

THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
LITTERA
SCRIPTA
MANET
OF THE UNITED STATES

FEDERAL REGISTER

1934

VOLUME 27 NUMBER 193 OCT 5 1962

THE UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN

Washington, Thursday, October 4, 1962

MAIN-READING ROOM

Contents

Agricultural Marketing Service

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida; recommended decision..... 9819

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Oranges; prohibition of imports... 9809
Sawdust pack grapes (European or vinifera type); United States standards 9809

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

NOTICES:
Sugarcane; hearing..... 9829

Agriculture Department

See Agricultural Marketing Service; Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

Alien Property Office

NOTICES:
Jagiellonski, Universytet; intention to return vested property... 9836

Atomic Energy Commission

NOTICES:
Consumers Power Co.; notice of authorization for operation of nuclear reactor..... 9830
University of Nevada; notice of application for utilization facility license..... 9830

Civil Aeronautics Board

NOTICES:
Eastern North Carolina Area Airline Service Airport Investigation; postponement of prehearing conference..... 9832

Coast Guard

NOTICES:
Equipment, installations, or materials; approval and termination of approval notice..... 9824

RULES AND REGULATIONS:

Licensing of officers and motorboat operators and registration of staff officers; pilots' licenses, engineers' licenses, and statutory authorities for regulations... 9814

Federal Aviation Agency

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Airports; extension of comment period..... 9822

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Procurement by formal advertising; opening of bids and awards of contracts..... 9812
Restricted area; alteration..... 9810

Federal Communications Commission

NOTICES:
Hearings, etc.:
Northfield Broadcasting Co. et al..... 9830
Stock, Hugh Jordan..... 9830
Yago, Ralph..... 9831

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Practice and procedure; who may sign applications..... 9816

Federal Maritime Commission

NOTICES:
Admiral Shipping Co. et al.; notice of licenses issued to independent ocean freight forwarders..... 9831
Admiral Shipping Corp. et al.; notice of freight forwarder applications filed for approval... 9831

Federal Power Commission

NOTICES:
Hearings, etc.:
Harper Oil Co. et al..... 9832
Kansas Gas and Electric Co. and Kansas Power and Light Co... 9832

Federal Trade Commission

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Prohibited trade practices: Green, Mark..... 9811

Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., et al..... 9810
Leifer-Devitt, Inc., et al..... 9811

Fish and Wildlife Service

RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Hunting:
Columbia National Wildlife Refuge, Washington; correction... 9817
Lacreek National Wildlife Refuge, South Dakota..... 9817
Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge, California and Oregon..... 9817
Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, California..... 9818

Food and Drug Administration

PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
Food additives; notice of filing of petition 9822

Health, Education, and Welfare Department

See Food and Drug Administration.

Interior Department

See Fish and Wildlife Service; Land Management Bureau; National Park Service.

Interstate Commerce Commission

NOTICES:
Fourth section applications for relief..... 9835
Motor carrier transfer proceedings..... 9835

Justice Department

See also Alien Property Office.
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
Organization; Internal Security Division; assignment of certain responsibilities to Assistant Attorney General..... 9812

(Continued on next page)

Land Management Bureau

NOTICES:
 Alaska; small tract classifications:
 Amendment of cancellation----- 9826
 Cancellations (3 documents)----- 9826, 9827
 Partial cancellation----- 9827
 Arizona; proposed withdrawals and reservations of lands (2 documents)----- 9827
 California:
 Partial termination of proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands; correction----- 9827
 Proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands----- 9828
 Idaho; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands----- 9828
 Nevada; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands----- 9829
 Oregon; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands----- 9828

Wyoming; proposed withdrawal and reservation of lands----- 9829
RULES AND REGULATIONS:
 Public land orders:
 Idaho; Power Site Restoration 556; partly revoking Power Site Reserve 565; opening lands----- 9813
 New Mexico; restoration, Water Power Designation No. 1, Power Site Reserve No. 59----- 9813
 New Mexico and Oregon; withdrawals for Forest Service administrative sites and recreation areas----- 9812
National Park Service
 PROPOSED RULE MAKING:
 Rocky Mountain National Park, Colorado; fishing and other activities----- 9819

Securities and Exchange Commission

NOTICES:
Hearings, etc.:
 Black Bear Industries, Inc.----- 9833
 E. L. Bruce Co., Inc.----- 9833
 Industrial Enterprises, Inc.----- 9833
 Jersey Central Power & Light Co. and New Jersey Power & Light Co.----- 9833
 Michigan Consolidated Gas Co.----- 9834
 Precision Microwave Corp.----- 9834
 Prosper Oil and Mining Co.----- 9834

Tariff Commission

NOTICES:
 Unmanufactured lead and zinc; report to the President----- 9834

Treasury Department

See Coast Guard.

Codification Guide

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, appears at the end of each issue beginning with the second issue of the month.

Monthly, quarterly, and annual cumulative guides, published separately from the daily issues, include the section numbers as well as the part numbers affected.

3 CFR

PROCLAMATIONS:
 2961 (see FR Doc. 62-9930)----- 9829
 EXECUTIVE ORDERS:
 Nov. 21, 1916 (revoked in part by PLO 2776)----- 9813

7 CFR

51----- 9809
 944----- 9809
 PROPOSED RULES:
 905----- 9819

14 CFR

608----- 9810
 PROPOSED RULES:
 151 [New]----- 9822
 153 [New]----- 9822
 155 [New]----- 9822
 157 [New]----- 9822
 161 [New]----- 9822
 163 [New]----- 9822
 550----- 9822
 555----- 9822
 565----- 9822
 574----- 9822
 575----- 9822
 576----- 9822
 577----- 9822
 625----- 9822

16 CFR

13 (3 documents)----- 9810, 9811

21 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
 121----- 9822

28 CFR

0----- 9812

36 CFR

PROPOSED RULES:
 7----- 9819

41 CFR

2-2----- 9812

43 CFR

PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:
 2775----- 9812
 2776----- 9813
 2777----- 9813

46 CFR

10----- 9814

47 CFR

1----- 9816

50 CFR

32 (4 documents)----- 9817, 9818

Announcing first 5-year Cumulation

UNITED STATES STATUTES AT LARGE

TABLES OF LAWS AFFECTED in Volumes 70-74

Lists all prior laws and other Federal instruments which were amended, repealed, or otherwise affected by the provisions of public laws enacted during the years 1956-1960. Includes index of popular name acts affected in Volumes 70-74.

Price: \$1.50

Compiled by Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration
 Order from Superintendent of Documents, United States Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.



Telephone _____ WOrth 3-3261
 prescribed by the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register, approved by the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The FEDERAL REGISTER will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$1.50 per month or \$15.00 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies (minimum 15 cents) varies in proportion to the size of the issue. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, directly to the Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.

The regulatory material appearing herein is keyed to the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS, which is published, under 50 titles, pursuant to section 11 of the Federal Register Act, as amended August 5, 1953. The CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of books and pocket supplements vary.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the CODE OF FEDERAL REGULATIONS.

Rules and Regulations

Title 7—AGRICULTURE

Chapter I—Agricultural Marketing Service (Standards, Inspections, Marketing Practices), Department of Agriculture

PART 51—FRESH FRUITS, VEGETABLES AND OTHER PRODUCTS (INSPECTION, CERTIFICATION AND STANDARDS)

Subpart—United States Standards for Sawdust Pack Grapes (European or Vinifera Type)

On August 16, 1962, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 8181) regarding a proposed amendment of United States Standards for Sawdust Pack Grapes (European or vinifera type).

Statement of considerations. The purpose of the amendment is to establish a new grade to be called U.S. Export No. 1 Grapes. The requirements of this grade are the same as for U.S. No. 1, Sawdust Pack Grapes, except that it can be applied to grapes packed in lugs, boxes, cartons or chests with cushions, liners or wraps, as well as those packed in sawdust or granulated cork.

The notice afforded interested persons an opportunity to file written data, views and arguments on the proposal. None were received opposing the proposal.

After consideration of all relevant matters presented, it is determined that the proposal for amendment as set forth in the aforesaid notice should be adopted and, Subpart—United States Standards for Sawdust Pack Grapes (European or vinifera type) is hereby amended as set forth below:

1. Revise footnote ¹ to read as follows:

¹Except as provided in connection with U.S. Export No. 1 Grapes, these standards shall be applicable only to grapes properly packed in sawdust or granulated cork, and not to so-called "semi-sawdust packs" which are cushioned and/or covered with sawdust.

2. Add a new § 51.2151a to read as follows:

§ 51.2151a U.S. Export No. 1 Grapes.

"U.S. Export No. 1 Grapes" consists of grapes which meet the requirements of U.S. No. 1 Sawdust Pack Grapes and which may be packed in lugs, boxes, cartons or chests with any of the customary protective materials such as cushion liners, or wraps, or which are properly packed in sawdust or granulated cork.

Effective date. It is hereby found that good cause exists for dispensing with the effective date requirements of section 4 (c) of the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 1003), in that: (1) The 1962 packing season for grapes is well underway and it is in the interests of the public and the industry that this amend-

ment be placed in effect at the earliest possible date; (2) No special preparation is required for compliance with the amendment on the part of the members of the grape industry or of others. Accordingly this amendment shall become effective upon publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, pursuant to the authority contained in the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (§§ 203, 205, 60 Stat. 1087 as amended, 1090 as amended; 7 U.S.C. 1622, 1624).

Dated: October 1, 1962.

G. R. GRANGE,
Deputy Administrator,
Marketing Services.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9940; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:54 a.m.]

Chapter IX—Agricultural Marketing Service (Marketing Agreements and Orders), Department of Agriculture

[Orange Reg. No. 3]

PART 944—FRUITS; IMPORT REGULATIONS

Prohibitions of Imported Commodities § 944.302 Orange Regulation No. 3.

(a) On and after 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., October 8, 1962, the importation into the United States of any oranges is prohibited unless such oranges are inspected and grade at least U.S. No. 3 and are of a size not smaller than $2\frac{3}{16}$ inches in diameter, except that not more than 10 percent, by count, of such oranges in any lot of containers, and not more than 15 percent, by count, of such oranges in individual containers in such lot, may be of a size smaller than $2\frac{3}{16}$ inches in diameter.

(b) The Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service, Fruit and Vegetable Division, Agricultural Marketing Service, United States Department of Agriculture, is hereby designated as the governmental inspection service for the purpose of certifying the grade, size, quality, and maturity of oranges that are imported into the United States under the provisions of section 8e of the act. Inspection by the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service with appropriate evidence thereof in the form of an official inspection certificate, issued by the respective service, applicable to the particular shipment of oranges, is required on all imports of oranges. Such inspection and certification services will be available upon application in accordance with the rules and regulations governing inspection and certification of fresh fruits, vegetables, and other products (7 CFR Part 51) but, since inspectors are not located in the immediate vicinity of some of the small ports of entry, such as those in southern California, importers of oranges should make arrangements for inspection, through the applicable one of the following offices, at least the speci-

fied number of days prior to the time when the oranges will be imported:

Ports	Office	Advance notice
All Texas points.	W. T. McNabb, 222 McClendon Bldg., Harlingen, Tex. (Phone: Garfield 3-5644).	1 day.
	or Norman E. Taylor, Room 204, U.S. Court House, El Paso, Tex. (Phone: Keystone 3-9351, Ext. 340).	Do.
All New York points.	Edward J. Beller, Room 306, 346 Broadway, New York 13, N.Y. (Phone: Rector 2-8000, Ext. 807).	Do.
All Arizona points.	R. H. Bertelson, 136 Grande Ave., Nogales, Ariz. (Phone: Atwater 7-2902).	Do.
All Florida points.	Lloyd W. Boney, 1200 NW 21 Terrace, Room 5, Miami, Fla. (Phone: Newton 5-7967).	Do.
	or Hubert S. Flynt, 775 Warner St., Orlando, Fla. (Phone: Garden 2-2447).	Do.
All California points.	Carley D. Williams, 784 South Central Ave., Room 294, Los Angeles 21, Calif. (Phone: Madison 2-8750).	3 days.
All other points.	E. E. Conklin, Fruit and Vegetable Division, AMS, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington 25, D.C. (Phone: Dudley 8-5870).	Do.

(c) Inspection certificates shall cover only the quantity of oranges that is being imported at a particular port of entry by a particular importer.

(d) The inspection performed, and certificates issued, by the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service shall be in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Department governing the inspection and certification of fresh fruits, vegetables, and other products (7 CFR Part 51). The cost of any inspection and certification shall be borne by the applicant therefor.

(e) Each inspection certificate issued with respect to any oranges to be imported into the United States shall set forth, among other things:

- (1) The date and place of inspection;
- (2) The name of the shipper, or applicant;
- (3) The commodity inspected;
- (4) The quantity of the commodity covered by the certificate;
- (5) The principal identifying marks on the container;
- (6) The railroad car initials and number, the truck and the trailer license number, the name of the vessel, or other identification of the shipment; and
- (7) The following statement, if the facts warrant: Meets U.S. import requirements under section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended.

(f) Notwithstanding any other provision of this regulation, any importation of oranges which, in the aggregate, does not exceed five $1\frac{3}{5}$ bushel boxes, or

equivalent quantity, may be imported without regard to the restrictions specified herein.

(g) Nothing contained in this section shall be deemed to preclude any importer from reconditioning prior to importation any shipment of oranges for the purpose of making it eligible for importation under the act.

(h) No provisions of this section shall supersede the restrictions or prohibitions on oranges under the Plant Quarantine Act of 1912.

(i) Terms used herein relating to grade and diameter shall have the same meaning as when used in the United States Standards for Oranges (Texas and States other than Florida, California, and Arizona) (7 CFR 51.680—51.712). "Importation" means release from custody of the United States Bureau of Customs.

(j) Orange Regulation No. 2 (§ 944.-301; 27 F.R. 821, 6043) is hereby terminated at 12:01 a.m., e.s.t., October 8, 1962.

On September 7, 1962, notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 8921) regarding proposed restrictions on the importation of oranges into the United States. Such notice afforded interested parties an opportunity to submit data, views, or arguments for consideration in connection with the proposed action. Eight statements were filed within the prescribed time. Three were in opposition to and five in support of the provision requiring import regulations for oranges to be on a basis comparable with regulations established for oranges grown in Texas. After consideration of the material submitted and other available information, it is concluded that (1) most orange imports originate in Mexico and many of such imports are transhipped from the lower Rio Grande Valley in Texas by handlers of Texas oranges; (2) many of such shipments are commingled with Texas oranges; (3) oranges from Mexico go to the same markets as Texas oranges. It is therefore determined that such imported oranges are in most direct competition with oranges produced in Texas.

It is hereby found that it is impracticable and contrary to the public interest to postpone the effective time of this regulation beyond that hereinafter specified (5 U.S.C. 1001-1011) in that (a) the requirements of this import regulation are imposed pursuant to section 8e of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (7 U.S.C. 601-674; 75 Stat. 305), which makes such regulation mandatory; (b) the grade and size requirements of this import regulation are the same as those in effect on domestic shipments of Texas oranges under Orange Regulation 4 (§ 906.307; 27 F.R. 8726); (c) compliance with this import regulation will not require any special preparation which cannot be completed by the effective time; (d) notice hereof in excess of three days, the minimum that is prescribed by said section 8e, is given with respect to this import regulation; and (e) such notice is hereby determined, under the circumstances, to

be reasonable, and this regulation relieves restrictions on imports of oranges. (Secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674)

Dated: October 2, 1962.

FLOYD F. HEDLUND,
Director, Fruit and Vegetable
Division, Agricultural Mar-
keting Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9981; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:54 a.m.]

Title 14—AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

Chapter III—Federal Aviation Agency SUBCHAPTER E—AIR NAVIGATION REGULATIONS

[Airspace Docket No. 62-SO-21]

PART 608—SPECIAL USE AIRSPACE

Alteration of Restricted Area

On June 28, 1962, a notice of proposed rule making was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER (27 F.R. 6098) stating that the Federal Aviation Agency proposed to alter the boundaries of the Fort Bragg, N.C., Restricted Area R-5311 to more nearly coincide with the military reservation, and to lower the maximum designated altitude of the area from 35,000 feet MSL to 29,000 feet MSL.

The Department of the Army in commenting on the Notice concurred with the proposed boundary modifications but interposed an objection to lowering the maximum designated altitude to 29,000 feet MSL. In so doing the Army stated that while there is no present utilization of the airspace above 29,000, the capability to vertically expand R-5311 must be retained to accommodate future requirements. The Department of the Army has been assured that the action contained herein does not prejudice any subsequent airspace action on this restricted area should there be a change in Army requirements.

No other adverse comments were received regarding the proposed amendment.

Interested persons have been afforded an opportunity to participate in the making of the rule herein adopted, and due consideration has been given to all relevant matter presented.

The substance of the proposal has been published; therefore, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (25 F.R. 12582) and for the reasons stated herein and in the notice, the following action is taken:

1. In § 608.53 *North Carolina* (14 CFR 608.53) the Fort Bragg, N.C.

Restricted Area R-5311 is amended to read:

R-5311 Fort Bragg, N.C.

Boundaries. Beginning at latitude 35°10'46" N., longitude 79°01'56" W.; to latitude 35°08'47" N., longitude 79°02'00" W.; to latitude 35°07'00" N., longitude 79°02'30" W.; to latitude 35°05'35" N., longitude 79°01'50" W.; to latitude 35°02'55" N., longitude 79°05'40" W.; to latitude 35°02'45" N., longitude 79°20'10" W.; to latitude 35°07'05" N.,

longitude 79°22'50" W.; to latitude 35°09'40" N., longitude 79°20'10" W.; thence along Little River to point of beginning.

Designated altitude. Surface to 29,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation. Continuous.
Using agency. Commanding General, Fort Bragg, N.C.

This amendment shall become effective 0001 e.s.t. November 15, 1962.

(Sec. 307(a), 72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on September 27, 1962.

D. D. THOMAS,
Director, Air Traffic Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9886; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 16—COMMERCIAL PRACTICES

Chapter I—Federal Trade Commission [Docket C-227]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Advertising falsely or misleadingly: § 13.70 *Fictitious or misleading guarantees*; § 13.205 *Scientific or other relevant facts*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; 15 U.S.C. 45) [Cease and desist order, Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., et al., Chicago, Ill., Docket C-227, Sept. 11, 1962]

In the Matter of Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., a Corporation, and Central Beauty Equipment Company, Inc., a Corporation, and Willard Gidwitz, and Gerald Gidwitz, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporations.

Consent order requiring Chicago manufacturers of equipment for use by beauty-shop operators, to cease representing falsely in brochures, pamphlets, circulars, and other advertising literature that their "Tahitian South Seas" and "Magic-Aire Gold Star" hair dryers employed a new method of hair drying, were "air-conditioned", had a wider temperature range than was the fact, and were "Fully Guaranteed".

The order to cease and desist, including order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, Central Beauty Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers and Willard Gidwitz and Gerald Gidwitz, individually and as officers of said corporations, and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of hair dryers in commerce as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Representing directly or by implication:

(a) That said hair dryers are so equipped that they can remove moisture

from the air they furnish to the user, unless specifically limited to models of said hair dryers which are in fact equipped to remove moisture from the air;

(b) That said hair dryers furnish cooled or dried air to the user's hair, unless specifically limited to models of said hair dryers which do in fact furnish cooled or dried air to the user's hair;

(c) That said hair dryers will furnish hot air to the user at any temperature outside the range actually afforded by their heat control thermostat.

2. Using the term "air-conditioned" to describe said hair dryers or representing in any other manner that said hair dryers are air conditioned, unless specifically limited to models of said hair dryers which furnish cool, dried air to the user's hair.

3. Representing directly or by implication that any operating principle of any of respondents' hair dryers, or any component of such hair dryers, which is not new or based on a new discovery or a new application to hair dryers of a known principle is new or based on a new discovery or application.

It is further ordered, That respondents, Helene Curtis Industries, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, Central Beauty Equipment Company, Inc., a corporation, and its officers and Willard Gidwitz and Gerald Gidwitz, individually and as officers of said corporations and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the offering for sale, sale or distribution of hair dryers or any other products in commerce, as "commerce" is defined in the Federal Trade Commission Act, do forthwith cease and desist from representing directly or by implication that any product is guaranteed unless all of the terms and conditions of such guarantee and the manner and form in which the guarantor will perform are clearly and conspicuously set forth.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: September 11, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9891; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket C-221]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Leifer-Levitt, Inc., et al.

Subpart—Furnishing false guaranties:
§ 13.1053 *Furnishing false guaranties*:
§ 13.1053-35 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.
Subpart—Invoicing products falsely:
§ 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely*:
§ 13.1108-45 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.
Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling:

§ 13.1255 *Manufacture or preparation*:
§ 13.1255-30 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.
Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure:
§ 13.1865 *Manufacture or preparation*:
§ 13.1865-40 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Leifer-Levitt, Inc., et al., New York, N.Y., Docket C-221, Sept. 11, 1962]

In the Matter of Leifer-Levitt, Inc., a Corporation, and Abe Leifer and Samuel Levitt, Individually and as Officers of Said Corporation

Consent order requiring manufacturing furriers in New York City to cease violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by labeling and invoicing as natural, fur products which were artificially colored, and failing to show on labels and invoices when they were so bleached or dyed; and by furnishing false guaranties with respect to certain of their fur products that they had a continuing guaranty on file with the Commission.

The order to cease and desist, including order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondents Leifer-Levitt, Inc., a corporation, and its officers, and Abe Leifer and Samuel Levitt, individually and as officers of said corporation and respondents' representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction or manufacture for introduction, into commerce, or the sale, advertising, or offering for sale in commerce, or the transportation or distribution in commerce, of any fur product; or in connection with the manufacture for sale, sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation, or distribution of any fur product which is made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding fur products by:

A. Representing directly or by implication on labels that fur contained in fur products is natural, when such is not the fact.

B. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

2. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

A. Representing directly or by implication on invoices that the fur contained in fur products is natural, when such is not the fact.

B. Failing to furnish invoices to purchasers of fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

3. Furnishing a false guaranty that any fur product is not misbranded, falsely invoiced, or falsely advertised, when respondents have reason to believe that such fur product may be in-

troduced, sold, transported, or distributed in commerce.

It is further ordered, That the respondents herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon them of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which they have complied with this order.

Issued: September 11, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9892; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

[Docket C-225]

PART 13—PROHIBITED TRADE PRACTICES

Mark Green

Subpart—Invoicing products falsely:
§ 13.1108 *Invoicing products falsely*:
§ 13.1108-45 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.

Subpart—Misbranding or mislabeling:
§ 13.1212 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*: § 13.1212-30 *Fur Products Labeling Act*. Subpart—Neglecting, unfairly or deceptively, to make material disclosure: § 13.1852 *Formal regulatory and statutory requirements*:

§ 13.1852-35 *Fur Products Labeling Act*;
§ 13.1865 *Manufacture or preparation*:
§ 13.1865-40 *Fur Products Labeling Act*;
§ 13.1880 *Old, used, or reclaimed as unused or new*: § 13.1880-40 *Fur Products Labeling Act*.

(Sec. 6, 38 Stat. 721; 15 U.S.C. 46. Interpret or apply sec. 5, 38 Stat. 719, as amended; sec. 8, 65 Stat. 179; 15 U.S.C. 45, 69f) [Cease and desist order, Mark Green trading as Mark Green, New York, N.Y., Docket C-225, Sept. 11, 1962]

In the Matter of Mark Green, an Individual Trading as Mark Green

Consent order requiring a New York City furrier to cease violating the Fur Products Labeling Act by failing to show on labels when fur products contained used or artificially colored fur, failing to label or invoice secondhand products as required, and failing in other respects to comply with labeling and invoicing requirements.

The order to cease and desist, including order requiring report of compliance therewith, is as follows:

It is ordered, That respondent Mark Green, an individual trading as Mark Green or under any other trade name, and respondent's representatives, agents and employees, directly or through any corporate or other device, in connection with the introduction into commerce, or the sale, advertising, or offering for sale in commerce or the transportation or distribution in commerce, of any fur product; or in connection with the sale, advertising, offering for sale, transportation, or distribution of any fur product which is made in whole or in part of fur which has been shipped and received in commerce, as "commerce", "fur" and "fur product" are defined in the Fur Products Labeling Act, do forthwith cease and desist from:

1. Misbranding fur products by:

A. Failing to affix labels to fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 4(2) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Failing to disclose that fur products are "second-hand", when such is the fact.

C. Failing to set forth the item number or mark assigned to a fur product.

2. Falsely or deceptively invoicing fur products by:

A. Failing to furnish invoices to purchasers of fur products showing in words and figures plainly legible all the information required to be disclosed by each of the subsections of section 5(b) (1) of the Fur Products Labeling Act.

B. Failing to disclose that fur products are "second-hand" when such is the fact.

C. Failing to set forth the item number or mark assigned to a fur product.

It is further ordered, That the respondent herein shall, within sixty (60) days after service upon him of this order, file with the Commission a report in writing setting forth in detail the manner and form in which he has complied with this order.

Issued: September 11, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

JOSEPH W. SHEA,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9893; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

Title 28—JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATION

Chapter I—Department of Justice

[Order No. 281-62]

PART O—ORGANIZATION OF THE DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Subpart L—Internal Security Division

ASSIGNMENT TO THE ASSISTANT ATTORNEY GENERAL IN CHARGE OF THE INTERNAL SECURITY DIVISION OF THE RESPONSIBILITY FOR THE ENFORCEMENT OF THE PROVISIONS OF THE FEDERAL AVIATION ACT OF 1958 RELATING TO OFFENSES UNDER THE SECURITY CONTROL OF AIR TRAFFIC PROVISIONS OF THAT ACT

Under and by virtue of the authority vested in me by section 161 of the Revised Statutes (5 U.S.C. 22) and section 2 of Reorganization Plan No. 2 of 1950 (64 Stat. 1261), I hereby amend paragraph (a) of § 0.60 of Subpart L of Part O of Chapter I of Title 28 of the Code of Federal Regulations (Order No. 271-62) by inserting "Section 1203 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1523), relating to offenses involving the security control of air traffic," immediately after "the Munitions Control Act,".

The amendment made by this order shall become effective upon the date of the publication of this order in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

(R.S. 161; 5 U.S.C. 22; sec. 2, Reorg. Plan No. 2 of 1950, 3 CFR, 1949-1953 Comp., 64 Stat. 1261)

Dated: September 28, 1962.

ROBERT F. KENNEDY,
Attorney General.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9885; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:45 a.m.]

Title 41—PUBLIC CONTRACTS

Chapter 2—Federal Aviation Agency

PART 2-2—PROCUREMENT BY FORMAL ADVERTISING

Subpart 2-2.4—Opening of Bids and Award of Contracts

Subpart 2-2.4 is revised to read as follows:

Sec.	
2-2.406	Mistakes in bids.
2-2.406-3	Other mistakes disclosed before award.
2-2.406-4	Disclosure of mistakes after award.

AUTHORITY: §§ 2-2.406 to 2-2.406-4 issued under secs. 303, 313, 72 Stat. 747, 752; 49 U.S.C. 1344, 1354.

Subpart 2-2.4—Opening of Bids and Award of Contracts

§ 2-2.406 Mistakes in bids.

§ 2-2.406-3 Other mistakes disclosed before award.

(a) The Chief, Procurement Division, Installation and Materiel Service, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington (or anyone acting in that position), is the designated central authority to make the determinations set forth in § 1-2.406-3 of this title.

(b) Cases referred to the Chief, Procurement Division, shall be accompanied by the data prescribed in § 1-2.406-3(d) (3) of this title.

(c) When a determination has been made by the Chief, Procurement Division, the signed original will be forwarded to the contracting officer. All supporting documents submitted by the contracting officer, except his written statement, will be returned to him. The contracting officer shall withhold award action until he has received the signed determination or official notice thereof.

(d) Cases considered doubtful by the contracting officer shall be referred to the Chief, Procurement Division, who will take appropriate action either to make a determination or to prepare a submittal, over his signature, to the Comptroller General.

(e) Where a case clearly must be submitted to the Comptroller General for decision (either pursuant to a specific request from the contractor or because the case does not fall within the criteria set forth in § 1-2.406-3 of this title), it may, if urgent, be submitted directly to the Comptroller General by the contracting officer. The submittal shall be coordinated with Agency legal personnel available to the contracting officer and shall be accompanied by substantially the same data as required by paragraph

(b) of this section. A copy of the submittal letter shall be sent to the Chief, Procurement Division. If urgency is not a factor, the case shall be referred to the Chief, Procurement Division for submission by him to the Comptroller General.

§ 2-2.406-4 Disclosure of mistakes after award.

(a) Agency contracting officers are authorized to correct mistakes in the kinds of cases contemplated in § 1-2.406-4(a) of this title.

(b) The Chief, Procurement Division, Installation and Materiel Service, Federal Aviation Agency, Washington (or anyone acting in that position), is the designated central authority to make the determinations set forth in § 1-2.406-4(b) of this title.

(c) Cases referred to the Chief, Procurement Division, shall be accompanied by the data prescribed in § 1-2.406-4(f) (2) of this title.

(d) When a determination has been made by the Chief, Procurement Division, the signed original will be forwarded to the contracting officer. All supporting documents submitted by the contracting officer, except his written statement, will be returned to him. Upon receipt of the signed determination, the contracting officer shall take appropriate action to rescind or reform the contract, or to notify the contractor that the award will stand as made.

(e) Where administrative determination is precluded by the limitations set forth in § 1-2.406-4 of this title, or where the contractor has specifically requested review by the Comptroller General, the case shall be referred to the Chief, Procurement Division, who will prepare, in coordination with the Office of the General Counsel, a submittal from the Administrator to the Comptroller General.

Effective date. These regulations are effective October 1, 1962.

Dated: September 28, 1962.

N. E. HALABY,
Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9887; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:46 a.m.]

Title 43—PUBLIC LANDS: INTERIOR

Chapter I—Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior

APPENDIX—PUBLIC LAND ORDERS

[Public Land Order 2776]

NEW MEXICO AND OREGON

Withdrawals for Forest Service Administrative Sites and Recreation Areas

By virtue of the authority vested in the President, and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, it is ordered as follows:

Subject to valid existing rights, the minerals in the following-described national forest lands in the national forests

hereafter named are hereby withdrawn from prospecting, location, entry and purchase under the mining laws of the United States in aid of programs of the Forest Service for utilization of the surface as administrative sites and recreation areas, as indicated:

NEW MEXICO

- 1. (New Mexico 0214761)
NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN
LINCOLN NATIONAL FOREST
Indian Wells Recreation Area

T. 16 S., R. 10 E.,
Sec. 15, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Sacramento Side Camp Administrative Site
T. 18 S., R. 12 E.,
Sec. 30, lots 1 to 6, incl.

The area described aggregates 265.79 acres.

OREGON

- 2. (Oregon 011648)
WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
UMATILLA NATIONAL FOREST
Olive Lake Recreation Area

T. 9 S., R. 34 E.,
Sec. 15, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22, lot 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

SISKIYOU NATIONAL FOREST
Wildhorse Campground

T. 36 S., R. 12 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Huntley Spring Campground

T. 37 S., R. 12 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
Panther Creek Campground

T. 33 S., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 18, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 19, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Butler Bar Campground

T. 33 S., R. 13 W.,
Sec. 17, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Elko Spring Campground

T. 37 S., R. 13 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 10, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Pine Point Campground

T. 37 S., R. 13 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 18, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Flycatcher Spring Campground

T. 37 S., R. 13 W. (unsurveyed),
Sec. 19, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
McGribble Campground

T. 33 S., R. 14 W.,
Sec. 20, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 975.70 acres.

OREGON

- 3. (Oregon 011885)
WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN
DESCHUTES NATIONAL FOREST
Bachelor Butte Recreation Area

T. 18 S., R. 9 E.,
Sec. 19, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 20, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and S $\frac{1}{2}$;
Sec. 21, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;

Sec. 28, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29, N $\frac{1}{2}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30, E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ E $\frac{1}{2}$ and W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 32, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, and NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

ROUGUE RIVER NATIONAL FOREST

Wrangle Campground

T. 40 S., R. 1 W.,
Sec. 31, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Star Ranger Station Administrative Site
T. 39 S., R. 3 W.,
Sec. 28, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Squaw Peak Lookout Station

T. 40 S., R. 3 W.,
Sec. 24, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
Thompson Creek Campground

T. 40 S., R. 5 W.,
Sec. 12, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
Sturgis Campground

T. 40 S., R. 5 W.,
Sec. 13, S $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 2, N $\frac{1}{2}$ of lot 3.
Whiskey Peak Lookout Station

T. 41 S., R. 5 W.,
Sec. 11, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 1,702.5 acres.

The total area withdrawn by this order is 2,943.54 acres.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9909; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2776]

[Idaho 011734]

IDAHO

Power Site Restoration No. 566; Partly Revoking Power Site Reserve No. 565; Opening Lands Under Section 24 of the Federal Power Act

By virtue of the authority vested in the President by section 1 of the Act of June 25, 1910 (36 Stat. 847; 43 U.S.C. 141), and pursuant to Executive Order No. 10355 of May 26, 1952, and by virtue of the authority contained in section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended, and pursuant to the determinations of the Federal Power Commission docketed as DA-537, 538, 539, and 547, Idaho, combined, it is ordered as follows:

1. The Executive Order of November 21, 1916, creating Power Site Reserve No. 565, is hereby revoked so far as it affects the following-described lands:

BOISE MERIDIAN

T. 9 S., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 34, lot 10.

Containing 39.73 acres.

2. In DA-537 et al., supra, the Federal Power Commission determined that the value of the following-described lands would not be injured or destroyed for purposes of power development by location, entry, or selection under the public

land laws, subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, as amended:

BOISE MERIDIAN

T. 9 S., R. 16 E.,
Sec. 24, lot 6.
T. 9 S., R. 17 E.,
Sec. 34, lots 11, 12, and 13;
Sec. 35, lots 10, 11 and S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 9 S., R. 18 E.,
Sec. 31, lots 4 and 5.

Containing 404.43 acres.

3. The lands are on or near the rim of the Snake River Canyon in Jerome County, Idaho. Vegetative cover consists of a sparse stand of native bluegrass and cheatgrass. Soils are a shallow, sandy silt loam on those areas lying on the canyon floor, and are nonexistent on the steep slope.

4. Until 10:00 a.m. on March 27, 1962, the State of Idaho shall have (1) a preferred right of application to select the lands in accordance with subsection (c) of section 2 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851, 852), and (2) a preferred right to apply for the reservation to it or to any of its political subdivisions, under any statute or regulation applicable thereto, of any of the lands required for a right-of-way for a public highway or as a source of materials for the construction and maintenance of such highways, in accordance with the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act.

5. This order shall not otherwise be effective to change the status of the lands until 10:00 a.m. on March 27, 1962. At that time the lands shall be open to the operation of the public land laws generally subject to valid existing rights and equitable claims, the requirements of applicable law, rules and regulations, and the provisions of any existing withdrawals.

6. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, and to location under the United States mining laws subject to the provisions of the Act of August 11, 1955 (69 Stat. 682; 30 U.S.C. 621).

7. Any disposals of the lands described in Paragraph 2 of this order shall be subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, supra.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Boise, Idaho.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9910; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:49 a.m.]

[Public Land Order 2777]

[New Mexico 0134891]

NEW MEXICO

Restoration Under Section 24, Federal Power Act, Water Power Designation No. 1, Power Site Reserve No. 59

1. In Docket No. DA-63-New Mexico, the Federal Power Commission has determined that the value of the following

described lands withdrawn under Water Power Designation No. 1, and Power Site Reserve No. 59, will not be injured or destroyed for purposes of power development by location, entry or selection under the public land laws, subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act of June 10, 1920 (41 Stat. 1075; 16 U.S.C. 818), as amended:

NEW MEXICO PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN

- T. 29 N., R. 9 W.,
 Sec. 19, lots 6 and 9.
 T. 29 N., R. 10 W.,
 Sec. 19, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 20, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 23, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 26, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, and SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 29 N., R. 11 W.,
 Sec. 26, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 27, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 29, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
 Sec. 30, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
 T. 29 N., R. 12 W.,
 Sec. 29, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ and NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 665.07 acres of public land.

2. Lands described as the NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 26, and the NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 27, T. 29 N., R. 11 W., N.M.P.M., are embraced in application for withdrawal, New Mexico 034478, filed by the Bureau of Indian Affairs in connection with the proposed Navajo Irrigation Project. Land described as NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ sec. 29, T. 29 N., R. 12 W., N.M.P.M., is included in application of the State of New Mexico, under indemnity school land selection, New Mexico 0184247.

3. The lands are located within the San Juan River Flood Plain between the communities of Blanco and Farmington, New Mexico. Access is afforded over improved surfaced roads, established for oil and gas field operations. The soils vary from sandy, in the riverbed, to two feet of alluvial soil of medium texture. Vegetation consists of salt cedar, willow, cottonwood, salt grass, and some meadow.

4. Until 10:00 a.m. on December 29, 1962, the State of New Mexico shall have a preferred right to apply for the reservation to it or to any of its political subdivisions under any statute or regulation applicable thereto of any of the lands required for a right-of-way for a public highway or as a source of materials for the construction and maintenance of such highways, in accordance with the provisions of Section 24 of the Federal Power Act.

5. This order shall not otherwise become effective to change the status of the lands until 10:00 a.m. on December 29, 1962. At that time the said lands shall be open to the operation of the public land laws generally, subject to valid existing rights and equitable claims, the requirements of applicable laws, rules and regulations, and the provisions of any existing withdrawals.

6. The State of New Mexico has waived the preference right of application afforded it by subsection (c) of section 2 of the Act of August 27, 1958 (72 Stat. 928; 43 U.S.C. 851, 852).

7. The lands have been open to applications and offers under the mineral leasing laws, and to location under the

United States mining laws, subject to the provisions of the Act of August 11, 1955 (69 Stat. 682; 30 U.S.C. 621).

8. Any disposals of the lands described in this order shall be subject to the provisions of section 24 of the Federal Power Act, supra, as specified by the Federal Power Commission in its determinations.

Inquiries concerning the lands should be addressed to the Manager, Land Office, Bureau of Land Management, Santa Fe, New Mexico.

JOHN A. CARVER, Jr.,
 Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9911; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
 8:49 a.m.]

Title 46—SHIPPING

Chapter I—Coast Guard, Department of the Treasury

SUBCHAPTER B—MERCHANT MARINE OFFICERS AND SEAMEN

[CGFR 62-31]

PART 10—LICENSING OF OFFICERS AND MOTORBOAT OPERATORS AND REGISTRATION OF STAFF OFFICERS

Pilots' Licenses, Engineers' Licenses, and Statutory Authorities for Regulations

To show more clearly that the required examination for an extension of a pilot's route should not be as comprehensive as that for an original pilot's license or for an initial endorsement on a master's or mate's license, the text of 46 CFR 10.05-43(a) is made specifically applicable to the applicant for an original license or for an initial endorsement on a master's or mate's license as pilot, while § 10.05-43(b) is made specifically applicable to an applicant for an extension of a pilot's route. The amendment to 46 CFR 10.05-43 is to remove from practice a strict application placed on this regulation which has required certain applicants to be examined repetitiously on certain subjects, which is neither necessary nor desired. When the applicant applies for an extension of a pilot's route he is requesting authority for additional pilotage and therefore the examination should be directed toward determining the competence in this area rather than to require a complete examination and review of the applicant's knowledge of pilotage.

The modernization of marine power plants used on board vessels and the changes in traffic patterns of commercial vessels occasioned by the expansion of the Great Lakes' trade have resulted in a need for evaluating experience gained by licensed engineers through service on vessels with limited horsepower propulsion plants. The provisions in 46 CFR 10.02-15, regarding lifting of limitations, are silent with respect to service requirements of engineers holding limited licenses but who have served on vessels of 4,000 horsepower or over. The new regulation designated 46

CFR 10.10-6 is prescribed and sets forth procedures to be followed when holders of limited engineers' licenses apply for changes to raise or remove horsepower limitations as a result of services performed on vessels of 4,000 horsepower or over.

The statutory authorities cited in 46 CFR Part 10 are amended to bring them up to date or to refer to specific sections in the U.S. Code rather than set forth the Revised Statutes of the United States so that they may be included in the contemplated issuance of a revision, as of January 1, 1963, of the Code of Federal Regulations volume containing Parts 1 to 145 of Chapter 1 of Title 46.

Because the amendments in this document set forth policy, procedures, or editorial changes, it is hereby found that compliance with the Administrative Procedure Act (respecting notice of proposed rule making, public rule-making procedures thereon, and effective date requirements thereof) is deemed to be unnecessary.

By virtue of the authority vested in me as Commandant, United States Coast Guard, by Treasury Department Orders 120 dated July 31, 1950 (15 F.R. 6521), 167-9 dated August 3, 1954 (19 F.R. 5195), 167-14 dated November 26, 1954 (19 F.R. 8026), 167-20 dated June 18, 1956 (21 F.R. 4894), CGFR 56-28 dated July 24, 1956 (21 F.R. 7605), and 167-38 dated October 26, 1959 (24 F.R. 8857), to promulgate regulations in accordance with the statutes cited with regulations below, the following regulations are prescribed and shall become effective upon the date of publication of this document in the FEDERAL REGISTER:

1. The authority for Part 10 is amended to read as follows:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.01-1 to 10.25-9 issued under R.S. 4405, as amended, 4462, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 375, 416. Treasury Department Order 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521. Additional authority cited with sections affected.

Subpart 10.01—General

2. Section 10.01-5 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.01-5 Authority for regulations.

(a) *General.* The authority to prescribe regulations generally is set forth in Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 375 and 416, as well as in certain other provisions in Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 170, 214, 215, 222, 224, 224a, 226, 228, 229, 230-234, 239, 240, 361, 362, 364, 372, 381, 391, 391a, 392, 393, 399, 400, 402-414, 435, 436, 451-453, 460, 461, 462, 464, 467, 470-482, and 489-498, and acts amendatory thereof or supplemental thereto. Under the provisions of Title 46, U.S. Code, section 372, the Commandant, United States Coast Guard, superintends the administration of the vessel inspection laws and is required to produce a correct and uniform administration of the inspection laws, rules and regulations.

(b) *Dock and engineer officers' licenses.* The regulations regarding requirements for deck and engineer officers' licenses interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 214, 224, 224a, 225,

226, 228, 229, 230, 231, 233, 237, 367, 391a, 404, 405, 672a, and 1132, and Title 50, U.S. Code, section 198. The regulations regarding requirements for deck and engineer officers' licenses for officers on vessels subject to the Officers' Competency Certificates Convention, 1936, interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, section 224a.

(c) *Radio officers.* The regulations regarding the licensing of radio officers interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 229a-229h.

(d) *Motorboat operators' licenses.* The regulations regarding the licensing of motorboat operators interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 526f and 526p.

(e) *Staff officers.* The regulations regarding the registration of staff officers interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, section 247.

(f) *Operators' and ocean operators' licenses.* The regulations regarding the operators' and ocean operators' licenses interpret or apply Title 46, U.S. Code, section 390b.

Subpart 10.02—General Requirements for All Deck and Engineer Officers' Licenses

3. Subpart 10.02 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.02-1 to 10.02-33 interpret or apply R.S. 4417a, as amended, 4426, as amended, 4427, as amended, 4438, as amended, 4438a, as amended, 4439, as amended, 4440, as amended, 4441, as amended, 4442, as amended, 4443, as amended, 4445, as amended, sec. 2, 29 Stat. 188, as amended, sec. 1, 34 Stat. 1411, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 49 Stat. 1544, 1545, as amended, sec. 3, 70 Stat. 152, and sec. 3, 68 Stat. 675; 46 U.S.C. 391a, 404, 405, 224, 224a, 226, 228, 229, 214, 230, 231, 225, 237, 367, 390b, 50 U.S.C. 198. Treasury Department Orders 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521; 167-14, November 26, 1954, 19 F.R. 8026; 167-20, June 18, 1956, 21 F.R. 4894. Other statutory provisions interpreted or applied are cited to text in parentheses.

4. The authority following § 10.02-1 (d) is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.02-1 Issuance of licenses.

(Sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

5. Section 10.02-5(c) (10) (ii) is amended by inserting after it the following authority note:

§ 10.02-5 Requirements for original license.

(Sec. 5, 49 Stat. 1935, as amended, sec. 302, 49 Stat. 1992, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 672a, 1132. Treasury Department Order 120, 15 F.R. 6521)

6. Section 10.02-9(g) (4) (ii) is amended by inserting after it the following authority note:

§ 10.02-9 Requirements for renewal of license.

(R.S. 4447, as amended, 46 U.S.C. 233. Treasury Department Order 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521)

7. Section 10.02-29(c) is amended by inserting after it the following authority note:

§ 10.02-29 Suspension and revocation of license.

(R.S. 4450, as amended, sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239, 239b. Treasury Department Orders 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521; 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

Subpart 10.05—Professional Requirements for Deck Officers' Licenses (Inspected Vessels)

8. Subpart 10.05 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.05-1 to 10.05-61 interpret or apply R.S. 4417a, as amended, 4426, as amended, 4427, as amended, 4438, as amended, 4438a, as amended, 4439, as amended, 4440, as amended, 4442, as amended, 4443, as amended, 4445, as amended, 4447, as amended, sec. 2, 29 Stat. 188, as amended, sec. 1, 34 Stat. 1411, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 49 Stat. 1544, 1545, as amended, sec. 3, 70 Stat. 152, and sec. 3, 68 Stat. 675; 46 U.S.C. 391a, 404, 405, 224, 224a, 226, 228, 214, 230, 231, 233, 225, 237, 367, 390b, 50 U.S.C. 198. Treasury Department Orders 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521; 167-14, November 26, 1954, 19 F.R. 8026; 167-20, June 18, 1956, 21 F.R. 4894.

9. Section 10.05-43 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.05-43 Examination for license as pilot.

(a) An applicant for an original license as pilot or initial endorsement of master's or mate's license as pilot shall be required to pass a satisfactory examination as to his knowledge of the subjects listed in this paragraph:

- (1) Rules of the Road.
- (2) Inland rules, applicable to route.
- (3) Local knowledge of winds, weather, tides, current, etc.
- (4) Chart navigation.
- (5) Aids to navigation.
- (6) Ship handling.
- (7) Chart sketch of the route and waters applied for, showing courses, distances, shoals, aids to navigation, depths of water, and other important features of the route.

(8) General: Such further examination as the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, may consider necessary to establish the applicant's proficiency.

(b) An applicant for extension of pilot's route shall be examined on the subjects in subparagraphs (1), (2), (7), and (8) in paragraph (a) of this section only.

Subpart 10.10—Professional Requirements for Engineer Officers' Licenses (Inspected Vessels)

10. Subpart 10.10 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.10-1 to 10.10-29 interpret or apply R.S. 4417a, as amended, 4426, as amended, 4427, as amended, 4438, as amended, 4438a, as amended, 4441, as amended, 4443, as amended, 4445, as amended, 4447, as amended, sec. 2, 29 Stat. 188, as amended, sec. 1, 34 Stat. 1411, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 1544, 1545, as amended, sec. 3, 70 Stat. 152, and sec. 3, 68 Stat. 675; 46 U.S.C. 391a, 404, 405, 224, 224a, 229, 230, 231, 233, 225, 237, 367, 390b, 50 U.S.C. 198. Treasury Department Orders 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521; 167-14, November 26, 1954, 19 F.R. 8026; 167-20, June 18, 1956, 21 F.R. 4894.

11. Subpart 10.10 is amended by inserting after § 10.10-5 a new section reading as follows:

§ 10.10-6 Raising and removing horsepower limitations.

(a) Applications for a change in horsepower limitation shall be accompanied by a letter of recommendation from the vessel owner, operator or chief engineer.

(b) Notwithstanding the provisions of § 10.02-15(c) of this part, changes in horsepower limitations based on service required by paragraph (c) of this section may be granted without further written examination providing the Officer in Charge, Marine Inspection, in whose office the applicant's previously written examination is filed, considers said examination is satisfactory for the higher license.

(c) The following service on vessels of 4,000 horsepower or over will be considered qualifying, insofar as service is concerned, for the raising or removing of horsepower limitations placed on engineer licenses:

(1) Twelve months' service in the highest grade licensed: removal of all horsepower limitations.

(2) Six months' service in any licensed capacity other than the highest grade for which licensed: removal of all horsepower limitations for the grade in which service is performed and raise the next higher grade license to the horsepower of the vessel on which service was performed.

(3) Twelve months' service as oiler or Junior Engineer holding a license as Third Assistant Engineer: removal of all horsepower limitations on Third Assistant Engineer's license.

(4) Six months' service as oiler or Junior Engineer holding a license as Second Assistant Engineer: removal of all horsepower limitations on Third Assistant Engineer's license.

Subpart 10.13—Licensing of Radio Officers

12. Subpart 10.13 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.13-1 to 10.13-33 interpret or apply secs. 1-8, 62 Stat. 232-234; 46 U.S.C. 229a-229h. Treasury Department Order 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521. Other statutory provisions interpreted or applied are cited to text in parentheses.

13. Section 10.13-1 is amended by substituting appropriate references to the specific sections in the United States Code for the quotation of the act approved May 12, 1948, and as amended this section reads as follows:

§ 10.13-1 Applicability of laws.

(a) The provisions of Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 229a to 229h provide that radiotelegraph operators shall be licensed officers.

(b) The regulations in this subpart implement the provisions in Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 229a to 229h, and provide for the issuance to qualified applicants of licenses as radio officers subject to all of the conditions provided in law.

14. Section 10.13-5(d) is amended by revising the authority note at the end thereof to read as follows:

§ 10.13-5 General provisions respecting all licenses issued.

(Sec. 2, 64 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

15. The authority note following § 10.13-21(d) is canceled and a new authority note is inserted after § 10.13-21 (a) (1) reading as follows:

§ 10.13-21 General requirements for renewal of license.

(Sec. 2, 64 Stat. 484, 46 U.S.C. 239b; Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

Subpart 10.15—Licensing of Officers for Uninspected Vessels

16. Subpart 10.15 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.15-1 to 10.15-33 interpret or apply R.S. 4438a, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 224a. Treasury Department Order 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521. Other provisions interpreted or applied are cited to text in parentheses.

17. Section 10.15-1 is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.15-1 Applicability of laws.

(a) All the provisions of Title 46, U.S. Code, sections 221, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 237, 239, 239b, 240, 372, 375, and 672a which refer to the issuance, duration, renewal, suspension or revocation of licenses of masters, mates, chief engineers and assistant engineers shall be applicable to all uninspected vessels to which the Officers' Competency Certificates Convention, 1936, and Title 46, U.S. Code, section 224a, making effective the provisions of the Convention apply.

18. The authority following § 10.15-25 (a) is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.15-25 Application and experience required for original or raise of grade of license.

(Sec. 2, 64 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

Subpart 10.20—Motorboat Operators Licenses

19. Subpart 10.20 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.20-1 to 10.20-13 interpret or apply secs. 7, 17, 54 Stat. 165, as amended, 166, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 526f, 526p. Treasury Department Orders 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521; 167-20, June 18, 1956, 21 F.R. 4894. Other statutory provisions interpreted or applied are cited to the text in parentheses.

20. The authority note following § 10.20-3(c) is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.20-3 General requirements.

(Sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

21. The authority note following § 10.20-9(a) (1) is amended to read as follows:

§ 10.20-9 Rules for renewal.

(Sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

Subpart 10.25—Registration of Staff Officers

22. Subpart 10.25 is amended by inserting after the subpart title the following authority note:

AUTHORITY: §§ 10.25-1 to 10.25-9 interpret or apply sec. 7, 53 Stat. 1147, as amended; 46 U.S.C. 247. Treasury Department Order 120, July 31, 1950, 15 F.R. 6521. Other statutory provisions interpreted or applied are cited to the text in parentheses.

23. Section 10.25-7 is amended by inserting after paragraphs (d) and (1) the following authority note:

§ 10.25-7 General requirements.

(Sec. 2, 68 Stat. 484; 46 U.S.C. 239b. Treasury Department Order 167-9, August 3, 1954, 19 F.R. 5195)

Dated: September 27, 1962.

D. MCG. MORRISON,
Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
Acting Commandant.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9932; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

Title 47—TELECOMMUNICATION

Chapter I—Federal Communications Commission

PART 1—PRACTICE AND PROCEDURE

Who May Sign Applications

In the matter of amendment of Parts 1, 5, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, and 21 of the Commission's rules to implement the provisions of P. L. 87-444, 87th Congress.

At a session of the Federal Communications Commission held at its offices in Washington, D.C., on the 28th day of September 1962;

The Commission has before it for consideration a Petition for Reconsideration filed herein on September 26, 1962, by the National Association of Broadcasters directed specifically to the portion of § 1.303 of the Commission's rules which deals with who must sign applications where the applicant is a corporation.

By Order adopted September 18, 1962, the Commission amended various procedural rules effective October 1, 1962, primarily to remove the necessity that certain applications be signed under oath. In so doing, it also changed a purely procedural rule with respect to the signatures required for corporate applicants.

Petitioner alleges that elimination of the oath requirement was designed primarily to eliminate nonbroadcast problems arising in the Safety and Special Radio Services and that any additional signature requirements for broadcast

applications should be considered in a separate proceeding after permitting interested parties to file comments. Moreover, it alleges that prior approval of the Budget Bureau is required before affected forms are amended. It therefore asks reconsideration of the Commission's action with respect to requiring multiple signatures in the case of broadcast corporate applicants and that the October 1 effective date be stayed pending such reconsideration.

Additionally, the Commission has received informal inquiries and expressions of concern with the practical problems involved in securing large numbers of signatures and noting the difficulties which could arise where the principal executive officer is temporarily unavailable.

We have, accordingly, reconsidered this aspect of our previous Order and have concluded that many of the alleged problems can be alleviated consistent with the public interest by limiting to a maximum of three the total number of signatures required and by permitting any officer of the corporation to sign rather than requiring the signature of the principal executive officer.

While petitioner is correct that this matter could be handled separately from the elimination of the oath requirement, we think it not inappropriate to treat the matters together. The changes herein are obviously procedural and compliance with the notice, procedural, and effective date provisions of section 4 of the Administrative Procedure Act is unnecessary.

Moreover, with the changes now adopted, we do not feel that parties will be seriously inconvenienced and see no public interest purpose to be served in delaying such action to solicit comments. Rather it is appropriate and desirable that such rule changes be effective concurrently with the other rule changes adopted in our order of September 18, 1962. Forms are not being changed at this time so it may be necessary for applicants to improvise, where appropriate, to the extent of adding a signature page to existing forms. The change in rules of the Commission does not depend upon prior Budget Bureau approval and the functions of that office with respect to changes in appropriate forms can be accomplished under established procedures prior to changing existing forms as reprinting becomes necessary.

Authority for adoption of the amendments herein is contained in sections 4(i) and 303(r) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended.

In view of the foregoing, *It is ordered*, That § 1.303 of the Commission's rules is further amended as set forth below, effective October 1, 1962.

(Sec. 4, 48 Stat. 1066, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 154. Interprets or applies sec. 303, 48 Stat. 1082, as amended; 47 U.S.C. 303)

Released: October 1, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

Section 1.303(a) is amended to read:

§ 1.303 Who may sign applications.

(a) Except as provided in § 1.301(b) or in paragraph (b) of this section, applications, amendments thereto, and related statements of fact required by the Commission shall be personally signed by the applicant, if the applicant is an individual; by one of the partners, if the applicant is a partnership; or by a member who is an officer, if the applicant is an unincorporated association. Applications, amendments, and related statements of fact filed on behalf of eligible Government entities, such as States and territories of the United States and political subdivisions thereof, the District of Columbia, and units of local government, including incorporated municipalities, shall be signed by such duly elected or appointed officials as may be competent to do so under the laws of the applicable jurisdiction. If the applicant is a corporation, and the application, amendment, or related statement of fact deals with an original application for a construction permit, an application for major change, or an application for renewal, or if the corporation is an assignee or transferee in an assignment or transfer application, the application, amendment, or related statement of fact must contain one, two, or three signatures as follows: (1) In all such cases the principal executive officer of the applicant or licensee corporation must sign; (2) if licensee or applicant corporation is a subsidiary, the signature of the principal executive officer of the ultimate corporate parent, if any, which owns or controls directly or indirectly 50 percent or more of the stock of the licensee or applicant corporation, is also required; and (3) if applicant or licensee corporation engages in nonbroadcast activities but contains a division or other unit which operates a broadcast facility or facilities, the head of such division or other unit must also sign. In the absence of the principal executive officer of a corporation, the highest ranking officer present may sign. Any other type of broadcast application or report by a corporation may be signed by any officer of the corporation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9934; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

Title 50—WILDLIFE AND FISHERIES

Chapter I—Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior

PART 32—HUNTING

Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge, California and Oregon

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game birds for individual wildlife refuge areas.

CALIFORNIA AND OREGON

LOWER KLAMATH NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of Upland Game Birds on the Lower Klamath National Wildlife Refuge, California and Oregon, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 11,890 acres or 40 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters, Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, Tulelake, California, and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1002 Northeast Holladay, Portland 8, Oregon. Hunting shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Ring-necked pheasants.

(b) Open season: Oregon—October 13 through November 18, 1962. Shooting hours: 8 a.m. to sunset on October 13, 1/2 hour before sunrise to sunset balance of season. California—November 10 and 11, 1962, for all refuge lands except Adm. Units 2 and 3. November 12 through 25, 1962, Adm. Units 4, 7, 8, and 9, 12-A, and the east half of Unit 12. Shooting hours 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

(c) Daily bag limits: California—2 cocks, Oregon—3 cocks.

(d) Methods of hunting:

(1) Weapons: Shotguns only (not larger than 10-gauge and incapable of holding more than 3 shells) may be used.

(2) Dogs: Dogs, not to exceed two (2) in number, may be used for hunting upland game birds.

(e) Other provisions:

(1) The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32.

(2) Camping: Camping permitted in designated areas only.

(3) A Federal permit is not required to enter the public hunting area.

(4) The provisions of this special regulation are effective to November 26, 1962.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 26, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9894; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Lacreek National Wildlife Refuge, South Dakota

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game; for individual wildlife refuge areas.

SOUTH DAKOTA

LACREEK NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of upland game on the Lacreek National Wildlife Refuge, South Dakota, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 310 acres or 3 percent of the total refuge area, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1006 West Lake Street, Minneapolis 8, Minnesota. Hunting shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Pheasants and grouse (sharp-tailed and pinnated) during the season specified below. The hunting of upland game species, as may be otherwise authorized by South Dakota State regulations, is prohibited.

(b) Open season: Pheasants—from noon (c.s.t.) to sunset October 20, 1962, through October 31, 1962; and from 10:00 a.m. (c.s.t.) until sunset November 1, 1962, through December 2, 1962. Grouse—from sunrise to sunset each day from the effective date of this publication through October 19, 1962; and from noon (c.s.t.) to sunset October 20, 1962, through October 31, 1962.

(c) Daily bag limits: Pheasants—three male birds. Grouse—three birds.

(d) Methods of hunting:

(1) Game birds may be hunted with shotgun or with bow and arrow. Arrows used must be at least 24 inches long, have a broad head steel blade, and two untrimmed feathers.

(e) Other provisions:

(1) The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32.

(2) A Federal permit is not required to enter the public hunting area.

(3) The provisions of this special regulation are effective to December 3, 1962.

URBAN C. NELSON,
Acting Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9895; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:47 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Columbia National Wildlife Refuge

In Federal Register Document 62-9380, appearing on page 9330 of the issue for September 20, 1962, paragraph (b) of § 33.22 should read as follows:

(b) Open season: Pheasants—from noon to sunset October 13 and from 7 a.m. to sunset October 14 through November 11; also from 7 a.m. to sunset November 24 through December 16, 1962, Chucar, Hungarian partridge, and

quail—from noon to sunset October 13 and from 7 a.m. to sunset October 14 through December 31, 1962. Rabbits—from noon to sunset October 13 and from 7 a.m. to sunset October 13 through December 31, 1962.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
*Acting Regional Director, Bureau
of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.*

SEPTEMBER 25, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9896; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

PART 32—HUNTING

Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, California

The following special regulation is issued and is effective on date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

§ 32.22 Special regulations; upland game birds for individual wildlife refuge areas.

CALIFORNIA

TULE LAKE NATIONAL WILDLIFE REFUGE

Public hunting of Upland Game Birds on the Tule Lake National Wildlife Refuge, California, is permitted only on the area designated by signs as open to hunting. This open area, comprising 14,000 acres or 40 percent of the total area of the refuge, is delineated on a map available at the refuge headquarters and from the Regional Director, Bureau of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife, 1002 Northeast Holladay, Portland 8, Oregon. Hunting shall be subject to the following conditions:

(a) Species permitted to be taken: Ring-necked pheasants.

(b) Open season:

November 10 through November 11, 1962, all refuge lands east of the Hill Road except that portion south of the center line in secs. 19, 20, 21, and 22, T. 47 N., R. 4 E., and west of Dike A and the west boundary dike of the Sump 13 and headquarters area.

November 12 through November 25, 1962, League of Nations, the south half of the Panhandle buffer strip, and that portion of Sump 1A and the area east of Hill Road which is north of the center line in secs. 19, 20, 21, and 22, T. 47 N., R. 4 E., except headquarters area. Shooting hours are from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

(c) Bag limits: Two cocks.

(d) Methods of hunting:

1. Weapons: Shotguns only (not larger than 10 gauge and incapable of holding more than 3 shells) may be used.

2. Dogs: Dogs, not to exceed two (2) in number, may be used for hunting upland game birds.

(e) Other provisions:

1. The provisions of this special regulation supplement the regulations which govern hunting on wildlife refuge areas generally which are set forth in Title 50, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 32.

2. Camping: Camping permitted in designated areas only.

3. A Federal permit is not required to enter the public hunting area.

4. The provisions of this special regulation are effective to November 26, 1962.

RICHARD E. GRIFFITH,
*Acting Regional Director, Bureau
of Sport Fisheries and Wildlife.*

SEPTEMBER 25, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9929; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

Proposed Rule Making

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

National Park Service

[36 CFR Part 7]

ROCKY MOUNTAIN NATIONAL PARK, COLORADO

Fishing and Other Activities; Notice of Proposed Rule Making

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority contained in section 3 of the Act of August 25, 1916 (39 Stat. 535; 16 U.S.C. 3), 245 DM-1 (27 F.R. 6395), National Park Service Order No. 14 (19 F.R. 8824), Regional Director, Midwest Region, Order No. 3 (21 F.R. 1494), as amended, it is proposed to amend Title 36 CFR 7.7 as set forth below. The purpose of this amendment is to revise certain fishing regulations; to delete superseded sections on camping, speed and vehicular traffic; to close certain trails to horse use; to establish regulations concerning dogs, cats and domestic pets; to establish regulations for boats; sanitation; and to require registration for climbing and winter trips.

It is the policy of the Department of the Interior whenever practicable, to afford the public an opportunity to participate in the rule making process. Accordingly, interested persons may submit written comments, suggestions, or objections with respect to the proposed amendments to the Superintendent, Rocky Mountain National Park, Estes Park, Colorado, within 30 days of the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

ALLYN F. HANKS,
Superintendent,
Rocky Mountain National Park.

Paragraphs (b) and (c) are amended; paragraphs (d) and (h) are deleted; and new paragraphs (j), (k), (l), and (m) are added to § 7.7 as follows:

§ 7.7 Rocky Mountain National Park.

(b) *Fishing.* (1) Fishing in Shadow Mountain Lake, those portions of the tributary streams between full pool elevation (8,280 feet) and actual pool elevation of Lake Granby, the Grand Bay portion of Lake Granby, the Colorado River between Shadow Mountain Lake and Lake Granby shall be permitted in conformity with the laws and regulations of the State of Colorado.

(2) Elsewhere in the park, fishing shall be permitted in conformity with the laws and regulations of the State of Colorado regarding minimum size limits and the method of handling and returning undersized fish to the water; and, the following additional provisions:

(vii) Bear Lake and Black Canyon Creek are closed to fishing.

(viii) [Deleted]

(c) *Travel on roads and trails.* The use of saddle or pack animals is prohibited on the following trails:

(i) Bear Lake Nature Trail.

(ii) Bear Lake to Emerald Lake.

(iii) Dream Lake to Lake Haiyaha.

(iv) Chasm Lake trail from its junction with the Longs Peak trail to Chasm Lake.

(d) [Deleted]

(h) [Deleted.]

(j) *Dogs, cats and domestic pets.* (1) Dogs, cats, and other domestic pets or animals are prohibited on all trails and other Government lands, except on roads and parking areas and at campgrounds and picnic areas accessible to automobiles, provided such animals or pets are on leash, crated or otherwise under physical control at all times.

(2) The superintendent may, by the posting of official signs, limit or prohibit the presence of dogs, cats and other domestic pets or animals from any of the areas outlined in subparagraph (1) of this paragraph.

(3) Dogs, cats or other domestic pets or animals creating a public nuisance shall be removed from the park by the owners.

(k) *Boats.* (1) Permit: No privately owned boat, canoe, raft, or other waterborne or floating craft shall be placed or operated upon the waters of Rocky Mountain National Park without a written permit from the superintendent, who shall have authority to revoke the permit and require the immediate removal of such craft upon the failure of the permittee to comply with the terms and conditions of the permit. The permit must be carried within the craft at all times it is on park waters and shall be exhibited upon request to any person authorized to enforce the regulations in this chapter.

(2) The operations of motor-propelled waterborne craft are prohibited on all waters of the park.

(3) All waterborne craft are prohibited on Bear Lake.

(4) The restrictions contained in subparagraphs (1), (2), and (3) of this paragraph shall not apply to craft operated for administrative purposes or in emergencies.

(l) *Sanitation in back country.* (1) Persons using the park shall remove or otherwise dispose of all waste materials accumulated on trips away from park roads in the following manner:

(i) All combustible waste materials shall be burned in fires authorized by written campfire permits.

(ii) All noncombustible waste materials shall be transported to, and deposited in the nearest roadside trash receptacle.

(m) *Registration.* (1) Registration is required for mountain climbing and back country winter trips as follows:

(i) For all "technical" mountain climbing. The term "technical" means where such technical climbing aids as pitons, carabiners or snap links, ropes, expansion bolts or other mechanical equipment is necessary to make the climb.

(ii) For all types of hikes or climbs on the portions of Longs Peak and Mount Meeker above 11,000 feet elevation.

(iii) For all persons making trips away from main roads or places of habitation during the winter months. Calendar dates when such registration is required will be determined by the superintendent and posted each year.

(iv) No individual will be permitted to start or continue a solo climb or trip as outlined in subdivisions (i), (ii), and (iii) of this subparagraph.

(v) For extensive winter foot, ski or snowshoe trips, special requirements are contained in forms available at ranger stations. These forms must be completed and signed prior to any trip planned to exceed one day.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9912; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Marketing Service

[7 CFR Part 905]

[Docket No. AO-85-4]

ORANGES, GRAPEFRUIT, TANGERINES, AND TANGELOS GROWN IN FLORIDA

Notice of Recommended Decision and Opportunity To File Written Exceptions With Respect to Proposed Amendment of Amended Marketing Agreement and Order Regulating Handling

Pursuant to the rules of practice and procedure, as amended, governing proceedings to formulate marketing agreements and marketing orders (7 CFR Part 900), notice is hereby given of the filing with the Hearing Clerk of this recommended decision with respect to the proposed further amendment of the marketing agreement and Order No. 905 (7 CFR Part 905), hereinafter referred to collectively as the "order," regulating the handling of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in Florida, to be made effective pursuant to the provisions of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended (secs. 1-19, 48 Stat. 31, as amended; 7 U.S.C. 601-674), hereinafter referred to as the "act." Interested parties may file written exceptions to this recommended decision with the Hearing Clerk, United States Department of Agriculture, Room 112, Administration Building, Washington 25, D.C., not later than the close of

business of the fifteenth day after publication thereof in the *FEDERAL REGISTER*. Exceptions should be filed in quadruplicate.

Preliminary statement. The public hearing, on the record of which the proposed amendment of the order is formulated, was initiated by the Agricultural Marketing Service as a result of proposals submitted by the Growers Administrative Committee established under the order. A notice that such public hearing would be held on June 27, 1962, in the auditorium, Florida Citrus Mutual Building, Lakeland, Florida, was published in the *FEDERAL REGISTER* (27 F.R. 5432) on June 8, 1962.

Material issues. The material issues presented on the record of the hearing were concerned with amending the order to:

- (1) Add Murcott Honey oranges and other mandarin type oranges as fruit subject to the provisions of the order;
- (2) Revise the provisions relating to publication of (a) notice of committee meetings, (b) marketing policy reports, and (c) recommendations for, and the issuance of, regulations; and
- (3) Delete the provisions concerning (a) the duties of the committee in connection with section 32, Public Act No. 320, 74th Congress, and (b) the issuance of exemption certificates to producers.

Findings and conclusions. The following findings and conclusions on the material issues are based upon the evidence adduced at the hearing and the record thereof.

(1) The order should be amended to extend the scope of activities thereunder by adding Murcott Honey oranges and other mandarin type oranges to the citrus fruits covered by the order. Oranges of this type (except for the Dancy tangerine and Temple orange which are currently covered by the order) are comparatively new, commercially speaking, to the Florida citrus fruit industry. The principal classifications of these mandarin oranges, as grown in Florida, are the Murcott Honey orange, the Satsuma group, the King orange, the Clementine, and the Ponkan. Production of such fruit, while generally increasing, is not large when compared with the production of all Florida oranges. Bearing trees are currently estimated as follows: Murcott Honey oranges, 280,000; King oranges, 22,000; Satsumas, 40,000; Clementines, 8,000; and Ponkans, 25,000. Estimated production for the 1960-61 season and projected production for the 1964-65 season are, respectively: Murcott Honey oranges, 230,000 and 750,000 boxes; King oranges, 30,000 and 30,000 boxes; Satsumas, 25,000 and 50,000 boxes; Clementines, 15,000 and 20,000 boxes, and Ponkans, 50,000 and 90,000 boxes. However, such citrus fruits are generally similar to, and directly compete in the market with, some of the specialty fruits covered by the order such as Dancy tangerines, Temple oranges, and tangelos, the current production of which totals less than 10,000,000 boxes. Growers, handlers, and others closely associated with the citrus fruit industry can readily distinguish these citrus fruits from those covered by the order, but the

consumer often may believe, for example, that the Murcott Honey oranges that are displayed in retail stores are tangelos. Hence, the level of prices of one directly affects the price that can be obtained for the other which, in turn, is reflected in the price that the grower receives for his fruit. As the volume of Murcott Honey oranges, Satsumas, King oranges, Clementines, and Ponkans available for market has not been large, the shipments to fresh market outlets have been made by a relatively few handlers and good quality standards generally have been maintained in the grading, packing, and handling so as to obtain market acceptance and develop outlets for these fruits. However, shipments of immature, ungraded, or otherwise low quality fruits of these types has occurred and may be expected to increase as the indicated larger volumes become available for market. The presence in the market of unattractive ungraded or otherwise low quality fruit adversely affects the overall price structure for the better quality fruit not only because of the price competition in the marketing of citrus fruits but also through the lessening of demand resulting from the purchase by consumers of fruit which does not possess desired quality and flavor.

The fact that Murcott Honey oranges, Satsumas, King oranges, Clementines, and Ponkans have not been covered by regulations under the order has provided an avenue for escape from the regulations applicable to other citrus fruits, particularly in the case of tangerines. This was because a handler could notify the inspection service that he would be packing and shipping unregulated fruit and therefore did not require the inspection and certification of shipments provided under the order. While no inspector was present in the packinghouse, tangerines or other regulated citrus fruits could be hidden in the shipments of unregulated fruit. That such "bootlegging" occurs is evidenced by the fact that containers of regulated citrus fruits, of a quality or size not meeting regulation requirements, are found in the markets along with containers of the unregulated fruits. In many instances, the origin of such "bootlegged" fruit can not be ascertained. The effectiveness of the order is thereby impaired and its administration made more difficult.

New varieties or types of citrus fruits are continually being developed within the Florida citrus fruit industry. Some of such citrus fruits may not, for some period of time, be known by any particular name or one such type may be known by several names. The Murcott Honey oranges, for example, was marketed as the Smith tangerine, as Murcotts, and as Honey oranges before being classified in Florida as an orange. It probably is a tangor—a fruit resulting from crossing an orange with a tangerine—but its precise parentage is not known. Similarly, such crossing of different types of citrus fruits may result in a fruit which cannot readily be distinguished from other existing varieties. An example is the Robinson tangerine. The only apparent difference between the Robinson

and Dancy tangerines is that the latter is slightly smaller, on the average, and has slightly lighter colored skin and pulp. The Robinson tangerine also matures slightly earlier than the Dancy variety. However, the shipping seasons overlap. Commercial plantings of the Robinson tangerine were made during 1961 and it is expected that trees of this variety will be planted extensively as production will tend to lengthen the tangerine marketing season. It is necessary that the Robinson and all other similar types of tangerines be made subject to the same regulations as the Dancy variety, as it would not be possible effectively to regulate the latter otherwise because these varieties are almost completely indistinguishable.

The citrus fruits to be added to those covered by the order should be regulated in the same manner as, and should be subject to all of the provisions applicable to, the other citrus fruits that currently are so regulated. The production area, as defined in the order, is the smallest regional production area practicable, consistently with carrying out the declared policy of the act, because the added citrus fruits are grown within such area and are capable of being grown throughout such area; and there is no commercial production of the added citrus fruits in that portion of Florida which is outside the production area. Also, the districts should be the same as now specified in the order since such districts identify the principal commercial citrus fruit producing areas of the State.

The committees, as established under the order, should continue to be the agencies to recommend regulations to the Secretary and to perform such other duties in connection with the local administration of the order as may be necessary to effectuate its provisions with respect to the additional citrus fruits to be covered. Not all growers produce such added fruits; nor do all handlers handle them. However, practically all growers and handlers of the added citrus fruits grow or handle, as the case may be, some or all of the citrus fruits currently covered by the order. Except as hereinafter indicated, the added citrus fruits are prepared for market in the same manner as the other citrus fruits currently covered by the order; and harvesting and marketing methods of each group are generally the same. The grower committee, being composed of growers from all districts, and the handler committee, being composed of representatives of all handlers, should fairly represent all growers and handlers. Furthermore, the competitive relationships with respect to all citrus fruits in the consuming markets should be taken into consideration when determinations are made as to the regulations to be recommended for a particular variety during a particular marketing season.

The regulation of shipments of the citrus fruits to be added to those subject to the order, in the manner provided therein, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act. Higher market prices consistently prevail for the better grades of all citrus fruits. Also, the

market prices for citrus fruits show a consistent correlation between size and price, with the largest and smallest sizes associated with the lowest price except in the circumstance of only a few boxes of the small or large sizes being on the market at a particular time. Market conditions affecting prices to producers of the citrus fruits being made subject to the order will, thus, tend to be improved through limitation of the less preferred grades and sizes of the various varieties.

The inspection and certification requirements of the order should be applicable to the citrus fruits being made subject to the order to achieve effective administration of the revised program. Only through such inspection and certification can compliance with the regulations issued under the order be reasonably assured.

At the hearing, some testimony was offered asserting that Murcott Honey oranges, Satsumas, Clementines, and Ponkans should not be subject to the order. It was contended that (a) returns to growers for their Murcott Honey oranges have been good and that regulation will not be needed for several years, (b) regulation of Satsumas, Clementines, and Ponkans is being proposed primarily for the purpose of compliance with regulations applicable to citrus fruits now covered by the order, and that extending regulations for such purpose is not necessary, (c) any regulations made applicable to Clementines and Satsumas probably would require these fruits to meet a "tangerine color" and, since they do not attain such color, they could not be shipped, and (d) the keeping and shipping qualities of Ponkans are adversely affected by preparing such fruit for market in a packinghouse, which would be necessary if Ponkans were covered by the order, and this would work to the detriment of the Ponkan growers. These contentions are outweighed by evidence in the record that:

(a) Production of Murcott Honey oranges has increased sharply and is expected to continue to increase rapidly for some time. The increased quantity of low-grade and less desirable sizes which would be available in the markets in the absence of regulation will not only adversely affect the level of prices received for such oranges but also will lessen demand through the offering of fruit which does not provide consumer satisfaction.

(b) While a supplemental benefit of regulating the handling of Satsumas, Clementines, and Ponkans will be more effective compliance with respect to the regulations applicable to the citrus fruits now covered by the order, the primary purpose of extending the scope of the order provisions is to provide the growers of the added citrus fruits with the benefits which will accrue from making available to consumers the quality and size of fruit which they desire.

(c) The record clearly shows that the regulation of shipments of Clementines and Satsumas, as well as the other citrus fruits proposed to be added for coverage under the order, should be on a "variety" basis so that differences between the varieties can be taken into account in ar-

riving at the appropriate grade and size limitations to be applied during a particular marketing season. There is no reason to believe, from the evidence presented, that there is any intent to require Clementines and Satsumas to meet any color standard which, in effect, would prohibit the shipment of such fruit. In fact, any such requirement would not tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act since it would result in reducing, rather than increasing, returns to growers of Clementines and Satsumas.

(d) The keeping quality of Ponkans is undoubtedly affected adversely by the packinghouse processes. However, the record shows that some handlers are successfully preparing such fruit for market in this manner. Apparently, it is the degree of ripeness of the fruit which is the determining factor in this connection and fully ripe fruit cannot be washed and still reach the distant markets in satisfactory condition. There is nothing in the order provisions, nor is it proposed, to require Ponkans or any other regulated fruit to be prepared for market in a packinghouse. As long as a lot of fruit meets the quality and size regulations in effect under the order, and is inspected and so certified, there is no further restriction on the handling of such fruit in domestic fresh fruit markets.

It was proposed in the notice of hearing that certain varietal groupings of the citrus fruits proposed to be added to those covered by the order be set forth therein and the fruit within each such grouping required to be regulated in the same manner. One of such groupings included King oranges, Satsumas, Clementines, and Ponkans. The evidence of record shows that there are sufficient differences as to size, color, texture of skin, and keeping qualities between these types of citrus fruits (although all belong to the mandarin orange group) to warrant provisions which would permit separate regulation of each of such fruits.

It was shown at the hearing that the botanical name of grapefruit, as currently specified in the order, includes both grapefruit and the shaddock; the latter being a type of citrus fruit not handled commercially in Florida. The more precise botanical name for "grapefruit," and one which does not include other types of citrus fruits is "citrus paradisi, MacFadyen". Also, since additional mandarin oranges are to be added to the citrus fruits covered by the order, the botanical name for mandarin oranges "citrus reticulata blanco" should be substituted for "citrus nobilis deliciosa" which includes only those mandarin oranges that commonly are called tangerines.

It is concluded, therefore, that the order should be amended as hereinafter set forth.

(2) The current provisions of the order require the Growers Administrative Committee to publish in daily newspapers of general circulation in the citrus-producing districts of Florida (a) a summary of each marketing policy report of the committee, (b) notice of each

committee meeting to consider recommendations for regulation, and (c) notice of recommendations for, and the issuance of, regulations. The required publications have been inserted in the newspapers by means of paid legal notices which, ordinarily, are carried in small print on the back pages. At the same time, it has been, and likely will continue to be, customary for these newspapers to carry front page news items concerning the foregoing.

The committee has not relied on the required publications to assure that the information is known to handlers. Handler bulletins setting forth the information are also mailed by the committee to all citrus fruit packinghouses, to other interested persons who have requested the information, and to all citrus fruit inspectors of the Federal-State Inspection Service. These inspectors are present in each citrus fruit packinghouse whenever regulated fruit is being packed. Any packinghouse owner or operator who may have misplaced his bulletin may readily obtain information concerning the current regulations from the inspector in his packinghouse.

It is concluded, therefore, that continuation of the expense of the paid notices is not warranted; and the order should be amended as hereinafter set forth.

(3) One of the duties of the committee, as set forth in the order, is to perform such duties in connection with the administration of section 32 of Public Law No. 320, 74th Congress, as may from time to time be assigned by the Secretary. This provision has no particular use in the operation of the order and no duties in this connection have been assigned to the committee. It should, therefore, be deleted from the order.

Another provision of the order which is not used, and is no longer meaningful, is the one authorizing the issuance to growers of exemption certificates, under certain circumstances, which would permit the grower to have a portion of his crop handled without regard to the regulations then in effect under the order. At the time the order was issued only a minor portion of the citrus fruit production was processed and the fresh market was the principal market for a growers fruit. This provision was included in the order so that a grower could market in fresh outlets an equitable portion of his production in the event the quality or size of his fruit was such that it could not otherwise be shipped under the order regulations. Today, approximately 80 percent of the oranges, 50 percent of the grapefruit, 40 percent of the tangerines, and 30 percent of the tangelos grown in Florida are processed into canned and frozen products. Many crops are grown exclusively for sale to the processors. With the development of the processing outlet for citrus fruits, the grower has found that any crop which has a significant portion of a quality or size not meeting the order regulations can be marketed more profitably in processing outlets than in the fresh fruit markets. No exemption certificate has been issued in recent years nor has there been any application to the

committee for such an exemption. As change in the methods of marketing Florida citrus fruits has made this provision unnecessary, it should be deleted from the order.

Rulings on proposed findings and conclusions. July 27, 1962, was fixed as the latest date for the filing of briefs with respect to the facts presented in evidence at the hearing and the findings and conclusions which should be drawn therefrom. No brief was filed.

General findings. (1) The marketing agreement, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, and all of the terms and conditions thereof, will tend to effectuate the declared policy of the act;

(2) The marketing agreement, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, regulate the handling of oranges (including Temple and Mandarin oranges), grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in the production area in the same manner as, and are applicable only to persons in the respective classes of industrial or commercial activity specified in, the marketing agreement and order upon which hearings have been held;

(3) The marketing agreement, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, are limited in their application to the smallest regional production area that is practicable consistently with carrying out the declared policy of the act;

(4) The marketing agreement, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, and the order, as amended, and as hereby proposed to be amended, prescribe, so far as practicable, such different terms, applicable to different parts of the production area, as are necessary to give due recognition to differences in the production and marketing of oranges, grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos; and

(5) All handling of oranges (including Temple and Mandarin oranges), grapefruit, tangerines, and tangelos grown in the production area is in the current of interstate or foreign commerce or directly burdens, obstructs, or affects such commerce.

Recommended amendment of the amended marketing agreement and order. The following amendment of the amended marketing agreement and order is recommended as the detailed means by which the aforesaid conclusions may be carried out:

1. The provisions in § 905.4 *Fruit* are revised to read as follows:

§ 905.4 Fruit.

"Fruit" means any or all varieties of the following types of citrus fruits grown in the production area, except Calamondins.

(a) Citrus sinensis, Osbeck, commonly called "oranges";

(b) Citrus Paradisi, MacFadyen, commonly called "grapefruit";

(c) Citrus reticulata blanco, com-

monly called "mandarin oranges" or "tangerines";

(d) Temple oranges;

(e) Murcott Honey oranges; and

(f) Tangelos.

2. The provisions in § 905.5 *Variety* are revised to read as follows:

§ 905.5 Variety.

"Variety" or "varieties" mean any one or more of the following classifications or groupings of fruit: (a) Early and mid-season oranges, including Navel and other types commonly called "round oranges", except Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late-maturing oranges of the Valencia type; (b) Valencia, Lue Gim Gong, and similar late-maturing oranges of the Valencia type; (c) Temple oranges; (d) Marsh and other seedless grapefruit, excluding pink grapefruit; (e) Duncan and other seeded grapefruit, excluding pink grapefruit; (f) Pink seedless grapefruit; (g) Pink seeded grapefruit; (h) Tangelos; (i) Murcott Honey oranges; (j) Dancy, Robinson, and similar type tangerines; (k) King and similar type Mandarin oranges; (l) Satsumas and similar type Mandarin oranges; (m) Clementine and similar type tangerines; and (n) Ponkans and similar type tangerines.

§ 905.31 [Amendment]

3. Paragraph (i) of § 905.31 *Duties of Growers Administrative Committee* is deleted and paragraph (j) of such section is redesignated (i).

§ 905.50 [Amendment]

4. Paragraph (d) of § 905.50 *Marketing policy* is revised to read as follows:

(d) The Growers Administrative Committee shall transmit a copy of each marketing policy report or revision thereof to each grower and handler who files a request therefor. Copies of all such reports shall be maintained in the office of the committee where they shall be available for examination by growers and handlers.

§ 905.51 [Amendment]

5. Paragraph (c) of § 905.51 *Recommendations for regulations* is revised to read as follows:

(c) The Growers Administrative Committee shall give notice of any meeting to consider the recommendation of regulations pursuant to § 905.52 by mailing a notice of meeting to each handler who has filed his address with said committee for this purpose. The said committee shall give the same notice of any such recommendation before the time it is recommended that such regulation become effective.

§ 905.52 [Amendment]

6. Paragraph (b) of § 905.52 *Regulation by Secretary* is revised to read as follows:

(b) Prior to the beginning of any such regulations, the Secretary shall notify the Growers Administrative Committee of the regulation issued by him, which committee shall notify all handlers by

mailing a notice thereof to each handler who has filed his address with said committee for this purpose.

§ 905.54 [Deletion]

7. Section 905.54 *Exemptions* is deleted.

Dated: September 28, 1962.

JOHN P. DUNCAN, Jr.,
Assistant Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9922; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

Food and Drug Administration

[21 CFR Part 121]

FOOD ADDITIVES

Notice of Filing of Petition

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (sec. 409(b)(5), 72 Stat. 1786; 21 U.S.C. 348(b)(5)), notice is given that a petition (FAP 941) has been filed by Messrs. Lloyd E. Brownell, Tom Horne, and William J. Kretlow, 823 Barton Drive, Ann Arbor, Michigan, proposing the issuance of a regulation to provide for the safe use of gamma radiation to control insect infestation in the processing of wheat and wheat products.

Dated: October 1, 1962.

J. K. KIRK,
Assistant Commissioner
of Food and Drugs.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9928; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:52 a.m.]

FEDERAL AVIATION AGENCY

[14 CFR Parts 151 [New], 153 [New], 155 [New], 157 [New], 161 [New], 163 [New], 550, 555, 565, 574, 575, 576, 577, 625]

[Reg. Docket No. 1329, Draft Release No. 62-36A]

AIRPORTS

Extension of Comment Period

Federal Aviation Regulations Draft Release No. 62-36, published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on August 9, 1962 (27 F.R. 7907), stated that consideration would be given to all comments received on or before October 1, 1962.

Draft Release 62-36 proposed, as a part of the Federal Aviation Agency program to recodify its regulatory material, to amend Chapter I of Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations by adding a Subchapter I "Airports" [New]. The new Subchapter would include a recodification of Parts 550, 555, 565, 574, 575, 576, 577, and 625 of the regulations of the Administrator.

Representatives of the airport operators have requested additional time for study and evaluation of the proposed

amendments. Therefore, pursuant to the authority contained in the Federal Airport Act (49 U.S.C. 1101 through 1119); sections 3 and 4 of the Act of October 1, 1949, as amended (50 U.S.C. App. 1622b and 1622c); section 10 of the International Aviation Facilities Act (49 U.S.C. 1159); and sections 313(a), 314, 601, and 607 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1355, 1421, and 1427), the time within which comments on Federal Aviation Regulations Draft Release No. 62-36 will be received is extended to October 15, 1962. Communications should be submitted in duplicate to the Docket Section of the Federal Aviation Agency, Room A-103, 1711 New York Avenue NW., Washington 25, D.C. All comments submitted will be available, both during and after the comment period, in the Docket Section for examination by interested persons.

Issued in Washington, D.C., on October 2, 1962.

HAROLD W. GRANT,
Acting Administrator.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9985; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
10:06 a.m.]

Notices

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Coast Guard

[CGFR 62-30]

EQUIPMENT, INSTALLATIONS, OR MATERIALS

Approval and Termination of Approval Notice

1. Various items of lifesaving, fire-fighting, and miscellaneous equipment, installations, and materials used on merchant vessels subject to Coast Guard inspection or on certain motorboats and other pleasure craft are required by law and various regulations in 46 CFR Chapter I to be of types approved by the Commandant, United States Coast Guard. The procedures governing the granting of approvals, and the cancellation, termination or withdrawal of approvals are set forth in 46 CFR 2.75-1 to 2.75-50, inclusive. For certain types of equipment, installations, and materials, specific specifications have been prescribed by the Commandant and are published in 46 CFR Parts 160 to 164, inclusive (Subchapter Q—Specifications), and detailed procedures for obtaining approvals are also described therein.

2. The Commandant's approval of a specific item is intended to provide a control over its quality. Therefore, such approval applies only to the item constructed or installed in accordance with the applicable requirements and the details described in the specific approval. If a specific item when manufactured does not comply with the details in the approval, then such item is not considered to have the Commandant's approval, and the certificate of approval issued to the manufacturer does not apply to such modified item. For example, if an item is manufactured with changes in design or material not previously approved, the approval does not apply to such modified item.

3. After a manufacturer has submitted satisfactory evidence that a particular item complies with the applicable laws and regulations, a Certificate of Approval (form CGHQ-10030) will be issued to the manufacturer certifying that the item specified complies with the applicable laws and regulations and approval is given, which will be in effect for a period of 5 years from the date given unless sooner canceled or suspended by proper authority.

4. The purpose of this document is to notify all concerned that certain approvals were granted and terminations of approvals were made, as described in this document during the period from July 19 to August 3, 1962. These actions were taken in accordance with procedures set forth in 46 CFR 2.75-1 to 2.75-50, inclusive.

5. The delegations of authority for the Coast Guard's actions with respect to approvals may be found in Treasury De-

partment Orders 120 dated July 31, 1950 (15 F.R. 6521), 167-14 dated November 26, 1954 (19 F.R. 8026), 167-15 dated January 3, 1955 (20 F.R. 840), 167-20 dated June 18, 1956 (21 F.R. 4894), CGFR 56-28 dated July 24, 1956 (21 F.R. 5659), or 167-38 dated October 26, 1959 (24 F.R. 8857), and the statutory authority may be found in R.S. 4405, as amended, 4462, as amended, 4488, as amended, 4491, as amended, secs. 1, 2, 49 Stat. 1544, as amended, sec. 17, 54 Stat. 166, as amended, sec. 3, 54 Stat. 346, as amended, sec. 3, 70 Stat. 152 (46 U.S.C. 375, 416, 481, 489, 367, 526p, 1333, 390b), sec. 4(e), 67 Stat. 462 (43 U.S.C. 1333 (e)), or sec. 3(c), 68 Stat. 675 (50 U.S.C. 198), and implementing regulations in 46 CFR Chapter I or 33 CFR Chapter I.

6. In Part I of this document are listed the approvals granted which shall be in effect for a period of 5 years from the dates granted, unless sooner canceled or suspended by proper authority.

7. In Part II of this document are listed the approvals which have been terminated. Notwithstanding this termination of approvals of the items of equipment as listed in Part II such equipment may be used so long as such equipment is in good and serviceable condition.

PART I—APPROVALS OF EQUIPMENT, INSTALLATIONS, OR MATERIALS

BUOYS, LIFE, RING, CORK OR BALSAM WOOD

Approval No. 160.009/1/0, 20-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/1/0, dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/2/0, 20-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/2/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/3/0, 24-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/3/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/4/0, 24-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/4/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/5/0, 30-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Speci-

fication Subpart 160.009, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/5/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/11/0, 20-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/11/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/12/0, 20-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/12/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/13/0, 24-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/13/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/14/0, 24-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/14/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/15/0, 30-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/15/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/16/0, 30-inch balsa wood ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/16/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/25/0, 20-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Dodge Cork Co., Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/25/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/26/0, 24-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Dodge Cork Co., Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an exten-

sion of Approval No. 160.009/26/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.009/27/0, 30-inch cork ring life buoy, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.009, manufactured by Dodge Cork Co., Inc., Lancaster, Pennsylvania, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.009/27/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

BUOYANT APPARATUS

Approval No. 160.010/51/0, 3.75' x 3.0' x 0.83' Type BP-12 buoyant apparatus, fibrous glass reinforced plastic shell with unicellular plastic foam core, 12-person capacity, dwg. No. BP-200-3, Rev. A dated February 27, 1959, material specification BP-201 dated October 10, 1958, and fabrication procedure BP-202 dated October 11, 1958, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 19, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.010/51/0 dated April 20, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

Approval No. 160.010/52/0, 4.75' x 3.0' x 0.88' Type BP-15 buoyant apparatus, fibrous glass reinforced plastic shell with unicellular plastic foam core, 15-person capacity, dwg. No. BP-200-3, Rev. A dated February 27, 1959, material specification BP-201 dated October 10, 1958, and fabrication procedure BP-202 dated October 11, 1958, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 19, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.010/52/0 dated April 20, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

GAS MASKS, SELF-CONTAINED BREATHING APPARATUS, AND SUPPLIED-AIR RESPIRATORS

Approval No. 160.011/4/0, MSA one-hour oxygen breathing apparatus, Face Piece Type, complete in case, MSA Assembly Drawing No. A-1083 revised March 24, 1942, manufactured by Mine Safety Appliances Co., 201 North Braddock Avenue, Pittsburgh 8, Pennsylvania, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.011/4/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.011/5/0, Supplied-Air respirator, fresh air hose mask No. 1905A, Bureau of Mines Approval No. BM-1905A consisting of Face Piece BM-1905A, Blower BM-1905A, Hose BM-1901, Harness BM-1901, Drawing No. 4M2185, maximum of two hose lines each originating at the blower and not exceeding 150 feet in length, manufactured by American LaFrance Corp., Elmira, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.011/5/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.011/13/0, Protexall gas mask assembly with two canisters and full-view face piece, Bureau of Mines Approval No. BM-1434 consisting of Canister BM-1434, Face Piece BM-1403, BM-1403E, BM-1901, BM-1901E, Canister Harness BM-1403, BM-1409, manufactured by American LaFrance Corp., Elmira, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No.

160.011/13/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.011/14/0, Ammonia gas mask with Type DA Canister Bureau of Mines Approval No. BM-1401 consisting of Canister BM-1401C, Face Piece BM-1401, BM-1403, BM-1403E, Canister Harness BM-1401, for use against ammonia vapors only, manufactured by American LaFrance Corp., Elmira, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.011/14/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

COMPASSES, LIFEBOAT

Approval No. 160.014/2/0, Model 2, compensating mariners liquid-filled magnetic lifeboat compass with mounting, Assembly Drawing No. AA dated September 25, 1945, manufactured by W. M. Welch Manufacturing Co., 1515 Sedgewick Street, Chicago 10, Illinois, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.014/2/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

WINCHES, LIFEBOAT

Approval No. 160.015/28/1, Type B-200 lifeboat winch, approval is limited to mechanical components and for a maximum working load of 20,000 pounds pull at the drums (10,000 pounds per fall), identified by general arrangement dwg. No. 2657 dated May 14, 1945, and revised April 8, 1957, manufactured by Welin Davit and Boat Division of Continental Copper & Steel Industries, Inc., effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.015/28/1 dated August 3, 1957.)

LAMPS, SAFETY, FLAME

Approval No. 160.016/3/1, Wolf Brass Naptha Burning, Key Lock, Flame Safety Lamp, dwg. No. W-307 dated April 2, 1956, manufactured by Wolf Safety Lamp Co. of America, Inc., 227 Grand Avenue, Brooklyn 5, New York, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.016/3/1 dated August 3, 1957.)

SEA ANCHORS, LIFEBOAT

Approval No. 160.019/1/0, Type A Sea Anchor, U.S.C.G. Drawing No. MMI-562 and Specification dated November 1, 1943, revised August 24, 1944, manufactured by Atlantic-Pacific Manufacturing Corp., 124 Atlantic Avenue, Brooklyn 2, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.019/1/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.019/4/0, Type Seaway Sea Anchor, U.S.C.G. Drawing No. MMI-562 and Specification dated November 1, 1943, revised August 24, 1944, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., 511 North Solomon Street, New Orleans 19, Louisiana, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.019/4/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.019/6/0, Type 2-A Sea Anchor, U.S.C.G. Drawing No. MMI-562 and Specification dated November 1, 1943, revised August 24, 1944, manufac-

tured by Eveready Canvas Corp., 254 Pearl Street, New York 7, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.019/6/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

SIGNALS, DISTRESS, PISTOL-PROJECTED PARACHUTE RED FLARE

Approval No. 160.024/1/0, Aluminum shell pistol-projected red flare distress signal, drawing No. MSP-IC dated June 25, 1936, manufactured by Coston Supply Co., 31 Water Street, New York 4, New York, effective July 31, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.024/1/0 dated July 31, 1957, published in FEDERAL REGISTER October 4, 1957.)

WATER, EMERGENCY DRINKING (IN HERMETICALLY SEALED CONTAINERS)

Approval No. 160.026/28/0, Container for emergency drinking water, dwg. dated March 1957, manufactured by MacDonald-Bernier Co., Inc., 62-64 Long Wharf, Boston 10, Massachusetts, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.026/28/0 dated August 3, 1957.)

SIGNAL PISTOLS FOR PARACHUTE RED FLARE DISTRESS SIGNALS

Approval No. 160.028/6/3, Signal pistol, dwg. Nos. SP-100 revised April 6, 1957, and SP-150 revised May 31, 1956, manufactured by Signal Pyrotechnic Co., 4041 Whiteside Street, Los Angeles 63, California, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.028/6/3 dated August 3, 1957.)

DAVITS

Approval No. 160.032/133/2, Mechanical davit, crescent sheath screw, Type "B", approved for maximum working load of 12,700 pounds per set (6,530 pounds per arm), identified by general arrangement dwg. DC-220-1, revision A dated June 10, 1958, manufactured by Marine Safety Equipment Corp., Point Pleasant Beach, New Jersey, effective July 20, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.032/133/1 dated September 27, 1958.)

Approval No. 160.032/134/1, Mechanical davit, crescent sheath screw, Type A, approved for a working load of 7,000 pounds per set (3,500 pounds per arm) using not less than two-part falls, identified by general arrangement drawing DC-201, alteration C dated May 12, 1959, manufactured by Marine Safety Equipment Corp., Point Pleasant Beach, New Jersey, effective July 19, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.032/134/0 dated May 15, 1961.)

MECHANICAL DISENGAGING APPARATUS, LIFEBOAT

Approval No. 160.033/55/0, Rottmer Type A-7 releasing gear, approved for maximum working load of 13,500 pounds per set (6,750 pounds per hook), identified by construction arrangement dwg. No. 100 dated August 26, 1957, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 23, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.033/55/0 dated April 20, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

Approval No. 160.033/57/1, Rottmer Type B-10 releasing gear, approved for maximum working load of 20,000 pounds per set (10,000 pounds per hook), identified by assembly dwg. No. 30411, Rev. C dated September 13, 1960, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 23, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.033/57/1 dated February 9, 1962, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

HAND PROPELLING GEAR, LIFEBOAT

Approval No. 160.034/10/2, Type X, hand-propelling gear, identified by assembly dwg. No. 99-2, revision B dated April 12, 1957, manufactured by Marine Safety Equipment Corp., Point Pleasant Beach, New Jersey, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.034/10/2 dated August 3, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.034/17/0, Type MAR-30, hand-propelling gear, identified by main assembly dwg. No. 30417, Sheet I, Rev. A dated January 4, 1961, and Sheet 2, Rev. C dated March 29, 1961, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, L.I., New York, effective July 19, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.034/17/0 dated April 4, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

LIFEBOATS

Approval No. 160.035/147/1, 26.0' x 9.0' x 3.83' aluminum hand-propelled lifeboat, 53-person capacity, identified by construction and arrangement drawing No. 3159 dated March 9, 1947, and revised July 12, 1962, manufactured by Welin Davit and Boat Division of Continental Copper & Steel Industries, Inc., Perth Amboy, New Jersey, effective July 31, 1962. (It reinstates and supersedes Approval No. 160.035/147/0 terminated in FEDERAL REGISTER dated October 1, 1952.)

Approval No. 160.035/378/0, 16.0' x 5.5' x 2.3' fibrous glass reinforced plastic, oar-propelled lifeboat, 12-person capacity, identified by general arrangement dwg. No. 16011, Rev. E dated May 20, 1959, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 23, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.035/378/0 dated April 20, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

Approval No. 160.035/405/0, 30.0' x 10.0' x 4.33' fibrous glass reinforced plastic, hand-propelled lifeboat, 78-person capacity, identified by general arrangement dwg. No. 30000, Rev. B dated March 11, 1961, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New York, effective July 23, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.035/405/0 dated April 4, 1961, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

Approval No. 160.035/423/0, 30.0' x 10.0' x 4.33' fibrous glass reinforced plastic, motor-propelled (Diesel) lifeboat, 74-person capacity, identified by general arrangement dwg. No. 30050, Rev. A dated January 29, 1962, manufactured by Lunn Laminates, Inc., Straight Path Road, Wyandanch, Long Island, New

York, effective July 23, 1962. (It supersedes Approval No. 160.035/423/0 dated February 8, 1962, to show change of address of manufacturer.)

BUOYANT CUSHIONS, KAPOK OR FIBROUS GLASS

NOTE: Approved for use on motorboats of Class A, 1, or 2 not carrying passengers for hire.

Approval No. 160.048/74/0, Group approval for rectangular and trapezoidal kapok buoyant cushions, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.048, sizes and weights of kapok filling to be as per Table 160.048-4(c) (1) (i), manufactured by Geneva Upholstering Co., Lake Geneva, Wisconsin, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.048/74/0 dated August 3, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.048/98/0, Special approval for 15" x 15" x 2" rectangular kapok buoyant cushion, 20-oz. kapok, U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.048, manufactured by Kiltie Manufacturing Co., 5160 West Thompson Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.048/98/0 dated August 3, 1957.)

Approval No. 160.048/99/0, Special approval for 15" x 15" x 2" rectangular kapok buoyant cushions, 20-oz. kapok U.S.C.G. Specification Subpart 160.048, manufactured by The American Pad & Textile Co., Greenfield, Ohio, for G. C. Murphy Co., 531 Fifth Avenue, McKeesport, Pennsylvania, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 160.048/99/0 dated August 3, 1957.)

INCOMBUSTIBLE MATERIALS

Approval No. 164.009/44/0, Fiberglass Insulation AF-310 through AF-320, inclusive, glass wool insulation type incombustible material in 1/2 to 1 pound per cubic foot density, identical to that described in Commandant, U.S. Coast Guard letter dated May 14, 1957, file JJ/164.009/44, manufactured by Owens-Corning Fiberglass Corp., Toledo 1, Ohio, effective August 3, 1962. (It is an extension of Approval No. 164.009/44/0 dated August 3, 1957.)

PART II—TERMINATIONS OF APPROVAL OF EQUIPMENT, INSTALLATIONS, OR MATERIALS

LIFEBOATS

Termination of Approval No. 160.035/361/0, 30.0' x 10.0' x 4.13' aluminum, hand-propelled lifeboat, 83-person capacity, identified by general arrangement and construction dwg. No. 80125 dated November 29, 1956, and revised February 13, 1957, manufactured by Welin Davit and Boat Division of Continental Copper & Steel Industries, Inc., Perth Amboy, New Jersey, effective August 3, 1962. (Approval published in FEDERAL REGISTER August 3, 1957, expired and terminated August 3, 1962.)

Termination of Approval No. 160.035/362/0, 24.0' x 7.75' x 3.33' steel, hand-propelled lifeboat, 40-person capacity, identified by construction and arrangement dwg. No. 80119 dated November 7, 1956, and revised March 18, 1957, manufactured by Welin Davit and Boat Division of Continental Copper & Steel Industries, Inc., Perth Amboy, New Jersey,

effective August 3, 1962. (Approval published in FEDERAL REGISTER August 3, 1957, expired and terminated August 3, 1962.)

Dated: September 27, 1962.

[SEAL] D. McG. MORRISON,
Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard,
Acting Commandant.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9933; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[Classification No. 7]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification; Cancellation

SEPTEMBER 26, 1962.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215), as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section 2(c) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, and effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 48-10876, as partly cancelled by F.R. Doc. 52-5575 is hereby cancelled as to all of the remaining classified lands described as follows:

T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 14: Lot 4;
Sec. 22: Lot 1; SE 1/4 NE 1/4 NE 1/4, E 1/2 SW 1/4 NE 1/4 NE 1/4;
Sec. 23: Lot 1.
T. 6 S., R. 14 W., S. M.,
Sec. 12: W 1/2 SE 1/4.

Containing 218.72 acres.

2. All of the lands hereby de-classified and not patented are subject to State Selection application.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9897; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

[Classification No. 8]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification; Amendment of Cancellation

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215), as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section 2(c) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, and effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 62-9430 is hereby amended to correct a portion of the description of the land involved as follows:

T. 5 S., R. 14 W., S.M.,
Sec. 32: E 1/2 SW 1/4 NE 1/4 SE 1/4 NE 1/4,

is corrected to read:

T. 5 S., R. 14 W., S.M.,
Sec. 32: E 1/2 SW 1/4 NE 1/4 SW 1/4 NE 1/4.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9898; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:47 a.m.]

[Classification No. 9]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification; Cancellation
SEPTEMBER 26, 1962.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215), as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section (2) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, and effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 49-1418, is hereby cancelled in its entirety and embracing the following described lands:

HOMER AREA

- T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 22: Lot 4.
- T. 6 S., R. 14 W., S. M.,
Sec. 15: N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 91.67 acres.

2. All of the lands hereby de-classified and not patented are subject to State Selection application.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
*Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-9899; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[Classification No. 10]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification; Partial Cancellation

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215), as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section 2(c) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, and effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 49-3642 as partially cancelled by F.R. Doc. 60-10281 and as amended by F.R. Doc. 58-8294, 61-3980, and 61-5293, is hereby cancelled as to the following described lands which nullifies the classification in its entirety:

HOMER AREA

- T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 27: Lot 2;
Sec. 28: Lots 1, 2, 3 and 4;
Sec. 35: Lots 7 and 8.
- T. 7 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 1: Lot 1;
Sec. 2: Lot 1.

Containing 74.29 Acres

The foregoing lands are now described in terms of dependent resurvey and subdivision as follows:

- T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 27: Lots 9-12, inc.;
- Sec. 28: Lots 5-16, inc.;
- Sec. 35: Lots 9-20, inc.
- T. 7 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 1: Lots 4-13, inc.;
- Sec. 2: Lots 2, 3 and 4.

Containing 175.09 Acres

2. All of the lands hereby de-classified and not patented are subject to State Selection application.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
*Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-9900; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[Classification No. 43]

ALASKA

Small Tract Classification; Cancellation
SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

1. Pursuant to the authority redelegated to me from Bureau Order No. 684, dated August 28, 1961 (26 F.R. 6215), as amended, by the Alaska State Director in section 2(c) of a memorandum dated December 1, 1961, and effective immediately, F.R. Doc. 51-8482 is hereby cancelled in its entirety and embracing the following described lands:

HOMER AREA

MILLER'S LANDING UNIT

- T. 6 S., R. 13 W., S. M.,
Sec. 14: Lot 1, Lot 2, Lot 3, that portion which would be if described in terms of a normal subdivision: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Containing 108.47 acres.

2. All of the lands hereby de-classified and not patented are subject to State Selection application.

ROBERT J. COFFMAN,
*Chief, Division of
Lands and Minerals Management.*

[F.R. Doc. 62-9901; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[Arizona 031029]

ARIZONA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands; Correction

In F.R. Doc. 62-9139, published 27 F.R. 9113, the legal description is amended to add the following:

GILA AND SALT RIVER MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

- T. 2 S., R. 23 W.,
Sec. 19: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$,
- T. 2 S., R. 24 W.,
Sec. 13: All, fractional,

and to add the following phrase to the entire description as published and amended: "and all accretion lands appurtenant thereto."

FRED J. WEILER,
State Director.

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9902; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

[Arizona 031559]

ARIZONA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The Bureau of Reclamation, United States Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial Number Arizona 031559 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from location, entry, or patenting under all public land laws, (except the Act of June 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388)), subject to existing valid claims and existing withdrawals.

The applicant desires the land for an alternate site for the proposed Marble Canyon Dam. The lands will remain under withdrawal as long as needed for

the operation and maintenance of the dam and reservoir if the project is constructed. If the project is determined to be infeasible, the lands will be returned to the public domain at that time. The administration of the lands will however, remain under the Bureau of Land Management until such time as they are actually needed for construction. Timber and other material resources, grazing resources, fish and wildlife resources, water resources, scenic, wilderness, recreational, and other values will remain unchanged.

For a period of thirty days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 3022 Federal Building, Phoenix 25, Arizona.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

GILA AND SALT RIVER BASE MERIDIAN, ARIZONA

- T. 36 N., R. 5 E. (partially surveyed),
Secs. 34 and 35, those portions lying west of the Navajo Indian Reservation.
- T. 35 N., R. 5 E. (unsurveyed),
All of township lying west of the Navajo Indian Reservation.

The area described above aggregates approximately 13,000 acres.

Dated: September 27, 1962.

FRED J. WEILER,
State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9903; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Correction of Partial Termination of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

Notice of an application Serial No. Sacramento 067461, for withdrawal and reservation of lands, was published as F.R. Doc. No. 61-8382 on page 8264 of the issue for September 1, 1961. Correction Notice was published as F.R. Doc. No. 61-8810 on page 8650 of the issue for September 15, 1961.

A Notice of Partial Termination of the Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands was published as F.R. Doc. 62-2013 on page 2017 of the issue for March 1, 1962 listing lands described as W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, Sec. 13, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Mount Diablo Meridian, in the Shasta-Trinity National Forest, whereas the tract intended to be eliminated was: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 13, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Mount Diablo Meridian. Therefore, pursuant to the regulations contained in 43 CFR Part 295, the W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ Sec. 13, T. 34 N., R. 11 W., Mount Diablo Meridian will be at 10:00 a.m., on October 25, 1962 re-

lieved of the segregative effect of the above-identified application.

WALTER E. BECK,
Manager, Land Office,
Sacramento.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9904; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

CALIFORNIA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

SEPTEMBER 26, 1962.

The Federal Aviation Agency has filed an application, Serial Number R 01009, for the withdrawal of land described below, subject to valid existing rights, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining and mineral leasing laws.

The applicant desires the land for the construction, operation and maintenance of a Very High Frequency Omnidirectional Radio Range combined with a Tactical Air Navigation Facility. The full 70 acres are necessary in order to establish additional intermediate and low altitude airways.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 1414 8th Street, Box 723, Riverside, California.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SAN BERNARDINO MERIDIAN

T. 7 S., R. 21 E.,
Sec. 2, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 3, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ and E $\frac{1}{2}$ W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The areas described aggregate 70 acres.

CHARLES L. SCHAEFER,
Acting Manager,
Land Office, Riverside.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9905; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:48 a.m.]

IDAHO

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

The Department of Agriculture has filed an application, Serial Number Idaho 013300 for the withdrawal of the lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws and the general mining laws, subject to existing valid rights. The applicant desires the land for two roadside zones and a recreation area.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their

views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 2237, Boise, Idaho.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

BOISE MERIDIAN, IDAHO

CARIBOU NATIONAL FOREST

Mink Creek Roadside Zone (Forest Highway No. 43)

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of centerline of Forest Highway No. 43 across the following subdivisions:

T. 8 S., R. 34 E.,
Sec. 13: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 24: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$,
N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 25: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 26: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 8 S., R. 35 E.,
Sec. 5: W $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 7: S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 8: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 18: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

Totaling 300 acres more or less.

East Fork of Mink Creek Roadside Zone (Forest Road No. 1201)

A strip of land 200 feet on each side of centerline of Forest Road No. 1201 across the following subdivisions:

T. 8 S., R. 35 E.,
Sec. 8: N $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Totaling 110 acres more or less.

PAYETTE NATIONAL FOREST

Towsley Recreation Area

T. 21 N., R. 3 W.,
Sec. 13: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$,
SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

Totaling 75 acres.

The areas described aggregate 495 acres more or less.

MICHAEL T. SOLAN,
Land Office Manager.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9906; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[No. 63-6]

OREGON

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

SEPTEMBER 24, 1962.

The Bureau of Reclamation, Department of the Interior, has filed an application, Serial No. Oregon 011495, for the withdrawal of the lands described below, subject to valid existing rights, from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws.

The applicant desires the land for reclamation purposes in the Illinois Valley and Evans Valley Divisions of the Rogue River Basin Project, Oregon. The land

is to be used for the operation, maintenance, development, and protection of proposed reservoirs and for irrigation development. Mineral development will be restricted as required to protect the development of the reservoir areas and to protect those lands which are susceptible to irrigation. Grazing and timber management will remain under the administration of the agencies now handling these activities until such time as the lands are actually required for reclamation purposes.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, 710 Northeast Holladay, Portland 12, Oregon.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

WILLAMETTE MERIDIAN, OREGON

ILLINOIS VALLEY DIVISION

National Forest Land

T. 39 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 29: SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 30: Lot 2 (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$), Lot 4 (SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$), SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 31: Lots 1, 2, 3, 4, NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 40 S., R. 6 W.,
Sec. 6: Lots 4 and 5.

The lands described aggregate approximately 959.39 acres.

Public Domain

T. 40 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 1: S $\frac{1}{2}$ Lot 1; Lot 2, Lot 3, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$,
SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ less land patented in Mineral Survey No. 930; NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 39 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 24: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 40 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 10: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 15: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 22: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The lands described aggregate approximately 270 acres.

Oregon and California Revested Land

T. 39 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 25: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 29: N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 40 S., R. 7 W.,
Sec. 1: SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.
T. 39 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 9: Lot 5;
Sec. 25: S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 27: NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 33: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 35: Lot 8.
T. 40 S., R. 8 W.,
Sec. 1: Lots 7 and 8;
Sec. 3: SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 5: E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 9: NW $\frac{1}{4}$, N $\frac{1}{2}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, E $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 17: E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 23: SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The lands described aggregate approximately 1,338.34 acres.

EVANS VALLEY DIVISION

Oregon and California Revested Land

T. 34 S., R. 2 W.,
Sec. 19: E $\frac{1}{2}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$;
Sec. 21: NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$, SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$, W $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$.

The lands described aggregate approximately 240 acres.

The total acreage of the lands described approximates 2,807.73 acres, more or less.

STANLEY D. LESTER,
Manager, Land Office.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9907; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

[W-058209]

WYOMING

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

SEPTEMBER 24, 1962.

The Bureau of Reclamation, United States Department of the Interior, has filed an application, serial number Wyoming 058209, for the withdrawal of lands described below, from all forms of appropriation under the first form of withdrawal as provided by section 3 of the Act of July 17, 1902 (32 Stat. 388), subject to valid existing rights.

The applicant desires the lands for reclamation purposes in connection with the Shoshone Extensions Unit, Missouri River Basin Project, Wyoming.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, P.O. Box 929, Cheyenne, Wyoming.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

SIXTH PRINCIPAL MERIDIAN, WYOMING

T. 50 N., R. 98 W.,
Sec. 6: Tract 85-W.
T. 51 N., R. 98 W.,
Sec. 30: Lot 26;
Sec. 31: Tract 85-T.
T. 52 N., R. 98 W.,
Sec. 36: Tract 75-A.
T. 50 N., R. 99 W.,
Sec. 1: Tract 85-Q.
T. 51 N., R. 99 W.,
Sec. 36: Tracts 85-E, F, O, P.

Total area aggregates approximately 162.18 acres.

ED PIERSON,
State Director.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9908; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:49 a.m.]

NEVADA

Notice of Proposed Withdrawal and Reservation of Lands

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The National Park Service has filed an application, Serial Number Nevada 051733, to withdraw the lands described below, from prospecting, location, entry and purchase under the mining laws.

This land was withdrawn from entry under the public land laws by Presidential Proclamation 2961, dated January 17, 1952, and added to and reserved as a part of Death Valley National Monument to protect a subterranean pool known as Devil's Hole.

For a period of 30 days from the date of publication of this notice, all persons who wish to submit comments, suggestions, or objections in connection with the proposed withdrawal may present their views in writing to the undersigned officer of the Bureau of Land Management, Department of the Interior, Post Office Box Number 1551, Reno, Nevada.

If circumstances warrant it, a public hearing will be held at a convenient time and place, which will be announced.

The determination of the Secretary on the application will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. A separate notice will be sent to each interested party of record.

The lands involved in the application are:

MOUNT DIABLO MERIDIAN, NEVADA

T. 17 S., R. 50 E.,
Sec. 36, SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$.

The area as described contains 40 acres.

LEGRAND BENNION,
Acting Land Office Manager,
Post Office Box 1551, Reno,
Nevada.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9930; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:52 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service

SUGARCANE

Notice of Hearing on Fair Prices in Puerto Rico and Fair Wages and Prices in the Virgin Islands and Designation of Presiding Officers

Pursuant to the authority contained in subsections (c) (1) and (c) (2) of section 301 of the Sugar Act of 1948, as amended (61 Stat. 929; 7 U.S.C. 1131), as amended by Public Law 87-535 approved July 13, 1962, and in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure applicable to wage and price proceedings (7 CFR 802.1 et seq), notice is hereby given that public hearings will be held as follows:

At Santurce, Puerto Rico, in the Conference Room of the Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service Office,

Segarra Building, on October 18, 1962, at 9:30 a.m.

At Christiansted, St. Croix, Virgin Islands, in the Government House, on October 23, 1962, at 9:30 a.m.

The purpose of these hearings is to receive evidence likely to be of assistance to the Secretary of Agriculture in determining (1) pursuant to the provisions of section 301(c) (1) of the said Act, fair and reasonable wage rates for persons employed in the production, cultivation, or harvesting of sugarcane in the Virgin Islands during the calendar year 1963 on farms with respect to which applications for payment under the said Act are made, and (2) pursuant to the provisions of section 301(c) (2) of said Act, fair and reasonable prices for the 1962-63 crop of Puerto Rican sugarcane and the 1963 crop of Virgin Islands sugarcane to be paid, under either purchase or toll agreements, by producers who process sugarcane grown by other producers and who apply for payments under the said Act.

To obtain the best possible information, the Department requests that all interested parties appear at the hearings to express their views and to present appropriate data with respect to the subject matter involved.

Testimony on all pertinent subjects is desired. In addition, it is requested that witnesses be prepared to present their views and recommendations at the hearing on the following specific proposals with respect to fair prices for sugarcane in Puerto Rico:

A. The FOB mill price of raw sugar produced by any processor who markets any of such sugar locally or who refines or further processes any of such sugar shall be determined by deducting selling and delivery expenses computed as follows:

(1) If the processor delivers to mainland refiners one-half or more of the total quantity of raw sugar produced by the mill, the selling and delivery expenses to be applied to such total quantity shall be the average of the admissible selling and delivery expenses approved by the Area office for that quantity of raw sugar produced by the mill which was delivered to mainland refiners.

(2) *Alternative No. 1.* If the processor delivers to mainland refiners less than one-half of the total quantity of raw sugar produced by the mill, the selling and delivery expenses to be applied to such total quantity shall be the average of the admissible selling and delivery expenses approved by the Area office for all raw sugar produced in Puerto Rico which was delivered to mainland refiners.

(2) *Alternative No. 2.* If the processor is an affiliate of a local refinery and delivers to mainland refiners less than one-half of the total quantity of raw sugar produced by the mill, the selling and delivery expenses to be applied to such total quantity shall be the average of the admissible selling and delivery expenses

approved by the Area office for all raw sugar produced in Puerto Rico which was delivered to mainland refiners; or,

If the processor is not an affiliate of a local refinery and delivers to mainland refiners less than one-half of the total quantity of raw sugar produced by the mill, the selling and delivery expenses to be applied to such total quantity shall be the average of the admissible expenses determined in accordance with the raw sugar purchase contract between the processor and the purchaser (plus any other selling and delivery expense actually incurred on the sugar delivered pursuant to such contract) but not more than the average of the admissible selling and delivery expenses for all raw sugar produced in Puerto Rico which was delivered to mainland refiners as approved by the Area office.

B. The date for submitting the statements of the deductions made in determining the f.o.b. mill price of sugar and the net proceeds from molasses shall be June 1 of the year following harvest, instead of August 1 of the year following harvest.

The hearings, after being called to order at the time and places mentioned herein, may be continued from day to day within the discretion of the presiding officers, and may be adjourned to a later day or a different place without notice other than the announcement thereof at the hearing by the presiding officers.

Tom O. Murphy, A. A. Greenwood, Ward S. Stevenson, and Carlos G. Troche are hereby designated as presiding officers to conduct jointly or severally the foregoing hearings.

Signed at Washington, D.C., on October 2, 1962.

W. E. UNDERHILL,
Acting Deputy Administrator,
Price and Production, Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9970; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:54 a.m.]

ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION

[Docket No. 50-155]

CONSUMERS POWER CO.

Notice of Authorization for Operation of Nuclear Reactor

Please take notice that pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 4a. of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-6, Consumers Power Company has been authorized to load the core of its nuclear reactor located in Charlevoix County, Michigan, and to operate the reactor at thermal power levels up to one megawatt in the manner described and subject to the provisions set forth in the license.

Based upon reports of inspection by a representative of the Division of Compliance and an evaluation of these reports by the Research and Power Reactor Safety Branch, Division of Licensing and Regulation, I have found that construction of the facility has been completed in

conformity with Construction Permit No. CPPR-9 and the Application.

Notice of issuance of Provisional Operating License No. DPR-6 was issued on August 30, 1962.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 26th day of September 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

LESTER R. ROGERS,
Acting Director, Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9883; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

[Docket No. 50-202]

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA

Notice of Application for Utilization Facility License

Please take notice that the University of Nevada, Reno, Nevada, under section 104c of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, has submitted an application for a license to construct and operate an Atomic International type L-77 nuclear reactor for instruction, research, and production of isotopes for university research on the Reno Campus of the University of Nevada at Reno, Nevada. A copy of the application is available for public inspection in the AEC Public Document Room, located at 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Germantown, Md., this 26th day of September 1962.

For the Atomic Energy Commission.

S. LEVINE,
Chief, Test and Power Reactor
Safety Branch Division of
Licensing and Regulation.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9884; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:45 a.m.]

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

[Docket No. 14638; FCC 62M-1291]

HUGH JORDAN STOCK

Order Continuing Hearing

In re application of Hugh Jordan Stock, Riverton, Wyoming, Docket No. 14638, File No. BP-14184, for construction permit.

As a result of the Hearing Examiner's granting the Broadcast Bureau's oral motion made this date in a prehearing conference held in the above-entitled matter: *It is ordered*, This 28th day of September 1962, that the hearing now scheduled for October 17, 1962, is postponed to a date to be set in a subsequent order.

Released: October 1, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,

[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9935; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:53 a.m.]

[Docket Nos. 14684-14686; FCC 62M-1292]

NORTHFIELD BROADCASTING CO. ET AL.

Order Continuing Prehearing Conference

In re applications of Kingsley H. Murphy, Jr., and Carroll E. Crawford, d/b as Northfield Broadcasting Company, Northfield, Minnesota, Docket No. 14684, File No. BP-13872; Hastings Broadcasting Company, Hastings, Minnesota, Docket No. 14685, File No. BP-14823; Albert Lea Broadcasting Company (KATE), Albert Lea, Minnesota, Docket No. 14686, File No. BP-14870; for construction permits.

The Hearing Examiner having under consideration a letter dated September 27, 1962, submitted by counsel for Northfield Broadcasting Company, requesting a change of the date (October 1) governing the exchange of certain exhibits, established in the Hearing Examiner's Order released July 31, 1962; and an adjustment of the date (established therein) for a further informal conference;

It appearing that the principals of Northfield Broadcasting Company and Hastings Broadcasting Company are engaged in negotiations looking toward a method of resolving the conflict existing between these two applicants; and

It further appearing that under the Hearing Examiner's July 31, 1962, Order, preliminary exchange of all engineering exhibits and final exchange of all non-engineering exhibits is scheduled for October 1, 1962, with a further informal engineering conference to be held on October 10, 1962, and final exchange of all engineering exhibits to be made not later than by October 19, 1962; and

It further appearing that the changes requested appear appropriate to enable Northfield and Hastings to continue their negotiations which, if successful, would decrease significantly the length of the hearing in this proceeding; and

It further appearing that counsel for all parties herein have agreed to permit Albert Lea Broadcasting Company (KATE), in the event of a grant of the subject request, to exchange its preliminary and final exhibits one week after the date to be established for Northfield and Hastings, and that resolution of the issues will be facilitated therewith; and

It further appearing that counsel for all parties to the proceeding have consented to a grant of the subject request, and that the public interest requires immediate consideration thereof;

It is ordered, This 28th day of September 1962, that the subject request is granted, that, as to Northfield and Hastings, the date for the final exchange of nonengineering exhibits and for the preliminary exchange of engineering exhibits is extended from October 1 to October 9, 1962, and that the date for a further informal engineering conference is extended from October 10 to October 16, 1962; and

It is further ordered, That, in accordance with the agreement indicated above, Albert Lea shall make its preliminary ex-

change of engineering exhibits and its final exchange of nonengineering exhibits on October 16, 1962, at the informal conference; and

It is further ordered, That the dates for the exchange of final engineering exhibits, for notification of witnesses, as well as for commencement of the hearing shall remain as scheduled in the Hearing Examiners' Order released July 31, 1962.

Released: October 1, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9936; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:53 a.m.]

[Docket No. 14753; FCC 62M-1293]

RALPH YAGO

Order Continuing Hearing

In the matter of Ralph Yago, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, order to show cause why there should not be revoked the License for Radio Station 20W1705 in the Citizens Radio Service.

The Hearing Examiner, having conflicting assignments;

It is hereby ordered, This 28th day of September 1962, that the hearing herein presently scheduled to be held in Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, commencing October 31, 1962, is hereby postponed to November 5, 1962.

Released: October 1, 1962.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
[SEAL] BEN F. WAPLE,
Acting Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9937; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:53 a.m.]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION

ADMIRAL SHIPPING CORP. ET AL.

Notice of Freight Forwarder Applications Filed for Approval

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission, applications for licenses as independent ocean freight forwarders, pursuant to section 44(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (Public Law 87-254).

These persons are all operating under the grandfather provision of Public Law 87-254, their names were not included in the previous publication notices.

No.; Name and Address; Officers

HOUSTON, TEX.

715; Admiral Shipping Corp., 608 Fannin Street (2)—Corporation: Ernest R. Binder, pres.; A. Bernard, sec.-treas.

MIAMI, FLA.

211; West India Shipping Co., Inc., Municipal Pier 1, Miami 32, Fla.—Corporation: L. Henry Read, Jr., pres.; A. Wentworth Erickson, Jr., director; Josiah M. Erickson, vice pres.; Robert M. Ackerman, vice pres.; Leon Dollet, vice pres.; Douglas Erickson, vice pres. and asst. sec.; Gordon Swenson, vice pres. and sec.; Evelyn Baird, treas.

No. 193—4

MOBILE, ALA.

215; Richard Murray & Co., P.O. Box 1155—Partnership: Richard Murray III, partner; Edward F. Murray, partner; James V. Murray II, partner.

908; Oceanic Shipping Co., 61 Saint Joseph Street, P.O. Box 1037—Partnership: Patrick E. Lilley, partner; Harold L. Coleman, partner.

236; Walsh Stevedoring Co., Inc., P.O. Box 55—Corporation: R. B. Walsh, pres. and director; J. A. Crosland, exec. vice pres.-director; W. D. Walsh, sec.-treas. and director; Margaret V. H. Walsh, director.

30; Waterman of Puerto Rico U.S.A., Inc., 61 Saint Joseph Street—Corporation: Charles A. McEniry, pres.-treas.; J. G. Williams, vice pres.; Clara L. McLean, sec.; J. G. House, asst. sec.-asst. treas.

344; Godwin Shipping Co., Inc., P.O. Box 1263—Corporation: J. L. Godwin, pres.; R. L. Godwin, Sr., sec.-treas.; C. H. Godwin, vice pres.

PHILADELPHIA, PA.

129; Keer, Mauer Co., 114 South Front Street (6)—Corporation: Joseph G. Camero, pres.; A. N. Barrett, sec.; Fred L. Gates, treas.

PORTLAND, OREG.

162; Geo. S. Bush & Co., Inc., 310 Southwest Fourth Avenue (4)—Corporation: Mary L. Muir, pres.; Edward Howe Lee, vice pres.; Chas. F. Nims, sec.; Benj. J. Ellis, treas.

854; Seaport Shipping Co. (Portland), 333 Southwest Oak Street (4)—Corporation: M. H. Beach, pres.-director; Thelma Beach, treas.-director; M. H. Beach, Jr., sec.-director; Mary Lou Beach, vice pres.-director.

161; J. T. Steeb & Co., Inc., 320 Southwest Stark Street (4)—Corporation: John D. Weber, pres.; Robert A. Crommie, vice pres.; Adolph Carr, vice pres.; C. B. McDougall, sec.

277; The Wilcox-Hayes Co., 506 Southwest Sixth Avenue (4)—Corporation: Thomas M. Campbell, pres.-treas.; Jane Dolph Campbell, vice pres.; Claire Evelyn Rooke, sec.

SAN FRANCISCO, CALIF.

3; Chiarella & Grimes Forwarding Co., Andersen & Co., 420 Market Street (11)—Partnership: S. A. Chiarella, partner; A. J. Grimes, partner; Chas. McKay, partner; Patrick Henry, partner.

SAN PEDRO, CALIF.

780; W. H. Wickersham & Co., Inc., 731 South Palos Verdes—Corporation: Roy Blydenburgh, pres.; Edna M. Pickering, vice pres.; Robert H. Dinger, asst. sec.

SEATTLE, WASH.

100; Alfred H. Marzolf, Inc., 920 Second Avenue (4)—Corporation: Alfred H. Marzolf, pres.; Ernest W. Fowble, vice pres.; Freda E. Marzolf, sec.-treas.

921; Smyth Worldwide Movers, Inc., 11616 Aurora Avenue (33)—Corporation: G. W. Smyth, pres. and director; W. W. Schumacher, exec. vice pres. and director; W. L. Sneltsjes, vice pres. and director; Gene Hubbard, vice pres.; Douglas B. Barnes, vice pres.; Ray L. Johnson, sec. and director; Ruth Morrell, asst. sec.; D. J. Dietsch, treas.; Elwell Case, director.

TORRANCE, CALIF.

881; Columbia Export Packers, Inc., Columbia Van Lines, Inc., 2805 Columbia Street—Corporation: Harold W. Squier, pres.; Carl L. Joyce, vice pres.; James E. Walsh, vice pres.; Kenneth E. Thieman, vice pres.; Darrell F. Hill, vice pres.; David J. Cook, sec.; Herbert C. Puffer, treas. and asst. sec.

WASHINGTON, D.C.

648; Security Storage Co. of Washington, 1140 15th Street NW. (5)—Corporation: Clarence A. Aspinwall, chairman of the

board; Phillip L. Gore, pres.; Douglas Laing, senior vice pres.; Ralph H. Houser, vice pres.-treas.; Robert E. Windham, vice pres.-sec.; John H. Cornwell, asst. sec. and asst. treas.; Roland Showalter, asst. sec.; Conrad S. Posey, manager, Foreign Shipping Department.

NEW ORLEANS, LA.

22; Trans World Shipping Corp. (of La.), 503 St. Ann—Pontalba Building, P.O. Box 2381, Customhouse Station—Corporation: Harry Stern, pres.; J. Ashton Greene, vice pres.; Helmut Klestadt, sec.

Dated: September 28, 1962.

THOMAS LIST,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9938; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:53 a.m.]

ADMIRAL SHIPPING CO. ET AL.

Notice of Licenses Issued to Independent Ocean Freight Forwarders

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants whose names appeared in the FEDERAL REGISTER, 27 F.R. 7145, July 26, 1962, have been issued licenses as indicated.

Admiral Shipping Co. (Arkady Moistuk, d/b/a), 1000 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.—No. 940.

Kenneth Hamanaka, 408 South Spring Street, Los Angeles, Calif.—No. 941.

Ralph Valls, P.O. Box 904, 303 Cortex Street, Laredo, Tex.—forwarding operations to be at the branch office: 208 Katz Building, P.O. Box 2205, Corpus Christi, Tex.—No. 942.

Vernon Forwarding Co. (Wilma J. Vernon, d/b/a), 6214 Lankershim Boulevard, North Hollywood, Calif.—No. 937.

In addition to the above, the following persons received licenses as shown:

Forwarding, Inc., 815 Erieside, Cleveland 14, Ohio—No. 932.

Walter Trimlet, pres.-treas.; Joseph M. Zeigler, vice pres.; Meyer A. Cook, sec.

Interport Co. (Frank J. Paul, d/b/a), 2085 West Lunt Avenue, Chicago 45, Ill.—No. 935.

Milton C. Merion, Southeast Corner Water and Ritner Streets, Philadelphia 48, Pa.—No. 936.

Notice is hereby given that the following applicants have filed with the Federal Maritime Commission, applications for licenses as independent ocean freight forwarders, pursuant to section 44(a) of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (Public Law 87-254).

Persons knowing of any reason why any applicant should not receive a license are requested to communicate with the Director, Bureau of Domestic Regulation, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington 25, D.C. Protests should be filed within 60 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

A & M Custom Brokers Co., 75 State Street, Boston 9, Mass.—Partnership: Allen G. McCarter, partner; Michael Mayzel, partner.

Abarim Freight Service, Inc., 232 Sixth Street, Brooklyn 15, N.Y.—Corporation: Ralph Andersen, pres.; O. Richard Lundgen, vice pres.-treas.; Alice Andersen, sec.

Dunbar Customs Services, 354 South Spring Street, Los Angeles 13, Calif.—Corporation: Alberta N. Duncan, pres.; Albert E. Duncan,

vice pres.-treas.; Edward A. Stegely, sec. Joseph K. Ebberwein, 650 East 40th Street, Savannah, Ga.—Individual.
 Jay International, Inc., 437 Chestnut Street, Philadelphia 6, Pa.—Corporation: Robert J. Van Natta, pres. and director; Doris S. Van Natta, sec.-treas. and director; Jesse L. Van Natta, vice pres. and director.
 John Sterling Laurie, Jr., 415 Court Street, Port Allen, La.—Individual.
 Lund and Pullara, P.O. Box 9277, Riviera Beach, Fla.—Partnership: Robert E. Lund, partner; Frank Pullara, partner.
 James Sierra, 226 Riverwood Drive, Houston 9, Tex.—Individual.

Dated: September 26, 1962.

THOMAS LISI,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9939; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
 8:53 a.m.]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket 13728]

EASTERN NORTH CAROLINA AREA AIRLINE SERVICE AIRPORT INVESTIGATION

Notice of Postponement of Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given, pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, that the prehearing conference, heretofore assigned in the above-entitled proceeding for October 5, 1962, is hereby postponed and reassigned for October 22, 1962, at 10:00 a.m., e.d.s.t., in Room 725, Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

Dated at Washington, D.C., September 28, 1962.

[SEAL] WILLIAM J. MADDEN,
Hearing Examiner.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9944; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
 8:54 a.m.]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. R163-86]

HARPER OIL CO., ET AL.

Order Providing for Hearing on and Suspension of Proposed Change in Rate

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

On August 27, 1962, Harper Oil Company (Operator), et al. (Harper)¹ tendered for filing a proposed change in its presently effective rate schedule for the sale of natural gas subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.² The sale is made at a pressure base of 14.65 psia. The proposed change is designated as follows:

Description: Notice of change, dated August 24, 1962.

¹ Address is: 904 Hightower Building, Oklahoma City, Oklahoma.

² Harper was today issued by letter a temporary certificate authorizing the subject sale and Harper's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 21, as supplemented, was accepted for filing.

Purchaser: Colorado Interstate Gas Company.

Producing area: Laverne Field, Harper County, Oklahoma (Panhandle Area).

Rate schedule designation: Supplement No. 3 to Harper's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 21.

Proposed rate: 18.848 cents per Mcf.³

Effective rate: 17.670 cents per Mcf.⁴

Annual increase: \$253.

Effective date: September 27, 1962 (stated effective date is the first day after expiration of thirty days' notice).

Harper's proposed increased rate exceeds the applicable area price level as set forth in the Commission's Statement of General Policy No. 61-1, and the amendments thereto.

The proposed changed rate and charge may be unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise unlawful.

The Commission finds: It is necessary and proper in the public interest and to aid in the enforcement of the provisions of the Natural Gas Act that the Commission enter upon a hearing concerning the lawfulness of Harper's proposed change and that Supplement No. 3 to Harper's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 21 be suspended and the use thereof deferred as hereinafter ordered.

The Commission orders:

(A) Pursuant to the authority of the Natural Gas Act, particularly sections 4 and 15 thereof, the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure, and the regulations under the Natural Gas Act (18 CFR Ch. I), public hearing shall be held upon the date to be fixed by notice from the Secretary concerning the lawfulness of the proposed increased rate and charge contained in Supplement No. 3 to Harper's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 21.

(B) Pending such hearing and decision thereon, Supplement No. 3 to Harper's FPC Gas Rate Schedule No. 21 is hereby suspended and the use thereof deferred until February 27, 1963, and thereafter until such further time as it is made effective in the manner prescribed in the Natural Gas Act.

(C) Neither the supplement hereby suspended, nor the rate schedule sought to be altered thereby, shall be changed until this proceeding has been disposed of or until the period of suspension has expired, unless otherwise ordered by the Commission.

(D) Notices of intervention or petitions to intervene may be filed with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., in accordance with the rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 and 1.37(f)) on or before November 16, 1962.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9889; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
 8:46 a.m.]

³ Periodic rate increase. Proposed rate includes base price of 16.0 cents per Mcf plus upward BTU adjustment.

⁴ Includes base price of 15.0 cents per Mcf plus upward BTU adjustment.

[Docket No. E-7054]

KANSAS GAS AND ELECTRIC CO. AND KANSAS POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Notice of Application

SEPTEMBER 27, 1962.

Take notice that, on September 4, 1962, an application was filed with the Federal Power Commission, pursuant to section 203 of the Federal Power Act, by Kansas Gas and Electric Company (Kansas Gas) for authorization to dispose of certain electric facilities and to sell them to Kansas Power and Light Company, (Kansas Power). On September 24, 1962, Kansas Power joined in the application. Kansas Gas is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of West Virginia and doing business in the State of Kansas, with its principal business office at Wichita, Kansas. Kansas Power is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of Kansas and doing business in that State, with its principal place of business at Topeka, Kansas. Kansas Gas is engaged principally in the generation, purchase, distribution, and sale of electric power and energy in the southeastern portion of the State of Kansas. Kansas Power supplies electric power and energy to the public in a large area of north central Kansas. The facilities which Kansas Gas proposes to sell to Kansas Power are located in the territory served by Kansas Power, and are described, as follows: That portion of the Omaha-Median 161 kv electric transmission line which extends from the Kansas-Nebraska line south to and including the structure numbered 1042-B belonging to Kansas Gas and located near the Tecumseh Hill Substation of Kansas Power; and all equipment owned by Kansas Gas in the Tecumseh Switching Station and in the Tecumseh Hill Substation; together with all easements, licenses, leases, and other rights in land of every kind and character possessed by Kansas Gas and used or existing in connection with the aforementioned line and equipment. The consideration to be paid by Kansas Power to Kansas Gas in connection with the proposed transaction is \$443,515, in cash, which the application describes as the original cost to Kansas Gas of the facilities to be sold less the depreciation accrued in respect thereto as of June 30, 1962.

The Applicants state that ownership and maintenance by Kansas Power of the facilities which are the subject of the present application will afford an opportunity for direct transactions between Omaha Public Power District and Kansas Power, whose service areas are in closer proximity to each other than the service areas of Omaha Public Power District and Kansas Gas; will eliminate the necessity of maintenance of those facilities by Kansas Gas at a distance of more than 125 miles from the center of the operations of Kansas Gas; and, will result in economies and efficiencies of operation for the three public utilities concerned, all of which will be in the public interest.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said application should on or before the 18th day of October 1962, file with the Federal Power Commission, Washington 25, D.C., petitions or protests in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's rules of practice and procedure (18 CFR 1.8 or 1.10). The application is on file and available for public inspection.

JOSEPH H. GUTRIDE,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9890; Filed Oct. 3, 1962; 8:46 a.m.]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[File No. 1-3842]

BLACK BEAR INDUSTRIES, INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The common stock, par value 15 cents a share, of Black Bear Industries, Inc. (formerly Black Bear Consolidated Mining Co.), being listed and registered on the San Francisco Mining Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the San Francisco Mining Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9914; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3445]

E. L. BRUCE CO. INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The common stock, par value \$1, of E. L. Bruce Co. (Incorporated), being listed and registered on the American

Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and.

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9915; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4597]

INDUSTRIAL ENTERPRISES, INC.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The common assessable stock, \$1.00 par value, of Industrial Enterprises, Inc., being listed and registered on the San Francisco Mining Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the San Francisco Mining Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

for a period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9916; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4061]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT CO. AND NEW JERSEY POWER & LIGHT CO.

Notice of Proposed Intrasystem Sale and Acquisition of Utility Assets

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

Notice is hereby given that Jersey Central Power & Light Company ("JCP&L") and New Jersey Power & Light Company ("NJP&L"), both public-utility subsidiary companies of General Public Utilities Corporation, a registered holding company, have filed a joint application-declaration, pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 9(a)(1), 10, 12(d), and 12(f) of the Act and Rules 43 and 44 promulgated thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the joint application-declaration on file in the office of the Commission for a statement of the proposed transactions which are summarized as follows:

JCP&L proposes to sell and NJP&L proposes to acquire two power transformers for the aggregate consideration of \$137,735, the same being the net book value based on original cost to JCP&L. These transformers are not needed by JCP&L and in 1961 were lent without cost to NJP&L, which is presently using this equipment. The proposed sale has been approved by the Board of Public Utility Commissioners of the State of New Jersey. It is stated in the filing that the Federal Power Commission does not have jurisdiction over the proposed transactions by virtue of the provisions of Section 318 of the Federal Power Act, and that no other State commission or Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Total fees and expenses, all of which are to be paid by JCP&L, are estimated in the filing at \$1,250 including \$750 for legal fees.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 18, 1962, request the Commission in writing that a hearing be held on such matter stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by the joint application-declaration which he desires to controvert, or may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request shall be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon each applicant-declarant, and

proof of service (by affidavit, or in the case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, the joint application-declaration, as filed or as amended, may be granted and permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from its rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100, or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9917; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 70-4069]

MICHIGAN CONSOLIDATED GAS CO. Notice of Proposed Issuance and Sale of Notes to Banks

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

Notice is hereby given that Michigan Consolidated Gas Company ("Michigan"), a gas utility subsidiary of American Natural Gas Company, a registered holding company, has filed a declaration with this Commission pursuant to the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 ("Act"), designating sections 6 and 7 of the Act and Rules 50(a)(2) and 70(b)(2) thereunder as applicable to the proposed transactions. All interested persons are referred to the declaration, on file at the office of the Commission, for a statement of the transactions therein proposed which are summarized below.

Michigan proposes to issue and sell, from time to time and in varying amounts as funds are required, up to an aggregate of \$12,000,000 face amount of unsecured promissory notes to the banks and in the maximum amounts indicated below:

First National City Bank, New York, N.Y.	\$4,400,000
National Bank of Detroit, Detroit, Mich.	4,400,000
Manufacturers Hanover Trust Company, New York, N.Y.	3,200,000
Total	12,000,000

The notes are to be dated as of the date of issuance, are to mature September 30, 1963, and are to bear interest at the prime rate (currently 4½ percent per annum) of First National City Bank, New York City, in effect on the date of each borrowing, and the interest rate will be adjusted to the prime rate in effect at such bank at the beginning of each 90-day period subsequent to the date of the first borrowing. There is no commitment fee, and the notes may be prepaid at any time without penalty.

Michigan proposes to use the proceeds of the proposed notes, together with treasury funds, to finance its 1962 construction program estimated at \$30,866,000. It is represented that the proposed notes will be replaced with permanent financing.

The estimated expense of \$1,000 incident to the proposed transactions con-

sists of \$500 legal fees and \$500 of miscellaneous expenses.

According to the filing no State commission and no Federal commission, other than this Commission, has jurisdiction over the proposed transactions.

Notice is further given that any interested person may, not later than October 29, 1962, request in writing that a hearing be held on such matter, stating the nature of his interest, the reasons for such request, and the issues of fact or law raised by said declaration which he desires to controvert; or he may request that he be notified if the Commission should order a hearing thereon. Any such request should be addressed: Secretary, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington 25, D.C. A copy of such request should be served personally or by mail (air mail if the person being served is located more than 500 miles from the point of mailing) upon declarant, and proof of service (by affidavit or, in case of an attorney-at-law, by certificate) should be filed contemporaneously with the request. At any time after said date, the declaration, as filed or as it may be amended, may be permitted to become effective as provided in Rule 23 of the general rules and regulations promulgated under the Act, or the Commission may grant exemption from such rules as provided in Rules 20(a) and 100 thereof, or take such other action as it may deem appropriate.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9918; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:50 a.m.]

[File No. 1-4583]

PRECISION MICROWAVE CORP.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The common stock, par value \$1.00, of Precision Microwave Corp., being listed and registered on the American Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such security on such Exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 that trading in said security on the American Stock Exchange be summarily

suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for a period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9919; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

[File No. 1-3412]

PROSPER OIL AND MINING CO.

Order Summarily Suspending Trading

SEPTEMBER 28, 1962.

The common stock of the par value of ten cents, of Prosper Oil and Mining Company, being listed and registered on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange, a national securities exchange; and

The Commission being of the opinion that the public interest requires the summary suspension of trading in such stock on such exchange and that such action is necessary and appropriate for the protection of investors; and

The Commission being of the opinion further that such suspension is necessary in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, with the result that it will be unlawful under section 15(c)(2) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and the Commission's Rule 15c2-2 thereunder for any broker or dealer to make use of the mails or of any means or instrumentality of interstate commerce to effect any transaction in, or to induce or attempt to induce the purchase or sale of such security, otherwise than on a national securities exchange;

It is ordered, Pursuant to section 19(a)(4) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, that trading in said security on the Salt Lake Stock Exchange be summarily suspended in order to prevent fraudulent, deceptive or manipulative acts or practices, this order to be effective for the period of ten (10) days, October 1, 1962, through October 10, 1962, both dates inclusive.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ORVAL L. DuBOIS,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9920; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962; 8:51 a.m.]

TARIFF COMMISSION

UNMANUFACTURED LEAD AND ZINC

Reports to the President

OCTOBER 1, 1962.

The U.S. Tariff Commission today submitted to the President its third periodic report on the developments in the trade in unmanufactured lead and zinc since the "escape clause" action, on October 1, 1958, which resulted in the imposition of import quotas on unmanufactured

lead and zinc. This report was made pursuant to paragraph 1 of Executive Order 10401 of October 14, 1952, which order prescribes procedures for the periodic review of escape-clause actions. Such review is limited to the determination of whether a concession that has been modified or withdrawn can be restored in whole or in part without causing or threatening serious injury to the domestic industry concerned.

In submitting this report, the Commission advised the President that the conditions of competition between imported and domestic unmanufactured lead and zinc had not so changed as to warrant the institution of a formal investigation under the provisions of paragraph 2 of Executive Order 10401. This means that, in the Commission's view, the developments in the trade in unmanufactured lead and zinc do not warrant a formal inquiry into the question of whether the existing restrictions on the imports of unmanufactured lead and zinc could be relaxed without resulting in serious injury to the domestic industry concerned.

Copies of the Commission's report are available upon request, as long as the limited supply lasts. Requests should be addressed to the Secretary, U.S. Tariff Commission, Eighth and E Streets NW., Washington 25, D.C.

[SEAL] DONN N. BENT,
Secretary.
[F.R. Doc. 62-9926; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

FOURTH SECTION APPLICATIONS FOR RELIEF

OCTOBER 1, 1962.

Protests to the granting of an application must be prepared in accordance with Rule 40 of the General Rules of Practice (49 CFR 1.40) and filed within 15 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

LONG-AND-SHORT HAUL

FSA No. 37966: *Petroleum products from Montana points to WTL territory.* Filed by Trans-Continental Freight Bureau, Agent (No. 392), for interested rail carriers. Rates on asphalt (asphaltum), natural, byproduct or petroleum (other than paint, stain or varnish), petroleum road oil and petroleum wax tailings, in tank-car loads, from Billings, East Billings, and Laurel, Mont., to points in Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

Tariff: Supplement 73 to Trans-Continental Freight Bureau tariff I.C.C. 1644.

FSA No. 37967: *Iron or steel pipe to Louisville, Ky.* Filed by Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads, Agent (E.R. No. 2635), for interested rail carriers. Rates on iron or steel pipe, in carloads, from Aliquippa and Economy, Pa., to Louisville, Ky.

Grounds for relief: Rail-barge competition.

Tariff: Supplement 380 to Traffic Executive Association-Eastern Railroads tariff I.C.C. 3388 (Hinsch series).

FSA No. 37968: *Newsprint paper from Mobile, Ala., to Virginia points.* Filed by O. W. South, Jr., Agent (No. A4241), for interested rail carriers. Rates on newsprint paper, in carloads, from Mobile, Ala., to Norfolk, Newport News, and Richmond, Va.

Grounds for relief: Carrier competition.

Tariff: Supplement 34 to Southern Freight Association tariff I.C.C. S-230.

By the Commission.

[SFAL] HAROLD D. MCCOY,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9923; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

[Notice 700]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

OCTOBER 1, 1962.

Synopses of orders entered pursuant to section 212(b) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 CFR Part 179), appear below:

As provided in the Commission's special rules of practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings within 20 days from the date of publication of this notice. Pursuant to section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC 65243. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Carl H. Betz, Orefield, Pa., of Certificate No. MC 42605, issued October 11, 1961, to Alvin A. Roth, Bowmanstown, Pa., authorizing the transportation of: Coal, between points in Schuylkill County, Pa., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in Morris, Somerset, Essex, and Middlesex Counties, N.J. Paul B. Kemmerer, 1620 North 19th Street, Allentown, Pa., representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65283. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Fred Knobloch, Farmingdale, N.Y., of Certificate No. MC 41498, issued July 23, 1953, to Christine Knobloch, doing business as Fred Knobloch, Farmingdale, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of: Groceries and advertising matter, over regular routes, from Bayonne and Jersey City, N.J., to Riverhead, N.Y., with service to and from the intermediate points of Farmingdale and Hempstead, N.Y., and empty grocery containers, oleomargarine, and mayonnaise, on return. Transporting over irregular routes, oleomargarine, pickles, salad dressing, table sauces, mustard, vegetable cooking oils, stearine, and advertising matter pertaining thereto, from Bayonne, N.J., to points in Nassau

and Suffolk Counties, N.Y. (except Riverhead, Farmingdale, and Hempstead, N.Y.), and damaged, shopworn, and otherwise unsalable shipments of the above commodities and empty containers therefor, on return. Douglas Miller, Meadow Brook Bank Building, P.O. Box 8, Malverne, N.Y., representative for applicants.

No. MC-FC 65354. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to J. W. Hofacket and Benny Hofacket, a partnership, doing business as J. W. Hofacket, Marathon, Tex., of the operating rights in Permit No. MC 119638 Sub-2, to J. W. Hofacket, Marathon, Tex., authorizing the transportation, over irregular routes, of fluorspar ore and fluorspar ore concentrate, in bulk, in hopper or dump truck vehicles, from port of entry on the United States-Mexico boundary line at Heath Crossing, Tex., to Marathon, Tex.

No. MC-FC 65368. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Bernard J. Ducharme, doing business as Pittsfield Freight Lines, 937 Dalton Avenue, Pittsfield, Mass., of Certificate No. MC 111925 Sub-1, issued September 30, 1955, to Pittsfield Freight Lines, Inc., 937 Dalton Avenue, Pittsfield, Mass., authorizing the transportation of limestone in spreader trucks, over irregular routes, from Farnham, Mass., to points in Bennington and Windham Counties, Vt., Cheshire and Hillsboro Counties, N.H., Washington, Rensselaer, Columbia, Saratoga, Delaware, Albany, and Schenectady Counties, N.Y.

No. MC-FC 65370. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Pauline E. Richardson doing business as Rich's South Shore Express, 732 Nantasket Avenue, Hull, Mass., of Certificate No. MC 69043 issued June 7, 1941, to William P. Richardson, doing business as Rich's South Shore Express, 732 Nantasket Avenue, Hull, Mass., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, excluding household goods and commodities in bulk, over regular routes, between Boston, Mass., and Scituate, Mass., with service authorized to and from all intermediate points; and household goods, over irregular routes, between Hull and Hingham, Mass., on the one hand, and, on the other, Larchmont and New York, N.Y., and points in Massachusetts, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Connecticut.

No. MC-FC 65373. By order of September 25, 1962, the Transfer Board approved the transfer to Laurence Hase, Carbondale, Kans., of Certificate No. MC 106662, issued April 11, 1951, to Martin C. Layman, doing business as Layman Truck Line, Auburn, Kans., authorizing the transportation of: Livestock, over irregular routes, between Carbondale, Kans., and points within 10 miles of Carbondale, except Burlingame and Overbrook, Kans., on the one hand, and, on the other, Kansas City, Mo., and Kansas City, Kans., and Mill feeds from Kansas City, Mo., to Carbondale and points within 10 miles of Carbondale, except in-

corporated municipalities. Leland M. Spurgeon, 1319 Huntoon at Lane, Topeka, Kans., attorney for applicants.

[SEAL] HAROLD D. McCoy,
Secretary.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9924; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of Alien Property
UNIVERSYTET JAGIELLONSKI

Notice of Intention To Return Vested Property

Pursuant to section 32(f) of the Trading With the Enemy Act, as amended, notice is hereby given of intention to return, on or after 30 days from the date of publication hereof, the following prop-

erty located in Washington, D.C., including all royalties accrued thereunder and all damages and profits recoverable for past infringement thereof, after adequate provision for taxes and conservatory expenses:

Claimant; Claim No.; Property

Universytet Jagiellonski, Cracow, Poland; \$4,172.17 in the Treasury of the United States. All right, title, interest and claim of whatsoever kind or nature in and to every copyright, claim of copyright, license agreement, privilege, power and every right of whatsoever nature, including but not limited to all monies and amounts, by way of royalties, shares of profits or other emolument, and all causes of action accrued or to accrue, relating to the work entitled MINUET by Ignacy Jan Paderewski to the extent owned by Universytet Jagiellonski immediately prior to the vesting thereof by Vesting Order No. 4031 executed on August 16, 1944 (9 F.R. 13780, November 17, 1944). Vesting Order No. 4031.

All right, title, interest and claim of whatsoever kind or nature in and to every copyright, claim of copyright, license agreement, privilege, power and every right of whatsoever nature, including but not limited to all monies and amounts, by way of royalties, shares of profits or other emolument, and all causes of action accrued or to accrue, relating to the work entitled MEMOIRS by Ignacy Jan Paderewski to the extent owned by Universytet Jagiellonski immediately prior to the vesting thereof by Vesting Order No. 4034, executed on August 16, 1944 (9 F.R. 13782, November 17, 1944). Vesting Order No. 4034.

Claim No. 35399.

Executed at Washington, D.C., on September 25, 1962.

For the Attorney General.

[SEAL]

PAUL V. MYRON,
Deputy Director,
Office of Alien Property.

[F.R. Doc. 62-9927; Filed, Oct. 3, 1962;
8:51 a.m.]

CUMULATIVE CODIFICATION GUIDE—OCTOBER

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during October.

3 CFR	Page	6 CFR	Page	21 CFR	Page
PROCLAMATIONS:		421.....	9714	120.....	9721
Feb. 25, 1893.....	9778	472.....	9714	121.....	9721, 9772
Jan. 23, 1904.....	9778	7 CFR		141c.....	9722
Jan. 26, 1909.....	9778	51.....	9809	146c.....	9722
2961.....	9829	905.....	9714	PROPOSED RULES:	
3279.....	9683	944.....	9771, 9809	120.....	9727
3496.....	9679	PROPOSED RULES:		121.....	9727, 9822
3497.....	9681	905.....	9819	22 CFR	
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:		970.....	9776	41.....	9723
Nov. 21, 1916.....	9813	12 CFR		28 CFR	
9981.....	9683	9.....	9764	0.....	9812
10219.....	9683	206.....	9771	33 CFR	
10242.....	9683	13 CFR		2.....	9723
10260.....	9683	107.....	9743	36 CFR	
10269.....	9683	121.....	9757	PROPOSED RULES:	
10296.....	9683	PROPOSED RULES:		7.....	9819
10312.....	9683	121.....	9727	41 CFR	
10346.....	9683	14 CFR		2-2.....	9812
10421.....	9683	60.....	9697	42 CFR	
10427.....	9683	507.....	9697, 9772	21.....	9724
10438.....	9683	600.....	9697-9699	43 CFR	
10461.....	9683	601.....	9698, 9699, 9772	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	
10480.....	9683	608.....	9772, 9810	2775.....	9812
10494.....	9683	609.....	9700, 9707	2776.....	9813
10524.....	9683	PROPOSED RULES:		2777.....	9813
10529.....	9683	151 [New].....	9822	46 CFR	
10539.....	9683	153 [New].....	9822	10.....	9814
10582.....	9683	155 [New].....	9822	47 CFR	
10601.....	9683	157 [New].....	9822	1.....	9816
10634.....	9683	161 [New].....	9822	2.....	9724
10638.....	9683	163 [New].....	9822	3.....	9772
10660.....	9683	550.....	9822	PROPOSED RULES:	
10705.....	9683	555.....	9822	1.....	9727
10737.....	9683	565.....	9822	2.....	9728
10773.....	9683	574.....	9822	3.....	9727
10782.....	9683	575.....	9822	7.....	9728
10789.....	9683	576.....	9822	9.....	9728
10900.....	9683	577.....	9822	10.....	9728
10902.....	9683	625.....	9822	11.....	9728
10952.....	9683	16 CFR		16.....	9728
10958.....	9683	13.....	9715, 9810, 9811	21.....	9728
10995.....	9683	19 CFR		50 CFR	
11030.....	9683	16.....	9715	32.....	9725, 9774, 9775, 9817, 9818
11051.....	9683	20 CFR			
11052.....	9691	404.....	9716		
11053.....	9693				
11054.....	9695				
PRESIDENTIAL DOCUMENTS OTHER THAN PROCLAMATIONS AND EXECUTIVE ORDERS:					
Memorandum, Feb. 9, 1962.....	9683				
Reorganization Plan 1, 1958.....	9683				