

Evolving wikitext: Embracing incrementalism

**S.Subramanya Sastry & C.Scott Ananian
Wikimedia Foundation**



**WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM**

Please add your ideas and suggestions to the etherpad:

<https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/wikimania2019wikitext>



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Parsing Team Mission

- **Input:** Advance wikitext as a language
 - Easier to write, faster to parse, less error prone
- **Output:** Make wikitext content easier to analyze
 - Expose wikitext semantics in well-specified output
- **Parsers:** Unify parsers
 - Same parser for reads as well as edits

Today's focus

- **Input: Advance wikitext as a language**
 - Easier to write, faster to parse, less error prone
- **Output: Make wikitext content easier to analyze**
 - Expose wikitext semantics in well-specified output
- **Parsers: Unify parsers**
 - Same parser for reads as well as edits

Past experience



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Example (2012)

- **No breaking change (new feature):** Lua templating engine
 - Nothing to break
 - Templates gradually adopted Lua
 - Wikitext-based templates still around!

(Predates current Parsing Team, but still relevant)

Example (2017)

- **Minor breaking change:** Language Converter fixes
 - Cleaned up edge cases and fixed longstanding bugs
 - Searched dumps to identify pages that could break
 - Community-led effort to fix these pages
 - ~3 months from start to finish

Example (2018)

- **Big breaking change:** Tidy → RemexHtml
 - HTML4 → HTML5 transition
 - Took ~3 years from start to finish
 - Could have been done faster with tighter planning but not that much more
 - Lots of QA tooling + Linting tools to aid editors

**Making changes to
wikitext can be hard!**



**WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM**

Constraints

- Huge corpus of revisions on wikimedia wikis
- Established workflows of editors
- Wikitext-based tools (bots, gadgets, etc.)
- All the 3rd party wikis and their content

What we know

- Big breaking changes are hard
- Significant syntax changes are especially difficult
- QA and change management tools very important!
- Hard to roll out changes quickly
- Easier to add new features than change existing ones

Strategy

- Hard to go to a “wikitext 2.0”
 - It becomes a big all-or-nothing gamble
- Better to make “incremental” changes one at a time
 - Changes build on each other
 - Learn and evolve a repeatable change process

**Incremental changes
add up over time!**



**WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM**

Changes in the pipeline



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Some proposals

- Heredoc syntax for template uses
- Balanced templates
 - Refinement / Generalization: Typed templates
- Parsing scopes for page fragments:
 - Sections, lists, tables, talk page comments, etc.

Improving template uses with heredoc syntax



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Example

```
{{tablestart|class="shiny"}}  
| Hello || wiki = x  
{{tableend}}
```

```
{{table|class="shiny"|  
{{!}} Hello {{!}}{{!}} wiki &#61; x  
}}
```

Example

```
{{tablestart|class="shiny"}}  
| Hello || wiki = x  
{{tableend}}
```

```
{{table|class="shiny"|<<<  
| Hello || wiki = x  
>>>}}
```



Benefits

- Introduces a nested parsing context / scope
 - Reduces need for escaping and makes template args easier to read, especially long args
 - Makes it easier to generate well-balanced output
 - Syntax can be useful more broadly

Improving template semantics



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Templates today

- Generate wikitext fragments, not well-formed output
- Can interact with page wikitext in unexpected ways
- Implications
 - **Usability:** Hard to reason about consistently for humans
 - **Tooling:** Makes it difficult for tools to manipulate a wiki-page
 - **Performance:** Independent parsing of page chunks is “not possible”

```
'''foo {{tpl}} bar''' baz
```

foo will be bolded. Is **bar** going to be bolded?

Depends ...

Yes if `{{tpl}}` is `{{1x|a}}` or `{{1x|"a"}}` or `{{1x|}}`, for ex.

No if `{{tpl}}` is `{{1x|"a}}` or `{{1x|""a"}}` or `{{1x|}}`, for ex.

The **1x** template just prints its parameters

```
'''foo {{tpl}} bar''' baz
```

foo will be bolded. Is **bar** going to be bolded?

Let us say `{{tpl}}` was `{{1x|'''a'''}}`

Will **a** be bolded?

No!

Not hypothetical - editors on multiple wikis encountered something similar during Tidy replacement while fixing Linter-flagged wikitext issues.

```
'''foo {{tpl}} bar''' baz
```

foo will be bolded. Is **bar** going to be bolded?

Let us say `{{tpl}}` was `{{1x|'''a'''}}`

Expectation: **bar** and **a** would both be bold!

Reality: No! Only possible if wikitext had *independent parsing / DOM scopes* without non-local effects

Balanced templates



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Draft proposal

- Templates opt-in
 - Parser treats output as DOM, not wikitext
 - ⇒ all tags are closed within the template output
- No syntax changes
 - Article authors unaffected; only template authors affected

Draft proposal

- Templates declare how to “balance” output HTML
 - `inline` HTML, `block` HTML, `table-cell`, etc.
 - Parser enforces semantics at use sites
 - If inline, all block tags are stripped
 - Other such fixes. Ex: `<a>-inside-<a>` scenarios

```
'''foo {{tpl}} bar''' baz
```

Let us say `{{tpl}}` declares **balance**: **inline**

Will **bar** be bolded?

YES! Always .. no matter what `{{tpl}}` returns

Let us say `{{tpl}}` generates `'''a'''`

Will **a** be bolded?

YES! Always no matter what the page has

Benefits

- Independent parsing:
 - Article page & templates are decoupled
- Correctness
 - Errors don't leak out
- Performance
 - When a template is edited, its output can be updated in pages without an expensive reparse of all those pages

Typed templates



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Draft proposal

- Generalization of previous idea
 - `block`, `inline`, `table-cell` can be considered output types
 - Expand beyond HTML: `string`, `CSS`, `structured data`, etc.
 - Maybe expand to abstract types with which you associate other resources like javascript, styles, editing hooks, domain types, etc.
- Implications:
 - Will lead to template arguments beyond strings

Other ideas?



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM

Draft proposal

- Parsing scopes: apply “balancing” notion beyond templates to other page fragments
 - sections, lists, paragraphs, talk page threads, talk page replies ...
- Main benefit:
 - Markup errors are contained to the fragment
 - Potential for performance enhancement

Your ideas!

Some possibilities to slot your ideas:

- Semantic changes
- New syntax
- Syntactic sugar for existing syntax
- Syntactic sugar for boiler-plate code

Add here: <https://etherpad.wikimedia.org/p/wikimania2019wikitext>

**And that is how we
get to “wikitext 2.0”.
One step at a time!**



**WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM**

THANK YOU!
Questions?



WIKIMANIA
STOCKHOLM