

DISCUSSION NOTES

Please write clearly so that others can read what you have written!

Use only this side of the paper. Use more paper if you need it.

PLEASE USE BLACK INK – these notes may be photocopied.

If you are using the digital version of this template, once completed, please send to wmcon17@gmail.com as a file or Google Doc. Digital copy can be found in <http://goo.gl/BuPk45>

DISCUSSION TOPIC:

AI

**BREAKOUT
SPACE: 3**

TIME:1800

PARTICIPANTS:

Lydia Pintscher, Aaron Halfaker, Florian Schmidt, Bence Damokos, Marius Hoch, Oliver Stegen, Hogne Neteland, Thomas Pellissier-Tanon, Mykola Kozlenko, Man Jai Lee, Yusuke Matsubara, Leon Liesener, Michal Buczynski, Galder Gonzalez

CONVENOR:

Aaron
Halfaker

KEY POINTS:

- AI is already used in WM projects, e.g. ORES, a vandalism fighting tool, recommending system for articles, detecting aggressive messages (on talk pages). Vandalism detection tool already works with 31 wikis.
- the goal is to minimize the work for the community, so they can focus on other topics
- Interest in Technological solutions for non-English Wikipedia & interest in Minority languages and translation
- "How AI can help Wikipedia"
- "How can Wikipedia help AI"
 - Can Wikidata as a structured data platform support AI?
Similar to "can wikipedia help AI?"
 - Depends on the AI. Knowledge bases are great for certain types of AI.
- WQS could be referred as something like "Indexing AI" -> a more or less usual question can give the answer from Wikidata based on indexes.
- What about bias?
 - word2vec has a gender bias (e.g. pilot - female = stewardess)
 - there is a bias in the world → the people in our space are a biased sample of the world → our rules are biased in certain ways
 - AI can only work on some stages and humans must work on others. E.g. humans need to fix the rules.
 - Biased according to what?
 - e.g. there's a bias in the world, but compared to what?
E.g. there's a bias in the community, but where did it comes from?

- Language bias -- most tools are based in English
 - Other languages have grammatical characteristics that English does not.
 - Way harder to implement a tool from English Wikipedia to another language.
- we know, that bias are happening, but how we can detect them? The best detection machine is the brain"
- How complex removing a bias is depends on the type
 - Example of "ha" in italian and anonymous bias in ORES
-
- It would be great if we have a machine that could look at external sources and compare it to content on the wikis.
-
- We could have a machine that creates an article based on Wikidata
 - AI can learn from already existing text and writes articles -- would help the community -- something they would want
 - The content translation tool translates articles automatically (e.g. English to German)
 - There're already similar things from Google (and probably other) which creates new data with existing data "deep learning"
 - The data creates by AI has still to be validated (by a human?) to verify, that it's valid? Open question is also: Referencing? How does a machine can correctly reference the data (it used to create the content)
 - Could be a bot that writes to Wikipedia or it could be a "placeholder article" generated from Wikidata
 - (Bot created articles are generally out of date whereas article placeholders will be updated with Wikidata)
 - Is it necessary to have text? For a lot of things, an infobox does the trick.
- An AI could learn from an existing Wikidata item and a corresponding Wikipedia article how the data correlates to the natural language and could use this both ways (creating articles from Wikidata or creating a Wikidata item from a Wikipedia article)
-
- Problem spaces
 - Reducing patroller workload
 - E.g. vandalism fighting takes time ML can reduce edits that need review
 - Filling in gaps
 - article place holders fill gaps
 - you can recommend missing statements in Wikidata
 - personalized content creation: e.g. could ask a user a

set of questions and then deliver relevant content -- like a conversation

- We also want to categorize things +1
 - "This article looks like it's in X category"
 - "This image has a dog"
- Finding relevant stuff (e.g. search)
 - Areas that likely need updating
 - Surfacing the good stuff (quality)
 - Find me an image that looks like <foo>
 - Find stuff that is like <foo> but has/doesn't have <bar>
- Constraint solver
 - E.g. this president should have been replaced by now (based on wikidata statements), so this article needs an update
 - E.g. this person was born before 1900, they are probably not still alive
- Enabling comfortable human behavior
 - Convert natural language to a Wikidata Query
 - [Existing demo](#)
- How do we deal with the fact that Wikipedia/Wikidata is the information source behind an AI
 - Goal is free knowledge.
 - Google is already using snippets from Wikipedia
 - When the answer to a question is directly given, where do we get the readers, editors and contributors at all from?
 - Maybe we need to make our own AI! Our own Siri.
- Creating articles with AI always means "The data is still coming from humans"

CONCLUSIONS/RECOMMENDATIONS/ACTIONS (IF ANY):

- AI needs and uses a lot of processing capacity and direct access to system resources (e.g. NFS does not work like a directly attached harddisk)
- Removing false-positives is mostly based on the "control" and the "findings" of the humans
- If an AI creates data, an AI needs to make sure, that it is updated, as small communities may not handle the amount of data to keep it updated
- A search engine could profit from AI, e-g. to understand natural language
- In 15 years: Will I simply ask my question and get the answer from Wikipedia?
 - Google is already doing this with the Knowledge graph, e.g.
- The problem "Where does editors come from then" has to be clarified.

