportional to the square root of its length, and the length of the pendulum which at the latitude of London vibrates in one mean solar second is 36.139 inches.

It will thus be perceived that the pendulum provides an admirable method of determining intervals of time. It is only necessary to count the number of vibrations made by a pendulum of known length, and the required interval of time is at once ascertained. For this purpose we must sustain the motion of the pendulum by occasionally giving it a suitable impulse; but, owing to the principle of isochronism, it is fortunately unnecessary to impose the condition that the arc of vibration shall remain strictly constant.

§ 16. The Clock.—A pendulum of the simple form which we have been describing would not be adapted for use in a clock. The pendulum in a clock consists of a heavy mass of metal attached to a rigid rod, which is suspended from a fixed point by a steel spring, which acts as a sort of *hinge* to permit the vibrations of the pendulum. It is, however, possible to apply the principles of the simple circular pendulum to the clock pendulum, only observing that the length of the circular pendulum, which would vibrate in precisely the same way as the clock pendulum, is somewhat less than that of the latter.

The first duty of the clock is to sustain the motion of the pendulum, which the resistance of the air is constantly striving to bring to rest. To attain this end the clock is supplied with a motive power, which gives the pendulum a

small impulse in each vibration, and thus retains the arc of vibration approximately constant.

The motive power employed in those clocks which are used for astronomical purposes is generally afforded by a weight, rather than by a spring. The cord attached to the weight is wound around a drum, and as the weight



descends the drum is forced to revolve. On the axis of the drum is a toothed wheel, which sets in motion a series of toothed wheels, by which the hands are turned round, and the motion of the whole is controlled by the pendulum. This control is exercised through the medium

of that important part of a clock which is known as the escapement, while the escapement also discharges the important duty of sustaining the motion of the pendulum.

The action of the escapement may be understood from Fig. 27. A toothed wheel E is connected with the wheelwork driven by the weight. Above this is suspended a piece A B C D which is free to oscillate about the centre D. From the axis D a rod F (Fig. 28) descends, which by means of a fork at G catches the rod of the pendulum. Thus as the pendulum oscillates so does the rod F, and hence also the piece A B C. In the course of the vibration the portion $c \neq$ enters between a pair of consecutive teeth of the escapement wheel, and the tooth then falls upon the arc. In this condition the escapement wheel remains fixed until, in the return motion of the pendulum, the part $c \neq$ withdraws from between the teeth sufficiently to allow the point of the tooth to glide down the inclined face p q, and the tooth *escapes*, as it is called. Immediately afterwards, however, the tooth at the other side drops upon the arc at m, and is there detained until the pendulum gains a position which will enable the point of the tooth to slide down the face mn. It will thus be seen that the revolution of the escapement wheel, and therefore of the whole train of wheels, is controlled by the motion of the pendulum. In the act of descending the inclined planes at p q and m n the point of the tooth imparts a slight impulse to the piece A B C; this impulse is, through the medium of the fork G, transmitted to the pendulum, and so the oscillations of the pendulum are sustained.

When the clock is wound up a store of energy is imparted thereto, and this is doled out to the pendulum in a very small impulse which it receives at each vibration. The clock weight is of such a magnitude that it shall just be able to counterbalance the retarding forces when the pendulum has a proper amplitude of vibration. In all machines there is a certain amount of energy lost in setting the parts in motion and in overcoming friction and other resistances; in clocks

this represents the whole amount of the energy consumed, as there is no external work to be performed.

A good construction of the escapement wheel is essential to the correct performance of the clock. Although the pendulum must have its motion sustained by receiving an impulse at every vibration, yet it is desirable that the vibration of the pendulum should be hampered as little as possible by mechanical constraint. The isochronism of the pendulum, on which depends its utility as a timekeeper, is a property of a pendulum which is swinging quite freely. Hence we must endeavour to approximate the clock pendulum as nearly as possible to a pendulum hung freely. To effect this and at the same time to maintain the arc of vibration constant is the property of a good escapement.

The operations are so timed that the pendulum receives its impulse when at the middle of its stroke, and the pendulum is then unacted upon until it reaches a similar position in the next vibration. There is still a certain resisting force acting to retard the pendulum; this arises from the pressure of the teeth upon the circular surfaces, where some friction is unavoidable, however carefully the surfaces may be polished.

It is essential for the correct performance of a clock that the pendulum should vibrate at a proper rate, as a very small irregularity may produce an appreciable effect upon the clock. Thus suppose the pendulum vibrates in 1'oo1 second instead of in one second ; the clock loses onethousandth of a second at each beat, and, since there are 86,400 seconds in a day, it follows that the clock will lose about 86 seconds, or nearly a minute and a half, daily. The time of vibration depends upon the length of the pendulum, and therefore the rate of the clock will be constant, provided the length of the pendulum remain constant. To alter the rate of the clock the length of the pendulum must be altered; thus, for example : if the length of the pendulum be altered by one-tenth of an inch the clock will lose or gain nearly two minutes daily, according to whether the pendulum

be lengthened or shortened. This explains the well-known practice of raising or lowering the bob of the pendulum when the clock is going too slow or too fast.

§ 17. The Compensating Pendulum.-Let us suppose that the length of the pendulum has been properly adjusted, so that the clock keeps accurate time. It is necessary that the pendulum should not alter in length. But, as all bodies expand by heat, a pendulum which consists of a single rod to which the weight is attached must be longer in hot weather than it is in cold weather, and hence a clock will generally have a tendency to go faster in winter than in summer. For a pendulum with a steel rod the difference of temperature between summer and winter will cause a difference in the rate of five seconds daily, or about half a minute in a week. The amount of error thus introduced is of no great consequence in clocks which are intended for ordinary use, but in astronomical clocks, where seconds, or even portions of a second, are of the utmost consequence, inaccuracies of this magnitude would be quite inadmissible.

There are, it is true, substances—for example, slips of white deal—in which the rate of expansion is less than that of steel; consequently the irregularities introduced by employing a pendulum whose rod is a slip of deal would be less than that of the steel pendulum we have mentioned; but no substance is known which would not undergo greater variations than are admissible in the pendulum of an astronomical clock.

We must, therefore, devise some means by which the effect of changes of temperature in altering the time of vibration of a pendulum can be avoided. Various contrivances have been proposed for this purpose; we shall describe one which is often adopted.

In Fig. 29 is shown what is known as the mercurial compensating pendulum. The rod a, by which the pendulum is suspended, is made of steel, and at its lower extremity two cylindrical glass jars are supported, which

are partly filled with mercury. The distance of the centre of gravity of the mercury from the point of suspension is very nearly equal to the length of the simple pendulum,

FIG. 29.



which would vibrate isochronously with the compound arrangement here described. Mercury and steel both expand when their temperature is raised, but the rates at which they expand are widely different, and it is upon this difference in the coefficients of expansion that the action of the compensating pendulum depends. For a given rise of temperature the linear coefficient of expansion of mercury is several times greater than that of steel. If the height of the column of mercury in the two jars b, b has a proper ratio to the length of the steel rod, the compensation will be complete. For suppose the temperature of the pendulum to be raised, the steel rod would be lengthened, and therefore the vessels of mercury would be lowered. On the other hand, the surface of the column of mercury in the jars would

be varied by the expansion of the mercury. The centre of the column of mercury will be raised by half the amount which its surface is raised. It can be arranged that the centre of the column of mercury is raised by its own expansion as much as it is lowered by the expansion of the steel. By this contrivance the time of oscillation of the pendulum is rendered independent of the temperature.

The mercurial compensating pendulum possesses the great advantage that it is easy to alter the quantity of mercury so as to adjust the compensation with precision.

§18. Composition of Light.—We shall now give a brief account of a very remarkable method which has been applied with great success to the examination of the heavenly bodies. This method is termed spectrum analysis. Its peculiar feature is that, with the assistance of a telescope, it

actually gives us information as to the nature of the elementary substances which are present in some of the celestial bodies. To explain how this is accomplished it will be necessary for us to refer again to some properties of light which were already alluded to (§ 8). A ray of ordinary sunlight consists in reality of a number of rays of different colours blended together. The 'white' colour of ordinary sunlight is due to the joint effect of the several different rays. We have, however, the means of separating the constituent rays of a beam of light and examining them individually. This is due to the circumstance that the amount of bending which a ray of light undergoes when it passes through a prism varies with the colour of the light.

§19. Construction of the Spectroscope.—Suppose ABC (Fig. 29A) to represent a prism of flint glass. If a ray of ordinary white light tra-

velling along the direction PQ falls upon the prism at Q, it is bent by refraction, so that the direction in which it traverses the prism is different from the direction in which it was



moving when it first encountered the prism. The amount of the bending is, however, dependent upon the colour of the light. In a beam of white light we have blended together the seven well-known prismatic colours, viz. red, orange, yellow, green, blue, indigo, violet. We shall trace the course of the first of these and the last. The red light is the least bent; it travels along (let us suppose) the direction Q R until it meets the second surface of the prism at R; it is then again bent at emergence, and finally travels along the direction R s. On the other hand, the violet portion of the incident beam, which originally travelled along the direction P Q, is more bent at each refraction than the red rays. Consequently after the first refraction it assumes the direction Q R', and after the second refraction, the direction R' s'. The intermediate rays of orange, yellow, green, blue, and indigo, after passing the prism, are found to be more refracted than the red rays, and less refracted than the violet rays; they are, therefore, found in the interval between the lines R s and R' s'.

We have therefore, in the prism, a means of decomposing a ray of light and examining the different constituents of which it is made. We shall now show how this is practi-



cally applied in the instrument known as the *spectroscope*. The principle of this instrument may be explained by reference to Fig. 29B. At s is a narrow slit, which is supposed to be perpendicular to the plane of the paper. Through this slit a thin line of light passes, and it is this thin line of light

which is to be examined in the spectroscope. After passing through s, the light diverges until it falls upon an achromatic lens placed at A. This lens is to be so placed that the distance A s is equal to the focal length of the lens; it therefore follows that the beam diverging from s will, after refraction through the lens A, emerge as a beam of which all the constituent rays are parallel. Let us now for a moment fix our attention upon the rays of some particular colour. Suppose, for example, the red rays. The parallel beam of red rays will fall upon the prism P. Since each of these rays has the same colour, it will, on passing through the prism, be deflected through the same angle, and, therefore, the beam which consisted of parallel rays before incidence upon the prism will consist of parallel rays after refraction through the prism, the only difference being that the entire system of parallel rays will be bent from the direction which they had before. In this condition the rays will fall upon the achromatic lens B, which will bring them to a focus at a

point R, where we shall suppose a suitable screen to be placed. Thus the red rays which pass through the slit at s will form a red image of the slit upon the screen at R.

But what will be the case with the violet constituents of the light which passes through s? The violet rays will fall upon the lens A, and will emerge as a parallel beam (for we have supposed the lenses A and B to be both achromatic), the parallel violet beam will then fall upon P, and it will emerge from P also as a beam of parallel rays. It will, however, be *more deflected* than the beam of red rays, but still not so much so as to prevent it falling upon the lens B, which will make it converge so as to form an image at T near to the red image at R, but somewhat below it.

Let us suppose the slit at s to be exceedingly narrow, and let us suppose that the beam of light which originally passed through s contained rays of every degree of refrangibility from the extreme red to the extreme violet. We should then have on the screen an indefinitely great number of images of the slit in different hues, and these images would be so exceedingly close together that the appearance presented would be a band of light equal in width to the length of the image of the slit, and extending from R to T. This band, the colour of which gradually changes from red at R to violet at T, is known as the prismatic spectrum. Instead of the screen the eye itself may be employed to receive the light which emerges from the lens B, so that the spectrum is impressed upon the retina. For the more delicate purposes of spectrum analysis this plan is always adopted.

Suppose that the light which was being examined consisted only of rays of certain special refrangibilities, the spectrum which would be produced would then only show images of the slit corresponding to the particular rays which were present in the beam. Consequently, the spectrum would be 'interrupted,' consisting of a number of detached lines, and the character of the spectrum would reveal the nature of the light of which the beam was composed.

This may be made to give us most valuable information

with reference to the nature of the source from which the light emanates. In order to concentrate as much light as possible on the slit of a 'spectroscope,' this slit is placed in the focus of an astronomical telescope, and instead of the single prism P (Fig. 29B) a train of prisms is generally used to increase the dispersion of the parallel rays coming from the 'collimating lens' A. The lens B belongs to a small viewing telescope which can be turned slightly along a graduated arc so as to bring different parts of the spectrum into the field of view, and enable the observer to measure the relative positions of the various lines in the spectrum.

If a glass tube contain a small quantity of gas, and if an electric current be passed through the tube, the gas inside the tube may be raised to a temperature so exceedingly high that it will become luminous, and the light which emanates from it can be examined by means of the spectroscope. It is found that gases under low pressure yield a spectrum consisting of bright lines (really separate images of the slit), the same gas always giving the same lines, so that the nature of the gas under observation may be ascertained from its spectrum. An incandescent solid or liquid body or a gas under high pressure emits light of all refrangibilities, and therefore yields a continuous spectrum. On the other hand, a gaseous substance absorbs from light passing through it exactly the same rays which its own spectrum shows. The spectrum of the sun therefore consists of a continuous band of all the colours from red to violet, interrupted by a great number of dark lines caused by absorption of light passing from the body of the sun through the gaseous atmosphere of that luminary, and by measuring the positions of these lines and comparing with tables of the spectral lines of the various chemical elements (prepared from spectroscopic researches in the laboratory), the nature of the gases constituting the solar atmosphere may be ascertained (see § 70).

CHAPTER II.

THE EARTH.

§ 20. Form of the Earth.—To an observer who is limited, as we are, to the surface of the earth, the contrast is at first sight very wide indeed between the appearance of the earth and the appearances presented by the sun and moon. The earth appears to be a flat plain, more or less diversified; the sun and moon appear to be globular; the earth appears to be at rest, while the sun and moon are apparently in constant motion; and, lastly, the earth appears to have a bulk incomparably greater than that of either the sun or the moon.

If, however, we could change our point of view to a suitable position in space, we should form a more just conception of the relation of the earth to the sun and moon. We should then see that each of the three bodies was really spherical, that each of them was really in motion, and that the earth, though larger than the moon, was very much less than the sun.

It need hardly be said that it is impossible for observers on the earth to obtain such a bird's-eye view as we have here described. A balloon might indeed convey the observer to a point from which he would have a very extensive view, but it would be necessary to ascend to a height vastly beyond that to which any balloon could attain before the shape of the earth would be discerned as we discern the shape of the sun and the moon. Our knowledge of the figure of the earth is only to be attained by indirect means, the nature of which we shall now explain.

The most simple method of becoming actually convinced that the surface of the earth (or rather of the sea) is *not* an indefinitely extended plain is by taking a station on a high

cliff near the sea-side, from which an uninterrupted view of the sea can be obtained. We shall also suppose that a number of vessels are dotted over the surface of the sea at different distances, and that the station which the observer has chosen is at a greater vertical height above the sea than are the tops of the masts of any of the vessels.

If the surface of the sea extended as an indefinite plane, then this plane must be intersected by a line drawn from the eye of the observer to the topmost point of the mast of *any one of* the vessels. It is, therefore, obvious that the entire of each of the vessels must be seen projected upon the surface of the water. But this is obviously not the case. The view which the observer has of the sea is shown in Fig. 30. The vessel in the foreground is no doubt entirely



projected on the surface of the sea, but the more distant vessel is almost entirely projected against the sky, while the most distant vessel of all is so far from being projected on the surface of the water that the hull of the vessel is rendered invisible by the interposition of a protuberant mass of water, while the masts are seen projected against the sky. We are, therefore, forced to the conclusion that the sea is not a flat plain, but that it is a convex surface.

At whatever part of the earth the observations which we have just described be made, it is invariably found that the surface of the ocean is convex. The degree of the curvature may be estimated by the distance at which the hull of the vessel becomes invisible. The observer being stationed at a certain height, and the hull of the vessel having a certain size, the greater the curvature the less is the distance at

44

which the hull is invisible. Tested in this way, the curvature of the ocean appears nearly uniform over its entire extent. The surface which has a curvature which is uniform at all points must be a sphere. We are hence forced to the conclusion that the surface of the sea is approximately spherical.

It is somewhat more difficult to perceive that the general surface of the land is also approximately spherical. The irregularities on the surface of the earth, arising from hills and mountains and valleys, appear at first sight to preclude the possibility of making any general statement with reference to the figure of the earth. It is, however, to be observed that these irregularities on the surface are of quite trivial extent in comparison with the vast bulk of the earth itself.

It has been found that the figure of the earth, though very approximately a sphere, differs therefrom to an appreciable extent. It appears that the true figure of the earth is nearly that of the surface produced by the revolution of an ellipse ADBC (Fig. 31) about its minor axis AB. The eccentricity of the ellipse is designedly much exagge-

rated in the figure. According to the careful investigations of Colonel A. R. Clarke, C.B., we have the following dimensions of the ellipsoid of revolution which most closely approximates to the **C** figure of the earth :—Let a, bbe the equatorial and polar semidiameters respectively, then



a = 6378207 metres = 20926062 feet, b = 6356584 metres = 20855121 feet; while for the ellipticity

$$\epsilon = \frac{a-b}{a} = \frac{1}{294.98}$$

¹ For certain purposes, and perhaps on the whole, the actual figure of the earth can, it is believed, be represented with still greater accuracy It having been ascertained that the earth has an aproximately spherical figure, the next important point is to show that the earth is free in space, and not supported by or attached to any other object. This is clear from the circumstance that though the surface of the earth has been traversed in nearly every direction, no trace has been found of any such support or connection, and we must therefore admit that the earth is really an isolated object. At first it may seem difficult to believe that this is really the case. It might be thought that, as the earth is not supported, it ought to *fall* somewhere or other. This will be subsequently explained.

§21. The Atmosphere.—At the exterior of the surface of the earth, and entirely enveloping the earth, is what is known as the atmosphere. At whatever height we ascend upon the mountains, we still find that air is present. We know that there is a limit to the atmosphere; but the height is far greater than any to which we can attain, though we can ascertain its amount to some degree of approximation.

It can easily be shown that air is a substance which has weight. A glass balloon filled with air weighs more than it does when all the air has been removed from it. Air is eminently compressible, and the air near the surface of the earth, which has to bear the weight of all the superincumbent air, is much more compressed than the air which is

as an ellipsoid with three unequal axes. Colonel Clarke gives for the lengths of the semiaxes—

a = 20926629 feet = 6378390 metres, b = 20925105 feet = 6377920 metres, c = 20854477 feet = 6356390 metres.

The ellipticities of the two principal meridians are

$$\frac{1}{289.5}$$
 and $\frac{1}{295.8}$.

The longitude of the greatest axis is 8° 15' W.

higher up. It follows that the density of the air is a maximum at the surface of the earth, and that it diminishes as we ascend until the confines of the atmosphere are reached, where the density is zero. It is, therefore, plain that the real height of the atmosphere must be much greater than it would have been were the atmosphere homogeneous throughout.

We shall subsequently allude to the phenomena of *shooting stars*, and we shall show by what observations their height is ascertained. It is known that these objects only become visible when they enter our atmosphere, and therefore we have the means of ascertaining a minor limit to the height of the atmosphere. It is found that shooting stars are sometimes seen at a height of more than two hundred miles, and

therefore the atmosphere must extend to at least that height. There can be little doubt that for a very great depth at the upper surface of the atmosphere the density is exceedingly small.

§ 22. Atmospheric Refraction.—In viewing a celestial body we have always to look completely through the atmospheric shell by which the earth is surrounded. This produces an apparent dis-

placement of the celestial body by what is called *refraction*. Let $D \in (Fig. 32)$ be the surface of any transparent medium, and let a ray A B fall upon the surface D E at B. Then when the ray enters the medium it undergoes a certain deflection, insomuch that it pursues the path B C instead of the original path. The angle A B M is called the angle of incidence, and the angle C B M' is the angle of refraction where M M' is perpendicular to the surface D E. The rays A B



and CB both lie in a plane which contains the normal, and the sine of the angle of incidence is in a constant ratio to the sine of the angle of reflection.

We can now explain the effect which the atmosphere has on the refraction of the light from a celestial body suppose a star. We may for this purpose assume the earth to be a spherical body whose centre is at o (Fig. 33). The atmosphere may then be considered to consist of a number



of concentric spherical shells, each of uniform density, but the density of each shell being greater than that of the shell immediately outside it. If these shells be regarded as indefinitely thin, this supposition may accurately represent the atmosphere of our earth.

A ray of light, after passing through space in the direction $\mathbf{E} a$, falls upon the first layer of atmosphere at a; after entering the layer the ray moves along the direction a b, which is less inclined to a than was the original line $\mathbf{E} a$.

Having traversed the first layer, the ray enters the second layer; it is again deflected into the line bc. The same process goes on as the ray enters each successive layer, until finally it reaches the eye at the point A on the surface of the earth. The actual direction of the ray at the moment it entered the eye is A E'. This, then, will be the direction in which the

49

star is seen, and therefore the real position of the star would be judged erroneously.

The line o A points upwards to the zenith of the observer at A. The angle subtended at the eye by the zenith point and the star is the zenith distance of the star. The apparent zenith distance of the star is represented by the angle $z \land E'$. The real zenith distance of the star is the angle between $\land z$ and a line through \land parallel to $a \mathrel E$. Thus the effect of refraction is always to diminish the zenith distance of a star, or to make the star appear nearer to the zenith than it would be were refraction absent. The effect of refraction on a star actually at the zenith is zero, while the effect is a maximum on a star situated on the horizon.

To compute with accuracy the precise amount of deviation which a ray of light experiences in traversing the entire thickness of our atmosphere, it would appear to be necessary to know the precise density of each of the shells of which we have supposed the atmosphere to be constituted. Our knowledge of the pressure and temperature in the upper regions of the atmosphere is, however, so imperfect that it is impossible to form any accurate law which connects the alteration of density in the atmosphere with the increase of height. It is, however, fortunate that the refraction can be computed in a much more simple manner whenever the zenith distance is comparatively small.

The thickness of the atmosphere is, even on the largest estimation, only a very small fraction of the radius of the earth. We may therefore, without appreciable error, consider the surface of the earth to be a plane, and consequently on this assumption the successive shells of different densities will be horizontal layers. When this assumption is made, the problem of computing the refractions becomes greatly simplified. It is, under these circumstances, quite unnecessary to know what the densities of the successive layers may be, or to what height the atmosphere extends. The refrac-

E

tion will, in fact, be the same as if the entire atmosphere were of absolutely uniform density throughout, that density being the same as is indicated by the barometer and thermometer at the surface of the earth at the moment when the observation is made.

This very remarkable result can be demonstrated with facility. Let M, M', M'', M''' represent media of different



degrees of refrangibility, through which a ray of light originally moving in the direction A B is to be refracted. It is assumed that the *bounding surfaces of these media are all parallel planes.* After refraction in the medium M the ray travels in the direction B c, then it is refracted by the medium M' into the direction C D, and so on till in the last medium the ray has the direction E F. It is to be proved that the direction E F is the same as it would have been had the ray A B fallen directly upon the medium M''' without the intervention of M, M', and M''. It should, however, be observed that we are here only concerned with the *direction* of the ray; the absolute situation, no doubt, is to a certain extent altered by the superincumbent media.

This will be made clear by Fig. 35. A B is a ray of light which impinges upon a single medium M bounded by two parallel planes. After refraction at the first surface the ray

50

traverses the direction B D, then falls upon the second surface and emerges in the direction C D. Since the surfaces are parallel, it is clear that the ray B D makes the same angle with the normal at B which it does with the normal at D; it therefore follows that after emerging from the medium at D the ray C D must be inclined to the normal at D at the same angle at which A B was inclined to the normal at B, and that consequently the ray A B is parallel to the ray C D. Hence the effect of the transmission of a ray of light through a medium bounded by parallel planes is merely to change the situation of the ray while leaving its direction unaltered.

This principle can be applied to the explanation of the property illustrated in Fig. 34. After the ray has traversed M we may suppose it emerges parallel to its original direction, and then immediately impinges upon M' in the same way; after passing M' it emerges still parallel to A B and falls upon M''; thence emerging parallel to its original direction, the ray falls upon M'''. It is, therefore, obvious that the refraction produced by the medium M''' is the same as if the ray A B had directly impinged thereon.

It will be observed that the entire argument depends upon the parallelism of the planes bounding the surfaces of the media. The actual bounding surfaces in the atmosphere being curved surfaces closely approaching to spheres, the property we have just proved is only approximately true. It has, however, been found that for all zenith distances not exceeding 75° the calculations made upon this assumption are sufficiently accurate for most purposes.

When the zenith distance exceeds 75° the amount of the refraction depends upon the constitution of the different layers of the atmosphere. It is also subject to considerable changes in accordance with the variations in the temperature and pressure of the atmosphere.

E 2

Apparent Zenith Distance	Refraction	Apparent Zenith Distance	Refraction	Apparent Zenith Distance	Refraction
0	0''0	35	40 ^{.0} 8	70°	$\begin{array}{c} 2 & 38^{\circ}8 \\ 3 & 34^{\circ}3 \\ 5 & 19^{\circ}8 \\ 9 & 54^{\circ}8 \\ 14 & 28^{\circ}1 \\ 24 & 21^{\circ}2 \\ 33 & 46^{\circ}3 \end{array}$
5	5'1	40	48.9	75	
10	10'3	45	58.2	80	
15	15'6	50	1 9.3	85	
20	21'2	55	1 23.4	87	
25	27'2	60	1 40.6	89	
30	33'6	65	2 4.3	90	

Thus, for example, the apparent zenith distance of an object being 60° , the real zenith distance is found by augmenting the apparent zenith distance by 1' $40'' \cdot 6$, and therefore the true zenith distance is 60° 1' $40'' \cdot 6$.

At moderate zenith distances we have the following law :---

The amount of refraction is proportional to the tangent of the zenith distance.

From the table of refractions it appears that the refraction at the zenith distance 45° is $58'' \cdot 2$, whence we must have in general the expression

58"'2 tan z

for the value of the refraction at the distance z. It is, however, obvious that this law is incorrect near the horizon. Under these circumstances the tangent of z approaches to infinity, while the observed value of the refraction at the horizon is 33' 46''.
CHAPTER III.

THE DIURNAL MOTION OF THE HEAVENS.

§ 23. The Celestial Bodies.—On a clear night the surface of the heavens glitters with innumerable points of light, commonly called stars. The objects which are popularly known by this term comprise two different classes. The great majority belong to what are called *fixed stars*, while a few are known as *planets*.

The first feature of the *fixed stars* to which we must direct attention is their apparent fixity in the heavens with respect to each other. If we form figures by lines, joining the different stars together, then it is found that these figures remain unaltered even while centuries pass away. In fact, if we could regard the surface of the heavens as a vault of solid material, the stars appear as if they were rigidly stuck on the interior of this vault.

The *planets*, on the other hand, do not remain fixed; they are, as their name implies, wanderers, and they move about on the surface of the heavens among the stars. The word *planet* is usually restricted to a very special group of objects. There are other celestial bodies which are also wanderers. Among these we may mention the moon, the satellites of the planets, and comets.

At a superficial glance a planet resembles an ordinary fixed star so closely that it is difficult to realise how fundamental and important is the distinction which separates them. To test whether an object is really a planet or a fixed star the position of the object should be carefully noted with respect to the bright stars in its vicinity. After some time the object should be again examined and the place compared with the adjacent fixed stars. If it be found that the place has altered, then the object is a planet. There

is, however, another method of discriminating between a star and a planet, which has the advantage of enabling the decision to be pronounced immediately without waiting until the planet, shall disclose its real character by movement. If a telescope be directed to a fixed star the object appears to be merely a point of light. No increase in the power of the telescope will enable us to see the dimensions of the small point from which the light comes, although the brilliancy is increased with each increase of the power of the telescope. The case is, however, widely different when the telescope is directed to a planet. It is then seen that the planet really has a circular disk of dimensions which are quite appreciable. This test can be applied with facility to all the more conspicuous planets. In the case of planets which are extremely minute or extremely distant this test cannot be applied easily. In fact, there are numbers of planets so minute that the telescope cannot show them to be different from the stars in their vicinity. In these cases the motion test has to be applied.

§ 24. The Celestial Sphere.—A sphere is a surface such that every point upon it is equidistant from one point in the interior which is called the centre. If a plane be drawn through the centre of the sphere it cuts the sphere in a circle which is called a great circle. A plane which cuts the sphere, but which does not pass through the centre, has also a circle for the line along which it intersects the sphere ; this is called a *small circle*. The radius of a great circle is of course equal to the radius of the sphere. The radius of a small circle may be of any length less than the radius of the sphere. We may suppose that a sphere is produced by the revolution of a circle about its diameter, and the radius of the sphere is then equal to the radius of the circle from which it has been produced.

Let o be the centre of a sphere, and A B any two points on its surface. Then a plane through the three points O A B cuts the sphere in a great circle. This may, for simplicity, be termed the great circle A B. The length of the arc of the great circle connecting two given points on a sphere of known radius is most conveniently measured by the angle which the arc subtends at the centre.

If we imagine the angle of a pair of compasses to be placed at the eye while each leg of the compasses is directed towards a particular star, the angle between the legs of the compasses is said to be the angular distance between the two stars. By an instrument founded on this principle, it is possible to measure the angular distance between two stars with great accuracy, and from such measurements a *celestial* globe can be constructed. Two points, A and B, are first to be marked on the surface of the globe, so that the angle which A and B subtend at the centre of the globe is equal to the angle subtended at the eye by the two stars to which A and B correspond. The angular distance of a third stars is to be measured from both A and B, and the stars is to be marked on the globe, so that the two arcs s A and S B shall subtend at the centre of the globe the angles which have been observed. In this way all the principal stars on the surface of the heavens may be accurately depicted upon the surface of a globe.

We shall now introduce a convention which is very useful. The stars are, no doubt, at very varied distances from the earth, but, nevertheless, we have seen that the appearance of the heavens can be adequately represented on a globe where all the stars are at the same distance from the centre. Let us suppose a colossal globe to be described with the earth at its centre and an enormously great radius. Then if the stars were all bright points stuck on the interior surface of this globe, the appearance of the heavens would be the same as we actually find it. This imaginary globe we call the *celestial sphere*.

§ 25. The Fixed Stars.—It may be observed that the planets which can be conspicuously seen with the unaided eye are only five in number (viz. Mercury, Venus, Mars,

Jupiter, Saturn). Uranus can be seen like a very faint star, and one or two of the remaining planets have occasionally been detected by exceptionally sharp vision. It is thus evident that of the vast multitude of celestial objects visible to the unaided eye every clear night, by far the greater number are fixed stars.

The first feature connected with the stars to which we shall direct attention is their very different degrees of brightness. Astronomers have thus been led to classify the stars accordingly. About twenty of the brightest stars in the heavens are said to be of the first magnitude. Among these we may mention a few which are particularly conspicuous in northern latitudes. The brightest star in the whole heavens is Sirius. Then come Arcturus, Vega, Capella, Aldebaran, Rigel, Spica, and Betelgeuze.

Next in order to the stars of the first magnitude come those of the second magnitude. Of these we may mention, as examples which must be familiar to many, the four brightest stars in the constellation sometimes known as Ursa Major, the Great Bear (Fig. 36).

The stars immediately below those of the second magnitude in brightness are called stars of the third magnitude; next come the fourth, and so on down to the very smallest stars that can be seen in the most powerful telescopes. According to Engelmann, the number of stars of each of the first nine magnitudes is as follows :—

1st . . 19 4th . . 490 7th . . 19,900 2nd . . 65 5th . . 1,400 8th . . 68,000 3rd . . 200 6th . . 4,900 9th . . . 241,000 From this it will be seen that the fainter stars are much more numerous than the brighter ones. The number of stars of each magnitude is greater than the number in any preceding magnitude, and less than in any following magnitude. The stars of each magnitude are about $2\frac{1}{2}$ times brighter than those of the next magnitude below, so that the light of a star of the first magnitude is a hundred times as great as that of one of the sixth. Of the total number of stars only a comparatively small number are visible to the unaided eye. Stars of the fifth magnitude are faint, those of the sixth very faint, and it requires very good vision to perceive stars of the seventh magnitude without the assistance of a telescope. The number of stars which can be seen with the unaided eye in England may be estimated at about 3,000.

It is hardly possible to form any very accurate conception of the numbers of the stars of each magnitude when that magnitude is expressed by a larger number than nine. This arises partly from the prodigious numbers of the stars, and partly from some uncertainty with which the estimation of the magnitudes of very small stars are attended. Argelander has published a most valuable catalogue of the stars in the northern hemisphere. This catalogue is accompanied by a series of maps on which these stars are depicted. All stars of the first nine magnitudes are included in this catalogue, as well as a very large number of stars which are between the ninth and tenth magnitudes. The total number of stars contained in the catalogue and on the maps amounts to 324,188.

Maps have been formed of isolated portions of the heavens which include stars much smaller than those here referred to. Some of these maps contain stars of the eleventh and twelfth magnitudes, if not actually smaller. The enormous numbers of the very small stars render the formation of such maps exceedingly laborious. The total number of stars visible in powerful telescopes doubtless amounts to many (perhaps very many) millions. A survey of the starry contents of the heavens now being made by photography tends still further to increase our estimate of the number of the stars.

The prodigious multitudes of minute stars with which the heavens are strewn is well illustrated by the nature of what is commonly known as the *Milky Way*. The milky way is an irregular band of faint luminosity which encircles the whole heavens, and may be seen on any dark and clear night in the absence of the moon. The telescope shows that this faint luminosity really arises from myriads of minute stars, which, though individually so faint as to be invisible to the naked eye, yet by their countless numbers produce the appearance with which doubtless everyone is familiar.

§ 26. Constellations.—For the purpose of marking out different regions in the heavens, modern astronomers have retained the method which was principally due to the poetical imagination of the ancients. The whole surface of the celestial sphere is, on this method, supposed to be covered by imaginary human figures, and representations of other objects. The stars on each of these figures are by some grotesque conception supposed to point out the form of the object. In this way different regions of the heavens are known by special names, and the stars on each of these regions are collectively termed a *constellation*. We thus have, for example, the constellation of Orion, Ursa Major, Ursa Minor, Leo, Lyra, &c. &c.

This arrangement provides a very convenient method of indicating the stars. It is for this purpose only necessary to mention the name of the constellation to which the star belongs, and to append a letter or number by which the different stars of each constellation may be distinguished. Bayer published in the year 1603 a series of maps of the stars, in which the letters of the Greek alphabet were attributed to the principal stars of each constellation. To the brightest star of the constellation the letter α was assigned, the next brightest is denoted by β , the next by γ , and so on throughout the alphabet. When all the Greek letters have been exhausted, the remaining stars in the constellation are usually denoted by Latin letters and numbers.

It is exceedingly desirable that the learner should make himself acquainted with the principal constellations. To facilitate him in doing so, we shall give a few outline charts, in which the brighter stars in several of the constellations will be shown.

There is no difficulty in recognising at once the constellation of Ursa Major, of which the seven principal stars

FIG. 36.

8*

ε‡

#2

*n

* are shown in Fig. 36. This constellation is perhaps the most conspicuous object in the northern skies, and in these latitudes it never sets. Ursa Major can thus be seen every clear night in the year, and in April it is near the zenith at II P.M. . When once this group of stars has been recognised, many of the other important stars and constellations can be determined with facility.

We shall first point out how the position of the Pole Star is to be ascertained by the help of Ursa Major. If we imagine a line drawn through the stars β and α in Urse



Major and then continued on from a to a distance which is about five times the distance from β to a, the extremity of this line will be found to be close to a bright star. This bright

ay

6*

star is called the Pole Star. The Pole Star is also the star α of the constellation *Ursa Minor*, Fig. 37. This group contains seven principal stars, of which β and γ , in addition of course to the Pole Star, are the most conspicuous. The point which is known as *the pole* of the heavens, lies exceedingly near to the Pole Star (Fig. 37), whence the latter has received its name.

If we join δ in Ursa Major to the Pole Star, and produce the joining line nearly as far on the other side of the Pole Star, we come to a remarkable group of stars, forming the constellation *Cassiopeia*. Thus Ursa Major and Cassiopeia are so situated, that the Pole Star lies almost exactly halfway between them. These are the constellations which should first be made familiar to the eye and memory of the student, and then he can proceed to the others now to be described.

The great *Square of Pegasus* is a remarkable group. Unlike Cassiopeia and Ursa Major, the constellation now under consideration rises and sets daily. It cannot be seen



conveniently during the spring and summer, but during autumn and winter the four stars forming the great Square

FIG. 38.

of Pegasus form a remarkable object every evening. These stars are of the second magnitude. The square may be determined by drawing two lines through α and δ Ursæ Majoris to the Pole Star. These lines continued on beyond Cassiopeia to about the same distance as Cassiopeia is from the Pole Star, include between them the great square of Pegasus (Fig. 38).

Three of the stars in the square, viz. α , β , γ , belong to the constellation Pegasus, but the fourth star, which is also marked α , belongs to the constellation *Andromeda*, of which it is the brightest object. Two other stars, β and γ , belonging to Andromeda can also be readily determined from the circumstance that they are near the corner of the square, where α Andromedæ is situated (Fig. 38). The line joining β and γ in Andromeda, produced away from Pegasus, points to the brightest star α in the constellation *Perseus*.

The seven stars, viz. a Persei, α , β , γ Andromedæ, and α , β , γ Pegasi, form a very remarkable group of stars, and once they have been recognised they will aid in the indication of several other constellations.

a Persei lies between two other stars γ and δ belonging to the same constellation. These stars form an arc (Fig. 39) which, produced on a little distance, includes the bright star *Capella*, belonging to the constellation *Auriga*. On

the convex side of this arc lies the Capella remarkable variable star β Persei, more usually known as *Algol*. If the arc formed by γ , α , δ Persei be prolonged so as to be concave towards Algol, it points out the stars ϵ , ζ Persei; and continued on further in the same direction, we come upon the very remarkable



group of small stars close together which are called the *Pleiades*.

Joining the Pole Star to Capella, and producing the join-

ing line beyond Capella, the splendid constellation of *Orion* is arrived at (Fig. 40). The brightest star of this constellation is a Orionis, often called *Betelgeuze*, which is remarkable for its ruddy hue. The Belt of Orion is formed by the three stars δ , ϵ , ζ . The belt is surrounded by the quadrilateral formed by the four stars α , β , γ , κ . Of the seven stars α and β are



* Siriva

of the first magnitude, while the five remaining stars are of the second magnitude.

The three stars in the Belt of Orion point downwards to a most conspicuous star called *Sirius*. This is by far the most brilliant of all the stars. In conjunction with a few inconspicuous stars it forms the constellation of *Canis Major*.

If the line of the Belt of Orion be prolonged in the other direction, it points out the star *Aldebaran*. This is the brightest star in the constellation of *Taurus*.

The line joining the stars β and δ in Ursa Major, and produced on sufficiently far, points out the two stars of the second magnitude termed Castor and Pollux in the constellation Gemini (Fig. 41). This same line continued a little farther passes near the star Procyon, of the first magnitude, in the constellation of *Canis Minor* (Fig. 41).



FIG. 41.

The line joining the two stars α , β in Ursa Major, prolonged on beyond β to a distance five times as far as from α

to β , points out the constellation of *Leo* (Fig. 42). The stars in this constellation have a remarkable form. The four principal stars—a, β , γ , δ —are shown in the figure. a, otherwise called *Regulus*, is of the first magnitude. The three remaining stars of the quadrilateral are of the second magnitude.

The tail of Ursa Major, when prolonged, points to the brilliant star of the first magnitude called *Arcturus*, which is the principal object in the constellation *Bootes* (Fig. 43). The stars β , γ , δ , ϵ in the same constellation are also shown in the figure. Ursa Ir. *

FIG. 42.

Leo

Close to Bootes, and in a direc-

tion which may be found by following the line of the stars β , δ , ϵ , ζ in Ursa Major, is the constellation *Corona Borealis*. This consists of a number of stars arranged nearly in a semicircle, the largest of them being of the second magnitude.



If a line be drawn through α and γ in Ursa Major, and if this line be curved so as to present its convexity towards

Arcturus, as in Fig. 44, it points to a brilliant star termed *Spica*, which is the brightest star in the constellation *Virgo*.



The star β Leonis, otherwise called Denebola, which is situated at the tail of Leo, forms one vertex of a very striking



equilateral triangle of which Arcturus and Spica are the two remaining vertices.

64

One of the most brilliant stars in the northern sky is the bright star in the constellation Lyra, which is known generally by the term Vega. Vega may readily be recognised as the vertex of a nearly right-angled triangle constructed on the line joining the Pole Star to Arcturus. Four other stars in the constellation Lyra form a parallelogram which may be noticed. These stars are β , γ , \hat{c} , ζ .

The constellation *Cygnus* will be recognised as lying between Vega and the great Square of Pegasus. There are five principal stars in Cygnus which form a remarkable configuration (Fig. 46).



The last constellation which will be noticed is Aquila. This will be easily determined, because a line drawn from Vega across β Cygni passes a little above the group of three stars which form the most conspicuous part of Aquila (Fig. 46). The star α Aquilæ, otherwise called *Altair*, is of the first magnitude.

§ 27. Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.—After the learner has become familiar with the appearance and names of the leading constellations, he is recommended to notice the apparent movements which they perform on the surface of the heavens.

The constellation Ursa Major is to be looked at early in

the evening, and its position with regard to the trees, or houses, or other terrestrial objects is to be noted. If the observation be renewed a few hours later, a very remarkable change will be perceived. The *relative* positions of the stars have not indeed altered. All the angular distances between the several pairs of stars in the constellation are the same on the two occasions. Nor have the stars changed their positions with reference to the stars in the adjacent constellations, but the whole system of stars has moved bodily.

Observation will also show that the position of the Pole Star does not appreciably change its place either with respect to the stars or with respect to the terrestrial objects by which its position may be indicated. At different hours of the night, or at different seasons of the year, the Pole Star will constantly be seen in the northern sky at about the same elevation above the horizon. We shall hereafter have to explain that with more careful methods of measurement this statement would not be found strictly accurate. The Pole Star *does* really change its position, though the amount of that change is not sufficient to be readily appreciable in the coarse, naked-eye observations which we are at present discussing.

A marked contrast is thus perceptible between the fixity of the Pole Star and the large movements which are made by the stars in Ursa Major. It is, however, to be noticed that the two stars a and β (Fig. 37), which point towards the Pole Star, continue to point to the Pole Star, nothwithstanding the great movements which they undergo in common with all the other stars of the constellation. The idea is thus suggested that the stars of Ursa Major really move as if they were all fastened together by invisible rods, and as if each of the stars was also fastened by an invisible rod to the Pole Star, about which the whole system is free to turn. If the observations be renewed at intervals through the night, and then with the help of a telescope through the following day, it would be seen that Ursa Major, after as-

67

cending from the east, passes over the observer's head, then down towards the west, under the Pole Star in the north, and round again to the east, and the observer would find that in about twenty-four hours the constellation had returned to its original position.

This movement of the constellations by which each of them moves (or appears to move) round the heavens in about twenty-four hours is termed the Diurnal Motion. It will be instructive to trace the same series of movements in some other celestial objects. Take, for example, the remarkable group of small stars known as the Pleiades (Fig. 30). This beautiful group is visible at night throughout the greater part of the year, but it need not be looked for from the middle of April to the middle of June. Winter is the best season for observing it. In November this little group may be detected in the east shortly after sunset. It will then gradually rise until about midnight, when it reaches its greatest height. After passing the highest point the group begins to descend, and gradually gets lower and lower, until it disappears in the west. There is, however, this very remarkable difference between the motion of the Pleiades and that of Ursa Major. The latter could be followed (with a telescope) through a complete revolution (at least in our latitude), but this is not the case with the Pleiades, for they actually disappear in the west, and after some hours reappear again in the east. If, however, the time be noted which elapses between two consecutive returns of the Pleiades to the same position, the interval will be found to be equal to the time of revolution of Ursa Major.

§28. The Equatorial Telescope.—To study the apparent diurnal motion of the heavenly bodies with the accuracy which its great importance demands, we call in the aid of the astronomical instrument called the Equatorial Telescope.

The equatorial in its essential features consists of an astronomical telescope attached at its centre o to an axis A B, called the *polar axis*. The telescope is capable of being

turned round the axis passing through o, while the polar axis is capable of being turned round the two pivots at A



and B. By the combination of these two motions it is possible to direct the telescope towards any required point. To render this instrument serviceable for astronomical purposes, the polar axis must be carefully adjusted in a very special direction. The axes of the two pivots at A and B

being supposed to form parts of the same straight line, the direction of this straight line should point towards a particular point on the surface of the heavens in the immediate vicinity of the Pole Star. This point is the *Pole*. It will be subsequently explained how this adjustment of the polar axis of the equatorial is to be made, but for our present purpose we may assume that the adjustment is perfect.

The equatorial telescope may be employed in watching the apparent diurnal movement of a star by the following method. Point the telescope to the star shortly after the star has made its appearance in the east. Then *clamp* the telescope so that it can no longer turn around the axis through o, but leaving the polar axis carrying the telescope along with it quite free to turn round the pivots A, B. It will then be found that by the simple operation of turning the polar axis round at the proper speed, the star can be kept continually in the field of view, although the magnitude of the angle B o Q made by the telescope with the polar axis remains unaltered.

To appreciate the full significance of the lesson which the observations with this instrument teaches, let the telescope be directed to any other star. For this purpose it must be unclamped, and after the angle $B \circ Q$ has been suitably altered, so as to enable the star to be seen in the

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

telescope, the instrument is again clamped, so as to preserve the angle BOQ from alteration. It is again found that the movement of the star can be followed by simply rotating the polar axis, which carries with it the telescope.

If the polar axis of the equatorial be not directed exactly to the correct point of the heavens, it will not be found that the diurnal motion of a star can be followed by simply rotating the polar axis without altering the angle $B \circ Q$. It is to be particularly observed that when the polar axis is set correctly for one star it is set correctly for all stars. The Pole Star itself is no exception to this law. If the instrument be directed to the Pole Star, we shall now see what the coarser methods of observing failed to indicate —namely, that the Pole Star is itself in motion. In this case the telescope, when adjusted on the Pole Star, is inclined at a very small angle to the polar axis. The angle $B \circ Q$ is, in fact, only about $1^{\circ} 15'$.

The other extremity of the polar axis may be supposed to be prolonged downwards through the earth, and it will then point towards a point in the southern heavens which is called the South Pole. It happens, unfortunately for astronomers in the Southern hemisphere, that there is no bright star situated so conveniently near to the South Pole as the

Pole Star is to the North Pole. These two poles of the celestial sphere are of the very utmost importance in astronomy.

The polar axis of an equatorial having been correctly adjusted, we shall suppose that its direction is EP (Fig. 48); and if P be the point in which the direction of the polar axis intersects the celestial sphere, then P is the pole of the heavens.



Let s denote the position of a star on the celestial sphere which is being observed, then the axis of the telescope

69

has the direction ES. We have seen that the diurnal motion of the star can be followed by rotating the telescope about the polar axis without at the same time making any alteration in the angle at which the telescope is inclined to the polar axis. Let us, then, suppose that the star, in consequence of the diurnal motion, assumes the various positions L, M, N, &c. When the star has arrived at L, the telescope must have moved into such a position that its axis is directed along the line EL. It follows that the angle between the polar axis and the telescope must be equal to the angle PEL. But this angle has remained unchanged notwithstanding the diurnal motion, and therefore we see that the angle PES is equal to the angle PEL. The arcs PS and PL on the surface of the celestial sphere subtend at the centre the angles PES and PEL respectively, and as these two angles are equal the two arcs must also be equal. In the same way it is shown that the arcs PM, PN, &c., are each equal to PS.

We have therefore ascertained that the diurnal movement of a star on the celestial sphere is always subject to the condition that the length of the arc drawn from the pole to the star is a constant. From s let fall a perpendicular S H upon the polar axis PE. Then, since the length of ES, the angle PES, and the right angle at H remain unaltered during the motion of the star, it follows that the triangle SEH remains unaltered, and therefore also the lengths of the sides EH and SH. The line SH will therefore be always contained in the plane drawn through the point H perpendicular to the axis EP. The path of the star will always be in this plane, and, as the path is also on the celestial sphere, it follows that the actual path apparently described by the star will be the intersection of a plane and a sphere, and will therefore be a small circle of the sphere. The same process may be applied in the case of other stars, and therefore we are led to the following very important result :--

The apparent diurnal motions of the stars are performed in small circles of the celestial sphere, and all these small circles lie in a system of parallel planes.

The next question to be considered is the rate at which the apparent motion of each star in its small circle is performed. While the star moves from s to L (Fig. 48) the polar axis of the telescope must be rotated through an angle equal to that between the planes PSE and PLE. This angle is equal to that between the two arcs of the celestial sphere P S and P L. Thus while the star moves from S to L the polar axis of the telescope must be turned through an angle S P L. By means of a graduated circle, called the hour circle, of which the plane is perpendicular to the direction of the polar axis, it is easy to measure the angle through which the polar axis has been rotated when the telescope is turned from the position E s to the position E L. The observations are, then, to be made in the following manner :---When the star is at s, and when the telescope is pointed to it, note the position of the polar axis as indicated by the hour circle, and also the time as shown by a clock, and repeat the observation when the star arrives at other points L, M, N of its path. From the readings of the hour circle the angles SPL, LPM, MPN, &c., become known, while from the recorded clock times we have the times of moving from s to L, from L to M, and from M to N, &c. It is, then, found that the time intervals are proportional to the angles through which the polar axis has been rotated. The distance through which the star appears to move is therefore proportional to the time, and hence we have the following important law of the diurnal motion :---

The velocity of a star in the small circle which it appears to describe in the diurnal motion is uniform.

It follows from this law that when the equatorial telescope is to be moved so as to keep the star continually in the field of view, the angular velocity with which the polar axis revolves must be uniform. To secure this condition a clockwork

arrangement is usually attached to the telescope, which carries the polar axis (and of course the telescope attached thereto) with the correct velocity.

So far we have only been considering the movement of a single star in its small circle. We have now to make a comparison between the movements of different stars in their appropriate small circles. This can also be effected by the equatorial, in the following manner :—Point the telescope to a star, and note the time when the star passes the centre of the field; then, without altering the position of the telescope or the polar axis, observe the time when the star, after having performed a complete revolution of the heavens, returns again to the centre of the field. This time will be found to be equal to

23h 56m 4s.

Turn the telescope to any other star, and make the same observation. It will be found that for the second star the time will be precisely the same. This conclusion may be extended to other stars, and thus we are led to the following important result :—

The time occupied by a star in performing its diurnal path around the heavens, is the same for all stars, and is equal to $23^{h} 56^{m} 4^{s}$ of mean solar time.

§ 29. Circles of the Celestial Sphere.—The study of the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens is of such fundamental importance that we shall proceed to illustrate it in a somewhat different manner. It will first be necessary to explain some terms which are of frequent use.

An observer, situated on a ship out of sight of land, observes that the celestial sphere is bounded by a circle, below which he cannot see. This circle, when regarded as one of the great circles of the celestial sphere, is the *horizon*.

If a weight be suspended by a thread from a fixed point, then when the weight is at rest the thread is said to be vertical. That point of the heavens to which the thread

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

points, and which it would appear to reach if it could be prolonged indefinitely upwards, is the *zenith*, while if the direction of the thread be prolonged downwards through the earth it will point to that part of the celestial sphere which is termed the *nadir*.

A straight line which is perpendicular to a vertical line is called a *horizontal line*, and all the straight lines which can be drawn perpendicular to a vertical line through any one point in it lie in one and the same plane, which is called a *horizontal plane*.

If the face of an observer in the northern hemisphere be directed towards that part of the heavens where the sun is at noon, the part of the heavens in front of him is termed the *south*, that behind him is the *north*, while the *east* is on his left hand and the *west* upon his right.

The great circle of the celestial sphere which passes through the poles, and also through the zenith, is called the *meridian*. The meridian may also be defined as the great circle which passes through the north and south points of the horizon, and also through the zenith.



Let the circle H M H' (Fig. 49) represent the horizon, and let z be the zenith, the position of the observer being at o.

73

If M be the south point of the horizon, then the great circle z M is a portion of the *meridian*. Let $E \subset E'$ represent the apparent path of a star. Let H be the point on the horizon vertically beneath the star in the position E. This point will be found by drawing a great circle from z to E, and producing it on to cut the horizon at II. The angle EOH is termed the *altitude* of the star at E. It is observed that the altitude of the star increases until the star arrives at the meridian Z M, which it crosses at the point c. When the star has this position its altitude is the arc c M, or the angle which that arc subtends at o. The altitude at this point is a maximum, and the star is said to culminate. After passing the meridian the star moves along the arc C E', and begins to descend towards the horizon; when it reaches the point E' its altitude is only E' O H', and this altitude gradually diminishes until the star crosses the horizon, or sets, as it is called. In the case here represented only a portion of the path of the star is visible, the remainder lying below the plane of the horizon. It is important to observe that the path of the star is symmetrical with respect to the meridian. It cuts the meridian at right angles, and at equal distances on either side of the meridian the altitudes are equal.

§ 30. Circumpolar Stars.—In this case we have supposed the star is placed at a considerable distance from the pole; let us now take the case of a star situated comparatively near the pole. For this purpose the observer must turn his face towards the north (Fig. 50). P is the pole, z is the zenith, and the great circle z P is the meridian which cuts the horizon at the northern point. Let E denote the position of the star, which is in the act of crossing the meridian by the diurnal motion. Then in the course of a little less than twelve hours the star will have moved round to the position E' below the pole. We have already seen that the diurnal motion is performed subject to the condition that the distance from the pole to the star measured along the

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

celestial sphere remains constant; we must therefore have the arc P E equal to the arc P E'. The star, when at the position E, is said to be at its *upper culmination*, while at E' the star is at its *lower culmination*.



A star which is situated at a distance from the pole which is less than the altitude of the pole above the horizon will be visible both at upper and lower culmination. Such a star will never either rise or set, and is said to be a circumpolar star. If, however, the distance from the star to the pole be exactly equal to the altitude of the pole, then the star at its lower culmination will just graze the horizon. If the polar distance of the star exceed the altitude of the pole, then the star will pass below the horizon, and its lower culmination will not be visible.

It should be mentioned that the phenomena we have described are to a certain small extent modified by the influence of atmospheric refraction. For example, the arc P E (Fig. 50) is not precisely equal to the arc P E', because the refraction has acted unequally upon the position of the star in both cases. We can, however, allow for the amount of refraction, and thus we can ascertain what the distances would be if we could see them without the modification which the atmosphere produces. When these allowances

75

have been made it is found that the laws enunciated are accurately fulfilled.

§ 31. *The Globe.*—We are now in a position to form a distinct idea of the varied series of phenomena which the diurnal rotation brings before us.

Let us take a globe A (Fig. 51), which is movable about an axis PQ. The axis PQ is supported by a ring M M, which is sustained by another ring H H. We may conceive the



axis PQ to be adjusted so that it is parallel to the line joining the two poles of the heavens or to the polar axis of the equatorial (Fig. 47). The plane H H is to be made horizontal. Then the globe may be taken to represent the celestial sphere, of which P, Q are the two poles, the ring нн indicates the horizon, while M M, which passes through the zenith and the pole P, is the meridian. On this globe we may suppose that the principal stars of the celestial sphere are marked in their proper relative places.

and thus we have a miniature representation of the celestial sphere.

The globe is supposed to be free to turn around the polar axis P Q, and we may assume that by suitable clockwork such a motion is given to the globe as will turn it round its axis in 23^{h} 56^{m} 4^{*}, while the velocity with which the motion is effected is uniform. It is easy to show that under these circumstances all the phenomena of the diurnal motion will be faithfully represented.

A star will, in consequence of this motion, gradually

ascend above the circle H H and thus *rise*; it will ascend farther and farther until it reaches the meridian M M, or *culminates*; after culmination it will gradually descend again to H H, or *set*. So also a circumpolar star will be seen to pass the meridian M M at its upper culmination, and then in $11^{h} 58^{m} 2^{s}$ afterwards to cross the meridian at the lower culmination.

It will further be seen that the laws of the diurnal motion will be preserved in this miniature representation of the phenomenon. In the first place, the distance from the pole to the star measured along the surface of the sphere remains unaltered; the velocity of each star in its path is also obviously uniform, while the time of a complete revolution is the same for each star as the time of revolution of the sphere—i.e. $23^{h} 5^{6m} 4^{s}$.

We are, therefore, led to the important conclusion that the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens is performed in the same manner as if all the stars were actually stuck on the surface of a hollow sphere which revolved uniformly about an axis passing through its two poles in 23^{h} 56^m 4".

The period of revolution of the celestial sphere is practically a constant quantity. It is, therefore, very natural to adopt this period as the unit of time, and it is known as the *sidereal day*. The sidereal day is subdivided into twentyfour hours, each hour into sixty minutes, and each minute into sixty seconds. An interval of time expressed in these fractional parts of a sidereal day is termed *sidereal time*. The difference, amounting to nearly four minutes, by which the sidereal day falls short of the ordinary day of civil reckoning will be subsequently explained.

The polar axis of an equatorial telescope prolonged both ways to the celestial sphere cannot be distinguished from the axis about which the celestial sphere rotates. This is true wherever the equatorial be situated. It would, therefore, appear that the axis of the celestial sphere may be considered to pass through any point on the surface of

the earth. It is, however, obvious that there is really but one axis about which the celestial sphere is turning. The only way by which these discrepancies can be reconciled is by supposing that the dimensions of the earth are exceedingly small in comparison with the distance at which the stars are situated. The axis must actually occupy some definite position in the earth ; but the earth is, comparatively speaking, so small that the observer must always be so near to the axis that the observed phenomena are the same as they would be were he actually situated on the axis. The polar axes of the equatorials all over the earth are absolutely parallel to each other, and they all intersect the celestial sphere in two small regions which for all practical purposes are undistinguishable from the north and south poles of the celestial sphere.

§ 32. Rotation of the Earth .- The apparent diurnal motion of the heavens might be no doubt explained by the hypothesis that the celestial bodies were all attached to the interior surface of a colossal globe of which the earth was the centre, and that this globe revolved around one of its diameters once every sidereal day. There is, however, another method of explaining the diurnal motion, which demands our careful attention. The earth itself is, as we have seen, an isolated body in the universe, and is attached to no other object. What is there, then, to prevent the earth being actually in motion? It may be said that we, as dwellers on the earth, do not feel the motion ; but this is no argument. If you are seated in the cabin of a canal boat and have no opportunity of looking out, you cannot tell whether you are in motion or not. If you can look out and see the trees and other objects on the bank apparently moving, you will at once refer the motion to yourself and acknowledge the trees are at rest. In this way if the earth were moving equably it would be impossible for us to detect that motion except by comparing our positions with the positions of external objects. It is, therefore, reasonable

78

for us to ask whether we can obtain from external objects any information of the state of the earth as to rest or motion.

A solid body like the earth may have either of two different descriptions of movement, or, more generally, it may possess both forms of movement combined together. The body may have a movement of translation, by which all points of the body are at any moment moving in parallel lines, or it may have a movement of rotation about an axis, or it may have, and generally has, the movements of translation and of rotation combined. Setting aside for the present the question of translation, let us enquire whether the earth possesses the features that we might expect if it were actually rotating about an axis. The celestial bodies are the only external objects by the observation of which we are to see whether the earth has any movement of rotation or not. If the earth be rotating in the opposite direction about the axis of the sphere, and with the uniform velocity which would complete the revolution in a sidereal day, then the apparent diurnal motion would be completely explained. We have, therefore, two solutions of the problem of the ap-parent diurnal motion. We may suppose that the celestial sphere is revolving around the earth from east to west, while the earth is at rest; or we may suppose that the celestial sphere is at rest, and that the earth is revolving from west to east, and thus produces the apparent motion.

Which of these two solutions are we to adopt? We shall see hereafter that many of the celestial bodies are vastly larger than the earth, that they are situated at very great distances from the earth, and that some of these distances are very much greater than others. It therefore seems much more reasonable to suppose that the earth, which is a comparatively small body, should be in a condition of rotation rather than that the vast fabric of the universe should all be moving round the earth once every day. Astronomers, therefore, now universally admit that the true explanation of the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens is to be found in the fact that the earth revolves on its axis once every sidereal day from west to east.

§33. Shape of the Earth connected with its Rotation.—A remarkable confirmation of this conclusion is presented by the shape of the earth itself. Conceive a straight line drawn from the centre of the earth towards the north pole of the celestial sphere. This straight line will cut the surface of the earth in a point which is called the north pole of the By means of the surveying operations which have earth. determined the figure of the earth we are enabled to ascertain the point on the earth's surface which is the extremity of the shorter axis of the ellipse by the rotation of which the figure of the earth can be produced. It will be noticed that the apparent diurnal motion has nothing whatever to do with the surveying operations, so that it is exceedingly remarkable to find that the north pole of the earth is close to, if not actually identical with, the extremity of the shorter axis of the ellipse. Thus we see that the axis about which the earth actually rotates coincides with the shortest diameter of the earth. In this we have another very remarkable proof of the reality of the earth's rotation. It is generally believed that at some very remote epoch the earth was in a fluid or a semifluid condition. At the time that this was so the effect of the centrifugal force would make the earth bulge out at the equator and flatten it down at the poles, and thus impart to it the shape of an ellipsoid of revolution. The shortest axis of this ellipsoid would coincide with the axis of rotation. We are thus led to the belief that the observed coincidence between the axis of the apparent diurnal rotation of the celestial sphere and the shortest axis of the earth is a proof that the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens is really due to the rotation of the earth on its axis.

§ 34. Definition of Terms.—To enable us to define the positions of the various celestial bodies on the surface

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

of the celestial sphere it is necessary to imagine certain circles traced upon its surface, with reference to which the positions of the bodies may be specified. There is considerable convenience in choosing these circles, so as to be symmetrical with reference to the diameter of the celestial sphere about which the apparent diurnal motion is performed.

Let the point c be the centre of the celestial sphere (Fig. 52), and let the diameter PQ be the axis of the apparent diurnal rotation. The earth may be regarded as

a particle of exceedingly small magnitude situated at the centre c. If through the point c a plane be drawn which is perpendicular to the polar axis PQ, this plane will cut the surface of the celestial sphere in a great circle E E, which is called the *celestial equator*. The celestial equator divides the celestial sphere into two equal portions, in which the poles P and Q



occupy two symmetrical positions. The hemisphere which contains the north pole is called the *northern hemisphere*, while that which contains the south pole is termed the *southern hemisphere*.

If the celestial sphere be cut by a plane s s which is parallel to the plane of the equator, the section of the celestial sphere is termed a *parallel*. The circles T T and R R are also *parallels*. The effect of the diurnal motion is to make each star move around the celestial sphere in the parallel which is appropriate to it. A plane passing through the axis P Q cuts the celestial sphere in a circle, called a *declination circle*.

By the aid of the various parallels and declination circles drawn upon the surface of the celestial sphere we are

G

enabled to specify the position of a star on that sphere with the greatest facility. It must be understood that we are not now speaking of the absolute position of the body in space; for this it would be necessary to know the actual distance of the body from the earth, of which in the great majority of cases we are entirely ignorant. What we now refer to is merely the *direction* in space where the body is situated.

Let A be a star on the celestial sphere. Then we are to draw a great circle from the pole through A, and this great



circle cuts the equator at the point M. Taking an arbitrary point o upon the equator from which to make our measurements, it is clear that the declination circle PAQ will be completely defined if we know the length of the arc OM. If the distance of A from M be also known, then we have a complete method of specifying the position of the point A.

Instead of the arcs O M and A M we may speak of the angles which those arcs subtend at the centre of the celestial sphere, and thus we may define the position of the star A by two angles as well as by their corresponding arcs.

The arc OM, which is the distance between the declination circle passing through A and the standard point O, is called the *right ascension* of the star A; while the arc AM, which is the arc of the great circle drawn through the star perpendicular to the equator, is termed the *declination*.

The position of a star is thus completely specified when its right ascension and declination are known. It is only necessary to mark off a distance O M upon the equator equal to the given right ascension, and take off the distance MA equal to the given declination. In this way

82

the position of the star can be expressed in a manner which is quite unambiguous. If the right ascension of the star only were given, then all we would know as to its position is that it is somewhere on the declination circle passing through A. If the declination of the star only were given, then all that would be known is that the star lies somewhere on the 'parallel' passing through A.

The point o, from which the right ascensions of the stars are measured, might be chosen anywhere. For example, we might agree that o should be defined to be the point in which a declination circle, passing through a certain conspicuous star, such as Vega or Sirius, cuts the equator. Astronomers have, however, adopted a different method, and the point o is defined by the motion of the sun, in a manner which will be explained subsequently.

Right ascensions are measured from west to east, and increase from 0° to 360° . Declinations are measured above and below the equator, and are taken as positive when the star lies between the equator and the north pole, and negative when the star lies between the equator and the south pole.

§ 35. The Transit Instrument.—For the determination of the right ascensions of the celestial bodies use is made

of the *transit instrument*, the principle of which will now be described.

A telescope A B (Fig. 54) is fixed to an axis x y, the direction of which is at right angles to the line of collimation of the telescope. The shapes of the axis and the telescope are so designed as to secure as much rigidity as possible. At the extremities of the axis are two cylindrical microx y, which tur



cylindrical pivots x, y, which turn in suitable bearings sup-

ported on solid masonry piers L, M. The transit instrument is capable of turning around these pivots, but it can have no other motion. As the axis of AB is at right angles to the line of pivots x v, the movement of the line A B must obviously be limited to the plane perpendicular to x v.

In the focus of the object glass of the telescope are stretched a number of parallel spider-lines A B, &c. (Fig. 55).



These lines are placed perpendicular to the axis x y, and therefore parallel to the plane in which the movements of the axis of the telescope are confined. These lines are at equal distances apart, and their number depends upon the particular kind of observations which are made. It is sometimes as low as five, and sometimes as great as twenty-five. The vertical

system of spider lines is also crossed at right angles by one or more horizontal spider-lines C D.

When the telescope is pointed to a star the image of the star is formed in the same plane which contains the system of spider lines. Consequently, when the eye piece has been adjusted, the star and the spider lines will be clearly seen together. If the telescope be at rest the apparent diurnal motion of the celestial sphere carries the star across the spider lines one after the other; and the instrument must be adjusted so that the path of each star across the field will be parallel to the horizontal wire c D, and therefore parallel to the axis about which the telescope revolves.

We have now to explain how the transit instrument is to be adjusted and placed in its proper position. If the point o, which is the intersection of the horizontal line with the central vertical line, be joined by an imaginary line to the centre of the object glass, this line is the axis of collimation. The pivots at x and y, about which the instrument rotates, are presumed to be perfectly cylindrical, and the diameters of these cylinders are presumed to be equal and their axes to be collinear. The straight line which contains the axes of the two pivots is the axis around which the telescope revolves.

The first adjustment of the transit instrument which we shall consider is purely instrumental. It consists in fixing the telescope to the axis in such a manner that the line of collimation shall be exactly perpendicular to the axis of revolution. The astronomical instrument maker can, no doubt, effect this adjustment to a high degree of approximation so far as mere mechanical measurement is concerned. But the delicacy of astronomical observations renders errors visible which would entirely elude a less subtle method of detecting them, and it is therefore necessary to point out how we can avoid the effects of the errors into which inaccuracies in the construction of the instrument would lead us. This is attained not so much by endeavouring to correct these errors as by ascertaining their amount and then allowing for the effects which they produce on the various observations. For the present, however, we shall merely point out how the errors can be detected.

§36. Error of Collimation.—The amount by which the

angle between the line of collimation and the axis of revolution exceeds or falls short of a right angle is termed the *error* of collimation.

Let A B (Fig. 56) be the axis of revolution and x y be the line of collimation. (We have A purposely greatly exaggerated the error of collimation in the figure.) Suppose that the tele-



scope is pointed to a fixed object, suitably placed at a considerable distance, and that a certain point P of the object

is noted, of which the image in the field of view is coincident with the point o in the field of view (Fig. 55). Let the telescope be lifted from its bearings and replaced with the pivots reversed-i.e. the pivot which was previously at the west is now at the east, and vice versâ-and let the telescope be again directed to the distant mark. If the axis of collimation be at right angles to the axis of revolution, then it is plain that the line of collimation would still point in the direction which it had before the reversal. But if the two axes are not at right angles, then the line of collimation, instead of having the direction x y, will have the direction x' y'. This will be made manifest at once from the circumstance that the point of the distant body, which had its image at o before the reversal, will not have its image at that point after the reversal. This points out the method of correcting the error of collimation. It is to be remembered that the line of collimation is found by joining o to the centre of the object glass. The system of spider lines are set in a frame, and this frame is attached to the tube of the telescope by adjusting screws. If the frame be moved by these screws, then the point o is moved, and thus the line of collimation is moved. To get rid of the error of collimation it is therefore necessary to move the frame containing the system of wires until the point on the distant mark whose image coincides with the point o is the same after the reversal of the instrument as it was before.

When this adjustment has been made the line of collimation moves in a plane, and this plane cuts the celestial sphere in a *great circle*. It is the object of the subsequent adjustments to arrange that this great circle shall be *coincident with the meridian*.

§ 37. Error of Level.—The second adjustment which we shall describe consists in placing the axis of revolution in a horizontal plane. This is most directly accomplished by means of the spirit level A A (Fig 57), which is suspended between the arms B, B. These arms are provided with hooks

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

at the extremities, by means of which the level can be suspended from the pivots in the manner shown in Fig. 58. The



bubble in the level assumes a certain position, which can be read off by means of a graduated scale. The level is then to be *reversed*, so that the hook which previously hung from one pivot now hangs from the other, and after allowing a few moments for the bubble to come to rest its position is to be read off again. If the two pivots be accurately horizontal, then the position of the bubble will be the same after the reversal as it was before; but if there be the slightest departure of the bubble from its original position the axis of revolution is not horizontal. This can, so far as its grosser portion is concerned, be corrected by raising or lowering one of the bearings of the pivots until the bubble retains the position after reversal which it had before.

We may here mention that by the help of the level we can also scrutinise another detail in which the instrument may be more or less imperfect. We have assumed that the diameters of the two cylinders which constitute the pivots are equal, but this may not be (indeed, generally is not) strictly true. Their equality may be tested in the following way:—Adjust the pivots so that they appear horizontal; then reverse the axis of the telescope, so as to interchange

the pivots in the bearings, just as was done in the case of determining the collimation. If the pivots be equal the level will show that they are horizontal after the reversal; but if the two pivots, being horizontal before the reversal, are found not to be horizontal after the reversal, then the pivots are not of the same size. By these observations the actual difference (if any) between the diameters of the two pivots can be ascertained, and the effect of this difference upon the observations can be calculated and allowed for.

By the adjustment of the bearings the greater portion of the error of level can be actually corrected, but it is not possible to make this adjustment as perfectly as the accuracy of astronomical observations requires. Even if the axis were once made level, it would not remain so. Slight changes in the temperature, and possibly changes in the earth itself, alter to a minute extent the piers upon which the bearings rest. The level is thus continually undergoing small fluctuations. The effect of these may be obviated by determining, in the course of the observations each night, the actual inclination of the axis, and then correcting the observations by calculation, so as to reduce them to what they would have been, had the telescope been perfectly adjusted.

§ 38. Error of Azimuth.—By correcting the telescope for the error of collimation it is provided that the line of collimation moves in a plane perpendicular to the axis of revolution. The effect of making the line of pivots horizontal is to cause this plane to pass exactly through the zenith. All the planes passing through the zenith are vertical planes, and the last adjustment of the transit instrument consists in so placing it that the particular vertical plane to which the movements of the telescope are restricted shall coincide with the meridian of the place. As the meridian is defined to be the great circle which passes through the zenith and the celestial pole, the adjustment now under consideration will be secured if the plane in which the telescope moves be caused to pass through the
celestial pole. For this purpose we have to resort to observations of the stars, as it is by this means alone that this adjustment can be effected.

It is easy to arrange the bearings so that the axes of the pivots shall lie approximately in its correct position due east or west, or, what is the same thing, that the central spider line shall be very close to the meridian. If the Pole Star were actually at the pole, then it would only be necessary to move one of the bearings horizontally northwards or southwards until the Pole Star, when viewed through the telescope, would be seen to coincide with the central wire. As the Pole Star is really a degree and a half from the pole, the operation is not quite so simple. Still the Pole Star (like every other star) crosses the meridian twice in every revolution of the celestial sphere. The times at which the Pole Star is on the meridian can be found from the 'Nautical Almanac,' and the approximate adjustment of the transit instrument may be made by making the observation at that time, and then moving the bearing until the Pole Star is seen to coincide with the central wire.

Though this adjustment is susceptible of a considerable degree of accuracy, yet, just as in the case of the level, it is hopeless to attempt to place the instrument absolutely correctly. Nor, if it were once placed in the true position, would it be safe to calculate that that position would be retained. It is therefore necessary, on each occasion when the telescope is used for accurate observations, to have the means of computing to what extent the axis of revolution really departs from the true east and west position. This is called the *error of azimuth*.

For the determination of the error of azimuth we require the assistance of a good clock, and we choose for the observations some conspicuous star situated near the pole, preferably the Pole Star itself. Let A C B D (Fig. 59) represent the apparent path of the Pole Star in its diurnal motion, the point o, which is the centre of the circle A C B D, being of

course the celestial pole. The meridian passes through the pole, and we may denote that small portion of the meridian



which lies within the circle by the line A B. The telescope having been approximately adjusted, the vertical circle which the axis of collimation describes will pass very close to the pole, and we may denote that portion of it which lies within the path of the Pole Star by the line E F. The diameter of the small circle A B is less than three degrees of arc on the

celestial sphere, and consequently we may often regard A B and E F as straight lines, though of course they are really parts of great circles. In a period of one sidereal day the Pole Star moves completely round the circle A C B D; this motion is described uniformly, so that the time taken to move from A through c to B is equal to the time taken to move from B through D to A. The telescope being directed to the Pole Star, the time is to be noted when the star crosses the central wire of the system; the star is then at the point E. After an interval somewhat exceeding half a sidereal day the Pole Star will again be visible at its lower culmination, and will again cross the central wire of the system at the point F. The instant of crossing is to be again noted by the help of the clock. In another period of somewhat less than half a sidereal day, the star will have returned to upper culmination, and the clock time is to be taken again when the star is at E. If the telescope were correctly adjusted, so that the vertical circle described by the line of collimation coincided with A B, then the time interval between the observed upper and lower culmination would be equal to that between the lower and upper; if, however, the telescope be not perfectly adjusted, there will be a discrepancy between these two intervals, arising from the fact that the arc E C F

90

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

exceeds the arc E D F. It is thus easy to see that by these observations we have the means of ascertaining if the telescope be correctly placed, while if the telescope be not



correctly placed the observations give the means of ascertaining how much that place is erroneous.

The general appearance of the transit instrument as it is actually used in astronomical observations is shown in Fig. 60. The bearings of the pivots repose on massive masonry

piers c, c. In order to ensure that the form of the pivots shall not be injured by friction, the weight of the telescope and axis is to a large extent relieved by the counterpoises D, D, which, by means of hooks B, B furnished with friction rollers, support the instrument. Just sufficient pressure is left on the pivots to ensure that they shall work steadily in the bearings. When the telescope is used at night it is necessary to make provision for illuminating the spider lines, which would otherwise be invisible against the dark sky. There are various methods for effecting this illumination. In the instrument shown in Fig. 60 this is effected by a lamp, which is attached to the centre of the tube of the telescope. By suitable arrangement of reflectors the light from the lamp is brought down to the spider lines, which are close to the eye piece.

§ 39. Determination of Right Ascensions.—We have explained (§ 34) that the right ascension of a star is the arc on celestial equator between the declination circle passing through the star and a standard point upon the equator. We may also define the right ascension to be the angle between the declination circle drawn through the star and the declination circle drawn to the standard point of the equator. If, therefore, we can measure the angle between these two declination circles we have ascertained the right ascension.

The transit instrument and its auxiliary, the astronomical clock, enable us to measure the angle be seen the two declination circles. When the instrument is properly adjusted, the central wire of the system may be regarded as lying in the plane of the meridian; so that the moment the image of a star is seen to cross the central wire, at that moment the star is actually crossing the meridian. For the present we may suppose that the right ascensions are to be measured from the declination circle which passes through some bright star—for example, Sirius—and to illustrate the method of observing we shall show how to find the right ascension of some other star—for example, Vega.

The first thing to be done is to regulate the clock for

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

accurate sidereal time. For this purpose the transit instrument is to be directed to any convenient star, and the time shown by the clock is to be noted when the star passes across the central wire of the instrument. At its next transit the same star is to be observed, and if the clock be going correctly it will show an interval of twenty four hours (sidereal time) between the two observations. This interval is equivalent to 23^h 56^m 4^s of ordinary civil time. It is almost immaterial which star be chosen for this purpose; the interval will be the same for all stars, so that if the clock be correct for one star it will be correct for all. It is, however, convenient to choose a star which is at a considerable distance from the pole, for then its apparent motion is rapid and the moment of its transit across the central wire can be ascertained with accuracy. On the other hand, it is not convenient to choose a star which is low down at the time of transit. Observations made near the horizon are always liable to be influenced more or less by atmospheric disturbances, which do not affect to so great an extent the observations which are made when the star has a suitable altitude.

If the astronomical clock do not show the correct interval of twenty-four hours of sidereal time between the two transits of the star, then the length of the pendulum must be altered by screwing the bob up if the clock be going too slow, or screwing the bob down if the clock be going too fast. It will not be possible to make the clock go with perfect accuracy, but it is made to go very nearly right, and the amount which it gains or loses in twenty-four hours is determined. This error is called the *rate* of the clock, and the test of a good clock is sought not in the amount of the rate, but in the uniformity with which the rate is maintained. When the rate has been determined, then the time indicated by the clock at which a transit or other phenomenon occurs can be corrected, so as to render the observation as serviceable as if the clock had actually no rate.

When Sirius approaches the meridian the transit instru-

ment is to be pointed so that the star enters the field of view, and at the moment when it crosses the central wire the time shown by the clock is to be noted. After a time Vega approaches the meridian; the instrument is to be pointed at Vega, and again the clock time is to be noted as Vega crosses the central wire. The interval between the two observations (corrected, if necessary, for the rate of the clock) will give the difference between the right ascension of Sirius and of Vega; for when Sirius was on the meridian the declination circle passing through Sirius must have coincided with the meridian, and when Vega was on the meridian the declination circle passing through Vega must have coincided with the meridian. The celestial sphere turns round in twenty-four hours; therefore a declination circle is carried through an angle of 360° in twenty-four hours, so that in one hour the declination circle moves through an angle of 15°. If we turn the time interval between the two observations into an equivalent angle at the rate of 15° for each hour, we obtain the angle between the declination circle passing through Sirius and that through Vega, which is of course the difference between the right ascensions of the two stars.

It is generally more convenient to speak of right ascensions as time intervals in hours, minutes, and seconds than to turn them into arcs expressed by degrees, minutes, and seconds. The reduction from one form of expression to the other is exceedingly simple. Thus we have—

15° correspond to 1 hour.15' ,, 1 minute.15'' ,, 1 second.

We have still to explain the true origin from which astronomers measure right ascensions. We may anticipate a future chapter so far as to state that there is on the celestial sphere a certain important point called the *vernal* equinox. Like every other point on the heavens, the vernal equinox crosses the meridian in virtue of the diurnal motion. The astronomical clock should be so adjusted that its hands should indicate $o^h o^m o^s$ at the time when the vernal equinox is crossing the meridian. If the clock do this correctly, then the time shown by the clock when any star crosses the meridian (allowing, if necessary, for the error of the clock) is the right ascension of that star.

We shall suppose that the astronomical clock is going correctly, so that it has neither error nor rate, and also that the transit instrument has been correctly adjusted. The following is then the actual course of observations by which the right ascension of a star or any other celestial body is to be found :—

The observer first points the telescope at the right elevation. To enable this to be done a small graduated circle (shown in Fig. 60) is attached to the side of the telescope near the eye piece. The arm carrying the index is furnished with a spirit level, and when the index is directed to the proper point on the graduated circle the telescope must be moved until the level is horizontal. In this way the observer is able to ensure that the object which he is anxious to observe shall enter the field of the telescope. When the telescope has been set the observer takes his seat at the eye end, and after a glance at the clock commences to count the seconds. If the star be towards the south, then the image of the star enters the field of view, in virtue of the diurnal motion, at the right hand of the observer, and approaches the vertical wires. Let x (Fig. 55) be the position which the star has at one tick of the clock; then by the next tick it will have passed across the wire and be found at y. The experienced transit observer will rapidly estimate to a fraction of a second the instant when the star coincided with the wire, and he will note this down. Without taking his eye from the telescope he repeats this operation for each of the five wires, and he takes the mean of the five observations for the time of transit over the middle wire. By this

method the time of transit is ascertained with much greater accuracy than would be practicable with the single observation at the central wire.

In some of the more important observatories this method of taking transits has been replaced by what is called the chronographic method. The chronograph consists essentially of a drum covered with paper, which revolves uniformly by clockwork. A galvanic arrangement is provided, by which, when the observer depresses a key, a small mark is made upon the drum. At each vibration of the pendulum of the clock a current is made, which also impresses a mark upon the drum. When the mark made by the observer is compared with the marks made by the clock, the time at which the observer depressed the key can be determined. In taking a transit by this method the observer depresses the key each time the star crosses one of the vertical There is thus a record made upon the drum, wires. from which the true time of transit is ascertained.

§ 40. The Meridian Circle.—To know the position of a celestial body we require to determine both its right ascension and *declination*. We have already explained how the former element is found, and it remains to show how the latter is

FIG. 61.



ascertained. For this purpose we may employ the instrument known as the *meridian circle* or *transit circle*, which, indeed, comprises the properties of a transit instrument with those additional arrangements which fit it for the measurement of declinations.

The principle of the meridian circle is shown in Fig.61. It consists primarily of a transit instrument A B of the same construction as that already described. Attached to the

• axis, near each of the pivots, is a graduated circle, the plane of which is perpendicular to the axis of the pivots. One of

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

97

these circles is shown in the figure. These circles are fixed to the axis, so that they revolve when the telescope revolves. The circles are divided primarily into degrees, the numbers of which run continuously from o° to 359°. Each of these degrees is again subdivided, the extent of the graduation being limited by the size of the circles. In some of the best instruments each degree is subdivided into thirty equal parts, of which each part is therefore equivalent to two minutes. The reading of these circles is effected by microscopes, which, as explained in § 13, subdivide the circle, so that the actual readings are recorded in tenths of a second of arc. It is usual to have four microscopes, at distances of 90°, for the purpose of eliminating the errors arising from the eccentricity of the circle, as well as certain other errors in the graduations themselves, which are of a periodic nature. For the purposes of the general description now about to be given it will be convenient to speak of the reading of the circles as if it could be simply performed by a pointer x y (Fig. 61).

As the diurnal motion of the heavens carries the star which is being observed across the field of view, the observer moves the telescope so that the line in which the star moves shall coincide with the horizontal wire c D, Fig. 55. To enable this adjustment to be made with the delicacy which is necessary, a slow motion is arranged, by which the telescope can be moved by a handle in the hand of the observer. After the star has passed out of the field the position of the graduated circle is read off, and the result is recorded to the tenth of a second.

A single observation of this kind is, however, insufficient to give us any information as to the declination of the star. We must, further, have the means of pointing the telescope to some accurately defined spot on the celestial sphere. Take, for example, some standard star—suppose Sirius—then, if we observe Sirius in the way we have described, and if we then observe some other star of which the place is to be

н

determined, the difference between the readings of the circle in the two cases will be the difference between the declinations of the two objects.

In making these calculations due allowance has to be made for the effect of refraction upon the position of each star. This is done as follows :--When Sirius is observed, the star is elevated by refraction to an amount which is nearly proportional to the tangent of the zenith distance. After the position of the circle has been read off we correct the result to what it would have been had the telescope been *depressed* into the position in which it would have been directed had we been able to view Sirius without the intervention of the atmosphere. In the same way the reading of the circle when the telescope has been directed to the unknown star must also be corrected for refraction, and it is the difference between these two corrected readings which is to be taken as the difference between the declinations of the two stars.

It may, perhaps, be urged that the zenith distance of the object, on which the refraction depends, cannot be known until the observations have been completely corrected, and that thus it would seem that we required to know the zenith distance before we could find the zenith distance. In reply it may be observed that, as the refraction is comparatively small, a small error in the zenith distance will only affect the refraction computed therefrom to an inappreciable extent. We may, therefore, first compute an approximate value of the zenith distance by omitting the refractions. By this approximate value of the zenith distance of the unknown object its refraction may be computed with sufficient accuracy, and then the difference of declinations can be found with precision.

In the list of standard stars published in the 'Nautical Almanac' every year their declinations are recorded for each day. By comparison with the declination of these standard stars we have thus the means of deducing the

99

declinations of unknown objects from the observations made by the meridian circle. It is, however, necessary to point out how the declinations of these standard stars are themselves obtained.

If the pole were a visible point on the celestial sphere, then we could turn the telescope to that point, read off the circle, then turn the telescope on the star and read off the circle again. The difference between these two readings—corrected, of course, for refraction—would be the distance on the celestial sphere from the pole to the star, or what is called the *polar distance* of the star. If this polar distance be subtracted from 90° the difference is the distance from the star to the celestial equator, or the declination of the star.

There is, however, no star or other mark accurately placed at the pole, and therefore we have to resort to an indirect operation for ascertaining declinations. If we were enabled to point the telescope accurately to the zenith, and then read off the circles, and if we then turned the telescope to the star and read off again, the difference between the two readings corrected for refraction would be the zenith distance of the star. There is, however, no point at the zenith, just as there was no point situated at the pole, and therefore we have no means of knowing when the telescope is pointed precisely towards the zenith. We have, however, the means of pointing the telescope accurately towards the nadir, and thus we have the means of ascertaining the distance on the celestial sphere from the unknown object to the nadir ; then, by subtracting this from 180°, we find the zenith distance of the object.

The surface of a liquid at rest is a horizontal plane. A perpendicular to such a plane points upwards towards the zenith and downwards towards the nadir.

A basin of mercury is placed underneath the meridian circle, so that when the telescope is pointed with its object glass vertically downwards the mercury is immediately underneath it. A lamp being placed near the eye end of

the telescope, a simple contrivance enables a little light to be admitted, which illuminates the spider lines. On looking through the eye piece we are then able to see the spider lines, and also their reflections from the surface of the mercury.

To show how by this means we are enabled to point the telescope so that its line of collimation is accurately directed towards the nadir, we refer to Fig. 62. Let A B be



the line of collimation of the telescope, P Q the surface of the mercury, and the cross at o the intersection of the horizontal and vertical spider-lines. The rays of the light, diverging from the illuminated wires at 0, pass down the tube of the telescope to the object glass at B. As a beam of parallel rays falling from a star on the exterior of the object glass are turned into a beam of rays which converge to the point 0, so a beam of rays diverging from 0 and falling on the

object glass emerge therefrom as a parallel beam, and in this condition they encounter the surface of the mercury. By the laws of reflection of light the beam, after reflection from the mercury, will travel in a direction parallel to the line R c, so that R c and B R make equal angles with the vertical line R T perpendicular to the surface of the mercury. In the circumstances which are represented in the figure it is obvious that the beam, after reflection, will pass away from the telescope altogether, so that the reflected image of the wires will not be seen.

But suppose that the telescope were placed with its axis of collimation exceedingly close to the vertical line R T; then the beam of rays, after reflection from the mercury, would in a great part fall again upon the object glass of the telescope:

100

The Diurnal Motion of the Heavens.

These rays were parallel before reflection from the mercury, and they will remain parallel after reflection; they are therefore in a fit condition for coming to a focus again at the object glass of the telescope. On looking into the telescope we then see the system of spider lines, and together with them the images produced by the reflection. The images are somewhat fainter than the original lines, but are still quite distinct. By means of the slow motion of the telescope the relative position of the lines and reflected images can be altered, so that the horizontal line can be made absolutely coincident with its reflected image. When this is so, the axis of collimation of the telescope must be directed perpendicularly to the surface of the mercury, and must therefore point exactly to the nadir. While the telescope has this position, the circle is to be read off, and the reading thus obtained gives a standard by which the zenith distances of other stars may be ascertained. The difference between the position of the circle when the telescope is directed to the nadir, and the position when the telescope is directed to the star, is the apparent nadir distance of the star. The supplement of this distance, duly corrected for the effect of refraction, is the true zenith distance of the star at the place of observation.

§ 41. Latitude.—The zenith distance of a star is not so convenient as the declination when the position of the star is to be recorded in a catalogue. This arises from the circumstance that although the zenith distance of the same star is almost absolutely constant at the same spot on the earth's surface, yet, when the locality of the observer is changed, it generally happens that the zenith distance of the stars is also changed. This does not arise from any change in the positions of the stars themselves on the celestial sphere, but it is due to the fact that the position of the zenith on the surface of the celestial sphere depends upon the position of the observer on the earth. It is, consequently, more convenient to refer the position of the stars to points fixed on the celestial sphere than to those which depend upon the place from which they are to be observed. It is, therefore, necessary to explain how, when the zenith distance of the star has been measured, the declination of the star can be computed.

Let c represent the centre of the earth, and let R be a point on the earth's surface. Through c draw a line C P pointing to the pole of the heavens. This line cuts the surface of the earth in the two points which are known as the north and south poles respectively. Through the centre of the earth



draw a plane C H perpendicular to the axis C P. This plane cuts the surface of the earth in a great circle which is known as the *earth's equator*. If we regard the earth as spherical, then a line drawn from any point R on the surface of the earth to the earth's centre makes with the equator an angle R C H, which is the *latitude* of the point R.

An observer stationed at the point R will see the pole of the celestial sphere in the direction R Q, which is parallel to C P.

A tangent plane drawn through the point R perpendicular to the radius C R forms the plane of the horizon. This plane cuts the plane containing C R and C P in the line R A. This line R A is in fact the direction of the north point of the horizon drawn from the situation of the observer. The angle between R Q and R A is the *altitude of the pole above the horizon*.

Since the revolution of the celestial sphere does not alter the position of the pole, the altitude of the pole is a constant at any point on the earth. The actual altitude of the Pole Star varies through a range of nearly three degrees.

102

As the angle A R C is a right angle, it is obvious that the two angles Q R A and H R C taken together must also make up a right angle. In the triangle H R C, since H R is parallel to C P, the angle at H must be a right angle, and therefore the sum of the angles H R C and H C R is also a right angle. It follows that the angle Q R A is equal to the angle R C H, but Q R A is the altitude of the pole and R C H is the latitude, whence we have the following very important proposition :—

The altitude of the pole above the horizon is equal to the latitude of the place.

§ 42. Phenomena dependent on Change of Place.—The proposition just proved will explain the series of changes in the appearance of the heavens which are presented to a traveller who makes a considerable change in his latitude. We may, for the sake of illustration, suppose that the traveller starts from the North Pole and travels along a meridian to the South Pole. When at the North Pole, it is plain that his latitude is 90°, and, therefore, the altitude of the celestial pole will be 90°. In this case the celestial pole will be actually coincident with his zenith. The horizon of the observer being at all points 90° from the celestial pole in his zenith, must in this case be the celestial equator. The diurnal motion of the celestial sphere will cause the stars to revolve in small circles which are all parallel to the horizon. The phenomena of the rising or the setting of the stars would be unknown. Each star preserves constantly the same altitude above the horizon. A star on the horizon would never ascend higher, and a star below the horizon would never cross the horizon and come into view. The observer would thus never have an opportunity of beholding more than onehalf of the celestial sphere, so that (assuming the stars to be uniformly spread over the celestial sphere) about half the celestial objects in the universe would never be seen by him.

If the traveller shift his station to a latitude which, for

103

the sake of illustration, we shall suppose to be 45° , the apparent movements of the celestial sphere will have become much modified. The pole of the heavens will no longer be at the zenith, but will have an altitude equal to the latitude, i.e. 45° . If a star be situated within a distance of 45° from the pole, then this star will obviously never be able to pass below the horizon, for the polar distance of the star is constant, and the nearest point of the horizon to the pole is 45° . All stars within 45° from the pole will, therefore, be continually above the horizon, and they are called *Circumpolar Stars*.

In the case of a star visible at the latitude of 45° which had a polar distance exceeding 45° the phenomena of rising and setting will be observed. If the polar distance, for example, be 60°, i.e. if the declination of the star be 30°, then the star will set by crossing below the horizon at one of the two points of the horizon which are at a distance of 60° from the pole. A star situated on the equator and, therefore, at a distance of 90° from the pole, will cross the horizon at rising and setting in those two diametrically opposite points which are 90° from the pole.

Stars having south declination will also be seen, provided that their declination does not exceed a certain amount. This amount may be determined as follows. As the nearest point of the horizon to the pole is at a distance of 45° , so the most distant point of the horizon from the pole is 180° $-45^{\circ}=135^{\circ}$. It therefore follows that if a star is to be visible at that latitude, the polar distance of the star must not exceed 135° , or, what is the same thing, the south declination must not exceed 45° .

Continuing his journey, we may suppose that the traveller reaches the equator, where, his latitude being zero, the pole has sunk to the horizon. All the stars rise and set perpendicularly to the horizon, and the phenomena of circumpolar stars are unknown. In this position all the stars in both hemispheres will be visible, and each one will continue above the horizon for half a sidereal day. There is thus a remarkable contrast between the appearance of the celestial sphere as seen from the equator and as seen from either of the poles. In the former case all the celestial sphere is above the horizon in the course of a revolution. In the latter only one-half the celestial sphere is ever visible.

As the traveller enters the southern hemisphere of the earth, the north pole of the heavens sinks below his horizon and the south pole begins to rise. There does not happen to be any bright star indicating the position of the south pole in the convenient way in which the Pole Star shows the position of the pole in the northern hemisphere. At the south latitude 45° the phenomena already described at the latitude of 45° north are presented in an inverse manner, the circumpolar stars being now the stars which surround the south pole.

It will be useful to state more fully the conditions under which a star or other celestial object is visible at a given

latitude at one or both of its culminations. Since the elevation of the pole is equal to the latitude of the place, it follows that the angular distance between the zenith and the pole is equal to the complement of the latitude of the place, or to what is called the *colatitude*.



In Fig. 64 let P denote the pole, z the zenith, and s, s' the positions of a star at upper and lower culminations respectively. Let

 ϕ be the latitude, and δ the declination of the star.

Then the zenith distance of the star at its lower culmination is z s', but

$$Z S' = Z P + P S'$$

= 90- ϕ +90- δ =180- ϕ - δ .

In order that a star may be visible, it is necessary that its zenith distance at the time of observation be less than

90°. Hence for a star to be visible at the moment of lower culmination we must have

or $\delta = \frac{180 - \phi - \delta < 90^{\circ}}{\delta > 90^{\circ} - \phi}$.

We thus have the following result :--

When the north declination of a star exceeds the colatitude, both culminations of the star will take place above the horizon of a station in the northern hemisphere.

Next suppose a southern star at τ . The zenith distance of the star is $z \tau$, but

$$Z T = P T - P Z$$

= 90 + δ - (90 - ϕ)
= δ + ϕ .

Hence when the upper culmination of the star takes place above the horizon, we must have

or $\delta + \phi < 90^{\circ}$ $\delta < 90 - \phi$;

and we have the following result :--

When the south declination of a star is less than the colatitude, then the upper culmination of the star will take place above the horizon of a station in the northern hemisphere.

For example, the latitude of Greenwich is $51^{\circ} 28' 38'' \cdot 4$, and therefore the colatitude is $38^{\circ} 31' 21'' \cdot 6$. The upper culmination of every star in the northern hemisphere will be seen at Greenwich, as well as of all the southern stars whose south declination does not exceed $-38^{\circ} 31' 21'' \cdot 6$.

All stars are visible at both culminations at Greenwich of which the declinations exceed $+38^{\circ} 31' 21'' \cdot 6$.

These statements require some modification on account of the effects of refraction, but this need not be considered at present. It should also be observed that, owing to the loss of light incurred when the rays have to pass through a great thickness of the atmosphere, stars cannot be seen when close to the horizon. The actual rising or setting of a star is perhaps never witnessed.

§ 43. Determination of Latitude.—Since the altitude of the pole above the horizon is equal to the latitude, the angular distance from the zenith to the pole is equal to the complement of the latitude, or the *colatitude*. By suitable observations made with the meridian circle the colatitude can be ascertained, and thence the latitude is known. We select for this purpose a circumpolar star, preferably the Pole Star itself, and we determine the zenith distance of the star at its upper and then at its lower culmination.

Let z s, z s' (Fig. 64) be the zenith distances at upper and lower culmination respectively, then if refraction be omitted, we have

$z = \frac{1}{2} (z + z s'),$

or the colatitude is the arithmetic mean of the zenith distances of a circumpolar star at upper and lower culmination.

Owing, however, to the effect of refraction, the actual distances Z P found by different circumpolar stars will be seen to vary. A star, for example, which, at its lower culmination, passed close to the horizon would be largely affected by refraction, while at its upper culmination it would be but very slightly affected ; the Pole Star would be affected very nearly equally on both occasions. We have seen that the refractions of stars are nearly proportional to the tangent of the zenith distance. They are therefore equal to this tangent multiplied by a certain coefficient, termed the coefficient of refraction, which has to be determined by observation. It is by observations of the kind we are describing that the coefficient of refraction is to be determined. This coefficient must have such a value that, when the corresponding corrections are applied to the zenith distances, the resulting values of the colatitude shall be all equal from whatever star they have been derived. In this way the

colatitude has been ascertained, and therefore also the latitude.

The declination of a star can now be readily found. For the declination δ is the complement of the polar distance, which is equal to the zenith distance at upper culmination added to the colatitude. If z be the zenith distance, and λ the latitude, we have therefore

$$90^{\circ} - \delta = z + 90^{\circ} - \lambda$$
$$\delta = \lambda - z$$
$$\delta + z = \lambda$$

whence

or

We therefore see that-

The zenith distance of a star at upper culmination added to its declination is equal to the latitude, and also it can be easily shown that—

The zenith distance of a star at lower culmination added to its declination is equal to the supplement of the latitude.

§ 44. Numerical Illustration.—In applying the correction for refractions, it is necessary to take account of the temperature of the air (t degrees Fahr.), as well as its barometric pressure (h inches of mercury). The following formula, which has been derived from the observations we have just described, fairly represents the refractions except in the neighbourhood of the horizon.

Refraction (in seconds)= $992''\frac{h}{460+t}$ tan z.

For the sake of illustration we shall show how the latitude of Dunsink Observatory and the declination of the star γ Draconis have been ascertained by observations of this star at its upper and lower culmination respectively.

At the lower culmination the nadir distance of the star was observed, and appeared to be

104° 56′ 46″.8.

The zenith distance is therefore

75° 3' 13"'2.

108

Twelve hours later γ Draconis crossed the meridian again, and its south zenith distance on that occasion was found to be

We have first to correct these apparent zenith distances for the effect of refraction, so as to bring them to what they would have been had the atmosphere been absent. It appears that at the time of lower culmination the temperature was 40° , and the barometric pressure is 29''-86. Under these circumstances the refraction is

Thé corrected value of the zenith distance at the lower culmination is

The observations at the upper culmination are also affected to a small extent by refraction, the amount being

1″°9.

Applying this correction, we have for the zenith distance at upper culmination the result

$$180 - \lambda = 75^{\circ} \quad 6' \quad 55'' \cdot 1 + \delta$$

$$\lambda = 1^{\circ} \quad 53' \quad 20' \cdot 5 + \delta,$$

whence we deduce

 $\lambda = 53^{\circ} \quad 23' \quad 12'' \cdot 7$ $\delta = 51^{\circ} \quad 29' \quad 52'' \cdot 2.$

By repeating these observations, using different stars for the purpose, the latitude can be determined with great accuracy, and in the case of those observatories which are furnished with large meridian instruments the latitude is known accurately to a small fraction of a second.

§45. Star Catalogues .- The results of the observations on the positions of the stars are given in what are called Star Catalogues. These catalogues contain the appropriate designation of the star, either by a special name, or more usually by the constellation in which it lies, together with the number or letter by which the star is distinguished. Then follow columns containing the right ascensions and declinations of the stars, and it is usual to place the stars in the catalogue in the order of their right ascensions. Such catalogues of stars are of the greatest service in astronomy. When once the place of a star has been accurately found and recorded, that place becomes a faithful point of reference from which other measurements can be made. For example, suppose a comet appears which cannot be conveniently observed by a meridian instrument; it is only necessary to select some star in the neighbourhood of the comet, whose place is known by the catalogue. It is then easy to determine the difference of the right ascensions and declinations of the star and the comet by means of an equatorial telescope, which can be directed to whatever point of the heavens the comet may happen to be situated. These differences being determined, the absolute position of the comet is deduced, because the right ascension and declination of the star is known from the catalogue.

CHAPTER IV.

APPARENT MOTION OF THE SUN.

§ 46. Annual Motion of the Sun.—Passing from the consideration of the apparent diurnal rotation of the heavens, which is, as we have seen, due to the rotation of the earth upon its axis, we must proceed to consider the movements of those heavenly bodies which do not occupy a fixed position with regard to the stars and constellations. Among this class of bodies we can have no hesitation in regarding the sun as the most important as far as the inhabitants of the earth are concerned. We shall therefore begin by studying the nature of those movements on the surface of the celestial sphere which are performed by the sun.

It is, in the first place, obvious that the sun partakes in the apparent diurnal rotation of the heavens which we have already considered. Like the stars, the sun rises in the east, and having run its course across the heavens, it descends again to set towards the west. When, however, we study the apparent movements of the sun with more attention, we see that the motions of the sun are not simply due to the apparent motion of the entire celestial sphere, but that the sun actually possesses a certain motion on the sphere, which is blended with the apparent motion which it also receives by the diurnal rotation of the sphere. The actual movements of the sun are, however, so slow when compared with the movement arising from the apparent diurnal motion, that it requires some little attention to perceive them. The difficulty would be greatly lessened if it were possible to see the stars on the celestial sphere in the neighbourhood of the sun. No doubt it is possible by the aid of telescopes to see bright stars in the daylight, but these stars to be visible

must be at least 15° from the sun, otherwise the overwhelming brilliancy of the sun would obliterate the feeble rays from the star. There are, however, several indirect methods by which we can easily see that the circumstances attending the apparent motions of the sun are different from those connected with the stars. In the first place, if we note carefully the point of the heavens at which a star first becomes visible after it has risen, and if we compare this position with the surrounding terrestrial objects, we shall find that the point of the horizon at which the star actually rises is constant, so that it remains from one end of the year to the other at the same distance from the north or south point. This constancy of the point of rising is an obvious consequence of the diurnal motion of the heavens, when it is remembered that the star remains in a constant position on the surface of the celestial sphere. The rising of the sun is, however, very different from that of a star ; for the point of the horizon at which the sun rises changes periodically. This is seen by noting the position of the sun at sunrise with regard to the adjacent terrestrial objects. If the distance of the point at which the sun rises from the southern point of the horizon be measured, it is found that this distance fluctuates between certain limits, and the period in which these changes are accomplished is one year. Precisely similar phenomena are witnessed at sunset; the point at which the sun descends below the horizon oscillates between certain limits. This is seen in a very striking manner at certain places on the western coasts of Europe, where the sun appears to set in the sea, and where the point at which it sets is easily defined by the aid of islands which are seen near the horizon.

There is also another point in which the movement of the sun is seen to differ from the apparent movements which the stars make in virtue of the diurnal rotation of the heavens. When a star culminates, the altitude of that star is a maximum. It is easily perceived that this maximum altitude of a fixed star is always the same, whatever may be the season of the year at which it is observed. This is, however, not the case with the sun. At or near noon each day the sun culminates, but everybody knows that the altitude which the sun has at culmination is far from constant. In winter the sun is low when it culminates, while in summer it is high. In the arctic regions this feature of the sun's movements is so strongly marked that in midwinter the sun actually does not come above the horizon at all, while in midsummer the sun never sets. These points of contrast between the movements of the sun and those of the stars can only be explained by the supposition that the position of the sun on the celestial sphere is constantly changing. We can confirm this by observing the heavens in the west as soon after sunset as the decreasing twilight has rendered the stars visible. If we note the positions of the stars with respect to the terrestrial objects, and if the observation be repeated after an interval of two or three weeks, it is seen that the stars which at the first observation were considerably above the western horizon at sunset have now closely approached it. Again repeating the observation after the same interval, it will be found that the stars already mentioned are now actually below the horizon at sunset. After the lapse of some time it will be found that these stars, having ceased to be visible in the west after sunset, will become visible in the east shortly before sunrise. These phenomena can only be explained by the supposition that the sun is in motion on the surface of the celestial sphere.

It will be instructive for the learner to observe these phenomena for himself, and it may facilitate his doing so if we describe the appearance presented by a certain region of the heavens at the different seasons. We shall again take for the purpose of illustration the well-known group in

I

the constellation Taurus known as the Pleiades (\S 27), and we shall describe the position occupied by the Pleiades at eleven o'clock P.M. on the nights of January I, March I, May I, July I, September I, November I. If the weather be unfavourable on any of the nights we have named, then the next fine night *at the same hour* will answer instead. We shall also assume that the observer is situated in the northern hemisphere, at about the latitude of the British Islands.

At eleven P.M. on January I, the Pleiades may be found high up in the sky, a little to the west of south. At the same hour on the evening of the 1st of March they will be visible rather low in the west. On the 1st of May they are not visible; on the 1st of July they are not visible. On the 1st of September they are visible low in the east. On the 1st of November they are high in the heavens, a little to the east of south. On the next 1st of January they will be in the same position as they were on the last, and in the course of the following year the same cycle of changes which we have described will be repeated.

It would therefore seem as if the Pleiades were at first gradually moving from the east to the west, that then they dipped below the horizon, and after a short time reappeared again in the east, so as to regain at the end of a year the position they had at the beginning.

The reader will, it is hoped, not confuse the *annual* motion which we are here considering with the apparent diurnal motion previously discussed (\$27). In the apparent diurnal motion the phenomenon is observed by looking out at different hours on the same night. To observe the apparent annual motion we must look at the same hour on different nights separated by a considerable interval.

We shall now endeavour to explain the apparent annual motion of the Pleiades. We have chosen the hour at Apparent Motion of the Sun. 115

eleven P.M. because by this time in the summer months the heavens are sufficiently dark to enable the stars to be visible. We might, however, have traced the same series of changes had we adopted any other constant hour, and used a telescope whenever the daylight would not permit the stars to be seen without its aid. It will also be understood that the Pleiades have merely been taken as an illustration : many other objects would have answered equally well.

We must first ask, What does eleven P.M. really mean? It will be necessary to anticipate to some trivial extent certain points to be more fully discussed hereafter ; we may, however, assume that the sun crosses the meridian each day very near noon, and that, consequently, at eleven P.M. the sun has passed the meridian about eleven hours previously. We find that at eleven P.M. on March I, the Pleiades are farther from the meridian than they were at eleven P.M. on January 1. But as the sun is nearly at the same distance from the meridian in the two cases, it follows that the Pleiades must be nearer to the sun on March 1 than on January 1. It is, therefore, plain that the relative positions of the sun and the Pleiades on the surface of the heavens must be changing. By comparing the sun in the same way with any other stars, it is found that the stars to the east of the sun appear to be approaching the sun. But we have already noticed that the positions of the stars inter se do not change, and therefore we are obliged to come to one of two conclusions : either, firstly, that all the stars in the universe have an annual motion from east to west relatively to the sun, which remains fixed, or that the sun has an apparent annual motion from west to east, while the stars remain fixed. We cannot hesitate to choose the latter alternative as the correct one. The apparent diurnal motion we have already explained to be due to the real rotation of

the earth upon its axis. Were this rotation to cease, the stars would appear immovable, but the apparent annual motion of the sun among the stars would remain as before. In the discussions of the motion of the sun, which we shall now commence, we shall only consider the apparent annual motion of the sun among the stars, for, of course, that part of the sun's apparent motion which is due to the diurnal motion which it shares in common with the stars needs no other explanation than the rotation of the earth upon its axis.

§ 47. Observations of the Sun.—We shall first consider how the position of the sun may be ascertained by observations made with instruments capable of giving numerical results. The instruments first to be described are of exceedingly simple construction, and though the results they give are only to be regarded as coarse approximations, yet in the early epochs of astronomy it was by their means that acquaintance was made with the apparent movements of the sun, and that the laws of those movements were discovered.

The most simple instrument of the class now under consideration depends upon the shadow which the sun casts on a fixed object. As the sun moves, the shadow moves in a corresponding manner, and by the measurements of the position of the shadow on the plane the movements of the sun can be deduced. This instrument in its simplest form is represented in Fig. 65. A rod A B is fixed vertically, so as to project from the horizontal plane A B c. On this plane a line A c is traced north and south for the purpose of facilitating the measurements.

The shadow of the vertical rod A B occupies the position A D on the horizontal plane, and by the position and length of this shadow the position of the sun can be ascertained. The plane B A D which contains the rod and its shadow is 'vertical, and the intersection of this plane with the hori-

Apparent Motion of the Sun. 117

zontal plane makes with the line A c an angle equal to the azimuth of the sun. Thus the azimuth of the sun can be ascertained by measurement of the angle B A c. It is also easy to obtain the zenith distance of the sun. For the line joining the end of the shadow D to the top B of the rod must point directly towards the sun, and as the line A B points directly towards the zenith, it follows that the angle Z B S or A B D must be equal to the zenith distance of the sun. The angle B A D being a right angle, it follows that the

FIG. 65.

B

tangent of the zenith distance is equal to $A D \div A B$, and, as A B is constant, it appears that the tangent of the zenith distance of the sun is proportional to the length of the shadow. At noon each day the zenith distance of the sun is at its smallest value, and the shadow of the sun, which is then on the line AC, has its smallest value also. It is, however, found that the length of the shadow at noon is different on different days. In midsummer the length of the shadow has its minimum value, and as the season advances so does the length of the shadow, until in midwinter the length attains its greatest value. This shows that the zenith distance of the sun at noon has its least value in midsummer, and its greatest value in midwinter, and by measurement of the length of the shadow and dividing the result by the length of the vertical rod, the tangent of the zenith distance can be computed.

The accuracy of this instrument is greatly impaired by the circumstance that the apparent size of the sun is so considerable. Each luminous point on the surface of the sun emits rays of light, and will throw a shadow of the vertical rod. We thus see not a single shadow, but a great number of shadows partially superposed on each other. This composite nature of the shadow entails a certain degree of ambiguity and want of sharpness in the shadow itself, and is a very serious obstacle in the way of exact measurement. So crude an instrument as this is therefore quite unsuited for the exact measurements required in modern astronomy.

It has been sought in some degree to rectify the uncertainty attendant upon measurements of the shadow by using



a modified form of this instrument, shown in (Fig. 66). The vertical rod A B (Fig. 66) carries at its summit a plate B

pierced with a small orifice. The shadow of this plate is thrown on the horizontal plane, and the measurements are made from the point A to the centre of the illuminated disk (Fig. 67). In this way it is found that measures can be made with a considerably greater degree of precision than is attainable when the extremity of the shadow of the rod is the point whose distance is to be measured. It might be thought that if the orifice were made exceedingly small the point in the centre of the shadow of the disk would also be an exceedingly small point; but in this case again the apparent size of the sun intervenes, and prevents the point in the centre of the shadow from being so sharply defined, as it would be were the apparent diameter of the sun smaller than it actually is. All instruments of this class are, however, replaced for accurate work by suitably mounted telescopes, which enable measurements to be made that are incomparably more accurate than anything which can be attempted by the contrivances we have just been describing.

Before the indications of these accurate instruments can make known to us the precise place of the sun, it is necessary to have some acquaintance with the apparent shape and form of the sun's disk as we see it. We shall therefore first turn our attention to this subject. The disk of the sun on the celestial sphere appears, at first sight, to be a circle : it is, however, necessary to make the shape of this disk the subject of exact measurement, in order to show how far the disk is exactly circular, and also to determine the angular value of its radius.

§48. The Micrometer.—The instrument which is used in these investigations is generally attached to the eye end of a telescope, and is employed for measuring the dimensions of the images of the objects in the field of view. There are several different contrivances used : we shall describe the form which is known as the *parallel wire micrometer*.

In the focus of the object glass of the telescope are

stretched three spider lines. Two of these are parallel, and the third is at right angles to them. Each of the parallel wires is mounted in a frame, by which it is enabled to be moved parallel to itself in the plane of the focus, and the movements of the two wires are completely independent. The movement of each wire is effected by a screw called the micrometer screw, and it is on the accuracy with which this screw is made that the utility of the micrometer mainly depends. If the screw be strictly uniform in the shape of its thread, then the distance through which the wire is moved is always proportional to the number of whole revolutions and parts of a revolution which the screw has made. Means are provided by which the entire number of revolutions by which the screw has been turned from a standard position can be ascertained. The head of the screw is also usually subdivided into hundredths, and by estimation each hundredth part may be subdivided into tenths. It is therefore possible to record the distance through which the screw has been moved from a standard position accurately to the thousandth part of a revolution. The single wire which intersects the movable wires passes through the centre of the field of view. It has no motion of the kind we have just described, but, in common with the two movable wires and the eye piece, is capable of being rotated around the axis of the telescope. There is also a graduated circle attached to the micrometer, so as to enable the angle, through which the micrometer has been rotated, to be ascertained.

To illustrate the method of using this instrument we shall suppose the case of three stars in the field of view, and we shall describe how the relative positions and distances of these stars are to be ascertained. To enable the measurements to be made with facility and accuracy the micrometer ought to be attached to a telescope, which is mounted equatorially (§ 28), and which is furnished with a clock-work apparatus. When the clock is going the stars will remain constantly in the field of view, and the attention of the observer will not be distracted by the diurnal motion, which, if uncompensated by the action of the clock, would entirely preclude any accurate results. The circles which are attached to the telescope are not employed in connection with the measurements now to be described. In fact, in an equatorial instrument, these circles are generally only intended for the purpose of pointing the telescope in the right direction, so as to bring the right object into the field of view, but they are not generally intended to serve any purpose in the making of exact measurements.

For convenience we speak of the three stars as A, B, C, and we propose to measure the sides and angles of the triangle A B C. The triangle which we see is of course not the actual triangle, which has the three stars at its vertices : it is only the projection of this triangle on the surface of the celestial sphere which is amenable to our measurements. Thus the triangle with which we are dealing is really a spherical triangle, but as the field of view is always only a very minute portion of the entire celestial sphere, it follows that the portion of the celestial sphere visible in the telescope differs but little from an exact plane, and may generally for our present purposes be simply regarded as a plane. We have then to measure the angles subtended by the sides of the plane triangle, A B C, by the aid of the micrometer. We proceed as follows. Turn the micrometer, and of course its wire system also, so as to place the single wire approximately parallel to one of the sides of the triangle (suppose A B); then, by means of the slow movements which are provided for the purpose of slightly changing the telescope in right ascension and declination, bring the telescope into such a position that the stars A and B are close to the single wire. Then adjust the single wire so that it passes symmetrically across the two stars. The two movable wires are next to be placed so that one of the wires is exactly on A and the other on B. These adjustments having been carefully

made, the micrometer is to be read off. First the position of the graduated circle is to be noted, and then the positions of the screws, as well as the indications of their graduated heads, are to be recorded. Without altering the position of the single wire, the two movable wires are to be brought into coincidence, and the indications of the screws are again to be read off. It is thus known how far each of the wires has been moved from the point where they coincide to the position which it occupied when it was coincident with the star, and thus the entire distance between the two stars, *expressed in terms of the revolutions of the screws*, is ascertained.

The whole micrometer is now turned so as to bring the single wire across A C, and the operations already described are to be repeated. The difference between the readings of the graduated circle in the two positions of the micrometer will give the angle B A C, while the readings of the screws gives the distances A B and A C, so that the whole triangle is ascertained. The results may be verified by actually measuring the distance between B and C, and comparing it with the distance ascertained by calculation.

It remains, however, to show how the apparent distances thus found, which are expressed by the arbitrary value of the revolutions of the screw, can be evaluated in angular magnitude. In fact, what we want actually to find is the angle which two stars—A and B, for example—subtend at the eye. It therefore becomes necessary to ascertain the number of seconds of arc which are equivalent to one revolution of the screw. We may put the question now under consideration in a somewhat different manner. Suppose that two stars on the surface of the celestial sphere were separated by a distance equal to one revolution of the micrometer screw : it is required to find how many seconds of arc these stars subtend at the eye. There are different methods by which this important problem may be solved. One of these methods depends upon direct measurement, while others are founded upon astronomical observations. We shall proceed to describe the two processes, taking first the method of direct measurement.

By means of an accurately graduated scale we can measure the actual distance in inches or fractions of an inch at which the wires are separated. We are then to measure the distance from the wires to the optical centre of the object glass, which is in fact the focal length of the object glass. The angle subtended at the object glass by the two points in which the fixed wire intersects the movable wires, is obviously equal to the angle which the stars subtend at the centre of the object glass when the stars are situated at the two points of intersection. But the angle subtended by the two points at the centre of the object glass is easily found when the measurements have been made. The distance between the points divided by the focal length gives the circular measure of the angle, and multiplying the circular measure by 206265, we obtain the required angle in seconds. The difficulty attending this method of determining the value of the micrometer screw principally arises from the uncertainty with which the measurements of the distance of the wires and the focal length of the telescope are affected. Any error in the determination of the distance between the wires will produce a corresponding error in the determination of the value of the screw. This source of uncertainty may to a large extent be obviated by separating the wires as far as possible, so that the distance between them shall be fifty or more revolutions of the screw. Any error that is made in the measurement of the distance will thus be subdivided by fifty, when we come to the evaluation of a single revolution, and thus when two stars, tolerably near together, are measured, the error arising from using the incorrect value of the micrometer screw will be intrinsically insignificant. The measurement of the focal length of the telescope is also a matter of some difficulty. It is no doubt easy to define the focal length to be the distance from the optical centre of the object glass to the point where all the constituents of a beam of parallel light falling on the object glass converge. The optical centre, however, is not a point which is accessible to measuring instruments, nor is it easy to find at what point of the thickness of the object glass it is really situated. There is thus no insignificant degree of uncertainty about the actual focal length of a telescope. These causes render the method of determining the value of the micrometer screw by direct measurement to be of comparatively little reliability and it is seldom resorted to in practice.

With a telescope which is really well and steadily mounted, the value of the micrometer screw is most conveniently and accurately found by observations of the Pole Star. For this purpose a clock or chronometer accurately rated to sidereal time is required. The micrometer is first to be adjusted so that the Pole Star, when carried by the diurnal motion, will run accurately along the fixed wire. The two movable wires are then to be placed at a convenient distance apart, and the telescope is to be firmly clamped in right ascension. The time shown by the clock is to be noted when the Pole Star crosses the first of the wires, and then when it crosses the second wire. The difference between the two recorded times, corrected, if necessary, for the rate of the clock, gives the time occupied by the Pole Star in passing from one of these wires to the other. This determination should be repeated several times, and the mean of the several results chosen as the final value. It is desirable, for the reasons already explained, to have the interval between the two wires as great as possible. On the other hand, the success of the method depends entirely upon the telescope remaining absolutely fixed while the Pole Star is crossing the interval between the two wires. If this dis-' tance be too great, some uncertainty is apt to arise, particularly when the telescope is not mounted in a very
substantial manner. When the observations are finished, it is usually necessary to make some trifling allowance on account of refraction. What we actually observe is the time in which the image of the Pole Star as deranged by refraction moves over a certain distance. What we want to find is the time in which the image of the Pole Star would have moved over the same distance had there been no such thing as atmospheric refraction. We do not enter into the details of the method by which the small correction which the time is to receive is computed ; indeed, except for the more refined branches of observational astronomy, such accuracy is not required. We shall therefore proceed at once to show how from the observed time which the Pole Star requires to move over a certain number of revolutions the value of a single revolution is to be ascertained.

We have already explained how the declination of a celestial object is determined, and we may therefore assume that the declination of the Pole Star is known. The Nautical Almanac contains the apparent declination of the Pole Star for every day in the year, and we cannot do better than adopt the declination there given as the basis of the calculations which are to be made. From the declination the polar distance can be ascertained, and in this way the dimensions of the small circle on the celestial sphere, in which the Pole Star moves in its diurnal motion, is known. The Pole Star performs its journey round this circle in twenty-four hours of sidereal time. As we know the length of the circumference of the small circle expressed in degrees, minutes, and seconds, we are able to ascertain the number of degrees, minutes, and seconds that the Pole Star travels in a given time. We are, therefore, able to find the distance in minutes and seconds which the Pole Star will accomplish in the time which it takes to move from one of the wires to the next, and thus we are enabled to ascertain the minutes and seconds of arc on a great circle by which those two wires are separated. As we also know the number of revolutions of the micrometer screw which corresponds to the interval between the two wires, we are enabled to deduce the value of one revolution.

A word should be added as to the grounds on which the Pole Star is chosen for the purpose of these observations. There is really no particular virtue about the Pole Star for this purpose, save that it is near the pole. Any other star tolerably near the pole would do equally well. For some reasons, indeed, a star a little more removed from the pole than the Pole Star is perhaps preferable. But a star very far from the pole moves across the field of view so rapidly that a small error in the determination of the time of transit from one wire to the other will be a comparatively large fraction of the total time observed ; by choosing a star close to the pole, the interval of time will be greatly increased, and the effect of an error in the time will have a much less appreciable effect.

The Pole Star is attended by a small companion star, which is visible in a small telescope and is a very conspicuous object in a large telescope. In the latter case it is often preferable to use the companion of the Pole Star, rather than the Pole Star itself. The moment when the small star crosses the wire can generally be more accurately noted than in the case of the larger star. In this case, of course, it is the declination of the companion which must be employed in the calculations instead of that of the Pole Star.

Another method of finding the values of the micrometer screw has also much to recommend it. This consists of measuring with the micrometer the distance of a pair of stars which have already been measured by a previous observer, and of which the distance is therefore known. For the application of this method, certain stars in the Pleiades (§ 27) are especially suitable. The arcual distances of several of the stars in this group have been accurately determined by Bessel, and by these the value of the revolution of a screw in any micrometer can be readily ascertained. It is only necessary to choose two of Bessel's stars which are at a suitable distance for the particular micrometer under consideration, and then to measure them with the micrometer. By comparing this with Bessel's measures, the number of seconds of an arc of the great circle on the celestial sphere which corresponds to a certain number of revolutions and parts of a revolution of the micrometer screw is determined. From this of course it is easy to ascertain the number of seconds of arc in one revolution.

The value of a revolution of the micrometer screw depends, as we have seen, upon the focal length of the telescope as well as upon the actual linear distance between the wires. The latter generally, and perhaps the former also, depends to a certain extent upon the temperature of the instrument. The effect of temperature upon the value of the screw can only be obtained empirically. This is done by using any of the methods we have described, and noting the temperature by a thermometer. These observations being repeated at different seasons, when the temperature is different, enable a table to be formed which will give the value of the screw for any given temperature.

§ 49. Apparent Diameter of the Sun.—Having determined the value of the micrometer screw, we shall now show how it may be used for measuring the apparent dimensions of the sun on the surface of the celestial sphere. For this purpose the micrometer should be fitted to a telescope mounted equatorially, and the telescope being directed to the sun, should be clamped in declination while the clock movement carries it on in right ascension. The single wire should be placed on that diameter of the sun which it is proposed to measure, and the movable wires are then to be brought so as to form tangents to the disk of the sun at the extremities of the diameter. It is important in placing the wires to observe that the screws are always

turned in the same direction; for example, it is a convenient rule whenever a wire is being set to draw it up to its final position, so that its motion is towards the head of the screw. If this precaution be not taken, irregularities will be apt to arise from the presence of a certain degree of shake in the bearings of the screw. After the two movable wires have been set, the positions of the screws are to be read off and recorded. We may then proceed in two different methods : the simplest method is that already described, of bringing the two wires into coincidence, reading off the screws, and then calculating the distance in arc through which each screw has been moved. This method is, however, very inferior to the somewhat more tedious plan of reversing the wires, i.e. moving the wire from one extremity of the diameter to the other, and vice versâ, and then reading the screws again. It can be proved that the required length of the diameter is equal to the arithmetical mean of the distances over which the two screws have been moved. After a measure has been made in this way, it is desirable to turn the entire micrometer through 180°, and then repeat the measurement and adopt the mean of the results as the final value of the sun's diameter. If increased accuracy be desired, it is well to make two settings of the wires before reversal, then two settings after reversal, and the same series again after the micrometer has been turned through 180°.

§ 50. The Heliometer.—Another instrument which may be used for the purpose of measuring the diameter of the sun is termed the heliometer, and is founded upon quite a different principle to the parallel wire micrometer. The heliometer is essentially an achromatic telescope, the object glass of which is divided in half by a plane which passes through the optic axis of the telescope. If the disc in Fig. 68 represent the object glass, then the diameter of the disc shown in the figure is the line in which the object glass is cut. One half of the object glass A may be fixed in the tube of the telescope, but the other half B is mounted in a frame so that it moves in a plane perpendicular to the optic axis of the telescope, and is thus enabled to assume the position shown in Fig. 69. The movement of B is effected by a screw which has a graduated head, and also an arrangement by which the entire number of revolutions can be counted. It is thus possible to measure with the greatest accuracy the precise distance through which the half of the object glass has been moved, and it is by the indications of this screw that the measurements are effected by the heliometer.

To understand the action of this instrument let us first suppose the heliometer to be directed to some small celestial object—a fixed star, for example—and let us see what effect the movement of the half of the object glass will have on the appearance of the field of view. When the two halves



of the object glass are together in the position shown in Fig. 68, then the instrument performs exactly in the same way as an ordinary astronomical telescope; but when the two halves of the object glass have the relative positions shown in Fig. 69, the condition of affairs is altered. It is to be remembered that the function of an achromatic object glass is to convey to a single point all the rays of a parallel beam falling upon it near the direction of its optic axis. Every part of the object glass bends the rays which it receives in the required direction. It therefore appears that if parts of the object glass were covered over, the rays which fell on the uncovered portions would be brought to a focus and form an image of the object, which would only differ from the image formed by the whole object glass in being proportionally less brilliant. When, therefore, the object

glass has been divided in the heliometer, each portion of the glass forms its own image of the object. If the two halves are in the position of Fig. 68, the two images made by the two halves are coincident; but when the halves are in the position of Fig. 69, the two images are separated by a distance which depends upon the distance through which one half of the glass has been displaced relatively to the other.

We can now understand how this instrument can be applied to the measurement of the apparent diameter of the sun. When the two halves are coincident, the image of the sun appears single in the telescope, because in this case the

FIG. 70.



separate images formed by the two halves of the object glass are superposed; but when the halves are separated, then the image of the sun produced by the moving half of the object glass withdraws from the other, and a double image of the sun is the result. The observer at the eye piece having the movement of the half object glass under his control, gradually increases the separation, until the two images of the sun s and s' are in contact, and in fact just on the point of being separated. It is

then clear that the image of the sun has moved through a distance equal to D c, or the apparent diameter of the sun. The actual distance through which the half object glass has been moved is ascertained from the screw, and hence we learn the apparent diameter of the sun in terms of the revolutions of the screw.

By this means we are enabled to determine the apparent diameter of the sun in terms of the revolutions of the screw, which moves the half of the object glass. It remains to point out how the actual distance in arc of the celestial sphere, which corresponds to a revolution of this screw, can be ascertained. This may be found by drawing a circle of white on a black ground and observing this circle with the

131

heliometer, when placed at a considerable distance. If the diameter of the circle is known, and also its distance from the telescope, then the angle which a diameter of the circle subtends at the object glass of the telescope can be calculated. By the screw of the heliometer, the white circle can be measured in the same manner we have just described with reference to the sun. We have thus the means of finding the actual value of a revolution of the micrometer screw, and therefore of determining the apparent diameter of the sun.

Either the wire micrometer or the heliometer may be employed to determine the shape of the sun's disk as it appears to us. For this purpose it is only necessary to measure different diameters of the sun drawn through the centre in various directions. It appears from these measures that the lengths of the various diameters are all equal, and therefore the measurements show that the sun's disk is not appreciably distinct from a perfect circle. The diameter of this circle is not always the same. In midwinter its apparent diameter is 32' 36", and in midsummer 31' 32" : these are the extreme limits within which the fluctuations of the diameter are confined. We shall generally not be far wrong in assuming the sun's diameter to have its mean value of 32'. We shall subsequently explain the cause of these apparent fluctuations in the diameter, and it is only necessary here to remark that they are not due to any intrinsic changes in the body of the sun itself.

It is very instructive to contrast the circular figure of the sun with the elliptical figure of the earth. If the sun really presented to us the figure of an ellipse similar to that which is produced by a section of the earth drawn through its polar axis, there would then be a difference of no less than 7'' between the greatest diameter of the sun and the least diameter. A difference so great as this would be readily appreciated in measurements so exact as those which can be made with the heliometer or the parallel wire micrometer. We are therefore led to the conclusion that even if

К 2

the figure of the sun (or rather that projection of the figure which we see in the celestial sphere) be elliptical, its ellipticity must be very much smaller than the ellipticity of the section of the earth which is obtained by a plane drawn through the polar axis.

§ 51. Effect of Refraction.—To observe that the apparent disk of the sun is circular, the opportunity should be chosen when the sun is situated at a considerable altitude above the horizon. When the sun is very low down, the refraction of the atmosphere surrounding the earth distorts the apparent disk of the sun, so that it is no longer circular, but assumes approximately the form of an ellipse with its smaller axis vertical. It is not difficult to explain how refraction causes this curious transformation in the apparent shape of the sun. It will be remembered that the effect of refraction on a celestial body is to raise the apparent place of that body up towards the zenith. It therefore follows that the entire disk of the sun is raised up by refraction, so as to appear higher in the heavens than it would do if we could see the sun without the intervention of the atmosphere. But besides this effect of refraction in bodily raising the whole image of the sun, it has also the effect of slightly distorting that image. The upper edge of the sun is nearer to the zenith than the lower edge, the difference in the zenith distances being of course equal to the diameter of the sun, when the highest point of the sun is compared with the lowest point. The refraction. however, increases with the zenith distance, and hence it follows that while both the upper and lower edges of the sun are raised by refraction, the lower edge is raised more than the upper edge; consequently, in the refracted image of the sun, the vertical distance, between the highest point and the lowest point, must be less than it would be in an unrefracted image. Refraction, however, can have no appreciable effect in altering the length of the horizontal diameter of the sun. But if the vertical diameter be shortened while the horizontal diameter is unchanged, the image of the sun has

ceased to be circular, and has assumed a form which is nearly that of an ellipse.

When the sun is actually at the horizon, the effects produced by atmospheric refraction are of a very marked character. Let us take the case when the sun appears to be on the point of setting, and select for special consideration that particular phase in which the lower edge of the sun *appears* just to have come in contact with the horizon.

The appearance of the sun in these circumstances is represented in Fig. 71; the horizontal line is the horizon,

and the elliptical figure s' denotes the apparent shape of the sun, the lower edge being in contact with the horizon. At the moment when the sun appears to have this distorted shape, and to be just in contact with the horizon, the real position of the sun is altogether below the horizon at s.



The atmospheric refraction has both raised the sun above the horizon to the position s', and also altered its shape. The amount of the distortion of the figure when the sun is in this position is very considerable; in fact, the vertical diameter is shortened to the extent of one-sixth part of its total amount. This distortion, though necessarily always present, decreases very rapidly indeed, as the altitude of the sun above the horizon is augmented. At the altitude of 45° the sun's vertical diameter is only diminished by 1", which is about one three-hundredth part of the effect which is produced near the horizon.

When the sun is in the vicinity of the horizon, either

shortly after rising or shortly before setting, it is often remarked that his apparent size seems considerably greater than when he is high in the heavens at noon. This is really only an illusion, but none the less it is worthy of a word of explanation. Measurement lends no countenance to this illusion. We have already seen that the horizontal diameter is unchanged as the sun approaches the horizon, while the vertical diameter is actually shortened. The apparent dimensions of the sun, when tested by measuring instruments, are therefore less near the horizon than high up, though to the unaided eye the reverse seems the case. The explanation of this illusion is found in the circumstance that near the horizon we tacitly compare the size of the sun with the various terrestrial objects in its vicinity. We cannot then fail to observe that the sun is more distant from us than any of the terrestrial objects. Ordinary experience shows us that if two objects subtend the same angle at the eye, the more distant of the objects must be larger than the other. Hence, seeing that the sun is more distant than any terrestrial object, and yet observing that the apparent dimensions of the sun are considerable, we naturally consider the sun to be larger than in the case where, as it is high in the heavens, we have no terrestrial objects with which to compare it.

§52. Right Ascension and Declination of the Sun.—As the apparent disk of the sun is circular, it is convenient to define the position of the sun on the celestial sphere by the position of the centre of the circle. We may therefore speak of the right ascension and declination of the sun, meaning thereby the right ascension and declination of the sun's centre. The centre of the sun, being only marked out by geometrical considerations, is not a visible point capable of being observed by the telescope, like a star. We are therefore obliged to resort to indirect means to ascertain the position of the sun's centre.

For this purpose the meridian circle which we have described in § 40 is very suitable. It is, however, necessary,

when employing a telescope to observe the sun, to take precautions which will counteract the effects of the excessive heat and light of the sun. For this purpose a diaphragm may be placed over the end of the telescope, which intercepts a portion of the sun's rays before they fall upon the object glass. The total light and heat received at the eve piece is thus reduced to a fraction of what it would be were the telescope to be used in its ordinary condition. But even with this precaution the light and heat, though greatly reduced, are still too considerable to allow the unprotected eye to be placed at an ordinary eye piece. Further protection is afforded by using an eye piece modified in a suitable manner. The most simple arrangement for this purpose is to cover the eye piece with a piece of glass which, though very deeply coloured, is still sufficiently transparent to allow a portion of the sun's light to pass through it. One disadvantage of this contrivance is that the observer retains no power of adjusting the light so as to make the image more or less brilliant according as circumstances may require. This difficulty has been obviated by using eye pieces of a more elaborate construction, one of which may be here referred to.

It is well known to those who are acquainted with the properties of light, that when a beam of rays falls upon a plane glass surface at a particular angle, the rays which are reflected from that surface are *polarised*. If a polarised beam fall perpendicularly upon a plate of the mineral called *tourmaline*, the amount of light which will pass through the tourmaline depends entirely upon the position of the plane of polarisation of the rays with respect to a certain axis of the tourmaline. When this axis is parallel to the plane of polarisation, the tourmaline is comparatively transparent. When this axis is normal to the plane of polarisation the tourmaline is almost opaque. By rotating the plate of tourmaline in its plane, the quantity of light which is transmitted can be varied at will. This property of polarised light is applied in the construction of a solar eye piece. The rays from the sun, after passing through the object glass and down the tube of the telescope, fall on the glass plane near the eye piece. A great portion of those rays pass directly through the glass and never reach the eye of the observer. A portion of the rays are, however, reflected from the plate, and the plate being placed at the proper angle, the reflected beam is polarised, and in this state falls upon the eye piece. Between the eye of the observer and the first lens of the eye piece a plate of tourmaline is interposed. This is so mounted as to be capable of being rotated, and by its aid the observer has the most complete control over the degree of illumination of the image. A very simple eye piece is that of Herschel, in which a piece of unsilvered glass reflects about a tenth part of the rays coming from the object glass at right angles and sends them through an ordinary eye piece, after which their intensity is further reduced by means of a piece of neutral tinted glass.

With his eye protected by one of the methods we have described, or by other analogous contrivances, the observer proceeds to determine the right ascension and declination of the sun by observations made with the meridian circle. As the centre of the sun is not a recognisable point, the observations are always made by noting the contact of the circular margin of the sun's disk, or what is technically called the *limb* of the sun, with the wires stretched in the focus of the telescope. As the sun enters the field of view, the observer counts the seconds in the same way as if he were observing a star, and he notes the times when the preceding limb touches the first and subsequent wires, from which he can ascertain the moment when the preceding limb of the sun crosses the central wire; then allowing for the errors of the instrument, he deduces the right ascension of the sun's preceding limb. The observer also notes the contacts of the following limb of the sun with the successive wires, and in that way the right ascension of the following limb is determined. As the disk of the sun is known to be circular, we can find at once the right ascension of its centre by taking the mean of the right ascensions of the preceding and following limbs. At the same time as these observations are made, the meridian circle will also enable the declination of the sun's centre to be determined. While the sun is passing across the wires, the telescope is to be moved so as to bring the horizontal wire to touch the upper limb of the sun. The four microscopes are then to be read off rapidly, and ere the sun has passed out of the field the telescope is to be moved so as to bring the horizontal wire into coincidence with the sun's lower limb, and the microscopes are to be read again. From the indications of the microscopes the declinations of the upper and lower limbs of the sun are to be ascertained in the way already explained (Chap. III.), due allowance being made for the effect of refraction. The mean of these observations gives the declination of the sun's centre.

If we determine the right ascension and declination of the centre of the sun on different days, we shall find that neither of these quantities remains constant. The right ascension, for example, is continually increasing. Expressing the right ascension in arc of a great circle, we find that the daily increase is about 1°. If the right ascension be expressed in the more usual method as an interval of time, then it appears that the daily increase of the sun's right ascension is about 4 minutes. Nor are the variations in the declination more difficult to recognise. The northern declination of the sun in the spring gradually increases until it reaches a maximum in midsummer of about 23° 27'; after attaining this value, the declination decreases, reaches zero in the autumn ; then the centre of the sun crosses the equator, till in midwinter the southern declination has attained the same value as the northern declination had in midsummer. Again the sun approaches the equator, and crossing it, the declination repeats the cycle of changes to which we have referred.

The changes in right ascension and declination of the sun stand out in marked contrast to the fixity of the right ascension and declination of the stars; they indicate that the

sun is not at rest upon the surface of the heavens, but that it has, or appears to have, a motion which is constantly changing its position with respect to the stars and constellations.

As the right ascension of the sun is increasing, the return of the sun to the meridian, when expressed in sidereal time, is later and later each sidereal day. The difference being on an average about four minutes, the interval between two successive transits of the sun's centre across the meridian is four minutes longer than the sidereal day. Thus, if a star came on the meridian to-day at the same moment as the sun's centre, when the star reached the meridian to-morrow, the sun would have moved away from the place it originally occupied, and its centre would not cross the meridian until about four minutes after the star.

§ 53. Mean Time and Sidereal Time.-The interval between two successive transits of the sun is called an apparent solar day; and as the sun is of such transcendent importance to the earth, it is necessary to regulate our ordinary avocations by solar days rather than by sidereal days. There is, however, an apparent difficulty in adopting the solar day as the measure of time. The interval between two consecutive returns of the centre of the sun to the meridian is not exactly constant. The sun, in fact, does not augment its right ascension with uniformity, but the motion is sometimes more rapid than at other times. The length of the apparent solar day is thus not absolutely constant, though the limits between which it fluctuates are narrow. We therefore introduce the conception of the mean solar day, of which the length is equal to the average of a very great number of consecutive apparent solar days. This mean solar day is the unit used for all ordinary civil reckoning: its duration is absolutely constant, and generally different from, though ' always very close to, the length of the apparent solar day.

The mean solar day is equal to 24^h 3^m 56^s 56 of sidereal time. The mean solar day is (like the sidereal day) sub-

divided into twenty-four hours, each hour into sixty minutes, and each minute into sixty seconds.

The reader may, perhaps, think that needless complexity is introduced by using two different methods of measuring time. He might suppose that simplicity would be gained either by making all astronomical measurements in ordinary mean solar time, or possibly he might suppose that the sidereal day could be used for ordinary civil purposes. We must therefore show why the two distinct measures of time must be maintained.

The great convenience which sidereal time possesses for astronomical purposes arises from the circumstance that when sidereal time is used each star crosses the meridian daily at the same moment of time. Thus when the sidereal clock is going correctly, a star which crosses the meridian at 4^h 30^m to-day will cross it at the same hour to-morrow, and every day (subject only to very minute variations, which need not be further considered at present). The time of culmination as shown by a sidereal clock is, in fact, the right ascension of the star. If we were to take the time from an ordinary mean solar clock, then the time of culmination of the star would be continually changing, being about four minutes earlier every day. The inconvenience arising from this would be intolerable, so that the sidereal clock must be the regulator of time in the observatory. For the ordinary purposes of life, on the other hand, sidereal time would not answer. We are obliged to regulate the time used in daily life by the movements of the sun. Our notion of a day is so inseparably connected with the sun that the length of the day must be the average duration of the apparent diurnal revolution of the sun around the earth. Custom has decreed that our measurement of time shall commence from a moment which is always close to the time of culmination of the sun, and at that moment a correct mean solar clock should show oh om os, or, as it is more commonly called, twelve o'clock. When we speak of any other hour-for

example, 2 P.M.—then everyone knows that the sun has at that hour passed the meridian about two hours previously. But were we to attempt to use sidereal time, endless confusion would be the consequence. By the sidereal clock the sun comes on the meridian about four minutes later every day, so that in a month the time of culmination would have changed by a couple of hours, and in the course of a year the time of culmination would have been at every hour of the twenty-four. We are therefore obliged to use a meantime clock regulated by the sun for the purposes of civil life, while in the observatory we use a sidereal clock regulated by the stars.

In consequence of the continual increase in the right ascension of the sun, it is found that after the lapse of 365days, or, more strictly, of $365 \cdot 2421$ days, the right ascension has gained 360° , or twenty-four hours of sidereal time. At the same time it is found that the movements of the sun in declination have also run through their cycle, so that at the close of the epoch just mentioned, which is approximately $365\frac{1}{4}$ days, the right ascension and declination of the sun have both regained the values they had at its commencement. The sun has, therefore, in the course of this time returned to the point of the heavens from which it originally departed.

§ 54. The Ecliptic.—To ascertain what the actual movements of the sun during the interval have been, we make use of a celestial globe. By the observations of the right ascension and declination of the sun's centre made at stated intervals of time, we are enabled to plot down on the celestial globe the actual position which the centre of the sun occupied on the celestial sphere at the corresponding epochs. When this is done we find that all the points, which have teen laid down, lie on the circumference of a great circle, and we therefore see that the sun moves in a great circle on the surface of the celestial sphere. This great circle is called the *ccliptic*.

It is desirable to dwell for a moment on the important result which is thus obtained. That the path of the sun on the celestial sphere must be a closed curve of some kind is obvious from the consideration that, at the termination of a period of $365\frac{1}{4}$ days, the sun returns to the position which it originally had at the commencement of that period. The number of closed curves which can be drawn on the surface of a sphere are of course of unlimited variety. If, however, the sun's motion takes place in a plane, then its apparent motion on the surface of the sphere can only be in such a curve as lies on the surface of the sphere, and also in a plane ; but a section of a plane by a sphere is a circle, and as the sun appears to move in a circle, it follows that the sun moves in a plane. There is a very remarkable circumstance with respect to this plane, for as the circle in which the sun moves is a great circle, the plane must pass through the centre of the celestial sphere. The earth is stationed at the centre of the celestial sphere, and hence we see that the apparent motion of the sun takes place in a plane which passes through the earth.

Let the sphere PQ (Fig. 72) represent the celestial sphere,

of which the points P and Q are the north and south poles respectively. The great circle CEAE is the celestial equator. The ecliptic is the great circle A B C D, which intersects the equator in the points A C, called the *Equinoxes*. The plane of the ecliptic is inclined to the plane of the equator by an angle which is called the *Obliquity of the Ecliptic*, and is equal



to about 23° 27'. The points on the ecliptic B D, which are

situated at the middle points B and D of the semi-circumferences A B c and A D c, are termed the *Solstices*. The sun moves round the ecliptic in the direction indicated by the arrow. He passes through the point A about March 21, whence that point is termed the *Vernal* equinox. In three months afterwards, about June 22, he reaches B, the summer solstice, and c, the autumnal equinox, on September 23, and D, the winter solstice, on December 22.

§ 55. Day and Night.—To study the variations in the length of the day, a celestial globe is specially convenient



(Fig. 73). The earth is supposed to have shrunk into a small point at the centre o of the celestial globe. The plane of the horizon, drawn at the place where the observer is supposed to be stationed, must cut the celestial sphere in a great circle. This great circle corresponds to the ring $H \in H$, which is attached to the frame by which the globe is sup-

ported. A celestial object will be visible to the observer if it be on that part of the globe which is above the plane H F H. All objects on the hemisphere below that plane are invisible.

To examine the variations in the length of the day, the globe must first be set correctly for the latitude of the place where the observer is stationed. We have shown in §41 that the elevation of the pole of the heavens above the horizon is equal to the latitude. To set the globe it is, therefore, necessary to place the axis PQ, about which the globe revolves, so that it makes with the plane HFH an angle equal to the latitude.

Let z be the zenith ; then the great circle passing through z and P is the meridian, which of course cuts the horizon at right angles. The meridian on the globe is represented by the ring H Z M. The great circle E F G, drawn perpendicular to the polar axis P o, is the equator. Since two great circles must mutually bisect each other, it is obvious that the equator is bisected by the horizon at F and G, and therefore half of the equator is below the horizon and half is above. A celestial object which is situated on the equator will, in the course of one revolution of the heavens, remain above the horizon for precisely the same time that it is below. When the sun is in the equator the length of the day must be equal to the length of the night. If the sun always moved in the equator then the day and night would be constantly equal. The path of the sun lies, however, in the ecliptic, which crosses the equator at the vernal and autumnal equinoxes. The sun is, therefore, in the equator when, and only when, he is in the act of passing through one or other of the equinoctial points on March 21 or September 23. At either of the dates mentioned the length of the day is equal to the length of the night.

After passing the vernal equinox on March 21 the sun gradually increases his declination. The path of the sun as caused by the *diurnal rotation* ceases to be a great circle

after the equinox is passed. It is then a small circle, of which the dimensions gradually decrease until June 22, when the sun having attained its greatest north declination, the small circle which it appears to describe in virtue of its apparent diurnal rotation is a minimum. At a date intermediate between March 21 and June 22 the path of the sun will be the small circle II (Fig. 73). It is plain that this circle is bisected by the great circle FPG, and therefore the part of the small circle, which is above the plane of the horizon, is greater than that which is below it. When the sun appears to move in this circle it continues above the horizon for a longer period than it is below, and consequently the length of the day exceeds that of the night. The disparity between the length of the day and night will increase as long as the sun increases in north declination, until the difference attains its maximum value on June 22, when the sun, having attained the declination of 23° 27', is in the summer solstice. In this case the sun passes the meridian at the point b, the distance a b being equal to 23° 27'.

The sun having passed the summer solstice, begins to return towards the equator. On September 22 the sun reaches the equator, and the length of the day is again twelve hours, and equal, of course, to the length of the night. After this date the sun is below the equator, its south declination gradually increasing to the value $23^{\circ} 27'$, which it has on December 22. The sun each day after passing the autumnal equinox will describe a small circle of the celestial sphere parallel to the plane of the equator, and lying to the south of it. The dimensions of this circle gradually diminish, until the winter solstice is reached. Let J J be the circle described by the sun on a date between the autumnal equinox and the winter solstice. Part of this circle is above the plane of the horizon and part is below, and it is easy to see that the latter part is greater than the former. The plane P F Q must obviously bisect this circle,

145

and the two points of bisection, and therefore more than half the circle, are below the plane of the horizon.

When the apparent diurnal motion of the sun is performed in this circle J J, the sun is below the horizon for a longer period in each revolution than it is above, and hence the day is shorter than the night. The disparity between the length of the day and that of the night goes on augmenting until the winter solstice, when the shortest day is attained. In the movement of the sun from the summer solstice to the winter solstice we see that the length of the day changes from its greatest value to its least. After passing the winter solstice the same series of changes in the relative lengths of the day and night is repeated in the inverse order, and in the course of $365\frac{1}{4}$ days, or one year, a complete cycle of changes has been gone through and a new cycle is commenced.

There are, however, remarkable phenomena connected with the recurrence of day and night in countries near either of the poles, that merit special attention.

The globe is set in Fig. 74 so as to correspond to a considerable northern latitude, which we shall suppose is not less than 66° 33'. The distance of the pole P from the zenith z is equal to the complement of the altitude of the pole, and as the altitude of the pole is equal to the latitude, it follows that the zenith distance of the pole in the present case is less than 23° 27'. Let us now consider the circumstances under which the sun can rise above the horizon in a case like the present. Whenever the sun is above the horizon, its distance from the zenith must be less than 90°. The zenith distance of the sun is always smaller when the sun is on the meridian than when the sun is either approaching to the meridian or after he is past it. We have, therefore, to see under what circumstances the sun, when on the meridian, is above the horizon, or, what is the same thing, when the meridian zenith distance of the sun is smaller than 90°. To give definiteness to what we have to say on this subject, let

L

us take in the first instance a latitude of 75°, so that the angle between z and P is equal to 15°. The distance from the pole to the equator is equal to 90°: hence the distance in the present case from the zenith to the equator is 90° $-15^\circ = 75^\circ$. If then the sun were on the equator, its zenith



distance at the moment of culmination would be 75° . The sun must then be visible every day, because the zenith distance at culmination is less than 90° . As the sun moves from the equinox towards the summer solstice, the meridional zenith distance gradually diminishes. When the sun is at the solstice, its declination is $23^{\circ} 27'$. It is, therefore, north of the equator by this amount, and consequently the zenith distance at culmination is $75^{\circ} - 23^{\circ} 27' = 51^{\circ} 33'$. The sun crosses the meridian twice daily, viz. at noon and at midnight; in the former case the zenith distance has its smallest value, and in the latter the zenith distance has its greatest

147

value. We have seen that the southern point E of the equator is 75° from Z. The northern point of the equator is below the horizon, and its distance from z must be 90° $+15^{\circ}=105^{\circ}$. On June 22, when the sun is in the summer solstice, the north declination is 23° 27', and when the sum is on the meridian at midnight it will be 23° 27' nearer the zenith than the north point of the equator. It follows that the zenith distance of the sun at midnight will be 105° $-23^{\circ}27'=81^{\circ}33'$. As this is less than 90°, we learn the very remarkable fact that the sun under these circumstances does not descend below the horizon at all, so that, in fact, as long as this state of things continues we have perpetual day. Thus is explained the midnight sun in the Arctic regions.

It is plain that as the sun sets every day, even in midsummer, in the latitude of the United Kingdom, while it does not set at midsummer in the latitude of 75°, there must be some intermediate latitude where the sun just reaches the horizon at midnight in midsummer. The determination of this latitude is very easily effected by the celestial globe. The polar distance of the sun is equal to the complement of the declination, and therefore at midsummer the polar distance is 66° 33'. When the sun is on the horizon at midnight, the polar distance of the sun must be equal to the altitude of the pole; but the latter is the latitude, and hence when the sun is on the horizon at midnight in midsummer the latitude must be 66° 33'. The parallel which passes through all the points which have the north latitude 66° 33' is called the Arctic Circle. At all places to the north of this circle the sun remains above the horizon, even at midnight, for a certain portion of the summer. The number of days in the year during which the midnight sun is to be seen increases as the latitude increases, and may be found as follows.

After the sun has passed the vernal equinox its declination gradually increases, and the amount by which the diurnal circle dips below the horizon gradually diminishes until a declination is attained, when the diurnal circle II

L 2

(Fig. 74) just grazes the northern point of the horizon. On the day when this declination is attained, the sun's centre will therefore not set. The next day the sun's centre will at midnight be some distance above the horizon, and the midnight sun will be continually witnessed until, after passing the summer solstice, the sun has returned so far towards the autumnal equinox that he is again performing his diurnal motion in the circle 1 I. At midnight the centre of the sun will then just graze the horizon, and on the following revolution the centre will pass below the horizon. When the equinox has been reached, the length of the night has become equal to that of the day, and the length of the latter gradually diminishes until the sun has attained a declination so far to the south that the circle J J, which its centre describes, only just grazes the horizon. In this case when the sun is on the meridian at noon, its centre has just reached the horizon, and on the following day the centre of the sun will not reach the horizon at all. Perpetual night will then reign until the sun, having attained the winter solstice, has moved so far towards the equator that it again performs its diurnal motion in the circle J J. When this point has been attained the centre of the sun just grazes the horizon at noon, and the following day the sun may be seen to rise : the length of the day then gradually increases, and the cycle already described is repeated.

It should also be observed that the limits of latitude in which perpetual day is enjoyed at midsummer are identical with the limits in which perpetual night is found in midwinter. At the winter solstice, the south declination of the sun is $23^{\circ} 27'$. If, therefore, the sun at culmination in the winter solstice be on the horizon, the southern point of the equator will be $23^{\circ} 27'$ above the horizon. The meridional distance from the zenith to the equator will therefore be $90^{\circ}-23^{\circ} 27'=66^{\circ} 33'$. It is, however, easy to see that this is the altitude of the pole, and therefore the latitude of the place. It follows that in all localities of which the latitude

exceeds 66° 33' there will be perpetual day for a certain period at midsummer, and perpetual night for a corresponding period at midwinter.

To take an extreme case, let us consider the condition of day and night which could be presented to an observer who was stationed at the latitude of 90° , or, in other words, at the pole itself. The pole would then coincide with the zenith, and the celestial globe adapted to these circumstances is



shown in Fig. 75: The equator, being at every point 90° distant from the pole, must now be coincident with the horizon, and the small circles in which the stars revolve will be all parallel to the plane of the horizon. The altitude of a star would then be a constant, and the phenomena of rising and setting stars would be unknown. If the sun were actually fixed in the ecliptic it would then always describe the same small circle, and would be visible or not, according

as that point of the ecliptic lay in the half which was above or below the plane of the horizon. As, however, the sun moves in the ecliptic, it will be seen constantly through part of the year, and remain invisible during the remainder. Suppose, for example, that the sun is in the vernal equinox. The centre of the sun is then on the equator, and as the equator is coincident with the horizon, the centre of the sun will lie on the horizon. The diurnal motion will, therefore, carry the sun round the horizon. As the declination increases the sun will gradually become elevated above the horizon, so that it will really describe a sort of spiral on the celestial sphere, each revolution being at a greater altitude than the preceding one, until the summer solstice is attained, when the sun will revolve nearly in a small circle parallel to the horizon, at an altitude of 23° 27'. After the summer solstice has passed, the spiral course of the sun will recommence, but its motion will now be gradually downwards, so that after a number of complete revolutions it will again have reached the horizon. Thus an observer stationed at the north pole will find the sun above his horizon during the whole interval from the vernal to the autumnal equinox. After the latter equinox the sun descends below the horizon, and performs a spiral movement in the southern hemisphere, until, at the winter solstice, it has attained the south declination of 23° 27'. It then commences to return towards the horizon, to reappear in the northern hemisphere after the vernal equinox. At the north pole, therefore (neglecting small variations), one half of the year is day and the other half is night. Finally, let us take the other extreme case of an observer who was stationed at the equator. The globe set for this case is shown in Fig. 76. As the latitude is now equal to zero, the elevation of the pole above the horizon must be zero, and therefore the pole must actually lie in the plane of the horizon. All the small circles which are described by the celestial bodies must, therefore, be perpendicular to the plane of the horizon. It is easy to see that

half of each circle lies below the plane of the horizon, and half is above. Thus every celestial object will (so far as the diurnal motion is concerned) be above the horizon for half the day, and below it for the other half. The sun is no exception to this statement : the small circle in which the sun moves each day is bisected by the horizon, and therefore the day and night are of equal length.

At the equinox the sun at rising is stationed precisely at



the east point of the horizon ; it then ascends to the zenith, and descends again to set in the western point of the horizon. After the vernal equinox is past, the sun has moved into the northern hemisphere, and the small circle described in the diurnal motion, though still perpendicular to the plane of the horizon, cuts the horizon at points less than 90° from the north point. Thus each day the sun rises somewhat to the north of due east, ascends perpendicularly till it culminates at a point on the meridian to the north of the zenith, then descends to set perpendicularly at a point of the horizon somewhat to the north of west. The magnitude of the diurnal circle gradually decreases until the summer solstice is reached, when the sun at noon is $23^{\circ} 27'$ to the north of the zenith ; the circle afterwards increases till it becomes a great circle passing through the zenith at the autumnal solstice, and then repeats in the southern hemisphere the series of changes that we have described in the northern.

We give here a table for determining the length of the longest and shortest day in the different latitudes, from the equator up to 66° 33'.

Latitude.	Longest Day.	Shortest Day.
o°	12 ^h 0 ^m	I2 ^h O ^m
5	12 17	11 43
10	12 35	II 25
15	12 53	11 7
20	13 13	10 47
25	13 34	10 26
30	13 56	10 4
35	14 22	9 38
40	14 51	99
45	15 26	8 34
50	16 9	7 51
55	17 7	6 53
60	18 30	5 30
65	21 9	2 51
66° 33'	24 0	0 0

At localities above the latitude of 66° 33' the length of the longest day is never less than twenty-four hours, while there is no day at all during a certain period at midwinter. It is, however, of interest to calculate the connection between the latitude and the number of days during which the sun does not set in summer or rise in winter. The results are given in the following table.

North	Sun does not set	Sun does not rise
Latitude.	for about	for about
66° 33'	ı day	ı day
70	65 days	60 days
75	103 3	97 ,,
80	134 ,,	127 "
85	101 ³⁸	153 "
90	186 m	179,

§ 56. Zones into which the Earth is divided.—The parallels which pass through all the points of latitude 66° 33'

in either hemisphere, conveniently mark off two important regions in the vicinity of the north and south poles respectively. These parallels are represented by A A' and B B' (Fig. 77), and the regions which they define are A P A' and B Q B'. The northern circle A A' is called the Arctic Circle, while B B' is the Antarctic Circle. The regions with-





in these circles are characterised by the presence of continual day at midsummer, and continual night at midwinter. They are the coldest portions of the earth, and are known as the *North Frigid Zone* and *South Frigid Zone* respectively.

Another natural division of the earth is presented by the equator $\mathbf{E} \mathbf{E}'$, which divides the portion of the earth between the Arctic and Antarctic circle into equal parts. There is also a natural division by means of the circles $\mathbf{C} \mathbf{C}'$ and $\mathbf{D} \mathbf{D}'$, which are known as the Tropic of Cancer and the Tropic of Capricorn. It will be necessary to explain the significance of these circles.

The sun at midday attains its greatest elevation above the horizon, so that the zenith distance of the sun is then a minimum. At midsummer the sun at noon is nearer to the zenith than it is at any other season. In European latitudes it is always seen that even when the sun has its greatest altitude it is still at a considerable distance from the zenith. The question, therefore, arises as to whether there are any localities on the earth's surface at which the sun, when its altitude is greatest, is actually situated at the zenith.

The altitude of the pole being equal to the latitude, the angular distance from the pole to the zenith is equal to the complement of the latitude, or to what is called the colatitude. If, therefore, the sun at its moment of culmination be situated in the zenith, the polar distance of the sun must be equal to the co-latitude. The polar distance of any celestial object is, however, equal to the complement of its declination. It is, therefore, evident that when the sun is in the zenith, the complement of its declination must be equal to the complement of the latitude, but this can only be the case when the latitude is equal to the declination; and hence we find that the sun will culminate in the zenith whenever its declination is equal to the latitude.

As the ecliptic is inclined to the equator at the angle of $23^{\circ} 27'$, the greatest declination which the sun can have is $23^{\circ} 27'$, and this is only attained when the sun is at the summer or winter solstice. As the sun can only attain the zenith when its declination is equal to the latitude, and as the greatest declination is $+23^{\circ} 27'$, it follows that the sun cannot attain the zenith of localities which are situated at a greater distance north and south of the equator than $23^{\circ} 27'$. The north and south parallels, which correspond to this latitude, bound the regions in which it is possible for the sun to attain the zenith.

As the sun in the course of the year passes through every

phase of declination between $+23^{\circ} 27'$ and $-23^{\circ} 27'$, so it follows that the sun will appear during the year at, or close to, the zenith of every place contained between these two parallels. It would not be correct to say that the sun would actually culminate in the zenith, for it will generally happen that at the time when the sun passes close to the zenith, its declination on two consecutive days will be one a little greater and the other a little less than the latitude. The sun will, therefore, on one day culminate a little on one side of the zenith, and the following day it will be a little on the other side.

The circles C C' and D D', bounding this very naturally marked region on the surface of the earth, are known as the *tropics.* The name is given to them from the circumstance that an observer on one of these circles will, as midsummer approaches, find the sun daily approach nearer and nearer to the zenith at the moment of culmination, until on midsummer day the culmination will be exceedingly close to the zenith. The centre of the sun will then be actually in the zenith if it should happen that the moment of culmination is identical with the moment when the sun has actually attained its greatest north declination. After this point has been attained, the sun will then begin to *turn* back, for at the next culmination it will be a little south of the zenith in the northern hemisphere, or north of the zenith in the southern hemisphere.

A resident, either on the equator or at any station intermediate between the two tropics, will have the sun in his zenith twice each year. Suppose, for example, that the latititude of the place be $+10^{\circ}$. At the vernal equinox the sun's declination is zero, but it gradually increases, and, when it attains the value of 10° , being then equal to the latitude, the culmination must take place at the zenith. After the declination of 10° is passed, the sun gradually moves to the summer solstice, when its declination is $23^{\circ} 27'$, and then, in commencing to return towards the equator, the declination diminishes down to 10° , and, therefore, the culmination is again at the zenith. We thus see that a station in the northern hemisphere which has a latitude less than $23^{\circ} 27'$ will have the sun in its zenith on two occasions between the vernal and the autumnal equinox.

At a place situated on the equator, the latitude is of course zero, and hence the declination of the sun must be zero when it culminates at the zenith. This is only the case at the vernal and autumnal equinox. It is easy to show that in the southern hemisphere the sun is twice near the zenith, between the autumnal and the vernal equinox, provided the latitude is less than $23^{\circ} 27'$ south of the equator.

The regions between the equator and the tropic of Cancer on the one hand, and the equator and the tropic of Capricorn on the other, are known as the *north* and *south torrid zones* respectively. The region between the tropic of Cancer and the arctic circle is the north temperate zone, while that between the tropic of Capricorn and the antarctic circle is the south temperate zone. Each of the two hemispheres into which the equator divides the earth is thus conveniently divided into three zones, and the boundaries are indicated in a very natural manner, by the apparent annual movements of the sun.

§ 57. Effect of Refraction on the Length of the Day.—In what we have hitherto stated with respect to the variation in the length of the day, in different seasons of the year and at different latitudes on the earth, we have overlooked the effect of atmospheric refraction in changing the apparent place of the sun. It is, therefore, necessary to point out briefly the modifications which must be introduced into our results when refraction has to be taken into account. In the tables given on pages 152-3, it has been assumed that the day commences at the moment when the centre of the sun is actually on the horizon, as it would be seen were there no atmosphere. The refraction of the atmosphere tends to

raise all objects towards the zenith, and at the horizon this is no less than 33'. In fact, as we pointed out in § 51, the sun's centre appears to be on the horizon, while *in reality* the sun's centre has to ascend 33' in order to be on the horizon. It therefore follows that, as the sunrise is apparently accelerated and sunset retarded, the length of the day really appears longer than calculations show, which neglect the effect of the atmosphere.

At the north pole the effect of refraction upon the length of the day is indicated in a somewhat remarkable manner. We shall only consider the position of the centre of the sun, so that some modifications are required when the dimensions of the sun are taken into account. When the sun, in passing from the winter solstice to the vernal equinox, has approached so near to the latter that its south declination is 33', then the refraction of the atmosphere raises the sun's centre to the horizon, and the perpetual daylight of summer has commenced. Again, when the sun, after passing the summer solstice, is again descending to the horizon, the refraction appears to retard its setting, so that it is not until the sun's centre has really passed below the horizon to the distance of 33' that the phenomenon of setting is witnessed. Remembering that for an observer stationed at the north pole the celestial equator is coincident with the horizon, we see that the arctic day will be enjoyed during the whole time that the sun moves from 33' south declination to $\pm 23^{\circ} 27'$ north declination at the solstice, and then back again to 33' south.

§ 58. Twilight.—The atmosphere has also an effect upon the duration of daylight, which arises from a different source. After the sun has set, his rays still traverse the higher regions of the atmosphere, and illuminate the particles which the air retains in a state of suspension. This light from above diffuses a certain amount of illumination on the surface of the earth, which gradually decreases in intensity as the sun sinks below the horizon. The twilight in the evening is generally known as *dusk*, while that which precedes the rising of the sun is known as the *dawn*. Were it not for the effect of the air, the brightness of the day would totally cease when the last portion of the sun's disk disappeared below the horizon at sunset, and the daylight' would only commence when the disk commenced to ascend above the horizon at sunrise.

The twilight either at dusk or dawn is only seen when the sun is within a certain distance of the horizon. The exact amount of the distance appears to be dependent upon the state of the atmosphere. It may, however, be generally stated that the distance is about 18°. The dusk is thus usually visible until the centre of the sun has been carried by the diurnal motion to a perpendicular distance of 18° below the horizon. Similarly the earliest glimpses of dawn may be caught when the sun, in his approach to the horizon, has attained a distance of only 18° therefrom. It will of course be understood that the distance from the horizon which is here alluded to is the distance of the sun from the nearest point of the horizon, or the arc which is intercepted by the plane of the horizon on the great circle drawn from the zenith to the sun. This will not, of course, be generally coincident with the actual course in which the sun is apparently moving by the diurnal motion. The sun will generally have to move over a distance considerably greater than 18° before it reaches the horizon after the first glimpses of dawn have been perceived.

The duration of the twilight either at dusk or dawn will be found to vary very considerably at different seasons, as well as at different latitudes. To take a simple case, let us suppose the observer to be stationed at the equator, and let us calculate the duration of twilight when the sun is at either of the equinoxes. Under these circumstances, as the sun is actually situated in the celestial equator, and as the equator passes through the zenith, the

diurnal motion of the sun will be effected in the great circle which passes through the zenith and the eastern and western points of the horizon—the circle, in fact, which is often known as the *prime vertical*. Before sunrise the sun will ascend perpendicularly to the horizon, and after sunset it will descend perpendicularly below it. The twilight will therefore continue in this special case during the time when the sun moves through an arc of 18° on the celestial sphere, in virtue of the apparent diurnal motion. As the diurnal motion completes its revolution in twenty-four hours, a point on the equator which moves through 360° in one revolution must move through 15° in one hour. To move through an arc of 18° a time of $1^{h} 12^{m}$ will therefore be required. Hence it appears that under the circumstances we have described the twilight at dusk and at dawn will last for a period of $1^{h} 12^{m}$.

At other places or seasons the duration of twilight can be found by the celestial globe with sufficient accuracy for most purposes. First set the globe to the latitude of the place by placing the axis of the celestial sphere at the correct inclination to the horizon. Then find on the globe the point on the ecliptic which the sun occupies on the day in question, and place this point on the horizon. The angle through which the globe must be rotated in order to bring the point from the horizon to a distance of about 18° below it, converted into time at the rate of 15° to an hour, is the duration of twilight.

It is well known that in our latitude, at midsummer, the night is never perfectly dark even at midnight. Looking towards the northern horizon, the midnight twilight is seen to come from that region, and its origin can easily be explained. When the sun crosses the meridian at midnight, its distance below the horizon is greater than when the sun is at any other point of its diurnal path ; if, therefore, the depression of the sun below the horizon at midnight be not greater than 18° , the sun will, during the entire night, be within 18° of the horizon, and consequently the twilight will never cease.

At midsummer, the sun's declination being $23^{\circ} 27'$, the polar distance of the sun is $90^{\circ} - 23^{\circ} 27' = 66^{\circ} 33'$. If, therefore, the sun be 18° below the horizon, the elevation of the pole above the horizon will be $66^{\circ} 33' - 18^{\circ} = 48^{\circ} 33'$. It therefore appears that when the latitude of the place is $48^{\circ} 33'$, the sun at midsummer will only just attain the distance below the horizon at which twilight ceases. At any latitude exceeding $48^{\circ} 33'$ we shall, then, have twilight at midnight in midsummer. Take, for example, the latitude of 53° . The elevation of the pole above the horizon is therefore 53° , and consequently the distance of the midsummer sun below the horizon at midnight is $66^{\circ} 33' - 53^{\circ}$ $= 13^{\circ} 33'$. As this is less than 18° , the twilight will still be seen at midnight.

Similar considerations will also enable us to find the number of days at a given latitude during which the midnight twilight will be seen. At the latitude of 53°, which we may adopt as before, for the sake of illustration, the elevation of the pole is, of course, 53°. If the sun comes to the meridian at midnight at a point which is 18° below the horizon, the distance from the pole to the sun is $53+18^\circ = 71^\circ$. The declination of the sun is equal to the complement of the polar distance, and hence the declination is $90-71=10^\circ$. The midnight twilight will therefore be perceived whenever the declination of the sun exceeds 19°. To find the number of days during which the midnight twilight continues, it is therefore only necessary to find the number of days in which the sun moves from the declination 19° to the summer solstice, and then back again to the declination 19°. In the particular case now before us, the number of days is about 74.

The effect of twilight in mitigating the darkness of the long arctic winter should also be noted. In midwinter the sun does not ascend above the horizon in the arctic regions,
Apparent Motion of the Sun. 161

but if the sun approaches the horizon to a distance less than 18°, the twilight of course becomes visible. At the winter solstice, the south declination of the sun is 23° 27'. If the sun at culmination be 18° below the horizon, it follows that the equator must cut the meridian at an elevation of 23° 27' $-18^\circ = 5^\circ 27'$ above the southern horizon; but it is easy to show that this must be the complement of the elevation of the pole above the horizon. The whole semicircumference of the meridian is made up of the elevation of the pole. the altitude of the point on the equator, and the distance from the pole to the equator. As this last is equal to 90°, the two former must be complementary. The elevation of the pole at that latitude in the arctic region, where the midday sun in winter just comes near enough to the horizon to afford a glimpse of twilight, is $90^{\circ} - 5^{\circ} 27' = 84^{\circ} 33'$; at lower latitudes the number of days in which twilight is witnessed in midwinter gradually increases. Within the distance of 5° 27' from the pole, there are a certain number of days every winter, during which not even a glimpse of twilight announces the fact that the sun has reached the meridian at noon. This number gradually increases from the latitude of 84° 33' to the pole.

As the sun approaches the winter solstice, its south declination gradually increases, until about the 13th of November the Sun has descended 18° below the equator. Assuming that the atmospheric conditions of twilight at the pole resemble those which are found in latitudes to which access is obtained, it would appear that the twilight at midday will cease on the 13th of November, and will continue absent until the sun, having passed the winter solstice again, reaches the distance of 18° from the horizon on January 29.

§ 59. Changes of Temperature on the Earth.—The earth is constantly radiating out heat from its surface to the celestial spaces, and tends to grow cooler and cooler by the continual loss of heat which is thus sustained. On the other hand,

the rays from the sun, besides their effect in illuminating the earth which we have already considered, convey to us heat which counteracts the continuous loss of heat to which the earth is exposed by the effect of its radiation. During the night this radiation is continually cooling the earth, and, as there is no counterbalancing heat then derived from the sun, the temperature goes on decreasing after sunset. When the sun rises, its rays begin to warm the earth, and though the loss by radiation still continues, yet the increase of heat due to the sun soon counterbalances the loss arising from radiation, so that the temperature gradually rises. The efficiency of the sun rays in warming the earth increases as the sun approaches to the meridian, and attains a maximum when the sun is on the meridian at noon. As the sun commences to decline towards the western horizon in the afternoon, the amount of heat received from his rays by the earth decreases. Still, so long as the amount which is received exceeds that which is lost by radiation towards the celestial spaces, the temperature of the earth goes on increasing. Thus the greatest temperature in the day is attained not actually at noon, but some time afterwards. When the amount of heat received just equals the amount lost, then the maximum temperature of the twenty-four hours is reached. The loss then exceeds the gain, and consequently the temperature falls.

The series of changes in the temperature which occur daily would be reproduced each day in precisely the same manner if the apparent diurnal motion of the sun were always performed in the same course. But as the sun has an apparent annual motion on the surface of the celestial sphere, so there are corresponding modifications in the path of the diurnal motion from one day to another. For the sake of illustration we may take the case of the northern temperate region. As the length of the day commences to increase in spring, the quantity of heat received from the sun between sunrise and sunset increases also. This is due not Apparent Motion of the Sun.

163

only to the longer continuance of the sun above the horizon, but also to the increased elevation of the sun, which causes its rays to descend more nearly perpendicularly on the earth. The temperature is of course still diminished by radiation from the earth during the night, but the decreasing length of the night combined with the increase of heat during the day soon makes the gain to exceed the loss, and consequently the temperature steadily rises. As the summer is neared, the daily gain in temperature approaches a maximum, so that on midsummer day the region receives more heat during the day, and loses less during the night, than on any other day in the year. It does not, however, follow that the hottest period of the year is precisely at midsummer day; just as in the case of the changes of temperature during the day it appears that the maximum of temperature does not coincide with the moment when the most heat is being gained, so in the case of the annual changes of temperature the day when most heat is received is not necessarily the hottest day. At midsummer the gain during the day largely exceeds the loss during the night, and though the daily gain is less on subsequent days, yet the total heat must be increasing so long as there is any gain at all. The greatest temperature will, therefore, be attained at the moment when the gain during the day is equal to the loss; this will be some little time after midsummer. On subsequent days the loss will exceed the gain, and consequently the temperature will fall; but just as the greatest heat is not attained precisely at midsummer, so the greatest cold is not attained precisely at midwinter. At midwinter no doubt the loss of heat by radiation exceeds the gain during the day by a greater amount than at any other season, but so long as the loss is in excess of the gain, so long will the temperature continue to decrease ; nor will the decrease be arrested until, by the gradual lengthening of the days during the approach of spring, the amount of gain during the day will precisely counterbalance that lost by radiation. When this

point is attained, the temperature will have sunk to its lowest amount for the year; this point is reached about a fortnight after the winter solstice. What has been said as to the diurnal and annual variations of temperature may also be applied to the southern temperate region, remembering that midsummer here is midwinter there, and *vice versa*. A somewhat different account must be rendered of the variations of temperature between the tropics on the one hand, or inside the arctic circles on the other.

On the equator the length of the day is equal to the length of the night, whatever be the point of its apparent path in which the sun is situated : the changes of temperature corresponding to different periods of the year are therefore much less marked than is the case in the temperate latitudes, where the relative lengths of the day and night undergo considerable fluctuations. Any changes in the temperature at the equator are therefore limited to the effects which can be produced by the fluctuations of the sun's zenith distance. At the equinox, when the sun is in the equator it passes through or close to the zenith at the moment of culmination. At the solstices the sun is at culmination 23° 27' to the north or south of the zenith. As the sun is therefore shining more perpendicularly upon the earth at the period of the equinoxes, these periods are, so far as this is concerned, the hottest parts of the year. At regions in the tropics not situated on the equator there is of course some difference between the lengths of the days and nights, and a corresponding fluctuation in the temperature, but this is much less marked than in places situated in the temperate regions. In the arctic regions the circumstances are modified by the fact that during part of the year the sun remains entirely above the horizon, while during part of the year it is as continuously below.

§ 60. Mean Temperature.—The mean temperature of any spot on the earth depends chiefly upon the latitude. At the equator the mean temperature is a maximum, and it

Apparent Motion of the Sun. 165

gradually decreases as the latitude increases until the pole is attained. The variation in the mean temperature is not to be explained by the relative proportions of daylight and night : the number of hours of sunlight in the year is pretty much the same whatever the latitude may be. Thus at the equator we have twelve hours of sunlight out of every twenty-four, and therefore the sun is above the horizon at the equator for half the whole number of hours in the year, and below it for the other half. This is the same as we find at the pole, for the sun is there continuously above the horizon for six months, and then continually below for six months. At the intermediate latitudes, the great length of the davs in summer is counterbalanced by the great length



of the nights in winter, so that here again we find that for one half of the year the sun is above the horizon, and for one half below. It is, therefore, necessary to search for some other reason for explaining the great variations in the mean annual temperature at the different latitudes. The explanation will be found in the different elevations which the sun attains in different latitudes, combined with the fact that the efficiency of the sun's rays for warming the earth depends upon the *angle of incidence*. This latter remark may be explained by Fig. 78. Let s represent the sun, and E the earth ; then the rays from the sun diverge in various directions s P, S Q, S A. S B, and it may be assumed that the heat dis-

tributed in the various directions is constant. If we conceive a very large sphere to be drawn of which s is the centre, then all the heat from the sun will fall upon the interior of this sphere. This heat is uniformly spread over the surface, so that a given area receives the same quantity of heat whatever be the situation which it occupies on the surface of the sphere. For simplicity we may suppose the area under consideration to be a small circle, and the quantity of heat which falls on that circle will be proportional to the area of the segment of the sphere which it cuts off. If the circumference of the small circle be regarded as the base of a cone of which the centre is at the point s, then the cone contains a portion of the sun's rays which bear to the total radiation the same proportion which the segment of the sphere bears to the entire area of the sphere.

Let two right circular cones be drawn with equal vertical angles, and let the section of these cones, by the plane of the paper, be PSQ and ASB (Fig. 78), the former falling on the earth near the pole, and the latter in the vicinity of the equator. Since the angles at the vertices of these two cones are equal, it is evident that an equal portion of the sun's radiation passes through each, and that, consequently, a certain area of the earth, of which PQ is a section, receives the same quantity of heat as the area near the equator of which the section is A B. It will at once be seen that the area which the cone PSQ cuts on the earth's surface is much larger than the area cut out by the cone ASB. The same quantity of heat which falls on a certain region near the equator has, therefore, to be distributed over a much larger area near the pole; hence the temperature, per unit of area, must be less at the neighbourhood of the pole than in the neighbourhood of the equator. More generally it appears that the temperature decreases as we pass from the equator towards the poles.

§ 61. Effect of the Atmosphere.—All the considerations which have here been brought forward with reference to the

efficiency of the sun in heating the earth, must receive certain modifications when the existence of the atmosphere surrounding the earth is taken into account. The heat of the sun acting on one region of the earth raises the temperature of that region. The air which is in contact with the earth becomes warm, and as it expands by heat must necessarily become lighter. Thus the air over a heated region of the earth ascends, and its place is supplied by cooler air rushing in along the surface of the earth. These currents of air, which rush towards the heated regions, form what we know as winds. Their regularity is, however, greatly modified by the configuration of the land and other circumstances. It would appear that the influence of the winds, so far as their effect on the temperature is concerned, is entirely of a moderating character. The hot region is cooled by the cool air rushing in upon it, while the heated air, which ascends, carries the heat with it to some less favoured region of the earth.

So considerable are the effects produced by the action of the winds, that the law of the diurnal changes of temperature which we have laid down, and still more the law of changes of temperature in the course of the year, are often seriously incorrect. These laws are, therefore, to be regarded as merely expressing the mean or average state of things from which the irregularities produced by the influence of the atmosphere have been eliminated.

§ 62. The Origin of Right Ascensions.—We are now in a position to explain how the point is to be found on the equator from which right ascensions are to be measured. The two most important fixed circles of the celestial sphere are unquestionably the equator and the ecliptic, for though of course, at a given place, the meridian or the horizon are of very great significance, yet these are not fixed circles on the sphere, as their relation to the celestial objects changes every moment. As the right ascensions are measured on the equator, it is natural to make the measurements start from

one of the two most remarkable points on the equator, these being *the two equinoxes* or the intersections of the equator with the ecliptic. There still remains a choice as to which of the two equinoxes shall be selected as the zero or right ascension. Custom has settled on the *vernal equinox*, through which the sun's centre passes about March 20 every year, when changing its declination from south to north.

It has already been explained (§ 39) that when the sidereal clock is going correctly, the right ascension of any object is the sidereal time at which that object crosses the meridian. The determination of the origin from which right ascensions are measured is, therefore, the same problem as the setting of the sidereal clock so that it shall show correct time. We shall, therefore, first direct our attention to the problem of ascertaining both the *rate* of the sidereal clock and its *error*.

The sidereal day is the interval between two successive upper culminations of the same star. Let us, for the sake of illustration, fix our attention upon the bright star Sirius. The interval between the upper culmination of Sirius on January 1 and January 2, 1877, is 24^h o^m o^{s.}007 of sidereal time. Is this interval constant or not? If we repeat the observations on March 1 and 2, we find for the interval 23^h 59^m 59^s 985. It is no doubt true that each of these quantities only differs by an extremely small fraction of a second from twenty-four hours, but still there is a difference. Let us now compare the interval between two successive culminations of another bright star, Vega, with what we have already found for Sirius. It appears, when the observations are made, that the interval between the upper culmination of Vega, on January 1 and January 2, 1877, is 24h om 05.013.

We have already explained how the successive returns of the stars to the meridian, in the apparent diurnal motion, are really due to the rotation of the earth upon its axis. It may be reasonably objected to this explanation that, if it be true, we ought to find the interval between two successive returns to the meridian the same for all the stars and constant for each one; yet the observations appear to show a difference in the case of Sirius and Vega, and that even the period is not constant in either star. The reply to this objection is that, if we could see the real culmination of the stars, the interval between two successive culminations would be exactly the same for each star, and constant for each one, but that the apparent culminations, which alone we can see, are affected by certain sources of error now wholly, or in great part, understood. When due allowance is made for the effect of these errors, in modifying the time of culmination, it is found that the interval between two successive culminations is the same for each star, and that it is constant for centuries.

By observing a pair of consecutive culminations of the same star, the rate of the clock can be accurately determined. It remains now to show how the hands are to be set so that the time indicated by the clock shall really correspond with the right ascension of the celestial bodies on the meridian. As the right ascension of the vernal equinox is to be zero, it follows that a correct sidereal clock should show oh om os when the vernal equinox is on the meridian. What the sidereal clock does actually show, when the vernal equinox is on the meridian, is the error of the clock. These observations would be comparatively simple were the vernal equinox a point so marked that its presence could be detected in the telescope, or if a fixed star happened to be situated at the equinox. As these conditions are not fulfilled, it is necessary to resort to indirect means to ascertain when the vernal equinox is actually culminating.

Let s s' (Fig. 79) be the ecliptic, and M M' the equator in the neighbourhood of the vernal equinox A. The sun's centre at culmination on the day previous to its passage through the vernal equinox is at s, and on the following day

it is at s'; at some epoch between these two culminations the centre of the sun must actually have been in the point



A. It will render the process of calculation which is employed more easily understood, to give in detail a series of observations for the purpose of determining the error of the clock on a special occasion.

The instrument used is a meridian circle, and the observations are presumed to have been made on March 19 and 20, 1877. At the culmination of the sun on March 19, the declination of its centre was ascertained in the way already described, and was found to be $-0^{\circ} 23' 21''9$. The next day the sun's centre has passed to the north of the equator, and its declination as determined by the meridian circle at culmination is $+0^{\circ}0'20''4$. If from s an arc s M of a great circle perpendicular to the equator be drawn, then the arc s M is equal to 23'21''9. Similarly the arc s' M' is equal to 0'20''4. We may for our present present assume that the triangles A M S and A M' s' are plane triangles, and as the angles at M and M' are both right angles, the two triangles are obviously similar. We therefore have the relation

AM : AM'::SM : S' M'.

The arc MM' intercepted on the equator by the two declination circles through the sun, is the difference between the right ascensions of the sun on the two consecutive days of observation. But this difference is known from the observations with the meridian circle. It is only necessary to know the rate of the sidereal clock, and observe the time when the centre of the sun crosses the meridian on each of the two days. The difference between these times is of course independent of the error of the clock, at present an unknown quantity. On the days in question, it will be found that the difference between the two times, after making allowance for the rate of the clock, is 3^{m} $38^{s} \cdot 52$. This is, therefore, the length of the arc M M' on the equator, which is of course equal to the sum of the arcs A M and A M'. We can therefore find the arcs A M and A M' measured in time, as we know both their sum and their ratio. Thus we have :—

A M=3^m 38^s·52 ×
$$\frac{23' 21'' \cdot 9}{23' 42'' \cdot 3}$$

= 3^m 35^s·39.
B M=0^m 3^s·13.

Similarly we have

Thus we see that the difference between the right ascension of the sun and the equinox is $3^m 35^s 39$ on March 19, and $0^m 3^{s} 13$ on March 20. In the former case the equinox comes to the meridian after the sun, and in the latter the equinox precedes the sun. Let us, then, suppose that on March 19 the clock showed $23^h 56^m 49^{s} 81$ at the moment of culmination of the sun's centre. Then the clock would show at the moment of culmination of the equinox

 $23^{h} 56^{m} 49^{s} \cdot 81 + 3^{m} 35^{s} \cdot 39 = 0^{h} 0^{m} 25^{s} \cdot 20.$

This proves that the clock is $o^m 25^{s} 20$ fast, for if it had been correct it should have shown $o^h o^m o^s$ at the moment of culmination of the equinox.

The method of determining the clock error which we have just described can only be conveniently applied when the sun is in the immediate neighbourhood of either of the equinoxes. Of course the time shown by a correct sidereal clock, when the *autumnal* equinox culminates, is equal to twelve hours, so that either equinox will answer for the purpose.

It is no doubt true that any two complete observations of the sun at culmination theoretically afford the means of

determining the error of the clock. For let s and s' (Fig. 80) be the positions of the sun at the moments when the observations are made, then the declinations s' M' and s M are determined by the meridian circle, while with the help of the clock of which the rate is known the difference in right ascension of the sun on the two occasions is known. This difference converted into arc at the rate of 15° to an hour is equal to M M'. We can therefore construct the equinoctial point A, by taking an arc of a great circle of the known length M M', and then drawing the arcs s M and s' M' perpendicular thereto. We thus determine the points s, s', and the great circle through s s', and therefore the point A in



which it cuts the line M M' is known. We have therefore reduced the question to the problem in spherical geometry of calculating the arc A M. This arc is really the right ascen-

sion of the sun at the time of the first observation, and by comparison with the observed time the error of the clock is determined. In the neighbourhood of the equinoxes the method just described coincides with that of which we have already given an illustration. The method cannot be satisfactorily applied except near the equinoxes.

The determination of the sun's declination is, like all other measurements, open to some degree of error. It is therefore proper to enquire whether the calculated distances A M will be much affected by errors in the declination. It appears that when s and s' are distant from the equinoxes, a very trifling error in the observed declinations will produce a very large error in the concluded value of A M. This can easily be seen by taking s and s' near the solstices, when it is plain that a very slight alteration in either of the lengths S M or s' M' will produce a very considerable displacement of the ecliptic upon the equator. The alteration in the sun's declination on two consecutive days at the solstice is only about 4".5; consequently an error in one of the declinations of half a second will be a ninth part of the difference of declinations, and hence the position of the equinox which is concluded from the difference of declinations will be exposed to a very large degree of uncertainty. At the equinox, however, the sun's declination in a single day changes through no less than 23' 42'' = 1422'' = 3. But there is no reason to suppose that the observed declinations will be more erroneous at the equinoxes than at the solstices. We may therefore assume at the equinoxes as at the solstices that the risk of an error of o"'5 is incurred. But this error, which in the case of the solstices is one-ninth of the total amount with which we have to deal, is in the case of the equinoxes little more than the three-thousandth part $(1 \div 2844.6)$. We hence conclude that the equinoctial determination of the zero of right ascension is far less liable to error than a determination made at any other season of the year.

It is, however, manifest that, as no clock can be trusted to run accurately during so long a period as from one equinox to the next, we must have some practical method for determining the error of the clock at intermediate times. In fact, in an observatory, when great accuracy is required, the error of the clock is of such importance that it requires to be determined carefully every night on which observations of right ascension are made, or indeed several times in the course of a single night. Further, it may be added that the determination of the error of the clock, by the method we have described, can only be done in a well-equipped observatory; and even then it is a laborious operation, and liable to be seriously interfered with by the weather at the critical times during which alone it is possible. On all these grounds, it is, therefore, obvious that some more practical and simple method of determining the clock error is required,

though it must be distinctly understood that the method to be described is subsidiary to, and indeed ultimately based upon, the fundamental method of equinoctial observations of the sun.

Suppose that on one occasion of the sun passing through the equinox we have succeeded in determining accurately the error of the clock. We then observe the transit of a fixed star with the same instrument at a time when the error of the clock is certainly known. We can, therefore, find with great precision the right ascension of the star at the epoch in question. The process can be repeated for other standard stars, and then, by observation of any one of these stars at subsequent epochs, the clock error can be ascertained. If the equinox remained absolutely fixed on the surface of the celestial sphere, then the right ascensions of the stars would remain absolutely constant, except in so far as the actual proper motion of the star is capable of producing an appreciable change. The position of the equinox is not, however, constant, as will be explained subsequently, but the nature of its motion is so well understood, that when once the right ascension of a star has been ascertained at any epoch, its right ascension at any subsequent epoch can be calculated. We are thus able to predict, with a high degree of accuracy, the actual right ascensions of a large number of fundamental stars, and it is by the observations of these fundamental stars that the errors of the sidereal clock can be determined. The difference between the right ascension of the star and the time of transit as shown by the clock is the error of the clock.

By referring to the 'Nautical Almanac' the astronomer will always find a standard star which will shortly come on his meridian; he then makes an observation with the transit instrument or the meridian circle, and determines the moment of culmination of this star by his sidereal clock. This is compared with the right ascension given for the star in the 'Nautical Almanac' for the day in question, and the difference between the two is the error of the clock. Thus, for example, we have from the 'Nautical Almanac' the following right ascensions of Vega at the corresponding dates :---

1877.	January	I				۰.		18h	32 ^m	44 ⁸ .85
,,	April 2		•	•	•	•		18	32	47.28
""	July 3			•			•	18	32	49.46
,,	October	2				•		18	32	48.13

Take, for the sake of illustration, an observation of the transit of Vega on July 3, 1877. After the mean of the results obtained at the different wires had been computed, and after allowance had been made for the errors of the instrument, due to level, collimation, and azimuth, it was found that the clock time of crossing the meridian was 18h 32m 51s.42. But had the clock been correct, it must have indicated the true right ascension of the star, which at this date, according to the 'Nautical Almanac,' is 18h 32m 49^s.46. It follows that the clock is too fast, and that the time which the clock indicates should receive the correction of -1^{s} .96 in order to show the true time. The rate of the clock may be determined by observing the error which it has at any subsequent period; for of course any alteration in the error of the clock can only be due to the rate.

When the right ascensions of objects are about to be determined by the transit instrument, the observer commences by ascertaining the errors of his instrument; and taking one or two standard stars, he then observes the unknown objects, and after suitably correcting the observations for the instrumental errors, he infers the clock time at which each of the unknown objects crosses the meridian. During the course of these observations, and also at their close, the observer is careful to observe a few additional standard stars; so that, as he knows accurately the error of his clock at the commencement of the observations, and also at their close, and perhaps in some intermediate points, he is then able to determine with great accuracy the clock error which existed at the moment when each of the unknown objects was crossing the meridian. By applying this correction to the observed time, the true sidereal time of transit is obtained, or, in other words, the right ascension.

It is generally convenient to express right ascensions in hours, minutes, and seconds of sidereal time. It can, however, easily be transformed, when necessary, into degrees, minutes, and seconds of arc, by remembering that fifteen degrees of arc correspond to one hour of time.

§ 63. Celestial Latitude and Longitude.—When the right ascension and declination of a celestial body are known, then the position of the body on the celestial sphere is fully determined. It will, however, be readily understood that for the purpose of indicating the position of a celestial body, other methods are possible besides that of giving its right ascension and declination. The celestial pole and the celestial equator are the fixed objects of the celestial sphere by which right ascensions and declinations are measured. In



the same way the ecliptic and the pole of the ecliptic can be used for the purpose of measuring what is called the *latitude and longitude*.

Let the circle ABCD (Fig. 81) be the ecliptic, and AECE be the equator. Let P be the pole of the equator, and κ that of the ecliptic, while e is the position of a body on the

' celestial sphere. Then a great circle Pe, drawn from P through e, cuts the equator in a point a, and the arc Aa is

177

the right ascension of e, while e a is its declination. If, instead of taking P, the pole of the equator, we took K, the pole of the ecliptic, and drew the arc κe , which cuts the circle A B in b, then the position of the point e could be completely specified by knowing the length of the arc A b, measured along the ecliptic from the equinox, and also the arc b e, measured perpendicularly to the ecliptic. These quantities are known as the *longitude* and the *latitude* respectively. The latitude and longtitude of a celestial body bear to the ecliptic precisely the same relations which the declination and right ascension bear to the equator.

The longitudes are measured round the ecliptic from 0° to 360° in the same direction in which the apparent motion of the sun is performed. The latitude of a point on the ecliptic is, therefore, zero, while its longitude is equal to the arc intercepted on the ecliptic between the point and the equinox. When the right ascension and declination of a celestial object have been observed, then its latitude and longitude can be obtained by calculation.

§ 64. The Sun's Path in Space .- What we have ascertained with respect to the apparent motion of the sun shows us that its centre moves along a great circle of the celestial sphere. It is, however, necessary to observe that this does not necessitate that the actual path of the sun's centre, through space, shall be performed in a circle, of which the earth is centre. We have, hitherto, avoided any reference to the actual distance at which the sun may be situated when at the various points of its path. If these distances were always the same, then no doubt the path of the centre of the sun would really be a circle, but the apparent motions of the sun, so far as they have been explained up to the present, are quite consistent with the supposition that its path is widely different from a circle. We have shown that the centre of the sun is always to be found on a great circle of the celestial sphere which is called the ecliptic. This has been proved by observing the

right ascension and declination of the sun at different periods, and then plotting the observed places on the celestial globe, or making calculations which are equivalent thereto. But merely observing the right ascension and declination of an object does not define the actual position of an object in space; it merely points out the direction in which the object is seen. In fact, any number of objects situated at even enormous distances apart will have the same right ascension and declination, provided that they all lie upon one straight line which is directed to the earth. All, therefore, that our observations of the sun have hitherto shown us, is the *direction* in which the sun was seen on each occasion. It therefore appears that the centre of the sun is always to be seen in a direction which can be found by joining the earth's centre to a point on the ecliptic. As the ecliptic is a great circle of the celestial sphere, of which the earth's centre is the centre, all the lines drawn from the centre to points on the ecliptic will lie in a plane, and, therefore, the centre of the sun must always move in the same plane which passes through the centre of the earth. All, therefore, that the observations hitherto described have taught us, is that the apparent motion of the sun is performed in this plane. The actual form of the apparent path might, for anything we have yet proved, be any closed plane curve which contained the earth in its interior.

To ascertain the actual shape of the curve, it is necessary to know the distance at which the sun is situated at any point of its path, as well as the right ascension and declination which point out its direction. We shall subsequently explain the methods by which the actual distance of the sun is to be determined. For the present, it will be sufficient to point out how the relative distances of the sun at different seasons can be ascertained.

It will of course be admitted that the actual dimensions of the sun are constant, so that any changes in his apparent dimensions, or the angle which the diameter of the sun subtends at the earth, is to be attributed to a change in the distance between the earth and the sun. At a superficial glance the dimensions of the sun appear to be the same at all seasons of the year ; it would, therefore, appear at first sight as if the distance from the sun to the earth were constant, so that consequently the apparent path of the sun must be a circle. When, however, the apparent dimensions of the sun are accurately measured by means of the micrometer we have already described, it is found that though the dimensions are nearly constant, yet that there is an appreciable degree of variation, according to a regular law which is given in the following table :—

Apparent Diameter of the Sun.

Jan. 1	32'	36".4	July I .		31′	32".0
Feb. 1	32	31.8	Aug. I .		31	35.8
March I .	32	20.6	Sept. I.		31	47.0
April I	32	4.0	Oct. I .	.`	32	2.6
May 1	31	48.0	Nov. 1 .		32	19.5
June I	31	36.4	Dec. I.		32	31.6

It appears from this table that the apparent diameter of the sun attains its greatest value at the end of December and the beginning of January, its value being then 32' 36''. After that date the apparent diameter begins to diminish, and reaches its lowest value about the 1st of July, being then 31' 32'' o; after this it commences to increase again, so as to regain its maximum value in the course of the next winter. It appears from § 11 that the apparent diameter is inversely proportional to the distance, and hence from this table we can conclude, with some degree of accuracy, the distances which the sun has on the different dates. Thus it appears that the sun is closest to the earth in midwinter, and most distant from the earth at midsummer, the ratio of the greatest and least distance being

 $\frac{32' \ 36'' \ 4}{31' \ 32'' \ 0} \underbrace{\frac{1956}{1892}}_{N \ 2}$

The distance of the sun from the earth, therefore, changes in a year through about one-thirtieth part of its mean



value. It is thus plain that the orbit of the sun cannot be accurately a circle with the earth situated at its centre. The variation in the distance at the different seasons can, however, be explained to a certain extent by the supposition that the orbit of the sun is a circle, if we suppose that the earth is not situated at the centre of the circle. Let A S B

(Fig. S2) represent the orbit of the sun on this hypothesis, let o be the centre of the circle, and let E be the position of the earth. If, then, the distances EA and EB be connected by the relation $\frac{EA}{EB} = \frac{1956\cdot4}{1892\cdot0}$, the sun when at A or at B would exhibit to an observer at E an apparent semidiameter conformable to what observation shows to be the actual value; the ratio of the distance EO to OA can be easily found, for we have obviously

$$\frac{AO + EO}{AO - EO} = \frac{1956.4}{1892.0},$$

whence $\frac{EO}{AO} = \frac{I}{60}$ very nearly.

To test this supposition with regard to the motion of the sun, we shall calculate the apparent diameter of the sun on this hypothesis at an intermediate position P. We shall take the point P so that the angle A E P is a right angle. We have then, from a well-known proposition in geometry—

$$EP^2 = EA \times EB.$$

If we denote the distance E A by 1956'4, then we have

 $E P^2 = 1956.4 \times 1892.0$, whence E P = 1923.8.

Assuming, therefore, that the apparent motion of the sun can be faithfully represented by supposing the orbit to be a circle, in which the earth is situated excentrically at a distance from the centre equal to the sixtieth part of the radius, we must have for the apparent diameter at P the relation

diameter	at	Ρ		1956.4
diameter	at	A	_	1923.8,

whence we deduce the diameter at P to be 1923''.8 = 32' 3''.8. The sun arrives at P about three months after it leaves A, and its diameter as given by the table on April 1 is 32' 4''.0. It would therefore seem that the apparent changes in the sun's magnitude could be fairly represented by the suppositions we have made. It will, however, be shown subsequently that the orbit is not quite circular, but that it is really an ellipse which approaches so closely to a circle that the difference cannot be satisfactorily established by the observations we have been describing.

§ 65. Velocity of the Sun.—The rate at which the sun performs its motion in its orbit must next engage our attention. In the course of an entire year, the sun returns to the point of the celestial sphere from which it started, and therefore in a little more than 365 days must have described an arc of 360°. The average daily motion of the sun must therefore be somewhat less than one degree. But it is found that the rate of motion is not constant. The sun at certain parts of its path is moving more rapidly than at other parts. If we observe the angular distance through which the centre of the sun actually moves on certain days of twenty-four hours distributed through the year, we shall find that the

Date.			Ar in	c des one	scribed Day.	Date.			Aı ir	c des one	cribed Day.
Jan. 30	•		I°	0′	53''*8	July 29			o°	57'	23".3
March 1			I	0	9.1	Aug. 28	•		0	58	0.2
March 31		•	0	59	9.6	Sept. 27			0	58	56.9
April 30		•	0	58	11.2	Oct. 27			0	59	57'4
May 30	•		0	57	29.0	Nov. 26			I	0	46.6
June 29	•	•	0	57	11.8	Dec. 31	•	•	Ι	I	10,1

alterations in its rates of motion are very appreciable. This will be made clear by the following table.

It appears from this table that the sun attains its greatest arcual velocity about the end of the year, when it moves over no less than 1° 1' 10"' i in a day of twenty-four hours. This, it will be observed, corresponds with the season at which the apparent diameter of the sun attains its greatest value. The smallest value of the sun's apparent arcual velocity is on July 1, when the distance moved over in one day is 57' 11".5. This date corresponds with that at which the apparent diameter of the sun has its smallest value. This coincidence suggests that the sun may be moving uniformly, and that the variations in its apparent velocity are only due to the circumstances of the eccentric position which the earth occupies in the orbit. It is easy to test this supposition by the table which we have just given. If the sun were really moving uniformly, then the apparent velocity would be inversely proportional to its distance. If, therefore, we assume the orbit to be that represented in Fig. 82, we must have

$$\frac{EA}{EB} = \frac{1^{\circ} 1' 10'' \cdot 1}{57' 11'' \cdot 5};$$

from this it is easy to compute that

$$\frac{EO}{AO} = \frac{I}{30}$$
 very nearly.

This result is fatal to the hypothesis on which the cal-

Apparent Motion of the Sun. 183

culations were commenced. If we suppose that the sun were to move uniformly in a circle in which the earth was placed eccentrically, then, according to the observed variations of the sun's apparent diameter, the distance of the earth, from the centre of the circle, should be the *sixtieth* part of the radius; according, however, to the observed values of the arcs through which the sun moves at the seasons of greatest and least apparent velocity, the distance of the earth from the centre of the circle should be about the *thirtieth* part of the radius. These two results are so inconsistent, that we are obliged to discard this hypothesis with respect to the apparent motion of the sun. If the sun's motion be uniform, it cannot be circular; or if it be circular, it cannot be uniform : as a matter of fact the apparent motion of the sun is neither circular nor uniform.

The real path in which the sun appears to move, as well as the law according to which its velocity changes in different parts of its orbit, can be determined by what are known as Kepler's laws. These laws were originally discovered by observations of the planet Mars, and we shall return to their consideration on a subsequent occasion. For our present purpose it will be sufficient to assume—

1st. That the apparent path of the sun around the earth is a plane ellipse with the earth situated in one of the foci.

2nd. That the area described by the radius vector, drawn from the earth to the sun, in a given time, is proportional to that time.

These two laws have been so abundantly verified that we may adopt them without the slightest hesitation. By their aid it will be very easy to render a satisfactory account both of the variations in the apparent diameter of the sun and the alteration in its velocity.

Let M b N (Fig. 83) be the ellipse in which the sun moves, let M N be the major axis of this ellipse, and let T be the focus in which the earth is situated. When the sun is at

N, its distance from the earth attains its greatest value and the angular dimensions are a minimum. On the other hand, when the sun is at M, the distance is a minimum, and therefore the angular diameter is a maximum. By the method we have already explained, we can deduce the rela-



tive magnitudes of T N and T M, and thence the ratio of O T to O N when O is the centre of the ellipse. This is called the *eccentricity of the ellipse*, and, as already explained, it is equal to $1 \div 60$.

The elliptic motion can therefore explain the alterations

in the apparent diameter of the sun. It remains to be shown how the second law, discovered by Kepler, accounts for the changes in the velocity of the sun's motion.

Let M' be the position of the sun when near one extremity of the ellipse, and N' a position near the other extremity. Let these points be so chosen that the time occupied by the sun in moving from M to M' is equal to that required to move from N to N'. According to Kepler's second law, the areas swept over on each occasion by the radius vector will be proportional to the time, and consequently the area M T M' will be equal to NTN'. This being the case, it is obvious that the arc M M' must be considerably longer than the arc N N' in order that the shaded areas represented in the figure shall be equal. It thus appears that the sun, when in the neighbourhood of M, must move more rapidly than when in the neighbourhood of M'. We can also bring this easily to the test of numerical calculation. If we take the points M' and N' so near to M and N respectively that the arcs M M' and N N' may be practically considered as straight lines, then from the equality of areas we have-

$$M M' \times T M = N N' \times T N$$
,

whence

 $\frac{M M'}{T M} : \frac{N N'}{T N} :: T N^2 : T M^2.$

But the two first quantities denote the angles M T M' and N T N' when these angles are both indefinitely small, and hence—

 $\frac{\text{angular velocity at }M}{\text{angular velocity at }N} = \frac{T N^2}{T M^2};$

but we have already seen that $\frac{T N}{T M} = \frac{61}{59}$, and hence $\frac{\text{angular velocity at } M}{\text{angular velocity at } N} = \frac{16}{15}$ very nearly. This closely coincides with the ratio of the greatest and least angular velocities actually found, and it can also be shown that the sun's angular velocity at any other points of its path is accurately expressed by this law.

§ 66. Changes in the Length of the Seasons.—The elliptic path of the sun lies, of course, in the plane of the ecliptic. This plane cuts the celestial sphere in a great circle A B C D (Fig. 83). In this figure A c is the line of equinoxes and B D the line of solstices. These lines are accurately drawn with regard to the ellipse, and it will be noticed that the major axis of the ellipse is nearly coincident with the line of solstices. When the sun is seen in the vernal equinox, it is referred to the point a on the celestial sphere, though it really occupies the position A. In moving from the vernal equinox to the summer solstice the sun moves from a to b along its real path. The duration of spring is therefore equal to the time the sun takes to go from a to b. In the summer the sun travels from b to c, in autumn from c to d, and in winter from d to a.

A curious inequality in the length of the different seasons follows from the eccentricity of the sun's orbit. It will be noticed that during the spring and the summer the sun is in that portion of its path where it moves least rapidly, while during the autumn and the winter it moves most rapidly. The changes in the lengths of the seasons which are thus produced are not considerable, though still sufficient to be appreciable. The true lengths of the seasons when this circumstance is attended to are :—

			Days	Hours	Min.
Spring .			92	20	59
Summer		 •	93	14	13
Autumn			89	18	35
Winter.	•	1.5	89	0	2

The duration of spring and summer taken together is

found to be 186 days 11 hours 12 minutes, while the duration of autumn and winter together is 178 days 18 hours 37 minutes. During spring and summer the sun is in the northern hemisphere, while in autumn and winter he is in the southern hemisphere. It therefore appears that in each year the sun remains about eight days longer in the northern hemisphere than in the southern.

CHAPTER V.

THE STRUCTURE OF THE SUN.

§ 67. Dimensions of the Sun.-The sun, though really a globe, appears to the unaided eye in the form of a circular disc, of which the diameter subtends at our eyes an average angle of about 32'. The mean distance of the sun from the earth, as concluded from various data, is between 92,200,000 and 92,700,000 miles. Adopting a mean value, it is easy to calculate that the diameter of the sun is about 860,000 miles-that is, about 110 times the diameter of the earth. The volume of the sun is therefore about 1,330,000 times as great as the volume of the earth. A distance of 447 miles on the surface of the sun subtends an angle of one second at the earth. A spider line used in a large telescope will cover a portion of the sun which may be a quarter of a second in breadth : thus a strip of the sun's surface over 100 miles wide is hidden behind the spider line. The diameter of the earth subtends at the sun an angle of about 17".6. To subtend an angle so small as this, a globe one foot in diameter would have to be moved to a distance of upwards of two miles. The radius of the earth subtends an angle of 8".8.

§ 68. Sun Spots.—When the sun is observed through a telescope, proper precautions having first been taken to diminish by means of coloured glass, or some similar contrivance, the intense brilliancy of its image, a remarkable phenomenon is often noticed. The brilliant surface of the sun is often found marked over with black spots such as are represented in Fig. 84. The central portion of the spot is intensely dark, and it is surrounded by a margin which, though much darker than the general surface of the sun, is not nearly so dark as the centre of the spot. Sometimes the spots attain very considerable dimensions : occasionally a spot will attain

F1G. 84.



a diameter of thirty or forty seconds. It will thus be obvious that the real dimensions of the spots are enormous, because, as a second corresponds to a distance of 447 miles, it is evident that a spot thirty seconds in diameter must have a real diameter of 13,400 miles. At their first appearance the spots are generally found on the eastern edge of the sun; they then pass over the surface of the sun, and in about fourteen days they disappear on the western side.

From observations of these spots we are led to the very remarkable conclusion that the sun is actually rotating. It might at first be supposed that the spot was a body situated above the surface of the sun, and revolving around the sun; but this supposition is inconsistent with the observed facts. Let s represent the sun, let T be the position of an observer on the earth, and suppose that a spot is caused by a body revolving around the sun in the orbit A B C. When the object reaches A, the observer will for the first time see the spot on the surface of the sun, and it will continue visible

on the surface until it arrives at B. During the rest of the journey of the object through the arc B C A it will not be visible on the surface of the sun. The object will therefore be projected against the sun's disc while traversing the arc A B, but will not be so projected while traversing the arc B C A. Assuming, therefore, that the object moves with approximate uniformity, and observing that the arc A B is only a small part of the total orbit, it follows that the time during which the object is invisible must greatly exceed the time during which it is visible. This, however, is not the case. Each spot is visible, projected on the sun's surface, for a period of fourteen days, and it remains invisible for the same period. This can only be explained by the supposition that the spot is actually on the sun himself.

As the sun always appears circular, and as it rotates upon its axis, the actual shape of the sun must, undoubtedly, be spherical. The most careful observations have not afforded reliable indications of any ellipticity in the figure of the sun.

When a spot is carefully observed for some days it is often seen to undergo changes in its form and size which

FIG 84A.

cannot be accounted for by the foreshortening which is due to its approach to the limb of the sun. Occasionally a spot is seen to become smaller and smaller or, indeed, to disappear altogether, while new spots are often formed in places where previously no trace of a spot existed. The changes in the form of a spot generally take place gradually, but sometimes this is not so, and marked changes have occasionally been noted in the lapse of a few hours or less.

The apparent time of rotation of the sun on its axis, as concluded by the reappearance of the spot, is therefore liable to be affected by the actual motion of the spot. An accurate determination of the periodic time of the sun's rotation is, therefore, at best, only to be made by taking the mean result of a number of observations made with spots on different parts of the surface. This is the more necessary because spots near the sun's equator give a shorter period of rotation than those in higher latitudes; the equatorial regions appear to rotate in 25 days, those at latitude 20° in $25\frac{3}{4}$ days, those at latitude 30° in $26\frac{1}{2}$ days.

The spots are not distributed uniformly over the surface of the sun. They are principally found on two zones, one on the northern hemisphere and the other on the southern. These zones lie between about 10° and 35° of heliocentric latitude.

The numbers of the spots on the surface of the sun undergo regular periodic changes in amount. Schwabe, who observed the sun regularly, from the year 1826 to 1868, discovered that the sun spots have very well marked periods of maxima and minima, which succeed each other at intervals of about eleven years. The number of spots, after a minimum, commences to increase rapidly, until in three and a half years the maximum is reached. The decline to the next minimum takes place more slowly, and a period of seven and a half years is required. The average period is . 11'1 years, but with frequent irregularities as to the time of maxima. It is a remarkable fact that the phenomena of terrestrial magnetism are in some way connected with the state of the sun's surface. It has been shown that certain magnetic disturbances recur at regular intervals, and that the period of these disturbances is equal to the period in the frequency of sun spots, so that the maxima and minima of these solar and terrestrial phenomena occur at the same moments.

§ 69. The Nature of Sun Spots.-The telescope reveals a great many interesting details on the surface of the sun. In order to prevent the observer's eye from being injured by the excessive glare of the solar rays some special kind of eye piece must be used, as described above in § 52. When seen under a high magnifying power the surface of the sun appears to consist of a somewhat fainter background dotted over with brilliantly luminous grains or flakes, several hundred miles in diameter, and of irregular shape. They are apparently luminous clouds formed by condensation from the substances which in the lower strata of the sun's body exist in a gaseous form. Near the limb of the sun the 'photosphere' or visible surface is fainter, and certain brilliant patches or streaks called faculæ are therefore more readily seen near the limb than near the middle of the disc. They occur most frequently in the neighbourhood of sun spots, but are on a higher level than these, and are therefore less obscured by the absorption of light due to the solar atmosphere. The spots generally consist of two well-marked portions-the central part or nucleus, which appears black by contrast with the general brilliancy of the sun (though in reality it is brighter than a calcium light), and the outer portion or penumbra, formed of threadlike streaks arranged radially. Occasionally a bright bridge spans over the nuclei of more irregularly shaped spots. The diameter of the nucleus sometimes reaches a size six or eight times the diameter of the earth.

Sun spots are apparently cavities in the surface, filled with gases or vapours at a lower temperature than the neighbouring regions of the surface. In 1769 Wilson of Glasgow observed a spot the nucleus of which was nearly

FIG. 85.



circular, while the penumbra was also very nearly a circle concentric with the nucleus. Wilson watched this spot as it gradually drew near to the edge of the sun by reason of the rotation of the sun. He observed that the penumbra soon ceased to be symmetrical, and that while the portion of the penumbra nearest the centre of the

The Structure of the Sun.

sun's disc gradually diminished, that on the other side preserved very nearly constant dimensions. When the spot, after an interval of about a fortnight, reappeared on the eastern limb of the sun, the series of changes were repeated in the inverse order, the nucleus being at first very eccentrically placed, and the penumbra on the side away from the limb growing by degrees, until when the spot regained the centre of the disc the nucleus was again seen to be symmetrical with respect to the penumbra. These observed alterations could only be attributed

FIG. 85A.

to the effects of perspective (as the reader will readily see by holding a teacup with the bottom blackened in various positions before him), and seemed to prove that the nucleus was the bottom of a cavity with sloping sides.

This foreshortening seems, however, when observations of spots extending over many years are examined, to be by no means an everyday occurrence, as the majority of spots do not show it; but the conclusion which was perhaps somewhat hastily drawn from Wilson's few observations, viz., that the nuclei of sun spots are on a lower level than the general surface of the photosphere, seems to be still admitted by most observers. The theory of the origin of sun spots which appears to accord best with the observed phenomena, and which has been ably advocated by Professor J. Norman Lockyer, assumes a spot to represent a downrush

0

of cooler matter from the upper regions of the solar atmosphere into the region of luminous clouds forming the photosphere.

§ 70. The Solar Spectrum.-The spectroscope shows the spectrum of the sun to be continuous, but crossed by a great number of dark 'Fraunhofer lines' caused by absorption in the lower regions of the sun's atmosphere (see Chapter I., § 19). By a simple arrangement the spectrum of any chemical element in the state of vapour may be viewed in the same field with the sun spectrum, whereby the coincidence of the bright lines of the vapour with some of the dark lines of the sun reveals the existence of the vapour in question in the atmosphere. In this way (either by visual observations or by photographing the two spectra) the existence of various elements in the sun has been demonstrated, e.g., hydrogen, iron, calcium, manganese, sodium, magnesium, and others. But a number of elements, which on the earth are of great importance, are missing, such as sulphur, phosphorus, nitrogen, and probably also oxygen. As suggested by Lockyer, these substances may, all the same, exist on the sun, as they may not be truly 'elements,' but composite substances which on the sun are dissociated by the intense heat.

The atmospheric layer in which the dark absorption lines of the spectrum originate should, of course, if observed without the luminous background of the sun, give a spectrum of the very same lines bright instead of dark. This has been seen during several total eclipses of the sun, at the moment when the moon has just covered the whole disc of the sun but not yet the atmosphere immediately outside the limb. The dark lines were then seen to be suddenly *reversed*, *i.e.*, changed into bright lines, which again faded away gradually after being only visible for about two seconds, after which this *reversing layer* was also covered by the advancing moon. The existence of this reversing layer as a separate stratum is, however, doubted by many, as the extremely short time during which the lines have been seen reversed has not enabled the observers to ascertain whether all the lines were reversed at the limb or only some.

The spectrum of a sun spot shows the ordinary solar spectrum much diminished in brightness, while some of the dark lines are more or less widened and increased in intensity, showing increased absorption by some of the substances of which the spet is composed. It is of special interest that most of the lines of any element are not affected in this manner, but only a few, a circumstance which will doubtless eventually be of great importance for the advancement of our knowledge of the constitution of the sun. Mr. Lockyer, who has devoted much time to observations of spot spectra, also finds a certain connection between the periodicity in the number of sun spots and the number of lines in their spectra which are subjected to this widening.

In the spectrum of a spot or its immediate neighbourhood we occasionally see some of the lines bent or broken. This is caused by the violent motion of the gaseous matter of the spot in the direction to or from the observer. When a source of light is very rapidly approaching the observer the number of waves of light reaching him every second is increased, and the length of these waves is therefore diminished, and the reverse takes place when the source of light is receding from the observer. Therefore, as the wave length is shortened the refrangibility of a certain ray in the solar spectrum is increased when the matter emitting this ray is moving towards us with a considerable speed, and the line will seem to be displaced by a very minute quantity towards the violet end of the spectrum. Similarly, if the matter giving rise to the line is moving away from us with great velocity, the line will become slightly displaced towards the

red end of the spectrum (Doppler's principle). The actual velocity of the matter can be determined by measuring the amount of displacement, and we frequently find masses of gas, generally about the edges of a sun spot, moving up or down with a speed of from thirty to fifty miles a second, while a speed of two or three hundred miles is occasionally met with. It is deserving of notice that it sometimes happens that not *all* the lines due to a certain element, such as iron, are distorted in a certain region of the sun, but only some, and this might be readily explained if we accept Professor Lockyer's idea that the laboratory spectrum of iron really consists of several superposed spectra of the constituents of what has hitherto been supposed to be an element.

The rotational speed of the solar surface may also be determined by measuring the displacement of lines on the east and west limbs of the sun, as in consequence of the sun's rotation the eastern limb is moving towards us and the western away from us with a velocity of about I_4^1 mile a second. The displacement is of course a very minute one, and the observation therefore very difficult, but good results have been found by several observers, especially by Dunér. The comparison between the rotation as measured spectroscopically by this observer and as obtained from sun spots shows a good agreement, the spectroscope also bringing out the variation of the velocity of rotation with the latitude.

§ 71. The Chromosphere and the Prominences.—During total eclipses of the sun a number of scarlet cloudlike objects are generally seen about the edge of the sun's disc which are invisible in full daylight owing to the overpowering glare of the sun's light. They were first spectroscopically observed during the eclipse in India in 1868, when they were found to be gaseous and chiefly composed of hydrogen. That they would exhibit a gaseous spectrum had
been expected for some time, and was at last found by Lockyer immediately before the news of the eclipse observations reached Europe. One of the observers of the eclipse (Janssen) had also succeeded in seeing the spectra of these so-called prominences in full daylight, after the eclipse had shown him how bright the lines were. A spectroscope of sufficiently great dispersive power weakens the spectrum of our own brightly illuminated atmosphere without making the lines in the spectra of these solar appendages fainter. If the slit of the spectroscope is opened wide, the observer will instead of bright lines (or images of the slit) see a number of differently coloured images of the prominences, which in this manner may be studied at any time. The sun is seen to be surrounded by a thin atmospheric layer (about five to ten thousand miles thick), the so-called chromosphere consisting chiefly of hydrogen, from which the great clouds known as prominences often rise to heights of several hundred thousand miles. It is particularly the eruptive prominences which attain such heights; they are also remarkable by showing in their spectra a number of metallic lines besides those of hydrogen. They occur only in the spot zones, and generally only near active and rapidly changing sun spots, while the *quiescent* or cloudlike prominences, which are composed almost only of hydrogen and helium, occur on all parts of the sun. The intimate association between these eruptive prominences and the sun spots shows that the latter must be connected with violent disturbances at the surface of the sun.1

In 1868 a yellow line was discovered by Prof. Lockyer in the spectrum of the chromosphere and prominences

¹ We may specially refer to Professor Lockyer's work on the *Chemistry of the Sun*, in which will be found a general account of the subject, while the fuller details of the solar work on which Professor Lockyer has been engaged for so many years will be found in the *Phil. Trans.* and *Proceedings of the Royal Society.*

which indicated the presence of some substance in the sun unknown on the earth. This sun element was appropriately called helium. In 1895 it was discovered by Ramsay that a certain rare mineral, cleveite, could be made to yield a gas which, though quite unknown to terrestrial chemistry, gave a spectral line identical with that of helium. We thus have the unique fact in the history of science that an element existing on the earth in many different substances, though no doubt in small quantities, was first discovered not by terrestrial chemists but by astronomers, who found this element in the sun 93,000,000 miles away.

§ 72. The Corona.—The chromosphere and the prominences are not the only solar appendages which become



conspicuous during a total eclipse. Even to the naked eye the eclipsed sun is seen to be surrounded by a brilliant halo, which is brightest along the limb of the sun, where it is of a greenish or grey tinge, and fades away in all directions, often with long streamers exceeding the radius of the sun in length. Fig. 86 gives a general idea of the appearance of this

198

corona as seen by Swift at Denver, Colorado, during the total eclipse of July 29, 1878. The corona varies much both in brightness and general appearance at different eclipses, and observers also frequently differ much in the drawings which they make of the phenomenon, for which reason photographs of the corona form a safer basis on which to build investigations of its nature. Attempts have been made to render the corona visible outside an eclipse by means of photography, but hitherto without success.

The spectrum of the corona consists of a continuous spectrum, probably due to sunlight reflected from matter in the form of dust or fog, and a bright line in the green part of the spectrum, together with the hydrogen lines.

§ 73. Constitution of the Sun.-The low density of the sun, only one and a quarter times that of water, as well as the exceedingly high temperature, point to the nature of the body of the sun being gaseous, though the intense heat and the enormous force of gravity must render the gaseous substances very dense and viscous, like pitch. Even the enormous pressure to which the matter in the interior of the sun must be subject cannot render the gases liquid, as the temperature cannot possibly fall below the 'critical temperature' of the various gases, above which no amount of pressure will change a gas into a liquid. The atmosphere of the sun must be of very great extent, the corona representing to some extent the outermost portions of it, though it must be chiefly caused by meteoric matter or matter ejected from the sun and not in a gaseous state. But the chief difficulty at present is the nature of the upper layers of the photosphere and of the envelope which causes the dark Fraunhofer lines. According to Mr. Lockyer's view, the atmosphere consists of distinct strata, in the lower ones of which only those constituents of our terrestrial 'elements' are found which can resist the greater heat of these regions, while the elements are in process of ultimate formation in the upper and cooler strata, where those lines which

correspond to the more complex combinations are produced. The luminous 'clouds' of the photosphere which in our photographs appear as 'rice grains' or 'willow leaves' are very probably (as suggested by Dr. Johnstone Stoney) formed of carbon, a substance which is of low vapour

FIG. 86A.

•		
	0	

density, but not capable of existing as vapour in the cooler parts of the sun's atmosphere, while it is volatile at the high temperature and pressure which exist at the surface of the sun.

§ 74. Zodiacal Light.—From February to April the phenomenon known as the zodiacal light may be seen in the

west shortly after sunset, and in the autumn before sunrise. The zodiacal light is a faint luminosity of a triangular shape, shown by the shaded portion of the adjoining figure (Fig. 86A). As the sun gradually descends lower below the horizon, this phenomenon disappears ; it is noticed that the direction in which the light is most extended coincides with the ecliptic, and that consequently the sun is situated with regard to the zodiacal light in the manner shown in the figure. It is generally supposed that the matter whose luminosity gives rise to the zodiacal light is in the shape of a thin disc, and that it is a sort of appendage placed around the sun, though possibly extending even further than the earth's orbit. Whatever be the materials of which this object is composed, they must be of extreme tenuity, inasmuch as faint stars are easily visible through its entire thickness. Probably it is made up of an immense number of minute bodies reflecting the sunlight, as the spectrum is a faint continuous one.

CHAPTER VI.

MOTION OF THE EARTH AROUND THE SUN.

§ 75. Revolution of the Earth.—It has been already shown, in discussing the apparent diurnal revolution of the heavens, that the true explanation of that motion is to be sought in the fact that the earth revolves on its axis, and thus produces the same effect as would be observed if the celestial sphere actually rotated in the opposite direction. We have now to consider whether the apparent annual motion of the sun around the heavens is to be regarded as real, or whether it may not also be found to arise from an actual movement of the earth. In the first place it is to be noted

that the apparent movement of the sun could be explained by the supposition that the earth is revolving around the sun, and not the sun around the earth ; this may be rendered clear by an illustration. Imagine a large open circular space to be entirely surrounded by a forest, and in the centre of the space a single tree to remain. If you were to walk round this tree, you would at each point of your path see the tree projected against the trees of the forest, but the position of the projection will be continually changing, and by the time you have regained your original position, the tree will in succession have appeared in line with each of the trees in the ring bounding the open space ; if you could be unconscious of your own motion, the observed facts would suggest that the central tree was actually revolving round you. To apply this illustration to the present case, we suppose the central tree to be analogous to the sun, and the distant trees of the forest to be analogous to the stars. What we actually observe is the apparent motion of the sun among the stars, but this is really due to the motion of the earth, of which we are unconscious.

It will be easy to show that the apparent elliptic orbit of the sun about the earth in one of the foci could really be produced by an actual motion of the earth in an elliptic orbit, with the sun in one of the foci. In considering this subject we may overlook the effect of the earth's rotation upon its axis, because the effect of this rotation has already been completely examined. Let s, s', s'', s''' (Fig. 87) represent the apparent orbit of the sun around the earth in the focus T. Suppose the ellipse be rotated through two right angles around the point x, which bisects s T, until the ellipse assumes the position T, T', T''. Then the lines T s', T s'', T s''', in the original ellipse, will be transferred to the positions s T', s T''. Since the ellipse has been turned round through two right angles, every straight line in the figure will also have been turned through two right angles, and consequently each line in the second ellipse will be parallel to the

corresponding line in the first ellipse. It thus appears that the lines T S', T S'', T S''' will be respectively parallel to the lines S T', S T'', S T'''; observing that the stars are enormously distant compared with the dimensions of the ellipses now under consideration, then the apparent motion of the sun among the stars can be explained by supposing either that the sun moves in the orbit S, S', S'', S''', around the earth T at rest, or that the earth moves in the orbit T, T', T'', T''', around the sun s at rest. For example, when the sun is at S', and the earth at T, the sun appears to have a certain position with respect to the fixed stars; but this position will be the same as if the sun had been at S, and the earth at T'_{2} for as the lines T S'



and T's are parallel, and as the celestial sphere may be regarded at an infinitely great distance, the two lines T s' and T's will really intersect the celestial sphere in the same point. In the same way the apparent position of the sun at s", seen from the earth at T, coincides with the position in which the sun at s is seen from the earth at T", and similarly for the other points of the orbit. It is thus equally easy to explain the apparent movements of the sun by the hypothesis that the sun is at rest in the focus, and that the earth moves around the sun in an ellipse, or by the hypothesis that the earth is at rest in 'the focus, and that the sun moves round the earth in an ellipse. It is, indeed, easy to see that on either supposition the law of the description of equal areas in equal times will be fulfilled. To decide which of these two hypotheses we shall accept, we must introduce other considerations.

It is in the first place to be observed that the diameter of the sun is more than one hundred times as large as the diameter of the earth, and that the bulk of the sun is stupendously greater than the bulk of the earth. It therefore seems more natural that the earth, being a small body, should revolve around the sun, being a large body, rather than that the converse should take place.

There is, however, other evidence on this subject, derived from a study of the planets. Let us take, for example, the planet Venus. Shortly after sunset at the proper season, this object appears like a brilliant star in the west. On subsequent evenings the angular distance between Venus and the sun gradually increases, until the planet reaches its greatest elongation, when it is about 47° from the sun. Venus then begins to return towards the sun, and after some time ceases to be visible, as its light is overpowered by the brilliancy of the sun. Ere long the planet may be seen in the east shortly before sunrise. The time between the rising of the planet, and the rising of the sun, gradually increases, until Venus again reaches the greatest distance from the sun, after which it commences to return again, passes the sun, and may be seen at evening in the west as before. It appears from these observations that Venus is continually moving from one side of the sun to the other, and is never found at a distance of more than 47° from the sun. The question remains as to how these movements are to be explained. It is noticed that when Venus is at its greatest distance from the sun, its apparent movement is much slower than when it is nearer the sun. It is further shown by the telescope that when Venus is at its greatest angular distance from the sun, the disk is seen to be half illuminated, like the moon at the quarter. The planet is

also, on very rare occasions, seen actually to pass between the earth and the sun, the phenomenon being known as the transit of Venus. From all these facts it is inferred that the planet Venus is really a dark globular body, which moves around the sun in 224 days in a certain orbit. As the sun executes its apparent motion among the stars, the planet seems to accompany it, alternately appearing to the east and the west of the sun, and never more than 47° distant therefrom. Precisely similar, though on a smaller scale, are the apparent motions of Mercury. This planet does not go so far from the sun as Venus, its greatest elongation being only 28°. The time in which Mercury revolves round the sun is 87 days.

We thus see that there are two planets, Mercury and Venus, which certainly appear to move round the sun. If we compare Mercury or Venus with the earth, we find some striking points of resemblance. All three bodies are approximately spherical, and they are all dependent upon the sun for light. It is therefore not at all unreasonable to enquire whether the analogy between the three bodies may not extend farther. We have already seen that the phenomenon of the apparent annual motion of the sun could be explained by supposing that the sun is really at rest, and that the earth moves round the sun. When we combine this fact with the presumption afforded by the analogy between the Earth and Mercury and Venus, we are led to the belief that the Earth, Mercury, and Venus are all bodies of the same general character, and all agree in moving around the sun, which is the common source of light and heat to the three bodies.

Nor are other confirmations wanting of the same important truth. By careful observations of the fixed stars, a very beautiful phenomenon, known as the aberration of light, has been discovered. This receives a most satisfactory explanation when the revolution of the earth around the sun is admitted, while it would be wholly inconsistent with the hypothesis that the sun revolves around the earth. The theory of universal gravitation affords so satisfactory an explanation of many most remarkable phenomena connected with the motions of the heavenly bodies, that not a doubt can remain of its truth in the mind of any person capable of understanding the subject. Yet the theory of universal gravitation is indissolubly connected with and identified with the theory that the earth revolves around the sun.

We shall, therefore, assume that the earth really describes an elliptic orbit around the sun, though this statement is indeed not absolutely accurate. Even if all the other planets were absent, all we could say would be that the earth and the sun each describe elliptic orbits about their common centre of gravity. Owing to the vast preponderance of the mass of the sun on the mass of the earth, the centre of gravity of the two bodies is comparatively close to the centre of the sun, and may for most purposes be regarded as absolutely identical with the centre of the sun. The problem of the earth's motion becomes still more complicated when the actions of the different planets upon the earth and sun are taken into account. Owing to these disturbances, the ellipse which the earth describes is gradually modified both in form and position within narrow limits. We may, however, generally suppose that the earth revolves in an ellipse in one of the foci of which the sun is situated.

When the earth occupies the point τ (Fig. 87), it is then nearer to the sun in the focus s than it is in any other part of the orbit, and this point is termed perihelion. The opposite extremity of the major axis of the ellipse is termed the aphelion, and the earth when in that position is at its greatest distance from the sun. The mean distance of the earth from the sun is an arithmetical mean between the aphelion distance and perihelion distance, and is equal to the semiaxis major of the ellipse.

The axis of rotation of the earth is, of course, carried

round the sun once in the year by the motion of the earth itself. It is exceedingly important to observe that, during the translation of the axis, the direction of the axis remains constantly parallel to itself. This is shown in Fig. 88, which represents the earth in four different parts of its orbit, though it is impossible in a figure of this kind to maintain the actual proportions of the respective sizes and distances. The lines N s in the four different positions of the earth denote the axes of rotation, and these four lines are parallel.



As the whole dimensions of the orbit of the earth are quite insignificant compared with the distances of the stars, the direction of the axis of rotation of the earth points always to the same point on the celestial sphere, i.e. to the point we have already determined as the celestial pole.

For a more detailed exposition of the circumstances attending the revolution of the earth around the sun, we may refer to Fig. 89, in which the position of the earth is given for each month of the year. The positions of the earth are also shown at the summer and winter solstices as well as at the vernal and autumnal equinoxes. When the earth is at the summer solstice, then all the region within the arctic circle remains constantly on the illuminated side of



the earth, while at the winter solstice the region within the arctic circle is in continual darkness. At the equinoxes it will be observed that the boundary of light and shade passes through the pole.

§ 76. Precession of the Equinoxes .- Although we are practically correct in asserting that during the revolution of the earth around the sun, the direction of the axis of rotation remains constant, yet when the direction of this axis is compared with the position which it occupied at a remote interval of time, it is seen that certain well-marked alterations in the position have taken place. These changes are principally manifested to us by an apparent alteration in the places of the stars. We have already explained how the position of a star is determined by its right ascension and declination. Both these measurements are made with respect to the celestial equator. If the equator of the earth were constantly and absolutely parallel to itself, then the celestial equator would remain constant also; but if the earth's equator were in motion, then the celestial equator would undergo corresponding alterations in its position with reference to the fixed stars. If we were unconscious of any motion of the earth, then the alterations in the celestial equator would produce changes in the places of the stars. The extent of these changes can be determined by observation, and we can thence deduce the actual changes of the equator, and therefore of the direction of the axis about which the earth is rotating. We shall first point out the nature of the changes in the apparent positions of the stars which observation has revealed to us, and which are to be attributed to the changes in the position of the earth's axis of rotation.

Take for example the most brilliant star in the heavens, Sirius, and determine the right ascension of that star at intervals of time differing by ten years. We find from actual observations the following values :---

P

²⁰⁹

Mean Right Ascension of Sirius.

			Hours	Min.	Sec
January	ı,	1847	, 6	38	25
,,		1857	, 6	38	51
,,		1867	, 6	39	17
,,		1877	, 6	39	44

We thus see that on the four dates here referred to, the mean right ascension of Sirius has perceptibly altered. It will also be perceived that these changes have taken place with considerable uniformity, the average increase of the right ascension being about 2.65 seconds per annum. Though this may seem to be a small quantity, yet from the circumstance that the change is always taking place in the same direction, its amount soon becomes appreciable, and in the course of centuries attains a magnitude which could hardly be overlooked even by the rudest methods of observing.

To understand the importance of this phenomenon, it is necessary to bear in mind that right ascension is measured from the vernal equinox, which is one of the points of intersection of the ecliptic with the celestial equator. It appears that on January 1, 1847, the point on the celestial sphere where the vernal equinox was at that time situated, crossed the meridian 6h 38m 25s before Sirius crossed the same meridian. Thirty years later, on January 1, 1877, the vernal equinox crossed the meridian 6h 39m 44s before Sirius. This statement admits of but a single interpretation. The angular distance between Sirius and the vernal equinox, as measured on the celestial sphere, must be greater in 1877 than it was thirty years previously. This phenomenon has been called the Precession of the Equinoxes, because, comparing the equinox with Sirius, the former came a little earlier on the meridian in 1877 than it did in 1847. The years we have chosen are merely for the value of illustration : whatever two years were taken, it would be found that in the latter of these two years, the distance of Sirius from the vernal equinox (measured in the same direction on the equator) would be greater than the former.

Nor is there any special feature connected with the star Sirius, which renders it peculiarly fitted for the exhibition of this phenomenon. Had any other star been chosen, it would have been found that the distance between the vernal equinox and the star was continually changing, though it may be with a different velocity, and in some cases even with a different direction, to the changes we have noted in the case of Sirius.

From these observations we are obliged to admit that the positions of the equinoxes, and the positions of the stars on the surface of the celestial sphere, are constantly in a state of change. As the equinoxes are merely the intersection of the ecliptic and the equator, it becomes necessary to admit that either the equator or the ecliptic, or both, must have a certain motion on the heavens relatively to the stars, or that the stars must have a motion relatively to these circles.

The solution of the problem is much narrowed by observing that, at any rate, there is no motion of the ecliptic with respect to the stars at all adequate to account for so considerable a phenomenon as the precession of the equinoxes. Each year the sun passes through the same constellations in its annual progress, and the path of its track as marked out by the stars along the line is sensibly constant, or at all events is not endowed with any change large enough to be seriously considered for the present purpose. If we were enabled to see the stars in the vicinity of the sun (which under ordinary circumstances his bright light overpowers,) we should find, for example, that every 23rd of May the sun passed between the Pleiades and the Hyades; that every 21st of August the sun was to be found very close to Regulus (a Leonis), while on every 15th of October he passed a little above Spica (a Virginis). The track of the sun among the stars is practically invariable, and hence

P 2

to account for the apparent motion of the equinox we must suppose that the ecliptic is at rest, and that the equator is in motion.

We have hitherto only referred to the changes in the right ascensions of the stars; before proceeding further we must ascertain whether there are not corresponding changes in the declinations or the polar distances of stars. It will be found by comparing observations of the same star, made at considerable intervals of time, that the polar distances are changing. Thus, for the case of Sirius, we have at the dates already given :—

Mean Polar Distance of Sirius.

January 1	, 1847,	10 <u>6</u> 0	30'	37″
"	1857,	106	31	24
"	1867,	106	32	II
33	1877,	106	32	56

It thus appears that the angular distance of Sirius from the pole of the celestial sphere is constantly increasing. In the lapse of thirty years, this increase has attained to the substantial amount of upwards of two minutes, at the uniform rate of about 4".6 annually. Similar observations made with respect to other stars show, in general, an alteration of the polar distance which will be found to be uniform in the same stars for hundreds of years. It follows that either the celestial pole must be moving relatively to the stars, or that the stars must be moving relatively to the celestial pole. Which alternative will it be the most reasonable to adopt? Let us remember that the configurations of the stars inter se are constant, and that the constellations of the present day are the same as the constellations thousands of years ago. As, therefore, the stars do not move perceptibly when compared together, it is much more natural to suppose that the changes of the pole with respect to the stars are really due

to the motion of the pole and not to any motion of the stars.

To this conclusion we are also conducted by the observed changes in right ascension of the stars, for if the equator be in motion—and we have seen that it is—then the pole, which is merely the point on the celestial sphere 90° from the pole, must be in motion also.

Having thus ascertained that the pole has a certain slow movement, the next point to be considered is the exact determination of the rate of that movement, and of the path along which the pole moves. We shall show that the observed facts can be explained by the supposition that the celestial pole is moving on the surface of the celestial sphere in a small circle with a uniform velocity. It remains to determine the pole of this small circle, and the length of the arc which is equal to its radius.

We have already explained how the obliquity of the ecliptic is to be determined : it is, in fact, merely the greatest declination of the sun at midsummer (§ 54). We here give the obliquity of the ecliptic determined by this method in the four years already referred to.

June 21,	1847	•	•	•	23°	27'	23".56
,,	1857			•	23	27	37.12
19	1867				23	27	13.85
"	1877	•			23	27	26.21

It would not be correct to say that these different values of the obliquity are absolutely identical. Yet the variations in its value are extremely small. In fact, the mean of the four values just given is—

23° 27′ 25″.26,

and the difference between this quantity and the greatest or least of the four observed values is only about one seventhousandth part of the total amount. For our present purpose we may overlook these small discrepancies, and

suppose that the obliquity of the ecliptic is constant. We are thus led to the conclusion that, notwithstanding the equator is continually in motion among the stars, it still preserves constantly the same inclination to the plane of the ecliptic.

Let A B C D (Fig. 90) denote the ecliptic, and let E E be the equator. Then regarding A B C D as fixed, the equator



moves so as to occupy the successive positions E' A' E', E'' A'' E'', &c., while the inclinations at A, A', A'' remain constant. Let κ be the pole of the ecliptic, and let P be the pole of the equator in the position $E \wedge E$, while P' and P'' are the positions of the pole on the equator when in the position E' E'and E'' E'' respectively. The angle between two great

circles on the sphere is equal to the angle between their poles, hence the inclination of the ecliptic to the equator is equal to the arc between the poles of the ecliptic and the equator.

Thus in the three positions of the equator, represented in Fig. 90, the angles at A, A,' A'' are respectively equal to the arcs K P, K P', K P'', and as the angles at A, A', A'' are all equal, it follows that the arcs K P, K P', K P'' must be all equal; the points P, P', P'' must, therefore, lie upon the circumference of a small circle of which K is the pole; and hence the pole of the equator describes a small circle about the pole of the ecliptic, the radius of which is equal to the obliquity of the ecliptic.

The effect of the precession of the equinoxes on the position of a star is more simply seen when we refer the place of the star to the ecliptic by its latitude and longitude.

Thus if e be the position of a star (Fig. 90), and eb be the arc let fall from the star, perpendicular to the ecliptic, eb is the latitude, while Ab, being the distance from the equinox to the foot of the perpendicular, is the longitude of the star. The effect of the precession of the equinoxes is here manifested only by the change in the point from which the longitudes are measured : thus without any real change in the place of the star, its longitude, which was originally Ab, increases to A'b, and subsequently to A''b, and so on indefinitely. The latitude, on the other hand, remains constant. It is further to be observed that this increase in the longitude must necessarily be the same for all stars.

§77. Numerical Determination of the Precession.—To determine the numerical value of the precession of the equinoxes, it will be sufficient to have ascertained for any one star the annual change which precession has produced in the longitude of that star. In making this calculation it will be desirable to have observations of the star separated by as long an interval of time as possible. It appears from the observations of Hipparchus that in the year 128 B.C. the longitude of the star Spica Virginis was about 174°. By the observation of Maskelyne, the longitude of this star in 1802 is 201° 4′ 41″. The difference between these two results is due to the alteration in the point from which the longitude is measured, which has taken place during the interval of 1,930 years.

We may also use for this purpose two observations separated by a comparatively small interval when those observations have been made with the accuracy attainable with modern instruments. The annual alteration of the intersection of the equator with the ecliptic, measured along the ecliptic, amounts to $50''\cdot 24$. From this it is easy to calculate that the equinox will move completely round the ecliptic and regain its original position in a period of about 26,000 years.

It appears from these considerations that the actual path

of the celestial pole among the stars is a small circle, of which the radius is 23° 27', and that the duration of the revolution is 26,000 years.

In the course of ages the precession of the equinoxes is calculated to produce the most marked changes upon the relation of the pole to the constellations. At present it so happens that the bright star, called the Pole Star, is about $1\frac{1}{4}^{\circ}$ from the pole. The movement of the pole in the small circle which it describes about the pole of the ecliptic, is at present diminishing the distance between the pole and the Pole Star. The approach will continue until the year 2120, when the distance will not be much more than half a degree. The distance will then increase until, in the lapse of 13,000 years, it will amount to about 47°. Long ere this happens, however, the pole will have become so far from the Pole Star as to deprive the latter of the great utility which at present it possesses.

It appears that the movement of the north pole is calculated to bring it near to the star Vega (a Lyræ) in about 12,000 years, from which star the pole is at present distant by about 51° . The distance will diminish to a minimum of about 5° , and Vega will then by its brilliancy, as well as by its proximity to the pole, be able to fulfil many of the purposes which render the present Pole Star so convenient.

§78. Gradual Displacement of the Perihelion of the Earth's Orbit.—Just as we have seen that the plane which contains the orbit of the earth has a gradual slow motion in space, so it is found that the elliptic orbit of the earth has itself a slow motion in the plane of the orbit, so that the major axis of the orbit occupies successively different positions.

The movements of the earth's orbit are determined by observations of the movement of the sun, for, as we have seen, the apparent orbit of the sun round the earth is similar to that of the earth round the sun. If, therefore, observation has detected a motion in the solar perigee, that really indicates a movement in the major axis of the earth's orbit.

•

It is found by comparing old observations with modern observations that the longitude of the sun's perigee changes by $61'' \cdot 72$ per annum (Leverrier). If this augmentation of the longitude of the solar perigee had been equal to $50'' \cdot 24$, it would have been completely explained by the alteration in the equinoxial point which we have just considered. Under these circumstances the position of the solar perigee among the stars would have been constant. As, however, the motion of the longitude perigee exceeds the precession of the equinoxes by $11'' \cdot 48$, it follows that the position of the perigee is displaced along the ecliptic to the extent of $11'' \cdot 48$ annually, and that the motion is direct.

§ 79. The Aberration of Light .- The phenomenon which is known as aberration of light is one of the most interesting discoveries which have ever been made in astronomy. It had long been surmised that if the earth really moved round the sun, as was admitted in the Copernican theory, that then certain apparent movements ought to be observed in the places of the stars, and that from such movements the distances of the stars would be determined. With the view of detecting the changes whose existence was thus surmised, the celebrated astronomer Bradley commenced a series of observations with an instrument specially arranged for the purpose. The star which he chose was γ Draconis, which culminates very near the zenith, at the latitude of Greenwich, and the place of which is, therefore, but little deranged by refraction. The observations were commenced in 1725, and continued with great assiduity at all available opportunities. Bradley soon found that certain changes were taking place in the positions of the stars, but that these changes were of quite a different character from those of which he was in search. It appeared, for example, that the star γ Draconis was in March 1726 about 20" more to the south than it was in December 1725, while from March to the following September the same star moved towards the north through a distance of not less than 39". In

December 1726 the star had returned to the same position which it had one year previously. It thus appeared that the zenith distance of this star oscillated through a distance of about 20" on each side of its mean value. It can, however, be shown that these changes could not be accounted for merely by the displacement of the earth in its orbit, and could not, therefore, be the parallax of which Bradley was in search. It was not until similar movements had been detected in the case of many other stars that Bradley was enabled by a happy conjecture to give it a satisfactory explanation. The movements of the stars were found to be a consequence of the fact that the velocity of light, though exceedingly great, is still not incomparably greater than the velocity with which the earth is travelling in its orbit round the sun. The phenomenon which has been thus so happily explained, is called the *aberration* of light. This discovery, though it relates to magnitudes so small as only to be perceptible in very accurate measurement, is yet of so delicate and so beautiful a character that it must undoubtedly rank among the very greatest discoveries which have yet been made in practical astronomy.

The earth is moving at the rate of about eighteen miles per second, while light is travelling with a velocity about ten thousand times as great. It follows that while we are observing the star, the telescope is actually being carried onwards with a velocity which is one ten-thousandth part of the velocity with which the light from the star is coming towards the earth. Let s (Fig. 91) represent a star to which a telescope is to be directed. If the telescope is to remain at rest while the observation is being made, then it is obvious that the telescope should be directed along the ray x s, which comes from the star. If, however, the telescope be in motion, then a little consideration will make it clear that the telescope must generally not be pointed exactly at the star, but in a somewhat different direction. Let us suppose that the relation between the velocity of light and the velocity

of the telescope is such that the telescope will be carried from the position A B to the position x y in the same time as the light from the star will travel through the distance B x. Then it is plain that if the light from the star is to reach the eye of the observer at all, the telescope must be pointed not directly towards the star, but in the direction shown by A B in the figure. It is, indeed, obvious that the star can only be seen when the rays of light therefrom enter the object glass of the telescope, and pass out at the eye piece to the eye of the observer. The telescope must, therefore, be placed in such a position that this condition can be fulfilled.

FIG. QI S

When the telescope has the position A B the light enters the telescope through the object glass at B; the motion of the telescope is then sufficient to enable the light to pass down the tube of the telescope without being lost against the sides, and when the telescope reaches the position x y the light emerges from the eye piece at x and enters the eye of the observer. The observer being unconscious of his own motion and of that of the telescope, naturally supposes that the light from the star really travels from the direction in which the telescope is pointed ; he, therefore, concludes that the star is in the direction A B, while the star really is in the direction x B. The observer will, therefore, judge erroneously of the position of the star on the surface of the heavens, and the extent of the error which he makes is represented by the angle A B X, for this is the angle between the direction of the telescope and the direction from which the light of the star is actually coming.

§ 80. Velocity of Light .- In the further discussion of the phenomenon of aberration we may proceed in either of two ways. We may either assume the velocity of light from some of the methods by which that velocity is known, and then

219

deduce the amount of the aberration, or we may determine the quantity of aberration from observation, and then deduce the velocity of light. We shall adopt the former method, though it should be noted, as a striking confirmation of Bradley's theory, that the value of the velocity of light which can be deduced from the observed coefficient of aberration, agrees in a most remarkable manner with the velocity of light as it has been determined by the other methods which are available for that purpose.

It has been already stated that the velocity of light is about ten thousand times as great as the velocity of the earth in its orbit. It follows that in the triangle BAX the line B x must be about ten thousand times as long as the line A x. The angle B A x can, therefore, under the most favourable circumstances, never exceed the angle whose circular measure is one ten-thousandth, i.e. about 20". It, therefore, appears that the greatest effect which aberration is capable of producing is to cause a star to appear in a point on the heaven 20" distant from the point in which that star would have appeared had the velocity of light been infinitely great with regard to the velocity of the earth, or had the earth been at rest. As, however, the star which is now displaced 20" in one direction will, in six months, be displaced 20" in the diametrically opposite direction, the total effect of aberration will amount to a displacement which oscillates within an amplitude of 40".

The line A x, which indicates the movement of the observer, is, of course, a tangent to the actual orbit of the earth. This tangent is directed to a certain point on the celestial sphere, which is often called *the apex of the carth's way*. The maximum effect of aberration, in deranging the place of a star, will occur when the line s x is perpendicular to A x, the star will then be 90° from the apex of the earth's way, and will, therefore, be situated on that great circle of the celestial sphere, of which the apex is the pole. It is further to be noted that the effect of aberration is to displace

the apparent position of a star in a plane which contains the line A x, and, therefore, passes through the apex of the earth's way. The effect of aberration generally is, therefore, to cause all the stars on the surface of the heavens to appear to move from their true places slightly towards the apex of the earth's way. A star actually situated at the apex would experience no effect from the aberration, while all the stars on any circle of which the apex was the pole, would aberrate towards that apex through equal amounts.



As the apex is daily—indeed, momentarily—changing its position upon the ecliptic so as to travel completely round the ecliptic in the course of a year, it appears that the point towards which a given star is displaced is constantly varying. It follows from this that the effect of aberration upon the apparent place of a star is to make that place describe a small ellipse on the surface of the celestial sphere of which the centre is the mean place, while the period in which the ellipse is described is equal to the period of the revolution of the earth round the sun. Let s (Fig. 92) be the position of the sun, and let T, T', T'', T''' be four positions of the earth in its orbit, which for the present we may consider to

be circular. Let E be the position of a star as it would be seen from the sun s. When the earth is at T, the line T A drawn touching the circle T T' T'' T''' denotes the direction in which the earth is moving. As the star is at an enormously great distance compared with the distance of the earth from the sun, we may practically consider the line TE as parallel to the line SE, and, therefore, SE indicates the point on the celestial sphere in which the star would be seen if it were not for the effect of aberration. Through any point R on the line S E draw a line R r parallel to the tangent T A, and bearing the same ratio to the line S R which the velocity of the earth bears to the velocity of light ; join s r, and produce it on to cut the plane drawn through E parallel to the plane of the ecliptic : then the effect of aberration will be to make the star appear to be at e, where $\mathbf{E} e$ is parallel to $\mathbf{R} \mathbf{r}$. In the same way, when the earth is at \mathbf{T}' . the star will be seen in a direction which points to the same point on the celestial sphere as is indicated by the line s e'. As the lines R r, R r' are equal, it is obvious that E e and E e' are also equal; and hence as the earth assumes the successive positions T, T', T", T", &c., the star is found in the corresponding positions e, e', e'', e''', &c.

The curve e e' e'' e''' which the star appears to describe in the course of a year in consequence of aberration must obviously be a circle of which the plane is parallel to the plane of the ecliptic. The effect of this movement upon the apparent place of the star is to be determined by projecting the circle which is actually described upon the tangent to the celestial sphere. Thus the observed path of the star due to aberration will, in general, be an ellipse, of which the axis major is parallel to the ecliptic : the length of the axis major of this ellipse is constant. For a star at the pole of the ecliptic the ellipse reduces to a circle, while for a star actually in the ecliptic the effect of aberration is merely to cause the star to oscillate in the arc of a great circle. A star situated at the pole of the ecliptic will always be seen at the

constant distance of about 20" from the position in which it could be seen were the earth stationary.

These results are shown in Fig. 93. KBSAD is the celestial sphere. A B C D is the ecliptic, of which K is the pole. The orbit of the star due to aberration is $e \ e' \ e'' \ e'''$, and the observer is at 0, the centre of the celestial sphere. The star appears to the observer to describe the ellipse $p \ n \ q \ m$,



in which the cone drawn from 0 to e e' e'' e''' is cut by the celestial sphere. The major axis mn of this ellipse is parallel to the ecliptic, while the minor axis q p lies in the circle of latitude κ s, which passes through the centre of the ellipse.

§81. Nutation of the Earth's Axis.—Although the discovery of the aberration afforded a satisfactory explanation of the motion of the stars which Bradley had detected, yet he continued his observations on the zenith distances of stars which culminated near the zenith. It then appeared that there were certain other alterations of the zenith distances,

of quite a different character from those of which aberration had already afforded so satisfactory an explanation.

By making allowance for the effect of aberration, we can ascertain the true position in which the star would be seen if the earth was stationary. When this is done, it is found that the places of the stars thus determined are not constant; but that they indicate a movement very different from the movements of an annual nature which are produced by aberration or by parallax. Thus, for example, Bradley found that from the year 1727 to the year 1736, the star γ Draconis was continually advancing towards the north pole, while, after the latter date, the star began to withdraw from the pole : and similar movements were observed in other stars.

Bradley found that the changes which he had observed, in the polar distances of certain stars, could be explained by the supposition that the pole of the celestial sphere oscillated, through narrow limits, about its mean place. This phenomenon he termed *nutation*. As it appeared from the observations that the period of this change was about eighteen years, it was surmised, by Bradley, that the cause of the phenomenon was to be sought in the motion of the moon's nodes, which complete their revolution in a little more than eighteen years. The explanation of this depends upon the theory of gravitation, but for the present we shall only attempt to describe the actual character of the phenomenon now called nutation.

The axis of the earth points to the celestial pole, and any movement in the celestial pole is, therefore, to be attributed to a change in the position of the earth's axis of rotation. It is not to be supposed that any change in the actual position of the earth's axis *in the earth itself* is indicated either in the phenomenon of precession or in that of nutation. So far as observation has hitherto gone, it would appear as if the axis, about which the earth rotates, were actually fixed in the earth ; and that in the phenomenon of precession and nutation the movements of the earth are similar to what they would be, if a fixed axis were driven through the earth, and this axis were made to describe the movements which observation has shown in the motion of the axis of the celestial sphere.

The nature of the movement of the axis which produces the phenomenon of nutation is shown in Fig. 94: T is the position of the earth in its orbit, the direction of the revolution round the sun being shown by the arrow; $T \kappa$ is the perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic, and κ is the pole

of the ecliptic. Let T obe the axis of rotation of the earth, then, according to the phenomenon of precession, the line T orevolves around T K on the surface of the cone made by a line which makes a constant angle of 23° 27' with T K. Owing, however, to the superposition of the small effects of nutation upon those of precession, the actual move-

FIG. 94.

ment of the earth's axis is on the surface of a small cone, T m n m' n'; while at the same time the axis T o of this cone moves round on the surface of the cone of revolution, of which T κ is the axis. The movement of the axis of the small cone around the large one constitutes the phenomenon of precession, while the motion of the axis of the earth on the surface of the small cone constitutes the phenomenon of nutation.

The major axis m m' of the ellipse, which forms the base of the cone of nutation, lies in the plane which passes

Q

through the axis of the earth τo and the perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic $\tau \kappa$.

The relative sizes of the cones is necessarily very much exaggerated in the figure, for while the semi-angle, at the vertex of the large cone, is 23° 27', the axis major mm' of the ellipse at the base of the small cone only subtends an angle of 18'' 45 at T. The minor axis n n' subtends an angle of 13".73. The pole describes the circumference of the small ellipse in a period of 182 years, but its motion during that time is not uniform. In order to ascertain the actual position of the pole at any given epoch, describe a circle m z m', of which the axis major of the ellipse m m' n n'is a diameter. If the point z move uniformly round the circle so found, and if it start from the point m at the same epoch as the pole is coincident with m, then the position of the pole at any other epoch will be found by letting fall a perpendicular from the corresponding position of z upon the axis major of the ellipse. The point p in which this perpendicular intersects the ellipse is the position of the pole.

A remarkable consequence of the nutation of the earth's axis consists in the variations which it produces in the obliquity of the ecliptic. The obliquity is equal to the angle between TK and TP, and the greatest and least values of the obliquity correspond to the epochs at which p is at one or other extremity of the major axis of the ellipse of nutation. These fluctuations are limited to $9'' \cdot 22$ on either side of its mean value.

§ 82. Annual Parallax of Stars.—We have already mentioned that the discovery of aberration was made by Bradley, while in the course of a series of observations undertaken with the object of discovering some variations in the positions of the stars arising directly from the annual movement of the earth. The reason that Bradley did not find the movements of which he was in search, was that the effects of annual parallax on the stars which he observed were too small to be detected by the method of observing which he adopted. Any annual parallax which has even up to the present been detected is an exceedingly small quantity compared with the coefficient of aberration which Bradley's observations revealed.

Let s (Fig. 95) represent the sun, and let T be the earth,

while E is a star. An observer situated at the sun will see the star in the direction s E, while an observer on the earth will see the star in the direction T E. The position on the celestial sphere to which the star will be referred will therefore be different,



according as the position of the observer changes by the annual revolution of the earth around the sun.

It is easy to show that the effect thus produced on the apparent place of a star referred to the celestial sphere is to make the star describe a certain small ellipse; for the observer will always see the star in the direction TE, and as the earth moves round the sun the line TE will describe a cone, of which E is the vertex, while the orbit of the earth is the base. The star will, therefore, always appear to be on the surface of the cone. But the star must also be referred to the surface of the celestial sphere, and hence the place of the star will be limited to the line in which the cone just referred to cuts the celestial sphere. As the portion of the celestial sphere included in the cone is extremely small, the portion of the sphere may be represented by its tangent plane, and then we see that the orbit of the star is the section of the cone by a certain plane; but the section of a cone is in this case an ellipse, and hence it appears that the effect of annual parallax upon a star is to cause that star to appear to describe an ellipse on the celestial sphere of which

Q 2

the mean place of the star is the centre. It is easy to see that if the star happened to be situated at the pole of the ecliptic the tangent plane would cut the cone in a circle (assuming the earth's orbit to be circular), and that therefore the apparent orbit of a star so situated would be a circle. In other situations the ellipse would have an eccentricity which gradually increases as we consider stars nearer to the ecliptic, while for a star actually situated in the plane of the ecliptic the minor axis of the ellipse would be zero, and the changes in the position of the star would merely cause it to oscillate to and fro in the ecliptic.

Under all circumstances the major axis of the parallactic ellipse (as it is called) must be parallel to the ecliptic, and the dimensions of the major axis will depend upon the actual distance at which the star is separated from the earth.

The star when situated at one of the extremities of the major axis of the parallactic ellipse is at the greatest distance from its mean place. The angular magnitude of the semiaxis major of the ellipse is equal to the greatest angle between the lines SE and ST. Since ST, being the radius of the earth's orbit, is always small compared with sE, being the distance of the star, the greatest angle between SE and TE is obviously the angle whose circular measure is ST+SE. This angle is therefore the axis major of the parallactic ellipse. The dimensions of the ellipse are thus dependent upon the distance of the star. If the star were so exceedingly remote that the radius of the earth's orbit was absolutely inappreciable when compared with the distance, then the angular value of the semi-axis major of the parallactic ellipse would be inappreciable, and consequently no effect of parallax could be observed. This appears to be the case with the majority of stars so far as our present knowledge extends. The parallactic ellipse is so minute that in the majority of cases our ordinary methods of measurement fail to detect any considerable alterations in the positions of the stars which can be ascribed to this cause. Under these circumstances we infer that the stars in question are so exceedingly distant that the radius of the earth's orbit, great, no doubt, as that really is, is an inappreciable magnitude compared with their distance from us.

By adopting, however, very special modes of measurement, certain minute changes have been noted in the positions of certain stars which are unquestionably the effect of annual parallax. The nature of these observations must now be detailed.

By the observations of right ascension and declination made in the manner already described, it is possible to determine the position of a star on the surface of the celestial sphere. By repeating these observations at intervals throughout the year, the corresponding positions of the star at the different dates are ascertained, and we may conceive that the positions are marked upon the globe, the effect of aberration, nutation, and precession being allowed for. If the star was sufficiently near the earth to have a large parallax, these positions would undoubtedly indicate with more or less accuracy the form of the parallactic ellipse. The irregularities of the observations which are unavoidable, even with the best instruments, would prevent the observed positions from conforming exactly to the form of the ellipse, but a sort of general arrangement of the positions in an elliptic form would, no doubt, be traced. Owing, however, to the extremely great distances of the stars, the parallactic ellipse is so very minute, that its form is entirely lost sight of in the irregularities of the observations which, though still small, yet bear such a large proportion to the dimensions of the ellipse that the latter cannot be discerned. In particular we may mention that, so far as our present knowledge extends, there appears to be no star in the northern half of the celestial sphere which has an annual parallax exceeding about half a second of arc. Even with this parallax the angular value of the axis major of the parallactic ellipse is only a single second of arc, and, unless the star

were situated at the pole of the ecliptic, the minor axis of the ellipse must be less than that quantity. The question then arises as to whether our present means of determining the absolute places of stars are sufficiently exact to enable us to detect the existence of an ellipse of such minute dimensions by a series of observations extended over a year. There is no prospect that the meridional determinations of the positions of the stars can ever attain such accuracy as to enable the form and dimensions of an ellipse of this order of magnitude to be accurately constructed.

Not to mention other sources of irregularity, it is sufficient to remember that the apparent declination of a star is affected by atmospheric refraction. The larger part of this refraction can be determined by calculation, and its effect can be allowed for; but even after this has been done with every attention, there are still irregularities outstanding, which, although too small to be material for many purposes, are still too large to permit of the determination of the ellipse which is the consequence of parallax. It is true that by choosing, as Bradley did, a star which culminates near the zenith, the irregularities of refraction are of comparatively small importance, but there are still so many sources of small irregularity, that even independently of refraction the method indicated would be inapplicable.

The star α Centauri in the southern hemisphere appears to have a parallax much larger than any star which has yet been investigated with this object in the northern hemisphere. In this case the parallax amounts to $\frac{3}{4}$ of a second, and consequently the total displacement of the star, from one extremity of the major axis of the ellipse to the other, amounts to about $1\frac{1}{2}$ seconds. A displacement so considerable as this is no doubt capable of being detected, and even measured with some pretensions to accuracy by meridian observations. It was, in fact, by observations of this kind that the remarkable parallax of this star was originally discovered.

It is, however, possible to arrange a method of observing by which much smaller parallaxes can be detected and measured than can be done in the case of meridian observations. This method is founded upon the principle that, whatever be the irregularities which have affected the observations, we may presume that two stars which are close together on the surface of the heavens will be affected to nearly the same extent. Suppose, then, that there are two stars, of which one is considerably nearer to us than the other, while the two stars are so nearly in the same visual ray that they appear to be close together on the heavens. Each of the stars will, in consequence of annual parallax, appear to describe a small ellipse on the heavens, but the ellipse formed by the nearer of these two stars will be larger than that described by the more distant star. The apparent distance measured as an arc of the celestial sphere between the two stars will therefore change, and the direction of the arc joining the two stars will also change, and the period of these changes will be a year. If the two stars subtend an angle of not more than two or three minutes, the distance between them and the position angle can be measured by means of the micrometer. All irregularities arising from the instrument may be presumed to affect the two stars equally, and though the refraction will slightly alter the distance and position, yet its effects can be accurately computed and allowed for. By micrometric observations we have, therefore, the means of determining the changes in the position and the distance which occur in the course of a year, and thus of determining the difference between the annual parallaxes of the two stars.

An annual parallax of even one second would require that the star should be distant from us by 206,265 times the radius of the earth's orbit. The actual distance of the star from the earth would therefore amount to about twenty billions of miles (20,000,000,000,000). So far as we know at present it appears that a sphere of which the earth

was the centre, and of which the radius was 20,000,000,000,000 miles, would not include a single star.

The vast distances here referred to can be illustrated by a comparison derived from the velocity of light. Light travels at the rate of about 186,700 miles per second, and with this velocity it would require eight minutes to come from the sun to the earth. To travel from the nearest star to the earth, even with this prodigious velocity, it would seem that at least three years would be required.

There are several stars of which the parallax has been determined (see Appendix): thus, for example, the bright star Vega has a parallax of only o''.18, and the light from this star takes a period of about 18 years to travel to the earth.

Viewed from the distances at which the fixed stars are situated, the earth itself would have become invisible to the most powerful telescopes, the vast orbit in which the earth revolves around the sun would have shrunk to a tiny object, while, if the sun himself were visible, it would only be as a star of no very special brilliancy or importance.

CHAPTER VII.

THE MOON.

§ 83. Apparent Movements of the Moon.—Next to the sun, the moon naturally demands our attention as the most conspicuous of the remaining celestial objects. It is easy to observe that the place of the moon among the stars is continually changing. We are not now referring to the apparent diurnal motion of the heavens in which the moon participates in common with all the other celestial bodies. If the place of the moon be compared with the
The Moon.

stars in its neighbourhood whose brilliancy is such that they are still visible notwithstanding the superior splendour of the moon, it will be found that even in the course of a few hours the position of the moon will have perceptibly changed. This is shown in Fig. 96, which indicates

the displacement of the moon with respect to the fixed stars. The movement of the moon is about thirteen times more rapid than that of the sun.

By continued observation of the moon it will be seen to move completely around the celestial sphere, and if its path be laid down on a globe or map, it is found that the path is a great circle which lies close to, though it is still distinct from, the ecliptic in which the sun moves. The moon is sometimes a little to the

north and sometimes a little to the south of the ecliptic, but it never deviates much therefrom. The direction in which the moon moves round on the surface of the heavens also agrees with that of the sun.

§84. Phases of the Moon.—The sun always presents to us a complete circular disk. The moon, on the contrary, only shows us a complete circular disk for a few hours in each revolution. The figure of the moon changes with great rapidity, and in the period of twenty-nine or thirty days, during which it completes the circuit of the heavens and rejoins the sun, it presents to us every possible gradation between a circular disk perfectly illuminated and perfectly dark.

The period of revolution of the moon relatively to that of the sun doubtless suggested to the ancients the idea of dividing time into months. Perhaps also the idea

FIG. 96.



of the week had its origin in the circumstance that a period of seven days was nearly the interval from new moon to first quarter, or from first quarter to full moon.

It is not unlikely that the ancients had made use of the phases of the moon as a means of measuring time before they were actually acquainted with the cause of the phases. A little reflection will render the cause of the moon's phases sufficiently obvious.

To follow the phenomena presented by the moon in a methodical manner, let us commence by selecting an evening in which, just after the sun has set, the moon appears low down in the west. The moon then appears to us in the form of a narrow crescent, of which the exterior circumference is a semi-circle, and of which the interior circumference is a



semi-ellipse of but little eccentricity, and the major axis of which coincides with a diameter of the semi-circle. The moon sets a little after the sun, and we remark that the luminous segment is turned towards the sun—that is to say, the line of greatest width of the crescent

points towards the sun. The points of the crescent are generally called the cusps, and it will be noticed that the line joining the cusps is oblique to the horizon, and that each of the cusps is equidistant from the sun.

From day to day the crescent enlarges, though its cusps still remain at the extremities of a diameter, the interior curve becomes a semi-ellipse of increasing eccentricity, the moon sets later and illuminates a considerable part of the night, but the line of cusps is still always inclined to the horizon at setting, and the greatest width of the crescent still points towards the sun.

On the seventh day the moon appears like a semi-circle and it is visible during a great part of the night. On the following days the luminous portion continues to increase, the interior elliptic curve takes an opposite position, but the semi-ellipse and the semi-circle have always a common diameter.

On the 14th or 15th day the disk of the moon is entirely luminous. The intensity of the light is not, however, quite uniform ; there are some places especially dark, to which the term 'seas' has been applied. The telescope, however, shows that this term is incorrect, because we can perceive in the so-called 'seas' numerous features showing an irregular surface.

On the following day the western edge of the moon is seen to be somewhat less sharply defined; gradually this part becomes more and more obscured, and the curved line which bounds the luminous region becomes a semi-ellipse of more and more eccentricity. On the 22nd day the moon is again a semi-circle, and all the phenomena previously described reappear in an inverse manner; about the 28th day the moon has drawn very near the sun, after which it becomes invisible for a few days, again to reappear in the west in the form of a narrow crescent, and to repeat the cycle already described.

During the entire revolution of the moon, the illuminated portion is always turned towards the sun, and the dark part is turned away from the sun. Now it might be supposed that half the moon was self-luminous and the other half was dark, and that the phases in the moon were produced by a rotation of the moon on its axis, which at new moon turned towards the earth the dark side, at full moon the bright side, while for the intermediate phases the hemisphere turned towards the earth is partly bright and partly dark. If this were the case, then the 'seas' and other markings upon the disk of the moon would not always occupy the same portion of the visible disk of the moon, but the illuminated hemisphere would always contain the same objects, and the boundary between light and darkness would always occupy the same position with reference to the lunar markings. Observation, however, shows that this is not the case. Among the many interesting features of the moon, there is perhaps none more remarkable than that the moon always turns the same face to the earth. It follows that the markings on the moon always occupy the same position on the visible disk, and the boundary between light and darkness, so far from occupying a constant position with respect to the lunar objects, may actually be observed creeping along from night to night, and illuminating one lunar mountain or valley after another.

From these considerations it follows that the moon cannot be self-luminous, and that therefore the light which we receive from it must be reflected by the moon from some other source.

As the moon is naturally dark, from what source is its light derived? There can be but one answer to this question. The sun is the only body in the universe sufficiently brilliant to explain the brightness of the moon. This idea is confirmed by the following simple observations. When the moon is full it comes on the meridian at midnight; but at midnight the sun is at its lower culmination, hence the sun and the moon are then separated by about 180°, and the sun must therefore occupy a point of the celestial sphere nearly opposite to that occupied by the moon. We say nearly opposite, for if the sun and the moon were upon the same diameter of the celestial sphere of which the earth occupies the centre, the shadow of the earth would be thrown upon the moon, and we would have the phenomenon of an eclipse of the moon. As the great majority of full moons pass without an eclipse, we infer that the declinations of the sun and moon at full moon are not generally equal in magnitude and opposite in sign, as they would be if the two bodies were situated upon the same diameter of the celestial sphere, and as they are on the days when the moon is eclipsed.

An observer who was stationed upon the moon would

perceive phases on the earth analogous to those which we see on the moon. When the crescent of the moon is very narrow, either shortly before or shortly after new moon, the earth as seen from the moon would be nearly full, and ought, therefore, to illuminate the moon as the full moon illuminates the earth. Indeed, the efficiency of the earth in this respect considerably exceeds that of the moon, for the area of the earth as seen from the moon is more than thirteen times the area of the moon as seen from the earth.

It follows that the light which the moon receives from the earth is more than thirteen times greater than the light which the earth receives from the moon.

It is this light reflected from the earth which often enables us to see that part of the disk of the moon which is not illuminated by the sun.

§ 85. The Eccentricity of the Moon's Orbit.—One of the most simple problems in connexion with the moon is the determination of the eccentricity of the ellipse which we shall now assume to be the orbit of the moon. It is easily found by observation that the angular diameter of the moon varies considerably, and the eccentricity may be taken to be about 0.055.

Thus the eccentricity of the orbit of the moon is much greater than that of the orbit of the earth around the sun, which is 0.0168.

§ 86. Motion of the Perigee.—To determine the position of the moon's perigee (or the point in its orbit in which the moon is nearest the earth), it is necessary to ascertain the place of the moon on two different days on which the apparent diameters of the moon are equal : the place half-way between these positions is the position of the perigee.

When the position of the moon's perigee is determined at different periods, it is found to be in rapid motion. In fact, it makes a complete sidereal revolution in about nine years, or more accurately in 3232'575 days.

§ 87. Mean Motion of the Moon.—It is of primary importance to determine the value of the mean motion of the moon. This can be simply and accurately ascertained by the comparison of two eclipses of the moon separated by a wide interval of time. We may suppose that the opposition of the sun and the moon takes place at the middle of the eclipse; this supposition cannot entail an error of more than a few minutes. If, then, we ascertain by observation the time of the middle of the eclipses, and the number of lunar months or of complete revolutions which have taken place in the interval, we shall have an approximate value of the lunar month by dividing the total time between the two eclipses by the number of full moons which have occurred in the interval. It is obvious that the accuracy of the result will increase with the number of entire revolutions.

By this means it has been ascertained that the Synodic lunar month, or the interval between two consecutive full moons, is 29:530589 days.

§ 88. Figure of the Moon .- As the apparent dimensions of the moon are so considerable, it is necessary, as it was in the case of the sun, to select a certain point on the surface of the moon by which its position on the celestial sphere is to be specified. This requires us to examine accurately the shape of the moon's disk as it is presented to us. By careful measurements it is found that the apparent disk of the moon is circular, and therefore it is natural to select the centre of that disk as the point of reference required. Whenever, therefore, the right ascension or the declination of the moon is referred to, we are to understand the right ascension or declination of the centre of the moon's disk. The centre of the moon is not indicated by any mark which we recognise, and therefore it is impossible to observe that point directly; we can, however, observe the edges of the disk of the moon, and then reduce the observations so as to give the position of the centre. If we were able to observe . the transit of the two edges of the circular disk (or limbs),

then the mean of these observations would give the transit of the centre. Owing, however, to the fact that only one limb of the moon is generally illuminated, and therefore visible, the method is not generally practicable. We are usually only able to observe the transit of one of the moon's limbs. To determine from a single observation of this kind the position of the moon's centre, we obviously require to know the angular value of the moon's radius. The difficulty is increased by the circumstance that this radius from day to day-nay, even from hour to hour-is constantly changing. The value of the radius may, however, be ascertained at any moment by measuring the distance from the extremity of one cusp of the moon to the other, for the line joining the cusps is always a diameter of the moon, and therefore half their distance is equal to the radius. When allowance has been made for the moon's radius, then the right ascension of the centre is determined from the observation of the limb. The declination may be determined by observing both cusps of the moon with the meridian circle, the mean between the declinations of the two cusps being the declination of the centre. In all that follows we are, therefore, to understand that the centre of the moon is the point to which reference is made.

§ 89. Parallax of the Moon.—The apparent position of the sun on the surface of the celestial sphere depends to a certain extent upon the position of the observer on the surface of the earth; and in the discussion of observations of the sun it is necessary to reduce the observed positions to those in which the sun would have been seen, had the observer been able to occupy a position at the centre of the earth. The word parallax is introduced to signify the distance between the position of the sun as seen by the observer, and as it would be seen from the centre of the earth, while the expression horizontal parallax is used to denote the parallax when the sun is rising or setting, or,

what comes to the same thing, the angle which the radius of the earth subtends at the sun.

Precisely similar language may also be employed with reference to the moon. The angular distance between the position of the moon as seen by the observer and the position in which the moon would be seen by an observer at the centre of the earth is called the *parallax of the moon*, and the angle which the radius of the earth subtends at the moon is the *horizontal parallax* of the moon. There is, however, one great difference between the parallax of the sun and the parallax of the moon. The former is so small a quantity as only to be detected by the most careful observations, while the latter is of very considerable amount, being, in fact, about 400 times greater than that of the sun. It follows that the parallax of the moon requires to be allowed for in nearly all observations of that body.

To determine by observation the parallax of the moon, we proceed as follows :- Let o be the centre of the earth (Fig.



98), and let B and c be two points on its surface, which for simplicity we shall suppose to lie on the same meridian, passing through the pole P. Let L be the moon, the position of which is to be observed from the stations B and C. The ob-

server at B determines the angular distance between his zenith Z and the moon L—that is, the angle Z B L. In a similar way, and at the same moment of time, the observer at c determines the angle L C Z', which is the zenith distance of the moon as seen from the position which he occupies. From these observations we can determine the angles B L O and C L O, which are respectively the parallaxes of the moon at B and at C. The geographical latitudes of the observations at B and c are of course known, and therefore also the angle B O C. This angle is equal to the difference or sum of the latitudes, according as the two stations are on the opposite sides or on the same side of the terrestrial equator. We can then proceed by the following graphical method. Construct an angle equal to the angle B O C, and mark off lengths O B and O C on the legs of this angle, which are equal to each other. Draw through B a line B L, making the angle Z B L equal to the observed zenith distance of the moon from L. Draw through C a line C L, making the angle L C Z' equal to the observed zenith distance of the moon at C. The intersection of these two lines determines the point L, which, being joined to O, determines the angle B L O and C L O, which are the parallaxes at the points B and C.

As a matter of fact, the determination of these angles is really effected by trigonometrical calculation, which gives much more accurate results than could be obtained by any graphical process. The details of the process are very much complicated by certain circumstances which we have omitted for the sake of simplicity. It will not, of course, happen that the two observatories at which the observations can be made will lie exactly, or perhaps even nearly, on the same meridian. The effect of this difference between the meridians can, however, be calculated and allowed for in the resulting values of the parallax. So, too, the assumption which we have made that the time at which the moon is observed at one station coincides with the time at which it is observed at the other, cannot generally be realised. The actual motion of the moon in the interval between the observations has therefore to be taken into account, but the nature of that motion is so well known as to enable this to be done with considerable precision.

As the distance of the moon from the earth is comparatively small, we are obliged to take account of the fact that the figure of the earth is not identical with a sphere. In speaking, therefore, of the horizontal parallax of the moon, it becomes necessary to define accurately what radius of the earth is referred to. We may assume that the section of the earth made through the equator is a circle, and therefore that all equatorial radii of the earth are equal, and hence we can give precision to our language by speaking of the *equatorial horizontal parallax* of the moon, meaning thereby the angle which an equatorial radius of the earth subtends at the moon.

From observations made at the observatories of Greenwich and the Cape of Good Hope, the value of the mean equatorial horizontal parallax of the moon has been determined by Mr. Stone (1866) to be

57' 2".707.

From this it follows that the mean distance from the earth to the moon is about 60 times the earth's equatorial radius: but the orbit of the moon is so far from being a circle that the actual distance fluctuates between 56 and 64 times. Remembering that the radius of the sun is about 110 times the radius of the earth, it appears that if the centre of the sun coincided with the centre of the

FIG. 99.



earth, the orbit of the moon, even at its greatest distance from the earth, would be all contained within the volume of the sun.

§ 90. Dimensions of the Moon.— When the parallax of the moon is known, and also the angular diameter which the moon subtends, then the actual dimensions of the moon are ascertained. In this way it has been found that the diameter of the moon is about $_{1T}^{T}$ that of the earth. The relative dimensions of the moon and the earth are represented by

• the white circles in Fig. 99, the larger of these circles being

taken to represent the earth ; the smaller of them represents the moon.

§ 91. Movements of the Moon.—We have now explained how the position of the moon is to be ascertained by observation, and how this position is to be corrected for the effect of the length of the moon's diameter, and for the effect of parallax. When these corrections have been duly applied, we obtain the position of the centre of the moon on the celestial sphere, as it would be seen from the centre of the earth.

These observations having been repeated, we are enabled to mark the position of the moon on a celestial globe, and then to ascertain the exact nature of the movements which it makes. It will be seen that after the lapse of about twentyseven days, the moon has accomplished a complete revolution, and has returned to the neighbourhood from whence it started. A great circle can be drawn which coincides very nearly with the several positions of the moon, and this great circle may be regarded as its path. By watching the moon through several revolutions, and drawing the great circle which corresponds to the path of each, it is seen that the path of the moon is not constant. In fact, the inclination of the path to the equator is constantly changing, and in the course of time fluctuates between 18° and 28°. The nature of these changes will be more easily understood by referring the position of the orbit of the moon to the ecliptic. It will then be seen that the inclination of the moon's orbit to the ecliptic is nearly constant, never differing much from 5°, but that the node or point, in which the orbit cuts the ecliptic, is continually changing.

In Fig. 100, E E represents the celestial equator, and A B C D is the ecliptic. The orbit of the moon cuts the ecliptic at the point N at an angle of about 5° , and after completing the circuit of the sphere in the neighbourhood of the ecliptic, cuts the ecliptic again at the point N', which is not coincident with the former point N. The actual path

K 2

of the moon is thus exceedingly complex, consisting of a series of spirals which are all contained within the zone extending about 5° 9' on each side of the ecliptic.



It will simplify the consideration of this somewhat difficult subject, to suppose that the actual path of the moon is in a great circle, but that this great circle is itself changing its position on the sphere. The pole of this great circle makes a nearly constant angle with the pole of the ecliptic, because the inclination of the circle to the ecliptic is

nearly constant. In this is easily found the explanation of the changes in the inclination of the apparent orbit of the moon to the celestial equator. The moon's orbit will sometimes be inclined at an angle of 5° 9' less than the obliquity of the ecliptic, and sometimes at an angle of 5° 9' more. Thus the actual inclination of the moon's orbit to the equator varies between $23^{\circ} 27' - 5^{\circ}$ 9', and $23^{\circ} 27' + 5^{\circ}$ 9'.

§ 92. Motion of the Moon's Nodes.—The points N, N', in which the orbit of the moon intersects the plane of the ecliptic, are termed the *nodes* of the moon's orbit. The node N, through which the moon passes when entering that hemisphere which contains the north pole, is termed the *ascending node*, while the other node is the *descending node*.

We have already seen that the orbit of the moon is in motion relatively to the ecliptic, and, therefore, the positions of the nodes on the ecliptic are continually changing. Thus the node N moves uniformly round the ecliptic in the opposite direction to that in which the moon is going; the direction and amount of the motion of the node is represented by N N'. The period required by the node to travel completely round the ecliptic, so as to regain its original position with respect to the stars, is 6798 279 days. This movement of the nodes of the moon's orbit is analogous to the movement of the equator of the earth, which forms what we have described as the precession of the equinoxes. In each case the obliquity as regards the ecliptic is maintained at a nearly constant value, while the movements of the intersection with the ecliptic are nearly uniform. There is, however, one very conspicuous difference—the revolution of the equinoxes requires a period of 26,000 years, while that of the moon's nodes takes but little more than 18 years.

When a close examination of the positions of the moon at different epochs is made, it is found that the movements cannot be entirely explained in the manner we have described. It is seen that the inclination of the plane of the moon's orbit to the ecliptic is not absolutely constant. The average value of this inclination is 5° 8' 42", but the actual value fluctuates between 5° 17' 35" and 5° o' 1". It is also to be observed that the velocity with which the nodes move is not absolutely uniform ; this movement is sometimes accelerated and sometimes retarded. We may, therefore, regard the actual motion of the moon's node as consisting of two parts-first, a uniform motion, and then an oscillation to and fro about the mean position. The normal to the plane of the moon's orbit thus has a motion round the normal to the plane of the ecliptic, which may be likened to the motion of the axis of the earth already explained. In each case the motion may be considered to take place on the surface of a small cone, of which the axis describes a much larger cone around the normal to the plane of the ecliptic.

§ 93. Sidereal Revolution of the Moon.—By comparing the places of the moon on the surface of the heavens at remote epochs, it is possible to determine the time occupied by the moon in making a complete revolution with respect to the stars. In this way it is found that the sidereal revolution of the moon is 27:321661 days, or very nearly 27 days and a third. It is very remarkable that this period is not constant, but that it is gradually diminishing, so that the time of the sidereal revolution of the moon is less now than it was formerly. The difference is, however, exceedingly small, and can only be detected by comparing the results of many centuries of observation.

§ 94. Rotation of the Moon.-The surface of the moon possesses certain well-marked features, visible to the unaided eye, and still more clearly discerned in a telescope. The simplest observations suffice to show that these objects remain constant in position ; in other words, that the face of the moon which is turned towards the earth is always the The movement of the moon is, in fact, nearly idensame tical with what it would be could we conceive the moon rigidly attached to a bar, the other extremity of which was capable of turning around the centre of the earth. From this fact that the same face of the moon is always turned towards the earth the very remarkable consequence follows that the period of rotation of the moon on its axis is equal to the period of its revolution in its orbit around the earth.

FIG. TOT.

It might at first be supposed that, as we always see the same aspect of the moon, the moon does not rotate upon its axis at all. A little consideration will, however, show that this is a mistake. Let T (Fig. IOI) be the earth, and let L and L' be two positions of the moon. La is the radius of the moon which is directed towards the earth when the moon is in the position L, and the point a indicates a certain locality on the

moon's surface. If the moon did not rotate upon its axis, then, when the revolution of the moon had carried it to L', the line La would still remain parallel to itself, and be carried into the position L' b. But observation shows that the objects seen at a when the moon is at L will be seen at a' when the moon is at L'. It therefore follows that while the moon has moved from L to L' through the angle L T L' the radius L a of the moon must have moved through the angle b L' a'. But it is plain that the angle b L' a' is equal to the angle L T L', and therefore the moon must be revolving around its axis with an angular velocity equal to the angular velocity of its revolution round the sun.

§95. Mountains in the Moon.-As there is no other celestial body so near to us as the moon, so there is none which we can scrutinise so keenly with our telescopes, and with the configuration of whose surface we are so well acquainted. To see the details on the moon's surface to advantage, the phase of first or last quarter should be chosen, or at all events the time of full moon should be avoided. The telescopic appearance of the moon shortly before the first quarter is represented in Fig. 102. The irregularities of the surface are most distinctly seen along the boundaries of light and shade. The relief there given shows that the surface of the moon is very rugged and irregular-that while there are some regions which appear comparatively level, there are others where mountain ranges and chasms give an appearance of rugged grandeur to which, perhaps, we have no parallel on the surface of the earth. But the most characteristic objects on the surface of the moon are what appear to be craters of volcanoes, which, though once active, now seem to be extinct. There are some hundreds of these objects on the surface of the moon which is turned towards us, and many of them are of truly colossal dimensions

The general type of the lunar objects to which we are now referring is represented in Fig. 103. A more or less circular plain is surrounded by a high mountainous wall, and in the centre of the plain rises another mountain, or some-





The Moon.

times more than one. This general type is modified in many instances. The marginal range is not always com-



plete, and may indeed be broken into by other craters; the central mountain may be absent, and the plain may be more or less irregular, or marked over with still smaller craters. Still the general typical crater as here shown is sufficiently frequent on the moon's surface as to form the most characteristic feature of lunar scenery. The surface of the moon has been frequently mapped, and the different objects have been carefully measured and distinguished by names. Among the most remarkable of these craters we may mention Tycho and Copernicus, the former of which has a diameter of fifty-four miles, while that of the latter is forty-six miles.

As we never detect any trace of clouds over the surface of the moon, it has been surmised that the moon is not surrounded by any atmosphere at all comparable in extent with that which envelopes the earth. This opinion has been confirmed by the most careful observations. It may be remarked in the first place that when the surface of the moon is only partly illuminated, the boundary between light and shade is sharply marked. If there were on the moon any atmosphere approaching in density to that surrounding the earth, then it would be expected that the phenomenon of twilight, which is due to the reflection in the upper regions of the atmosphere, would be manifested. Under these circumstances a fringe of partial illumination would extend into the dark portion of the moon's surface; but as such illumination, if it exists at all, is extremely faint, we are justified in concluding that the phenomenon of twilight would not be seen by an observer on the moon's surface, and that consequently, if the moon have any atmosphere, that atmosphere must be of great tenuity.

§ 96. Periods connected with the Sun and the Moon .- If the nodes of the moon were fixed, then the period of revolution of the sun with regard to those nodes would be simply equal to the sidereal year. On account, however, of the regression of the moon's nodes, the sun returns to the same node in a period less than a single year. This period amounts to 346.619 days. From a comparison of this period with that of the moon itself a very remarkable result is obtained. If the moon revolved actually in the plane of the ecliptic, then the centre of the moon would in each revolution pass across the centre of the sun, and the moment of this occurrence is called the time of new moon. Owing, however, to the circumstance that the orbit of the moon is inclined to the plane of the ecliptic, the moon will not usually pass over the surface of the sun. It is therefore necessary to modify the definition of new moon accordingly. We define the time of new moon to be the moment when the longitude of the centre of the moon is equal to the longitude of the centre of the sun. The interval between two successive new moons is termed a *lunation*, and it is by this period that the successive phases of the moon are regulated. The length of the lunation is such that 223 lunations make 6585:32 days. Thus 19 periods of the revolution of the sun with respect to the nodes of the moon coincide very nearly with 223 lunations. This remarkable period, amounting to about 18 years 11 days, is of service in the prediction of eclipses. It is known as the Saros.

Another very remarkable period arises from the circumstance that 235 lunations form 6939.69 days, while 19 years of 365'25 days amount to 6939'75 days. We therefore conclude that 19 years are nearly identical with 235 lunations. This is the Cycle of Meton. If the dates of new moon and full moon are known for a period of 19 years, they can be predicted indefinitely, for in each subsequent 19 years the dates are reproduced in the same manner. The number which each year bears in the Cycle of Meton is called the golden number. In 1896 the golden number is 16. In 1900 the golden number is 1, being the commencement of a new cycle.

The period called the *Solar Cycle* is founded upon the recurrence of the day of the week upon the same day of the month. Owing to the complication produced by leap year, this period is 28 years. In the year 1896 the Solar Cycle is said to be 1. This signifies that 1896 is the first of one of these groups of 28 years. The cycle known as the Roman Indiction is a period of 15 years. Though this cycle is not connected with any astronomical phenomenon, it is still retained. Thus the year 1896 is the ninth year of the Roman Indiction.

In the almanacs it is usual to find a certain number stated as the Julian Period. Thus, for example, 1896 is the 6609th year of the Julian Period. This cycle arises from the three numbers 19, 28, 15, which represent the entire periods of the Cycle of Meton, the Solar Cycle, and the Roman Indiction respectively. It appears that in a period of $19 \times 28 \times 15 = 7980$ consecutive years there are not two years which have the same golden number accompanied with the same solar cycle and the same Roman Indiction. There is thus a new period, called the Julian Period, consisting of 7980 years. The first year of this period is 4713 B.C., which has been adopted because each of the three other cycles had the value 1 on that year. This period will continue till the year A.D. 3267.

§ 97. *Eclipses.*—It occasionally happens that the usually circular disc of the sun is more or less obscured by the

passage of the moon between the earth and the sun. In some cases the entire light from the sun is cut off, this constituting what is known as a total eclipse of the sun. More frequently, however, only a portion of the sun is darkened, and the eclipse is then said to be partial. An eclipse visible at some places may not be visible at others, even though the sun be visible ; and an eclipse which is total at some places will only be seen as partial at others. These phenomena of course can only occur at new moon, when the longitude of the moon is identical with that of the sun. It does not, however, follow that there is always, or indeed even usually, an eclipse of the sun at new moon, for as the orbit of the moon is inclined to the ecliptic, the moon will generally at the time of new moon be over or under the sun, and will only be seen against the face of the sun when it happens that the occurrence of new moon is nearly coincident with the time of passage of the moon through one of its nodes.

So too it sometimes happens that the usually brilliant appearance of the moon at the time of full moon is modified by the appearance of a shadow with a circular edge, which passes slowly over the bright disc. It sometimes happens that only a portion of the moon's surface is thus obscured, and the eclipse is then said to be *partial*. Not unfrequently,



however, the shadow passes completely over the moon, and the eclipse is then said to be *total*.

The circumstances of an eclipse of the moon will be understood from Fig. 104. s represents the sun and T the earth. A pair of common tangents, A B and A' B', drawn to the earth and the sun, intersect at the point o, while the second pair of common tangents intersect at o'. When the moon, in its revolution around the earth, enters the region C' B' o, it is partially obscured, and a partial eclipse of the moon will be witnessed from the earth when the moon begins to cross B' o. If the moon becomes immersed in the region $B \circ B'$, then the light from the sun will be altogether intercepted, and a total eclipse of the moon will be seen.

It is a very curious circumstance that even when the moon is plunged entirely in the earth's shadow it still remains visible, with a peculiar copper-coloured hue sufficiently bright on some occasions to enable the spots on the surface to be recognised. This is due to the effect of the atmosphere surrounding the earth in refracting the sun's light, and bending it into the cone forming the shadow.



This is shown in Fig. 105, where A represents a point on the surface of the earth, while the dotted circular line is the limit of the atmosphere. If the atmosphere were absent, then a ray of light which just grazed the earth at A would be directed along the straight line which just touches the earth at A. Owing, however, to the presence of the atmosphere, the light is bent so that its direction forms a part of a curve of which the concavity is turned towards the earth. In this way the rays from the sun which pass near enough to the earth to be refracted by its atmosphere are bent into the cone which forms the shadow, and thus illuminate the moon in the manner already described. Nor will it be difficult to explain why the moon under these circumstances exhibits the peculiar copper-coloured light. The rays to which this illumination is due have passed through an enormous thickness of the terrestrial atmosphere, and the absorption of light by the atmosphere tends to render the light which has passed through it of a ruddy colour.

§98. Prediction of Eclipses .- An eclipse of the moon takes place when the moon, at the time of full moon, is near the node of its orbit on the ecliptic. Let us, for example, suppose that the time of full moon happened to be exactly coincident with the passage of the moon through its node. The position of the node must then be 180° distant from that of the sun. In the period of 18 years 11 days we find that 19 complete revolutions of the sun will have taken place with respect to the moon's nodes. It therefore follows that in 18 years and 11 days after the date of the eclipse the node of the moon will again be 180° distant from the sun. But in very nearly the same period 223 lunations will have been completed. It therefore follows that in 18 years and 11 days after the moon has been full at the node the moon will again be full at the node. An eclipse may occur, even though the time of full moon does not exactly coincide with the time of passing through the node, provided that the moon is sufficiently near the node at the time of full. The same circumstances will recur again at an interval of 18 years and 11 days, and therefore we shall find in general that 18 years and 11 days after the occurrence of a lunar eclipse there will be another lunar eclipse. If, therefore, we know all the eclipses which have occurred in a period of 18 years and 11 days, we are then able to predict future eclipses with considerable accuracy. For example, in the year 1862 a total eclipse of the moon, visible at Greenwich, occurred on June 11. Eighteen years and 11 days from that time bring us to June 22, 1880, and accordingly on

that date again there was a total eclipse of the moon. So also the eclipse of the moon on December 5, 1862, was followed at the same interval of 18 years and 11 days by an eclipse which occurred on December 16, 1880.

It should, however, be observed that the numerical relation between the Synodic revolution and the period of the lunation is only approximate. We cannot, therefore, employ this method of prediction with infallible accuracy. It may sometimes happen that a small partial eclipse is not followed by an eclipse in 18 years and 11 days; and also that a partial eclipse may occasionally occur, though no eclipse took place 18 years and 11 days previously. For accurate prediction of the occurrence of eclipses at remote epochs, as well as for an accurate account of the details of eclipses as to the time of commencement, and the duration, with such other particulars as are given in the Nautical Almanac each year, careful calculations have to be made. Such calculations depend upon our knowledge of the motions of the moon, derived from long-continued observations.

§ 99. Eclipses of the Sun.-We have already stated that eclipses of the sun are produced at the time of New Moon by the interposition of the dark body of the moon between the earth and the sun. According to the varying circumstances under which the occurrence happens we have a corresponding variety in the character of the eclipse. If the moon should cross the sun in such a manner that its centre passes over or very close to the centre of the sun, then the eclipse assumes one or other of two very remarkable forms. It will sometimes happen that the entire surface of the sun is obscured, in which case the eclipse is said to be total. This phenomenon is, however, a very rare one, and the apparent diameter of the moon is but very little larger than that of the sun, so that the duration of the total eclipse is very short. In fact, the movement of the moon will, in a very few minutes, enable the margin of the sun to be seen again. Sometimes, however, even though the centre

of the moon passes very close to the centre of the sun, the eclipse will not be total, but a ring of the sun's disk is visible around the dark edge of the moon. In this case the eclipse is said to be annular. It may seem at first strange that the moon, passing centrally across the sun, should sometimes produce a total eclipse and sometimes an annular eclipse. This arises from the fact that the apparent angular diameter of the moon is sometimes greater and sometimes less than the apparent angular diameter of the sun. It will be remembered that the orbits both of the sun and of the moon are eccentric, and that consequently the distances of these bodies from the earth are constantly fluctuating within certain limits. As the angular diameter of an object varies inversely with its distance, it of course follows that the angular diameter of the moon and that of the sun are also constantly fluctuating between certain limits. It happens, curiously enough, that the mean angular diameter of the moon is nearly identical with the mean angular diameter of the sun. The fluctuations arising from the eccentricities of the orbits are, no doubt, small, but they are sufficiently large to make the moon sometimes appear larger than the sun, and sometimes smaller than it. In the former case the central passage of the moon across the sun produces a total eclipse; in the latter case it only produces an annular eclipse.

§ 100. Frequency of Eclipses.—Eclipses of the moon are not so frequent as eclipses of the sun. This will be manifest



from the consideration of Fig. 106. s represents the sun, . and T is the earth ; the tangents A B and A' B' intersect at 0.

An eclipse of the moon will occur whenever the moon enters the cone of the earth's shadow BOB'; an eclipse of the sun will occur whenever the moon enters the portion of the cone which lies between the earth and the sun. The latter portion of the cone has a much larger section than the former : consequently in its revolutions around the earth the moon will more frequently enter the portion of the cone between the earth and the sun than the portion which is formed by the shadow of the earth. For this reason the solar eclipses are more frequent than lunar eclipses. It must not, however, be supposed that at any given place on the earth more solar eclipses will be seen than lunar eclipses. An eclipse of the moon is visible to the inhabitants of a whole hemisphere ; an eclipse of the sun, on the other hand, is only visible to the inhabitants of a portion, and often only a small portion, of a hemisphere. From this it follows that, notwithstanding there are more solar eclipses than lunar eclipses, yet at any given locality more lunar eclipses can actually be seen than solar eclipses. This may be shown by the consideration that the diameter of the earth's shadow at the distance of the moon is greater than the apparent diameter of the sun. At a given place, therefore, it will be more usual for the moon to enter into the shadow than for the moon to cross the sun, i.e. it will be more usual for an eclipse of the moon to take place than for an eclipse of the sun.

§ 101. Prediction of Solar Eclipses.—Although it generally happens that a solar eclipse is followed by a solar eclipse in the period of 18 years and 11 days, yet we cannot predict the recurrence of solar eclipses in so simple a manner as we can the recurrence of lunar eclipses. It is not possible by the mere use of this period to say whether the solar eclipse will be visible at a given place, or what the magnitude of the eclipse may be. The sun's eclipses and all their circumstances can, no doubt, be predicted with great accuracy; but then, such predictions are the result of considerable

S

calculations of a more intricate character than are required for predicting the details of the eclipses of the moon. The parallax of the moon being so large, the character of the solar eclipse will depend not merely upon the locality in which the observer is stationed, but also upon the altitude of the moon at the time. As the altitude varies during the progress of the eclipse, so the parallactic displacement of the moon changes ; and this displacement must be allowed for, as well as the actual motion of the moon, when computing the duration and the other circumstances attending the eclipse.

§ 102. Occultations of Fixed Stars.—As the moon, by passing between the earth and the sun, produces an eclipse of the sun, so it sometimes happens that the moon passes between the earth and a star or a planet, and thus temporarily eclipses them from view. This phenomenon is known as an occultation. On account of the parallax of the moon, the position of the moon on the surface of the heavens, as compared with the fixed stars, varies with the position of the observer on the earth. It follows that the occultation of a star may be seen in one place, while in another the star will not be occulted, though the moon will pass close thereto. To predict the occurrence of an occultation the situation of the observer must be taken into account. The calculations necessary are very similar to those which are required for the prediction of an eclipse of the sun.

The phenomenon of an occultation is peculiarly striking when the disappearance of the star takes place at the dark limb of the moon. In the interval between new moon and full moon the motion of the moon is such that the dark limb is on the advancing side. If, therefore, the path of the moon happens to cross any star bright enough to be conspicuous, notwithstanding the brilliancy of the moon, then the star will be instantaneously obscured when the dark edge of the moon crosses the line joining the eye and the star. After some time, during which the star remains hidden by the interposition of the body of the moon, it will reappear again on the illuminated side. Between full moon and new moon the illuminated side of the moon is advancing, and consequently the disappearance of the star at occultation takes place at the illuminated limb, while the reappearance occurs at the dark limb.

As the stars are practically at an infinite distance, we may consider the rays from them to be parallel. If, therefore, a circular cylinder be drawn just enveloping the moon, while the axis of the cylinder is parallel to the rays from the star, then the star will be occulted by the moon when the observer is situated in the interior of this cylinder. The area intercepted on the earth by the cylinder is therefore the region in which the star will appear to be occulted. The actual area is, of course, equal to the section of the cylinder at the moon itself, i.e. to the section of the moon by a plane passing through its centre. As the area of a section of the earth, it follows that at a given moment a star is only occulted from observers situated on a comparatively small area on the earth.

§ 103. Determination of Longitudes by the Moon.—To determine the difference in longitude between two given stations on the earth, we require to have the means of comparing the time at one place with the time shown simultaneously at the other. When, for example, it is said that the longitude of Dunsink is $25^m 21^s$ west of Greenwich, the statement may be interpreted in two different ways, both of which are correct. It may mean that an interval of $25^m 21^s$ of sidereal time will elapse between the transit of a fixed star across the meridian of Greenwich and the transit of the same star across the meridian of Dunsink; or it may equally mean that an interval of $25^m 21^s$ of mean solar time will elapse between the transit of the mean sun across the meridian of Dunsink. The actual angle formed at the pole by the meridian passing through Greenwich and Dunsink bears to 360° the same proportion which $25^{m} 21^{s}$ bears to 24 hours. This angle is $6^{\circ} 20' 15''$.

The sidereal clock in the observatory at Dunsink should therefore be always 25^m 21^s slower than the sidereal clock at Greenwich, when the two clocks are correct. So, too, the mean time clock at Dunsink should also be slower than the mean time clock at Greenwich by the same amount. To determine, therefore, the difference between the longitude of Dunsink and that of Greenwich, it is only necessary to have the two clocks at each place correct, and to note their difference. The difficulty in the process is entirely due to the distance between the two stations, which makes the accurate comparison between the two clocks a matter of difficulty. The method formerly adopted was to compare chronometers with the clock at one station and then to carry these chronometers to the second station. This method has been superseded in the case where telegraphic communication exists between the two stations. As the telegraphic signal is practically instantaneous, it can be arranged that at a given signal from one station to the other the time shown by the clocks is to be noted ; and if the clocks are correct, or, what comes to the same thing, if their errors are known, the difference between the two clocks, and, therefore, the difference in longitude, is ascertained. This method is by far the most accurate, and it is always employed when great precision is required, if the telegraphic communication exists.

To determine the longitude at sea or under other circumstances when either of the methods we have suggested are inapplicable, resort may be had to the *method of lunar distances*, now about to be described. It is to be remembered that when we know the time at the station where we are situated, it is only necessary to know the simultaneous time at Greenwich in order to know the longitude. If, therefore, there were a clock in the heavens, the hands of which always indicated true Greenwich time, and if this clock were visible from all parts of the earth, then the determination of longitude would be a comparatively simple matter. The motions of the moon on the surface of the heavens do actually provide us with such a clock. The fixed stars are the numbers on the clock, while the moon is the hand by which the time is indicated. To interpret this clock, and deduce from it the Greenwich time, use is made of the tables of the moon, by which the place of the moon can be predicted with considerable accuracy for many years in the future. From these tables we can deduce the angular distances at which the moon will be separated from the bright stars which lie along its path at stated intervals. These distances are recorded in the Nautical Almanac for intervals of three hours daily. For example, on June 30, 1879, the distance of the moon from the star Regulus at noon, Greenwich time, was $8_3^{\circ} 5_4' 18''$; at III. hours the distance was $8_5^{\circ} 4_3' 4_5''$; at VI. hours it was 87° 33' 17", and at IX. hours 89° 22' 52". If, therefore, the actual distance of the moon from the star Regulus be measured, and if the distance coincides with any of those above specified, then the corresponding Greenwich time is at once discovered. It will, of course, generally happen that the observed distance will not coincide with any of the actually recorded distances. A simple interpolation will, however, enable the corresponding mean time to be determined.

In the application of this method it is necessary to allow for the effect of parallax in deranging the position of the moon, while the effect of refraction both on the moon and the star must be taken account of also.

The mode of doing this is shown in the adjoining figure. Let o represent the position of the observer, while z is his zenith, E is the observed position of the star, and L is the observed position of the moon. With the sextant the observer measures the apparent angular distance between E and L—that is, the angle $E \circ L$. He also at the same time measures the zenith distances, z E and z L. The effect of

refraction upon the star is to make the star appear at E, while, if refraction were absent, the star would really be found at E'. In the same way, if the apparent place of the moon is at L, its true place is at L' so far as refraction is concerned. If, however, the observer were stationed at the centre of the earth, the moon would be thrown up by parallax from L' towards the zenith, and would therefore be found in the position L''. The corrected distance, which is to be employed, is therefore E' L''. This can be calculated from the spherical triangle $z \in L'$. For since the distances $z \in ZL$, and also the distance $\in L$, are determined by ob-



servation, the angle E Z L is known. Also, since the effects of refraction and parallax on the zenith distances can be computed and allowed for, the corrected zenith distances Z E' and Z L'' may be regarded as known. In the spherical triangle E' Z L'' we therefore know the two sides and the angle included at Z; the base E' L'' is therefore also known. The accuracy of the method of lunar distances as we have here described it is, of course, affected by any irregularities in the tables of the moon's motion, as well as by the actual errors which may arise in the observations.

The occultation of a bright star by the moon affords a method by which the longitude may be determined with very considerable accuracy. If the time of the occultation be noted at each of two places, and if the suitable allowance be made for the effect of parallax, then a comparison of the observations will show the difference between the local times at the moment of occultation as seen from the earth's centre. This difference is, of course, the longitude. This method possesses the advantage of being but little affected by the errors of the tables of the moon. The occultation of a sufficiently bright star is, however, a comparatively rare occurrence.

CHAPTER VIII.

THE PLANETS.

§ 104. Determination of the Planets.—The planets of our system which were known to the ancients are Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn. These objects, in a superficial view, resemble somewhat brilliant stars, but a little attention is sufficient to point out that they are of a very different character. If we note the position of one of these bodies with reference to stars which lie in its vicinity, and if we repeat the observation after the interval of a few days, it will be found that, though the stars have retained their relative positions, the position of the planet with respect to the stars has altered. This remarkable feature of the planets attracted attention in the earliest times.

To distinguish a planet we may make use of a celestial globe. If, in comparing the constellations marked on the globe with the constellations in the heavens, a conspicuous starlike object is seen in the heavens which is not to be

found on the maps, we may conclude with considerable certainty that the object is one of the five planets Mercury. Venus, Mars, Jupiter, or Saturn. If we wish to solve the common problem of identifying a given planet in the sky, we must then make use of the Nautical Almanac, or some similar work, in which the right ascensions and declinations of the planets are recorded daily or at frequent intervals throughout the year. Thus, for example, if we wish to find out the planet Jupiter on June 23, 1879, we turn to the Nautical Almanac for 1879, and on p. 253 we see that the right ascension of Jupiter is $22^{h} 57^{m} 33^{s} 59$, while its south declination is $7^{\circ} 53' 13''$. With the aid of a telescope mounted equatorially and provided with graduated circles, it is only necessary to set the telescope to the required declination, and then turn the instrument round the polar axis until the hour angle is equal to the difference between the sidereal time at the moment and the right ascension of Jupiter. The planet will then be seen in the field of view. But a telescope is not necessary when the object is merely to identify the planet and distinguish it from the stars. Bv referring to a celestial map or globe it will be easy, from the known right ascension and declination, to determine the position of Jupiter on the celestial sphere. It will be found to lie in the constellation Aquarius at the date under consideration. If, therefore, the observer looks at that part of the heavens where Aquarius is situated, he will at once recognise Jupiter as the very brilliant object there situated. This he will confirm by noticing that there is no star marked on the globe in the position in which he sees Jupiter. It will be of great interest for the beginner, having once recognised Jupiter by either of the methods we have described, to note the changes in position which it undergoes with reference to the stars in its vicinity. If he be provided with a telescope, then from one night to the next, or even in the course of a single night, he will be able to detect the movements of Jupiter by carefully comparing his position with

the stars in the neighbourhood. Even without the aid of a telescope careful observation by alignment with the stars will in a few weeks reveal the motion of the planet.

All the movements of the planets which are visible to the unaided eye, including Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, are performed in orbits which never deviate much from the plane of the ecliptic. It follows that these planets are always seen in a portion of the heavens near the ecliptic. This consideration considerably simplifies the operation of finding out the planets. For example, to take the case of Jupiter in June 1879. Suppose that it is known that this planet crosses the meridian about 23 hours of sidereal time. The place of the planet in the heavens can then be easily found by the globe. On the great circle of the globe which represents the equator the hours of right ascension are marked. Draw from the pole a great circle to the point marked XXIII.; produce this on to cut the ecliptic: then Jupiter must be very close to this intersection, because it is known to be close to the ecliptic, and it is also known to have a right ascension of 23h. This method will be quite sufficient for the purpose of identifying a planet.

§ 105. The Zodiac.—As the planets which are now under consideration never depart very widely from the ecliptic, it is possible to mark out a certain zone in the heavens, on the interior of which the planets are always to be found. This zone extends to 8° on each side of the ecliptic, and it is termed the zodiac. If we divide the ecliptic into twelve equal portions, and then draw through each of the points of division great circles perpendicular to the ecliptic, these great circles will subdivide the zodiac into twelve equal portions. Each of these portions is represented by a certain name, which is also borne by the constellation which each portion contains.

§ 106. *Kepler's Laws.*—By the aid of the meridian circle it is possible to determine a series of positions of a planet at different times, and thus to mark these positions on the celestial sphere with precision. When this is done, it is found that although the *general features* of the motions of the planets are consistent with the supposition that the orbits are perfect circles, yet that when a more minute comparison is instituted between the results of this supposition and the results of actual observation, certain discrepancies are brought to light which are too large and too systematic to admit of being explained away as merely errors of observation.

The first of the three discoveries which bear the name of Kepler may be thus enumerated :---

The path of a planet round the sun is an ellipse, in one focus of which the centre of the sun FIG. 108.



is situated

Thus, let s (Fig. 108) represent the centre of the sun ; then the ellipse A B P O denotes the path of the planet. In none of the principal planets is the deviation from a circle so great as it is repre-

sented in the figure, which has been designedly exaggerated.

It is approximately correct to say that the planets move with uniform velocity in these orbits round the sun. When, however, this question comes to be tested by careful observations, it is found that the movements are not quite uniform. The planet is found to be moving more rapidly at some parts of its path than it is at others. The law by which these variations in the velocity of a planet are controlled was also discovered by Kepler, and is expressed by his second law, which is thus stated :----

In the motion of a planet round the sun, the radius vector, drawn from the centre of the sun to the planet, sweeps over equal areas in equal times.

Thus, for example, in Fig 108, when the planet moves from A to B, its radius vector sweeps out the area AS B, and in moving from P to Q the radius vector sweeps out the area PSO. Kepler's second law asserts that if the area ASB be

equal to the area PSQ, then the time taken by the planet in moving from A to B is equal to the time taken by the planet in moving from P to Q.

It is easy to show how, when the planet moves in obedience to this law, the observed changes in the velocity of the planet can be completely accounted for. Since the planet must move from P to Q in the same time as it takes to move from A to B, and since the distance P Q is very much larger than the distance A B, it follows that the velocity of the planet must be greater when it is moving through P Q than when it is moving through A B. We hence see that the planet must be moving with greater velocity according as its distance from the sun is less.

In the two laws of Kepler, which have been already discussed, we have only been considering the motion of *one* planet. We have now to consider the very remarkable law, also discovered by Kepler, which relates to a comparison between *two* planets. This law, known as Kepler's third law, is thus stated :—

The squares of the periodic times of two planets have the same ratio as the cubes of their mean distances from the sun.

To explain this, it must first be observed that by the mean distance of the planet from the sun is to be understood a length which is equal to the semiaxis major of the ellipse, while the periodic time is understood to be the actual number of days and fractions of a day, in which the planet, as seen from the sun, makes a complete revolution round the heavens.

To illustrate the application of Kepler's third law we may take the cases of Venus and the Earth. The periodic times of Venus and the Earth are respectively 365'3 days and 224'7 days, while the mean distance of Venus is 0'7233 if we take the mean distance of the earth as unity. We have for the square of the ratio of the periodic times

 $\left(\frac{365\cdot3}{224\cdot7}\right)^2 = 2\cdot643,$

while for the cube of the ratio of the mean distances

$$\left(\frac{1.0000}{0.7233}\right)^3 = 2.643,$$

which verifies the law.

Kepler's three laws are found to be borne out completely, even to their minutest details, when proper allowance has been made for every disturbing element.

§ 107. The Planet Venus.-With the exception of Mercury. and possibly also of some one or more other planets which may be still closer to the sun, Venus is the nearest of all the planets to the great centre of our system. The actual path of Venus differs but little from a circle of which the sun is the centre, and of which the radius is 67,500,000 miles. Owing, however, to the ellipticity of the orbit, the exact distance fluctuates a little on either side of its average value, but it is never less than 67,000,000 miles, or more than 68,000,000 miles. The planet Venus, therefore, describes around the sun an orbit of about 135,000,000 miles in diameter. This, though no doubt an orbit of majestic proportions, is still considerably smaller than the orbit which is described by the earth, and it is very much smaller than the paths described by the more distant planets. Round her path Venus sweeps in a period of 224 days 15 hours, and though this may seem a considerable time for the journey round the sun to be accomplished, yet the length of that journey is such that the planet has to move at an average rate of twenty-two miles per second, in order to accomplish it in the time. The actual velocity with which Venus moves is not absolutely uniform, but it is never greater than twentytwo and a half miles per second, or less than twenty-one and a half miles. It is instructive to contrast the velocity of Venus with the velocity of the earth, for as the latter is eighteen and a half miles per second, we have an illustration of the general truth that those planets, which are near the sun, move more quickly than those which are farther off.

268
In order to consider the circumstances under which Venus appears alternately as a morning or evening star, it is necessary to observe that the relative positions of the sun and of Venus, as seen from the earth, are the same as if the earth and the sun remained fixed, and if Venus turned around the sun in a period of 584 days. We have thus a cycle of phenomena which run through their course in a period of 584 days and then begin again. We shall briefly describe the course of the changes in the appearance of Venus in one of these cycles, and we shall take for example the cycle which commenced on May 6, 1877. Venus was then in what is called superior conjunction with the sun; in other words, Venus was so placed that the sun lay between the planet and the earth. It would not, however, be correct to say that Venus was actually behind the sun, for although this sometimes happens, yet its occurrence is very rare, because of the inclination of the orbit of Venus to the ecliptic. At the date we have mentioned Venus was south of the sun by a distance equal to more than three of the diameters of the sun. (We refer, of course, to the apparent diameters projected on the surface of the celestial sphere.) It is obvious that when Venus is in superior conjunction, very nearly the entire illuminated surface is turned towards the earth, but the distance from the earth to the planet is then so great, that the brilliancy does not amount to the fourth part of what it will ultimately become.

After passing through the position of superior conjunction, Venus begins to move to the east of the sun, and thus to set after the sun. It was thus that late in the autumn of 1877 Venus began to be seen in the west after sunset, gradually increasing in brilliancy as well as in the apparent distance by which it was separated from the sun. The successive changes in the situation of Venus at this time are shown in Fig. 109, which exhibits the actual position of the apparent orbit of Venus about the sun during the cycle referred to. For convenience the sun is represented on the horizon at

the moment of setting. The angular distance between the sun and Venus gradually increased until it attained a maximum on December 11, 1877, the planet being then at its greatest elongation east. The angular distance between the sun and Venus was then 47° . We thus come to the first critical epoch of the cycle, 219 days after its commencement. The brilliancy of the planet has also been increasing, and at the time of its greatest elongation the brilliancy has attained three quarters of its greatest value. If looked at through a telescope during its movement, the phases of the planet can be seen exactly resembling in miniature the



phases of the moon. Thus in superior conjunction the planet appeared full, but gradually changed its form, until at greatest elongation the planet resembled the moon at first quarter. After passing the point of greatest elongation, Venus commences to assume a crescent form, but its brilliancy is still increasing, because the continual diminution of the distance between the earth and the planet more than compensates for the gradual diminution of the portion of the illuminated hemisphere which is turned towards the earth. Each day the shape of the crescent becomes more slender, but each day the apparent diameter of the crescent measured from one horn to the other gradually increases, so that the

270

apparent area of the crescent on which its brightness depends gradually increased until January 16, 1879. On this date, or 256 days after the commencement of the cycle, the brilliancy of the planet attained its maximum. It is thus on one occasion during each cycle, or on an average once every 584 days, that Venus attains its greatest brilliancy as an evening star. The next occasion on which Venus was brightest in the evening occurred on August 18, 1879: the exact interval between these dates is 579 days. The difference of 5 days between this period and that of the average lengths of the cycle, i.e. 584 days, is principally due to the circumstance that the orbit of Venus, though nearly a circle, is still slightly different therefrom. The average of a large number of intervals between two successive recurrences of Venus at its brightest in the evening will be found to equal 584 days, and the differences will never amount to more than a few days on one side or the other of its average value.

After the position of greatest brilliancy has been passed, the crescent formed by Venus becomes more and more slender, though the circle of which the crescent is a part has a diameter which is gradually increasing. The planet is now drawing in again towards the sun. In fact, the elongation or the angular distance between the planet and the sun, which at the time of greatest elongation was equal to 47°, has already diminished to 40° at the time of greatest brilliancy. As the planet approaches the sun, the crescent becomes gradually attenuated to a thin line of light, still a beautiful object in the telescope, though of course, as the planet is now so close to the sun and sets very soon after it, it will become necessary to search for the planet in the day time. On February 20 Venus had approached as nearly as possible between the earth and the sun into the position called inferior conjunction. This occurs 292 days after superior conjunction, and thus half the cycle of 584 days has been accomplished.

Owing, however, to the inclination of the orbit of Venus to the plane of the ecliptic, the planet did not actually come between the earth and the sun at this conjunction. After passing through inferior conjunction, Venus moves towards the west of the sun, and, therefore, rises before the sun, and is called a morning star. The actual orbit which Venus describes, relatively to the sun at sunrise, is shown in Fig. 110. From this figure it will be seen that Venus then performed the second half of the cycle of changes in a similar manner to the first half, only with the order reversed.



The planet then moved further from the sun, increasing in brilliancy at the same time, until March 29, when, after an interval of 329 days from the commencement of the cycle of changes, the position of greatest brilliancy is again attained. Thus in each cycle of changes we have two epochs of greatest brilliancy, and the interval between these epochs averages 73 days. On the first of these occasions Venus is always an evening star, and on the second a morning star. The angular distance from the sun gradually increases until May I, when the elongation is 46°. This is the last remarkable phase in the cycle of changes, and occurs on the 365th day. During the remaining 219 days Venus is moving slowly through the remote part of its orbit back to the position of inferior conjunction, from which we have supposed it to start.

When Venus is in the neighbourhood of superior conjunction—that is, when it is most remote from the earth—its apparent diameter is about ten seconds of arc, but when the planet is in the neighbourhood of inferior conjunction it becomes a much more imposing object ; for, owing to our diminished distance, the apparent diameter is augmented to about sixty seconds. It will thus be readily understood that the best time to see Venus during each cycle of 584 days is during the period of about four months, when the planet is passing from the greatest elongation east to the greatest elongation west.



It is easy to show how all these changes in the appearance of Venus can be explained by the actual motion of Venus around the sun. Let T (Fig. 111) be the earth, and

т

let s be the sun, while v denotes Venus. Then the circle described about the sun's centre represents the orbit of Venus. When Venus is at the position A, its entire illuminated hemisphere is turned towards the earth, but its distance is so great that the apparent angular diameter is very small, and the actual size of Venus is represented at A (Fig. 112). When Venus moves to B, a portion of the dark hemisphere is directed towards the earth, and, consequently, the planet appears as represented in B (Fig. 112), the apparent diameter of the planet having increased, owing to the diminished distance from the earth. When Venus arrives at c it is in the position of greatest elongation ; the planet then appears with half its disk illuminated, as shown in c (Fig. 112).



After passing this position, Venus moves on till, in the position D, when it has come comparatively close to the earth, it assumes the crescent form shown in D (Fig. 112). It may be observed that two points, D and B, have been chosen, which lie in the same straight line through T. It follows that the angular distance between the sun and Venus in either of the positions B and D are equal; yet how different is the actual appearance of the planet in the two cases; in the one it is shown in B, and in the other in D (Fig. 112). After leaving D the planet moves on to E, where almost the entire dark surface of the planet is directed towards the earth.

274

The actual size of the disc of Venus in this case is represented at E (Fig. 112).

From the observation of certain markings upon the surface of Venus it has been shown that this planet revolves upon its axis in the same direction as the planet revolves round the sun. The markings are, however, so exceedingly difficult to see that there is a great deal of uncertainty as to the length of the period of rotation, some observers maintaining that Venus rotates in about 23 hours, others believing with Schiaparelli that Venus always turns the same face to the sun, as the moon does to the earth. Venus appears to be surrounded by an atmosphere the existence of which is rendered manifest by a twilight analogous to the same phenomenon on the earth. The hemisphere of the planet which is turned away from the sun is not entirely dark, but it is illuminated for a short distance within the boundary by light which is reflected from the atmosphere. The density of this atmosphere appears to be comparable with that surrounding the earth ; for it would appear from the observations of Mädler that the horizontal refraction at Venus is 44', while in our atmosphere the horizontal refraction is 33'. The line of separation between the shadow and the illuminated portion of Venus is sometimes irregularly notched and indented, and the cusps or horns of the crescent are occasionally seen truncated. This appears to be due to the mountains and asperities upon the surface of Venus.

On those rare occasions in which a transit of Venus takes place, the planet is seen to form a black circular disc projected against the surface of the sun. The measures which have been taken of this disc do not indicate the existence of any ellipticity. It is, however, not impossible that the globe of Venus may have an ellipticity comparable with that of the earth ; for even the ellipticity of the earth itself would not be recognisable if it were viewed from the distance at which Venus is separated from the earth. The apparent diameter of Venus, or rather the angle which its diameter subtends at the eye, varies of course with its distance. According to Hartwig (1879) the diameter of Venus, when at a distance equal to that of the earth from the sun, is 17''67. From this we conclude that the radius of Venus is nearly equal to the radius of the earth.

§ 108. Mercury.—The movements of Mercury are to a certain extent analogous to those of Venus. The planet oscillates from one side of the sun to the other, as Venus does, and is thus occasionally to be seen as a morning star, and occasionally as an evening star. The movements of Mercury are, however, not nearly so regular as those of Venus, owing to the much greater eccentricity of the orbit of Mercury.

Though Mercury is sometimes very easily visible with the unaided eye, it is not very often seen, on account of the planet being always in the proximity of the sun. Mercury is also usually too near the horizon, when visible at all, to be seen to much advantage. It is, therefore, not surprising to find that many persons who are familiar with the four greater planets of our system—viz. Venus, Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn—have never yet seen the planet which, so far as we certainly know at present, is the nearest of all to the sun.

The planet Mercury has a diameter of about 3,000 miles. It is therefore much smaller than the earth, of which the diameter is about 8,000 miles. The only one of the principal planets which at all approaches Mercury in bulk is Mars, of which the diameter is 4,000 miles. The diameter of Jupiter is more than thirty times as great as the diameter of Mercury, yet the brilliancy of Mercury on some occasions (e.g. February 1868) rivals that of Jupiter in splendour. This arises from two causes. In the first place Mercury is only distant from the sun by about $\frac{1}{14}$ th part of the distance

by which Jupiter is separated from the sun. It follows that the brilliancy with which the surface of Mercury is illuminated by the sun's rays must be much greater than the brilliancy of the illumination of Jupiter. When this is combined with the circumstance that the earth is much nearer to Mercury than it is to Jupiter, it will be understood how, notwithstanding the small size of Mercury, it may sometimes be nearly, if not quite, as splendid as Jupiter.

The eccentricity of the orbit of Mercury is much more considerable than that of any other of the principal planets of our system. In fact, the greatest and the least distances of Mercury from the sun are respectively 43,000,000 miles and 28,000,000 miles, or very nearly in the ratio of 3 to 2. Mercury accomplishes one complete revolution around the sun in about 88 days. Owing to the revolution of the earth around the sun in 365 days, the apparent time of one revolution of Mercury relatively to the earth is 116 days, and this is the average duration of the cycle which includes a complete series of the changes in the relative positions of Mercury and the sun. Once in each period of 116 days Mercury appears at its greatest elongation to the east of the sun, and then in about 48 days afterwards the planet has moved to its greatest elongation west of the sun.

The actual angular value of the greatest elongation is not constant, for it depends upon the relative distances of the earth and Mercury from the sun. The distance of the earth is very nearly constant, but the distance of Mercury fluctuates between the wide limits already specified. Under the most favourable circumstances, at the greatest distance of Mercury from the sun, combined with the least distance of the earth from the sun, the elongation might amount to upwards of 28°. On the other hand, if Mercury happened to be at its least distance from the sun at the time of greatest elongation, while at the same time the earth was at its greatest distance, the elongation would only amount to 16° or 17° .

As, however, we pointed out in the case of Venus, it does not follow that a planet is brightest at the time of greatest elongation. No doubt, if a planet were situated at the distance of 41,000,000 miles from the sun, it would appear brightest at the time of the greatest elongation, and the appearance of the planet in the telescope would then resemble that of the moon at first quarter. If the distance of the planet from the sun be greater or less than 41,000,000 miles, then the maximum brightness will not occur at the time of greatest elongation. There is, however, an important distinction to be observed. If the distance of the planet exceed 41,000,000 miles, as is always the case with Venus, but rarely the case with Mercury, then the greatest brightness occurs after the greatest elongation east, or before the greatest elongation west; in either case when the planet appears somewhat crescent-shaped in the telescope. If the distance of the planet from the sun at the time of greatest elongation be less than 41,000,000 miles, as is generally the case with Mercury, then the greatest brightness occurs a few days before the greatest elongation east, or a few days after the greatest elongation west ; in either case when the planet shows more than half its disc illuminated.

Mercury, when visible at all, must be sought for low down in the west shortly after sunset, or low in the east shortly before sunrise, according as the planet is at its east or west elongation. It is not usually a very striking object in the telescope, but its phases, resembling those of a miniature moon, are readily seen. There is the same difficulty in determining the period of rotation as in the case of Venus. Schröter concluded from some minute irregularities in the shapes of the horns that Mercury rotates in 24 hours, but Schiaparelli maintains that some very faint surface markings prove the mercurial day to be 88 days, equal to the period of revolution round the sun.

§ 109. The Superior Planets.—The inferior planets, Mercury and Venus, are, as we have seen, always to be found in the neighbourhood of the sun. The case is very different with the planets Mars, Jupiter, and Saturn, now to be considered. These planets are, it is true, always found in or near the ecliptic, but so far from being limited to that part of the ecliptic which is near the sun, they may occupy any position with respect to the sun, and are sometimes even 180° distant from the sun on the opposite side of the circle.

§110. The Planet Mars .- The nearest of the superior planets to the earth is Mars, and we shall therefore commence the study of the exterior planets by an examination of his movements. Let us imagine for a moment that the earth and Mars are each revolving around the sun in circles of which the sun occupies the centre. The motion of the earth is of course completed in 365 days, but the motion of Mars requires the longer period of 687 days. It follows that, as the earth moves more rapidly than Mars, it must occasionally overtake him, and pass between him and the sun. This phenomenon is known as an opposition of Mars, and it occurs once in every 780 days. When this is the case, Mars is 180° distant from the sun, and he consequently comes on the meridian at midnight. A moment's consideration will also show that when Mars is in opposition he is nearer to the earth than he is when he occupies any other position. If we take the mean distance of the earth from the sun to be 92,000,000 miles, then the mean distance of Mars from the sun is 140,000,000 miles. It is therefore plain that when Mars is in opposition, the distance by which he is separated from the earth is 48,000,000 miles. This, therefore, is the distance at which we would see Mars at every opposition ; and supposing the orbits of the earth and

Mars were exact circles, then this distance would be the same at every opposition.

But the orbits are not exact circles. The orbit of the earth is an ellipse, which, indeed, has such a small eccentricity that it differs but little from a circle. But the orbit of Mars differs a good deal from a circle, and hence it follows that at some oppositions Mars is nearer to the earth than he is at others. To state the matter accurately, we find that though the average distance between the earth and the sun is 92,000,000 miles, yet that the real distance is sometimes as great as 03,500,000 miles, and sometimes as small as 90.500,000. Similarly it is shown by observations of Mars that the distance at which he is separated from the sun ranges between 153,000,000 miles and 127,000,000 miles. If it should happen that at the time of opposition Mars was at its greatest distance from the sun, and the earth at its least distance, then the actual distance between the earth and Mars at such an opposition could not be less than 62,500,000 miles. But if at the time of opposition Mars was found at its least distance from the sun, while the earth happened to be at its greatest distance, then the actual distance between the earth and Mars would be only 33,500,000 miles. We learn from these considerations that at the time of opposition the distance between Mars and the earth cannot possibly be greater than 62,500,000 miles, or less than 33,500,000 miles.

Mars is of course most favourably situated for observation when he is in opposition. He then comes on the meridian at midnight, and his distance from the earth is at its minimum value. The figures we have just given show, however, that some oppositions may be much more favourable than others. The distance at the time of opposition, when the planet is most favourably situated, is scarcely more than half what that distance is under the least favourable circumstances. The advantage with which a distant object may be scrutinised varies inversely as the square of the distance. We therefore find that some oppositions may be nearly four times as favourable as others for the purposes of the astronomer.

At the great majority of oppositions, of which one occurs about every two years, the distance between the earth and Mars lies well between the limits we have mentioned. But in the opposition of September 1877 a combination of favourable circumstances brought the distance of Mars from the earth to very nearly as low a point as that distance is capable of attaining. On September 2, 1877, Mars approached the earth to a distance of 34,700,000 miles, which is very close to the lowest limit. It was on August 17 in that year that the inner of the two satellites of Mars was discovered by Professor Hall, at Washington, the distance of the planet from the earth being then only 36,300,000 miles. It is necessary to go back to the year 1845 in order to find an opposition at which Mars approached the earth so closely as it did in September 1877. It appears that on August 18, 1845, the distance between the earth and Mars was 34.300,000 miles. On this occasion, therefore, Mars was nearly half a million miles nearer the earth than it was in 1877. The next nearest approach was in the year 1860, when on July 2 the distance of Mars was 36,000,000 miles. It may be observed that although this approach is not so close as that witnessed in the opposition of 1877, yet Mars was actually closer to the earth then than he was at the time when the two satellites were first discovered. The last close approach of Mars was on August 6, 1892, when the distance was only 64,000 miles greater than in September 1877.

Another past opposition may be noted for the greatness of the distance between the earth and Mars, even when they were closest. On February 13, 1869, the distance from the earth to the planet then in opposition was 62,300,000 miles. This, it will be observed, approaches very closely to the maximum value of the distance at opposition between the earth and the planet.

Assuming, as we may for our present purpose, that the orbit of the earth is circular, there is another mode of viewing the subject which may perhaps tend to make it clearer. It has been found that Mars completes his revolution round the sun in a period of 687 days. In the course of this period the distance of Mars from the sun goes through all its changes, increasing from 127,000,000 miles up to 153,000,000, and then again decreasing to the same limit. If we conceive an observer stationed at the sun, then the direction in which he will see Mars when that planet is closest to the sun is the same for every revolution. This, in fact, amounts to the statement that the direction of the major axis of the orbit of Mars is practically constant, for we may overlook for the present purpose the small and slow changes of that direction which arise from the action of the other planets. This line produced backwards will point to that part of the heavens in which Mars is always to be found when he is at the greatest distance from the sun. Twice every year the earth in its annual motion crosses or passes extremely close to this line. On August 26 each year the earth passes between the sun and that point of the heavens in which Mars must be situated when he is nearest to the sun. Similarly on February 22 in each year the earth passes between the sun and that point of the heavens in which Mars must be situated when he is at the greatest distance from the sun.

We can now understand the circumstances under which an opposition is favourable for the purpose of observing Mars. If it so happened that an opposition occurred on August 26 in any year, Mars would be as near as possible to the sun, and therefore as near as possible to the earth, because the earth is then situated exactly between Mars and the sun. If, on the other hand, an opposition occurred on February 22, then matters would be as unfavourable as possible, because for an opposition at any other date the earth and the planet would be nearer together. We have, therefore, in the date alone a very simple test as to the excellence of an opposition of Mars for astronomical purposes. The nearer that date is to August 26, the better it is, and the nearer that date is to February 22, the worse it is. These considerations are illustrated by the opposition of 1877, which occurred on September 5, or only ten days after the best date possible. In 1845 the opposition took place eight days before the critical date, and therefore, as we have already mentioned, it was somewhat more favourable than the opposition of 1877. On the other hand, a very unfavourable opposition occurred on February 13, 1869, the day of the year being not far from that on which an opposition would be least favourable

It is worth noticing that the revolution of Mars round the sun, and the revolution of the earth around the sun, are so related that Mars accomplishes 17 revolutions in very nearly 32 years, or, with still greater accuracy, 25 revolutions in 47 years. From the last-mentioned numbers it appears that if the earth and Mars occupy a certain position at a given date, they will in 47 years be again found in the same relative position, Mars having in the interval performed 25 revolutions. It therefore appears that a favourable opposition of Mars will be followed in 32 years, and also in 47 years, by favourable oppositions. For example, we have mentioned that 32 years before the opposition in 1877 a favourable opposition occurred in 1845. We therefore infer that 47 years after this date, i.e. in 1892, a favourable opposition may be looked for.

The accompanying diagram (Fig. 113), which is drawn to scale, exhibits the orbit of the earth and the orbit of Mars. E E' E'' represents the orbit of the earth, and M M' M'' the orbit of Mars. The axis major of the earth's orbit is M L, that of Mars's orbit is P Q. A favourable

opposition obviously occurs whenever Mars is near P while the earth is near A, while the most unfavourable opposition takes place whenever Mars is near Q while the earth is near B. It was on August 11, 1877, when the earth and Mars had the position represented by E and M, that the first satellite



of Mars was discovered. The actual closest approach of the planet to the earth took place on the following September 2, when the relative positions of the two bodies are shown by E and M'. At the opposition which occurred in November 1879 the closest approach of the planet to the earth took place on the 4th, when the planet and the earth had the position represented by E' and M''.

The movement of Mars among the stars appears sometimes to be direct, i.e. in the same direction as that in which the sun or the moon move, and sometimes to be retrograde, i.e. in the opposite direction. It will be easy to render an explanation of these features of the movements from what we have already seen.

Let the outer of the two circles (Fig. 114) represent the orbit of Mars, while the inner arc is the orbit of the earth, s

being the sun in the centre. As we are only considering the apparent movements of Mars, we may suppose that Mars is at rest at M, while the earth is moving with a corresponding slower motion. Let us first suppose the earth to be at x; then Mars, which is situated at M, will be seen in the direction x M, and will be referred to the stars in the position P. As the earth moves on in the direction towards c, Mars appears to move towards H, but this motion will gradually be-



come more slow, until at the moment when the earth reaches B, which is the point of contact of the tangent drawn from M, the motion of Mars will apparently cease. As the earth passes from B towards Y Mars will begin to move backwards, so that when the earth arrives at Y Mars will have returned to Q. As the earth approaches A Mars will move gradually towards K, so that when the earth reaches A Mars will have reached K, after which it will gradually return again towards H. It will thus be seen that the retrograde movement of Mars occurs about the time of opposition.

§111. Appearance of Mars.—From observations of certain spots or markings upon the surface of Mars it has been concluded that that planet, like Venus and the earth, revolves on its axis. The actual duration of this period has been estimated by various astronomers. By comparing the observations of Hooke in 1666 with those of Sir W. Herschel in 1783 and of Dawes in 1856–1867, Proctor found that

the duration of one revolution of Mars on its axis is $24^{h} 37^{m} 22^{s}735$. The equator of Mars is inclined to the plane of its orbit at an angle of about 28° . The angular diameter of Mars when viewed from the mean distance of the earth is about 9''. The ellipticity of its disc is practically insensible.

§ 112. Satellites of Mars.—Although many astronomers had carefully examined Mars with the view of finding whether he was attended with any satellites, yet it was not until the opposition of 1877 that the two satellites which attend Mars were really found. This very interesting discovery was made by Mr. Asaph Hall, with the great objectglass of the observatory at Washington. The following is the account of the discovery in his own words :—

'My search for a satellite was begun early in August, as soon as the geocentric motion of the planet made the detection of a satellite easy. At first my attention was directed to faint objects at some distance from the planet, but all these proving to be fixed stars, on August 10 I began to examine the region close to the planet, and within the glare of light that surrounded it. This was done by sliding the eye piece so as to keep the planet just outside the field of view, and then turning the eye piece in order to pass completely around the planet. On this night I found nothing. The image of the planet was very blazing and unsteady, and the satellites being at that time near the planet, I did not see them. The sweep around the planet was repeated several times on the night of the 11th, and at half-past two o'clock I found a faint object on the following side and a little north of the planet, which afterwards proved to be the outer satellite. I had hardly time to secure an observation of its position when fog from the Potomac river stopped the work. Cloudy weather intervened for several days. On the night of August 15 the sky cleared up at eleven o'clock, and the search was resumed ; but the atmosphere was in a very bad , condition, and nothing was seen of the object, which we now

know was at that time so near the planet as to be invisible. On August 16 the object was found again on the following side of the planet, and the observations of that night showed that it was moving with the planet, and, if a satellite, was near one of its elongations. On August 17, while waiting and watching for the outer satellite, I discovered the inner one. The observations of the 17th and 18th put beyond doubt the character of these objects, and the discovery was publicly announced by Admiral Rodgers. Still, for several days the inner moon was a puzzle. It would appear on different sides of the planet in the same night, and at first I thought there were two or three inner moons, since it seemed to me at that time very improbable that a satellite should revolve around its primary in less time than that in which the primary rotates. To decide this point I watched this moon throughout the nights of August 20 and 21, and saw that there was in fact but one inner moon, which made its revolution around the primary in less than one-third the time of the primary's rotation, a case unique in our solar system.'

The names ¹ which have been chosen for the satellites are -

Deimos for the outer satellite, Phobos for the inner satellite.

From the observations made in 1877 and 1879 the following values of the times of revolution have been found by the discoverer :---

Deimos	30 ^h	17 ^m	54 ^s ·377;
Phobos	7	37	13.938.

The planes of the orbits of both the satellites are very

¹ $\Delta \epsilon \hat{\iota} \mu \sigma s$ and $\Phi \delta \beta \sigma s$ are the sons of Mars whom he summons to yoke his steeds. See *Il.*, xv. 119. I am indebted to Professor Tyrrell for the following version of the lines referred to :—

> 'He spake; and called Dismay and Rout to yoke His steeds; and he did on his harness sheen.'

nearly coincident with the plane of the equator of Mars. The orbit of Deimos is practically circular, but that of Phobos had a certain small eccentricity.

As the hourly motion of Phobos is about 47° round the centre of Mars, and as it is so near to the surface of the planet, this satellite will present a very singular appearance to an observer situated on Mars. Phobos will rise in the west and set in the east about $5\frac{1}{2}$ hours after, and it will meet and pass Deimos, whose hourly motion is only 11° .882. On the other hand, Deimos will remain above the horizon nearly 66 hours. The distances of the satellites from the centre of the planet are for Deimos 14,500 miles and for Phobos 5,800 miles. The semidiameter of Mars being 2,100 miles, the horizontal parallaxes of the satellites are very large, amounting to 21° for Phobos. The sizes of the satellites have not been satisfactorily ascertained. All that is certainly known is that they must be very small, not having a diameter of more than ten to twenty miles.¹

¹ In connection with this discovery it is curious to note the following letter of Kepler, written to one of his friends soon after the discovery by Galileo in 1610 of the four satellites of Jupiter, and when doubts had been expressed as to the reality of this discovery. The news of the discovery was communicated to him by his friend Wachenfels, and Kepler says, 'Such a fit of wonder seized me at a report which seemed to be so very absurd, and I was thrown into such agitation at seeing an old dispute between us decided in this way, that between his joy. my colouring, and the laughter of both, confounded as we were by such a novelty, we were hardly capable he of speaking or I of listening. On our parting I immediately began to think how there could be any addition to the number of the planets without overturning my "cosmographic mystery," according to which Euclid's five regular solids do not allow more than six planets round the sun. . . . I am so far from disbelieving the existence of the four circumjovial planets that I long for a telescope to anticipate you, if possible, in discovering two round Mars, as the proportion seems to require, six or eight round Saturn, and perhaps one each round Mercury and Venus.' The anticipations of Kepler with respect to Mercury and Venus have not yet ' been realised.

§ 113. Jupiter.—Although Jupiter is much more distant from the earth than Mars, yet the magnitude of the disc is so great that it appears to the unaided eye as one of the most brilliant stars, nearly equal to Venus in brightness, while it is a very remarkable object in the telescope. The equatorial and polar radii are respectively equal to 11.16 and 10.51 times the radius of the earth, the ellipticity amounting to about one-seventeenth. This is caused by the rapid rotation of Jupiter on his axis in a period of about 9^h 55^m. The mean distance from the sun is 483,000,000 miles, and the period of revolution is 11.86 years. The mass of Jupiter is $\frac{10.48}{10.48}$ of the mass of the sun, while its density is 0.24 of that of the earth, or almost exactly equal to that of the sun.

The most striking feature of Jupiter's disc is the presence of markings, generally in the shape of bands parallel to the equator of the planet, and frequently changing their appear-It is certain that the surface we see is not the actual ance. surface of the planet, but merely strata of clouds or vapours in which Jupiter is enveloped, and as the rapid changes in these cannot be caused by the small amount of heat received from the sun, it seems certain that Jupiter is still very hot and not yet a solid body. The equatorial regions rotate somewhat faster than those in higher latitudes, as we have seen is also the case on the sun. When Jupiter is viewed through a telescope, even of quite moderate power, certain small points of light are seen in his neighbourhood, which are constantly changing their relative positions, though always accompanying Jupiter in his movement round the heavens. These small objects are four satellites, or moons, of Jupiter, which were discovered by Galileo and were one of the firstfruits of the invention of the telescope.

Observation shows that the movements of the satellites of Jupiter around the planet obey Kepler's laws, just as the planets do around the sun. The following table gives the

mean distances of the satellites from Jupiter in terms of the radii of Jupiter (Laplace) :---

5 e	Mean Distances.	Periodic Times.
1st satellite	5.698	1.77 days.
2nd "	9.062	3.22 "
3rd ,,	14.462	7.12 "
4th ,,	25.436	16.69 "

The eccentricities of the orbits of the first and second satellites are insensible, and those of the third and fourth are very small; the planes of the orbits of the satellites are very close to the plane of Jupiter's equator.

The orbits of the four satellites of Jupiter are represented in Fig. 115, in which the relative proportions of the orbits, as well to each other as to the bulk of Jupiter, are shown. Jupiter projects from the side which is turned away from the sun a cone of shadow, into which the satellites every now and then enter, and undergo an eclipse, which resembles an eclipse of our moon. As Jupiter is much larger than the earth, and as it is much farther away from the sun, it is easy to see that the length of the cone which forms the shadow of Jupiter greatly exceeds the length of the cone which is formed by the shadow of the earth. It follows that the dimensions of the section of the cone of Jupiter's shadow, even at the distance of the outermost satellite, is very nearly as large as the section of Jupiter himself. The eclipses of the satellites of Jupiter are consequently much more frequent than the eclipses of the moon. The three first satellites enter the cone of Jupiter's shadow once during each revolution. The fourth satellite alone sometimes passes above or below the shadow, so as to escape being eclipsed.

As the eclipses of the satellites are capable of being observed simultaneously at different places, these phenomena are sometimes employed for the purpose of determining longitudes. The method, however, is not a very

290

accurate one. Independently of other difficulties, the eclipse of a satellite is not an instantaneous phenomenon; the illumination of the satellite is at first enfeebled by being plunged in the penumbra before entering the cone of total darkness, and the disc of the satellite, being of appreciable dimensions, does not cross the boundary instantaneously. For both these reasons, the decrease in the light of the

FIG. 115.



U 2

The eclipse of a satellite of Jupiter must be carefully distinguished from an analogous phenomenon which is often witnessed, i.e. the occultation of a satellite by the disc of the planet. In the case of an eclipse the satellite ceases to be visible because the mass of Jupiter is interposed between the satellite and the sun, and thus cuts off the sun's light from the satellite, which ceases to be luminous and is therefore invisible. But in the case of the occultation of a satellite, what really happens is that the satellite actually goes into such a position that the body of Jupiter is interposed between the satellite and the earth, thus rendering the satellite invisible.

It will often happen that a satellite is seen in transit across the face of Jupiter. The shadow thrown by the satellite then forms a circular dark spot on the face of Jupiter, which can be seen in the telescope. An observer who was stationed on the interior of that spot would find the satellite interposed between himself and the sun, and that consequently the sun was in a state of total eclipse.

In addition to the four conspicuous satellites, Jupiter is attended by a very minute fifth satellite, discovered by Barnard at the Lick Observatory on September 9, 1892. Its orbit lies between Jupiter and the orbit of the 'first' satellite, and the period of revolution is only 11^h 57^m 22^s·6.

§114. Saturn.—When Galileo directed his newly-discovered telescope to Saturn, he saw at once that the planet was not a simple disc like Mars, or like Jupiter, but that it had appendages which caused Saturn to present a peculiar appearance, the nature of which he was not able to understand. By careful observation it was shown by Huyghens that the appearance which had puzzled Galileo was really due to a remarkable circular ring, or rather series of thin flat rings, which completely surround Saturn without touching it. The appearance of Saturn is shown in Fig. 116, in which we see a portion of the ring in front, while another portion is hidden behind the globe of the planet. The pro-

The Planets.

jecting portions on each side of the globe are often called the *ansæ*. In the revolution of the planet round the sun the plane of the ring remains constantly parallel to itself.

FIG. 116.



This is shown in Fig 117, where the point s represents the sun, and where T is the position of the earth in its orbit round the sun. It is obvious that an observer stationed on T can only see the ring obliquely. Thus, though the actual contour of the ring is a circle, yet as we only see the projection of that circle, it appears to us like an ellipse.



The mean distance of Saturn from the sun is 9.54 times the mean distance of the earth, or 886,000,000 miles, and the period of revolution is $29\frac{1}{2}$ years. The equatorial diameter of the planet is about 75,000 miles, or more than

nine times that of the earth. When seen in a telescope the globe of Saturn exhibits belts somewhat similar to those of Jupiter, but fainter and not so full of well-defined markings, so that the rotation period, 10^h 14^m, is difficult to determine. The ellipticity is about one-tenth. The density of Saturn is remarkably low, being only about five-sevenths of that of water.

The rings of Saturn consist of separate particles like meteorites, revolving independently round the planet in accordance with Keple.'s laws. This has recently been verified spectroscopically by Keeler. See Chapter XII., where particulars about the eight satellites of Saturn will also be found.

§ 115. Law of Bode.—There exists a very remarkable law which connects together the approximate distances of the planets from the sun. This law is generally known by the name of *Bode's Law*. Attention was drawn to it in 1778 by the astronomer Bode, but he was not really the author of the law.

To express this law we write the following numbers :--

0, 3, 6, 12, 24, 48, 96.

This series is easily remembered by the circumstance that with the exception of the first and second each number is double the one which precedes it. If we add 4 to each of these numbers, the series becomes—

4, 7, 10, 16, 28, 52, 100,

which series was known to Kepler. These numbers are, with the exception of 28, sensibly proportional to the distances at which the principal planets are separated from the sun. In fact, the actual distances are represented as follows :

Mercury.	Venus.	Earth.	Mars.	Jupiter.	Saturn.
3.9	7.2	10	15.5	52.9	95.4

294

These numbers agree tolerably well with those indicated by Bode's law. It is to be observed that this law does not appear to have any theoretical foundation, and for that reason stands in quite a different category from the laws of Kepler.

§ 116. Discovery of New Planets.—Including the earth itself, the number of planets known to the ancients was but six. The invention of the telescope has, however, revealed to us the existence of numerous other planets; so that the number of these bodies at present recognised is about 200, and frequent additions are being made to the list by new discoveries.

On March 13, 1781, William Herschel was examining the stars in the constellation Gemini with a telescope of considerable magnifying power, when he perceived an object which was not a luminous point, as ordinary stars are, but which had a diameter of appreciable dimensions. By continued observation of the same object he noticed that it also differed from the stars in another important respect, for while the stars remained fixed in their relative positions, this new object was in motion. It was soon after recognised that this new object was really a planet moving like the other planets in a nearly circular orbit round the sun, and in a plane but little inclined to the plane of the ecliptic. This planet has received the name of *Uranus*, and it is attended by four satellites.

Adopting the distance of the earth from the sun as unity, the distance of Uranus from the sun is 1918. Thus the orbit of Uranus lies considerably outside that of Saturn, and its radius is very neary double that of Saturn. The law of Bode is also fulfilled approximately in the case of Uranus. The distance which that law assigns for the planet immediately outside Saturn is 196, which does not differ much from 1918, which is found by multiplying the distance of Uranus, expressed in terms of the mean radius of the earth's orbit, from the sun by 10.

The astronomer Bouvard, when publishing in 1821 the

tables of Uranus, by which the position of the planet at different epochs could be found, pointed out that the calculated positions of the planet could not be reconciled with the observed positions. The discrepancies could, he suggested, be reconciled by supposing that they arose from some extraneous and unknown influence disturbing the movements of Uranus. Bouvard even suggested that this extraneous influence might be due to a planet which circulated in an orbit exterior to that of Uranus.

The research for this unknown planet was taken up independently by M. Leverrier in France, and by Mr. Adams in England. It was shown that when every allowance was made for the action of Jupiter and Saturn upon Uranus, there were still outstanding discrepancies. Leverrier showed that these discrepancies could be reconciled by the existence of an exterior planet, and he predicted the situation of the planet so accurately that on the night of September 23, 1846, Neptune was actually found by Dr. Galle, at Berlin, in the place indicated. Professor Challis, at Cambridge, had previously commenced a search in accordance with the indications of Adams, and he had actually observed the planet on August 4 and 12, 1846. There can be no doubt that when these observations were compared he would have recognised the planetary nature of the object. The merit of this brilliant discovery must therefore be shared between Leverrier and Adams.

Neptune is not visible to the unaided eye. Viewed in a telescope of inconsiderable magnifying power, this planet seems like a star of the eighth magnitude. When the magnifying power of the instrument is increased, the dimensions of the planet become more considerable, and its circular disc can be perceived. The apparent angular diameter of Neptune is 2''. The actual diameter of Neptune is about 4 times the diameter of the earth. Neptune is accompanied by a single satellite.

Neptune is, so far as we know at present, the outermost

planet of the solar system. The law of Bode is, in the case of this planet, very much astray. It would indicate 388 as the distance of the planet immediately outside Uranus, while the actual distance of Neptune is only 300.4

§ 117. The Minor Planets.—According to the indications of Bode's law there ought to be a planet situated in the interval between Mars and Jupiter at a distance represented by 28. This has been abundantly confirmed by the discovery within the present century of a great number of planets which circulate round the sun at about the distance which was indicated by Bode's law. These planets are all small objects, requiring telescopic power; they now number more than 400. The following are a few of the more remarkable of these bodies, with the names and dates of their discoveries.

1. Ceres, discovered by Piazzi at Palermo on January 1, 1801. The distance of this planet from the sun is 2'77. Multiply this by 10; we obtain 27'7 instead of 28, as indicated by Bode's law.

2. Pallas, discovered by Olbers at Bremen on March 28, 1802. Its distance from the sun is 2'77.

3. Juno, discovered by Harding at Gottingen September 1, 1804. Its distance from the sun is 2.67.

4. Vesta, discovered by Olbers at Bremen March 29, 1807. Its distance from the sun is 2.36.

The search for minor planets is a work now carried on in several observatories. For this purpose maps have been prepared, on which the stars are recorded with great accuracy and minuteness. These maps need not extend to the whole surface of the heavens, as the planets are generally found in or near the ecliptic, and therefore it is only the regions of the sky in or near the ecliptic which require to be mapped for this purpose. The astronomer compares the stars which he sees through his telescope with the stars which he sees recorded on the map. If he detects an object in the heavens which is not represented on the

map, then the question arises as to what the object may be. If it be very small, it may possibly be a star which has escaped the attention of the astronomer by whose observations the maps were constructed. It may even be a star which, by reason of variability in its brightness, was not noticed when the maps were made, but which has become conspicuous when the comparison of the maps with the heavens is made in the manner we are now supposing. The first test to apply to an object of this kind is to ascertain whether its position is constant or whether it has a movement relatively to the stars. If in the course of a few nights the object remains sensibly in the same position as compared with the stars in its vicinity, we are then justified in supposing that the object is really a star which from one cause or another previously escaped notice. If, however, the star really has changed its place in the course of a night or two, or if, as sometimes happens, the observations of a single night are sufficien to show marked changes in the position, then we may infer that the unknown object is really a planet or a comet. If it be a minute body resembling a star, we may assume that the object is a planet ; but if it be a diffused mass of luminous matter, we may generally assume that it is a comet. The question will then remain as to whether the object thus revealed to us is new or whether it has been previously observed. In the case of the majority of the comets their orbits are such that they only visit the neighbourhood of the earth so as to become visible once for a few weeks or months, and then again retreat to the depths of space from which they have come. The case of the minor planets is, however, different. They revolve in nearly circular orbits around the sun, the periods of such revolutions being only a few years. It is, therefore, quite possible that the planet which has been observed has been already seen on previous occasions. To ascertain this point, reference must be made to an ephemeris in which the orbits of all the

planets which are known are given, and in which will be found predictions of the places of the planets. The ephemeris for this purpose is contained in the 'Berliner Jahrbuch.' Here will be found the position of each minor planet at intervals of 20 days throughout the year, while the place is given for each day in the case of the passage of a planet through opposition. If, therefore, the observer detects a planet, he first refers to the 'Berliner Jahrbuch,' to find whether any of the planets already discovered may not be situated in the position in which the new object appears.

During the last few years photography has been extensively used in the discovery of minor planets. A plate is exposed to a certain region in the sky for a few hours, great care being taken that the clock driving the telescope keeps pace accurately with the rotation of the earth, so that fixed stars appear on the plate as sharp points. If on developing the plate a star is found to have left a trail on the plate, it must be a planet. In this way Wolf of Heidelberg has discovered 36 new minor planets in the years 1892–95, using two 6-inch portrait lenses.

§ 118. Elements of the Movements of a Planet.—Observations of the minor planets show that they also obey the three laws of Kepler. Each of the planets revolves in an ellipse of which the sun occupies one of the foci; each of the planets sweeps over equal areas in equal times, and the squares of the periodic times are proportional to the cubes of the mean distances.

In order to define completely the nature of the orbit of a planet, it is necessary to specify certain quantities with regard to that orbit which are called its *elements*. In the first place we must have the shape and size of the ellipse specified. This will involve two quantities—namely, the length of the semi-axis major and the eccentricity of the ellipse. From Kepler's third law it appears that the period of revolution is known when the length of the semi-axis major of the ellipse is known, and therefore this element is really included in the two already specified. But besides knowing the shape and size of the ellipse, certain other elements are necessary in order to specify the orbit. We require to know the plane in which the ellipse lies, as well as the actual position of the ellipse in its plane. The position of the plane of the orbit is specified by its line of nodes-that is, by the line in which it intersects the plane of the ecliptic and by the inclination at which the plane of the orbit is inclined to the plane of the ecliptic. Still one more quantity is required to determine the ellipse. We know that the focus of the ellipse is situated at the sun, but the direction of the axis major of the ellipse is still undetermined. This may be defined by the longitude of the perihelion, which is the sum of the longitudes of the ascending node and the angular distance (measured in the direction of the motion) of the perihelion from the node. Finally, we require to know the date at which the planet occupied a certain specific point of its orbit. When this and the other elements of the orbit are known, the place of the planet at any time can be predicted.

To determine the six elements of the orbit of a planet, it is necessary to have three observations of the planet both in right ascension and declination. The computation of the actual shape and position of the orbit from these observations is a matter of no little complexity. It is, however, easy to render a general account of the method so far as to show that three observations will be adequate for the purpose.

When the right ascension and the declination of a celestial body are known, all that we really infer is that at the time when the observation was made the body was seen in a certain direction. The position of the observer has to be taken account of, because that position is constantly changing in consequence of the annual motion of the earth around the sun (we may for the present overlook the diurnal rotation of the earth). The date of the observation being known, the precise position of the observer on the ecliptic at that date is also known ; and as the direction of the planet is determined by the observation, it follows that at the moment of the observation the planet must have been situated upon a straight line of which the position in space was actually known. The same takes place at the two other observations, and consequently the result of the three observations is, that, on dates which are given, the planet was situated respectively on three lines of which the positions in space are given.

The problem which has now to be solved may be thus stated. To describe an ellipse of which the sun is at the focus, which shall intersect three given straight lines in space. Draw any plane through the sun; this will cut the three lines in three points, and a conic section can be drawn to pass through these points, and so that the sun shall be situated in the focus. Unless, however, the plane be properly chosen this conic will not be the ellipse which the planet is describing. In accordance with Kepler's laws the area swept over by the radius vector to a planet is proportional to the time, and, consequently, as we know the dates of the observations, the area swept over by the radius vector between the first and second observations, and also between the first and the third, are also known. The plane must therefore be so drawn through the sun, that the conic passing through the three points shall be an ellipse, and that the areas subtended by the two arcs of the ellipse at the focus shall be given. We thus have two conditions imposed on the plane, and these two conditions will be sufficient to determine the plane, for it will be remembered that, when a plane is drawn through a given point, two other elements are sufficient to fix the position of the plane. These two elements may conveniently be taken as two of the direction angles of the normal to the plane. In this way we have shown that three complete observations of a planet are adequate for the determination of its orbit.

§ 119. The Parallax of the Sun.—There are several distinct methods by which the distance of the sun from the earth may be ascertained. The best known of these methods, even if not the most trustworthy, is that which is afforded by the rare occurrence of the phenomenon which is known as the transit of Venus.

Owing to the inclination of the orbit of Venus to the orbit of the earth, it usually happens that when in conjunction Venus passes over the sun or under the sun. If, however, the conjunction occur when Venus is near the node, then Venus will pass actually between the earth and the sun, and will be seen like a dark spot upon the face of the sun. Thus, suppose the circle C A B (Fig. 118) to represent the apparent disc of the sun, the planet Venus appears to enter on the disc of the sun at A, and then moving across

the sun in a direction which is indicated by the dotted line A B, leaves the sun at B.

In discussing this question no little complexity arises from the inclination of the orbit of Venus to that of the earth. We shall therefore simplify the subject by supposing that the orbit of Venus coincides with the ecliptic, as the



principle of the method will not be affected. We may also suppose that the orbits of the earth and of Venus are circles. Under the circumstances we have supposed the path of Venus across the disc of the sun would pass very near the centre of the sun when seen from any point on the earth. When the planet has just entered completely upon the disc of the sun, as at A (Fig. 118), the phenomenon is said to be *first internal contact.* After passing across the face of the sun the planet reaches B, and when the circular edge of the

planet just touches the circular edge of the sun, the phase called last internal contact is reached. Observations of the transit of Venus are mainly devoted to the accurate determination of the time at which first internal contact and last internal contact occur. It is from these observations, made at different parts of the earth, that the parallax of the sun is to be concluded. We shall not enter into any detail in the matter, but merely point out how such observations will enable the parallax of the sun to be ascertained. Let POT (Fig. 119) denote the sun, of which s is the centre. Let A B represent the earth. Draw AT a common tangent to the sun and the earth, and also the common tangent BT, which touches the earth at B and the sun in a point so close to T as to be undistinguishable therefrom. The circle through A B denotes the orbit of the earth, and the circle through x y denotes the orbit of Venus, and the arrows indicate the direction in which the earth and Venus are moving. As Venus is moving faster than the earth, it follows that Venus will overtake the earth, and thus at a certain time will arrive



at the position x. Remembering that we have assumed that the orbit of Venus lies in the same plane as that of the earth, it follows that an observer stationed at A will just see Venus at first internal contact, and, having previously regulated his clock accurately, he will be able to note the moment when Venus occupies the position x.

Venus, however, is moving around the sun with a greater angular velocity than that which the earth has round the sun : it follows that after a certain interval of time Venus will have attained the position represented by v, where it touches the second common tangent drawn to the earth and the sun. An observer at the point on the earth's surface denoted by B will therefore now see Venus at first internal contact. It is therefore clear that A is the spot on the earth at which the internal contact is first seen, and that B is the spot where the internal contact is last seen. A correction will have to be made here on account of the rotation of the earth on its axis, for during the time occupied by Venus in passing from x to y the earth will have turned through an angle which is quite appreciable. Consequently the real point B on the earth's surface, where the internal contact is last seen, is different from what it would have been had the earth not rotated on its axis after the first internal contact was observed from A. Astronomers, however, know how to allow for this difference, and we shall not here consider it further.

We shall, therefore, suppose that expeditions are sent to the two stations A and B (of course, as a matter of fact, they can only be sent to the places nearest A and B which are suitable from geographical considerations), and that at each of these two stations the moment of first internal contact is observed. We may also suppose that a telegraph wire is kaid from A to B, so that at the instant of contact as seen from A a telegraphic signal is despatched to B. The observer at B then notes the arrival of this signal by his clock, and when he himself sees the internal contact at a time also marked by his own clock he is able with the greatest precision to determine the interval of time between the two contacts. We thus learn from these observations the
actual time which Venus takes to pass from the position x to the position y. But we also know that the entire time which Venus requires for performing a revolution around the sun *relatively to the earth* is 584 days. Hence, if we assume that Venus moves uniformly, we can, by a simple proportion, find the angle $x \le y$. The radius $T \le s$ small compared with the distance s x; we may therefore, with sufficient accuracy for our present purpose, suppose that the angle $x \ge y$. We can now consider the problem to be solved, for the distance A B, being very nearly equal to the diameter of the earth, is therefore known, also the angle A T B is known, and therefore the distance A T from the sun to the earth is known.

From the transit of Venus which occurred in 1874, Sir George Airy, after a discussion of the results obtained by the expeditions sent by the British Government, concluded that the equatorial or horizontal parallax of the sun is 8".760. This corresponds to a distance of the sun from the earth equal to 93,300,000 miles.1 Airy's value of the parallax is smaller than any which has been deduced by this or other methods during the last twenty years, and other astronomers have found different values from the same transit of Venus. This is due to the difficulty of determining accurately the moment when Venus enters or leaves the sun's disc (owing to irradiation and to some extent to the atmosphere of Venus), and the accounts of what the different observers saw may be interpreted differently by different computers. The transit of Venus on December 6, 1882, was also extensively observed. The next transit will not occur till the year 2004.

The astronomical importance of an accurate knowledge of the sun's distance can hardly be over-estimated. With a single exception it is the unit in which the distances of the

¹ Report ordered to be printed by the House of Commons, July 6, 1877. (For other values of the solar parallax, see Chapter XII.)

heavenly bodies are invariably expressed. The exception to which we refer is the moon, the distance of which is directly determined by observations of the zenith distance of the moon in the way already described. Once, however, the distance of the sun from the earth is found, then from Kepler's third law the distances of all the planets are found. From this will follow the dimensions of the planets and of the orbits of their satellites. Thus, to determine the scale of the whole solar system, it is only necessary to determine the distance of the sun from the earth. So also when we seek to determine the distances of the fixed stars from the earth, the quantity which the observations give is the angle which the radius of the earth's orbit subtends at the star. The determination of the distance of the star is therefore expressed in terms of the radius of the earth's orbit.

There are many other methods by which the distance of the sun from the earth can be found, besides that which is afforded by the transit of Venus. One of the best of these methods is by the observations of the parallax of a planet as seen from different places on the earth's surface. In the application of this method, either Mars or a small planet is chosen, and its apparent position relatively to the fixed stars in its neighbourhood is measured from two stations on the earth. If the planet were as far off as the stars, then no apparent difference would be seen in the places afforded by the two different sets of observations ; but as the planet is comparatively near the earth, the distance between the two stations is sufficiently great to cause an appreciable change in the place of the planet when viewed from the two places. In this way the distance of the planet can be found, and thus the scale of the solar system, and the distance of the sun itself, is ascertained.

A still more simple method, and one which has much to recommend it, is a modification of that which has just been

described. Owing to the rotation of the earth, the position of the observer himself is in constant motion. If, therefore, the planet be observed with reference to the stars in its neighbourhood, and if the observer repeat these observations some hours later, he will find that the apparent place of the planet has changed. The alterations in the place of the planet are due to three causes-ist, to the actual motion of the planet itself; 2nd, to the displacement of the observer due to the revolution of the earth around the sun; 3rd, to the displacement of the observer due to the rotation of the earth on its axis. By suitable discussion of the observations it is possible to distinguish the amount of displacement arising from the last-mentioned cause, and thence to determine the ratio of the distance of the planet to the diameter of the earth. For the application of this method, it is obviously desirable to have the planet as close to the earth as possible.

CHAPTER IX.

COMETS AND METEORS.

§ 120. Comets.—Besides the planets, there are certain other bodies belonging to the solar system which are sometimes seen moving among the constellations. These bodies are called *comets*. A comet usually consists of a more or less brilliant nucleus surrounded with a nebulosity which is often extended in one direction so as to form a tail (Fig. 120). Frequently, however, comets are seen which do not appear to have any conspicuous tail, and sometimes they have more than one. Sometimes also the nucleus is very minute, or entirely wanting. The actual dimension to which a comet extends is often enormously great.

Thus, in the month of March 1843, a comet appeared of which the tail extended to a length of 40° . The tail of the comet of 1680 had a length of 90° , and that

FIG. 120.



of 1618 was stretched across the sky, through an arc not less than 104°. The comet of 1811 had a tail 23° long, and in 1744 a comet appeared with six tails. The most recent great comet which was well seen is that of 1882. The examples which we have alluded to are those of comets which, from their splendour, were exceedingly

conspicuous objects. The ordinary comets are much smaller, and indeed the great majority of these bodies are faint telescopic objects, of which a few are usually found every year. The spectrum of a comet consists of a faint continuous spectrum, due to reflected sunlight, and certain bright bands showing that the comet consists at least partly of hydrocarbon gas.

A comet is only visible in the heavens for a limited period. It first appears in some region of the heavens where nothing of the kind had been seen a few days previously; from day to day the comet changes its position and its brightness, and even its shape. It will remain visible for some weeks, or perhaps months. Occasionally it becomes lost to view from having gone too close to the sun, and then again the comet is seen on the other side of the sun, when, after remaining visible for some time, it gradually recedes, becomes fainter, and is finally lost to sight.

It was Newton who first explained the real motion of

comets. He discovered that comets really move in conic sections with the sun in one of the foci Many of these orbits appear to be parabolic, but the majority are perhaps very elongated ellipses, and the path of the comet in the vicinity of the sun, when alone we see it, is in this case hardly to be distinguished from a parabola.

When a comet appears, its right ascension and declination are determined, and from three such observations the orbit of the comet can be completely ascertained. To accurately describe this orbit its elements must be ascertained. The elements of the orbit of a comet are-1st, the inclination of the plane of the orbit to the plane of the ecliptic; 2nd, the longitude of the ascending node of the orbit, that is, the angle which the line of intersection of the comet's orbit on the ecliptic makes with a line drawn through the sun parallel to the line of the equinoxes; 3rd, the longitude of the perihelion, which is in the case of direct motion the sum of the longitude of the ascending node and the angular distance (measured in the direction of the motion) of the perihelion from this node; 4th, the perihelion distance, that is to say, the shortest distance of the parabola from the centre of the sun, the radius of the earth's orbit being taken as unity; 5th, the epoch. or the time at which the comet has passed through its perihelion ; 6th, the direction of the motion which is direct from west to east like the sun, or retrograde from east to west.

§ 121. Periodic Comets.—If the orbit of a comet were really parabolic, then, after once passing round the sun, the comet would retreat to the depths of space from whence it came, and would never again become visible. If, however, the orbit be elliptic, then after a greater or less time, depending upon the length of the axis major of the ellipse, the comet would return, and thus form what is known as a periodic comet.

When a comet appears, the question arises as to whether this comet is to be regarded as parabolic, or whether it is

not one of the periodic comets. This question cannot be decided by the appearance of the comet. The actual shape and dimensions of a comet vary so much, even in the course of a single apparition, that it is quite hopeless to expect that the identity of the comet at future returns can be detected from its resemblance to comets previously seen. The only method of determining the identity of a comet is, to ascertain the elements of its orbit, and then seek in the record of previous comets for a comet which has an orbit of a similar character.

§ 122. *Halley's Comet.*—To illustrate this subject, we shall take the case of the comet which appeared in 1682, and which is generally known as Halley's comet. From the observations made by Lahire, Picard, Hévélius, and Flamsteed, the following elements were found for the orbit of the comet during the apparition of 1682 :—

Inclination. Longitude of Longitude of Perihelion Direction Node. Perihelion. Distance. of Motion. 17° 44' 45'' 51° 11' 18'' 301° 55' 37'' 0.58 Retrograde. But from the observations of Kepler and Longomontanus it appeared that a great comet, observed in 1607, had the elements—

Inclination.Longitude of
Node.Longitude of
Perihelion.PerihelionDirection
Distance.17° 6' 17''48° 14' 9'30° 46' 59''0.58Retrograde.

From the close resemblance between these elements and those of the comet of 1682, Halley concluded that the comet of 1682 and that of 1607 were really the same object, and that the period of its revolution about the sun was 75 years. It further appeared that the comet observed by Apian in 1531, i.e. 76 years before 1607, had an orbit with the following elements :—

Inclination.Longitude of
Node.Longitude of
Perihelion.PerihelionDirection
Distance.17° 0'45° 30'301° 12'0.58Retrograde.

The identity of these elements with those found for the comets of 1607 and 1682 left no doubt as to the identity of the objects. If this were true, then Halley predicted that the comet would reappear in 1758. The influence of the planets in perturbing the motion of this comet is very considerable. Clairaut computed that by the action of Jupiter the comet would be retarded 528 days, and 200 days by that of Saturn. He therefore predicted that the comet would return to perihelion about the middle of April 1759, but that, owing to the calculations being only approximate, an error of 30 days on one side or the other was possible. The comet did actually return very close to the predicted time, and passed the perihelion on March 12, 1759. The following elements were deduced from the observations then made :—

Inclination. Longitude of Longitude of Perihelion Direction Node. Perihelion. Distance. of Motion. 17° 36' 52'' 53° 50' 27'' 303° 10' 28'' 0.58 Retrograde.

Observing that the period of the comet is about 75 years, another return was expected in the year 1835, and, by accurate calculation of the perturbation, the date of the perihelion passage was fixed for November 13. The perihelion passage actually did take place on November 16.

As we know the periodic time of the comet, we are enabled, by means of Kepler's third law, to find the mean distance of the comet from the sun. Representing the mean distance of the earth by unity, the mean distance of Halley's comet is 35'9. The actual shape and dimension of the orbit of Halley's comet, as compared with the planets, is shown in Fig. 121, where it will be seen that the orbit of the comet passes a little outside that of Neptune.¹

§ 123. Distinction between Planets and Comets.—As both planets and comets revolve round the sun in orbits which are described in accordance with the laws of Kepler, it is necessary to specify the wide differences in their

A list of periodic comets will be found in Chapter XII.

movements which separate the class of bodies we call planets from the class of bodies we call comets.



The planets all move round the sun in the same direction, from west to east, and, if we except a few of the minor planets, their orbits are but very little inclined to each other. The eccentricities of the orbits of planets are but small, so that the ellipses which they describe differ but little from circles. Comets, on the other hand, move in orbits whose planes are inclined at all possible angles to the plane of the ecliptic ; some have a direct motion, while that of others is retro-Most of them degrade. scribe orbits of such great eccentricity that, while they are visible, the orbit cannot be distinguished from a parabola.

§ 124. Shooting Stars. —In addition to the planets and their satellites, and the vast host of comets, there are numerous other small bodies generally recognised as belonging to the solar system. The study of these small bodies is a branch of

astronomy in which the telescope is of but little use. We

do not see these bodies under ordinary circumstances with the telescope, but we become aware of their existence in other ways. We sometimes find them actually tumbling down upon the earth: we can then take them in our hands, weigh them, and analyse them; they are the bodies which we call *Meteorites*. Collections of these objects are to be seen in the British Museum and elsewhere.

But there is another very different way by which we become aware of the existence of these small bodies. The descent of a *meteorite* is a comparatively rare, and at all times very noteworthy, phenomenon; yet everyone is familiar with what are called 'shooting stars,' while occasionally the somewhat more imposing phenomena which are known as *fire-balls* are witnessed. We have the best reasons for knowing that what we call shooting stars are really small objects which dash into our atmosphere from external regions. Thus meteorites and shooting stars are the evidences which we possess of the existence of comparatively minute bodies in space, which are external to the earth and to our atmosphere.

As an illustration on a somewhat imposing scale of the circumstances under which these external bodies (often called *meteors*) enter our atmosphere and thus become manifest, we may take a very remarkable fire-ball which occurred on November 6, 1869. This fire-ball was very extensively seen from different parts of England, and by combining and comparing these observations very accurate information has been obtained as to the height of this object and the velocity with which it travelled.

Let us suppose that an object many miles up in the air is seen simultaneously by two observers stationed at a considerable distance apart, and that each observer has noted carefully the direction in which he saw the object; then the height of the object can be determined. For, take a map on any convenient scale, and at the two stations of the observers insert straight wires projecting from the map in the

directions in which the object was seen from each of the stations. These two wires must, of course, intersect at the

FIG. 122.

Rathhury ENGLAND 7 Stokesay ° Bu mingham High 6 Bristul Bround starr . Bath 1 10. Rumsqu 8 Hank o From the ophies of Meteory putte willon Turquary Q п Aruth 3. St Helierso FRANCE Tursey

true position of the object. Letting fall a perpendicular

314

Comets and Meteors.

from their intersection upon the plane of the map, the height of the object is found on the same scale as that of the map. It is in this manner that the point of appearance and disappearance of a meteor can be ascertained, and thus the entire track of the meteor becomes known. By observations of this kind the path of the fire-ball under consideration was determined, and it is represented in Fig. 122.¹ It appears that this meteor commenced to be visible at a point 90 miles above Frome, in Somersetshire, that it then moved in the manner shown in the diagram, until it disappeared at a point 27 miles over the sea near St. Ives, in Cornwall. The whole length of its course was about 170 miles, which was performed in a period of 5 seconds, thus giving an average velocity of 34 miles per second. A very remarkable part of the appearance which the fire-ball presented was the persistent streak about fifty miles long and four miles wide which remained in sight for fully fifty minutes.

We have in this example an illustration of the chief features of the phenomenon of a shooting star presented on a very grand scale. This consists of a brilliant object moving with a very high velocity and leaving after it a persistent streak of light. It is true that the persistent luminous streak is not a universal, nor indeed a very common, feature of a shooting star. These phenomena admit of a tolerably simple explanation. The velocity of 34 miles per second, with which the body, whatever it may have been, entered our atmosphere, altogether transcends the velocities with which we are accustomed to deal on the surface of the earth. It is more than a hundred times as great as the swiftest shot that was ever fired from a cannon. It is in this high velocity that the explanation of the light and the streak are to be sought. The friction against the atmosphere develops heat sufficient to render the body red hot, white hot, and even in

¹ This figure, as well as the accompanying particulars, have been taken from the British Association Reports, Liverpool, 1870, p. 80.

many cases (perhaps in most) entirely to dissipate the body into vapour.

One of the most remarkable features connected with the phenomena of shooting stars is their periodic recurrence in what are called star showers. On some occasions, as, for example, on the night of November 13–14, 1866, the display of shooting stars has produced a spectacle of the most sublime character. Less splendid, though perhaps quite as interesting, was the shower which took place on November 27–28, 1872. We shall select this shower for discussion, as it was the last important one which occurred.

We shall suppose that the observer is provided with a chart of the fixed stars in that part of the heavens in which the shower of shooting stars appeared. He fixes his attention on one particular shooting star, and carefully observes its path with respect to the fixed stars in its vicinity. He then draws a line upon his chart in the direction in which the shooting star moved, and he repeats this process for as many stars as possible during the shower.

The most casual glance at this chart will show that the tracks of nearly all the shooting stars marked thereon diverge from one point or region. In some of the ordinary showers the tracks almost all diverge from a single point, which is called the *radiant*. In the case of the shower now under consideration, the tracks are not actually directed from a single point, but they all diverge from a clearly defined *radiant area*, and as this area was situated in the constellation Andromeda, the shower is often called the '*Andromedes*.'

Astronomers have often to discriminate motions which are only apparent from those which are real, and it will be necessary to exercise that discrimination here. It certainly looks at first sight as if all the shooting stars did actually dart from the region we have been considering, but this is not exactly the case. Those who make use of the principles of perspective often draw a set of lines intersecting in a point in order to represent a set of parallel lines in the object which is being copied. When we are looking at the shooting stars we really only see the projections of their paths upon the surface of the heavens. But we have shown that all these projections pass nearly through the same point, and therefore we infer that the shooting stars belonging to the same shower are moving in nearly parallel lines. It is not of course meant that throughout all space the paths of the meteors producing the shooting stars are a group of parallel straight lines. We refer only at present to the very short portions of those paths which are described by the meteors when we see them as shooting stars just at the moment of their dissolution.

We are now able to ascertain the actual direction in which the shooting stars of a shower are moving; in fact, a line drawn from the eye of the observer to the radiant must be parallel to the straight lines along which the shooting stars move relatively to the earth.

It will next be necessary to consider the true significance of the special day of the year on which the shower of the Andromedes was witnessed. The following table gives the names of the three principal showers of shooting stars, as well as the days of the year on which they may be looked for :---

Name of shower.				Date of appearance.	
Perseids		•			August 9 to 11
Leonids					November 12 to 14
Andromed	es	•			November 27 to 29

As the earth moves round the sun once in a year, the earth is on each day of the year in the same part of its orbit as it was on the same day of the preceding year. We are therefore led to connect the annual recurrence of the shooting star showers with the position of the earth in its orbit round the sun. Thus, when the earth is in one part of its orbit it is likely to meet the Leonids, when it is in another it is likely to meet the Perseids, and so on. We therefore infer with certainty that the stream of meteors which form the Andromedes, when they enter our atmosphere as shooting stars, must pass through that part of the earth's orbit in which the earth is situated at the end of November.

The question has now assumed a geometrical form of considerable interest. Let E (Fig. 123) denote the position



of the earth at the time of the occurrence of a shower, and let the line E R, drawn through E, denote the direction of the radiant, after allowing for the motion of the earth. Then the line E R must be a tangent to the orbit of the meteors, and of course the sun is a focus of that orbit. The position of the other focus is, however, indeterminate. All that we know at present is that, from a property of the ellipse, the second focus must lie on the line $E F_1$, which is drawn through E so as to make with the tangent the same angle as E Smakes with the tangent. To determine the position of this 's second focus some additional datum is indispensable ; the most suitable datum is the time of revolution of the meteors.

Comets and Meteors.

In the figure we have shown orbits corresponding to periods of six months, one year, two years, and three years, all drawn to scale. As a matter of fact, it would be impossible to draw on this scale the actual orbits of any of the meteor showers, as the periods are all much longer than those here referred to. When, however, the periodic time is known, then, from Kepler's third law, the axis major of the ellipse is known, and therefore the position of the focus is determined. All, therefore, that is necessary to determine the orbit of a swarm of meteors is given, when we know the day on which the shower takes place, the position of the radiant, and the periodic time of the swarm.

Pausing for a little in our consideration of the Andromedes, let us turn to the periodic comet which was discovered more than a century ago, and which is now known by the name of Biela's comet. It must be regarded as a very remarkable circumstance that the path of Biela's comet actually crosses the path of the earth. This is of importance in connection with the Andromedes, because the point of the earth's orbit, where it is crossed by the orbit of Biela's comet, is precisely that spot which is occupied by the earth on November 27 in each year. It surely is a remarkable coincidence that the earth should encounter the Andromedes at the very moment when it is crossing the track of Biela's comet. We are at once tempted to make the inference that the comet and the meteors are in some way connected, and the justice of this inference is corroborated in the most astonishing manner by three additional circumstances :--

r. We have already explained how the direction from which the Andromedes come is to be found, and we can also find the direction from which Biela's comet comes. These two directions are identical.

2. Biela's comet sweeps around the sun in an elliptic orbit, with a period of 6.6 years. Thus, after coming into the vicinity of the earth and being occasionally visible, the comet again withdraws to a vast distance, to return again in about $6\frac{1}{2}$ years more. Now it so happens that at the end of 1872 the time had arrived for the return of Biela's comet, and thus the occurrence of the great shower of the Andromedes took place at the time when we knew that Biela's comet must, comparatively speaking, have been in the vicinity of the earth, though it had not yet been observed. Another great shower occurred in November 1885, when the earth crossed the path of the comet.

3. Professor Klinkerfues ingeniously argued that if a comet, coming from the radiant of the Andromedes, actually brushed past the earth on the night of November 27, 1872, the comet ought to be found immediately afterwards in that region of the heavens which is diametrically opposite to the radiant of the Andromedes. He therefore telegraphed to Mr. Pogson at Madras, requesting him to search in the region thus indicated. The search was made, and the comet (or a comet) was found. Unfortunately, bad weather prevented sufficient observations of the comet being made, but there can be little doubt that it was connected with the Andromedes.

This connection between comets and shooting stars has been found to exist in several other cases, especially in the great showers of the Leonids and the Perseids. The orbit of the Perseids was the first orbit of a swarm determined by Schiaparelli (to whom the modern development of meteoric astronomy is chiefly due) in 1866. The period of revolution was unknown, but from the rate of increase of the number of shooting stars seen during every hour of the night, reaching a maximum at 6 A.M. (when the point of the heavens towards which the earth is moving is on the meridian), Schiaparelli found that the orbital velocity of the shooting stars was equal to that of the motion in a parabola at a distance from the focus equal to the radius of the earth's orbit. This, in connection with the position of the radiant, gave him the means of computing the orbit of the Perseids, and it turned out to be the same as that in which the third comet of the year 1862 moves.

Out of the thousands of comets there are but comparatively few of which the orbits cross the earth's orbit;

Comets and Meteors.

consequently, supposing that comets are often accompanied by meteor streams, we are led to the conclusion that there are many comet-meteor streams in the solar system which we never see. The streams of cometary meteors have, of course, an existence quite independent of the earth, and it is a merely accidental circumstance that the earth's orbit intersects a few of the comet meteor orbits, and thus enables us to become aware of the existence of the meteors when they enter our atmosphere as shooting stars.

FIG. 124. Meteors Meteors Sun Earth Earth

Although the great showers only recur at distant intervals of time, yet it frequently happens that, even in ordinary years when no large shower is seen, a number of shooting stars are observed when the earth crosses the track of one of the great meteor currents. Thus, for example, though the great showers of the Leonids only recur at intervals of 33¹/₄ years, yet it generally happens that from November 12 to November 14 some meteors are seen which diverge from the well-known region in the constellation Leo, and are undoubtedly moving in the orbit of the Leonids.¹ The cause of this may be explained by Fig. 124, which ¹ For further particulars about the orbit of the Leonids see Chap. XII.

Y

shows the orbit in which these meteors and the first comet of 1866 are moving.

If we suppose that the several meteors of the shoal describe similar ellipses, then those which are moving on the larger ellipses will have a larger periodic time than those which are moving in the smaller ellipses. The consequence is that the shoal gradually lengthens out until the more erratic members become dispersed round the entire orbit. It is these meteors, thus distributed, which the earth meets in ordinary years, while when the earth encounters the main shoal, a grand display is witnessed.

CHAPTER X.

UNIVERSAL, GRAVITATION.

§ 125. The Law of Gravitation.—When Kepler's laws of the motion of the planets were announced, they were merely empirical results deduced from actual observations. It was Newton who showed that Kepler's laws are really only the consequences of the grand law of nature which is called the *law of gravitation*.

We know from the *first law of motion*, that when a body is not acted upon by any force it moves with uniform velocity in a straight line, but that if the body be moving in a curved path, or if its velocity be not uniform, then force must be acting upon that body. Kepler's laws state that planets move in ellipses and not in straight lines, and also that the velocities of the planets do not remain constant. We are, therefore, forced to admit that some force must constantly act upon the planets. It remains to discover at each moment the direction and intensity of this force.

We can first prove that the direction of the force which acts upon the planet passes constantly through the sun. This was shown by Newton to be a consequence of the law discovered by Kepler that equal areas are described in equal times by the radius vector, drawn from the sun to

322

the planet. The orbit of the planet, although really a curve, may be considered to form a polygon of an indefinitely large number of sides. We may further suppose that the length of each side of the polygon is proportional to the velocity with which the planet is moving on that side, so that equal time is occupied in describing each of the sides. The actual force which acts upon the planet may be conceived to be an instantaneous impulse which the planet receives at each of the corners of the polygon, while during the passage from one corner to the next no force is in action. As the time taken to describe a side of the polygon is constant, the property of equal areas in equal times amounts to the assertion that the areas subtended by the sides of the polygon at the centre of the sun are all equal.

From the second law of motion it appears that if a body



have a velocity which is represented both in magnitude and direction by the line A P (Fig. 125), and if by the sudden application of a force the direction and magnitude of the velocity are changed into A Q, then the force which has produced this effect will be parallel to P Q. Let B C S

A B C (Fig. 126) be three consecutive corners of the polygon described by the planet. Produce A B until B D is equal to A B. Then B D will represent the velocity both in direction and magnitude which the planet has while describing A B, and B c represents both in magnitude and direction the velocity which the planet has along the side B c. From the principle just explained, the instantaneous force which acted upon the planet at B, must have been parallel to the

line D C. But as A B is equal to D B, the area of the triangle s BA is equal to the area of the triangle s BD, and consequently the area of s BD must be equal to that of s BC. It hence follows that the line D C is parallel to B s, and therefore the impulsive force which acted upon the planet at B must be parallel to the line B s.

We therefore see that the direction of the impulsive force which acts at each corner of the polygon points towards the centre of the sun. Let the number of sides of the polygon be increased indefinitely, and we see that the planet will at each position be acted upon by a force which is directed towards the centre of the sun.

Thus Kepler's discovery of the law of equal areas in equal times shows that the force which acts upon the planets must be directed towards the sun. It can also be shown conversely that, when the motion of a body takes place under the action of a force directed towards a fixed point, then the body describes equal areas in equal times.

All that the second law of Kepler has shown us, is the *direction* of the force which acts upon the planets: it has told us nothing of the law according to which the *intensity* of that force varies. To solve this question we must have recourse to the two remaining laws.

The third law asserts that the squares of the periodic times are in the same ratio as the cubes of the mean distances, and as there is no reference made to the eccentricities, we may, for the purpose of considering this law, assume the hypothetical case of planets which revolve in circular orbits around the sun in the centre. This supposition is not excluded by the first law, which states that the orbit of a planet is an ellipse; for there are ellipses of every degree of eccentricity, including the circle as an extreme case. In the case of a planet revolving in a circular orbit, the second law of motion, which asserts the description of equal areas in equal times, requires that the velocity shall be uniform.

Universal Gravitation.

Let us, then, suppose the case of two planets moving in circular orbits and with uniform velocities around the sun. Let the radii of the circles be R_1 and R_2 , and the times of revolution be T_1 and T_2 ; then Kepler's third law asserts the proportion—

$$T_1^2: T_2^2: R_1^3: R_3^3.$$

But we know from the principles of mechanics that when a body is revolving in a circle with uniform velocity, the body must be constantly acted upon by a force which is directed towards the centre of the circle, and that the magnitude of that force is proportional to

$$\frac{4\pi^2 R_1}{T_1^2},$$

where, as before, R_1 is the radius of the circle, and T_1 is the periodic time.

If, therefore, we denote by F_1 and F_2 the intensities of the two forces, we must have the proportion:—

$$F_{_1}:F_{_2}::\frac{R_{_1}}{T_{_1}}:\frac{R_{_2}}{T_{_2}};$$

but, from what we have already seen-

$$\frac{R_{_{1}}}{T_{_{1}}^{_{2}}}:\frac{R_{_{2}}}{T_{_{2}}^{^{2}}}::\frac{I}{R_{_{1}}^{^{2}}}:\frac{I}{R_{_{2}}^{^{2}}},$$

and hence we have-

$$\mathbf{F}_1:\mathbf{F}_2::\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{R}_1^2}:\frac{\mathbf{I}}{\mathbf{R}_2^2}.$$

From this we deduce the very important result that in the case of two planets revolving around the sun in circles, the intensities of the forces vary *inversely as the square of the distances.* We may state this result with increased generality as follows :—

Each planet is acted upon by a force directed towards the

sun, and varying inversely as the square of the distance from the sun.

Assuming this law to be true, Newton discovered that the orbit of a planet would be a conic section of which the sun was situated in one of the foci, thus explaining in the most simple manner the first of Kepler's laws.

In the same way as the planets describe ellipses in consequence of their attraction to the sun, so the moon describes an ellipse around the earth, and the satellites describe ellipses around their primaries. More generally it is believed that every body in the universe attracts every other body, and this is termed the law of universal gravitation.

It remains to explain how the magnitude of the gravitation between two bodies is affected by the masses of those bodies. If the mass of either body be doubled, the intensity of the attraction is doubled. It therefore appears that the intensity of gravitation must vary proportionally to the product of the masses. The exact expression for the gravitation of two masses m and m', separated by a distance r, is

$C m m' \div r^2$,

where c is a certain constant depending upon the nature of gravitation itself, and is equal to the number of units of force in the gravitation between two units of mass when placed at the unit of distance apart.

A very remarkable circumstance connected with the force of gravitation must be here adverted to. We have stated that the intensity of the force is proportional to the product of the masses, but it appears to be quite independent of the nature of those masses. For example, two masses of lead placed at a certain distance are attracted by the same force, as two equal masses of iron would be when separated by the same distance. The attraction of gravitation is therefore a very different force from that kind of attraction called

326

magnetic attraction, where the character of the masses is of the utmost importance.

It is not difficult to show that the force by which the moon is retained in its orbit around the earth is really produced by the same attraction of the earth which causes a body to fall at the earth's surface.

A body falling freely near the surface of the earth will in one second move over a distance of 16¹ feet. Remembering that the distance of the moon from the centre of the earth is about 60 radii of the earth, and that the intensity of gravity varies inversely as the square of the distance, it appears that, at the distance of the moon, a body let fall would in one second move towards the earth through a distance $16^{1} \div$ 3600 feet=0.053 inch very nearly. The moon is moving in an orbit which for our present purpose we may regard as a circle, of which the earth is the centre (Fig. 127). If the



the centre (Fig. 127). If the attraction of the earth were suspended, then the moon would move in a straight line, and we shall suppose that in one second the moon at M would move along the tangent to its orbit at M to a distance M P. Owing, however, to the attraction of the earth, the moon, instead of being found at P, is really at Q, and as P is very close to M, we may consider

the line PQ as parallel to M E. The moon, therefore, has in one second fallen in towards the earth through a distance PQ. It remains to calculate the length of this line PQ. Produce M E to intersect the circle at A, and let fall Q R perpendicular on A M, then it is easy to show that the triangles M Q R and M A Q are similar, and that consequently whence

 $P Q = M R = M Q^2 \div M A.$

As the sidereal revolution of the moon occupies $27\cdot32$ days, and as the radius of the moon's orbit is about sixty times the radius of the earth, it is easy to compute that the distance MQ through which the moon moves in one second is about 40,120 inches. The diameter of the moon's orbit is approximately 30,130,000,000 inches.

Whence we have

 $P Q = 40, 120^2 \div 30, 130, 000, 000 = 0.053.$

The identity between the actual value thus found for PQ and the distance through which a body ought to fall in one second when at a distance from the earth equal to the radius of the earth's orbit, places it beyond any doubt that the motion of the moon is controlled by precisely the same force as that which causes a stone to fall at the surface of the earth.

§ 126. Perturbations of the Planets.-The movements of the planets around the sun are not quite so simple as would be the case if the laws of Kepler were accurately fulfilled. The vast mass of the sun, which so enormously exceeds that of all the planets taken together, no doubt subordinates the planets, so that, as a first approximation, we may suppose that the movements of the planets are entirely and solely controlled by the sun. When, however, a nicer calculation is made, it is found that while Kepler's laws are very nearly fulfilled, there are still well-marked divergencies therefrom. The orbits do not constantly remain in the same plane; they are not accurately ellipses, nor is the law of the description of equal areas in equal times accurately fulfilled. The theory of universal gravitation has, however, rendered a most satisfactory account of these irregularities. According to that theory, not only must the sun attract the planets, but the planets must attract the sun, and also each other, and it

.

Universal Gravitation.

is in the mutual attractions of the planets, that the explanation is found of the irregularities to which we have referred. The complete account which theory thus gives of these irregularities is a most wonderful confirmation of the truth of the law of universal gravitation. Conceive a fictitious planet moving exactly according to Kepler's laws in an orbit of which the elements are changing slowly and continuously. The motion of this fictitious planet can be so chosen, that it shall represent closely the actual motion of the real planet. The position of the real planet will sometimes be in advance of, and sometimes behind, the fictitious planet, and these oscillations are usually called the periodic variations. The changes in the elements of the orbit of the fictitious planet, which are found necessary to keep that planet in the vicinity of the real planet, are termed the secular variations of the orhits

By the theoretical study of the secular variations, certain very remarkable propositions have been discovered, some of which shall be here considered. For simplicity we shall suppose that only two planets are under consideration, and we shall consider the derangement produced in the orbit of each planet by the action of the other planet. It has been demonstrated that, notwithstanding the changes which all the other elements of each orbit undergo, the length of the axis major of each ellipse, or the mean distance of each planet from the sun, remains constant. The importance of this conclusion will be manifest, when it is remembered that the time of revolution of a planet round the sun is, by Kepler's third law, solely dependent upon the axis major of the elliptic orbit. From the constancy of the length of this axis major, we can therefore infer that, notwithstanding the perturbations, the periodic time of each planet remains constant.

The eccentricities of the orbits of the disturbed planets undergo certain secular changes. Yet these changes are confined within such narrow limits, that no considerable

alteration in the configuration of the solar system can arise from this cause. When two planets moving in the same direction are mutually disturbed, the sum of the squares of their eccentricities, each multiplied by a certain numerical factor, remains constant. As the eccentricities are at present small, the constant is small, but if the sum of two positive quantities be small, then each of the quantities must be small: hence it follows that the eccentricities of the disturbed orbits must for ever remain small. A similar proposition holds good for the inclinations of the orbits to the plane of the ecliptic, so that, though the inclination may change, yet it never can change to any large extent.

The propositions we have just referred to, relative to the major axis, the eccentricities, and the inclinations of the orbits of the planets, indicate the stability of the planetary system, as at present constituted. We thus see that the orbits of the planets must preserve very nearly the same relations as they have at present.

§127. Masses of the Planets .- By the aid of the theory of gravitation, we are enabled to solve the very important problem of determining the masses of the different bodies in the solar system. In the first place, we shall show how the relative values of the masses of the earth and the sun are to be obtained. If we had the means of determining the attraction exerted by the sun and the attraction exerted by the earth on an object at an equal distance from the two bodies, then, since the ratio of these attractions would be equal to the ratio of the masses of the sun and the earth, we could determine the latter ratio. Now we have by the motion of the earth itself a means of making this calculation. The attraction of the earth would make a body at its surface fall 16'1 feet in one second. As the distance of the sun is about 23,300 radii of the earth, the attraction of the earth on a body situated at the distance of the sun is such as to make the body fall in one second through a distance 16.1÷23,300×23,300. From the motion of the earth around the sun we can find the distance through

Universal Gravitation.

which the earth falls in one second towards the sun (see § 120). We hence deduce that the mass of the sun is 324,000 times that of the earth, or, taking the mass of the sun as unity, we have for the mass of the earth $1 \div 324,000$.

There is but little difficulty in finding the mass of a planet which, like Mars, Jupiter, Saturn, Uranus, or Neptune, is attended by one or more satellites. From the observations of the motions of the satellite, the distance a body falls through in one second towards the planet can be computed, and, from the motion of the planet round the sun, the distance the planet falls in towards the sun in one second is found, whence we can deduce the ratio of the mass of the planet to the mass of the sun.

In the case of planets which, like Venus and Mercury, are not attended by visible satellites, the determination of the masses constitutes a more difficult problem. We are then obliged to resort to the perturbations which those planets produce in the movements of other bodies belonging to the solar system. The theoretical expressions of these perturbations involve the mass of the disturbing body, and as the actual amounts of the perturbations are determined from the observations, it is possible by a comparison between theory and observation to obtain the value of the unknown mass. Although this method may seem very recondite, yet such is the perfection of the theory of perturbation, that it is possible by these means to obtain the masses of certain planets with considerable accuracy. This was very remarkably confirmed in the case of the planet Mars, for, until the discovery of the satellites in 1877 placed a more accurate means of finding the mass of the planet within our reach, our knowledge of the mass of Mars was solely deduced from the perturbations which it produces. Yet the value of the mass which was arrived at from the observations of the satellites merely confirmed, with a slight correction, the value of the mass which had been determined from the perturbations.

The masses of comets have also been estimated.

The orbits of the comets are so irregularly placed with regard to the planets, that it has frequently happened that a comet passes so close to a planet as to be very greatly deranged by the attraction of the planet. Yet in such cases it has appeared that the action of the comet upon the planet is inappreciable, and thus it follows that the mass of the comet must be very small.

§ 128. Gravitation at the Surface of the Celestial Bodies. -The gravitation at the surface of a celestial body, which may be regarded as spherical, depends partly upon the mass of the body and partly upon its radius. When we know the mass and also the radius we are enabled to compute the gravitation. Thus in the case of the sun, it appears that the actual intensity of gravitation at his surface is about twenty-seven times greater than the intensity of gravitation at the surface of the earth. By this it is meant that a mass of one pound would require as much to support it at the surface of the sun, as would be adequate to support a mass of twenty-seven pounds at the surface of the earth. In the case of Jupiter the gravitation at the surface is about two and a half times as great as the gravitation at the earth. On the other hand, owing principally to the small mass of the moon, the gravitation at the surface of the moon is about one-sixth part of what it is on the earth. A man on the surface of the moon would be able to raise a load containing six times as much matter as he would be able to lift on the earth.

§ 129. Perturbations of the Moon.—According to Kepler's laws, the moon should accurately describe an ellipse about the centre of the earth as one of the foci. It is, however, very easily shown by observation that the movements of the moon are not by any means of so simple a character as those which Kepler's laws would prescribe. The orbit of the moon is not exactly an ellipse, nor does the plane of the orbit remain constant. The moon is, in fact, perturbed just as we have already seen that the planets are perturbed by their mutual actions. The perturbations of the moon arise, however, from a very different cause from those of the planets. The moon is an appendage to the earth, and it is by the gravitation of the moon towards the earth that the motion of the moon is mainly controlled. The disturbing body in the case of the moon is really the sun. The earth and the moon are of course both attracted by the sun, but the moon is sometimes nearer to the sun (for example, at or near new moon) than it is on other occasions (for example, at or near full moon). Under these circumstances the moon is therefore more powerfully attracted by the sun at some parts of its path than it is at others, and this irregularity in the intensity of the sun's attraction is the cause of the lunar perturbations.

At the time of new moon, the moon, being nearer the sun, is more powerfully attracted by the sun than the earth is attracted by the sun, and consequently the distance from the earth to the moon is augmented. At full moon the attraction of the sun is more powerful on the earth than it is on the moon, and consequently the earth is more drawn in to the sun than the moon, the effect of which is also to increase the distance between the earth and the moon. At the time of first and last quarter, the earth and the moon are practically at the same distance from the sun, and as the distance of the sun is so great, the earth and moon may be considered to be displaced along parallel lines through equal distances, and therefore the distance of the earth and the moon is unaltered. As, however, the distance of the earth from the moon is generally increased by the disturbing effect of the sun, we may assert, as one consequence of the disturbance of the sun, that the orbit of the moon is larger than it would be were that source of disturbance absent. The efficiency of the sun in producing this disturbance increases when the earth is near perihelion ; for then, the distance of the sun from the earth and moon being diminished, the difference of its effects upon the earth and moon becomes more manifest. The orbit of the moon, therefore, varies in

size with the different positions of the earth in its annual revolution : according to Kepler's third law the time of revolution of the moon increases when the size of the orbit increases ; and hence it appears that when the earth is in perihelion the periodic time of the moon is a maximum, and when the earth is in aphelion, the periodic time of the moon is a minimum. The effect of this upon the apparent place of the moon is to produce a certain derangement called the *annual equation*. This inequality of the moon was discovered by Tycho Brahe by observation, long before the explanation of it was known.

§ 130. The Secular Acceleration .- Were the eccentricity of the earth's orbit constant, then the motion of the moon at the end of the year would be the same as it was at the commencement, so far as the annual equation is concerned. Owing, however, to the secular alterations of the earth's orbit, which are produced by the perturbations of the planets, the eccentricity is changing, and consequently there is a gradual alteration in the orbit of the moon. As the axis major of the earth's orbit remains constant, it can be shown that the sun causes the orbit of the moon to be larger, the greater is the eccentricity of the orbit. At present the eccentricity of the earth's orbit from one century to another is gradually decreasing, and consequently there is a gradual decrease in the size of the moon's orbit, and therefore a gradual decrease in the periodic time of the revolution of the moon. About one half of the observed value of the acceleration of the moon's motion can be explained in this manner.

The gradual diminution of the eccentricity of the earth's orbit will not continue indefinitely. All the secular inequalities of the planet's orbits are really periodic, though usually requiring vast durations of time to run through their changes. The time will; however, come, when the diminution of the eccentricity of the earth's orbit will be turned into an increase. The acceleration of the moon now in progress Universal Gravitation.

will then, so far as it is due to this cause, be turned into a retardation. This again after the lapse of ages will be turned into an acceleration, and so on indefinitely.

§.131. Cause of the Precession of the Equinoxes.—The diurnal rotation of the earth about its polar axis is subject to a very remarkable disturbance which gives rise to the phenomena of the precession of the equinoxes and nutation. The disturbance is due to the attraction of the sun and the moon upon the protuberant portions at the earth's equator.

To explain this we have to make use of a theorem in dynamics which we cannot demonstrate in this volume. If the earth be rotating around its polar axis, then that rotation will not be disturbed by any force which passes through the centre of gravity of the earth. In fact, so far as the mere rotation of the earth upon its axis is concerned, we might regard the centre of gravity as a fixed point, and then the force which passed through the centre of gravity could be neutralised by the reaction of the fixed point.

If the earth were a perfect homogeneous sphere, the attraction of the sun or the moon would be a force passing through the centre of the sphere, and would leave the rotation unaffected. Even though the earth were not a perfect sphere, or not homogeneous, still if the attracting body were so far off that all points of the earth might be considered practically at the same distance from the attracting body, the attraction would be a force passing through the centre of gravity of the earth. The sun and the moon, however, are both so comparatively near the earth that we are not entitled to make this supposition, and consequently neither the attraction of the sun or of the moon passes through the earth's centre. To this is due the phenomenon of the precession of the equinoxes.

Let PQ (Fig. 128) represent the axis of the earth, and let s be the position of the attracting body; then, since the attraction varies inversely as the square of the distance, it follows that the portion of the earth turned towards that

attracting body will be acted upon by a greater force than the portion towards the remote side, and consequently the



total attraction will be directed along the line H s, which passes above the centre of gravity of the earth C. Let H T represent the magnitude of this force both in intensity and direction.

Through the centre c draw a line x v parallel to H T, and let us suppose that equal and opposite forces are applied at the centre c, each of these forces being equal to H T. The force c v may now be left out of view, for as it acts through the centre of gravity it can have no effect upon the rotation of the earth around its axis. Thus the effect of the attracting body upon the earth may, so far as the rotation of the earth is concerned, be represented by the pair of equal parallel and opposite forces H T and c x. Such a pair form what is known in mechanics as a *couple*.

It would seem as if the immediate effect of this couple would be to turn the earth so as to bring its polar axis c Pperpendicular to the line c s, or (supposing the sun to be the attracting body under consideration) to bring the plane of the equator to coincide with the plane of the ecliptic. The effect of the couple is, however, so entirely modified by the fact that the earth is in a state of rapid rotation, that, paradoxical as it may appear, the real effect of the couple is not to move c P in the plane of the paper, but to make c P move perpendicular to the plane of the paper.

In explanation of this apparent paradox, we may remark that, in a miniature form, every schoolboy is already acquainted with a precisely analogous phenomenon in the motion of a common peg-top. In Fig. 129 the line P z is vertical, P c is the axis of the peg-top; and c is the centre of gravity of the peg-top. If the peg-top, when not spinning, were placed in the position represented in the figure, the force of gravity acting along CH would immediately cause

it to tumble over, the line CP moving in the plane of the paper. But when the peg-top is in a state of very rapid rotation, the circumstances are entirely different. Everyone has observed that the axis CP, so far from falling in the plane of the paper, commences to move perpendicularly to the plane of the paper, and will, in fact, describe a right circular cone around PZ as an axis. It is undoubtedly true that after a time the angle ZPCbegins to increase, and that before long



the peg-top really does tumble down, but this is solely due to the influence of disturbing forces—viz. friction at the point, and the resistance of the air—and if these forces could be evaded the speed with which the peg-top spins would be undiminished, and so long as that speed remained unaltered, so long would the axis of the peg-top continue to describe the right circular cone around the line P z.

Assuming that what holds good in the case of the peg-top holds good in the colossal case of the earth itself, we should expect to find that the axis P c (Fig. 128), instead of moving towards s, and thus diminishing the obliquity of the ecliptic, would commence to move perpendicularly to the plane of the paper and thus not alter the obliquity of the ecliptic at all. The axis of the earth would thus describe a right circular cone, of which the axis is perpendicular to the plane of the ecliptic, and this is actually the motion which the precession of the equinoxes requires.

The precession of the equinoxes is due to the action of both the sun and the moon. Owing, however, to the proximity of the moon, its effect is greater than that of the sun. In fact, of the total amount, about one-third is due to the sun and the remainder to the moon.

7.

337

§ 132. Nutation.—The efficiency of the moon in the production of the phenomenon just considered depends upon the position of the orbit of the moon with respect to the ecliptic, and as this is changing, so the efficiency of the moon will undergo certain changes, the period of which is equal to that of the revolution of the moon's nodes. The effect of this is that the phenomenon of precession is not so simple as it would be were the moon actually in the plane of the ecliptic. Thus the true position of the pole oscillates about its mean place as determined by precession, and this oscillation is the *nutation*.

CHAPTER XI.

STARS AND NEBULÆ.

§ 133. Star Clusters.—The stars are very irregularly distributed over the surface of the heavens. This is, indeed, sufficiently obvious to the unaided eye, and it is confirmed by the telescope. In certain places we have a dense aggregation of stars of so marked a character as to make it almost certain that the group must be in some way connected together, and that, consequently, the aggregation is real, and not only apparent, as it might be if the stars were really only accidentally near to the same line of sight, and, consequently, appeared to be densely crowded together, when, in reality, they might be at vast distances apart.

Of such a group we have a very well known example in the group called the Pleiades, which we have already mentioned (§ 25). Most persons can see six stars in the Pleiades without difficulty, but with unusually acute vision more can be detected. With the slightest instrumental aid, however, the number is very greatly increased, and photography shows that the group consists of perhaps 2,000 stars.

Another illustration of such a group is an object in the constellation Cancer known as the Præsepe, or the Beehive. To the unaided eye this is merely a dullish spot on the sky, not well seen unless the night is very clear. A telescope shows, however, that this dullish spot is really an aggregation of many small stars.

By far the most splendid object of this kind in the northern hemisphere is the cluster in the Sword-handle of Perseus. We have here two groups of stars close together, and, when seen in a good telescope, the multitudes of these stars, and their intrinsic brightness, form a most superb spectacle.

The objects known as star clusters are exceedingly numerous. Among them are several which are remarkable telescopic objects, not for the brightness (even in the telescope) of the individual stars composing the star cluster, but for the vast numbers in which the stars are present, and for the closeness in which they lie together. These objects are often known as globular clusters, because the stars forming them seem to lie within a globular portion of space, and they frequently appear to be much more densely compacted together towards the centre of the globe. In fact, at the centre of one of these splendid objects it is in some cases almost impossible to discriminate the individual stars, so closely is their light blended. One of the most remarkable of these objects in the northern hemisphere is the globular cluster in Hercules. Most of these objects are situated in the neighbourhood of the Milky Way, an immense stream of faint stars spanning the whole heavens. For more than a third of its extent the Milky Way is split into two streams running at a short distance from each other, and of an exceedingly irregular structure. As our telescope in sweeping over the heavens approaches the Milky Way, the stars are seen rapidly to increase in

number. According to a theory originally proposed by Thomas Wright in 1750 and adopted for some time by W. Herschel, the stars of the Milky Way were supposed to be arranged in the shape of a huge disc (like a grindingstone), near the centre of which our solar system was situated, by which the comparatively small number of stars near the poles of the Milky Way was readily explained. But the complicated structure of the Milky Way, the dark holes in it and the branching streamers of stars projecting from it, as well as the fact that even the bright stars congregate towards it, render it far more probable that the Milky Way really is a stream of stars encircling the heavens like a ring at a great distance from us.

§ 134. Spectra of Stars.—While the spectra of the planets are little else than faint reproductions of the solar spectrum (with some additional dark lines or bands arising from absorption in the planet's atmosphere), many of the fixed stars show a totally different spectrum. The simplest classification of stellar spectra is that of Secchi, who divided them into the following four types :—

Type I. The spectrum contains very few absorption lines except those of hydrogen, which are very strongly marked. The stars are white or blue in colour, like Sirius or Vega.

Type II. The spectrum is essentially the same as that of our sun, showing numerous dark lines. Arcturus and Capella are examples. The stars of these two types include about ninety per cent. of those spectroscopically examined.

Type III. Most of the red or variable stars have spectra crossed by dark bands or flutings instead of lines, sharply defined towards the blue and shading off gradually towards the red end of the spectrum, apparently due to carbon. a Orionis and o Ceti are examples.

Type IV. includes a small number of red and faint stars with spectra like those of Type III., except that the bands are shaded towards the blue and sharp towards the red end of the spectrum.
Some stars also exhibit a few bright lines in addition to the dark ones, such as the lines of hydrogen and helium.

§ 135. Telescopic Appearance of a Star.—The appearance of a star in a telescope differs in a most marked manner from the appearance of one of the larger planets. In the case of the planet we can see what is called the 'disc': we can actually observe that the planet appears circular, and that it is presumably a globe with an appreciable diameter. By increasing the magnifying power of the telescope the size of the disc can be increased, though, of course, at the expense of its intrinsic brightness.

Widely different, however, is the telescopic appearance of a fixed star. Even the most powerful telescope only shows a star as a little point of light. By increasing the optical power of the telescope, the brilliancy of the radiation from this point can be increased, but no augmentation of the magnifying power has hitherto sufficed to show any appreciable 'disc' in any of the fixed stars which have been examined.¹ How is this to be explained? The answer is to be sought not in the real minuteness of the stars, but in the vast distances at which they are situated. In order to form some estimate of the real diameter which the stars do subtend at the eye, let us suppose that our sun were to be moved away from us to a distance comparable with that by which we are separated from those stars which are nearest to us.

For this purpose the sun would have to be transferred to a distance not less than 200,000 times as far as his present distance from the earth. Let A B (Fig. 130) denote the diameter of the sun, and let E



be the position of the earth. Then, as we have already

¹ In a stellar spectroscope it is therefore necessary to place a cylindrical lens in the eye piece to widen the spectrum into a band. Otherwise it would be a mere line and would not show the absorption lines.

seen (§ 11), the circular measure of the angle which the sun subtends at the earth is practically equal to $A B \div E B$. Now suppose the sun be transferred to the position indicated by A' B', then the angle which he would subtend in the new position is A' B' $\div E B'$.

Hence the ratio of the angles which the apparent diameter of the sun subtends at the eye at the two different distances is

 $\frac{A B}{E B} \div \frac{A' B'}{E B'};$

but as the *real* diameter of the sun is the same in both cases, we must have

$$A B = A' B'$$

and hence the ratio just written becomes

 $\frac{\mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{B}'}{\mathbf{E} \ \mathbf{B}}$

Hence we infer that the angle which the sun's diameter subtends at the eye varies inversely as his distance from the observer.

If, therefore, the sun were to be carried away from us to a distance 200,000 times greater than his present distance, the angle which his diameter at present subtends would be diminished to the 200,000th part of what it is at present. Assuming, as we may do for rough purposes, that the sun's apparent diameter is half a degree, it follows that the apparent diameter when translated to the distance of a star would be expressed in seconds by the fraction

 $\frac{1800}{200000} = 0''.000$

In other words, the sun's diameter would then subtend an angle less than the hundredth part of a single second.

It is at present, at all events, quite out of the question to suppose that a quantity so minute as this could be detected by our instruments. Even were it ten times as great it

Stars and Nebulæ.

would be barely appreciable, nor unless it were at least fifty times as great would we be able to measure it with any approach to precision.

It is, therefore, clear that we cannot infer the actual dimensions of the stars from their minute apparent size in the telescope.

§ 136. Stellar Photometry.—We have already (§ 25) mentioned the mode of classifying stars by their magnitude. Measures of the apparent brightness of stars were formerly only eye-estimations, but of late years several instruments have been designed by means of which more accurate measures can be made. We shall here only describe the principles of two of them.

Pritchard's wedge photometer consists of an eye piece with a wedge of neutral-tinted dark glass, which the observer slides to and fro until the star under observation just disappears, after which the position of the wedge is read off on a graduated scale. As it has been beforehand determined what displacement of the wedge corresponds to a change of one magnitude, this observation will furnish the actual magnitude of a star. In this manner Professor Pritchard's 'Uranometria Oxoniensis' was compiled, giving the magnitudes of all the stars visible to the naked eye between the North Pole and 10° south declination.

Pickering's meridian photometer compares the light of a star directly with that of a standard star, most conveniently the Pole Star. The rays from the two stars are sent through two separate object glasses and through a double-image prism. Two of the four pencils emerging from this are intercepted by a screen, the two others (the ordinary pencil from one star and the extraordinary pencil from the other) are received by a Nicol prism, and being polarised at right angles to each other, one will increase and the other decrease in brightness as the Nicol is turned. In this way the two images may be made equal in brightness, and the angle through which the Nicol is turned gives the difference of magnitude. The magnitudes of the 'Harvard Photometry' agree well with Pritchard's.

§ 137. Variable Stars.—Some stars are called variable stars, inasmuch as their brightness is not constant, as that of the majority of stars appears to be. There are some hundreds of stars in the heavens the brightness of which is now known to change. It would be difficult here to describe in detail the different classes of the variable stars, so we shall merely give a brief account of one or two of the most remarkable.

In the constellation Perseus is a bright star, Algol (right ascension, 3^h o^m; declination, + 40° 27'). Owing to the convenient situation of this star, it may be seen every night in the northern hemisphere. Algol is usually of the second magnitude, but in a period of between two and three days, or more accurately in a period of 2^d 20^h 48^m 55^s, it goes through a most remarkable cycle of changes. These changes commence by a gradual diminution of the brightness of the star from the second magnitude down to the fourth in a period of four and a half hours. At the fourth magnitude the star remains for twenty minutes, and then begins to increase in brightness again until, after an interval of three and a half hours, it regains the second magnitude. Algol continues at the second magnitude for about 2^d 12^h, when the same series of changes commences anew. The variability of stars of the Algol type seems to be caused by a dark companion revolving round the star and causing a partial eclipse whenever it comes between us and the star. Spectroscopic observations confirm this theory (see below, \$ 140).

Another very remarkable star belonging to the class of variables is *o* Ceti or Mira (right ascension, $2^{h} I 3^{m}$; declination, $-3^{\circ} 34'$). The period of the changes of this star is $33I^{d} 8^{h}$. For about five months of this time the star is very faint; it then gradually increases in brightness until it becomes nearly of the second magnitude. After

remaining at its greatest brightness for some time it again gradually sinks to the ninth magnitude. Mr. Lockyer suggests that a variable of this class may not be a star in the usual meaning of the word, but a dense and much extended swarm of meteorites, with another smaller swarm revolving round it in a very eccentric orbit, so that the smaller swarm at perihelion passage has to pass through part of the larger one, and increases its brightness by the collisions between the members of the two swarms.

The so-called temporary stars are probably also caused by a collision of some sort. The most remarkable star of this kind appeared suddenly in Cassiopeia in November 1572, and was when first seen as bright as Venus when this planet is brightest. It then gradually faded, and disappeared to the naked eve about the end of March 1574. Several stars of this kind have appeared in our own time, the most recent one of interest being one in Auriga. It was discovered by an amateur, Dr. Anderson of Edinburgh, on January 24, 1892, as a star of the fifth magnitude, but it was afterwards found, from photographs which had been taken of the region where it appeared at various observatories, that it must have flashed out between December 8 and 10, 1891; on the latter day it was already nearly of the fifth magnitude. After fluctuating somewhat in brightness for some weeks, it declined rapidly, and ceased to be visible about the end of April 1892, but in the following autumn it rose again for a while to the tenth magnitude. Temporary stars generally show a spectrum with both dark absorption lines and bright lines.

§ 138. Proper Motion of Stars.—We have hitherto frequently used the expression 'fixed stars;' we have now to introduce a qualification which must be made as to the use of the word *fixed* with reference to the stars. Compared with the planets, the places of which are continually changing upon the surface of the celestial sphere, the stars may, no doubt, be termed fixed; but when accurate observations of the places of the stars made at widely distant

intervals of time are compared together, it is found that to some of the stars the adjective *fixed* cannot be literally applied, as it is undoubtedly true that they are moving. It is true that the great majority of what are called fixed stars do not appear to have any discernible motion, and even those which move most rapidly, when viewed from the vast distances by which they are separated from the earth, only traverse but a very minute arc of the heavens in the course of a year. The most rapidly moving star moves over an arc on the celestial sphere of 7" per annum. A motion so slow as this is inappreciable without very refined observations. The moon has a diameter which subtends at the eye an angle which we may roughly estimate at half a degree, and to move over a space equal to the diameter of the moon on the surface of the heavens would require a couple of centuries even for the most rapidly moving star.

We have already had frequent occasion to discriminate between real motion and apparent motion, and are therefore naturally tempted to inquire whether the motions of the stars which we have been considering are real, or whether they can be explained as merely apparent motions. Now where must we look for the cause of the apparent motion? It is manifest that the annual motion of the earth around the sun could not possibly explain the appearances which have been observed. The annual motion of the earth around the sun would have an effect which must be clearly periodic in its nature. In fact, it would be merely the annual parallax which we have already considered. The motions which we have to explain are not (so far as we know at present) of a periodical character; for the stars which possess this motion usually appear to move continually along great circles.

§ 139. Motion of the Sun through Space.—It was first suggested by Sir W. Herschel that a portion of the proper motions of the stars could be explained by the supposition that the sun, carrying also the retinue of planets, and all the other bodies forming the solar system, was actually moving in space. On this supposition, it is clear that those stars which were sufficiently near to us must have an apparent proper motion. If the motion of the sun were directed along a straight line towards a certain point of the heavens, then the apparent place of a star at that point would be unaffected by the motion of the sun ; but all other stars would spread away from that point, just as when you are travelling along a straight road the objects on each side of the road appear to spread away, as it were, from the point towards which your journey was directed.

It was found by Sir W. Herschel that a considerable portion of the observed proper motions of the stars could be explained by the supposition that the sun was moving towards a point in the heavens near to the star λ Herculis. The investigations of other astronomers have confirmed this very remarkable deduction as to the sun's motion in space, and have led them to conclude that the sun is moving towards a point in the heavens which (considering the difficulty of the investigation) is near the point determined by Sir W. Herschel. The right ascension of the point thus determined is 266°.7 and its declination is + 31° (L. Struye and others).

Not only has the direction in which the sun moves been determined, but the observations also give us some idea of the velocity of the motion. It is found that in one year the sun probably moves through an arc of $o'' \cdot 41$ as seen from the distance of a star of the first magnitude.

We thus see that the real motion of the earth in space is of a very complicated character; for though it describes an ellipse about the sun in the focus, yet the sun is itself in constant motion, and consequently the real motion of the earth is a composite movement, partly arising from its own proper motion around the sun, and partly arising from the fact that, as a member of the solar system, the earth partakes of the motion of the solar system in space. § 140. Real Proper Motion of the Stars.—It should, however, be observed that after every possible allowance has been made for the effect of the motion of the solar system, there remain still outstanding certain portions of the proper motions of the stars, which are only to be explained by the fact that the stars in question really are in actual movement.

Nor, if we reflect for a moment, is there much in the last conclusion to cause surprise. The first law of motion combined with the most elementary notions of probabilities will show us how exceedingly improbable rest really is. Among all the possible kinds of motion, infinitely various both in regard to velocity and in regard to direction, there is no one which is not à priori just as probable as another; there is no one which is not à priori just as probable as rest. Hence, even if there were no causes tending to produce change from an initial state of things, it would be infinitely improbable that any body in the universe was absolutely at rest. But even if a body were originally at rest it could not remain so. Distant as the stars are from the sun, and from each other, they must still, so far as we know at present, act upon each other. It is true that these forces acting across such vast distances may be slender, but, great or small, they are incompatible with rest, and hence we may be assured that every particle in the universe (with, it is conceivable, one exception) is in motion.

We are thus led to believe that the fact that proper motion has only been detected in comparatively few stars is to be attributed, not to the actual absence of proper motion, but rather to the circumstance that the stars are so exceedingly far off that, viewed from this distance, the motions appear so small that they have not hitherto been detected. It can hardly be doubted that, could we compare the places of stars now with the places of the same stars 1,000 years ago, most of them would be found to have changed. Unfortunately, however, the birth of accurate astronomical observation is so recent that we have only imperfect means of making this comparison, for the ancient observations which have been handed down to us are not sufficiently accurate to afford trustworthy results.

It is easy to see that meridian or micrometer observations do not enable us to find the true proper motion of a star, but only the projection of this motion on the celestial vault, or, in other words, the component of the proper motion perpendicular to the line of sight. We can, however, in many cases form an idea of the component of the motion in the line of sight. In the chapter on the sun we have already shown how this may be done by observing displacements of spectral lines by Doppler's principle. The lines in the spectra of stars being vastly fainter than those in the solar spectrum and not so sharply defined, these observations, which were first made by Huggins in 1868, and have since been continued at the Greenwich Observatory, are extremely difficult, and the results often contradictory. Generally a certain line (e.g. one of the hydrogen lines) in the star spectrum is directly compared with the corresponding line in the spectrum of the chemical element. More recently photography has been used with better success at the Potsdam and Harvard Observatories. In this way a brilliant confirmation of the eclipse theory of the variable star Algol (§ 137) has been obtained. It has been found at Potsdam that Algol moves with a velocity of about 26 miles a second round the common centre of gravity of itself and a dark companion. The latter must be slightly smaller than our sun, 830,000 miles in diameter, while the diameter of the bright star is about 1,061,000 miles, assuming that at central transit the companion is altogether projected on the bright star.

§ 141. Double Stars.—We have already alluded to the occasional close proximity in which stars are found on the celestial sphere. In many cases we have the phenomenon which is known as a *double star*. Two stars are frequently found which appear to be so exceedingly close together that

the angular distance by which the stars are separated is less than one second of arc, and an exceedingly good telescope is required to 'divide' such an object, which, when viewed in an inferior instrument, would appear to consist only of a single star. The great majority of double stars known at present are, however, not nearly so close together. About 10,000 objects have now been discovered which are included under the term double stars, though it must be added that the components of many of these are at a considerable distance apart.

We shall here describe briefly a few of the most remarkable of these very interesting objects.

§ 142. The Double Star Castor.—One of the finest double stars in the heavens is Castor (" Geminorum). (Right ascension, 7^h 26^m; declination, + 32° 17'.) Viewed by the unaided eye, the two stars together resemble but a single star, but in a moderately good telescope it is seen that what appears like one star is really two separate stars. The angular distance at which these two stars are separated is about five seconds. One of the stars is of the third magnitude, and the other is somewhat less. The reason why the unaided eye cannot distinguish the separate components is their great proximity. The angular distance of the components is the same angle as that which is subtended by a length of one inch at a distance of 1,146 yards, and is therefore quite inappreciable without instrumental aid. The question now arises whether the propinquity of the two stars forming Castor is apparent or real. This propinquity might be explained by the supposition that the two stars were really close together compared with the distance by which they are separated from us. Or it could equally be explained by supposing that the two stars, though really far apart, yet appeared so nearly in the same line of vision that, when projected on the surface of the heavens, they seemed to be close together. In the case of many of the double stars, especially those in which the components

appear tolerably distant, the propinquity is only apparent, and arises from the two stars being near the same line of vision. But it is also undoubtedly true that, in the case of very many of the double stars, especially among those belonging to the class which includes Castor, the two stars are really close together.

Many double stars of this description exhibit a phenomenon of the greatest possible interest. If we imagine a great circle to be drawn from one of the two component stars to the north pole of the celestial sphere, then the angle between this great circle and the great circle which joins the two stars is termed the *position angle* of the double star. By the ingenious instrument called the micrometer (§ 48), which is attached to the eye end of a telescope mounted equatorially, it is possible to measure both the position angle of the two components of a double star, and also the distance of the two stars expressed in seconds of arc. When observations made in this way are compared with similar observations of the same double star, made after an interval of some years, it is found in many cases that there is a decided change both in the distance and in the position angle. In the case of the double star Castor, at present under consideration, it is true that the movement is very slow. It is, however, undoubted that in the course of some centuries ¹ one of the components will revolve completely around the other.

§ 143. Motion of a Binary Star.—The theory of gravitation affords us the explanation of these changes. We have seen how, in the case of the sun and the planets, each planet describes around the sun an orbit of which the figure is an ellipse, with the sun in one focus, while the law according to which the velocity changes is defined by the fact that equal areas must be swept out in equal times. The circumstances presented by the sun and a planet (the earth, for example) are somewhat peculiar, and Kepler's law must be stated somewhat differently before they can be applied with

¹ The period assigned for the time of revolution of Castor is 996 years (Thiele).

strict generality to the motion of a *binary star* (as one of the revolving double stars is termed). In the case of the sun and the earth we have a comparatively minute body moving around a very large body. In fact, as the mass of the sun is more than 300,000 times greater than the mass of the earth, we may neglect the mass of the earth in comparison with the mass of the sun. Thus, in speaking of Kepler's laws as applied to the motion of a planet around the sun, we often regard the centre of the sun as a fixed point, and attribute all the motion which is observed to the planet.

It is manifest, however, that some modification of Kepler's laws is necessary before we can apply them to the case of most of the binary stars. In the case of Castor, though the two components are not exactly equal, yet they are so nearly so that it would obviously be absurd to regard even the larger of them as a fixed point while the whole orbital motion was performed round it by the other. The fact of the matter is that *both the components* are in motion, each under the influence of the attraction of the other, and that what we actually observe and measure is only the relative motion of the components.

It would lead us beyond the limits of this book to endeavour to prove the more generalised conception of Kepler's laws which we shall now enunciate. Let us suppose the case of a binary star so far removed from the influence of other stars or celestial bodies that their attraction may be regarded as insensible. Then each of the two components of the binary star is acted upon by the attraction of the other component, but by no other force. We suppose a straight line A B to be drawn connecting the centres of the stars, and we divide this line into two parts, A G and B G, in the proportions of the masses of the two stars, so that the point of division G lies between the two stars and nearer to that star, A, which has the greater mass. The point G thus determined is the *centre of inertia* of the two stars. It can be proved that, however the stars A and B may move in Stars and Nebulæ.

consequence of their mutual attractions, the point G will either remain at rest or will move uniformly in a straight line. It can be shown that each of the stars A and B will move in an elliptic orbit around the point G as the focus, and that each star will describe equal areas in equal times.

It can also be shown that, although both of the stars are in motion, yet the relative motion of one star about the other—i.e. the motion of the star B about the star A as it would be seen by an observer who was stationed on A—is precisely the same as if the mass of the star A were augmented by the mass of the star B, and as if A were then at rest and B moved round it just as a planet does around the sun. To this apparent motion of B around A, Kepler's laws will strictly apply. The orbit of B is an ellipse of which A is one of the foci, and the radius vector drawn from A to B will sweep out equal areas in equal times.

It is natural to inquire whether these theoretical anticipations with respect to the motions of the binary stars are borne out by observation. We have no reason to expect that we shall actually see motions of the simple character which we have described. It is to be recollected that the plane in which the orbit is described may be inclined in any way to the surface of the celestial sphere. Consequently the orbit which we shall see may only be the projection of the real orbit upon a plane which is perpendicular to the line joining the binary star to the eye. We have therefore to consider what modifications the orbit may undergo by projection. It can be shown that if the original orbit be elliptic, the projected orbit will be elliptic also; but it also appears that though the star A was the focus of the original orbit, it would, generally, not be the focus of the projected orbit. The law of the description of equal areas in equal times would hold equally true both in the original orbit and in the projected orbit.

By a comparison of observations made at different times it is possible to plot down the actual position of the star B with respect to the star A, at the corresponding dates. It is found that in the case of several binary stars the orbit thus formed is elliptic, and it is possible, by a consideration of the position of the point A in this ellipse, to determine the position of the true orbit with reference to the celestial sphere and the various circumstances connected with the motion.

In this way the true orbits of several of the most remarkable among the binary stars have been determined. One of the most rapidly revolving double stars appears to be 42 Comæ Berenices, which accomplishes its revolution in a period of 25.7 years. The two components of this star are exceedingly close together, the greatest distance being about one second of arc. There is very great difficulty in making accurate measurements of a double star so close as this one. Consequently more reliance may be placed upon the determination of the orbits of other binary stars, the components of which are farther apart than those of 42 Comæ Berenices. Among these we may mention a very remarkable binary star, ξ Ursæ Majoris. The distance of the two components of this star varies from one second of arc to three seconds. The first recorded observation of the distance and position angle of this star was by Sir W. Herschel in 1781, and since that date it has been repeatedly observed. From a comparison of all the measurements which have been made it appears that the periodic time of the revolution of one component of ξ Ursæ about the other is 60 years, and it is exceedingly improbable that this could be erroneous to the extent of a single year. Thus this star has been observed through more than one entire revolution.

§ 144. Dimensions of the Orbit of a Binary Star.—In the determination of the size of the orbit of a binary star all we can generally ascertain is, of course, the diameter of the orbit measured in seconds of arc. Actually to determine the number of miles in the diameter of the orbit it would be further necessary for us to know the distance at which the binary star is separated from the earth. This distance is in

the great majority of cases entirely unknown to us at present. There are, however, one or two exceptions. Of these we shall mention Sirius. Early in the present century the proper motion of this star was found to be affected by an irregularity which showed that an unseen body must be moving around it and disturbing its motion by its attraction. After a hundred years of observation the orbit of this body was calculated, and it was shown that the irregular motion of Sirius could be accounted for by supposing that the disturbing satellite had a period of about fifty years. The satellite was actually discovered by Alvan Clark in 1862, and was found to be moving around Sirius at a mean angular distance of about seven seconds. The annual parallax of Sirius is found to be o"25-that is to say, the radius of the earth's orbit, viewed from the distance of Sirius, subtends an angle of o''25. It therefore follows that the real distance of the companion of Sirius must exceed the distance of the earth from the sun in the ratio that 7" exceeds o"'25-that is, it is 28 times as great.

§ 145. Determination of the Mass of a Binary Star.— When we know the diameter of the orbit of a binary star and its periodic time, we are able to compute the sum of the masses of the two component stars. This is an exceedingly interesting subject, inasmuch as it affords us a method of comparing the importance of our sun to the other stars as far as mass is concerned.

Let us first consider what the periodic time of a planet would be if it revolved round the sun in an orbit of which the radius were twenty-eight times that of the earth's orbit. According to Kepler's third law, the square of the periodic time is proportional to the cube of the distance. Consequently, since the earth revolves around the sun in one year, it follows that a planet such as we have supposed would revolve around the sun in a period of time which was equal to the square root of the cube of 28, i.e. to 148 years very nearly. According to the latest results it would appear that the periodic time of the revolution of the satellite of Sirius is 49.4 years, i.e. the velocity with which the motion takes place is greater than it would be if the mass of Sirius only equalled the mass of the sun.

The ratio which the mass of the sun (augmented, it should in strictness be said, by the mass of the earth) bears to that of Sirius and its satellite taken together can be ascertained. For this we require the following principle, which for the present we shall take for granted.

If two bodies, A and B, are revolving in consequence of their mutual attraction, then the sum of the masses is inversely proportional to the square of the periodic time, supposing the mean distance of A and B to remain unaltered. It therefore appears that the following proportion is true :—

 $\frac{\text{Mass of Sun and Earth}}{\text{Mass of Sirius and Satellite}} = \left(\frac{49^{\circ}4}{148}\right)^2$

It follows from this that the mass of Sirius and its satellite taken together are about nine times the mass of the sun.

Now though it is true that subsequent observations may necessitate corrections in these results, yet we may be pretty confident that the mass of Sirius is several times as great as that of our sun. The most uncertain part of the data is the annual parallax of Sirius, which has not yet been certainly determined, and may deviate from $o'' \cdot 25$ by a considerable fraction of its total amount.

§ 146. Colours of Double Stars.—Among the most pleasing and remarkable phenomena presented by double stars are the beautiful colours which they often present. The effect is occasionally heightened by the circumstance that the colours of the two components are frequently not only different, but are contrasted in a marked manner. Conspicuous among these objects is a very beautiful double star, γ Andromedæ. The two components of this star are orange and greenish blue. Attentive examination with a

powerful telescope shows also that the greenish blue component consists of two exceedingly small stars close together. While considering this subject, it should be remarked that isolated stars of a more or less reddish hue are tolerably common in the heavens, the catalogues containing some four or five hundred stars of this character. Among those visible to the naked eye perhaps the most conspicuous is the bright star α Orionis. Stars of a greenish or bluish hue are much less common, and it is very remarkable that, with very few exceptions, a star of this colour is not found isolated, but always occurs as one of the two components of a 'double star.'

§ 147. Nebulæ.—There are a great number and variety of objects in the heavens which are known under the general term of 'Nebulæ.' The great majority of these objects are invisible to the naked eye, but with the aid of powerful telescopes some thousands of such objects have been already discovered. Of these objects, which for convenience are grouped together, many are undoubtedly mere clusters of stars such as those of which we have already given some account. It is, nevertheless, tolerably certain that many of the objects termed nebulæ are not to be considered as mere clusters of stars, though their real nature has, as yet, been only partially determined.

§ 148. Classification of Nebulæ.—The following analysis of the different objects which are generally classed under the name of nebulæ and clusters has been made by Sir William Herschel, to whom the discovery of a vast number of nebulæ 1s due :—

1. Clusters of stars, in which the stars are clearly distinguishable ; these are again divided into globular and irregular clusters.

2. Resolvable nebulæ, or such as excite a suspicion that they consist of stars, and which any increase of the optical power of the telescope may be expected to resolve into dis tinct stars.

3. Nebulæ, properly so called, in which there is no appearance whatever of stars, which again have been subdivided into subordinate ones, according to their brightness and size.

4. Planetary nebulæ.

5. Stellar nebulæ.

6. Nebulous stars.

The first of these classes is that which we have already described (§ 133). The resolvable nebulæ, which form the second class, are to be regarded as clusters of stars which are either too remote from us or the individual stars of which are too faint to enable them to be distinguished. Among the most remarkable objects at present under consideration are the oval nebulæ. They are of all degrees of eccentricity, some being nearly circular, while others are so elongated as to form what have been called 'rays.' The finest object of this class is the well-known nebula in the girdle of Andromeda. This, object is just visible to the naked eye as a dullish spot on the heavens. Viewed in a powerful telescope it is seen to be a nebula about $2\frac{1}{5}^{\circ}$ in length and 1° in breadth. It thus occupies a region on the heavens five times as long and twice as broad as the diameter of the full moon. The marginal portions are faint, but the brightness gradually increases towards the centre, which consists of a bright nucleus. This nebula has never actually been resolved, though it is seen to contain such a multitude of minute stars that there can be little doubt that, with suitable instrumental power, it would be completely resolved.

Among the rarest, and indeed the most remarkable, nebulæ are those which are known under the name of the 'Annular Nebulæ.' The most conspicuous of these is to be found in the constellation Lyra; it consists of a luminous ring; but the central vacuity is not quite dark, but is filled in with faint nebula, 'like a gauze stretched over a hoop' (Sir John Herschel). Planetary Nebulæ are very curious objects ; they derive their name from the fact that, viewed in a good telescope, they appear to have a sharply defined more or less circular disc, immediately suggesting the appearance presented by a planet. These objects are generally of a bluish or greenish hue. Their apparent diameter is small ; the largest of them is situated in Ursa Major, and the area it occupies on the heavens is less than one-hundredth part of the area occupied by the full moon. Still the intrinsic dimensions of the object must be great indeed. If it were situated at a distance from us not greater than that of the star 61 Cygni, the diameter of the globe which the planetary nebula occupies would be seven times greater than the diameter of the orbit of the outermost planet of our system.

Among the class of Stellar Nebulæ one of the most superb objects visible in the heavens must be included. The object to which we refer is the great nebula in the Sword-handle of the constellation of Orion. The star θ Orionis consists of four pretty bright stars close together, while in a good telescope two others are visible, the whole presenting the almost unique spectacle of a sextuple star. But around this star, and extending to vast distances on all sides of it, is the great nebula in Orion. The most remarkable feature of this nebula is the complexity of detail which it exhibits. The light is of a slightly bluish hue, and under the power of great telescopes portions of it are seen to be thickly strewn with stars. Perhaps it would be more correct to say that portions of it contain stars; for there is good reason to believe that in this nebula, as well as in some others, a part of the light which we receive is due to glowing gas.

The last of the different kinds of nebulæ to which we shall allude is the class of objects known as nebulous stars. By a 'nebulous star' we are to understand a star surrounded by a luminous haze, which is, however, generally so faint as only to be visible in powerful instruments.

§ 149. Spectra of Nebulæ.—Many nebulæ show continuous spectra, probably indicating that the object examined is an agglomeration of faint stars. But many nebulæ have a gaseous spectrum of a few bright lines, among which some of the hydrogen lines and a conspicuous line in the green region of uncertain origin. This was first discovered by Huggins in 1864. The planetary nebulæ and some large nebulæ (such as the Orion nebula) belong to this class, as well as a number of small stellar nebulæ which have been recognised as such by their spectra alone. Several temporary stars (e.g. that in Auriga), when fading away, have at last had their spectrum reduced to that of a gaseous nebula. It is deserving of notice that nebulæ with gaseous spectra (as well as most stars of the first type and stars with bright line spectra) are all situated in or close to the Milky Way, a part of the heavens otherwise exceedingly poor in nebulæ.

§ 150. Application of Photography to the Study of Stars and Nebula.-During recent years photographs of nebulæ and clusters have been most successfully taken, and will no doubt completely supersede drawings of these objects, which are exceedingly difficult to make, and eventually enable us to ascertain whether changes of form occur in nebulæ. Stellar spectroscopy has also benefited greatly from the application of photography since Huggins first showed the capability of this method, and a spectroscopic survey of the stars brighter than the 7th magnitude and north of -25° declination has been made at the Harvard College Observatory (the Draper Catalogue). But perhaps the most important application of stellar photography is the photographic chart of the heavens now being made by a number of observatories co-operating under the direction of an international committee. There can be little or no doubt that the measurement of photographic plates will in future to a great extent take the place of micrometer measures.

CHAPTER XII.

ASTRONOMICAL CONSTANTS.

§ 151. In the present chapter we record the principal numerical determinations which have been made of the important Constants of Astronomy. Care has been taken as far as possible to give in each case the most recent results, while, both for their intrinsic value as well as for their historical interest, earlier values are often added. In many cases also bibliographical information will be found, which will enable the reader to refer to the original sources. In the preparation of this chapter the greatest assistance has been afforded by the admirable 'Vade-Mecum de l'Astronome,' by the late I. C. Houzeau (Brussels, 1882); but as far as possible the original authorities have been referred to. The recent literature has also been searched, and among books often consulted may be mentioned : 'The Solar Parallax and its Related Constants,' by W. Harkness (Washington, 1891, 4to.), and 'The Elements of the Four Inner Planets and the Fundamental Constants of Astronomy,' by S. Newcomb (Washington, 1895, 8vo.)

The following abbreviations are used to facilitate reference :--

• A.	N.'	'Astronomische Nachrichten.'	Altona, 1823-1873; Kiel,
		1873 et seq. 139 vols. in 4to.	Each volume contains 24
		numbers, and reference is onl	y made to the numbers.

- C. d. T.' Connaissance des Temps ou des Mouvements Célestes.' Années 1679-1896. Paris.
 - C. R.' 'Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris,' Paris, 1835-1895, 4to.

- 'M. A. S.' 'Memoirs of the Royal Astronomical Society of London.' London, 1822–1895. Vols. 1 to 51, 4to.
 - 'M. N.' 'Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society of London.' 1828-1895, 55 vols, 8vo.

'Ph. Tr.' 'Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society of London.' 1665-1895, in 4to.

SPHERICAL ASTRONOMY.

§ 152. Obliquity of the Ecliptic.

1100.	Tcheou-Kong, by the solstitial shadows at		
	Loyang in China (Laplace, C. d. 1, 1811, p. 450)	23°1	54' 2"
1 40.	Hipparchus, with the astrolabe at Alexandria		
	(Ptolemy, 'Math. Comp.' lib. i. cap. 11		
	and 13)	23 5	I 20
A.D.	Ptolemy with the astrolabe (Ptolemy ' Math		
130.	Comp' lib i cap II)	23 5	1 15
629.	Litchou-Foung, by shadows (Laplace, <i>loc. cit.</i>)	23 4	0 4
1460.	Regiomontanus at Vienna, with a quadrant	υ.	
÷	(Clavius, 'Opera Math.' t. iii. p. 149) .	23 3	0 49
1525.	Copernicus (' De Revol. Orbium Cœlest.' lib.		
	ii. cap. 2)	23 2	8 24
1590.	Tycho Brahe (see Bugge, ' Berliner Jahrbuch,'		
	1794, p. 100)	23 2	9 46
1627.	Kepler ('Tab. Rudol.' p. 116)	23 3	0 30
1689.5.	Flamsteed, by solstitial observations at Green-		0 = 6
	Wich (* Hist. Coel. Proleg. p. 114)	23 2	8 50
1755.0.	p 61)	22.2	8 15.22
1705.0	Maskelvne from 20 solstices, observed at	23 2	0 13 32
1795 0.	Greenwich (see Bessel, 'Fund, Astron.'p. 60)	23 2	7 57.66
1800.0	Bessel, by comparing the sun's declination with	U	
	Delambre's Tables (' Fund. Astron.' p. 61)	23 2	7 54.8
1813.0.	Brinkley, from 16 solstices by Oriani, Pond,		
	Arago, Mathieu, and himself ('Ph. Tr.'		
	1819, p. 241)	23 2	7 50.45
1850.0.	Value adopted by Leverrier ('Annal. Obs.		
0	Paris,' Mém. t. iv. pp. 51 and 203)	23 2	7 31.83
1850.0.	Same, as modified by Harkness (• Solar Paral-	02.0	7 21:47
	lax, p. 141)	23 2	/ 31 4/

Astronomical Constants.

A.D.		
1868 ·o.	Airy (see Powalky, 'A. N.' 1903) .	23°27'22".3
1870.0.	E. F. van de S. Bakhuyzen, from observations	
	at Leyden, 1864-76 ('Bepaling van de	
	Helling der Ecliptica,' Leiden, 1879, p. 78).	23 27 22°CI
1900 . 0.	Newcomb ('Fund. Const.' p. 197)	23 27 8.26

§ 153. Secular Diminution of the Obliquity of the Ecliptic. —In early times the Arabians had noticed that the obliquity of the ecliptic was decreasing. The following are the most recent determinations of the present rate of decrease per century :—

A.D.		
1856.	Leverrier, by the theory of attraction (' Annal.	
	Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. ii. p. 174)	47".566
1858.	Leverrier, by observations extending over a	
	century (ibid. t. iv. p. 51)	45".76
1867.	Lehmann, by the formulæ of attraction ('A. N.'	
	No. 1619)	47"-244
1872.	Powalky, by altering a little the masses used	
	by Leverrier in accordance with recent	
	researches (' A. N.' 1903)	47".00
1891.	Harkness, by least square adjustment from	
	Leverrier's value	46".66
1895.	Newcomb ('Fund. Const.' p. 196)	46".85

1825.	Laplace ('C. d. T.' 1827, p. 234)	3° 7' 30''
1873.	Stockwell, by using more accurate determi-	
	nations of the masses of the planets (' Smith-	
	sonian Contribs. to Knowl.' vol. xviii. p. 12)	2 37 22

According to this author the limits of the obliquity are-

21°	58'	36",
24	35	58.

and

§ 154. Precession of the Equinoxes.—The precession of the equinoxes was discovered by Hipparchus (Ptolemy, 'Math. Comp.' lib. iii. cap. 2). Newton first explained its cause ('Principia,' lib. iv. cap. 6). The first explicit theory of the subject was given by D'Alembert ('Recherches sur la Précession des Equinoxes,' Paris, 1749). The following are a few of the different values which have been assigned to the coefficient of precession (§ 77) :—

B.C.		Per Annum.
I 27.	Hipparchus (minimum value), Delambre, 'Hist.	
	de l'Astron. Anc.' t. ii. p. 247	50″
A.D.		18
138.	Ptolemy, by comparing his observations with those	
	of Hipparchus (' Math. Comp.' lib. vii. cap. 2)	36″
1525.	Copernicus, by comparing longitudes of this	
	epoch with those of Ptolemy ('De Revol.	
	Orbium Cœlest.' lib. iii. cap. 6)	50″*20
1588.	Tycho Brahe, by comparing his observations with	
	those of Hipparchus and Al Battani ('Astron.	
	Instaur. Progymn.' p. 254)	51"
1712.	Flamsteed (' Historia Cœlestis,' vol. 3. p. 162).	50"
1802.	Laplace, by the theory of attraction at the epoch	
	1750 (' Méc. Cél.' t. iii. lib. vi. ch. 16) .	50".099
1826,	Bessel, by comparing his observations with those	
	of Bradley ('A.N.' 92, revised value) for 1750	50" 211
1842.	O. Struve from Bradley's Stars, by taking into	
	account the proper motion of the solar system	
	(1800)	50".238
1842.	Same, modified by Peters (1800)	50"-241
1856.	Leverrier finds as the value for 1850 ('Annal.	
	Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. ii. p. 175)	50".236
1887.	L. Struve, from Auwers' reduction of Bradley	
	and Pulkova Star Catalogues (for 1800) .	50"-213
1895.	Newcomb, for 1850 (from the values of L. Struve,	
	Nyrén, Dreyer and Bolte)	50" .237

According to Stockwell the limits of the coefficient of precession are $48'' \cdot 212$ and $52'' \cdot 664$, the mean value being $50'' \cdot 438$ ('Smiths. Contrib. to Knowl.,' vol. xviii. p. 12). The coefficient varies at present annually by $+ 0'' \cdot 00022$.

Astronomical Constants.

The period of the rotation of the pole of the equator around that of the ecliptic is—

25694.8 years,

with inequalities which can alter this value to the extent of 281'2 years.

§ 155. Nutation.—In 1687 Newton had indicated the existence of nutation as a consequence of the theory of attraction ('Principia,' lib. iii. prop. 21), but he considered its effect would be too small to be appreciable. Bradley discovered nutation in 1747 ('Ph. Tr.' vol. xlvi. p. 1) by observations of stars. The following are some of the principal determinations of the constant of nutation :—

A.D.		
1747.	Bradley, by the observations which led to the dis-	
	covery of nutation (' Ph. Tr.' No. 485) .	o″'o
1799.	Laplace, by theoretical calculations, using the mass	
	of the moon as derived from the tides ('Méc. Cél.'	
	t. ii. lib. v. ch. i.)	10" .056
1821.	Brinkley, by 1,618 observations of stars ('Ph. Tr.'	
	1821, p. 347)	9".250
1842.	Peters, from the R.A. of the Pole Star 1822-38	
	('Numerus constans nutationis')	9".216
1842.	Lundahl, from the declinations of the Pole Star	
04	observed at Dorpat (ibid.)	9".236
1869.	Stone, from observations of circumpolar stars at	
- 0	Greenwich ('M. A. S.' vol. xxxvii. p. 249)	9".134
1872.	Nyren, from observations made in the prime vertical	
	at Pulkowa ('Mem. Ac. StPétersbourg,' 7e sér.	
.00.	t. xix. No. 2) \cdot	9".244
1002.	Downing, from 1,041 zenith distances of γ Draconis	
Ror	at Greenwich, 1857-75 (* M. N. vol. 42, p. 344).	9".335
1095.	Received a Stand 1: 1836-	
805	Newcomb from Crosswich and W. Link	9".154
1095.	wations and provious determinations	
Sor	Chandler from Croopwick algometic 0	9".210
.093.	(Astr Journ ' 261)	
	(1500. Journ. Jor) ,	9192

§ 156. Aberration. — Roemer in 1675 ('Histoire de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris,' t. i. p. 214) ascertained that the eclipses of Jupiter's satellites are retarded according as the earth is more removed from the planet. Picard in 1681 announced that the declination of the Pole Star was subject to annual changes other than that due to precession. Bradley discovered aberration in 1727 ('Ph. Tr.' No. 406, p. 637), when observing stars (§ 79). The following are the values which have been assigned to the coefficient of aberration :—

A.D.		
1728.	Bradley, from the observations which led to the dis-	
	covery of aberration (' Ph. Tr.' No. 406)	20".25
1821.	Brinkley, by 2,633 observations of numerous stars	
	('Ph. Tr.' 1821, p. 350).	20".37
1840.	Henderson, by the observations of Sirius at the Cape	
	(' M. A. S.' vol. xi. p. 248)	20''•41
1843.	W. Struve, by observations of seven stars in the	
	prime vertical at Dorpat ('A. N.' No. 484)	20".445
1844.	C. A. F. Peters, by the altitudes of the Pole Star,	
	observed with the vertical circle at Pulkowa	
	(*A. N.' No. 512)	2011.502
1840	CAF Peters from 704 declinations of 8 stars	J-J
1049	abaarrad with the vertical sizes of Dillows	
	observed with the vertical circle at Pulkowa	
	(* Mem. Ac. StPetersb.' 1853, t. v. p. 138) .	2011481
1850.	Maclear, by the observations of a Centauri at the	
	Cape (' M. A. S.' vol. xx. p. 98)	20".53
1861.	Main, by observations of γ Draconis, 1852-59	
	('M. A. S.' vol. xxix. p. 190)	20".335
1882.	Downing, from 1,041 zenith distances of γ Draconis,	
	observed at Greenwich 1857-75 ('M N'vol 42	
	p. 244)	2011.278
1882	Nurén from Pulkowa observations in meridian and	20 370
1003.	Nyten, nom i dikowa observations in mendian and	
	prime vertical (* Mem. Ac. StPetersb. t. xxxi.	
	No. 9) · · · · · · · ·	20".492
1888.	Küstner, from 244 differences of meridian zenith	
	distances of seven pairs of stars ('Beob.	
	Ergebnisse d. Sternwarte,' Berlin, iii. p. 45)	20".313
1805.	Newcomb, from all determinations	20".511

Astronomical Constants.

§ 157. Refraction.—Tycho Brahe formed the first table of astronomical refractions, and it was by him that refraction was first taken account of in the reduction of observations. The following are the principal values which have been assigned to the refraction at the zenith distance of 45° . This quantity is termed the coefficient of refraction, and the refraction at zenith distances is generally nearly equal to the product of the coefficient of refraction and the tangent of the zenith distance.

		Liciticit	L'Interrete.
A.D.	Konlor (Ad Vitalianam Baralinamana) n	45°	90°
1004.	Replet ('Ad vitenonem Paranpomena, p.		
	125, cap. iv.)	40	1980
1721.	Newton, from the data given by Halley,		
	bar. 28.8 in., temp. 70° F. ('Ph. Tr.' No.		
	366, p. 118)	54	2025
1755.	Bradley, from observations at Greenwich, at		
	temp. 50° F. and bar. 29.6 in. ('Astron. Obs.		
	at Greenwich,' vol. i. p. xxxv.)	56.9	1976.8
1805.	Laplace, from the observations of Delambre		
	at 0.760 mm. pressure and 0° C. temp.		
	(' Méc. Cél.' t. iv. liv. x. ch. i.)	60.20	
1814.	Brinkley, at 29.6 in. pressure and 50° F.		
	temp. (' Trans. R. I. A.' vol. xii. p. 77) .	56.8	
1817.	Bessel, at 29.6 in. pressure and 48°.75 F.,		
	from Bradley's "bservations (' Fund. Astron.'		
	pp. 45-50)	57.49	2166.86
1823.	Ivory, at 29 in. pressure and 50° F. temp.		4
	(' Ph. Tr.' 1823, p. 409)	58.36	2057.5
1868.	Stone, from observations at Greenwich, above		
	and below the Pole, at 29.6 in. pressure and		
	50° F. temp. (' M. N.' vol. xxviii. p. 29) .	57:38	
1866.	Gyldén, from observations at Pulkowa at 29.6		
	in. and 7°.44 Réaumur (' Mém. Ac. Sc. St		
	Pétersbourg,' 7° sér. t. x. No. 1) .	57".68	2061 .7
			and a second

§ 158. *Twilight.*—The following figures indicate the number of degrees at which the sun is below the horizon when the stars become visible to the unaided eye :—

368	Astronomy.			
A.D.				
130.	Ptolemy, appearance of stars of the first magnitud	de.	12	0
	End of astronomical twilight	•	18	j –
1602.	Tycho Brahe, end of astronomical twilight ('E	pist.		
	Astron.' pp. 139-140)	•	17	
1618.	Kepler ('Epitome Astronomiæ Copernicanæ,'	lib.		
	iii. part v. ed. Fritsch, t. vi. p. 285) : -			
	Appearance of Venus		5	
	,, Jupiter and Mercury .		10	,
	,, stars of the 1st magnitude		12	
	,, 6th ,,		17	
	, the smallest lucid stars		18	
1865.	J. Schmidt, from the mean results of observat	ions		
, i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	('A. N.' No. 1495) :			
	Appearance of stars of the 1st magnitude		+ 00	'40'
	,, ,, 2nd ,,		-4	18
	,, ,, 3rd ,,	•	5	4
	,, ,, 4th ,,		6	50
	,, ,, 5th ,,		8	52
	,, ,, 6th ,,	•	11	39
	End of astronomical twilight		15	55
1884.	G. Hellmann, for central Europe (see 'Zeitschr.	d.		
	österr. Ges. für Meteorol.' 1884) :			
	Beginning of morning twilight		18	0
	End of evening twilight		15	36
	THE SUN.			

§ 159. Semidiameter of the Sun.—The following values express the angular semidiameter of the sun when at its mean distance from the earth :—

в.с 270.	Aristarchus of Samos (Wallis, 'Opera Mathematica,'	
	tome iii.)	900''
240.	Archimedes, by the dimensions of a screen which	
	covered the disc at the horizon	899
A.D.		
1 38.	Ptolemy (' Mathematica Compositio V.' cap. 14) .	940
1543.	Copernicus (' De Revolutionibus Orbium Cœlestium,'	
	lib. iv. cap. 21)	983.3

¹ The sign + signifies that the sun is above the horizon, and -that the sun is below the horizon. Astronomical Constants.

369

A.D.		
1602.	Tycho Brahe ('Astron. Instaur. Progymn.' p. 471).	930''
1618.	Kepler ('Epitome Astronomiæ Copernicanæ,' lib.	
	vi. part v. chap. vii.)	916.2
1771.	Lalande, by the transit of Venus in 1769 ('Astro-	
	nomie,' 2 ^e éd. t. ii. No. 2159)	958.0
1824.	W. Struve, by observations at Dorpat up to the end	
	of 1823 ('Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1827, S. 211) :-	
	Horizontal semidiameter	960.90
	Vertical semidiameter	960.37
1830.	Bessel, by 1.608 transits of the sun at Königsberg) 31
1030.	('Tab Reg'n to)	060.00
1825	Encke by the transit of Venus in 1760 ('Abhand d	900 90
1035.	Ak au Berlin' 1827 Venus Durchgang n of)	058.42
1810	W Struce by art moridian transits and are massures	950 42
1052.	of wort diam at Dorpat 1802 at (1 Desitiones	
	Malia ? Detarchara)	
	Mediæ, Petersburg):	
	Horizontal semidiameter	961.12
0	Vertical semidiameter	960.66
1853.	Hansen and Oluisen ('Tables du Soleil,' Copen-	
	hagen, 4to. p. 165)	961.13
1858.	Leverrier assumes in his 'Tables du Soleil,' p. 114.	960.00
1875.	Fugh, by the discussion of 6,827 observations at	
	Greenwich, 1836–1870, with three meridian instru-	
	ments; the author finds the ellipticity insensible,	
	and for the semidiameter ('A. N.' No. 2040) .	961.495
1891.	Auwers, from heliometer measures during German	
	Venus expeditions, 1874 and 1882 ('A. N.' 3068)	959.63
8	160. The Sun's ParallaxThe figures her	e giver
danat	to the angle which an equatorial radius of the	o girti
1101	E THE ALIVE WHILL AT CULATOLAT LACHTS OF I	IC CALL

denote the angle which an equatorial radius of the earth subtends at the mean distance of the sun :---

B.C.

150.	Hipparchus, from the dimensions of the earth's		
	shadow observed in eclipses of the moon (Ptolemy,		
	"Math. Comp.' lib. v. cap. 17)	3'	
A.D.			
138.	Ptolemy, by the shadow (lib, y, cap. 17, loc, cit.)	2 5	C

- 1618. Kepler, by the diurnal parallax of Mars ('Epitome Astronomiæ Copernicanæ,' p. 479), not exceeding 1
- 1672. Flamsteed, by the diurnal parallax of Mars ('Ph. Tr.' No. 89) 0 10

Astronomy. 370 A.D. Bradley and Pound, by the diurnal parallax of Mars 1719. (see Bradley's ' Miscell. Works,' p. 353) . . 10".3 Lacaille, by comparing observations of Mars made 1751. at the Cape of Good Hope with those made in Europe (' Ephémérides des Mouvements Célestes depuis 1765 jusqu'en 1774,' Paris, Introd. p. 1) . 10.2 Pingré, by the transit of Venus in 1761 (' Mém. Ac. 1765. 10.00 de Paris,' 1761, p. 486) . Euler, by the transit of Venus in 1769 ('Novi 1770. Commentarii Ac. Sc. Petropol.' t. xiv.) . 8.8 Maskelyne, by the transit of Venus in 1769 (Vince, 1797. 'Syst. of. Astron.' vol. i. p. 413) 8.723 Laplace, from the parallactic equation of the moon 1802. 8.6 ('C. d. T.' an xii. p. 496) Delambre, by the transit of Venus in 1769 ('Astron. 1814. Théorique et Pratique,' t. iii. p. 506, t. i. p. xliv.) 8.552 Encke, by combining the results of the transits of 1835. Venus in 1761 and 1769 ('Abhand. der Ak. zu Berlin,' 1835, Math. Kl. S. 309) . 8.571 Leverrier, by the parallactic equation of the moon 1858. ('Ann. de l'Obs. de Paris.' Mém. t. iv. p. 101). 8.95 Foucault, by experiments on the velocity of light 1862. compared with the constant of aberration ('C. R.' 8.86 t. lv. p. 502) Hansen, by the parallactic equation and the 1863. parallax of the moon given in his tables (' M. N.' vol. xxiii. p. 243) 8.97 E. J. Stone, by observations of Mars at the Cape 1865. and Williamstown compared with those at Greenwich (' M. A. S.' vol. xxxiii. p. 97) 8.943 Hall, by micrometric comparisons of Mars in 1862, 1865. at Chili, and at Washington and Upsala ('Astron. Obs. at U.S. Naval Observatory,' 1863, append. 8.8415 p. 64) . Newcomb, by the observations of Mars in 1862 1867. ('Astron. Obs. U.S. Naval Observatory,' 1865, 8.855 append. ii. p. 22) Newcomb, from the parallactic inequality of the 1867. 8.838 moon (ibid. p. 25) Newcomb, from the lunar equation of the earth 1867. 8.809 (ibid. p. 28)

Astronomical Constants.

37 I

A.D.		
1868.	E. J. Stone, by a new discussion of the transit of	
	Venus in 1769 ('M. N.' vol. xxviii, p. 264)	8".01
1872.	Leverrier, by the movement of the perihelion of	0 91
	Mars ('C. R.' t. lxxv. p. 165)	8.866
1872.	Leverrier, by the movement of the node of Venus	0 000
	(<i>ibid.</i>)	8.853
1872.	Leverrier, by the secular variations of Venus in	55
0	106 years of observation (<i>ibid.</i>)	8.859
1872.	Powalky, by the transits of 1761 and 1769 ('A. N.'	
	1903)	8.773
1874.	Cornu, by a new measurement of the velocity of	
	light combined with the coefficient of aberration	
1877	of Sturve ('M. N.' vol. xxxv. p. 240)	8.834
10/5.	Galle, by the observations of Flora in both hemi-	
	spheres at the opposition of 1873 ('M. N.' vol.	
1877.	Corny by the velocity of 1' 1	8.879
	Paris and Monthern and Monthern	
	tion of Sturve (C P 24 Invite aberra-	
1877.	Airy, by British observations of the internal	8.88
	of Venus during the transit of 187. ((The Ol	
	vatory,' vol. i. p. 141)	0.56
1877.	Lindsay and Gill, by observations of June in 1874	8.700
	('Dunecht Observatory Publications,' vol ii p	
	211), mean result.	8.765
1878.	Tupman, from Brit. obs. of transit of Venus, 1874	. 0 /03
	('M. N.' xxxviii. p. 455).	8.845
1879.	Gill, from observations of Mars at Ascension Island	45
.00.	in 1877 ('M. N.' xxxix. p. 437) .	8.78
1001.	1 odd, from Amer. photographs of transit, 1874	
1887	(*Copernicus, 1. p. 175).	8.883
1007.	Report 'n 5)	
1888.	Harkness from Amor photos (8.832
	('Solar Parallay') (Solar Parallay') (Solar Parallay') (Solar Parallay')	
1890.	Newcomb, from transits 1761 60 (6 Astron D	8.842
	ii. p. 402)	0
1890.	Gill, from obs. of minor planets, 1880, oc	8.99
1895.	Newcomb, from constant of aberration ('Fundam	0.902
	Constants,' p. 148)	8.000
		0 199

§ 161. Elements of the Sun's Rotation.

Per tropic	riod of the cal rotation.	Longitude of the ascending node.	Date of the Equinox to which the longitude is referred.	Inclination.
1862	Carrington	Observations	of the Spots on the	Sun ' London
1003.	Carrington	(TI 1	i ne spots on me	Sun, London,
	pp. 225,	244. (Ine dura	tion refers to the sui	n's equator.)
24 ^d	23 ^h 19 ^m •4	73° 28'	1854.0	7° 17'
1865.	Schwabe,	Observations from	n 1843 to 1854 ('A.	N.' No. 1521).
25 ^d	5 ^h		1848.5	7° 17'.7
1868.	Spörer (' F	Publication der A	stron. Gesellschaft,'	Bd. xiii. S. 5).
25ª	5 ^h 37 ^m	74° 36′	1866.5	6° 58'
1885.	Spörer (' P	ubl. d. astrophys	. Obs. zu Potsdam,'	iv. p. 421).
25 ^d	5 ^h 31 ^m	77° 33'	1884.0	7° 0′
1889.	Wilsing, fr	om obs. of facula	e (<i>ibid.</i> p. 436).	
25ª	5 ^h 28 ^m			

§ 162. Rotation of the Sun.—Scheiner had observed in 1626 that the period of revolution is not equal for all the spots on the sun. The following formulæ give the angle of rotation about the axis of the sun in one day, the latitude of the spot being $\lambda :=$

A.D. 1863. Carrington.(loc. cit.):

 $865' - 165'\sin^{\frac{3}{4}}\lambda$

1865. Faye, from Carrington's observations ('C. R.' t. lx. p. 816): $862' - 186' \sin^2 \lambda$.

1874. Spörer (' Beob. d. Sonnenflecken zu Anclam,' p. 152) : $8^{\circ} \cdot 548 + 5^{\circ} \cdot 798 \cos \lambda$.

1890. Dunér, from spectroscopic observations ('A. N.' 2968): $7^{\circ} \cdot 286 + 6^{\circ} \cdot 723 \cos \lambda$.

§ 163. Bodies seen Passing across the Sun.—A most interesting account of the different observations which have indicated the existence of bodies passing in front of the sun is given by Leverrier, 'Comptes Rendus,' vol. lxxxiii., pp. 583 and 621. For a very thorough criticism of these see also C. H. F. Peters, 'Astronomische Nachrichten,' No. 2253. § 164. Heating and Actinic Power of the Sun.—The following are the principal memoirs on this subject :—

A.D.

- 1836. Sir John Herschel ('Reports of the British Association,' 1833, p. 379). See also 'Results of Astronomical Observations made at the Cape of Good Hope.' London, 1847, p. 442.
- 1838. Pouillet. Experiments with the instrument he calls the Pyr héliomètre ('Comptes Rendus,' t. vii. p. 64).
- 1877. Violle. On the mean temperature at the surface of the sun. See 'Annales de Chimie et de Physique,' 5^e sér. t. x. p. 289.
- 1879. Rosetti (' Phil. Mag.' 5th ser. viii. p. 324).
- 1894. Wilson and Gray. Experimental investigation of the effective temperature of the sun ('Ph. Tr.' 1894, p. 361).

§ 165. On the Physical Constitution of the Sun.—The following is a list of some of the principal works which treat of the physical constitution of the sun :—

1861-1862.	Kirchoff. 'Untersuchungen über das Sonnenspectrum
	und die Spectren der Chemischen Elemente.' Berlin,
	two parts, 4to.

- 1863-1864. E. Gautier. De la Constitution du Soleil : Supplément à la Bibliothèque Universelle. 'Archives,' t. xviii. p. 209 ; t. xix. p. 265 ; t. xxiv. p. 21.
 - 1865. Warren de la Rue, B. Stewart, Loewy. 'Researches on Solar Physics.' Series I. and II. and Appendix. London, 1865-68.
 - 1868. Angström. 'Spectre Normal du Soleil, atlas contenant les longueurs d'onde des raies Fraunhofériennes données en dix-millionièmes de millimètre.' Upsala, 4to.
 - 1868. G. J. Stoney. 'Proceedings of the Royal Society,' vol.
 - 1869. Zöllner. 'Vierteljahrsschrift der Astronomischen Gesellschaft,' Th. iv. S. 172.
- 1869–1870. Warren de la Rue, B. Stewart, Benj. Loewy. Researches on Solar Physics : Heliographic Positions and Areas of Sun-spots observed with the Kew Photoheliograph, 1862 to 1868. 'Ph. Tr.' 1869, p. 1, and 1870, p. 111.
- 1869-1870. Young. 'Journal of the Franklin Institute,' December 1869, June, July, October, November 1870.

A.D.

- 1870. Secchi. 'Le Soleil.' Paris, 8vo. 2nd edition, Paris, 1877.
- 1870. Respighi. Osservazioni Spettroscopiche del borde e delle protuberance solari. 'Atti della Accademia dei Lincei,' vol. xxiii.
- 1871. E. Becquerel. Sur l'action électrique du Soleil. 'C.R.' vol. lxxii. p. 709.
- 1874. N. Lockyer. 'Contributions to Solar Physics.' London, 8vo.
- 1879. Ranyard. Observations made during Total Solar Eclipses. 'M. A. S.' vol. xli.
- 1883. Young. 'The Sun.' 2nd edition, London, 1883.

1886–1894. Lockyer. Observations of Sun-spots at South Kensington. 'Proc. R. Soc.' xl. p. 347, xlii. p. 37, xlvi. p. 385, lvii. p. 199.

1895. R. A. Sampson. On the Rotation and Mechanical State of the Sun. 'M. A. S.' vol. li.

§ 166. Period of the Solar Spots.

A.D.		Years.
1844.	Schwabe, from his observations, 1826-1842 (' A.	N.'
	No. 495)	. 10
1852.	R. Wolf, from observations of the sun since I	611
	('A. N.' No. 839)	. 11.111
1892.	R. Wolf (' Handbuch d. Astronomie,' ii. p.	
	413), from the observed minima 11	295 ± 0.318
1892.	R. Wolf (' Handbuch d. Astronomie,' ii. p.	
	413), from the observed maxima 11	284 ± 0.439

MERCURY.

§ 167. Elements of the Orbit of Mercury.

Leverrier, 'Annales de l'Observatoire de Paris.' Mémoires, t. v. 1859, pp. 107, 109, and 135.

[t represents the number of Julian years ($365\frac{1}{4}$ days) which have elapsed since the date of the epoch.]

Epoch 1850. Noon, Jan. 1, mean time at Paris.

Mean longitude . 327° 15′ 20″ ·43 + 5381066″ ·5449ℓ + 0″ ·00011289ℓ · Longitude of peri-

helion

. 75 7 13.93+

55" ·9138t + 0.0001111t2

Longitude of ascend-

ing node	46° 33'	8".75+	$42'' \cdot 6430t + 0 \cdot 0000835t^3$
Greatest equation of			
the centre	23 40	38.01 +	$0'' \cdot 0851t - 0 \cdot 0000036t^2$
Inclination	7 0	7.71+	$0'' \cdot 06314t - 0 \cdot 0000056t^2$

Movement in 100 years.

Mean longitude .		74° 4'	14".4900
Longitude of perihelion	•	I 33	11.380
Longitude of node .		I II	4.300

§ 168. Transits of Mercury across the Sun.—Kepler predicted the transit of Mercury across the face of the sun in 1631, and the transit was partially observed by Gassendi on November 9 in that year. The first complete observation of a transit of Mercury was made by Halley at St. Helena in 1677.

§ 169. Diameter of Mercury.—Before the invention of the telescope, Tycho Brahe estimated the diameter of Mercury at 130". The following are the measures which have been obtained by the aid of telescopes. The numbers represent the diameter of Mercury as it would be seen when placed at a distance from the earth which is equal to the mean distance of the sun from the earth.

1723.	Bradley, by micrometric measurements on the sun	
	(' Ph. Tr.' No. 386)	7".34
1833.	Bessel, from micrometric measurements made during	
	the transit of 1832 (' A. N.' No. 228)	6.697
1855.	Main, with the double-image micrometer (' M. A. S.'	
	vol. xxv. p. 43)	6.68
1859.	Leverrier, from transits over the sun ('Annales de	
	l'Obs. de Paris,' v. p. 182).	6.68
1882.	Harkness, from double-image micrometer observations	
	during transit, 1878	6.65

Schröter (1800) considered the ellipticity of Mercury to be perfectly insensible. Sir William Herschel found the planet perfectly circular during its transit across the sun in 1802.

§ 170. Mass of Mercury.—As no satellite of Mercury has yet been detected, our present knowledge of its mass has been inferred from the effect of the perturbation which it produces in other celestial bodies belonging to the solar system. It will be seen that there are considerable discrepancies in the different estimates. The mass of the sun is taken as unity.

•	T
<i>n</i> .	

1841.	Encke, by the perturbation of the comet which bears	
	his name ('A. N.' No. 443)	4835751
1861.	Leverrier, by the perturbation of Venus ('Ann. de	
	l'Obs. de Paris,' Mém. vi. p. 92)	5310000
1861.	Leverrier, by the perturbation of the earth (' Ann. de	
	l'Obs. de Paris,' Mém. vi. p. 92)	4360000
1876.	Von Asten, by the perturbation of Encke's comet	
	from 1819-1868 ('Mém. Ac. StPétersb.' 7e sér.	
	xxvi. No. 2)	$\frac{1}{7636440}$
1881.	Tisserand, by the perturbations of Venus ('C. R.' xcii.	
	p. 656)	7100000
1894.	Backlund, by the perturbations of Encke's comet,	
	1871-91 ('C. R.' Oct. 1, 1894)	9700000

§ 171. Rotation of Mercury on its Axis.—The rotation of Mercury was detected by Schröter in 1800 by observing certain peculiarities in the form of the southern horn of the crescent. The following results have been deduced from these observations :—

A.D.

1801. Schröter, from his own observations and those of Harding on the truncated appearance of the southern horn (Zach's 'Monatliche Correspondenz,' Gotha, Bd. 14, p. 221) . .

24^h 5^m 30^s 24 0 52.97

1890.

1810.

1813, p. 253)
Schiaparelli ('A. N.' 2944), from observations
1881-89 concluded that the rotation period was equal to the period of revolution round the sun, viz. 88 days.

Bessel, by five observations of Schröter during

a period of 14 months (' Berliner Jahrbuch,'
§ 172. Plane of the Equator of Mercury.—The situation of this plane has not yet been determined. Schröter considered the inclination of the equator to the plane of the planet's orbit to be about 20° . This was deduced from his observations of a band visible for forty-seven consecutive days in May and June 1801.

§ 173. Physical Conditions of the Surface of Mercury.— The following papers may be consulted :—

- 1801 and 1816. Schröter, 'Hermographische Fragmente.' Göttingen, 2 vols. 8vo.
- 1803. Sir William Herschel, 'Phil. Trans.' vol. xciii. p. 214.
- 1840. W. Beer and Mädler, 'Fragments sur les Corps célestes du Système solaire.' Paris, 4to. p. 206.
- 1874. Vogel. 'Untersuchungen über die Spectra der Planeten., Leipzig, 8vo.

§ 174. Brightness of Mercury.—The phases of Mercury were first observed by Hortensius about 1630. For its photometric brightness see Zöllner, 'Photometrische Untersuchungen über die Physikalische Beschaffenheit des Planeten Merkur,' Leipzig.

VENUS.

§ 175. Elements of the Orbit.—The following are the elements of the orbit of Venus, given by Leverrier in 1861, from a new discussion of the observations ('Annales de l'Observatoire de Paris,' t. v. pp. 95-97) :—

Epoch 1850. Noon, Jan 1, mean time at Paris. Mean longitude 245° 33' 14" 70 + 2106691" 65043t + 0.00011289t²

Longitude of perihelion . 129 27 14.5 + $49''.462t - 0.000593t^2$ Longitude of ascending node . 75 19 52.3 + $32''.8899t + 0.0001508t^2$ Inclination . 3 23 $34.83 + 0''.04524t - 0.0000156t^2$ Greatest equation

of centre . 0 47 $3.08 - 0'' \cdot 2227t + 0.00104t^2$

[t is the number of years $(365\frac{1}{4} \text{ days})$ which have elapsed since the epoch.]

The following elements are by G. W. Hill ('Tables of Venus,' Washington 1872) :---

Epoch 1850. O' Oh M.T. Washington.

Mean longitude . 244° 18' 18''.32 + 2106691''.62180t + 0''.0001134t² Longitude of peri-

helion			129	27	42.86 +	50°0494 <i>t</i> –	0.000592 <i>t</i> ²
Longitude	of	as-					
cending r	node	•	75	19	53.10 +	32.2120 <i>t</i> +	0°000151 <i>t</i> 2
Inclination	•		3	23	31.01+	0°03814 <i>t</i> –	$0.0000016t^{2}$
Greatest e	quat	ion					
of centre	•		0	47	2.988 -	0°20630 <i>t</i> +	0.00106t2

§ 176. Transits of Venus across the Sun.—Kepler in 1629 was the first to announce the occurrence of the transits of Venus across the sun. The first transit observed was by Horrocks in 1639. The theory of the transits was given by Halley in 1691. The last transits occurred in 1874 and 1882, the next will be in 2004 and 2012.

§ 177. Apparent Diameter of Venus.—Before the invention of the telescope Tycho Brahe estimated the diameter of Venus at $3\frac{1}{1}$. The following figures give the diameter of Venus as it would be seen by an observer situated at a distance equal to the mean distance of the earth from the sun :—

1640.	Horrocks, by micrometic measurements (see	
	Wurm, ' Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1807, p. 165).	17".609
1789-1794.	Schröter, by micrometric measurements (see	
	Wurm, 'Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1807, pp. 166-7)	16".835
1822.	Encke, by the transit of 1761 ('Entfernung	
	der Sonne,' Gotha, 8vo.)	16''.611
1840.	Airy, by micrometric observations (cited in	
	'Ann. de l'Obs. de Paris,' Mém. t. vi. pp.	
	26 and 201)	16''.566
1865.	E. J. Stone, by observations at Greenwich	
	with the mural circle, 1839-1850, and the	
	meridian circle, 1850-1862 ('M. N.' vol.	
	xxv. p. 59)	16".944

A. D.		
1871.	Powalky, from the transits of 1761 and 1769	
	('A. N.' 1841)	16''.918
1875.	Tennant, by micrometric measurements	
	during the transit in 1874 (' M. N.' vol.	
	xxxv. p. 347)	16".9036
1879.	Hartwig, by heliometer measures ('Publ. d.	
	Astr. Gesellschaft,' xv. p. 10)	17".666
1891.	Auwers, by heliometer measures during tran-	
	sits 1874 and 1892 by German observers	
	('A. N.' 3068)	16".801

§ 178. *Ellipticity.*—The ellipticity of Venus is generally regarded as insensible. The observations of Vidal, however, during the inferior conjunction in October 1807, gives 60^{''}·4 for the vertical diameter and 61^{''}·5 for the horizontal diameter. ('C. d. T.' 1810, p. 375.) These results are, however, not entitled to any weight. According to Auwers the ellipticity is less than $\frac{1}{150}$.

§ 179. Mass of Venus.—The mass of Venus has been determined by the perturbations which it produces in the motions of other bodies belonging to the solar system. The following are the principal results. The mass of the sun is taken as unity :—

A.D.

1779.	Lagrange, from the precession of the equinoxes	
	('Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1782, p. 115)	1 315510
	Lagrange, from irregularities in the motion of the	
	sun ('Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1782, p. 116)	$\frac{1}{341420}$
1802.	Laplace, by the secular diminution of the obliquity of	
	the ecliptic ('Méc. Cél.' t. iii. vol. vi. ch. 6)	383137
1802.	Delambre, by deducing from the observations of the	
	sun, by Bradley and Maskelyne, the coefficients of	
	the inequalities caused by Venus (see Laplace, 'Méc.	
	Cél.' t. iii. liv. vi. ch. 16)	1 356632
1828.	Airy, by the inequalities deduced from observations	000002
	of the sun (' Ph. Tr.' 1828, p. 50)	1 401211
1843.	Leverrier, by the secular variation of the node of	
	Mercury ('C. R.' tom. xvi. p. 1062)	1 390000
1858.	Leverrier, by the perturbations of the earth ('Ann.	
	de l'Obs. de Paris,' Mém. t. iv. p. 102)	100918
		100240

A.D.

1872. Hill, from the movements of the node of Mercury ('Tables of Venus.' Washington, 4to. p. 2)

§ 180. Rotation of Venus.-I. D. Cassini first detected surface markings, and was inclined to conclude that Venus rotated in 23 days, but his son made out from the same observations and those of Bianchini that the period was 23^h 20^m. Bianchini himself made the rotation period 24^d 8^h. Schröter, chiefly from the truncated appearance of the south cusp, found 23^h 21^m 8^s ('Nachtrag z, d, aphrodit, Fragm.' p. 56). De Vico, from spots observed 1830-41, found 23h 21m 21s.9 ('Mem. della Specola d. Coll. Rom.' 1840-41, p. 48). Schiaparelli, from his observations Nov. 1877 to Feb. 1878, concluded that Venus rotates in 225 days ('Rendiconti del R. Istituto Lombardo,' vol. xxiii.), but this has been strongly opposed by several observers, e.g. by Brenner, who maintains that his observations give a rotation period of 23^h 57^m 7^s 5 ('A. N.' 3314), while others (e.g. Tacchini) agree with Schiaparelli.

§ 181. Brilliancy of Venus.—The phases of Venus were discovered by Galileo in 1610. Delambre gives as the conditions under which Venus appears brightest—

Elongation			39°	43′	26"
Distance from	earth		0.430	04	

This is on the supposition that the orbit is circular : the actual values of the elongation and distance at the time of greatest brightness are not quite constant on account of the ellipticity of the orbit. The number of days before or after inferior conjunction, when the greatest brightness takes place, varies between 32 and 39 days.

G. P. Bond in 1861 estimated the brilliancy of Venus when brightest as 4.86 times that of Jupiter, and 1 \div 622,600,000 that of the sun. At the distance 1 from the earth, and 0.7233 from the sun, he found the brightness

of Venus to be $1 \div 514$ that of the full moon. The albedo of Venus is 3.44 that of Mars, according to G. Müller. From his photometric observations at Potsdam G. Müller finds the following formula for the stellar magnitude of Venus ('Publ. d. astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 366):

Mag. =
$$-4.707 + \frac{1}{0.4} \log \frac{r^2 \Delta^2}{r_0^2} + 0.01322 a$$

where r_0 is the mean distance of Venus from the sun, r the actual distance, Δ the distance from the earth, and " the phase-angle corresponding to r and Δ .

For researches upon the spectrum of Venus, see Vogel, 'Untersuchungen über die Spectra der Planeten,' Leipzig, 1874, 8vo.

An interesting account of the observations on the visibility of the dark side of Venus is given by Schafarik in the 'Reports of the British Association,' 1873, p. 404.

THE EARTH.

§ 182. Duration of the Year.—The period of the revolution of the earth around the sun was the earliest element of our planet which was known with accuracy. The following are the principal values expressed in mean solar time :—

в.	с.	Tr	opical lays 5	Year, 36 hours.
310	1 (?).	Indian Tables (Bailly, 'Traité de l'Astronomie		
		indienne.' Paris, 1787. 4to. p. 124) .	50m	35*
	A.D.			
	140.	Ptolemy. By his observations and those of		
		Hipparchus (' Math. Comp.' lib. iii. ch. 2)	55	14
	1543.	Copernicus (' De Revol. Orb. Cœlest.' lib. iii.		
		ch. 14)	49	6
	1602.	Tycho Brahe (' Astron. Instaur. Progymn.' p.		
		53)	48	45.3
	1687.	Flamsteed (Newton, 'Phil. Nat. Princip.		
		Math.')	48	57.5
	1806.	Delambre (' Tables du Soleil.' Paris, 4to.) .	48	51.61

Duration of the

A.D.	1 Tr	Duration of the opical Year, 365 days 5 hours.
1853.	Hansen and Olufsen, epoch 1850, with the	
	annual variation -0.00539 ('Tables du	
	Soleil')	48m 46*15
1858.	Leverrier, epoch 1800, with the annual varia-	
	tion -0.00539 ('Ann. Obs. Paris,' Mém.	
	t. iv. p. 102)	48 46.045
1891.	Harkness, epoch 1850, with the annual varia-	
	tion - 05:0052675 ('Solar Parallax' n 120)	48 46:060

According to Stockwell ('Smiths. Contribs. to Knowl.' vol. xviii. p. xii.), the variations of the tropical year from its mean value are

∓54⁸·20.

According to the same authority the variations of the length of the tropical year from its present value have the limits

$$-59^{s}$$
 13 and $+49^{s}$ 27.

§ 183. Perihelion.—The movement of the axis major of the earth's orbit is very perceptible within historic times. The following are the principal results for the longitude of the perihelion as well as for its movement in one hundred years :—

B.C.		Longitude of Perihelion.	Movement in 100 Years.
127.	Hipparchus (Ptolemy, 'Compos.		
	Math.' lib. iii. c. 4)	65°30′	
A.D.			
140.	Ptolemy (<i>ibid.</i>).	65 30	
1515.	Copernicus ('De Rev. Orb.		1
	Cœlest.' lib. 3, c. 16 and 22).	96 40	0°40' 34''
1588.	Tycho Brahe ('Astr. Inst.		
	Progymn.' p. 23)	95 30	I 15 O
1758.	Lacaille. Epoch 1700 (' Tabulæ		
	Solares')	97 35 55	1 49 10
1806.	Delambre. Epoch 1800 (' Tables		
	du Soleil')	99 30 5	I 43 35
1816	Airy. 1816('Phil. Trans.'1828,		
	p. 28)	99 46 20.3	

A.D.		Longitude of Perihelion.	Movement in 100 Years.
1853.	Hansen and Olufsen. Epoch	•.4	
	1850 (' Tables du Soleil ') .	100°21'41″ 02	1°42′38′′·48
1858.	Leverrier. Epoch 1850 ('Ann.		
	Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. iv. pp.		
	102 and 105)	100 21 21.5	1 42 49.95

§ 184. The Eccentricity and Equation of the Centre.—The inequality in the motion of the sun which is called the equation of the centre was known to the astronomers at Alexandria. The following are the principal determinations of its greatest value :—

B.C.	Equation of the Centre,
140.	Ptolemy ('Comp. Math.' lib. iii. c. 4) , 2°23'
A.D.	
1750.	La Caille (' Mém. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1750, p.
	178) 1 55 40.6
1806.	Delambre ('Tables du Soleil'). Epoch
	1810 I 54 41.8
	Annual variation $-0^{\prime\prime}$. 1718.
1828.	Bessel. Epoch 1800. Eccentricity . e=0.0167922585
	Annual Variation - 0.0000004359 ('A. N.'
	No. 133).
1828.	Airy. Epoch 1816 ('Ph. Tr.' 1828, p. 29) 1 54 39.9
1853.	Hansen and Olufsen (' Tables of the Sun,'
	p. I):-
	Eccentricity for 1850 $e = 0.01677120$
1858.	Leverrier ('Ann. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. iv.
	p. 102) 1 55 18.31
	Annual variation – 0".17510.
1891.	Harkness (' Solar Parallax,' p. 140) :
	Eccentricity = $0.016771049 - 0.0000004215 (t - 1850)$.
s ·	185 Tables of the Sun - The recent tables of the sun
in whi	ich account is taken of the perturbations are
III WIII	ich account is taken of the perturbations are-
A.D.	
1853.	Hansen and Oluisen, Copenhagen, 4to., with supplement,
-0-0	1857.
1858.	Leverrier, 'Annal. Obser. Paris,' Mém. t. iv. pp. 119-206.

The elements of the apparent path of the sun are, according to Leverrier—

Mean longitude . $100^{\circ} 46' 43'' \cdot 51 + 1296027'' \cdot 6784' + 0'' \cdot 00011073'^{2}$ Perihelion . $100^{\circ} 21' 21'' \cdot 5 + 61'' \cdot 6995' + 0'' \cdot 0001823'^{2}$ Eccentricity in

seconds of arc. $3459'' \cdot 28 - 0'' \cdot 08755t - 0'' \cdot 00000282t^2$

The letter t represents the number of Julian years which have elapsed since 1850 00.

§ 186. Dimensions of the Earth.—The following are perhaps the most reliable determinations of the dimensions of the earth as ascertained by actual surveying. They are taken from the recent work on Geodesy by Colonel A. R. Clarke, C.B. (8vo. Clarendon Press Series, Oxford, 1880). If it be supposed that the earth is an ellipsoid with three unequal axes, then we find (p. 308)—

Equatorial	semiaxis	in feet	•			<i>a</i> = 20926629
,,	,,	"		00°,		<i>b</i> = 20925105
Polar semi	axis .		10 .		•	c = 20854477

The axis major of the ellipse in which the earth is cut by the plane of the equator is in longitude—

8° 15′ W. from Greenwich.

'On the ellipsoidal theory of the earth's figure, small as is the difference between the two diameters of the equator, the Indian longitudes are much better represented than by a surface of revolution. But it is nevertheless necessary to guard against an impression that the figure of the equator is thus definitely fixed, for the available data are far too slender to warrant such a conclusion' (p. 309).

If the earth be regarded as a spheroid of revolution, the dimensions are (p. 319)—

a = 20926202 feet, c = 20854895 feet.

and their ratio-

c: a = 292.465: 293.465.

§ 187. *Ellipticity of the Earth.*—The following are various determinations of this important constant :—

A D.		
1687.	Newton, by the theory of attraction, supposing the earth homogeneous ('Principia,'lib. iii. prop. 19)	1
1749.	D'Alembert, by the precession of the equinoxes ('Re- cherches sur la Précession des Equinoxes.' Paris,	204
	4to. ch. ix.)	1 324
1789.	Legendre, by the measurements of arcs in Peru and	
	France (' Mém. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1789, p. 422) .	305
1799.	Laplace, by 15 measurements with the pendulum	I
0	(* Mec. Cel.' liv. iii. ch. 5, No. 43, t. 11)	335'78
1819.	Von Lindenau, from the lunar inequalities ('Ber-	I
- 9	liner Janrbuch, 1820, p. 212)	315.85
1825.	observations of the moon at Greenwich (' Méc.	
	Cél.' liv. xi. ch. I, No. I, t. v.)	1 306
1825.	Sabine, by pendulum experiments ('Account of Ex-	
	periments on the figure of the Earth,' London)	$\frac{1}{314}$
1849.	Airy, by pendulum experiments ('Figure of the	I
	Earth,' § 35 in 'Encyclopædia Metropolitana')	282'9
1862.	Baeyer, by the triangulation in Prussia ('A. N.'	I
	No. 1366)	298.9
1880.	Clarke, mean result of surveys (loc. cit. p. 319) .	1 292'96±1'0
	Mean result from pendulums (p. 250)	I
.00.	Holmost from populations ((Theories 1 - 1")	292.2±1.5
1004.	rieimert, irom pendulums (* Theorien der noheren	T
	Geodesie,' vol. ii. p. 241)	299'26

§ 188. Gravitation.—The length of the pendulum which beats one second in a vacuum in an indefinitely small arc at the level of the sea is, according to Ufferdinger (Grunert's 'Archiv für Mathematik und Physik,' vol. 49, p. 309, 1868), who has given a complete discussion of the observations made at 51 stations, whose latitudes range from $+79^{\circ}$ 50' to -51° 35'—

 $P = 0^{m} \cdot 990970 + 0^{m} \cdot 005185 \sin^{2} \phi.$

In 1884, from observations at 123 stations, whose latitudes ranged from $+79^{\circ}$ 50' to -62° 56', Helmert found -

 $P = 0^{m} \cdot 990918 + 0.005262 \sin^2 \phi.$

The length of the pendulum P is here expressed in metres, while ϕ is the latitude.

At a height h above the surface of the earth, the length of the pendulum is P', where R being the radius of the earth,

$$\mathbf{P}' = \mathbf{P}\left(\mathbf{I} - \frac{2h}{\mathbf{R}}\right).$$

Gravity is determined from the length of the seconds pendulum by the equation

$$g = \pi^2 P$$
.

§ 189. Rotation.—Laplace had concluded in 1799 that the inequalities of the time of rotation of the earth were insensible ('Méc. Cél.' liv. v. ch. 1, Nos. 8 and 9, t. ii.). Serret in 1859, assuming that the fluctuations of latitude do not exceed a single second, inferred that the period of rotation must be practically constant ('Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. v. 1859, pp. 290, 291).

Laplace considered, by computation of the eclipses recorded by Ptolemy, that the length of the day had not changed in the lapse of twenty-five centuries by so much as 0.0000001 part of its value ('C. d. T.' 1821, p. 242). More recently, however, Delaunay pointed out that the value of the secular acceleration of the moon's motion, indicated by theory (6''.2), fails to explain accurately the ancient eclipses ; he was therefore of opinion that the changes in the period of the earth's rotation are sensible in historic times ('C. R.' t. lxi. 1865, p. 1023). On the other hand, Newcomb found from ancient observations of the moon a value of the acceleration (8''.3) much smaller than that formerly derived from them, and he considers it quite improbable that the inequalities in the mean motion of the moon are entirely to

.

387

be accounted for by changes in the earth's rotation (' Astron. Papers,' vol. i. pp. 464-465).

190. Density.—Taking the density of water as unity, the following are the principal determinations which have been made of the mean density of the earth :—

A+D+		
1687.	Newton, by theoretical considerations ('Principia,'	
	lib. ii.), between	5 and 6
1798.	Cavendish, by the torsion balance ('Ph. Tr.' 1798,	
	p. 469)	5.48
1821.	Carlini, by pendulum observations on Mont Cenis	
	compared with those at the margin of the sea (' Ef-	
	femeridi Astronomiche di Milano,' 1824, p. 28) .	4'39
1824.	Laplace, by the theory of attraction, supposing the	
	density of the crust to be equal to 3 (' Méc. Cél.'	
	liv. xi. ch. ii. No. 5, t. v.)	4.761
1842.	Baily, by the torsion balance with extreme precautions	
	(' M. A. S.' vol. xiv. p. 247) .	5.6604
1854.	Airy, by pendulum observations in Harton Coal-pit	1.00
	(' M. A. S.' vol. xxv. p. 170, and ' Ph. Tr.' 1856,	
	p. 342)	6.566
1856.	Haughton, by applying a special method to Airy's	
	observations ('Phil. Mag.' July 1856)	5.48
1856.	James, by the deviation of a leaden plummet at	
	Arthur's Seat (' Ph. Tr.' 1856, p. 591)	5.316
1873.	Cornu and Baille, by the torsion balance ('C. R.' t.	
	lxxvi. p. 954)	5.26
1889.	Wilsing, from the attraction exerted upon a pendulum	
	by two cylindrical masses of iron, each weighing	
	715 lbs. ('Publ. d. astroph. Obs. zu Potsdam,' vi. p.	
	I4I)	5.579
		and the second se

§ 191. Mass of the Earth.--The following are the values which have been assigned to the mass of the earth as compared with the mass of the sun. When not otherwise mentioned, the mass of the earth has been concluded from the known values of gravity and the parallax of the sun :--

C C 2

Laplace (' Méc. Cél.' lib. vi. ch. vi. No. 21, t. iii.)	1 329630
Plana, by the parallactic inequality of the moon	
('Théorie du Mouvement de la Lune,' Turin, 3	
vols. in 4to. t. iii. p. 20)	$\frac{1}{352359}$
Hansen, by the parallactic inequality of the moon	
('M. N.' vol. xxiv. p. 11)	$\frac{1}{319455}$
Leverrier, definitive value ('Annal. Obs. Paris,'	
Mém. t. xii. p. 9), earth and moon	1 294499
Tisserand, from a rediscussion of Leverrier's equations	041700
('C. R.' xcii. p. 658), earth and moon .	$\frac{1}{325700}$
	Laplace ('Méc. Cél.' lib. vi. ch. vi. No. 21, t. iii.) Plana, by the parallactic inequality of the moon ('Théorie du Mouvement de la Lune,' Turin, 3 vols. in 4to. t. iii. p. 20) Hansen, by the parallactic inequality of the moon ('M. N.' vol. xxiv. p. 11) Leverrier, definitive value ('Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. p. 9), earth and moon Tisserand, from a rediscussion of Leverrier's equations ('C. R.' xcii. p. 658), earth and moon .

THE MOON.

§ 192. The duration of the synodic revolution of the moon has been measured in very ancient times. About four centuries before our era, Eudoxus gave the value—

29^d 12^h 43^m 28^s

The equation of the centre was known to Hipparchus (Ptolemy, 'Math. Comp.' lib. v. cap. 7, B.C. 140), and the ancients had also detected the displacement of the perigee as well as that of the node (*ibid.* lib. iv. cap. 6 and 8).

The evection was discovered by Ptolemy about 130 A.D. (*ibid.*, lib. v. cap. 3), but the name was assigned by Boulliau in 1657.

Tycho Brahe first pointed out the variation and annual equation, and the effect of the latter was independently found by Kepler, though he erroneously attributed it to an irregularity in the rotation of the earth.

The equation due to the non-sphericity of the earth was first introduced into the tables by Mason in 1793.

The inclination of the orbit was known to the ancients very probably from an exceedingly remote period; its periodic change as well as the irregularity in the motion of the nodes were discovered by Tycho Brahe.

§ 193. Secular Variation.—Halley remarked in 1692 that, in order to harmonise the observations of the moon in different centuries, it was necessary to introduce into the

longitude a term depending upon the square of the time ('Ph. Tr.' No. 204). Laplace showed that an acceleration of this kind was due to the diminution of the eccentricity of the earth's orbit ('Mém. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1786, p. 235). Doubts have arisen as to whether the secular variation can be completely explained by the variation in the eccentricity of the earth's orbit.

			1	
A.D.		Of the Longitude.	Of the Perigee.	Of the Node.
1692.	Halley ('Ph. Tr.' No. 204) .	+ 10".2		
1771.	Lalande, by the eclipses of Ibn-Iounis	,		
	977 and 978 ('Astron,' 2nd ed. No.			
	1484, t. ii. p. 238)	. 9.9		
1787.	Laplace, by theory ('Mém. Ac. Sc.			
	Paris,' 1786, p. 235) .	. 11.1		
1802.	Laplace, by theory, combined with	ì		
	ancient eclipses (' Méc. Cél.' liv. vii	1.111-1.		
	ch. i. Nos. 15 and 16, t. iii.)	10.2	- 30.9	+7.6
1841.	Hansen, theoretically ('A. N.' No.			
	443)	10.6	36.2	6.8
1847.	Hansen, by theory (' A. N.' No. 597)	11.2	36.3	-
1853.	Adams, theoretically (' Ph. Tr.' 1853,			
	p. 397)	5.8	-11	_
1859.	Delaunay, theoretically ('C. R.' t.			
	xlviii. p. 825, t. xlix. pp. 311, 313)	6.1	39.499	6.8
1877.	Newcomb, from observations previous			
	to 1750 (' Wash. Obs.' 1875, app. ii.			
	p. 265)	8.8	-	- 1

§ 194. Periods of the Lunar Movements.—There are five distinct species of month, the durations of which are here expressed in mean solar days.

1. The average *sidereal* month (27.321661 days), or complete circuit of the heavens.

2. The average *lunation* common month or interval between two conjunctions with the sun (29.530589 days). This is also called the synodic month.

¹ For the tropical variation, the secular variation of the precession + 1".121 must be added.

3. The average *anomalistic* month, or revolution from perigee to perigee (27.544600 days).

4. The average *tropical* month, or from the vernal equinox to the vernal equinox again, the equinox being in retrograde motion (27.321582 days).

5. The average *nodical* month, or from a node to a node of the same kind (27.212222 days). This is sometimes called the Draconitic month.

The perigee performs-

One of the set of the st				Days.
One sidereal revolution in	•	•	•	3232.575
,, tropical ,,	•	•	•	3231.475
The node makes—				
One sidereal revolution in				Days. 6798.279
" tropical "				6793.414

§ 195. The Inclination of the Lunar Orbit to the Ecliptic. The following are some of the principal determinations of this :—

140.	Hipparchus		5°	o' o''
а.d. 1600.	Tycho Brahe ('Astr. Inst. Prog.' p. 028)		5	8 o
1770.	Tobias Mayer ('Tab. mot. Solis et Lunæ')		5	8 48 9
1820.	Damoiseau ('Tables de la Lune')		5	9 0.25
1857.	Hansen ('Tables de la Lune')		5	8 39.96
1867.	Delaunay ('C. d. T.' 1869, p. 3) .	•	5	8 41 . 7

§ 196. The Parallax of the Moon.

A.D.		
130.	Ptolemy, mean parallax at Syzygy ('Math. Comp.'	
	lib. v. cap. 13)	58' 42" .5
1600.	Tycho Brahe ('Astron. Instaur. Progymn.'pp. 115,	
	119)	60 51
1687.	Newton (' Principia')	57 30
1751.	Lalande, observations at Berlin compared with	
	those at Cape of Good Hope ('Astronomie,' 2nd	
	ed. No. 1699)	57 5
1837.	Olufsen, from the corresponding observations,	
	1751–1753 ('A. N.' 326)	57 2.64
1838.	Henderson, by observations at the Cape compared	
	with those in Europe ('M. A. S.' vol. x. p. 294)	57 1.8

A.D.			
1857.	Hansen (observations) (' Tables de la Lune,' 4to.).	56'	59".57
1859.	Airy, from Greenwich obs. 1811-1851 (' M. A. S.'		
	xxix. p. 20)	57	3.54
1866.	E. J. Stone, by the observations at the Cape and		
	Greenwich ('M. A. S.' vol. xxxiv. p. 16) .	57	2.707
1880.	Küstner, from nine occultations of the Pleiades,		
	1839-1876 (' Nova acta Acad. d. Naturforscher,'		
	Halle, vol. xli)	57	2.79

§ 197. Semidiameter of Moon.—The following are some of the angular values which have been assigned to the semidiameter of the moon when it is situated at its mean distance from the earth :—

A.D.

140.	Ptolemy ('Math. Comp.' lib. vi. cap. 5)	16'	40'
880.	Albategnius ('De Scientia Stellarum,' cap. 30) .	16	12.5
1602.	Tycho Brahe (' Astron. Instaur. Progymn.' p. 134)	15	25
1666.	Huyghens (' Hist. Ac. Sc. Paris, av. Renouv.' t. I).	15	42.5
1848.	Airy, by obs. of zenith dist. 1750-1830 ('Reduction		
	of Obs. of the Moon,' vol. i. p. lxii.)	15	39.91
1879.	Pritchard, by photography (' M. N.' xxxix. p. 449)	15	34.18
1880.	Küstner (see § 196)	15	32.85
1894.	L. Struve, from occultations during lunar eclipses,		
	1884 and 1888 ('A. N.' 3226)	15	32.65

§ 198. The Mass of the Moon.—The following values of the mass of the moon are referred to the sum of the masses of the earth and the moon as unity :—

A.D.		
1687.	Newton, by the tides of the river Avon (' Principia,'	T
	lib. iii. prop. 37)	39'708
1755.	D'Alembert, from precession and nutation ('Re-	
	cherches sur diff. Points du Syst. du Monde,' Paris,	
	1754-1756, t. ii. p. 182)	1 75
1795.	Delambre, from solar observations ('C. d. T.'an xv.	
	p. 366)	60'2
1795.	Laplace, by nutation (<i>ibid.</i>).	1
1828.	Bessel, from the lunar inequality ('A. N.' No.	
	133)	1
		50

A.D.		
1842.	C. A. F. Peters, by his constant of nutation	I
	('Numerus constans nutationis,' Petersburg, 4to.)	81'24
1858.	Leverrier, from nutation ('Annal. Obs. Paris,'	I
	Mém. t. iv. p. 103)	81.84
1867.	Newcomb, from the parallactic inequality of the	
	earth ('Astr. Obs. at U. S. Naval Observatory,'	I
	1865, app. ii.)	81.08
1868.	E. J. Stone, from precession and nutation ('M. N.'	I
	vol. xxviii. p. 43)	81'36
1891.	Harkness, from harmonic analysis of tides ('Solar	I
	Parallax,' p. 121)	78.65
189 5 .	Newcomb, from lunar inequality and constant of	I
	nutation	81'45

§ 199. The Lunar Equator.—The following are the determinations which have been made of the inclination of the moon's equator to the ecliptic :--

A.D.

1700.	J. D. Cassini, by his observations ('Mém. Ac.	
	Sc. Paris,' 1721, p. 108)	2°30′ 0″
1819.	Poisson, from the observations of Manilius made	
	by Nicollet ('C. d. T.' 1821, p. 223).	I 28 42
1821.	Nicollet, from his own observations and those of	
	Bouvard and Arago	I 28 45
1848,	Wichmann, from heliometer obs. ('A. N.' 631).	I 32 9
1880.	Hartwig, from heliometer obs. ('Best. d. phys.	
	Libration des Mondes,' p. 40)	1 36 34
1881.	Pritchard, from measures of photographs ('M. N.'	
	xli. p. 307)	I 32 58

§ 200. Libration. — As the spots on the surface of the moon are visible to the unaided eye, it is not difficult to perceive that the same side of the moon is always turned towards the earth. This was mentioned by Aristotle (B.C. $325\pm$). The telescope enabled Galileo in 1616 to announce the discovery of the moon's libration. Newton explained the cause of the libration ('Phil. Nat. Princip. Math.' 1687, lib. iii. prop. 1). Cassini deduced from his observations, at

the commencement of the eighteenth century, the two following laws (' Mém. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1721, p. 108) :--1. The rotation of the moon takes place about an axis which remains constantly parallel to itself and in a period equal to that of the revolution. 2. The three planes of the ecliptic, the orbit of the moon, and the equator of the moon, intersect in the same straight line. The ecliptic lies between the two other planes.

The theory of these laws has been given by Laplace, ('Méc. Cél.' liv. v. ch. 2, t. ii. 1799).

§ 201. Figure of the Moon.—Let a be the axis of the lunar equator directed towards the earth, b the axis of rotation, and c the third rectangular axis in the direction of the movement of translation. Let A, B, C be the moments of inertia relative to these axes. Then taking c as unity, we have for a the following values :—

A.D.

1761.	D'Alembert (' Opuscules Math.' t. ii. p. 313).	<i>a</i> = 1.00002678
1780.	Lagrange (' Mém. Ac. Berlin,' 1780) .	<i>a</i> = 1 .00002404
1799.	Laplace ('Méc. Cél.' liv. v. ch. 2, t. ii.) .	<i>a</i> = 1.00003304

From the discussion of perturbations, Hansen was led to the conclusion that the centre of gravity of the moon is more remote from us than would be inferred from its figure. Taking the distance from the earth to the centre of figure as 1, he has deduced that the distance to the centre of gravity is 1'0001544 ('M. A. S.' vol. xxiv. [1856], p. 31). The evidence in favour of this idea is, however, very slender.

§ 202. Moments of Inertia of the Moon.—From the movements of the node Laplace finds (loc. cit.)—

$$\frac{C-A}{C} = 0.00000.$$

From the coefficient of the annual inequality of the libration (*ibid.*)—

$$\frac{\mathbf{B}-\mathbf{A}}{\mathbf{C}}=0.00056.$$

Whence, taking A as unity, we conclude-

A = I; B = 1.00056, C = 1.00060.

The hypothesis of an homogeneous moon would give-

$$A = I$$
; $B = I'000029$, $C = I'000038$.

It would seem as if one or both extremities of the diameter a were laden with additional mass. Wichmann has found by the connection which theory indicates between the inclination of the equator of the moon and that of the orbit ('A. N.' No. 621, 1848)—

$$\frac{\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{A}}{\mathrm{A}}=0.000599,$$

and by the inequality of the libration in longitude and the inclination of the equator of the moon—

$$\frac{B - A}{C} = 0.000564.$$

These results confirm those of Laplace.

§ 203. Topography of the Moon.—The following are the principal maps which give the details of the surface of the moon as seen in a telescope :—

A.D.

- Beer and M\u00e4dler, 'Mappa Selenographica totam Lun\u00ex hemisph\u00exram visibilem complectens.' Berlin. Diameter, 0^m 95. 4 plates.
- 1842-74. Julius Schmidt, 'Charte der Gebirge des Mondes.' 25 plates. Berlin, 1878.

For identification of lunar objects the map in Webb's 'Celestial Objects for Common Telescopes,' 4th edition, 'London, 1881, is very useful.

For the study of the topography and appearance of the moon refer to—

A.D.

1791. Schröter, 'Selenotopographische Fragmente,' 1-2, Göttingen, 1791 1802. A.D.

- 1874. Nasmyth and Carpenter, 'The Moon considered as a Planet, a World, and a Satellite.' London, 8vo.
- 1876. Neison, 'The Moon and the Condition and Configuration of its Surface.' London, 8vo.

Schröter considered that he had detected changes in certain localities of the moon, especially in Hevelius and Mare Crisium. Schmidt noticed changes in Linné in 1865. For a discussion of the question, see Birt, 'On the Extent of Evidence which we possess Elucidatory of Change on the Moon's Surface' ('Report of the British Association,' 1868, p. 11).

For observations of curious phenomena which sometimes occur in occultations of fixed stars by the moon, see Airy, 'Memoirs of the Royal Astronomical Society,' vol. xxviii. 1860, p. 173.

§ 204. Brilliancy of the Moon.—The following are the determinations which have been made of the luminous intensity of the moon as compared with that of the sun :— A.D.

1725.	Bouguer, by the intervention of a candle ('Traité	
	d'Optique sur la Gradation de la Lumière,' Paris,	
	1760, p. 89)	1 300000
1829.	Wollaston, by the intervention of a candle ('Ph. Tr.'	
	1829, p. 27)	1 801072
1861.	G. P. Bond, by the intervention of a Bengal fire	
	('Memoirs of the American Academy,' new ser. vol.	
	viii. pp. 222–297)	1 470980
1861.	G. P. Bond, by the actinometric action on a photo-	
	graphic preparation (<i>ibid.</i>)	1 340000
1865.	Zöllner, with his photometer ('Photometrische Unter-	
	suchungen,' Leipzig, 8vo.)	1 618000

The following determinations have been given of the 'albedo' of the moon :---

Wollaston, 0.12. G. P. Bond, 0.071. Zöllner, 0.1736.

§ 205. Heat from the Moon.—Piazzi Smyth, in 1856, attempted to detect the heat radiated from the moon by

direct experiment on the Peak of Teneriffe. He found this to be equal to one-third of that of a candle placed at a distance of 4.75 mètres (' Proceedings of the Royal Institution,' vol. ii. p. 493). An extensive series of experiments have been made by Lord Rosse on the heat radiated from the moon, by the aid of the 3-feet Parsonstown telescope and thermopiles. About 86 per cent. of the heat received from the moon was found to be 'obscure heat,' i.e. heat absorbed by the lunar surface and then radiated, while the remainder is simply reflected (' On the Radiation of Heat from the Moon,' 'Ph. Tr.' 1873). Langley has since investigated the matter with a most sensitive instrument, the bolometer, in which the slightest change in the electrical resistance of a thin strip of metal disturbs a delicate galvanometer ('The Temperature of the Moon,' 'Mem. Nat. Ac.' vol. iv. Part 2, Washington, 1889). The actual temperature of the lunar surface seems to be very low, at most certainly not above o° C.

MARS.

§ 206. *Elements.*—The following are the elements of the planet Mars given by Leverrier for the epoch 1850, Jan. 1, mean noon at Paris ('Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. vi. 1861, pp. 309 and 310) :—

The time t is computed in Julian years of $365\frac{1}{4}$ days.

The eccentricity of the orbit of Mars is considerable; the following values have been obtained for the greatest equation of the centre :—

A.D		
1719.	Halley (' Tabulæ Astronomicæ') .	10° 40′ 2″
1811.	Von Lindenau ('Tabulæ Martis,'	
	Eisenberg, epoch 1800) .	10 41 33·I + 0"·3735t
1861.	Leverrier ('Annal. Obs. Paris,'	
	Mém. t. vi. p. 344, epoch 1850)	10 41 51.48+0.3947t

§ 207. Diameter of Mars.-The following are the values of the apparent diameter of Mars when situated at the mean distance of the earth from the sun. The ellipticity is, according to Kaiser, 117. Adams finds from the motion of the nodes of the satellites that the ellipticity must lie between $\frac{1}{176}$ and $\frac{1}{228}$ ('M. N.' xl. p. 12). Equatorial A.D. Diameter. Picard, with the micrometer ('Hist. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1672. t. vii. p. 330) . II"·4 . 1837. Bessel, by micrometric observations ('A. N.' No. 1135) 9.3278 Johnson, with the heliometer at Oxford ('Radcliffe 1852. Obs.' vol. xi. p. 292) . 8.992 1864. Kaiser, micrometric measures in 1862 and 1864 ('Ann. d. Sternwarte,' Leiden, iii. p. 234) 9.468 1865. Jul. Schmidt, by his micrometric measures ('A. N.' No. 1543) in 1845 9.436 In 1854 and 1856 . 9.734 Engelmann, by micrometric measures at the oppo-1873. sition of 1873 ('A. N.' No. 1966) 9.403 Hartwig, by heliometer measures ('Publ. d. Astr. 1879. Gesellsch.' xv. p. 77) . . 9'42I

§ 208. Rotation of Mars.—The rotation of Mars was first noticed by Huyghens in 1659: the following are the different determinations of its period :—

1666.	Jean Dom. Cassini ('Martis circa proprium	
	axem revolubilis observationes,' Bologna) .	24 ^b 40 ^m
1784.	W. Herschel, by 6 years of observation ('Ph.	
	Tr.' vol. lxxiv. p. 233)	24 37 278
1867.	Proctor, by the observations of Hooke in 1666,	
191	W. Herschel in 1783, and Dawes, 1856-1867	
	('M. N.' vol. xxviii. p. 39; xxix. p. 232).	24 37 : 2.735

398	Astronomy.	
A.D.		
1873.	Kaiser, by comparing Huyghens's observations	
	with modern ones (* Annalen der Sternwarte,'	a hormooteror
1872	Leiden, vol. 111. p. 80.)	24"37"22"591
10/3.	observations with his own in 1845-1872	
	('A. N.' No. 1965)	24 37 22.57
1885.	Eakhuyzen, from observations, 1659–1879	-+ 37 37
5	('Ann. d. Sternwarte,' Leiden, vii.)	24 37 22.66
1886.	Wislicenus, from observations, 1659-1881	
	('Bestimmung der Rot. des Planeten Mars,'	
	Leipzig, 1886)	24 37 22.655
e	900 Direction of the Anis of Detation of A	T.
3	209. Direction of the Axis of Rotation of A	lars.
1853.	Oudemans, from Bessel's measures, 1830, 1835,	
	1837 ('A. N.' 838), Equinox 1834.	
	Right asc. of North Pole	317°34'
- 2	Decl. ,, ,,	+ 50 5
1879.	Schlaparelli, from measures in 1877 and 1879 (° K.	
	Right asc of North Pole	218 7.8
	Decl.	+ 53 37.1
		. 55 57
Ş	210. Mass of Mars.	
1802.	Delambre, by the perturbations of the earth (see	
	Laplace, 'Méc. Cél.' liv. vi. ch. 16, t. iii.) .	1 2546320
1828.	Airy, in correcting the solar tables of Delambre	
	by the observations at Greenwich ('Ph. Tr.'	
	1828, p. 30)	$\frac{1}{3734602}$
1853.	Hansen and Oluisen, by the perturbations of the	1
+8+8	earth ('Tables du Solell,' p. I)	3200900
1050.	('Ann Obs Paris' Mém t iv n 102)	1
1876.	Leverrier, by the perturbations of Jupiter (<i>ibid</i> .	2994790
	t. xii. p. 9)	0819526
1876.	Powalky, by comparing the observations of the	2011020
	sun made at Dorpat, 1823-1829, with the	
	tables of Hansen and Olufsen ('A. N.' 2105).	2876000
1878.	Asaph Hall, by observations of the satellites	
	('Observations and Orbits of the Satellites of	
	Wars, with Data for Ephemerides in 1879,	I
	410. Washington, 1878, p. 37) .	3093500±3295

§ 211. Brilliancy of Mars.—In 1859 Seidel, with Steinheil's photometer, determined the brilliancy of Mars to be 2.97 times that of Vega. In 1865 Zöllner, with his photometer, determined the brightness of Mars at opposition to be $1 \div 6,994,000,000$ that of the sun. The albedo of Mars is 0.2672. This corresponds to a stellar magnitude -2.25. This is, according to G. Müller, -1.30at the distance unity ('Publ. d. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 369).

§ 212. Physical Condition of Mars.—The white spot at the pole of Mars was first noticed by Maraldi in 1716. Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' 1784, p. 273) pointed out that the white regions are not centred about the poles. The following values have been given for the eccentricity of the brilliant southern region :—

1783.	Sir W. Herschel .			8°	8′
1876.	Asaph Hall ('A. N.	' No. 2174)		5	II
1877.	Schiaparelli ('A. N.	' No. 2178)		6	9

The details of the surface of Mars, together with the nomenclature of its different parts, are given in a map by Proctor ('Chart of Mars, from 27 drawings by Mr. Dawes,' London, 1874). See another map by Green, from observations in 1877 ('M. A. S.' vol. xliv. pp. 123-140), and three elaborate memoirs by Schiaparelli ('R. Acad. dei Lincei,' Rome, 1878, 1881, 1886). Terby has, in his 'Aréographie,' Brussels, 1874, discussed all drawings made up to that date. A most complete account and discussion of all the drawings and observations of the topography of Mars is contained in Flammarion's book 'La Planète Mars,' Paris, 1892.

§ 213. Satellites of Mars.—The following are the elements of the satellites of Mars, as assigned by their discoverer, Asaph Hall ('Observations and Orbits of the Satellites of Mars,' Washington, 1878, pp. 24, 25; compare 'M. N.' xl. p. 281):—

Let a denote the semiaxis major of the orbit at distance unity.

- ,, μ ,, the mean daily motion.
- ,, J ,, the inclination of the plane of the orbit to the plane of the equator.
- " N ", the right ascension of the ascending node on the equator.
- ,, ω ,, angular distance of the node from the apse.

,, e ,, eccentricity.

", ", the angular distance of the satellite from the node at the epoch.

Thus we have for Deimos :---

Epoch 1877, August 28 °O Greenwich mean time. Period = 30^{h} 17^m 54^s '377 ± 0^s '0949 Log $\mu = 2.4550955$ $a = 32'' \cdot 3541 \pm 0'' \cdot 0118$ $J = 35^{\circ} \cdot 38' \cdot 7$ $N = 48^{\circ} \cdot 5' \cdot 7$ $\omega = 40^{\circ} \cdot 53' \cdot 6 \pm 5^{\circ} \cdot 4' \cdot 98$ $e = 0 \cdot 005741 \pm 0 \cdot 0004898$ $u = 357^{\circ} \cdot 30' \cdot 5$

PHOBOS.

Epoch 1877, August 28'0 Greenwich mean time. Period = 7^h 39^m 13^s·9376 ± 0^s·01382 Log μ = 3'0526147 α = 12''.'0531 ± 0''.'0142 J = 36° 47'.'1 N = 47° 13'.2 ω = 45° 30'.4 ± 2° 11'.'16 e = 0'032079 ± 0'001407 u = 285° 20'.2

THE MINOR PLANETS.

§ 214. Eccentricities.—There are now (1896) over 400 of these bodies known, and an ephemeris of those of which the orbit has been computed is published each year in the 'Berliner Astronomisches Jahrbuch.' They are distributed rather unequally with regard to distance; there are none at

such distances that their periods would be commensurable with the period of Jupiter, as pointed out by Kirkwood. Some of them are remarkable for the great eccentricity of their orbits, e.g. No. 132 Æthra, for which e=0.38, and about ten others have eccentricities exceeding 0.30. The majority of the minor planets have, however, but small eccentricities.

§ 215. Inclinations.—The majority of the minor planets have orbits not much inclined to the ecliptic; Pallas (2) has, however, an inclination of $34^{\circ} 43'$, and about ten others have inclinations greater than 20°

§ 216. General Features.—The mean distance of No. 149 Medusa is 2¹32, and of No. 153 Hilda is 3⁹44. Between these limits lie the distances of the other planets. Leverrier has found that the total mass of the planets between the mean distances of 2²20 and 3¹⁶ from the sun cannot attain a mass of more than one-fourth that of the earth ('C. R.' t. xxxvii. p. 797).

The minor planets are telescopic, but Heis records that Vesta has been seen with the unaided eye.

Stampfer, Argelander, and Littrow have determined the approximate diameters of the 70 first minor planets by supposing the albedo the same as that of the outer planets (Littrow, 'Wunder des Himmels,' Stuttgart, 1866, p. 1002). Barnard has determined the diameters of the four first discovered planets by micrometric measures ('M. N.' lvi. p. 58) :—

Ceres			485 miles
Pallas	 		304 ,,
Juno			118 ,,
Vesta			243 ,,

Taking a mean of the photometric magnitudes determined at Potsdam and at Harvard College, the albedos of these planets were found to be 0.67, 0.88, 1.67 and 2.77, that of Mars being 1.00.

JUPITER.

§ 217. *Elements.*—The following elements have been assigned by Leverrier in 1876 ('Ann. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. pp. 26–29).

Epoch 1850, January 1, mean noon Paris.

Mean longitude .	160°	1'	10":2	б+	156°	18'	7".21301
Longitude of perihelion	II	54	58.41	+	I	36	30.3211
Longitude of node .	9 8	56	17.0	+	I	0	36.617 <i>t</i>
Inclination	I	18	41.37	_	0	0	20.520t
Greatest equation of centre	5	31	45.32	+	0	I	8.752t

G. W. Hill finds the following elements for 1850 Jan. o, Greenwich M.T. ('Astr. Papers prep. for Amer. Ephemeris,' vol. iv. p. 558, Washington 1890).

Mean longitu	ıde		159°	56′	24".98 + 10925662".552t
Longitude of	perihelio	n	 II	54	31.67
Longitude of	node		98	56	19.79
Inclination			I	18	42.10
Eccentricity			0.0	5482	25511

In this result t denotes the number of Julian centuries of 36,525 days.

§218. Great Inequality of Jupiter.—The great inequality in the motion of Jupiter, which is due to the perturbing influence of Saturn, was first explained by Laplace, 1785 ('Méc. Cél.' liv. vi. ch. xii.) This inequality depends upon the close approximation which exists between five times the mean movement of Saturn and twice that of Jupiter.

The principal coefficient of this inequality in longitude, according to Leverrier, 1876 ('Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. p. 33), epoch 1850, is

> $1205'' \cdot 96 - 0'' \cdot 05536t + 0'' \cdot 00009577t^{2}$ $+ 0'' \cdot 00000000501t^{8}$

The period is 929 years (Laplace). The coefficient expresses the amplitude of the oscillation of the longitude on either

side of its mean value. The inequality was zero in the year 1790.

§ 219. Dimensions of Jupiter.—The following measures give the equatorial diameter of Jupiter referred to the mean distance between the sun and Jupiter. The ellipticity is also added :—

A.D.		Equatorial Diameter.	Ellipticity
1691.	Jean Dom. Cassini ('Hist. Ac. Sc. Paris		
	avant renouv.' t. ii. p. 130)		$\frac{1}{15}$
1719.	Pound, micrometrically (Newton, ' Princi-		
	pia,' 1726, lib. iii. phæn. 1 and prop. 19)	39".5	13'37
1799.	Laplace, by the movements of the nodes		
	and perijoves of the satellites (' Méc. Cél.'		T
	liv. iii. ch. 5, No. 43, t. 11) .		14'39
1833.	Bessel, by the heliometer (' Königsb. Beo-		I
	bacht.' Abth. xix. S. 102)	37.60	15'73
1836.	Damoiseau, by the theory of the satellites		
	('Tables écliptiques des Satcllites de		T
1.1	Jupiter,' Paris, 4to. introd. p. ii.)		13'492
1848.	Airy, Greenwich observations (' M. N.' vol.		I
	xiii. p. 22)		17'9
1850.	Johnson, micrometrically ('Radcliffe Obs.'		I
0	vol. xi. p. 293) .	37.380	15.6
1872.	Kaiser, double-image micrometer, 1856,		
	1857, 1862 ('Ann. d. Sternw.' Leiden,		I
1874 -	111. p. 248)	37.673	17'109
10/4-/	meter ('Radeliffe Obs.'vol. xxxiv. p. 240		
	xxxv, p. 216)	27.08	I
		57 50	1/92 I
1891.	Schur, hellometer, 1891 (* A. N.' 3073) .	37.428	15'54

§ 220. Rotation of Jupiter.—In 1620 Kepler inferred the rotation of Jupiter from the movements of the satellites. The rotation was first discovered by Jean Dominique Cassini in 1664. As we never see the surface of the planet, the accurate period cannot be determined, and the equatorial regions rotate somewhat faster than those in higher latitudes. The following are the determinations of the period of the sidereal rotation of Jupiter :---

A.D.				
1685.	Jean Dom. Cassini ('Hist. Ac. Sc. Paris			
	avant le renouv.' t. x. pp. i, 513, 707) .	9 ^h	55 ^m	50°
1781.	W. Herschel (' Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxi.)	9	55	40
1816.	Schröter ('Hermographische Fragmente,' Bd.			
	ii.)	9	55	33
1835.	Bessel ('Königsberg. Beobacht.' Abth. xx. S.			
	77, 78) · · · · ·	9	55	26.0
1879.	Pratt, by observations of a red spot ('M. N.'			
	xl. p. 156)	9	55	33.91
t881.	Marth, from obs. 1834-62 (' M. N.' xlii. p. 427)	9	55	34.47
1882.	,, white equat. spot (<i>ibid</i> .)	9	50	7.42
1885.	,, red spot in south temperate zone			-
	(' M. N.' xlv. p. 507)	9	55	38.99
	Marth, white equat. spot (<i>ibid</i> .)	9	50	9.84
1886.	,, red spot (<i>ibid</i> . xlvii. p. 43) .	9	55	40.63
	,, white spot (<i>ibid</i> .)	9	50	15.88

§ 221. Situation of the Axis of Rotation of Jupiter.—Let I represent the inclination of the plane of the equator of Jupiter to the plane of his orbit, and let N be the longitude of the node of this equator on the orbit; E is the epoch and t the number of years since the epoch.

A.D.

1693. Jean Dom. Cassini ('Les Hypothèses et les Tables des Satellites de Jupiter,' Paris, 4to.):—

I = 2° 55' N = 314_{2}^{10} E = 1693 1719. Bradley ('Ph. Tr.' No. 394) :---

 $N = 311\frac{10}{2}$ E = 1718

1805. Delambre, by the eclipses of the satellites with annual variations by Laplace.¹ (See 'Méc. Cél.' liv. viii. ch. 10, No. 28, t. iv.):-I = 3° 5′ 30″ + 0″ ·02279t
N = 313° 45′ 33″ - 0″ ·2676t E = 1750 ·0

¹ The motion of the node here indicated is the true precession of Jupiter. It is necessary to add for our method of computing longitudes the precession of the terrestrial equator.

A.D.

1836. Damoiseau, by the eclipses of the first satellite ('Tables des Satellites de Jupiter,' Paris, 4to. introd. p. 1):— $I = 3^{\circ} 4' 5'' N = 313^{\circ} 21' 55''$ $E = 1750^{\circ}0$

§ 222. Mass of Jupiter and his System.—The mass of the sun being taken as unity, the following values have been assigned to the mass of Jupiter :—

17.17.		
1687.	Newton, from the elongation of satellite iv. observed	
	by Pound (' Principia,' lib. iii. prop. 8)	1067
1802.	Laplace, from the same observation (' Méc. Cél.' liv.	
	vi ch 6 No. 21, t. jij.)	
1801	Roward by the perturbations of Saturn ('Tables as-	1007.09
1021.	Bouvard, by the perturbations of Saturn (Tables as-	I
	tronomiques, introd. p. 11)	1070'5
1825.	Nicolai, by the perturbations of Juno ('Berliner Jahr-	
	buch,' 1826, p. 226)	1053.924
1826.	Encke, by the perturbations of Vesta and Ceres (' Ab	
	handlungen der Akad. zu Berlin,' 1826, Math. Kl. S.	
	267)	1050'117
1837.	Airy, by the elongation of satellite iv. (' M. A. S.' vol.	
	x. p. 47)	1
1841.	Bessel, by the elongations of the four satellites ('C, R'	1040 //
	t viji p fol	1
	Müllen hatte neutralations of Family semest (6 Viewto)	1047.879
10/1.	isbreschrift der Actron Cosellschaft 'Bd wij p	
	Jamssennit der Astron. Gesensenant, Du. vin. p.	1
-	95)	1047'788
1873.	Krüger, by the perturbations of Thémis ('A. N.' No.	
	1941)	1047'538
1882.	Schur, from heliometer measures of elongations of	
	satellites ('Nova Acta d. Leopold-Carol. Akad.'	
	xlv. p. 176)	I 1047'222
1888.	Heardtl, from perturbations of Winnecke's comet	1047 230
	('A. N.' 2873)	I
1804.	Newcomb from perturbations of Polyhympia	1047'17
	(5 A N 2 2240)	I
	(1. 1. 3249)	1047'34

§ 223. Brilliancy of Jupiter.-The following determinations give the brightness of Jupiter as reduced to a mean opposition :---A.D. Sir John Herschel, Jupiter with respect to the mean full 1845. moon ('M. N.' vol. xxi. p. 199) • 1 6020 Seidel, with Steinheil's photometer ('Untersuchungen 1859. über die Lichtstärke der Planeten,' Munich, 4to.) :--Jupiter = $8.24 \times \text{Vega}$. G. P. Bond, comparing Jupiter with the full moon, ob-1861. tained the ratio 6430 and for Jupiter compared with the sun- $Jupiter = \frac{I}{3028350000} \times Sun$ ('Memoirs of the American Academy,' new ser. vol. viii. p. 222) Zöllner, with his photometer, found-1865. $Jupiter = \frac{I}{5472000000} \times Sun$ (' Photometrische Untersuchungen,' Leipzig, 4to.) From this last result the albedo of Jupiter is 0.6328. 1893. G. Müller found the stellar magnitude at distance unity = -2.412, and the albedo 2.79 times that of Mars (Zöllner's result gave 2:34 ; 'Publ. d. astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 369). §224. Physical Conditions of Jupiter.—The following works may be consulted on the appearance of the disc of Jupiter :--A.D. 1788-98. Schröter (' Beiträge zu den astron. Entdeckungen,' Bd. i. and ii. Berlin). Beer and Mädler (' Beiträge zur physischen Kenntniss der Him-1841. melskörper,' pp. 91-106). O. Lohse ('Beob. auf d. Sternwarte zu Bothkamp,' Heft ii. 1873. Leipzig).

1889. A. Stanley Williams ('Zenographical Fragments,' London 8vo.)
1890. N. E. Green (' M. A. S.' xlix. p. 259).

On the spectrum see Vogel, 'Untersuchungen über die Spectra der Planeten,' Leipzig, 1874, and Draper, 'M. N.' xl. p. 433.

406

•____

§ 225. Satellites of Jupiter.—The satellites I., III., IV. were discovered by Galileo on January 7, 1610. The satellite II. was first seen on the following day by Simon Mayer.

As to the brightness, the satellites are arranged in the following order by Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' 1797): III. much the most brilliant, IV., I., II. Zenger has determined the relative brightness of the four satellites by their appearance and disappearance in twilight. He has found the following values ('M. N.' vol. xxxviii. p. 67) :--

```
III.=1'000; II.=0'970; I.=0'961; IV.=0'820.
```

Engelmann ('Ueber die Helligkeitsverhältnisse der Jupiterstrabanten,' Leipzig 1871) and Pickering ('Annals Harv. Coll. Obs.' xi. p. 276) have determined the magnitudes photometrically :—

and the second second	I.	II.	III.	IV.
Engelmann	. 5.54	2 5.70	5.32	6.28
Pickering .	. 5.61	5.75	5.24	6.37

On January 12, 1610, five days after the discovery of the satellites, Galileo witnessed the first eclipse. In 1643 Fontana first saw the shadow of a satellite on the disc of Jupiter. In 1707 Maraldi first observed the transit of satellites across the disc.

It appears that the satellites, as well as stars, sometimes remain visible just after they have passed behind the limb of the planet (see Ranyard, 'M. N.' xliii. p. 427). The satellite 11. was seen to be eclipsed by 111. by Arnold on November 1, 1693. The satellite 1v. was similarly seen to be eclipsed by 111., by Luthmer of Hanover, October 30, 1822. On August 14, 1820, Flaugergues saw satellite. 111. occult a small star.

The fifth satellite was discovered by Barnard with the great Lick refractor on September 9, 1892. It is nearer to Jupiter than the larger moons, the period of revolution being

11^h $57^m 22^{\circ}$ 60. It can only be observed with very large instruments.

§ 226. *Elements of the Four Outer Satellites.*—The mean distances of the satellites are naturally expressed in terms of the equatorial radii of Jupiter. We have thus :—

		Satellite.				
		Ι.	II.	III.	IV.	
1612.	Galileo .	6	10	16	24	
1693.	Jean Dom. Cas- sini (' Les Hy- pothèses et les Tables des Satellites de Iuniter 'Paris					
1805.	4to Laplace (' Méc. Cél.' liv. viii.	5.667	9.017	14.384	25 ·299	
	ch. vii. No. 20, t. iv.) .	5.698491	9.066548	14.461893	25.43590	

§ 227. Synodic Revolutions.

1612. Galileo (' Opere,' xii. (1854), p. 9) :--d. h. m. s d. h. m. s. d. h. m. s. d. h. m. s. 1 18 30 0 3 13 30 0 7400 16800 1614. Simon Mayer ('Mundus Jovialis,' Nuremberg, 4to.) :--3 13 18 0 7 3 56 34 1 18 28 30 16 18 9 15 1693. Jean Dom. Cassini (' Les Hypothèses et les Tables des Satellites,' Paris, 4to.) :--1 18 28 37 3 13 17 54 16 18 5 8 7 3 59 39 1817. Delambre ('Tables' écliptiques des Satellites de Jupiter,' Paris, 4to.):-I 18 28 35.95 3 13 17 53.73 7 3 59 35.83 16 18 5 7.02 Bradley discovered in 1726 ('Ph. Tr.' No. 394) that-247 revolutions of I. require 437 days 3 hours 44 min. II. 123 ,, ,, 437 ,, 3 ,, 42 ,, 61 III. ,, ,, 437 ,, 3 ,, 36 ,,

409

This remarkable relation between the periods does not extend to the fourth satellite, for

26 revolutions of IV. require 435 days 14 hours 16 min.

If n', n'', n''' be the mean movements, either sidereal or synodic, of the satellites I., II., III., and if l', l'', l''' be their absolute longitude, sidereal or synodic, then Laplace has shown that the following relation is always fulfilled ('Méc. Cél.' liv. ii. No. 66, t. i.) :—

> 3n'' = 2n''' + n'l' + 2l''' - 3l'' = 180°

§ 228. Eccentricities and Inclinations of the Satellites.— The undisturbed orbits of the satellites 1. and 11. appear to have no appreciable eccentricity. The perturbation produced by the interference of the exterior satellites causes, however, a slight degree of eccentricity. The orbit of the satellite 1. may be considered to be coincident with the plane of Jupiter's equator. The following are the values of the inclinations of the orbits of the remaining satellites to the plane of Jupiter's equator, as well as their longitudes referred to the epoch 1750'0 (Damoiseau) :—

Satellite.	Inclination to the Equator of Ju- piter.	Longitude of Ascending Node 1750'0	Movement of Node in one Julian Year.
II.	I' 6"·2	101°.996	-12°.0918
III.	5' 3''·I	173° • 492	- 2°.568
IV.	24' 33"'I	278° · 301	- 0°.706

§ 229. Masses of the Satellites.—The masses of the four outer satellites, taking the mass of Jupiter as unity, are determined by the mutual perturbations which these attractions produce. The following values have been assigned :— ^{A.D.}

1766. Bailly ('Essai sur la Théor. des Sat. de Jupiter,' Paris, 1766, 4to.):--

I.	0.00004247	III.	0.00007624
II.	0'0000211	IV.	0.00002

а.d. 1805.	Laplace (' Méc Cél.' liv. viii. ch.	ix. t. iv.):
	I. 0.0000173281	III. 0.000088497
	II. 0.0000232355	IV. 0.000042659
1836.	De Damoiseau ('Tables écliptiq.	des Sat. de Jupiter,

L	•/ •		
I.	0.000016872	III.	0.000088437
II.	0.00002322696	IV.	0.0000424721

' introd.

§ 230. Perturbations of the Satellites.—The principal work on this subject is Laplace, 'Méc. Cél.' liv. viii. ch. 1-16. For an elementary account by the same author see 'Système du Monde,' liv. iv. chap. vi. The tables are :—

1817. Delambre ('Tables écliptiques des Satellites de Jupiter,' Paris, 4to.)

- 1836. De Damoiseau ('Tables écliptiques des Satellites de Jupiter,' Paris, 4to.)
- 1876. Todd ('A Continuation of De Damoiseau's Tables of the Satellites of Jupiter to the Year 1900.' Washington, 4to.)

§ 231. Rotation of Jupiter's Satellites.—It has been generally assumed, from the time of W. Herschel and Schröter, that the period of rotation of each of the four brighter satellites is equal to that of its revolution. The satellites I. and II. seem to vary in brightness in a regular manner during their revolutions, as if they keep the same face directed towards the planet, but the matter is more doubtful in the case of the two outermost satellites.

The following angular diameters for the mean distance of Jupiter have been determined :---

A.D.

1871.	Engelmann, by micrometric measures (see § 225) :				
	I. 1″•08	11. 0''91	111. 1 ^{‴•} 54	IV. 1″·28	
1879.	Pickering,	photometrically 0".87	(see § 225) :	0".65	
1891.	Michelson,	by interference o'' 94	methods (M. N 1".37	J. lv. p. 387) 1"'31	

A.D. 1894. Barnard, by micrometric measures (*ibid.*) :--I. II. III IV. 1".05 0".87 1".52 1".43

Barnard's results correspond to the following diameters in English miles :----

2452 ± 37 2045 ± 51	3558 ± 54	3345 ± 35
-----------------------------	---------------	---------------

SATURN.

§ 232. *Elements.*—The following are the elements of the planet Saturn (Leverrier, 'Ann. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. 1876, pp. A45 and A48) :—

Epoch 1850, Jan. 1. - Mean noon, Paris.

Mean longitude	14	52	28.30+(143° 30' 30"·3210)t
Longitude of perihelion.	90	6	56.7 +	(1° 57′ 21″·338)t
Greatest equation of centre	6	25	31.24 -	(0° 2' 21"·280)t
Longitude of ascending node	112	20	53.0 +	(0° 52' 19".594)t
Inclination , .	2	29	39.80 -	(0° 0' 14" •002)t

The unit of t is 100 Julian years.

G. W. Hill finds for 1850 Jan. o, Greenwich M.T. ('Astron. Papers prep. for Amer. Ephemeris,' iv. p. 558, Washington, 1890) :--

Mean longitude		14° 49	' 38"·09 + 4399621"·506t
Longitude of perihelion		90 6	41.37
Longitude of ascending no	ode .	112 20	49.05
Inclination		2 29	40.19
Eccentricity		0.056	06025

§ 233. Great Inequality of Saturn.—In 1625 Kepler had observed that the mean movements of Jupiter and Saturn no longer coincided with those given by Ptolemy. The great inequality which depends upon five times the mean motion of Saturn, minus twice that of Jupiter, was first calculated by Laplace (1785). The period of this inequality is 929 years. The following are the numerical values of the

coefficient of the great inequality of Saturn in longitude as computed by different authors :—

A.D.

- 1821. Alexis Bouvard, epoch 1800 ('Tables astron. Paris,' introd. p. iii.) :--

 $2872'' \cdot 649 - 0'' \cdot 080t + 0'' \cdot 00008t^2$

1834. Pontécoulant, epoch 1800 (' Théorie anal. Syst. Monde,' t. iii. p. 512) :--

 $2906'' \cdot 661 - 0'' \cdot 11411t + 0'' \cdot 00000052t^2$

1876. Leverrier, epoch 1850 ('Ann. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. p. A8) : 2988'' 95 - 0'' 13814t + 0'' 0002424t²

t denotes the number of Julian years since epoch.

The tables of Saturn are :---

- 1789. Delambre ('Tables de Jupiter et de Saturne,' Paris, 4to.)
- 1821. Alexis Bouvard ('Tables astronomiques, contenant les Tables de Jupiter, de Saturne et d'Uranus,' Paris, 4to.)
- 1876. Leverrier ('Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xii. pp. A9-A286).

§ 234. The following are the principal measures of the diameter of Saturn obtained since the introduction of the telescope. The numbers indicate the angle which the equatorial diameter of Saturn subtends when placed at a distance equal to the mean distance of Saturn from the sun. The ellipticity is also given :—

A.D.		Equatorial Diameter.	Ellipticity
1719.	Bradley ('Miscell. Works,' p. 350)	17".75	
1829.	W. Struve (' M. A. S.' vol. iii. p. 301) .	17".991	
1835.	Bessel ('A. N.' No. 275) .	17"*053	10,100
1848.	Main (' M. A. S.' vol. xviii. pp. 43, 46)	17''.50	1 9°227
1853.	Dawes (' M. N.' vol. xiii. p. 78).	•	11°988
1862.	Main, with heliometer ('Radcl. Obs.'		
	1862, p. 170)	16".88	1
A.D.		Equatorial Diameter.	Ellipticity
-------	--	-------------------------	-------------
1872.	Kaiser, with double-image micrometer		
	('Ann. d. Sternwarte,' Leiden, iii.		
	p. 264).	17 274	9'178
1881.	W. Mayer (' Mém. de la Soc. de Genève,'		
	xxvii. p. 223)	17.448	14'5
1889.	A. Hall ('Wash. Observ.' 1885, app. ii.)	17.72	

§ 235. *Rotation of Saturn.*—This element has been determined as follows, but the number of revolutions employed by Herschel was very small :—

n. D.		
1794.	Sir W. Herschel, from observations of a quin-	
	tuple band from November 11, 1793, to	
	January 16, 1794 ('Ph. Tr.' vol. lxxxiv. p.	
	(48) 10^{h} 16^{m} 0^{s}	4
1877.	Asaph Hall, by the observations of a brilliant	
	spot from December 7, 1876, to January 2,	
	1877 10^{h} 14^{m} $23^{s} \cdot 8 \pm 2^{s} \cdot 3$	0
1893.	Stanley Williams ('M. N.' liv. p. 313) :	
	Equator 10 ^h 12 ^m 45 ^s · 8 to 59 ^s ·	4
	Lat. $+ 17^{\circ}$ to 37° 10 14 29'I to 60'	7

§ 236. Mass of Saturn.—The mass of Saturn together with his system has been thus determined :—

A.D.		
1719.	Newton, by the elongations of Titan observed by	
	Pound (' Principia,' 3rd ed. lib. iii. prop. 8, cor. 1).	1 3021
1802.	Laplace, from Pound's observations and those of Jacq.	
	Cassini ('Méc. Cél.' lib. vi. ch. vi. No. 21, t. iii.) .	1
1821.	Bouvard, by the perturbations of Jupiter 'Tables	3339 40
	astron.' p. ii.)	$\frac{1}{3512}$
1834.	Bessel, by heliometer measures of the elongation of	
	Titan ('A. N.' No. 242)	I
1876.	Leverrier, by the perturbations of Jupiter ('Ann. Obs.	3501 0
	Paris,' Mém. t. xii, p. o)	I
-00-		3529.6
1885.	A. Hall, by elongations of Japetus (* Wash. Obs.' 1882,	
	app. i. p. 70)	1 3481'3

 A D. 1888. H. Struve, by elongations of Japetus and Titan ('Suppl. I. aux Obs. de Poulkova,' i. p. 118) . ¹/_{3498'0}
 1889. A. Hall, jun., from heliometer measures of Titan ('Trans. of Obs. of Yale College,' vol. i. p. 146) . ¹/_{3500'5}

§ 237. Brilliancy of Saturn.—In 1859 Seidel, by the aid of Steinheil's photometer, estimated the brilliancy of Saturn at 0.482 time that of Vega ('Untersuchungen über die Lichtstärke der Planeten,' Munich, 4to.)

Zöllner has found, by his polarisation photometer :---

Brilliancy of Saturn = $\frac{I}{I_{309800000000}}$ that of the Sun.

Albedo of Saturn = 0.4981 (' Photometrische Untersuchungen,' Leipzig, 1865, 4to. ; and ' A. N.' No. 1575).

G. Müller gives the stellar magnitude of Saturn as -2.586, and the albedo as 3.28 times that of Mars, Zöllner's result giving 1.87 ('Publ. d. astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 369). The spectrum of Saturn is very like that of Jupiter (see Huggins, 'Ph. Tr.' 1864, p. 243).

§ 238. Rings of Saturn.—The rings of Saturn were glimpsed in 1610 by Galileo, who, regarding the two ansæ as appendages to the body of the planet, called Saturn 'tricorpor' ('Opera,' Florence, 3 vols. 4to. vol. ii. p. 39). It was Huyghens, in 1656, who first really showed that the body of the planet was surrounded by a ring ('Systema Saturnium,' La Haye, 1659, 4to.)

The annular appendage which surrounds the globe of Saturn is divided into several concentric portions. The brilliant ring in the first place is subdivided into two portions by a circular line usually called after Cassini. This line has been studied by many astronomers. Sir W. Herschel has shown that it can be seen on both faces of the ring, and that it forms a permanent division ('Phil. Trans.' 1791 and 1792).

Other finer lines have been seen. The most conspicuous next to Cassini's line is that which bears the name of Encke.

Inside the bright ring is a fainter ring, often called the *dusky ring*. This was more or less perceived by previous astronomers, but G. P. Bond, on October 10, 1850, first clearly detected its real character ('M. N.' vol. xi. p. 20).

O. Struve denotes the part of the bright ring exterior to the line of Cassini by A; the part inside the line of Cassini is B, and the dusky ring is c. The following measures have been made of the diameters of the rings, it being supposed that Saturn is at its mean distance from the sun :—

Diameter.

A.D.	Exterior of A.	Cassini's Line.	Interior of B.	С.
------	----------------	-----------------	----------------	----

- 1719. Pound (Newton, 'Principia,' 3rd ed. lib. iii. prop. 8, p. 2) :-42" 30"
- 1719. Bradley (Rigaud, 'Bradley's Miscellaneous Works,' p. 350) :-41.25 28.10
- 1835. Bessel, with heliometer (' Königsb. Beob.' xvi. xvii. ; 'A. N.' 275) :-39'311
- 1851. W. C. Bond ('Gould's Astron. Journal,' vol. ii. p. 5):-39'35 35''22 26'26 23''57
- 1852. O. Struve ('Mém. des Astron. de Poulkowa,' t. i. pp. 350, 351): 40.12 35.52 34.53 25.29 23.57 21.22
- 1855.
 Main, double-image micrometer (' M. A. S.' xxv. 17) :-

 39.73
 36.19
 27.50
- 1856. De la Rue ('M. N.' vol. xvi. p. 43) :--
- 39.83 35.33 33.45 26.91 1872. Kaiser, double-image micrometer (' Ann. d. Sternw.' Leiden, iii. p. 264) :--
 - 39.471 34.227 27.859
- 1881. W. Mayer (' Mém. Soc. de Genève,' xxvii. p. 225) :--40·467 26·321 21·17

1889. A. Hall ('Wash. Observations,' 1885, app. ii.) :-40.45 34.95 34.11 25.75 20.52

When two numbers are indicated for the line of Cassini, they refer to the external and internal diameters of the

dark line. Where only one is given, the interior is understood.

The following measures of Saturn's system were made by Barnard with the 36-inch Lick refractor ('M. N.' January 1896, p. 171). The sun's mean distance is taken to be 92,879,000 miles :--

		TATICS.
Equatorial diameter of Saturn		76,470
Polar diameter of Saturn .		 69,770
Outer diameter of outer ring		172,310
Inner diameter of outer ring		150,560
Outer diameter of inner ring		146,020
Inner diameter of inner ring		110,200
Inner diameter of crape ring		88,190
Width of Cassini division .	•	2,270
Diameter of Titan	•	2,720

The eccentricity of the ring was pointed out by Gallet ('Journal des Savans,' 1684, p. 198). According to theory, the centre of gravity of each ring revolves around the centre of gravity of Saturn, in a period equal to that of the rotation of the ring (Laplace, 'Méc. Cél.' liv. iii. ch. vi. No. 46, t. ii. 1799).

The following are the determinations of the position of the plane of the ring with respect to the variable ecliptic :---

A.D.	Longitude of Ascending Node.	Inclination
1656.	Huyghens ('Syst. Sat.') :	
	152° 30'	$23\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$
1740.	Jacques Cassini (' Elém. d'Astron.'	p. 643) :
	168°	

417

A.D.	Longitude of Ascending Node. Inclination.
1776.	Lambert ('Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1778, S. 151) :
	167° 5' 31° 30'
1814.	Delambre, epoch 1715 ('Astron. théor. et prat.' ch. xxix. t.
	iii. p. 97) :
	166° 20′ 31° 56′ 13″
1835.	Bessel, epoch 1800 (' A. N.' No. 274) :
	166° 53' 8".9+46".462t 28° 10' 44".7-0".350t
1889.	A. Hall, epoch 1877.89 (loc. cit.) :
	28° 7′ 40''
1889.	H. Struve, epoch 1886.2 (' A. N.' 2945) :
	167° 53'6 28° 2'·0

239. Mass of Saturn's Ring.—Taking the mass of Saturn as unity, the following values have been determined for the mass of the ring :—

A.D.

1831. Bessel, by the secular inequalities of Titan, without taking account of the ellipticity of Saturn ('A. N.' No. 195).

1877. Tisserand, by the movement of the perisaturnium of Mimas, and taking account of the ellipticity of the planet ('C. R.' t. lxxxv. p. 698)

Sir W. Herschel considered, from the movements of certain 'points of light,' that the ring revolved around Saturn in 10^h 32^m 15^s·4 ('Phil. Trans.' 1790, p. 480). The recent spectroscopic researches of Keeler tend to prove that the inner parts of the ring nearest the planet rotate faster than the outer ones, in accordance with Kepler's third law ('Astrophys. Journal,' i. p. 416).

On the subject of the ring see-

1806. W. Herschel (' Phil. Trans.' xcvi. p. 455).

- 1853. O. Struve ('Mémoires présentés à l'Académie [de Pétersbourg] par les Astronomes de Poulkova,' t. i., Pétersbourg, 4to. p. 349).
- 1857. W. C. Bond, observations of the planet Saturn, forming part I of vol. ii. of the 'Annals of the Astronomical Observatory of Harvard College.'
- 1875. Trouvelot (' Silliman's Journal,' 3rd series, vol. xi. No. 66).

A.D.

The theory of the equilibrium of a ring like Saturn's has been treated by Laplace (1799) ('Méc. Cél.' book iii. ch. 6, t. ii.) Maxwell has discussed the case of a ring composed of discrete particles ('On the Stability of Saturn's Ring,' London, 1859. See 'M. N.' vol. xix. p. 297). See also Peirce on the Saturnian system, 'Memoirs of the National Academy at Washington,' vol. i. 1866, and Hirn, 'Mémoire sur les Conditions d'Equilibre et sur la Nature probable des Anneaux de Saturne,' Nancy, 1872, 4to., and Keeler's spectroscopic observations referred to above, which confirm Clerk Maxwell's conclusions.

§ 240. Satellites of Saturn.—Mimas was discovered by Sir W. Herschel on July 18, 1789. Its apparent magnitude as compared with stars is 12°8 according to Pickering's photometric measures ('Ann. Harvard Coll. Obs.' xi. p. 276). The inclination of the orbit to the plane of the ring is $1\frac{1}{2}^{\circ}$. The periodic time is o day 22 hours 37 minutes 5°26 seconds (H. Struve). The mass of Mimas is 11500000of that of Saturn ; the annual movement of the perisaturnium is $+371^{\circ}$, and that of the node -365° , according to H. Struve ('A. N.' 2983).

The diameter of Mimas is 0"'23 (Sir W. Herschel, 'Ph. Tr.' 1790).

Enceladus was discovered by Sir W. Herschel on September, 8, 1789. It is of the magnitude 12.3 (Pickering). The period of revolution is $1^d 8^h 53^m 6^{s} 92$ (H. Struve). The inclination to the plane of the ring is zero. The annual motion of the perisaturnium is 120° (H. Struve, 'A. N.' 2983), and the diameter is much less than 1' according to Sir W. Herschel ('Ph. Tr.' 1790).

Tethys.—Discovered by Jean Dominique Cassini on March 21, 1684 ('Nouvelle Découverte des deux Satellites de Saturne les plus proches,' Paris 1686, 4to. ; 'Hist. Ac. Sc. av. renouv.'t. i. p. 415, t. x. p. 694). The period is \mathbf{I}^{d} 21^h 18^m 26^s·09 (H. Struve), the mean elongation is 42''·609, the eccentricity is insensible, and inclination to the plane of the ring about 1°. The mass is $\frac{1}{767000}$ of that of Saturn. The annual motion of the perisaturnium is, according to H. Struve, 72° . Mean magnitude, according to Pickering, 11.4.

H. Struve has shown ('A. N.' 2984) that the conjunctions of Mimas and Tethys always oscillate about the point which is midway between the ascending nodes of their orbits on Saturn's equator. They can only be as much as 45° distant from this point, and complete the libration in about 68 years.

Dione.—Discovered on March 21, 1684, by Jean Dom. Cassini (loc. cit.) Magnitude according to Pickering, 11'5.

The period is $2^{d} 17^{h} 41^{m} 9^{s} 54$ and the mass $\frac{1}{528000}$ of that of Saturn (H. Struve). The orbit is in the plane of the ring.

Annual movement of the perisaturnium, according to H. Struve, is 25° to 30°. The diameter according to Schröter is 0''13, and according to W. Struve 0''.75 ('M. A. S.' vol. ii. p. 518). The conjunctions of Enceladus and Dione always coincide with the perisaturnium of Enceladus or oscillate about this point (H. Struve, 'A. N.' 2984).

Rhea.—Discovered on December 23, 1672, by Jean Dom. Cassini ('Découverte de deux nouvelles Planètes autour de Saturne,' Paris, 1673 ; 'Hist. Ac. Sc. av. renouv.' t. i. p. 159, t. x. p. 584.)

Magnitude according to Pickering, 10.8.

The period is 4^d 12^h 25^m 12^s.00.

The orbit may be considered to coincide with the plane of the ring.

Laplace has shown how the effect of the ellipticity of the planet acts to retain the interior satellites in the plane of its ring and of the equator ('Méc. Cél.' liv. viii. ch. xvii. No. 36, t. iv.)

The motion of the perisaturnium is about $10^{\circ}2$ per annum according to H. Struve. The diameter, according to Schröter, is 0''32.

Titan.—Discovered by Huyghens on March 25, 1655, with a 12-feet refractor ('Systema Saturnium,' La Haye, 1659, 4to.; 'Cosmotheoros,' La Haye, 1698, 4to. p. 69). This is the largest of the satellites, revolving in $15^d \ 22^h \ 41^m \ 24^{s_1}$. The magnitude is 9.4 (Pickering), and the diameter o''.35 (Safarik, 'A. N.' 3046).

The following elements are by H. Struve ('Suppl. I. aux Observations de Poulkova,' p. 100) for 1885.6+t:-

		Epoch	1885 Sept. 1.0 Gr. M. I.
Longitude		183°	34.'39
Long. of	perisa-		
turnium		272	$48 + 31' \cdot 3t + 22' \cdot 0 (\sin 2g - \sin 2g_0)$
Long. of n	ode on		
ecliptic		167	$45.92 + 0.774t + 37'.75 \cos(39^{\circ}43' + 27'.0t)$
Inclination	on		
ecliptic		27	$28\cdot32 - 0\cdot006t + 17\cdot53 \sin(39\ 43 + 27\cdot0t)$
Eccentricity	у.	0.0	$29073 + 0.000186 (\cos 2g_3 - 2g)$
Mean daily	motion	22°	5770116

where g is the distance of the perisaturnium from the ascending node of its orbit on that of Saturn, and g_o the same corresponding to t=0. Elements closely agreeing with these were found by A. Hall, jun., from heliometer observations in 1885-87 ('Trans. Obs. of Yale Coll.' i. p. 144).

The mass of Titan is $\frac{1}{4678}$ (H. Struve, *loc. cit.* p. 110).

Hyperion.—Discovered by G. P. Bond on September 16, 1848, and seen independently by Lassell on the 18th of the same month. Magnitude 13.7 (Pickering). The period is 21^d 6^h 38^m 30^s·51 (A. Hall, 'M. N.' xliv. p. 363). The retrograde motion of the perisaturnium, -19° o per annum, is most remarkable, and is caused by the fact that the mean motion of Hyperion is nearly $\frac{3}{4}$ of that of Titan. The two satellites only come into conjunction with each other at or near (within 18° of) the aposaturnium of Hyperion (Newcomb, 'Astron. Papers,' iii. p. 345, Washington, 1884).

Japetus .- Discovered by Jean Dom. Cassini, October 25,

1671 ('Découverte de deux nouvelles Planètes autour de Saturne,' Paris, 1673 ; 'Hist. Ac. Sc. av. renouv.' t. i. p. 150, t. x. p. 584). Magnitude, at its mean brightness, 11.8 (Pickering).

The period is 79^d 7^h 55^m 25^s . This is the only satellite which has an appreciable inclination to the ring-plane, about 10°. Elements by H. Struve ('Suppl. I. aux Obs. de Poulkova,' p. 87, St. Petersburg, 1888), referred to the equinox 1885.6+*t*.

Longitude	. 75° 26'.4
Longitude of perisaturnium	· 354 0 +7'·9/
Longitude of Node on ecliptic	. 142 12.4 - 1.48t
Inclination on ecliptic	. 18 28·3 - 0· 54 <i>t</i>
Eccentricity	. 0.02836 +0.000015 <i>t</i>
Mean daily motion .	• 4 [°] •537997

In 1673 J. Dom. Cassini remarked that in the eastern portion of its orbit this satellite remains invisible for about thirty days ('Hist. Ac. Sc. av. renouv.'t. i. p. 174). Jacques Cassini was convinced that the satellite always turns the same face to the planet ('Mém. Ac. Sc. Paris,' 1714, p. 370). Sir W. Herschel has confirmed this, and has found that the satellite changes its brightness through a range of three magnitudes in the course of a single revolution ('Phil. Trans.' 1792, p. 1). Pickering has confirmed the variability, but shows that the total loss of light is much less than formerly supposed.

If n_1 , n_2 , n_3 , n_4 be the mean movements of the four interior satellites, then Kirkwood has shown that the following relation is fulfilled ('The Observatory,' No. 7, p. 199; 'M. N.' vol. xxxviii. 1877, p. 64) :--

 $5n_1 - 10n_2 + n_3 + 4n_4 = 0.$

URANUS.

§ 241. *Elements.*—This planet was discovered by Sir W. Herschel on March 13, 1781 ('Ph. Tr.' vol. lxxi. p. 492). It

had been observed as a star by Tob. Mayer in 1756, and also by Le Monnier (twelve times), and Flamsteed (1690, 1712, and three times in 1715). t is the number of Julian years since the epoch.

1874. Newcomb ('Smithsonian Contributions to Knowledge,' Washing. 4to. vol. xix. pp. 81, 184, 219), epoch 1850, January o, mean time at Greenwich.

Mean longitude	$29^{\circ} 12' 43'' 73 + 15424'' 797t$
Longitude of perihelion .	. 170 38 48.7 + 53.1681
Greatest equation of the centre	· ·5 22 42·28 - 0·1090 <i>t</i>
Longitude of the ascending node	.73 14 37.6 + 18.5682t
Inclination	. 04620.92 + 0.0247t
Semiaxis major	. 19.19209
Eccentricity	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

1877. Leverrier ('Annal. Obs. Paris.' Mém. t. xiv. part i. pp. A67, A69), epoch 1850.00, mean time at Paris.

Mean longitude			•	2 9°	17'	50" .01 + 1	5475.11138t
Longitude of per	rihelion			170	50	7.1+	53°4582 <i>t</i>
Greatest equatio	n of the	e centre		5	19	26·I —	0 ·10 938 <i>t</i>
Longitude of asc	ending	node		73	13	54 4 +	18.0570 <i>t</i>
Inclination .				0	46	19.72+	0.01732 <i>t</i>
Semiaxis major	÷ .		۰.	19.1	4169		
Eccentricity				0.04	6340	96 - 0 ° 00000	002651 <i>t</i>

§ 242. Equatorial Diameter of Uranus at its Mean Distance from the Sun.

A D.			
1788.	W. Herschel (' Phil. Trans.' t. lxxviii. p. 378)		3"*906
1867.	Lassell and Marth ('M. A. S.' vol. xxxvi. p. 37)		3.268
1871.	Vogel (' Beob. zu Bothkamp,' i. p. 102) .		3.845
1872.	Kaiser, with double-image micrometer ('Ann.	d.	
	Sternwarte,' Leiden, iii. p. 270)		3.62
1883.	Schiaparelli ('A. N.' 2526)		3.911
1883.	Young ('A. N.' 2545)		4.273
1884.	Seeliger (' Sitzungsberichte d. Bayer. Acad.' 1884,	р.	
	275)	•	3.82

At the oppositions of 1842 and 1843 Mädler measured

the allipticity of the disc (1) Nos 160 10	a) and
the emplicity of the disc (1. 10. 105. 400, 49,	5/, anu
found $\frac{I}{10.47}$ and $\frac{I}{9.32}$. Schiaparelli's observation	ns give
I and Young's I.	
10'94, and 10 mg 13'07	
§ 243. Mass of Uranus.—The following are the pu	rincipal
determinations :	
A.D	
1789. Wurm, from observations of the exterior satellite and	
taking 8".8 for the parallax of the sun ('Berliner	
Jahrbuch,' 1792, p. 214)	$\frac{1}{16959}$
1802. Laplace, from the same observations ('Méc. Cél.'	
liv. vi. ch. vi. t. iii.)	$\frac{1}{19504}$
1821. Al. Bouvard, by the perturbations of Jupiter and	
Saturn ('Tables Astronomiques,' Paris. 4to. introd.	
p. ii.)	$\frac{1}{17918}$
1849. Adams, by the observations of Oberon of Sir W.	
Herschel and Lassell ('M. N.' vol. ix. p. 160)	21031
1871. Von Asten, by the observations of Lamont, Otto	
Struve, Lassell, Marth, on the two exterior satellites	
('Mem. Ac. Petersbourg,' 7 ^e ser. t. xviii. No. 5,	1
p. 21)	22020
satallitas mada with Lord Possoia talascopa (M N ?	
vol xxxy p 204) Mean	1
1875 Newcomb from his observations of the four satellites	24000
('Wash Obs' 1872 and i p 26)	1
1885. A. Hall, from observations of Oberon and Titania	22540
(<i>ibid.</i> 1881, app. j. p. 33)	1
······································	22682

§ 244. Rotation and Brilliancy of Uranus.—Several observers have perceived on the disc of Uranus exceedingly faint bands somewhat resembling the belts of Jupiter, but the rotation period is unknown, though possibly it is between 9 and 12 hours. Most of the observers assert that the bands are slightly inclined to the orbits of the satellites, though the situation of the plane of the equator of Uranus is presumed, from theory, to coincide with that in which the satellites move. Newcomb, from observations at Washington in 1874 and 1875, of the four satellites, finds for the position of the plane of their orbits on the ecliptic, epoch 1850 :---

Longitude of	ascending	node	•		165°•48 + 1°•40T
Inclination .	•				97° ·85 – 0'01 3T

That the inclination is expressed by an angle greater than 90° means that the satellites move in the direction from east to west.

T denotes the fraction of century since the epoch ('Astron. Obs. at Naval Obs.,' Washington, 1873, app. i. p. 41; compare A. Hall, 'Wash. Obs.' 1881, app. i. p. 32).

In 1865 Zöllner has determined the brilliancy with his photometer ('Photometrische Untersuchungen,' Leipzig, 4to.; 'A. N.' No. 1575), and finds—

 $\text{Uranus} = \frac{I}{8,486,000,000,000} \text{ of the sun.}$

The albedo of Uranus is 0.6406. G. Müller gives the albedo as 2.73 times that of Mars (Zöllner's result gives 2.40). See 'Publ. des astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 369.

The spectrum of Uranus has been examined in 1871 by Vogel ('A. N.' No. 1864), and in 1889 by Keeler ('A. N.' No. 2927).

§ 245. Satellites of Uranus.—Ariel.—This satellite was discovered by Lassell on October 24, 1851 ('M. N.' vol. xi. p. 248). Holden thinks that it was one of the satellites seen by Sir W. Herschel on March 27, 1794 ('M. N.' vol. xxxv. p. 20). Mean magnitude 16 (Newcomb). The following elements have been deduced by Newcomb, from the Washington observations in 1874-75 ('M. N.' vol. xxxvi. p. 208 ; 'A. N.' No. 2186) :—

Umbriel was discovered by Lassell on October 24, 1851 ('M. N.' vol. xi. p. 241). Holden thinks that Umbriel was

perhaps seen by Sir W. Herschel on January 18 and 20, 1790, and that it was almost certainly seen by him on April 17, 1801 ('M. N.' vol. xxxv. p. 19). Mean magnitude, according to Newcomb, 16–17.

The following elements have been given by Newcomb, 1875 (*loc. cit.*) :---

Periodic time			۰.		•	•	4 ^d ·144181
Radius of the	orbit (sup	posed ci	rcular) .	۰.		19":20

Titania.—Discovered by Sir W. Herschel on January 11, 1787 ('Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxvii. p. 125). Mean magnitude 14'25 (Pickering, 'Ann. of Harv. Coll. Obs.' xi. p. 276).

The following elements have been given by Newcomb (loc. cit.) :--

 Periodic time
 .
 .
 .
 .
 8ª '705897

 Radius of the orbit (supposed circular)
 .
 .
 .
 .
 31"'48

Oberon.—Discovered by Sir W. Herschel on January, 11, 1787. ('Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxvii. p. 125). Mean magnitude 14:4 (Pickering, *loc. cit.*)

The following elements have been given by Newcomb (loc. cit.) :---

Periodic time					134.463269
Radius of the	orbit	(supposed	circular)		42".10

NEPTUNE.

§ 246. *Elements.*—Alexis Bouvard, in 1821, pointed out that the movements of Uranus indicated the existence of some exterior planet ('Tables Astronomiques, contenant des Tables de Jupiter, de Saturne, et d'Uranus,' Paris, 4to. introd. p. xv.) Leverrier in 1846 computed that the planet which produced the disturbance must have the following elements ('C. d. T.' 1849, addit. pp. 1-254) :—

Semiaxis major .				36.154
Sidereal revolution .			1	217 .387
Mean longitude, January 1,	1847			318° 47'

Longitude of per	ihelion				284°	45'
Longitude of asc	ending	node			156°	0'
Inclination .					6°	0'
Eccentricity .					0'1	0761
Mass (sun being	unity)				1 9300	

Adams similarly deduced the following elements in 1846 ('M. A. S.' vol. xvi. pp. 427–460, 'Appendix to the Nautical Almanac,' 1851) :---

Semiaxis maj	or.	•	•		•	•	37.25
Sidereal revo	lution						227 ^y ·323
Mean longitu	de, Octol	er 6,	1846			· .	323° 2'
Longitude of	perihelior	1.					299° 11
Eccentricity	•	•					0.13063
Mass (sun be	ing unity)			•			I 6665'5

From the indications supplied by Leverrier, Galle detected the planet on September 23, 1846. It was subsequently found that the planet had been observed by Challis in August 1846 when searching for Adams' hypothetical planet, by Lamont in 1845 as a star, as also by Le François Lalande in 1795. The name Neptune was proposed for the new planet by the 'Bureau des Longitudes' of France. On the history of the discovery of Neptune, see Airy, 'M. N.' vol. vii. pp. 121–152, and 'M. A. S.' vol. xvi. p. 385, and Lindenau, 'Ergänzungsheft zu den Astr. Nachr.' 1849.

The observations of 1795 have enabled the actual orbit to be computed with a high degree of precision. The following is the most recent result. *t* represents the number of Julian years ($365^{2}5$ days) since the epoch 1850'00, mean time Paris (Leverrier, 1877, 'Annal. Obs. Paris,' Mém. t. xiv. part ii. pp. 42, 43) :—

Mean longitude		224° 22' 28".80 + 7015".80825t
T to the total tot		334 33 20 09 1913 090230
Longitude of perihelion .		45 59 43.1 + 5112075
Longitude of ascending not	le .	130 6 25·1 + 39·56306t
Inclination	· · ·	I 47 2.13 - 0.34570t
Semiaxis major		29.92788
Eccentricity		0.00896425 + 0.00000005672t

§ 247. Diameter, Brilliancy, Mass.—The following measures have been made of the diameter of Neptune when at the mean distance of the planet from the sun :—

1867. Lassell and Marth ('M. A. S.' vol. xxxvi. p. 37) 2"239
1872. Kaiser, double-image micrometer ('Ann. d. Sternwarte,' Leiden, iii. p. 273). 2"87

The period of the rotation of the planet has not yet been ascertained. Zöllner with his polarisation photometer has found for its brilliancy (' Photometrische Untersuchungen,' Leipzig, 1865, 4to.; 'A. N.' No. 1575)—

Neptune = $\frac{I}{79,620,000,000}$ of the sun ;

and the albedo is 0.4648. G. Müller finds the albedo 2.36 times that of Mars (Zöllner's result gives 1.74). See 'Publ. des astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' viii. p. 369.

Taking the mass of the sun as unity, we have for the mass of Neptune :---

A. D.		
1847.	O. Struve, from the satellite ('A. N.' No. 629) .	13856
1847.	Peirce, by the perturbations of Uranus ('A. N.' No.	
	637)	20000
1849.	Hind, from observations of the satellite by Lassell, W.	
	C. Bond, G. P. Bond, and O. Struve ('M. N.' vol.	
	ix. p. 203)	17900
1855.	Hind, from the observations of the satellite by Lassell at	
	Malta in 1852 ('M. N.' vol. xv. p. 47; 'A. N.' No.	
	921)	17135
1875.	Newcomb, from his own observations of the satellite	
	('Wash. Observ.' 1873, app. i. p. 63)	19380
1876.	Holden and Asaph Hall, by the observations of the	
	satellite at Washington in 1874-1876 ('A. N.' No.	
	2100)	$\frac{1}{18520}$
1885.	A. Hall, from his own observations of the satellite	
	('Wash. Obs.' 1881, App. ii. p. 26)	$\frac{1}{19092}$
1.00		122
0.0		11

§ 248. The Satellite of Neptune was suspected by Lassell on October 10, 1846 ('A. N.' No. 589), and announced by

him on July 7, 1847 ('A. N.' No. 611). Magnitude, according to Pickering, is 13'8 ('Ann. of Harv. Coll. Obs.' xi. p. 276).

The following elements of the satellite referred to the plane of the ecliptic have been deduced by Newcomb from the observations at Washington in 1873–74 ('Astron. Observ. at the Naval Observatory,' 1873, append. i. part ii. p. 62) :---

Periodic time (a	adopted)				. 5 ^d ·8769
Semiaxis major					. 16".275
Eccentricity					. 0.0088
Longitude of n	ode .				. 184° · 50 + 0.0140t
Inclination (dir	ection of 1	notion	retrogra	ade)	. 145°·12
Longitude of p	erineptune				. 184°
Mean longitude	e of epoch				. 285°·57

t is the number of years since epoch.

COMETS.

§ 249. Comets Determined by a Single Apparition.—A catalogue of orbits of the comets of which only a single observation has been recorded has frequently been compiled. The history of all the comets which appeared up to 1783 is given in Pingré's 'Cométographie,' 2 vols. Paris, 1783–84, 4to.; for Chinese observations of early comets see Biot, in 'C. d. T.' 1846, and Williams's 'Observations of Comets from B.C. 611 to A.D. 1640, extracted from the Chinese annals,' London 1871, 4to. The most complete list of cometary orbits is by Galle : 'Verzeichniss der Elemente der bisher berechneten Cometenbahnen,' Leipzig, 1894, 8vo. The following are the periodic comets which are recognised as permanently belonging to the solar system.

§ 250. Halley's Comet.—Some account of this celebrated comet has been given in § 122. The following are the elements which have been determined from the observations at the successive appearances recorded since the year B.C. 11. The comet moves in the retrograde direction :—

Perihelio	n Passage,	Mean Time Paris	Longitude of Perihelion	Longitude of Ascending Node	Inclination	Perihelion Distance	Eccentricity	Calculator
B.C. II.	Oct.	8.80	280°	28°	IO ⁰	0.58	~	Ilind
66.	Jan.	14.2	325 o'	32 40'	40 30'	0.445	<u>~</u>	Hind
141.	March	1.62	251 55	12 50	17 0	0.72	<u>~</u>	Hind
989.	Sept.	0.71	264	84	17	0.5683	۰.	Burckhardt
1066.	April	0.I	264 55	25 50	17 0	24.0	C .	Hind
1301.	Oct.	24.0	312	138	13	6.04	<u>~</u> .	Laugier
1378.	Nov.	8-77	299 31	47 17	17 56	0.5835	۰.	I augier
1456.	June	8.20875	298 56 47"	43 46 4"	17 37 17"	0.58027	۰.	Celoria
1531.	Aug.	664.52	301 12	45 30	17 0	0.57994	16£496.0	Halley
1607.	Oct.	27.0148	300 46 59	48 14 9	17 6 17	0.58419	0.9670888	Lehmann
1682.	Sept.	14-80155	301 55 37	51 11 18	17 44 45	0.5828943	61026496.0	Rosenberger
1759.	March	12.55827	303 10 28	53 50 27	17 36 52	0.5845193	0.96768436	Rosenbergei
1835.	Nov.	15.94539	304 31 32	55 9 59	17 45 5	0.5865695	1606£296.0	Westphalen

On the ancient appearances of Halley's comet, see Halley, 'Phil. Trans.' 1705; Biot, 'C. d. T.' 1846, p. 69; Laugier, 'C. R.' t. xxiii. p. 183; Hind, 'M. N.'vol. x p. 51, and Celoria, 'A. N.' 2645.

The next perihelion passage will take place about 1911.

§ 251. Tuttle's Comet.—Discovered on January 4, 1858, by Tuttle, at Cambridge near Boston, U.S.A. ('A. N.' No. 1125). The identity with the second comet of 1790 was announced by Pape ('A. N.' No. 1125). Period 13.7 years. The comet returned in 1871, according to prediction, and again in 1885, when it passed the perihelium on September 11 (orbit by Rahts, 'A. N.' 2700).

§ 252. Faye's Comet.—Discovered by Faye at Paris on November 22, 1843 ('C. R.' t. xvii. p. 1248). It was noticed very soon that the observations could only be represented by an elliptic orbit. The comet has been seen five times since that epoch. Alex. Möller has made this comet the subject of special researches ('A. N.' 1259, 1295, 1358, 1522, &c). The perihelion passages occurred in 1843, October 17; 1851, April 1; 1858, September 12; 1866, February 14; 1873, July 18; 1881, January 22; and 1896, March 19. The comet has been observed at all these returns.

§ 253. Biela's Comet.—This comet was seen in 1772 and in 1805-6, before its periodicity was recognised. It was again discovered by Biela on February 27, 1826. Gambart pointed out the identity of this comet with those of 1772 and 1806 ('A. N.' No. 95). This comet was perceived to be double by Herrich and Bradley on December 29, 1845, and separate orbits were computed for the two portions. The following elements have been computed for the successive appearances. The first line in the orbits of 1846 and 1852 refers to the preceding portion, and the second line to the following portion :—

Calculator	Hubbard	Hubbard	Hubbard	Baranowski	Hubbard	nipoontr	Hubbard	niponiti
Eccentricity	0.724510	0.7457068	0.7466012	0.7514480	2299952.6	0.7566060	0.7559217	0.7558660
Perihelion Distance	0.98603	<i>LLoLo6.0</i>	0.9024190	0.8790850	0.8564465	0.8564649	0.8606016	0.8606184
Inclination	17° 3' 8''	I3 36 34	I3 33 54	13 13 31	I2 34 53	12 34 55	12 33 16	12 33 19
Longitude of the Ascend- ing Node	257° 15' 38"	251 16 19	251 27 19	248 I5 I8	245 54 I5	245 54 17	245 51 26	245 51 28
Longitude of Perihelion	110° 18' 35''	109 28 25	109 48 47	110 0 25	I09 2 53	109 2 54	71 8 901	109 8 16
Acan Time at	16.66180	96226. I	18.45311	26.12337	99666.01	11.08264	r 23.73388	91290.22
lion Passage, 1 Paris	February	January	March	November	February	"	September	"
Perihe	A.D. 1772.	1806.	1826.	1832.	1846	otor	1810	

This comet was expected to return in 1859 (when it was very inconveniently situated), in 1866, in 1872, in 1885, and in 1892, but it was not seen on any of these occasions. Two memorable showers of shooting stars which occurred on November 27, 1872 and 1885, were apparently connected with this comet. See ante, § 124. According to the elements by Michez, Biela's comet should have passed the node on September 6, 1872, or 82 days before the shower appeared in that year, and again on January 26, 1886, or 60 days later than the shower of 1885. The comet should have returned to perihelion in 1892, but in that year no meteors were seen on November 27, while many were seen on the 23rd from the same radiant. This change of the node seems to have been caused by the action of Jupiter ('A. N.' 3154 and 3172).

§254. D'Arrest's Comet.—Discovered by D'Arrest at Leipzig, June 27, 1851 ('A. N.' No. 764). The orbit having been calculated by the same astronomer, its periodicity was detected. The comet reappeared in 1857, in 1870, in 1877 and in 1890. The period is 6'7 years.

§255. Winnecke's Comet.—Discovered by Winnecke at Bonn, March 8, 1858. The discoverer detected the resemblance of the elements to those of the comet of July 1819, and showed that the orbit is elliptic ('A. N.' No. 1133). This comet was seen in 1869, in 1875, 1886 and 1892. The period is 5'8 years.

§ 256. Brorsen's Comet.—Discovered by Brorsen at Kiel on February 26, 1846 ('A. N.' No. 555). The comet has been seen in 1857, 1868, 1873, 1879. The period is 5 46 years.

§ 257. Encke's Comet.—This comet had been observed and a parabolic orbit calculated in 1786, 1795, and 1805, before its periodicity was recognised. It had been discovered respectively on these occasions by Méchain, Caroline Herschel, and Alexis Bouvard. In 1818 Pons discovered it at Marseilles on November 26; Encke detected its periodicity in 1819 ('Correspondance Astronomique,' &c., F. de

433

1

Zach, t. ii. p. 207). Since then the comet has been observed at numerous returns to perihelion, the last occasion being in 1894-95. In 1831 Encke thought ('A. N.' No. 211), by comparing the calculated positions with the observed positions, that the return to perihelion was continually retarded by some cause which he and Olbers attributed to a resisting medium (see also 'A. N.' No. 305). Backlund, who has devoted an immense amount of time and labour to researches on this comet, has found that the hypothesis of a resisting medium the density of which is inversely proportional to the square of the distance from the sun is not compatible with the motion of the comet. The density, in fact, cannot be proportional to any power, positive or negative, of the distance from the sun. The resistance must therefore be caused by a meteoric swarm, which the comet encounters at a certain point of its orbit. See 'Bulletin Astronomique,' vol. xi. p. 484.

§ 258. Other Periodic Comets.—The following have been observed more than once :—

Tempel's (second), period 5.2 years, observed in 1873, 1878, and 1894.

Tempel's (third), period 5.5 years, observed in 1869, 1880, and 1891.

Tempel's (first), period 6.5 years, observed in 1867, 1873, 1879. Not found in 1885 and in 1892, when it was, however, unfavourably situated.

Finlay's, period 6.6 years, observed in 1886 and 1893.

Wolf's, period 6.8 years, observed in 1884 and 1891.

Pons', period 71.4 years, observed in 1812 and 1884.

Olbers', period 72.6 years, observed in 1815 and 1887.

All these comets, with the exception of Halley's, move in the 'direct' way, from west to east.

§ 259. *Physical Theory of Comets.*—The following works may be consulted :—

A.D.

1862. G. P. Bond, 'Account of the Great Comet of 1858,' in the 'Annals of the Harvard College Observatory,' vol. iii,

- 1872. Zenker, 'Ueber die physikalischen Verhältnisse und die Entwickelung der Cometen' ('A. N.' Nos. 1890-1893).
- 1872-1876. Zöllner, 'Ueber die physische Beschaffenheit der Cometen' ('A. N.' Nos. 2057-2060, 2082-2086), and 'Ueber die Natur der Cometen,' Leipzig, 1872.
- 1893. Schaeberle, 'A Mechanical Theory of Comets' ('Astron. Journal,' No. 306).

With reference to the theory of the production of the tails of comets see—

A.D.

• ...

- 1812. Olbers, 'Ueber den Schweif des grossen Cometen von 1811' ('Monatl. Correspondenz,' vol. xxv.).
- 1836. Bessel, 'Beobachtungen über die physische Beschaffenheit des Halley'schen Kometen' ('A. N.' Nos 300-302).
- 1847. Sir John Herschel ('Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope,' London, 4to. pp. 393-413).
- 1869. Tait (' Proceedings of the Royal Soc. of Edin.' vol. viii. p. 553).

See also a number of papers by Bredichin in the 'Annales de l'Observatoire de Moscou,' on the three types of tails.

The first comet examined by the spectroscope was that of 1864, to which Donati for the first time applied that mode of inquiry ('A. N.' No. 1488) ; see also Huggins ('Proceedings of the Royal Society of London,' vol. xv. p. 5). The spectroscopic observations of comets, up to the year 1893, are collated and discussed in Scheiner's 'Astronomical Spectroscopy,' translated and enlarged by E. B. Frost, Boston, 1894, pp. 207–222.

METEORS.

§ 260. Showers of Stars.—The periodicity of certain showers of shooting stars, especially that of the Leonides on November 12-14, was pointed out by Arago in 1835, in the 'Annuaire du Bureau de Longitude' for 1836, p. 296. The periodicity of the August shower, known as the Perseids,

was announced by Quetelet in 1837, and the first attempt to determine their orbit was made by Erman ('A. N.' 385). On the general theory of shooting stars see Schiaparelli, 'Entwurf einer astronomischen Theorie der Sternschnuppen,' Stettin, 8vo. This is a German translation by G. von Boguslawski of several important works by Schiaparelli.

§ 261. The Leonides.—In 1863 H. A. Newton pointed out that the meteors which now appear about November 13 formerly appeared earlier, so that their node must have a direct motion on the ecliptic ('Amer. Journ. of Science,' 2nd ser. xxxvi. and xxxviii). In 1866 Schiaparelli determined the orbit of the Perseids and showed that they move in the same orbit as the comet 1862 III. ('Bulletino meteorol. del Coll. Romano,' August 1866 to February 1867). Shortly afterwards Adams proved, by a remarkable investigation of the secular variation of the node of the Leonides caused by the great planets, that only a period of revolution of 33¹/₄ years could be reconciled with the motion of the node found by Newton ('M. N.' xxvii. p. 247).

The elements of the Leonides found by Adams and those of the first comet of 1866 (by Oppolzer) are :--

		Leonides.	Comet 1866 I.
Long. of perihelion		58° 19'	60° 28'
,, asc. node		231 28	231 26
Inclination .		16 46	17 18
Perihelion distance		0.9855	0.9765
Eccentricity .		0.9047	0.9054
Period .		33.25 years	33.18 years
Direction of motion		retrograde	retrograde

§ 262. Radiant Points.—On the identity of the orbits of star showers with the orbits of comets see Alexander Herschel, 'Rep. Brit. Ass.' 1875, p. 232, and 'M. N.' xxxvi. p. 220, xxxviii. p. 233 (compare a paper by Monck in 'Astronomy and Astrophysics,' 1892, p. 274). For a general catalogue of the positions of 918 radiant points determined by Denning, see 'M. N.' l. p. 410.

	D. CA	Radiant			
Name of Shower	pearance	Right Asc.	North Dec.	Remarks	
Quarantids Lyraids	Jan. 2-3 April 19-20	228° 272	+ 52° 35	Maximum 1863-66 Comet I. 1861. Meteors yellow and white, slightly trained	
Perseids	Aug. 9-11	44	56	Great shower, comet III. 1862	
Orionids	Oct. 19-25	90	15	A well - marked shower	
Leonides	Nov. 12–14	149	23	The greatest shower, comet I. 1866. Period 33 ¹ years	
Andromedes	,, 27-29	25	46	Great shower Nov. 27, 1872. Biela's Comet	
Geminids	Dec. 9–12	105	32	Trained meteors	

The following short list contains some of the principal showers :---

THE SOLAR SYSTEM AS A WHOLE.

§ 263. The Invariable Plane.—The existence of the invariable plane was first indicated by Laplace in 1796, 'Système du Monde,' liv. iv. ch. iii. The following determinations of the position of this plane have been made :—

1802.	Laplace ('Méc. Cél.' liv. vi. ch. xvii. No. 46, t. iii.) on the
	fixed ecliptic of 1750.
	Longitude of ascending node 102° 57′ 30″
	Inclination I 35 31
1834.	Pontécoulant (' Théorie anal. Syst. Monde,' t. iii. p. 529) on the
	fixed ecliptic of 1800.
	Longitude of ascending node 103° 8' 45"
	Inclination
1873.	Stockwell ('Smithsonian Contributions to Knowledge,' vol.
	xviii. p. 166) on the fixed ecliptic of 1850.
	Longitude of ascending node 106° 14' 6".00
	Inclination

The last calculation is founded on more recent values of the masses of the planets. Account is also taken of the influence of Neptune, which was unknown to Laplace and Pontécoulant.

§ 264. Motion of the Solar System through Space.—The movement of the solar system, discovered by Sir W. Herschel (and independently by Prévost), is directed towards a point of the celestial sphere, the position of which has been variously determined as follows :—

Right Ascension. Declination. A.D. Sir W. Herschel, from 27 proper motions given by Lalande 1783. (' Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxiii. p. 247) 260° 34'.5 + 26° 17' Argelander, from 392 stars (' A. N.' No. 363). Equin. 1792.5. 1839. 259 47.6 32 29.5. Otto Struve, from 392 stars of Argelander ('A. N.' No. 485). 1844. Equin. 1792.5 261 23'1 37 35.7 Mädler, from 2,163 stars ('Beobach. der Sternw. zu Dorpat,' 1856. Bd. xiv.) Equin. 1800.0 261 31.8 39 53.9 1860. Airy, from 113 stars with large proper motions ('M. A. S.' vol. xxviii. p. 161). Equin. 1840.0 261 29 24 44 Dunkin, from 1,167 stars (' M. A. S.' vol. xxxii. p. 36). Equin. 1864. 1846.0 263 43.9 24 0.5 1877. L. de Ball, from 80 southern stars (' Monatsberichte der preuss. Akad. Berlin.' Bd. xliv.) Equin. 1860.0 269 33 23 II L. Struve, from 2,509 stars observed by Bradley and at Pulkowa 1887. (' Mém. Acad. St.-Pétersb.' t. xxxv. No. 3). Equin. 1800 273 21 27 19 Boss, from 279 stars in the zone $+0^{\circ}50'$ to $+5^{\circ}10'$ ('Astr. 1890. Journal,' No. 213) 283°.3 44° · I 1890. Stumpe, from 1,054 stars (' A. N.' 3000). Equin. 1855. I. Stars with p.m. 0".16-0".32 287°.4 +420.0 II. 0 .32-0 .64 279 .7 40 .5 ,, 12 0 .64-1 .28 287 .9 III. 32 'I 22 ,, above I .28 IV. 285 .2 30 .4 >> >>

FIXED STARS.

§ 265. Star Catalogues.—An historical account of all the star catalogues published before 1877 is given by E. B. Knobel, 'The Chronology of Star Catalogues,' ('M. A. S.' xliii.). In the following list will be found the titles of some of the principal catalogues of stars. The stars are arranged in order of right ascension.

- A.D.
- 1888. Bradley, 'Catalogue of 3,268 stars for the epoch 1755,' contained in 'Neue Reduction der Bradleyschen Beobachtungen von A. Auwers.' Berlin, 1888, vol. ii.
- 1814. Piazzi, 'Præcipuarum stellarum inerrantium Positiones Mediæ.' Palermo, 1814 (7,641 stars for 1800).
- 1852. Struve, 'Stellarum fixarum imprimis duplicium Positiones Mediæ pro epocha 1830.' Petropoli, 1852.
- 1849-78. Airy, five different catalogues ('Greenwich Observations for 1847, 1854, 1862, 1868, 1876,' app.)
- 1881. Stone, 'Catalogue of 12,441 stars for the epoch 1880, from observations made at the R. Observatory, Cape of Good Hope.' London, 1881.
- 1886. Gould, 'The Argentine General Catalogue' (32,448 star places). Cordoba, 1886.
- 1889. Christie, 'Ten-year Catalogue of 4,059 stars for 1880' ('Greenwich Observations for 1887,' Appendix).

One of the most useful works that can be found in an observatory is the 'Durchmusterung' of Argelander, forming vols. iii., iv., and v. of the 'Astronomische Beobachtungen auf der Sternwarte zu Bonn.' This includes approximate places of all stars between the pole and 2° of south declination, down to the 9'5th magnitude. The value of this catalogue is greatly enhanced by the circumstance that it is accompanied by a series of maps, on which all the stars of the catalogue are depicted. Accurate positions of these stars down to the 9'0 magnitude have been determined at a number of observatories, and are now being published in a series of catalogues by the 'Astronomische Gesellschaft.' Schönfeld has continued the 'Durchmusterung' to 23° south declination ('Bonner Beobachtungen,' vol. viii.), and Thome at Cordoba has made a further similar survey from -22° to -42° declin. ('Resultados del Observatorio Nacional Argentino,' vols. xvi.-xvii.).

The stars visible to the naked eye from the pole to -26° are shown in many maps. See, for example, Argelander, 'Uranometria Nova,' Berlin, 1843, 17 plates.

§ 266. Magnitudes of the Stars.—The division of stars visible to the naked eye into six magnitudes is as old as Ptolemy. Galileo continued the nomenclature to telescopic stars. The relation between the brilliancy of a star of a certain magnitude and that of the magnitude immediately preceding has been determined as follows :—

A. D.

1836.	Steinheil, by	photometric obs rvations of 30 stars of	
	magnitude	1 to 5 ('Elemente der Helligkeitsmes-	
	sungen,' M	unich, 4to. p. 27)	0.323

^{1865.} Zöllner, with his polarisation photometer, from 293 stars of magnitude I to 6 ('Photometrische Untersuchungen,' Leipzig, 8vo.). . . . 0.363

1869. Rosén, by 110 stars of magnitude 5.0 to 9.5, by the aid of Zöllner's photometer ('Bulletin de l'Acad. des Sc. St. Pétersbourg,' t. xiv. p. 95) . 0.398

1878. C. S. Peirce, with Zöllner's photometer ('Ann. Harv. Coll. Obs.' ix. p. 16) :--

 $\log \rho = 0.486 - 0.0162m$.

The light-ratio between successive magnitudes now generally adopted is that of Pogson, 2.512, or the number the logarithm of which is 0.4000. The reciprocal of this is 0.3981.

§ 267. Measurement of the Brightness of Stars.—Wollaston in 1829 sought to determine the relative brilliancy of Sirius and the sun, by using the flame of a candle as a medium of comparison ('Phil. Trans.' vol. cxix. p. 28). He finds—

 $\operatorname{Sirius} = \frac{I}{20,000,000} \times \operatorname{Sun.}$

Steinheil in 1836, using the brightness of the moon as a means of comparison, finds—

$$\text{Sirius} = \frac{I}{3,840,000,000} \times \text{Sun},$$

Arcturus
$$=$$
 $\frac{1}{6,008,000,000}$ × Sun.

In a similar manner G. P. Bond has found in 1861 ('M. N' vol. xxi. p. 129)—

 $\alpha \text{ Centauri} = \frac{1}{19,490,000,000} \times \text{Sun.}$

On the relative brilliancy of stars see-

- Sir J. Herschel ('Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope,' London, 4to. p. 367).
- Zöllner ('Grundzüge einer allgemeinen Photometrie des Himmels,' Berlin, 4to.)
- 1883. Pritchard, 'Photometric Determination of the Relative Brightness of the Brighter Stars North of the Equator' ('M, A. S.' xlvii. p. 353).
- 1885. Pritchard, 'Uranometria nova Oxoniensis' ('Astr. Obs. at Univ. Observatory, Oxford,' No. II.)
- 1885 to 1890. Pickering, 'Annals of Harvard Coll. Obs.' xiv. xxiii. part i. and xxiv.

On the heat from the stars see Huggins, 'Proceedings of the Royal Society,' 1869, No. 109, p. 309; Boys, *ibid.* xlvii. p. 480.

§ 268. Variable Stars.—The following catalogues may be referred to, in which the known variables are arranged in order of right ascension with a brief description :—

- 1875. Schönfeld ('Zweiter Catalog von veränderlichen Sternen: Jahresbericht des Mannheimer Vereins für Naturkunde,' xl.)
- 1893. Chandler, ('Second Catalogue of Variable Stars : Astron. Journ,' No. 300 ; contains 260 stars).

§ 269. Colours of Stars.—Aratus, in the third century before the present era, had spoken of a red star in his poem

A.D.

'Phænomena.' Ptolemy mentioned five reddish stars. Blue stars were first mentioned by Marriotte in 1740. Sir W. Herschel found stars of all the elementary colours of the spectrum ('Phil. Trans.' 1782, p. 112; 1785, p. 40). The following works may be referred to on the subject of coloured stars :—

A.D.

- 1847. Sir J. Herschel ('Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope,' London, 4to. p. 448).
- 1864. W. H. Smyth ('Sidereal Chromatics,' London, 8vo.)
- 1874. Schjellerup ('Zweiter Catalog der rothen isolirten Sterne: Vierteljahrsschrift der Astronomischen Gesellschaft,' p. 252, Band ix. 3. und 4. Hefte).
- 1877. J. Birmingham ('The Red Stars Observations and Catalogue : Transactions of the Royal Irish Academy,' vol. xxvi. No. 7; second edition by Espin, in the Cunningham Memoirs of the Royal Irish Academy, 1890).
- 1893. F. Krüger, 'Catalog der farbigen Sterne: Publ. der Sternwarte in Kiel,' viii.)

§ 270. Scintillation.—Robert Hook in 1667 had ascertained that the scintillation of the stars is due to our atmosphere. For the general theory of scintillation see—

Montigny, 'Recherches diverses sur la Scintillation' ('Bullet. Ac. Belgique,' 2° sér. t. xxv. p. 631; t. xxix. pp. 80 and 455; t. xxxvii. p. 165; t. xxxviii. p. 300; t. xlii. p. 255; t. xliv. p. 694).

§ 271. Stellar Spectroscopy.—The first application of the prism to the observation of the spectrum of a star was made by Fraunhofer (1817–1823), who, by placing a prism before the object glass of a telescope, observed that the spectra of stars are different from the spectrum of the sun, as well as possessing differences among themselves. He described the spectra of several different stars. Amici showed, about the same time, that the arrangement of the lines is different, even in different white stars.

44I

Many researches have been made on the spectra of stars and nebulæ. Some of the principal are as follows :—

A.D.

- 1864. Huggins and Miller. On the spectra of some of the fixed stars (' Phil. Trans.' vol. cliv. p. 413).
- 1864. Huggins. On the spectra of some of the nebulæ (' Phil. Trans.' cliv. p. 437).
- 1866-68. Huggins. Further observations on the spectra of some of the stars and nebulæ ('Phil. Trans.' vol. clvi. p. 381; vol. clviii. p. 529).
- 1868. Secchi. A catalogue of spectra of red stars ('M. N.' vol. xxviii. p. 196).
- 1872-73. Vogel ('Beobachtungen angestellt auf der Sternwarte zu Bothkamp,' Leipzig, 4to. Hefte i. und ii. Analysis by the author in 'A. N.' No. 1864).
- 1873-74. Vogel. 'Spectralanalytische Mittheilungen' ('A. N.'Nos. 1963 and 2000).
- 1874. D'Arrest. 'Auffindung neuer ausgezeichneter Sternspectra, vom iii. und iv. Secchi'schen Typus' ('A. N.' Nos. 2009, 2016, 2032, 2044).
- 1880. Huggins. On the photographic spectra of stars ('Ph. Tr.' 1880. p. 669).
- 1884. Dunér. Sur les étoiles à spectres de la troisième classe ('K. Svenska Vetenskaps Akad.' xxi.)
- 1890. 'The Draper Catalogue of Stellar Spectra,' in the 'Annals of Harvard Coll. Obs.' vol. xxvii. (photographic spectra of 10,000 stars).
- 1894. Lockyer. On the protographic spectra of some of the brighter stars (' Ph. Tr.' 1893, p. 675).
- 1895. Scheiner. Untersuchungen über die Spectra der helleren Sterne nach photographischen Aufnahmen ('Publ. des astrophys. Observ. zu Potsdam,' vol. vii. part 2).

On the method of determining the velocity of a celestial body in the direction of the line of sight by the displacement thereby produced in the lines of the spectra, see Huggins, 'Proceedings of the Royal Society of London,' vol. xxii. (1872), p. 251; Vogel, 'A. N.' No. 1963 (1873); Airy, 'M. N.' vol. xxxvi. (1875), p. 27, and every volume of 'Greenwich Observations' since 1875. Also Vogel, 'Untersuchung

über die Eigenbewegung der Sterne im Visionsradius auf spectrographischem Wege' ('Publ. d. astrophys. Obs. zu Potsdam,' vol. vii. Part 1, 1892).

On stellar photography see a valuable *résumé* of the work done up to 1887, by Rayet, in the 'Bulletin astronomique' t. iv. p. 165, and the 'Bulletin du Comité international pour l'exécution de la carte photographique du ciel,' published at Paris since 1888.

§ 272. Parallaxes of the Stars.—The following values have been determined for the angle which the radius of the earth's orbit subtends at the distance of the star; a and ∂ are the right ascension, and the declination referred to the epoch 1890. The number in brackets at the end of the line denotes the magnitude of the star.

A.D.	34 Groombridge, $\alpha = 0^{h}$ 12 ^m 6 ^s ; $\delta = +43^{\circ}$ 23'.9	[7.9]
1867.	Auwers, from comparison of the right ascension of	
	this star with that of the neighbouring stars by the	
	chronograph	0".307
	η Cassiopeiæ, $\alpha = 0^{h} 42^{m} 26^{s}$; $\delta = +57^{\circ} 13' \cdot 9$.	[3.6]
1856.	Otto Struve, by micrometric measurements	0 .154
	μ Cassiopeiæ, $\alpha = 1^{h} O^{m} 57^{s}$; $\delta = +54^{\circ} 22' \cdot 8$.	[5.2]
1856.	Otto Struve, by micrometric measurements	0 .342
1888.	Pritchard, by photography	0 .035
1893.	Jacoby, from Rutherfurd's photographs	0 .275
	a Ursæ minoris, $\alpha = 1^{h} 18^{m} 29^{s}$; $\delta = +88^{\circ} 43' \cdot 3$.	[2.1]
1817.	Von Lindenau, from 890 right ascensions .	0.144
1847.	W. Struve, by right ascensions at Dorpat .	0 .075
1847.	W. Struve and Preuss, by right ascensions at Dorpat.	0 .172
1847.	C. A. F. Peters, by declinations at Pulkowa.	0 .067
1847.	W. Struve, from the declinations at Dorpat	0 .147
1889.	Pritchard, by photography	0 .070
	• α Aurigæ (Capella), $\alpha = 5^{h} 8^{m} 34^{s}$; $\delta = +45^{\circ} 53' \cdot 1$	[1]
1846.	C. A. F. Peters, by meridian altitudes at Pulkowa .	0 .046
1856.	Otto Struve, micrometrically	0 .305
1888.	Elkin, by heliometer	0 .102
in the	a Canis majoris, $\alpha = 6^{h} 40^{m} 18^{s}$; $\delta = -16^{\circ} 34' \cdot 0$	[1]
1840.	Henderson, by meridian altitudes at Cape .	0 .34
1864.	Gyldén, by altitudes of Maclear at Cape	0 .193
1868.	Abbe, by altitudes at the Cape	0 .272

A.D.		
1883.	Gill, by heliometer	0".370
1883.	Elkin, by heliometer	0 .402
	a Geminorum, $\alpha = 7^{h} 27^{m} 35^{s}$; $\delta = +32^{\circ} 7' 7$.	[1.6]
1856.	Johnson, by the heliometer	0 .108
	a Canis minoris, $\alpha = 7^{\text{b}} 33^{\text{m}} 33^{\text{s}}$; $\delta = +5^{\circ} 30' \cdot 4$.	[1]
1863.	Auwers, by micrometric measurements	0 .240
	ι Ursæ majoris, $\alpha = 8^{h} 51^{m} 40^{s}$; $\delta = +48^{\circ} 28' \cdot 4$.	[3.2]
1846.	C. A. F. Peters, by observations at Pulkowa	0.133
	Groombridge 1618, $\alpha = 10^{h} 4^{m} 46^{s}$; $\delta = +50^{\circ} 1' \cdot 0$	[6.5]
1881.	Ball, by micrometer	0 .322
	Lalande 21185, $\alpha = 10^{h} 57^{m} 20^{s}$; $\delta = +36^{\circ} 56' \cdot 4$.	[7]
1872.	Winnecke, by micrometric observations	0.201
	Lalande 21258, $\alpha = 11^{h} 0^{m} 1^{s}$; $\delta = + 44^{\circ} 5' \cdot 5$.	[8.5]
1862.	Auwers, by heliometer	0.262
1863.	Krüger, by heliometer	0.260
	Σ 1516, $\alpha = 11^{h} 8^{m} 3^{s}$; $\delta = +74^{\circ} 4^{\prime} 2$.	[7]
1880.	Winnecke, by micrometer	0 .199
1886.	De Ball, by micrometer	0 .104
	A Oe. 11677, $\alpha = 11^{h} 14^{m} 25^{s}$; $\delta = +66^{\circ} 26' \cdot 5$.	[9.0]
1876.	Geelmuyden, by micrometer	0.25
	Groombridge 1830, $\alpha = 11^{h} 46^{m} 38^{s}; \delta = +38^{\circ} 30' \cdot 5$.	[6.5]
1846.	C. A. F. Peters, by meridian observations	0 .226
1848.	Wichmann, heliometer measures by Schlüter .	0 .182
1850.	Otto Struve, micrometic measures	0.034
1854.	Döllen, from Schlüter and Wichmann's observations .	0 .141
1854.	Johnson, by the helionieter	0.033
1873.	Brünnow, by micrometric measurements	0 .113
1874.	Auwers, from Johnson's observations	0 .023
	β Centauri, $\alpha = 13^{h} 56^{m} 4^{s}$; $\delta = -59^{\circ} 50' 5$.	[1]
1852.	Maclear, from meridian altitudes	0 470
1868.	Moesta ,, ,,	0 .123
1883.	Gill, by heliometer	-0 '017
	a Bootis (Arcturus), $a = 14^{h} 10^{m} 39^{s}$; $\delta = +19^{\circ} 45' \cdot 3$	[1]
1846.	C. A. F. Peters, by absolute measurements .	O 'I27
1856.	Johnson, by the heliometer	0 .138
1883.	Elkin, by heliometer	0.018
	a Centauri, $a = 14^{h} 32^{m} 8^{s}$; $\delta = -60^{\circ} 22' \cdot 7$.	[1]
1840.	Henderson, by absolute measures at the Cape, 1832-	
	1833 (mean)	1 .16
1842.	Henderson, by absolute measures at the Cape, 1839-	
	1840	0 .913
1851.	Maclear, by direct and reflected altitudes	0 .010

444

•

A.D.			
1868.	Moesta, measures at Santiago		o"·88
1883.	Gill, heliometer		0 .756
1883.	Elkin, heliometer		0 .730
1895.	Roberts, from merid. observations, 1879-1881		0 71
20	α Herculis, $\alpha = 17^{h} 9^{m} 38^{s}$; $\delta = +14^{\circ} 31' 0$		[3:2]
1856-5	8. Jacob, by micrometer measures at Madras		0 .062
5 0	Eltzen 17415, $\alpha = 17^{h} 34^{m} 4^{s}$; $\delta = +68^{\circ} 27' \cdot 4$		[9]
1863.	Krüger, by micrometric observations .		0 .247
1882.	Schweizer, ,, ,,		0 .121
	70 p Ophiuchi, $\alpha = 17^{h} 59^{m} 54^{s}$; $\delta = +2^{\circ} 31' \cdot 5$		[4.1]
1863.	Krüger, heliometer observations in 1850-1861		0 .162
1894.	Schur, heliometer		o ·286
	a Lyræ, $a = 18^{h} 33^{m} 13^{s}$; $\delta = +38^{\circ} 40' 9$		[1]
1840.	W. Struve, micrometrically		0 .262
1846.	C. A. F. Peters, altitudes at Pulkowa .		0 .103
1856.	Johnson, by the heliometer		0 .14
1859.	Otto Struve, micrometrically by distances .		o •119
1859.	,, ,, by position angles		0 .101
1870.	Brünnow, micrometric measures at Dunsink .		0 .212
1873.	Brünnow, by a new series		o •188
1886.	A. Hall, by micrometer		o ·134
1888.	Elkin, by heliometer		0 .034
	$\Sigma 2398$, $\alpha = 18^{h} 41^{m} 33^{*}$; $\delta = +59^{\circ} 27' \cdot 7$.		[8.2]
1888.	E. Lamp, by micrometer		0 .353
	6 Cygni B, $\alpha = 19^{h} 9^{m} 15^{s}$; $\delta = +49^{\circ} 38' \cdot 9$		[6.6]
1884.	Ball, by micrometer		0 .482
1886.	A. Hall ,,		-0 .021
	σ Draconis, $\alpha = 19^{h} 32^{m} 34^{s}$; $\delta = +69^{\circ} 28' \cdot 4$	•	[4.74]
1870.	Brünnow, by micrometric observations at Dunsink	•	0 '222
1873.	Briinnow ", ", ",	•	0 .246
000	α Aquilæ, $\alpha = 19^{h} 45^{m} 25^{s}$; $\delta = +8^{\circ} 34'.7$	•	[1]
1888.	Elkin, heliometer	•	0 .199
.0 .	of Uygni, $\alpha = 21^{n} 1^{m} 58^{s}$; $\delta = +38^{\circ} 12' \cdot 5$	•	[5.1]
1840.	Bessel, by the heliometer (final result)	•	0 .348
1840.	C. A. F. Peters, absolute measures at Pulkowa	•	0 .349
1849.	,, rediscussion of Bessel's observation	•	0 .300
1853.	Fogson, by the heliometer	•	0 .384
1054.	C A E Deters from Schutz 2 1	•	0 .397
1054.	Otto Structo microsofter a loss di	•	0.300
1059.	distances micrometric observations of, 1852-1853	j.	
	,, distances .	•	0.209
	», angles of position .	•	0 .201

AD.		
1863.	Auwers, micrometrically	o •564
1878.	Ball, by differences of decl. at Dunsink (preceding star)	0.465
1884.	Ball, ,, ,, (following star)	o ·468
1883.	J. Lamp, from Schweizer's observations	0 .449
1886.	A Hall, by micrometer	0 .220
1887.	Pritchard, by photography	0 .432
	ϵ Indi, $\alpha = 21^{h} 54^{in} 56^{s}$; $\delta = -57^{\circ} 14' \cdot 3$.	[5:3]
1884.	Gill, by heliometer	0 .274
1884.	Elkin, "	0.124
	Lacaille 9352, $\alpha = 22^{h} 58^{m} 46^{s}$; $\delta = -36^{\circ} 29' \cdot 2$.	[7:5]
1884.	Gill, by heliometer	0 .285
	3077 Bradley, $\alpha = 23^{h} 7^{m} 56^{s}$; $\delta = +56^{\circ} 33' 6$.	[5:5]
1873.	Brünnow, by micrometric observations at Dunsink .	o •069
	85 Pegasi, $\alpha = 23^{h} 56^{m} 26^{s}$; $\delta = +26^{\circ} 30' \cdot I$.	[5.8]
1873.	Brünnow, by micrometric observations at Dunsink .	0 .024

For a list of results of researches on annual parallax see Oudemans, 'A. N.' 2915. For Pritchard's photographic determinations of the very small parallaxes of a number of bright stars see 'Astronomical Observations at the University Observatory, Oxford,' Parts III. and IV. (1889– 1892).

§ 273. Proper Motions of the Stars.—Ptolemy and the ancients generally seem to have considered the configuration of the stars to have been perpetually invariable. It was only in the 18th century, in 1718, that Halley discovered displacements in the latitudes of Aldebaran, Sirius, and Arcturus ('Phil. Trans.' vol. xxxi. p. 736). Jacques Cassini established the proper motion of Arcturus beyond doubt by showing that the apparent displacements were not participated in by the neighbouring star η Bootis. The following list contains the titles of the principal works on proper motions :—

1775. Tob Mayer, 'Opera inedita,' t. i. Göttingen, 4to. p. 98. Contains the proper motions of 80 stars found by comparing his observations with those of Römer, which were made 50 years previously.

1818. Bessel, 'Fundamenta Astronomiæ,' p. 311.

A.D.

- Argelander, 'DLX. stellarum fixarum positiones mediæ,' 1835. Helsingfors, 4to. 560 stars from the observations at Abo compared with those of Bradley.

1851-1860. Main, in 'M. A. S.' vol. xix. p. 136, and vol. xxviii. p. 127. The proper motions of 1, 170 and 270 stars respectively, found by comparing the modern observations at Greenwich with those of Bradley.

- Mädler, in 'Beobachtungen zu Dorpat,' vol. xiv. (3,222 1856. stars observed by Bradley).
- 1865-1875.
- E. J. Stone, in 'M. A. S.' vol. xxxiii. p. 61, and vol. xlii. p. 129. 460 and 406 stars respectively. The first deduced from Greenwich observations : the second. of southern stars, from observations at the Cape, compared with more ancient ones.
 - 1869. Argelander, 'Untersuchungen über die Eigenbewegungen von 250 Sternen ' (' Beob. auf der Sternwarte zu Bonn,' Band vii.)
 - Auwers, 'Neue Reduction der Bradleyschen Beobach-1888. tungen,' iii. (contains a re-determination of the proper motion of all the stars observed by Bradley).
 - Stumpe, 'A. N.' 2999-3000 (contains all stars known to 1890. have a P.M. greater than O".15 annually).
 - Porter, Catalogue of Proper Motion Stars (' Publ. of the 1892. Cincinnati Observatory,' No. 12).

§ 274. Double Stars.-The earliest mention of double stars, requiring for their separation the powers of the telescope, is by Jean Dom. Cassini, who in 1678 alluded in this way to β Scorpionis, a Geminorum, and γ Arietis ('Hist. Ac. Sc. Paris av. renouv.' t. i. p. 266). When Bode, in 1781, formed a first list of double stars, he only enumerated 80 ('Berliner Jahrbuch,' 1784, S. 183). The first really systematic labours are those of Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' 1782, p. 112). For a list of catalogues of double stars see Knobel ('M. A. S.' vol. xliii. 1877, pp. 21-61).

In 1782 Sir W. Herschel commenced the practice of recording the distance and position angle of the two components of a double star. The following are the principal works relating to double stars :--

A.D.

- 1782-1804. Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans,' lxxii. p. 112, lxxv. p. 40, xciii. p. 339, xciv. p. 353; 'M. A. S.' vol. xxxv.)
 - 1824. Sir J. Herschel and Sir J. South (' Phil. Trans.' cxiv. part iii.)
 - 1837. W. Struve, 'Stellarum duplicium et multiplicium mensuræ micrometricæ.' This is the chief work of the author, containing measures of 3,134 multiple stars. Lord Lindsay has given an index to these measures in 'Dunecht Observatory Publications,' vol. i. (1876). Aberdeen, 4to.
 - 1844. W. H. Smyth, 'A Cycle of Celestial Objects,' London, 1844, 2 vols. 8vo. This is a most interesting work, especially to the amateur; an enlarged edxion of vol. ii., the 'Bedford Catalogue,' was published by Chambers in 1881.
 - 2847. Sir J. Herschel, 'Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope,' London, 4to. Micrometric measures of 2,520 multiple stars in the southern heavens.
 - 1867. Dawes (' M. A. S.' vol. xxxv. p. 164).
 - 1874. Sir J. Herschel, published by Main and Pritchard in 'M. A. S.' vol. xl. p. 1. This contains 10,317 double or multiple stars, forming an index of all those known up to 1872.
 - 1876. Dunér, 'Mesures micrométriques d'étoiles doubles.' Lund, 410.
- 1873-1894. Burnham, 'M. N.' vol. xxxiii. pp. 351, 437, vol. xxxiv. pp. 59, 382, vol. xxxv. p. 31; 'A. N.' 2062, 2103; 'Amer. Journ.' July 1877; 'M. N.' vol. xxxviii. p. 79; 'M. A. S.' xliv.; Report to Trustees of James Lick Trust ('Publ. Lick Obs.' i.); 'Publ. of Washburn Obs.' vol. i.; 'M. A. S.' xlvii.; 'Publ. Lick Obs.' ii. These papers contain measurements of 1,274 new double stars.

1878–1893. O. Struve, 'Mesures micrométriques des étoiles doubles' ('Observations de Poulkova,'t. ix.-x.; contains measures from 1839–1889).

1879. Burnham, Double-star observations made in 1877-78 at Chicago with the 18½-inch refractor of the Dearborn Observatory ('M. A. S.' vol. xliv. pp. 141-305).
A.D.

A.D.

1883. Burnham, Double-star observations made in 1879-80 with the 18½-inch refractor of the Dearborn Observatory, Chicago ('M. A. S.' vol. xlvii. pp. 167-326)

1883-1884. Dembowski, ⁴ Misure Micrometriche di Stelle Doppie e Multiple,³ Roma, 1883-84.

The following may also be consulted on the appearance and conditions of the more interesting groups :---

- 1835. Sir J. Herschel, 'A List of Test Objects, principally Double Stars, for the Trial of Telescopes' ('M. A. S.' vol. viii. p. 25).
- 1878. Flammarion, 'Catalogue des Etoiles doubles et multiples en mouvement relatif certain.' Paris, 1878.
- 1879. Crossley, Gledhill and Wilson, 'A Handbook of Double Stars.' London, 1879; with pamphlet of corrections, 1880.
- 1893-1894. Webb, 'Celestial Objects for Common Telescopes.' 5th ed. London, 2 vols. crown 8vo.

Sir W. Herschel, in reobserving double stars after an interval of twenty years, discovered a gradual change in the angle of position in a Geminorum, γ Leonis, ϵ Arietis, ξ Herculis, δ Serpentis, and γ Virginis ('Phil. Trans.' 1803, pp. 339, 365, 372, 377, 382). Savary computed the first orbit of a binary star, that of ξ Ursæ majoris, in 1828 ('Connaissance des Temps,' 1830).

Orbits of binary stars computed by Doberck and others will be found in Flammarion's or Gledhill's works already referred to.

NEBULÆ.

§ 275. List of Authorities.—Aratus in the third century before the present era mentions the object in the constellation Cancer, which is now known as the Præsepe Cluster. Simon Mayer (Marius), in 1612, calls attention to the luminous spots in the heavens, which he attributes to collections of stars. Mairan in 1754 first alludes to gaseous materials in such objects. Messier, 1771, distinguished

GG

clearly between nebulæ and clusters. The great development of this branch of astronomy is due to Sir W. Herschel, who arranged the clusters in three classes and the nebulæ proper in five others (' Ph. Tr.' 1786, p. 457).

The following are the principal works on nebulæ :---

- A.D.
- 1781-1782. Messier, 'Connaissance des Temps,' 1783, p. 225, and 1784, p. 254. Contains lists of 103 of the most remarkable nebulæ in the heavens.
 - 1786. Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxvi. p. 471). 1,000 new nebulæ and clusters.
 - 1789. Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' vol. lxxix. p. 226). 1,000 new nebulæ and clusters.
 - 1802. Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' vol. xcii. p. 503). 500 new objects.
 - 1833. Sir J. Herschel, 'Observations of Nebulæ and Clusters' ('Phil. Trans.' 1833).
 - 1847. Sir J. Herschel, 'Results of Astronomical Observations at the Cape of Good Hope.' London, 4to. ch. i. p. 51.
 - S62-1875. Schönfeld, 'Beobachtungen von Nebelflecken und Sternhaufen' ('Astr. Beob. zu Mannheim,' i.-ii.).
 - 1864. Sir J. Herschel. A general catalogue of nebulæ and clusters of stars ('Phil. Trans.' cliv. p. 1). This great work contains the places of 5,079 nebulæ and clusters brought to the epoch 1860 °O.
 - 1867. D'Arrest, 'Siderum nebulosorum observationes Havnienses,' Copenhagen, 4to. Observations of 1,942 nebulæ.
 - 1867. Marth (' M. A. S.' vol. xxxvi. p. 53). 600 new nebulæ.
 - 1874. Schultz, 'Micrometrical Observations of 500 Nebulæ.' Upsala, 4to.
- 1879-1880. Lord Rosse, 'Observations of Nebulæ, 1848-78' ('Trans. R. Dublin Soc.' vol. ii.)
 - 1888. Dreyer, 'A new general Catalogue of Nebulæ and Clusters of Stars' ('M. A. S.' xlix. Contains places of 7,840 nebulæ and clusters. In vol. li. is an index catalogue of 1,529 nebulæ, the two catalogues containing all known at the end of 1894).

For the descriptions of remarkable nebulæ with drawings see—

Astronomical Constants.

1844.	Lord Rosse ("	Phil. Trans.	' vol. cxxx	iv. p. 321).
1850.	33	"	,, cxl.	p. 499).
1861.	,,	> >	,, cli.	p. 681).
1868.	"	"	,, clvii	ii. p. 57).
1867.	G. P. Bond ('	Annals of H	arvard Col	lege Observa

1867. G. P. Bond ('Annals of Harvard College Observatory,'vol. v.)
1894. Roberts, 'A Selection of Photographs of Stars, Star Clusters and Nebulæ.' London, 4to.

For a complete list of drawings of nebulæ up to 1888 see Dreyer's 'New Gen. Cat.,' referred to above.

On alleged changes in the forms of nebulæ see Dreyer, 'M. N.' xlvii. p. 412, and lii. p. 100.

For the spectra of nebulæ see under the head of Stellar Spectroscopy. A list of all nebulæ known to possess gaseous spectra is given in Scheiner's 'Treatise on Astron. Spectroscopy,' edited by Frost, pp. 232, 233.

§ 276. The Milky Way.—That the Milky Way is an agglomeration of small stars was admitted by the ancients. Galileo adopted the same view when he first saw the Milky Way through the telescope. The constitution of the Milky Way has been carefully studied by Sir W. Herschel ('Phil. Trans.' 1785, p. 258; 1814, p. 280). The pole of the Milky Way has been thus determined :—

A.D.

A.D.

1847. W. Struve, 'Etudes d'Astronomie stellaire,' St. Pétersbourg, 8vo. p. 62.

 $\alpha = 12^{h} 38^{m}; \delta = +31^{\circ}.5;$ Equin. 1825.0

 $\alpha = 12^{h} 49^{m} \cdot 1$; $\delta = +27^{\circ} 30'$; Equin. 1880.0

§ 277. The Zodiacal Light.—This phenomenon was well known among Eastern nations at an early date as the 'false dawn.' In Europe it was scarcely noticed till Jean Dominique Cassini commenced a scientific study of it in 1683. One of the best general descriptions of the zodiacal light is that of Argelander, 'Schumacher's Jahrbuch,' 1844, p. 148. See also Jul. F. Schmidt, 'Das Zodiacallicht : Uebersicht

^{1878.} J. C. Houzeau ('Annales astronomiques de l'Observatoire de Bruxelles,' t. i. Uranom. p. 21).

Astronomy.

der seitherigen Forschungen nebst neuen Beobachtungen über diese Erscheinung in den Jahren 1843-1855,' Brunswick, 8vo.

On the spectrum of the zodiacal light see-

- 1872. Vogel ('A. N.' No. 1893).
- 1874. Arthur W. Wright ('American Journal of Science and Arts, 3rd series, vol. viii.)
- 1877. Piazzi Smyth (' Edinburgh Astron. Obs.' vol. xiv.)

On the various hypotheses which have been advanced to explain the zodiacal light see-

A.D.

1799. Laplace, 'Mécanique céleste,' t. ii. p. 170.

- 1807. Thomas Young, 'Nat. Phil.' London, 2 vols. 4to. vol. i. p. 502.
- 1835. Arago, 'Astron. popul.' Paris, 1855, 4 vols. 8vo. t. ii. p. 183.
- 1843. J. C. Houzeau ('A. N.' No. 492).

452

A.D.

INDEX.

ABB

BBE parallax of a Canis majoris, 443 Abbreviations, 361 Aberration, 366 - effect on place of star, 218 greatest effect of, 220 Absorption of light by the atmosphere, 4 Acceleration, secular, 334 Adams, discovery of Neptune, 296 - elements of Leonides, 435 - elements of Neptune, 426 ellipticity of Mars, 397 - mass of Uranus, 42 - period of the Leonides, 435 - secular variation of the moon, 389 Æthra, eccentricity of, 401 Air, density of, 47 Airy, catalogues of stars, 438 - density of the earth, 387 - diameter of Venus, 378 - discovery of Neptune, 426 - ellipticity of the earth, 385 ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
 equation of the centre, 383 longitude of the perihelion, 382 - mass of Jupiter, 405 - mass of Mars, 298 - mass of Venus, 379 - motion of solar system, 437 obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - occultation by the moon, 395 - parallax of the moon, 391 - parallax of the sun, 371 - semidiameter of the moon, 391 - velocity of a celestial body, 442 Albategnius, semidiameter of the moon, Aldebaran, 62 Algol, 61 cycle of changes of, 344 velocity of, 349 Altair, 65

ATM

Altitude, 74 of pole, 103 Amic, stellar photography, 441 Anderson, Dr., variable star, 345 Andromeda, 61 nebula in girdle of, 358 Andromedæ γ , colour of, 356 Andromedes, the, 436 Angles, instruments for measuring, 21 measurement of, 16 Angström, constitution of the sun, 373 Angular magnitude, explanation of, 2 Aphelion, 208 Apian, comet of, 310 Apparent areas, law of, 2 - magnitudes, law of, 2 Aquila, 65 Arago, periodicity of meteor showers, theory of the zodiacal light, 452 Aratus, mention of a red star, 440 on nebulæ, 449 Archimedes, semidiameter of sun, 368 Arcturus, 64 Argelander, catalogues of stars, 438, 439 description of the zodiacal light, 451 - motion of the solar system, 437 - proper motion of stars, 447 size of small planets, 401 Ariel, 424 Aristarchus of Samos, semidiameter or sun, 368 Aristotle, moon's libration, 392 Arnold, Jupiter's satellites, 407 Asten, Von, mass of Mercury, 376 mass of Uranus, 423 Astronomical instruments, 1 Astronomische Nachrichten, 361 Astronomy, definition of, 1 Atmosphere, effect of the, 166 - height of the, 47

- the, 46

Index.

AUR

Auriga, 61 Auwers, diameter of Venus, 379 - ellipticity of Venus, 379 - parallax of a Canis minoris, 444 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 parallax of 34 Groombridge, 443
 parallax of 1830 Groombridge, 444 - parallax of Lalande 21258, 444 - proper motion of stars, 447 - semidiameter of sun, 369 Axis, optic, 23 Azimuth, error of, 88 - of sun, measured by upright rod, 117 BACKLUND, mass of Mercury, 376 — motion of Encke's comet, 433 Bailly, masses of Jupiter's satellites, 409 Baily, density of the earth, 387 Bakhuyzen, obliquity of the ecliptic, 363 - rotation of Mars, 398 Ball, de, motion of solar system, 437 — parallax of Σ 1516, 444 Ball, parallax of 6 Cygni B, 445 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 - parallax of Groombridge 1618, 444 Baranowski, elements of Biela's comet, 431 Barnard, diameters of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - diameters of small planets, 401 - fifth satellite of Jupiter, 292, 407 measures of Saturn's system, 416 Bayer, ellipticity of the earth, 385 - maps of stars, 58 Becquerel, E., constitution of the sun, 374 Beehive, the, 339 Beer and Mädler, map of the moon, 394 - - surface of Jupiter, 406 - -- surface of Mercury, 377 Bellamy, diameter of Jupiter, 403 - ellipticity of Jupiter, 403 Berliner Jahrbuch, ephemeris of minor planets, 299 Bessel, coefficient of refraction, 367 - diameter of Jupiter, 403 - diameter of Mars, 397 - diameter of Mercury, 375 - diameter of Saturn, 412 — ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
 — ellipticity of Saturn, 412 - equation of the centre, 383 - mass of Jupiter, 405 - mass of the moon, 391 - mass of Saturn, 413 mass of Saturn's ring, 417
 measures of Saturn's rings, 415 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 parallax of 61 Cygni, 445
 plane of Saturn's ring, 417 - Pleiades, the, 126 - precession of the equinoxes, 364

- proper motion of stars, 446

BRU

Bessel, rotation of Jupiter, 404 rotation of Mercury, 376 - semidiameter of the sun, 369 - tails of comets, 434 Betelgeuze, 62 Bianchini, rotation of Venus, 380 Biela's comet, 319, 430 — and meteors, 319, 432 — next return of, 432 - period of revolution, 320 Biot, appearance of Halley's comet, 430 - on comets, 428 Birmingham, J., coloured stars, 441 Bode, law of, 294 - list of double stars, 447 Bond, G. P., brilliancy of a Centauri, 440 - brilliancy of Jupiter, 406 brilliancy of the moon, 395
 brilliancy of Venus, 380 discovery of Hyperion, 420
 dusky ring of Saturn, 415 moon, albedo of the, 395 nebulæ, 450
 physical theory of comets, 433 - rings of Saturn, 417 Bond, W. C., measures of Saturn's rings, 415 ring of Satur, 417 thickness of Saturn's rings, 416 Boötes, 63 Boss, motion of solar system, 437 Bouvard, great inequality of Saturn, 412 — mass of Jupiter, 405 - mass of Saturn, 413 - mass of Uranus, 423 observation of Encke's comet, 432 tables of Saturn, 412 - tables of Uranus, 205 - theory of Neptune, 425 Bradley, aberration of light, 217, 366 - axis of Jupiter, 404 coefficient of refraction, 367 - diameter of Mercury, 375 - diameter of Saturn, 412 - measures of Saturn's rings, 415 - nutation, 224, 365 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - revolution of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - star catalogue, 438 Bradley and Pound, sun's parallax, 370 Bredichin, tails of comets, 434 Brenner, rotation of Venus, 380 Brightness of a distant object, 3 Brinkley, aberration, 366 coefficient of refraction, 367 - nutation, 365 obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 Brorsen's comet, 432 - appearances of, 432 Brünnow, parallax of 3077 Bradley, 446 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445

- parallax of o Draconis, 445

BRU

Brünnow, parallax of Groombridge 1830, 444 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 - parallax of 85 Pegasi, 446 Burckhardt, elements of Halley's comet, 429 Burnham, double stars, 448 ANIS major, 62 - minor, 62 Capella, 61 Carlini, density of the earth, 387 Carrington, elements of the sun's rotation, 372 Cassini, rotation of Venus, 380 Cassini, Jacques, plane of Saturn's ring, 416 - proper motion of Arcturus, 446 - rotation of Japetus, 421 Cassini, Jean Dom., axis of Jupiter, 404 - discovery of Dione, 419 - discovery of Japetus, 420 - discovery of Rhea, 419 - discovery of Tethys, 418 - discovery of zodiacal light, 451 double stars, 447
elements of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - ellipticity of Jupiter, 403 - Japetus sometimes invisible, 421 - lunar equator, 392 - moon's libration, 392 - revolution of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - rotation of Jupiter, 403, 404 - rotation of Mars, 397 Cassini's line, 414 Cassiopeia, 60 Castor, 62, 350 — angular distance of components, 350 real distance of components, 350 Catalogues, star, 110, 438 value of, 110 Cavendish, density of the earth, 387 Celestial bodies, definition of, 1 Celoria, elements of Halley's comet, 429 Halley's comet, 430 Centauri, a, brilliancy of, 440 Ceres, 297 albedo of, 401 - diameter of, 401 distance from sun, 297 Challis, Prof., discovery of Neptune, 296 observations of Neptune, 426 Chandler, catalogue of variable stars, 440 nutation, 365 Chinese, the, revolution of the moon, 388 Christie, star catalogues, 438 Chromosphere, 196 Circle, antarctic, 153 - arctic, 147, 153 - declination, 81

CUR

Circle, graduated, 17 - great, 54 — meridian, 96 - meridian, observation with, 97 - meridian, pointed to nadir, 100 - small, 54 - transit, 96 Circular measure, 19 unit of, 19 Clairaut, on Halley's comet, 311 Clark, Alvan, satellite of Sirius, 355 Clarke, Col. A. R., dimensions of the earth, 384 ellipticity of the earth, 385 Cleveite, 198 Clock, the, 33 - astronomical, 93 - astronomical, rate of, 93 astronomical, regulation of, 93 - sidereal, error of, 168 — sidereal, methods of finding error of, 170, 175 sidereal, setting, 169 Colatitude, 105 Collimation, error of, 85 line of, 85 Colours, differently refracted, 12 prismatic, 11 Comæ Berenices, nearness of components, 354 - period of revolution, 354 Comet of 1882, 308 Comets, 307, 428 elements of orbits, 309 - length of tails, 308 - masses of, 332 motion of, 308 — periodic, 309 — physical theory of, 433 Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences de Paris, 361 Conjunction, inferior, 273 superior, 273 Connaissance des Temps, 361 Constants, astronomical, 361 Constellations, 58 Contact, first internal, 302 last internal, 303 Copeland, mass of Uranus, 423 Copernicus, duration of the year, 381 longitude of the perihelion, 382 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 semidiameter of the sun, 368 theory of, 217 Cornu, parallax of the sun, 371 Cornu and Baille, density of the earth, 387 Corona Borealis, 63 Corona, the sun's, 198 Couple, 336 Crossley, Gledhill, and Wilson, double stars, 449 Curvature of the earth, test of, 44

CUS

Cusps, 234 Cycle, solar, 251 Cygnus, 65

'ALEMBERT, ellipticity of the earth, 385 - figure of the moon, 373 - mass of the moon, 391 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 Damoiseau, axis of Jupiter, 405 — ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
 — inclination of Jupiter's satellites, 409 - inclination of lunar orbit, 390 - masses of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - tables of Jupiter's satellites, 410 D'Arrest, nebulæ, 450 — stellar spectroscopy, 442 D'Arrest's comet, 432 next return of, 432 Dawes, double stars, 448 - ellipticity of Saturn, 412 rotation of Mars, 285 Dawn, 158 - duration of, 158 - method of finding duration of, 158 Day, apparent solar, 138 - length of mean solar, 138 - mean solar, 138 - sidereal, 77 - table of length of, 152 Declination, 77 - effect of refraction on, 98 - found with meridian circle, 97 - how measured, 83 Degree, definition of a, 16 Deimos, 287 - distance from Mars, 288 - elements of, 400 - horizontal parallax of, 288 - hourly motion of, 288 - orbit of, 288 - period of revolution, 288 Delambre, axis of Jupiter, 404 - brilliancy of Venus, 380 - duration of the year, 381 - equation of the centre, 383 - longitude of the perihelion, 382 - mass of Mars, 398 - mass of the moon, 391 - mass of Venus, 379 - parallax of the sun, 370 — plane of Saturn's ring, 417
 — revolution of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - tables of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - tables of Saturn, 412 De la Rue, constitution of the sun, 373 - measures of Saturn's rings, 415 Delaunay, inclination of lunar orbit, 390 - rotation of the earth, 386 secular variation of the moon, 389 Dembowski, double stars, 449 Denebola, 64 Denning, radiant points, 435

Dione, 419 Distance, relation between size and, 341 Doberck, orbits of binary stars, 449 Dolland, achromatic lenses, 12 Döllen, parallax of Groombridge 183c. 444 Donati, spectrum of comets, 434 Doppler's principle, 196 Downing, Dr., aberration, 366 nutation, 365 Draper catalogue of stellar spectra, 442 Dreyer, changes in nebulæ, 451 drawings of nebulæ, 451 Dunér, double stars, 448 - rotation of the sun, 372 - stellar spectroscopy, 442 Dunkin, motion of solar system, 437 Dusk, 158 – duration of, 158 - method of finding duration of, 158 EARTH, axis always parallel to itself, 207 - change of position of axis, 209 - compared with Venus and Mercury, 205 - density of the, 387 - dimensions of the, 45, 384 - elliptical orbit of the, 202 - ellipticity of the, 385 - equation of the centre, 383 - form of the, 43 - an isolated body, 46 - mass of the, 387 - motion round the sun, 201 - perturbations of the, 206 - phases of the, as seen from the moon, 237 - poles of the, 80 - portion illuminated during each month, 208 - really spherical, 39 - reasons for rotation of the, 80 - revolution of the, 187, 381 - rotation of the, 80, 386 - shape of the, connected with rotation, 80 - size of the, as seen from the sun, 187 Eccentricity, error of, 28 error of, eliminated, 30 Eclipses, 251 - frequency of, 256 phenomena seen during, 195 solar, prediction of, 257 Ecliptic, 140 - change of obliquity of, 213 - obliquity of, 141, 362 - obliquity sensibly constant, 214 Elkin, parallax of a Aquilæ, 445 - parallax of a Aurigæ, 443 - parallax of a Boötis, 444 parallax of a Canis majoris, 444

- parallax of a Centauri, 445

ELK

Elkin, parallax of e Indi, 446 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 Ellipse, eccentricity of, 184 - parallactic, 228 Enceladus, 418 Encke, line of, 415 — mass of Jupiter, 405 — mass of Mercury, 376 parallax of the sun, 370 - rings of Saturn, 415 - semidiameter of the sun, 369 - Venus, diameter of, 378 Encke's comet, 432 - observations of, 432 - periodicity of, 432 - retardation of, 433 Engelmann, brilliancy of Jupiter's satellites, 407 - diameter of Mars, 397 - diameters of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - number of stars, 56 Equator, celestial, 81 - motion of stars seen from, 151 - motion of sun seen from, 151 - pole on horizon at, 150 - sun on the, 143 - terrestrial, 102 Equinoxes, 141 - autumnal, 142 - cause of the precession of the, 335 - determination of the precession of the, 215 - effect of sun and moon on the precession of the, 336 - period of the precession of the, 215 - precession of the, 209, 364 vernal, 142 Erman, orbit of shooting stars, 435 Escapement, 35 Eudoxus, revolution of the moon, 388 Euler, parallax of the sun, 370 Expansion, coefficients of, 38 Eye-piece, 8 - for modifying power of sun, 135 FACULÆ, 189 Faye, rotation of the sun, 372 Faye's comet, 430 next return of, 430 Field, bright, 24 dark, 25 Finlay's comet, 433 Fireball seen in 1869, 313 Fireballs, 313 - height of, 315 method of finding path of, 313 Fixed stars, 53 Flammarion, double stars, 449 - 'La Planète Mars,' 399 Flansteed, duration of the year, 381 - Halley's comet, 310 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 36e - observation of Uranus, 422

HAL

Flamsteed, parallax of the sun, 369 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 Flaugergues, Jupiter's satellites, 40 Fontana, shadow of Jupiter's satellites, 407 Foucault, parallax of the sun, 370 Fraunhoter lines, 194 - stellar spectroscopy, 441 Fugh, semidiameter of the sun, 369 ALILEO, discovery of Jupiter's T satellites, 289 eclipse of Jupiter's satellites, 407
 elements of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - Jupiter's satellites, 407 - magnitudes of the stars, 439 - Milky Way, 451 - moon's libration, 392 - on Saturn, 292 - phases of Venus, 380 - revolution of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - rings of Saturn, 414 Galle, Dr., discovery of Neptune, 296, 426 - list of comets, 428 - parallax of the sun, 371 Gallet, eccentricity of Saturn's ring, 416 Gambart, recognition of Biela's comet, 430 Gassendi, transit of Mercury, 375 Gautier, E., constitution of the sun, 373 Geelmuyden, parallax of A Oe 11677, 444 Gemini, 62 Geminids, the, 436 Gill, parallax of a Canis majoris, 444 - parallax of a Centauri, 445 - parallax of β Centauri, 444 - parallax of e Indi, 446 - parallax of Lacaille 9352, 446 parallax of the sun, 371 Globe, celestial, 55 motion of stars represented on, 76 Gould, star catalogue, 438 Gravitation, 385 — at the surface of the celestial bodies, 232 - dependent on mass, 326 - independent of the nature of bodies, 326 - law of, 322, 326 - moon's orbit caused by, 327 - universal, 206, 322 - velocity of a body under, 327 Green, map of Mars, 399 - surface of Jupiter, 406 Gyldén, coefficient of refraction, 367 - parallax of a Canis majoris, 443

HALL, A., diameter of Saturn, 413 - elements of Hyperion, 420 - elements of Titan, 420

HAL.

Hall, A., mass of Mars, 398 - mass of Neptune, 427 - mass of Saturn, 413 - mass of Uranus, 423 - measures of Saturn's rings, 415 parallax of the sun, 370 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 446 - parallax of 6 Cygni B, 445 - position of Saturn's ring, 417 - rotation of Saturn, 413, 414 - satellites of Mars, 286, 399 - surface of Mars, 399 Hall, A., jun., mass of Saturn, 414 Halley, eccentricity of Mars, 397 - elements of Halley's comet, 429 - proper motion of stars, 446 - secular variation of the moon, 388, 389 - transit of Mercury, 375 - transits of Venus, 378 Halley's comet, 310, 428 distance from the sun, 311 - elements of orbit, 310, 429 - next return of, 430 - returns of, 311 Hansen, centre of gravity of moon, 393 - inclination of lunar orbit, 390 - mass of the earth, 388 - mass of the moon, 391 parallax of the sun, 370
 secular variation of the moon, 389 Hansen and Olufsen, duration of the year, 382 - - equation of the centre, 383 - - longitude of the perihelion, 383 — — mass of Mars, 398 - - semidiameter of the sun, 359 — — tables of the sun, 383 Harding, discovery of Juno, 297 Harkness, diameter of Mercury, 375 - duration of year, 382 - equation of the centre, 383 - mass of the moon, 392 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 parallax of the sun, 371
secular variation of the obliquity of the ecliptic, 363 Hartwig, diameter of Mars, 397 — diameter of Venus, 276, 379 - lunar equator, 392 Haughton, density of the earth, 387 Heardtl, mass of Jupiter, 405 Heat, radiation of, 162 Heavens, the, axis of, 81 - change in appearance of, 104 - diurnal motion of, 65 - northern hemisphere of, 81 - southern hemisphere of, 81 Heis, visibility of Vesta, 401 Heliometer, 128 - object glass of, 129 Helium, 197 Hellman, twilight, 368

Helmert, ellipticity of the earth, 385 Henderson, aberration, 366 - parallax of a Canis majoris, 443 parallax of a Centauri, 444 parallax of moon, 390 Hercules, globular cluster in, 339 Herrich and Bradley, on Biela's comet, 430 Herschel, Alexander, star showers and comets, 435 Herschel, Caroline, observation of Encke's comet, 432 Herschel, Sir J., annular nebula in Lyra, 358 brilliancy of Jupiter, 406 - brilliancy of stars, 440 - coloured stars, 441 - double stars, 448 - heating power of the sun, 373 - nebulæ, 450 - tails of comets, 434 Herschel, Sir J., and Sir J. South, double stars, 448 Herschel, Sir W., brilliancy of Jupiter's satellites, 407 - change of position angle of double stars, 449 - colours of stars, 441 - constitution of the Milky Way, 451 - diameter of Enceladus, 418 - diameter of Mimas, 418 - diameter of Uranus, 422 - discovery of Oberon, 425 - discovery of Titania, 425 - discovery of Uranus, 295, 421 - distribution of nebulæ, 450 double stars, 447, 448
 ellipticity of Mercury, 375 - Enceladus, 418 - Mimas, 418 - motion of the sun through space, 347, 437 - nebulæ, 450 - revolution of Saturn's ring, 417 - ring of Saturn, 417 rotation of Japetus, 421
 rotation of Jupiter, 404
 rotation of Jupiter's satellites, 401 - rotation of Mars, 285, 397 - rotation of Saturn, 413 - surface of Mars, 399 - surface of Mercury, 377 - thickness of Saturn's ring, 416 Elickness of Saturn Start, Star - mass of Venus, 380 Hind, elements of Halley's comet, 439 - mass of Neptune, 427 Hipparchus, equation of the centre of the moon, 388 - inclination of lunar orbit, 300

HIP

Hipparchus, longitude of the perihelion, 382 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 — sun's parallax, 369 Hirn, stability of Saturn's ring, 418 Holden, observation of Ariel, 424 observation of Umbriel, 425 Holden and A. Hall, mass of Neptune, 427 Hook, Robert, on scintillation, 441 Hooke, rotation of Mars, 285 Horizon, the, 72 Horrocks, diameter of Venus, 378 transit of Venus, 378 Hortensius, phases of Mercury, 377 Hour angle, 264 Houzeau, J. C., astronomical constants, 361 - pole of the Milky Way, 451 - theory of the zodiacal light, 452 Hubbard, elements of Biela's comet, 431 Huggins, heat of the stars, 440 - spectroscopic observation of comets, 434 - stellar spectroscopy, 442 velocity of a celestial body, 442 Huggins and Miller, stellar spectroscopy, 442 Huyghens, discovery of Titan, 420 on Saturn, 293
plane of Saturn's ring, 416
rings of Saturn, 414 - rotation of Mars, 397 - semidiameter of the moon, 391 Hyperion, 420 MAGES, definition of, 6 - formation of, 6 Incidence, angle of, 165 Inertia, centre of, 352 Ivory, coefficient of refraction, 367 ACOB, parallax of a Herculis, 445 Jacoby, parallax of µ Cassiopeiæ, 443 James, density of the earth, 387 Japetus, 420 Johnson, diameter of Jupiter, 403 - diameter of Mars, 397 - ellipticity of Jupiter, 403 - parallax of Arcturus, 444 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 - parallax of a Geminorum, 444 - parallax of Groombridge 1830, 444 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 Judgment, errors of, 29 Julian period, 251 Juno, 297 - albedo of, 401 - diameter of, 401 - distance from the sun, 297

KNO

Jupiter, 289 - albedo of, 406 - appearance of, 289 - axis of, 404 - brightness of, 289, 406 - density of, 289 diameter of, 403
distance of, 289 - elements of, 402 - ellipticity of, 289, 403 - fifth satellite of, 292 - great inequality of, 402 - mass of, 289, 405 - period of revolution, 289 - period of rotation, 289 - radii of, 289 - rotation of, 289, 403 - size of, 289 - surface of, 406 Jupiter's satellites, 289, 407 - eccentricities of, 409 - eclipses of, 292 - elements of, 408 - inclinations of, 409 masses of, 409 - occultations of, 292 - orbits of, 291 - perturbations of, 410 - rotation of, 410 - synodic revolutions of, 408 - transits of, 292 AISER, diameter of Jupiter, 403 - diameter of Mars, 397 diameter of Neptune, 427
 diameter of Saturn, 413
 diameter of Uranus 422 ellipticity of Mars, 397
 ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
 ellipticity of Saturn, 413 - measures of Saturn's rings, 415 - rotation of Mars, 398 - rotation of Saturn's ring, 417 Keeler, Saturn's rings, 294 spectrum of Uranus, 424 Kepler, annual equation of the moon, 388 - Bode's law, 294 - coefficient of refraction, 367 - comet of 1607, 310 - laws o^c, 265, 266, 267 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - rotation of Jupiter, 403 - satellites of the planets, 289 - semidiameter of the sun, 360 - sun's parallax, 369 - transits of Mercury, 375

- transits of Venus, 378
- twilight, 368
- Kirchoff, constitution of the sun, 373
- Kirkwood, movements of Saturn's satellites, 421
- Klinkerfues, Prof., Biela's comet, 320 Knobel, catalogues of double stars, 447

460

Index.

KNO

Kuobel, star catalogues, 438 Krüger, colours of stars, 441 - mass of Jupiter, 405 - parallax of Eltzen 17415, 445 - parallax of Lalande 21258, 444 — parallax of 70 ≠ Ophiuchi, 445 Kustner, aberration, 366 - parallax of the moon, 391 - semidiameter of the moon, 391 ACAILLE, equation of the centre, 383 longitude of the perihelion, 382 parallax of the sun, 370 Lagrange, figure of the moon, 393 — mass of the earth, 387 - mass of Venus, 379 Lahire, on Halley's comet, 310 Lalande, observation of Neptune, 426 - parallax of the moon, 390 - secular variation of the moon, 389 semidiameter of the sun, 369 Lambert, plane of Saturn's ring, 417 Lamont, observation of Neptune, 426 Lamp, E., parallax of \$ 2398, 445 Lamp, J., parallax of 61 Cygni, 446 Langley, heat of the moon, 396 Laplace, coefficient of refraction, 367 - density of the earth, 387 - e'ements of Jupiter's satellites, 408 - ellipticity of the earth, 385 ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
 equilibrium of Saturn's ring, 418 figure of the moon, 393
great inequality of Jupiter, 402 - great inequality of Saturn, 411, 412 - inertia of the moon, 393, 394 invariable plane, 436
 limits of obliquity of ecliptic, 363
 mass of the earth, 388 mass of Jupiter, 405
 mass of the moon, 391
 mass of Saturn, 413
 mass of Uranus, 423 - mass of Venus, 379 - ma ses of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - moon's libration, 393 nutation, 365
parallax of the sun, 370 - perturbations of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 - rotation of the earth, 386 - satellites of Jupiter, 290 · - satellites of Saturn, 419 - secular variation of the moon, 389 - theory of the zodiacal light, 452 Lassell, discovery of Ariel, 424 - discovery of Hyperion, 420 - discovery of Umbriel, 424 - satellite of Neptune, 427 Lassell and Marth, diameter of Neptune, 427

LIG

Lassell and Marth, diameter of Uranus, 422 Latitude, 101 - celestial, 176 - determination of, 107 - illustration of computation of, 108 Laugier, elements of Halley's comet, - Halley's comet, 430 Legendre, ellipticity of the earth, 385 Lehmann, elements of Halley's comet, 429 - secular diminution of obliquity of ecliptic, 363 Lemonnier, observations of Uranus, 422 Lenses, 4 - achromatic, 12 - converging, 5 - diverging, 6 - effect of, 7 - focal length of, 5 Leo, 63 Leonids, the, 434, 435, 436 Level, error of, 86 Leverrier, bodies passing across the sun, 372 - diameter of Mercury, 375 - discovery of Neptune, 296 duration of the year, 382 eccentricity of Mars, 397
 elements of Jupiter, 492 - elements of Mars, 396 - elements of Neptune, 425 elements of Saturn, 411
 elements of the sun's path, 384
 elements of Uranus, 422 - equation of the centre, 383 great inequality of Jupiter, 394
 great inequality of Saturn, 412
 longitude of the perihelion, 383 - longitude of the sun's perigee, 217 mass of the earth, 388
mass of Mars, 398
mass of Mercury, 376 - mass of the minor planets, 401 - mass of the moon, 392 - mass of Saturn, 443 - mass of Venus, 379 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - orbit of Mercury, 374 - orbit of Venus, 377 - parallax of the sun, 370, 371 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 - secular diminution of obliquity of the ecliptic, 363 - semidiameter of the sun, 369 - tables of Saturn, 412 - tables of the sun, 383 Light, aberration of, 217, 366 - composition of, 38 - polarised, 135 - velocity of, 219 - white, constitution of, II - zodiacal, 200

LIM

Limb, 136 Lindenau, Von, discovery of Neptune, 426 - eccentricity of Mars, 397 - ellipticity of the earth, 385 - parallax of a Ursæ minoris, 443 Lindsay and Gill, parallax of the sun, Line, horizontal, 73 - vertical, 72 Litchou-Foung, obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 Lockyer, chemistry of the sun, 197 - constitution of the sun, 374 - origin of sun-spots, 193 - spectra of sun-spots, 195 - stellar spectroscopy, 442 - variable stars, 345 Lohse, O., surface of Jupiter, 406 Longitude, celestial, 176 — determination of, by lunar distances, 260 - determination of, by telegraph, 260 Longomontanus, on comet of 1607, 310 Lunar distances, 260 Lunation, 250 Lundahl, nutation, 365 Luthmer, on Jupiter's satellites, 407 Lyra, 65 - annular nebula in, 358 Lyraids, the, 436 ACLEAR, aberration, 366 - parallax of a Centauri 444 parallax of B Centauri, 444 Mädler, ellipticity of Uranus, 423 - motion of solar system, 437 - proper motion of stars, 447 Main, aberration, 366 - diameter of Mercury, 375 - diameter of Saturn, 412 - ellipticity of Saturn, 412 - measures of Saturn's rings, 415 - proper motion of stars, 447 Mairan, on nebulæ, 449 Maraldi, pole of Mars, 399 - transits of Jupiter's satellites, 407 Marriotte, on blue stars, 441 Mars, 279 - albedo of, 399 - appearance of, 285 - brilliancy of, 399 - causes of apparent motion among the stars, 285 - dates of favourable oppositions, 283 - diameter of, 286, 397 - distance from the earth at opposition, 279 - eccentricity of, 397 - elements of, 396 - ellipticity of, 397 - inclination of equator to orbit, 286 - mass of, 398

MIC

Mars, mean distance from sun, 279 - motion among the stars, 284 - near approaches to the earth, 267 - opposition of, 279 - period of revolution, 279 - period of revolution compared with that of the earth, 283 - rotation of, 285, 397 - satellites of, 286, 399 - search for satellites of, 286 - shape of disc, 286 - surface of, 399 Marth, on nebulæ, 450 - rotation of Jup ter, 404 Maskelyne, longitude of Spica, 215 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - parallax of the sun, 370 Mason, tables of the moon, 388 Maxwell, stability of Saturn's ring, 418 Mayer, Simon, Jupiter's satellites, 407 - on nebulæ, 449 - revolution of Jupiter's satellites, 408 Mayer, Tobias, diameter of Saturn, 413 — inclination of lunar orbit, 390 - observation of Uranus, 422 proper motion of stars, 446
 Mayer, W., ellipticity of Saturn, 413
 measures of Saturn's rings, 415 Méchain, observations of Encke's comet, 432 Medusa, mean distance of, 401 Memoirs of the Royal Astronomical Society, 362 Mercury, 276 - apparent motion of, 205 - brilliancy of, 277, 377 — cycle of, 277 — diameter of, 276, 375 - greatest brilliancy of, 278 - greatest elongation of, 277 - mass of, 376 - not often seen, 276 - orbit of, 374 - orbit, eccentricity of, 277 - period of revolution, 277 - period of rotation, 278, 376 - plane of the equator, 377 - surface of, 377 - transits of, 375 Meridian, 73 circle, divisions of, 18 Messier, on nebulæ, 449, 450 Meteorites, 313 Meteors, 434 Meton, cycle of, 251 Michelson, diameters of Jupiter's satellites, 410 Michez, Biela's comet, 432 Micrometer, 119 - parallel wire, 119 - reversing wires, 128 - screw, 120

- screw, value of one revolution, 122

- taking observations with, 121

462

Index.

MIL

Milky Way, 57, 339, 451 Mimas, 418 Minute, definition of a, 16 Mira, cycle of changes in, 344 Mirrors, composition of, 16 Moesta, parallax of a Centauri, 444 - parallax of β Centauri, 444 Möller, on Faye's comet, 430 - mass of Jupiter, 405 Monck, orbits of comets, 435 Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society, 362 Months, origin of, 233 - species of, 389 Montigny, on scintillation, 441 Moon, albedo of, 395 - annual equation, 334 - apparent motion compared with sun's, IQ - apparent movements, 224 -- ascending node, 244 - brilliancy of, 395 changes on surface, 395 - colour during eclipse, 253 - craters in, 248 - descending node, 244 - determination of parallax of, 240 - diameter of, 242, 391 - dimensions of, 242 - distance from the earth, 242 - eccentricity of orbit, 237 - equator of, 392 - equatorial horizontal parallax, 242 - figure of, 236, 393 - gravitation on, 31 heat from, 395
 inclination of orbit to ecliptic, 390 - inclination of orbit to equator, 244 length of synodic revolution, 238, 388
libration of, 392
light derived from the sun, 236 - mass of, 391 - mean motion, 238 - method of finding diameter, 239 - method of finding declination of centre, 239 - moments of inertia, 393 - most favourable period for observing the surface, 247 - motion of perigee, 237 - mountains in, 247 - movement of nodes, 244 - movements of, 243 - new, 250 - no atmosphere on, 250 - node, 243 - not self-luminous, 236 - parallax of, 239, 390 - partial eclipse of, 252 - path not constant, 243 - period of the perigee, 237 - period of revolution of nodes, 245 - periods connected with the sun and, 250

NEW

Moon, perturbations by the sun, 333 - perturbations of, 332 - phases of, 233 - position referred to centre, 238 - prediction of eclipses of, 254 - recurrence of eclipses of, 254 - revolution of node, 390 - revolution of perigee, 390 - rotation of, 246 seas on, 22 - secular variation, 388 sidereal revolution of, 245 topography of, 394
 total eclipse of, 252 - Tycho and Copernicus in, 249 - velocity of nodes not constant, 245 - visibility of unilluminated part, 237 - volcanoes in, 247 Motion, laws of, 322 Muller, G., albedo of Neptune, 427 — albedo of Uranus, 424 - albedo of Venus, 381 - brightness of Jupiter, 406 - stellar magnitude of Saturn, 414 NADIR, 73 Nasmyth and Carpenter, topography of the moon, 395 Nebulæ, 357, 449 - annular, 358 classification of, 357 - composition of, 360 - planetary, 359 - resolvable, 357 - spectra of, 360 – stellar, 358 Neison, topography of the moon, 395 Neptune, albedo of, 427 - and Bode's law, 297 brilliancy of, 427
diameter of, 296, 427
discovery of, 296 - elements of, 425 — mass of, 427 — satellite of, 296, 427 Newcomb, aberration, 366 - aposaturnium of Hyperion, 420 elements of Oberon, 425
 elements of satellite of Neptune, 428
 elements of Titaia, 425
 elements of Umbriel, 425 - elements of Uranus, 422 - magnitude of Ariel, 424 - magnitude of Umbriel, 425 - mass of Jupiter, 405 - mass of the moon, 392 - mass of Neptune, 427 - mass of Venus, 380 - mass of Uranus, 423 - nutation, 365 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 363 - parallax of the sun, 370

- precession of the equinoxes, 364

NEW

Newcomb, rotation of the earth, 386
- rotation of Uranus, 423
- secular variation of the obliquity of
the ecliptic 262
Newton, coefficient of refraction, 367
- comets, 308
- density of the earth, 387
- ellipticity of the earth, 385
- Kepler's first law, 326
- law of gravitation, 322
- mass of lupiter 405
- mass of the moon, 301
- mass of Saturn, 413
- nutation, 365
- parallax of the moon, 390
- precession of the equinoxes, 304
Newton, H. A., the Leonides, 435
Nicol prism, 343
Nicollet Junar equator, 202
Nutation, cause of, 338
- of earth's axis, 365
Nyrén, aberration, 366
- nutation, 365
O PERON INT
() Object glass 8
- achromatic, II
Obliquity of the ecliptic, secular diminu
tion of, 363
Occultations, area of visibility of, 259
- phenomena of, 259
Olbers, discovery of Pallas, 297
- discovery of vesta, 297
- tails of comets. 424
Olbers' comet. 433
Olufsen, parallax of the moon, 390
Orion, 62
— belt of, 62
- nebula in sword-handle of, 359
Orionids, the, 430
Oudemans annual parallax 446
- axis of rotation of Mars. 308
DALLAS, 297
⊥ — albedo of, 401
- diameter of, 401
Pape recognition of Tuttle's comet.
Parallax, 230
— of a Centauri, 230
- horizontal, 239
Parallels, 81
Pegasus, square of, bo
mass of Neptune 439
- stability of Saturn's ring, 418
Pendulum, altering length of, 36

PLA

Pendulum, compensating, 37 - effect of temperature on, 37 - isochronism of, 32 - length of seconds, 33 - mercurial compensating, 37 - relation between length and vibration, 33 — simple, 31
 — time of vibration, 32 Perihelion, 206 - of the earth, displacement of, 216 Perseids, the, 436 - orbit of, 320 Perseus, 61 Peters, C. A. F., aberration, 366 - mass of the moon, 392 - parallax of Arcturus, 444 - parallax of Capella, 443 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 - parallax of & Ursæ majoris, 444 - parallax of a Ursæ minoris, 443 Peters, C. H. F., bodies seen passing across the sun, 372 - effect of refraction in the sun, 372 Phenomena dependent on change of place, 103 Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, 362 Phobos, 288 - appearance from Mars, 288 - distance from Mars, 288 - elements of, 400 - horizontal parallax of, 288 - hourly motion of, 288 - orbit of, 288 - time of revolution of, 287 Photographic chart of the heavens, 360 Photography and stars, 360 Photosphere, 188 Piazzi, discovery of Ceres, 297 - star catalogue, 438 Picard, aberration, 366 - diameter of Mars, 397 - Halley's comet, 310 Pickering, brilliancy of Jupiter's satellites, 407 - brilliancy of stars, 440 - diameters of Jupiter's satellites, 410 - magnitude of Enceladus, 418 - magnitude of Hyperion, 420 - magnitude of Japetus, 421 - magnitude of Mimas, 418 - magnitude of Neptune's satellite, 428 - magnitude of Oberon, 425 - magnitude of Rhea, 419 - magnitude of Tethys, 419 - magnitude of Titania, 425 - meridian photometer, 343 - variability of Japetus, 421 Pingré, on comets, 428 - parallax of sun, 370 Plana, lunar equator, 392 - mass of the earth, 388

PLA

Plane, horizontal, 73 - the invariable, 436 Planets, 53 - appearance in telescope, 339 - computation of orbit, 300 determination of, 265 — discovery of new, 295 - distinguished from comets, 310 elements of the movements of, 299 - force acting on, 324 - inferior, 279 - line of nodes, 300 - longitude of the perihelion, 300 - masses of, 330 mean distance from sun, 267 - method of computing masses, 330 - method of identifying, 265 - motion due to the sun, 322 - mutual attraction of, 327 - orbits of, 266 - periodic time, 267 - periodic times constant, 329 - periodic variations of, 329 --- perturbations of, 325 secular variation of, 329
 small variation of eccentricity, 330
 small variation of inclination of orbits, 330 _____ superior, 279 Planets, minor, 297, 400 - - diameters of, 401 - - eccentricity of, 401 - - general features of, 401 - - inclinations of, 401 - - mass of, 401 — — search for, 297 Pleiades, the, 61 number of, 338 - observation of, 67 - position at different dates, 114 Pogson, on Biela's comet, 320 - light ratio of stars, 445 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 Poisson, lunar equator, 292 Polar distance, 99 Pole, the, altitude of, 102 - day and night at, 149 - motion of stars seen from, 149 - sun visible at, 150 Pole, celestial, 60 - - altitude of, 102 - - movement of, 213 — path of, 216
 — period of revolution, 216 Pole star, 59 - change of distance from pole, 216 fixity of, 66
north polar distance of, 69
value of revolution of micrometer screw found by, 124 Pollux, 62 Pons, observation of Encke's comet, 432 Pons' comet, 433

REF

Pontécoulant, great inequality of Saturn, 412 position of the invariable plane, 436 Porter, proper motion of stars, 447 Position angle, 351 Pouillet, heating power of the sun, 373 Pound, diameter of Jupiter, 403
ellipticity of Jupiter, 403
measures of Saturn's rings, 415 Powalki, diameter of Venus, 379 - secular diminution of the obliquity of the ecliptic, 363 - sun's parallax, 371 Præsepe, the, 339 Pratt, rotation of Jupiter, 404 Prevost, motion of solar system, 437 Pritchard, brilliancy of stars, 440 lunar equator, 392
 parallax of a Cassiopeiæ, 443 parallax of 61 Cygni, 446 - parallax of a Ursæ minoris, 443 photographic determinations, 446 - semidiameter of moon, 391 wedge photometer, 343
 Proctor, chart of Mars, 399
 rotation of Mars, 397 Protractor, 18 Ptolemy, duration of the year, 381 — equation of the centre, 383 — evection of the moon, 388 - longitude of the perihelion, 382 magnitudes of the stars, 439
 obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 parallax of the moon, 390 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 - proper motion of the stars, 446 - red stars, 441 - semidiameter of the moon, 391 - semidiameter of the sun, 368 - sun's parallax, 369 - twilight, 368 UARANTIDS, the, 436 Quetelet, periodicity of the Perseids, 435

R ADIAN, 19 — magnitude of, 20 Radiant points, 435 Radius vector, 307 Rahts, orbit of Tuttle's comet, 430 Ramsay, on helium, 198 Ranyard, constitution of sun, 374 — total solar eclipses, 374 Rayet, stellar photography, 443 Reading an angle, method of, 26 Refraction, 47, 367 — atmospheric, 47 — computation of, 51 — effect on length of day, 156 — effect on sun at horizon, 129 — effect on sun's disc, 133

REF

Refraction, formula for, 108 — law of, 48 - law of atmospheric, 52 - table of, 52 Regiomontanus, obliquity of the ecliptic, Regulus, 63 Respighi, constitution of the sun, 274 Rhea, 419 Right ascension, 82 - how determined, 92 - how measured, 83 - origin of, 167 Roberts, parallax of a Centauri, 445 - remarkable nebulæ, 451 Roemer, on aberration, 366 Roman indiction, 251 Rosenberger, elements of Halley's comet, 429 Ross, Lord, heat from the moon, 396 on nebulæ, 450 Rossetti, heating power of the sun, 373 CABINE, ellipticity of the earth, 385 Sampson, R. A., constitution of the sun, 374 Saros, the, 250 Saturn, 411 - albedo of, 414 brilliancy of, 414 - density of, 294 - diameter of, 293, 412 - distance of, 293 - elements of, 411 - ellipticity of, 413 - great inequality of, 411 - mass of, 413 - period of revolution, 293 - period of rotation, 204 - rotation of, 413 - satellites of, 418 - tables of, 412 Saturn's rings, 293, 414 - ansæ of, 293 - constitution of, 294 - mass of, 417 - plane of, 416 - position of, 294 - revolution of, 417 - stability of, 418 Savary, orbit of & Ursæ majoris, 449 Schaeberle, theory of comets, 434 Schafarik, visibility of Venus, 381 Scheiner, rotation of sun, 372 - spectra of nebulæ, 451 - spectroscopic observations of comets, 434 - stellar spectroscopy, 442 Schiaparelli, axis of rotation of Mars, 398 - diameter of Uranus, 422 - ellipticity of Uranus, 423 - orbit of Perseids, 320, 435

SOL

Schiaparelli, revolution of Venus, 275 - rotation of Venus, 380 - rotation of Mercury, 376 - surface of Mars, 399 - theory of shooting stars, 455 Schjellerup, coloured stars, 441 Schmidt, changes of moon's surface, 395 Schmidt, Jul., diameter of Mars, 397 — map of the moon, 394 - rotation of Mars, 398 - twilight, 368 Schmidt, J. F., zodiacal light, 451 Schönfeld, on nebulæ, 450 - catalogue of variable stars, 440 - star catalogue, 438 Schröter, changes in moon's surface, 395 - diameter of Dione, 419 - diameter of Jupiter, 403 - diameter of Rhea, 419 - diameter of Venus, 378 - ellipticity of Mercury, 375 - motion of perisaturnium of Rhea, 419 - plane of the equator of Mercury, 377 rotation of Jupiter, 404
 rotation of Venus, 380 - surface of Jupiter, 406 - surface of Mereury, 278, 377 - topography of the moon, 394 Schultz, on nebulæ, 450 Schur, ellipticity of Jupiter, 403 mass of Jupiter, 405 parallax of 70 \$ Ophiuchi, 445 Schwabe, elements of sun's rotation, 372 sun-spots, 374 Schweizer, parallax of Eltzen 17415, 445 Scintillation, 441 Screw, micrometer, 120 Seasons, changes in length of, 186 Secchi, constitution of the sun, 374 stellar spectroscopy, 340, 442 Second, definition of a, 17 Seeliger, diameter of Uranus, 422 Seidel, brilliancy of Mars, 399 — brilliancy of Jupiter, 406 — brilliancy of Saturn, 414 Serret, rotation of the earth, 386 Shadow, cast by the sun, 118 of upright rod, motion of, 116 Sighting, 21 Sirius, 62 - brilliancy of, 439 - change of polar distance, 212 - change of right ascension, 210 - distance of satellite from, 355 - mass of, 356 - satellite of, 355 time of revolution of satellite, 355 Smith, Piazzi, heat from the moon, 395 spectrum of zodiacal light, 452 Smyth, W. H., on coloured stars, 441 on double stars, 448 Solar spectrum, 194 Solar system, motion through space, 437

466

Index.

SOL

Solstices, the, 142 South pole, 69 Spectroscope, construction of, 39 - examination of light in, 40 Spectroscopy, applied to comets, 434 — stellar, 441 Spectrum analysis, 39 Spectrum, prismatic, 41 Sphere, celestial, 54 circles of, 72 Spica, 64 Spörer, elements of sun's rotation, 372 sun's rotation, 372 Stampfer, diameter of minor planets, 401 Star clusters, 338 globular, 339
 in sword handle of Perseus, 339 Star showers, 316 - causes of recurrence of, 321 - list of principal, 317 - path of, 317 - radiant of, 316 - the Andromedes, 316 Stars, altitude of, 74 - annual parallax of, 226 - apparent variation of length of day, 168 - appearance in telescope, 54 - catalogues of, 438 - change of zenith distance, 101 - circumpolar, 74 - clock, 174 - colour of, 440 - conditions of visibility at culmination, TON - distance of nearest, 232 - distance from Pole constant, 70 - diurnal motion of, 67 fixed, 55
 fixed, similarity between sun and, 187 - how distinguished, 58 - illustration of distance of, 232 - law of motion of, 71 - lower culmination of, 75 - magnitudes of the, 56, 439 - method of finding annual parallax, 231 - minuteness of annual parallax, 229 - motion of, as a body, 66 - motion of, uniform, 71 - number visible to naked eye, 57 - occultation of fixed, 258 - parallaxes of the, 443 - position of, how described, 83 - proper motions of, 345, 446 - rate of motion of, 71 - real proper motion of, 347 - right ascension of, 95 - setting of, 74 - shooting, 312 - spectra of, 340 - telescopic appearance of, 340 - telescopic, rendered visible, II - temporary, 345

STR

Stars, time of one revolution, 72 upper culmination of, 75 variable, 344, 440
 Stars, double, 349, 351, 447
 colours of, 356
 determination of masses, 355 dimensions of orbits, 354 - elliptical orbits of, 354 - motion of, 352 - mutual revolution of, 353 - number of, 350 - number of red, 357 Steinheil, brilliancy of Arcturus, 440 - brilliancy of Sirius, 440 - brilliancy of stars, 439 Stellar photometry, 343 Stockwell, limits of obliquity of ecliptic 363 - position of the invariable plane, 436 - year, duration of the, 382 Stone, coefficient of refraction, 367 - diameter of Venus, 378 mass of the moon, 392 - nutation, 365 - parallax of the moon, 228, 391 - parallax of the sun, 370, 371 - proper motions of stars, 447 – star catalogue, 438 Stoney, G. J., on the physical constitution of the sun, 200, 373 Struve, H., elements of Japetus, 421 — elements of Titan, 420 - mass of Mimas, 418 - mass of Saturn, 414 - motion of solar system, 437 - movement of perisaturnium of Dione, 419 - movement of perisaturnium of Rhea, 419 - periodic time of Mimas, 418 - position of Saturn's rings, 417 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 semidiameter of moon, 391 Struve, O., mass of Neptune, 427 - measures of Saturn's ring, 415 - motion of solar system, 437 - parallax of Capella, 443 - parallax of η Cassiopeiæ, 443 - parallax of µ Cassiopeiæ, 443 - parallax of 61 Cygni, 445 - parallax of Groombridge 1830, 444 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 - ring of Saturn, 417 - stars, double, 448 Struve, W., aberration, 366 - diameter of Dione, 419 - diameter of Rhea, 419 - diameter of Saturn, 412 - double stars, 448 - elements of Tethys, 418 - parallax of a Lyræ, 445 - parallax of a Ursæ minoris, 443 - pole of the Milky Way, 451

Struve, W. semidiameter of the sun, 369 - star catalogue, 438 Struve, W., and Preuss, parallax of a Ursæ minoris, 443 Stumpe, motion of solar system, 437 - proper motion of stars, 447 Sun, altitude at culmination not constant, 112 - annual motion, III - annular eclipse of, 256 - apparent change of size, 134 - apparent diameter of, 127 - apparent motion during the year, 144 - apparent motion of, III - appearance of, on the horizon, 133 - at zenith, 154 - conditions of visibility near pole, 145 - constitution of, 373 - daily increase of right ascension, 137 - declination of, 134 - dimensions of, 187 - direction of motion through space, 347 - distance of, 183, 306 - eclipses of, 255 - ellipticity of, 132 - gravitation on, 333 - heating power of, 373 - length of diameter of, 131, 368 - mass of, 330 - maximum declination of, 137 - motion among the stars, 116 - motion through space, 346 - movement different to that of the stars, 113 - observations of, 116 - orbit of, 180 - parallax of, 239, 302, 303, 369 - parallax found by the parallax of a planet, 306 - partial eclipse of, 253 - path in space, 177 - prominences on, 197 - right ascension of, 134 - rotation of, 372 - shape of disc, 131 - spectrum of the, 42 — a sphere, 189 - structure of, 187 - table of length of diameter, 179 - table of velocities, 182 - tables of, 383 - total eclipse of, 252, 255 - utility of parallax of, 306 - velocity of, 181, 348 - velocity variable, 181 - volume of, 187 Sun-spots, 187 - cavities in photosphere, 192 - nucleus of, 192 - penumbra of, 192 - period of, 190, 193, 374 - size of, 188 - spectrum of, 195 - and terrestrial magnetism, 191

ABLE of length of day, 152 - of light and darkness, 153 - of refractions, 52 Tacchini, rotation of Venus, 380 Tait, tails of comets, 434 Taurus, 62 Tcheou-Kong, obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 Telescope, achromatic, 13 brilliancy of object seen through, o - Cassegrain, 16 - equatorial, 67 - method of using, 68 - polar axis of, 67 - focussing a, 14 - Gregorian, 16 - magnifying power of, 9, 15 - most simple form of, 8 - Newtonian, 15 - reflecting, 14 - Rosse's, Earl of, 16 Tempel's comets, 433 Temperature, changes of, on the earth, 157 - changes of, at the equator, 164 - effect on micrometer screw, 127 - maximum, during the year, 162 - mean, 164 - mean, cause of difference of, 165 - minimum, during the year. 163 - variation of, during the year, 163 Tennant, diameter of Venus, 379 Terby, surface of Mars, 399 Tethys, 418 Thackeray, nutation, 365 Thome, star catalogue, 439 Time, conversion of, into arc, 94. — mean, 138 - measurement of, 30 — sidereal, 77, 138 — sidereal, reasons for using, 139 Tisserand, mass of the earth, 388 - mass of Mercury, 379 - mass of Saturn's ring, 417 Titan, 420 Titania, 425 Todd, sun's parallax, 371 - tables of Jupiter's satellites, 410 Tourmaline, 135 Transit instrument, 83 - adjustment of, to meridian, 89 - chronographic method of observing with, 96 - general appearance of, or - great circle of, coincident with meridian, 86 - level continually changing, 88 - method of finding right ascentions with, 96 - observing with, 84 Tropic of Cancer, 153 - of Capricorn, 154 Tropics, 155 Trouvelot, ring of Saturn, 417

TRO

Index

TUP

Tupman, sun's parallax, 371 Tuttle's comet, 430 - next return of, 430 Twilight, 157, 367 - at midsummer, 159 effect in Arctic regions, 161
 Tycho Brahe, diameter of Mercury, 375
 diameter of Venus, 378 - duration of the year, 381 - inclination of lunar orbit, 390 - longitude of the perihelion, 382 - moon's variation, 388 - obliquity of the ecliptic, 362 - parahax of the moon, 390 - precession of the equinoxes, 364 - the quadrant, 22 - refraction, 367 - semidiameter of the moon, 391 - semidiameter of the sun, 369 - twilight, 368 FFERDINGER, length of seconds pendulum, 385 Umbriel, 424 Universe in motion, 348 Uranometria Oxoniensis, 343 Uranus, albedo of, 424 - and Bode's law, 294 - brilliancy of, 423 - diameter of 422 - discovery of, 295, 421 - distance from the sun, 295 - elements of, 422 — mass of, 423 rotation of, 423
satellites of, 424 Ursa Major, 59 — motion of, in a day, 67 - planetary nebula in, 359 Ursa Minor, 60 Ursæ majoris, &, distance of components, 354 - period of revolution, 354 VEGA, 65 - the future pole star, 216

WUR Venus, orbit of, 377 period of revolution, 268
phases of, 270, 380
rotation of, 380
spectrum of, 381

— parallax of, 232 Venus, 268 - atmosphere on, 275 - brilliancy of, 380 - changes in appearance of, 269 - diameter of, 274, 378 - distance from sun, 268 - ellipticity of, 379 evening star, an, 269
 greatest brilliancy of, 271 - greatest elongation of, 270 - inclination of plane to orbit, 275 - length of day on, 275 - mass of, 379 - morning star, a, 258

- motion of, 204

- surface of, 275 - transits of, 275, 302, 378 - velocity of, 268 - year of, 275 Vertical, prime, 159 Vesta, 297 — albedo of, 401 - diameter of, 401 - distance from the sun, 297 - visibility of, 401 Vico, de, rotation of Venus, 380 Vidal, ellipticity of Venus, 379 Violle, heating power of the sun, 373 Virgo, 64 Vision, line of, 23 Vogel, diameter of Uranus, 422 - spectrum of Jupiter, 406 - spectrum of Uranus, 424 - spectrum of Venus, 381 - spectrum of zodiacal light, 452 stellar spectroscopy, 442
 surface of Mercury, 377
 velocity of a celestial body, 442 WEBB, double stars, 449 - map of the moon, 394 Weeks, origin of, 234 Westphalen, elements of Halley's comet, 429 Wichmann, inertia of the moon, 394 - lunar equator, 392 - parallax of Groombridge 1830, 444 Williams, on comets, 428 - rotation of Saturn, 413 - surface of Jupiter, 406 Wilsing, density of the earth, 387 elements of the sun's rotation, 372 Wilson, on sun-spots, 192 Wilson and Gray, heating power of the sun, 373 Winds, 167 Winnecke, parallax of Lalande 21185, 444 - parallax of \$ 1516, 444 Winnecke's comet, 432 - ellipticity of orbit, 432 - next return of, 432 Wislicenus, rotation of Mars, 398 Wolf, R., solar spots, 374 - minor planets, 299 Wolf's comet, 433 Wollaston, albedo of the moon, 395 - brilliancy of the moon, 395 - brilliancy of Sirius, 439 Wright, A. W., spectrum of zodiacal light, 452 Wright, Thomas, Milky Way, 340 Wurm, mass of Uranus, 423

468

YEA

YEAR, Julian, 396 - length of, 140, 382

- tropical, variation of, 382

- Young, constitution of the sun, 373, 374
- diameter of Uranus, 422
- ellipticity of Uranus, 423
- spectrum of prominences of the sun, 196
- Young, Thomas, theory of the zodiacal light, 452

ZENGER, brilliancy of Jupiter's satellites, 407

- Zenith, 73
- distance, 99
- distance of sun, means of finding, 117

ZON

Zenker, physical theory of comets, 434 Zodiacal light, 451 Zöllner, albedo of the moon, 395 — albedo of Saturn, 414

- brilliancy of Jupiter, 406

- brilliancy of Mars, 399
- brilliancy of Mercury, 377

- brilliancy of the moon, 395 - brilliancy of Neptune, 427

- brilliancy of Saturn, 414
- brilliancy of stars, 439, 440
- brilliancy of Uranus, 424
- constitution of the sun, 373
- physical theory of comets, 434
- stellar magnitude of Saturn, 414

Zones of the earth, 153-156

PRINTED BY SPOTTISWOODE AND CO. LTD., LONDON COLCHESTER AND ETON









THIS BOOK IS DUE ON THE LAST DATE STAMPED BELOW

AN INITIAL FINE OF 25 CENTS WILL BE ASSESSED FOR FAILURE TO RETURN THIS BOOK ON THE DATE DUE. THE PENALTY WILL INCREASE TO 50 CENTS ON THE FOURTH DAY AND TO \$1.00 ON THE SEVENTH DAY OVERDUE.

FEB 27 194	1M.
JUN 11 1945	
APR 6 1946	
APR 20 1946	
A Strange State	



