

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY CAS No. 133-90-4

NCI-CG-TR-25

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE **Public Health Service** National Institutes of Health

**Library** Walfonel Instituctions of Moekin Dathcoda, Rieryland 20014

U.S. Mational cancer Institute "Carrinogeneris technical import series

BIOASSAY OF

CHLORAMBEN

FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch Carcinogenesis Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health Bethesda, Maryland 20014

DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 77-825

RC 268.5 1155 110.25 1977

### BIOASSAY OF CHLORAMBEN FOR POSSIBLE CARCINOGENICITY

### Carcinogenesis Program Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention National Cancer Institute National Institutes of Health

<u>CONTRIBUTORS</u>: This report presents the results of the bioassay of chloramben for possible carcinogenicity, conducted for the Carcinogen Bioassay and Program Resources Branch, Carcinogenesis Program, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute (NCI), Bethesda, Maryland. The bioassay was conducted by Gulf South Research Institute, New Iberia, Louisiana, initially under direct contract to NCI and currently under a subcontract to Tracor Jitco, Inc., prime contractor for the NCI carcinogenesis bioassay program.

The experimental design was determined by Drs. J. H. Weisburger<sup>1,2</sup> and R. R. Bates<sup>1,3</sup>; the doses were selected by Drs. T. E. Shellenberger<sup>4,5</sup>, J. H. Weisburger, and R. R. Bates. Animal treatment and observation were supervised by Drs. T. E. Shellenberger and H. P. Burchfield<sup>4</sup>, with the technical assistance of Ms. D. H. Monceaux<sup>4</sup> and Mr. D. Broussard<sup>4</sup>.

Histopathology was performed by Drs. E. Bernal<sup>4</sup> and B. Buratto<sup>4</sup> at Gulf South Research Institute, and the diagnoses included in this report represent the interpretation of these pathologists. Pathologists from NCI and Tracor Jitco have reviewed selected slides and concur with the overall pathologic evaluation of the study.

Animal pathology tables and survival tables were compiled by EG&G Mason Research Institute<sup>6</sup>. Statistical analyses were performed by Dr. J. R. Joiner<sup>7</sup>, using methods selected for the bioassay program by Dr. J. J. Gart<sup>8</sup>. Chemicals used in this bioassay were analyzed under the direction of Dr. H. P. Burchfield, and the analytical results were reviewed by Dr. S. S. Olin<sup>7</sup>.

**iii** 

This report was prepared at Tracor Jitco under the direction of NCI. Those responsible for the report at Tracor Jitco were Dr. Marshall Steinberg<sup>7</sup>, Director of the Bioassay Program; Dr. J. F. Robens<sup>7</sup>, toxicologist; Ms. L. A. Waitz<sup>7</sup> and Ms. Y. E. Presley<sup>7</sup>, technical writers; and Dr. E. W. Gunberg<sup>7</sup>, technical editor.

The following scientists at the National Cancer Institute were responsible for evaluating the bioassay experiment, interpreting the results, and reporting the findings:

> Dr. Kenneth C. Chu Dr. Cipriano Cueto, Jr. Dr. J. Fielding Douglas Dr. Dawn G. Goodman Dr. Richard A. Griesemer Dr. Thomas W. Orme Dr. Robert A. Squire<sup>9</sup> Dr. Jerrold M. Ward

- <sup>1</sup>Carcinogenesis Program, Divison of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>2</sup>Now with the Naylor Dana Institute for Disease Prevention, American Health Foundation, Hammond House Road, Valhalla, New York.
- <sup>3</sup>Now with the Office of the Commissioner, Food and Drug Administration, Rockville, Maryland.
- <sup>4</sup>Gulf South Research Institute, Atchafalaya Basin Laboratories, P. O. Box 1177, New Iberia, Louisiana.
- <sup>5</sup>Now with the National Center for Toxicological Research, Jefferson, Arkansas.
- <sup>6</sup>EG&G Mason Research Institute, 1530 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.
- <sup>7</sup>Tracor Jitco, Inc., 1776 East Jefferson Street, Rockville, Maryland.

- <sup>8</sup>Mathematical Statistics and Applied Mathematics Section, Field Studies and Statistics Branch, Division of Cancer Cause and Prevention, National Cancer Institute, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
- <sup>9</sup>Now with the Division of Comparative Medicine, Johns Hopkins University, School of Medicine, Traylor Building, Baltimore, Maryland.

#### SUMMARY

A bioassay of technical-grade chloramben for possible carcinogenicity was conducted by administering the test material in feed to Osborne-Mendel rats and B6C3F1 mice. Groups of 50 rats and 50 mice of both sexes were administered chloramben at one of two doses, either 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. The rats were treated for 80 weeks, then observed for 32 or 33 weeks; the mice were treated for 80 weeks, then observed for 11 or 12 weeks. Matched controls consisted of groups of 10 untreated rats and 10 untreated mice of each sex; pooled controls, used for statistical evaluation, consisted of these matched controls combined with 75 untreated male and 75 untreated female rats or 70 untreated male and 70 untreated female mice from similarly performed bioassays of six other test chemicals. Surviving rats were killed at 112 or 113 weeks; surviving mice were killed at 91 or 92 weeks.

Body weights and mortality of the treated animals were not markedly affected by chloramben under the conditions of the bioassay. The various clinical signs observed were common to both treated and control groups.

In male rats, hemangiomas occurred at a significantly higher incidence in the low-dose animals than in the pooled controls (controls 0/73, low-dose 5/48, P = 0.009). This lesion was not considered to be related to the administration of chloramben, since the tumor did not occur at a significantly higher incidence in the high-dose group than in the pooled-control group, and the incidences did not show a significant dose-related trend.

In both male and female mice, the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma showed significant dose-related trends using pooled controls (for males: controls 9/69, low-dose 16/48, high-dose 14/48, P = 0.029; for females: controls 2/67, low-dose 7/48, high-dose 10/50, P = 0.004). Direct comparisons showed significantly higher incidences of the tumor in the low-dose males (P = 0.008) and in the high-dose females (P = 0.003) than in the pooled controls. Probability levels of P = 0.028 in high-dose males and P = 0.027 in low-dose females were attained. In male mice, however, the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was

vii

considered to be only marginally associated with the administration of chloramben because of the variations in the spontaneous incidence of this lesion in male mice encountered at this laboratory.

In conclusion, under the conditions of this bioassay, there were no tumors in Osborne-Mendel rats that were significantly related to administration of the chemical. In B6C3F1 female mice, chloramben was carcinogenic, producing hepatocellular carcinomas in treated animals.

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Materials and Methods	3
	A. Chemical B. Dietary Preparation	3 3
	C. Animals D. Animal Maintenance E. Subebraria Studies	5
	F. Designs of Chronic Studies	7
	<ul><li>G. Clinical and Pathologic Examinations</li><li>H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses</li></ul>	10 11
III.	Results - Rats	17
	<ul> <li>A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)</li> <li>B. Survival (Rats)</li> <li>C. Pathology (Rats)</li> <li>D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)</li> </ul>	17 17 20 21
IV.	Results - Mice	23
	<ul> <li>A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)</li> <li>B. Survival (Mice)</li> <li>C. Pathology (Mice)</li> <li>D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)</li> </ul>	23 23 26 26
V.	Discussion	29
VI.	Bibliography	31

# APPENDIXES

Appendix A	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	33
Table Al	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	35
Table A2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	38

Page

Appendix B	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	41
Table Bl	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Male Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	43
Table B2	Summary of the Incidence of Neoplasms in Female Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	46
Appendix C	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	49
Table Cl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	51
Table C2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	55
Appendix D	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	59
Table Dl	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Male Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	61
Table D2	Summary of the Incidence of Nonneoplastic Lesions in Female Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	63
Appendix E	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	67
Table El	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	69
Table E2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	73
Appendix F	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	79
Table Fl	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	81

Table F2	Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	83				
Appendix G	Analysis of Formulated Diets for Concentrations of Chloramben	85				
	TABLES					
Table l	Design of Chloramben Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats	8				
Table 2Design of Chloramben Chronic Feeding Studiesin Mice						
	FIGURES					
Figure l	Growth Curves for Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	18				
Figure 2	Survival Curves for Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet	19				
Figure 3	Growth Curves for Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	24				
Figure 4	Survival Curves for Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet	25				



#### I. INTRODUCTION

Chloramben (CAS 133-90-4; NCI C00055) has been used since 1958 as preemergent herbicide to control shallow, germinating, а broadleaf weeds and annual grasses. Applied as a spray at the time of planting, chloramben remains effective in the soil for several weeks until crops have become well established (Amchem Products, 1976). It is currently registered for use in the cultivation of several vegetable crops. The residue tolerance on most of these vegetables is 0.1 ppm (EPA, 1974 and 1975). Chloramben is not known to be persistent in the environment (Edwards, 1976). Soils sampled 3 months after treatment showed detectable toxic residues (Burgis, 1972), although a no metabolite, N-(3-carboxy-2,5dichlorophenyl)glucosylamine, has been found in treated plants (Swanson et al., 1966). Chloramben is of low mammalian toxicity; the oral  $LD_{50}$  in rats is 5,260 mg/kg (Spencer, 1973). The chemical was selected for testing because its extensive use as a herbicide results in human exposure.



#### II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### A. Chemical

Chloramben, which is the generic name for 3-amino-2,5-dichlorobenzoic acid, was obtained in several batches from Amchem Products, Inc., Ambler, Pennsylvania, as technical-grade Amiben<sup>T.M.</sup> These batches were used sequentially, in the general order in which they were obtained. The purity of these batches, according to the manufacturer, ranged from 90-95%. Analyses at Gulf South Research Institute (melting point; elemental analysis; infrared, ultraviolet, nuclear magnetic resonance, and mass spectrometry; thin-layer and gas-liquid chromatography) confirmed the identity of these batches, and analyses were consistent with the manufacturer's assay. No attempt was made to identify or quantitate impurities. The chemical was stored at approximately 4°C in the original container.

### B. Dietary Preparation

All diets were formulated using finely ground Wayne<sup>®</sup> Lab Blox (Allied Mills, Inc., Chicago, Ill.) to which was added the required amount of chloramben for each dietary concentration. A given amount of the test material was first hand-mixed with an approximately equal amount of feed. This mixture was then added slowly with mechanical mixing to a larger quantity of feed to

give the desired concentration of the material. Acetone (Mallinckrodt Inc., St. Louis, Mo.) and corn oil (Louana<sup>®</sup>, Opelousas Refinery Co., Opelousas, La.) were then added to the feed, each in an amount corresponding to 2% of the final weight of feed. The diets were mixed mechanically for not less than 25 minutes to assure homogeneity of the mixture and evaporation of the acetone. Formulated diets were stored at approximately 17°C until used, but no longer than 1 week.

The stability of chloramben in feed was tested by determining the concentration of the chemical in formulated diets at intervals over a 7-day period. Diets containing 10,000 or 20,000 ppm chloramben showed no change in concentration on standing at ambient temperature for this period.

As a quality control test on the accuracy of preparation of the diets, the concentration of chloramben was determined in different batches of formulated diets during the chronic study. The results are summarized in Appendix G. At each dietary concentration, the mean of the analytical concentrations for the samples tested was within 0.5% of the theoretical concentration, and the coefficient of variation was 4.9%. Thus, the evidence indicates that the formulated diets were prepared accurately.

#### C. Animals

Rats and mice of both sexes, obtained through contracts of the Division of Cancer Treatment, National Cancer Institute, were used in these bioassays. The rats were of the Osborne-Mendel strain obtained from Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, Ohio, and the mice were B6C3F1 hybrids obtained from Charles River Breeding Laboratories, Inc., Wilmington, Massachusetts. Upon arrival at the laboratory, all animals were quarantined for an acclimation period (rats for 10 days, mice for 12 days) and were then assigned to control and test groups.

### D. Animal Maintenance

All animals were housed in temperature- and humidity-controlled rooms. The temperature range was 22-24°C, and the relative humidity was maintained at 40-70%. The air in each room was changed 10-12 times per hour. Fluorescent lighting provided illumination 10 hours per day. Food and water were supplied <u>ad</u> libitum.

The rats were housed individually in hanging galvanized steel mesh cages, and the mice were housed in plastic cages with filter bonnets, five per cage for females, and two or three per cage for males. Initially, rats were transferred once per week to clean cages; later in the study, cages were changed every 2 weeks.

Mice were transferred once per week to clean cages with filter bonnets; bedding used for the mice was Absorb-Dri<sup>®</sup> (Lab Products, Inc., Garfield, N.J.). For rats, absorbent sheets under the cages were changed three times per week. Feeder jars and water bottles were changed and sterilized three times per week.

Cages for control and treated mice were placed on separate racks in the same room. Animal racks for both species were rotated laterally once per week; at the same time, each cage was changed to a different position in the row within the same column. Rats receiving chloramben, along with their respective matched controls, were housed in a room by themselves. Mice receiving chloramben were maintained in a room housing mice administered chlorothalonil (CAS 1897-45-6), picloram (CAS 1918-02-1), or endrin (CAS 72-20-8), together with their respective matched controls.

### E. Subchronic Studies

Feeding studies using rats and mice were conducted to estimate the maximum tolerated doses of chloramben, on the basis of which low and high concentrations (hereinafter referred to as "low doses" and "high doses") were determined for administration in the chronic studies. In the subchronic studies, chloramben was added to the animal feed in twofold increasing concentrations,

ranging from 62.5 to 2,000 ppm for rats and 1,250 to 30,000 ppm for mice. Control and treated groups each consisted of five male and five female animals. The chemical was provided in feed to the treated groups for 6 weeks, followed by 2 weeks of observation. Because there were no deaths and no effects on body weights in the rats at 62.5 to 2,000 ppm, indicating that the maximum tolerated dose had not been reached, a second study was performed on the rats using doses ranging from 2,000 to 32,000 ppm.

At 16,000 ppm none of the rats died, and there was no effect on body weights; at 32,000 ppm, the treated animals lost weight. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using rats were set at 10,000 and 20,000 ppm.

There were no marked adverse effects on mice receiving dietary concentrations as high as 30,000 ppm. The low and high doses for the chronic studies using mice were set at 10,000 and 20,000 ppm, consistent with those set for rats.

#### F. Designs of Chronic Studies

The designs of the chronic studies are shown in tables 1 and 2. Since the numbers of animals in the matched-control groups were small, pooled-control groups also were used for statistical

Sex and	Initial	Chloramben	Time o	Time on Study	
Treatment Group	No. of <u>Animals</u> a	in Diet (ppm)	Treated (weeks)	Untreated <sup>b</sup> (weeks)	
Male					
Matched-Control	10	0		113	
Low-Dose	50	10,000 0	80	32	
High-Dose	50	20,000 0	80	33	
Female					
Matched-Control	10	0		113	
Low-Dose	50	10,000 0	80	33	
High-Dose	50	20,000 0	80	33	

Table 1. Design of Chloramben Chronic Feeding Studies in Rats

<sup>a</sup>All animals were 35 days of age when placed on study.

<sup>b</sup>When diets containing chloramben were discontinued, treated rats and their matched controls were fed diets without corn oil for 3 weeks, then control diets (2% corn oil added) for an additional 29 or 30 weeks.

Sex and	Initial	Chloramben	Time on Study	
Treatment Group	No. of <u>Animals</u> a	in Diet (ppm)	Treated (weeks)	Untreated <sup>b</sup> (weeks)
Male				
Matched-Control	10	0		91
Low-Dose	50	10,000 0	80	11-12
High-Dose	50	20,000 0	80	12
Female				
Matched-Control	10	0		91
Low-Dose	50	10,000 0	80	11
High-Dose	50	20,000 0	80	12

Table 2. Design of Chloramben Chronic Feeding Studies in Mice

<sup>a</sup>All animals were 35 days of age when placed on study.

<sup>b</sup>When diets containing chloramben were discontinued, treated mice and their matched controls received the control diets (2% corn oil added) until termination of the study.

comparisons. Matched controls from the current study of chloramben were combined with matched controls from studies performed on malathion (CAS 121-75-5), tetrachlorvinphos (CAS 961-11-5), toxaphene (CAS 8001-35-2), lindane (CAS 58-89-9), endrin, and chlorothalonil. The pooled controls for statistical tests using rats consisted of 75 males and 75 females; using mice, 70 males and 70 females. The studies of chemicals other than chloramben were also conducted at Gulf South Research Institute and overlapped the chloramben study by at least 1 year. The matched-control groups for the different test chemicals were of the same strain and from the same supplier, and they were examined by the same pathologists.

### G. <u>Clinical and Pathologic Examinations</u>

All animals were observed twice daily for signs of toxicity, weighed at regular intervals, and palpated for masses at each weighing. Animals that were moribund at the time of clinical examination were killed and necropsied.

The pathologic evaluation consisted of gross and microscopic examination of major tissues, major organs, and all gross lesions from killed animals and from animals found dead. The following tissues were examined microscopically: skin, lungs and bronchi, trachea, bone and bone marrow, spleen, lymph nodes, heart,

salivary gland, liver, gallbladder (mice), pancreas, stomach, small intestine, large intestine, kidney, urinary bladder, pituitary, adrenal, thyroid, parathyroid, mammary gland, prostate or uterus, testis or ovary, and brain. Occasionally, additional tissues were also examined microscopically. The different tissues were preserved in 10% buffered formalin, embedded in paraffin, sectioned, and stained with hematoxylin and eosin. Special staining techniques were utilized when indicated for more definitive diagnosis.

A few tissues from some animals were not examined, particularly from those animals that died early. Also, some animals were missing, cannibalized, or judged to be in such an advanced state of autolysis as to preclude histopathologic evaluation. Thus, the number of animals from which particular organs or tissues were examined microscopically varies, and does not necessarily represent the number of animals that were placed on study in each group.

### H. Data Recording and Statistical Analyses

Pertinent data on this experiment have been recorded in an automatic data processing system, the Carcinogenesis Bioassay Data System (Linhart et al., 1974). The data elements include descriptive information on the chemicals, animals, experimental design,

clinical observations, survival, animal weight, and individual pathologic results, as recommended by the International Union Against Cancer (Berenblum, 1969). Data tables were generated for verification of data transcription and for statistical review.

The data of the experiments in this bioassay program are subjected to the statistical analyses described in the subsequent paragraphs of this section. The analyses of the experimental results that bear on the possibility of carcinogenicity are discussed in the statistical narrative sections.

Probabilities of survival are estimated by the product-limit procedure of Kaplan and Meier (1958) and are presented in this report in the form of graphs. Animals are statistically censored as of the time they are missing or are dead due to other than natural causes; animals dying from natural causes are statistically uncensored. Statistical analyses for a possible dose-related effect on survival employ the method of Cox (1972) testing two groups for equality and Tarone's (1975)for extensions of Cox's methods for testing for a dose-related trend. One-tailed P values are reported for all tests except the departure from linearity test, which is noted when its two-tailed P value is less than 0.05.

The incidence of neoplastic or nonneoplastic lesions is given as

the ratio of the number of animals bearing such lesions at a specific anatomic site (numerator) to the number of animals in which that site is examined (denominator). In most instances, the denominators include only those animals for which such sites are examined histologically. However, when macroscopic examination is required to detect lesions and when this examination is followed by histologic sampling (e.g., skin or mammary tumors), or when lesions could appear at multiple sites (e.g., lymphomas), the denominators consist of the numbers of animals necropsied.

The purpose of the statistical analyses of the incidences of tumors is to determine whether animals receiving the test chemical develop a significantly higher proportion of tumors than do control animals. Statistical analyses of the incidences of specific types of tumors are made using the one-tailed Fisher exact test (Cox, 1970) to compare a control group with groups of treated animals at each dose. When results for a number of treated groups (k) are compared simultaneously with those for a control group, a correction which ensures an overall significance level of 0.05 may be made. The Bonferroni inequality (Miller, 1966) requires that the P value for any comparison be less than or equal to 0.05/k. When appropriate the correction is discussed in the narrative section, but it is not used in the tables, where the Fisher exact P values are shown.

The Cochran-Armitage test for linear trend in proportions, with continuity correction (Armitage, 1971), is also used. Under the assumption of a linear trend, this test determines if the slope of the dose-response curve is different from zero at the onetailed 0.05 level of significance. Unless otherwise noted, the direction of the significant trend is a positive dose relationship. This method also provides a two-tailed test of departure from linear trend.

An alternative analysis is applied when early deaths result from cau as that are not associated with the formation of tumors. In this analysis, deaths that occur before the first tumor is observed are excluded by basing the statistical tests on animals that survive at least as long as 52 weeks, unless a tumor is found at the anatomic site of interest before week 52. When such an early tumor is found, comparisons are based exclusively on animals that survive at least as long as the animal in which the first tumor is found. Once this reduced set of data is obtained, the standard procedures for analyses of the incidence of tumors (Fisher exact test, Cochran-Armitage test, etc.) are followed.

When appropriate, life-table methods are applied to the incidence of cumors. Curves of the proportions surviving without a tumor being observed are computed according to Saffiotti et al. (1972). The times at which animals die naturally or are killed are

entered as the time point of tumor observation. Cox's methods of comparing these curves are used for two groups, and Tarone's extension to testing for linear trend is used for three groups. The tests for the incidence of tumors using life-table methods are one-tailed and, unless otherwise noted, in the direction of a positive dose relationship. Significant departures from linearity (< 0.05, two-tailed test) are also noted.

The approximate 95% confidence interval for the relative risk between each of the treated groups and its control is calculated from the exact interval on the odds ratio (Gart, 1971). The relative risk is  $p_t/p_c$  where  $p_t$  is the true binomial probability of the incidence of a specific type of tumor in a treated group of animals and  $p_c$  is the true probability of the spontaneous incidence of the same type of tumor in a control group. The hypothesis of equality between the true proportion of a specific tumor in a treated group and that in a control group is expressed by a relative risk of unity. Values in excess of unity represent the condition of a larger proportion in the treated group than in the control.

The lower and upper limits of the confidence interval of the relative risk are included in the tables of statistical analyses. The interpretation of the limits is that in approximately 95% of a large number of similar experiments, the true ratio of the risk

in a treated group of animals to that in a control group would be within the interval calculated from the experiment. When the lower limit of the confidence interval is greater than one, the occurrence of a statistically significant result (P < 0.025one-tailed test when the control incidence is not zero, P < 0.050when the control incidence is zero) will also obtain. When the lower limit is less than unity and the upper limit is greater than unity, the former indicates the absence of a significant result while the latter indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical, which could not be detected under the conditions of this test.

### III. RESULTS - RATS

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Rats)

The mean body weights of the high-dose male and female rats were slightly lower than those of the corresponding matched controls (figure 1). The weights of the low-dose males were, for unknown reasons, slightly higher than those of the male controls; weights of low-dose females were essentially unaffected by chloramben.

The treated animals were generally comparable to the controls in appearance and behavior throughout the bioassay. During the second 6 months, clinical signs including epistaxis, diarrhea, and hematuria were noted at low incidences. During the second year, clinical signs including rough and discolored hair coats, dermatitis, pale mucous membranes, tachypnea, ataxia of hind legs, hyperactivity, and vaginal bleeding were noted with increasing frequency. Several animals appeared emaciated.

#### B. <u>Survival (Rats)</u>

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival of male and female rats receiving chloramben at the doses used in this experiment, together with those of the controls, are shown in figure 2. The Tarone test results for positive dose-related trend in mortality over the period are not







Figure 2. Survival Curves for Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet

significant in either sex. In male rats, 61% of the high-dose group, 74% of the low-dose group, and 40% of the controls lived to the end of the study. In females, 68% of the high-dose group, 58% of the low-dose group, and 50% of the controls lived to the end of the study. A pooled-control group was used, providing adequate numbers of control animals for meaningful statistical analyses of the incidences of late-developing tumors.

# C. <u>Pathology</u> (Rats)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in rats are summarized in Appendix A, tables Al and A2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix C, tables Cl and C2.

A variety of tumors occurred randomly in both the control and treated rats. For the most part, these lesions are not uncommon in this strain of rat independent of any treatment. In addition to the neoplastic lesions, a number of nonneoplastic lesions also were observed in both the treated and control groups. In general, these nonneoplastic lesions are routinely encountered in aged rats of this strain.

C-cell adenomas of the thyroid occurred among both treated male and female rats, whereas adrenal cortical adenomas occurred only among treated females. There was a higher incidence of hyperplastic changes of both follicular cells and C cells of the

20

- - --

- -----

thyroid in the treated rats than in the controls, particularly in the treated males. The incidences of C-cell adenomas of the thyroid and cortical adenomas of the adrenal in the treated groups are not unusual in untreated rats of this strain.

Although follicular-cell hyperplasia of the thyroid occurred only in the treated animals and not in the controls, the incidence of the lesion was not dose related. These thyroid lesions suggest that the test chemical may have a goitrogenic effect, but insufficient numbers of controls were available to draw firm conclusions.

5

In the judgment of the pathologists, chloramben did not induce tumors in rats during this study.

### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Rats)

Tables El and E2 in Appendix E contain the statistical analyses of the incidences of those specific primary tumors that were observed in at least 5% of one or more treated groups of either sex.

In male rats, the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related linear trend in proportions for hemangioma, using pooled controls, has a probability level of P = 0.074; but a significant departure from linear trend (P = 0.042) is indicated, due to the

higher incidence in the low-dose group than in the high-dose group. The Fisher exact test shows a significantly higher incidence of this tumor in the low-dose group (P = 0.009), but not in the high-dose group, than in the pooled controls. The 95% confidence interval shows a lower limit greater than one for the relative risk comparing the low-dose group with the control group. This indicates that there is a theoretical possibility of the induction of tumors by the test chemical. Because of the lack of statistical significance of the incidence of hemangioma in the high-dose group, the true significance of this tumor in male rats is questionable.

In female rats, the statistical test results on hemangioma are not significant at the 0.05 level. There are no other incidences of tumors at any specific site in either sex which are significant using either the Cochran-Armitage test or the Fisher exact test.
#### IV. RESULTS - MICE

#### A. Body Weights and Clinical Signs (Mice)

The mean body weights of the treated male and female mice were essentially unaffected by chloramben (figure 3).

The treated animals were generally comparable to the controls in appearance and behavior throughout the bioassay. During the second year, clinical signs including alopecia, rough hair coats, hyperactivity, dyspnea, abdominal distention, and hunched appearance were observed. Many males, treated and control, were observed fighting. The equilibrium of one low-dose male appeared to have been impaired.

#### B. <u>Survival (Mice)</u>

The Kaplan and Meier curves estimating the probabilities of survival of male and female mice receiving chloramben at the doses used in this experiment, together with those of the controls, are shown in figure 4. The Tarone test results for positive dose-related trend in mortality over the period are not significant in either sex. In male mice, 90% of the high-dose group, 84% of the low-dose group, and 80% of the controls lived to the end of the study. Similarly, in female mice, 90% of the high-dose group, 76% of the low-dose group, and 90% of the









controls lived to the end of the study. Survival of both sexes was adequate for meaningful statistical analyses of the incidence of tumors in these mice.

#### C. Pathology (Mice)

Histopathologic findings on neoplasms in mice are summarized in Appendix B, tables Bl and B2; findings on nonneoplastic lesions are summarized in Appendix D, tables Dl and D2.

For the most part, the lesions were of the type commonly encountered in this strain of mice. The incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma in both male and female treated mice was higher than that in the controls (males: controls 2/10 [20%], low-dose 16/48 [33%], high-dose 14/48 [29%]; females: controls 0/9 [0%], low-dose 7/48 [15%], high-dose 10/50 [20%]). Spontaneous hepatocellular carcinoma is not uncommon in this strain of mouse, particularly in the males. In the judgment of the pathologists, the incidence in males was insufficient to indicate a clear relationship to treatment; however, the relationship between treatment with chloramben and the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma appears to be significant in female mice.

#### D. Statistical Analyses of Results (Mice)

Tables Fl and F2 in Appendix F contain the statistical analyses

of the incidences of those specific primary tumors that were observed in at least 5% of one or more treated groups of either sex.

In male mice, the Cochran-Armitage test for positive dose-related trend in the proportions of hepatocellular carcinoma is significant (P = 0.029) using the pooled controls, and the Fisher exact test shows higher incidences of this tumor in both the low-dose group (P = 0.008) and the high-dose group (P = 0.028) than in the pooled controls. The probability level of 0.028 is above the level of 0.025 required for significance by the multiple comparison procedure for the Fisher exact test. The 95% confidence intervals using the pooled controls have lower limits greater than one.

The significant results in the males are confirmed in the females, since the Cochran-Armitage test result for positive dose-related trend in the proportions of hepatocellular carcinoma is significant (P = 0.004) using the pooled controls, and the Fisher exact test shows significantly higher incidences of this tumor in both the low-dose group (P = 0.027) and the high-dose group (P = 0.003) than in the pooled controls. The probability level of 0.027 is above the level of 0.025 required for significance by the multiple comparison procedure for the Fisher exact test. The 95% confidence intervals for the relative risk

comparing the high-dose group with the pooled controls have lower limits greater than one. These tests show that, statistically, there is an association between chloramben treatment and the occurrence of hepatocellular carcinoma in mice at the doses used in this experiment.

#### V. DISCUSSION

Mean body weights and rates of mortality of the treated animals were not markedly affected by chloramben under the conditions of the bioassay. The various clinical signs observed were common to both treated and control groups. Survival was adequate for meaningful statistical analyses of the incidence of tumors. Thus, the concentrations of chloramben used in both rats and mice, i.e., 10,000 and 20,000 ppm, can be considered to be only slightly toxic. However, these concentrations are high when compared with the possible exposure of humans to residues of the herbicide.

In rats, hemangiomas occurred at a significantly higher incidence in low-dose males (5/48 [10%]) than in pooled controls (0/73); however, the incidence of this tumor was not significant for high-dose males compared with pooled controls, and the doserelated trend was not statistically significant. In addition, the pathologists did not consider the hemangioma to be related to administration of the chemical. Thus, the occurrences of hemangiomas are not considered to be related to the administration of chloramben.

In both male and female mice, the incidences of hepatocellular carcinoma showed significant dose-related trends using pooled

controls (for males: controls 9/69, low-dose 16/48, high-dose 14/48, P = 0.029; for females: controls 2/67, low-dose 7/48, high-dose 10/50, P = 0.004). Direct comparisons showed significantly higher incidences of the tumor in the low-dose males (P = 0.008) and in the high-dose females (P = 0.003) than in the pooled controls. Probability levels of P = 0.028 in high-dose males and P = 0.027 in low-dose females were attained. Very few related lesions were observed. Two additional male animals, but only one female, had hepatocellular adenoma or neoplastic nodule. No hyperplastic lesions of the liver were observed in either sex.

The variability in the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma among historical control mice at Gulf South Research Institute was considered. In a few control groups in the bioassay program at this testing laboratory, as many as 3/10 or 4/10 male mice had hepatocellular carcinoma. The mean of the incidences for the male controls at Gulf South Research Institute was 16.8%. Because of the variation (0-40%) in the historical incidences of spontaneous hepatocellular carcinomas in control male mice at this laboratory, the incidences of these lesions in treated male mice reported in this study are considered as marginal. This is consistent with the pathologists' view that the incidence in males was insufficient to indicate a clear relationship to

treatment. Control groups of female mice had no more than 2/9 animals with hepatocellular carcinoma, and the mean of the incidences for all females in the historical group was 2.3%.

In conclusion, under the conditions of this bioassay, chloramben was not carcinogenic in either sex of the Osborne-Mendel rats. Hemangiomas were present at a slightly higher incidence in lowdose male rats than in pooled controls. However, the bioassay does not conclusively demonstrate the relationship of these lesions to treatment. In B6C3F1 male mice, the incidence of hepatocellular carcinoma was considered as only marginally associated with the administration of chloramben. In B6C3F1 female mice, chloramben was carcinogenic, producing hepatocellular carcinomas in treated animals.



#### VI. BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Amchem Products, <u>Amiben<sup>T.M</sup></u>; <u>Preemergence</u> <u>Herbicide</u>, Amchem Products, Inc., Ambler, Pa., accepted 1976.
- Armitage, P., <u>Statistical Methods in Medical Research</u>, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1971, pp. 362-265.
- Berenblum, I., ed., <u>Carcinogenicity Testing: A Report of the</u> <u>Panel on Carcinogenicity of the Cancer Research Commission</u> <u>of the UICC, Vol. 2</u>. International Union Against Cancer, Geneva, 1969.
- Burgis, D. S., Herbicide tests on pepper transplants and seeded peppers. Proc. Fla. State Hort. Soc. 84:183-186, 1972.
- Cox, D. R., Regression models and life tables. <u>J. K. Statist.</u> Soc. <u>B</u> 34 (2):187-220, 1972.
- Cox, D. R., <u>Analysis of Binary Data</u>, Methuen & Co., Ltd., London, 1970, pp. 48-52.
- Edwards, C. A., <u>Persistent</u> <u>Pesticides in the Environment</u>, CRC Press, Cleveland, Ohio, 1976, pp. 107-108.
- Environmental Protection Agency, Chloramben. <u>EPA Compendium of</u> <u>Registered Pesticides</u>, U. S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1975, I-C-13.1.
- Environmental Protection Agency, Chloramben. <u>EPA</u> <u>Compendium</u> <u>of</u> <u>Registered</u> <u>Pesticides</u>, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C., 1974, I-C-13.2.
- Gart, J. J., The comparison of proportions: a review of significance tests, confidence limits and adjustments for stratification. <u>Rev. Int. Stat. Inst.</u> <u>39</u>:148-169, 1971.
- Kaplan, E. L. and Meier, P., Nonparametric estimation from incomplete observations. <u>J. Am. Statist. Assoc.</u> 53:457-481, 1958.
- Leigh, E. S. J., Jr. and Lisk, D. L., Excretory pathway of Amiben in a lactating cow. J. Agr. Food Chem. 18:482-484, 1970.
- Linhart, M. S., Cooper, J., Martin, R. L., Page, N., and Peters, J., Carcinogenesis bioassay data system. <u>Comp. and Biomed.</u> <u>Res.</u> 7:230-248, 1974.

- Miller, R. G., Jr., <u>Simultaneous</u> <u>Statistical</u> <u>Inference</u>, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966, pp. 6-10.
- Saffiotti, U., Montesano, R., Sellakumar, A. R., Cefis, F. and Kaufman, D. G., Respiratory tract carcinogenesis in hamsters induced by different numbers of administrations of benzo (a) pyrene and ferric oxide. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 32:1073-1081, 1972.
- Spencer, E. Y., <u>Guide</u> to <u>Chemicals</u> <u>Used</u> in <u>Crop</u> <u>Protection</u>, Research Institute, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario, 1973, p. 90.
- Squire, R. A. and Levit, M., Report of a workshop on classification of specific hepatocellular lesions in rats. <u>Cancer Res.</u> 35:3214-3223, 1975.
- Swanson, C. R., Kadunce, R. E., Hodgson, R. H., and Frear, D. S., Amiben metabolism in plants, I. Isolation and identification of an N-glucosyl complex. <u>Weeds</u> <u>14</u>:319-323, 1966.
- Tarone, R. E., Tests for trend in life table analysis. Biometrika 62(3):679-682, 1975.

APPENDIX A

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN

# RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

# TABLE A1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISFOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 9 9	50 48 47	50 49 47
*SKIN FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT	(9)	(48) 2 (4%)	(49) 1 (2%)
*SUBCUT TISSUE LEIOMYOMA	(9)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM NONF			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(9)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
#SPLEFN HFMANGIOMA	(7)	(47) 3 (6%)	(47) 2 (4%)
CIFCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HFART ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS	(9)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)
JIGFSTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVFR NFOPLASTIC NODULE HFMANGIOMA	(9)	(46) 4 (9%) 1 (2%)	(46) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
*PANCREATIC DUÇT ADENQCARÇINOMA_NOS	(8) <u>1_(13%)</u>	(46)	(48)
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE FXAM	INED MICROSCO	PICALLY	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

# TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
URINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY</pre>	(9)	(47)	(48) 2 (4%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
*PITUITARY	(9)	(44)	(40)
CARCINOMA,NOS Chromophobe adenoma	1 (11%)	5 (11%)	1 (3%) 8 (20%)
#ADRFNAL	(8)	(46)	(48)
PHEOCHROMOCYTOMA	1 (13%)	1 (2%)	
<pre>#THYROID C-CELL ADENOMA</pre>	(8)	(47) 4 (9%)	(48) 3 (6%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(8)	(46) 2 (4%)	(48) 2 (4%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMAFY GLAND INFILTRATING DUCT CARCINOMA FIBROMA	(9)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
LIPOMA		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN MENINGIOMA	(9)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NON P			
MUSCULOSKELFTAL SYSTEM			
*SKULL OSTFOMA	(9)	(48)	(49) <u>1 (2%)</u>
<ul> <li># NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUF E</li> <li>* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED</li> <li>† NONNEOPLASTIC PROLIFERATIVE LESION COMPOSED OF LIPOCYTES, TUBULAR STRUCTU</li> </ul>	XAMINED MICROSCOPI Res,	CALLY	

AND FIBROBLASTS IN VARYING PROPORTIONS.

# TABLE A1. MALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
			**********
BODY CAVITIES			
*MESFNTERY HAMARTOMA	(9)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS OSTEOSARCOMA	(9)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
DIAPHRAGM HEMANGIOMA		1	
***************************************	********	*****	
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY NATURAL DEATHD	10 1	50 5	50 5
MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	5	9	15
TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	4	36	30
Ø INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
			**********
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	2 3	22 27	21 28
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	2 . 2	16 20	16 23
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	1 1	3 3	4 4
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS# TOTAL SECONDARY FUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OR MALIGNANT TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		t) 64	1 1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FUMORS UNCERTAIN- PRIMARY OR METASTAFIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN FUMORS			
<ul> <li>PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT SE</li> <li>SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS</li> </ul>	CONDARY TUR OR TUMORS	MORS INVASIVE INTO AN AD	JACENT ORGAN

# TABLE A2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS N°CROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 50 49	50 50 50 50
INTFGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN SQUAMOUS CELL CARCINOMA FIBROMA LEIOMYOMA	(10) 1 (10%)	(50)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
#SPLEEN HEMANGIOMA	(10)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
#LIVER NEOPLASTIC NODULE	(10)	(48) 2 (4%)	(50) ≟ (2%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY TUBULAR-CELL ADENOMA LIPOSARCOMA</pre>	(10)	(49) 1 (2%) <u>1 (2%)</u>	(50)
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI	NED MICROSCOP	ICALLY	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
* PITUITA RY CARCINOMA, NOS	(9)	(45) 3 (7%)	(46) 1 (2%)
CHROMOPHOBE ADENOMA	1 (11%)	11 (24%)	6 (13%)
#ADRENAL Cortical Adenoma	(10)	(49) 3 (6%)	(50) 4 (8%)
*THYROID C-CFLL ADENOMA	(8)	(48) 4 (8%)	(50) 4 (8%)
#PANCREATIC ISLETS ISLET-CELL ADENOMA	(9)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND A DENOCARCINOMA, NOS FIBROMA	(10)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
LIPOMA FIBROADENOMA	2 (20%)	7 (14%)	1 (2%) 7 (14%)
*UTERUS ADENOCARCINOMA, NOS ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL POLYP ENDOMETRIAL STROMAL SARCOMA	(10)	(44) 1 (2%) 3 (7%)	(47) 2 (4%) 2 (4%)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM PAPILLARY ADENOCARCINOMA	(10)	(44)	(47) 1(2%)
NFRVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN CARCINOMA, NOS, INVASIVE GLIOMA, NOS	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	{50) 1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
*FAR CANAL LEIOMYOMA	(10)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
MUSCULOSKELFTAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WIFH TISSUE EX * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	AMINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

# TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
NONF			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS FIBROUS HISTIOCYTOMA, MALIGNANT MESOTHELIOMA, NOS	(10) 1 (10%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	10	50	50
NATURAL DEATHƏ Moribund sacrifice	5	3 18	4 12
SCHEDULFD SACRIFICE			
TFRMINAL SACRIFICE	5	29	34
ANIMAL MISSING			
<pre>     INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS </pre>			
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS*	6	34	30
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMJES	б	41	35
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS	4 4	27 30	26 29
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS	2	8	5
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	2	8	5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS	*		1
TOTAL SPCONDARY TUMORS			1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FUMORS UNCERTAIN BENIGN OR MALIGNANT	-	3	1
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		3	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FUMORS UNCERTAIN	-		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
* PRIMARY THMORS. ALL THMORS FYCFPT S	ECONDARY TUMO	RS	
# SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS	OR TUMORS IN	VASIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

## TABLE A2. FEMALE RATS: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

APPENDIX B

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN

### MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET



# TABLE B1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN MALE MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 49 49	50 49 48
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG HEDATOCETIMIAE CARCINOMA METAST	(10)	(49) 5 (10¥)	(43)
ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA ALVEOLAB/BRONCHIOLAR CARCINOMA			3 (6%) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS PLASMA-CELL MYELOMA	(10)	(49) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#KIDNEY MALIGNANT LYMPHOMA, NOS MALIG.LYMPHOMA, LYMPHOCYTIC TYPE</pre>	(9)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON E	,	*****	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVER	(10)	(48)	$\langle u \rangle$
HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA NEOPLASTIC NODULE		1 (2%)	
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA	2 (20%)	16 (33%)	14 (29%)
URINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			9 Gaardaan accelata 602 - 131 - 13 (Can (Ca (27) 603 6
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI	NED MICROSC	OPICALLY	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
<pre>#PITUITARY ADENOMA, NOS</pre>	(10)	(41)	(39) 1 (3%)
*THYROID Follicular-cell Adenoma	(9)	(42)	(47) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*TESTIS Seminoma/dysgerminoma	(10)	(43)	(47) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NON E			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS 	(10)	(49) 1_(2%)	(49)
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	MINED MICROSC	OPICALLY	

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
			***********
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	10	50	50
MORIBUND SACRIFICE SCHEDULED SACRIFICE	2	5	3
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED TERMINAL SACRIFICE ANIMAL MISSING	8	43	45
@ INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			***
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUMORS* TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS	2	20 21	20 22
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMORS TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS		1 1	5 5
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT TUMORS TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS	2 2	18 19	16 17
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH SECONDARY TUMORS TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	#	5 5	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH FUMORS UNCERTAIN- BENIGN OF MALIGNANT	-	1	
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS		1	
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCERTAIN PRIMARY OR METASTATIC TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS	-		
* PRIMARY TUMORS: ALL TUMORS EXCEPT S # SECONDARY TUMORS: METASTATIC TUMORS	ECONDARY TO OR TUMORS	JMORS INVASIVE INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN

# TABLE B1. MALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

#### TABLE B2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NEOPLASMS IN FEMALE MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS FXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 50 49	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG ALVEOLAR/BRONCHIOLAR ADENOMA	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*MULTIPLE ORGANS MALIGNANT LYMPHONA, NOS GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(10)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(50)
* SPLEEN HEMANGIOSA RCOMA	(10)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
#LIVER GRANULOCYTIC LEUKEMIA	(9)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NON F			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*LIVER HEPATOCELLULAR ADENOMA	(9)	(48) 1 (2%)	(50)
HEPATOCELLULAR CARCINOMA		7 (15%)	10 (20%)
#STOMACH PAPILLOMANOS	(10)	(48) <u>1_(2%)</u>	(49)
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	INED MICROSC	OPICALLY	

# TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
	**********		
URINARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
NONE			
REPRODUCTIVP SYSTEM			
#OVARY Cystadenoma, nos	(7)	(46) 1 (2%)	(48)
*********		*****************	
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
BODY CAVITIES			
NONE			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
SITE UNKNOWN HEMANGIOSARCOMA			1
<pre># NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE E # NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED</pre>	XAMINED MICROSCO	PICALLY	

***************************************			
	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMAL DISPOSITION SUMMARY			
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY	10	50	50
NATURAL DEATHD		1	1
MORIBUND SACRIFICE	1	11	4
SCHEDULED SACRIFICE			
ACCIDENTALLY KILLED	0	2.0	4.5
TERMINAL SACRIFICE	9	86	45
ANIMAL MISSING			
ð INCLUDES AUTOLYZED ANIMALS			
TUMOR SUMMARY			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH PRIMARY TUR	IORS*	12	13
TOTAL PRIMARY TUMORS		13	14
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH BENIGN TUMO	DRS	4	1
TOTAL BENIGN TUMORS		4	1
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH MALIGNANT 7	TUMORS	9	12
TOTAL MALIGNANT TUMORS		9	13
TOTAL ANTHALS HITH SPCONDARY	# 290MI		
TOTAL SECONDARY TUMORS	COHORS#		
TOTAL SECONDART TOHORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCH	RTAIN-		
BENIGN OR MALIGNANT			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
TOTAL ANIMALS WITH TUMORS UNCE	RTAIN-		
PRIMARY OR METASTATIC			
TOTAL UNCERTAIN TUMORS			
* DRINARY THAORS . ALL THAORS PY	TROT SECONDARY THE	IRS	
SECONDARY TUMORS NETASTATIC 1	UNORS OR TUNORS TO	WASTER INTO AN A	DJACENT ORGAN
- PROUDULT TOHORDS HELVOLATIC 1	COLORD ON ADHORD II	ATTE THE ATTA	

### TABLE B2. FEMALE MICE: NEOPLASMS (CONTINUED)

DARI TUMURS: METASTATIC TUMORS OR TUMORS INVASIVE INTO AN ADJACE

APPENDIX C

SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET



#### TABLE C1.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 9 9	50 48 47	50 49 47
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SKIN INFLAMMATION, NOS GRANULOMA, NOS	(9) 1 (11%)	(48)	(49) 1 (2%)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG ATELECTASIS	(9) 1 (11%)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)
#LUNG/ALVEOLI EMPHYSEMA, NOS CALCIFICATION, POCAL CALCIFICATION, METASTATIC	(9)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%)	(48)
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM	********		
*SPLTEN FIBROSIS, POCAL HPMATOPOIESIS	(7)	(47)	(47) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#LYMPH NODE DILATATION, NOS	(9)	(40)	(43) 1 (2%)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
#HEART THROMBOSIS, NOS MEDIAL CALCIFICATION	(9) 1 (11%)	(47) 1 (2%)	(48)
<pre>#MYOCARDIUM INFLAMMATIONCHRONIC</pre>	(9)	(47)	(48) <u>1 (2%)</u>

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL			1 (2%)
FIBROSIS BOCH		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
FIBROSIS, FOCAL		6 (13%)	4 (8%)
* A OR TA	(9)	(48)	(49)
ANEURYSM		· ·	1 (2%)
ARTFRIOSCLEROSIS, NOS	1 (11%)		
MEDIAL CALCIFICATION		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
*CORONARY ARTERY	(9)	(48)	(49)
MEDIAL CALCIFICATION		1 (2%)	(4))
*SPLENIC ARTERY	(9)	(48)	(49)
MEDIAL CALCIFICATION		1 (2%)	
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
SUBWAYTITADY CIAND	(0)	(117)	(1) (2)
FTBROSTS FOCAL	(5)	1 (2%)	(40)
r rendered a cours		. (2.7)	
#LIVER	(9)	(46)	(46)
FIBROSIS	1 (11%)		
DEGENERATION, BALLOONING	1 (140)	2 (11 17)	2 (4%)
NECROSIS FOCII	1 (11%)	2 (4%)	1 (2%)
METAMORPHOSIS FAITY	2 (22%)	6 (13%)	4 (9%)
ANGIECTASIS	1 (11%)	1 (2%)	
	(0)	(1) 5)	(1) 6)
*LIVER/CENTRILUBULAR	(9)	(40)	(40)
NUCROSIS, NOS	((()))		
#STOMACH	(9)	(46)	(44)
CALCIFICATION, NOS		1 (2%)	
CALCIFICATION, METASTATIC		1 (2%)	
HIPERKERATOSIS		1 (2%)	
#GASTRIC MUCOSA	(9)	(46)	(44)
CALCIFICATION, NOS	( -	1 (2%)	1 (2%)
CALCIFICATION, METASTATIC	1 (11%)		
#CASTRIC SUBMUCOSA	(9)	(11.6)	(1) (1)
CALCIFICATION, NOS	())	1 (2%)	(++)
CALCELLOAKLONF HOD		. (2.7)	
#SMALL INTESTINE	(9)	(45)	(45)
NECROSIS, NOS		<u> </u>	

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
			<b>.</b>
#CECUM INFLAMMATION, ACUTE	(7)	(44) 1 (2系)	(43)
URINARY SYSTEM			
KIDNEY	(9) 2 (22#)	(47)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	2 (22%) 3 (33%)	6 (13%)	22 (46%)
FIBROSIS, DIFFUSE		1 (2%)	
NEPHROSIS, NOS CALCIFICATION, METASTATIC		15 (32%) 1 (2%)	3 (6%)
	******		
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#PITUITARY	(9)	(44)	(40)
CYST, NOS Hyderdiasta Nos		11 (25%)	5 (13%)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		4 (9%)	1 (577)
ANGIECTASIS	1 (11%)		
#ADRENAL	(8)	(46)	(48)
H EMOR RHAGE		1 (2%)	
#ADRENAL CORFEX	(8)	(46)	(48)
DEGENERATION, CYSTIC		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
METANORPHOSIS FATTY		1 (2%)	1 (27)
HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		1 (2%)	1 (OR)
ANGLECTASIS			1 (2%)
#THYROID	(8)	(47)	(48)
CYSTIC FOLLICLES		3 (6%)	1 (2%)
ATROPHY, NOS		5 (11%)	
HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL Hyperplasia, Follichlar-Cell		8 (17%)	6 (13%) A (8%)
			(2.2)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(4)	(28)	(33)
HYPERPLASIA, SECONDARY		5 (18%)	- ()
HYPERPLASIA, DIFFUSE		1 (4%)	
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*BANMARY GLAND	(9)	(48)	(49)
HYPERPLASIA, NOS			2 1981-
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA	MINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

# TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CUNTINUED)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*****			
DYSPLASIA, NOS			1 (2%)
# P RO STATE	(9)	(45)	(46)
EDEMA, NOS		1 (20)	1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, SUPPORATIVE INFLAMMATION ACUTE AND CHRONIC		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
Lancar e	(0)	(1) 6 >	(11.0)
FDEMA, NOS	(9)	(40)	(48)
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	1 (2%)
NECROSIS, FIBRINOID	1 (11%) 1 (11%)	14 (30%)	7 (15%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM	•		
NONE			
•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NON E			
***************************************			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
*FENUR	(9)	(48)	(49)
OSTEOPOROSIS		3 (6%)	
BODY CAVITIES			
* MESENTERY	(9)	(48)	(49)
PERIARTERITIS		1 (2%)	3 (6%)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
ADIPOSE TISSUE			
FIBROSIS		1	
~~~~~~~~~~~			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED	3	5	4
AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO	1	1	2
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAM	MINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED			

### TABLE C1. MALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

### TABLE C2.

#### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 50 49	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM			
*SUBCUT TISSUE GRANULOMA, NOS	(10)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
*SPLEEN HEMATOPOIESIS	(10)	(49)	(50) 2 (4%)
*LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, NOS	(9)	(41) 1 (2%)	(41)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
*HEART HEMORRHAGE	(10)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
#MYOCARDIUM FIBROSIS, FOCAL	(10)	(49)	(50) 1 (2%)
DIGFSTIVE SYSTEM			-
*SALIVARY GLAND INFLAMMATION, ACUTE NECROTIZING	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
<pre>#LIVER INPLAMMATIONACUTE_SUPPURATIVE</pre>	(10)	(48)	(50) <u>1 (28)</u>
A NUMBER OF ANTHALS UTEN STOCKE PRAME			

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
DEGENERATION PARENCHYMATOUS MFTAMORPHOSIS FATTY ATYPIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, DIFFUSE ANGIFCTASIS	3 (30%) 1 (10%) 1 (10%) 2 (20%)	1 (2%)	
*BILE DUCT INFLAMMATION, ACUTE SUPPURATIVE INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL	(10)	(50) 4 (8%)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#PANCREAS CALCULUS, NOS DILATATION/DUCTS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC</pre>	(9)	(48)	(50) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
#STOMACH ULCER, NOS EROSION	(10)	(48) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)	(49)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS GLOMPRULONEPHRITIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC	(10) 1 (10%) 1 (10%)	(49) 1 (2%) 5 (10%)	(50) 2 (4%)
ENDOCRINF SYSTEM			
<pre>#PITUITARY CYST, NOS CONGESTION, NOS HFMORRHAGE HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL ANGIECTASIS</pre>	(9) 1 (11%)	(45) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%)	(46) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 4 (9%) 1 (2%)
#ADRENAL CYST, NOS HEMORRHAGE HEMORRHAGIC CYST DEGENERATION, CYSTIC ANGIECTASIS	(10)	(49) 2 (4%) 2 (4%) 4 (8%)	(50) 3 (6%) 3 (6%) 5 (10%) 4 (8%)
#ADRFNAL CORTEX DILATATIONNOS	(10)	(49)	(50) <u>1 (2%)</u>

# TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED MICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED
	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
HEMORRHAGE DEGENERATION, NOS DEGENERATION, CYSTIC HYPERPLASIA, NOS HYPERPLASIA, FOCAL		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	1 (2%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%)
<pre>#THYROID HYPERPLASIA, C-CELL HYPERPLASIA, FOLLICULAR-CELL</pre>	(8) 2 (25%)	4 (8%) (48) 3 (6%) 6 (13%)	(50) 6 (12%) 3 (6%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
*MAMMARY GLAND HYPERPLASIA, NOS DYSPLASIA, NOS FIBROCYSTIC DISEASE	(10) 1 (10%)	(50) 4 (8%)	(50) 5 (10%) 3 (6%)
# UTERUS H BMORRHAGE	(10)	(44)	(47) 1 (2 <b>%</b> )
#OVARY FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS	(10) 1 (10%)	(47)	(50)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN HYDROCEPHALUS, NOS	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(50)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
			****
NUSCULOSKELPTAL SYSTEM			
BODY CAVITIES			
* MESENTERY PERIARTERITIS	(10)	(50) 2 (4%)	(50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NQN E		***	
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXA * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	HINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

### TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

# TABLE C2. FEMALE RATS: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

			******
	CONTROL LOW	DOSE HIGH	DOSE
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO		5 5 1	
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAM	INED MICROSCOPICALLY		

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

APPENDIX D

# SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

# TABLE D1.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN MALE MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 49 49	50 49 48
INTEGUNENTARY SYSTEM			
NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM			
#LUNG CONGESTION, NOS EDEMA, NOS	(10) 1 (10%) 1 (10%)	(49)	(48)
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC		1 (2%)	
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM			
# SPLEEN A NGIFCTA SI S	(9)	(48)	(48) 1 (2%)
#MESENTERIC L. NODE INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS	(8)	(46) 1 (2%)	(44)
CIRCULATORY SYSTEM			
NONE			
DIGESTIVE SYSTEM			
*SALIVARY GLAND INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL	(10)	(41) 1 (2%)	(46)
#LIVER INFARCT, NOS	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
URINARY SYSTEM			
<pre>#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS</pre>	(9)	(48) <u>1_(2%)</u>	(48)
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI	NED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LON DOSE	HIGH DOSE
INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		1 (2%) 1 (2%)	
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#ADRENAL CYST, NOS	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
NONE			
NERVOUS SYSTEM NONE			
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
BODY CAVITIES			
NON E			
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL NORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO AUTOLYSIS/NO NECROPSY	7	26 1	28 1 1
* NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EX/ * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	MINED MICROSCO	PICALLY	

### TABLE D1. MALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

### TABLE D2.

### SUMMARY OF THE INCIDENCE OF NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS IN FEMALE MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
ANIMALS INITIALLY IN STUDY ANIMALS NECROPSIED ANIMALS EXAMINED HISTOPATHOLOGICALLY	10 10 10	50 50 49	50 50 50
INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM NONE			
RESPIRATORY SYSTEM NONE			
HEMATOPOIETIC SYSTEM *SPLEEN CONGESTION, NOS INFLAMMATION, NOS HYPERPLASIA, LYMPHOID *LYMPH NODE INFLAMMATION, NOS CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	(10) (10)	(48) 1 (2%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) (46) 1 (2%)	(49) 1 (2%) (49)
NONE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM #LIVER INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, ACUTE GRANULOMA, NOS METAMORPHOSIS FATTY HEMATOPOIESIS *BILE DUCT INFLAMMATION, NOS	(9) 1 (11%) (10)	(48) 3 (6%) 2 (4%) 1 (2%) 1 (2%) (50)	(50) (50)

NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMINED NICROSCOPICALLY \* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
*PANCREATIC ACINUS ATROPHY, NOS	(9)	(48) 1 (2%)	(49)
URINARY SYSTEM			
#KIDNEY HYDRONEPHROSIS GLOMERULONEPHRITIS, NOS INFLAMMATION, FOCAL	(10) 1 (10%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(50) 1 (2%)
INFLAMMATION, INTERSTITIAL INFLAMMATION, CHRONIC FOCAL		2 (4%)	1 (2%)
ENDOCRINE SYSTEM			
#ADRFNAL CORTEX HYPERPLASIA, NOS	(10)	(47)	(50) 1 (2%)
REPRODUCTIVE SYSTEM			
#UTERUS INFLAMMATION, NOS	(10)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48)
#UTERUS/ENDOMETRIUM HYPERPLASIA, CYSTIC	(10) 2 (20%)	(48) 1 (2%)	(48) 1 (2%)
#OVARY FOLLICULAR CYST, NOS INFLAMMATION, NOS INFLAMMATION, SUPPURATIVE	(7) 1 (14%) 1 (14%)	(46) 1 (2%) 13 (28%)	(48) 2 (4%) 3 (6%) 1 (2%)
NERVOUS SYSTEM			
#BRAIN HYDROCPPHALUS, NOS	(10)	(47)	(48) 1 (2%)
SPECIAL SENSE ORGANS			
NONE			
MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM			
NONE			
NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EX	AMINED MICROSCOPI	CALLY	

### TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)

\* NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED

	CONTROL	LOW DOSE	HIGH DOSE
BODY CAVITIES			
*PERITON EUM INFLAMMATION, GRANULOMATOUS	(10)	(50) 1 (2%)	(50)
ALL OTHER SYSTEMS			
NONE			
SPECIAL MORPHOLOGY SUMMARY			
NO LESION REPORTED AUTO/NECROPSY/NO HISTO	б	18 1	30
# NUMBER OF ANIMALS WITH TISSUE EXAMI * NUMBER OF ANIMALS NECROPSIED	NED MICROSCOPICA	LLY	

# TABLE D2. FEMALE MICE: NONNEOPLASTIC LESIONS (CONTINUED)



APPENDIX E

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS IN RATS FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET



Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
All Sites: Hemangioma <sup>b</sup>	(00°0) 6/0	0/73 (0.00)	5/48 (0.10)	3/49 (0.06)
P Valuesc,d	N. S.	N • S •	P = 0.009**	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>		P = 0.042		
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.269 Infinite	Infinite 0.125 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 1.903 Infinite	Infinite 0.889 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	-		103	113
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule <sup>b</sup>	(00*0) 6/0	1/72 (0.01)	4/46 (0.09)	1/46 (0.02)
P Valuesc,d	N 。 S 。	N • S •	N • S •	N.S.
Departure from Linear Trend <sup>e</sup>		P = 0.035		
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.205 Infinite	Infinite 0.011 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			6。261 0.641 301。199	1.565 0.020 120.232
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	(be car	1	112	113

71

Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

Contrined) Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	1/9 (0.11)	7/63 (0.11)	5/44 (0.12)	8/40 (0.20)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N • S •	N • S •	N S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.023 0.145 46.226	1.800 0.310 77.552
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.023 0.271 3.472	1.800 0.616 5.325
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110		96	101
Pituitary: Carcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	(00.0) 6/0	1/63 (0.02)	0/44 (0.00)	1/40 (0.03)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N ° S •	N • S •	N.S.	N. S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.013 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.000 0.000 26.739	1.575 0.020 120.584
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	-	1	ł	113

Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup> Table El.

(continued) Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low <u>Dose</u>	High <u>Dose</u>
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma or Carcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/9 (0.11)	8/63 (0.13)	5/44 (0.12)	9/40 (0.23)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N • S •	N • S •	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.023 0.145 47.226	2.025 0.362 86.067
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.895 0.244 2.867	1.772 0.658 4.785
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	110		96	113
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/8 (0.00)	2/63 (0.03)	4/47 (0.09)	3/48 (0.06)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N • S •	N • S •	N • S •	N. S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.182 Infinite	Infinite 0.115 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			2.681 0.401 28.550	1.969 0.235 22.746
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			112	101

Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

(continued)				
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/8 (0.00)	2/70 (0.03)	1/46 (0.02)	0/48 (0.00)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.• S.•	N • S •	N S S	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.010 Infinite	
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.761 0.013 14.128	0.000 0.000 4.926
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	1	1	112	-
<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of	E 10,000 or 20,000	. ppm.		
<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/	'number of animals	s examined at site	(proportion).	
<sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; oth incidence of tumors in a treated the comparison of that treated g control group (**) when $P < 0.05$ is indicated.	in a control grou nerwise, not signi d group is the pro group with the mat i for either conti	up is the probabil [ficant (N.S.) is obability level fo cched-control grou col group; otherwi	ity level for the indicated. Beneat r the Fisher exact p (*) or with the se, not significar	Cochran- h the test for pooled- it (N.S.)
dA negative trend (N) indicates a	a lower incidence	in a treated grou	p than in a contro	1 group.
<sup>e</sup> The probability level for depart	ure from linear t	rend is given whe	n P < 0.05 for any	comparison.
<sup>f</sup> The 95% confidence interval of t control group.	che relative risk	between each trea	ted group and the	specified

Table El. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

Topography; Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
All Sites: Hemangioma <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0.00)	0/74 (0.00)	0/50 (0.00)	1/50 (0.02)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.012 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.078 Infinite
Weeks to First Observed Tumor		-		113
Liver: Neoplastic Nodule <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0.00)	1/73 (0.01)	2/48 (0.04)	1/50 (0.02)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N ° S •	N.S.	N • S •	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.068 Infinite	Infinite 0.012 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			3.042 0.163 175.641	1.460 0.019 112.322
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	80	8	113	113

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

(continued)				
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	1/9 (0.11)	12/65 (0.18)	11/45 (0.24)	6/46 (0.14)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N. S.	N <sub>e</sub> S <sub>e</sub>	N ° S °	N . S .
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			2.200 0.414 92.100	1.174 0.181 52.803
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1。324 0。578 2。950	0.707 0.234 1.867
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	-	75	94
Pituitary: Carcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	(00°0) 6/0	1/65 (0.02)	3/45 (0.07)	1/46 (0.02)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N • S •	N ° S °	N ° S °	N° S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.135 Infinite	Infinite 0.011 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			4.333 0.359 222.252	1.413 0.018 108.514
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	8		106	113

76

# Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

(continued)				
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Pituitary: Chromophobe Adenoma or Carcinoma, NOS <sup>b</sup>	1/9 (0.11)	13/65 (0.20)	14/45 (0°31)	7/46 (0.16)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N • S •	N.S. (N)	N • S •	N. S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			2.800 0.550 114.824	1.370 0.224 60.268
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.556 0.748 3.206	0。761 0.276 1.873
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	94	907 MB	75	94
Thyroid: C-cell Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/8 (0.00)	1/61 (0.02)	4/48 (0.09)	4/50 (0.08)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N。S。	N• S•	N.S.	N ° S °
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.179 Infinite	Infinite 0.170 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			5.083 0.527 244.874	4.880 0.503 235.283
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			91	111

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

Kats

(continued)				
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Adrenal: Cortical Adenoma <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0.00)	1/70 (0.01)	3/49 (0.06)	4/50 (0.08)
P Values <sup>c</sup> ,d	N • S •	N • S •	N • S •	N.S.
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.137 Infinite	Infinite 0.206 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			4.286 0.358 220.214	5.600 0.575 269.964
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	8		70	70
Mammary Gland: Fibroadenoma <sup>b</sup>	2/10 (0.20)	11/74 (0.15)	7/50 (0.14)	7/50 (0.14)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N.S.	N.S.	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit			0.700 0.173	0.700 0.173
jvi r - r			0.402	0.402
relative Kisk (Fooled Control)* Lower Limit			0.330 0.330	0.330 0.330
Upper Limit			2.455	2.455
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	45	1	49	87

Table E2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Rats Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

Table E2. An	alyses of the Inci Fed Chloram	dence of Primary T ben in the Diet <sup>a</sup>	umors in Female Ra	ats
(continued)				
Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Uterus: Endometrial Stromal Polyp <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0*00)	(60*0) 69/9	3/44 (0.07)	2/47 (0.04)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	N • S •	N • S •	N•S•
Relative Risk (Matched Control) Lower Limit Upper Limit	Ŧ		Infinite 0.152 Infinite	Infinite 0.069 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.784 0.132 3.449	0.489 0.049 2.589
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			108	77
<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses	of 10,000 or 20,000	0 ppm.		
<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animal	s/number of animal	s examined at site	(proportion).	
<sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumor Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; o incidence of tumors in a treat the comparison of that treated control group (**) when $P < 0$ , is indicated.	s in a control grou therwise, not sign ed group is the pro group with the ma 05 for either contu	up is the probabil ificant (N.S.) is obability level fo tched-control grou rol group; otherwi	ity level for the indicated. Benea r the Fisher exact p (*) or with the se, not significan	Cochran- th the t test for pooled- nt (N.S.)
dA negative trend (N) indicates	a lower incidence	in a treated grou	p than in a contr	ol group.
<sup>e</sup> The probability level for depa	rture from linear	trend is given whe	n P < 0.05 for an	y comparison.
<sup>f</sup> The 95% confidence interval of control group.	the relative risk	between each trea	ted group and the	specified



APPENDIX F

# ANALYSES OF THE INCIDENCE OF PRIMARY TUMORS

### IN MICE FED CHLORAMBEN IN THE DIET

.

Torocerothe Morrison	Matched	Pooled	Low	High
τοροgraphy: Μοτριιστοβγ	TOTICO	TOTILOT	DOSE	DOSE
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0.00)	4/66 (0.06)	0/49 (0.00)	4/48 (0.08)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	P = 0.043	N. S.	N•S•	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit				Infinite 0.215 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.000 0.000 1.455	1.375 0.266 7.004
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	8	1	87	92
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	2/10 (0.20)	9/69 (0.13)	16/48 (0.33)	14/48 (0.29)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N.S.	P = 0.029	P = 0.008 *	P = 0.028**
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			1.667 0.515 13.777	1.458 0.439 12.223
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			2.556 1.164 5.926	2.236 0.984 5.321
Weeks to First Observed Tumor	91	50 GB	87	64

Table Fl. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Male Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

Tumcrs in Male Mice	
of Primary	the Diet <sup>a</sup>
Incidence	loramben in
f the	ed Chl
Analyses o	H
Table Fl.	

(continued)

<sup>a</sup>Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm.

<sup>b</sup>Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).

incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for <sup>c</sup>Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochrancontrol group (\*\*) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (\*) or with the pooled-Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the is indicated.

 $^{
m dA}$  negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.

<sup>e</sup>The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.

<sup>f</sup>The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.

Topography: Morphology	Matched Control	Pooled Control	Low Dose	High Dose
Lung: Alveolar/Bronchiolar Adenoma or Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	0/10 (0.00)	3/69 (0.04)	1/48 (0.02)	1/50 (0.02)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N. S.	N <sub>•</sub> S <sub>•</sub>	N ° S .	N • S •
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.012 Infinite	Infinite 0.012 Infinite
Relative Risk (Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			0.479 0.009 5.734	0.460 0.009 5.510
Weeks to First Observed Tumor			91	92
Liver: Hepatocellular Carcinoma <sup>b</sup>	(00°0) 6/0	2/67 (0.03)	7/48 (0.15)	10/50 (0.20)
P Values <sup>c,d</sup>	N。S。	P = 0,004	P = 0.027 **	P = 0.003**
Relative Risk (Matched Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			Infinite 0.413 Infinite	Infinite 0.607 Infinite
Relative Risk Pooled Control) <sup>f</sup> Lower Limit Upper Limit			4。885 0。982 46。360	6.700 1.515 60.419
Weeks to First Observed Tunor	rannanseren serie dan	nangeraktivako akune akuna karan der fanyt karan karan karan sena akuna karan sena karan karan karan karan kar	5 3	78

Table F2. Analyses of the Incidence of Primary Tumors in Female Mice Fed Chloramben in the Diet<sup>a</sup>

	<sup>d</sup> A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.	<sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when $P < 0.05$ for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.	<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).
	<sup>6</sup> <sup>c</sup>	dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group. <sup>8</sup> <sup>e</sup> The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison.	<sup>C</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. dA negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.
<sup>f</sup> The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.		<sup>d</sup> A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.	<sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when $P < 0.05$ for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. d negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group.
<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). <sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. <sup>6</sup> A negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group. <sup>6</sup> The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison. <sup>6</sup> The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.	<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). <sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when $P < 0.05$ for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.	<sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).	
<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. <sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). <sup>b</sup> Deneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when P < 0.05; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (**) or with the pooled- control group (**) when P < 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. <sup>d</sup> negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group. <sup>f</sup> The probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P < 0.05 for any comparison. <sup>f</sup> The 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.	<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. <sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). <sup>c</sup> Beneath the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when $P < 0.05$ for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.	<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. <sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).	<sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm.
<pre>(continued) alreated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. alreated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. blumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). blumber of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran- the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- indidence of tumors in a treated group yith the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled- control group (**) when P &lt; 0.05 for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. d negative trend (N) indicates a lower incidence in a treated group than in a control group. fThe probability level for departure from linear trend is given when P &lt; 0.05 for any comparison. fThe 95% confidence interval of the relative risk between each treated group and the specified control group.</pre>	(continued) aTreated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. bNumber of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion). Element the incidence of tumors in a control group is the probability level for the Cochran-Armitage test when $P < 0.05$ ; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated. Beneath the incidence of tumors in a treated group is the probability level for the Fisher exact test for the comparison of that treated group with the matched-control group (*) or with the pooled-control group (*) when $P < 0.05$ for either control group; otherwise, not significant (N.S.) is indicated.	(continued) <sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm. <sup>b</sup> Number of tumor-bearing animals/number of animals examined at site (proportion).	(continued) <sup>a</sup> Treated groups received doses of 10,000 or 20,000 ppm.

The second

APPENDIX G

# ANALYSIS OF FORMULATED DIETS FOR CONCENTRATIONS

OF CHLORAMBEN



### APPENDIX G

# Analysis of Formulated Diets for Concentrations of Chloramben

A 10-g sample of the formulated diet was shaken with 125 ml of methanol at room temperature for 16 hours, then filtered through Celite with methanol washes, and reduced in volume to a theoretical chloramben concentration of about 400 ng/ml.

The chloramben then was converted to its methyl ester for gasliquid chromatographic (glc) analysis by a modification of the procedure of Leigh and Lisk (1970). To a 1-ml aliquot of the above extract in a 10-ml volumetric flask was added 3 ml of 14% BF3 : CH<sub>3</sub>OH. After 2 hours at  $75^{\circ}$ C, the flask was cooled and 2 ml of hexane was added. An aqueous solution of Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> (2%, w/v) was added to bring the total volume to 10 ml, and the sample was shaken vigorously for 1 minute and then allowed to separate. The (upper) hexane layer was quantitatively analyzed for chloramben by glc (electron capture detector, 10% DC-200 on Gas Chrom Q column). Recoveries were checked with chloramben-spiked samples carried through the workup and analysis, and external standards were used for calibration.

Theoretical Concentration in Diet (ppm)	No. of Samples	Sample Analytical Mean (ppm)	Coefficient of Variation (%)	Range (ppm)
10,000	41	10,000	4.9%	9,100-11,330
20,000	38	20,105	4.9%	18,200-22,000

☆U.S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1977- 241-161:3125





Unitary National Initiaties of Realth Bethowne, Nerylson 2001A





PRINTED IN U.S.A



DHEW Publication No. (NIH) 77-825