6-29-83
Vol. 48 No. 126

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

United States
Government
Printing Office
SUPERINTENDENT
OF DOCUMENTS
Washington, D.C. 20402

OFFICIAL BUSINESS
Penalty for private use, $300

Federal Register
(ISSN 0097-6326)

Postage and Fees Paid
U.S. Government Printing Office
375

SECOND CLASS NEWSPAPER

6-29-83
Vol. 48 No. 126
Pages 29833-30082

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

Selected Subjects

CONTINUED INSIDE

FEDERAL REGISTER Published daily, Monday through Friday, (not published on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C. Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The **Federal Register** provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive Orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest. Documents are on file for public inspection in the Office of the Federal Register the day before they are published, unless earlier filing is requested by the issuing agency.

The **Federal Register** will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for $300.00 per year, or $150.00 for six months, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is $1.50 for each issue, or $1.50 for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the **Federal Register.**

Questions and requests for specific information may be directed to the telephone numbers listed under INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE in the READER AIDS section of this issue.

Selected Subjects

Contents

Federal Register
Vol. 48, No. 126
Wednesday, June 29, 1983

CFR PARTS AFFECTED IN THIS ISSUE

A cumulative list of the parts affected this month can be found in the Reader Aids section at the end of this issue.

Rules and Regulations

Federal Register
Vol. 48, No. 126
Wednesday, June 29, 1983

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

7 CFR Part 910

[Lemon Reg. 416, Amdt. 2]

Lemons Grown in California and Arizona; Limitation of Handling

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Amendment to final rule.

SUMMARY: This action further increases the quantity of California-Arizona lemons that may be shipped to the fresh market during the period June 19–25, 1983. Such action is needed to provide for orderly marketing of fresh lemons for the period due to the marketing situation confronting the lemon industry.

DATES: Effective for the period June 19–25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William J. Doyle, Chief, Fruit Branch, F&V, AMS, USDA, Washington, D.C. 20250, telephone 202–447–5975.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This final rule has been reviewed under Secretary's Memorandum 1512–1 and Executive Order 12291 and has been designated a "non-major" rule. William T. Manley, Deputy Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service, has certified that this action will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. This action is designed to promote orderly marketing of the California-Arizona lemon crop for the benefit of producers, and will not substantially affect costs for the directly regulated handlers.

This final rule is issued under Marketing Order No. 910, as amended (7 CFR Part 910; 47 FR 50196), regulating the handling of lemons grown in California and Arizona. The order is effective under the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act as of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601–674). The action is based upon the recommendations and information submitted by the Lemon Administrative Committee and upon other available information. It is hereby found that this action will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the Act.

This action is consistent with the marketing policy for 1982–83. The marketing policy was recommended by the committee following discussion at a public meeting on July 6, 1982. The committee met by telephone on June 23, 1983, to consider the current and prospective conditions of supply and demand and recommended a further increase in the quantity of lemons deemed advisable to be handled during the specified week. The committee reports the demand for lemons continues very active.

It is further found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to give preliminary notice, engage in public rulemaking, and postpone the effective date until 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register** (5 U.S.C. 553), because of insufficient time between the date when information became available upon which this amendment is based and the effective date necessary to effectuate the declared policy of the Act. Interested persons were given an opportunity to present information and views on the amendment during the telephone meeting, and it relieves restrictions on the handling of lemons. It is necessary to effectuate the declared purposes of the Act to make these regulatory provisions effective as specified, and handlers have been apprised of such provisions and the effective time.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 910

Marketing agreements and orders, California, Arizona, Lemons.

PART 910—[AMENDED]

1. Section 910.716 Lemon Regulation 416 (48 FR 27716) is revised to read as follows:

§ 910.716 Lemon Regulation 416.

The quantity of lemons grown in California and Arizona which may be handled during the period June 19, 1983, through June 25, 1983, is established at 395,000 cartons.

(Secs. 1–19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601–674)

Dated: June 24, 1983.

D. S. Kuryloski,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service.

[FR Doc. 83-17555 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

FARM CREDIT ADMINISTRATION

12 CFR Part 614

Loan Policies and Operations

AGENCY: Farm Credit Administration.

ACTION: Interim Rule With Request for Comments.

SUMMARY: The Farm Credit Administration ("FCA"), by its Federal Farm Credit Board is amending its regulation dealing with banks for cooperatives' authorization to make both secured and unsecured loans. This amendment will permit the treatment of loans secured by payment in kind ("PIK") contracts as loans secured by warehouse receipts or other title documents.

DATE: Effective date: June 29, 1983.

Written comments must be received on or before July 29, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Comments or suggestions should be submitted in writing to Donald E. Wilkinson, Governor, Farm Credit Administration, Washington, DC 20578. Copies of all communications received will be available for inspection by interested persons in the Office of Director, Congressional and Public Affairs Division, Office of Administration, Farm Credit Administration.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary L. Norton, Office of General Counsel, Farm Credit Administration, Washington, DC 20578, (202) 426–7631.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: FCA regulation 12 CFR 614.4260 establishes the loan and security requirement for loans made by the banks for cooperatives ("BCs"). The regulation provides that loans which are secured by warehouse receipts or other title document are eligible for advance up to 75 percent of the unhedged net value of the commodity or 90 percent of the hedged value of the commodity.

On March 4, 1983, the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, USDA, published a final rule which provides for a payment-in-kind ("PIK") program for acreage diversion for the 1983 crops of wheat, corn, grain sorghum, rice, and upland cotton. Under the program, producers will be offered a quantity of a commodity as compensation for diverting acreage normally planted to that commodity in addition to that being taken out of production under the 1983 acreage reduction and cash land diversion programs for wheat, corn, grain sorghum, rice, and upland cotton previously announced. The USDA has determined that the diversion of this additional acreage from the production of such crops is necessary to adjust the total national acreage of such commodities to achieve desirable production goals and that producers should be compensated by receipt of like commodities. (48 FR 9232 codified at 7 CFR Part 770).

The Federal Farm Credit Board ("Federal Board") has determined that PIK contracts which have been assigned by producers to marketing cooperatives should be treated as title documents for purposes of 12 CFR 614.4260 because of the unique safeguards contained in the PIK program and in light of cross compliance requirements and provisions for liquidated damages. Accordingly, the interim regulation amends 12 CFR 614.4260 to provide that BC loans secured by contract rights under the PIK program will be eligible for the same net value loan advance amounts as loans secured by title documents.

In permitting these higher percentage advances the Federal Board recognizes that since PIK commodities are not eligible for Commodity Credit Corporation ("CCC") loans the BCs will not be able to rely on the CCC loan rates as price floor for commodities covered by the contracts. Therefore, the BCs must exercise care in valuing these commodities since it is possible that large crops in 1983 and particularly in 1984 may force the market prices for some commodities below loan rates during the 5 month period of contract delivery.

Because of the immediate need to provide authority for higher percentage loan advances for BC loans secured by PIK contracts and the need of the BCs to avoid delay when making commitments to advance funds under these loans the Federal Board has determined, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 553, it unnecessary and contrary to the public interest to provide notice and opportunity for public comment prior to the effective date of this interim regulation. Accordingly, the interim regulation will be effective on the date of publication. The public will have 30 days to submit comments which will be considered before the final regulation is issued.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 614

Agriculture, Banks, Banking, Credit and rural areas.

PART 614—LOAN POLICIES AND OPERATIONS

For the reasons set out in the preamble, 12 CFR Part 614 is amended by revising § 614.4260, paragraph (c) to read as follows:

Subpart G—Security Requirements

* * * * *

§ 614.4260 Banks for cooperatives.

Banks for cooperatives are authorized to make both secured and unsecured loans.

* * * * *

(c) Seasonal loans made to finance commodities that qualify for special interest rate (where applicable) and lending limit consideration shall be secured. Loans secured by a chattel mortgage, factor's lien, security agreement, or security other than warehouse receipts or other title documents shall not exceed 65 percent of the net value of unhedged or 85 percent of the net value of hedged commodities and the borrower must have sufficient working capital to keep the loan properly margined. Loans secured by warehouse receipts or other title documents shall not exceed 75 percent of the unhedged net value of the commodity or 90 percent of the hedged net value and the borrower must have sufficient working capital to keep the loan properly margined. Loans secured by contract rights under the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service, USDA, special program of payment in kind for acreage diversion for 1983 or subsequent crop years of wheat, corn, grain sorghum, upland cotton, and rice (7 CFR Part 770) shall be eligible for the same net value amounts as loans secured by title documents.

* * * * *

(Secs. 5.9, 5.12, 5.18, Pub. L. 92–181, 85 Stat. 619, 620, 621 (12 U.S.C. 2243, 2246, 2252))

Donald E. Wilkinson,

Governor.

[FR Doc. 83–17451 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6705–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Economic Development Administration

13 CFR Part 314

Property Management Regulation; Mortgages

AGENCY: Economic Development Administration (EDA), Commerce.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule publishes in final form an amendment to EDA's property management regulations concerning mortgages. It provides for the waiver by the Assistant Secretary of the prohibition against placing mortgages on property which has been financed by an EDA grant. These requirements for waiver are consistent with EDA regulations concerning a waiver prior to the award of an EDA grant. As this regulation is now written, a grantee may, if certain conditions are met, be allowed at the beginning of the grant to place a mortgage on grant property. The amendment is needed because a grantee sometimes needs to place a mortgage on EDA funded property after the grant has been made.

DATE: Effective date: June 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles W. Coss, Director, Office of Public Works, Economic Development Administration, 14th and Constitution Ave., NW., Room 7019, Washington, D.C. 20230, (202) 377–5265.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EDA published an interim rule regarding its property management regulation at 13 CFR 314.5 concerning mortgages on October 4, 1982 (47 FR 43663). This rule publishes this interim rule in final form without changes. A detailed explanation of the changes made by the interim rule may be found in the Federal Register publication of October 4, 1982.

EDA published the amendment in interim form and allowed interested persons 60 days to comment. EDA received no comments. In accordance with Section 3(c)(3) of Executive Order No. 12291, this rulemaking was submitted to the Director of the Office of Management and Budget. Since this was not a "major rule" a Regulatory Impact

Analysis was not required.

In addition, there are no reporting or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (Pub. L. 96–511).

It has been determined by the General Counsel of the Department of Commerce that this rulemaking will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 13 CFR Part 314

Community development, Grant programs—community development, Loan programs—community development.

Accordingly, EDA amends 13 CFR Part 314 as follows:

PART 314—PROPERTY MANAGEMENT

13 CFR 314.5 is amended by adding a new paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 314.5 Mortgages.

* * * * *

(b) The Assistant Secretary may, after a grant is in place, waive the provisions of this paragraph when he determines all of the following:

(1) The grantee because of its nature and makeup, without a loan from a private lender, does not have the funds to further operate this project;

(2) It is in the best interest of the government not to provide a Public Works loan to the grantee;

(3) The project is closely allied with the community in which it is located, making it unlikely that foreclosure by a private lender would be undertaken;

(4) The private lender would not otherwise lend money without the security of a lien on the project property;

(5) There is no reasonable expectation that the loan by the private lender will not be repaid; thereby eliminating any reasonable expectation of foreclosure by the private lender; and

(6) Whenever possible, the grantee has obtained an agreement from the private lender that in the event of foreclosure of the project, that such property would continue to be used for public purposes.

(Sec. 701, Pub. L. 89–136, 79 Stat. 579) (42 U.S.C. 3211); Sec. 1–105, Executive Order 12185; Department of Commerce Organization Order 10–4, as amended (40 FR 56702, as amended)

Dated: June 20, 1983.

Carlos C. Campbell,

Assistant Secretary for Economic Development.

[FR Doc. 83–17501 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–24–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 271

[Docket No. RM79–76–187 (New Mexico–22); Order No. 310]

High-Cost Gas Produced From Tight Formations; New Mexico

June 24, 1983.

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is authorized by section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to designate certain types of natural gas as high-cost gas where the Commission determined that the gas is produced under conditions which present extraordinary risk or costs. Under section 107(c)(5), the Commission issued a final regulation designating natural gas produced from tight formations as high-cost gas which may receive an incentive price (18 CFR 271.703). This rule established procedures for jurisdictional agencies to submit to the Commission recommendations of areas for designation as tight formations. This final order adopts the recommendation of the State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Oil Conservation Division that the Morrow Formation located in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico, be designated as a tight formation under § 271.703(d).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective July 25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane M. Oliver, (202) 357–8316 or Victor Zabel, (202) 357–8616.

In the matter of High-Cost Gas Produced from Tight Formations; Docket No. RM 79–76–187 (New Mexico–22); Order No. 310.

The Commission hereby amends § 271.703(d) of its regulations to include the Morrow Formation located in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico, as a designated tight formation eligible for incentive pricing under § 271.703. The amendment was proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Director, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation, issued March 3, 1983 (48 FR 9659, March 8, 1983),[1] based on a recommendation by the State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Oil Conservation Division (New Mexico) in accordance with § 271.703, that the Morrow Formation located in Eddy and Lea Counties, New Mexico, be designated as a tight formation.

This amendment shall become effective July 25, 1983.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formation.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq.;* Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432; Administration Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 271 of Subchapter H, Chapter I, *Code of Federal Regulations,* is amended as set forth below.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

Section 271.703 is revised by adding paragraph (d)(135) to read as follows:

§ 271.703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

(d) *Designated tight formations.* * * *

(135) *Morrow Formation in New Mexico.* RM79–76–187 (New Mexico–22).

(i) *Delineation of formation.* The Morrow Formation is located in Lea and Eddy Counties, New Mexico, in Township 19 South, Range 31 East, Sections 27 S/2, 33 E/2, 34 and 35; Township 20 South, Range 30 East, Sections 25, 26, 31 through 34, 35 N/2 and 36; Township 20 South, Range 31 East, Sections 1 through 36; Township 20 South, Range 32 East, Sections 2 through 11, 14 through 23, and 26 through 35; Township 21 South, Range 28 East, Sections 1 and 2, 3: Lots 3, 4, 5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, and S/2, 4 through 20, 21 W/2, 22 through 25, 26 S/2, 27, 28, 29 S/2, and 30 through 36; Township 21 South, Range 29 East, Sections 1 through 7, 8 N/2, and 9 through 36; Township 21 South, Range 30 East, Sections 1 through 12, 14 through 23, and 27 through 34; Township 21 South, Range 31 East, Sections 1 through 12; Township 22 South, Range 28 East, Sections 1 through 28, and 33 through 36; Township 22 South, Range 29 East, Sections 1 through 36; Township 22 South, Range 30 East, Sections 3 through 10, 13 W/2 W/2 and NE/4 NW/4, 14

[1] Comments on the proposed rule and requests for a public hearing were invited. No requests for a hearing were received by the Commission. One comment in support of the designation, from Champlin Petroleum Company, was untimely filed in this docket. Evidence submitted by New Mexico supports the assertion that the Morrow Formation meets the guidelines contained in § 271.703(c)(2). The Commission adopts the New Mexico recommendation.

through 23, 24 W/2 NW/4, and 26 through 36; Township 23 South, Range 29 East, Sections 1 through 3, 10 through 12, 13 W/2 14, 15, 22 through 27, and 34 through 36; Township 23 South, Range 30 East, Sections 1 through 17, 18 S/2 and 19 through 36; Township 23 South, Range 31 East, Sections 19, 30, and 31; Township 24 South, Range 29 East, Sections 1 and 2, 11 through 14, 23 through 26, 35, and 36; Township 24 South, Range 30 East, Sections 1 through 7, 8 N/2, 9 through 16, 17 E/2, and 18 through 36; Township 24 South, Range 31 East, Sections 6, 7, 15 through 22, and 27 through 34; Township 25 South, Range 30 East, Sections 1 through 36; Township 25 South, Range 31 East, Sections 3 through 10, 15 through 22, and 27 through 34; Township 26 South, Range 30 East, Sections 1 through 12; Township 26 South, Range 31 East, Sections 3 through 10; NMPM

(ii) *Depth.* The Morrow Formation is defined as that interval located stratigraphically above the Mississippian Barnett Shale and below the Pennsylvanian Atoka Formations. The average depth to the top of the Morrow Formation is 13,600 feet. The Morrow Formation varies in thickness form 928 feet to 1,475 feet.

[FR Doc. 83-17436 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

18 CFR Part 271

[Docket No. RM79-76-188; New Mexico-23; Order No. 311]

High-Cost Gas Produced from Tight Formations; New Mexico

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is authorized by section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to designate certain types of natural gas as high-cost gas where the Commission determined that the gas is produced under conditions which present extraordinary risks or costs. Under section 107(c)(5), the Commission issued a final regulation designating natural gas produced from tight formations as high-cost gas which may receive an incentive price (18 CFR 271.703). This rule established procedures for jurisdictional agencies to submit to the Commission recommendations of areas for designation as tight formations. This final order adopts the recommendation of the State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Oil Conservation Division that a portion of the Chacra Formation located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico be designated as a tight formation under § 271.703(d).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective July 25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane M. Oliver, (202) 357-8316 or Victor Zabel, (202) 357-8616.

In the matter of High-Cost Gas Produced from Tight Formations; Docket No. RM79-76-188 (New Mexico-23) Order No. 311.

Issued: June 24, 1983.

The Commission hereby amends § 271.703(d) of its regulations to include a portion of the Chacra Formation located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico, as a designated tight formation eligible for incentive pricing under § 271.703. The amendment was proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Director, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation, issued March 3, 1983 (48 FR 9661, March 8, 1983) [1] based on a recommendation by the State of New Mexico Energy and Minerals Department, Oil Conservation Division (New Mexico) in accordance with § 271.703, that a portion of the Chacra Formation located in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico be designated as a tight formation.

Evidence submitted by New Mexico supports the assertion that the recommended portion of the Chacra Formation meets the guidelines contained in § 271.703(c)(2).[2] The Commission adopts New Mexico's recommendation.

This amendment shall become effective July 25, 1983.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formations.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq.*; Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301-3432; Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 271 of Subchapter H, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

Section 271.703 is amended by adding paragraph (d)(136) to read as follows:

§ 271.703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

(d) *Designated tight formations.*

* * * * *

(136) *Chacra Formation in New Mexico.* RM79-76-188 (New Mexico-23).

(i) *Delineation of formation.* The Chacra Formation is located approximately 30 miles southeast of the town of Bloomfield in northwestern New Mexico in the southeastern portion of the San Juan Basin. The Chacra Formation is located in all of Sections 4 through 8, and the N/2 of Sections 9 and 10 in Township 25 North, Range 7 West, NMPM; as well as all of Sections 5 through 9, 15 through 22, 26 through 36, the W/2 of Section 10, the S/2 of Section 14, and the SW/4 of Sections 23 and 25 in Township 26 North, Range 7 West, NMPR, in Rio Arriba County, New Mexico.

(ii) *Depth.* The type section for the Chacra Formations is found at a depth of approximately 3,734 feet to 3,844 feet on an Induction Gamma Ray Log from the Curtis J. Little Turner Well No. 2. The average depth to the top of the Chacra Formation is 3,350 feet. Thickness of the Chacra Formation ranges from 110 to 130 feet.

[FR Doc. 83-17437 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

18 CFR Part 271

[Docket No. RM79-76-166; Texas—3 Addition V; Order No. 308]

High-Cost Gas Produced From Tight Formations; Texas

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is authorized by section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to designate certain types of natural gas as high-cost gas where the Commission determined that the gas is produced under conditions which present extraordinary risks or costs. Under section 107(c)(5), the Commission issued a final regulation designating natural gas produced from tight formations as high-cost gas which may receive an incentive price (18 CFR 271.703). This rule established procedures for jurisdictional agencies to submit to the Commission recommendations of areas for designation as tight formations. This final order adopts the recommendation of the Railroad Commission of Texas that additional areas of the Cisco-Canyon Formation located in Glasscock,

[1] Comments on the proposed rule and requests for a public hearing were invited and none were received by the Commission in this docket.

[2] The United States Department of the Interior, Minerals Management Service concurs with New Mexico's recommendation.

Reagan and Sterling Counties, Texas, be designated as a tight formation under § 271.703(d).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective July 25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter Lawson, (202) 357–8556, or Randall S. Rich, (202) 357–5447.

In the matter of High-Cost Gas Produced from Tight Formations; Docket No. RM79–76–166 (Texas—3 Addition V) Order No. 308.

Issued: June 24, 1983.

The Commission hereby amends § 271.703(d)(166) of its regulations to include additional areas of the Cisco-Canyon Formation as a designated tight formation eligible for incentive pricing under § 271.703. The amendment was proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Director, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation, issued February 14, 1983, 48 FR 6993 (February 17, 1983),[1] based on a recommendation by the Railroad Commission of Texas (Texas) in accordance with § 271.703, that additional areas of the Cisco-Canyon Formation be designated as a tight formation.

Evidence submitted by Texas supports the assertion that the additional areas of the Cisco-Canyon Formation meet the guidelines contained in § 271.703(c)(2). The Commission adopts the Texas recommendation.

This amendment shall become effective July 25, 1983.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formations.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq.;* Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3402; Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 271 of Subchapter H, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

Section 271.703 is amended by revising paragraph (d)(12)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 271.703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

(d) *Designated tight formations.*

* * * * *

(12) *The Cisco Sandstone Formation in Texas.* RM79–76–166 (Texas—3).

* * * * *

(iii) *The Cisco-Canyon Formations.*

(A) *Delineation of formation.* The Cisco-Canyon formations are found in the area of the Conger (Penn) Field and the Conger, S.W. (Penn) Field in Glasscock, Reagan and Sterling Counties, Texas, Railroad Commission Districts 7C and 8. The area includes the following surveys: T&P RR Block 33, T–5–S, Sections 34, 36, and W½ of 38; T&P RR, Block 32, T–5–S, Sections 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 25 through 29, 32 through 42 and 44 through 48; EL & RR RR Sections 1, 2, 3 and 4; D. L. Carver Section 4; H. T. Tweedle Section 2; T&P PR, Block 2, Sections 9 through 14, 21 through 26, 33 through 36, 41, 43, 44, 49 through 52, 61, 62, 69, 70, 71, 89 through 92, 100, 118, 128, 146, 155 and 156; GC and SF RR Sections 1 and 3, GC & SF RY Section 1; W. C. Elam Section 4; CT & MC RR Section 2; W. R. Barton Section 4; S. H. Birdwell Section 17; Brooks & Burleson Sections 1 and 2; and T. B. Wilson Section 2.

(B) Depth. The depth to the top of the Cisco Formation varies from approximately 8,670 feet on the southwest part of the area to 7,680 feet on the northeast. The depth to the top of the Canyon Formation varies from approximately 8,810 feet on the southwest to 7,900 feet on the northeast. Total thickness of the two formations varies from approximately 200 feet on the southwest to 520 feet on the northeast.

[FR Doc. 83–17438 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[1] Comments on the proposed rule were invited and one comment in support of the recommendation was received by the Commission in this docket. No one requested a public hearing and no hearing was held.

18 CFR Part 271

High-Cost Gas Produced From Tight Formations; Texas

[Docket No. RM79–76–160; Texas–30; Order No. 309]

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is authorized by section 107(c)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to designate certain types of natural gas as high-cost gas where the Commission determined that the gas is produced under conditions which present extraordinary risks or costs. Under section 107(c)(5), the Commission issued a final regulation designating natural gas produced from tight formations as high-cost gas which may receive an incentive price (18 CFR 271.703). This rule established procedures for jurisdictional agencies to submit to the Commission recommendations of areas for designation as tight formations. This final order adopts the recommendation of the Railroad Commission of Texas that the Vicksburg Formation located in southwestern Hidalgo County, Texas, be designated as a tight formation under § 271.703(d).

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule is effective July 25, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Walter Lawson, (202) 357–8556, or Randall S. Rich, (202) 357–5447.

Issued June 24, 1983.

The Commission hereby amends § 271.703(d) of its regulations to include the Vicksburg Formation located in the southwestern part of Hidalgo County, Texas, as a designated tight formation eligible for incentive pricing under § 271.703. The amendment was proposed in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the director, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation, issued January 24, 1983, (48 FR 4000, (January 28, 1983))[1] based on a recommendation by the Railroad Commission of Texas (Texas) in accordance with § 271.703, that Vicksburg Formation by designated as a tight formation.

Evidence submitted by Texas supports the assertion that the Vicksburg Formation meets the guidelines contained in § 271.703(c)(2). The Commission adopts the Texas recommendation.

This amendment shall become effective July 25, 1983.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formations.

(Department of Energy Organization Act, 42 U.S.C. 7101 *et seq;* Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432; Administrative Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553)

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 271 of Subchapter H, Chapter I, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below.

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

Section 271.703 is amended by adding paragraph (d)(137) to read as follows:

§ 271–703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

[1] Comments on the proposed rule were invited and one comment in support of the Texas recommendation was received by the Commission in this docket. No one requested a public hearing and no hearing was held.

(d) *Designated tight formation.* * * *

(137) *Vicksburg Formation in Texas.* RM 79–76–160 (Texas—30).

(i) *Delineation of formation.* The Vicksburg Formation is located in southwestern Hidalgo County, Texas, Railroad District No. 4, approximately 15 miles west of the city of McAllen. The area comprises the westerly portion of the Los Ejidos de Renosa Viejo Survey A–70 and is bounded on the west and north by the boundary lines of the aforementioned survey. The eastern boundary is a line beginning at a point on the north line of the survey 1,200 feet east of the southwestern corner of the Yldifonso Ramirez Survey, A–584, and extending south for a distance equal and parallel the length of the western boundary line. The southern boundary is a line extending from the south end of the eastern boundary westward to the Rio Grande River which forms the remainder ot the southern boundary of the area.

[FR Doc. 83–17435 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

21 CFR Parts 193 and 561

[FAP 2H5361/R576/R577; PH–FRL#2391–4 et al.]

Animal Drugs, Feeds, and Related Products; Tolerances for Pesticides in Animal Feeds Administered by the Environmental Protection Agency; Ethyl 3-Methyl-4-(Methylthio) Phenyl (1-Methylethyl) Phosphoramidate

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final Rule.

SUMMARY: These rules establish a food and a feed additive regulation to permit the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting Metabolites in or on certain food and feed commodities. These regulations to establish maximum permissible levels for the combined residues of the nematocide in or on the commodities were requested, pursuant to petitions, by Mobay Chemical Corporation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk (A–110), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry Jacoby, Product Manager (PM) 21, Registration Division (TS–767C), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 227, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703–557–1900).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued notices published in the **Federal Register** cited below which announced that Mobay Chemical Corporation, P.O. Box 493, Kansas City, MO 64120, had submitted food/feed additive petitions (FAP) proposing to amend 21 CFR Parts 193 and 561 by establishing tolerance regulations permitting the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)-phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites in or on certain food and feed commodities as follows:

1. *FAP 2H5361* Published August 25, 1982 (47 FR 37287). Raisins at 0.3 part per million (ppm). Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methyl-sulfinyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate were identified as the cholinesterase inhibiting metabolites.

2. *FAP 6H5149.* Published October 19, 1976 (41 FR 46020). Pineapple bran and pineapple cannery waste at 1.0 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation subsequently amended the petition (48 FR 11161; March 16, 1983) by (1) adding the identification of the two cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methl-sulfinyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and (2) deleting pineapple cannery waste at 1.0 ppm and revising the proposed tolerance limitation for pineapple bran from 1.0 to 3.0 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation amended the petition a second time (48 FR 26536; June 8, 1983), by increasing the proposed tolerance from 3.0 to 10.0 ppm.

3. *FAP 6H5150.* Published December 6, 1977 (42 FR 61626). Citrus molasses at 3.0 ppm and citrus peel at 2.5 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation, subsequently amended the petition (44 FR 66671; November 20, 1979) by (1) reducing the proposed tolerance for citrus molasses from 3.0 to 2.5 ppm; (2) deleting the proposed tolerance for dried citrus peel at 2.5 ppm; and (3) proposing a tolerance for citrus oil at 25.0 ppm and for dried citrus pulp at 2.5 ppm.

4. *FAP 9H5236.* Published October 2, 1979 (44 FR 56737). Apples (dried) and peaches (dried) at 0.2 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation withdrew the petition (47 FR 46757; October 20, 1982) without prejudice to future filing. The petition was refiled by Mobay Chemical Corporation (48 FR 11161; March 16, 1983) proposing a tolerance of 5.0 ppm for dried apple pomace.

5. *2H5361.* Published August 25, 1982 (47 FR 37287). Grape pomace at 1.0 ppm and raisin waste at 3.0 ppm.

The toxicological data and other relevant information submitted in the petitions are discussed in a related final rule document [PP 6F1864, 6F1865, 9F2252, 2E2691, 2F2723/R575], establishing tolerances in or on various raw agricultural commodities, appearing elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register.**

The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the regulations are sought. It is concluded that the pesticide may be safely used in the prescribed manner when such use is in accordance with the label and labeling registered pursuant to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended (86 Stat. 751, U.S.C. 135(a) *et seq*). Therefore, the regulations are established set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register,** file written objections with the hearing clerk, at the address given above. Such objections should specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections. If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing and the grounds for the objections. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted these rules from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–534, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new food or feed additive levels or conditions for safe use of additives, or raising such food or feed additive levels do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the **Federal Register** of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24945).

(Sec. 409(c)(1), 72 Stat. 1786 (21 U.S.C. 346(c)(1)))

List of Subjects

21 CFR Part 193

Food additives, Pesticides and pests.

21 CFR Part 561

Animal feeds, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

PART 193—[AMENDED]

Therefore 21 CFR, Chapter I, is amended as follows:

1. In Part 193, § 193.463 is revised to read as follows:

§ 193.463 Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate.

Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl)-phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate in or on the following food commodities:

Foods	Parts per million
Citrus oil	25.0
Raisins	0.3

PART 561—[AMENDED]

2. In Part 561, § 561.232 is revised to read as follows:

§ 561.232 Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate.

Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl)-phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate in or on the following feed commodities:

Feeds	Parts per million
Apple pomace (dried)	5.0
Citrus molasses	2.5
Citrus pulp (dried)	2.5
Grape pomace	1.0
Pineapple bran	10.0
Raisin waste	3.0

[FR Doc. 83-17518 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

32 CFR Parts 1-39

[DAC 76-44]

Defense Acquisition Regulation

AGENCY: Department of Defense.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document publishes changes to the Defense Acquisition Regulation contained in the Code of Federal Regulations. The changes are the same as those in Defense Acquisition Circular 76-44. This document updates DAR Appendix O, Cost Accounting Standards.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Upon receipt, in accordance with DAR 1-106.2(d).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: J. Brannan, Director, Defense Acquisition Regulatory Council, OUSDRE(AM)(DARS), OUSDRE(M&RS), Room 3D139, Pentagon, Washington, D.C. 20301, Telephone (202) 697-7266.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Defense Acquisition Regulation (DAR) is codified in Title 32, Parts 1-39, Volumes I, II, and III, of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR). The September 1, 1982 revision of the CFR is the most recent edition of that title. It reflects amendments to the 1976 edition of the DAR made by Defense Acquisition Circulars 76-1 through 76-36.

The Department of Defense announced the promulgation of the 1979 CFR edition in the **Federal Register** of December 31, 1979 (44 FR 77158), and also announced at that time that subsequent amendments to the DAR would be published in the **Federal Register**.

Defense Acquisition Circular 76-44

This document contains amendments to the Defense Acquisition Regulation in the form of replacement pages which were included in DAC 76-44, issued March 25, 1983. The following is a summary of the amendments:

Updating and Republication of DAR Appendix O, Cost Accounting Standards. Appendix O[1] is reprinted in its entirety in the DAR circular system to make it current, complete and accurate as of the date the Cost Accounting Standards Board (CASB) ceased operations. Although the CASB Standards, Rules and Regulations are available in Title 4 of the Code of Federal Regulations, and other Government publications, it is believed that DoD contracting personnel must have the standards, rules and regulations available for daily use as part of the DAR.

Because the Defense Acquisition Regulation concerns agency management, public property, and contracts, it is not necessary to issue proposed rules for public comment. Neither is it necessary to delay the effective date until 30 days after the date of publication of these rules, 5 U.S.C. 533 (a) and (d). The amendments became effective upon receipt, in accordance with DAR 1-106.2(d).

Dated: June 16, 1983.

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Washington Headquarters Services, Department of Defense.

Editorial Note:—The Department of Defense has issued DAC 76-44 in order to reprint the regulations contained in 4 CFR Chapter III in its own circular system for the convenience of its subscribers. Since the CASB regulations exist in the **Federal Register**/CFR system, there is no need to reprint them in the **Federal Register**. Please consult Title 4, CFR, revised as of January 1, 1983, for the text of the Cost Accounting Standard Board regulations.

[FR Doc. 83-17446 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

[1] Appendix O is filed as a part of the original document.

Office of the Secretary

32 CFR Part 158

[DoD Directive 5200.30]

Guidelines for Systematic Declassification Review of Classified Information in Permanently Valuable DOD Records

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, DoD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) is reissuing this rule pursuant to E.O. 12356. The Executive order prescribes a uniform information security classification system, provides for declassification of information through a systematic review process, and requires agencies to develop systematic review guidelines. This rule establishes DoD policy and procedures in implementation of the order for the systematic declassification review of information in permanently valuable DoD records. It describes categories of information that are subject to declassification review and provides declassification considerations for use during such reviews.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This rule was approved and signed by the Deputy Secretary of Defense on March 21, 1983, and is effective as of that date.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Arthur E. Fajans, Acting Director, Information Security Directorate, Office of the Deputy Under Secretary of Defense (Policy), Washington, D.C. 20301; telephone 202–695–2686.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In FR Doc. 79–24863 appearing in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 1979 (44 FR 47332), the OSD published a final rule adding a new Part 158 in accordance with E.O. 12065, "National Security Information," June 28, 1978. This final rule revises Part 158 pursuant to section 5.3(b) of E.O. 12356, "National Security Information," April 2, 1982.

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 158

Systematic declassification of information.

Accordingly, 32 CFR is amended by revising Part 158, reading as follows:

PART 158—GUIDELINES FOR SYSTEMATIC DECLASSIFICATION REVIEW OF CLASSIFIED INFORMATION IN PERMANENTLY VALUABLE DOD RECORDS

Sec.

Authority: E.O. 12356, 10 U.S.C.

§ 158.1 Reissuance and purpose.

This part is reissued; establishes procedures and assigns responsibilities for the systematic declassification review of information classified under E.O. 12356 and Information Security Oversight Office Directive No. 1, DoD Directive 5200.1 and DoD 5200.1–R, and prior orders, directives, and regulations governing security classification; and implements § 3.3 of E.O. 12356.

§ 158.2 Applicability and scope.

(a) This part applies to the Office of the Secretary of Defense (OSD) and to activities assigned to the OSD for administrative support, the Military Departments, the Organization of the Joint Chiefs of Staff, the Unified and Specified Commands, and the Defense Agencies (hereafter referred to collectively as "DoD Components").

(b) This part applies to the systematic review of permanently valuable classified information, developed by or for the Department of Defense and its Components, or its predecessor components and activities, that is under the exclusive or final original classification jurisdiction of the Department of Defense.

(c) Its provisions do not cover Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954 or information in nonpermanent records.

(d) Systematic declassification review of records pertaining to intelligence activities (including special activities) or intelligence sources or methods shall be in accordance with special procedures issued by the Director of Central Intelligence.

§ 158.3 Definitions.

(a) *Cryptologic information.* Information pertaining to or resulting from the activities and operations involved in the production of signals intelligence (SIGINT) or to the maintenance of communications security (COMSEC).

(b) *Foreign government information.* Information that is provided to the United States by a foreign government or governments, an international organization of governments, or any element thereof with the expectation, expressed or implied, that the information, the source of the information, or both are to be held in confidence; or produced by the United States pursuant to or as a result of a joint arrangement with a foreign government or governments, an international organization of governments, or any element thereof requiring that the information, the arrangement, or both are to be held in confidence.

(c) *Intelligence method.* Any process, mode of analysis, means of gathering data, or processing system or equipment used to produce intelligence.

(d) *Intelligence source.* A person or technical means that provides intelligence.

§ 158.4 Policy.

It is the policy of the Department of Defense to assure that information that warrants protection against unauthorized disclosure is properly classified and safeguarded as well as to facilitate the flow of unclassified information about DoD operations to the public.

§ 158.5 Procedures.

(a) DoD classified information that is permanently valuable, as defined by 44 U.S.C. 2103, that has been accessioned into the National Archives of the United States, will be reviewed systematically for declassification by the Archivist of the United States, with the assistance of the DoD personnel designated for that purpose, as it becomes 30 years old; however, file series concerning intelligence activities (including special activities) created after 1945, intelligence sources or methods created after 1945, and cryptology records created after 1945 will be reviewed as they become 50 years old.

(b) All other DoD classified information and foreign government information that is permanently valuable and in the possession or control of DoD Components, including that held in federal records centers or other storage areas, may be reviewed systematically for declassification by the DoD Component exercising control of such information.

(c) DoD classified information and foreign government information in the possession or control of DoD Components shall be declassified when they become 30 years old, or 50 years old in the case of DoD intelligence activities (including special activities) created after 1945, intelligence sources or methods created after 1945, or cryptology created after 1945, if they are not within one of the categories specified in §§ 158.7 through 158.10 or in 48 FR 4403, January 31, 1983.

(d) Systematic review for declassification shall be in accordance with procedures contained in DoD 5200 1–R. Information that falls within any of the categories in §§ 158.7 through 158.10 and in 44 FR 4403 shall be declassified if the designated DoD reviewer determines, in light of the declassification considerations contained in section 158.11 that classification no longer is required. In the absence of such a declassification determination, the classification of the information shall continue as long as required by national security considerations.

(e) Before any declassification or downgrading action, DoD information under review should be coordinated with the Department of State on subjects cited in § 158.12, and with the

Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) on subjects cited in section 158.13.

§ 158.6 Responsibilities.

(a) The *Deputy Under Secretary of Defense for Policy* shall:

(1) Exercise oversight and policy supervision over the implementation of this part.

(2) Request DoD Components to review §§ 158.7 through 158.11 of this part every 5 years.

(3) Revise §§ 158.7 through 158.11 to ensure they meet DoD needs.

(4) Authorize, when appropriate, other federal agencies to apply this part to DoD information in their possession.

(b) The *Head of each DoD Component* shall:

(1) Recommend changes to §§158.7 through 158.13 of this part.

(2) Propose, with respect to specific programs, projects, and systems under his or her classification jurisdiction, supplements to §§ 158.7 through 158.11 of this part.

(3) Provide advice and designate experienced personnel to provide timely assistance to the Archivist of the United States in the systematic review of records under this part.

(c) The *Director, National Security Agency/Chief, Central Security Service (NSA/CSS)*, shall develop, for approval by the Secretary of Defense, special procedures for systematic review and declassification of classified cryptologic information.

(d) The *Archivist of the United States* is authorized to apply this part when reviewing DoD classified information that has been accessioned into the Archives of the United States.

§ 158.7 Categories of information that require review before declassification.

The following categories of information shall be reviewed systematically for declassification by designated DoD review in accordance with this part:

(a) Nuclear propulsion information.

(b) Information concerning the establishment, operation, and support of the U.S. Atomic Energy Detection System.

(c) Information concerning the safeguarding of nuclear materials or facilities.

(d) Information that could affect the conduct of current or future U.S. foreign relations. (Also see § 158.12.)

(e) Information that could affect the current or future military usefulness of policies, programs, weapon systems, operations, or plans when such information would reveal courses of action, concepts, tactics, or techniques that are used in current operations plans.

(f) Research, development, test, and evaluation (RDT&E) of chemical and biological weapons and defensive systems; specific identification of chemical and biological agents and munitions; chemical and biological warfare plans; and U.S. vulnerability to chemical or biological warfare attack.

(g) Information about capabilities, installations, exercises, research, development, testing and evaluation, plans, operations, procedures, techniques, organization, training, sensitive liaison and relationships, and equipment concerning psychological operations; escape, evasion, rescue and recovery, insertion, and infiltration and exfiltration; cover and support; deception; unconventional warfare and special operations; and the personnel assigned to or engaged in these activities.

(h) Information that reveals sources or methods of intelligence or counter-intelligence, counterintelligence activities, special activities, identities of clandestine human agents, methods of special operations, analytical techniques for the interpretation of intelligence data, and foreign intelligence reporting. This includes information that reveals the overall scope, processing rates, timeliness, and accuracy of intelligence systems and networks, including the means of interconnecting such systems and networks and their vulnerabilities.

(i) Information that relates to intelligence activities conducted jointly by the Department of Defense with other federal agencies or to intelligence activities conducted by other federal agencies in which the Department of Defense has provided support. (Also see § 158.13.)

(j) Airborne radar and infrared imagery.

(k) Information that reveals space system:

(1) Design features, capabilities, and limitations (such as antijam characteristics, physical survivability features, command and control design details, design vulnerabilities, or vital parameters).

(2) Concepts of operation, orbital characteristics, orbital support methods, network configurations, deployments, ground support facility locations, and force structure.

(l) Information that reveals operational communications equipment and systems:

(1) Electronic counter-countermeasures (ECCM) design features or performance capabilities.

(2) Vulnerability and susceptibility to any or all types of electronic warfare.

(m) Information concerning electronic intelligence, telemetry intelligence, and electronic warfare (electronic warfare support measures, electronic countermeasures (ECM), and ECCM) or related activities, including:

(1) Information concerning or revealing nomenclatures, functions, technical characteristics, or descriptions of foreign communications and electronic equipment, its employment or deployment, and its association with weapon systems or military operations.

(2) Information concerning or revealing the processes, techniques, operations, or scope of activities involved in acquiring, analyzing, and evaluating the above information, and the degree of success obtained.

(n) Information concerning Department of the Army systems listed in §158.8.

(o) Information concerning Department of the Navy systems listed in §158.9.

(p) Information concerning Department of the Air Force systems listed in §158.10.

(q) Cryptologic information (including cryptologic sources and methods). This includes information concerning or revealing the processes, techniques, operations, and scope of SIGINT comprising communications intelligence, electronics intelligence, and telemetry intelligence; and the cryptosecurity and emission security components of COMSEC, including the communications portion of cover and deception plans.

(1) Recognition of cryptologic information may not always be an easy task. There are several broad classes of cryptologic information, as follows:

(i) Those that relate to COMSEC. In documentary form, they provide COMSEC guidance or information. Many COMSEC documents and materials are accountable under the Communications Security Material Control System. Examples are items bearing transmission security (TSEC) nomenclature and crypto keying material for use in enciphering communications and other COMSEC documentation such as National COMSEC Instructions, National COMSEC/Emanations Security (EMSEC) Information Memoranda, National COMSEC Committee Policies, COMSEC Resources Program documents, COMSEC Equipment Engineering Bulletins, COMSEC Equipment System Descriptions, and COMSEC Technical Bulletins.

(ii) Those that relate to SIGINT. These appear as reports in various formats that bear security classifications, sometimes followed by five-letter

codewords (World War II's ULTRA, for example) and often carrying warning caveats such as "This document contains codeword material" and "Utmost secrecy is necessary . . ." Formats may appear as messages having addressees, "from" and "to" sections, and as summaries with SIGINT content with or without other kinds of intelligence and comment.

(iii) RDT&E reports and information that relate to either COMSEC or SIGINT.

(2) Commonly used words that may help in identification of cryptologic documents and materials are "cipher," "code," "codeword," "communications intelligence" or "COMINT," "communications security" or "COMSEC," "cryptanalysis," "crypto," "cryptography," "cryptosystem," "decipher," "decode," "decrypt," "direction finding," "electronic intelligence" or "ELINT," "electronic security," "encipher," "encode," "encrypt," "intercept," "key book," "signals intelligence" or "SIGINT," "signal security," and "TEMPEST."

§ 158.8 Categories of information that require review before declassification: Department of the Army systems.

The following categories of Army information shall be reviewed systematically for declassification by designated DoD reviewers in accordance with this part.

(a) Ballistic Missile Defense (BMD) missile information, including the principle of operation of warheads (fuzing, arming, and destruct operations); quality or reliability requirements; threat data; vulnerability; ECM and ECCM); details of design, assembly, and construction; and principle of operations.

(b) BMD systems data, including the concept definition (tentative roles, threat definition, and analysis and effectiveness); detailed quantitative technical system description-revealing capabilities or unique weaknesses that are exploitable; overall assessment of specific threat-revealing vulnerability or capability; discrimination technology; and details of operational concepts.

(c) BMD optics information that may provide signature characteristics of U.S. and United Kingdom ballistic weapons.

(d) Shaped-charge technology.

(e) Fleshettes.

(f) M380 Beehive round.

(g) Electromagnetic propulsion technology.

(h) Space weapons concepts.

(i) Radar-fuzing programs.

(j) Guided projectiles technology.

(k) ECM and ECCM to weapons systems.

(l) Armor materials concepts, designs, or research.

(m) 2.75-inch Rocket System.

(n) Air Defense Command and Coordination System (AN/TSQ-51).

(o) Airborne Target Acquisition and Fire Control System.

(p) Chaparral Missile System.

(q) Dragon Guided Missile System Surface Attack, M47.

(r) Forward Area Alerting Radar (FAAR) System.

(s) Ground laser designators.

(t) Hawk Guided Missile System.

(u) Heliborne, Laser, Air Defense Suppression and Fire and Forget Guided Missile System (HELLFIRE).

(v) Honest John Missile System.

(w) Lance Field Artillery Missile System.

(x) Land Combat Support System (LCSS).

(y) M22 (SS-11 ATGM) Guided Missile System, Helicopter Armament Subsystem.

(z) Guided Missile System, Air Defense (NIKE HERCULES with Improved Capabilities with HIPAR and ANTIJAM Improvement).

(aa) Patriot Air Defense Missile System.

(bb) Pershing IA Guided Missile System.

(cc) Pershing II Guided Missile System.

(dd) Guided Missile System, Intercept Aerial M41 (REDEYE) and Associated Equipment.

(ee) U.S. Roland Missile System.

(ff) Sergeant Missile System (less warhead) (as pertains to electronics and penetration aids only).

(gg) Shillelagh Missile System.

(hh) Stinger/Stinger-Post Guided Missile System (FIM-92A).

(ii) Terminally Guided Warhead (TWG) for Multiple Launch Rocket System (MLRS).

(jj) TOW Heavy Antitank Weapon System.

(kk) Viper Light Antitank/Assault Weapon System.

§ 158.9 Categories of information that require review before declassification: Department of the Navy systems.

The following categories of Navy information shall be reviewed systematically for declassification by designated DoD reviewers in accordance with this part.

(a) Naval nuclear propulsion information.

(b) Conventional surface ship information:

(1) Vulnerabilities of protective systems, specifically:

(i) Passive protection information concerning ballistic torpedo and underbottom protective systems.

(ii) Weapon protection requirement levels for conventional, nuclear, biological, or chemical weapons.

(iii) General arrangements, drawings, and booklets of general plans (applicable to carriers only).

(2) Ship-silencing information relative to:

(i) Signatures (acoustic, seismic, infrared, magnetic (including alternating magnetic (AM)), pressure, and underwater electric potential (UEP)).

(ii) Procedures and techniques for noise reduction pertaining to an individual ship's component.

(iii) Vibration data relating to hull and machinery.

(3) Operational characteristics related to performance as follows:

(i) Endurance or total fuel capacity.

(ii) Tactical information, such as times for ship turning, zero to maximum speed, and maximum to zero speed.

(c) All information that is uniquely applicable to nuclear-powered surface ships or submarines.

(d) Information concerning diesel submarines as follows:

(1) Ship-silencing data or acoustic warfare systems relative to:

(i) Overside, platform, and sonar noise signature.

(ii) Radiated noise and echo response.

(iii) All vibration data.

(iv) Seismic, magnetic (including AM), pressure, and UEP signature data.

(2) Details of operational assignments, that is, war plans, antisubmarine warfare (ASW), and surveillance tasks.

(3) General arrangements, drawings, and plans of SS563 class submarine hulls.

(e) Sound Surveillance System (SOSUS) data.

(f) Information concerning mine warfare, mine sweeping, and mine countermeasures.

(g) ECM or ECCM features and capabilities of any electronic equipment.

(h) Torpedo information as follows:

(1) Torpedo countermeasures devices: T-MK6 (FANFARE) and NAE beacons.

(2) Tactical performance, tactical doctrine, and vulnerability to countermeasures.

(i) Design performance and functional characteristics of guided missiles, guided projectiles, sonars, radars, acoustic equipments, and fire control systems.

§ 158.10 Categories of information that require review before declassification: Department of the Air Force systems.

The Department of the Air Force has determined that the categories identified in § 158.7 of this Part shall apply to Air Force information.

§ 158.11 Declassification considerations.

(a) Technological developments; widespread public knowledge of the subject matter; changes in military plans, operations, systems, or equipment; changes in the foreign relations or defense commitments of the United States; and similar events may bear upon the determination of whether information should be declassified. If the responsible DoD reviewer decides that, in view of such circumstances, the public disclosure of the information being reviewed no longer would result in damage to the national security, the information shall be declassified.

(b) The following are examples of considerations that may be appropriate in deciding whether information in the categories listed in §§ 158.7 through 158.10 may be declassified when it is reviewed:

(1) The information no longer provides the United States a scientific, engineering, technical, operational, intelligence, strategic, or tactical advantage over other nations.

(2) The operational military capability of the United States revealed by the information no longer constitutes a limitation on the effectiveness of the Armed Forces.

(3) The information is pertinent to a system that no longer is used or relied on for the defense of the United States or its allies and does not disclose the capabilities or vulnerabilities of existing operational systems.

(4) The program, project, or system information no longer reveals a current weakness or vulnerability.

(5) The information pertains to an intelligence objective or diplomatic initiative that has been abandoned or achieved and will no longer damage the foreign relations of the United States.

(6) The information reveals the fact or identity of a U.S. intelligence source, method, or capability that no longer is employed and that relates to no current source, method, or capability that upon disclosure could cause damage to national security or place a person in immediate jeopardy.

(7) The information concerns foreign relations matters whose disclosure can no longer be expected to cause or increase international tension to the detriment of the national security of the United States.

(c) Declassification of information that reveals the identities of clandestine human agents shall be accomplished only in accordance with procedures established by the Director of Central Intelligence for that purpose.

(d) The NSA/CSS is the sole authority for the review and declassification of classified cryptologic information. The procedures established by the NSA/CSS to facilitate the review and declassification of classified cryptologic information are:

(1) *COMSEC documents and materials.* (i) If records or materials in this category are found in agency files that are not under COMSEC control, refer them to the senior COMSEC authority of the agency concerned or by appropriate channels to the following address: Director, National Security Agency, Attn: Director of Policy (Q4), Fort George G. Meade, Maryland 20755.

(ii) If the COMSEC information has been incorporated into other documents by the receiving agency, referral to the NSA/CSS is necessary before declassification.

(2) *SIGINT information.* (i) If the SIGINT information is contained in a document or record originated by a DoD cryptologic organization, such as the NSA/CSS, and is in the files of a noncryptologic agency, such material will not be declassified if retained in accordance with an approved records disposition schedule. If the material must be retained, it shall be referred to the NSA/CSS for systematic review for declassification.

(ii) If the SIGINT information has been incorporated by the receiving agency into documents it produces, referral to the NSA/CSS is necessary before any declassification.

§ 158.12 Department of State areas of interest.

(a) Statements of U.S. intent to defend, or not to defend, identifiable areas, or along identifiable lines, in any foreign country or region.

(b) Statements of U.S. intent militarily to attack in stated contingencies identifiable areas in any foreign country or region.

(c) Statements of U.S. policies or initiatives within collective security organizations (for example, North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) and Organization of American States (OAS)).

(d) Agreements with foreign countries for the use of, or access to, military facilities.

(e) Contingency plans insofar as they involve other countries, the use of foreign bases, territory or airspace, or the use of chemical, biological, or nuclear weapons.

(f) Defense surveys of foreign territories for purposes of basing or use in contingencies.

(g) Reports documenting conversations with foreign officials, that is, foreign government information.

§ 158.13 Central Intelligence Agency areas of interest.

(a) Cryptologic, cryptographic, or SIGINT. (Information in this category shall continue to be forwarded to the NSA/CSS in accordance with section 158.11, paragraph (d). The NSA/CSS shall arrange for necessary coordination.)

(b) Counterintelligence.

(c) Special access programs

(d) Information that identifies clandestine organizations, agents, sources, or methods.

(e) Information on personnel under official or nonofficial cover or revelation of a cover arrangement.

(f) Covertly obtained intelligence reports and the derivative information that would divulge intelligence sources or methods.

(g) Methods or procedures used to acquire, produce, or support intelligence activities.

(h) CIA structure, size, installations, security, objectives, and budget.

(i) Information that would divulge intelligence interests, value, or extent of knowledge on a subject.

(j) Training provided to or by the CIA that would indicate its capability or identify personnel.

(k) Personnel recruiting, hiring, training, assignment, and evaluation policies.

(l) Information that could lead to foreign political, economic, or military action against the United States or its allies.

(m) Events leading to international tension that would affect U.S. foreign policy.

(n) Diplomatic or economic activities affecting national security or international security negotiations.

(o) Information affecting U.S. plans to meet diplomatic contingencies affecting national security.

(p) Nonattributable activities conducted abroad in support of U.S. foreign policy.

(q) U.S. surreptitious collection in a foreign nation that would affect relations with the country.

(r) Covert relationships with international organizations or foreign governments.

(s) Information related to political or economic instabilities in a foreign country threatening American lives and installations therein.

(t) Information divulging U.S. intelligence collection and assessment capabilities.

(u) U.S. and allies' defense plans and capabilities that enable a foreign entity to develop countermeasures.

(v) Information disclosing U.S. systems and weapons capabilities or deployment.

(w) Information on research, development, and engineering that enables the United States to maintain an advantage of value to national security.

(x) Information on technical systems for collection and production of intelligence, and their use.

(y) U.S. nuclear programs and facilities.

(z) Foreign nuclear programs, facilities, and intentions.

(aa) Contractual relationships that reveal the specific interest and expertise of the CIA.

(bb) Information that could result in action placing an individual in jeopardy.

(cc) Information on secret writing when it relates to specific chemicals, reagents, developers, and microdots.

(dd) Reports of the Foreign Broadcast Information Service (FBIS) (— Branch, —Division) between July 31, 1946, and December 31, 1950, marked CONFIDENTIAL or above.

(ee) Reports of the Foreign Documents Division between 1946 and 1950 marked RESTRICTED or above.

(ff) Q information reports.

(gg) FDD translations.

(hh) U reports.

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

June 24, 1983.

[FR Doc. 83-17504 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-01-M

Department of the Army

32 CFR Part 505

[Army Reg. 340-21]

Personal Privacy and Rights of Individuals Regarding Their Personal Records

AGENCY: Department of the Army, DOD.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Department of the Army amends its rule which implements the Privacy Act of 1974 to clarify its policy on the release of information concerning military personnel for the purpose of commercial solicitation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Send any comments to: The Adjutant General, Headquarters, Department of the Army, ATTN: DAAG-AMR-S, 2461 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22331.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mrs. D. Karkanen, Administrative Management Directorate, Office of the Adjutant General at the above address. Telephone: (703) 325-6163.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Department of the Army wishes to clarify the circumstances under which certain information concerning military members may be furnished to third parties for commercial solicitation purposes. Individuals who seek lists or compilations of unit or office addresses or telephone numbers of military personnel for the primary purpose of commercial solicitation normally will be refused such lists pursuant to Exemption 6 of the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552(b)(6)).

List of Subjects in 32 CFR Part 505

Privacy.

PART 505—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, § 505.3 of 32 CFR Part 505 is amended by revising paragraph (b)(2) to read:

§ 505.3 Disclosure of personal information to other agencies and individuals.

* * * * *

(b) *Conditions of disclosure.* * * *

(2) Required under the Freedom of Information Act (32 CFR Part 518). Some examples of personal information pertaining to individual military personnel which normally is released without an unwarranted invasion of privacy are: name, grade, date of birth, date of rank, salary, present and past duty assignments, future assignments which have been approved, unit or office address and telephone number, source of commission, military and civilian education level and promotion sequence number. Lists or compilations of unit or office addresses or telephone numbers of military personnel are not released where the requester's primary purpose in seeking the information is to use it for commercial solicitation purposes. *See* Army Regulation 340-17, paragraph 3-200, number 6b2. For disclosure of personal information pertaining to civilian employees, *see* 5 CFR Part 294.702. *See* also paragraph 3-5, Army Regulation 340-21.

* * * * *

M. S. Healy,

OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

June 22, 1983.

[FR Doc. 83-17159 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

36 CFR Part 7

Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area, Montana and Wyoming; Snowmobile Regulations

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On January 6, 1981, the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, published in the **Federal Register** (46 FR 1312) a proposed rule to designate those areas within Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area where snowmobiles may be used for recreational purposes. This proposal was made available for public review and comment for a period of thirty (30) days following publication in the **Federal Register**, and ending March 9, 1981. Comments received consideration during preparation of the final rule. As a result of this rulemaking process, a final regulation is published to provide for the preservation and enjoyment of the recreation area in a way consistent with both the snowmobile policy of the National Park Service and the off-road vehicle policy of the Department of the Interior.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William G. Binnewies, Superintendent, Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area, P.O. Box 458, Fort Smith, Montana 59035, Telephone: (406) 666-2421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Executive Order 11644 (use of off-road vehicles on public lands), issued February 9, 1972 (37 FR 3877), directed Federal land managing agencies to develop unified regulations and to designate areas of use for off-road vehicles. Such areas must meet criteria which minimize resource damage, harassment of wildlife, disruption of wildlife habitat, and in the case of national parks not adversely affect scenic, natural or aesthetic values.

In response to Executive Order 11644, the Secretary of the Interior issued a Departmental memorandum on May 5, 1972, to assure full compliance with the Order and to provide policies and procedures for its implementation. The National Park Service, as required by the above directive, promulgated 36 CFR 2.34 on April 1, 1974, which closed all National Park System areas to snowmobile use except those specifically designated as open by **Federal Register** on February 14, 1975 (40 FR 6797), designating a portion of the frozen surface of Bighorn Lake as a snowmobile area. Specifically, the area was described as in the vicinity of Horseshoe Bend from the so-called "Narrows" on the south to the "Narrows" on the north, as delineated by signs posted on the ice. Although this area has remained as the designated snowmobile route, unsafe ice conditions have prevented its use since the winter of 1974–1975. The final rule published with this notice will close the entire surface of Bighorn Lake to snowmobile use for safety reasons.

To better comply with the requirements of Executive Order 11644 and 36 CFR 2.34, the National Park Service developed a Servicewide policy revision, which was published in the **Federal Register** on August 13, 1979 (44 FR 47412). This policy provides for the use of snowmobiles in units of the National Park System as a mode of transportation to provide the opportunity for visitors to see, sense and enjoy the special qualities of the park in winter. The snowmobile use must be consistent with the park's natural, cultural, scenic, and aesthetic values; reflect safety considerations and park management objectives; and avoid disturbing wildlife or damaging other park resources.

The policy further provides that, where permitted, snowmobile use shall be confined to properly designated routes and water surfaces which are used by motorized vehicles or motorboats during other seasons. Routes and water surfaces to be designated for snowmobile use are to be promulgated as special regulations in the Code of Federal Regulations. This regulation is necessary to comply with Servicewide policy. Its promulgation also responds to public interest in recreational opportunities in designated portions of Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area where snowmobiles may be used. The designated permitted routes for snowmobile use are: on the west side of Bighorn Lake, beginning immediately east of the Wyoming Game and Fish Department Residence on the Pond 5 road northeast to the Kane Cemetery. North along the main traveled road past Mormon Point, Jim Creek, along the Big Fork Canal, crossing said canal and terminating on the south shore of Horseshoe Bend, and the marked lakeshore access roads leading off this main route to Mormon Point, north and south mouth of Jim Creek, South Narrows, and the lakeshore road between Mormon Point and the south mouth of Jim Creek. On the east side of Bighorn Lake beginning at the junction of U.S. Highway 14A and the John Blue road, northerly on the John Blue road to the first road to the left, on said road in a westerly direction to its terminus at the shoreline of Bighorn Lake. All frozen lake surfaces are closed to snowmobiling.

Public Participation

It is the policy of the National Park Service, whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process.

In the fall of 1979, an environmental assessment was prepared on alternatives for snowmobile use at Bighorn Canyon. Public response to the proposed snowmobile routes was invited by press release from the superintendent. The response period extended from October 1, 1979, through November 15, 1979. Written responses totaled 143, principally from individuals affiliated with a snowmobile club in Cody, Wyoming. The response was generally favorable to the proposed routes on existing roads along the lakeshore, but opposed to deleting the old snowmobile route on the frozen lake surface at Horseshoe Bend. On February 7, 1980, a public meeting was held at the Recreation Area Visitor Center, with a field trip afterward to Horseshoe Bend. The meeting was attended by interested local residents, representatives of the Cody snowmobile organization and the press. After the field trip to view first hand the hazardous conditions at Horseshoe Bend, the consensus of the group was in full support of the proposal.

The snowmobile regulations for Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area were formally proposed in the **Federal Register** on January 6, 1981 (46 FR 1312). During the ensuing thirty (30) day public review period, nine comments were received all favorable to the proposed rule. Consequently, the rule published here is the same as that proposed on March 1, 1982, with one technical revision. The proposed rule permitted the emergency or administrative use of snowmobiles outside designated routes. This provision will be covered by the general regulations of the National Park Service (36 CFR Parts 1–6), which are to be finalized shortly, making the authority provided in the proposed rule unnecessary.

Drafting Information

The following individuals participated in the writing of these regulations: Richard W. Hougham, South District Ranger, and Richard L. Lake, Chief Park Ranger; Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This regulation does not contain information collection requirements which require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 305 *et seq.*

Compliance With Other Laws

The Department of the Interior has determined that this document is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291, and certifies that this document would have no "significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities," under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 501 *et seq.*). Snowmobiling opportunities are limited in the immediate vicinity of the recreation area. However, even at Bighorn Canyon it is anticipated adequate snowfall will occur on average once every third winter. Consequently, no important economic sector could be sustained or significantly affected by this regulation. Some positive, albeit limited, benefit may accrue to the local economy in the form of gas, oil and repairs for snowmobiles and related equipment.

Authority: Section 3 of the Act of August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 3).

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 7

National parks.

PART 7—SPECIAL REGULATIONS, AREAS OF THE NATIONAL PARK SYSTEM

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 7 of Title 36 of Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding paragraph (b) to § 7.92 as follows:

§ 7.92 Bighorn Canyon National Recreation Area.

* * * * *

(b) *Snowmobiles.* (1) Designated routes to be open to snowmobile use: On the west side of Bighorn Lake, beginning immediately east of the Wyoming Game and Fish Department Residence on the Pond 5 road northeast to the Kane Cemetery. North along the main traveled

road past Mormon Point, Jim Creek, along the Big Fork Canal, crossing said canal and terminating on the south shore of Horseshoe Bend, and the marked lakeshore access roads leading off this main route to Mormon Point, north and south mouth of Jim Creek, South Narrows, and the lakeshore road between Mormon Point and the south mouth of Jim Creek. On the east side of Bighorn Lake beginning at the junction of U.S. Highway 14A and the John Blue road, northerly on the John Blue road to the first road to the left, on said road in a westerly direction to its terminus at the shoreline of Bighorn Lake. All frozen lake surfaces are closed to snowmobiling.

(2) On roads designated for snowmobile use only that portion of the road or parking area intended for other motor vehicle use may be used by snowmobiles. Such roadway is available for snowmobile use only when the designated road or parking area is closed by snow depth to all other motor vehicles used by the public. These routes will be marked by signs, snow poles or other appropriate means. The superintendent shall determine the opening and closing dates for use of designated snowmobile routes each year. Routes will be open to snowmobile travel when they are considered to be safe for travel but not necessarily free of safety hazards. Snowmobiles may travel in these areas with the permission of the superintendent, but at their own risk.

(3) Snowmobile use outside designated routes is prohibited.

Dated: June 10, 1983.

J. Craig Potter,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 83-17429 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

---

36 CFR Part 7

Glacier National Park, Montana; Fishing Regulations

AGENCY: National Park Service, Interior.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: On March 25, 1983, the National Park Service, Department of the Interior, published in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 12563) a proposed rule modifying fishing season opening and closing dates, fish catch limits, and fish entrail disposal methods for Glacier National Park. The proposal was made available for public review and comment for a period of thirty (30) days following publication in the **Federal Register**, and ending on April 27, 1983. Comments received consideration during preparation of the final rule. As a result of this rulemaking process, the final regulation is published to provide for the preservation and enjoyment of the park by allowing sport fishing and encouraging native fish species in Glacier National Park.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles B. Sigler, Chief Park Ranger, Glacier National Park, Telephone: (406) 888-5441.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Pursuant to the Act of August 25, 1916, establishing the National Park Service, the fishery of Glacier National Park is to be managed to conserve native fish populations, as well as provide for the enjoyment of the public. Current fishing regulations for Glacier were adopted more than a decade ago. During the past four years, considerable data concerning the park fishery have been gathered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and the park aquatic biologists. Conclusions based on these data indicated the present fishing regulations are in need of change. The intent of the new regulations is to better encourage native fish species, discourage exotic species, and to improve fish entrail disposal. It is also felt the park fishing season can closely match the States general season.

Current regulations provide for a catch limit of an aggregate of five game fish, not to exceed three cutthroat trout, lake trout, bull trout, or grayling. Some waters are closed to fishing to protect native cutthroat and bull trout spawning stock. Special regulations involving catch and release fishing and fly fishing only apply to a few waters. Sanitation regulations prohibit disposal of fish entrails in fresh waters. The current park fishing season extends from June 5 until October 15.

Daily catch limits will be changed in the final rule to the following: cutthroat trout 2; bull trout 1; burbot (ling) 5; northern pike 5; whitefish 5; kokanee salmon 5; brook trout 5; grayling 5; lake trout 5, except on Lake McDonald, where the limit is 10; rainbow trout 5. The possession limit will not exceed 10 fish.

In backcountry waters, fish entrails are to be disposed of by puncturing the air bladder and depositing in deep water in the lake or stream from which they were taken, at a distance at least 200 feet from the nearest campsite. This will allow a sanitary and safe method of entrail disposal in bear habitat, instead of burying, depositing on the ground, or packing out. This regulation is part of the bear management program, and when now implemented will reduce the possibility of bears being drawn into confrontations with humans, while scavenging the inedible fish parts deposited on lakeshores or stream banks.

The final regulations also lengthen the general park season to extend from 12:01 a.m., on the third Saturday of May, until midnight of November 30, with some exceptions. This coincides with the general State fishing season outside the park. A special season for lake trout will allow fishing on Lake McDonald from April 1 unitl the third Saturday in May, in December 1, through December 31. There is no change in the closure of tributary streams of the North Fork and Middle Fork of the Flathead River, for protection of native bull trout spawning areas. Moreover, there is no change in waters previously restricted to fly fishing only or catch and release fishing only.

Public Participation

The policy of the Department of the Interior is, whenever practical, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rulemaking process. To this end, a news release was distributed by the park to local newspapers and radio stations, upon the proposed rule's publication, to elicit public comment. During the 30-day comment period ending April 27, 1983, three letters and one telephone call were received inquiring about or commenting on the proposed regulation. Two of the letters pertained to Lake McDonald; one being fairly unspecific as to its concern. The other asked if the regulation would be temporary; it is not. A third respondent questioned whether the method of fish entrail disposal would result in water pollution. As prescribed in the regulation, the punctured air bladder will permit the entrails to sink, returning them to the nutrient cycle by natural processes that pose no threat to water quality. This finding is based on five years of research at Glacier and Yellowstone National Parks.

Lacking any significant public objection, the final rule published here is identical to that appearing March 25, 1983 in the **Federal Register.**

Drafting Information

The following persons participated in the writing of this regulation: Charles B. Sigler, Chief Park Ranger: William F. Conrod, Resource Management Ranger; David Lange, Resource Management Ranger; Dr. Leo Marnell, Aquatic Biologist; all of Glacier National Park.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule does not contain information collection requirements which require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

Compliance With Other Laws

The Department of the Interior has determined that this document is not a "major rule" under Executive Order 12291 and certifies that this document will not have a "significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities" under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 *et seq.*). By extending fishing opportunities, this regulation should have a positive, albeit limited, effect on local sporting goods stores and other establishments provisioning anglers.

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act, 42 U.S.C. 4332, the Service has prepared an environmental assessment on this proposed regulation, which is available at the address noted above.

(Sec. 3 of the Act of August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 3))

List of Subjects in 36 CFR Part 7

National parks.

PART 7—[AMENDED]

In consideration of the following, 36 CFR 7.3 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (a) and adding paragraph (a)(5), and revising the introductory paragraph (b)(2) and adding paragraph (b)(3) as follows:

§ 7.3 Glacier National Park.

(a) *Fishing, open season.* All waters in the parks shall be open to fishing from 12:01 a.m. on the third Saturday of May and end at 12 midnight on November 30, except as otherwise provided by the following restrictions:

* * * * *

(5) Lake McDonald will be extended to fishing for lake trout only from 12:01 a.m., April 1 to 12 midnight on the third Friday in May, and from 12:01 a.m. on December 1 to 12 midnight, December 31.

(b) *Fishing, daily limit of catch, possession limit and entrail disposal.*

* * * * *

(2) The daily limit for sport fish in waters of Glacier National Park are: cutthroat trout 2; bull trout 1; burbot (ling) 5; northern pike 5; whitefish 5; kokanee salmon 5; brook trout 5; grayling 5; rainbow trout 5; lake trout 5, except in Lake McDonald, where the limit is 10. The possession limit will not exceed 10 fish. However:

* * * * *

(3) In backcountry waters, fish entrails will be disposed of by puncturing the air bladder and depositing the entrails in deep water in the lake or stream from which they were taken, at a distance of 200 feet or more from any campsite.

* * * * *

Dated: June 1, 1983.

G. Ray Arnett,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

[FR Doc. 83-17500 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

38 CFR Part 1

Privacy Act Exemptions

AGENCY: Veterans Administration.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Veterans Administration is publishing, in final form, revised § 1.582 to title 38, Code of Federal Regulations. This revision permits the Veterans Administration to implement general and specific exemptions of the Privacy Act of 1974 for certain Agency systems of records identified in the revised regulation. Through this revision of §1.582, four Veterans Administration systems of records are exempt pursuant to provisions of the Privacy Act.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Howard C. Lem, Office of the General Counsel (024H), 810 Vermont Avenue, NW., Washington, DC 20420, (202) 389-3431.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 26, 1983, a proposed revision of § 1.582 was published on pages 18841 to 18844 of the **Federal Register.** Interested persons were given 30 days to submit comments on the proposed revision. No comments were received. This regulation revision is necessary to implement general and specific exemptions of the Privacy Act for two Office of Inspector General and two Department of Veterans Benefits systems of records pursuant to provisions of subsections (j)(2), (k)(2) and/or (k)(5) of the Privacy Act. It has been determined that this revision to this VA regulation is other than major under the criteria of Executive Order 12291 on Federal Regulations. It will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; it will not result in major increases in costs for consumers, individual industries, Federal, state or local government agencies, or geographic regions, nor will it have a significant adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation or on the ability of the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets. The Administrator hereby certifies that this regulation will not, once promulgated, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as they are defined in the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601 through 612. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), this regulation is, therefore, exempt from the initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses requirements of sections 603 and 604. The reason for this certification is that this rule affects the Privacy Act rights of individuals and does not generally regulate small entities. Further, there are no reporting or recordkeeping requirements, additional paperwork burdens or other regulatory burdens imposed.

Any economic impact on small business entities is indirect, minimal and would occur in very few instances.

There is no catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance number.

List of Subjects in 38 CFR Part 1

Administrative practice and procedure, Investigations, Privacy Act.

The following revision to the regulation is hereby adopted and set forth as final.

Approved: June 23, 1983.

By direction of the Administrator:

Everett Alvarez, Jr.

Deputy Administrator.

PART 1—[AMENDED]

38 CFR Part 1, *General,* is amended by revising § 1.582 to read as follows:

§ 1.582 Exemptions.

(a) Certain systems of records maintained by the Veterans Administration are exempted from provisions of the Privacy Act in accordance with exemptions (j) and (k) of 5 U.S.C. 552a.

(b) *Exemption of Inspector General Systems of Records.* The Veterans Administration provides limited access to Inspector General Systems of Records as indicated.

(1) The following systems of records are exempted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(j)(2) from subsections (c)(3) and (4), (d), (e)(1), (2) and (3), (e)(4) (G), (H) and (I), (e)(5) and (8), (f) and (g) of 5 U.S.C. 552a; in addition, the following systems of records are exempted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) from subsections (c)(3),

(d), (e)(1), (e)(4) (G), (H), and (I), and (f) of 5 U.S.C. 552a:

(i) Investigation Reports of Persons Allegedly Involved in Irregularities Concerning VA and Federal Laws, Regulations, Programs, etc.—VA (11 VA51); and

(ii) Inspector General Complaint Center Records—VA (66VA53).

(2) These exemptions apply to the extent that information in those systems is subject to exemptions pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a (j)(2) and (k)(2).

(3) For the reasons set forth, the systems of records listed under paragraph (b)(1) of this section are exempted under §§ 552a (j)(2) and (k)(2) from the following provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a:

(i) 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) requires that upon request, an agency must give an individual named in a record an accounting which reflects the disclosure of the record to other persons or agencies. This accounting must state the date, nature and purpose of each disclosure of the record and the name and address of the recipient. The application of this provision would alert subjects to the existence of the investigation and identify that such persons are subject of that investigation. Since release of such information to subjects would provide them with significant information concerning the nature of the investigation, it could result in the altering or destruction of derivative evidence which is obtained from third parties, improper influencing of witnesses, and other activities that could impede or compromise the investigation.

(ii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(4), (d), (e)(4) (G) and (H), (f) and (g) relate to an individual's right to be notified of the existence of records pertaining to such individual; requirements for identifying an individual who requests access to records; the agency procedures relating to access to records and the amendment of information contained in such records; and the civil remedies available to the individual in the event of adverse determinations by an agency concerning access to or amendment of information contained in record systems. This system is exempt from the foregoing provisions for the following reasons: To notify an individual at the individual's request of the existence of records in an investigative file pertaining to such individual or to grant access to an investigative file could interfere with investigative and enforcement proceedings, threaten the safety of individuals who have cooperated with authorities, constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy of others, disclose the identity of confidential sources, reveal confidential information supplied by these sources, and disclose investigative techniques and procedures.

(iii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)(I) requires the publication of the categories of sources of records in each system of records. The application of this provision could disclose investigative techniques and procedures and cause sources to refrain from giving such information because of fear of reprisal, or fear of breach of promises of anonymity and confidentiality. This could compromise the ability to conduct investigations and to identify, detect and apprehend violators. Even though the agency has claimed an exemption from this particular requirement, it still plans to generally identify the categories of records and the sources for these records in this system. However, for the reasons stated in paragraph (b)(3)(ii) of this section, this exemption is still being cited in the event an individual wants to know a specific source of information.

(iv) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(1) requires each agency to maintain in its records only such information about an individual that is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required by statute or executive order. These systems of records are exempt from the foregoing provisions because:

(A) It is not possible to detect the relevance or necessity of specific information in the early stages of a criminal or other investigation.

(B) Relevance and necessity are questions of judgment and timing. What appears relevant and necessary may ultimately be determined to be unnecessary. It is only after the information is evaluated that the relevance and necessity of such information can be established.

(C) In any investigation the Inspector General may obtain information concerning the violations of laws other than those within the scope of his/her jurisdiction. In the interest of effective law enforcement, the Inspector General should retain this information as it may aid in establishing patterns of criminal activity and provide leads for those law enforcement agencies charged with enforcing other segments of civil or criminal law.

(v) 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(2) requires an agency to collect information to the greatest extent practicable directly from the subject individual when the information may result in adverse determinations about an individual's rights, benefits, and privileges under Federal programs. The application of this provision would impair investigations of illegal acts, violations of the rules of conduct, merit system and any other misconduct for the following reasons:

(A) In order to successfully verify a complaint, most information about a complainant or an individual under investigation must be obtained from third parties such as witnesses and informers. It is not feasible to rely upon the subject of the investigation as a source for information regarding his/her activities because of the subject's rights against self-incrimination and because of the inherent unreliability of the suspect's statements. Similarly, it is not always feasible to rely upon the complainant as a source of information regarding his/her involvement in an investigation.

(B) The subject of an investigation will be alerted to the existence of an investigation if an attempt is made to obtain information from the subject. This would afford the individual the opportunity to conceal any criminal activities to avoid apprehension.

(vi) 5 U.S.C. § 552a(e)(3) requires that an agency must inform the subject of an investigation who is asked to supply information of:

(A) The authority under which the information is sought and whether disclosure of the information is mandatory or voluntary;

(B) The purposes for which the information is intended to be used;

(C) The routine uses which may be made of the information; and

(D) The effects on the subject, if any, of not providing the requested information. The reasons for exempting this system of records from the foregoing provision are as follows:

(*1*) The disclosure to the subject of the purposes of the investigation as stated in paragraph (b)(3)(vi)(B) of this paragraph would provide the subject with substantial information relating to the nature of the investigation and could impede or compromise the investigation

(*2*) If the complainant or the subject were informed of the information required by this provision, it could seriously interfere with undercover activities requiring disclosure of the authority under which the information is being requested. This could conceivably jeopardize undercover agents' identities and impair their safety, as well as impair the successful conclusion of the investigation.

(*3*) Individuals may be contacted during preliminary information gathering in investigations before any individual is identified as the subject of an investigation. Informing the individual of the matters required by this provision would hinder or adversely affect any present or subsequent investigations.

(vii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(5) requires that records be maintained with such accuracy, relevance, timeliness, and completeness as is reasonably necessary to assure fairness to the individual in making any determination about an individual. Since the law defines "maintain" to include the collection of information, complying with this provision would prevent the collection of any data not shown to be accurate, relevant, timely, and complete at the moment of its collection. In gathering information during the course of an investigation it is not always possible to determine this prior to collection of the information. Facts are first gathered and then placed into a logical order which objectively proves or disproves criminal behavior on the part of the suspect. Material which may seem unrelated, irrelevant, incomplete, untimely, etc., may take on added meaning as an investigation progresses. The restrictions in this provision could interfere with the preparation of a complete investigative report.

(viii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(8) requires an agency to make reasonable efforts to serve notice on an individual when any record on such individual is made available to any person under compulsory legal process when such process becomes a matter of public record. The notice requirement of this provision could prematurely reveal an ongoing criminal investigation to the subject of the investigation.

(c) *Exemption of Loan Guaranty Service, Department of Veterans Benefits, Systems of Records.* The Veterans Administration provides limited access to Loan Guaranty Service, Department of Veterans Benefits, systems of records as indicated:

(1) The following systems of records are exempted pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) from subsections (c)(3), (d), (e)(1) and (e)(4) (G), (H) and (I) and (f):

(i) Loan Guaranty Fee Personnel and Program Participant Records—VA (17VA26); and

(ii) Loan Guaranty Home Condominium and Mobile Home Loan Applicant Records and Paraplegic Grant Application Records—VA (55VA26).

(2) These exemptions apply to the extent that information in these systems is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2).

(3) For the reasons set forth, the systems of records listed under paragraph (c)(1) of this section are exempted under 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(2) from the following provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a:

(i) 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) requires that an agency make accountings of disclosures of records available to individuals named in the records at their request. These accountings must state the date, nature and purpose of each disclosure of the record and the name and address of the recipient. The application of this provision would alert subjects of an investigation to the existence of the investigation and that such persons are subjects of that investigation. Since release of such information to subjects of an investigation would provide the subjects with significant information concerning the nature of the investigation, it could result in the altering or destruction of documentary evidence, improper influencing of witnesses and other activities that could impede or compromise the investigation.

(ii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(d), (e)(4) (G) and (H) and (f) relate to an individual's right to be notified of the existence of records pertaining to such individual; requirements for identifying an individual who requests access to records; and the agency procedures relating to access to records and the contest of information contained in such records. This system is exempt from the foregoing provisions for the following reasons: To notify an individual at the individual's request of the existence of records in an investigative file pertaining to such individual or to grant access to an investigative file could interfere with investigative and enforcement proceedings; constitute an unwarranted invasion of the personal privacy of others; disclose the identity of confidential sources and reveal confidential information supplied by these sources and disclose investigative techniques and procedures.

(iii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)(I) requires the publication of the categories of sources of records in each system of records. The application of this provision could disclose investigative techniques and procedures and cause sources to refrain from giving such information because of fear of reprisal, or fear of breach of promises of anonymity and confidentiality. This would compromise the ability to conduct investigations. Even though the agency has claimed an exemption from this particular requirement, it still plans to generally identify the categories of records and the sources for these records in this system. However, for the reasons stated above, this exemption is still being cited in the event an individual wanted to know a specific source of information.

(iv) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(1) requires each agency to maintain in its records only such information about an individual that is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required by statute or executive order. This system of records is exempt from the foregoing provision because:

(A) It is not possible to detect relevance or necessity of specific information in the early stages of an investigation.

(B) Relevance and necessity are questions of judgment and timing. What appears relevant and necessary when collected may ultimately be determined to be unnecessary. It is only after the information is evaluated that the relevance and necessity of such information can be established.

(C) In interviewing persons or obtaining other forms of evidence during an investigation, information may be supplied to the investigator which relates to matters incidental to the main purpose of the investigation but which is appropriate in a thorough investigation. Oftentimes, such information cannot readily be segregated.

(4) The following system of records is exempt pursuant to the provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5) from subsections (c)(3), (d), (e)(1), (e)(4) (G), (H) and (I) and (f): Loan Guaranty Fee Personnel and Program Participant Records—VA (17 VA 26).

(5) This exemption applies to the extent that information in this system is subject to exemption pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5).

(6) For the reasons set forth, the system of records listed in paragraph (c)(4) of this section is exempt under 5 U.S.C. 552a(k)(5) from the following provisions of 5 U.S.C. 552a:

(i) 5 U.S.C. 552a(c)(3) requires that an agency make accountings of disclosures of records available to individuals named in the records at their request. These accountings must state the date, nature and purpose of each disclosure of the record and the name and address of the recipient. The application of this provision would alert subjects of background suitability investigations to the existence of the investigation and reveal that such persons are subjects of that investigation. Since release of such information to subjects of an investigation would provide the subjects with significant information concerning the nature of the investigation, it could result in revealing the identity of a confidential source.

(ii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(d), (e)(4) (G) and (H) and (f) relate to an individual's right to be notified of the existence of records pertaining to such individual;

requirements for identifying an individual who requests access to records; and the agency procedures relating to access to records and the contest of information contained in such records. This system is exempt from the foregoing provisions for the following reasons: To notify an individual at the individual's request of the existence of records in an investigative file pertaining to such an individual or to grant access to an investigative file would disclose the identity of confidential sources and reveal confidential information supplied by these sources.

(iii) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(4)(I) requires the publication of the categories of sources of records in each system of records. The application of this provision could disclose sufficient information to disclose the identity of a confidential source and cause sources to refrain from giving such information because of fear of reprisal, or fear of breach of promises of anonymity and confidentiality. This would compromise the ability to conduct background suitability investigations.

(iv) 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(1) requires each agency to maintain in its records only such information about an individual that is relevant and necessary to accomplish a purpose of the agency required by statute or executive order. This system of records is exempt from the foregoing provision because:

(A) It is not possible to detect relevance and necessity of specific information from a confidential source in the early stages of an investigation.

(B) Relevance and necessity are questions of judgment and timing. What appears relevant and necessary when collected may ultimately be determined to be unnecessary. It is only after the information is evaluated that the relevance and necessity of such information can be established regarding suitability for VA approval as a fee appraiser or compliance inspector.

(C) In interviewing persons or obtaining other forms of evidence during an investigation for suitability for VA approval, information may be supplied to the investigator which relates to matters incidental to the main purpose of the investigation but which is appropriate in a thorough investigation. Oftentimes, such information cannot readily be segregated and disclosure might jeopardize the identity of a confidential source.

(5 U.S.C. 552a (j) and (k); 38 U.S.C. 210(c))

[FR Doc. 83-17520 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

POSTAL SERVICE

39 CFR Part 111

Uniform Parcel Size and Weight Limits; Correction

AGENCY: Postal Service.

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: In the February 25, 1983 Federal Register, 48 FR 8071, 8072, the Postal Service adopted a final rule changing postal regulations to reflect the establishment of uniform size and weight limits of 70 pounds and 108 inches in length and girth combined for Express Mail, Priority Mail, parcel post, special fourth-class rate, and library rate fourth-class mail. That final rule incorrectly increased the maximum dimension for a parcel mailed from an APO or FPO outside the 48 contiguous states from 100 inches (length and girth combined) to 108 inches (length and girth combined). The correct dimension remains 100 inches.

EFFECTIVE DATE: February 27, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ernest J. Collins, (202) 245-4749.

For the above reasons, the change to 125.152b of the Domestic Mail Manual, announced at 48 FR 8072, is hereby rescinded.

(39 U.S.C. 401)

W. Allen Sanders,

Associate General Counsel, Office of General Law and Administration.

[FR Doc. 83-17405 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7710-12-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[A-10-FRL 2387-2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Washington; Correction

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule; correction.

SUMMARY: This Notice is issued to clarify thirteen documents printed in the Federal Register pertaining to the approval of the State of Washington Implementation Plan. Given the complexity of these thirteen rulemaking actions which involved EPA approval or conditional approval of twenty-one SIP revisions, many of which partially or completely replaced earlier submittals, a concise but detailed description of those elements comprising the Federally-approved SIP is warranted. EPA is therefore clarifying and expanding § 52.2470 entitled "Identification of plan," § 52.2472 entitled "Extensions," and § 52.2479 entitled "Rules and regulations," in order to identify in greater detail the provisions of the State-submitted plan which have been approved by EPA.

DATE: This action is effective on June 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David C. Bray, Air Programs Branch, M/S 532, Environmental Protection Agency, 1200 Sixth Avenue, Seattle, Washington 98101, Telephone (206) 442-1980 (FTS) 399-1980.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

(1) On June 5, 1980 (45 FR 37821) EPA approved the Yakima carbon monoxide (CO) plan, the statutory authority and schedule for an automobile inspection and maintenance program, revised statutory language on State legal authority, certain State and local regulations necessary for attainment of primary National Ambient Air Quality Standards in primary nonattainment areas (including the rescission of certain State and local regulations); and conditionally approved the Seattle-Tacoma CO and ozone plans, the Vancouver ozone plan, the Seattle, Tacoma, Vancouver, Spokane and Clarkston TSP plans, the WDOE Part D new source review (NSR) regulations, the WDOE regulations for sources of volatile organic compounds (VOC), and provisions pertaining to combined emissions, source test procedures, and "no burn areas."

(2) On July 31, 1980 (45 FR 50749) EPA conditionally approved portions of the WDOE regulations for kraft pulp mills, sulfite pulp mills, and primary aluminum plants and rescinded certain provisions in the previously approved regulations.

(3) On December 24, 1980 (45 FR 85007) EPA conditionally approved the Spokane CO plan.

(4) On April 15, 1981 (46 FR 21994) EPA approved the State's 40 CFR Part 58 Monitoring Plan.

(5) On August 14, 1981 (46 FR 41053) EPA approved the WDOE regulations for maintenance of pay.

(6) On September 14, 1981 (46 FR 45607) EPA approved the Seattle-Tacoma CO and ozone plans, the Vancouver ozone plan, the Seattle, Tacoma, Vancouver, Spokane and Clarkston TSP plans, the WDOE Part D NSR regulations, the WDOE regulations for sources of VOC, a new regulation pertaining to civil sanctions under the Washington Clean Air Act, provisions pertaining to combined emissions, source test procedures, and "no burn

areas," and portions of the WDOE regulations for kraft pulp mills, sulfite pulp mills, and primary aluminum plants (including rescission of certain provisions in previously approved regulations).

(7) December 22, 1981 (46 FR 62064) EPA approved the previously approved State and local regulations pertaining to nonattainment areas as applicable statewide.

(8) On February 23, 1982 (47 FR 7837) EPA approved the statutory authority, including an attorney general opinion, certain of the regulations of the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council (EFSEC), and a memorandum of agreement between EFSEC and WDOE as meeting Section 110 of the Clean Air Act.

(9) On March 22, 1982 (47 FR 12166) EPA approved the Spokane CO plan.

(10) On April 14, 1982 (47 FR 16018) EPA conditionally approved additional regulations for sources of VOC.

(11) On December 17, 1982 (47 FR 56497) EPA approved the 1982 Vancouver O_3 plan and additional regulations for sources of VOC (including rescission of certain provisions in previously approved regulations).

(12) On February 28, 1983 (48 FR 8273) EPA approved the 1982 Seattle-Tacoma O_3 plan, the 1982 Seattle CO plan, WDOE regulations for motor vehicle emission inspection, and the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency (PSAPCA) regulations for sources of VOC.

(13) On May 20, 1983 (48 FR 22716) EPA issued corrections to three documents previously published in the **Federal Register** pertaining to carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment areas and State Implementation Plans (SIP).

Dated: June 10, 1983.

Robert Burd,

Acting Acting Regional Administrator.

Subpart WW—Washington

1. On June 5, 1980, July 31, 1980, December 24, 1980, April 15, 1981, August 14, 1981, September 14, 1981, February 23, 1982, March 22, 1982, April 14, 1982, December 17, 1982 and February 28, 1983 EPA approved revisions to the Washington SIP. In FR Dockets 80–17086, 80–23047, 80–40165, 81–11399, 81–23787, 81–26658, 82–4797, 82–7577, 82–10073, 82–34296, and 83–4974 appearing on pages 37835, 50751, 85009, 21994, 41054, 45608, 7840, 12167, 16018, 56498, and 8274 in the issues dated June 5, 1980, July 31, 1980, December 24, 1980, April 15, 1981, August 14, 1981, September 14, 1981, February 23, 1982, March 22, 1982, April 14, 1982, December 17, 1982 and February 28, 1983 respectively, the following corrections are to be made: In § 52.2470 paragraphs (c)(28) through (c))(34) are removed and paragraphs (c)(16) through (c) (27) are corrected to read as follows:

§ 52.2470 Indentification of Plan.

* * * * *

(c)

(16) On April 4, 1979 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a request to extend for eighteen months the date for plan submission for all secondary total suspended particulate nonattainment areas.

(17) On June 26, 1975 the Governor submitted amendments to WAC 18–24 "State jurisdiction over Motor Vehicles" which repealed the program for preconstruction review and approval of indirect sources, leaving only Sections 020—Definitions and 030—Assumption of Jurisdiction. On April 27, 1979 the Governor submitted revisions required by Part D of the Clean Air Act as amended in 1977, specifically: plans for the Seattle primary total suspended particulate (TSP) nonattainment area, the Tacoma primary TSP nonattainment area, the Seattle-Tacoma carbon monoxide (CO) and ozone nonattainment areas (along with a request for an extension of the attainment dates to beyond December 31, 1982), the Spokane primary TSP nonattainment area, the Clarkston primary TSP nonattainment area, the Vancouver primary TSP nonattainment area, and the Yakima CO nonattainment area; revisions to State and local regulations for nonattainment areas (WAC 173–400–010, –020, –030, –040 (except (13)), –050, –060, –070, –090, –100, –110, and –120; WAC 173–420; WAC 173–425, WAC 173–490 (except –150); Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation I, Articles 1, 3, 6, 9 (Sections 9.02, 9.02A, 9.03, 9.04, 9.05, 9.06, 9.07(d), 9.07(e), and 9.09); Northwest Air Pollution Control Authority Regulation Section 455.11; and Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority Regulation Article IV, Section 4.01); and the rescission of State and local agency regulations which duplicated applicable Federal or State regulations for nonattainment areas (WAC 18–04–010, 020, –030, –040, –050, –060, –070, –090, 100, –110, and –120; WAC 18–06; WAC 18–12; WAC 18–20; WAC 18–24; WAC 18–28; WAC 18–32; WAC 18–40; WAC 18–44; WAC 18–46; WAC 18–48; WAC 18–56; WAC 18–60; Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation I, Articles 5, 9 (Sections 9.07(a), 9.07(b), 9.11, 9.12, 9.13, 9.15, and 9.16), and 11; Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority Regulations I and II (except Article IV, Section 4.01); Northwest Air Pollution Authority Regulations 1 and 2 and Section 501 Southwest Air Pollution Control Agency Regulations 1 and 2; Olympic Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation I; Yakima County Clean Air Authority Regulation 1; Grant County Clean Air Authority Regulation; Benton-Franklin-Walla Walla Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation; and Douglas County Air Pollution Control Commission Article V, Section 5.01). On May 18, 1979 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted corrections to the Puget Sound area emission inventory in the April 27, 1979 submittal. On June 20, 1979 the Governor submitted the plan for the Vancouver ozone nonattainment area including a request for an extension of the attainment date to beyond December 31, 1982. On December 21, 1979 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted statutory authority for an automobile inspection and maintenance program and a detailed schedule for its implementation. On May 1, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted revised statutory language pertaining to State legal authority.

(18) On April 1, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted revisions to the regulations for Kraft Pulping Mills (WAC 173–405–011; –021; –031(1), (4), (5) and (6); –036(1), (2) and (4); –061; –071(2), (3), (4)(d), (4)(e) and (5); –077; –078; –086; and –101), Sulfite Pulping Mills (WAC 173–410–011; –021; –031; –036(1), (2) and (4); –041; 061(1)through (8); –067; –071; –086; and 091), and Primary Aluminum Plants (WAC 18–52–010; –016; –021; –031(2) and (4); –036(1); –056; –061; –071(1)(c), (1)(f), and (2); –077; and –086) and rescission of old regulations (WAC 18–36–010, –020, 030, –040, –050, –060, –070, –080, –090 and –100; WAC 18–38–010, –020, –030, –040, 050, –060, –070, –080 and –090; and WAC 18–52–015, –020, –030 (except (3)), –040, 060, –070 and –080) to satisfy the requirements of Part D of the Clean Air Act.

(19) On April 27, 1979 the Governor submitted the plan for the Spokane carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area. On September 10, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a revised transportation control plan for the Spokane CO nonattainment area.

(20) On March 5, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a plan revision to meet the requirements of 40 CFR Part 58, Subpart C, § 58.20 Air Quality Monitoring.

(21) On April 27, 1979 the Governor submitted a provision for maintenance of pay (WAC 173–400–160).

(22) On June 24, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a new regulation WAC 173–402 "Civil Sanctions Under Washington Clean Air Act". On July 30, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted revisions to WAC 173–400 (specifically –020; –030; –040 (except (13)); –050; –060; –070; –090; –100; –110; and –120), WAC 173–405 (specifically –012; –021; –040(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6) and (17); 072(1), (4) and (5); 077, –086; and –101; and rescission of 011; –031(1), (4), (5) and (6); –036(1), (2) and (4); –061; –071(2), (3), (4)(d), (4)(e) and (5); and –078), WAC 173–410 (specifically –012; –021; –040(1), (2), (3), (5) and (16); –062(1), (2) and (3); –067; 086; –090; and –091; and rescission of 011; –031; –036(1), (2) and (4); –041; 061(1) through (8); and –071), WAC 173–415 (specifically –010; –020; –030(2)(b), (4), (5), (7) and (11); –050; –060(1)(c) and (2); –070; and –090), WAC 173–490 (specifically –010; –020; –025; –030; –040; –070; –071; and –080), rescission of old WAC 18–52 (specifically –010; –016; 021; –030(3); –031(2) and (4); –036(1); 056; –061; –071(1)(c), (1)(f) and (2); –077; and –086), and revisions to the Seattle-Tacoma carbon monoxide, Seattle-Tacoma ozone, Vancouver ozone, Seattle primary total suspended particulate (TSP), Tacoma primary TSP, Vancouver primary TSP, Spokane primary TSP and Clarkston primary TSP nonattainment area plans, in order to satisfy the conditions of approval published on June 5, 1980 and July 31, 1980. On November 7, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted clarifying information, including the designated "no burn" areas for the Seattle, Tacoma and Spokane TSP nonattainment areas to satisfy the conditions of approval published on June 5, 1980. On January 13, 1981 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted further revisions to WAC 173–400–110 and WAC 173–490–020 and –040 in order to satisfy the conditions of approval published on June 5, 1980.

(23) On August 17, 1979 and July 30, 1980 the Governor submitted revisions to the State of Washington Implementation Plan to provide authority to the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council to implement the plan required by Section 110 of the Clean Air Act for energy facilities, specifically, statutory authority (80.50 RCW), applicable regulations (WAC 463–39–010; –020; –030 (except (4), (7), (10), (24), (25), (30), (35) and (36)); –040 (except introductory paragraph); –050; 060; –080; –100; –110 (except (1), first two sentences of (3)(b), (3)(c), (3)(d) and (3)(e)); –120; –130; –135; –150; and 170), and a Memorandum of Agreement between the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council and the State of Washington Department of Ecology describing program implementation. On May 28, 1981, the Energy Facility Site Evaluation Council submitted an Attorney General's opinion certifying that 80.50 RCW provided sufficient enabling authority to meet the requirements of the Clean Air Act.

(24) On November 17, 1981 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a revision to the plan for the Spokane carbon monoxide nonattainment area, including a schedule for the implementation of an expanded transit service to satisfy the condition of approval published on December 24, 1980.

(25) On July 30, 1980 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted revisions to the regulations for sources of volatile organic compounds (VOC), specifically WAC 173–490–200, –201, –202, –203, –204, –205 (except (d)), –206 and –207. On January 13, 1981 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted a further revision to WAC 173–490–203. On June 25, 1981 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted VOC source test methods. On November 13, 1981 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted clarifying information on the regulations for sources of VOC.

(26) On July 16, 1982 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted an attainment plan for the Vancouver ozone nonattainment area and amendments to the regulations for sources of volatile organic compounds (WAC–490–020, –025, –040, –080, –203, 204, –205 and –208, and rescission of 206).

(27) On July 16, 1982 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted attainment plans for the Seattle-Tacoma ozone nonattainment area and the Seattle carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area, including regulations for motor vehicle emission inspection (WAC 173–422) and the Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency regulation for sources of volatile organic compounds (Regulation II). On December 1, 1982 the State of Washington Department of Ecology submitted procedures by which conformity of Federal projects with the Seattle-Tacoma ozone and Seattle CO plans will be determined.

2. On February 28, 1983 EPA approved the Seattle carbon monoxide and Seattle-Tacoma ozone plans, including extensions of the attainment date for each area. However, in that action, the attainment dates specified in 40 CFR 52.2472 were not consistent with the dates in the final adopted plans which EPA was approving. Therefore, the following corrections are made to paragraphs (c) and (d) of § 52.2472:

A. Paragraph (c) as revised on February 28, 1983, in FR Docket 83–4974 on page 8274 and corrected on May 20, 1983, in FR Docket 83–13296 on page 22716, is correctly revised to read:

§ 52.2472 Extensions.

* * * * *

(c) The Administrator hereby extends the attainment date for carbon monoxide in the Seattle Central Business District and the Dearborn Street and Rainier Avenue Corridor (Seattle) nonattainment areas to April 30, 1986, the attainment date for carbon monoxide in the University District (Seattle) nonattainment area to June 30, 1986, and the attainment date for carbon monoxide in the Bellevue nonattainment area to September 30, 1986.

* * * * *

B. Paragraph (d) as revised on February 28, 1983, in FR Docket 83–4974 on page 8274 and incorrectly redesignated as paragraph (e) on May 20, 1983, in FR Docket 83–13296 on page 22716, is correctly revised to read:

§ 52.2472 Extensions.

* * * * *

(d) The Administrator hereby extends the attainment date for ozone in the Seattle-Tacoma, Washington nonattainment area to September 30, 1984.

* * * * *

3. On February 28, 1983 EPA approved the Seattle-Tacoma ozone plan which included an extension of the attainment date. In that action, the attainment date extension for the Vancouver portion of the Portland-Vancouver ozone nonattainment area was inadvertently removed.

Therefore, § 52.2472, paragraph (e) (published as (d) on December 17, 1982, page 56498) and incorrectly revised (published as (d) on February 28, 1983, page 8274) and incorrectly redesignated as (e) (published on May 20, 1983, page 22716) is correctly designated as (e) and reprinted as set forth below.

§ 52.2472 Extensions.

* * * * *

(e) The Administrator hereby extends the attainment date for ozone in the

Vancouver portion of the Portland, Oregon-Vancouver, Washington nonattainment area to December 31, 1987.

4. On June 5, 1980, July 31, 1980, August 14, 1981, September 14, 1981, December 22, 1981, February 23, 1982, March 22, 1982, April 14, 1982, December 17, 1982 and February 28, 1983 EPA approved certain State and local air pollution regulations. In FR Dockets 81–26658, 81–36442, 82–4797, 82–7577, 82–10073, and 83–4974 on pages 45609, 62065, 7840, 12167, 16019, and 8275 in the isues dated September 14, 1981, December 22, 1981, February 23, 1982, March 22, 1982, April 14, 1982, and February 28, 1983 respectively the following corrections are to be made: Section 52.2479 is correctly revised to read as follows:

§ 52.2479 Rules and regulations.

The following table identifies the State and local regulations which have been submitted to, and approved by, EPA as revisions to the State of Washington Implementation Plan.

TABLE 52.2479. WASHINGTON SIP REGULATIONS

Citation	Title	Applicable sections	Date of sections	Date of EPA approval	FEDERAL REGISTER citation
WAC 173–400	General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources.	–010	Apr. 26, 1979	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
		–160	Apr. 26, 1979	Aug. 14, 1981	46 FR 41054.
		–020; –030; –040 (except (13)); –050; –060; –070; –090; –100; –120	Aug. 20, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609.
		–110	Dec. 17, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609.
WAC 173–402	Civil Sanctions under Washington Clean Air Act.	All	June 24, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609.
WAC 173–405	Kraft Pulping Mills	–012; –021; –040(1), (2), (3), (4), (5), (6), (17); –072(1), (4), (5); –077; –086; –101.	Aug. 20, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609
WAC 173–410	Sulfite Pulping Mills	–012; –021; –040(1), (2), (3), (5), (16); –062(1), (2), (3); –067; –086; –090; –091.	Aug. 20, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609
WAC 173–415	Primary Aluminum Plants	–010; –020; –030(2)(b), (4), (5), (7), (11); –050; –060(1)(c), (2); –070; –090.	Aug. 14, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45609.
WAC 173–420	State Jurisdiction Over Motor Vehicles	All	Mar. 29, 1977	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
WAC 173–422	Motor Vehicle Emission Inspection	All	Dec. 31, 1981	Feb. 26, 1983	48 FR 8274.
WAC 173–425	Open Burning	All	Oct. 24, 1977	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
WAC 173–490	Emission Standards and Controls for Sources Emitting Volatile Organic Compounds.	–090; –120; –130; –135; –140	Apr. 26, 1979	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
		–010; –030; –070; –071	Aug. 20, 1980	Sept. 14, 1981	46 FR 45607.
		–200; –201; –202; –207	Aug. 20, 1980	Apr. 14, 1982	47 FR 16019.
		–020; –025; –040; –080; –203; –204; –205; –208	June 29, 1982	Dec. 17, 1982	47 FR 56498.
WAC 463–39	General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources.	–010; –020; –030 (except (4), (7), (10), (24), (25), (30), (35), (36)); –040 (except introductory paragraph); –050; –060; –080; –100; –110 (except (1), first two sentences of (3)(b), (3)(c), (3)(d), (3)(e)); –120; –130; –135; –150; –170.	July 23, 1979	Feb. 23, 1982	47 FR 7840.
WAC 18–04	General Regulations for Air Pollution Sources.	–080; –130; –140	Jan. 22, 1973	May 22, 1975	40 FR 22254.
WAC 18–08	Emergency Episode Plan	All	Undated	May 31, 1972	37 FR 10900.
WAC 18–16	Grass Seed Field Burning	All	Undated	May 31, 1972	37 FR 10900.
Puget Sound Air Pollution Control Agency Regulation I		Article 9.07(c)	Aug. 12, 1970	May 31,1972	37 FR 10900.
		Article 9.02A	Oct. 10, 1973	Oct. 29, 1975	40 FR 50266.
		Articles 1, 3, 6	December 1974	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
		Articles 9.02, 9.03, 9.04, 9.05, 9.06, 9.07(d), 9.07(e), 9.09.	January 1977	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
Puget Sound Pollution Control Agency Regulation II		All	Apr. 8, 1982	Feb. 28, 1983	48 FR 8274.
Northwest Air Pollution Authority Regulations		455.11	Aug. 9, 1978	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.
Spokane County Air Pollution Control Authority Regulation II		Article IV, Section 4.01	Apr. 26, 1979	June 5, 1980	45 FR 37835.

[FR Doc. 83–17270 Filed 6–28–83 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

40 CFR Part 62

[A–6–FRL 2362–2]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans: Oklahoma Negative Declaration-Fluoride Emissions From Primary Aluminum Reduction Plants

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This notice approves the Oklahoma State Department of Health (OSDH) negative declaration certifying that the State of Oklahoma does not contain any primary aluminum reduction plants. The certification was submitted by the OSDH on March 3, 1983.

EFFECTIVE DATE: This action is effective on August 29, 1983 unless notice is received by 30 days from date of publication that someone wishes to submit adverse or critical comments.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the state submittal are available for inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air Branch, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75270

Oklahoma State Department of Health, 1000 Northeast 10th Street, P.O. Box 53551, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73152

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Kathryn M. Griffith, State Implementation Plan Section, Environmental Protection Agency, Region 6, Air and Waste Management Division, Air Branch, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75270 (214) 767–9853.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 111(d) of the Clean Air Act of 1977, as amended, requires states to submit a plan which establishes emission standards for designated pollutants from designated facilities and

provides for the implementation and enforcement of such emission standards. But, if there are no such facilities in the state, then Section 62.06 of 40 CFR provides that a state may submit a negative declaration in lieu of a plan.

On March 3, 1983, the OSDH submitted a negative declaration certifying that the State of Oklahoma does not contain any primary aluminum reduction plants. EPA accepts the declaration and is approving this revision.

Because EPA considers today's action to be noncontroversial and routine, we are approving it today without prior proposal. The action will become effective on August 29, 1983. However, if we receive notice within 30 days that someone wishes to submit critical comments, *then* EPA will publish: (1) A notice that withdraws the action, and (2) a notice that begins a new rulemaking by proposing the action and establishing a comment period.

Under 307(b)(1) of the Act, petitions for judicial review of this action must be filed in the United States Court of Appeals for the appropriate circuit by (60 days from today). This action may not be challenged later in proceedings to enforce its requirements. See 306(b)(2)).

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), I certify that SIP approvals do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

This notice of final rulemaking is issued under the authority of Section 111 of the Clean Air Act, as amended 42 U.S.C 7411.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 62

Air pollution control, Fluoride, Sulfur, Administrative practice and procedure, Intergovernmental relations, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus,

Administrator.

PART 62—[AMENDED]

Part 62 of Chapter I, Title 40 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

Subpart LL—Oklahoma

In Subpart LL—Oklahoma, new § 62.9110 is added to read as follows:

Fluoride Emissions From Primary Aluminum Reduction Plan.

§ 62.9110 Identification of plan—Negative declaration.

The Oklahoma State Department of Health submitted a letter on March 3, 1983, certifying that there are no existing primary aluminum reduction plants in the State of Oklahoma subject to 40 CFR Part 60, Subpart B, of this chapter.

[FR Doc. 83-17405 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

---

40 CFR Part 171

[OPP-40004D; PH-FRL 2338-8]

Certification of Pesticide Applicators; Expansion of Recertification Time Period

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: In the **Federal Register** of October 27, 1982 (47 FR 47608), the Agency proposed extending the period of validity of the certifications of pesticide applicators who are certified under a Federal pesticide applicator certification program as authorized by section 4 of the amended Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA). The proposed rule would have required recertification of commercial applicators after three years, instead of the present two-year requirement; private applicators would have to be recertified every four years instead of the present three-year requirement. Federal pesticide applicator certification programs are administered by EPA in Nebraska and Colorado. The comment period for the proposed amendments ended on December 27, 1982. No comments were received. Accordingly, the Agency is amending 40 CFR Part 171 to reflect these changes.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Under section. 25(a) of FIFRA (sec. 4 of the 1980 FIFRA Extension Act (Pub. L. 96-539)), this rule cannot take effect until Congress has had at least 60 "calendar days of continuous Congressional session" from the date of publication in which to review the rule. Since the actual length of this review period may be affected by Congressional action, it is not possible at this time to specify a date on which this regulation will become effective. Therefore, EPA will issue a notice in the **Federal Register** at the end of the review period announcing the effective date of this regulation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John MacDonald, Office of Pesticides and Toxic Substances Enforcement (EN-342), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 2624, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460, (202-382-7846).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Discussion

Section 4(a)(1) of FIFRA, as amended in 1978 (Pub. L. 95-396, 92 Stat. 819, 7 U.S.C. 136b(a)(1)), requires the Administrator to conduct a Federal pesticide applicator certification program in those States which do not have an approved certification plan under section 4 of the FIFRA. Federal applicator certification regulations (40 CFR 171.11) require applicators applying restricted use pesticides to be certified.

These regulations specify that a commercial applicator's certification is valid for two years and a private applicator's for three years. The requirement that applicators be recertified at the specified intervals is to ensure that they meet the requirements of changing technology and maintain a continuing level of competence. EPA established Federal pesticide applicator certification programs in Nebraska and Colorado pursuant to these regulations, when the States did not develop programs of their own. The establishment of Federal programs in Nebraska and Colorado were announced in the **Federal Register** of March 15, 1978 (43 FR 10727) and June 29, 1978 (43 FR 28249), respectively.

EPA has monitored and evaluated both the operation of the two Federal pesticide certification programs and EPA-approved State pesticide certification programs. Many of the State programs require recertification at less frequent intervals than the Federal programs. The most common State recertification interval for commercial applicators is three years. Recertification intervals for private applicators are often somewhat longer. The operation of State programs with longer recertifcation intervals has not been demonstrated to be less effective than the shorter intervals required in Federal certification programs. However, the longer recertification interval does place less burden on the pesticide applicator and results in less cost to the administrating agency. For these reasons EPA has extended the recertification intervals to three years for commercial applicators and four years for private applicators.

This rule does not extend the certification period of those applicators who are presently certified with the Agency. The expanded recertification time period would apply to certifications

and recertifications issued after this amendment's effective date.

Statutory Review

In accordance with FIFRA sec. 25, copies of this rule were submitted to the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the Committee on Agriculture of the House of Representatives, and the Committee on Agriculture, Nutrition, and Forestry of the Senate for comment.

Under section 25(a) of FIFRA as amended in 1980, copies of this rule must be submitted to the Clerk of the House of Representatives and the Secretary of the Senate for Congressional review before it can become effective. Copies of this rule have been transmitted to those offices of Congress.

Compliance With Executive Order 12291

This rule was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review as required by Executive Order 12291.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

As required by the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. (b)), I hereby certify that this rule will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small businesses.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This rule contains no additional information collection requests. Therefore, the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 is not applicable.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 171

Pesticides and pests, Intergovernmental relations, Indian lands, Recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

William D. Ruckelshaus,

Administrator.

PART 171—[AMENDED]

Therefore, 40 CFR Part 171 is amended in § 171.11 by revising paragraphs (c)(4) and (d)(2) to read as follows:

§ 171.11 Federal certification of pesticide applicators in States or Indian Reservations where there is no approved State or tribal certification plan in effect.

* * * * * * *

(c) * * *

(4) *Certification procedure.* An individual who desires to be certified or recertified under this paragraph shall complete the EPA certification form and submit the form to the appropriate EPA Regional Office. In order to be initially certified as a commercial applicator under this paragraph, an individual must take and pass written examinations approved by the Administrator and administered by the Administrator or any other party approved by him or her. A general examination will be given, based on the general standards found in § 171.4(b) and the standards for supervision found in § 171.6. In addition, specific category and subcategory examinations will be given, based on the appropriate category or subcategory standards found in § 171.4(c) and the applicable Federal plan. The Administrator will notify the individual in writing of the results of the examinations within 45 days unless special circumstances justify a longer time period. The Administrator will issue to each person who has passed a general examination and one or more category or subcategory examinations a commercial applicator certificate covering each category and subcategory in which he or she has qualified. A commercial applicator certificate is valid for a period of three years from the date of issuance, unless earlier suspended or revoked by the Administrator (two years from the date of issuance, in the case of certificates issued prior to [effective date of amended rule], and is valid within the State or Indian Reservation named on the certificate.

* * * * *

(d) * * *

(2) *Issuance of certificates.* The Administrator will issue a private applicator certificate to each individual who successfully completes any available certification option. Individuals who, for any reason, fail to complete successfully a certification option may attempt to complete the same option or, if available, an alternative option. A private applicator certificate is valid for a period of four years from the date of issuance (three years from the date of issuance, in the case of certificates issued before [effective date of amended rule]), unless earlier suspended or revoked by the Administrator, and is valid within the State or Indian Reservation named on the certificate.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 83-17517 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

---

40 CFR Part 180

[OPP 260043A; PH-FRL 2378-6]

Crop Grouping; Amendment to Tests on the Amount of Residue Remaining in Minor Crops

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This amendment revises provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA) of the Crop Group Regulations contained in 40 CFR 180.34(f) to allow for more extensive use of group tolerances for related crops. The rule sets forth the definitions of the crop groups involved and the procedures relevant to establishment of group tolerances. These changes will allow for reduced data requirements by minimizing the burden of establishing tolerances for pesticide residues in or on minor crops.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk (A-110), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

The public record supporting this action, including comments, will be available for public inspection in: Program Management and Support Division (TS-757C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 236, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Charles L. Trichilo, Hazard Evaluation Division (TS-769), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 810, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202 (703-557-7324).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

EPA issued a proposed rule, which was published in the **Federal Register** of May 13, 1982 (47 FR 20635), under the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act (FFDCA), to the Crop Group Regulations contained in 40 CFR 180.34(f). This proposed rule would allow for more extensive use of group tolerances for related crops. The revision was proposed as a part of EPA's policy for implementing the provisions of the Minor Use Amendments to the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide and Rodenticide Act of 1978 (FIFRA) section 3(c)(2), and as part of the EPA's efforts to utilize better existing and new residue data.

The May 13, 1982 notice proposed replacing the existing crop groups in 40 CFR 180.34(f) with an expanded set of crop groupings and allowing group tolerances for crops containing finite residues. The existing group tolerances are limited to crops containing negligible residues. The notice also included a list of representative crops for each group. The representative crops were the crops

likely to have the highest residue and/or the most economically important crops in each group. Under the crop grouping proposal, if residue data were available for the representative crops in a group, then a tolerance could be established for all crops in the group on the assumption that residues on the minor crops in the group would not exceed the residue levels found on the major crops in the group.

Written comments were solicited and were received from more than 35 interested parties or groups in response to the proposal, or to previously distributed drafts. All of these comments have been reviewed and are on file with the Agency. Most of the comments were supportive of the proposal. Others contained suggestions for modification of the proposal. Certain of the suggested changes have been adopted and are reflected in the revision. Other comments were substantially satisfied by editorial changes, deletions from or additions to the document.

II. Comments and Revisions

A. Tier System for Tolerances on All Crops

A comment was received from a group which proposed that the scope of the crop grouping concept be increased to permit the establishment of finite tolerances or other residue clearances on larger groups of crops, or on all raw agricultural commodities, when warranted. The proposal would establish a tier system for setting tolerances. Tier I tolerances would be established for all raw agricultural commodities from plants and all raw agricultural commodities from animals. Tier II tolerances would be established for broad groupings of similar though botanically unrelated crops such as tree fruits, vegetables, or forage crops. Tier III would be for closely related crops, and Tier IV would be for individual commodities. This proposal is not considered to be within the scope of the crop grouping regulation. Current tolerance setting procedures allow for tolerances to be set on all raw agricultural commodities from plants when warranted. These tolerances could be for individual crops or groups of crops, depending on whether the residue levels vary from crop to crop. Changes in the proposed crop groupings would not be required to accomplish this.

B. Additional Criteria Proposed for Grouping

Various commenters suggested that use patterns, mode of action, chemical similarity, formulation characteristics of the pesticide, or similar factors, should play a more extensive role in tolerance setting. These types of factors are not directly related to crop grouping but are considered in the tolerance setting process. These factors are addressed in Subdivision O, Pesticide Assessment Guidelines, available from the National Technical Information Services, Attention: Order Desk, 5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22161, (703–487–4650).

A comment requesting inclusion of seed treatments in the crop grouping was received. It was considered to be inappropriate to include seed treatments since group tolerances are established on related crops and not on use patterns. Procedures for obtaining tolerances for pesticides used as seed treatments are also included in the guidelines.

One commenter felt that the average daily intake of commodities should play a more significant role in crop grouping and proposed that a category of crops having negligible average daily intakes be established. Another commenter felt that all crops in the group should be included in the calculation of the Theoretical Maximum Residue Contribution (TMRC) when group tolerances are set, whether registration of all crops is proposed or not. All crops within the group will be considered when establishing group tolerances. If the Acceptable Daily Intake (ADI) is exceeded because of the assumption of tolerance level residues on all commodities in the group, the Agency will recalculate the exposure based on the actual level of residue occurring on food at the time of consumption.

C. Processing Data Requirements

Various comments were received regarding the requirement for processing data in cases where residues may concentrate in processed food and/or feed. The Agency requires this type of data to determine whether a food additive tolerance is needed for processed food or feed commodities. Food additive tolerances are established whenever residues concentrate on processing because, otherwise, the processed commodity could be seized as an adulterated food or feed since the residues would exceed the tolerance for the raw agricultural commodity.

One commenter recommended that the statement "In this case the representative crops will include all crops in the group that could be processed such that residues may concentrate in processed food and/or feed," be deleted. This commenter thought that this statement could be misconstrued since the representative commodities do not include all crops in the group that may be utilized significantly for animal feed, and the listed representative commodities might be considered as a guide to crops for which food or feed additive data would be required. The Agency has not deleted this statement because it does not appear likely that the statement would be so construed. The representative commodities are not intended as a guide for crops for which food or feed additive data will be required, since the amendment clearly states that food additive tolerances will not be granted on a group basis. The representative commodities include those crops which are also representative of the commodities that will be processed in order to determine the overall residue picture for the group. Regulations under the Food, Drug and Cosmetic Act require that the Agency determine whether the concentration of pesticide in the preserved or processed food/feed is greater than that permitted on the raw agricultural commodity.

D. Food Additive Group Tolerances

One commenter suggested that the Agency reconsider the policy prohibiting the setting of group food and feed additive tolerances. Since only a relatively small number of food or feed additive pesticide tolerances are set each year, and since processing procedures vary significantly from crop to crop within a group, and food/feed additive tolerance levels would vary within a group, the Agency does not consider the setting of group food/feed additive tolerances to be appropriate at the time. The Agency will consider expanding the group tolerance concept to food additive petitions in the future.

E. Meat Milk, Poultry and Egg Considerations

Another commenter stated that requirements for processing data and tolerances in meat, milk, poultry and eggs would reduce the usefulness of certain groups. Considering these data requirements, the following groups were thought to be too broad: root and tuber vegetables group because of potatoes and sugar beets; legume vegetables used as feed because of soybeans; citrus due to the use of pulp as animal feed; small fruits and berries because of grapes; and cereal grains and crops grown chiefly for animal feed such as alfalfa and grass. The crops that were indicated were included as representative commodities because (1) they are the major crops in the group, (2) they are the crops likely to have the highest residue, or (3) they involve processed food/feed commodities.

Since pesticide residues in meat, milk, poultry or eggs are a major residue concern, the Agency requires data on residue levels in crop parts fed to livestock. Thus, the major animal feed crops are often included as representative crops and will be retained. If meat, milk, poultry and egg data are lacking and only one or two crops in the group involve feed items, then a tolerance for the group, except the feed item crop(s), can be established. For example, if meat and milk data were lacking, a group tolerance for small fruits and berries (except grapes) could be established, since grapes are the only crop in the small fruits and berries group involving feed items.

F. Requirements for New Residue Data When There Are Existing Tolerances

A commenter recommended that the proposal be amended to state that existing tolerances on representative commodities within a group would serve as a basis for tolerances on the entire group. This statement is already included in the proposed amendment. The anticipated actions in regard to presently established tolerances is explained under the Background portion of the preamble to the proposed amendment published in the **Federal Register** of May 13, 1982 (47 FR 20635).

G. Revisions of Other Paragraphs of the Section

A recommendation was received which proposed that paragraphs (c), (d) and (e) of § 180.34, be revised. The Agency does not consider the revision of these paragraphs to be necessary at this time, since they do not conflict with the current crop groupings. These paragraphs are still relevent for tolerances of pesticides used on animals and for the setting of individual tolerances. The option of requiring additional data for systemic chemicals has also been retained for group tolerances. While this option may not often be invoked, the Agency believes that it should be retained.

H. Relevance of Use Pattern Information

A commenter was confused by the statement that the patterns of use for all crops in the group must be similar before a group tolerance is established. This commenter believed that the statement should be reversed, so that the tolerance would be established only where similar patterns of use would be registered. This change would not be practical since tolerances are established prior to registration. Tolerances are based on residue data from tests reflecting a specific use pattern. Additional use patterns may be registered so long as the tolerance is not exceeded. Changes in use patterns which would increase the tolerance level would require establishing a new tolerance.

I. Addition or Deletion of Crops

Numerous suggestions were made involving changes in listings of commodities or in commodity names. One commenter felt that all crops that were not included should be named. This would not be practical because the list would be excessively long. The list would also have to be changed from time to time since commodities included in the groups may be revised. Revisions could be more easily made by adding commodities to particular groups than by revising various parts of the amendment. We have, however, listed the crops that were intentionally not included in any of the groups in § 180.34 (f)(7) of this regulation.

J. Root and Tuber Vegetables

In response to requests, the following changes were made in the root and tuber vegetables group. Additional commodities included were arracacha, arrowroot, purple arrowroot, chufa, leren, turnip-rooted parsley, Japanese radish, salsify, skirret, tanier and turmeric. Celeriac was changed to add the synonymous term celery root and yam was changed to true yam. Particular species names were changed to "spp." for celeriac, dasheen, ginger, potato, sweet potato and yam bean.

A commenter requested that water chestnuts be added to the root and tuber vegetables group. Since this crop is aquatic, the use patterns of pesticides and cultural practices would not likely be similar to those for terrestrial plants. Hence, water chestnuts were not included.

Several comments were received requesting deletion of sugar beets from the root and tuber group or as a representative commodity, since it is not directly consumed and processing is different. Even though the use of this plant is different, the residues are similar and residue data are generally available, since this is a major crop. If sugar beets were deleted from this group, data would be required from some other crop for which residue data may not be so easily attainable. Moreover, if data were available for a root or tuber crop other than sugar beets, a root and tuber group tolerance (except for sugar beets) could be established. Therefore, sugar beets have been retained.

Recommendations were also made that goa bean and yam bean be deleted from the root and tuber vegetables group or included in legume vegetables and that chayote be changed to the cucurbit vegetables group. Since all parts of the goa bean plant are consumed and roots and fruit of chayote are consumed, these crops do not readily fit into any group, therefore they have been deleted. Although it is a legume, yam bean will be retained in this group. Since the yam bean is grown primarily for its root, the inclusion of this commodity in the root and tuber vegetables group is appropriate.

It was also suggested that edible burdock be deleted from the root and tuber vegetables group. Although edible burdock is somewhat different from other plants in the group because the part of the plant consumed is the shoot arising from sprouted roots, the roots are also eaten and residues on the shoots would be the same or lower than residues in the root itself. Therefore, this commodity will be retained.

K. Leaves of Root and Tuber Vegetables

The leaves of root and tuber vegetables group was modified by adding cassava, Japanese radish, sweet potato, tanier, and true yam. Goa bean was not added for reasons indicated for root and tuber vegetables. Sugar beets have also been retained for reasons previously discussed. Celery root was added as synonymous with celeriac and species names were changed to "spp." for celeriac and dasheen.

L. Bulb Vegetables

Changes suggested for the bulb vegetables group were the addition of chives, changing species names to "spp." for all crops and changing the representative commodities to green and bulb onions only or substituting garlic for "one other commodity." The Agency has decided that this group will remain unchanged. Chives were not added since they are considered to be more appropriate in the herbs and spices group based on their consumption. Since all of the *Allium* species names except chives, are included, nothing would be gained by changing them to "spp." Due to some differences in growth characteristics, the Agency decided that a commodity other than onion should be included in the representative commodities. Also, in order to provide more flexibility to the group, the choice of any other commodity in the group was retained.

M. Leafy Vegetables, Except Brassica Vegetables

A request was made to change the groups leafy vegetables (except *Brassica* vegetables) and *Brassica* (cole) leafy vegetables to leafy vegetables (head and stem) and leafy vegetables (except head and stem). This suggestion would have rearranged the commodities in the present groups. The Agency believes that little would be gained by this rearrangement. Problems would arise since different varieties of the same species could be placed in different groups; for example, head and leaf lettuce. Some varieties of lettuce are intermediate between head and leaf varieties. Thus, if different numerical tolerances were established for head and stem vegetables versus leafy vegetables, it would be unclear as to which tolerance level applied to the intermediate lettuce varieties. Another suggestion was to combine both leafy vegetables groups. The Agency believes that such a group would be too large, and the increased number of representative commodities for which data would be required would decrease the usefulness of the group.

The leafy vegetables (except *Brassica* vegetables) group was changed by adding the following requested commodities: arrugula, Florence fennel, orach, garden purslane, and winter purslane. Leafy amaranthus and Chinese spinach were combined and listed as *Amaranthus* spp. Malabar spinach was changed to spinach, fine (Malabar, Ceylon) (*Basella* spp.). Cardoon, cactus, swamp cabbage and watercress were not considered to be sufficiently similar in cultural practices or growth characteristics to be included in the group and were thus not added to the leafy vegetables group. Sweet anise, coriander, Italian fennel and sweet fennel were considered to be more appropriate in the herbs and spices group. Mint was not included, since its use for oil and animal feed would require that residue data be obtained. If mint were added to the group, it would also have to be included in the representative commodities, thus reducing the utility of the group. An additional request was that leaf lettuce be omitted as a representative commodity. Due to the number and diversity of commodities in the group, the number of representative commodities is not unreasonable. As with some of the other crops mentioned, data from leaf lettuce would likely be the most readily attainable. A recommendation was made to change the group name to include other inedible leafy field crops and to add tobacco to the group. Because tolerances are not required for tobacco or other inedible commodities, these changes were not made.

N. Brassica Vegetables

The *Brassica* (cole) leafy vegetables group was changed by adding Chinese mustard cabbage. Additional common names were added for Chinese broccoli, broccoli raab and Chinese cabbage. The varietal designation for cabbage was deleted. Savoy cabbage was also deleted since it would be included under the cabbage entry, as modified. Corrections were made in the scientific names for Chinese cabbage. A request was received to include turnip greens in this group in order to use the same residue data for this group as for the leaves of root and tuber vegetables group. The Agency believes that commodities should be limited to one group or to related groups such as roots and leaves for the root and tuber vegetables groups. Complications would arise if crops were included in several groups. Turnips, although they are *Brassica* vegetables, more appropriately belong with the root crops. One commenter suggested that the representative commodities for this group be changed to broccoli and cabbage only or that the amendment should offer the option of providing data from either mustard greens or cauliflower. The representative commodities for this group were chosen to represent the various types of growth characteristics of the plants.

Since mustard greens are the only representative commodity that comprises single leaves, this commodity will be retained. As indicated in the amendment, a flexible approach will be taken when data are available from suitable substitutes. Data from other leaf type cole crops may be considered as substitutes for mustard greens.

O. Legume Vegetables

In response to comments, the following commodities were added to the legume vegetables (succulent and dried) group: guar, jackbean (sword bean), lablab beans, pigeon peas and tepary beans. The group of commodities included as *Vigna* spp. has been designated as beans rather than southern peas. Chinese longbean has been deleted as a separate entry and included with other *Vigna* spp. Lima beans were deleted as a representative commodity since they are represented under beans (*Phaseolus* spp.)

Comments were received suggesting changes in the name legume vegetables to *Leguminosae* (succulent and dried) or to seed and pod vegetables. The change to the scientific name was not considered to be a significant improvement since the common name is well understood. The change to seed and pod vegetables was suggested to accommodate adding sweet corn and okra to this group. Since neither of these crops is sufficiently similar to the other commodities to justify including it in the group, this change was not made. A request to place goa bean in this group rather than in root and tuber vegetables group was received. This commodity was deleted from the root and tuber vegetables group and will not be included with the legume vegetables for reasons previously discussed. An additional suggestion was made that only the general names such as beans and peas with their scientific names be included without listing the various common names. The common names were included in this group to reduce the confusion that often exists in the various designations for legumes.

A commenter recommended that soybeans be deleted from the group or as a representative commodity and that one of the beans under *Vigna* spp. be included as a representative commodity. Soybeans were included in the group even though patterns of consumption and processing are different for this crop because soybeans are a major crop for which data are readily available and residues on unprocessed soybeans are likely to be similar to other bean crops. Beans (*Vigna* spp.) were not included in the representative commodities since their production is small compared to other types of beans or peas.

P. Foliage of Legume Vegetables

The foliage of legume vegetables group was not changed. A commenter suggested that the group be changed to include animal feed or human food to accommodate goa bean. Since goa bean was not included in the legume vegetables group, this change was not necessary. The commenter also suggested that soybeans be deleted. This was not done for previously discussed reasons. Another suggestion was to add a perennnial forage legume to the representative commodities. None of the crops included in the legume vegetables group are perennial. Perennial forage legumes are included in the nongrass animal feeds group.

Q. Fruiting Vegetables

The group fruiting vegetables (except cucurbits) was changed by including the common name cooking peppers in the pepper entry, and also by changing the scientific name for peppers to *Capsicum* spp. Requests were received to add

martynia, okra and roselle. These crops were not sufficiently similar to the listed crops in this group to be included.

R. Cucurbit Vegetables

The name of the group fruiting vegetables (cucurbits) was changed to cucurbit vegetables group as requested. Additional changes were made in response to comments to indicate "spp." for particular species names of balsam pear, cucumber and watermelon and to add the common name Chinese preserving melon for Chinese waxgourd. Chayote was not included in this group for the reasons previously stated for root and tuber vegetables. A request was made to include cassabanana. Since we have insufficient information on this crop, it will not be included at this time. Additional common names were requested for other crops but the Agency believes that these would be unnecessary. One commenter suggested that all scientific names be changed to "spp." This appears to be unnecessary. A suggestion was made to change melons to include those listed in 40 CFR 180.1(h). All of the melons listed are included in the group but watermelon and its hybrids are included separately since they are of a different genus. A recommendation was made to delete the term cantaloupe since all commercially grown cantaloupes are really muskmelons. The name cantaloupe, although technically a misnomer, is a firmly established name in the United States and is universally used as synonymous with muskmelon. Deleting the term would cause more confusion than retaining it. One commenter suggested deletion of citron melon and watermelon. These commodities have long been considered as being similar to other cucurbits so they will be retained in the group. It was requested that the representative commodities be changed to cucumber and cantaloupe only or to delete cantaloupe or muskmelon and summer squash. The representative commodities for this group were not changed since they represent the various growth types of cucurbits and a smaller number of commodities would not provide a sufficiently representative spectrum of data for this large diverse group.

S. Citrus Fruits

The citrus fruits group was changed to reflect requested changes by adding the names chironjas, tangelos and tangors for clarification following citrus hybrids. An additional request was made to add pomegranates to this group. Since this commodity is not similar to citrus fruits, it was not included.

T. Pome Fruits

The pome fruits group was changed only by deleting crabapples from the representative commodities since this is such a minor crop in comparison to the other commodities. Numerous tropical tree fruits were requested to be added to this group. None of the commodities suggested were pome fruits. Including the tropical fruits in this group would reduce the usefulness of the group since some tropical fruits would have to be included in the representative commodities list.

U. Tropical Fruits

Some of the same and additional tropical fruits crops were suggested for addition to the stone fruits group or in the small fruits and berries group. The tropical fruits requested are too numerous to list here. None of the tropical fruits were similar enough to commodities in the present groups to be included. It may be possible to develop additional groups to accommodate some of these crops if some common characteristics can be found upon which to base such groups. Adding tropical fruits to the existing groups would create the problem of requiring data from a representative tropical fruit commodity. This would make tolerances more difficult to obtain for the particular group. The possibility of making separate groups for tropical fruits will be explored and, if feasible, these groups will be added later.

V. Stone Fruits

In response to comments, the representative commodities for the stone fruits group were changed to delete apricots since data on peaches would be sufficient, and to require data for either sour or sweet cherries and for plums or fresh prunes. The remainder of the group was unchanged.

W. Small Fruits and Berries

The small fruits and berries group was changed in response to comments by adding olallie berry and deleting highbush cranberry and mulberry. One commenter requested that the representative commodities be changed to delete blueberries and cranberries. Another commenter felt that cranberries (blackberry or other *Rubus* spp.) be deleted as a representative commodity. The representative commodities were not changed since the commodities are considered to be the minimum that would reflect the various types of fruit in the group. A commenter felt that the crops in this group should be further identified by type of growth; such as bush, vine, cane, ground cover, perennial or annual. These designations did not appear to be necessary since the growth habit of these crops is sufficiently well known.

X. Tree Nuts

The tree nuts group has been changed to place it after the fruit groups as requested. The only other change in this group was to delete Japanese horsechestnuts. Requests were made to include pistachios, pine nuts, several tropical nuts and various palms. Pistachios were not included due to the fact that pistachio shells split and hence permit greater residues on the edible portion of the nut than other nut crops. Pines and palms are not similar to the other crops in this group. Other tropical nuts were not included at this time since little is known of their growth habits and cultural characteristics.

Y. Cereal Grains

The cereal grains group was changed to delete barley as a representative commodity. Sufficient data should be obtainable from the other representative commodities. It was requested that amaranth be added to this group. Since this crop is not a grass as are all the other crops, it was not considered to be sufficiently similar to the other cereal grain crops to include. Suggestions were received to delete rice from the group or as a representative commodity. Although cultural practices are somewhat different for rice, it is still considered sufficiently similar to be included in the group. However, due to these differences, rice must be included as a representative commodity. Rice is also one of the major grain crops and data are usually readily available for this crop. It was also suggested that sweet corn should be included in the vegetable crops groups. This crop is more appropriately placed in the cereal grains group since pesticide use on sweet corn is not usually different than on field corn, and this is a major crop on which residue data are frequently available.

Z. Forage, Fodder and Straw of Cereal Grains

The forage, fodder and straw of cereal grains group has been changed to delete sorghum from the representative crops list. Forage of sorghum was considered to be sufficiently similar to corn forage that additional data would not be required for this commodity. Barley was also deleted as a specific requirement. Additional data from any other crop in this group was included to permit more flexibility,

AA. Grass Forage, Fodder and Hay

The group grass forage, fodder and hay has been changed to exclude sugarcane from the group. The previous definition would have included sugarcane. The Agency believes that the pesticides used and their use patterns on sugarcane are sufficiently different from the other crops in the group that sugarcane should not be included. A commenter recommended that scientific names of vaious grasses be included in this group. All of the names suggested would be covered by the definition. Listing of the scientific and common names of all possible forage grasses was thought to be unnecessary and impractical.

BB. Non-Grass Animal Feeds

The non-grass animal feeds (forage, fodder, straw and hay) group was changed by adding velvet bean and kudzu. Requests for the addition of other beans were received, but since these beans were also legume vegetables, they were added the the legume vegetables and foliage of legume vegetables groups. Some tropical legumes were requested to be added. We have insufficient information on these crops at this time. They may be added, if justified, in later revisions.

A commenter suggested that all forage crops be included in one group. This would make the group very large and diverse, requiring data from a variety of unrelated crops, so this change was not made.

CC. Herbs and Spices

The herbs and spices group was changed to add cumin and the common name aniseed to anise. Also curry was changed to curry leaf, bay leaf was added to sweet bay and fennel was changed to Italian and sweet fennel. Florence fennel was added to the leafy vegetables (except *Brassica* vegetables) group. It was recommended by one commenter that this group be deleted entirely because of the diversity of the commodities in the group. Another commenter suggested dividing the group into subgroups according to parts of the plant utilized (leaves/stems, flowers/fruits/seeds, and bark/roots). Although very diverse, the group was retained since the amounts of commodities that are consumed is so small and the representative commodities should adequately reflect residues in the various types of plants. The proposal for subdividing the group would pose problems in that various commodities would be included in more than one subgroup. Additional crops were requested to be added but they were not considered to be sufficiently similar to other commodities since they were from trees, vines or other dissimilar types of plants. Some commodities were requested for which there is insufficient information to justify their addition at this time. A request for addition of medicinal plants was received. These were not included since tolerances are not set on these commodities. The addition of mint was recommended. This crop was not added due to its use for oil and animal feed.

DD. Fiber Crops

An additional recommendation was to include a group for fiber crops. Since tolerances are not set on fiber crops and the only crop in this group that would require tolerances for feed or food derived from the plant is cotton, which is not similar to other crops, this addition was not made.

III. Classification Review Status

Under Executive Order 12291, EPA must judge whether a regulation is "major" and therefore subject to the requirement of a Regulatory Impact Analysis. This regulation is not classified as a major regulation because it will not cause an annual effect of $100 million or more on the economy; a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State or local government agencies, or geographic regions; or significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment, productivity, innovation, or on the ability of U.S. enterprises to compete with foreign based enterprises.

This regulation was submitted to the Office of Management and Budget for review, as required by Executive Order 12291.

This rule has been reviewed under the provisions of sec. 3(a) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and it has been determined that this rule does not contain provisions that would have a significant adverse impact on a substantial number of small entities. Since these changes will allow for reduced data costs by minimizing the burden of establishing tolerances for pesticide residues on minor crops, the regulation would be beneficial to small businesses. Thus, a detailed Regulatory Flexibility Analysis is not required. I hereby certify that the revisions to 40 CFR Part 180 in this rule do not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Administrative practice and procedure, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests.

(Sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514 (21 U.S.C. 364a(e)))

Dated: May 26, 1983.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

PART 180—[AMENDED]

Therefore, 40 CFR 180.34(f) is revised to read as follows:

§ 180.34 Tests on the amount of residue remaining.

* * * * *

(f)(1) Each of the groups included in the following table lists raw agricultural commodities that are considered to be related for the purposes of this paragraph. When there is an established or proposed tolerance for all of the representative commodities for a specific group or related commodities, a tolerance may be established for all commodities in the group. The representative crops are given as an indication of the minimum residue chemistry data base acceptable to the Agency for the purposes of establishing a group tolerance. The Agency will take a flexible approach to allow for group tolerances when data on suitable substitutes for the representative crops are available (e.g., limes instead of lemons).

This group tolerance may be established as a result of:

(i) A petition from a person who has submitted an application for the registration of a pesticide under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

(ii) On the initiative of the Administrator.

(iii) At the request of an interested person.

(3) Since a group tolerance reflects maximum residues expected to occur on all individual crops within a group, the proposed or registered patterns of use for all crops in the group must be similar before a group tolerance is established. The pattern of use consists of the amount of pesticide applied, the number of times applied, the timing of the first application, the interval between applications, and the interval between the last application and harvest. The pattern of use will also include the type of application; for example; soil or foliar application, or application by ground or aerial equipment.

(4) When the crop grouping contains commodities or byproducts that are utilized for animal feed, a tolerance or exemption from a tolerance for the pesticide in meat, milk, poultry and/or eggs must be established before a tolerance will be granted for the group as a whole. This criterion, of course, applies only if the pesticide transfers to meat, milk, poultry and/or eggs. The representative crops include all crops in

the group that could be processed such that residues may concentrate in processed food and/or feed. Processing data will be required prior to establishment of a group tolerance, and food additive tolerances will not be granted on a group basis.

(5) If maximum residues (tolerances) for the representative crops vary by more than a factor of 5 from the maximum value observed for any crop in the group, a group tolerance will ordinarily not be established. In this case individual crop tolerances, rather than group tolerances, will normally be established. By keeping the range of residues small, the Agency intends not to alter the environmental or health benefits of the present program.

(6) Alternatively, a commodity with a residue level significantly higher or lower than the other commodities in the group may be excluded from the group tolerance (e.g., cereal grains, except corn). In this case an individual tolerance at the appropriate level for the unique commodity would be established, if necessary. Residue data from crops additional to those representative crops in a grouping may be required for systemic pesticides.

(7) Commodities not listed are not considered as included in the groups for the purposes of this paragraph. Miscellaneous commodities intentionally not included in any group include asparagus, avocadoes, bananas, figs, globe artichokes, hops, kiwi fruit, mangoes, mushrooms, okra, papayas, pawpaws, peanuts, persimmons, pineapples, water chestnuts, and watercress. The commodities included in the groups will be updated periodically either at the initiative of the Agency or at the request of an interested party. Persons interested in updating this section of the CFR should contact the Minor Uses Officer of the Registration Division of the Office of Pesticide Programs.

(8) Establishment of a tolerance does not substitute for the additional need to register the pesticide under a companion law, the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act. The Registration Division of the Office of Pesticide Programs should be contacted concerning procedures for registration of new uses of a pesticide.

(9) The crop groupings and representative crops for each group as established by this amendment are set forth below.

(i) Root and tuber vegetables group.

(A) *Commodities.* Arracacha (*Arracacia xanthorrhiza*); arrowroot (*Maranta arundinacea*); arrowroot, purple (*Canna edulis*); artichoke, Jaqpanese (*Stachys affinis*); artichoke, Jerusalem (*Helianthus tuberosus*); beet (*Beta vulgaris*); beet, sugar (*Beta vulgaris*); burdock, edible (*Arctium lappa*); carrot (*Daucus carota*); cassava, bitter or sweet (*Manihot* spp.); celeriac (celery root) (*Apium* spp.); chervil, turnip-rooted (*chaerophyllum bulbosum*); chicory (*Cichorium intybus*); chufa (*Cyperus esculentus* var. *sativus*); dasheen (taro) (*Colocasia* spp.); ginger (*Zingiber* spp.); ginseng (*Panax quinquefolius*); horseradish (*Armoracia rusticana*); leren (*Calathea allouia*); parsley, turnip-rooted (*Petroselinum crispum*); parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa*); potato (Solanum spp.); radish (*Raphanus sativus*); radish, Japanese (Daikon) (*Raphanus sativus*); rutabaga (*Brassica campestris* var. *napobrassica*); salsify (Oyster plant) (*Tragopogon porrifolius*); salsify, black (*Scorzonera hispanica*); salsify, Spanish (*Scolymus hispanica*); skirret (*Sium sisarum*); sweet potato (*Ipomoea* spp.); tanier (Cocoyam) (*Xanthosoma* spp.); turmeric (*Curcuma domestica); turnip (Brassica rapa*); yam, true (*Dioscorea* spp.); yam bean (Pachyrhizus spp.)

(B) *Representative commodities.* Carrot, potato, radish and sugar beet.

(ii) Leaves of root and tuber vegetables (human food or animal feed) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Beet (*Beta vulgaris*); beet,. sugar (*Beta vulgaris*); burdock, edible (*Arctium lappa*); carrot (*Daucus carota*); cassava, bitter or sweet (*Manihot* spp.); celeriac (celery root) (*Apium* spp.); chervil, turnip-rooted (*Chaerophyllum bulbosum*); chicory (*Cichorium intybus*); dasheen (taro) (*Colocasia* spp.); parsnip (*Pastinaca sativa*); radish (*Raphanus sativus*); radish, Japanese (Daikon) (*Raphanus sativus*); rutabaga (*Brassica campestris* var. *napobrassica*); salsify, black (*Scorzonera hispanica*); sweet potato (*Ipomoea* spp.); tanier (Cocoyam) (*Xanthosoma* spp.); turnip (*Brassica rapa*); yam, true (*Dioscorea* spp.).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Turnip and sugar beet.

(iii) Bulb vegetables (*Allium* spp.) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Garlic (*Allium ampeloprasum, A. sativum*); leek (*Allium ampeloprasum, A. porrum, A. tricoccum*); onion (*Allium cepa, A. Fistulosum*); shallot (*Allium ascalonicum*).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Onion (green and bulb) and one other commodity.

(iv) Leafy vegetables (except *Brassica* vegetables) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Amaranth, (leafy amaranth, Chinese spinach, tampala) (*Amaranthus* spp.); arrugula (Roquette) (*Eruca sativa*); celery (*Apium graveolens*); celtuce (*Lactuca sativa*); chervil (*Anthriscus cerefolium*); corn salad (*Valerianella oliteria*); chrysanthemum, edible-leaved (*Chrysanthemum coronarium*); chrysanthemum, garland (*Chrysanthemum spatiosum*); cress, garden (*Lepidium sativum*); cress, upland (yellow rocket, winter cress) (*Barbarea vulgaris*); dandelion (*Taraxacum officinale*); dock (sorrel) (*Rumex* spp.); endive (escarole) (*Cichorium endivia*); fennel, Florence (*Foeniculum vulgare*); lettuce (*Lactuca sativa*); orach (*Atriplex hortensis*); parsley (*Petroselinum crispum*); purslane, garden (*Portulaca oleracea*); purslane, winter (*Montia perfoliate*); rhubarb (*Rheum rhaponticum*); spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*); spinach, fine (Malabar, Ceylon) (*Basella* spp.); spinach, New Zealand (*Tetragonia tetragonoides, T. expansa*); Swiss chard (*Beta vulgaris* var. *cicla*).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Lettuce (head and leaf), celery, and spinach (*Spinacia oleracea*).

(v) *Brassica* (cole) leafy vegetables group.

(A) *Commodities.* Broccoli (*B. oleracea* var. *botrytis, B. oleracea* var. *italica*); broccoli, Chinese (gai lon) (*B. oleracea var. alboglabra*); broccoli raab (rapini) (*B. campestris*); Brussels sprouts (*B. oleracea* var. *gemmifera*); cabbage (*B. oleracea*); cabbage, Chinese (bok choy, napa) (*B. campestris* var. *chinensis, B. campestris* var. *pekinensis*); cabbage, Chinese mustard (gai choy) (*B. campestris, B. japonica, B. juncea*); cauliflower (*B. oleracea var. botrytis*); collards (*B. oleracea* var. *acephala*); kale (*B. oleracea* var. *acephala*); kohlrabi (*B. oleracea* var. *gongylodes*); mustard greens (*B. juncea*); rape greens (*B. napus*);

(B) *Representative commodities.* Broccoli, cabbage and mustard greens.

(vi) Legume vegetables (succulent or dried) group

(A) *Commodities.* Beans (*Phaseolus* spp.) (includes adzuki beans, field beans, kidney beans, lima beans, moth beans, mung beans, navy beans, pinto beans, rice beans, runner beans, snap beans, tepary beans, urd beans, wax beans); beans (*Vigna* spp.) (includes asparagus beans, black-eyed peas, catjang, Chinese longbean, cowpeas, Crowder peas, southern peas, yard-long beans); broad beans (Fava beans) (*Vicia faba*); chick peas (garbanzo beans) (*Cicer arietinum*); guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonoloba*); jackbean (sword bean) (*Canavalia ensiformis*); lablab beans (hyacinth bean) (*Dolichos lablab*); lentils (*Lens esculenta*); peas (*Pisum* spp.) (includes garden peas, field peas,

sugar peas); pigeon peas (*Cajanus cajan*); soybeans (*Glycine max*);

(B) *Representative commodities.* *Beans (Phaseolus* spp.; one succulent variety and one dried variety); peas (*Pisum* spp.; one succulent variety and one dried variety); and soybeans.

(vii) Foliage of legume vegetables group.

(A) *Commodities.* Plant parts of any legume vegetable included in the group legume vegetables that will be used as animal feed.

(B) *Representative commodities.* Any variety of beans (*Phaseolus* spp.), field peas (*Pisum* spp.) and soybeans (*Glycine max*).

(viii) Fruiting vegetables (except cucurbits) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Eggplant (*Solanum melongena);* ground cherry (*Physalis* spp.); pepinos (*Solanum muricatum);* pepper (*Capsicum* spp.) (includes bell peppers, chili peppers, cooking peppers, pimentos, sweet peppers); tomatillo (*Physalis ixocarpa);* tomatoes (*Lycopersicum esculentum).*

(B) *Representative commodities.* Tomatoes and peppers.

(ix) Cucurbit vegetables group.

(A) *Commodities.* Balsam pear (bitter melon) (*Mormordica* spp.); Chinese waxgourd (Chinese preserving melon) *(Benicasa hispida);* citron melon *(Citrullus lanatus);* cucumber *(Cucumis* spp.); gherkin (*Cucumis anguria);* gourds, edible *(Lagenaria* spp., *Luffa acutangula, L. cyclindrica);* melons, including hybrids *(Cucumis melo)* (including cantaloupe, casaba, crenshaw, honeydew melons, honey balls, mango melon, muskmelon, Persian melon); pumpkin *(Cucurbita* spp.); squash, summer *(Cucurbita pepo* var. *melopepo*); squash, winter *(Cucurbita maxima, C. moschata);* watermelon, including hybrids *(Citrullus* spp.).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Cucumbers, melons (cantaloupe or muskmelon), and summer squash.

(x) Citrus fruits (*Citrus* spp., *Fortunella* spp.) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Calamondin *(C. mitis);* citrus citron *(C. medica);* citrus hybrids *(Citrus* spp.) (includes chironja, tangelos, tangors); grapefruit *(C. paradisi);* kumquats (*Fortunella* spp.); lemon *(C. jambhiri, C. limon);* limes *(C. aurantifolia);* mandarin (tangerine) *(C. Reticulata);* orange, sour *(C. aurantium);* orange, sweet *(C. sinensis);* pummelo *(C. grandis, C. maxima);* Satsuma mandarin *(C. unshiu).*

(B) *Representative commodities.* Sweet orange, lemon and grapefruit.

(xi) Pome fruits group.

(A) *Commodities.* Apple *(Malus sylvestris);* crabapple (*Malus* spp.); loquat *(Eriobotrya japonica);* pear *(Pyrus communis);* pear, oriental (*Pyrus pyrifolia);* quince *(Cydonia oblonga).*

(B) *Representative commodities.* Apple and pear.

(xii) Stone fruits group.

(A) *Commodities.* Apricot *(Prunus armeniaca);* cherry, sour *(Prunus cerasus);* cherry, sweet *(Prunus avium);* nectarine *(Prunus persica);* peach *(Prunus persica);* plum and prune *(Prunus domestica, Prunus* spp.); plum, Chickasaw *(Prunus angustifolia);* plum, Damson *(Prunus insititia);* plum, Japanese *(Prunus salicina).*

(B) *Representative commodities.* Sour or sweet cherry, peach and plums or fresh prunes *(Prunus domestica).*

(xiii) Small fruits and berries group.

(A) *Commodities.* Blackberry *(Rubus* spp.); blueberry (*Vaccinium* spp.); boysenberry *(Rubus* spp.); cranberry *(Vaccinium macrocarpon);* currant *(Ribes* spp.); dewberry *(Rubus* spp.); elderberry *(Sambuca* spp.); gooseberry *(Ribes* spp.); grape *(Vitis* spp.); huckleberry *(Gaylussacia* spp.); loganberry *(Rubus loganobaccus);* olallie berry *(Rubus* spp.); raspberry, black and red *(Rubus occidentalis, Rubus strigosus);* strawberry *(Fragaria* spp.); youngberry *(Rubus caesius).*

(B) *Representative commodities:* Blackberry or other *Rubus* spp., blueberry, cranberry, grape and strawberry.

(xiv) Tree nuts group.

(A) *Commodities.* Almond *(Prunus amygdalus);* beech nut *(Fagus* spp.); Brazil nut *(Bertholletia excelsa);* butternut *(Juglans cinerea);* cashew *(Anacardium occidentale);* chestnut *(Castanea* spp.); chinquapin *(Castanea pumila);* filbert (hazelnut) *(Corylus* spp.); hickory nut *(Carya* spp.); Macadamia nut (bush nut) *(Macadamia* spp.); pecan *(Carya illinoensis);* walnut, black and English (persian) *(Juglans* spp.).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Almond, pecan and English walnut.

(xv) Cereal grains group.

(A) *Commodities.* Barley *(Hordeum* spp.); buckwheat *(Fagopyrum esculentum);* corn *(Zea mays);* millet, proso *(Panicum miliaceum);* oats *(Avena* spp.); millet, pearl *(Pennisetum glaucum);* popcorn *(Zea mays* var. *everta);* rice *(Oryza sativa);* rye *(Secale cereale);* sorghum (milo) *(Sorghum* spp.); teosinte *(Euchlaena* spp.); triticale *(Triticum-Secale* hybrids); wheat *(Triticum* spp.); wild rice *(Zizania aquatica).*

(B) *Representative commodities.* Corn (fresh sweet corn and dried field corn), rice, sorghum and wheat.

(xvi) Forage, fodder and straw of cereal grains groups.

(A) *Commodities.* Forage, fodder and straw of all commodities included in the group cereal grains.

(B) *Representative commodities.* Corn, wheat and any other cereal grain crop.

(xvii) Grass forage, fodder, and hay group.

(A) *Commodities.* Any grass, *Gramineae* family, (either green or cured) except sugarcane and those included in the group cereal grains, that will be fed to or grazed by livestock, all pasture and range grasses and grasses grown for hay or silage.

(B) *Representative commodities.* Bermuda grass, bluegrass and bromegrass or fescue.

(xviii) Non-grass animal feeds (forage, fodder, straw and hay) group.

(A) *Commodities.* Alfalfa (*Medicago* spp.); bean, velvet (*Mucuna deeringiana*); clover (*Trifolium* spp., *Melilotus* spp.); kudzu (*Pueraria lobata*); lespedeza (*Lespedeza* spp); lupine (*Lupinus* spp.); sainfoin (*Onobrychis viciaefolia*); trefoil (*Lotus* spp.); vetch (*Vicia* spp.); vetch, crown (*Coronilla varia*); vetch, milk (*Astragulus* spp).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Alfalfa and clover (*Trifolium* spp.)

(xix) Herbs and spices group.

(A) *Commodities.* Anise (aniseed) (*Pimpinella anisum*); balm (*Melissa officinalis*); basil (*Ocimum basilicum*); borage (*Borago officinalis*); burnet (*Sanguisorba minor*); camomile (*Anthemis nobilis*); caraway (*Carum carvi*); catnip (*Nepeta cataria*); chives (*Allium schoenoprasum*); clary (*Salvia sclarea*); coriander (*Coriandrum sativum*); costmary (*Chrysanthemum balsamita*); cumin (*Cuminum cyminum*); curry leaf (*Murraya koenigii*); dill (*Anethum graveolens*); fennel (Italian and sweet) (*Foeniculum vulgare*); fenugreek (*Trigonella foenumgraecum*); horehound (*Marrubium vulgare*); hyssop (*Hyssopus officinalis*); marigold (*Calendula officinalis*); marjoram, sweet (oregano) (*Origanum majorana*); marjoram, wild (*Origanum vulgare*); nasturtium (*Tropaeolum majus*); pennyroyal (*Mentha pulegium*); rosemary (*Rosmarinus officinalis*); rue (*Ruta graveolens*); sage (*Salvia officinalis*); savory, summer and winter (*Satureja* spp.); sweet bay (bay leaf) (*Laurus nobilis*); tansy (*Tanacetum vulgare*); tarragon (*Artemesia dracunculus*); thyme (*Thymus* spp.); wintergreen (*Gaultheria procumbens*); woodruff (*Galium odorata*); wormwood (*Artemesia absinthium*).

(B) *Representative commodities.* Basil, chives, dill, marjoram and sage.

[FR Doc. 83-17491 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 180

[6F1864, 6F1865, 9F2252, 2E2691, 2F2723/R575; PH-FRL 2391-3]

Tolerances and Exemptions From Tolerances for Pesticide Chemicals in or on Raw Agricultural Commodities; Ethyl 3-Methyl-4-(Methylthio) Phenyl (1-Methylethyl) Phosphoramidate

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This rule establishes tolerances for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites in or on certain raw agricultural commodities. This regulation to establish maximum permissible levels for the combined residues of the nematocide in or on the commodities was requested, pursuant to petitions, by Mobay Chemical Corporation.

EFFECTIVE DATE: Effective on June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Written objections may be submitted to the: Hearing Clerk (A-110), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 3708, 401 M St., SW., Washington, D.C. 20460.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Henry Jacoby, Product Manager (PM) 21, Registration Division (TS-767C), Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 227, CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202, (703-557-1900).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued notices, published in the **Federal Register**, which announced that Mobay Chemical Corporation, P.O. Box 493, Kansas City, MO 64120, had submitted pesticide petitions (PP) proposing to amend 40 CFR 180.349 by establishing tolerances for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(1-methylthio) phenyl (methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites in or on certain raw agricultural commodities as follows:

1. *PP 6F1864.* Published October 19, 1976 (41 FR 46020). Pineapples at 0.04 part per million (ppm) and pineapple foliage at 1.0 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation subsequently amended the petition (48 FR 11162; March 16, 1983) by (1) adding the metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate to the regulation; (2) deleting the tolerances for pineapples at 0.04 ppm and pineapple foliage at 1.0 ppm; and (3) proposing a tolerance for pineapples (fresh) at 0.3 ppm.

2. *PP 6F1865.* Published December 6, 1977 (42 FR 61626). Grapefruit, lemons, limes, oranges, and tangerines at 0.75 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation subsequently amended the petition (44 FR 66671; November 20, 1979) by reducing the proposed tolerances from 0.75 to 0.60 ppm.

3. *PP 9F2252.* Published October 2, 1979 (44 FR 56737). Apples, cherries, and peaches at 0.02 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation subsequently amended the petition (47 FR 46757; October 20, 1982) by increasing the proposed tolerance from 0.02 to 0.20 ppm. The petition was again amended (48 FR 11161; March 16, 1983) by further increasing the tolerance from 0.20 to 0.25 ppm and by proposing tolerances for the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate, ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate, ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio) phenyl phosphoramidate, ethyl-4-(methylsulfinyl) phenyl phosphoramidate, and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl) phenyl phosphoramidate in or on the commodities meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, and sheep at 0.05 ppm and whole milk at 0.002 or 0.01 ppm (dependent on the results of the enforcement method trial).

4. *PP 2E2691.* Published June 30, 1982 (47 FR 28453). Cocoa beans at 0.01 ppm and garlic at 0.05 ppm. Mobay Chemical Corporation subsequently amended the petition (47 FR 53116; November 24, 1982) by increasing the tolerances for cocoa beans from 0.01 to 0.02 ppm and for garlic from 0.05 to 0.50 ppm.

5. *PP 2F2723.* Published September 22, 1982 (47 FR 41854). Grapes at 0.1 ppm.

There were no comments received in response to the notices of filing.

The scientific data considered in support of these tolerances include a 2-year dog feeding study with a no-observed-effect-level (NOEL) of 0.025 mg/kg body weight/day; a 2-year rat feeding study with a NOEL of 0.15 mg/kg body weight/day; an 18-month mouse oncogenic study with no oncogenic effects observed at 7.50 mg/kg body weight/day; a 3-generation reproduction study with a NOEL of 1.5 mg/kg body weight/day; a rat teratology study with a NOEL of 0.5 mg/kg body weight/day; and a rabbit teratology study with a NOEL 0.3 mg/kg body weight/day.

Using a 10-fold safety factor and based on the NOEL of 0.025 mg/kg body weight/day for cholinesterase inhibition in dogs, the allowable daily intake (ADI) is 0.0025 mg/kg body weight/day and the maximum permissible intake (MPI) is 0.1500 mg/day for a 60 kg person. Established and other approved tolerances along with these tolerances result in a theroretical maximum residue contribution (TMRC) of 0.0644 mg/day for a 1.5 kg daily diet and utilize 42.95 percent of the ADI. Temporary tolerances have previously been established for the combined residues of this nematocide and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites in or on pineapples, pineapple bran, grapes, grape pomace (wet and dry), raisins, and raisin waste. A related document, ([FAP 2H5361/R576]; FAP 6H5149, 6H5150, 9H5236, and 2H5361/R577]), establishing food/feed additive regulations appear elsewhere in this issue of the **Federal Register.**

There are no regulatory action pending against the continued registration of the nematocide and there are no other considerations involved in establishing these tolerances. Mobay Chemical Corporation is presently reevaluating the chemical in a rat oncogenicity/feeding study. The metabolism of this nematocide is adequately understood, and an adequate analytical method, gas chromotography with a potassium chloride thermionic flame or nitrogenphosphorous alkali bead detector, is available for enforcing the proposed tolerances.

The pesticide is considered useful for the purpose for which the tolerances are sought, and it is concluded that the establishment of the tolerances will protect the public health. Therefore, the tolerances are established as set forth below.

Any person adversely affected by this regulation may, within 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, file written objections with the hearing Clerk, at the address given above. Such objections should specify the provisions of the regulation deemed objectionable and the grounds for the objections.

If a hearing is requested, the objections must state the issues for the hearing and the grounds for the objections. A hearing will be granted if the objections are supported by grounds legally sufficient to justify the relief sought.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96-534, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601-612), the Administrator has determined that

regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the **Federal Register** of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

(Sec. 408(d)(2), 68 Stat. 512 (21 U.S.C. 346a(d)(2)))

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Administrative practice and procedures, Raw agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

Edwin L. Johnson,

Director, Office of Pesticide Programs.

PART 180—[AMENDED]

Therefore 40 CFR 180.349 is revised to read as follows:

§ 180.349 Ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate; tolerances for residues.

(a) Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfonyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl) phosphoramidate in or on the following raw agricultural commodities:

Commodities	Parts per million
Apples	0.25
Bananas	0.10
Brussels sprouts	0.10
Cabbage	0.10
Cherries	0.25
Cocoa beans	0.02
Cottonseed	0.05
Garlic	0.50
Grapes	0.10
Grapefruit	0.60
Lemons	0.60
Limes	0.60
Okra	0.30
Oranges	0.60
Peaches	0.25
Peanuts	0.02
Peanuts, hulls	0.40
Pineapples	0.30
Soybeans	0.05
Tangerines	0.60

(b) Tolerances are established for the combined residues of the nematocide ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate and its cholinesterase-inhibiting metabolites ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl (1-methylethyl)phosphoramidate, ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methylthio)phenyl phosphoramidate, ethyl-4-(methylsulfinyl)phenyl phosphoramidate, and ethyl 3-methyl-4-(methyl-sulfonyl)phenyl phosphoramidate in or on the following raw agricultural meat commodities:

Commodities	Parts per million
Cattle, fat	0.05
Cattle, meat	0.05
Cattle, (mbyp)	0.05
Goats, fat	0.05
Goats, meat	0.05
Goats, (mbyp)	0.05
Hogs, fat	0.05
Hogs, meat	0.05
Hogs, (mbyp)	0.05
Horses, fat	0.05
Horses, meat	0.05
Horses (mbyp)	0.05
Milk	0.01
Sheep, fat	0.05
Sheep, meat	0.05
Sheep, (mbyp)	0.05

[FR Doc. 83-17518 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 271

[SW-1-FRL 2390-6]

Connecticut; Phase II, Components A, B, and C Interim Authorization of State Hazardous Waste Management Program

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Final approval.

SUMMARY: The State of Connecticut has applied for Interim Authorization Phase II Components A, B, and C. EPA has reviewed Connecticut's application for Phase II Interim Authorization, Components A, B, and C, and has determined that Connecticut's hazardous waste program is substantially equivalent to the Federal program covered by Components A, B, and C. The State of Connecticut is hereby granted Interim Authorization for Phase II, Components A, B, and C, to operate the State's hazardous waste program covered by these Components in lieu of the Federal program.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William R. Torrey, State Waste Programs Branch, U.S.E.P.A., Region I, J.F.K. Federal Building, Boston, Massachusetts 02203 (617) 223-4448.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In the May 19, 1980 **Federal Register** (45 FR 33063), the Environmental Protection Agency promulgated regulations, pursuant to Subtitle C of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act of 1976 (as amended), to protect human health and the environment from the improper management of hazardous waste. Included in these regulations, which became effective November 19, 1980, were provisions for a transitional stage in which states would be granted interim program authorization. The interim authorization program is being implemented in two phases corresponding to the two stages in which the underlying Federal program has taken effect.

The State of Connecticut received Interim Authorization for Phase I on April 21, 1982.

In the January 26, 1981 **Federal Register** (46 FR 7965), the Environmental Protection Agency announced the availability of portions of the second phase of Interim Authorization. EPA made the second phase of Interim Authorization available in components, in order to proceed with authorizing State programs as expeditiously as possible and because some of the standards for hazardous waste treatment, storage and disposal facilities (40 CFR Part 264) have been promulgated at different times. On April 5, 1983, EPA published a notice in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 14662) inviting the public to comment on the Connecticut application for Interim Authorization Phase II, Components A, B, and C at a public hearing on May 13, 1983. This notice also invited the public to submit written comments on the Connecticut application to Region I by May 20, 1983. Notice was also given in two major daily newspapers in Connecticut.

Discussion

The State of Connecticut submitted an application for Phase II Interim Authorization Components A, B, and C on March 25, 1983. The application addressed all of the federal requirements in 40 CFR Part 271 Subpart B necessary for Phase II Interim Authorization Components A, B, and C and was deemed a complete application on March 29, 1983.

Minor issues requiring clarification by the State were identified in the review of the complete application. The State responded with an Application Addendum letter dated May 13, 1983 and clarified certain aspects of its program raised by EPA by letter dated May 4, 1983, regarding permit public notices, conduct of hearings and appeal procedures.

Responsiveness Summary

Region I held the public hearing on the Connecticut application for Phase II authorization in Hartford, Connecticut. Three (3) members of the public attended in addition to Region I and State agency representatives. No presentations were made by the public.

The public comment period closed on May 20, 1983. EPA received no comments.

Response

EPA notes that no comments were made on the Connecticut application for Phase II Interim Authorization, Components A, B and C. Ample notice and opportunity were provided for the review of and comment on the application. EPA interprets this silence, not as disinterest, but as general public acceptance of the viability of the Connecticut Hazardous Waste Management Program.

I conclude that the Connecticut application for Interim Authorization to operate the RCRA Phase II, Components A, B, and C program meets all of the statutory and regulatory requirements and as such I approve this authorization.

Authority

This notice is issued under the authority of Section 2002(a), 3006, and 7004(b) of the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 6912(a), 6926, 6974(b).

Compliance With Executive Order 12291

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Certification Under the Regulatory Flexibility Act

Pursuant to the provision of 5 U.S.C. 605(b), I hereby certify that this authorization will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The authorization suspends the applicability of certain Federal regulations in favor of the State program, thereby eliminating duplicative requirements for handlers of hazardous wastes in the State. It does not impose any new burdens on small entities.

This rule, therefore, does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 271

Hazardous materials, Indians—lands, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Waste treatment and disposal, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, and Confidential business information.

Dated: May 31, 1983.

Paul G. Keough,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 83-17508 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

41 CFR Parts 9-4, 9-7 and 9-15

Amendment to the DOE Procurement Regulations; Corrections

AGENCY: Energy Department.

ACTION: Final rule; corrections.

SUMMARY: This document corrects minor errors in three final rules amending the DOE Procurement Regulations published at 45 FR 24380, April 9, 1980; 46 FR 25303, May 6, 1981; and 47 FR 28924, July 2, 1982.

EFFECTIVE DATE: These corrections will be effective on June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Comments, if any, should be addressed to the Department of Energy, Procurement Policy Branch, MA-421.1, Forrestal Building, Washington, D.C. 20585.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Richard B. Langston, Procurement Policy Branch, Department of Energy (202) 252-8188.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on June 23, 1983.

Hilary J. Rauch,

Director, Procurement and Assistance Management Directorate.

PART 9-4—[AMENDED]

The following corrections are made.

1. At § 9-4.411-3, the final rule published at 46 FR 25303 contained a reference to an organizational entity which is no longer correct. The correct and current text should read:

* * * * *

§ 9-4.411-3 Prior review of certain proposed procurements.

(a) [Reserved]

(b) HPAs shall submit to the utility element in the Office of Project and Facilities Management for Headquarter's review and approval, proposed * * *

* * * * *

PART 9-7—[AMENDED]

2. At § 9-7.603-60, the final rule published at 45 FR 24380, a clause entitled "Subcontracting plan for small business concerns and small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals" was inserted with the suffix "-60" which should have been "-61" as there was already a clause with that numeric suffix. The result was that the existing clause entitled "Government Property" was accidently displaced. The corrected text should read:

§ 9-7.603-60 Government property.

Insert the applicable clause as set forth in FPR 1-7.303-7 modified as set forth in § 9-7.303-7 (1) and (2).

§ 9-7.603-61 Subcontracting plan for small business concerns and small business concerns owned and controlled by socially and economically disadvantaged individuals.

Insert clause set forth in § 9-7.710-3(c) where appropriate.

* * * * *

PART 9-15—[AMENDED]

3. At § 9-15.303, the final rule published at 47 FR 28924 added a new section 9-15.303-J.45. The new section supplements rather than implements the FPR. The DOE-PR numbering procedure described at § 9-1.007-2(b) provides that the numbers 50 and up will be used for supplementing materials. Accordingly, the entry at § 9-15.303-J.45 is removed and replaced at § 9-15.303-J.50 as follows:

* * * * *

§ 9-15.303-J.45 [Reserved]

* * * * *

§ 9-15.303-J.50 Printing costs.

See the limitation at § 9-15.205-61.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 83-17440 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Part 2

[Gen. Docket No. 80-739; FCC 83-272]

Implementation of Final Acts of the World Administrative Radio Conference, Geneva, 1979; Amendment

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: The Federal Communications Commission amends Part 2 of its Rules to implement domestically the Final Acts of the 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference with regard to the 11.7-12.2 GHz band. This action brings the Commission's Rules into conformity

with international regulations. This provides domestic radio spectrum users with international recognition and rights to protection from harmful interference as provided by international regulations.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1980.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Fred Thomas/Mr. William Torak, Office of Science and Technology, 2025 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20554, (202) 653–8171/(202) 632–7025.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 2

Frequency allocations treaties.

First Report and Order

In the matter of-Amendment of Part 2 of the Commission's Rules Regarding Implementation of the Final Acts of the World Administrative Radio Conference, Geneva, 1979; General Docket 80–739.

Adopted: June 2, 1983.
Released: June 10, 1983.
By the Commission; Commissioner Fogarty not participating; Commissioner Rivera concurring in the result.

Purpose

1. The purpose of this proceeding is to implement into Part 2 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations the Final Acts of the 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference. This First Report and Order will be limited to addressing the issues affecting only the 11.7–12.2 GHz band. Of particular interest in this band is the issue of domestic adoption of international footnote 836, which would allow transponders on space stations in the fixed-satellite service (CFSS) to be used for broadcasting-satellite service (BSS) operations. This issue of BSS use of FSS satellites was originally raised by the Commission in its Order, *GTE Satellite Corporation*, 90 FCC 2d 1009 (1982), where the Commission authorized GSAT, pursuant to Section 214 of the Act, to acquire transponders for the provision of video service to single unit dwellings in addition to more conventional FSS terminals serving cable television systems, broadcast stations, hotels, etc. The Commission further decided that the domestic adoption of footnote 836 should be fully addressed in the instant proceeding. Accordingly the issue was raised in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making (NPRM), FCC 82–508, released December 30, 1982, of this proceeding. We believe that, due to the great interest and response to the NPRM regarding this issue by the public and due to the ongoing public concern regarding the GTE Order, it would be in the public interest to resolve this issue without delay. Additionally, we will address the issue of adopting footnote NG143, which would permit international FSS operations in this band, and the issue of a secondary mobile-satellite allocation in this band. The remainder of the issues addressed in the NPRM will be dealt with in the future Report and Orders in this proceeding.

Discussion

2. Those who filed comments and reply comments to the issues raised in the NPRM regarding the 11.7–12.2 GHz band are listed in Appendix A.

International Footnote 836

3. Comments regarding footnote 836 were filed by SPSC, STC, USCI and USSB and reply comments were filed by DBSC, GSAT, MOBILSAT, RCA, STC, SPSC, USCI and USSB.

4. In their comments both SPSC and USCI supported the footnote and urged the Commission to adopt it domestically, as they claim that it provides flexibility. However, both STC and USSB in their comments were opposed to the footnote and requested that it be suppressed. STC and USSB argued that permitting BSS/FSS sharing in this band would go against the long standing policy of the Commission of providing separate allocations for BSS and FSS operations. They noted that this point was vigorously debated and thoroughly aired in the 1979 WARC preparatory proceeding. They also argued that allowing BSS/FSS sharing would be poor spectrum management and that the consumer of DBS services would lose because he would not be able to view all available DBS programming with one set of receiving equipment. Further, STC and USSB claimed that it may be impossible for the Commission to carry out its intentions of not compromising on its proposed 2° spacing policy. They argued that spacing greater than 2° would require more cumbersome and complex spectrum management and would reduce the number of FSS satellites that could be accommodated. STC, supported by its Technical Appendix, went on to argue that BSS operations would be subject to interference levels substantially higher than acceptable under established standards if co-channel adjacent fixed-satellite operations (2° away) were transmitting typical FM/TV programming. STC also argued that BSS operations would produce interference to co-channel adjacent (i.e. 2° away) FSS operations if the FSS operator was providing single-channel-per-carrier (SCPC) service. The interference levels in this case would be much higher than the international standards for such service. STC went on to note that these interference assessments assumed a homogeneous satellite environment and clear-sky conditions. However, STC claimed these conditions will probably not exist in a real environment and the interference levels will probably be worse. Further, STC claimed that permitting FSS/BSS sharing in this band would jeopardize the validity and integrity of the U.S. position to the RARC–83 because it would put in question both the need to divide the FSS and BSS allocations at 12.2 GHz and the need for a full 500 MHz allocation for BSS.

5. In reply comments, DBSC, STC and USSB supported and reinforced the comments of STC and USSB and requested that the footnote not be adopted. MOBILSAT in its reply comments also requested the footnote not be adopted. It shared the concern raised by STC regarding interference to SCPC operations and claimed the problem would be exacerbated by allowing effective isotropic radiated powers (e.i.r.p.'s) up to 53dBW.

6. In their reply comments GSAT, RCA, SPSC and USCI rejected the claims made by STC and USSB. Both GSAT and USCI argued that technology has changed since the formulation of the U.S. positions for the 1979 WARC and that it is now possible to provide a relatively inexpensive high quality direct-to-home service with medium-powered FSS facilities. They argued that no change is necessary to the adopted 2° satellite spacing in our *Report and Order* adopted April 27, 1983 in Docket No. 81–704, FCC 83–184, or to the 53dBW per channel power limit of the footnote in order to accommodate direct-to-home service in the FSS band. Further, they argued, STC's Technical Appendix, filed with STC's comments, was incomplete, full of mathematical errors, and based on invalid assumptions of a highly unlikely worst-case scenario. In response, USCI submitted its own Technical Appendix performed by Stern Telecommunications Corporation. USCI claimed that the study demonstrates that interference to and from adjacent FSS satellites, at 2° satellite spacing and under the parameters and limitations of footnote 836, would be well within acceptable limits and that the conclusions of the STC study are wrong. GSAT also argued, with regard to STC claims of video interference to SCPC signals, that FSS operators currently provide video service for a number of other users and that the potential for interference to SCPC signals from adjacent video operations will exist

regardless of whether the video signals are for direct-to-home distribution or some other purpose. Both GSAT and USCI rejected the STC and USSB assumption that adjacent satellite operators will make no prior effort to coordinate their frequency use. They argued that careful coordination between adjacent users is essential for realistic business planning regardless of whether or not footnote 836 is adopted. GSAT further argued that adoption of footnote 836 would provide a clear opportunity for direct-to-home video program providers to make and implement rational economic trade-offs in a "free market" environment.

7. We have reviewed the technical appendices filed by STC in its comments and by USCI in its reply comments and have come to the following conclusions. First, we agree with GSAT and USCI that technology has changed substantially since the U.S. positions for the 1979 WARC were formulated and that the assumptions made by STC are outdated and no longer valid. In particular, the assumption that led to the allocation of separate bands to the FSS and BSS at the 1979 WARC was based upon the large power difference between the high-powered BSS and low-powered FSS satellites. Prior to the 1979 WARC, power differences as large as 20dB existed between then planned FSS systems and the BSS parameters adopted at the 1977 WARC—BS in these two 12 GHz services. Such large power differences would have resulted in a requirement for excessive orbit spacings and other sharing problems if both services were provided in the same band. For these reasons, as well as regulatory provisions governing the use of the 11.7–12.2 GHz band by both FSS and BSS in Region 2, we successfully advocated separate allocations for the two services. However, because of technical advances, domestic FSS systems in this band are now able to use medium-powered FSS satellites with powers about 10dB higher than the early domestic FSS satellites. These new satellites are capable of providing e.i.r.p.'s greater than 50 dBW over significant portions of the U.S.[1] Further, the powers proposed for BSS operations in this band are substantially lower than those proposed for the 12.2–12.7 GHz BSS band. Therefore, these new medium-powered FSS satellites should be able to provide BSS operations as proposed without any adverse impact on orbit spacing in this band.

8. Second, a number of assumptions made in STC's technical analysis do not reflect the current state of technology nor do they reflect the ability to coordinate traffic on adjacent satellites. Some degree of coordination will be required by FSS systems to operate successfully with 2 degree orbit spacings. In addition, the copolar and cross-polar antenna patterns used by STC are several dB less stringent than the antenna standard in Part 25 of the FCC Rules, which is applicable to calculating interference into receiving antennas operating in this band.[2] Furthermore, small antennas of offset design, as proposed by USCI, are capable of exceeding the Part 25 antenna standard, thereby providing additional protection to the BSS receiver. Further, STC performs a voltage summation of the cross-polar antenna isolations to arrive at the total isolation available through cross-polarization. This model is inaccurate when applied to interference arriving through the sidelobes of an antenna. In addition, both STC and USCI assumed that all satellites are simultaneously located at the worst extreme of the 0.1 degree station keeping limit. This assumption is conservative since this is a parameter that is controllable by the satellite operators and the technology and economic incentives are there to maintain the station keeping to less than half this value. In fact, several recent domestic satellite applications have stated a station keeping accuracy of 0.05 degrees. With respect to interference from the BSS signal into FSS SCPC channels, STC has evaluated the co-channel case that has long been recognized as a potentially severe case that would require orbit spacings in excess of 10° to satisfy. However, this case is routinely avoided by all U.S. domestic satellite operators by simply avoiding SCPC assignments within the 2 MHz around the TV carrier frequency. This 2 MHz loss of bandwidth has little or no impact on the SCPC operator since he is generally power limited and cannot use the full bandwidth of the transponder.[3]

9. Finally, we believe that allowing BSS operations in this band will be in the best interest of the general public by enhancing the opportunities for the market place to develop BSS to the extent technically possible. In order to accommodate the intent of footnote 836 and remain consistent with the format of our domestic table we have adopted footnote NG145 which reads as follows:

NG145 In the band 11.7–12.2 GHz, transponders on space stations in the fixed-satellite service may be used additionally for transmissions in the broadcasting-satellite service, provided that such transmissions do not have a maximum e.i.r.p. greater than 53 dBW per television channel and do not cause greater interference or require more protection from interference than the coordinated fixed-satellite service frequency assignment. With respect to the space services, this band shall be used principally for the fixed-satelite service.

Implementation of such service will be conducted in accordance with our domestic satellite licensing policies and procedures.[4]

Footnote NG143

10. Comments regarding footnote NG143 (See Appendix B), which would allow space stations to operate internationally so long as they impose no unacceptable constraints on operations or orbit locations of space stations in conformance with international footnote 839, were filed by AT&T, M/A-COM and WU and reply comments were filed by COMSAT.

11. M/A-COM supported the footnote, noting that the 10.7–11.7 GHz band, which is allocated for such international systems, is heavily used by terrestrial microwave; therefore, coordination of earth stations is difficult. Since terrestrial microwave is not allocated on a primary basis in the 11.7–12.2 GHz band, this problem does not exist. WU is opposed to the footnote and requested that it not be added to the Table until it has been conclusively demonstrated that there is a need for international fixed-satellite operations in this band (including showing that such operations cannot be provided in the 10.7–11.7 GHz band) and until the Commission provides a full explanation of the criteria used to determine what constraints on domestic or sub-regional systems would be acceptable. AT&T requested that NG143 be expanded to embrace all services, including

[1] Generally this involves both higher power amplifiers and increased gain antennas to increase e.i.r.p. Thus, it appears that the FSS satellites will continue to increase the e.i.r.p. for all services, including data and message service as well as program distribution. In one recent example, the application from National Exchange (NEX) for the "Spotsat" domestic FSS system proposes 59 dBW e.i.r.p. spot beams. This power level is near that provided by the DBS satellites in the 12.2–12.7 GHz band and is 6dB higher than the limit in footnote 836.

[2] In particular, we refer to Sec. 25.209 as modified in the Report and Order in CC Docket 81–704, FCC 83–184, adopted April 27, 1983. This antenna standard is 29–25 log θ (1.–7 degrees off-axis) for the cross-polar pattern.

[3] American Satellite Corporation, 72 FCC 2d 750, 759 (1979).

[4] *See* e.g., GTE Satellite Corporation, *supra*, recons. *den.* June 1, 1983.

terrestrial, that may be operating in accordance with the international Radio Regulations as well as U.S. domestic rules. It proposed the following language be added at the end of the footnote:

* * * and impose no unacceptable constraints on operations or locations and pointing of terrestrial systems operating in accordance with the international Rules and Regulations and the domestic Table of Allocations.

12. COMSAT in its reply comments claimed that the concerns raised by both AT&T and WU are unfounded and requested that the footnote be included in the Table in its present form. It noted, as did M/A-COM, that the 11 GHz band currently allocated for international fixed-satellite service is shared with terrestrial microwave systems and that FSS users in that band are likely to suffer from interference in metropolitan areas where the demand for FSS service is strongest. Therefore, it claimed that the 11.7–12.2 GHz band would be desirable for international FSS use, recognizing that such use would only be permitted as long as it does not impose unacceptable constraints on the U.S. domestic fixed-satellite services. In response to AT&T's claim, COMSAT argued that it fails to see how interregional FSS could place any additional constraints on the secondary services than those already imposed by the national and sub-regional FSS.

13. We agree with M/A–COM and COMSAT that this band could provide additional opportunities for international FSS operations, particularly in light of the anticipated availability of earth station equipment capable of both domestic and international FSS service. We further agree with COMSAT that there is no reason to provide secondary terrestrial operations any more protection from this type of FSS operation than they currently receive from domestic FSS operations. Further, we believe that adoption of this footnote as proposed will result in a higher degree of flexibility in the use of this band, better spectrum utilization, and wider availability of services and equipment to users without adversely affecting the primary domestic use of this band. Consequently, sufficient reasons exist for us to adopt NG143 as proposed.

Secondary Mobile-Satellite

14. M/A–COM requested that the 11.7–12.2 GHz band be allocated on a secondary basis for the land mobile-satellite service (space-to-earth) to be paired with the mobile-satellite service (MSS) uplink allocation at 14.0–14.5 GHz, which was proposed by footnote US287. Also, MOBILSAT included its comments originally submitted in response to RM–4247 (NASA petition for establishment of a mobile-satellite service). In those comments it requested that 50 MHz of this band be allocated for feeder links for MSS. However, SPSC in its reply comments claimed that an allocation for feeder links for MSS could prove both spectrally inefficient and disruptive to the FSS authorized to operate in these bands. It further argued that such an allocation is inappropriate for consideration in this proceeding.

15. With regard to MACOM's request for a secondary mobile-satellite allocation to be paired with the 14.0–14.5 GHz MSS allocation (US287), we feel the proposed allocations will satisfy current and proposed spectrum requirements including those for which US287 was written. The purpose of US287 was to provide for a one way MSS link from mobile or transportable earth stations to central or fixed earth station installations. We foresee no requirement or practical effect for a secondary downlink MSS allocation for a return link to the mobile earth station. Additionally, it should be noted that WARC–79 did not provide a MSS allocation in the band 11.7–12.2 GHz. With regard to MOBILSAT's request, we see no reason to provide specific recognition of feeder links in this band since the definition of the FSS currently provides for feeder links operations. Therefore, no additional footnotes are required.

Conclusion

16. We are adopting the allocations for the 11.7–12.2 GHz band as proposed in the NPRM with the exception of international footnotes 836, which has been changed to NG145 and modified to include the band limits as discussed above. The revisions to Part 2.106 of the Rules are shown in Appendix B. We will address adoption of the new format for the Table of Frequency Allocations (Table), proposed in the NPRM, in a subsequent Report and Order in this proceeding that will consider the vast majority of the allocations Table. Therefore, we are using the current Table format for the purposes of this proceeding.

Administrative

17. Regulatory Flexibility Act Final Analysis.

I. *Need for and objective of final rules:*

The objective is to allocate the 11.7–12.2 GHz band domestically taking into consideration the Final Acts of the 1979 World Administrative Radio Conference. This is necessary as domestic systems operating within the provisions of the treaty will have rights to international protection from harmful interference as provided for by the Final Acts.

II. *Summary of issues raised by public comments:*

There were no comments filed addressing the matters discussed in the initial regulatory flexibility analysis.

III. *Alternatives to the rule:*

It does not appear that there are any signficant alternatives to the adopted rules that would accomplish the stated objectives. No public comment was received regarding such alternatives.

18. Accordingly, *it is ordered,* That pursuant to the authority found in Section 4(i), 301 and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (47 U.S.C. 154(i), 301, 303(r)), Part 2 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations is amended as specified in Appendix B. These amendments become effective 30 days after publication in the **Federal Register.**

19. Points of contact on this matter are William Torak (202) 632–7025 and Fred Thomas (202) 653–8171.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix A

The following submitted timely Comments concerning 11.7–12.2 GHz band in response to the NPRM in General Docket 80–739, Implementation of the Final Acts of the World Administrative Radio Conference, Geneva, 1979:

1. American Telephone and Telegraph Co.—AT&T
2. M/A–COM, Inc.—M/A–COM
3. Mobile Satellite Corporation—MOBILSAT
4. Satellite Television Coporation—STC
5. Southern Pacific Satellite Company—SPSC
6. United Satellite Communications, Inc.—USCI
7. Western Union Telegraph Company—WU

The following submitted late comments:

1. United States Satellite Broadcasting Company, Inc.—USSB

The following submitted timely reply comments:

1. Communications Satellite Corporation—COMSAT
2. Direct Broadcasting Satellite Corporation—DBSC
3. GTE Satellite Corporation)—GSAT
4. Mobile Satellite Corporation—MOBILSAT
5. RCA American Communications, Inc.—RCA
6. Satellite Television Corporation--STC

7. Southern Pacific Satellite Company—SPSC

8. United Satellite Communications, Inc.—USCI

9. United States Satellite Broadcasting Company, Inc.—USSB

Appendix B

Part 2 of Chapter I of Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

§ 2.106 [Amended]

1. In § 2.106, the Table of Frequency Allocations is revised for the band 11.7–12.2 GHz as follows:

UNITED STATES FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSIONS

Band (GHz)	Allocation	Band (GHz)	Service	Class of station	Frequency (GHz)	Nature of services of stations
5	6	7	8	9	10	11
11.7–12.2	NG(839) (840)	11.7–12.2	Fixed Satellite (space-to-Earth) (NG143) (NG145) Mobile except aeronautical mobile.	Common carrier land. Common carrier mobile (except aeronautical mobile). Space..		

2. In the list of footnotes immediately following the table in Section 2.106, footnotes 839, 840, NG143 and NG145 should be added in proper numerical sequence. The text of these footnotes is as follows:

839 The use of the band 11.7–12.7 GHz in Region 2 by the fixed-satellite and broadcasting-satellite services is limited to national and sub-regional systems and is subject to previous agreement between the administrations concerned and those having services, operating or planned to operate in accordance with the Table, which may be affected (see Articles 11, 13, 14, and Resolution 33).

840 For the use of the band 11.7–12.75 GHz in Regions 1, 2, and 3, See Resolutions 31, 34, 504, 700 and 701.

NG143 In the band 11.7–12.2 GHz protection from harmful interference shall be afforded to transmissions from space stations not in conformance with international footnote 839 only if the operations of such space stations impose no unacceptable constraints on operations or orbit locations of space stations in conformance with 839.

NG145 In the band 11.7–12.2 GHz, transponders on space stations in the fixed-satellite service may be used additionally for transmissions in the broadcasting-satellite service, provided that such transmissions do not have a maximum e.i.r.p. greater than 53 dBW per television channel and do not cause greater interference or require more protection from interference than the coordinated fixed-satellite service frequency assignments. With respect to the space services, this band shall be used principally for the fixed-satellite service.

[FR Doc. 83–17522 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

---

47 CFR Part 22

[CC Docket No. 79–318; FCC 83–280]

Public Mobile Radio Services; Regarding the Use of the Bands 825–845 MHz for Cellular Communications Systems; and Amendment of the Commission's Rules Relative to Cellular Communications Systems

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This document changes the mobile transceiver scanning direction of the control channel pairs in System A of the Domestic Public Cellular Radio Telecommunications Service, as detailed in OST Bulletin No. 53, in order to facilitate the scanning of more than 21 control channel pairs and also clarifies the ability of a joint industry committee to add special features that do not affect the basic equipment compatibility.

DATE: Effective July 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John A. Reed, Office of Science and Technology, (202) 653–6288.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 22

Cellular radio service.

Memorandum Opinion and Order

In the matter of an inquiry into the use of the bands 825–845 MHz and 870–890 MHz for Cellular Communications Systems; and amendment of Parts 2 and 22 of the Commission's Rules relative to Cellular Communications Systems; CC Docket No. 79–318.

Adopted: June 16, 1983.
Released: June 22, 1983.

By the Commission: Commissioner Fogarty not participating.

1. On April 9, 1981, the Commission adopted a Report and Order in CC Docket No. 79–318 (FCC 81–161, released May 4, 1981, 46 FR 27655) which implemented the Domestic Public Cellular Radio Telecommunications Service under Part 22 of the Regulations. Attached to that Order as Appendix D was OST Bulletin No. 53 ("Cellular System Mobile Station—Land Station Compatibility Specification", April 1981). This OST Bulletin described the operational characteristics with which transmitters in the cellular service must comply in order to ensure nation-wide compatibility. Compliance with these operational characteristics is regulated as part of the type acceptance requirement on the cellular transmitters (see § 22.120 of the Regulations).

2. Since the adoption of OST Bulletin No. 53, the Commission has been informed that certain changes are needed to these specifications. These changes were first detailed in a letter of February 28, 1983 from Bell Laboratories to the Chairman of the Committee on Cellular Standards (TR–8.17) under the Electronic Industries Association (EIA). Subsequent to this letter, a meeting of the EIA cellular committee was held on March 22, 1983 during which it was unanimously affirmed by the participants that these changes to the cellular specifications were necessary. Finally, the Commission was formally requested by the EIA in a filing of April

7, 1983 to incorporate these changes into OST Bulletin No. 53.

3. The specific changes requested govern the direction in which the mobile station scans the control channels assigned to System A (presently allocated for assignment to non-wireline carriers) of the cellular service (see Subpart K, Part 22 of the Regulations). When this mobile scanning direction was originally designated, it appeared that the control channels would be located at the bottom range of the 825 to 835 MHz and 870 to 880 MHz frequency bands assigned to System A. However, final rule making action assigned these control channels to the upper portion of these frequency bands (834.390 through 834.990 MHz and 879.390 through 879.990 MHz; see § 22.902 of the Rules).

4. While the presently specified channelization and mobile scanning direction should cause no system problems at this time, this scanning direction would preclude any future assignments of more than 21 control channel pairs for System A. System B (presently allocated for assignment to wireline carriers) would not experience this problem as its control channels are located at the lower portion of the assigned frequencies.

5. No decision has been made to allow the assignment of more than 21 control channel pairs per licensed system, yet we do not wish to preclude this as a possible necessary action once the cellular systems begin to expand their operations at some future date. That future expansion would allow the licensees to demonstrate whether additional control channel pairs are actually needed. The change in mobile scanning direction is a relatively minor change if performed during the production stage of the equipment as it only involves changing the software programming of the logic circuits. Such a change could become rather expensive if attempted after a large number of transmitters had been marketed due to the expense of retrofitting this equipment. Should the cellular market be allowed to fully develop and it can be determined that more than 21 control channel pairs are actually needed, this change may be precluded due to the high expenses involved. Consequently, efficient operation in System A could not be achieved. This would tend to cause competitive and, subsequently, economic problems for the non-wireline carriers as System B licensees would not have this problem.

6. The changes to OST Bulletin No. 53 are shown in the attached Appendix A. Pursuant to Section 553(b)(3)(B) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 553), we find that prior notice and comment for this rule change is unnecessary. We believe this rule change is not controversial and that affording prior notice would not result in a significant degree of public comment. As noted above, this change has already been unanimously approved by the EIA cellular committee. Thus, many affected entities have already expressed their support for this change. Additionally, because this equipment alteration is relatively minor and inexpensive, if performed while the equipment is still in the manufacturing stage, this change should invoke little, if any, opposition. Accordingly, we believe that good cause exists for dispensing with prior rule making notice and comment for this action.

7. In addition to the changes to OST Bulletin No. 53 discussed above, two typographical errors in Section 2.6.3.7 of that bulletin have been corrected, as shown in Appendix A. As these corrections do not change the requirements but rather clarify the meaning of the section, prior rule making notice is unnecessary

8. We are also incorporating a further clarifying provision in Part 22 of the regulations. This change, as detailed in Appendix B, would simply state that the joint industry cellular committee operating under the EIA could designate the function of the "reserved" data bits in the wideband data transmissions as long as the cellular equipment remains compatible with the basic service, as detailed in OST Bulletin No. 53, and the resulting cellular service continues to comply with the Commission regulations governing equipment and operation. This clarification will also entail a minor change to OST Bulletin No. 53: Sections 2.6.2.1, 2.6.2.3, 2.6.4.3.1, 2.6.4.3.2, and 2.6.4.4 will be modified such that the statement "any other message * * * ignore message" will be changed to read "any other message * * * ignore message/see § 22.915(d) of the Commission's Rules".

9. This change to Part 22 of the rules and to OST Bulletin No. 53 clarifies existing Commission policy and interpretation of the regulations. No change in the effect of the regulations has been made. Therefore, because this amendment is interpretive in nature, prior rule making notice is not required.

10. In view of the foregoing, we find that the amendments to OST Bulletin No. 53 and to Part 22 of the Rules as described above and in the attached Appendices are in the public interest, convenience and necessity. The authority for these amendments is contained in Section 4(i), 302, 303(e) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended. Accordingly, it is ordered, effective July 29, 1983, that OST Bulletin No. 53 and Part 22 of the Rules are amended as set out in the attached Appendices. A revised OST Bulletin No. 53 will be issued after the effective date.

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix A

Section 2.6.1.1.2 on page 2–10, Section 2.6.2.1. on page 2–12, Section 2.6.3.7 on page 2–17, and Section 2.6.3.8 on page 2–18 of OST Bulletin No. 53 are revised to read as follows:

2.6.1.1.2 Update Overhead Information

Overhead messages are sent in a group called an overhead message train (see Section 3.7.1.2). The mobile station must use the value given in the NAWC (number of additional words coming) field of the system parameter overhead message in the train to determine that all messages of the train have been received. The END field must be used as a cross-check. For NAWC-counting purposes, inserted control-filler messages (see Section 3.7.1) must not be counted as part of the overhead message train.

If the mobile station receives a BCH-code-correct but unrecognizable overhead message in the train, the mobile station must count that message as part of the train for NAWC-counting purposes, but must not attempt to execute the message.

The mobile station must tune to the strongest dedicated control channel and within 3 seconds, receive a system parameter message (see Section 3.7.1.2) and update the following numeric information:

- System identification (SID_s). Set the 14 most significant bits of SID_s to the value of the SID 1 field. Set the least significant bit of SID_s to '1' if the serving-system is enabled; otherwise, set the bit to '0'.
- Number of paging channels (N_s). Set N_s to 1 plus the value of the N–1 field.
- First paging channel ($FIRSTCHP_s$). Set $FIRSTCHP_s$ according to the following algorithm:

i. if $SID_s = SID_p$, $FIRSTCHP_s = FIRSTCHP_p$ (see Section 2.3.7).

ii. If $SID_s \neq SID_p$ and the serving-system status is enabled, set $FIRSTCHP_s$ to the first dedicated control channel for System A (834.990MHz/879.990 MHz).

iii. If $SID_s \neq SID_p$ and the serving-system status is disabled, set $FIRSTCHP_s$ to the first dedicated control channel for System B (835.020 MHz/880.020 MHz).

- Last paging channel ($LASTCHP_s$). Set $LASTCHP_s$ according to the following algorithm:

i. if the serving-system status is enabled, $LASTCHP_s = FIRSTCHP_s - N_s + 1$.

ii. If the serving-system status is disabled, $LASTCHP_s = FIRSTCHP_s + N_s - 1$.

If the mobile station is equipped for autonomous registration, the mobile station must:

- Set registration increment ($REGINCR_s$) to its default value of 450.
- Set the first registration ID status to enabled.

The mobile station must then enter the Paging Channel Selection Task (see Section 2.6.1.2).

If the mobile station cannot complete this task on the strongest dedicated control channel, it may tune to the second strongest dedicated control channel and attempt to complete this task within a second 3-second interval. If it cannot complete this task on either of the two strongest control channels, the mobile station may check the serving-system status: If the serving-system status is enabled, it may be disabled; if the serving-system status is disabled, it may be enabled. The mobile station must then enter the Scan Dedicated Control Channels Task (see Section 2.6.1.1.1).

* * * * *

2.6.2.1 Response to Overhead Information

Whenever a mobile station receives an overhead message train (see Section 3.7.1.2), the mobile station must compare SID_s with SID_r. If $SID_s \neq SID_r$, the mobile station must exit the Idle Task and enter the Initialization Task (see Section 2.6.1).

If $SID_s = SID_r$, the mobile station must update the following numeric values using information contained in the system parameter overhead message:

- Serial number bit (S_s). Set S_s to the value in the S field.
- Registration bit (R_s). If the roam status is disabled, set R_s to the value of the REGH field; if the roam status is enabled, set R_s to the value of the REGR field.
- Extended address bit (E_s). Set E_s to the value in the E field.
- Discontinuous transmission bit (DTX_s). Set DTX_s to the value of the DTX field.
- Number of paging channels (N_s). Set N_s to 1 plus the value of the N−1 field.
- Read-control-filler bit (RCF_s). Set RCF_s to the value of the RCF field.
- Combined paging/access bit (CPA_s). Set CPA_s to the value of the CPA field.
- Number of access channels ($CMAX_s$). Set $CMAX_s$ to 1 plus the value of the CMAX−1 field.
- Determine control channel boundaries for accessing the system ($FIRSTCHA_s$ and $LASTCHA_s$) by using the following algorithm:

I. If the serving-system status is enabled,

a. If $CPA_s = 1$, set $FIRSTCHA_s$ to the first dedicated control channel for System A (834.990 MHz/879.990 MHz).

b. If $CPA_s = 0$, set $FIRSTCHA_s$ to the value of the first dedicated control channel for System A minus N_s.

c. $LASTCHA_s = FIRSTCHA_s - CMAX_s + 1$.

II. If the serving-system status is disabled,

a. If $CPA_s = 1$, set $FIRSTCHA_s$ to the first dedicated control channel for system B (835.020 MHz/880.020 MHz).

b. If $CPA_s = 0$, set $FIRSTCHA_s$ to the value of the first dedicated control channel for System B plus N_s.

c. $LASTCHA_s = FIRSTCHA_s + CMAX_s - 1$.

The mobile station must then respond as indicated to each of the following messages, if received in the overhead messages train. The order in which the mobile station must respond to the message, if two or more are received, is given by their order in the following list:

1. Local Control Messages. If the local control status is enabled (see Section 2.6.1.2.2) the mobile station must respond to the local control messages.

2. New Access Channel Set Message. a. The mobile station must set $FIRSTCHA_s$ to the value of the NEWACC field of the message.

b. The mobile station must set $LASTCHA_s$ according to the following algorithm:

i. If the serving-system status is enabled, $LASTCHA_s = NEWACC_r - CMAX_s + 1$.

ii. If the serving-system status is disabled, $LASTCHA_s = NEWACC_r + CMAX_s - 1$.

3. Registration Increment Message. If the mobile station is equipped for autonomous registration, the mobile station must set $REGINCR_s$ to the value of the REGINCR field in the message.

4. Registration ID Message. If the mobile station is equipped for autonomous registration, the mobile station must perform the following:

a. The mobile station must set $REGID_s$ to the value of the REGID field of the received message and set the first-registration ID status to disabled (see Section 2.6.1.1.2).

b. The mobile station must then attempt to find SID_s among the $SID_s - p$ values stored in the registration memory (see Section 2.3.4).

c. If SID_s is found among the $SID_s - p$ values stored in the registration memory, the mobile station must perform the following:

i. The mobile station must use the following (or an equivalent) algorithm to review the $NXTREG_s - p$ associated with the $SID_s - p$ to determine if $REGID_s$ has cycled through zero:

- If $NXTREG_s - p$ is greater than or equal to $REGID_s + REGINCR_s + 5$, then $NXTREG_s - p$ must be replaced by the greater of 0 and the value $NXTREG_s - p - 2^{20}$.
- Otherwise do not change $NXTREG_s - p$.

ii. The mobile station must then compare $REGID_s$ with the $NXTREG_s - p$ associated with the $SID_s - p$.

- If $REGID_s$ is greater than or equal to $NXTREG_s - p$ and autonomous registration is enabled, the mobile station must enter the System Access Task with a "registration" indication (see Section 2.6.3).
- If $REGID_s$ is greater than or equal to $NXTREG_s - p$ and autonomous registration is not enabled, then set $NXTREG_s - p$ equal to $REGID_s$.
- Otherwise, the mobile station must ignore the message and continue to process messages in the overhead message train.

d. If SID_s is not found among the $SID_s - p$ values stored in the registration memory, the mobile station must perform the following:

- If autonomous registration is enabled, the mobile station must exit this task and enter the System Access Task with a "registration " indication supplied (see Section 2.6.3).
- Otherwise, the mobile station must ignore the message and continue to process messages in the overhead message train.

5. Rescan Message. The mobile station must immediately exit this task and enter the Initialization Task (see Section 2.6.1).

6. Any Other Message. Ignore message/see Section 22. 915(d) of the Commission's Rules.

* * * * *

2.6.3.7 Service Request

The mobile station must continue to send its message to the land station. The information which must be sent is as follows (with the formats given in Section 2.7.1):

- Word A must always be sent.
- If $E_s = 1$, or
  $LT_s = 1$, or
  the ROAM status is enabled, or
  the ROAM status is disabled and $EX_p = 1$, or
  the access is an "order confirmation", or
  the access is a "registration", or
  the mobile station was paged with a two-word mobile station control message,
  Word B must be sent.
- If $S_s = 1$,
  Word C must be sent.
- If the access is an "origination",
  Word D must be sent.
- If the access is an "origination" and 9 to 16 digits were dialed,
  Word E must be sent.

When the mobile station has sent its complete message, it must continue to send unmodulated carrier for a nominal duration of 25 ms and then turn off the transmitter.

The next task to be entered depends on the type of access by the mobile station:

- If the access is an order confirmation, the mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).
- If the access is an origination, the mobile station must enter the Await Message Task (see Section 2.6.3.8).
- If the access is a page response, the mobile station must enter the Await Message Task (see Section 2.6.3.8).
- If the access is a registration request, the mobile station must enter the Await Registration Confirmation Task (see Section 2.6.3.9).

* * * * *

2.6.3.8 Await Message

If this task is not completed within 5 seconds, the mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).

The mobile station must monitor mobile station control messages (see Section 3.7.1.1). If the mobile station sent Word B as part of the Service Request (see Section 2.6.3.7), then the mobile station must attempt to match $MIN1_p$ and $MIN2_p$ to $MIN1_r$ and $MIN2_r$, respectively; otherwise, the mobile station must attempt to match only $MIN1_p$ to $MIN1_r$.

The mobile station must respond as indicated to any of the following messages if all decoded MIN bits match.

If the access is an origination or page response:

- Initial voice channel designation message: (see Section 3.7.1.1). The mobile station must update the parameters set in the message. If $R_s = 1$ and the mobile station is equipped for autonomous registration, the mobile station must enter the Autonomous Registration Update Task (see Section 2.6.3.11), supplying a "success" indication and then enter the Initial Voice Channel Confirmation Task (see Section 2.6.4.2). Otherwise, the mobile station must enter the Initial Channel Confirmation Task.
- Directed-retry message: (see Section 3.7.1.1). If the mobile station is equipped for directed retry, it must respond to the directed-retry message as follows:

If the mobile station encounters the start of a new message before it receives all four words of the directed-retry message, it must exit this task and enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).

The mobile station must set the last-try code (LT_s) according to the OR DQ field of the message:

—If ORDQ= '000', set LT_s to '0'.

—If ORDQ= '001', set LT_s to '1'.

The mobile station must then clear $CCLIST_s$ and examine each CHANPOS field in Words 3 and 4 of the message. For each nonzero CHANPOS field, the mobile station must calculate a corresponding channel number according to the following algorithm:

i. If the serving-system status is enabled, subtract CHANPOS from $FIRSTCHA_s+1$.

ii. If the serving-system status is disabled, add CHANPOS to $FIRSTCHA_s-1$.

The mobile station must then determine whether each channel number is within the set allocated to cellular systems, and if so, list the channel number in $CCLIST_s$.

After completing its response to the directed-retry message, the mobile station must examine the access timer. If the access timer has expired, the mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12). If the access timer has not expired, the mobile station must enter the Directed-Retry Task (see Section 2.6.3.14).

If the access is an origination:

- Intercept: The mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).
- Reorder: The mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).

If the access is a page response:

- Release: The mobile station must enter the Serving-System Determination Task (see Section 2.6.3.12).

If the access is an origination and the user terminates a call during this task, the termination status must be enabled so that the call can be released on a voice channel (see Section 2.6.4.4) instead of a control channel.

Appendix B

PART 22—[AMENDED]

Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 22, is amended by adding a new paragraph (d) of § 22.915 to read as follows:

§ 22.915 Cellular system compatibility specification.

* * * * *

(d) Certain special operational features beyond those specified in OST Bulletin No. 53 may be activated by either the base or mobile transmitter, at the option of the local cellular licensee. These features were developed by a joint industry consensus utilizing the "reserved" bits in the wideband data transmissions. The use of these special operational features is permissible as long as the compatibility of the equipment within the cellular radio service, as specified in OST Bulletin No. 53, is not affected and the regulations governing equipment and operation in the cellular service are followed. These special features are detailed in the Electronic Industries Association Interim Standard CIS–3. Prospective manufacturers or system licensees may wish to refer to the latest version of that standard for further information.

[FR Doc. 83–17538 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

---

47 CFR Part 73

[BC Docket No. 82–1; RM–3727; RM–3876]

Radio Broadcast Services; Amendment of the Commission's Rules; Correction

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule (Correction).

SUMMARY: This action corrects the effective date of rules governing the use of subcarrier channels by non-commercial FM radio broadcasters that were adopted by the FCC in its *Report and Order* in BC Docket No. 82–1, FCC 83–155 (released June 3, 1983), 48 FR 26608 (published June 9, 1983).

DATE: The correct effective date is July 15, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jonathan David, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 632–7792.

Released: June 22, 1983.

The effective date for implementation of the Public Radio subcarrier rules, as specified in paragraph 38 of the *Report and Order*, FCC 83–155, released June 3, 1983, in the above-entitled matter is incorrect. In the text, the effective date is "July 5, 1983". The corrected effective date is "July 15, 1983".

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17539 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

---

47 CFR Part 73

[FCC 83–258]

Radio Broadcast Services; Amendment of the Commission's Rules Concerning Applications for New AM Stations

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: This action amends § 73.37(b) of the Commission's Rules relating to applications for new AM stations to reinstate the 1964 definition of urbanized area and thus correct an unintended change in the Rule caused by a change in the Census Bureau's definition.

DATE: Effective June 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Edythe Wise, Mass Media Bureau (202) 254–9570.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Order

In the matter of amendment of § 73.37 of the Commission's Rules Concerning Applications for New AM Stations.

Adopted: May 26, 1983.

Released: June 7, 1983.

By the Commission: Commissioner Fogarty not participating; Commissioner Sharp absent.

1. The language of § 73.37(b) needs to be modified to describe correctly its intent and effect. For the reasons described below, prior notice and comment procedures are not necessary before this change can be made.

2. In 1964 we established a strict "go-no-go" assignment standard in Section 73.37(a), whereby applicants for new AM facilities or for major changes in existing facilities were required to demonstrate compliance with specified minimum contour separations.[1] *Assignment Standards—AM and FM*, 45 F.C.C. 1515 (1964). At the same time, acknowledging the obvious benefits of at least one local service in as many communities as possible, we adopted somewhat less stringent standards for proposals in this category.[2] 45 F.C.C. at 1524. Thus, under Section 73.37(b), an exception was provided for proposals to provide (a) the first AM facility in a community of any size wholly outside of an urbanized area (as defined by the latest U.S. Census) or (b) the first AM facility in a community of 25,000 or more wholly or partly within an urbanized area.

3. Our reliance in part on the Census Bureau's definition of an urbanized area was meant to provide certainty as to which proposals would qualify for the relaxed standard and which would not.

[1] Prior to 1964, AM stations were assigned primarily on a "demand" basis, with applicants free to seek new facilities in any community where a frequency could be found.

[2] Facilities providing a first primary service to at least 25 percent of the interference-free area within the proposed 0.5 mV/m contour were afforded the same benefits.

This was a term which had long been used by the Census Bureau, and its meaning was well understood. As a consequence, there was no reason to include the definition of the term in the rule. Instead, the reference was to the long-standing use of the term by the Census Bureau. No difficulties were encountered in applying the rule until 1980, as both Commission and Census Bureau viewed urbanized areas as incorporated central cities of some size (generally 50,000 population) and the densely settled territory surrounding them. The Commission's problem arises because of a change by the Census Bureau in its definition of an urbanized area.[3] Starting with the 1980 Census, urbanized areas no longer need to include a central city of specified size. Instead, any incorporated place and adjacent densely settled surrounding area that together have a minimum population of 50,000 will qualify. *Urbanized Area Criteria*, 45 FR 66185, October 6, 1980.[4]

4. The problem arises because the change in the definition of the term "urbanized area" has caused a change in the Commission's rule even though no such change was intended. In fact, this change imposes a serious restriction on the opportunity to file many of the AM applications that were contemplated by the rule. Thus, in addition to barring special treatment for urban transmission services disguised as first suburban stations, § 73.37(b) now bars the acceptance of a wide range of other applications for a first local service in densely settled areas, even one in which the community is a large one and constitutes the only incorporated place in the entire area. Such a result was never contemplated by the Commission in adopting the rule, and it is in conflict with the Commission's goal of providing a first local service to as many communities as possible. For these reasons we believe that it is appropriate to return to the definition of an "urbanized area" contemplated in our 1964 action, namely an incorporated place of at least 50,000 population along with the adjacent densely settled territory surrounding it. While this technically involves a change in the Commission's rules, it actually does no more than restore the rule's intended meaning. As a consequence, there is no need for notice and comment procedures to be employed in this regard.

5. Therefore § 73.37 of the Commission's Rules will be amended as shown in the attached Appendix to effect the change described above. The amendment adopted herein is essentially procedural in nature and thus exempt from the prior notice and comment provisions set forth in the Administrative Procedure Act. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(A). We also find that prior notice and comment procedures are unnecessary. 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B). The rule amendment merely serves to confirm the substance of our rule to the definition that it was originally intended to reflect. Therefore, we believe that this action is not controversial and would be unlikely to generate any significant comment from members of the public. Further, because this rule change is procedural and imposes no additional burdens, but operates to relieve a restriction, the 30 day effective date requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply. *See* 5 U.S.C. 553(d)(3). The new provision will be applied to all applications now on file as well as those to be filed in the future and will be effective June 29, 1983. Authority for the amendment adopted herein is contained in Sections 303(r) and 4(i) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

6. Since rule making procedures are not required, the Regulatory Flexibility Act does not apply.

7. Therefore, it is ordered, That pursuant to Sections 4(i), 303(r) and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, the Commission's Rules and Regulations are amended as set forth in the attached Appendix, effective June 7, 1983.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Edythe Wise, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 254–9572.

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix

PART 73—[AMENDED]

I. Section 73.37 is amended by revising the introductory text of paragraph (b) to read as follows:

§ 73.37 Applications for broadcast facilities, showing required.

* * * * *

(b) An application for a new daytime station or a change in the daytime facilities of an existing station may be granted notwithstanding overlap of the proposed 0.5 mV/m contour and the 0.025 mV/m contour of another co-channel station, where the applicant station is or would be the first AM broadcast facility in a community of any size wholly outside of an urbanized area or the first AM broadcast facility in a community of 25,000 or more population wholly or partly within an urbanized area [for the purpose of this rule, urbanized area refers to such areas listed by the latest Census provided they also contain an incorporated place of at least 50,000 population], or when the facilities proposed would provide a first primary service to at least 25 percent of the interference-free area within the proposed 0.5 mV/m contour, provided that:

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 83–17540 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

---

47 CFR Part 97

Amateur Radio Service; Editorial Amendment of the Commission's Rules

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: These amendments are editorial in nature. They are needed in order to correct typographical and printing errors which are contained in the October, 1982, edition of the Code of Federal Regulations, 47 CFR Part 97. The effect of this action is to have accurate amateur radio rules which the users can rely on.

DATES: Effective July 15, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554, (202) 632–4964.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Maurice J. DePont, Private Radio Bureau, Washington, D.C. 20554, (202) 632–4964.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 97

Radio.

Order

In the matter of editorial amendment of 47 CFR Part 97, Amateur Radio Service.

Adopted: June 16, 1983.
Released: June 22, 1983.

1. Part 97, Amateur Radio Service Rules, appears in the Code of Federal Regulations at 47 CFR Part 97. This Order corrects typographical and printing errors which are contained in the October, 1982, edition of 47 CFR Part 97.

2. Since these amendments are editorial in nature, the notice and

---

[3] *See, Criteria for the 1980 Urbanized Area Definition*, 45 FR 19283, March 25, 1980.

[4] In deleting the requirement of a primary incorporated place of specified size, the Census Bureau has sought to eliminate inequities caused by use of corporate limits, it being acknowledged that laws and practices governing incorporation and annexation vary widely throughout the country. *Criteria for the 1980 Urbanized Area Definition, supra.*

comment provisions of Section 553(b) of the Administrative Procedure Act are not applicable.

3. Authority for this action is contained in Sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.231(d) of the Commission's Rules.

4. Accordingly, it is ordered, That 47 CFR Part 97 is amended as set forth in the attached Appendix.

5. The effective date of these rule amendments is July 15, 1983.

Federal Communications Commission.

Edward J Minkel,

Managing Director.

Appendix

PART 97—[AMENDED]

Title 47 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 97, Amateur Radio Service, 47 CFR Part 97, is amended, as follows:

1. In the Table of Contents, in the entry for § 97.77, the word "kit" should be changed to "kits".

2. In the Table of Contents, in the second entry under the heading Appendices, change the word "Telecommunications" to "Telecommunication".

3. Section 97.28(c) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.28 Manner of conducting examinations.

* * * * *

(c) The code test required of an applicant for an amateur radio operator license, in accordance with the provisions of §§ 97.21 and 97.23 shall determine the applicant's ability to transmit by hand key (straight key or, if supplied by the applicant, any other type of hand operated key such as a semiautomatic or electronic key, but not a keyboard keyer) and to receive by ear, in plain language, message in the International Morse Code at not less than the prescribed speed during a five-minute test period. Each five character shall be counted as one word. Each punctuation mark and numeral shall be counted as two characters.

* * * * *

4. The heading of § 97.31 should be changed from § 97.3 to § 97.31, as follows:

§ 97.31 Grading of examinations.

* * * * *

5. Section 97.49(a) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.49 Commission modification of station license.

(a) Whenever the Commission shall determine that the public interest, convenience and necessity would be served, or any treaty ratified by the United States will be more fully complied with, by the modification of any radio station license either for a limited time, or for the duration of the term thereof, it shall issue an order for such licensee to show cause why such license should not be modified.

* * * * *

§ 97.61 [Amended]

6. In the table in § 97.61(a), in the column entitled "frequency band", before the entry 10.000 to 10.500, remove "GHz:".

7. Section 97.65(e)(3) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.65 Emission limitions.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

* * * * *

(3) F4 and F5 emissions shall utilize a peak carrier deviation no greater than 5 kHz and a maximum modulating frequency no greater than 3 kHz or, alternatively, shall occupy a bandwidth no greater than 20 kHz. (For this purpose, the bandwidth is defined as the width of the frequency band, outside of which the mean power of any emission is attenuated by at least 26 decibels below the mean power level of the total emission. A 3 kHz sampling bandwidth is used by the FCC in making this determination.)

* * * * *

8. The table in § 97.67(c) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.67 Maximum authorized power.

* * * * *

Antenna height above average terrain in meters	Maximum effective radiated power for frequency bands above		
	29.5 MHz	40 MHz	1215 MHz
Below 32 (105 feet).	500 watts.....	Paragraphs (a) and (b).	Paragraphs (a) and (b).
32 to 160 (105 to 525 feet0..	400 watts.....	800 watts.....	Do.
160 to 320 (525 to 1050 feet).	200 watts.....	800 watts.....	Do.
Above 320 (1050 feet).	100 watts.....	400 watts.....	Do.

* * * * *

9. Section 97.76(a)(5) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.76 Requirements for type acceptance of external radio frequency (RF) power amplifiers and external radio frequency power amplifier kits.

(a) * * *

* * * * *

(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from a licensed amateur radio operator who constructed or modified the equipment in accordance with § 2.1001 of the FCC's Rules and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur radio operator for use at his/her licensed amateur radio station.

* * * * *

10. The heading of § 97.99 is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.99 Stations used only for radio control or remote model craft and vehicles.

* * * * *

11. The introductory text of § 97.103(c) is revised to read, as follows. Paragraphs (c)(1), (c)(2), (c)(3) and (c)(4) remain unchanged.

§ 97.103 Station log requirements.

* * * * *

(c) In addition to the other information required by this section, the log of a remotely controlled station shall have entered the names, addresses, and call signs of all authorized control operators and a functional block diagram of, and a technical explanation sufficient to describe the operation of, the control link. Additionally, the following information shall be entered:

* * * * *

12. The last sentence of § 97.133 is revised to read, as follows. The remainder of the text of § 97.133 remains the same.

§ 97.133 Second notice of same violation.

* * * This report shall include a statement as to the corrective measures taken to insure compliance with the rules.

13. The first sentence of § 97.137 is revised to read, as follows. The remainder of the text of § 97.137 remains the same.

§ 97.137 Answers to notices of violation.

Any licensee receiving official notice of a violation of the terms of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, any legislative act, Executive Order, treaty to which the United States is a party, or the rules of the Federal Communications Commission, shall, within 10 days from such receipt, send a written answer direct to the office of the Commission originating the official notice: *Provided, however,* That if an answer cannot be sent or an acknowledgment made within such 10-day period by reason of illness or other unavoidable circumstances, acknowledgement and answer shall be made at the earliest practicable date with a satisfactory explanation of the delay.

* * * * *

14. Section 97.171(b) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.171 Eligibility for RACES station license.

* * * * *

(b) Only modification and/or renewal station licenses will be issued for RACES stations. No new licenses will be issued for RACES stations.

15. Section 97.191(a)(1) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.191 Permissible communications.

* * * * *

(a) * * *

(1) Communications directly concerning the immediate safety of life of individuals, the immediate protection of property, maintenance of law and order, alleviation of human suffering and need, and the combating of armed attack or sabotage;

* * * * *

§ 97.415 [Amended]

16. In the table showing frequency bands in § 97.415, under the heading MHz, change frequency band 174–146 to 144–146.

17. Section 97.417(a) is revised to read, as follows:

§ 97.417 Space operation.

(a) Stations in space operation are exempt from the station identification requirements of § 97.84 on each frequency band when in use.

* * * * *

18. In the table of Appendix 3 of Part 97, change the third entry to read, as follows:

Appendix 3—Classification of Emissions

* * * * *

Type of modulation	Type of transmission	Symbol
.	. . . . .	
	Telegraphy by the on-off keying of an amplitude modulating audio frequency or audio frequencies or by the on-off keying of the modulated emission (special case: an unkeyed emission amplitude modulated).	A2
.	. . . . .	

* * * * *

19. The heading following Appendix 4 of Part 97 is revised to read, as follows:

Appendix 4.—Convention Between Canada and the United States of America Relating to the Operation by Citizens of Either Country of Certain Radio Equipment or Stations in the Other Country (Effective May 15, 1952)

* * * * *

20. Paragraph (b)(1) of Article III in Appendix 4 of Part 97 is revised to read, as follows:

Appendix 4

* * * * *

Article III

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(1) *Radiotelegraph operation.* The amateur call sign issued to him by the licensing country followed by a slant (/) sign and the amateur call sign prefix and call area number of the country he is visiting.

* * * * *

21. Paragraph (b) of Appendix 5 to Part 97 is revised to read, as follows:

Appendix 5.—Determination of Antenna Height Above Average Terrain

* * * * *

(b) By reference to the map contour lines, establish the ground elevation above mean sea level (AMSL) at 2, 4, 6, 8, and 10 miles from the antenna structure along each radial. If no elevation figure or contour line exists for any particular point, the nearest contour line elevation shall be employed.

* * * * *

[FR Doc. 83-17507 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Part 1307

[Ex Parte No. MC-98 (Sub-No. 1)]

Investigation Into Motor Carrier Classification; Supplemental Notice of Final Policy Statement

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Supplemental Notice of Final Policy Statement.

SUMMARY: In a notice published at 48 FR 10063, March 10, 1983, the Commission found that the National Motor Freight Classification must be revised and that its tentative findings, concerning NMFC, set forth at 364 I.C.C. 906 should be modified.

This notice clarifies September 6, 1983, as the compliance date for eliminating minimum weight factors and any quantity ratings from the National Motor Freight Classification.

DATE: This decision shall be effective on June 28, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis E. Gitomer (202) 275–7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to T. S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2227, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289–4357 (D.C. Metropolitan area) or toll free (800) 424–5403.

Decided: June 22, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison. Commissioner Andre dissented.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17462 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Proposed Rules

Federal Register
Vol. 48, No. 126
Wednesday, June 29, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

10 CFR Part 2

Deletion of Exception Filing Requirement for Appeal From Initial Decision; Consolidation of Responsive Briefs

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The proposed rule would change the procedure for an appeal to the Commission from an initial adjudicatory decision. It would eliminate the filing of exceptions to the decision and would require instead the filing of a notice of appeal. In addition, parties would be required to file a single responsive brief, regardless of the number of appellant briefs filed. Under current practice, parties frequently file a separate pleading in response to each appellant's brief.

DATES: Submit comments by July 29, 1983. Comments received after July 29, 1983 will be considered if it is practical to do so but assurance of consideration cannot be given except as to comments received on or before this date.

ADDRESSES: Send comments to: Secretary, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555. ATTN: Docketing and Service Branch.

Hand deliver comments to: Room 1121, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, DC, between 8:15 a.m. and 5:15 p.m.

Examine comments received at: The NRC Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Trip Rothschild, Acting Assistant General Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone (202) 634–1465.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Current Commission regulations require a party who takes an appeal to the Commission from an initial decision to file exceptions to the decision (10 CFR 2.762). Each exception must state, without arguement, the single error of fact or law asserted. Points not excepted to may not be briefed or argued. Exceptions not briefed are waived.

A result of the current rule is that parties who wish to appeal an initial decision include in their exceptions every possible claim of error. The preparation of exceptions and their consideration by an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board are needless expenditures of litigant and agency resources. Exceptions convey little more than a party's intention to appeal. In addition, they delay the appeal process. Parties frequently request additional time to file an exhaustive list of exceptions so that no possible exception is waived by omission. Upon reflection, may of these exceptions are later abandoned and not briefed. The investment of time and effort in their preparation and review is wasted. Elimination of the requirement will permit the parties and the agency to better focus their litigative efforts.

The proposed rule replaces the filing of exceptions with the filing of a notice of appeal. The notice simply identifies the appellant and the decision being appealed.

The Commission also proposes to make a minor change with respect to the number of reponsive briefs that a party may file on appeal. Each responding party will file a single brief in response to the appellants briefs, regardless of the number of appellant briefs filed. Issues raised by all appellants' briefs will be addressed in this single responsive brief. The time for filing the responsive brief will run from the date of the last filed appellant's brief. This change will reduce the volume of paper the Appeal Board must review. The Commission is particulary interested in comments on this point. Do the commenters believe that this limitation will preserve an adequate opportunity to respond in cases in which many issues are raised on appeal? If so, do the commenters have suggestions on how the Appeal Board's desire to limit responsive briefs to the necessary minimum can be achieved while preserving an adequate opportunity to file responsive briefs?

In addition to comments on the changes to the appeal process proposed by the Commission, Commissioner Ahearne would be interested in comments on whether the rules should be changed to provide that only parties who have filed proposed findings with the Licensing Board on an issue can appeal that issue. *Cf. Detroit Edison Co.* (Enrico Fermi Atomic Power Plant, Unit 2), ALAB–709, 17 NRC —— (January 4, 1983).

Editorial, correcting, and conforming changes to other sections of Part 2 and Appendix A to Part 2 are also made in this proposed rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act Statement

The collection of information this proposed rule contains is exempt from the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 518(c)(1)).

Regulatory Flexibility Statement

In accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980, 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Commission hereby certifies that this proposed rule, if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Small entities which appeal initial Commission decisions may experience some cost saving as a result of the proposed rule.

List of Subjects in 10 CFR Part 2

Administrative practice and procedure, Antiturst, Byproduct material, Classified information, Environmental protection, Nuclear materials, Nuclear power plants and reactors, Penalty, Sex discrimination, Source material, Special nuclear material, Waste treatment and disposal.

For the reasons set out in the preamble and under the authority of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, the Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended, and 5 U.S.C. 553, the NRC is proposing to adopt the following amendments to 10 CFR Part 2.

PART 2—RULES OF PRACTICE FOR DOMESTIC LICENSING PROCEEDINGS

The authority citation for Part 2 continues to read as follows:

Authority: Secs. 161, 181, 68 Stat. 948, 953, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2201, 2231); sec. 191, as amended, Pub. L. 87–615, 76 Stat. 409 (42 U.S.C. 2241); sec 201, 88 Stat. 1242, as amended (42 U.S.C. 5841); 5 U.S.C. 552.

(Sec. 2.101 also issued under secs. 53, 62, 63, 81, 103, 104, 105, 68 Stat. 930, 932, 933, 935, 936, 937, 938, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2073, 2092, 2093, 2111, 2133, 2134, 2135); sec. 102, Pub. L. 91–190, 83 Stat. 853, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4332); sec. 301, 88 Stat. 1248 (42 U.S.C.

5871). Sections 2.102, 2.103, 2.104, 2.105, 2.721 also issued under secs. 102, 103, 104, 105, 183, 189, 68 Stat. 936, 937, 938, 954, 955 as amended (42 U.S.C. 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2233, 2239). Section 2.105 also issued under Pub. L. 97-415, 96 Stat. 2073 (42 U.S.C. 2239). Sections 2.200-2.206 also issued under secs. 186, 234, 68 Stat. 955, 83 Stat. 444, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2236, 2282); sec. 206, 88 Stat. 1246 (42 U.S.C. 5846). Sections 2.600-2.606 also issued under sec. 102, Pub. L. 91-190, 83 Stat. 853, as amended (42 U.S.C. 4332). Sections 2.700a, 2.719 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 554. Sections 2.754, 2.760, 2.770 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 557. Section 2.790 also issued under sec. 103, 68 Stat. 936, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2133) and 5 U.S.C. 552. Sections 2.800 and 2.808 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 553. Section 2.809 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 553 and sec. 29, Pub. L. 85-256, 71 Stat. 579, as amended under 5 U.S.C. 553 and sec. 29, Pub. L. 85-256, 71 Stat. 579, as amended (42 U.S.C. 2039). Appendix A also issued under sec. 6, Pub. L. 91-580, 84 Stat. 1473 (42 U.S.C. 2135))

1. In § 2.719, paragraphs (c) and (d) are revised to read as follows:

§ 2.719 Separation of functions.

* * * * *

(c) In any adjudication for the determination of any application for initial licensing, other than a contested proceeding, the presiding officer may consult (1) the staff and (2) members of the panel appointed by the Commission from which members of atomic safety and licensing boards are drawn: *Provided, however,* That in adjudications in which an appeal from the initial decision may be taken to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, the presiding officer shall not consult any member of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel on any fact in issue.

(d) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section and § 2.780(e), in any case of adjudication, no officer or employee of the Commission who has engaged in the performance of any investigative or prosecuting function in the case or a factually related case may participate or advise in the initial or final decision, except as a witness or counsel in the proceeding. Where an initial or final decision is stated to rest in whole or in part on fact or opinion obtained as a result of a consultation or communication authorized by paragraph (c) of this section § 2.780(e), the substance of the communication shall be specified in the record in the proceeding and every party must be afforded an opportunity to controvert the fact or opinion. If the parties have not had an opportunity to controvert such fact or opinion prior to the filing of the decision, a party may controvert the fact or opinion by filing an appeal from the initial decision, or a petition for reconsideration of a final decision, clearly and concisely setting forth the information or argument relied on to show the contrary.

2. In § 2.721, paragraph (c) is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.721 Atomic safety and licensing board.

* * * * *

(c) In a proceeding in which an appeal from the initial decision may be taken to the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board, the Commission will not designate any members of the Appeal Panel as members or alternates of the atomic safety and licensing board established to preside in such proceeding.

* * * * *

3. In § 2.743, paragraph (i)(2) is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.743 Evidence.

* * * * *

(i) *Official Notice.*

* * * * *

(2) If a decision is stated to rest in whole or in part on official notice of a fact which the parties have not had a prior opportunity to controvert, a party may controvert the fact by filing an appeal from an initial decision or a petition for reconsideration of a final decision clearly and concisely setting forth the information relied upon to show the contrary.

4. In § 2.760, paragraphs (a), (b)(1), and (c)(4) are revised to read as follows:

§ 2.760 Initial decision and its effect.

(a) After hearing, the presiding officer will render an initial decision which will constitute the final action of the Commission forty-five (45) days after its date when it authorizes the issuance or amendment of a license or limited work authorization for a facility, or thirty (30) days after its date in any other case, unless an appeal is taken in accordance with § 2.762 or the Commission directs that the record be certified to it for final decision.

(b) Where the public interest so requires, the Commission may direct that the presiding officer certify the record to it without an initial decision, and may—

(1) Prepare its own initial decision, which will become final unless a notice of appeal is filed; or

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(4) The time within which a notice of appeal from the decision and a supporting brief may be filed, the time within which briefs in support of or in opposition to an appeal filed by another party may be filed and, in the case of an initial decision which may become final in accordance with paragraph (a) of this section, the date when it may become final.

5. In § 2.761, paragraphs (a)(1) and (c)(1) are revised to read as follows:

§ 2.761 Expedited decisional procedure.

(a) * * *

(1) All parties stipulate that the initial decision may be omitted and waive their rights to file a notice of appeal, to request oral argument, and to seek judicial review;

* * * * *

(c) * * *

(1) All parties stipulate that the initial decision may be made effective immediately and waive their rights to file a notice of appeal, to request oral argument, and to seek judicial review;

* * * * *

6. Section 2.762 is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.762 Appeals to the Commission from initial decisions.

(a) *Notice of Appeal.* Within ten (10) days after service of an initial decision, any party may take an appeal to the Commission by filing a notice of appeal. The notice shall specify—

(1) The party taking the appeal; and

(2) The decision being appealed.

(b) *Filing Appellant's Brief.* Each appellant shall file a brief supporting its position on appeal within thirty (3) days (40 days if Commission staff is the appellant) after the filing of notice required by paragraph (a) of this section.

(c) *Filing Responsive Brief.* Any party who is not an appellant may file a brief in support of or in opposition to the appeal within thirty (30) days after the filing and service of the appellant's brief. Commission staff may file a responsive brief within forty (40) days after the filing and service of appellant's brief. Where more than one appellant's brief is filed, the time for filing a responsive brief shall be determined from the date of the last filed appellant's brief. A responding party shall file a single responsive brief regardless of the number of appellants' briefs filed.

(d) *Brief Content.* A brief in excess of ten (10) pages shall contain a table of contents, with page references, and a table of cases (alphabetically arranged), statutes, regulations, and other authorities cited, with references to the pages of the brief where they are cited.

(1) An appellant's brief must specify, *inter alia*, for each issue appealed, the precise portion of the record relied upon in support of the assertion of error.

(2) Each responsive brief must contain, *inter alia*, a reference to the precise portion of the record which supports each factual assertion made.

(e) *Brief Length.* A party shall not file a brief in excess of seventy (70) pages in length, exclusive of pages containing the table of contents, table of citations and any addendum containing statutes, rules, regulations, etc. A party may request an increase of this page limit for good cause. Such a request shall be made by motion submitted at least seven (7) days before the date upon which the brief is due for filing and shall specify the enlargement requested.

(f) *Certificate of Service.* All documents filed under this section must be accompanied by a certificate reflecting service upon all other parties to the proceeding.

(g) *Failure to Comply.* A brief which in form or content is not in substantial compliance with the provisions of this section may be stricken, either on motion of a party or by the Commission on its own initiative.

7. Section 2.763 is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.763 Oral argument.

In its discretion the Commission may allow oral argument upon the request of a party made in a notice of appeal or brief, or upon its own initiative.

8. In § 2.764, paragraphs (a) and (b) are revised to read as follows:

§ 2.764 Immediate effectiveness of initial decision directing issuance or amendment of construction permit or operating license.

(a) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) through (f) of this section, or as otherwise ordered by the Commission in special circumstances, an initial decision directing the issuance or amendment of a construction permit, a construction authorization, or an operating license shall be effective immediately upon issuance unless the presiding officer finds that good cause has been shown by a party why the initial decision should not become immediately effective, subject to the review thereof and further decision by the Commission upon notice of appeal filed by any party pursuant to § 2.762 or upon its own motion.

(b) Except as provided in paragraphs (c) through (f) of this section, or as otherwise ordered by the Commission in special circumstances, the Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation or Director of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, as appropriate, notwithstanding the filing of a notice of appeal, shall issue a construction permit, a construction authorization, or an operating license, or amendments thereto, authorized by an initial decision, within ten (10) days from the date of issuance of the decision.

* * * * *

9. In § 2.770, paragraphs (a) and (b)(3) are revised to read as follows:

§ 2.770 Final decision.

(a) The Commission will ordinarily consider the whole record on review, but may limit the issues to be reviewed and consider only findings and conclusions that were briefed after the filing of a notice of appeal.

(b) * * *

(3) The ruling on each material issue; and

* * * * *

10. In § 2.771, paragraph (a) is revised to read as follows:

§ 2.771 Petition for reconsideration.

(a) A petition for reconsideration of a final decision may be filed by a party within ten (10) days after the date of the decision. No petition may be filed with respect to an initial decision which has become final through failure to file a notice of appeal.

* * * * *

11. In Appendix A, paragraph (b)(3) of Section VI and paragraphs (d) and (e) of Section IX are revised to read as follows:

Appendix A—Statement of General Policy and Procedure: Conduct of Proceedings for the Issuance of Construction Permits and Operating Licenses for Production and Utilization Facilities for Which a Hearing is Required Under Section 189a of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as Amended.*

* * * * *

VI. Posthearing Proceedings, Including the Initial Decision

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) The appropriate ruling, order, or denial or relief, with the effective date and time within which a notice of appeal from the initial decision may be filed;

* * * * *

IX. Licensing Proceeding Subject to Appellate Jurisdiction of Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board

* * * * *

(d)(1) Appeals to the Appeal Board from initial decisions, or designated portions thereof, are initiated by the filing of a notice of appeal within 10 days of the issuance and service of the initial decision.

(2) A brief in support of the appeal must be filed by the appellant within 30 days thereafter (40 days in the case of the staff).

(3) A responsive brief may be filed by any other party within 30 dys (40 days in the case of the staff) of the filing and service of the appellant's brief. The prescribed time limits are subject to being lengthened or shortened in a particular case, either on motion of a party or by the Appeal Board on its own initiative (10 CFR 2.711). The time limits are also subject to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.710 relating to service by mail.

(4) There must be strict compliance with the time limits prescribed for the filing of the notice of appeal and briefs by the rules of practice or by an order of the Appeal Board which extends or shortens those limits in the particular case. Absent a showing of extraordinary and unanticipated circumstances, motions for extensions of time must be received by the Appeal Board at least 1 day prior to the date upon which the document in question is then due for filing. In no circumstances will a document be accepted by the Appeal Board on an untimely basis unless it is accompanied by a motion for leave to file it out of time, which similarly must be founded upon extraordinary and unanticipated circumstances.

(5) Every brief in excess of 10 pages shall contain a table of contents, with page references, and a table of cases (alphabetically arranged), statutes, regulations, and other authorities cited with references to the pages of the brief where they are cited.

(6) No brief is to exceed 70 pages in length unless leave to file a brief of a specified greater length has been previously sought and granted (10 CFR 2.762(e)). In this connection, inasmuch as the Appeal Board has available to it the entire record of the proceeding, extended quotations in a brief from the record are neither required nor desirable. A summary is preferable, accompanied by explicit references to the record sources.

(7) Notices of appeal and briefs which in form or content are not in substantial compliance with the requirements imposed by the rules of practice are subject to being stricken.

(e) The holding of oral argument, whether or not specifically requested by a party, is within the Appeal Board's discretion (10 CFR 2.763). Where a notice of appeal has been filed, the Appeal Board routinely will consider whether the case should be calendared for oral agrument. This consideration normally will take place following the receipt of all briefs. Oral argument will be directed if at least one member of the Appeal Board votes in favor of it. If oral argument is to be held, an order will be issued by the Appeal Board which will set the specific date and location, as well as the time allotted to each of the parties. In some instances, the order may also restrict the scope of the oral argument to one or more specified issues. It is anticipated that oral arguments will be conducted in either Washington, D.C., or Bethesda, Md.

* * * * *

Dated at Washington, DC, this 23d day of June, 1983.

*In the event of any conflict between the provisions of this appendix and any section of this part, the section governs.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
Samuel J. Chilk,
Secretary of the Commission.
[FR Doc. 83-17551 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

14 CFR Parts 234 and 399

[Economic Regulations Policy Statements; Docket: 27891]

Flight Schedules of Certificated Air Carriers: Realistic Scheduling Required and Statements of General Policy

AGENCY: Civil Aeronautics Board.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The CAB is proposing to eliminate detailed reports concerning on-time arrivals and remove the elapsed-time standards for realistic scheduling. They would be replaced by a policy statement that unrealistic scheduling will be considered an unfair and deceptive practice and an unfair method of competition under section 411 of the Federal Aviation Act. This action is taken at the Board's initiative in order to reduce reporting burdens and unnecessarily detailed performance standards.

DATES: Comments by: August 29, 1983. Reply comment by: September 13, 1983.

Comments and other relevant information received after this date will be considered by the Board only to the extent possible.

Requests to be put on the Service List: July 13, 1983.

The Docket Section prepares the Service List and sends it to each person listed on it, who then serves comments on others on the list.

ADDRESSES: Twenty copies of comments should be sent to Docket 27891, Civil Aeronautics Board, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20428. Individuals may submit their views as consumers without filing multiple copies. Comments may be examined in Room 711, Civil Aeronautics Board, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., as soon as they are received.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joanne Petrie, Office of the General Counsel, Civil Aeronautics Board, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20428; 202-673-5442.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: 14 CFR Part 234 prohibits certificated air carriers performing scheduled passenger service in interstate air transportation from filing or publishing unrealistic flight schedules. The scheduled flight time measures only the period between leaving the departure gate and stopping at the arrival gate (referred to as "block-to-block time") plus a 15-minute margin of delay. A carrier subject to the part is required to perform at least 75 percent of its flights according to schedule, unless it can show that its performance problems were beyond its control and could not have been reasonably anticipated. In order to monitor the requirements of this part, air carriers are required to file monthly CAB Form 438. This report enables the Board to keep track of the block-to-block time for the 200 top-ranking pairs of points in terms of revenue-passenger volume, and the overall on-time arrival performance for the flights between those points. The Board compiles this information into an on-time arrival report and condenses the most significant elements into press releases. Form 438 was waived on August 10, 1981, by Reporting Directive No. 26, because of disruptions in the air traffic control system. This reporting requirement has not been reinstated.

Part 234 was adopted in response to the practice by some certificated carriers of publishing flight schedules that did not accurately reflect actual air travel time. As a result, passengers could not rely upon published flight schedules and plan connecting flights and appointments. In addition, the Board found that failure to perform flights on schedule diminished the value of airmail service to its users and hampered the Post Office in moving airmail on a priority basis. Unrealistic scheduling practices were found to result in deceptive advertising and unfair competition.

In 1975, Aviation Consumer Action Project (ACAP) petitioned the Board to abandon its elapsed-time standard and to substitute a requirement that carriers perform their flights in conformance with schedules filed with the Board. The Board's Office of the Consumer Advocate filed an answer in support of ACAP's petition. The Board issued EDR-301, 41 FR 31568, July 29, 1976, and EDR-301A, 42 FR 54303, October 5, 1977, which invited public comment on whether such a standard should be adopted and how it should be defined. Comments were filed by ACAP, American, Braniff, Certain Members of the Air Transport Association, Eastern, General Mills, Hughes Airwest, Louisville & Jefferson County Air Board, the Michigan Department of State Highways & Transportation, Northwest, CAB's Office of the Consumer Advocate, and United.

Eight of the twelve commenters opposed adoption of the on-time arrival standard. In general, the opponents made four arguments. First, they argued that the proposed rule would adversely affect the safety of operations. They stated that an on-time arrival standard would put pressure on pilots to take more risks in order to meet schedules, and that on-ground maintenance would be affected by trying to make up time on continuing flights that were delayed.

Second, they argued that the proposed rule would significantly increase carrier costs because carriers would have to pad their schedules to account for unforeseen delays. Most noted that pilots are paid according to the greater of the scheduled or actual air time, and that miscalculations by as little as five minutes costs millions of dollars. In addition, they stated that padded schedules would result in less efficient aircraft and terminal utilization. To the extent that a carrier would fly at greater speeds, it would result in greater fuel costs and deter energy conservation.

Third, these commenters argued that the rule would greatly inconvenience the public. Presently, carriers make an effort to accommodate last-minute and connecting passengers. They argued that under the proposal there would be pressure on the airlines not to continue this practice. In addition, one commenter noted that carriers would have greater incentive to cancel flights altogether, especially in a multi-segment flight.

Finally, they argued that such a rule would result in an unnecessary and significant administrative burden. The rule would involve additional detailed reporting, which would have to be monitored and enforced by the Board. They stressed that regulation is unnecessary because carriers have economic incentives to avoid delays in order to avoid increased cost. One commenter stated that Board regulation would be duplicative because the FAA already regulates this area and that the Air Line Pilots Association closely monitors the published block-to-block time.

The Board agrees with the majority of commenters that adoption of a more stringent on-time arrival and standard is unnecessary and unwise. In earlier rulemakings related to this part, the Board noted the carrers' concerns that adoption of an on-time standard might negatively affect safety. The Board agrees that carriers have strong incentives to meet their published schedules to teh best of their ability. The carriers persuasively noted the number of ways delayed flights increase their costs and limit effective utilization of their resources. In addition, passenger satisfaction and carrier reputation are

significant factors encouraging carriers to provide the most realistic scheduling possible.

In the period since the ANPRM was issued, major deregulatory changes have been made. In addition to declining to add more Board supervision in this area, the Board is hereby proposing to eliminate most of the current requirements.

In this notice of proposed rulemaking, the Board proposes to make two changes. The first would be to eliminate the reporting requirements by removing and reserving Part 234. The present reporting requirements of Part 234 are unnecessarily intrusive and involve significant amounts of paperwork. The Board no longer needs the data for enforcement purposes. It appears that the press releases, although they provide some consumer information, do not perform an important function in helping a passengers choose between competing airlines. Although carriers have not been required to file Form 438 for the last two years, there have been no requests from consumer groups or the industry to reinstate it.

The Board proposes to retain the general prohibition against unrealistic scheduling by amending and retitling § 399.81, *Deceptive practices in advertising of schedule performance,* in the enforcement subpart of Part 399, *Statements of general policy.* The purpose of the addition would be to make clear that the Board considers unrealistic scheduling an unfair or deceptive practice under section 411 of the Act and will use its enforcement authority to prevent it. Unrealistic scheduling is defined as scheduling that carrriers cannot generally and reasonably fulfill, and is not tied specifically to a certain percentage of flights that arrive within a specific time standard. The new section would give notice that carriers are expected to advertise and use realistic scheduling, and that the Board will act against carriers that do otherwise.

The new provisions in § 399.81 would refer to all air transportation rather than being limited to certain types of domestic transportation as had been the case in Part 234. The Board has always had authority to act under Section 411 against deceptive practices in both foreign and domestic air transportation. This change merely clarifies and corrects the reference to the Board's historic authority and policy.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 605(b), as added by the Regulatory Flexibility Act, Pub. L. 96–534, the Board certifies that this rule would not, if adpoted as proposed, have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The effect of the rule would be to permanently eliminate Form 438, which has been waived for almost two years. The policy statement that would be added in § 399.81 would replace the Board's interpretation of § 411 of the Act that is currently expressed in Part 234 without placing any new burdens on small businesses.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Parts 234 and 399

Administrative practice and procedure, Advertising, Air carriers, Antitrust, Archives and records, Consumer protection, Freight Forwarders, Grant program—transportation, Hawaii, Motor carriers, Puerto Rico, Railroads, Reporting requirements, Travel agents, Virgin Islands.

PART 234—[RESERVED]

Accordingly, the Civil Aeronautics Board proposes to make the following changes in Chapter II of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations:

1. Part 234, *Flight schedules of certificated air carriers; realistic scheduling required,* would be removed and reserved. PART 399-[AMANDED]

2. Section 399.81 would be REVISED to read as follows:

§ 399.81 Unrealistic or deceptive scheduling.

(a) It is the policy of the Board to consider unrealistic scheduling of flights by any certificated air carrier providing scheduled passenger air transportation to be an unfair or deceptive practive and an unfair method of competition within the meaning of section 411 of the Act.

(b) With respect to the advertising of scheduled performance, it is the policy of the Board to regard as an unfair or deceptive practice or an unfair method of competition the use of any figures purporting to reflect schedule or on-time performance without indicating the basis of the calculation, the time period involved, and the pairs of points or the percentage of systemwide operations thereby represented and whether the figures include all scheduled flights or only scheduled flights actually performed.

(Secs. 101, 102, 105, 204, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 411, 412, 414, 416, 801, 1001, 1002, 1102, 1104, Pub. L. 85–726, as amended, 72 Stat. 737, 740, 743, 754, 757, 758, 760, 763, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 782, 788, 797, 92 Stat. 1708; 49 U.S.C. 1301, 1302, 1305, 1324, 1371, 1372, 1373, 1374, 1375, 1376, 1377, 1378, 1379, 1381, 1382, 1384, 1386, 1461, 1481, 1482, 1502, 1504, 5 U.S.C 601)

By the Civil Aeronautics Board.

Phyllis T. Kaylor,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17401 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6320–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

18 CFR Part 271

[Docket No. RM79–76–202 (Texas—37)]

High-Cost Gas Produced From Tight Formations; Proposed Rulemaking

AGENCY: Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, DOE.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Federal Energy Regulatory Commission is authorized by section 107(C)(5) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 to designate certain types of natural gas as high-cost gas where the Commission determines that the gas is produced under conditions which present extraordinary risks or costs. Under section 107(c)(5), the Commission issued a final regulation designating natural gas produced from tight formations as high-cost gas which may receive an incentive price (18 CFR 271.703). This rule established procedures for jurisdictional agencies to submit to the Commission recommendations of areas for designation as tight formations. This Notice of Proposed Rulemaking by the Director of the Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation contains the recommendation of the Railroad Commission of Texas that the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone be designated as a tight formation under § 271.703(d).

DATE: Comments on the proposal rule are due on August 8, 1983. Public Hearing: No public hearing is scheduled in this docket as yet. Written requests for a public hearing are due on July 11, 1983.

ADDRESS: Comments and requests for hearing must be filed with the Office of the Secretary, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Leslie Lawner, (202) 357–8511, or Walter W. Lawson, (202) 357–8556.

Issued: June 24, 1983.

I. Background

On May 9, 1983, the Railroad Commission of Texas (Texas) submitted to the Commission a recommendation, in accordance with § 271.703 of the Commission's regulations (45 F.R. 56034,

August 22, 1980), that the P, R, R1,, R2, R3 and S sands of the Lower Vicksburg Formation referred to herein as the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone located north of the McAllen Ranch Field in Hidalgo County, Texas, be designated as a tight formation. Pursuant to § 271.703(c)(4) of the regulations, this notice of Proposed Rulemaking is hereby issued to determine whether Texas' recommendation that the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone be designated a tight formation should be adopted. Texas' recommendation and supporting data are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

II. Description of Recommendation

Texas recommends that the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone encountered in the southern portion of the State of Texas, in northern Hidalgo County, Railroad Commission District 4, be designated as a tight formation. The recommended area is located in the northern portion of the "Santa Anita" Manuel Gomez A–63 Grant, approximately seven miles east of the city of La Reforma and covers approximately 16,010 acres. The recommended area is legally described as follows:

From the northeast corner of the "Santa Anita" Manuel Gomez A–63 Grant, Hidalgo County, Texas go 32,000 feet south along the east line of the Grant; them 11,800 feet west at a right angle; then north 5,350 feet at a right angle; then west 11,600 feet at a right angle to the west line of the Grant. Then go north 26,750 feet along the west line of the Grant to the northwest corner; then 23,300 feet east along the north line to the northeast corner of the Grant.

The Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone is composed of interbedded sandstones and shales that were deposited during Oligocene time. The section is approximately 4,000 feet thick and is overlain by a continuous shale section of the Upper Vicksburg and is underlain by a major fault plane that separates it from the Jackson Shale. The deeper sands of the Lower Vicksburg tend to have a reverse dip westward toward this major fault which probably rises at a steeper angle to the west of the recommended area. There are at least five principal faults that cut the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone within the recommended area, all downthrown to the east. The top of the sandstone occurs at the "P" sand at an average depth of about 10,600 feet in the west. In the east, the "P" sand is found at a depth of about 12,000 feet. The top of the lowermost section of the recommended sandstone, the "S" sand, occurs at an average depth of about 13,500 feet in the west. In the east, the "S" sand is found at a depth of about 13,000 feet or deeper.

III. Discussion of Recommendation

Texas claims in its submission that evidence gathered through information and testimony presented at a public hearing on September 7, 1982, convened by Texas on this matter demonstrates that:

(1) The average *in situ* gas permeability throughout the pay section of the proposed area is not expected to exceed 0.1 millidarcy;

(2) The stabilized production rate, against atmospheric pressure, of wells completed for production from the recommended formation, without stimulation, is not expected to exceed the maximum allowable production rate set out in § 271.703(c)(2)(i)(B); and

(3) No well drilled into the recommended formation is expected to produce more than five (5) barrels of oil per day.

Texas further asserts that existing State and Federal regulations assure that development of the formation will not adversely affect any fresh water aquifers that are or are expected to be used as a domestic or agricultural water supply.

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to the Director of the Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation by Commission Order No. 97, issued in Docket No. RM80–68 (*45 Fed. Reg.* 53456, August 12, 1980), notice is hereby given of the proposal submitted by Texas that the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone, as described and delineated in Texas' recommendation as filed with the Commission, be designated as a tight formation pursuant to § 271.703.

IV. Public Comment Procedures

Interested persons may comment on this proposed rulemaking by submitting written data, views or arguments to the Office of the Secretary, Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, on or before August 8, 1983. Each person submitting a comment should indicate that the comment is being submitted in Docket No. RM79–76–202 (Texas–37), and should give reasons including supporting data for any recommendation. Comments should include the name, title, mailing address, and telephone number of one person to whom communications concerning the proposal may be addressed. An original and 14 conformed copies should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission. Written comments will be available for public inspection at the Commission's Office of Public Information, Room 1000, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C., during business hours.

Any person wishing to present testimony, views, data, or otherwise participate at a public hearing should notify the Commission in writing of a desire to make an oral presentation and therefore request a public hearing. Such request shall specify the amount of time requested at the hearing. Requests should be filed with the Secretary of the Commission no later than July 11, 1983.

List of Subjects in 18 CFR Part 271

Natural gas, Incentive price, Tight formations.

(Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978, 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432)

Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend the regulations in Part 271, Subchapter H, Chapter I, Title 18, Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below, in the event Texas' recommendation is adopted.

Kenneth A. Williams,

Director, Office of Pipeline and Producer Regulation.

PART 271—[AMENDED]

Section 271.703 is amended by adding paragraph (d)(192) to read as follows:

§ 271.703 Tight formations.

* * * * *

(d) *Designated tight formations.*

* * * * *

(135) through (191) [RESERVED]

* * * * *

(192) *Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone in Texas.* RM79–76 (Texas 37).

(i) *Delineation of formation.* The Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone is located in Hidalgo County, Texas, Railroad Commission District 4, approximately seven miles east of the city of La Reforma and includes approximately 16,010 acres in the north part of the "Santa Anita" Manuel Gomez A–63 Grant.

(ii) *Depth.* The top of the Lower Vicksburg (P through S) Sandstone is the top of the "P" sand which occurs at an average depth of about 10,600 feet in the western portion of the designated area. In the east, the "P" sand is found at a depth of about 12,000 feet. The top of the lowermost section of the designated sandstone, the "S" sand, occurs at an average depth of about 13,500 feet in the west. In the east, the "S" sand is found

at a depth of about 13,000 feet. Total thickness is approximately 4,000 feet.

[FR Doc. 83-17519 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms

27 CFR Part 9

[Notice No. 473]

Willamette Valley Viticultural Area

AGENCY: Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF) is considering the establishment of a viticultural area in the State of Oregon to be known as "Willamette Valley." This proposal is the result of a petition submitted by Mr. David B. Adelsheim, Chairman, Appellation Committee, Oregon Winegrowers Association, and owner of Adelsheim Vineyards. The establishment of viticultural areas and the subsequent use of viticultural area names in wine labeling and advertising will enable industry to label wines more precisely, and will help consumers better identify wines they purchase.

DATE: Written comments must be received by August 15, 1983.

ADDRESS: Send written comments to: Chief, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, P.O. Box 385, Washington, DC 20044-0385, Attn: Notice No. 473.

Copies of the petition, the proposed regulations, the appropriate maps, and written comments will be available for public inspection during normal business hours at: ATF Reading Room, Room 4405, Federal Building, 12th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW, Washington, DC.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: James P. Ficaretta, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, Washington, DC (202-566-7626).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On August 23, 1978, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-53 (43 FR 37672, 54624) revising regulations in 27 CFR Part 4. These regulations allow for the establishment of definite viticultural areas. The regulations also allow the name of an approved viticultural area to be used as an appellation of origin on wine labels and in wine advertisements.

On October 2, 1979, ATF published Treasury Decision ATF-60 44 FR 56692) which added a new Part 9 to 27 CFR for listing of approved viticultural areas.

Sections 4.25a(e)(1) and 9.11, Title 27, CFR define an American viticultural area as a delimited grape-growing region distinguishable by geographical features. Section 4.25a(e)(2) outlines the procedures for proposing an American viticultural area. Any interested person may petition ATF to establish a grape-growing region as a viticultural area. The petition should include:

(a) Evidence that the name of the proposed viticultural area is locally and/or nationally known as referring to the area specified in the petition;

(b) Historical or current evidence that the boundaries of the viticultural area are as specified in the petition;

(c) Evidence relating to the geographical characteristics (climate, soil, elevation, physical features, etc.), which distinguish the viticultural features of the proposed area from surrounding areas;

(d) a description of the specific boundaries of the viticultural area, based on features which can be found on United States Geological Survey (U.S.G.S.) maps of the largest applicable scale; and

(e) A copy of the appropriate U.S.G.S. maps with the boundaries prominently marked.

Petition

ATF has received a petition to establish a viticultural area in northwest Oregon to be know as "Willamette Valley." The petition was submitted by Mr. David B. Adelsheim on behalf of the Oregon Winegrowers Association. The proposed area is enclosed by natural boundaries—the Columbia River to the north, the Coast Range Mountains on the west, the Calapooya Mountains on the south, and the Cascade Mountains to the east. This proposed area is located on the valley floor of the Willamette Valley and generally extends no higher than the 1,000 foot level of the surrounding mountain ranges, the limit of viticulture stated in the petition. The petitioned area consists of approximately 5,200 square miles, (3.3 million acres). Scattered throughout the area are 2,000 acres of grapes, and 27 wineries.

Evidence that the proposed viticultural area is locally, and/or nationally known is supported by the following:

(a) In a 1969 book, "Le Grand Livre du Vin," Edita Lausanne makes reference to certain vinifera grapes being grown in the Willamette Valley south of Portland.

(b) Willamette Valley is named as one of ten climatic regions in the State.

(c) The petitioner claims it is the standard name used in all historical, geographical, geological, climatological and agricultural texts to refer to this plain and adjacent foothills.

Historical and current evidence that the boundaries of the "Willamette Valley" are as specified in the petition:

(a) Throughout this 1841 report, *U.S. Exploring Expedition,* Charles Wilkes makes reference to "Willamette," and his usage, the petitioner claims, became the standard.

(b) Free land given to settlers by the Oregon Provisional and the U.S. Government up to 1855 resulted in most of the valuable (cultivable) land being claimed. A map of these claims in the "Atlas of Oregon" is remarkably close to the boundaries of the proposed viticultural area.

(c) The "Atlas of Oregon" mentions Willamette Valley as one of nine physiographic regions in the State and describes it as a "broad alluvial plain, 160 miles long and up to 65 miles broad," which approximates the size of the proposed area.

The petitioner claims that the proposed viticultural area has geographical characteristics which distinguish it from the surrounding areas. The petitioner bases this claim on the following:

(a) The mountains surrounding the Willamette Valley on three sides provide it with a unique and homogeneous climate. The valley has temperatures that are mild, averaging 40°F in the winter, 68°F in summer. Eastern Oregon temperatures range from 28°F in winter to 75°F in summer. In the Umpqua Valley, south of the Calapooya Mountains, the winters are colder, and the summers are warmer, than in the Willamette Valley.

(b) Willamette Valley has an average rainfall of 40 inches. Annual rainfall to the west, on the other side of the Coast Range Mountains, is 100 inches. To the east, on the other side of the Cascade Mountains, annual rainfall is less than 10 inches.

(c) There are two basic types of soil in the Willamette Valley, silty loam and clay loam, unlike the mountain soils to the south, east, and west which result from steeper slopes, dense coniferous vegetation and heavier winter precipitation.

The boundaries of the proposed Willamette Valley viticultural area may be found on three U.S.G.S. maps (Vancouver, Salem, and Roseburg).

The boundaries, as proposed by the petitioner, are described in proposed § 9.90.

Regulatory Flexibility Act

The provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act relating to initial and final regulatory flexibility analyses (5 U.S.C. 603, 604) are not applicable to this notice of proposed rulemaking because the proposal is not expected (1) to have significant secondary or incidental effects on a substantial number of small entities; nor (2) to impose, nor otherwise cause, a significant increase in the reporting, recordkeeping, or other compliance burdens on a substantial number of small entities.

Accordingly, it is hereby certified under the provisions of Section 3 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 605(b)) that the notice of proposed rulemaking, if promulgated as a final rule, will not have a significant economic impact nor compliance burden on a substantial number of small entities.

Compliance with Executive Order 12291

It has been determined that this notice of proposed rulemaking is not classified as a "major rule" within the meaning of Executive Order 12291, 46 FR 13193 (1981), because it will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; it will not result in a major increase in costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, Federal, State, or local government agencies, or geographic regions; and it will not have significant adverse effects on competition, employment, investment productivity, innovation, or on the ability of the United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

Paperwork Reduction Act

The provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96–511, 44 U.S.C. Chapter 35, and its implementing regulations, 5 CFR Part 1320, do not apply to this notice because no requirement to collect information is proposed.

Public Participation—Written Comments

ATF requests comments from all interested persons concerning this proposed viticultural area. This document proposes possible boundaries for the Willamette Valley viticultural area. However, comments concerning other possible boundaries for this viticultural area will be given consideration.

Comments received before the closing date will be carefully considered. Comments received after the closing date and too late for consideration will be treated as possible suggestions for future ATF action.

ATF will not recognize any material in comments as confidential. Comments may be disclosed to the public.

Any material which the commenter considers to be confidential or inappropriate for disclosure to the public should not be included in the comment. The name of the person submitting a comment is not exempt from disclosure.

Any interested person who desires an opportunity to comment orally at a public hearing on these proposed regulations should submit his or her request, in writing, to the Director within the 45-day comment period. The Director, however, reserves the right to determine, in light of all circumstances, whether a public hearing will be held.

List of Subjects in 27 CFR Part 9

Administrative practices and procedure, Viticultural areas, Consumer protection, and Wine.

Drafting Information

The principal author of this document is James P. Ficaretta, FAA, Wine and Beer Branch, Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms.

Authority

Accordingly, under the authority in 27 U.S.C. 205, the Director proposes the amendment of 27 CFR Part 9 as follows:

PART 9—AMERICAN VITICULTURAL AREAS

Paragraph 1. The table of sections in 27 CFR Part 9, Subpart C, is amended to add the title of § 9.90 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

Sec.

* * * * *

9.90 Willamette Valley.

Par. 2. Subpart C is amended by adding § 9.90 to read as follows:

Subpart C—Approved American Viticultural Areas

* * * * *

§ 9.90 Willamette Valley.

(a) *Name.* The name of the viticultural area described in this section is "Willamette Valley."

(b) *Approved maps.* The appropriate maps for determining the boundaries of the Willamette Valley viticultural area are three U.S.G.S. maps scaled 1 : 250,000. They are entitled:

(1) "Vancouver," Location Diagram NL 10–8, 1958 (revised 1974).

(2) "Salem," Location Diagram NL 10–11, 1960 (revised 1977).

(3) "Roseburg," Location Diagram NL 10–2, 1958 (revised 1970).

(c) *Boundaries.* The proposed Willamette Valley viticultural area is located in the northwestern part of Oregon, and is bordered on the north by the Columbia River, on the west by the Coast Range Mountains, on the south by the Calapooya Mountains, and on the east by the Cascade Mountains, encompassing approximately 5,200 square miles (3.3 million acres). The exact boundaries of the proposed area, based on landmarks and points of reference found on the approved maps, are as follows: from the beginning point at the intersection of the Columbia/Multnomah County line and the Oregon/Washington State line;

(1) West along the Columbia/Multnomah County line 8.5 miles to its intersection with the Washington/Multnomah County line;

(2) South along the Washington County line 5 miles to its intersection with the 1,000 foot contour line;

(3) Northwest (15 miles due northwest) along the 1,000 foot contour line to its intersection with State Highway 47, .5 mile north of "Tophill";

(4) Then, due west from State Highway 47 one-quarter mile to the 1,000 foot contour line, continuing south and then southwest along the 1,000 foot contour line to its intersection with the Siuslaw National Forest (a point approximately 43 miles south and 26 miles west of "Tophill"), one mile north of State Highway 22;

(5) Due south 6.5 miles to the 1,000 foot contour line on the Lincoln/Polk County line;

(6) Continue along the 1,000 foot contour line (approximately 23 miles) east, south, and then west, to a point where the Polk County line is intersected by the Lincoln/Benton County line;

(7) South along the Lincoln-Benton County line, 11 miles to its intersection with the Siuslaw National Forest line;

(8) East along the Siuslaw National Forest line six miles, and then south along the Siuslaw National Forest line six miles to State Highway 34 and the 1,000 foot contour line;

(9) South along the 1,000 foot contour line to its intersection with Township line T17S/T18S (31 miles southwest, and one mile west of State Highway 126);

(10) East along T17S/T18S 4.5 miles to Range line R6W/R7W, south along this range line 2.5 miles to the 1,000 foot contour line;

(11) Southeast along the 1,000 foot contour line to R5W/R6W

(approximately six miles); southeast from this point eight miles to the intersection of R4W/R5W and T19S/T20S;

(12) East along T19S/T20S 1.5 miles to the 1,000 foot contour line;

(13) Following the 1,000 foot contour line north around Spencer Butte, and then south to a point along the Lane/Douglas County line one-half mile north of Interstate Highway 99;

(14) South along the Lane/Douglas County line 1.25 miles to the 1,000 foot contour line;

(15) Following the 1,000 foot contour line around the valleys of Little River, Mosby Creek, Sharps Creek and Lost Creek to the intersection of R1W/R1E and State Highway 58;

(16) North along R1W/R1E, six miles, until it intersects the 1,000 foot contour line just north of Little Fall Creek;

(17) Continuing along the 1,000 foot contour line around Hills Creek, up the southern slope of McKenzie River Valley to Ben and Kay Dorris State Park, crossing over and down the northern slope around Camp Creek, Mohawk River and its tributaries, Calapooia River (three miles southeast of the town of Dollar) to a point where Wiley Creek intersects R1E/R1W approximately one mile south of T14S/T13S;

(18) North along R1E/R1W 7.5 miles to T12S/T13S at Cedar Creek;

(19) West along T12S/T13S four miles to the 1,000 foot contour line;

(20) Continuing in a general northerly direction along the 1,000 foot contour line around Crabtree Creek, Thomas Creek, North Santiam River (to its intersection with Sevenmile Creek), and Little North Santiam River to the intersection of the 1,000 foot contour line with R1E/R2E (approximately one mile north of State Highway 22);

(21) North along R1E/R2E (through a small portion of Silver Falls State Park) 14 miles to T6S/T7S;

(22) East along T6S/T7S six miles to R2E/R3E;

(23) North along R2E/R3E six miles to the intersection of T5S/T6S;

(24) Due northeast 8.5 miles to the intersection of T4S/T5S and R4E/R3E;

(25) East along T4S/T5S six miles to R4E/R5E;

(26) North along R4E/R5E six miles to T3S/T4S;

(27) East along T3S/T4S six miles to R5E/R6E;

(28) North along R5E/R6E 10.5 miles to a point where it intersects the Mount Hood National Forest boundary (approximately three miles north of Interstate Highway 26);

(29) West four miles and north one mile along the forest boundary to the 1,000 foot contour line (just north of Bull Run River);

(30) North along the 1,000 foot contour line, into Multnomah County, to its intersection with R4E/R5E;

(31) Due north approximately three miles to the Oregon/Washington State line; and

(32) West and then north, 34 miles, along the Oregon/Washington State line to the beginning point.

Approved June 23, 1983.

Stephen E. Higgins,

Director.

[FR Doc. 83-17543 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-31-M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Corps of Engineers; Department of the Army

33 CFR Parts 204 and 207

Danger Zone and Navigation Regulations; Chesapeake Bay, Potomac and Patuxent Rivers

AGENCY: U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DoD.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

SUMMARY: The Corps of Engineers proposes to amend the Navigation Regulations in Title 33 by amending certain sections where identified as obsolete or unnecessary and are editorial in nature.

This is part of the Corps' ongoing program to improve its regulations.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: HQDA, DAEN-CWO-N, Washington, D.C. 20314.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Ralph T. Eppard at (202) 272-0199.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Corps of Engineers has reviewed the regulations in 33 CFR Parts 204 and 207 affecting the Chesapeake Bay, Potomac and Patuxent Rivers with a view toward amending obsolete or unnecessary sections. The following is a list of regulations affected by this proposal and a description of the proposed changes.

1. *Part 204 Danger Zone Regulations.*

The following regulations in Part 204 are amended to update requirements and remove obsolete and unnecessary requirements.

Section 204.30 Chesapeake Bay; United States Army Proving Ground Reservation, Aberdeen, Maryland.

Add a new subparagraph (d)(4) to read as follows and renumber the existing subparagraph (d)(4) to become (d)(5). "A fleet of patrol boats will be positioned at the perimeter of the restricted water zone boundaries (except in extreme weather conditions such as gales or ice) during periods of testing to prevent unauthorized entry. If necessary to attract attention of another vessel about to penetrate the restricted area, the patrol boat may signal by a distinctive rotating blue and red light, public address system, siren, or by radio contact on shipshore FM channel 16 and citizen band channel 12. Buoys will mark the restricted waters along the Chesapeake Bay perimeter during the period, normally 4 June through 1 October annually."

Change sub-paragraph (j) to: "Aberdeen Proving Ground Regulation (APGR) 210-10 will govern commercial fishing and crabbing and APGR 210-26 will govern recreational (non-commercial) fishing and crabbing."

Add new sub-paragraph after sub-paragraph (k) as: "This section shall be enforced by the Commander, Aberdeen Proving Ground, and such agencies as he/she may designate."

Section 204.32 Chesapeake Bay, in the vicinity of Chesapeake Beach, Maryland; firing range, Naval Research Laboratory.

Delete the following in paragraph (a)(2): "Bloody Bar Light".

Add in its place: "Bloody Point Bar Light".

Delete the following in paragraph (a)(2): Buoy N 16 FF"

Add in its place: "Choptank River Approach Buoy 2".

Delete the following in paragraph (a)(4): "Buoy C 1"

Add in its place: "Chesapeake Beach Light 2".

Delete the following in paragraph (a)(4): "Buoy C 23".

Add in its place: "Plum Point Shoal Buoy 1".

Delete the following in paragraph (b)(3): "Director, Naval Research Laboratory"

Add in its place: "Commanding Officer, Naval Research Laboratory".

Delete the following in paragraph (b)(6): "The Commandant, Fifth Naval District".

Add in its place: "Commander, Naval Base, Norfolk, Virginia."

Section 204.36 Chesapeake Bay, in vicinity of Bloodsworth Island, Maryland; shore bombardment, air bombing, air strafing, and rocket firing area, U.S. Navy.

Delete the following in paragraph (b)(3): "* * * and sunset, except that

occasional night firing may be conducted between sunset * * * "

Delete the following in paragraph (b)(5): "* * * a tower off Okahanikan Point at latitude 38°11'45", longitude 76°05'35", and at night by a searchlight beam pointed into the sky."

Add in its place: "* * * a control tower on Adams Island at latitude 38°09'06", longitude 76°05'22", and at night by a white light on top of the control tower."

Add the following sentence to end of paragraph (b)(6): "As an additional warning to crabbing, fishing, and other small craft, and vessels, the control tower on Adams Island will broadcast firing intentions on citizens band radio using channels 11 and 12."

Delete the following in paragraph (b)(9): * * * the Commandant, Fifth Naval District * * * "

Add in its place: "* * * Commander Naval Base, Norfolk, Virginia * * * "

Section 204.40 Potomac River.

Delete all references to: "U.S. Naval Weapons Laboratory".

Add in its place: "Naval Surface Weapons Center".

Add to paragraph (a)(2)(iii): "The Naval Surface Weapons Center (Range Control) can be contacted by marine VHF radio (channel 16) or by telephone (703) 663–8791."

Section 204.41 Potomac River, Mattawoman Creek and Chicamuxen Creek; U.S. Naval Propellant Plant, Indian Head, Maryland.

Delete all references to: "U.S. Naval Propellant Plant".

Add in its place: "U.S. Naval Ordnance Station".

Delete the following in paragraph (a): "* * * from the footbridge to the mouth of the creek;"

Add in its place: "* * * from the pilings remaining from the footbridge to the mouth of the creek;"

Section 204.42 Chesapeake Bay, Point Lookout to Cedar Point; aerial firing range and target areas, U.S. Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland.

Delete the following in paragraph (a)(1): "* * * to Chesapeake Channel Buoy 50 (approximately latitude 37°59'25", longitude 76°10'54");".

Add in its place: "* * * to latitude 37°59'25", longitude 76°10'54";".

2. *Part 207 Navigation Regulations.*

Section 207.125 Patuxent River, Maryland; restricted areas, U.S. Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland.

Delete all references in paragraph (c) to: "Drum Point Light".

Add in its place: "Drum Point Light 2".

Delete all references in paragraph (c) to: "Point Patient Light".

Add in its place: "Patuxent River Light 8".

List of Subjects

33 CFR Part 204

Marine safety, Waterways.

33 CFR Part 207

Navigation (water), Waterways.

Note.—The Corps of Engineers has determined that this proposed rule is not a major rule and is exempt from the general requirements of Executive Order 12291 in accordance with the exception provided military functions. The Corps has also determined that these regulations would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities as required by Pub. L. 96–354.

Dated: June 17, 1983.

Approved:

Paul F. Kavanaugh,

Colonel, Corps of Engineers, Executive Director of Civil Works.

Accordingly, the Corps of Engineers proposes to amend 33 CFR Parts 204 and 207 as set forth below.

PART 204—DANGER ZONE REGULATIONS

1. Section 204.30 is amended by revising paragraphs (d) introductory text, (d)(4), (d)(5), and (j) to read as follows:

§ 204.30 Chesapeake Bay; United States Army Proving Ground Reservation, Aberdeen, Maryland.

* * * * *

(d) Entrance into restricted waters by the public.

* * * * *

(4) A fleet of patrol boats will be positioned at the perimeter of the restricted water zone boundaries (except in extreme weather conditions such as gales or ice) during periods of testing to prevent unauthorized entry. If necessary to attract attention of another vessel about to penetrate the restricted area, the patrol boat may operate a distinctive rotating blue and red light, public address system, sound a siren, or by radio contact on shipshore FM channel 16 and citizen band channel 12. Buoys will mark the restricted waters along the Chesapeake Bay perimeter during the period, normally 4 June through 1 October annually.

(5) Authorized use. Authorized use as used in this section is defined as fishing from a vessel, navigation using a vessel to traverse a water area or anchoring a vessel in a water area. Any person who touches any land, or docks or grounds a vessel, within the boundaries of Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, is not using the area for an authorized use and is in violation of this regulation. Further, water skiing in the water area of Aberdeen Proving Ground is permitted as an authorized use when the water area is open for use by the general public provided that no water skier touches any land, either dry land (fast land) or subaqueous land and comes no closer than 200 meters from any shoreline. Further, if any person is in the water area of Aberdeen Proving Ground, Maryland, outside of any vessel (except for the purposes of water skiing as outlined above) including, but not limited to, swimming, scuba diving, or other purpose, that person is not using the water in an authorized manner and is in violation of this regulation.

* * * * *

(j) Aberdeen Proving Ground Regulations (APGR) 210–10 will govern commercial fishing and crabbing and APGR 210–26 will govern recreational (non-commercial) fishing and crabbing. This section shall be enforced by the Commander, Aberdeen Proving Ground, and such agencies as he/she may designate.

* * * * *

2. Section 204.32 is amended by revising paragraphs (a)(2), (a)(4), (b)(3) and (b)(6) to read as follows

§ 204.32 Chesapeake Bay, in the vicinity of Chesapeake Beach, Maryland; firing range, Naval Research.

(a) * * *

(2) *Area B.* The sector of a circle bounded by radii of 9,600 yards bearing 31 (to Bloody Point Bar Light) and 137° 30' (to Choptank River Approach Buoy 2), respectively, from the center at the southeast corner of building No. 3 excluding Area A.

* * * * *

(4) *Area D.* A roughly rectangular area bounded on the north by an eastwest line through Chesapeake Beach Light 2 at the entrance channel to Fishing Creek; on the south by an east-west line through Plum Point Shoal Buoy 1 northeast from Breezy Point; on the east by the established fishing structure limit line; and on the west by the shore of Chespeake Bay.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) No fishing structures, other than those presently in established locations, which may be maintained, will be permitted to be established in Area D without specific permission from the

Commanding Officer, Naval Research Laboratory.

* * * * *

(6) This section shall be enforced by the Commander, Naval Base, Norfolk, Virginia, and such agencies as he/she may designate.

3. Section 204.36 is amended by revision paragraphs (b)(3), (b)(5), and (b)(9) to read as follows:

§ 204.36 Chesapeake Bay, in vicinity of Bloodsworth Island, Maryland; shore bombardment, air bombing, air strafing, and rocket firing area, U.S. Navy

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) Advance notice will be given of the dates and times of all firings in the danger zone and such notice will be published in the local "Notice to Mariners." The area will be in use intermittently throughout the year. On days when firing is conducted, firing will take place normally betwen sunrise and 12 midnight.

* * * * *

(5) Warning that ships are firing or soon will be firing in the danger zone will be indicated during daylight by a red flag prominently displayed from control tower on Adams Island at latitude 33°09'06", longitude 76°05'22", and at night by a white light on top of the control tower. Warning that aircraft are firing or soon will be firing will be indicated by the aircraft patrolling the area. All persons, vessels, or other craft shall clear the area when these signals are displayed or when warned by patrol vessels or by aircraft employing the method of warning known as "buzzing" which consists of low flight by the airplane and repeated opening and closing of the throttle. As an additional warning to crabbing, fishing, and other small craft, and vessels, the control tower on Adams Island will broadcast firing intentions on citizens band radio using channels 11 and 12.

* * * * *

(9) The regulations in this section shall be enforced by the Commander, Naval Base, Norfolk, Virginia, and such agencies as he/she may designate.

4. Section 204.40 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) introductory text, (a)(1), (a)(2)(ii), and (a)(2)(iii) to read as follows:

§ 204.40 Potomac River.

(a) Naval Surface Weapons Center, Dahlgren, Viriginia—(1) The danger zone—

(i) Lower zone. The entire portion of the lower Potomac River between a line from Point Lookout, Maryland, to Smith Point, Virginia, and a line from Bouy 14 (abreast of St. Clements Island) to a point near the northeast shore of Hollis Marsh at latitude 38°10'00", longitude 76°45'23.5". Long range and aerial machine gun firing is normally conducted in this zone at infrequent intervals.

(ii) Middle Zone. Beginning at the intersection of the Potomac River Bridge with the Virginia shore; thence to Light 33; thence to latitude 38°19'06", longitude 76°57'07" which point is about 3,300 yards east-southeast of Light 30; thence to Line of Fire Buoy O, about 1,150 yards southwesterly of Swan Point; thence to Line of Fire Buoy M, about 1,700 yards south of Potomac View; thence to Line of Fire Buoy K, about 1,400 yards southwesterly of the lower end of Cobb Island; thence to Buoy 14, abreast of St. Clements Island; thence southwesterly to a point near the northeast shore of Hollis Marsh at latitude 38°10'00", longitude 76°45'23.5"; thence northwesterly to Line of Fire Buoy J, about 3,000 yards off Popes Creek, Virginia; thence to Line of Fire Buoy L, about 3,600 yards off Church Point; thence to Line of Fire Buoy N, about 900 yards off Colonial Beach; thence to Line of Fire Buoy P, about 1,000 yards off Bluff Point; thence northwest to latitude 38°17'52", longitude 77 01'00", a point of the Virginia shore on property of Naval Surface Weapons Center, a distance of about 3,800 yards; thence northerly along the shore of the Naval Surface Weapons Center to Baber Point, latitude 38°18'42", longitude 77°01'45"; and thence north-northwest to latitude 38°19'09", longitude 77°02'08", a point on the Main Dock at the Naval Surface Weapons Center. Firing is normally conducted in this zone daily except Saturdays, Sundays, and national holidays.

* * * * *

(2) * * *

(ii) When firing is in progress, no fishing or oystering vessels shall operate within the danger zone affected unless so authorized by the Naval Surface Weapons Center's patrol boats. Oystering and fishing boats or other craft may cross the river in the danger zone only after they have reported to the patrol boat and received instructions as to when and where to cross. Deep-draft vessels using dredged channels and propelled by mechanical power at a speed greater than five miles per hour may proceed directly through the danger zones without restriction except when especially notified to the contrary. Unless instructed to the contrary by the patrol boat, small craft navigating up or down the Potomac River during firing hours shall proceed outside of the northeastern boundary of the Middle Danger Zone. All craft desiring to enter the Middle Danger Zone when proceeding in or out of Upper Machodoc Creek during firing hours will be instructed by the patrol boat; for those craft which desire to proceed in or out of Upper Machodoc Creek on a course between the western shore of the Potomac River and a line from the Main Dock of the Naval Surface Weapons Center to Line of Fire Buoy P, clearance will be granted to proceed upon request directed to the patrol boat.

(iii) The regulations in this section shall be enforced by the Commander, Naval Weapons Surface Center and such agencies as he/she may designate. Patrol boats, in the execution of their mission assigned herein, shall display a square red flag during daylight hours for purposes of identification; at night time, a 32 point red light shall be displayed at the mast head. The Naval Surface Weapons Center (Range Control) can be contacted by Marine VHF radio (channel 16) or by telephone (703) 663–8791.

* * * * *

5. Section 204.41 is amended by revising paragraphs (a) and (b)(7) to read as follows:

§ 204.41 Potomac River, Mattawoman Creek and Chicamuxen Creek; U.S. Naval Ordnance Station.

(a) The danger zone. Beginning at a point on the easterly shore of the Potomac River at latitude 38°36'00", longitude 77°11'00"; thence to latitude 38°34'30"; longitude 77°13'00"; thence to latitude 38°33'20", longitude 77°14'20"; thence to latitude 38°32'20", longitude 77°15'10"; thence to latitude 38°32'00", longitude 77°15'00"; thence to latitude 38°32'00"; longitude 77°14'40"; thence to latitude 38°32'30", longitude 77°14'00"; thence upstream along the easterly shoreline of Chicamuxen Creek to its head thence downstream along the westerly shoreline of Chicamuxen Creek to the southernmost point of Stump Neck; thence northeasterly along the shoreline of Stump Neck to the mouth of Mattawoman Creek; thence along the southeasterly shore of Mattawoman Creek to the pilings remaining from the footbridge connecting the left bank of the creek to the Naval Ordnance Station; thence along the northwesterly shore of Mattawoman Creek from the pilings remaining from the footbridge to the mouth of the creek; thence in a northeasterly direction along the

easterly shore of the Poctomac River to the point of beginning.

(b) * * *

(7) The regulations in this section shall be enforced by the Commanding Officer, U.S. Naval Ordnance Station, Indian Head, Maryland.

6. Section 204.42 is amended by revising paragraph (a) to read as follow:

§ 204.42 Chesapeake Bay, Point Lookout to Cedar Point; aerial firing range and target areas, U.S. Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland.

(a) Aerial firing range--(1) The danger zone. The waters of Chesapeake Bay within an area described as follows: Beginning at the easternmost extremity of Cedar Point; thence easterly to the southern tip of Barren Island; thence southeasterly to latitude 38°01′15″, longitude 76°05′33″, thence southwesterly to latitude 37°59′25″, longitude 76°10′54″, thence northwesterly to latitude 38°02′20″, longitude 76°17′26″, thence northerly to Point No Point Light; thence northwesterly to the shore at latitude 38°15′45″; thence northeasterly along the shore to the point of beginning. Aerial firing and dropping of nonexplosive ordnance will be conducted in this area throughout the year, Monday through Saturday, except national holidays.

* * * * *

PART 207—NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

Section 207.125 is amended by revising paragraph (c) to read as follows:

§ 207.125 Patuxent River, Maryland; restricted areas U.S. Naval Air Test Center, Patuxent River, Maryland.

* * * * *

(c) On occasions, seaplane landings and takeoffs will be practiced in the seadrome area north of the U.S. Naval Air Station, Patuxent River. This area includes those waters of the Patuxent River between Town Point and Hog Point shoreward of a line described as follows: Beginning at a point on the shore just west of Lewis Creek, bearing 161°30′ true, 2,000 yards from Patuxent River Light 8; thence to a point bearing 130°′ true, 1,850 yards from Patuxent River Light 8; thence to a point bearing 247°30′ true, 3,650 yards from Drum Point Light 2; thence to point bearing 235° true, 2,060 yards from Drum Point Light 2; thence to a point bearing 129° true, 700 yards from Drum Point Light 2; thence to a point bearing 137° true, 1,060 yards from Drum Point Light 2; and thence to a point on the shore west of Harper Creek entrance, bearing 158°30′ true, 1,900 yards from Drum Point Light 2.

* * * * *

(33 U. S. C. 1, 3)

[FR Doc. 83-17490 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710-92-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 81

[A-3-FRL 2367-2]

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania; Section 107—Attainment Status Designations

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has revised its list of air quality attainment designations for several areas within the Commonwealth with respect to sulfur dioxide (SO_2). The Commonwealth has requested that the designations for Madison, Mahoning, Boggs, Washington and Pine Townships; in Armstrong County be changed from nonattainment of primary standards to attainment under Section 107(d) of the Clean Air Act.

EPA proposes to approve this change as submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. The purpose of this notice is to solicit public comment on the proposed action. All other Section 107 designations for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania not discussed in this notice remain intact, 43 FR 40513, 1978; 45 FR 9264, 1980; 45 FR 19555, 1980; 45 FR 72159, 1980; 46 FR 51612, 1981; 47 FR 21793, 1982.

DATE: Comments must be submitted on or before July 29, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Copies of the proposed SIP revision and the accompanying support documents are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the following locations:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, Air Management Branch, Curtis Building, Sixth & Walnut Streets, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19106, Attn: Ms. Eileen M. Glen

Pennsylvania Dept. of Environmental Resources, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 2063, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17120, Attn: Mr. James K. Hambright

All comments on the proposed revision submitted on or before July 29, 1983 will be considered and should be submitted to Mr. Glenn Hanson at the EPA Region III address stated above.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Eileen M. Glen at the Region III address stated above or call 215/597-8187.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 107(d)(1) of the Clean Air Act (Act) requires the States to submit to the Administrator a list identifying all air quality control areas, or portions thereof, that have not attained the National Ambient Air Quality Standards. The Act further requires that the Administrator promulgate this list, with such modifications as he deems necessary, as required by section 107(d)(2) of the Act. On March 3, 1978, the Administrator promulgated nonattainment designations for the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for Sulfur Dioxide (SO_2), 43 FR 8962. These designations were effective immediately and public comment was solicited. On September 12, 1978, in response to the comments received, the Administrator revised and amended some of the original designations, 43 FR 40513. The Act also provides that a State, from time to time, may review and revise its designations lists and submit these revisions to the Administrator for promulgation (Section 107(d)(5) of the Act). The criteria and policy guidelines governing these revisions and the Administrator's review of them are the same that were used in the original designations and which are summarized in the **Federal Register** on March 3, 1978, 43 FR 8962; September 11, 1978, 43 FR 40412; and September 12, 1978, 43 FR 40502. The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has revised its designations list and, on December 10, 1982, submitted these revisions to EPA.

Proposed SO_2 Redesignation

The Commonwealth of Pennsylvania has revised the SO_2 designations for the areas cited below from "Does not meet primary standards" to "Better Than National Standards".

Nonattainment Area and Localities Included

V. Southwest Pennsylvania Intrastate AQCR: (D) Armstrong County—Madison, Mahoning, Boggs, Washington, and Pine Townships

The nonattainment designation was based on a modeling study done for the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Resources (DER) which showed significant violations of the annual, 24-hour and 3-hour SO_2 NAAQS in the area and that nonattainment was caused primarily by SO_2 emissions from

the West Penn Power Company (WPPC) Armstrong Power Plant.

In order to develop an adequate attainment plan, WPPC conducted a fluid modeling (wind tunnel) study in accordance with EPA's "good engineering practice" (GEP) stack height regulations proposed on January 12, 1979 (44 FR 2608), to determine the GEP height for the stack at the Armstrong plant. A dispersion modeling study was conducted in accordance with EPA's dispersion modeling guidelines (Guidelines on Air Quality Models, EPA–450/2–78–027, OAQPS No. 1.2 080, April 1978), to determine the appropriate emission limits. These two studies demonstrated that the SO_2 NAAQS will be met if the stack is raised to a GEP height of 307 meters and the plant meets the emission limits set forth in DER regulations, 25 Pa. Code § 123.22 which are:

(1) 4.8 lbs. $SO_2/10^6$ Btu daily maximum not to be exceeded at any time.

(2) 4.0 lbs. $SO_2/10^6$ Btu daily average not to be exceeded more than two days in any running 30-day period, and;

(3) 3.7 lbs. $SO_2/10^6$ Btu 30-day running average not to be exceeded at any time.

A more extensive discussion of these modeling studies and EPA's review was presented in EPA's proposed rulemaking of January 28, 1981 (46 FR 9128), and the correction notice of February 20, 1981 (46 FR 13242). There are no approved ambient monitors in this area. However, EPA believes that the modeling data provide sufficient demonstration of attainment.

EPA approved the consent order and agreement between DER and WPPC incorporating interim emission limits and a construction schedule for the modification of the stack on August 28, 1981 (42 FR 43423).

On December 1, 1982, WPPC notified DER that the new GEP stack was on line and that the Armstrong power plant was now in full compliance. As a result of this action, on December 10, 1982, DER notified us that the terms of the consent order had been fully satisfied and that this area was now deemed to be in "attainment".

Conclusion

EPA concurs with the Commonwealth's finding and is today proposing to approve the redesignation.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of Section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Under 5 U.S.C. Section 605(b), The Regulatory Flexibility Act, I certify that this redesignation does not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. (See 46 FR 8709.)

(42 U.S.C. 7401–7642)

Dated: April 28, 1983.

Peter N. Bibko,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 83–17493 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

---

40 CFR PART 145

[OW–FRL–2390–4]

Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission Underground Injection Control Primacy Application

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Public Comment Period and of Public Hearing.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce that: (1) The Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has received a complete application from the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission requesting primary enforcement responsibility for the Underground Injection Control (UIC) Program; (2) the application is now available for inspection and copying; (3) public comments are requested; and (4) a public hearing will be held.

The proposed comment period will provide EPA the breadth of information and public opinion necessary to approve, disapprove, or approve in part and disapprove in part the application of the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission to regulate Class II oil and natural gas related injection wells.

DATES: Requests to present oral testimony should be filed by August 9, 1983. The public hearing will be held on August 11, 1983, beginning at 10:00 a.m. Written comments must be received by August 18, 1983. The public comment period closes on August 18, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Comments and requests to testify should be mailed to Shirley Augurson, Groundwater Protection Section, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75270. Copies of the application and pertinent materials are available between 8:30 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, at the following locations:

Environmental Protection Agency,
Region VI,
Information Center, 28th Floor,
1201 Elm Street,
Dallas, Texas 75270,
PH: (214) 767–7341
Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission,
314 East Oak Street,
El Dorado, Arkansas 71730,
PH: (501) 862–4965.

The Hearing will be held at the King's Inn Motel, Convention Center, Highways U.S. 82 and 167 By-Pass, El Dorado, Arkansas.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Shirley Augurson, Groundwater Protection Section, Environmental Protection Agency, Region VI, 1201 Elm Street, Dallas, Texas 75270. (214) 767–9903.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This application from the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission is for the regulation of all Class II oil and natural gas related injection wells in the State.

Class II injection wells include those which inject fluids: (1) which have been brought to the surface in connection with conventional oil and natural gas production and are disposed of through such injections; (2) for enhanced recovery of oil or natural gas (i.e. water flooding); and (3) for storage of hydrocarbons which are liquid at standard pressure and temperature. There are approximately 1,500 Class II injection wells in the State of Arkansas.

This application includes a description of the State Underground Injection Control Program, copies of all applicable regulations and forms, a statement of legal authority, and the memorandum of agreement between the Arkansas Oil and Gas Commission (AOGC), the Arkansas Department of Pollution Control and Ecology (ADPCE), and the Region VI office of the Environmental Protection Agency. The ADPCE is the lead agency for the Arkansas UIC program and the sole recipient of Federal UIC grant funds. The activities of reporting and well inventory in the Class II program will be accomplished by the ADPCE. A Memorandum of Understanding between the AOGC and the ADPCE describes each agency's responsibilities and is a pertinent part of this application.

In this application, the AOGC is proposing to exempt portions of the Nacatoch, Tokio, and Trinity aquifers from protection under the provisions of § 146.04 of the EPA regulations. This exemption allows continued enhanced recovery operations in freshwater zones.

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 145

Hazardous materials, Indians—lands, Reporting and record keeping requirements, Waste treatment and disposal, Water pollution control, Water supply, Intergovernmental relations, Penalties, Confidential business information.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Rebecca W. Hanmer,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Water.

[FR Doc. 83-17497 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

40 CFR Part 180

[PP 6E1837/6E1842/P298; PH-FRL 2390-7]

Benomyl; Proposed Tolerances

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This document proposes that tolerances be established for the combined residues of the fungicide benomyl and its metabolities in or on the raw agricultural commodities currants and papayas. This proposed regulation to establish maximum permissible levels for residues of the fungicide in or on the commodities was submitted in petitions by the Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4).

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Emergency Response and Minor Use Section, Registration Division (TS-767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 716B. CM #2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Donald Stubbs (703-557-1192) at the above address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Interregional Research Project No. 4 (IR-4), New Jersey Agricultural Experiment Station, P.O. Box 231, Rutgers University, New Brunswick, NJ 08903, has submitted pesticide petitions 6E1837 and 6E1842 to EPA on behalf of the IR-4 Technical Committee and the Agricultural Experiment Stations of Oregon and Washington (PP 6E1837) and Florida (PP 6E1842).

These petitions requested that the Administrator, pursuant to section 408(e) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act, propose the establishment of tolerances for the combined residues of the fungicide benomyl (methyl 1-[butylcarbamoyl]-2-benzimidazolecarbamate) and its metabolites containing the benzimidazole moiety (calculated as benomyl) in or on the raw agricultural commodities currants at 7 ppm (6E1837) and papayas at 3 ppm (6E1842).

A comprehensive review of the data available for the chemical was conducted in connection with the rebuttable presumption against registration (RPAR) for benomyl which was published in the **Federal Register** of December 6, 1977 (42 FR 61788).

This presumption was based on information indicating that benomyl posed the risks of mutagenicity (point mutation and non-disjunction), spermatogenic depression and teratogenic effects, acute toxicity to aquatic organisms, and significant population reduction in nontarget organisms. On August 30, 1979 (44 FR 51166), the Agency published a Preliminary Notice of Determination, which concluded that benomyl continued to pose the risks noted above with the exception of point mutations and signficiant population reductions in nontarget organisms. In this Notice and the accompanying Position Document 2/3, the Agency weighed the risks and benefits of use together, and determined that certain modifications to the terms an conditions of use were necessary to reduce the risks of use to applicators.

Subsequent to these findings, data have been made available indicating that benomyl is oncogenic and additional teratogenic tests have been submitted. A re-review of the presently registered and proposed uses of benomyl in light of the potential oncogenic and teratogenic adverse effects has been completed. The Agency's position concerning the RPAR issues with benomyl was published in the **Federal Register** of October 20, 1982 (47 FR 46747), in the Notice of Determination Concluding the Rebuttable Presumption Against Registration for benomyl.

The data submitted in the petitions and other relevant material have been evaluated. The pesticide is considered useful for the purposes for which the tolerances are sought. The toxicological data considered in support of the proposed tolerances were a 2-year dog feeding study with a non-observed-effect level (NOEL) of 500 ppm (12.5 mg/kg bw/day); a 2-year rat feeding study with a NOEL of 2,500 ppm (125 mg/kg bw/day); a 3-generation rat reproduction study with no effect on reproductive performance up to 100 ppm (5.0 mg/kg bw/day); and two teratology studies (rat and rabbit, dietary dosing), negative for teratogenic effects on rats at 129 mg/kg and on rabbits at 500 ppm (15 mg/kg bw/day).

The acceptable daily intake (ADI), based on the 3-generation rat reproduction study (NOEL of 100 ppm, or 5.0 mg/kg/day) and using a 100-fold safety factor, is calculated to be 0.05 mg/kg of body weight (bw)/day. The maximum permitted intake (MPI) for a 60-kg human is calculated to be 3.0 mg/day. The theoretical maximum residue contribution (TMRC) from established and pending tolerances (excluding current actions) for a 1.5-kg daily diet is calculated to be 2.1654 mg/day.

The action on currants adds 0.00315 mg/day (1.5 kg) to the TMRC, or an increment of 0.15 percent; papayas adds 0.00135 mg/day to the TMRC, or 0.06 percent.

Margins of safety (MOS) for teratogenicity risks resulting from ingestion of benomyl-treated currants, calculated against a provisional NOEL of 30 mg/kg (gavage study), range from 2,000 for dried currants to 13,043 for currant jelly. The MOS for teratogenic risks resulting from ingestion of benomy-treated papayas is 4,286.

The anticipated lifetime cancer risk from all existing and approved tolerances is 7.5×10^{-5}. The incremental increase in lifetime cancer risk due to the currant and papaya uses is expected to be negligible.

The nature of the residue is adequately understood and adequate analytical methodology, fluorometric spectrometry or liquid chromatography employing an ulta-violet detector, is available for enforcement purposes. Secondary residues are not expected in meat or milk from the uses on currants or papayas since these items are not used as animal feeds. Continued registration of this chemical is subject to the requirements of the determination concluding the rebuttable presumption against registration for benomyl.

Based on the above information considered by the agency, the tolerances established by amending 40 CFR 180.294 would protect the public health. It is proposed, therefore, that the tolerance be established as set forth below.

Any person who has registered or submitted an application for registration of a pesticide, under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA) as amended, which contains any of the ingredients listed herein, may request within 30 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register** that this rulemaking proposal be referred to an Advisory Committee in accordance with section 408(e) of the Federal food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the proposed regulation. Comments must bear a notation indicating the document control number, [PP 6E1837/6E1842/P298]. All written comments filed in response to these petitions will be available in the emergency response and Minor Use Section, Registration Division, at the address given above from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 P.m., Monday through Friday, except legal holidays.

The Office of Management and Budget has exempted this rule from the requirements of section 3 of Executive Order 12291.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (Pub. L. 96–534, 94 Stat. 1164, 5 U.S.C. 601–612), the Administrator has determined that regulations establishing new tolerances or raising tolerance levels or establishing exemptions from tolerance requirements do not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. A certification statement to this effect was published in the **Federal Register** of May 4, 1981 (46 FR 24950).

(Sec. 408(e), 68 Stat. 514 (21 U.S.C. 346a(e)))

List of Subjects in 40 CFR Part 180

Administrative practice and procedures, Agricultural commodities, Pesticides and pests.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

PART 180—[AMENDED]

Therefore, it is proposed that 40 CFR 180.294 be amended by adding, and alphabetically inserting, the raw agricultural commodities currants and papayas to read as follows:

§ 180.294 Benomyl; tolerances for residues.

* * * * * *

Commodities	Parts per million
* * * * *	
Currants	7.0
* * * * *	
Papayas	3.0
* * * * *	

[FR Doc. 83-17492 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Reclamation

43 CFR Part 422

Procedures for the Identification and Administration of Cultural Resources

AGENCY: Bureau of Reclamation, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed revocation of rule.

SUMMARY: Part 422 was issued to establish policies and procedures to meet the Bureau of Reclamation's responsibilities in the identification, protection, preservation, and maintenance of cultural resources affected by Reclamation actions or on Reclamation lands. These policies and procedures relate to internal Reclamation procedures, impose no requirement on the general public and have, therefore, been replaced by an internal directive.

DATE: Comments must be received by July 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Ward Weakly, Archeologist, Office of Environmental Affairs, Engineering and Research Center, Bureau of Reclamation, Denver, Colorado (303) 234–2050 or Lois Thompson, Office of Environmental Affairs, Washington, D.C. (202) 343–2840.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On October 10, 1978 (43 FR 46540), the Bureau of Reclamation issued regulations for the identification and protection of cultural resources. These procedures are applicable only to reclamation project planning, construction, operation and maintenance. They do not affect the general public. Consequently, 43 CFR Part 422 is being revoked and replaced by a Bureau directive, Reclamation Instructions, Series 350 General Instructions, Part 376 Environmental Quality—Preservation and Enhancement, Chapter II Identification and Administration of Cultural Resources. Copies are available from the Bureau of Reclamation, Office of Environmental Affairs, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (303) 234–2050, and Office of Environmental Affairs, Washington, D.C. (202) 343–2840. The Bureau is taking this action in response to the Department's commitment to eliminate unnecessary rules from the Code of Federal Regulations and to consolidate internal policy and procedures into Reclamation Instructions.

The Department of the Interior has determined that this document is not a major rule under Executive Order 12291 and certifies that this document will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.). This rule also has no effect on the general public, therefore, no comment period has been designated. This rule does not contain information collection requirements which require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.

The primary authors of this document are Dr. Ward Weakly, Office of Environmental Affairs, Engineering and Research Center, Denver, Colorado (303) 234–2050, and Lois Thompson, Office of Environmental Affairs, Washington, D.C. (202) 343–2840.

PART 422—[REMOVED AND RESERVED]

Under the authority of the Secretary of the Interior contained in 43 U.S.C. 373, 43 CFR Part 422 is hereby removed and reserved.

Dated: May 9, 1983.

Garrey E. Carruthers,

Assistant Secretary, Land and Water Resources.

[FR Doc. 83-17509 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-09-M

Bureau of Land Management

43 CFR Part 5400

Advertised Sales; General

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This proposed rulemaking is intended to enable the Bureau of Land Management to offer timber for competitive sale in cases where the Bureau has not acquired legal access. Changing the policy of guaranteeing access found in Section 5401.0–6(a) of the Code of Federal Regulations, will save the general public the direct costs associated with access acquisition. Costs of acquiring access will then be properly the burden of firms which successfully bid upon the timber offered for sale.

DATE: Comments by July 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Send comments to: Director (140), Bureau of Land Management, 1800 "C" Streets, NW., Washington, D.C. 20042.

Comments will be available for public review in Room 5555 of the above address from 7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m. on regular work days.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Debbie Pietrzak, Division of Forestry (203), Bureau of Land Management, 18th and "C" Streets, NW., Washigton, D.C. 20042, (202) 653–8867.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The proposed regulation is intended to facilitate Bureau of Land Management timber sales by permitting the authorized officer to offer Federal

timber for competitive sale without acquiring access to the timber. Where such an offering is made, acquisition of access would be the responsibility of the individual or firm which receives the timber sale contract. Removing the requirement that the Bureau of Land Management acquire access will reduce costs to the general public by the amount previously required to obtain access. Costs of acquiring access would be borne by the timber purchaser instead of by the Government.

The principal author of this proposed rulemaking is Debbie Pietrzak, Division of Forestry, Bureau of Land Management, assisted by the staff of the Office of Legislation and Regulatory Management, Bureau of Land Management.

It is hereby determined that this document is not a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment and that no detailed statement pursuant to section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 4332(2)(c)) is required.

The Department of the Interior has determined that this document is not a major rule under Executive Order 12291. The Department has further determined that the proposed regulation will not have a significant economic effect on a substantial number of small entities under the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601 et seq.).

This rule does not contain information collection requirements which require approval by the Office of Management and Budget under 44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*

List of Subjects in 43 CFR Part 5400

Administrative practice and procedure, Forest and forest products, Public lands, Reporting requirements.

PART 5400—[AMENDED]

Under the authority of the Act of August 28, 1937 (43 U.S.C. 1181(a)) and the Act of July 31, 1947 (30 U.S.C. 601 et seq.), Subpart 5401 of Group 5400, Subchapter E, Chapter II, Title 43 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended as follows:

§ 5401.0–6 [Amended]

1. Section 5401.0–6(a) is amended by removing the second sentence in its entirety.

Garrey E. Carruthers,

Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 83–17434 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–84–M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

47 CFR Ch. I

[CC Docket No. 81–893; FCC 83–181]

Procedures for Implementing the Detariffing of Customer Premises Equipment and Enhanced Services (Second Computer Inquiry)

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Commission has issued a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking that addresses procedures for implementing the detariffing of customer premises telephone equipment, which is installed or in inventory, in accordance with the Rules of the Commission. The proposed rulemaking is necessary as a further step in carrying out the policies of the Commission regarding the deregulation of customer premises equipment and enhanced telecommunications services. The intended effect of the proposed rulemaking is to propose procedures under which all the embedded customer premises equipment owned by the Bell Operating Companies will be detariffed and transferred to American Bell Inc. Procedures also are proposed for the detariffing of embedded customer premises equipment held by the independent telephone companies, the international record carriers, and the Western Union Telegraph Co. in a manner which is intended to minimize administrative costs and protect subscribers.

DATES:

Comments are due not later than August 1, 1983.

Reply comments are due not later than August 22, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John Cimko, Jr., Common Carrier Bureau, (202) 632–9342.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Adopted: April 27, 1983.
Released: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission: Commissioner Fogarty not participating; Commissioner Jones absent; Commissioner Dawson, Rivera and Sharp concurring in the result.

In the matter of procedures for implementing the detariffing of customer premises equipment and enhanced services (Second Computer Inquiry) CC Docket No. 81–893.

I. Introduction

A. Background

1. In *Second Computer Inquiry*,[1] this Commission decided in principle to detariff embedded customer premises equipment (CPE) and reserved resolution of the details of such detariffing to other proceedings.[2] Underlying our decision to detariff CPE was our recognition that competitive market forces would promote the widespread availability of affordable CPE more effectively than the traditional practice under which common carriers provided CPE pursuant to rate-of-return regulation. In order to achieve a competitive marketplace, we required carriers to offer detariffed CPE separate and apart from regulated operations. For the Bell System to participate in CPE markets, we imposed the additional requirement that the American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T) provide CPE through a separate subsidiary.[3]

2. We recognized that the issues relating to the removal of costs associated with existing in-place CPE were substantially more difficult to revolve than those relating to new CPE offerings. Thus, we created a "bifurcated" transition plan separating

[1] Amendment of § 64.702 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations (Second Computer Inquiry), 77 FCC 2d 384 (Final Decision), *reconsideration*, 84 FCC 2d 50 (1980) (Reconsideration Order), *further reconsideration*, 88 FCC 2d 512 (1981) (Further Reconsideration Order), *aff'd sub nom.* CCIA v. FCC, 693 F.2d 198 (D.C. Cir. 1982), *cert denied*, 51 U.S.L.W. 3625 (U.S. May 16, 1983) (Nos. 82–1331 & 82–1352).

[2] Customer premises equipment includes all equipment provided by common carriers and located on customer premises except overvoltage protection equipment, inside wiring, coin-operated or pay telephones, and multiplexing equipment used to deliver multiple channels to the customer. Reconsideration Order, 84 FCC 2d at 61 n.10.

[3] Under the terms of the Modification of Final Judgment (MFJ) entered in United States v. American Telephone & Telegraph Co., 552 F. Supp. 131 (D.D.C. 1982), *aff'd sub nom.* Maryland v. United States, 51 U.S.L.W. 3628 (U.S. Feb. 28, 1983) (No. 82–952) (hereinafter United States v. AT&T), AT&T must divest itself of all the Bell Operating Companies (BOCs) except Cincinnati Bell, Inc. (CBI) and Southern New England Telephone Company (SNET). Because of the changed circumstances created by the MFJ we recently concluded that there is no longer a need to require CBI and SNET to establish separate subsidiaries for providing CPE. Motion of Cincinnati Bell Inc. for Declaratory Ruling To Remove Uncertainty of Its Status Under the Commission Decisions in the Second Computer Inquiry, Docket No. 20828, Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 83–74 (released Feb. 25, 1983). The MFJ has also caused us to visit the question of whether, after divestiture, there will be a need to require the BOCs to provide CPE only through separate subsidiaries. Policy and Rules Concerning the Furnishing of Customer Communications Services by the Bell Operating Companies, CC Docket No. 83–115, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 83–71 (released Mar. 4, 1983).

CPE into two categories, new and embedded. New CPE, which includes all CPE acquired by a carrier or manufactured by an affiliated entity after January 1, 1983, may not be offered under tariff. Carriers could continue to offer embedded CPE, which is defined as "equipment or inventory which is tariffed or otherwise subject to the jurisdictional separations process as of [January 1, 1983]", under tariff during a transition period until the manner of detariffing the embedded equipment is determined in this proceeding. *Further Reconsideration Order*, 88 FCC 2d at 526. The length of any transition period during which embedded CPE would continue to be offered under tariff was one of the issues also to be addressed in this proceeding. *Reconsideration Order*, 84 FCC 2d at 67. This *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* (hereinafter *Notice)* will address these issues as they relate to AT&T, the independent telephone companies, the international record carriers (IRCs), and the Western Union Telegraph Company (Western Union).[4]

3. The bifurcated approach to detariffing CPE was determined to be necessary because several issues of considerable difficulty had to be resolved with respect to the embedded base, whereas the same problems were either non-existent or of considerably less importance for new CPE. First, we sought to minimize possible dislocations to existing customers which could flow from our detariffing decision. *Further Reconsideration Order*, 88 FCC 2d at 514. Second, questions relating to the valuation of embedded CPE as well as the accounting mechanisms required to remove the embedded CPE investment from regulated books of account had to be revolved. *Id.* at 520–21. Third, questions relating to the effect upon competition in the CPE market caused by the transfer of AT&T's embedded CPE to a competitive venture needed to be resolved. *Id.* at 524. It is these questions which are addressed in this proceeding.

B. Notice of Inquiry

4. We began this proceeding through the release of a *Notice of Inquiry* on April 13, 1982. *Procedures for Implementing the Detariffing of Customer Premises Equipment and Enhanced Services (Second Computer Inquiry)*, CC Docket No. 81–893, 89 FCC 2d 694 (1982) (hereinafter *Notice of Inquiry).* The *Notice of Inquiry* requested interested parties to submit comments "address[ing] 'issues of capital recovery and asset valuation, alternative mechanisms by which transition to an unregulated CPE environment may be acheived, and the appropriate time period for removal of embedded CPE investment from separations and a carrier's rate base' "*Id.* at 695 (quoting *Reconsideration Order*, 84 FCC 2d at 69). The *Notice of Inquiry* described four options which could be used separately or in combination to remove embedded CPE from a carrier's rate base:

(1) The sale of the CPE to the customer using it.

(2) The transfer of CPE to the carrier's untariffed service or in the case of AT&T, its sale to a separate subsidiary.

(3) The sale of CPE to a third party.

(4) Retention of the equipment in tariffed service until it is fully retired.

Id. at 696–97. The Notice of Inquiry sought comments regarding how these options could most effectively be used.

5. We also addressed the issue of asset valuation. We tentatively concluded that any sale of transfer of this embedded CEP should be at the price equal to its "economic value," which we defined to be "the maximum amount the carrier would be willing to pay for that equipment if, instead of owning it, the carrier had the opportunity to buy it." *Id.* at 697. We also recognized the need to adopt either a practical means of measuring or a readily measurable surrogate for economic value, since no workable market exists for the sale of large quantities of embedded CPE. We sought comments addressing the relative merits of four different approaches to meeting this need:

(1) Imitating the process a firm would pursue in its capital budgeting process to estimate the economic value.

(2) Using net book value as a proxy for economic value.

(3) Relying on asset appraisal by independent appraisers.

(4) Conducting actions.

Id. at 698–99. In addition, we solicited comments regarding the treatment under the Uniform System of accounts of the transfer of embedded CPE out of regulated service. Related to that question is the problem of accounting for any gain or loss on the sale of enbedded CPE in case later rate based adjustments become necessary due to the gain or loss. In addition, we requested comments with respect to methods by which carriers subject to our juridiction could account separately for revenues and costs associated with the provision of deregulated services and CPE.

C. Comments by Interested Parties

6. During the established pleading cycle which ended on July 9, 1982, parties filed comments and replies.[5] On August 24, 1982, however, the United States District Court for the District of Columbia (hereinafter District Court) entered the MFJ in *United States v. AT&T.* The MFJ requires that the divested BOCs transfer all their enbedded CPE, as well as the assets and personnel relied upon the provide its installation and maintenence, to AT&T not later than Febuary 24, 1984.[6] In addition, the MFJ would permit the divested BOCs to enter the CPE market as retailers competing directly against their former AT&T affiliate, American Bell, Inc. (ABI). Responding to these new developments, AT&T Supplementary Comments in this proceeding on October 28, 1982, seeking the detariffing of all embedded CPE not later than January 1, 1984, the anticipated date of divestiture, and presenting a plan which called for a customer sales opting for

[4] It should be noted that this proceeding does not apply to embedded CPE which is subject to action taken by the Commission in American Telephone & Telegraph Co., Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 82–580 (released Jan. 25, 1983), which granted waviers from Second Computer Inquiry requirements consistent with the provisions of the Telecommunications for the Disabled Act of 1982, Pub. L. 97–140.

[5] Those filing comments included: Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee (Ad Hoc); American Petroleum Institute; AT&T California Public Utility Commission (California); Centel Corporation (Centel); Charles River Associates, Inc. (CRA); Citizens of the State of Florida; Computer and Business Equipment Manufacturers Association (CBEMA); Continental Telecom, Inc. (Continental); District of Columbia Public Service Commission; Federal Executive Agencies (FEA); Florida Public Service Commission (Florida); General Dynamics; General Telephone and Electronics (GTE); Independent Data Communications Manufacturers Association (IDCMA); Kansas Corporation Commission (Kansas); Michigan Public Service Commission Staff (Michigan); National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC); North American Telephone Association (NATA); New York State Department of Public Service (NewYork); North Dakota Public Service Commission (North Dakota); Rochester Telephone Company (Rochester); Satellite Business Systems (SBS); SNET; Southern Pacific Communication Company (SPC); United States Independent Telephone Association (USITA); United Telephone System Inc. (United); Virginia State Corporation Commission (Virginia); and Wisconsin Public Service Commission (Wisconsin). CBI and U.S. Telephone & Telegraph Corporation indicated that they had no comment at this stage of the proceeding. Organizations filing reply comments included: Ad Hoc; AT&T; California; Centel; CBEMA; Continental; FEA General Dynamics; GTE; IDCMA; NATA; Rural Telephone Coalition (RTC); SNET; SPC; and United. Summaries of these comments and replies appear in Appendix A. CRA submitted a motion to accept late-filed comments and SPC submitted a motion to accept late-filed reply comments. These motions are hereby granted and the late-filed comments of SPC are also hereby accepted.

[6] AT&T has recommended to the District Court that divestiture take place effective January 1, 1984. AT&T Plan of Reorganization at 5, United States v. AT&T (filed Dec. 16, 1982).

some embedded CPE, with the remaining embedded plant passing to ABI at adjusted net book value.[7]

7. We invited comment on AT&T's supplementary filing through a Public Notice issued November 2, 1982. Eighteen organizations filed comments on the AT&T proposal, and eight filed replies.[8] On March 29, 1983, AT&T filed Further Supplementary Comments containing additional proposals for the detariffing and transfer of Bell System embedded CPE by the time divestiture occurs. The new proposals represent an attempt to address many of the concerns raised in the last round of comments.

II. Summary of AT&T Proposal

8. Both the comments responding to the *Notice of Inquiry* and those responding to AT&T's Supplementary Comments have focused upon certain issues relating to the detariffing options and methods of asset valuation presented in the *Notice of Inquiry* and developed by AT&T. To provide a framework for shaping our discussion of these issues, we shall begin with a summary of the AT&T proposal which reflects how the plan has evolved in response to the concerns raised by parties' comments.

9. The AT&T proposal contained in its Supplementary Comments featured three essential components. First, embedded CPE would be transferred to ABI, AT&T's affiliate for offering unregulated products and services,[9] at adjusted net book value. Second, ABI would offer this CPE for sale or lease on a detariffed basis. The third facet of the AT&T proposal was a "price predictability program" for embedded CPE sales and leases. Under this program ABI would limit residential CPE[10] price increases to the percentage increase in the Consumer Price Index (CPI). For those customers who continue to lease single-line CPE, AT&T would set a maximum charge to limit increases and it stated that any upward adjustments toward this level would be at least nine months apart. To allow customers time to make a reasoned decision, AT&T proposed to announce the details of these price predictability plans within three months of release of an order by the Commission adopting its proposal and to file a supplement to its capitalization plan to cover the transferred CPE, with detariffing to begin in July 1983 and end by January 1, 1984.

10. Under the original proposal, ABI would have adopted an 18-month price freeze for business CPE. It would have continued to honor outstanding contractual arrangements for business CPE, with gradual price adjustments only for those non-contractual customers paying amounts different from the national level which the plan would establish for business CPE. It also promised maintenance support for all business CPE and prior notice of the phase-out of any product. For any embedded business equipment of a type also to be sold new by ABI, AT&T proposed a purchase option after this 18-month period.

11. In its Reply Comments, AT&T modified its original plan in certain respects. AT&T stated that lease prices set for all PBX, key, and single-line CPE would not exceed the highest tariff price previously approved by a state commission. AT&T Reply Comments at 23. AT&T also stated that evey effort would be made to provide as much notice as possible concerning product line phase-out, and expressed its intent to provide one-year advance notice for business systems whenever possible.

12. On March 29, 1983, AT&T submitted Further Supplementary Comments which modified and expanded its proposal. Major features of AT&T's modified proposal include:

(1) A $12 million nationwide advertising campaign, beginning in July 1983, to encourage residence customers to make an informed choice between purchasing embedded single-line CPE and continuing on a monthly charge basis.

(2) Continuation by ABI of the single-line sale plan after detariffing, with prices guaranteed not to exceed specified maxima for at least two years.

(3) Continuation by ABI for at least two years after detariffing of a monthly charge option at specified-prices for embedded residence CPE.

(4) Purchase options covering a significantly broader range of embedded multiline CPE than had already been proposed, including the offering for sale, at the time of detariffing, of all embedded multiline CPE of a type also offered for sale new by ABI.

(5) Independent professional appraisal of supporting assets (*e.g.*, land and buildings)[11] to be transferred from BOCs to ABI.

13. The purchase prices for the most common residential CPE would be based on net book value, tax effects, and transaction costs [12] and at detariffing would be no higher than:

	Rotary	Touch-tone
Standard (Desk) and Wall)	$19.95	$41.95
Princess (Desk)	39.95	49.95
Trimline (Desk) and Wall)	44.95	54.95

AT&T proposed that these maximum prices would remain in effect for at least two years after detariffing. Prices for refurbished sets may be higher than the prices for in-place equipment. It is not clear whether party-line equipment would be include in the sales program although it is our understanding that party-line equipment has been included in some of the state-tariffed sales programs currently in effect. It is our tentative view that the sale should include party-line equipment.

[7] AT&T defines adjusted net book value as original cost less reserve for depreciation, less deferred taxes, less unamortized investment tax credits, plus the deferred income tax on restored Western Electric profits. For a discussion of our concerns regarding this definitions, see paras. 51–59, *infra*.

[8] Comments were filed by: Centel; CBEMA; California; FEA; California Hotel and Motel Association; GTE; IDCMA; International Communications Association (ICA); Kansas; Michigan; New York; NATA; RTC; SPC; United Technologies Communications Company (UTCC); United; USITA; and Utilities Telecommunications Council (UTC). Replies were filed by: AT&T; CBEMA; ICA; NARUC; SPC; UTCC, UTC; and Consumers Union of the United States, Inc. (Consumers Union). Summaries of these comments and replies appear in Appendix B. New York submitted a motion to accept late-filed comments. This motion is hereby granted, and the late-filed comments of Consumers Union are also hereby accepted. The Supplementary Comments and Further Supplementary Comments submitted by AT&T are hereby accepted pursuant to Section 1.415(d) of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.415(d).

[9] The Commission already has approved the capitalization of ABI to provide enhanced services, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., 90 FCC 2d 404 (1982), and new CPE, American Telephone & Telegraph Co., 91 FCC 2d 578 (1982). Presently pending before the Commission is a capitalization plan filed by AT&T on January 21, 1983, for the provision of embedded CPE. Action on this plan will be held in abeyance pending final action in this proceeding.

[10] AT&T's proposal use three different terms to refer to embedded CPE which is to be offered for sale through its national sales program. While these terms, "single-line CPE," "residence CPE," and "consumer CPE," appear to be synonymous they do not correlate with the investment items list for Account 231, "Station Apparatus." In order to clarify the definition and the magnitude of the investment being offered for sale, we expect AT&T in its comments to apprise the Commission of the definition and dollar investment by product line for each of these terms, and the percentage of each to the total investment in Account 231. We also must be informed regarding the applicable amounts of related deferred taxes and investment tax credits by these product lines.

[11] These supporting assets also will include all supporting assets transferred from a BOC rate base pursuant to ABI capitalization plan supplements submitted to the Commission on July 1, 1982, September 30, 1982, and January 21, 1983.

[12] The sales prices under AT&T's proposed sales program include transaction costs which vary significantly by product line. In tis comments, AT&T should advise us of the method used to estimate these transaction costs by product line.

14. For those residential customers choosing to continue their current lease option, AT&T proposed a period of at least two years during which they may lease in-place residential phones at rates comparable to those they now pay. The proposed monthly charges to be imposed upon detariffing, under AT&T's proposal, are:

	Rotary	Touch-tone
Standard (Desk) and Wall)	$1.50	$2.85
Princess (Desk)	3.15	4.05
Trimline (Desk) and Wall)	3.45	4.80

The national price for leasing a standard rotary phone would be fixed during the first two years of detariffing. Customers paying less than this rate for their rotary telephone would face rate increases during this period, but their monthly rate would not rise more than fifty cents above its current level in 1984. In jurisdictions in which the current lease rate for standard rotary phones exceeds $1.50, the plan would reduce the rate to $1.50. Increases in other lease charges would not be greater than the increase in the CPI during this period. In no case would ABI's charges during 1984 for in-place residential CPE be more than $1.00 higher than the rate currently in effect or filed in a given jurisdiction.

15. AT&T also expanded the details and scope of the multiline CPE sales program. AT&T identified embedded equipment which the Supplementary Comment already has committed ABI to offer for sale after the 18-month period following detariffing.[13] AT&T also represented that almost all types of equipment in ABI's current product line which also are in the embedded base (*e.g.*, Dimension PBXs and Horizon Communications Systems) would be made available for sale. Under the modified plan, the embedded CPE for all these types would be made available for purchase at detariffing. In its description of the expanded sales option for business CPE, AT&T indicated its intent to make most other embedded multiline CPE transferred to ABI available for sale, if feasible. This include the sale of embedded cord boards (types 556 and 557) used by telephone answering services and alarm services as soon as detariffing occurs. AT&T also represented that, if feasible, almost all other embedded electromechanical CPE would be offered for purchase by January 1, 1986.[14] Criteria upon which AT&T would rely in determining whether to begin a sales program are:

(1) Evidence of sufficient customer interest to justify the cost of sales.[15]

(2) Assurance of a supply of parts.

(3) More timely depreciation to allow a price which recovers capital and is agreeable to customers.

(4) A means of informing customers about leased purchase option.

16. AT&T also proposed to hire independent professional appraisers to appraise supporting assets, such as land and buildings. See n.11, *supra*. This appraisal would be verified by a scientific sample by a second firm and meaningful differences would be subjected to a third appraisal with the average result being used. Assets never in the rate base and CPE in Account 231 or 234 would not be subject to this appraisal process. Costs of such appraisals would be included in Account 171, "Depreciation Reserve." AT&T, however, maintained that it would reserve the right to rerconsider its proposal in light of such appraisal. AT&T Further supplementary Comments at 18.

III. Discussion

17. Our purpose in this proceeding is to devise a plan for the removal of embedded CPE from tariffed service. The accounting rules we promulgate will encompass the embedded base held by AT&T, the independent telephone companies,[16] the IRCs, and Western Union. In this part, we will discuss our tentative proposals and we will invite comments from interested parties regarding the adequacy of these proposals. We first present our views regarding the general options we intend to pursue in deregulation embedded CPE, based upon the options we outlined in the *Notice of Inquiry*. Next, we discuss the regulatory concerns and objectives guiding us in this proceeding, and the general criteria which we tentatively consider to be a necessary part of any detariffing and transfer plan sanctioned by thisCommission. We then turn to a discussion of the issues with which parties have been most concerned with regard to AT&T's initial proposal, including consumer options before deregulation, detariffing, sale and lease pricing of the embedded plant after detariffing, mechanics of the ABI sale and lease procedures, antitrust issues, and asset valuation. Next, we address several accounting problems which must be resolved in this proceeding, including the treatment of embedded CPE sold under regulation and accounting procedures for the independent telephone companies. We also present for comment the issue of whether intrasystem wiring should be treated as part of the embedded CPE plant. We than present our tentative proposals regarding detariffing the independents's embedded base. Finally, we discuss our tentative proposals for detariffing the embedded CPE of the IRCs and Western Union.

A. Tentative Selection of Options

18. As we noted at para. 4 *supra*, the *Notice of Inquiry* sougth comment regarding four proposed options for the removal of embedded CPE from regulated service. Based upon the objectives we have established in *Second Computer Inquiry* and in this proceeding, and upon our review of the comments submitted by interested parties in this proceeding, it is our tentative view that the most effective detariffing plan would combine the sale of embedded CPE in place [17] with the

[13] This equipment is as follows: certain telephone sets (500 and 2500 sets, multibutton electronic telephone sets); certain voice terminals (Com Key, Touch-A-Matic 32 automatic dialer, Speakerphone, Comm-Stor Voice Paging); certain data communications equipment (Series 100, 200, and 300 modems, Dataphone II); certain data terminal equipment (Dataspeed 40, printers, 43 Teleprinter, Dataspeed 4540, 1000 Teleprinter); and teleconferencing equipment.

[14] Among the exceptions to this availability for purchase is 1A Key equipment. AT&T has indicated that it does not expect that this equipment "will . . . meet the criteria for a viable purchase option." AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 13 n.*. In its comments regarding this Notice, AT&T should advise us of the reasons for its conclusions reagarding 1A Key equipment.

[15] AT&T identifies the following as the factors which would determine the cost of sale for any specific category of embedded CPE: handling of customer inquiries; performing physical inventories; developing procedures for sale of equipment shared by two or more customers; separating and tagging equipment; establishing a parts storage and distribution system to support maintenance; producing documentation; developing maintenance plan contracts and prices; and making modifications to bring equipment into compliance with applicable regulatory codes. AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 13–15.

[16] Flor purposes of this proceeding, we include CBI and SNET among the independent telephone companies.

[17] AT&T has maintained that this Commission does not have authority under the Communications Act of 1934 to require the sale of CPE to subscribers or third parties and that, even if the Commission could claim such statutory authority, such a requirement would be an unconstitutional taking of property. AT&T Comments of 21–22, Appendix A. Several parties, in their reply comments on the Notice of Inquiry, disagreed with these contentions. *See, e.g.*, Ad Hoc Reply Comments at 21–28; CBEMA Reply Comments at 11–13, Appendix A; General Dynamics Reply Comments at 31–43; IDCMA Reply Comments at 8–17; SPC Reply Comments at 11–15. Although the fact that we are not here formulating a final plan requiring the sale of embedded CPE makes it unnecessary for us to resolve this issue at this juncture, we do observe that it is our view that the promulgation of a plan requiring carriers to provide subscribers with an option to purchase embedded equipment is well within our authority under the act and does not *per se* raise any constitutional concerns.

transfer of embedded CPE to the carrier's unregulated service, or, in the case of AT&T, transfer to ABI. In tentatively selecting these options, we recognize that the detariffing and transfer plans we adopt for the independent companies and the record carriers should be sufficiently flexible to accommodate their special circumstances. For a discussion of these circumstances, see paras. 71–79, *infra.*

1. *Advantages of Sale and Transfer Options*

19. We previously have expressed the view that the sale of embedded CPE under the auspices of the state commissions is a useful means of easing the transition to an unregulated CPE marketplace.[18] The continuation of state sales programs, together with the establishment of a sale option in this proceeding as part of a transfer plan, offers several advantages. First, the option to purchase embedded CPE increases the choices available to consumers. Second, it is our tentative view that making embedded CPE available for purchase is a sufficient mechanism for meeting our obligation to balance equitably the interest of ratepayers and carriers' investors in accordance with the tests established in *Democratic Central Committee v. Washington Metropolitan Area Transit Commission,* 485 F.2d 786 (D.C. Cir 1973), *cert. denied sub nom. D.C. Transit System v. Democratic Central Committee,* 415 U.S. 935 (1974) (hereinafter *Democratic Central Committee).*[19]

20. Third, the sale of embedded CPE, combined with the detariffing of that portion of the embedded base which remains unsold, advances our efforts to achieve the deregulatory goals established in *Second Computer Inquiry.* A fundamental objective established in that proceeding is the promotion of a competitive CPE marketplace through the elimination of rate regulation. *See, e.g., Final Decision,* 77 FCC 2d at 441. In order to achieve this objective, it is our view that the detariffing of the unsold embedded base should not be unduly delayed. Finally, transferring all embedded CPE out of the rate base earlier rather than at a later time limits any potential increased risk that ratepayers would have to bear the burden of recouping carriers' investment in CPE which is removed from service (due to obsolescence or other reasons) before it is fully depreciated. This risk could continue to increase as competition grows in the CPE market because the alternatives generated by this competition could prompt a growing number of users to purchase or lease CPE from vendors other than the carriers presently providing them with their CPE. We also note that these options of sale and detariffing received considerable support in the comments which have been submitted in this proceeding.[20]

2. *Disadvantages of Other Options*

21. The sale of embedded CPE to a third party poses several problems as a deregulatory vehicle. First, the sheer size of the embedded CPE base [21] makes it impractical to conclude that any buyer or combination of buyers could gather sufficient capital and support facilities and personnel to make such an option feasible. Second, opting for this approach would not obviate the need to address the difficult valuation issues which also come into play under Option 2.

22. Option 4, which involves retaining embedded CPE under tariff until it is fully retired, poses its own set of problems but, as we will discuss, certain considerations lend vitality to this option as a possible alternative which we do not intend to foreclose entirely at this stage of the proceeding. Turning to its disadvantages, however, we first must note that this option could force ratepayers to bear for a longer period of time the risk of costs associated with the loss of investment due to the retirement of CPE from service before it is fully depreciated. See para. 20 *supra.* Second, the continued tariffing of embedded CPE would impose other costs upon carriers, and these costs ultimately would be passed on to ratepayers. In this context, AT&T has argued that it would have to embark upon the costly undertaking of establishing a separate organization to provide tariffed, embedded CPE, and that it would have to prepare, file, and defend new embedded CPE tariffs in each state jurisdiction. AT&T Supplementary Comments at 9–10. Thus it might incur potentially avoidable costs as operations to support a dwindling stock of tariffed, embedded CPE are maintained.

23. Finally, continued tariffing until embedded CPE is retired, if considered as the exclusive option for affecting the transition to a deregulated CPE environment, cannot be viewed as a satisfactory, or even adquate, mechanism for achieving the objectives of *Second Computer Inquiry* and this proceeding. The promotion of competition in the CPE marketplace has long been a goal of this Commission. Although we do not intend to foreclose the use of this tariff option in certain circumstances which we will described, we note that it is our tentative conclusion that the continuation of rate regulation, if treated as the only transitional device for disposing of the embedded CPE base, would deflect us from the path toward competition in the CPE marketplace. The restrictions and costs which this continued tariffing would impose upon carriers would seriously hamper their abilitity to become vigorous competitors in the CPE market.

24. It is important to note, however, that the continuation of tariff regulation

---

In a similar vein, AT&T has noted that the elements of its proposed plan are "part of a broad program" and that AT&T's willingness to appraise supporting assets and to carry out its proposed sale and pricing policies "is contingent on Commission approval of the broad program." AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 4–5. We note, however, that if we decide in this proceeding to impose requirements upon carriers relating to the appraisal of assets, the sale and pricing of equipment, or other matters, we have ample authority under the Act to structure these requirements in a manner which denies carriers any discretion regarding whether they must comply with these requirements.

[18] Further Reconsideration Order, 88 FCC 2d at 524 ("The sale of embedded CPE [under state tariffs] complements federal policy to the extent that such equipment is deregulated and the costs are removed from a carrier's rate base and jurisdictional separations process."); *see* Notice of Inquiry, 80 FCC 2d at 696.

[19] For a further discussion of our views regarding the application of Democratic Central Committee to the removal of embedded CPE from carriers' rate bases, see paras. 29–33, *infra.*

[20] Several parties, in their initial comments on the Notice of Inquiry, favored various permutations of the sale option. *See e.g.,* Ad Hoc Comments at 19; California Comments at 4 (combined with detariffing embedded CPE which users do not desire to purchase or continue leasing); Continental Comments at 2 (in combination with continued tariffing); General Dynamics Comments at 21 (in combination with state tariffs in effect for eight years); IDCMA Comments at 3 (coupled with continued tariffing and sale offers to third party); Kansas Comments at 2; Michigan Comments at 2 (combined with continued tariffing); NARUC Comments at 2 (under state auspices and combined with continued tariffing); North Dakota Comments at 2 (combined with continued tariffing).

Several parties, in their initial comments on the Notice of Inquiry, also supported various combinations of the sale and detariffing options. *See, e.g.,* API Comments at 3 (in combination with Options 3 and 4); Centel Comments at 4–5 (if valuation problems are resolved); CBEMA Comments at 12–15 (sale under state tariffs; transfer if valuation problems are solved); FEA Comments at 3 (if lessee elects not to continue leasing under tariff); Florida Comments at 2, 4 (sale and detariffing as state options); GTE Comments at 4–10 (for non-dominant carriers); New York Comments at 8–11; Rochester Comments at 7 (sale option up to divestiture of AT&T; transfer on date of divestiture); SPC Comments at 17, 20–21.

[21] The value of embedded CPE and related support assets in the rate bases of regulated carriers is estimated to exceed $20 billion. Notice of Inquiry, 89 FCC 2d at 695. We have noted that the value of assets held by AT&T in Account 231, "Station Apparatus," and Account 234, "Large PBXs," is approximately $14 billion. Further Reconsideration Order, 88 FCC 2d at 521.

may, in certain circumstances, have utility as a transitional mechanism if used in tandem with the sale option. This is true in the case of various deregulatory options we are considering for the independent companies and the record carriers, and it would be particularly true in the case of AT&T if only a small portion of the BOC's embedded base is sold under state tariffs before our plan takes effect and a successful transfer plan is not developed under which a substantial majority of this embedded CPL is offered for sale under sufficient regulatory scrutiny so as to ensure that ratepayer interests are adequately protected. See para. 33, *infra.*

25. Our tentative preference is to permit the detariffing and transfer to ABI of that portion of the embedded base which is not actually sold, but we view this approach as contingent upon two factors. First, the portion of embedded CPE which is offered for sale under any transfer plan must be suffficient to meet our regultory concerns and the requirements of *Democratic Central Committee.* Second, ultimate detariffing of the unsold embedded base depends in large measure upon our satisfactory resolution of a variety of problems which we will discuss subsequently in this *notice.* These problems include valuation of the unsold base, accounting issues associated with detariffing, pricing under the sale option and the duration and terms of the sale option, leasing options availble to current embedded CPE customers, and issues relating to customer proprietary information. This Commission can sanction a plan only if it meets these problems and concerns, and, in the case of AT&T, one purpose of this *Notice* is to solicit comments regarding the extent to which the plan proposed by AT&T already achieves this result. We recognize that, in the case of the independent telephone companies and the record carriers, our analysis of these problems may vary from the analysis applied in the case of AT&T, and it is our intention to include sufficient flexibility in fashoning plans for the independents and the record carriers. We also ask parties to comment regarding the appropriateness of our tentative view that there may be circumstances under which continued tariffing would have to be invoked as the best means of promoting our objectives.

3. *Effect of Transfer of Embedded Base on Competition*

26. We discussed briefly at para. 3, *supra,* the issues set out in *Further Reconsideration Order* for resolution in this processing. The first two issues, regarding customer dislocations and valuation and accounting problems, are discussed subsequently in this *Notice.*[22] The third issue, regarding the effect upon competition of the transfer of AT&T's embedded CPE to ABI, warrants discussion here. In assessing this issue, two broad points should be made regarding the nature of competition in the CPE marketplace and the terms conditions of the transfer to ABI which are proposed in AT&T plan.

27. This Commission has strenuously sought to promote competition in the CPE marketplace,[23] and, in *Second Computer Inquiry,* we took notice of the fact that substantial progress had been made toward realizing the potential for competition in the various segments of the CPE market.[24] It is our continuing objective to encourage this development of competition, and we are cognizant of the fact that the transitional plan which we adopt in this proceeding may have a bearing upon the future course of this development.

28. The argument is made that an abrupt detariffing and transfer of AT&T's embedded base to ABI could have a stultifying effect upon competition, primarily because of AT&T's substantial share of the embedded equipment base.[25] Because of this concern, various parties suggest [26] that the transfer of embedded CPE to ABI must be "cushioned" in order to prevent adverse effects upon competition. AT&T responded to these concerns through the sale and lease options, and the price predictability periods, included in its latest proposal. It would appear, therefore, that AT&T is not proposing an abrupt transition to a deregulated environment. The provisions of its latest plan should work to prevent ABI from engaging in anticompetitive conduct in CPE markets in the wake of the transfer of embedded equipment. We invite parties to comment regarding whether these aspects of AT&T's plan are sufficient, or necessary to satisfy concerns regarding the protection of competition. Ultimately, any detariffing plan must take these competitive factors into account.

B. Regulatory Concerns and Objectives

29. We will outline in this section what we believe are our regulatory obligations relating to the transition to the fully detariffed CPE environment. It is our tentative view that the case law regarding the issue of the treatment of capital gains and losses upon the removal of assets from regulated service, especially *Democratic Central Committee,* requires that we ensure that the carriers' investors receive their net investment in transferred or sold equipment at the time of transfer or sale, and that any gains or losses resulting from this transfer or sale accrue to the ratepayers. *Democratic Central Committee* requires that gains or losses on transfer or sale of assets must go to the entity, carriers' investors or ratepayers, which bore the risk of loss of capital value over the regualed life of the asset.[27] In this case, we tentatively

---

[22] The need to protect ratepayers is discussed generally at paras. 29–32, *infra.* Issues relating to customer dislocations are also presented in connection with the discussion of pricing and consumer options under AT&T's proposed plan. See paras. 35–40, *infra.* Valuation issues are presented at paras. 30–32, 51–62, *infra.* Accounting problems are discussed at paras. 63–68, *infra.*

[23] *See, e.g.,* Second Computer Inquiry; Interstate and Foreign Message Telephone Service, Docket No. 19528, Second Report and Order, 58 FCC 2d 736 (1976), *aff'd sub nom.* North Carolina Utilities Comm'n V. FCC, 552 F. 2d 1036 (4th Cir.), *cert. denied,* 434 U.S. 874 (1977); Interstate and Foreign Message Toll Telephone Service, Docket No. 19528, First Report and Order, 56 FCC 2d 593 (1975), *reconsideration* 57 FCC 2d 1216, *further reconsideration,* 58 FCC 2d 716, *further reconsideration,* 59 FCC 2d 83 (1976); Telerent Leasing Corp., 45 FCC 2d 204 (1974), *aff'd sub nom.* North Carolina Utilities Comm'n v. FCC, 537 F. 2d 787 (4th Cir.), *cert. denied,* 429 U.S. 1027 (1976); Carterfone, 13 FCC 2d 420, *reconsideration denied,* 14 FCC 2d 571 (1968).

[24] Final Decision, 77 FCC 2d at 439–40, 452. *See also* Majority Staff of Subcom. on Telecommunications, Consumer Protection, and Finance of House Comm. on Energy and Commerce, Telecommunications in Transition: The Status of *Competition in the Telecommunications Industry,* 97th Cong., 1st Sess. 184 (1981) (hereinafter House Staff Report) ("There has been a marked increase in the competitors' share of terminal equipment markets since the [FCC] registration program facilitated competition.") As a further indicator of growing competition, AT&T has pointed out the "[i]n early 1982, AT&T actually experienced a reduction of more than two residence telephones for each access line added. Data for business telephones show a patern of consistent decline from a high of nearly three telephones added per access line in 1966 to a small loss in early 1982." AT&T Comments at 14.

[25] See House Staff Report at 186–87 for a description for this AT&T market share.

[26] See paras. 35–40, *infra,* for a discussion of various comments regarding requirements and safeguards which should be made to apply to the transfer of the BOCs' embedded CPE base to ABI.

[27] *See* Democratic Central Committee, 485 F.2d at 805–06. The Court of Appeals concluded that "an investor can hardly muster any equitable support for a claim to appreciation in asset value where he has been shielded aganist the risk of loss on this investment, or has already been rewarded for taking on that risk." *Id.* at 806. The Court of Appeals also noted a second principle which may be applied to balance investor and ratepayer interests in connection with the removal of assets from regulated service: "[H]e who bears the financial burden of particular utility activity should also reap the benefit resulting therefrom." *Id.* The Court applied this second test to dispose of the case before it because "there [had] never been any risk of financial loss, actual or foreseable, on the parcels

find that our regulatory scheme which allows the carriers to file tariffs designed to earn the allowed rate of return and to recover reasonable depreciation expenses has placed the risk of loss on the ratepayers.[28] Therefore, the gains or losses resulting from any transfer should flow to the ratepayers rather than the investors. We invite interested parties to coment on our reading of the case law, our interpretation of the effects of our regulatory scheme, and the implications of these factors for our obligations.

30. Our initial view, expressed in the *Notice of Inquiry*, was that we would protect the ratepayers' interest by determining the value of the embedded CPE prior to its transfer or sale. This amount would be credited to regulated accounts at the time of transfer or sale, thereby satisfying the requirements of *Democratic Central Committee*. See *id.*, 85 F.2d at 811 & n.227. After reviewing the comments in response to the *Notice of Inquiry*, we now tentatively conclude that our proposal to determine the amount the embedded CPE is actually worth to the carrier was conceptually sound, it would be extremely difficult as a practical matter to implement such an approach.[29] We pointed out in the *Notice of Inquiry* that for practical reasons we might rely on net book value as a proxy, and we tentatively conclude that this is the case. That is, it is our view that the only workable alternative for measuring the value of the embedded CPE is net book value.

31. Of course, such an approach to valuation does not definitely answer the basic question of what the equipment is actually worth leave open the possibility that a gain might occur and accrue to the entity acquiring the embedded CPE rather than to the ratepayers. For example, if a telephone company were to transfer its embedded CPE to detariffed service after crediting regulated accounts with the net book value of the equipment, there woud be no mechanism to guarantee that the carrier could not then sell the equipment for a great deal more than net book value, realizing as substantial capital gain. We feel that some mechanism to ensure against such an occurrence is required to meet our obligation under the *Democratic Central Committee* standard.

32. We believe that offering in-place CPE for sale at a price based on net book value plus reasonable transaction costs provides the needed mechanism to protect ratepayers. It is logical that the firm receiving the transferred equipment (*e.g.*, ABI, in the case of AT&T) cannot reap any capital gains which may be associated with the CPE after that CPE has been offered for sale at close to net book value. This is so because, if the CPE were worth a great deal more than net book value, presumably the subscribers would purchase it at the offered priced.[30] On the other hand, if the CPE were not worth substantially more than net book value, then the equipment could not be sold by the transferee firm after the transfer at prices substantially in excess of net book value plus transaction costs. Thus, by combining the sale of in-place CPE to customers based on net book value plus transaction costs and the transfer of the unsold CPE to unregulated operations at net book value, ratepayers have the opportunity to receive the capital gains by purchasing the CPE and unregulated entities could not receive capital gains upon the transfer of unsold equipment. It is our view that the *Democratic Central Committee* standard can be met if all classes of CPE are offered for sale, in the aggregate, at net book value plus transaction costs. This approach ensures that ratepayers, as a class, would have an opportunity (through purchase of the embedded CPE) to realize any capital gain and thus prevent any gain from inuring to the benefit of investors. We conclude that *Democratic Central Committee* does not require that each and every piece of equipment be offered at net book value plus transaction costs. Further, it is our view that the complexities involved in such an approach would cause it to collapse of its own weight. It may be appropriate to apply the aggregated valuation approach suggested here on the basis of the different classes of CPE. The embedded CPE could be so divided and each class could be valued, in the aggregate, at net book. Such an approach might be particularly necessary if any significant portions of the embedded base were not offered for sale to subscribers because the undervaluation of any such portion could result in a gain to the transferee entity rather than to the ratepayers. The balancing of equities which is at the center of the *Democratic Central Committee* test can be satisfied, in our view, if net book value plus transaction costs is imposed as an aggregated pricing requirement. We invite parties to comment on our conclusions regarding the *Democratic Central Committee* requirements and on the approach suggested here.

33. The failure to offer a significant portion of embedded CPE for sale while it is under tariff, or shortly after it is detariffed, at a price which gives ratepayers an opportunity to realize any gain in the value of the CPE would pose significant problems under *Democratic Central Committee*. Yet, it is possible that we might approve a transitional plan which does not involve the offer for sale of substantially all of the embedded CPE. In such a case, we would have to provide some mechanism other than the offer for sale at prices closely related to net book value for meeting our obligations to protect ratepayers from a disadvantageous transfer of those classes of equipment not offered for sale. There seem to be only two alternatives. First, we could elect to continue under tariff the kinds of embedded CPE which are not offered for sale. In this way, no transfer of this equipment would occur and ratepayers would suffer no loss of value of

---

of land" at issue in the proceeding. *Id.* at 811. Our primary concern in this proceeding is with the first test set forth in Democratic Central Committee because the embedded CPE at issue here is subject to the types of capital loss risks (*e.g.*, casualty losses, retirement due to obsolescence) which the Court of Appeals elaborated. *Id.* at 807.

[28] The Commission, in fixing rates of return on carriers' interstate investment, has refused to add any additonal premium to the rate of return as compensation for risks of loss associated with technological and market changes, finding that the carriers' investors are well protected against these risks. American Telephone & Telegraph Co. Docket No. 16258, Interim Decision and Order, FCC 67–776, 9 FCC 2d 30, 76 (1967). It has been the practice of the Commission to permit factors such as competition and obsolescence due to technological change to be taken into account in calculating depreciation expenses charged to ratepayers, and the Commission has noted that these depreciation calculations are designed so that "[t]here is very little danger that plant actually devoted to service will not be fully paid for." *Id.* at 77. For a description of the factors taken into account in determining depreciation expense, see American Telephone & Telegraph Co. (Charges for Interstate Service), Docket No. 19129, phase II, Final Decision and Order, FCC 77–150, 64 FCC 2d 1, 63 n.84 (1977) (hereinafter Charges for Interstate Service). In that proceeding the Commission found that the calculation of depreciation expense ensures that "the ratepayers bear the risk of loss in value. Thus, when . . . a piece of property is retired and disposed of and a gain results, the equities of the situation would suggest that the ratepayer should receive the benefit of that gain." *Id.* at 67.

[29] We note that in United States v. AT&T the District Court considered establishing proceedings for determinig the value of assets to be transferred as a result of the MFJ. The District Court noted the extreme complexity of such a proceeding and pointed to the protracted and contentious asset valuation proceedings in the Conrail case as exemplifying the problems which would be encountered in such a proceeding. The District Court settled on net book value as the appropriate standard after noting that Democratic Central Committee did not apply because the MFJ did not result in the removal of assets from regulated service. United States v. AT&T, 552 F. Supp. at 200–05.

[30] This conclusion, however, may also be dependent upon other factors which could affect customer response to the CPE purchase option, including the duration of the offer period and, in particular, whether the period is long enough to enable the customer to evaluate the offer and arrange for maintenance services.

equipment devoted to tariffed service. Second, since the sale plan substitutes for the more difficult valuation of the CPE to be transferred, we could revert to the valuation approach. That is, in order to ensure that any gains accrue to the ratepayer, we could determine the value of the CPE not offered for sale and require the carrier to charge that amount to the entity to which the CPE is transferred. We seek comment from interested parties on these means for meeting our obligations to ratepayers in the event that only certain kinds of embedded CPE are offered for sale. We also seek suggestions as to any other means which might be available to meet our obligations in the event that some significant portion of AT&T's embedded CPE is not offered for sale.

34. In its supplementary Comments, Reply Comments, and Further Supplementary Comments, AT&T has proposed a detariffing plan which includes the transfer of unsold CPE to ABI. We seek comment from interested parties on whether AT&T's plan is consistent with our obligations, concerns, and objectives, as discussed in this section. To the extent that parties believe AT&T's plan is not fully consistent with our goals we seek suggestions as to how it might be changed to bring it into conformance with our requirements. In the following sections, we focus attention on more specific issues regarding AT&T's proposal, on which we seek comment.

C. Consumer Options before Detariffing

35. California [31] asserts that no portion of the embedded base should be detariffed until customers have had an opportunity to purchase the CPE under tariff. Others, including CBEMA, FEA, IDCMA, Kansas, Michigan, SPC, and UTC, urge that embedded business CPE should be available for purchase under tariff before detariffing. We note that AT&T has stated that most single-line CPE customers either already have or will shortly have an opportunity to purchase embedded CPE while it is still under state trariff. AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 7. In the *Notice of Inquiry* we encouraged the sale of embedded business CPE under state tariff before detariffing takes effect. *Notice of Inquiry*, 89 FCC 2d 696. Although AT&T's proposal that detariffing occur by January 1, 1984, may make infeasible the implementation of such compulsory sale programs for business CPE in some states,[32] we invite parties to comment regarding whether the modified plan's treatment of business CPE makes the need for such tariffed sales less important than it otherwise might have been, and how it affects our responsibility to protect ratepayers consistent with the *Democratic Central Committee* standard.

D. Pricing; Other Transitional Issues after Detariffing

36. California, CBEMA, FEA, Michigan, and UTCC express fear that if the Commission fails to impose adequate price protections, then AT&T could follow anticompetitive pricing policies after detariffing. It is our tentative view that the revised AT&T proposal makes substantial progress toward allaying these understandable concerns. The revised proposal will offer price predictability and stability to both residential and business embedded CPE customers, whether they choose to buy or to continue leasing their embedded CPE. *See* AT&T Supplementary Comments at 16–17, 20–21. AT&T also suggests that the pricing framework which it has proposed significantly reduces opportunities for price manipulation by AT&T. We invite parties to comment regarding (1) whether the interests of ratepayers and CPE users; and (2) whether additional pricing requirements are necessary to effectuate the goals set out in the *Notice of Inquiry* and in *Second Computer Inquiry* and to meet the regulatory objectives we have discussed in the *Notice*. California and CBEMA note that the initial AT&T proposal failed to establish any relationship between its sale and lease prices and the transfer costs of the embedded CPE from the BOCs to ABI. We invite parties to comment regarding whether the prices announced in the modified proposal are sufficient to alleviate fears that AT&T intends to establish sale and lease prices which deviate substantially from prices at which the embedded investment is transferred.

37. CBEMA complains that the initial plan did not require ABI to set a price for single-line CPE until after detariffing, while ICA makes the same observation concerning embedded business CPE. The modified plan announces specific prices for single-line CPE and caps lease rates for business CPE. The modified plan also would offer for sale at the time of detariffing all embedded business CPE of a type also offered for sale new by ABI at that time. It also responds to Kansas's asertion that all embedded business CPE should be offered for sale at the end of the price predictability period regardless of whether it is included among ABI's new equipment offerings. AT&T now indicates that it "intends to make most other embedded [Advanced Information Systems] CPE available for purchase . . . if feasible . . . ." AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 12.

38. California finds a two-year price predictability period to be too brief,[33] and suggests a five-year period which would begin only after state approval of embedded CPE sales programs. California believes that states should also be authorized to review ABI's pricing after detariffing. Michigan would also prefer a price predictability period of four or five years. FEA would go even further and require AT&T to continue leasing embedded CPE under tariff until retirement. It is our tentative view that adopting any of these suggestions would perpetuate the restraints of a regulated environment. We invite parties to comment regarding whether the price predictability periods proposed by AT&T strike a fair balance between the legitimate business concerns of ABI and the need to extend suitable protection to customers and ratepayers, or whether additional requirements such a those proposed by California, Michigan, and FEA are necessary to ensure that the detariffing and transfer program is consistent with our goals in the *Notice of Inquiry* and in *Second Computer Inquiry* and with the objectives discussed in this *Notice*.

39. Three other transition issued raised in the comments warrant mention here. First, ICA asserts that the AT&T proposal is discriminatory because it provides a longer transition period for consumer CPE than for business CPE. According to ICA, the 18-month transition period for business CPE is not long enough because "complex business CPE requires a substantially longer lead time for customer planning and changes." ICA Comments at 6. It is our preliminary conclusion that this difference in treatment between consumer and business CPE does not have any deleterious effect on business users, but we invite parties to comment regarding whether a longer transition

[31] References to comments in this section and sections D through F are to those comments and reply comments filed in response to AT&T's Supplementary Comments.

[32] *But see* California Comments at 6 (sales programs could be put in place expeditiously for multiline equipment).

[33] It is our understanding that, under the modified AT&T proposal, the price predictability period for single-line CPE is two years while the period for business CPE is 18 months. AT&T would endeavor to make "[a]lmost all categories of embedded electromechanical [business] CPE [available] for purchase by January 1, 1986." AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 13 (footnote omitted).

period is necessary to achieve the goals established in the *Notice of Inquiry* and in *Second Computer Inquiry*, and to meet the objectives discussed in this *Notice*. Second, CBEMA, IDCMA, and New York assert that no embedded CPE should be transferred to ABI before the anticipated date of which AT&T divests the BOCs in accordance with the MFJ.[34] With one exception, AT&T's modified proposal adopts their preference.[35]

40. Finally, California challenges the use of the CPE as a measure for increasing embedded CPE prices during the price predictability period. Under the modified plan, the CPI will have no effect upon the sale price of in-place single-line CPE, the lease charge for standard rotary sets (which comprise approximately 42 percent of in-place single-line sets), or the national prices for the lease of embedded business CPE. For setting prices for the remaining categories of embedded plants, *e.g.*, the lease of single-line CPE other than standard rotary sets, it is our tentative view that the CPI would not be an unreasonable surrogate to compensate for increases in the cost of doing business during the price predictability period. We find it unlikely that the CPI adjustments will result in unreasonable price increases over the period. Moreover, single-line customers who do not wish to continue leasing may avoid the CPI lease increases by purchasing their CPE from ABI or by purcashing from other vendors. We seek further comment regarding whether the CPI adjustments proposed by AT&T are consistent with our regulatory concerns and objectives.

E. ABI Sale and Lease Procedures: BOC Support

41. Several parties raise issues regarding the procedures which ABI will follow in connection with the sale and lease of embedded CPE after detariffing. ICA and UTC urge and ABI be required to give prior notice to embedded CPE users of any intention to discontinue products. AT&T now proposes to give advance notice whenever possible at least one year before the discontinuance of any business CPE offering. AT&T Reply Comments at 24. We invite comments regarding the adequacy of this approach. Two objections have been raised concerning the warranty program proposed by AT&T. California asserts that the warranty periods of 90 days for inventory purchases and 30 days for in-place purchases should be extended. We invite comments regarding whether it would be appropriate to impose warranty requirements which differ from those contained in the proposed plan, or whether the opportunity for customer choice and market forces are sufficient to protect the consumer. Kansas notes that the AT&T proposal limits the duration of implied warranties to the same length as express warranties,[36] and indicates that such a limitation on implied warranties would violate Kansas law. It would not be our intent to preempt either state statutes or Uniform Commercial Code provisions concerning implied warranties.

42. New York urges that ABI be prohibited from assessing an interest charge in connection with installment purchases of embedded CPE during the transition period. It is our preliminary view that AT&T's proposal [37] regarding interest assessments does not appear to constitute an extraordinary or objectionable business practice, but we invite the parties to comment regarding whether ABI should be authorized to assess interest charges and, if so, whether the rates should be specified in the rules we promulgate in this proceeding.

43. AT&T also proposes that the BOCs be permitted to furnish billing and other support services to ABI [38] after detariffing. California, Kansas, and IDCMA object, claiming that such BOC support operations may not be consistent with the MFJ and questioning "the appropriatenèss of having the regulated entity bill customers for detariffed equipment. . . ." Kansas Comments at 4. Although we do have concerns in this area regarding access to customer proprietary information, see paras. 45–49, *infra*, it should be noted that in conjunction with the detariffing and transfer of embedded CPE to ABI, approximately 64 million customer accounts must be converted. AT&T Reply Comments at 17. Substantial billing and other service disruptions could result if the BOCs were unable to provide billing and related support to ABI on a transitional basis in the absence of ABI's ability to provide these services for itself in a timely fashion. Even assuming that it is theoretically possible (which may be questionable in itself) for ABI to have in place and properly functioning the necessary support systems, ABI could incur significant costs merely because it would be forced to construct on an expedited basis the billing and customer service systems needed as of January 1, 1984. It would appear to make little sense to invite the occurance of these substantial service disruptions and costs when the available BOC support would ease the problems of transition for current BOC embedded CPE customers. If other regulatory concerns can be satisfied, it appears questionable whether transfer to ABI should be precluded based on its inability to provide customer billing services.

44. California, New York, and NATA assert that the BOCs should be fully compensated for any costs incurred in connection with support services they provide to ABI during the transition period. California and New York assert that the MFJ does not provide for any BOC support regarding embedded CPE after divestiture and that, therefore, such arrangements must be approved by the District Court in conjunction with its review of AT&T's Plan of Reorganization.[39] We invite comments regarding whether any plan we adopt should establish requirements relating to

[34] AT&T originally proposed that detariffing and transfer of embedded CPE begin by July 1983. AT&T Supplementary Comments at 22. For purposes of its proposal, AT&T has assumed a divestiture date of January 1, 1984. *Id.* at 3.

[35] The proposal does call for detariffing and transfer of embedded CPE "in at least one jurisdiction in 1983, so that American Bell operations and systems can be tested prior to January 1, 1984." AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 19. See n.39, *infra*, for a further discussion of this proposal.

[36] See AT&T Supplementary Comments, Appendix A, at A–8.

[37] AT&T has indicated that installment purchases would "include interest charges on outstanding balances." The rate of interest is not specified. AT&T Supplementary Comments, Appendix A, at A–10.

[38] The nature of these other support services is not elaborated in any detail in the AT&T filings. Presumably the services would include access to customer records and other records needed to support ABI's embedded base operations. AT&T's comments should provide clarification as to the nature of the BOC support services which would be provided to ABI. It also should be noted that, if we ultimately were to adopt a detariffing option involving bulk sales of the BOCs' embedded CPE to a third party, then it is our tentative view that the BOCs should be permitted to provide billing and other support services to the third party on an interim basis in a manner similar to the AT&T proposal.

[39] IDCMA argues that AT&T must be granted a waiver from our Second Computer Inquiry requirements to enable the BOCs to furnish billing and other support services to ABI. At a minimum, these requirements would be applicable to the detariffing which may take place in 1983. See AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 19. It is premature at this stage of this proceeding to reach any conclusions regarding whether we should deviate from our Second Computer Inquiry separation requirements prior to divestiture. We will address this issue as part of our disposition of this proceeding but we note here that, as an alternative to the AT&T proposal, it might be possible for AT&T to simulate operations in a "detariffed and transferred mode" on a limited basis in 1983 as a means of easing the transitional problems it will face after divestiture. AT&T intends to follow a similar approach on a system-wide basis in the last quarter of 1983 in order to ensure uninterrupted service after divestiture. See AT&T, Plan of Reorganization at 468, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Dec. 16, 1982).

compensation. For instance, we recently have concluded that rate-of-return methods would not be used to constrain the amounts exchange carriers may earn by providing billing and collection services to interexchange carriers. *MTS and WATS Market Structure*, CC Docket No. 78–72, Phase I, Third Report Order, FCC 82–579, at para. 251 (released Feb. 28, 1983), *appeal docketed sub. nam.* NARUC v. FCC, No. 83–1225 (D.C. Cir., Mar. 1, 1983) (hereinafter *MTS and WATS Market Structure).* With regard to MFJ requirements applicable to BOC support for the provision of embedded CPE, it is for the District Court to examine whether BOC support may, consistent with the MFJ, be made available to AT&T after divestiture.[40] This need for judicial approval, however, does not forestall our review of the AT&T proposal regarding BOC support to ABI to determine whether it is consistent with the public interest standards which we are mandated to apply under the Communications Act of 1934. New York asserts that ABI should be required to reimburse the BOCs for the full cost of billing, including postage, handling, and paper costs, and that it may be necessary to include notice on customer bills that there is no connection between the BOC involved and ABI. AT&T has indicated that customer bills will include such a notice. AT&T, Response to Objections to Its Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 182, *United States v. AT&T* (D.D.C., filed Mar. 14, 1983). New York also would impose the costs of any such notice upon ABI. We invite the parties to comment regarding this proposal made by New York. We also ask parties to comment regarding whether the notice should include a statement that the customer may purchase CPE from other vendors.

45. An additional area of concern regarding AT&T's proposal for the provision of billing and other support services by the BOCs involves the question of access to BOC customer proprietary data. We recognized in *Second Computer Inquiry* that anticompetitive problems could result if the separated subsidiary had exclusive access to customer proprietary information maintained by an affiliated entity, and we required that such information "must be disclosed to other competitive vendors at the same time the subsidiary receives the information and under the same terms and conditions if it is shared with the subsidiary." *Final Decision*, 77 FCC 2d at 481.

46. AT&T, in its filings in *United States* v. *AT&T*, has argued that its access to BOC customer proprietary information will be severely restricted during the period AT&T is receiving support services from the BOCs in connection with embedded CPE. *See* AT&T, Response to Objections to Its Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 193–95, *United States* v. *AT&T* (D.C.C., filed Mar. 14, 1983). AT&T also has indicated that such proprietary information will be masked or rendered mechanically inaccessible to the extent that this is practical. "In situations where this is not practical, the contract [for support services] will require that such proprietary information . . . be held in confidence. . . ." AT&T, Plan of Reorganization at 108–09, *United States* v. *AT&T* (D.D.C., filed Dec. 16, 1982).

47. The Department of Justice, in its filing in *United States* v. *AT&T*, has indicated that it is satisfied that "the sharing arrangements will not permit AT&T access to BOC marketing data or information on plans of subscribers to expand their service." Response of the United States to Public Comments and Action on AT&T's Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 98, *United States* v. *AT&T* (D.D.C., filed Mar. 24, 1983). The Department has concluded that there are sufficient safeguards in the proposed Plan to minimize the risk of anticompetitive conduct. *Id.* at 100. Several parties, however, in comments to the District Court regarding the proposed Plan, have argued that AT&T's access to BOC customer proprietary information will give it an unfair competitive advantage. *See, e.g.,* Initial Comments of the North American Telephone Association on the AT&T Plan of Reorganization at 48–49, *United States* v. *AT&T* (D.D.C., filed Feb. 14, 1983). The argument is made that the safeguards proposed in the Plan are insufficient, in part because "the fact that such [proprietary] data [which cannot be rendered inaccessible] would be held in confidence does not eliminate the substantial competitive advantage that the knowledge of such information brings with it to both the BOCs and to AT&T." *Id.* at 49 n.17.

48. Among the services AT&T proposes that the BOCs be permitted to provide to ABI is service order processing. BOC service order processing systems contain orders for changes or additions to access lines pending installation. Since customers with changing access lines needs may also have changing equipment needs, this information could be of value to an equipment vendor. BOC billing information is contained in the BOCs' Customer Records Information Systems (CRIS). CRIS contains virtually all customer-specific information regarding CPE service provided by the BOCs to each of their customers. Access to customer service histories through a system such as CRIS could provide commercially useful information to an equipment vendor. If AT&T were to obtain such access, then ABI, unlike any other equipment vendor, would control information not only regarding the equipment it provides but also regarding the access line service to all BOC customers regardless of the identity of the particular equipment vendor involved.

49. Our concern in this area is to determine how any detariffing and transfer plan restrictions on ABI's access to BOC customer proprietary information which relies on BOC billing and other support services might affect our *Second Computer Inquiry* decisions. Under current procedures no customer-specific information may be disclosed by a BOC to ABI unless ABI obtains a written customer authorization for the release of such information after the customer has been informed that the customer may require the release of the same information to any vendor of the customer's choosing. *See* Letter from Chief, Common Carrier Bureau, to Alfred A. Green, Attorney for AT&T (Nov. 24, 1982) (on file at Common Carrier Bureau). AT&T is required to maintain at central locations a list of customer-specific information which has been disclosed to ABI. If, under the transfer plan, ABI is able to access customer-specific information with respect to embedded CPE, the question arises as to whether other CPE vendors should have access to this information. We seek comment on this question and specifically whether any requirement for disclosure of customer-specific information to ABI should trigger automatic disclosure to competing vendors, or whether such disclosures

[40] A detailed AT&T proposal relating to the sharing of multifunction support facilities for embedded CPE is pending before the District Court. See AT&T, Plan of Reorganization at 89–109, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Dec. 16, 1982). AT&T argues that such sharing is necessary because "[T]he large volume and complexity of the BOCs' records relating to embedded customer premises equipment render it operationally impossible for AT&T to attempt to duplicate all associated facilities and computer systems prior to divestiture." *Id.* at 90. AT&T has argued that the type of sharing it is proposing is premissible under the MFJ. AT&T, Response to Objections to Its Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 18, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Mar. 14, 1983). The Department of Justice has indicated to the District Court that it supports AT&T's proposal and does not find it to be inconsistent with the MFJ. Response of the United States to Public Comments and Action on AT&T's Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 96–102, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Mar.24, 1983).

should be at the customer's discretion. We also seek comment regarding whether we should view our concerns as being different from those which confront the District Court because we are faced with questions relating to the sharing of information between the BOCs and a deregulated entity (*i.e.*, ABI) which is not subject to price regulation, whereas the District Court is considering information sharing between the BOCs and a regulated entity (*i.e.*, AT&T) which would be offering the transferred embedded CPE under price regulation.

F. Antitrust Issues

50. NATA claims that the pricing mechanism proposed by AT&T could be a violation of the Federal antitrust laws, and IDCMA and NATA also assert that any rulemaking by the Commission which codifies the proposed pricing structure could amount to the extension of antitrust immunity for anticompetitive conduct. It is our view that it would not be productive for us to resolve these antitrust concerns at this stage of the proceeding, since we have not yet formulated the specific elements of the plan. We do, however, invite parties to submit further comments regarding this issue. These comments should address, in particular, whether the pricing elements of the AT&T proposal could lead to antitrust problems and, more generally, whether *any* requirement to sell embedded CPE to subscribers at net book value plus transaction costs, see para. 32, *supra*, raises antitrust concerns.

G. Accounting Issues

1. *Net Book Value*

51. We have already noted in this proceeding that net book value as a proxy for economic value "has the advantage of extreme simplicity, and it may be the most prudent approach in some cases given the practical difficulties of implementing other alternatives." *Notice of Inquiry*, 89 FCC 2d at 698. We still hold that view. See paras. 30–32, *supra*. The definition of net book value is the cost of an asset less the related depreciation reserve. Under AT&T's proposal [41] embedded CPE would be transferred to ABI at adjusted net book value.[42] AT&T would adjust this net book value by deducting deferred income taxes and unamortized investment tax credits and adding amounts relating to Western Electric deferred taxes. We believe AT&T's adjustments relate to the determination of income taxes rather than the valuation of the assets. Therefore, it is our tentative view that the accounting treatment for deferred income taxes and investment tax credits relating to the transferred equipment should be determined as described below, separately from the valuation of the equipment.

2. *Deferred Taxes*

52. Deferred income taxes are income taxes charged to telephone company expense in past periods but not actually paid. As related to equipment, deferred income taxes generally result from different depreciation expense for regulatory accounting purposes than for tax purposes due to accelerated tax write-offs. Conceptually, deferred income taxes are the income taxes which would have been paid in past periods if accounting depreciation had been used for tax purposes. Thus, a company is able to avoid paying taxes in the earlier years of an asset's life cycle by charging more depreciation expense for tax purposes than for accounting purposes. It records tax expense in those years, however, based upon its accounting income. In later years when the company has less depreciation available for tax purposes its taxable income exceeds its accounting income. Thus, the differences in expense by year are merely timing differences. Taxes not paid in the past because of these timing differences must be paid in the future.

53. In effect, the taxes deferred because of timing differences represent cost-free capital to the company. For this reason the company's rate base is reduced by the balance of deferred taxes in computing rate of return and revenue requirements. Therefore, ratepayers benefit by paying a lower return element than would have been paid if accelerated depreciation had not been taken for tax purposes. It is because of this benefit to the ratepayer that the Commission has allowed "normalized" tax accounting under which income tax expense is charged based on book income rather than taxable income.

54. AT&T proposes to reduce the transfer price of embedded CPE by the amount of deferred taxes. It argues that the deferred taxes should follow the asset because it will be liable for those taxes in future periods, after detariffing. We tentatively conclude that this is a reasonable treatment of the deferred taxes, with one exception. The deferred taxes have accumulated at the current tax rates. However, there is the possibility that at the time ABI sells this embedded equipment it may be subject to only a capital gain tax rate. Since the ordinary tax rate is considerably higher than capital gain rates, ratepayers would have paid a tax which is never incurred. We tentatively find that if AT&T is granted capital gains treatment of proceeds from the sale of this equipment, then the ratepayers should be compensated for this overpayment. We invite parties to comment on our analysis of the deferred tax issue.

3. *Investment Tax Credits*

55. AT&T proposes to reduce the net book value of assets transferred to ABI by the amount of unamortized investment tax credits related to the transferred assets. As previously noted, we tentatively believe that the accounting treatment for investment tax credits should be determined separately from the valuation of the assets because the credits are more closely related to the determination of the proper income tax expense than asset value.

56. The investment tax credit provisions of the income tax law allow taxpayers to deduct a percentage of the cost of qualified assets as a direct offset to income taxes payable in the year the assets are purchased. Unamortized investment tax credits result when, for accounting purposes, these tax credits are not recorded as reductions in income tax expense when they are received but instead are deferred and amortized as reductions of income tax expense over the life of the assets that gave rise to them.

57. After reviewing this matter, we believe there are two possible accounting treatments for the unamortized investment tax credits. They could either be transferred to, and thus reduce, AT&T's investment in ABI which would have the same effect as AT&T's proposal to treat them as an adjustment to net book value; or they could be credited to income tax expense of the telephone companies when the assets are transferred to ABI. The first treatment would transfer the benefit of the unamortized credits to AT&T stockholders, and the second treatment would ensure that the carriers' expenses are reduced by the amount of these tax credits.

58. The argument in favor of the first treatment is that the investment tax credits were generated by the purchase of the assets and therefore the unamortized investment tax credits should follow those assets. This treatment draws support from the

[41] AT&T has proposed to appraise all supporting assets and transfer these assets at appraisal value. AT&T Further Supplementary Comments at 16–18. This specific modification is discussed at paras. 60–62, *infra*.

[42] See n.7, *supra*, for AT&T's definition of adjusted net book value.

accounting which has been followed for investment tax credits, under which the credits have been deferred and amortized over the life of the related assets. It could be argued, therefore, that the unamortized credits should be transferred with the assets for continued amortization over the life of the assets.

59. The argument in favor of the second treatment is that the assets were purchased and the credits realized under regulation and thus the credits should reduce the costs of regulated service when the assets are retired from regulated service. In this regard it should be noted that investment tax credits are different than deferred taxes in that there are no offsetting future taxes to be paid. Unless they are subject to recapture provisions for early retirement, the investment tax credits represent permanent tax reductions in the year they are deducted on the tax return. Moreover, if the assets were sold to a third party, the unamortized investment tax credits not subject to recapture would be credited immediately to income tax expense of the telephone company. Because investment tax credits are premanent tax reductions realized during the period of regulation, we tentatively believe that the unamortized investment tax credits should be credited to the income tax expense of the carrier when the assets are removed from the regulated accounts. We invite parties to comment regarding our analysis of this issue.

4. *Supporting Assets*

60. While AT&T adheres to the view that the proper valuation standard for the transfer of assets is adjusted net book value, it is willing to transfer supporting assets (all assets excluding CPE) at adjusted net book increased or decreased by appraisals. Apparently, AT&T's modification was offered in response to Commission questions about the possible appreciation of land and buildings which AT&T intends to transfer to ABI. In offering this modification AT&T reserved "the right to consider the results of the appraisals, and the precise nature of the effects of any action with respect to the transfer of supporting assets at a value other than adjusted net book value, before consenting to such transfer." ATI&T Further Supplementary Comments at 18. Notwithstanding our overall concern with valuation, the transfer of land (and in this instance the transfer of buildings with associated land) raises a unique issue. In *Charges for Interstate Services*, Docket No. 19129, we took official notice that land is an appreciating asset, *id.* at 68 n. 89, and concluded that the ratepayers should receive the benefit of gains resulting from such appreciation. It is specifically because of this conclusion that the question of possible appreciation on land and buildings was raised.[43]

61. In view of tha fact that we are considering the transfer of land to ABI based upon independent appraisals, we must address the related accounting problem of recognition of gains in the sale of land. *Charge for Interstate Services*, Docket No. 19129, recognized that our current rules do not provide for gains from the sale of land to accrue to the ratepayers. The current accounting rules relative to the sale of land call for gains or loses to flow to the stockholders. For the telephone carriers, the difference between the original cost and the sales price (less commissions and other expenses of making the sale) is credited to Account 360, "Extraordinary Income Credits" or Account 370, "Extraordinary Income Charges," as appropriate. Since this activity has been relatively insignificant in the past we ordered in *Charges for Interstate Services*, Docket No. 19129, that appropriate accounting revisions to effect this policy be made at the time broad changes to the Uniform System of Accounts are made. Those broad changes are currently being developed in *Revision of the Uniform System of Accounts and Financial Reporting Requirements for Telephone Companies (Parts 31, 33, 42, and 43 of the FCC's Rules)*, CC Docket No. 78–196, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 78–453, 70 FCC 2d 719 (1978), which will provide a complete revision of the Uniform System of Accounts. However, we do not anticipate final action in that proceeding until 1985. Because of the significance of the transfer of land in this proceeding, our rules must provide the accounting to recognize gains from its transfer if we adopt the appraisal method for the valuation of land. We therefore propose to revise our rules so that the gain resulting from the transfer of land to ABI will be reflected in Account 526, "Other Operating Revenues." Such an approach, in our view, is required to comply with the standard established in *Democratic Central Committee*, 485 F. 2d at 806, 808–18.[44]

62. Additionally, AT&T proposes to charge the cost of appraisals to Account 171, "Depreciation Reserve." However, the Uniform System of Accounts (Part 31 of the Commission's Rules) requires that these costs be charged to Account 675, "Other Expenses." account 675 lists the "[c]ost of valuations, inventories, and appraisals of telephone plant . . . in compliance with orders of Federal, State, or other regulatory authorities" as appropriate charges to this account. Therefore, if the rules we promulgate in this proceeding order these appraisals, then it is our tentative view that the cost of the appraisals be charged to Account 675. It also is our tentative view, however, that it may be appropriate to require a division of these appraisal costs between the BOCs and ABI. We request parties to comment regarding this proposed treatment of these costs.

5. *Accounting for Proceeds of CPE Sold Under Regulation*

63. Under our current accounting rules the proceeds realized from the sale of embedded CPE are treated as gross salvage and thus are credited to the depreciation reserve. Similarly the transaction costs associated with the sale are treated as cost of removal and thus are charged to the depreciation reserve. In the past, these accounting procedures ensured that all gains and losses were ultimately passed on to the ratepayers over the remaining life of the assets remaining in each category of depreciable plant. However, these accounting rules could create problems in connection with the removal of embedded CPE from regulated service. Many BOCs and independent companies are now offering some embedded CPE for sale at state-tariffed rates. These rates may exceed net book value plus transaction costs, yielding capital gains on equipment sold. In applying our current accounting rules, these gains lower the net book value of the remaining CPE in the account

64. Given the fact that only selected types and quantities of CPE are being offered for sale, we question whether this is the appropriate accounting treatment because any gains realized from sales would lower the net book value of the embedded CPE transferred to unregulated service, thus giving carriers' investors the benefit of the gains. Therefore, we are soliciting comments as to the appropriateness of the above approach. As an alternative to the above approach we solicit comments on the appropriateness of

[43] While appreciation on buildings *per se* is handled separately there is little doubt that on the whole the buildings to be transferred to ABI are worth more than the net book value.

[44] In the case of any gains resulting from the increased value of land and buildings, and also in the case of any gains resulting from the sale of embedded CPE under regulation, see paras. 63–64, *infra*, it may be necessary, in order to satisfy the requirements of Democratic Central Committee, to establish a mechanism to ensure that the carriers' revenue requirements are offset by the amount of these gains so that the carriers' ratepayers would directly receive the benefit of the gains.

charging transaction costs to operating expenses and crediting the difference between the sales price and the net book value to revenues.

6. *Accounting Procedures for Independent Telephone Companies*

65. In our *Second Computer Inquiry* decision we did not place a separate subsidiary requirement on independent telephone carriers. Rather, we allowed these carriers the option of establishing a separate subsidiary or offering CPE and enhanced services through their existing corporation. If a carrier chooses to offer these services and equipment through its exising corporation it must use nonratemaking (below-the-line) accounts to account for these activities, even though we have not prescribed the final accounting requirements. Now that we are considering the transfer of embedded CPE, it is appropriate to establish the proper accounting and reporting for the investment, expenses, and revenues associated with all nontariffed operations. We are considering the establishment of accounting procedures for independent telephone companies similar to those proposed for record carriers in CC Docket No. 82–678.[45]

66. The Commission, in *Amendment of Parts 34 and 35,* CC Docket No. 82–678, proposes to establish rules under which nonregulated CPE activities are accounted for in separate books. The *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* in that proceeding lists for following objectives: (1) assure availability for regulatory purposes of data which are not distorted by nonregulated activities; (2) establish specific accounts to identify account balances associated with nonregulated activities; (3) allow maximum latitude for carriers in establishing their accounting systems for nonregulated activities; (4) identify accounts affected by deregulation and establish instructions for transfers from prescribed accounts in Parts 34 and 35 of the Commission's Rules to the accounts for nonregulated activities; (5) provide for recording joint transactions and any necessary allocations between the regulated entity and its nonregulated activities; and (6) monitor the scope of nonregulated activities and any potential for adverse impacts on regulated services.

67. Under the Commission's proposal, carriers' accounting for nonregulated CPE activities would be revised by including all investment, revenues, and expenses for these activities in separate books of account. Only the net investment, and net income or loss, of nonregulated activities would be reflected, below the line, on the carrier's regulated books. The Commission proposal would eliminate Account 1610, "Miscellaneous Physical Property," Account 1615, "Allowance for Depreciation; Miscellaneous Physical Property," Account 5110, "Income from Miscellaneous Physical Property," and Account 5115, "Income from Merchandising, Jobbing, and Contracting." Investment, revenues, and expenses currently recorded in these accounts would be transferred to books for nonregulated activities. The Commission proposal also would add Account 1625, "Nonregulated Investment," and Account 5111, "Income from Nonregulated Investments," to account for nonregulated activities.

68. Interested parties are requested to comment on the applicability of the Commission's approach to the independent telephone companies, and to suggest the appropriate accounts, allocation procedures, and controls necessary to ensure that the below-the-line operation receives its full share of each company's costs. Parties may also suggest alternative approaches, including accounting which they consider more appropriate.

H. Intrasystem Wiring

69. The Commission in *Modification to the Uniform System of Accounts,* CC Docket No. 82–681, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 82–4265 47 FR 44770 (released Oct. 1, 1982), proposed to detariff new intrasystem wiring associated with PBXs and key systems. Intrasystem wiring (also referred to as multiwiring or complex wiring) was defined as all cable or wire on the customer side of the demarcation point and its associated components (*e.g.,* connecting blocks, terminal boxes, conduit between buildings on the same customer's premises) which connects station components to one another or to the common equipment. The Commission also proposed to treat the embedded intrasystem wiring as an integral part of the valuation procedures to be developed in setting the value of embedded CPE in this proceeding. Thus, it was our tentative view that the embedded investment of the intrasystem wiring should be treated in the same manner as, and simultaneously with, its related embedded CPE. *Id.* at para. 23.

70. We now request parties to address the question as to whether it is desirable to include the intrasystem wiring as an integral part of the embedded CPE and thus account for it as "part and parcel" of the embedded CPE to be transfered. Various parties may perceive the transfer of embedded intrasystem wiring the ABI as having a detrimental effect upon the evolution of a competitive CPE environment, such that this wiring should not be transferred to ABI. We seek comment on this issue, including whether the advantages of detariffing embedded intrasystem wiring would be diminished if this wiring remained with the BOCs while the related embedded CPE is transferred to AT&T at divestiture. In addition, we seek comment on whether it would further our policies and objectives to require AT&T, before divestiture, to transfer ownership of embedded intrasystem wiring the ABI as part of any potential transfer of embedded CPE.[46]

I. Treatment of Independent Telephone Companies

71. In fashioning appropriate rules and procedures for the detariffing of embedded CPE in a manner consistent with the objectives of our *Second Computer Inquiry* decision, we recognize that the MFJ raises issues

[45] Amendment of Part 34, Uniform System of Accounts for Radiotelegraph Carriers, and Part 35, Uniform System of Accounts for Wire-Telegraph and Ocean-Cable Carriers of the Commission's Rules and Regulations and Conforming Amendments to Annual Financial Reports Form O for Wire-Telegraph and Ocean-Cable Carriers and Form R for Radiotelegraph Carriers with Respect to Accounting for Customer-Premises Equipment after Detariffing, CC Docket No. 82–678, Notice of Proposed Rulemaking, FCC 2d 82–423, 47 FR 44781, at para. 5 (released Oct. 1, 1982) (hereinafter Amendment of Parts 34 and 35).

[46] Although the MFJ orders the transfer of embedded CPE to AT&T, the District Court may require all inside wiring to remain with the BOCs. Such a requirement would conflict with our proposal of an intrasystem concept because intrasystem wiring is an element of inside wiring (approximately 25 percent to 30 percent). Several parties, in comments regarding AT&T's Plan of Reorganization in United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Dec. 16, 1982), have argued that all inside wiring, including intrasystem wiring, should be transferred to AT&T. The Department of Justice supports AT&T's proposal in the Plan to allocate inside wiring to the BOCs. Response of the United States to Public Comments and Action on AT&T's Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 84–91, United States v. AT&T (D.C.C., filed Mar. 24, 1983). The District Court presumably will address this issue as part of its ruling on the proposed plan. The Department of Justice has made clear that the treatment of inside wiring in the MFJ is not intended to preclude the Commission from deregulating inside wiring and determining the manner in which the BOCs may engage in the offering of inside wiring after divestiture. Response of the United States to Public Comments on Proposed Modification of Final Judgment at 47 & n.*, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed May 20, 1982). The Department also has indicated that the MFJ "is not intended to foreclose the FCC . . . from establishing mechanisms for the recovery of amounts in [Account 232, relating to inside wiring], including through charges to the users of [intrasystem] wiring." Response of the United States to Public Comments and Action on AT&T's Proposed Plan of Reorganization at 88 n.*, United States v. AT&T (D.D.C., filed Mar. 24, 1983).

which are uniquely applicable to AT&T. Because of this, it is our tentative view that the independent telephone companies need not be subject to the same timeframe for time detariffing their embedded CPE as may govern AT&T. A reasonable period of time should be afforded for the detariffing of their embedded CPE. As to any of the options proposed in this section, it is our tentative conclusion that all embedded CPE provided by the independents should be detariffed not later than December 31, 1987.[47]

72. Various mechanisms are available to implement detariffing by this date. In general, this Commission could adopt any one of several approaches relying on various degrees of Federal scrutiny. One option could be to let states exercise their discretion as to the manner in which embedded CPE is to be deregulated within their respective jurisdictions. This approach would be subject to the general caveat that no state action is taken which is inconsistent with this Commission's general determinations in this proceeding, such as valuation requirements and mechanisms necessary to comply with *Democratic Central Committee.* States would be free to deregulate CPE on as rapid or gradual a basis as deemed appropriate under the circumstances, consistent with the requirement that all CPE be deregulated by December 31, 1987. This approach in large measure gives due consideration to the states' traditional role in the regulation of CPE. It also provides a means whereby states have sufficient flexibility to tailor detariffing plans of individual carriers so as to minimize any potential dislocations to consumers. Moreover, it appears administratively more expeditious to defer implementation to the various states as opposed to requiring Federal approval of detariffing plans of all carriers or mandating a uniform detariffing plan irrespective of the circumstances which may exist in particular localities. For these reasons we tentatively conclude that states should have the flexibility to deregulate embedded CPE of the independents within the timeframe we are proposing.

73. A less preferred option would be for this Commission to set forth a detailed plan for the detariffing of all CPE on a nationwide basis. This does not appear necessary at this point when reasonable means are available to state regulators to effectuate the detariffing of CPE consistent with our *Second Computer Inquiry* decisions. It is necessary, however, to ensure that, if states do not take appropriate action to detariff embedded CPE by December 31, 1987, a Federal mechanism is in place to deregulate this equipment. Accordingly, we are proposing that if a state does not have a plan to detariff embedded CPE in effect as of July 1, 1985, this Commission would exercise its preemptive powers and issue guidelines under which all embedded CPE not covered by a state detariffing plan is deregulated.

74. Accordingly, we seek comment on this approach to the detariffing of embedded CPE provided by the independents. We also seek comment on the applicability of the accounting procedures we have set forth in this *Notice* to the independents, particularly insofar as they may relate to a state's efforts to deregulate embedded CPE.

J. Treatment of IRCs and Western Union.

75. This proceeding also addresses the treatment of embedded CPE provided by the IRCs and Western Union. For Western Union, the embedded CPE involved includes Telex I equipment, TWX (Telex II) equipment, equipment used to provide satellite services, equipment used to provide private line services, and equipment used for Info-Comm services. For the IRCs, the embedded CPE involved includes all nontelex equipment. Embedded telex equipment offerings of the IRCs already have been detariffed by the Commission. *Interface of the International Telex Service with the Domestic Telex and TWX Service,* Docket No. 21005, 86 FCC 2d 411 (1981). With respect to the IRCs, we already have noted our intention to address these issues in this proceeding.[48]

76. We tentatively propose to treat the embedded CPE offered by the IRCs and Western Union in the following manner. First, all of the embedded base of the IRCs and Western Union must be detariffed not later than December 31, 1987. Second, the IRCs and Western Union must submit plans to this Commission, not later than June 30, 1984, which will be subject to approval by the Commission and which shall explain the detariffing principles and procedures which the applicant proposes to follow. These plans may incorporate proposals to detariff portions (or the entirety) of the embedded base before the December 31, 1987, deadline. The Commission, in reviewing these applications, will apply general guidelines intended to protect ratepayer and user interests while also giving the companies sufficient flexibility to meet their own business needs in carrying out the detariffing process.

77. Third, the principles which we adopt in this proceeding in connection with the detariffing of embedded CPE by AT&T and the independent telephone carriers also will apply to the IRCs and Western Union.[49] Finally, the accounting procedures ultimately adopted in *Amendment of Parts 34 and 35,* CC Docket No. 82-678, to ensure that nonregulated activities are accounted for in separate books shall apply to the embedded CPE offerings of the IRCs and Western Union after detariffing. See para. 65, *supra.*

78. We seek comments from interested parties regarding two sets of questions. First, are the detariffing principles and procedures we are proposing for the IRCs and Western Union sufficient to balance equitably the interests of ratepayers, users, and the record carriers? To the extent that deficiencies are perceived in the proposed plan, what alternatives should be considered as a better means of meeting the objectives of *Second Computer Inquiry* and this proceeding?

79. Second, do we need to adopt special rules for the true-up of the value of embedded assets already removed from regulated service, or will it be appropriate to carry out this true-up process on the basis of the generally applicable rules which we adopt in this proceeding?

IV. Conclusion

80. Today we propose policies to govern the detariffing of embedded CPE held by the BOCs, the independent telephone companies, the IRCs, and Western Union. We have set out our general regulatory concerns and objectives and, in the case of the BOCs and AT&T, we have summarized the AT&T detariffing proposal, discussed

[47] This is the date on which the interstate revenue requirement associated with that plant will be reduced to zero. *See* Amendment of Part 67 of the Commission's Rules and Establishment of a Joint Board, CC Docket No. 80-286, Decision and Order, 89 FCC 2d 1 (1982), *appeal docketed sub nom.* MCI Telecommunications Corp. v. FCC, Nos. 82-1237 & 82-1456 (D.C. Cir. Mar. 4, 1982). In Amendment of Part 67, CC Docket No. 80-286, the Commission adopted the Popenoe Plan for defining the monthly interstate revenue requirement associated with embedded CPE during the five years ending on December 31, 1987. We anticipate that an individual company's requirement, as defined by that plan, would remain unchanged by that company's schedule for removing its embedded CPE from its rate base.

[48] See Amendment of Parts 34 and 35, CC Docket No. 82-678, at para. 5.

[49] We already have indicated that a true-up procedure regarding the transfer value placed on embedded telex CPE already detariffed by the IRCs may be necessary as part of this proceeding. Amendment of Parts 34 and 35, CC Docket No. 82-678, at para. 19.

the comments of parties regarding an initial version of the AT&T plan, and sought further comments addressing the modified version of this plan. For the independent companies, we have presented a set of options for detariffing their embedded CPE and we have invited comment regarding these options or alternative proposals. In the case of the IRCs and Western Union, we have posed a general set of questions regarding whether our proposals sufficiently balance the interests of ratepayers, users, and the carriers and whether the valuation rules developed in this proceeding should be applicable to any embedded CPE already detariffed by these carriers.

81. One of the fundamental goals of *Second Computer Inquiry* has been to carry forward the policies of this Commission regarding deregulation and the fostering of competition in the enhanced services and CPE marketplaces. In this proceeding we are seeking to effectuate this goal as it relates to the embedded CPE base of the Bell System, the independent telephone companies, and the record carriers. We have expressed our tentative view that a transitional plan which combines the offering for sale of embedded CPE with the detariffing of that portion of the embedded base which is not sold offers the most promise for a transition to a deregulated environment with the least amount of customer dislocations and administrative costs.

82. In the case of the Bell System, AT&T has placed before us a detariffing and transfer plan which generally comports with our views regarding the most effective means for carrying out the transition. We emphasize, however, two concerns regarding the AT&T proposal. First, our perception of the plan is that it represents a framework upon which we can construct a more complete structure for realizing our objectives. Our review of the comments in this proceeding indicates that the AT&T proposal does not address several issues whch are of concern to various parties in this proceeding, and that a number of details remain to be worked out before we can be assured that a plan is fashioned which promotes our goals and objectives. The AT&T proposal, as we have noted in our discussion, has been modified to accommodate many of the concerns which have been raised, and it is our belief that further adjustments and modifications to the plan as a result of this proceeding may render the plan a suitable vehicle for carrying out the transition.

83. Second, our discussion has pointed out that several basic problems have to be resolved in order to accomplish detariffing in accordance with the schedule suggested in the AT&T proposal. At the center of these problems is the question of whether the portion of the embedded base which is offered for sale, and the rules under which the embedded base is valued for purposes of sale and transfer, will be sufficient to meet our regulatory objectives and the standards of *Democratic Central Committee*. Another set of problems involves the question of what provisions must be included in the plan regarding the terms and conditions of sales and leases by ABI in order to protect the interests of embedded CPE customers. Further, we must decide whether embedded intrasystem wiring should be treated as an element of the related embedded CPE for purposes of valuation and transfer. Finally, we must resolve several accounting issues, including the proper treatment of deferred taxes and investment tax credits.

84. We recognize that it would be facile to suggest that there are easy solutions to these problems, and we have sought to stress in this *Notice* that traditional utility regulation of carrier-provided CPE—as well as the way in which various consumers obtain and use their telephones—will be affected by the polices adopted by the Commission in this proceeding. It is our view, however, that the modified AT&T proposal has made substantial contributions toward resolving these issues. Further, we have presented tentative proposals in this *Notice* which are designed to expedite the deregulation of embedded CPE without jeopardizing competition or ratepayer and customer interests. In addition, we must keep squarely in view the fact that competitive market forces are increasingly rendering price regulation of CPE impractical and unwarranted. This compels that deregulation of the embedded base occur sooner rather than later. We also must not lose sight of the fact that, because of the timetable of divestiture, there is the potential that AT&T (and, as a consequence, its ratepayers) may be forced to inccur costs associated with maintaining the embedded base if detariffing and transfer to ABI are delayed. We consider it to be our reponsiblity to seek the avoidance of these costs if we can do so through devising a transitional plan which also promotes our procompetitive goals while minimizing dislocations and other costs. This *Notice* is a step in devising such a plan. We now seek the comments of interested parties as a further means of assisting us in this task.

85. In the case of the independent companies and the record carriers, we are able to view our objectives from a perspective which is not dominated by the impending divestiture of the Bell System. With regard to the independents, our primary concern is that detariffing be completed not later than December 31, 1987, the date on which the interstate revenue requirement for embedded CPE is reduced to zero. We also seek to ensure that the independents, working with state commissions, have sufficient latitude to establish detariffing plans which enable them to recover their investment in embedded CPE with minimum consumer dislocation. With regard to the record carriers, we also propose complete detariffing by the end of 1987 while granting the carriers flexibility in formulating their own plans, subject to our approval. Finally, we also are proposing that the accounting rules we adopt in this proceeding apply to the independents and the record carriers as well as to AT&T.

V. Initial Regulatory Flexibility Analysis

86. Interested parties are requested to comment upon the following initial regulatory flexibility analysis, which is included in this *Notice* in compliance with the requirements of the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601–612.

A. Reasons for Action Objective; Legal Basis

87. This *Notice* proposes policies of general applicability designed to implement the policies established in *Second Computer Inquiry* with respect to embedded CPE owned by various common carriers. The primary objective of this proceeding is to adopt detariffing and transfer plans for embedded CPE which facilitate the establishment of a deregulated, competitive market for CPE, while at the same time protecting ratepayer interests, minimizing user dislocations, and holding down administrative costs. The legal authority for this proposed rulemaking is contained in Sections 4(i), 4(j), and 201–205 of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 154(j), 201–205.

B. Applicability to Small Entities

88. The provisions of this proposed rulemaking would apply to AT&T, ABI, the BOCs, the independent telephone companies, the IRCs, and Western Union. It is our tentative view that none of these companies falls within the definition of "small business" as that term is used in the Regulatory Flexibility Act. In the case of independent

telephone companies, although we recognize that some of these carriers are small, we reiterate our conclusion in a recent proceeding that they are outside the narrow definition in that Act. *See MTS and WATS Market Structure*, CC Docket No. 78–72, Phase I, at para. 360 (local exhange carriers are excluded from definition of "small entity" because they are dominant monopolies in their fields of operation).

89. It also is our view, however, that the policy objectives of the Regulatory Flexibilty Act are similar to those set out in Sections 2(b) and 203(a) of the Communications Act to 1934, 47 U.S.C. 152(b), 203(a), the provisions of which are intended to relieve many small carriers from reporting requirements and other requirements established in the Act. Our intention in this proceeding, in proposing options for detariffing embedded CPE owned by independent telephone companies, is to minimize the transitional burdens imposed upon those companies. See paras. 71–73, *supra*, for a description of these options. We specifically invite the small independent companies, their trade associations, and others who may represent their interests to submit comments regarding these options and regarding other mechanisms which may meet our regulatory objectives in this proceeding while also minimizing reporting, recordkeeping, and compliance burdens upon the small independent carriers.

C. Other Considerations

90. We conclude that the proposed rules do not duplicate, overlap, or conflict with any existing Federal rules. It is our view that we are not required to examine significant alternatives to the proposed rules which would minimize significant economic impact upon small entities, because we have concluded that the proposed rules will not apply to small entities. As we have noted, however, we are considering several options regarding detariffing of embedded CPE owned by the independent companies, and our rulemaking will guided, in part, by our intention to fashion a detariffing plan for the independents which minimizes any adverse economic impact upon them.

VI. Comments Filing and Ordering Clauses

91. We have presented proposals which we believe will enable carriers to complete the transition to full deregulation of the CPE market with minimal cost and inconvenience to carriers and subscribers. We seek comments discussing whether these proposals adequately address the issues discussed in this *Notice*. If interested persons believe that the proposals fail to address relevant concerns we ask that they suggest modifications which would make the detariffing and transfer plan responsive to those concerns.

92. For purposes of this non-restricted notice and comment rulemaking proceeding, members of the public are advised that *ex parte* contacts are permitted from the time the Commission adopts a notice of proposed rulemaking until the time a public notice is issued stating that a substantial disposition of the matter is to be considered in a forthcoming meeting or until a final order disposing of the matter is adopted by the Commission, whichever occurs earlier. In general, an *ex parte* presentation is any written or oral communication (other than formal oral arguments) between a person outside the Commission and a Commissioner or a member of the Commission's staff which addresses the merits of the proceeding.

93. Any person who submits a written *ex parte* presentation must serve a copy of that presentation on the Commission's Secretary for inclusion in the public file. Any person who makes an oral *ex parte* presentation addressing matters not fully covered in any previously-filed written comments for the proceeding must prepare a written summary of that presentation, and that written summary must be served on the Commission's Secretary for inclusion in the public file, with a copy to the Commission official receiving the oral presentation. Each *ex parte* presentation described above must state on its face that the Secretary has been served, and must also state by docket number the proceeding to which it relates. *See generally* § 1.1231 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.1231.

94. Accordingly, it is hereby ordered, That, pursuant to Sections 4(i), 4(j) and 201–205 of the Communications Act of 1934, 47 U.S.C. 154(i), 154(j), 201–205, and 5 U.S.C. 553, notice is hereby given of the proposed adoption of new or modified rules, in accordance with the discussion and delineation of issues in this *Notice* and on the basis of previous notices and filings in this proceeding.

95. It is further ordered, That all interested persons may file comments on the issues and proposals discussed in this *Notice* not later than August 1, 1983 and that replies may be filed not later than August 22, 1983. In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.419 of the Commission's Rules, 47 CFR 1.419, an original and five copies of all statements, briefs, comments, or replies shall be filed with the Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C., 20554, and all such filings will be available for public inspection in the Docket reference Room at the Commission's Washington, D.C., offices. In reaching its decision, the Commission may consider information and ideas not contained in filings, provided that such information is reduced to writing and placed in the public file, and provided that the fact of the Commission's reliance on any such information or ideas is noted in the *Order*.

96. It is further ordered, That the Secretary shall cause this *Notice of Proposed Rulemaking* to be published in the **Federal Register.**

97. It is further ordered, That the Secretary shall transmit a copy of this *Notice* to the Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration in accordance with the Regulatory Flexibility Act.

98. It is further ordered, That the Secretary shall cause a copy of this *Notice* to be served on each state commission.

Federal Communications Commission.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary.

Appendix A

Summary of Comments and Replies Filed in Response to the Notice of Inquiry

I. Comments

Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee (Ad Hoc)

1. Ad Hoc believes that the commission should not base the value of embedded CPE on either the capital budgetary or opportunity cost approach. It should not use aggregate net book value because this raises the phantom CPE problem. Instead it should use the net book value of the surviving units to value embedded CPE. Any difference between the aggregate net book value and the surviving plant's net book value should be borne by AT&T shareholders since the phantom CPE problem was caused by the company's migration strategy. Ad Hoc finds the idea of selling embedded CPE to customers at the surviving plant's net book value to be a good one.

2. Ad Hoc notes that if the Commission has no idea of the embedded CPE's value, it cannot adequately judge whether carriers' claims are appropriate. It asserts that phantom investment improperly shifts costs to other CPE customers. Valuation based on the net book value of surviving units is more consistent with the economic value approach. Ad Hoc sees

a danger of stranded investment if economic value is less than net book value. It believes that a mandatory sales program will stimulate the CPE market and eliminate the possibility of a successful migration strategy. The value of the whole CPE account must be removed from the rate base. Ad Hoc presents an appendix to its comments analyzing Bell's migration strategy.

American Petroleum Institute (API)

3. API endorses all four options appearing in the *Notice of Inquiry*. It sees a need to protect CPE users. Since CPE users have often relied on contracts for CPE, they should be allowed to continue to rely on tariffed CPE until it is fully depreciated. API believes that asset valuation should be fair and based on something certain. Neither net book value nor economic value has this quality. For valuation, an asset's manufacturing cost and profit margin, less depreciation, must be determined.

American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T)

4. AT&T states that the Commission should move ahead, completing detariffing by January 1, 1984, to avoid inconvenience for a business user who otherwise will have to deal with two vendors. It notes that the MFJ changes the environment and makes the need for prompt (*i.e.*, pre-divestiture) detariffing pressing. Transfer of embedded CPE to its separate subsidiary is desirable because it will allow consumers to keep their equipment. Requiring its sale to third parties or subscribers is unwise and illegal. Developing a sale plan would be time consuming. The AT&T separate subsidiary must take over all equipment to avoid being saddled with only unwanted equipment. Sale would also have tax consequences.

5. AT&T asserts that the Communications Act does not empower the FCC to compel sales. Compelled sales would also violate the Fifth Amendment. The power of eminent domain cannot be used if property is transferred to private users or doesn't move. Nonetheless it believes that a voluntary sales plan might be developed. It believes that adjusted net book value is the appropriate basis for valuing embedded CPE. It asserts that using the capital budgeting or opportunity cost approaches is inappropriate because they are subjective. It also asserts that because there is no market for this equipment, and because AT&T already owns the facilities, these standards are inappropriate.

6. AT&T states that a utility is entitled to a fair return and full recovery of its investment. From this it infers that net book value is the appropriate method for valuing CPE. It notes that historically net book value has been used for intracompany transfers. AT&T asserts that the MFJ eliminates the threat of monopoly by its separate subsidiary. Because the MFJ gives terminal equipment to AT&T, bifurcation would require AT&T to establish a temporary regulated entity to handle tariffed CPE and then to phase it out. For carriers not subject to structural separation, AT&T asserts that there should be nonoperating accounts for detariffed CPE and enhanced services. Associated costs and revenues should be excluded from separations and settlements; only a share of common costs should be included. For sales of embedded CPE, salvage accounting in the depreciation reserve should be used. Taxes should be recorded in the normal tax account.

7. In its Appendix A, AT&T discusses the legality of any Commission compelled sale of its assets. It asserts that the Commission lacks condemnation power and that, even if it possessed this power, the Fifth Amendment bars property being taken for private use. In its Appendix B, AT&T presents an accounting plan for companies not subject to structural separation requirements. Its plan would segregate plant into three categories. Plant directly assigned to unregulated services should be excluded from plant in service accounts. Directly assigned expenses should be treated similarly. Based on these expenses, AT&T would allocate general overhead, taxes and tax credits between tariffed and non-tariffed services. For jointly used, untariffed plant, AT&T believes that records should be maintained to identify amounts allocated to basic and enhanced services with the later part to be subtracted from the rate base. Allocation should be based on the fully distributed cost methods and the ICAM principles. For sale of embedded CPE, depreciation reserve accounts should be used, with tax consequences charged to the operating taxes account. Any shortfall should be amortized and charged to the "Extraordinary Maintenance and Retirement" account. Any net gain should be recorded in Account 171 (Depreciation Reserve) and, if distortive, distributed in the remaining year.

California Public Utilities Commission (California)

8. California states that CPE tariffing is solely under the states' jurisdiction. It believes that the sale of CPE to customers is the most appropriate means of reducing the amount of embedded CPE. Equipment now under lease should remain available until fully depreciated. It then might either be given to the customer using it (at no charge) or transferred to a carrier's unregulated business. If not bought, but returned, the CPE should be transferred to the carrier's unregulated business. California believes that the "going-concern" value standard is appropriate. It points to the substantial investment in training CPE and sales forces, phone stores, which have low book value, but great value, and concludes that such intangibles must be taken into account in valuing CPE. It asserts that although CPE should be sold to customers at net book value, plus transaction costs, the transfer of CPE to a carrier's unregulated business should occur at higher prices. California claims that normal retirement accounting could unfairly benefit a carrier's affiliate, and also result in a revenue shortfall since sale proceeds are not recognized to cover the costs of a sale program. Extraordinary retirement accounting should be used.

Centel Corporation (Centel)

9. Centel states that the objectives of the implementation proceeding should be: rapid detariffing of embedded CPE; leaving telephone companies' financial integrity and ability to operate impaired; and permitting telephone companies to recover their capital investments. None of the options suggested in the *Notice of Inquiry* is sufficient by itself to achieve these goals, all must be used. The Commission should allow telephone companies to fashion their own mix within general boundaries. The first option, sale of embedded CPE, offers only a gradual, partial solution. This option would be enhanced if consumers also had the option of a short-term lease and then a choice of returning or buying the CPE. Sale should be at market value with ratepayers making up any shortfall. With detariffing there would be no need for regulated maintenance of CPE.

10. The second option, transfer, raises the question of transfer value. Centel states that transfer value should be no more than market value, with the difference between market and net book value made up by regulated ratepayers. While the third option, sale to a third party, may be appropriate for inventory, it has the problem of limited demand. The fourth option is little more than maintaining the *status quo*. As an interim measure it might provide time to make other plans. Centel believes that taxes and mortgage indenture obligations must also be considered in weighing how to proceed. Assets should

be valued at market value, which Centel equates with economic value, and not net book value. Centel states that there should be no mandatory transfer of fully recovered investment because this would be confiscation of property. Centel also asserts that the Commission should not prescribe any uniform accounting standards for connecting carriers.

Charles River Associates Inc. (CRA)

11. CRA proposes a method to value the embedded CPE base of the BOCs as a means of facilitating the transfer of the embedded base to ABI. Under CRA's proposal, the actual valuation of the embedded base would be determined by AT&T, but the transfer of the CPE to ABI would be subject to several constraints. First, AT&T's regulatory rate base would be decreased by the value of the CPE transferred to ABI. Second, AT&T would be required to submit to the Commission, at the time of the transfer, valuation schedules for the transferred CPE; the totals of the sums reflected in the schedule must equal the total value of the transferred CPE. Third, beginning on the transfer date, ABI would be required to extend to its customers the option of purchasing their installed CPT at prices not greater than the values established by ABI in the valuation schedules. Finally, AT&T would be able to sell or lease the embedded base only through ABI.

12. CRA argues that its valuation proposal has the following disadvantages. The proposal protects consumers by reducing the selling price of the embedded CPE, and the proposal also would encourage ABI to access accurately the market price of CPE sold by ABI. Further, the proposal tends to limit ABI's ability and incentives to price below the average cost of new CPE, and the proposal also offers greater price protection to consumers than does continued regulation of the embedded CPE. Finally, the proposal is simpler and easier to implement than any regulatory determination of net book value or market value.

Citizens of the State of Florida (Florida Citizens)

13. Florida Citizens states that any CPE transferred to nonregulated operations should be transferred at the higher of net book value or market value (however that is defined by the Commission). The Commission should also ensure that the remaining value of retired CPE is also transferred. Florida Citizens notes that under a theoretical depreciation reserve study the undepreciated balance of retired plant would stay with the monopoly. Because utility customers paid for "normalized" tax dollars (tax deferrals), tax benefits should stay with the regulated services. Payment should be for cash.

Computer and Business Equipment Manufacturers Association (CBEMA)

14. CBEMA asserts that it is important to adhere to the January 1, 1983, date for detariffing new CPE. It agrees that sale of embedded CPE to consumers is appropriate, and believes that the Commission should match its implementation plan to its goals and time frame. While CBEMA believes that assets should be valued at their economic value, it recognizes that net book value may be an easier proxy. It notes that allowing equipment to remain tariffed until it is retired mitigates the valuation problem. This approach, while perhaps slower, would lead to full deregulation.

Continental Telecom, Inc. (Continental)

15. Continental asserts that carriers must be allowed to recover their capital invested in CPE. It suggests allowing CPE to remain under tariff until the investment is fully amortized through depreciation recovery, approximately five years, but also allowing sales of telephones during this period. It asserts that net book value is the only fair and reasonable means of measuring the economic value of CPE. The original cost of the embedded CPE should be depreciated, with sale proceeds going toward salvage. The expenses of selling embedded CPE should be treated as regulated expense. During the time that the CPE investment is being amortized, carriers should remain responsible for its maintenance unless it is sold. On sale, its maintenance becomes the buyer's responsibility. The costs of deregulated activities should be recorded in subaccounts of the Miscellaneous Income account. Labor should be allocated similarly. Advertising expenses should be directly assigned based on purpose. Incremental cost studies should determine the allocation of other commercial and marketing costs.

District of Columbia Public Service Commission (District of Columbia)

16. The District of Columbia asserts that the Commission must defer to states on the choice of the option or options for detariffing embedded CPE, and of the method for valuing the CPE sold or transferred. If the Commission chooses to interject itself, it should wait until after the hearing on the MFJ. The CPE situation is not uniform across the country. Because, with the freeze on SPF, the valuation of embedded CPE cannot have an effect on interstate rates, valuation is outside the Commission's jurisdiction. The District of Columbia requests that the Commission issue a new notice if it resolves to continue this proceeding after the MFJ hearings.

Federal Executive Agencies (FEA)

17. FEA believes that in implementing CPE deregulation the paramount consideration should be CPE users. Consumers should be allowed to purchase embedded CPE at less than net book value or to continue leasing. If embedded CPE is not bought or leased, a carrier may sell it to an affiliate or third party at net book value or economic value, whichever is higher. BOCs should be allowed to continue offering emergency embedded CPE and should be allowed to tariff new emergency CPE.

Florida Public Service Commission (Florida)

18. Florida states that if the Commission is to act consistently with its bifurcation scheme, terminal equipment must remain tariffed until fully depreciated. State commissions should have flexibility to meet local needs. It suggests the option of state public auctions in tandem with in-place sales. Florida states that state commissions should be allowed to require telephone company to provide one basic primary instrument under tariff until that company develops the capacity to test subscriber lines separately. Florida states that for valuing embedded CPE, economic value is too complex. Florida recommends using net book value unless it is much less than economic value. In the latter case, economic value should be used. Florida believes that the BOCs should be allowed to provide new CPE. If they are not, the cost of the steelement should fall on AT&T and not its ratepayers. Florida asserts that if all CPE goes to AT&T, its value may exceed the sum of its parts. The MFJ should not alter the obligations that adhere to embedded CPE. The Commission should make clear that states can impose structural separation requirements on other carriers, and it should require an annual independent audit. In an appendix, Florida presents its proposed rules on sale and tariffing.

General Dynamics

19. General Dynamics believes that carriers should continue to provide embedded CPE until it is retired or depreciated or until eight years pass. The Commission should direct telephone

companies to sell CPE to consumers upon request. For returned equipment, carriers should have the option of refurbishing or selling at public auction. There should be a separate phase of the proceeding to investigate pricing and leasing methods. General Dynamics asserts that if CPE is transferred to a separate subsidiary, this should occur at economic value; net book value is inappropriate for such transfers. General Dynamics claims that to satisfy its goals related to CPE, the Commission should preempt the MFJ. The BOCs should be allowed to retain their embedded CPE. During a five-year transition period, however, they should be prohibited from providing new CPE to prevent a "mini-migration" strategy. After the transition they should be allowed to offer new CPE through a separate subsidiary only if it is not manufacturered by themselves or their affiliates. A major goal should be the elimination of any migration strategy. General Dynamics believes the only antidote to migration strategy is continued regulation of embedded CPE. General Dynamics predicts that removing CPE from the rate base will cause rate increase. Embedded CPE should remain tariffed, but unbundled and also available for sale. Creation of secondary markets in such CPE would have several desirable effects. General Dynamics finds the capital budgeting approach to be the superior method for valuing CPE or other assets.

General Telephone and Electronics (GTE)

20. GTE proposes that embedded CPE be deregulated during a seven-year transition period, with a goal of full recovery of the equipment's net book value and applicable costs of its disposition. GTE suggests permitting embedded CPE to remain in the rate base until fully retired while allowing carriers the flexibility to sell the CPE to the customer or a third party or to transfer it to untariffed services during this period.

21. Selective use of the sale and transfer options would achieve the desired results faster, but leads to tax recapture. GTE equates economic value of embedded CPE with the product of its replacement cost new and the unrecovered original investment divided by the original investment. It asserts that for single-line instruments, this value is not related to age. For sale of embedded CPE to an affiliate or its transfer to unregulated services the CPE should be valued at net book value less disposition costs. At the end of seven years, GTE would transfer the remaining CPE to an affiliate.

22. GTE believes that the Commission should play an active role and make its policies clear now. GTE claims that normal retirement accounting is adequate to handle transfers. Because Bell is dominant, GTE would permit no transfer of CPE to the AT&T separate subsidiary and would subject sales to consumers or third parties to special safeguards. In an attachment GTE proposes an accounting and cost allocation scheme for shared facilities and removing embedded CPE from the rate base.

Independent Data Communications Machinery Association (IDCMA)

23. IDCMA asserts that AT&T should offer embedded CPE on an unbundled, regulated basis until it is fully depreciated. Its users should have the option of purchasing, the equipment. IDCMA states that if embedded CPE is to be deregulated, this equipment should first be offered for sale to current subscribers, second, sold in place in small lots to third parties. Remaining plant should be transferred to AT&T's separate subsidiary at the greater of net book value and fair market value. Any shared maintenance or installation services should be performed only by the separate subsidiary, which should be given no CPE that results from the past monopoly. IDCMA claims that to prevent damage to consumers CPE must be transferred at no less than net book value. Consumers would benefit if market value exceeds net book value. IDCMA finds net book value a poor proxy for economic value because of inflation. IDCMA believes that the Commission has already granted too many waivers of its *Second Computer Inquiry* structural separation requirements. Although service sharing is inappropriate, if the Commission decides to allow it on an interim basis, it should require that the separate subsidiary provide the shared services.

Kansas Corporation Commission (Kansas)

24. Kansas believes that sale of embedded CPE to customers is an appropriate way to implement CPE detariffing. CPE should be removed from the intrastate rate base at the same rate as the Poponoe Plan removes it from the interstate base. Kansas finds sales to carrier affiliates or third parties troublesome because such sales may encourage monopoly depending on lot sizes. It believes that continued tariffing deserves consideration, especially in conjunction with sales to customers. The solution to the depreciated CPE problem is to give it to customers. Net book value should be the sale price. Kansas finds the deregulation of installation and maintenance for embedded CPE unwarranted. It would allow sharing of an installation and maintenance force if there was also strict accounting.

Michigan Public Service Commission Staff (Michigan)

25. Michigan asserts that the Commission should not attempt to decide which option or combination of options is best for deregulating embedded CPE in all states since states' needs and problems differ. Michigan endorses Option 1 (sale) on an optional basis to subscribers. Because CPE deregulation will result in higher local rates in Michigan is should be done slowly. Because Michigan law requires that its public service commission approve embedded CPE sales, the Commission cannot order the sale of this plant to a carrier's affiliate or third party. If the MFJ is approved, CPE prices should be set equal to the higher of net book value and fair market value. The Commission should allow unsold CPE to remain tariffed until fully retired. Michigan also asserts that the time period to complete detariffing should be approximately ten years, the time required to depreciate this plant fully. Michigan states that tariffed and untariffed costs should be separated. A combination of accounting procedures to accumulate the data base and allocation procedures to divide common costs and revenues is needed.

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC)

26. NARUC asserts that valuation intrudes into the states' jurisdiction, and therefore it prefers continued tariffing of embedded CPE until it is retired. This would not preclude sale of such plant under tariff.

New York State Department of Public Service (New York)

27. New York states that Option 3 is impractical because no firm is big enough to buy all the embedded CPE. New York has encouraged sales to consumers at a price no less than net book value, or, if no alternative equipment exists, at less than net book value. Sales should include limited warranties (30 to 60 days). The OCCs should be permitted to perform maintenance. Discussing the option of transferring the CPE to untariffed services, New York notes that customers have selected tariffed CPE by choice. It asks whether contracts would continue to be binding. It notes that immediate transfer also eliminates the possibility for states to encourage sales to

consumers. New York finds that allowing the plant to remain under tariff until retired is also undesirable. It may result in excessive operating company investment and ratepayer costs. Also, in areas where there is little regulated CPE, the telephone companies might have problems maintaining it.

28. New York states that because all three options individually produce undesirable results, there is a need to coordinate approaches. New York suggests that the sale-in-place option coupled with the option of continuing leasing under tariff for two years followed by transfer to a non-regulated affiliate solves many problems. It also provides time to make decisions. New York believes that the Commission should not abandon bifurcation or alter its basic scheme because of the MFJ. For valuation of the embedded CPE, New York states that using market value without bidding is arbitrary; new book value is the appropriate measure. New York notes that there is the problem of separating complex wiring from simple wiring. While net book value is suitable to use for valuing other equipment, it is not for land and buildings. Land and buildings can and should be appraised, and transferred at the appraised value. CPE should also be associated with new debt. New York has already established accounting procedures for dealing with the sale of embedded CPE. It summarizes them briefly.

North American Telephone Association (NATA)

29. NATA finds the capital budgeting process a sound basis for valuing embedded CPE. Net book value is the next most appropriate method. It serves to set a minimum price below which no transfer should occur. In setting the transfer price for CPE, the Commission must focus upon both the hardware value of the CPE and its "going concern" value. NATA warns that an improper application of capital budgeting principles, distorted, for example, by migration strategy, could produce an apparently low CPE value. It finds that *Democratic Central Committee* does provide some guidance on this issue.

30. NATA asserts that leaving CPE tariffed may aggravate the stranded CPE problem. It believes that it is desirable to encourage sales to third parties. If CPE is sold to consumers, the price should be fully compensatory. Because the stranded investment problem remains as long as CPE is in the rate base, detariffing should occur as soon as possible. NATA believes that the Commission must act on the valuation question as soon as possible and before divestiture.

31. NATA recognizes no need for separate accounting principles or standards for embedded and new CPE. The types of costs associated with enhanced services and with CPE are very different and should be accounted for differently. NATA believes that there is a need to segregate CPE sales in USOA (not just as part of Miscellaneous Income). There should be new schedules appended to form M entitled "Unrelated Business Income—CPE" for all carriers. In all cases accounting rules should prohibit shifting the costs of stranded investment to monopoly ratepayers.

North Dakota Public Service Commission (North Dakota)

32. According to the North Dakota, our foremost consideration should be that subscribers receive the maximum benefit from the useful life of CPE. It believes that the most effective means of detariffing embedded CPE is through its direct sale to consumers, priced to recover its net book value while avoiding unreasonably high prices. Consumers should also be given the option of purchasing embedded CPE in carriers' inventories or returned by other subscribers, with unsold CPE remaining under tariff. Carriers' tariffs should include provisions for sale as well as leasing of embedded CPE. North Dakota would permit large volume sales of unused inventory only on a case-by-case basis. For sales of embedded CPE to third parties or affiliates, asset valuation becomes more important. North Dakota finds economic value, as measured by the capital budgeting approach, to be appropriate, but reliance on independent appraisal to be most useful.

Rochester Telephone Company (Rochester)

33. Rochester states that the Commission should set a date certain for detariffing all CPE; this date should be the date of AT&T's divestiture. Before that date, carriers should be allowed to sell embedded CPE. Transfers from regulated accounts should be at net book value. Rochester believes that the MFJ has created changed circumstances making prompt detariffing necessary. Otherwise when AT&T receives all of the BOCs' embedded CPE, the states will need to regulate both AT&T and the BOCs, and then, soon after, deregulate. While the sales option is acceptable to it, Rochester believes that sales alone cannot achieve the prompt detariffing of all embedded CPE. When a sale price is above or below the net book value, there should be a credit or debit to the depreciation reserve for the appropriate equipment category. If regulation has set the correct depreciation rate, net book value will equal economic value. Rochester notes that the valuation process can be very costly.

Satellite Business Systems (SBS)

34. SBS asserts that the Commission should give carriers maximum flexibility for completing the detariffing and removal of embedded CPE from the rate base. It urges that a balance be sought among the interests of carriers, "captive" ratepayers and competitors.

Southern New England Telephone Company (SNET)

35. SNET believes that for asset valuation either economic value or net book value is suitable, as long as the investment is fully recovered. There is a problem, however, if net book value exceeds economic value because property can be transferred at net book value only if a utility's invested capital is otherwise protected. SNET asserts that any shortfall should be recovered from ratepayers on an amortized schedule. SNET states that transfer or sale of the embedded CPE can occur only after valuation is complete. It adds that the Commission cannot order a sale to third parties. SNET claims that retaining tariffed CPE imposes burdens on carriers. SNET believes that there should be new accounts separate from tariffed activities and sees a need to prevent the release of information in those accounts to the public. Until the USOA is rewritten, there should be interim segregation of non-tariffed activities through subaccounts in Account 316 (Miscellaneous Income) and Account 103 (Miscellaneous Physical Property). On an ongoing basis, there should be separate accounts to record directly incurred expenses and revenues for non-tariffed activities, with separate transfer subaccounts for shared costs.

Southern Pacific Communications Company (SPC)

36. SPC asserts that the Commission should select a method for valuing CPE that is consistent with the Commission's objectives. The market value of CPE depends upon AT&T's market power. The depreciated book costs of this embedded plant may more closely approximate its current or depreciated replacement value. SPC believes that it may become necessary to write off excessive depreciation against equity. If market value exceeds net book value, transferring embedded CPE at net book value creates a capital gain for AT&T and a capital loss for the BOCs. For this reason the plant should be transferred at

market value, with AT&T identifying any excess over net book value as "good will."

37. SPC believes that the key to sale of embedded CPE is its valuation. Requiring sale to a third party may be impossible and may unnecessarily burden AT&T's valid competitive business plan. SPC states that installed CPE should be transferred to AT&T's separate subsidiary and offered for sale to customers. It asserts that the Commission should also reconsider the provisions allowing sharing between this subsidiary and AT&T's regulated subsidiary in light of the divestiture. SPC believes that AT&T should not be insulated from capital losses. Such insulation should be given only against changes in regulation, not against market evolution.

United States Independent Telephone Association (USITA)

38. USITA asserts that the independents should be allowed maximum flexibility in removing their embedded CPE from tariffed services, inlcuding how they value and account for it. All four options presented in the *Notice of Inquiry* should remain open to them. The terms of sale or transfer should depend on particular market conditions. It adds that net book value is not necessarily indicative of an asset's market value.

United Telephone System, Inc. (United)

39. United proposes a five-year transition period, beginning January 1, 1983, in which embedded CPE is depreciated and removed from the books. During this period, old CPE would be segregated from new CPE through accounting procedures. Embedded CPE remaining at the end of the period would be transferred from the books at market price value. It could be sold to consumers, with any shortfall amortized over two years. Any surplus would be for the ratepayers' benefit. United notes that this plan is consistent with the five-year phase-out of CPE in separations.

40. United believes that because indenture contracts differ, the Commission should reserve their treatment to the states, provided that states achieve their removal within five years. At the end of the transition, CPE should be fully depreciated. If there is an unrecovered balance, however, the ratepayers should provide full recovery. Because a mandated transfer to customers may transfer the shortfall to stockholders, it is unwarranted. States should use market value for valuation, but, United cautions, no single valuation procedure is appropriate for all CPE.

Virginia State Corporation Commission (Virginia)

41. Virginia asserts that many customers regard CPE as a vital part of utility services. Thus the impact of CPE detariffing upon basic service subscribers must be throughly explored. Virginia recognizes a need to coordinate asset valuation proceedings. It believes that customers should be allowed to purchase CPE on an optional basis, with net book value the minimum price, or to lease equipment on a tariffed basis until it is fully retired.

Wisconsin Public Service Commission (Wisconsin)

42. Wisconsin asserts that the Commission should suspend its actions in this docket in light of the speed and contrary direction shown by the court in the MFJ and the still pending appeal of the *Second Computer Inquiry* decision.

II. Reply Comments

Ad Hoc Telecommunications Users Committee (Ad Hoc)

43. According to Ad Hoc, the sales price for embedded CPE should be based upon the net book value attributable to surviving CPE, not aggregate net book book value. Existing unit net book value is the closest approximation to economic value that can be achieved. Any shortfall in capital revovery should be absorbed by AT&T stockholders. Ad Hoc asserts that the Commission can legally require a sales program and there is a need for speed. Ad Hoc believes that the sale of inplace CPE is necessary, should begin no later than January, 1983, and should continue for at least two years. Regulators should be able to review prices, based on the net book value of remaining CPE. The Commission should require carriers to identify plant in service by June 1, 1983, with valuation based on net book value of plant held as of January 1, 1983. This should encourage rapid sales.

44. Ad Hoc claims that AT&T's dominance in the CPE market explains why only AT&T opposes sale. It believes that any inconvenience arising from the present regulatory scheme would be far outweighed by the potential economic injury from the course AT&T advocates, particularly to smaller, less sophisticated users. Ad Hoc asserts that the idea that phantom investment be amortized recognizes that it is essentially "good will" and should not be paid by current customers. Ad Hoc believes that extraordinary accounting for CPE sales is appropriate. The Commission must prescribe reasonable accounting rules for carriers not subject to structural separation requirements. Ad Hoc claims that the accounting treatments suggested by carriers appear to overallocate costs to regulated operations.

American Telephone and Telegraph Co. (AT&T)

45. AT&T asserts that post-transfer pricing of embedded CPR is irrelevant; there is no reason to investigate this or to consider additional *Second Computer Inquiry* structural safeguards. It adds that those arguing that implementation of *Second Computer Inquiry* should be depayed because of the MFJ are wrong; the MFJ makes the need for prompt implementation even more pressing. It characterizes the Commission's task as large but manageable and describes it to be development of procedures for disposition of embedded CPE. AT&T states that long delays in detariffing are tantamount to never detariffing embedded CPE. And, while continuing to provide CPE under tariff solves the valuation problem, so does transfer at adjusted net book value. AT&T claims that a mandatory sales program is illegal, but agrees to work with state commissions on a single-line CPE sales program. Business CPE customers however, are best served by ABI, perhaps with a pledge of reasonable price predictability.

46. AT&T challenges arguments based on migration strategy, and asserts that its pricing is designed to serve its customers best. In any case, it claims, not all CPE retirement is caused by migration. Deferred taxes should be transferred with the equipment. Alternatives to net book value for valuing embedded CPE are unworkable. "Going concern" is unrealistic because it makes stockholder pay twice for good will and is contrary to Commission precedent. "Higher of" methodologies proposed by some commenting parties betray a desire to gain self-serving results. AT&T believes that the importance of separating regulated activities from provision of CPE warrants uniform accounting practices and procedures for all telephone companies not subject to separate subsidiary requirements. Amounts allocated to untariffed activities should be accounted for "below the line" because they are unrelated to tariffed business. AT&T asserts that if sale of embedded CPE is mandated and if an undue depletion or distortion occurs, the net amount should be transferred from the depreciation reserve at the end of the sale period.

California Public Utility Commission (California)

47. California believes the question to be whether BOC or AT&T ratepayers share in the appreciated value of embedded CPE. California asserts that BOC ratepayers bore the risk and should therefore receive the reward.

Centel Corporation (Centel)

48. Centel Calls for a "flexible implementation environment." It would prefer a five-year period to complete detariffing and amortizing investment in embedded CPE. Centel believes that carriers should be allowed to sell CPE at market price and notes that such sales reduce embedded investment.

Computer and Business Equipment Manufacturers Association (CBEMA)

49. CBEMA urges the Commission to adhere to the January 1, 1983, date for detariffing all new carrier-provided CPE. It believes that embedded CPE should remain in the rate base for some time and that the Commission should do nothing to support AT&T's migration strategy. CBEMA finds direct sale of embedded CPE a desirable option for removing it from the rate base. CBEMA asserts that net book value, not adjusted net book value, is the appropriate transfer price. The difference between the two values is largely due to AT&T's migration strategy and should be borned by its stockholders. Allowing embedded CPE to remain tariffed for awhile is a good idea because it would limit AT&T's market power. CBEMA states that while compulsory sales programs are legal and desirable, voluntary sales programs are better. In an Appendix, CBEMA justifies the constitutionality of a compulsory sales program.

Continental Telecom, Inc. (Continental)

50. According to Continental, the key to any successful implementation plan is meeting the continuing subscribers' needs during the transition period and also recovering investment in the embedded CPE. Continental states that independents' detariffing plans should not be tied to AT&T's divestiture date. Continental asserts that a carrier cannot simply transfer equipment at net book value to the unregulated side because this would result in losses to shareholders. Investment in embedded CPE should be written off "above the line." It finds a two-year period to be too short for a sales program. Continental believes that net book value is the only reasonable means of valuing the embedded CPE, and that there is no need for extraordinary accounting.

Federal Executive Agencies (FEA)

51. FEA states that the Commission can either focus on ensuring that current CPE users are provided those choices (*i.e.*, purchase, continued lease, or neither) that would be available in a truly competitive market, while still protecting the investment of the carriers and their shareholders, or, the Commission can accede to the carriers' desire to preserve their ability to engage in "maneuvers" to maximize their prospective market positions. The former is the appropriate course.

General Dynamics

52. General Dynamics asserts that its original proposals are the most appropriate for implementing the Commission's *Second Computer Inquiry* decision. The immediate detariffing of embedded CPE that AT&T urges is detrimental to telephone ratepayers. General Dynamics asserts that AT&T's argument that consumers would be inconvenienced by multiple vendors for embedded CPE is without merit. It adds that the CPE market is not competitive. General Dynamics urges the Commission to preempt the MFJ and to require that embedded CPE remain with the BOCs. It claims that equipment sales will protect the interests of shareholders, participating customers and other subscribers and an active secondary market will stimulate competition.

53. General Dynamics states that what AT&T regards as defects are solved by correct assumptions. Sales of embedded CPE should take place at economic value, with unsold equipment remaining under tariff until it is fully depreciated or auctioned to third parties. Economic value should equal the current cost of replacing the equipment with the most efficient technology. Using net book value would be easy, but an abdication of responsibility. If economic value is less than net book value, the investment should be regarded as "not prudent." Book losses, if any, represent stranded investment resulting from migration strategy and should be borne by AT&T's shareholders. Because the ratepayers assumed the risks, any gains belong to them; ratepayers, however, should not be responsible for the shortfalls related to AT&T's migration strategy. General Dynamics asserts that the Commission may legally compel sales.

General Telephone and Electronics (GTE)

54. GTE finds that commenting parties agree on the need for flexibility. While the industry agrees that asset valuation should be based on net book value, there is no such consensus among the public service commissions. GTE believes cost recovery to be an important issue. Some proposals, especially California's, raise concern about confiscation. California has proposed sale of embedded CPE to consumers, but asks telephone companies to pay more for what consumers do not want to buy. GTE believes that the Commission, not the States, must implement *Second computer Inquiry*. GTE characterizes AT&T's proposal as "flash cut." It states that because transfer of embedded CPE to ABI defeats the purpose of a competitive CPE market, no such transfer should occur on any basis. GTE asserts that normal retirement accounting, with minor modifications, is adequate to record disposition of embedded CPE. CPE that is fully depreciated should be transferred to unregulated operations at prices reviewed by the appropriate commissions. Under the California proposal, which would have sale gains lead to immediate rate reductions, sale gains would be unavailable to offset future losses in CPE investment recovery.

Independent Data Communications Machinery Association (IDCMA)

55. IDCMA says that if early detariffing is selected, equipment should first be offered to subscribers and then to third parties in small lots. If BOCs' embedded CPE is transferred to a fully separated subsidiary, it should be valued at the greater of net book value and fair market value. Net book value would not compensate ratepayers for gains in the value of embedded CPE. *Second Computer Inquiry* separation requirements should be strengthened. IDCMA finds no legal merit to AT&T's claim that the Commission cannot require sale of embedded CPE. The Commission has authority to unbundle and detariff CPE; sale is but one means of accomplishing this. The authority to modify tariffs or its powers under ancillary jurisdiction would allow the Commission to impose a sale option under tariff. According to IDCMA, not only is a sales requirement not a "taking," but also sales could be considered a public use. IDCMA asserts that nothing in the MFJ or *Second Computer Inquiry* would require AT&T to establish a regulated CPE subsidiary; its interexchange service provider could fill this role.

North American Telephone Association (NATA)

56. NATA believes that the Commission should adopt net book value for valuing embedded CPE and make it binding on the states. It believes that the capital budgeting approach cannot be implemented. It asserts that underdepreciation is a generic problem and not a result of migration strategy. To sell embedded CPE at surviving unit value ignores the "going concern" value of this plant.

57. According to NATA, the original rationale for bifurcation has been eroded by the MFJ and by the decision to remove CPE from separations. The Commission should set an early date for completing valuation and transfer of the embedded plant. NATA describes the transferred CPE as a "stand alone rate base." It suggests allowing the states to tariff it for a transition period, as long as this is consistent with Commission policies.

58. NATA believes that CPE accounting records must be separated from USOA. Shared services and assets must be identified and allocated between regulated and unregulated operations. It sees a need to minimize carrier discretion in this area and concludes that as many common costs as possible should be directly assigned. NATA asserts that the GTE proposal places to many entries in one account, preventing proper oversight. It concludes that the use of subaccounts and transfers by journal entry defeat the purpose of *Second Computer Inquiry*.

Rural Telephone Coalition (RTC)

59. RTC urges that during implementation there should be maximum deference to state regulatory authorities and maximum flexibility for individual operating companies. The Commission must distinguish between BOCs and small companies. RTC sees many advantages to reduction according to depreciation accruals. It asserts that there is no need for the Commission to be concerned with valuation. If it becomes concerned, it should reject the capital budgeting method as unrealistic and impractical. Valuation should not exceed net bood value and carriers should have the option of using more detailed cost studies for valuing embedded CPE.

Southern New England Telephone Co. (SNET)

60. SNET asserts that the Commission's primary concern in this docket must be the prudent recovery of investment in CPE. If the transfer value for this plant is less than net bood value, carriers must be allowed to recover their shortfalls from regulated service subscribers. SNET believes that the States should be allowed to select from a limited set of options to implementation plan for their jurisdictions. It asserts that mandatory sale to third parties would be unconstitutional and that accounting data should not be open for public inspection.

Southern Pacific Communications Co. (SPC)

61. SPC asserts that AT&T continues to make the same arguments that it has already made in favor of flash-cut, despite the Commission's recognition of these arguments and its rejecting them. SPC adds that considering the importance of *Second Computer Inquiry*, it would be catastrophic to rush CPE deregulation for a minor convenience to AT&T. AT&T will remain dominant in many markets even after the MFJ is implemented. It retains a large degree of monopoly power in the CPE market and its large market share allows it to use techniques unavailable to others, *e.g.*, the migration strategy.

62. SPC claims that AT&T's plans for CPE detariffing are contrary to the public interest because they do not include a sale to customer option. The lease only policy has given AT&T market power. If consumers could buy the embedded plant, AT&T would be unable to exercise monopoly power and the secondary market in CPE could develop. SPC asserts that the Commission may require sale. It claims that taking is not a relevant argument and the Commission's expansive powers implicitly include such authority. On the valuation issue, SPC claims that AT&T can measure value of individual units (*e.g.*, PBXs). It asserts that tax consequences are minor and adds that taxes have to be paid sometime—this just hastens the date of payment. It also claims that most CPE is already so old as to avoid recapture of investment tax credit. SPC asserts that AT&T's claims that state commissions are against sale is not supported by state comments. It finds net book value is an inappropriate measure of value because of migration strategy and excessive premature retirements. SPC suggests that the Commission can use changes in stock prices to evaluate the value of CPE transferred. CPE costs due to prematurely retired plant should be set at market value, but CPE transfer to AT&T's separate subsidiary should be at net book value. Market value does not differentiate between costs and profits and thus can make cost/profit monitoring difficult.

United Telephone System, Inc. (United)

63. United asserts that most parties are in accord with United's proposal that there be a transition period to assure full capital recovery. During this transition, maintenance responsibility would remain with the company with maintanance charges made current, preferably on the basis of cost to perform. Once the CPE would be transferred, the customer would be responsible for its maintenance. United claims that transfer or sale at market value, with any shortfall recovered from ratepayers, would permit carriers to maintain their financial integrity and encourage rapid transition. It finds net book value an unrealistic measure of CPE value, and adds that even if legal, mandated sale in place is not the best alternative to accomplish detariffing of embedded CPE. It also believes that state commissions are the proper forums in which to resolve this issue.

Appendix B

Summary of the AT&T Supplementary Comments and Comments Filed in Response to AT&T Supplementary Comments

I. Supplementary Comments of AT&T-Filed October 28, 1982

1. AT&T claims that developments since it drafted its initial comments several months ago confirm the pressing public interest in the detariffing of all embedded CPE no later than January 1, 1984. One such development was the incorporation into the MFJ of a proposal that the BOCs be allowed to offer new CPE. AT&T observes that since initial comments were filed in this proceeding in June 1982, CPE competition has continued to grow and the Commission has proved able to execute its *Second Computer Inquiry* program. Purchase options, AT&T states, protect customers from changes resulting from detariffing.

2. AT&T asserts that the fundamental assumption underlying bifurcation, that AT&T embedded base operations can piggyback on local exchange operations, no longer applies as a result of the MFJ, and that bifurcation would impose heavy costs on AT&T, both in establishing a separate subsidiary and in filing new tariffs. AT&T believes that net book value is the proper valuation methodology. AT&T proposes that it continue to offer embedded single-line CPE for sale for two years after divestiture with a price predictability program, limiting price increases to the increase in the CPI. For leased CPE, a maximum nationwide price will be established that will limit increases.

Increases must be at least nine months apart.

3. For business customers, AT&T proposes national rental prices for all in-place CPE transferred to American Bell with an 18–month price freeze, honoring outstanding arrangements, price reductions for those who do not lease under contract and who pay more than the national level, and price increases for those paying less, maintenance support and prior notice of product phase-out, and a purchase option after the price predictability period for in-place equipment of a type offered new by American Bell. AT&T proposes a schedule involving announcement of price predictability plans within three months of release of an order by the Commission, a capitalization plan supplement to cover issuance of additional stock in exchange for transferred CPE, and detariffing beginning by July 1983, and ending by January 1, 1984.

4. AT&T included an Appendix describing its sale option for residence and business single-line CPE. AT&T intends to file tariffs by BOCs in each state regulatory jurisdiction where such filing has not already been made. The sales price would reflect net book value, transaction costs, and refurbishment costs. For 90 days, a lower price would be available for embedded CPE. Subsequently, this lower price would be available only for CPE that had been in place for at least 12 months. For a minimum of two years, price increases would be limited to the percentage increase in the CPI. Telephones purchased from inventory would include a 90-day warranty and those purchased in place a 30-day warranty.

II. Comments

Centel Corporation (Centel)

5. Centel states that is has previously recommended ensuring the detariffing of CPE within five years, avoiding precipitous economic impacts, and permitting full recovery of costs. Within these parameters telephone companies should have flexibility. Centel states that it urged the Commission to allow telephone companies the flexibility to elect at any time during the five-year period to transfer CPE to a subsidiary, an unregulated account, or a third party. Centel renews its call for maximum flexibility.

Computer and Business Equipment Manufacturers Association (CBEMA)

6. CBEMA supports certain aspects of the AT&T proposal but believes that the overall plan must be rejected as unfair. Transfer of embedded CPE at an adjusted net book value does not reflect the fair market value of such equipment and would allow ABI to reap windfall profits at the expense of ratepayers. CBEMA asserts that the use of net book value for divestiture purposes does not support transfer of CPE at adjusted net book value. This transfer unlike divestiture takes place between regulated and unregulated entities. Furthermore, adjusting net book value to reflect tax reserves goes beyond the approach approved by Judge Greene. CBEMA finds it noteworthy that AT&T's proposed sales program will sell CPE at prices that reflect factors other than net book value. Moreover, this sales plan does not allow businesses to buy their CPE. CBEMA concludes that these problems can be reduced by a requirement that ABI's prices reflect the transfer value. Alternatively, CPE could continue to be offered under tariff.

People of California and the Public Utilities Commission of California (California)

7. California concurs with AT&T that offering embedded CPE for sale to customers is appropriate, but believes that deregulation of embedded CPE is inappropriate at this time; a sales program should be conducted under tariff for five years. Offering embedded CPE, both single-line and multiline, for sale during a five-year transitional stage is an appropriate consumer protection. This market is not competitive. The consumer's interests are unchanged by divestiture. The concern over confusion and waste resulting from requiring regulated offering should not be decisive.

8. California observes that AT&T has not promised to sell embedded CPE at its cost once this equipment is transferred to ABI. It therefore overlooks the contributions made by ratepayers. Because this equipment is depreciating it should decline rather than increase in price. California endorses the AT&T filing to the extent that AT&T commits itself to sell embedded equipment at a price no greater than net book value, plus transaction costs, on the condition that states retain regulatory oversight. California observes that AT&T's price predictability program is ambiguously defined, and limits the price predictability period to only two years. California submits that a five-year period is appropriate. One solution is to allow tariffs to remain in effect and allow ABI to charge whatever it wants, allowing customers to choose. California also submits the California PUC decision ordering sale of single-line equipment.

California Hotel and Motel Association (CH&MA)

9. CH&MA is particularly concerned that the Commission allow sufficient time for users to make transition and not be locked into a poor bargaining position. It states that AT&T does not appear to plan to cooperate with business system sales programs. CH&MA recommends that the Commission order AT&T to:

(1) Help implement a sales option for multiline terminal equipment at least until January 1, 1984;

(2) Offer embedded multiline terminal equipment for sale at net book value, with the purchase option to remain in effect for two years after detariffing; and

(3) Offer embedded multiline terminal equipment for rent at a price no higher than that currently paid in the jurisdiction for a period of three years, with adjustments for inflation.

Federal Executive Agencies (FEA)

10. FEA does not support action that reduces customer choice, particularly elimination of the ability to obtain CPE under tariff. Moreover, FEA submits, aspects of AT&T's proposal unnecessarily favor AT&T and ABI at the expense of the embedded CPE user. FEA therefore urges rejection of the proposal. In the alternative it submits several changes. FEA has proposed that customers using CPE should be allowed to purchase CPE at net book or economic value, whichever is lower; that customers be allowed to continue leasing until CPE is fully retired; and that CPE not sold or leased be sold to a third party, or transferred to an affiliate at the higher of net book cost and economic value.

11. FEA argues that the AT&T proposal is inadequate because customers are not allowed to purchase business systems; customers are denied tariffed rates; no restrictions are put on initial price; the price protection is inadequate; business users cannot purchase at the end of the price predictability period; it encourages migration strategies; and purchase options are unrealistic. FEA urges that administrative costs of continued tariffing are not too high. It also states that the administrative costs of such an approach are likely to be necessary under the AT&T plan. If the Commission should adopt the AT&T proposal, FEA submits that the Commission should permit the BOCs to begin marketing detariffed new CPE at the same time AT&T/ABI is permitted to begin transfer of embedded CPE to detariffed service.

General Telephone and Electronics (GTE)

12. GTE states that the Commission has already twice rejected the flash-cut approach requested by AT&T. GTE believes that the Commission should not impose on GTE an accelerated program deregulating embedded CPE. GTE and other independent companies should be free to fashion and implement their own deregulation plan. GTE states that it has relied upon the bifurcation approach and that an accelerated deregulation program for embedded CPE would present serious problems. Such a decision would aggravate tensions with state commissions, and, if adequate provision were not made for full recovery under regulation of capital investment, constitutional problems could arise. GTE urges the Commission to make certain that state commissions not force independents into an accelerated deregulation.

Independent Data Communications Manufacturers Association (IDCMA)

13. IDCMA believes that CPE should continue to be offered under tariff or offered for sale to customers and third parties before being transferred to ABI. Any transfer should take place at net book value or fair market value, whichever is higher. IDCMA asserts that all users should have a purchase option. The need for a sales program is identical in residential and business markets.

14. IDCMA argues that embedded CPE should continue to be offered by BOCs until divestiture to allow BOCs to retain personnel needed to develop *de novo* BOC entry. IDCMA opposes AT&T's request for a waiver that would involve BOC billing. It also opposes use of adjusted net book value.

15. IDCMA asserts that AT&T's admission that ABI will not be able to perform the functions associated with embedded CPE by January 1, 1984, is a reason for the Commission not to approve AT&T's request that embedded CPE be transferred to ABI on that date. IDCMA notes that the proposed adjusted net book value concept is different from that adopted by the Court in *United States* v. *AT&T*. Moreover, this case is different in that facilities are to be removed from the rate base.

International Communications Association (ICA)

16. ICA opposes the AT&T plan but recognizes the legitimacy of some of AT&T's concerns. Bifurcation does generate confusion, but ICA believes that such confusion can be minimized. Full deregulation is not essential to minimize the logistical problems that AT&T bears. ICA views the price predictability program as inadequate as it does not prevent a migration strategy. The plan does not provide businesses the protection afforded to single-line customers. There is no assurance that the national prices for embedded vintage PBX and key equipment would not equal the target price developed as part of a migration strategy. There is no basis for believing, ICA states, that the business market is more competitive than the single-line market.

17. ICA proposes an alternative transition plan involving a national price based upon the weighted average price of CPE under state tariffs as of December 31, 1982, with variable costs adjusted to changes in the CPI. ABI could petition for permission for unexpected increases in costs as proposed by AT&T. Such a base price would grant appropriate deference to rate decisions of individual state commissions. This would not affect the transfer price issue. This transition period should be extended to 30 months, beginning with divestiture. Twelve-month notice of product phase-out should be required. Sale at reasonable prices based on net book value would be in the public interest and frustrate migration.

Staff of Kansas Corporate Commission (Kansas)

18. Kansas generally supports AT&T's proposal to sell embedded CPE and its price predictability programs. Kansas is, however, concerned about the price level. Kansas believes that multiline customers would also benefit from the sale option. Kansas is unable to understand why only equipment of the type offered new by AT&T should be available for purchase at the end of the price predictability period. Kansas questions the appropriateness of having the regulated entity bill customers for detariffed equipment.

Michigan Public Service Commission Staff (Michigan)

19. Michigan states that approval of the MFJ results in the loss of any CPE contribution to holding down local rates. It therefore no longer opposes deregulation and supports AT&T's proposal contingent upon certain changes. Michigan finds the pricing predictability proposal is inadequate and recommends a four- or five-year period. The maximum amount is acceptable only if approved by relevant state commissions. Michigan proposes relying on the tariff rate prevailing immediately prior to deregulation. Michigan believes it to be essential that state commissions be able to require telephone companies to supply a basic instrument and maintenance on a deregulated basis. Michigan also supports a sales program for both residential and business subscribers.

New York State Department of Public Service (New York)

20. With important reservations, New York endorses the AT&T proposal. Because the divestiture date is uncertain, New York favors detariffing embedded CPE coincident with divestiture. New York states that, if AT&T is allowed to use the BOCs for billing embedded CPE, it should be assessed "full costs", meaning what it would have cost AT&T if it chose not to rely on the BOCs. New York observes that AT&T is ambiguous as to the status of party-line CPE. It believes that the issue of party line CPE deserves full Commission consideration. The Commission should also investigate the division of inside wire into deregulated and regulated elements. New York urges the Commission to classify the status of BOCs under *Second Computer Inquiry* and also to consider detariffing timetables for independent companies. New York believes that failure to return CPE should not be considered a purchase. After the two-year transition, AT&T would be free to levy such charges.

North American Telephone Association (NATA)

21. NATA's primary concern is with AT&T's proposals regarding business systems CPE. NATA has generally supported use of net book value. The adjustments proposed by AT&T, however, could have serious consequences. NATA opposes sell-off to subscribers. It leaves the potential for stranded investment. NATA recommended detariffing no later than divestiture. Problems seen by those opposed to detariffing could be solved by early evaluation and removal of embedded CPE but not necessarily detariffing it at that time.

22. According to NATA, options are either to remove embedded CPE from the rate base and put it in another rate base or to detariff. Detariffing need not occur prior to divestiture. Inconvenience to AT&T need not be a major factor in support of detariffing. NATA claims that many of the problems cited by AT&T are not real. The relevance of AT&T's proposed price predictability period is not clear to NATA. The Commission would find it difficult to hold AT&T to any commitments. The meaning of national prices is also unclear.

Rural Telephone Coalition (RTC)

23. RTC has recognized the problems that bifurcation would pose to the Bell System, but believes that these difficulties should not lead the Commission to eliminate bifurcation for independents. Not being parties to the MFJ, independents should not be required to comply with time schedules established under it. Those independents that are ready for detariffing should not be held back. The Commission, RTC states, should permit each telephone company the option of determining its own detariff date.

Southern Pacific Communications Company (SPC)

24. SPC had favored detariffing in combination with a customer purchase option at a price based on net book costs. Such a sales program could limit AT&T's ability to dictate a migration strategy. If the migration strategy is successful, SPC states, BOCs will not develop as potent competitors to the CPE market. AT&T's proposal for detariffing and transfer of business systems will not provide multiline business customers with adequate protection. The price predictability program could be based on high costs. SPC states that AT&T is actually proposing to regulate itself on a voluntary basis. Should regulation be desired, the Commission should be the regulator, not AT&T.

United Technologies Communications Company (UTCC)

25. UTCC, confines its comments to deregulation of business systems. According to UTCC, the only real issues are mechanics and timing. The facts, UTCC states, are that AT&T owns a huge share of this equipment and that its share will fall slowly. Flash-cut deregulation fits best with the migration strategy, which defeats the whole purpose of deregulation. The public interest, UTCC asserts, requires a phased deregulation. UTCC adds that AT&T's promises are not binding. UTCC has urged that embedded business systems remain under tariff until fully depreciated or for eight years. The Commission should defer any action until it decides what to do about the migration strategy.

26. UTCC believes that the issues in business systems differ almost entirely from those raised by deregulation of consumer products. The administrative burdens of keeping business systems under regulation would not be too large. UTCC also argues that hybridization is not a problem. UTCC is concerned that AT&T does not intimate how the national price is to be selected or whether large price changes might result. The Commission should defer further consideration until more information becomes available.

United Telephone System, Inc. (United)

27. United has advocated a five-year transition (concurrent with the phase-out of CPE from toll settlements) for removal of embedded CPE from carriers' books. AT&T's position is not the same as that of other companies and a one-year transition may be appropriate for AT&T/ABI. ABI and AT&T could apparently absorb such a burden shift but United could not. Therefore, United submits that the Commission should not apply the AT&T proposal to the independent companies but should adopt a five-year transition period with details left to state commissions and affected telephone companies.

United States Independent Telephone Association (USITA)

28. USITA argues that the issues here are closely related to those before the court in connection with the AT&T divestiture proceeding and those before the Federal/State Joint Board in CC Docket No. 80–286. While matters presented in the Supplementary Comments appear unique to AT&T and thus any resulting Commission action would presumably not be applied to independents, USITA is concerned about the relationship among the various proceedings.

Utilities Telecommunications Council (UTC)

29. UTC supports AT&T's recommendations with minor reservations. UTC is concerned that "prior notice" for phase-out of CPE offering is nowhere defined; UTC recommends prior notice at least six months in advance. UTC also recommends a customer billing protection feature.

III. Reply Comments

American Telephone and Telegraph Company (AT&T)

30. AT&T notes that its proposals address Bell System embedded CPE only and take no position with respect to the disposition of other firms' assets. AT&T states that filings of consumer groups other than UTC are inconsistent with *Second Computer Inquiry* policies and the rights of others. It states that its competitors seem to intend to impugn AT&T's motives and call into question the Commission's *Second Computer Inquiry* policies. AT&T notes that only one state regulatory commission opposes detariffing and transfer. AT&T states that untariffed ABI embedded base operations could be joined with new CPE operations and avoid cost duplication. Sharing would be only a partial substitute. AT&T asserts that California's proposal to delay detariffing new CPE is tantamount to scuttling *Second Computer Inquiry*, and would disrupt AT&T and other company planning.

31. AT&T claims that costs of tariffing would be substantial and that bifurcation does cause hybridization problems. AT&T notes that many parties' comments show a desire to delay or avoid detariffing. It adds that the details involved in detariffing are major and time-consuming, comments of other parties notwithstanding. AT&T states that prices set for all PBX, key and single-line CPE will not exceed the highest tariff price previously approved by a state commission, but will not provide full information that could unfairly benefit competitors. According to AT&T, past underdepreciation makes a windfall unlikely.

32. AT&T states that every effort will be made to provide as much notice as possible concerning product line phase-out and expresses its willingness to begin selling at national prices a broad range of embedded products as soon as detariffing has been completed, *i.e.*, January 1, 1984. AT&T states that no comments overcome the inevitable conclusion that only adjusted net book value is both theoretically appropriate and amenable to implementation. It notes that adjusted net book value is the standard used in the MFJ proceeding.

Computer and Business Equipment Manufacturers Association (CBEMA)

33. CBEMA Notes a general lack of support for AT&T's proposal. It finds broad support for a tariffed sales program, but observes that the value of the proposed price protection plan was questioned. Others proposed extending sales programs to business systems. CBEMA believes that the record in this proceeding lacks sufficient focus for finalizing an appropriate implementation plan. According to CBEMA, what is needed is a specific, narrowly drawn Commission proposal for implementation of its policies. A direct sales program, for all CPE, should form the foundation of the detariffing process. The Commission should consider valuing and detariffing unsold CPE.

Consumers Union of the United States Inc. (Consumers Union)

34. Consumers Union applauds AT&T's change in position regarding the sale of embedded CPE but opposes flash-cut detariffing. Accepting AT&T's proposal is inconsistent with the use of transition periods and would result in confusion and dislocations. Consumers Union regards allegations of high administrative costs as an insufficient reason to abandon protecting the millions of AT&T customers. The correct solution is to encourage sale plans for all embedded CPE. Consumers Union feels that any transfer of embedded CPE to American Bell should be the greater of net book value or fair market value. Use of net book value is contrary to law if less than fair market value, and not properly justified by AT&T.

International Communications Association (ICA)

35. ICA's review of comments reveals no outright support for the AT&T plan and a general endorsement of the right of a customer to purchase embedded CPE at reasonable prices. ICA believes it apparent that the Commission should fully address the question of business CPE sales prior to taking any other action.

National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners (NARUC)

36. NARUC notes that a possible result of AT&T's proposal is elimination of state commissions' ability to establish prices and terms of CPE sales. It finds that AT&T's proposal contains no justification for price increases with inflation and that a national charge is unlikely to reflect net costs in any one state. In view of the Commission's decision in the final order in *Second Computer Inquiry* that customers must continue to be offered embedded CPE at the former tariffed prices, NARUC cannot understand the justification for price inflation.

Southern Pacific Communications Co. (SPC)

37. In its Supplemental Comments, AT&T proposed to sell business multiline systems after the end of the price predictability period if offered new by American Bell. SPC advises that commenters do not believe that AT&T is proposing a purchase option for all embedded CPE of older types. The purchase option applies only for those facilities that have a new purchase option.

Utilities Telecommunications Council (UTC)

38. UTC shares others' concerns that AT&T's proposal is inadequate or deficient because it does not encompass sale of embedded business systems CPE and that the reasons for a single-line CPE sales program apply to business systems as well. UTC also supports extending from 18 to 30 months the period during which ABI will support embedded business CPE. It also supports a minimum 12-month advance notice of any product phase-out rather than the six months originally proposed.

United Technologies Communications Company (UTCC)

39. With few exceptions the parties rejected AT&T's proposal in favor of phased deregulation. UTCC states that the comments establish that AT&T's proposal is contrary to the public interest because it:

(1) Denies the protection of tariffed rates;

(2) Offers illusory protection from price increases;

(3) Restricts subscriber flexibility, including the ability to purchase business CPE;

(4) Affords AT&T the ability to set high prices;

(5) Usurps state regulators;

(6) Undercuts post-divestiture BOC competitiveness;

(7) Permits AT&T to reap windfall profits at ratepayers' expense;

(8) Discriminates against multiline business; and

(9) Enhances AT&T's migration strategy.

UTCC views the migration strategy as a major issue. It regards modifications such as a business sales program as falling short of Commission objectives.

[FR Doc. 83-17521 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

---

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 83-603; RM-4424]

FM Broadcast Stations in Quartzsite, Arizona; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes a first FM channel assignment to Quartzsite, Arizona, in response to a petition filed by Buck Burdette.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 8, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 23, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Proposed Rulemaking

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast stations, (Quartzsite, Arizona; MM Docket No. 83-603, RM-4424.

Adopted: June 2, 1983.

Released: June 22, 1983.

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division.

1. Buck Burdette ("petitioner") filed a petition for rule making on April 6, 1983, seeking to assign Channel 228A to Quartzsite, Arizona. The proposed assignment could provide for a first FM service to Quartzsite. Petitioner stated his intention to apply for the channel, if assigned. Channel 228A can be assigned in compliance with the mileage separation requirements.

2. In support of the proposal the petitioner submitted population and economic data. However, in view of the action taken in the **Revision of FM Assignment Policies and Procedures**, 90 F.C.C. 2d 88 (1982), this information is no longer relevant in a non-conflicting proposal.

3. Since Quartzsite, Arizona, is located within 320 kilometers (199 miles) of the U.S.-Mexican border, Mexican concurrence must be obtained in the proposed assignment.

4. In view of the foregoing the Commission believes it appropriate to propose amending the FM Table of Assignments (Section 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules) with regard to the following community:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Quartzsite, Arizona		228A

5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

6. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 8, 1983, and reply comments on or before August

23, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules,* 46 FR 11549, published February 9, 1981.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment to which the reply is directed constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advance in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel then was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filing made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83-17530 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 83-596; RM-4411]

TV Broadcast Stations in Tice, Florida; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes the assignment of UHF television Channel 49 to Tice, Florida, in response to a petition filed by Saul Dresner. The proposed assignment could provide a first television service to that community.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 5, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark N. Lipp, Mass Media Bureau.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of amendment of § 73.606(b), Table of Assignments, TV broadcast stations. (Tice, Florida); MM Docket No. 83-596, RM-4411.

Adopted: May 24 1983.
Released: June 21, 1983.

By the Chief; Policy and Rules Division.

1. The Commission herein considers a petition for rule making filed March 28, 1983, by Saul Dresner ("petitioner"), seeking the assignment of UHF television Channel 49 to Tice, Florida, as its first television assignment. The petitioner submitted information in support of the proposal and expressed his interest in applying for the channel, if assigned.

2. Tice (population 7,254),[1] in Lee County (population 205,266),[2] is located on the southwest coast of Florida.

3. In view of the fact that Tice could receive its first local television broadcast service, the Commission believes it is appropriate to seek comments on the proposal to amend the Television Table of Assignments

[1] Tice is not listed in the 1980 U.S. Census Advance Report. Its population figure is taken from the 1970 U.S. Census.

[2] Population figure is taken from the 1980 U.S. Census Advance Report.

(§ 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules) with respect to the following community:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Tice, Florida		49

4. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.— A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

5. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 5, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

6. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules*, 46 FR 11549, published February 9, 1981.

7. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Mark N, Lipp, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration, or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission, or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the replay is directed, constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porters,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See Section 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83–17526 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]
[BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

---

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 83–598; RM–4439]

TV Broadcast Stations in Sparta, Illinois; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Action taken herein proposes to assign UHF television Channel 48 to Sparta, Illinois, in response to a petition filed by Michael B. Hostert. The assignment could provide Sparta with its first local commercial television service.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 5, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Nancy V. Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of amendment of § 73.606(b), Table of Assignments, TV broadcast stations, (Sparta, Illinois); MM Docket No. 83–598, RM–4439.

Adopted: May 31, 1983.

Released: June 21, 1983.

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division.

1. Before the Commission is a petition for rule making filed by Michael B. Hostert ("petitioner"), requesting the assignment of UHF television Channel 48 to Sparta, Illinois, as that community's first local commercial television broadcast service. Petitioner indicates that he will apply for the channel, if assigned as proposed.

2. Spart (population 4,957) [1] In Randolph County (population 35,566), is located in southwestern Illinois, approximately 80 kilometers (50 miles) southeast of St. Louis, Missouri. It currently has no local television channel assignment.

3. A staff engineering study reveals that UHF television Channel 48 could be assigned to Sparta in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements of § 73.610 of the Commission's Rules, provided the transmitter is located 4.6 miles west of the community to avoid short-spacing to an application on the co-channel (830328KL) in Owensboro, Kentucky.

4. In view of the above considerations, we believe the petitioner's proposal warrants consideration since it could provide a first local commercial television broadcast service to Sparta, Illinois. Accordingly, the Commission proposes to amend the Television Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Sparta, Illinois		48-

5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

6. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 5, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Assignments. § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules,* 46 F.R. 11549, published February 9, 1981.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contract Nancy V. Joyner, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634–6530. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contracts are prohibitied in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment to which the reply is directed constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83-17527 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

[1] Population figures were extracted from the 1980 U.S. Census, Advance Reports.

47 CFR PART 73

[MM Docket No. 83-602; RM-4401]

FM Broadcast Stations in Victoria, Texas; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes the assignment of FM Channel 265A to Victoria, Texas, as its fourth FM assignment, in response to a petition filed by Alejandro Luna, Robert D. Rivera and Robert Rivera, Jr.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 8, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 23, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mark N. Lipp, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of Amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM broadcast stations, (Victoria, Texas); MM Docket No. 83-602; RM-4401.

Adopted: June 1, 1983.

Released: June 22, 1983.

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division.

1. A petition for rule making was filed March 14, 1983, by Alejandro Luna, Robert D. Rivera and Robert Rivera, Jr. ("petitioners"), proposing the assignment of FM Channel 265A to Victoria, Texas, as its fourth local FM broadcast service. Petitioners submitted information in support of the proposal and expressed their interest in applying for the channel, if assigned. The channel can be assigned in compliance with the minimum distance separation requirements.

2. Since the assignment of Channel 265A to Victoria, Texas, is within 320 kilometers (199 miles) of the U.S.-Mexican border, Mexican concurrence is required.

3. In view of the fact that the proposed assignment could provide a fourth local FM broadcast service to Victoria, Texas, the Commission believes it is appropriate to propose amending the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules) with respect to the following community:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Victoria, Texas	236, 254, and 300.	236, 254, 265A, and 300.

4. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

5. Interested parties may file comments on or before 1983, and reply comments on or before August 23, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

6. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules*, 46 F.R. 11549, published February 9, 1981.

7. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Mark N. Lipp, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment to which the reply is directed constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of the Commission' Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed

comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83-17531 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 83-604; RM-4423]

FM Broadcast Stations in Brian Head, Utah; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes a first FM assignment to Brian Head, Utah, in response to a petition filed by Liberty Trading Company.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 8, and reply comments on or before August 23, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), table of assignments, FM broadcast stations (Brian Head, Utah); MM Docket No. 83-604, RM-4423.

Adopted: June 7, 1983.

Released: June 22, 1983.

By the Chief; Policy and Rules Division.

1. Liberty Trading Company ("petitioner") filed a petition for rule making on April 6, 1983, seeking to assign Channel 251 to Brian Head, Utah. Petitioner stated its intention to apply for the channel, if assigned.

2. In support of its request, the petitioner submitted population and economic data. In view of the action taken in the *Revision of FM Assignment Policies and Procedures,* 90 F.C.C. 2d 88 (1982), this information is no longer relevant to a nonconflicting proposal. Channel 251 can be assigned to Brian Head in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements.

3. In view of the fact that Brian Head coult receive its first FM service, we shall seek comments on the proposal to amend the FM Table of Assignments (§ 73.202(b) of the Rules) with respect to the following city:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Brian Head, Utah		251

4. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

5. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 8, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 23, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures. A copy of such comments should be served on the petitioner of this proceeding: Liberty Trading Company, c/o Liberman Sanchez & Bentley, 2000 L Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20036.

6. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the FM Table of Assignments, §73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules,* 46 FR 11549, published February 9, 1981.

7. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530. However, members of the public should note that from the time a *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission Proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been serves on the person(s) who filed the comment, to which the reply is directed, constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303,48 stat., as amended. 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303).

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303(g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and § 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponents of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this *Notice,* they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the Communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant ot applicable procedures set out in § 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420(a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83-17528 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

47 CFR Part 73

[MM Docket No. 83-600; RM-4429]

FM Broadcast Stations in Price, Utah; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes a second FM channel assignment to Price, Utah, in response to a petition filed by Dart, Inc.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 4, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Radio broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

In the matter of amendment of § 73.202(b), table of assignments, FM broadcast stations, (Price, Utah), MM Docket No. 83-600, RM-4429.

Adopted: May 31, 1983.
Released: June 21, 1983.

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division.

1. Dart, Inc. ("petitioner") [1] submitted a petition for rule making on April 7, 1983, seeking the assignment of Channel 265A to Price, Utah. Petitioner stated its intention to apply for Channel 265A, if assigned to Price.

2. In support of the proposal, petitioner submitted population and economic information. However, in view of the action taken in *Revision of FM Policies and Procedures*, 90 F.C.C. 2d 88 (1982), these data are no longer needed to justify a non-conflicting proposal. Petitioner alleges that the proposal could provide for a competitive FM station at Price, and meet the needs for additional local service to the community.

3. We have determined that Channel 265A can be assigned to Price in conformity with the minimum distance separation requirements provided the transmitter site is located approximately 1.4 miles southeast of the city. This restriction is necessary to avoid short spacing to the construction permit for Station KDAB (Channel 266), Ogden, Utah.

4. In view of the foregoing, the Commission proposes to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Rules, with respect to Price, Utah, as follows:

City	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Price, Utah	252A	252A, 265A

5. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

6. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 4, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 22, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

7. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making To Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules*, 46 FR 11549, published February 9, 1981.

8. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Montrose H. Tyree, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 634-6530. However, members of the public should note that from time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commission or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment to which the reply is directed constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 Stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the FM Table of Assignments, § 73.202(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the *Notice of Proposed Rule Making* to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Comments are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the

[1] Dart, Inc. is the licensee of AM Station KRPX, Price, Utah.

channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83-17529 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

---

47 CFR Part 73

[BC Docket No. 83-601; RM-4430; RM-4443]

TV Broadcast Stations Fond du Lac and Sheboygan, Wisconsin; Proposed Changes in Table of Assignments

AGENCY: Federal Communications Commission.

ACTION: Proposed Rule.

SUMMARY: This action proposes the substitution of UHF television Channel 68 for Channel 34 in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin, as requested by Racine Telecasting Company or, alternatively, the exchange of Channels 34 in Fond du Lac and Channel 28 in Sheboygan, Wisconsin, as requested by Skycom, Inc.

DATES: Comments must be filed on or before August 1, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 16, 1983.

ADDRESS: Federal Communications Commission, Washington, D.C. 20554.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Philip S. Cross, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 632-5414.

List of Subjects in 47 CFR Part 73

Television broadcasting.

Proposed Rule Making

Adopted: June 1, 1983.
Released: June 17, 1983.

In the matter of Amendment of § 73.606(b), Table of Assignments, TV Broadcast Stations (Fond du Lac and Sheboygan, Wisconsin) BC Docket No. 83-601, RM-4430, RM-4443.

By the Chief, Policy and Rules Division.

1. The Commission has before it two petitions filed (1) by Racine Telecasting Company ("Racine") to substitute UHF television Channel 68 for UHF television Channel 34 in Fond du Lac, Wisconsin; and (2) by Skycom, Inc. ("Skycom") to exchange the assignments of Channel 34 in Fond du Lac and Channel 28 in Sheboygan, Wisconsin. Racine is the permittee of a new TV station to operate on UHF TV Channel 49 in Racine, Wisconsin, and claims that the possible transmitter locations are significantly restricted by Channel *49 in Bloomington, Wisconsin, and by Channel 34 in Fond du Lac. Racine states that the former is used by the State of Wisconsin for a high-power translator while the latter is unused. Racine claims that the site restriction combined with the FAA limitation on tower height in that area would severely curtail the service area of its station. Racine notes that the Fond du Lac substitution would remove the site constraints and thus permit the construction of a taller antenna.

2. Racine assets that its request is not a novel one; that the Commission has substituted channels under similar circumstances in *Florence, Kentucky,* 46 R.R. 2d 353 (Broadcast Bureau) (1979); and in *Modesto and Manteca, California,* 25 R.R. 2d 1684 (1972). Racine concludes that its proposed substitution would be in the public interest and consistent with prior Commission actions. Racine demonstrated that its proposal would meet all spacing rquirements of our Rules. Racine states that if the proposal is granted it will promptly file an application to relocate its transmitted site for Channel 49.

3. Skycom states that its efforts to prepare an application for operation on Channel 34 in Fond du Lac have been frustrated by the severe site restriction from Racine's current authorization (BPCT-810527KE) to operate on Channel 49 in Racine. Skycom claims that its search for a site, suitable for station construction which would meet the 75 mile separation requirement to Channel 34, has been hampered by many protected wilderness areas marshes, and lakes and the Fond du Lac airport. Skycom asserts that the best site available if Channel 34 were used is shortspaced to Racine by six miles. Thus Skycom proposes a reassignment of Channel 28 from Sheboygan, where it is unused and unapplied for, to Fond du Lac as a practical solution. Skycom asserts that its proposed substitution meets all spacing requirements with respect to both Fond du Lac and Sheboygan. If the substitution is made, Skycom states that it will promply apply for authorization to operate on Channel 28 in Fond du Lac.

4. Canadian concurrence would be required only for the proposed assignment of Channel 34 to Sheboygan. The other proposals are for cities located beyond the 400 kilometers (250) miles) requirement for concurrence. At this time there is insufficient information as to which plan we should propose. It appears that Skycom's proposed assignment of Channel 34 to Sheboygan might result in limitations on a site selection by Racine. Moreover, there is no indication before us as to whether Skycom would apply for operation on Channel 68 in Fond du Lac if it were so assigned, as proposed by Racine. Accordingly, we believe that the best procedure at this time is to propose alternative assignments and seek comments on the best option for accommodating the various interests in

providing service to Racine and Fond du Lac.

5. In view of the foregoing, we consider it appropriate to solicit comments on alternative amendments to the TV Table of Assignments, Section 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules, as follows:

	Channel No.	
	Present	Proposed
Option I:		
Fond du Lac, Wisconsin	34+	28
Sheboygan, Wisconsin	28	34+
Option II:		
Fond du Lac, Wisonsin	34+	66

6. The Commission's authority to institute rule making proceedings, showings required, cut-off procedures, and filing requirements are contained in the attached Appendix and are incorporated by reference herein.

Note.—A showing of continuing interest is required by paragraph 2 of the Appendix before a channel will be assigned.

7. Interested parties may file comments on or before August 1, 1983, and reply comments on or before August 16, 1983, and are advised to read the Appendix for the proper procedures.

8. The Commission has determined that the relevant provisions of the Regulatory Flexibility Act of 1980 do not apply to rule making proceedings to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules. See, *Certification that Sections 603 and 604 of the Regulatory Flexibility Act Do Not Apply to Rule Making to Amend §§ 73.202(b), 73.504 and 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules,* 46 FR 11549, published February 9, 1981.

9. For further information concerning this proceeding, contact Philip S. Cross, Mass Media Bureau, (202) 632–5414. However, members of the public should note that from the time a Notice of Proposed Rule Making is issued until the matter is no longer subject to Commission consideration or court review, all *ex parte* contacts are prohibited in Commission proceedings, such as this one, which involve channel assignments. An *ex parte* contact is a message (spoken or written) concerning the merits of a pending rule making other than comments officially filed at the Commisson or oral presentation required by the Commission. Any comment which has not been served on the petitioner constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding. Any reply comment which has not been served on the person(s) who filed the comment to which the reply is directed constitutes an *ex parte* presentation and shall not be considered in the proceeding.

(Secs. 4, 303, 48 stat., as amended, 1066, 1082; 47 U.S.C. 154, 303)

Federal Communications Commission.

Roderick K. Porter,

Chief, Policy and Rules Division, Mass Media Bureau.

Appendix

1. Pursuant to authority found in Sections 4(i), 5(d)(1), 303 (g) and (r), and 307(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and §§ 0.61, 0.204(b) and 0.283 of the Commission's Rules, it is proposed to amend the TV Table of Assignments, § 73.606(b) of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, as set forth in the Notice of Proposed rule Making to which this Appendix is attached.

2. *Showings Required.* Committees are invited on the proposal(s) discussed in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. Proponent(s) will be expected to answer whatever questions are presented in initial comments. The proponent of a proposed assignment is also expected to file comments even if it only resubmits or incorporates by reference its former pleadings. It should also restate its present intention to apply for the channel if it is assigned, and, if authorized, to build a station promptly. Failure to file may lead to denial of the request.

3. *Cut-off Procedures.* The following procedures will govern the consideration of filings in this proceeding.

(a) Counterproposals advanced in this proceeding itself will be considered, if advanced in initial comments, so that parties may comment on them in reply comments. They will not be considered if advanced in reply comments. (See § 1.420(d) of the Commission's Rules.)

(b) With respect to petitions for rule making which conflict with the proposal(s) in this Notice, they will be considered as comments in the proceeding, and Public Notice to this effect will be given as long as they are filed before the date for filing initial comments herein. If they are filed later than that, they will not be considered in connection with the decision in this docket.

(c) The filing of a counterproposal may lead the Commission to assign a different channel than was requested for any of the communities involved.

4. *Comments and Reply Comments; Service.* Pursuant to applicable procedures set out in §§ 1.415 and 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, interested parties may file comments and reply comments on or before the dates set forth in the Notice of Proposed Rule Making to which this Appendix is attached. All submissions by parties to this proceeding or persons acting on behalf of such parties must be made in written comments, reply comments, or other appropriate pleadings. Comments shall be served on the petitioner by the person filing the comments. Reply comments shall be served on the person(s) who filed comments to which the reply is directed. Such comments and reply comments shall be accompanied by a certificate of service. (See § 1.420 (a), (b) and (c) of the Commission's Rules.)

5. *Number of Copies.* In accordance with the provisions of § 1.420 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations, an original and four copies of all comments, reply comments, pleadings, briefs, or other documents shall be furnished the Commission.

6. *Public Inspection of Filings.* All filings made in this proceeding will be available for examination by interested parties during regular business hours in the Commission's Public Reference Room at its headquarters, 1919 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

[FR Doc. 83–17523 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712–01–M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

49 CFR Ch. X

[Ex Parte No. 394 (Sub-1)]

Cost Ratio for Recyclables—1983 Determination

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: The Staggers Rail Act of 1980 requires rail carriers to reduce and maintain rates for the transportation of recyclable or recycled materials, other than recyclable or recycled iron or steel, at revenue-to-variable cost ratio levels that are equal to or less than the average revenue-to-variable cost ratio that rail carriers would be required to realize, under honest, economical, and efficient management, in order to cover total operating expenses, including depreciation and obsolescence, plus a reasonable and economic profit or return (or both) on capital employed in the business sufficient to attract and retain capital in amounts adequate to provide a sound transportation system in the United States.

The Interstate Commerce Commission proposes a change in the maximum revenue/variable cost ratios for rates on non-ferrous recyclables or recycled materials from 146 percent to 152 percent or some other figure based on more current data. Also to be decided is whether the revenue/variable cost ratios for recyclables should be reexamined periodically, and what magnitude of change should require a change in the prescribed ratio, and what effect this change, if adopted, should have on future refund or reparation orders.

DATES: Comments are due on July 19, 1983. Replies are due 10 days after the opening statements.

ADDRESSES: An original and 15 copies should be sent to: Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lillie Johnson at 202–275–6787, William T. Bono at 202–275–7354.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 204 of the Staggers Rail Act of 1980 requires rail carriers to reduce and maintain rates for the transportation of recyclable or recycled materials, other than recyclable or recycled iron or steel, at revenue-to-variable cost ratio levels that are equal to or less than the average revenue-to-variable cost ratio that rail carriers would be required to realize, under honest, economical, and efficient management, in order to cover total operating expenses, including depreciation and obsolescence, plus a reasonable and economic profit or return (or both) on capital employed in the business sufficient to attract and retain capital in amounts adequate to provide a sound transportation system in the United States. Using 1977 Rail Form A (RFA) data and relationships, 1979 operating expenses and the latest cost of capital figures available at that time, we calculated the statutory revenue to variable cost (r/vc) ratio to be 146 percent.

The purpose of this proceeding is (1) to propose recalculating the maximum (r/vc) ratio for rates on non-ferrous recyclables; (2) to decide if this ratio should be reexamined periodically; (3) if so, what magnitude of change should require a change in the prescribed ratio;[1] and (4) the effect of such a change, if adopted, on any further refund or reparations orders.

[1] This notice is not intended to address the carriers' compliance with the previously established 146 percent ratio. That question is addressed in other orders in Ex Parte No. 394, *Cost Ratio For Recyclables—1980 Determination.*

A. 1983 R/VC Ratio

Rail carriers must maintain rates for non-ferrous recyclables which do not exceed the Commission prescribed ratio. 49 U.S.C. 10731(e). The current r/vc ratio of 146 percent was established in Ex Parte No. 394, *Cost Ratio for Recyclables—1980 Determination,* 364 I.C.C. 425 (1980), 365 I.C.C. 304 (1981). The nation's rail carriers have petitioned for an updating of the current 146 percent ratio, suggesting that the ratio should now be in excess of 150 percent.

Our analysis (see appendix) indicates that the ratio should be 152 percent if the ratio is calculated with actual 1981 (converted) Rail Form A data and the current cost of capital for 1981. The new ratio is calcuated with actual 1981 (converted) Rail Form A data and the current cost of capital. The current cost of capital, which is based on Ex Parte No. 415, *Railroad Cost of Capital—1981,* 365 I.C.C. 734 (1982), increased from the 19 percent figure used earlier to 25.813 percent (as compared to the 25.9 percent cited by the carriers in their petition). As shown in the appendix, the resulting ratio using the 1981 cost of capital is 152.2 percent, which has been rounded to 152 percent.

By notice served July 26, 1982, published August 2, 1982, 47 F.R. 33344 (1982), in Ex Parte No. 436, *Railroad Cost of Capital–1982,* we instituted a proceeding to determine the railroad industry's cost of capital for 1982. Because we have not yet issued a final decision in that proceeding, variable cost ratio calculations based on a 1982 current cost of capital figure cannot be made at this time. However, a final decision in Ex Parte No. 436 will be issued by the end of July. The 1982 cost of capital figures developed in that proceeding undoubtedly will require further adjustment of the 152 percent ratio which is based on 1981 data.

The July 19, 1983, rate reduction compliance date ordered in our May 18, 1983, decision in Ex Parte No. 394, *Cost Ratio for Recyclables–1980 Determination,* et al., would normally be postponed until we resolve the questions raised here about the proper level of the ratio and the time frames during which the various ratios should apply. This postponement would be consistent with that decision's deferral of the refund issue. Furthermore, we believe the equities clearly militate against ordering rate reductions at this time, since much uncertainty exists about the appropriate rate levels, and shippers may receive refunds or reparations for overpayment but carriers can never be made whole for underpayments. Compare *Burlington Northern, Inc.* v. *United States,* —— U.S. ——, 103 S. Ct. 514, 516 (1982). Nevertheless, we believe that we are precluded from postponing compliance by the court's decision in *National Ass'n. of Recycling Industries, Inc.* v. *I.C.C.,* 660 F. 2d 795 (D.C. Cir. 1981), as amplified in *Nat. Ass'n. of Recycling Industries* v. *I.C.C.,* 704 F. 2d 638 (1983). However, we will, in determining the appropriate level of refunds, consider the shortfalls experienced by the carriers, which resulted from our inability to postpone the date by which they must reduce their rates to the 146 percent level. See *Genstar Chemical Ltd.* v. *I.C.C.,* 665 F. 2d 1304 (D.C. Cir. 1981), *Moss* v. *Civil Aeronautics Board,* 521 F. 2d 298 (D.C. Cir. 1975).

B. Periodic Recalculation of R/VC Ratio

The carriers have requested that the ratio be calculated on a periodic basis to reflect current industry conditions. We agree that this appears necessary to carry out the intent of the legislation.

Although the statute is silent as to the frequency of the ratio change, it appears that periodic revision was contemplated. The statute requires the carriers to receive a "reasonable and economic profit or return (or both) on capital . . ." (Section 10731(e)) which would seem to require periodic changes in the ratio based on economic changes experienced by the industry.

There are three questions concerning this periodic change in the ratio. First is the frequency (and timing) of the calculation. It would appear that an annual calculation based on the latest expense data available and the cost of capital data from the previous year may be the most appropriate. The second question is how much the ratio should change before an increase/decrease in the ratio is ordered. Possibly changes of less than 1 percent should not require any change in the applied ratio because of the minimal impact and the expense of publication. The third question concerns the effect of such a recalculation on any future refund or reparations orders. In particular, parties should address the time frames during which the 152 percent standard and/or some other percent standard based on more current data, if adopted, should be used as a benchmark for refunds or reparations (as of the date the new standard becomes effective, as of the date of the filing of the railroads' petition, or some other date).

Copies of all comments and replies shall be served on all parties to Ex Parte No. 394.

Although we do not expect that the proceeding will affect either the quality

of the human environment or conservation of energy resources or small businesses, we welcome comments on these issues.

Comments on all issues are requested.

(49 U.S.C. 10321 and 10731)

Decided: June 15, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

APPENDIX

EX PARTE 394 RECOMMENDED PROCEDURE

1981 DATA BASE (000)

		U. S.
1.	Cost of Capital - Total [1/]	$ 2,625,059
2.	Current Cost of Capital [2/]	9,034,752
3.	Total Operating Expenses [3/]	27,438,972
4.	Operating Expense & C/C - Variable Portion [4/]	23,968,405
5.	Operating Expense & C/C @ 25.813% [5/] (L.2 + L.3)	$ 36,473,724
6.	Ratio to Variable Expenses (L.5 ÷ L.4)	152.2%

The "B" numbers below refer to the Commission's 1981 Rail Form A applications.

1/

	B(2762)
East	$ 529,744
South	595,753
West	1,499,562
U. S.	$ 2,625,059

2/

	B(2472)	B(2533)	Ratio
East	$ 9,851,083	$ 548,810	xxx
South	7,200,012	597,053	xxx
West	18,072,919	1,502,332	xxx
U. S.	$ 35,124,014	$ 2,648,195	7.5%

Current C/C (25.813%) ÷ 7.5% (Above) X Line 1.

3/

	B(2364)
East	$ 7,807,592
South	4,895,352
West	14,736,028
U. S.	$ 27,438,972

4/

	B(3125)
East	$ 6,558,052
South	4,388,741
West	13,021,612
U. S.	$ 23,968,405

5/ Ex Parte No. 415, Railroad Cost of Capital - 1981.

	After Tax	Pre-Tax	
Cost of debt	13.7%	13.7%	x .4 = 5.480%
Cost of equity	18.3%	(18.3% ÷ .54) = 33.889%	x .6 = 20.333%
			25.813%

[FR Doc. 83-17471 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 7035-01-C

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Fish and Wildlife Service

50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants; Proposals To Determine Hedeoma Diffusum (Flagstaff Pennyroyal) To Be a Threatened Species

AGENCY: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service proposes to list a plant, *Hedeoma diffusum* (Flagstaff pennyroyal), as a Threatened species under the authority contained in the Endangered Species Act. This plant occurs in Arizona and has suffered reductions in the size of its populations and range. *Hedeoma diffusum* is currently threatened by further habitat destruction. This proposal, if made final, will implement the protection provided by the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended, for *Hedeoma diffusum*. The Service seeks data and comments from the public on this proposal.

DATES: Comments from all interested parties must be received by August 29. Public hearing requests must be received by August 15, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Comments and materials concerning this proposal, preferably in triplicate, should be sent to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, 500 Gold Avenue, S.W., P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours, by appointment, at the above address.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Dr. Russell L. Kologiski, Botanist, Region 2, Endangered Species Staff (see ADDRESSES above) (505/766–3972) or Mr. John L. Spinks, Jr., Chief, Washington Office of Endangered Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240 (703/235–2771).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Hedeoma diffusum (Flagstaff pennyroyal), a member of the mint family, was first collected near Flagstaff, Arizona in 1883 and described by E. L. Greene in 1898. This mint is a perennial herb which forms circular, low mats with numerous shoots. Its leaves are opposite and very small, about 0.5–2 millimeters wide and 3.5–7 millimeters long. This plant's blue flowers are borne in flowered clusters of 1–3 and measure about 12.5 millimeters long. The Service published a notice of review for plants on December 15, 1980 (45 FR 82479) which included *Hedeoma diffusum*. The factors affecting this mint and the effects of determining it to be a Threatened species are discussed in the following sections.

Summary of Factors Affecting the Species

Section 4(a)(1) of the Endangered Species Act (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*) and regulations promulgated to implement the listing provisions of the Act codified at 50 CFR Part 424; under revision to accommodate 1982 amendments set forth the procedures for adding species to the Federal list. The species may be determined to be Endangered or Threatened due to one or more of the five factors described in Section 4(a)(1) of the Act. Factors A, B, and D especially apply to *Hedeoma diffusum*. A discussion of all of the factors and their application to the Flagstaff pennyroyal follows:

A. *The present or threatened destruction, modification, or curtailment of its habitat or range. Hedeoma diffusum* is endemic to the Flagstaff, Arizona, area and within its narrow distribution, is restricted to 10 known localities. The habitat of *Hedeoma diffusum* within and near the city of Flagstaff has been reduced by urban development. The remaining habitat is similarly threatened. The city is forecast to have a 91 percent population increase by the year 2000. Some of the habitat in the Coconino National Forest and on private in-holdings within the forest is located close to major transportation corridors, recreation areas, and summer home developments. This habitat could easily be lost through further development or from the growing recreational pressures on the area.

Hedeoma diffusum appears to be confined to rocky substrates in undisturbed, relatively mature Ponderosa pine forests. The strength of the dependence on the quality of the forest community is not known. However, limited field observations indicate that when there is evidence of forest disturbance, for example by silviculture, populations of *Hedeoma diffusum* are not found, even when there are suitable rock outcrops available for colonization. Thus, populations of *Hedeoma diffusum* may also be threatened by disturbance of the surrounding forest community (Irving, 1980).

B. *Overutilization for commercial, recreational, scientific or educational purposes. Hedeoma diffusum* is an attractive plant which is desirable for rock garden plantings. *Hedeoma diffusum* is also usable for herb tea. If exact localities were published through Critical Habitat determinations, these qualities could cause it to be threatened by amateur gardeners, wildflower enthusiasts, commercial horticultural collecting, and herbalists. Many of the populations occur on privately-owned land, and taking of these attractive plants could not be prohibited on such areas.

C. *Disease or predation* (including grazing). These factors are not known to be a problem at present; however, the effect of grazing on *Hedeoma diffusum* has not been evaluated.

D. *The inadequacy of existing regulatory mechanisms. Hedeoma diffusum* is not protected under Arizona's Native Plant Law (Chapter 7, Article 1, Section 3–901). The U.S. Forest Service's regulations governing portions of the land on which this species occur prohibit removing, destroying, or damaging any plant that is classified as a Threatened, Endangered, rare, or unique species (36 CFR 261.9). These regulations, which would apply after *Hedeoma diffusum* is listed, are difficult to enforce. The Endangered Species Act would offer additional protection to this species.

E. *Other natural or manmade factors affecting its continued existence.* Restriction to very specialized outcrops and a total range which is very geographically limited tend to intensify any adverse effects upon the populations or the habitat of this plant.

Critical Habitat

Section 4(a)(3) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 requires that Critical Habitat be determined at the time a species is listed to the maximum extent prudent and determinable.

Critical Habitat is not being determined for *Hedeoma diffusum* since taking pressures make it imprudent to do so. *Hedeoma diffusum* is threatened by taking, and while the Forest Service's regulations discussed above and the Endangered Species Act would prohibit commercial or horticultural taking from Federal lands subsequent listing, enforcement in the forest areas where this plant is found will be difficult. Publication of Critical Habitat maps would make this species more vulnerable. After recovery and protection plans have been developed for this plant, if Critical Habitat is shown to be beneficial, it may be proposed in the future.

Effects of This Rule

The effects of this proposal, if published as a final rule, will include those discussed below.

Section 7(a) of the Act requires Federal agencies to evaluate their actions with respect to any species listed as Endangered or Threatened. An agency action must be preceded by consultation with the Secretary, to insure that it is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or to destroy or adversely modify their Critical Habitat. This proposal requires Federal agencies to satisfy their statutory obligations with respect to this species under Section 7(a)(4), which requires agencies to confer with the Service on any activities that they authorize, fund, or carry out that are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of proposed species or to destroy or adversely modify their Critical Habitat. Critical Habitat is not being proposed at this time. The effects of this rule on Federal agencies are expected to be minimal.

The Act implementing regulations published in the June 24, 1977, **Federal Register** set forth a series of general prohibitions and exceptions that apply to all Endangered and Threatened plant species The regulations referred to above pertaining to Threatened plants are found at Section 17.71 of 50 CFR and are summarized below.

With respect to *Hedeoma diffusum* all trade prohibitions of Section 9(a)(2) of the Act, as implemented by § 17.71, would apply. These prohibitions, in part, would make it illegal for any person subject to the jurisdiction of the United States to import or export, transport in interstate or foreign commerce in the course of a commercial activity, or sell or offer for sale this species in interstate or foreign commerce. Seeds of cultivated specimens of Threatened species are exempt from all provisions of § 17.71. Certain exceptions would apply to agents of the Service and State conservation agencies. The Act and 50 CFR § 17.72 also provide for the issuance of permits to carry out otherwise prohibited activities involving Endangered or Threatened species under certain circumstances. It is not anticipated that many trade permits involving plants of wild origin would be issued since this plant is not common in the wild or in cultivation.

Section 9(a)(2)(B) of the Act, as amended in 1982, states that it is unlawful to remove and reduce to possession Endangered plant species from areas under Federal jurisdiction. Section 4(d) provides for the application of these prohibitions to Threatened species through regulations. This provision will apply to *Hedeoma diffusum* once new regulations are developed and permits for excepted actions provided for. Requests for copies of the regulations on plants and inquiries regarding them may be addressed to the Federal Wildlife Permit Office, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240 (703/235–1903). It is anticipated that few taking permits for the species will ever be requested. The removal, destruction or damaging of *Hedeoma diffusum* on Forest Service land would moreover be prohibited by U.S. Forest Service regulation (36 CFR 261.9) if the current proposal becomes a final rule.

The Service will now review this species to determine whether it should be considered for the Convention on Nature Protection and Wildlife Preservation in the Western Hemisphere for placement upon its Annex, and whether it should be considered for other appropriate international agreements.

National Environmental Policy Act

A draft Environmental Assessment has been prepared in conjunction with this proposal. It is on file in the Service's Regional Office (see Address section), and may be examined during regular business hours, by appointment. A determination will be made prior to the time of a final rule as to whether this is a major Federal action which would significantly affect the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (implemented at 40 CFR Parts 1500–1508).

Public Comments Solicited

The Service intends that the rules finally adopted will be as accurate and effective as possible in the conservation of any Endangered or Threatened species. Therefore, any comments or suggestions from the public, other concerned governmental agencies, the scientific community, industry, private interests, or any other interested party concerning any aspect of these proposed rules are hereby solicited. Comments particularly are sought concerning:

(1) Biological or other relevant data concerning any threat (or the lack thereof) to *Hedeoma diffusum*;

(2) The location of any additional populations of *Hedeoma diffusum* and the reasons why any habitat of this species should or should not be determined to be Critical Habitat as provided by Section 7 of the Act;

(3) Additional information concerning the range and distribution of this species; and

(4) Current or planned activities in the subject area.

Final promulgation of the regulations on *Hedeoma diffusum* will take into consideration the comments and any additional information received by the Service, and such communications may lead it to adopt a final regulation that differs from this proposal. The Endangered Species Act provides for a public hearing on this proposal, if requested. Requests must be in writing and received within 45 days of the date of proposal. Such requests should be addressed to the Regional Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, P.O. Box 1306, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87103.

Authors

The primary authors of this proposed rule are Ms. E. LaVerne Smith, Office of Endangered Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. 20240 (703/235–1975), and Ms. Rosemary Carey and Ms. Sandra Limerick, Endangered Species, Albuquerque, New Mexico (see Addresses section) (505/766–3972). Status information and a preliminary listing package were provided under Service contract by Dr. Robert S. Irving, R. S. Irving and Associates, Little Rock, Arkansas.

References

Irving, Robert S. 1980. Status Report and Preliminary Rulemaking for *Hedeoma diffusum*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Endangered Species, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 17

Endangered and threatened wildlife, Fish, Marine mammals, Plants (agriculture).

Proposed Regulation Promulgation

PART 17—[AMENDED]

Accordingly, it is hereby proposed to amend Part 17, Subchapter B of Chapter I, title 50 of the U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, as set forth below:

1. The authority citation for Part 17 is as follows:

Authority: Pub. L. 93–205, 87 Stat. 884; Pub. L. 95–632, 92 Stat. 3751; Pub. L. 96–159, 93 Stat. 1225; Pub. L. 97–304, 96 Stat. 1411 (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*).

§ 17.12 [Amended]

2. It is proposed to amend § 17.12(h) by adding, in alphabetical order the following to the list of Endangered and Threatened plants:

Species		Historic range	Status	When listed	Critical habitat	Special rule
Scientific name	Common name					
LAMIACEAE—Mint Family						
* *	*	*	*	*	*	*
Hedeoma diffusum	Flagstaff pennyroyal	U.S.A. (AZ)	T		NA	NA
* *	*	*	*	*	*	*

Dated: May 19, 1983.

G. Ray Arnett,

Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

(Proposal: *Hedeoma diffusum* (Flagstaff pennyroyal)—Threatened)

[FR Doc. 83-17503 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

Notices

Federal Register
Vol. 48, No. 126
Wednesday, June 29, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Forms Under Review by Office of Management and Budget

June 24, 1983.

The Department of Agriculture has submitted to OMB for review the following proposals for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) since the last list was published. This list is grouped into new proposals, revisions, extensions, or reinstatements. Each entry contains the following information:

(1) Agency proposing the information collection; (2) Title of the information collection; (3) Form number(s), if applicable; (4) How often the information is requeste; (5) Who will be required or asked to report; (6) An estimate of the number of responses; (7) An estmate of the total number of hours needed to provide the information; (8) An indication of whether section 3504(h) of Pub. L. 96-511 applies; (9) Name and telephone number of the agency contact person.

Qustions about the items in the listing should be directed to the agency person named at the end of each entry. Copies of the proposed forms and supporting documents may be obtained from:

Marshall L. Dantzler, Acting Department Clearance Officer, USDA, OIRM, Room 108-W Admin. Bldg., Washington, D.C. 20250, (202) 447-6201

Comments on any of the items listed should be submitted directly to:

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C., 20503, ATTN: Desk Officer for USDA

If you anticipate commenting on a submission but find that preparation time will prevent you from doing so promptly, you should advise the OMB Desk Officer of your intent as early as possible.

New

- Farmers Home Administration

Analyzing Credit Needs and Graduation of Borrowers
On occasion, annually
Individuals or households, State or local governments, farms, businesses nonprofit institutions: 105,065 responses; 62,618 hours; not applicable under 3504(h)
John H. Madding (202) 382-1490

Revised

- Statistical Reporting Service

Field Crop Objective Yield Survey
On occasion
Farms: 15,820 responses; 5,124 hours; not applicable under 3504(h)
Lee Sandberg (202) 447-6820

Extention

- Agricultural Marketing Service

Recordkeeping Requirements—Under U.S. Warehouse Act
Recordkeeping
Businesses: 1,900 responses; 1,982 hours; not applicable under 3504(h)
Dr. Orval Kerchner (202) 447-3616

- Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service

Black Stem Rust Inspectors Report
PPQ 543
Annually
Farms, businesses: 900 responses; 450 hours; not applicable under 3504(h)
L. M. Sedgwick, Jr. (202) 436-8584

Reinstatement

- Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

CFR-1427—Cotton Warehouse Standards
CCC-823, CCC-823 supplement, CCC-49, CCC-823-1
On occasion
Business or other for profit and small businesses or organizations: 100,960 responses; 3,445 hours, not applicable under 3504(h)
Barry Klein (202) 447-7911

Marshall L. Dantzler

Acting Department Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 83-17455 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-01-M

Office of the Secretary

Section 22 Import Fees Determination of Quarterly Import Fees on Sugar

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, USDA.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Headnote 4(c) of Part 3 of the Appendix to the Tariff Schedules of the United States (TSUS) requires the Secretary of Agriculture to determine on a quarterly basis the amount of the fees which shall be imposed on imports of raw and refined sugar (TSUS items 956.05, 956.15 and 957.15) under the authority of Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act of 1933, as amended. This notice announces those determinations for the third calendar quarter of 1983.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 1, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William F. Doering, Foreign Agricultural Service, Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250 (202-447-6723).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: By Presidential Proclamation No. 4940, dated May 5, 1982, Headnote 4 of Part 3 of the TSUS was amended to provide that quarterly adjusted fees shall be imposed on imports of raw and refined sugar (TSUS items 956.05, 956.15, and 957.15). Paragraph (c)(ii) of Headnote 4 provides that the quarterly adjusted fee for item 956.15 shall be the amount by which the average of the adjusted daily spot (domestic) price quotations for raw sugar for the 20 consecutive market days immediately preceding the 20th day of the month preceding the calendar quarter during which the fee shall be applicable (as reported by the New York Coffee, Sugar, and Cocoa Exchange), expressed in United States cents per pound, in bulk, is less than the market stabilization price. The market stabilization price for the third calendar quarter of 1983 is 20.73 cents per pound. However, whenever the average of the daily spot price quotations for 10 consecutive market days within any calendar quarter: (1) Exceeds the market stabilization price by more than one cent, the fee then in effect shall be decreased by one cent; or (2) is less than the market stabilization price by more than one cent, the fee then in effect shall be increased by one cent. Paragraph (c)(i) of Headnote 4 further provides that the quarterly adjusted fee for items

956.05 and 957.15 shall be the amount of the fee for item 956.15 plus one cent.

The average of the adjusted daily spot (domestic) price quotations for raw sugar to the applicable period prior to the third calendar quarter of 1983 has been calculated to be 22.6410 cents per pound. This results in a fee of 0.00 cent per pound for item 956.15, since the adjusted average spot price is greater than 20.73 cents. Accordingly, the fee for items 956.05 and 957.15 for the third calendar quarter of 1983 is 1.00 cent per pound.

Headnote 4(c) requires the Secretary of Agriculture to determine and announce the amount of the quarterly fees no later than the 25th day of the month preceding the calendar quarter during which the fees shall be applicable. The Secretary is also required to certify the amounts of such fees to the Secretary of the Treasury and file notice thereof with the **Federal Register** prior to the beginning of the calendar quarter during which the fees shall be applicable. This notice is therefore being issued in order to comply with the requirements of Headnote 4(c).

Notice

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the requirements of Headnote 4(c) of Part 3 of the Appendix to the Tariff Schedules of the United States, it is determined that the quarterly adjusted fees for raw and refined sugar (TSUS items 956.05, 956.15, and 957.15) for the third calendar quarter of 1983 shall be as follows:

Item	Fee
956.05	1.00 cent per lb.
956.15	0.00 cent per lb
957.15	1.00 cent per lb.

The amounts of such fees have been certified to the Secretary of the Treasury in accordance with paragraph (c)(iv) of Headnote 4.

Signed at Washington, D.C. on June 24, 1983.

John R. Block,

Secretary of Agriculture

[FR Doc. 83-17486 Filed 6-24-83; 2:14 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410-10-M

Meat Import Limitations; Third Quarterly Estimate

Pub. L. 88-482, enacted August 22, 1964, as amended by Pub. L. 96-177 (hereinafter referred to as the "Act"), provides for limiting the quantity of fresh, chilled, or frozen meat of cattle, sheep except lamb, and goats (TSUS 106.10, 106.22, and 106.25), and certain prepared or preserved beef and veal products (TSUS 107.55, 107.61, and 107.62), which may be imported into the United States in any calendar year. Such limitations are to be imposed when it is estimated by the Secretary of Agriculture that imports of articles provided for in TSUS 106.10, 106.22, 106.25, 107.55 and 107.62 (hereinafter referred to as "meat articles"), in the absence of limitations under the Act during such calendar year, would equal or exceed 110 percent of the estimated aggregate quantity of meat articles prescribed for calendar year 1983 by subsection 2(c) as adjusted under subsection 2(d) of the Act.

In accordance with the requirements of the Act, I have made the following estimates:

1. As published on December 30, 1982 (47 FR 58328), the estimated aggregate quantity of meat articles prescribed by subsection 2(c) as adjusted by subsection 2(d) of the Act for calendar year 1983 is 1,119 million pounds.

2. The third quarterly estimate of the aggregate quantity of meat articles which would, in the absence of limitations under the Act, be imported during calendar year 1983 is 1,224 million pounds.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 24th day of June 1983.

John R. Block,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17487 Filed 6-24-83; 2:14 pm]

BILLING CODE 3410-10-M

Packers and Stockyards Administration

Proposed Posting of Stockyards; Iowa and Texas

The Packers and Stockyards Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, has information that the livestock markets named below are stockyards as defined in section 302 of the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and should be made subject to the provisions of the Act.

IA-257 LaPorte City Sale Barn
LaPorte City, Iowa

TX-328 Hereford Cattle Commission Co., Inc.
Hereford, Texas

Notice is hereby given, therefore, that the Packers and Stockyards Administration, pursuant to authority under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 *et seq.*), proposes to designate the stockyards named above as posted stockyards subject to the provisions of the Act as provided in section 302 thereof.

Any person who wishes to submit written data, views, or arguments concerning the proposed designation, may do so by filing them with the Chief, Financial Protection Branch, Packers and Stockyards Administration, United States Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C. 20250, by July 14, 1983.

All written submissions made pursuant to this notice shall be made available for public inspection in the office of the Chief of the Financial Protection Branch during normal business hours.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of June 1983.

Jack W. Brinckmeyer,

Chief, Financial Protection Branch, Livestock Marketing Division.

[FR Doc. 83-17553 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

Posted Stockyards; Nebraska and Ohio

Pursuant to the authority delegated under the Packers and Stockyards Act 1981, as amended (7 U.S.C. 181 *et seq.*), it was ascertained that the livestock markets named below were stockyards within the definition of that term contained in section 302 of the Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 202), and notice was given to the owners and to the public by posting notices at the stockyards as required by said section 302, on respective dates specified below.

Facility No., name, and location of stockyard	Date of posting
NE-191 Wisner Sales Co., Inc. Wisner, Nebraska	May 16, 1983.
OH-148 Elkton Livestock Auction, Inc. Elkton, Ohio	June 2, 1983.

Done at Washington, D.C., this 21st day of June 1983.

Jack W. Brinckmeyer,

Chief, Financial Protection Branch, Livestock Marketing Division

[FR Doc. 83-17554 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-M

COMMISSION ON CIVIL RIGHTS

Rhode Island Advisory Committee; Agenda and Notice of Public Meeting

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights, that a meeting of the Rhode Island Advisory Committee to the Commission will convene at 12 noon and will end at

1:30 pm, on July 20, 1983, at Gilbane Building Co., 7 Jackson Walkway, Providence, Rhode Island 02940. The purpose of this meeting is review project concept on a study of employment opportunities for minorities and women in selected Rhode Island industries.

Persons desiring additional information or planning a presentation to the Committee, should contact the Chairperson, Ms. Dorothy D. Zimmering, 12 Chapin Road, Barrington, Rhode Island 02806, (401) 245–3515; or the New England Regional Office, 55 Summer Street, 8th Floor, Boston, Massachusetts 02110, (617) 223–4671.

The meeting will be conducted pursuant to the provisions of the Rules and Regulations of the Commission.

Dated at Washington, D.C., June 24, 1983.

John I. Binkley,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 83–17457 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6335–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

International Trade Administration

Export Trade Certificate of Review; Application

AGENCY: International Trade Administration, Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of application.

SUMMARY: The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce has received an application for an Export Trade Certificate of Review. This notice summarizes the conduct for which certification is sought and invites interested parties to submit information relevant to the determination of whether a certificate should be issued.

DATE: Comments on this application must be submitted on or before July 19, 1983.

ADDRESS: Interested parties should submit their written comments, original and five (5) copies, to: Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce, Room 6711, Washington, D.C. 20230.

Comments should refer to this application as "Export Trade Certificate of Review, application number 83–00005."

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles S. Warner, Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs, International Trade Administration, 202/377–5131, or Eleanor Robert Lewis, Assistant General Counsel for Export Trading Companies, Office of General Counsel, 202/377–0937. These are not toll-free numbers.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 (Pub. L. 97–290) authorizes the Secretary of Commerce to issue Export Trade Certificates of Review. A certificate of review protects its holder from civil and criminal liability under Federal and state antitrust laws for the export trade, export trade activities and methods of operation specified in the certificate. A certificate of review is to be issued to any applicant that establishes that its specified export trade, export trade activities, and methods of operation will:

1. Result in neither a substantial lessening of competition or restraint of trade within the United States nor a substantial restraint of the export trade of any competitor of the applicant.

2. Not unreasonably enhance, stabilize, or depress prices within the United States of the goods, wares, merchandise, or services of the class exported by the applicant.

3. Not constitute unfair methods of competition against competitors engaged in the export of goods, wares, merchandise, or services of the class exported by the applicant, and

4. Not include any act that may reasonably be expected to result in the sale for consumption or resale within the United States of the goods, wares, merchandise, or services exported by the applicant.

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs has received the following application for an Export Trade Certificate of Review:

Applicant: Universal Trading Group, Ltd. St. Louis, Missouri
Application No.: 83–00005
Date Received: June 13, 1983
Date Deemed Submitted: June 15, 1983
Members in Addition to Applicant:
Joseph A. Blaes, D.D.S., St. Louis, MO
Richard Haffner, D.D.S., St. Louis, MO
Michael Henderson, Chesterfield, MO
Eugene J. Mackey, St. Louis, MO
Donald Gunn, Jr., St. Louis, MO
James E. Judd, Maryland Heights, MO
Lowell Nicholas, D.D.S., Sikeston, MO

Summary of Application: Universal Trading Group, Ltd. ("UTG"), of 3555 Sunset Office Drive, St. Louis, Missouri 53127, seeks to have certified under Title III of the Export Trading Company Act of 1982 UTG's delivery of health care goods and services, including: the design and construction of hospitals, clinics, and health care facilities; the staffing, tranining, general consultation, management and operation of health care facilities; the sale, wholesale and/or leasing of initial health care products, equipment and supplies related to the design construction and equipment of health care facilities; the provision of data processing services; the provision of hospital and medical insurance plans; and its representation of suppliers of such products and services being exported or in the process of being exported from the United States. The Applicant also plans to trade in foodstuffs and tires of all types, and to represent suppliers of such products and services being exported or in the process of being exported from the United States.

Universal Trading Group, Ltd., further seeks to enter into exclusive and non-exclusive representation agreements with suppliers, including suppliers within the same industry. UTG will enter into, and from time time terminate, exclusive and non-exclusive agreements with distributors and customers located in foreign countries and in the United States for goods and services being exported or in the course of being exported. The foregoing agreements may contain territorial, customer, price and/or quantity restrictions as necessary or desirable to assure the success of U.S. exports in competing against foreign competition for business opportunities.

In addition, UTG seeks to have certified the "packaging" of quotations responsive to invitations to bid, including the supply of products or services in the same industry and the designations and coordination of the sharing of business among UTG's suppliers. UTG may consult and exchange information with competitors of UTG to ascertain the existence of, prepare bids for and share business from foreign customers or relating to goods or services in the course of being exported. UTG will engage in the foregoing activities as necessary or desirable to assure the success of U.S. exports in competing against foreign cometition for business opportunities.

UTG's products and services will be sold worldwide, including but not limited to the Mid-East, Africa, Far East, Latin America, and Europe.

The Office of Export Trading Company Affairs is issuing this notice in compliance with section 302(b)(1) of the Act which requires the Secretary to publish a notice of the application In the **Federal Register.** Interested parties have twenty (20) days from the publication of this notice in which to submit written information relevant to the determination of whether a certificate should be issued. Information submitted by any person in connection with this application will be exempt from

disclosure under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C 552).

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Irving P. Margulies,

Deputy General Counsel.

[FR Doc. 83-17480 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-25-M

National Bureau of Standards

[Docket No. 306031-01]

Proposed Federal Information Processing Standard Alphanumeric Computer Output Microform Quality Test Slide

Under the provisions of Pub. L. 89-306 (79 Stat. 1127; 40 U.S.C. 759(f) and Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973), the Secretary of Commerce (Secretary) is authorized to establish uniform Federal automatic data proessing (ADP) standards. The industrial standard Alphanumeric Computer Output Microform Quality Test Slide is being proposed for Federal use. It is based on the Federal adoption of a voluntary industry standard as it was developed and approved by the National Micrographics Association.

Prior to the submission of this proposal to the Secretary for review and approval, it is essential to assure that consideration is given to the views of manufacturers, the public, and State and local governments. The purpose of this notice is to solicit such views.

This FIPS PUB specifies the layout of a number of test patterns on a form slide which can be imaged alongside the images generated by computer output microfilm (COM) devices.

A comparison of the COM generated images with controlled images from the test slide is the most practical method of determining and maintaining quality of COM printed alphanumeric materials.

The proposed Federal Information Processing Standard contains two sections: (1) An announcement section, which provides information concerning the applicability, implementation, and maintenance of the standard and (2) a specifications section, which deals with the technical requirements of the standard. Only the announcement section of the proposal is provided in this notice.

Interested parties may obtain copies of the technical specifications from and submit comments in writing to the Director, Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234, Attention: Proposed FIPS for Alphanumeric Computer Output Microform Quality Test Slide. To be considered, comments on the proposed standard must be received on or before September 27, 1983.

Written comments received in response to this notice plus written comments obtained from Federal departments and independent agencies will be made part of the public record and will be available for inspection and copying in the Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6622, Main Commerce Building, 14th Street between Constitution Avenue and E Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Persons desiring further information about the proposed FIPS for Alphanumeric Computer Output Microform Quality Test Slide may contact Mr. Thomas C. Bagg, System Components Division, Center for Computer Systems Engineering, Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology, National Bureau of Standards, Washington, D.C. 20234, telephone: 301/921-3723.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Ernest Ambler,

Director.

Proposed Federal Information Processing Standards Publication———

[Date]

Announcing the Standard for Alphanumeric Computer-Output Microform Quality Test Slide

Federal Information Processing Standards Publications are issued by the National Bureau of Standards pursuant to section 111(f)(2) of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949, as amended, Pub. L. 89-306 (79 Stat. 1127), Executive Order 11717 (38 FR 12315, dated May 11, 1973) and Part 6 of Title 15 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR).

Name of Standard. Alphanumeric Computer-Output Microform Quality Test Slide (FIPS PUB———).

Category of Standard. Hardware Standard, Media.

Explanation. This standard provides detailed information for the preparation of a test form slide to ensure the generation of quality microforms by computers.

Approving Authority. Secretary of Commerce.

Maintenance Agency. U.S. Department of Commerce, National Bureau of Standards (Institute for Computer Sciences and Technology).

Cross Index. National Micrographics Association Standard for Alphanumeric COM Quality Test Slide (NMA MS28-1982).

Related Documents.

a. Federal Information Processing Standards Publication (FIPS PUB) 82, Guideline for Inspection and Quality Control for Alphanumeric Computer-Output Microforms.

b. ANS/NMA MS1-1981, American National Standard Practice for Operational Practice/Inspection and Quality Control for Alphanumeric Computer-Output Microform.

Applicability. This standard is recommended for the acquisition of test form slides procured to verify and maintain the quality of microforms generated by alphanumeric computer output microform devices. Users of existing equipment are encouraged to use this standard. Test methods for evaluating equipment quality which are not in accordance with this standard should be evaluated to ensure their COM devices are producing the quality of images required.

Specification. The specifications are contained in Alphanumeric Computer-Output Microform Quality Test Slide" MS28-1982 published by National Micrographics Association.

Qualification. Certain dimensional details for the finished test slide are not included since they depend upon the mounting required for the particular COM recorders for which the slide is intended. The COM recorder manufacturer shall supply this information.

Implementation. This standard becomes effective upon publication in the **Federal Register** of an announcement by the National Bureau of Standards of approval by the Secretary of Commerce. Use by Federal agencies is encouraged when such use contributes to operational benefits, efficiency, or economy.

Where to Obtain Copies. Copies of this publication are for sale by the National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161. (Sale of the included specifications document is by arrangement with the National Micrographics Association.) When ordering, refer to Federal Information Processing Standards Publication———(FIPS PUB———), and title. Payment may be made by check, money order, purchase order, credit card, or deposit account.

[FR Doc. 83-17430 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-CW-M

NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Receipt of Application for Permit; Dolphinarium at Flamingo Land Ltd.

Notice is hereby given that an Applicant has applied in due form for a Permit to take marine mammals as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C 1361-1407), and the Regulations Governing the Taking and Importing of Marine Mammals (50 CFR Part 216).

1. Applicant: The Dolphinarium at Flamingo Land Ltd. (P324), Kirby Misperton, Nr Malton, North Yorkshire, England.

2. Type of Permit: Public Display.

3. Name and Number of Animals: Atlantic bottlenose dolphin (Tursiops truncatus) 3.

4. Type of Take: Capture for permanent maintenance.

5. Location of Activity: West Coast of Florida.

6. Period of Activity: 2 years.

As a request for a permit to take living marine mammals to be maintained in areas outside the jurisdiction of the United States, this application has been submitted in accordance with National Marine Fisheries Service policy concerning such applications (40 FR 11619, March 12, 1975). In this regard, no application will be considered unless:

(a) It is submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, through the appropriate agency of the foreign government;

(b) It includes:

(i.) A certification from such appropriate government agency verifying the information set forth in the application;

(ii) A certification from such government agency that the laws and regulations of the government involved permit enforcement of the terms of the conditions of the permit, and that the government will enforce such terms;

(iii) A statement that the government concerned will afford comity to a National Marine Fisheries Service decision to amend, suspend or revoke a permit.

In accordance with the above cited policy, the certification and statements of the Department of the Environment have been found appropriate and sufficient to allow consideration of this permit application.

The arrangements and facilities for transporting and maintaining the marine mammals requested in the above described application have been inspected by a licensed veterinarian, who has certified that such arrangements and facilities are adequate to provide for the well-being of the marine mammals involved.

Concurrent with the publication of this notice in the **Federal Register**, the Secretary of Commerce is forwarding copies of this application to the Marine Mammal Commission and the Committee of Scientific Advisors.

Written data or views, or requests for a public hearing on this application should be submitted to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235, within 30 days of the publication of this notice. Those individuals requesting a hearing should set forth the specific reasons why a hearing on this particular application would be appropriate. The holding of such hearing is at the discretion of the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries.

All statements and opinions contained in this application are summaries of those of the Applicant and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Marine Fisheries Service.

Documents submitted in connection with the above application are available for review in the following offices:

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southeast Region 9450 Koger Boulevard, St. Petersburg, Florida 33702.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Richard B. Roe,

Acting Director Office of Protected Species and Habitat Conservation.

[FR Doc. 83-17541 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Issuance of Permit; Oregon State University

On May 20, 1983, Notice was published in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 22777) that an application had been filed with the National Marine Fisheries Service by Dr. Bruce R. Mate and Mr. Robin F. Brown, Oregon State University, Newport, Oregon 97365 to take by potential harassment up to 5,550 pinnipeds over a five year period for scientific research.

Notice is hereby given that on June 21, 1983, and as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Permit to Dr. Bruce R. Mate and Mr. Robin F. Brown, to take the marine mammal species requested subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

The Permit is available for review in the following offices:

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, NW., Washington, D.C.; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Region, 7600 Sand Point Way, N.E., BIN C15700, Seattle, Washington 98115.

Dated: June 21, 1983.

Richard B. Roe,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Species and Habitat Conservation, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 83-17542 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Oregon State University

On May 10, 1983, Notice was published in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 20977), that an application had been filed with the National Marine Fisheries Service by Dr. Bruce R. Mate and Mr. James R. Harvey, Oregon State University, Newport, Oregon 97365, to take by radio tagging and flipper tagging 90 harbor seals over a three-year period for scientific research.

Notice is hereby given that on June 22, 1983, and as authorized by the Marine Mammal Protection Act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Permit to Dr. Bruce R. Mate and Mr. James R. Harvey, to take the marine mammal species requested subject to certain conditions set forth therein.

The Permit is available for review in the following offices.

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, NW., Washington, D.C.; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Northwest Region, 7600 Sand Point Way, N.E., BIN C15700, Seattle, Washington 98115.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Richard B. Roe,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Species and Habitat Conservation, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 83-17572 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Issuance of Permit; University of California at Santa Cruz

On April 20, 1983, Notice was published in the **Federal Register** (48 FR 16935), that an application had been filed with the National Marine Fisheries Service by Dr. Daniel P. Costa, Mr. John M. Francis, and Ms. Carolyn B. Heath, Center for Coastal Marine Studies, University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California 95064, for a permit to take one hundred sixty (160) California sea lions (*Zalophus californinus)* for the purpose of scientific research.

Notice is hereby given that on *June 22, 1983,* and as authorized by the provisions of the Marine Mammal Protection act of 1972 (16 U.S.C. 1361–

1407), the National Marine Fisheries Service issued a Scientific Research Permit for the above taking to Dr. Daniel P. Costa, Mr. John M. Francis, and Ms. Carolyn B. Heath, subject to certatin conditions set forth therein.

The Permit is available for review in the following offices:

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service, 3300 Whitehaven Street, NW., Washington, D.C.; and

Regional Director, National Marine Fisheries Service, Southwest Region, 300 South Ferry Street, Terminal Island, California 90731.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Richard B. Roe,

Acting Director, Office of Protected Species and Habitat Conservation, National Marine Fisheries Service.

[FR Doc. 83-17571 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-22-M

Office of the Secretary

President's Private Sector Survey on Cost Control

AGENCY: Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of open meeting of the Subcommittee of the President's Private Sector Survey on Cost Control (PPSSCC).

SUMMARY: The Subcommittee was established by the Executive Committee of the PPSSCC to: (i) Review the recommendations submitted, including task force reports and public comments, and (ii) determine which recommendations should be made to the President and the Departments and Agencies.

TIME AND PLACE: July 13, 1983, beginning at 10 a.m. The meeting will be held at the U.S. Department of Commerce Auditorium, First Floor, Herbert C. Hoover Building, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230.

Agenda

1. Draft reports from the following Task Forces of the Survey will be discussed by Subcommittee members:
   A. Air Force;
   B. Army;
   C. Office of the Secretary of Defense;
   D. Navy;
   E. Federal Feeding;
   F. Treasury;
   G. Privatization;
   H. Department of Justice;
   I. Publishing, Printing, Reproduction and Audio Visual Activities; and
   J. Traffic and Travel Management.
2. Comments and recommendations received from public and other interested parties will be discussed by Subcommittee members.

In compliance with Federal Advisory Committee Act and Freedom of Information Act requirements, copies of the draft reports will be available on June 30 at the Department's Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, Room 6628 Hoover Building, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Please call Ms. Geraldine P. LeBoo on (202) 377-3271 for information concerning fees and procedures for obtaining copies by mail.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of all materials, including Task Force reports, to be considered at this meeting will be available approximately two weeks prior to the meeting at the Department's Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, address above.

Public participation: The July 13 meeting will be open to the public. Seating will be on a first-come, first-served basis, up to the safe capacity of the meeting room. Media representatives are encouraged to call Mr. Malcolm Barr, Director, News Relations, Department of Commerce, 377-4901 to arrange for coverage of the meeting.

The public may file written statements for consideration by the Subcommittee any time before, at, or after the meeting. It is strongly recommended that statements concerning the matters to be considered at each meeting be filed before such meeting to ensure that they are considered by the Subcommittee before adoption of a report. The statements should be filed at the Department of Commerce's Central Reference and Records Inspection Facility, address and phone number as above. Because of the number of recommendations to be discussed, the meeting agenda will not include time for oral statements from public attendees. All public statements received will be available for public review.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ms. Janet Colson, Committee Control Officer for the Executive Committee of the President's Private Sector Survey on Cost Control, telephone (202) 466-4665.

Dated: June 27, 1983.

Yvonne D. Barnes,

Information Policy and Management Division, Office of the Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17662 Filed 6-29-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-CW-M

DEFENSE LOGISTICS AGENCY

Membership of the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA) Performance Review Board

AGENCY: Defense Logistics Agency.

ACTION: Notice of Membership of the Defense Logistics Agency Performance Review Board.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the appoint of the members of the Performance Review Board (PRB) of the Defense Logistics Agency. The publication of PRB membership is required by 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4).

The Performance Review Board provides fair and inpartial review of Senior Executive Service performance appraisals and makes recommendations regarding performance and performance awards to the Director, Defense Logistics Agency.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Herbert W. Johnson, Employee Development Specialist, Workforce Effectiveness and Development Division, Defense Logistics Agency, Department of Defense, Cameron Station, Alexandria, Virginia 22314, (202) 274-6049 or 274-6035.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: In accordance with 5 U.S.C. 4314(c)(4), the following are names and titles of the executives who have been appointed to serve as members of the Performance Review Board. They will serve a one-year renewable term, effective upon publication of this notice.

Mr. William J. Cassell, Comptroller, Defense Logistics Agency

Mr. Richard G. Bruner, Executive Director, Directorate of Technical & Logistics Services

Brig. Gen. C. H. Edmiston, USA, Assistant Director, Office of Plans, Policies and Programs

RADM F. C. Collins, Jr., USN, Executive Director, Directorate of Quality Assurance

Mr. Anthony W. Hudson, Staff Director, Office of Personnel

Anthony W. Hudson,

Staff Director, Personnel.

[FR Doc. 83-17447 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3620-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Proposed Information Collection Request

AGENCY: Department of Education.

ACTION: Notice of proposed information collection request.

SUMMARY: The Deputy Under Secretary for Management invites comments on the proposed information collection request as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act.

DATE: Interested persons are invited to submit comments on or before July 29, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or requests should be addressed to Arnold Strasser, Office of Management and Budget, 725 Jackson Place, NW., Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503 and/or Margaret Webster, Department of Education, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW., Room 4074, Switzer Building, Washington, D.C. 20202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: William Wooten (202) 426–7304.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 3517 of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35) requires that the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) provide interested Federal agencies and the public an early opportunity to comment on information collection requests. The requirement for public consultation may be amended or waived by OMB to the extent that the public participation in the approval process would defeat the purpose of the information collection, violate State or Federal law, or substantially interfere with any agency's ability to perform the statutory obligations.

The Deputy Under Secretary for Management publishes that notice containing proposed information collection requests prior to the submission of these requests to the Office of Management and Budget. Public comment is invited, and copies of the requests may be obtained from the addressees named above.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Charles L. Heatherly,

Deputy Under Secretary for Management.

Office of Postsecondary Education (OPSE)

Reinstatement

Lender's Application for Payment of Insurance Claim, FISL

ED 1207

On Occasion

Businesses or Other For-Profit

SIC: 822, 602, 603, 604, 605

Responses: 51,120; Burden Hours: 15,336

One Form Submitted for Approval.

Abstract: The ED 1207 is used by lenders to request payment of claims on defaulted Guaranteed Student Loans. It provides the Department of Education the loan and payment history which is essential in determining the validity of a claim and the amount to be paid to the lender.

[FR Doc. 83–17502 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000–01–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

Economic Regulatory Administration

[ERA Docket No. 83—Cert–037, etc.]

Alton Fabrics Inc., et al.; Certifications of Eligible Use of Natural Gas To Displace Fuel Oil

The Economic Regulatory Administration (ERA) of the Department of Energy (DOE) has received the following applications for certification of an eligible use of natural gas to displace fuel oil pursuant to 10 CFR Part 595 (44 FR 47920, August 16, 1979). Notices of these applications, along with pertinent information contained in the application, were published in the **Federal Register** and an opportunity for public comment was provided for a period of ten calendar days from the date of publication. No comments were received. More detailed information is contained in each application on file and available for inspection at the ERA Fuels Conversion Division Docket Room, RG–42, Room GA–093, Forrestal Building, 1000 Independence Avenue SW., Washington, D.C. 20585, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

Applicant and facility	Date filed	Docket No.	FEDERAL REGISTER notice of application
Alton Fabrics Inc., Allentown, Pa	Apr. 26, 1983	83–Cert–037	48 FR 23883, May 27, 1983.
General Battery Corp., Reading, Pa	Apr. 26, 1983	83–Cert–038	48 FR 23883, May 27, 1983.
Dart Container Corp., Leola, Pa	Apr. 26, 1983	83–Cert–039	48 FR 23883, May 27, 1983.
Sherwin-Williams Co., Cincinnatti, Ohio	May 9, 1983	83–Cert–066	48 FR 24764, June 2, 1983.
Jeannette Sheet Glass Corp., Jeannette, Pa	June 6, 1983	83–Cert–161	48 FR 27131, June 13, 1983.

The ERA has carefully reviewed the above applications for certificaton in accordance with 10 CFR Part 595 and the policy considerations expressed in the Final Rulemaking Regarding Procedures for Certification of the Use of Natural Gas To Displace Fuel Oil (44 FR 47920, August 16, 1979). The ERA has determined that the applications satisfy the criteria enumerated in 10 CFR Part 595 and, therefore, has granted the certifications and transmitted those certifications to the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Issued in Washington, D.C. June 23, 1983.

James W. Workman,

Director, Office of Fuels Programs, Economic Regulatory Administration.

[FR Doc. 83–17441 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450–01–M

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Project No. 3175–001]

Alaska Power Authority; Surrender of Preliminary Permit

June 24, 1983.

Take notice that Alaska Power Authority, Permittee for the proposed Kisaralik Project No. 3175, has requested that its preliminary permit be terminated. The Preliminary Permit was issued on September 30, 1982, and would have expired on October 31, 1985. The project would have been located on the Kisaralik River near Bethel, Alaska. Alaska Power Authority cites that the project is not economically feasible for development when compared to other sites nearby.

Alaska Power Authority filed its request on May 20, 1983, and the surrender of its permit for Project No. 3175 is deemed accepted as of May 20, 1983, and effective as of 30 days after the date of this notice.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17412 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. EL81–14–002 (Remand)]

American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc. and City of St. Marys, Ohio v. Dayton Power & Light Company; Order Referring Complaint to a Presiding Administrative Law Judge for Appropriate Proceedings

Issued: June 23, 1983.

This proceeding concerns a complaint filed by American Municipal Power-Ohio, Inc. (AMP-Ohio) and the City of St. Marys, Ohio (St. Marys) against Dayton Power & Light Company (DP&L). AMP-Ohio is a member of the Buckeye Member Cooperative, Inc. (BMCI) which is, in turn, a member of Buckeye Power, Inc. (Buckey). The complainants have requested transmission service from DP&L to a new delivery point at St. Marys under the terms, including the applicable rate, of a Power Delivery Agreement to which Buckeye and DP&L are parties. DP&L has refused to do so, although it has initiated transmission service to St. Marys at higher rates under other rate schedules.

On May 19, 1982, the Commission issued an order finding that the Power Delivery Agreement required DP&L to render the requested transmission service. The Commission therefore directed DP&L to refund the difference, plus interest, between the rate it had charged for service to the St. Marys delivery point and the rate it would have charged for the same service under the Power Delivery Agreement. The language of the order was clarified as to the refund obligation, and DP&L's request for rehearing was denied by order of July 19, 1982, 20 FERC ¶ 61,018.

DP&L appealed the Commission's orders to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. The Commission, upon reconsideration of its orders and of the pleadings of the parties, subsequently requested the Sixth Circuit to remand the orders to the Commission for further disposition. The court granted the unopposed request for voluntary remand on January 13, 1983.

Discussion

In our May 19, 1982 order, we concluded that the question of DP&L's obligation under the Power Delivery Agreement had already been determined in another proceeding involving the Power Delivery Agreement, *Buckeye Power Company v. Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company*, Docket No. EL79-20.[1] Under the Power Delivery Agreement, six investor-owned utilities, including Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company and DP&L, agreed to wheel Buckeye's output of an electric generating plant to various cooperative points of delivery on behalf of Buckeye members. In Docket No. EL79-20, Buckeye filed a complaint seeking a finding that Cincinnati Gas & Electric Company (CG&E) was required by the Power Delivery Agreement to wheel Buckeye power for BMCI and AMP-Ohio to a delivery point at the City of Hamilton, Ohio. We determined that BMCI, as a legitimate Buckeye member, was entitled to the service under the terms of the Power Delivery Agreement. In *Buckeye*, the invest-owned utility and the municipality that would receive the power were different from the corresponding parties in this proceeding, but the same Buckeye member, BMCI, and its member AMP-Ohio, were involved. We concluded that the same question was raised by the complaint of AMP-Ohio and St. Marys. Accordingly, in the absence of any apparent material factual distinction between the situation presented in this docket and the situation presented in *Buckeye*, we concluded that the findings in *Buckeye* should control.

Upon reconsideration of the parties' pleadings, it became apparent that DP&L had raised an argument that was not litigated in the *Buckeye* proceeding. DP&L contends that a reference in the Agreement to Ohio's "Anti-Pirating Law" expressly permits DP&L to refuse to provide wheeling service to a municipality already receiving service from DP&L. St. Marys in a partial requirements customer of DP&L. The initial decision in *Buckeye* indicates that neither CG&E nor Buckeye contended before the presiding law judge that the prohibition of the Anti-Pirating Law was applicable in that case. 14 FERC ¶ 63,007, p. 65,010.

The Ohio statute was repealed effective July 12, 1978. However, DP&L argues that the reference to the statute in the Power Delivery Agreement "preserves its validity" and expresses DP&L's limited commitment to wheel power under the Agreement. We feel it appropriate to permit DP&L the opportunity to present its position on this issue. However, it is not apparent to us whether material issues of fact are presented that would necessitate a hearing. It may be that there are only questions of law and/or policy which could be briefed without a hearing. Accordingly we shall direct a presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, to convene a conference in this proceeding for the purpose of hearing argument from the parties on the need for a hearing on the impact of the Anti-Pirating Law. The presiding judge shall have the discretion to convene a hearing or to direct that the parties brief the issue to him or her without hearing, and conduct the proceedings accordingly.

The Commission orders:

(A) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately thirty (30) days of the date of this order, in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. Such conference shall be held for purposes of hearing argument on the need for a hearing on the limited issue of the significance of the reference in the Power Delivery Agreement to Ohio's now-repealed Anti-Pirating Law. The presiding judge may direct the parties to brief the issue if he or she should determine that no hearing is necessary, or may establish procedural dates, including the submittal of testimony and exhibits, if he or she should determine that a hearing is necessary pursuant to the Federal Power Act and the Commission's regulations thereunder. The presiding judge is authorized to convene such a hearing, to establish procedural dates, and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(B) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary,

[FR Doc. 83-17413 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[1] Initial Decision, 14 FERC ¶ 63,007 (January 9, 1981), *aff'd in part*, 18 FERC ¶ 61,067 (January 25, 1982), *reh. denied*, 18 FERC ¶ 61,269 (May 25, 1982).

[Project No. 2428-000]

Aquenergy Systems, Inc.; Re-Notice of Expiration of License

June 24, 1983.

Take notice that the license for the Piedmont Project No. 2428 will expire on December 31, 1987. The project is located on the Saluda River in Anderson and Greenville Counties, South Carolina and is licensed to Aquenergy Systems, Inc.

In a January 20, 1983 order (22 FERC ¶ 62,079, January 20, 1983), the Commission staff approved the transfer of the license for Project No. 2428 from J. P. Stevens and Company to Aquenergy Systems, Inc. The Notice of Expiration of License, issued subsequently on April 1, 1983, however, incorrectly stated that Project No. 2428 was licensed to J. P. Stevens and Company. This notice supersedes and serves to correct the notice of April 1, 1983.

The principal project works currently licensed for Project No. 2428 are: a dam, a powerhouse containing a single

generating unit with an installed capacity of 1,000 kW, and appurtenant facilities.

This notice is issued pursuant to the regulations of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 18 CFR 16.2 (1982). The Commission licenses non-federal water-power projects for the periods up to 50 years pursuant to the Federal Power Act, 16 U.S.C. 719–825r. When a license expires, the Commission may issue a new license to the original licensee or to a new licensee, or may recommend to Congress that the United States acquire the project.

Under the Commission's regulations, the current licensee must file its application for a new license from three to five years before the current license expires. Any other entity seeking the license must file an application in accordance with 18 CFR 16.3(b). When an application is filed, notice will be published and interested persons will have a further opportunity to submit a competing application, file a protest or comment, seek to intervene, or recommend that the United States acquire the project.

Kenneth F. Plumb

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17414 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ID-2055-000]

Richard A. Bowron; Application

June 24, 1983.

The filing individual submits the following:

Take notice that on June 17, 1983, Richard A. Bowron filed an application pursuant to Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions:

Secretary—Alabama Power Company
Secretary—Southern Electric Generating Company

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 15, 1983. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceedings. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17423 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER83-565-000]

Central Illinois Public Service Company; Filing

June 24, 1983.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that on June 7, 1983, Central Illinois Public Service Company (CIPS) tendered for filing a new Interconnection Agreement between CIPS and Southern Illinois Power Cooperative dated May 19, 1983, which is intended to replace the presently effective interconnection agreement known as CIPS Rate Schedule No. 74 with supplements.

CIPS requests an effective date of May 19, 1983, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements.

Copies of this filing have been sent to Southern Illinois Power Cooperative and the Illinois Commerce Commission.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 8, 1983. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17415 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. SA83-17-000]

Gusher Oil & Gas Company, Inc.; Application for Adjustment

Issued: June 24, 1983.

On June 10, Gusher Oil & Gas Company, Inc. (Gusher), 3922 South Helena Street, Aurora, Colorado 80013, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for adjustment under section 502(c) of the Natural Gas Policy Act of 1978 (NGPA), 15 U.S.C. 3301–3432 (Supp. V 1982) and Rule 1104 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.1104 (47 FR 19014, May 3, 1982)). Gusher seeks relief from 273.202 and 273.204 of the Commission's regulations (18 CFR 273.202 and .204 (1982)).

Gusher states that commencement of drilling of the Blick #1 Well, located in Adams County, Colorado, occurred on May 25, 1982. The subject well was completed on August 9, 1982, and deliveries from the well began on February 28, 1983. An application for determination of the NGPA maximum lawful price was not submitted to the appropriate jurisdictional agency until June 1, 1983.

Section 273.202(a)(1) of the Commission's regulations provides that interim collection of the maximum lawful prices under NGPA sections 102, 103, 107, or 108 may not begin until an application has been filed with the appropriate jurisdictional agency for a determination of eligibility under the applicable NGPA section. Section 273.204(a)(1) limits the retroactive collection of NGPA maximum lawful prices to the deliveries of natural gas occurring between the date on which an application for an NGPA well category eligibility determination is filed, and the date on which said eligibility determination becomes final.

In support of its petition, Gusher states that Charles F. Maxey, one of the two shareholders and directors of the company, was involved in a near-fatal motorcycle accident on June 22, 1982. As a result of this accident, Maxey was hospitalized and later convalescing until May of 1983. Gusher states that the "continued drilling and completion of the well was carried out by the drilling contractor," and that the negotiation and arrangements concerning the sale of gas from the well "were carried out and consummated by an outside consultant."Gusher further states that "Mr. Maxey and his wife were completely absorbed in the day-to-day survival and recuperation of Mr. Maxey and could not reasonably have been expected to be concerned with business matters." Based on the above, Gusher contends unless it is granted an adjustment to make retroactive collections from the date of first delivery of gas from the subject well, the

operation of §§ 273.202 and 273.204 will create a special hardship, as the loss of revenue, equal to the maximum lawful price less the revenues actually received for the period in question, is approximately $20,000.

The procedure applicable to the conduct of this adjustment proceeding are found in Subpart K of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, 18 CFR 385.1101–.1117 (47 FR 19014, May 3, 1982).

Any person desiring to participate in this proceeding shall file a motion to intervene in accordance with Rule 1105 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure. All motions to intervene must be filed within 15 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register.**

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc 83-17416 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ID-2056-000]

William B. Hutchins, III; Application

June 24, 1983.

The filing individual submits the following:

Take notice that on June 17, 1983, William B. Hutchins, III filed an application pursuant to Section 305(b) of the Federal Power Act to hold the following positions:

Vice President and Treasurer—Alabama Power Company
Treasurer—Southern Electric Generating Company

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with the Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 15, 1983. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17432 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER83-286-000]

Iowa Electric Light & Power.; Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Rates, Denying Request for Waiver of Notice, Granting Interventions, Denying Motions for Rejection and for Summary Disposition, and Establishing Hearing and Price Squeeze Procedures

Issued: June 23, 1983.

On April 29, 1983, Iowa Electric Light and Power Company (Iowa) completed its filing [1] of a proposed two-step increase in rates for firm power service to 30 wholesale customers.[2] The proposed Phase I rates would increase revenues by approximately $3.2 million (35%), based on the twelve month test period ending March 31, 1984. The Phase II rates would increase revenues by an additional $2.7 million, representing a total increase of $5.9 million (65%). Iowa requests waiver of the notice requirements and allowance of its originally proposed effective dates of April 4, 1983, and April 5, 1983, for the Phase I and II rates, respectively. As an alternative, Iowa seeks waiver of notice and effective dates of April 30, 1983, and May 1, 1983. Iowa further requests that the Phase II rates be suspended until July 1, 1983, in order to track Iowa's pending retail rate increase.

Notice of Iowa's original submittal was published in the **Federal Register,** with comments due on or before February 22, 1983. Timely notices or motions to intervene were filed by the Iowa State Commerce Commission (Iowa Commission); the Cities of West Liberty, Vinton, Eldridge, and Maquoketa, Iowa; the Iowa Defense Group (IDG);[3] the Louis Rich Company; and Amana Refrigeration, Inc. (Amana). On February 23, 1983, a later motion to intervene was filed by the City of Traer, Iowa. On February 24, 1983, motions to intervene were filed by the Cities of State Center and Burt, Iowa, and on March 1, 1983, a motion to intervene was filed by the City of Earlville, Iowa.

Vinton, Eldridge, Maquoketa, Traer, State Center, Burt, Earlville, IDG, and Amana request that Iowa's rates be suspended for the full statutory period and that this proceeding be set for hearing. The Iowa Commission requests rejection of the filing, due to Iowa's failure to submit all estimated costs and revenues for Period II and adequate workpapers. In support of its request for a five month suspension of the rates, the Iowa Commission states that Iowa has failed to recognize that purchased power costs will decline in years beyond the test period.

Citing the *Mobile-Sierra* doctrine,[4] West Liberty requests that the Commission summarily reject the proposed rate increase as it applies to West Liberty, on the grounds that its service agreement with Iowa precludes rate changes prior to final Commission approval. Alternatively, West Liberty requests a five month suspension of Iowa's rates and a hearing, raising several cost of service issues.[5] West Liberty also alleges price squeeze. On February 23, 1983, West Liberty filed a motion to reject Iowa's filing, based on Iowa's failure to provide all estimated costs and revenues for Period II.

Iowa responded to the intervenor's initial pleadings by answer filed on March 14, 1983. While not objecting to the motions for intervention, the company opposes the requests for rejection, summary disposition, and maximum suspension. Iowa also addresses the cost of service and price squeeze issues raised by the intervenors, recognizing that these issues are appropriate for resolution in a hearing.

On May 16, 1983, IDG and Amana (IDG) filed a motion requesting rejection of Iowa's filing, as supplemented, arguing that the requested effective dates (April 4 and 5, 1983) would result in retroactive ratemaking. If the filing is not rejected, IDG requests that the effective date be set no earlier than 60 days from April 27, 1983,[6] asserting that waiver of the notice requirements is inappropriate here. Finally, citing various cost of service issues,[7] IDG

---

[1] Iowa originally tendered the filing on January 31, 1983. By letter dated March 3, 1983, the Director of the Office of Electric Power Regulation, advised Iowa that its filing was deficient. On April 29, 1983 Iowa submitted additional data which cured the deficiencies.

[2] *See* Attachment A for rate schedule designations and affected customers.

[3] IDG is an *ad hoc* association of all of Iowa's wholesale customers.

[4] *United Gas Pipe Line Co.* v. *Mobile Gas Service Corp.*, 350 U.S. 332 (1956); *FPC* v. *Sierra Pacific Power Co.*, 350 U.S. 348 (1956).

[5] The issues include failure to adjust for Iowa's declining purchased power costs in years beyond the test period; excessive reserve margins; overstatement of fuel expenses, coal inventory, and cash working capital; use of an excessive rate of return on common equity; improper classification of wage and salary expenses; failure to increase a capacity credit; improper projection of Period II billing determinants; failure to support transmission loss factors; and rate discrimination among customer classes.

[6] April 27, 1983, is the date of the transmittal letter of Iowa's supplemental filing. As noted above, the filing date was April 29, 1983.

[7] The cost of service issues include understatement of sales projections; improper increases in production plant in rate base; and excessive allowances for wage and salary, distribution, and administrative and general expenses.

repeats its request that both the Phase I and Phase II rates be suspended for five months. By answer filed on May 31, 1983, Iowa opposes IDG's requests for rejection and maximum suspension, responds to the cost of service issues raised by IDG, and asserts that good cause exists to grant waiver of notice. IDG clarified its position on suspension of the Phase II rates in a letter filed June 1, 1983.

On May 19, 1983, West Liberty filed an answer to Iowa's supplemental filing. West Liberty requests rejection of the amended submittal as to all customers, because the last day of Period I is more than 15 months prior to the date Iowa completed its filing and because the proposed effective dates are not plainly stated. The City also renews its request to reject the filing as to West Liberty on the *Mobile-Sierra* grounds stated above. Clarifying the cost of service issues raised in its earlier pleading and raising several new issues,[8] based on Iowa's supplemental filing, West Liberty requests maximum suspension of Iowa's rates from July 1, 1983. Finally, West Liberty repeats its request for a hearing and price squeeze procedures.

Iowa responded to West Liberty's May 19 pleading on June 3, 1983. The company opposes West Liberty's motion to reject, responds to the cost of service issues raised, and again asserts that waiver of the notice requirements should be granted.

Discussion

Under Rule 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures (18 CFR 385.214), the unopposed notice motions to intervene serve to make the Iowa Commission, West Liberty, Vinton, Eldridge, Maquoketa, IDG, The Louis Rich Company, and Amana parties to this proceeding. The Commission also finds that good cause exists to grant the late interventions of Traer, State Center, Burt, and Earlville, given their status as affected customers, the early stages of this proceeding, and that fact that no prejudice to the company or other participants should result.

As noted, the Iowa Commission and West Liberty seek rejection of Iowa's filing, based on Iowa's failure to submit complete Phase II estimates of costs and revenues, Iowa's failure to comply with § 35.13 of the Commission's regulations (because the last date of Period I is more than 15 months before the date when Iowa completed its submittal), and Iowa's ambiguous statements as to the proposed effective dates. The Commission finds that Iowa has provided completed Phase II estimates in response to the March 3, 1983 deficiency letter. In addition, we note that the 15 month rule is tied to the tender date (here January 31, 1983), rather that to the date of which the filing is completed, and thus is not a bar to the instant filing. Finally, submission of alternative effective dates does not constitute substantial noncompliance with the Commission's filing requirements so as to justify rejection. We find that Iowa's submittal as completed on April 29, substantially complies with the Commission's filing requirements. Consequently, these motions to reject will be denied.[9]

Refection of the rate increase applicable to West Liberty on *Mobile-Sierra* grounds is also inappropriate. In this regard, we note that the Commission has previously determined that the contract under which West Liberty is served does not preclude changes in rates pursuant to section 205 of the Federal Power Act.[10] Moreover, were we to reexamine the contract language now, in light of the intervening *Mobile-Sierra* precedent, we would reach the same conclusion.[11] As the Commission found in the prior *Iowa* opinion (55 FPC at 711), Article IV of the agreement, relating to rate amendments by the parties, appears to be indistinguishable from language construed by the Supreme Court in *United Gas Pipeline Co.* v. *Memphis Light, Gas & Water Division*, 358 U.S. 103 (1958). The Court viewed the language before it as constituting a "going rate" provision. Article VIII of Iowa's agreement with West Liberty does refer to Commission-directed contract modifications. However, that is a provision following several intervening contract articles which is clearly labelled by the parties as a miscellaneous section and has no explicit or implied application to rate changes unilaterally sought by a party to the contract. We view Article VIII as simply a recognition by the parties that the agreement would be subject to regulatory approval or amendment in whole or in part. Therefore, we see nothing in West Liberty's service agreement which would limit Iowa's ability to make unilateral rate filings.

As noted above, Iowa requests waiver of the notice requirements and suspension of its Phase II rates until July 1, 1983, in order to track Iowa's pending retail rate increase. The requested effective dates of April 4 and 5, 1983 for the Phase I and Phase II rates, respectively, are those proposed by Iowa in its initial filing of January 31, 1983. Iowa asserts that its customers have received 60 days notice of an increase in rate level and that they have been and remain able to challenge Iowa's proposed rates, that the proposed rate level is unchanged by its April 29, 1983 supplemental filing, and that, despite the deficiencies in its original submittal, the January 31, 1983 filing constituted a good faith attempt to provide reasonable support for its rate request. Iowa further states that failure to grant its proposed alternative effective dates of April 30 and May 1, 1983, would cause substantial hardship for the company, because it would be unable to completely recover the costs of purchased power ($3.2 million annually) under its contract with the City of Muscatine, scheduled to begin service on May 1, 1983.

Despite Iowa's contentions, we do not believe that Iowa has shown adequate cause for waiver of the notice requirements.[12] The deficiencies in Iowa's original submittal were substantial in that test period estimates were significantly lacking. Under these circumstances and given the fact that the company was in control of its filing, we perceive no valid basis for concluding that the notice period should be tied to the deficient submittal.

Our preliminary review of Iowa's submittal and the pleadings indicates that the proposed Phase I and Phase II rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall accept Iowa's rates for filing and we shall suspend the rates as ordered below.

In *West Texas Utilities Company*, Docket No. ER82-23-000, 18 FERC ¶ 61,189 (1982), we noted that rate filings would ordinarily be suspended for one day where preliminary review indicates that the proposed increase may be unjust and unreasonable but may not generate substantially excessive revenues, as defined in *West Texas*. Our examination of both the Phase I and Phase II rates suggests that they may not yield excessive revenues. Accordingly,

[8] The new cost of service issues relate to overprojection of expenses for Phase II, misallocation of general and common plant; elimination of plant held for future use from rate base; adjustment of allowances for nuclear waste disposal; overstatement of purchased power expense; and other miscellaneous adjustments.

[9] *See Municipal Light Boards of Reading and Wakefield, Massachusetts* v. *FPC*, 450 F.2d 1341 (D.C. Cir. 1971), *Cert. denied*, 405 U.S. 989 (1972)

[10] *Iowa Electric Light & Power Co.*, 54 FPC 3084 (1975), *reh. denied*, 55 FPC 707 (1976).

[11] The pertinent contract language is quoted in Attachment B to this order.

[12] In view of our decision to deny waiver of notice, we consider IDG's motion to reject based on the company's proposed effective dates to be moot.

we shall suspend the Phase I rates for one day, from 60 days after completion of the filing, to become effective on June 30, 1983, subject to refund. Consistent with Iowa's request, we shall suspend the proposed Phase II rates until July 1, 1983, subject to refund.

In accordance with the Commission's policy and practice established in *Arkansas Power and Light Company*, Docket No. ER79–339, 8 FERC ¶ 61,131 (1979), we shall phase the price squeeze issue raised by West Liberty.

The Commission orders:

(A) The untimely interventions of Traer, State Center, Burt, and Earlville are hereby granted, subject to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(B) The intervenors' motions to reject Iowa's filing, in whole or in part, are hereby denied.

(C) Iowa's request for waiver of the notice requirements is hereby denied.

(D) Iowa's proposed rates are hereby accepted for filing; the Phase I rates are suspended for one day, to become effective on June 30, 1983, subject to refund, and the Phase II rates are suspended until July 1, 1983, subject to refund.

(E) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the justness and reasonableness of Iowa's rates.

(F) The Commission staff shall serve top sheets in this proceeding within ten (10) days of the date of this order.

(G) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days after service of top sheets in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(H) The Commission hereby orders initiation of price squeeze procedures and further orders that this proceeding be phased so that the price squeeze procedures begin after issuance of a Commission opinion establishing the rate which, but for consideration of price squeeze, would be just and reasonable. The presiding judge may modify this schedule for good cause shown. The price squeeze portion of this case shall be governed by the procedures set forth in § 2.17 of the Commission's regulations as they may be modified prior to the initiation of the price squeeze phase of this proceeding.

(I) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[Docket No. ER83–286–000]

Iowa Electric Light and Power Company

Rate Schedule Designations

Designation		Description
Sheet No.	Supersedes Sheet No.	
(1) *FPC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 1:*		
6th Revised Sheet No. 3	5th Revised Sheet No. 3	Preliminary Statement.
6th Revised Sheet No. 5	5th Revised Sheet No. 5	Rate RES–1 Phase I.
5th Revised Sheet Nos. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3 ,5.4	4th Revised Sheet Nos. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4	Do.
6th Revised Sheet No. 8	5th Revised Sheet No. 8	Rate RES–2 Phase I.
5th Revised Sheet Nos. 8.1, 8.2	4th Revised Sheet Nos. 8.1, 8.2	Do.
3rd Revised Sheet No. 22	2nd Revised Sheet No. 22	Revision to Service Agreement.
7th Revised Sheet No. 26	6th Revised Sheet No. 26	Index of Purchasers.
7th Revised Sheet No. 5	6th Revised Sheet No. 5	Rate RES–1 Phase II.
6th Revised Sheet Nos. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4	5th Revised Sheet Nos. 5.1, 5.2, 5.3, 5.4	Do.
7th Revised Sheet No. 8	6th Revised Sheet No. 8	Rate RES–2 Phase II.
6th Revised Sheet Nos. 8.1, 8.2	5th Revised Sheet Nos. 8.1, 8.2	Do.
(2) Supplement No. 6 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 28 (Supersedes Supplement No. 5).		Rate RES–2 Phase I.
(3) Supplement No. 7 to Rate Schedule FPC No. 28 (Supersedes Supplement No. 6).		Rate RES–1 Phase II.

Other Parties:

Tariff Customers:

Cities of Anita, Burt, Corwith, Dike, Dysart, Earlville, Eldridge, Ellsworth, Grand Junction, Hopkinton, Kelley, Long Grove, Maquoketa, Marathon, Ogden, Panora, Paton, Preston, Roland, Stanhope, State Center, Story City, Tipton, Traer, Vinton, West Liberty, and Whittemore; Amana Society Electric Service Company; Farmers Electric Cooperative of Kalona

Rate Scheldule FPC No. 28; City of Sibley

[FR Doc. 83–17417 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER83-556-000]

Lake Superior District Power Co.; Filing June 24, 1983.

The filing Company submits the following.

Take notice that on June 7, 1983, Lake Superior District Power Company (LSDP) tendered for filing an application for approval of a reduction in its currently-effective fuel adjustment clause factor applicable service to its 3 wholesale customers.

LSDP states that its filing is being made concurrently with a filing by its parent company, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), in which the parent company is requesting Commission approval of a change in the method currently used to account for the cost associated with the disposal of spent nuclear fuel. LSDP states that the effect of its parent company's filing is to reduce LSDP's fuel costs which are currently being recovered through its fuel adjustment clause. LSDP states that the purpose of the filing is to "pass along" this fuel cost reduction. LSDP states that, based upon budgeted data for the period April 7, 1983 to April 6, 1984, this reduction equates to an annual reduction of $25,288.00 in revenues that would otherwise be collected from LSDP's wholesale customers or .3 mills per kWh.

LSDP requests an effective date of April 7, 1983, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. LSDP further requests that, if the Commission's does not grant its request for retroactive effectiveness, the filing become effective on or before August 5, 1983, and that any suspension be limited to one day.

Copies of this filing have been served upon each of its wholesale customers and upon the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

LSDP's request is contingent upon Commission approval of NSP-M's request for approval of the proposed change in the method used to account for spent nuclear fuel disposal costs.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR Sections 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 8, 1983. All protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17418 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER83-558-000]

Middle South Services, Inc.; Filing

June 24, 1983.

The filing Company submits the following:

Take notice that on June 7, 1983, Middle South Services, Inc. (MSS), as agent for Mississippi Power & Light Company (MP&L), tendered for filing a Letter Agreement dated August 25, 1982 between MP&L and Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA). MSS states that the Agreement provides for the deferral until the 1983 and 1984 summer exchange periods of a portion of the diversity capacity that otherwise would have been made available by TVA during the 1980, 1981 and 1982 summer exchange periods under Service Schedule E of the Interconnection Agreement between MP&L and TVA.

Also tendered for filing by MSS, as agent for Arkansas Power & Light Company (AP&L), was a Letter Agreement dated November 4, 1982 between AP&L and Southwestern Electric Power Company (SWEPCO). Included in the filing is SWEPCO's certificate of concurrence to the Agreement. MSS states that the Agreement provides for a corresponding deferral until the 1983 and 1984 summer exchange periods of a portion of the diversity capacity that otherwise would have been made available by AP&L during the 1980, 1981 and 1982 summer exchange periods under Service Schedule E of the Interconnection Agreement between AP&L and SWEPCO.

MSS requests an effective date of June 1, 1980 for the letter agreements because the letter agreements affected transactions during the 1980 summer exchange period. Therefore, MSS requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements and waiver of certain requirements under Part 35 of the Commission's Regulations.

A copy of this filing has been mailed to TVA, AP&L, MP&L and SWEPCO, according to MSS.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 7, 1983. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17419 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. ER83-481-000]

New England Power Co.; Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Rates, and Establishing Hearing Procedures

Issued: June 23, 1983.

On April 27, 1983, New England Power Company (NEP) submitted for filing an amendment [1] to its transmission tariff which specifies the rates for wheeling non-firm power over non-PTF facilities in the States of New Hampshire and Rhode Island to NEP's PTF transmission network.[2] These non-PTF facilities are owned by two of NEP's distribution affiliates, Granite State Electric Company in New Hampshire and Narragansett Electric Company in Rhode Island. NEP states that, while there are no customers presently requesting this wheeling service, it desires to have rates in place for such service in the event that a request is made. NEP proposes an effective date of June 27, 1983, sixty days after filing.

NEP presently has on file a rate for wheeling non-firm power over the non-

[1] Designated as follow:

New England Power Company

(1) 3rd Revised Sheet No. 3 (Supersedes 2nd Revised Sheet No. 3).

(2) Original Sheet No. 4 under Schedule H, FERC Electric Tariff, Original Volume No. 3.

[2] PTF facilities (pool transmission facilities) are facilities at 69 kV and above which qualify as pool transmission facilities pursuant to Section 13.1 of the New England Power Pool (NEPOOL) Agreement. Non-PTF facilities are primary distribution facilities comprised mainly of 23 and 13 kV feeder lines and substations. Under the instant amendment, capacity and energy would be wheeled from a point of generation over primary distribution facilities, transformed to a higher voltage, and then wheeled over radial lines to NEP's PTF facilities. The instant amendment incorporates charges for wheeling over the primary distribution facilities and radial lines, and for transformation. NEP's filed tariff already contains a separate charge for wheeling over its PTF facilities.

PTF facilities of another of its distribution affiliates, Massachusetts Electric Company, for service in the State of Massachusetts. The basic charges under the instant submittal are derived using the same cost of service methodology as that utilized in developing the basic charge on file for similar service in the State of Massachusetts. However, the currently proposed charges include a rate of return of 11.14%, including an 18.0% return on common equity, for service utilizing Narragansett's facilities, and a 14.2% rate of return, including and 18.0% return on common equity, for service utilizing Granite State's facilities.

Notice of NEP's filing was published in the **Federal Register**, with comments due on or before May 25, 1983. No comments, protests, or motions to intervene have been received.

Discussion

Our preliminary review of NEP's submittal indicates that the proposed rates have not been shown to be just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. We shall therefore accept the rates for filing and suspend them as ordered below.

In *West Texas Utilities Company*, Docket No. ER82–23–000, 18 FERC ¶61,189 (1982), we explained the Commission's suspension policy, noting that rate filings would ordinarily be suspended for one day where preliminary review indicates that a proposed rate increase may be unjust and unreasonable but may not produce substantially excessive revenues, as defined in *West Texas*. Because there has been no service provided under the proposed rates, revenue comparisons are not available to determine the amount of excess revenues in this case. However, it appears that the unit charges may be excessive. Inasmuch as the filing provides for a new service and will not directly affect any particular customer until NEP submits a proposed service agreement under the tariff rates, we find it appropriate to suspend the proposed amendment for one day, to become effective on June 28, 1983, subject to refund.

The Commission orders:

(A) NEP's proposed rates are hereby accepted for filing and suspended for one day from the proposed effective date, to become effective on June 28, 1983, subject to refund.

(B) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the justness and reasonableness of NEP's rates.

(C) The Commission staff shall serve top sheets in this proceeding within ten (10) days of this order.

(D) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days after service of top sheets in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(E) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17420 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER83–555–000]

Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin); Filing

June 24, 1983.

The filing Company submits the following.

Take notice that on June 7, 1983, Northern States Power Company (Wisconsin) (NSP–W) tendered for filing an application for approval of a reduction in its currently-effective fuel adjustment clause factor applicable to service to its 13 wholesale customers.

NSP–W states that its filing is being made concurrently with a filing by its parent company, Northern States Power Company (Minnesota), in which the parent company is requesting Commission approval of a change in the method currently used to account for the cost associated with the disposal of spent nuclear fuel. NSP–W states that the effect of its parent company's filing is to reduce NSP–W's fuel costs which are currently being recovered through its fuel adjustment clause. NSP–W states that the purpose of the filing is to "pass along" this fuel cost reduction. NSP–W states that, based upon budgeted data for the period April 7, 1983 to April 6, 1984, this reduction equates to an annual reduction of $127,964.00 in revenues that would otherwise be collected from NSP–W's wholesale customers or .3 mills per kWh.

NSP–W requests an effective date of April 7, 1983, and therefore requests waiver of the Commission's notice requirements. NSP–W further requests, that, if the Commission does not grant its request for retroactive effectiveness, the filing become effective on or before August 5, 1983, and that any suspension be limited to one day.

NSP–W's request is contingent upon Commission approval of NSP–M's request for approval of the proposed change in the method used to account for spent nuclear fuel disposal costs.

Copies of this filing have been served upon each of its wholesale customers and upon the Public Service Commission of Wisconsin.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intevene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol St., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385211, 385.214). All such motions or protests should be filed on or before July 8, 1983. All parties will be considered by the Commission in determing the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intevene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17421 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[Docket No. ER83–487–000]

South Carolina Electric & Gas Co.; Order Accepting for Filing and Suspending Rates, Noting Interventions, Denying Motion for Summary Disposition, Directing Summary Disposition in Part, and Establishing Hearing and Price Squeeze Procedures

Issued: June 23, 1983.

On April 29, 1983, South Carolina Electric & Gas Company (SCE&G) tendered for filing a proposed two-step rate increase for service to seven wholesale customers.[1] The proposed

[1] *See* Attachment A for rate schedule designations. The affected customers are the Towns of McCormick and Winnsboro, South Carolina, the City of Orangeburg, Central Electric Cooperative,

step-one rates would increase revenues by approximately $9 million (29.6%) for the test period ending June 30, 1984. The step-two rates would increase revenues by an additional $7.2 million (23.8%).[2] SCE&G requests that the step-one rates become effective on June 28, 1983, sixty days after filing. With respect to the step-two rates, SCE&G requests an effective date of June 29, 1983, but adds that if the Commission suspends the step-one rates for only one day it would not object if the step-two rates are suspended for the lesser of five months or until the commercial operation date of the V.C. Summer Nuclear Plant, currently estimated to be October 1, 1983.

Notice of the filing was published in the **Federal Register** with comments due on or before May 31, 1983. On May 23, 1983, the City of Orangeburg and the Towns of McCormick and Winnsboro (Cities) jointly filed a motion to intervene and request for a hearing and maximum suspension. The Cities protest SCE&G's rate design and customer classification, and allege that the proposed rates are discriminatory and will create a price squeeze. On May 31, 1983, Central Electric Power Cooperative, Inc. (Central) and its member systems served by SCE&G,[3] also filed a motion to intervene and request for a maximum suspension. Central challenges the inclusion of V.C. Summer Nuclear Station costs in SCE&G's rates, and requests that these costs by summarily excluded from the step-one rates if those rates are suspended for less than five months. In addition, Central alleges price squeeze.

SCE&G responded to the Cities' pleading by answer dated June 7, 1983. While not opposing the Cities' intervention, SCE&G disputes the Cities' assertions that the proposed rates and customer classification are discriminatory, and that a five-month suspension is appropriate.

Little River Electric Cooperative, Broad River Electric Cooperative, and the South Carolina Public Service Authority.

[2] SCE&G states that the requested rate increase is principally due to increased expenses and plant investment associated with the completion of the V.C. Summer Nuclear Plant.

[3] Central's member systems include Aiken Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Berkeley Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Black River Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Coastal Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Edisto Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Faifield Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Horry Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Lynches River Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Marlboro Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Mid-Carolina Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Newberry Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Palmetto Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Pee Dee Electric Cooperative, Inc.; Santee Electric Cooperative, Inc.; and Tri-County Electric Cooperative, Inc.

Discussion

Under Rule 214(c)(1) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, the timely motions to intervene serve to make the Cities, Central and its members parties to this proceeding absent opposition within 15 days of their pleadings.

We note that SCE&G has improperly excluded accumulated deferred investment tax credits (ADITC) from rate base in computing its interest deduction for tax allowance purposes. In accordance with established precedent,[4] we shall summarily reject SCE&G's treatment and direct the company to file revised step-two rates and supporting cost statements reflecting the inclusion of ADITC balances in rate base in the computation of the interest expense for tax purposes.[5]

As to the inclusion in the step-one rates on costs associated with the V.C. Summer nuclear plant, we find that no basis has been shown for summary disposition. Cost of service inclusion of such plant costs is not *per se* impermissible so long as test period requirements are properly met. To the extent that questions exist concerning SCE&G's specific calculations, these matters may be pursued at hearing.

Our preliminary review of SCE&G's filing and the pleadings indicates that the proposed rates have not been shown to the just and reasonable and may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful. Accordingly, we shall accept the rates for filing, as modified in part by summary disposition, and we shall suspend them as ordered below.

In *West Texas Utilities Co.*, 18 FERC ¶ 61,189 (1982), we noted that rate filings would ordinarily be suspended for one day where preliminary review indicates that the proposed increase may be unjust and unreasonable but may not produce substantially excessive revenues, as defined in *West Texas*. Because our preliminary review suggests that SCE&G's proposed step-one increase may not yield excessive revenues, we shall suspend the step-one rates for one day to become effective, subject to refund, on June 29, 1983. With respect to SCE&G's step-two rates, however, preliminary review suggests that the proposed increase, net of summary disposition, may yield substantially excessive revenues. Furthermore, we find no basis upon which to grant the company's request for a suspension only until the in-service date of its new nuclear unit. Accordingly, we shall suspend SCE&G's step-two rates for five months, to become effective, as modified and subject to refund, on November 29, 1983.

In light of the intervenors' price squeeze allegations, we shall institute price squeeze procedures and phase those procedures in accordance with the Commission's policy and practice established in *Arkansas Power and Light Co.*, 8 FERC ¶ 61,131 (1979).

The Commission orders:

(A) Central's motion for summary disposition concerning the inclusion in SCE&G's step-one rates of costs associated with the V.C. Summer nuclear unit is hereby denied.

(B) SCE&G's exclusion of ADTIC from rate base in computing its interest expense for income tax purposes is summarily rejected. SCE&G is directed to file within thirty (30) days of the date of this order revised step-two rates and supporting cost statements reflecting the inclusion of ADITC balances in rate base in the computation of interest expense for income tax purposes.

(C) SCE&G's proposed step-one rates are hereby accepted for filing and suspended for one day from sixty days after filing, to become effective, subject to refund, on June 29, 1983; the step-two rates, as modified by summary disposition, are accepted for filing and suspended for five months to become effective, subject to refund, on November 29, 1983.

(D) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly sections 205 and 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the justness and reasonableness of SCE&G's rates.

(E) The Commission staff shall serve top sheets in this proceeding within ten (10) days of the date of this order.

(F) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days after service of top sheets, in a hearing room of the Federal Energy

[4] *See, e.g., New England Power Co.*, 9 FERC ¶61,394, *reh. denied*, 10 FERC ¶61,137, *aff'd sub. nom. NEPCO Municipal Rate Committee* v. *FERC*, 668 F.2d 1327 (D.C. Cir. 1981); *Central Telephone & Utilities Corp.*, 14 FERC ¶61,186 (1981).

[5] As discussed below, our preliminary review suggests that SCE&G's step-one rates, as filed, may not yield excessive revenues. Therefore, we shall not require SCE&G to revise its step-one rates at this time.

Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(G) The Commission hereby orders the initiation of price squeeze proceedings and further orders that this docket be phased so that the price squeeze proceedings begin after issuance of a Commission opinion establishing the rate which, but for consideration of price squeeze, would be just and reasonable. The presiding administrative law judge may order a departure from this schedule for good cause shown. The price squeeze claim shall be governed by § 2.17 of the Commission's regulations as it may be modified prior to the commencement of the price squeeze phase of the instant docket.

(H) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

Docket No. ER83-487-000

Rate Schedule Designations

South Carolina Electric & Gas Company

Filed: April 29, 1983.

Designation	Other party
9th Revised Sheet Nos. 5&6 under FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Supersede 8th Revised Sheet Nos. 5&6).	Tariff Customers.
10th Revised Sheet Nos. 5&6 under FERC Electric Tariff Original Volume No. 1 (Supersede 9th Revised Sheet Nos. 5&6).	Do.

[FR Doc. 83-17433 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP83-323-000]

Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc.; Application

June 24, 1983.

Take notice that on May 13, 1983, Tennessee Gas Pipeline Company, a Division of Tenneco Inc. (Tennessee), P.O. Box 2511, Houston, Texas 77001, filed in Docket No. CP83-323-000 an application pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation and exchange of natural gas for Columbia Gas Transmission Corporation (Columbia Gas), all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

Tennessee states that it has contracted with Columbia Gas, pursuant to the terms of a gas transportation and exchange agreement dated November 16, 1981,[1] to receive onshore, on a best-efforts basis, up to 120,000 Mcf of natural gas per day for Columbia Gas at the terminus of Tennessee's South Pass 77 Project facilities in Louisiana for redelivery to Columbia at the following points on Tennessee's system: (1) Gas dedicated to Columbia Gas by Mobil Oil Company would be at Tennessee's Compressor Station No. 524 in LaFourche Parish, Louisiana (South Timbalier); (2) Gas dedicated to Columbia Gas by Chevron U.S.A. Inc. would be at the discharge of the Yscloskey Processing Plant, St. Bernard Parish, Louisiana; (3) Any other gas dedicated to Columbia Gas would be at Centerville, St. Mary Parish, Louisiana or at Tennessee's option at Egan, Arcadia Parish, Louisiana. It is indicated that any part of the gas received for transportation to be processed for the account of Columbia Gas or its producers would be delivered by Tennessee at the Yscloskey Processing Plant. Tennessee states it has agreed to exchange with Columbia Gas the gas delivered at the Yscloskey Processing Plant with equivalent volumes of gas available to Tennessee at the South Timbalier delivery point.

Tennessee states that Columbia Gas would pay Tennessee a volume charge equal to the sum of the following:

(1) 3.72 cents per Mcf for all gas delivered to South Timbalier,

(2) 6.21 cents per Mcf for all gas delivered to the Yscloskey Processing Plant,

(3) 13.37 cents per Mcf for all gas delivered to Egan and,

(4) 8.17 cents per Mcf for all gas delivered to Centerville, less volumes of gas retained by Tennessee for fuel and other uses.

Tennessee states that it presently charges Columbia Gas a minimum monthly bill based upon Tennessee's current costs of 7.87 cents per Mcf multiplied by 66.66 per cent of the contract quantity less any volumes tendered but not taken by Tennessee. It is explained that there is no charge for the exchange gas between the Yscloskey Processing Plant and the South Timbalier delivery point.

[1] Tennessee is currently transporting for and exchanging gas with Columbia Gas under Tennessee's blanket certificate issued February 21, 1980, in Docket No. CP80-132.

Tennessee submits the transportation and exchange service would be beneficial to Columbia Gas as it would provide a means to attach to Columbia Gas's system an additional supply of gas without the construction of duplicative pipeline facilities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 15, 1983, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Tennessee to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17430 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP83-374-000]

Texas Gas Transmission Corp.; Application

June 24, 1983.

Take notice that on June 8, 1983, Texas Gas Transmission Corporation (Applicant), 3800 Frederica Street, Owensboro, Kentucky 42301, filed in Docket No. CP83-374-000 an application

pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act for a certificate of public convenience and necessity authorizing the transportation of natural gas in order to effect a direct sale of up to 1,200 Mcf of gas per day to the Stone Container Corporation (Stone) for a term extending through December 31, 1983, all as more fully set forth in the application which is on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

It is indicated that the gas to be sold is from Applicant's system supply and would be used to displace the present and future fuel oil purchases at Stone's Franklin, Ohio, paper mill. Applicant states that it would deliver the gas to The Cincinnati Gas and Electric Company (Cincinnati Gas) at the outlet side of Applicant's Butler measurement station located in Butler County, Ohio, on Applicant's 26-inch main line at mile post 702.2691. It is submitted that Cincinnati Gas would redeliver the gas to Stone's delivery point at its Franklin, Ohio, facility. Applicant states that the sales are subject to interruption whenever necessary to assure service to its traditional customers and that no additional facilities would be constructed in connection with the proposed transactions.

Applicant proposes to sell the gas to Stone at a negotiated rate of $3.70 per Mcf, to be redetermined periodically, subject to a floor price of $3.55 which is Applicant's base system average load factor rate including the current adjustment, it is asserted. In addition, it is explained, Cincinnati Gas would charge Stone 50.0 cents per Mcf for its transportation service which would be rendered pursuant to the term of a transportation agreement between Cincinnati Gas and Stone, which is on file with Ohio Public Utilities Commission.

Applicant further states that Stone received a certificate of eligible use from the Economic Regulatory Administration.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before July 15, 1983, file with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20426, a motion to intervene or a protest in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.214 or 385.211) and the Regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR 157.10). All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a motion to intervene in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Take further notice that, pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by Sections 7 and 15 of the Natural Gas Act and the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, a hearing will be held without further notice before the Commission or its designee on this application if no motion to intervene is filed within the time required herein, if the Commission on its own review of the matter finds that a grant of the certificate is required by the public convenience and necessity. If a motion for leave to intervene is timely filed, or if the Commission on its own motion believes that a formal hearing is required, further notice of such hearing will be duly given.

Under the procedure herein provided for, unless otherwise advised, it will be unnecessary for Applicant to appear or be represented at the hearing.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17431 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. EL83-5-000]

Wisconsin Public Power Inc. v. Wisconsin Public Service, Inc.; Order Setting Complaint for Hearing, Establishing Procedures, and Incorporating Record

Issued: June 24, 1983.

On November 9, 1982, Wisconsin Public Power Inc. (WPPI) [1] filed a complaint, pursuant to sections 206 and 306 of the Federal Power Act (FPA), against Wisconsin Public Service Inc. (WPS). The complaint alleges that WPS has violated section 205 of the FPA by marketing interruptible power to eligible customers in a discriminatory fashion and in violation of the terms of WPS' filed wholesale rate schedule application to service to WPPI.

Concurrent with the filing of its complaint, WPPI filed a motion to incorporate the record of a related proceeding in Docket No. ER82-67-000, and requested that the presiding judge in that proceeding also be assigned to the hearing requested in this docket. The interruptible power provisions which are the subject of WPPI's complaint are included in the rate schedule at issue in Docket No. ER82-67-000.[2]

On November 17, 1982, WPS filed a motion for extension of time to answer WPPI's complaint, and on December 20, 1982, WPS filed its answer. WPS notes that, as a result of prior negotiations in Docket No. ER82-67-000, WPS and the affected customers, including WPPI, entered into a partial settlement agreement which was approved by the Commission on September 30, 1982.[3] According to WPS, the terms of that agreement expressly preclude WPPI from raising the issues that are presented in the complaint. WPS further argues that, even if WPPI's complaint is not barred by the settlement agreement, the complaint should be dismissed on either of two grounds. First, WPS asserts that the complaint is deficient in its attempt to show anticompetitive effect. Second, WPS asserts that WPPI failed to raise its claim of discrimination in a timely manner. WPS addresses each of the specific allegations of WPPI and denies that it has violated the terms of its rate schedule or engaged in discriminatory marketing practices with respect to interruptible power.

On December 20, 1982, WPS also filed an answer to WPPI's motion to incorporate the record of Docket No. ER82-67-000. WPS states that, while it believes that WPPI's complaint is without merit, it does not oppose the motion to incorporate if the Commission elects to investigate the matters raised in the complaint.[4]

For the reasons discussed below, we shall set for hearing the issues raised in WPPI's complaint. At this hearing, the record established in Docket No. ER82-67-000 shall be incorporated by reference.

---

[1] WPPI is a municipal electric company which is authorized to purchase power at wholesale for resale to its 31 Wisconsin municipal members. Five of these municipalities, the Cities of Algoma, Eagle River, New Holstein, Sturgeon Bay, and Two Rivers are located within the service area of Wisconsin Public Service, Inc.

[2] In Docket No. ER82-67-000, WPS proposed increases in its rates for various wholesale services, including interruptible power. By order issued December 31, 1981, the Commission suspended those rates for one day to become effective on January 3, 1982, subject to refund, and ordered a hearing. As discussed below, a partial settlement was approved in that case by letter order dated September 30, 1982. An Initial Decision was issued on March 10, 1983 (22 FERC ¶ 63,088).

[3] Pertinent provisions of the settlement agreement are quoted on page 3, *infra*.

[4] On January 10, 1983, WPPI responded to WPS' answer. WPPI states that, if the Commission elects to treat any part of WPS' answer as a motion for summary disposition or to order summary disposition *suo sponte*, WPPI should be notified and permitted to respond pursuant to Rules 213 and 217(c). On January 13, 1983, WPS filed a responsive pleading in which WPS asserts that an answer to an answer to a complaint is prohibited under Rule 213(a)(2) and that WPPI's complaint is without merit. WPS further states, however, that it would not object to giving WPPI an opportunity to file a further pleading in support of its complaint or to amend the complaint.

Discussion

In its complaint, WPPI notes that WPS' Schedule W–1, under which WPPI is served, provides for the sale of interruptible power in accordance with certain stated provisions. WPPI further states that it qualifies for and has requested this service, but that WPS has refused to provide such service. According to the complaint, WPS' refusal to provide interruptible service has been based on invocation of Article 7(g) of the interruptible clause which limits total contracted interruptible load to 10 percent of WPS' system peak demand. However, WPPI challenges WPS' application of this provision and contends that WPS has discriminated in favor of its retail customers in marketing available interruptible power. Contending that such action is discriminatory and anticompetitive, WPPI requests that the Commission issue an order finding that WPS has violated section 205 of the FPA and requiring WPS to make 10 megawatts of interruptible power available to WPPI under WPS' standard interruptible contract.

We find that the claims raised by WPPI are not the type of claims which are barred by the provisions of the partial settlement in Docket No. ER82–67–000. In pertient part, the provisions of the settlement agreement state as follows:

Article 2.1

This Settlement Agreement resolves all issues, claims, demands, liabilities and causes of action, including those based on price squeeze and whether or not cognizable before a federal or state agency or court, as between the Company and the Customers based upon or arising out of the Company's filings in this proceeding, including this filing, except as provided in Article 2.2 hereof.

Article 2.2

This settlement does not resolve the following issues concerning the Company's interruptible rates: (i) the level of the W–1 and W–2 interruptible rates and (ii) the proper method for reflecting the retail, the W–1 and W–2 interruptible rate transactions in the cost of service for W–1 and W–2 firm rates. Those issues are to be resolved by the Commission after hearing conducted pursuant to the provisions of Section 205 of the Federal Power Act and the regulations thereunder promulgated; provided that the Company will not be obligated to refund revenues collected under the rates, including the Appendix A and B rates, filed in its docket over and above the refunds required by Article I.

The allegations raised by WPPI concern the application of the terms of the rate schedule by WPS and the potential effects of such action (including violation of the contract terms, improper interpretation of the 10 percent service limitation provision, discriminatory marketing actions, and potential anticompetitive effects of WPS actions). We do not believe that the parties' partial settlement requires WPPI to forego claims which allege improper application of the terms of the rate schedule rather than the reasonableness thereof. Thus, the matters presented by WPPI's complaint are properly raised before this Commission under sections 206 and 306 of the FPA.

We also find that additional factual evidence is necessary to determine the validity of the allegations raised by WPPI and the responses by WPS. For example, WPS contends that Cities had prior access to interruptible power and, having taken no steps to obtain it, cannot now allege discrimination. WPS relies on *City of Frankfort, Indiana* v. *FERC*[5] as the basis for rejection of WPPI's discrimination claims on this basis, claiming there are "strikingly similar" factual circumstances between that case and the instant case.[6] However, inasmuch as we find significannt questions of fact raised in the instant pleadings, we cannot find at this time that *City of Frankfort* is dispositive. We shall, therefore, grant WPPI's request for an evidentiary hearing under section 206 of the FPA. At such hearing, WPPI will be permitted to present evidence relevant to the factual questions presented in its complaint.

Finally, we note that the record developed during the course of the hearing in Docket No. ER82–67–000 may be of limited value regarding the issues raised by WPPI.[7] However, since WPS does not object to incorporation of the record developed in that docket, we shall grant WPPI's motion to incorporate that record in the instant hearing. We shall leave to the discretion of the Chief Administrative Law Judge the question of designating either the same presiding Judge or a different one as he sees fit.

The Commission orders:

(A) WPS' motion to dismiss WPPI's complaint is hereby dismissed.

(B) Pursuant to the authority contained in and subject to the jurisdiction conferred upon the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission by section 402(a) of the Department of Energy Organization Act and by the Federal Power Act, particularly section 206 thereof, and pursuant to the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure and the regulations under the Federal Power Act (18 CFR, Chapter I), a public hearing shall be held concerning the matters raised in WPPI's complaint.

(C) A presiding administrative law judge, to be designated by the Chief Administrtative Law Judge, shall convene a conference in this proceeding to be held within approximately fifteen (15) days of the date of this order in a hearing room of the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426. The presiding judge is authorized to establish procedural dates and to rule on all motions (except motions to dismiss) as provided in the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure.

(D) The record developed in Docket No. ER82–67–000 shall be incorporated by reference in the instant proceeding.

(E) The Secretary shall promptly publish this order in the **Federal Register.**

By the Commission.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17433 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717–01–M

[5] 678 F.2d 699 (7th Cir. 1982).

[6] In *City of Frankfort,* Public Service Company of Indiana, Inc. (PSI) had offered identical fixed rate interconnection agreements to four of its wholesale customers. During the pendency of the offer, three of the four customers executed fixed rate interconnection agreement. The fourth customer, the City of Frankfort, expressed no interest in receiving the interconnection source until after PSI's fixed rate service was no longer available. Frankfort subsequently charged that PSI's refusal to offer it fixed rate interconnection service unduly discriminated against it vis-a-vis PSI's other three wholesale customers in violation of section 205 of the FPA. The D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals found that the resulting rate differential was justified by differences in factual circumstances.

[7] It appears that during the course of the limited hearing on the level of the interruptible rates and the proper method for reflecting the interruptible rate transactions in the cost of service, the intervenors (including WPPI) proffered testimony requesting as an alternative to fully allocating costs associated with the retail interruptible load, that the Commission require WPS to make available interruptible power to the W–1 customer class. WPS subsequently filed a motion to strike that portion of the proposed testimony on the grounds that this issue was outside the scope of the proceeding as established by the settlement agreement. On September 29, 1982, the presiding judge granted the motion to strike. WPPI did not seek to appeal that decision to the Commission. Rather, its complaint in this docket followed shortly in time.

[Docket No. QF83–242–000]

Oklahoma Ordinance Works Authority; Application for Commission Certification of Qualifying Status of a Cogeneration Facility

June 24, 1983.

On March 29, 1983, Oklahoma Ordinance Works Authority of P.O. Box 945, Pryor, Oklahoma 74362, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commision (Commission) an application for certification of a facility as a

qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's regulations. On April 29, 1983, supplementary information was filed to complete the application.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located at the Mid-America Industrial District, south of Pryor, Oklahoma. The primary energy source is natural gas. The electric power production capacity is to be 10 megawatts. Installation began in 1942. No electric utility, electric utility holding company or any combination thereof has any ownership interest in the facility.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, NE., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17422 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF83-261-000]

Riverbay Corp.; Application for Commission Certification of Qualifying Status of a Cogeneration Facility

June 24, 1983.

On April 18, 1983, Riverbay Corporation, 2049 Bartow Avenue, Bronx, New York 10475, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's rules. On May 31, 1983, supplementary information was filed to complete the application.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Bronx, New York. The facility currently consists of a fuel oil fired 6.2 megawatt steam turbine generator. Applicant will modify the facility to burn natural gas and will add two 18 megawatt steam turbine generators. Capacity of the facility will increase from 42.2 megawatts rated capacity at zero extraction, to 73.5 megawatts at maximum extraction flow. Installation of the existing steam turbine began in 1968. Installation of the new turbines is projected to begin in late 1983 or early 1984.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17424 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. QF83-229-000]

South Coast Sugars, Inc.; Application for Commission Certification of Qualifying Status of a Cogeneration Facility

June 24, 1983.

On March 25, 1983, South Coast Sugars, Inc. (Applicant) of P.O. Box 159, Riceland, Louisiana 70394, filed with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (Commission) an application for certification of a facility as a qualifying cogeneration facility pursuant to § 292.207 of the Commission's regulations. On June 6, 1983, supplementary information was filed to complete the application.

The topping-cycle cogeneration facility is located in Riceland, Louisiana. The primary energy source will be bagass which is a fibrous residue after the juice has been extracted from the sugar cane. The electric power production capacity will be 2.7 megawatts. Installation will begin in 1984. Applicant states that no electric utility, electric utility holding company or any combination thereof has any ownership interest in the facility.

Any person desiring to be heard or objecting to the granting of qualifying status should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 825 North Capitol Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 and 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedures. All such petitions or protests must be filed within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice and must be served on the applicant. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a petition to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Kenneth F. Plumb,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17426 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[O.P.R.M.-FRL- 2390-3]

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Section 3507(a)(2)(B) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980 (44 U.S.C. 3501 et seq.) requires the Agency to publish in the **Federal Register** a notice of proposed information collection requests that have been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review. The information collection requests listed are available to the public for review and comment.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Bowers; Office of Standards and Regulations; Information Management Section (PM-223); U.S. Environmental Protection Agency; 401 M Street, SW.; Washington, D.C. 20460; telephone (202) 382-2724 or FTS 382-2742.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Superfund Programs

- Title: Update of *EPA Acceptance List* EPA ID 1140).

Abstract: This is a one-time request to determine whether manufacturers of dispersants and other chemical countermeasures for oil spills are still doing business and wish to remain on the "EPA Acceptance List" established by ICR #2000-0433.

Respondents: Manufacturers of dispersants and other chemical countermeasures for oil spills.

Grants Programs

• Title: Requirements for Construction Grants Delegation to States (EPA ID 0909).

Abstract: To demonstrate their capability for managing the wastewater treatment construction grant program, States provide EPA with information and a schedule for assuming responsibility for this program. The Agency uses this data in its decision to grant States this management authority and to maintain fiscal accountability and management overview of State review activities.

Respondents: State Government.

Agency Forms Cleared by OMB Between May 24 and June 15, 1983

EPA ID 0371, Survey of Operation and Financial Characteristics of Community Water Systems, was cleared on June 12 (OMB #2000–0389).

EPA ID 0940, Reporting and Recordkeeping of Ambient Air Quality Data, Precision and Accuracy Data, and Related Data, was cleared on June 9 (OMB #2000–0003).

EPA ID 1018, Survey of Plastic Molding and Finishing Industry, was cleared on June 15 (OMB #2040–0037).

EPA ID 1035, Survey of Small Business to Determine Regulatory Compliance Problems, was cleared on May 24 (OMB #2010–0008).

EPA ID 1044, Small Quantity Generator Survey Pretest, was cleared on June 15 OMB #2050–0015).

Comments on all parts of this notice should be sent to:

David Bowers, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Standards and Regulations (PM–223), 401 M Street SW., Washington, D.C. 20460

and

Anita Ducca, Office of Management and Budget, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, New Executive Office Building (Room 2328), 726 Jackson Place, NW., Washington, D.C. 20503.

Dated: June 23, 1983.

John Warren,

Acting Chief, Statistical Policy Staff.

[FR Doc. 83–17345 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[PF–333; PH–FRL 2389–3]

Union Carbide Corporation; Pesticide Petition; Amendment

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Union Carbide Corp. submitted pesticide petition 3F2773 proposing the establishment of tolerances for the combined residues of the insecticide thiodicarb and its metabolite in or on certain raw agricultural commodities. Union Carbide has amended the petition.

ADDRESS: Written comments to: Product Manager (PM) 12, Registration Division (TS–767C), Office of Pesticide Programs, Environmental Protection Agency, Rm. 202, CM#2, 1921 Jefferson Davis Highway, Arlington, VA 22202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jay Ellenberger (703–557–2386).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: EPA issued a notice, published in the **Federal Register** of December 22, 1982 (47 FR 57129), which announced that Union Carbide Corp., T.W. Alexander Dr., Research Triangle Park, NC 27709, had filed pesticide petition 3F2773 with the Agency proposing to amend 40 CFR 180.407 by establishing tolerances for the combined residues of the insecticide thiodicarb (dimethyl *N', N'*-(thiobis[(methylimino) carbonyloxy)) bis (ethanimidothioate)) and its metabolite methomyl *N*-((methylcarbamoyl)oxy) thioacetimidate in or on the raw agricultural commodities field corn grain at 0.05 part per million (ppm), sweet corn kernels (plus cob) at 1.5 ppm, and field corn fodder and forage at 60.0 ppm.

Union Carbide has amended the petition by redesignating the commodity "sweet corn kernels (plus cob)" to "corn, sweet, kernels plus cob with husk removed (K+CWHR)" and increasing the tolerance level from 1.5 ppm to 2.0 ppm. The proposed analytical method for determining residues is liquid chromatography.

(Sec. 408(d)(1), 68 Stat. 512, (7 U.S.C. 136))

Dated: June 17, 1983.

Douglas D. Campt,

Director, Registration Division, Office of Pesticide Programs.

[FR Doc. 83–17156 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[AMS–FRL 2389–8]

Announcement of Fuel Economy Retrofit Device Evaluation for Cyclone-Z

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice of fuel economy retrofit device evaluation.

SUMMARY: This document announces the completion of the EPA evaluation of the "Cyclone-Z" device under provisions of Section 511 of the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act. The notice also announces our findings, conclusions, and the availability of the report.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

Section 511(b)(1) and Section 511(c) of the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act (15 U.S.C. 2011(b) requires that:

(b)(1) "Upon application of any manufacturer of a retrofit device of (or prototype thereof), upon the request of the Federal Trade Commission pursuant to subsection (a), or upon his own motion, the EPA Administrator shall evaluate, in accordance with rules prescribed under subsection (d), any retrofit device to determine whether the retrofit device increases fuel economy and to determine whether the representations (if any) made with respect to such retrofit devices are accurate."

(c) "The EPA Administrator shall publish in the **Federal Register** a summary of the results of all tests conducted under this section, together with the EPA Administrator's conclusions as to—

(1) The effect of any retrofit device on fuel economy;

(2) The effect of any such device on emissions of air pollutants; and

(3) Any other information which the Administrator determines to be relevant in evaluating such device."

EPA published final regulations establishing procedures for conducting evaluations of fuel economy retrofit devices on March 23, 1979 (44 FR 17946).

II. Origin of Request for Evaluation, Device Descriptions and Report Identification

On September 10, 1982, the EPA received from Kana Corporation an application for evaluation of a device termed the Cyclone-Z. Cyclone-Z is basically an air-bleed device teed into the existing Positive Crankcase Ventilation system. The device is different from most other air-bleed devices in that it incorporates and aneroid to compensate for changes in air pressure. In addition to leaning of the air/fuel mixture, the device is also intended to cause a more turbulent mixture within the combustion chamber. The device is claimed to improve fuel economy and driveability and to reduce exhaust emissions.

Report: "EPA Evaluation of the Cyclone-Z Device Under Section 511 of the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act". Report Number EPA–AA–TEB–511–83–3 contains the analysis and conclusions and consists of 109 pages including all attachments.

III. Availability of Evaluation Reports

Copies of these reports may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service by using the above report numbers. Address requests to: National Technical Information Service, U.S. Department of Commerce, Springfield, VA 22161, Telephone: (703) 487–4650 or FTS 737–4650.

IV. Summary of Evaluation

EPA fully considered all of the information submitted by the applicant. The test data and other information supplied by the applicant were insufficient to substantiate the claims for the device. The applicant did not adequately respond to EPA's request for additional information and failed to provide substantiating test data. Because the required test data was not immediately forthcoming and also because the device was undergoing developmental design changes, EPA decided it would complete its evaluation on the basis of the information available.

It is the Agency's conclusion that although the device may significantly reduce carbon monoxide emissions for some vehicles, it will probably not have a significant effect on hydrocarbons, oxides of nitrogen, or fuel economy. Additionally, EPA has no reason to believe that the device can cause a noticeable difference in starting, warm-up, power, or piston ring blow-by as claimed. Further, it is possible that for some recent model vehicles which are designed and calibrated with lean air/fuel mixtures, further enleanment of the mixture may result in driveability problems (e.g., hesitation and stalling). For other recent models with feedback carburetors, any change attributable to the device would likely be automatically negated by the controls.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Merrill W. Korth, Emission Control Technology Division, Office of Mobile Sources, Environmental Protection Agency, 2565 Plymouth Road, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105, Telephone: (313) 668–4299.

Dated: June 20, 1983.

Charles L. Elkins,

Acting Assistant Administrator for Air, Noise, and Radiation.

[FR Doc. 83–17496 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560–50–M

[OA–FRL 2390–1]

EPA Master List of Debarred, Suspended or Voluntarily Excluded Persons

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: EPA Master List of Debarred, Suspended, or Voluntarily Excluded Persons.

SUMMARY: 40 CFR 32.400 requires the Director, Grants Administration Division, to publish in the **Federal Register** each calender quarter, the names of, and other information concerning, those individuals and firms debarred, suspended, or voluntarily excluded from participation in EPA assisted programs. Assistance (grant and cooperative agreement) recipients and contractors under an EPA assistance award may not initiate new business with these firms or individuals on any EPA funded activity during the period of suspension, debarment, or voluntary exclusion.

This short list contains the names of those persons who have been listed as a result of EPA actions only. It is provided for general informational purposes only and is not to be relied on in determining a person's current eligibility status. A comprehensive list, updated weekly, is available in each Regional Office. Inquiries concerning the status of any individual, organization, or firm should be directed to EPA's Regional or Headquarters office for grants administration that normally serves you.

DATE: This short list is current as of June 20, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: A. Frank Dawkins of the EPA Compliance Staff, Grants Administration Division, at (202) 475–8024.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Harvey G. Pippen, Jr.,

Director, Grants Administration Division.

EPA Master List of Debarred, Suspended, and Voluntarily Excluded Persons

Name and Jurisdiction	File No.	Status [1]	From	To	Grounds	Agency
Anderson, Scott (Walnut Creek, CA)	83–0004–01	D	June 17, 1983	June 16, 1986	Section 32.200 (a) (b) (e) (f)	EPA
Ashland-Warren, Inc. (McMinville, TN)	82–0401	D	Sept. 22, 1982	May 6, 1985	Section 32.200 (a) (e)	FHwA
Bayview Enterprises, Inc. (Key West, FL)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Bowe, Walsh and Associates, Inc. (Melville, NY)	83–0040–00	D	Apr. 14, 1983	Apr. 13, 1986	Section 32.200 (a) (e)	EPA
C. T. B., Inc. (Key West, FL)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Carpenter, Frank (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (a) (b)	EPA
Contractors & Materials Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Crowder, Otis (Charlotte, NC)	82–0402	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (a) (b)	EPA
Dickerson Construction Company, Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dicderson Group, Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Mar. 14, 1983	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dickerson Interim, Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dickerson Internat. Co. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dickerson Realty Corp. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dickerson Realty Florida, Inc. (Key West, FL)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Dickerson, Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Errichetti, Angelo J. (Camden, NJ)	83–0040–04	D	Apr. 14, 1983	Apr. 13, 1986	Section 32.200 (a) (b)	EPA
First Contractors, Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Herbert G. Whyte, Associates, Inc. (Gary, IN)	82–0501	D	Oct. 20, 1982	Oct. 19, 1985	Section 32.200 (b) (e)	EPA
Houston, Arnold E., Jr. (Fayetteville, NC)	82–0404	VE	Oct. 21, 1982	Nov. 2, 1983	Section 32.200 (a) (b) (f)	FHwA
McIntosh Paving Co., Inc. (Atlanta, GA)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Municipal & Industrial Pipe Services, Ltd. (Douglasville, GA).	82–0601 82–0408	S	Oct. 7, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (b) (c) (e) (i)	EPA
Neal Hawkins Contr., Inc. (Gastonia, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Newman, Fred M. (Vienna, VA)	82–1101	VE	Dec. 21, 1982	Dec. 21, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Richmond, Elwood P. (Grand Forks, ND)	83–0006–01	S	June 6, 1983	Open	Section 32.200 (a) (f)	EPA
Richmond Engineering, Inc. (Grand Forks, ND)	83–0006–01	S	June 6, 1983	Open	Section 32.200 (a) (f)	EPA
Richmond, Lloyde W., Jr. (Grand Forks, ND)	83–0006–01	S	June 6, 1983	Open	Section 32.200 (a) (f)	EPA
South Bank Coal Co., Inc. (Monroe, NC)	82–0403	VE	Sept. 23, 1982	Sept. 13, 1983	Section 32.200 (b)	EPA
Suburban Grading & Utilities, Inc. (Norfolk, VA)	83–0022–00	S	Mar. 29, 1983	Open	Section 32.300 (b)	EPA
Walsh, Charles T. (Huntington Bay, NY)	83–0040–01	D	Apr. 14, 1983	Apr. 13, 1986	Section 32.200 (a)	EPA
Whyte, Herbert G. (Gary, IN)	82–0501	D	Oct. 20, 1982	Oct. 19, 1985	Section 32.200 (b) (e)	EPA
Wirt, David (Douglasville, GA)	82–0601 82–0408	S	Oct. 7, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (b) (c) (e) (i)	EPA
Wirt, Gordon D. (Douglasville, GA)	82–0408	S	Dec. 7, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (c) (e) (i)	EPA
Wirt, Judith C. (Douglasville, GA)	82–0408	S	Dec. 7, 1982	Open	Section 32.200 (c) (e) (i)	EPA

EPA Master List of Debarred, Suspended, and Voluntarily Excluded Persons—Continued

Name and Jurisdiction	File No.	Status [1]	From	To	Grounds	Agency
Womack, Jerry T. (Norfold, VA)	83-0022-01	S	Mar. 29, 1983	Open	Section 32.300 (b)	EPA

[1] D=Debarred; S=Suspended; VE=Voluntarily Excluded.

[FR Doc. 83-14456 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

Advisory Committee for the 1985 ITU World Adminstrative Radio Conference on the Use of the Geostationary Satellite Orbit and the Planning of the Space Services Utilizing it (Space WARC Advisory Committee)

June 22, 1983.

Task Group A–2 of working Group A; Facilites and Technology.

Chairman: Jeffrey Binckes (202) 863–6864.

Date: Wednesday, July 13, 1983.

Time: 9:30 a.m.–2:30 p.m.

Location: Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, N.W., Room 7317, Washington, D.C. 20554.

Agenda: Review a Draft Executive Summary of the Committee's Report.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-17515 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Meeting of Interested Parties for Facilities Planning in the Caribbean Region

June 21, 1983.

Members of the Common Carrier Bureau staff will convene a meeting of all interested parties to the Caribbean Planning Process (CC Docket 83–525) in Room 330, FCC, 1200 19th Street, NW., Washington, D.C. at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 28, 1983.

The agenda of the meeting will include: (1) Discussion of the staff's initial information request (Appendix I and II) mailed to the designated parties (these information requests are available to any interested party upon request and can be picked up in Room 538, 1919 M Street, NW.); and (2) the formulation of a Caribbean Planning Working Group for the purpose of compiling and developing planning information as outlined in the Commission's Notice of Inquiry in this docket released June 7, 1983.

For additional information, contact Margot Bester (202) 632–4047.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-17513 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

[Report No. 1413]

Petitions for Reconsideration and Clarification of Actions in Rule Making Proceedings

June 23, 1983.

The following listings of petitions for reconsideration and clarification filed in Commission rulemaking proceedings is published pursuant to CFR 1.429(e). Opposition to such petitions for reconsideration must be filed within 15 days after publication of this Public Notice in the **Federal Register.** Replies to an opposition must be filed within 10 days after the time for filing oppositions has expired.

Subject: Amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Marco, Florida) (BC Docket No. 81–487, RM–3915)

Amendment of § 73.202(b), Table of Assignments, FM Broadcast Stations. (Naples and Key West, Florida) (BC Docket No. 81–818, RM's 3960, 4033 and 4034)

Filed By: James M. Weitzman & Russell E. Arkin, Attorneys for Roger's Media Services on 6–2–83.

Subject: Inquiry into the Future Role of Low Power Television Broadcasting and Television Translators in the National Telecommunications System. (BC Docket No. 78–253)

Filed By: Alan C. Campbell, Attorney for Connecticut Educational Telecommunications Corporation (W61AC) on 6–13–83. Theodore D. Frank & Pamela Stanton Baron, Attorneys for National Association of Public Television Stations on 6–13–83.

Subject: Amendment of the Commission's Rules to Conform a Specific Section to a Section of the Communications Act of 1934.

Filed by: Mark Pierce on 5–26–83.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-17511 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to Office of Management and Budget for Review

On June 17, 1983, the Federal Communications Commission submitted the following information collection requirement to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96–511.

Copies of this submission are available from Richard D. Goodfriend, Agency Clearance Officer, (202) 632–7513. Persons wishing to comment on this information collection should contact David Reed, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3235 NEOB, Washington, D.C. 20503; (202) 395–7231.

Title: Priority Request and Certification.
Form No.: FCC 915.
Action: Extension.
Respondents: State or local governments, businesses, and nonprofit institutions.
Estimated Annual Burden: 20 Responses; 20 Hours.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-17512 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted To Office of Management and Budget for Review

June 22, 1983.

The Federal Communications Commission has submitted the following information collection requirements to OMB for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96–511.

Copies of these submissions are available from Richard D. Goodfriend, Agerncy Clearance Officer, (202) 632–7513. Persons wishing to comment on these information collections should contact David Reed, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3235 NEOB, Washington, D.C. 20503; (202) 395–7231.

Title: Application for Transfer of Control of a Corporate Licensee or Permittee, or Assignment of License or Permit, for an FM or TV Translator Station, or a Low Power Television Station.
Form No.: FCC 345.

Action: Revision.
Respondents: State or local governments, businesses, and non-profit institutions.
Estimated Annual Burden: 125 Responses; 1,250 Hours.
Title: Application for Renewal of License for Translator or Low Power Television Broadcast Station.
Form No.: FCC 346.
Action: Revision.
Respondents: State or local governments, businesses, and non-profit institutions.
Estimated Annual Burden: 1,350 Responses; 113 Hours.

William J. Tricarico,

Secretary, Federal Communications Commission.

[FR Doc. 83-17214 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6712-01-M

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

Filing of Petition for Exemption; Kugkaktlik, Ltd.

Notice is hereby given that Kugkaktlik, Ltd.; a village corporation organized under the Alaskan Native Claim Settlement Act, has filed with the Federal Maritime Commission a petition for an extension of an existing exemption from the tariff filing requirements of the Shipping Act, 1916; the Intercoastal Shipping Act, 1933; and 46 CFR Part 531. The requested exemption under section 35 of the Shipping Act, 1916, would add a steel oil tanker barge and power barge to petitioner's fleet and extend its service to the villages of Quinahagak, Goodnews Bay, Platinum, and Mekoryuk.

In order for the Commission to make a thorough evaluation of the petition, interested persons are requested to submit views, arguments or data on the petition no later than August 1, 1983. Responses shall be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, in an original and 15 copies. Responses shall also be served on counsel for petitioners: James T. Brennan, Esq., Hedland, Fleischer & Friedman, Suite 400, 1016 West 6th Avenue, Anchorage, Alaska 99501.

Copies of the petition are available for examination at the Washington, D.C. Office of the Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 11101, and at the Commission's District Offices located at New York, N.Y.; New Orleans, La.; San Francisco, Calif.; Chicago, Ill.; and San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Francis C. Hurney,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17524 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

Filing of Petition for Rulemaking; Sea-Land Service, Inc.

Notice is hereby given that Sea-Land Service, Inc., has filed a petition to amend the Federal Maritime Commission's rules governing the publishing, filing, and posting of tariffs in the domestic offshore commerce (46 CFR Part 531) to provide for receipt by the Commission of permanent tariff filings, including electronic tariff filings, on an around-the-clock basis.

In order for the Commission to make a thorough evaluation of the petition, interested persons are requested to submit views, arguments or data on the petition no later than August 1, 1983. Responses shall be directed to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, in an original and 15 copies. Responses shall also be served on counsel for petitioners: Claudia E. Stone, Esq., Sea-Land Service, Inc., P.O. Box 800, Iselin, New Jersey 08830.

Copies of the petition are available for examination at the Washington, D.C. office of the Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 11101, and at the Commission's District Offices located at New York, N.Y.; New Orleans, La.; San Francisco, Calif.; Chicago, Ill.; and San Juan, Puerto Rico.

Francis C. Hurney,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17525 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6730-01-M

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

Acquisition of Bank Shares by a Bank Holding Company; Citicorp

The company listed in this notice has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(3)) to acquire voting shares or assets of a bank. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors, or at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. With respect to the application, interested persons may express their views in writing to the address indicated. Any comment on the application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, indentifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

A. Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System (William W. Wiles, Secretary) Washington, D.C. 20551:

1. *Citicorp,* New York, New York; to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares or assets of American State Bank of Rapid City, Rapid City, South Dakota. This application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or the Federal Reserve Bank of New York. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 22, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17480 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Bank Holding Companies; Proposed De Novo Nonbank Activities; Manufacturers Hanover Corporation et al.

The organizations identified in this notice have applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(1)), for permission to engage *de novo* (or continue to engage in an activity earlier commenced *de novo*), directly or indirectly, solely in the activities indicated, which have been determined by the Board of Governors to be closely related to banking.

With respect to these applications, interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interest, or unsound banking practices." Any comment that request a hearing must include a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of that proposal.

The applications may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated. Comments and requests for hearing should identify clearly the specific application to which they relate, and should be submitted in writing and received by the appropriate Federal Reserve Bank not later than the date indicated.

A. **Federal Reserve Bank of New York** (A. Marshall Puckett, Vice President) 33 Liberty Street, New York, New York 10045:

1. *Manufacturers Hanover Corporation*, New York, New York (consumer finance and credit insurance activities; California): To engage through a *de novo* office or Finance One of California, Inc., in the activities of consumer finance, including, but not limited to, the extension of direct loans, secured and unsecured, to consumers and the purchase of sales finance contracts; servicing such loans and other extensions of credit; and acting as agent or broker for the sale of credit single and joint life insurance and decreasing or level term (in the case of single payment loans) credit life insurance, and credit accident, health, and property insurance directly related to extensions of credit made or acquired by Finance One. Such activities are premissible under the section 601 (A) and (D) of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982. These activities will be conducted from an office in Pasadena, California, serving Los Angeles, Orange, and southwestern San Bernardino Counties, Comments on this application must be received not later than July 25, 1983.

B. **Federal Reserve Bank of Richmond** (Lloyd W. Bostian, Jr., Vice President) 701 East Byrd Street, Richmond, Virginia 23261:

1. *NCNB Corporation*, Charlotte, North Carolina (commercial finance activities; Florida): To engage, through its subsidiary, NCNB Financial Services, Inc., in making or acquiring loans or other extensions of credit such as would be made by a commerical finance company, including commercial loans secured by a borrower's inventory, accounts receivable or other accounts, and servicing such loans for others in accordance with the Board's Regulation Y. These activities would be conducted from an office to be located in Tampa, Florida, serving the west coast and the central sector of the State of Florida. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 25, 1983.

C. **Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago** (Franklin D. Dreyer, Vice President) 230 South LaSalle Street, Chicago, Illinois 60690:

1. *Heritage Wisconsin Corporation*, Wauwatosa, Wisconsin (involuntary unemployment insurance activities; Wisconsin): To engage, indirectly through its subsidiary, Heritage Insurance Agency, Inc., Wauwatosa, Wisconsin, in the sale of involuntary unemployment insurance directly related to extensions of credit. This activity would be conducted pursuant to section 225.4(a)(9)(ii) of the Board of Governor's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(a)(9)(ii)), from offices of applicant's subsidiary located in Beloit, Brookfield, Fox Point, Greendale, Menomenee Falls, Milwaukee, Pewaukee, Waukesha, Wauwatosa, West Bend, and Witefish Bay, Wisconsin, serving the Beloit, West Bend, and Milwaukee, Wisconsin metropolitan areas. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 20, 1983.

D. **Federal Reserve Bank of San Francisco** (Harry W. Green, Vice President) 400 Sansome Street, San Francisco, California 94120:

1. *Security Pacific Corporation*, Los Angeles, California (date processing and transmission services; United States): To engage through its subsidiary, Security Pacific Information Services, Inc., in providing data processing and data transmission services, data bases or facilities (including data processing and data transmission hardware, software, documentation, and operating personnel) for the internal operations of the holding company or its subsidiaries; and providing to other data processing and transmission services, facilities, data bases or access to such services, facilities, or data bases by any technologically feasible means, where: data to be processed or furnished are financial, banking, or economic, and the services are provided pursuant to a written agreement so describing and limiting the services; the facilities are designed, marketed, and operated for the processing and transmisson of financial, banking, or economic data; and hardware in connection therewith is offered only in conjunction with software designed and marketed for the processing and transmission of financial, banking, or economic data, and where the general purpose hardware does not constitute more than 30 percent of the cost of any packaged offering. These activities would be conducted from an office of Security Pacific Information Services, Inc. in San Diego, California, serving the United States. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 25, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17485 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Engage in Insurance Activities Through Its Subsidiary Norwest Financial Massachusetts; Norwest Corporation

Norwest Corporation, Minneapolis, Minnesota, has applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Compoany Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(1) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(1)), for permission to engage through its subsidiary, Norwest Financial Massachusetts, in the sale of property and casualty insurance related to extensions of credit by Norwest Financial Massachusetts. Applicant states that such activities are permisible under paragraph D of Title VI of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982. These activities would be performed from offices of Applicant's subsidiary in Quincy, Springfield, Worcester, Fall River, Walpole, Lynn, Malden, Brockton, Randolph and Billerica, Massachusetts, and the geographic area to be served in the State of Massachusetts. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Minneapolis.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C., not later than July 22, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17484 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Formation of Bank Holding Companies; First Bank Holding Co., et al.

The companies listed in this notice have applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become bank holding companies by acquiring voting shares or assets of a bank. The factors that are considered in acting on the applications are set forth in section 3 (c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

Each application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors, or at the Federal Reserve Bank indicated for that application. With respect to each application, interested persons may express their views in writing to the address indicated for that application. Any comment on an application that requests a hearing must include a statement of why a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute and summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing.

A. Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta (Robert E. Heck, Vice President) 104 Marietta Street, N.W., Atlanta, Georgia 30303:

1. *First Bank Holding Company,* Sylvester, Georgia; to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of Sylvester Banking Company, Sylvester, Georgia. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 22, 1983.

B. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City (Thomes M. Hoenig, Vice President) 925 Grand Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri 64198:

1. *Haysville Bancshares, Inc.,* Haysville, Kansas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring at least 80 percent of the voting shares of First National Bank, Haysville, Kansas (a de novo bank). Comments on this application must be received not later than July 22, 1983.

C. Federal Reserve Bank of Dallas (Anthony J. Montelaro, Vice President) 400 South Akard Street, Dallas, Texas 75222:

1. *First Sweetwater Bancshares, Inc.,* Sweetwater, Texas; to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent of the voting shares of The Texas Bank and Trust Company, Sweetwater, Texas. Comments on this application must be received not later than July 22, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17481 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Formation of Bank Holding Company; First National Corporation of Alexander City, Inc.

First National Corporation of Alexander City, Inc., Alexander City, Alabama, has applied for the Board's approval under section 3(a)(1) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(a)(1)) to become a bank holding company by acquiring 80 percent or more of the voting shares of The First National Bank of Alexander City, Alexander City, Alabama. The factors that are considered in acting on the application are set forth in section 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. 1842(c)).

First National Corporation of Alexander City, Inc., has also applied, pursuant to section 4(c)(8) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to engage in the activities of making loans for its own account and the account of others and making other extensions of credit. These activities would be performed from Applicant's office in Alexander City, Alabama, and the geographic area to be served is Alexander City, Alabama. Such activities have been specified by the Board in § 225.4(a) of Regulation Y as permissible for bank holding companies, subject to Board Approval of individual proposals in accordance with the procedures of § 225.4(b).

Interested persons may express their views on the question whether consummation of the proposal can "reasonably be expected to produce benefits to the public, such as greater convenience, increased competition, or gains in efficiency, that outweigh possible adverse effects, such as undue concentration of resources, decreased or unfair competition, conflicts of interests, or unsound banking practices." Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta.

Any views or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Federal Reserve Bank not later than July 22, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17483 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

Proposed Acquisition of Plymouth Guaranty Savings Bank; First NH Banks, Inc.

First NH Banks, Inc., Manchester, New Hampshire, has applied, pursuant to sections 4(c)(8) and 3(a)(3) of the Bank Holding Company Act (12 U.S.C. 1843(c)(8) and 1842(a)(3)) and § 225.4(b)(2) of the Board's Regulation Y (12 CFR 225.4(b)(2)), for permission to acquire 100 percent of the voting shares of Plymouth Guaranty Savings Bank, Plymouth, New Hampshire.

Applicant states that the proposed subsidiary would engage in the activities of a guaranty savings bank. These activities would be performed from offices of Applicant's subsidiary in Plymouth, New Hampshire, and the geographic areas to be served are the towns of Lincoln, Woodstock, Thornton, Warren, Dorchester, Wentworth, Campton, Ellsworth, Rumney, Groton, Hebron, Plymouth, Ashland, Holderness, Bridgewater, and Waterville Valley, New Hampshire.

Interested persons are invited to comment on the application. Any request for a hearing on this question must be accompanied by a statement of the reasons a written presentation would not suffice in lieu of a hearing, identifying specifically any questions of fact that are in dispute, summarizing the evidence that would be presented at a hearing, and indicating how the party commenting would be aggrieved by approval of the proposal.

The application may be inspected at the offices of the Board of Governors or at the Federal Reserve Bank of Boston.

Any view or requests for hearing should be submitted in writing and received by the Secretary, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Washington, D.C. 20551, not later than July 22, 1983.

Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, June 23, 1983.

William W. Wiles,

Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17482 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6210-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service

Advisory Committee on Special Studies Relating to the Possible Long-Term Health Effects of Phenoxy Herbicides and Contaminants; Meeting

Pursuant to Section 10(a)(2) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463), notice is hereby given to the meeting of the Advisory Committee on Special Studies Relating to the Possible Long-Term Health Effects of Phenoxy Herbicides and Contaminants scheduled to be held in Washington, D.C., Hubert Humphrey Bldg., Room 303-305A, on July 19, 1983.

The purpose of the meeting is to review, discuss and evaluate the "Protocols for Epidemiologic Studies of the Health of Vietnam Veterans," prepared by the Centers for Disease Control. The meeting will be open to the public from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. on July 19, 1983.

Seating capacity of the room is limited. Persons who are planning to attend the meeting are asked to contact Sharon McClung, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, phone number (919) 541-3267 or FTS 629-3267, to determine availability of seating.

The Executive Secretary, Peggy McKinney, P.O. Box 12233, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, telephone (919) 541-4182 or FTS 629-4182, may be contacted for general information.

Dated: June 20, 1983.

John A. Moore,

Chairman.

[FR Doc. 83-17446 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4160-17-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

California Desert District; Call for Applications for Wind Energy Development in the Barstow-Victorville Area

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice. State of California; Call for Applications for Wind Energy Development in the Barstow-Victorville Area.

SUMMARY: The Barstow-Victorville area, located in west-central San Bernardino County approximately 80 miles northeast of Los Angeles, California, has been identified for potential wind energy development. In response to applications filed by private interests to develop the wind resource, the Bureau of Land Management (BLM) will prepare an environmental document assessing the impacts of proposed developments within the study area.

The objectives of the BLM are to:

1. Ensure timely and orderly development of wind energy in a manner compatible with the use of the public lands for other purposes:

2. Assure that wind energy exploration, development, and production are conducted with maximum protection of the environment, and;

3. Assure the public a fair return for the public lands and the use of the renewable resources.

To assist the Director of the BLM in carrying out these objectives, and pursuant to Title V of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA), and 43 CFR 2800, a request for applications in addition to those already filed, is now being solicited for the next 60 days for possible granting of rights-of-way for wind power generating facilities on public lands.

Description of the Area

Applications will be considered for public lands within the area shown on the Barstow-Victorville Wind Study Map available at the BLM California Desert District Office, Riverside, California; and Barstow Resource Area Office, Barstow, California. Public lands within the study area include: All or portions of Section 4-6 inclusive, 8 and 9, T. 4 N., R. 1 W., San Bernardino Meridian (SBM); Sections 1-3, T. 4 N., R. 2 W., SBM; Sections 3-11, 15-21, 29-31, and 33, T. 5 N., R. 1 W., SBM; Sections 1-2, 5-6, 8-15, 17, 20-29, and 33-35, T. 5 N., R. 2 W., SBM; Sections 18-20, and 27-34, T. 6 N., R. 1 W., SBM; Sections 2-3, 10-12, 14-15, 17, 19-32, and 34-35, T. 6 N., R. 2 W., SBM; Sections 2-3, 6-7, and 23-26, T. 6 N., R. 3 W., SBM: Sections 1-4, 9-17, 20-23, and 27-28, T. 6 N., R. 4 W., SBM; Sections 19-20 and 30, T. 7 N., R. 1 W., SBM; Sections 7-8, 10-11, 14-15, 18-20, 22-24, 26-28, and 34-35, T. 7 N., R. 2 W., SBM; Sections 2-4, 10-12, 14-15, 18-20, 22-24, 26-28, and 30-31, T. 7 N., R. 3 W., SBM; Sections 2-4, 10-12, 14-15, 22-24, 26-28, and 34-35, T. 7 N., R. 4 W., SBM; Sections 18-20, T. 8 N., R. 1 E., SBM; Sections 2-4 and 10-14, T. 8 N., R. 1 W., SBM: Section 35, T. 8 N., R. 4 W., SBM: Sections 6-8 and 18, T. 9 N., R. 1 E., SBM; Sections 2-4, 11-12, 26-28, and 34-35, T. 9 N., R. 1 W., SBM; Sections 22-23, 25-28, and 34-35, T. 10 N., R. 1 W., SBM.

Public and private lands are heavily intermixed within the study area. The following significant resources/land uses are known within this area:

1. Newberry-Granite Mountain Raptor Breeding Area,

2. Stoddard Mountain Sheep Grazing Allotment,

3. Stoddard Valley Off-Road Vehicle Recreation Area,

4. Know Sensitive Plant Locations,

5. Known Sensitive Areas for Historical/Archaeological Resources,

6. Mining Claim Locations and Operations, and

7. Existing Communications Sites.

Information on land status, existing encumbrances of record, and permits to enter public lands in this area may be obtained by contacting the BLM, Barstow Resources Area Office, 831 Barstow Road, Barstow, California 92311.

Right-of-Way Application

Applications must be submitted no later than 60 days from the date of this notice. Applications filed after this date will not be included in the environmental document. In accordance with 43 CFR Part 2800, Rights-of-Way Principles and Procedures, applicants will furnish BLM: a project description detailing what is being proposed and the time period involved; a legal description of the public lands applied for with a map showing their location; a non-refundale check to cover processing fees as explained in 43 CFR 2803.1-1; and copy of the company's charter or articles of incorporation certified by the State. The project description shall be in sufficient detail to enable the authorized officer to determine:

1. Its impact to the environment,

2. Any benefits provided to the public,

3. Safety of the project, and

4. The specific *public* lands proposed to be occupied.

To accomplish the above and to ensure that applications will be properly analyzed on a site-specific basis for the environmental document, the project description accompanying the application must include all of the information listed below:

1. Applicant.

2. Contact—include phone number of project coordinator and engineer.

3. Manufacturer.

4. Location—include a legal description and map(s) showing specific

locations of turbine sites, access roads, transmission line(s), and substation(s).

5. Wind Machine Model—if more than one machine is under consideration for development, include specifications for all types. Describe under what conditions one type of machine would be used over another.

6. Physical Specifications:

Photograph of wind turbine generator model(s) (8 x 10, black and white)
Total height
Tower height
Total weight
Foundation construction (width, depth, height, material specifications including weight of foundation) Structure designs for towers and foundations

7. Performance Specifications:

Rotation speed (rotor RPM)
Power output
Noise generation
Rated wind speed
Rotor orientation

8. Safety Features:

Blade throw and probability

9. Wind Machine Construction Activities:

Site, preparation (both temporary and permanent)
Construction Yards
A table similar to that shown below can be used to summarize land areas occupied.

Units	Acres temporarily occupied	Acres permanently occupied
WTG		
Existing roads		
New main roads		
New spur roads		
Temporary roads		
Work areas		
Construction yards		
Other		

Wind turbine installation
Describe installation procedures. Diagrams, if available, should be submitted.

10. Transmission System and Substation(s):

Location(s)
Design Construction

This information must be received no later than 60 days from the date of this notice in order to facilitate prompt initiation of site-specific analysis in the environmental document. It is the applicant's responsibility to indentify only public lands in the application.

The authorized officer will acknowledge in writing receipt of all applications. The authorized officer may require applicants, including those parties whose applications are already on file, to submit additional information as he deems necessary for review of applications. All requests for additional information will be in writing. Where the authorized officer determines that information supplied by an applicant is incomplete or does not conform to the FLPMA or 43 CFR Part 2800 regulations, the authorized officer shall notify the applicant of these deficiencies and afford the applicant an opportunity to file a correction within 30 days of receipt of the deficiency notice. Where a deficiency notice has not been adequately complied with, the authorized officer will reject the application.

All applications must be submitted to the Bureau of Land Management, Barstow Resource Area, 831 Barstow Road, Barstow, California 92311.

Environmental Assessment and Decision Process

Applications will be evaluated and used along with all applicable resource data pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 to determine what public lands may be available for wind energy development. The environmental analysis process, through evaluation and alternatives and their effects (environmental, social, and economic), will be used as a decision tool to sort out competing uses and potential uses of the public lands. The environmental document will be funded in accordance with 43 CFR 2803.1–1.

Where two or more applications for wind power facilities are filed for the same area(s), a modified competitive bidding procedure will be used. Under this procedure, applicants will only be able to bid for areas applied for under the call for applications. Award of rights-of-way will be granted, subject to the terms and conditions found in the Record of Decision, to the qualified responsible bidder of the highest per acre, per year, cash amount. A notice of any tracts selected for competitive bidding will be published in the **Federal Register** following the Record of Decision stating the conditions and terms for the grant in compliance with established Departmental procedures.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Questions regarding submittal of applications should be directed to Mike DeKeyrel, Realty Specialist, at (619) 256–3595.

Dated: June 23, 1983.

Hugh Riecken,

Associate District Manager.

[FR Doc. 83–17454 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–84–M

California; Filing of Plat of Survey

June 20, 1983.

1. This plat of survey of the following described land will be officially filed in the California State Office, Sacramento, California immediately:

Mount Diablo Meridian

T. 29 N., R. 11 E.

2. This supplemental plat of the NE ¼, section 4, Township 29 North, Range 11 East, Mount Diablo Meridian, was accepted June 10, 1983.

3. The plat will immediately become the basic record for describing the land for all authorized purposes. The plat has been placed in the open files and is available to the public for information only.

4. This survey was executed to meet certain administrative needs of this Bureau.

5. All inquiries relating to this land should be sent to the California State Office, Bureau of Land Management, Federal Office Building, 2800 Cottage Way, Room E–2841, Sacramento, California 95825.

Herman J. Lyttge,

Chief, Records & Information Section.

[FR Doc. 83–17450 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–84–M

[M 55087]

Montana; Conveyance and Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Montana State Office, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of Conveyance and Order Providing for Opening of Public Lands in Blaine County, Montana.

SUMMARY: Notice is hereby given that pursuant to section 206 of the Act of October 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1716 (1976)), the following described land was conveyed to the parties shown:

Principal Meridian, Montana

Edward F. Olson:

T. 31 N., R. 17 E.
Sec. 34, SW¼NE¼.
Containing 40 acres.

Harold J. Paulsen and Flora M. Paulsen:

T. 31 N., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 23, SW¼NE¼, SE¼NW¼, and NE¼SW¼.
Containing 120 acres.

Marilyn J. Lybeck:

T. 33N., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 2, Lots 3 and 4.
T. 33 N., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 5, Lots 1, 2, 3, S½NE¼, SE¼NW¼, NE¼SW¼.
T. 34 N., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 32, Lot 1, N½SW¼.
Aggregating 473.05 acres.

J. L. DeSaye:

T. 37 N., R. 26 E.,
Sec. 17, NE¼SW¼, W½SW¼;

Sec. 19, Lots 1 and 2, E½NW¼; and
Sec. 20, W½W½, SE¼NW¼, and SE¼SW¼.

Containing 520.68 acres.

In exchange for the above land, the United States acquired the following described land in Blaine County, Montana:

Principal Meridian, Montana

T. 24 N., R. 20 E.
Sec. 11, SE¼SE¼;
Sec. 12, Lots 1, 2, 3, SW¼;
Sec. 13, NW¼; and
Sec. 14, N½NE¼.
T. 24 N., R. 21 E.,
Sec. 7, Lot 4;
Sec. 10, Lots 2, 3, E½SW¼, W½SE¼;
Sec. 15, W½E½, NE¼NW¼, SE¼SW¼; and
Sec. 22, N½NE¼, NE¼NW¼.

Aggregating 1,261.58 acres.

No minerals were transferred in the exchange.

This order restores the lands acquired by the United States to the operation of the public land laws generally.

DATES: At 9 a.m. on August 8, 1983, the lands shall be open to the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights, the provision of existing withdrawals and the requirements of applicable law. All applications received at or prior to 9 a.m. on August 8, 1983, shall be considered as simultaneously filed at that time. Those received thereafter shall be considered in the order of filing.

Dated: June 20, 1983.

John A. Kwiatkowski,

Deputy State Director, Division of Lands and Renewable Resources.

[FR Doc. 83-17449 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-84-M

Fish and Wildlife Service

Endangered Species Permit; Receipt of Applications

The following applicants have applied for permits to conduct certain activities with endangered species. This notice is provided pursuant to Section 10(c) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (16 U.S.C. 1531, *et seq.*):

PRT 2–10652

Applicant: C. Truman Clem, D.D.S., Lewisville, TX

The applicant requests a permit to import a captive-born male bontebok (*Damaliscus dorcas dorcas*) trophy legally taken on the ranch of Victor Pringle, Bedford, South Africa.

PRT 2–10641

Applicant: Talmadge R. Bartlett, Riverdale, GA

The applicant requests a permit to purchase in interstate commerce two pair of captive-born masked bobwhite (*Colinus virginianus ridgwayi*) from George Donley, Suitland, MD for enhancement of propagation.

PRT 2–10678

Applicant: Dr. Katherine Fite—Univ. of Mass., Amherst, MA

The applicant requests a permit to take 3–10 brown pelican (*Pelecanus occidentalis*) for scientific research involving behavioral evaluation and neuroanatomical studies on the birds' visual system. The birds will be obtained from the Big Cypress Nature Rehabilitation Center, Naples, FL or Dr. Ralph Schreiber, Natural History Museum, Los Angeles, CA and only mortally-injured birds will be sacrificed.

PRT 2–10613

Applicant: Little Rock Zoological Gardens, Little Rock, AR

The applicant requests a permit to import two female captive-born siamang (*Hylobates syndactylus*) from Interfauna Ltd., Waybridge, England for enhancement of propagation.

PRT 2–10620

Applicant: Jackson Zoological Park, Jackson, MS

The applicant requests a permit to import one male Gorilla (*Gorilla gorilla*) from Dierenpark Wassenaar Zoo, Holland, for enhancement of propagation.

Documents and other information submitted with these applications are available to the public during normal business hours in Room 601, 1000 N. Glebe Rd., Arlington, Virginia, or by writing to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, WPO, P.O. Box 3654, Arlington, VA 22203.

Interested persons may comment on these applications within 30 days of the date of this publication by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the above address. Please refer to the file number when submitting comments.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Larry LaRochelle,

Chief, Branch of Permits, Federal Wildlife Permit Office.

[FR Doc. 83-17552 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

Minerals Management Service

[Oil and Gas Lease Sale No. 73]

Central California Outer Continental Shelf; Availability of Final Environmental Impact Statement Regarding the Proposed Oil and Gas Lease Sale

Pursuant to Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, the Minerals Management Service has prepared a final environmental impact statement (EIS) relating to a proposed Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) oil and gas lease sale of 360 tracts consisting of approximately two million acres of submerged Federal lands off the coast of central California (OCS Sale No. 73).

Single copies of the final EIS can be obtained from the Regional Manager, Pacific OCS Region, 1340 West Sixth Street, Los, Angeles, California 90017.

Copies of the final EIS will also be available for inspection in the following libraries:

Ukiah Library, 105 North Main, Ukiah, CA 95482
Crescent City Public Library 450 H Street, Cresent City, CA 95531
Fairfax Library, 2097 Sir Francis Drake Blvd, Fairfax, CA 94930
North Coast Regional Coastal Commission, P.O. Box 4946, 1656 Union Street, Eureka, CA 95501
Mill Valley City Library, 26 Corte Madera Avenue, Mill Valley, CA 94941
Mr. Jim Antrobus, Coastal Planning Office, 331–G Redwood Avenue, Ft. Bragg, CA 95437
Novato Library, 1720 11 Blvd., Novato, CA 94947
North Bay Cooperative, Library System, 3rd and E Streets, Santa Rosa, CA 95404
Eureka-Humboldt County Library, I Street, Eureka, CA 95501
Bodega Bay, Volunteer Fire Department, Highway One, Bodega Bay, CA 94923
Sebastopol Public Library, 7140 Bodega Avenue, Sebastopol, CA 95472
Mendocino County Library, 353 North Main, Ft. Bragg, CA 95437
Petaluma Free Library, 4th and B Streets, P.O. Box 300, Petaluma, CA 94953
Mendocino Environmental Center, P.O. Box 557, Mendocino, CA 95460
Mr. Ron De Carli, San Luis Obispo County Planning Department, Courthouse Annex, Room 102, San Luis Obispo, CA 93408
Mr. Scott McCreary, Monterey County Planning Dept., P.O. Box 1208, Salinas, CA 93902
Peninsula Conservation Foundation, Attn: Nancy Olson, Librarian, 2353 Park Blvd., Palo Alto, CA 94306
Sanata Barbara Public Library, P.O. Box 1019, Santa Barbara, CA 93102

CA Polytechnic State, University Library, Attn: Karen Gall, San Luis Obispo, CA 93407
Stinson Library, 3470 Shoreline Highway, Stinson Beach, CA 94907
Humboldt State University Library, Documents Department, Arcata, CA 95521
Corte Madera Library, 707 Meadowsweet Drive, Corte Madera, CA 94925
Point Reyes Library, 4th and A Street, Point Reyes, CA 94956
Marine County Library, Pacific Center Branch, Civic Center, San Rafael, CA 94903
Healdsburg Library, 221 Matheson Street, Healdsburg, CA 95448
Ms. Debbie Nelson/Mr. Bill Rozar, San Mateo County Planning Dept. County Government Center, Redwood City, CA 94063
Colorado State University, Fred Schmidt, Documents Librarian, Ft. Collins, CO 80523
Ms. Gennette Sonnesyn, Santa Cruz County, Community Resources Agency, 701 Ocean Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95061
University of California, Documents Section Library, Santa Cruz, CA 95061
Harrison Memorial Library, Document Section, Ocean Avenue and Lincoln, Carmel, CA 93921
Nipoma Elementary School, County Library, 333 West Trafft, Nipoma, CA 93444
University of CA Library, Santa Barbara Campus, Santa Barbara, CA 93102
Richmond Public Library, Civic Center Plaza, Richmond, CA 94804
College of San Mateo Library, 1700 W. Hillsdale Blvd., San Mateo, CA 94402
Monterey Peninsula College, Documents Section, Library, 980 Fremont Blvd., Monterey, CA 93940
Hartnell College, Document Section, Library, 156 Homestead Avenue, Salinas, CA 93901
Mr. William F. Northrop, Executive Officer, CA State Lands Commission, 1807 13th Street, Sacramento, CA 95809
Pismo Beach City Library, 1000 Bello Avenue, Pismo Beach, CA 93449
San Francisco State University Library, 1600 Holloway Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94132
Ms. Marge Macris, Marin County Comprehensive Planning Department, Civic Center, San Rafael, CA 93901
Salinas Library, 110 West San Luis Street, Salinas, CA 93901
San Luis Obispo City-County Library, 1354 Bishop Street, San Luis Obispo, CA 93406
Morro Bay Library, 410 Morro Bay Blvd., Morro Bay, CA 93442
Santa Cruz Public Library, 224 Church Street, Santa Cruz, CA 95060
City College of San Francisco, Alice Statler Library, 50 Phelan Avenue, San Francisco, CA 94112
Mr. Tom Hofweber, Humboldt County Planning Dept., 520 E Street, Eureka, CA 955501
Goleta Public Library, 500 N. Fairview Avenue, Goleta, CA 93017
Mr. Jerry Heath, Mendocino County Planning Dept., 880 N. Bush, Ukiah, CA 95482
Santa Maria Public Library, 420 S. Broadway, Santa Maria, CA 93454
Cabrillo College, Documents Section, Library, 6500 Sequel Drive, Aptos, CA 95003
Mr. Richard Retecki, Sonoma County Planning Dept., 2555 Mendocino, Room 105A, Santa Rosa, CA 95401
Pescadero Public Library, North Road, Pascadero, CA 94060
North Central Coast Regional Commission, Holiday Plaza Office Bldg., 1050 Northridge Drive, Suite 130, San Rafael, CA 94903
San Francisco Public Library, Civic Center, San Francisco, CA 94102
Association of Monterey Bay Area Governments, Attn: Julie Brandlin, P.O. Box 190, Monterey, CA 93940
City of Palo Alto, Main Library, 1213 Newell Road, Palo Alto, CA 94303
University of California, Earl Warren Legal Center Law Library 232 Boalt Hall, Berkeley, CA 94620
McHenry Library, University of California, Santa Cruz, CA 95064
Business and Economics Dept., Los Angeles Public Library, 630 W. 5th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90071
California State University, Oviatt Library-Government Documents, 18111 Nordhoff Street, Northridge, CA 91330
County of Ventura Library, Documents Section, P.O. Box 771, Ventura, CA 93001
Loyola University, School of Law Library, 1440 W. 9th Street, Los Angeles, CA 90015
CA Coastal Zone Commission, Attn: Mari Gottdiener, 631 Howard Street, San Francisco, CA 94105
Redwood City Library, 881 Jefferson Avenue, Redwood City, CA 94063
San Jose State University Library, 250 South 4th Street, San Jose, CA 95182
Pacific Grove Library, 550 Central Avenue, Pacific Grove, CA 93950
Oakland Public Library, 125 14th Street, Oakland, CA 94612
Riverside Public Library, P.O. Box 468, Riverside, CA 92502
California State University Library, Documents Section, P.O. Box 4150, Fullerton, CA 92634
County of L.A. Public Library, Government Publication Unit, 320 W. Temple, Los Angeles, CA 90012
Long Beach Public Library, Government Publications Dept., Ocean and Pacific, Long Beach, CA 90802
Santa Barbara Public Library, P.O. Box 1019, Attn: Reference Section, Santa Barbara, CA 93102
San Diego Public Library, Science and Industry Dept., Attn: Dorothy Van Nice, 820 E Street, San Diego, CA 92101
University of California, Government Pub. Dept. Gen. Lib., P.O. Box 19557, Irvine, CA 92713
University of Califorina, Serials, SIO Library, C–075, La Jolla, CA 92093
University of California, Water Resources Center Archives, 2081 Engineering I, Attn: Beth Willard, Librarian, Los Angeles, CA 90024
Pomona College, Honnold Library, 222 E. 9th Street, Claremont, CA 91711
Anaheim Public Library, DS 65C, 500 West Broadway, Anaheim, CA 92805
Pepperdine University Library, DS 59A, 8035 S. Vermont, Los Angeles, CA 90044
Pasadena Public Library, DS 63B, 285 E. Walnut Street, Pasadena, CA 91101
San Bernardino County Free Library, DS 64C, 104 W. 4th Street, San Bernardino, CA 92401
San Diego State University, DS 66A, Malcolm A. Love Library, Government Publications Dept., San Diego, CA 92182
Santa Monica Public Library, Attn: Document Librarian, 1343 6th Street, Santa Monica, CA 90401
University of Southern Cal., Government Documents Dept., P.O. Box 77983, Los Angeles, CA 90007
University of California, Library, Gov't Pub. Dept., Santa Barbara, CA 93106
University of Cal. Library, Government Publications Dept., P.O. Box 5900, Riverside, CA 95207
Culver City Library, DS 40A, 4975 Overland Avenue, Culver City, CA 90230
Downey City Library, DS 41A, 8490 E. 3rd Street, Downey, CA 90241
California Inst. of Technology, DS 63, Millikan Memorial Library, Pasadena, CA 91125
W. Valley Reg. Library, DS 64C, 19036 Vanowen Street, Reseda, CA 91335
San Diego County Library, DS 66C, 5555 Overland Avenue, San Diego, CA 92123
San Diego County Law Library, DS 63D, 1105 Front Street, San Diego, CA 92101
Monterey Public Library, 625 Pacific Street, Monterey, CA 93940
Santa Ana Public Library, DS 64A, Documents Section, 26 Civic Center Plaza, Santa Ana, CA 92701
Cal. State Poly Univ. Library, DS 56D, Documents Section, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401
San Mateo Public Library, 55 West Third, San Mateo, CA 94402
Cal. Lutheran College Library, DS 54B, Mountclef Village, Thousand Oaks, CA 91360

Dave Russell,

Director, Minerals Management Service.

Bruce Blanchard,

Director, Environmental Project Review.

[FR Doc. 83–17488 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310–MR–M

National Park Service

Mining Plan of Operations at Death Valley National Monument; Availability

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the provisions of Section 2 of the Act of September 29, 1976, 16 U.S.C. 1901 et seq., and in accordance with the provisions of § 9.17 of 36 CFR Part 9, Cyprus Mines Corporation has filed a plan of operations in support of exploratory surface drilling on lands embracing its Sunrise No. 1 lode mining claim within the Death Valley National Monument. This plan is available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Death Valley National Monument Headquarters, Death Valley, California.

Dated: June 3, 1983.

Edwin L. Rothfuss,

Superintendent, Death Valley National Monument.

[FR Doc. 83-17427 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-70-M

Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement

Intent To Prepare a Combined Draft Unsuitability Petition Evaluation Document/Environmental Impact Statement; Wyoming

AGENCY: Office of Surface Mining Reclamation and Enforcement, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of intent to prepare a combined draft unsuitability petition evaluation document/environmental impact statement for the Red Rim tract in Carbon and Sweetwater Counties, Wyoming.

SUMMARY: The proposed Federal action is a decision by the Office of Surface Mining (OSM) on a petition to designate certain Federal lands known as the Red Rim tract (also known as the proposed Red Rim coal lease tract) in Carbon and Sweetwater Counties, Wyoming, as unsuitable for surface coal mining and reclamation operations in accordance with Section 522 of the Surface Mining Control and Reclamation Act (SMCRA). In compliance with Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), the combined document will consider four alternatives as described in the supplementary information section of this notice. In addition, OSM is reopening the comment period to provide the public an additional opportunity to participate in determining the scope of the issues and identifying significant issues related to the proposed action which should be analyzed in the combined document.

DATE: Written comments must be received by no later than 5 p.m. on July 29, 1983 at the address below.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to the Office of Surface Mining, Western Technical Center, Attn: Charles Albrecht, Second Floor, Brooks Towers, 1020 15th Street, Denver CO 80202.

Copies of the petition may be obtained upon request from the Office of Surface Mining (OSM) at the address listed above. The public record on the petition is available for public review during normal working hours at the OSM office listed above and at the three following locations:

Bureau of Land Management, 1300 North 3rd Streets, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301, Telephone: (307) 324-7171;

Office of the County Clerk, Carbon County Courthouse, Fifth and Spruce Streets, Rawlins, Wyoming 82301, Telephone: (307) 328-2668; and

State of Wyoming, Department of Environmental Quality, Equality State Bank Building, 401 west 19th Street, Cheyenne, Wyoming 82002, Telephone: (307) 777-7756.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Charles Albrecht at the address listed above. Telephone: (303) 837-5421 or FTS 327-5421.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: A notice was published in the **Federal Register** on January 5, 1983 (48 FR 523), which announced: (1) The receipt of a complete petition, (2) the opening of a 30 day comment period requesting comments on issues raised in the petition, and (3) the legal description of Federal lands within the petition area. OSM is reopening the comment period for 30 days beginning with the date of publication of this notice in the **Federal Register.**

The petition to designate the Red Rim tract in Carbon and Sweetwater Counties in Wyoming was submitted by the National Wildlife Federation and the Wyoming Wildlife Federation. The two major allegations of the petition are: (1) Surface coal mining operations will adversely affect fragile land which is valuable habitat for pronghorn antelope, and (2) reclamation is not technologically and economically feasible under SMCRA.

The petition evaluation document/environmental impact statement will be jointly prepared by OSM and the Wyoming Department of Environmental Quality, with the assistance of the Bureau of Land Management.

The four alternatives proposed for evaluation in the combined document are as follows:

1. Designate the entire petition area as unsuitable for all surface coal mining operations. Implementation of this alternative would not allow any coal mining operations within the petition area nor would it allow any future Federal coal leasing unless such designation is terminated by petition.

2. Designate none of the petition area as unsuitable for surface coal mining operations. The normal State and Federal lands regulatory programs would apply to surface coal mining activities. A determination to not designate any or all of the petition area as unsuitable does not necessarily mean that coal mining would occur. Coal mining operations could commence within the petition area only upon the issuance of a Federal coal lease and upon approval of a site-specific mine plan by Wyoming DEQ and OSM.

3. Conditionally designate the petition area as unsuitable for surface coal mining operations. Decisions could be made making partial designations of unsuitability, such as declaring certain locations as unsuitable for coal mining, or certain types of coal mining as unsuitable, or a combination of both, as follows:

(a) Designate as unsuitable for all surface mining operations those parts of the petition area where it is found that operations would result in significant damage to important natural systems.

(b) Designate methods or levels of mining and reclamation that would mitigate the effects of surface coal mining operations on important natural systems of the petition area.

(c) Designate as unsuitable for all surface mining operations those parts of the petition area where it is found that reclamation is not technologically and economically feasible.

4. Designate the entire petition area as unsuitable for surface coal mining, but allow underground mining. This decision would be made provided that underground mining and related impacts would not result in significant surface disturbance.

An intervention petition to the Red Rim unsuitability petition was filed by Taylor Lawrence with OSM on March 8, 1983. OSM received a response from the petitioner (National Wildlife Federation) to the intervention petition on April 18, 1983.

Other significant issues may be considered after all comments received during the process to determine the scope of this document have been evaluated.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Dean K. Hunt,

Assistant Director, Technical Services and Research.

[FR Doc. 83-17557 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-05-M

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[Investigation No. 337-TA-151; Order No. 1]

Certain Aparatus for Flow Injection Analysis and Components Thereof; Delegation of Authority in Investigation

Pursuant to my authority as Chief Administrative Law Judge of this Commission, I hereby designate administrative Law Judge Donald K.

Duvall as Presiding Officer in this investigation.

The Secretary shall serve a copy of this order upon all parties of record and shall publish it in the **Federal Register.**

Issued: June 20, 1983.

Donald K. Duvall,

Chief Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 83-17582 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 337-TA-139]

Certain Caulking Guns; Initial Determination Terminating Respondent on the Basis of Settlement Agreement

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has received an initial determination from the presiding officer in the above-captioned investigation terminating the following respondent on the basis of a settlement agreement: Sav-on-Drugs, Inc.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This investigation is being conducted pursuant to section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337). Under the Commission's rules, the presiding officer's initial determination will become the determination of the Commission thirty (30) days after the date of its service upon the parties, unless the Commission orders review of the initial determination. The initial determination in this mater was served upon the parties on June 23, 1983.

Copies of the initial determination, the settlement agreement, and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 701 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-523-0161.

Written Comments: Interested persons may file written comments with the Commission concerning termination of the aforementioned respondent. The original and 14 copies of all such comments must be filed with the Secretary to the Commission, 701 E Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, no later than 10 days after publication of this notice in the **Federal Register.** Any person desiring to submit a document (or portion thereof) to the Commission in confidence must request confidential treatment. Such requests should be directed to the Secretary to the Commission and must include a full statement of the reasons why confidential treatment should be granted. The Commission will either accept the submission in confidence or return it.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ruby J. Dionne, Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-523-0176.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 23, 1983.

Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17564 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 337-TA-128]

Certain Cupric Hydroxide Formulated Fungicides and Cupric Hydroxide Preparations Used in the Formulation Thereof; Commission Decision Not To Review Initial Determination

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the presiding officer's initial determination (Order No. 33) terminating Dr. H. Wayne Richardson as respondent in the above-captioned investigation. Accordingly, as of June 24, 1983, the initial determination became the Commission's determination with respect to this matter.

Authority: The authority for the Commission's disposition of this matter is contained in section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and in §§ 210.53(c) and 210.53(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (47 FR 25134, June 10, 1982 and 48 FR 20225, May 5, 1983; to be codified at 19 CFR 210.53 (c) and (h).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On April 22, 1983, complainant Kocide Chemical and respondent Dr. H. Wayne Richardson jointly moved to terminate the Commission's investigation with respect to Richardson (Motion No. 128-35). On May 24 1983, the presiding officer granted Motion No. 128-35 and terminated Richardson as respondent in the investigation.

Pursuant to rule § 210.53(h)(2), an initial determination of the presiding officer under rule § 210.53(c) becomes the determination of the Commission thirty days from the date of service, unless the Commission orders review of the initial determination.

Having examined the record in this investigation, including Motion No. 128-35, the papers filed in connecion therewith, and the initial determination of the presiding officer, the Commission found no grounds for review of the initial determination. Because Richardson was the last respondent remaining in this proceeding, his termination terminates the investigation.

Copies of the Commission's Action and Order and all other non-confidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 701 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-523-0161.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: N. Tim Yaworski, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-523-0311.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 24, 1983.

Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17565 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. TA-203-14]

Certain Mushrooms; Termination of Investigation

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Termination of investigation to determine the probable economic effect on the domestic industry concerned, of the termination of import relief presently in effect with respect to prepared or preserved mushrooms.

EFFECTIVE DATE: June 23, 1983.

SUMMARY: On June 15, 1983, the United States International Trade Commission received a letter from counsel representing certain domestic processors of prepared or preserved mushrooms, petitioners in the subject investigation, withdrawing their petition. Accordingly, the United States International Trade Commission hereby gives notice of the termination of its investigation involving prepared or preserved mushrooms (investigation No. TA-203-14) and of the cancellation of the public hearing scheduled for August 2, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Woodley Timberlake (202-523-4618), Office of Investigations, U.S. International Trade Commission.

This notice is published pursuant to § 201.12 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (19 CFR 201.12).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 24, 1983.

Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17566 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 337-TA-137]

Certain Heavy-Duty Staple Gun Tackers; Commission Decision Not To Review Initial Determination

AGENCY: International Trade Commission.

ACTION: Notice is hereby given that the Commission has determined not to review the presiding officer's initial determination (Order No. 8) granting a joint motion by complainant and respondent Handyman Supply, Inc., to terminate the above-captioned investigation as to that respondent.

Authority: Section 337 of the Tariff Act of 1930 (19 U.S.C. 1337) and §§ 210.53(c) and 210.53(h) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (47 FR 25134, June 10, 1982, and 48 FR 20225, May 5, 1982; to be codified at 19 CFR 210.53 (c) and (h)).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: On May 9, 1983, complainant Arrow Fastener Co., Inc. and respondent Handyman Supply, Inc., moved jointly (Motion No. 137-4) to terminate this investigation as to respondent Handyman Supply, Inc., on the grounds that Handyman Supply has not engaged in any of the unfair acts alleged in this investigation. The motion was unopposed. On May 20, 1983, the presiding officer issued an initial determination granting the motion. Under § 210.54(a) of the Commission's rules, the deadline for filing petitions for review of the initial determination expired on May 31, 1983. No petitions for review were filed, and no comments were received from other Government agencies. The initial determination became the Commission determination in this matter as of June 21, 1983.

Copies of the presiding officer's initial determination and all other nonconfidential documents filed in connection with this investigation are available for inspection during official business hours (8:45 a.m. to 5:15 p.m.) in the Office of the Secretary, U.S. International Trade Commission, 701 E Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20436, telephone 202-523-0161.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jane Albrecht, Esq., Office of the General Counsel, U.S. International Trade Commission, telephone 202-523-1627.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 21, 1983.

Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17581 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

[Investigation No. 331-TA-133]

Certain Vertical Milling Machines and Parts, Attachments and Accessories Thereto; Prehearing Conference and Hearing

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference will be held in this case at 9:00 a.m., on July 18, 1983, in the Waterfront Center, Room 201, 1010 Wisconsin Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C., and the hearing will commence immediately thereafter.

The purpose of the prehearing conference is to review the trial memoranda submitted by the parties, to stipulate exhibits into the record, and to discuss any questions raised by the parties relating to the hearing.

The Secretary shall publish this notice in the Federal Register.

Issued: June 17,1983.

Janet D. Saxon,

Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc. 83-17582 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7020-02-M

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

Motor Carriers, Finance Applications; Decision Notice

As indicated by the findings below, the Commission has approved the following applications filed under 49 U.S.C. 10924, 10926, 10931 and 10932.

We find:

Each transaction is exempt from section 11343 of the Interstate Commerce Act, and complies with the appropriate transfer rules.

This decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

Petitions seeking reconsideration must be filed within 20 days from the date of this publication. Replies must be filed within 20 days after the final date for filing petitions for reconsideration; any interested person may file and serve a reply upon the parties to the proceeding. Petitions which do not comply with the relevant transfer rules at 49 CFR 1161.4 may be rejected.

If petitions for reconsideration are not timely filed, and applicants satisfy the conditions, if any, which have been imposed, the application is granted and they will receive an effective notice. The notice will recite the compliance requirements which must be met before transferee may commence operations.

Applicants must comply with any conditions set forth in the following decision-notices within 20 days after publication, or within any approved extension period. Otherwise, the decision-notice shall have no further effect.

It is ordered:

The following applications are approved, subject to the conditions stated in the publication, and further subject to the administrative requirements stated in the effective notice to be issued hereafter.

By the Commission

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Please direct status inquiries to Team 1, (202) 275-7992.

Volume No. OP1-FC-247

MC-FC-81497. By decision entered June 22, 1983 issued under 49 U.S.C. 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 CFR 1161, the Review Board, Members Parker, Joyce and Fortier approved the transfer to Van Ohlen Trucking, Inc., of Russellville, AR, of all of the operating rights contained in Certificate No. MC-155421, issued October 15, 1981, to Cornelius Transfer of La Junta, Inc., of La Junta, CO, authorizing the transportation of food and related products, between points in IL and WI and those points on and west of a line beginning at the mouth of the Mississippi River, and extending along the Mississippi River to its junction with the western boundary of Itasca County, MN, then northward along the western boundries of Itasca and Koochiching Counties, MN, to the international boundry line between the U.S. and Canada. Applicant's representative: Ray Van Ohlen, Jr., Hwy 64 East, Russellville, AR 72801, (501)-968-1285.

MC-FC-81499. By decision of June 17, 1983, issued under 49 U.S.C 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 C.F.R. 1161, the Review Board/Members Williams, Carleton and Joyce approved the transfer to A & A MOVING AND STORAGE COMPANY, Hudson, NH of Certificate No. MC-7681, issued May 19, 1952, to ABC RELOCATION SERVICES, INC., Hudson, NH, authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of household goods, as defined by the Commission, (1) between points in MA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in ME, NH, VT, CT, RI, NY, PA, NJ, and DC, (2) between points in MA,

on the one hand, and, on the other, points in DE, MD, VA, WV, NC, SC, GA, FL, MO, IL, IN, and OH, and (3) between points in MO, IL, IN, OH, PA, NY, and DC, and those in MD and VA within ten miles of DC. Representative: Frank Fisher, 9 Hampshire Drive, Hudson, NH 03051.

Please direct status inquiries about the following to Team 2 at (202) 275–7030.

Volume NO. OP 2–FC–286

MC–FC–81457. By the decision of June 22, 1983 issued under 49 U.S.C. 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 C.F.R. 1181, Review Board Members Joyce, Williams, and Krock approved the transfer to HAGGARD HAULING & RIGGING, INC., Kansas City, MO, of Certificate No. MC–109462 Sub 31, issued June 11, 1981, to LIGON TRANSPORT, INC., Madisonville, KY, authorizing the transportation of (1) contractors' materials, equipment, and supplies, (2) self-propelled articles, and (3) those commodities which because of their size or weight require the use of special handling or equipment, between points in KS and MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, CO, IL, IA, KS, KY, MO, NE, OK, and TN. Transferor will retain authority Representative: Carl U. Hurst, P.O. Box 691, Madisonville, KY 42431.

MC–FC–81461. By decision of June 22, 1983, issued under 49 U.S.C. 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 CFR 1181, the Review Board, Members Parker, Carleton, and Dowell, approved the transfer to Shippers Express, Inc., of Orlando, FL, of all of the authority issued to Quinn Truck Lines, Inc. (William Beemer, Trustee in Bankruptcy), of Taft, FL., in MC–115322 (Sub-No. 206), authorizing transportation of various specified commodities, and general commodities with the usual exceptions, from, to, and between various specified points in the U.S. Representative: James E. Wharton, Suite 811, Metcalf Bldg., 100 South Orange Ave., Orlando FL. 32801

MC–FC–81486. By decision of June 22, 1983 issued under 49 U.S.C. 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 CFR 1181, the Review Board, Members Joyce, Carleton and Parker, approved the transfer to WDR Leasing Company, Inc., of Garfield Heights, OH, of all of the authority issued to Cooper Trucking, Inc. (reentitled CEO Trucking, Inc.), in MC–147143, authorizing the transportation of (1) scrap metals in bulk, in dump vehicles, between points in IN, KY, MI, NJ, NY, OH, PA, and WV, and (2) kilned shale, in bulk, in dump vehicles, from Independence, OH, to points in KY, MI, NY, PA, and WV. Representative: Richard H. Brandon, 220 West Bridge St., P.O. Box 97, Dublin, OH. 43017

MC–FC–81523. By decision of June 22, 1983, issued under 49 U.S.C 10926 and the transfer rules at 49 CFR 1181, the Review Board, Members Fortier, Parker and Joyce, approved the transfer to A-Compass Movers, Inc., of Kansas City, MO, of all of the authority issued to McCormack-Payton Storage & Moving Company, of Kansas City, MO, in Certificates MC–11133 and Sub-No. 2, authorizing the transportation of household goods and office furniture and equipment, between Kansas City, MO., and Kansas City, KS, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IA, AR, IL, IN, MI, MN, NE, KS, OH, OK, CO, TX, and KY; household goods and new furniture, between Kansas City, MO, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MO and KS within 35 miles of Kansas City, MO; household goods, between points in Summit County, OH, on the one hand, and, on the other, St. Louis, MO, and points in IL, IN, DE, KY, MD, MA, MI, NJ, NY, VA, PA, WV, and DC. Representative: Frank W. Taylor, Jr., 1221 Baltimore Ave., Suite 600, Kansas City, MO., 64105–1961

[FR Doc. 83–17473 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[AB 43 SDM]

Rail Carriers; Illinois Central Gulf Railroad Co.; Amended System Diagram Map

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the requirements contained in Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 1120.23, that the ILLINOIS CENTRAL GULF RAILROAD CO. has filed with the Commission its amended color-coded system diagram map in docket No. AB 43 SDM. The Commission on June 16, 1983, received a certificate of publication as required by said regulation which is considered the effective date on which the system diagram map was filed.

Color-coded copies of the map have been served on the Governor of each state in which the railroad operates and the Public Service Commission or similar agency and the State designated agency. Copies of the map may also be requested from the railroad at a nominal charge. The maps also may be examined at the Office of the Commission, Section of Dockets, by requesting docket No. AB 43 SDM.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17472 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[Ex Parte No. 393 (Sub-1)]

Standards for Railroad Revenue Adequacy

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Extension of time of file determination of revenue adequacy of railroads.

SUMMARY: In the **Federal Register** notice of April 26, 1983 (48 FR 18918) the due date established for comments in this proceeding was July 8, 1983. An extension until September 16, 1983 has now been requested by the Association of American Railroads. The request stresses the broad scope and complexity of the issues being addressed, and the desire to provide the Commission with comments based upon thorough research and analysis. Considering the nature of the comments requested, the requested extension appears to be warranted and is granted.

DATE: Comments are due September 16, 1983.

ADDRESS: Send an original and 10 copies of any comments to: Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ward L. Ginn, Jr. at (202) 275–7489.

By the Commission, Reese H. Taylor, Jr., Chairman.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Agatha l. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17475 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

Office of Proceedings

Motor Carriers; Permanent Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

Motor Common and Contract Carriers of Property (fitness-only); Motor Common Carriers of Passengers (fitness-only); Motor Contract Carriers of Passengers; Property Brokers (other than household goods). The following applications for motor common or contract carriage of property and for a broker of property (other than household goods) are governed by Subpart A of Part 1160 of the Commission's General Rules of Practice. See 49 CFR Part 1160,

Subpart A, published in the **Federal Register** on November 1, 1982, at 47 FR 49583, which redesignated the regulations at 49 CFR 1100.251, published in the **Federal Register** on December 31, 1980. For compliance procedures, see 49 CFR 1160.19. Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR Part 1160, Subpart B.

The following applications for motor common or contract carriage of passengers filed on or after November 19, 1982, are governed by Subpart D of the Commission's Rules of Practice. See 49 CF Part 1160, Subpart D, published in the **Federal Register** on November 24, 1982, at 49 FR 53271. For compliance procedures, see 49 CFR 1160.86. Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR Part 1160, Subpart E.

These applications may be protested *only* on the grounds that applicant is not fit, willing, and able to provide the transportation service or to comply with the appropriate statutes and Commission regulations.

Applicant's representative is required to mail a copy of an application, including all supporting evidence, within three days of a request and upon payment to applicant's representative of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.g., unresolved common control, fitness, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated that it is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations. This presumption shall not be deemed to exist where the application is opposed. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficient opposition in the form of verified statements filed on or before 45 days from date of publication, (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authorizing documents will be issued to applicants with regulated operations (except those with duly noted problems) and will remain in full effect only as long as the applicant maintains appropriate compliance. The unopposed applications involving new entrants will be subject to the issuance of an effective notice setting forth the compliance requirements which must be satisfied before the authority will be issued. Once this compliance is met, the authority will be issued.

Within 60 days after publication an applicant may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's other authority, the duplication shall be construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce, over irregular routes unless noted otherwise. Applications for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract."

Please direct inquiries of status to Team #1 at (202) 275–7992

Decision Volume No. OP1–244 (F)

Decided Date, June 22, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Fortier, Carleton, and Parker.

MC 125080 (Sub-5B), filed June 3, 1983. Applicant: TETON CRANE AND TRANSPORT, INC., P.O.B. 2257, Idaho Falls, ID 83402. Representative: Timothy R. Stivers, P.O.B. 1576, Boise, ID 83701, (208) 343–3071. (1) As a *broker* of *general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S., and (2) transporting for or on behalf of the U.S. Government, general commodities (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S.

Note.—Applicant has also filed for authority under the non-fitness procedures docketed MC–125080 Sub-5A, published in this same **Federal Register** issue.

MC 168640, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: ABVAN TRANSPORTATION LIMITED, 23 Clyde Rd., Scarborough, Ontario, Canada M1C 1T8. Representative: Robert G. Bell (same address as applicant), (416) 284–7166. Transporting *passengers,* in charter and special operations, beginning and ending at ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the U.S. and Canada located at MN, MI, NY, VT, NH and ME, and extending to points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

Note.—Applicant seeks to provide privately-funded charter and special transportation.

MC 168670, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: SYLVESTER N. CRAIG AND MYRNA R. H. LEHRMAN, d.b.a. AMBASSADOR EXPRESS SERVICES, Boulevard Drive, Danbury, CT 06810. Representative: Sylvester N. Craig (same address as applicant), (203) 792–0292. Transporting *passengers,* in charter and special operations, between points in the U.S. (except HI).

Note.—Applicant seeks to provide privately-funded charter and special transportation.

Please direct status inquiries about the following to Team Four at (202) 275–7669.

Volume No. OP4–386

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Dowell, Fortier, and Krock.

MC 168627, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: LAIRD & ASSOCIATES, INC., d.b.a. TRANSPORTATION MARKETING CONSULTANTS, 8731 High Dr., Leawood, KS 66206. Representative: Thomas M. Laird (same address as applicant), (913) 341–8825. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

MC 168636, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: GEORGE A. CATALDI, d.b.a. CARGO SYSTEMS, 72 Willow St., Wethersfield, CT 06109. Representative: George A. Cataldi (same address as applicant), (203) 529–1976. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

MC 168666, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: ACTION BROKERAGE COMPANY, Route 21 South, Mooresville, NC 28115. Representative: M. Diane Neal, 2230 Shepler Church Ave., S.W., P.O. Box 6270, Canton, OH 44706, (216) 456–4571. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

MC 168696, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: CEDAR LAKE TRANSPORTATION, INC., 2 E. 106th St., (P.O. Box 40857), Indianapolis, IN 46240. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW, Suite 500, Washington, DC 20006, (202) 828–5015. Transporting (A) *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in IA, IL, IN, KY, MI, MN, MO, OH, and WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI),

and (B) for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except use household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI). Condition: The person or persons who appear to be engaged in common control of applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an application under 49 U.S.C. § 11343(A) or submit an affidavit indicating why such control is unnecessary to the Secretary's office. In lieu of filing an application for approval such person or persons may wish to file a letter-petition for exemption from Commission action. Such a petition should include the notice required by Section 11343(e)(2). See Ex Parte 400 (Sub-No. 1), *Procedures for Handling Exemptions Filed by Motor Carriers of Property under 49 U.S.C. 11343*, 47 Fed. Reg. 42947. In order to expedite issuance of any authority please submit a copy of the affidavit, or proof of filing the application(s) for common control to Team 4, Room 2410.

Note.—Because this application includes issues subject to a finding of public interest as well as fitness only, it will be published in two volumes of this Federal Register issue. Part (A) will be published in Vol. 385. Part (B) will be published in Vol. 386.

Volume No. OP4–389

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Carleton, Dowell and Williams.

MC 168616, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: BRANKO FORWARDING CORP., Representative: Carlos Rodriguez, The Palladium, Suite 103, 1325–18th St., N.W., Washington, DC 20036, (202) 347–0326. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

MC 168617, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: COORDINATED SERVICES, INC., 819 Union Ave., Pennsauken, NJ 08110. Representative: Russell R. Sage, P.O. Box 11278, Alexandria, VA 22312, (703) 750–1112. As a *broker of general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S.

Volume No. OP4–391

Decided: June 8, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Carleton, Krock, Dowell.

MC 168346, filed May 27, 1983, noticed in the Federal Register issue of June 17, 1983, and republished this issue. Applicant: DONALD L. MILLER, d.b.a. DONALD MILLER TRUCKING, 712 Suzanna Circle, Belpre, OH 45714. Representative: Jack L. Schiller, 111–56 76th Drive, Forest Hills, NY 11375, (212) 263–2078. Transporting *food and other edible products and byproducts intended for human consumption* (except alcoholic beverages and drugs), *agricultural limestone and fertilizers, and other soil conditioners* by the owner of the motor vehicle in such vehicle, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

Note.—The purpose of this republication is to show this proceeding as being that of a fitness-only application.

[FR Doc. 83–17477 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

Motor Carriers; Authority Decisions; Decision-Notice

Motor Common and Contract Carriers of Property (except fitness-only); Motor Common Carriers of Passengers (public interest); Freight Forwarders; Water Carriers; Household Goods Brokers. The following applications for motor common or contract carriers of property, water carriage, freight forwarders, and household goods brokers are governed by Subpart A of Part 1160 of the Commission's General Rules of Practice. See 49 CFR Part 1160, Subpart A, published in the Federal Register on November 1, 1982, at 47 FR 49583, which redesignated the regulations at 49 CFR 1100.251, published in the Federal Register December 31, 1980. For compliance procedures, see 49 CFR 1160.19. Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR Part 1160, Subpart B.

The following applications for motor common carriage of passengers, filed on or after November 19, 1982, are governed by Subpart D of 49 CFR Part 1160, published in the Federal Register on November 24, 1982 at 47 FR 53271. For compliance procedures, see 49 CFR 1160.86. Carriers operating pursuant to an intrastate certificate also must comply with 49 U.S.C. 10922(c)(2)(E). Persons wishing to oppose an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR Part 1160, Subpart E. In addition to fitness grounds, these applications may be opposed on the grounds that the transportation to be authorized is not consistent with the public interest.

Applicant's representative is required to mail a copy of an application, including all supporting evidence, within three days of a request and upon payment to applicant's representative of $10.00.

Amendments to the request for authority are not allowed. Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the Commission's policy of simplifying grants of operating authority.

Findings

With the exception of those applications involving duly noted problems (e.g., unresolved common control, fitness, water carrier dual operations, or jurisdictional questions) we find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated that it is fit, willing, and able to perform the service proposed, and to conform to the requirements of Title 49, Subtitle IV, United States Code, and the Commission's regulations.

We make an additional preliminary finding with respect to each of the following types of applications as indicated: common carrier of property—that the service proposed will serve a useful public purpose, responsive to a public demand or need; water common carrier—that the transportation to be provided under the certificate is or will be required by the public convenience and necessity; water contract carrier, motor contract carrier of property, freight forwarder, and household goods broker—that the transportation will be consistent with the public interest and the transportation policy of section 10101 of chapter 101 of Title 49 of the United States Code.

These presumptions shall not be deemed to exist where the application is opposed. Except where noted, this decision is neither a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment nor a major regulatory action under the Energy Policy and Conservation Act of 1975.

In the absence of legally sufficent opposition in the form of verified statements filed on or before 45 days from date of publication, (or, if the application later becomes unopposed) appropriate authorizing documents will be issued to applicants with regulated operations (except those with duly noted problems) and will remain in full effect only as long as the applicant maintains appropriate compliance. The unopposed applications involving new entrants will be subject to the issuance of an effective notice setting forth the compliance requirements which must be satisfied before the authority will be issued. Once this compliance is met, the authority will be issued.

Within 60 days after publication an application may file a verified statement in rebuttal to any statement in opposition.

To the extent that any of the authority granted may duplicate an applicant's other authority, the duplication shall be

construed as conferring only a single operating right.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Note.—All applications are for authority to operate as a motor common carrier in interstate or foreign commerce over irregular routes, unless noted otherwise. Applicants for motor contract carrier authority are those where service is for a named shipper "under contract." Applications filed under 49 U.S.C. 10922(c)(2)(B) to operate in intrastate commerce over regular routes as a motor common carrier of passengers are duly noted.

Please direct status inquiries to Team #1 at (202) 275–7992.

Decision Volume No. OP1–243 (N)

Decided Date, June 22, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Members Fortier, Carleton, and Parker.

MC 125080 (Sub-5A), filed June 3, 1983. Applicant: TETON CRANE AND TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 2257, Idaho Falls, ID 83402. Representative: Timothy R. Stivers, P.O. Box 1576, Boise, ID 83701, (208)–343–3071. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and household goods), between those points in the U.S. in and west of MI, IN, IL, MO, LA and AR (except AK and HI).

Note—Applicant has also filed for authority under the fitness procedures docketed MC–125080 Sub 5B, published in this same **Federal Register** issue.

MC 134401 (Sub-20), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: McGILLION TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 644, Bolton, Ontario, Canada LOP 1A0. Representative: Allan C. Zuckerman, 221 North La Salle St., Suite 826, Chicago, IL 60601, (312)–641–5900. Transporting *clay, concrete, glass, or stone products,* between points in MI, NH, and NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 143390 (Sub-4), filed May 27, 1983. Applicant: NORTHWEST TRANSPORT, INC., 932 Yorkshire Ave., Rice Lake, WI 54868. Representative: Richard C. Alexander, 710 N. Plankinton Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53203, (414)–273–7410. Transporting *clay, concrete, glass or stone products,* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Martin Marietta Cement, of St. Paul, MN.

MC 150511 (Sub-8), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: BETTER HOME DELIVERIES, INC., 3700 Park East Drive, Cleveland, OH 44122. Representative: J. A. Kundtz, 1100 National City Bank Bldg., Cleveland, OH 44114. (216)–566–5639. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by retail department stores, between points in the U.S., under continuing contracts(s) with Target Stores, of Minneapolis, MN.

MC 161551 (Sub-1), filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: FRANCIS J. CAITO, INC., 5724 E. Tenth St., Indianapolis, IN 46219. Representative: Francis J. Caito (same address as applicant), (317)–545–2387. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and household goods), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 166691, (Sub-3), filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: EMERSON ELECTRIC COMPANY, 514 Earth City Expressway, Suite 100, Earth City, MO 63045. Representative: Fred Lenkman (same address as applicant), (314)–291–8281 Ext. 214. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with (1) Aid to Shippers, of North Kansas City, MO, (2) Arkansas Freight Brokers, Inc., of North Little Rock, AR, (3) Figgie International, Inc., of Richmond, VA, (4) National Carrier Service, of Anaheim, CA, and (5) Galaxy Carpet Mills, Inc., of Chatsworth, GA.

MC 168590, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: RAIL–VAN, INC., 7601 Mentor Ave., Suite 101, Mentor, OH 44060. Representative: Robert L. Cope, Suite 501, 1730 M St., NW, Washington, DC 20036, (202)–296–2900. As a *broker* of *general commodities* (except household goods), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 168610, filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: DUTCHESS VAN LINES, MOVING AND STORAGE, INC., 495 South Road, Poughkeepsie, NY 12601. Representative: Neil D. Breslin, 11 North Pearl St., Albany, NY 12207, (518)–434–1136. Transporting *household goods, business machines, electronic equipment,* and *office furniture,* between points in NY, NJ, SC, NC, NH, OH, CO, CT, RI, MA, ME, PA, MD, VT and DE.

Please direct status inquiries to Team 2, (202) 275–7030.

Volume No. OP–2–280

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Krock, Parker, and Joyce.

MC 69833 (Sub-171), filed May 31, 1983. Applicant: ASSOCIATED TRUCK LINES, INC., 200 Monroe Ave., NW, Grand Rapids, MI 49503. Representative: Bruce A. Bullock, One Woodward Ave., 26th FL., Detroit, MI 48226, 313–496–3534. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with General Mills, Inc., of Minneapolis, MN, and its subsidiaries.

MC 107012 (Sub-827), filed June 2, 1983. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Hwy 30 West, P.O. Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Representative: David D. Bishop (same address as applicant), 219–429–2110. Transporting *general commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Lennox Industries, of Dallas, TX.

MC 107012 (Sub-828), filed June 2, 1983. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Hwy 30 West, P.O. Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Representative: David D. Bishop (same address as applicant), 219–429–2110. Transporting *household goods,* between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Lerner Stores Corporation, of New York, NY.

MC 112713 (Sub-339), filed May 27, 1983. Applicant: YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 7270, Overland Park, KS 66207. Representative: William F. Martin, Jr. (same address as applicant), 913–383–3000. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with General Mills, Inc., of Minneapolis, MN, and its subsidiaries.

MC 107012 (Sub-829), filed June 2, 1983. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Hwy 30 West, P.O. Box 988, Fort Wayne, IN 46801. Representative: David D. Bishop (same address as applicant), 219–429–2110. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and commodities in bulk), between classes A and B explosives and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with the Lockheed Corporation, of Burbank, CA.

MC 112713 (Sub-340), filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: YELLOW FREIGHT SYSTEM, INC., P.O. Box 7270, 10990 Roe Ave., Shawnee Mission, KS 66207. Representative: William F. Martin, Jr. (same address as applicant), 913–383–3000. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Exxon Chemical Americas, of Houston, TX.

MC 168442, filed June 2, 1983. Applicant: ATLAS MOTOR EXPRESS, INC., 38½ Amherst St., P.O. Box. 6342, Nashua, NH 03061. Representative: George J. Valoras (same address as applicant), 603–882–0667. Transporting

general commodities (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk) between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 168542, filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: ELL TRANSPORT, INC., Rt. 1, Box 380, Ellensburg, WA 98926. Representative: P. Arley Harrel, P.O. Box. 21926, 14th Fl., Washington Bldg., Seattle, WA 98111, (206) 628–6600. Transporting *meat, meat byproducts, and meat products,* between points in Kittitas and Yakima Counties, WA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Multnomah County, OR, under continuing contract(s) with Washington Beef, Inc., of Yakima, WA.

Volume No. OP2–281

Decided: June 21, 1983
By the Commission, Review Board Members Carleton, Fortier, and Krock.

MC 69833 (Sub-170), filed May 31, 1983. Applicant: ASSOCIATED TRUCK LINES, INC., 200 Monroe Ave., NW, Grand Rapids, MI 49503. Representative: Bruce A. Bullock, One Woodward Ave., 26th Fl., Detroit, MI 48226, 313–496–3534. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk) between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Ralston Purina Company, of St. Louis, MO, and its subsidiaries.

MC 124692 (Sub-379), filed June 1, 1983. Applicant: SAMMONS TRUCKING, P.O. Box 4347, Missoula, MT 59806. Representative: James B. Hovland, 525-Lumber Exchange Bldg., Minneapolis, MN 55402, 406–340–0808 Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk) between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with ITOFCA, Inc., of Downers Grove, IL.

MC 162242, filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: COMMERCIAL TERMINAL SERVICE, INC., 723 South 12th St., Omaha, NE 68102. Representative: James F. Crosby, 7363 Pacific St., Suite 210B, Omaha, NE 68114, 402–397–9900. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk) between points in IA, KS, MO, and NE, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IA, KS, MN, MO, NE, ND, and SD.

MC 166112, filed June 7, 1983. Applicant: FRED LANTINGA, P.O. Box 629, Culpeper, VA 22701. Representative: Fred Lantinga (same address as applicant), (703) 439–3435. Transporting *Lumber and wood products,* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 166492, (Sub-1), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: JEAN SAVAGE AND LAVON SAVAGE, d.b.a. J & L ENTERPRISES, 4864 Kings Row Drive, Salt Lake City, UT 84117. Representative: Iren Warr, 311 South State St.—Suite 280, Salt Lake City, UT 84111, 801–531–1300. Transporting *furniture and fixtures,* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Kelly Company, of Salt Lake City, UT.

MC 168352, filed May 27, 1983. Applicant: NEWTON PLASTICS DIVISION OF ARDEN CHEMICAL CORPORATION, 56 Sparta Ave., Newton, NJ 07860. Representative: Steven L. Weiman, Suite 200, 444 N. Frederick Ave., Gaithersburg, MD 20877, 301–840–8565. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in NJ and TN, under continuing contract(s) with Charms Company, of Colts Neck, NJ.

MC 168523, filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: 122569 CANADA, INC., 8 Belcourt Rd, Dollard Des Ormeaux, Quebec, Canada H9A 1X7. Representative: W. Norman Charles, P.O. Box 724, Glens Falls, NY 12801, 518–792–0957. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the U.S. and Canada, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except HI).

MC 168533, filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: NUSSEY CARTAGE LIMITED, Highway 2 East, Tillbury, Ontario, Canada NOP 2LO. Representative: Neill T. Riddell, 900 Guardian Bldg. Detroit, MI 48226, 313–963–3750. Transporting *chemicals,* between ports of entry on the International Boundary line between the U.S. and Canada in MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, St. Clair City, MI.

MC 168553, filed June 9, 1983. Applicant: STONECUTTER TRUCKING COMPANY, INC., Spindale, NC 28160. Representative: Morton E. Kiel, Suite 1832, Two World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048, 212–466–0220. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between those points in the U.S., in and east of WI, IL, KY, TN, and MS.

Volume No. OP2–282

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Parker, Joyce, and Fortier.

MC 133172 (Sub-4), filed June 9, 1983. Applicant: GREAT SOUTHWEST WAREHOUSES, INC., P. O. Box 2588, Houston, TX 77001. Representative: Bruce E. Mitchell, Fifth Floor, Lenox Towers South, 3390 Peachtree Rd., Atlanta, GA 30326, 402–262–9488. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Gulf Atlantic Distribution Services, of Forest Park, GA.

MC 135082 (sub-121), filed June 31, 1983. Applicant: ROADRUNNER TRUCKING, INC., P. O. Box 26748, Albuquerque, NM 87125. Representative: James G. Whitley, 215 Lincoln Ave., P. O. Box 2228, Santa Fe, NM 87501, 505–982–2691. Transporting (1) *ores and minerals,* (2) *lumber and wood products,* (3) *pulp, paper and related products,* (4) *chemicals and related products,* (5) *petroleum, natural gas and their products,* (6) *coal and coal products,* (7) *rubber and plastic products,* (8) *clay concrete, glass or stone products,* (9) *metal products,* (10) *machinery,* (11) transportation equipment, (12) *waste or scrap materials not identified by industry producing,* between those points in the U.S. in and west of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA, on the one hand, and, on the other, those points in the U.S. in the east of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA.

MC 154972 (Sub-2), filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: COLLIE EQUIPMENT & MANUFACTURING, INC., P. O. B. 455, West Fargo, ND 58078. Representative: Robert N. Maxwell, P. O. B. 2471, Fargo, ND 58108, 701–327–4223. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and household goods), between points in MN, ND, SD, and WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 165762, filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: FREIGHT MOVERS TRUCK BROKER, INC., P. O. Box 6501, Dothan, AL 36302. Representative: Dixie C. Newhouse, 1329 Pennsylvania Ave., P. O. Box 1417, Hagerstown, MD 21740, 301–797–6060. Transporting (1) *lumber,* between points in TX, LA, MS, GA, FL, SC, NC, VA, AL, TN, and AR; and (2) *Plastic resins and electrical and plumbing accessories,* between points in Geneva County, AL, on the one hand, and on the other, those points in the U.S. in the east of ND, SD, NE, CO, and NM.

MC 167022, filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: GRANT C. BECKSTRAND, 558 Fifth St., Wells, NV 89835. Representative: Grant C. Beckstrand (same address as applicant), 702–752–3745. Transporting *general commodities*

(except classes A and B explosives and household goods and commodities in bulk) between points in UT, ID, and NV.

MC 168053, filed June 9, 1983. Applicant: J. H. WALKER TRUCKING, 1850 Hollister, Houston, TX 77055. Representative: John H. Walker III (same address as applicant), 713–932–9029. Transporting *prefabricated and precut buildings (complete, knocked down, or in sections) component parts, material, used in erection and assembly,* between Houston, TX and points in AR, AZ, LA, NM, and OK.

MC 168462, filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: CROWN SYSTEMS, INC., P.O. Box 940070, Doraville, GA 30340. Representative: Kim G. Meyer, Suite 1006 South Tower, 225 Peachtree St., N.E., Atlanta, GA 30303, 404–523–1717. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Royal Freight, Inc., of Coraville, GA.

MC 168493, filed June 6, 1983. Applicant: AMERICAN INDEPENDENT DRAYAGE SYSTEM, INC., 2401 Nance St., Houston, TX 77020. Representative: Robert A. Forman, 6606 LBJ Freeway, Suite 5135, Dallas, TX 75240, 214–934–0963. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with (a) A. International Distributions, Inc, and (b) Houston Transfer and Storage Co., Inc., both of Houston, TX.

Please direct status inquiries to Team 2, (202) 275–7030.

Volume No. OP–2–284

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Parker, Dowell, and Carleton.

MC 76992 (Sub-2), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: J.K. VREELAND MOVING & STORAGE, 521 North Ave., Plainfield, NJ 07060. Representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1435 G St., N.W., Suite 848, Washington, DC 20005, 202–628–1642. Transporting *household goods,* (1) between points in NJ, NY, PA, CT, MD, and DE, and (2) between points in NJ, NY, PA, CT, MD, and DE, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in ME, NH, CT, MA, RI, VT, NY, NJ, PA, DE, MD, VA, WV, NC, SC, GA, TN, AL, FL, MS, LA, TX, AR, MO, IL, IN, OH, KY, MI, WI, MN, IA, and DC.

MC 127122 (Sub-7), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: SIMPSONVILLE WRECKER SERVICE, INC., 4504 Bishop Lane, Lousiville, KY 40218. Representative: John M. Nader, 1600 Citizens Plaza, Louisville, KY 40202, 502–589–5400. Transporting *machinery and those commodities which, because of their size or weight, require the use of special handling or equipment,* between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with manufacturers, distributors, and dealers in machinery and commodities which, because of size or weight, require the use of special equipment.

MC 133633 (Sub-10), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: HIGHWAY EXPRESS, INC., P.O. Box 1326, Hattiesburg, MS 39401. Representative: John A. Crawford, 17th Floor Deposit Guaranty Plaza, P.O. Box 22567, Jackson, MS 39205, 601–948–5711. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 135962 (Sub-6), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: MAR-KAY CARTAGE, INC., 5275 Naiman Parkway, Solon, OH 44139. Representative: Earl N. Merwin, 85 E. Gay St., Columbus, OH 43215, 614–224–3161. Transporting *such commodities* as are dealt in or used by retail department stores, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with IGC Management, Inc., of Pittsburgh, Pa.

MC 139822 (Sub-11), filed June 7, 1983. Applicant: FOOD CARRIER, INC., 2 Grange Rd., Pt. Wentworth, GA 31407. Representative: William P. Jackson, Jr., 3426 N. Washington Blvd., P.O. Box 1240, Arlington, VA 22210, 703–525–4050. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and household goods), between those points in the U.S. in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX.

MC 141722 (Sub-3), filed May 31, 1983. Applicant: NORM'S DELIVERY SERVICE, INC., 7107 Vineland Ave., North Hollywood, CA 91605. Representative: Eldon M. Johnson, 650 California St., Suite 2808, San Francisco, CA 94108, 415–986–8696. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in AZ, CA, CO, OR, NV, NM, TX, UT, and WA.

MC 142452 (Sub-10), filed May 27, 1983. Applicant: RIMAR TRANSPORT, INC., 827 Ridgewood Ave., North Brunswick, NJ 08902. Representative: Robert B. Pepper, 168 Woodbridge Ave., Highland Park, NJ 08904, 201–572–5551. Transporting *machinery, metal products, building materials, equipment and supplies, chemicals and related products, rubber and plastic products, and clay, concrete, glass or stone products,* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

Note: Applicant seeks to convert contract carrier to common carrier authority.

Condition: Issuance of a certificate in this proceeding is subject to coincidental cancellation of Permit No. MC–142452 Subs 1, 2, 3, 4, and 7X, issued April 3, 1980, October 24, 1980, and May 12, 1981, respectively. Sub 7X superceded MC 142452 and Sub 5. This underlying authority should also be cancelled.

MC 147312 (Sub-8), filed May 31, 1983. Applicant: DALOR TRANSIT, INC., 5601 West Ryan Rd., Franklin, WI 53132. Representative: Joseph E. Ludden, 2707 South Ave., P.O. Box 1567, La Crosse, WI 54601, 608–788–2000. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 152082 (Sub-14), filed June 8, 1983. Applicant: R. C. SERVICE, INC., 830 Supreme Dr., Bensenville, IL. Representative: Thomas M. O'Brien, 180 North Michigan Ave., Suite 1700, Chicago, IL 60601, 312–263–1600. Transporting *General commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 158663 (Sub-1), filed June 1, 1983. Applicant: SHARMAX FREIGHTLINES, INC., 12645 Mid Ranch Lane, Lakeside, CA 92040. Representative: William R. Daly, 4340 Vandever, Suite S, P.O. Box 20597, San Diego, CA 92120, 619–232–7337. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NV, NM, OR, TX, UT, WA, and WY.

Volume No. OP2–285

Decided: June 22, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Parker, Fortier, and Krock.

FF–702, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: BOWSER TRANSPORTATION SYSTEMS, INC., 3901 Grove Port Rd., Columbus, OH 43207–5196. Representative: Robert J. Gallagher, 1435 G St. NW., Suite 848, Washington, DC 2005; 202–628–1642. *As a freight forwarder,* in connection with the transportation of *household goods, baggage, and used automobiles,* between points in the U.S.

MC 263 (Sub-248), filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: GARRETT FREIGHTLINES, INC., 2055 Garrett Way, P.O. Box 4048, Pocatello, ID 83201. Representative: Bruce A. Bullock, One Woodward Ave.,

26th Fl., Detroit, MI 48226; 313–496–3534. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with General Mills, Inc., of Minneapolis, MN, and its subsidiaries.

MC 107012 (Sub-830), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Highway 30 West, Fort Wayne, IN 46818. Representative: Margaret S. Vegeler (same address as applicant); 219–429–2213. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Diasonics, Inc., of Milpitas, CA.

MC 107012 (Sub-832), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: NORTH AMERICAN VAN LINES, INC., 5001 U.S. Highway 30 West, Fort Wayne, IN 46818. Representative: Margaret S. Vegeler (same address as applicant); 219–429–2213. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Amdahl Corporation, of Sunnyvale, CA.

MC 168633, 248), filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: IMP–PAC TRUCKING LTD., 11715 Tannery Rd., Surrey, BC, Canada V3V 3W4. Representative: Goerge LaBissoniere, 15 S. Grady Way, Suite 239, Renton, WA 98055; 206–228–3807. Transporting *lumber and wood products,* between ports of entry on the International Boundary Line between the U.S. and Canada, at points in WA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in WA and OR, under continuing contract(s) with: (a) Imperial Lumber Ltd., (b) NcIlveen Lumber Industries, (c) Wesco Lumber Mfg., Ltd., and (d) Whonnock Industries Ltd., all of Surrey, British Columbia, Canada, (e) Sherwood Lumber Ltd., of Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada, (f) Brady Lumber Co., of Woodinville, WA, (g) Pacific Lumber & Shipping, of Seattle, WA, and (h) Fred Tebb & Sons, Inc., of Tacoma, WA.

MC 168663, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: SUTCO, INC., Route 2, Box 811, Hot Springs, AR 71901. Representative: James M. Duckett, 221 W. 2nd, Suite 411, Little Rock, AR 72201; 501–375–3022. Transporting: (1) *Such commodities* as are dealt in or used by wholesale, retail and variety discount stores, and (2) *paper and paper products,* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 168672, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: THRIFTY SERVICE SYSTEMS, INC., 14816 Valley Blvd., Fontana, CA 92335. Representative: William J. Monheim, P.O. Box 1756, Whittier, CA 90609; 213–945–2745. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Cargo Management, Inc., of Downey, CA.

MC 168673, filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: JOHNSTON TRANSPORTATION, INC., 300 South Third, P.O. Box 69, Paducah, KY 4201. Representative: Dave Jones (same address as applicant); 502–442–1473. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

Please direct status inquiries about the following to Team 4 at (202) 275–7669.

Volume No. OP4–385

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Dowell, Fortier and Krock.

FF–706, filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: DIVERSIFIED FORWARDERS, INC., P.O. Box 1808, Lynwood, CA 90262. Representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K St., NW., Washington, DC 20006; (202) 833–8884. As a freight forwarder, in connection with the transportation of *used household goods, unaccompanied baggage, and used automobiles,* between points in the U.S.

MC 74416 (Sub-37), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: LESTER M. PRANGE, INC., P.O. Box 1, Kirkwood, PA 17536. Representative: Chester A. Zyblut, 366 Executive Bldg., 1030 Fifteenth St., NW., Washington, DC 20005; (202) 296–3555. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between those points in the U.S. in and east of MN, IA, MO, AR, and LA.

MC 168647, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: PHILLIPS TRANSPORTATION, INC., 1137 Route 22 East, Mountainside, NJ 07092. Representative: Donald B. Levine, 180 North LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601; (312) 368–0100. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Perth Enterprises, Inc., of Greensboro, NC, and ELB Grinders Corporation, of Mountainside, NJ.

MC 168667, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: PECK LEASING, INC., 3019 County St., Somerset, MA 02726. Representative: Francis E. Barrett, Jr., 22 Central St., Hingham, MA 02043; (617) 749–6500. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Hasbro Industries, of Pawtucket, RI. Condition: The person or persons who appear to be engaged in common control of applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an application under 49 U.S.C. 11343(A) or submit an affidavit to the Secretary's office indicating why such approval is unnecessary. In lieu of filing an application for approval, such person or persons may wish to file a letter-petition for exemption from Commission action. Such a petition should include the notice required by Section 11343(e)(2). See Ex Part 400 (Sub-No. 1), *Procedures for Handling Exemptions Filed by Motor Carriers of Property under 49 U.S.C. 11343,* 47 FR 42947. In order to expedite issuance of any authority, please submit a copy of the affidavit, or proof of filing the petition or application(s) concerning common control to Team 4, Room 2410.

MC 168696, filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: CEDAR LAKE TRANSPORTATION, INC., 2 E. 106th St., P.O. Box 40857, Indianapolis, IN 46240. Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., NW., Suite 500, Washington, DC 20006; (202) 828–5015. Transporting: (A) *General commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods, and commodities in bulk), between points in IA, IL, IN, KY, MI, MN, MO, OH, and WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), and (B) for or on behalf of the United States Government, *general commodities* (except used household goods, hazardous or secret materials, and sensitive weapons and munitions), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI). Condition: The person or persons who appear to be engaged in common control of applicant and another regulated carrier must either file an application under 49 U.S.C. 11343(A) or submit an affidavit indicating why such control is unnecessary to the Secretary's office. In lieu of filing an application for approval such person or persons may wish to file a letter-petition for exemption from Commission action. Such a petition should include the notice required by Section 11343(e)(2). See Ex Parte 400 (Sub-No. 1), *Procedures for Handling Exemptions Filed by Motor Carriers of Property under 49 U.S.C 11343,* 47 FR 42947. In order to expedite issuance of any authority please submit a copy of the affidavit, or proof of filing

the application(s) for common control to Team 4, Room 2410.

Note.—Because this application includes issues subject to a finding of public interest as well as fitness only, it will be published in two volumes of this **Federal Register** issue. Part (A) will be published in Vol. 385. Part (B) will be published in Vol. 386.

Volume No. 387

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Joyce, Dowell, and Carleton.

MC 108207 (Sub-569), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant; FEE TRANSPORTATION SERVICES, INC., P.O. Box 225888, Dallas, TX 75265. Representative: Mark C. Irvin (same address as applicant); (214) 428–7661. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with persons engaged in the manufacture and distribution of food and related products.

MC 123876 (Sub-12), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: PRATT TRANSPORTAITON CO., INC. P.O. Box 1501, Omaha, NE 68101. Representative: Jack L. Shultz, P.O. Box 82028, Lincoln, NE 68501–2028; (402) 475–6761. Transporting *commodities in bulk,* between points in NE, IA, KS, CO, IL, MN, OK, MO, SK, WY, IN, and TX.

MC 146176 (Sub-14), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: J & L TRANSPORT, INC., Route 1, Box 306, Almond, WI 54909. Representative: Wayne W. Wilson, 150 E Gilman Ave., Madison, WI 53703; (608) 256–7444. Transporting *salt, salt products, food and related products;* (1) Between points in Wyoming County, NY, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IN, IA, MI, and MN, and (2) between points in Manistee and St. Clair Counties, MI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IL, IN, IA, and MN.

MC 156236 (Sub-2), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: G & L TRUCKING, INC., 165 Locke Rd., Locke, NY 13092. Representative: Murray J. S. Kirshtein, 118 Bleeker St., Utica, NY 13501; (315) 797–1970. Transporting *cementuous mixes, masonry and concrete sand,* between points in NY and PA under continuing contract(s) with W. F. Saunders & Sons, Inc. of Nedrow, NY.

MC 163137 (Sub-3), filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: CABLE TRUCKING SERVICE, Hwy 69, Bypass So., P.O. Box 2204, McAlester, OK 74501. Representative: William P. Parker, 4400 N Lincoln, Suite 10, Oklahoma City, OK 73105. Transporting *coal and coal products,* between points in AR, KS, LA, MO, OK, and TX.

MC 167047, filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: BIG LAKE TRANSPORT, INC. OF VIRGINIA, 6th and Byrd Sts., Richmond, VA 23219. Representative: Paul D. Collins, 7761 Lakeforest Drive, Richmond, VA 23235, (804) 745–0446. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

MC 167626 (Sub-4), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: INTEGRATED DISTRIBUTION, INCORPORATED, One Century Dr., Parsippany, NJ 07054. Representative: Raymond L. Pucci (same address as applicant); (201) 540–7963. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with American Can Company of Greenwich, CT.

Volume No. OP4–388

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board, Members: Carleton, Dowell, and Williams.

MC 164636, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: GEORGE, MABEL, JERRY AND TOM LIEFERT, d.b.a. LIEFERT TRUCKING, P.O. Box 441, Monticello, MN 55352. Representative: Robert D. Giswold, 1600 TCF Tower, 121 S. 8th St., Minneapolis, MN 55402; (612) 333–1341. Transporting *building materials, and lumber and wood products,* between points in IA, IL, IN, KS, MI, MN, MO, MT, ND, NE, OH, SD, TX, and WI.

MC 167196, filed June 3, 1983. Applicant: ROBERT E. DAY d.b.a. CORDOVA AIRPORTER, P.O. Box 2309, Cordova, AK 99574. Representative: J. G. Dail, Jr., P.O. Box LL, McLean, VA 22101; (703) 893–3050. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives), between points in the Third Judicial Division of AK.

MC 167626 (Sub-3), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: INTEGRATED DISTRIBUTION, INCORPORATED, One Century Drive, Parsippany, NJ 07054. Representative: Raymond L. Pucci (same address as applicant), (201) 540–7963. Transporting *general commodities* (except classes A and B explosives, commodities in bulk, and household goods), between Waterbury, CT and points in New Haven County, CT, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with American Brass Division of Arco Metals Company, of Ansonia, CT.

MC 167637, filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: VENSON HAWKINS, d.b.a. HAWKINS TRANSPORT, Rt. 1, Box 16, Wartrace, TN 37183. Representative: D. R. BEELER, P.O. Box 482, Franklin, TN, 37064, (615) 790–2510. Transporting *food and related products,* between points in LA and AL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in TN, AL, KY, GA, IN, IL, OH, MS, and FL.

MC 168607, filed June 10, 1983. Applicant: JAMES M. CHAPMAN d.b.a. SOUTHEAST MOTOR LINE, 712 E. Dixie Ave., Dade City, FL 33525. Representative: Clayton R. Byrd, 2870 Briarglen Dr., Doraville, GA 30340; (404) 491–1696. Transporting: (1) *Petroleum, natural gas and their products,* and (2) *automotive parts, accessories, equipment* and *chemicals,* between points in GA, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, AR, GA, IN, KS, LA, MS, SC, TN, TX, and WV.

[FR Doc. 83–17478 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

Motor Carriers; Permanent Authority Decisions; Restrictions Removals

The following restriction removal applications, are governed by 49 CFR 1165. Part 1165 was published in the **Federal Register** of December 31, 1980, at 45 FR 86747 and redesignated at 47 FR 49590, November 1, 1982.

Persons wishing to file a comment to an application must follow the rules under 49 CFR 1165.12 A copy of any application can be obtained from any applicant upon request and payment to applicant of $10.00.

Amendments to the restriction removal applications are not allowed.

Some of the applications may have been modified prior to publication to conform to the special provisions applicable to restriction removal.

Findings

We find, preliminarily, that each applicant has demonstrated that its requested removal of restrictions or broadening of unduly narrow authority is consistent with the criteria set forth in 49 U.S.C. 10922(h).

In the absence of comments filed within 25 days of publication of this decision-notice, appropriate reformed authority will be issued to each applicant. Prior to beginning operations under the newly issued authority, compliance must be made with the normal statutory and regulatory

requirements for common and contract carriers.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

Please direct status inquiries to Team 1 (202) 275–7992.

Volume No. OP1–245

Decided: June 17, 1983.

By the Commission, Review Board Members Dowell, Joyce and Fortier. Member Fortier not participating.

Mc 143390 (Sub-5X), filed May 27, 1983. Applicant: NORTHWEST TRANSPORT, INC., 932 Yorkshire Ave., Rice Lake, WI 54868. Representative: Richard C. Alexander, 710 N. Plankinton Ave., Milwaukee, WI 53203; (414) 273–7410. Subs 1 and 2 permits: Broaden the commodity descriptions from cement (Sub 1), and lime, in bags, in mixed loads with cement (Sub 2), to "clay, concrete, glass or stone products" and broaden the territorial description in both permits to "points in the U.S. (except AK and HI)," under continuing contract(s) with named shippers.

[FR Doc. 83-17476 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

Motor Carrier Temporary Authority Applications

The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under Section 10928 of the Interstate Commerce Act and in accordance with the provisions of 49 CFR 1131.3. These rules provide that an original and two (2) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the Regional Office named in the **Federal Register** publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the **Federal Register**. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the ICC Regional Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

Note.—All applications seek authority to operate as a common carrier over irregular routes except as otherwise noted.

Motor Carriers of Property

Notice No. F–272

The following applications were filed in Region 3. Send protests to: ICC, Regional Authority Center, Room 300, 1776 Peachtree Street, N.E., Atlanta, GA 30309.

MC 143061 (Sub-3–11TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: ELECTRIC TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 528, Eden, NC 27288. Representative: Archie W. Andrews (same as applicant). *Contract* irregular: *Steel products* between Baltimore, MD on the one hand, and, on the other Brackenridge, Coatesville, and Washington, PA under continuing bilateral contract(s) with Eastern Stainless Steel Company, Division of Eastmet Corporation, Baltimore, MD. Supporting shipper: Eastern Stainless Steel Company, Division of Eastmet Corporation, P.O. Box 1975, Baltimore MD 21203.

MC 168723 (Sub-3–1TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: WAYNE LILES, SR. d.b.a. BRYAN'S SERVICES, 446 Flat Rock Cemetery Road, Stockbridge, GA 30281. Representative: J. L. Fant, P.O. Box 577, Jonesboro, GA 30237. *Contract carrier:* Irregular: *Surgical or Clinical Disposables; Diapers, Diaper Linens or Bedding Pads, cellulose paper, other than single ply paper or fabric; Cloth, Cotton or Synthetic Fibre, not woven nor knitted; Balls, absorbent, synthetic fibre, medical; Paper, NOI, not printed; tissues, NOI; Paper, wrapping, NOI; and materials, equipment and supplies used in the manufacture thereof,* between points in GA, on the one hand, and points in the states of AL, AR, FL, GA, IN, KY, LA, MS, MO, NC, OH, SC, TN and TX, on the other, under continuing contract with Medical Disposables Co., Inc., Marietta, GA. Supporting shipper: Medical Disposables Co., Inc., 1165 Hayes Industrial Dr., Marietta, Ga 30062.

The following applications were filed in Region 4: Send protests to: ICC, Complaint and Authority Branch, P.O. Box 2980, Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 6992 (Sub-4–12TA), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: AMERICAN RED BALL TRANSIT CO., INC., 1335 Sadlier Circle, East Drive, Indianapolis, IN 46239. Representative: Andrus E. Bates (same address as applicant). *Contract; Irregular: Household goods*, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Anaconda Minerals Company, a division of Atlantic Richfield Co. Supporting shipper: Anaconda Minerals Company, a division of Atlantic Richfield Company, 555 Seventeenth Street, Denver, CO 80202.

MC 15735 (Sub-4–112TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: ALLIED VAN LINES, INC., 2120 S. 25th Avenue, Broadview, IL 60153. Representative: Joseph P. Tuohy, P.O. Box 4403, Chicago, IL 60680. *Contract irregular: Household Goods*, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Baxter Travenol Labs, Inc., and its subsidiaries. Supporting shipper: Baxter Travenol Labs, Inc., One Baxter Parkway, Deerfield, IL 60015.

MC 15735 (Sub-4–113TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: ALLIED VAN LINES, INC., 2120 S. 25th Avenue, Broadview, IL 60153. Representative: Joseph P. Tuohy, P.O. Box 4403, Chicago, IL 60680. *Contract irregular: Household Goods*, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with J. A. Jones Construction Company, and its subsidiaries. Supporting shipper: J. A. Jones Construction Company, One South Executive Park, Charlotte, NC 28287.

MC 15735 (Sub-4–114TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: ALLIED VAN LINES, INC., 2120 S. 25th Avenue, Broadview, IL 60153. Representative: Joseph P. Tuohy, P.O. Box 4403, Chicago, IL 60680. *Contract irregular: Household Goods*, between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Beatrice Foods Co. and its subsidiaries of Chicago, IL. Supporting shipper: Beatrice Foods Co., 2 N. LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60602.

MC 113751 (Sub-4–18TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: HAROLD F. DUSHEK, INC., 10th and Columbia Street, Waupaca, WI 54981. Representative: James A. Spiegel, Attorney, Olde Towne Office Park, 6333 Odana Road, Madison, WI 53719. *Contract* irregular: *food and related products* between Green Bay, WI, Hagerstown, MD, Ocala, FL, Richland Center, WI, and Sikeston, MO, on the one hand, and on the other hand, points within the U.S. (except AK and HI). Restriction: Restricted to transportation performed under continuing contract with Gold Bond Ice Cream. Supporting shipper: Gold Bond Ice Cream, 808 Packerland Drive, Green Bay, WI 54303.

MC 121520 (Sub-4–3TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: ALMOND FREIGHT

LINES, INC., 2243 N. Central Ave., Rockford, IL 61103. Representative: Michael S. Varda, 121 S. Pinckney St., Madison, WI 53703. *General commodities* (except classes A & B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk) between points in Boone, Cook, De Kalb, Du Page, Kane, Kendall, Lake, McHenry, Ogle, Stephenson, Will, and Winnebago Counties, IL, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in WI, for 270 days. Supporting shippers: There are seven supporting shippers.

Note.—Applicant intends to interline with other carriers.

MC 134401 (Sub-4–4TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: McGILLION TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 644, Bolton, Ontario, Canada, LOP 1A0. Representative: ALLAN C. ZUCKERMAN, 221 North LaSalle Street, Suite 826, Chicago, IL 60601. Transporting *Clay, Concrete, Glass, or Stone Products,* between ports of entry on the international boundary line between the United States and Canada in MI, NY, and NH, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the United States (except AK and HI). Supporting shipper: Didier Refactories Corporation, 7575 Transcanada Highway, St. Laurent, Quebec, Canada, H4T 1V6.

MC 138569 (Sub-4–6TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: BRAITHWAITE TRUCKING, INC., 3819 Sunset Drive, Rapid City, SD 57701. Representative: Dennis Braithwaite (same address as above). Contract, Irregular; *Fertilizer,* from Pocatello, ID and Billings, MT to points in MT, under contract with Farmers Union Central Exchange, Inc. An underlying ETA seeks 120 days. Supporting shipper: Farmers Union Central Exchange, Inc., 2730 Fern Drive, Great Falls, MT 59405.

MC 154432 (Sub-4–6TA), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: FORTY-EIGHT TRANSPORT, INC., 16059 S. Crawford, Markham, IL 60426. Representative: Philip A. Lee, 120 W. Madison St., Chicago, IL 60602. *Eating utensils; packaging materials; filter; fork lift trucks* and parts; *industrial trucks; agricultural equipment; engines; paints, stains, varnishes; cleaning compounds; iron and steel articles; plastic film and sheeting* and articles related to their manufacture; and *insulation materials,* between the Chicago, IL Commercial Zone, on the one hand, and on the other, points and places throughout the United States, excluding HI and AK. There are six supporting shippers statements attached to this application which can be examined at the Chicago, IL office of the Interstate Commerce Commission.

MC 158651 (Sub-4–5TA), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: GRAEBEL VAN LINES, INC., 719 North Third Ave., Wausau, WI 54401. Representative: John E. Koci (address same as applicant). Contract; Irregular: *Household Goods, as defined by the Commission,* between all points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Touche Ross & Co., New York, NY. Supporting shipper: Touche Ross and Co., 1633 Broadway, New York, NY 10019.

MC 158651 (Sub-4–6TA), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: GRAEBEL VAN LINES, INC., 719 North Third Avenue, Wausau, WI 54401. Representative: John E. Koci (address same as applicant). Contract; irregular: Transporting *Household Goods,* as defined by the Commission, between all points in the U.S., under continuing contract(s) with Exec-Van Systems, Inc., Deerfield, IL. Supporting shipper: Exec-Van Systems, Inc., 102 Wilmot Rd., Suite 500, Deerfield, IL 60015.

MC 159423 (Sub-4–3), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: JOEL CARSON TRUCKING, INC., Route 3, Box 128, North Branch, MN 55056. Representative: Jim Pitzer, 15 South Grady Way, Suite 321, P.O. Box 895, Renton, WA 98057, Renton, Washington 98055. Contract, irregular: *Metal and Metal Products* between points in WI to points in KY, TN, AL, MO for 270 days. An underlying ETA seeks 120 days authority. Supporting shipper: Northern Metal Specialities, 805 Semonole Ave., Osceola, WI 54020.

MC 164255 (Sub-4–2TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: GERRY'S TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 1, 1341 Riverside Dr., Suamico, WI 54173. Representative: Michael S. Varda, 121 S. Pinckney St., Madison, WI 53703. (1) *Non-alcoholic carbonated beverages* from Minneapolis-St. Paul, MN, Commercial Zone to Rhinelander, WI; (2) *canned vegetables* from Seymour, WI, to points in the US (except AK and HI); (3) *machinery, equipment, materials and supplies* return, between points in Oconto County, WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MN, WI, MI, IA, IL, and IN; and (4) *such commodities as are dealt in by manufacturers and distributors of building materials,* between points in MN, WI, MI, IA, IL, and IN, for 270 days. Supporting shippers: Coca-Cola Bottling Co., P.O. Box 1108, Rhinelander, WI 54501; Seymour Canning Company, 530 East Wisconsin, Seymour, WI 54165; Magna-Graphics Corp., P.O. Box 147, Industrial Park, Oconto Falls, WI 54154; Edward Hines Lumber Co., 200 S. Michigan Ave., Chicago, IL 60604.

MC 166227 (Sub-4–2TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: ATLAS DISTRIBUTION SERVICES, INC., Route 2, Box 210G, Bethalto, 62010. Representative: Michael R. Solomon (same address as applicant). *General Commodities (except Classes A & B explosives, household goods, commodities in bulk)* between Bedford Park, Illinois and O'Fallon, Missouri on the one hand, and on the other, points in AR, IL, IN, IA, KS, KY, MI, MO, OH, TN, WI (For the Account of Venture Stores). Supporting shipper: Venture Stores, 615 Northwest Plaza, St. Ann, Missouri 63074.

MC 166367 (Sub-4–1), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: MYERS TRUCKING, R.R. #3, Murphysboro, IL 62966. Representative: Steven W. Myers, R.R. #3, Murphysboro, IL 62966. Coal and Coal Products, Ores and Minerals between the points in Perry County in IL (Pinckneyville, IL), and St. Genevieve, MD. Supporting shipper: Triple G. Energy, R.R #3, Benton, IL.

MC 166501 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: GERALD B. REYNOLDS d.b.a. G & T TRUCKING, 289 S. Grove St., Berlin, WI 54923. Representative: Wayne W. Wilson, 150 E. Gilman St., Madison, WI 53703. *Pulp, paper and related products* between points in Outagamie and Winnebago Counties, WI, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in MD, MA, NJ and NY. Underlying ETA seeks 120 day authority. Supporting shipper: Fox River Paper Company, Division of Fox Valley Corporation, 200 E. Washington St., Appleton, WI 54913.

MC 166678 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 13, 1983. Applicant: BAUGHER TRUCKING, 1501 E. 13th Trail, Bourbon, IN 46504. Representative: Larry D. Beeson, 207 N. Main St., Bourbon, IN 46504. *Contract carrier:* irregular routes: *General commodities* (except Classes A and B explosives and household goods), between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI), under a continuing contract with Indiana Farm Bureau Co-operative Association of Indianapolis, IN. Supporting shipper: Indiana Farm Bureau Co-operative Association, 120 E. Market St., Indianapolis, IN 46204.

MC 166731 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: VERNON CONSTRUCTION, INC., Route 3, Box 92, Ashland, WI 54806. Representative: Nancy J. Johnson, Attorney, 103 East Washington Street, Box 218, Crandon, WI 54520. (1) *Lumber* and *wood products;* and (2) *materials, equipment* and *supplies* used in the manufacture and/or distribution of the commodities named in (1) above between Ashland and Douglas Counties, WI and points in

IL, MN, MI, IA and IN; and (3) *Steel* and *fiberglass tanks* between Superior and Green Bay, WI, Minneapolis, MN and points in IL. Supporting shippers: Louisiana Pacific Corporation, Route 8, Box 8030, Hayward, WI 54843; Wentworth Lumber Company, Inc., Box O, Wentworth, WI 54894; and Petroleum Equipment Service, 1850 Velp Ave., Green Bay, WI 54303.

MC 168733 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: J. E. MYERS TRUCKING, INC., 603 East Broadway, Tolono, IL 61880. Representative: Robert T. Lawley, 300 Reisch Bldg., Springfield IL 62701. *Contract,* irregular: *Farm implements, machinery and equipment,* between Urbana, IL, Memphis, TN and Chesapeake, VA, and between Urbana, IL on the one hand, and, on the other, points in IA, IN, KS, MI, MO, NE, OH and WI. Restricted to traffic moving under continuing contract(s) with Agriquip Midwest, Inc., d.b.a. Vicon Farm Equipment Co. An underlying E/T/A seeks 120 days authority. Supporting shipper: Agriquip Midwest, Inc., d.b.a. Vicon Farm Equipment Co., R.R. #1, Box 340, Urbana, IL 61801.

MC 15735 (Sub-4–115TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: ALLIED VAN LINES, INC., 2120 S. 25th Avenue, Broadview, IL 60153. Representative: Joseph P. Tuohy, P.O. Box 4403, Chicago, IL 60680. *Contract irregular: Household Goods,* between points in the U.S. (Except AK and HI), under continuing contract(s) with Mobile Corporation, and its subsidiaries, of Fairfax, VA. Supporting shipper: Mobile Corporation, 3225 Gallows Road, Fairfax, VA. 22037.

MC 138388 (Sub-4–5TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: CAINE TRANSFER, INC., Box 376, Lowell, WI 53557. Representative: James A. Spiegel, Attorney, Olde Towne Office Park, 6333 Odana Road, Madison, WI 53719. *Food and related products* between points within IN and WI on the one hand and on the other hand points within CA, FL, GA, IA, IL, IN, KS, KY, LA, MD, MI, MN, MO, NC, NJ, NY, OH, OK, PA, SC, TN and TX. There are eleven (11) supporting shippers.

MC 150947 (Sub-4–2TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: ELDON L. ANNIS d.b.a. ANNIS TRUCKING, Route 1, Glenwood City, WI 54013. Representative: Richard A. Westley, Attorney, 4506 Regent Street, Suite 100, P.O. Box 5086, Madison, WI 53705–0086; 608–238–3119. *Cheese:* (1) From the facilities of Knapp Creamery Company, Inc. at or near Knapp, WI to points in AZ, NM and TX; (2) from the facilities of Burnett Dairy Cooperative at or near Alpha, WI to points in WA. An underlying DTA seeks 120 days authority. Supporting shippers: Knapp Creamery Company, Box 128, Knapp, WI 54797; Burnett Dairy Cooperative, Route 3, Grantsburg, WI 54840.

MC 168020 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: KURT BROWN d.b.a. TAGER ENTERPRISES, 422 N. Creyts Road, Lansing, MI 48917. Representative: William B. Elmer, P.O. Box 801, Traverse City, MI 49685–0801; (616) 941–5313. Transporting *such commodities as are dealt in by manufacturers and distributors of coin operated vending machines, record players and mechanical and electronic entertainment devices,* between points in the United States located in and east of ND, SD, NE, KS, OK and TX, restricted to traffic originating at or destined to the facilities of Rowe International, subsidiary of Triangle Industries. Supporting shipper: Rowe International, Inc., 1500 Union Ave., S.E., Grand Rapids, MI 49507.

MC 168639 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: PLYMOUTH TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 13101 Eckles Road, Plymouth, MI 48170. Representative: Abraham Singer, Esq., Shapack, Singer & McCullough, P.C., 525 N. Woodward Avenue, Suite 1000, Bloomfield Hills, MI 48013–7193. Common; Regular: *Metal products* between all points in the lower penisula of MI, restricted to traffic having prior or subsequent movement by rail. Supporting shipper: Magnacorp., Inc., 222 Merrill St., Birmingham, MI 48011.

MC 168679 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: NLC HOLDINGS, INC. and R & R PALLET, INC., a partnership d.b.a. TOWER TRANSPORT COMPANY, Box W–147, DePere, WI 54115. Representative: Nancy J. Johnson, Attorney, 103 East Washington Street; Box 218, Crandon, WI 54520. (1) *Pallets, lumber, wood products* and *building materials;* and (2) *Materials, equipment* and *supplies* used in the manufacture and/or distribution of the commodities named in (1) above between points in WI and points in IL on and north of U.S. Highway 24. Supporting shippers: Tower Pallet Company, P.O. Box W–147, Depere, WI 54115; Fox Valley Builders Supply, Inc., 1080 N. Perkins Street, Appleton, WI 54911; and Robinson Metal & Roofing, Inc., P.O. Box 3429, 2424 Don Hutson Road, Green Bay, WI 54303.

MC 168714 (Sub-4–1TA), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: HANS JEHLE FARM TRANSPORT LTD., Rural Route #1, Serlkirk, Manitoba R1A2A6. Representative: Robert M. O'Donnell, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956; (414) 722–2848. Transporting *plastic products and items used in the manufacture of plastic products* between points on the international border between the United States and Canada in the states of ND and MN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AL, CA, FL, GA, IL, KS, MD, NE, NC, OH, OR, PA, TX, VA, WA, WV, and WI for 270 days. Supporting shipper: Win Pak Ltd., 100 Saulteaux Crescent, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3J3T3.

MC 168714 (Sub-4–2TA), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: HANS JEHLE FARM TRANSPORT LTD., Rural Route #1, Serlkirk, Manitoba R1A2A6. Representative: Robert M. O'Donnell, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956; (414) 722–2848. Transporting *leather or leather products* between points on the international border between the United States and Canada in the states of MT, ND, and MN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in CO, IL, IA, TX, and WI for 270 days. Supporting shipper: Canadian Hide Exporters, Ltd., 34 Garnett Bay, Winnepeg, Manitoba R3T0K6.

The following applications were filed in Region 5. Send protest to: Consumer Assistance Center, Interstate Commerce Commission, 411 West 7th Street, Suite 500, Fort Worth, TX 76102.

MC 96878 (Sub-5–9TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: CONSOLIDATED TRANSFER AND WAREHOUSE CO., INC., 1251 Taney, North Kansas City, MO 64116. Representative: Alfred L. King (same as above). *General commodities (except Class A and B explosives, and used household goods)* between points in the U.S. (except AK and HI). Supporting shippers: 6.

MC 143754 (Sub-5–1TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: MACZUK INDUSTRIES, INC., Route 2, P.O. Box 198, New Haven, MO 63068. Representative: Stephen G. Newman, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City, MO 65102. *Metal products (except those commodities which because of their size or weight require the use of special handling or equipment)* between points in Franklin and Gasconade Counties, MO, and points in the U.S. except AK and HI. Supporting shipper: Maverick Tube Corporation, St. Louis, MO.

MC 145925 (Sub 5-6TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: TRANSCONTINENTAL LEASING, LTD., 8920 Pershall Road, Hazelwood, MO 63042. Representative: Robert M. O'Donnell, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956. *Paint products and items used in the manufacture of paint products* between the facilities of Ennis Industries, Inc. in MO, NY, and TX, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. (except AK and HI).

Supporting shipper: Ennis Industries, Inc., Saverton, MO.

MC 150065 (Sub-5–2TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: PINNER CARPETS, INC. d.b.a. PINNER TRANSPORTATION, 2911 East Highway 80, Odessa, TX 79761. Representative: William Sheridan, P.O. Drawer 5049, Irving, TX 75062. Contract: Irregular, *General Commodities (except classes A and B explosives, household goods or bulk commodities)* between AR, LA, OK, NM and TX on the one hand, and, on the other, points in the U.S. Supporting shipper: Certified Shipping, Duncanville, TX.

MC 152447 (Sub-5–3TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: OPIES' MILK HAULERS, INC., P.O. Box 89, Eldon, MO 65026. Representative: Stephen G. Newman, P.O. Box 456, Jefferson City, MO 65102. *Corn sweeteners in bulk in tank vehicles* between Memphis, TN, on the one hand, and, on the other, points in AR, IL, IN, KS, KY, MO, NE, OK and TX. Supporting shipper: Cargill, Incorporated, Memphis, TN.

MC 159408 (Sub-5–3TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: H & W DELIVERY, INC., 2009 East Abram Street, Arlington, TX 76010. Representative: Clayte Binion, 623 South Henderson, 2nd Floor, Fort Worth, TX 76104. *Medical and hospital supplies; pharmaceutical products; hydraulic pumps; motors; repair parts; sprockets and gears; conveyor systems and conveyor components and parts,* between points in the Fort Worth-Dallas commercial zone, on the one hand, and, on the other points in OK, NM, LA, AR and points of entry on the Mexico-Texas boundary line and Texas Gulf Coast ports. Supporting shippers: Martin Sprocket & Gear, Inc., Arlington, TX; Hydra Rig, Inc., Ft. Worth, TX; and Conveyors, Inc., Mansfield, TX.

MC 165469 (Sub-5–1TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: MEL'S TRUCKING, R.R. 1, Box 263, Sioux City, IA 51108. Representative: D. Douglas Titus, 340 Insurance Exchange Building, Sioux City, IA 51101. Contract irregular *beverages containers (including recycled containers) and materials and supplies,* between Remsen and Sioux City, IA, on the one hand, and Greeley, CO, Bellevue, Chicago, and Peoria, IL, Minneapolis/St. Paul and Shakopee, MN, St. Louis, MO, Omaha, NE, Fulton and Westfield, NY, Columbus, OH, Memphis, TN, Ft. Worth and Longview, TX, and LaCrosse and Milwaukee, WI, on the other. Supporting shippers: DeDe Beverage, Inc., Sioux City, IA; L & L Distributing, Sioux City, IA; R & R Recycling, Inc., Sioux City, IA; and Kenway, Inc., Sioux City, IA.

MC 168708 (Sub-5–1 TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: PRICKETT & SON, INC., Box 879, West Highway 24, Hoxie, KS 67740. Representative: Clyde N. Christey, 1010 Tyler, Suite 110-L, Topeka, KS 66612. *Anhydrous ammonia and liquid fertilizer solutions,* from the Commercial zone of Woodward, OK and the Commercial zone of Culbertson, NE to points and places in Sheridan and Gove counties, KS. Supporting shipper: Sunflower Chemical, Inc., Hoxie, KS.

The following applications were filed in Region 6. Send protests to: Interstate Commerce Commission, Region 6 Motor Carrier Board, 211 Main St., Suite 501, San Francisco, CA 94105.

MC 168746 (Sub-6–1 TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: A A TRANSPORTATION, INC, 26350 171st Pl., S.E., Kent, WA. 98031. Representative: (Same as applicant.) *General commodities* (except class A + B explosives, household goods and commodities in bulk) between points in the U.S. for 270 days. Supporting shippers: There are 7 supporting shippers. Their statements may be examined at the regional office listed.

MC 42487 (Sub-6–83 TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: CONSOLIDATED FREIGHTWAYS CORPORATION OF DELAWARE, 175 Linfield Dr., Menlo Park, CA 94025. Representative: V.R. Oldenburg, P.O. Box 3062, Portland, OR 97208 *Contract Carrier,* irregular routes: *Popped Corn, Confectionerys,* from Lincoln, NE to points in IL, IN, IA, KS, MI, MO, OH and TN, under continuing contract(s) with Ovaltine Products, Inc. of Villa Park, IL, for 270 days. Supporting shipper: Ovaltine Products, Inc., 1 Ovaltine Ct., Villa Park, IL 60181

MC 166942 (Sub-6–2 TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: LEILANI SPELL d.b.a. ELITE TRUCKING, 100 Harter Ave., Woodland, CA 95695. Representative: (same as applicant.) *General commodities* (except class A and B explosive, bulk commodities in tank vehicle) between points in CA, NV, OR and WA, for 270 days. Supporting shipper: Ames Co., Inc., P.O. Box 1387, 1485 Tanforan Ave., Woodland, CA., 95695.

MC 41098 (Sub-6–33TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: GLOBAL VAN LINES, INC., One Global Way, Anaheim, CA 92803. Representative: Alan F. Wohlstetter, 1700 K St., NW., Washington, DC 20006. *Contract carrier,* irregular route; *Household goods, furniture and fixtures* between points in the U.S. for 270 days. Supporting shipper: International Multifoods Corps., Multifoods Tower, Minneapolis, MN 55402.

MC 157289 (Sub-6–2TA), filed June 16, 1983. Applicant: HUNTERLINE TRUCKING LTD., P.O. Box 421, Salmon Arm, B.C. CN VOE 2TO. Representative: Robert G. Gleason, 1127 10th East, Seattle, WA 98102. *Scrap waste paper* between points on the U.S.-Canadian International Boundary and points in WA, for 270 days. Supporting shipper: Community Paper Recyling Ltd., 4646 Builders Road, S.E., Calgary, AL CN T2G 4G6.

MC 168214 (Sub-6–1TA), filed June 17, 1983. Applicant: MULTI-EQUIS TRANSPORTATION CORPORATION, 2425 N.W. 23rd Place, Portland, OR 97210. Representative: Thomas E. Nicely, 7667 West 95th Street, Hickory Hills, IL 60457. *General commodities* (except commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles, household goods, and Classes A and B), between points in AR, AZ, CA, CO, ID, IL, IO, KS, LA, MN, MO, MT, NE, NV, NM, ND, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA, WI, and WY, for 270 days. Supporting shipper(s): There are 5 statements in support attached to this application which may be examined at the Regional Office listed.

MC 167768 (Sub-6–1TA), filed June 14, 1983. Applicant: DONALD L. PARKINSON, d.b.a. DON PARKINSON & SONS TRUCKING, Rt. 1, Box 105A, Sugar City, ID 83448. Representative: Donald L. Parkinson (same as applicant). *Building products, salt, coal, building products:* (1) Between ID and Kennewick, WA (2) from Kennewick, WA to MT; and (3) from Salt Lake City, UT to ID for 270 days. An underlying ETA seeks 120 days authority. Supporting shippers: Madison Co-op Assn. Inc., 101 E. Main, Rexburg, ID 83440; The Pillsbury Co., P.O. Box 9277, Ogden, UT 84409.

MC 164104 (Sub-6–6TA), filed June 15, 1983. Applicant: SITTER'S TRANSPORT LIMITED, 406 1st Ave., Kinderlsy, Saskatchewan, CD SOL 1SO. Representative: James Robert Evans, 145 W. Wisconsin Ave., Neenah, WI 54956. *Salt and Salt Products* from ports of entry on the U.S.-CD border at points in MT and ND to points in AZ, CA, CO, ID, MT, NE, NV, NM, ND, OK, OR, SD, TX, UT, WA, and WY for 270 days. An underlying ETA seeks 120 days. Supporting shipper: Domtar Industries, Inc., Sifto Salt Division, Suite 419, 4825 N. Scott St., Schiller Park, IL 60176.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17537 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035-01-M

[Finance Docket No. 30188]

Carolina and Northwestern Railway Company—Abandonment Exemption—Craven and Pamlico Counties, NC, and Chester and York Counties, SC

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Interstate Commerce Commission exempts from the requirement of prior approval under 49 U.S.C. 10903 *et seq.*, the abandonment by the Carolina and Northwestern Railway Company of 14 miles in Craven and Pamlico Counties, NC and 22.793 miles in Chester and York Counties, SC, subject to standard labor protection provisions.

DATES: This exemption shall be effective on July 29, 1983. Petitions to stay this decision must be filed by July 11, 1983. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by July 19, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to Finance Docket No. 30188 to:

(1) Office of Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, and

(2) Petitioner's representative: Nancy S. Fleischman, P.O. Box 1808, Washington, D.C. 20013.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis E. Gitomer, (202) 275–7445.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2227, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289–4357 (D.C. Metropolitan area) or toll free (800) 424–5403.

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison. Vice Chairman Sterrett and Commissioner Andre would not impose a deadline on consummation of the exempted transaction.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17463 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[Finance Docket No. 30198]

Southern Pacific Transportation Company—Abandonment Exemption—Lane County, OR

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Interstate Commerce Commission exempts from the requirement of prior approval under 49 U.S.C. 10903 *et seq.*, the abandonment by the Southern Pacific Transportation Company of a 0.55 mile line segment in Lane County, OR, subject to standard labor protection provisions.

DATES: This exemption shall be effective on July 29, 1983. Petitions to stay this decision must be filed by July 11, 1983. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by July 19, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to Finance Docket No. 30188 to:

(1) Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, and

(2) Petitioner's representative: Gary A. Laakso, Southern Pacific Building, One Market Plaza, San Francisco, CA 94105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis E. Gitomer (202) 275–7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2227, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289–4357 (D.C. Metropolitan area) or toll free (800) 424–5403.

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison. Vice Chairman Sterrett and Commissioner Andre would not impose a deadline on consummation of the exempted transaction.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17464 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[Finance Docket No. 30199]

Southern Pacific Transportation Company—Discontinuance Exemption—In Yuma County, AZ

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Interstate Commerce Commission exempts the discontinuance of service by the Southern Pacific Transportation Company over the 12-mile line of the Yuma Valley Railroad between milepost 6.09 at or near Steam and milepost 18.09 at or near Somerton, in Yuma County, AZ, from the requirements of prior approval under 49 U.S.C. 10903 *et seq.* The exemption is subject to standard labor protection conditions.

DATES: This exemption shall be effective on July 29, 1983. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by July 19, 1983. Petitions for stay must be filed by July 11, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to Finance Docket No. 30199 to:

(1) Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, and

(2) Petitioners' representative: Gary A. Laakso, One Market Plaza, San Francisco, CA 94105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis E. Gitomer, (202) 275–7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2227, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, or call 289–4357 (D.C. Metropolitan area) or toll free (800) 424–5403.

Decided: June 20, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison. Vice Chairman Sterrett and Commissioner Andre would not impose a deadline on the consummation of the exempted transaction.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17461 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[Finance Docket No. 30200]

Union Pacific Railroad Company and Los Angeles & Salt Lake Railroad Company—Abandonment Exemption—Clark County, NV

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notice of exemption.

SUMMARY: The Interstate Commerce Commission exempts from the requirements of 49 U.S.C. 10903 *et seq.* the discontinuance by Union Pacific Railroad Company and the abandonment by Los Angeles & Salt Lake Railroad Company of 0.33 miles of railroad extending from milepost 22.36 to milepost 22.69 near Boulder City, NV.

DATES: This exemption will be effective on June 29, 1983. Petitions to reopen must be filed by July 19, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings referring to Finance Docket No. 30200 to:

(1) Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, and

(2) Petitioner's representative: Jose Anthofer, 1416 Dodge Street, Om NE 68179.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Louis E. Gitomer (202) 275–7245.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Additional information is contained in the Commission's decision. To purchase a copy of the full decision, write to T.S. InfoSystems, Inc., Room 2227, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, DC 20423, or call 289–4357 (D.C. Metropolitan area) or toll free (800) 424–5403.

Decided: June 17, 1983.

By the Commission, Chairman Taylor, Vice Chairman Sterrett, Commissioners Andre and Gradison. Vice Chairman Sterrett and Commissioner Andre would not impose a deadline on consummation of the exempted transaction.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17465 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[AB 31 SDM]

Grand Trunk Western Railroad Co.; Amended System Diagram Map

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the requirements contained in Title 49 of the Code of Federal Regulations, § 1121.23, that the GRAND TRUNK WESTERN RAILROAD COMPANY has filed with the Commission its amended color-coded system diagram map in docket No. AB 31 SDM. The Commission on June 22, 1983, received a certificate of publication as required by said regulation which is considered the effective date on which the system diagram map was filed.

Color-coded copies of the map have been served on the Governor of each State in which the railroad operates and the Public Service Commission or similar agency and the State designated agency. Copies of the map may also be requested from the railroad at a nominal charge. The maps also may be examined at the office of the Commission, Section of Dockets, by requesting docket No. AB 31 SDM.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17458 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

Motor Carriers; Approved Exemptions

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notices of approved exemptions.

SUMMARY: The motor carriers shown below have been granted exemptions pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11343(e), and the Commission's regulations in Ex Parte No. 400 (Sub-1), *Procedures for Handling Exemptions Filed by Motor Carriers of Property Under 49 U.S.C. 1343*, 367 I.C.C. 113 (1982), 47 FR 53303 (November 24, 1982).

DATES: The exemptions will be effective on July 29, 1983. Petitions for reconsideration must be filed by July 19, 1983. Petitions for stay must be filed by July 11, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Warren C. Wood, (202) 275–7977.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For further information, see the decision(s) served in the proceeding(s) listed below. To purchase a copy of the full decision contact: TS Infosystems, Inc., Room 2227, 12th and Constitution Ave., NW, Washington, DC 20423; or call (202) 289–4357 in the DC metropolitan area; or (800) 424–5403 Toll-free outside the DC area.

Decided: June 21, 1983.

By the Commission, Division 2. Commissioners Gradison, Taylor, and Sterrett. Commissioner Taylor is assigned to this Division for the purpose of resolving tie votes. Since there was no tie in this matter, Commissioner Taylor did not participate.

[No. MC–F–15208]

Regal Transportation, Inc.—Purchase Exemption—Blue Ridge Transportation Company

ADDRESSES: Send pleadings to:

(1) Office of the Secretary, Case Control Branch, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, and

(2) Petitioners' representative: A. Charles Tell, Suite 1800, 100 E. Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215.

Pleadings should refer to No. MC–F–15208.

Under 49 U.S.C. 11343(e), the Interstate Commerce Commission exempts from the requirement of prior review and approval under 49 U.S.C. 11343(a)(2), the purchase by Regal Transportation, Inc., (Regal) (MC–158129) (and, in turn, Andrew A. Corrado, who controls Regal) of that portion of the operating rights of Blue Ridge Transportation Company contained in Certificate No. MC–159222, Route (I)(1)(A)(d) at Sheet No. 2, which authorizes the motor common carrier transportation of *general commodities* (except articles of size or weight that makes handling by motor vehicle impractical, bank bills, coins, currency, drafts, notes, or other valuable papers, precious metals, or articles manufactured therefrom, Classes A and B explosives, liquid bulk commodities, and household goods), between Youngstown, OH and Akron, OH; from Youngstown over US Hwy 422 to Girard, OH, then over OH Hwy 169 to Warren, OH, then over OH Hwy 5 to Akron, OH, and return over the same route, serving the intermediate points in Newton Falls, Niles, Ravenna and McDonald, OH.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17470 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

[Volume No. OP1–246]

Motor Carriers; Proposed Exemptions

AGENCY: Interstate Commerce Commission.

ACTION: Notices of proposed exemptions.

SUMMARY: The motor carriers shown below seek exemptions pursuant to 49 U.S.C. 11343(e), and the Commission's regulations in Ex Parte No. 400 (Sub-1), *Procedures for Handling Exemptions Filed by Motor Carriers of Property Under 49 U.S.C. 11343*, 367 I.C.C. 113 (1982), 47 FR 53303 (November 24, 1982).

DATE: Comments must be received within 30 days after the date of publication in the **Federal Register.**

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Joyce D. Lannon, (202) 275–7992.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Please refer to the petition for exemption, which may be obtained free of charge by contacting petitioner's representative. In the alternative, the petition for exemption may be inspected at the office of the Interstate Commerce Commission during usual business hours.

Decided: June 23, 1983.

By the Commission, Heber P. Hardy, Director, Office of Proceedings.

Agatha L. Mergenovich,

Secretary.

[No. MC–F–15324]

Francis Araujo—Continuance in Control Exemption—R.M.E. Inc. and Cedar Lake Transportation, Inc.

Francis Araujo seeks an exemption from the requirement under section 11343 of prior regulatory approval for

their continuance in control of R.M.E. Inc. (No. MC 48441) and Cedar Lake Transportation, Inc. (No. MC 168696) upon institution of operations as a carrier by the latter.

Send comments to:

(1) Motor Section, Room 2139, Interstate Commerce Commission, Wahington, D.C. 20423, and

(2) Petitioner's Representative: E. Stephen Heisley, 1919 Pennsylvania Ave., N.W., Suite 500, Washington, D.C. 20006.

Comments should refer to No. MC–F–15324.

[FR Doc. 83–17474 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7035–01–M

NATIONAL FOUNDATION ON THE ARTS AND THE HUMANITIES

National Council on the Humanities Advisory Committee; Meeting

June 20, 1983.

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92–463 as amended) notice is hereby given that a meeting of the National Council on the Humanities will be held in Washington, D.C. on July 19, 1983.

The purpose of the meeting is to advise the Chairman of the National Endowment for the Humanities with respect to the first drafting of the Agency's 1985 budget to be submitted to the Office of Management and Budget.

The meeting will begin at 9:30 a.m. and will be held in the Old Post Office Building, 1100 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., 1st Floor Conference Room (M–04), Washington, D.C. The meeting will be closed to the public pursuant to subsection (9)(B) of section 552b of Title 5, United States Code, because the Council will consider information that may disclose information the disclosure of which would significantly frustrate implementation of proposed agency action. I have made this determination under the authority granted me by the Chairman's Delegation of Authority dated January 15, 1978.

Further information about this meeting can be obtained from Mr. Stephen J. McCleary, Advisory Committee Management Officer, National Endowment for the Humanities, Washington, D.C. 20506, or call area code 202–786–0322.

Stephen J. McCleary,

Advisory Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 83–17479 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7536–01–M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50–364]

Alabama Power Co.; Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards; Determination and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. NPF–3, issued to Alabama Power Company (the licensee), for operation of the Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant Unit No. 2 (the facility), located in Houston County, Alabama.

The amendment would waive turbine valve cycle tests on a one time basis for the remainder of Cycle 2 operation. Tests involve cycling sixteen turbine valves weekly to show full stroke capability. A similar waiver was granted at the end of the last operating cycle pending completion of a long term evaluation not yet completed. The proposed change is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated May 27, 1983.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) invlove a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The change is needed to preclude operational plant transients as the reactor core nears end of life. The action is identical to one which we have reviewed previously for this plant. (Our Safety Evaluation was enclosed with Amendment No. 18 to NPF–8, dated October 8, 1982.) Power reductions to 90% are needed to test. Testing on a weekly basis has been done during the current operating cycle which started in early December 1982. No valve failures have been reported for the approximate twenty-seven tests of each of sixteen valves. Plant shutdown is scheduled in September 1983 for Cycle 3 refueling. The waiver request would delete tests for the remaining approximate nine weekly tests and eliminate power reductions.

The Commission has provided guidance concerning the application of standards of no significant hazards determination by providing certain examples (48 FR 14870). One of the examples (iv) relates to granting relief upon demonstration of acceptable operation from an operating restriction. Although the proposed waiver in testing is not in itself an operating restriction, the testing creates operating restrictions. These restrictions may be lifted for the remainder of Cycle 2 operation without increasing the probability or consequences of an accident previously evaluated and without a significant reduction in existing safety margin, because prior testing during this cycle as well as during Cycle 1, have already demonstrated acceptable operation of these sixteen turbine valves.

The Commission is seeking public comments on this proposed determination. Any comments received within 30 days after the date of publication of this notice will be considered in making any final determination. The Commission will not normally make a final determination unless it receives a request for a hearing.

Comments should be addressed to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch.

By July 29, 1983, the licensee may file a request for a hearing with respect to issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding and who wishes to participate as a party in the proceeding must file a written petition for leave to intervene. Request for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's "Rules of Practice for Domestic Licensing Proceedings" in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed by the above date, the Commission or an Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel, will rule on the request and/or petition and the Secretary or the designated Atomic Safety and Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or an appropriate order.

As required by 10 CFR 2.714, a petition for leave to intervene shall set forth with particularity the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, and

how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding. The petition should specifically explain the reasons why intervention should be permitted with particular reference to the following factors: (1) The nature of the petitioner's right under the Act to be made a party to the proceeding; (2) the nature and extent of the petitioner's property, financial, or other interest in the proceeding; and (3) the possible effect of any order which may be entered in the proceeding on the petitioner's interest. The petition should also identify the specific aspect(s) of the subject matter of the proceeding as to which petitioner wishes to intervene. Any person who has filed a petition for leave to intervene or who has been admitted as a party may amend the petition without requesting leave of the Board up to fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, but such an amended petition must satisfy the specificity requirements described above.

Not later than fifteen (15) days prior to the first prehearing conference scheduled in the proceeding, a petitioner shall file a supplement to the petition to intervene which must include a list of the contentions which are sought to be litigated in the matter, and the bases for each contention set forth with reasonable specificity. Contentions shall be limited to matters within the scope of the amendment under consideration. A petitioner who fails to file such a supplement which satisfies these requirements with respect to at least one contention will not be permitted to participate as a party.

Those permitted to intervene become parties to the proceeding, subject to any limitations in the order granting leave to intervene, and have the opportunity to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing, including the opportunity to present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

If a hearing is requested, the Commission will make a final determination on the issue of no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will serve to decide when the hearing is held.

If the final determination is that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration, the Commission may issue the amendment and make it effective, notwithstanding the request for a hearing. Any hearing held would take place after issuance of the amendment.

If the final determination is that the amendment involves a significant hazards consideration, any hearing held would take place before the issuance of any amendment.

Normally, the Commission will not issue the amendment until the expiration of the 30-day notice period. However, should circumstances change during the notice period such that failure to act in a timely way would result, for example, in derating or shutdown of the facility, the Commission may issue the license amendment before the expiration of the 30-day notice period, provided that its final determination is that the amendment involves no significant hazards consideration. The final determination will consider all public and State comments received. Should the Commission take this action, it will publish a notice of issuance and provide for opportunity for a hearing after issuance. The Commission expects that the need to take this action will occur very infrequently.

A request for a hearing or a petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Branch, or may be delivered to the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., by the above date. Where petitions are filed during the last ten (10) days of the notice period, it is requested that the petitioner promptly so inform the Commission by a toll-free telephone call to Western Union at (800) 325–6000 (in Missouri (800) 342–6700). The Western Union operator should be given Datagram Identification Number 3737 and the following message addressed to Steven A. Varga, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Licensing: petitioner's name and telephone number; date petition was mailed; plant name; and publication date and page number of this Federal Register notice. A copy of the petition should also be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to George F. Trowbridge, Esquire, 1800 M Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20036, attorney for the licensee.

Nontimely filings of petitions for leave to intervene, amended petitions, supplemental petitions and/or requests for hearing will not be entertained absent a determination by the Commission, the presiding officer or the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board designated to rule on the petition and/or request, that the petitioner has made a substantial showing of good cause for the granting of a late petition and/or request. That determination will be based upon a balancing of the factors specified in 10 CFR 2.714(a)(1)(i)-(v) and 2.714(d).

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the George S. Houston Memorial Library, 212 W. Burdeshaw Street, Dothan, Alabama, 36303.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 24th day of June 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

David L. Wigginton,

Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83–17544 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

Duke Power Company; Correction

On June 13, 1983, the Federal Register published (48 FR 27170) a "Notice of Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing" related to Duke Power Company's November 23, 1982, application for amendment of Facility Operating License Nos. NPF–9 and NPF–17 for the McGuire Nuclear Station, Units 1 and 2, located in Mecklenburg County, North Carolina. The requested amendments involve a change to the method of computation of reactor coolant flow rate.

This Notice stated June 29, 1983, as the date by which the licensee may request a hearing. That date should be July 13, 1983.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22nd day of June 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,

Chief, Licensing Branch No. 4, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83–17545 Filed 6–28–83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590–01–M

[Docket No. 50–289 (Management Issues)]

Metropolitan Edison Co., et al. (Three Mile Island Nuclear Station, Unit No. 1); Notice of Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Appeal Board's order of June 16, 1983, oral argument with respect to the motions, respectively, of Marjorie M. Aamodt, Norman and Marjorie Aamodt and Three Mile Island Alert to reopen the record in the management phase of this proceeding, will be held at *9:30 a.m. on Wednesday, July 20, 1983,* in the NRC Public Hearing Room, Fifth Floor, East-West Towers Building, 4350 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

For the Appeal Board.

C. Jean Shoemaker,

Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17546 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. 50-443 OL and 50-444 OL]

Public Service Company of New Hampshire, et al. (Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2); Oral Argument

Notice is hereby given that, in accordance with the Appeal Board's memorandum and order of June 20, 1983, oral argument on the New England Coalition on Nuclear Pollution's petition for directed certification under 10 CFR 2.718(i) of so much of the Licensing Board's May 11, 1983 memorandum and order as granted summary disposition against it on its Contention II.B.4 will be held at *10:00 a.m. on Wednesday, July 13, 1983* in the NRC Public Hearing Room, Fifth Floor, East-West Towers Building, 4350 East-West Highway, Bethesda, Maryland.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

For the Appeal Board.

C. Jean Shoemaker,

Secretary to the Appeal Board.

[FR Doc. 83-17547 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket Nos. STN 50-556 and STN 50-557]

Public Service Company of Oklahoma, et al. (Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2); Withdrawal of Application for Construction Permits and Revocation of Limited Work Authorization

By Motion, dated April 6, 1982, Public Service Company of Oklahoma, *et al.*, (Applicants) requested termination of the proceedings related to the application for construction permits for Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2, because Public Service Company of Oklahoma, *et al.*, had cancelled plans to construct those units. The proposed facilities were to be located in the Township of Inola, Oklahoma, 23 miles east of Tulsa on the east side of the Verdigris River in Rogers County. A "Notice of Hearing on Application for Construction Permits" was published in the **Federal Register** on January 23, 1976, (41 FR 3517).

On March 7, 1983, the licensing Board issued an order in which it granted Applicants' request: (1) To withdraw their application for construction permits for Units 1 and 2 of the Black Fox Station; and (2) to terminate the licensing proceeding subject to the following conditions imposed pursuant to the Hearing Board's authority under 10 CFR 2.107.

(1) Subject to the NRC Staff's monitoring and approval, applicants shall implement their Black Fox Station Soil Stabilization and Erosion Control Plan, as approved by the Staff on September 24, 1982, by no later than October 1, 1983, and

(2) Subject to the NRC Staff's monitoring and approval, applicants shall dismantle those site improvements, not to be utilized at Inola Station, in such a manner as not to cause any onsite or offsite detrimental environmental impacts.

The Licensing Board also vacated the partial initial decision which had paved the way for issuance of a Limited Work Authorization (LWA) on July 26, 1978, and authorized the Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation to revoke the LWA.

On April 7, 1983, the applicants filed a motion to dismiss the remaining environmental issue pending before the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board (ALAB-573), 10 NRC 775 (1979)). By Memorandum and Order (ALAB-723), April 14, 1983, the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel granted the applicants' motion and terminated the appellate jurisdiction retained in ALAB-573 on the last environmental issue, the random issue.

By letter dated June 22, 1983, to the Public Service Company of Oklahoma, the LWA issued to the Applicant was revoked.

In accordance with the Applicants' request and the Licensing Board's Order and pursuant to 10 CFR 2.107(c), notice is hereby given that the application for construction permits for Black Fox Station, Units 1 and 2 has been withdrawn, that the proceeding in this matter has been terminated and that the LWA issued to the Public Service Company of Oklahoma, *et al.*, has been revoked.

Correspondence concerning this application will continue to be maintained at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and for six months at the Tulsa City—County Library, 400 Civic Center, Tulsa, Oklahoma 74102.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22nd day of June 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,

Chief, Licensing Branch No. 4, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83-17548 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

[Docket No. 50-328]

Tennessee Valley Authority; Consideration of Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License and Proposed No Significant Hazards Consideration Determination and Opportunity for Hearing

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-79, issued to Tennessee Valley Authority (the licensee), for operation of the Sequoyah Nuclear Plant, Unit 2, located in Hamilton County, Tennessee.

The amendment would authorize a temporary change in the surveillance requirements for rod drop tests and calibration of full length control rod position (rod bottom) limit switches. The licensee is required to demonstrate periodically (every 18-22 months) that the control rods will drop from the withdrawn position to the fully inserted position within a certain specified time. Also, the full length control rod position limit switches are calibrated in the remote shutdown control room during the same period of time. Control rods are verified to be operable every 31 days in accordance with other provisions of the Technical Specifications. Tehcnical requirements associated with the restart of Unit 2 at this stage of the core life cycle, such as Xenon buildup, would make it impractical to return to power operations after July 16, 1983. The proposed amendment would increase the surveillance period by 20 days. The maximum surveillance interval permitted by the Technical Specifications is 688 days. This request was made to permit the licensee to carry out the control rod tests and calibration of the limit switches to coincide with the scheduled refueling for Unit 2 which will occur no later than August 5, 1983. The revisions to the technical specifications would be made in response to the licensee's application for amendment dated June 21, 1983.

Before issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act) and the Commission's regulations.

The Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration. Under the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR 50.92, this means that operation of the facility in accordance with the proposed amendment would not: (1) Involve a significant increase in the probability or consequences of an accident previously

evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of a new or different kind of accident from any accident previously evaluated; or (3) involve a significant reduction in a margin of safety.

The Commission has provided guidance for the application of these criteria by providing examples of amendments that are considered not likely to involve significant hazards consideration (48 FR 14870). One of the examples of actions likely to involve no significant hazards considerations relates to a change which either may result in some increase to the probability or consequences of a previously-analyzed accident or may reduce in some way a safety margin, but where the results of the change are clearly within all acceptable criteria with respect to the system or component specified in the Standard Review Plan.

A review of the licensee's submittal dated June 21, 1983, in accordance with the standard of 10 CFR 50.92 provides sufficient information to conclude that the proposed amendment for increasing the surveillance interval by less than 3 percent falls within the category of the cited example and does not involve a significant hazards consideration, because it involves no significant increase in the probability or consequences of a previously analyzed accident, does not significantly reduce a safety margin, and the results of the change are clearly within all acceptable criteria with respect to systems or components specified in the Standard Review plan.

Based on the testing that Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) is continuing to perform and the experience to date, TVA believes that the rods will drop within the required time limits and that the rod bottom lights will work if needed. Also, because the increase in the surveillance interval is less than 3 percent of the total time interval, TVA does not believe there will be an increase in the rod drop time or that the increase in surveillance interval will increase the probabilty of the rod bottom switches to not operate properly. Based on the fact that the control rods are tested every 31 days in accordance with the surveillance requirements and that rod drop times have not been a problem at other plants with Westinghouse equipment, TVA believes that the equipment will respond as designed. The increase in the surveillance interval does not reduce the margin of safety and the testing and experience to date lead TVA to believe that the equipment will operate as designed.

Therefore, based on these considerations and the three criteria given above, the Commission has made a proposed determination that the amendment request involves no significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that failure to act in a timely way would result in an early shutdown of the facility. Therefore, the Commission has insufficient time to issue its usual 30-day notice of the proposed action for public comment.

If the proposed determination becomes final, an oppportunity for a hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** at a later date and any hearing request will not delay the effective date of the amendment.

If the Commission decides in its final determination that the amendment does involve a significant hazards consideration, a notice of opportunity for a prior hearing will be published in the **Federal Register** and, if a hearing is granted, it will be held before any amendment is issued.

The Commision is seeking public comments on this proposed determination of no significant hazards consideration. Comments on the proposed determination may be telephoned to Elinor G. Adensam, Chief of Licensing Branch No. 4, by collect call to (301) 492–7831 or submitted in writing to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attn: Docketing and Service Branch. All comments received by July 14, 1983 will be considered in reaching a final determination. A copy of the application may be examined at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Chattanooga-Hamilton County Bicentennial Library, 1001 Broad Street, Chattanooga, Tennesee 37402.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of June 1983.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

Elinor G. Adensam,

Chief, Licensing Branch No. 4, Division of Licensing.

[FR Doc. 83-17549 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-M

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 13350; 812-5535]

Dean Witter Variable Annuity Investment Series; Filing of Application

June 23, 1983.

Notice is hereby given that Dean Witter Variable Annuity Investment Series, One World Trade Center, New York, NY 10048 ("Applicant"), a Massachusetts business trust registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 ("Act") as an open-end, diversified, management investment company, filed an application on April 27, 1983, for an order of the Commission, pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act, exempting Applicant from the provisions of Section 2(a)(41) of the Act and Rules 2a–4 and 22c–1 thereunder to the extent necessary to permit the net asset value per share of Applicant's Money Market Portfolio to be valued at amortized cost. All interested persons are referred to the application on file with the Commission for a statement of the representations contained therein, which are summarized below, and to the Act and rules thereunder for the text of Section 2(a)(41) and Rules 2a–4 and 22c–1.

Applicant states that it is comprised of three separate portfolios: the Money Market Portfolio, the High Yield Portfolio, and the Equity Portfolio. The Investment Manager is Dean Witter Reynolds Inc., a Delaware corporation which, through its InterCapital Division, is wholly-owned by Dean Witter Financial Services Inc., which in turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Sears, Roebuck and Co.

Applicant asserts that its Money Market Portfolio is a "money market fund" whose shares will be offered to Northbrook Life Insurance Company ("Northbrook") for allocation to Northbrook Variable Account as the underlying investment for variable annuity contracts issued by Northbrook. Shares of the Money Market Portfolio will be offered to Northbrook, the sole shareholder of Applicant, on a no-load basis at a constant price of $1.00 per share. Applicant states that the Money Market Portfolio's investment objectives are high income, preservation of capital and liquidity. Applicant states that the Money Market Portfolio will invest in a variety of short-term money market instruments.

Section 6(c) of the Act provides, in pertinent part, that the Commission, by order upon application, may conditionally or unconditionally exempt any person, security or transaction, or any class or classes of persons, securities or transactions, from any provision or provisions of the Act or of any rule or regulation thereunder, if and to the extent that such exemption is necessary or appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purposes fairly intended by the policy and provisions of the Act. Applicant seeks an order of the Commission pursuant to Section 6(c) of the Act exempting it from

the provisions of Section 2(a)(41) of the Act and Rules 2a–4 and 22c–1 thereunder to the extent necesary to permit the assets of Applicant's Money Market Portfolio to be valued according to the amortized cost valuation method.

In support of the requested relief, Applicant states that it has been its manager's experience that in order to attract and retain investors, Applicant's Money Market Portfolio should have a stable net asset value and a steady flow of investment income. Applicant states that its Trustees have determined in good faith that in light of the characteristics of the Applicant's Money Market Portfolio as described in the application and absent unusual or extraordinary circumstances, the amortized cost method of valuing portfolio securities is appropriate and preferable for Applicant's Money Market Portfolio and reflects the fair value of such securities of the Money Market Portfolio.

Applicant has agreed that the following conditions may be imposed in any order of the Commission granting the exemptive relief requested:

1. In supervising the operations of Applicants and delegating special responsibilities involving portfolio management of the Money Market Portfolio to Applicant's investment manager, Applicant's Board of Trustees undertakes—as a particular responsibility within its overall duty of care owned to Applicant's investors—to establish procedures reasonably designed, taking into account current market conditions and the investment objectives of the Money Market Portfolio, to stabilize the net asset value per share of the Money Market Portfolio, as computed for the purposes of distribution, redemption and repurchase, at $1.00 per share.

2. Included within the procedures to be adopted by the Board of Trustees shall be the following:

(a) Review by the Board of Trustees, as it deems appropriate and at such intervals as are reasonable in light of current market conditions, to determine the extent of deviation, if any, of the net asset value per share of the Money Market Portfolio as determined by using available market quotations from the Money Market Portfolio's $1.00 amortized cost price per share, and maintenance of records of such review.[1]

(b) In the event such deviation from the Money Market Portfolio's $1.00 amortized cost price per share exceeds ½ of 1 percent, a requirement that the Board of Trustees will promptly consider what action, if any, should be initiated.

(c) Where the Board of Trustees believes that the extent of deviation from the Money Market Portfolio's $1.00 amortized cost price per share may result in material dilution or other unfair results to investors or persons who have allocated their investment under variable annuity contracts to Applicant's Money Market Portfolio, it shall take such action as it deems appropriate to eliminate or to reduce to the extent reasonably practicable such dilution or unfair result, which action may include: selling portfolio instruments prior to maturity to realize capital gains or losses, or to shorten Applicant's average portfolio maturity; withholding dividends; utilizing a net asset value per share as determined by using available market quotations; or, based upon Applicant's structure as a Massachusetts business trust, reducing the number of outstanding shares of the Money Market Portfolio.

3. Applicant will maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity of the Money Market Portfolio appropriate to its objective of maintaining a stable net asset value per share; provided, however, that Applicant will not (a) purchase any instrument for the Money Market Portfolio with a remaining maturity of greater than one year, or (b) maintain a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity of the Money Market Portfolio which exceeds 120 days.[2]

4. Applicant will record, maintain and preserve permanently in an easily accessible place a written copy of the procedures (and any modifications thereto) described in condition 1 above, and Applicant will record, maintain and preserve for a period of not less than six years (the first two years in an easily accessible place) a written record of the Board of Trustees' considerations and actions taken in connection with the discharge of its responsibilities, as set forth above, to be included in the minutes of the Board of Trustees' meetings. The documents preserved pursuant to this condition shall be subject to inspection by the Commission in accordance with Section 31(b) of the Act as though such documents were records required to be maintained pursuant to rules adopted under Section 31(á) of the Act.

5. Applicant will limit the portfolio investments of the Money Market Portfolio, including repurchase agreements, to those United States dollar-denominated instruments which the Board of Trustees determines present minimal credit risks, and which are of high quality as determined by any major rating service, or, in the case of any instrument that is not rated, of comparable quality as determined by the Board of Trustees.

6. Applicant will include in each quarterly report, as an attachment to Form N–1Q, a statement as to whether any action pursuant to condition 2(c) was taken during the preceding fiscal quarter, and, if any action was taken, will describe the nature and circumstances of such action.

Notice is further given that any interested person wishing to request a hearing on the application may, not later than July 18, 1983, at 5:30 p.m., do so by submitting a written request setting forth the nature of his interest, the reasons for his request, and the specific issues, if any, of fact or law that are disputed, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. A copy of the request should be served personally or by mail upon Applicant at the address stated above. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) shall be filed with the request. Persons who request a hearing will receive any notices and orders issued in this matter. After said date, an order disposing of the application will be issued unless the Commission orders a hearing upon request or upon its own motion.

For the Commission, by the division of Investment Management, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83–17443 Filed 6–8–83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 8010–01–M

[1] To fulfill this condition, Applicant states that it intends to use actual quotations or estimates of market value reflecting current market conditions chosen by its Board of Trustees in the exercise of its discretion to be appropriate indicators of value, which may include, among others, (i) quotations or estimates of market value for individual portfolio instruments, or (ii) values obtained from yield data relating to classes of money market instruments published by reputable sources.

[2] In fulfilling this condition, if the disposition of a portfolio instrument results in a dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity of the Money Market Portfolio in excess of 120 days, Applicant will invest the available cash of the Money Market Portfolio in such a manner as to reduce the dollar-weighted average portfolio maturity to 120 days or less as soon as reasonably practicable.

[Release No. 22984; 70–6881]

Metropolitan Edison Co.; Proposed Lease of Electric Utility Assets to Nonassociate Company

June 23, 1983.

Metropolitan Edison Company ("Met-Ed"), 2800 Pottsville Pike, Muhlenberg Township, Berks County, Pennsylvania 19605, an electric utility subsidiary of

General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to Section 12(d) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act") and Rule 44 promulgated thereunder.

The application states that Met-Ed, at present, provides electric service to the Borough of Kutztown, Pennsylvania ("Kutztown"). Kutztown has notified Met-Ed that it is electing to have Pennsylvania Power & Light Company ("PP&L"), a nonassociate company, provide electric service to Kutztown commencing September 11, 1983, pursuant to a Power Supply Agreement between Kutztown and PP&L. Since there are no points of direct interconnection between PP&L and Kutztown, Met-Ed has agreed (subject to the receipt of all necessary regulatory approvals) pursuant to a Transmission Service Agreement to transmit PP&L supplied power and energy over its system and to deliver such power and energy to Kutztown. In connection with such transmission service, Met-Ed has agreed (subject to the receipt of all necessary regulatory approvals) pursuant to a Transmission and Lease Agreement to lease to PP&L certain equipment comprising the metering station currently in place which serves Kutztown (the "Metering Station"). The lease of the Metering Station is to commence on September 11, 1983, and will continue until cancelled by 12-months written notice by either leasing party to the other. Monthly rentals under the lease will be calculated in accordance with the formula set forth in the Transmission and Lease Agreement which is designed to recognize Met-Ed's depreciated investment in the Metering Station, as well as operation and maintenance costs, return, and income and other taxes associated with the Metering Station.

The declaration and any amendments thereto are available for public inspection through the Commission's Office of Public Reference. Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing should submit their views in writing by July 20, 1983, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, and serve a copy on the declarant at the address specified above. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for a hearing shall identify specifically the issues of fact or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in this matter. After said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

George A. Fitzsimmons,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17444 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

[Release No. 22983; 70-6823]

New England Energy Inc.; Amendment to Oil and Gas Partnership Agreement

June 21, 1983.

New England Energy Incorporated ("NEEI"), a non-utility subsidiary of New England Electric System ("NEES"), 25 Research Drive, Westborough, Massachusetts 01581, a registered holding company, has filed a post-effective amendment to the application filed in this proceeding pursuant to Sections 9(a) and 10 of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act").

NEEI has participated in most of its oil and gas exploration and development through a partnership ("Partnership") with Samedan Oil Corporation ("Samedan"), a non-affiliate. By order dated December 17, 1982 (HCAR No. 22704), the Commission authorized NEEI to invest in the Partnership during 1983 a maximum of $125 million for exploration and development.

Under the terms of the NEEI-Samedan Partnership Agreement, as amended ("Partnership Agreement"), Samedan places into the Partnership 100% of any and all interests which it or any of its affiliates may acquire in new oil and gas leases located in, or offshore of, the continental United States (including Alaska). Each partner owns a 50% interest in the Partnership property. The Partnership Agreement provides for capital contributions by the partners to be used to pay the costs and expenses of the Partnership. NEEI pays a larger share of the costs of exploration to compensate Samedan for its accumulated geological and geophysical work in evaluating prospects, as well as for management and expertise in running the Partnership as managing partner. The partners share equally the development and production costs for successful prospects.

NEEI pays a disproportionate share of certain exploration costs (including certain geophysical, lease acquisition and drilling costs) incurred by the Partnership. Samedan and NEEI propose to amend the Partnership Agreement to substantially reduce NEEI's disproportionate share of lease acquistion and geophysical costs related to prospects placed in the Partnership on or after January 1, 1983. NEEI expects this amendment to materially improve the overall economics of NEEI's oil and gas program.

The application, as amended by said post-effective amendment and any further amendments thereto, are available for public inspection through the Commission's Office of Public Reference. Interested persons wishing to comment or request a hearing should submit their views in writing by July 15, 1983, to the Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549, and serve a copy on the applicant at the address specified above. Proof of service (by affidavit or, in the case of an attorney at law, by certificate) should be filed with the request. Any request for a hearing shall identify specifically the issues of fact or law that are disputed. A person who so requests will be notified of any hearing, if ordered, and will receive a copy of any notice or order issued in this matter. After said date, the application, as amended, or as it may be further amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective.

For the Commission, by the Division of Corporate Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

Shirley E. Hollis,

Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17443 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8010-01-M

SMALL BUSINESS ADMINISTRATION

Interest Rates

The interest rate on section 7(a) Small Business Administration direct business loans (as amended by Pub. L. 97-35) and the SBA share of immediate participation loans is eleven and one-fourth (11¼) percent for the fiscal quarter beginning July 1, 1983.

On a quarterly basis, the Small Business Administration also publishes an interest rate called the optional "peg" rate (13 CFR 120.3(b)(2)(iii)). This rate is a weighted average cost of money to the government for maturities similar to the average SBA loan. This rate may be used as a base rate for guaranteed fluctuating interest rate SBA loans. For the July–September quarter of 1983, this rate will be ten and three-eighths (10⅜) percent.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Edwin T. Holloway,

Associate Administrator for Financial Assistance.

[FR Doc. 83-17558 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Small Business Investment Co.; Maximum Annual Cost of Money to Small Business Concerns

13 CFR 107.301(c) sets forth the SBA Regulations governing the maximum annual cost of money to small business concerns for Financing by small business investment companies.

Section 107.301(c)(3) requires that SBA publish from time to time in the **Federal Register** the current Federal Financing Bank (FFB) rate for use in computing the maximum annual cost of money pursuant to § 107.301(c)(1). It is anticipated that a rate notice will be published each month.

13 CFR 107.301(c)does not supersede or preempt any applicable law that imposes an interest ceiling lower than the ceiling imposed by that regulation. Attention is directed to new subsection 308(i) of the Small Business Investment Act, added by section 524 of Pub. L. 96-221, March 31, 1980 (94 Stat. 161), to that law's Federal override of State Usury ceilings, and to its forfeiture and penalty provisions.

Effective July 1, 1983, and until further notice, the FFB rate to be used for purposes of computing the maximum cost of money pursuant to 13 CFR 107.301(c) is 10.925% per annum.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Edwin T. Holloway,

Associate Administrator for Finance and Investment.

[FR Doc. 83-17559 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirement Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Small Business Administration.

ACTION: Notice of reporting requirement submitted for OMB review.

SUMMARY: Under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), agencies are required to submit proposed reporting and recordkeeping requirements to OMB for review and approval, and to publish a notice in the **Federal Register** notifying the public that the agency has made such a submision.

DATE: Comments must be received on or before July 30, 1983. If you anticipate commenting on a submission but find that time to prepare will prevent you from submitting comments promptly, you should advise the OMB reviewer and the agency clearance officer of your intent as early as possible.

Copies: Copies of the proposed forms, the request for clearance (S.F. 83), supporting statement, instructions, transmittal letters, and other documents submitted to OMB for review may be obtained from the Agency Clearance Officer. Comments on the item listed should be submitted to the Agency Clearance Officer and the OMB Reviewer.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Agency Clearance Officer: Elizabeth M. Zaic, Small Business Administration, 1441 L St., NW., Room 200, Washington, D.C. 20416, Telephone (202) 653-8538, and

OMB Reviewer: J. Timothy Sprehe, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3235, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503, Telephone (202) 395-4814.

FORMS SUBMITTED FOR REVIEW:

Title: Survey to Assess the Effects of Decision Criteria on Small Business Investment.

Form No.:

Frequency: One-Time.

Description of Respondents: Investment managers of primary institutions that invest long-term debt and equity in small business.

Annual responses: 1.

Annual Burden Hours: 400.

Type of Request: New (Resubmission of withdrawn request).

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Elizabeth M. Zaic,

Chief, Paperwork Management Branch, Small Business Administration.

[FR Doc. 83-17560 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8025-01-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted To OMB for Review

On June 24, 1983 the Department of Treasury submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB (listed by submitting bureaus), for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511. Copies of these submissions may be obtained from the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, by calling (202) 634-2179. Comments regarding these information collections should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed at the end of each bureau's listing and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Room 309, 1625 "I" Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20220.

Internal Revenue Service

OMB Number: N/A (new submission)
Form Number: 1801 SC
Title: Verification Request (of Health and Human Services)
OMB Number: 1545-0062
Form Number: 3903 & 3903F
Title: Moving Expense Adjustment and Foreign Moving Expense Adjustment
OMB Number: 1545-0137
Form Number: 2032
Title: Contract Coverage Under Title II of the Social Security Act
OMB Reviewer: Norman Frumkin (202) 395-6880, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Floyd I. Sandlin,

Chief, Information Resources Management Division.

[FR Doc. 83-17561 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

Public Information Collection Requirements Submitted To OMB for Review.

On June 24, 1983 the Department of Treasury submitted the following public information collection requirement(s) to OMB (listed by submitting bureaus), for review and clearance under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, Pub. L. 96-511. Copies of these submissions may be obtained from the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, by calling (202) 634-2179. Comments regarding these information collections should be addressed to the OMB reviewer listed at the end of each bureau's listing and to the Treasury Department Clearance Officer, Room 309, 1625 "I" Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20220.

Office of the Secretary

OMB Number: N/A (new submission)
Form Number: NONE
Title: Survey for Credit/Debt Management Project
OMB Reviewer: Judy McIntosh (202) 395-6880, Office of Management and Budget, Room 3208, New Executive Office Building, Washington, D.C. 20503

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Floyd I. Sandlin,

Chief, Information Resources Management Division.

[FR Doc. 83-17569 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-25-M

Fiscal Service

Renegotiation Board Interest Rate and Prompt Payment Interest Rate

The Renegotiation Board previously published the rate of interest determined by the Secretary of the Treasury pursuant to section 105(b)(2) of the Renegotiation Act of 1951, as amended. Since the Renegotiation Board is no longer in existence, the Department of the Treasury is publishing the current rate of interest. Also, pursuant to section 2(b)(1) of Pub. L. 97-177, dated May 21, 1982, the Secretary of the Treasury is responsible for computing and publishing the interest rate to be used in cases under the Prompt Payment Act.

Therefore, notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the above mentioned sections, the Secretary of the Treasury has determined that the rate of interest applicable for the purpose of said sections, for the period beginning July 1, 1983 and ending on December 31, 1983, is 11½ per centum per annum.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

Carole J. Dineen,

Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc. 83-17533 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-35-M

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION

Advisory Committee on Health-Related Effects of Herbicides; Meeting

The Veterans Administration gives notice under the provisions of Pub. L. 92-463 that a meeting of the Advisory Committee on Health-Related Effects of Herbicides will be held in Room 119 of the Veterans Administration Central Office , 810 Vermont Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C., on September 1, 1983, at 8:30 a.m. The purpose of the meeting will be to assemble and analyze information concerning toxicological issues for which the Veterans Administration needs to formulate appropriate medical policy and procedures. This is done in the interest of veterans who may have encountered herbicidal chemicals used during the Vietnam Conflict.

The meeting will be open to the public up to the seating capacity of the room. Members of the public may direct questions, in writing only, to the Chairman, Barclay M. Shepard, M.D., and submit prepared statements for review by the Committee. Such members of the public may be asked to clarify submitted material prior to consideration by the Committee. Transcripts of the proceedings and rosters of the Committee members may be obtained from Mr. Donald Rosenblum, Agent Orange Projects Office (10A7), Room 848, Department of Medicine and Surgery, Veterans Administration Central Office, Washington, D.C. 20420 (Telephone: (202) 389-5411).

Dated: June 21, 1983.

By direction of the Administrator.

Rosa Maria Fontanez,

Committee Management Officer.

[FR Doc. 83-17442 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 8320-01-M

Sunshine Act Meetings

Federal Register
Vol. 48, No. 126
Wednesday, June 29, 1983

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices of meetings published under the "Government in the Sunshine Act" (Pub. L. 94–409) 5 U.S.C. 552b(e)(3).

CONTENTS

1

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[M–383 Amdt. 3, June 23, 1983]

Short Notice and Closure of Addition to the June 23, 1983 Meeting

TIME AND DATE: 9:30 a.m., June 23, 1983.

PLACE: Room 1027 (open), Room 1012 (closed), 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20428.

SUBJECT:

24. Discussion on United Kingdom. (BIA)

STATUS: Closed.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR MORE INFORMATION: Phyllis T. Kaylor, the Secretary, (202) 673–5068.

[S–936–83 Filed 6–27–83; 3:39 pm]

BILLING CODE 6320–01–M

2

FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION

Federal Register No. 922

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED DATE AND TIME: Thursday, June 30, 1983, 9:30 a.m.

CHANGE IN MEETING: Pursuant to 11 CFR 3.5(d)(1), the Commission determined that Commission business so required, and that no earlier announcement of this change was possible, and accordingly added the following matter to the agenda for the open meeting for this date:

Effect of the Supreme Court Decision in Immigration and Naturalization Service v. Chadha, et al.

PERSON TO CONTACT FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Fred Eiland, Information Officer, telephone 202–523–4065.

Marjorie W. Emmons,

Secretary of the Commission.

[S–937–83 Filed 6–27–83; 3:38 pm]

BILLING CODE 6715–01–M

3

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

"FEDERAL REGISTER" CITATION OF PREVIOUS ANNOUNCEMENT: 48 FR 29092, June 24, 1983.

PREVIOUSLY ANNOUNCED TIME AND DATE OF THE MEETING: 9 a.m., June 29, 1983.

CHANGE IN THE MEETING: The date of the meeting is changed from June 29, 1983 to June 28, 1983, at 9 a.m.

[S–935–83 Filed 6–27–83; 10:43 am]

BILLING CODE 6730–01–M

4

BOARD OF GOVERNORS OF THE FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM

TIME AND DATE: 10 a.m., Tuesday, July 5, 1983.

PLACE: 20th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C. 20551.

STATUS: Closed.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Personnel actions (appointments, promotions, assignments, reassignments, and salary actions) involving individual Federal Reserve System employees.
2. Any items carried forward from a previously announced meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Joseph R. Coyne, Assistant to the Board (202) 453–3204.

Dated: June 24, 1983.

James McAfee,

Associate Secretary of the Board.

[S–933–83 Filed 6–24–83; 4:11 pm]

BILLING CODE 6210–01–M

5

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

GOVERNMENT IN THE SUNSHINE: EMERGENCY AMENDMENT OF NOTICE

In its notice for the hearing of Tuesday, June 21, 1983, the Commission indicated that the hearing conducted in Investigation No. 731–TA–102 (Final) would be held in open session. However, by action jacket GC–83–70, a majority of the entire membership of the Commission voted to close portions of this hearing to the public. Commissioners Eckes, Stern, and Haggart voted, pursuant to 19 CFR 201.37(b) that Commission business requires the change in the determination of the Commission to close a portion of the meeting and that no earlier announcement of the change was possible.

Pursuant to the specific exemptions of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) and in conformity with 19 CFR 201.36(b)(4), Commissioners Eckes, Stern, and Haggart voted to hold portions of the hearing in closed session.

Notice is hereby given that, pursuant to the Commission Rules of Practice and Procedure, 19 CFR 201.13(b), portions of the Commission hearing of June 21, 1983, may be closed to the public in order to prevent the disclosure of certain commercial or financial information. Although Section 207.23 provides that hearings in title VII investigations refer solely to nonconfidential summaries of confidential business information, the extraordinary nature of the amount and type of confidential information involved in this investigation makes this unusual step necessary.

The Commissioners, their assistants, the Secretary of the Commission, recording secretaries and certain witnesses will attend the closed portions of the meeting. Certain staff members who are responsible for the investigation will also be present.

The General Counsel to the Commission certified that it is his opinion that the Commission's action in closing this portion of its discussion was properly taken by a vote of a majority of the entire membership of the Commission pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552b(d)(1) and in conformity with 19 CFR 201.36(d). The discussion to be held in closed session is within the specific exemption of 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(4) and 19 CFR 201.36(b)(4).

By order of the Commission.

Issued: June 20, 1983.

Kenneth R. Mason,

Secretary.

[S–934–83 Filed 6–24–83; 3:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 7020–02–M

6

NATIONAL MEDIATION BOARD

TIME AND DATE: 11:30 a.m., Tuesday, July 5, 1983.

PLACE: Board Hearing Room, eighth floor, 1425 K Street NW., Washington, D.C.

STATUS: Open.

MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED:

1. Ratification of Board actions taken by notation voting during the month of June, 1983.
2. Other priority matters which may come before the Board for which notice will be given at the earliest practicable time.

SUPPLMENTARY INFORMATION: Copies of the monthly report of the Board's notation voting actions will be available from the Executive Secretary's office following the meeting.

CONTACT PERSON FOR MORE INFORMATION: Mr. Rowland K. Quinn, Jr., Executive Secretary, telephone (202) 523–5920.

Dated: June 23, 1983.

[S–936–83 Filed 6–27–83; 2:13 pm]

BILLING CODE 7550–01–M

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

Part II

Department of the Interior

Fish and Wildlife Service

Department of Commerce

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

Interagency Cooperation; Endangered Species Act of 1973; Proposed Rule

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration

50 CFR Part 402

Interagency Cooperation; Endangered Species Act of 1973

AGENCIES: Fish and Wildlife Service, Interior; National Marine Fisheries Service, Commerce.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: Section 7(a)(2) of the Endangered Species Act 1973, as amended, (hereinafter referred to as the Act) requires Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretaries of the Interior and Commerce, to insure that their actions are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of endangered and threatened species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of such species. The Endangered Species Act Amendments of 1978, 1979, and 1982 (hereinafter referred to as the Amendments) changed the consultation requirements of Section 7. This proposal would amend existing rules governing Section 7 consultation by implementing changes required by the amendments and by incorporating procedural changes designed to improve interagency cooperation.

DATE: Comments from the public must be received by July 29, 1983.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments to Director, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Office of Endangered Species (OES), Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240. Comments and materials received will be available for public inspection during normal business hours (7:45 a.m. to 4:15 p.m.) at the Service's Office of Endangered Species, Suite 500, 1000 N. Glebe Road, Arlington, Virginia.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: John L. Spinks, Jr., Chief, Office of Endangered Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. 20240 (703–235–2771); or Richard B. Roe, Acting Director, Office of Protected Species and Habitat Conservation, National Marine Fisheries Service, Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20235 (202–634–7461).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 7(a)(1) of the Act requires Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretaries of the Interior or Commerce, depending on the species involved (hereinafter referred to as the Secretary), to utilize their authorities in furtherance of the purposes of the Act by carrying out programs for the conservation of endangered species and threatened species (hereinafter referred to as "listed species") listed pursuant to Section 4 of the Act.

The Act also requires Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretary, to insure that any action authorized, funded, or carried out by such is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of habitat of such species which has been designated as critical (hereinafter referred to as critical habitat).

On January 4, 1978, the Department of the Interior and the Department of Commerce established procedures for the consultation process implementing the interagency cooperation requirements of Section 7 [50 CFR 402 (1981)]. The consultation process is designed to assist Federal agencies in complying with the requirements of Section 7 and provides such agencies with advice and guidance from the Secretary on whether an action complies with the substantive requirements of Section 7.

The Amendments made several changes to Section 7, This most significant change in the 1978 Amendments was the creation of the Endangered Species Committee which is authorized to grant exemptions from the requirements of Section 7(a)(2) in appropriate cases. Regulations governing the submission of exemption applications and consideration of such applications by the Endangered Species Committee were published in the **Federal Register** (45 FR 23354, April 4, 1980; see 50 CFR Parts 450–453). The 1982 Amendments streamlined the exemption process and revised regulations will be published in the near future.

The Secretaries of the Interior and Commerce share responsibilities for conducting consultations pursuant to Section 7 of the Act. Generally, marine species and under the jurisdiction of the Secretary of Commerce and all other species are under the jurisdiction of Secretary of the Interior. A more detailed explanation of the division of responsibility is found at § 402.1 of the proposed rules. Authority to conduct consultations has been delegated by the Secretaries to the Director of the Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS), National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (hereinafter both referred to as the Service). Refer to § 402.2 of the proposed rules for the definition of the terms to be used throughout this document.

The Proposal

The regulations explain more fully all the requirements of Section 7 of the Act, as amended.

The Section 7 consultation process has four components—early consultation, informal consultation, formal consultation, and further discussion. The consultation process requires one or more of these components. The consultation process, for example, may be initiated by informal consultation, during which it may be determined that formal consultation is necessary. Each component is discussed in detail below.

"Early consultation" was created by the 1982 Amendments and refers to a component of the consultation process that has been requested by a prospective permit or license applicant (hereinafter referred to as the prospective applicant) having reason to believe that its proposed action "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat. Such early consultation is conducted between the Service and the Federal agency responsible for issuing the requested permit or license, in cooperation with the prospective applicant.

The prospective applicant requests the Federal agency issuing the permit or license to conduct early consultation with the Service. Early consultation is initiated by a written request from the Federal agency responsible for issuing the permit or license and is concluded within such period of time as is mutually agreeable to the Service, the Federal agency, and the prospective applicant.

After concluding early consultation, the Service will deliver its preliminary biological opinion to the Federal agency and the prospective applicant promptly thereafter, defined by the Service as within 45 days. A preliminary biological opinion issued as a result of early consultation [7(a)(3)] is to be treated as an opinion issued during formal consultation [7(a)(2)] if the Service reviews the action before the permit or license is issued and finds that there have been no significant changes with respect to both the action planned and the information used during the early consultation.

"Informal consultation" includes all the contacts (discussions, correspondence, etc.) between the Federal agency or its designated non-Federal representative and the Service

that take place prior to the initiation of any necessary formal consultation. This may include, but is not limited to, the request for a species list, the preparation of a biological assessment, the determination of "may adversely affect" (which requires formal consultation), and discussions on other relevant topics.

"Formal consultation" is that part of the consultation process which the Federal agency initiates after it has been determined during informal consultation that the agency's action "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat. Formal consultation is initiated by a written request from the Federal agency and concluded within 90 days or within such other period of time as is mutually agreeable to the Federal agency, the Service, and the permit or license applicant (hereinafter referred to as the applicant), if any. After concluding formal consultation, the Service will deliver its biological opinion promptly thereafter, defined by the Service as within 45 days.

"Further discussion" provides the Federal agency or applicant with an opportunity to review the biological opinion and to discuss any conservation recommendations and any reasonable and prudent alternatives contained in the biological opinion. It is designed to insure all relevant data have been considered and may eliminate the need to seek an exemption. Although not required, the Service especially encourages further discussion when the biological opinion concludes that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Further discussion terminates upon the Service's receipt of a written notification from the Federal agency station is final decision on the action.

"Termination of the consultation process"could occur at different points within the process.

1. If during informal consultation it is determined that no listed species or critical habitat are in the action area or that there will be no adverse effect on such species or habitat, the consultation process is terminated, and no further action is necessary.

2. If formal consultation results in a biological opinion stating that the action is not likely to jeoparidize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, the consultation process is terminated with the issuance of the opinion unless the Federal agency wishes to conduct further discussion on conservation recommendations contained in the biological opinion. If such further discussion takes place, the consultation process terminates with the Service's receipt of a written notification from the Federal agency stating its final decision on the action.

3. If formal consultation results in a biological opinion stating that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, and conservation recommendations, if any, will be included in the opinion. Although further discussion is not required, it is at this point that the Service strongly recommends it take place, thus continuing the consultation process. If a jeopardy biological opinion is issued, whether further discussion takes place or not, the consultation process terminates with the Service's receipt of a written notice from the Federal agency stating its final decision on the action.

4. If during any stage of consultation a Federal agency or a applicant determines that its proposed action is not likely to occur, the consultation process is terminated with notice to the Service.

5. The biological opinion issued after early consultation will be reviewed by the Service before the action is permitted or licensed by the Federal agency. If the Service determines that no significant changes have occurred in either the proposed action or the information available, and no new anticipated impacts are identified, and no new species have been listed or critical habitat designated since early consultation, then it will certify in writing to the Federal agency that the preliminary biological opinion from early consultation is still accurate and shall be treated as a final biological opinion issued under Section 7(b) of the Act. The consultation process terminates in accordance with 2 or 3 above.

Should the Federal agency determine that its action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any species proposed to be added to the list of Endangered and Threatened Species of Wildlife and Plants (hereinafter referred to as proposed species) or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat proposed to be designated (hereinafter referred to as proposed critical habitat) for such species, the Federal agency must "confer" with the Service. A discussion of this requirement is found in the Proposed Species and Proposed Critical Habitat section of the preamble.

Several new definitions have been added in § 402.2. The existing regulatory definiton of "critical habitat" has been deleted from these regulations. The Amendments significantly changed the definition of critical habitat and the procedures to be followed in designating critical habitat [see 16 U.S.C. 1532(5) and 1533(b)]. Rules governing the listing of species as endangered or threatened and the designation of critical habitat have been promulgated (see 50 CFR Part 424, 45 FR 13010, February 27, 1980). The definition of critical habitat contained in these rules simply makes reference to those areas so designated under Section 4 of the Act. Revised section 4 regulations, incorporating the 1982 Amendments, will be proposed in the near future.

"May adversely affect" is the standard used to require the initiation of formal Section 7 consultation. This standard represents a change from the present regulations which requires formal consultation for all actions that "may affect" listed species or critical habitat. The Service believes that this new standard will decrease the number of formal consultations without decreasing the protection provided listed species and critical habitat under Section 7. "May adversely affect" is defined in § 402.2 of the proposed rules and discussed in the Formal Consultation section of the preamble.

"Biological assessment" as used in these rules refers to the information concerning listed and proposed species or critical habitat and proposed critical habitat that the Federal agency must gather and evaluate on any major construction activity. This biological assessment shall determine which species or critical habitat may be present in the action area and the potential effects of the action on such species or habitat. The biological assessment also shall include an analysis of cumulative effects. The requirements of the biological assessment should be completed in conjunction with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) process.

"Conservation recommendations" are introduced in these revised rules. Although frequently used in the past as "recommendations, " these proposed rules explain the Service's role in helping agencies meet their Section 7(a)(1) obligations through the development of conservation recommendations.

"Cumulative effects" are defined in § 402.02 of the proposed regulations. Under § 402.15(f) of these proposed regulations, the Service will consider both the "effects of the action" subject to consultation and "cumulative effects" in determining whether the action is likely to jeopardize the continued

existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. "Effects of the action" (as defined § 402.2 of these proposed regulations) includes the direct and indirect effects of the action that is subject to consultation. The term "cumulative effects" defines which effects on the species, other than those considered under "effects of the action" that the Service will consider in its biological opinion on the subject action.

"Destruction or adverse modification" and "jeopardize the continued existence" are also defined. Both definitions contain, as do the present regulations (50 CFR 402), the phrase "survival and recover."

The "recovery" of a listed species means the status of the species has improved to the point at which it may be removed from the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. Actions that adversely affect the survival of the species also will adversely affect the recovery of the species. Actions can adversely affect the recovery of the species but not necessarily affect the species' survival. Thus a no jeopardy opinion would be issued if a given action would not adversely affect the survival of a listed species although it may affect the species' recovery.

"Incidental take" is defined as takings that are incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity conducted by the agency or the applicant. A statement concerning incidental take will be provided with a biological opinion issued under Section 7. An incidental take statement provided with the preliminary biological opinion does not constitute a permit to take any listed species.

"Preliminary biological opinion" refers to the biological opinion issued after the conclusion of early consultation.

"Reasonable and prudent alternatives" is also defined. Section 7(b) of the Act requires the Service to include reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, in a jeopardy biological opinion. An alternative is considered reasonable and prudent only if it can be implemented by the Federal agency and the applicant, if any, in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the action, and if the Director believes it would avoid the likelihood of jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of such species.

Coordination With Other Environmental Reviews

These regulations allow Federal agencies to coordinate their informal and formal consultation and conference responsibilities under the Act with the agency's responsibilities under other statutes such as NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, implemented at 40 CFR 1500) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*). The Service encourages Federal agencies to coordinate these responsibilities, but believes it is preferable to allow Federal agencies to do so in a manner that best conforms to their particular actions and which they believe is most efficient. Compliance with the NEPA process and the Act should be coordinated. For a major construction activity, the Federal agency should include the biological assessment in any NEPA document. In general, the Service believes that if Federal agencies adequately evaluate, through the NEPA process, the potential impacts of the proposed action on species or habitat of concern in the consultation, the Federal agecies will fulfill the biological assessment requirements.

Counterpart Regulations

The Service has retained § 402.4(i) of 50 CFR as § 402.4 which authorize the drafting of joint counterpart regulations by Federal agencies and the Service. These counterpart regulations would allow individual Federal agencies to "fine tune" the general consultation process to reflect their particular program responsibilities and obligations.

Couterpart regulations must be published first as proposed rules with at least a 60-day comment period for the public, and must be approved by FWS and NMFS before final rules are published. Such conterpart regulations must retain the overall degree of protection afforded listed species along with the availability of biological information required by this proposed rule. Changes in the general consultation process must be designed to enhance the efficiency of the consultation process without eliminating ultimate Federal agency responsibility for compliance with Section 7. As long as the general consultation process is used as a starting point, Federal agencies can anticipate little difficulty in securing approval of the Service for counterpart regulations.

Emergencies

Section 402.5 of the proposed regulations contains provisions for the Service to modify the consultation process in order to respond to emergency situations. This provision applies to situations involving acts of God, casualties, etc. Upon request by the Federal agency, the Service may carry out consultation through procedures other than those provided by the proposed regulations, as long as such emergency procedures are consistent with Sections 7(a) through (d) of the Act. This allows, for example, consultation through informal means (e.g., a phone call) and therefore rapid responses to emergency situations.

The Service recognizes that it is sometimes necessary to take immediate steps to contain, limit, or alleviate an emergency in order to protect health, safety, and welfare prior to initiating any form of consultation. Early involvement of the Service in emergency response activities is important however to take advantage of Service expertise in minimizing the effects of emergency response activities on endangered and threatened species. Federal agencies must exercise discretion when responding to an emergency as to when is the proper time to consult with the Service. This will depend, to some extent, on the nature of the emergency and the actions that are immediately required. Given these concerns, the Service should be contacted as soon as it is practicable to do so keeping in mind the informal nature of emergency consultation and Service expertise in minimizing the impacts of emergency response activities on endangered and threatened species.

Irreversible or Irretrievable Commitment of Resources

Section 7(d) of the Act provides that after initiation of the consultation process, the Federal agency and any applicant shall make no irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources with respect to the agency action which has the effect of foreclosing the formulation or implementation of any reasonable and prudent alternatives which would not violate Section 7(a)(2). This provision does not apply to proposed species or proposed critical habitat.

This requirement exists until: a "no jeopardy" biological opinion is issued by the Service; the Federal agency adopts reasonable and prudent alternatives; or an exemption is granted under Section 7(h). See *North Slope Borough v. Andrus*, 486 F. Supp. 332 (D.D.C. 1980), *affirmed in part and reversed in part*, 642 F. 2d 589 (D.C. Cir. 1980).

Early Consultation

The 1982 Amendments added a provision to the consultation process

designed to minimize potential conflicts between the action and the conservation of listed species. The early consultation provisions authorize the Service to consult with Federal agencies at the request of and in cooperation with prospective applicants regarding the impact of proposed actions on listed species or critical habitat. These provisions are incorporated into the proposed regulations in § 402.14. The intent to this provision is to involve the Service, the State, and local planning and conservation entities in the planning stages of actions. The Service believes that early consultation will be helpful in establishing a mechanism for early resolution of potential conflicts. Congress did not intend that this provision be utilized to require consultations for speculative or remote actions but rather only on actions which the prospective applicant can demonstate are likely to occur. The regulations requrie prospective applicants to provide sufficient information describing the project, its locations, the scope of activities associated with it, and the anticipated impacts to listed species to enable the Federal agency and the Service to conduct meaningful early consultations.

Prospective applicants and Federal agencies should examine the proposed action closely to insure they have adequate information before requesting early consultation.

Detailed guidance outlining early consultation is included in the regulations in § 402.14.

Informal Consultation

The consultation process may start with informal consultation. This includes all contacts between the Service, the Federal agency, or the designated non-Federal representative, including the preparation of the biological assessment, prior to the initiation of formal consultation. Although the Federal agency cannot delegate its ultimate responsibility for informal consultation, it can designate a non-Federal representative to conduct the informal consultation. The designated non-Federal representative may be the permit or license applicant. The Director shall be notified, in writing, if a non-Federal entity has been designated to represent the Federal agency during informal consultation for a particular action.

The purpose of informal consultation is to assist the Federal agency in determining whether a "may adversely affect" situation exists, which would require the Federal agency to initiate formal consultation.

The Service believes that informal consultation is extremely important and may resolve potential problems, which may eliminate the need for formal consultation. However, informal consultation is not a substitute for formal consultation. In addition, only federal agencies are authorized to initiate formal consultation with the Service.

Proposed Species and Proposed Critical Habitat

The 1979 Amendments added the requirement that Federal agencies confer with the Service on any agency action which is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat for such species. The purpose of this requirement is to identify and resolve potential conflicts between an action and the conservation of proposed species or proposed critical habitat at an early point in the decision-making process. Conferences will be conducted on an informal basis between the Federal agency and the Service. The Service may make recommendations to minimize or avoid the adverse effects of the action on proposed species or proposed critical habitat. These recommendations are advisory in nature.

If the action involves only proposed species or proposed critical habitat, a copy of the recommendations will be forwarded to the Federal agency by themselves. However, if an action also involves listed species or critical habitat, the Service will provide the recommendations on proposed species or proposed critical habitat along with the biological opinion.

Although consolidation is encouraged, the Service does not intend that the informal nature of the conference on proposed species or proposed critical habitat be changed or that any of the requirements of formal consultation under Section 7 be imposed on Federal agencies with respect to proposed species or proposed critical habitats. Early initiation of such discussions increases the chances of resolution of potential conflicts.

Biological Assessments

A major new requirement for facilitating compliance with Section 7(a) contained in the Amendments is the requirement that Federal agencies prepare a biological assessment for certain actions. Under these regulations, the biological assessment may be conducted by a designated non-Federal representative. The biological assessment requirement should be fulfilled in conjunction with the NEPA process. For a major construction activity, the Federal agency may incorporate the biological assessment into a NEPA document. A major construction activity is defined as a construction project (or other undertaking having similar physical impacts) which is a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The term includes dams, buildings, pipelines, roads, water resource developments, channel improvements, and other such undertakings which significantly modify the physical environment. Generally, biological assessments would not be required for Outer Continental Shelf (OCS) leasing and exploration activities that do not involve a significant modification of the physical environment. In most cases, the NEPA review process conducted for OSC leasing and exploration activities will contain the functional equivalent of a biological assessment.

These proposed regulations require the preparation of a biological assessment if listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat may occur within the action area of any major construction activity. Thus, a biological assessment would not have to be prepared for every agency action. This interpretation finds support in the Conference Reports on the 1979 Amendments which states that biological assessments are to be conducted on "major Federal actions initiated after November 10, 1978 and designed primarily to result in the building or erection of dams, buildings, pipelines and the like." [H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 96–697, 96th Cong., 1st Sess. p. 13 (1979)]. Section 7(c)(1) of the Act as well as the legislative history of the Amendments [H.R. Conf. Rep. No. 95–1804, 95th Cong., 2nd Sess., p. 19 (1978); H.R. Rep. No. 95–1625, 95th Cong. 2nd Sess., p. 20 (1978); S. Rep. No. 96–151, 96th Cong., 1st Sess., pp. 4–5 (1979)], refer specifically to " construction" activities.

Even if a biological assessment is not required, Federal agencies may voluntarily prepare an assessment if it would assist them in fulfilling their Section 7 responsibilities. Although not required, a biological assessment also may be conducted by a prospective applicant as part of the early consultation component of the consultation process. Under Section 7(h)(2), an exemption is not permanent unless a biological assessment has been prepared.

The fact that a biological assessment is not required for all actions does not

mean that listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat receive less protection. Federal agencies still have an obligation to review their actions, through informal consultation with the Service, to determine whether those actions may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat and, if so, to initiate formal consultation pursuant to Section 7(a). In addition, Federal agencies must confer on actions they determine are likely to jeopardize the continued existence of proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat.

The biological assessment process begins when a Federal agency decides that its action is a major construction activity as discussed in these regulations. If the action meets these criteria, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative submits a request to the Director for information on whether listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat may be present in the area affected by the action. Within 30 days of receipt of that inquiry, the Director will respond with a list of any such species and critical habitat that may be present as well as the available data, and recommendations for necessary studies, surveys, and information to include in the assessment. If the Service advises that listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat may be present in the action area, then the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative must complete a biological assessment within 180 days after initiation, unless the agency and the Service agree to a different time period. If an applicant is involved, the 180-day period may not be extended unless the agency provides the applicant, before the close of the 180-day period, with a written statement setting forth the estimated length of the proposed extension and the reasons therefor. The assessment should identify any listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat that is in the action area and should describe the effects that the action may have on such species and critical habitat. The assessment also shall include an analysis of cumulative effects.

In response to previous agency comments, the proposed regulations allow the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative to proceed with the preparation of the biological assessment prior to receiving a list of species from the Service. However, in this situation, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative is required to notify the Director in writing as to the species they are including in their assessment. The Service will respond to this notification within 30 days only if it disagrees with the species to be included or the items to be covered in the biological assessment.

Upon receiving the species list, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative will determine when to initiate the biological assessment and will determine the scope of the assessment. If the biological assessment is initiated more than 90 days after receipt of the species list, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative should contact the Service informally to insure that the species list is current. Once the biological assessment has been completed and the results have been compiled into a document pursuant to § 402.12(b), the Federal agency must determine whether formal consultation should be initiated or if a conference is necessary.

For Federal actions which are not major construction activities, a biological assessment is not required. However, the Act does provide that any person who wishes to apply for an exemption from the requirements of Section 7(a)(2) may voluntarily conduct such as assessment. The statute requires that such assessments be conducted in cooperation with the Service and under the supervision of the appropriate Federal agency. Potential exemption applicants may conduct a biological assessment on their own initiative. In order to insure the assessment is conducted under the supervision of the Federal agency, such persons shall follow the procedures described in § 402.12.

The Service reserves the right to (1) request that an agency prepare a biological assessment, and (2) request any agency to enter into consultation. This request will be made by the Director.

In those instances where a proposed agency action requiring the preparation of a biological assessment is identical, or very similar, to a previous action for which a biological assessment was prepared, the action agency may fulfill the biological assessment requirement by incorporating the reference the earlier biological assessment and supporting data into the agency's certification in writing that: (1) the proposed agency action involves no new impacts and is being conducted in the same geographic area or administrative unit; (2) no new species have been listed or proposed to be listed or critical habitat designated or critical habitat proposed to be designated; and (3) there has been no material change in the information considered in the former biological assessment. Upon request, the Federal agency shall provide to the Service a copy of the earlier biological assessment and supporting data.

Formal Consultation

These regulations require Federal agencies to (1) review their actions through informal consultation with the Service to determine whether they "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat and (2) initiate formal consultation if it is determined (through informal consultation) that their actions may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat. Section 402.15 of these proposed regulations specifies the information that must accompany a request for formal consultation.

Section 402.15 expands the opportunities for non-Federal representatives to participate in formal consultations. The participation of such representatives in informal consultations already has been discussed. Such persons may be authorized to provide assistance during formal consultations to the extent deemed appropriate by the Federal agency and the Service. However, the formal consultation responsibility of the Federal agency may not be delegated to the designated non-Federal representative. The ultimate responsibility for compliance with the requirements of Section 7 rests with the Federal agency proposing the action at issue. The Service encourages the participation of the designated non-Federal representative in the consultation process.

Evaluation of Effects

In determining whether an action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, the Director first will evaluate the status of the species or critical habitat at issue. This will involve consideration of the present environment in which the species or critical habitat exists, as well as the environment that will exist when the action is completed, in terms of the totality of factors affecting the species or critical habitat. The evaluation will serve in part as the baseline for determining the effects of the action on the species or critical habitat.

The evaluation includes an appraisal of the effects of the proposed action on the species or critical habitat. Section 402.02 of these proposed rules defines effects of the action as the direct and

indirect effects of the Federal action under consideration. Effect of the action also include direct and indirect effects of actions that are interrelated or interdependent with the proposal under consideration. Actions will be considered interrelated with the proposed action if they are all part of a larger action, and actions will be considered interdependent if they do not have significant independent utility apart from the action that is under consideration.

Indirect effects' are those that are caused by the action and are later in time but are still reasonably certain. 'indirect effects' include the effects on listed species or critical habitat of future activities that are induced by the Federal action and that occur after the Federal action is completed. In *National Wildlife Federation v. Coleman,* 529 F.2d 359 (5th Cir. 1976), the Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit found that 'indirect effects' which can be expected to result must be considered under Section 7 of the Act. In that case, the court enjoined completion of a highway because the Department of Transportation failed to consider the effects to the endangered sandhill crane from future private development that would result from construction of the highway. The Service will consider the effects to listed species from such future activities that are reasonably certain to occur under the analysis of 'indirect effects.'

Cumulative effects also will be considered. To assist the Service in evaluating cumulative effects, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative will analyze the cumulative effects of reasonably certain future State or private actions in the action area in the information submitted to the Service at the time formal consultation is requested. The regulations provide that only those effects of State and private actions (not associated with the action subject to consultation) that are "reasonably certain" to occur prior to completion of the Federal action shall be considereed in the cumulative effects analysis. Since all future Federal actions will at some point be subject to the Section 7 consultation process pursuant to these proposed regulations, their effects on a particular effects analysis associated with the immediate action which is the subject of consultation.

During the consultation, the Service also may become aware of other actions that may have cumulative effects on listed species or critical habitat. The Service will evaluate this information and include in the biological opinion its conclusions on the long term implications of these actions and their foreseeable effects on the listed species or critical habitat involved. For further information as to how the Department of the Interior interprets cumulative effects, see 88 I.D. 903 (1981).

Biological Opinions

The Amendments changed the timing requirement on the conclusion of formal consultation from 60 days to 90 days or to such other time periods as discussed below. If an applicant is involved, the Service and the Federal agency may mutually agree to extend the consultation, provided the Service submits to the applicant before the close of the 90 days, a written statement setting forth (1) the reasons why a longer period is required, (2) the information that is required to complete the consultation, and (3) the estimated date on which the consultation will be completed. A consultation involving an applicant cannot be extended for more than 60 days without the consent of the applicant. The biological opinion must be delivered to the Federal agency and the applicant, if any, promptly after the conclusion of formal consultation (within 45 days).

The biological opinion will conclude that either: (1) the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (a no jeopardy biological opinion), or (2) the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (a jeopardy biological opinion).

The biological opinion will include: (1) a summary of the information on which the opinion was based; (2) a detailed discussion of the effects of the action on listed species or critical habitat; (3) the Service's opinion on whether the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat; (4) reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, if a jeopardy biological opinion is issued; and (5) conservation recommendations, if any. A statement concerning incidental take will be provided with the biological opinion. A detailed explanation of incidental take is found in § 402.19 of these regulations.

If the Service issues a "jeopardy" biological opinion, the service must identify reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, that will avoid that result and which the Federal agency or applicant can take in implementing its action. These alternatives shall be based on the best scientific and commercial date available. Prior to issuing this type of opinion, the Service will work with the Federal agency and the applicant, if any (see § 402.15), to utilize their expertise in developing alternatives that are feasible to implement. This includes, if requested by the Federal agency, making the draft biological opinion available to the agency before it is finalized so that the reasonable and prudent alternatives can be analyzed. When the Service forwards the draft biological opinion to the Federal agency, the 45-day period in which the biological opinion must be delivered will be suspended, and will resume when the draft biological opinion is returned to the Service. If the draft biological opinion is not returned to the Service within a reasonable period of time, the Service will issue a final biological opinion.

If the Service is unable to develop reasonable and prudent alternatives, it will indicate that to the best of its knowledge, there are no such alternatives that would avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of the species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat and still allow the completion of the action.

Incidental Take

The 1982 Amendments changed Section 7(b) to include provisions concerning incidental taking of species. The new provisions included in Sections (b)(4) and 7(o) of the Act and in § 402.19 of the proposed regulations are designed to resolve the situation where a Federal agency or an applicant has been advised, through a biological opinion, that the proposed action will not violate section 7(a)(2) of the Act, but the proposed action will result in taking individuals of some species incidental to the action. The new provision specifies that under the conditions given in the following paragraph such incidental take will not be a violation of the "taking" prohibitions Sections 4(d) and 9 of the Act.

The proposed regulations on incidental take state that the Service will provide with the biological opinion to the Federal agency or applicant a written statement that: (1) specifies the amount or extent of such incidental taking of the species, (2) specifies those reasonable and prudent measures that must be incorporated to minimize such taking, (3) sets forth the terms and conditions that must be complied with by the Federal agency or applicant in order to implement the reasonable and prudent measures specified under (2) above, and (4) specifies the procedures

to be used to handle or dispose of any species actually taken.

The 1982 Amendment's provision on incidental take also has been included in these proposed regulations on early consultation. By discussing allowable incidental take during early consultation, the prospective applicant would be aware of the reasonable and prudent measures necessary to minimize the impacts of the proposed action on listed species. Since early consultation will take place when the prospective applicant is at the earliest planning stages of the action, modifications to the proposed action could be incorporated with the least amount of effort and cost. In addition, having the incidental take provisions included in early consultation will promote a full understanding of the impacts of the proposed action, reduce the likelihood of a later surprise, and expedite the subsequent review of the early consultation's preliminary biological opinion by the Service prior to actual permit or license approval. An incidental take statement included in the preliminary biological opinion does not constitute a permit to take any listed species.

This provision in no way affects a Federal agency's responsibility under Section 7(a)(2) to insure that its action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

In order for the Service to monitor the impacts of incidental take, reporting requirements may be included as part of the terms and conditions section of the statement on incidental take.

Under 50 CFR 13.45 (FWS) and § 222.23(d) (NMFS), there are provisions concerning reporting requirements for any taking of threatened or endangered species. The Service plans to adopt the existing procedures for incidental take. The existing procedures have Paperwork Reduction Act clearance. Specific reporting requirements may be incorporated in incidental take statements provided pursuant to Section 7(b)(4).

Additional Information

In some cases, the Service may determine that additional information would be helpful in assisting the consultation process. To cover this situation, these proposed regulations adopt procedures discussed by Congress in the legislative history of the 1979 Amendments. When additional information is believed advantageous, the Service will request an extension of formal consultation. When the Service requests such an extension, it will identify the types of information sought for assisting consultation. The Service will, to the extent practical, and within existing budgetary and personnel restrictions, provide assistance in planning studies, furnishing relevant data, and recommendations that may be necessary to obtain the additional information. The responsibility for conducting and funding these studies belongs to the Federal agencies or the applicant and not to the service. When the data are gathered and submitted to the Service, formal consultation will continue.

If an extension is not agreed to, by the Federal agency or the applicant, if any, the Service shall issue a biological opinion based on the best scientific and commercial data then available. The Conference Report to the 1979 Amendments states that in this situation, the Federal agency has a continuing responsibility to make a reasonable effort to develop additional information (H.R. Rep. 96–697, 96th Cong., 2nd Sess. p.12 (1979)). Initiating informal consultation at an early stage in the development of an action with respect to listed species or critical habitat should minimize the need to extend formal consultation because of a lack of information.

Additional provisions have been added to these regulations to deal with situations where a statute authorizes the Federal action to be taken in incremental steps. Such circumstances existed in *North Slope Borough v. Andrus*, 642 F. 2d 589 (D.C. Cir. 1980), involving development of oil and gas resources on the other continental shelf and the bowhead whale. In view of this decision, these regulations provide that a Federal agency may proceed with incremental steps toward completion of the entire action if: (1) the biological opinion does not find that the incremental step would violate Section 7(a)(2); (2) the Federal agency continues the consultation process with respect to the entire action and obtains biological opinions at each incremental step stating that the incremental action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat; (3) the Federal agency fulfills its continuing obligation to conduct the research to develop sufficient data upon which to base the final biological opinion on the entire action; (4) the incremental step does not violate Section 7(d) of the Act concerning irreversible or irretrievable commitments of resources; and (5) there is a reasonable likelihood of compliance with Section 7(a)(2) for the entire action.

Section 402.15 states that upon request, the Service will issue a biological opinion stating its views on the entire action and the incremental step being considered [as to compliance with Section 7(a)(2)]. The Federal agency may then proceed with the incremental step if it meets the above described conditions. The views of the Service, as expressed in the biological opinion, may include identification of those modifications to the action that may assist the Federal agency in avoiding adverse effects on listed species or critical habitat.

Further Discussion

Section 402.16, entitled "Further Discussion," has been added. Existing rules imply that the consultation process terminates at the point at which a biological opinion is issued by the Service. Although not required, in some cases, it may be desirable for the Service, the Federal agency, and the applicant, if any, to continue the consultation process beyond formal consultation. For example, if the Service includes conservation recommendations or reasonable and prudent alternatives in the biological opinion, the Federal agency or applicant may want to discuss these recommendations or alternatives with the Service. Recommendations or alternatives may be refined or indentified for the first time during discussions between the Service and the Federal agency. This continuing dialogue is called "further discussion" in these regulations. In addition to reviewing draft biological opinions, further discussion further affords the Federal agency or applicant another opportunity for discussing reasonable and prudent alternatives with the Service. Further discussion gives the Federal agency or the applicant time to evaluate the biological opinion and an opportunity to review the alternatives and recommendations suggested therein. If further discussion takes place, the consultation process will terminate only after the Federal agency submits a written notification to the Service stating its final decision on the action.

It should be noted that these proposed rules leave the timing of the termination of the consultation process to the discretion of the Federal agency (unless an applicant is involved). The Federal agency has 90 days after the termination of the consultation process to apply for an exemption. Under the 1979 Amendments, an applicant has 90 days after receiving a final denial of the permit or license to apply for an exemption.

This process has support in the legislative history of the 1979 Amendments as indicated in the

Conference Report on those Amendments:

The exemption process was designed to resolve endangered species conflicts after other administrative remedies, including consultation have been exhausted. It makes no sense to initiate an exemption process before it has been determined that there is a need for an exemption in the first place .... Thus, if a Federal agency decides that it cannot comply with the requirements of Section 7 after consultation with the wildlife agency, it can file for an exemption *within 90 days of that decision.* H.R. Rep. 96–697, 96th Cong. 2nd Sess., pp. 14–15 (1979) (Emphasis added.)

Reinitiation

Finally, these rules discuss when reinitiation of formal consultation is necessary. The reinitiation provisions apply to actions that remain subject to some future Federal action or authorization. Thus, in the case of a Federal action, reinitiation would not be required if the action was completed and no further Federal discretionary control or involvement remained. Similarily, in the case where a permit or license had been granted, reinitiation would not be appropriate unless the permitting or licensing agency retained jurisdiction over the matter under the terms of the permit or license or as otherwise authorized by law.

Summary

The Amendments made several changes in the consultation requirements of Section 7 and the Service believes that a consistent response by the Federal agencies to those Amendments, as implemented by these proposed rules, will facilitate successful compliance with Section 7 of the Act.

The Service believes that the rules finally adopted will serve as an effective tool for the early resolution of potential conflicts involving listed species. The Service solicits comments or suggestions from the public, governmental agencies, or any other interested party on these regulations.

The primary authors of this proposal are David Wesley, Nancy Sweeney, and Michael Young, Department of the Interior; and Charles Karnella, Department of Commerce.

The Department of the Interior, as lead agency in the development of these proposed regulations, has prepared a draft environmental assessment in conjunction with this proposal. A determination will be made at the time of the final rule as to whether this is a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of Section 102(2)(C) of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 implemented at 40 CFR Parts 1500–1508). These procedural regulations simply provide a uniform approach for organizing consultation required by Section 7 of the Act. Compliance with the procedures as outlined in these regulations do not appear at this time to have any significant, direct, or indirect adverse environmental impact. It also has been determined that these regulations do not constitute major rules as defined in Executive Order 12291. The Department also has certified, under the terms of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601), that the proposed regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The regulations are directed at Federal actions. The costs to small entities are those involved with timing and data gathering, if requested by the Federal agency. Even if these costs were passed on, the analysis under the Regulatory Flexibility Act has concluded that these are insubstantial. The Department has determined that these proposed rules do not contain a "collection of information" requirement, and thus the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act do not apply. This is because all information required in the consultation process is to be submitted to the Service by or through the Federal agency involved. The analyses under Executive Order 12291, the Regulatory Flexibility Act, and NEPA are available to the public at the Office of Endangered Species, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service at the address listed above. Further information on these matters is hereby solicited.

List of Subjects in 50 CFR Part 402

Endangered and threatened wildlife, Fish, Plants (agriculture).

Proposed Regulation Promulgation

Accordingly, the Service proposes to revise 50 CFR Part 402 to read as follows:

PART 402—INTERAGENCY COOPERATION—ENDANGERED SPECIES ACT OF 1973, AS AMENDED

Subpart A—General

Subpart B—Consultation Process

Authority: Endangered Species Act (Pub. L. 93–205, 87 Stat. 884; Pub. L. 95–632, 92 Stat. 3751; Pub. L. 96–159, 93 Stat. 1225; and Pub. L. 97–304, 96 Stat. 1411; (16 U.S.C. 1531 *et seq.*)).

Subpart A—General

§ 402.1 Scope.

This part interprets and implements Sections 7 (a) through (d) of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (hereinafter referred to as the "Act"). The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS) and the National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) share responsibilities, for administering the Act. The Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants are found in 50 CFR 17.11 and 17.12, and the designated critical habitats are found in 50 CFR 17.95 and 17.96 (1981). Endangered or threatened species under the jurisdiction of the NMFS are located in 50 CFR 222.23(a) and 227.4. If the subject species is cited in 50 CFR 222.23(a) or 227.4, the agency shall contact the NMFS. Otherwise the Federal agency shall contact the FWS.

(a) Section 7(a) (16 U.S.C. 1536(a)) imposes several requirements upon Federal agencies regarding all endangered or threatened species of fish, wildlife, or plants (hereinafter referred to as listed species) and habitat of such species which has been designated as critical (hereinafter referred to as critical habitat). Section 7(a)(1) directs Federal agencies, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretary, to utilize their authorities to further the purposes of the Act by carrying out conservation programs for listed species. Such affirmative conservation programs must comply with any applicable permit requirements of 50 CFR Parts 17, 220, 222, and 227 for listed species and should be fully coordinated with the appropriate Secretary. Section 7(a)(2) requires every Federal agency, in consultation with and with the assistance of the Secretary, to insure that any actions it authorizes, funds, or carries out are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Section 7(a)(3) authorizes prospective

applicants to request the issuing Federal agency to enter into early consultation with the Service on proposed actions to determine how such actions will affect listed species or critical habitat. Section 7(a)(4) requires Federal agencies to confer with the Secretary on any action that is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat. It is the responsibility of the Federal agency to review its actions at the earliest possible time and to determine, through informal consultation with the Service, whether any such action "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat or is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat.

(b) Section 7(b) (16 U.S.C. 1536(b)) requires the Secretary, after the conclusion of consultation, to issue a written statement setting forth the Secretary's opinion. This statement (biological opinion) will include (1) a summary of the information on which the opinion was based; (2) a detailed discussion of the effects of the action on listed species or critical habitat; (3) the Service's opinion whether the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat; (4) reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, if a jeopardy biological opinion is issued; and (5) conservation recommendations, if any. A statement concerning incidental take will be provided with the biological opinion. A detailed explanation of incidental take is found under § 402.19 of these regulations.

(c) Biological assessments are required under Section 7(c) (16 U.S.C. 1536(c)) when listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat may be present in the area affected by a major construction activity. Biological asessments are designed to assist the Federal agencies in meeting their Section 7 obligations. By identifying the listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat that are present in the area affected by the action and by identifying the effects on such species or critical habitat that are likely to result from the action, the Federal agency can determine whether to initiate formal consultation or whether a conference is required. The assessment also may be used by the Service in (1) determining whether to request the Federal agency to carry out informal or formal consultation, or (2) formulating a biological opinion.

(d) Section 7(d) (16 U.S.C. 1536(d)) prohibits Federal agencies and applicants from making any irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources which has the effect of foreclosing the formulation or implementation of reasonable and prudent alternatives which would avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. This requirement exists until: a "no jeopardy" biological opinion is issued by the Service; the Federal agency adopts reasonable and prudent alternatives; or an exemption is granted under Section 7(h).

(e) Sections 7 (e) through (o) also provide for exemptions from the requirements of Section 7(a)(2) if an action which received a "jeopardy" opinion is determined to be qualified for an exemption by the Endangered Species Committee. Regulations governing the submission of exemption applications are found at 50 CFR Part 451, and regulations governing the exemption process are found at 50 CFR Parts 450, 452, and 453.

§ 402.2 Definitions.

"Action" means all activities of any kind authorized, funded, or carried out, in whole or in part, by Federal agencies. Examples include, but are not limited to: (a) the promulgation of regulations; (b) the granting of licenses, contracts, leases, easements, rights-of-way, permits, or grants-in-aid; or (c) actions directly or indirectly causing modifications to the land, water, or air.

"Action area" means all areas to be affected directly or indirectly by the Federal action, not merely the immediate area involved in the action.

"Adversely affect" refers to actions which have a detrimental effect on any or all of the portions of the life cycle of a threatened or endangered species or on its habitat or a component thereof.

"Applicant" refers to any person who requires formal approval or authorization from a Federal agency as a prerequisite to conduct the action.

"Biological assessment" refers to the information concerning listed and proposed species and critical habitat and proposed critical habitat that may be present in the action area that the Federal agency or designated non-Federal representative must gather and evaluate on any major construction activity. A major construction activity is defined as a construction project (or other undertakings having similar physical impacts) which is a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment.

"Biological opinion" refers to the document that states the opinion of the Service as to whether or not the Federal action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

"Conference" refers to a process which involves informal discussions between a Federal agency and the Service regarding the impact of an action on proposed species or proposed critical habitat, and recommendations to minimize or avoid the adverse effects.

"Conservation" means to use and the use of all methods and procedures that are necessary to bring a listed species to the point at which it may be removed from the Lists of Endangered and Threatened Wildlife and Plants. Methods and procedures of conservation include, but are not limited to, all activities associated with scientific resources management such as research, census, law enforcement, habitat acquisition and maintenance, propagation, live trapping, and transplantation, and, in the extraordinary case where population pressures within a given ecosystem cannot be otherwise relieved, may include regulated taking of animals.

"Conservation recommendations" refer to suggestions by the Service, in biological opinions, which will reduce or avoid any adverse effects of a proposed action on listed species or critical habitat, or which will assist a Federal agency in complying with its obligations under Section 7 of the Act, especially Section 7(a)(1) [see § 402.01(a)].

"Consultation process" refers to early consultation, informal consultation, formal consultation, and further discussion for listed species or critical habitat and conferences for proposed species or proposed critical habitat.

"Critical habitat" means those areas designated as critical habitat listed in 50 CFR Parts 17 or 226.

"Cumulative effects" are those effects of future State or private actions which are reasonably certain to occur prior to completion of the Federal action subject to consultation. For a more complete analysis on how the Department of the Interior interprets this concept, see 88 I.D. 903 (1981).

"Designated non-Federal representative" refers to a person designated by the Federal agency as its representative to conduct the informal consultation component of the consultation process. This may or may not be a permit or license applicant.

"Destruction or adverse modification" means a direct or indirect alteration of critical habitat which appreciably diminishes the value of the habitat for both the survival and recovery of a listed species. Such alterations include, but are not limited to, alterations adversely modifying any of those physical or biological features that were the basis for determining the habitat to be critical.

"Director" refers to the Assistant Administrator for Fisheries for the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; the FWS regional director for the region where the action would be carried out; or the Washington Office of the FWS if more than one region is involved.

"Early consultation" refers to a component of the consultation process that has been requested by a Federal agency on behalf of a prospective applicant after it has been determined that the proposed action "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat.

"Effects of the action" refers to the effects of an action on the species or critical habitat that will be added to the environmental baseline. It includes the direct and indirect effects of the Federal action under consideration together with the effects of actions that are interrelated or interdependent with the action. Indirect effects are those that are caused by the proposed action and are later in time, but still are reasonably certain. Interrelated actions are those that are part of a larger action. Interdependent actions are those that have no independent utility apart from the action.

"Federal agency" means any department, agency, or instrumentality of the United States.

"Formal consultation" refers to a component of the consultation process that commences with the Federal agency's written request for consultation after it has been determined, through informal consultation with the Service, that its action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat.

"Further discussion" refers to a component of the consultation process that includes discussions between the Service, the Federal agency, or applicant on the conservation recommendations or the reasonable and prudent alternatives contained in the biological opinion.

"Incidental take" refers to takings that are incidental to, and not the purpose of, the carrying out of an otherwise lawful activity conducted by the agency or applicant.

"Informal consultation" refers to a component of the consultation process that includes all discussions, correspondence, etc., between the Service and the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative prior to initiation of formal consultation.

"Jeopardize the continued existence of" means to engage in an action which reasonably would be expected, directly or indirectly, to reduce appreciably the likelihood of both the survival and recovery of listed species in the wild by reducing the reproduction, numbers, or distribution of a listed species or otherwise adversely affecting the species.

"Listed species" means any species of fish, wildlife, or plant which has been determined to be endangered or threatened under Section 4 of the Act. Listed species are found in 50 CFR 17.11–17.12.

"Preliminary biological opinion" refers to an opinion issued as a result of early consultation.

"Proposed critical habitat" means habitat proposed in the **Federal Register** to be designated for any listed or proposed species under Section 4 of the Act.

"Proposed species" means any species of fish, wildlife, or plant that is proposed in the **Federal Register** to be listed under Section 4 of the Act.

"Reasonable and prudent alternatives" refer to alternative actions that can be implemented in a manner consistent with the intended purpose of the action and which the Director believes would avoid the likelihood of jeopardizing the continued existence of listed species or resulting in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat.

"Recovery" means improvement in the status of listed species to the point at which listing is no longer required.

"Service" means the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service or the National Marine Fisheries Service, as appropriate.

§ 402.3 Applicability.

Section 7 and the requirements of this Part apply to all actions in which there is Federal involvement or control.

§ 402.4 Counterpart regulations.

The consultation procedures set forth in this Part may be superseded for a particular Federal agency by joint counterpart regulations drafted by that agency and the FWS and the NMFS. Such counterpart regulations shall be published in the **Federal Register** in proposed form and shall be subject to public comment for 60 days before final rules are published.

§ 402.5 Emergencies.

Where emergency circumstances mandate the need to proceed in an expedited manner, the director, upon request from the Federal agency, may carry out the consultation process through alternative procedures which the Director determines to be consistent with the requirements of Section 7(a) through (d) of the Act. This provision applies to situations involving acts of God, casualties, etc.

§§ 402.6–402.9 [Reserved]

Subpart B—Consultation Process

§ 402.10 Consolidation of informal and formal consultation and conference, coordination with other environmental reviews, and designation of lead agency.

(a) Informal and formal consultation and conference procedures under Section 7 may be consolidated with interagency cooperation procedures required by other statutes, such as NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, implemented at 40 CFR Part 1500) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*). Satisfying the requirements of these other statutes, however, does not in itself relieve a Federal agency of its obligations to comply with either the formal consultation procedures set forth in this Part or the substantive requirements of Section 7.

(b) For a major construction activity, a biological assessment should be consolidated with interagency cooperation procedures required by other statutes such as the NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, implemented at 40 CFR Part 1500) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*). The results of a biological assessment should be incorporated in appropriate documents required by these statutes. For a major construction activity, if the Federal agency adequately evaluates, through the NEPA process, the impacts of the proposed action to the species and habitat of concern in the consultation, the biological assessment requirement can be fulfilled. Satisfying the requirements of these other statutes, however, does not in itself relieve a Federal agency of its obligation to comply with the biological assessment procedures set forth in § 402.12(b). The Service will attempt to provide a coordinated review and analysis of all environmental requirements.

(c) A conference between a Federal agency and the Service on an action that is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat may be consolidated with formal consultation conducted on listed species or critical habitat.

(d) When a particular action involves more than one Federal agency, these agencies may, upon notification of the Director, fulfill their informal and formal consultation and conference responsibilities through a lead agency. Factors relevant in determining an appropriate lead agency include the time sequence in which the agencies would become involved, the magnitude of their respective involvement, and their relative expertise with respect to the environmental effects of the action.

§ 402.11 Irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources.

After initiation of the consultation process, the Federal agency and any applicant shall make no irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources with respect to the agency action which may have the effect of foreclosing the formulation or implementation of any reasonable and prudent alternative measures which would avoid violating Section 7(a)(2) of the act. This requirement exists until: a "no jeopardy" biological opinion is issued by the Service (see § 402.15(g)); the Federal agency adopts reasonable and prudent alternatives; or an exemption is granted under Section 7(h). This provision does not apply to proposed species or proposed critical habitat.

§ 402.12 Informal consultation.

(a) Informal consultation may be carried out at the appropriate level between the Service and the Federal agency. Although the Federal agency cannot delegate its ultimate responsibility for informal consultation, it can designate a non-Federal representative to conduct the informal consultation. The Director should be notified, in writing, if a non-Federal representative has been designated to represent the Federal agency during informal consultation for a particular action. Informal consultation includes all contacts between these parties to assist the Federal agency in determining whether an action "may adversely affect" listed species or critical habitat. Such informal consultations may include the exchange of information on Federal actions to aid the Federal agency in detemining whether formal consultation and a conference are necesary. For major construction activities, informal consultation should include the request for a species list and shall include the appropriate biological assessment requirement if listed species may be present. Informal consultation, if successful in resolving all potential conflicts between the action and the listed species or critical habitat, can eliminate the need for formal consultation. However, informal consultation is not a substitute for formal consultation. The formal consultation procedure is described fully in § 402.15

(b) Biological assessments. The biological assessment requirement of this Part applies to major construction activities.

(1) *Request for information.* Before initiating such actions, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative shall convey a written request to the Director for a list of any listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat that may be present in the area affected by the action. Even if a biological assessment is not required, the Federal agency or its designated non-Federal representative may conduct a biological assessment for Federal actions. In those instances where a proposed agency action requiring the preparation of a biological assessment is identical, or very similar, to a previous action for which a biological assessment was prepared, the action agency may fulfill the biological assessment requirement by incorporating by reference the earlier biological assessment and supporting data into the agency's certification in writing that: (i) the proposed agency action involves no new impacts and is being conducted in the same geographic area or administrative unit; (ii) no new species have been listed or proposed to be listed or critical habitat designated or critical habitat proposed to be designated; and (iii) there has been no material change in the information considered in the former biological assessment. Upon request, the Federal agency shall provide to the Service a copy of the earlier biological assessment and supporting data.

(2) *Response to request.* Within 30 days of receipt of a request for a species list, the Director shall advise the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative in writing whether, based on the best scientific and commercial data available, any listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat may be present in the affected area. If the Director advises that no such species or critical habitat may be present, the Federal agency need not prepare a biological assessment and the consultation process is terminated. If such species or critical habitat may be present in the action area, the Service will provide the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative with a species list as well as available information regarding these species and critical habitat. The Service also will recommend any necessary studies or surveys. If a Federal agency or designated non-Federal representative elects to proceed with a bilogical assessment without obtaining a species list from the Director, a written notification of the species to be included in the biological assessment will be sent to the Director. If the Service disagrees with the list of species or the items to be covered in the biological assessment, the Director will so advise the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative within 30 days.

(3) *Verification of current accuracy of species list in certain cases.* If the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative does not initiate the biological assessment within 90 days of receipt of the species list, the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative must informally verify with the service the current accuracy of the species list at the time the assessment is initiated.

(4) *Requirements for biological assessments.*—(i) If a biological assessment is conducted, a permit under Section 10 of the Act (16 U.S.C 1539) and Part 17 of this title (with respect to species under the jurisdiction of the FWS) or parts 220, 222, and 227 of this Title (with respect to species under the jurisdiction of the NMFS), may be required.

(ii) A biological assessment shall determine which listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat are present in the action area and evaluate the potential effects of the action on such species. An analysis of cumulative effects also shall be included.

(iii) The Federal agency shall forward the completed biological assessment to the Director. Upon receipt of the assessment, the Director will respond within 30 days only if the Service disagrees with the findings of the biological assessment.

(5) *Assistance from other sources.* The Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative may seek assistance from any source to obtain the biological information necessary for biological assessments. Such assistance may include, but is not limited to, that obtained by contract or cooperative agreement or required by rules of the Federal agency. If the biological assessment is prepared in whole or in part by contract or cooperative agreement, the Federal agency shall furnish guidance, participate in the preparation, independently evaluate, and take responsibility for its scope and contents.

(6) *Completion time.* The Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative shall complete the biological assessment within 180 days after its initiation unless a different period of time is agreed to by the Director and the Federal agency. If a permit or license applicant is involved, the 180-day period may not be extended unless the agency provides the applicant, before the close of the 180-day period, with a written statement setting forth the estimated length of the proposed extension and the reasons.

(7) *Use of the biological assessment.* The Federal agency shall use the biological assessment in determining whether formal consultation or a conference is required under §§ 402.15 or 402.13 of this Part. If the biological assessment indicates that there are no listed or proposed species or critical habitat or proposed critical habitat present which may be adversely affected by the action, then the consultation process is terminated after review by the Director as specified in this subparagraph. If the biological assessment indicates that listed species or critical habitat may be adversely affected by the action, then the Federal agency must initiate formal consultation under § 402.15. Formal consultation shall not be initiated by the Federal agency until any required biological assessment has been completed and submitted to the Director unless otherwise agreed. If the biological assessment indicates that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat, the Director and the Federal agency shall confer on the extent of such effects as provided in § 402.13. The Director may use the results of the biological assessment in (i) determining whether to request the Federal agency to initiate formal consultation under § 402.15 and conference under § 402.13; and (ii) formulating a biological opinion under § 402.15.

(8) *Exemption.* Any person wishing to apply for an exemption from Section 7(a)(2) of the Act may prepare a biological assessment under the supervision of the Federal agency and in cooperation with the Service. Under Section 7(h)(2) of the Act, an exemption is not permanent unless a biological assessment has been prepared.

(9) *Effective date.* Biological assessments are not required for major construction activities for which contracts were let or for which actions were begun on or before November 10, 1978.

(10) Although not required, the biological assessment provision may be incorporated into the early consultation component of the consultation process.

§ 402.13 Conference on proposed species or proposed critical habitat.

(a) Each Federal agency shall confer with the Service on any action which is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of proposed critical habitat. The conference is designed to assist the Federal agency in identifying and resolving potential endangered species conflicts at an early stage in its planning process. The conference shall be initiated with the Director. This responsibility cannot be delegated to the non-Federal representatives.

(a) A Conference between a Federal agency and the Service shall consist of informal discussions concerning an action that is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the proposed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of the proposed critical habitat issue. During the conference, the Service may include advisory recommendations on ways to minimize or avoid those effects.

(c) The conclusions reached during a conference shall be documented and provided to the requesting Federal agency. The style and magnitude of this doucment will vary with the complexity of the conference. The conclusions shall be consolidated in a biological opinion when the conference has been coordinated with formal consultation pursuant to § 402.15.

§ 402.14 Early consultation.

(a) Federal agencies shall consult with the Service on any prospective action at the request of, and in cooperation with, the prospective applicant if the agency has reason to believe that a listed species or critical habitat may be present in the area affected by the applicant's proposed action and implementation of the action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat.

(b) Although early consultation is permitted only between the Service and a Federal agency, the prospective applicant must be involved in every aspect of the consultation process.

(c) Early consultation is designed to reduce the likelihood of conflicts between listed species or critical habitat and proposed actions that are likely to occur. The Federal agency shall insure that the following conditions are met before they request early consultation on behalf of the applicant: (1) there must be a definitive proposal outlining the action and its effect; (2) it must be shown that the action is technologically, administratively, and legally feasible; (3) it must be shown that the applicant possesses adequate economic resources to conduct the action; and (4) it must be shown that the applicant possesses some property interest in the proposed site on which the action will occur.

(d) If the prospective applicant can meet the above conditions and it is determined that the proposed action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat, the Federal agency, at the request of the prospective applicant, may enter into early consultation. A written request to initiate early consultation shall be submitted to the Director.

(1) Requests for early consultation shall include: (i) a description of the action to be considered; (ii) a description of the specific area that may be affected by the action; (iii) a description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be adversely affected by the action; (iv) a description of the manner in which the action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat and an analysis of any cumulative effects; (v) reports, including any environmental impact statement, environmental assessment, or biological assessment prepared; (vi) a list of other Federal agencies that have jurisdiction in the action area and how they may be affected; and (vii) any other relevant available information on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat.

(2) Each Federal agency requesting early consultation shall provide the Service with the best biological information available, or which can be developed during the consultation process, for an adequate review of the effects an action may have upon listed species or critical habitat.

(3) The Federal agency shall seek assistance from the prospective applicant to obtain the biological information for an adequate review of the effects an action may have upon listed species or critical habitat. The ultimate responsibility for compliance with the procedures of this Section remains with the Federal agency and cannot be delegated.

(e) Early consultation shall be concluded within such period of time as is mutually agreeable to the Service, the Federal agency, and the prospective applicant. After concluding early consultation, the Service will deliver its preliminary biological opinion to the Federal agency and the prospective applicant promptly thereafter (defined by the Service as within 45 days).

(f) Service responsibilities during early consultation: (1) review all relevant information provided by the Federal agency and information otherwise available. Such review may include an on-site inspection of the action area with representatives of the Federal agency or the prospective applicant; (2) evaluate the current status of the listed species or critical habitat; (3) evaluate the effects of the action and any cumulative effects on the listed species or critical habitat; (4) formulate its preliminary biological opinion as to whether the action, taken together with cumulative effects, is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. In formulating its preliminary biological opinion, the Service will use the best scientific and commercial data available; (5) discuss with the Federal agency and the prospective applicant, if a jeopardy preliminary biological opinion is issued, the availability of reasonable and prudent alternatives that would avoid violating Section 7(a)(2), and that the prospective applicant and the Federal agency can take in implementing its action. The Service will utilize the expertise of the Federal agency and the prospective applicant in identifying these alternatives. If requested by the Federal agency, the Service shall make available to the Federal agency the draft preliminary biological opinion before it is finalized for the purpose of analyzing the reasonable and prudent alternatives. When the Service forwards the draft preliminary biological opinion to the Federal agency, the 45-day period in which the preliminary biological opinion must be delivered will be suspended, and will resume upon return of the draft preliminary biological opinion to the Service. If the draft preliminary biological opinion is not returned to the Service within a reasonable amount of time, the Service will issue a final preliminary biological opinion. The reasonable and prudent alternatives will be based upon the best scientific and commercial data available. If the Service is unable to develop such alternatives, it will indicate that to the best of its knowledge there are no reasonable and prudent alternatives; and (6) formulate conservation recommendations which will reduce the impact a proposed action may have on listed species or critical habitat.

(9) Conclusion of preliminary biological opinions. The preliminary biological opinion shall conclude one of the following: (1) that the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Such a preliminary biological opinion may include conservation recommendations, if any; or (2) that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. Such a preliminary biological opinion shall include reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, and conservation recommendations, if any.

(h) Preliminary biological opinions. The preliminary biological opinion shall include: (1) a summary of the information on which the opinion is based; (2) a detailed discussion of the effects of the action on listed species or critical habitat; (3) the Service's opinion on whether the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat; (4) reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, if a jeopardy preliminary biological opinion is issued; and (5) conservation recommendations, if any. A statement concerning incidental take will be provided with the preliminary biological opinion. A detailed explanation of incidental take is found under § 402.19 of these regulations. An incidental take statement included in the preliminary biological opinion does not constitute a permit to take any listed species.

(i) Additional data.

(1) When the Service determines that additional information would be helpful in formulating a preliminary biological opinion, the Director may request an extension of early consultation.

(i) If early consultation is extended by mutual agreement, the Federal agency may require that the prospective applicant obtain additional information and conduct, as appropriate, surveys or studies to determine how or to what extent the action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat. The responsibility for conducting and funding these studies belongs to the Federal agency or the prospective applicant, not to the Service. After receipt of the additional information and conclusion of the consultation, the Service shall deliver a biological opinion within 45 days.

(ii) If no extension of formal consultation is agreed to, the Director shall deliver a preliminary biological opinion using the best scientific and commercial data available.

§ 402.15 Formal consultation.

(a) Each Federal agency shall review its actions at the earliest possible time, through informal consultation with the Service, to determine whether any action may adversely affect listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. The Federal agency may obtain information and advice from the Service, but this is supplemental to, and not a substitute for, formal consultation as set forth in this section.

(b) A preliminary biological opinion issued as a result of an early consultation (7(a)(3)) may be treated as an opinion issued during formal consultation (7(a)(2)) if the Service reviews the action before the permit or license is actually issued and finds that there have been no significant changes with respect to both the activity planned and the information used during the early consultation.

(c) If a Federal agency decides, through informal consultation with the Service, that its action will not adversely affect listed species or critical habitat, formal consultation shall not be initiated.

(d) If a Federal agency decides, through informal consultation with the Service, that its action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat, the agency shall initiate formal consultation. A written request to initiate formal consultation shall be submitted to the Director. Where a Federal agency funds or authorizes an action to be carried out by a non-Federal representative, the Federal agency, and not the non-Federal representative shall initiate formal consultation.

(1) Requests for formal consultation shall include: (i) a description of the action to be considered; (ii) a description of the specific area that may be affected by the action; (iii) a description of any listed species or critical habitat that may be adversely affected by the action; (iv) a description of the manner in which the action may adversely affect any listed species or critical habitat and a description of any cumulative effects; (v) reports, including any environmental impact statement, environmental assessment, or biological assessment prepared; (vi) a list of other Federal agencies that have jurisdiction in the action area and how they may be affected; and (vii) any other relevant available information on the action, the affected listed species, or critical habitat.

(2) Each Federal agency requesting formal consultation shall provide the Service with the best biological information available, or which can be developed during the consultation process, for an adequate review of the effects an action may have upon listed

species or critical habitat. This information may include the results of studies or surveys recommended by the Service (in the species list) to be conducted by the Federal agency or the designated non-Federal representative in fulfilling the biological assessment requirement.

(3) The Federal agency may seek assistance from any source to obtain the biological information for an adequate review of the effects an action may have upon listed species or critical habitat. Such assistance may include, but is not limited to, that obtained by contract or required by regulations of the Federal agency. When the issuance of a permit or license or other form of Federal approval or authorization is the subject of the consultation, the Federal agency shall provide the applicant with the opportunity to submit information for consideration during the consultation. Non-Federal representatives also may be authorized by the Federal agency and the Service to provide assistance during the consultation. The ultimate responsibility for compliance with the procedures of this Section remains with the Federal agency and cannot be delegated.

(4) Any request for formal consultation may encompass, subject to the approval of the Director, a number of similar individual actions within a given geographical area or administrative unit, or a segment of a comprehensive plan. This does not relieve the Federal agency of the requirements for considering effects of the action as a whole.

(e) Formal consultation concludes within 90 days after initiation of formal consultation or within such other period of time as discussed below. If an applicant is involved, the Service and the Federal agency may mutually agree to extend the consultation provided the Service submits to the applicant before the close of the 90 days a written statement setting forth (1) the reasons why a longer period is required, (2) the information that is required to complete the consultation, and (3) the estimated date on which the consultation will be completed. A consultation involving an applicant cannot be extended for more than 60 days without the consent of the applicant. Within 45 days after concluding formal consultation, the Service shall deliver a biological opinion to the Federal agency and the applicant, if any.

(f) Service responsibilities during formal consultation: (1) review all relevant information provided by the Federal agency and information otherwise available. Such review may include an on-site inspection of the action area with representatives of the Federal agency or the applicant; (2) evaluate the current status of the listed species or critical habitat; (3) evaluate the effects of the action and any cumulative effects on the listed species or critical habitat; (4) formulate its biological opinion as to whether the action, taken together with cumulative effects, is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat. In formulating its biological opinion, the Service will use the best scientific and commercial data available; (5) discuss with the Federal agency and the applicant, if any, if a jeopardy opinion is issued, the availability of reasonable and prudent alternatives that would avoid violation of Section 7(a)(2), and that the agency and the applicant can take in implementing its action. The Service will utilize the expertise of the Federal agency and the applicant, if any, in identifying these alternatives. If requested by the Federal agency, the Service shall make available to the Federal agency the draft biological opinion before it is finalized for the purpose of analyzing the reasonable and prudent alternatives. When the Service forwards the draft biological opinion to the Federal agency, the 45-day period in which the biological opinion must be delivered will be suspended, and will resume upon return of the draft biological opinion to the Service. If the draft biological opinion is not returned to the Service within a reasonable amount of time, the Service will issue a final biological opinion. The reasonable and prudent alternatives will be based upon the best scientific and commercial data available. If the Service is unable to develop such alternatives, it will indicate that to the best of its knowledge there are no reasonable and prudent alternatives; and (6) formulate conservation recommendations which will assist the Federal agency in meeting its Section 7 obligations, especially Section 7(a)(1).

(g) Conclusions of biological opinions. The biological opinion shall conclude one of the following: (1) that the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (a no jeopardy biological opinion). Such a biological opinion shall include conservation recommendations, if any; or, (2) that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat (a jeopardy biological opinion). Such a biological opinion shall include reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, and conservation recommendation, if any.

(h) Biological opinions. The biological opinion shall include (1) a summary of the information on which the opinion is based; (2) a detailed discussion of the effects of the action on listed species or critical habitat; (3) the Service's opinion on whether the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of a listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat; (4) reasonable and prudent alternatives, if any, if a jeopardy biological opinion is issued; and (5) conservation recommendations, if any. A statement concerning incidental take will be provided with the biological opinion. A detailed explanation of incidental take is found under § 402.19 of these regulations.

(i) Termination of the consultation process. (1) If during informal consultation it is determined that no listed species or critical habitat are in the action area or that there will be no adverse effect on such species or habitat, the consultation process is terminated, and no further action is necessary.

(2) If formal consultation results in a biological opinion stating that the action is not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of the listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, the consultation process is terminated with the issuance of the opinion unless the Federal agency wishes to discuss any conservation recommendations contained in the biological opinion through further discussions. If such further discussion takes place, the consultation process terminates with the Service's written notification from the Federal agency stating its final decision on the action.

(3) If formal consultation results in a biological opinion stating that the action is likely to jeopardize the continued existence of listed species or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat, reasonable and prudent alternatives and conservation recommendations, if any, will be included in the opinion. Although further discussion is not required, it is at this point that the Service strongly recommends it take place, thus continuing the consultation process. If a jeopardy biological opinion is issued, whether further discussion occurs or not, the consultation process terminates with the Service's receipt of a written notice from the Federal agency stating its final decision on the action.

(4) If during any stage of consultation a Federal agency or an applicant determines that its action is not likely to occur, the consultation process is terminated with notice to the Service.

(j) Additional data. (1) When the Service determines that additional information would be helpful in formulating a biological opinion, the Director may request an extension of formal consultation. In this case, with the exception of the circumstances described in § 402.15(j)(2), the following procedures shall apply:

(i) If formal consultation is extended by mutual agreement, according to § 402.15(e), the Federal agency shall obtain additional information and conduct, as appropriate, surveys or studies to determine how or to what extent the action may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat. The responsibility for conducting and funding these studies belongs to the Federal agency and the applicant and not the Service. After receipt of the additional information and completion of the consultation, the Service shall deliver a biological opinion within 45 days.

(ii) If no extension of formal consultation is agreed to, the Director shall issue a biological opinion using the best scientific and commercial data available.

(2) When the action is authorized by a statute that allows the agency to take incremental steps toward the completion of the action and the Service determines that additional information would be helpful in formulating a bilogical opinion, the Service shall, if requested by the Federal agency, issue a biological opinion stating its views on the entire action and the incremental step being considered. Upon the issuance of such a biological opinion, the Federal agency may proceed with or authorize the incremental steps of the action if: (i) the biological opinion does not find that the incremental step would violate Section 7(a(2); (ii) the Federal agency continues the consultation process with respect to the entire action and obtains biological opinions at each incremental step; (iii) the Federal agency fulfills its continuing obligation to conduct the research to develop sufficient data upon which to base the final biological opinion on the entire action; (iv) the incremental step does not violate Section 7(d) of the Act concerning irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources; and (v) there is a reasonable likelihood of ultimate compliance with Section 7(a)(2) of the Act for the entire action.

(k) Service requests consultation. The Director may request a Federal agency to enter into formal consultation if he identifies any action of the agency that has not received prior formal consultation and that may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat. When such a request is made, the Director shall forward to the Federal agency a written explanation of the basis for the request.

§ 402.16 Further discussion.

The consultation process shall continue, if necessary, after the issuance of a bilolgical opinion to discuss alternatives or recommendations to the action. Further discussion may result in refinement of alternative or recommendations or the identification of new alternatives or recommendations. Further-discussion, although not required, gives the Federal agency and the applicant an opportunity to consider any reasonable and prudent alternatives or conservation recommendations and will terminate upon the Service's receipt of a written notice from the Federal agency stating the agency's final decision on the action.

§ 402.17 Responsibilities of Federal agency following issuance of a biological opinion.

(a) Following the issuance of a biological opinion, the Federal agency shall determine whether to proceed with the action in light of its Section 7 obligations and the Service's biological opinion.

(b) If the Federal agency determines it cannot comply with the requirements of Section 7 after consultation with the Service, it may apply for an exemption from the requirements of Section 7(a)(2). Procedures for exemption applications by Federal agencies and others are found in 50 CFR Part 451.

(c) Where the consultation has been consolidated with the interagency cooperation required by other statues such as the NEPA (42 U.S.C. 4321 *et seq.*, implemented at 40 CFR Part 1500) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (16 U.S.C. 661 *et seq.*), the biological opinion of the Service should be stated in the documents required by those statutes.

§ 402.18 Reinitiation of formal consultation.

Reinitiation of formal consultation shall be requested by the Federal agency or by the Service, where Federal control is retained or as authorized by law:

(a) If the Service makes a negative finding under Section 402.15(b);

(b) As specified in the incidental take statement;

(c) If new information reveals effects of the action that may adversely affect listed species or critical habitat in a manner or to an extent not previously considered;

(d) If the identified action is subsequently modified in a manner which was not considered in the biological opinion; or

(e) If a new species is listed or critical habitat designated that may be adversely affected by the identified action.

§ 402.19 Incidental take.

(a) In cases where the Service concludes that an action and the incidental take of listed species will not violate Section 7(a)(2), the Service will provide with the biological opinion a statement concerning incidental take that: (1) specifies the amount or extent of such incidental taking of the species; (2) specifies those reasonable and prudent measures that the Director considers necessary or appropriate to minimize such impact; (3) sets forth the terms and conditions that must be complied with by the Federal agency or applicant (if any) or both, to implement the measures specified under Paragraph (a)(2) of this Section; and (4) specifies the procedures to be used to handle or dispose of any species actually taken.

(b) In order to monitor the impacts of incidental take, the Federal agency or applicant, if any, must report the progress of the action and its impact on the species to the Service as specified in the incidental take statement provided with the biological opinion. The report should reference the action, the consultation number, and summarize the progress as well as listing the date, location, circumstances surrounding any taking of any threatened or endangered species, and the disposition of such species. The reporting requirements will be established in accordance with 50 CFR 13.45(FWS) and Part 222.23(d)(NMFS).

(c) If during the course of the action the amount or extent of incidental taking, as specified in paragraph (a) of this section, is exceeded, the Federal agency or the permit or license applicant shall request reinitiation of consultation immediately.

Dated: May 2, 1983

J. Craig Potter,

Acting Assistant Secretary for Fish and Wildlife and Parks.

Dated: May 13, 1983.

William G. Gordon,

Assistant Administrator for Fisheries, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.

[FR Doc. 83-17494 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-55-M

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

Part III

Department of the Treasury

Comptroller of the Currency

Disposition of Unclaimed Property Recovered From Closed National Banks and New Privacy Act System of Records

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

12 CFR Part 33

[Docket No. 83-29]

Disposition of Unclaimed Property Recovered From Closed National Banks

AGENCY: Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Final rule.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. No. 97-320, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("Office") issues this regulation to establish procedures to facilitate the disposition of unclaimed property recovered from national banks and banks in the District of Columbia that were closed before and during the 1930's by receivers who were appointed by the office.

EFFECTIVE DATE: July 29, 1983.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger S. Williams, Management Analyst, Financial Operations (202) 287-4475 or Brenda Curry or Francis Rath, Attorneys, Legal Advisory Services Division, (202) 447-1880, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. No. 97-320 ("Act"), establishes a process for the disposition of property in the possession or custody of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("Office") that was acquired from receivers of national banks closed before and during the 1930's, and has since remained unclaimed. The Act specifically authorizes the Office to provide final notice of the availability of the unclaimed property and to dispose of property for which no claim is filed and validated. The Act bars the rights of all claimants to obtain the property after a 12-month filing period following the publication of the final notice.

The unclaimed property in the possession of the Office consists primarily of the types of items generally kept in a safe deposit or other bank safekeeping arrangement such as legal and financial documents and personal papers. There also is included a smaller quantity of other objects that may have sentimental, historic or intrinsic worth. None of the property has been professionally appraised and the Office has made no judgment concerning the monetary value of the items.

A notice containing a list of the names of bank customers identified as the last known owners, the names and locations of affected closed banks and a general description of the types of unclaimed property held by the Office is published elsewhere in this issue of the Federal Register.

It should be noted that the Office has incorporated many of the major provisions of the Act into this final rule. For example, the Act specifically establishes the 12-month filing deadline, places restrictions on the inspection and delivery of the property, and provides that the Office will have no legal liability for any sale, delivery, destruction or other disposition of the unclaimed property. As necessary, the Office has supplemented those statutory provisions with procedural standards and guidelines designed to facilitate the orderly and equitable disposition of the property. Additionally, the Office believes that the simultaneous publication of the final notice and the final rule is an effective, as well as an administratively feasible, means of providing potential claimants with information needed to file their claims.

In deciding to require a claims form and substantiating documents, the Office considered the necessity of establishing a uniform process for the evaluation of claims. The use of a standard form to collect essential information in a simple format will accomplish that objective with a minimal burden on the claimants.

Under the Act, the Office has the sole authority to determine the validity of all claims. Its determination can be challenged only in an action filed in the U.S. Court of Claims. The Office's determination will be set aside only if the Court finds that it is arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion or otherwise not in accordance with law. Despite such a finding, the Office is insulated from any legal liability for its conduct.

If multiple claims are filed, the Office will dispose of the property as it deems appropriate in light of the information and documents with which it has been supplied. Disputes of ownership among the claimants may be resolved by private agreement or, if such an agreement is unattainable, in an action filed in a state or federal court of competent jurisdiction. The Act provides that the Office is not to be a party to such a lawsuit.

Finally, the Act gives the Office discretion to dispose of unclaimed property remaining after claims have been filed and validated. It may sell, use, destroy, donate or otherwise dispose of any such property. If the property is sold, the proceeds of the sale are required to be delivered to the United States Department of the Treasury after the Office has recouped its expenses.

Special Analysis

The Office has determined that the notice and public comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act do not apply to this rulemaking. The regulation establishes procedures to govern the disposition of the unclaimed property, and, issued in conjunction with the final notice, implements the provisions of the Act. Because rules of agency procedure, such as these, are expressly exempt from the notice and comment requirements of the Administrative Procedure Act, the regulation is being issued in final form. The provision of the final notice to potential claimants and the establishment of procedures are essential to the prompt and orderly processing of claims. The Office believes that the solicitation of public comment is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest, as it would only delay implementation of the Act and interfere with the return of the property to the rightful owners. Since the Regulatory Flexibility Act applies only to regulations proposed for public comment, the Office will not prepare a Regulatory Flexibility Analysis.

A Regulatory Impact Analysis will not be prepared because the Office has determined that the rulemaking does not constitute a major rule within the meaning of Executive Order 12291. The regulation will not have an annual effect on the economy of $100 million or more; will not affect costs or prices for consumers, individual industries, government agencies or geographic regions; and will not have an adverse effect on competition, employment, investment, productivity, or the ability of United States-based enterprises to compete with foreign-based enterprises in domestic or export markets.

List of Subjects in 12 CFR Part 33

National banks, Unclaimed property.

Authority and Issuance

Accordingly, Title 12 of the CFR is amended by adding a new Part 33 to read as follows:

PART 33—DISPOSITION OF UNCLAIMED PROPERTY RECOVERED FROM CLOSED NATIONAL BANKS

Sec.

Sec.

Authority: 12 U.S.C. 216.

§ 33.1 Authority.

The Office of the Comptroller of the Currency issues this part pursuant to the authority of section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982 (Pub. L. No. 97–320) ("Act"), 12 U.S.C. 216.

§ 33.2 Purpose.

The purpose of this part is to establish procedures to govern the disposition of unclaimed property in the possession or custody of the Office that was recovered from insolvent national banks and banks in the District of Columbia closed before and during the 1930's. Information regarding the filing and processing of claims and the disposition of property is included.

§ 33.3 Definitions.

For purposes of this part, the term:

"Bank" means a national banking association or a bank located in the District of Columbia subject to the supervision of the Office that was closed before or during the 1930's and from which unclaimed property was recovered by a receiver and delivered into the possession or custody of the Office.

"Bank customer" means the person or entity who appears from the records of the receivers appointed by the Office to be the last known owner of the unclaimed property or in whose name the property was held by the bank.

"Claim" means a written assertion of lawful entitlement to, or custody or possession of, unclaimed property that is filed in accordance with requirements established by the Office.

"Claimant" means any person or entity, including a state under its applicable statutory law, asserting a demonstrable legal interest in title to, or custody or possession of, unclaimed property.

"Office" means the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency.

"Unclaimed property" means any document, article, item, asset, other property, or the proceeds thereof, recovered from a safe deposit box or other safekeeping arrangement with a bank that is in the possession, custody, or control of the Office in its capacity as successor to a receiver of the bank.

§ 33.4 General requirements and procedures for filing claims.

(a) *General requirements.* Any person, including an entity or a state, that may have a legal interest in title to, or custody or possession of, any unclaimed property may file a claim.

(b) *Filing of claims.* Only claims filed on an official Office of the Comptroller of the Currency Claims Form will be eligible for processing. Claims forms will be provided upon written or oral request submitted to the Office in Washington, D.C., (202) 287–4475. All claims forms filed with the Office will be acknowledged by letter. Unless so acknowledged by a letter from the Office, no claim will be deemed to be filed. Persons otherwise corresponding with the Office concerning the unclaimed property may request a claims form. That claims form must be completed and returned to the Office within the statutory filing period.

(c) *Time within which claims forms must be filed.* All claims forms must be filed within 12 months of the date of publication in the **Federal Register** of the final notice containing the names of bank customers, the names and locations of affected closed banks and a general description of the types of unclaimed property in the possession or custody of the Office.

(d) *Place for filing claims forms.* Claims forms must be mailed or delivered to the Claims Processing Unit, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219.

(e) *Documentation of claims.* Substantiating documents, instruments and records must be provided in accordance with the instructions to the claims forms.

(f) *Claims filed by the states.* Claims may be filed by states that, under their applicable statutory law, can assert a demonstrable legal interest in the property. State claims will be considered after claims filed by other persons have been determined. In accordance with instructions to the claims form, a claim filed by a state will be accompanied by an opinion from the state's highest legal official (*e.g.*, attorney general) concerning the applicability of relevant state statutory laws and procedures that authorize the state to take possession of the unclaimed property.

§ 33.5 Processing of claims forms.

(a) *Receipt of claims forms.* A claim shall be deemed to be filed with the Office on the date postmarked, if mailed, or, if delivery is made otherwise, on the date of receipt by the Office in Washington, D.C.

(b) *Incomplete claims forms.* In the event a claims form is at any time found to be incomplete as submitted, prepared such that processing is precluded, or not accompanied by adequate substantiating documentation, the Office may request additional information or documents. If the requested information or documents are not provided within the time specified by the Office, the claim may be disallowed.

(c) *Inspection of property.* Only claimants, as defined in this part, will be permitted to inspect unclaimed property for which they have filed a claims form. A reasonable opportunity for inspection in Washington, D.C., will be provided.

(d) *Validation of claims.* The Office is the sole authority for determining the validity of all claims. If the Office determines that more than one claimant has established a valid claim to specific unclaimed property, the Office may consider the available information and documents and, in its discretion, distribute or otherwise dispose of the property, or any proceeds thereof, as it deems appropriate.

(e) *Notification to claimants.* After the 12-month filing period has elapsed, the Office will review and determine the validity of all claims as expeditiously as practicable. The Office will notify each person or entity that has filed a claims form of its determination.

(f) *Delivery of property to claimants.* After the Office determines that a valid claim has been established and that the unclaimed property rightfully should be released, the claimant may take possession of the property from the Office in Washington, D.C. A claimant also may request the Office to deliver the property to a designated location. Expenses associated with the delivery will be paid by the claimant unless waived by the Office. Risk of loss, damage or destruction will be borne by the claimant, and the Office may require the claimant to purchase insurance to cover the risk of any loss.

(g) *Disputes of ownership.* Disputes regarding legal ownership, entitlement, or right to possession may be resolved by court action. Claimants who cannot settle a dispute by private agreement may file an action in any state or federal court of competent jurisdiction. Such an action cannot be filed against the Office, the United States, or any of their officers, employees or agents.

§ 33.6 Disposition of remaining property.

The Office, in its discretion, may sell, use, destroy or otherwise dispose of any property remaining in its possession after the filing period has ended and for which no valid claim has been established. The proceeds of any sale will be turned over to the United States Department of the Treasury after the Office has recouped expenses

associated with the publication of the notice and the handling and processing of the claims. Donation of remaining property also may be made by the Office to non-profit or charitable institutions and organizations, such as the Smithsonian Institution.

§ 33.7 Liability of the Office.

Neither the Office, the United States, nor any of their officers, employees or agents shall be liable for any determination as to the validity of any claim or for the delivery, sale, destruction or other disposition of any unclaimed property. A decision of the Office concerning the disposition of the property can be challenged only in an action filed in the United States Court of Claims. The Court will set aside a decision or disposition of the Office only if it finds it to be arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law.

Dated: May 14, 1983.

C. T. Conover,

Comptroller of the Currency.

[FR Doc. 83-17387 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4810-33-M

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency

[Docket No. 83-30]

Privacy Act of 1974; Proposed New System of Records

AGENCY: Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed new system of records.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974 (5 U.S.C. 552a), the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("Office") gives notice of the following proposed system of records entitled "Treasury/CC 501——. The Unclaimed Property System." Section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. 97-320 ("Act"), clarifies the authority of the Office to dispose of unclaimed property in its possession or custody recovered from national banks and banks in the District of Columbia ("banks") that were closed before and during the 1930's by receivers who were appointed by the Office. This system is established to facilitate the implementation of the Act by gathering and retaining information to be used in connection with the validation of claims filed for the disposition of the property.

The Unclaimed Property System consists of approximately 25 series of programs which edit, update and report on three types of data: (1) Bank (name, location, and charter number of the bank); (2) bank customer account (information pertaining to property of a bank customer identified as the last known owner); and (3) correspondence (information relating to claims and other correspondence received by the Office).

The Office of Management and Budget ("OMB") has been asked to waive the advance notice requirement. The system will be implemented upon receipt of the waiver and after the 30-day notice requirement.

DATE: Comments on the proposed system of records must be received on or before July 29, 1983. If the OMB waives the advance notice requirement and if the Office does not receive comments that are published in a revision incorporating those comments, this system will become effective July 29, 1983.

ADDRESS: Comments should be sent to Docket No. 83-30, Communications Division, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, Washington, D.C. 20219, Attn: C. Christine Jones, (202) 447-1800.

Comments will be available for public inspection and photocopying.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Brenda Curry or Francis Rath, Attornerys, Legal Advisory Services Division, (202) 447-1880 or Roger Williams Jr., Management Analyst, Financial Operations, (202) 287-4475, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219.

Dated: June 22, 1983.

Cora P. Beebe,

Assistant Secretary (Administration).

TREASURY/CC 501—THE UNCLAIMED PROPERTY SYSTEM

SYSTEM NAME:

Unclaimed Property System.

SYSTEM LOCATION:

Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219.

CATEGORIES OF INDIVIDUALS COVERED BY THE SYSTEM:

Individuals who have filed claims in connection with the disposition of unclaimed property in the possession or custody of the Office and individuals who are referenced in those claims.

CATEGORIES OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

The Unclaimed Property System consists of approximately twenty-five (25) series of programs and includes, *inter alia:* (1) Ledgers containing information obtained from receivers of the banks including: (a) The names, locations and charter numbers of the banks, (b) names of bank customers identified as the last known owners of the unclaimed property, (c) a description of the types of property recovered from the banks; (2) claims forms, letters and other written correspondence, documents, records or memoranda submitted by claimants seeking possession of the property; and (3) internal memoranda, letters, reports, records of telephone calls and inquiries, appraisals, invoices, and other miscellaneous internal Office records.

AUTHORITY FOR MAINTENANCE OF THE SYSTEM:

12 U.S.C. 1, 12 U.S.C. 9, 12 U.S.C. 216, 5 U.S.C. 301.

ROUTINE USES OF RECORDS MAINTAINED IN THE SYSTEM, INCLUDING CATEGORIES OF USERS AND THE PURPOSES OF SUCH USE:

Routine uses of these records include: (1) Evaluation and determination of claims filed in connection with the disposition of the unclaimed property by the Office; (2) Disclosure of information about specific unclaimed property to persons who, in the opinion of the Office, have demonstrated a legal interest in title to, or ownership or possession of, that property; (3) Disposition and delivery of property to claimants whose claims are determined to be valid; (4) Routine disclosure of information to authorized employees and officials of the Office and the Department of the Treasury; and (5) Location of the unclaimed property in the Office's safekeeping vault. For additional routine uses, see Appendix.

POLICIES AND PRACTICES FOR STORING, RETRIEVING, ACCESSING, RETAINING, AND DISPOSING OF RECORDS IN THE SYSTEM:

STORAGE

These records are maintained in a computer data base and in file cabinets.

RETRIEVABILITY

All records are indexed on a variety of data fields including: (1) The name of the claimant; (2) the name of the bank customer; (3) the bank charter number and claimant account number; and (4) the name and charter number of the closed bank.

SAFEGUARDS

All records are indexed through computer indices. Only employees within the Office with proper user identification and passwords will have access to the computer. The file cabinets will be locked when the Office is vacant.

RETENTION AND DISPOSAL

Records are generally maintained in the on-line data base until it is determined that on-line access is not required. Thereafter the records are archived in off-line storage. Records in the file cabinets are to be maintained indefinitely. The records, however, will be reviewed periodically to determine if any of them can be safely discarded.

SYSTEM MANAGER(S) AND ADDRESS:

Management Analyst, Financial Operations, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219; (202) 287-4475.

NOTIFICATION PROCEDURE:

Individuals who wish to be notified if they are named in the system of records, or gain access to records maintained in this system must submit a written request containing the following: (1) Identity of the record system; (2) Identity of the category and type of records sought; (3) The location of the Comptroller of the Currency office where the record might be stored; and (4) Provide at least two items of

secondary identification (date of birth, employee identification number, dates of employment or similar information). Individuals seeking notification may be required to include a notarized statement attesting to identity.

RECORD ACCESS PROCEDURES:

Requests should be submitted to: Director, Public Affairs, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219.

CONTESTING RECORD PROCEDURES:

See record access procedures, above.

RECORD SOURCE CATEGORIES:

(1) Information received from claimants in connection with the Office's disposition of unclaimed property; and (2) information provided to the Office by receivers of national banks and banks in the District of Columbia that were closed before and during the 1930's.

[FR Doc. 83-17389 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-33-M

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

Part IV

Department of the Treasury

Comptroller of the Currency

Disposition of Unclaimed Property Recovered From Closed National Banks

DEPARTMENT OF TREASURY

Comptroller of the Currency

[Docket No. 83-28]

Disposition of Unclaimed Property Recovered From Closed National Banks

AGENCY: Comptroller of the Currency, Treasury.

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: Pursuant to the provisions of section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. No. 97-320, the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("Office") issues this notice to provide information relating to the disposition of unclaimed property recovered from national banks and banks in the District of Columbia that were closed before and during the 1930's by receivers who were appointed by the Office. This notice will provide a list of the names of bank customers identified as the last known owners, the names and locations of affected closed banks and a general description of the types of unclaimed property in the possession or custody of the Office.

ADDRESS: Requests for claims forms or additional information about any unclaimed property should be directed to the Claims Processing Unit, Office of the Comptroller of the Currency, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20219 (202) 287-4475.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Roger S. Williams, Management Analyst, Financial Operations (202) 287-4475, or Brenda Curry or Francis Rath, Attorneys, Legal Advisory Services Division (202) 447-1880, 490 L'Enfant Plaza East, SW., Washington, D.C. 20219.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Section 408 of the Garn-St Germain Depository Institutions Act of 1982, Pub. L. No. 97-320 ("the Act"), establishes a process for the disposition of property in the possession or custody of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("Office") that was acquired from receivers of national banks closed before and during the 1930's, and has since remained unclaimed. The Act specifically authorizes the Office to provide final notice of the availability of the unclaimed property and to dispose of property for which no claim is filed and validated. The Act bars the rights of all claimants to obtain the property after a 12-month filing period following the publication of the final notice. A regulation establishing procedures to facilitate the disposition of the unclaimed property is published in the rules section of this issue of the **Federal Register.**

The greatest volume of the property held by the Office consists of legal, financial and personal papers, including abstracts, leases, titles, receipts, letters, agreements, passports, notes, stock certificates, mortgages, deeds, wills, bills of sale, bonds, contracts, insurance policies and certificates of deposit. There also is included a smaller quantity of watches, jewelry, flatware, guns, coins, currency and household and other miscellaneous items. None of the property has been appraised professionally and the Office has made no judgment concerning the monetary value of the items.

Pursuant to the requirements of the Act, notice is hereby given that the persons or entities whose names are set forth below appear, from the records of the receivers appointed by the Office, to be entitled to property now in the possession or custody of the Office.

Dated: May 14, 1983.

C. T. Conover,

Comptroller of the Currency.

BILLING CODE 4810-33-M

ABBEVILLE AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ABBEVILLE

ABBEVILLE GUANO CO.
ABBEVILLE LOAN CO.
ALBANY HARDWARE & MILL SUPPLY CO.
ARNOLD E. C.
BETHUNE, W. C.
CLENNEY, DAVE R.
GRASSELLI CHEMICAL CO.
HENDLEY, J. E.
KINEY, E. W.
LYNN, W. S.
NEWMAN, MRS. S. A.
NEWMAN, ROBERT
REYNOLDS, B. T.
REYNOLDS, MRS. CARRIE
SKIPPER, MRS. MAT
TRAWICK, F. D.
WHITEHEAD, JOHN B.
WILLIS, T. T.

ABBEVILLE AL

THE HENRY NATIONAL BANK OF ABBEVILLE

MEDLOCK, GUY
WOOD, J. D.

ANDALUSIA AL

THE ANDALUSIA NATIONAL BANK

ABLE, EMMA MISS
ADKISON, D.
ADKISON, S. D.
ALBRITTON, MARY LEAH
ALBRITTON, W. H.
ATKISON, J. O. & T. D.
AYCOCK, B. F.
BAGGETT, J. F.
BEAN, C. D.
BEASLEY, N. E. MRS.
BECKWORTH, JOHN
BENTON, SAMUEL E.
BIGGS, IDA L.
BLACKMAN, J. W.
BLUE, REESE
BOYETT, M. A.
BRADLEY, ALF
BRADLEY, MOSE
BRAKE, A.
BRITTON, W. H.
BRUNSON, M. E.
BUSH, S. M.
CAMPBELL, A. B.
CAMPBELL, W. J.
CHAPMAN, C. H. DR.
CHISM, WILEY
CLARK, J. H.
CLEMENTS, J. N.
COFIELD, EFFIE MRS.
COLE, MABLE COSTEN
COVINGTON COUNTY HOSP.
CRENSHAW, ALLEN
CROSS, JOSEPHINE
CROSS, JULIE E. MRS.
CROWDER, C. B.
DILLARD, A. B. MRS.
DILLARD, J. A.
DIXON, J. P.
DIXON, SOLON
DRINKARD, W. C.
DUBOSE, W. H.
ELLIOTT, J. C.
ELLIOTT, JEFF
ELLISON, ALBERT JAMES
FAISON, C. D.
FLOYD, ROBERT L.
FRANKLIN, AMANDA
GALLOPS, I. L. MRS.
GANEY, W. D.
GANTT, M. C.
GATLIN, E. L.
GILMORE, T. A.
GRANTHAM, F. L. MRS.
GREEN, W. S.
GRESHAM, G. L.
GRIFFIN, RUDIE LEE
GUY, J. H. MRS.
HAMMETT, T. J.
HARRELSON, EUGENE
HART, REUBIN I.
HART, W. I.
HASSELL, G. A.
HASSELL, J. I.
HAYES, T. J.
HELMS, R. J.
HENDERSON, E. M.
HENDERSON, M. E.
HENDERSON, W. B.
HENLEY - C. C.
HICKS, B. C.
HICKS, G. W.
HICKS, J. N.
HIXON, GEO. H.
HOLLAND, J. J.
HOLLOWAY, M. M.
HORTON, G. W.
HUGGINS, COACH
HUGGINS, SAMUEL
HUTCHISON, S. A.
JAGOE, C. D. MRS.
JERNIGAN, JOSEPH
JONES, J. D.
JONES, J. H. SR.
JONES, J. M.
JONES, M. T.
JONES, W. A.
KENNEDY, ALICE
KILPATRICK, A. J.
KING, M. O.
KIRKPATRICK, G. A. MRS.
KNOWLES, E. C.
KNOWLES, E. L.
LEWIS, ELY & WIFE
LINGOLD, L. E.
LITTLE, J. A.
LLOYD, F. E.
LUCAS, J. S.
LUNSFORD, JOHN H.
LUTEN, EMMET L.
MADDOX, M. J.
MANCIL, A.
MCDANIEL, J. W.
MCGEE, JEWELL
MCINTOSH, J. R.
MCKEE, HUGH
MCKINNEY, LUCIOUS M.
MCKINNEY, M. M.
MCLELLAND, W. L.
MCLEOD, R. N.
MCNEIL, CALLIE
MORE, E. S.
MOTT, J. D.
NICHOLS, G. H.
NOLEN, R. S.
O'NEAL, C. A.
OGLETREE, J. M.
PADGET, ANGIE H. MRS.
PADGETT, ANDREW
PADGETT, B. B.
PALMER, W. F.
PAULK, W. T.
PAYNE, P. L.
PENNINGTON, MAGNOLIA
PINKERSTON, JAMES D.
POWELL, I. T.
PRESTWOOD, JAMES A.
PRUITT, MARY
PRYON, W. T.
PYTHIAN HOME FUND
READY, L. D.
RILEY, W. H.
RIVER FALLS LAND CO.
ROBBINS, GEORGE B.
ROBINSON, E. H. JR.
RODGERS, O. H.
RODGERS, R. J. & H. T.
SCRUGGS, DANA
SHERLOCK, C. J.
SMITH, S. O.
SPEARS, GEORGIA MRS.
STEWART, MARION
STRAUGHN, JOHN
STRICKLAND, SUSAN
STUDSTILL, L. M.
TATE, PAUL E.
TAYLOR, G. T.
TAYLOR, J. M.
TIPTON, W. A.
TISDALE, W. R.
TOLLISON, JOHN J.
TREST, MARY A.
TUCKER, A. B. MR. & MRS.
TURK, HETTIE C.
VARDAMAN, L. B.
VEASEY, N. W.
WEANTT, B. H.
WHATLEY, J. B.
WHITE, L. W.
WIGGIN, C. M.
WIGGINS, S. L.
WILKINSON, JAMES
WILLIAMS, MATTIE
WILSON, C. C.
WINDHAM, THOMAS N.
WOODHAM, A. W.
WOOHAM, A. T.
WORTHINGTON, C. C.

BESSEMER AL

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF BESSEMER

BRADY, BRAWLEY
BRADY, JOHN
MOORE, J. R.
PHILLIPS, AMY LEE
TY, WON

DECATUR AL

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN DECATUR

CURRY, W. A.
HARKREADER, HENRY D.
MOORE, I. Z.
WRIGHT, MOLLIE

DOTHAN AL

THE HOUSTON NATIONAL BANK OF DOTHAN

BAKER, EULA I. MRS.
BATEMAN, LUCY MRS.
BELL, G. R.
BLACKWELL, J. S.
BOGAR, MARY
BRACKIN, W. L.
BROWN, L. M.
BROWN, R. W.
BURDESHAW, THOMAS
CALLOWAY, WILLIAM ANDERSON
CARTER, J. T.
CHERRY, ETHEL IRENE MRS.
CHERRY, M. E. MRS.
CHRISTMAS, W. J.
CRAWFORD, D. D. MRS.
CUTCHEN, F. C. (T.C.)
DAWKINS, R. H.
DIXIE HAULING COMPANY
ETHERIDGE, J. R.
FAULK, J. W.
GAINOUS, VALDA BELL MISS
GOLDSTUCKER BROTHERS
HODGES, J. T.
HOFFMAN, E. E.
HOLLAND, J. M.
HOLMES, SARAH MRS.
JAMES, J. D.
JOHNSON, M. A.
JONES, J. E.
KINGRY, A. A.
LAMBERT, ANDREW B.
LANE, I. T.
LONG, EVELYN
MANCILL, A. L.
MARTIN, H. K.
MCENTYRE, E. D.
MCLENDON, J. C.
POWELL, J. T.
REGISTER, LUTHER
RUDOLPH, M. M.
SMITH, CHARLES A.
SMITH, MARY LEE
STILL, E. C.
STITH, DENNIS
THOMAS, W. P.
THOMLEY, J. T.
TIDWELL, J. T.
TINDELL, JOSEPH
TINDELL, MARY F.
TRAWICK, M. P.
WARD, SAMUEL JOSEPH
WATSON, W. A.
WHITE, GAY BRUCE
WHITE, J. L.
WILSON, H. M.

ELBA AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELBA

HAM, CATHRINE
HAM, JESSIE
MADDOX, WILLIAM CORNELIOUS
SAWYER, ALFRED

ENTERPRISE AL

THE FARMERS AND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK OF ENTERPRISE

GRICE, JOHN S.
HENDERSON, BUD
PARKER, P. L. JR.
VAUGHAN, JOHN R.

EUTAW AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EUTAW

ALLMAN, J. W.
BARNES, W. J.
BEVERLY, SHIRLEY BERRYMAN
BURKHALT, C. L.
CAMERON, LUCIAN LEE
CLARK, J. P. MRS.
COOK, AURELIA E.
COOK, E. B.
COOK, MONROE
CROSS MERCANTILE CO.
CROSS, FLEM L.
DENSON, J. T.
DENSON, JAMES THOMAS
DUNLAP CLAY CO.
EATMAN, E. C. JR.
EATMAN, WM. & FOSTER R.
EUTAW GROCERY CO.
EUTAW WATER WORKS, ELECTRIC & POWER CO.
FERRELL, DEWEY MATTISON
FERRELL, EDGAR EARL
FOREMAN, W. S.
GORDON, FRED A.
GRAY, JAMES E.
GREENWOOD, JEROME CLANTON
HAFFNER, T. T.
HATTER, R. H.
HOLLINGSWORTH, MCDUFF
HORTON, CEBELLO
HOWZE, JOHN
INGRAMS, GEO. DR.
JARVIS, F. P.
KELLEY, JAMES HORACE
LAMB, T. G.
LUCIUS, J. C.
LUCIUS, RICHARD S.
MALONE, CORNELIUS
MIZE, ANNIE L.
MOORE, CLARICE F.
MORRIS, MINOR M.
MOSS, MELVIN
NEW DOLLARHIDE CLUB
ODOM, WILLIAM C.
PAN AMERICAN OIL CO.
PARTIN MANUFACTURING CO.
PEARCE, THOMAS HOBSON
PLUMP, A. S.
POLLARD, L. F.
PRICE, SAM
PULLEN, JAMES H.
RICE, ROBERT THOMAS
ROBSON, L. I.
SALTER, ROBERT PEARSON
SALTER, ROBERT Y.
SELDEN, SAUNDERS
SMITH, STEPHEN W.
SUTTLES, WILLIAM LUCIUS
TAYLOR, C. H.
THOMPSON, IDA M.
THOMPSON, W. M.
TRICE, D. H.
WEST PUBLISHING CO.
WILLIAMS, PENNY E. MRS.
WINN, SUMNER
WRIGHT, THOMAS C.

GADSDEN AL

THE GADSDEN NATIONAL BANK

CLARKSTON, CHAS. C.
FARMER, W. W.
MARSH, F. E.
TYSON, I. D.

GREENSBORO AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GREENSBORO

BLUNT, J. A.
BURTON, W. S.
CHILDRESS, H. C.
COOPER, A. B.
DEGRAFFENRIED, M. M. MRS.
ELLIS, MARTHA JANE
ERWIN, CAD
GARRISON, JESSE F.
HARPER, FLORENCE R.
HARRALL, AARON
HARRALL, JAMES
HOWELL, MAX
HUTT, A. M.
JONES, BARBARA B.
JONES, NEWTON E.
KNAPP, JOHN
KNIGHT, THOS. E.

LAVENDER, FLORENCE
MACRIS, C. D. DANIEL DRY GOODS CO.
MASSEY, JAMES A.
MCCRORY, JOHN S.
MCGEE, H. W.
MOORE, F. M.
MUNDAY, SYD
OTTSAND, LELIA J.
OVERSTREET, W. W.
PEARSON, WILLIAM
PERKINS, E. J.
PICKETT, DAVE
POWERS, E. A. & SONS
SCHOPPERT, R. M.
SEAL LUMBER CO.
SLEDGE, L. B.
TAYLOR, SARAH
TERRY, CHAS. W.
VIZARD INVESTMENT CO.
YOUNG, C., ESTATE OF
YOUNG, GEORGIA
YOUNG, HENRY T.
YOUNG, MRS. H. T.

LINCOLN AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LINCOLN

DAVENPORT, A. H.

OZARK AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OZARK

ACH, THE SAMUEL CO.
ADAMS, ANDREW L.
BECKHAM, L. W. & P.
BOYKIN, AMOS.
BYRD, S. J.
CASEY MULE CO.
COLLETT, J. T. & B. M.
COX, W. D.
DELONY, J. A.
DOSTER, HOMER
DOSTER, PAUL CLAY
DOTHAN NATIONAL BANK
DOWLING, G. P.
DOWLING, S. E.
DOWLING, S. M.
DOWLING, SIMEON
DUNLAP, J. C. REV.
EDWARDS, CHARLES A. B. JR.
FIRST NAT'L BANK, PANAMA CITY
FITZGERALD, W. E.
GARNER, BARTOW
HALLAN, J. T. MRS.
HALSTED, A. J.
HARRINGTON, J. L.
HINES, S. M.
HOLMAN, ALBERT
HOLMAN, J. D.
HOLMAN, M. B.
HOLMAN, Y. ALLEN
HOUSTON GUANO CO.
HOWELL, J. C. & MRS. J. C.
HUEY, W. S.
KENNEDY, C. G.
LEWIS, W. H.
MATHISON, A. A. MRS.
MILBURY ATLANTIC MFG. CO.
MONK, C. W.
MOSELY, W. H.
MUNN, D. G.
PHILLIPS, E. R. JR.
POWELL, L. P. MRS.
RATLEY, W. R.
RICHLAND STATE BANK
ROBINSON, A. M. CO.
SAMPLES, WILLIAM GORDON
SAWYERS, J. T.
SIMMONS, MARVIN A.
STROTHER, C. F. DR.
STUBBS, A. B. JR.
THROWER, OSCAR
WALTON, ELLIS C.
WALTON, ELLIS E.
WATKINS, J. A.
WATKINS, J. C. MRS.
WELCH, DAYLER
WILLOUGHBY, SIDNIE
WILSON, W. W.
WITT, GEO. D. SHOE CO.
WOODHAM, C. W.
YELVERTON, JOHN

PRATTVILLE AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PRATTVILLE

CALDWELL, GENEVA
GIVENS, WILL
HARDY, HESSIE
MALICHI, RICHARD
SEALE, ISAAC
WILLIAMS, RACHEL
WILSON, BEULAH

SYLACAUGA AL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK SYLACAUGA

COLEMAN, G. H.
MCLEOD, W. J.

HELENA AR

THE INTERSTATE NATIONAL BANK OF HELENA

BARKSDALE, W. R.
BENNETT, C. O. MRS.
BRADLEY, N. E. MRS.
BROWN, W. C.
HAMMONDS, G. W.
MANAUGH, D. I.
MARTIN, J. B.
MCDANIEL, PETER
MILLER, LIZZIE
REMBERT, F. T.
SMITH, L. D.
SMITH, WALTER
THOMAS, J. T.
WALSH, WILL

JUNCTION CITY AR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF JUNCTION CITY

VICKERS, J. F.

MARIANNA AR

THE LEE COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF MARIANNA

GALBRAITH, G. C.
GATES, W. I.
JOHNSTON, WILL
MARSHALL, A. H.
MCKINNEY, G. D.
NEAL, R. H.
OWENS, OSCAR
PAYNE, J. L.
PEOPLES SAVINGS BANK
SIMMONS, J. W.
SMITH, R. W.

NEWPORT AR

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF NEWPORT

CHURCHWELL, SCHLEY
HALLMARK, J. W.
HINTON, J. H.
ROBERTS, B. R.
RUNYAN, LOLA

ROGERS AR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROGERS

DUNCAN, RHEA

WALDRON AR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WALDRON

BLACK, J. W.
CRAIG, W.
FROST, JACK
WAGNER, W. F.
WAGNER, WM.

MESA AZ

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MESA

AMES, C. L. MRS.
ANDERSON-LE SUEUR
APACHE LAND AND COTTON CO.
ARIZ. PIONEER ROOFING CO.
ARIZ. PYTHIAN HOME
ARIZONA CORP. COMMISSION
ARMSTRONG, G. J.
ATTAWAY, EDWARD G.
BAILEY - FREESTONE
BARKER, J. A.
BEAUMONT, CHAS.
BELL, J. L.
BODILY, CHAS. D.
BOLT, IVA
BOSWORTH, WILFORD
BRIMHALL, C. M.
BROWN, EDW. & SON CO.
BROWN, PETER H.
BROWNLEE, H. B.
CARLSON, E.
CASSIDAY, JAMES L.
CHANDLES, H. L.
CLEMANS, MAUDE S.
CODE, W. H.
COOPER, O. M.
CRANDALL, M. H.
CRISMON, BERT
CROUSE, R. E.
CUMMINGS, J. W.
DALRYMPLE, LEONARD J.
DANFORD, A. C.
DARPHIN, E.
DIAZ, MATEO
DOWLER, A. E.
DUDLEY, A. W.
ELLSWORTH, ERNEST
ETHINGTON, P. P.
FESMIRE, E. C.
FINCHER, THOS. J.
FISHER, THEODORE
FRASER, J. J.
FREESTONE, GEO. E.
FRICKER, LOUISE
FULLEN, C. G.
FULLER, F. J.
GANN, GEO.
GATES, GEO. D.
GIBBONS, M. L.
GILBERT GINNING CO.
GRAY, EDITH
GROHART, WALTER
HANNA, E. W.
HARVEY, F. B.
HAYMORE, A. S.
HEMBEAU, PETER
HEMPERLY AND SON
HEMPERLY, W. W. CORP. COMMISSION
HILL, W. P.
HORNE, J. F. (PROBABLY)
HORNE, JOHN F.
HORNE, LOUIS
HUNNICUTT, M. J. MRS.
HUTCHINSON, E. A.
IRVIN, WM.
JACKSON, A. A.
JOHNSON, ERIC
JOHNSON, J. L.
JOHNSON, LESTER
JOHNSON, MAUDE A.
JORGENSON, PETER
KESLER, F. CHRISTENA & KESLER, R. D.
KORTSEN, JAMES
LAMB, MARK V.
LAMOREAUX, GUY D.
LAYTON, CLAUDE
LEWIS, L. R.
LEWIS, MARTHA
LIGHT, G. P.
MARTIN, M. W.
MATLOCK, J. A.
MCCLELLAN, L. H.
MCGINTY, EARL
MCKNIGHT, S. H.
MERRIMAN, BERTHA
MESA EGYPTIAN COTTON EXCHANGE
MING, BUD
MORRIS, T. S.
NELSON, W. G.
NEWALL, MARK MRS.
NEWELL, JOHN J.LAND AND COTTON CO.
NICHOLS, HUGH
NORTH MAGMA COPPER CO.
NOTLEY, S. H.
OWEN, FRANK
OWENS, FRANK
OWENS, M. C. MRS.
PEARCE, GEO. W.
PETERSON, JNO. W.
PEW, E. H.
POMEROY-HILL-MAUK
POWER, J. O.
PRATT, CHESTER R.
PRICE, EFFIE
RANDALL, GEO.
RAYMOND, A.
RIGDON, PEARL
RODEHEAVER, MARY
ROGERS, JOS. R.
ROLLINS, EMMA
ROWLS, R. R.
SANDERSON, JONES, BROWN, SCOTT
SANDOVAL, ATANACIO
SCUDDER, B. H.
SHELDON, F. M.
SHUMAY, W. H.
SILVERTHORNE & VAN SPANCKEREN
SMALLEY, HARRY
SMITH, W. T.
SORENSON, JOHN
SPANGLER, J. G.
SPONSELLER, S. A.
STAHL, BEATRICE
STANLEY, W. A. OR M. W.
STARR, C. H.
STEWART, ELLA H.
STEWART, W. R.
TIMBERLAKE, E. M.
WEATHERSLEY, EMMA J.
WILCOX, CARRIE B.
WILFORD, C. M.
WOLFORD, C. W.
WOMACH, J. A.
WRIGHT, R. H.

NOGALES AZ

THE NOGALES NATIONAL BANK

BOSCH, LYLA

TUCSON AZ

THE TUCSON NATIONAL BANK

AROS, ELVIRA
AROS, ESPERANZA
ATTIX, JULIA A.
BARNES, HARRY
BELT, J. C.
BENEDICT, F. O.
BLANKENSHIP, LON
BOROWSKI, MABEL E.
BOROWSKI, S.
BOSTICK, JEROME C.
CAPEHART, W. P.
CELESLINS SANCHEZ
CLARK, LIZZIE
CLEMENT, JENNIE R.
FORTNER, ALEX
HAMILTON, R. B. & WIFE
HANNING, H. A.
HANSFORD, CHAS. W.
HANSFORD, MINNIE
HARTZELL, J. M.
JONES, JESSE W. MRS.
JONES, W. W.
KENDALL, NATHAN
KENWARD, ELLA
KENWARD, S. W.
LOURANT, PEARL
LOVE, T. D.
MALONE, H. E.
MCAVOY, F. F.
MCGRATH, MIKE ESTATE OF
MCQUAID, J. C.
MIGNARDOT, C.
O'DOWD, AMELIA
O'SHEA, ROBERT G.
OFFILL, J. F.
PENFIELD, H. H.
PERRINE, O. W.
PHILLIPS, MAUDE E.
PHILLIPS, ROBT.
PIMA LBR. CO.
PIMA LUMBER CO.
PIMA REALTY CO.
PRATT, FRANK J.
RANSDELL, C.
ROBBINS, JACK
RODRIGUEZ, P. C.
ROGERS, ANN E.
RYLAND AND ZIPF
SALGADO, PETER P.
SANDERS, G. G.
STINSON, J. W.
SUBURBAN IMPROVEMENT CO.
SWISSHELM GOLD SILVER CO.
THOMAS, C. A.
THOMPSON, M. A.
WALLACE, CHARLOTTA
WARD, THOMAS
WARNER, GEORGIA MRS.

ANAHEIM CA

ANAHEIM FIRST NATIONAL BANK

BOYD, HARRIET K.
CONNELL, H. E.
HELLING, W. E.
MCDOWELL, J. E.
MUSGROVE, IVA P.
NOLL, H. P.
WILKINSON, TOM
WILLIS - MAY

ARTESIA CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ARTESIA

ALVES, F. C.
ALVES, JOE C.
ARELLANES, LIZZIE
ARELLANES, MARION
ARTIEDA, MARCELLINO
AUSTIN, R. M.
AVILA, JOHN V.
BAUMSTARK, L. A.
BEAZLEY, WM.
BLOXHAM, M. H.
BOLT, ROSELLA
BROWN, EMILY G.
CABACO, JOE M.
CARTER, J. R. MRS.
CLOTHIER, GEORGE
COMPASO, M. R.
COSTA, FRANK
CRABTREE, O. C.
DE VRIES, MIKE
EDLUND, N. F.
FLADER, ED
GANN, W. V.
GIDEON, NORMAN A.
GOEDDEL, JOHN J.
HATHAWAY, H. C.
HEAGERTY, PATRICK
HOGE, C. L.
HOLLEMAN, R. L.
HUMPHREYS, EVA
INGRAM, ROLAND B.
IRWIN, LUCINDA
JAMES, J. R.
JANTZEN, ARTHUR
JANTZEN, FREDERICK
JOHNSON, CLEVE
JUNIOR, JOE M.
KIRK, HUGH R.
LARSEN, H. C.
LEDFORD, C. O.
LOPES, FRANK
LUEBKEMAN, C.
MANCEBO, TONY
MANTZ, SARAH
MARTIG, C. S.
MARTIN, J. C.
MARTINS, FRANK
MCNEILL, MARY ANN MRS.
MCVAY, DAPHNE
MCWILLIAMS, G. L.
MELGOZA, M.
MEYERS, AUGUSTA MRS.
NELSON, A. C. ET UX
NORTON, FAYE
NOWELS, D. C.
OKELL, MARY
OSBORNE, J. H.
PRINCE, EDWARD
REGALDO, FRANK
RENNIE BROS.
RITTER, JOSEPH
ROA, B.
SCHUFER, ANNIE
SEMINARIO, SANTIAGO
SHINDOLLAR, JOHN
SHRODE, R. R.
SILVA, JOAQUIN N.
SIMAS, FRANK
SIMAS, OLIVERA M.
STEVES, F. C.
STEVES, JOE C.
STOCKS, L. G.
STRICKLAND, M. G.
THOWSON, E.
TOBLER, JOE
TOYODA, J. H.
TRIICK, ROBERT
TUNSTALL, S. G.
TUPPER, C. V.
TWEEDY, GEORGE W. MR.
VANNESTE, A.
VENABLE, A. E.
VERSTUYFT, C.
VERSTUYFT, GEO.
VIERRA, FRANK
VON ALLMEN, JOHN
VON PAEPEGHEM, BEN
WATTS, J. L.
WRIGHT, IRENE MRS.
WRIGHT, L. H.
WRIGHT, SLOAN O.
YANO, T.

BALDWIN PARK CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK BALDWIN PARK

BROWN, A. S.
BUTNER, R. E.
COOPER, ERNEST J.
FENSLER, HARRY M.
HAUSER, HENRY
HOPKINS, DANIEL
KELLOGG, JACK
SALES, GEO. S.
SALES, GEO. STANLEY
SCHULTZ, JACK
WILHELM, CARL & FREDERICK

BEVERLY HILLS CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BEVERLY HILLS

ANTHONY, LAURA
ARNOLD, FRANK B. MRS.
BAILY, EST. OF FISHER C.
BAIRD, ARTHUR MRS.
BENNER, ALBOR H.
BEVERLY HILLS SECURITIES CORP
BEVERLY HILLS SECURITIES CORP.
BLACK, A. C. MRS.
BOLLMAN, HENRY O.
BOTTLER, E. D.,JR.
BRENNAN & CO., B. A.
BUNCH, T. L.
BUSCHE, CHARLES H.
CALWIS INV. CORP.
CHANCELLOR, PHILLIP
CHOTINER, MURRAY
CRUICE, FREDERICK
DE PUIZ, EVA L. DE GURVARVA
DURANT, R. C.
FIELDS, FRED J.
FISHER, MARION V.
FRANKLIN, GEORGE
GANTUS, N. J.
GARDNER, FREDERICK D., ET UX
GARDNER, W. L.
GAST, L. W.
GONNSEN, M. P. ET UX.
GRAHAM, ELIZABETH J. MRS.
GROSS, HOWARD A.
HARTMAN, RICHARD S.
HASENBURGER, JOSEPH F.
HAYS, CHARLES H.
HERSEY, LODESKA G. MRS.
HEWES, F. W.
HOMMES, RAY L.
HUFF, D. E.
JOHNSON, EST. OF C. C. & CHERIE
JONES, A. C.
JONES, LUCILLE YOUNG
KELBY, AULTA D.
KERR, ELIZABETH
KETTELLE, H. R.
KITTLE, WM. H.
LEE, VIRGINIA M.
MANON, MARCIA
MARKOWITZ, W.
MARLENEE, PHOEBE M.
MASON, W. P.
MATTIESSEN, FRANK G.
MAY, PETER
MCCARTHY, JUSTIN T.
MCINNES, IDA B.
MEYER, WILLIAM G.
MORRA, M.
MORRISON, H. MARVIN
MOSS, UNA E. G.
MOULTON, W. H.
NUGENT, MARY ETHEL
PAULSON, GEO. MRS.
PECK, RUDOLPH
PHOTO ELECTRIC CORP LTD.
PLAZA REALTY CO.
POWELL, FRANK
PRICE, W. D.
QUINN, JOHN B.
REED, HOBART
RICHARDS, D. D. ET AL
RILEY, MARY M.
ROGERS, C. P.
SEALOCK, A. C.
SHEPHERD, R. F.
SMITH, PAUL S.
TEAGUE, G. J.
THE GABLES CLUB
THOMAS KELLY & SONS, INC.
THREE ARCH MUTUAL WATER COMPANY
TYLER, GROVE L.
WALBY, WILLIAM G.
WALKER, STELLA
WELSH, EDW. M.
WHITE, EDWARD J.
WOOLSEY, H. B.
WOOLWINE, LAURAINE

BISHOP CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BISHOP

BLAKEMORE, LEONARD G.
JACKSON, JACK

BLYTHE CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BLYTHE

GLADE, A. T.
MCDONELL, ALEX

CHICO CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL TRUST AND SAVINGS BANK OF CHICO

BENNETT, JOSEPH
CROCKER, C. C.
DAY, F. M.
LOVELY, AMELIA
MACKEY, BERTHA
MALLOY, ALICE
MCCLARD, W. B.
MEYER, ARTHUR H. & CONSTANCE E.
MORROW, ANNA J.
MORROW, FRANK E.
SULLIVAN, RAYMA LEE

FRESNO CA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN FRESNO

APPLING, FRED A.
AUTO BODY & RADIATOR CO.
CAMP, P. C.
CASTELLON, ALEX
CORVELLO, A. R.
CULLER, H. L.
CURUTCHET, J.
EMORY, L. V.
ETCHEGOIN, R.
GROSS, ALEX M.
HILLYER, C. C. MRS.
JACKSON, BEN J.
LUBENCO, MARTIN JR.
MCINTURFF, S. J. MRS.
NISHIMURA, F. K.
PLETCHER, FRANK H. GDN. & MARION F.
PLETCHER, FRANK H. GDN. & RALPH R.
POZZONI
RICHARDSON, W. W.
ROGERS, STUART
SALEY, GEO. H.
SINGH, CHANION
SPANGLE, A. MRS.
STANKO, FRANCIS A.
TRIMBLE, E. L. R.
VALLEY SMACK SERVICE CO.
WIEMILLER, HENRY J.

GRIDLEY CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GRIDLEY

ABDULLA
BAILEY, J. C.
BAKER, M. L. MRS.
BEALE, GEO. W.
BETTENCOURT, JOAO IGNACIO
BOX, NABY
CARNES, CHESTER D.
CLARK, F. B.
CLAYTON, A. P.
COLYAR, SUSAN
DRAKE, L. R.
FOLSOM, L. T.
GEORGE, D. B.
GEORGE, M.
GILBERT, J. C.
HANFORD, ALBERT
HEFNER, P. N.
HILTON ESTATE
JOHNSON, SETH H.
LAUGHLIN, JOHN JOS.
LEE, AMMON
LUCAS, J. H. AND CARRIE M.
LUIS, W. M.
MARBERRY, JOHN
MATTOS, FRANK T.
MCCALLAN, JOHN THOS.
MOHAMMED, H. GOLAM
OBERMEYER, T. F. A.
PAYNE, H. M.
PORTER, ZACK
PUTNEY, J. E.
QUIGLEY, RAYMOND
REAM, J. E.
REYNOLDS, J. W.
RHINESMITH, E. A.
ROBERTS, G. M.
SCHAFER, J. A.
SCHALOW, F. A.
SCHULTZ, PETER
SERKLAND, H. M.
STRINGFELLOW, ARTHUR S.
TAYLOR, M. M.
VALINE, ANTONE P.
WASHBURN, E. T.
WISER, V. L.

HERMOSA BEACH CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HERMOSA BEACH

CARRILLO, E. J.
CHRISTY & MILLICENT
FORD, ARCH E.
MANION, RAYMOND
PAULINE, HARRY H. & EMMA
VANCE, ANNA R.
WALLACE, MARGARET Z.
WYGAL, DOLLIE H.

HUNTINGTON PARK CA

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF HUNTINGTON PARK

BRONSON, FRED LEROY
DUNBAR, C. C.
HAIGHT, WM.
KILGORE, CHAS. N.
LATHIM, GLADYS
MECARTEA, MARY C.
OZENNE, L. J.
SEARLE, T. H.
SHOW, EUGENE B.
VIOLET, CECIL L.

KERMAN CA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN KERMAN

MENDONCA, JOHN

LONG BEACH CA

THE SEASIDE NATIONAL BANK OF LONG BEACH

ANIMATED DISPLAY
DENNIS, H. B.
HOOPER, HILLIS AND HELEN
JACKSON, GLADYS C.
KESSELMAN, L. B.
LIEBOLD, MRS.
LYONS, INC.
NEVIN, JAMES
PALMER, JOHN
ROSS, C. F. MRS.
WALKER, WM.
WILLIAMS, CLARENCE STRONG
WYMAN, HOWARD
YOUNG, HELENE M.
YOUNG, LAWRENCE CARTER

LOS ANGELES CA

THE UNITED STATES NATIONAL BANK OF LOS ANGELES

ATHEY - BRAX B.
COHEN - MORRIS
EXCHANGE NATIONAL COMPANY, LTD.
MOJAVE RIVER LAND & WATER CO.
RIO DEORO MINING COMPANY, INC.
RITE-LUBE LABORATORIES
SILVA, TOWER & SWEARINGEN
THEOBALD BROKERAGE COMPANY

LOS ANGELES CA

WEST HOLLYWOOD FIRST NATIONAL BANK

ALVARADO, GODOFREDO A.
AUSLAND
BARBER, CARRIE SHEETS
BARNETT & CHEATHAM
BISHOP, CHARLES D.
BOZARDT, NORA M.
BRAUNZWEICK, ALBERT
BURGNER, C. M. OR HARRIETT
BURGNER, J. R. AND BERTHA O.
BURRELL, E. F.
CALDWELL, R. A.
CHRONISTER & HIGGINS
COOPER, CHARLES H. MR. & MRS.
CUMMINGS, BARBARA
DE PREZ, MRS.
DECKER, MARTHA A.
DENTON, WILMAR
DYSERT, C. MRS.
ELLIS, E. J. ET/UX
ERBES, FRED AND WIFE
FRUSCHTL PAPERS
HART, WILLIAM
HOOPER, LEROY
HOYT, PAUL J.
JENKINS, H. P.
JONES, PAUL F.
KIMBALL, B. F.
KING, W. P.
LANGDON, R. D. MR. MRS.
LARRABEE, MARY A.
LAVELLE, THOMAS
MATHER, MARJORIE
MCFARLAND, S. S.
MCGOODWIN, E. C. MR. & MRS.
MCNAMARA, W. R.
MCNICHOLS, WM. J. AND LEILA W.
MILLAR, CLARENCE R.
MILLER, CARLTON D.
MILLIGAN, GEO. H.
MILLIGAN, MARY MRS.
MUIR, EMMA
MUIR, JOS. F.
MURPHY, C. E.
NICHOLS, EMMA
O'NEAL, JAS. A.
PHILBROOK, JESSIE M.
PHILLIPS, M.
POOR, L. C.
POPE, JOHN
SEABERRY, W. O. MR. OR MRS.
SIDEBOTTOM, GEORGE
SIMMONS, NEWTON M.
SYNERHOLM, MARTIN L. ET/UX
THOMAS, MARY E. ESTATE OF PLOWMAN, A.J.
WHITE, ROBERT M.
WIGTON, W. B.
WILCOX, A. J. ESTATE
WILCOX, ANDEM J.
WILKINSON, F. P.
WOODS, W. E.
WORTHINGTON, MUSA P.
WRIGHT, MARY A.
ZERNES, ROY C.
ZERR, HERMAN
ZINSLEY, WILLIAM

MERCED CA

THE FARMERS AND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK OF MERCED

MCDOUGALL, JOHN

MONTEREY PARK CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK MONTEREY PARK

BOWAN, J. M. OR SENNIA
CHRISTENSEN, JOHN B.
CROSETTE, MIRIAM H. OR CHAS. H.
CROWTHER, J. W.
DUGGAN, J.
FROST, CHAS. E. OR MRS.
JORDAN, J. F.
LARSEN, O. E.
LINTON, R. W.
O'LEARY, NANCY
O'LEARY, TIM J.
TADLOCK, L.

NATIONAL CITY CA

PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF NATIONAL CITY

ALEXANDER, A. P.
ALLEN, DELLE M.
BAGNALL, R. B.
BROWN, ROBERT
HARNEY, ELLA M.
HEATH, CORA A.
JONES, CORETHA
KENDALL, J. M.
MORSE, MRS.
PRESTON, CLARA L.
SKINNER, JAMES H.
SMITH, GEORGE G.
TARR, E. G.
TITUS, HORTON L.
UPPER, A. E.
WELLPORT, WILLIAM

NEWPORT BEACH CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEWPORT BEACH

DIXON, GLADYS W.
GARDNER, G. M.
HINKLE, K. H. MRS.
PATTERSON, WILLIAM

OCEANSIDE CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OCEANSIDE

ALLEN, R. G.
BROWN, GORDON H.
BURGER, GEORGE W.
DAVIES, STEPHEN
FURMAN, S. J.,GERALD & E. M.
GUNN, C. M. & MARY B.
LEWIS, THOMAS J. & ELIZABETH
SMITH, O. G.
STRONWELL, ANNA A.
THOMAS, ADALINE
WILMOTH, W. G. & ALICE A.
ZILLER, G. W.

SACRAMENTO CA

THE CALIFORNIA NATIONAL BANK OF SACRAMENTO

ALDERSON, REGINA OR WM.
ALLERDGES, W. K. MRS.
ALVERAZ, FLORENCIO
ALVIN, M.
ANGELO, C.
ANGELOPULOS, NICK
ARATA, E. J. MR. OR MRS.
ARMSTRONG, JOHN MRS.
ARTICLES FOUND ON BANK PREMISES.
ATKINS, F. S.
AUTOMOTIVE TOY CRAFT CO
AZEVEDO, MARY D.
BARBIERI, MARIO
BAUDER, E. A.
BEACH, J. E.
BLAIR, J. R. MRS.
BONHEIM, ALBERT
BORMAN, MAUDE
BOWDEN, ELIZABETH
BRAUSWORTH, WM.
BUCKMAN, R. M. OR JESSIE
BURNISON, C. G.
BURROUGHS, J. S. MRS.
BURT, B. H. MR. & MRS.
CALHOUN, DURONDA
CALLIN, MINNIE MRS.
CAMPBELL, ALFRED
CAMPBELL, J. MRS.
CAREY, R. S., ESTATE
CARTER, EDWARD MR. & MRS.
CHAN CHUNG, MR. OR MRS.
CHAPIN, PETE
CHARGIN, A. L.
CHARTER, CAROLYN MISS
CHING, LAM
COHEN, ALEX
COLEMAN, GEO
CONNELLY, M.
CONNOR, JOHN V.
CORTOPASSI, EDIZ.
COVELL, KATE & GRANT E.
CRAIG, ROBERT
CREMER, THEODORE
CRONAN, M. MRS.
CRUSELLAR, LUCRENNE MRS.
CURIE, A. B. MRS.
CURTIS, W. A., ESTATE A CORP.
DEHOSE, JOSEPH M.
DEVINE, F. F.
DICKINSON, J. MR.
DIEPENBROCK, F. E.
DIGGS, JOHN M. OR DIGGS
DODSON, R. R. MR. & MRS.
DRISCOLL, JAMES D.
DUART, E. M.
DUDEN, E. F.
DUFFUS, C. D.
EDWARDS, MARGARET A.
EHMEIR, MICHAEL
EINFELT, JOHN
ELDRIDGE, JUNE MISS
ELLIS, HENRY A.
EMMANUEL, HARRY
EULME, CHARLES
FANCHER, OTTO MRS.
FARIAR, A. J.
FERGUSON, MARY F.
FISHER, CORA
FLEMMING, J. C. MRS.
FONG, ON M.
FONG, SEM
FONG, W.
FOOK, LEE
FOUNTAIN, W. A.
FRAZER ESTATE, W. F.
FREEMAN, ALMA M.
GALLAGHER, M.
GARRISON, LENA MRS.
GAVDAS, ESTATE OF J. D.
GEDEON, JOE
GIBSON, GEO. W.
GRIFFIN, C. C. MR. OR MRS.
HALE, D. E.
HALL, R. A. & MARY C.
HALL, THOMAS
HANNIGAN, LAURA
HARDY, THOS.
HARRIS, EDYTH
HARRIS, MYRIAM
HASS, BLANCHE MRS.
HE, LEM
HEBER, HENRY OR ALICE
HEILBRON, HENRY A. JR.
HENN, WILLIAM T.
HERBST, EMIL
HICKS, GEORGIA M. MRS.
HILBORN, BERT E.
HILL, ALBERT V.
HINSDALE, EARL
HODGE, PETER
HOUTS, C. R.
HOWARD, TREICHEL M. MRS.
HUGHES, BRADLEY
HUMPHREY, A. B.
JACKS, L. D.
JACKSON, JIM OR ELIZABETH
JENSEN, MAY MRS.
JOHNSON CO., A. B.
JOHNSON, CARRIE B. & ALPHA E.
JOHNSON, DAN
JOHNSON, R. L.
JONES, H. MRS.
KAMENZIND, W. J.
KANE, THEDA
KASAROS, LOUIS
KAWANO, K. OR ONO H.
KAZEMA, SAM
KHAN, MOUSI
KIEDAISCH, LOTTIE & FRITZ
KILIAN, HENRY
KING, LEE TAI
KOGA, T.
KOKIOUSIS, A. D.
KRIM, PHILIP
LAWRENCE, BURTON
LEAL, JOHN A. & MARY A.
LEE, LEONG CHONG
LEET, GEO. W.
LEM (CHINESE)
LEM, SUEY
LEWIS, J. L. OR BARBARA
LOUGHRAN, H. MRS.
MACEDO, ALVIN
MACKLIN, AGATHA
MACKRELL, CHAS.
MAREMS, CHAS.
MARINO, S.
MARITSAS, GUST
MARTINDALE, A. S. MRS.
MATHEWS, MABEL MRS.
MATTHEWS, DAN
MAY, A. S.
MAYO, N. L.
MCCAIG, HANNAH A.
MCCLASKEY, F. T. DR. OR JOSEPHINE
MCDONALD, NETTIE
MCDONELL, ALBERT W. MR. OR MRS.
MCGEE, J. H.
MCGEE, SUE
MCNEES, C. W. MRS.
MEDBURY, GORDON S.
MEE LUM COMPANY
MIDDLEMISS, T. W.
MILHAM, A. C. OR DAISY
MILLER, DWIGHT H.
MILLER, ROBT. P. MR. OR MRS.
MILLS, EDGAR
MINER, VALENTINE OR SARA
MISETICH, JOE F.
MITCHELL, H. N.
MITCHELL, J. G. MR. OR MRS.
MORETTI, J.
MORGAN, ANNA MRS.
MORRIS, EDWARD A.
MUDGE, CLARA V.
MUNZ, FREDERICK
MYERS, L. J. MR. OR ADRIA MCKIM
MYERS, P.
NAKAMURA, B.
NATHESON, ARTHUR W.
NATIONAL AUTO TOURIST HOTEL CORP.
NELSON, A. E.
O'CONNOR, J. J.
OLAF, LARSEN
PAGNI, GUIDO
PANTAGES, JAMES MRS.
PATTERSON, MARGARET
PHELPS, E. E.
PIERSON, MARY E.
PITALO, MR. & MRS. J.
PRIESTER, MILDRED H.
PRINCE, J. H.
PYNE, JOHN G. & KATE MRS.
QUINN, LAURA C. MRS.
QUINN, LOUISA C. MRS.
RANDOLPH, C. A.
RANSOM, R. N. & MARGARET V.
RAY, JAMES K.
RAYMOND, SAM & CO.
REYNOLDS, H. W.
RIBEIRO, JOE
RICHARDS, LELAND J.
ROBERTSON, E. R. MRS.
ROONEY, S. J.
ROSE, JACK
ROSE, WILLIAM P.
ROY, L. MRS.
RUMON, MILLI
RUSSELL, RAYMOND
SCHMID, HARRY L. OR MRS.
SCHOELKOPF, C.
SCHRAMM-JOHNSON
SCIARANI, GIOACHINIO
SEYMOUR, JOHN H. OR LETITIA N.
SHANNON, M. D.
SHUN, LIN
SHUTE, B. T.
SIBECK, CHAS. MR. & MRS.
SIGNS OF THE TIMES
SIMUNICH, S.
SING, LEE
SKODAS, NICK
SMITH & CO., INC., F. F.
SMITH, C. F.
SMITH, FRED W.
SPARKS, R. E.
STEERS, WILLIAM
STEWART, E. E.
STRAIN & PILLSBURY
SULLIVAN, W. L.
SUTCLIFFE, E. W. MRS.
SUTTER PHOTO ENGRAVING CO.
SWANNELL, W. L.
SYKES, C. J.
TABOR, LE VOY W.
TAYLOR, J. C.
THIERY, GUS
THOMAS, M. M. OR THOMASINE
THOME, M. T. MRS.
THOME, M. T. MRS.
THOMPSON, GEO. E. OR BETHEL
TURNBULL, JENNIE MRS.
TURNER, EDITH CHAMPION
VAN DEUSEN, PEARL
VANCE, R. A.
VARELA, HECTOR
WARD, WILLIAM A.
WAY, DON FONG
WAY, DON FONG

(FONG SOM WAY)
WEBBER, M. B.
WELCH, FRANK C.
WELLS, W. J.
WENTWORTH, EDNA MRS.
WESLOW, ARNOLD M.
WEST, HARRY - JONES VIVIAN
WHEATLY, SARAH L. OR W. R.
WHITNEY, M. A. (MRS. PEARL)
WILKINS, SARAH J. MRS.
WILL, V. R. OR MARGARET
WILLIAMS, FRANK
WILSON, C. L.
WILSON, G. O. MRS.
WILSON, S. W.
WONG, FUN
WONG, YOW
WOODALL, H. J.
WOODS, LYDIA
YAT, LONG
YET, GET
YOUNG, LUM TRI
YUEN, E
YUEN, HEE FUK

SAN GABRIEL CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAN GABRIEL

BABCOCK, L. W.
CHAMBERLIN, O. A.
CUTTING, A. C.
DESALLIERS, JOSEPH U.
DESALLIERS, MARIE
FRANCIS, ELSIE A.
FRANCIS, RUSSELL C.
FREY, WILLIAM G. & BARBARA K.
GLASSER, WALTER B.
JAMES, WILLIAM FRANK & BETTY JAMES
KELLY, CHARLES H.
KYOSAI, S.
MARSHALL, FRANK J.
PARKER, H. R. MRS.
ROMERO, RAYMOND
SCHISLER, HERBERT
SCHMUCK, STELLA
SCHOONOVER, J. A.
SIMPSON, EDITH
WEAKLY, MORRIS J.
WESLEY, ALICE H.

VENICE CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VENICE

CLELAND, MARY E. MRS.
JANOUSEK, KATHERINE
LAWRENCE, IDA M.
PARKE, J. D.
TAYLOR, E. D.

VICTORVILLE CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VICTORVILLE

THOMAS, RAY MRS.

WALNUT PARK CA

THE WALNUT PARK NATIONAL BANK

BARNES, MABEL
BERLINGER, EDDIE
BRITZ, C. J.
CAMBRIDGE, J. R.
DODMAN, SOL. V.
EICHER, ISABELLE
EICHER, WM.
FOLSON, STEPHEN M.
FRANKLIN, MARGARET
FRANKLIN, MILO
HOKANSON, KATHERINE
JONES, S. C. MRS.
LACROTTE, T. MRS.
MOBLEY, MOHARA
PIPER, BETTY
PIPER, CLAIRE
SANDERSON, T. H.
SIMPSON, ANNA
SIMPSON, E. C
TURNBULL, BARBAR
TURNBULL, GEO.
VAN MATRE, G. R.
WILLIS, K. C.

WOODLAKE CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WOODLAKE

DAVIS

YORBA LINDA CA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK YORBA LINDA

CAMP RICHARDSON, LAKE TAHOE
EPSOM, PETER M & M. R.

AKRON CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AKRON

DEZARN, THEO. E.
DODD, JOSEPH
DUCKWORTH, S. C.
JOHNSON, J. F.
WEST, F. W.

BRIGHTON CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BRIGHTON

OLSON, W. B.

DENVER CO

THE GLOBE NATIONAL BANK OF DENVER

ALLEN, A. C.
ANDERSON, FRANK
BABCOCK, JASPER
BAILEY, M. S.
BAILEY, THOMAS A.
BEALL, HENRY HOCKER
BISHOP, FRANK
BORDEN, FRANK L.
BRAUN, DANIEL F.
BROWN, CHAS. G.
BROWN, G. W.
BUNDY, HARRY W.
CAPP, FLOYD
CONNER, P. D.
CORMIER VS CORMIER
CROWE, B. W.
CROWLEY, W. F.
CYPHER, W. C.
DALY, W. E.
DEEDS, JOHN H.
DORAN, MAYME
DOVE, W. V.
EGGLESTON, R. E.
FRANKLIN OIL CO.
GENERAL GASOLINE CO.
GILES, ERNEST A.
GODWIN, C. C.
GRAFFT, S. C.
GREAVES & ELLISON
HALL, CHAS. M.
HALL, R. KEITH
HANSEN, EIMAR H.
HAYES, JOHN E.
HOGAN, T. S.
HOME SAVINGS AND MERCHANTS BANK
HUSS, ANNIE W.
JAGER, L. J.
JOHNS, JANE B.
JOHNSON, A. D.
LAWRENCE, EMMA LESLIE
LEIMER, W. A.
LEWIS, ETHEL
LITTLE, JULIA G. MRS.
MARGESSON, R. E.
MAUL, MAX
MCCARTHY, M. S.
MCCUE, WM. F.
MCLINEY, J. A.
MCMUNN, SARAH
MEADOWS, CHAS. W.
MULLINS, S. M.
NOLAN, JACOB C.
OLDHAM MTG. CO.
PARKER, C. A.
PETERSON, AXEL MANAUS
PRATT, ELLA
RASMUSSEN, H. E.
REAVILL, E. A.
RIDGWAY INV. CO.
RYAN, JN. J.
SAGER, W. F.
SALTER, FRANK
SCHEIER, ARTHUR L.
SHANKLIN, WHITE
SHRADER, LILLAH W. H.
SPINNEY, ARTHUR W. E.
STERLING-WHITNEY, ET AL
SULLIVAN, CHRIS
SULLIVAN, J. J. AND P. J.
SULLIVAN, JAMES
SWART, GRACE
THOMPSON, OSCAR & LAURA
TRIGGS, ALBERTSON & HARRY A.
WESTERN MINERAL PRODUCTS
WESTERN SELLING ASS'N.
WETZEL, CELIA A.
WHITE, TRUMAN E.
WRAY, HARRY
YOUNG, JOHN S. & MAUD L.
ZIFF, M.

DENVER, CO

UNION NATIONAL BANK OF DENVER

LESEM, SAMUEL
LITTLE ANNIE MINING CO.

HAYDEN CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HAYDEN

CHIPPEWA CAMP FIRE GIRLS
HALBERT, J. A. MRS.
PARKER, SARAH A.
WILLIAMS, LEO J.

IDAHO SPRING CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF IDAHO SPRINGS

JOHN THOMPSON NEIGHBORHOOD STORES INC.

LA JUNTA CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK LA JUNTA

CHENEY, MINNIE LEE
JACKSON, ROBERT D.

LIMON CO

THE LIMON NATIONAL BANK

NICKOLS, M. MR. M.

LITTLETON CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LITTLETON

KELLEY, TOM

MANCOS CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MANCOS

BROWN, C. O.

RIFLE CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RIFLE

HOLDER, BERT
HOLDER, FRANK
LARSON, CARRIE
LARSON, SWAN

SIMLA CO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SIMLA

BOYER, CECIL
BOYER, GERTRUDE
HIXSON, BRYAN
HIXSON, VERNA
HOPPER, PAUL
RINEBARGER, WM.
SANDS, JOHN REV.
SHERIDAN, PAT.

STERLING CO

THE STERLING NATIONAL BANK

KELLEY, P. D. MRS.

GEORGETOWN DC

POTOMAC SAVINGS BANK

A & P RUG CO. E. SHIPLEY, MANAGER
ADREON, MARGARET S.
BANNISTER, MELVINA T.
BARUBAY, GEORGE
BAUCKMAN, WILLIAM T.
BECKER PAINT & GLASS CO.
BENNETT, G. W.
BESLEY, WILLIAM T.
BISKAR, A. & E.
BLOCK, HARRY M.
BLUMENTHAL, MORRIS
BOBBY'S PORTABLE GOLF HOLE COMPANY
BOHRER, ALVIN SHOEMAKER
BOSS, CLARENCE R.
BOSWELL, ROSCOE
BOTTASH, HELEN MRS.
BOWERS, L. T.
BRANDSTEDT, P. F.
BROADWATER, CHARLES F.
BRUMER, J. & SON
BURGESS, C. V.
BUSCHER, BEN A.
CATES, S. W.
CHRISTERSON, J. W.
COCKRELL, L. W. MRS.
COLLONADE BOWLING ALLEYS
CONGRESSIONAL GARAGE
COOK, MARY E.
COOK, P. J.
CORBETT, ALEX R.
CORNWELL, DORA S.
CORNWELL, HUGH F.
CROPLEY, SAMUEL C.
CROWELL, LAWRENCE
CUMMINS, HELEN V.
DAVIS, MAURICE
DICUS, FRANCES A.
DUGAN, CHARLES L.
DUKE, EVA S.
DURHAM, A. E.
DYER, J. E.
DYER, J. E. & COMPANY
ERWIN, GEORGE L.
ERWIN, WARNER E. OR GEORGE L.
FOX, ELEANOR PAGE
FRISSLER, CARL
GITTLESON, EVA
GOUGH, ERNEST
HARRISON, A. W.
HAYES, FAYNE
HESS, GEORGE WILLIAM
HILL, S. K.
HOFFZ, B. T.
HOLZBERG, JULIUS
HUDDLESON, H. E.
HUMMER, GEORGE W.
JERMON, B. D. CO.
KAY COAL CO.
KINNAHAN, P. F.
LEE, N. W.
LEE, NORMAN W.

LESTON, DELIA E.
LEVIN, SOL.
LIBBEY, J. E.
LIMERICK, CHARLES G.
LITTLE, PHILIP
LLOYD, FLORENCE
LOWE, JAS. R.
MACE, M. A.
MAGARITY, LEWIS
MAGRUDER, HAROLD E.
MAYER, CARL F.
MAYNARD, B. T.
MCCANN, FRANK P.
MCCARTNEY, H. G.
MCINTOSH, E. D. OR MARY E.
MCMILLEN, ARTHUR
MIDDLETON, HARRY
MORGAN BROS. (J. H. & MALCOLM W.)
MUDLICK, JOSEPH
MYERS, G. O.
MYERS, S. C.
NEAM, FRED
OFFUTT, FLORENCE G.
OLIVER, JOS. A. & ANNIE E.
POOLE, HERBERT W.
PRICE, J. LEE
PUGH, BLANCHE E.
ROSSLYN MOTOR CO., INC.
ROWE, MARTHA E.
RYNEX, WALTER C.
SCHEELE, R. L.
SHOEMAKER, C. W. TREAS.
SKIADOS, MACK A. & GEO. A.
SMITH, A. L.
STOMBACK, E. R.
SUMMERHAYS, JAS. J.
SWARTZ, L. F.
THOMAS, NOBEL N.
THOMPSON, J. O.
TITCOMB, ARTHUR L.
TOLSTOI, H. C.
TRUITT, J. H.
UPMAN, FRANK
VOIGT, H. F. OR AMANDA
WARNER, W. S.
WHEELER MILLING CO.
WHITE, W. A.
WILLIAMS, WALLACE
WITHEROW, C. W.

WASHINGTON DC

BANK OF BRIGHTWOOD

ADAMS, HARRY F.
ALLISON, B. B.
ARNOLD, RAY W.
BARDWELL, MABLE C. MRS.
BEALL, MARY E.
BEAN, GEORGE R.
BEAN, WM. A.
BEARD, J. C.
BECHTOLD, FRANK
BEEK, L. Z.
BERSTEIN, HERTHA
BIG VEIN COAL CO., INC.
BLUNDON, F. A.
BOLDEN, B. L.
BOLDEN, WM. J.
BRADFORD, GUY W.
BRIGHTWOOD SECURITIES CORP.
BROWN, Z. MCC. JR.
CARTER, JAMES M.
CARTER, KENNETH H.
CLARK, WM. D.
COLUMBIA FINANCE CORP.
CONLEY, E. T.
COOK, EARLE
COOPER, SARAH EMILY
CORBETT, MAURICE
COTTINIM, M. B.
CREECY, A. L.
CREECY, AUGUSTUS L. AND OLIVE
DAVIS, J. EMMETT
DICKEN, PH.
DODGE, FREDERICK N.
DODGE, W. B.
DRAPER, W. CURTIS
DREITZLER, EARL E.
EDWARDS, JOHN H. JR.
EDWARDS, THOMAS L.
FALKE, ELIZABETH
FITZGERALD, JAMES P.
FRANKLIN, EDWARD G.
FRANKLIN, EVERETT G.
GARNER, KITTY
GASKINS, CHARLES H.
GOLDEN, W. J.
GOOCH, CHAS. J.
GRIMM, RALPH O.
GUDE, G. A.
HAIGHT, A. C.
HAIGHT, ANITA & JOS. S.
HARDING, HERBERT L.
HARMON, JOSEPH L.
HARMON, WM. K. & MABLE
HENDRIX, DORA J. B.
HILL, CHAS. E.
HILLOW, GEORGE J.
HILLOW, SAM
HILLS, LEON C.
HIXSON, J. HOWARD
HONESTY, JAMES & JULIA
JENNETT, EDNA E.
JOHNSON, F. M.
JUSTICE MOTOR CO.
KILLEEN, SUE L.
KING, HERBERT L.
KREISELMAN, JOSEPH
LANGDON MILL & LUMBER CO.
LAREY, H. D.
LEHR, ANTHONY
LEIZEAR, BERNARD B.
LEIZEAR, RAYMOND P.
LEVY, BESSIE MRS.
LIEZEAR, CHAS. H.
LOGAN, R. W.
LYMANDEXTON, R.
MAGNER, JOSEPH
MATTOS, J. A.
MCDONALD, C. T.
MCKIMMIE, HUGH O.
MILLER, DAVID L.
MILLER, MARION REBECCA MISS
MITCHELL, OSCAR C. & AUDREY L.
MUELLER, WM.
MULLANE, JOHN J. P.
NAGEL, WILLIE A.
NORRIS, WALTER E. MRS.
O'BRIEN, LENA
OLSON, MARIE C.
PATE, WM. A.
PATE, WM. A., JR.
PERIOVOLOS & TSAMIS
PERRY, BARTON W.
PHELPS, WM. R.
PHILLIPS, MAJOR JAMES E.
PIERS, B. H. MRS.
POWERS, STANLEY
QUEEN, MARGARET
RALPH, R. R.
READ, WHITNEY B.
REED BROTHERS
ROBINSON, R.
SAPOZNIK, MARK
SCHMIDT, LOUIS H.
SCHREINER, R. L.
SHALLCROSS, J. MRS.
SIMMONS MOTOR CO.
SMITH, GRANT U. & NETTIE U.
SOPER, ELIZABETH A.
STANDARD ACCESSORIES CO.
STONEBRAKER, GEO.
SWEET, D. MRS.
TAUGHER, P. J.
THOMAS, S. S.
VENETIAN ART TILE & MARBLE CO.
VIERLING, ROBERT E.
WETMORE, STANLEY C.
WHITE, ROY M.
YEARLY, MARY LOUISE

WASHINGTON DC

CONTINENTAL TRUST COMPANY

ADAMS, ANNA
BRIGHT, FRANK S.
BROWN, EVA B. MRS.
CALHOUN, C. C.
CALHOUN, TREZEVANT C.
CHRISTIE, MARJORIE MRS. FOR ARTHUR T.
CLAY, RICHARD A.
COOPER, W. H.
DOUGLAS, OBEAR & DOUGLAS
EDWARDS, L. E.
ELLIS, EFFIE S. MRS.
EVANS, VICTOR J.
FIELDS, SARA F.
GAFFNEY, WILLIAM F.
GILBERT, RUSSELL L.
GOLDEN & CO.
HARDING & COOPER
KEART, ANNIE
KENNEDY, ELLA M.
LAMBERT, WILTON J.
LLOYD, JAMES T.
MACKEY, E. CURTIS (EDWARD C.)
MAUSOLEUM, ABBEY
MCDEVITT, CLELAND C.,FOR R.F.D. NEW PUBL
MCGINNIS, J. W. MRS.
MT. PLEASANT GARAGE
NAT'L RURAL LETTER CARRIER ASS'N.
NEWBY, ELIJAH
RALSTON, HARRIET N.
REX FINANCE CORP. INC.
RICHARDSON, WILLIAM
RIVOLI HOLDING CORP.
ROUGEON, CHAS. F.
SAVAGE, AUSTIN
SHENANDOAH ASSEMBLY, INC.
SNYDER, EDWARD
STODDARD, FLORENCE JACKSON
SULLIVAN, MICHAEL X.
TEHAAN, OSCAR
TYNER, R. E.
UDEN, R. L.
WHELAN, EDNA P. MRS.
WHITFIELD, J. A.
WILLIAMS, A. F.
WILLIAMS, CHARLOTTE W.
WILLOCK, JAS. H.,JR.
WINFREE, M. J.
WINTER, ERMINIE L.

WASHINGTON DC

DEPARTMENTAL BANK

BOOTH, ROBERT
CASBARIAN, JAMES P.
COTTRELL, GRACE
CULLEN, KATHERINE F.
DRISCOLL, JAMES A.
ENGELBERT, A. L.
HART, ORPHELLA JOHNSTON
HAUSER, HARRIETT E.
HOPKINS, SUSAN
LIEBSHULTZ, L. G.
MORRIS, HARRY W.
MOSS, MARIE F.
RUTTY, ETHEL M.
SOURWINE, JAMES A.
TOLSEN, LIDA M. & H. G.
VERMUELE, CHAS.
WALKER, C. W.
WHITE, H. O.
WHITTET, WILLARD

WASHINGTON DC

DISTRICT NATIONAL BANK OF WASHINGTON

ADLER, SIDNEY E.
ALEXION, JOHN TH.
ALSCHEE, EMMA
ARMSTRONG DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION
ASHBY, WM. T.
AUSTEN, AUGUSTA
AUSTIN, HAMILTON L.
AUSTRIA-REPUBLIC OF AUSTIN
BABCOCK, GEORGE W.
BARNARD, R. TR
BIG VEIN ANTHRACITE CORPORATION
BIONDI, MARY LEE
BIONDI, ORICK JOSEPH
BISHOP, CHARLES F.
BLACK, W. H.
BLAKE, MARGARET
BROWDY, LOUIS
BROWN, CLIFTON W.
BROWNE, ELMER A.
BRUCE, TRACY K.
BRYSON, HERBERT J.
BURKLIN, ACHILLE E.
BUSSLER, ANNA SNOWDEN
CARBURGHER, CHARLES C.
CARNEY, MABEL
CARTWRIGHT, CHAS. C.
CASSIDY, J. EDWARD
CHASE, ANNIE BESSIE
CHIPMAN, G. B.
CITIZENS INV. CO.
CLINE, AUGUSTA G.
COCKRELL, GEORGE D.
COLUMBUS, CHAS. J.
CONNER, BESSIE HULL
CONNER, FRED D.
CONNOLLY, OLIVE (NOW MRS. J. MARTIN)
CORENMAN, SAM
CORYELL, VIRGINIA B.
COUGH, RAYMOND A.
COWIE, G. R.
CRAVEN, EDGAR L.
CREEL BROS.
CRIST, CARL D.
CUMMING, JOHN W. W.
CUSACHS, CARLOS V.
DALTON, STANLEY HATCH
DALY, ANNIE M.
DARR, CHAS. W.
DAVIES MOTORS CO. INC.
DE GRUMMOND, MARY E.
DECKER, J. J.
DEMAS, CHARLES J.
DINSMORE, MORRIS H.
DODD, CATHERINE F.
DRAPER, WARREN FALES
EASTERDAY, GEORGE D.
EMPIRE AMUSEMENT CO.
EMRICH, ERLE
ENGLAND, DE VERE
ERVIN, ADRIENNE
FAULKNER, R. H.
FED SECURITY & MORTGAGE CO-WASHINGTON
FELMER, KENNETH S.
FIDDESOP, HARRY
FLETCHER, RICHARD G.
FOLEY, THOMAS E.
FOOTE, OVID C. LT.-COM.
GALL, GEORGE H.
GEIGER, ALFRED L.
GHEEN, MARY E.
GIRVIN, J. W.
GOLDENBERG, HARRY
GOTTLEIB, WILLIAM H.
GRANT, EMILY MRS.
GUSTAV, J.
HANDY, L. P.
HARPER, ROBERT N. (A.H. COOLIDGE NOTE)
HARPER, ROBT. M.
HARPER, ROBT. N.
HARRIS, CHAS. O.
HARRISON, JULIET L.
HAYDEN, JAMES R.
HEINDRICH, F. K.
HEISTER, MICHAEL
HEYSER, WILLIAM T.
HODGKINS, BRADLEY D.
HOFFERBERTH, CHAS. A.
HOGE, JULIA B.
HOGE, W. S.
HOLLORAN, PATRICK
HOPE, ELEANOR
HOPE, ELEANOR M.
HOPE, GEORGE D. MRS.
HOUSER, BENJAMIN H.
HOUSER, LORETTA J.
HOWELL, THEODORE O.
HUDDLESTON, MARY A.
HUGHES, ROBT. M.
HUMPHREY, J. L.
HUNT, HERMAN L.
HUNTT, HENRY S.
JANNEY, JOHN
JENNINGS, RAYMOND S.
JOHNSON & CO.-LEWIS
JOHNSTON, WILLIAM W.
JONES, JERRY W.
JONES, JOHN R.
JONES, MADGE ROSENKRANS
KEITH, BARNETT C.
KELLY, JOHN
KERR, JAMES
KERR, JULIA B.
KILLEEN, WM. P.
KREMKAU, OMAR G.
KUMP, E. S.
LAMBE, BEN H.
LAMBERT, WM. J.
LAWRENCE, FLORENCE M.
LAWRENCE, JOHN H.
LENNEP, VAN
LEVERTON, JOSEPH W.
LINCOLN PROPERTIES
LLOYD, JAMES T.
LOFTUS, AUSTIN
LYNCH, NELLY K.
LYONS, THOMAS E.
MAIER, FREDERICK O.
MANFUSO, JOHN N.
MCCOY, PERCY B. 2ND.
MCDONALD, JOHN L.
MCKEE, THOS. H.
MCLEAN, O. B.
MCLEAN, W. J.
MCNEIL, GERTRUDE J.
MCRAE, K. A.
MINER, RUTH L.
MINKER, C. W.
MOORE, FRED L.
MOORE, GEO. E.
MOORE, GEORGE H. H.
MORRIS, WALTER CECIL
MORSE, BENJAMIN W.
MORSE, ERWIN A.
MORSE, JENNIE R.
MOYER, HARVARD D.
MULROE, JOS. P.

NASH, ROBT. J.
NASH, ROBT. JUDSON
NELMS, JOHN HENNING
NISHWITZ, WM. T.
O'BRIEN, HARRY F.
OLSHEFSKI, SIMON J.
ORLOVA, MARGARITA
OSTERMANN, JOHN F.
OWEN, RUTH BRYAN
PAGE, ANNA HOWARD
PAINE, E. E.
PERKINS, L. B.
PERKINSON, EARL
PIOCHE MINES CONSOLIDATED
POOLE, WM. T.
PUTNAM, FRANCES HOYT
QUACKENBUSH, MARY A. MRS.
RAY, GEORGE N.
READ, NELLIE KITCHEN
REESE, JOHANNA
REFINING PRODUCERS CORPORATION
REICHARD, WALTER C.
REITZ, MARY BROOKS
RICE, GEORGE C.
RICHARDSON, J. J.
RIDDLE, A. E.
ROBERTSON, H. W.
ROBINSON, MURPHY
ROGERS, I. L.
ROHR, JOSEPH A.
ROSENBERG, DAVID
RUST, E. L.
SANDS, MARGARET C.
SCHERTZ, FRANK & HAZEL C.
SCHIAVONE, JOSEPH
SCHNEIDER, JAS. D. F.
SCHOENFELD, HERM
SCHWAB, CHARLES M.
SCOTT, LESTER C.
SCULLY, CLARENCE W.
SELDEN, CHARLES JR.
SELDEN, CHAS. JR.
SHRIVER, A. A.
SINSABAUGH, J. E.
SKINKER, BENJAMIN E.
SMITH, HAMILTON
SOLBACK, BERTIE S.
SOUTHERLAND, J. ALVIN
SOUTHERN CIGAR CO. OF MARYLAND
SOYEZ, PHILIPPE C.
SOYEZ, PHILLIPE C.
SPANGLER, J. G.
STACKHOUSE, HARRY B.
STEIN, EMMA NEY
STEIN, FREDERICK A.
STEVENS, H. C.
STILES, WILLIAM B.
STINE, GEORGIANA P.
STONE, ARTHUR W.
STRAVRIDIS CO. INC. JOHN M.
STREET, GARFIELD A.
STURGEON, JOHN D.
SULLIVAN, CHAS. HOWARD
SUMNER, MABEL VIRGINIA
TAYLOR, HARRY G.
TEPPER, JOS. T.
THORNFORDT, E.
TRACY, O. H.
TRUST FINANCE CORP.
TURTON, JAS. E.
TYLER, EDWARD M.
VAN ALSTYNE, HARRY K.
VERGADOS, JOHN
WALSH, JOHN R.
WARD, C. L. MRS.
WARREN, M. & R. B.
WASSENBACH, KATHARINE MRS.
WEST, HENRY LITCHFIELD
WEST, RACHEL
WHARTER, E. LESTER
WILCOX, UTHIA VINCENT
WILSON REALTY COMPANY
WILSON, J. J.
WILT, ELIZABETH IMOGENE
WILT, MARGARET MELHORN
WOLFE, A. M.
WORCESTER, THERESA M.
WYCHE, BERTHA H.
WYSOCKI, FRANK
YEAGER, EDGAR M.
YOUNG, H. C.

WASHINGTON DC

FEDERAL-AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF WASHINGTON

ABRAMS, W. K. TRENHOLM
AMERICAN COMMERCIAL & SAVINGS BANK
ANSBERRY, T. T.
AYERS, M. M.
BAKER, JOHN E.
BAKER, RUTH L. MRS.
BALDWIN, FRANKLIN T.
BALL, ELSIE MISS
BARNEY, FRANCES M.
BEEM, D. J. CAPT.
BEIJAN, A. MR.
BILLINGSLEY, OSCAR F.
BIXBY, BERNICE
BOONE, J. B.
BROOKS, KATHARINE MAY
BROWN, A. & W. D.
BROWNING, ROBERT
BRUMBACK, K. A. & VESTA
BULKEY, R. W.
CABREARA, CHARLES T.
CAHILL, W. J.
CARLEY, RUSSELL H.
CARMER, C. F.
CARPENTER, N. L. & CO.
CARSON, M. MRS.
CLAXTON, R. W.
COHEN, JOE
COLLEY, J. F.
COLLINS, JOHN P.
COLUMBIA REALTY CO.
CONROY, BERNARD
CONROY, RUTH E.
COURT, JULIA A.
COXE, EUNICE A.
CRYSTAL PLATE ICE CO.
CURRAN, THOMAS A.
DAVIS, N.
DE VOE, J. B.
DESOTA, HERNANDO
DOUGHERTY, D. R. OR HARRIET
DOWNEY, J. DAN
DULIN, ALBERT S.
EATON, J. A.
EDMONDS, S. R.
EGGLESTON, J. G. & MRS. E. H.
ELMORE, B. P.
EMRICH, KATHARINE,
ESSEX, FRANK P.
EUSTACE, FLORENCE A.
FAIR, ELIZABETH E.
FISHER, J. H.
FITZGERALD, GERALD
FOSTER, CHARLES E.
FOXTER, HENRY I.
FRANCIS, W. G.
FRIEDMAN, SOLOMON
GAPPINGER, GERTRUDE M.
GARFIELD MEMORIAL HOSPITAL (MAJ. ECKELS)
GHISELLI, FRANK E.
GORMELY, GEORGE A.
GRACE, K. A.
GRAVES, J. HARWOOD
GRILLO, D. S.
GRISANTI, CARLOS F. DR.
HAHN, MORRIS
HAMLIN, THOMAS
HARRIS, RALPH B.
HAZZARD, ALFORD
HEINER, ROBERT D.
HERRON, CHARLOTTE, DEC
HODGE, GEORGE C.
HODGES, CHARLES J.
HOFFIZ, BENJ.
HOLDEN, A. R.
HOWARD, J. P.
HOWARD, WM. W.
HUGHES, MILDRED B. MRS.
HURLBURT
JACOBSON, ALBERT W.
JAMES, NELLIE H. MISS
JEFFERY, ALFRED
JERUSALEM LODGE #2,F.A.A.M.
JEWELL, MADGE
JOHNSON, A. G.
JOICE, STUART K.
JOLLY, WADE L.
JONES, GEORGE M.
KAPLAN, MAXWELL
KAYE, FRANCES
KEMMELL, WALLACE W.
KENDALL, L. A.
KING, WILL E.
KONRATH, WM. C.
LAMB, W. E.
LANDREAU, NORMAN B.
LEONARD, PAULINE S.
LEWIS, MARY L.
LOBER, JACOB
LOCKWOOD, BLANCHE G.
MACDONALD, J. BALLARD
MACHIN, DOMINGO
MAHLON, MILBURN MRS.
MALONE, ALICE E.
MANLEY, W. W., JR.
MANUELIAN, A. D.
MARROW, WILLIAM C.
MARSH, C. C.
MARSHALL, A. A.
MARSHALL, REBECCA
MARTIN, A. A. & SYLIVA
MAYER, C. C.
MCDERMOTT, J. H.
MCDOWELL, JAMES A. MRS.
MCINTYRE, E. A.
MCNAMEE, FRANCIS L. MCNAMEE
MCREYNOLDS, DRURY CASE
MCWHORTER, INEZ P.
MELLORY, WALTER K.
MILLER, JOHN C.
MILLER, R. R. MRS.
MOORE, GEORGE H. H.
MOORE, WM. G.
MORELAND, ARTHUR H.
NEAL, ELSIE J.
NELSON, IRA
NISHWITZ, W. T.
NOBLE, CHAS. S.
NUCKOLS, WALTER L.
O'BRIEN, FRANCIS V. MRS.
O'CONNOR, G. F.
O'HARA, CAMILLE D.
O'NEILL, ROBERT J.
ORME, MARGARET P. MRS.
PADOVANO, PHILIP
PATTERSON, ERNEST O.
PEIRCE, F. S. MRS.
PENNINGTON, NELLIE MRS.
PHILLIPS, H. J.
PINKHAM, G. L.
PINKHAM, GEORGE L. & GEORGE E.
POLAND, CLARENCE J.
POOLE, S. STUART
POPKINS, E. W.
POPKINS, G. W. REV.
POTOMAC SECURITIES CO
PRITCHARD, J. W.
PROCTOR, E. E.
RAY, GEORGE N.
RAYMOND, B. E.
REILLY, JOHN A.
RIDGAGE, THOMAS H.
RINE, H. B. MR. & MRS.
RIORDAN, THOMAS D.
ROBINSON, GEO. W.
ROBINSON, JAS. W.
SAFRANEK, BENJ.
SALISBURY, FRANK
SAM BAUTISTA MINING CO.
SCHANCK, FRANCIS R.
SCHONEFELD, JACOB L.
SCHONENFELD, JACOB L.
SHANNON & LUCH, INC.
SHAPIRO CONST. CO.
SIEBER, HARRY M.
SIEMUND WENZEL ELECTRIC WELDING CO.
SKINNER, CARL J.
SMITH, G. GORDON CAPT.
SMITH, MABEL O.
SMITH, S. M.
SMITH, W. H.
SNEAD, L. A.
SPICER, EDGAR
SPILLER, M.
SPILLER, MURIEL J.
STEIGER, H. WILLIAM
STEWART, WM. H.
SULLIVAN, CLAUDE W.
SYSTEM SPECIALIST
THEISS, CONRAD
THOMAS, CLINTON R.
THROCKMORTON, WM. M.
TOMLIN, Q. Q.
TRENHOLM, MADDOX
TUBMAN, A. M.
URION, ALFRED R.
VAN SISE, GEORGE W.
WALKER, L. J. OR HELEN
WALLER, MATTHEW P.
WARD, W. M.
WARDE, CHARLES R.
WARNER, R. E.
WELLER, MICHAEL A.
WERM, E. W. REV.
WETHERILL, JUSTINE J.
WHITE, ROBINSON
WICH, GEORGE
WIDNER, WILLIAM
WIGGINS, M. T.
WILLANER, JOHN P.
WINSHIP, G. MEREDITH
WIRE, CHAS. E.
WOOD, MARIE A.
WOOTTON, JOSEPH H.
WRIGHT, CHAS. M.
WRIGHT, JESSIE P.
WRIGHT, JOHN H.
WYNNE, CHAS. J.
YETMAN, SARAH M.
YOUNG, DAMAN

WASHINGTON DC

FIDELITY BUILDING AND LOAN ASSOCIATION

FRYE, ERMA T.
HOWARD, JOHN D.
JOHNSTON & BOWMAN
LEVY, MEYER
METCALF, H. E.
MOSCHETTS, ANTONIO
RUBIN, HARRY
TEBBS, H. STERLING
WRIGHT, JOHN C.

WASHINGTON DC

INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE BANK

ATTANASIO, MARIA
AUTOMOBILE SALES CO.
BENEDETTI, A.
BODE, ADOLF
BOLCIONI, LINA
BORSELLINO, FRANK
BORZILLO, NICOLA
BRIGGS, LULA
BROWN, WILLIAM B.
CAPACCHIONE, LIBERATO
CAVALOTTI, LOGGIE FELICE
CICALA, DOMENICO
CICALA, FIORELLO F.
CICALA, MARIA
CITIZENS MTG. CORP.
COHEN, MORRIS M.
COLAPRINO, F.
CRADDOCK MOTORS
CRADDOCK, GEORGE L.
CURTO, JOE
CURTO, JOSEPH
DAVIS, A.
DELLONOCE, VITO
DI BELLA, JOHN
DI CARLO, FERDINANDO
DI FILIPPO, LUCREZIA
DI GUILINI, LUIZI
FAGIOLO, ETTORE
FAGIOLO, ROMEO E.
FERRI, MICHELE
GALLO & PENNINI
GEANOPOLOS, GEORGE
GREENBAUM, M.
GUILLI, T. A.
GUISSANI, FRANK
HAISLIP, WILLIAM D.
HAMMON, LOUISE J.
HILL, WALTER B.
INTERNATIONAL EXCHANGE BANK
KAPLAN, F.
KEENAN, HENRY F.
KRAUS, JULIA
LUBIENSKI, PAUL S., INC.
MARINACCIO, EMMA STANCE
MASON, C. H.
MCDONALD, W. W.
MOSSBERG, WM. C.
NICOLA, DIORIO
PARAGON TRADING CORP.
PETERSEN, TELL
PRIVITERA, C.
PULVIRENTI, CHRISTMAS
ROBERTSON, WILLIAM
SAHM, SAMUEL
SANTUCCI, AGASTINO
SANTUCCI, ANTHONY SISTO
SANTUCCI, VIOLET
SELBY, ELMER E.
SITNICK, MOLLIE
STANTON, HAROLD BAKER
STRIZZI, CAMILLA M
STRIZZI, MICHELE
TASCIOTTI, A. L.
VLACHOS, COSTANTINO
WEINSTEIN, ELIAS
WEINSTEIN, H. R.
WOLFE, ROBERT
WOLFE, WILLIAM B. & MARGARET
WOODELL, M. A.
ZAHAROUDIS, GUS

WASHINGTON DC

NORTH CAPITOL SAVINGS BANK

ANDERSON, H. M.
BURN, JAMES H.
CAMPBELL, JOHN
COALES, E. L.
COMMERCIAL MOTOR SALES
DOOLEY, GEO. A.
FAHEY, J. J.
GLENN CORP.
HURWITZ, LOUIS
JOHNSON, RAYMOND P.
KINEHELOE, JOSEPH C.
MCCOLLOUGH, MARION
MCQUADE, MAY L.
MENDELSOHN, WALTER
MESSINA, JOSEPH P.
PUYRIR, NATHAN
ROSS, LILLIAN C.
SHANABERGER, MR. & MRS.
WARREN, S. M. SR.
WOSTEIN, ABE

WASHINGTON DC

NORTHEAST SAVINGS BANK OF WASHINGTON DC

BIEBOU, B.
BOXWELL, JAMES S.
BRETLER, LEAH
BRIGHAM, VIRDNEY
BURCHELL, H. A.
BURKA, H. L.
BUSSARD, JOHN R.
CAPITAL CITY MONEMENTAL WORKS
CHANNING, C. EDW.
CHAS. H. POTTER CO.
COHEN, SAM
COLEMAN, J. W.
COSTA, JOSEPH
DONOFIRO, ADALINE
EFFENBACH, GERTRUDE
EPPARD, GEORGE I.
EVANS, GEORGE L.
FELDMAN, MAX
FETTERMAN, M.
FRASER, MAY I.
FREDERICK, O. C.
GALE, JOSEPH Y.
GALLAGHER, B. D.
GOREN, MORRIS
GRAVES, EVA D. MRS.
GRAY, WILLIAM S.
GRINDER, OWEN
GUGLIOTTE, JOSEPH
HARVEY WINE & MATTIE
HEARD, JOHN J.
HODSON, NORMAN L.
HOFFENBERG, I.
HOME ICE MFG. CO.
HOWARD, GEORGE
HUDDLESTON, THOS. J.
HUGHES, V. N. S.
ISRAELSON, S. I.
JONES, L. A. MRS.
KING, H. R.
KNOX, MILDRED E.
L. P. STEUART & BRO.
LIEBOU, B.
LIPSHITZ, M.
LIPARTITI, TITO
LIPMAN, IDA
LOFFLER, WM.
LONG, GEO. E.
LUCKETT, D. G.
MAHONEY, E. MRS.
MARDRES, M. GERTRUDE
MEDLOCK, CHARLIE
MILKIS, YETTA
MILLER, J. O.
MIRE, GEORGE
MITCHELL, W. J.
MOELLER, WM.
MONACO, JULIUS
MORRIS, FRANK
MORTIER, MARY E.
MORTIMER, GEO C. & BESSIE
NASH-ROHR-BARSKY, INC.
NORTHEAST ACCESSORIES COMPANY
O'BRIEN, J. J. ATTORNEY
O'CONNOR, MARIAN T. MRS.
OLIVERI, JOE
OLIVERI, JOE JR.
PAPACHRIST, ANGELO
PAPPACRIST, E.
PHILLIPS, E. LAWRENCE
PIPPERLING, ALBERT
POSEY, H. L.
PULLMAN, PAUL
PYLES, WM. H.
QUICK SERVICE LAUNDRY CO.
RELIABLE TYRE CO.
RODGERS, ROBT. L.
ROLLINS, ALBERT B.
ROSEWAG, C. M.
RYAN, C. A.
RYAN, IDA T.
RYAN, KATHERINE
SAEGER, G. A.
SALEMMO, FRANK
SCHAEFFER & HUDSON
SCHEER, FANNIE
SCHLATTER, PAUL P.
SCHNEIDER, EWALD
SCHOW, FRED S.
SCHUMANN, HENRY O.
SHEA, MARGARET
SHERER, HESTER
SHUEY, G. W.
SILVERMAN, THELMA
SILVERSTONE, ELWOOD
SIMPSON, DINAH B.
SMITH, E. D.
SNAPP, RICE
STANDARD COAL CO.
STEGER MOTOR CO. INC.
STEUART MOTOR CO.
STEUART, G. T.
TALBERT, GEO. W.
TALBERT, GEORGE W.
THOMAS, FRANCIS A.
THOMPSON, J. J.
THOMPSON, SARAH
TYDINGS, E. J.
TYDINGS, EMMETT J.
TYLER & CUMMINS
VALLARIO, S.
VELIGOR, ANDREW
WALLACE, C. W. MRS.
WALSH, FLORENCE MRS.
WEBER, MARTIN
WYCHE, WALTER W.

WASHINGTON DC

PARK SAVINGS BANK

ADAMS, A. F.
BARRY, DAVID J.
BERRY, MORRIS
BOWER, R. F. MRS.
BURCH, ROBERT
BURNSIDE, IRENE GENEVIEVE
BUSH, DOROTHY
CAHILL, SOPHIE
CAMPBELL, VIRGINIA MRS.
CHAPMAN, H. D.
COUREMBIS, RICHARD & GEORGE
CRUSO, J. V. V.
DOUGHERTY, J. K.
DUNCAN, MILDRED W.
EATON, J. S. MRS.
FENTON, A. E. MRS.
FOSNIGHT, F. E.
FOSNIGHT, FRANCIS C.
FOSTER, L. A.
FRANKLIN, OREN E.
FRITCHMAN, WM. H.
GARVEY, JOSEPH
HANCE, MARGARET B.
HEALD, R. C. MRS.
HINES, J. H.
HODGE, CHAS. W.
HUFF, LOUISE
HUFF, MISS
IMIRIE, AUSTIN SCOTT
JANES, ANDRONEKE J.
KEANE, NORA LEE KING
KELLER, PAUL D. OR ALICE
KRAEMER, CARL
LATHAM, GEORGE A.
LEPLEY, M. G.
LEVEY, PAUL H.
LIMERICK, CARRIE
LYON, CORA M.
MALOKATIS, MARCUS
MCCORD, WALTER R.
MCSWEEN, A. MRS.
MEAD, MAUDE M.
O'NEIL, J. M.
O'NEIL, MARIA V.
O'TOOLE, JAMES
PARKS, J. A. MRS.
PATTEN, RALPH LEROY
PELTA, HAROLD E.
PETERS, LOUISE B. MRS.
PHILLIPS, H. W.
PICHLER, WM. B.
POPE, WESLEY M.
RICE, L. G.
ROACH, JOHN C. JR. MRS.
SARRETT, ROSE E. MRS.
SCHIRMER, HENRY G.
SCOTT, LOUIS M.
SEELEY, DOUGLAS A.
SHANK, MAX M.
SHREVE, ARTHUR B.
SMITH, CHARLES A.
SMITH, H. WRIGHTSON
SMITH, MIDDLETON
SNOOTS, ERNEST
SPANOOGLE, LELLA W.
STEELE, LAWRENCE L.
THOMPSON, BAYNE W.
THURBER, EDNA
TRUEMAN, CLARA L.
TUCKEY, W. D. JR.
WARD, MARY B.
WATERS, H. D.
WEIRECH, C. R.
WEISIGER, A. S.
WILLOUGHBY, JOHN A.
ZUKOFF, JEREMIAH

WASHINGTON DC

SEVENTH STREET SAVINGS BANK

BURCH, WM. THOMPSON
CARLEY, RUSSELL H.
CARROLL, HARRY
COHEN, FANNIE, ADMX EST. & MAX
DIVELY, WALTER C.
FOSTER, GEORGE A.
GIBBS, F. B.
GRIMM, JANET M. & FREDERICK
LILLERBRIDGE, GEO. H.
LODGE, WELLING M.
MAHANEY, M.
MARDELLE SOCIAL CLUB
MILLER, MYER F.
MOORE, JOHN ALFRED
MOTEN, THOMAS C.
SPIGNUL, WM. H.
STEIN, JOSEPH
WILSON, S. P.

WASHINGTON DC

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF WASHINGTON

ADAMS, RICHARD C.
ALEXANDER, ELIZABETH MRS.
ALLEN, H. H.
ALLEN, MARY MRS.
ALLWINE, EDWARD SOMMERS
ANDERSON, MARY
ARMSTRONG, JAMES & KATH
AUSTIN, W. E.
BARRON, MARY MRS.
BENNETT, F. B.
BOORE, A.
BOWERS, HELEN MRS.
BRENNAN, MARGARET A.
BROOKE, B. F.-SEWELL
BROWNING, WILLIAM L.
CAIN, JOSEPH W.
CAMPBELL, GEORGE C.
CAMPBELL, IDA
CASAJUS, VICTORIANA, MAJOR
CHESLEY, HARRY W.
CIOTTI, JAMES
CLANCY, JOHN T.
CLARK, EDWIN
CLARK, MARIE
COLLINS, GEO. R.
COMMERCIAL NATIONAL CO.
CONER, K. N.
CONNOLLY, F. A. & CO.
COOPER, THOS. E.
CRANOR, JOHN M.
CROPLEY, S. C.
CROSTHWAITE, FRANK B.
CURTIS, NICHOLAS J.
DAVIDSON, OLIVE L.
DELABURAS, D. C.
DICKINSON, JAMES A.
DOAN, BRONSON C.
DONALDSON, R. GOLDEN
DONNELLY, J. D. JR.
DORSEY, PAUL
DREW, GEO. W.
EGGLESTON, THOMAS L.
ENGLER, F. IRVING
ERNST, HARRY G.
ERWIN, PHIL
FALLIN, HERBERT
FEDERAL SECURITY & MORTGAGE CO.
FITZGIBBON, RALPH E.
FLAGLER, ZORA E.
FRANKLAND, W. SMITH
GAIN, KATHERINE
GENERAL MINERALS CORP.
GIBSON, PRESTON
GOETZ, WM. E.
GOLDSMITH, J. M. N.
GRACE, CATHERINE A.
GRIFFITHS, G. A. MRS.
HALL, ANNA E. MRS.
HALL, ED MADISON
HARRISON, W. S.
HAYES, JAMES M.
HENDRIX, ELIZ C. MRS.
HENSCHE, R. W.
HODGKIN, DUKE DURBIN C.
HOWLAND, WM. M.
HULSCHER, KATHRYN MRS.
INTERSTATE PURE FOOD CO.
IRVING, C.
JACOBSEN, ADKINIA MRS.
JENKINS, PARL & C. MRS.
JOHNSON, L. R. & JOSEPHINE
JOHNSON, T. W.
JORDAN ELDRIDGE
JORDAN, CONSTANCE B.
JORDAN, ELDRIGE E.
JORDAN, MARCUS A.
JORDON & CO.
JORDON, WM. S.
KAMPF, HENRY
KANE, A. J. GORDON
KAVANAGH, WM. J.
KLEIN, BENJAMIN W.
KLEIN, G.
KNAPP, JOHN F
KUEHLING, MATTIE & ROY
LANG, HENRY E.
LIMIRICK, D. W.
LYNN, WM. H.
MACDONALD, GEO. DR.
MARKS, L. W. & I. P.
MARTIN, MARIA APIN
MCCULLY, EMMA
MCDONALD, SLLEN J.
MCHUGH & LAWSON
MCKAY, MARGERITE GAMBRILL
MITCHELL, PAUL & D. E.
MITCHELL, WALTER ADM.
MORGAN, C. W.
MORGAN, C. W. & LAURA
MOYER, J. W.
MUEHLEISEN, J. ALVIN
MUSHER, NATHAN
NATIONAL CAPITAL APT. HOUSE HOLDING CORP
NOETZEL, C. M.
NORMOYLE, WM. P.
NORTON, A. J.
NORWOOD, J. D.
NORWOOD, R. E. C.
O'DELL, MOYER
O'DELL, W. S.
OBEAR, HUGH H.
OERTEL, JEAN
OKLAHOMA OIL CO.
PEALE, A. C.
PENNING, WM. E.
PENNY, C. B.
PHI ALPHA DELTA LAW
PIERCE, MIRIAM L. MRS.
POLING, J. W. ET AL
POLING, W. ICE AND J. W. IVAN W.
PORTON, JACOB
POST CAFE
POTOMAC BRICK COMPANY
POTOMAC FURNITURE CO.
POTTS, E. H.
PRATT, FRED W.
PRATT, G. W.
RAUTERBERT, META H.
RAYNER, FRANCES J. MRS.
RHODES, HENRY F.
RICHARDSON, G. E.
RIZIK BROTHERS
RUSSELL, JAMES T.
SALMON, BRAINARD P.
SANDS, TUCKER K.
SCHREINER, RAYMOND L.
SCOTT, HENRY H.
SHARP, WM. H. H.
SHAW, ROBERT C.
SHEMAN & HOWLAND
SICARD, MARGARET R.
SLAYBAUGH, GEO. E.
SLUSS, L. H. MISS
SMITH, ANNA M.
SMITH, H. T., MRS.

SMITH, S. M. MRS
SMITH, SILAS & MILDRED
SMYTHE, JONOTHAN P.
SOKOLOV, HARRY E.
SOUTHER QUARRY CO.
SOUTHERN QUARRY CO.
STAM, LOUIS K.
STEELE, FRANCIS W. & H. R. MRS.
STEVENSON, GEORGE H.
STEWART, J. REVERDY
STODDART, W. LEAVITT
STONER, D. G.
SUTTON, GEO. W.
SWAIN, ALLAN JR.
SWAN, ANNA M.
TAGGART, EUGENE H.
TERZIAN, DICRAN C.
THEUNISSEN, J. LEONARD
THOMPSON, H. F.
TOPHAN, FREDERICK
TRAIN CONTROLLED SEC C
UMATI, GREGG
VALMAS, GEORGE
VAN BRUNT, W. T.
VAN MOURICK, G. P. MRS.
VAN RENSSELAR, EUGENE
VIRGINIA SHIPBUILDING CORP.
WARD, C. W.
WEIL, I.
WHITWHAM, GRAT
WILLIAMS, LOUISA & JAMES
WRENN, HERBERT A.
ZINK, R. E.

WASHINGTON DC

UNITED STATES SAVINGS BANK

ADAMSON, E. E.
ADAMSON, MARY C.
ALBINSON, J. E.
AUSTIN, HENRY
BALTO. COMMERCIAL BANK
BARBER, I. C.
BASSECHES, CHAS.
BAYNE, R. E.
BAZZURO ENG. CO.
BEACH, M. E.
BECKNER, L. O.
BEDFORD, W. S.
BEEBE, S. O.
BENSON, OTTO
BENTON, W. W.
BENTON'S MARKET (NO LONGER)
BILLINGSLEY, E. C.
BLAIR, ALLEN
BLANK, J. J.
BLOCH, BERTRAM & SARA K.
BOOTH, WALTER E.
BOWIE, EDNA (S. DRAFT)
BOWIE, J. R. (S. DRAFT)
BRAGER, M. B. MRS.
BROOKS, JOSEPH
BROOKS, LOUIS
BROWN, B. F.
BROWN, W. H. C.
BRUMBACH, CARRIE
BRYCE, WM.
BUCKINGHAM, D. E.
BURLEIGH, R. J.
BUSBY, O. F.
C. COLVIN CO.
CAMPBELL, LEWIS S. JR.
CAMPBELL, R. H.
CARROLL, J. M.
CHAKES, GUS
CHANDLER, W. H.
CHERNER, H. MRS.
CHICHESTER, L. J.
CHILDS, CHAS.
CLARK, J. REUBEN, JR.
CLARK, LUCILE A.
CLAYMAN, I.
COOPER, EDW.
COOPER, WADE H.
COX, W. A.
CRAYTON, A.
CROSSTOWN AUTO SUPPLY
CRYMES, C. L.
CURTIS, A. M.
CUSTER, BERTHA MISS
DALY-HOPPER CO.
DAYLIGHT MOVIE THEAT. (JOHN L. THORNE)
DELACY, K. M.
DICKINSON, BABETTE (BABET)
DICKINSONS MARKET
DISTRICT AUTO TOP CO.
DISTRICT ELECTRIC CO.
DIXON, BESSIE B.
DOONIS, GEORGE X.
DRACOPOULOS, G.
EDMOND, A. W.
EDWARDS, C. M.
ELLIOTT, L. S.
ELLIOTT, ROSA E.
EYRE, E. E.
EYRE, EVELYN E.
F. A. DODGE & BRO.
F. A. DODGE & BRO. INC.
FARRELL, HENRY
FAUSTMANN, E. M.
FLANAGAN, C. T.
FLANAGAN, CLINTON T.
FOLEY, J. M.
FORD CAR RENTAL CO.
FOSTER, J. H. (W.H.C.BROWN)
FOX, J. E.
FRASER, G. B.
FREEMAN BROS.
FREY, WM. H., JR.
GARLOCK CO.
GEBEST, B. F.
GEOGHEGAN, C. A.
GERMAN, C.
GIBBS, LOUISE
GLASSER, I.
GOOD, N. C.
GORDON, J. H.
GRADES, HANNA
GREEN, W. L.
GULLI, J. B.
GUY, N. L.
HACKETT, L. B.
HACKMAN, W. C.
HAMILTON PRINTING CO.
HAMILTON, JOHN
HARDEN, T. D.
HARDEN, THOS. D.
HARDY, G. W.
HAVILAND-THOMPSON MOTOR CO.
HELMS, GEORGE
HEWIN, W. MRS.
HODGE, G. C.
HODGES, F. M.
HOLMES, R. N.
HOLSBEIERLEIN, M.
HOLZBEIERLEIN, M.
HOME AUTO SER. STA.
HOWARD, N.
HOWLAND, LILLIAN E.
HUGHES, GEO.
HUNTER, C. E.
HUNTON, BENJ.
HURST, B. P.
HUTCHINSON BROS.
HUTCHINSON, F. F.
HUTCHISON & CO.
HUTCHISON & COMPANY
HUTCHISON BROS.
HUTCHISON'S INC.
JACKSON, T. L.
JOHNSON, C. P.
JOHNSON, J. W.
JUNG, M. B.
KENLAGAN, E. H.
KENNEDY, JOSEPHINE E.
KEPPER, F. JR.
KRAFT BROS.
LA FOLLETTE, B.
LACKEY, M.
LANDON, G. W.
LAPKOFF & DELL
LAPKOFF, ALBERT
LARMAN, RUTH R.
LASKY, L.
LASTWELL REP. CO.
LENNOX, PORTER B.
LESNIE, N. DR.
LEWIS, JOS. PAYTON
LIGHTMAN, JACOB B.
LIGON, J. F.(FORD CAR RENTAL CO.)
LITTLE, J.
LIVIE, R. B.
LLOYDS BK. LTD.
LOGRAF, JOHN
LOKEY, IRIS
MACDONALD, BARBARA MISS
MACULSON CO. INC.
MAHONEY, E. V.
MARINO, S. F.
MARTIN, JOSEPH H.
MARTIN, U. G. B.
MCCALL, W. P. B.
MCCLAIN, GEORGE S.
MCDONALD, MARIE
MCGREGOR, A.
MELMAN, H.
MELNICK'S
MELTON, W.
MELTON, WM. E.
MILLER, GEORGIE
MILTON, HENRY
MILTON, M. A.
MODERN ELEC. SHOP
MOORE, JULIA
MOORE, L. E.
MOORMAN DRAYAGE CO.
MT. VERNON STABLES
MYERS, G. W.
NACHMAN, LEO P.
NEW BARGAIN HOUSE
NEWBERRY, F. M.
NORRIS & YOUNG, INC.
NORWOOD, S.
O'LEARY, R. F.
OSBORN, J. G.
OWENS, F. A.
OWENS, F. B.
OWL GARAGE
PARKER, A. H. MRS.
PITTIGLIO, F.
POST & SCHOOLEY
POTTER, DOROTHY
PRICE, J. L.
PRINCE, J. H.
PROGRESSIVE REALTY CO.
PULLIAM, B. R.
PULLIAM, PEARL T. & B. R.
PURCELL, J. A.
PURCELL, JAMES A.
QUANTANCE, H. W.
RAINEY, ARTHUR P.
RAMSBURGH, J. H.
REXRODE, L. V.
RHOMBEAU, M. P.
RICHTER, H. C.
RIDGELY, M.
RINALDI BROS.
ROBINSON, HATTIE
ROSE, H. B.
ROSENBERRY, O. W.
ROSENDORF, STANLEY
ROSS, J. W. DR.
SCHINDLER, I. A.
SCHINDLER, ISRAEL A.
SCHUMACHER, A.
SCHUMAN, ABRAHAM
SELBY, ERNEST
SELECMAN, WM. R.
SELTZER, ALICE
SEPLITZKY, H.
SHALLER, HAROLD H.
SHEAHIN, T.
SHEEHY, MARY J.
SHERMAN, A.
SIMOMOS, JOHN
SMITH, H. H.
SMITH, HERBERT M.
SMITH, MARIETTA C. MRS.
SMITH, NEWMAN A.
SMITH, THOS. C.
SPANO, COSMO
SPAULDING, ROBERTA
STACY, ERLE E.
STIEG, FRED B.
STONE, JOSEPH M.
SWANN, ARTHUR
SWART, VERA F.
SWEET, NELLIE E.
TAYLOR TOLLEY SALES CO.
TAYLOR, JOHN C., JR.
TAYLOR, KITTY D.
TAYLOR, LEONORA LE BARON
TAYLOR, R. L.
THE MODEL PRINTING CO.
THE PRINCE MARKET
THE R. L. TAYLOR MOTOR CO., INC.
THOMAS, MAY E. MRS.
THOMPSON, J. H.
THOMPSON'S DAIRY
TRAVIS, L. A.
TRUSSELL, E. S.
U. S. SAVINGS BANK
UNITED STATES SAVINGS BANK
VINEBERG, LEONARD
VOLLAND, W.
VOLLAND, WM.
VOLLAND, WM. A.
WAFFLE, R. N.
WAFLE, R. N.
WALKER, ELEANOR
WALLACE, BERT
WATERS, CHAS. L.
WATERS, CHAS. L. DR.
WATERS, ELEANOR Y.
WELCH, C. H.
WERTHEIMER BROS.
WESTLIEB BROS.
WHARTON, J.
WHITE, E. IRENE
WHITE, JOSEPH
WICKHAM, JAMES
WILLIS, A. B.
WOLFSON, TILLIE LAPKOFF
WRIGHT, E. L.
YOUNG, ELMER H.
ZARVA, MARY
ZIMMERMAN, B. F.
ZIMMERMAN, J. R.
ZIMMERMAN, JOHNSON R.
ZOGRAFOS, N. A.

WASHINGTON DC

WASHINGTON SAVINGS BANK

GARDEN LAWN CO.
HARSOULAS, JOHN
HEIMEL, HELEN D.
TEPPER, BENJAMIN A.

AVON PARK FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AVON PARK

MCGEHEE, A. M.

DELAND FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DELAND

ANDRE, I.
ANGLETON, MILLARD F.
BATTS, ELIZABETH MRS.
BEDELL, L. H.
BENNETT, W. H.
BOULEVARD HIGHLANDS
BRAND, CHAS. G.
BRUCE, R. P.
BUELER, A. J.
BULLOCK, E. A.
CALLIER, S. M.
CARMICHAEL, S. E.
CARTIN-EBERLE INVESTMENT CO. INC.
CLAGGETT, MARY A.
CLAGGETT, WM. G.
CLARK, GEO. B.
CLARK, GEORGE B.
CLARK, MR.
CREWS, MARTIN
CURREY, W. B. MRS.
DELAND COUNTRY CLUB
DELAND MOTOR CO.
FAULKINGHAM, O. B.,CAPT.
FIELDS, FLORENCE
FRAZEE, H. M.
GASKINS, E.
HALE, W. R.
HAYDEN, SUSANNE
HAYMAN, ELMER
HUTCHISON, O. T.
INGLEHART, SANFORD
JONES, HETTIE
KINCADE, F. M. JR.
KNIGHT, D. E.
KONTONZIS, STAMOS
KURJIAN, DICK
LUBASCH, JACK
MERRILL, RAY S.
MEYERS, G. F.
MYERS, JOSH.
O'SHEA, JOHN
PEAKE, W. H.
PELTON, CLAUDE
PELTON, H. W.
PICKENS, JOHN A
PICKENS, T. H.
POWELL, J. F.
RODES, H. L.
ROHRING, C. M.
ROUSE, SAMUEL
ROWELL, J. S.
RUMMANS, A. M.
RUSSELL, J. L.
SAMPLE, A. B.
SCHAEFFER, WM.
SEWELL, JOHN C.
SHANKS, HARVEY
SHAYLER, H. D.
SIMMONS, J. P.
STEFFIN CO.
STEFFIN CO. INC.
STONE, J. H.
THAYER, ELLA G.
THOMPSON, CHARLES I. LETTER SHOP
TOLL, ELMER
VOGEL, CARL J.
WADE, O. O.
WILE, C. G.
WILKERSON, D. N.
WILLIAMS, J. O.
WILLIAMSON, ANNA B.
WOOD, W. K.

GRACEVILLE FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GRACEVILLE

BESS, BOB R.
BOLDON, WILLIAM W.
BROOKINS, WILLIE D.
BROWN, M. F.
BRUNER, D. Z. ESTATE
BUSH, JOHN A.
DAVIS, J. A.
DUNN, C. B. & A. L.
FRAZIER, JAMES T.
HENRY, WEST
HOLMES, JOSEPH C.
JOHNSON, NOAH L.
LUMLEY, LAWRENCE
MCCRARY, JAMES F.
MCCRARY, M. J.
MILES, JOHN M.

PHILLIPS, N. R.
PRIM, ROBT. C.
REGISTER, S. E.
SMITH, JAKE JR.
SOWELL, ANDREW L.
SOWELL, JOHN A.
VICKERY, CHARLOTTE, INEZ & A. J. JR.
WILLIAMS, C. N.

LAKE WORTH FL

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAKE WORTH

ALLEN, C. E. AND ANNIE E.
ALLEN, NELLIE B.
AMERICAN LAUNDRY COMPANY
AMES, CHARLES I.
ANDERSON, H. L.
ARCHER, JOS. M.
ARNOLD, BEN
BARNES, BROS.
BELLAMY, J. L.
BELVEDERE LUMBER COMPANY
BERRY, ROY
BIRD, A. M. & MAUDE N.
BOTKIN, C. L.
BOUTWELL, W. A.
BROWN, CHRISTOPHER F.
BUTLER, M. C.
CARLISLE, KATHERINE L. AND ALBERT C.
CLARK, A. D.
CLAYTON, M. MRS.
CLINE, ANNIE
COLE, ATWOOD B.
COLEBROOK, WALTER
COLEMAN, CLAUDE C.
CONNOR, MRS.
COOK, ORMAN S.
COOK, THOMAS AND OREN S.
CORIEL, B. E.
COUNCIL, D. P.
COVENANT INVESTMENT CO.
COWLES, F. D.
CRANE, J. T.
CRICHTON, NEIL M.
CUTLER, WARD H.
DAILY, HERALD
DAVIS, ELLIOTT ET UX
DEGASSIE
DEMING
DOBBS, A. E.
DOBBS, ARTHUR E.
DODGE, A. M.
DONOHOE, CLARENA
DORSETT, OREN K.
DOUGLASS, J. R.
DUNBAR, LULU L.
DUTTON, SARAH
EDLEMAN, CLARA B.
EDWARDS, CLAUDE
ELDRIDGE, JOS. I.
ELLERMAN, MARIE B. & ELLERMAN, GEORGE F.
EMILY, SADIE D.
ERNST, ELLA
ERSKINE, R. E. AND JANE
FAIL, D. J.
FARRELL, J. E.
FASSETT, GEO. R.
FLYNN, MARY
FOX, CHARLES J.
GAMMAGE, SAM R.
GEERLING, PHILIP
GIES, WM.
GORHAM, ANNIE
GRANT, GEO. & MARY
GREENWOOD, MRS.
HAILS, D. TROY
HALPER, WILLIAM
HANSON, ROBERT W. & HANSON, JESSIE
HARPER, D. H.
HARRIS, DAVID AND WIFE
HAUPTMANN, W. H.
HICKS, FRED A.
HILL, W. T.
HOLDRIDGE, E. F.
HOLLEY, REX
HOLLY, LAWRENCE B.
HOLZ, GEO. A. AND ANNA F.
HORSMAN, P. L.
HORSMAN, R. L.
HORSMAN, VERNON
HOWARD, W. E.
HUBBARD, D. M.
IREY, EARL F.
JARVIS, R. E.
JONES, R. A.
KAFKA, KARL
KANTER REALTY CO.
KEEN, S. W.
KENNEDY, A. M.
KENNEDY, A. N.
KIRBY, A. G.
KRAMER, CHAS.
LAKE WORTH PUBLISHING CO.
LAWRENCE, CORA
LAWRIE, WM. W.
LEADLEY, GEORGE
LEEMAN, CHAS. N.
LOONEY, LEE L.
LUSH, MR.
LYMAN, E. B.
LYMAN, L. F.
LYMAN, Z. F.
MACMAHON, R. R.
MANN, GEO. W. AND RUTH E.
MARTIN, C. A.
MARTIN, W. B.
MCCARTHY, JAMES T.
MCCLANAHAN, CHAS.
MCINNES, BEATRICE AND J. A.
MCNAMEE, ALBERT
MCRAE, A. J. & ANNIE
MENOHER EDWARDS REAL ESTATE CO.
MEYER, MAE
MILLER, JOHN L.
MILLIKEN, LLOYD
MONK, B. F.
MORROW, B. L.
MURPHY, HARRY
MURRY, ANGIE AND E. J.
MURRY, J. A.
NICHOLS, N. S.
NICOLES, F. E.
NISBET & BASFORD
NOONER, E. E.
OAKLEY, L. E.
OGLE, ELEANOR J.
OHLFEST, J. J.
PAGE, S. P.
PALM BEACH COMPANY
PALM LAWN MEMORIAL PARK
PANAMA TRACTION CO.
PARSONS, L. C.
PEOPLES BANK
PERKINS, H. J.
PERKINS, HARRY J.
PERRY, C. H.
PERRY, CLAUDE F.
PETERSON, ANDREW & INGRID
POWERS, WM. W.
QUINLAN, MARY & THOS.
QUINN, MARY F.
RATLIFF, C. B.
RAU, SOPHIA L.
REA, MARTHA C.
REIDEL, N. F.
RINEHART, J. C.
RIPLEY, BENJ.
ROBERTS, F. M.
ROBERTS, MILDRED
ROEBUCK, W. A.
ROGERS, H. L.
ROLL, R. H.
ROLSTON, CARL
RONNING, P. C.
ROUSE, IRA J.
ROWAN, LAURA L.
ROWE, W. J.
RUSHFORD, NELSON
SCHMIDT, PATER J.
SCHOENTHALER, WILLIAM F.
SCHULTZ, E. FRED
SEIFERT, NORMAN W.
SKANCE, ROBERT J.
SMART REALTY CO.
SMITH, CLARA B.
STEWART, E. W.
STRAIT, W. S.
STRETTON, ETTA M.
SULLIVAN, HELEN
SWAIN CO., L. C.
THOMASMA, HARRY
THOMPSON, G. F.
TIGRETT, N. G.
TOWN OF LAKE WORTH FLA.
TRUESDALE, A. B.
UNWIN, H. J.
UPTON, CECIL
VALENTINE, U. S.
VAN HORN, C.
WARE, L. M.
WARFIELD, S. DAVIS
WEIL, V. M.
WENTWORTH, CHAS. E.
WESTLAKE, A. W.
WHITE, H. & E.
WILKINSON, H. E. MISS
WILSON, WM. A.
WISE, J. O.
WOLVERTON, B. W.
WOOD, GEO. W.
WORKMAN, JOHN A.
YBANEX, MINNIE R.
YOUNG, H. F.
ZIPP, CARRIE BAKER

MIAMI FL

CITY NATIONAL BANK IN MIAMI

ABDELMAN, B. A.
ANDERSON, H. PUCE
ANDERSON, HUGH M.
ANDERSON, MR. & MRS. C. W.
ANSLEY, C. C.
ANSLEY, MRS. MARIE
ANTHONY, J. R.
ANTHONY, R. T.
ARCH, DR. M. EVERSALE
ARONOVITY, ISAADAU
AUSTIN, THOS. W.
BAIN, MARRIS
BAKER BROTHERS
BAKER RIDDLE CO.
BAKER, E. S.
BAKER, E. S. & GEO
BAKTES, MIKE
BARNETT, W. M.
BARRETT, A. C.
BAYRILL INVESTMENT CO.
BEBOUT, DAISY H. MRS.
BEDDALL, S. A.
BEGLEY, JOHN J.
BELL, MRS. E. D.
BELSINGER, HARRY F.
BENEDICT, C. L.
BENNETT, F. A.
BENNETT, J. W.
BERRYMAN, B. L.
BETHEL, RICHARD
BISCAYNE MFG. CO.
BLACK, FANNIE M.
BLAKE BATTERY & LOCK CO.
BLANCHET, MRS. ANNIE M.
BLISS, W. C.
BOGGS, MRS. E. C.
BOWERS, J. W.
BOYKIN, JAMES M.
BRANT, JACK
BRAY, MARGARET D.
BRISKIN, JACK H.
BRITT, D. E., PROP
BROADBENT, MILLER
BROCK, C. F.
BROWN, CAPT. JOHN
BROWN, J. T. S.
BUCKMAN, EVERETT W.
BUDERUE, ERNEST
BURROUGHS, H. D.
C. C. LUMBER CO.
CAMERON, MRS. ETHEL S.
CAMPBELL, PHILIPS
CANOVA, E. C.
CARTER, FRANK
CARTER, W. L.
CASSEUS, M.
CAVE, WM. P.
CITIZENS LOAN & SAVINGS CO.
CLARK, ALFRED & MINNIE
CLARKE, C. E.
COHEN, J. JOEL
COMFORT, W. R.
COPPER, S. O.
COSTON, NEAL M.
CRIGER & TATUM
CRING, CLARENCE K.
CULLEN, MRS. L. M.
CURTIS, CAROLINE M.
DAMPHOUSE, ROSE ANNA
DAVIS, C. E.
DAY, C. FRIEMONS
DEGARMO, CHAS.
DEVANE, D. N.
DEVENPORT, T. W.
DEXTER GEARE CORP.
DODD, CHARLES W.
DOWNING, MR. & MRS. J.
EDSON, E. HAROLD
ELLIS, E. O.
ERKMAN, C. R.
ETTER, A. L.
FARREN, MRS. MAE
FEATHERSTONE, FRED
FINNEY, W. H.
FLANDERS, O. M.
FLORIDA REALTY CORP.
FOREMAN, T. H.
FORTES, F. M. MR. OR MRS.
FRANK, WALTER M.
FREIXA, SR. CAYETANO
FULLER
GALATIS, PETER
GAMER, FRED G.
GAYHAN, J. H.
GOODZINSKY, SOL M.
GRAMLICK, CHAS.
GRATHWOL, J. L.
GREEN, S. C.
GREENE, HARRY
GRENTER, C. E.
GUTHRIE, DR.
HALL, J. J.
HALL, R.E. BAY VISTA PARK CO.
HAMM, WALTER C.
HANSEN, C. R.
HARELSON, V. H.
HARPER, MC ALLISTER
HARRIS, H. L.
HARRISON, H. H.
HARRISON, HENRY H.
HART, CATHERINE
HART, EMMA MAY ROBERTS
HART, JOHN J.
HART, L. H.
HEIL, CHAS.
HERSH, MINNIE
HIRSCH, HEDEL
HOCKETT, V. F.
HOLCOMBE, JAMES A.
HOLGERSON, ALFRED
HOLLENDER, S. L.
HOLLORAN, FRED J.
HOMA, MR. OR MRS. H. I.
HOPKINS, H. A.
HORN, C. B.
HOUSTON, A. L. & RAE
HUBER, W. M.
HUDDLESTON, F. R.
HUDSON, W. D.
HUFF, E. S.
HUMPHREY, J. W.
HUMPHREYS, MILDRED B.
INGLEY, JR. FRED
JACKSON, MR. & MRS. E. C.
JACKSON, MR. OR MRS. H. M.
JENKINSON, JR. S. G.
JERNIGAN, MRS. JENNIE L.
JOHANNESON, G.
JOHN, GEORGE
JOHNSON & CO.
JOHNSON, BEN
JONES, ALLEN
JONES, CHARLES C.
JOY, J. P.
JUDY, H. C.
KAISER, MRS. JULIUS H.
KATHERINE & BARRONWS
KEACH, LILLIAM
KELLEY, E. D.
KEYES, KENNETH S.
KING, F. C.
KOLAN, W. U.
KOWENSTROT, B. J.
LAIRD, R. W.
LANCE, LUCIAN C.
LASALLE, A. T.
LASCALA, JOS.
LAWLER, GLADYS C.
LEONARD, J. J.
LEVEN, N.
LEVIN, LEONA
LEWIS, HAROLD
LIDE, MALCOLM
LLOYD, WALTER J.
LOVELL, LILLIEN L.
LOVINE, MISS E. B.
LUNDIN, E.
LYNSKY, C. H.
MALBIN, WM. K.
MALONE, FRANK J.
MARBLETINE CORP. OF FLORIDA
MARCUS, J. L.
MARKOVITZ, H.
MARTIN, FRANK J.
MAWMAN, G. A.
MAYES, HOWARD L.
MCDERMOTT, MRS. R. F.
MCGINNIS, F. L.
MCLEAN, MRS. W. H.
MCMINN, C. C.
MCRAE, W. A.
MERRITT, W. S.
MEWELL, A. J.
MIAMI HOMES CO.
MILLER, DAVIS RICE
MILLS, TAYLOR
MIZELL, MRS. G. C.
MONK, W. A.
MOON, J. L.
MOORE, EDWIN C.
MORRISON, R. A.
MRS. ELIZABETH J.
MURPHY, A. E.
MURPHY, D. J.
MUTCHNICK, MYER
NAQIER, GEORGE M.
NILSEN, PETER
O'KELL, GEO. M.
O'NEIL, GRACE A.
OLAF, J. FRANCES LEFTON HELSETH
OLSEN, AL
ORLANSKY, HARRY
PALMQUIST, E. V.
PARDEE, H. A.
PATTERSON, L. R.
PAYNE & MCELYA
PETERSON, E. F.
PETERSON, F. A.
PHILBERT, EDNA M.
PHILLIPS, BYFIELD
PINDAR, J. W.
PINKSTON, E. C.
POLLAK, DR. B.
POLLAK, PAUL
POSTAL SAVINGS SYSTEM
POWELL, J. W.
PURNELL, I. J.
QUINN, JOHN J.
RACEY, JR C. H.

READ, C. H. W.
REBER, TED
REED, O. C.
REESE, MRS. R. H.
REEVE, R. H.
RIACH, J. A.
RICE, D. K.
RICE, DEAN K. & CALLA
RICE, V. K.
RICHARDSON, J. P.
ROBERTS, C. A.
ROBERTS, E. A.
ROCHE, JOHN
ROGERS, DR. L. N.
ROSBOROUGH, W. B.
ROSBROUGH, W. B.
ROTH, ROSE B.
RYAN, D. L.
RYAN, LARRY D.
SAKHORSKY, A. N.
SALA, CHARLES B.
SALAS, JOHN T.
SALISBURY, ALBERT S.
SAMMONS, MRS. CHARLES
SAMPSON, MRS. RUTY
SANBORN, FRANK L.
SAWYER, J. L.
SCHMITT, MRS. SURRUE
SCHNEIDER, ADOLPH P.
SCHUBERT, DR. W. ALTON
SCOTT, WM.
SHALE, W. W.
SHAW, LEONARD
SHEEHAN, BYNNER
SIMPSON, WILLIAM J.
SKILLMAN, JOHN T.
SMITH, GEORGE B.
SMITH, KIRK L.
SMITH, LOTTIE B.
SMITH, T. RUSSELL
SNIDEN, J. O.
SNIDER, BEN
SOBLE, A.
SOUTH ATLANTIC REALTY CO.
SPENCER, OTIS
STAFFORD, G. W.
STARLING, STEWART
STEIN, DR. SALE
STEVENS, WALTER C.
STEWART, W. H. D.
SUMMERS, A. ALEXANDER
SUTTER, S. N.
SWEETSER, IDA L.
TALLEY, J. H.
TAYLOR, DR. GEO. A.
THOMAS, GRAYDON
THOMPSON, J. R.
THOMPSON, JAMES A.
THOMPSON, MRS. CATHERINE
THRASHER, H. B.
THROM, EMMA J.
TINNY, MR. & MRS. V. O.
TURNER, L. W.
USED CAR CLEANING HOUSE
USHER, R. M.
VALENTINE, MRS. F.
VANDYKE, BEYYTE
VASCILE, A.
WALDIN, A. M.
WALLIS, R. J.
WARDELL, MRS. E.
WARREN, JOHN C.
WEIDINGER, HUGH J.
WELLS, GEO. H.
WEND, JOHN M.
WESTGATE, FRANK J.
WHITLOCK, F. O.
WICKSTROM, WALTER C.
WILLIAMS, M. J.
WOLFE, MINNIE R.
WOOD & HANSBROUGH
WORKMAN, MRS. R. L.
WYNN, E. L. OR F. W.
YODER, MR. & MRS. J. A.
YOUNG, MRS. RAYMOND

MIAMI, FL

COMMERCIAL BANK & TRUST CO., MIAMI

BAILY, WH.
GRAVES

MIAMI, FL

THIRD NATIONAL BANK OF MIAMI

FAIRHAVEN CO.

PALATKA FL

THE PUTNAM NATIONAL BANK OF PALATKA

ALLEN, K. B.
CALKINS, W. V. MRS.
DOWNING, GEO. A.
GREEN, GORDON
JENKINS, JAMES
MATTHEWS, T. S.
MYRA, MCMILLAN
PAGE, J. D.
PRICE, LEWIS J.
REEDER, F. E.
ROBERTS, W. C.
ROBINSON, MAJOR
STOKES, EDWARD
TUCKER, F. A. MRS.
WHITMAN, V. L. MRS.
YOUND, W. S.

PANAMA CITY FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PANAMA CITY

CAMPBELL, C.C. MRS. FOR JOS BESWICK
TITUS, PHEBE E.

PERRY FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PERRY

CHANCEY, J. N.
CLINE, D. E. DR.
CONNER, A. F.
COOPER, WILL
HINSON, J.
HOLMES, J. H.
LASHLEY, A. L.
LYNN, I. E.
LYNN, L. H.
MCCONN, W. B. MRS.
O'KARMA, E. I.
PEACOCK, BARNEY L.
ROBERTS, D. D.
SAPP, P. L.
WILSON, TOM
YOUNG, W. H.

QUINCY FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF QUINCY

ANDREWS, MARY M.
BAILES, J. T.
BAPPLON, M. E.
BORIS, JNO. M.
BOYLES, D. P.
CAMPBELL, D. L.
CARMINE, P. R.
CHAUSEE, J. A.
CLIATT, J. A.
COLLINS, E. M.
CORRY, ARTHUR
COVINGTON, S. J. F.
DAVIS, FRANK E.
DICKENSON HARDWARE CO.
DOWNS, M. D.
EDWARDS, D. D., ET AL.
EFFANGEE TOBACCO CO.
FEINBERG, ANNIE
GRAY, C. H.
GREEN, R. L.
GRIFFIN, LEILA
HAGOOD, W. L.
HALL, H. R.
HIGHTOWER, MARY
HOWELL, G. W.
JOHNSON & EDWARDS
LUTIE, M. H.
MARTIN, I. S.
OWENS, W. J.
PINSON, T. J. MRS.
POOL, EVA L.
POWELL, JOHN W.
RICHARDSON, A. I. G.
SCARBOROUGH & MORGAN
SCHROEDER, H. H.
SHAW TOBACCO COMPANY
SHAW, C. R.
SHAW, R. K. CO.
SLAPPEY, R. S.
SUBER, ELMER L.
WATSON, A. W.

SAINT AUGUSTINE FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAINT AUGUSTINE

ALEXASKIS, LOUIS
BEAUDOIN, P. A.
BOSTON-FLORIDA ATLANTIC COAST LAND CO.
BUCKMAN, HELEN L.
BUNTING, MARTHA
BYRNE, J. J.
CRUTCHFIELD, E. M. MRS.
ERWIN, WARREN
FETTING, ANNA L.
FLEMING, LA RENA
FOSTER, J. C. R.
FULLER, J. T.
GEORGE, W. R.
ILLES, FRANK
KENT, W. C.
LINDSLEY, JENNIE MISS
LONDON, MARY KER
LYON, A. MAYNARD
MASON, B. M.
MASON, GEO. H.
MASTERS, ELZIE
MASTERS, J. CASSIMERE
MAY, E.E.
MCCANN, L. R. MRS.
MCMILLAN, D. H.
MEADOWS, MILES R.
MILES, GEORGE F.
MIMS, R. L.
MULLER, HENRY
MULVEY, MARY
OMOHUNDRO, W. B.
PELLICER, R. D.
PITTMAN, B. B.
POMAR, EDGAR
PRODUCERS NAVAL STORES COMPANY
ROGOLINO, CARL
ROOD, LEONA MISS
ROWAN, J. C.
SANCHEZ, CHRISTINA
SCHMIDT, THOS. B.
SHAW LAND COMPANY
SHAW, R. C.
SHEPPARD, REBECCA
SHINE, W. F.
SMITH, BUCKINGHAM
ST. AUGUSTINE YACHT CLUB
STONE, GEORGE MRS.
STUART, A. L.
THOMAS, CHAS. H. MRS.
TOUSEY, D. M.
WARFIELD, CARL

SAINT PETERSBURG FL

THE CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF SAINT PETERSBURG

AHERN, CHAS. K. TRUSTEE
ALBRIGHT, H. J.
AMSTERDAM, L. M.
BARRETT, CATHERINE
BARROWS, FANNIE S.
BEAUCHEMIN, J. S., MR. & MRS.
BERGHORN, HENRY
BRADBEER, R. F.
BRADFIELD, W. K.
BRADSTREET, DOROTHY D.
BROBERG, BEN C.
BROWN, MARVIN
BROWNELL, CYRUS J.
BUCHANAN, JESSIE
CASE, O.
CHENEY, M. L. MRS.
CONSIDINE, JOHN J.
COULTER, LORETTA
COWAN, WM. S. OR DOROTHY
CREEK, H. A.
DICKEY, M. A.
DISCOLL, BEN F.
DOELPH, FRANK B.
DUNCAN, I. C.
FERGUSON, HARRY
FOSTER, W. G., MR. & MRS.
FULLER, A. S. & A. B.
FURMAN, WILDA
GAMBLE DR.
GARNER, W. C.
GREENAN, HELEN
HAGGARD, C. J.
HAMILTON, M.
HANCHEY, TOM B.
HANSEN, JAMES L.
HARRELL, T. W.
HEELER, FRANK F.
HOROLOGASS, A. J.
JACOBS, MICHAEL
JONES, J. PAUL
KEEF, CHAS. F. ET AL
KING, MILLARD O.
LANE, P. E.
LOOMIS, CARRIE
LORD, ROBERT
MCCARTHY, NELLIE
MCCHESNEY, JUNE K. OR DONALD
MCGINNIS, MARGARET T.
MCNETTON, EMMET J.
MILES, MARK P.
PAYNE, BANKER H.
PAYNE, EMMA L. ET AL
PEACOCK, ANNIA S.
PHILLIPS, MARIAN KOOGLER
PRICE, KEITH
REESE, PAUL
SAGE, J. R.
SHANLEY, H. R. MRS.
SINE, CHAS. J.
SLOAN, M. B.
SMITH, DELLA
SNYDER, ROSE D.
STEWART, B. A.
STURGIS, W. H.
SUGG, D. F.
TAYLOR, RAY E.
TILLOTSON, POLLY P.
TRUE, EMMA J.
TURKINGTON, M. EDWARD
TURNER, GE.
TURNER, M. L. DR.
TYSON, F. J.
VAUGHN, CHAS. W.
WARD, GILBERT H. ET AL
WEEDON, M. E.
WERLY, ALICE E.
WHEELER, EMILY MRS.
WHIPPLE, VIOLA
WILLARD, ART MR. & MRS.
WILLIAMS, VOLNEY
YOUNG, ARTHUR W.

SAINT PETERSBURG FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAINT PETERSBURG

ALBRIGHT, W. L.
ANDERSON, JOHANNES
ANDERSON, LYDIA
ARCHER, OSCAR E. & ETNA
ARMITAGE, MABEL V. A.
AXLINE, M. H.
BAIRD, HELEN
BALESH, J. E.
BARKLEY, G. K. DR.
BARTELS, GEORGE A.
BELMONT, A. C.
BEVILL, J. E.
BICKER, E. L.
BIECK, C. E.
BILLINGS, F.
BIRLEY, H. C.
BLUMBERG, S.
BOEKE, VICTOR
BOLLING, R. L.
BOOTH, RAYMOND
BOSWORTH, HARRIETT
BOYCE, JOHN
BOYD, ALICE M.
BOYD, DORA M.
BRADY, KATHARINA
BRENNAN, J. H.
BRINTON, H. M.
BROWN, D. M.
BROWN, GEORGE M.
BROWN, THOS. H. D.
BROWNELL, M. E.
BURKE, ADELAIDE GREER
CABLE, G. W. MRS.
CALDWELL, WM. H.
CAMP, G. A.
CAMPBELL, JOHN
CANTER, SARAH F.
CARPENTER, WM. P. MRS.
CARROLL, GEO. S. H. OR JENNIE N.
CARROLL, R. G.
CARTER LUMBER YARD
CASEY & CORNELL
CASTNER, J. A.
CHANCELLOR, T. A. TRUSTEE
CHENOWITH, FLORENCE
CLAXTON, W. R.
COASTAL CONSTRUCTION CO.
COBURN, JOHN W.
COCORAN, JOHN J.
CODY, JAMES F.
COLMAN, M. G.
CONLON, M. C.
COOK, LULU M.
COOK, WILLIAM C.
CURTIS, J. H. V.

DALTON, WILLIAM J.
DAVIDSON, SAMUEL
DAVISON, W. DELL
DAVY, MYRON
DEBOSE, A. R.
DEMESQUITA, H. DR.
DIBBLE, ELLA T.
DONOVAN, WALTER & THOS.
DOWLING, C. L.
DRAKE, EDW. A. MRS.
DRAKOS, PETER
DREW, EVA T. MRS.
DRUMMOND, HAL C.
DUKES, D. L.
EARLE, RALPH
ECKERT, FRANCES
EDGERTON, LAWRENCE F.
EDWARDS, H. C.
ELLFELDT, LEAH
EUSTIS, EDW. M. CO.
EVANS, FRANK
FAIRFIELD CONCRETE PRODUCTS CO.
FAITH HOSPITAL
FATZINGER, H. N.
FITTS, SARA P.
FLAMMER, SALLIE S.
FLEMING, D. G.
FLEMING, DANA
FLEMING, DAVID
FLEMING, H. G.
FORD, EMMA
FOSTER, ARCHIBALD H.
FRANSEN, G.
FREDERICK, GILBERT
FRIEDMAN, E.
GARRETT, WM. F.
GERWIG, ALBERT H.
GIBBS, HARLAND E.
GILLIAM, MARY F.
GOOGINS, FRANCES T.
GRABENDINKEL, GEO.
GRAHAM, JOSEPH
GRANT, JAMES
GREEN, J. B. REALTY CO.
GREEN, J. B. TRUSTEE
GREEN, J. C.
GRINNAN, CHAS. MRS.
GRISWOLD, C. M.
GRUBE, JOHN E. DR.
GRUMLY, JOSEPH W.
HAGEDORNE, BERNADINE
HALE, CHARLES M.
HALL, BURT L.
HALLORAN, MICHAEL
HARRINGTON, FANNIE
HART, FRANK G.
HATCH, S. L.
HAVENER, R. A.
HAWLEY, EDW. T.
HAYES, CLAUDINE
HENRY, WALTER C.
HERITAGE, HOWARD K.
HIGGINS, B. O.
HIGHLAND REALTY CO.
HOLLIDAY, E. H.
HOMELAND COMPANY
HOWARD, EDWIN ARCHIBALD
IMMEDIATE MTG. & FINANCE CO.
JACKSON, H. C.
JACKSON, HARRISON R.
JOHNSON, LAURA
JOHNSTON, E. W.
JOLLY, SETH, ET AL
JONES, CARLTON
JONES, J. F.
JONES, WILLIE MAY
KEHOE, EVA A.
KELLER, HELEN E.
KELLEY, E. L.
KENNEDY, WM. M.
KERRICK, JOSEPH H.
KIMBALL, SADIE F.
KNIGHT, H. D.
LAIRD, WESLEY E.
LARRABEE, ETHEL
LAWSON, DON
LEONARD, VOLNEY B.
LEWIS, ANNA E.
LOZO, MORGAN MRS.
LUDWIG, KATE MRS.
LYDICK, ROBERT OR CORA
MACKENZIE, NANCY
MARKLAND, ROBT. I. ET AL
MARSHALL, R. M.
MARTIN, W. E.
MARVIN, WM. G.
MASDEN, DOLLIE W.
MATTESON, G. E.
MCCUTCHEON, PAUL R.
MCKEE, WALTER S.
MCKINLEY & LANGSTON
MEADOWS, C. F. MRS.
MEEK, EVA W.
MEREDITH, LORIN
MERRILL, C. J. MR. OR MRS.
MIDDLEBROOKS, VIOLET
MIKELIS, NICK
MILENS, JOHN MRS.
MILLER, JNO R.
MOCK, T. J.
MOREY, SELWYN
MORRISON, H. C.
MORROW, FRED T.
MUNDELL, L. B.
MURPHY, HATTIE KIMBLE
MURPHY, PERRY M.
MURPHY, T. C.
NELSON, ARTHUR W.
NELSON, HERMAN T.
NIPPER, WM. A. MRS.
O'NEIL, NETTIE M.
OLDSMAR LAND & DEVEL. CO.
OLIVER, WILLIAM
OPOLSKY, LEO
PALMER, CHARLES RUSSELL
PANCOAST, H. M.
PARKER, C. S.
PATRICK, MARY D.
PERSONS, O. A.
PETERS, E. G.
PIERCE, MARY L.
POST, GEO. L. OR MATTIE
POSTLEWAITE, M. F. MRS.
PRATT, K. W.
PUTNAM, WALTER J.
REISS, EDWARD C.
RENT-A-CAR COMPANY
REYNOLDS, ABIGAIL S.
REYNOLDS, BION J. F.
ROBERTS, HENRY
ROE, MILTON C.
ROGERS, IVA DEE
ROLLEY, ELLEN MRS.
ROSS, GEO. & CATHERINE
ROUND, LILLY M. MRS.
ROUND, OLIN
ROWE, FRANK
RUSSELL SALES CORP.
RUSSELL, HERBERT L.
SANITARY PUBLIC MARKET
SATTLER, ROSE K.
SAY, L. W. & L. W. MRS.
SCHOOLEY, P. W.
SCHOOLEY, S. V.
SCHROETER, R. F. MR. OR MRS.
SCHWING, HAZEL W.
SELLEMAN, WM. H.
SELLERS, G. M.
SHARP, CY W.
SHARP, J. P.
SHEFFIELD, GEO. A.
SHELL, LILLIAN
SHEPHARD, ANNA M. H.
SMITH, ESSIE E.
SMITH, FRED T.
SMITH, JOSEPH A.
SMITH, LAURA A.
SOUTHEAST ELECTRIC SCHEDULE COMPANY
SOUTHLAND SEMINARY
STANTON, C. M.
STEELE, HUNTER
STEWART, REBECCA M.
STOERGER, ROSE
STOUTENBURG, SUSAN M.
STRAUS, PAULINE
STRUM, L. H.
SUPER STEAM SALES
SWEENEY, P. J. & MARY
SWIFT SERVICE GARAGE
TAMIAMI AIR LINE
TAYLOR, WM. H. ET AL
TESSIER, E. D.
THORNTON, W. A.
THOUIN, A.
TORBET, ETTA B.
TORBET, JAMES
TRAYNOR, ONIE E.
VICTORY, HENRY H.
WALLACE, ALBERT L.
WEAVER, HARRY B. DR.
WELLS, BARNEY E.
WELLS, L. J.
WEST COAST BLDG. SYNDICATE
WESTHAVER, KATHLEEN B.
WHERRITT, S. E.
WHITE, W. M.
WHITE, WM. R.
WHITICE, JAS. W.
WILDER, SALLIE F.
WILHELM, J. W.
WILMORE, EDITH ADELE
WILSON, E. F.
WITTET, GEO. L.
WOOD, ALVIN JAMES
WORD, F. B.
WORTHINGTON, GREGORY L.
WORTHINGTON, R. B.
YOUNG, JAS. R.
YOWELL, MARY E.

SANFORD FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SANFORD

AARON ROBBINS ESTATE
ABERCROMBIE, S. M.
ANDERSON, C. A.
BELL, MAGGIE
BOLEN, A. J.
BOYKIN, HELLENA
BRADFORD, C. H.
BRADFORD, S. W.
BRUNSON, JEREMIAH
BRUTON, NOISY
C. M. STOWE - FLA. BULB CO.
CARAWAY, MCDONALD
CARTER, A. C.
CONNELLY, RUBY L.
COOK, A. T.
COREY, B. T.
CORRINGTON, HERMON
COX, F. W.
DICKSON, LULA C.
DONNELLY, JOHN P.
ELLIS, J. R.
FLESCH, FRED
FLETCHER-BULGER REALTY CO.
FOSSETT, A.
FREEMAN, T. E.
GARVIN, TILMAN
GEORGE, CHARLIE
GILL, HERMAN
GRAFT, LOUIS JOSEPH
GREEN, E. D.
GREEN, E. D. ET AL
GRIMES, JOHN H. ESTATE
GUSTAFSON, C. J.
H. B. LEWIS ESTATE
HAINES, CHAS. D.
HARRIET, J. A.
HART, EMMA JUDSON
HARVARD, J. S.
HASELL, DUNCAN INGRAHAM JR.
HAYDEN, J. R.
HENLEY, W. L.
HICKSON, D. C.
HINES, JANE
HOWARD, E. W.
HUMPHREY, CHAS. E. OR FARMER
HUTTON, M. B.
JOHNSON, F. A.
JONES, T. J.
KENT, C.
KENT, M. E.
LAKE FRONT IMPROVEMENT CO.
LEACH, D.
LLOYD, C. W.
LONG, T. L.
MAHONEY, F. W.
MAHONEY, F.W. ESTATE
MANN, L. B.
MARCHANT, J. A. JR.
MARIS, GEO. L.
MARSHALL, LAURA SMITH
MCCULLER, L. P.
MCGRIFF, JOHN
MCLAIN, J. T.
MILLER, G. P. MR.
MISSIONARY BAPTIST CHURCH, CHULUOTA
MONAHAN, P. B.
MUNSON, JENNIE L.
NEAL, T. A.
NEWBY, ROSA C.
NICHOLA & COBB
NICKEL, HENRY
NORRIS, MARY R.
PACE, M. G. MRS.
PEOPLES BANK OF SANFORD, FLA.
PETERSON, MANUEL
PROWS, J. T.
RADFORD, WALTER A.
RAYNOR, W. A.
READ, C. I.
READ, G. B.
RICHENS, J. M.
ROY, JOHN
SCHWARTZ, FANNIE
SIMMONS, LUCILE
SIMS, D. K.
SINGLETON, MATTIE
SJOBLOM, A. E.
SNIPES, J. H.
STANDARD MOTOR CO.
STEWART, MINNIE S.
SWAIN, LOTTIE G.
SWAN, J. B.
WAITS, J. G.
WALKER, C.
WHITE, C. M.
WHITTEN, R. C.
WHITTLE, F. R.
WILLETS, B.
WILLIAMS, J. S.
WILLIAMS, MILA L. MRS.
WING, MAMIE K.
WINN, E. L. MRS.
WRIGHT, JOSEPH

SEBRING FL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SEBRING

BRYSON, A. C. MRS.

TAMPA FL

THE NATIONAL CITY BANK OF TAMPA

HAMILTON, E. MRS.

ALBANY GA

NEW GEORGIA NATIONAL BANK OF ALBANY

BROACH, H. M.

DUBLIN GA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DUBLIN

EMERALD CITY LODGE, WILLIAMS, R.H. COMM

LYONS GA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LYONS

DAVIS, LIGE

MACON GA

THE FOURTH NATIONAL BANK OF MACON

BETTS, EDWARD CHAMBERS
CLEMMONS, JOHN W.
DAVIS, BOYER B.
FLOYD, SETH B.
GORDON, G. W.
JONES, GEO. LAIDLER
LEWIS, CHAS. B. TREAS. FOR MACON-BIRM RW
MACON WAR CAMP COMMUNITY SERVICE
MCGEE, HENRY S.
MILLER, E. S., MGR. SEARS & ROEBUCK
NISBIT, ROBERT A.
PARKER, S. A.
PEOPLES BANK, IDEAL, GA.
SMALL, G. L. MRS.
SOLOMON, W. G.

TIFTON GA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF TIFTON

MARCHART, ISAAC J.

CHEROKEE IA

THE SECURITY NATIONAL BANK OF CHEROKEE

SHARTZEN, WALLACE

CLARINDA IA

THE CLARINDA NATIONAL BANK

JOHNSON, HARRIETTA J.

COIN IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COIN

WEBB, S. R.

ELDORA IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELDORA

AIKEN, R. O.

ESTHERVILLE IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ESTHERVILLE

ANKRUM, VERA
BLAKEY, CHAS.
CASEY, JOHN G.
CROWE, JAMES
GILLILAND, N. W.
HOWARD, L. E.
RIDGEWAY, EMMET
ROSSBURG, HARRY
STEWART, MARY MRS.
WALLACE, HARVEY
WING, FRANK B.

IOWA FALLS IA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF IOWA FALLS

GNADE, H. F.
MIMOCHE, STEVE

JEFFERSON IA

THE FARMERS AND MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK OF JEFFERSON

ALLEN, CHARLES
DUNLOP, JAMES N.
FARMERS & MERCHANTS N. B. SURETY BOND
GORE, P. M.
HEALY, W. J.
KENAN, A. W.
KIRKMAN, R. E.
MEARS, ORA
PAUL, J. R.
REEVES, J. W.

KINGSLEY IA

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF KINGSLEY

GATES & KLIEBENSTEIN WALDEN & SMITH

LA PORTE CITY IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LA PORTE

PLUMMER, L. R.

MANILLA IA

THE MANILLA NATIONAL BANK

ANDERS, HERMAN
ANTHONY, CORA
BACON, LUCINDA
BARBER, ALLEN A.
BARGENQUAST, JOHN
BIGELOW, E. A.
BOCK, F. C.
BROKAW, G. D.
BROWN, FANNIE M.
BUCK, JANE
BUCK, THERESA
CABLE, MYRON M.
CHADWICK, ERNEST C.
CONRAD, SADIE
FLAUGH, ALEXANDER
GARDNER, MARY K.
GAUMER, W. N.
GERMAN EVAN. LUTHRAN
GERMAN EVANG, LUTHRAN TRINITY CONGREG.
HAMANN, JNO. G.
HEITMAN, JOSEPH MAT
HEMMINGER, FRANCIS
HEMMINGER, JOHN
HOFF, DAVID
JOHNSON, N. K.
JORGENSEN, OLOF
KELLEY, JAMES M.
MARTENS, RICHARD
MCCRACKEN, H. F.
MCMAHON, MICHAL J.
MORGAN, PHILLIS T.
NEELY, MARY
NEELY, S. M.
NELLIS, OLIVER A.
NELLY, MARY C.
OFFINEER, G. O.
OFFINEER, RACHEL
PECK, E. W.
PEET, J. H.
PEPUR, CLAUS
RASMUSSEN, PEDER
RASMUSSEN, PETER
REUWSAAT, ANDREAS
SAUNDERS, CHARLES
SAUNDERS, GEO. W.
SAUNDERS, ROSCOE C.
SCANLAN, DAN
SCANLAN, JNO. & THOS.
SCHRAITER, HENRY
SCHRAM, GERTRUDE
SCHWIESON, W. L. F.
SHAW, D. W.
SHAW, L. M.
SIECK, ANNA C.
SOWLES, VELIE
STECKELBERG, MARIA
SUTTON, LIZZIE
SWARTZ, GUY F.
THEDE, J. R.
THEOBALD, GEO.
THEOBALD, GEORGE
THEOBALD, ROBT.
THORPE, A. T.
THORPE, CARRIE
THORPE, ELIZABETH
TODD, IRA W. & JESSIE
TRIPLETT, E. A.
TRIPLETT, H. A.
TURPIN, MILLIE
VAN SLYKE, F. L.
WALKER, A. C.
WALKER, HENRY
WALKER, J. H.
WEAVER, DAVID T.
WENZEL, ERNEST A.
WENZEL, FRED J.
WETZEL, OSWALD
WHITE, B. B.
WOODARD, C. H.
WOODARD, CHARLES LEON
WOODYARD, O. T.
WYLAND, O. P.

MARENGO IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARENGO

SPOERRY, J. C.

MILFORD IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MILFORD

JENSEN, JENNIE
RYAN, GENEVIEVE

MILTON IA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF MILTON

PETTIT, MARY L.

PANORA IA

THE GUTHRIE COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF PANORA

CANNON, DENNIS
GILMORE, J. M.
MADER, JOSEPH

PLEASANTVILLE IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PLEASANTVILLE

METCALF, F. T.

RANDOLPH IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RANDOLPH

GOODNER, WM. D., FARRAGUT, IA.
GRIFFIN, JAMES
GRIFFIN, MAYE MRS.
KOHNKE, FRANK L.
OVERTON, H. H.
SKAGGS, JOE E
WEDERQUIST CHILDREN

RED OAK IA

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF RED OAK

KINDSTROM, CLAUS
LARSON, L. J.

SIDNEY IA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF SIDNEY

MCCORMICK, MRS. S. E.

SIOUX CITY IA

SIOUX NATIONAL BANK IN SIOUX CITY

HANSEN, LETHA
HERBERT, ALBERT
MCEVOY, J.
STEWART, LAVERNE MRS.

STORY CITY IA

THE STORY CITY NATIONAL BANK

SMITH, ZETA INEZ

SWEA CITY IA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SWEA CITY

KNUTSON, J. H. MRS.
PAULSEN, AXEL

WATERLOO IA

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF WATERLOO

HEMENWAY, GRANT

WATERLOO IA

THE PIONEER NATIONAL BANK OF WATERLOO

HANSEN, MARTIN

AMERICAN FALLS ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AMERICAN FALLS

ABERCROMBIE, W. K.
ADAM - LEISCHNER
ADOLF BROS.
ADOLF, BENARD
ALBERT, E. H.
ALBERT, R. A.
ALLEN & WINTER
ALLEN, JOS.
ALLEN, WARREN
AMERICAN FALLS CANAL ASSOCIATION
AMERICAN FALLS IMPLEMENT COMPANY
AMERICAN FALLS MOTOR CO.
AMERICAN FALLS ROCKLAND
ANDERSON, FRANCES
ANDERSON, N. P.
ANDERSON, R. S.
ANDERSON, W. T.
ARNOLD, L. C.
ARNOLD, RALPH
AULTMAN & TAYLOR
BACHERD, F. A.
BACHERD, MANNING
BAGWELL, T. F.
BAIR, JOSEPH L.
BANNOCK MOTOR CO.
BARLOW, SAM
BARTHOLOMA, PETER
BATTERTON, FLOYD
BECKER, AUGUST
BECKER, MARY
BECKLEY, LOUIS G.
BECKSTEAD, W. W.
BEHRENS, C.
BENNETT, WM. R.
BERGSTROM, C. J.
BERNER, WM. L.
BEST MFG. CO.
BILLINGSLEY, WYLIE F.
BLACK, D. A.
BLACKBURN, BERT
BLACKMER, G. W.
BLACKMER, GEO.
BLANPIED, RAY T.
BLANSPIED, ROY T.
BOLDT, FRANK
BOLDT, JACOB
BOLEY, H. C.
BOLING, THOS.
BOLTON, ROSS L.
BONNELL, NILE P.
BOWEN J. R.
BOWEN, J. R. & WIFE
BOWER, CHARLES E.
BRADSHAW, GEO.
BRADSHAW, GEORGE
BRADSHAW, GEORGE JR.
BREDING, J. H.
BREEZE, W. H.
BROOKS - CLASEN
BROOKS, J. P.
BROWN & MOENCH
BROWN - WRIGHT
BURNS, J. W.
BUTLER, G. S.
BUXTON, FRANCIS E.
BYINGTON - MCCARTHY
BYINGTON, J. H.
CAMPBELL, BYARD
CANFIELD, CHARLES M.
CANFIELD, R. B.
CARLSON, N. P.
CARNAHAN, ORVILLE
CARNEY, C. C.
CARYLE, NETTIE JANE
CHASSER, ADOLPH BY BLOCLN L. C.
CHATMAN, CHARLES
CHURCH, NELLIE
CLAASSEN, ADOLF
CLINE - BYERLY
COCHRAN, G. L.
COCHRANE, G. L.
COEN, REV.
COLFELT - KAUL
CONWAY, C. C.
COOPER, ERNEST
COTANT, B. B.
COTTEREL, S. H.
CRABAUGH, W. B.
CRAFORD, WILLIAM E.
CRAFTON, R. W.
CRAWFORD, CHAS. E.
CRAWFORD, WM. E.
CREGER, A. W.
CRISP, J. B.
CROSIER, CLAUD
CUMMINS, LEE
DAHLEN, A. K.
DARBY, W. S.
DAVIES & CO., D.
DAVIS - HAYS
DAVIS D. W. & D. T. FARNHAM

DAVIS, A. W.
DAVIS, D. W.
DAVIS, J. C.
DEGRANGE, JOHN W.
DILL, FRED
DOCKTER, GEORGE
DOME, CHARLES
DONNELLY - MUNN
DORNE, L. H.
DOWNEY, W. N.
DRAKE - MCCRACKEN
DUNN, WM.
EDWARDS, J. A.
ELDRIDGE, C. D.
ELIASEN, JOHN
ELIASON, JOHN
ELLIS, J. W.
ENNS, F. D.
ERTEL - JONSON
EVAN MERC. CO.
EVANS STATE BANK
FALLS NOTE CO.
FIELD - CONARROE
FIRST NAT. BANK, UNION, OREGON.
FIRST NAT'L. BANK, ROCKLAND, IDAHO
FISCHER, KARL
FISCHER, ROBERT C. F.
FITZGERALD, T. E.
FLEMING, W. W.
FLINT & WALLING MFG. CO.
FOULKS, J. R.
FREEMAN, DORA M
FREY, JACOB H.
GARN, MARTIN
GATE CITY MOTOR
GEHRING, FRED
GEM STATE LUMBER CO.
GIBSON - COTANT
GLORFIELD, C. H.
GLORFIELD, C. W.
GLORFIRER, C. W.
GOERTZEN, C. P.
GOONTZ, F. E.
GRANT, N. C.
GRAYOT, V. A. MRS.
GRAYOTT, GETTA C.
GRIFFIN, JAMES
HAAG, ADOLF
HAAG, ADOLF C.
HAAS, ADOLF C.
HACKNEY-LIVERMORE
HAINES, M. L.
HALL, ERNEST R.
HALL, O. C.
HANSON, P. G.
HARLERT, JOE A.
HARRIS, G. J.
HARRIS, W. T.
HARTLEY, JAMES JR.
HEED, BOIES
HEER, FRED
HEIM, JOHN
HEIZELMAN, MATT
HENBERT, JOYCE
HENDERSON, JAMES MRS.
HENRY ROCK & DAVIS
HENSEN, WM.
HILL, N. L.
HILLHOUSE, FRANK B.
HILLHOUSE, R. W.
HOERSCH, HENRY
HOFFMANN, LOUIS J.
HOGSED, C. P.
HOLT MFG. CO.
HOOK, ADOLF
HOSE, HENRY
HUGHES, CHARLES
HUGHES, J. T
HUNT, LULU
HURST, HENRY
HURST, HENRY LEE
HURST, MELVIN
IDAHO LUMBER CO.
IDAHO STATE AUTO ASS'N.
INTERNAT'L HARVESTER CO. BY GEO DASKTER
INVENTORS SYNDICATE MINNEAPOLIS, MINN.
IOWA LUMBER CO. LTD.
IRAAK, JOHN D.
IRLEY, O. J.
JANES, LEWIS
JERKE, F.
JOHN, D. W.
JOHNSON - HANSON
JOHNSON - ROSE
JOHNSON, CHARLES, TRUSTEE L.D.S. CHURCH
KARNEY, CHARLES
KENNEDY, GEO.
KIETH, MARY
KING, CHARLES
KING, CHARLES T.
KIRCHNER, PAUL
KISSER, GOTTLEIF
KOSANKE - BENNION
KRUGER-FIELD
KUCK, CHRISTIAN
KULM, ALEXANDER
KULM, J. C.
KURTH, NICK
KURTH, PETER
LARSEN, ERVIN
LEACH, GEORGE
LEE, W. A.
LELBETTER, JACK
LEWIS, CHARLES
LEWIS, ELIAS
LIDSTROM, KOSANKE
LINDANER, DANIEL
LINLOE, WILLIAM L.
LOGAN, V. G.
LOWERY, F. E.
LUND, W. P.
LYNN, MILTON D.
MANNING, A. C.
MARTENS, C. D. MRS.
MARTENS, H. C. ADM.
MARTENS, H. C. ADMR.
MARTENS, HUGO F.
MARTIN, G. O.
MARTSCH, GOTTLEIB
MATTHEW - SPAULDING
MAYER, C. A.
MAYER, R. H.
MAYER, RICHARD BY KULM, MATT KULM, ALEX
MAYNE, E. C.
MCALLISTER - COTTRELL
MCCARTY, C. E.
MCCORD - RIDGE
MCCOY, J. O.
MCCULLOUGH, SAMUEL H.
MCDIARMID, K. C.
MCKNIGHT, WM.
MCKNIGHT, WM. L.
MCPHEE, THOMAS
MEYER, FRED J.
MILLIRON, F. C.
MOENCH - WONER
MOENCH, GEORGE W.
MOORE, JOS. L.
MORGAN - THORNTON
MORGAN, G. W.
MORGAN, WM.
MORIMOTO, GEORGE
MORNDS, G. W.
MORRIS & CO., S. N.
MORRIS BROS.
MORRIS, B. T.
MORRIS, O. O.
MUEHE, W. F.
MULLER, GUS
MUNN - EMIGH
MURDOCK, MARY ANN
MYER BROS.
MYER, MICHAEL
MYER, OTTO
NEILSON, N. PETER
NELSON-ROOKSTOOL
NELSON, JOSEPH
NELSON, MINNIE D. AND CHRISTIAN
NEWMAN
NEWMAN, C. A.
NIX, W. A.
NORTH, RICHARD F.
NYE, F. F.
OLIVER CHILLED PLOW WORKS
PALMER, J. M.
PALMER, M.
PAYNE, S. L.
PELTON PUBLISHING CO.
PENNER, A. J.
PEOPLES TRUST & SAVINGS BANK OF CHICAGO.
PERKINS, AMANDA M.
PERRY, E. C.
PETERSON FURNITURE CO.
POLLOCK, J. W.
POTTER, C. E.
PUCHETTE, TROY E.
PUTNAM, ROY G.
REEVES, J. A.
REIMAN-FIRST NAT'L.
REMKE, JACOB J.
RICHARDSON, ELLEN I.
RICHTER, HENRY
RIVERSIDE MERC. CO.
ROBERTSON - WIERTZBA
ROBINSON, F. D.
ROCK, HENRY
ROGERS - UHRICH
ROGERS, A. B.
ROOKSTOOL, ANNA
S. F. BOWSER & CO. INC.
SALZER SEED CO.
SCHATZ, JOHN JR.
SCHIEWE, GOTTLEIB
SCHMIDT, A. J.
SCHMIDT, WILLIAM
SCHNEIDER, DAVID
SCHORZMAN - SCHNABEL
SCHRIMSHER, W. T.
SCHRINK, CHRIS
SCHWARG BROS.
SHEPPARD, S. C.
SHOEMAKER, LOUIS
SIMONS & GLENN
SKAGGE, S. M.
SMITH CANDY CO.
SMITH, MAMIE
SMITH, SCOTT L.
SMITH, W. A.
SNODGRASS, LILLIE
SORENSON, ERIC
SOUTHEASTERN R. R. CO.
SPEAR AND CO.
SPILLETT, JAMES
SPITZNAGLE, W. E.
SPOKANE & EASTERN TRUST CO., SPOKANE, WA
SPRECHER, AUGUST
ST. CLAIR, LEWIS V.
ST. PAUL MUTUAL HAIL & CYCLONE INS CO.
STANGER, D. C.
STANGER, H. T.
STANGES, JOHN R.
STEARMAN, ROBERT R.
STICKEL, GOTTFREID
STODDARD, C. W.
STRANGER, GEO. X.
STRANGER, HIGLE T.
STREETER, HORACE
STUDEBAKERS BRO. CO.
STUHLBERG, CHRIST
SULLIVAN, J. M.
SYKES - PEHRSON
TACKETT - HALL
TAYLOR, W. E. (DR.)
THATCHER BROS. BANKING CO.
THE ATHENAEUM, FT. WAYNE, IND.
THOMPSON, JOSEPH W.
THORNTON, WILSON
TIAHRT, RICHARD
TOEVS, OTTO
TOEWS, PETER F.
TOONE, GEORGE H.
TUCKER - ROHDE
TUSSING, GEO.
TUSSING, NANCY
TYLER - MASON - VALLANTYNE
TYLER, C. E.
TYLER, CHRISTENSEN
UTAH BREWERAGE & DISTRIBUTING CO.
VALLINS - MOORE
VALLINS, AGNES N.
VANCE, BLANCHE M.
VANCE, WM. A. MRS.
VORHIES, F. H.
WALKER BROS.
WALKER, ARTHUR
WALKER, WILL I.
WALTER, HERMAN A.
WALTER, JOHN
WARF, LEE
WATSON, E. K.
WEBB, JOSEPH
WEBER, HERMAN
WEBER, PHILLIP
WEDEL, F. B.
WEDEL, F. F.
WHEELER, M. B.
WHITE, W. W.
WILCOX, J. F.
WINSLOW, H. E.
WINTER - WINTER
WONES - EGGERS
WORTHINGTON, JESS B.
WRIGHT, J. J.
YAKE, H.
YARNELL, GRIFF
ZIMMERMAN, ANDREW

ASHTON ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ASHTON

BERRY, DAVID S.
BERRY, ELIZABETH
BERRY, EMILY C.
BERRY, GILBERT JR.
BERRY, HENRY W.

BOISE ID

THE BOISE CITY NATIONAL BANK

BOLTON, ANNIE E.
JOHNSON, AXEL ADMR.
MALETZ, FRANK JR.
TUCKER, S. K.

BUHL ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BUHL

ADAMS, JOSEPH W.
ALDRICH & HERMAN
ALEXANDER, CHAS. O.
ALEXANDER, JAMES
ALLEN, JOHN
ANDERSON, FRED
ANDERSON, O. G.
ANDERSON, O. P.
AXE, FRED
AXE, MARSHALL
BAKER, G. M.
BAKER, H. M.
BALDWIN, A. H. TREAS.
BARKER, J. H. TRUSTEE
BAXTER, GEO. F.
BECKER & SONS, JERRY
BEEGHLEY, JOHN
BENANE, STEVE
BERGER & LAWVIS
BERGER & LOWIE
BERRY, A. H.
BISHOP, A. W.
BLACKBURN, FRED
BLICK, J. J.
BOISE FAYETTE LBR. CO.
BOLTON, J. C.
BOLTON, JOHN C.
BOLZ, ED.
BOOTH, C. M.
BOYCE, R. E.
BRADDISH, JOHN D.
BRADISH, J. D.
BREN, JOHN
BROWN, BEN H.
BRYANT, A. N.
BUCK, M. H.
BUFFINGTON, B. F.
BUFFINGTON, BUHL
BUFFINGTON, S. HENDRI
BUHL AUTO CO.
BUHL CANNING CO.
BUHL INVESTMENT CO.
BUNCH, S. W.
BURNHAM, H. L.
BUSMANN, C. L.
BUTLER, J. H.
CALDWELL, JOHN
CAMPBELL, JACK W.
CARRIS, H. A.
CARSON, FRED C.
CARSON, R. B.
CARVOSSO, S. S.
CASE, A. E.
CATLAUGH, J. J.
CATRON, B. F.
CHAMBERLAIN, A. B. & ROY F.
CHARLTON, W. H.
CLINTON, THOS.
CLUDAS, FRANK
COAN, H. H.
COFFMAN, EDWIN L.
COLLARD, L. W.
COMMACH, RAY
CONVERSE, NORTON
COOK, ED.
COOPER, SIDNEY
CORDINGLY, S. J.
CORTHELL, E. B.
COULTER, CLARK
COX, W. F.
CRANER, W. J.
CREASY, WARREN
CRIPPEN, SAMUEL
CUNNINGHAM, J. R. MRS.
DALY, E. J.
DANIELS, MAUD
DARROW, GEO. ET AL
DARST, ROBERT
DAVIS, THEO T.
DEBUSH, E.
DEBUSK, H. E.
DENNIS, T. I.
DENNIS, T. J.
DIERCKSEN, FRED
DIERKER, JOHN H.
DIVILBISS, C. G. A.
DIVILBISS, GUSIE B.
DIVILBISS, R. B.
DUJORDAN, H. F.
DUMMER, ARTHUR
DUNHAM, L. S.
DUNLAP, H. J.
DUNN, O. L.
DURAN, H. H.
DURFEE, JOSEPH
DURRAN, R. E.
DUVALL, CHAS.
EAKIN, A. T.
EARP, H. R.
EASTMAN, JESS O.
EDWARDS, C. E.
EICHOFF, T. W.
ELSNER, E. B.
EMORY, A. A.
EUSTIS, J. W.
EVELETH, F. A.
FANCHER, J. C.
FELL, S. J.
FERGUSON, J. B.
FISHER & BRENNAN
FLYNN, T. W.
FOOSHEE, EARL C.
FOREMAN, G. O.
FOXLEY, J. L.
FREDENHAGEN, A. R.
FREEMAN, WALTER
FULLER, ERNEST J.
GARDELL, ANDREW
GASSER, CATHERINE
GAULT, LULA L.
GEER, H. C.
GLADOWSKI, J. J.

GLADOWSKI, M. M.
GLANDER, JOHN
GLASSER, HENRY
GODFREY, MAYME
GONDERSON, SARAH
GOODHUE, WM.
GOODMAN, A. D.
GOODNIGHT, J. L.
GOULD, GLEN C.
GRADER, FRED
GRAFFE, WILL
GRAYBEAL, E. W.
GREEN, W. E.
GREENLEE, LEE
GRIEVES, W. T.
GRIFFITH, W. C.
GRIMM, J. A.
GROTJAN, W. F.
GUINAN, JOHN
GURNEA, L. H.
HAINES, W. T.
HANKS, H. E.
HANSON, PRIMROSE
HARDESTY, C. H.
HARRAL, IVAN
HARRAL, LEE
HARRIS, JOHN L.
HARRIS, OLLIE
HARRIS, W. S.
HARTWELL, FRED
HARVEY, H. L.
HARVEY, W. H.
HAWKINS, WILL L.
HAWKINSON, CHAS.
HAYFORD, K. F.
HEIDEL, FRED
HENRY, ROSS
HEYL, M. W.
HIGHT, HOMER E.
HILL, CHANCEY
HILLS, H. C.
HINTON, W. J.
HOBBS, E. R.
HOBBS, RALPH G.
HOBSON, GEO
HOBSON, WILL & ED.
HOLMES, N. 2ND.
HOUGH, ELMER
HOWARD, D. W.
HOWARD, J. A.
HURD, J. W.
HUSTON, A. R.
HYDE & ZACH
HYDE, S. E.
HYDE, W. S.
HYLAND, J. H.
JACKSON, J. W.
JELLISON, J. A.
JOHNSON, C. ELMER
JOHNSON, JOHN S.
JONES, D. P.
KEIGHEN, W. T.
KELSO, H. B.
KERLEY, S. O.
KESSLER, J. E.
KING, S. E.
KINTER, H. M.
KODES, JOSEPH
KOEHLER, JOHN
KOHLER, WALTER N.
KOLLMEYER, HENRY
KRUSE, PETER
KUDRNA, J. W.
KYLES, W. L.
LAMPKEY, E. C.
LARSON, C. M.
LAWRENCE, ALTICE, JR.
LAYNE, GEO. L.
LAYNE, N.
LEAVERTON, LAMBERT
LEMMER, ROBERT
LEMPKE, FRED C.
LILLY, MARY A.
LITTLER, E. A.
LOWRY, L. S.
LUCE, A. E.
MACHACEK, JAMES
MAHANNAH, C. B.
MANNING, K. G.
MARSH, CHAS. S.
MARSH, ELLA
MARSH, FLOYD
MARSHALL, AXE
MARTENS, RUDOLPH
MASSEY, JOHN
MAXWELL, A. T.
MCBRIDE, M. M.
MCCAULEY, E. E.
MCCLUSKY, A. F.
MCCUTCHEON, JENNIE L.
MCDONNELL, GEO.
MCGRAWM, CLARENCE J.
MERRIMAN, F. R.
MEYER, I. D.
MEYER, I. W.
MILLER, BERTHA I.
MILLER, F. P.
MILLER, S. B.
MILNER, E. A.
MIRACLE, ED.
MONROE, JAMES
MOORE, A. R.
MORGAN, R. F.
MORRISON, W. R.
MOUNCE, A. V.
MURDOCK, HARRY
MURPHY, S. BLANCHE
NESBITT, W. T.
NEVIN, FRANK F.
NEWMAN, GEO. W.
NEYMAN, R. D.
NICAOLAYSEN, JENS
NIHART, ALSON H.
NIPPER, J. F.
NOH, OSCAR
NORRIS, W. B.
NORRIS, W. L.
NUTTER, G. C.
O'DONNELL & DALE
OLIVER, CLOYD MRS.
OLIVER, CLYDE
OLIVER, MAUDE M.
OLSON, JOHN
ORR, J. B.
OSBORN, M. C.
OSGOOD, M. B.
OSTROM, A. W.
OVERHALT, W. E.
OVERMAN, E. E.
OWNBY, J. E.
PARISH, T. F.
PARISH, W. A.
PATRICK, G. R.
PAYNE, GEO. H.
PECK VS KUNZE
PECK, C. S.
PEMBER, E. H.
PEMBER, W. R.
PENCE, JOHN O.
PETER, AMI
PETERSON, JAMES
PETERSON, PETER
PFERSICH, CHAS.
POSTELWAITE, LELAND & CADOO
POTTER, W. H.
PRICE, H.
PRILUCIK, MARY
PROBASCO, P. O.
PROVINS, EDITH M.
QUALLS, R. W.
QUIGLEY, JOHN B.
QUIST, SWAN
RAMSEY, W. F.
RATHBUN, W. F.
RECTOR, C. M.
REESE, WALTER & HARRY
REID & KINTER
RIDDLE, W. L.
RINE, W. B.
RIPLEY, F. C.
ROGERS, F. R.
ROGERS, J. M.
ROTH, GEO. A.
RUNYON, L. P.
RUSSELL, SUSIE
RUYTZ, PETER
SADDLER, GUSSIE N.
SANDHAGEN, HENRY F.
SANDMEYER, E. T.
SANDNESS, JOHN
SAVAGE, J. C.
SCHENCK, CHAS. F.
SCHENK, ERNEST
SCHOOLER, CHAS. W.
SEAL, MARY E.
SEE, R. D.
SEITZINGER, WILLIAM
SEITZINGER, WM.
SERIGHT, J. M.
SEYBOLDT, CYNTHIA
SHARK, WILL
SHAVER, D. H.
SHERMAN, J. W.
SHIELDS, JAMES H.
SHRENK, JACOB
SIGGINS, C. C.
SMALLEY, HENRY
SMALLEY, WINNIE E.
SMITH, C. O.
SMITH, CHAS. L.
SMITH, FRANCIS C.
SMITH, J. L.
SMITH, JOHN G.
SMITH, O. R.
SMITH, ROBERT C.
SMITH, W. G.
SNODE, D. A.
SOUTHWICK, F. E.
STAATS, J. B.
STARRET, ALICE
STAUFFACHER, JOHN
STEARNS, ARTHUR J.
STEVENS, C. E.
STONE, A. W.
STRATEN, NORMAN E.
STRUNK, N. W.
STUART, W. S.
STUDEBAKER, GEO. & CO.
SUMMERVILLE & COMBS
SWANSON, JOHN B.
SYCKEL, EMMETT VAN
TALLEY, JOHN A.
TANNLER, ARNOLD
TAYLOR, C. H.
THIEL, J. J.
THOMPSON, HENRY E.
THOMPSON, I. C.
THURBER, C. E.
TOBIN, M. J.
TOSITH, EMIL
TRAXLER, J. H.
TSCHANNON, ALBERT
TULLOCK, C. E.
TYLER, B. H.
ULRICK, C. R.
UNGER, H. W.
VAN HOY, A. H.
VAN NOY, H. L.
VAN SYCKEL, E.
VANDAMENT, S. A.
VANDERFORD, J. H.
VANDERFORD, T. S.
VANDERFORD, WM.
WAGENER, FRED D.
WAGNER, A. W.
WAGNER, NORMAN
WALCK, W. R.
WALKER, W. D.
WARD, CLAIR
WARD, R. O.
WASSOM, C. T.
WEAVER, D. C.
WELLMAN, A. W.
WEST, D. C.
WEST, EVA
WHITAKER, H. T.
WHITAKER, O. R.
WHITE-RUNYON SHOE CO.
WHITE, E. C.
WHITE, FLYNN
WHITE, O. R.
WHITE, W. W.
WHITNEY, GEO W.
WHITNEY, V. E.
WILEMAN, A. W.
WILKINSON, H. E.
WILLIAMS, E. B.
WILLIAMS, R. O.
WILLIAMS, S. W.
WILSON, A. D.
WILSON, S. G.
WINN, A. E.
WINTER, FREDERICK
WOLFE, HORACE B.
WOODWARD, SARAH
WORK, JOSIE
WRIGHT, ROLLO L.
YINGST, W. D.
ZACH, F. & R.

COEUR D'ALENE ID

THE FIRST-EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF COEUR D'ALENE

WARN, FRANK D.

JEROME ID

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF JEROME

ADAMS, CHAS. L.
BARNES, THOS.
BASS, P. C.
BEAM, HOWARD B.
BELDON & WHITLOCK
BETTY, M. P.
BOWERS, C. W.
CALLEN - BOYD
CALLEN - SNYDER
CALLEN, B. M.
CALLEN, GUS
CITY NATIONAL BANK
COATS, R. J.
DANIELS, O. J.
DANIELS, O. M.
DELLINGER - BEHRENDT
DIESEL, B. A.
DRAPER, L.
DRIVER, JEDOIC R.
DRYDEN, CHAS. R.
DUNN, NEWTON
ECKERT, F. E.
EDWARDS, CARTER
ELLIS, J. J.
FRENCH, H. H.
FRY, H. H.
GAUSS, E. G.
GILLIAM, H. M.
GOEMER, H. J.
GRAND VIEW THRESHING CO.
GRISWALD, HULDA H.
GROVERY, R. M. C.
HART & PIERCE
HAYDON, J. M.
HELMICK, RAY
HILL, OLIVER ET UX
HORNER, LEE
IRA SHAW & CRAIG
JACKSON, H. D. MRS.
JAYCOX, CATHERINE E.
JEROME DRUG CO.
KASS, JOHN
KENNISON, D. H.
KESSLER, ROGER
KING - FUGATE
KNIGHT, J. H.
LARSEN, N. P.
LAWSON - BEAM
LINK MFG. CO.
LIVELY - BREMER
MCCAMENT, B. B.
MCDOLL, C. H.
MCGRATH PUBL. CO.
NEWTON, ROY
NICHOLS - SMITH
OTIS - O'HARROW
OTTO, FRED
PINKSTON, G.
PRATT, P. P.
QUINN, J. F.
REED, R. R.
RENFROW, C. T.
RITTKE, B. A. R.
SCHNEEBERG, URBAN
SHAW, S. S.
SMITH, P. C.
SMITH, R. C.
SMYTH, A. F.
SNEED, WALTER
SNYDER, WM. L.
STEARNS, MOSES
STEVENSON, A. R.
STEWART & MITCHELL
STICKEL, JOHN
SUMMERS, W. T.
TAFT, IVAN
TALBOTT, J. M.
TALBOTT, JOHN
TAUBER, S.
THOMPSON, FRANK I.
TILDEN, JOHN F.
TRIPLETT, RAL
TURLEY - TRAILL
VARVEL, L. F.
VERMONT FARM MECHANIC CORP.
VINING, C. A.
WALKER, W. J.
WALKER, W. M.
WARD, J. E. ET UX
WARD, M. M.
WILCOX, A. L.
WILLIAMS, H. H.
WOODS, VICTOR T.
ZINK, H. A.

MONTPELIER ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MONTPELIER

ADAMS - ISHIHARA
ANDERSON BROS.
ARNOLD, H.
BANK OF ITALY, SACRAMENTO, CAL.
BANKS, ROBERT
BARKWELL, LEGRAND
BARR, O. B.
BARRIES, ALDO
BEATTY, J. H.
BINTLIFF MFG. CO.
BIRD - SPARKS
BIRTWHISTLE, GEO.
BISCHOFF, EDWARD
BLECHERT, GEORGE
BROWN & GEORGE - SCHMIT
BUCHER, DELORES
CHAPIN - GEORGE
CHAPPELL, MANTFORD
CHRISTENSEN, R. L.
COLUMBIA CLUB CIGAR CO.
COOLIDGE, FRED
CORDOVER CIGAR CO.
CORNISH, JOHN
COSGRIFF BROS. CO.
CRANE - SPARKS
CRANE, W. A.
CURRAN, F. J.
DALRYMPLE, ORSON
DAVIS - DAVIS
DERRICOTT, SY
DETAMORE, T. P.
ESTERHOLDT, HYRUM J.
ESTERHOLDT, IVA B.
ETCHEBERRY - CONLEY
EVANS, A. C.
EVANS, J. A.
EVANS, WM. R.
FERGUSON, ROSCOE H.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK
FOLLICK, DAVID ROMIANE
GEORGE, HENRY L.
GEORGE, WILLIAM
GLOBE - WERNICKE CO.
GORDON, R. E. MRS.
GOULD, C. H.
HAGGERTY, TESS
HALL & MERRILL
HAYES, MAUDE MRS.
HERSMAN, J. J.
HERZOG - HIRSCHI
HERZOG, WM.
HESS - LEVERICH
HESS, W. W.
HICKMAN & HASSEY
HILLS, LEWIS S.
HINCKLEY, E. E. DR.
HIRSCHI, W. C.
HOSKINS - SHARP
HOWSLEY - CRANE
HUCKVALE - IRWIN ET AL
HUFF, ERNEST & S. - BOEHME
HULME - PARKER
HUNTER - HANSEN
HUNTER, CLIFFORD
HURD, C. H.
J. I. CASE THRESHING MACHINE CO.
JACOBSEN, DAN W.

JENSEN, JENS P.
JENSEN, NELS
JEWETT - WILLIAMS
JOHNSON, E. P.
JONES, OWEN
KEETCH, C. G.
KELLOUGH, W. E.
KOEVEN - TIPPET
KUNZ, DAVID J.
KUNZ, ULYSSES
LAYLAND, MARTHA J.
LEEPER - PALMER
LLOYD, H. A.
MCCABE, JNO & WILLIAM
MCCAMMON, MARY J.
MCCANN, THOMAS
MCDONALD, J. G.
MEEK, L. W.
MILLER, JOHN O.
MORRISON, A. W. - ZUMBRENNON
MORRITSEN - LOVEDAY
NATE - DALRYMPLE
NATE, ALICE M.
NATE, ARTHUR
NEAL, A.
OBERMEYER, H.
PARKER - PARKER
PERKINS - STEPHENS
PETKIN, J. M. & CO.
PINCKNEY, E. E.
POCATELLO HOT LAKES CO.
PRICE - GREEN
PUGMIRE - BROWNE
RAY - ELY
RAY - PETERSON
RICH, JOS. C.
ROBERTS, O. A.
ROBINSON - HUNT
ROBINSON, R. D.
ROOS, C. W.
SCHWAB, MARTIN JR.
SCOTT, JAY F.
SHERMAN NURSERY CO.
SIZEMORE - MCCAMMON
SKINNER, HYRUM
SMITH - NELSON
SMITH, GEO. A.
SMITH, GEO. T.
SMITH, HYRUM
SMITH, JAMES
SORENSEN, CHRISTIAN
SORENSEN, H. C.
SOUSA, M. R.
SPARKS, A. W.
SPARKS, BERTRAM
SPEIRS, L. H. & A.
ST. CHARLES ROLLER MILLS
STEVENS - CITY OF MONTPELIER
STEWART - WANDELL, JACOB & C. W.
STINSON, RAY G.
SUN YAT SEN - LEO WING
TEUSCHER, ROBT.
THORNOCK, LESTER
TINGEY - WIXOM
TIPPETS - HANSEN
TRANSTRUM - W. A. CRANE
TRAPP - ENBERG
TRINIDAD LAND CO.
TUCKER, CHAS. P.
UNION CENTRAL INS. CO.
UTAH POWER & TRUST CO-STEPHENS ET AL
VALLEY OIL CO.
VINCENT FURNITURE CO.
W. W. C. WHOLESALE CO.
WALLIHAN, A. B.
WEAVER, WRIGHT
WELLS - QUAYLE
WESTERN GRAIN & BROKERAGE CO.
WESTERN HOTEL SUP. CO.
WILCOX - CRICK
YOUNG, L. V.

PAYETTE ID

THE PAYETTE NATIONAL BANK

ADAMS, HENRY W.
AINEY, D. W.
ALBERT & LAUER
ANDERSON, JOHN
ASMUSSEN, E. C.
AYERS, W. A.
BAKER, ARTHUR
BARKER, AARON E.
BECKWITH & PHILIPS
BOHANNON, B. F.
BOOMER, H. R.
BUCKBESCH, W. F.
CAMPBELL, GEO. B.
CARTWRIGHT, J. W.
CLAY, H. H.
COBLENTZ, THOMAS W.
COCHRAN, F. W.
DORATHY, E. B.
DUNHAM, J. B.
EDWARDS, J. L.
ERVIN, JOSEPHINE
FOSS, SARAH JANE
FRANK, GEORGE
GAVENSTEIN, J. M.
GLASPEY, GEORGE F.
GOODWIN, JOHN HOWARD
GRIFFIN, HOWARD FRANCIS
HANSON, LEE H.
HARRIS, THOMAS
HIGBY, L. C.
HILL, JOHN
HODGES & SCOTT
HOOKER, GEORGE A.
HOUGH, FRANK H.
HOWARD, DAVID W.
HUTCHINSON, J. M.
INDEPENDENT SCHOOL DIST. NO. 32
IRETON, CLANCY W.
LAMPKIN, W. T.
LEEK, GEO. & JENNIE
LEFAVOR, O. R.
LONG, ADDIE M.
LOUGHREY, ETTLEY E.
LOWERY, C. R.
MAKINSON, L. B.
MCCOMSEY, THOMAS H.
MCWILLIAMS, AUSTIN
MICKELSON, ANDREW
MILLER, ARTHUR
MOWRY, GEORGE C.
NORTHERN, A. MRS.
PARSON, J. H.
PAVETTE VALLEY LAND & ORCHARD CO.
PAYETTE MILLS, THE
PHIPPS, TROY
POWERS, TERESA
PRATT, S. B.
RICH, L. H.
ROBBINS, ROBERT R.
ROBERTS, LEILA
ROGERS, R. D.
RONEY, JENNIE
SALISBURY, E. R.
SAND, PAULINE
SANTEE, G. V.
SCRITCHFIELD, A. J.
SENKBRILL, AUGUST
SHANK, LILY
SHERMAN, T. A.
SHERWOOD, MARGARET
SILL & HARRINGTON
SLINKER, E. L.
SMITH, W. S.
SPARKS, ROY S.
STEADMAN, M. M.
STEPHENS, W. H.
SWING, HANNAH MRS.
TAYLOR, C. W.
THE RADIO COMPANY
THODE, M. J.
THOMPSON, T. C.
THOMSEN, THOS. C.
TOM JONES DRUG CO.
VALLEY MUSIC HOUSE
VANDERHOOF, GEORGE
WALLING, O. A.
WALTERS, HIRAM
WELLS, A.
WELLS, MARY P.
WHARTON, L. R.
WHITE & WHITE
WHITE, IRA
WHITING, THOMAS A.
WILLIAMS, H. M.
WILSON, A. J.
WILSON, ARTHUR J.
WOOD, CLINTON C.
WOOD, J. F.
WRIGHT, A. E.
YOUNT, C. C.

POCATELLO ID

THE BANNOCK NATIONAL BANK OF POCATELLO

BLACKNEY, ORVAL L.
BOWMAN LUMBER CO.
BRINKMEIER, CASPER J.
DAHL, A. M.
DAWSON, J. R.
EMBREY, J. M.
EVANS, MORGAN
EVANS, THOMAS CHARLES
EXETER, THOMAS
EXTER, THOMAS
GIFFORD, ARTHUR H.
HANCOCK, S. M. MRS.
HAYES, ARTHUR J. & ANNA F.
HOXER, JOHN JR.
HUBBARD, ROY E.
HUTCHINSON, RALPH E.
JORGENSEN & SONS
LOVELL, JAMES T.
MARRIOTT, LOREN C.
PORGEE & PHILLIPS
PORTER, M. C.
RICE, MARY J.
THOMAS, L. H.

REXBURG ID

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF REXBURG

BRANDENBURG, FRED
HANSEN, NIELS
HILL, GEORGE
HILL, HAZEL
HILLMAN, JOHN MRS.

SAINT ANTHONY ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ST ANTHONY

BEDDES, DAVID H.
BLANCHARD, A. M.
BOWMAN, H. E. & PRESSLEY ET AL
BRIGGS, JAMES I.
BYINGTON, O. A.
BYINGTON, O. A. & C. E.
CHANTRILL, JAMES
CHASE-CRAWFORD
CHASE, E.M.
CLARK, ELMER D.
CLARK, FLOYD
CRAWFORD, IVAN
DAVIS, ALBERT E.
DAY, EARL & G. W.
DUNCAN, B. E.
FARNSWORTH, O. L.
FAUCET, EDWIN
FIJOMOTO, FRANK
GROVER, SMITH & WARDLE-SNELL
HALVERSON, J.
HANSMIRE, JOHN
HILL, C. H.
HJORT, H. P.
HOBBS, B. G.
HOLCOMB, ROY
HUFF, JEFF
JOHNSON, ERIC
KARLSON, L. E.
KILPACK & WINGER
KINSON, P. A.
LARSON, EDWIN
LARSON, LEWIS A.
LLOYD, CHARLES E. & WIFE
LLOYD, HEBER SHARP
LUDDEN, WM.
MAAG, JOHN
MARTINEAU, H. W.
MARTINEAU, N.
MCARTHUR, DANFORTH
MIKESELL, WALTER A.
MILLER, W. L.
MOON, C. R.
MOON, MARY A. & HEIRS
MURRI, J. H.
NEAL, ALVIN L.
NEDROW, JAS. C.
PARKINSON, J. S. & KING BROS.
PETERSON, WM.
POWELL, E. E.
RICE, L. B.
RICE, MARY E.
RICHMAN, J. H.
RIGBY, J. E.
ROSENLOF, ERVIN
ROW, R. H.
ROYLANCE, D. T.
SANDERSON, DAVIS
SELLE, FRED
SHARP, H. C.
SHARP, HEBER
SHERMAN, W. D.
STEWART-BAKER
STEWART, JOS.
STODDARD, ARDEN & FRANK
STODDARD, ARDEN & GEO.
TETON, IDA
THOMPSON, H. A.
TUTTLE, LON A.
VAN TYLE, GEO. E.
WAGNER, EMIL
WINTERS, A. D. & PARKINSON

TWIN FALLS ID

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TWIN FALLS

APPLEBAUM, H.
FIELD, JAMES
KELLEY, LOIS

TWIN FALLS ID

THE TWIN FALLS NATIONAL BANK

SECORD, WILLIAM

WEISER ID

THE WEISER NATIONAL BANK

BROWN, EVELYN
CAMPBELL, JOSEPH C.
DUNN, HIRAM E.
MURPHY, L. A.
STEVENSON, S. B.
STOCKER, CECIL
WILBURN, WILLIAMS

BERWYN IL

FIRST AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF BERWYN

ADAMEK, GEORGIANA
BARTUNEK, EDWARD P.
BENDA, ANTON
BUNGE, MARY
HRUBEC, JOHN
JALAVEC, LOUIS
MAGUARDER, JAMES & ETHEL
MILLER, FRANK B. & MILLER, HARRIET E.
MYSLIVECEK, ANTON & BESSIE
ROLEN, WM. AND BESSIE
SPIERING, ARTHUR, AND CLARA MAE
SYKORA, ANTON R.

CANTON IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CANTON

ADAMS, JOHN
ANDERSON, FRED W.
BAKER, MARTHA E.
BALL, POLLY
BARASICH, KATARINA
BARRERE, G. K.
BEAM, J. C. ESTATE
BERKEY, WM. H.
BLACKABY, JOHN T.
BOWTON, HENRY
BRISBY, HATTIE MRS.
BURGE, C. E.
CANTON REAL ESTATE IMPROVEMENT COMPANY
DOBBINS, S. E.
DRIESEN, MYER
ELLIOTT, DELBERT O.
ELLIOTT, ROSA
ELLIS, W. W., MAYS, WM. AND LIDA
EVANS, AMOS F.
FLINN, MIKE
GALLION, PERRY
GARLAND, F.
GARRETT, RALPH
GUSTUS, SAM
HANLOW, WM.
HARRIS, LEIGH
HARRIS, WM. H.
HEFFRON, EDWARD DANIEL
HILL, L. A. ESTATE
HORNBUCKLE, CLAUDE
HOWAT, RICHARD
HUBELL, DAVID MRS.
HUBER, LILLIAN MRS.
IRWIN, CHAS. E.
JOVANOVICH, TONY
LAMPEN, BEN (DECEASED)
LAWRENCE, MR. OR MRS. JOHN
MARKS, JESSE
MCCLEISH, WM. J.
MCPHEETERS, WILLIAM
MEYER, ALBERT
MILLER, BERTHA
MOTTER, OSCAR

MURPHY, JOHN V.
OHMAN, E. E.
OPPY, ED
PATIA, IGNATZ
PERRINE, O. D.
PIERCE, GRACE M. MRS.
PILCHER, SAMUEL D. & ANNA B.
RAKER, CHAS. & LILLIE
RAY, G. W.
RILEY, J. R. & ANNA R.
ROWLEY, LOUISE J.
RYAN, MRS. ELANORE
SAVILL, SAMUEL & WIFE
SCHOON, WALTER
SCOTT, THERESA
SHRYOCK, ALVA
SHUMAKER, GEORGE C. & VIRGINIA
SMITH, B. C.
SMITH, BESSIE
SMITH, JOSHUA
SMITH, SARAH
SUTTON & MOORE
TORRANCE, ANGELINE
VANMIDDLESWORTH, JAMES E.
WAGES, FRED
WALTER, JESSE L. & LILLIAN L.
WESTERFIELD, J. L.
WHEELER, LAURA B.
WILLIAMS, D. M.
WILSON, J. J.
WILSON, MARY A. MRS.
YOCUM, CHAS
YOCUM, GEORGE S.

CARTHAGE IL

THE HANCOCK COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF CARTHAGE

SHEA, JOHN

CHICAGO IL

ALBANY PARK NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF CHICAGO

ABRAMSON, LOUIS
ADELMAN, RAY
ANDERSON, ALEXANDER E.
BALL, EDGAR G. SYNDICATE
BELVEAL, JOE
BENJAMIN, JOSEPH
BENZIGER, WM.
BERLINER, MAX
BLOTZ, JACK
BOCK, WILLIAM
BORTELL, ANDREW
BORTOLI, CHRISTINE
CAPLAN & CO.
CHURAN, HARRIET D.
COSGRAVE, PETER
CRASBERG, MAX M.
DAKOF, MAX
DAVIS, SOL
DOFF, A. L.
DORRAND, JNO. W.
DOUGHERTY, JAS.
DOUGLAS, WM. M.
DUNN, JOHN
FIELD, CHAS. W.
FINE, MORRIS
FINEDR, WILLIAM F.
FISCHER, H.
FISHER, EDWARD W.
FORD, ROXANA SYNDICATE
GENERAL HARDWARE CO.
GENERAL HARDWARE COMPANY
GENTILE, MAE MRS.
GIBB, EMMA C.
GLUCK, SAM
GOLDSTEIN, SYLVIA MRS.
HANTOVER, HENRY H.
HAYDEN, MILDA MRS.
HICKS, E.
HICKS, E. R.
HILDEN, K. J. MRS.
HILL STATE BANK
HIMELSTEIN, LOUIS
HOFFMAN, KARL
HUDDLESTON, CHARLES A.
IMMERGLUCK, J.
IRVING PARK AGENCY & LOAN CORP.
JACOBSON, GERTRUDE
JENSEN, HANS. C.
JOHNSON, A. N.
JOHNSON, WALTER W.
KAPLAN, MORRIS
KENNEDY, LILLIAN
KISS, CHARLES
LAWRENCE, HARRY
LAYTON, ALMA MRS.
LEVY, LENA
LEVY, OLIVE
LICK, FRANK
LOUCKS, VERNON R.
LOWE, AGNATE
MACLEOD, MURRAY
MALATKE, EDW. A.
MARGOLIS, H.
MAS LEOD, MURRAY
MCGRATH, M.
MILLER, MAX
MOSKOVITZ, LOUIS
MOSNER, CHAS. A.
MULKERN, JOHN J.
NEIL, JOHN JR.
OLIVER, DR. WALTER G., OLIVE L. & ELMO F
OLSON, OSCAR E.
PFLUENGER, GEO.
PITZELE, H. H.
POLLACK, FREDARICKA
RADIO GARAGE
RAGSDALE, WILLIAM
READ, GIL
RIEMER & SON CO.
ROBERT, LEON
ROSENBAUM, LAURA
ROTHENBERG, LOUIS
RUPE, C. P.
RUSSELL, FRANK E.
SCHECK, EMIL W.
SCHMIDT, HENRY W.
SCHUBERT, SAM WM.
SCHWARTZ, ROSE MRS.
SHORE, SAM
SIGEL, OSCAR
SILNER, JACK AND BEDA
SIMPKIN, MOLLIE
SLATER, MORRIS
SMITH, JOHN E.
STEWART, LUTHER R.
STRAHLHOFF, G. W. & ANNA
TENT AMUSEMENT CORP
VINOGRADE, JAMES
WALCH, A. J. MRS.
WEINHAUS, MICHAEL
WHEELER, MFG. CO.
WISCH, DOROTHY G.
WISCH, DOROTHY MRS.
ZETTERLUND, B. E.

CHICAGO IL

ALLIANCE NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

BAKUM, S.
BARONOWSKI, W.
BEKETA, FRANK
BERKOWICZ, LOUIS AND HENRIETTA
BINIES, MICHAEL
BRANDYS, JOHN W.
BRAUS, VICTOR
BROWER, JOE
BUCHEK, PAULINE
CHMURSKI, STANLEY
CIEBIEN, MARY
CIMALA, FRANK
DARIDAW, M. A.
DELMAR, FRANK
EDIDIN, LOUIS
FRANK G. WRIGHT & CO.
GAJDA, B.
GRIVAS, JAMES
HADALA, MARY
HAMERA, FRANK
HAYES, ALEXANDER
HELFEND, HAROLD
IATTANZA, A.
JANAS, ALEX. P. ADM.
KLISS, PETER
KUNOWSKA, AMIELA
LESNIAK, LEON
LIGHT, ALBERT E.
MATURO, DICK
MAZZIE, SOL
MEYER, W. H.
MINTZ, HARRY
MIREK, JOHN
NARDELLA, JAMES
PARENTI, EMILY
PAZDYKA, MARY
PESMENSKY, ALEX.
PLACZEK, AMELIA
PLICHOA, HELENA
PROVENZA, JOE
RADAKOWITZ, STEFAN
ROYAL BRAND DATE & FIG
RZEPKA, GEORGE
SALERNO, TONY
SCHAP, JOSEPH
SENIOW, NICK
SERGUTA, CARL
SMAGACZ, A.
SMIKOSKI & STARSIAK
SOBOTA, WIKTORYA
SOREF, G.
SOSNIKA, JOHN & GENOWEFA
STARK, HELEN MRS.
STEFANEK, MIKOLEY
STOKTOSA, FRANK
STOWOWRY, JOHN
SZOLENIEC, PETER
TENCZAR, FRANK P.
TREDER, MINNIE
TROJANOWICZ, JOHN
WALUSEK, JOSEPH
WINTERMEYER, WM.
WOTJTALEWICZ, L. F. DR.
ZACK, JOHN
ZUZIAL, KATARZYNA

CHICAGO IL

AUSTIN NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ANDERSON, LAGE
AUSTIN CABINET CO.
BAKER, STEPHEN
BARMORE, PIERRE V. & LUCY
BARRETT, GEORGE AND ANN MRS.
BENTLEY, E. J.
BERG, ROY A. & OLIVE MAE MRS.
BRANDIMORE, JOSEPH H.
BRENNAN, CHARLES
BRENNAN, ELIZABETH
BROM, MICHAEL J. & IDA
CALLAHAN, MARIE AND JOHN W.
CHAMBERLAIN, THOS. F.
CHRISHOP TILING COMPANY
CROSS, FRED E. & CROSS F. E. MRS.
CURTIS, CLYDE
DAVID, ROBERT GEORGE
DEWAR, JAMES A.
DICKIE, ARTHUR H. & BESSIE M.
DISSELHORST, LOUISE
DRUMMOND, S. D. & JANET D.
FEDDERSEN, EDWARD & CLARA C.
FORD, JOHN
FROLICH, WALTER J.
GIARDINA, PHILLIPS
GOODMAN, J. B.
GOTTARDO, JOE
GRAHAM, E. J.
GROSH, FRANK
HANSEN, C. WM.
HARRIS, STEVE
HOGAN, STEWART
HOLLISTER, MILDRED & JOS. C.
HOLMAN, ALEX D.
HUGGENS, HANNAH
JOHNSON, CARRINGTON & HELEN
JOHNSTON, ARTHUR S.
JOHNSTON, INA L.
JONES, BLANCHE E.
KARLSSON, BENGT
KARNEY, BIRDIE
KORFIST, MARY & ADAM J.
LACKNER, FRANK
LACKNER, MAE F.
LAPPIN, FRANK AND ELIZABETH
LENNEP, FLORENCE VAN
LEWE, H. C.
LUBACH, WILLIAM
LUNDE, JONAS
MASSEY, J. G.
MASTRUD, DR. C. D. & A. F.
MERRITT, ROSE F. & LILLIE E.
MILKAN, TONY
MILLER, IRENE AND FRED
MILLER, J.
MITCHELL, MARY
MOSTON, MARGARET F. MRS.
MURPHY, MICHAEL L.
MURPHY, ROSE MARIE
MURRAY, THOMAS
NIELSEN, WALTER C.
OLSON, WM. M. & MILDRED E.
PASHLEY, H. C.
PEARSON, EMMA
PETERSON, ADAM
PETERSON, EDITH L.
PRANGER, WM. G. AND MRS.
PRATT, HANNAG M.
PRENTICE, J. H.
RADA, K. E.
REEDY, G. D.
ROBERTS, LAURA A.
ROMME AND COMPANY
SAMUEL, BARBARA
SANDERA, FRANK M.
SHANE, J. J.
SHERMAN, ROY
STOCKS, J. W.
STOKES, JOHN & BEATRICE
STONE, HERBERT
STRAUSS, LUCY ARSCOTT AND HERMAN
STRICKLER, F. D.
TANDRUP, PETER
TATTON, JAMES E.
THOMSEN, LAURENCE
VOELZ, EDW. C.
WALSH PRESS & DIE CO.
WALTON, B. C.
WASSELL, JOSEPH
WERNER, LOUIS
WESSENDORF, HARRY
WINDECKER, ARTHUR A.
WONN, E. J. MRS.
YOUNG, F. W. & G. EVERETT
ZUCKER, VICTOR

CHICAGO IL

INLAND-IRVING NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

AAGAARD, AUGUST & JOHN
ACTON, ADELINE
ADAMS, R. S. & F. MRS.
ADOLPHSON, GUSTAF A.
ALTHAUS, ARTHUR
ANDERSON, C. REUBEN
ANDERSON, CORNELIUS
ANDERSON, E. S.
ANDERSON, J. C.
ANDERSON, KENNETH B.
ART PAINT POSTER CO.
BACMEISTER, ELIZABETH M.
BERNARD, WM. S.
BETZOLT, JOHN
BISHOP, A. D. & BISHOP, NELLIE REA
BLANK, FREDERICK
BLOEDEL, E. H. & ELINOR R.
BOE, JOHN H.
BOGER, OTTO
BOOBUKOS, LOUIS
BORCHERT, WM.
BRACK, GEO. E. AND WIFE
BROWN, ALLEN & GLADYS S.
BROWN, EARL E.
BRYANT, C. W.
BURKE, THOS. & LENA
CARR, P. J.
CHICAGO LAND CORP.
CHRISTIAN, GEO.
CHRISTIANSEN, CHRISTIAN
CLARK, MARTIN & GRACE
CLINE, CLARENCE B.
COCKLAN, PETER
CONRAD, VIVIAN
CONSIDINE, R. J.
DAHLIN, SWAN E. & MAGNA S.
DANIELS, ARTHUR G.
DE VOS, A. J.
DEANS, WM. E.
DEZAR, B. C.
DODGE, STANLEY MR. & MRS.
DORRICK, AUGUST C.
DYBDAHL, JOHN M.
EARLE, MARGARET
EGOLF, CATHERINE
EHLEN, ISABEL KLAGER
EVANS, MARCUS
FERBRACHE, EDNA M.
FIELDS, THEO. & IRENE
FORMAN, JOHN
FOX, FLORA MEE
FRY, HERMAN
FRY, LOUIS
GARGANO, MICHELE
GONGOLA, LOUIS & GENEVIEVE
GRAY, J. J.
GRINDLE, S. EARL
HAAG, ELMER F.
HAMLIN, FRANK
HANSA, ANNA
HANSEN, ARTHUR
HARDMAN, HAZEL
HARMS, W. J.
HARTIGAN, J. J.
HECHT & DEBONIS CO.
HEINTZ, WM. B.
HERREY, JOSEPHINE
HERRON, SELMA J. & JAMES W.
HILLMAN, CLARENCE

P.
HOLLOMBE, LOUIS D.
HORN, FRANK L.
HUGHES, DAVID
ISSLEB, AUGUST
JACKSON, HARRY & MARY
JOHNSON, DOROTHY G.
JOHNSON, EDW. G.
JOHNSON, MARTHA A.
JOHNSON, WILLIAM E.
JOHNSON, WILMER H.
JONES, E. B. JR.
JOZWIAK, R.
KALTENBACH, YVONNE & G. E.
KOTARSKI, STANLEY
LAGERQUIST, HOWARD D.
LAMBEAU, COLUMBIA
LAMBEAU, JULES
LEE, WALTER F. & MARGARET
LEMBEAU, A. F.
LINDSEY, ALEXANDER
LINDSTROM, ARTHUR
LONG LAKE SUBDIVISION
LUCCHESI, BERNARD
MAC HATTON, S. H.
MAHERAS, JOHN S.
MARLEY, L. M.
MARTIN, BERNARD
MARTIN, FRANCIS J.
MARTIN, MARY
MC GURN, JACK
MCCARTHY, W. E.
MCDONALD, LEON JOHN
MILLER, HELEN F. & DEFOREST
MOORE, ARTHUR W. & MOORE, ELIZABETH
MORITZ, CHARLES
NILSEN, ENGMAR & SOFIE
NORDSTRAND, CARL G.
NYMAN, VERNER
O'BRYAN, LILLIAN
O'MAHONEY, LAWRENCE J. & MARY
O'MOLLEY, JENNIE
OLSEN, BERT & PAULINE
OLSEN, OLE
OLSON, JOHN V.
OZEMCK, M. F.
PAPINEAU, ARTHUR S.
PATTON, JAMES E.
PAULSEN SUPPLY COMPANY
PETERSEN, MARTHA
PETERSON, CHARLES T.
PETERSON, M. E.
PIESZ, WILLIAM
PISKE, WALTER & RUTH R.
RAGAN, MARY
REGEP, PETER
REICH, MARIE V.
RICKER
RIEDEL, O. W.
RIEDEL, OTTO G.
RING, F. REV.
ROCK ROAD CONST. CO.
ROSS, HENRY W.
SANDERS, RUDOLPH
SCHMID, JUSTINA
SCHROEDER, H. O.
SEAMAN, ARTHUR T. & RUBY D.
SEIFARTH, ALBERT LOUIS
SEVILLE, AILEEN B., ADM.
SHERMAN, JANE B.
SHIELDS, NORMAN I.
SLADE, ALFRED L.
SMITH, ARCHIE C.
SMITH, OSCAR & THORA
SOMMERUD, A. L.
STEWART, CAMPBELL
STEWART, ELWOOD P.
STUMPF, HENRY J. & LOUISE E.
SWENGINSER, PAUL
TAFEL, HARRIET E.
TAHENY, M. J.
TAYLOR, MOLLIE L.
THOMSON, HOLLAND K. MRS.
TILLOTSON, A. W. & ELIZABETH
TOLAN, JOSEPH F.
TROSTRUD, C. J. & JOSEPHINE
URBAJSKI, AUG. G.
VERBURG, WARREN
VITAMINE FOOD PRODUCTS CO.
WASHBURN, LEROY F.
WEBB, J. LOUIS
WENDLAND, T. A. CO.
WEST, ASA
WIESE, FRANK
WISE, WM. G.
WOLSFELD, JOHN A.
WOODBURN, THOMAS K.
WULFF, ZELLA WISE MRS.
YOUNG, FRANK W.
ZETZEWITZ, CHARLES

CHICAGO IL

JACKSON PARK NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

CAMFIELD, HELEN SPENCER
COHN, JOE
CULP, J. F.
DAILEY-LITE CORP.
NILSEN, LOUIS
PAYSON, BONNIE MRS.
THOMPSON, ALICE L.

CHICAGO IL

PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF CHICAGO

ACKERMAN, MICHAEL
ACTIVE ENGINEERING CO.
ALL-MAKE AUTO SALES CO.
AUGUSTINOS, JOE OR ANNA
AUSHRA, JOHN M.
AVEGERINOS, SAVAS
BARKAUSKAS, JOHN
BARNES, B. D.
BARTOSZEK, PAWEL
BAUMAN, MAX
BERGEN, LAWRENCE R.
BILEICHES, JOSEPH
BISKUPAJTIS, WALERYAN
BLESSINGTON, DAN OR CATHERINE
BONI, GEORGE D.
BOYDEN, MRYTLE
BOZINSKI, WALTER
BRAND, RUDOLPH
BRZOZOWSKI, STANLEY
BUKOWIECKI, A. OR L.
BUTLER, HELEN A.
CAMPBELL, KATIE
COUGHLIN, RICHARD P. OR CECILIA
DECKER, F. P.
DEROQUE, C. L.
DINGLER, FRED J.
DIRBAS, FRANK
DORAN, JOHN, LOUIS OR MARGARET
DUPLEX VACUUM MOTOR CO.
ERMER, PAUL OR GERTRUDE
FAKAN, VACLAV
FALLON, PATRICK J.
FELLMETH, JOHN F.
FITZGERALD, J. E.
FLICK, WM.
FOMKIN, IVAN
FREDERICKSON, CHAS.
FRIDUSS, SAMUEL L.
GARFUNKEL, F. OR FANNIE
GIRDZIUS, GABRIEL
GOLDSTEIN, JAY M.
GORDAN, L.
GRIGAITIS, DELLA
GRODSKY, LOUIS A.
HAGER, ERICK OR INGRID
HALL, LOUIS B. OR ROLAND
HALYKO ATLAS BREW. CO.
HANNION, J. S.
HANNION, PETER C. OR RG
HART, H. P. DR.
HERBERT, CHAS. E.
HORN, ARTHUR
HURWICH, BENJAMIN
JACKOWIAK, HELENA
JOHNSON, ANDREW
JONES, WILLIAM T.
JORDAN, ELMER & CO.
KAUTZI, GEO. & ROSA
KEMPA, THEOPHILA A.
KENNEY, EDW. W. OR HAZE
KEZIOS, GEORGE
KILCULLEN, EDW. J.
KLAPPIS, NICK
KOHLMANN, C. J.
KOVALCIK, FRANK
KRUDER, CHAS. F. JR.
KUBIK, PAUL
KUNDRAT, FRANK OR AGNES
KWIATKOWSKI, ANDREZEJ
LAFLER, CARL
LANGE, EDW. J. OR KATHERINE
LAVIN, JOSEPH P.
LAVIN, JOSEPH P. FOR JAMES T. LYONS
LAVIN, MARGARET & THOMAS M.
LAWRENCE, LAWRENCE H.
LEVY, HAROLD L.
MAJERZYK, MIKE
MALKIN, MAX
MANNING, THOS. P.
MARSHALL, CLARA E.
MARSOFF, JOE
MCCOLLUM, GERTRUDE
MCCOY, FRANK H. & CELINE
MCKIBBEN, CHAS. OR HULDA
MEYERS, WALTER
MILAUSKI, STANLEY
MULLANEY, MARY D. & JOHN
MULLIGAN, JOHN
MULVANEY, EDW. F.
MULVANEY, MARGARET E.
MUSSER, MIKE OR MARY
NACHTIGALL, EST. HENRY
O'BRIEN, HELEN M.
PAWLIKOWSKA, ZOFIA
PEOPLES NATIONAL CO.
PERLOWSKI, FRANK M.
PETERS, CHAS D.
PIKTURNA, PETER
POSKAS, JOE
QUAIL, JAMES
REILLY, EDW. OR PEARL
REILLY, PETER
RUSSELL, B. B.
RYAN, WM. C. OR ELIZABETH
SAVAGE, PATRICK J. JR.
SAXE, HENRY S. & FLAHERTY
SCHUHARDT, FRED
SCHULTZ, HERBERT, EX. OF GEO. SCHULTZ
SCHUMAN, SILAS
SHAUGHNESSY, JOHN P.
SHRAIKERY MFG. CO.
SKAWINSKI, W.
SLEGALSKI, I.
SMITH, EMINA EVELINE JR.
SMITH, HARRY
STAKOWSKI, GABRIEL
STANEVICK, ANTONETT
STENNETT, EMMA J.
STEPIEN, JACOB
STEWART, GEO.
SURA, PAUL
SURWILO, PETER
SUSZKA, JULIAN
SZRAMKO, ANTONIJA
TROUGHTON, FREDERICK J.
TROUGHTON, SUSAN E.
TYNSKI, JOSEPH
VACUUM SNUBIT CORP.
VAIL BUTTERONE CO.
VENGELIS, FRANK
WANAT, LAWRENCE
WARSHAWSKY, JOE
WIECZAREK, WALTER
WILSON, R. H.
WISAKOWICUS, JIM
WITROD, TONY
WOLFE, J.
WOLK, C. J.
WOLKENSTEIN, PETER
WYSOCKI, EDW
WZIATEK, STEPHEN
ZADEK, THEO. E. OR GUSTAV
ZAWADZKI, STANLEY
ZICK, WALTER

CHICAGO IL

THE ATLAS EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

BAMIS, CHRIST
BARRETT, B.
BAXTER, JAMES
BERMAN, MENDEL
BOULAS, CHAS.
CHAPLICK, I.
COODIE, MARIANNA
CROWE, LUCY
DELUCA, M. A.
GARTENSTEIN, JACOB
GIANDO, GIRIECA
GRAZIADEI, MICHAEL A.
HAAS, MORRIS
HUMPHRIES, ALBERT
JAPPAS, PETER
JIAVARAS, S. K.
LENO, SALVATORE
LEVIN, BERTHA
LOPEZ, TRINIDAD G.
MARIO, JAMES DE
MAURA, A.
MESSINO, V.
MINNITTI, DOMINICK
MIRRAS, E.
PAELLA, R. S.
PARRA, MIGUEL
PARULLO, MIKE
QUILTY, FRANK
RATHBERG, EVA
RICH, JAMES
ROSENBERG, FRIEDA
SAGER, MILDRED E.
SARANTE, KALOPE
SCOUTELLAS, GEORGE
SERVOS, TOM
SIMITARO, MARIAN
STANDARD CAP CO.
TICOLI, ANGELOS
WM. WOGATASKA & CO.

CHICAGO IL

THE BOWMANVILLE NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ABERNATHY, WM. S. OR MRS.
ALLEN FURNITURE CO.
ALLIANCE MOTOR SALES INC.
ALTHER, JOHN M.
AMSTEIN, MARY K.
ANDERSON, ALMA E.
ANDERSON, B. OTTO
ANDERSON, FRED R. MRS.
ANKERBERG, JOS. C.
AREND, EDW. B.
ARNDT, CHAS.
ARONSON, LEO L.
ASP, KENNETH ELMER
AUTEN, MARION E.
BACMEISTER, THEODORE
BAKER, A. M.
BARTHOLOMAUS, LOUISA
BARTON, FRANK
BATSAKIS, GEORGE
BAUMANN, GEO. W.
BAUMANN, VIOLA
BECKER, RICHARD G. AND ALICE
BENSON, A.
BERGQUIST, ARTHUR D.
BERGSTROM, WARNER
BERNAS, RICHARD
BERNHARDSON, ERIC
BERRY MEMORIAL M. E. CHURCH
BIEDENKOPF, CHARLES
BIERDEMAN, LILLIAN
BIRKENHEIER, JOHN
BISHOP, S. D.
BLIM, A. W. OR FLORENCE
BOORMAN, JAMES M.
BOWER, DR. LESTER E.
BOYD, MR. WM. C. & ELSIE MRS.
BRAHOS BROS.
BRASY, JOHN
BREE, N. J. AND CATHERINE V.
BROLING, FRED E.
BROOKS, STEVEN W.
BROSSEAU, J. L. P.
BRUNNER, J. MRS.
BRYCHEL, JOHN
BUCKLEY, CATHERINE MRS.
BUEHRLE, MARIE TERESA
BURKHARDT, EMILY
BYRD, MR.
CADY, EVA
CAMERON, JOHN
CAMERON, S. O.
CAMPBELL, WILLIAM
CARSON, EDW.
CHANEY, EUGENIA R.
CLARKE, JOHN W.
COLLENDER, ELIZABETH J.
COMZY, VERNA MRS.
CONSTANTINO, GEO.
CONSUMERS GLASS CO.
COUGHLIN, WILLIAM J.
CUNRADI, WALTER R.
CURRIE, I. L. MRS. OR J. G.
DANAHY, A. J.
DANNER, ANN O. GETZEN
DE METS, PAUL A.
DIEDEN, HENRY J.
DIEDIN BROS.
DIEDRICH, NELLIE MRS.
DONOVAN, L. J. & AGNES H.
DREIFKE, E. H.

DUNLAP, ANDREW D. AND A. D. MRS.
EBNER, HELEN
EDELSTEIN, HARRY
EIFEL MONUMENT COMPANY
ELGER, MARY F.
ELLIOTT, E. P.
EPSTEIN, I.
ERICKSON, FRANK A. OR AGNES
FAGEL, EMMAL.
FELDMANN, WM. J.
FELTZ, ED.
FERBER, LOUIS AND VIRGINIA
FIDDELKE, ALMA
FLACK, LILY
FLANNERY, TOM
FOX, HELEN MRS.
FREWERT, GEO. C.
FRINK, JOY & NELLIE
GABEL, F. A.
GABLER, JOHN
GALLARNEAU, H. H.
GAWRON, EVA
GEARTY, WM. P.
GEILING, W. H.
GERMATA, THEODORE
GETTESTAD, THEO A. AND THEO. A. MRS.
GIBHARDT, W. H. OR DOROTHY
GIBLIN, M. S.
GOLDBERG, FLORENCE M.
GRAVENITES, PETER G.
GREENBERG, MARTIN
GREENBRIAR PHARMACY
HAGEMAN, W. T.
HANCOCK, JOHN MUTUAL LIFE INS. CO.
HANIFY, FRED. J.
HANN HEATING COMPANY
HANSON, G. GILMORE MRS.
HARRISON, ROBT. B.
HEIDKAMP, EMIL M.
HELLESOE, HANS H.
HENNING, ANNA
HENRY, LEE D.
HEROLD, MARIE
HEYDEN, WALTER F. OR EDYTHE E.
HICKEY, SYLVESTER C.
HINKS, HENRY OR LIZZIE
HIRLEMANN, ELIZABETH H.
HIRSCH, FAY E.
HOAG, HAROLD E.
HOGAN, THOS. B.
HOODWIN, CHAS. CO.
HORAN, JOHN M.
ILLE, ANTONIA
INFANTE, NICHOLAS F. OR VALENTINO
INKS, IRENE
ISOZ, ERICK G. MRS. AND ERICK G.
JACKSON, JOHN
JACOBS, MERRILL R.
JAMIESON, THOMAS C. M.
JOERS, FRED
JOFFE, M.
JOHNSON, CHAS. V.
JOHNSON, E. A. W.
JOHNSON, OSCAR
JOHNSON, SIMON I.
JORGES, A.
JUNGE, OSCAR
KALCHEIM, HENRY
KANE, WM. F.
KAPLAN, M. J.
KARTHEISER, H. J.
KEEGHAN, HAROLD R.
KEENAN, ELIZ. A.
KELLMAN, CARL W. AND ESTHER E.
KELLY, CLARENCE F.
KLINGER, P. F. AND MYRTLE
KNEBELSBERGER, CARL J.
KOBER, GEORGIA
KOLL, R. S.
KOREN, MARIE
KRAMER, ROMAN H. OR OLGA
KRAUSS, W. J.
KRESSNER, THEO. P.
KROWKA BROS.
KUTZ, ELIZ. M.
KWIAT, ALEX
LADD SERVICE STATION
LADEMANN SHADE COMPANY
LADEMANN, MAX F.
LANDIS, PETER
LANG, RICHARD C.
LARSEN, OTTO H.
LARSON, JNO. M.
LASKER, HARRY H.
LENC, JOHN & JOSEPHINE
LEVEY, B. H. MRS.
LEVIN, L.
LIAKUTSOS, GUS
LILIENTHAL COMPANY
LINDBLAD, ARTHUR
LIPSCHITZ, HARRY & MINNIE
LIPSHITZ & SCHWARTZ
LISSNER, LUCIA I.
LOCHNER, JOHN J.
LOEBMAN, FRED G.
LOHEINRICH & HUBERTY
LOUEN, LOUISE
MAGNUSON, AXEL E.
MAGNUSON, THOS. E. & FLORENCE
MALONEY, CHARLES
MASTERSON, E. M.
MAXMANIAN, PUZANT
MC BRIDE, MINNIE Z.
MC CORMICK, JOHN & MARGARET
MC GRATH, JOHN
MC KNIGHT, J.
MC MANNIS, ESTELLE E.
MC NULTY, R. R.
MERSTORD, S. AND CATHARINA
MEYER, ELIZABETH
MEYER, HENRY J.
MEZO, WALTER W.
MITCHELL, ROBERT
MOFFETT, R. B.
MOONEY, JESSIE
MORGAN, RAYMOND W.
MORRIS & BAUMAN
MORRISON, MARY MRS.
MOSK, SAMUEL L.
MOUNDRES, P.
MUNN, HARRY
MUNN, JOSEPH M.
O'BRIEN, ALYCE
O'BRIEN, JAMES E.
O'BRIEN, JAMES J.
O'SHAUGHNESSY, JOHN P.
OLSEN, JOHN E.
OLSON, ARVID J. OR ELSIE M.
OLSON, BEN
OSBORNE, ANNA B.
OSWALD, JOHN C.
OZANNE, H. W.
PAFAS, JOHN & THOMAS
PARK FURNITURE
PARKER, L. A.
PAUL, SOPHIA
PENTLAND, CAROLINE
PENTLAND, ELWIN
PESTKA, THOS.
PETERSON & BAUM
PETERSON, CARL
PETERSON, CARL EMIL
PETERSON, ELDEN J.
PIPPIN, E. L.
PORFIRIS, GEORGE J.
POST, MAX H.
PROESEL, LOUIS M. OR EDITH M.
PROESEL, PETER
PROSPERITY CO. INC. OF SYRACUSE, N.Y.
PROTRKOWSKI, JOEL H.
QUINN, EDMUND L.
RAGSDALE, W. E.
RAVENSWOOD COUNCIL K. OF C.
RAVENSWOOD MOTOR CO.
RED CEDAR LAKE SYNDICATE
RENNER, ALFONSE OR J. MRS.
REUTER, MRS. ADELINE
REYNOLDS, J. & ELIZABETH
RICE, MAX
RICHARDS, ROY
RIFFKIND, A. JAS.
RINK, LORRAINE M.
RIVER PARK DISTRICT
ROBERTSON, CHALRES P.
ROCHE, JOSEPH E.
ROESCH, ALLEN M.
RYZNER, ALBERT
SADZECK, ALMA B.
SANDBERG, PEARL
SANFORD, COLMAN G.
SAVAGE, MICHAEL E.
SCHACHTER, I. N.
SCHILZ, MAX
SCHIPPMANN, JOHN W.
SCHMIDT, JOSEPH
SCHNEIDER, HENRY
SCHROEDER, WM.
SCHROEDER, WM. C. AND FRANCIS
SCHURDER, LOUIS C.
SCHWARTZ, B. E.
SCHWENK, WM.
SEIFERT, AMALIE
SEIFERT, R.
SHAPIRO, SAM B.
SHEWFELT, CHAS. C.
SHROSBEE, GEORGE E.
SILLS, GLEN G.
SLATT, IDOF
SLOAN, MILDRED S.
SOMMERVILLE, NELLIE
SPORRON, E. A.
ST. MARIE, BLAKE MRS.
STACK, KATHRYN
STAPLETON, THOS.
STEGER, JOSEPH
STEIB, AGNES MRS.
STEPHENS, HARRY H.
STERR, JOHN F. MRS.
STEWART, JAMES B.
SUCKOW, ELMER A.
SWANSON, JENNIE B.
SWANSON, R. W.
TAEBEL, ALBERT
TERRY, C. C.
TOLF, RAYMOND
TONER, JOHN E.
TOSKAY, CHAS. C. OR C. G. MRS.
TOSTOZ, ADAMS
TROTTMAN, WM. L. MR. & MRS.
UNITED MOTORS SERVICE
VASCILIPULOS, PETER
VAUGHAN, MADELEINE S.
VOIGHT, CHAS. J.
VORSATZ, LOUIS
WAERTKER, FRED
WALSH, EDWARD J.
WEATHERPROOF SPEC. CO.
WEBER STATIONERY CO.
WEBER, ARTHUR
WEINBORN, MARIE B. & CHAS.
WEISS, HUGO
WELLS, JOHN L.
WETZEL, MARGARET
WHITEHEAD, WALTER
WHITMORE, A. M.
WHITMORE, G. E.
WILLIAMS, MARIE R.
WILLS, GLEN G.
WILSON, ROBT. & MARY
WINANS, D. L.
WINCKLER, ALBERT H.
WYATT, ALEX K.
YOUNGQUIST, HENRY E.
ZAFERIS, JAMES
ZIMMER, W. H.
ZOEPHEL, ROBERT A.

CHICAGO IL

THE CALUMET NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ABATZIS, GEORGE
ALLMAN, P. J.
BADGLEY, C.
BECKWITH, EARL
BEHNKE, ETHEL I.
BERGNER, B.
BLATSER, GUST
BOYD, E. D.
BRANDT, T.
BRISKEY, STELLA
BROTMAN, B.
BURKE, M.
CALUMET PUBLISHING CO.
CAMPBELL AND SIBLEY
CHAPIN, LYNN JACOB
CLARK, JOHN H.
COLBAUM, ANNA
CRAIG, E. R.
DAVIDEVICH, ANGELO
DENNIE, HELENE
DEVER, SADIE
DOMINION, LOUIS AND CECELIA
DRAZANOWSKI, IGNATZ
DUGGAN, EVA
DUGGAN, H.
GARECKI, JOHN
GARMISA, MAURICE
GEORGE, JAMES
GET, C. N.
GOLDBERG, LEONARD
GORWITZ, JACOB
GRIFFIN, KATHERYN
HAMBURG, ISRAEL
HAYNES, P.
HEPP, J. G.
HERMAN, HARRY
HEYMANN, MAX L.
HIGGINS, JOHN P.
HOFFMAN & CO. INC.
KATZ, S. DAVID
KATZEN, GEORGE
KELLY, E. A.
KOCDIC, G.
KOPP, A.
KOZAK, PETER
KUITCHAK, W.
LALICH, BOZO M.
LASK, JAS. R.
LAWLESS, ANNA L.
LAWVER, DR. H. E.
LENCINI, MILLER
LEPHOWITZ, R.
MARTZ, WM. F.
MATOWSKA, M.
MEYER, CHAS. A.
MICHALKA, JOSEPHINE
MILJAK, MIKE
MOORE, G.
MUEHLBRADT, PAUL
O'CONNELL, MICHAEL
OLDENBERGER, WM.
OSBORN, H. MRS.
OSOLIN, ROBERT
OSTEDT, GUST
PRAKOJUAN, TOM
PRZYBYLINSKI, JOS. J.
RAFFERTY, CHARLES
RILEY, JOHN W.
RILEY, M. R.
RINGMAN, A.
ROONEY, PETER
ROSENFELD, LORRAINE
RUBEL, ERNEST N.
SADAMAN, A.
SCHWENK, E. T.
SINGER, LEWIS
SISANTO, A.
SORAK, UROS
SPECK, JOE
SUTICH, DANIEL P.
SWANSON, G. A.
WALSH, JOHN
WECKHAM, ARTHUR T.
WILKERSON, CHARLES
YOUNG, J. W.

CHICAGO IL

THE DOUGLASS NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ABNER, E. G.
ADAMS, JOHN R.
AGNEW, JESSIE
ALLRED, JOSEPH
ANDERSON, MAMIE
ANTILLES PROTECTIVE ASSOCIATION
ARRINGTON, MARY
ATLAS, KING D.
BAILEY, HELEN
BAKER, LAWRENCE
BARNES, WILLIAM
BELL, MARSHALL
BELL, REUBEN G. & LILLIAN B.
BODDIE, JOHN & SUSIE
BOONE, REV. A. L.
BOUIE, ERNEST J.
BOUIE, JEANNETTE MRS.
BOYKIN, JULIETTE
BOZLEY, VIRGINIA
BRADLEY, E. S.
BRANCH, WILSON
BRAXTON, WILLAIM & SARAH JOHNSON
BREWSTER, JOSEPH
BRODIE, ANDERSON
BROOKS, EDWARD
BROOKS, R.
BROWN, GEORGIA MAE & JOSEPH D.
BROWN, HENRY
BROWN, SIDNEY P.
BROWN, WILLIAM
BUFORD, SAUL
BULLOCK, ED.
BURKE, W. N.
BURNETT, CAMMIE
BURNETT, S. R.
BUTLER, MITCHELL
CALDWELL, BURRELL
CARTER, ROBERT J.
CASH, BERTHA
CHRISTIAN, REUBEN
CLARK, ERNEST
CLARK, LOUISE
CLARK, S. C.
CLAYTON, FLORENCE
COLEMAN, MARY
COOK, MARTIN
COTTER, SALLY
CRANSHAW, GERTRUDE
DAVIS, A. ALFRED
DAVIS, PHILIP H.
DELONEY, L. C. H.
DENTON, FRANK
DERRICKS, WENDELL T.
DOBBINS, WILLIAM
DORA, J. H. & JESSE M.
DOTSAN, HERBERT
DUNN, AFFIN F.
EASTON, LENA
EDWARDS, JULIA
EDWARDS, PERCY
EMERALD CAB COMPANY
ERVIN, WILLIAM L.
FAIR, ELIZABETH MRS.
FARBER, F. H.
FELDER, JANE
FINLEY, BEATRICE
FITZGERALD, WM.
FLEMMING, W. K.
FOSTER, ARCHIE
FRAZIER, STAFFORD K.

FREEMAN, NATHAN
GARRETT, RAMONA
GAUGH, LAULINE
GOLDEN, BERTHA
GOLDSMITH, LEONARD
GORY, ALICE
GRADY, DAVID
GRAY, CLEVELAND
GREAT LAKE LODGE #43
GREAT NORTHERN REALTY CO.
GRIFFIN, CHARLIE
GRIFFIN, JULIA
HAGAN, J. H.
HANKINS, BISHOP A. D.
HARRIS, H. L. JR.
HARRIS, JR. H. L.
HARRIS, WILLIAM A.
HART, MAGGIE
HAWKINSON, BEN & HATTIE
HAYES, ADAM
HAYS, WATSON
HEADEN, LUCEAN A.
HENDERSON, KATIE & RALPH
HENNESSAY, ERNEST MAJOR
HEREFORD, MARIE G.
HEREFORD, ROBT. & MARIE
HICKS, JACOB OCTAS
HINES, PERCY
HOLSTON, ARTHUR
HOOSER, BESSIE M.
HOOSER, BESSIE M. MRS.
HORTON, MARIE
INGRAHAM, J. B.
IVY, CHAS. W.
JACKSON, JAMES H.
JACKSON, JENKINS
JEMISON, JAMES H.
JOHNSON, D. S.
JOHNSON, ESTHER
JOHNSON, H. H.
JOHNSON, L. LAURETTA
JOHNSON, WILLIAM A.
JONES, ALVERTUS
JONES, C. D. & JR. J. I.
JONES, CELESTINE P.
JONES, CHARLIE H.
JONES, MINNIE MRS.
KERSEY, GEO. T.
KING DAVID LODGE
KNIGHTS OF PYTHIAS
KNOX, SAMUEL JR.
LACEY, ROBERT W.
LAMAR, R. L.
LEWIS, C. A.
LEWIS, GEO.
LIDE, R. E.
LOCKETTE, WARREN
LOGAN, JAMES H.
MADISON, ROBERT
MARCHBANKS, MOSES A.
MCALLISTER, NORVAL
MCGEE, CARRIE & T. C.
MCGEE, SARAH
MCINNIS, LEFFIE
MCNURRAY, LEBEITA
MEANS, L. G.
MOORE, H. W.
MORRIS, LILLIAN
NEWELL, MALINDA"
NEWSOM, LUCY
OWEN, CHINDLER
PARKER HOUSE SAUSAGE
PARKER, R. E.
PAXTON, BEN & EVA
PENICK, FOUNTAIN W. REV.
PERRY, C. J.
PERRY, JOS. W.
PIERCE, J.
PIHOS, GEORGE
PINKSTON, SIDNEY
PINKSTON, SIDNY
PRICE, BOOKER T.
QUEEN, RALPH
RAY, RUTH AND GRANT
REID, HOLLENA B.
RICHARDSON, J. W.
RILEY, JOE
ROANE, THEODORE A.
ROGERS, MARY A.
SCOTT, CENTERVILLE
SHACK, AFRICANUS
SHANNON, CORINNE
SIMPSON, DAVID
SMITH, AMANDA
SMITH, FRANK W.
SMITH, HOSEA L.
SMITH, ISOM
SMITH, LAADT
SMITH, LLOYD
SMITH, N. L.
SMITH, ROY
SMITH, SADIE
SMITH, SAMUEL L.
SOUTH, THOMAS
STAFFORD COMPANY, THE
STEWART, CHARLES
STEWART, MARGARET E.
STRETCH, CHARLES
STUMP, NICHOLS
SUMMERS, HENRETTA
TAYLOR, LUCY
TERRY, WALTER
THAYER, A. C.
THOMAS, WILLIAM
THOMPSON, PERCY
TOOKE, EVERETTE A.
UNION MASONIC TEMPLE
VALENTINE, MARY
WALKER, W. L.
WALTERS, A. M. E.
WASHINGTON, JESSIE
WASHINGTON, JR. EDWARD H.
WASHINGTON, MARY
WATKINS, SYLVESTER
WHITSON, JOHN H.
WILKINS, J. ERNEST
WILLIAMS, ALICE
WILLIAMS, DR. C. L.
WILLIAMS, FERN
WILLIAMS, GEORGE
WILLIAMS, J. MAYO
WILLIAMS, J. T.
WILLIAMS, L. K.
WILLIAMS, MARY E.
WILLIAMS, ROBT.
WILLIAMSON, EDWARD M.
WILSON, TAYLOR
WINNINGHAM, SAMUEL
WINSTON, LOUIS L. AND ELLA C.
YOUNG, MAY ETTA

CHICAGO IL

THE HYDE PARK-KENWOOD NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

AHMED, SALLAH
AMMAN, C. E.
ARTHUR MOTORS, INC.
ASCHER, HARRY
AXELROOD, BARNEY
BALL, W. H.
BARMETTLES, EMMA
BARRY, FRED
BAUM, PEARL
BEESLEY, E. G.
BERG, MILTON
BERGLIN, JOHN
BERTOLLI, CHARLOTTE
BOOTH, CORRINNE
BOPPELL, WM.
BOULGARIS, DAN
BOWS, HARRY & MILDRED
BRANKIN, THOS. P. JR.
BROOKS, C. A.
BRUNNOCK, ALICE
BURKE, JOSEPHINE M.
BURTON, P. O.
BUSH, KATE R.
CAMPION, DOWDALL, GREGORY & SINGLETON
CARLSON, CARLA
CARLSON, FRED H.
CARNEY, EARL E.
CASHIN, JOSEPHINE E.
CATAFFO, ANTONIA
CHITTENDEN, BERTHA K.
CLARK, JULIAN A.
CLEARY, W. H.
COCKRILL, S. C.
COHEN, HARRY S.
COHEN, LEO
COHNELL, FLORENCE H.
COMROE, F. H.
CORCORAN, MARY
CRAMPTON MFG. CO.
CRANDALL, NELLIE H.
CROPPER, GEO. E.
CROSSE, JOSEPHINE
CROSSETTE, CHAS. D. MRS.
CROSSETTE, MIRIAM H.
DAVIDSON, NATE
DAVIS, JOS. C.
DAY, MARGARET M.
DE FOREST, IDA
DEDESCH, HAZEL
DELSON, ANNA. H.
DIAMOND, HERMAN
DIONNE, M. A.
DOGGETT, EMMA B.
DUFFY, WM. J.
DUNBAR, RALPH
DWYER, BEULAH ROTE
EDELMAN, E. MRS.
EGAN, DAN'L.
EK, MINNIE W. MRS.
EPSTEIN, HAZEL
EVANS, D. A. MRS.
EVANS, H. IONE
FERGUSON, H. MRS.
FERTL, JOHN
FISK, RAY
FITZGERALD, ELIZABETH AND JAMES
FOGELSON, MAURICE
FOX, J. R.
FRIEDLOB, ELI MRS.
FRIEDMAN, JOS.
FRIZZEL, MILLIE MAY
FUNK, JENNIE
FUZAK, ALEX
GALLAGHER, P. W.
GARFIELD CHEV. SALES
GILBERT, GROVER
GILES, ETHEL
GILFORD, GERTRUDE
GOEPPER, MOLLIE
GOLD, I. & CO.
GOLDBERG, IDA
GOLDSTEIN, ABRAHAM
GOLDSTEIN, DOROTHY IRENE
GOLDSTEIN, L.
GREEN SHOPS
GREEN, RAYMOND
GRITZMAN, WILLIAM
GRUBBS, F. REV.
GUTMAN, HENRY W.
HALE, J. MRS.
HALL, LEONARD
HALL, MARY E.
HALLO, ESTATE OF JULIA
HAMBURG, L. E.
HANER, HARRY C.
HARDEN, R. C.
HARRIS, A. MRS.
HARRIS, GUST
HASKE, LILLIAN
HASTERLICK PROPERTIES
HENDERSON, J.
HENING, A. F. DR.
HESS, BERTHOLD
HICKEY, P. L.
HOFFHEIMER, E.
HOGAN, MARY
HOLCHERG, RACHEL
HOLMES, HAZEL
HOMAN, ELLY K.
HOPKINS, C. B.
HORNER, NETTIE E.
HORNICK, ELSIE C.
HOUSER, E. K.
HOUSER, ELEANER
HOWER, HARRY C.
HUCKINS, L. C.
JACKSON, CLARENCE S.
JENNINGS, MARTIN F.
JERNEGAN, M. W.
JOHNSON, E. A.
JOHNSON, M. MRS.
JOHNSON, THEODORE
JONES, A. E.
JOSEFO, ANITA
KAHN, SAMUEL
KANELLES, THOS.
KANZ, WM.
KARSTENS, HARRY
KATSONES, GEO
KEEFER, ANNA
KELLAR, S. B.
KELLEY & CO.
KIRK, J. A.
KLEIN, IRWIN
KNUDSON, GLADYS GRASSE
KOSTAKAS, SAM
KRAMER, CHARLES P.
KRIEGLEDER, JOSEPH
KRIPPOLINE, VERA AND OLIVER DEXTER
LAIB, JOSEPH L.
LAMBERT, PETER
LAWLOR, JOSEPH B.
LEAVITT, SHELDON
LEHMAN, CARL
LETTIERE, L. L.
LEVI, DORA
LEVY, FLORA
LEVY, JOE
LINDHEIMER, JACOB
LOBELL, CHAS. F. & CO.
LOBELL, F. J.
LOOMIS, HAROLD D.
LYON, DAVID T.
MAC GREGOR, W. H.
MAHONEY, MAE MRS.
MALLOY, ISABEL
MARKS, IDA
MARX, HENRIETTA
MASON, DR. W. G.
MC CALL, ANNIE
MC CALLEY, MARY
MC CLELLAND, FRANK C.
MC GIVERN, HENRIETTA
MC NAMARA, GERALD
MELLEY, SAM
MENDOLSOHN, J.
METAL RADIATOR CAB. CO.
MEYER, DOROTHY
MEYER, THELMA B.
MIDLAND SCENIC STUDIOS
MILES, BERTHA MRS.
MISIH, G. F.
MITCHELL, DOROTHY
MOFFETT, MAE
MOKAT, MARY
MOORE, MARIE
MORRIS, BERNARD F.
MORRIS, E. R.
MOTTZ, MATHILDA C.
NATHAN, FRANCES J.
NEALIS, H. GEO.
NEFF, ELIZABETH R.
NORTHRUP, GEORGE MR.
O'KELLY, C. E.
O'NEILL, JAMES P.
OLSEN, THERESIA
PARKAS, GUS
PENNER, ANNA
PERDON, LOIS T.
PERLSON, B. MRS.
PFAELZER, A. MRS.
PHILIPS, DAISY
PHILIPS, LEROY
PIERCE, IDA
POLLAK, NATHAN D.
POWELL, FRANK
PRATT, E. MRS.
PURCELL, G. J.
REEVE, GEO.
REYNOLDS, E. F.
RICHTER, GUSTAVE
ROBERTS, LOUISA MRS.
ROESER, E. J.
RUBEL, ROSE MRS.
RUBENSTEIN, VICTOR
RYCRAFT, E. C.
SADTLER, PHILIP B.
SALADIN, HARRY
SANASACO, CLARA EDITH
SAXTON, SAMUEL S.
SCHEFFER, FRANCES MRS.
SCHENBERT, H. L.
SCHRADER, JAY
SCHRAM, CHAS. A.
SEGAL, JACK
SEIVELL, KATHRYN M.
SHAFFER, JOSEPH A.
SHAKESPEARE, J. F.
SHEPPARD, PHILLIP
SHIRLEY LABORATORIES
SILVER, ANNA
SIMMONS, RIETTA
SLEIGHT, J. W.
SMITH, ISABELLE I.
SOLES, EDWARD
SOMMERS, JOHN
SPOMER, PETER
STAMMAS, WM.
STEIN, LOUIS
STEVENS, TEDDY
STONEWALL, GUST
STRAUSS, MATTIE
SULLIVAN, J. P. INC.
SURFARE, ZELMA WOOLEY
TALBOT, FRANK S. MR. & MRS.
TAYLOR, CURRIE
THEODORE, NICK
TIETZ, LIZZIE
TREDWAY, FANNY H.
VALK, NELLIE A.
VIRGO, LULU B. AND EST.
WADE, PATRICK J.
WEIL, L. MRS.
WESTLAKE, E. W.
WESTLUND, VERA T
WHITAKER, J. FRED
WHITE, PEGGY
WHITNEY, N. R.
WILD, MRS.
WILES, G. C.
WILLIAMS, HENRY
WILLS, H. E.
WILSON, EMMA M.
WILSON, R. L.
WITTE, JANE
WORDEN, T. C. CO.
WORLEY, NANCE
WRIGELY, ARTHUR
YOSELEITZ, FRANK
YOUNG, DEVINE E.
YOUNG, MARY & EDWARD
ZACHARIAS, LESTER AND ADELINE
ZERFACH, ADA
ZIBNER, IRVING

CHICAGO IL

THE JEFFERSON PARK NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ANDREWS, RODNEY D.
BEATTY, G. W.
BEIL, A. E.
BUCKLEY, CHAS.
BURNS, R. F.
BUTLER, HUBERT W.
CALDWELL, J. L.
CALDWELL, LUCY L. &

MARY LEE
CHRISTELL, MRS. OLGA
CLARK, HELEN ESDOHR
COHEN, HARRY & MARY
CZAPALSKI, J.
DAGUETO, JOE
DAHLMAN, HARRIET E.
DELKE, ROSE MRS.
DUHAIME, ELI A.
DUHOWSKY, M.
EDWARDS, E. SIDNEY
ELDRED, FRED E.
ESDOHR, FRED H.
ESDOHR, KATHERINE
FELDKAMP, BEN C.
GEIS, ELIZABETH
GEORGACOPULOS, LOUIS N.
GIERKE, LOUIS J.
GORSKI, OTTO E.
GUSTASSON, J. WALTER
GUSTASSON, PEARL
HACKBARTH, RICHARD
HANSEN, HARRY
HAYES, GEORGE M.
HILL, JOSEPH
HOLMES, HARRY J.
HUESTIS, JEROME
JAEGER, CHRIST
JEFFERSON OVERLAND CO.
JEFFERSON PARK MILLWORK CO.
JEFFERSON PK. AGENCY & LOAN CO.
JOHNSON, JOHN A.
JOHNSON, PAUL E.
JOSEPH ERLHOFF CO.
KIEFER, LAWRENCE E.
KING, FOSTER R.
KINGSLEY, F. W.
KLAPSTEIN, ADOLF & CAROLINE
KLEIN, LEON J.
KLEMM, A. F.
KOWALSKY, GEO. J.
LEKBERG, H. & B.
LEON J. KLEIN & CO.
LEVY, FLORENCE MALLERIS
MARTMAN, P.
MAU, LAURA
MAU, WILLIAM F.
MCKEE, J. FENNELL
MICHIELS, HENRY P.
MITCHELL, LOU
MOONEY, THOMAS
NIEDERBERGER, ALOIS
OLSEN, ARTHUR W.
OLSEN, NELLIE
OLSON & SCHLAKE
OLSON, AXEL
OLSON, HARRY A.
ONKELS, JOHN A.
PAEHNLE, CLORA
PAEHNLE, OTTO P.
PAGE, CATHERINE MRS.
PLAZA RESTAURANT
PORTAGE FINANCE CO.
PRUCHA, STELLA
PUBLIC CREDIT CO.
RAFFEL, O. W.
RINDERER, C. MRS.
ROBINSON, ORA
RUDNICK, GEORGE
SCHROEDER, GEORGE J.
SERASUGER, SIGMUND
SKARRES, GUST
STEPHANY, L.
STRASSER, MICHAEL
TROSTRUD, CHAS. J.
TRUKA, ANTON
VALOS, TAKIS
VAN-NATTA-WEISS MOTORS COMPANY
VANDERWERKER, CLIFFORD & LILLIAN
VELETA, JEROME
WALK, CHRIST
WASHMUND, CHAS. G.
WASHMUND, MAMIE
WENK, ELMER H.
WENK, ERNA H.
WILLIAMSON, F. L.
WILLIS, FRANCIS M.
WILLIS, MARY E.
WILLS, GEO. W.

CHICAGO IL

THE LAWRENCE AVENUE NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ABELSON, SHELDON
ANDERSON, ALFRED B. AND AGNES
BAUMANN, HERMAN
BENDER, ROBERT
BERGER, MORRIS A.
BRENNER, SAM
BROUTMAN, SAMUEL
CIMRAL, FRANK J.
COOPER, SAMUEL H.
DAY, DARBY A.
FALKEN, JACK
FAYER, VICTORIA
FINDER, ALICE
FRY, H.
GALT, RALPH
GEYER, CHRIST, ESTATE
GINSBURG, WILLIAM
GRABER, EDWARD A.
INNERFIELD, ADOLPH
INTERNATIONAL STAMP DISTRIBUTING CO.
JAEGER, RUDOLPH F. AND MATHILDA
KUBLIN, CELIA
LAWNDALE FURNITURE & UPHOLSTERING CO.
LAWRENCE GLASS COMPANY
LEVIN, EDWARD
LIQUIDATION CORPORATION
LORRAINE, JEWELS B. MRS.
MURDOCK, THOMAS E.
OLIVER, ESTHER MRS. & HENRY
PORTNOTH, H.
QUADE, MYRTLE M.
REUBEN, WILLIAM H.
RICHMOND, AL.
ROSENBERG, JOSEPH S.
ROSENFELD, SAMUEL OR LEONARD
ROSENTHAL, ANN
SALINGER, SIMON F. DR.
SHERMAN, IDA
SHEVITZ, HARRY & ROSE
SIMON, CHARLES M.
SNIDER, MAX
SOLOMON, LOUIS
THE LIQUIDATION CORP.
WISHNOW, WALTER

CHICAGO IL

THE NATIONAL BANK OF WOODLAWN OF CHICAGO

ALLEN, HARRY
ANEST, LUIS
AURE, IVY
BASSETT, W. G. & GLADYS
BEEGAN, TOM
BELL, H. O.
BOWMAN, GRACE E.
CARRON, EARL J.
CLAGGETT, JOHN G.
COLLINS, JAMES
CONROY, ANNA M.
CORNWELL, VERA
COTCHER, KATHERINE FOR NICHOLAS COTCHER
CRAIG, E.
CROCKETT, C. J.
DEMMON, MARTHA
DENNISON, ETHEL
DIESTERWEG, LEOPOLD
DUGGAN, V. A.
DUNN, LEONARD MRS.
ELLIS, G. E.
EMME, HARRY W. & SARAH T.
FLOREN, EDYTHE M.
FREE, R. C.
GALLAGHER, C. J.
GARDNER, MILDRED M.
GETTY, F. W. MRS.
GILBOE, A. J.
GLICKMAN, T. A.
HARTLEY, CHARLES J.
HIGGINS, J. M.
HODGKINSON, JAMES G.
HOFFMAN, ANTHONY J.
HOUSUM, HUGH W. & ANNA PAULINE
HUTTON, ALMA
JOHNSTONE, O. M.
KELLEY, CLIFTON C. OR ELLA
KELLOGG, M. A.
KLINE, CLARENCE T.
KLINE, S.
LEE, MYRTA
LEWIS, MARIE
MANZI, ADAM J.
MICKELSEN, M. E. MR.
MINIMUM, R. G. MRS. OR GEO. S.
MONAHAN, THOMAS J.
MORIARITY, M. E.
MOTELIUS, JOHN W. & FRANCIS
MOZEALOUS, ALICE
MURPHY, EDWARD F.
MURPHY, W. A.
MYERS, EFFIE
NICHOLSON, C. R.
NIETO, J.
O'DONNELL, J. R.
O'DONNELL, M. J. & FLORENCE
O'HARE, C. B.
OLSON, R. C.
PETERSON, INGEBORG
PETERSON, LOUISE
POETH, W. C.
POLOS, JANE
QUALKINBUSH & ABNEY INC.
RAINBOW, ELECTRIC CO.
RANDOLPH, H. F.
RETTER, HILL MRS.
RETTKE, R.
RUBEN, N. M.
SANDERS, T.
SAVIEO, M.
SEIDLER, BENJAMIN F.
SEYMOUR, L. C.
SHANNON, F. N.
SIMPSON, PAUL J.
SMITH, E. J.
SMOKOWSKI, BRONISLOUS
STEELE, ROBERT
STOTZER, H. C. & MILDRED
STOUFER, PAUL M.
STRAUSS, WM. MRS.
SUTTLE, JOSEPH P.
TOBIN, PATRICK THOMAS
WAITE, W. G.
WAITE, W. G. MRS.
WALKER, HARRY C.
WISE, EDITH L.
YOUNG, SAM

CHICAGO IL

THE OGDEN NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ANDERSON, A. FREDERICK
ARONSON, MASHO
BENDER, JACOB
BERNARD, B.
BEYER, LOUIS
BROWNSTEIN, LOUIS
BUNIN, E. L.
COHEN, LENA
COHN, BERTHA
COYNE, GERTRUDE
DWYER, CASSIE G.
FITTERER, ARTHUR W.
FLIEGEL, DAVID
FREEDENBURG, H.
GALANTIERE, ELSIE
GOLDBERG, S. L.
GRAY, MARY J.
GREEN, NEOMA
HAGMANN, CHARLES E.
HANIG, BERTHA
HANIG, LENA
HOBBS, CHAS. L. DR.
JAMES, IVA M.
KAPLAN, ABE
KLEIN, JERRY
KUSHNER, SAM
LAMBERT, K. MRS.
LUDESCHER, RICHARD
LYONS, RUTH
MANKOVSKY, BECKIE
MARJ., A.
MCMURRAY, ELIZABETH P.
MILLER, ARTHUR A.
MISKELLY, HENRY
MORIARTY, JAMES
MORONEY, HAROLD
NECHIN, A.
NOLAND, WILLIAM A. MRS.
O'CONNOR, THOS. D.
OLSON, SAM
PAGANO, L. JR.
POZIN, HYMAN
QUIGLEY, NELL MISS
RABE, WALTER H.
RABIN, I. MRS.
RATSKY, CARL
REICHERT, M.
RICE, MARY G. ADMX.
ROSEN, MORRIS
ROSENZWEIG, GEO. K. DR.
ROTHMAN, HARRY
ROWLAND, R. B.
RUBEN, J. H.
SCHMITZ, EMIL
SCHUMAN, ROSIE
SMELLENBERGER, J. O.
SMITH, FRANK A.
SMITH, KENNETH F.
SMITH, RAYMOND F.
SPENCE, ELLA F.
STEIN, SAMUEL
STITZ, FERD T.
THURN, CHAS. MRS.
WORLD'S ROYALTY CO
WOULFE, THOMAS R.
ZELDER, M. R.

CHICAGO IL

THE RAVENSWOOD NATIONAL BANK

AERO PRODUCTS CO.
ANDERSON, E. W.
BECK, ANNA J.
BETKE, GRACE H.
BLYDENBURG, CARRIE L.
BREY, HANS
BRIERGATE GOLF CLUB
BROWN BROS.
BUCKLEY, CATHERINE
BURNETT, A. E. MRS.
CALDWELL, AUSTIN B.
CALDWELL, JOSEPH
CALLANS, SAM
CASHIN, A. B.
CHRISTOFERSEN, A.
CRAWFORD, JOHN T.
CUMMINGS RESEARCH LABS.
CUNNINGHAM, HAROLD A.
DANGELMAIER, ALBERT
DAUER, L. E.
DAVIS, L. C.
DE VRIENDT, ALFONS
DOBBECK, H. J.
DUNCANSON, A. MRS.
EGAN, GENEVIEVE M.
ENGSTROM, IVAN
FISHER, GERTRUDE M.
FITCH, CHAS. I.
FLANAGAN, THOMAS C.
FREDERICKSON, D. O.
GREAT WESTERN FURNITURE HOUSE
GREY, L. G.
GUBBINS, MARGARET W.
GUSHMAN, BERNARD P.
HEERBOTH, F. B.
HENRICI, GUSTAV & MARIE
HINDERYCKX, ALFONSE
JANSSEN, MARTIN T. & ALMA L.
KLUFETOS, JOHN & NICK
KRAPF, JACOB
KUBIAS, LUMAN
KUEHL, L. T.
LEITHAUSER, JOHN
LIECKFIELD, F. W. MRS.
MACHEREY, N.
MAGID, SAMUEL
MARTIN, CLARA L.
MASTER CRAFT PUBLISHING CO.
MEYERS, RUBY M.
MILLS, WILLIAM E.
NEEDHAM, MRS.
NEWTON, EMMA
PANDAZI, ELFREDA
PIKE, MARY E. CRUILSHANK
RANFT CANDY CO.
RATHJE, FRED A. TRUSTEE
RATHJE, WALTER D. TRUSTEE
RAVENSWOOD NAT'L CO.
RAVENSWOOD SAFETY DEPOSIT COMPANY
SCHACH BLOCK, THE
SCHARFF, CECELIA J. & FERDINAND
SCHULTZ, A. F.
SCHULTZ, A. F. & SON
SCOTT, DONALD P. & MARY T.
SMITH, FRANK W.
SMITH, OSCAR R.
SPIEL, X
STEINMEIER, EDWIN
STERNITZKE, J.
THORP, EDGAR H. JR.
WEINAND, CHARLES MRS.
WESTFALL, C. W.
WILBER, C. O. DR.
WILSON, LESTER R.
WILSON, RUTH GLOOR
WOOD, HARRY E.

CHICAGO IL

THE ROGERS PARK NATIONAL BANK

ALLEN, HENRY R.
BELL, ESTHER MISS
BENETT, ROBERT G.
BOLT, WM. L.

BRIGHAM, W. F.
BRUGTS, JOHANNA MISS
CAMESCH, ANNA
CHAMBERLIN, WALTER H.
CHAPMAN, E. H.
CHEUNG, SWAN K.
CHURAN, HARRIETT A.
CIMINELLO & VOGT
CLARK, R. E. MRS.
COHEN, L. C.
COWAN, PAUL L.
DEARBORN TRUCK COMPANY
DOMS, R. F.
DONOHUE, MARY
DRYSSEN, GEO. W.
ENGEL, NICK
ENGELMAN, MABEL W. MRS.
ESSER, WALTER
EVANS, ALICE B.
EX-CEL BATTERY WORKS, INC.
FALLBERG, DIXIE L. L. & CARL ROBT.
FINK, H. W.
FLESHIN, J.
FLETCHER, JAMES
FOGELMAN, PHILIP H.
FOSTER, ELLA MRS.
FREDERICKSON, ANDREW E.
FREEMAN, JAMES
GABBERT, MILTON
GANSLER, LEE
GHARET, RALPH R.
GOLDSTEIN, ROSE
GOULD, HELEN MRS.
GRAFF, HENRY H.
HAISLER, C. A. MRS.
HALL, CHARLES R.
HARRIE, JULIUS
HASTREITER, L. E.
HORNECKER, GEO. M.
HUEHNE, RICHARD L.
HUNTER, ALICE MARY
IVERSON, EMIL W.
JACOBSON, THOMAS
JOY, GUY ALBERT
KAVINSKY, ROSE
KLUEING, W. C.
KOCLENE, GUST G.
KOPS, BESS & SADIE
KRIPPENE, K. W.
LAKE SIDE LUMBER & MANUFACTURING CO.
LEE, T. J.
LICHT-SON CANDY CO.
LINNEEN, M. B.
LOTSPEECH, H. G.
LUDWIG, ELSIE M.
LUDWIG, GEO. R.
LYON, LUELLA
MACBEAN, A. G.
MAHONEY, IRWIN J.
MALAWSKY, H.
MARK, EMERY C.
MCDONALD, CHAS. WM.
MCDONALD, MARY E.
MCREYNOLDS, HORACE J.
MENDELSON, BERTHA
MEYERS, H. W.
MOESSNER, CHRIST
MOORE, ELIZABETH MRS.
MOORE, RUTH MISS
MORRIS, HARRIETTE K.
MURCOTTE, JOSEPH S.
NORRIS, MATILDA MRS.
NORRIS, NETTIE MRS.
O'NEILL, JOHN T.
OBERHAUSER, GERTRUDE H.
OLD COLONY STATE BANK
OLSON, HANNAH MRS.
PATTERSON, EDITH F.
PATTON, H. B. MRS.
PHILLIPS, W. C.
RADERNACHER, MARY
REINSCH, FAYE P. MRS.
ROBERTS, HAROLD M.
RONAYNE, WM. S.
ROTENBERG, JOSEPH S.
RUDOLPH M. BARNITZ, INC.
SAFT-T-LITE CO.
SCHMIDT, FREDERICK L.
SCHOENINGER, DOROTHY A. L.
SCOTT, JACQUELINE
SERRA, CLOIE
SIEBERT, CATHERINE ALLEN
SILVERBERG, ZELLA MRS.
SMITH, HOMER H.
SMITH, JAMES R.
SORENSON, MABEL
STANBERG, OLAF
THE BISHOP & BABCOCK SALES COMPANY
THE ELL CLEANING & DYEING COMPANY
TRUDE, HATTIE J.
TUCKER, JOHN C.
WEEMAN, H. A.
WILLIAMS, IRMA L.
WILLIAMS, T. S.
WILSON, H. RALPH
ZACHARY, HAZEL RUTH MRS.

CHICAGO IL

THE WASHINGTON PARK NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ACKERMAN, H. R.
ALAMPE, FRANCESCO
ALEXANDER, BENJ.
ALEXANDER, SIDNEY A.
ALLEN, EMMA S.
ANDERSON, A. J. & CO.
ANDERSON, CHRIST
ANDERSON, MARIE
ANDERSON, WM.
ANTON, GEORGE
APP, JOHN M.
AUSTIN, JOHN D.
BAKER BROS.
BAPTISTE, HARRIET J.
BARNETT, J. C.
BARRY, JAMES J. & MARGARET
BARRY, MARY
BARTHOLOMEW, H. L.
BECKDAHL, G.
BECKER & LEVI
BECKER, ALMA J.
BELL & FEINBERG
BENENSOHN, DANIEL
BENENSOHN, PHILIP
BERGEN, MABLE
BLAIR, CORA A. MRS.
BLANCHFIELD, THOMAS A.
BLINDER, ABRAHAM
BOGIE, GUSTAVE
BREIT, E. R.
BRENNAN, EDW. F. & MARY
BRENNAN, JOSEPH C.
BUCKLEY, R. L.
BURAMUS, WM.
BURKE, MILDRED
BURNS, EDW.
CALLAHAN, MARCELLA
CAMPBELL, JOHN J.
CANENFELSER, FRED J.
CANNON, ROBERT
CARLISLE, ETHEL G.
CARROLL, MARY ANN
CHAPPELL, C. M.
CHATAIN & CO. ROBT. N.
CHINN, JACK
COHEN, ANNA
COHEN, BEATRICE
COKELY, MABEL E. E. & RICHARD
CONDON, MARY
CONLEY, F. T.
COOK, CATHERINE
COOKE, FRANK R. MR.
COOMBE, DORA ALICE MRS.
CORK, MARY M.
COYLE, L. C.
COYNE, FRANK
CROSHIER, V. A.
CRUME, HARRY
CUNNINGHAM, EVA
CUNNINGHAM, J. W.
CUNNINGHAM, VERGIL
DALEY, MAURICE E.
DALY, JAMES
DANIELS, F. M.
DE KOKER, JOSEPH
DESSETTE, JAMES T.
DEVINE, WM. M.
DICK, ABE
DIMSDALE, BELLE
DOBSLAW, MAX O.
DOWNEY, JAS. A.
DRUMM, MARY A.
DWAN, E. C.
DWYER, DANIEL G. & MAE A.
EDWARDS, L. H.
ELLIOTT, FRANK O.
EMMANUEL, FANNIE
EPSTEIN, IDA MISS
EVANS, DOROTHY
EVES, ERNEST A.
FABISH, PETER
FAIRCHILD, ALICE M.
FELL, NELLIE M.
FERGUSON, AGNES
FIELDS, AATHUR
FINLEY, EVADINE
FINN, JNO. K.
FISHLEIGH, ALICE L. & ROY W.
FLEMING, WM. K.
FORAN, HOSANNA L.
FOSBRICK, JOS. F.
FRANCIS, JANE
FRANK, PETER
FREED, CLYDE MR. & MRS.
FREUND, CAROLYN
GALLIOS, EVANGELOS
GARDNER, ELLIS
GAVIGAN, EDWIN T. & ELLA M.
GEORY, J. LEWIS
GILDUFF, ETHEL
GILLESPIE, JAS. & MARY
GILSON, PERRY K. MR. & MRS.
GLEASON, THEODORE M.
GOLDBERG, LUCILLE
GOLDING, STANLEY E.
GOLDSMITH, DAN E.
GOODELL, GEO.
GOTT, LLOYD
GRAMLICH, WM. F.
GREENWOOD, HENRY
GRENSCHOW, MARY
GRIFFIN, ELLEN
GROSKY, PAUL
GROVE, H. M.
GUZIK, J.
HANARAN, MARIE A.
HANSEN, H. J.
HARRIS, IRENE
HARRIS, S. H. MRS.
HARTMAN, ANNA
HARTZELL, H.
HESNER, LIZZIE
HINKAMP, JOS. A.
HJERTSTEDT, RUTH
HOCEVAR, JOHN
HOGAN, CHAS. B.
HOGLE, WILLIAM T. & STELLA
HOLMES, R. E.
HOLZ, FRANK
HORVAT, ANTON
HOUSEMAN, HELEN M.
HOWARD, W. J.
HOYT, HOMER
IRALSON, BESSIE B.
ISAACSON, J. S.
JACOBS, M.
JAKENBOWSKI, WTADYTAU
JARCHOW, WILLIAM G.
JAY, PHILLIP
JENSEN, PETER
JOHNSON, AMANDA C.
JOHNSON, HARRIET
JOHNSON, J. A.
JOHNSON, JOE
JOHNSON, THEODORE
JONES, EARL
KACHUDAS, GEORGE A.
KALLIS, GUS
KANELOS, SOPHIE
KARAS, JOHN
KEELEY, WM. E.
KEIL, CONRAD
KELLOGG, MARCUS A.
KENNEDY, NELLIE
KENNY, NORMAN
KERR, ELLA G.
KING, WM. H.
KINSON, FRANK P. & AGNES
KLOOSTERMAN, KORNELIS & HENRIETTE
KNIGHT, FREEMAN D.
KRAMER, CYNTHIA KILGORE
KRAUSE, M. MRS.
KRONENBERGER, C. H.
KYRITSI, JOHN
LANDIS, MAURICE N.
LARAY, M. MRS.
LARKIN, JAMES M.
LAVECCHA, MICHAEL A.
LENHOFF, LEE
LETHBRIDGE, CHARLOTTE
LIAPES, STEVE
LIPKIN, MORRIS S.
LIQUID CARBONIC CO.
LONG, J. F. & N. M.
LONGMEYER, ANTON
LUCAS, RAE
LUDWIG, AGNES
LUDWIG, CHARLES C.
LUMBARD, DAISY R. MRS.
LYON, A. JOHN
MADSEN, CHRIS P.
MAHON, JESSIE E.
MALEY, IRVIN J.
MALLETTE, ALFRED
MARKS, RUTH M
MARTIN, W. S.
MAYER
MAYER, ARTHUR S.
MC ADAM, CHARLES E.
MC CLAIN, V.
MCCORD, C. M.
MCCORMICK, REMBE
MCDERMOTT, T. J.
MCGANN, EMMA MRS.
MCKEOWN, WALTER
MCLEAN, HAZEL
MCNEICE, E. ROY
MCQUIRK, WM. J.
MELISSINES, G. N.
MEYER, ED. C.
MICKELSON, A. C.
MILLER, FLORENCE LEE
MILLER, WYLLYS, T.
MODLIN, H. W.
MOISANI, FRANKLIN B.
MONAHAN, THOS. J.
MOORE, EDW.
MORRIS, CHAS. S.
MORRISON, MINNIE
MOYNIHAN, JOSEPH D.
MURRAY, GILBERT C.
NATHAN, W. H.
NATIONAL PLUMBING & HEATING SUPPLY CO.
NELSON, LOUIS
NICHOLS, W. R.
NICKELS, ALBERT H. M.D.
NICOLOFF, R. S.
NICOLS, RUTH
O'BRIEN, JAMES H.
O'DELL, MOLLY
O'DONNELL, HELEN F.
O'DONNELL, THOMAS
O'LEARY, CARRIE
OGLE, J. E.
OSHIMA, ROBERT RINGO
PAY, ELLA M.
PECK, CHARLES W.
PEDERSEN, CHRIST
PEEBLES, GRAYCE E.
PETERS, BLANCHE
PETICOLAS, A. L.
PINE, ELIZ. MRS.
PLUMMER, A. G. & MILDRED
POCHEL, EDWARD D.
PROFFITT, ESTELL EARL
PUHALAK, ENDRO
RADLOW, JOSEPH
RAYMOND, HARRY E.
REILLY, NORMAN J.
REILLY, P. G.
REINER, JOHN S. AND LENA
RICHARD, ARTHUR J.
RICHERMAN, MARY
RIFE, HARRY
RINDEN, HOBER T.
RONDEBUSH, MARTHA
ROSEN, SAM
ROSS, H. S.
ROUSOPULOS, PETER
RUDNER, L.
RYAN, CHAS.
SAGE, CHAS. F.
SATIN, JENNIE
SAWYER, GEO. F.
SCHAEFER, F. E.
SCHOEN, PEARL
SCHUBNELL, EDNA
SCHUTT, JNO & SOPHIA
SCHUTZ, HARRY A.
SCHWARTZ, SAM
SCHWERIN, GRACE MRS.
SEIGLER, CATHERINE
SENOUR, MARGARET W.
SHAW, LUCILLE C.
SHULTHEISS, BERNHARDT
SIMPSON, GEORGE W.
SISSON, D. M.
SMITH, BEATRICE
SNASHELL, WILLIAM
SORENSON, JOHN H.
SOUTH PARK KENNEL CLUB, INC.
SPIEGEL, F. O.
STEIN, SAM
STEVENS, ISAAC E.
STIERLIN, FREDERICH C.
STRAUS, ANNIE MRS.
STROBEL, LUISE
SULLIVAN, WM. A.
TATE, E. E.
TEFFT, MORRISON K.
THIEL, OPAL M.
THOMAS, ADEL L.
THOMAS, W. E.
THOMPSON, LORETTA
TOMPKINS, C. S.
TONER, JAMES
TOWERS, WILLIAM F.
TWYMAN, THOMAS C.
USTICK, ELLEN NATALIE
WALDRON, CHAS. E.
WALLACE, JENNIE
WALRATH, MARY J.
WALSH, FRANK J.
WALSH, THOS. J.
WANGERIN, O. H.
WARD, LILLIAN
WARDILL, CHAS. D.
WARREN, HELEN
WASSERMAN, A.
WEED, CHARLES
WEINMAN, DINA
WELDON, L. L.
WELDON, MARY
WELFARE NOVELTY ASSOC. BY PATRICK, WM.
WELSH, JOSEPHINE MRS.
WHITAKER, G.
WHITE, EDWARD N.
WHITNEY, C. GUY

WILLNER, GABRIEL H.
WING, TOM
WOODLAWN COUNCIL R.S.M. WATSON, DAVID S.
YETTER, DAVID G.
YOUNG, CHARLES
YOUNG, CHAS. E.
ZASCH, FRED B.
ZEIGLER, ANTON

CHICAGO IL

THE WEST SIDE ATLAS NATIONAL BANK OF CHICAGO

ALPERT, AL
ALTIERS, JAMES
ANGELILLO, VINCENZO
APPELBAUM, HARRY
ARGIRAKIS, P.
BAKA, MIKE
BAN, LOUIS
BARNETT, BURICH
BARONE, D.
BARTELL, SHARKEY
BATTAGLIA, LOUIS
BEGAB, JULIUS
BENJAMIN, ADOLPH
BERD, C. A. DR.
BERGER, DORA
BERGER, MIKE
BERLIN, HARRY
BERMAN, ROSE
BERNARD, PHILIP
BERNSTEIN, JOE
BILSKEY, SAM
BLANK, R. R.
BLANK, ROSE
BLOCK, MAX N.
BOUGAS, ANDREW
BRADY, JOSEPH
BRANDT, JESSIE
BRAUDT, W. S.
BREMNER, GERTRUDE
BREMNER, HARRY
BROOSKY, FRED
BROWN, SUSIE MRS.
BUDELOVSKY, HARRY
BUDNICK, STANLEY M.
BUGAY, M.
BURSTEIN, E.
CAMMAROTO, FRANK
CAMMAROTO, MARY
CESARIO, SAM
CHABNER, J.
CHEIFETZ, BENJAMIN
CHERTNOW, M.
COHEN, BEN
COHEN, IDA
COHN, M.
COOKE, BENJAMIN
COOPERMAN, A.
DAVIS, ELICK
DEBROLET, FRANK
DEROSE, LOUIS
DESTEFANO, BESSIE
DIMPESIO, FRANK MR.
DITURI, MICHAEL
DOOLEY, GENEVIEVE
DUNN, GEORGE J.
EATON, CHRIS C.
EHRLICH, MENDEL
ELEFEN, JOSEPH
ENRIGHT, JOHN
FELDMAN, M. D.
FELDMAN, NATHAN
FERDMAN, SAM
FESTANTE, VITTORIA
FINN, MAX
FLORA, NICK
FRANCES, PETER J.
FRANCESCO, MARIGLIANO
FRANGIAS, ANTONIOS
FREDERICK, JOHN
GARRON, BESS
GEDWISE, MORRIS
GEINSTEIN, JAKE
GINSBERG, HARRY C.
GLANTZ, JOE
GOLDBERG, M.
GOLDBERG, MARCUS
GOLDBERG, S.
GOLDSTEIN, BENIE
GOLDSTEIN, MORRIS
GOLDSTEIN, SAM
GOODMAN & SILVERMAN
GORDON, L.
GRAU, M.
H. ROTHFELD & SON
HALPERINE, ABIE
HALPERINE, ANNA
HALPERINE, MEYER
HALPERINE, SAM
HELFAND, RUTH
HENRY, RUBY
HIRSCH, HAROLD
HOLBERG, HYMAN
ICHLOW, LEON
ITZKOWITZ, CELIA
IZEN, B.
JOHNSON, C. H. & MRS.
KACINSKY, SAM
KAPLAN, LOUIS
KAPLAN, SAM
KARDISH, LOUIS
KATSIROULAS, GEO.
KATZ, M.
KESSLER, HARRY
KLUTCH, H.
KOBLINSKY, HARRY
KOLOWICH, ALEX
KOZNO, FRANK
KRAMER, JOSEPH
KREENBERG, ROSE H.
KRINBERG, LOUIS
KUNZEVITZ, M.
KUSHNER, LOU
KUTIKOS, GUS
LERMAN, HARRY
LEVIN, BEN
LEVIN, FRANK
LEVIN, LOUIS
LEVIN, MAY
LEVIN, SAM
LEVITT, LEWIS S.
LIACOPOULOS, GUST
LILIOPOULOS, DIMITRIOS
LINDEMAN, GEROGE
LINTZ, LOUIS
LOGRASSO, JOHN
LOGRASSO, JOSEPH
LONG, R. Z.
LORD, MARY
LYONS, P. J.
MALAPANIS, CHRIST
MALPANIS, BROS.
MANETTE, LUIGI
MARKOVITZ, FRIEDA
MARKOVITZ, JACOB
MARKOVITZ, TILLIE
MARSHON, LOUIS
MASARSKY, GEO.
MASKOVITZ, ABE
MATALONE, J. S.
MAYER, CHAS.
MEYER, K. A.
MICHOS, GUST
MILLER, NATHAN
MINSKY, SARAH
MONTANO, RASQUALE
MYENSON, BENJAMIN
NAGEL, MR. & MRS. R.
NATHAN, MORRIS
NAVOLIS, CHARLES
NELSON, THOMAS
NINBERG, MORRIS
NITOVSKY, ABE
ORZOFF, HARRY
PALETZ, JACOB
PANAGAKIS, MARKOS
PAPPAS, PETER
PARNES, FELIX
PERSKY, B.
PHILLIPS, ROSE MRS.
PISCITILLI, JAMES
PONTILLO, ANGELINA
PONTILLO, FLORENCE
PONTILLO, JOSIE
PONTILLO, LOUIS
PONTILLO, THERESA
POULOS, NICK
RABBITT, A.
RESENBLUM, HERBER B.
RESNICK, ELI
RESNICK, MAX OR ELY
ROBURSKY, BULL
ROBURSKY, WM.
ROSENBLUM, JACK
ROSENBLUM, JOSEPH
ROSENTHAL, EDWARD
ROSENTHAL, FLORENCE & MAURICE
ROSENTHAL, J. F.
RUSSO, LORENZO & MARIA
SABBIA, D. DR.
SAKELARIOU, CHRISANTHE
SARLEY, MICHAEL A.
SCHILLER, JOSEPH J.
SCHMIDT, JOSEPH D.
SCHOTT, JULIA A.
SELCER, WM.
SELINGER, ABE
SELINGER, MAY
SELINGER, ROSE
SERLIN, C.
SESTROPOS, HARRY
SEXTON, ROBERT
SHAEWITZ, MAX
SHAYMAN, MORRIS
SIEGEL, M.
SILVERMAN, M.
SILVESTER, JACK
SIMONS, B. L.
SIMPSON, MAY
SLAVIN, J.
SLIPKA, F. H.
SPELIOPOULOS KARAHALIOS CO.
SPIEGLER, ALLEN
STAMPANATO, PASQUALE
STEIN, PAUL
STEITELMAN, JACK
TALLARICO, FRANCEXCO
TENENBAUM, NATHAN
TERRAVECCHIA, ELIZABETH
TILLER, R. C.
TUROWSKY, MAX
VALENTINO, EMANUEL
VOUMVAKIS, JOHN D.
WAGNER, WILLIAM
WEIDMAN, BENNIE
WEINSTEIN, B.
WELLS, SARAH
WELLS, WALTER
WILLIAMS, PAUL
WINER, EVA
WINER, ROSE
WINGE, DELBERT
WOHL, MORRIS J.
WOLF, HENRY
WOLSKY, CHOMA
ZIMMERMAN, L. G.
ZUCKERMAN, ABRAHAM

DAHLGREN IL

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF DAHLGREN

ALLEN, MARY E.
ALLEN, O. G.
ALLEN, ROBERT L.
AUSTIN, CLARENCE
AYDT, ALBERT
AYDT, CHARLES V.
AYDT, GEO. F.
AYDT, THOMAS
BARR, A. D.
BARTLETT, H. E.
BOSWELL, CAL
BOYER, S. H.
BRAKE, OSCAR
BROWN, J. B.
CARLTON, JOHN E.
COMPTON, C. H.
COOK, JOHN
COOK, W. H.
COX, JAMES AND CHLOE
CROSS, MARSHALL H.
CROUCH, W. M.
DALE, C. C.
DEWITT, PERMELIA E.
DULANEY, WEAVER
DULANY, JOE P.
ELLIS, WALTER B.
EPPERSON, ROSA A.
EVANS, WALTER L.
FREY, A. L.
GARRISON, GEORGE D.
GILES, JOSIE L. OR NICK
GLENN, C. H.
GRIMES, LEROY
HAGER, J. J.
HANNER, W. R.
HARGIS, GEO. M.
HARSHBARGER, JOHN C.
HAY, T. W.
HECK, T. W.
HECK, W. M.
HESS, S. D.
HINES, JACOB
HOLLAND, JOHN G.
HOOTS, BERT
HUNTER, SAMUEL
HUPPMAN, PETER
HUTCHCRAFT, BEN
KEHRER, MARY MRS.
KINGSTON, BOID
KNAPP, OLIVER
KNIFFEN, BEN
LAMPORT, A. C.
LAMPORT, I. R.
LEMAR, A. L.
LOEHR, A. A.
LOEHR, JOSEPH FRANK
MARQUIS, HENRY
MAULDING, AMANDA
MAULDING, DAN
MAULDING, H. L.
MAULDING, W. B.
MAULDING, WALTER B.
MCCLURE, THOMAS C.
MCGLYNN, L. T.
MCPHERSON, BERT
MILLER, A. C.
MILLER, CARRIE HALL
MILLER, FRANCIS MARTIN
MILLER, G. R.
MILLER, GEORGE
MILLER, GERTRUDE
MILLER, J. C.
MILLER, PRICE OR MRS. F.
MILLER, ROBERT O.
MITCHELL, EDWARD
MOORE, FRANK
OVERBY, IRA
OVERBY, JOHN
PETTIT, ELMER
RAWLS, LAURA P. OR LINNA
ROGERS, JAMES
SCHLAG, FRED
SCHUSTER, CHARLES
SCHUSTER, H.
SCRIVNER, W. R.
SEEL, ALEX OR FREDERICK
SEXTON, ABE OR CEPHUS
SEXTON, N. W.
SINKS, ARTHUR
SNEED, PERRY
SNEED, W. C.
STULL, GEO. W.
STURMAN, LAFE
SURSEY, WILLIS
TENNYSON, R. M.
THOMAS, T. M.
TOLLEY, WALTER W.
TRANTVETTER, T. M.
TUCKER, O. M.
TURNER, SAM
TURNER, W. A.
VAUGHN, STEPHEN
VILLAGE OF DAHLGREN
WHIPPLE, WILL OR WILLANNA
WILKEY, CARTER
WILLIAMS, BEN
WILLIAMS, HARRIETT A.
WILLIAMSON, J. T.
WILLIAMSON, TOM
YATES, I. R.

DOWNERS GROVE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DOWNERS GROVE

ALLEN, A. V.
BLOCK, MARTIN
BROOKS, EDWARD
BUTLER, PETER
CONNORS, M. C.
CORCORAN, JENNY
CURTISS, SAMUEL
FISH, CUSTER L.
FISHER, G. MR. & MRS.
FREDENHAGEN, VICTOR & JENNIE
FRIEHAUF, AGNES
HASSEL, IDA MRS.
JONES, HULBERT
JORY, HENRY
KEENE, ALONZO & MARTHA
KELLER, B. E.
LACEY, E. F.
LAKATSOS, JAMES & CHRIS
LYNCH, JOE
MATHEWS, THOS. H.
MORRISS, LOTTIE
MORS, JOS. A.
O'NEILL, LOTTIE HOLMAN
PARKS, CHAS. FOLEY
POTTER, THERON
PRENTISS, FRANK J.
REED, H. N.
ROSS, ADOLPH
SCHULTZ BROS. FURN. CO.
SHAFFER, JAMES R.
SMITH, IDA R.
STEPHENS, F. L.
TIRRELL, CHARLES A.
TORGENSEN, O.
WHEELER, ORIN
WOLF, W.

ELDORADO IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELDORADO

ALDRIDGE, JEFFERSON
BALLARD-GERHART
BARNES, S. A.
BLACKMAN, JAS. B.
BLACKWELL, R. S.
BORDERS, ESSIE LEE
BOZARTH, J. T.
BRAMLET, GEO. R.
BROWN, DAVID
BROWN, W. H.
BROWNFIELD, MARION MRS.
BUCHANAN, JAMES E.
CAMPBELL, GRACE MRS.
CARAWAY, J. J.
CARITHAN, SAM
CARLISLE, ALICE MRS.
CLARK, WILLIAM N.
COLBERT, OTTO
COMBS, GEO. W.
CRAWFORD, WILL J.
CREAVEN, LIZZIE
CRIDER, JOHN
CROZIER, ANNIE
CUTRAL, A. J.
DAMBIEL, BARNEY B.
DAMBILL, BARNEY
DENSON, MARTHA
DONLEY, G. W. & WIFE
EASTON, ELIZABETH MRS.
EATON, NANCY A.
EDWARDS, HENRY W.
EFFERHIMER, HENRY C.
EGYPT TRACTION CO.
ENDICOTT, JUDE
EVANS, W. R.
FERRELL, A. A.
FERRELL, EFFIE H.
FITZSIMMONS &

HICKS, J. A.
FORRISTER, W. M.
GARRISON, JAS. H.
GATES, HERMAN
GATES, R. F.
GHOLSON, E.
GLASCOCK, J. H.
GOARDTNEY, R. L.
GOINS, LORENZO
GOSS, JOHN H.
GOSS, W. H.
GREEN, BESSIE
GREEN, SOLOMON E.
GREGG, RAY
HALEY, CARRIE E.
HALEY, CHARLES
HALEY, CHAS.
HARRIS, W. D.
HATTON, J. T.
HENSON, JOSEPH
HERFORD, FRANCES M. & WIFE
HOFFORD, W. S.
HORTON, CHAS.
HUGHES, J. H. & MARG.
IRVIN, H. C. & C. A.
JENNINGS, LYDIA
JOHNSON, CHAS. W. ET AL
JOHNSON, STELLA L.
JONES, A. G.
JONES, FANNIE E.
KARNS, J. L.
KILGORE, JOHN
LEEPER, J. E.
LESTER & DONLEY
LIPSCOMB, JOHN W.
LITTLE, JIM
LOCAL 1420
LOGSDON, BLANCHE
LOVELLETT, A.
MAHONEY, THOMAS
MARTIN, ERASTUS
MARTIN, G. W.
MARTIN, J. R.
MARTIN, W. B.
MATHIS, JOHN W.
MCCORMICK, MAYBEL
MCCORMICK, W. B.
MOHRMAN, FRANK E.
MORRIS, J. M.
MORRIS, JAS. M.
MOSBY, W. H.
MURPHY, ALLEN
NASH, S. O.
NICHOLAS, DAN
OGLESBY, W. H.
PATTON, ELLEN E.
PEARCE, F. B. DR.
PERRY, MAUDE A.
PIBBLE, ROBERTA JANE
PORTER, LEATHA B.
PORTER, MARY E.
PORTER, OLIVER C.
PORTER, OTIS
PRIBBLE, LURA L.
READ, WORHERN
ROBERSON, FLORA MRS.
RYAN, LOU
SALINE COAL CO.
SALINE ILLINOIS COAL COMPANY
SHARER, CHARLEY
SHAVER, CHAS.
SHAW, GEO.
SIMPSON, GEO. R.
SISK, J. S.
SISK, ROSCOE MRS.
SLOW, J. C.
SMITH, E. M.
SMITH, GUY
SMITH, HERMAN
SMITH, WALTER B.
STEPONI, JOHN
TATE, EDW. & WIFE
THOMPSON, W. C.
TRUSTY, W. C.
UPCHURCH, IDA M.
UPCHURCH, RICHARD A.
UPCHURCH, ROY
VAN ALLEN, C. H.
VINSON & IRVIN
WALLACE, GUY M.
WATSON, GUY
WELLS, SARAH E.
WEST, LOUIS F.
WETHERBY, RACHEL E.
WHITE, C.
WHITESIDE, R. L.
WOLFE, FRANK
WOMANS CLUB
WOOD, D. L.
WRIGHT, ERNEST B.
YOUNG, O. S.

GILLESPIE IL

THE GILLESPIE NATIONAL BANK

ABBOTT, JOHN
ALLEN, JAMES
BARNETT, NELL
BRIGGS, LUTHER
BROWN, HARRY
CARNELLA, JOSEPH M.
COFFEY, EAIRC
CORDUM, EDWARD
CRANE, J.
DELANEY, C.
DRAKE, GEORGE C.
EWING, DAPHNEY
GEORGE, HENRY
GEOVANI, ANGELO
GRABBE, H. G.
HATCHER, JOSEPHINE
HUGHES, D. B.
JOHNSON, JIM
JONES, JAMES A.
KRADANSKY, ANTONE
LEWIS, ENOCH
MACOUPIN MERCANTILE CO.
MCKORKLE, PAUL
MCLAUGHLIN, FRANK
MCNEILL, EDGAR
MIEHER, H. C.
MILLER, H. L.
MOR, C.
PRICE, ED.
RANGER, JOHN A.
REDITUS, JOE
SALEMI, VINCENT
SAWYERS, FRANK
SCHNEIDER, D.
SHANAHAN, P. H.
SHARP, JOHN H.
SMITH, EDWARD A.
STEHLIN, W. M.
STILLERS, EARL
W. T. DUKER & CO.
WAGNER, CHAS.
WEMPLE, C. F.

HAMMOND IL

HAMMOND NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

CROWELL, H. E.
MILLIONY, BILL

HARVEY IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HARVEY

BADER, ED. H.
BEMENT, ISADORA
CLAUSON, G. B.
COFFIN, C. C.
COFFIN, C. C. MRS.
CONNER, GEORGE
EDDY, FRANCIS
FERGUSON, E.
FISHBECK, CHAS.
HARVEY CIVIC CLUB VS FORSYTH BROS.
HEIKEMA, JACOB
HOULIHAN, J. E. ET AL
HUFFMAN, CHAS. & PEARL
JACOBAITIS, BERNARD
LAU, HERBERT W.
LEFEBORE, CHAS. O.
MAAS, ALEX
MESSENGER, J. C.
MONK, J. CLIFFORD
O'ROURKE, J. J.
O'ROURKE, MARY C.
REED, FREDE
RILEY, GEO. J.
SPOONERS MOTORS INC.
TEW, WILLIAM S.
VANDERVLIET, JAKE, TREAS VILL OF PHOENIX
VERHOEBEN, FRANCES
WALENT, MIKE J.
WEIDLEMAN, FRANK J.

HENRY IL

FIRST-HENRY NATIONAL BANK

ANDERSON, W. E.
BEALL, T. A.
BELL, LOUISA
BENDELINE, AUGUST MRS.
COHN, LOUIS AND ANNE
CREBS, DOROTHY, J. P.
CROMWELL, AND F.P.
DICKERSON, FAY
DOTY, HARRY
DUFFIELD, FLORENCE
DWYER, JOHN JR.
ETSCHEID, F. E.
FINLEY, CLAY MRS.
FOSDICK, LUCIAN
GRIFFITH, W. M. AND CAROLINE
GRUBB, SUSAN L.
GUSTAFSON, FANNIE MRS.
GUYER, M. D.
HANKINS, MINNIE
HARRIS, ELSA M.
HARTLEY, GRACE
KETCHUM, JERIEL
KOCHER, JACOB
KRANTZ, A. A. MRS.
KRANZ, MARY B.
LAPAGE, E. DR.
MASON, OLIVER
MAUBACH, GERTRUDE
MAUBAGH, JOHN
MAUBACH, KATHERINE
MCMANNERS, CLARISSA
MOFFITT, HUGH
MUTTON, HIRAM R.
MUTTON, LENORA
NELSON, CHESTER
NEWHALFEN, E. W.
O'BRIEN, JOHN
PASSINI, JOE
POWERS, J. M.
REYNOLDS, JOHN
RIDENBAUGH, JACOB
RILEY, JOHN
SCHLOSSER, WIMER W.
SCHMITT, MYRTLE MAY
SCHMITT, PETER
SCHMITT, WILLIAM J.
SEGRAVES, T. J.
SMITH, A. B.
SMITH, ELIZA
SOPER, HENRY
SPARLING, EMILY
STEPHENS, MILLIE
STONE, A. H. MRS.
THOMPSON, CHAS.
TOMLINSON, W. F.
TOOMEY, MINNIE MRS.
TURNER, CLARENCE
TURNER, LAWRENCE
WABEL, CARRIE A., TRUSTEE
WEBB, L. A.
WILLIAMS, JAMES A.
YARRINGTON, CHARLES MRS.
YOUNG, ALICE K.
ZEIGLER, LEO

HERRIN IL

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF HERRIN

BARNHILL, WALTER
CALCATERRA, LOUIS
DUNCAN, B. H.
GRUZINSKI, MARY
HOPPER, WM.
INGRAM, ALFRED
JEFFREY, HERBERT
JONES, W. E.
LONG, GEO.
MALLICO, WILLIAM MRS.
MANDERANO, ROCCO
MCELVAIN, J. WM.
MCGHEE, J. T.
NEISSL, V. P.
PRIDDY, L. M.
SPRINGS, A. W. DR.
TALUTTO, JOE
TATSIS, BILL
THETFORD, ROBERT
WALDMAN, J. S. DR.
WILKINSON, J. W.
WILSON, W. A.

HERRIN IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HERRIN

DANO, ROSS
FREEMAN COAL MINING CO.
GRECO, ANGELO
JONES, JESSE
JONES, RHODA
KING, STANLEY
THORNTON, TOM
WHITTINGHILL, J. C.

HOPEDALE IL

THE HOPEDALE NATIONAL BANK

BERGER, ROSCOE
CLARK, GEORGE W.
DELLITT, GUS
DILLEY, MARY B.
DRAVIS, A.
LOWE, HENRY F.
MCBRIDE, TROY
MCDOWELL, ANNIE
SANDS, LIONA ESTATE OF
SCHNEIDER, H. J.

JOLIET IL

THE JOLIET NATIONAL BANK

BECKER, ANNA W.
BENSON, EARL MR. OR MRS.
CLEARY, JOHN J.
CURRAY, ROBERT
DANO, HARRISON
DEMITRALY, JOHN
DOLAN, W. E.
FAY, MATHEW F.
FEENEY, ANDREW
FLEMING, ROY E.
FORQUEER, B. B.
FREDERICH, PHIL E.
GASKELL, J. J.
HAGLUND, S. R. MR. OR MRS.
HINTHORNE, J. H.
HODGE, M. H. MR. OR MRS.
HOLLINGSHEAD, MARY MRS.
JOLIET AIRCRAFT CORP.
JOLIET RADIO SUPPLY
KELLY, TERESA MRS.
KRAKAR, MARY MRS.
KRINGS, LOUISE M. ESTATE
LAWLOR, JAMES M.
LIENEN, ESTATE OF M.
LOGAN, HUMPHREY
MACDONALD, N. A.
MATHER, L. B. MRS.
MATTEI, HUGO C. DR.
MILLER, F. W.
MURPHY, J. P.
NICKERSON, C. W.
NOLAN, EDWARD
NORTON, FRANK
O'BRIEN, MARTIN
O'BRIEN, MARY MRS.
PHILLIPS, GEORGE C.
PLITT, LOUIS
POPER, GEORGE E.
PRICE, MADELON MRS.
REDMOND, WILLIAM ESTATE
ROMANO, DOMINIC
SCHEETZ, CHARLES J.
SHIRE, JOHN
SING, VICTOR L.
SOAVE, ISADORE
STEINE, IRMA READ
STEWART, W. B. DR.
STREICH, PAUL B.
THOMAS, HANNAH ESTATE OF
TWORDAS, JOHN OR CATHERINE
VITALI, JOE
VOLRATH, MINNIE ESTATE
WALSH, FRED J.
WERNER, O. W. MR. OR MRS.
WHALEN, EDWARD
YURKOVICH, MAE
ZALAR, MARION
ZALAR, VIDA
ZALATEL, JOHN ESTATE

JOLIET, IL

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF JOLIET

BODLEY, PAUL G.
HARNEY, W. F.
HOAGLAND, F. A.
LEE, JAY
LOCHIVETYKY, A. M.
O'MALLEY, LEO. J.
THORNTON & BENTLEY
THORNTON, H. E.
WILBURN, WM. M.
WILDER, GEO. W.

KEWANEE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF KEWANEE

BAKER, SYLVESTER
BLISH, AMY M.
BLISH, JAMES K.
BRASEL, LEWIS
BUMPHERY, MARY
COLE, CLIFF
COLE, W. H. DR.
COOPER, JOHN A.
CRAIG, GEORGE E.
DAY, FRED
DE BATES, JOE
DEUTSCHLAND, WM.
DONALDSON, GEO.
DYNES, CLARENCE
FARMERS & MANUFACTURER'S

BANK
FELTON, AUGUST
FOLEY, ENOCH
GLANZ, A. J.
GRAHAM, J. W.
GUTSCHLAG, A. C.
HASSMANN, HARRY L. REFRIGERATOR CO.
HENNING, CAROLINE
HEPNER, OTTO
HOTCHKISS, CARRIE
HYER, ELIZA J.
JOHNSON, EDWARD B.
JOHNSON, JOHN A.
JOHNSON, ROSS
JONES, JONATHAN & JONES, MARY
KALLEM, JULIUS
KATALOS, NICK
LAMBERSON, GEORGE
LEMPKE, GUSTAV
LEMPKE, HERMAN W.
LEONARD, L. C.
LEWIS, JESSE J.
LEY FUEL CO.
MAIR, ELIZABETH
METHODIST EPISCOPAL CHURCH OF KEWANEE
METTLER, GLENN E.
MINKS, WILLIAM E.
MYERS, S. H.
NASS, FRANK
NELSON, H. R.
NIME, YESSAL
NOBILING, HENRY MRS.
OLIVER, GEORGE T.
OUEKELBERGHT, JOHN VAN
PALMER, JOHN F. AND - ALICE
PETERSON, LAWRENCE
PETTINGILL, J. E.
ROBB, S. E.
ROLAND, M. J.
ROLAND, MICHAEL
ROUSE, EVELYN
RULE, W. H.
RULE, WALTER H.
SANDQUIST, JOHN M.
SCHEUMAKER, SADIE M.
SCOTT & POLLITZ
SCOTT, SIDNEY W.
SMEED, F. B. MRS. (DUTTON)
SMITH, ALBINUS
SOBOTTE, PAUL H.
STRUTTON, JOSEPH D.
SWANSON, AUGUST
TERRY, FRED E., TRUSTEE
THOMPSON, GEO. R.
THOMPSON, MONS
TURNBULL, HENRY
WAGY, WM. L.
WAITE, A. D.
WARD, WM. C.
WEIDMAN, JOSEPH W.
WILAMOSKI, FRANK
WILLIAMS, A. A.
WILLIAMSON, ARTHUR B.
WYAND, ELDON L.

LAGRANGE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LA GRANGE

DUNN, JOHN N.
MARDIS, THOMAS
MEYER, FRED J.

LIVINGSTON IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LIVINGSTON

BENDER, ROSE
CARR, W. T.
CARSON, ELMA M.
CAVIGGIOLA AND COSTA
CITIZENS STATE BANK, ALHAMBRA, ILL.
COALSON, JOHN
COX, ANDREW JACKSON
CUTSOGEORGE, ADAM
DAVIS, T. W.
DOMEVSCIK, FRANK
DRDA, FRANK
ELENESDKY, KAZIMER
FREY, FRANK W.
GORJANC, ANTON
HACKMAN, C. F.
HOLST PUBLISHING CO.
KAVALA, PAUL
LINGNER, JOHN
MARTELLO, LUIGI
MCDONALD, R. C.
MITTEREGGER, HENRY
NORISH, JOE
PERAKOVIC, MARKO
PHILLIPS, RALPH
PRANGE BROS. BANKERS
REMSCHAK, FRANK
SCANOVINO, A.
SMOGLE, ANTONI
URBAN, MAGGIE
WILLIAMS, MAY MRS.

MARION IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARION

ABNEY, MILLAGE
ADAMS, GEO. WASHINGTON
AKIN, P. P.
ALEXANDER, S. G.
ANDRIS, E.
ARNOLD, CORDELIA A.
BAILEY, CLYDE
BAKER, A. B.
BAKER, FRED
BARRETT, RHODA
BARTH, DICK
BATTS, J. E.
BAUMGARTEN, ANNA L.
BAYSINGER - HEARN
BENSON, A. J.
BINKLEY, L. G.
BLUMENSTOCK, JOHNNIE
BOLES, ERNEST MRS.
BOLES, W. H. MRS.
BONER, NELIA
BRACY SUPPLY CO.
BRADLEY, C. M.
BRANDON, WILLIAM E.
BRANDRIFF, MARIE S.
BRAYFIELD, JENNIE
BRIELMAIER, H. J.
BROAD, W. A.
BROWNING, THOMAS S.
BRUNNITT, W. E.
BRUSH, MARION
BURKHART, RUBY
BURKHART, W. S.
BURNS, RALPH
CAGLE, CHARLEY
CAGLE, P. E.
CAMPBELL, KATE
CARAWAY, J. H. & FLOSSIE
CARTER, GERTRUDE
CARTER, W. J.
CASH AND EAGAN
CASH, A. L.
CAWTHON, JOSSIE MRS.
CHAMPION, R. G.
CHAPMAN, LOU
CHENOWITH, CALIA MRS.
CHITTY, S. M.
COPHER, CLOYD
COPHER, H. H.
COX, CHAS. F.
CRAIN, ROY
DANIELS, CHAS. C.
DAVID, KEY
DAVIS, FIELDING G.
DAVIS, WEB
DEMING, C. C.
DILLINGER, MAUD
DODD, LEONA
DORRIS, C. D.
DOSSETT, MINERVA
DOWELL, JOE
DUDLEY, JOE
DUKE, FORREST
DUKE, GEO. W.
DUNAWAY, LOGAN
DUNN, B. F.
DUNN, CHARLES
DURALL, LUCINDA
ELLIS - ROACH - ODUM
FARRELL, C. J.
FARRIS, J. H.
FERLITO, JOHN
FERRELL, H. V.
FIFTH VEIN COAL ASS'N
FINK BROS. AND ARLEY SINKS
FIRST METH EPISCOPAL CH OF CARBONDALE
FLANNIGAN, A. DAWES
FOSTER, MR.
FRENCH BENZOL CLEANING & DYEING
FRICK, H. O.
GENT, C. A.
GIBBS, ROSCOE
GOODALL, JOAB
GRAVES, OTIS M.
GRAVES, SARAH J.
GREER, CATHERINE
GRIMES, G. W., NANCY J.
GROVES, C. W.
HAMILTON, EMILY
HAMPTON, S. A.
HARGRAVE, E. L.
HARRIS, ROBT. (COL.)
HARRISBURG & CHICAGO COAL CO.
HARRISIN, EDIA STEWART & JAS.
HARRISON, CHRISTOPHER J.
HASTIE, JOHN
HAY, ALLIBA
HAY, C. W.
HEATON, LAURA
HEFLIN, JAMES
HEYDE, JENNIE
HIGGAM, J. D.
HILLIARD, PAUL
HOLLAND, HARRY
HOWARD, E. F.
HOWELL, H. L.
HUDGENS, EZRA
HUDSON, ZAK DR.
JACKSON, CALEB
JACKSON, GILBERT
JACKSON, ORA S.
JEFFERSON SCHOOL ADDITION
JOHNSON, E. M.
JOHNSON, J. S.
JOHNSON, P. B.
JOHNSON, PHILLIP
JONES, DIALTHA
JONES, EMILY AND HARRIS
JONES, EMMA E.
JONES, H. HARRIS
JOPLIN, J. M.
KELTON, IKE
KELTON, ISAAC
KELTON, JEWELL
KIMMEL, ETHEL
KING, A. L.
KING, W. R.
KOONCE, GEO. W.
LAMASTER, BEN
LAMASTER, FRANK
LAMASTER, GUSSIE H.
LAMASTER, MATILDA
LAPPIN, R. A.
LOCAL #1117
LOCAL #310
LOCAL UNION #3731 UMW OF A.
LOCAL UNION #577
LOGAN, CYNTHA
MALCOLM, G. F.
MARION & EASTERN R. R.
MARION AUTO, TIRE & BATTERY
MARION SUPPLY COMPANY
MARTIN, DAVID
MARTIN, G. W. ESTATE
MATTOX, H. H.
MAUER, JOHN MRS.
MAULDING, C. E.
MCCABE, WILLIAM MR.
MCFARLAND, C. R.
MITCHELL, A. S.
MITCHELL, FRANK A.
MITCHELL, J. C.
MOORE, CHARLIE HOWARD
MORGAN, JESSE A. MRS.
MOSS, CUE DE
MOTSINGER, E. E.
MOULTON, A. M.
MOUSER, J. M.
MURRAH, T. J.
NEILSON, A. C.
ODUM, JOHN
OLIVER, MARIE E. MRS.
ORCHARD COAL CO.
PATE, MARY ESTATE
PENNINGTON, W. L.
PERRINE, C. E.
PERRY, H. A.
PERRY, WINNIFRED
PETITIONS TO COUNTY BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
PEYTON, CHAS.
PILLOW, GEO. W.
POWELL, GEO.
PRICHETT, J.
REAMES, PERRY
REBECCAH LODGE
REED, GERTRUDE MISS
REED, JACOB
REED, JOHN, VS PYRAMID COAL CO.
REED, RICK R.
REID, N. G. AND NANCY
REX AND TRAVELSTEAD
ROBERTS, GUY
ROBERTS, JNO. S.
ROCHESTER, W. B.
RODD, ROY
RUMAGE, MARY J.
SANDERS, R. A.
SANDERS, ROY
SANDERS, WILLIS
SCHOOL DISTRICT #2
SCHWEITZER, HENRY
SHAW, R. H.
SHOLTON, EMILY
SILLARS, WILLIE
SILVERS READY TO WEAR
SIMMONS, C. E.
SLAGLE, F. A.
SMITH, BEN MRS.
SPAINBOWER, S. F. REV.
STEPHENS, HARRIET BOLES
STEVENS COAL CO.
STEWART, HANNAH
STEWART, JOHN R.
STRICKLIN, T. L.
SUMMERS, AUD
SUTHERLAND, KATE
TIPPY, DAVID A. MR.
UMW OF LOCAL UNION #1880 A
VALENTINE, FRED
VIOLETT, TOBE
WADE, G. W.
WALKER, GEO. W. ET AL
WALKER, O. E.
WALLACE, ALFRED A.
WARD, BELL
WATKINS, W. T.
WATSON, D. W.
WEST VA. COAL CO.
WEST VA. COAL CO., STANDARD COAL CO.
WHEELER, MOAKE
WHITE, ELVA MRS.
WHORRY, ROBERT MR.
WILKINS, ARLEIGH
WILLIAMS, H. H.
WILLIAMS, MOLLIE
WILLIAMS, OTIS W.
WINTER, PETER
WINTER, PETER MR.
WISE, JAMES A.
WRIGHT, HUGH
YEARACK, FRANK MRS.
ZION, M.E. CH, TRUST C/O STEWART, A.S.

MARSEILLES IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARSEILLES

ALLARI, AUGUST
BEDEKER, HERMAN
BEHN, HARMON
BOTTOM, IVA MRS.
BRADLEY, O. R.
BROADUS, IVA
BROADUS, IVA BEN
BROWN, J. H.
BUCKLEY, PATRICK
BURNS, EVA
CARNEY, J. E.
CHAPMAN, O. A.
COX, GUSTAV
DALY, ROBERT E.
DEVANEY, WM.
DICKERMAN, LEANORA G.
FARRELL, THOS. S.
FLEMING, GEORGE C. MRS.
FRANCOMB, LESTER MRS.
G. H. JOHNSON MOTORS
GAGE, J. L.
GAGE, LAURA S.
GRAHAM, WILLIAM
HENRICH, R. G.
HOBERT, FRANK & C. C.
HOHENSHELL, RAY
JOHNSON, AUGUST
JOHNSON, HARRY
JOHNSON, JOHN H.
JOHNSON, K. M.
JOHNSON, ROBERT
JONES, AMELIA MRS.
KARNAGHAN, W. S.
KELLOGG, L. J.
KELLY, MARVIN P.
KILLELEA, J. T.
KILLELIA, JAS. T.
KINER, LAURA
MCINTYRE, JAS.
MEAGHER, JOHN J.
MEILS' PIANO CO.
MESSENIE, ANNA
MILES, CHAS. R.
MITCHELL, JAMES MRS.
MOFFITT, EUGENE
MOORE, FLORENCE L. MRS.
MYERS, BERT
NELSON, GEO. G.
PHILLIMORE, CHARLES L.
RYDER, ELMER
SCHANK, HERMAN
SCHANK, WM.
SCHROEDER, JOHN C.
SCHURMAN, R. H.
SMITH, HENRY F.
SMITH, HERBERT I.
SPENCER, F. L.
SPICER, E. F.
SUTTON, W. T.
TALTY, C. E.
THOMPSON, ORVILLE
THOMPSON, ROY

TRIMMER, THOMAS H.
VARLAND, A. Z.
WHEELER, F. E.
YENERICH, GERTRUDE E.
ZICOVICH, MIKE

MARTINSVILLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARTINSVILLE

ATHEY, FLOYD MRS.
GOBERT, G. S.
MCNARY, F. C.
MCNARY, SHERMAN
RISINGER, GEO. T.

MOMENCE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MOMENCE

ROSS, WILLIAM

MORRISONVILLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MORRISONVILLE

BALSLEY, CHARLES
BEER, JOHN
CHAPLIN, JOHN MAHEW
CLARK, T. B.
DAMBACHER, GEO.
DOYLE, WILLIAM EDWARD
ENGLAND, CARL
FORBES, FRANK
FRIDAY, RAY OSCAR
FUNK, LEWIS W.
GATES, HERBERT
GEST, EDWARD COY
GUNN, GEORGE W.
HANTLE, EDWARD
HAYWOOD, HERBERT
HILL, FANNIE
KEISACKER, DAVIS C.
LANDERS & CLARK
LEATHERS, RICHARD A.
MOONEY, MERILL L.
MOORE, ELANOR
PERRINE, SAMUEL
RASLER, LAWRENCE W.
REEVES, ELLA
SHEEDY, COTTONWOOD
SHEEDY, JOHN
SINGER, WILLIAM
SWIGERT, W. E. MR. & MRS.
TERRELL, MRS.
TOMLINSON, J. O.
WELCH, FRED E.
WELLER, HENRY MRS.
WORLEY, ZED

MOUNT CARMEL IL

AMERICAN-FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MOUNT CARMEL

ANDRUS, JOSHUA
ARMSTRONG, THOMAS J.
BEAL, ALVIN
BERRY, OWEN STUART
BIGGS, AUBREY D.
BIGGS, FLOYD J.
BOSWELL, WILLIAM M.
BROOKS, C. D.
BROWN, PARKER
BURKETT, LUCINDA
CLARK & RUSSELL
EICHEM, SARAH
FRIEND, WILLIAM
GALMER, ANNA MRS.
GATTS, GRACE HAYWARD
GLOUSER, GEO. FRANKLIN
GOELZER, JOHN
GOELZER, MINNIE B.
HARTLEY, JOHN W.
HATFIELD, MEZZA
HEDRICK, JOE
HEDRICK, T. J.
LITHERLAND, CATHERINE MRS.
MCADAMS, JOHN
MCGIRE, PERRY
MONTGOMERY, E. H.
MORGAN, R. C.
ORR, JUDITH H.
OXMAN, JOHN
PEACH, E. C.
PETERS, J. E.
REEVES, A. J.
RUSSELL INSURANCE AGENCY
SCARBOROUSH, BEULAH
SCHAFER, GEO. P.
TANQUARY, FLORA MRS.
UPHAM, D. A.
WILKINSON, KYLE

MOUNT OLIVE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN MOUNT OLIVE

BARLOW, R. L.
BELAVIC, JOHN
BENTLEY, V. H.
BLANYER, GEORGE J.
BOLLMAN, HENRY J.
BOYD, WM.
BRASCHE, LENA MRS.
BROWLEE, DAVID S.
BRUCE, MILE
BRUENGER, WM.
CHIATELLO, JOE
CRINER-FELDMAN-ZUMWALT
DRAGOVICH, MIKE
DUDAS, GEORGE
EGELHOFF, HELEN
GERDES, HENRY
GEZOVICH, RADO
GREENAN, JAMES
HELFERS, A. J.
HELFERS, WILLIE
HOLOCEK, FRANK
JACKANIN, JOHN
JASUR, PAUL
JOHNSON, ALBERT
KOLESA, JOE
KOUTICH, ANTON
KOVACHEVICH, JOHN P.
KRIEB, GEORGE
KRUSE, WILLIAM E.
KRUSE, WM. E.
LEBEDA, JOHN
LUENEMANN & WHITEHOUSE
MEIER, MARTIN
MELENKY, MIKE
MILLICK, JOHN
MT. OLIVE CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETY
MUELLER, HENRY C.
MUNDAY, C. B.
N.Y. DECORATING CO.
NEAL, H. C.
NIEMANN, WM. H.
O'BRANOVICH, ANDRO
OLTMANN, M. J.
PANSKA, B. J. & MARTIN ADMRS.
PECHAR, ELLA MRS.
POHS, WM.
POPIELIC, JOSEF
QUINN, JAMES
RICE, J. E.
RINKEN, ANKE
SAATKAMP, THOMAS
SARDOCH, JOHN
SCHOEN, HERMAN
SCHOPPMANN, FRANCES
SCHREITER, LOUIS
ST. BARBARE K.S.K.J. SOCIETY
STOCKAMP, JOHN
STRIEN, W. M.
THIMSEN, CHAS.
THIMSEN, JENNIE
THIMSEN, WILLIAM
UMW OF AMERICA, LOCAL ¢ 728
VARNER, WILLIS
VIEHWEG, ERNEST
WEATHERS, GEORGE
WELLS, HELEN
YOUNG, B. W.

MOUNT VERNON IL

THE THIRD NATIONAL BANK OF MOUNT VERNON

ALEXANDER, M. E. MRS.
ATHERTON, H. M.
BEARDIN, J. D.
BEAUCHAMP, GEORGE W.
BECKMAN, LOU & MARY
BOYD, WALTER G.
BRACY, S. A.
BROWN, CHAS. E.
BRUNER, A. A.
BUFFINGTON, MARY
BUMPUS, MARION S.
BURKE, ALLIE
CASE, FRANK D. DR.
CHAMBERLAIN, R. M.
COLLINS, J. T.
COLLINS, SUSIE
CONKLIN, B. F.
CRAIG, R. H.
CROSS, SUSIE
DANNER, NORMAN
DAVISON, FERDINAND
DIAMOND, JESSIE
DISCHER, E. W.
DOBBS, S. D.
DODSON, HARVEY
DRAKE, W. A.
DREW, G. W.
ECHOLS, JOHN W.
ELLER, CLAUDE
ELLIS, HUGH
FERGUSON, GUSSIE
FRY, LOMAN
GAAB, EDWARD
GOWDY, JACK
HAYES, CECIL
HEANEY, SAM
HENLEY, LOVIE M.
HERCULES, GEORGE
HOFFMAN, K. T.
HOLBROOK, FRANK P.
HYRE, J. V.
JEFFERSON LODGE ¢121 K. OF P.
JENKINS, S. L.
JOHNSON, C. F.
JOHNSON, J. C.
JOHNSON, JAMES A.
JOHNSON, JAMES S.
JOHNSON, W. J.
JOY, LAURA
JUDD, S. C.
KEALEY, EDW. M.
LEEVY, J. M.
LEOPARD, G. MRS.
LOCAL NO 795 F. A.
LOGAN, MARY A.
LOWERY, C.
MANER, CHAS.
MANNEN, W. O.
MARTIN, J. E.
MCKEE, FRED
MCPHILLIPS, T. J. MRS.
MIDKIFF, RILEY
MILLER, ANDREW
MILLER, ANNA
MILLER, W. H.
MITCHELL, RALPH
MOAGLIN, LEVI J.
MOORE, JAMES J.
MORGAN, CHESTER F.
MOSS, T. C.
NELSON, GEORGIA MISS
NORRIS, G. O.
PARKER, NELLE T.
PETERS, E. W.
PUCKETT & SON, C. P.
RACE, W. T.
RAGLAND, G. W. & ORA R.
RAPP, WM. C.
REDDY, C. R.
REED, JAMES F.
REINOCHL, PHILIP
RICHARDS, G. W.
SCHAFER, J. A.
SCHMIDT, IGNATZ
SCUTT, G. B.
SEAGRIST, E. L.
SHERMAN, L. A. MRS.
SIMMONS, C. F.
SLYGH, CORYDON
SMELSLEY, MABEL MRS.
SMITH, J. B.
SMITH, JOHN D.
STUCKER, FRANK X.
SUPPES, WM.
SWEM, W. M.
TANQUARY, SARA A.
THOMAS, NELLE PARKER
TOLLEY, WALTER
TURNER, R. E.
ULRICH, JACK
VOWELL, R. M.
WAND, J. BEN
WAND, R. G.
WELEN, O. M.
WHITE, J. R.
WILBANKS, I. A.
WILLS, N. F.
WILSON, S. B.

NAPERVILLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NAPERVILLE

THEISS, MRS. F.

NEWMAN IL

THE NEWMAN NATIONAL BANK

BARTLOW, ORA
CALDWELL, JOHN W.
CASEBEER, JOHN R.
CHAPIN, FRED
CHAPIN, WALTER
FREEMAN, EVERETT
FREEMAN, J. G.
HANNERS, CHARLOTTE
HENDERSON, ELIZA F.
HOPKINS, O. L.
JACKSON, LOU
MCARTHUR & THOMPSON
MCCLURE, WM.
MYERS, ARCHIE B.
MYERS, THOS. E.
NEESE, MATT
PAGE, FRANK K.
SKINNER, C. E., & SKINNER HEIRS
SKINNER, R. A.
STAMP, HARRY
TURNER, CLARENCE J.
VANCE, LYLE
VAUGHT, ROY
WENDEL, SAM

NILES CENTER IL

THE NATIONAL BANK OF NILES CENTER

ALFORD, HOWARD
COOK, H. G.
COOK, MARION A.
DEL CORE, CLAIRE
FEGERS, ROSIE
FIRTH, H. M.
FIRTH, H. M. MRS.
FREISZEL, LILLIAN
KONEFES, HENRY
MATT, OTTO
MOFFAT, EDNA K.
MOFFAT, GEORGE C.
REICHARD, RAY
SPECK, R. J.
SPICUZZA, SAM
STIELOW, HELEN
VOGT, HENRY G.
VOGT, HENRY G. MRS.

NOBLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NOBLE

DIEL, PARIS F.
HOUGH, C. F.
MICHELS, JENNIE
MITCHELL, J. C.
PETTYJOHN, DAN
ST. JOHN, JOHN
WYATT, HENRY

NOKOMIS IL

THE NOKOMIS NATIONAL BANK

ALDERSON, ARTHUR
ALLEN, THOMAS J.
ARNOLD, JOSEPH
ASHE, JOHN AND WIFE
BARNES, ELIAS N.
BEST, HENRY
BIANCO, ALEX
BREWER, WILLIAM H.
BROWN, FRANK D.
BROWN, JAMES
BROWN, JAMES H.
CASSIDY, JAMES
COOK, EMMA S.
COSTA, LUCIANO
CRAIG, M. W.
CREWS, GEORGE
CUMMINGS, JOHN
CURDIE, DAVID
DEWERFF, MARGARET
DILBECK, WESLEY
DONALDSON, A. L.
DONALDSON, LEROY
DOYLE, LEE
DRENNAN, HATTIE
ECKHOFF, JESSE W.
EDDINGTON, WILLIAM R., ESQ.
EITUTUS, JOHN
ENGELHART, HENRY
ERNST, FRED W.
ERNST, LENA
FARRELLY, NICHOLAS
FELLHAUER, P. A.
FITZGERALD, E. C.
FLEMING, DAVID JR.
FOLKERTS, WILLIAM
FREELAND, P. L.
FREELAND, P. L., & CO.
GAHAN, AUSTIN
GERMAN, RUSSELL
GLEASON, DENNIS
GOSCINSKI, GEORGE

GRIMES, WALTER M.
HALFORD, V. B.
HALL, CHARLES A.
HALL, R. D.
HALL, STEPHEN A. D.
HILL, ROBT. G.
HINKLE BROTHERS
HINTON, ETHAN
HOUSHKA, JOSEPH
HUBER, HENRY J.
HUBER, LEO J.
HUNTER, THOMAS H.
ISHMAEL, SAMUEL M.
JAKOBER, CLARA L.
JAKOROUSKI, JOE
JAMES, KATE
JANSSEN, ENNE
JAYNE, R. F.
JOHNSON, BEN C.
JOHNSON, FRED
JOHNSON, HERMAN J.
JOHNSON, JOHN WM.
JOHNSTON, MAY
JONES, H. W.
KELLERMAN, CHARLES
KELLEY, ROBERT L.
KENNEDY, DENNIS J.
KETTELKAMP, BEN
KETTELKAMP, S. R. TREAS
KORPUS, JOE
LINANE, SARAH E.
MARTS, L.
MAYER, GEORGE A.
MCNICHOLS, WM. H.
MEIER, W. C.
MORONEY, JOHN
MOUNT, J. W.
NAVE, R. A.
NEWPORT, CHARLES A. AND ETHEL
NICHELSON, SUSANNAH
NOYES, HENRY A.
NUTT, JANE
O'MALLEY, MICHAEL D.
ORRIN, GEORGE
PEREBOOM, MARY
PRADO, ANTONIO
PRIESS, L. A.
RAKES, FRANK
RANSDELL, NANCY S.
RANSDELL, SHARED
RAWLING, SARAH MRS.
REINEKE, L. W.
REISACHER, EMIL P.
RHINE, R. A.
RONCHETTI BROTHERS
ROSCHEE - MANSFIELD
SAGE, STEPHEN L.
SANDERS, BRADLEY
SCHAKLE, A. B. ET UX
SCHOEN, H. E.
SCHWARTZLE, FRANK
SCOTT, ALBERT M.
SHAW, JOHN
SIMPKIN, EMMA
SPANNAGEL, GUSTAV H.
SPAVIN, WM.
SPRINKLE, J. M.
SWANEY, BOYD
SWED, J. O.
TAYLOR, AUGUSTA
THURNHORST, MARY E.
TIFFIN, WILLIAM
TOCAFUND, CARMILLO
TOWNSHIP OF NOKOMIS
VERICKER, JOHN C.
WARNSING, D. J.
WATNE, CARL
WEBSTER, WALTER
WEINSTEIN, JOSEPH H.
WELCH, CONRAD
WELLS, C. L.
WELLS, J. C.
WILKIN, PAUL S. ADM.
WILLIAMSON, JOSEPH A.
WILSON, GEORGE W.
WYATT, CLIFFORD H.
YARNELL, WILLIAM R.
YURGIN, ANTON
ZAVERL, JOHN AND LOUISE

OAK PARK IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OAK PARK

ACKLEY, FRANK P.
ALLERS, HENRY MRS.
ALLMAN, JOSEPH
ANCEL, LILLIE MRS.
ARTHUR HARRY CO. (HOLLISTER)
B. & A. TOOL WORKS
BAUMANN, ARTHUR
BERQUIST, OTTO
BIGGS, BERT P.
BIGGS, GRACE E.
BJOVICK, BERNARD
BORNEMAN, F. H.
CENNING, M. A.
CENTRAL AUTO SERVICE
DARRENOUGUE, K. J.
DREVALAS, GEORGE
EDWARDS, SARAH E.
FIRST SEC. COMPANY
FOX, AUSTIN
GOODLOE, EDWARD A.
GRAHAM, W. C.
JOHNSON, CHAS. F.
JOHNSON, MATHILDA
JORDAN, ROBERT L.
KENRYON, W. E.
KIPNES, JOHN
KUSEL, A. J.
LARSON, GRACE H.
LEVERING, M. A. MRS.
LISTON, RICHARD F.
LUM, MOY LEE
MARTELL, J. H.
MATTES, JOHN N.
MCDONNELL, JAY A.
MCGLINCKEY, FLOYD
MERRILL, LYNCH & CO.
MILLER, G. L.
MOORE, HARRY W.
NEWMAN, H. S.
NOVAK, R. F.
O'CONNELL, JOHN
O'CONNOR, JOHN E.
O'CONNOR, PATRICK J.
OLSON, A. S.
PADDOCK, R. B.
PAYLOR, W. G.
PEARCE, FREDERICK L.
PETERSON, F. F.
PHILLIPS, JAS. R.
PIEL, J. M.
PILLINGER, HELEN
REIDY, DAVID
RICHARDS, W. E.
ROWE, J. HOWARD
RULLMAN, ELMER E.
RUSSELL, KATHERINE
SEEL, HELENA B.
STOCKPOLE, H. A.
STOREVANT, PAUL ALLEN
TIMME, RAYNOR A.
WOODS, JOHN J.
ZUTTERMEISTER, EDW.

OTTAWA IL

THE NATIONAL CITY BANK OF OTTAWA

BLODGETT, C. L. MRS.
BRUZOS, ANDREW
BUNCHLE, GEORGE
CAQUELIN, EMIL
CARTER, ALLEN J. DR.
COLLINS, WM. J. MR. & MRS.
COURE, KATE MRS.
CURTIN, B. MRS.
DIMMICK, ROY O.
ENGEL, OTTO JR.
FENNESSEY, MICHAEL
GERDING, A. A.
GRAU, CARL
GUERIN, O. F.
HEGLAND, NELS
HEPLER, W. E.
HOGANSON, T. L.
HUGHES, JOSEPH T.
JOHNSON, FRED J.
LOGSDON, JOHN
LONG, HARRY
MEEHAN, KATHERINE
MILLER, CATHERINE
MONROE, J. R.
O'CONOR, DANIEL J.
POMMIER, GEO.
ROSENCRANS, W. L.
ROSER, CONSTANT
SAUTER, JOHN A.
SHULER, WM. A.
STOVER, J. M.
THE INDEPENDENT SUPPLY CO., OTTAWA, ILL.
THE SOUTHWESTERN-PORT HURON COMPANY, INC
WALSH, JOHN T.
WEINTZ, WM. L.
WHEELER & MALO
WHITE, F. E.
WOODS, PATRICK

PANA IL

THE PANA NATIONAL BANK

AERTS, ED
ASKIN ESTATE, A.W. (C.E. COVENTRY, TRUS)
BEAKER, FREDERICK
BENJAMIN, JAMES L.
BETZOLD, ED.
BOUREY, JOSEPH
BOWMAN, ELMONT B.
BOYLE, BERNARD J.
CARTER, ROBERT
COLLILIEUX, EMILE
COMISKY, JOHN G.
DAVIS, S. T.
EDWARDS, DURWARD V.
EMERY, LEE
FLESCH, A. B.
HALBROOK, WILLIAM E.
HARPER, EVA MRS.
HENDERSON, J. O.
HOLBEN, ORA C.
HUGHES, ALICE MRS.
HUTCHENS, SADIE MRS.
JANNINK, BENN & GERHART
KESSINGER, KEITH
KRAMER, GEO. H.
LAMB, FANNIE J.
LEWIS, J. A.
MAGEE, WILLIAM
MATON BROS., INC.
MATON, ARTHUR
NEECE, JAMES
NEWKIRK, J. M.
NICHOLS, EARL W.
OLSHASKIE, BENJAMIN
OLSHASKIE, MARY MRS.
OSBURN, JOHN A.
PHILLIPS, A. M.
REED, HARRY
REED, LON
ROBINSON, CHARLES
SCHAFLE, GEORGE F.
SCHULTZ, SAM
SPRINKLE, WM MRS.
TEMMEN, JOHN
TRACY, C. B.
TURNER, FRANK
WALKER, HENRY MRS.
WEEKLEY, JOHN F.
WELCH, ELMER A.
WILSON, A. D.

PEKIN IL

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF PEKIN

ALLISON, R. H. (TRUSTEE)
ASHBELL, SARAH MRS. OR GORDIS
BARTONE, JIM MR. & MRS.
BRAY, WM.
BROWN, J. C.
CLOSE, JOHN OR BERNADINE
CRITTENDEN, L. E. & W. A.
DAVIS, J. M.
GAINES, WM.
GUILE, BENJ. F.
HARMS, TEIS T.
HOLT, W. A.
HORTON, JOSEPH OR MRS. GEORGE
KIRK, VAN CLINT
KONTON, EDNA
KREEGER, JOHN E.
LUTZ, FRED P. OR GEORGE M.
MAHONEY, DAN MR. & MRS.
MILLER, ED
MOONEY, ALEX
NICHOLS, GEORGE
PORTER, STERLING E.
ROBISON, D. W.
SIPPLE, A. A. (TRUSTEE)
TALBOTT, LA FAYETTE E.
WOLFE, JOHN MR. OR MRS.
WYNN, G. W. MR. & MRS.
WYRICH, AUGUST

PERU IL

THE PERU NATIONAL BANK

COOK, S. L.
FIEDLES, WM. & KATHERINE
FLAHERTY, JAMES B.
KAZYNSKI, WALENYA MRS.
LINNIG, HENRY F.
LINNIG, J. J.
MAHAN, FRANCIS
SNOW, WM. M.
SPARLING, AGNES
ZABEL, CHAS.

PONTIAC IL

THE LIVINGSTON COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF PONTIAC

ALBEE, SIDNEY
ALGOE, WILLIAM
BECK, EDWARD
BODLEY, PAUL G.
BOHRN, ROBERT
BRUNNER, CARRIE S.
BULAND, BELLE
CAPODICE, CHARLIE
CHAFFIN, SUSAN SWENSON
CHURCH, ELLA F.
COOK, BERTHA
DAVIS, J. T.
ERICKSON, MELINDA
HAMREWAY, ELSIE
HARNEY, W. F.
HARVEY, JEAN MRS.
HAYES, JOSEPH H.
HEISNER, PAUL F.
HENDERSHOT, LUTHIE M.
HOAGLAND, F. A.
HOLLOWAY, ELDEN RAYMOND
IVES, J. R.
KAVANAUGH, H. J.
KLENDWORTH, HENRY
LEE, JAY
LUSTER, WILLIAM
MASON, A. M. MRS.
MEEHAM, CATHERINE
MILLER, JOHN D.
O'MALLEY, LEO J.
OLSON, JOHN H.
PAGE WOVEN WIRE FENCE CO.
QUIGLEY, J. V.
RATHBUN, JOHN E.
RAUBE, CARL
RAUBE, CHARLES
REMMERS, BERTHA MRS.
RICHARDS, FREDERICK H.
RIECK, JOHN
SCHULTZ, CATHERINE
SHEPHARD, JESSIE E. MRS.
SMITH, ALICE M.
SMITH, MABEL GROVES
STORCK, E. B. MRS.
STREET, CHAUNCEY
THOMPSON, GERTRUDE L.
THORNTON & BENTLEY
THORNTON, H. E.
WIBBENHOST, E. W.
WILBURN, WILLIAM M.
WILDER, GEORGE W.

PONTIAC IL

THE NATIONAL BANK OF PONTIAC

ANGELL, G. SHERWOOD
ARNETT, W. H.
ATTIG, ALBERT WM.
BARTON, C. W.
BRACE, JOSEPHINE E.
BURCKY, SIMON
CHARLESWORTH, CLARA
COTTINGHAM, T. I.
DOERMANN, HENRY F.
DOLDE, MARTIN
DOWNEY, EDWARD JOSEPH
DUFF, W. A.
DURHAM, LENA
EISFELD, FRED
ENSLOW, PERCY O.
FITZPATRICK, ADA M.
FOLEY, MARGARET
FOULK, NATHAN W.
FRANCIS, FRANK
GREEN, WILLIAM J.
GREENMAN, JOHN
GUNDERSON, DANIEL
HADLEY, J. R.
HARROWOOD, FLOYD
HOBART, JOE
HOBART, JOSEPH G.
HUTCHESON, FLORENCE
JACOBSON, L. W.
JAMES, M. R.
JANSEN & JOOTSON
KAY, ROBERT
KINANN, J. E.
KNUDSON, J. C.
KNUTESON, E. H.
KOONS, G. J.
LANGFORD, J. FRANK
LAWRENCE, JOHN
LEHMANN, WILLIAM
LUNDBORG, HERMAN
MCDOWELL, EMMA T.
MORTIMORE, FRANK M.
MOUNTS, WILLIAM L.
NOLAN, ELIZABETH
NOLAN, ELLEN
NOREM, JOHN

OLSON, LUCINDA
OLTMAN, GEO. J.
PONTIAC BUICK CO.
PORTER, ANNA K.
QUIGLEY, OLIVE
SCHULZ, WM.
SHAW, JOHN T.
SMITH, CHAS. A.
STEVEN, CHAS. AGENT, FOR EDWIN FEINHOLD
STRAWN, LEWIS F.
STREET, CHAUNCEY
THOMPSON, GUY
TOMBAUGH, C.R. AGENT FOR P.& G. EVANS
TOMBAUGH, C.R., FOR HEIRS OF GEO. EVANS
WALKER, F. C.
WERNER, H. C.
WILSON, C. H.
WILSON, CHARLES E.

QUINCY IL

THE QUINCY-RICKER NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

ANDERSON, WM. H.
BATES, JEREMIAH T.
BEST BROS. BY W. D. BEST
BROWERS, MAURICE W.
BROWN, T. Z.
CALNAN, JEREMIAH
CLINE, BARNEY MR. & MRS.
COLEY, LOIS W. MRS.
CONNER, LESTER B.
ENGELS, HARRY H.
FREEMAN, WILLIAM J.
HARTMAN, BERTHA A.
HUNT, VIVIAN A. MRS.
JACOBSTEIN, MILTON
LEVY, GUSTAV A.
LEWIS, JENNIE
LOOMIS, MYRA & FRED
MCCAULEY, ELLA M.
MCCAULEY, FRANCIS J.
MCCLINTOCK, J. W.
MCDOWELL, TOM
MILLS, A. W. MRS.
MURPHY, JAMES T.
NOONAN, THOMAS
ODER, LUCY M.
ORR, GEORGE W.
PIPER, J. Q.
RICE, HELEN W.
RYAN, JERRY F.
SCHUTTE, R. F. D.
SENGER, MARY
SMITH, G. M.
SOHM, EDWARD, ET AL
TAYLOR, FRED A. & ELIZABETH
THOMAS, C. C. & F. H.
THOMAS, FRANK W.
VANDENBOOM, J. H.
WEHMEYER, AUGUST OR EMMA

ROCK FALLS IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROCK FALLS

CREDITORS OF 1ST NB OF ROCK FALLS, IL.
REYNOLDS, ED
ROSS, E.
WYMAN, W. H.

ROCKFORD IL

THE FOREST CITY NATIONAL BANK OF ROCKFORD

D'AGNOLO, OTTO
DRUISSI, ERMINIO

ROCKFORD IL

THE MANUFACTURERS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF ROCKFORD

ANDERSON, CHARLES
BANKS, GEORGE S.
CARLSON, AL
CARLSON, ESTER
DANIELS, JAMES H.
DEMING, A. C.
FESTERLING, EMIL
FIRST NAT. BANK ANDALUSIA, ALA.
FIRST NAT. BANK, ANDALUSIA, ALA.
FOLLAND, SADIE MRS. & MERYL
HITCHCOCK, ADDIE
JEFFREY, J. H.
JOHNSON, C. H.
KINCH, M. J. MRS.
KUENZEL, RICHARD
LANDSTROM, O. E.
LEONARD ESTATE
LITTON, J. B.
LUNDBERG, HULDA
MCCARREN, JOSEPH GREGORY
MCDONALD, JOHN M.
MILBURN BROS.
MOREHOUSE, A. H.
NELSON, C. ALBIN
PAGE, HERBERT W.
ROCKFORD STOKER CO.
RUBIN, ISRAEL
SCHMAUSS, LEONARD
SENNEFF, CLAYTON
SHEPHERD, R. A.
SUNDSTRAND, C. A.
SWANSON, ALBERTINA
ULLMARK, AUGUSTA
VOGHT BROS.
WELD, MARY S.

ROCKFORD IL

THE ROCKFORD NATIONAL BANK

ANDERSON, ALFRED MRS.
ANDERSON, MAY MRS.
ARNONE, LEWIS
BALL, MARY A.
BELDON, BERTHA A.
BENEDICT, C. E.
BIGGART, H.H. EXEC. FOR E.B. CARVETH
BILSKER, D.
BOONE ENGINEERING CO.
BOYD, MARGARET MRS.
BROOKS, EDWIN A.
BURROWS, W. E.
CAREY, CATHERINE
CASCIO, JOE
CHAPPELL, R. D.
CLARK, WILLIAM
CRARY, C. M.
DANIELS, KATHRYN
DAUGHERTY, S. M. MRS.
DAVIS, R. E.
DICKINSON, IVAN W.
ELLIS, T. C.
FEDELI, ERNEST
FERNS, MYRTLE
FERRARO, GIOVANNI
FRY, JOHN P.
GIBLER, ROBERT MRS.
GILBERT, EUGENE C.
GOETTLE, MARY V.
HICKOX, J. H.
HOGAN, ANTHONY H.
HOLLENBECK, ROWLAND JR. DR.
JEANMAIRET, G. E.
JOHNSON, CARL
JOHNSON, GEO. E.
JOHNSON, MAYME MRS.
KENYON, CLARENCE
LANDER, FRANK C.
LEAY, W. J.
LEON, MARIE
LINDEN, JNO. A. & CO.
LINNARD, C. K.
LOUIS, BILLY
MACKEY, JACOB
MADDEN, CORA N. MRS.
MANN, JAMES H.
MCDONALD, ALICE P.
MCLAIN, F. W.
MOORE, W. T.
MURPHY, LEO B.
NICHOLS, E. F.
NOTTINGHAM, L. B.
PACE, LEO MRS.
PAGE, H. W.
PAUL, A. C.
PERRY, J. M. MENTER MRS.
PIERCE, RAY
POLLARD, ELIZA
RANNEY, FLORENCE G.
REED, MARY T. ESTATE
REYNOLDS, H. D. MRS.
RIZZO, SALVATORE
ROCKFORD OFFICE FURNITURE CO.
ROCKMARK, FRED
ROWE, R. W.
RUBIN, GEO. REALTY CO.
SANTUCCI, NICHOLAS
SCHMIDT, HENRY H.
SCHWEDE, EMIL R.
SCRIPLE, VICTOR
SHULTZ, W. W.
SILVIUS, M. C.
STEINER, EDYTHE
SULLIVAN, JAMES F. & SONS
SWENSON, E. R.
TWEED, GEO. O.
WALLIS, PAULINA OR THOMAS
WALTER, AUSTIN G.
WALTERS, ADA
WAUGH, JEROME
WECHSLER BROS.
WHEELER, N. W.
WOLF, SOPHIA MRS.

ROCKFORD IL

THE SECURITY NATIONAL BANK OF ROCKFORD

ANDERSON & DIXON
BERGMAN, ARTHUR
BERGREN, C. A.
BLACKMER, LEROY E.
BLADE, GUST
CARLSON, FRIDA
HARRIS, ROY LEE
JOBE, WILLIS
JOHNSON, ERICH F.
JOHNSON, SIGNE ELIZABETH
LARSON, MARY
LUDIN, JOSEPH
LUNDIN, DOROTHY
MCKAY, WALTER
NELSON, CARL A.
NORDSTROM, JOHN
PETERSON, EMMA
PETERSON, J. L.
RIZZO, SAM
SAN DURO MFG. CO.
STROMQUIST, CHAS.
SUNDBERG, HARRY W.
SWEDBERG, FRANK

SECOR IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SECOR

BARINGER, R. J. & ALICE M.
BATEMAN, C. C.
CARTER, JAMES W.
CURTIS, MARTIN
DEHORITY, WM.
HEXAMER, JAKE
LEE, A. M.
MAGINNES, C. M.
MCCLANE, G. O.
MICHAEL, ALMEDA
NIXON, GEORGE
PATTERSON, MARY JANE
POLLAND, JESSE C.
PORZELIUS, FRED
PRIOR, HERMAN
STEPHENSON, L. H. & IDA I.
SWITZER, R. H.
THATCHER, CHARLES
THATCHER, CHAS
VAN SCYOC, JOHN ESTATE
VIEBROCK, A.
VIEBROCK, ANGELOS & ROSINA
VOGEL, MATA MRS.
WESSEL, HENRY
WHITTINGTON, WM. H.
WILLIAMS, ROY

STRAWN IL

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF STRAWN

LYNCH, EDWARD SR.
SOMERS, JOHN F.

SYCAMORE IL

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF SYCAMORE

BARNES, BETSEY E.
GATES, A. D.

TAYLORVILLE IL

FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF TAYLORVILLE

BANKS, GEO. L.
BARNES, B. L.
CHERRY, GEO. W.
CRITES, R. E.
DONOVAN, DAN
HARVEY, W. A.
HARVEY, WM. R.
HOWARD, C. C.
ISHMAEL, W. W.
JOHNSTON, JAMES H.
KENNERLY, J. F.
LOGAN, M. E.
LOVELESS, WILLIAM (LEWIS)
PETERS, JOHN C.
PHILLIPS, W. H.
RUSSELL, DELLA M.
SHEHAN, ED
TYLER, VERA
WOOD, C. R.

TAYLORVILLE IL

TAYLORVILLE NATIONAL BANK

BOZARTH, WADE H.
BREMAN, MARY G. MRS.
BRENNAN, JOHN F. MRS.
CARTER, CHAS. D.
CLARK, HENRY T.
CUTSHALL, EFFIE ELIZABETH
FRALEY, W. D.
GRANT, WM.
JACKSON, JAMES M.
OPPERMAN, WM.
RALPHS, R. E.
TOMPKINS, SAMUEL A.
TYLER, W. B.
WARD, P. H.
YARNELL, D. R.

TAYLORVILLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TAYLORVILLE

BANKS, HALLIE
BLOOMPART, P. J.
BUTLER, THOS. E.
CONNOR, MARY A.
GARLITS, GRACEY P.
GOODRICH, HENRY A.
HAINES, WILLIAM C.
HARRIS, BLANCHE R.
HARRIS, EDWARD N.
HILL, JOHN
HODES, MAX
JOHNSTON, A. E. & J. C.
LARGE, JACOB W.
MCKINNEY, CHAS.
MILLS, ALFRED
MILLS, E. J.
NICHOLS, GEORGE D.
RICHARDSON, J. R.
STEINBERG, M.
TAYLOR, EDDIE B.
WHITLAW, SHERMAN L.
WILSON, ADELL
WILSON, JOHN

URBANA IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF URBANA

ADAMS, ADA MAE
ADAMS, WALTER S.
ANDERSON-AKERS
ATKINSON, FRED
BASH, J. S. & SARAH E.
BAYERS, LYDIA A. & P. C.
BLISS, CLARA D.
BLISS, GEO. P.
BLUNT, EDITH
BROWNFIELD, ALFRED S.
COOPER, D. M.
DANIELS, H. M.
DAVIS AND HARMON
DAVIS, S. T.
DICKERSON, ELIZABETH
DRISKEL, GEORGE ET UX
DUNN, L. M.
DUNN, LARKIN M.
DURHAM, W. A. & LULU B.
ELLIOTT, AMOS
ELLROD, ETTA D. ET AL
ENGLISH, CHESTER H.
FIRST NB. URBANA, ILL.
FLESNER, FRANK
FOY, ADDIE

FREEMAN, REA
GALLIVAN, LYLE H.
GAULT, JANE
GEELAN, KATHRYN
GOLDER, MARGARITE
GREEN, H. I.
HART, E. A.
HATFIELD, CLIFFORD C. & VEADA K.
HAUERSPERGER, PETER MRS.
HAVARD, A. H.
HAVEN, ANNA MAE
HAVEN, FRED S.
HIXSON, ARTHUR W.
HOPKINS, B. S.
HORD, G. W.
HOSFORD, H. T.
HUBBARD, FRED C.
HYRE, JACOB E.
ILLINI AUBURN CO.
JAMES, JOHN W.
JOHNSON, CHARLES
KEAL, WILLIAM C.
KEOPELL, MYRTLE J. ET AL
KIRBY, ALBERT
KIRBY, HARRIETT
KIRBY, JAMES
KIRKPATRICK, ALBERT J.
KIRKPATRICK, JESSE C.
LANNON, J. F.
LARRY, LLOYD J.
LEAS, A. F.
LEWIS, WALKER
LINDSEY, T. E.
LINDSTRUM, A. J.
LONG, SAMUEL M.
MAGRUDER, CLARA F. & HUSBAND
MANNING, BARTHOLOMEW
MCFALL, MARTHA
MCLEMMAN, A.
MEAD, FRANK
MILLER, GRADIE M.
MILLER, HARRIETT L.
MOORHEAD, EDGAR E.
MORRIS, EDITH P.
MOULTON, GAIL F. & ESTHER B.
N. Y. TITLE & MTG. CO.
NEVILLE, L. L.
NYE ESTATE
NYE, ELIZA E. ET AL
OLIVER, JAMES
PATTEN, MARY C. EST.
PEARD, JOHN T. & WIFE
PELL, JAS. R.
PHENICIE, JOHN B.
PHILLIPS, B. V.
PLUMMER, C. P.
PRESTIN, HARRY & MAUDE
ROBY, W. F.
ROGERS, S. J. & MILLIE A.
ROGERS, SQUIRE J.
ROSS, MINNIE
ROUGHTON, SAMUEL
RUBIN, REBECCA ISABELL, PRUDENTIAL INS.
RUFFMAN, JANE MRS.
RUSS, J. W. & EVA R.
SAFFER, LUELLA M. & L. B.
SCHENCK, MARY B.
SCHILLING, R. C.
SCOTT, ARCHIBALD R.
SEVERINS, TRIENTJE
SHAW, E. A.
SHURTS-ESCRMAN
SIMPSON, ELIZABETH
SLATER, MARY C.
SWARTZ, J. W.
THOS. MANNING, CONS.
TOOMEY, LORETTA C.
WEGENG, JOHN C.
WHITMIRE-HOWELL
WILLIAMSON, WILLIAM
WILLIS, FRANCES A.
WILSON, THOMAS G.
WISEMAN, JENNIE, & EDW.
WITNAK, VERNE D.
WOOD, CHARLES M.
WOOLMAN, A. J. MRS.
WOOLMAN, DAURA
YAKEL, CHARLES W.

WAUKEGAN IL

THE WAUKEGAN NATIONAL BANK

AMERICAN REALTY CO.
ARNOLD, E. L.
BANDY, GUY
BARTON, LANCH L.
BEACH, K. P.
CLARKE, LEON E.
CONROY, J. F.
COSOVER, M. J.
DODGE, N. P. & CO.
DRETSKE, EMIL
EBERLEIN, L. J.
GEORGE, LESLIE N.
HAAKE, W. G.
HAMILTON, J. H.
KELLER, S. L. DR.
KNIGHT, ELIZABETH
MAKI, RICHARD E.
MAMOOGUEIS, J.
MANSFIELD, S. H.
MARGOSIAN, K.
MATHENY, MARY L.
MCPHERSON, DONALD B.
MORRISON, CHARLES
SPANGLER, GEO. EVERETT
WICKHAM, E. C.
WILSON, HOMER
YAGER, LOUIS J.
YEOMAN, SUSAN HELEN

WEST FRANKFORT IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEST FRANKFORT

CRAMER, EARL
DOBREFF, JOHN
DORRIS, HENRY
ELLWOOD, JAMES
JONES, W. R.
LEBRUM, MARCEL
LENZINI, J. J.
LOHMEIER, F. W.
MAURICE, JOE HARRIS
PALMER, JOSHEA
PARKER, ALMA
PERETTI, DOM
RICE, A. V.
SCHNULEWSKI, ALBERT
SMITH, ERNEST
STEED, ROBERT
SUTTON, S. T.
WOZNAK, JOE

WEST SALEM IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEST SALEM

EICHORST, HULDAH
FELDMAN, LEWIS
HENRY, L. B.
KOENECKE, F. W.
KOENECKE, H. F.
MARSHALL, FRANK MRS.
MASON, MARY MRS.
MEISENHEIMER, J. C.
SCHMITZ, J. S.
SHELBY, ALICE E.
TAYLOR, ELIZABETH
TAYLOR, MARY
WETZEL, ANNIE

WHEATON IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WHEATON

CALLAHAN, JERRY MR.
CARLSON, OLGA MRS.
COX, LUTHER
GILBERT, W. S.
GUCK, FLORENCE MRS.
HARVEY, L. C.
HEIDEMAN, HENRY
LIZERDO, RUPERTO
MCCORMICK, ANNIE B.
MEANS, FRED B.
PATTON, A. R.
ROGERS, L. W.
RUCH, WM.
SITTLER, LOUIS
SPENCER, A. L.

WILMETTE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WILMETTE

ANDERSON, SUSAN H.
BIEDERER, FRANK JR.
BODDIE, JOHN B.
BOLINGER, ROBERT G.
BORDEN, O. A.
CARLSON, WENDLA
CARTER, J. L.
DAVY, A. C.
DINNIE, L. W.
EDWARDS, T. L.
FALK, OTTO
FALLS, R. H. M.
FALLS, RICHARD H. M.
HAENICHEN, WALTER
HOLCOM, HARLOW T.
HOWE, CHARLES H.
HUTCHINGS, S. B.
IVERSON, IRA D.
JACKSON, MARGARET A.
JACKSON, THOMAS W.
KUTZ, CARL
LANGE, JOHN WILHELM
LAWRENCE, CHESTER H.
LEAL, GEORGE E.
LULIAS, JOHN K.
MAY, EDNA M.
MEISTER, CLARENCE H.
MEYERS, T. MRS.
MILLER, OLIVE L.
MITCHELL, FLORENCE B.
MONROE, ERIC A.
PARKER, MYRTLE A.
PINGREE, CLAIRE H.
POLLEY, W. E.
REUSCHLE, HAROLD F.
RICH, JAMES E.
SCHREINER, GLADYS
SCHULEMAN, JOHN K.
SNYDER, LLOYD I.
STERNER, CARL E.
THOMPSON, GLADYS B.
VODOZ, F. W.
WHITNEY, H. L.
WINBERG, LAURA

WILSONVILLE IL

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WILSONVILLE

AUSTRA, PETE
SIROTTI, VENERIO

FORT WAYNE IN

THE OLD FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST CO. OF FORT WAYNE

AERONATOC COLLEGE
ANGUISH, JOHN L.
ARMEY, RAY G.
ARNDT, MARY MRS.
BAHDE, EDNA ELIZABETH
BAHDE, MARTIN H. J.
BALDWIN, ELIZABETH J.
BARNHILL, ELLA J.
BARTHOLOMEW, ALFRED C.
BELL, MINNIE M.
BERNING, HENRY W.
BOEDECKER, WILLIAM
BOLDT, ANNA
BOND, LEON A.
BOTTOFF, JOHN F.
BOXBERGER, EDWIN P.
BRITTENHAM, GEORGE R.
BROWN, D. HAROLD
BROWN, FRANK L.
BRUICK, LLEWELYN F.
BRYANT, CARL L.
CAMPBELL, CLINTON DUDLEY
CAPEHART, HOMER E.
CLARK, GEORGE W.
CLEAVES, GRANT
COCHRAN, RAYMOND
CONRAD, HERMAN A.
COOKSON, WILLIAM A.
CRAIG, HARRIET L
CRAIG, PRESTON L.
CRAWFORD, FRANK C.
CUNNINGHAM, WILLARD M.
DARLING, ORIN M.
DEWITT, MARY CATHERINE
DOOLEY, PETER C.
EASTERDAY, EUPHEMIA J.
EMRICK, CHARLES E.
EPPLE, AMELIA
ERICK, MARY V.
ESHELMAN, GARFIELD J.
ESLER, LUDWIG
EYTH, VERNA B. MRS.
FOWLER, LAMBERT D.
FRANKE, CHRISTINE
FRANKE, ENNO W.
FREEMAN, ISAAC HOWARD
FREISTROFFER, MARY M.
FRITZ, RALPH T.
FRYSINGER, RENA
GARVIN, ANN ELIZA
GERBERDING, LORETTA
GIANT, HERSCHEL F.
GOEGLEIN, FREDERICK G.
GOLDEN, CLAUDE O.
GOUTY, WILLIAM H.
GRIEBEL, EMILIE
GRIEGER, ARTHUR W.
GRIFFITH, JOHN C.
GROTH, JOHN EARL
GUTERMUTH, JEANETTE
HABERSTOCK, MATILDE MRS.
HAGGENJOS, GUSTAVE
HALLE, CHARLES H.
HARPER, LESTER BLAINE
HAUGHTON, JAMES W.
HAWTHORNE, JAMES R.
HENRICKS, JUNIA I.
HERSH, MELLNOR M.
HERZOG, HAROLD
HIGGS, ARTEMAS
HILGEMANN, HENRY W.
HOLLMANN, EDGAR W.
HUBBARD, JOHN R.
HUGE, WILBERT E.
HUNT, LEO IDELL
HUSTON, FRED T.
INSLER, MARY
JENSEN, LOUIS MORTON
KAISER, GEORGE JR.
KASTEN, ANNA
KAUFMAN, IMOGENE HOPE
KAUFMAN, SIG. G.
KEENER, LAVERN A.
KEHLER, IDA L.
KELLIHER, MARY M.
KEZON, PETRO STOYS
KLOPFENSTEIN, BESS HART
KLUG, JOHN A.
KOERS, LOUIS L.
KOPP, MINNIE C.
KRETZINGER, FRED H.
KRILL, HETTIE M.
KRIST, TONY
KRUSE, CHRISTIAN
LEARY, MARGRETHE E.
LEARY, ROBERT B.
LEIKAUF, IDA M.
LEININGER, MARTIN E.
LEPPER, ANNA F.
LEWIS, MARGARET BOWSER
LONGSWORTH, SHIRLEY N.
MALONE, LEE R.
MANES, CHARLES E.
MAY, ROBERT F.
MAYER, FRED W.
MCCULLOCH, FREDERICK HALSEY
MCKEE, CARL D.
MEIER, VIRGIL E.
MENDENHALL, FREDERICK B.
MENSING, FLORA M.
MESSMAN, ELFRIEDA
MESSMAN, WILLIAM F.
MEYER, MARY
MIDWESTERN RADIO
MILLER, EARL G.
MILLER, WILLIAM HOWARD
NERHOOD, MATILDA
NEWMAN, LOWELL L.
NEWMAN, WILLIAM J.
NIETER, CARL
NOVITSKY, HAROLD
O'KEEFFE, DANIEL J.
O'REILLY, EDWARD W.
OETTING, ALFRED H.
OGNENOFF, GEORGE G.
OREN, ELVA B.
OSTERHELD, FRED
OSTERHELD, MARY
OVERHOLSER, HARRY
OWEN, LOTTIE M.
PENS, SOPHIA
PICKLE, HENRIETTA
POHLMEYER, LOUISE W.
QUICKSELL, MARY J.
RAUH, MATHIAS
REEDER, JAMES D.
REEPS, SOPHIA F.
REGENAUER, ADA
REITER, MARY A.
RIETDORF, WILBERT M.
RILEY, VERNIE E.
RIPPE, HENRY L. C.
RIPPE, LOUISE C.
RODENBECK, ARTHUR F.
RODENBECK, MINNIE S.
RODMAN, RICHARD U.
ROGERS, HUBERT H.
ROMBKE, LESTER E.
ROSS, ROSA
ROTH, JOSEPH
ROUZER, FRANK S.
RUDOLPHSON, JOHN
SAFER, MARTHA
SAPP, HAZEL MAY
SCHEIMANN, OTTO C.
SCHERER, CARL M.
SCHICK, FRANK J.
SCHICK, LORETTA A.

SCHLAUDROFF, FRED H.
SCHLINK, GEORGE
SCHMIDLIN, HENRY C.
SCHMIDT, MARY
SCHOENLE, CARL R.
SEBERT, HELEN A.
SHEARER, DELBERT M.
SHUMANN, HERMAN A.
SIEBOLD, CHARLES F.
SMITH, FREDERICK
SMITH, HAROLD
SNYDER, EMMA M.
SQUIRES, HAROLD V.
STALEY, GEORGE L.
STEELE, MERRILL F.
STEIGMEYER, CLEM J.
STORM, TRYGVE
STRASBURG, SOPHIA
STUDABAKER, DAVID B.
STURM, WILLIAM N.
SUDLOW, NANCY
SWAIN, EDITH E.
SWANN, EMMETT
SWEENEY, MARGARET
TAYLOR, GEORGE
TIELKER, EMMETT H.
TUREFSKY, DAVID
WADE, LEROY R.
WALLACE, EDITH M.
WALTERS, MARY A.
WARCUP, NELLIE L.
WEIKEL, HARLON E.
WHITEHOUSE, RALPH L.
WHITLOCK, FRANCIS M.
WILES, JACOB BARR
WILES, KATHARINE H.
WILKINSON, CHARITY
WILLIAMS, EUGENE F.
WINEBRENNER, PAUL W.
WOLF, LEVI
ZWEIG, LOUIS

GARY IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GARY

EVANS, WILLIAM D.
FAFULOS, GEO.
FAS, RAFAEL
MICHES, ANDREAS
NEUMAN, GLADYS V.
PRUDEN, R. W. MRS.
PUTZ, ALEXANDER
SMITH, FRANCES MRS.
WHITE, HAZEL

GARY IN

THE NATIONAL BANK OF AMERICA AT GARY

CAPPONY, NICK
CONNOR, MYRTLE M.
PAHOS, GEORGE MRS.

HARTFORD CITY IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HARTFORD CITY

BROWN, ELIZABETH
DEGREE, MAUDE MULLER
WILSON, PERRY

KOKOMO IN

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF KOKOMO

ARMSTRONG, GENEROUS
BION, FRANK & WIFE
CHAPMAN, JOHN HOWARD
CLARK, HELEN
DUNCAN, HAZEL D.
GOLDIN, ELI & FANNY
HEADINGTON, ROY
LITTLE, ETHEL
LOBECK, WALTER G.
MORROW, JOE MRS.
MOSS, L. R. OR GLADYS
PATTEN, J. RICHARD
RAINES, LESTER C.
RAREY, JOHN R.
SCHMIDLIN, CLARENCE C.
STEWART, CATHERINE
STOKES, T. E.
STOVER, CHARLEY
TUCKER, LILLIAN MRS.
UMFREYS, GEO. A.

LOGANSPORT IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LOGANSPORT

CONRAD, BELLE
COURTNEY, THOMAS
HANAFY, JAMES
MAYER, E. R.

LOWELL IN

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN LOWELL

BROWNELL, RALPH
DIETL, OMER M.
GEISS, LEON
GEISS, LESLIE S.
GEISS, NETTIE
HILL, JANE L.
HILL, JESSE L.
HILL, LUTHER C.
MILLER, FRED
NOMENSON, TONY
PALMER, ADELBERT D.
PALMER, JAMES C.
STRICKLAND, BERT R.
STRONG, ADELIA L.
VICKLNELL, FREEMAN
VINNEDGE, BLANCHE
VINNEDGE, E. E.
VINNEDGE, ELMER A.
VINNEDGE, O. G.
WORLEY, HENRY
ZUBER, JOHN E.

MEDARYVILLE IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MEDARYVILLE

PETERSON, CHAS.

PERU IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PERU

ECKERLY, FRANK
PENNELL, SADIE

PRINCETON IN

THE PEOPLES AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF PRINCETON

RISLEY, CHARLES G.

RUSSIAVILLE IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RUSSIAVILLE

ADKINSON, JOHN E.
BAKER, ALICE & MADISON W.
BAKER, ALICE N.
BALDWIN, JOHN J.
BAPTIST CHURCH
BEALS, ARTHUR E.
BEARD, CLARENCE R.
BISHOP, LOUISA B.
BISHOP, W. H. & LAURA
BLAIR, CHAS.
BLAIR, CHAS. T.
BOWEN, OSCAR L.
BOYD, DANIEL D.
BRADLEY, CHAS.
BRANT, JENNIE
BRUBOKER, H. M.
BRYANT-SCUDDER
BURGET, OLIVE L.
BUTTS, VAN D.
CAMPBELL, J. E.
CANFIELD, MOSES S.
CARTER, C. E. ADMN.
CARTER, CHARLES E.
CARTWRIGHT, H. M.
CATRON, ADAM
CATRON, T. S.
CATRON, THOMAS A.
CHAMBERS, CHRIS. E.
CHANEY, A. C.
COATS, H. C. & MRS.
COCHRAN, CLAUD
COMER, E. HOWARD
COMMERCIAL LIQUIDATION CO.
CONNER, L. W.
COOK, EARL
COOK, ISAAC G. & HARVEY E. ADM'S
COOK, MARTIN
COOK, W. W.
COX, MARTHA & ELLSWORTH
COX, MARTHA J.
COX, ZOAL
CRIME, L. F.
CRISS, CLARK A.
CROMWELL, C. F.
DAVENPORT, SUSAN B.
DAVENPORT, WM. O.
DEARDOFF, AL
DELON, J. A.
DICK, RISHEL E.
DOTTERRER, ROLLA E.
DUNN, FOREST
EAKINS, EARNEST
EDWARDS, LAMER
EDWARDS, ORVAL
EIKENBERRY, GLADYS M.
EIKENBERRY, PERRY & ROE E.
EIKENBERRY, SAMUEL & NANCY A.
ETHERINGTON, GEO.
FARLOW, ED. O.
FARRAR, SARAH A.
FARWICK, H. E.
FARWICK, H. E. & W. R.
FARWICK, HENRY E.
FISHER, REECE
FITZSIMMONS, R. S.
FLOYED, M. C.
FOLTZ, F. C.
FOXWORTHY & WILSON
FRANCIS, FLOYD
FRANCIS, MARY A.
FRANKLIN, WM. H.
FRY, OMER & MYRTLE
FRY, PHOEBE
GARDNER, WM. L.
GARNER, RAYMOND
GARNER, V. R.
GAYLER, WILLIS
GEORGE, ORPHA
GIFFORD, CHANTY
GILLILAND, O. G.
GLICK, W. O.
GOODNIGHT, ALBERT
GORDON, A. W.
GORDON, GEO. E.
GORDON, WM. F.
GRAVES, J. A.
GRAY, JAMES
GREENFIELD (W.A.) MATTER
GREER, OLIVER
GRUBBS, E. P. HEIRS OF
HARPER, E. E.
HARRIS, MARGARET C.
HARRISON TOWNSHIP
HEATON, FRANCIS
HEATON, LAWRENCE
HENDERSON, OSCAR M.
HENDERSON, S. N.
HENDRICKSON, RUSSELL & E. E.
HESSLER, L. E.
HESSLER, ROSS
HINER, CORA
HOBSON, OSSIAN
HODSON, DAVID B.
HODSON, HARRY J.
HOLLETT, ELMA & J. BERT
HOLLINGSWORTH, CHAS. E. & MARY E.
HOLLOWELL, NATHAN
HOLLOWELL, WILLIAM
HUFFER, VERE
HUTCHINSON, N. F.
IRBY, WILEY R.
JACKSON, BEAS
JACKSON, LUELLA
JACKSON, WILLIAM
JAMES, SAMUEL T.
JETER, SALLE
JOHNS, SYLVIA & WM.
JOHNSON, CHARLES
JOHNSON, EVERETT
JOHNSON, GLEN ADM.
JOHNSON, JOSEPH B.
JOHNSON, LABAN R. C.
KINCAID, R. C.
KINDER, GEO. & LOU EVA
LAMBERSON, HERMAN E.
LEACH, THOMAS M.
LEAP, C. A.
LEAP, GUY
LECKRONE, LOREN
LECKRONE, LOREN H.
LECKRONE, ROY C.
LEE, M. S.
LEWIS, C. K.
LINDLEY, FRED T.
LINDLEY, NATHAN
LINDLEY, T. E.
LINSON, FRANK
LINSON, LULA A.
LONG, O. H.
LYBROOK, ROY & GRACE
MAISH, EARL
MANESS, OLIVER P.
MARTIN, AMELIA J.
MCCOY, T. O.
MCCREARY, L. C.
MCCREARY, LEWIS C.
MCDANIEL, LEWIS M.
MCKAY, C. D.
MCKAY, CHAS. D.
MCMILLIAN, R. A.
MCQUINN, AMY
MICHAEL, WALTER
MIDDLETON, ERVIN
MILLEFORD BAPTIST CHURCH
MILLER, JASPER M.
MILLER, SARAH J.
MILLER, TILLMAN H.
MONROE TOWNSHIP
MONTGOMERY, E. E.
MOULDER, CHARLIE
MOULDER, JACOB
NAY, I. W.
NAY, ISAAC W.
NAY, JEPTHA
NEW LONDON CO-OP. TELEPHONE CO
NEWBY, EDWIN J.
NEWBY, ETHEL G. & GLENN H.
NEWBY, H. J.
NEWBY, RUSSELL
NEWBY, W. F.
NEWLIN, ALLEN T.
NORRIS, MINNIE OLIVE & ORA B.
O'BRIEN, WM.
OILAR, HARRY
OILAR, NELLIE
OILAR, NELLIE L.
OILAR, NELLIE L. & RACHEL E.
OLIVER TAYLOR & CO.
OREM, FERN R.
ORR, JOHN
ORR, JOHN & PETE
PAVEY, CHARLES E.
PAVEY, CHAS. E.
PHI KAPPA DELTA
POLLOCK, CECIL
POLLOCK, LUCY
POLLOCK, O. L.
POPEJOY, JOHN
PRITTS, PERRY
PYLSE, JAMES D.
QUACKENBUSH, O. L.
QUACKENBUSH, W. H.
RANSOPHER, W. O.
RATCLIFF, ABNER & MARY
RATCLIFF, JOHN F.
RATCLIFF, MARVIN T.
RATCLIFF, V. W.
RAYE, KATIE & M. J.
REED, C. J.
REED, CHARLES
RHINEHART, ROSS
RICH, NANCY J.
RICHARDS, ROBT. A.
RIDENOUR, CARL
RIDNOUR, D. G. & WIFE
RIDNOUR, W. S.
ROBERTS, CYRUS P.
RUNK, ABRAHAM S.
RUNK, LEAH
RUSSIAVILLE, INDIANA
SANDERS, FRANK
SANDERS, ROY
SANDERS, WILLIAM F.
SCHILLING, A. C.
SCHULTZ ESTATE
SCOTT, DESSIE E.
SEWARD, MAY
SHAW, J. M.
SHAW, ROSA
SHERWIN, E. W.
SHILLING, A. C.
SIMPSON, LYDIA
SIMS, ELIZ. OLLAR
SMALL, ORA
SNODGRASS, JESSE
SNODGRASS, VIVIAN
SNODGRASS, VIVIAN L.
SOMMER, D. D. MRS.
SPENCER, FRED
STAFFORD, IRA P.
STANLEY, CHARLES C.
STANLEY, CHARLEY C.
STOMS, PERRY
STOMS, PERRY E.
STOOPS, IRVIN
STOUT, JONATHAN L.
STOUT, L. W. & SON
STOUT, LEO WALLACE
STOVER, MERCER
SUIT, L. D. LUCY
SUIT, THOS. C.
SWENN, DON
SWOPE, ALMA
TAYLOR, ALBERT L. & WIFE DORA A. CLARK
TAYLOR, RALPH
TEDLOCK, NELSON E.
THOMAS, LINDLEY S.
THOMPSON, E. E. & W. S.
THOMPSON, ELSIE
TINGLE, C. K. & MARY J.
TRENARY, ANDY
TRENARY, JOHN A.
TUCKER, MARY E.
TURNER, MART
UNGER, GEO.
UNGER, H. M.
UNGER, HENRY M.
UNGER, OLIVER C.

WADDELL, EMMA B.
WADDELL, FRANK
WAGNER PROPERTY
WAKELAND, WM.
WALTER, IDA M. & PEARL T.
WARDEN, W. H.
WARREN, ROSS W.
WATSON, LAWRENCE E.
WEBB, NORVAL
WELCHER, CYRUS C.
WHITE, CHAS. A.
WILBUR, FOUTCH
WISEHART, WILLIS
WOOD, ORVILLE
WOODS, A. P.
WOODY, GEO. L.
WOODY, J. L.
WRIGHT, FRANKLIN R.
WRIGHT, GEO. W.
WYRICK, ANDREW
WYSON, JACOB F.
YOUNKIN, JAY
ZELLMAN, GEORGE
ZOLLMAN, JULIUS

SULLIVAN IN

PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF SULLIVAN

HARRIS, JOHN M.

TRAFALGAR IN

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF TRAFALGAR

APPLEGATE, ETHEL
BELL, LORA
DAMOSCHO, MARY
DICKINSON, MARGARET
FEATHERNGILL, SHIRLEY G.
GILLASPY, JAMES A.
GLIDDEN, MILES ESTATE OF
PARIS, BASIL H.
PARSLEY, WM. E.
PETTIT, LIDA JANE
PETTIT, LIDA STEVENS
PORTER, G. M.
RODES, R. N.
SMYSER, CECIL
TAGGART, JOHN W.
UTLEY, J. C.
VAN CAMPS
WHITE, GEORGE I.

VINCENNES IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VINCENNES

EWING, WILLIAM F. JR.

WARREN IN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WARREN

ANDREW, LIZZIE
BYER, CLARK
POWELL, ADDIE
POWELL, LIZZIE
STEPHENS, JOSEPH
TOMPKINS, VINT

BELOIT KS

THE UNION NATIONAL BANK OF BELOIT

HARRINGTON, LOUISE
STOFFELS, CARL

COLONY KS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COLONY

SWIMMING POOL FUND

ELLSWORTH KS

THE CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK OF ELLSWORTH

REEF, OSCAR

LA HARPE KS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LA HARPE

ECKELBERRY, W. L.
GREEN, S. M.

MARYSVILLE KS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARYSVILLE

KOSSOW, E. H.

MOLINE KS

THE MOLINE NATIONAL BANK

O'BRIEN, KATHLEEN

PITTSBURG KS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURG

AMBLER, G. S. MRS.
JOHNSTON, DAVID
PHELPS, LESTER M.
RICHARDSON, F. E.

BURNSIDE KY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURNSIDE

BAUGH, EVA WEST
BELL, MINNIE
BRADSHAW, F. E.
CAMPBELL, LOUIS
CHAPMAN, WM. T.
CHILDERS, RANEY
CHILDERS, WILLIAM
CLINE, MACE
FLOYD, JOHN
GARLAND, SARAH
GOFF, ANDREW WARREN
HALCOMB, NELLIE J.
MANN, A. J.
MANN, J. A.
MCMULLEN, ELVEN
PENNINGTON, EFFIE MRS.
PHILLIPS, J. H.
PORCH, W. B.
SULLIVAN, FRANK
TAYLOR, HAYS
THE BURNSIDE OIL CO.
YOUNG, J. W.

CORBIN KY

THE WHITLEY NATIONAL BANK-OF CORBIN

ADAMS, LAURA
ADKINS, JOE
ALSIP, EVERETT
BAILEY, T. W.
BAKER, LEONARD
BAYS, W. D.
BOND, I. M.
BRESLIN & DURRETT
BUNCH, H. C.
BUSTLE, WALTER
CRAWFORD, W. H.
CUMBERLAND TELEGRAPH INSTITUTE
CUMMINS, A. L.
CUMMINS, LEE
DAVIDSON, E. W.
DELPH, J. M.
DUNN, H. M.
HAMBLIN, A. J.
HART, JOHN W.
MAGEE, SAM D.
MARTIN, HUGH
MARTIN, HUGH & CLYDE
MARTIN, NANCY
MATTHEWS, HELEN
MCCREARY, JAS.
MILLS, J. G.
MITCHELL, J. H.
MOORE, CALELE MRS.
PAYNE, W. A.
PHIPPS, ERNEST
POPE, W. H.
RIDNER, HANNAH MRS.
ROOT, FOSTER
SHARP, GEORGE MRS.
SMITH, DIANA
STANIFER, W. P.
TAYLOR, REED
TIPTON, J. M.
WALKER, WILMOT L.
WATKINS, JAS. T.
WILLIAMSON, E. W.

FULTON KY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FULTON

RONEY, F. M.

GLASGOW KY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GLASGOW

ALEXANDER, ROBERT O.
BAKER, B. C.
BASHAM, C. M. & LOULA
BASHAM, W. H.
BOGIE, FLORENCE
BOGIE, FLORENCE MRS.
BOWLES, BOB L.
BRITT, W. T.
BURGESS, GUS
CALDWELL, MARY MOSS MRS.
CHAMBERLIN, JULIA MRS.
CHAMBERS, M. D.
COOMER, PERRIAN
CRENSHAW, H. T.
CRENSHAW, J. F.
CUMMINS, FRED P.
DEARING, J. M.
DELK, W. D.
DEPP, L. P.
DEWEESE, BESS
DODSON, L. E.
ELLIS, SALLIE MRS.
FRANCIS, W. C.
GASS, JOHN A.
GIBBS, CHRISTINE (EUBANK)
GREER, CLAUDIUS D.
GREER, J. H.
HARLIN, MILTON
HARSTON, T. F.
ICE, V. E.
JEWELL, G. M.
JONES, L. L.
KENTUCKY OIL CO.
KINNAIRD, T. E.
KINSLOW, CURD
MAAHS, J. A.
MANN, J. H.
MANSFIELD BROS.
MANSFIELD, ELIZA TOBIN
MANSFIELD, J. T.
MARSHALL, CHARLIE
MARTIN, ALEC
MARTIN, JAMES OSCAR
MATTHEWS, LILLIE B. MRS.
MAUK, MARTHA MRS.
MCMINN, JESSE
NICHOLS, J. R. MRS.
NUCKOLS, J. J.
OWENS, E. H.
PEDEN, CHARLIE
PENNINGTON, WALTER
READ, J. W.
RODGERS, R. B.
RYAN, C. M. ESQ.
SHARP, W. S.
SIMPSON, C. S.
SINNING, C. E.
SKELTON, J. H.
SMILEY, DAN
SMITH, E. H.
SMITH, J. W. ESTATE OF
STEFFEY, R. C.
TAYLOR, U. G. ET AL
THOMAS, J. G.
TRIGG, WILL
WADE, LETA A.
WALTON, LEONARD
WARDER, E. E.
WHEELER, T. S.
WHITE & SMITH
WILEY, J. H.
WILKINSON, J. R.
WITTY, E.

PADUCAH KY

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF PADUCAH

CROTZER, E. G.
PIPPIN, KATIE MRS.
WALKER, W. E.
WARFORD, KITTIE

PINEVILLE KY

THE BELL NATIONAL BANK OF PINEVILLE

ALDER, FRED
ASHER, JAS. F.
ASHER, ROBERT D.
BINGHAM, W. C.
BRADLEY, JOE
BROGAN, EVA MRS.
BROUGHTON, HENRY
BROUGHTON, HENRY ADMR.
CONSOLIDATED BAKING CO.
DRAKE, HEATH
ELLIOTT, R. B.
GIANNINI, B. E.
HENDERSON, JAS.
HILL, ESTER
HOPKINS, HARVE
HOSKINS, J. R.
HUBBARD, ARTHUR
JACKSON, F. A.
JOHNSTON, R. E.
KACKLEY, LORING C. & MRS.
LAWSON, HENRY
LAYNE, TAYLOR
LOCK, HOWARD
LOWERY, WM. C.
MAY, G. C.
MILLS, W. M.
NEENER, L. T.
OSBORNE, TOM
OVERTON, MILLARD M.
OWENS, E. P.
PINEVILLE STEAM LAUNDRY
RICHARDSON, LEE R.
SAYLOR, J. H.
SIMPSON, SOL C.
SIZEMORE, JAMES
STARBUCK, D. E.
STEWART, J. M.
STROUD, ROMIE
STRUNK, A. C.

ELTON LA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELTON

BERTRAND, OPHELIAN
BERTRAND, SOLOMON
BREAUX, DULVA
BREAUX, VORIES
CONNER, ABRA
DARBONNE, DEUS
DAVIS, J. D.
DOISE, LAURA TREME
EASTWOOD, CHARLES WESLEY
FRUGE, MIGUEL
FRUGE, OPHELIA
FRUGE, OZEMEN
GARY, AMBROISE & MARY
JOHNSON, JESSE
LAFLEUR, JOHN AMOS
LANGLEY, ERNEST
LANGLEY, EUPHEMIE
LANGLEY, MARIUE
LANGLEY, S. V.
MANUEL, CYPRIEN
MERCANTEL, ALBERT
MERCANTEL, OZEME
MYERS, JULES
ORTEGO, LUMA
OSCAR, RICHARD
ROBERTSON, B. R.
SANDERS, THEODORE
SHAW, HARRY
SKINNER, W. M.
SPEARS, HENRY
THIBODEAUX, FELIX
THIBODEAUX, RUDOLPH

OBERLIN LA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OBERLIN

DESMOND, WASHINGTON JOHNSON
MCCOLLISTER, E. P.
MOTT, TELLIS

ATHOL MA

THE ATHOL NATIONAL BANK

AYER, DEAN W.
DRURY, LILLIAN W.
LEE, EARLE G.
RAYMOND, S. P.

ATHOL MA

THE MILLERS RIVER NATIONAL BANK OF ATHOL

SAWYER, BURNSIDE E.

BOSTON MA

BOSTON-CONTINENTAL NATIONAL BANK

BERG, HARRY
BERRY, PERLEY SAMUEL
BROTHERHOOD INVESTMENT CO.
BROWN, FRANK L.
BURNHAM, IRWIN W.
CARVER, ABRAHAM C.
COHEN, ESTHER, TR. FOR THEODORE COHEN
COLLINS, JAMES J.
COREY, MARJORIE D. FOR FRANCIS COREY
CUNNINGHAM, JAMES
DELANEY, RICHARD F.
FIELD, ROLAND S.
FISHER, HENRY
FOLEY, JOSEPH P. OR FOLEY P. J.
FULLER, L. N.
GOLDEN, LEO
GOLDMAN, S. H.
HENNESSY CO., CHARLES F.
HUMPHRIES, JAMES W.
HURWITZ, WILLIAM
JACOB, JOHN WESLEY
JONES, EDNA E. (NOW MRS. F. C. ADAMS)
JOYCE, GERTRUDE M.
KATZ, M.
KENDALL, ALICE MRS.
LAMBERT, GEORGE H.
LOMBARDI, SOSSIO
LONG, HERMAN M.
LYNCH, JOHN W.
MACMILLAN, ALEXANDER
MALAMPHY, JAMES F.
MARS, ETHEL
OSTROWS, LILLIAN
PERKINS, WM. B.
POWERS, LAWRENCE
REED, ARTHUR H.
RILEY, JOHN F.
SALETSKY, ELLA
SALVIN, CHARLES
SANTOS, DOROTEO
SCHNEIDERMAN, LOUIS
SEELEY, BEATRICE C.
SHUMAN, MORRIS
SILVERMAN, ANNA M.
SIMPSON, ELSIE C.
SMEDDY, WARREN E.
STALER, ALEX M.
STICKNEY, ERBELL
TRUE, LARRY B.
VECCHIO, ANTONIO
WALKER PRODUCTS
WEISS, EVELYN
WERRICK, BENJAMIN
WOLFSON, J. J.
YENES, J.
YOUNG, ARTHUR P.

BOSTON MA

FEDERAL NATIONAL BANK OF BOSTON

ANTUNUCCIO, ANGELO
ARTHUR, LAWRENCE A.
AUERBACH, THEODORE H.
B AND G REALTY CO.
BAGNALL, THOMAS H.
BALLARD, JAMES F.
BARRY, JOSEPH L.
BENNETT, EDWARD C.
BLANCHARD, HENRY D.
BUCKLEY, JOHN F.
BURKE, KATHERINE T.
BURNS, JAMES J.
BURROUGHS, C. M.
BUTMAN, F. R. AND ABBIE
BYRNES, MARY S.
CAMPBELL, JOHN RAY
CANTOR, LILLIAN
CARNEY, GEORGE L.,CARNEY ELEANOR J.
CARPENTER, JOHN
CARTIER, IRENE MISS
CARY, JAMES FREEMAN
CHAMPION LABORATORIES INC.
CHANDLER MOTORS OF NEW ENGLAND
CLEMENTS, ALBERT A.
COE, CHARLES F.
COFFEY, JOHN B.
COLEMAN, JOSEPH E.
COLEMAN, SUMMER E.
COLLINS, JOHN
COOMBS, H. F.
COTTON, RICHARD W.
CROWLEY, HEIRS OF CATHERINE
DAVIS, WALTER W.
DECKLEBOIM, HYMAN
DENTON, EBEN
DEVINE, KATHERINE R.
DILAURO, KATIE MRS.
DODGE, ESTATE OF IRVING P.
DODGE, IRVING P.
DONAHUE, THERESA R.
EGGEMOGGIN FISH CO.
EQUITABLE REALTY CO. LEO DENUNZIO, PRES
FALLON, JOHN MRS.
FINKELSTEIN, SIDNEY
FINN, KATHERINE T.
FLAHERTY, DELIA
FLAHERTY, MARGARET C.
FLOWERS, FRED P.
FLOWERS, KATHERINE A.
FLYNN, THOMAS R.
FORDCYE, EDMUND A.
FORTESQUE, ESTATE OF JAMES
FRENCH, WALTER S.
GATLEY, JOHN F.
GIBBONS, CATHERINE HELEN
GORMAN, MARY EDNA
GRAVES, JANE E. MRS. (OR GREAVES)
GRAY, JOSEPHINE A.
HARRINGTON FIRE EXT. FIRE PROOFING CO
HASKELL, WILLIAM W.
HEMMENWAY, CHARLES H.
HIGGINS, DANIEL P.
HIRSCHMAN, HARRY MRS.
HOLMANN, SYLVESTER
HOPKINS, CHESTER A.
HYMAN, MAX AND SADIE
ICEBERG SALES CO.
INFANTINO, EBBA K. E.
J. M. KIRBY CO. INC.
JACOBS, ANNA H.
JOYCE, HARRY
KAUFMAN, ROBERT LOUIS
KIMBALL, ROBERT M.
KRAMER, TILLY
LEIGHTON, E. H.
LOWTHER, KATHERINE E.
LYDIARD, J. P.
MAHER, DOROTHY M.
MAHONEY, JOHN A.
MATTAPAN PRINT SHOP
MAXWELL, MARGARET
MCGILLICUDDY, THOMAS F.
MCKINLEY, GERTRUDE
MENDELSOHN, A.
MINER, EMILY D.
MORGAN, EDITH RICE MRS.
MORTON HARDWARE CO.
MUDGETT, ALBERT
NATURAL ABRASIVES CORP.
OCCIDENTAL DEVELOPMENT CO.
OLSON, JOHN P.
OPPENHEIM, HAROLD A. J.
OSGOOD, O. H.
PARKER, ELEANOR M.
PAROSKWOPULUS, DEMETRCOS
PAYNTER, CLIFFORD J.
POPE, H. F.
PORTER, LOUISE L.
QUIRK, LOUISE MADELINE
REED, MAURICE L.
REUBEN, H.
RICHARD, STEPHEN J. & MARGARET (JOINT)
ROBB, HERMAN O.
ROBB, WILLIAM L.
ROCHE, CHARLES J.
ROTTENBERG, LENA
RYAN, JOSEPH
SAVEL, CELIA
SAVOY ASSOCIATES
SEGALL, JACOB
SHERIN, MARY APP
SILL, HOWARD E.
SLATTERY, WM. L.
SMITH, CHARLOTTE
SPARKS, MYRON WILLIAM
STANTON, F. C.
STARR MACHINE CO.
STEINGARDT, ALLEN G.
STERNBERG, ALEXANDER
STRETTON, HAROLD E.
SUFFA, MARGARET H.
SUTHERLAND, S. FRANKLIN
SZANLIS, ALEXANDER
THAYER, FRANK H.
THOMPSON, FRANK A.
TILDEN, CALVIN S. ADMR.
TURNQUIST, IDA
TURNQUIST, B. D.
WALSH, WINIFRED
WARSHAW, HATTIE
WELLS, ALICE
WHITE, JULIA
WIGLEY, FLORENCE S.
WILLIAMS, E. M.
WILLIAMS, F. W.
WILSON, JULIA F.
WISE, BERTHA F.
YOUNG, ARTHUR P.

HAVERHILLE MA

THE ESSEX NATIONAL BANK OF HAVERHILLE

BARRY, BENJ.
BLAISDELL, ROSE B.
BLOOM, BENJ.
CARROLL, DORA L.
CHASE, EDWIN W.
CONSALUS, HELEN F.
DOESCHER, MARION
DUPREY, DONALD J. MR. & MRS.
DURANT, HARRY E. MR. AND MRS.
FAIRSERVICE, KATHERINE
FLAGG, MARY W.
GILCREAST, MILDRED F.
HULL, WILLIAM A.
LOWD, ARTHUR H.
LUNCH, PURITAN
MACK, WALTER B.
MAILLOUX, H. F. MRS.
MAILLOUX, HENRY F.
MARBLE, L. EUGENE
PERKINS, DORIS F.
PETERS, H. J.
ROGERS, GUY H. OR ROGERS, MRS.
TAILLON, MAHLON L.
ZOGAS, PETER N.

LOWELL MA

THE MIDDLESEX NATIONAL BANK OF LOWELL

ALLARD, JOSEPH J.
GIAVIS, URANIA
MURPHY, MARY A.
O'BRIEN, JAMES J.
ROARK, FRANK J.
SHAIN, BENJAMIN F.
WESTON, SIMON

LYNN MA

STATE NATIONAL BANK IN LYNN

ATLANTIC HOUSE WRECKING COMPANY
BUCKLEY, FRED B.
CARRITE & SHERRY
COX, GEORGE H. JR.
EASTERN TEXTILE SUPPLY COMPANY
FEINBERG, RAYMOND OR LENA
FLEMING, A. W.
FLEMING, HOWARD C.
JOHNSON, EDWIN F. TRUSTEE
PHILBIN, ESTHER F.
ROSS, MAURICE J. OF I. ROSENTHALL
STODDARD, J. E.
THISTLE, GERTRUDE E.
WILSON, MAURICE M.

FROSTBURG MD

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF FROSTBURG

AVERY, H. B.
FINZEL, P. E.
KARAS, JAMES
LEWIS, WILLIAM
MCKENNA, PATRICK E.
PHILLIPS, ANNA E.
VANNUCCI, LOUIS
VERBISK, JOE

FROSTBURG MD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FROSTBURG

BRECKENBAUGH, MAY EVA
BROWN, PHIL
BURNS, MARY A. MRS.
FLYNN, KATHERINE V.
GOEBEL, WILLIAM
HAUPT, HELEN
KEATING, JOHN
KROUSE, JOHN
PROUT, JAMES
SACKS, REGINA MRS.
SNYDER, MARY A. P. MRS.
TERAN, MARY MRS.
TIPPEN, GEORGE

HAGERSTOWN MD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HAGERSTOWN

STOUFFER, F. J.

CALAIS ME

THE CALAIS NATIONAL BANK

HYRUP, ANDREW
LOGAN, MARION

CARIBOU ME

THE CARIBOU NATIONAL BANK

MARTIN, J. ANTOINE
ROY, PATRICK I.
SHEPARD, JOHN M.

PRESQUE ISLE ME

THE PRESQUE ISLE NATIONAL BANK

LANDRY, FRANK

VAN BUREN ME

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VAN BUREN

COTE, BENJAMIN
CYR, DENIS P.

ALLEGAN MI

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ALLEGAN

BLY, J. GARNER

CHEBOYGAN MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHEBOYGAN

BROVEGE, FRANK
BROVEGE, MARTIN (DECEASED)
GOUINE, NELSON
HACKELBERG, WM. JR.
HARRINGTON, C.
HEINECKE, WALTER GEO.
MAGEAU, E.
ROGERS, RALPH
TOMLINSON, M.
VORCE, H. G.
WALKER, RICHARD & ESTELLA

CRYSTAL FALLS MI

THE CRYSTAL FALLS NATIONAL BANK

JOHANSON, HJALMAR

DETROIT MI

FIRST NATIONAL BANK

ACME CLAY PRODUCTS CO.
ACME LUMBER COMPANY
ACME PAPER COMPANY
ADVANCE SANITATION CO. WEBER & PITCHER
AINSWORTH, GRACE L.
ALBEE, WILLIAM N.
ALDEN, H. W.
ALDRICH, HENRY M. & SADIE
ALDRICH, RAYMOND S. & LILLIAN
ALLAN, ROBERT M., ET AL
ALLEN, HENRY & SONS
ALPORT, H. W.
AMERICAN LUMBER & COAL COMPANY
AMERICAN REDUCTION CO.
AMES, WM. E.
ANDERSON, HARRY P.
ANDREWS, FRED W.
ANDRUS, FRANK D.
ANGELL, HARRY S.
ARCORI, FRANK
ARMSTRONG, RUTH P.
ARUFFO, ERNEST G.
AUSABLE HOLDING CORP.
AUTOMOTIVE MAINTENANCE CO.
AVAIATION LAND TRUST CO.
BACKUS CREEK HUNT CLUB
BAILEY, L. E.
BAIRD MACHINERY CO., W. J.
BAKER, GLEN A.
BALDWIN, JANE R.
BALLHEIM, RAYMOND H.
BARBOUR, MARGARET C. (MRS. W.T.B.)
BARIE, W. L.
BARKER, P. P.
BARRETT, MINNIE
BARTON, HILA J.
BASCH COMPANY
BATCHELDER-WASMUND CO.
BEACH COMPANY, INC. GEO. H.
BEAUCHAMP, STEPHEN J.
BEAVER PULP & PAPER CO.
BECHENHAUSER, W. C.
BEDNARD, WALTER A.
BEHRENDT, WALTER A.
BELENSKY, JACOB & ANNA
BENSON, THEO. W.
BERKOVITZ, MAX & CLARA
BERMAN, SOL.
BERNSTEIN, MILTON
BERRY BROS.
BERRY RUG COMPANY
BETTER VALUE HOMES INC.
BEYER COAL COMPANY, H. A.
BEYER, AUGUSTUS Q.
BIELMAN, CHARLES F. JR.
BIERNAT, MARIE B.
BILLIEKIN, A. I.
BLANCK, WILLIAM
BLEYER, EDWARD J.
BLITZ, JOHN K.
BOETTCHER, EMIL & IDA
BOLTON, WILLIAM S.
BONDY, ROSE MRS.
BOSTON PIANO & MUSIC COMPANY
BOTSFORD, HENRY E.
BOWEN, CHAS. C.
BRADLEY, JEANETTE S.
BREITMEYER'S SONS JOHN
BRENNAN, FRANK M. MRS.
BRETHEN, ARTHUR J. & MAGGIE MAE
BROOKS & FRASER
BROWN, BENSON E.
BROWN, CLARENCE J.
BROWN, FANNY
BRUDER, ALBERT A.
BRUNK, W. C.
BRYANT, J. W., JR.
BULKLEY, HOWARD W.
BURGESS, CLYDE C.
BURGIEL, ARTHUR
BURKE, MARION M.
BURTON, FRED
BURTON, LOUIS
BUSH, BENJAMIN J. & MAE JULIA
BUSH, WENDELL H.
C.C. PECK & CO., BANKERS, SMITH'S CREEK
CADILLAC WALL PAPER CO.
CADY, GUY B.
CALHOUN, JOHN C.
CAMPBELL, ABBIE P.
CAMPBELL, ALLEN
CAMPBELL, JAMES B.
CAMPBELL, WINIFRED
CANADIAN BANK OF COMMERCE, NIAGARA FALLS
CAPON, MARION L.
CARLTON WILCOX, INC.
CARTER, MAY PARKER
CARTER, VIVIAN J.
CENTRAL CONTRACT COMPANY
CENTRAL IRON FOUNDRY, INC.
CHAMES, HARRY
CHAMPION, IVON R.
CHATTERTON & SON
CHRIS-CRAFT CORP.
CHRISTMAN-BURKE CO. H. G.
CITIZENS BANK OF BEAR LAKE
CITY GLASS COMPANY
CLAIR, FLORENCE R.
CLARK, JAMES E. & CLARA M.
CLEMENTS & SNOWHOOK
CLIFF, FRANCIS V.
COHEN, LEONARD
COHN, SIDNEY
COLEMAN, RHEA A.
COLEMAN, S. S.
COLWELL BROS. INC.
COMMONWEALTH BRASS CORPORATION
CONERTON, SADIE
CONNOR, KIRKE W.
COOLEY, WINIFRED
COONEY, EDW. B.
CORBETT, PAUL D.
COUNTY CLERK, KING COUNTY, SEATTLE, WA
COURIE, W. F.
CRACCHIOLA, JAMES
CRAIG, WM. F.
CRAINE, WM. S. & FREDERICK N.
CRAWFORD, MILO H.
CRYSLER, CLIFF C. & FERROL
CUCCHI, LOUISA MRS.
CURTIS, EVERETT B.
DAESTER, CHARLES J.
DALEY, JOHN N.
DANIELS, ANN E.
DANT LUMBER COMPANY, JAMES A.
DEAN & SHERK CORP.
DEBO, W. A.
DEFAULT, A. C.
DETROIT CUT STONE COMPANY
DETROIT REDUCTION CO.
DETROIT SONORA MINING COMPANY
DETROIT VAPOR STOVE CO.
DEYONKER, ARTHUR F.
DIMICK, STELLA L. TUTTLE
DIVER, J. M.
DOMBROWSKI, HENRY A.
DONGAN ELECTRIC MFG. CO.
DOOR-MOTIVE CORPORATION
DOREMUS, TOM O.
DOW, CHARLES F.
DOW, WILLIAM M.
DOYLE, SYDNEY E.
DRAKE, ALBERT C.
DREXELIUS, PETER
DUNHAM, CURTIS G.
DUSCHTNSKY, JACOB
DWELLEY, GEO. M. INC.
DWORETT, HERMAN B.
DWYER, MADGE R. EXECUTRIX OF J. M. DWYER
DYAR, ESTATE OF JOHN W.
DYE, FRED
DYER, JOHN D.
DYER, KATHERINE L. (TRUSTEE)
EAST, B. R.
EBNER, DAVID
ECONOMICAL-CUNNINGHAM DRUG STORES, INC.
EDWARDS, JOHN W.
EHRLICH, JOSEPH H.
ELLIS, EDITH BAISCH
ENGEL, JOHN L.
ENGELS, AL.
ENGLANDER CO.
ENTERPRISE TOOL & GEAR CORPORATION
EVANS AUTO LOANDING CO.
EVANS PIPE CO.
EVANS, E. S.
EVANS, P. T.
EVERHART, C. C.
EVERITT, G. D.
EVERTON ENGRAVING CO.
FAIRBAIRN HOTEL COMPANY
FAIRBANK, CARL S.
FALCONER, S.
FARR, W. H. & ELEANOR
FAUMAN, DAVID H.
FEARNLEY, ROY T.
FECTEAU, GEORGE
FEDERAL STEEL CORP.
FEIERABEND, FRED C. & EDITH A.
FEINBERG, HARRY M.
FEINTUCK, MORRIS
FINCK, WM. M. & COMPANY
FIRST BANK OF COOK COUNTY, ADEL, GA.
FIRST NATIONAL BLDG. CORP. OF ROYAL OAK
FISHER, CHARLES T., JR.
FISHER, HARVEY
FISHER, JAMES G.
FITZGERALD, DEBORAH
FITZGERALD, J. A.
FITZPATRICK, ERMA & EDWIN
FLEMING, ARTHUR
FLEMING, ARTHUR H.
FLINTLOCK CORPORATION
FOPPIANA, ANNA P.
FORD HEIGHTS LAND CO.
FORD, J. B., JR.
FRANKLIN PRESS & OFFSET COMPANY
FRASER, GEO. P.
FRENCH, WILLARD S.
FREUD, I. C.
FREUD, ISADORE C.
FREUD, M.
FRIEND & COMPANY, INC. CHARLES
FRY, VERNON C.
FULLER, J. D.
GARRITY, ROBERT H.
GASSEL, ROSE
GASTON, EDWARD H.
GEDERT, JOHN J.
GENSER, BENJ.
GESELL, HERMAN G.
GIES, FRED T.
GIES, RAYMOND J.
GILL, REUBEN O.
GILLESPIE & SULIBURK, INC.
GINSBURG & COMPANY FRED A.
GLEIM, ROBERT
GOLDBERG, ALBERT
GOLDMAN, A. S.
GOLDMAN, HARRY, TRUSTEE
GOLDMAN, LEAH
GOLDMAN, MORSE
GOODRICH, IRA J.
GRABOWSKI, THOMAS H.
GRANT, MAX MONTEX CORP.
GRANT, W. S.
GRANT, WM. JR.
GRASSER MOTOR COMPANY
GRASSER, JOSEPH M.
GREEN LAKE IMPROVEMENT CO.
GREEN, SAM
GRIMES, BERNICE TORREY
GRIMES, EDWIN L.
GROSSE ILE SCHOOL DIST. NO. 1
GROSSE POINTE FARMS, VILLAGE OF
GROSSE POINTE PARK, VILLAGE OF
GROSSFELD, JOSEPH
GROVES, L. T. MRS.
GUNN, THOS. J.
GUNSBERG, FANNY
GUNSBERG, LOUIS
GUNSBERG, SIGMUND
HAAB, JULIUS W.
HAASS, LILLIAN A.
HAHN COMPANY, WILLIAM A.
HAIGH, R. ALLYN
HALL, MABLE Z. MRS.
HAMEL & COMPANY, JOHN J.
HANCOCK, G. L.
HANDLER, MAXWELL J.
HARRIS, JACQUELINE S.
HARRIS, WM. M.
HASSE, J. C. & SON
HATHAWAY, STANLEY E.
HAWKINS, N. A.
HAYES, CHAS. E. & ROSE F.
HEBB, GEORGE K.
HEDWIG, A.
HEIDEN, H. J.
HEIDEN, HARRY J.
HENDERSON, JOHN W.
HEREFORD, MABEL E. & FRANCIS G.
HEROLD PRINTING CO.
HESS AIRCRAFT CO.
HEWITT, CARRIE C.
HIGBEE, FREDERICA M.
HIGBIE, CARLTON M.
HILLSDALE GROCERY COMPANY
HINSCH, FRED A.
HIRSCHFIELD, JAS.
HOBILL, WILLIAM E.
HOFFER, ROBERT
HORN, ELEANOR & LARRY
HORNING, EDWARD
HOTELS STATLER CO. INC.
HOUSE, S. D.
HOUSEMAN SPITZLEY CO.
HOUSER, D. W.
HOVEY, WILLARD M.
HOWARTH, E. B.
HOWE, BURT D.
HOWELL ELECTRIC MOTORS COMPANY
HUGHES, ANNA CORBIN
HULL, O. C.
HUNT, E. R.
HUNT, E. REED
HURD, GEORGE N.
HUSSEY LUMBER CO., M. H.
HYBLE, JOSEPH
INDREICA, JOHN
INTERNATIONAL SPICE & COFFEE CO.
INTERSTATE STONE COMPANY
IRWIN, D. MAITLAND
JACKSON, WILLIAM J.
JACOBSON, CHARLES D.
JALAN, DAVID
JANSEN MANUFACTURING CO. (W. J. MACLEOD)
JOHNSON, C. J.
JOHNSON, CHAS. B.
JOHNSON, PHYLLIS K.
JOHNSON, RICHARD F.
JONES, ARCHIBALD H.
JONES, JAMES G. EVA
JOY, WALTER R.
JUDSON, FULWELL, ET AL
JUDSON, R. V.
JURRJENS, JOHN J.
JUTERBOCK, WALTER E.

KALLMAN, LEO
KAPLAN, LILLIAN
KATSER, B. G.
KEENA, MYLNE
KELLEY, WALTER N. & ROSE A.
KENDRICK, MAX
KENNEDY, J. D.
KENYON, J. H.
KERNER, MAX B.
KERR DETROIT LETTER CO.
KING COFFEE COMPANY JOHN E.
KING, NATHAN
KLEI, HILDA MARIE
KLEIN GORDON COMPANY
KLINE, CLARENCE
KOETHE, HENRY
KOHNER, HENRY
KOSHEWOY, ANTIP
KOSTOS, JOHN
KOTHE, ALEXANDER, JR.
KRAUS, IGNATZ
KROLIK & CO. INC., A.
KROTKIEWICZ, BENEDICT
KROUSE, QUEENIE B.
KUKES, LOUIS
LALONDE, GREGORY T.
LAPIDES, TILLYE
LATHROP, CHARLES G. & BERTHA C. MRS.
LAWSON, L. M.
LEACH, DONALD A.
LEADER PRESS COMPANY
LEBLINE, HELEN L.
LEDERER MANUFACTURING COMPANY
LEINBACH, L. J.
LEITH & YOUNG
LEITNER & HOPPIN, TRUSTEES
LELAND, THAD E.
LENNANE, WILLIAM E. JR.
LEVIN, JACOB
LEVY, JACOB ISRAEL & JACOB
LIEVOIS, EDW. G.
LINCOLN TOOL & DIE CO.
LIPSITZ, TENA B.
LITTLE, F. JEAN
LITTLE, FAY E.
LOMASNEY, ROBERT E.
LONGE, JOHN H.
LOSEE, MAYNARD A.
LUCZYNSKI, KAROL
LYNCH CONSTRUCTION CO.
LYONS, JAMES W.
MACHINERY CLEARING HOUSE
MACKENZIE, DONALD A.
MACLACHLAN, BERTHA M.
MAHON, R. C.
MAHONEY, UNA N.
MALCOMSON AUTOMATIC STOKER COMPANY
MALONEY, MARGARET D.
MANVILLE, HENRY B.
MARION, AMELIA
MARION, FRANK
MARTIN, HUGH
MARTIN, RALPH W.
MARTIN, RANDALL E.
MARX, GEORGE M.
MASONIC HOME BOARD OF CONTROL
MATCHETT, F. D.
MATHEWS, GEO. W.
MATTHEWS, CHARLES H.
MATTHEWS, WILLIAM A.
MAYES BROTHERS
MCCARTHY, B. G.
MCCLINTOCK, JAMES I.
MCCORMICK, GERTRUDE F.
MCDOUGALL, CLYDE H.
MCGANN, THOS. F.
MCGONEGAL, GEORGE C.
MCGOUGH, ELLA C.
MCGRATH, TIMOTHY P. JR.
MCGUIRE PARK LAND CO.
MCKEE, ROBISON
MCKENNEY, ALLEN S.
MCKEON, JOHN J.
MCMAHON, CHARLES H.
MCMAHON, WILLIAM T.
MCMILLAN, EVELYN M. J.
MCNIERNEY COMPANY, H. O.
MEADON, JOSEPH
MEEKER-RANGELY CONSTRUCTION CO.
MEIER, FRED M.
MERCHANTS LAND CO.
MERRILL, WILLIAM F.
METZGER, WILLIAM E.
MEYERING, VERA E.
MICHELSON, A. E.
MICHIGAN & KENTUCKY COAL CO.
MICHIGAN GROWERS EXCHANGE
MICHIGAN STEEL TUBE PRODUCTS
MILLER, J. A.
MILLER, MILLARD F.
MILLER, RUSSELL G.
MILLIGAN, JOHN
MINKOW, MAX
MIXTER, RODNEY A. ET AL
MOELLER, ELLA E.
MOGFORD, JAMES H.
MONAGHAN, GERALD P.
MONARCH FOUNDRY CO.
MONROE, GEORGE C.
MONROE, LILIAN C.
MOORE FINANCE CORP.
MOORE, W. H.
MOOREHOUSE, ROY J.
MOTOR STATE INSURANCE COMPANY
MUNZ, CHARLES W. & ANNA C.
MURFIN, MAYBELLE C.
MURPHY, NORA MRS.
MURRAY CLOTHING CO.
NATIONAL CONSTRUCTION CO.
NATIONAL MOTHPROOFING CO.
NEAHER, LEONE & LOUISE
NEBEL, EDWIN A.
NELSON, E. W.
NELSON, WALTER M.
NETZORG, MEYER B.
NEWTON, LYLE H.
NICHOLS, RALPH N.
NOBLE, W. H.
NOBLE, WARREN
NORTON, ALBERT H.
NUSSBAUM, NICK A.
O'BRIEN, W. H.
O'NEIL, FRANCIS WILCOX
OBERTEUFFER & MERRIAM
OGEMAW COUNTY ABSTRACT COMPANY
OLD KENT BANK, GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN
OPPENHEIM, DAVID
OSTERHOUS, GEO. C.
PACK, JOHN A. & FRED S.
PALMER COMPANY, LOUIS G.
PALMER, ALFRED W.
PANASUK, JOHN
PARENT, A. E.
PARK, JOHN P.
PASCHKE, LILLIAN & ARTHUR F.
PATTERSON, ARTHUR E.
PAULI, FRANK M., CO.
PEERLESS CEMENT CORP.
PEERLESS PATTERN WORKS
PEOPLES STATE BANK OF BOYNE CITY
PEOPLES STATE BANK OF BUTTERNUT
PERLIS, MILDRED E.
PETZ, LEO A.
PHELPS, F. N.
PHOENIX CLUB
PIERCE, EDGAR S.
PINKERTON, HOWARD
PIOCH, ADELINE E.
PITCHER COMPANY, H. L.
POLESKI, JOHN G.
POLOZKER, I. L.
PORTELL, JOSEPH G.
PRATT, ALBERT S.
PRINGLE FURNITURE CO.
PROGRESSIVE SERVICE WAREHOUSE
PROUD, GRACE E.
PRUSSIAN MACHINERY CO. M.
PRZYBLSKI, STANLEY
PUGH, BLAND A.
QUINN, KATHLEEN M.
QUINT, MAURICE B.
RABAUT ESTATE, LOUIS A.
RAQUET, ETTA E.
RAYMOND, E. P.
RAYMOND, EDWIN P.
RECREATION CO., THE
RED SEAL CLEANERS AND DYERS, INC.
REEVE, WM. S.
REPUBLICAN NATIONAL COMMITTEE
RESSLER, ABE D/B/A INDUS CAP MFG CO.
RETTENMIER, KATHLEEN
REVELT, P. A.
RICHARDSON, LEE
RICHMOND BLDG. CO.
RITCHIE, ARCHER F.
RIVER RAISIN PAPER CO.
ROBERTROY, W. GEORGE
ROBERTSON, FRED
ROBERTSON, GEORGE D.
P.OBINSON, FRED J.
ROBINSON, MCKEE
ROEHRIG, ALBERT WM.
ROGERS, EDWARD H.
ROLLINSON, J. W.
ROSENBERG, SAM
ROSENMAN, IDA
ROSS, JOHN
ROSZEL, W. R.
ROZELLE, GEORGE E.
RUMNEY, MASON P. TRUSTEE
RUSSELL, JOHN A.
SABLE, HERMAN R.
SADOWSKI, GEORGE
SANDLER, AL
SANDLER, JACK
SANDLER, JOE
SAULS, JACOB S. & CO.
SAUNDERS, IRENE E.
SAXE, JOHN E.
SAXTON, MABEL G.
SCHADE, EDWARD O.
SCHECHTER, W. J.
SCHEMMER, ANNA
SCHIFF, MORRIS
SCHMIER, ABE A.
SCHOEN, JOHN
SCHOENHERR, MICHAEL W.
SCHONS, THEODORE M.
SCHRAM, HARRY
SCHULTE, EDNA M.
SCHUMANN, OSCAR W.
SCHWARTZ, MAX
SCOTT, W. J.
SCRUTON, FOSTER D.
SELDON, EDNA K. MRS.
SEYBURN, WESSON
SEYMOUR, D. L.
SHAW, JAMES T.
SHEA, ANNA M.
SHEAHAN, THOS. L.
SHIRLEY, BEN P.
SHRIMPTON, NINA M.
SHUMAN, J. L.
SILBERSTEIN, MARY
SIMMONS, ROSS
SLAK, JOHN (ARIZONA METALS, INC)
SLOAN, SAUL
SMITH, WILLARD A.
SNYDER, LEE H. & BESSIE M.
SOKOLL, IRVIN W.
SORENSON, SAMUEL H.
SPICER, RONALD D.
SPINDLER, LUCILLE M.
SPRAGUE PUBLISHING COMPANY
ST. AMOUR, FRANK L.
ST. MARY'S COLLEGE & ACADEMY
ST. THOMAS APOSTLE PARISH
STANBRO, FREDERICK
STANDARD COMPOSITION COMPANY
STATE BANK OF CORNELL, WISCONSIN
STATLER, ELLSWORTH M.
STEARNS, ALVA
STEINHEISER, C. P. & MINNIE
STEIS, EDW. R.
STERLING, W. C.
STERN, MYRTLE M.
STICKLE, B. A.
STINSON, FRANK
STOCK, JOHN E.
STOEPEL, EDITH SEYBURN
STONE, HARRY
STRAITS OIL CORPORATION
STUTZ, GEO. M.
SUMMERS, ELDON L.
SWAID, VERONICA
SWANSON, HARRY & L. M.
SZAFRAN, MICHAEL A.
TABOR, EVA S.
TADROSS & ZAHLOUTE
TAEPKE, WALTER G.
TAPAGER, ELMER C.
TAPERT, ROBERT T.
THIBAUDEAU, ROSS C.
THISTLE, ESAU
THOMPSON, KATHERINE E.
THOMPSON, MARY D.
THOMSON, A. R.
TILDEN, WM. E. & GEO. M.
TIMASSY, JOHN
TITUS, F. H.
TOBIN, ALBERT
TOCCO, WILLIAM V.
TODD, RUTH W.
TORREY, H. N.
TOWNSEND, JOHN C.
ULLMAN, R. P.
UNDERWOOD, H. C.
UNITED FUEL & SUPPLY COMPANY
UNIVERSITY OF DETROIT ALUMNI ASSOCIATION
US SAVINGS BANK, PORT HURON, MICHIGAN
VANDERPOOL, VALERE J.
VANSICKLE, WM.
VASS, PETER
VASSAR NATIONAL BANK VASSAR, MICHIGAN
VEE CANDIES INC., DOROTHY R.H.
VENETTI, GEORGE & POLYXENE
VENTIMIGLIA, PIETRO
VERNIER, ANNA V.
VERNOR HIGHWAY REAL ESTATE CORP
WALKER COMPANY
WALKER, HARRINGTON
WALKER, LEWIS K.
WALTON, EUGENE & LILLIAN
WARNING, HARRY
WARREN, WADE W. & CLAUDIA R.
WATKINS, KENNETH
WAYNE, ARTHUR L.
WEBB, DWIGHT H.
WEIGEL, CARL A., ADM. FOR HERMAN STOERLE
WEIL & COMPANY
WEINTROB, WILLIAM
WEITZMAN, BENJAMIN
WELCH, JOHN M., SR.
WELLS, MACKEY
WESBROOK LANE REALTY CORPORATION
WESER, GEO.
WETHERBEE, SCHLEE & HANSON
WETSMAN, JOSEPH
WHITE, MARCHKY COMPANY
WHITE, WARD W.
WHITE, WILLIAM D.
WHITTAKER, THOMAS G.
WHITTEMORE, W. M.
WILKINS, ROSS
WILLIAMS COMPANY, J. B.
WILLIAMS, GEO. M. & J. N.
WILLIAMS, JEANETTE G.

WILLIAMS, JESSIE D.
WILSON THEATRE
WILSON, THOMAS & JANE E.
WINKWORTH FUEL & SUPPLY
WITTMER LUMBER CO.
WITTSTOCK, ANTHONY A.
WOOD, GEORGE E.
WORSWICK BROS.
WRIGHT, HAYDEN L.
WYNNE, ROBERT J.
YAKER, WILLIAM
YORK, HARRY J. D/B/A MALENA COMPANY
ZACHARIAS, STANLEY M.
ZEMLA, THEODORE
ZEMMOL, JULIUS
ZIMMERMAN, GEO.
ZITO, MASSA
ZUIEBACK, MEYER

GRAND RAPIDS MI

GRAND RAPIDS NATIONAL BANK

BACH, AUGUSTA
BEADY, DANIEL
FITZPATRICK, A. M. REV.
JUDD, WILLIAM

ITHACA MI

THE ITHACA NATIONAL BANK

PETTIT, C. R.

JACKSON MI

UNION PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF JACKSON

STEVENS, JOSEPHINE OR WILBUR F.

LANSING MI

THE CAPITAL NATIONAL BANK OF LANSING

HART, S. R.
SHEETS, CHARLOTTE E. MRS.

PONTIAC MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AT PONTIAC

FILLINGHAM, NANCY L.
JOYNER, HENRY
TODD, JOHN A.
WAXMAN, M. C. MRS.

REED CITY MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF REED CITY

PEPPLER, EVELYN

ROCHESTER MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROCHESTER

ALLEN, WALTER
AVON TOWNSHIP, OAKLAND COUNTY, MICHIGAN
BRAWNER, J.
BURKHOLDER, R.
BUSHEY, OLIVER T.
CONLEY, JULIUS
CRISSMAN, CLAYTON H.
DUFFIELD, A.
GRAVES, HANNAH
HADDEN, KEZZIE
HARPER, C. S.
HARRIS, ELIZABETH
HARTRICK, LEWIS
HILTON, ALLEN MR. & MRS.
HOPKINS, LESLIE
LIVINGSTON, EVA
LOW, R. E.
MEAD, CHARLEY H.
NEWMAN, S. A.
RENSHAW, JOSEPH B.
RETZLAFF, FRANK A.
SWIFT, NETTIE
TURNER, MARJORIE P.
TYLER, CLIFFORD LEO
YORSTON, ALBERT E.

ROYAL OAK MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROYAL OAK

ABOWITZ, F.
ANDERSON, A. A.
BANK, ELKTON
BASTIAN, M.
BAUMAN, JOSEPH
BERG, HARRY
BERRY, PERCY
BLISS, FOSTER
BOWER, LOUIS E.
CENTRAL GARAGE
CHARTRAND, E. HOWARD
CLARK, WM. C.
CLAWSON LUMBER CO.
CODLING, J. F.
CONNER, CHARLES
DAVIDSON, JAMES B.
DELL, ARTHUR
DONDERO, DONALD F.
DUNN, JOHN W.
EATON, JAMES A.
ELLIS, GUST A.
ELLISON, A. R.
EMIG, A.
ENGLISH, W. A.
ERITY, PEARL M.
FAIGIN & SIEGEL
FRECHETTE, JOHN B.
GANSER, JOSEPH
GOODWIN, JAMES A.
GUSTAFSON, RAY E.
HANSES, PETER
HAWKS, H. H.
HODGE, HAZEL M.
HOUGHTON, LESTER
IRISH, WM. T.
JACKSON, JOHN W.
KARN, WILLIAM
L. P. GUNSON & CO.
LEVY, J. J.
LODGE, HOXIE
MOROTZ, EDNA S.
OUIMETTE, ERNEST S.
OWENS, CLARENCE
PALLISTER, PAUL
PARCELS, LEAH
PETERSON, R. E.
QUINN, C.
RELAFORD, J. F.
RIEL, EUGENE
ROSS, HOWARD M.
ROSS, JAMES
ROYAL OAK MUSIC HOUSE
RUSSELL, CLAUD A.
RYAN, THOMAS M.
SHERWOOD, C. L.
SIMPSON, HARRY
STANDARD LEATHER & FINDINGS
STUMPF, C. M.
SWORDS, OLIVER C.
TAYLOR, S. H. & LENNE
THE NORTHERN GLASS CO.
THE WAVE RADIO CORP.
THOMAS, O. E. MRS.
THOMAS, ROLLIN
TRANKLER, EVERT C.
TROY DEVELOPMENT CO.
WAGNER, W.
WALKER REALTY CO.
WILLIAMS, EDNA M.
YOUNGBLOOD, DENNIS
ZORN, WILHELMINE

SAINT CLAIR SHORES MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ST CLAIR

BECKER, CONRAD

YPSILANTI MI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF YPSILANTI

BENSON, H. G. & GRACE Q.
DIXON, MILTON
EDDY, CLARENCE N.
FAIR, LIBBIE MRS.
FAIRCHILD, CHAS. M.
FERRIS, HARRY D.
GALLUP, MYRTIS MAY
GIBBS, T. C.
GUY DISC VALVE CORP.
HARRINGTON, WM. H.
HIXSON, ETHEL M.
MARTIN, DOWNEY
MOKEM, ROSE E. MRS.
O'CONNOR, CHAS. D.
PIERCE, HAZEL S.
STOUP, ELLA MRS.
THILO, FRED
WHITNEY, C. G.
ZINDLER, JOHN REV.
ZOLD, ED.
ZOLD, HERMAN J.

ALBERT LEA MN

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF ALBERT LEA

ALBERT LEA BRICK & TILE CO.
ALBERTSON, ANDREW
ANDERSON & CAMPION
ANDERSON, A. T.
ANDERSON, HERMAN
ANDERSON, N. C.
ARMSTRONG, AUGUSTUS
ARNFELDT, U. G.
ASHLESON, HANS
AUSTIN, W. H.
BAGAASON, MARTIN
BAGAN, GEO. E.
BAKER, ANNIE
BERG, JULIAN J.
BERTRAMSON, NELS
BLAKESLEY, C. E.
BRIDLEY, BOTTOLF O.
BROWN, T. N.
BURTIS, J. L.
CAMP, BERT
CARLSON, W. A.
CARR, L. P.
CARTER, F. F.
CHAPMAN, FRANK E.
CHRISTENSEN, CHARLES
CHRISTENSEN, H. W.
CHRISTENSEN, LOUIS
CHRISTENSEN, N. P.
CHRISTENSON, P. O. MR. & MRS.
CLAYWELL, A. E.
COHN AND GOSS
COLE, HARRY H.
COUNTRY CLUB
CRETSINGER, HENRY
DAHLE, MIKKEL O.
DAVIES, F. L.
DROMMERHAUSEN, CHAS.
DUGGAN, MICHAEL
DWYER, PATRICK H.
EKEDAL, K. P.
ELLEGAARD, GILBERT
ELLINGSON, IVER
ELLIS, NETTEI MRS.
ERIKSEN, CAROLINE M. & JOHN
ESPERSON, G.
ESPING, HANNA MRS.
FJARA, PETER
FLAMME, FRED
FLINDT, LILLIAN A.
FOLIE, JOHN L.
FONDA, E. S.
FORREST, IDA A. MRS.
FRISKE, E. O. MRS.
GOSS BROS.
GUDAHL, PETER
GUNDERSON, OTTO CO.
HALVORSON, M.
HANSON, A. M.
HANSON, ALBERT
HANSON, C. A.
HANSON, JANE
HANSON, WALTER
HAUGE, ADOLF C.
HAUGSVER, ANNE
HAUGSVER, MARTIN
HAUSSNER, J. A.
HAVNERAS, PETER O.
HELLAND, SAM
HELM, E. R.
HENRICHS, H. G.
HENRICKSON, EMIL
HENRY, E. J.
HIGBEE, M. R.
HOLM, OLE A.
HOLMGREN, ANNA
HOPKINS, G. W.
HORNING, W. H.
HOVERSON, SELMER A.
HOWSER, GEORGE
HURD, F. C.
IVERSON, J. S.
JAKOVITZ, AUG.
JENSEN, ALBERT L.
JENSEN, ERNEST D.
JENSEN, H. R.
JENSEN, HANS
JENSEN, J. P.
JOHNSON, A. A.
JOHNSON, AXEL W.
JOHNSON, OTIS
JOHNSON, PETER G.
JOHNSRUD HEIRS, ANNA
JORGENSEN, JOHN
JORGENSON, M. C.
KELLY, P. J. AND KATE S.
KENEVAN, E. D.
KNUDSON, L. O.
KRUEGER, A. A.
KUETHE, WM.
LAKAUS, A. T.
LARSON, ANTON
LARSON, CARL
LARSON, DORA MRS.
LARSON, JOHN P.
LATHUM, WARREN
LAUER, H. W.
LEARY, JAMES P., MRS.
LEIN, ELMER H.
LINDBERG, WM.
LOUIS, LYLE
LOVIK, MARTIN
LUCE, M. M. MRS.
LUND, CHRISTIAN
LUTH, W. F.
MADISON, CHRISTIAN & ALFRED
MCCORMICK, MIKE
MCDONALD, J. W.
MCGANN, MARY MRS.
MELLANG, ANFIN G.
MELLANG, OLE A.
MIDJI, C. I. ESTATE
MILLER, C. E.
MILLER, ELIZABETH
MODERN WOODMEN OF AMERICA
MOE, JOHN T.
MOLSTAD, IDA
MURPHY, J. W.
MYRLAND, HERMAN L.
NARVESON, CAROLINE
NELSON, A. B.
NELSON, A. J.
NELSON, CHRIS
NELSON, E. A.
NELSON, F. G.
NELSON, J. E. MRS.
NELSON, JOHN
NELSON, MARTIN
NELSON, MARY MRS.
NELSON, N. L.
NELSON, NEAMIAH & SMITH
NELSON, NELS H.
NELSON, OLE
NELSON, SEVERT P
NELSON, WM.
NIELSEN, R. P. MRS.
NILSON, WILL P.
NOEL, O.
OLSON AND SWENSON
OLSON, ALFRED
OLSON, G. A.
OLSON, JAMES
OLSON, JOHN W.
OLSON, LEONARD
OLSON, M. L.
OLSON, MARTIN
PAINE, H. A.
PAULSON, NELS J.
PETERSON, E. E.
RHOD, NEILS CLAUS
RINGHAM, THEO.
RYNERSON, R.
SCHNEIDER, ARTHUR L.
SCHNEIDER, IRVIN
SCHNEIDER, WALTER
SHARP, A. L.
SIMONSON, CHARLES
SORENSON, CHRISTIAN
STADHEIM, E. W.
STADHEIM, L. MRS.
STROMMEN, CHRISTIAN G.
TANGEN, NELS
THOMPSON, F. C.
THOMPSON, JENS
THOMPSON, SEVERT A.
THOMS, WALTER
THORSON, ASLE
TOBIE, E. L.
TORGESON, THEO.
TROGSTAD, HENRY A.

TRYTTEN, O. C.
VOLD, SUSIE J.
WHITNEY, ALBERT
WIDEMAN, FRANK E.
WOODS, J. P.
WOODWARD, D. W.
ZOOK, WALTER B. MRS.

DAWSON MN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DAWSON

ALFSMO, OLE

GRANADA MN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GRANADA

ANDERSON, ART
MORSE, HARRY
OWENS, D. L.

LUVERNE MN

FIRST AND FARMERS NATIONAL BANK IN LUVERNE

REIDL, HENRY H.

MORRIS MN

THE MORRIS NATIONAL BANK

ADAMS, JOHN T.

REDWOOD FALLS MN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK REDWOOD FALLS

HUMPHREY, WILLIAM T.

WARREN MN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WARREN

MANES, JOE

WORTHINGTON MN

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF WORTHINGTON

CHRISTOFERSON, P. B.

BRUNSWICK MO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BRUNSWICK

A.M.E. CHURCH
AGIE, JAMES F.
AVRIETT, LILLIAN
BLUNK, JACOB
BULCK, J. C.
COOK, D. C.
FAES, C. W.
GINGELL, W. R.
HENKE, CHAS. E.
HERRING, LLOYD H.
MARTZ, H. E.
MASTER, A. L.
MEYER, WALTER
MOREHEAD, R. T.
OVERCASH, RUBEN
ROBERTSON
SASSE, R. L.
SCHMIDT, ADAM
SILVERBERG, LOUIS
SLEYSTER, LOUIS
THORNLEY, R. K.
TITUS, EDGAR T.
TURNER, E. G.
WILLARD, T. B.
WILLIAMS, JOHN H.

CAMPBELL MO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CAMPBELL

COOPER, R. E.
MOORE, W. E.

CARUTHERSVILLE MO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CARUTHERSVILLE

DOUGHERTY, FRANK
FLOYD, W. J.

EL DORADO SPRINGS MO

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EL DORADO SPRINGS

ELLIS, ALBERT

ROLLA MO

THE NATIONAL BANK OF ROLLA

BROWN, WM. & J. F.
CAMPBELL, JAMES
COATES, JOHN FRANCIS
CONNOR, LESLIE
FURRAY, MINNIE
GORDON, GEORGE W.
HAAS, GEORGE
HAAS, WILLIAM
HANBY, C. S.
HAWKINS, W. P.
JENNE, L. E.
KING, LILE
LEONARD, LOURANNA OLIVE
LEWIS, JULIA O.
MAYES, R. E.
MILLER, LLOYD C.
MILLER, ROBERT E.
MONNETT STATE BANK & TRUST COMPANY
O'HAVER, THOMAS R.
QUILLIAN, W. R.
RAND, WILLIAM H.
REED, ANDREW THOMAS
SALTS, JOHN W.
SIEBERT, R.
SMITH, LUTHER K.
WEBBER, J. H.
WILLIS, THOMAS J.
WOOLEY, B. F.
WORNER, GEORGE J.
WYNN, W. H.

SAINT LOUIS MO

THE CHEROKEE NATIONAL BANK OF ST LOUIS

GRAUPNER, THEODORE F.
KUNZ, WM.
MESSING, A. R.
MUELLER, HENRY P.
SMITH, WILLIAM H.

SAINT LOUIS MO

THE GRAND NATIONAL BANK OF ST LOUIS

ABRAMS, ANTOINETTE MRS.
AITCHISON, ANDREW
ANAST, ALEX
ANASTASOPOULOS, ALEX
ANTLE, NATHAN C.
ANTON, RAYMOND E. MRS.
BARBOUR, J. M.
BARTON, H. R.
BASTIAN, F. W. A.
BELLES, GEORGE
BIESER, FRED G.
BRINE, ANNA MRS.
BURHAN, R. J.
BYRNES, EDWARD
CAHILL, TERESA MRS.
CLUNDT, LOUIS
COLE, E. W.
COOPERMAN, VIOLA A. OR JOSEPHINE MRS.
COSTELLO, LAMAR
COWING, LILLIE
CUNNINGHAM, CLARA MRS.
DAVIDSON, ADAM
DAVIDSON, JOHN R.
DEGONDA, C. J.
DONAHOO, CLIFTON C.
ELLIOTT, B. S.
EVANS, ANNA M. MRS.
FESLER, GEO. OR MARY E.
FIELDING, R.
GOLDEN, DOROTHY
HALL, FRED
HESS, LEE
HILL, WALTER B.
HOLEMAN, CLARA A.
HORINE, EARL R.
KIRNEY, M. J. MRS.
LABARGE, A. G. JR.
LEEK, A. F. OR LAMBIE
LOESING, WALTER H.
MAGEE, JAMES W.
MCCANN, THOS. J. MR. OR MRS.
MCCARTNEY, N. W. B. DR.
MCCARTNEY, NATHANIEL N. W.
MCCARTNEY, WILLIAM
MISSOURI NATIONAL BANK OF ST. LOUIS
MONIER, JOHN H.
MORRIS, LOUIS H.
MOSBY, C. V.
O'BRIEN, E. J.
OREY, ALICE E. MRS.
RADIATOR SERVICE COMPANY
RIDDELL, WILLIAM
ROSSEN, JULIUS A. DR.
ROYCE, E. E.
RUSSELL, T. J. DR.
SCHAPER, CHAS. E. OR OLIVE E.
SCHNORR, LOLA MRS.
SCOTT, C. E. MRS.
SHUTT, MARTHA
SIEBRAS, NORMAN W.
SKOBLE, ANNA
STEELE, LEE C.
STEIN, SAM W.
SWEET, W. F.
TURNER, HARRY OR BLANCHE
VATRAS, PHILLIP
WARD, JIM
WARNES, ELLEN MRS.
WELSH, B.

SAINT LOUIS MO

THE VANDEVENTER NATIONAL BANK OF SAINT LOUIS

ADAIR, IVAN N.
ALEXANDER, ELLEN MRS.
ALLEN, BETTY
BOTT, WILLIAM
BRACY, RAYMOND L.
CARROLL, TILLIE
CHRISTOPHER, J. A.
CLARK, P. G.
CONNOR, E. J.
COONEY, J. C.
COPELAND, H. E.
DAVID, E. F.
DENNIS, J. O.
DICKINSON, LOUISA W.
DICKSON, ROY B.
ELLIS, ALVIN S.
FARRELL, A. W.
FLACKSKAMM, H.
GROVER, H. SPENCER
HALL, M. O.
HOFFMAN, FRED
JAKEZ, CARLOS
JORDAN, HOWARD
KING, WILLIAM
LAMB, D. R. MRS.
LANDE, IKE
LEVY, H. F.
LITTLE, F. B.
MCCRUDEN, JOHN H.
MCFALL, J. C.
MCSHEEHY, THOS. MRS.
MEIER, ADOLPHUS JR.
MERTEN, G. V.
MILLER, JOHN H.
MUELLER, A.
MURPHY, JOSEPH
MURRAY, JAMES
NEWTON, B. EVANS
PAPPOS, JAMES C.
PLANWELL PRODUCTS CORP.
RING, DOROTHY R.
ROWLAND, ISKA MRS.
SCHAPPNER, HELENITA
SCHULTZ, HELEN B.
SCHWAAB, EDITH
SHAKESPEAR, THOS. P.
SHEA, MABLE E. DR.
SIMMONS, DEWITT
SMITH, EDWARD C.
SMITH, I. B. MRS.
SMITH, SAM
STACEY, J. W.
THOMAS, FRANKLIN P.
VANDERPOOL, WESLEY
WALDRON, H.

ST. LOUIS MO

ST. LOUIS NATIONAL BANK

A-LAC-O SALES CORP
ALDRICH, J. T. DR.
ARON, HARRY
ART PLATING WORKS
AUTHORIZED CARB SERVICE
AVOURIS, ALEX
AYERS, THE NATIONAL BANK JACKSONVILLE
BANCROFT, R. G.
BATAILLE, G. S.
BATAILLE, GERALD S.
BATILLE, G. B.
BENSON, CHAS. H.
BILLMEYER, EUGENE P.
BISHOP, EDNA
BISS, BUD
BOONE, WESLEY
BOYD-MARTIN BOAT CO.
BRACK, V. P.
BRODMAN, ARTHUR P.
BURKE, JACK
BUSELAKI, GUS
BUSELAKI, MINNIE M.
CARTER, C. B.
CENTRAL STATES PAWNERS SOCIETY
CHIODINI, M.
CHURCHILL, J. L.
CLARK, E. J.
COLONIAL STAGES
CORBIN, C. ROSS
COWART, L.
CRAWFORD, FLOYD
CURRAN, EUGENE PHILPOT
DAVIE, F. MRS.
DAVIS, B. T.
DEICHMANN, WILLIAM D.
DENNIS, PETE
DEROSIA, C. E.
DIAMOND, IDA
DIMOND REALTY CO.
DIMOND, JESSE
DOXEY, NICK E.
ELLIS, EUGENE, CO. INC.
FALLS-HAUSAM TIRE CO.
FAULKNER, WM. D.
FELT PRODUCTS MFG CO.
FISCHER & VAVRA
FRAUENTHAL, B. W.
FREEMAN, BENJ. W. HEEL CO.
FREEMAN, ELSA
FUCHS, KATE
GAY, J.
GETZLER, S. B.
GIANNOUSIS, LOUIS
GRAND-DELMAR PARKING CO.
GREENWOOD COMPANY
GREER, CHAS. MRS.
HAID, J. MURRELL
HANNA, ROBERT
HARMAN, C. F.
HARVEY, H. R.
HARVEY, J. C.
HAWLEY, HARRY V.
HEARD, C. G.
HELM, N. S.
HODGE, O. T.
HOLMES, MARIE S.
HUGHES, J. W.
HYNES, E. MRS.
JINKS, G. C.
JOHNSON, WILL BRIDGES
JOHNSTON, E. C.
KESSLER & SON
KETCHAM, CLYDE AND RUTH
KIMMIEL, JACK
KISCO BOILER & ENGINEERING COMPANY
KITCHUM, CLYDE
KITZELMAN, HARRY
KLIENSORGE, JACK
KNOX, HARRY C.
KOHN, HARRY
LAMAR & LAMAR SOAP CO.
LANGLEY, W. H.
LILL, FRANCES J.
MACHINO, J.
MACHINO, JOE
MACKSON, J.

MARSHALL, ROBERT F.
MARTIN, J. J.
MATHIER, WM.
MATTICE, W. A.
MAURER, JOHN
MCCARTY, ORMA D.
MCFALL, J. A.
MCLEAN, HARRY E.
MCNEAL, JOS.
MEISTER, WM. A.
MELMAN, SAM JR.
METROPOLITAN LOAN & INV. CO.
MILLS, GEORGE W.
MODERN HAT & CAP MFG. CO.
MOSS, R. M.
MOTORISTS AUTOMOBILE CO.
MURRAY, J. F.
NEVIN BUS LINE
NEWFELD, ED.
NORMAN, S
OWENS, CONNIE MAY
PACKS, TOM
PARAMOUNT ADJUSTMENT CO.
PASMEZOGLU, HECTOR M. E.
PAVALASKIS, GUS.
PAYNE, CHAS.
PINKEY, TONY
PRICHATT
PULVER, M. H.
RAY, JOHN H.
REA, H. M.
REA, L. C.
REGAN, WM.
RIESEN, J.
RO-LA-CO CORP.
ROSENTHAL, J.
ROSENTHAL, JOHN
ROSS, DAVID
SALES PRODUCING EXC.
SCHMIDT, A. E. CO.
SHAW, JAMES W.
SHELBY, M. F.
SHUMAN, GEO. L. & CO.
SIGNAL PROTECTIVE SERVICE CO.
SIMS, J. S.
SIXTH STREET FILLING STATION
SMITH, J. W. E.
SMITH, L.
SNOW, DR.
SPIVAK, RUBIN
SPURRIER DEVELOPMENT
ST. LOUIS MUSIC SUPPLY CO.
ST. LOUIS WHITE GOODS CO.
STERNE, ALBERT
STIFF, TOM
STINNETT & ADAMS
STINNETT, L.
STOCKER, MYRTLE
SUN RESTAURANT
SUPER-MAID COOK-WARE CORP.
SWEENEY, HARRY A.
THOMAS, P. E.
TODD, J. B.
TOWNSEND, J. A.
TRIGG, G. B.
TRUCANO, A.
TYLER, F. D.
UNIQUE ART GLASS CO.
VILLOS, GUS
WATSON, E. B.
WEBSTER GROVES FINANCE CO.
WILLIAMS, MYRTLE
WILSON, J. A. MRS.
WILSON, R. A.
WINKLE, O. L.
WITTLS LOAN & MERC. CO.

BROOKHAVEN MS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BROOKHAVEN

NORTH, L. L.

CORINTH MS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CORINTH

BOWDEN, BEN
HARNESS, JOE E. & MRS.
HODGE, PRES
JONES, J. M.
MITCHELL, H. S.
ROSS, W. N.
SIMINGTON, FRED COL.
SMITH, R. L.
WAGNON, MARY KATIE
WIMBURN, CALLIE

GREENWOOD MS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GREENWOOD

CHAMBERS, E. L.
WILLIAMS, IDA MRS.
WILLIAMS, WILLIE CALVIN

HATTIESBURG MS

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF HATTIESBURG

CHAPMAN, A. C.
COFFIN, W. H. OR N. ERMINIE
DAVIS, F. E.
EDWARDS, CHAS. E.
EWART, W. H.
JOHNSON, W. A.
KEYS, A. E. & O. E.
MALONE, W. H. MR. AND MRS.
MCADAMS, B. M.
MERRELL, ENOC G.
MORGAN, J. P.
O'GRADY, J. EMMETT
RAMEY, PERLEY D.
SAUNDERS, A. W.
SEMRICK, ALEX.
TRAVIS, P. D.
WRIGHT, J. R.

JACKSON MS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF JACKSON

ANDERSON, ANNIE
HARRIS, G. G. MRS.
HARVEY, M. C. MRS.

LUMBERTON MS

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LUMBERTON

BATTLES, J. W.
BENNETT, J. W.
BIGHAM, C. S.
BROWN, J. H.
BRUNSON, GEO. C.
BRUNSON, R. L.
CROSBY, H.
ESSICK, J. W.
FITE, SHELLA A.
GREEN, F. M.
GWIN, JOHN
HANNON, C. MRS.
LEWIS, BOB
LLOYD, HENRY JACOB
MCDUFF, C. A. MRS.
MIMNAUGH, TOM
MOODY, T. H. MRS.
POOL, J. D.
RYAL, J. O.
SAVARD, JOE
SIBLEY, ASA T.
THOMPSON, SARAH H.
TUNNISON, T. E.
WILLIAMSON, ELLISON H.

NATCHEZ MS

THE BRITTON AND KOONTZ NATIONAL BANK IN NATCHEZ

STEWART, SARAH

SWEET SPRINGS MS

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SWEET SPRINGS

AHLF, HENRY
AKEMAN, G. R.
ALLEN, DONALD
ALLEN, J. R.
ARNDT, GUSTAV MRS.
ARNDT, HULDA MRS.
BERKENBILE, JNO. W.
BERRY, F. P.
BODENSTAB, WM.
BRIGHT, ROBT.
CARROLL, SAMUEL
COE, D. R. MRS.
COULTER, JOHN
CUNDIFF, CLAY
CUNDIFF, J. L.
CUNNINGHAM, HOMER
DAVIS & HEMME
ECKHOFF, J. L.
ECKHOFF, WALTER
ELSNER, MARY
FANGMAN, DOVIS FAE
FENWICK, JAMES
FISCHER, AL
FISHER, EDWARD L.
FROUBEN, L. L.
GOODWIN, R.
GREEN, GEORGE
HACKETT, W. A.
HAGGARD, JESSE M.
HAGGARD, MATE
HARMS, J. C.
HARMS, MARY
HARRIS, H. R.
HARRIS, HERBERT
HARRIS, LEONARD
HEARN, CHAS.
HENDERSON, STEWART
HILLIBRENNER, E. C.
HUNTER, A. U.
HUNTER, LOUIS
HUSTRAD, W. N.
JOHNSON, AL
JOHNSON, MELINDA
JONES, C. E.
KELLNER, J. D.
KRAUSE, ERNEST MRS.
KRAUSE, LEO
KRONEKE, H.
LEMLER, WM.
LEONARD, ORL
MABRY, DELL
MABRY, THEO
MCALLISTER, LESTER
MCALLISTER, T. A.
MCGUIRE, TERRY
MORRISON, SALLIE
MULLER, HY
MULLINS, H. W.
NEEL, OSCAR
NIGHTWINE
NOLTING, A. G.
OEBLSCHLAEGER, MARTIN
PARKHURST, DORA A.
PARSONS, C. W. DR.
PETERMAN, JOHN G.
PETERMAN, RUBY MRS.
REID, JOHN W.
ROTHROCK, SAM
SCOTT, LEON
SEBELIN, JOHN
SEHOUTEN, C. S.
SEWELL, J. F.
SHIELDS, DAVE
SMITH, GEO.
SMITH, PAUL
SPARKS, DAISY
STEFFENS, ADELLE MRS.
STUERKE, W. H.
SUMMERS, E. D.
SWOPE, LARKIN
TEMPLE, BERTHA MRS.
TIEMANN, EDWARD F.
TRELOW, WILLIAM
UMPHLET, A. J.
VANBIBBER, A. G.
WALLOW, JESS
WERNEKE, HENRY
WESTERFIELD BROS.
WINGFIELD, J. W.
WINGFIELD, W. A.
WOLET, ED

BAKER MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BAKER

JOHNSON, R. S.
MADSON, PEDER
SCHAFFNER, EMIL
SCOLES, ALBERT
SHREVE, W. H.

CONRAD MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CONRAD

ALISON, JENNIE
BAILEY, HERBERT F.
BAKKEN, K. K.
BALKAN
BARR, L. M. MRS.
BAY & COFFMAN
BILES LAND COMPANY
BOWLIN & HOPKINS
BRADFIELD, J. A.
BUNK, FRANK
CARLSON, ANTON
CARLSON, CARL M.
CHESSHER, H. B.
CLAWSON, A.
COCHRANE, FRED
COOPER
COX, OAKLAND L.
CRAWFORD
DEBOER, ANTHONY
ELLIOTT, EDITH
ELLIS, ELLEN S.
ENGLISH, ROBT. E.
EVERTS, ROE
FINLAYSON, ANGUS
GEBRIG, ERNEST
GEHRIG, ERNEST
GILSON, E. M.
HALVORSON, T. W.
HIXON, BILBRO
HUGHES, J. A.
JOHNSON, JAMES C.
JOHNSON, M. O.
KANSIER, CARL A.
KERSCH, GEO. J.
LAFRANCE, LOUIS
LAMONT, CHAS. A.
LEHR, GUSTAF A.
LUNDGREN, JOHN
LYTLE, R. C.
MASON, ELIZABETH
MAUMAN, CHAS. E.
MCCURTAIN, NELLIE O. MRS.
MERKLING, JOHN & AGNES
MILLER, JOHN W.
MILTON, MARTHA
MINER, REUBEN
MOON, EDGAR F.
MORRIS, JOSEPH O.
MUNSON, J. D.
NEIDERER, VICTOR
NOREM, W. C.
NORTHWESTERN LAND & LOAN CO.
O'BRIEN, J. C.
O'BRIEN, THOMAS B.
OERTEL, PAUL W.
OLSON, EVA
OLSON, JOHN
OLSON, L. M.
OSTROM, CHRIST
OVERLY LAND & MORTGAGE COMPANY
PARKER, A. W.
PENDROY, GEO. C.
PENDROY, LULU B.
PETERSON, CARL
PONDERA CREAMERY
PORTER LAND & LIVESTOCK CO.
RHODES, MAUDE M.
ROBINSON, MARY M.
SAYLOR, JOHN
SCHULTZ, A. J.
SHAW, C. A.
SHEEHY, MIKE
SORENSEN, SVERE
STAPLIN, W. M.
STROM, JOHN
THINE, PAUL
TREVILLION
TRONSON, H. W.
WARWICK, ELMER
WELCH, WALTER
WETZEL, WILLIE MAX
WILLIAMSON, J.
WUNDERLICH, JOHN

DENTON MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DENTON

ALLEN, C. G.
ASHLEY, GLEN F.
AUGHNEY, M. J.
BEHM, G. F. & B. K.
BENOY, THOMAS H. & ADELINE
BOECKER, J. W. & ROSA
C. E. SHOEMAKER & CO. (OSCAR H. HOWARD)
CHAMBERS, FRANK C.
CHAMBERS, FRANK C. & LAURA ELLA
CHRISTNER, C. J.
COLE, ALMA C.
CONYNGHAM, LOTTIE M.
COPPEDGE, GEORGE T. & GEO. F.
CORBLEY, LOUIS M.
CORBY, LOUIS M.
COSLETT, C. B.
CUSICK, O. C.
CUSICK, SAM & BETTY
DAVIS, MARY E.
DORMAN BROS.
DORMAN, ORTHO
FENNER, ELMER H.
FINCH, WALTON J.
FORD, J. C.
FREEMAN, O. W. & LAURA C.
FRENCH, CLARENCE N.
GARY, M. L. & J. H.
GILKERSON, MABEL M.
GIVINS, L. P. & MAE L.
HAMILTON, THOMAS J.
HARMS, JOHN A. & MARTHA
HETZEL, ALBERT & WILLIAM
HOEKSTRA, JOE

HOUCK, FLOYD F. & ROSA M.
HOWE, J. E. & F. C.
IRISH, WILLIAM A.
JENKINS, E. O.
JENSEN, WILLIAM C. & MABEL
JOHNSON, ALBERT
KAULL, E. J.
KRUG, FRANK A.
LIZE, ANNA
MASON, PAUL V.
MILLER, ALBERT E. & ELIZABETH
MILLER, ED. & ELIZABETH
MONDALE, EDWIN B. & ELLEN W.
MORAVETZ, ANNA C.
MORRIS, RUSSELL G.
MURRAY, COBURN
NICOLAAS, PIETER
PETERS, MINNIE M.
RAE, ALFRED W.
RAFTER, R. H.
READ, CELIA F.
SCHREIBER, GUSTAV
SCOTT, ROY
SHEPHERD, LIZZIE T.
SPRAGUE, P. H.
TOPPING, EDWARD
VANDENBERG, R.
VANDERPOEL, JACOB
WATTERS, MONROE
WEAVER, ED.
WELSH, EDWARD
WINGETT, COLOMAN A.
WINGETT, SOLOMAN A.

FORSYTH MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FORSYTH

BEEMAN, BETH
BEEMAN, MAUDE K.
DONALDSON, W. M.
DONALDSON, WM.
SHARPE, DIXIE E.

GLASGOW MT

THE GLASGOW NATIONAL BANK

BENNETT, NEVILLE D.

GRASS RANGE MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GRASS RANGE

AMERICAN RED CROSS
ANDERSON, W. R.
AYERS, ROY E.
BARTLETT, JNO. D. MRS.
BARTLETT, JOHN D. DR.
BASSETT, GILBERT
BERVEN, PETER T.
BIERSDORFF, CHARLES
BIGER, C. H.
BLAIR, GEORGE T.
BOOMER, AL
CARLSON, EDWIN
CHANDLER, W. L.
CHARBOUNEAU, NAPOLIN
CHARBOUNNEAU, ROSANA
CHARTERS, J. H.
COVILL, LAURA
CROWELL, CHAS. T.
CRUSE, RICHARD
DAVIS, CHARLES A.
DENGEL, JOSEPH (ESTATE)
DENGEL, OTTO W.
DOVENSPECK HEIRS
DUDLEY, DAVID
DUFFNER, A. B.
EIKE, OLAF
EKERN, H. O.
EQUITY MUTUAL FIRE INS. COMPANY
ERICKSON, ELMER
FINKBEINER, GEORGE H.
FOREMAN, CLARENCE F.
FRENCH MOTOR CO.
FRENCH, ROY J.
GLENN, IRA S.
GRABER, WILLIAM G.
GRASS RANGE MERCANTILE COMPANY
GREINER, HENRY J.
GUHRT, GEORGE M.
GUMMERE, L. R.
HANDLEY, JAMES
HANDLEY, MARY P.
HAWKS, JAMES A.
HEAD, W. I.
HINCKLEY, FRANK
HONEYAGER, ALFRED
HUNT, DOMINICK
JACOBSON, JULIUS
JAMES, ALBERT A.
JOHNSON, CARL
JOHNSON, J. M.
JOHNSON, JENNIE
KAUFFMAN, C. G.
KELLY, JOHN
KING, MIKE
KINNICK, EMMA I.
KINNICK, J. H.
KOTTERMAN, F. B.
LEE, EARL A.
LOGAN, L. J.
LOVLESS, JAMES S.
MANS, G. H.
MCALLISTER, H. J.
MCBROOM, CHARLES T.
MIER, AUGUST
MILES, FRANK T.
MILLER, MIKE F.
MOE, CARL
MOORE, R. E.
MOULTON, CHARLES
MOULTON, LUCY W.
MUDD, J. OTIS
MYHRE, JOHN HENRY
NABOR, MARK G.
NICHOLS & SHEPARD CO.
NIELSON, IDA J. MRS.
OIEN, JOSEPH A.
OLSON, JONAS
PETRIE, GEORGE
PITTMAN, J. L.
REID BROS.
RYAN, GEORGE E.
RYAN, J. W.
SALA, LAWRENCE
SALLY, JOHN
SCHILLING, MARY D.
SEBASTIAN, WM. N.
SHAUGHNESY, JOHN LEO
SHAW, J. W.
SHAW, JOSEPH W.
SHAW, WILLIAM
SLOAN, HARRY BLAINE
SPANGLER, CYRUS
SPINK, DUNCAN A.
STROMNESS, VICTOR
TEIGEN, M. P.
THE FARMERS STATE BANK OF KINGHAM, MO
TURK, ROBERT
TURNER, EARL C.
VALLEY LIVESTOCK
VILES & ROBINSON
WAKEFIELD, GEORGE R.
WARD, MARY
WEATHERFORD, JOHN
WELLMAN, W. R.
WILSON, ARMSTRONG
WILSON, GEORGE R.
WINTHER, JOHN E.
WISEMAN, FORBES
WOLF, F. H.
YOUNG, JESS
YOUNG, JESSE

GREAT FALLS MT

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF GREAT FALLS

BUNDI, MATT
JONES, JOSEPH B.
MATHESON, J. H.
MCKINSTER, CORA
PEKIL, BENNIE
RICH, JESSIE L.
SHIEL, WINIFRED & KATE
WEBER, A. R.

HARLEM MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HARLEM

HELMER, O. F.
REED, JAMES E.
SALZMAN, JOHN
TESSOR, JOE

HARLOWTON MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HARLOWTON

ADAMS, W. G.
ALLMAN, ROSANNA
ARBI, NICK
ARSTAD OIL CO.
AYERS, NETTIE
BAKER, RALPH W.
BARRETT, JOHN H.
BARTLESON, FRED
BARTLESON, FRED VS THOMPSON, JAS.
BARTLETT, JOHN
BARTLEY, EMIL C.
BASCOM, M. T.
BASCOM, M. T.
BELL, GEO. H.
BIEGEL, EMIL
BIEGEL, EMIL & HULDA
BLACKBORN
BRITZIUS, BEN R.
BRITZIUS, M. C.
BROWN, JOE F.
BROWN, NELS AND BROWN LEWIS
BUCH, FREMONT E.
BUCK, F. E.
CARLSON, FRED
CARLSON, JOHN I.
CARLSON, JOHN P.
CHAMBERLAIN, B. H.
CLAREY, J.W.
COOK, IVONY
COONEY, JOHN
COOPER, E. E.
CRONAIN, I. F.
CUMMINGS, ETHEL
DAVID, JOHN
DAVIDSON, ALEX
DAVIS, JEREMIAH
DIXON, C. P.
DOCKINS, R. M.
DOTT, J. F.
DOUGLASS, WILLIAM
DUEL, BERT
DUSELL, W. F.
DUVAL, MELVIN H.
DYGERT, ERNEST
EDE, G. C.
EDMUNDS, A. E.
ELLIOTT, B. L.
ELLIOTT, LEAH
EVANS, S. S.
FIRST N.B. THREE FORKS, MONT.
FLEMING, ROBERT
FORD, CHAS. R.
FOTHERINGHAM, THOMAS W.
FOUARD, LOUIS J.
FURLEY, J. B.
GEISSER BROS. VS. OAK WM.
GEORGE, IVA
GLENDENING, GEO.
GOFF, M. S.
GOODMAN, L. O.
GOULD, HUGO
GROSEN, RUDOLPH
GUYMON, S. J.
HANSEN, NORMAN
HARLOWTON GROCERY CO.
HARMER, FRANK L.
HARNED, SAM M.
HARPER, WALTER WILSON
HASH, A. E.
HASH, CHAS. G.
HAVLIN, ED.
HEDGCOXE, J. G.
HENDERSON, R. H.
HEY, ERNEST
HIGGINS, GEO. N.
HOLDEN, EDWARD
HUTTON, CLEO
ISDELL, ESTER M.
JACOBSON, JOHN M.
JOHNSON, MARCUS L.
JOHNSON, O. M.
JORDED, HENRY
KEENAN, E. P.
KENNEY, JAMES M.
KERN, LOTTIE I. MRS.
KRESGE, WM. J.
LAING, A. M.
LAND DEVELOPMENT CO.
LANIUS, C. HENRY
LARSON, SIG
LEBO OIL CO.
LEMON, W. B.
LEWIS, G. N.
LINTLEMANN, G. H.
LITTLEJOHN, JESSE
LYNCH, A. J.
MARRS, H. L.
MARSHALL, H. E.
MARTIN, D. J.
MARTIN, T. H.
MAXWELL, WADE D.
MAY, ANTHONY
MCBRIDE, J. M.
MCCALL, C. H.
MCCONALD, BENJ.
MCCURRY, J. W.
MCDONBELL, A. D.
MCFARLAND, R. E.
MELOY, EDWARD J.
MILLER, JOHN M.
MILLER, M. J.
MINSHALL, H.
MONTANA LUMBER & HDWE CO.
MYLES, J. J.
NELSON, NELS
NIELSON, MARTIN
NOVACHICK, J. FRANK
NYGAARD, THORVALD
OAK, WM.
OLSON, SIDEL K.
OVERGARD, ANTON
PALMER, NOAH A.
PERRY, NOAH
PIND, HANSEN WALTER
PIND, WALTER HANSON
POWELSON, CHAS. R.
PRIESTLY, P. C.
PUTNAM, E. D.
QUINN, THOS.
RADER, CHAS. R.
RAY, M. W.
RAY, W. W.
REED, S. R.
REPNOCK, ADAM
RESSLER, ROY S.
RETTKE, JOHN & HARRY
RICE, C. A.
ROBERTSON, LESLIE
ROGERS, J. P.
ROSENLUND, M. O. MRS.
SAUCERMAN, C. A.
SHELDS, JOHN M.
SNYDER, M. L.
STENEBERG, TOSTEN O.
STOUT, I. M.
STUARD, H. E.
THISTLEWOOD, W.F. AGENT NAT'L LIFE
THOMPSON, JAS.
TRIMMER, JAMES WILLIAM
TRIMMER, WALT
TUCKER, W. J.
UNION OIL & GAS CO.
VAN CLEVE, ALICE D.
VONICA, DAN D.
VOSS, HENRY
WAGNER, JUDSON E.
WEBER, H. A.
WEKLE, H. R.
WHITE, B. C.
WILLIAMSON, W. P.
WINKLEY, A. F.
YANGER, GUSTAV
YATES, C. F.
YOUNG, RAY
ZOLLER, F.G. & LULU B.

HIGHWOOD MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HIGHWOOD

BIRKLAND, INGOFF
BOTTOMLY, GEO. H.
BRIM, THOS. V. ET UX
BUCKLAND - BUCKLAND
BUCKLAND - LINE
CARSLON, CHAS.
CARSON, CHAS.
CHABOT - FONKALSRUD
CHABOT - MOORE
CHABOT, LOUIE
COLT, MIRTIE
CONNOLLY - GROSSMAN
DANFORTH, ALICE S.
DAVISON - DAVISON
ELDER - WOOD
ELMER, JNO. W.
FARDY, RICHARD
FOGDENS - KURTZ
FORD - WEBER
GORDON, PAUL O.
HAMPTON - WEBER
HAMPTON, FRANK L.
HANES, J. M.
HAUTAMAKI, OSCAR
JACOBY - AULT
JACOBY, RUTH
KRIZANIC, ANDRO
LOVELAND - DAVIDSON
LYNCH - LYNCH
MCNEIL - DAVISON
MCNEIL - RUST
MCNEIL - SKINNER
MOODIE, J. C.
NEILL - EATSINGER
NOTTINGHAM BROS. - GRANT
RYFFEL - JOHNSON
RYFFEL - ROHLF
RYFFEL - THOMAS
SALISBURY - CHABOT
SEXTON, CHAS.
SORENSON, ALBERT
THOMAS - ROTVOLD
ULVILA, JNO. H.
WEBER - HANSON
WHEELER - DARKO
WIGGIN, S. D.
WOOD - ANDERSON
ZANTO, HENRY

LEWISTOWN MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FERGUS COUNTY IN LEWISTOWN

ABBOTT, GEORGE E.
ABRAHAM, GEORGE B.
ABSHER, J. O.
ACLY, MARTHA J.
ADDITION, LEHMAN
AIKEN, EDWIN
AINSWORTH, C. B.
AINWORTH, C. B.
ALLEY, E. N.
ALWEIS, JOE
AMERICAN LAND CO.
AMICABLE OIL & ROYALTIES CO.
ANDERSON, G. E.
ANDERSON, GEO W.
ANDERSON, VICTOR MRS.
APPLE AND FREEMAN
APPLE, N. M.
ARCHER, CHARLES
ARNOLD, C. L.
ARRO OIL & REFG. CO.
ARROW CREEK DEV. CO.
ARTHUR, JOHN
ARVIK, JORGEN JOHNSON
ASHLEY, ELLA
ASHLOCK, TOM DR.
AVEN, ANDREW
AWBERRY, JAMES
AWBERRY, JAS.
AXELSON, CHRISTIAN LEON
BACKEBERG, H. W.
BAILEY, A. F.
BAKER, ADOLPH O.
BANK OF FERGUS COUNTY
BARNARD, J. H.
BARNES KING DEVELOPMENT CO.
BARNEY, E. D.
BARNEY, FRANKLIN E.
BARNEY, LEW W.
BARNEY, W. C.
BAROCH, JAMES P.
BARTA, ANTON
BARTHOLEMEW, G. W.
BARTON, R. I.
BATTY, A. J.
BAXTER, STUART
BAXTER, STUART ET UX
BAXTER, W. L.
BECK, HARRY W.
BEGEMANN, GUS
BEHN, PETER JR.
BELDEN, O. W.
BENSON, BERT R.
BERGER, BERTRAM P.
BERNETT, H. R.
BERRY, JACK
BIRKLAND, A. C.
BIZYAK, ANDY
BLACKFORD, WM. M.
BLINN, GEO.
BOLLINGER, J. J.
BOTTCHER, MABEL S.
BRANDT, HENRY H.
BRAY, RALPH
BREW, MARIE
BREWSTER, W. H.
BRISCOE, JACK
BROWN, C. H.
BROWN, ROBERT J.
BROWN, SIMON
BRUG, FRANK J.
BRUMWELL, W. H.
BRUNS, GEORGE H.
BUCHANAN, S. J.
BUFFALO RANCH CO.
BURKETT, WM. H.
BURNETT, DAVID
BURNHAM, LEONARD W.
BURNS, D. C.
BURNSON, A. S.
BURT, MIKE
CALDWELL, G. N.
CALDWELL, J. EDWARD
CALIFORNIA CO.
CAMP, A. F.
CAMPBELL, CHESTER W.
CAMPBELL, FRANK C.
CAMPBELL, P. M.
CAMPBELL, PAUL
CANBERG, A. B.
CANFIELD, LEE
CAPE, JAMES T.
CAREY, ANTHONY J.
CARL, WM. H.
CARMEN, W. J.
CARTER, CHARLES D.
CASE, ALBERT
CASEBIER, W. H.
CASPAR, JEAN A.
CAT CREEK CRESCENT SYNDICATE
CATLETT, JAMES BLAND
CATLETT, JAMES BLAND
CHANDLER, W. L.
CHAS. LEHMAN & CO.
CHITTICK, JAMES
CHRISTIE, E. J.
CLARK, JOHN B.
CLARK, LEW C.
CLEARWATER LAND & INV. CO.
CLINGAN, H. N.
COBB, E. F.
COBB, E. F.
COLEMAN, JOHN A.
COLLINS, MARGUERITE C.
CONE BUTTE MINING CO.
CONWAY, THOMAS M.
COOK REYNOLDS CO.
COOLIDGE, E. B.
COOPER, HELEN E.
COOPER, LLOYD
COOPER, WANDA M.
COPELAND, C. E.
CORCORAN, ANN E.
CORNELL, ERNEST
CORNNER, MALLIE ALICE
COX, ANNIE BELLE
CREEL, W. M.
CROMER, MABEL A.
CROMER, ROY C.
CROWLEY, CLARENCE
CRUICKSHANK, JAMES
CRUM, JOHN
CUMMING, BRUCE A.
CUMMINGS, B. A.
CURRAN, J. G.
CURRIE, DAN
CURRIE, DANIEL
D'AUTREMONT, V. B.
DAILEY, CLARA U.
DALTON, HARRY
DARCY, FELIX
DARNELL, EZERA
DAVENPORT, DON
DAVIES, NELLIE
DECKER, A.
DEGENER, EDWARD
DEMPSEY, T. W.
DENNIS, A. F.
DICKERSON, PETE
DITTY, CORA B.
DODGE, GUY S.
DOWNING, W. O.
DRINKARD, C. A.
DRINKARD, C. A. ET AL
DRINKARD, C. A. ET UX
DUFFNER, A. B.
DUFFY, FRANCIS
DUGGAN, JOHN
DUNCAN, GEO. M.
DUNN, CHARLOTT H.
DUNSHEE, T. E.
EDE, G. C.
EIKE, OLE
ELIJAH, JAMES H.
ELKIN, J. H.
ELLERY, BLANCHE MRS.
ELLISON, B. B.
ENNISON, S. M. MRS.
ERWIN, W. J.
ESTATINOK, W. E. DR.
EWING, ALBERT E.
EWING, S. V.
F. M. GRAY JR. CO.
FAH, BERTHA
FARM LAND COMPANY
FARM LAND COMPANY
FARMERS FEED BARN
FENNEL, MICHAEL F.
FENNER, MILTON M.
FERGUS COUNTY OIL AND GAS CO.
FERGUS, ROBERT B.
FERGUS, WM. & SONS
FIELD, A. V.
FINKS, C. L.
FIRST NAT'L BK OF FERGUS CO.
FIRST NAT'L BK., MOORE MONTANA
FIRST SECURITY CO.
FISHBURN, JOHN
FLAHERTY, JOHN
FLANIGAN, MARY E.
FLATWILLOW LAND CO.
FLEMING, GEO. F.
FLEMING, GEORGE F.
FOLKINS, CHARLES A.
FORD, JOHN E.
FORD, SHIRLEY S.
FOSHAG, WM.
FOX, EDWARD A.
FRAME, A. R. & E. H.
FRAME, E. H.
FRANCIS, A. S.
FRANK ADAMS
FRANKLIN, W. C.
FRASER, ROBERT B.
FRED RECTOR
FREMBERG, OSCAR
FRYLAND, ANNA
FULLER & SHOW
GALLAGHER, J. J.
GALLICKSON, C. B.
GANNON, GEORGE W.
GAUBATZ, ANNA L.
GAUSS, J. H. P. DR.
GAYLORD, KENNETH W.
GIBSON, MIKE
GIFFORD, HUGH A.
GIFFORD, MAGGIE
GILBERT, EDNA MAY
GILE & DUNCANSON
GILL, JOHN
GLAUSER, GLADYS
GODSIL, MARY A.
GOLD LINK MINING CO.
GOODELL, HOMER T.
GOODWIN, GEO. D.
GORMAN, ALICE E.
GRADY, CATHERINE
GRANT, ALBERT H.
GRAVES, GEORGE F.
GREAT WESTERN OIL CO.
GREEN, C. L.
GREEN, HUGH
GREENE, A. C.
GREENSLIT, DICK
GRIER, GILFORD G.
GROGAN ROBINSON LBR. CO.
GUMMERE, O. O.
GUSLANDER, BONNIE B.
HALL, E. R. MRS.
HAMILTON, EDITH C.
HAMILTON, GEORGE R.
HAMILTON, R. S.
HANLEY, DANIEL
HARDING, H.
HARPER, ROBERT
HARRIS, CHAS. M.
HART, ALMA LOUISE
HART, C. W.
HART, J. K.
HARVEY, JERVIS
HASSELQUIST, H. T.
HEADLEE, MARWIN A.
HEDGES, W. A.
HEIN, J. B.
HENDRICKS, J. A.
HENNINGSON, FRED
HERMANN, FRANK & LILLIAN N.
HILGER LOAN & REALTY CO.
HILGER, D. J.
HILGER, DAVID
HILL, HORACE
HIX, LESTER E.
HOBSON, S. S.
HOFF, CONRAD F.
HOGAN, DANA
HOGE HOMESTEAD OIL CO.
HOGE, OTTO PAUL
HOGG, R.
HOJNY, ANTON
HOLLAND SVEND
HOLLES, E. G.
HOLZEMER, LENA R.
HOPKINS, ANNA B.
HOPKINS, ANNIE B.
HOPKINS, W. H. & ROY A.
HORACEK, FRANK
HOSEMANN, J. H. B.
HOUGHTON, R. CHANNING
HUBBARD, C. F.
HUBBARD, C. T.
HUGHES, JAMES
HUNT, I.
HUNT, R. J.
IRISH, E. M.
IRISH, PERRY
IVORSON, H.
JACKSON DOME SYNDICATE
JACKSON, JOHN JR.
JACKSON, R. P.
JACKSON, ROBT. G.
JACKSON, VICKERS
JACOBS, CHARLIE J.
JACOBSEN, MATHIAS
JAMES P. BAROCH
JENSEN, CARL M.
JOHNSON, C. W.
JOHNSON, CARL W.
JOHNSON, ELIZABETH
JOHNSON, GEO. W.
JOHNSON, GUS L.
JOHNSON, M. W.
JOHNSON, MARSH & GUY K.
JOHNSON, MARTIN A.
JOHNSON, MELVIN I.
JOHNSON, W. H.
JOHNSON, W. J.
JOHNSON, W. J. (TRUSTEE)
JOHNSON, W. L.
JOHNSON, WILFORD J.
JOHNSON, WILLIS C.
JONES, A. G.
JONES, EFFIE MRS.
JOYCE, F. B.
JUDITH FARMS CO.
KARCHER, EDWARD H.
KELEEHER, JAMES
KELLY, H. J.
KELLY, JOHN A.
KEMERER, R. E.
KEMP, JAS. A.
KINDSCHY, E. O.
KING, E. W.
KING, E. W. & ELEANOE J.
KING, JOSEPH JR.
KING, JOSEPH SR.
KINTZI, AUGUST A.
KISTNER, H. T.
KITTLESON, L. C.
KIVETT, A. O.
KLAUDA, MARTIN
KLEINMA, A. D.
KNAPP, LOTTIE
KNIFFEN, CARL A.
KNIFFEN, KARL A.
KNIGHT, GLADYS R.
KOEN, CECIL
KROLL, CHARLES HENRY RICHARD
LABUDDE, O. C.
LANDERS, B.L. TRUSTEE OF BOSTON-MONTANA
LANHAM, L. E.
LARSON, ARTHUR
LARSON, CHARLES
LASSEN INDUSTRIAL BANK, SUSENVILLE, CA.
LEE, FAY
LEHMAN, A. B.
LEONARD, A. J.
LEPPER, BENJAMIN F.
LEWIS, C. E.
LEWIS, ROY E.
LEWISTOWN AUTO CO.
LEWISTOWN REALTY ASSN.
LEWRIGHT, EUGENE
LILLARD, T. J.
LINDSTY, CHRIS
LIQUID GOLD OIL & GAS SYN.
LISH, OTIS
LISH, OTIS S.
LISH, SARAH A.
LITTLE BELT OIL & GAS CO.
LITTLEJOHN, N. J.
LITTLEJOHN, W. J.
LOGAN CAT CREEK
LOGAN, J. E.
LONG, CY C.
LONG, WILLARD A.
LUCAS, EDGAR S.
LUCIER, STELLA
LUNCEFORD, FRED
LUTZ, SAMUEL
LYONS, FRANK O.
MAHOOD, CLARENCE
MALLETTE, FRANK
MANNING, WM.
MARKS, W. M.
MARSHALL, JOHN S.
MARTIN, EDWARD
MARTIN, J. R.
MARTIN, JAS. R.
MASON BROTHERS
MATEER, J. S.
MATHESON, W. P.
MAURY, ALICE L.
MAYFIELD, JAS. S.
MCCLEAN, BESSIE
MCCOLLUM, HENRIETTA
MCCONNELL, WM.
MCDONALD, WILLIAM D.
MCDONALD, WM. D.
MCGONAGILL, V. R.
MCHARDIE, HERBERT
MCINTOSH, JOHN J.
MCMAHAN, JAMES
MCMILLAN, MARY E.
MCNEVIN, T. J.
MEAGHER, D. J.
MELCHERT, B. P.
MELTON, W. G.
MENGILKOCH, JOHN
MERK, JOHN
MERRILL, RALPH F.
MICHEL, DESSIE
MILBAUER, L.
MILLER, H. C.
MILLER, JERRY
MILLER, JOHN R.
MILLER, NEWTON ORVILLE
MILLER, ROBERT
MILLER, SAIDE MRS.
MINNESOTA LOAN & TR. CO.
MISS, HENRY
MITCHELL, F. W.
MONT. BLDG & DEV. SYN.
MONTACAL OIL CO.
MONTACAL OIL CO.
MONTANA LAND CO.
MONTANA LAND CO. INC.
MONTANA UNITED OIL ROYALTIES
MONTGOMERY, DONALD
MONTGOMERY, OLIVER
MORSE, CLARENCE DOUD
MOULTON, A. E.
MOULTON, BENJ. F.
MUELLER, OSCAR O. TR. OF BOSTON-MONTANA
MUNKERS, ELMER
MURCH, JAMES C.
MURRAY, B. C.
MYERS, ROBERT R.
MYERS, SAMUEL S.
MYRDAL, S. K.
NAT'L. BK. LEWISTOWN

NAYLOR, FLOYD D.
NEEL, P.O. (GARD FOR NEEL YEWED WALLACE)
NEEL, W. T.
NELSON, AGNES
NELSON, ALBERT F.
NELSON, CHRIS. A.
NELSON, ELMER
NEWELL, SPENCER
NEWMAN, JAMES H.
NICOLLS, CARLYE
NIELSON, HANS J.
NIELSON, J. L.
NOEL, SHERMAN O.
NOLEN, B. E.
NOLEN, BURRETTE E.
NORLIN, C. A.
NORTON, WALTER J.
NYBRO, T.
O'CONNELL, JOHN
O'KEEFE J.J. TRUSTEE FOR GEN OIL
O'MEARA, DAVID
O'NEIL, LOUIS B.
O'NEILL, LOUIS B
OATLAND, F. G.
OHRT, HANNES
OKLAMONT OIL CO.
OLDHAM, LOREN
OLIVER, L. O.
OLSEN, JONAS
OSWEILER, P. J. ET AL
OSWEILER, P. TR. OF BOSTON-MONT
OSWEILER, PETER J.
OSWEILER, PETER J.
OTTEN, HERMAN
PAINTER, REBECCA M. & OLIVER L.
PALASCA, P. N.
PALMER, HARRY MORTON
PANZENHAGEN, F. W.
PARKINS, L. M.
PAULSOS, N. C.
PAYNE, F. A.
PECK, CHARLES V.
PECK, WILLIAM B.
PEGRAM, E. B.
PENNINGTON, S. V.
PERKINS, FRANK L.
PETERS, J. L.
PEUSE, FRANK
PFAUS, ALBERT
PHELPS, JAMES
PHELPS, LOUIS G. & ANNIE W.
PHILLIPS, NAOMA ANN
PIERCE, C. A.
POETTER, JESSIE
POPE, WILLIAM
POPISIL, JOHN J.
PORTER, ARTHUR W.
PORTER, CHARLES E., ELIZA M. & ABBIE R.
POUND, DONA M.
PREUSS, LEOPOLD
PUERNER-DRINKARD
PUERNER, J. W.
PULLIN, CHAS. F.
QUALITY SHOP
QUICKENDEN, J. R.
RAE, H. M.
RALSTON, GEO.
RANKIN, GRACE I.
RANSOM, WILLIAM E.
RAUNDAL, AMUND K.
RAY, E. W.
READ, URAL P.
REPLOGLE, BERT
RETALLICK, W. J.
RICE, FRANCES SHANE
RICE, FRANCIS SHANE
RICHARDS, MAGGIE AND RICHARDS, J. O.
RIDDISH, CARL
RIDENOUR, C. C.
RIDENOUR, CLYDE C.
RIDLEY APPLE
RIDSTE, ALFRED L.
RIGALI, LOUIS
RINK, E. E.
RISA, SIGBJORN, OLSEN
RITTENHOUSE, F. M.
ROBEN & CHRISTENSON
ROBERTS LAND CO.
ROBERTS, C. B.
ROBERTS, G. R.
ROBERTS, ISABELLA PATRICIA
ROBINSON, F. J.
ROBINSON, FRED H.
ROBINSON, GRANT
ROBINSON, W. S.
ROCKY MT. ROYALTIES CO.
ROGERS, C. A.
ROMUNDSTAD, ODIN
ROOS, C. M.
ROSHONE, FRANK
ROSS, JOHN
ROSSE, PETER
ROSSO, PETER
SALTE, S. J.
SAMEC, WINCENC
SANDERSON, THOS H.
SCHAFER, FREDERICK
SCHMIT JOHN P. AND W. J.
SCHMITT, KATHERINE
SCHRAEDER, LOUISE E.
SCHULZ, PAUL
SCOTT, ALEX
SCOTT, CHARLES L.
SCOTT, JAMES H.
SCOTT, W. G.
SEELEY, G. A.
SELIG, SAM
SELL, HERMAN
SEMINUK, JOHN AND S. E.
SHAND, J. A. W.
SHELDON, F. W.
SHERMAN, FRED J.
SHIEL, JAS.
SHIPLEY, WHITFIELD
SHORTLY, SUSAN
SHOWEN, HARLIE E.
SIGVARDT, VIGGO J.
SIMPSON, REDFORD F.
SINGLEY, JOHN A.
SKINNER, CELIA
SLACK, HENRY I.
SLINDE, H. A.
SLINDE, SARAH E.
SLOAN, MILTON C.
SMAIL, WALTER
SMITH, A. J.
SMITH, ALBERT
SMITH, ALBERT A.
SMITH, D. F.
SMITH, L. E.
SMITH, M. M.
SOLK, WILLIAM
SOLOMON, TOM
SORLE, RALPH W.
SOULE, RALPH W.
SPRAGUE, R. A.
SPRING & ELDRED
SPRING CREEK LIVESTOCK
STACK, B. E.
STAPLETON, A. A.
STARK, J. H.
STARKS, C. B.
STEAB, GERTRUDE B.
STEPAN, FRANK
STEPHENS, FRANK
STEVEN, M. N.
STEVENS, JOHN H.
STEVENSON, JOHN M.
STEWART & WRIGHT
STEWART DRILLING CO.
STEWART, JOHN & DORAH
STIGEN, LEWIS P.
STORFA, MICHAEL
STOUDT, TOM
STOVER, WILLIAM
STRANAHAN, W. S.
STRAYER, RAY F.
STREICH, JOHN H.
SULLIVAN, JOHN L.
SUPERIOR SALES AGENCY
SURENUF DEV. ASSOC.
SUTTER, EDMOND
SWANSER, L. N.
SWANSON, RICHARD N.
SWOBODA, FRANK J.
TAARLAND, PEDER P.
TALLMAN, W. S.
TAYLOR, S. E. TAYLOR
TAYLOR, T. W.
TEIGEN, P. M.
TETERS, LUCY
THE CALIFORNIA CO.
THE COOK REYNOLDS CO.
THE COOK-REYNOLDS CO.
THOMPSON, DANIEL S.
THOMPSON, HARRY C.
TIERNEY, ANNIE AND OWEN
TILLING, BESSIE MRS.
TINSLEY, ISABELLE
TITTER, EDWARD
TOGNETTI BROTHERS
TRASK, JANE, ADMRX.
TREPP & HEINECKE
TRIGG, P. R.
TRIPLETT, OSCAR T.
TUBB, T. J.
TUPPER, B. B.
TURNER, ELMER W.
TURNER, W. K.
TWIN STATES PETROLEUM CO.
TYSON, ISAAC F.
VAN HOUR, JERRY J.
VANDEN BROECK, V. J.
VEHAWN, T.
VON STEIN, C. R.
VUCLOT, SOLOMOUN
WALDORF, W. C.
WALKER, S.
WALLDORF, W. C.
WALSH, E. J. MRS.
WALTZ, GEO. J.
WARR & MCCLAVE
WASSON, J. E.
WASSON, JOHN E.
WATERMAN, GEORGE H.
WEIGLENDA, GEORGE
WEIR, ALEXANDER
WEISTANER, MARY A.
WELCH, C. G.
WELCH, C. G. ET AL
WELLEY, JOHN A. AND MARIE
WESTERN FARM LAND CO.
WHEATON, W. W.
WHITE, GEO. W.
WHITE, WM.
WILEY, E. M.
WILLIAMS, CHAS. H.
WILLIAMS, DON
WILLIAMS, ROBT. P.
WILSON, B. L.
WIMER, W. C.
WINNETT, W. J.
WINNETT, WALTER J.
WOLFE, L. P.
WOLTER, WILLIAM
WOOD, B. J. DR.
WOODS BROS. THRESHER CO.
WOODS, JOHN W.
WOODS, WILLIAM R.
WRIGHT, F. E.
WRIGHT, F. E. AND WRIGHT, ED
WRIGHT, GEO. F.
WURTZ, LOUIS
YOUNG, C. L.
YUSTICK, NICK
ZAMZOW, FREDERICK
ZUERN, FRANK J.
53 OIL & GAS SYN.

LIVINGSTON MT

THE NORTHWESTERN NATIONAL BANK OF LIVINGSTON

BECKER, OLE
LAY, J. C. DR.
LENEHAN, L. B.
MILLS, HAZEL
PARADIS, R. F.
STALEY, N. W.

MALTA MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MALTA

ARMSTRONG, HARRY B.
BERKELEILE, JOHN
BOWMAN, WALTER
BRACKWAY, THOS. O.
CAMPBELL, RAY L.
CAVANAUGH, J. F.
CHIZE, PETE
CONRAD, JOHN
COREY-WILLIAMS
CULBERT, WM.
DAVIS, A. E.
DAVIS, T. W.
DEARSEN, LYDIA MRS.
DEGLMAN, FRANK
DEKOMING, J.
DOBMEIER - THOMPSON
DOYLE - AUSTIN
DRUMMOND - HESS
DUNN, F. C.
DUVALL, MARGARET A.
EAST, RUPERT
EHLERT, HENRY
EMME - TUTTLE
FACEY, T. M.
FIELDER, C. H.
FJORSTAD, GEO.
FJORSTAD, GEORGE
FJORSTOCH, GEO. K.
FLESCHE - STONSIFER
FLESCHE - TETRAULT
FOGLIS - MARTIN
FORMO - ROBINSON
GARAND - LEVESCONTE
GARDNER - SMITH
GARST, MATIE MRS.
GAUSANGE, CHAS.
GERESZAK, FRANK A.
GILBERTSON, ELMER A.
GOODHEART, J. M.
GOSLIN - EDWARDS
GRAINIER, LOUIS
HALES, CHAS. W.
HEITZ, LUCILA
HELGESON, THOS.
HOAGLAND, P
HOLLAND, J. C.
HOLLENBACK, GEO.
HUNEKE, J. F.
JONES, EB.
JONES, M. P.
JONES, THOS.
KENNEDY, LILLIAN
KILDUFF, J. F.
KOCK, EMANUEL
KRAMER, F. R.
KRAMER, F. R. & CO.
LAIRD, EUGENE
LARSEN, W. H.
LARSEN, WILL H.
LEFDAHL, IVER
LOCKHART, LUCY JONES
LOVEJOY, HARRY
LUDWIK, VERN J.
MARTIN - DEVAULL
MARTIN - EGGUM
MARTIN, W. H.
MARTINS, MATHIAS JR.
MATOON HORSE COMPANY
MAULSEY, I. W.
MCAFEE, THOMNVOR
MCAFEE, W.
MCAFEE, WM.
MEAD BROS.
MILLER, R. H.
MILLS, G. M.
MOORE, CLAUD
MOORE, JAS. H.
MYELDE, OLOF
NIELSEN, H. F.
NORTHWESTERN SUPPLY CO.
NUMBER ONE DISTILLERY CO.
NUSTGENS, F. J.
OLSON, ERNEST
OLSON, J. A.
OLSON, JOS.
PARKS, D. R.
PARKS, SARAH L.
PARLOR CAFE
POWELL, LEWIS J.
PRIGGE, O. H.
RANNING, NORMAN
RICE, R. E.
RICT, E. S.
RODA, FRANK E.
ROHDE, HENRY
SCHMIDT, WALD
SCHOENBORN & HAYDEN
SCHWANTNER, FRED
SHADY, JOHN
SHAVER, M. E.
SHEA, HERBERT
SHERLOCK, THOS.
SIFRIT, FRANK
SKLOWER, JO. & E.
SMITH BROS.
SMITH, A. L.
SMITH, GEORGE R.
SORENSON, TORVEL
SOWERS, W. P.
STILES, ANNIE MRS.
STILES, W. C. MRS.
STONESIFER, CHAS.
SULLENDER, ALLEN
TANK, GUS
TAYLOR - DEVAULL
TETRAULT, ALBERT
TUNISON, E. J.
TURK, CHAS.
TUTTLE, E. J.
WALLIS, ROY
WEBER, JOSEPH
WESTERMAN, A. J.
WESTERMANN, OTTO J.
WILLIS, JOHN
WOLFE, MARTIN
YOUNG, DOE
ZOOK, W. C.
ZUBER, FRANK

MILES CITY MT

COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF MILES CITY

BRADSHWA, CLARA MRS.
DAVIS, DOLORES
PARSNEAU, FRANK W.
PETER, JOHAN
SCHMIDT, KASPER
VAN, GRACE MRS.

POLSON MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF POLSON

GILSON, EDITH
MATTHEWS, A. B.

ROUNDUP MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROUNDUP

CAMILLO, EVO
DRONG, JOHN
MIDICH, MIKE
MURPHY, JOE
STANOVICH, STEVE
TRABIC, JOE

ROUNDUP MT

THE ROUNDUP NATIONAL BANK

ANDERSON-ROUNDUP OIL & GAS CO.
BARRON, F. W.
BERNARD, GUSTAF B
BERRY, K. W.
BLOCK, CHRISTOPHER S.
BREWINGTON, WILLIAM H.
CROW, CHESTER D.
DEARBORN, M. D.
EVANS, MINNIE
FLETCHER, H. P.
FOLSOM, W. B.
GAUTHER OIL PLACER MINING CLAIM #1
GROUT, E. L.
HALLEY, M. W.
HELLYER, CHARLES M.
KEAN-MILLER-EVEN CATTLE & OIL CO.
LAMB, ROY
LANGSATHER-GOLDBRAND
LUNDGREN, ANDREW
MADDEN-WATTS
MARKHAM, GEO. E.
MCLAUGHLIN, JAMES & VERNA
MCMULLEN, HUGH
MICHAELSEN-MUSSELSHELL STATE BANK
MONDAY, CHAS. U.
NYBERG, LYDIA A.
O'FALLON, JOHN & ROY
PETERS, G. A. & BLANCHE
PETERSON, PETE
PHILLIPS, C. M.
RADDUE, S. W.
ROHR, FRED
SHIVELY, N. D.
SJOSTROM, NANNIE
STRICKLAND, P. H.
SULLIVAN-SULLIVAN SWEENEY, WILLIAM
TALBOT, HARRY
THOMPSON, WM. H. C.
VIRGILS, CHARLES A.
WAGNER, GRANT C.
WALKER, JOHN I.

ROY MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROY

AARHUS, JOHN
AMERICAN EAGLE TIN INC.
ANDERSON, A. J.
BAKER, C. A.
BAKER, CARL
BARBEE, R. S.
BARBER G. M.
BARRETT, JUDSON MOODY
BARRITT, J. M.
BAUCHBACH, L. J.
BENCHLAND STATE BANK
BILGREN, A. E.
BOUCHAL, FRANK
BRASSEY, W. E.
BROWN, R. R.
BUCK, MILO
BUDWEISER, G. F.
CARTER, ANNA A.
CARTER, LLOYD
CHARLES LEHAMN & CO.
CHRISTENSEN, CHARLIE
CITY NAT'L BANK OF YORK, NEB.
COTCHOFF, ILIO PHILIPP
COWAN, WILLIAM
COX, J. E.
CRAMNER, W. H. CAPT.
CURTISS, W. A.
DARRAH, G. C.
DAVIDSON, GEO.
DAVIS, O. J.
DEVINE, W. EVERETT
DEWITT, D. W.
DOBBS, HARRY T.
DONOHUE, HUGH
DOUGLAS, RACHEL
DUNCAN, S. H.
DURBIN, ROY
EATON, LEWIS J
ENTERPRISE, ROY
FARMERS STATE BANK OF ROY
FAULDS, W. S.
FEGERT, ED
FIELD, L. H.
FIRST N. B. OF ROY
FISHER, C. M.
FISHER, ROB'T L
FORSEMAN, C. W.
FREED O. E.
FREED, OACAR
GALLAGHER, M. M.
GALLOWAY, J. E
GALLOWAY, W. C.
GEISLER, EMILY
GEYER, GEO.
GILBERT-ANDERSON
GRINDY, ELMER
HADLEY, A.
HALBERT, H. L.
HALSTAD, CARSTEN ARNSEN
HANLEY, ROBT. J. DR.
HANSON, PEDER
HARVEY, LOUIS A.
HATCH, J. W.
HEBERT, H. C.
HOFFMAN-ANDERSON
HULTBERG, JOHN
HUMPHRIES, JAMES
HUSTAD, MARY T.
IRWIG, VALENTINE C.
JOHN F. SINCLAIR & CO.
JOHNSON BROS.
JOHNSON-HANSEN
JOHNSON, E. D.
JOHNSON, E. R.
JOHNSON, W. A.
JOHNSON, WILHELM A.
JOHNSRUD, O. J.
KALAL, A.
KALINA, J. W.
KELLEY HOW-THOMPSON CO.
KOMAREK, R.
KOWAL, MIKE
LANE-GUY
LANE, W. E.
LARSEN, CLIFFORD A.
LASHAT, A.
LECLAIR, J.
LECLAIR, JOE
LEWIS, W. H.
LUCHT, H. F.
LUDEMAN, HENRY SR.
LUND OLIE
MADISON, SIMON
MARKS, PETER D.
MARTIN, EARL
MARTIN, HENRY A.
MARTIN, L. L.
MC CABE, GEORGE
MCCABE, J. F.
MCCAIN, T. D.
MCCULLEN, C. D.
MESSENGER, E. M.
MESSENGER, RUSSELL F.
MILLER, CLYDE
MITCHELL, R. S.
MOORE, CHARLES J.
MOUCKA, FRANK
MYERS, MIKE
NORBY-ROGERS
NORBY, A.
NORBY, THEOLINE
NORTHERN ROCK ISLAND PLOW CO.
NOVAK, STANLEY JOSEPH
OPITZ, A. A.
OQUIST, HARRY
ORNER, A. J.
ORNER, J. N.
ORNER, J. R.
OSBORNE, J. N.
PACOVSKY-HULTBERG
PATTON, F. R.
PAULSEN, EDNA, L.
PAULSON, GEO. G.
PETERSON, CHAS.
PHILLIPS, CHAS.
POSPISIL, FRANK
PRESTON BROS.
PUCKETT, J. V.
RABE, WM.
RICE, W. A.
RICHARDSON, L. E.
ROY HARDWARE
SAGE CREEK THR. CO.
SANDSTROM, OSCAR E.
SAVAGE, M. W. CO.
SCHOCK, BENNETT
SCHULZE, FRED
SEARS, ROEBUCK & CO.
SECURITY STATE BANK OF LEWISTON, MINNA.
SEIDEL, J. O.
SEYMOUR, RAY W.
SHAROOD CO.
SHEINBAUM, CARL
SHERMAN SAM
SHERMAN, SAM
SMITH, W. S.
SOVEREIGN, M.
SPOON FRANK
STEAB, LAURA
STEIN, A. F. MRS.
STEINDORFF, WILLIAM C.
STENDAL, ANNA E.
STONE, CLAUS
STRAUSBERG, S. F.
SUMMERS, J. E.
TERNAN, A. E.
THE OSBORNE CO.
TINDALL, OLIVE M.
TOBIAS, JOHN D.
TOWNSEND, GUY
TRUMER, MATT
TRUSTY, E. C.
TRUSTY, E. L.
TULLY, RUSSELL A.
VAN WAGONER, GEO.
VAN ZANDT, LYNN C.
VLASAK, HULDA
VONDRACEK, VACLAV
WALKER, ROMAN
WALSH, JOHN
WARREN, F. D.
WASS, L. M. A.
WEEDELL, P. A.
WIEDMAN, A. C.
WINDLAND, GUY
WRIGHT, JOE
ZEMANEK, RUDOLPH

SACO MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SACO

LAWS, JOE E.

SHELBY MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHELBY

DAHL, A.
DAVIS, FRANK
EGELAND, NELS
ROBINSON, E. J.

STEVENSVILLE MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF STEVENSVILLE

DALGLISH, JAS. ESTATE

THREE FORKS MT

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF THREE FORKS

BLOCK, ANDREW J.
HULTON, T. C.

TOWNSEND MT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TOWNSEND

BROUCHER, ANNA
DOUBLE, FLOYD
EDENS, ALLEN
EDMINSTER, R. W.
GILLERN, WM.
JACOBI, EDWIN C.
LAVOLD, HENRY
MCDONALD-REYNOLDS
NORMAN, CHAUNCEY T.
SMITH, ORA BEATRICE

ELKIN NC

THE ELKIN NATIONAL BANK

CARSON, T. J.
CAUDILL, OSCAR
COX, W. C.
DOUGHTON, J. L. DR.
DOUGHTON, R. A.
DUNCAN, D. C.
EDWARDS, C. W.
EDWARDS, HIRAM
ETTEP, H. J.
FENDER, ISOM
FENDER, SARAH MRS.
FENDER, T. A.
FINNEY, R. K.
HACKLER, R. H.
HARRIS, EMMA
HAWTHORN, J. R.
HAWTHORN, J. W.
HAWTHORN, R. E.
HYLAR, W. A.
MCMILLAN, JOHN A.
OSBORN, F. M.
RECTOR, THOMAS
REYNOLDS, ISOM
RICHARDSON, EMMITT
RICHARDSON, S. C.
RICHARDSON, WM. & TYRE
ROUP, J. C.
TAYLOR, D. R.
THOMAS, S. R.
THOMPSON, W. F.
TRANSOU, EUGENE
WAGONER, I. B.
WOODRUFF, A. A.
WOODRUFF, W. G.

FAYETTEVILLE NC

THE NATIONAL BANK OF FAYETTEVILLE

DOWNING, D. C.
NICHOLS, JOHN L.
TOLAR, T. S.

GOLDSBORO NC

THE NATIONAL BANK OF GOLDSBORO

DEES, H. C.
DEWEY, T. W.
SUTTON, LUDIE
THOMAS, CLARISSA MRS.
TILLY, R. B.
UTTER, LILLIE MRS.

KINSTON NC

THE NATIONAL BANK OF KINSTON

BARWICK, WILEY W. ESTATE
CIVILS, J. H.
DAIL, EFFIE
DAIL, W. L. MRS.
DAUGHETY, M. E. T.
DIXON, W. R.
EVERETT, RUFUS
FOY, STEVEN
GOODMAN, ALICE MRS.
HARDEE, N. F.
HOWARD, JOHN THORNTON
ISLER, LULA
JOHNSON, C. W.
JONES, J. E.
JORDAN, ELIZA I.
PARRISH, MAGGIE MRS.
PATRICK, LILLIAN CATHERINE
POPE, C. R.
PRIDGEN, NANNIE I.
SIMMONS, DAVID
SMITH, LONNIS
SMITH, R. K.
SMITH, W. A.
SPRUILL, LEVI MRS.
STRICKLIN, JOSEPH
STROUD, M. S.
TAYLOR, R. L.
WADE, J. C.
WEEKS & WOOTEN
WILLIAMS, JOSEPH

LOUISBURG NC

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LOUISBURG

BURWELL, OLLIE
FRANKLIN CO. TOBACCO WAREHOUSE CO.
FULLER, F. M.
HARRIS, G. C.
HOLMES, WILL
JEFFREYS, JOSEPH E.
MCFARLAND, E. H.
MITCHELL, SALLIE A. MRS.
NEWELL, S. A.
NEWELL, S. A. MRS.
RUFFIN, WILLIAM H. TRUSTEE
WHEELER, ZALLIE A.
WILLIAMS, W. H. SR.

RALEIGH NC

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF RALEIGH

HERMAN, O. R.
MCDONALD, LEO
MELCOLM, SWART R.

SPRING HOPE NC

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SPRING HOPE

AUSTIN & DAVENPORT
BAINES, O. B.
BATCHELOR, J. W. M.
BISSETT, W. J.
BRANTLEY, PERCY
BRANTLEY, S. W.
BULLOCK, E. C.
BURNETT, J. S. MRS.
CHAMBLEE, F. G.
CHAPPELL, D. L.
COLLIE, P. H. & SONS
CONE, V. F. & WIFE
COPPEDGE, B. C.
COULLING (CONLLING) N. B.
DALLAS, M. R.
DELLBRIDGE, B. C. & W. M.
EDWARDS, C. A.
EDWARDS, GEO. W.
EDWARDS, H. R.
EDWARDS, O. G.
EDWARDS, S. C.
GRIFFIN, H. L.
GRIFFIN, S. B.
HARRIS, B. B.
HART, R. L.
HATHOWAY, R. N.
JEFFRIES, J. J.
JERRIERS, ANNA
JONES, BOB
LAMM, W. D.
MANNING, J. R. & WIFE
MARSHBORNE, A. L.
MATHEWS, ANNIE L. J.
MCEACHERN, W. B.
MERCHANTS WAREHOUSE CO.
MINGA, LAWSON
MORGAN, L. C.
MORGAN, P. A. TRUSTEE
MORRIS, L. J. MRS.
MOSS, W. B. TRUSTEE
STRICKLAND, J. C. M.
SYKES, MATHEW
TONEY, W. T.
VALENTINE, I. T.
VALENTINE, J. W.
VESTOR, C. M. A.
WHITLEY, CORNELIUS
WILDER, S. R.
WOOD, B. F.
WORTHINGTON, J. L.

STATESVILLE NC

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF STATESVILLE

JONES, EARL W.
KELLEY, KATE R.

WARSAW NC

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WARSAW

GRADY, LOUIS D.
HURST, R. W.
SWINSON, E. H.

WINSTON-SALEM NC

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF WINSTON

YARBROUGH, GEO. C.

CRYSTAL ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CRYSTAL

ANDERSON, HANS

HANNAFORD ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HANNAFORD

HARRIS, CHARLEY
PAULSON, FRANK
THORESON, O. E.

MOHALL ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MOHALL

BERG, EDWARD J.
BREADY & OLSON
CLARK SYNDICATE
CLIFFORD, WM.
DIPPLE, JACOB
FERGUSON, J. S.
GITHENS, BOWMAN EARL
HALLAN & HILLIARD
HALLE, J. P.
HAUGAN, JOHN P.
HILBORN, H. F.
J. I. CASE THRESH. MACH. CO.
JOHNSON, GUST
JUNO, J. O.
KANNE, ALTA J.
LARAMORE, W. W.
LEWIS, W. J.
MOHALL CO-OPERATIVE STORE CO.
PUTNAM, GEO. F.
SATRON, LOUIS P.
THORSTENSON, ALFRED
TROUPE, CARL
WELLS, LEONARD

NORTHWOOD ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NORTHWOOD

THOMPSON, ANNIE MISS

SENTINEL BUTTE ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SENTINEL BUTTE

LARDY, MIKE M.
PECK, DELTA

WIMBLEDON ND

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WIMBLEDON

BERLIN, B. E.
COUEY, J. W.
FLEWELL, J. H.
JENSON, MARTIN
KOEHN, AUGUST
SIMPSON, EMMA MRS.
SKAAR, IVER L.

WOODWORTH ND

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WOODWORTH

BOHL, JACOB
FISCHER, JOHN B.
GERBER, D. W.
GRAVES, HANS A.
HAMILTON, WILL
HANSON, A. L.
HERMAN, JOHN
JOHNSON, GUNDER
KOUSHKOUSKI, P.
MONSON, ALBERT
REWALD, ALFRED
RUED, O. J.
SPIERING, GUST WILLIAM
STRONG TWP., STUTSMAN COUNTY, N. D.
SVODOBA, FRANK
TOEWS, J. D.
ULRICH, JOHN
YULE, C. N.
YULE, D. W.

AUBURN NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AUBURN

GERDES, JOHN

CARROLL NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CARROLL

DAVIS, DANIEL
FUNK, ANNIE & MAE OR (FRINK)
WILCOX, GLEN

CHAPPELL NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHAPPELL

BLISS, KATHLEEN L.
READER, DAN

COLERIDGE NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COLERIDGE

DOOLITTLE, H. H.
MCCLAFLIN, J. H.
MCCULLOUGH, LESTER E.
MYERS, C. T.
NOE, F. C. MRS.
WHITNEY, BERNICE M.
WHITNEY, FAY O.
WHITNEY, WILBER G.

COLUMBUS NE

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF COLUMBUS

JACKSON, DANIEL P.
MOHR, GEO. J.

GREELEY NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GREELEY

DAUGHERTY, JAS. M.
GRAY, MICHAEL

HUMPHREY NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HUMPHREY

TOOGOOD, LEILA

KEARNEY NE

CITY NATIONAL BANK IN KEARNY

BROWN, S. A.
CONNOR, VESTA L.
HASTINGS, ANNA
JONES - EVANS CONTRACT
LONG, FLOYD

LAUREL NE

THE LAUREL NATIONAL BANK

ENGELEN, ALBERT
KUEHN, OSCAR
PETERSON, GUSTAV
PRESTON, CARL

NORTH BEND NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NORTH BEND

PHILLIPS, EVA FERN
THE LOYAL WORKERS

OAKLAND NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OAKLAND

ANDERSON, NELLIE A.
BERG, JOHN P.
BROWN, ANNA MAY
CARLSON, E. M. MRS.
CULL, W. V.
HARDING, HARVEY A.
PETERSON, A. P.
PETERSON, BENGTA
SENTEE, THOMAS W.

PILGER NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PILGER

BROCKEMEIN, F.
GARDNER, WILSON
HECKENDORF, A. H.
KOPLIN, H. F.
LIESWALD, EMIEL
SCHELLPYER, AUG.
SPOERING, CARL

PLAINVIEW NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PLAINVIEW

WEILER, GLADYS

RANDOLPH NE

THE SECURITY NATIONAL BANK OF RANDOLPH

LEACH, AMANDA BOETGER

WAHOO NE

THE SAUNDERS COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF WAHOO

SWANSON, OTTO ANDERS

WAKEFIELD NE

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF WAKEFIELD

KELLOGG, D. H.
PEARSON, PHILIP

WALTHILL NE

THE WALTHILL NATIONAL BANK

BALL, ARTHUR

WAUSA NE

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WAUSA

HULTMAN, EMIL
PETERS, HERMAN
PRESTON, SAM

WAYNE NE

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF WAYNE

WENDTE, J. H.

ASHBURY PARK NJ

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, ASHBURY PARK

SCHOFIELD, J. W.

ATLANTIC CITY NJ

CHELSEA-SECOND NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF ATLANTIC CITY

ABRAMS, ARTHUR C.
ADAMS, LEWIS R.
ADAMS, LULU CARSON
ADAMS, WILSON D.
ALLIGER, JOHN B.
AMERICAN SOUVENIR CO.
ANTRIM, WILLIAM S.
ATLANTIC TRADING CO.
BACHARACH, ISAAC
BAKER, WILLIAM A.
BALL, S. B. MRS.
BANKS, MORTON H.
BARBER, SAMUEL W.
BECKER, MARTIN
BERTHOLD, EST. OF ADOLPH
BLOOM, ALBERT J.
BROOKS, A. L.
BROWNELL, C. W.
BROWNELL, CHARLES W.
BURNETT, CARRIE
CANBY, W. MARRIOTT
CARLSON, FRANK P.
CARSON, MARTHA
CARY, JOSEPH A.
CASTEEN, GEORGE A.
CHAMBERLIN, J. N.
CHAMERS, MINA
CHANES, WILLIAM
COHEN, HARRY & BESSIE
COPE, JOHN PURDY
CRAINER, HENRY J.
CROSBY, BENJAMIN S.
CROSS, FRANK & TREACY LUCILLE
DAILY, JOSEPH J.
DAILY, NELLIE E.
DEANGELI, CATHERINE
DELONG, E. GERTRUDE
DESNEY, LOUISE MRS.
DESNEY, R. ALLEN
DEWEY, EDWARD W.
DIETRICH, ANNA L.
DOUGHTY, JOANNA
DUGAN, ELIZABETH C.
EAGAN, EDWARD
EDWARDS, RICHARD G.
ELLIOTT, RAY MRS.
ERRICO, FRANK, JR.
EUREKA CHAPTER
FAGAN, REEVES L.
FAIRBAIRN, HARRY L.
FAR EAST REST. (WONG WING OR CHIN JIN)
FEIFFER, SIDNEY
FEIN, LOUIS
FINKLE, LEONARD
FLEMING, MARY S.
FREELAND, J.
FRIEDLAND, J.
FRIEDMAN, JOSEPH S.
FULMER, EMMA H.
GARDINER, JOSEPHINE S.
GIMINO, MARY MRS.
GIZZI, ROXIE
GOODIES SHOP (GEO, PAPPAS & JAS POULOS)
GORDON, ELLA H.
GRAY, KATHERINE B. MRS.
GREEN, R.
GREENBERG, NATHAN MRS.
GROSS, MARIE, DECEASED
H. M. C. REALTY CO.
HAINES, MRS. S. A.
HALPERN, PAULINE
HAMMER, BROTHERS
HARONIS, EST. OF JOHN
HARRIS, ALFRED
HARRIS, GILBERT
HARRISON, GENEVIEVE
HASSETT, JOSEPH F. & JULIA V.
HENRY, ANDREW J.
HERITAGE, BENJ.
HERMAN OR HAMILTON, MRS. ROSE K.
HILL, RICHARD S.
HOLT, TERESA MRS.
HYETT, J.
INGRAM, JAMES
INGRAM, JOSEPH, PRES.
ISLAND DEVELOPMENT CO.
JACOBS, F. E.
JAMES BROTHERS
JOHNSON, CHARLOTTE E.
JOHNSON, DOROTHY F.
JOHNSTONE, FREDERICK H.
JONES, HARRY T. OR OLIVE
JOSEPHSON, BLANCHE
KAIN, FRED
KALLAS, H. L.
KELLEY, MARY E.
KELLY, MAE C.
KELMER, EDWARD
KERINS, S. H.
KEUCHER, OTTO
KING, MARTHA M. DECEASED & L. DONNELLY
KNORR, HELEN MRS.
KONDO, S.
LABARR, M.
LANG, KATHERINE B.
LITTMAN, B.
LOGAN, ELIZABETH
LOVENSKJOLD, OTTO K.
MAHONEY, MARY C.
MALONOS, HARRY MRS.
MCBREARTY, JAMES
MCBREARTY, JAMES J.
MCCLELLAN, A. C.
MENANTICO SAND & GRAVEL CO.
MERCER, CHARLES
MEYER, CHARLES E. OR NELLIE
MOORE, JOSEPH
MOORE, R. H.
MOULD, T. W.
MUELLER, JACOB
MUNCE, ELIZABETH A.
MURPHY, NICHOLAS P.
MURRAY, ELIZABETH
MURTLAND, DANIEL L. W.
MURTLAND, DANIEL L. W., EXECUTOR
MYERS, ETTA R.
NEUSTADTER, JENNIE
NEWS PUBLISHING CO.
NICHOLS, HOWARD S.
OGDEN, J. R. OR MAY M.
OTTO, ELIZABETH ANN
PALMER, CARRIE
PARSELLS-NASH CO.
PARSELLS, CHARLES G.
PERNA, JOSEPH
PICON, EDITH
PINKHAM, GEORGE L.
PRATHER, NETTIE LEE
PRECISION STEEL TREATMENT CORP.
RELIABLE FURNITURE CO.
RICHARDS, CHARLES D.
RICHARDSON, CHARLES A.
ROBERTSON, AGNES
ROBINSON, R. MRS.
ROGERS, OLIVER T.
ROSE, JACK
ROSENBERGER, MARY
ROSENTHAL, HARRY
ROSSI, ANGELI
ROWE, JOHN L.
RUANNE, WINNIFRED
SALTZBURG, SAMUEL
SAUL, ELSIE OR SINGER
SAYRE, LORENZO
SCARBOROUGH, FLORENCE
SCHOEN, DAVID
SCHREIBER, LOUIS
SCHURCH, SARAH E.
SCHURCH, WM. H. JR.
SEELER, H. L.
SEYMOUR, MAGGIE
SIMON, RAE A.
SITLEY, EARL B.
SMITH, E. R.
SPRINGER, MARTHA K.
STARK, CHARLES
STEACY, WINFIELD S.
STEWART, MARY J.
STRACK, CAROLINE
STRAUSS, B. AND E.
STULZ, CHARLOTTE M.
TALLMAN, GEORGE J.
TELLIS, ESTHER
THOMPSON, JESSE B.
THOMPSON, JULIA C.
THORNTON, MABEL M.
TODD, F. ERNEST
TRIPICIAN, EST. OF C. G.
TURK, D. L.
TURNER, CHARLOTTE
UNIT CONSTRUCTION CO.
VILENSKY, ANNA SYLVIA
WAGNER, CHARLES E. JR.
WALKER, CATHERINE D.
WALKER'S RESTAURANT, INC.
WE-MADE-IT-KITCHEN (KATHERINE B. LANG)
WHITE, EUGENE F.
WILLIAMS, MAX A.
WOLFINGER, CLARENCE E.
WOOTON, JONAH
WOOTTEN, HARRY
WOOTTON, ESTELLA
WOOTTON, MARY A.
WRIGHT, W. E.
WYNN, ROBERT
YOUNGBLOOD, KATHERINE
ZAZZALI, JULIA E.
ZERNHELT, JOHN WILLIAM

ATLANTIC CITY NJ

NORTHSIDE TRUST COMPANY

BRICK, ANNA E.
DEVERGNES, T. R.
DOBSON, ETHEL
ENTRIKEN, WILLIAM G.
JOSEPHSON, JOSEPH
LAZAR, ALEX
LEIBOWITZ, JACK
LEVIN, SAMUEL
LUCAS, STANLEY

ATLANTIC CITY NJ

THE ATLANTIC CITY NATIONAL BANK

ACE COAL COMPANY
ALBERT M. GEOTZ CO.
ALEXANDER, M. T. K.
ALGAR COMPANY
ALL TRUNK HANGER CO. INC.
ALLEN, WM. S.
ANDERSON'S
ARLIN CORPORATION
ATL. CITY LODGE #276
ATL. CITY LODGE #376
ATLANTIC BUILDING CO.
ATLAS WHITE BIRCH CO.
B. M. LEOPOLD CO. INC.
BACHARACH, HARRY E.
BAKER, B.
BATEMAN-MINXNER CO.
BELL, ALLEN R.
BELL, ALLYN R.
BERMAN, BESSIE
BEST, HARRY
BETZ, MABEL
BIRON, JULIUS
BLAURELT, LILLIE MAR
BOARDWALK CORNER PROPERTY CO.
BOCKINS, KATHERINE
BOGATIN, RUG & LINOLEUM CO.
BOWKER, LEWIS S.
BOYD, JENNY - TRUSTEE
BRODE, WM. F.
BURK, S. ALTON
BURKE, THOMAS F.
BURNS, CHAS.
BURTON, GEORGE L.
C. BUTRUS & SONS
CALDWELL, WM. JR.
CALVERT, EDNA R.
CAMPBELL, JOHN M.
CARMACK, CARRIE
CARROLL, MARGARET L.
CHAS. W. STRICKLAND DEV. CO.
CHRISTMAS, JAMES
CHURCH OF THE ASCENSION
CICERO, MARIE MRS.
CIRCLE GARAGE
CIRCLE GARAGE, FRED FELS
CLEMENS, ANNA
COBLINS, MORRIS
CORNERLL, H.
CRILLEN, J. C. MRS.
CROWLEY, S. E.
DALSTAN, LUCY D.
DAVIES, MICHAEL L.
DEIN, G.
DEMISE, RALPH
DEOSDERS INSTITUTE
DOHERTY, ELIZABETH
DRISCOLL, C.
DUNN, JESSIE R.
EASTERN HARDWARE & SUPPLY CO.
EASTERN HARDWARE CO.
EDWARDS MACHINE SHOP
EDWIN SMITH, INC.
ELDRIDGE EXP. & STGE WHSE CO.
ENGLE, H.
FARKAS, FRANK ATTY.
FARKAS, SYLVIA ATTY.
FAY, RACHEL M.
FELS, FRED C.
FERRY, SARAH C.
FISCHER, CHARLES L.
FISCHER, CHARLES L. EST.
FISCHER, CHAS. L.
FISCHLER, CHARLES L.
FISHER, VICTOR S.
FITZSIMONS, JOHN A. 3RD
FITZSIMONS, RODNEY
FLECK ATLANTIC CO.
FOX, BENJ. R.
FRAMBES, BERTHA M.
FRANK FARKAS, SYLVIA FARKAS, ATTY.
FRENCH, PERCY
FRENCH, WALLACE
FULLER, H. WALTER
GARAGE, ROGER
GASKILL, NORRIS L.
GENERAL LAND & INVESTMENT CO.
GERSON, SAM
GETTLEMEN, M.
GILBERT, IONA
GINSBURG, N.
GLENN, LEWIS B.
GODSHALL, HARRY L.
GODSHELL, HARRY L.
GOLDMAN, SADIE
GRAHAM'S GARAGE
GREENBERG, MAX A.
GREENBLATT, I.
H. BENDEKE CO.
HAMPTON BROS. CO.
HANNUM, E. F. N.
HANSEN, CARL
HARRIS REALTY CO.
HASKINS, BETTY
HINGSTON, WILLIAM
HOLLIDAY, JOHN WILLIAM
HOLMES, WM.
HOTEL LAFAYETTE CO.
HOUSE OF JACOBY
HUDSON CEMENT & SUPPLY CO. INC.
HUGHES, LAURA S.
JACOBY, NORMAN
JAMES FERRY COMPANY, INC.
JAMES PETERSON INC.
JAMES PETERSON, INC.
JAMES W. PETERSON INC.
JOCOBY, RACHEL MRS.
JOFFE, NATHAN
JOHNSON, ALBERT B.
JOSEPHSON, JOSEPH H.
KAPLAN & HAFTER
KAPLAN, RALPH
KATZOWSKY, HARRY
KELLY, JAMES F.
KIMBLE, ADA M.
KIRKMAN, MRS.
KOHR'S CUSTARD CORP.
L. GINSBURG BROS.
LAZAR, ALEX
LAZAR, MAIZIE
LEBECK SALES CO.
LEE, LEON A.
LEEDS, HARRY B.
LEOPOLD, B. M.
LEVIN, BEATRICE
LIBERTY NEON CORPORATION
LIEBSTER, M.
LITZIE, SAMUEL L.
LYNCH, H. W.
M. B. MARKLAND CO.
MAC ARTHUR, WM. T.
MACK MACHINE CO. INC.
MAGER, HENRY
MAHARBA APARTMENTS INC.
MAHARBA APARTS. INC.
MARSTELLE, HOWARD T.
MASON CO. INC.
MATERIA, VINCENZO
MAYHEW, EDGAR S.
MAYNE, THOMAS
MCCALL, JOSEPH W.
MCCORMICK, JOSEPHINE L.
MCGUIRE, CHAS. A.
MCKEEVER, MARGARET
MCLAUGHLIN-CONOVER CO.
MCNANN, MARY
MELLOY, ANNA
MEREDITH, GEO. W. EST.
METH, CARRIE G.
MILLER STUDIOS
MIMNAGH, GEORGE
MINNIE, ROBERT
MUEHTER, PAUL P. SR.
MURTLAND, DANIEL L. W.
MUSCH, CHARLES G.
MUTUAL SECURITIES

CORP
MYERS, JACOB C.
N. AGER & SONS
NAGLE, JOSEPH A.
NEWELL & BESORE
O'CONNELL, CLARA
OLGILVIE, KENNETH
OTTO, GEORGE H.
PARSELLS COAL CO.
PARSELLS NASH CO.
PARSELLS, LOTTIE R.
PATTERSON, R. D. MRS.
PEDRICK, F. J.
PEIRSOL, W. S. JR.
PEOPLES MARKET CO. INC.
PEOPLES MARKET COMPANY
PERKINS BAKING CO. INC.
PITTINOS, JAMES W.
PRYOR, CHAS. S.
QUINN, NORMAN J.
RAPPEPORT, B. DANIEL
REEVES, FRANK A.
REICHNER, SAML K.
RELIANCE DEVELOPMENT CO.
REYNOLDS, MARY K.
RITTER, FANNY
ROBINSON SUPPLY CO.
ROBINSON, C. M.
ROBINSON, ULYSSES G.
ROEDER, JOSEPH F.
ROGINA, ANNA
ROPER, FRANKLIN E.
ROSECRANS, PAUL C.
ROSENHEIM, ROSALIND R.
S. ROSENBURG, INC.
SALTZMAN, LEWIS
SALTZMAN, LEWIS & BENJ.
SATANOV, LOUIS
SAUNDERS, ANNIE
SCHEPER, HAROLD
SCHNEIDERMAN, JULIUS
SCHWARTZ, MAX
SCHWARTZ, ROSA P. & NATHAN
SEASHORE BLDG. & DEV.
SEASHORE BUILDING & CO.
SEAVIEW WINERY
SHANER & KNAUER
SHEEHAN, JOS. M.
SHEEHAN, JOS. W.
SHEPPARD, ALAN M.
SHERMAN, JOS.
SHIVERS, C. H. DE T.
SHORT, WILLIAM
SICREE, MADGE
SKEY, LAURENCE
SLAPE, JOHN C.
SMITH, GEORGE A.
SMITH, MARY A. EST.
SOLT, JOSEPH
SOLTZ, JOSEPH
STAHL, H.
STAIGER, WILLIAM
STEIN, FRED
STEINBERGER, B.
STERN, SYLVIA
STEVENSON, JOHN J.
STILLE, C. E.
STOUT, HOWARD A.
STRELLOR, KATE MRS.
TAYLOR PACKING CO.
TAYLOR, WILLARD B.
THOMMENSEN, FERDINAND J.
THORSON, DAVID
TRICE, RAYMOND
UHLMANN, JOS. F. SR.
UNGER, D. A.
VANSANT, ELMER H.
VOLLMER, ALEX
VONHAGEN, HILDEGARD
WAGENHAEIM, MEYER
WAGENHEIM, CHARLES
WALKER, ROBT. J.
WALL, BETTY
WALTER, MARY
WALTERS, MARY
WEDDERBURN, LAWRENCE
WEINER, LEWIS A.
WILDE, B. MRS.
WINDER, LUCY BOYD
WM. MYERSON BEDDING CO. INC.
WOLF, DANIEL
WOLFE, DANIEL
YOUNG, THOMAS C.
ZOLOT, REBECCA

ATLANTIC CITY NJ

THE UNION NATIONAL BANK OF ATLANTIC CITY

ABBOTT, ALICE MRS.
ADAMS, ISRAEL G.
AITKEN, M. L.
ATLANTIC CITY PLBG SUP. CO.
BALDWIN, Z. T.
BOARDMAN, SAML. F.
BRENIFF, LENA
CARRADO, G.
CONOVER, SMITH
COOK, FRANKLIN P.
DAUGHTERS OF AMERICA
ENDICOTT, ALLEN B.
FISHER, JOHN V.
HAEBERLY, H. H.
HALL, JOHN F.
HARRIS, ALFRED N.
HEDRICK, SARAH F.
KILPATRICK, LEANDER
KLIEMAN POULTRY CO.
LIGHTHOUSE LODGE
MASON CO. INC.
PARK CO.
PERLMUTTER, JULIUS
PHILLIPS, SUSAN
PRESSEY, ARTHUR
RAPHAEL, I.
REED, DAVID C.
RICHMAN, GEORGE W.
ROBERTS, JOHN W.
SCHEUER, JOHN D.
SEAMAN & MARTENS
SLIPAKOFF, ROSE
SUKSTEIN, BEKI
VYNE, A. G.
WALKER, N. J.
YELLOW CAB COMPANY

EAST ORANGE NJ

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EAST ORANGE

BABCOCK, ALICE & GLADYS
CAUFIELD, H. R. JR.
COHEN, RICHARD L.
COLOLILLO, ELSIE
DELAMATER, EST. OF JOHN W.
FAZAKAS, ASPARD E., ST. MARK'S CHURCH
GAVIN, LEONARD
JAQUITH, DOROTHY
KENNEDY, IDA M.
KROEH, JENNIE ESTATE
MARTIN, ARTHUR D.
MILLRING, E. ROSS
RITZ TOOL COMPANY
VICTOR, EDMUND

LYNDHURST NJ

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LYNDHURST

BARRICKLE, EDNA M.
BOUGHTON, EDGAR
HARVEY, WILLIAM H.
JOHNSON, A. E. MRS.
KITCHEN, JOHN
MULLER, WILLIAM
POLLNER, MOE
TEPPER, REGINA

MILLVILLE NJ

THE MECHANICS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF MILLVILLE

BROWN, JAMES
CHEW, FRANK S.
DEAN, WM.
DORING, ARTHUR W.
MELVIN, HERBERT H.
PARROTT, W. H.

NEWARK NJ

NEW JERSEY NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF NEWARK

AGENS, FRED
AMERICAN CLOTHING CO.
AMERICAN IMPLEMENT CO.
AQUASEAL, INC.
ARNOLT, STANLEY H.
ASSOCIATED UNDERWRITERS
B. & S. TIRE CO.
BADGER, RHODA S.
BAKER SPECIALTY CO. INC.
BALDWIN, JOSEPH
BALDWIN, MARY
BALDWIN, SAMUEL O.
BALNCHARD, NOAH F.
BALSWIN, MARY A.
BANK OF MANHATTAN CO.
BELLSEYS MEN'S SHOP
BERGEN, EDGAR W.
BERGER, HARRY
BERRY, HENRY K.
BLACKSTONE BROS., INC.
BLOOM, ABRAM
BLUM BLDG. CO.
BOEHNER, WM. J. & CO.
BOYNTON LUMBER CO.
BRECKENRIDGE, WILLIAM
BROAD & MARKET N B AND TRUST CO
BROCKMAN, SAM
BRONFMAN COAL COMPANY
BRONSTEIN, DANIEL
BROWN, CHARLES
BRUEN, JAMES M.
BUCKWALD, R. J.
CAINES, JOB
CALDWELL, MABEL M.
CAMPBELL, H. J.
CAROLINEH, JOHNSON
CARTER, KATE B.
CASSA, GEORGE M.
CENTRAL CHEMICAL CO.
CENTRAL STATION EQUIP. CO.
CHALSON-OSBORNE CO. INC.
CHAPEAU, EASY
CHASEN, JULIUS
CHERNIKOW, MORRIS
COE, AARON
COE, ABBY
COE, SAMUEL A.
COHN, MORRIS
COLIE, CHARLES
CONFORTI, AURELIO
CONGREGATION ADAS ISRAEL MISHNAYES
CONSOLIDATED FLOUR CO.
CRANE, JANNETTE
CRANE, PHEBE T.
D'ORO, STELLA RESTAURANT
DAVIS, AARON
DAY, MATILDA B.
DECKER & CANNING CO. INC.
DECKER BLDG. MATERIAL CO.
DEIFIK & PRUDER
DEL SORDO, ANDY
DESSLER AND KANTOR
DESSLER, ABRAHAM I.
DETTLEBACK, CYRUS
DI LORENZO, ANTHONY
DI PIAZZA, SAMUEL
DICKERSON, A. B.
DICKERSON, AUGUSTA R.
DICKERSON, JAMES
DICKERSON, MARTHA
DICKERSON, WILLIAM
DINDAS, JACK M.
DIX, JOHN E.
DIXON SPECIALTY SALES CO.
DONAHUE, WM. E.
DREYFUSS, HARRY
DUTCHER, BENTAMIN
EDELSTEIN, SADIE
EISENBERG AND SAMIT
EISENSTEIN, ABRAHAM
ELIZABETH TRUST CO.
ELUERSON, JUBEL
EQUITABLE LOAN SOCIETY
ERTAG, MAX
ESSEX COUNTY NEWS CO.
ESSEX GENERAL HOSPITAL
ESTATE D. W. BALDWIN
ESTATE OF F. DUNHALL
ESTATE OF GEO. M. DAVIS
EXPERIENCE CLUMP
F. L. & P. INV. CO.
FEDER, MAURICE M.
FENNER, W. E.
FENNING, HERMAN A.
FINANCE MORTGAGE CO.
FINKELSTEIN, HARRY
FITZPATRICK, JOHN J.
FIVERSON, WILLIAM
FORREST, L. P.
FUERST, HERBERT
GAINES, CHARLES
GANS, SIMON
GARRETT, ELIZABETH
GARSTAK, MAX
GEFFEN AND SCHNEIDER
GEIGER, FRED
GEORGE, NICHOLAS J.
GEORGOPUL, EVANGELI
GERTH, THEO.
GEVAS, GEORGE
GIORGIO BROS.
GLASBY, J. F. LUMBER CO.
GLASGAL, ABRAHAM
GLUCKMAN, I. E.
GOBBLE, HORACE
GODEFROY, MARCEL
GOLDBERG, LILY
GOLDBLOOM REALTY CO.
GOLDEN, LENA
GOULD, A. L.
GOULD, EZRA
GRAF., ADOLPHINE
GRANT, EMILY E.
GREACERR, S. B.
GREACERR, WALTER
GROMAN, HARRIETT E.
GROSS, ISIDORE
GROSS, SEYMOUR R.
GROSSMAN, FANNY
GUARDIAN PLAN
GUTTMAN, MILTON
HALDEN, HARRY
HALLORAN, JOHN M.
HALSEY, JOSEPH A.
HAMMER, ABE
HAMMER, S.
HARLSEY, SILAS C.
HARRIS, NATHAN
HARRISON, E. DAVID
HARRY, JAMES L. G.
HASKIN, HARRY
HEGEMAN, BENJAMIN A. ESTATE OF
HELFER, J. B.
HERMAN, ELIZABETH
HERMAN, SIMON J.
HESSE, JOHN F.
HILL, MARTHA B.
HITTLER BROS.
HOFFMAN, WALTER A.
HOLBROOK, H. STEPHEN
HOLLAND ELEC. SALES CORP.
HOLLANDER SCHIFFMAN CO.
HOLLANDER, SAMUEL M.
HOROWITZ, MARTIN
HRYNIEWICH, JULIA
INDUSTRIAL CORP.
INDUSTRIAL OFFICE BUILDING COMPANY
INGLING, ANNIE T.
INTERSTATE SMELTING & REF. CO. INC.
ISAACSON, SAMUEL
JABEX, COOK
JACOB S. D. O. D.
JACOBSON PLUMBING & HEATING CO.
JACOBSON, DAVID
JACOBUS, SARAH
JAEHNIG, PAUL H. INC.
JAFFE, L. & SON
JANCOUH, EDWIN L.
JAYSON, RAE
JENKINSON, GEORGE B.
JOY, HORATIO R.
KANDEL HEAD REST CO.
KAPLAN, MAX
KAPLAN, SAM
KAUFMAN, A.
KEISCH, NATHAN
KELLY AND CO. INC.
KENTER, NATHAN
KIERNAN, JOHN
KIRKPATRICK, ANDREW
KLEIN, RULOF
KLEIN, SAMUEL H.
KOCH, HENRY
KOENIG, HERMAN L.
KRIEGER, MAX
LANGSFORTH, THOMAS W.
LARVERNE, T. W.
LAVGSFORTH, ANNIE T.
LEEN, LOUIS H.
LEHIGH SASH DOOR & LUMBER CO.
LEHMAN, ISADORE
LEITER, ANNA
LEONARD, FRANK W.
LEVENSON, SIDNEY
LEVINE, GEORGE
LEWIS, ALVIN
LIBERTY BOTTLING WORKS
LINDAVIER, GOTTFRIED
LISTARR, WALTER
LITWIN, DAVID
LOCKWOOD, C. N.
LOWITZ, PHILIP
LOWY, MORTIMER
LOZOWICK, HARRY

LYNCH, ELLEN
LYON, W. F.
MACKENZIE, THOMAS W.
MACKNEB, C. S.
MARCUS, SOPHIE
MARKET AND BROAD REALTY CO.
MARTIN, THEO. F.
MATONTI, GABRIEL
MAYBAUM, CHAS. TR. FUND
MCGREGOR, JOHN
MCLENNAN, SEATON
MEIER & ROTHSCHILD
MILES, JESSIE L.
MILLER, DORIS MRS.
MITCHELL, G. L. & R. P.
MOCKRIDGE, OB.
MOFFAT, JOHN R.
MOFFAT, THOMAS
MURPHY, JAMES F.
MURPHY, WILLIAM
MUTUAL DISCOUNT CO.
MUTUAL DISCOUNT CORP.
NAGY, MARY
NELSON, I. R. & CO.
NEW JERSEY DIE CASTING CO.
NEWARK CASUALTY INS. CO.
NEWARK EMBOSSING CO.
NEWARK KNITTING WORKS
NEWARK SASH & DOOR
NICHOLS, CHARLES
NILE, ELIZABETH
NILE, JAMES
NORTH JERSEY ASSOCIATION OF CREDIT MEN
O'CONNOR, JOHN J.
O'DEA, PEARL
OBERLEDER, M. H. CO. INC.
OKNER, ZOLMAN
ORNSTEIN, J.
ORR, FRANK J.
OSBORNE, CHARLES S.
OSBORNE, MARY B.
PAGANO, ANGELO
PARKHURST, LESTER S.
PASSAIC VALLEY SEWERAGE CO.
PAUL CONSTRUCTION CO.
PAUL, S.
PECK, STEPHEN
PEDDIE, JOHN D.
PEDDIE, THOMAS B.
PEDRICK, A. C.
PFEIFER, JOHN L. JR.
PICCIRILLO, ANDREW A.
PIERSON, HARRIETT
PIONEER FOOD PRODUCTS CO.
POLLAK, M. FUR CORP.
POPIK, DAVID N.
POST, JOHN
POTASH, A. H.
PRAGER, JACOB
RAMOS & COMPANY
RAPA & PICCIRILLO
RAPA, CHARLES L.
RAY, HENRY D.
RAYNOR, CORA
REIDY, WILLIAM J.
REILLY, JOSEPH A.
RELIABLE PAPER & TWINE CO.
RICKER, I. C.
ROSELLE PARK B. & L.
ROSENBERG & SILBERT
RUBIN, HERMAN
RUTKIN, ABE
S. & H. CONSTRUCTION CO.
SAN GIACOMO & SONS
SANFORD, ALBAUM
SCHECHTER, CHARLES
SCHIFFMAN, ALLAN & CO.
SCHMITT, M. E.
SCHOELLNER, F. O.
SCHOPP, ALBERT W.
SCHRAM, SAM
SCHWARTZ, JACOB
SCHWARTZ, MAX
SCHWARTZ, PHILIP
SCOTT, ALONZA
SEDLACK, DANIEL
SEDLACK, DANIEL E.
SEGERMAN, PAUL
SEIDMAN & SEIDMAN INC.
SEISHOLZ, JACOB
SELIGMAN, FRANKEL & HENKIN
SHACHAT, WILLIAM
SHEVELOVE, J. J.
SHUMAKE, WM. E.
SINGER CANDY CO.
SMITH, MORGAN L.
SNYDER, JOHN
SOUTHERN LUMBER CO.
SPIEGEL, DAVID
STEIN, BENJ.
STEIN, DAVID
STEIN, SOPHIE
STEINBERG, GOLD & GOLDBERG
STEINHARDT LEATHER CO.
STURDY HOLDING CO.
SUGARMAN, BEN
SUGARMAN, JOEL
TANNERS MACHINERY CO.
TAUB, SAM
TEICHNER, EMIL
TERHUNE, I. E.
TOBON, JOHN
TRAVER, ANSON
TRU LAX PRODUCTS CO.
TRUNUBULL & GUNERSEILL
UNION GARDEN REALTY CO.
UPTOWN PLUMBING SUPPLY CO.
VAN NESS, WILLARD L.
VANZANOTLAND, P.
VERGNES, LOUIS
WALKER, HENRY V.
WALLACE BUILDING CORP.
WALLOCK, J. A.
WARNER, W. F. & CO.
WATON, CELIA
WATON, MORRIS
WATSON, W. V.
WEINTHAL, BENJ.
WELDON OR JOHNSON
WHITTEMORE, E. J.
WILCOX, SQUIRE T.
WILSAM REALTY CO.
WIRE WHEEL REPAIR & SALES CO.
WOODHULL, W. P.
WOODRUFF, JOHN D.
WORNE, EDWARD H.
YOUNG, ROBERT
YOUNG, W. J.
ZEITLIN, EDWARD J. ET AL
ZWEIBACK & APPLEBAUM

OCEAN CITY NJ

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OCEAN CITY

BERGNER, AUGUSTUS
BROOKS, H. WALTER
CAMPION, F. KENNETH
FAUNSLER, BERTHA
FRASCH, WILLIAM
FRAZIER, LAURA
HAMEL, MILDRED
HAMEL, RICHARD
HERTZLER, J. M.
KEYHAN, JOSEPHINE G.
LEATHERBERRY, MARY MRS.
MACMURRAY, W. W.
MAYHEW, D. B. ESTATE
MERKT, CHARLES L.
MURPHY, M. J.
NEVEGOLD, REBA S.
OCEAN CITY CASINO CLUB
ROBERTS, EDWARD G.
SEYMOUR, WM. A.
SHORE, VERNA
UNDERDOWN, CLARA H.
WELCH, W. H. D.
YOUNG, STATEN D.
ZIMMER, HENRY F.

OCEAN GROVE NJ

THE OCEAN GROVE NATIONAL BANK

NEILSON, H. M.

POINT PLEASANT BEACHNJ

POINT PLEASANT BEACH NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

GRAHAM, ROBERT C.
HANKINS, GEORGE W.
MOLLY PITCHER KLAN
PROCTOR, JOSEPH W.
SCHANCK, WILLIAM G. & WIFE
WOOLLEY, EMMA C.

PORT NORRIS NJ

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PORT NORRIS

FREDERICK, JOSEPH
HAND, GEORGE B.

SEASIDE HEIGHTS NJ

THE COAST NATIONAL BANK OF SEASIDE HEIGHTS

BLISS, THOS. H. & VIOLET C.
COWLES, HENRY C.
OCEAN REALTY SALES COMPANY
ORTLEY BEACH COMPANY
PEASE, E. W.
SCARINCI, A. P.
STEIDLE, ANTON

UNION CITY NJ

THE NATIONAL BANK OF NORTH HUDSON AT UNION CITY

COLIN, E. C.
DOHERTY, SARAH
HELLER, MAURICE
HUBER & POWERS
SCHLAEPFER, ARNOLD

WEST NEW YORK NJ

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEST NEW YORK

MILLER, MAX
NOVAK, JOHN
TRAUM, SAMUEL

WEST PATERSON NJ

THE WESTSIDE NATIONAL BANK OF WEST PATERSON

DUNN, JOHN N.
HAWTHORNE, SPENCER
MARDIS, THOMAS
MEYER, FRED J.
OTT, DAVID & MABEL
PATERSON, J. J. FOR MINOR
POORMASTER, WEST

CARLSBAD NM

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CARLSBAD

ACREY, W. B.
ASHCRAFT, THERESA B.
B 4 U
BINDEL, WM. C.
BUJAC & STENNIS
B4U
CAMPBELL, JAS. A.
CAMPBELL, M. L.
CARTER, E. T.
CAWLEY, H. E.
CHRISTMAS, B. A.
CRAIG, W. A.
CRANDALL, JULIA W. S.
CULPEPPER, JNO. W.
CULPEPPER, M. B.
DAWSON, DR. E. L.
EDDY, ESTATE OF JOHN
ELLIOTT, CHARLIE
EMERSON, JOHN. L.
ETCHEVERRY, PETE
FLETCHER, R. M.
FLOWERS, A. V. & FIRST NAT'L BANK.
FLOYD, I. W.
GRAMMER, C. S.
GRAY & LUCAS
GUITAR, JNO.
HARVEY, J. B.
IRIBARNE, MICHEL
JONES, C. N.
JONSTON, W. B.
KERR, H. C.
KESSLER, H. C. TR.
KING, CALLIE MRS.
LACKEY, H. W.
LACKEY, PEARL M. MRS.
LANB, E. C. & LANB STELLA JONES
LANE, T. W.
LASSETTER, CHAS. P.
LIVESTOCK & AGRICULTURE LOAN CO.
LIVINGSTON, J. N.
LOVE, T. C.
LOVINGTON, N. B.
LUCAS, D. H. & LUCAS, J. G.
MCCOLLAUM, JNO. W.
MCCORD KING, BELLE MRS.
MERCHANT, L. D.
MIDDLETON, ROSS
MULLANE, WM. H. & DELIA S.
NICHOLS, C. G.
NICHOLS, W. R.
OHNEMUS, R.
OSBORN, I. S.
OSBORNE, I. S.
OSBORNE, I. S. ET UX.
OSBORNE, I.S. & OKLA. FARM. MTG. CO.
PACIFIC MUTUAL LIFE INS CO
PARDUE, C. P.
PARDUE, C. P.
PERRY, S. L.
POLK, B. B.
POTEET, W. A.
PREWITT, JOSEPH A.
RAMIREZ, VIDAL
REID, W. W. & W. C.
REYNOLDS, G. T.
ROBERTS, E.
ROBERTS, JAS. W. ET UX & REC. 1ST.
SCARBOROUGH, M. A.
SISTO SANTA CRUZ
SPRONG, E. S.
STENNIS, S. D. JR.
STETSON, FRANK
STEWART, GENEVIEVE
TEBBETTS, C. C.
TINNIN, E. L.
TINNIN, JANIE L.
VAUGHN, L. A.
WARD, LEWIS
WELDY, JOE
WESLEY, FRANK
WILKINSON, W. C.
WILLIAMS, GEO. M.
WINDHAM, JOHN D.
WOODWELL, W. H.
WRIGHT, M. B. & J. H.

CLOVIS NM

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN CLOVIS

BAKER BROS.
BAKER, C. C.
BANK OF LINCOLN
BELEW, T. N.
BELL, H.
BETTS, E. A.
BLACKMORE, J. H.
BOWERS, JOE
BOYD, ELIZABETH
BOYDSTON, W. R.
BROCK, W. A.
BROWN, R. E.
BRYAN, G. M.
CARLTON, A. H.
CAVENY, W. J.
CLARA BELL OIL CO.
COATS, S. I.
COMMERCE TRUST CO.
COMMERCE TRUST CO. KANSAS CITY, MO.
CONWILL, O. C.
COPELAND, W. L.
COX, A. L.
CRANE, ANDREW
CROUCH, A. S.
CURREN, ANNA L.
DAVENPORT, H. L.
DAVIDSON, W. C.
DOUGHTON, C. F.
DOUGHTON, W. H.
DOUGHTON, W. H. & BELL
DOUGHTON, W. H. & C. F.
DYER, J. S.
EDWARDS, J. M.
ELLIS, F. C.
FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN CLOVIS
FISHER, ELSIE B.
FITZHUGH, DOUGLASS K.
FITZHUGH, IDA M.
FITZHUGH, J. S.
FORBES, ERLE E.
FOREMAN, J. V.

FURNACE & ROGERS
GREEN, A. P.
GREGORY, A. A.
GUARANTY INV. CO.
GUNBY, MATTHEW MRS.
HALE, J. W.
HALL & GREER
HARRISON, C. W.
HARTLEY, B. W.
HEWETT, C. E.
HOBBS, HENRY
HOWARD, COE
HOWELL, H. H.
HUMPHREY, ROBERT
HUNTON, W. J.
KETTERING MERC. CO.
KROBOTH, JOHN
LANCASTER, W. M.
LEE, JESS
LONE STAR LBR. CO.
LUIKART, W. I.
MADDOX, A. D.
MARTIN, ELLA B. ADMX.
MCCRARY, T. D.
MCFARLIN, ZELLA
MULLINS & FULTON
MYERS, D. C.
NEEL, J. FRANK
OKLA. MOTOR SEC. CO.
OLIVER, H. W.
PETERSON, E.
PILE, H. M.
PIPKINS, W. W.
POTTER, LEONA
POTTER, O. G.
QUICK SERVICE GARAGE
RAMBO, W. P.
REGAN & DOUGHTON
REGAN, E. W.
REISNOR, LELIA
RICE, J. V.
ROSS, R. E.
RUPE, E. J.
S. W. SAVINGS & LOAN ASSN.
SCHEURICH & HANOVER
SHARP, J. A.
SHEPARD, R. L.
SPEER, I. W.
STALCUP, T. J. JR.
STANFIELD, W. T.
STEINKRAUSS, E. B. & H. A.
STEWART & BELL
STEWART, J. W.
SUBLETT, JOHN T.
TAPHORN, W. H. MRS.
THOMPSON, S. E.
TUGGLE, MYRTLE M.
WAITS, J. B.
WALDEN, S. J.
WALKER, CASSIE
WHITE, H. C.
WHITE, M. R.
WHITE, T. J.
WILHITE, C. J.
WILLIAMS BROS. & MITCHELL
WILLIAMS, E. W.
WILLIS, JAS. A.
WILSON, A. J.
WINTERS, G. N.
WRIGHT, C. E.

LOVINGTON NM

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LOVINGTON

ADAMS, W. M.
ALLEN, H. W.
ALLRED, I. O.
ALSTON, SETH ET AL
ANDERSON, THEO.
BALL, E. R.
BELCHER, W. B.
BISHOP, HAM
BLACK, G. W.
BLACKMON, MARVIN
BOLIVER, W. S.
BOYD & CARTER
BRASWELL, A. J.
BRIGGS, G. W.
CASTLEBERRY, W. H.
CAUSEY, R. L.
CHORN, W. J.
COVEY, W. L.
DEARDUFF, A. A.
ERMISON BROS.
FAIRWEATHER, C. W.
FIRST NAT'L BANK
FORTNER, P. M.
GLASCOCK, G. A.
HALEY, HUGH
HARRISON, A. J.
HARRISON, W. H.
HENDRICKS, A. J.
HOOVER, R. B.
HUMBLE, TOMMIE
HURD, HAROLD
JOYCE-PRUITT CO.
KING, H. C.
KING, P. O.
LITTLEFIELD, O. Z.
LIVINGSTON, J. R.
LOVE, J. B.
LUSK, DOLPH
MANNING, EARL
MCCORMICK, MARY E.
MCCRARY, ROY
MCCRAVEY, ROY
MCCRAVLEY, ROY
MCDANIEL, M. O.
MEADOWS, L. A.
MILLER, CECIL
MISER, FRANK M.
MORELAND, C. T.
MORRIS, M. C.
MOSLEY, B. H.
MUSICK, CHAS.
MUSICK, D. Y. ET AL
NUTT, W. E.
ODOM, A. M.
OLIVER, E. D. SR.
OLIVER, MATTIE
OWENS, WESLEY M.
PERRY, JNO. H
PRICE & SEWALT
PRIEST, W. J.
PROSSER, B. G.
QUALES, C. W.
RECORD, H. S.
SCOFF, A. I.
SEWALT, M. E.
SMITH, CHARLIE A.
SMITH, CHAS. A.
SPENCE, A. L.
STENNETT, E. H.
SUDDUTH, FRANK B.
WEBB, WILLIE J.
WIGGINS, A. T.
WILSON, LINA
WISE, J. H.
WORDEN, FRANK
YADON, E. J.

ROSWELL NM

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF ROSWELL

ALLISON, S. E.
BALLARD, R. F.
BARNES, DORA
BASSETT, B. H.
BASSETT, ETHEL W.
BEARD, FAYETTE J.
BEAVERS, S. T.
BEDELL, E. L.
BEEMAN, E. D.
BLACK, BEN H.
BLACK, G. T.
BLAIR, M. C.
BLANTON, B. W.
BOND, W. R.
BONINE, J. R.
BOONE, MINERVA K.
BORDEAUX & DAVISON
BORWNING, J. N.
BOYCE, BOB
BOYCE, ROBERT
BROCKETT, MILTON T.
BROWN, WESLEY G.
BRUNK, M. M.
BUCHANAN, E. P.
BUCHANNON, E. P.
BURROWS, FELIX
CADENHEAD, T. W.
CARSON, DAVE
CHALFEE, EDGAR
CHANHAM, WM.
CHASSER, D.
CHATTEN, J. L. & SON
CHESSER, D.
CHESSER, DAVIS
CITIZENS NAT'L BANK
CLARKE, HAROLD P.
COCHRAN, F. B.
COE, HELENA
COLLINS, C. C.
COOKSON, H. H.
COOPER & LYKINS
COOPER, L. L.
CORN, JULIA
COSGROVE, W. W.
COTTEN, J. B.
COWELL, ARTHUR D.
CRAIG, W. A.
CULLUP, EDNA
CUMBERLAND CO.
DANIEL, R. H.
DAVIS, G. W. & MAUDE
DAVISSON, GEO. A.
DELAK, C. O.
DENNIS, HUGH
EASTON, FRANK
EGGUM BROS.
EGGUM, BROS.
ELROY, F. P.
EVERETT, J. L.
EVERMAN, T. R.
FERGUSON, W. M.
FLEMING, C. T. ET AL.
FLEMING, W. C.
FOREMAN, M. B.
FORSYTH, A. R.
FRANZ, JOE
FRITZ, M. E. MRS.
FUSTON, W. R.
GARRETT, J. F.
GARRETT, J. T.
GILLESPIE, T. W.
GOODIN, A. U.
GOODWIN-THOMPSON
GROSS, A. T. MRS.
HALE, WM. F.
HARTMAN, CHARLES A.
HEDGCOXE, J. G.
HENNING, JOHN H.
HERBERT, GUY
HERBERT, GUY B.
HERBST, J. B.
HICKS, CLARK D.
HILL, C. H.
HOBSON, THOS
HOCHSTATTER, ANNA
HODD, JOSEPHINE
HOLLENTHONER, JOHN
HOWARD, NELL W.
INGRAM, O. K.
JOHNSON, CLINT J.
JOHNSON, R. L. DR.
JONES, C. N.
KINSINGER, J. W.
KLYNG BROS.
KLYNG, ADOLPH
LAFFERTY, J. A.
LANE, T. W.
LEA, J. S.
LEA, JESSE J.
LEONARD, JAMES L.
LEWIS, W. P.
LILLYBECK, OSCAR
LOVE, T. C.
LUND, S. C. MRS.
LUSK, C. S.
MARRATTAY, S. L.
MASSEY BROS.
MCDONALD, H. R.
MCGAFFEY, L. K.
MCLENDON, W. E.
MCPHERSON, TENA
MIDDLETON, ROSS
MILLER HOTEL
MILLER, J. M.
MILLER, JAMES M.
MILLER, PRAYER
MINTON, E. G.
MITCHELL, J. E.
MOORE, TIMOTHY M.
MORRIS, FRED
PATTON, EMMETT
PEARCE, FRANK H.
PEARSON, THOS. J.
PIERCE, M. L.
PRAGER-MILLERT AT
PRUITT, JOSEPH A.
RADNEY, WM. L.
RASCO, J. J. ET AL
REED, E. E.
REID & HERVEY
REIDEL, H. J.
ROACH, L. R.
SAUNDERS, H. P.
SCHRAM, ANNA
SHAW, TENNIE
SHAW, TENNIE ET AL
SHEARMAN, E. P.
SHEELER ESTATE
SHEETS, J. D.
SHIFFELIN, J. T.
SMITH, WM. J.
STAUSEL, C. N. ET AL
STEWART, J. W.
STOCKARD, J. W.
STRINGER, H. N.
TALMADGE, FRANK
TALMAGE, FRANK JR.
TANNEHILL, C. C.
TAYLER, G. L.
TAYLOR, G. L.
THOMAS, C. E.
TORRES, JUAN
TRINKLE, ALPHA A.
TUINKLE, ALPHA A.
TUSK, C. S.
VEAL, G. T.
WARD, LEWIS
WATTS, MABEL
WAUGH, C. E.
WEBB, WALTER
WEST, JAMES R.
WETMORE, IRA P.
WHITAKER & SON
WHITE, LOREN
WILBURN, C. P.
WILFLEY & BELL
WILFLEY, J. R.
WILKINSON, W. C.
WILLIAMS, JOHN W.
WILSON, W. A. MRS.
WYATT LAND & LIVESTOCK CO.
YATES, I. G.
YORK, OLLIE C.
YOUNG, CHAS.
YOUNG, CHAS. ET AL

RENO NV

THE RENO NATIONAL BANK

ALVARAZ, CELESTINE
CAVINERO, ANGELO
ESTRADE, LEON
HAUSCARRIAGUE, JOHN H.
KIENAPFLE, PAUL

WINNEMUCCA NV

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WINNEMUCCA

BERNARD, JEAN
CABELLO, BAUTISTA
CASIMIRO, YRIBARREN
CELCILEO, OCAMICA
ETCHEBARRY, A.
GIMINEZ, LUCAS
HAYNES, P. J.
HENRY, I. A.
KING, DOUGLAS J.
MCCARTY, FRANK A.
MCKENZIE, K. R.

BALDWIN NY

THE SUNRISE NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF BALDWIN

AVRICK, DELLA J.
MINARD, RUSSELL J.
MURPHY, WILLIAM H.
NOON, ISAAC
ORSTEIN, WILLIAM
SARAZEN, GLADYS
TAYLOR, WILLIAM H.
WANTAGH COMMUNITY, INC.
WEEKS, JAMES & VIOLA
WELLER, A. R.

BROCKPORT NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BROCKPORT

MCCORMICK, WM.

CHAMPLAIN NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHAMPLAIN

BROOKS, J. WESLEY
SKEELS, HELENA
STARKS, C. P.

EAST ROCHESTER NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EAST ROCHESTER

HUNTER, GEORGE MRS.

GASPORT NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GASPORT

HAYS, C. EARL

HEMPSTEAD NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HEMPSTEAD

BARROS, GENEVA J.
BEEKMAN, JOHN J.
BROWN, IRMA R.
BUTLER, ANNETTE
CLARK, ROBERT
CLARKE, ROBERT W.
ELLISON, F. MRS.
GIBBS, LUCIUS
INGOLD, GOTHELF RENE
JOHNSON, ARTHUR
KUEZKWSKI, TONY
L'AFRICAIN, NETA
LLINKOWSKY, M.
MEYER, EDNA POPE
MULGANNON, F. L.
MULGANNON, FRANK
RIECKER, WILHELMINA
ROBERTSON, RUBY
ROSENTHAL, ADOLPH D. DR.
ROUSE, MARY G.
STERLING, ELIZABETH
STRAND, CHARLES
SWIGER, MARY T.
THOMPSON, ARTHUR J.
VETTER, OTTO
WHITE, RALPH
WRIGHT, FLORENCE
YOUNG, RUTH
ZIMINSKI, GEORGE

HORNELL NY

CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF HORNELL

KURTZ, FRANK
NICHOLS, IDA E. MRS.

LARCHMONT NY

THE LARCHMONT NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

BELDALE REALTY CORP.
BELL, SAMUEL R.
BRANTLEY, FRANK A.
BRISK, JENNIE L.
BRYANT, JULIA
CALDWELL, KATE G.
DANCIG, FREIDA, LEONORE & EDWARD
DANIN, MORRIS
DAVENECK HOLDING CORP.
DELOWIS, CORA F.
DIRECTORS BUILDING CORP.
FISHER, MARY C. & F.
FOWLER, C. F.
FREEMAN, R. G.
GARVIN, VIRGINIA M.
GREENBAUM, NORMA
HAINES, E. ARTHUR
HALE, MARTIN
HENRY, W.
HERSCHMAN, MR. & MRS.
HIGHT, WILLIAM F.
HUME, FRANK
KASTNER, FRANK W.
KENNEDY, BERTHA A.
MARTIN, MARGARET A.
MCKAY, NORMAN OR CLARA
MCKEAND, ROBERT J. & WIFE
MILLER, E. M. MRS.
MOLININI, NICK
MOOK ELECTRIC CO.
PALMER, WILLIAM A. JR.
PAUL, STEPHEN & HONOR
PRESCOTT, HUBERT W.
SEXTON, GEORGE L.
SILK, CLAUDIA H.
SOLOMON, ROBERT M.
STEVENS, ANNA M.
STEVENS, ROBERT H.
STRONG, JUDSON M.
THE NEW YORK COMMUNITY TRUST
THOMSON, C. J.
TIERNEY, PATRICK J.
WEAVER, HAROLD B.
WESSELLS, GEOGIANA
WESTCHESTER FIRST NAT'L CORPORATION
YEATANA PRESCEANDARO

MAMARONECK NY

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN MAMARONECK

ACAMPORA, THERESA
BARTHOLOW MOTOR SALES, INC.
COUNTRY CLUB BEACH
CYPRUS PRODUCTS CORP.
ENGSTROM, ERNESTINE
FILANCIA, TONY
GEDNEY, H. W.
GREENBAUM, L. S.
H. J. MARQUARDT CO. INC.
HALPIN, CORNELIUS T.
HAWTHORNE GARDENS, INC
HAY, JOHN
HENTZ, H. LEON
HOFFMAN, JACK, PROP.
ISSER REXNIK'S SONS, INC
KATZ, JOSEPH G.
LABRUSCIANO, SYLVIA V.
LARCHMONT GREENHOUSES, INC.
LAWRENCE, WILLIAM W.
LYON, CALVIN A.
MACAULEY, E. C.
MACDONALD, M.
MAMARONECK BATTERY SERVICE STATION
MAMARONECK TRUST CO.
MARGOLIS, IDA C.
MARTIN, FRANK
OLSEY, WILLIAM
ORIENTA POINT APTS. INC.
ORTIZ, E. K., INC.
PROBST, ELIZABETH
TERRELL, THOMAS
THOMAS, JOHN
TREAS LOCAL UNION ₰543 U.B. OF C.
TRUST EST ₰23 WESTCHESTER 1ST NATL CORP
TUGGELE RADIO & MUSIC SHOP
WESTCHESTER FORESTERS, INC.

MOHAWK NY

THE NATIONAL MOHAWK VALLEY BANK OF MOHAWK

BRIGGS, N. A.

NEW ROCHELLE NY

THE NATIONAL CITY BANK OF NEW ROCHELLE

ANCONA, DOMENICO
ANDERSON, EDWARD
ANDERSON, JULIET M. MRS.
ARONSON, JOE
BALTZ, LOUIS R.
BANKERS UNDERWRITING SYNDICATE
BARNARD, NINA N.
BARTNETT, A. J.
BEACH, CARRIE A.
BECKSTEIN, C. ALFRED
BELL, ALICE J.
BELL, ARTHUR J.
BELL, MYRTLE L.
BERNSWEIG, B.
BIDSTRUP, FREDERICK M.
BODWELL, F. W.
BRAEMOOR CORPORATION
BRENNAN, MARY ELLEN
BURGDORFF, LILLIAN
CADMUS, ELINOR
CADMUS, ELINOR F.
CADMUS, HENRY C.
CALENBERG, WALTER
CAMPBELL, EDWARD F.
CAMPBELL, M. MRS.
CANNON, ELIZABETH C.
CARBER, MAE H.
CARRI, GEORGE
CARSON, GEORGE J.
CARSON, JAMES
CARTER, FRANK R.
CHOWN, CATHERINE W.
CLARK, JOSEPH
CLARKE, HARRY S.
CLINTON, FRANCIS J.
COHEN, IRVING
COHEN, NATHAN
CONRAD, ERNEST
CONWAY, T. MRS.
COOKE, ELIZABETH B.
COPELAND, WM.
COPELAND, WM. A.
CROSSMAN, HENRIETTA
CUFFE, ANNA D.
CURTIS, W. J. MR.
CYRIL WHITE & COMPANY
DAVIS TRANSPORTATION LINES, INC.
DAVIS, LOUISE A.
DAVIS, WM. H.
DONAHUE, PAUL F.
DONINGTON, JOHN M.
DORST, JACOB J.
DOTY, MARY A. MRS.
ENSINGER, HATTIE L.
FARRAR, JENNIE A.
FELL, H. F.
FLANDREAUX, GEORGE F.
FORREGGER, DOROTHY
FOWKES, F. MRS.
FOY, CHARLEY
FURLONG, RICHARD AND ALICE
GALLIGAN, K. M.
GARTLAN, DELIA A.
GOLDSCHMIDT, M. H.
GOODENOW, JULIET S.
GOTTSCHALK, FANNIE
GRIFFEN, FRANK J.
HADERT, A. J. MRS.
HADERT, ALBERT
HADERT, ALBERT J.
HALLETT, F. JOSEPHINE
HARVEY, GEORGE
HARVEY, SAMUEL
HEALY, THEODORE M.
HENDRICKS, G. M.
HENRY, MARY ADLINE
HILL, THOMAS B.
HOCTOR, THOS. MRS.
HOLBY, MARION M. MRS.
HOWELLS, ELZINA
IRVING, HENRY
IVERSON, D. N.
JANIS, NATHAN
JOHN, CHARLES O.
JOHNSON, A. C.
JONES, JEANNETTE HILL
JONES, KATHLEEN
JORDAN, C. MRS.
KAUFMAN, A.
KAUFMAN, IRVING
KENNEDY, ELLEN
KENNEDY, MARY C.
KENNEY, EDWARD F.
KETCHAM, CHARLES L.
KETTNER, GEORGE L.
KING, M. J.
KOHL-EDSON, INC C/O EDSON, W. H.
LAMBERT, JOHN JR.
LAMBERTON, DOROTHY
LAMBERTON, JAMES
LAMBRECHT, JACOB A.
LANGER, WILLIAM H.
LARSON, ANDREW
LAWRENCE, BESSIE B.
LAWTON GARAGE
LEE, JAMES
LESTER, HENRY M.
LEWIS, WALTER
LIND, HOMER MRS.
LINDSAY, ALICE H.
LONGSHORE, YOUNG J.
LUPPRIEN, CHARLES
LUPPRIEN, CHARLES A.
MACY, GRACE S.
MAITLING, LYDIA
MARTIN, H. MRS.
MARTIN, JAMES EST. OF SYCAMORE PLACE
MCGEAN, ANNA J.
MCGOVERN, W. N.
MCKINNEY, HARRY
MCLAUGHLIN, MARGARET
MEAD, ANDREW J.
MEYERS, BERNARD EST. OF
MILLER, SAMUEL W.
MURTHEY, ELMORE S. AND ELIZABETH A.
NEW ROCHELLE LODGE ₰599 I.O.O.F.
O'HARA, FRANCES C.
O'KEEFE, NORA MISS
O'ROURKE, ANDREW
OLSEN, BERNHARD
PARKER, THOMAS
PATTARINI, DANIEL
PECK, DAVID C.
PEKER, GEO. E. (PETRY)
PELHAM ARMS - PELHAMDALE HOLDING CORP.
PERLEY, C. N.
PERRY, LUDA B.
PINTARD REALTY CO., INC.
POTTER, NAN L.
RANDALL, GEORGE R.
REARE, EDITH R.
RECTOR OF ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH
ROSS II, GEORGE T.
RUBIN, SOL
RUMSEY, ANNA P.
RUMSEY, NELSON D.
SALERNO, LOUISE
SCHAEFER, WM.
SCHALL, FRED P.
SHELDON FLORENCE CO.
SHELTON, MURIEL
SHELTON, PAUL P.
SHELTON, ROBERTA
SLOAN, BERNETT
SMITH, FANNIE
SMITH, MAGGIE
SMITH, PEGGY
SMITH, ROSE
STEINHARDT, LILLIAN
STEINHARDT, OTTO
STOLLBERG, ANSELM
SUTHERLAND, BELLA
SWINBURNE, SAMUEL F.
TAYLOR, HOWARD F.
TEMPLE, HELEN C.
TODD, JAMES J.
TOOKER, E. MR. & MRS.
TOOKER, GRACE NESBITT MRS.
VAN PATTEN, L. A.
VAUGHN, GRACE L.
VERMILYA, WILLIAM E.
VESTRYMEN OF ST. PAUL'S EPISCOPAL CHURCH
VOIGHT, NINA C.
WALKER, MAUDE K.
WARNER, KATIE E.
WEBER, JOHN E.
WERNIG, CHARLES F.
WILKERSON, H. E.
WILKINS, BETTY M. MRS.
WILLIAMS, S.A. MR. & E.T. MRS.
WOOD, CLAIRE M.
WOOD, GEO. M.
WRIGHT, E. P. S. MR.
YMCA OF NEW ROCHELLE
ZUCKER, AARON

NEW YORK NY

THE DOUGLASTON NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

BELLIS, MATHILDE C.
BOLLOTEN, EMANUEL
BRYCE, MYRIC W.
DARLEY CORPORATION
HALL, DOROTHY H.
HERTZ, G. C.
HERTZ, S. E.
NEWMARK, BARBARA R.
O'KEEFFE, ALICE G. MRS. & RAYMOND R.
OBERWALDER, ANNA BELLE
QUEENSBORO ENTERPRISES, INC.
ROTH, JULIE
SCIENTIFIC HOLDING CORP.
WAINWRIGHT, J. DANIEL

NEW YORK NY

THE ELMHURST NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

DAVIES, WILLIAM E.
KATZMAN, SAMUEL
KELLY, THOMAS P.
KLEMMER, VINCENT J.
LINDSTROM, GUNNER
RAAB, CHARLES ESTATE OF
STIEGLITZ, MARCUS
TREAT, HARRY

NEW YORK NY

THE HARRIMAN NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

BORINE MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF N.Y.
QUOTATION BOARD CORP'N
REMINGTON AUTOMATIC

NEW YORK NY

THE NEWTOWN NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

LINDSTROM, GUNNER

NEW YORK NY

THE QUEENSBORO NATIONAL BANK OF THE CITY OF NEW YORK

ANGELAKOS, PETER
DALY, THOMAS F.
GEORGIANNA, JOHN
GORMLEY, CHARLES A.
GUMINA, BIAGIO
KAPLAN, MAX
MELOY, ANDREW D.
NICHOLAS, DEWITT
NORRIS, WALTER J.
PARIETTI, SILVIO
PIZZAIA, LINO
SCHUBERT, WILLIAM
WARWICK, FREDERICK
WISHNITZER, SAMUEL

NEW YORK NY

THE RICHMOND NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

ANDERSON, DOROTHY
ANDERSON, WILLIAM J.
ATKINS, DOROTHY
BAUMGARTEN, FREDI
BROCKER, JOHN D.
CHEN, GEORGE
COLLIS, E. W.
DAVIS, C. H. MRS.
E. W. COLLISON ELEC. CO.
FELLERMAN, SOPHIE
HELLBERG, SVEN
HERZOG, HANNAH
HERZOG, JOHN
HOFFMAN, BENJ.
HOFFMAN, JENNIE
KAPLAN, PHILIP
LEVINE, PHILIP
LIEBERMAN, ALBERT
MANGAN, D. C.
MASCIOLI, JOSEPH
MICHOLOS, D. M.
MILLER, SIDNEY H.
MILLER, VIOLA G.
MINOFF, NETTIE
MINOFF, SAMUEL
MOORE, MARY DAVIS MRS.
MORGANSTERN, HERMAN
OMACHT, EMIL
PARETTI, S.
SCHULZ, ELIZABETH
SPAR, MAX
STEINMETZ, WM. G.
STILES, CAROLINE L.
THOMPSON, JOHN R.
THOMPSON, MARY C.

NEW YORK NY

WASHINGTON NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

HOUSE OF VISCONTI

OXFORD NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OXFORD

VAN WAGENER, J. A.

OZONE PARK NY

THE OZONE PARK NATIONAL BANK OF NEW YORK

BALLE, WILLIAM
BARR, ADAM A.
BEADLE, EVERETT M. & IRENE L.
BLADE, JOHN
BOLOG, SAMUEL
BRIGUGLIO, JACOB
BUSCEMI, FRANCESCO, & MARY
CAMDEN, DOROTHY A.
CRESCENZO, LOUIS
DERBEL BUILDING CORP.
DI SIMONE, FRANK
FRANK BROWN, INC.
FRANKEL, HARRY
FRANZESE, JOHN
GIUGLIANO, SAM
GOLDOWITZ, M.
GOLDSAND, BERTHA
HAASE, LULU OR HAROLD F. R.
HART, CHARLES
HOWARD, IDA G. OR CHARLES J.
JUSTA, HELEN
KESTING, ROBERT
KNOLLER, M. MALCOLM
KONKRETE KONSTRUCTION KO.
LEVY, ANNABELLE
MAYBECK, C. B.
MCCLOSKY, GEORGE OR BESSIE
MEO, FRANCESCO & FILOMINA
MORMON, CARRIE & SALVATORE
NEWHOUSE, H.
OLIER, JOHN
OLSON, VICTOR
PHILLIPS, SYDNEY
RICHMOND HILL CIRCLE DEMOCRATIC ORG.
RIEDER, PAUL E.
ROGOFF, NATHAN
RUOFF, GUS
SANDMAN, HENRY ESTATE OF
SAVINO, JOSEPH
SCHAEFFLER, BERTIE G. & W. B.
SCHREINER, CORNELIA P. MRS.
SHELLEY, ROBERT OR GILBERT
SHLACHTMAN, MORRIS
SONENBERG, MINA R.
TORGERSON, MARIA
TUFANO, LOUIS
VACCHAINO REALTORS, INC.
VIOLA, EMILIO & FRANK
VIOLA, FRANK & VERONICA
VITALE, LENA
WAKEFIELD, M. C.

PULASKI NY

THE PULASKI NATIONAL BANK

HAZELWOOD, JOHN

WOODMERE NY

THE HEWLETT-WOODMERE NATIONAL BANK OF WOODMERE

CRANE, ROSEMARY A. C.
FINKELSTEIN, ANNA E.
GRAHAM, FRANCES
PHILLIPS, KENNETH T.
RITTER, OTTO

YONKERS NY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF YONKERS

A.E.R. REALTY CORP. C/O KELLY, G.T.
A.E.R. REALTY CORP. C/O YONKERS, N.Y.
ALLAN, JOSEPH W.
AULENBACHER, GEORGE
AVERY, G. D.
BAIRD, GEORGE T. MRS.
BARTKO, AUGUST
BATSEL, BEATRICE M.
BAYNES, JOHN
BELL, STEPHEN J.
BONNING, E.
BORROFF, MARIE B.
CALDWELL, CHARLES W.
CALDWELL, E. F.
CARPENTER, C. E.
CARR, GEORGE N.
CHRISFIELD REALTY & CONSTRUCTION CORP.
COLLINS, LOUISE MRS.
CONN, BERNARD
CRITZAS, CONSTANTINE J.
D'ALOIA, VALENTINE
DEE, WILLIAM F.
DOWD, JOHN S.
DUNLAP, JOSEPH P.
DVORACKY, SUSIE & JOHN
ELLIS, GORDON M.
FANCHER, WALTER E.
FARLEY, BRIDGET
FARLEY, PATRICK
FERNBROOK AMUSEMENT CORP. C/O WM. AMBAS
FIRST NAT'L BANK & TRUST CO. OF YONKERS
FIRST NB & TR. CO.
FOOTE, W. C.
FORASTIERE, LUCIA
FOX, J. WILLETT
FROST, W. E. MRS.
GEO. YOUNG SONS
GEORGE RINGLER & COMPANY
GERRITS, ALEXANDER
GOWER, FIELDING
GRAVES, JULIA RANDOLPH
GUYETTE, CEPHAS
HART, MRS.
HAVEY, AMBROSE S.
HEGEMAN, W. W.
HEUSCHKEL, JENNIE
HOFFSTEDT, OTTO
HYDE, DANIEL H. & ISABELLA G.
JOHN, DAVID
JOHNSON, LIZZIE W.
JONES, Z. A.
KAPICA, E.
KAUFMAN, PERCY S.
KEATING, MARY
KEEL, JENNIE
KENNY, PATRICK L.
KLOS, FRANCIS J. REV.
LA COSTE, HAROLD & SELIA
LYTTLE, ALICE E.
MACKAY, ROBT. G.
MAYER, AUGUST
MCMILLAN MOTORS CORP.
MCMINN, JAMES F.
MEIME, LUDWIG
MULLERY, TIMOTHY J.
MULLIN, JOHN J.
NANGLE, PATRICK J.
NAPPECKAMACK CLUB
O'BUCKLEY, DONAL
OGORGALY, IGNACY
OLSON, OSCAR
PACHER, ROSIE
PAUL, JOSEPH T.
PHELAN, MARY H.
PLATT, WILLIAM P.
POCKRASS, ISAAC
POWERS, ELIZABETH
PROSPECT HOUSE SETTLEMENT ASSOCIATION
REDMOND & CO.
ROSENMAN, JACK
ROWLAND, JOHN
RUSSELL, FRANK R.
RUSSELL, MARY L.
RUSSELL, THOMAS E.
RYAN, EDWARD PATRICK
SCHOONOVER, W. G. JR.
SCRIVEN, JOHN F.
SELLS, JOHN
SHILLER BROS.
SHONNARD, FREDERIC
SIEGEL, CHARLES V.
SIGERSON, EDMUND B.
SKIBINSKI, JOHN
SLOANE, JOHN J.
SMITH, HOWARD
SULLIVAN, JOHN B.
THAYER, H. H.
TIMM, CARL F.
TOOD, H. G.
URBAN, MARY
WALSH, THOMAS
WEAREVER FABRICS CORP.
WEBB, FRANK R.
WEBER, CARL F. J.
WOSKA, JOHN J.
YONKERS SECURITIES CO.
YONKERS SECURITIES CORP.
ZINSSER, JOHN S.

ADENA OH

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF ADENA

ALBRIGHT, HENRY
BEDWAY, GEO.
BINNS, JOSEPH P.
BLEZYESKI, JULIA MRS.
BOBER, JOE
CARTER, I. B.
CHAMBERLAIN, LEE
COURTWRIGHT, CHAS.
CROOSKEY A/K/A COOKSEY, JOHN M.
DI NOBLE, G.
DOMYON, STEVE
DULKOSKY, M.
DUNCAN, TAYLOR
DURBIN, PRESLEY W.
DURBIN, SAMUEL
EASTHOM, WALTER
EDWARDS, W. E.
FAY, JIM
FITZGERALD, GUY A.
GRIFFITH, ELIZABETH
HALL, ALFRED D.
HANNA, JOHN N.
HASTINGS, GLEN
HENDERSHOT, OTIS
HOSCUSKI, ANDY
JACKSON, WESLEY MRS.
JOHNSON, S. R.
JONES, LEWIS O.
KALINOSKI, KASMISE
KALINOWSKI, L.
KAMINSKI, ANDY
KINNEY, JAMES RAYMOND
KUGLER, CHAS.
KUTHEY, VINCE
LENOVICH, K.
LOCAL UNION #1723
MERCER, WM. H. & HALLIE
MOORE, GEO. W.
MOSCRIP, LEW
NEMETH, ROSA
NOBLE, J. L.
NOVAK, TOM
PARKS, EARL
PAVLIK, FRANK
PAWLAK, STANISLAW
POPKIE, Z. S.
RAYL, C. W.
RUNEVITCH, ADAM
SICKLE, RUSSELL
STAZENSKI, JOHN
SUTHERLAND, T. A.
THE ADENA MINERS SUPPLY CO.
TSZEINSKY, BRONISLAW
WEBER, COLEMAN

BAKERTON, OH

FIRST NATIONAL BANK, BAKERTON

STERN, WINFIELD

BARNESVILLE OH

THE NATIONAL BANK OF BARNESVILLE

ARNOLD ET AL - GIFFEE
BARNESVILLE GLASS CO., NB BARNESVILLE
BRIGGS - BOLEN
CAMPSIDEL REED
CONSERVATIVE INS CO. OF WHEELING, W. VA
COPE, MARY M.
DICKINSON & HAMILTON OF BARNESVILLE
DICKINSON - NORRIS
ELBIN ET AL - TALBOTT ESTATE NOTE
HAMILTON ET AL - JOHNSON
HARDESTY - ARNOLD
IRVING BY THOMAS
JACKSON - JACKLEY & LOVE
LUCAS ET AL - MURPHY
LUCAS ET AL - NATL. BANK BARNESVILLE, OH
MORLAND ET AL- GLEAVES
MORRIS, RUTH BY GIBBINS S. R.
NELSON - GREGG ET AL
PHILLIPS BY PLUMLY
PRICE - MCKEEVER
SEARS - SEARS
STEWART - MCKEEVER HDWE CO.
TREAT, ELLIS M. MRS.

COOLVILLE OH

THE COOLVILLE NATIONAL BANK

RUSSELL, D. F.

LEETONIA, OH

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LEETONIA

SHIMN, MRS.

LEWISVILLE OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LEWISVILLE

ZERGER, J. W.

LOGAN OH

THE FIRST REMPEL NATIONAL BANK OF LOGAN

KLINE, CLIFFORD & AMOS

MARIETTA OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARIETTA

WILSON, W. E.

MARION OH

THE MARION NATIONAL BANK

ANGLECICIO, A.
BLAICH, JACOB

MASSILLON OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MASSILLON

MCIMIS, J. L.
ROGERS, W. H.

MINGO JUNCTION OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MINGO-JUNCTION

BARNES, BESSIE ET AL
CLAYBROOKS, DAVID
LISLE, HARRY C.
SPARR, H. J.
WEAVER, J. C.

MOUNT STERLING OH

THE FIRST-CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF MOUNT STERLING

ADKINS, THEODOCIA
ADKINS, W. C.
ALBRIGHT, E. L.
ALKINE, THOMAS
ALKIRE, A. S.
ALSPAUGH, ELIZA
ANDERS, RALPH
ANDERS, RAY
ARNOLD, L. Z.
AUSTIN, MARTHA
BAKER, NELLIE R.
BANEY, ELIZABETH
BANEY, ELIZABETH E.
BANEY, HARLEY E.
BARNES, STELLA
BARNES, T. W.
BART, CHARLES
BATES, EMMA
BAYLESS, JOSEPH
BEATHARDS, ALBERT
BEATTY, F. A.
BENNETT, FRANK
BENNETT, WALTER
BLESSING, JOHN
BOLEN, S. J.
BOWSHIER, HERBERT
BREYFOGLE, E. C.
BROOKS, J. J.
BROWN, MARY E.
BUSKIRK, HOWARD
CAMPBELL, H. M.
CAMPBELL, HARRY H.
CANNON, J. L.
CANTER, GEO. W.
CARTER, CLARENCE M.
CARTNELL, C. L.
CHAMBERLAIN, JOHN R.
CHRIST, MIRANDA
CHURCH, ARIZONA
CLARRIDGE, ABAGAIL
CLARRIDGE, J. E.
CLARRIDGE, PLEASANT
CLEVENGER, MABEL
COLAW, HOWARD
CONNELL, GRANT
COOK, HARRY R.
CORKWELL, C. P.
COWAN, WILLIAM
CRABBE, T. E.
CRAWFORD, JOHN
CREATH, CLARENCE
CREATH, LEO
CREATH, T. E.
CROW, EUPHEMA
DAILEY, L. W.
DANIELS, FRANK P.
DANISON, A. B.
DAVIS, C. W.
DAVIS, HOWARD
DAVIS, LIZZIE
DENNIS, D. L.
DENNIS, ELDORA
DEWEY, P. L.
DEYO, A. DR.
DODD, JOHN HENRY
DUNLAP, MARY E.
DYER, W. C.
EMMONS, GEORGE
ENSLEY, RHODA
FRANKLIN, C. C.
FREEMAN, AWILDA
FULTON, LABON
FULTON, M. A.
FUNK, WILLIAM
GALBREATH, HERMAN
GANTZ, LOUIS
GARDNER, TILLIE
GERHARDT, GEO.
GILL, LEROY & MARY
GILLENWATERS, CHARLES
GILLMORE, ROY
GINDER, F. E.
GITTINS, R. H.
GRABILL, WM. HEIRS OF FIRST NATL BK
GRAHAM, FLETCHER
GRAHAM, R. F.
GRIM, G. W.
GROVES, WM.
GROWNOVER, A. H.
GRUNDY, LORENA MCCLINTOCK
GUY, H. G. & HELEN M.
GUY, H. S.
GUY, HARLIN
GUY, LEWIS
GUY, MARIA
GUY, MARIA L.
HALLER, WM.
HAMET, JOHN W
HANSON, GEO
HAYMAN, ABE
HAYMAN, LIZZIE A.
HEATH, A. P.
HENSON, DICK, LORETA AND RALPH
HILL, SAMANTHA
HILL, SETH
HOSKIN, SHERMAN
HYMAN, ABE
JONES, GRANT
JONES, JAMES
JONES, WILLIS
JUNK, JOHN C.
KAUFFELT, ADMINISTRATOR
KEMP, PETER
KENDRICH, S. J.
KIDD, ROBERT
KING, HALLIE
KING, J. W.
KUGENHEEL, JOHN
LANE, WM.
LEACH, BENJ.
LEACH, DANIEL
LIFF, MATILDA M.
LINDIG, C. R.
LINDSEY, JAMES
LOOFBOURROW, L. P.
LOOFBOURROW, LENAH
LOOFBURROW, J. G.
LUGENBEEL, D. L.
LUGENBEEL, ORA
MADDOX, J. D.
MARCY, MARY A.
MARTON, W. W.
MASON, ISAAC
MATHENY, T. J.
MATLOCK, HANNAH
MCCAFFERTY, C. C.
MCCAFFERTY, ED.
MCCAFFERTY, ROY
MCCANDLESS, S. C. & D.
MCCANN, FRED W.
MCCLINTOCK
MCFARREN, ED.
MCKINLEY, STEPHEN
MICHEL, C.
MINCH, T. C.
MOATS, CHARLES
MOATS, ROZEMMA
MOLER, D. W.
MORGAN, ANTHONY S.
MORRISON, W. W.
MORTON, WILLARD W.
MOSSBARGER, A. & C.
MOSSBARGER, ABE
MOSSBARGER, JAMES
MOUSER, E. F.
NANCE, DENVER
NEFF, CHARLES
NEFF, E. M.
NESBITT, MARGARET MCCAFFERTY
NEW ERA MUSIC CO.
NOBLE, MARGARET
NUIT, JAMES
NUTT, JAMES
O'NEAL, J. W.
OTT, C. L.
OURS, L. W. AND J. G.
PARRETT, H. E.
PORTER, ALICE MRS.
PORTER, MILTON M. & ALICE
REAY, J.O. & E.E. ADMRS. OF CP
REAY, WESLEY ALVIN & WRENA
REAY, WRENA
REAY, WRENA AGT. FOR GUY, MARIA
RECHER, A.
REDDING, FRANCIS A.
RICHEY, MARGARET
RICHEY, WM. T.
RICKETTS, LILLIAN
RICKEY, W. T.
RIDGWAY, S. H.
RIGGIN, ISAAC C.
ROBISON, HENRY
ROBISON, MARGARET MRS.
ROBISON, T. R.
ROBISON, WILLIS
SACHATY, JOSEPH
SACKS, JOHN A. & FRANCES
SELF, J. H.
SEYMOUR, GEORGE F.
SIKES, J. C.
SITES, J. C.
SNYDER, VIRGINIA A.
SQUIRES, LINNIE
STAGE, JOHN
STAUB, PETER
STEWART, GRANVILLE
STRUCKMAN, C. L.
TANNEHILL, EMMA
TARBILL, C. E.
TAYLOR, C. L.
TAYNOR, MAGGIE
TERRY, GEO
TERRY, WM. F.
THOMAS, MATILDA
TIMMONS, IRVIN
TIMMONS, OLEVIA
TOBIN, A. J.
TOMILSON, IRVIN
TYLOR, F. W.
VANCE, SARAH
WALDO, LAURA
WALL, J. W.
WEBB, SAM
WHITE, FRANK
WHITE, JENNIE
WHITESIDE, C.
WHITESIDE, WILLARD
WHITLOCK, CHARLES C.
WHITLOCK, CLAYTON
WILFOUGH, WM.
WILLIAMSON, JACOB L.
WILSON, ALBERTA C.
WILSON, EDDISON
WINFOUGH, BERTHA
WINFOUGH, NANCY J.
WOOD, MARY
WRIGHT, H. E.
ZAHN, ELLA
ZIMMERMAN, A.

SMITHFIELD OH

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SMITHFIELD

BELL, GEORGE A.
BENSE, FRANK
CAPPARUCCI, FRED
CHANDLER, ELIZABETH
CHEFFY, W. H.
CLANCY, AMANDA W.
COLE, JOHN ORVILLE
COLE, W. I.
COLE, WILLIAM I.
COPELAND, JOHN M.
CRAMER, CLYDE
CRISSWELL, J. J., TREAS.
CROW HOLLOW LAND CO.
DEAN, WILLIAM
EWING, THOMAS B.
FIRST M. E. CHURCH
FIRST NB. SMITHFIELD, OHIO
GALBRAITH, ELIZABETH
GALBRAITH, ELIZABETH (ESTATE)
GALBRAITH, JAMES
GALBRAITH, JOHN
GALBRAITH, JOHN & JAMES M.
HARTZELL, JAMES V.
HAYES, O. W.
HENDERSON, J. C.
JEFFERSON COAL CO.
JOHNSON, LAURA
JONES, ELIZABETH EWING
JONES, WILLARD W. & MARY D.
KERR, T. H. REV.
KINNEY, SARAH R.
LANG, C. O. & ANNIE L.
LEMMON, GEORGE
LEWIS, BURGESS E.
LEWIS, MILLIE G.
LOWRY, J. H.
MATHEWS, WM. & OTHERS
MCHUGH, EARL R.
MOORE, SARAH E.
NAYLOR, MR. M.
NOVAK, MIKE
PALMITER, JNO. A.
PARR, JAMES G.
PARR, JAMES W.
PARR, SARAH
PATTERSON, H. D.
PECK, REV. B. C.
PENN, RALPH E.
PETERS, E. E. M.D.
PIKES PEAK OCEAN TO OCEAN HIGHWAY ASSOC
PINEY FORK LOOF#897
PURVIANCE, EVAN H.
PURVIANCE, WM. S.
RILEY, ELMER
ROGHELIA, MARY R.
RUSSELL, R. D.
SHARP, HARRY
SLAGE, MIKE
SLAYTON, GEO. W.
SMITH, IRA RUFUS
SNEDIKER, W. L.
SPENCER, J. A.
SPRAGG, JESSE L.
STILLWELL, ROSS
SWEARINGEN, H. D.
THOMAS SEALS ESTATE
TOTH, STEVE & WIFE
VENEY, W. H.
WELLBAUM, ANDY
WOLFE, C. N. ESQ.
WOOD, HARRY
ZAVERSNIK, FRANK

SPRINGFIELD OH

THE SPRINGFIELD NATIONAL BANK

ALLEE, CORA
FROCK, ELIZABETH
GUNN, EMILY B.
HORTON, NATHAN
JACKSON, MELVILLE
JOE SPECTER & CO.
KIRKHAM, OLIVER
OGDEN, HELEN RAY
PRODONOFF, PANO
SNYDER, STELLA
WESTCOTT, J. M.

TOLEDO OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TOLEDO

BOYLE, CLARA M.
GREEN, SAMUEL
KUEHN, LAWRENCE H.
LEOW, HARRY
MALCHEFF, JAMES & MARY
MALLORY, S. S. MRS.
TROWBRIDGE, E. E. MRS.

TORONTO OH

THE NATIONAL BANK OF TORONTO

BOWYTZ VICTORY MARKET
BURKE, THOS F. & LENA
CABLE, CHAS. B.
CALABRESE, SEBASTIANO ET AL
CAMPBELL, C. F.
CARNAHAN MOTOR SUPPLY CO.
CARSON, SAMUEL
CENTRAL ACCEPTANCE CORP.
CHAMBERS, CLYDE
CLARK, THOMAS M.
COMMERCIAL CREDIT CO.
CONN, WILLIAM J.
CRISS, K. F.
DEAKIN, OSCAR L.
DRAKE, RALPH J.
E. M. FREESE & CO.
EKEY, ELSY A., ET AL
ELIOTT BROS.
ELLIOTT, J. B.
ERDNER, BESSIE
EXCHANGE BANK OF MANNINGTON, W.

VA.
FISHER, J. M.
FOLLANSBEE BROS. CO.
FRANCY, E. E.
FRANK, M. K.
FULMER SERVICE STATION
GENERAL MOTORS ACCEPTANCE CORP.
GOUCHER, W. B.
HILSINGER, J. C.
HUGHES, C. E. & L.
HUGHEST & MULHALL
JAMISON, HARRY
JEFFERSON MACARONI CO.
KARAFFA, JOHN
KAVULLA, SAM
KILGUS, HARRY E.
LEE, M. K.
LEONARD, WM.
LOCUST GROVE COAL CO.
MANOS, LOUISE G.
MCFALL, A. A.
MCGOWAN BROS. & CO.
MELLOTT SERVICE STATION
MILLER, FRED T.
MINESINGER, R. L.
MOLCAN, MIKE
MORGAN & WILLIAMS
MURPHY, ALLIE MRS.
PETERSON, JOS. W.
PITTSBURGH CLAY PRODUCT CO.
PITTSBURGH PROVISION & PACKING CO.
PRICE, THOMAS
PRICE, WM. R.
RODGERS, J. G.
S. MASCOLINO & SONS
SCHULTZ, JOHN
STARKS, OBIE W.
STEWART, E. S. ACTING MAYOR
SWAN, ELMER
SWEARINGEN, LEE
THE GUY JOHNSTON CONT. CO.
THE GUY JOHNSTON CONTR. CO.
THE JOHN FRANCY CO.
THOMAS, J. C.
TORONTO FOUNDRY & MACHINE CO.
UNION STATE BANK OF MUSKOGEE, OKLA.
W. S. COOPER & CO.
WAGNER, WM. THE ESTATE
WELDAY, MARGARET
ZDINAK, NICK

WELLSVILLE OH

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF WELLSVILLE

MCINTOSH, MARY J.
PORTER, ANNIE M.
RAYL, J. C. MRS.
STRABLEY, JAMES S. MRS.
WILCOX, WHEELER V.

WEST ALEXANDRIA OH

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEST ALEXANDRIA

DRAYER, PAUL H.
DRAYER, V. J.
HAMM, PEARL
SMITH, CLARENCE
WAGGONER, MEADFORD

WILMINGTON OH

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF WILMINGTON

AMES, THOMAS F.
AMMERMAN, MRS.
ANDERSON, ALICE MASON
CHAMPLIN, BURDETTE
CURL, S. P.
DRAKE, T. A.
FARQUHAR, H. B.
FISHER, CHAS. S.
FORDYCE, W. T.
GADDIS, NANCY J.
GADDIS, NETTA
GEORGE, AUGUST
HAWORTH, A. M.
HAWORTH, FRANK W.
JOHNSON, D.H. MRS. OR BLANCHE & HOMER
KINNER, ROY
LAIR, I. N. SR.
LAMBCKE, W. H.
LAWSON, W. C. & LESSIE M.
LINTON, JOHN H.
LINTON, WILLIAM C.
MAHER, WILLIAM
MCVAY, C. C.
MELICK, DAVID L.
MIARS, FRED H.
MOON, AUSSIE
PRICE, HAROLD F.
REARDON, J. C.
ROUSH, A. F.
SEWELL, MARY E.
SHAHEEN, MOSES
STEWART, JAMES
TUCKER, THOMAS B.
VANDERVORT, IRA
WARD, R. A. BURNETT MRS.
WOLFE, LEW
WOLFORD, E. J. & MABEL
YOUNG, EDWARD

ARDMORE OK

STATE NATIONAL BANK OF ARDMORE

KING, P. W.
REEVES, M.

ATOKA OK

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF ATOKA

GREEN, WALLACE

BARTLESVILLE OK

THE CENTRAL NATIONAL BANK OF BARTLESVILLE

DEWHURST, JAMES H.

BEGGS OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BEGGS

BROWN, J. M.
DUGGER, EMMA

BIXBY OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BIXBY

MILLER, JOSEPHINE
MITCHELL, M. C.
WILSON, JAMES

CEMENT OK

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN CEMENT

SMITH, H.

CHANDLER OK

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF CHANDLER

BANGS, D. M.
BEATY, WILLIAM LEANDER
BICKFORD, D. C. & MAY A.
BLOODSWORTH, L. A.
BLOODWORTH, L. A.
BOGGS, E. E.
BOONE, DANIEL
BOYLSON, GEORGE C.
BOYLSON, VERNON J.
BRADEN, CHAS. W. & WIFE
BRIDGE & CURTIS
BRILL, CARLTON G.
BROWN, CHARLEY LUTHER
BUSH, CHARLES W.
BUSH, NANCY E.
CAMPBELL-WILKERSON
CHESTER, JAMES
CONLEY, CHRIS. C.
CONLEY, CHRISTOPHER C.
CRAGG, HAZEL M.
DANNER, OREN & C. GERTRUDE
DIAMOND, ROY
ECKARD, ANNA
ELLIOTT, BENJAMIN H.
FARREL, JAMES L.
GARDNER, J. M.
GAYLORD, HOMER ALBIE
GIBSON, JOE
HADAWAY, IRA
HADAWAY, NONA
HADAWAY, OLLIE
HALE, LAWRENCE B.
HARRIS, JAMES T.
HARRIS, PRESTON
HENSLEY, FRANK G.
HICKS, W. H.
HOLT, ABNER H.
HURLEY, GRACE
HURST, CLARENCE FARMER
HUTCHISON, JAMES T.
JOHNSON, W. E.
JONES, LAFAYETTE
KENAGA, HARRY M.
LANDSAW, D. D.
LANDSLAW, STELLA
LOCHNER, ADAM & OLLIE
LOCKWOOD, J. H. & ALICE M.
MARTIN, FRANK
MARTIN, JOHN THOMAS
MASCHO, A. E.
MCDONALD, JOHN D.
MCGRAW, ALVIS E.
MEGEE, CHARLES MUNROE
MEGEE, VERNON EDGAR
MILLER, DOYLE V.
MYERS, SAM W. & ELMINS
MYERS, TED & BEULAH
MYERS, WILLIAM S.
OLIVER, SAMUEL
ORR, AUDIE A.
OWENSBY, I. D.
OWENSBY, J. B.
OWENSBY, JOHN B.
PAYLOR, ALLEN I. V.
PERRYMAN, L. S.
PIERCE, FREDERICK A.
PINSON, JOHN C.
POTTER, HENRY A.
PULLIAM, CLARENCE R.
RADER, GEO. E.
REESE, JOHN J.
RICHIE, MELISSA
SAFFLE, J. W.
SANDERS, GROVER C.
SEDORE, FRANK M.
SEDORE, MARTIN
SENNETT, P. F.
SHARLOW, HUGH
SHELTON, ALBERT G.
SHELTON, W. R.
SILER, NEWTON
SLUSHER, HARRY D.
SODERSTROM-HALSTEAD
STEELE, C. J.
STEELE, MERTIE A.
STICE, N. W.
TABER, J. N.
TENNISON, GEORGE F. & MAGGIE
TENNISON, NEUMAN L.
TURNER, JOHN WESLEY
WALKER, WILLIAM
WEBSTER, ACEY
WEBSTER, JOHN HENRY
WEBSTER, LILLIE MAY
WEMKEN, HENRY
WHITE, JOHN A.
WILLIAMS, ELIHUGH THOMAS
WILSON, EDWIN A.
YATES, ALEX EDWARD

CHECOTAH OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHECOTAH

BARTON, THOMAS
BUCK, RINNIE MRS.
CHECOTAH REBECCA LODGE #12
CREW, OWEN H.
GAULDING, G. M.
HILL, A. A.
JACKSON, STEPNEY
JOHN, E. L.
MALLARD, D. C.
MCDONALD, I. L.
TROLINGER, J. W.
WARRIOR, J. S.

COALGATE OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COLGATE

HOFFMAN, H.
KOTOR, MISA
O'SHEA, PAT
PENNINGTON, C. J.
SANDERS, EPH. MRS. SR.
UNITED WAR WORK
WILSON, EARL

DEVOL OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DEVOL

HODGES, OLIVER F.
SELLS, JOHN

HUGO OK

THE HUGO NATIONAL BANK

BURROW, ADOLPHUE
JACKSON, JENNIE
JAMES, LUCY, GDN.
MAUDLIN, ALBERT F.
NIX, DOROTHY NIX, ELLEN
WALLACE, NEWT
WIEKSON, MIKE, ADM. TOM JACK ESTATE

IDABEL OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF IDABEL

CREWS, M. J.
THOMAS, T.

LAWTON OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAWTON

HANDY, T. J.
WILSON, LEROY

LEHIGH OK

THE LEHIGH NATIONAL BANK

CHANCE, C. C.
LUTTRELL, GLENN
MALLOY, P. W.
MONROE, WILLIS
ROWE, J. I.
RUSNAK, ANNIE

MCLOUD OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MCLOUD

BRADLEY, BESSIE LEONE
CASE, O. B. & CO.
GUINN, J. W.
HAYES, PAUL R.
HODGES BROTHERS
LEAMON, BIVINS
MAGOTT, FRANCES
MCADOO, CORA MRS.
SMITH, CLAIR C.

MILBURN OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MILBURN

HARRISON, CHARLES G.

MUSKOGEE OK

THE MUSKOGEE-SECURITY NATIONAL BANK

BROOKS, FRANCIS MRS.

NOWATA OK

THE NOWATA NATIONAL BANK

ADAMS, H. V.
ADAMS, SAM
ADKINS, C. C.
ADKINS, W. C.
ADKINS, W. O.
ALBERTY, BENNY
ALLEN, ALTA M.
ALLEN, JOSIR C.
ALLEN, N. J.
ALLUWEE OIL CO.
ALWELL, EMMA
ARCHER, W. T.
ARMSTRONG, ALBERT F.
ARMSTRONG, J. F.
ARNOLD, LOUISA
ARRASMITH, ED
ARRASMITH, EDWIN H.
ATLAS PETROLEUM CO.
AUD, T. E.
AUSTIN, S. A.
AYMER, CHAS.
BABB, C. T.
BAILEY OIL CO.
BAILEY, F. D.
BAILEY, FRED D.
BAILEY, MARY E.
BALL, J. T. & BALL, OLLIE
BALL, W. R. & A. K.
BARNES, C. C.
BARNETT, C. M.
BARRY, HOBART M.
BATES, E. O.
BAUMAN & HAMMETT
BAXTER, LILLIAN
BAZENT, J.E. (AGENT)
BEARD, JAS. R.
BELL, GEO. D.
BENNETT, A. D.
BENNETT, G. C.
BEX, C.E. TRUSTEE FOR SMITH C.D.
BEZION, MARY C.
BIRD, W. E.
BLAGBURN-CRAIG, JOHNETHA
BLASSINGME, W. A.
BLUE TIGER OIL & GAS CO.
BLUM, JOE
BOARTS, P. A. MR.
BOLEN, IZETTA
BONOWITZ, M. O.
BOON, J. R.
BOONE CON. OIL CORP.
BOONE, H. N.
BOOP, GEO. T.
BOSCO, FRED M.
BOX, ALLIE H. MRS.
BRADSHAW, C. T.
BRADY, W. R.
BRANNON, EWMET
BRETHAUR, W. H.
BRITTAN, J.L.
BROWN & COLTER
BROWN, H. N.
BROWN, SYLVESTER
BRUCE, J. J.
BRUNK, L. D.
BRYANT, ERNEST
BRYANT, NOAH
BUAME, ARTHUR L.
BULLER, J. B.
BURCHETT, WILLIS
BURDEN, C. F.
BURNETT, G. W.
BUSTER, JOHN W.
BUTTON, A. W.
BYERS, L. S.
BYRD, W. E.
CALIMAN, WILLIS
CAMERON, ERNEST
CAPPS, W. L.
CAPSHAW, FRED
CARROLL, LEE MRS.
CARTER OIL CO.
CHAMBERTON, CHAS. L.
CHANCE, E. E.
CHANEY, FLORENCE
CHANEY, JAS. & FAMILY
CHAPMAN, O. C. ET AL
CHASE, W. A.
CHATTERTON, G. A.
CITIZENS STATE BANK
CLAGGETT LAND
CLAGGETT, CHAS. C.
CLANTON, J. C.
CLARK & DAVIS
CLARK, M. A.
CLARK, MENZO
CLERGY, GEO. B.
CLINE, E.
COBB, J. C.
COFFMAN, J. L.
COKER, ELIZA J.
COLBURN, W. G.
COLLIER, MILLARD
COLTON, J. E.
COOK, H. H.
COOPER, EMMA O.
COPELAND, F.
COPPLE, J. R.
COPPLE, J. R.
COUCH, M. M.
COUCH, OLNEY MRS.
COX, DORA (NOW FARRIS)
COX, G. W.
COX, S. M.
COYLE, J. A.
CRAGO, W. J.
CRAWFORD R.S.V.
CRAWFORD, LUCY
CRISS & GRAVES
CRISWELL, WM.
CUNNINGHAM, GEO. W.
DAGGETT, W. V.
DART, WILLIS W.
DAUGHERTY, CHAS.
DAVIS & YONGER
DAVIS, HERMAN
DAWSON, JEFF
DEEN, LAURA A.
DEHN, E. G.
DEL. CO. OIL & GAS CO.
DILDINE, J. H.
DILLBECK, WM. W.
DODGE, ARTHUR
DONILL, J. M.
DOUBLEHEAD O & REF. CO.
DOWELL & MERCHANT
DOWELL AND COGLE
DOWELL AND GAEDDERT
DOWELL AND MERRITT
DOWELL AND POLLARD
DOWELL AND SMALL
DOWELL, B. G.
DOWELL, B. G., GDN. BERNER, GOLDIE
DOWELL, B. G., GDN. CHEROKEE COKER
DOWELL, B.G., RECEIVER FOR SOWERS, FRANK
DRAKE, MARIAH CLAGGETT, ET AL
DREW, JOHN T.
DU RUSSELL, ABE
DUGAN, C. J.
DUNN, J. I.
DYE, D. A.
DYE, L. A.
EAGELSON, ALBERT
EDWARDS, B. R. VS EWART, DURANT A.
ESTES, L. W.
EWERS, EMMET
FERSMAN, G. M.
FIELDS, ZACHARY T.
FITZGERALD, EDW. H.
FLANAGAN, FRANK
FLING, OWEN
FLOWERS, J. M.
FONTAINE, R. B.
FOSTER, CLARA
FOSTER, E.
FOUNTAINE, R. B.
FOURT, H. R.
FREEDOM DRILLING CO.
FREEMAN, C. E.
FREER, B. F.
FREER, B. W.
FRENCH & ADAMS
FRENCH, J. E.
FRENCH, J. E.
FRENCH, W. V.
FRIGATE, M. P.
FRY, LEWIS F.
FULLER, W. H.
GALER & MATHESON
GARAGE, NOWATA
GARNETT, H. G.
GATEWOOD, GUY
GAUME & CO.
GAUME & MAXWELL
GAUME LEASE PAPERS
GIBSON, MOSE
GIFFORD, MARY W.
GLASS & CALVERT
GLEN OAK RURAL TEL. CO.
GOOD, GEO. M.
GOODMAN, BUD
GOTT, A. M.
GRAHAM, FRANK
GRAVES AND WURMSER
GRAVES, C. W.
GRAYSON, CHARLEY M.
GREEN, H. W.
GREGORY, W.
GROOVER, JEWEL
GUAME & MAXWELL
HAGAN, HOWARD
HAGAN, WM.
HAIRGROVE, ELIZABETH
HALAM, ALFRED
HALBERT, IRMA
HALL, GEO. N. MR.
HALSTEAD, B. O.
HALSTED, B. O.
HAMM, A. E.
HANCOCK, MILTON
HANDY, C. F.
HANKINS, F. D.
HARMON, J. H.
HARNEY, J. L.
HART, LIZZIE, NEE BALENTINE
HARVEY, FRED
HATHCOOK, NONIE L.
HAYNES, J. W.
HEADY, CHAS.
HEADY, CHAS. & DOUBLEHEAD O & REF. CO.
HEADY, J. B.
HEAPE, BERTHA
HEAPE, E. R. LEE
HEFFNER, J. E. MRS.
HEFFNER, ROY
HEIDEBRECHT, J. J.
HENDRICKSON, J. W.
HENDRICKSON, W. A.
HICKEY, JOHN
HICKEY, THOMAS P.
HILL, C. A.
HILL, C. F.
HINES, SARAH
HOBBS, THOMPSON
HOLLAND, JENNIE
HOLLAND, R. L.
HOLLAND, T. L.
HOLLIS, E. A.
HOLMAN, W. C.
HOOD, FRANK
HOOD, WM.
HOVIS, S. F.
HOWARD, EARL
HOWELL, D. D.
HOWELL, LOU G.
HUBBARD, F. D.
HUDSON & WARNER
HUDSON, EARL
HUDSON, EDWARD H.
HUGHES, HORACE S.
HUTCHINGS, W. T.
I.O.O.F. LODGE #100
INMAN, JOHN G.
INMAN, JOHN J.
INMAN, MATTIE E.
INMAN, T. J.
JACOBS, S. N. MRS.
JANEWAY, FRANK
JARBOE, B. A.
JENKINS, C. E.
JENKINS, GEORGE
JENKINS, PHILLIPS & MCCOLLUM
JOHNSON, ARTHUR
JOHNSON, RICHARD BRADY
JONES, BRICE
K. P. LODGE
KARNEGAY, W. N.
KEARNS, CHAS.T, ERNEST
KEE, O. B.
KEITH, S. & ROSS
KELLER, BERT
KELLER, J. L.
KELLEY, J. L.
KELLEY, OREN
KELLY & KEARNS
KEYS & KORNEGAY
KEYS., L. A.
KING, JOHN W.
KING, SARAH J.
KINKEAD, L. L.
KIRK, MARY E.
KIRK, P. D.
KOCH, FRED S.
LA DEUEX, A.
LA DUEX, A. L.
LAMB, H. E.
LANDRUM, MARY
LANE, E.
LANE, S. A.
LATHAM BROS.
LAWRENCE, EDITH M.
LAWRENCE, M. M.
LAY, J. W.
LAYNER, W. R.
LEGOHOMA O. G. CO.
LENCF, IRVIN D.
LEWIS, R.
LILBURN, J. E.
LINSCOTT, E. W.
LONG, F. M.
LOVE, BEN J.
LOVE, F. L.
LOWERY, FRED
LOWERY, W. E.
LOWRANCE, ROY
LOWREY, T. J.
LUCKER, LUCIE V.
LUNSFORD, ELLEN
LYONS, NANCEY
MACK, J. J.
MANNING, J. C.
MARCH, H. C.
MARKEY, H. H.
MARLER, HENRY
MARTIN, LIZZIE E. MRS.
MASON, FRANK ET AL
MATHESON, A. R. ET AL
MATHESON, MARK
MCCLINTOCH, C. O.
MCCOLLUM, J. A. & DANCIGER
MCCOLLUM, J. T.
MCCORMI, A. C. ET AL
MCCORMICK, GEO. DONALD
MCCRAKEN, JAMES T.
MCCULLOM, J. T.
MCDANIEL, DEE, EARL LEE FATHER OF
MCDONALD, CHAS.
MCKIBBEN VS DABNEY
MCMAHAN, W. H.
MCNULTY, M. J.
MCSPADDEN, T. R.
MEADOWCRAFT, JAMES
MERFELD, J. H.
MERGAN, T. W.
MERRITT, T. C.
MID-CONTINENT ENG. CO.
MILAN, W. W.
MILLER, DELOS
MILLER, LILBURN H.
MILLS, GEORGE
MINNESOTA CO-OPERATIVE IRON CO.
MINNESOTA OIL & GAS CO.
MITCHELL, CELESTE E.
MITCHELL, J. D.
MITZNER, HERBERT
MO-LEO OIL & DR. CO.
MONTGOMERY, W. S.
MOORE, ELLA MRS.
MOORE, JOHN H.
MOORE, W. L.
MOSHER, H. A.
MUIR, CASSIE NEE REINHART
MUNSON, W. A.
MURDOCK, F. I.
MYERS & VANDIVORT
MYERS, W. M.
NAIRN, WILLIAM
NATIONAL DRILLING CO.
NEW STATE TOWNSITE CO.
NEWLIN, D. J.
NEWTON, ELMER
NICKERSON, C. H.
NICKERSON, C. H.
NORMAN, D. J.
NORTH, S. H.
NOWATA CANDY KITCHEN
NOWATA COUNTY ABSTRACT CO.
NUNNALY & ARCHER
O-T MOTOR CO.
ODELL, MARGARET
OILER, FRED D. ET AL
OKLAHOMA BANKING BOARD
OTTINGER, SCOTT
PALMER, A. A.
PARKER, W. H.
PARRISH, A. H.
PARRISH, BESSIE
PARRISH, LORENNA
PARRY, WM. S.
PATCHETT, GEO. W. ET AL
PEARCE, L. D.
PECK, W. I.
PENCE, C. O.
PENCE, E. M.
PENCE, U. H.
PERKINS, R. E.
PETTIT, M. F.
PICKETT, J. E.
PLUMMER, L. P.
PORTER, J. B., ET AL
POTTER & SEBRING
POWELL, NANCY NEE WOLFE
PRESTON, EARL S.
PRICE, CHAS. W.
PURITAN CAFE
R. C. CLARK
RAMEY
RAYMOND, E. J.
REED, ANNIE, NEE MUSGROVE
REED, H. W.
REILLEY, J. P.
REINHARDT & REINHARDT
REINHART, HENRY
REINHART, JOE
REINHEARDT, E.
REINHEARDT, JOE
REINHEARDT, MRS.
RENO OIL CO. & BAUMAN
REYNOLDS, A. B.
REYNOLDS, E. C.
RICE, J. H.
RICHARDS, LEILA
RICHARDSON, ELMER
RIDER, JOHN G. MRS.
RIDGEWAY, H. A.
RILEY, A. T.
ROBERTS, J. T. ET AL
ROBERTSON, W.H. & B.H.
ROGERS, WALTER P.
ROSS, L. A

ROWE, FRED S.
RUFUS, WRIGHT & SHOEMAKE
RUSSELL, GEO.
RUTHERFORD, E. R.
RUTHERFORD, E. R. ET AL
SAMPLES, DAN R.
SAMPSON, RAY E.
SAMS & ARCHER
SANDBERG, JOHN
SCHWABE, GEO. B.
SCHWABE, RAYMOND
SCHWABE, WEDELL
SCOTT, EMILY P.
SCOTT, G. R.
SCOTT, H.
SCOTT, ROY & CHILES OIL CO.
SEARS, JOHN G.
SEBASTIA, FRENCH H.
SEBASTIAN OIL PROD
SEBRING, L.
SEC. LAND CREDIT CO.
SEELEY, C. E.
SETTLES, LEE
SHAMBLIN, J. H.
SHARP, N. L.
SHELTON, SPENCER
SHIPLEY & ZELNICK
SHIPLEY, J. R.
SHIPLEY, JOHN
SHOEMAKER, GEO.
SHRECK, L. R.
SIDERY, A. C.
SINCLAIR, O. V.
SLACK, W. E.
SLACK, W. E., ADMR.
SMALL, W. C.
SMALLEY, T. B.
SMITH, J. J.
SMITH, J. L.
SMITH, J. NEIL
SMITH, J. NEIL
SMITH, JOHN
SMITH, NOLA P.
SMITH, W. C.
SMITH, WM. B.
SMITH, WM. C.
SMITHFIELD OIL CO.
SNIP, GRANT
SOLEMAN, C. L.
SPARKS, RAY
STAINBROOK, GUS
STARR, C. A.
STARR, CHAS.
STEIN, NICK
STEINER, R. L.
STEVENS DRILG CO.
STEVENS, TOM
STOUT, W.E. AT AL
STOVER, JOHN T.
STRONG, JOHN
STROTHER, W. O.
SUDDERTH, J. P.
TAPPING, W. F.
TAYLOR, A. A.
TAYLOR, C. E.
TEJON OIL & GAS CO.
THIRY, HERBDRT B.
THIRY, LYDIA E.
THOMPSON, C. C.
THOMPSON, G. A.
THOMPSON, J. B.
THOMPSON, J. P.
THOMPSON, S. W.
THOMPSON, TEITSY
TILLOTSON, L. C.
TISDALE, LLOYD TRUST
TITTLE, J. L.
TOGGERY, COLONAS
TRAVIS, WM.
TREAT, JAMES C.
UMPHRIES, L. C.
UNITY OIL CO.
VAN PELT, JOHN
VANDIVORT
VANN, KATIE
VERMILLION, R. S.
VICK, ELMER
VICKS, ELMER TRUSTEE
VINITA, ALBERT
VOGUEHUT, SAM ET AL
VORE & CARLISLE
WALDEN, ELZIE E.
WALKINGSTICK, S. W.
WALLER, J. W.
WARREN, BELLE
WARWICH DRILLING CO.
WARWICK, L. H.
WASHINGTON, JOHN L.
WELCH, M. E.
WETTACK, MAUD T.
WHAN, F. A.
WHEDON, H. F.
WHITE, CHAS.
WHITEHILL & DAVIS
WHITEHILL, C. F.
WHITLEY, W. W.
WHITMIRE, DANIEL
WIDMAN, WM. B.
WIGGER, ALBERT
WIGTON, GLENN L.
WILLIAMS, FRANK B.
WILLIAMS, JAMES
WILSON, ANDY
WILSON, C. C.,GDN.
WINSELL, A. H.
WINTERS, O. E.
WOLFE, FRANK
WOOD, W. C.
WOOD, W. C., ET AL
WOOD, W. D.
WOOD, W. W.
WOOD, WALTER & WIFE
WOODY, C. F.
WRIGHT, E. C. ET AL
WRIGHT, J. A.
YETMAN, I. L.
YOUNG, C. M.
YOUNG, CLARK
ZOCH, F. P.

PICHER OK

THE PICHER NATIONAL BANK

BROOKE, L. D.
ELLIS, E. & SON
FERRIN, C. F.
HAMMER, E. J.
HICKS, E. B.
HODGE, FRANK M.
JOHNSEY, F. A.
JONES, W. C.
KELLOUGH, R. & L.
O'BANNON, B.
SARTIN, HERMAN
TUCKER, C. F.

ROFF OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROFF

AYCOCK, VIRGIL DEVIT
HILL, O. J.

SALLISAW OK

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF SALLISAW

PETERS, W. F.

SHAWNEE OK

NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE IN SHAWNEE

ALFREY, E. G.
BARNARD, AMELIA M.
BEHLMEYER, CHRIST
BEHLMEYER, CHRISTIAN
BELCHER, R. W.
BELL, MARY A.
BENNHEIM, L.
BLOLOCK, R. T. ET AL
BOX, CARL
CAMPBELL, H. P.
CANKLIN, E. L. & OLIVE J.
CASHION, J. A.,
CLAYBROOK, H. S. & M. B.
COOK, F. R.
CRAVENS, M. D.
CUELLOR, PHILEMON
CULLISON, JOHN P.
CUNNINGHAM, W. E.
DEVORE, ELLA M.
DEVORE, I. J.
DEXTER, CHAS. L.
DILLON, NOAH B.
DODSON, M.
ENOCHS, E.
ERGLE, LEE R.
EVANS, I. N.
GENTRY, W. L.
GRIFFIN, D. A. MRS.
HALLAND, F. L.
HALLUM, R. P.
HAMILTON, J. M.
HANDERSHOT, C. A.
HARR, JAMES T.
HENLEY, E. B.
HENSON, A. G.
HILLIRD, L. MRS.
HOLSBURG, ARTHUR TAYLOR
JACOBS, JAMES R.
JARBOE, JOHN F. & DORA
JOHNSON, J. D.
KNIGHT, JAMES
LINDENBAUM & CAPPLIN
LINDENBAUM, HENRY
LOFTIES, J. K.
LOWRY, W. A.
MADDOX, C. M.
MADISON, CHARLES
MCDIVITT, W. E.
MCFARLAND, J. B.
MCFARLING, ED & OPAL
MCGUIRE, J. A.
MEADS, OLIVIA
MEARS, HERBERT A.
MEEDS, OLIVIA
MELUGIN, LEE H.
MILLARD, JANE
MONROE, GEORGE
MORFORD, C. H.
NEAL, LENA
NICHOLAS, WM. ALBERT
PARSON, J. R.
PEACORE, FRANK E.
POWERS, MOLLY
RADFORD, S. Y.
RAMSEY, A. B.
ROGERS, WILLIE TUCKER MRS.
RUGAT, JOHN A.
RUTHERFORD, JOHN H.
RYALS, H.
SARTIN, JOHN W.
SEWARD MEAT MARKET
SHORES, J. A.
SISSON, J. W.
SMITH, W. F.
SPENCE, SHERMAN
STANLEY, MATTIE
SUTHERLAND, FRANK A.
TAYLOR, LEROY T.
THOMAS, A. D.
TRILBE, E. T.
WALKER, S. W.
WASHINGTON, WALTER
WATTS, F. W.
WATTS, MAY
WELCH, FRANCIS M.
WELCH, JOHN L.
WESTON, T. P.
WILLIAMS, MARY
WILLIE BEATRICE PHARR
WINDEL, WALTER F.
WINGO, JAS. ALBERT
WOODS, EARL M.
WRIGHT, PRICE M.
YUNT, SAM M. & KATHERINE
ZWEIFUL, JOST

STIGLER OK

THE AMERICAN NATIONAL BANK OF STIGLER

BLANKENSHIP, MAGGIE
WINN, B. B.

WALTERS OK

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WALTERS

BAKER, ABE
BALLINGER & BOOTH
BEETCH & MORIN
BENNAM, C. R.
BIVEND, W. W. MRS.
BLACK, A. M.
BOLT, GRIFFITH B.
BRISSEY, W. C.
BROCK, JOHN
BROWN, HARRY C.
BULL, THOS. M.
CALVERT, H. W.
CARVER, J. A.
CASTLEBERRY, S. S.
CHAMBERLAIN, HUGH H.
CHAMBERS, ED C.
CLARKE, CHESTER & MARY A.
COLLINS, EDWARD T.
CRAIG, CHRISTOPHER
CROSS & BEULEY
CUSTER, ROSA F.
DAFFIN, J. P.
DAVIS, DAVID E.
DAVIS, GEORGE
DIEHL, DAN
DUNCAN & JONES
DUNCAN & PIPPIN
DYKES, C. A.
ENDER, WILHELMINA
ENGLISH & BENDER
FANCHER, T. H.
FERRIS, SCOTT
FIRST N. B., WALTERS, OKLA.
FORTENBERY, B. F.
FRANKLIN, LEWIS
GLOVER, J. A.
GRIFFITTS, AARON W.
HAMBLIN, ALFRED H.
HARPER, ALBERT A.
HARRIS, ELLA F.
HARTMAN, H. H.
HAUF, GEORGE
HAVILAND, JOE
HORNE, DELLA R.
HUNT, I. H.
JOHNSON, F. W.
KAHOUT, JOHN
KAILEY, W. H.
KEIM, GEORGE H.
KINKADE & OTHERS
KINKADE, C. R. ET AL
KINKADE, CHARLES R.
KOLARS, CHAS.
KRISHER, W. H.
LAWLER, W. C.
LINCOLN VAL T. CO.
MANDEVILLE, M. C.
MARKLEY, S. D.
MARSHALL, T. H.
MCCOY, J. C. ET AL
MCDOWELL, M. E. ET AL
MCFARLAND, C. J.
MCKINNEY, J. F.
MCKINNEY, JESSE S. & SARAH A.
MCMAHON, CLIFFORD O.
MCPHERSON, R. L.
MCQUOWN & BERENZEN
MCQUOWN & FISHER
MEDLIN, T. W.
MEEK, THOMAS R.
MENDENHALL, O. M.
MEYERS, J. E.
MOBERLY, W. C.
MOORE, T. C.
NEALY, THRESE
PARRISH & BYERS
PARRISH, COOK & KAILEY
PEARSON, HARLEY
PEARSON, R. L.
PITMAN, J. O.
POPE, T. E.
PORTER LEASE SYNDICATE NO. 2
PRIDDY & JONES
PRIDDY, GUYMOND G.
ROACH, W. J.
ROBB, J. H.
RUPE, D. A.
RUYLE, LUCIEN
SCHOOL DIST. #1
SELMAN, J. B.
SHELTON, W. E.
SKINNER, T. E.
SPEIR, H. H.
SPENCER & FIFE
STEELE, C. F.
STEELMAN & HARE
STIPP, J.
STIPP, JACOB
TAYLOR, R. A.
TAYLOR, ROBERT A.
WALTON, ANNIE
WARTHEN, J. W.
WEIR & NEE
WILLIAMS, M. D.
WILLIAMS, T. J.
WILLIAMS, W. E.
YOUNG, J. H.
YOUNG, R. S.
YOUNGS MEN BUS CLUB
ZAHNQUA, M. Z.

ALBANY OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ALBANY

ANDERSON, RASMUS
AUSTIN, EARL J.
BLACK, C. A.
BLACK, MRS.
CHRIS, FRANK
DAVIS, PERCY E.
DEAKINS, W. F.
FERGUSON, W. D.
FREEMAN, Y. G.
HAYS, A. H.
HELLMAN, HENRY
JONES, JOHN WILLIAM
KIEPER, ALBERT A
LEECH, FLORA
MAYES, W. E.
MEINERT, CLAUSE
MILLER, I. G.
MURPHY, WALTER J.
REEVES, O. W.
STURTEVANT, A. M.
SULLIVAN, ANNIE
TAYLOR, SARAH E.
VICKERS, H. B.

ASTORIA OR

THE ASTORIA NATIONAL BANK

ANDERSON, PETER OLAF
BECKMAN, CARL FREDRICKSON A.

GRINDLE, JOHN H.
HARRIGAN, STELLA
LEES, HILDA C. MRS.
MCFARLAND, F. C.
MILLER, FRANK
SHAFFER, JASPER M.
WATSON, A. L.
WHEELER, LETITIA J. MRS.
YOUNG, FRED L.

BANDON OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BANDON

BAKER, HAL

BEND OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BEND

ABBOTT, H. M.
ALLINGHAM, O. D.
ANDERSON BROS. LBR. CO.
ANGLAND, NED
ANGLAND, NED J.
ARNOLD, WILLIAM
BARRY & AHERN
BATES, GEORGE (ELLIS,H. C.)
BATES, W. A.
BEND JUNIPER PRODUCTS CO.
BEND LIVESTOCK LOAN COMPANY
BERGEN, F. O.
BLAYLOCK, A. R.
BOCK, JOHN R.
BREEN, CON
BUCHANAN, CHAS. C.
BUCHWALTER, R. N.
BUTTS, HATTIE M.
BYERS, JOHN
CADY, B. C.
CALDWELL, LAURA H.
CHARLTON, C. M.
CODY, B. C.
CRONIN, TOM
CUNNINGHAM, T. B.
DAVIS, LESLIE R.
DEFOE, JAY
DENSER, P. H.
DOBKINS, FRANK
DUNCAN, NETTIE A.
DURFEY, R. D.
EDGINGTON, E. H.
ELDER, J. C.
ENGREBRETSON, MARTHA J.
ERICKSON, GEO. M.
ERNO, LOTTIE
FORD, H. B.
FOX, LON L.
FRAZIER, J. H.
FREEMAN, J. O.
GILLANDER, BEN R.
GILLANDER, GEORGE A.
GILLANDER, PATRICK
GILLANDER, S. A.
GRAY, GEORGE
GRAY, W. W.
GREEN, J. A.
GRIFFIN, E. A.
GRINSTEAD, A. E.
GRODASS, ANDREW B.
HANSEN, OLE
HASSELBURG, JOHN
HESS, A.
HOSSELBERG, JENS C.
HUDSON, C. S.
INNES, JOE
JAMISON, FRANK
JAMISON, MABLE E.
JAMISON, W. J.
JARRARD, H. A.
JOHNSON, C. W.
KETCHING, GEO.
KILGORE, H. H.
KING, ARTHUR
KRUSE, L. B.
LESTER - BARRY
LEWELLEN, ROBT. A.
LOWELL, NANCY E.
LUCAS, A. C.
LUNDIN, GUS
LUTZ, ELMER D.
MARRIN, CHARLES
MARSH, J. A.
MCALLISTER, JANE
MCAULIFFE, D. P.
MCCLURE, J. W.
MCCORMACK, W. K.
MCFARLAND
MCKENZIE, JOHN
MCNAUGHT, W. F.
MCPHERSON, J. M.
MCREYNOLDS, L. G.
MELVIN, J. A.
MELVIN, JAMES A.
MERRILL, C. W.
MIRICH, STEVE
MIZNER, A.
MONTGOMERY, SHERMAN
MOORE, GEORGE L.
MORGAN, BIRDIE
MURPHY BROTHERS
MURPHY, GEORGE
MURPHY, JOHN M.
MURPHY, T.
NEFF, EVA
NELSON, SAMUEL C.
NETH, FRANK M.
NORTON, H. G.
O'BRIEN, JOSEPHINE
O'CONNOR, HUGH
OREWILER, CHARLES
PERRY, JOHN SR.
PULLIAM, LOUZETTA
RADSETH, LAURA
RILEY
ROBERTS, JOHN M.
ROBINSON, A. J. MRS.
ROOD, T. O. & OLE
SANDERS, WM. C.
SOUKOP, THOMAS
STEPHENS, WM. THOMAS
STEVENSON, V. A.
STEWART, H. E.
SVENSON, SVEN
THOMAS, W. A.
THORPE, J. C.
TIERNEY, WILLIAM
TRIPLETT, C. M.
TRIPLETT, MILLARD
VANDERVERT, W. P.
VANDEVERT, J. C.
VOUGHT
WALKER, A. O.
WEBBER, JOHN A.
WILLCOXEN, ARBA E.
WILSON, F.
WOOLEY, P. A.
WRIGHT, J. W.

CONDON OR

THE CONDON NATIONAL BANK

CHRISTIAN SCIENCE SOCIETY
DUNKL, FRANK J.

HEPPNER OR

THE FARMERS AND STOCK GROWERS NATIONAL BANK OF HEPPNER

ALLISON, A. C.
ANDERSON, A. MRS.
BECK, THOS.
BOWER, JOHN H.
BUSCHKE, B. G.
CRUMP, FRED F.
CUMMINGS, GEO. A.
CUNNINGHAM, WM.
DEVORE, ELSIE B.
DOWNING, E. E.
EDWARDS, ROBERT T.
FELLER, A. E.
FREIWALD, AUGUSTA
FRITSCH, JOS. W.
GILMAN, D. E.
GOFF, ROLAND
HAYES, NEVA
HEMRICH, CHAS.
JOHNSON, HUGH
KELLER, E. J.
LAWSON, N. F.
MAHON, JOSEPH ANDREW
MCCARTY, E. H.
MCKENNEY, R. A.
MILLER, F. M.
MISNER, DWIGHT
MORE & ANDERSON
PAINTER, JOS. F.
PEARSON, D. W.
PETTYJOHN, NOAH E.
POTTER, OLIVER
PUTNAM, C. F.
RIBBINS, JOS. A.
SNOW, KEMPER CLAUDE
SPERRY, ROXA HAYES
STEVENSON, GEO.
STEWART, W. W.
STRAIGHT, A. B.
THOMPSON, G. W. JR.
VAUGHN, R. J.
WARTHEN, PEARL
WELCH & LININGER
WILLIAMS, J. P.
WOOD, LOUISE B.

HEPPNER OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HEPPNER

ALSTOTT, R. D.
ANDERSON, A. MRS.
ANDERSON, GEO. D.
ANDERSON, J. H.
ARNOLD, S. P.
ASHBAUGH, E. C.
ASHBAUGH, MOSE
AYERS, EDGAR B.
AYERS, JOHN L. EST.
BALL, E. C.
BALL, M. MRS.
BARKER, J. H.
BARTHOLOMEW, H. E.
BASEY, ANTONE
BATES, FANNY M.
BAUERNFIEND, PETER ADM.
BERWICK, J. E.
BIBBER, VAN MARSHALL
BIDDLE, H. J.
BIXTY, G. M.
BLACKWELL, JAMES B.
BLAHM, FRONA MRS.
BLAHM, HENRY
BLESSING, JOE
BOTTS, C. H.
BOYD, ANNA
BOYD, J. S.
BOYER, GLEN ET AL
BRADY, T. J. REV.
BRENNER, PETER
BROSNAN, MARY
BROTHERHOOD CO-OPERATIVE NATIONAL BANK
BROWN, DAVE
BROWN, WM. J.
BURNSIDE, R. W.
CALMUS, JOHN B.
CARSNER, R. J.
CARTY, JAMES
CARTY, P.
CECIL, ELSIE MRS.
CLARK, B. F.
CLARK, N. A.
COCHRAN, S. V. & T. G.
COHN, PHIL, AGENT
COLLINS, PAUL W.
COLUMBIA BASIN WOOL WHSE. COMPANY
CONSER, W. S.
CORK, MARION
CRONAN, J. E., TRUSTEE
CUMMINGS, W. E.
CURRAN, JOE
CURRAN, JOHN
CURRAN, PAT
CURRIN, GEO. J.
CURTIS, A. A. MRS.
CUTSFORTH, T. W.
DALE, W. B.
DARCY, JOHN
DAVIDSON, LIZZIE
DAVIS, FRANK
DENISEE, T. G.
DENISON, PERCY
DENNISON, P. H.
DEVIN, M. J.
DEVORE, A. D.
DOHERTY, JOE
DOHERTY, JOHN
DOHERTY, JOHN C.
DRISKELL, ARTHUR
DUVALL, D. C.
EASTERN HIDE & JUNK CO.
ELLIS, EARNEST T.
EMRY, H. A.
ENSLEY, J. C.
ESTES, L. D.
EWING, H. S.
FARRENS, F. M.
FARRENS, WALTER H.
FERSON, JAMES
FINCH, SARAH I.
FINLEY, ARTHUR A.
FIRST NAT'L BANK
FIRST NB, THE DALLES, OREGON
FRASER, J. E.
FRASER, R. E.
FURLONG, WALTER S.
GARRIGUES, JOSEPHINE M.
GAUNT, DAVID
GAUNT, GLADYS R.
GERKING, SAM
GILMAN, D. E.
GLENN, ALEXANDER
GOFF, GEORGE W.
GRANT, FRED
HALE, LEONA
HALES, C. A.
HALLOCK, DELIA
HALLOCK, F. J.
HAMILTON, JUANITA
HAMILTON, LUTHER
HAMMACK, JOHN L.
HANAWALT, S. P. SPECIAL AGENT
HANDLON, DAVID H.
HARMON, GEORGE
HART, R. M.
HAWLEY, B. M.
HAYES, JOHN ADM.
HEALY, PAT ET AL
HEPPNER, H.
HIATT, L. L.
HICKS, EDWARD, ATTY.
HIGGINS, JAMES
HIGGINS, JAMES & PHIL
HILL, JENNIE P.
HILL, L. E.
HILL, L. W. MRS.
HOGUE, C. M.
HOLMES, G. M.
HUFFORD, GEO. B.
HUGHES, WILLIAM
HUSTON, C. D.
HUSTON, JOHN
HUSTON, LUTHER
INGELLS, GERRY
INLAND EMPIRE BANK
JOHNSON, J. E.
JONAS, JOHN
JONES, C. E.
JONES, J. N.
KEENEY, E. J.
KEITHLEY, J. C.
KELLY, JOHN J.
KELTY & BLEAKMAN
KERR GIFFORD & CO.
KILKENNY, FRANK
KILKENNY, JOHN
KINZLEY, HATTIE
KIRK, HALLIE M.
KLINE & ASHBAUGH
KLITZ, F. F.
KNOBLOCK, ED.
KUNSMAN, IRVIN E.
LETELLIER, CHRISTOPHER
LEWIS, W. M.
LEXINGTON STATE BANK
LIEUALLEN, CASPER C.
LINDSAY, ALEX
LINDSAY, ELMER
LUNDELL, AUGUST
LUNDY, NETTIE MASON
LUPER, JAMES H.
MAHONEY, DAISY B.
MAHONEY, T. J., TRUSTEE
MAHONEY, W. P.
MALLORY, C. P.
MANN, B. B.
MARCUS, JOHN J.
MARKHAM, A. M.
MARLATT, JOHN W.
MASTERSON, JOS. E.
MATHEWS, J. H.
MCALISTER, H. L.
MCALISTER, J. W.
MCATEE & SWAGGART
MCCABE, FRANK
MCCABE, MICHAEL
MCCARTY, W. A.
MCCRAW, DIXIE
MCDAID, ED.
MCDUFFEE, PAUL
MCENTIRE, JOHN C.
MCENTIRE, MYLES
MCENTIRE, PATRICK
MCFARLAND, FRANK
MCGEE, J. J.
MCGEE, MARY E.
MCHALEY, G. V.
MCKENZIE, A. J.
MCLAUGHLIN, PAT
MCMENAMIN, F. A.
MCNAB, A. M.
MCROBERTS, MILLIE
MEDENWALD, A. C.
MERRITT, E. H.
MICHAELIS, GEORGE
MILLETT, G. C.
MINOR, MAHALA
MITCHELL, J. L.
MOLLAHAN BROS.
MOLLAHAN, TOM
MORGAN, M. F.
MORRIS, E. B.
MORROW COUNTY GRAIN ASS'N
MUIR, GEORGE P.
MURPHY, J. J.
NEAL, F. M.
NEAL, G. H.
NEAL, O. F.
NEEL, WILLIAM A.
NEEL, WILLIAM J.
NEILL, EDWARD O.
NEWLON, E. B. & W. D.
NEWLON, ELLA B.
NEWLON, W. D. & E. B.
NICHOLS, W. T.
NILSON, ERIK E.
NUNAMKER SHEEP & LAND COMPANY
O'NEILL, PETER
O'ROURKE, P. J. REV.
OCCIDENT LIFE INS. CO.
OLIVER, H. W.
OREGON LIVESTOCK LOAN CO.
PARKER, FRANK E.
PARMON, THOS.
PEARCE, GEO. T.
PEARSON, H. L.
PEARSON, J. A.
PEARSON, LOUIS
PEER, NEWTON H.
PENLAND & COMPANY
PERRY, MARY E.
PETTYJOHN, THOS.
PIPER, J. H.
POTTER, W. B.
PRATER, I. N.
QUAID, THOS. EST
REAVES, JAMES P.
REED, MOLLIE
REID, D. L.
REILLY, M. J.
RHODES, W. B.
RICE, R. B.

RIETHMILLER, W. A.
RIETMAN, EDW.
RILEY, DENNIS
ROBBINS, ANNA MRS.
ROBERTS, JAMES J.
SCHEMPP, GEO. M.
SCHOOL DIST. NO. 26
SEIGEL, THOMAS
SHAFFER, IDA MAY
SHANER, JACOB
SHERIDAN, JAMES F.
SHIPLEY, GEORGE
SLOAN, FRANK
SMITH, FANNIE MRS.
SMITH, JONES
SMITH, M. C.
STANFIELD BROS.
STAUFFER, WM. M.
STILLWELL, F. E.
STOCKNER, L. J. & N. M.
STOUT, JOHN
SULLIVAN, W. C.
SWAGGART, B. F.
SWAGGART, G. W.
TASH, H. F.
TAYLOR, WILEY
THE ROSEBURG NB
THORP, J. P.
TILLARD, A. T.
TURNER, A. R.
VANWINDLE, J. L.
VAUGHAN, R. J.
VERDOT, G. W.
VINCENT, STELLA
VOLLE, REUBEN W.
WAGNER, HENRY
WALBRIDGE, W. E. MRS.
WALRATH, SENA
WARD, BERNARD
WARD, LAURA A.
WARREN, GEORGE W.
WELLS, D. C.
WHETSTONE, ELIZA J.
WHITTINGTON, O. M.
WIGLESWORTH, R. F. & SON
WIGLESWORTH, W. E.
WILKINS, GUSSIE
WILKINSON, ALBERT
WILLIAMS, SERENA MRS.
WILLINGHAM, J. W.
WILLS, R. C.
WISE, THOS. T.
WOODS, EDWARDS F.
WOODS, JOSEPH
WOODSON, C. E. EST.
WOODWARD, H. C.
WYNN, R. GEORGE

PRINEVILLE OR

THE PRINEVILLE NATIONAL BANK

ALDRICH, GEORGE
ALMADEN FURNACE COMPANY (C.M. ELKINS)
ALTSCHUL, CHAS.
BAILEY, WALLACE
BAILEY, WALLACE L.
BATTLER, ASA W.
BAYN, T. B.
BELKNAP, C. J.
BENNETT, BERNICE IRENE
BENSON, W. T.
BICKLY, EVERETT
BLIESK, CHRISTIAN
BOLLIN, F. F.
BOSTWICK, JOHN
BREEN, JOHN
BROWN, J. W.
BUDGE, GEORGE
BURCHTORF, FRED
CHAMPION, A. J.
CITY MARKET
CITY OF PRINEVILLE
CORNETT, J. W.
COX, IRA
CROSS, H. E.
CURTIS, JOHN
DAVENPORT, AMY
ELKINS, BERNICE
ELKINS, COLLINS, JR.
ELLIOTT, ELBERT
ELLIOTT, HARRY
ELLIOTT, W. O.
ELMER, WILLIAM W.
EVANS, JEFF D.
FAUGHT, E. C.
FEELY, CRISLEY
FISCHER, L. M. MRS.
FLOYD, J. F.
FOSTER, VAN & EVA
FOX, BEN
FULLER, VAN W.
FULLER, W. H.
GARSKE, JOHN MRS.
GILLIS, J. A.
GILLS, J. A.
GREATHOUSE, A. C.
GREATHOUSE, FLORA
GRIMES, ALVIN H.
GRIMES, FRED A.
HACKLEMAN, F. A.
HALL, HARLEY B.
HAMMER, F. S. & W. F.
HAMMER, W. V.
HAYNES, JOHN
HIBBARD, LYLE
JONES, RAYMOND
JORDAN, PETE
KING, W. F.
KIRKHAM, W. H.
KJAR, HANS
KUHN, JOHN V.
LAKE, STANLEY
LISTER, JOE
LONG, RICHARD
MAYFIELD, M. S.
MCCALLUM, W. E.
MINIFIE, W. A. MR. & MRS.
MOECK, J. F.
MONICAL, ROBT.
MORRIS, J. F.
NEAL, H. W.
PETERSON, M. E.
PETERSON, M. F.
POST, J. B.
POWELL, J. O.
PRINEVILLE NAT'L BANK
RANNELS, CECIL A.
RAUSCH, CHARLES
REAMS, C. L.
REYNOLDS, H. A.
SCHROY, ORVILLE
SCHUERER, R. P.
SCHURER, R. P.
SEVERENCE, HARRY
SHORT, I. O.
SLAYTON, ED.
SMITH BROS.
SMITH, A. L.
STALEY, M. R.
STEELE, S. P.
STEWART, J. E.
THE OREGON STATE ENGINEER
WESSERLING, WILLIAM
WHEELER, JOHN F.
WHITWORTH, S.

REDMOND OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF REDMOND

ABBE, F. W.
AGEE, E. E.
ARNEY, MARY E. MRS.
BATCHELDER, E. W.
BOWERS, JACK
BOZARTH, SHARID
BREWSTER, GEORGE H.
BROWN, GRACE
BUTLER, HAMPTON M.
CHARLTON, C. M.
CHRISTIAN SCIENCE SOCIETY
CHRISTY, CURTIS
COYLE, J. T.
CUNNING, MAX A.
CURTIS, ANNA
CYRUS, GEORGE F.
DENNIS, JOHN
FLECK, B. L.
FOSTER & MOREHEAD
FOSTER, L. W.
FREEMAN, J. M.
FRY, C. H.
GLASS & PRUDHOMME
GRAY, B. E. MRS.
HALE, CLAUDE
HANCE, MARY E.
HANSEN, HARRY
HAUSER, ELSIE
HEIM, F. A.
HILL, A. J.
HOLMAN, R. J.
HOUK, P. M.
HOWARD, ETTA F.
HUNTINGTON, PERRIT
HUSTON & PYLE
KAUFMAN, H.
KEENEY & BARTON
KENYON, ELLA
LAKE, STANLEY
LEIGHTON, E. A.
LYNN, G. C.
MANCEAU, JOSEPH
MARRIS, MARY A.
MARTIN, BEN. H.
MCCALL, FLOYD
SECURITY TRT & SAVINGS BK
MCCALLUM, W. E.
MCELFRESH, J. J.
MCGOWAN, CARRIE
MCLEAN, EDITH
MEEKER, H. E.
MERRIFIELD, J. W.
MESSENGER, S. K.
METHODIST CHURCH
MILLER, H. C.
MOECK, J. F.
MONICAL, ROBERT
MONTGOMERY, F. C.
MORRIS, OSCAR
PERRY, JOHN
ROBINSON, J. H.
RODESIDE, BARNEY
ROUNER, THOMAS JEFFERSON
SAXTON, J. W.
SMITH, T. M.
SMYTHE, J. S.
STEELE, S. P.
STRUSS, J. A.
THOMAS, L. M.
THOMAS, R. G.
WEIST, L. D.
WEST, J. A.
WESTERN BOND & MORTGAGE CO.
WESTERN SAVINGS & LOAN ASSOC.
WILLIAR & LANTZ
WILLIAR, C. R.
WILSON, MARY D.
WINSOR, S.
WOMENS CLUB

SALEM OR

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN SALEM

AVERT, A.
FRANKE, H.
GLOBE REAL ESTATE CO.
HEMMENWAY, BERT C.
HOLCOMB, MRS.
KISSLING, H. W.
LEE, MARY
RABB, D. S.
ROBERTS, F. B.
SEELEY, C. E.
SHEPPARD, F.
SWEGLE, L. MRS.
TIMME, LIZZIE
WILLARD, E. J.

SCAPPOOSE OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SCAPPOOSE

BACHELOR, ALLAN
BARTO, ROY
CHITTIM, JOHN LEWIS
CHRISTENSEN, N. P.
CIBULEC, JOE
CLONINGER, MRS.
MCMULLEN MRS.
NAGEL, OTTO
ROSKOSKI, A. & EVA
THURSTON, J. J.
WALTER, C. E.

SPRINGFIELD OR

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SPRINGFIELD

ANDERSON, PETE TO J. BURCHETT
BROWN, J. H.

AMBLER PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AMBLER

JOHNSON, HARRY

BEAVER PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BEAVER

CRAIG, A. B.
HELMAN, GEO.
HOLMES, GEO.
STEWART, JOHN F.

BLOSSBURG PA

THE MINERS NATIONAL BANK OF BLOSSBURG

ANDERSON, ROBERT W.
SHARP, M. R.

BOSWELL PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BOSWELL

PELLICCIONE, DOMENICO
POWERS, FRANK

BROOKVILLE PA

THE JEFFERSON COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF BROOKVILLE

BROOKVILLE AUTO CO.
CHITESTER, CLYDE N.
CLOVER, ANNIE
KERR, RUTH MATTHEWS
MCCLAIN, ANNA
REA, C. N.
SMITH, WILLIAM JAMES
STEELE, M. D. OR SARAH A.
WHEELER, JAMES G.
ZAHN, H. M.

BROWNSVILLE PA

THE MONONGAHELA NATIONAL BANK OF BROWNSVILLE

ADAMS, HADDIE
ANDERSON, FRANK
ASSAD, M.
BAILEY, F. W.
BOOKER, J. P.
BRASHEAR BROS.
CARBONARA, MIKE
COLLIER, WILLIAM
CRAWFORD, H. M. MRS.
DECKER, ROBERT M.
ESPER, SHIBEY
FISCHER, JOSEPH
GALLAGHER, SAMUEL C.
GARWOOD, OLIVER
GASINICA, JOHN
GRIFFITH, HIRAM
HARMOUSH, H.
HENDRICK, JOHN
HLUSTIK, MIKE
HOFFER, JOHN
HOWARD, H. C.
IVANAN, ANTHONY & MARY
JOHN CHEW & NUNZIA
KAISER, W. F.
KUBRIC, MIKE
LOCKRIDGE, ROBERT L.
LOCKRIDGE, RUSSELL
MCCARTHY, R. H.
MCCLELLAN, LUVENIA OR RAYMOND KAISER
OAKS, LYDIA M.
PHILLIPS, ANNA C.
PIERCE, LUTHER
PRICE, BERTHA
RUSSELL JOHN & ADALINE
SAGANICK, DOMINEC
SLANE, J. D.
SMITH, C. V.
SMOLKA, BASIN
STERIO, JAN
SWEARER, JOHN
VALENTO, I.
VECHIK, DOMINICK
WALSH, LIDA MRS.
WARMAN, S. W.
WILSON, CHARLES
WILSON, H. H.
YAW, GEORGE
YOUNG, THOMAS
ZOLKA, ANDY

BURGETTSTOWN PA

THE BURGETTSTOWN NATIONAL BANK

BARBUSH, STEVE
ORESTE, PEROTTI

BURNHAM PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BURNHAM

DEITZ, HENRY F.
PATTERSON, W. T.
REDDICK, H. G.

CARNEGIE PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CARNEGIE

BELL, WILLIAM R.
DOOLITTLE, JACOB
NESBIT, J. W.
ROBINSON, SARA M.
SHAW, W. A.
SOCIETY c148

CHARLEROI PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHARLEROI

ABRAMSON, FRED
ACTON, P.
ALDOUS, DANIEL F.
ALLEGHENY COUNTY WOOD FIBER PLASTER CO.
ALLEN, BESSIE B.
ANNONIO, ROCCO
ARMEL, JOHN
ARMSTRONG, ALVAH
ASTLE, JOHN H.
ATHEY, WILLIAM J.
AXTON, JENNIE
BAKE, EDGAR
BALDWIN, A. C.
BARINGER, JOSEPH & MARTHA
BARNUM, DAVID
BARNUM, DAVID R.
BARONE, MICHAEL & ROSE
BARR, FRANK W.
BEATTIE, ROBERT E.
BEKETIGE, STEVE
BERIENZO, PHILIP ET AL.
BEVIER, EDWIN D.
BITNAR, ALBERT
BOCHNAK, FRANK & CAROLINA
BOLEN, ALBERT
BONDI, JOE
BOYD, GEORGE M.
BRAITHWOOD, W. E.
BROSIC, CHARLES
BROWN, ANDREW
BROWN, ANDREW C.
BROWN, EDWARD L.
BROWN, GUY W.
BURK, CHARLES & BLANCHE
BURTON, TACY
CARP, GEORGE & BELLIE
CARTER, MARGARET
CHALFANT, JAMES R.
CHALFANT, JOHN
CHALFANT, WILLIAM & HUGH
CHILTON, WILLIAM
CLARK, SARAH E. MRS.
CLICKOVICH, MIKE
COATSWORTH, J. E. & G. W.
COLDREN, JOHN E.
COLDREN, W. A.
COPE, JOHN I.
CORWIN, CHARLES R.
COSTELLAC, JOE
CROUSHORE, H. R.
CROUSHORE, JAMES E.
CRUMP, MARIA MRS.
CZERWINSKY, BOLESLAW
DAVIDSON, JNO. T. & JANE
DAVIS, IRWIN
DAVIS, LEON
DEAL, DANIEL
DEWAR, F. O.
DEWAR, FRANK O.
DOBOSIEWICZ, STEVEN & HELEN
DOHONICS, JOHN
DOWNER, ALBERT
DOWNER, HELLEN
ECKMAN, GEO. C.
ELLIOTT, A. HEISEY
ELLIOTT, ROY W.
EVANS, WILBUR
EVANS, WILLIAM
FARQUHAR, CARL B.
FARQUHAR, CHARLES
FAYETTE CITY COAL CO.
FAYETTE CITY REAL EST. & IMP. CO.
FAYETTE CONSTRUCTION CO.
FERNARDICK, JOHN
FIELDS, FRANCIS
FIELDS, MAGGIE
FINNISH WORKERS ASSN.
FISHER, PHILIP
FLEISCHER, ABE
FLEISHER, ABE
FLEMING, WILLIAM T. JR.
FORSYTHE, ELI
FRANCIS, JOSEPH
FRONSICK, MIKE
FURLONG, WILLIAM A.
GALLOWAY, ROBERT T.
GANTNER, JOSEPH
GARDNER, JAMES JR.
GARDNER, JOHN JR.
GAREE, WM. R.
GARGAN, JAMES P.
GAUDEN, ELIJAH
GERMAN BENEFICIAL UNION c476
GIANNAKOS, FRANK M.
GILCHRIST, JOHN & JAMES
GOLOP, LOUIS
GRANT, MARY A.
GREGOR, ANNA & JOHN
GUDER, JOSEPH
GUMMERT HOLDING CO.
HAGERTY, ALVA M.
HALL, WILLIAM
HALTEBAUM, NANCY
HAMILTON, ALLIE
HAMILTON, JAMES C.
HANSEL, GEORGE B.
HARE & MURPHY
HARE, EBER
HARE, J. M.
HARE, LEEROY
HARRISON, THOMAS M.
HAWKER, G. C.
HENRY, JOHN
HICKS, HOWARD
HICKS, SAMUEL W.
HILDERBRAND, CLIFFORD & JOSEPHINE
HOFFMAN, EVA
HOUGH, HARRY A.
HOUGH, RUSSELL
HOUGH, SYLVANIA L.
HUGHES, P. S.
HUMPHRIES, SIMEON
HUMPHRIES, WILLIAM
INGLAND, A. A.
JACKOVICH, ELI
JOHNSTON, JENNET
JONES, DAVID & LENA
JONES, W. E.
KEITH, DANIEL
KINKAID, JAMES A.
KISSEL, MARTIE & MAGGIE
KNIGHT, R. G.
KOPAS, JOHN
KOSTELAC, ANTON
KOVACH, STEPHAN & MARY
KOWACH, FRANK & MARY
KOZEL, ANDREW
KOZEL, MARY
KREMPO, AGNES
KUHN, CHARLES A.
KUTSZ, FRANK
LAKEL, CHARLES R.
LASSIE, STEPHEN & ANNIE
LAURENCE, ANTHONY
LAVERY, MARY ANN
LAYTON, WILBER
LEEPER, ELMER F.
LEEPER, FRANCES MRS.
LINDY, LOUIS I.
LIVI, ALDERIO
LIVINGSTON, PETER
LIVINGSTON, ROBERT
LORINC, ANNIE
LUCE, ELLIOTT P.
LYNN, NORMAN MACKEY
MAIN & MAIN
MARARIS, STEPHEN
MARION PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
MARSHALL, CHARLES H. & MARTHA
MARSHBANK, JOHN & ALICE
MASONIC TEMPLE ASS'N.
MATSON, HENRY
MAYHORN, NELSON
MCCARTHY, DANIEL REV.
MCCRORY, CORA & CARL
MCCRORY, GEORGE M.
MCCRORY, MARIA
MCCRORY, WM.
MCCUNE & LAKEL
MCCUNE, LULU P.
MCDONALD, JANE
MCGOWAN, ELIZABETH
MCLELLAN & MCDONOUGH
MILLER, WALTER S.
MOLLARD, EMMANUEL
MOLLARD, SAMUEL
MORRIS, SAMUEL G.
MORROW, NANNIE S.
MULLEN, J. N.
MURRAY, GEO. & JANE
NATHENSON, MORRIS
NATOLA, JOSEPH
NEFF, WILLIAM & ANNIE
NEMESH, MICHAEL
NUTT, MARY F.
NUTTING, JOSEPH
ONNI, VINA
PARK, ALEXANDER
PASCOE, JAMES
PASCOE, WILLIAM & ISABELLA
PASLANSKY, NICK
PATTERSON, ALICE MRS.
PATTERSON, JAMES
PERRY, THOMAS
PFLEGHARDT-GEORGE
PFLEGHARDT, JOHN N.
PIERCE, WALTER P.
POZNER, JACOB
PRATTEN, JOSEPH
PROKOP, MIKE
RAFFIN, MARY A.
RAO, MARY G. & SAM
REECE, JAMES
RENSTROM, AUGUST
RENSTROM, MARTIN J.
RICKTARCRIK, ANNIE
ROBISON, SARA JANE
ROBSON, ROBERT
ROCCO, SAVERIO
ROLEY, WILLIAM H.
ROLLEY, CLYDE
RONAY, ALEXANDER
SADOWSKI, JACOB & AMELIA
SAEVITZ, HARRY
SAFIER, JACOB, JR.
SAFIER, JACOB, SR.
SAFIER, MARCUS
SALYERS, GEORGE
SALZMAN, CHARLES A.
SANTER, ROBERT
SCHROCK, RACHEL J.
SCOTT, WINFIELD T.
SCULLION, WILLIAM
SHANKS, JOHN JR.
SHOUFFLER, HARVEY C.
SINSLEY, JOHN
SISLEY, E. W.
SLOSKY, AUGUST
SLOTERBECK, JOHN & WM.
SMITH, B. B.
SMITH, MARY A.
SMITH, REUBEN
SOBIESKY, JOHN
SOPCIC, PETER & ELLA
SPALTER, SUE & W. T.
SPOONER, LAURENCE
ST. EDW. ROMAN CATHOLIC CHURCH
ST. EUSIBUS R. C. CHURCH
STANKO, ANDREW
STEINMAN, E. D. SR.
STEINMAN, EDWARD D. JR.
STEPHENS, CALVIN F.
STEPHENS, GRIFFITH W.
STEPHENS, LAURA P.
STEWART, THOMAS
STIMMEL, MARGARET
STOCKDALE LUMBER CO.
STUBLEGORIC, GEORGE
STUCKSLAGER, JAMES
STURGIS, JOHN
SWASTEN, FRANK
TAGGART, ANNIE
TEGGERT, WILLIAM H.
THIRKIELD, ELIZABETH D.
THOMAS, MIKE
THREADGOLD, GEORGE
THROOP, FRANK E.
TIERNEY, HUGH AND MARGARET
TIPPINS, WILLIAM
TOWNSEND, J. FRANK
TURNER, JOHN E.
VARGO, LOUIS
VARSEL, STANLEY
VENALECK, FRANK & L.
WALTERS, ELIZABETH M.
WASHINGTON TELEPHONE CO.
WASHINGTON TWP. SCHOOL BOARD
WEAVER, G. M.
WEISS, HERMAN
WESTCOAT, HEZKIAH
WILLSON, WILLIAM L.
WILSON, GEORGE
WILSON, JOHN A.
WILSON, THOMAS W.
WINTERS, PETER L.
WOOD, WM. L.
ZENDRON, DOMINEC

CHERRY TREE PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHERRY TREE

FISHINISH, NICK

CLARION PA

THE SECOND NATIONAL BANK OF CLARION

ROYCE, CHARLES A.
WILSON, ALEXANDER

CLIFTON HEIGHTS PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLIFTON HEIGHTS

BENSON, FRANK H.
CAIN, MARY V.
CATES, STELLA KATHRYN
CONARD, WALTER T.
DESONTIS, ERASOMO
DONAHUE, JAMES A.
JARVIS, JOHN H.
KAMONS, JOS.
MARGULIES, MORRIS
MORAN, JOSEPH P. & MYRTLE M.
NASTASYNA, VODRICE MAKSYMA
PHILLIPS, ALFRED E.
ROBINSON, R. J.
SHILLINGFORD, ROBERT P.
WILKINSON, F. K.
WISEMAN, FRANK G.

CONNELLSVILLE PA

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF CONNELLSVILLE

BARGER, J. W.
BOWMAN, W. B. EXECUTOR
BRANSTEIN, ANNA
CARLETTO, F.
CAROSI, D.
DILL, JOS.
DUCO, PAUL
EVANS COAL & COKE CO.
GRAHAM, F. R.
HERNDON, REUBEN
HUNGARIAN SICK BENEFIT
JONES, ROBERT
KENDALL, MAURICE M.
KLUB, KENNELL
LYTLE, GEO. F.
MADISON COKE CO.
MAGNANI, ALDINA
METCAFF, L. R.
MOLINARI, LEONE
MORELLE, ENRICO
MORENO, P.
MURPHY, OLIVE V.SETHMAN
NATIONAL SLOVANIC SOCIETY
OPPMAN, ANDY
ORSINI, FRANCISCO
RANALDO, GIOVANNI
RESKOVAC, JANKO STIIC
RONALDI, ANTONIO
ROSENFIELD, S.
SALVATORE, LOGRANDE
SNYDER & GOODCHILD
SPENCE, GRACE & WILLIAM
STELLATOS, SPIROS
STEYER, JACOB JR.
SURMO & HELLEBERGER
TOME, IVANAC
WALLS, ALDEN

CONNELLSVILLE PA

THE UNION NATIONAL BANK OF CONNELLSVILLE

AUTO SERVICE CO.
BARNES, JOSEPH
CROWLEY, C. A.
SANTORE, THOMAS
SEEDE, S. R.

DARBY PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DARBY.

BOCH, FRANK L
BOYER, OLLIE
CARLIN, JOS. J.
CASE, MARGARET S
CAVE, JAMES
CHASE, HORATIO J
CONNELL, JOHN
CUNNINGHAM, MARY F.
DICK, JOHN SR.
EWING, JAMES & EDITH B.
FOSTER, ADDISON SR.
GRANDAGE, WM.
GUGGENHEIM, SAUI
HANOVER, H. L.
HENRY, ALFRED
HITCH BROS.
HORWITZ, MORRIS
JONES, WM. D. JR
KAMP, SUSEN M.
KANE, HELEN F. L. & WILLIAM D.
KAUFMAN, MARTIN
KIMBROUGH ESTATE
KINSLEY, ROBERT
LAFFERTY, FRANCES S
LENNON, PHILIP
MACKSON, GEO.
MARSHALL, MARY J.
MCCANDLESS, THOS H.
MEDLEY, MARY R.
MILLER, LILLIAN V.
MINK, CHARLES JR. & MARY J.
MORRIS, AMANDA
OBERHEIM, HAROLD L.
PARKIN, EZEKIEL & MILLIE
PERICAT, ALPHONSE
PERKINS, VIRGINIA B
PERRY, W. H.
RALSTON, MARGARET
WHITELAW, HARRY I SR.
WILLARD, MILES J.
WRIGHT, ANDREW & LUCY

DELMONT PA

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF DELMONT

BASARA, JOHN
DE VITTS, FAULK
GEORGE, JAMES E
HEASLEY, G. B
LAWRENCE, MARY H
MCLAUGHLIN WILLIAM L.
STINE, OLIVER E

DUNBAR PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF DUNBAR

BROWNE, R. B. JR.,
BURHANS, EFFIE A MRS.
CENCE, ANNA E.
EHRMAN, LEON A. I
MILLER, SARAH CATHERINE
PALMER, T. B.
REAL ESTATE TRUST CO. PITTSBURGH. PA.
SWINK, KATIE J
TIPTON, G. M.
TRESSLER, MARY MRS
ZELENSKY, ANNA

ELIZABETH PA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELIZABETH

LOCAL UNION 2346 UMW OF AMERICA

ELLWOOD CITY PA

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF ELLWOOD CITY

ACS, JIMIRE
DEMAS, T. H.
DEMICHO, JOE
DIMASO, SAVERIO
HUMPHREY, C. E.
MICHAEL, RUFUS H.
MILLER, GENEVIEVE
MILLER, MARY A. MRS
STEVENS, RICHARD
TZANKARAKIS, GEORGE

ELLWOOD CITY PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELLWOOD CITY

ADKINS, LEONA
CHRISTIE, JOHN B.
D'TULLIO, JOE
DANYLO, ANTHONY
DOBINSKI, JOE
FORD, S. W.
HEPLER, V. L.
KENNEDY, WILLIAM G. A.
LUTZ, CLYDE G. ET UX
MARSHALL, C. E.
MASSARA, SAVARIO
MCCONELL, RAYMOND W.
MCDONALD, BERNARD
PETRELLA, LUIGI
SMITH, MARY ALICE
WERTKIN, AARON

EMPORIUM PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EMPORIUM

ANDREWS, CHARLES

FAYETTE CITY PA

THE FAYETTE CITY NATIONAL BANK

BARUBARU, DAVID R
BEATTIE, ROBERT
BROWN, ANDREW C.
CHALFART, HUGH H.
COSTRANA, CHARLES
CROUSHORE, N. R.
DEWAR, FRANK O
DUBROVIT, JOHN
MCCRORY, VICTOR
PFLEGHORDT, JOHN N
ROKETIC, SAM
TEGGART, WM. H

INDIANA PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF INDIANA

HAGENS, JAMES

JOHNSTOWN PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF JOHNSTOWN

BLACK, CLARICE LESTON
BUTMAN, V. D.
DOLAN, PATRICK C
FARANDO, JOE
GILBERTSON, PAULINE
JAMES, FRANK L
KRINSKI, JOHN
LOWRY, MIKE
PRAVIS, FRANK
RASIMAS, ANDRIUS
SCHRAMM, F. M. B. DR
SCHWARTZ, ERNEST
THORP, JOSEPH
WOELFEL, LEO A

LATROBE PA

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF LATROBE

ANDERSON, C. A.
ARONSON, SAM
BARNHART, S. K.
BERNAS, ALBERT J.
BIGO, JOE
BRINDLE, J. W.
BYRNES, EDW. J.
CAMPBELL, GERTRUDE MRS.
CHURNS, CLARISSA
CONEFF, WILLIAM P
EWING, GEORGE ANNA BRINKER
FAJT, JOHN & SUZANNA
FIRST NATIONAL BANK, GREENSBURG
GAMBLE, BESSIE M.
GAMBLE, GEORGE W
GEE, WM. T.
HINES, J. M. ESTATE
HOGAN LUMBER CO. INC.
JOHNSTON, W. B. & EFFIE
KELON, MARGARET
KHOREY, JAMES
KRUK PERCUSSION CO.
LESLIE, ALICE E.
MAKSYMCHAK, MARY
MASSIMINI, CHAS.
MCQUADE, H. CLARA
MILALIK, ANNIE
MILLAR, W. G. A.
O'CONNOR, J. J.
OSHNOCK, CLARA
PAYNE, JAMES A.
PISULA, FRANK V
PUTLITSKI, JOE
RIDDEL, ZULA
RIDDELL CHILDREN, L
ROBBINS, H. E.
RUSSO, CHARLEY
RUSSO, JOHN
STASIO, JOS.
STRICKLAND, FRANK R.
THOMAS, H. H.
WEATHERTON, HENRY A.
WITHERSPOON, J. E
YOURISON, F. B.
ZACHARIAS, HOWARD
ZOBLISIN, HARRY

LEECHBURG PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LEECHBURG

BEATTY, CHAS. R.
BORLAND, JAMES L
CRUEY, WILLIAM F
CULP, FRANK W.
FREDERICK, MARGARET L.
KAMONS, JOS. & ROSIE
KISS, JULIUS & AGNES
LEECHBURG PRODUCE CO.
LOYAL ORDER OF MOOSE
MARTINISKO, MARTIN & ANNIE
MERCHANTS & MINERS BANK
PORTER, CLAUDE G
SLOMKA, FRANK J.
SMITH, CHAS. ELMER
THOMPSON, E. G. & MARY C.
WYATT, C. N

MASONTOWN PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MASONTOWN

GUY, S. F

MONESSEN PA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF MONESSEN

ABRAHAM, E. J.
ARNOLD, CARRIE
ARNOLD, EARL G.
BEETHAR, ABRAHAM, EST.
BERGSTEIN, SIGMARD
BIDDLE, E. L.
BOLAND, J. A.
BOLTON, C. H.
BORNSTEIN, M.
BOYD, FRED E.
BRADEN, G. E. MRS
CAIRNS, ANDY
CLAPELLA, JOE
COSTELLO, THOS. J
D'EMILIO, A. E.
DECAZENOVE, A MADELINE
ECHOLS, GEO.
ELY, L. X.
EXACUSTIDES, GUS
FAIRLEY, MARY A
GARY, CURTIS
GORDON, GILBERT
GREEN, E. N.
HADIO, ZAKOOR
HUNTER, L. W. MRS
JEZZI, VICTOR
JOHNSON, WM. F.
KELLER, FRED
KOSTICU, GOSPODINU
LAMARAS, A. THOMAS
LEINBACH, E. A. MRS
MAMMAS, JOHN
MARTIN, CLARENCE V
MAUD, CHAS.
MCMAHAN, FRANK J
MEHALLICK, JOHN
MILLER, ANNA
MILLER, P. N. MRS.
MONIOS, MANUEL K
MYERS, D. R.
MYERS, JACOB
NICASTRO, CHAS.
NIEMAN, IRVING I.
OLSAVICKI, MICHAEI
ORLANDO, JOS.
PHILLIPS, LEE ETHEL
PHILLIPS, MRS.
RUSSIAN NATL. UNION
SAARI, ISAK AND AINA
SCARPELLA, JOHN
SCHILLING, AUGUST
SELEPCHAK, JOSEPH
SETA, JIM
SMITH, HENRY
SOTUS, PETER
STIROS, TOM
TOROLO, ANTONIO ESTATE
WATTS, F. L.
WILSON, R. A.
WYCOFF, MARY

PHILADELPHIA PA

MOUNT AIRY NATIONAL BANK IN PHILADELPHIA

CAMP, FRANK M.
CONNOLLY, A. J.
DERR, RALPH
ERICK, ALBERT W.
TUCKER, EDWARD

PHILADELPHIA PA

NORTHWESTERN NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF PHILADELPHIA

BRANDT, MARY ANN
BROCK, R. S. MRS.
DISKAN PAINT CO
EBLE, FERDINAND
FRIEDMAN, LOUIS
HIRSCHBEIN, MORRIS L.
HOLLENBACK, EDWIN E.
LING, JUNG
REEVES, J. W.
SCHMIDT, EDW. A
SCHMIDT, EDW. C.
SCHMIDT, EDWARD C & FREDERICK W.
SCHMIDT, EMMA B.
THOMPSON, LOUISA A.
TREVOR, JOSEPH B.
WOLF, CARL B.

PHILADELPHIA PA

OVERBROOK NATIONAL BANK OF PHILADELPHIA

BELL, WILLIAM JR.
BENEDICT, NATHAN
BLEY, MARY V. & VIOLA M.
BORNSTEIN, NATHAN
BROOKE, BENJ. L. & MARIE M.
BROWN BROS.
CAROTHERS, J. C.
CHALPHIN, JACOB J
CHINERY, ROBERT
COTCHER, DAVID J
DAVENPORT, W. L.
DAYNO, LOUIS A.
DROREY, PATRICK J.
DUNCAN, HARRY F.
EVERETT, GEORGE J.
FEASTER, WM.
FLEMINGER, NOAH
GERTSLAUER, HELENA
GILL, CHAS. E.
GREANEY, GEO. F. A.
GROOBY, ELEANOR H
HEWITT, F. L. & MARY

R. W.
IRETE, PETER L.
JARDEN, W. ARTHUR
KERR, MALCOLM C.
KNIER & CO.
LINN, J. B. MRS.
LOUIS, JOHN J.
MCCULIFF, WM. J.
MCGONIGAL, BRYAN
MELNICK, SAMUEL
MILLER, HOWARD A.
MULHERN, JULIA
NEWTON, ROBLEY D.
NOBLE, STEWART
O'REILLY, HENRY F.
PRESTON, MARGARET C.
PRUDENTIAL AUTO FINANCE CO.
QUICK, ELROY I.
ROMIG, FRED A. & SUSAN V.
RUSSELL, EDNA A.
SALAMAN, RICHARD H.
SHALKOP, PAUL E.
SLATER, RALPH
STACKHOUSE, J. W.
STUTMAN, ISRAEL
TONER, EDW.
WEISS, LEONARD & SOPHIE
WILLIAMS, CHAS. & EMMA B.

PHILADELPHIA PA

SIXTH NATIONAL BANK OF PHILADELPHIA

CLEMENT BROS.
DIVEL, THOS. G.
DUBIN, ABE
ELGART, NATHAN
FAZZINI, NAZZARENO
GOLDSTEIN, FRANCES B.
GROSS, JOSEPH M.
HAMMER, NATHAN
HOFACKER, WILLIAM
INGENITO, PASQUALE
LATHBURY, GEORGE
LAZOW, SAM
LEONARDO, BAROME
LIPKOWITZ, M.
MARTINO, PHILIP
MATTER, REISTEIN
MCALLISTER, WILLIAM A.
MERKIN, HARRY
MILLER, HARRY
MITNICK, MAX
MORRIS, SAMUEL
MOSKOWITZ, MAX
PECHTER, ISIDORE
ROTHSTEIN, H.
RUGOWITZ, L.
SCHULTZ, ROBERT
SPISER, WM. F.
STOCK, MARY

PHILADELPHIA PA

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF PENN

ABRAMSON, BANNY
ABRAMSON, SARAH
ALDINGER, JOSEPH A.
ARCHIBALD, A.
AUGUSTINE, HARRY H.
AXELROD, MORRIS
BAIRD, P.
BAKER, SAMUEL
BAMBERGER, E. B.
BARBRUS, MICHAEL
BARON, HARRY A.
BARTOS, MARTHA
BATEMAN, FRANCIS C.
BEATTY, JOHN C.
BECK, BENNY
BECK, SAMUEL
BECKMAN, SAM
BELMONT DRUG STORES
BERG, JULIUS
BERNHOLZ, ROSIE
BERNS, MORRIS
BERNSTEIN, HARRY
BERWICH, MATHEW & MARY
BIANCOLLO, FRANK
BIRMINGHAM, C. R.
BIRNO, F.
BORENSTEIN, F.
BOSTON PIANO & MUSIC CO.
BRADY, WM. A.
BRALOW, PHILIP
BREIN, R.
BRENNAN, A. A.
BRENNAN, ARTHUR
BREWER, MARY E.
BROD, LOUIS
BRODSKY, MOLLIE
BROTHERHOOD OF JOURNEYMEN PLASTERERS UN
BROWER, JOHN
BROWN, ISAAC
BRUMBY, JOE E.
BURKE, THOMAS F.
BURTNETT, CLEMENT C. E. SCHWARTZ CO.
CALDWELL, JOHN A.
CARAFIDES, LEONIDES J.
CARNEY, JAMES
CARRION, PETRO
CAVES, JOSEPHINE N. V.
CERCEO, FRANK
CHABAD, S.
CHERRY, PHILIP
CLARKE, J. A.
CLEBANOFF, ELLIS
COHEN
COHEN, BENJ. H.
COHEN, HARRY
COHEN, JACK
COHEN, MOLLIE
COHEN, WILLIAM
COLDEA, ALEX
COLE, HOWARD J.
COLLADAY, H. G. & GRACE
COLLINGS, DAVID B.
COLUMBIA HAT WORKS
CONNORS, GUY S. & JOSEPHINE
COOKE, EDITH A.
CROSBY, JOSEPH
CRYSTAL, BELLA
D'ANGELS, CLEMENT
DAWSON, LEONARD
DEADY, ROBT. E.
DECKERT, GEORGE W.
DINTENFASS, SAMUEL
DOZER, I.
DREW, LEWIS WILLIAM
DRUCKER, JOSEPH
ECKHARDT, GEO.
ELGART, NATHAN
ESTATE OF C. S. GARRETT & SONS
EVANS, GEORGE L.
FABIANI, FRANCIS DR.
FABIANNI, DR.
FALKENHEIM, ALBERT
FAZZINI, NAZZARENO
FEINBERG, MORRIS
FELDGUS, BENJAMIN
FELDMAN, HARRY
FELDMAN, ROSE
FELL, CHAS. H.
FELL, KATHARINE D.
FELT, LOUIS
FILDERMAN, MARCUS
FINCH, LOYD
FINE, HARRY
FINE, JACOB
FINKEL, MORRIS A.
FINKELSTEIN, CHARLES
FINKELSTEIN, SAM
FISCH, HYMAN
FLEISHMAN, ABRAHAM
FLOWERS, ARTHUR JR.
FOLKMAN, OLGA
FRANK C. SCHERF & CO.
FRANK, MORRIS
FREEMAN, ROBERT
FREILICH, AARON
FRIEDMAN, B. C.
FRIEDMAN, BARNETT C.
FRIEDMAN, E. H.
FRIEDMAN, FANNIE
FRIEDMAN, LOUIS
FULTON, J. ROBT.
FURST, GEO. & MARY
GAINS, PETER F.
GALANC, THEODORAS
GALANOS, PETER
GASPAN, GEO.
GERVASIO, GUISEPPE
GHEVICH, D. G.
GIANETASIO, HARRY
GLANTZ, ALBERT
GLAZER, EUGENE
GOLD BROS.
GOLD, RUDOLPH
GOLDBLATT, MAX
GOLDSTEIN, ABE
GOLDSTEIN, JOSEPH
GOLDSTEIN, LOUIS
GOODMAN, DORA & JACK
GOODMAN, JOSEPH
GORSON, HARRY
GOTTLIEB, MORRIS
GREEN, A. M.
GREEN, ESTHER F.
GREEN, SAMUEL
GREGORES, ANTONIO
GURKIS, RUBY
GUYER, M. E.
H. COOPER & CO.
HAMILTON, ANNIE C.
HAMILTON, FRANK S.
HANNES, ANNA
HASSENPLUG, CHARLES S.
HASSENPLUG, KATHARINE G.
HAUSMAN, JOHN O.
HECK, MAE
HEIBING, HERMAN A.
HEPNER, ROBT.
HOCTOR, PATRICK J.
HOFF, MAX
HOOPES, BERNARD & MRS. MARION L.
HULL, ALBERT
HYINANI, ARAM M.
IADEMARCO, NICOLA
IERO, DOMINICK
ISENBERG, MORRIS
ITALIAN MERCHANTS
JACOBS, JOHN
JACQUES MFG. CO.
JEDOFF, M.
JONES, HELEN C.
KACK, JACOB
KAG, ELIZABETH T.
KANDAROS, ANGELO
KANTROWITCH, ISAAC
KAPLAN, FREDA
KARKOWSKA, MARTA
KASKIN, LOUIS P.
KASSEN, HERMAN
KILCUP, NORMAN L.
KINEAVY, ELLEN
KIRPICH, HANON S.
KIRSHNER, LOUIS
KIRSON, FANNIE
KLAR, JOSEPH
KOHLER, DAVID F.
KOLB, ROBT.
KOPPLE, HARRY
KOSAKOFF, R.
KOSHLAND, EDWIN
KOSHLAND, GERTRUDE B.
KOWIT, JACOB
KRAUSE, JOHN
LA MOSA, FRANCISCO VILLAMEN
LA PALOMBARA, VINCENZO
LAYER, FLORENCE MAE
LAZENKI, FRANK E.
LEBOWITZ, YETTA
LEDERER, EMMA
LEIZAKIS, GEO.
LEVEY, BARNEY L.
LEVIN, ANNA
LEVIN, IDA
LEVIN, MEYER
LEVY, GALLA
LEVY, HARRY
LEVY, L. & FRED
LEVY, PERCY
LEZZI, JEROME G.
LIBERTY CLOTHING CO.
LIGHT BROS.
LIPSCHUTZ, AARON
LIPSCHUTZ, I. S.
LOCOSHEFSKY, SAM
LOFTUS, JOHN J.
LOMBARDI, JOSEPH
LOMIS MAX
LONDON, HELEN
LULLO, I. DI
LULLO, NICOLA DI
LUNCH, LOUIS D.D.S.
LYNCH, GEORGE HENRY
M. J. DOUGHERTY CO.
MAGALLA, JOHN
MALATESTA, CARMELINA
MARANO, A.
MARIANI, GUTLIO
MARKS, PHILIP
MARKS, ROSE MRS.
MARSHALL, JOSEPH
MCCABE, P. F.
MCCOY, JOHN P.
MCCULLOUGH, ELIZABETH
MCDONALD, JOSEPHINE MRS.
MCHALE, EMMETT J.
MCKELVIE, ANDREW
MEHAN, ANDREW A.
MENCHEL, J.
MERKIN, HARRY
MICHAVEM, ALLICAKI
MILLAR, ALBERT R.
MITNICK, MAX
MONROE, H.
MONTEFIORE, HARRY
MORGENSTEIN, D.
MORRIS, JOS. C. ESTATE OF
MORRISON, ELIZABETH
MUNYON, DUKE
NATHAN, LEONARD S.
NEFSKY & SON
NELSON, SOL
NEMEROVSKY, MARK
NICOLA, DEMETRIO
O'GORMAN, JOHN
OISTATCHER, L.
OSTERWICH, TILLIE
OSTROFF, SAMUEL
PAPPATHANANAN, ELIAS
PARKER, ALICE
PATANIA, ANTONIO
PECHTER, ISIDORE
PICKERING, JOHN J.
PITCOFF, NATHAN
PLASSMEYER, FREDK. A.
PONTULIN, LIBERATE
PORRECCA, JOSEPH
POZNANZKY, PHILIP
PRESTON, EDWARD H.
PRETTYMAN, MARTHA N.
PULCINI, AGISTINO
RAND, BENJ.
RAY, PAUL J.
RED ARROW SERVICE CO.
REMENTER, MARY C.
RENZ, EDWARD F.
RHEINGOLD, SAMUEL
RICCA, A.
RICHART, ELMER J.
RITTENBERG BROS.
ROBERTS, A.
ROOM, MARY
ROOM, WILLIAM BAYMORE
ROSENBERG, MAX
ROSENFELD, ESTHER & ROSENFELD, S.
ROSENFELD, JENNIE
ROSS, JOHN A.
ROTHBERG, M.
RUBIN, KATE
RUBIN, PHILIP
RUBIN, SAMUEL
RUSSELL, GEO.
RUSSELL, JOHN
RUSSO, MARIANTONIA
RYDER, EMMA
SAFFRON, HARRY
SANTELLE, OSCAR
SASSO, L.
SAUBER, JACOB
SAVAGE, H.
SCHEINDLIN, MOSES
SCHICK, EDITH D. NEFSKY
SCHRACKMER, ANNIE
SCHUMAN, ABRAHAM
SCHWARTZ, L.
SCHWARTZ, WILLIAM
SEGAL, HYMAN
SEIDEL, MAURICE
SEIDMAN, M. J.
SENITZKY, SEM L.
SHERMAN, WILLIAM
SHIFREN, H.
SHOOSTEN, ABE
SHUMAN, NATHAN
SICHEL BROS.
SIDDOLL, FRANK E.
SIEHIEN, ADOLPH
SILVER, MARTIN M.
SILVER, TONY
SILVERMAN, MAX
SILVERMAN, S.
SINGER, LIZZIE
SINGLEY, JOHN A.
SINGLEY, MARTIN R.
SISSON, FRED P.
SLOAN, SOLOMON
SMALL, MORRIS
SMITH, SADIE
SMITH, WILLIAM C.
SOLOV, MAURICE
SOOFER, MAX
SOUTHAND, ESTHER M.
SPAVENTA, NICOLA
SPENCER, D. E.
SPISER, WM. F.
STAFSKY, SAM
STARKE, AUGUSTA
STARKE, MAX A.
STEIN, SAMUEL
STEINMAN, WALTER J.
STIRMAN, MAURICE R.
SUMSKY, SAMUEL
TARTASKY, DAVID
THOMPSON, GEORGE JOSEPH
TILL, CARL
TUFT, IDA
TURNER, WILLIAM M.
TZAKINAS, JAMES C.
ULIVERI, F.
UNGER, CLARA
UNITED EXTRACT COMPANY
VERRATTI, ANTONIO
WACLAVIK, PEARL
WAGNER, STEPHEN M.
WALDMAN, MAX
WALTERS, ALBERT
WANIAK, POWEL
WARSHAWSKY, KATY
WARSHAWSKY, SELIG
WASILEFSKY, ISRAEL
WASSERMAN, MARIA
WAXMAN, HERMAN & CARL
WEBSTER, JOSEPH
WEINER, ISIDORE
WEINSTEIN, CHARLES
WEINSTEIN, DORA
WEISS, ISADORE
WEST, W. HOWARD
WILDER, JOSEPH L.
WILIES, JOHN
WOLF & SHEFFET
WOLF, CHARLES
WOLGIN, SAM & VERA
WORMAN, GEORGE L.
WYETH, FRANK M. & BLANCHE R.
WYNN, R. K.
YALE, CHAS. H.
YAMPOLCH, LEONTI
ZABOWSKI, JOSEPH
ZAFARA, BASIL
ZEIGERMAN, B.
ZINAR, SALVATORE
ZINAR, SERAFINE

PHILADELPHIA PA

THE LEHIGH NATIONAL BANK OF PHILADELPHIA

BERSTEIN, ABE
DIAMOND, JOSEPH B. REV.
GEREL, SAM
LEVINSON, MORRIS J.
MARKS, MAXWELL
MCKEOWN, KATHRYN E.
PIRACCI, ROCCO E.
UHL, HARRY
VENZIE, F. M.

PITCAIRN PA

PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF PITCAIRN

AGNEW, J. W.
ANCIENT ORDER KNIGHTS OF MYSTIC
BECHERS, S. GRAY
BECK, W. NORMAN
BEHERS, S. GRAY
BERKEBILE, N. E.
BERTACH, HENRY
BLAINE, GEORGE W.
BREEGLE, H. M.
BRENDLINGER, JOSEPH E. TR.
BUCAR, FRANK
CARSON, MATILDA
CEPEC, SARA
COOK, W. H.
COOK, WILLIAM H.
CROWL, K. B.
CURCIO, MARIA
DAILEY, J. L.
DEAN, LEON F.
DEVIRGILIO, GUY C.
DICENZO, FRANK
DOUTT, L. S.
DOYLE, T. L.
DRISH, WM.
FRANK, A.
FRANK, ABRAHAM
FRANK'S INC.
FRESH, C. H.
GIGLIOTTI, JOSEPH
GLENN, JOS. R. & WIFE
GOHO, HARRY M. & EDITH
GORDON, C. M. & HILDA
GRABLE, O. A.
GRAHAM, ADA
GRIMM, BERTHA R. MRS.
GYNN, A.
HANES, EDWARD E.
HARTER, LLOYD B.
HENDERSON, ELMER
HENDERSON, J. M.
HEZLEP, HENRY K.
HOFFMAN, JOHN B.
HOHN, LAURA B.
HUGHES, TALLEY
HUTTENSTINE, C. C.
IBRAHIM, RUSTEM
JONES, EMILY MAY
KEELEY, W. H.
KEMERER, W. H.
LAWRY, E. D.
LESHER LUMBER & SUPPLY CO.
LESHER, PAUL E.
LICHTENFELS, RUTH
MATHENA, R. R.
MCGINNIS, JOHN C.
MCMASTER, DANIEL
MCTIGHE, THOS. H.
MEASE, E. E.
MEYERS, JACOB O.
MONTGOMERY, W. H.
MONTGOMERY, WM. H.
NOLL, C. E.
PATTERSON, CLARENCE S.
PEARCE & JONES
PENBROOK TRUST CO.
PEOPLES NB OF PITCAIRN
PETTE, JOE
PITCAIRN BILLIARD PARLOR
PITCAIRN ELECTRIC CO.
PITCAIRN PRODUCTS CO.
RUGH, J. B.
SALYARDS, DENNIS E. MRS.
SANDERS, BEN
SANTANGELO, JOHN
SIMMONS, WILLIAM A.
SMITH, A. F.
SMITH, J. H.
SMITH, JACOB H.
SMITH, THOMAS J.
SNODGRASS, S. J.
SPRINGER TREATING DENCE CO.
SWONGER, D. E.
THE DILWORTH CO.
THOMPSON, M. M. DR.
TUCKER, WILLIAM
URICK, FRANK
WALKER, RALPH E.
WEIGHT, J. B. & SOPHIA
WEYANDT, S. L.
WINSEL, JOHN E.
WOOMER, O. B.
WYNN BRICK COMPANY
YOTHERS, C. D.
YOTHERS, CHARLES B.

PITCAIRN PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PITCAIRN

BERGGREN, JOHN T.
HOLZSCHUER, H. A.
KAIRY, WILLIAM A.
MALTA TEMPLE ASSOCIATION
MATRIANNI, ANGELINE
MCDOWELL, JAS. D.
TOOHILL, F. X.
WALTON, SARAH
WHARTON, C. J.

PITTSBURGH PA

THE DIAMOND NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURGH

APPLEGATE, S. EARL
BALDINGER, FRANK L. & BLANCHE B.
BEESE, ROY V.
BIDDLE, E. ALLEN
BLACKHAM, EMMA B.
BOAK, CLYDE I.
BORKERT, JOHN J.
BOUR, JULIUS
BREEN, W. J.
BRUNETTI, MICHAEL F.
CASEY, MARIE DALY MRS.
CLEELAND, ROY A.
COATES, ALFRED & EMMA
COHEN, GEORGE
CRONIN, JOHN L.
CRONIN, NELLE K. MRS.
CROW, W. E.
CRYNS, MAX
CULLEN, ALLEN F.
DANIEL, GILBERT
DAVIS, CHESTER A.
DELAUNEY, A. J.
DENNY, JOHN
DEVASSIE, BEEDLE & DUFFEY COMPANY
DUGO, DAMIANO
EMERY, H. H.
FARTHING, HENRY G.
FITZGERALD, BEATRICE
FITZGERALD, JAMES J.
FRIEDMAN, JOSEPH
GARFINKEL, JACOB
GARVIN, JOHN DAY
GATES, BENJAMIN D.
GEIGER, J. L.
GLEICH, OTTO C.
GOODSON, L. J.
GUY, CHARLES H.
HEFFELFINGER, A. V. MRS.
HEINRICH, KARL G.
HULSMAN, CHARLES DOMINIC
JACOB, JOHN & MRS. IDA
JONES, EARL S.
KART, JACK
KAY, CHARLOTTE H. MRS.
KIDD, HERBERT M.
KINDO, JOHN
KOHLMEYER, HENRY E.
KRUMM, RICHARD F.
LAND, ANNA
LAVERTY, PEARL
LITTLETON, KATHERINE T. MRS.
LOHR, LUCY H.
MANN, HIRAM J.
MARCUS, LEONARD
MATHIOTT, ETHEL MARY MRS.
MCKIBBEN, CHARLES R.
MEYER, ALBERT P.
MILLER, PETER A.
MONAHAN, JNO. H.
MOORE, WILLIAM V.
MOTORS MTGE. CORP., THE
MOYAR, C. C.
MOYER, H. R.
MUNTZ, RAY H.
NASH, RALPH H.
NETTROUR, WALTER S.
NEWMAN, J. C.
OGDEN, MARY O. MRS.
PENTEN, ERNEST A.
RATH, WILLIAM B.
RICH-BERG
RUTHERFORD, L. H.
SCHATZMAN, EDW.
SCHWERIN, EDWARD
SCOTT, HARRY H. G.
SHAW, ALVIRA MISS & JOHN
SIMONSON, JESSIE M. MISS
SLOAN, ROBERT
TEMPLE, H. H.
THE DIAMOND NATIONAL BANK
TILLES, ALBERT J.
TRAVIS, CHAS. M.
TUCKER, CARRIE MRS.
TURPIN, RAY A.
WASSELL, J. T.
WEBB, THOMAS W.
WEICHEL, CLYDE F.
WEINER, ROBERT
WILKOFF, B. A.
WILLMAN, HARRY J.
YOUNGMAN, ALEXANDER
ZACHARIAS, HOWARD

PITTSBURGH PA

THE DUQUESNE NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURGH

COHN, BEN WALKER
CONNER, G. A.
CRALLE, W. H.
DAWSON, MARY P. JONES
DUFF, JOHN T. JR.
EVANS, PAUL T.
HAFNER COFFEE CO.
JOYCE, JOSEPH F.
LEE, C. C.
LONG, F. CARL
MAXWELL, ELEANOR MCC.
MCKAY, JAMES ESTATE
MCWILLIAMS, JOHN W.
MILLER-BURGWIN
O'BRIEN, ELEANOR A.
PATTERSON, M. E. MRS.
PITTSBURGH & WEST VA. RAILROAD CO.
POLING, M. Y.
RENO, SAMUEL J. JR.
RODGERS & BEAL
RODGERS, M. C.
RUDIN, C.
RYAN, C. E.
SHIDLE, LURA E. MRS.
WILLIAMS, BEN F.
WINKLEMAN, NAT H.
YODER, ELSIE W. (ESTATE)

PITTSBURGH PA

THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURGH

ALEXANDER, FRANK S.
BOND, SELMA MRS.
BOWMAN, M. H. JR.
COLE, JOSEPH H.
COLLINS, D. H.
GOLDHAMMER, JOSEPH
GORDON, GEORGE M.
GORDON, GEORGE M. (EXCHANGE NAT'L BK)
HANDY, SAMUEL H.
HANDY, SAMUEL H. (EXCHANGE NAT'L BK)
REYNOLDS, W. B.
SALISBURY, E. F. W
SALVGNE, MARY
SCHWARTZ, C. T
SPEER, JAMES R.
STOTZ, WILLIAM H.
VEIS, FRADERICK M.
VEIS, FREDERICK M.
VESTAL, DEAN MRS.
WOLFE, ROBERT B.

PITTSBURGH PA

THE HIGHLAND NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURGH

BERARDINO, CHARLES J.
BLACK, ELMER D.
BROWN, MRS. & JASPER S.
CAMPBELL, THOMAS G.
CARRIER MOTOR COMPANY
COSTIN, JAMES M.
COWAN, MRS.
COWLEY, JOHN
DUNCAN, CATHERINE
DUNN, JENNETTE
DUNN, MARIA
EWING, CARL L.
GERBERDING, O. L.
GRAHAM, F. F.
HASLEY CO., W. J.
LAUFE, H.
LEONARD, A. W.
MACK, GEORGE P.
MALONEY, ISABEL & JOHN A.
MASON, CLARK P.
MILLER, MARY C.
MORROW, J. N.
RICHARDSON, OSCAR W.
SLOCUM, MORRIS A.
SLOCUM, MORRIS A. & SARAH Y.
SPEER, ALVIN B.
STERNS, M. J.
STRAUSS, P. M. MRS.
THOMAS, F. A.
WEINTRAUB, MAX
WENTZEL, H. E.
WERNER, ROBERT E.
WICK, H. R.
ZAKARY, DOROTHY R. MRS.
ZELT, L. L.

PITTSBURGH PA

THE MONONGAHELA NATIONAL BANK OF PITTSBURGH

ALEU, CASIMIR
ANTHONY, C. R.
BIGLEY, ROY E.
BOAN, S. WALLACE
BUCHANAN, FRANCES
BUETTNER, WILLIAM J.
CAPLAN, WILLIAM
CARAMELA, P. A.
CARLSON, LULA MARTIN
CLARKE, ROBERT J.
COFFIELD CO.
COLE COMPANY, R. C.
COLLINGWOOD, B. M. & JEAN G.
COLLINS, WM. B.
COOKE, M. E.
COULTER, A. C.
CRAVEN, JAME WELLS
DAVIS, ALBERT HERSH
DAVIS, JAMES K.
EARLY, W. J. SONS FOUNDRY CORP.
FRASER, W. P.
GOULD, LOUIS H.
GREER, ROBERT A.
HACKETT, MARY
HARPER, IRA.
HOFMANN, KARL F.
JAFFI, MORRIS
JOSEPHS, CHARLES
LEVY, F. H.
MABON, JOHN S.
MALONEY, J. J.
MATHANSON, LOUISE H. & H. A.
MCCORMACK, G. G.
MCGRATH, H. L.
MEYER, L. H.
MILLER, A. D. SONS CO.
MOORES, E. T.
MOORES, EARL THOMAS
NEWTON, TOM
NOBLE, JAMES M.
RICH, EDWARD B.
RIEGER, GEO. C.
SAMUELS, G. A.
SCOTT, W. L.
SMITH, A. W. JR.
STALEY, JOHN & HILDA & MADGE
VAN KIRK, ALBERT C.
WARD, JOHN

PLEASANT UNITY PA

THE PLEASANT UNITY NATIONAL BANK

ABRAHAM, ALEX
MONSOUR, FONTAINE
MONSOUR, GEORGE
MONSOUR, GEORGE JR.

PORTAGE PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PORTAGE

FEACIN, MIKE
SEMAN, STEVE
SESKO, ANDY

POTTSVILLE PA

THE MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK OF POTTSVILLE

EVERETT, EDWARD

READING PA

FARMERS NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF READING

ALBERT, LIZZIE
AMUNDSEN, L. FAY
DIBLASI, SANTO
GEHRET, ERAZMUS D. MR. OR MRS.
GRANT, FRANCIS J. & HELEN MAY
HOYER, SALLIE MRS.
KLOPP, GLEN OR MARY
KUAGATA, JAMES
LAUBENSTEIN, C. W.
LINEAWEAVER, FLORENCE
MILLER, META V. MRS.
ORGANTINI, JOHN
PASPECK, JOSEPH REV.
REINERT, CHARLES R. OR VIOLET
RHODE, FLORENCE M.
ROESNER, MARTIN
SHENBERGER, JOSEPH
SMITH, SARAH T.
THORNTON, LEOLA A.
WEIDENMEYER, JAMES (WEIDENMYER)
WILLIAMS, DORIS MRS.
WOUNDERLY, JOHN

READING PA

PENN NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF READING

CARBAUGH, ED.
COHEN, A.
COHEN, LOUIS R.
FOLK, SALLIE S.
FOX, DANIEL
GAYLES, MARLANE
GROSS, GEORGE F.
HAAS, JOSEPH C.
HANGEN, ETHEL B.
HAUSER, H. G.
KERSHNER, WALTER W.
KLEIN, WILLIAM B.
LINDENMUTH, CHARLES J.
LOEB, ANNIE
LOEB, JOHN MRS.
MILLER, CHARLES B.
MONANDERO, ANGELO
MOYER, ELMER H.
MOYER, SUSAN
OPHTHALMIC REMEDY CO.
PABST, CLARA MRS.
RENNINGER, BAYARD L.
ROSS, GLEN C.
SOTTOSANTE, GUISEPPE
SPATZ, ISAAC S.
TURNER, JOHN
VEIT, JOSEPH
WHITEHEAD, JOHN B.

READING PA

THE READING NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY

ASHTON, M. H.
AUMAN, PHILIP L.
BABB, ALBERT F.
BARRETT, ROBERT MRS.
BENTZ, ANNA M. MRS.
BIALAS, STANLEY
BLACK, CARL
BLASCZYNSKI, ADAM
BROWN, CHARLES H.
CLAUER, WILLIAM
DALEKOS, GEORGE
DERR, DOROTHY V. MISS
ENGLE, PAUL
GOLDBERG, DAVID M.
JAZENOWSKI, PIOTR
JESTER, GEORGE C.
LEE CHEMICAL COMPANY
LEHMAN, BESSIE MRS.
LEHMAN, M. E.
LUSTIG, BERNARD S.
LYKENS, LLOYD
MANCUS, ANGELO
MDZINSKI, JAMES R.
MIERZKOWSKI, JAN
NOBLE, CHARLES, S.
PARAGON MFG. CO.
PAWLOWSKA, MARY
SCHOENEN, HERMAN
SHIFFER, S. H. OR CLARA M.
SINGER, EMANUEL
THORNTON, A. H. & MRS. JANE H.
WAINWRIGHT, W. L. REV.
WERT, CLARA MRS.
WILLIAMSON, JOHN A.

REPUBLIC PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF REPUBLIC

DEBUSK, BESSIE L.
DEBUSK, ROSCOE

RUSSELLTON PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RUSSELLTON

MCMILLEN, ESTELLE PORTER
PITUCH, ANDY
POLEDOFSKY, JOE
SIMON, ABE
SPILOX, MICHAEL
VERTASHNIK, FLORIAN

SCOTTDALE PA

THE BROADWAY NATIONAL BANK OF SCOTTDALE

EBURG, WILLIAM W.
EVERSON BORO SCHOOL BOARD
HAYES, THOMAS W.
MYERS, W. H.
OPOLANSKI, JOSEPH
SCHMELTZER, JOHN L.
WURTZ, JOHN T.

SCRANTON PA

THE UNION NATIONAL BANK OF SCRANTON

CONNELL, HAROLD E.
DECICCIO, HENRY
DOWNES, JOSEPH H.
FORREST, L. R.
FOSTER, J. H.
GIBSON, FRANK E.
GRILLY, PATRICK OR O'REILLY
HAGUE, A. B.
HARWITZ, LENA
HINE, L. F.
HOFFMAN, PAUL
KROFT, JOS. G.
LAFRANCE, MARY NORTON
MCANDREWS, J.
MCGUIRE, MAURICE J.
MONIE, JAMES D.
NORTON, JOHN J.
SCRANTON PARK COMPANY
SEGAL, SAMUEL
SMITH, J. ERNEST
TAYLOR, HARRY E.
TEAL, CHAS. S.
WHITE, BLENNIE MRS.
WOOD, FRANK

SHENANDOAH PA

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF SHENANDOAH

ANDRUCHIK, KOSTANTYNA
BUGDANAVAGE, LEO
CAPPER, EDWARD
DENGLER, GEORGE
GRUTSA, AGNISCA
JANULEWICZ, ANDREW
KARALIUS, CHAS.
KARBACZU, ANTHONY
KARPOWICZ, FRANK
KOCZANSKI, JOS.
KRENITSKY, AND. MR. OR MRS.
LASZKAUCKAS, JOSEPH
LIPNICKI, FRANK
LUCKO, FRANK
MAKLEVIT, PAUL
MEAD INST OF DISAB WAR VETS.
MERCHLINSKY, ADAM
NOWAKOSKI, JOSEPH
OSINSKI, GEO. MR. & MRS.
PIETROWSKI, ADAM
REYNOLDS, WM. OR MARY
RINGHEISER, DOROTHY
ROWLAND, THOMAS
SAKOWSKI, PETER A.
SLOMINSKI, FRANK
STANISKIS, ANTHONY
SZYMANSKY, MICHAEL
WINTERS, WINIFRED G.
WORKIETUS, PIUS

SHENANDOAH PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHENANDOAH

BETTERIDGE, EMILY
BODEK, PEITRO
CHESAITIS, TESSIE
JONES, CATH.
KAJMA, STEFAN
KLINE, GEORGE
LENTZ, FRED J.
LUSTEBER, JOHN
MEGELIKAS, JOE
OSIPOW, SAM
SEMANSKI, A.
SHALONIS, FRANK

SPRINGFIELD PA

THE SPRINGFIELD NATIONAL BANK

BOYLE, JAMES P.
BURROWS, SAMUEL JR.
MONTGOMERY, HERBERT F.
WALDNER, JOSEPH
WHITEHEAD, GEORGE L.

SUTERSVILLE PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SUTERSVILLE

PETOCK, JOHN
PETOCK, SAM
WILLIAMS, D. H.
YOKUBISON, JOSEPH

TRAFFORD PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF TRAFFORD

JUDGE, HUGH E.
KUTCHA, FRANK
MARKOVICH, MIKE
MARSHALL, MARGARET
MCCALL, R. A.
SAMPLE, CHAS. N.
SHAFFER, KENT D. & EDNA
SHAW, F. P.
STEWART, MARY E. MRS.

UNIONTOWN PA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF FAYETTE COUNTY

ALLEN, T. T.
ALLENSTEIN, SAMUEL
ALTMAN, HARRY W.
ANSTEAD, WALTER R.
AUSLANDER STORES COMPANY
BALSINGER, JOHN
BARANEK, JOHN
BARTON, WM. R. (ESTATE)
BAUM, BERTHA MRS.
BAYLESS, JEANNETTA
BECKWITH, J. GATES
BELLINI, ETTORE
BERKOVITZ, J.
BIERER, FRED
BILEN, JOSEPH
BOGER, JOHN
BOUGHNER, O. M.
BRADDEE, MAGGIE M.
BRADFORD, EDWARD
BROAD, HARRY
BROWNFIELD COAL & COKE COMPANY
BROWNFIELD, ISAAC H.
CALLAGHAN, O. D. JR.
CAROTHERS, J. R.
CLARK, GUY B.
CLARK, JOHN
COCHRAN, SARAH B.
COFINI, PATSY
CONN, SARAH
CORNISH, CARL E.
CORRAO, NICK
COUGHENOUR, GEORGE S.
COUMOS, M. P.
COYNE, JOSEPH
CREIGHTON, GERTRUDE
DE SAULLES, LOUIS SR.
DILLINER, E. E.
DOYLE, W. A.
DUDLEY ELECTRIC & MACHINE COMPANY
DUNKER, ROBERT
DUNN, JAMES L.
DUNN, R. J.
DUTTON, KATIE
EAGLEN, EDWARD E.
EAGLEN, EVELYN
EUREKA COAL & COKE CO.
FICCO, DAN
FLOYD, FRANK
FORSBURG, ARTHUR C.
FREEMAN, JACK I.
FROST, WM. H.
GANS, GEO. C. DR.
GATES, W. T.
GEORGE, THOMAS
GLENDENNING, WILLIAM
GOLDENBERG, MEYER J.
GRAY, WILLIAM
HAAS, FRANK MR.
HAAS, FRANK MRS.
HACKNEY, EASTMAN
HALL, DAVID
HAMILTON, J. F. MR.
HAMILTON, J. F. MRS.
HANTMAN, JOS.
HENRY, ELIZABETH MRS.
HEWITT, E. P. MR. OR MRS.
HUGHES, ROBERT M.
HUMBERSTON, JOSEPH
HUNT, R. W.
HUNTER, LEWIS (ESTATE)
INKS, ALFRED
IRVIN, R. O.
JACKSON, CHARLES
JOHN, FRED
JOHN, JOSEPHINE
JOHN, SIMON
JOHN, SIMON, MR. OR MRS.
JOHNSON MACHINE CO. C/O ALFRED JOHNSON
JOHNSON, C. W.
JOHNSON, HELEN L.
JONES, T. J.
JOYCE, P. J.
K. N. & K.
KAPALKA, CLEMENT
KARIS, ANGELO
KELLEY, FRED
KELLY, JAMES D.
KODRIC, MIKE
KOIDANOV, S. B.
KOSEK, STEVE
KOWALSKY, SAM
KOZINOK, P. C.
LAMPI, TOM
LANGLEY, J. S.
LAUREL COASTER CO.
LEWIS, LESTER
LLOYD, WM. J.
LOCUST HILL COAL CO.

LOWE, DAVID E.
LUTHER, VICTOR
LYNCH, G. A.
LYNCH, GAY A.
MARKLE, FRANK I.
MARKLE, O. P.
MARKUS, S.
MARTIN, EFFIE PEARL
MASTER KEY
MCDOWELL, E. A.
MCVAUGH, W. S.
MCVEIGH, MAGGIE (ESTATE)
MERES, C. J.
MESSITIES, TONY MRS.
MESSMORE, RUTH K.
MIKLUS, MARY
MOFFITT, SARA JOSEPHINE HELLEN
MONARCH AUTO SUPPLY COMPANY
MONGULLA, STEPHEN
MOORE, EMMA B.
MORGAN, JOHN M.
MORRISON, LUCRETIA MRS.
MOSER, MARY W. MISS
MULROY, JENNIE MRS.
MURPHY, D. R.
MURPHY, OSCAR
NIXON, S. W.
OWEN, ETTA MORGAN
PARK, BERT
PICCOLOMINI, VICTOR
PLATT, M. J.
POUNDSTONE, ELIZABETH
PRINCIPINI, ETTORE
PROCTOR, GEO. H.
PROVANCE, E. B.
RAKOWSKEY, STANLEY
REESE, JOHN S.
REILLY & CALLAGHAN COAL & COKE CO.
REINEMAN, L. J.
RENDINA, ALFONSO
REPPERT, EDMUND H.
RICE, WM. E.
RIGGEN, MIKE
RITTENHOUSE, JAMES H.
ROBINSON, GEORGE
ROBINSON, JAMES B.
ROCKWELL, JOHN F.
ROSS, JOE
RUGGIERI, CIRO
RUGGIERI, NICE
SALABIK, IVAN
SAMPEY, C. O.
SANSONE, ANTHONY
SBARSKE, I.
SCHIMPF, EDWIN
SCHMIDT, ROBERT
SCULLY, BRIDGET
SCULLY, MARTIN F. (SON)
SEMANS, F. M.
SHAW, I. I.
SHEROKE, FRANK
SHEROKE, LENA
SHINE, B. R.
SHINE, B. R. MRS.
SINCOCK, MAYTAG CO.
SITTLER, EDWARD L.
SMITH, RICHARD
SOTUS, P. J.
SPARKS, JAMES H.
SPESHOCK, JOHN J.
STUCK, MARY E.
SZABO, ANDRAS
THOMAS, HAROLD
THOMAS, MICHAEL
THOMPSON, J. V.
TRANQUILLA, GUISEPPE
TUNING, ARCHIE F.
U. S. STEEL CORP.
WADSWORTH, S. E.
WALLACE, BETTY JEAN
WARNER, CARL
WARNETTA LODGE NO. 6 F. & A. M.
WEISS, ABRAHAM
WILKINS, JESS S.
WILLIAMS, MARY BELL
WILSON, CHAS. JESSE
WILSON, T. S.
WINSTON, LUCY
WOLF, JOSEPH
WOOD, W. L.
WYATT, J. B. MRS.

VANDERBILT PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK VANDERBILT

COOPER, DAVID, ESTATE OF
DE CARLO, JIO
GOE, L. W.
HALL, JAMES
HARPER, WM. R.
HARSHMAN, JACOB
KERN, JOHN
ONDEK, ANDY
POPOVITCH
ROBERTS, CARRIE S.
STANGROOM, GEORGE

VERONA PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VERONA

BLAINE, L. E.
COHEN, MAX
DIBLE, W. T., AGENT
HAMMOND, JAMES
HOPE, JOHN W.
KRATSAS, D.
KRATSAS, GEO.
MATHEWSON, C. R.
MCPHERSON, ANNA K.
MCPHERSON, C. S.
PACKER, ALBERT C.
ROBERTS, JAS. E.
RODGERS, WILLIAM

WARREN PA

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF WARREN

JENKINS, W. G.

WAYNE PA

THE MAIN LINE NATIONAL BANK OF WAYNE

BARRITT, CHAS. H.
BISHOP, GILBERT L. JR.
BURKE, B.
D'ANTONIO, ANGELO
HARRIS, L. J.
HARRIS, L. J. (JOSEPH)
HOLLOWAY, DOROTHY P.
INGRAM, JAMES E.
MALIN, WILLIAM L. JR.
ROULETTE, GEO. H.

WEBSTER PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEBSTER

ABEL, JAMES
PANISHAK, TOMAS

WEST ELIZABETH PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WEST ELIZABETH

VAULT, TIER

WILCOX PA

WILCOX NATIONAL BANK

PAPPAS, GEO.

WILKINSBURG PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WILKINSBURG

BENTLEY, JOHN

YUKON PA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF YUKON

GENERALOVIC, STEVE
SKOSLA, PHILIP
WEINBERG, HARRY

WOONSOCKET RI

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF WOONSOCKET

TEIXEIRA, MANUEL

BAMBERG SC

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BAMBERG

NIX, FLORENCE V.

BISHOPVILLE SC

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BISHOPVILLE

HILL, DOROTHY

CLINTON SC

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLINTON

BROCK, S. R.
GILDER, JOBIE
HARTLEY, ANNIE MRS.
MCCARY, JOHN A.
WRIGHT, JOHN T.

COLUMBIA SC

THE NATIONAL LOAN AND EXCHANGE BANK OF COLUMBIA

ALSTON, W.
BUSBY, J. E.
CASTOR, J. C.
CHICORA COLLEGE, CORPORATE TRUST
DAVIS, HARRY R.
DENT, J. C.
DEVANE, WM. C.
DOUGLAS, R. L.
FLITTERS, WM.
FOSTER, D.
FOWLER, H. H.
FOXWORTH, WM. A.
GARDNER, W. G.
GATES, W. E.
GREEN, SAM
GREEN, W. N.
GUISE, COLIE L.
HALTIWANGER, JAMES KEITH
HOOPER, HEYWARD
HOOPER, WALTER
HOWARD, HANNIBAL H.
HUTSON, SHEDRICK N.
IRBY, JNO. R.
JOHNSON, THOMAS MILTON
KEELS, I. M.
KEELS, MERLE WITHERS
KIRBY, THOS. P.
LEONHARDT, E. H.
LYLE, H. C.
MCCULLOUGH, JAKE
MERCHANT, JESSE C.
MILLER, H. L.
MINS, M. A.
MORGAN, H. L.
PETERMAN, HENRY F.
PORTER, WALLACE S.
REED, WILFRED
RODDY, WM. ROBERT
ROSENCRANCE, D.
SANDERS, GLEN
SHULL, JAMES H.
SMITH, LONNIE ALFRED
SMITH, WALTER F.
STEWART, M.
STURKIE, D. KINLEY
SUEER, JOHN
TAYLOR, H. S.
THURMOND, G.
VANCE, LEE
WARRELL, R. H.
WARREN, W. S.
WEATHERSBEE, HARRY
WEST, PALMER
WOODS, ROBERT A.
WORKMAN, W. H.

FAIRFAX SC

THE NATIONAL SECURITY BANK OF FAIRFAX

BENJIMAN, WILL
BING, JNO.
BRELAND, BEN
CREECH, MELTON
FENNELL, E. W.
GINN, EMIER
PRIESTER, HENRY
PRIESTER, MOSE
SUMMERS, HENRY

SPARTANBURG SC

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SPARTANBURG

ASKEW, REBECCA
BRAND, GEORGE
BRISCOE, ANNIE MRS.
BYRD, ARTHUR, JANITOR
DAVIS, GEORGE
FOSTER, E. D.
MEANS, ARTHUR J.
MILLER, W. E.
NOTT, M. W. MRS.
QUINN, A. D.
RAYMOND, W. L.
ROBERTSON, A. F.
SMITH, JOHN W.
STERRETT, E. H. MRS.
TATE, J. L.
WEST, J. WESLEY

SPARTANBURG SC

THE CAROLINA NATIONAL BANK OF SPARTANBURG

JOHNSON, W. CLAUDE
SOLESBEE, MARTHA E. MRS.
SUBER, HENRY
WILSON, CLIFFORD
WINGO, J. G.

WOODRUFF SC

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WOODRUFF

ANDERSON, J. N.
BAILEY, I. S.
CARTER, J. N.
CUNNINGHAM, JIM
GARRETT, MATTIE E.
LITTLEFIELD, PALMER
TURNER, C. D.
VARNER, JOHN P.
WHITE, W. C.

ALEXANDRIA SD

FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN ALEXANDRIA

BENSON, TOM

BRANDT SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BRANDT

LITTLE, G. A.
MELLON, PETER O.

BRIDGEWATER SD

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF BRIDGEWATER

GERMAN-AMERICAN LOCAL
MILLER, HENRY WILLIAM

BRIDGEWATER SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF BRIDGEWATER

ARNOLD, O.
DOLTON STATE BANK, S. D.
GOSSEL, JOHN
GRIST, JOHN
HANSON, P. L.
HIGBY, SEWARD
REISER, FRED F.
RICHARDS, HATTIE E.
SCHMIDT, JULIUS
TORNOW, HERMAN
TORNOW, MINA

BROOKINGS SD

FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF BROOKINGS

HAUGEN, EDMOND H.

CANTON SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CANTON

MEANS, ORPHAS A.

CLEAR LAKE SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLEAR LAKE

WILLERT, JOHN

CUSTER SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CUSTER CITY

BAKER, J. F. MR. & MRS.
BRICKLEY, DELLA MRS.
DOWNING, ISAC
FISHER, D. O.
KLUMANN, PAUL
MCDERMOTT, JEFFREY
MILLER, BERTHA L. S.
SAFFORD, J. B.
SHANKLAND, S. R.
SMITH, ALEX H.
SMITH, BERTHA L.
TETER, D. W.
TUBBS, P. N.
WHEELER, THOMAS I.

EGAN SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EGAN

HEMMER, ANNA
HOGAN, OLGA
RINGERING, GILBERT

ELK POINT SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELK POINT

PIERCE, TRAND

ELKTON SD

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ELKTON

WILLEY, C. D.

HOT SPRINGS SD

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF HOT SPRINGS

COFFMAN, EUGENE C.
LANSING, JEROME
MISTESH, FRANK C.

HOWARD SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HOWARD

HANSON, H. M.
HANSON, S. B.
HOFFMAN, MATT
HOLM, AUGUST
KIRBY, C. E.
KLINDT, JOHANNES
LARSON, CHRIS
LEE, PAUL
LEFLER, CHAS. M.
MCROBERTS, FRED
MEYER, TOM & EMIL
OLSON, TOBIAS
ORMSBY, A. SCOTT
PETZ, MATH
STEVENS, A. C.
STEVENS, ART
THOM, T. R.
TYSTAD, SOREN A.
VAN DAMME, MAURICE
WEAVER, HARRY

HOWARD SD

THE HOWARD NATIONAL BANK

HARDER, WILL
HOUSEMAN, J. H.

LAKE PRESTON SD

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF LAKE PRESTON

BULOW, ANNA
DOHL, PAUL C.
ELLISON, ED.
ERICKSON, ED.
GOGGINS, J. F.
HETLAND, SETH
JOHNSON, C. L.
LEWIS, BEN
PIRLET, JOE
SHANKS, W. G.
SWARTZ, W. F.
WALKER, ELVA M.

LAKE PRESTON SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LAKE PRESTON

ALSETH, OLE A.
ANDERSON, HELEN M.
AUGUSTEN, PETER
BARE, JOHN W.
BERDAHL, HENRY
BULOW, FLOYD
COULSON, GEORGE E.
FALCONER, HENRY E.
FRISK, HELMA
HESBY, JOHN G.
IVERSON, BERTHA
KNUTSON, ANDREW G.
LEWIS, INGA B.
MATSON, KAREN
MATSON, PETER C.
MCMURRY, J. C.
OLSTON, C. M.
OLSTON, H. L.
PERKINS, CARL
PITCOCK, HARVEY
SCHEETZ, ADAM
SEDERSTROM, C. V.
SETBACKEN, H. J.
SPILDE, NELS L.
STENSON, OLE
WERNER, PETRA MRS.
WIGHTMAN, HATTIE R.
WING, OSMON J.

LEMMON SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LEMMON

MCNAUGHT, GEORGE
NORTON, W. H.
SPORE, WARREN

LETCHER SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK LETCHER

GRANT, BERNARD

MADISON SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MADISON

DIXON, ROY
HARTWICH, CRAIG
LAUGHLIN, ALBERT JOHN
REISH, LENA
REYNOLDS, HAROLD

MADISON SD

THE LAKE COUNTY NATIONAL BANK OF MADISON

OWENS, GERTRUDE
SMITH, ALICE
WAGNER, F. J.
WILKIN, WILLIN

MITCHELL SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MITCHELL

FLANDERS, MIDDLETON
GREENE, H. HOYT
HOBB, J. C. MRS.

MITCHELL SD

WESTERN NATIONAL BANK OF MITCHELL

CARBERRY, PETER
HENDERSON, FRANKIE B.

MOUNT VERNON SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK IN MOUNT VERNON

ASSOCIATED CREDITORS, INC.
BURT, L. B.
DORAN, (J. E.) DR
FRIDLEY, C. H.
MT. VERNON, S. D. & JNO. NATION

OLDHAM SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OLDHAM

ADKINS, J. J.
AULTHAUS, CARL
BROWN, HERBERT
COMSTOCK, E. W.
DAKOTA MUTUAL LIFE INS. CO.
DINGES, JOHN W.
FARM BUREAU
FLATER, D. D.
HALL, R. J.
JENSEN, JENS J. & M. K.
KOEHNE A. MACHINE CO.
NIETSON, M. C.
POOL, I. A.
POPON, U.
RAASCH & GRIFFIN
RATTLUFF, R.
REED, R. A.

PIERRE SD

THE NATIONAL BANK OF COMMERCE OF PIERRE

CHAUSSEE, TRACY MRS.

SALEM SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SALEM

CASS, L. J.
DAKOTA CASUALTY CO.
DUNGAN, H. G.
FRASER, J. D.
FRICK, JACOB O.
GRAY, E. C.
GSTOHL, FRED
LINGENFELTER, J. K.
LUNSCHEN, R.
PATTON, J. E.
SCHNEIDER, LOU
SCHROEDER, WM.
SIOUX LIFE & CASUALTY CO.
ZIMMERMAN, WM.

SCOTLAND SD

THE CORN BELT NATIONAL BANK OF SCOTLAND

BLODK, JOHN
BROWN & DONLEY
CISAR, JOSEPHINE
MILLER, WILL C.
PILLAR, HATTIE

VEBLEN SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VEBLEN

MITCHELL, L. J.

WOONSOCKET SD

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WOONSOCKET

GERE, LOUIS
JACOBSON, L. A.
PFAFF, C. J.
SENSKA, DONALD

DICKSON TN

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF DICKSON

MCMURRY, CHAS. A. & CHAS. A. MRS.

FAYETTEVILLE TN

ELK NATIONAL BANK OF FAYETTEVILLE

BATEMAN, J. D.
BEAN, S. P.
BLAIR, E. H.
CLARK, WILL
COLLINS, J. F.
DAVIS, P. M.
GOODRICH, C. L. DR.
JONES, JOHN H.
LEATHERWOOD, H. P.
MCALESTER, ROSCOE
MERCHANTS & PHYSICIANS CLEAR HOUSE, INC.
MULLINS, R. R.
NATIONAL BATTERY COMPANY, BIRMINGHAM, AL
SOUTHERN BAPTIST THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY
SPENCER, W. M.
STALLINGS, J. M.
TAYLOR, J. A.
WILLIAMS LUMBER COMPANY
YEARWOOD MULE CO.

KNOXVILLE TN

HOLSTON-UNION NATIONAL BANK OF KNOXVILLE

ADAMS, A. G.
ALLISON, G. W.
AMERICAN COMMERCIAL CAR CO.
BAILEY, DAN F.
BAILEY, M. C.
BARSH, ELIAS
BARTON, STANLEY
BAYLESS, J. J.
BRADY, JANE
BRITTINGHAM, HORACE
CAMPBELL, A. M. MRS.
CAMPBELL, G. R.
CARLTON, C. L.
CASTLE, E. E.
COCKRUM, J. W.
COTTRELL, JESS S. MRS.
CRETGNY, JULES
DALY, CLYDE B. MRS.
DAVIS, ANDY J.
DRYZER, JACK
EVANS, LINDSEY
FLOYD, CHARLEY
FOSTER, J. P.
FOSTER, LUCY H.
GERVIN & HOSS
GIBSON, E. G.
GLASGOW, A. M.
GOODMAN, WM.

GREER, N. E.
GROUCH, W. H.
HALE, W. S.
HALL, A. M.
HARDMAN, C. T.
HARRELL, W. E.
HAWKINS, EARL S.
HELTON, LUTHER
HERRON, C. W.
HOLSTON NAT'L BANK
HOLT, ERNEST
HOLT, H. F.
HOWELL, D. A. AND ETTA
HUNT, JAMES O.
HUNTER, ELMER
JAMES, O. M.
JOHNSON, A. B.
JOOS, HELEN W. MRS.
KEILEY, J. J.
KELLY, M. A. MRS.
KNOXVILLE RIFLE & PISTOL CLUB
LAMBERT, J. L.
LEAKE, M. N. MRS.
LEINART, O. H.
LILES, D. R.
LONG, MITCHELL
MABRY, J. C. MRS.
MAPLES, J. W.
MOORE, D. W. & SOL.
MOSES, W. E.
MURRAY, A. W.
OLIVER, R. B.
OURSELVES
OUSLEY, J. C.
PAINT PIGMENT CO.
PHILLIPS, MAMIE
PINDER, L. S.
PITNER, W. R.
PRATER, H. B.
PRATT, J. A.
REED, TOM W.
ROBERTS, ALBERT JR.
ROGERS, H. A.
SCHUBERT, J. R. MRS.
SELLER, M. R.
SHACKELFORD, J. O.
SHARP, CHAS. P.
SHULTZ, L. D.
SIMPSON, H. E.
SKAGGS, JAMES S.
SMITH, A. E.
SMITH, VIRGIL W.
SPAHR, NEIL B.
STRADER, FRED J.
SUMMER, J. H. JR. MR. MRS.
TAYLOR, J. RICHARD
TERRY, W. I.
THIRD NATIONAL BANK
THOMAS, SOL
THOMPSON, CORA A.
TOOMEY, H. M.
WAGNER, J. F.
WEAVER, MARY A.
WESTER, HUBERT M.
WILSON, W. E.
WINEGAR, R. J.
WINSTED, R. L.
WITT, J. A.
WOOD, AGENS B. & W. H.
XACHARY, SAMUEL C.

MARYVILLE TN

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MARYVILLE

DAVIS, SAM

BROWNSVILLE TX

THE MERCHANTS NATIONAL BANK OF BROWNSVILLE

ABASTA, H. M.
ACACIA IRRI. CO.
AINSWORTH, J. W.
ALCEDO, J. J.
ALEXANDER TIRE CO.
ALLEN, JNO. I.
ALLEN, NEIL
ALLISON, R. J.
ALONSO, FRANK
ANDERSON, A. K.
ARNETT, JOE
ARNOLD, MRS. EVELYN
AXSOM, J. C.
AXSOM, J. R.
BALDAS, JAMES
BARNES, A. M.
BARNES, CHAS. M.
BARNES, L. K. OR C. L.
BARNETCHE, PEDRO
BASH AND GARCIA
BARREDA C. P.
BARREDA GARDENS CORPN.
BARREDA, C. P.
BARRERA, MIGUEL
BARRY, L. H. MRS.
BAULANGER, I. W.
BECKMAN, HARRY F.
BELL, GEO. W.
BELLAMY, F. W.
BELLINGHAUSEN, WILLIAM
BENAVIDES, A. A.
BENNETT L. E.
BESTEIRO, JOSE
BESTEIRO, MANUEL
BIGGS, V. W.
BLACK DIAMOND CO.
BLALOCK, P. E.
BLOOMBERG, W. J.
BOLGER, L. FAY
BOORY, J. F.
BOWERS, W. J.
BOWSER, ZOE MRS.
BOYCE, E. A. ET AL
BOYCE, F. L.
BRACKETT, ANN S
BRADLEY, M. A. MRS.
BRISCOE, B.
BRODIX, EDWIN S.
BROWN, JOHN HICKMAN
BROWNE PROPERTIES
BROWNE, A. A.
BROWNE, JAS. A.
BROWNE, JAS. A. TRUSTEE
BROWNE, STEPHEN P.
BROWNSVILLE COUNTRY CLUB
BROWNSVILLE NAV. DIST.
BRULAY, LOUISE MRS.
BRULAY, LUCIE MARIE
BULL, LIZZIE (J.K.) MRS.
BULLOCK, S. M. MRS.
BURKS, E. L.
CAFARELLI BROS.
CAFARELLI, R. C. & F. P.
CALDWELL, VERNA
CALLAHAN, W. E.
CAMIADE, E. B.
CAMPBELL, J. P.
CANTU, ANTONIO
CANTU, MODESTO
CANTU, SRA. ESPARZA DE
CARMICHAEL, W. J.
CARTWRIGHT, MONROE G.
CAVAZOS, ANTONIO
CAVAZOS, ELVIRA C. MRS.
CELAYA, CHARLIE
CELAYA, JOE ADM.
CHAMPION, E.S. MRS.
CHAPA, MILDRED MCALLEN
CHRIST, OTTO D. & CLARA
CISNEROS, JOSE A.
CLARK, HIRAM
CLAY, T. J.
CLECKLER, L. N.
CLUBB, IKE M.
COBOLINI, A.
COBOLINI, LOUIS
COCHRAN, E. E. H.
COCKE, J. J.
COLLINS, J. J. MRS.
COMBA AND JACKSON
COMBE, IDA M.
COMBS, E. P.
CONKEN, FRANK
CONKLING, S. H. MRS.
CONTINENTAL ILL. BK. & TRUST CO. CHICAGO
COPELAND, J. R.
COQUAT, H.
CORTEZ, D.
COUPLAND, CHAS.
COX, W. C.
CRAIG, JACK D.
CRAIG, N.
CREAGER, R. G.
CRIXELL, T.
CRIXELL, V. L.
CROMACK, BERT M.
CUESTA, MANUEL
CUSTA, MANNEL
DARLINGTON, C. W. MRS.
DAVENPORT, H.
DAVIDSON, N. L.
DEBRUIN, J. C.
DELTA DEVELOPMENT CO
DENNIS, GEO. F.
DENNIS, W. O.
DEPUTY, O. D.
DEVINE, JOHN T.
DOMINGUEZ, RAUL ET AL
DOTY, RICINA J.
DOUGHARTY, MRS.
DOUGLAS, ARTHUR C.
DUNCAN, J. S.
DWORMAN, S. L.
EDISON SHOP
EDMUNDSON, W. E.
EL JARDIN IMMI. CO.
ELIZONDO, JUAN MARTIN
ENGLER, ANNIE MRS.
ENGLER, RAYMOND R.
ERKMAN, E.
ERNST, ROBERT
ESCAMILLA, CARMEN P. DE
ESCOBEDO, G. MRS.
EVANS, CLAY H.
EVANS, H. E.
EVANS, W. A.
EVERSON, C.
FABRA & WAGNER PAPERS
FELTY, H. E.
FERNANDEZ SERVICE STA.
FERNANDEZ, EDWARD A.
FERNANDEZ, JUANA
FITZGERALD, J. H.
FORBES, W. L.
FORTO, E. C. ADM.
FRANKLIN, F. D.
FRAZIER, B. H. MR. & MRS.
GARCIA, ALEJANDRO
GARCIA, J. G. DR.
GARZA, ANTONIA CERTA DE
GARZA, EDELBERTO
GARZA, FAUSTINO, SR.
GARZA, THOMAS V. DE
GLAENECKE, FERNANDO
GLAEVECKE, FERNANDO
GLOESER, A. E.
GOBBLE, CHAS. L.
GOMEZ
GOMEZ, ROBERTO GARCIA
GONZALEZ, SIMON
GOODRICH, LIZZIE K.
GOSS, TOM
GRACIA, GUMICENDO
GRAY, HAROLD MRS.
GREEN, W. E.
GREER, J. L.
GREGG, JOHN
GUERRA, F. B.
GUERRA, F. B. MRS.
HALLAM, S. K.
HALLER & ZEHNDER
HAMLETT, B.
HAMLINCK, GEO.
HARMAN, JNG. J.
HARRINGTON, J. A.
HARVEY, F. C.
HARVEY, W. T.
HAZELHURST, MARGARET
HEAD, GEO. K.
HERBER, W. F. AND GEO.
HICKS, F. J. MRS.
HICKS, M. L.
HINKLEY, BURT E.
HOCKADAY, E. T.
HOLLIDAY, E. G.
HUGHES, A. M.
HUNT, E. P.
HUNTER, A. S.
INTERSTATE FINANCE CO.
JAMES-DICKINSON CO.
JAMES-DICKINSON FARM MORTGAGE CO.
JAMES-DICKINSON FARM MTGE. CO.
JAMES, J. H.
JAMES, LEE B.
JEFFERDS, JULIUS T.
JENNINGS, ARCH
JESSUP, C. L.
JOACHIM, JOE
JOHNSTON, ALEXANDER
JONES, C. E.
JONES, C. W.
JONES, J. B.
JONES, JOHN M. C.
JONES, L. D.
JONES, THOS. L.
JUNEHORST, H. A.
KARZNAIZEK, FRANK
KIBBE, F. W.
KILGORE, JAS. N.
KIRK, J. O. VON
KNOSEL, ERNESTO
KOWALSKI, FRED
LADONNA SYNDICATE
LAFERIA SUGAR CO.
LAGRO, ANNIE
LAGUNA VISTA CLUB
LAMADRID, LOUIS
LERMA, DOMASA F.
LEVY, PEARL MRS. ADM.
LLOYD, L. L.
LONGORIA, B. JR.
LONGORIA, GREGORIO
LORBER, J. F. AUGUSTE
LOUGHRIDGE BROS.
LUNA, PETRA FUENTES DE
MACDONALD, W. MRS.
MACY, CLAUDE
MALLETTE, WM. P.
MALOTT, C. G.
MANSUR, CHARLIE
MARGENAU, FRANK
MARTIN, GEORGE H.
MARTINEZ, JOSE MARIA
MARTINEZ, MIGUEL B.
MASON, IRENE B. ESEC.
MASON, O. K.
MASONIC TEMPLE ASSN.
MATTHEWS, J. H.
MCALLEN DEV. CO.
MCCAMPBELL, HOWELL
MCCLINTOCK, J. F.
MCDAVITT, W. E.
MCDONALD, J. L. MRS.
MCKAY, EARL W.
MCNEIL, BRUCE
MERCHANTS & PLANTERS RICE MILLING CO.
MEXICO LAND CO.
MILKE, J.
MILLER, ROY E.
MOORE, S. C. MRS.
MORRIS, R. C.
MYERS, H. J.
NEIL, WM. J.
NELSON LOAN CO.
NELSON MORTGAGE CO.
NELSON, C. W.
NELSON, N. A.
NICHOLSON, P. P.
NIERSTRAS, EUGENE
NIETERT, C. A.
NOYES, C. F. NATL. REALTY
NYE, C. C.
ODOM, DAN
OLVERA, BLAS
OLVERA, VICTOR
ORASON, DONALD
ORIVE, A.
PADRE ISLAND HOTEL CO.
PARKER, A. F.
PEDIGO, H. S.
PEEK, C. F.
PENGILLY, G.
PEREZ, AMALIO
PEREZ, SANTIAGO
PESHEK, JOSEPH
PETERS LAND
PETERS, R. L.
PHILLIPS, FRANK
PITT, A.
PLOETZ, MARIA
PORT ISABEL IRRI. CO.
PRATT, OTTO M.
PUTMAN, I. M.
RABB, LILLIE S. MRS.
RAGAN, R. R.
RAINES, GEO. R.
RANK, LOUIS
RENTFRO & NEIL
RICE, SEDGWICK
RIO GRANDE CONST. CO.
RIO GRANDE VALLEY GAS APPLIANCE CO.
RIO GRANDE VALLEY TR. CO.
ROBERTS, C. P.
ROBERTSON, SAM A.
ROGERS, K. H.
ROSENTHAL, Z. A.
ROSS, GEO. L.
ROSS, L. E.
ROWLEY, GEORGE N.
SARAPHI, THEODORE
SARGENT, F. J.
SAUDER, OSCAR C.
SCALES, J. H.
SCANLAN, GEO. N.
SCANLAN, KATHERINE
SCHNEIDER, J. A.
SCOTT, WM. N. ATTORNEY
SERRANO, J.
SERRATA, J. C.
SETHMAN, RICHARD
SETHMAN, ROY C.
SEWELL, J. A.
SHACKELFORD, LUTHER
SMITH, A. N.
SMITH, A. W.
SMITH, W. E.
SOLITAIRE, PIERRE
SPIVEY & KOWALSKI
SPOHN, DR. W. N.
SPOHN, WM. N.
STACEY, DAPT. R. K.
STALLING, OTHO
STARCK, F. E. MRS.
STAUBAUGH, G. A.
STEELE, EMMA JEAN
STEELE, MOLLIE
STEELE, T. D.
STEELE, THOMAS
STERLING, M. L.
STEWART, C. C.
STILES, HARVEY C.
STILLMAN, LUCIUS
STREET, GORDON P.
STREET, H. R.
STRUTHERS, A. D.
SULLIVAN, EDWARD W.
SUMAYA, HERLINDA LONGORIA DE
SUNSET SUGAR CO.
SUTLAND, WM.
SUTPHEN, V. M.
SUTTON, G. D.
SWEET, HULDA
SWIRCE, J. H.
TALLANT, RICHARD E.
TEST, F. G.
THOMAS, C. F.
THOMAS, C. H.
THOMPSON, J. W.

THORNTON, A. W. & MAUDE
TODD, W. W.
TORRES, B.
TOUPS, OTTO
TREVINO, MANUEL GARCIA
TRUWIT, FRED W. & MRS.
TRUWITT, ANNA C.
TUCKER, A. M.
TUCKER, A. M. & MRS.
TUCKER, S. C.
TUCKER, W. F.
UNITED PAINT STORE
VALDEZ, ANTONIO JR.
VALLEY PLB. & SUP. CO.
VERHELLE, HY. B.
VESSERON, J. B.
VILLARREAL, C.
VILLARREAL, EMMA
VILLARS, JAS. W.
VILLATREAL, FEDERICO
VITTITOE, MARY
VOIGT, C. G.
VOORHEES, JOHN C.
WAGNER INV. CO.
WAGNER, G. C. MRS.
WALKER, C. A.
WALKER, GEO. F.
WALTON, W. B.
WATSON, J. T.
WELLS, JAS. B.
WESCOTT, FLOSSIE
WEST AND ELLIS
WEST, MILTON H.
WHEELOCK, C. J.
WHITE, BROWN
WHITE, JOHN W.
WILKERSON, W. G.
WILLIAMSON, CHAS.
WILLIAMSON, J. TOM
WILSON, P. D.
WILSON, PIERRE MRS.
WISE, ANNIE MRS.
WISE, MILE
WOLFF, JESSE J.
WOOD, A. WAYNE
WORTMAN, J. L.
WORTMAN, MRS.
WRIGHT, VICTOR YOAKUM
YOUNG, E. P.
YOUNG, W. B.
ZINN, ROY

CLARKSVILLE TX

THE CITY NATIONAL BANK OF CLARKSVILLE

WILLSON, DREW

CLEBURNE TX

THE HOME NATIONAL BANK OF CLEBURNE

BOYD, C. D.
BRITTON, FRANK
CHILDRESS, FLORENCE
CLEBURNE LODGE B. OF R. C..
CROW, BEN F.
FISHER, B. E.
GWYNN, W. M. TREAS.
HOLLOWAY, J. L.
KENNON, A. W.
LANGSTON, JIM
LAYLAND, E.
MCKENNIE, J. B.
REPMAN, JOE
WRIGHT, J. D.

DENTON TX

THE EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF DENTON

BAILY, KATHERINE MCKAY
EVANS, REBECCA M.
GRISSOM, GEO.
KNOWLTON, MAUDE
LUCAS, MAYME
MORRELL, GEO. W.
SMITH, J. R.
SWINEBROAD, G. W.
WHITE, DAVE
WHITE, F. W. JR.

EL PASO TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF EL PASO

A. L. OWEN MINING & MILLING
ACHTERBERG, JOHN F.
ADAMS, ESTER MRS.
ALDANA, SANCHEZ
ALEXANDER, ENOS S.
AUSTIN, C. B.
AZTECA MINING COMPANY
B & M MOTOR COMPANY
B. F. HAMMETT REALTY CO.
BAINE, J. S.
BARTLEY, H. S.
BARTON, CORA MAE
BEERS & MURCHISON
BELL, IRA J., TRUSTEE ASSOC PET ROYALTY
BELLMAN, SAM
BOWMAN, KATE MRS.
BRYAN, HENRY H.
BRYAN, J. C. MRS.
BUCHOZ, U. G. & CO.
BUYER, G. MISS
CAMP, H. G.
CANTRELL, H. E.
CARCAJAH, JUANA
CARMAN, TILLIE MRS.
CARMAN, W. R. ESTATE
CARROLL, W. W.
CHALMERS, T. A. & MINEOLA
COATES, L. B.
CONDESA MINING CO.
CONNELL, R. S.
CONNORS, FRANCES J. MRS.
CONTINENTAL TRUNK & BAG CO.
COOK, GEORGE W.
COOPER, JOHN W.
CROFF, G. A.
DAVENPORT, F.
DE BENTZ, VIRGINIA T. MRS.
DE MUNCZ, ROSA TERRAZAS MRS.
DEHAB, EZRA & JEAN
DUDEN, BERTHA NYE
DUDLEY, R. M.
DUDLEY, R. M., TRUSTEE
DYER, H. C.
EL PASO LOANING CO.
EL PASO TIMES CO.
ELMER, J. W.
FEGAN, A. F.
FINE, LEON MR. & MRS.
FIRST N/B, YUMA, ARIZ
FLORES, ANTONIO
FNOTTS, E. F.
FORD, BETHA E. MRS.
FOSTER, A. G.
FOSTER, ELIZABETH MRS.
GATES, J. S. ET UX
GENERAL MINES CORPORATION
GILLETT, F. E.
GRIFFITH, J. A. K.
HAMILTON, WILLIAM
HARVIE, MAUD MRS.
HAUGHEY, PAUL
HEELY, J. F.
HEINEMAN, H. E.
HELMAS, CARLOS
HIGH, GEORGE E.
HILES, W. J. & QUEENIE
HOLSTINE, LUCILE
HOPSON, LILLIE BELL MRS.
HUDSON, J. S.
HUGHES, FRANK H.
HUSSMAN OIL CO.
HUTTANUS, ANNA MRS.
IRVIN, O. E. & SADIE C.
JAMES, W.M. & J. L.
JASCHOB, W. C.
JOSE FUENTES CO.
LACOUR, T. J. MRS.
LANE, JOE
LANGE, E. E. MRS.
LATTIG, J. W. MRS.
LEBARON, GEO. R.
LEONARD, VIOLET
LINCOLN, M. D.
LINSS, EDUARDO
LUNDBERG, M. L.
LYON, WILLIAM J.
LYONS, JACK MRS.
MACDONALD, M. E.
MACK, J. P. MRS.
MAHONEY, J. A. ET AL
MANDEVILLE, J. M.
MARR, W. K.
MCCARTHY, J. A. DR.
MCNARY, JAMES G.
MEDLER, E. L.
MELEKOV, LEON
MEREDITH, THOS
MIDDLECOFF, J. B.
MOHLER, K. I.
MONTGOMERY, L. R.
MOORE IRON WORKS
MOORE, THOMAS J.
MORFIN, R. R.
MUNDY, J. J.
NESTLER, WILLIAM C.
NEWMAN INVESTMENT CO.
NEWMAN, ETHEL MRS.
NUNEZ, CIRILO
O'CALLAHAN, C.
PACHECO, ENRIQUE
PARKER, THOMAS S.
PIKE, A. B.
PORTER, W. F.
QUINN, KENNETH & JESSE S.
RAYNOLDS, E. D.
RAYNOLDS, ESTHER
RAYNOLDS, H. & E. D.
REED, R. M. MRS.
REID, D. T.
RICE, T. W.
RIO GRANDE OIL COMPANY
ROBERTS, C. D.
ROBINSON, ELLA L. MRS.
ROMA, SRA. JESUS
ROSENBERG, MAX
RUNKLE, S. H. MRS.
SANDOVAL, H. J.
SATCHELL, EDMUND T.
SAVAGE, L. H.
SCHMERKA, FRANCIS G. MR. & MRS.
SCOTT, FRANCES C. MRS.
SEAWELL, C.C.
SECURITY HOME BUILDERS ASSN.
SHANNON, H. A. MRS.
SHARP, W. S. DR.
SINCLAIR OIL CORPORATION
SOLOMON, E. A.
SOLOMON, W.
SOUTHERN BAPTIST SANATORIUM
SOUTHWESTERN ENGINEERING CO.
STANTON, HERBERT W.
STELY, ROSINA
STILES, L. M.
STRICKLAND, ARVIE J.
STRONG, EARL
SUBLETT, W. M.
TARPLEY, A. B.
TAYS, JOS. WILKIN
THOENY, J. G.
ULLMAN, LOUISA MRS.
VALLINA, V. F.
VANN, J. W.
VARRIOS, MANUEL A.
VEAZY, ALICE BROOKE
WAINWRIGHT, CHAS. D. JR. ET UX
WARD, ALMA MRS.
WARE RAMEY CO.
WARNER, MONTE
WATKINS, SAM
WESTERBECK, HENRY
WHITE, W. A.
WILLIAMS, JASPER B.
WINTERS & SMITH
WOOD, MABEL TREANOR
WOODFORD, JOHN W.
WOODS, SEAL & ANNA E.

FORT WORTH TX

THE TEXAS NATIONAL BANK OF FORT WORTH

AIKEN, W. S.
ALLISON, J. F.
BANNER, E. S.
BECH, JOHN P.
BEHR, JANE COHN
BROWNE, R. D.
CASE, CORA MRS.
CHARMICHLE, JOHN D.
CHRISTAL, A. R. MR. & MRS.
COHEN, MAX
COLE, W. C.
COOPER, ISRAEL
DEFIELD, M. E. MRS.
DRISKELL, ROBERT
EPPSTEIN, MOSE
ESSEX, CLYDE
EVANS, MARK
FAIR, WAYMON
FARMER, F. A.
FIELD, C. S.
FREEMAN, ETHEL MYRTLE
GAINER, EDWARD
GARNER, J. H.
HACKNEY, J. G.
HALL, J. S.
HAMILTON, E. M.
HARDEMAN, L. M. MRS.
HAWKINS, WILLIE
HUDGINS, H. P.
JARMAN, E. W.
JONES, JOHN
JORGENSEN, E. T.
KARNOPP, CHAS. H.
MADDEN, EDWIN
MANGEL, J. E. MRS.
MARSHAL, J.B.
MASSEY, RAYMOND R.
MAYBERRY, G. V. MRS.
MCCORMICK, D. D.
MCGUIRE, R. S.
MCMASTER, J. W.
MILLER, JOHN T.
MOREY, R. H. MRS.
MURPHY, J. E. R.
NEELY, R. C. MRS.
NEWMAN, ALFRED
PASCHEL, MYRTLE
PATE, HOMER W.
PETTA, BRISTER & WILHOIT
REMINGTON, E.
REYNOLDS, J. E.
RIDDLE, J. C.
ROBINSON, BILL MRS.
SADLER, GUS
SAMUELS, B. B.
SEVERN, RAY MRS.
SHIMP & COLGNI
SHOWN, CHAS. C.
STAFFORD, W. W.
STEVENS, J. P.
SWARTZBERG, CHAS.
SWIFT, ARTHUR
THOMPSON, JESSIE
TIMMONS, T. R. DR.
TRAMMEL, W. A.
TULLY, BILLY
VOSS, HERBERT, W. E. & J. T.
WEBB, JAMES L.
WHITE, J. A.
WILSON, JEWEL COX
WOODS, GEORGE BIRT (COL)
YANCEY, JOHN W.
YISCHMEYER, BERTHA
YOUNG, DORA

FORT WORTH, TX

CITY NATIONAL BANK OF FORT WORTH

GREEN, A. A. JR.
HUFFMAN, SALLIE

FRISCO TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FRISCO

BARNUM, R. L.
DUNCAN, A.
FISHER, R. C. JR.
FISHER, R. C. MRS.
LEE, JENNIE
PUCKETT, L. L.
WATSON, BUBB
WILKS, C. C.
WILLIAMS, FORBES B.

GREENVILLE TX

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF GREENVILLE

CARTER, SARAH L.
KELLY, J. E.
SANDERS, J. F.
USSERY, M. W.
WRIGHT, RALEIGH MRS.
WRIGHT, W. T.
YEAGER, NINA MRS.

HOWE TX

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF HOWE

CARTER, LEE VEAZEY
TIREY, MATTIE MRS.
TIREY, THOS. EDGAR

LLANO TX

THE LLANO NATIONAL BANK

BOYETT, J. S.
CASTELL, W. T. DALCHAN
CLICK, MRS.
DOBBS-EAVES-SMITH
EBELING, EDMOND
FRANKLIN, P. W. G.
HARDIN, G. G.
OESTREICH, C. C.
ROBINSON, MILLIE A.
SMITH, L. B.

PECAN GAP TX

THE PECAN GAP NATIONAL BANK

CAULEY, C. R.

PETTY TX

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF PETTY

HODNETT, FLETCHER

PLAINVIEW TX

THE PLAINVIEW NATIONAL BANK

CULVERHOUSE, J. J.
ELLERD, RUBEN M.
JONES, SAMUEL D.
KING, H. L.
KISER, RUTH
LESLIE, LILA
MOORE, ESTHER
MOORE, R. L. MRS.
MYSTIC CLUB
REAVES, C. B.
SLAGLE, C. W. MR.
THORNTON, M. E. MRS.
VAUGHN, ERLE
VAUGHN, JIM
WINN, J. W.

RANGER TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RANGER

ASHABRANNER, D. M.
BENNETT, C. H.
BOONE, BIGHAM
BOONE, MEDIA MRS.
BRITTON, A. G.
CARRUTHERS, MARCUS L.
CLARK, B. B.
CONNELL, BOYETT
DICK, J. L.
DUCKETT, J. R.
GANDY, GROVER H.
GOTCHER, J. F.
HALL, S. E. MRS.
JONES, ROY D.
JONES, W. M.
JONES, W. M. MRS.
MORRIS, D. J.
PARRACK, CHAS. A.
RIDDEL, GEORGE
RIDDEL, KATHERINE
RIDDEL, MARY RUTH
RIDDEL, MAURINE
RIDDEL, NAROISSUS
RIDDEL, O. R.
RIDDEL, R. E. MRS.
RIDDEL, R. T. MRS.
RIDDEL, TILDEN
RIDDEL, YSLETA
TAFT, BILL
THOMPSON, JAS. C.
WALKER, LEE T.
WHEELER, HENRY
WILLIAMS, H. B.
WILLIAMS, H. R.
WILLIAMS, JNO.
WISDOM, WILLIAM
WOODS, R. R.
WRIGHT, F. C.

ROYSE CITY TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ROYSE

BROOKS, A. J.
EVANS, C. E.
KING, JOHN
LANKFORD, M. C. & WIFE
SORRELLS, R. E.
WALKER, A. C.
WALKER, A. C. & D. A.
WHITE, G. C.
WHITE, J. C.
WYLIE, MARGARET

SMITHVILLE TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SMITHVILLE

WINSTON, E. G.

SWEETWATER TX

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SWEETWATER

DAVIS, AUDIE CORNELIA
GRIBBLE, R. I.
MCCOY, JOHN BELL
MOORES, J. C. TREAS.
SWEETWATER, TEX.

MYTON UT

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF MYTON

BYWATER, J. R.
CALDWELL, EMERY
CASTLE PEAK LODGE #33
DUBENDORFF, CHAS.
FERRON, FRED C.
FIRST PRESBYTERIAN CHURCH
GARROD, ROBT. M.
HOLDER, EDGAR
JOHNSON, DORA
JOHNSON, W. K.
JONES, W. P.
KING, O. J.
MAXWELL, IRVIN
NICK, JOHN HARPER
ROSS, F. M.
SHANKS, LOUIS N.
STEWART & HOLDER
WOOTTON, GEO. A.

NEPHI UT

THE NEPHI NATIONAL BANK

INTERMOUNTAIN WORSTED CO.

SALT LAKE CITY UT

THE NATIONAL CITY BANK OF SALT LAKE CITY

ANTON, TOM
CLARK, A. A.
DAVIDSON & MCCORMICK LION BONDING CO.
FARMER, JOHN I.
GIDNEY, THOS.
GORDON, BERRY F.
HILL, R. S.
HOBDAY, JOHN T.
HUISH, FRANK D.
HULET, O. S.
JENSEN - MELTON
KIMBALL, CLARK
LARSON, D. E.
MORRIS, F. E.
NIELSON, N. P. JR.
OLSON, A. A.
PETERSON - CARTER
RAISIAN - SMITH
RAMSTEAD, VICTOR
SNYDER, ELVIN
STATE INVESTMENT CO.
STRUIKSMA, GERMAN
VEROS, GUST N.
WILLIAMS, ADA D.

BROOKNEAL VA

THE PEOPLES NATIONAL BANK OF BROOKNEAL

ALDERSON, J. P.
CREWS, CHARLES E.
TOWNSEND, EDDIE W.

CHASE CITY VA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CHASE CITY

ASHWORTH, ROBERT ALLEY
CLARK, FLOYNOID C.
COFFEY, D. M.
DICKERSON, GRAYSON ALVIN
FARIS, WILLIAM P.
FAUCETTE, DAVID ANDERSON
FORBES, JASPER G.
GAYLES, JORDON
HAYES, STEVIE
JOHNSON, J. F.
MITCHELL, J. T.
PARRISH, R. E.
RAMSEY, W. E.
ROBERTS, C. W.
ROBERTSON, B. T.
SHELBORNE, J. C.
SPAIN, WALTER L.
TUCKER, JAMES
TUCKER, L. L.
WALKER, PETER
WATSON, A. C.

COEBURN VA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF COEBURN

BREWER, L. F.
CROCKETT, A. P. MRS.
DICKENSON, CAROLINE
ESTES, T. B.
FIELDS, NANNIE E.
GREIZER, JOHN
HAM, ADDIE MRS.
HARTSOCK & COMPANY
SMITH, S. L.

DILLWYN VA

THE MERCHANTS AND PLANTERS NATIONAL BANK OF DILLWYN

BELCHER, J. B. & WIFE
CLAIBORN, M. P.
COOK, WALTER M.
DEFIBAUGH, DELPHIA
FONES, ROBERT F.
GARLAND, M. W.
HARRIS, C. B.
HARRIS, HELEN G.
MYERS, FLOYD B.
PETTY, W. E. J.
TYREE, JUDY MRS.

NORTON VA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF NORTON

ADAMS, HENRY E.
AIDE, K. M.
ROBERTS, MARGARET TROTTER
ROBERTS, S. B.
SMEAD, W. T.
VANCE, G. M.
WHITENACK, L. C.

PETERSBURG VA

FIRST NATIONAL BANK AND TRUST COMPANY OF PETERSBURG

CORBETT, MAURICE
MILLER, MARION REBECCA MISS

SOUTH BOSTON VA

PLANTERS AND MERCHANTS FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SOUTH BOSTON

ANDERSON, OWEN K.
CONNER, DORA MRS.
CONNER, PATRICK H.
LOFTIS, J. L.
NICHOLS, SUE L. MRS.
PERKINS, G. L.
WYATT, JOHN O.

VICTORIA VA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF VICTORIA

BATTE, J. A.
BRECKENRIDGE, ROBERT C.
BROWDER, I. O.
CRALLE, WILLIAM
DRAKE, PAUL W.
ELAM, LAURA V.
FOSTER, G. R. MRS.
HAMMACK, JAMES H.
JOHNSON, DAISY G.
KEITH, F. J.
PERRY, W. H.
ROBERTS, W. E. MRS.
SCHULTZ, C. W.
SETZER, A. O.
SMITH, R. E.
THOMPSON, D. A
VAUGHAN, T. E.
WALLACE, W. E.
WALTON, EVA MRS.

AUBURN WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF AUBURN

ANDERSON, SAM
BECKSTROM, E.
GALLAGHER, J. S. MRS.
HAYWARD, HETTIE
HAYWARD, SARAH L.
HUTTON, W. M.
JONES, HOWARD
LIPPINCOTT, D. W.
MCCARTHY, F. T.
MCGONIGLE, C. W.
ROBINSON, J. M. OR JOSEPH M. ROBINSON
STRALICH, CARRIE
STRALICH, JOHN
STRAUB, G. M.
WARE, FANNIE A. MRS.
WHISLER, ETTA B.

CLARKSTON WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF CLARKSTON

ADAMS, G. H.
JOHNSON, GERTRUDE
SMITH, AGNES M.
SMITH, ROBERT A.
WARREN, NATHAN

ELLANSBURY, WA

NATIONAL BANK OF ELLANSBURY

CALLY, THOMAS A. E.

GIG HARBOR WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF GIG HARBOR

BERNTSEN, OMMUND & SENA
CHAMBERLAIN, W. B. & EMMA E.
KNAPP, LARS R.
PERRY, WILLIAM I.
SHORE ACRES WATER DISTRICT
SURETY FINANCE COMPANY
WILSON, FRANK

HARRINGTON WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HARRINGTON

FARRIS, A. C.
KITT, A. W.
MYERS, W. H.

KELSO WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF KELSO

RICHARDS, S. W.
TOHILL, JOHN, JR.

OAKESDALE WA

THE NATIONAL BANK OF OAKESDALE

BIVINS, E. F.
WILLARD, LEON

OROVILLE WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF OROVILLE

DODDS, CHAS. L.

PASCO WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF PASCO

MADDEN, CHAS.
RILEY, CLARA
STACK, GERALD
TAYLOR, MAY

POMEROY WA

EXCHANGE NATIONAL BANK OF POMEROY

ANDERSON, THEOLINE
BAHR, LEONHARD
BAHR, PAULINA
BAIR, LAWRENCE L.
BARNHARDT, C. R.
BASS, J. E.
BELLMAINE, W. H. MRS.
BETTS, ROBERT M. & R.M. JR.
BEVAN, ROSE M.
BEXELL, ROBERT
BIGGS, C. E. & WIFE
BLAKE, C. H.
BLECK, O. A. MISS
BOWER, H. V.
BREITENSTEIN, W.G. & EXCHANGE NB
BRICKELL, E. J. ESTATE OF
BROWN, F. C. AND C. A.
BUCHE, FRED
BUELL, FRANK L.
BUELL, GRACE D.
BURKE, J.
CAMPBELL, RICHARD B.
CHANEY, J. C.
CHANEY, JASPER
CHAPMAN, W. E. & WIFE
CHENEY, C. M.
CHITTENDEN, M. M.
CLARK, LOYAL
CLARK, SUSANNAH EVELYN
COLLINS, CLARK
COURTS, W. R.
COWNE, IDA R. MRS.
CRAVEN, E. R.
CROSS, MARY E.
DAVEY, EDWARD T.
DEANS, ELLIS H.
DECASTRO, FRANK
DILATUSH, GRACE
DOUTRICH, JOHN W.
DUBOIS, HENRY
EDDY, W. M. & WIFE
ELDER, L. W.
EMERY, CATHERINE EWING
ERLER, C. A.
EVANS, LILLIAN
FORDERHASE, LYDA W.
FRITZ, A. M.
GAEBEL, ELIZABETH
GARRETT, M.
GILBERT, W. E.
GOLD CREEK DEVELOPMENT COMPANY
GOLD MINES COMPANY, A CORPORATION
GRANDJEAN, A. E.
HACKNEY, GEORGE CHALMERS
HALLER, R.
HAMILTON, MARY E.
HARVEY, J. B.
HEMENWAY, A. F.
HIGGINS, J. W.
HOLLAND, KATHERINE
HOOVER, A. H.
HOOVER, KATHRYN
HUFF, JOHN
IRISH, A. G.
JOHNSON, CHARLES
JONES, VOORHEES & STEPHENS
LAWSON, C. B.
LEE, ROBERT CALVIN
LEIGHTON, A. G.
LEMMEX, CHAS. H.
LLOYD, O. B.
LOCKHART, EDWARD
LONG, HELENE L.
LONG, HELENE L. MRS.
LOVETT, L. H. MRS.
MAXWELL, P. F.
MCMILLAN, J. D.
MCNAMARA, H. G.
MELONAS, GUS A.
MERRITT, H. D.
MILLER, FRED
MOSER, WILLIAM
MULLIGAN, R. D.
MULLIGAN, THOMAS F.
NEFF, S. G.
NEWBERRY, EARL F.
NEWMAN, MAX M. AND NEWMAN, ROSE C.
NICHOLSON, C. M.
NICKUM, CHESTER A.
PALMER, C.
PARKER, WILLIAM P.
PEARSON, F. G.
ROTHWELL, D. C.
RUZZO, FRANK
SARCHET, MINNIE E.
SARCHET, THOMAS U.
SCHLOSSER, R. K.
SCOTTEN, GEORGE
SMITH, BLANCHE MAY
SPITZNOGLE, C. C.
SPOKANE OCHRE MINING CO.
STAMM, CLARA
STEVENS COUNTY INVESTMENT CO.
SULLIVAN, JOSEPH
SUTFIN, W. G.
TAYLOR, HERBERT 'BUD'
TAYLOR, J. L.
THOMAS, ELIZABETH
THOMAS, J. T.
TRULSOM, F. M.
VOORHEES, HARRIET W.
WALTON, KEARNEY P.
WATTS, SYLVIA
WEDDLE, VIRGIL MRS.
WEES, HENRY
WESSELS, MINNIE V.
WEST, O. C.
WHITMARSH, WILLIAM
WILCOX, C. M.
WILLIAMS, HOBART
WILLIAMS, JOSEPH C.
WILSON, E. L.
WILSON, EARL L.
WILSON, J. WESLEY
WOLSTENHOLME, ALBERT
WOODS, JAMES S.

POMEROY WA

THE FARMERS NATIONAL BANK OF POMEROY

CARDWELL, FRANK
CARTER, C. C.
CATERPILLAR TRACTOR CO.
FELTCH, E. S.
FELTHOUSE, JOHN M.
HENDER, LENORA
HUNTON, ARTHUR D.
JENKINS, MARTIN
JOHNSON, C. W.
JOHNSON, RAY M.
JOHNSTON, RALPH ADOLPHUS
JONES, J. H.
KNETTLE, LAURA
KROUSE, NELLIE
LOGAN, R. O.
MCGOWAN, E. A.
MELTON, R. B.
RIEGER, CARL
WENTWORTH, W. B.
WILLS, W. J.
ZINDLE, JAKE

SAINT JOHN WA

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SAINT JOHN

GOFF, IRA
POLLARD, HELEN
ST. JOHN, WALSH

ANTIGO WI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF ANTIGO

BLANCHARD, R. J.

APPLETON WI

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF APPLETON

KILSDONK, BERNADINA MRS.
KRIECH, W. H.
LEIGH, C. E.
MCCAGHERY, MARY M.

ASHLAND WI

THE ASHLAND NATIONAL BANK

PETERSON, JAMES
THIBEAULT, PETER

ASHLAND WI

THE NORTHERN NATIONAL BANK OF ASHLAND

JACOBSON, JOHN
MCALEESE, DANIEL
SANDERS, WM.
TURBIN, HENRY

FOND DU LAC WI

THE COMMERCIAL NATIONAL BANK OF FOND DU LAC

FITZGERALD, WILL
LEONARD, F. D.

HAYWARD WI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HAYWARD

AGENT, H. E. R.
JORDAN, NELL
LEONARD, LILLIAN
WISCONSIN MORTGAGE & SECURITY CO.

HIGHLAND WI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF HIGHLAND

ABDOO, B. M.
ARNESON, JOE
BREMICKER, CHARLES
BRIGGS, B.
CAREY, MARY
CHLADEK, JOSEPH
CITIZENS STATE BANK
CONNER, STEPHEN C.
DOLAN, HUGO
DOYLE, C. E. DR.
DRONE, WM.
DUFFY ESTATE
EGAN, W. S.
ELLIOTT, E. R.
ERICKSON, ANTHONY
ERICKSON, GEO. H.
EVERSON, E. G.
FILLBACH, ED
FILLBACH, GROVER C. ET AL
FILLBACH, W. J.
FLEMING, HENRY
FORD, A. MRS.
GALLIGHAN, ELIZABETH
GENT, CHAS.
GRASSE, VIOLA
HAMILTON, MRS.
HENNESSEY, P. W.
HOWE, SUSAN E.
IMHOFF, CHAS.
IMHOFF, GEHARD H.
IMHOFF, JOHN
IVERSON, ISAAC
JONES, ALICE B.
KASEY, EDWARD
KASPER, ANNA M.
KASPER, ANNA MARY MRS.
KASPER, M. MRS.
KATSEMBEL, ALBERT
KENNEDY, RICHARD M.
KENNEDY, THOS. F.
KENNEY, M. R.
KENT, JAMES
KEYES, EDWARD
KOTROBA, FRANK
KREUL, ALFONS
KREUL, MARY
KRUEL, JOS.
LADD, GEORGE
LAMBKE, CHARLES
LEE, NELS A.
LEE, WILLIAM
MANNING, MARY A.
MAYER, MARTIN
MOORE, THOS.
MULDOWNEY, MARTIN
NANKE, STEVE
NEW HIGH POINT CHEESE CO.
O'CONNOR, M. P., REV.
O'CONNOR, M. R., REV.
PINK, FRANK
POWELL, JOSEPH
POWERS, PHILLIP JR.
PROCHASKA, EDWARD
PROCHASKA, JOHN J.
PROCHASKA, JOS. MRS.
RICHGLES, JOHN W.
RICHTER, J. B.
SALZMAN, ELMER H.
SALZMAN, MINNIE
SCHIEFEL, FRANK
SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 4
SENGPIEL, M. L. MRS.
SHEA, JOHN
SHEIFEL, MILLIE
SKIDMORE (AND KIRST)
STENNERSON, EMILY
STEPHENSON, ERNIE
STEPHESON, E.
VILLAGE OF HIGHLAND
WALL BROS.
WALL, R. S.
WALLENKAMP, BERNARD
WEPKING, F. H.
WEPKING, JAKE
WHITMAN, PAUL
WILLIAMS, HENRY J.

HURLEY WI

THE HURLEY NATIONAL BANK

ZUCHOWSKI, GEORGR FOR ZUCHOWSKI, FRANK

RICHLAND CENTER WI

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF RICHLAND CENTER

RABUCK, C. E.

STOUGHTON WI

THE CITIZENS NATIONAL BANK OF STOUGHTON

ANDERSON, JOHN A.

FAIRMONT WV

THE NATIONAL BANK OF FAIRMONT

CARMELLO, TRAGOMENE
RICHARD, A. F.

FAIRMONT WV

THE UNION NATIONAL BANK OF FAIRMONT

BENEFICIAL UNION
HARRIS, D. A.
HAWLEY, CATHERINE O.
HITE, J. F.
LAMBERT, MARVIN
NICHOLS, MYERS M.

FAIRVIEW WV

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF FAIRVIEW

COLLINS, N. H.
FREELAND, E. R.
GALLAGHER, ORD
GREENE, JOHN G.
HAUGHT, B. F.
HAUGHT, CLYDE C.
MICHAEL, WM. EARL
TENNANT, BYRON
TENNANT, FLO
TENNANT, HATTIE
WILSON, ENOS JR.

LOGAN WV

FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LOGAN

SMITH, JESSIE

NEW CUMBERLAND WV

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEW CUMBERLAND

BRANDON, JAMES E. SR.

SHINNSTON WV

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF SHINNSTON

ADAMS, ANNIE MRS.
BAKER, S. D.
BARRICK, L. L.
BIRCHER, VIOLA D.
BOCK, G. W.
BOOTH, W. IRVING
DAILEY, G. T.
ERVIN, T. O.
HAGGERTY, CHESTER L.
HURSEY, C. L.
KURELIC, JOHN
LEE, CHARLES
LYNCH, R. C.
MADDEN, CLYDE R.
MCCORMICK, S. E. MRS.
MCWHORTER, EUSTACE L.
NANCARROW, ELIZA
PRIDE OF JAMERSON LODGE #127
RICE, J. H.
RILEY, LAZIER
ROBINSON, NETTIE E.
SCOTT, T. M.
SEESE, J. D.
SMITH, G. C.
SNIVELY, A. C.
SPRINGER, J. L.
STURM, RAY E.
SWIGER, JENNIE A. MRS.
TETRICK, PAUL E.
UNITED MINE WORKERS #1891
UNITED MINE WORKERS #4012
UNIVERSAL NEGRO IMPROVEMENT ASSOC #445
WALKER, R. C.
WHITEMAN, CLYDE C.

WORTHINGTON WV

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF WORTHINGTON

ANDERSON, OSCAR
ASHCRAFT, C. L.
BAKER, EZRA W.
BELCASTRO, BIAGIO
BELCASTRO, SALVATORE
BRUMAGE, A. J. MRS.
CANE, GEORGE
CLELLAND, CHAS. E.
DAVIS, B. M.
DAVIS, HENRY S. & D. N.
DILLY, JOHN
FREELAND, C. A. & WIFE
FREELAND, ORVIL C.
FREELAND, TELLA
HAMILTON, PAULINE & GRADY
HARDISTY, R. C.
HUTCHINSON LODGE #9929
JOHNSTON, ROBERT
KNIPP, L. O.
LOWTHER, IRA
LOWTHER, JOHN L.
MARTIN, ANNA M.
MAYLE, DAVID
MAZZA, DOM.
MCDANIEL, A. J.
MCLAUGHLIN, ELIZABETH
MEEK, THAD E.
MICHAEL, L. H.
MICHAELS, JAMES I.
MINNEAR, W. L.
MORGAN, A. C.,J. P.
MURDELL, FRANK
MURPHY, W. H.
ORSINI, NICK D.
PARRISH, CHESTER WALTON
PARRISH, M. E.
RILEY, J. F.
ROBEY, L. H.
SACCO, LOUIS
SACCOMER, MARION
SALVATORE COAL CO.
SHARP, F. M. MRS.
SHAVER, W. E.
SLOAN, IDA CUNNINGHAM
SMITH, ED
STURM, J. U.
TALKINGTON, Z. C.
U. M. W. A. #4020
U. M. W. A. #4754
WRIGHT, GEORGE W.

LINGLE WY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF LINGLE

FIRST N/B OF LINGLE
WELCH, MRS.

NEWCASTLE WY

THE FIRST NATIONAL BANK OF NEWCASTLE

ADKINS, CLAUD H.
BAUGH, JOHN
HICKS, CHARLES
KINCAID, MARY GLACIA
KINNELY, CHARLES
KNECHT, JULIUS

UNIDENTIFIED BANK

BARLOW, H. N.
BROOKS, EDWARD
BROOKS, F. W.
BROWN BROTHERS & CO.
CASE, C.
CASE, J. R. BEER
COHUNE CORP.
CRUTZAS, C. J. (CRITZAS)
HOPKINS, E.
HOWARD, N.
JOYNES, ELLA N.
LEHMAN, JULIUS W.
MCKAY, N. B.
NAUGHTON, RAYMOND A.
PARKER, C. W.
RAPHAEL LETTERS
ROBERTSON, E. R.
SAPIENZA, G.
SCOTT, M.
TOOHILL, H.
WILLIAMS, C. L.

[FR Doc. 83-17385 Filed 6-28-83; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4810-33-T

federal register

Wednesday
June 29, 1983

Part V

Department of Education

Application Notice for the Secretary's Discretionary Program Grants—Improving Education Through the Application of Technology

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Application Notice for the Secretary's Discretionary Program Grants—Improving Education Through the Application of Technology

AGENCY: Office of the Secretary, ED.

ACTION: Rule-related notice.

SUMMARY: The Secretary of Education (the Secretary), under the Secretary's Discretionary Program for Fiscal Year 1983, announces the requirements for conducting a grant competition and invites grant applications for new awards to support exemplary projects that develop and demonstrate—

- The effective use of educational technology in elementary and secondary school improvement,
- How students can increase their competence in science, mathematics, reading, and writing, or combinations thereof, through the use of technology in the classroom, and
- How relevant teacher training can enable teachers to enhance their programs of instruction by using computers and other technologies;

and that provide for dissemination of the results of this experience to other schools, districts, and education decision makers.

Separate application notices address other types of projects authorized for funding under the Secretary's Discretionary Program, such as law-related education, the National Diffusion Network, and others.

DATE: *Closing Date*—An application for a grant must be mailed or hand-delivered by July 29, 1983.

Effective Date—Unless the Congress takes certain adjournments, the establishment of the funding priority, types of activities to be funded, limitations on cost, the selection criterion on geographical distribution, and other matters, will take effect August 15, 1983. If you want to know the effective date of these requirements, call or write the Department of Education contact person.

ADDRESS: Written inquiries should be addressed to the Director, Division of Educational Technology, U.S. Department of Education, 1200 19th Street, N.W. (Brown Building, Room 711), Washington, D.C. 20208.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Ann Erdman (Program Officer). Telephone: (202) 254–5856.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Program Information

Purpose of the Authorizing Statute and Scope of the Secretary's Discretionary Program

The Education Consolidation and Improvement Act of 1981 (ECIA) (20 U.S.C. 3851) was enacted as Title V of the Omnibus Budget Reconciliation Act of 1981 (Pub. L. 97–35). The ECIA has two principal purposes: Chapter 1 provides financial assistance to State and local educational agencies to meet the special educational needs of educationally deprived children, and Chapter 2 consolidates 28 elementary and secondary level education grant programs funded in Fiscal Year 1981 into a single authorization of grants to States for the same purposes set forth in the programs consolidated.

Section 583(a) of Chapter 2 authorizes the Secretary to carry out directly, or through grants or contracts, programs and projects that: (1) Provide a national source for gathering and disseminating information on the effectiveness of programs designed to meet the special educational needs of educationally depreived children and others served by the ECIA, and for assessing the needs of such individuals; (2) carry out research and demonstrations related to the purposes of the ECIA; (3) are designed to improve the training of teachers and other instructional personnel needed to carry out the purposes of the ECIA; or (4) are designed to assist State and local educational agencies in the implementation of programs under the ECIA.

The Secretary has determined that certain unmet national needs exist within the scope of the discretionary program. More specifically these needs include the following:

(1) There are a number of recent indicators which point to the need for major focus on the improvement of instruction in mathematics, science, reading, and writing. For example, there has been a steady decline in the science achievement scores of 17-year olds in this country according to national assessments in science in 1969, 1973, and 1977. One quarter of all mathematics courses currently taught in public 4-year colleges are remedial courses, an increase of 72% from 1975 and 1980. In the area of literacy, as measured by simple tests of practical reading, writing, and comprehension, 23 million American adults are functionally illiterate; as are 13 percent of all 17-year olds, with the incidence among minority youth three times as great. Scholastic Aptitude Test (SAT) scores, both verbal and math, have continued to decline since 1963, and many high school seniors cannot demonstrate such expected advanced skills as drawing inferences from written material, writing persuasive essays, and solving multi-step mathematics problems.

(2) The needs of an "information society" are rapidly requiring a workforce that can use computers and other technologies. Skills in information processing are becoming entry-level requirements for a great number of jobs and for such personal services as banking. The motivating influence of computer technology provides a powerful tool for training students to structure problems in logical form, to express ideas as algorithms, to simulate real systems as computer models, to process text, to construct graphs, and to search data bases. In addition, schools can help equalize educational opportunity by providing all students with access to computer training that might otherwise be available only to more limited segments of society. Teacher training, which prepares teachers to meet the specific needs of students who are adapting to electronic learning, is an integral part of the instructional process and a function that is essential to all effective school technology programs.

(3) Telecommunications technologies hold promise for providing interactive electronic instruction over distance and at times convenient to the learner. Quality teaching and other forms of quality instruction can be replicated in quantity and distributed widely via videotape, videotex, videodisc, computer program, and many audio techniques. Thus, projects using communications technology to reach extended audiences can serve as valuable resources for teacher training or reaching isolated populations such as rural, homebound, or handicapped students with quality instruction that would otherwise be difficult to obtain.

(4) There are highly regarded educational technology programs in school districts throughout the Nation which are using microcomputers and telecommunications systems to enhance learning and bring about educational improvement. Many school districts need guidance in obtaining and utilizing technology to provide students with basic and advanced skills, as well as knowledge of computers. It is appropriate to build upon the successes of existing programs and strengthen their capacity to serve as resources that will help address instructional needs nationwide.

(5) For the most part, particular educational technology programs currently depend on decisions made by

State educational agencies and local educational agencies. To assist those State and local educators who need to decide about the nature and extent of their use of microcomputers and other technologies in education, the competition described in this notice will fund projects that provide information and examples of the efficacy of technology in meeting local education objectives.

To address these needs and to stimulate national interest in them, the Secretary is inviting applications for the purpose of enhancing elementary and secondary student achievement through the use of technology, as well as assisting teacher training in technology in the classroom, and disseminating the results of this experience.

Eligible Applicants

State and local educational agencies, institutions of higher education, and other public and private agencies, organizations, and institutions may apply for a grant. An applicant may apply singly or jointly with another eligible applicant, as provided in 34 CFR 75.127 through 75.129.

Funding Priority

Under the Secretary's Discretionary Program, the Secretary has selected as one funding priority the area of technology. Specifically, under the competition described in this notice the Secretary will fund only projects which utilize technology to enhance elementary and secondary student achievement in science, mathematics, reading, writing, or combinations thereof; provide relevant teacher training to support the project; and disseminate results of this experience.

For purposes of this notice, the term "technology" refers to microcomputers and other interactive electronic learning systems which deliver instruction. Examples of other eligible systems are interactive broadcast television or teletext, interactive cable television, microcomputer/videodisc combinations, or systems such as videotex, which combine telephone and television for interactive instruction. Telecommunications systems employing microwave in an interactive mode, perhaps utilizing the Instructional Television Fixed Service (ITFS), are also eligible for these awards. However, the main focus of these demonstrations must be on the end users of such systems; that is, students and the progress they make in science, mathematics, reading, and writing as a result of using electronic learning devices.

Types of Activities to be Funded

The underlying purposes of the competition described in this notice are to develop projects that can serve as demonstrations and to make the resulting knowledge of such practical applications available to other schools, districts, and education decision makers.

Accordingly, the Secretary will fund exemplary projects that:

(a) Build upon programs that are currently operating in elementary or secondary schools, utilizing systems similar to those referred to above, that show potential for enabling students to access information, to achieve an understanding of material presented, and to apply knowledge gained with a high degree of competence. Such projects must incorporate to the fullest extent the *unique features* of the technology used. For instance, microcomputer projects should focus on programming that takes advantage of simulation, gaming, and problem-solving techniques of instruction, as well as the more familiar tutorial and drill-and-practice programming. Applicants must demonstrate knowledge of sources of programming and familiarity with courseware, and must have a developed process for selecting quality material.

(b) Are designed to help students acquire computer literacy. For purposes of the competition described in this notice, the term "computer literacy" means elementary knowledge of computer applications and the ability to operate a microcomputer for learning purposes, including an awareness of computer languages.

(c) Include an ongoing staff development component which assures that all staff are competent in the technologies which are used to meet the goals of the project. The Secretary encourages applicants to submit a plan for inservice credit or other incentives for teacher participation.

(d) Include plans for disseminating information on the operation of the projects, taking into consideration the use of electronic mail networks or other technological means of delivery, as well as distributing information in print.

(e) Provide for visitation and orientation programs for school faculties, other education professionals, or State education officials. The Secretary requires a final case study of the project, suitable for widespread distribution and utilization by schools, districts, and education decision makers.

(f) Provide for the conduct of an evaluation of the demonstration project in accordance with 34 CFR 75.590. The Secretary encourages applicants in planning for this evaluation, to adhere to standards that will enable the project to meet requirements of the Department of Education's Joint Dissemination Review Panel (JDRP).

Limitations on Costs

Funding under these awards will cover the cost of planning, administering, evaluating, and disseminating information about the project. Because resources are limited, the Secretary encourages applicants to use existing resources to the fullest extent possible, and to use the funds awarded under this competition to supplement other sources of funding. For the same reason, the Secretary is strictly limiting the amount of each award that may be used to purchase equipment. Equipment purchases for these projects are limited to *ten percent* of the amount of the award. In addition, funding will not include stipends or travel costs for training, but applicants must include in the budget the cost of travel to Washington, D.C., for two persons for a 1½-day project orientation meeting.

Selection Criteria and Procedures

(a) In evaluating applications, the Secretary uses the selection criteria set forth in 34 CFR 75.210 in which the maximum possible point score for all the criteria is 100 points. The minimum value for each criterion is as follows:

1. *Meeting the purposes of the authorizing statute.* (30 Points)
2. *Extent of need for the project.* (20 points)
3. *Plan of operation.* (15 points)
4. *Quality of key personnel.* (7 points)
5. *Budget and cost effectiveness.* (5 points)
6. *Evaluation plan.* (5 points)
7. *Adequacy of resources.* (3 points)

Furthermore, 34 CFR 75.210(c) authorizes the Secretary to distribute an additional 15 points among the criteria listed above. The Secretary distributes these additional points as follows:

4. *Quality of key personnel.* 3 additional points will be added for a possible total of 10 points.
6. *Evaluation plan.* 5 additional points will be added for a possible total of 10 points.
7. *Adequacy of resources.* 7 additional points will be added for a possible total of 10 points.

(b) The Secretary uses the procedures set forth in 34 CFR 75.215 through 75.222 to select applications for funding.

(c) After evaluating the applications according to the criteria set forth above, the Secretary determines whether or not the most highly rated applications are

broadly and equitably distributed throughout the Nation. The Secretary may select other applications for funding if doing so would improve the geographical distribution of project funded through the competition described in this notice.

Private School Children Participation

To receive a grant under the competition described in this notice, a local educational agency must comply with the provisions of section 586 of the ECIA, governing equitable participation of private school children in the purposes and benefits of Chapter 2. Applicants are referred to the regulations implementing Chapter 2 of the ECIA published in the **Federal Register** on November 19, 1982 (47 FR 52368 as a guide to the extent and nature of the required consultation with private school officials and the required provision of benefits to private school children.

Length of Awards

Applicants may apply for funding for a project not to exceed 24 months in duration. Support for a second year will be subject to availability of funds and other factors set forth in 34 CFR 75.253.

Available Funds

It is estimated that ten awards averaging $160,000 each, will be made in the first year of funding. This estimate assumes that applications of satisfactory quality will be received. This estimate does not bind the Department of Education to a specific number of grants or to the amount of any grant unless that amount is otherwise specified by statute or regulations. Applicants should be aware that the availability of funds for this competition is being contested in litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division (*United States of America* v. *Board of Education of the City of Chicago*, Docket No. 80 C 5124). Any obligations of these funds are currently enjoined by the court.

Application Information

Applications are required to be prepared and submitted in accordance with 34 CFR Part 75. Application forms may be obtained by writing to: Director, Division of Educational Technology, U.S. Department of Education, 1200 19th Street, NW. (Brown Building, Room 711), Washington, D.C. 20208. The Secretary requires an applicant to submit an original and two copies of its application to the foregoing address, and the Secretary strongly urges that the narrative portion of the application not exceed 20 pages in length.

Instructions for Transmittal of Applications

Applications Delivered by Mail

An application sent by mail must be addressed to the Department of Education, Application Control Center, Attention: 84.122E, Washington, D.C. 20202. Applications will be accepted only if they are mailed on or before July 29, 1983.

An applicant must show one of the following as proof of mailing:

(a) A legibly dated U.S. Postal Service Postmark.

(b) A legible mail receipt with the date of mailing stamped by the U.S. Postal Service.

(c) A dated shipping label, invoice, or receipt from a commercial carrier.

(d) Any other proof of mailing acceptable to the U.S. Secretary of Education.

If an application is sent through the U.S. Postal Service, the Secretary does not accept the following as proof of mailing: (1) a private metered postmark; or (2) a mail receipt that is not dated by the U.S. Postal Service.

An applicant should note that the U.S. Postal Service does not uniformly provide a dated postmark. Before relying on this method, an applicant should check with its local post office.

An applicant is encouraged to use registered or at least first-class mail. Each applicant whose grant application does not meet the closing date in this notice will be notified that the application will not be considered and that the application will be returned.

Applications Delivered by Hand

An application that is hand-delivered must be taken to the U.S. Department of Education, Application Control Center, Regional Office Building 3, Room 5673, 7th and D Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20202.

The Application Control Center will accept a hand-delivered application between 8:00 a.m. and 4:30 p.m., Eastern Daylight Saving Time, daily except Saturdays, Sundays, and Federal holidays. An application that is hand-delivered will not be accepted after 4:30 p.m. on July 29, 1983.

Applicable Regulations

Regulations applicable to this program are the Education Department General Administrative Regulations (EDGAR) (34 CFR Parts 74, 75, 77, and 78).

Waiver of Rulemaking

In accordance with section 431 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), 20 U.S.C. 1221 *et seq.*, and the Administration Procedure Act, 5 U.S.C. 553, it is the practice of the Department of Education to provide an opportunity for public comment on proposed rules before issuing them in final form. However, the Secretary of Education has determined that proposed rulemaking would be impracticable and contrary to the public interest and is thereby waiving the opportunity for public comment, in accordance with 5 U.S.C. 553(b)(3)(B).

The requirements that establish the funding priority, the activities to be funded, the limitation on costs, the selection criterion on geographical distribution, and other matters apply only to the competition for awards that must be made in the current fiscal year, which ends September 30, 1983. The Secretary intends to make awards in advance of that date if possible to permit planning by grantees for use of funds during the 1983–84 school year. Funds reserved for the competition described in this notice were appropriated in the second continuing resolution, Pub. L. 97–377, enacted December 20, 1982. Prior to that date, the Secretary did not expect that funds would be available for this purpose. Given the time needed to provide an opportunity for public comment and for the statutorily required Congressional review period under section 431(d) of GEPA, there is insufficient time to follow rulemaking procedures and still obligate funds on a timely basis in this fiscal year. Therefore, it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to follow rulemaking procedures.

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance—84.122E, Secretary's Discretionary Program)

Dated: June 24, 1983.

T. H. Bell,

Secretary of Education.

[FR Doc. 83-17880 Filed 6-28-83; 10:41 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-M

Reader Aids

Federal Register

Vol. 48, No. 126

Wednesday, June 29, 1983

INFORMATION AND ASSISTANCE

PUBLICATIONS

Code of Federal Regulations

CFR Unit	202-523-3419 523-3517
General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Incorporation by reference	523-4534
Printing schedules and pricing information	523-3419

Federal Register

Corrections	523-5237
Daily Issue Unit	523-5237
General information, index, and finding aids	523-5227
Privacy Act	523-4534
Public Inspection Desk	523-5215
Scheduling of documents	523-3187

Laws

Indexes	523-5282
Law numbers and dates	523-5282 523-5266
Slip law orders (GPO)	275-3030

Presidential Documents

Executive orders and proclamations	523-5233
Public Papers of the President	523-5235
Weekly Compilation of Presidential Documents	523-5235

United States Government Manual 523-5230

SERVICES

Agency services	523-5237
Automation	523-3408
Library	523-4986
Magnetic tapes of FR issues and CFR volumes (GPO)	275-2867
Public Inspection Desk	523-5215
Special Projects	523-4534
Subscription orders (GPO)	783-3238
Subscription problems (GPO)	275-3054
TTY for the deaf	523-5229

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES, JUNE

CFR PARTS AFFECTED DURING JUNE

At the end of each month, the Office of the Federal Register publishes separately a list of CFR Sections Affected (LSA), which lists parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

245 28433
255 29686
570 29206
590 29206
595 29206
600 29206
720 29206
841 29206
870 29206
880 29206
881 29206
883 29206
885 29206
891 29206
1800 24873

Proposed Rules:
8 27529
841 29003

25 CFR
249 28250

Proposed Rules:
250 29004
700 24734

26 CFR
31 28252
601 24668

Proposed Rules:
1 ..... 24736, 25224, 25228, 29538
52 ..... 29007, 29011

27 CFR
21 24672
212 24672

Proposed Rules:
4 27782
5 27782
7 27782
9 ..... 24737, 29539, 29541, 29882

28 CFR
0 ..... 25183, 28633
30 29238
42 29686
540 24622
550 24623
551 24623

Proposed Rules:
547 24626
570 24626
571 24626

29 CFR
17 29250
1691 29686
1625 26434
1910 29687

Proposed Rules:
1910 26962
1952 26836
2670 27092
2675 27092

30 CFR
46 29250
226 26763
256 26778
260 24873
701 29802
784 24638
785 ..... 24638, 29802
816 24638
817 24638
818 24638
822 29802
886 27363
916 24874
931 28086
934 28986
944 24876
946 ..... 25184, 28088

Proposed Rules:
57 27024
250 26837
901 24739
913 ..... 24741, 27550
917 ..... 26839, 27101, 29544
936 24928
938 ..... 27102, 27551, 28286
942 25229
946 ..... 26624, 27552, 29545
948 ..... 24393, 27784, 28480

32 CFR
1–39 ..... 28826, 28908, 29839
158 29839
199 28438
242b 26451
243 29140
505 29844
518 29688
634 28252
806b 24878
823 27540
984 29687
988 29688

33 CFR
81 28634
100 ..... 25186, 26599–26602, 27540, 28637
115 29479
117 ..... 26602, 28637, 29479
165 25187
384 29144

Proposed Rules:
117 ..... 26625, 28674
162 ..... 25231, 27103, 27553
204 29884
207 29884

34 CFR
74 30080
75 ..... 29158, 30080
76 29158
77 30080
78 30080
79 29158
668 26779
682 24584

35 CFR
101 27399
103 27399
253 27399

36 CFR
7 ..... 29844, 29846
50 28058
212 28638
219 29122
222 25187
251 ..... 28638, 29122
254 28638
262 26603

Proposed Rules:
7 27553
13 26319

37 CFR
2 27225

Proposed Rules:
1 26319
5 26319

38 CFR
1 ..... 27400, 29847
3 27036
36 ..... 27226, 27401
40 29404

Proposed Rules:
17 26627
21 ..... 29714, 29716

39 CFR
10 ..... 27227, 28267
111 29850
601 28268
775 29370
776 29370
778 29370

Proposed Rules:
111 ..... 27103, 27267, 28116
265 28481

40 CFR
1 27227
29 29288
35 29288
40 29288
51 29288
52 ..... 24362, 24689, 28269, 28271, 28988, 29479, 29689, 29690, 29850
55 28639
60 ..... 28271–28273, 29691
61 ..... 28272, 28273
62 29853
80 29692
81 28988
144 27039
146 27039
162 26451
171 29854
173 28439
180 ..... 24364, 24365, 24689, 26452, 28440–28442, 29855, 29863
204 27039
205 27039
211 27039
255 29288
271 ..... 27040, 28273, 28988 29864
712 ..... 27041, 28443
716 24366
720 27043
763 27041

Proposed Rules:
Ch. I 24930
51 26840
52 ..... 26841, 28288, 29716 28290, 29012
65 24930
66 26627
67 26627
81 ..... 24393, 29887
86 24932
145 ..... 26842, 29888
180 ..... 24394, 24396, 26629, 29718, 29889
271 25236
403 24933
405 24742
406 24742
407 24742
408 24742
409 24742
411 24742
412 24742
422 24742
424 24742
426 24742
429 24742
430 24742
431 24742
432 24742
433 24742
440 24742
600 26698
610 24397
716 28483
764 28292

41 CFR
Ch. 7 25188
Ch. 101 27541
1–15 26453
3–3 26605
9–4 29865
9–7 29865
9–15 29865
15–1 28274
101–6 29322
101–29 25196
101–41 ..... 27235, 27724
101–45 ..... 24878, 27236
101–47 ..... 24879, 25199
101–49 27404
105–53 25200

42 CFR
51c 29188
52b 29188
55a 29188
56 29188
57 25064
66 24879
122 29188
431 29450
433 29480

Proposed Rules:
57 ..... 25071, 25072
431 28089

43 CFR
9 29224
23 27008
1600 26314
3200 ..... 24367, 28277
3210 24367
3220 24367
3240 24367
3250 24367
3600 27008
3610 27008
3620 27008

Public Land Orders:
2301 (Revoked in part by PLO 6398) 29696
2573 (Revoked in part by PLO 63960) 29695

4783 (Revoked in part by PLO 6399)..... 29696
6377..... 26780
6378..... 28277
6391..... 25205
6392..... 26315
6393..... 29693
6394..... 29693
6395..... 29694
6396..... 29695
6397..... 29694
6398..... 29696
6399..... 29696
6400..... 29697
6401..... 29697

Proposed Rules:

422..... 29890
3000..... 26320
3100..... 26320
3130..... 28117
3900..... 28292
5400..... 29890
8370..... 26485
8560..... 27366

44 CFR

4..... 29308
9..... 29308
59..... 29308
60..... 29308
64..... 24369, 26780, 26796, 27248, 27727, 28277
65..... 26605, 27247, 27249, 28277
67..... 24370, 26784, 26796, 27404
70..... 27237-27246, 28277
76..... 29308
205..... 28990
300..... 29308
302..... 29308

Proposed Rules:

11..... 27791
67..... 24743, 26629, 26630, 27414, 27555
302..... 27105

45 CFR

100..... 29188
206..... 28398
224..... 29188
232..... 28398
233..... 28398
234..... 28398
238..... 28398
240..... 28398
660..... 29358
1152..... 29344
1180..... 27727
1207..... 26802
1208..... 26808
1209..... 26815
1233..... 29278
1351..... 29188
1626..... 28089

Proposed Rules:

1607..... 29718
1627..... 28485

46 CFR

32..... 29486
67..... 29486
221..... 27044
310..... 27044

Proposed Rules:

93..... 29551
125..... 26631
126..... 26631
127..... 26631
128..... 26631
129..... 26631
130..... 26631
131..... 26631
132..... 26631
133..... 26631
134..... 26631
135..... 26631
136..... 26631
542..... 27112
543..... 27112
544..... 27112

47 CFR

Ch. I..... 27044
0..... 24383, 26606
1..... 24884, 27182
2..... 27054, 27541, 28445, 29865
21..... 27251
22..... 26820, 27182, 27251, 29869
23..... 27251
31..... 27072
73..... 24383, 24898, 26453, 26608, 27054, 27182, 27545, 27546, 28445-28458, 29486, 29872
74..... 24383, 27406
76..... 27054
81..... 27182, 28640
83..... 28640
87..... 27182
90..... 26617, 27182, 28279, 29512
94..... 27182
95..... 24884
97..... 26455, 26606, 29873

Proposed Rules:

Ch. I..... 28674, 29891
2..... 24945, 26461
22..... 24945
61..... 28292
63..... 28292
68..... 29014
69..... 26632
73..... 24945, 24949, 26462-26471, 27562-27581, 28294, 28295, 28487-28499, 29551, 29552, 29917-29924
74..... 24945, 29553
76..... 26472
90..... 27797
94..... 24950, 27113
96..... 24953
97..... 24954, 26647

49 CFR

Ch. X..... 27253
1..... 27546
17..... 29264
25..... 29264
171..... 27674, 28095
172..... 27674, 28095
173..... 27674, 28095
174..... 27674
176..... 27674, 28095
177..... 27674, 28095
178..... 27674, 28095
179..... 27674
192..... 25206
195..... 25206
266..... 29264
387..... 29698
450..... 29264
501..... 27547
571..... 24690, 24717, 25209, 27547
1011..... 26456
1033..... 24386, 28992, 29700
1307..... 29875
1039..... 24900, 26822, 27254
1152..... 27547
1153..... 24386
1162..... 24388
1175..... 26317, 28281
1176..... 26317, 28281
1307..... 24388

Proposed Rules:

Ch. X..... 24397, 29925
25..... 26649
172..... 26650
173..... 25236, 26650
179..... 25236
571..... 24751, 25237, 27583, 29560
1102..... 29024
1152..... 27269, 27584
1155..... 27271
1186..... 26485

50 CFR

17..... 28460
20..... 26457
250..... 26621
260..... 24901
285..... 27745
301..... 27073
371..... 24902
401..... 29126
424..... 24718, 24903
611..... 24719, 27075, 29703
652..... 29518
655..... 29703
656..... 29703
657..... 29703
674..... 27080

Proposed Rules:

17..... 26488, 28500, 28504 29929
20..... 27799
23..... 26651
402..... 29990
424..... 27273
611..... 25238
646..... 26843
661..... 26653
671..... 27807
674..... 24751
675..... 25238

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

The following agencies have agreed to publish all documents on two assigned days of the week (Monday/Thursday or Tuesday/Friday). This is a voluntary program. (See OFR NOTICE 41 FR 32914, August 6, 1976.) Documents normally scheduled for publication on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday
DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS		DOT/SECRETARY	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/FNS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/REA		DOT/FAA	USDA/REA
DOT/FHWA	USDA/SCS		DOT/FHWA	USDA/SCS
DOT/FRA	MSPB/OPM		DOT/FRA	MSPB/OPM
DOT/MA	LABOR		DOT/MA	LABOR
DOT/NHTSA	HHS/FDA		DOT/NHTSA	HHS/FDA
DOT/RSPA			DOT/RSPA	
DOT/SLSDC			DOT/SLSDC	
DOT/UMTA			DOT/UMTA	

Note: The Office of the Federal Register proposes to terminate the formal program of agency publication on assigned days of the week. See 48 FR 19283, April 28, 1983.

List of Public Laws

Note: No public bills which have become law were received by the Office of the Federal Register for inclusion in today's **List of Public Laws.**

Last Listing June 24, 1983

UPDATED EDITION NOW AVAILABLE



For those of you who must keep informed about Presidential proclamations and Executive orders, there is a convenient reference source that will make researching these documents much easier.

Arranged by subject matter, this edition of the *Codification* contains proclamations and Executive orders that were issued or amended during the period January 20, 1961, through January 20, 1981, and which have a continuing effect on the public. For those documents that have been affected by other proclamations or Executive orders, the codified text presents the amended version. Therefore, a reader can use the *Codification* to determine the latest text of a document without having to "reconstruct" it through extensive research.

Special features include a comprehensive index and a table listing each proclamation and Executive order issued during the 1961–1981 period, along with any amendments, an indication of its current status, and, where applicable, its location in this volume.

Published by the Office of the Federal Register,
National Archives and Records Service,
General Services Administration

Order from Superintendent of Documents,
U.S. Government Printing Office,
Washington, D.C. 20402

MAIL ORDER FORM To:

Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402

Enclosed is $ _____ ☐ *check,* ☐ *money order, or charge to my*

Deposit Account No. ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐–☐ *Order No.* _____

master charge

VISA®

Credit Card Orders Only

Total charges $ _____. Fill in the boxes below:

Credit Card No. ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐

Expiration Date Month/Year ☐☐☐☐

Master Charge Interbank No. ☐☐☐☐

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	
Quantity	Charges
..... Enclosed	.....
..... To be mailed	.....
..... Subscriptions	.....
Postage	.....
Foreign handling	.....
MMOB	.....
OPNR	.....
..... UPNS	
..... Discount	
..... Refund	

Please send me _____ *copies of the* ***Codification of Presidential Proclamations and Executive Orders*** *at $10.00 per copy. Stock No. 022-002-00097-0.*

NAME—FIRST, LAST

COMPANY NAME OR ADDITIONAL ADDRESS LINE

STREET ADDRESS

CITY STATE ZIP CODE

(or) COUNTRY

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE