2

.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in failure, was thanking the Japanese representatives for their efforts; secondly the Japanese addressed themselves to framing up a cloak to cover their attack already under way, which cloak included what was in effect the fantastic and monstrously false charge that this Government was treating the Japanese outrageously by refusing to surrender to them.

130. Question:

You have testified that on November 29, you prepared for the President's consideration a draft of a Presidential message to Congress advising that body of the American-Japanese situation?

Answer:

Please refer to my reply to your question No. 16. 131. Question:

Did you, on the evening of November 29th, in your telephonic conversation with the President, discuss such a proposed message to Congress?

Answer:

I do not recall whether I discussed the proposed message to Congress in a telephone conversation with the President on November 29th.

132. Question:

In the preparation on the 29th of a proposed Presidential Message to Congress did you have in mind, in any

way, that provision of the Constitution which provides that from time to time the President shall give to Congress information as to the state of the Union and recommend to the Congress such measures as he shall judge necessary and expedient? (cf. Article 11, Sec.3)

Answer:

Please refer to my reply to your question No. 19. 133. Question:

If your answer to the previous question is "no" I ask you why such a course was considered even to preparation of a message.

Answer:

In the critical situation which then existed it was deemed important to give consideration to any and all lines of action that might in the least be helpful in meeting the situation.

134. Question:

You have testified that on Sunday, November 30, Lord Halifax told you that the British Government had important indications that Japan was about to attack Siam and the Kra Peninsula; did Lord Halifax tell you that the British had obtained this information through interception of a Tokyo message intended for Hitler, personally?

Answer:

I do not recall that the British Ambassador informed me of the source from which the British Government had had important indications that Japan was about to attack Siam and the Kra Peninsula, and I find nothing in the record indicating that he mentioned the source.

Questions 135 and 137 are grouped in a single answer. 135. Question:

A message from the Japanese Ambassador at Berlin to Tokyo, dated November 29 and decoded in Washington on December 1, has the Japanese Ambassador advising his Government that Ribbentrop had informed him that Germany had information that America's stiff front had practically ended the Washington conversations; whereupon the Ambassador had told Ribbentrop that he had no official word from Tokyo as to the conversations or as to Japan's intentions; my question is: did this intercepted message from Berlin to Tokyo fit in with the evidence of previous intercepts, and of Ambassador Grew's reports, that Japan had been keeping Hitler in the dark as to the Washington conversations? (cf. Intercepts, Ex. 1, Page 200).

137. Question:

Is it not clearly indicated, if not established, by the Intercept from Berlin of November 29 that on that day neither the Japanese Ambassador nor Hitler had

definite information as to Japan's intentions towards the United States?

Answer:

It would seem clear from the message under reference that the Japanese Ambassador at Berlin had not communicated from his Government to the German Government at that time a report on the current situation regarding the Japanese-American conversations. The Germans had more than one way of keeping in touch with Japan. The possibility is not excluded that Hitler and also Ribbentrop had received reports from the German Ambassador at Tokyo of the progress of the conversations. Therefore, I would not wish to undertake to interpret the message.

136. Question:

November 29 was the day of the Japanese deadline?
Answer:

Tokyo's message to the Japanese Ambassador No. 812 of November 22, 1941, of which a translation appears on page 165, Exhibit 1, contains the following passage:

"There are reasons beyond your ability to
guess why we wanted to settle Japanese-American
relations by the 25th, but if within the next
three or four days you can finish your conversations with the Americans; if the signing can be
completed by the 29th, (let me write it out for you--

. 1

...

WASHINGTON. D

twenty-ninth); if the pertinent notes can be exchanged; if we can get an understanding with Great Britain and the Netherlands; and in short if everything can be finished, we have decided to wait until that date. This time we mean it, that the deadline absolutely cannot be changed."

The foregoing bald confession by the Japanese Government of its plan and patent movement to attack unless the United States surrendered to the demands in Japan's ultimatum fits in with all that I said and did following that date.

138. Question:

Also intercepted, and decoded in Washington on December 1, was a message from the Japanese Government to its Ambassador at Berlin, dated Tokyo, November 30; Therein the Japanese Ambassador is informed that American-Japanese conversations now "stand ruptured-broken"; the Japanese Government instructs the Ambassador to see Hitler and Ribbentrop immediately and to say "very secretly to them that there is extreme danger that war may suddenly break out between the Anglo-Saxon nations and Japan through some clash of arms" and to "add that the time of the breaking out of this war may come quicker than anyone dreams"; my question is: did this message and the attendant circumstances of it strengthen your

*

convictions as to Japan's intentions? (cf. Intercepts, Ex. 1, Page 204.)

Answer:

The message to which you refer was cumulative evidence of the conclusions which I had already reached in regard to Japan's intentions, and which were overwhelmingly supported by the surrounding facts and circumstances.

Questions 139 and 140 are grouped in a single answer.

139. Question:

In fixing the deadline for November 29, Tokyo had secretly advised Nomura and Kurusu that after that date things would happen automatically, had it not?

140. Question:

Did you consider the message to Hitler on November 30, a portentous automatic happening in the crisis?

Answer:

On November 22 the Japanese Government instructed
Nomura and Kurusu in regard to the extension from
November 25 to November 29 for the deadline for the
conclusion of an agreement and stated that: "After that
things are automatically going to happen." (Exhibit
No. 1, page 165) The message from Tokyo to Berlin of
November 30, 1941 (Exhibit No. 1, page 204) was, of
course, in harmony with what the Japanese had in mind
as revealed through numerous sources.

141. Question:

2

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Did the President return to Washington on December 1? Answer:

The record shows that the President returned to Washington from Warm Springs on December 1. Questions 142 to 145 are grouped in a single answer. 142. Question:

Did he direct the preparation of a strong note to Japan asking of that Government an explanation for its concentration of forces in the southern part of Indo-China? Question:

Did the President on December 2, direct the State Department to hand the Japanese a communication in which the President stated that Japanese concentrations in southern Indo-China implied the utilization of these forces by Japan for aggression against the Philippines, the Dutch East Indies, Malaya or Thailand? (cf. For. Rel. 11, pages 778-9)

144. Question:

Was such a communication handed to the Japanese? 145. Question:

Did that communication state that the Hitleresque nature of the Japanese concentrations and the broad problem of American defense had prompted the President's representations? (cf. For. Rel. 11, Page 779, last

.

paragraph of text of note.)

Answer:

The President on December 2 simply directed that inquiry be made at once of the Japanese Ambassador in regard to the reasons for the continued Japanese troop movements in Indochina. On the same day the Under Secretary of State, in compliance with the President's instruction, informed the Japanese Ambassador and Mr. Kurusu of the President's inquiry. The record of the matter appears in Foreign Relations of the United States, Japan, 1931-1941, Volume II, pages 778-781.

146. Question:

Did the Japanese military concentrations and military movements, known to the American Government in the period November 30 - December 6, 1941, constitute threats to American Pacific possessions, to the countries neighboring Japan in the Pacific, and to the American sources of vital materials?

Answer:

The Japanese military concentrations and military movements known to the American Government in the period November 30 - December 6, 1941, so far as I could judge as Secretary of State, did constitute serious threats to American Pacific possessions, to the countries neighboring Japan, and to American sources of vital

в

.

materials.

Questions 147 and 148 are grouped in a single answer.
147. Question:

Did such threats require that the United States immediately take any and all steps to meet them in conformity with the statement of the American Government to Japan on August 17, 1941, to-wit: ".. this Government now finds it necessary to say to the Government of Japan that if the Japanese Government takes any further steps in pursuance of a policy or program of military domination by force OR THREAT OF FORCE of neighboring countries, the Government of the United States will be compelled to take immediately any and all steps which it may deem necessary toward safeguarding the legitimate rights and interests of the United States and toward insuring the safety and security of the United States." (cf. For. Rel. 11, pp. 556-7)

148. Question:

Did the Japanese military concentrations and movements of Nov. 30 - Dec. 6 constitute a challenge to the Government of the United States to implement the position it had taken in its note of August 17 to Japan? Answer:

The purpose of the United States, in making the statement of August 17 under reference, was to tell

в

Japan in a friendly way that if she kept encroaching upon our rights and interests, we would defend ourselves. This Government at that time was acutely concerned over Japan's refusal to agree to our proposal for the neutralization of Indochina, to abandon her jumping-off place there, and otherwise to desist from the menace she was creating to us and other peace-minded nations. It wholly misrepresents the attitude of the United States in the period after August 17 to allege that this Government was planning any step other than that of pure defense in the event the Japanese should attack. Other aspects of this question, for example, where, when and how we would resist the Japanese, were essentially a military matter.

tions has during the tion broads a sens

149. Question:

Answer:

Had the Secretary of State, in September 1940, informed Lord Lothian that American actions towards Japan in the Pacific would be predicated upon a policy of doing everything legitimately possible to help England win the war? (cf. Peace and War, p. 575)

What I said to Lord Lothian on September 30, 1940 in regard to this matter is accurately described in my memorandum of conversation with him appearing on pages

574-575 of Peace and War. My comments to Lord Lothian

had to do with the broad aspects of the situation created by the conclusion of the Tripartite Pace but also had special reference to the winning of the war by Great Britain against Germany. At that moment Germany had already overrun much of the Continent of Europe and the British and the entire Allied cause was virtually hanging by a thread. Every rational person realizes what would have happened to this country if Hitler and his allies had succeeded in their program. It was in these circumstances that I had my conversation with Lord Lothian. I said:

"The relations between Germany, Italy and Japan, each having a common objective of conquering certain areas of the world and each pursuing identical policies of force, devastation and seizure, have been during recent years on a basis of complete understanding and of mutual cooperation for all purposes mutually desirable and reasonably practicable, with the result that the recent announcement was part and parcel of the chain of related events.

"I then proceeded to say that this Government has pursued a definite and somewhat progressive line of acts and utterances in resisting Japanese aggression and treaty violations during recent years; that these acts and utterances have comprised repeated aid to China, succes-

^

3

5

4

B

1

8

0

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

50

22

21

23

24

25

sive moral embargoes, abandonment of the commercial treaty, actual embargoes under law, the sending of our Navy to Hawaii, together with appropriate statements and notes of strong remonstrance against Japanese steps of aggression and constant repetition of the basic principles of world order under law. I added that I did not undertake to predict, much less to make commitments, as to how fast and how far this Government may go in following up the various acts and utterances in which it has been indulging; that, of course, the special desire of this Government is to see Great Britain succeed in the war and that its acts and utterances with respect to the Pacific area would be more or less affected as to time and extent by the question of what course would, on the part of this Government, most effectively and legitimately aid Great Britain in winning the war."

150. Question:

Had the Secretary of State in August, 1941, informed Lord Halifax that a Japanese movement into the South Pacific would constitute a danger to England second only to a German invasion across the English Channel? (cf. Peace and War 710-11)

Answer:

What I said to Lord Halifax on August 9 in regard to this matter is accurately described in my memorandum df 42 1

2

3

4.

5

6

8

7

.

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of conversation with him appearing on Pages 710-711 of Peace and War which reads as follows:

"The Ambassador made some inquiry about the amount of aid this Government might give in case Singapore or the Dutch East Indies should be attacked. I replied that I myself have visualized the problem and issue in a broader way and that issue is presented by the plan of the Japanese to invade by force the whole of the Indian Ocean and the islands and continents adjacent thereto, isolating China, sailing across probably to the mouth of the Suez Canal, to the Persian Gulf oil area, to the Cape of Good Hope area, thereby blocking by a military despotism the trade routes and the supply sources to the British. I added that this broad military occupation would perhaps be more damaging to British defense in Europe than any other step short of the German crossing of the Channel. I said that this Government visualizes these broad conditions and the problem of resistance which they present; that the activities of this Government in the way of discouraging this Japanese movement and of resistance will be more or less affected by the British defensive situation in Europe and hence by the question of the number of American naval vessels and other American aid that may be needed by Great Britain at the same time. I said that in the event of further

af 43

Japanese movements south this Government and the British
Government should naturally have a conference at once and
this Government would then be able to determine more definitely and in detail its situation pertaining to resistance,
in the light of the statement I had just made."

151. Question:

Had the Congress, on November 13, 1941, at the solicitation of the President and the Secretary of State, committed the nation to keeping open the sea lanes so that Lend-Lease might fulfill its function? (Cf. N.Y. Times, Nov. 14)

Answer:

My view of the significance of the measure passed by the House of Representatives on November 13, 1941 providing for the amendment to the Neutrality Act is contained in a letter I wrote to Speaker Rayburn and Representative McCormack on that same day.

In that letter I stated:

"The breadth of our self-defense must at all times equal the breadth of the dangers which threaten us. In the circumstances of today, we must be free to arm our merchant ships for their own protection; and we must be free, in the event of particular and extreme emergency, to use these ships for the carriage of supplies to nations which are resisting the world-

wide movement of conquest headed in our direction.

This Government would, of course, use caution in carrying out the power which it could exercise upon the passage of the bill."

I also stated:

"The greatest intermediate objective of
Hitler's armed forces is to capture Great Britain and
to gain control of the high seas. To this end, Hitler
has projected his forces far out into the Atlantic
with a policy of submarine ruthlessness. By intimidation and terror he would drive our ships from the
high seas, and ships of all nations from most of the
North Atlantic. Even in the waters of the Western
Hemisphere he has attacked and destroyed our ships, as
well as ships of other American republics, with resulting loss of American lives."

The action of Congress in amending the Neutrality
Act was only one factor in promoting the broad problem
of self-defense, the necessity of which at that time was
urgent and compelling.

152. Question:

In addition to the physical threat to the Philippines as stated in the President's communication to Japan of December 2, did the Japanese military movements constitute a danger to the commitments made by Congress in author-

df 44

Ø

izing Lend-Lease and in re-establishing the American policy of freedom of the seas?

Answer:

I would say that the Japanese military movements constituted a danger to the defense of free nations resisting the world-wide movement of conquest. The intent of lend-lease was to assist in that defense. The broad question of danger to this and to all peaceful countries was Japan's military partnership with Hitler for conquest. A material factor in the situation was Japan's flagrant violations of American rights and interests and the jeopardizing of American lives in China, which portended the extension of such violations over a much wider area. This Government could not afford to be deluded by Japan's false claims and pretensions which masked her designs of conquest, and to be oblivious to our own serious dangers.

153. Question:

You have testified, have you not, that the decision of the Executive, in the period November 29-December 6, was not to advise Congress in a Message of the state of American-Japanese relations?

Answer:

In my testimony on November 26, 1945, in reply to questions by Counsel as to "what the facts and circum-

3

4

5

8

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

15

23

24

25

155. Question:

stances were which led to the decision not to deliver that message to the Congress prior to December 7th," I set forth various considerations which influenced the President and myself against acting prematurely in that matter. I have also discussed this in answer to your questions Nos. 18 and 19. The issue between isolationists and non-isolationists was then at fever heat and its line of cleavage extended through the Congress. The sending of a message to Congress at this critical juncture would have greatly accentuated that issue and would have correspondingly encouraged the Japanese militarists. The fact was that we had been doing our best to acquaint the Congress and the public with the critical dangers in the situation, and at the same time to avoid precipitating the crisis which the military people were anxious to defer as long as possible.

Questions 154 to 156 are grouped in a single answer. 154. Question:

As one reason for this decision not to send a Message to Congress, you have testified that Congress, only a few weeks before November 29, had by only one vote, sustained the Selective Service. Are you aware that the vote in question was in the House on August 13, 1941, three and one-half months before November 29, 1941?

В

. 17

Are you aware that the vote had to do with the matter of releasing at the end of a year's service those whose service had been limited to one year in the original bill?

Promitant in the same spiendly boss agreed that the two

156. Question:

Are you aware that the House took this vote in ignorance of the fact that, a day or two before, the President, at the Atlantic Conference, was agreeing with the British Prime Minister on a course of American action with relation to Japan?

Answer:

Without discussing the technicalities of the selective service extension bill under consideration in August 1941, it is still my conviction that the close vote in the House on that bill, 203 to 202, indicated the violently divided character of national opinion at the time. Furthermore, had the bill been defeated, the forces of aggression would have been greatly encouraged and the nations resisting aggression correspondingly discouraged.

In his message to Congress on this subject, July 21, 1941, President Roosevelt said:

"Today it is imperative that I should officially report to the Congress what the Congress undoubtedly knows: That the international situation is not less grave but is far more grave than it was a year ago.

Are you aware that the vote had to do with the matter of releasing at the end of a year's service those whose service had been limited to one year in the original bill?

156. Question:

Are you aware that the House took this vote in ignorance of the fact that, a day or two before, the President, at the Atlantic Conference, was agreeing with the British Prime Minister on a course of American action with relation to Japan?

Answer:

Without discussing the technicalities of the selective service extension bill under consideration in August 1941, it is still my conviction that the close vote in the House on that bill, 203 to 202, indicated the violently divided character of national opinion at the time. Furthermore, had the bill been defeated, the forces of aggression would have been greatly encouraged and the nations resisting aggression correspondingly discouraged.

In his message to Congress on this subject, July 21, 1941, President Roosevelt said:

"Today it is imperative that I should officially report to the Congress what the Congress undoubtedly knows: That the international situation is not less grave but is far more grave than it was a year ago.

President in the same friendly tone agreed that the two Governments should resume amicable conversations looking to a peaceful understanding. Such conversations were then continued in a friendly way for nearly four months.

I, therefore, do not see how the attitude of this Government could in any way be construed as offensive or unfriendly or how there can be any warrantable basis for criticism of the President.

Questions 157 and 158 are grouped in a single answer.

Were you advised by anyone as to when the army would be ready for war in the Facific?

158. Question:

Were you advised by anyone as to when the navy would be ready for war in the Pacific?

Answer:

The views of the Chief of Staff and the Chief of Naval Operations on the need of more time for preparedness as set forth in their memoranda of November 5 and November 27, 1941, were known to me at the time. Furthermore, the Army and Navy heads for some time had been representing to me their need of more time in which to strengthen the defence of the United States.

Questions 159 and 163 are grouped in a single answer.

159. Question:

R--46

*

"Occasional individuals, based their opinions on unsupported evidence or on no evidence at all, may with honest intent assert that the United States need fear no attack on its own territory or on the other nations of this hemisphere by aggressors from without.

"Nevertheless, it is the well-nigh unanimous opinion of those who are daily cognizant, as military and naval officers and as Government servants in the field of international relations, that schemes and plans of aggressor nations against American security are so evident that the United States and the rest of the Americas are definitely imperiled in their national interests...."

I told the press on August 11, 1941, in response to a question whether failure of the bill would have any effect on Japan, that "the psychological effect alone on many phases of the international situation would be exceedingly bad, to say nothing of the actual results."

With regard to the President's communication to the Japanese Ambassador of August 17, 1941, the President had nothing in mind except a friendly approach to discourage Japan from attacking us. Having participated in that interview, I received no impression from the President's tone or demeanor of any suggestion of a threat. The

6

163

4

5

в

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

24

Will you advise the Committee as to who saw the final modus vivendi as prepared by the State Department? Question:

Will you advise the Committee as to who saw your November 26, 1941, message to Japan?

Answer:

The November 25 draft of the modus vivendi was, of course, seen, as were all previous drafts, by the Far Eastern advisers of the Department of State. It contained nothing of material substance that was not contained in the November 24 draft and the revisions it represented were largely refinements in the interests of precision. The November 24 draft was seen by the diplomatic representatives of the British, Netherlands and Chinese Governments, and to the best of my recollection by the President and the representatives of the War and Navy Departments. I do not know who outside the Department of State saw the November 25 draft, and in any case there would hardly have been time for it to have any wide circulation before the decision was reached on the following day to withhold, in delivering our reply to the Japanese, the modus vivendi feature. On this point I refer to the three successive drafts of the modus vivendi which are a part of the record. With regard to the 10-point proposal, it is clear

2

8

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

from my reply to your question No. 74 that the contents of the 10-point proposal were seen by all who saw the modus vivendi drafts. However, I cannot say who, other than the Far Eastern advisers of the State Department, saw the 10-point proposal in the exact form in which it was set up for delivery to the Japanese. To all of the modus vivendi drafts the 10-point proposal was attached, as the modus vivendi was intended only to facilitate conversations with the proposals in the 10-point communication as a basis. Japan could not have accepted our modus vivendi draft without being prepared to take as a basis for further conversations a program along the lines of the 10-point proposal, nor could she have avoided declaring at the outset of the conversations that she would pursue a peaceful course, such declaration being set forth in paragraphs one and two of the modus vivendi in language as follows:

"1. The Government of the United States and the Government of Japan, both being solicitous for the peace of the Pacific, affirm that their national policies are directed toward lasting and extensive peace throughout the Pacific area and that they have no territorial designs therein.

"2. They undertake reciprocally not to

make from regions in which they have military establishments any advance by force or threat or force into any areas in Southeastern or Northeastern Asia or in the southern or the northern Pacific area."

Questions 160, 161, 164 and 165 are grouped in a single answer.

160. Question:

Will you advise the Committee as to who opposed the sending of this modus vivendi?

161. Question:

Will you tell us who favored the sending of this modus vivendi?

164. Question:

165. Question:

Will you advise the Committee as to who opposed the sending of this message? (the November 26 message)

Will you advise us who favored the sending of this message?

Answer:

While I, of course, consulted the military and naval authorities of this Government and with the Far Eastern advisers of the Department of State on all plans for dealing with the critical situation in relation with Japan, the responsibility for decisions, except in matters which I felt should be referred to the President, rested

R-51

with me, and I took no poll of "ayes" and "nays". So far as I am aware, however, among the top officials whose function it was to make decisions, there was no dissent at any stage of our intensive consideration in the days between November 22 and November 26 of the modus vivendi proposal or the 10-point proposal.

The situation with which we were called upon to deal between November 22 and November 26 was briefly as follows: We had the indisputable evidence of the intercepted Japanese message of November 22, referred to in my reply to your question No.136, that the Japanese Government had instructed its representatives that there must be acceptance of its terms without any possibility of further concessions and within a definite time limit—November 29. I and my associates could not escape the conclusion from a reading of the Japanese message that the Japanese had decided to attack unless the United States made basic concessions.

In our clutching at straws to see how far we could go toward tiding over the situation we got up the modus vivendi plan for possible inclusion as a part of our reply along with a plan for a broad but simple settlement covering the entire Pacific area. The modus vivendi plan called for the participation of the Governments of Great Britain, Australia and the Netherlands in connec-

R-52

2

3

4

5

в

7

8

9

10

1

7

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

tion with the provisions in the plan for the modification of the freezing measures. We consulted those governments and also the Chinese Government which was vitally concerned. Generalissimo Chiang Kai-shek's reaction to the modus vivendi plan as communicated to this Government was that if there was any relaxation of the embargo by this Government, or even a belief on the part of the Chinese people that such action would be taken, Chinese morale would be shattered, Chinese resistance would collapse, and the Japanese would be able to gain their ends. In the light of this serious development and of the chances being overwhelmingly against Japan's acceptance of the modus vivendi proposal, especially as we had convincing evidence that Japan was already moving forward with her military forces and had reached the jumping-off place in Indochina, consideration of all the surrounding circumstances relating to the difficulties and the imminent dangers in the situation led to a conclusion not to propose our modus vivendi draft to the Japanese.

In any event the modus vivendi plan would not have enhanced appreciably the chances of Japan's adopting our counterproposal, for what we would have offered the Japanese in the modus vivendi was mere chicken feed compared with what they were asking for, as set forth in their ultimatum of November 20. The view that Japan would not accept our counterproposal, even with the

gs 18

3

2

4

5

в

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

shared by all the high officials in the Government who are known to have expressed any views on the subject, as, for example, the following instances: On November 24 Admiral Stark, in a circular message, addressed, among others, to the Commander-in-Chief, Pacific Fleet, pointed out that the chances of a favorable outcome of the negotiations with Japan were very doubtful and that a surprise aggresive movement by the Japanese in any direction was a probability. On Movember 25 Admiral Stark followed up that message with a letter to Admiral Kimmel. In the letter he stated that he had held up dispatch of the letter pending a meeting with the President and Mr. Hull. Admiral Stark stated that neither the President nor Mr. Hull would be surprised over a Japanese surprise attack and that they had confirmed the view expressed in the previous message regarding the gravity of the situation. Secretary Stimson in his diary entry for November 25 stated that at a meeting at the White House the President expressed the view that, "We are likely to be attacked perhaps as soon as -- perhaps next Monday."

modus vivendi feature, was, to the best of my recollection,

Some persons, in attempting to reconstruct the situation which then existed, seem to have been misled by Japanese charges misrepresenting the character of the 10-point proposal. They seem to have completely over-

WARD & PAUL WASHINGTON, D

4

3

5

0

8

Ø

11

10

12

13

14

16

13

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

looked the fact, which was subsequently disclosed, that by November 26 when our proposel was delivered to the Japanese, orders had already been given to their fleet to sail preparatory to the attack which was later made according to schedule. It was this movement to attack which prompted the Japanese to start preparing their utterly false and fraudulent misrepresentations, which amounted to the monstrous charge, made in the worst of bad faith, that they had been foreed to fight because our statement of policy as contained in our November 26 proposal was harsh and humiliating. Neither the Japanese leaders who falsely pretended to be "dumbfounded" over our proposal of November 26, notwithstanding the fact that it was along lines we had been discussing for months, nor those who supported this Japanese contention had at any time claimed that the Japanese would make the least concession beyond their proposal of November 20, nor have they advanced any suggestion as to what further concessions the United States would have to make, short of complete acceptance of the Japanese proposal of November 20.

There was no reason for the Japanese to have come to us at any stage with their demands, nor was there any need for a new agreement between the United States and Japan. All that was necessary was for Japan to

abandon her course of aggression and adopt one of peace, and the situation in the Pacific area would have adjusted itself almost automatically by the observance on the part of Japan, along with other signatory powers, of the Nine-Power Treaty, of the Kellogg-Briand Peace Pact and other treaties and commitments, including a commitment to respect the "open door" in China, to which Japan was a party. Nor must also the fact be overlooked that while Japan was repudiating these solemn treaty obligations by taking the aggressive and moving her armed forces toward us and other peaceful countries, we were pleading, as a peaceful and lawabiding nation, with Japan to abandon her course of conquest and likewise become law-abiding and peaceful.

Our position, as summed up in the 10-point program, was really nothing new to the Japanese. We had been discussing with them throughout months of conversations broad-guaged principles, practical applications of which were along the lines of the 10-point proposal. The proposal was not presented to them in the form of demands but merely as an example of a kind of settlement we would like to see workedout in the Pacific area. We were not bargaining with the Japanese as if we ourselves had been offenders. The only issue or question to be settled was whether we could prevail upon Japan to abandon

. .

2

N

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

her increasingly dangerous movements of conquest and agree to become law-abiding and to adopt a peaceful course.

This was the all-important issue which the Japanese in the end sought to cover up and dodge.

The 10-point program also summed up, so the general public might understand, many of the general and special benefits which might accrue to Japan if she renounced a course of aggression; such as, enhancement of her national security through participation in a multilateral non-aggression pact and through measures calculated to stabilize the situation in the Far East, including the abrogation by the powers of extraterritoriality in whina and the giving of mutual pledges regarding respect for the integrity of Indochina; and an advantageous exonomic a generous trade agreement with the United program: States, removal of the freezing regulations, an agreement upon a plan for stabilization of the dollar-yen rate. What Japan was asked to do in return was to give practical application to the professions she had made of her peaceful intent by agreeing to withdraw her armed forces from China and Indochina, to support no regime in China other than the national government of China, and to agree not to interpret any agreement to which she was a party in such a way as to conflict with the establishment and preservation of peace throughout the

i

Pacific area. Surely, these latter were reasonable and necessary conditions for the privileges that were offered to Japan. The 10-point proposal would have been highly welcome to Japan if she had had any intention of adopting peaceful courses. It would be a monstrous travesty of the facts and an unspeakable libel on this country if the Japanese warlords in their effort to disclaim responsibility should be permitted to screen and shift their guilt in the face of all the facts to the corresp.

162. Question:

Did you agree with Ambassador Grew, and others, that the placing of the embargo upon Japan would mean war?

Answer:

The general proposition regarding the effect of embargoes upon Japan, especially as applicable to the situation from 1938 to 1940, is set forth on page 88 of Peace and War, U. S. Foreign Policy. The important fact, however, which had to be taken into account in the situation at the time when this Government applied freezing measures to Japan in July, 1941, was the advance of Japan's armed forces so as seriously and immediately to imperil the security of this and other countries. At that stage, Japan was in effect brazenly demanding military supplies with which to attack this and other

7

8

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

countries to the south. The question of our selfdefense had by that time become supreme with us and impelled us to refuse to furnish the invader with military supplies.

Questions 166 to 169 are grouped in a single answer.

166. Question:

Were you or anyone in the State Department to your knowledge consulted in regard to the military plan being drawn up by America, Britain, the Netherlands, and China, sometimes known as the ABCD b. ccc? 167. question:

If you were so consulted will you state who consulted you and what was said at the conference?

Did representatives of the State Department participate in any of these conversations?

169, Question:

168, wuestion:

If so, state the name of that representative. Answer:

From time to time I participated from the political angle, in discussions with the President and the leaders of the army and of the Navy in regard to the subject of the military conversations with the British and the Dutch for joint defensive plans. No representative of the Department of State participated in those

4 .

staff conversations, but there was a Department of State representative who participated in the conversations regarding defense which were held with the Canadians.

There was no Chinese participation in the foregoing conversations.

In the discussions which I held with the President and the lea ers of the Army and of the Navy in regard to those coversations, as made clear above, I did not pass upon the military aspect of questions, but occasionally offered comments and suggestions as a layman. The views that I expressed were along the lines I had expressed publicly as well as in talks with diplomatic representatives. I refer you to what I said in that regard in an address on Earch 17, 1938 (Peace and War, pages 412-413), as follows:

"Prudence and common sense dictate that,
where this and other nations have common interests and common abjectives, we should not hesitate to exchange information and to confer with
the governments of such other nations and, in
dealing with the problems confronting each alike,
to proceed along parallel lines—this Government
retaining at all times its independence of judgment and freedom of action. For nations which
seek peace to assume with respect to each other

gs 25

B

attitudes of complete aloofness would serve only to encourage, and virtually invite, on the part of other nations lawlessly inclined, policies and actions most likely to endanger peace.

"In the present Far Lastern emergency, we have consistently collaborated with other peaceseeking nations in the manner I have just described. I have said often, and I repeat again, that in this collaboration there is not a trace of alliance or involvement of any sort. We have scrupulously followed and we intend to follow the traditional policy of our country not to enter into entangling alliances or involvements with other countries."

Ambassader, Lord Lothian, in commenting upon his expressed view that there should be conferences between the naval experts of our two governments with respect to what each would or might do in case of military outbreaks on the part of Japan. I said that, of course, there could be no agreements entered into in this respect, but that there should undoubtedly be collaboration with a view to making known to each other any and all information practicable in regard to what both might have in mind to do, and when and where, in case of a military move-

21 .

ment by Japan in the south or in some other direction.

There was no suggestion on the mart of any of us in this Government, so far as I knew, that in the military staff conversations our representatives could go beyond, at the very most, making recommendations which, of course, would have been subject to congressional approval.

Mr. Lane: The committee received a sworn statement dated
March 1946 from former Secretary of War Henry L. Stimson. This
statement was in response to inquiry by committee counsel
for certain portions of a dairy kept by Mr. Stimson. We ask
that the statement and appendix thereto be spread on the record
at this point.

The Chairman: It is so ordered.

(The matter referred to is as follows:)

AH 1 8 9 10 11 Walter to the west of the 12 13 Levidnes which I wave beinge the Total 14 15 not only from my reboilection of the events shink from alive 16 preparing the reart Barbon shippin but " An while he dates my 17 18 19 Araco Thad a Clabsornege at My house so salada the called 20 Townstands sells seathing before yearns to the war become section 21 which many ducated by Trom thense to the Arms Fearl Harbor Searl 22 This Specifie lest assume asized be for my topics neverting the 23 Calls of November 5, 5, 7, 15, 21, 25, 25, 25, 25, 25, 37, 38 24 Proceedance to which of leading it gos this tenth but to just a grant cone at me an

25

HENRY L. STIMSON

Former Secretary of War

to the

JOINT COMMITTEE ON THE INVESTIGATION

OF THE PEARL HARBOR ATTACK

S. CON. RES. 27

With Appendix

The evilance which & Am able to give the amountless came

works a sel my depaste days for any the selection of the selection of the selection of

ction from a contemporarione naced was no a sept True March 1946.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

AS SHOWN BY MY CURRENT NOTES AND MY

RECOLLECTION AS REFRESHED THEREBY

The Committee already has before it my testimony before the Army Pearl Harbor Board. At that time I undertook to give the Board the answers to the questions which were asked me as fully as I was then able, having in mind certain limitations on what I then felt was proper to discuss, including particularly any matters the revelation of which might in any way have jeopardized the safety of our then pending military operations.

I am now able, however, to amplify in certain respects the

testimony which I gave before the Board.

The evidence which I am able to give the Committee comes not only from my recollection of the events which transpired preceding the Pearl Harbor attack, but I am able to refresh my recollection from a contemporaneous record which I kept from day to day for my own personal use. As I explained to the Army Board, I had a dictograph at my house at which I dictated these memoranda each morning before going to the War Department. I read many excerpts from these to the Army Pearl Harbor Board. This Committee last autumn asked me for my notes covering the dates of November 5, 6, 7, 10, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and December 2 and 7, 1941. I am attaching to this statement as an appendix copies of my notes covering these dates, of matters

AH 1A

.

relevant to the Far Eastern situation and the events leading up to the attack on Pearl Harbor. Although these extracts speak largely for themselves, they were made roughly and hastily and were not revised when dictated. They therefore naturally need some addition to tie them in as a connected story and to give the whole picture as I saw it. It is for this reason that I am accompanying them with this statement.

No accurate understanding can be had of the situation which existed in the weeks preceding the Pearl Harbor attack or of the conduct of the various individuals concerned unless they are viewed in the light of the historical events which had been going on for some time and which ultimately led to the crisis that occurred in December and the war of the United States with the Axis powers. From some of the comments which have been made and given wide publicity, one receives the impression that many people have already forgotten the trend of events which were coming to a head in the autumn of 1941 and the threat to our own safety which had unmistakenly developed in the actions of the two great aggressor nations, Germany and Japan, who already in the preceding months and years had begun spreading destruction and terror throughout a large portion of the civilized world.

Japan had started on her current path of aggression in the Far East as early as September 1931. She then attacked the Chinese in Manchuria and overran that territory, flouting her

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

obligations under the Pact of Paris and the Nine Power Treaty. There then followed her attacks on the Chinese in Shanghai. invaded China in 1937, after the conclusion of the Anti-Comintern Pact with Germany. The brutal and barbarous type of military aggression for which she stood was typified by the outrages committed by her army in the occupation of Nanking and similar incidents, which by 1941 had become notorious events of history. In September 1940, after Germany had set out on her temporarily triumphal path toward the subjugation of the nations of Europe, Japan concluded a military alliance with Germany and Italy and placed herself formally in the camp of the Axis powers.

CONTRACTOR OF THE PERSON NAMED IN CONTRA

By the summer of 1941, the Japanese intentions in the Far East became very clear, After Germany attacked Russia in June of that year, Japan began extensive military preparations -among other things, calling an additional two million men to the colors. The utterances of her warlords became increasingly threatening. She extended her military operations into southern French Indo-China. That she was headed toward the ultimate occupation of Singapore and the Netherlands East Indies, and thence the domination of the entire Southeast Asia, was evident not only from her overt acts and announcements but from certain of her intercepted diplomatic messages in which her intentions were expressed in more detail.

All of this presented a great threat to our safety and interests. If Singapore and the Netherlands Indies should be

occupied, Japan would be strengthened by the acquisition of a great fortress and a great source of natural resources in rubber and oil, which would help her greatly to carry on her program of depredation. The Philippines, which lay between Japan and these British and Dutch targets, would inevitably be the next victim, and at her mercy. China might easily be forced to capitulate and taken out of the war. Our military advisers had given the President their formal advice that, if Japan attacked British Malaya or the Dutch East Indies or moved her forces west of a certain line in Indo-China, we would have to fight for the sake of our own security.

On the other side of the world, we were faced with a situation which was even more critical. Hitler, having seized Norway, France, Belgium, Denmark, and Holland, had just attacked Russia in June of 1941 and the Russians were fighting a desperate battle to stop the German army from overrunning a large portion of her territory and her capital. In the meantime, the Germans were maintaining large forces deployed on the north coast of Europe as a continual threat of an invasion of England which, as we know, was ill prepared to meet it. As we now know from the evidence presented at the trial of the German war criminals in Nuremburg, Hitler was planning ultimately to attack the United States and was conspiring with the Japanese to aid them while they attacked us in the meantime. It was then very apparent to everyone who had carefully followed the course of

events that we would sooner or later have to meet the threat to civilization which these aggressor nations were presenting to the world, and the great danger was that the nations who were then fighting desperately and gallantly to stem this threat would be knocked out of the war one by one before our turn came and that we would ultimately be left to face the onslaught alone.

The American people had been slow to recognize the danger, but by the autumn of 1941 it was beginning to be understood more clearly. Early in 1941 Congress in the Land-Lease Act had authorized the furnishing of munitions to the nations fighting the Axis and the shelter of our ports to their warships. In August 1941 the Congress passed a bill extending the draft. In November 1941 Congress voted to repeal important sections of the Neutrality Law, thus permitting the arming of our ships and their sailing into any combat zone or belligerent port in the world. On November 23, 1941, Representative Gearhart of California, in a broadcast on the "American Forum of the Air", after pointing out Japan's breaches of treaty obligations and her subsequent aggression in China, stated:

"Japan's ruthlessness makes her an enemy not only of China but also a common foe of all nations."

From some of the comments quoted in the public press, one would get the impression that the imminent threat of war in October and November 1941 was a deep secret, known only to the authorities in Washington who kept it mysteriously to themselves.

2

8

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

Nothing could be further from the truth. At least one of our destroyers had been attacked by German war vessels. Aside from the war warnings which were sent to our military and naval commanders in the various theatres of danger, the imminence of war with Japan was a matter of public knowledge and the people were being warned time and time again of the danger which was approaching, One need only read the headlines of the newspapers during this period. For example, on October 17th the Navy ordered all American merchant ships in the Pacific to put into safe ports, On October 24th Secretary Knox publicly warned of a "clash" with Japan and the "seriousness of the situation", On November 11, 1941 - Armistice Day - the President himself warned the people that the nation was facing a world war again. Sumner Welles, Under Secretary of State, declared on that day that wour people realized that at any moment war may be forced upon us". During this period, day after day, the headlines warned of the approaching crisis with Japan. On November 26th there appeared on the front page of the "New York Times" the notice that the U. S. Consulate in Tokyo had warned Americans to get out of Japan promptly. On Monday, December 1st, appeared the headline that "Roosevelt Hurries Back in the Crisis", In Honolulu itself the papers were carrying equally sensational headlines. For example, on November 30th appeared the headline "Japanese May Strike Over Weekend".

Meanwhile we had been doing what we could to get ready for

2

4

0

7

8

8

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

war if and when it came. After the long period necessary to lay the foundations of our ultimate enormous production, the output of war materials in this country was beginning to increase rapidly; but we were still using large quantities of it under the Lend-Lease Act to satisfy the demands of the nations who were already in the battle and holding off the enemy. We were shipping all we could spare to England and in particular to Russia, which was then in the forefront of the fight.

In the meantime, the war Department was doing what it could to fortify the Pacific. We were giving all the material and effectives that we could spare to Hawaii in particular, which was in fact prior to the time of the Pearl Harbor attack reported to me by the Staff as the best manned and equipped of all our outposts on the Pacific, including the Panama Canal. We were also doing our best to reenforce the Philippines. The effectiveness of the airplane against a navy in narrow seas had been recently demonstrated in the Mediterranean by the German air attacks on British Naval forces, as well as by the success of the British attack on the Italian fleet at Taranto. We decided that if a sufficient number of our bombing planes, which would be able to proceed to the Philippine Islands under their own power, could be gathered there, this would present a very effective nucleus of a defense against the advances of the Japanese navy or convoys in South Asiatic waters. Accordingly, in August we started sending out to the Philippines as many four-engined

gathered there some thirty-five of these ships. This was the largest group of such American bombers yet in existence anywhere. We felt at the time that these presented a strong striking force which could be used with great effect in operations against the Japanese navy. We underestimated, as did everyone else, the effective power of Japanese aviation, which asserted itself at Pearl Harbor and one day later in the Philippines by its attack on our installations there, including the destruction of many of the bombers themselves.

In mid-October the Japanese Konoye cabinet fell and a new cabinet under General Tojo came into power, which all expected would be even more aggressive and warlike.

To sum up, the salient features of the situation, as they appeared to me early in November 1941, were as follows:

- 1. War with Germany and Japan would ultimately be inevitable.
- 2. It was vitally important that none of the nations who were then desperately fighting Germany -- England, Russia, or China -- should be knocked out of the war before the time came when we would be required to go in.
- 3. While we very much wanted more time in which to prepare, nevertheless we felt we had a fair chance to make an effective fight against Japan for the Philippines

WASHINGTON.

even if we had to enter the war at that time, in view of the air power that we were building up in the Philippines.

4. If war did come, it was important, both from the point of view of unified support of our own people as well as for the record of history, that we should not be placed in the position of firing the first shot, if this could be done without sacrificing our safety, but that Japan should appear in her true role as the real aggressor.

During this entire period I kept in constant and close touch with Mr. Hull and Mr. Knox, as well as having frequent meetings with the President. In 1940 Messrs. Hull and Knox and I had inaugurated regular weekly meetings in Mr. Hull's office so that there would be close liaison between the three of us. These meetings were held on Tuesday mornings at nine-thirty whenever we were present in Washington and able to go. They were being held during this period, in October and November 1941, as well as meetings between us on other occasions.

Early in November we received word that the Japanese were sending an additional special envoy, Kurusu, to Washington to participate in the conversations that were being held. I had little hope that anything would come of his proposal, in view of all the information which we had been receiving as to the Jap's determination to proceed with their program of aggression.

On November 6th, I had an hour's talk alone with the

President with regard to the Far Eastern situation and his approaching conference with Kurusu, who was coming from Japan. The thing uppermost in his mind was how we could gain more time. I quote from my notes:

"The President outlined what he thought he might say. He was trying to think of something that would give us further time. He suggested he might propose a truce in which there would be no movement or armament for six months and then if the Japanese and Chinese had not settled their arrangement in that meanwhile, we could go on on the same basis."

I personally did not approve of a truce on such a basis and told him so. I felt that it would tie up our hands just at a time when it was so important that we should go on completing our reenforcement of the Philippines and our military advisers then felt that if we could accumulate enough of them there it would place us in a favorable strategic position, and I did not approve of any arrangement that would prevent our continuing this program. Secondly, it was still very important that we keep the Chinese in the war, and I believed that they would feel that such a truce was a desertion of them, and that this would have a very serious effect on Chinese morale.

On Friday, November 7th, we had the usual weekly Cabinet meeting. The Far Eastern situation was uppermost in many of our minds. Mr. Hull informed us that relations had become

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

18

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

extremely critical and that we should be on the outlook for an attack by Japan at any time. Our military advisers, while desirous of delay, had urged military action if Japan attacked territory whose security was vital to us and in this connection specified American, British, or Dutch territory. The President at the meeting undertook to take an informal vote of the Cabinet as to whether it was thought the American people would back us up if it became necessary to strike at Japan, in case she should attack England in Malaya or the Dutch in the East Indies, The Cabinet was unanimous in the feeling that the country would support such a move. The Cabinet voted this way even though only Mr. Hull and the President knew of the efforts which we had been making to reenforce the Philippines with the big bombers and which we in the Army felt could be effective support in case any attack should be made on the British or Dutch in southeastern Asia. On November 10th at a Staff meeting, General Marshall, among other things, read us a long letter from General MacArthur in the Philippines, telling us of hopeful progress in the reorganization of the Philippine Army and the construction of airports throughout the Islands,

Between November 10th and 21st talks were commenced in Washington between Nomura and Kurusu on the one hand, and the President and Mr. Hull on the other. During this period a very serious crisis developed by reason of the threatened strike of the coal miners, which would have been a most serious obstacle

to our preparations for defense. Not only was the President occupied with this but we in the War Department during this period were obliged to make preparations for taking over and operating the coal mines in case the strike should eventuate. Much of my personal time was occupied during these days with these preparations. Fortunately, the strike was ultimately averted and the matter resolved shortly after November 20th. My notes contain no reference to any developments in the Japanese situation during this period. It was during this period, on November 20th, that Kurusu presented the Japanese proposals to Mr. Hull which, among other things, demanded that we should withdraw all material and moral support to China and at the same time resume supplying to Japan the oil she required to assist her in carrying on her war with China.

had a good talk with General Olmstead, who had recently been promoted to be Chief Signal Officer. This department was of particular interest to me because I had been giving a great deal of personal attention during the past months to the development of radar by the Army. I had for some time become convinced of the importance of radar, both as an anti-aircraft protection as well as its uses for installation in planes and ships for combat purposes. We had made every effort to get as much radar equipment to Hawaii as possible, particularly for anti-aircraft protection; and, as the Committee has undoubtedly heard,

substantial amounts of this equipment of the movable type were in Hawaii and capable of operation.

On Tuesday, November 25th, Secretary Knox and I met in Mr. Hull's office for our usual Tuesday morning meeting. Mr. Hull showed us a proposal that he had prepared, which he was considering laying before Nomura and Kurusu, for a three months, truce.

At twelve o'clock on the same day, we three went to the White House, where we met with the President and also General Marshal and Admiral Stark. The President at once brought up the relations with the Japanese. Mr. Hull said the Japanese were poised for attack - that they might attack at any time. The President said the Japanese were notorious for making an attack without warning and stated that we might even be attacked, say next Monday for example.

One problem troubled us very much. If you know that your enemy is going to strike you, it is not usually wise to wait until he gets the jump on you by taking the initiative. In spite of the risk involved, however, in letting the Japanese fire the first shot, we realized that in order to have the full support of the American people it was desirable to make sure that the Japanese be the ones to do this so that there should remain no doubt in anyone's mind as to who were the aggressors. We discussed at this meeting the basis on which this country's position could be most clearly explained to our own people and

V.

.

•

14.

cause of some sudden move on the part of the Japanese. We discussed the possibility of a statement summarizing all the steps of aggression that the Japanese had already taken, the encirclement of our interests in the Philippines which was resulting and the threat to our vital supplies of rubber from Malay. I reminded the President that on August 19th he had warned the Japanese Ambassador that if the steps which the Japanese were then taking continued across the border into Thailand, he would regard it as a matter affecting our safety, and suggested that he might point out that the moves the Japanese were now apparently on the point of making would be in fact a violation of a warning that had already been given.

When I got back to the War Department after this meeting on that same day, I found news from G-2 that was very disturbing. It indicated that the Japanese were embarking a large expeditionary force of 30, 40, or 50 ships at Shanghai and that this expedition was proceeding along the China coast south of Formosa, I at once telephoned Mr. Hull and also sent copies of the report to the President.

The next morning, November 26th, Mr. Hull told me over the telephone that he had almost decided not to make the proposition of the three months; truce that he had discussed with Knox and me on November 25th. The Chinese, for one thing, had pointed out strong objections to the proposal, particularly the effect on the

ij

WASHINGTON.

morale of their own people. Mr. Hull stated that he felt the best thing to do was simply to tell the Japanese that he had no further action to propose.

I telephoned the President shortly thereafter and asked him whether he had received the news of the new expedition from Shanghai proceeding down the China coast toward Indo-China. He had not received it. He was shocked by it, and at once took it as further evidence of bad faith on the part of the Japanese, that while they were negotiating with him - negotiations in which we were asking for a withdrawal of their invading troops in China - they should be sending a further expedition down to Indo-China.

On Thursday morning, November 27th, the news was still coming in of the movement of the large Japanese expeditionary force south from Shanghai and eventually headed toward Indo-China, with a possibility that it might be proceeding to the Philippines or to Burma to cut off the Burma Road, or to the Dutch East Indies. It seemed probable, however, that it was a concentration to move over into Thailand, from which they could be in a position to attack Singapore at the proper moment; or, as the President later pointed out, it might develop into an attack on Rangoon and thus effectually stop the Burma Road at its beginning.

Early that morning I had called up Mr. Hull to find out what his final word had been with the Japanese - whether he had

.

4

5

6

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

handed them the proposal for three months' truce, or whether he had told them he had no other proposition to make. He told me that he had broken the whole matter off. His words were: "I have washed my hands of it and it is now in the hands of you and Knox - the Army and the Navy." I then called up the President, who gave me a little different view. He said that it was true that the talks had been called off, but that they had ended up with a magnificent statement prepared by Mr. Hull. I found out afterwards that this was the fact and that the statement contained a reaffirmation of our constant and regular position without the suggestion of a threat of any kind. I personally was relieved that we had not backed down on any of the fundamental principles on which we had stood for so long and which I felt we could not give up without the sacrifice of our national honor and prestige in the world. I submit, however, that no impartial reading of this document can characterize it as being couched in the terms of an ultimatum, although the Japanese were of course only too guick to seize upon it and give it that designation for their own purposes.

Shortly after this General Arnold came in with proposed orders for the movement of two of our biggest planes from San Francisco out across the Mandated Islands to Manila. We were to arrange to have these fly high over the Mandated Islands, beyond the reach of their pursuit planes, and photograph them with the idea of trying to detect any naval concentrations

STATE OF THE PARTY OF THE PARTY

2

3

4

5

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that might be going on there.

Later Mr. Knox and Admiral Stark came over and conferred with me and General Gerow. General Gerow was Chief of the War Plans Division. General Marshall was absent, having left the Department to attend certain Army training maneuvers which were going on that day. Both Admiral Stark and General Gerow were urging that any crisis be postponed as long as possible, to enable our preparations to proceed. A memorandum had been prepared by General Marshall and Admiral Stark to the President on this subject. The opinion of our top military and naval advisers was that delay was very desirable, but that nevertheless we must take military action if Japan attacked American, or British, or Dutch territory or moved her forces in Indo-China west of 100 degrees east or south of 10 degrees north. I told them, which was the fact, that I also would be glad to have time but I did not want it at the cost of humiliation of the United States or of backing down on any of our principles which would show a weakness on our part,

We then discussed the messages that might be sent to the commanding officers of the various theatres, including in particular General MacArthur, who was in the Philippines and in the forefront of the threatened area. We had already sent MacArthur a warning but I felt that the time had now come for a more definite warning. In talking with the President on the telephone that morning, I had suggested, and he had approved

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the idea, that we should send out a final alert, namely that they should be on the qui vive for any attack, and explaining the exact situation. Ordinarily, of course, there would be no reason for me to participate in the sending of any such message which was the normal function of the military staff. As the President himself, however, had now actually directed the sending of the message, and as I wanted the message clearly to apprise the commanding officers in the various areas as to exactly what the diplomatic situation was, I undertook to participate in the framing of this message myself. In order that it should be strictly accurate, I called up Mr. Hull myself on the telephone and got his exact statement as to the status of the negotiations, which was then incorporated in the first sentence of the message. My papers also indicate that I inserted in the second sentence the words "BUT HOSTILE ACTION POSSIBLE AT ANY MOMENT",

This same message was sent to Commanding Office, Hawaiian
Department, and to the three other commanding officers of our
Pacific theatres or outposts, viz; Panama, the Philippines, and
the West Coast which included Alaska, except that in the case of
the message to General MacArthur in the Philippines there were
omitted from the third sentence from the end the following words;
"BUT THESE MEASURES SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT SO AS NOT COMMA REPEAT
NOT COMMA TO ALARM CIVILIAN POPULATION OR DISCLOSE INTENT". The
message as sent to General Short read as follows;

1

3

4

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"COMMANDING GENERAL, HAWAIIAN DEPARTMENT

FORT SHAFTER, T. H.

NEGOTIATIONS WITH JAPAN APPEAR TO BE TERMINATED TO ALL PRACTICAL PURPOSES WITH ONLY THE BAREST POSSIBILITIES THAT THE JAPANESE GOVERNMENT MIGHT COME BACK AND OFFER TO CONTINUE PERIOD JAPANESE FUTURE ACTION UNPREDICTABLE BUT HOSTILE ACTION POSSIBLE AT ANY MOMENT PERIOD IF HOSTILITIES CANNOT COMMA REPEAT CANNOT COMMA BE AVOIDED THE UNITED STATES DESIRES THAT JAPAN COMMIT THE FIRST OVERT ACT PERIOD THIS POLICY SHOULD NOT COMMA REPEAT NOT COMMA BE CONSTRUED AS RESTRICTING YOU TO A COURSE OF ACTION THAT MIGHT JEOPARDIZE YOUR DEFENSE PERIOD PRIOR TO HOSTILE JAPANESE ACTION YOU ARE DIRECTED TO UNDERTAKE SUCH RECONNAISSANCE AND OTHER MEASURES AS YOU DEEM NECESSARY BUT THESE MEASURES SHOULD BE CARRIED OUT SO AS NOT COMMA REPEAT NOT COMMA TO ALARM CIVIL POPULATION OR DISCLOSE INTENT PERIOD REPORT MEASURES TAKEN PERIOD SHOULD HOSTILITIES OCCUR YOU WILL CARRY OUT THE TASKS ASSIGNED IN RAINBOW FIVE SO FAR AS THEY PERTAIN TO JAPAN PERIOD LIMIT DISSEMINATION OF THIS HIGHLY SECRET INFORMATION TO MINIMUM ESSENTIAL OFFICERS

MARSHALL "

This message has been criticized as ambiguous and described as a "do-don't" message. The fact is that it presented with the utmost precision the situation with which we were all confronted and in the light of which all our commanding officers, as well as we ourselves in Washington, had to govern our conduct. The

situation was admittedly delicate and critical. On the one hand, in view of the fact that we wanted more time, we did not want to precipitate war at this moment if it could be avoided. If there was to be war, moreover, we wanted the Japanese to commit the first overt act. On the other hand, the matter of defense against an attack by Japan was the first consideration. In Hawaii, because of the large numbers of Japanese inhabitants, it was felt desirable to issue a special warning so that nothing would be done, unless necessary to the defense, to alarm the civil population and thus possibly to precipitate an incident and give the Japanese an excuse to go to war and the chance to say that we had committed the first overt act.

All these considerations were placed before the commanding officers of their respective areas, and it was because they were thought competent to act in a situation of delicacy requiring judgment and skill that they had been placed in these high posts of command. One of the basic policies of the army command, which has been adhered to throughout the entire war, and in most instances with complete success, has been to give the local commander his objective and mission but not to interfere with him in the performance of it. When General Short was informed on November 27th that "Japanese action unpredictable" and that "hostile action possible at any moment", and that the policy directed "should not comma repeat not comma be construed as restricting you to a course of action that might jeopardize your

2

8

4

5

6

7

8

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

defense*, we had a right to assume that he would competently perform this paramount duty entrusted to him. We assumed that when he had been warned that hostile action was possible at any moment, it would not be necessary to repeat that warning over and over again during the ensuing days. The fact was of course that General Short did receive, not only from Washington but from other sources, repeated intelligence of the impending crisis.

You will notice that this message of November 27th specifically mentions that reconnaissance is to be undertaken. This to my mind was a very important part of the message, not only because of its obvious desirability but also because we had provided the Hawalian Department with what I regarded as a most effective means of reconnaissance against air attack and one to which I had personally devoted a great deal of attention during the preceding months. I refer to the radar equipment with which the Hawaiian Department was then provided. This equipment permitted approaching planes to be seen at distances of approximately 100 miles; and to do so in darkness and storm as well as in clear daylight. In the early part of 1941 I had taken up earnestly the matter of securing such radar equipment for aircraft protection. I knew, although it was not then generally known, that radar had proved of the utmost importance to the British in the Battle of Britian, and I felt in the beginning of 1941 that we were not getting this into production

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

and to the troops as quickly as we should, and put on all the pressure I could to speed up its acquisition. By the autumn of 1941 we had got some of this equipment out to Hawaii, and only a few days before this I had received a report of the tests which had been made of this equipment in Hawail on November 19th, which indicated very satisfactory results in detecting approaching airplanes. I testified at considerable length with regard to this before the Army Pearly Harbor Board (A.P.H.B., 4064, et seq.). When we specifically directed the commanding officer at Hawaii, who had been warned that war was likely at any moment, to make reconnalssance, I assumed that all means of reconnaissance available to both the Army and Navy would be employed. On the same day a war warning was dispatched to the Commander-in-Chief of the Pacific Fleet by the Chief of Naval Operations. The standing instructions to the theatre commanders were that all messages of this character were to be exchanged between the Army and Navy commands.

I repeat that my participation in the drafting of this message of November 27th was unusual, since I do not believe it is advisable for the Secretary of War to meddle with military staff matters. As already stated, I did so on this occasion because I felt I was conveying a message from the President. The President had taken a momentous decision that day, namely to send what I call a final alert. The Chief of

в

Staff was away for the day, and I wanted to make certain that the President's orders were carried out accurately.

General MacArthur. This is evidence of what was the fact, namely that we all felt in Washington that the first and most
likely danger was an attack on the Philippines and that such
an attack would be most difficult to meet. Such information as
we had been able to gather as to the movements of the Japanese
forces indicated a movement toward the south, which might easily
be diverted either to Indo-China, Malay Peninsula, Dutch East
Indies, or the Philippines. We were correct in this inference.
Such an attack on the Philippines was being prepared and immediately followed the attack on Pearl Harbor. The movements
of the fleet which attacked Pearl Harbor were entirely unknown
to us.

When the replies to these messages came in from General MacArthur and General Short, they were checked to me by General Marshall, undoubtedly for the purpose of reassuring me that our messages had been duly received. The original documents bear my initials, indicating that they were noted by me.

The first thing in the morning of the next day - Friday,

November 28th - I received information from G-2 of such a formidable character with regard to the movements of the Japanese
forces along the Asiatic coast that I decided to take it to
the President before he got up. I saw him while he was still

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

He suggested in bed, and we discussed the situation. that there were three alternatives, as my notes show: First, to do nothing; second, to make something in the nature of an ultimatum, stating a point beyond which we would fight; or, third, to fight at once. I said that I felt that to do nothing was out of the question and the President agreed with me. As to the other two alternatives, the desirable thing to do from the point of view of our own tactics and safety was to take the initiative and attack without further warning. It is axiomatic that the best defense is offense. It is always dangerous to wait and let the enemy make the first move. I was inclined to feel that the warning given in August by the President against further moves by the Japanese toward Thailand justified an attack without further warning, particularly as their new movement southward indicated that they were about to violate that warning. On the other hand, I realized that the situation could be made more cleancut from the point of view of public opinion if a further warning were given.

I went at twelve o'clock that day to a meeting of the socalled War Cabinet - that is to say, the President, Mr. Hull, Mr. Knox, Admiral Stark, General Marshall, and myself. The President had been studying the latest report of G-2 as to the movements of the Japanese expeditionary force, and we discussed the various possibilities as to what it meant. The various

6

,

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

23

25

alternatives mentioned were that it might develop into an attack on the Philippines, the landing of further troops in Indo-China, an attack on Thailand, on the Dutch Netherlands or on Singapore, or that it might develop into an attack on Rangoon and thus cut off the Burma Road at the beginning. The possibility of an attack on Pearl Harbor was not discussed at the meeting, since our thoughts were all focused on this movement toward southeast Asia, which indicated a orisis in that direction. All agreed that if the expedition were permitted to land in the Gulf of Siam it would place a strong Japanese force in such a strategic position as to be a severe blow at all three of the powers in southeast Asia - the British at Singapore, the Netherlands in the Indies, and ourselves in the Philippines. We all agreed that it must not be allowed; that, if the Japanese got into the Isthmus of Kra, the British would fight; and, if the British fought, we would have to fight. We realized that if this expedition was allowed to round the southern point of Indo-China, this whole chain of disastrous events would be set on foot.

We decided, therefore, that we could not just sit still and do nothing. On the other hand, we also decided that we could not attack without a further warning to Japan, and we discussed what form that warning should take. The President suggested a special telegram from himself to the Emperor of Japan. After some discussion it was decided that he would send such a letter

to the Emperor, which would not be made public, and that at the same time he would deliver a special message to Congress

tue same time ue Monita delinat a shectar meserge an course

reporting on the danger and reporting what we would have to

do if the danger happened. The President left after the meet-

ing to keep his engagement at Warm Springs, where he was going

to have Thanksgiving with the children. The rest of the week

end was largely taken up with preparing a suggested draft of a

message for the President to deliver to Congress, in which

Secretary Knox and I cooperated with Mr. Hull and his asso-

clates in the State Department.

On Monday morning, December 1st, the President returned to Washington. I recollect that in the meantime we had received evidence that the Japanese expedition which we had been watching was landing in Indo-China in the neighborhood of Salgon, rather than going on to the Peninsula and up into the Gulf of Siam. This appeared to give us a little respite, since it indicated that perhaps they were not going to invade Thailand at once. The Russians had also made a new counter-attack against the Germans at Rostov, and we thought that possibly this had given the Japanese some pause.

On Tuesday, December 2nd, Secretary Knox, Sumner Welles, and I met with the President, as Mr. Hull was laid up with a cold. The President went step by step over the situation, and I felt sure that he had made up his mind to go ahead with the message to Congress and possibly the message to the Emperor.

H

1-}

ú

We also learned that he had asked the Japanese through the State Department what they intended by this new occupation of southern Indo-China and had demanded a quick reply. We were watching the situation in the Far East very carefully. I was in frequent conference with General Marshall and with General Miles of G-2 and also General Gerow of the War Plans Division of the General Staff. We were particularly concerned with supplies which were on the way to the Philippines and additional big bombers which we were trying to fly over there, some of which were scheduled to start at the end of the week. I gave up another engagement in order to stay in Washington over the weekend.

On Sunday, December 7th, Mr. Knox and I went to Secretary Hull's office at ten-thirty in the morning and talked the whole matter over. This was the day on which we knew that Japanese were going to bring their answer, and Mr. Hull said he was certain that the Japanese were planning some deviltry; and we were all wondering where the blow would strike. The messages we were receiving now indicated that the Japanese force was continuing on in the Gulf of Siam, and again we discussed whether we would not have to fight if Malay or the Netherlands were attacked and the British or Dutch fought. We all three thought that we must fight if those nations fought. We realized that if Britain were eliminated it might well result in the destruction or capture of the British fleet. Such a result would give

ы

would make the defense of the American republics enormously difficult if not impossible. All the reasons why it would be necessary for the United States to fight, in case the Japanese attacked either our British or Dutch neighbors in the Pacific, were discussed at length and at my request Mr. Hull and Mr. Knox dictated their views These views are attached to my notes of that day as submitted herewith.

I returned to lunch at my home. At just about two o'clock, while I was sitting at lunch, the President called me on the phone and told me that the Japanese were bombing Hawaii. My notes for the remainder of December 7th speak for themselves and need no comment,

Summary of my Views as to the

Responsibility of members of the Army

My views as to these responsibilities are stated at length in my final official report made under the Joint Resolution of Congress approved June 13, 1944, after the Army Board and the Judge Advocate General had made their investigations and reports to me. Many of the discussions on this subject indicated a fail, ure to grasp the fundamental difference between the duties of an outpost command and those of the Commander-in-Chief of an army or nation and his military advisers. The outpost commander is like a sentinel on duty in the face of the enemy. His fundamental duties are clear and precise. He must assume that the

\$

enemy will attack at his particular post; and that the enemy will attack at the time and in the way in which it will be most difficult to defeat him. It is not the duty of the outpost commander to speculate or rely on the possibilities of the enemy attacking at some other outpost instead of his own. It is his duty to meet him at his post at any time and to make the best possible fight that can be made against him with the weapons with which he has been supplied.

On the other hand, the Commander-in-Chief of the nation (and his advisers) - particularly of a nation which has been as habitually neglectful of the possibility of war as our own - has much more difficult and complex duties to fulfill. Un-like the outpost commander, he must constantly watch, study, and estimate where the principal or most dangerous attack is most likely to come, in order that he may most effectively distribute his insufficient forces and munitions to meet it. He knows that his outposts are not all equally supplied or fortified, and that they are not all equally capable of defense. He knows also that from time to time they are of greatly varying importance to the grand strategy of the war.

For all these reasons he is compelled to give constant and close attention to the reports from all his intelligence agencies in order that he may satisfactorily solve the innumerable problems which are constantly arising in the performance of the foregoing duties.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

16

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

During those days in November 1941 we at the War Department had been informed and believed that Hawaii had been more generously equipped from the nation's inadequate supplies of men and munitions than either of the other three important Pacific outposts, and we believed that with the fleet at hand there it was more capable of defense. We also knew that the Philippines was by far the least capable of defense, although we were working vigorously to get it into a position to put up a hard fight. We also knew that a disaster there would have an incalculably bad moral effect on account of our relations to the Filipinos - wellknown throughout the Far East, - and our pledges given for their protection. Finally, we had received these specific warnings of a Japanese expedition being on its way to a commanding position from which it would attack the Philippine Islands.

From the foregoing I believe that it was inevitable and proper that a far greater number of items of information coming through our Intelligence should be collected and considered and appraised by the General Staff at Washington than those which were transmitted to the commander of an outpost. General Short had been told the two essential facts: (1) A war with Japan is threatening. (2) Hostile action by Japan is possible at any moment. Given those two facts, both of which were stated without equivocation in the message of November 27th, the outpost commander should be on the alert to

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

50

21

22

23

24

25

make his fight.

Even without any such message, the outpost commander should have been on the alert. If he did not know that the relations between Japan and the United States were strained and might be broken at any time, he must have been almost the only man in Hawaii who did not know it, for the radio and the newspapers were blazoning out those facts daily, and he had a chief of staff and an intelligence officer to tell him so. And if he did not know that the Japanese were likely to strike without warning, he could not have read his history of Japan or known the lessons taught in the Army schools in respect to such matters. Under these circumstances which were of general knowledge and which he must have known, to cluster his airplanes in such groups and positions that in an emergency they could not take the air for several hours, and to keep his anti-aircraft ammunition so stored that it could not be promptly and immediately available, and to use his best reconnaissance system, the radar, only for a very small fraction of the day and night, in my opinion betrayed a misconception of his real duty which was almost beyond belief.

In the next place, having made these mistakes and disregarded the whole tenor of the warning message to him, he
then sent a reply message to Washington which gave no adequate
notice of what he had failed to do and which was susceptible
of being taken, and was taken, as a general compliance with the

8

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

22

23

24 25 main warning from Washington. My initials show that this message crossed my desk, and in spite of my keen interest in the situation it certainly gave me no intimation that the alert order against an enemy attack was not being carried out. Although it advised me that General Short was alert against sabotage, I had no idea that being "alerted to prevent sabotage" was in any way an express or implied denial of being alert against an attack by Japan's armed forces. The very purpose of a fortress such as Hawaii is to repel such an attack, and Short was the commander of that fortress. Furthermore, Short's statement in his message that "liaison" was being carried out with the Navy, coupled with the fact that our message of November 27th had specifically directed reconnaissance, naturally gave the impression that the various reconnaissance and other defensive measures in which the cooperation of the Army and the Navy 1s necessary, were under way and a proper alert was in effect.

With the aid of "hindsight" I believe now that to a staff officer whose specific duty was to make dead sure that the warning order was being intelligently and thoroughly put into effect, the lack of detail in the reply should have suggested the importance of a follow-up inquiry and I have so stated in my final official report of August 1945.

With the further aid of such "hindsight" and in the same official report, I also reached the opinion that the War Plans Division of the General Staff would have placed itself and

the safety of the country in a sounder position if it had transmitted to General Short more information than it did. The novelty of the imminence of war and the fact that our outpost commanders were untried in their positions now indicate that more details and repeated emphasis would have been a safer policy. Also there seems to have been a lack of coordination in the General Staff in respect to the method in which the warnings against sabotage were sent, which would not have occurred later in the war after the Staff was fully organized.

Yet none of these things in my opinion alter in any material degree the responsibility of General Short for the complete absence of a real alert, which he had been directed to take in the message of November 27th, and for the placing of his defense in a more helpless position than it was before that alert message was sent. After all, he was the man upon whom the country had a right to rely for the defense of Hawaii, and he had been sufficiently warned.

I have tried to review these various responsibilities with fairness to both the outpost commander and the Staff officers at home. I am particularly led to do so because of the difficulty of reproducing now after the lapse of more than four years the background and atmosphere under which the entire Army was then working. Our General Staff officers were working under a terrific pressure in the face of a global war which they felt was probably imminent. Yet they were surrounded, outside of

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

VARD & PAUL, WASHINGTON, D

their offices and almost throughout the country, by a spirit of isolationism and disbelief in danger which now seems incredible. A single incident gives striking evidence of this. During the very last week before the Pearl Harbor attack there was made a most disloyal and almost unbelievable attack on the chief work of the Staff. For months the General Staff had been laboring over the construction of a strategic and tactical plan for the fighting of a global war in case it should eventuate. The making of such a plan is the highest and most important duty of a general staff, - the chief purpose for which it exists. It is also naturally the most highly secret paper in the possession of the government. On December 4, 1941, the Chicago Tribune published practically in full a copy of that plan. The impact of such a blow was very severe. It involved implications which stretched far and suspicions (happily not fulfilled) of disloyalty in the Army itself. The officers of the Army were then trying to do their duty in the deadening, if not actually hostile, atmosphere of a nation that was not awake to its danger. We are now engaged in passing judgment upon their actions in the wholly different atmosphere of a nation which has suffered some of the horrors of the greatest and most malignant war in history. In my opinion, it would be highly unjust to them if this complete difference of atmosphere was not given the weight which it deserves.

STATE OF NEW YORK)

HENRY L. STIMSON, being duly sworn, deposes and says:

I have prepared the foregoing statement, and the same is true and correct to the best of my recollection, information, and belief.

/s/ Henry L. Stimson

Sworn to before me this

·19th day of March, 1946.

/s/ Thomas DeRosa Attorney & Counsellor at Law

Office Address: 32 Liberty St., N.Y.C.

Residence in Bronx County

Bronx Co. Clks No. 3, Reg. No. A-33D7 N.Y. Co. Clks No. 9, Reg. No. 439D7 Commission expires March 30, 1947

S-E-A-L

24

23

25

Wednesday, dovesper 5, 1941;

Waltering are organisationed on bush singles of us most and the

the first team of the state of

he thought he bight may. " He was triving he think or

while the world, give us Airchof time. He suggested he sites

a tribes en supple likero santid he ha acceptant of assistant

wantite, and Then is the Taganess and Chinese has

When there I would be the beautiful to the second the second

Minthly Parture than the Stade 185 our Manage fact as a li-

at were whiteles important that we whomle on on com-

Personal Processes of the Prolifpinds, and became, that the

Worklife Twint State only Gunda de Thagement was a decision of

S reductions also that it has always been be used to

Since the Value never octive mine not lead to large the later of

proble empirelations to their meanwhile, we could be

Mary is meeting with big losses in the Atlantic and Japan is

Especial acceptance to an who. I think, while bring us a proposal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. STIMSON'S NOTES

APPENDIX

to

STATEMENT

of seed

HENRY L. STIMSON

в

8

Į.

10

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

Wednesday, November 5, 1941.

Matters are crystallizing on both sides of us now and the Navy is meeting with big losses in the Atlantic and Japan is sending somebody to us who, I think, will bring us a proposal impossible of acceptance. I spent part of the morning reading matters - secret reports - on the latter matter.

Thursday, November 6, 1941.

Then I left for the White House conference and had about an hour's talk with the President - on the whole a good talk. He was apparently in very good feeling. We talked about the Far Eastern situation and the approaching conference with the messenger who is coming from Japan. The President outlined what he thought he might say. He was trying to think of something which would give us further time. He suggested he might propose a truce in which there would be no movement or armament for six months, and then if the Japanese and Chinese had not settled their arrangement in that meanwhile, we could go on on the same basis. I told him I frankly saw two great objections to that: first, that it tied up our hands just at a time when it was vitally important that we should go on completing our reenforcement of the Philippines; and second, that the Chinese would feel that any such arrangement was a desertion of them. I reminded him that it has always been our historic policy since the Washington conference not to leave the Chinese and

•

Japanese alone together, because the Japanese were always able to overslaugh the Chinese and the Chinese know it. I told him that I thought the Chinese would refuse to go into such an arrangement.

Friday, November 7, 1941.

Cabinet meeting this afternoon. The President opened with telling the story of Lincoln and his Cabinet - how he polled the Cabinet and found them all polling NO and then he said,
"The Ayes have it." With that he started to have what he said was the first general poll of his Cabinet and it was on the question of the Far East - whether the people would back us up in case we struck at Japan down there and what the tactics should be.* It was a very interesting talk - the best Cabinet

*Note: See Statement page 11 as to this Cabinet meeting.

meeting I think we have ever had since I have been there. He went around the table - first Hull and then myself, and then around through the whole number and it was unanimous in feeling the country would support us. He said that this time the vote IS unanimous, he feeling the same way. Hull made a good presentation of the general situation. I told them I rather, narrowed it down into a following-up the steps which had been done to show what needed to be done in the future. The thing

Gil.

would have been much stronger if the Cabinet had known - and they did not know except in the case of Hull and the President - what the Army is doing with the big bombers and how ready we are to pitch in.

Monday, November 10, 1941.

In the second place he (General Marshall at a Staff meeting) read us a long letter from General MacArthur in the Philippines, telling us of the progress of the reorganization of the Philippine Army and the construction of airports throughout the Islands. This was very interesting to me.

Friday, November 21, 1941.

I talked to the President about the question (danger) of poison gas in the Philippines. We have learned that the Japanese have used it on the Chinese at Ichang, where they killed some 700 Chinamen and disabled about * - I don't want to be

*Note: Blank in notes.

caught without gas in the Philippines. And yet we have been afraid to send it for fear it would leak out and be misconstrued during these negotiations. But I thought the time had come when we ought to not delay any longer and I told the President so quietly and privately after the conference and he

16-

agreed with me. So when I got back I called in General Gerow in the absence of General Marshall and told him to look up all the facts and get ready for the possible shipments with the idea that it should be done so that it would not come out in the press.

Monday, November 24, 1941.

I had a good talk with General Olmstead, whom I have recently promoted to be the Chief Signal Officer on General Mauborgne's retirement. He is doing very well and outlined to me the work of reorganization of his office which he has accomplished. It was very good.

Note: This conference was on the subject of the use of radar as a defense against surprise attacks. See Statement page 17.

Tuesday, November 25, 1941.

This was a very full day indeed. At 9:30 Knox and I met in Hull's office for our meeting of Three. Hull showed us the proposal for a three months' truce, which he was going to lay before the Japanese today or tomorrow. It adequately safe-guarded all our interests, I thought as we read it, but I don't think there is any chance of the Japanese accepting it, because it was so drastic. In return for the propositions which they were to do; namely; to at once evacuate and at once to

aggressive action against any of her neighbors, etc., we were to give them open trade in sufficient quantities only for their civilian population. This restriction was particularly applicable to oil. We had a long talk over the general situation.

Then at 12:00 o'clock we (viz. General Marshall and I) went to the Whit House, where we were until nearly half past one. At the meeting were Hull, Knox, Marshall, Stark and myself. There the President, instead of bringing up the Victory Parade*, brought up entirely the relations with the

*This was an office nickname for the General Staff strategic plan of national action in case of war in Europe.

Japanese. He brought up the event that we were likely to be attacked perhaps (as soon as) next Monday, for the Japanese are notorious for making an attack without warning, and the question was what we should do. The question was how we should maneuver them into the position of firing the first shot without allowing too much danger to ourselves. It was a difficult proposition.* Hull laid out his general broad

*See Statement pp. 11 and 14. Our military and naval advisers had warned us that we could not safely allow the

Japanese to move against British Malaysia or the Dutch East Indies without attempting to prevent it.

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

propositions on which the thing should be rested - the freedom of the seas and the fact that Japan was in alliance with Hitler and was carrying out his policy of world aggression. The others brought out the fact that any such expedition to the South as the Japanese were likely to take would be an encirclement of our interests in the Philippines and cutting into our vital supplies of rubber from Malasia. I pointed out to the President that he had already taken the first steps towards an ultimatum in notifying Japan way back last summer that if she crossed the border into Thailand she was violating our safety and that therefore he had only to point out (to Japan) that to follow any such expedition was a violation of a warning we had already given. So Hull is to go to work on preparing that. When I got back to the Department I found news from G-2 that an (A Japanese) expedition had started. Five Divisions have come down from Shantung and Shansi to Shanghai and there they had embarked on ships - 30, 40 or 50 ships and have been sighted south of Formosa. I at once called up Hull and told him about it and sent copies to him and to the President of the message from G-2.

24

23

25

Wednesday, November 26, 1941.

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

18

20

21

22

23

24

25

Hull told me over the telephone this morning that he had about made up his mind not to give (make) the proposition that Knox and I passed on the other day to the Japanese but to kick the whole thing over - to tell them that he has no other proposition at all. The Chinese have objected to that proposition when he showed it to them; that is, to the proposition which he showed to Knox and me, because it involves giving to the Japanese the small modicum of oil for civilian use during the interval of the truce of the three months. Chiang Kai-shek had sent a special message to the effect that that would make a terrifically bad impression in China; that it would destroy all their courage and that they (1t) would play into the hands of his, Chiang's, enemies and that the Japanese would use it. T. V. Soong had sent me this letter and has asked to see me and I called Hull up this morning to tell him so and ask him what he wanted me to do about it. He replied as I have just said above - that he had about made up his mind to give up the whole thing in respect to a truce and to simply tell the Ja-

A few minutes later I talked to the President over the telephone and I asked him whether he had received the paper which I had sent him over last night about the Japanese having started a new expedition from Shanghai down towards Indo-China. He fairly blew up - jumped up into the air, so to speak, and said he hadn't seen it and that that changed the whole

panese that he had no further action to propose.

1 2

situation because it was an evidence of bad faith on the part of the Japanese that while they were negotiating for an entire truce - an entire withdrawal (from China) - they should be sending this expedition down there to Indo-China. I told him that it was a fact that had come to me through G-2 and through the Navy Secret Service and I at once got another copy of the paper I had sent last night and sent it over to him by special messenger.

Thursday, November 27, 1941.

A very tense, long day. News is coming in of a concentration and movement south by the Japanese of a large Expeditionary Force moving south from Shanghai and evidently headed towards Indo-China, with a possibility of going to the Philippines or to Burma, or to the Burma Road or to the Dutch East Indies, but probably a concentration to move over into Thailand and to hold a position from which they can attack Singapore when the moment arrives.

The first thing in the morning I called up Hull to find out what his finale had been with the Japanese - whether he had handed them the new proposal which we passed on two or three days ago or whether, as he suggested yesterday he would, he broke the whole matter off. He told me now that he had broken the whole matter off. As he put it, "I have washed my hands of it and it is now in the hands of you and Knox -

в

WASHINGTON.

the Army and the Navy. " I then called up the President. The President gave me a little different view. He said they had

ended up, but they ended up with a magnificent statement pre-

pared by Hull. I found out afterwards that this was not a re-

opening of the thing but a statement of our constant and re-

gular position.

General Arnold came in to present the orders for the movement of two of our biggest planes out from San Francisco and across the Mandated Islands to Manila. There is a concentration going on by the Japanese in the Mandated Islands and these planes can fly high over them, beyond the reach of their pursuit planes and take photographs.

Knox and Admiral Stark came over and conferred with me and General Gerow. Marshall is down at the maneuvers today and I feel his absence very much. There was a tendency, not unnatural, on the part of Stark and Gerow to seek for more time. I said that I was glad to have time but I didn't want it at any cost of humility on the part of the United States or of reopening the thing which would show a weakness on our part. The main question has been over the message that we shall send to MacArthur. We have already sent him a quasi alert, or the first signal for an alert, and now, on talking with the President this morning over the telephone, I suggested and he approved the idea that we should send the final alert; namely, the should be on the qui vive for any attack and telling him

how the situation was. So Gerow and Stark and I went over the proposed message to him from Marshall very carefully; finally got it in shape and with the help of a telephone talk I had with Hull, I got the exact statement from him of what the si-

6

tuation was.

2

3

4

5

Friday, November 28, 1941.

Pursuant to my instructions G-2 had sent me a summary of the information in regard to the movements of the Japanese in the Far East and it amounted to such a formidable statement of dangerous possibilities that I decided to take it to the President before he got up. I told him there was an important coalition of facts and that I thought he ought to read it before his appointment which he had made for us at 12:00 o'clock, when the so-called War Cabinet was to meet him - Hull, Knox, myself with Stark and Marshall. He branched into an analysis of the situation himself as he sat there on his bed, saying there were three alternatives and only three that he could see before us. I told him I could see two. His alternatives were - first, to do nothing; second, to make something in the nature of an ultimatum again, stating a point beyond which we would fight; third, to fight at once. I told him my only two were the last two, because I did not think anyone would do nothing in this situation, and he agreed with I said of the other two my choice was the latter one.

7

9

10

12

11

14

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

3

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

When we got back there at 12:00 o'clock he had read the paper that I had left with him. The main point of the paper was a study of what the Expeditionary Force, which we know has left Shanghai and is headed South, is going to do. G-2 pointed out that it might develop into an attack on the Philippines or a landing of further troops in Indo-China, or an attack on Thailand or an attack on the Dutch Netherlands, or on Singapore. After the President had read these aloud, he pointed out that there was one more. It might, by attacking the Kra Isthmus, develop into an attack on Rangoon, which lies only a short distance beyond the Kra Isthmus and the taking of which by the Japanese would effectually stop the Burma Road at its beginning. This, I think, was a very good suggestion on his part and a very likely one. It was the consensus that the present move - that there was an Expeditionary Force on the sea of about 25,000 Japanese troops aimed for a landing somewhere - completely changed the situation when we last discussed whether or not we could address an ultimatum to Japan about moving the troops which she already had on land in Indo-China. It was now the opinion of everyone that if this expedition was allowed to get around the southern point of Indo-China and to go off and land in the Gulf of Siam, either at Bangkok or further west, it would be a terrific blow at all of the three Powers, Britian at Singapore, the Netherlands, and ourselves in the Philippines. It was the concensus of

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

3

9

11

10

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

everybody that this must not be allowed. Then we discussed how to prevent it. It was agreed that if the Japanese got into the Isthmus of Kra, the British would fight. It was also agreed that if the British fought, we would have to fight.

And it now seems clear that if this expedition was allowed to round the southern point of Indo-China, this whole chain of disastrous events would be set on foot of going.

It further became a consensus of views that rather than strike at the Force as it went by without any warning on the one hand, which we didn't think we could do; or sitting still and allowing it to go on, on the other, which we didn! t think we could do; - that the only thing for us to do was to address it a warning that if it reached a certain place, or a certain line, or a certain point, we should have to fight. The President's mind evidently was running towards a special telegram from himself to the Emperor of Japan. This he had done with good results at the time of the Panay incident, but for many reasons this did not seem to me to be the right thing now and I pointed them out to the President. In the first place, a letter to the Emperor of Japan could not be couched in terms which contained an explicit warning. One does not warn an Emperor. In the second place it would not indicate to the people of the United States what the real nature of the danger was. Consequently I said there ought to be a message by the President to the people of the United States and I thought that the best

...

M.

form of a message would be an address to Congress reporting the danger, reporting what we would have to do if the danger happened. The President accepted this idea of a message but he first thought of incorporating in it the terms of his letter to the Emperor. But again I pointed out that he could not publicize a letter to an Emperor in such a way; that he had better send his letter to the Emperor separate as one thing and a secret thing, and then make his speech to the Congress as a separate and a more understandable thing to the people of the United States. This was the final decision at that time and the President asked Hull and Knox and myself to try to draft such papers.

Tuesday, December 2, 1941.

Dr. Alfred Sze and Dr. T. V. Soong came in to see me on their own request. I think Soong was anxious to have some one present as a witness to get me to corroborate what I said to him some time ago about our intentions to fortify the Philippines. I told him simply that I knew what a difficult situation the Generalissimo was in and it was very presuming for me, sitting here in comfort, to ask him to be patient when he was in the middle of such anxieties and responsibilities there. Nevertheless I told him that that was, I am sure, the course that he should take. I said, I can only say that there is no change in the American policy from what I said to Dr. Soong some

H

٦,

os

him that I also counsel him to have just a little more patience and then I think all things will be well. Apparently that was all they wanted for they at once got up and thanked me and went away. I warned them, of course, that they should not in any way make public or leak about what I had said to them.

I called in Marshall and told him what had happened and asked him to remember what I said.

I left for the White House conference at 12:00 o'clock and there were present there just Knox, Sumner Welles and myself, as Hull is laid up with a cold. The President went step by step over the situation and I think has made up his mind to go ahead. He has asked the Japanese through Sumner Welles what they intend by this new occupation of southern Indo-China - just what they are going to do - and has demanded a quick reply. The President is still deliberating the possibility of a message to the Emperor, although all the rest of us are rather against it, but in addition to that he is quite settled, I think, that he will make a Message to the Congress and will perhaps back that up with a speech to the country. He said that he was going to take the matters right up when he left us.

H

1

2

3

4

5

7

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

21

20

22

23

24

25

Sunday, December 7, 1941.

Today is the day that the Japanese are going to bring their answer to Hull, and everything in MAGIC indicated that they had been keeping the time back until now in order to accomplish something hanging in the air. Knox and I arranged a conference with Hull at 10:30 and we talked the whole matter over. Hull is very certain that the Japs are planning some deviltry and we are all wondering where the blow will strike. We three stayed together in conference until lunch time, going over the plans for what should be said or done. The main thing is to hold the main people who are interested in the Far East together - the British, ourselves, the Dutch, the Australians, the Chinese. Hull expressed his views, giving the broad picture of it, and I made him dictate it to a stenographer and I attach it to the end of this. Knox also had his views as to the importance of showing immediately how these different nations must stand together and I got him to dictate that and that is attached hereto. Hull was to see the Japanese envoys at one o'clock but they were delayed in keeping the appointment and did not come until later - as it turned out, till 2:00 o'clock or after. I returned to Woodley to lunch and just about 2:00 o'clock, while I was sitting at lunch, the President called me up on the telephone and in a rather excited voice asked me, "Have you heard the news?" I said, "Well, I have heard the telegrams which have been coming in about the Japanese advances

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

in the Gulf of Siam." He said, "Oh, no. I don't mean that. They have attacked Hawaii, They are now bombing Hawaii." Well, that was an excitement indeed. The messages which we have been getting through Saturday and yesterday and this morning are messages which are brought by the British patrol south of Indo-China, showing that large Japanese forces were moving up into the Gulf of Siam. This itself was enough excitement and that was what we were at work on our papers about. The observer thought these forces were going to land probably either on the eastern side of the Gulf of Siam, where it would be still in Indo-China, or on the western side, where it would be the KRA Peninsula, or probably Malay. The British were very much excited about it and our efforts this morning in drawing our papers was to see whether or not we should all act together. The British will have to fight if they attack the Kra Peninsula. We three all thought that we must fight if the British fought. But now the Japs have solved the whole thing by attacking us directly in Hawaii.

As soon as I could finish my lunch, I returned to the office and began a long conference which lasted until 6:00 o'clock. The news coming from Hawaii is very bad. They seem to have sprung a complete surprise upon our Fleet and have caught the battleships inside the harbor and bombed them severely with losses. They have also hit our airfields there and have destroyed a great many of our planes, evidently before

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

they got off the ground. It has been staggering to see our people there, who have been warned long ago and were standing on the alert, should have been so caught by surprise. At 4:00 o'clock McCloy had the Chiefs of the Arms of the Services in his room and I went in there and made them a little pep-up talk about getting right to work in the emergency but most of the time was spent in conference with Marshall, Grenville Clark, Miles, Patterson, McCloy, and their assistants, Lovett and General Gullion, the Provost Marshal General. The main subject that we were talking about was the form of a declaration of war. Grenville Clark had drawn up a copy based largely on the Woodrow Wilson one. We all thought that it was possible we should declare war on Germany at the same time with Japan, but that, of course, is an open question. There will be no doubt about declaring war on Japan now, I think, The President has set a conference at the White House at 8:30 this evening, in which the Cabinet had a conference and then a conference at 9:00 to which the Leaders of the House were coming.

When the news first came that Japan had attacked us, my first feeling was of relief that the indecision was over and that a crisis had come in a way which would unite all our people. This continued to be my dominant feeling in spite of the news of catastrophies which quickly developed. For I feel that this country united has practically nothing to fear; while the apathy and divisions stirred up by unpatriotic men have

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

been hitherto very discouraging.

Our meeting with the President in the evening was in the Oval Room in the White House. He sat behind his desk and we in a semi-circle in front of him. He opened by telling us that this was the most serious meeting of the Cabinet that had taken place since 1861 and then he proceeded to enumerate the blows which had fallen upon us at Hawaii. Before he got to that, Knox who sat next to me told me with a rather white face that we had lost seven of the eight battleships in Hawaii, This, however, proved later to be exaggerated. Steve Early sat near the President and dispatches were brought in every few minutes during the meeting. The President had hastily drawn a draft of a message to Congress which he then read to us slowly. It was a very brief message, presenting the same thoughts which he actually presented the following day in his finished message to the Congress.

least three-quarters of an hour, the leaders of Congress who had been waiting below came in. I can remember the following as being present: The Vice President, Senators Barkley, Connally, Austin, Hiram Johnson, perhaps George; Representatives — Speaker Rayburn, Sol Bloom, Eaton of New Jersey, Joe Martin; possibly others. The President began by a very frank story of what had happened, including our losses. The effect on the Congressmen was tremendous. They sat in dead silence

H

₩.

and even after the recital was over they had very few words.

The President asked if they would invite him to appear before the Joint Houses tomorrow and they said they would. He said he could not tell them exactly what he was going to say to them because events were changing so rapidly. We didn't finish until after eleven o'clock, when I returned to the office and stayed there until after twelve.

on my return to the office from lunch I had started matters going in all directions to warn against sabotage and to get punch into the defense move. Marshall had sent out word of the attack to all of the Corps Area Commanders and all our people throughout the world, particularly in the Philippines. I ordered all the officers thereafter to appear in uniform and I found that others had ordered the armed guards out over the War Department Building and additional guards over my house. We offered a guard to the White House but it was thought better there to have the F. B. I. This same activity went on during the intervals of my visit to the White House.

Rent of morel and millions, expends would be sitted on it

SECTION OF THE REPORT OF THE

time will be these areas, or but the time are welled ness it west

PROPOSED STATEMENT FOR PRESIDENT BY HULL

(See Record, December 7)

eaters, is deliberately proceeding on an increasingly broad front to carry out its long proclaims purpose to acquire military control over one-half of the world with nearly one-half its population. This inevitably means Japanese control of islands, continents and seas from the Indies back near Hawaii, and that all of the conquered peoples would be governed militarily, politically, economically, socially and morally by the worst possible military despotism with barbaric, inhuman and semi-slavery methods such as Japan has notoriously been inflicting on the people in China and Hitler on the peoples of some fifteen conquered nations of Europe. This would virtually drive and force all free and peaceful peoples off the high seas.

At this moment of serious, threatened and imminent danger, it is manifest that control of the South Sea area by Japan is the key to the control of the entire Pacific area, and therefore defense of life and commerce and other invaluable rights and interests in the Pacific area must be commenced within the South Sea area at such times and places as in the judgment of naval and military experts would be within sufficient time and at such strategic points as would make it most effective. In no other way can it be satisfactorily determined that the Pacific area can be successfully defended.

9

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 .

25

More than ever is the cohesive, closely related world movement to conquer and destroy, with Hitler moving across one half of the world and the Government of Japan under the military group moving across the other half of the world by closely synchronizing their efforts and collaborating and cooperating whenever to their individual or their mutual advantage.

This at once places at stake everything that is precious and worthwhile. Self-defense, therefore, is the key point for the preservation of each and all of our civilized institutions.

The barries of the above by acceptable there any services about

Status: or it eight be stated ony threat to any ord of the

Feedy Jointly to mat togother and it soon undergranding has

The total research deeps respected, it was been by research because the street

Disheration we have fall training and at a time to me ending.

d. I think the Jepaness should be build the ser end

ment in & olrowslen know threatens the United States and Ill.

MAY by force: But forwaldent while went to resurve to this con-

Dist hes to derina into. The following one suggest that .

att Atty Movement Little Theallend: or, any movement Tallo

Dires he da da a cureat to all of the We should there are

The The Britzlah of See Dutch to a serieus threat to the Unit; the

SUGGESTION BY KNOX

(See Record, December 7)

- 1. We are tied up inextricably with the British in the present world situation.
- 2. The fall of Singapore and the loss to England of Malaya will automatically not only wreck her far eastern position but jeopardize her entire effort.
- ... If the British lose their position the Dutch are almost certain to lose theirs.
- 4. If both the British and the Dutch lose their positions we are almost certain to be next, being then practical-
- 5. If the above be accepted, then any serious threat to the British or the Dutch is a serious threat to the United States; or it might be stated any threat to any one of the three of us is a threat to all of us. We should therefore be ready jointly to act together and if such understanding has not already been reached, it should be reached immediately. Otherwise we may fall individually one at a time (or somebody may be left out on a limb).
- 6. I think the Japanese should be told that any movement in a direction that threatens the United States will be met by force. The President will want to reserve to himself just how to define this. The following are suggestions to shoot at: Any movement into Thailand; or any movement into

56B

ď

12.

C3-A

Thailand west of 100° East and South of 10° North - this in accordance with the recommendation of the British and Dutch and United States military authorities in the Far East; or any movement against British, Dutch, United States, Free French, or Portuguese territory in the Pacific area.

Mr. Lane: At this point, Mr. Chairman, I ask to have spread on the record those interrogatories submitted to former Secretary Stimson by Senator Ferguson which were not answered as explained in former Secretary Stimson's letter of transmittal covering the interrogatories which he did subsequently answer. The letter of transmittal, the interrogatories and answers thereto will be our next offer.

The Chairman: It is so ordered.

(The interrogatories /unanswered/ referred to are as follows:)

he the fitte of Bovenher I'm ... out

Charles at 1tante, asserted knownie.

10

11

12

13

15

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

March 6, 1946

The Joint Committee on The Investigation of the Pearl Harbor Attack being advised that the former Secretary of War, Henry L. Stimson, is unable to appear before the Committee because of illness, I submit the following questions to Mr. Stimson to be answered fully, completely and under oath, and it is to be understood that the questions and answers are to be made part of the official record as if taken in open hearings.

> /s/ Homer Ferguson HOMER FERGUSON U. S. Senate

- In your testimony before the Pearl Harbor Board, you stated that you had memoranda and records of what took place at certain meetings relating to Japan and American relations during 1941. Will you please furnish for the Committee copies of these memoranda and records so far as they disclose the Far East Situation between November 1 and December 8, 1941?
- Did the Chief of Staff, General Marshall, have knowledge of what was taking place between you and other Cabinet members and the President in relation to the Far Eastern Situation?
 - On the 25th of November, 1941, you had a conversation

3

4

5

8

9

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

with the President wherein he stated that hostilities with Japan might start perhaps next Monday, and that you had a discussion with him at that time as to what we should do in relation thereto. Will you please give us in detail what was said by you and by the President at that time.

- Having appointed a Board under the statute to investigate 4. the Pearl Harbor catastrophe, how do you account for the fact that after the Board had completed the examination of witnesses you appointed Major Clausen to complete the investigation?
- Will you give us the details as to those with whom you discussed this matter and the conversations?
 - 6. Did you have Major Clausen investigate our policy in the Far East as far as the Secretary of State's office was concerned?
 - If not, will you tell us why not?
 - 8. Did you have Major Clausen investigate our policy in the Far East as far as the White House was concerned?
 - 9. If not, will you tell us why not?
 - Why did you not have the Board appointed to investigate 10. this matter consider the so-called Clausen affidavits?
 - 11. Was all Magic, that is, intercepted Japanese messages, furnished to you?

If not all magic, were the messages furnished to War Plans and Chief of Staff delivered to you?

Will you tell us what Japanese messages you did discuss with the President?

Will you state what discussion you had with the President on the following Japanese Messages:

#985	Page	204,	Exhibit	1
#986	Page	206,	Exhibit	1
#865	Page	208,	Exhibit	1
#844	Page	195,	Exhibit	1

- 12. Did you discuss these Magic messages with the President?
- 13. I call your attention to the messages in Exhibit 2 and ask if you saw these messages prior to December 7, 1941.
- 14. I call your attention to the message on page 12 of Ex. 2 and ask you if you saw that message.
- 15. I ask you what evaluation you placed on the messages on pages 12, 13, 14 and 15 of Exhibit 2.
- 16. Did you discuss the messages asked about in the previous 3 questions with any person? If so, give us the details of the discussion.
- 17. Did you ever discuss with Secretary Hull why he decided not to send the Modus Vivendi?
- 18. Did he ever tell you why he decided to send the note of November 26, 1941, and not the Modus Vivendi? If so, will you state what he said?
- 19. Upon what conversations was it based and what was the reason for it?
 - 20. I show you Exhibit 45 and ask you to explain in detail

why this language was used in that memorandum: "be sure that the memorandum would not be construed as a recommendation to the President that he request Japan to reopen the conversations."

- 21. I call your attention to Exhibit 45 and ask you whether it was originally intended to send this message discussed in this Exhibit to General Short?
- 22. Isn't it true that a message was only to be sent to General MacArthur and that you had discussed with the President this message only to General MacArthur?
- 23. Did you ever discuss with Secretary Hull the Modus Vivendi? Give us details of your conversation.
- 24. Did you advise for or against sending that proposal? If so, tell us what was said.
- 25. Did Secretary Hull ever tell you why he sent the note of November 26 and not the Modus Vivendi? If so, give us the conversation.
- 26. Exhibit No. 36 in the present Investigation entitled "Memorandum for the Adjutant General (Through Secretary, General Staff), subject: FAR EASTERN SITUATION signed by L. T. Gerow, Brigadier General, Acting Assistant Chief of Staff, contains the following statement: "The Secretary of War directs that the following secret, first priority, message be despatched by cable, radio, or telegraph (whichever method is the most secure from the view point of secrecy) to each of the following:

ì

3

×

8

H

10

11

13

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

Commanding General, Hawaiian Department

Commanding General, Caribbean Defense Command

There then follows the message sent by the War Department to

General Short on November 27, 1941, signed "Marshall" being

Number 472, which is set forth on page 7 of Exhibit 32.

When you directed that this despatch be sent to the Commanding General, Hawaiian Department, did you intend to cause him to alert the Hawaiian Department against hostile Japanese attack in the Hawaiian area?

27. Exhibit No. 46 in this Investigation is a copy of General Short's reply to the message from General Marshall referred to in the preceding interrogatory. This reply reads as follows:

REPORT DEPARTMENT ALERTED TO PREVENT SABOTAGE PERIOD

LIAISON WITH NAVY REURAD FOUR SEVEN TWO TWENTY SEVENTH

SHORT

This Exhibit containing General Short's reply bears the nota-

"Noted H L S

(Stimson)"

The H L S appearing to be your initials placed on the original War Department radiogram in your handwriting.

When you read General Short's reply did you consider that it was an adequate and responsive answer to the War Department's message of November 27th signed: "Marshall," number 472,