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## PREFACE

This is the complete report of the basic data collected in the Occupational Health Nurses Census of 1964. Because this is the first time that such a body of information has been available on occupational health nurses from the Nation as a whole, the findings are presented in considerable detail.

It is hoped that the report, in addition to providing descriptive data on the characteristics of occupational health nurses as an occupational group, will assist persons responsible for program planning in official agencies, schools, and professional organizations.

The Census was an ambitious undertaking and many people have assisted in its completion. Initially, the American Nurses' Association provided the names and addresses of the nurses who were to become the respondents. The Occupational Health Nursing Section of the American Nurses' Association and the American Association of Industrial Nurses encouraged participation of their members. Nurse consultants in a number of States helped us eliminate duplicates and locate nurses whom we would otherwise have missed.

Stanley K. Bigman, Chief of the Social Studies Section, Division of Occupational Health, designed the questionnaire and was responsible for contract negotiations and initial data processing.

Finally, we are grateful to the occupational health nurses throughout the country who answered the questionnaire, particularly those who shared with us their comments and suggestions about the field of occupational health nursing. Analysis of these comments, which is now under way, will provide further insight into the practice of occupational health nursing in the United States today.
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The occupational health nurses were mature women, largely hospital trained 15 to 25 years ago, who had had nursing experience in fields other than occupational health. Nearly half of the nurses had previously worked as occupational health nurses, and a third of them reported 15 or more years experience in this field. Few had entered the field in the last 5 years. The median salary for all occupational health murses was just over $\$ 5,500$.

The occupational health nurses varied in the above characteristics according to the positions which they held. Supervisors were oldest, had the most years of experience in occupational health, and received the highest salaries. Staff nurses were youngest. Only nurses were least well paid. Consultants had the most varied background in nursing, including more frequent occupational health and public health nursing experience. They also most of ten had college education.

The majority of the nurses worked in the Northeast and North Central regions of the United States, in manufacturing industries, and in workplaces with 500 or more employees. Almost all worked in health units, three-fourths of them in the only health unit in their workplace.

The nurses were relatively isolated from other medical personnel. Forty percent of the nurses worked alone. Only one-fourth had fulltime medical direction.

Size of workplace proved to be a major factor influencing extent of health services. Larger workplaces more often had multiple health units, large nursing staffs, nursing supervision and medical direction, highly experienced nurses, and high salaries.

Within the same sized workplaces government more often than manufacturing or nommanufacturing industries had the characteristics of the larger workplaces, with the exception of experience of the nurse. Manufacturing industries more often had nurses with long experience; they were least likely, however, to have nursing supervision.

As the size of workplace increased, differences among the three industry groups were reduced. Within each industry group, least variation between large and small workplaces was observed in government.

CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

## Purposes and Background of the Survey

This report presents the data from the 1964 Occupational Health Nurses Census which was conducted by the Division of Occupational Health of the U. S. Public Health Service. The Census attempted to survey all nurses who had been identified as industrial nurses by the American Nurses' Association during its 1962 Inventory of Professional Registered Nurses. ${ }^{1}$ By collecting data about these nurses and the circumstances under which they worked, the Division hoped to provide a basis for (1) assessing the present supply of nurses, (2) projecting the future need for nurses to staff programs and the demand for training, and (3) measuring change in the field of occupational health nursing in the years ahead. More specifically, the Division planned that once basic information about the distribution of occupational health nurses was available, a study would be made of the factors which influence the on-the-job activities of the occupational health nurse.

Determining the number of persons in any occupational group is difficult and any attempt to specify the number of persons currently working in a field is at best an approximation. Even with a central reporting system, records cannot reflect recent mobility into and out of an occupation and, of course, any reporting process involves errors in reporting, classification, and recording. Determining the number of occupational health nurses is perhaps even more difficult for no up-todate registry of occupational health nurses is maintained. Over the years information on the number of practicing occupational health nurses has come from two main sources: the Public Health Nurse Censuses of the U. S. Public Health Service and the Inventories of Professional Registered Nurses conducted by the American Nurses' Association.

The first attempt to enumerate occupational health nurses was made in 1937 when the Public Health Service conducted the first census of public health nurses in the United States and its territories. ${ }^{2}$ at this time the State directors of public health nursing collected data
$1_{\text {Hereafter refer to }}$ as the "1962 Inventory."
2These censuses were continued annually from 1937 to 1953. Two more were taken in 1955 and 1957. Beginning in 1960 they have been conducted biennially.
from the official and voluntary agencies which employed public health murses. Information on industrial nurses was obtained by the State directors from a knowledgeable nurse in the State, from the State industrial mursing association, or from the records of the occupational health murse consultant. In some cases, the census form was sent to industries for completion. The data thus collected were aggregate figures. The murses were not listed individually; only the total number of murses in each category was reported.

For several reasons this procedure gave an inadequate count of occupational health murses. First, directors of public health nursing did not have the same contact with the many different employers of industrial murses as they did with State and local agencies which employed public health murses. Second, many occupational health nurses did not consider themselves public health nurses and therefore did not participate in the public health censuses. Third, the forms that were used had been developed for recording information about public health nurses and did not have an answer category labeled specifically "occupational health nurse." Consequently, even when the State directors were successful in gathering data about occupational health nurses, there was no certain place to classify them. This undoubtedly led to errors in classification or failure to report. 3

Another source of information about occupational health nurses has been the records of the State boards of nurse examiners. Since each State and the District of Columbia require periodic renewal of licenses to practice mursing, records of such registrations provide information on all professional nurses according to nursing specialty. In 1949, 1951, 1956-1958, and again in 1962, the American Nurses' Association compiled inventories of professional registered nurses by collecting from each State board of murse examiners information given by the nurses when they paid the State professional nurse registration fee.

This should be one of the more complete sources of information, though it, too, is subject to various reporting and mechanical errors. Because of state variations in registration periods, 4 a 3-year period is required for all States to complete registration. Therefore, the murses represented in the 1962 Inventory were registered between September 1, 1961, and January 31, 1963. These are the nurses who
$3_{\text {Begiming }}$ in 1966 a new form is being used which will list every murse in the agency by name. Unfortunately, the form still does not provide a separate category for reporting occupational health nurses. They will be reported as "other" public health nurses. It is hoped that if the system of reporting individual nurses is continued the form will be revised to include this additional information.
${ }^{4}$ For example, New York has a biennial registration period starting in September of the odd numbered years; California and New Mexico have biemial registrations tied to the birthday of the registrant.
were surveyed by the Division of Occupational Health in 1964 and who are the subject of this report. The Occupational Health Barses Census was the first data-gathering effort designed for the sole purpose of surveying occupational health nurses.

## Research Procedure

## Study Design

The study was designed as a mail survey using a self-adainistered questionnaire to gather demographic data on occupational health murses and descriptive data about the industries and health units in which they worked.

The murses surveyed were the 17,018 nurses who had been identified by the 1962 Inventory as occupational health murses. These were murses who had indicated when they paid their State professional murse registration that they worked in industry. The Division of Occupational Health, in return for its contribution to the funding of the 1962 Inventory, had received from the American Nurses' Association a duplicate deck of IBM cards containing the names and home addresses of these nurses.

A questionnaire was sent to each of these nurses by the Division of Occupational Health on April 3, 1964. There were two follow-up mailings: the first on April 30, 1964, and the second on May 25, 1964. The completed questionnaires were returned to National Analysts, Inc., in Philadelphia, with whom the Division of Occupational Health had contracted for data collection and processing. Data collection was completed on June 22, 1964.

## The Questionnaire

The final form of the self-administered questionnaire ${ }^{5}$ contained 35 pre-coded questions on characteristics of the murse's vorkplace--the size of workplace and type of industry in which the marse worked, the type of health unit and size of nursing staff, the extent of mursing supervision and medical direction-as well as questions on the demographic characteristics of the nurses, their education, and their work experience.

Three final items were open-ended questions inviting the nurse to comment on how she happened to enter the field of occupational health nursing, what she thought were the best things about the field, and what she thought were the worst.

[^0]This report presents the analysis of the pre-coded questions. Answers to the open-ended questions will be the subject of a separate report.

## The Respondents

Of the 17,018 nurses to whom questionnaires were sent, 13,705 returned usable questionnaires. Of the respondents, 10,025 indicated that they were presently working as full-time occupational health nurses, 3,563 reported that they were not working (or were working as relief, part-time, or substitute nurses), and 117 said that they had never worked as occupational health nurses. The last figure represents 0.9 percent of the respondents and may reflect errors in State reporting to the American Nurses' Association. This report is based on the 10,025 murses who said that they were employed as full-time occupational health nurses.

## Data Analysis

All data were cast in contingency tables. Since the variables in the study were categorical in nature, they permitted only nominal measurement. Therefore, the existence of relationships has been established through chi square analysis; the degree of association has been measured by the corrected contingency coefficient, $\mathbb{C}$. Where appropriate the Friedman two-way analysis of variance by ranks has been used. 6

## Format of Tables

All tables in the body of the report present the percent distribution of all nurses who responded to the question or questions being considered. Because of rounding, any colum of percents may actually total 99.9 or 100.1 . In each case the total is reported as 100.0 percent.

The total numbers on which percentages are based will vary somewhat from table to table depending upon the number of nurses who failed to answer the particular question or questions involved. The number of nurses who did not answer is indicated on each table. Tables which report on crosstabulations of two variables will show a larger "no answer" category than tables reporting the variables separately, because failure to answer on either variable excludes the case from analysis on that particular crosstabulation.

Each table reports the statistics used and the significance level.

The chapters which follow present the analysis of the data. Chapter 2 reports the characteristics of the nurses as a group, Chapter 3
${ }^{6}$ For further discussion of the analysis and presentation of the data and of the statistics used, the reader is referred to Appendix II.
permits study of their distribution among the four census regions in the United States. Chapter 4 presents the influence of size of workplace on the study variables, while Chapter 5 discusses the differences among major industry groups with size of workplace held constant. In Chapter 6 the variations among nurses according to current position are presented. Finally, Chapter 7 summarizes the findings and discusses the implications of the data.

## CHAPTER 2

## NatIONAL PROFILE OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES

Ten thousand twenty-five nurses returned a questionnaire indicating that they worked in occupational health. Their responses are presented in this chapter to establish a profile of their characteristics as an occupational group: who they are, where they work, what they do, and how they are supervised. Where appropriate information is available, the occupational health nurses are compared with all nurses or with all working women.

## Who They Are

## Sex and Race

Professional nursing has long been considered primarily a female occupation and occupational health nurses are similar to all nurses in this respect. Of the occupational health nurses, 98.2 percent are female, as were 98.9 percent of professional nurses reporting by sex to the American Nurses' Association in $1962 .{ }^{1}$

By race, 99.5 percent of the occupational health nurses are white. Although no fully comparable data are available for all nurses, there appears to be a higher proportion of nonwhite women in nursing as a whole. Information from the 1960 Census ${ }^{2}$ indicates that 6.5 percent of all professional nurses were nonwhite. Part of this variation may be attributed to different reporting criteria. First, the Census figure is based on females only. Second, it may include persons who report themselves as professional nurses, but who would not be so classified by the American Nurses' Association and are therefore not included in the Professional Nurse Inventory.

## Age

Occupational health nurses as a group are mature women. Almost two-thirds of the occupational health nurses are 45 or older (Table 2-1). The median age is 48. As a group the occupational health nurses are older than other nurses. Just over one-third of all professional registered
$1_{\text {Facts About Nursing: A Statistical Summary. (1965 edition) New York: }}$ American Nurses' Association, 1965. Computed from Table 9, p. 18.
$2^{U}$. S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the United States: 1965. (86th edition) Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1965. Table No. 316, p. 233.
nurges in 1962 were in the $45-$-or-over age group and the median age was

## table 2-1

age of occupational health nurses compared with all propessional registered nurses

| Age in Years | Percent of Occupational Health Nurses* | Percent of All Professional Registered Nurses, 1962+ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 30 | 4.0 | 25.6 |
| 30-39 | 16.7 | 25.3 |
| 40-44 | 16.8 | 12.0 |
| 45-49 | 18.4 | 11.6 |
| 50-59 | 37.1 | 18.2 |
| 60 and over | 7.0 | 7.3 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 9996 | 516,224 |
| No Answer | 29 |  |
| Grand Total | 10025 |  |

*Registration period covered in survey was September 1, 1961, to Jamuary 31, 1963.
${ }^{+}$Source: American Nurses' Association, Facts About Nursing: A Statistical Summary. (1965 edition) New York: American Nurses' Association, 1965. Computed from Table 7, p. 16.
$3^{3}$ American Nurses' Association, Facts. Computed from Table 7, p. 16.

## Marital Status

Over three-fourths of the murses have been married (Table 2-2). At the time of the survey 56 percent were still married, 10 percent were widowed, and another 10 percent were divorced or separated.

TABLE 2-2
MARITAL STATUS OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES COMPARED WITH ALL PROFESSIONAL REGISTERED NURSES

| Marital Status | Percent of <br> Occupational <br> Health firses | Percent of <br> All Professional <br> Registered Furses* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Married | 56.1 | 62.5 |
| Never married | 23.3 | 26.2 |
| Widowed | 10.4 | 6.0 |
| Divorced or <br> separated | 10.2 | 5.3 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 9999 | 502,551 |
| No Answer | 26 |  |
| Grand Total | 10025 |  |

[^1]Compared with all professional registered nurses in 1962, fewer occupational health nurses were married. More were widowed or divorced. Part of the difference in marital status may be accounted for by the higher proportion of older women among occupational health nurses.

The occupational health nurses have had little formal education beyond their basic nursing preparation. The great majority hold only diplomas from hospital schools of nursing (Table 2-3). Few of the occupational health nurses are college graduates; less than a tenth (6.7 percent) have college degrees.

TABLE 2-3
EDUCATION OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES

| Education | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Diploma only | 93.3 |
| Associate degree | 1.5 |
| Bachelor's degree <br> Master's or doctor's <br> degree | 4.3 |
| Other degree, type <br> not reported | 0.6 |
| Total Percent | 0.3 |
| Number | 100.0 |
| No Answer | 9862 |

Of the occupational health nurses with degrees, two-thirds have a bachelor's degree, one-fourth an associate degree (Table 2-4). Just under 10 percent have graduate degrees, virtually all of which are master's.
highest degree held by occupational health nurses WHO ARE COLLEGE GRADUATES

| Highest Degree | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Associate | 23.8 |
| Bachelor's | 66.6 |
| Master's | 9.2 |
| Doctoral | $0.3 *$ |
| Total Percent | 100.0 |
| Number | 638 |
| No Answer | 26 |
| Grand Total | 664 |

*Represents two nurses: ome a chiropodist, the other a podiatrist.

## Nursing Education

Only a fourth of the nurses who now hold college degrees received their basic nursing education in a collegiate program (Table 2-5). Twothirds of them were trained in hospital diploma programs, the remaining 6 percent in a junior college or associate degree program.

BASIC NURSING EDUCATION PROGRAM OF OCCUPATIONAL health nurses who are college graduates

| Program | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| 3-year hospital diploma <br> program |  |
| 4- or 5-year college <br> bachelor's or mas- <br> ter's degree pro- <br> gram | 69.8 |
| 2-year junior college <br> or other associate <br> degree program | 24.4 |
| Total Percent | 5.8 |
| Number |  |
| No Answer | 60.0 |

One explanation for the low proportion of nurses trained in collegiate programs is the age distribution of the occupational health nurses. They are older nurses who were trained before the collegiate nursing programs were well established.

The majority of the nurses (88 percent) were graduated from basic nursing education programs before 1950; over half completed their training before 1940 (Table 2-6). Less than 1 percent of the nurses were graduated after 1960.4

4Nurses covered by the survey had been registered between September 1, 1961, and January 31, 1963.

YEAR IN WHICH OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES WERE GRADUATED FROM BASIC NURSIIG EDUCATION PROGRAM

| Year of Graduation | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Before 1930 | 15.6 |
| $1930-1939$ | 40.2 |
| $1940-1949$ | 32.3 |
| $1950-1959$ | 11.1 |
| 1960 or later | 0.8 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 |
| Number | 9892 |
| No Answer | 133 |
| Grand Total | 10025 |

Most of the occupational health nurses have had no formal instruction in their specialty. Less than a fifth reported that they had taken a course in occupational health mursing for which college credit was given.

Furthermore, few have had formal preparation in the closely related field of public health mursing. Only 11 percent of the nurses report a Public Health llursing Certificate or the equivalent number of college credits in public health nursing and related subjects.

## Previous Professional Positions

The majority of occupational health nurses have had experience in both hospital and private duty mursing, but less than half of them ( 42 percent) have previously worked in occupational health, and few (14 percent) have had public health experience (Table 2-7).

Since hospital mursing is the usual first job for nurses, it is not surprising that so many murses report hospital experience, either as staff or head murses. However, it was not expected that 80 few would have public health background, for in content and practice public health nursing is closely related to occupational health nursing.


Although over half of the occupational health nurses have held no previous position in occupational health, a number of them have worked in the field for a long time. A third report having been occupational health nurses for 15 years or more, over half of them for at least 10 (Table 2-8). Only 16 percent have had less than 5 years experience in occupational health.

TABLE 2-8
TOTAL YEARS WORKED AS AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSE

| Total Years Worked | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 1 | 0.2 |
| $1-2$ | 4.9 |
| $3-4$ | 11.0 |
| $5-9$ | 26.2 |
| $10-14$ | 24.4 |
| 15 or more | 33.4 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 |
| Number | 9959 |
| No Answer | 66 |
| Grand Total | 10025 |

The above data raise the question of occupational mobility. Additional data not presented here indicate that occupational health nurses not only tend to remain in the field for a number of years, but also remain for considerable time in the same job. About half of those with previous occupational health experience have had only one previous occupational health position, whereas only a fifth have had as many as three. Of course, it is not known how many nurses who at one time were in the field have left, but it does appear that those who are still working are a fairly stable group.

As a further measure of mobility, comparison was made of the percent of nurses who by year of first position in occupational health could theoretically have had at least 15 years experience and the percent reporting this much time in the field. Three-fourths of the eligible nurses reported 15 or more years of experience. Therefore, roughly a fourth of those still working in occupational health had left the field for some period of time and later returned.

Data on the last position of the occupational health nurse indicate that it was most often a position as staff nurse in a hospital or clinic or another industrial position. Next most often the previous position was either as supervisory nurse in a hospital or clinic, or as a private duty nurse.

## Where They Work

## Region and Geographic Division

The nurses are heavily concentrated in the Northeast and North Central regions of the United States. 5 A third of them work in the nine Northeastern States from Maine to Pennsylvania; another third in the 12 North Central States from Ohio to the Dakotas (Table 2-9). The remaining third are employed throughout the 16 States of the South and the District of Columbia ( 20 percent) and the 13 Western States (12 percent).

By geographic divisions, half of the nurses work in the three Middle Atlantic and the five East North Central States: 25 percent in New York, New Jersey, and Pennsylvania; 29 percent in Ohio, Michigan, Illinois, Indiana, and Wisconsin. Around 10 percent are employed in each of the South Atlantic, Pacific, and New England divisions, with smaller proportions in the other geographic divisions.
${ }^{5}$ A map of the regions and geographic divisions is found on page 30.

PLACE OF EMPLOYNENT OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES CLASSIFIED BY REGION AND GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION AND SHOWING COMPARISON WITH TOTAL NONAGRICULTURAL LABOR FORCE

| Region and Geographic Division | Percent of Nurses | Percent of 1962 Labor Porce* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Northeast | 33.3 | 28.6 |
| New England Middle Atlantic | $\begin{array}{r} 8.5 \\ 24.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 6.8 \\ 21.8 \end{array}$ |
| North Central | 34.2 | 28.7 |
| East North Central <br> West North Central | 28.9 5.3 | 21.0 7.7 |
| South | 20.4 | 26.7 |
| South Atlantic East South Central West South Central | $\begin{array}{r} 11.7 \\ 4.6 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 13.6 \\ 5.1 \\ 8.0 \end{array}$ |
| West | 12.1 | 15.9 |
| Mountain Pacific | $\begin{array}{r} 2.1 \\ 10.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3.6 \\ 12.3 \end{array}$ |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 9692 | 55,376,000 |
| No Answer | 333 |  |
| Grand Total | 10025 |  |

[^2]Comparison of the regional distribution of occupational health nurses with the distribution of all employees in nonagricultural establishments, shows that there is a slightly higher proportion of nurses in the Northeast, in both New England and the Middle Atlantic divisions, and in the North Central region, particularly in the East North Central division. All other regions and geographic divisions show a slightly lower proportion of nurses than of total employees.

The distribution of occupational health nurses by State conforms closely to the distribution of the nonagricultural labor force. Ninetyfive percent of the nurses work in the 32 States where 90 percent of the nonagricultural labor force is employed. The remaining 5 percent are in those 19 States having slightly less than 10 percent of the nonagricultural labor force.

## What They Do

## Major Role of Nurse

Almost all of the nurses give direct health services to employees: 96 percent of them work in a health unit, another 1.4 percent are visiting nurses (Table 2-10). The nurses who do not give direct health services are almost all consultants, in either a commercial organization ( 1.7 percent) or a governmental agency ( 0.4 percent).


## Type of Industry

Three-fourths of the nurses work in manufacturing industries, almost a fifth in nonmanufacturing, and 6 percent in government (Table 2-11). Almost twice as many work in durable goods manufacturing industries ( 47 percent) as in nondurable ( 25 percent).

## TYPZ OF INDUSTRY IN WHICH OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES ARE EMPLOYED

Type of IndustryPercent of Nurses
Durable goods manufacturing46.8
Automobiles, aircraft, railroad equipment, shipbuilding and related products ..... 15.1
Electrical machinery, equipment and supplies ..... 8.9
Machinery except electrical ..... 3.4
All other metal manufacturing (including thesmelting, manufacture or fabricating ofiron and steel, aluminum, copper or othermetals or products made from them)16.3
Furniture and fixtures ..... 0.5
Logging, sawmills and wood products except furniture ..... 0.5
Stone, clay and glass products ..... 2.1
Nondurable goods manufacturing ..... 24.8
Petroleum refining ..... 1.7
Rubber and plastics products ..... 3.0
Chemicals and related products (including synthetic fibers, drugs, paints, etc.) ..... 5.9
Food and related products ..... 4.6
Textile mill products (yarn, cloth, etc.) ..... 2.9
Apparel and other fabricated textile products ..... 0.9
Printing, publishing and related industries ..... 1.7
Paper and related products ..... 4.1
Any other manufacturing ..... 4.7
Nonmanufacturing ..... 17.5
Government ..... 6.2
Total Percent ..... 100.0
Number ..... 9813
No Answer ..... 212
Grand Total ..... 10025

Comparison of the distribution of nurses and of the total nonagricultural labor force indicates that the bulk of the nurses work in the type of industry which employs a small proportion of the labor force (Table 2-12). Three-fourths of the nurses are working in manufacturing industries, although such industries employ less than onethird of the workers. On the other hand, over half of the labor force is employed in nommanufacturing industries, contrasted with less than a fifth of the nurses.

TABLE 2-12
COMPARISON OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES AND NONAGRICULTURAL LABOR FORCE BY INDUSTRY GROUP IN WHICH EMPLOYED

| Industry Group | Nurses | Percent Distribution <br> Labor Force* |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing | 76.3 | 30.3 |
| Nonmanufacturing | 17.5 | 53.1 |
| Government | 6.2 | 16.6 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 9813 | $55,325,000$ |
| No Answer | 212 |  |
| Grand Total | 10025 |  |

*U. S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract of the United States: 1963. (Eighty-fourth edition) Washington, U. S. Government Printing Office, 1963. Table No. 296, p. 224.

## Size of Workplace

Most occupational health murses work in places which have large numbers of employees, over half of them in workplaces with a thousand or more employees, 80 percent in workplaces with 500 or more (Table 2-13). 6
${ }^{6}$ The reader will note that Table 2-13 and some of the following tables are based on the number of nurses who work in health units ( $n=9600$ ).

By size of workplace, as by type of industry, nurses and workers are differentially distributed. Eighty percent of the murses work in establishments with 500 or more employees, but only a third of the labor force is employed in this size workplace. On the other hand, only 1 percent of the nurses work in establishments of less than a hundred employees although almost half of the labor force is employed in workplaces of this size.

TABLE 2-13
COMPARISON OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES WHO WORK IN HEALTH UNITS AND OF EMPLOYEES AND WORKPLACES UNDER THE SOCIAL SECURITY PROGRAM BY SIZE OF WORRPLACE
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{lccc}\hline \hline \begin{array}{l}\text { Number of } \\
\text { Employees } \\
\text { in Workplace }\end{array}
$$ \& Nurses \& \begin{array}{c}Percent Distribution <br>

Eraployees\end{array} \& Workplaces*\end{array}\right]\)| Less than 100 |
| :--- |
| $100-249$ |

[^3]As will be shown in Chapters 4 and 5, size of workplace and type of industry group are related. Also, both size of workplace and type of industry group are independently related to size of nursing staff, although size of workplace has much the higher correlation. So, Table 2-13 is not reflecting simply the influence of size of workplace but the interrelation of size of workplace, type of industry, and size of nursing staff, with size of workplace being the underlying variable.

## Type of Health Unit

The health units were classified according to whether they were single or multiple units and, if multiple, by relationship with the chief unit. Using the following classification, the nurse indicated in which type of health unit she worked:
a) The only health unit in your workplace (plant, shop, store, etc.)
b) The chief health unit in your workplace, with one or more satellite or substation units staffed by at least one nurse elsewhere in the workplace
c) A satellite or substation unit, with the chief health unit elsewhere in the workplace
d) One of two or more health units in your workplace, neither (or none) of which is the chief unit. (These are referred to below as independent units.)
e) None of the above

A small number of nurses, less than 1 percent, indicated that they rotated between the chief and satellite units. These murses, together with those choosing the (e) answer option, constitute the other category in Table 2-14.
Type of
Health
Unit $\quad$ Percent of Nurses
Only 73.6
Chief ..... 15.4
Satellite ..... 5.6
Independent ..... 3.2
Other* ..... 2.2
Total Percent ..... 100.0
Number ..... 9336
No Answer ..... 264
Grand Total ..... 9600
*Includes nurses who rotate between chief and satellite units ( 0.6 percent).

Few workplaces have more than one health unit. Three-fourths of the nurses who work in health units are employed in the only health unit in their workplace. Only a fifth of them work in either a chief health unit or a satellite unit.

The health units tend to have small staffs. Two-thirds of the nurses work with no more than two other nurses; 40 percent of them work alone (Table 2-15). Although a few of the health units have 13 or more nurses including murse supervisors, directors or charge marses, the median number of murses per health unit is 1.3 .
Total Number
of Nurses
on Staff*
1 39.9

2-3
25.6

4-5 14.9
6-7 7.2
8-12 7.0
13 or more 5.3

Total Percent 100.0
Number 9147
No Answer 453
Grand Total 9600
*Including respondent

## Salary

The median salary for occupational health nurses in the survey was $\$ 5,531$ per year. Only 10 percent earned as much as $\$ 7,000$, and 7 percent earned less than $\$ 4,000$ (Table 2-16).

ANNUAL SALARY OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES

Annual
Salary

## Percent of Nurses

Under $\$ 4,000 \quad 7.2$
\$4,000-\$4,499 8.1
$\$ 4,500-\$ 4,999$ 12.2
\$5,000-\$5,499
21.6
\$5,500-\$5,999 14.8
\$6,000-\$6,499
17.2
$\$ 6,500-\$ 6,999 \quad 9.0$
\$7,000 and over 9.9
Total Percent 100.0

Number 9814
No Answer 211
Grand Total 10025

Compared with all professional nurses, the occupational health nurse is considerably better paid. Data from the 1960 Census ${ }^{7}$ indicate that the median earnings of all professional nurses in 1959 were $\$ 3,186$. By 1964, when our data were collected, these earnings would probably have increased somewhat but would still not be comparable to the salary of the occupational health nurses.

7U. S. Bureau of the Census. Statistical Abstract, 1965. Table No. 316, P. 233.

## Nursing Supervision

Forty percent of nurses who work in health units work alone. These murses seldom have any nursing supervision. Only 12 percent of them report that their work is supervised or directed by a murse outside the health unit.

Of the nurses who work with at least one other nurse, almost three-fourths ( 72 percent) report having a supervisory nurse in the health unit. In these units with supervisory nurses, one-third of the nurses are supervisors.

With the ratio of supervisory to staff nurses being $1: 2$, it is apparent that the supervisors in many cases have few nurses under their direction. One-third of them supervise only one nurse each, another 50 percent oversee from two to five nurses. Only a fifth supervise as many as six nurses.

## Medical Direction

The occupational health nurses in general have little medical direction. Only one-fourth of them work with a full-time physician--one who is regularly present at their workplace 35 or more hours per week (Table 2-17). A third of them have no regular physician at all. However, almost all of the nurses ( 95 percent) report that when a physician is not present in the health unit a physician is available on call.

NUMBER OF HOURS WHILE NURSE IS ON DUTY THAT PHYSICIAN IS REGULARLY PRESENT IN HRALTH UNIT

| Hours Per Week | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| 35 or more | 23.8 |
| $20-34$ | 4.6 |
| $10-19$ | 7.9 |
| $5-9$ | 12.5 |
| Less than 5 but |  |
| some time | 18.3 |
| None | 33.0 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 |
| Number | 9471 |
| Nrand Total Answer | 129 |

The majority of the nurses have some kind of written instructions. Three-fourths of them have written standing orders, which in over 90 percent of the cases are signed by a physician, and three-fourths also have nursing policy and procedure manuals.

## Nommedical Administration

Administration of the health unit $s 0$ far as nommedical matters are concerned seems to be largely the responsibility of the personnel department or its equivalent. Four-fifths of the murses report that their health unit is administratively responsible to a member of the personnel, employee relations, or industrial relations department. In the majority of cases the nonmedical administrator is a member of top management at the policy-making level.

## Profile

If one attempted to describe a "typical" occupational health nurse, she would be a white female in her late 40 ' $s$ who had at some time been married. She would have completed her mursing education between 1940 and 1950, but not in a collegiate program, and would not have a college degree. Her annual salary would be around $\$ 5,500$. She would most likely be working in the only health unit in a manufacturing industry where there were a large number of employees but few nurses. In fact, she might be the only murse. If she worked with other nurses, there would probably be a supervisory nurse in the health unit. It would be quite unlikely, however, that there would be a full-time physician, though perhaps one would be available part-time for a few hours a week. Almost certainly there would be a physician on call. In nonnedical matters she would be responsible to a member of the personnel, employee relations, or industrial relations department.


## REGIONAL DISTRIBUTION OF OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES

The preceding chapter presented the data on characteristics of the nurses as a national group. Now the characteristics of different subgroups of nurses will be considered. This chapter discusses the nurses according to the geographical region in which they are employed: the Northeast, the South, the North Central region, and the West. The nurses in the four regions will be compared with respect to (1) the size of workplace and type of industry in which the nurses are employed, and their annual salary, (2) the medical direction and nonmedical administration of the health unit, and (3) the murses' educational background. Regional differences were statistically significant for all variables tested except written standing orders. Reference tables showing the distribution of nurses by State are provided in Appendix III.

## The Nurse's Workplace

## Size of Workplace

The West more often than the other regions has nurses working in large workplaces. As shown in Table 3-1, the major variation is the higher proportion of nurses in the West who work in establishments of 2,500 or more employees, 41 percent compared with about one-third in the other three regions.

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES IN WORKPLACE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Number of Employees | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast | South | North Cen | West |  |
| Under 500 | 21.6 | 19.9 | 19.0 | 21.0 | 20.2 |
| 500-999 | 22.1 | 22.6 | 20.0 | 16.8 | 20.8 |
| 1000-2499 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 21.2 | 24.9 |
| Over 2500 | 31.0 | 32.0 | 35.6 | 40.9 | 33.9 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3198 | 1950 | 3272 | 1154 | 9574 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 451 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\chi^{2}=57.16 ; \mathrm{df}$ | $p<.001$ | ; $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=$ |  |  |  |

## Type of Industry Group

The North Central region has the highest proportion of nurses working in manufacturing industries. Whereas no more than three-fourths of the nurses in any other region work in manufacturing, in the North Central region 84 percent of the nurses do (Table 3-2). In the West, on the other hand, there is an overrepresentation of nurses who work in nonmanufacturing, while the South has the highest proportion of nurses employed by government. The govermment nurses, however, are concentrated largely in the District of Columbia, which has three times as high a proportion of murses working in government as any State.

TABLE 3-2
REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY TYPE
OF INDUSTRY GROUP IN WHICH SHE WORRS:
PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Type of Industry Group | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast South North Central West |  |  |  |  |
| Manufacturing | 75.7 | 72.4 | 84.0 | 65.2 | 76.6 |
| Nonmanufacturing | 20.0 | 15.3 | 12.8 | 25.8 | 17.2 |
| Goverament | 4.3 | 12.3 | 3.2 | 9.0 | 6.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3169 | 1940 | 3246 | 1141 | 9496 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 529 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 10025 |

## Annual Salary

Nurses in the West earn higher salaries. The West accounts for the highest proportion of nurses earning over $\$ 6,500$ per year, 27 percent compared to less than 20 percent in the other regions (Table 3-3). This is no doubt related to the fact that more Western nurses work in large workplaces which pay higher salaries. The highest proportion of nurses with low salaries is found in the South, where almost one-fourth earn less than $\$ 4,500$.

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY ANRUAL SALARY: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES


## Pormal Structure of the Health Unit

## Medical Direction

Pull-time Physician. While only one-fourth of the occupational health murses in the survey work with a full-time physician (one who is on duty for 35 or more hours per week), a higher proportion of murses in the West than in the other regions have such supervision (Table 3-4). On the other hand, all regions except the Northeast have over a third of marses working with no regular physician. The Northeast has the highest proportion of murses working with part-time medical direction.

TABLE 3-4
REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY NUMBER OF HOURS A PEYSICLAN IS REGULARLY PRESENT AT WORKPLACE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES WHO WORK IN HEALTH UNITS

| Number of Hours | Region |  |  |  | 0. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast | South | North Cent | West |  |
| 35 or more | 23.5 | 26.3 | 21.0 | 29.5 | 23.9 |
| 5-34 | 29.4 | 21.8 | 24.0 | 20.8 | 25.0 |
| Less than 5 but some time | 21.3 | 16.2 | 18.0 | 14.3 | 18.3 |
| None | 25.8 | 35.6 | 37.0 | 35.4 | 32.8 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3055 | 1859 | 3159 | 1099 | 9172 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 428 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\chi^{2}=169.95 ; \mathrm{df}=9 ; \mathrm{p}: .001 ; \overline{\mathrm{c}}=.16$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Physician on Call. In all regions, 95 percent of nurses report that a physician is available on call. Differences among regions, although statistically significant, are quite small, the percentages ranging from 97 percent in the South to 94 percent in the Northeast (Table 3-5).

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY AVAILABILITY OF A PHYSICIAN ON CALL DURING HOURS WHEN A PHYSICIAN IS NOT PRESEAT: PERCENT DISTRIBUIION OF NURSES WHO WORK IN HBALTH UNITS

| Physician on Call | Region |  |  |  | U. S . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast | South | ch Cent | West |  |
| Yes | 94.2 | 96.8 | 96.0 | 94.9 | 95.4 |
| No | 5.8 | 3.2 | 4.0 | 5.1 | 4.6 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2952 | 1815 | 3102 | 1049 | 8918 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 682 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\chi^{2}=21.67 ; \mathrm{df}=3 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \overline{\mathrm{C}}=.07$ |  |  |  |  |  |

Written Orders and Procedures
Over three-fourths of the nurses report having written standing orders ${ }^{1}$ (Table 3-6) and policy and procedure manuals (Table 3-7). The differences among regions with respect to these variables are small, but the West and Northeast consistently outrank the other two regions in the proportion of murses reporting written directives.

[^4]REGION IN WHICH RURSE IS BMPLOYED BY EXISTENGE OF WRITTEN STANDING ORDERS: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES WHO WORK IN HEALTH UNITS

| Written Standing Orders | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeas | South | th Cent | West |  |
| Yes | 78.4 | 76.3 | 77.8 | 80.3 | 78.0 |
| No | 21.6 | 23.7 | 22.2 | 19.7 | 22.0 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3019 | 1844 | 3140 | 1096 | 9099 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 501 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\chi^{2}=6.64 ; \mathrm{df}$ | 10 ) | .05; | . 04 |  |  |

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY EXISTENCE OF A NURSING POLICY AND PROGEDURE MANUAL: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION

OF NURSES WHO WORK IN HEALTH UNITS

| Nursing Policy and Procedure Manual | Region |  |  |  | U. S . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeas | South | th Cent | West |  |
| Yes | 76.8 | 75.1 | 76.6 | 79.7 | 76.7 |
| No | 23.2 | 24.9 | 23.4 | 20.3 | 23.3 |
| Total Perceur | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2984 | 1810 | 3107 | 1098 | 8999 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 601 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\chi^{2}=7.91 ; \mathrm{df}=3 ; .05>p>.02 ; \bar{c}=.04$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Nonmedical Administration

The regions differed very little in type of nommedical administration. For over 80 percent of the nurses, the nonmedical administrator of the health unit was a member of the personnel, employee relations, or industrial relations department (Table 3-8). Data not presented here indicate that 90 percent of the time the administrator was a member of top management at the policy-making level. In about 5 percent of the cases, more than one person shared in administering the health unit.

TABLE 3-8
REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY NONMEDICAL ADMINISTRATION OF HEALTH UNIT: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES WHO WORK IN HEALTH UNITS


Note: Because some health units are administered by more than one person, percents exceed 100 percent.

## Education

## College Training

As shown in Table 3-9, the West exceeds other regions in proportion of nurses who are college graduates, having about one-third more than the Northeast and North Central regions and twice that of the South.

TABLE 3-9
REGION IN WHICR NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY POSSESSION OF COLLEGE DEGREE: PERGENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| College Degree | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast | South | th Cent | West |  |
| Yes | 6.4 | 5.3 | 6.5 | 10.2 | 6.7 |
| No | 93.6 | 94.7 | 93.5 | 89.8 | 93.3 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3178 | 1944 | 3263 | 1152 | 9537 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 488 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\chi^{2}=28.80 ; \mathrm{df}$ | P < . 00 | $\overline{\mathrm{C}}=$ |  |  |  |

## Basic Mursing Preparation

Pew of the nurses with college degrees received their basic nursing education in a collegiate program. Those who did were somewhat more likely to be found in the North Central region (Table 3-10). Nurses in the West were more often trained in a junior college program, whereas the Northeast exceeds all other regions in proportion of nurses who were trained in hospital diploma programs.

## REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY TYPE OF BASIC <br> NURSING EDUCATION: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION <br> OF NURSES WITH COLLEGE DEGREES

| Basic Nursing Education | Region |  |  |  | U. S . |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northea | South | Ch Cent | West |  |
| 2-year junior college or other associate degree program | 4.2 | 6.5 | 3.0 | 11.9 | 5.6 |
| 3-year hospital diploma program | 75.0 | 67.7 | 68.7 | 62.4 | 69.4 |
| 4- or 5-year college bachelor's or master's degree program | 20.8 | 25.8 | 28.3 | 25.7 | 25.0 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 192 | 93 | 198 | 109 | 592 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 72 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 664 |
| $\chi^{2}=14.91 ; \mathrm{df}=6 ; .05>p>.02 ; \bar{C}=.20$ |  |  |  |  |  |

In all regions, the majority of murses, with and without college degrees, completed their basic mursing education program before 1950 (Table 3-11). The South, however, has a higher proportion of recent graduates than do the other regions.

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY YEAR OF GRADUATION FROM BASIC NURSING PROGRAM:

PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Year of Graduation | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeast | South | North Cent | West |  |
| Before 1930 | 16.9 | 11.0 | 16.2 | 16.1 | 15.4 |
| 1930-1939 | 41.3 | 37.3 | 40.3 | 40.4 | 40.1 |
| 1940-1949 | 30.4 | 35.5 | 32.5 | 33.2 | 32.5 |
| 1950 or later | 11.3 | 16.1 | 10.9 | 10.2 | 12.0 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3187 | 1953 | 3270 | 1158 | 9568 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 457 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\lambda^{2}=81.23 ; \mathrm{df}=9 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \overline{\mathrm{c}}=.11$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Occupational Health Courses

From time to time colleges in the West, in the Northeast, and in the North Central region have offered courses in occupational health nursing, but there has been no continuous program. This is reflected in the low incidence of specific training in occupational health among our respondents (Table 3-12). Only 17 percent of the nurses in the survey indicated that they had attended for college credit a course in occupational health nursing. The West has the highest proportion of nurses with college courses in occupational health nursing ( 25 percent) and the Northeast also is above average ( 20 percent). In the South, however, only 9 percent of the nurses had taken such courses.

REGION IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED BY COLLEGE COURSE IN OCCUPATIONAL HRALTH NURSING: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| College Course in Occupational Health Nursing | Region |  |  |  | U. S. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Northeas | South | ch Cent | West |  |
| Yes | 19.7 | 8.8 | 15.6 | 25.5 | 16.8 |
| No | 80.3 | 91.2 | 84.4 | 74.5 | 83.2 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3141 | 1923 | 3213 | 1148 | 9425 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 600 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\chi^{2}=173.80 ; ~ d f$ | ; p • | 1; $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=$ |  |  |  |

## Summary

The highest proportion of nurses who work in manufacturing industries is found in the North Central region, whereas the South has the most nurses working in government. The West has more nurses working in nonmanufacturing industries as well as more nurses working in large plants and earning high salaries.

The West has more medical direction than any other region. A higher proportion of nurses work with full-time physicians and have standing orders and policy and procedure manuals in their health units.

With respect to educational background, the West ranks first in proportion of college graduates and in proportion of nurses with college courses in occupational health nursing. The South ranks last on these variables. The West also has a higher proportion of murses with associate degrees and a higher proportion who have received their
basic mursing education in a junior college program. The Northeast has a higher proportion of murses who were trained in hospital programs and more murses with graduate degrees than the other regions.

From these few indices that are available, the West presents a somewhat more progressive picture of occupational health mursing in terms of more academic preparation for the field, more structured medical direction, and higher salaries.

## THE INTLUBNCE OF SIZE OF WORKPLACE

This chapter will discuss the relationship between the size of the nurse's workplace, as measured by the number of employees, and (1) the organization of the health unit in which the murse works, (2) the amount of nursing supervision and medical direction which she receives, and (3) her education, experience, and salary.

As one would expect, the larger workplaces ${ }^{1}$ generally make greater provision for health services than do those with fewer employees. The larger workplaces tend to have more health units as well as more nurses on the health unit staff. They also have a greater amount of nursing supervision and more extensive medical direction.

Organization of Health Unit

## Type of Health Unit

The majority of nurses in all but the largest workplaces work in the only health unit in the workplace: over 90 percent of the murses in workplaces of less than 1,000 employees, 64 percent of those in workplaces with between 2,500 and 4,999 employees (Table 4-1). In fact, only in the largest workplaces, those with 5,000 or more employees, do many of the murses work in anything other than the only unit. In these workplaces, 45 percent of the nurses are employed in the chief unit, 17 percent in a satellite unit.

[^5] EMPLOYED: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Type of Health Unit | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \text { \& } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Only unit | 93.3 | 94.6 | 92.0 | 81.3 | 64.4 | 28.0 | 73.7 |
| Chief unit | 1.8 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 10.9 | 23.0 | 44.7 | 15.4 |
| Satellite unit with chief unit elsewhere in workplace | 1.6 | 1.3 | 1.8 | 3.3 | 6.2 | 17.1 | 5.6 |
| One of two or more independent units | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.9 | 3.0 | 5.0 | 5.5 | 3.2 |
| Other* | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 1.4 | 4.8 | 2.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 505 | 1344 | 1945 | 2323 | 1431 | 1700 | 9248 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 352 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $X^{2}=2823.39 ; d f$ <br> tation). | 15; P | $<.00$ | ; C̄ | . 56 (' | Other" | omitted | m comp |

*Includes nurses who reported that they work in none of the health units named above ( 1.5 percent of number responding) and those who specified that they rotated between chief and satellite units ( 0.6 percent). Since rotation was not one of the answer options on the questionnaire, it is possible that some of the respondents checking "none" might also rotate between units.
${ }^{+}$It is unlikely that workplaces of this size would have more than one health unit, and respondents 80 indicating may have misinterpreted one or both of the questions included in this crosstabulation.

Nursing staffs tend to be small in all but the largest workplaces. In workplaces with less than 1,000 employees, most nurses work alone (Table 4-2). However, as the size of the workplace increases, so does

TABLE 4-2

## SIZE OF WORKPLACE BY NUMBER OF NURSES IN HEALTH

 UNIT: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OP NURSES| Number of Nurses in Health Unit* | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250^{+} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | 5000 \& over |  |
| One | 88.4 | 83.2 | 61.6 | 26.8 | 9.4 | 9.8 | 40.0 |
| Two-three | 8.0 | 13.4 | 31.0 | 42.8 | 27.8 | 8.8 | 25.6 |
| Pour-seven | 1.6 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 26.9 | 52.2 | 29.1 | 22.2 |
| Eight or more | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 3.5 | 10.6 | 52.3 | 12.3 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 499 | 1324 | 1902 | 2282 | 1412 | 1645 | 9064 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 536 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\mathrm{C}^{2}=6389.58 ;$ | 15; p | < . 00 | 1; $\bar{C}$ | . 73 |  |  |  |

*Number inc ludes respondent.
${ }^{+}$It is unlikely that this size workplace would have more than one nurse. Nurses in this size workplace who report a staff of two or more nurses, as well as those in the next two sized workplaces who report eight or more nurses, may have misinterpreted one or both of the questions.
the number of nurses in the health unit. Nurses in the 1,000 - to 2,499-employee workplace most often ( 43 percent) work on staffs of two or three nurses, whereas nurses in workplaces with 2,500 to 4,999 employees most often ( 52 percent) work on staffs of four to seven
nurses. Only in the largest workplaces, those with 5,000 or more employees, do the majority of nurses ( 52 percent) work on a staff of eight or more nurses.

## Employees Served by Health Unit

The larger the workplace, the smaller the proportion of nurses who report that their health unit serves the total employee population. As Table 4-3 shows, in each successive sise category the nurse is somewhat less likely to work with all employees, although even in workplaces with over 2,500 employees, 82 percent of the murses do. This relationship between size of workplace and number of employees to whom services of the health unit are available reflects, in part, the existence of more than one health unit in the larger establishments.

TABLE 4-3

## SIZR OF WORYPLACE BY NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES TO WHOM SERVICES OF hRALTH UNIT ARE AVAILABLE: PERCEITT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Number of Employees to Whom Services of Health Unit Are Available | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500 \& \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Under 250 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 1.2 | 0.7. | 1.1 | 6.7 |
| 250-499 |  | 96.0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 3.0 | 16.5 |
| 500-999 |  |  | 93.9 | 6.6 | 4.3 | 22.9 |
| 1000-2499 |  |  |  | 90.4 | 9.6 | 26.0 |
| 2500 \& over |  |  |  |  | 82.0 | 27.9 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 485 | 1320 | 1932 | 2302 | 3118 | 9157 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 443 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9600 |
| $\chi^{2}=27949.73 ;$ | 16; P | $<.00$ | ; $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=$ |  |  |  |

As the size of workplace increases, there is greater supervision of murses in the health unit. Size is significantly related to all variables of mursing supervision displayed in Table 4-4.

TABLE 4-4
SUMPARY TABLE: PERCENT OF NURSES IN BACH SIZE WORKPLACE WHO REPORT SELECTED ASPECTS OF NURSING SUPERVISION

| Nursing Supervision | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\bar{c}^{*}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500= \\ & 4900 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \& \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| Health unit has supervisory nurse | + | 60.3 | 62.9 | 67.6 | 72.4 | 83.1 | 72.2 | . 22 |
| Health unit has no supervisory nurse but is supervised by nurse outside the unit | ** | 7.1 | 6.1 | 6.7 | 11.7 | 28.6 | 11.5 | . 32 |
| Supervision of only nurse by murse outside the unit | 10.6 | 7.1 | 8.4 | 10.7 | 24.2 | 55.8 | 11.3 | . 40 |
| Number of nurses supervised by supervisory nurse |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . 64 |
| One | + | + | 59.3 | 35.5 | 16.5 | 9.0 | 28.8 |  |
| Two to five | + | + | 38.8 | 60.8 | 65.8 | 34.4 | 51.4 |  |
| Six or more | + | + | 1.9 | 3.6 | 17.6 | 56.5 | 19.7 |  |

All correlations are based on the full crosstabulation and are statistically significant, $p<.001$.
texcluded from analysis because of small number of cases and likelihood of error in answering question.
** Too few cases appeared in this category to treat separately; they are included in the next column which represents, in this instance, workplaces with up to 499 employees.

The questions regarding nursing supervision were asked of less than the total number of murses who reported working in health units, since logically irrelevant groups were excluded. Therefore, the respondents will be specified in the discussion of each variable. Table 1 in Appendix IV gives by size of workplace the total respondents for each of the questions about nursing supervision, the numbers being the bases from which percents in Table 4-4 were computed.

## Supervisory Nurse in Health Unit

The larger the workplace the more likely that the health unit has a supervisory nurse. The proportion of nurses reporting the presence of a supervisory nurse in the health unit increases steadily from approximately two-thirds in workplaces with less than 2,500 employees to four-fifths in workplaces of 5,000 or more.

These data are based on those nurses who reported more than one nurse in the health unit.

Supervision of Health Unit Which Has No Supervisory Nurse
The nurses who reported that the re was no supervisory nurse in the health unit were asked whether the work of the nurses was supervised or directed by a nurse outside the unit. The same trend of increased supervision of nurses in larger workplaces appears here. About 7 percent of nurses in workplaces of less than 2,500 report outside supervision of the health unit, contrasted with 12 percent in the 2,500 to 4,999 employee workplace and 29 percent in workplaces of 5,000 or more.

## Supervision of Only Nurse

Each nurse who reported being the only nurse in the health unit was asked whether she was supervised by a nurse outside the unit. Supervision of the only murse also increases with size of workplace, the percent who are supervised roughly doubling in each size group above 1,000. Whereas 11 percent of only nurses in workplaces of 1,000 to 2,499 employees are supervised, 24 percent of those in workplaces of $2,500-4,999$ employees and 56 percent of those in workplaces with 5,000 or more employees report outside supervision.

The relatively high proportion of only nurses in workplaces of less than 250 who report outside supervision may reflect the small independent subdivisions of large corporations which have well-developed occupational health programs. In such cases, the nurse would be the only nurse in her workplace, but would be supervised by a nurse consultant from the corporate medical director's office.

## Number of Nurses Supervised

Respondents describing themselves as supervisory nurses were asked how many nurses were responsible to them. The majority of supervisory
nurses in all workplaces up to 5,000 employees supervise no more than five nurses. About 60 percent of supervisory nurses in workplaces of less than 1,000 employees supervise only one nurse, and about the same percent of nurses in workplaces of between 1,000 and 5,000 employees supervise two to five nurses. Only in the largest workplaces are nurses supervising fairly large staffs. The proportion of supervisory nurses who oversee six or more nurses increases from 5 percent or less in the workplaces with under 2,500 employees to almost 20 percent at the 2,500-4,999 level. In the largest workplaces, 5,000 and over, 57 percent of the supervisory nurses have as many as $s$ ix nurses in their charge. The number of nurses supervised by the supervisory nurse reflects both the increased supervision in larger workplaces and the larger nursing staffs found there.

## Medical Direction

In addition to the increased nursing services and greater nursing supervision which are associated with increasing size, there is also more medical direction in the larger workplaces. Table 4-5 displays variables of medical direction which will be discussed below.

## SUNLARY TABLE: PERCENT OF NURSES* IN EACH SIZE WORKPLACE WHO REPORT SELECTED ASPECTS OF MEDICAL DIRECTION

| Medical Direction | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total | $\overline{\mathrm{c}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500= \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | 5000 \& over |  |  |
| Physician is regularly present |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $.59^{+}$ |
| Full time (35 or more hours/week) Not at all | 6.0 60.6 | 2.6 55.7 | 5.8 46.3 | 15.0 28.7 | 38.4 17.2 | 66.3 10.7 | 23.7 33.0 |  |
| Physician is available on call | 94.9 | 95.2 | 95.4 | 96.2 | 94.7 | 95.2 | 95.4 | . 03 |
| Nurse has written standing orders | 71.6 | 75.6 | 76.8 | 80.4 | 79.3 | 79.2 | 78.1 | $.07^{+}$ |

*See Table 2, Appendix IV, for total numbers of respondents from which the percentages are computed.
${ }^{t_{p}}<.001$. Correlations are based on the full distributions.

Regular Physician in Health Unit
The larger the workplace, the more often a physician is regularly present. Two-thirds of the nurses in workplaces with 5,000 or more employees have a full-time physician available, almost twice the percent found in the $2,500-4,999$ employee workplace ( 38 percent), which in turn is more than double the percent ( 15 percent) found in workplaces of $1,000-2,499$. Conversely, the smaller the workplace the more of ten the nurse reports having no regular physician. In this instance, the percentage variation among size of categories is much more gradual, decreasing from 61 percent of murses in workplaces of less than 250 employees to 11 percent of nurses in workplaces of 5,000 and over. The greatest change occurs between workplaces of less than 1,000 employees and those with 1,000 or more.

## Physician on Call

Size of workplace is unrelated to the availability of a physician on call. In each size workplace, 95 percent of nurses report that when a physician is not present they have access to a physician on call.

## Written Standing Orders

However, size is associated with whether the nurse works under standing orders. A high percentage of all nurses (78 percent) have standing orders, but this is less often true for nurses working in small workplaces of under 250 employees and more often the case for nurses in workplaces of 1,000 or more employees.

## Education, Experience, and Salary

The final set of variables has to do with the nurse's preparation for her job--her academic preparation, her specific experience as an occupational health nurse--and the salary she receives.

## College Education

The distribution of nurses by college degree does not differ significantly among the various sized workplaces. As shown in Table 4-6, less than 10 percent of nurses in any size workplace have a college degree. Neither is the type of degree significantly related

TABLE 4-6
SIZE OF WORKPLACE BY COLLEGE DEGREE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| College Degree | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \text { \& } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Yes | 6.8 | 6.0 | 5.8 | 6.0 | 7.6 | 7.8 | 6.6 |
| No | 93.2 | 94.0 | 94.2 | 94.0 | 92.4 | 92.2 | 93.4 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 557 | 1341 | 1945 | 2344 | 1324 | 2219 | 9730 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 295 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\chi^{2}=10.90 ; \mathrm{df}$ | ; 10 | > $\mathrm{p}>$ | . 05 ; | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=.04$ |  |  |  |

to size of workplace. The nurses holding associate, baccalaureate, and graduate degrees are distributed among the workplaces in no consistent pattern.

## Previous Experience as an Occupational Health Nurse

Highly experienced nurses, those who have worked for 15 or more years in occupational health, are found more often in larger workplaces. Over a third of all murses in workplaces of 1,000 or more employees have had 15 or more years' experience (Table 4-7). On the other hand, the least experienced nurses, those with less than 5 years' experience, are fairly equally distributed among the workplaces, with the exception of an overrepresentation in workplaces of less than 250 employees. A fourth of the nurses in the smallest workplaces have spent less than 5 years as occupational health nurses, contrasted with 14 to 17 percent of those employed in the larger establishments.

TABLE 4-7
SIZE OF WORKPLACE BY YEARS OF EXPERIENCE AS AN OCCUPATIONAL HRALTH NURSE: PRRCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Years of Experience as an Occupational Health 太lurse | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \text { \& } \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Less than 5 | 24.4 | 16.8 | 16.7 | 15.1 | 16.5 | 14.1 | 16.1 |
| 5-9 | 28.1 | 29.7 | 27.2 | 25.5 | 23.9 | 24.9 | 26.2 |
| 10-14 | 22.4 | 23.9 | 24.7 | 24.0 | 23.3 | 25.8 | 24.4 |
| 15 or more | 25.1 | 29.7 | 31.4 | 35.4 | 36.2 | 35.2 | 33.3 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 558 | 1359 | 1969 | 2373 | 1345 | 2223 | 9827 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 198 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $x^{2}=75.08 ; \mathrm{df}$ | 5; P < | . 001 ; | $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=$. |  |  |  |  |

Size of workplace shows a consistent relationship to salary of the occupational health nurse. The larger the workplace, the larger the proportion of nurses receiving high salaries (Table 4-8).

TABLE 4-8
SIZE OF WORKPLACE BY ANNUAL SALARY: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Annual Salary | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000 \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \& \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Under \$4,500 | 32.0 | 25.8 | 18.9 | 13.5 | 9.3 | 7.0 | 15.3 |
| \$4,500-\$5,499 | 39.3 | 42.9 | 40.9 | 34.4 | 26.3 | 24.2 | 33.8 |
| \$5,500-\$6,499 | 22.4 | 22.9 | 27.2 | 33.2 | 38.2 | 39.7 | 32.1 |
| \$6,500 and over | 6.3 | 8.3 | 13.0 | 18.8 | 26.2 | 29.1 | 18.8 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 557 | 1351 | 1960 | 2337 | 1316 | 2171 | 9692 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 333 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $2=942.26 ; ~ d f$ | 5; p | $=.001$ | ; $\overline{\text { c }}$ | . 34 |  |  |  |

The extremes of the salary range show that nurses five times as often earn over $\$ 6,500$ in the largest as in the smallest workplaces, and, at the ocher end of the scale, that they four times as often earn less than $\$ 4,500$ in the smallest as in the largest workplaces.

These salary differentials are not, of course, related merely to size, but are reflecting also the greater age and experience of the nurse and the more responsible positions available in the larger workplaces.

Size of workplace is positively associated at the . 001 level of significance with all study variables except those dealing with college education and the availability of a physician on call.

The variables showing the highest degree of association with size of workplace are the number of employees to whom health services are available ( $=.98$ ), the number of nurses in the health unit (.73), the number of nurses supervised by the supervisory nurse (.64), and the number of hours a physician is regularly present in the health unit (.59) .

Lower correlations are found between size of workplace and outside supervision of the only nurse (.40), outside supervision of the health unit (.32), and annual salary (.34).

## DIFFERENCES AMONG MAJOR INDUSTRY GROUPS

This chapter presents data on the variations among three major groups of industries--mamfacturing, nomanufacturing, and government-in the distribution of nurses according to the characteristics of the health unit in which they work, the extent of nursing supervision and medical direction which they receive, and their professional background and salary. First a statistical sumary will be presented; then the qualitative differences will be discussed in detail beginning on page 60.

The preceding chapter has shown that size of workplace is related to virtually all study variables, including the type of industry group in which the nurse worked. 1 Therefore, in order to find out whether type of industry group is independently related to the study variables, size of workplace will be controlled. This is accomplished in the following analysis by comparing for each of the study variables the distribution of nurses among the three types of industry groups in workplaces of the same size. By holding size constant, whatever relationship size has with the study variable is ruled out, thereby permitting investigation of the relationship between type of industry group and the study variable, independent of the association of size with both the study variable and type of industry group. ${ }^{2}$
${ }^{1}$ Size shows a very 10 w , but significant, association with type of industry group ( $C^{-}=.16$ ), the main variation being that nurses working for government are somewhat more likely to be in large workplaces and less likely to be in small ones than nurses in the other two industry groups.
${ }^{2}$ For example, if we were considering the type of health unit in which the nurse worked, the question would be whether nurses who worked in the same sized workplaces but in different industry groups were similarly distributed among the various types of health units. If, for a given size of workplace, the proportions of murses who worked in the chief, the satellite, and the only health unit were approximately the same in each of the three industry groups, we would say that the type of industry in which the nurse worked did not affect the likelihood of her working in any particular kind of health unit. If, on the other hand, a larger proportion of murses in one industry group worked in a certain kind of health unit, we would say that the type of industry in which the murse worked did have some effect on the kind of health unit in which she was likely to be employed. If these differences were statistically significant (indicated by superscripts in Table 5-1), we would conclude that the two variables, type of industry group and type of health unit, were related. The extent of the association would be indicated by the magnitude of the correlations: the higher the correlation, the larger the degree of association between the variables. A similar comparison would be made within each of the other size categories. For further discussion, see Appendix II.
TABLE 5-1
CORRELATIONS (C) OF SIZE OF WORKPLACE AND TYPE OF INDUSTRY GROUP WITH STUDY VARLABLES

\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Study Variables} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Size of Workplace} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{Type of Industry Group All Sized Workplaces} \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Type of Industry Group} <br>
\hline \& \& \& \multicolumn{5}{|l|}{Number of Employees in Workplace} <br>
\hline \& \& \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline \text { Under } \\
& 500
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& \hline 500- \\
& 999
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 1000- \\
& 2499
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
\begin{aligned}
& 2500- \\
& 4999
\end{aligned}
$$ \& $$
5000 \&
$$ over <br>
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{The Health Unit} <br>
\hline Type of health unit
Number of nurses in health unit \& $.55 * *$
$.73 * *$ \& $.11 * *$
$.12^{* *}$ \& $.13{ }^{+}$
$.20 * *$ \& .13
.19

+ \& $\xrightarrow{.122^{*}}$ \& . 09 ** \& $.26^{* *}$
$.18 * *$ <br>
\hline Number of employees with access to health unit \& . $98 * *$ \& .17** \& . 13 ** \& .13** \& . 09 \& . 07 \& .21** <br>
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Nursing Supervision} <br>
\hline Supervisory nurse in health unit \& .22** \& .17** \& a \& . 26 ** \& .15** \& . 08 \& . $17^{* *}$ <br>
\hline Number of nurses supervised by supervisory nurse \& . $64^{* *}$ \& $.14{ }^{\text {* }}$ \& b \& a \& . $22{ }^{* *}$ \& . $27{ }^{\text {* }}$ \& . 20 * <br>
\hline \& .32*** \& .08** \& 25** \& 19** \& \& . 15 \& .29* <br>
\hline Outside supervision of only nurse \& . 40 ** \& .22** \& .25** \& .19** \& .26** \& . 23 \& . 18 <br>
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Medical Direction} <br>
\hline Number of hours physician is regularly present in health unit Physician available on call \& $.59 *$
.03 \& $.21 * *$
$.24 *$ \& $.30^{* *}$
$.17 * *$ \& $.33^{* *}$
$.28 * *$ \& $.23 * *$
$.22^{* *}$ \& $.23 * *$
$.30 * *$ \& $.12{ }^{*}$
$.29 *$ <br>
\hline Written standing orders in health unit \& . $07 * *$ \& .08** \& .09* \& .08* \& .09+ \& .11* \& .12+ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{Professional Background and Salary} <br>
\hline College degree \& . 04 \& .11** \& .13** \& .13** \& .09* \& . 09 \& $.11{ }^{+}$ <br>
\hline Number of years experience as occupational health murse Annual salary \& . 10 ** \& $.07 * *$
$.20 * *$ \& . 08 ** \& . 08 ** \& $.11^{+}$
$.17^{* *}$ \& . 10 \& $.10^{*}$
$.17 * *$ <br>
\hline \multicolumn{8}{|l|}{${ }_{+}^{*} \mathrm{p}<.05$ a ${ }^{\text {c }}$} <br>
\hline ${ }^{+} \times$< $01{ }^{\text {a }}$ Too few cases to analyze \& parately; \& ases are includ \& in ne \& size \& cegory \& \& <br>
\hline **p < . 001 Excluded from analysis be \& use of lik \& lihood of erro \& in answ \& ing que \& ion. \& \& <br>
\hline
\end{tabular}

Table 5-1 shows in the first column the correlation of each variable with size of workplace. The second column shows the correlation of type of industry group and the study variables when workplaces of all sizes are considered as a group. The remaining colums show the correlations of industry group and the study variables with size of workplace controlled, that is, the association between type of industry group and the study variables based on workplaces of a given size. ${ }^{3}$ It is this section of the table that is of primary interest.

This final section of Table 5-1 provides several kinds of information. First, it shows in which sized workplaces the industry groups differ significantly with respect to the study variables (as indicated by the superscripts). It is apparent that there are significant differences among industry groups with respect to most variables in the majority of workplaces.

Second, it permits comparison of the relative association between type of industry group and the study variables within each size workplace and across workplaces of different sizes. In some cases there is greater variation among industry groups in small workplaces; in others, the industry groups in the larger workplaces vary more with respect to a given variable. The specific variations are discussed in detail in the sections which follow.

Third, it is possible to compare the relative association of size and of type of industry group with the study variables. In most cases, there is a considerable difference in the magnitude of the correlations. Size, in general, shows a higher correlation with the study variables than industry group; this is true for all variables except the availability of a physician on call, the existence of written standing orders, and the possession of a college degree. Though most of the industry correlations are statistically significant, the associations are of a low order.

The remaining tables in this chapter permit consideration of two additional questions:

1. Looking at each table separately, how consistently does an industry group rank in a given position with respect to a study variable, regardless of size of workplace?
2. Considering all tables, do any patterns emerge which permit a general characterization of the three industry groups?
${ }^{3}$ Questions which were asked of subgroups rather than of the whole sample sometimes did not provide sufficient cases to permit comparisons within the size groups. These questions will have no entries in the control section of Table 5-1. Also because there were few nurses from small government workplaces, it was sometimes necessary to combine size categories.

The first question is dealt with in the discussion of each table. Briefly, the relative positions of the industry groups as tested by the Friedman two-way analysis of variance by ranks were statistically significant for only five variables: the type of health unit in which the murse worked, the availability of a physician on call, the murse's annaal salary, college degree, and length of experience as an occupational health nurse. Nevertheless, despite lack of statistical significance for all variables, the data do show some fairly consistent variations among the industry groups. These are sumarized at the end of the chapter by way of answering the second question.

The reader should keep in mind that all the data in this report refer to the occupational health murses who responded to the survey. In this chapter the nurses have been grouped according to the type of industry and the size of the establishment in which they work. Since, in discussing the findings, it is cumbersome to refer repeatedly to "nurses who work in manufacturing industries," "nurses who work in nonmanufacturing industries," and "nurses who work in government," only the terms "manufacturing," "nonmamafacturing," and "government" will be used. Of course, no attempt is being made to characterize all such establishments but only those represented in the survey.

## Organization of Health Unit

## Type of Health Unit

Although the majority of murses in all three industry groups work in the only health unit in the workplace, government murses are least likely to be found there. As shown in Table 5-2, government is consistently lowest in proportion of nurses working in the only health unit except in the workplace with more than 5,000 employees. Note that this is the only size workplace in which at least two-thirds of the nurses in all industry groups do not work in the only health unit.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO WORK IN THE ONLY HEALTH UNIT IN THE WORKPLACE

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

$\chi_{r}^{2}=5.20 ; p=.093$
Notes: 1) Each cell entry in this and succeeding tables in Chapter 5 is read in the following manner: percent of nurses in industries of $\qquad$ employees have whatever characteristic is specified in the table title. For example, using the first cell entry, 95.4 percent of nurses in manufacturing industries of under 500 employees work in the only health unit in the workplace.
2) For all tables in this chapter, there are corresponding tables in Appendix $V$ which show for each cell the number of cases on which the percent is based. The number of government nurses in workplaces of under 500 employees is, in each table, less than 50.

As shown in Table 5-3, in all but the largest workplaces, nurses in government are more often employed in one of multiple units: a chief health unit, a satellite unit, or an independent unit. In the largest workplaces, manufacturing industries have the highest proportion of nurses working in one of multiple units, almost 75 percent compared with around 55 percent of nurses in government and in nonmanufacturing.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO WORK IN A CHIEF, SATELLITE, OR INDEPENDENT HEALTH UNIT

| Number of <br> Employees <br> In Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

## Size of Nursing Staff

In small workplaces, government nurses more often work on large staffs. About 16 percent of government nurses in workplaces of less than a thousand employees work on staffs of four or more nurses, contrasted with less than 10 percent of nurses in manufacturing and nonmanufacturing industries (Table 5-4).

However, in workplaces with more than 1,000 employees, manufacturing industries consistently have a higher proportion of nurses working on large staffs than do either nonmanufacturing or government. In each successive size group of 1,000 or more employees, nurses in manufacturing show the following percentages working on staffs of four or more nurses: 34 percent, 70 percent, and 83 percent. The other two industry groups show roughly 20 percent, 40 percent, and 80 percent in their respective size categories. Although there are sizable differences between manufacturing and the other two industry groups in the first two size categories, in the last, the disparity is less great.

PERCENI OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO WORK IN HRALTH UNITS OF FOUR OR MORE NURSES

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nommanuacturing | Govermment |
| Under 500 | 2.6 | 5.7 | 15.4 |
| 500-999 | 7.8 | 3.8 | 16.7 |
| 1000-2499 | 33.9 | 18.0 | 23.1 |
| 2500-4999 | 70.4 | 39.2 | 37.7 |
| 5000 \& over | 83.0 | 77.5 | 76.8 |

$X_{r}^{2}=1.60 ; p=.522$

As the size of workplace increases, all industries show an increase in proportion of marses working on large staffs (four or more nurses), but there is relatively greater change among nurses in manufacturing than in nonmanufacturing and least among those in government. That is, the differences between large and small government workplaces in size of mursing staff are less than in other industry groups.

## Nursing Supervision

## Supervisory Nurse in Health Unit

In all sized workplaces, murses in manufacturing industries least often work with a supervisory murse (Table 5-5). However, the differences among industry groups are statistically significant only in the largest workplaces, those with over 2,500 employees.

TABLE 5-5
PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE A SUPERVISORY NURSE IN THE HEALTH UNIT

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

The likelihood of there being a supervisory murse in the health unit tends to increase in all industry groups with increased size of workplace. The trend is most regular among the manufacturing workplaces where the proportion of nurses reporting a supervisory nurse increases from 58 percent in workplaces of less than 1,000 employees to 80 percent in workplaces with 5,000 or more workers. Government workplaces show the same general tendency excluding the under-1,000employee category which may be misleadingly high because of the small number of cases represented. Size seems to make the least difference in nonmanufacturing workplaces. Between 75 and 80 percent of the nurses in all size workplaces of less than 5,000 employees have a supervisory nurse, compared with about 90 percent of nurses in the largest workplaces.

## Staffing Patterns in Units With Supervisory Nurses

Nurses working in health units which had supervisory nurses were asked whether they themselves were supervisors or staff murses. Assuming there is no response bias, that is, if the staff and supervisory nurses have responded in about the same proportions as they exist in the population represented in our study, then the proportion of staff nurses should give some indication of the staffing patterns in health units with supervisory nurses.

TABLE 5-6

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO ARE STAFF NURSES IN HRALTH UNITS WHICH HAVE A SUPERVISORY NURSE

| Number of <br> Employees <br> In Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

As can be seen from Table 5-6, in all workplaces with 1,000 or more employees, a higher proportion of nurses in manufacturing than in the other industries report being staff nurses. The difference among industry groups is least in the largest sized category.

The proportion who are staff murses increases with size of workplace in all industry groups, although the increase is greater for manufacturing than for the other industry groups.

Supervision of Only Nurses
Except in the largest workplaces (5,000 and over), government murses report outside supervision two to four times as often as nurses in other industry groups (Table 5-7). The differences among industry groups are greatest in the small workplaces.

PERCENT OF ONLY NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OP A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE OUTSIDE SUPERVISION

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

At the 5,000-employee level, all industries show a substantial increase in proportion of only nurses reporting outside supervision, but the greatest change occurs in manufacturing. Manufacturing now exceeds the other industry groups in proportion of only nurses who are supervised.

## Medical Direction

## Full-Time Physician

As one would expect, nurses in all industry groups are more likely to work with a full-time physician in the larger workplaces (Table 5-8). But in all sized workplaces, government nurses more often than those in other industry groups have a physician available full time.

PERCEAT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO WORK WITH A FULL-TIME PHYSICIAN (35 OR MORE HOURS WEERLY)

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nomanufacturing | Government |
| Under 500 | 1.6 | 11.3 | 17.5 |
| 500-999 | 3.0 | 13.8 | 27.9 |
| 1000-2499 | 12.3 | 20.7 | 31.6 |
| 2500-4999 | 39.1 | 31.1 | 47.9 |
| 5000 \& over | 64.5 | 70.9 | 70.5 |
| $\chi_{r}^{2}=5.20 ; p$ |  |  |  |

With increased sise of workplace, relative differences among industries are reduced. Whereas in workplaces of $500-1,000$ employees, government nurses nine times as often as manufacturing murses and twice as often as nomanufacturing murses report a full-time physician, in workplaces with 5,000 or more employees, government and nomanufacturing are identical and manufacturing is only. somewhat lower.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE NO REGULAR PHYSICIAN AVAILABLE IN HEALTH UNIT

| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees } \\ \text { in Workplace }\end{array}$ | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$

## Physician Not Regularly Present

In the smaller workplaces (under 1,000 employees) nurses in manufacturing most often have no physician regularly available in the health unit, but in the larger workplaces govermment nurses most often work with no regular medical direction (Table 5-9).

## Part-Time Physicians

Some nurses, of course, worked with a physician who was regularly present for a specified number of hours less than 35. Since Tables 5-8 and 5-9 together account for the greater part of government nurses, relatively fewer of them than of nurses in private industry work with only part-time medical direction.

## On-Call Physicians

Regardless of size of workplace the great majority of nurses in all industries who have no regular physician do have a physician available on call. Nurses who work in manufacturing establishments of all sizes are more likely than those in nonmanufacturing or government to have a physician on call. This is probably related to the fact that, as shown in Table 5-8, nurses in manufacturing industries least often have a full-time physician available, Thus, they are more often limited to the on-call physician who is available for emergencies.

PERCENT OF RURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE A PHYSICIAN ON CALL

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| Under 500 | 96.2 | 90.7 | 84.6 |
| 500-999 | 97.6 | 89.4 | 81.8 |
| 1000-2499 | 97.2 | 95.1 | 84.4 |
| 2400-4999 | 96.9 | 89.4 | 80.8 |
| 5000 \& over | 97.1 | 93.6 | 82.8 |
| $\chi_{r}^{2}=10.00$; |  |  |  |

## Standing Orders

In workplaces of most sizes, government nurses are somewhat more likely to have written standing orders in their health units (Table 5-11). Nurses in manfacturing more often have standing orders than do those in nonmanufacturing. In about 90 percent of the cases, the standing orders are signed by a physician. Neither size nor type of industry is consistently related to whether the orders are signed.

PERCENT OP NURSES FROM EACR TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE WRITTEN STANDING ORDERS

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 500 | Manufacturing |  |  |
| $500-999$ | 75.7 | 68.4 | 77.5 |
| $1000-2499$ | 77.7 | 71.6 | 80.3 |
| $2500-4999$ | 81.5 | 77.9 | 71.4 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 80.8 | 72.1 | 80.9 |
| $X_{r}^{2}=5.20 ; p=.093$ | 79.5 | 73.5 | 86.2 |

## Education, Experience, and Salary

## College Degree

In all but the smallest workplaces, nommanacturing has a higher proportion of murses with college degrees, roughly 10 percent of nurses in nonmanufacturing compared with no more than 7 percent in any size workplace in manufacturing (Table 5-12). Government is most variable, ranging from 5 percent to 14 percent.

PRRCENT OF AURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE COLLEGE DEGREES

| Mumber of <br> Employees <br> In Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

## Experience in Occupational Health

One-third of the murses in the survey reported 15 or more years of experience in occupational health mursing and over half had had 10 or more years' experience. Table 5-13 shows the distribution of nurses with 15 years' experience. The relative positions of the industry groups are essentially the same using either 10 or 15 years as the measure of experience.

In every size workplace murses in manufacturing more often report long-term experience, although, in some cases, the variation among industry groups is small. The greatest differences occur in workplaces with less than 500 employees, where 29 percent of nurses in manufacturing but only 16 percent of those in government have long experience. This contrasts with roughly a third of the nurses in all other sized workplaces.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE AT LEAST 15 YEARS EXPERIENCE

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 500 | Manufacturing | Nonmanfacturing | Government |
| $500-999$ | 29.4 | 26.3 | 15.9 |
| $1000-2499$ | 31.8 | 29.5 | 31.4 |
| $2500-4999$ | 36.8 | 32.0 | 28.4 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 37.9 | 29.7 | 31.5 |
| $\chi_{r}^{2}=7.60 ; p=.024$ | 36.2 | 31.3 | 30.0 |

## Salary

Government nurses most often earn high salaries (Table 5-14). About one-third or more of the government nurses in each size workplace report annual salaries of at least $\$ 6,500$, contrasted with less than 20 percent of other nurses in workplaces of less than 2,500 , and between 23 and 30 percent in workplaces of 2,500 or more.

The salary differential is greatest in the smaller workplaces. In workplaces of less than 1,000 employees, government nurses at least three times as often as other nurses make $\$ 6,500$ or more. In the larger workplaces the differential is reduced to about 10 percent.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO HAVE AN ANNUAL SALARY OF $\$ \mathbf{\$}, 500$ OR MORE

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| Under 500 | 6.6 | 10.2 | 28.9 |
| 500-999 | 11.5 | 13.2 | 49.3 |
| 1000-2499 | 19.1 | 13.6 | 32.2 |
| 2500-4999 | 26.1 | 22.5 | 32.3 |
| 5000 \& over | 27.7 | 30.4 | 36.7 |
| $\chi_{r}^{2}=7.60$; |  |  |  |

The larger the workplace the higher the proportion of nurses earning high salaries. Both mamufacturing and nomanufacturing industries show a consistent increase in proportion of nurses earning $\$ 6,500$ or more, from roughly 10 percent in small workplaces to about 30 percent in the largest. The trend is less consistent for government and there is much less variation among the different sized workplaces.

On the other hand, relatively more nurses in nonmanufacturing than in other industries have low salaries (Table 5-15). In each sized workplace except the largest, nonmanufacturing exceeds manufacturing which in turn is higher than government in the proportion of nurses earning less than $\$ 4,500$ per year.

PERCENT OF NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OP A GIVEN SIZE WhO have an annual salary or less than $\$ 4,500$

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Goverament |
| Under 500 | 26.7 | 35.2 | 4.4 |
| 500-999 | 18.3 | 23.7 | 1.4 |
| 1000-2499 | 13.2 | 17.7 | 5.5 |
| 2500-4999 | 8.8 | 13.7 | 5.6 |
| 5000 \& over | 7.9 | 6.7 | 1.0 |
| $\chi_{r}^{2}=8.40 ; p$ |  |  |  |

Few government murses in any size workplace report earning less than $\$ 4,500$ annually. There is little variation among the different sized workplaces in the proportion of nurses earning low salaries, and there is no consistent decline in this proportion as the size of workplace increases. Size of workplace could, of course, be expected to have less effect in government because of standard salary schedules.

## Summary

Government nurses in this survey tended to have a more highly structured work environment. They were more likely than other nurses to work where there was more than one health unit and to be members of large nursing staffs. They tended to have more nursing supervision as well as more full-time medical direction. They also more often earned high salaries.

Nurses who worked in manufacturing industries tended to have least nursing supervision. They were least likely to have a supervisory murse in the health unit, but when there was a supervisory nurse, the ratio of staff to supervisory nurses was higher than in other industry groups. These nurses tended to be more experienced.

In general, the industry groups tended to be more similar in the larger workplaces; that is, as the size of workplace increased, the differences among industry groups with respect to the study variables decreased.

Within each industry group, there was often considerable variation by size of workplace. Least difference between large and small workplaces was found in government.

Although there are significant differences among industry groups of the same size in the characteristics of the health units and the background of the nurses working in them, the industry groups do not show consistent patterns in all sized workplaces, except with respect to the type of health unit in which the murse worked, the availability of a physician on call, and the murse's education, experience in occupational health nursing, and salary.

## PRESENT POSITION OF THE NURSE

The occupational health nurses hold various positions, most of them in health units but some in other work situations. These positions will be described below. Then the aurses who occupy the different positions, or statuses, ${ }^{1}$ will be compared with respect to their personal characteristics such as age and education, their previous work experience, and their present working conditions.

## Classification of Positions

On the basis of their answers to a series of questions, the nurses were classified by present' position as only nurse, unsupervised staff nurse, supervised staff nurse, supervisor, consultant, and visiting nurse. First, nurses who themselves gave direct health services to employees or who supervised nurses who gave direct services were separated from those who did not give direct services. Those who gave direct services then identified themselves as working in health units or working as visiting nurses. The visiting nurses were excluded from the status analysis.

The nurses who worked in health units were further classified in the following way. The nurse working in a health unit having only one nurse was labeled the only nurse. The nurse who was working in a health unit with one or more other nurses but no nurse supervisor was considered an unsupervised staff nurse. A nurse who reported having a supervisory nurse in the health unit was asked to identify herself as either 1) a staff nurse or 2) a nurse supervisor, director or charge nurse. A nurse in the second group was called a supervisor. Finally, a nurse who did not give direct health services and who reported her present position as either consultant in an official (government) agency or consultant in an unofficial (commercial or other) agency was classified as consultant.

In the sections which follow, it will be seen that the nurses differed significantly by position on all but one variable, the highest degree held.

[^6]
## Age

As a group occupational health nurses are older than other nurses, the median age of 48 being 8 years higher than the median age for all professional registered nurses. By position, the older nurses tend to be those with greater responsibility. The supervisors are oldest, the staff murses youngest, with the only nurses and consultants falling between supervisors and staff murses in age. As Table 6-1 shows, half the supervisors are 50 or over, compared with about 45 percent of consultants and only nurses, and somewhat over a third of staff nurses. The unsupervised staff murses are slightly older than the supervised.

TABLE 6-1
PRESENT POSITION BY AGE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Age in Years | Present Position |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul- Only Super-  <br> tant Staff Nurse <br> Nurse visor  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under 40 | 20.3 | 19.5 | 14.0 | 27.6 | 23.9 | 21.6 |
| 40-49 | 37.3 | 34.6 | 35.6 | 37.8 | 37.0 | 36.0 |
| 50 or over | 42.5 | 46.0 | 50.4 | 34.7 | 39.2 | 42.4 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 212 | 3639 | 1304 | 2417 | 1453 | 9025 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 18 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9043 |

$X^{2}=175.36 ; \mathrm{df}=16 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \overline{\mathrm{C}}=.16$. (Only 4.1 percent of nurses were under $30 ; 6.2$ percent were 60 and over. The full age distribution was used in computing $\chi^{2}$.)

## College Education

Consultants tend to be better educated than occupational health nurses in other positions; 20 percent of them hold college degrees. This is twice the proportion found among supervisors, and three times that of the other statuses (Table 6-2).

TABLE 6-2
PRESENT POSITION BY COLLEGE DEGREE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES


Among occupational health murses holding any college degree, there is by position the same relative order for those with graduate degrees as obtained at the baccalaureate level: about 20 percent of consultants, 12 percent of supervisors, and less than 10 percent of the other statuses. On the other hand, the proportion with associate degrees was highest among supervised staff nurses. A third of supervised staff nurses reported an associate degree, contrasted with less than a fourth of those in other positions (Table 6-3).

PRESENT POSITION BY HIGHEST DEGREE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES WITH COLLEGE DEGREES

| Highest Degree | Present Position |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul- Only Super-  <br> tant Staff Nurse <br> Nurse visor  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Associate | 23.3 | 24.0 | 20.2 | 32.4 | 19.5 | 24.6 |
| Bachelor | 58.1 | 66.8 | 68.0 | 60.6 | 74.0 | 65.9 |
| Graduate | 18.6 | 9.2 | 11.8 | 7.0 | 6.5 | 9.5 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 43 | 208 | 119 | 142 | 77 | 589 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 23 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 612 |
| $\chi^{2}=13.27 ; d f=8 ; .20>p>.10 ; \bar{C}=.18$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Annual Salary

In general, the salary data follow the usual principle in a status hierarchy that greater responsibility is accompanied by greater reward. The supervisors earn more than the staff nurses; the unsupervised staff nurses more than the supervised. The median salary for supervisors is $\$ 6,343$, over $\$ 600$ more per year than the median salaries for staff nurses. Almost half of the supervisors report an annual salary of at least $\$ 6,500$ contrasted with 20 percent of the unsupervised staff nurses and 16 percent of the supervised (Table 6-4). PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Annual Salary | Present Position |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul- Only Super-  <br> tant Staff Nurse <br> Nurse visor  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Under \$4,500 | 18.520 .8 | 4.8 | 13.6 | 12.1 | 15.1 |
| \$4,500-\$5,499 | 27.041 .3 | 20.2 | 32.3 | 30.6 | 33.8 |
| \$5,500-\$6,499 | 28.427 .5 | 29.8 | 37.7 | 37.4 | 32.2 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,500 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | 26.110 .3 | 45.3 | 16.4 | 19.9 | 18.9 |
| Total Percent | $100.0 \quad 100.0$ | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 2113608 | 1284 | 2375 | 1413 | 8891 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 152 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 9043 |
| Median Salary | \$5,658 \$5,206 \$6,343 |  | \$5,610 | \$5,694 | \$5,531 |
| $\chi^{2}=1012.60 ; \mathrm{df}=12 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \overline{\mathrm{C}}=.37$ |  |  |  |  |  |

In two respects, however, the data run counter to this trend. First, the only nurses, who work alone with no nursing supervision and often no medical direction, are least well paid of all statuses. The median salary is $\$ 5,206$. Only 10 percent earn $\$ 6,500$ a year. Twice as many make less than $\$ 4,500$. However, murses working alone are more likely to work in small industries which pay low salaries. Secondly, the consultants who could be expected to have greater responsibility than the supervisors are considerably less well paid, their median salary being less than staff murses. A fourth of them earn $\$ 6,500$, a fifth less than $\$ 4,500$. In proportion receiving low salaries they are thus comparable to only nurses. Although the data permit no certain explanation, there is some evidence that not all nurses who report themselves as consultants are actually engaged in consulting with physicians and nurses about the development of occupational health programs. Without such responsibility, salaries could be expected to be lower.

Previous Professional Positions
Nurses in all positions have had broad professional work experience. Three-fourths or more of those in each status have worked as hospital staff nurses, almost two-thirds have been private duty nurses, about half of them have been supervisory nurses in a hospital or clinic, and well over a third have previously worked as occupational health nurses (Table 6-5).

Despite underlying similarities, however, each status emerges with a particular configuration of past experience. Comparison of all statuses shows the following rank order in terms of over-all experience: consultants, only nurses, supervisors, unsupervised staff nurses, and, last, supervised staff nurses.

PRESENT POSITION BY ALL PREVIOUS PROPESSIONAL POSITIONS: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Previous <br> Professional Positions | Present Position |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul- Only Super-  <br> tant Staff Nurse <br> Nurse visor Supervised Unsupervised  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hospital or Clinic: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Nurse | 79.0 | 75.8 | 72.7 | 82.7 | 81.0 | 78.1 |
| Head or Supervisory Nurse | 55.6 | 52.7 | 54.0 | 44.5 | 46.8 | 49.8 |
| Public Health: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Nurse | 17.3 | 12.5 | 11.4 | 10.5 | 9.8 | 11.5 |
| Senior or Supervisory Nurse | 7.5 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 1.1 | 2.5 |
| Occupational Health finurse | 48.6 | 46.0 | 41.9 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 42.1 |
| Private Duty Nurse | 56.1 | 64.9 | 60.1 | 58.0 | 60.3 | 61.4 |
| Doctor's Office Nurse | 24.8 | 36.2 | 27.7 | 29.3 | 28.7 | 31.6 |
| Other | 18.7 | 14.5 | 14.6 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 14.1 |
| Total Sumber | 214 | 3640 | 1302 | 2414 | 1454 | 9024 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 19 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9043 |

$X_{r}^{2}=6.70 ; p<.05$

Consultants tend to have had the most varied background in mursing. They outrank all other positions in proportions who have held public health and occupational health positions. They have also most often worked as supervisory nurses in a hospital or clinic. Of all nurses they are least
likely to have worked as a private duty or doctor's office murse. Thus, the consultants more of ten than other nurses have had previous experience in those types of positions which best prepare them for consultation in occupational health.

The staff nurses, whether supervised or unsupervised, have had the least diversified experience, the supervised and unsupervised being virtually identical in proportions reporting each type of previous position. Compared with other statuses, the staff murses least of ten have had public health or occupational health positions and have least often held supervisory positions in a hospital or clinic. However, they outrank all other statuses in proportions who have worked as staff nurses in hospitals or clinics and are second to the only nurse in proportions reporting private duty and doctor's office mursing.

Supervisors have had more experience than staff nurses, but less than consultants in all types of positions except private duty and doctor's office nursing. Their experience in these last two positions parallels that of the staff nurses.

Only murses are similar to supervisors in hospital and in public health experience, although the only nurses have more often worked in occupational health. They exceed all other positions in proportions who have worked as private duty and doctor's office nurses, indicating perhaps some preference for working alone.

## Length of Experience

Supervisors have worked longer in occupational health than nurses in other statuses. Half of them have worked in the field for 15 or more years, three-fourths for at least 10 years (Table 6-6).

PRESENT POSITION BY TOTAL NUMBER OF YEARS NURSE HAS WORKED AS AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Total Number of Years Worked As Occupational Health Nurse | Present Position |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul- Only Super-  <br> tant $\frac{\text { Staff Nurse }}{}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 or less | 7.5 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 6.9 | 5.5 | 5.2 |
| 3-4 | 11.8 | 12.1 | 5.1 | 13.4 | 11.5 | 11.3 |
| 5-9 | 25.9 | 26.8 | 18.3 | 30.9 | 26.9 | 26.7 |
| 10-14 | 24.5 | 24.1 | 22.0 | 25.5 | 25.9 | 24.5 |
| 15 or more | 30.2 | 31.9 | 53.2 | 23.3 | 30.1 | 32.3 |
| Total Percent | 100. | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 212 | 3623 | 1302 | 2408 | 1451 | 8996 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 47 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9043 |
| $\chi^{2}=403.92 ; \mathrm{df}$ | 16; | $p<.001$ | 1; $\overline{\text { c }}$ |  |  |  |

Staff nurses least often have worked as long as 15 years in the field. A fifth of them have less than 5 years experience.

The remaining statuses--only nurse, unsupervised staff murse, and consultant-are similar in proportions with long service, though consultants rank first in proportion of nurses who have been in the field for less than 5 years. In this respect they are similar to the staff nurses.

## Number of Previous Occupational Health Positions

In all occupations there tends to be greater turnover in the lowerranking positions. In the survey data, staff nurses have more often held several previous occupational health positions than have supervisors.

The only marse is even higher in this respect. Since she was least well paid, this mobility might reflect, among other things, an attempt to improve her economic position.

Consultants seem to contradict the above principle, since, though in most occupations they normally occupy the highest status, they here show the greatest mobility. The mobility in this case may well be related to the same considerations mentioned earlier with respect to salary, i.e., that some of the consultants may be in positions which have minimal mursing responsibilities and are poorly paid.

## TABLE 6-7

PRESEETT POSITION OF NURSES WHO HAVE PREVIOUSLY WORKED AS OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES BY NUMBER OF SUCH PREVIOUS POSITIONS: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES


## Previous Work Unit

In an attempt to see whether there was continuity in the nurses' selection of type of working environment, each nurse who had come directly fro another position in occupational health nursing was asked
about the type of health unit in which she had previously worked: was it a health unit in which she was the only murse or a unit in which she worked with other nurses?

TABLE 6-8
PRESENT POSITION BY TYPE OF PREVIOUS WORK UNIT: PERCESIT DISTRIBUIIO OF NURSES WHOSE LAST PREVIOUS POSITION WAS AS AN OCCUPATIOMAL HEALTH NURSE


As shown in Table 6-8, supervisors and staff murses were more likely to have come from a health unit with several murses. On the other hand, only nurses and consultants came as often from single-
as from multi-nurse units. Consultants, however, had a sightly higher proportion of nurses coming from some "other" type of work unit.

## Sumary of Occupational Health Experience

Consultants are most likely to have had previous occupational health experience and to have held more different jobs than those in other statuses.

Only murses are next most likely to have had previous occupational health experience and to have held numerous jobs, though the length of service is average.

Although supervisors are less likely than consultants or only nurses to have previously held occupational health positions, those who have, have worked longer in the field and at fewer jobs than have those in other positions.

Staff nurses are least likely to have had previous occupational health experience. Those who have, have held more different occupational health positions than the supervisors but not so many as consultants and only murses. Of all statuses the supervised staff murse least often has long service.

## Present Working Conditions

## Type of Industry

Although three-fourths of all nurses in the survey work in manufacturing industries, the positions are not equally represented in all industry groups (Table 6-9).

PRESENT POSITION BY INDUSTRY GROUP IN WAICH EMPLOYED: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Industry Group | Present Position |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Consul tant | Only Nurse | Supervisor | Staff Nurse |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Supervised | Unsupervised |  |
| Manufacturing | 46.7 | 76.9 | 70.0 | 76.5 | 85.0 | 76.4 |
| Nonmanufac turing | 36.7 | 18.2 | 21.3 | 15.6 | 10.8 | 17.2 |
| Government | 16.6 | 4.9 | 8.7 | 7.9 | 4.2 | 6.4 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 199 | 3584 | 1280 | 2369 | 1438 | 8870 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  | 173 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  | 9043 |
| $\chi^{2}=217.23 ; \mathrm{d}$ | = 8; P | $<.001$ | ; $\overline{\mathbf{C}}=$. | 19 |  |  |

A much larger proportion of unsupervised staff murses are found in manufacturing industries, 85 percent contrasted with three-fourths or less of other statuses. Consultants are conspicuously underrepresented, with less than 50 percent working in manufacturing. On the other hand, consultants almost twice as often as other statuses are found in government and in nonmanufacturing industries, 17 percent and 37 percent, respectively.

## Medical Direction

Nurses who have the most nursing supervision are also most likely to have medical supervision. Of all the statuses, the supervised staff nurse most of ten works with a full-time physician. The only nurse, who has no nursing supervision in the health unit and is seldom supervised by a nurse outside the unit, not only is least likely to work with a full-time physician but twice as of ten as other statuses has no physician available at all (Table 6-10).

TABLE 6-10
PRESENT POSITION BY NUMBER OF HOURS PHYSICIAN IS REGULARLY PRRSENT IN WORKPLACE: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Number of Hours Physician is Regularly Present | Present Position |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Only Nurse | Staff Nurse |  |  |  |
|  |  | Supervisor | Supervised | Unsupervised |  |
| 35 or more | 6.1 | 33.0 | 43.0 | 28.3 | 23.9 |
| 20-34 | 2.1 | 6.9 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 4.7 |
| 10-19 | 4.7 | 11.5 | 9.0 | 10.2 | 7.8 |
| 5-9 | 11.2 | 16.7 | 10.8 | 13.4 | 12.3 |
| Less than 5 | 24.2 | 12.7 | 12.4 | 17.8 | 18.2 |
| None | 51.7 | 19.2 | 18.1 | 24.0 | 33.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 3613 | 1298 | 2393 | 1435 | 8739 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  | 89 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  | 8828 |
| $\chi^{2}=1892.95 ; \mathrm{df}=15 ; \mathrm{p}<.001 ; \overline{\mathrm{c}}=.48$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Summary

The nurses differed significantly by present position on all variables except highest college degree. The variables which had the highest correlations with position were the number of hours a physician was regularly present in the health unit ( $\bar{C}=.48$ ) and the nurses' annual salary ( $\bar{C}=.37$ ). The other correlations, though still significant, were of a much lower order.

In terms of selected personal characteristics and experience, supervisors were oldest, had the most years of experience as occupational health nurses and made the highest salaries. The only nurses, who were second to supervisors in age and years of experience, were
least well paid. Consultants, who were intermediate in age and ranked with the supervisors and only murses in experience, were found at the extremes of the salary scale. However, they tended to be better educated than murses in other positions. More of them had college degrees, and those with college degrees more often had graduate degrees.

The staff nurses in general had less professional experience, other than in hospital staff mursing. Of all statuses, the supervised staff nurse was youngest, had least experience in occupational health, and, with the exception of the only nurse, least often had a high salary.

Consultants were much more likely to be employed in govermment and in nonmanufacturing than were nurses in other statuses; the unsupervised staff nurses were much more often employed in manufacturing. The extent of medical direction, roughly measured by the number of hours a physician was regularly present in the health unit, varied considerably by status. The supervised staff nurses most often worked with a full-time physician, whereas the only nurse most often had no regular physician available at all.

## CHAPTER 7

## SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

## Major Findings

The occupational health murses were mature women, largely hospital trained 15 to 25 years ago, who had had nursing experience in fields other than occupational health. Nearly half of the nurses had previously worked as occupational health nurses, and a third of them reported 15 or more years experience in this field. Few had entered the field in the last 5 years. The median salary for all occupational health nurses was just over $\$ 5,500$.

The occupational health nurses varied in the above characteristics according to the positions which they held. Supervisors were oldest, had the most years of experience in occupational health, and received the highest salaries. Staff murses were youngest. Only nurses were least well paid. Consultants had the most varied background in nursing, including more frequent occupational health and public health mursing experience. They also most often had college education.

The majority of the nurses worked in the Northeast and North Central regions of the United States, in manufacturing industries, and in workplaces with 500 or more employees. Almost all worked in health units, three-fourths of them in the only health unit in their workplace.

The nurses were relatively isolated from other medical personnel. Forty percent of the nurses worked alone. Only one-fourth had fulltime medical direction.

Size of workplace proved to be a major factor influencing extent of health services. Larger workplaces more often had multiple health units, large mursing staffs, nursing supervision and medical direction, highly experienced nurses, and high salaries.

Within the same sized workplaces government more often than manufacturing or nonmanufacturing industries had the characteristics of the larger workplaces, with the exception of experience of the nurse. Manufacturing industries more often had nurses with long experience; they were least likely, however, to have nursing supervision.

As the size of workplace increased, differences among the three industry groups were reduced. Within each industry group, least variation between large and small workplaces was observed in government.

One of the continuing problems in occupational health is the lack of occupational health nursing services in the small workplaces where it is economically least feasible to provide health facilities. Unfortunately, it is in this size workplace that a large proportion of the labor force is employed.

Another serious concern is the large number of occupational health nurses who work in relative isolation: the nurses in the only nurse positions, the nurses on small staffs, and the many nurses who work without medical direction. These nurses seldom have orientation, nursing supervision, or in-service training.

With respect to nurse manpower, there are a large number of nurses presently in occupational health who have had many years of experience and who will be retiring. These nurses will need to be replaced. The manpower picture is further complicated by the fact that few nurses have entered occupational health nursing in recent years.

One of the most serious problems is the absence of special preparation for occupational health nursing. Occupational health nursing is the one area of nursing that does not have special preparation. At the present time, there are no courses being offered in occupational health nursing at either the baccalaureate or graduate levels. A nurse can enroll in many courses relevant to occupational health nursing but not in one that considers specifically the dynamics of nursing practice in occupational health. Such a course is very much needed, not at the graduate level since the majority of practicing occupational health nurses would not be eligible for it, but as part of pre-service or inservice education so that the occupational health nurse would be spared learning the intricacies of her field through trial and error.

These problems are interrelated and resolution of them will require efforts in several directions at once. Nurses will be better prepared when employers demand that they be. More training will be provided as the demand for prepared nurses increases. Higher salaries will go to those who are better qualified and who are filling the more challenging positions. As competition for better positions increases, more nurses will seek additional qualifications. Finally, as rewards (both monetary and professional) increase, more skilled nurses will be attracted to the field.

## Long-Term Trends

In the future more nurses in all specialties will be graduates of baccalaureate programs in nursing and some of these nurses will eventually enter occupational health. Whether more will have had special courses in occupational health nursing is questionable since no speciality program is now being offered. However, more will have completed
introductory courses in sociology, psychology, and other related fields, which will assist them in coping with the complexities of their unique working enviromment.

So long as preparation for occupational health nurses remains primarily a matter of experience in other fields of nursing, there is little likelihood of any substantial lowering of the median age. Although mursing students currently enrolled in baccalaureate programs now spend part of their affiliation in public health and in psychiatric facilities, very few have any introduction to occupational health as a career possibility.

The fact that many of the occupational health nurses are married will limit the extent of their involvement in further educational programs. Few of them, and for that matter few of the unmarried nurses, could be expected to interrupt their work for full-time education. Short-term intensive presentations of delimited scope seem most appropriate. Hopefully these will be made widely available in areas not too far distant from where occupational health nurses live and work. To be most effective, these programs should be organized to permit the nurses, in the shortest possible time, to acquire the skills and understanding basic to occupational health nursing practice and then to contimue to keep up to date. Master's programs in occupational health seem to be premature until there is a broader base of undergraduate education.

In-service training should increase as management and physicians become more aware of the contributions a well-prepared occupational health nurse can make, and not only demand such initial preparation but encourage further on-the-job participation in conferences and workshops.

## Research Plans

The survey of occupational health nurses was planned as the first phase of an extensive study of the field of occupational health nursing. The Division will soon begin an investigation of the factors which influence nursing practice and other aspects of the role of the occupational health nurse supervisor. This will be followed by a similar study of the role of the only nurse.

Once the roles of nurses in these two major positions have been documented, it will be possible to develop an instrument for evaluating nursing services in industry and to establish realistic standards for staffing health units.


## APPENDIX I

## QUESTIONNAIRE

Bureau of State Services

ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH

Rares to:

March 1964

If there is a mistake in your name or address, please correct it here:

Dear Occupational Health Nurse:
As one of the 17000 professional nurses working in the field of ccupational health nursing, you are asked to participate in a nationwide census conducted by the Division of Occupational Health, U. S. Public Health Service.

Despite the importance of industrial nursing, only a scanty body of information is presently available. A complete and reliable body of data on occupational health nursing in the United States is needed as a basis for further recognition and advancement of this vital field. For the first time, a questionnaire is being sent to all muses registered in this activity. Your cooperation is essential to the development of valid statistics.

You will need only a few minutes to answer the questions. If you are not presently engaged in occupational health nursing, please answer only questions 1 and 33 through 35.

The individual replies will be treated with complete confidentiality and will be used only for statistical compilation. The resultant statistics will be made available to individuals and organizations concerned with occupational health nursing through the publication of a special report. The census will be reported in professional journals.

Please return the completed questionnaire to me within two weeks. A postage-paid, self-addressed envelope is enclosed for your convenience.

Your cooperation in this project will be greatly appreciated.
Sincerely yours,


Mary Louise Brown, Chief Occupational Health Nursing Section Division of Occupational Health

## OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH (INDUSTRIAL) NURSES CENSUS

## INSTRUCTIONS: MEASE READ BEFORE ANS WERUNO

1. Answer each question that applies to you by placing an " $X$ " in the box preceding the answer that best describes you.
2. If there is 2n * after the box, please follow the instruction just below it.
3. If the question asks for a number (for example: "How many years.....?") and your answer is "NONE," please write "NONE" or " 0 " in the answer space.

1.8
4. IN THE WORKPLACE (PLANT, SHOP, STORE, ETC.) WHERE YOU ARE EMPLOYED, WHAT IS THE TOTAL NUMBER OF EMPLOYEES?uncer 100

2
100-290
$3 \square$
$250-499$

9s00-49
s
$1,000-2,49$

6$2,500-4,909$

7 s.000 OR OVIR
4. Of THE INDUSTRY-GROUPS LISTED BELOW, WHICH ONE BEST DESCRIBES YOUR COMPANY, FIRM, AGENCY, OR ORGANIZATION?

| manuracturmes mocessme and refmine Moustries |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| $01 \square$ | Automobiles, aircraft, railrood equipment, shipbuilding and related products |
| $02 \square$ | Electrical machinery, equipment and supplies |
|  | Machinery except electrical |
| $04 \square$ | All other metal manufacturing (including the smelting, monufacture or fabricating of iron and steel, aluminum, copper or other metals or products made from them) |
| os $\square$ | Petroleum refining |
|  | Rubber and plastics products |
|  | Chemicals and related products (including synthetic fibers, drugs, paints, etc.) |
| $08 \square$ | Furniture and fixtures |
|  | Logging, sawmills and wood products except fumiture |
|  | Stone, clay and glass products |
|  | Food and related products |
|  | Textile mill products (yarn, cloth, etc.) |
|  | Apparel and other fabricated iextile products |
|  | Printing, publishing and related industries |
|  | Paper and related products |
|  |  |

NON-MANUFACTURING INDUSTRIES
Construction of buildings and highways
Transpartation by land, air or water, and warehousing
Communication by telephone, telegroph, rodio and television

Electric, gas, steam, water-supply, sanitary and other utilities

Wholesale trade
Retail trade, including department stores and restaurants
Banking, investment, insurance and real estate Hospitals
Hotels and other lodging places
Motion picture production, theaters and other entertainment and recreation services
Government agencies, Federal, State or local
An industry not listed above: (Please specify)
$\qquad$CONSULTANT IN AN UNOPFICIAL (COMMERCIAL OR OTNER) ORGAMEATION
OTwir (Describe)

If 'consultant' " or "other' please skip to question 18, page 3.

Questions 6 through 17 are about the health unit in which you work.
6. IS THE HEALTH UNIT IN WHICH YOU ARE EMPLOYED:

2 THE CMIER MEALTH UNHT IN YOUR WORKPLACE, WITH ONEOR MONE SATELITE OR SUESTATION UWITS STAFFED ET AT LLAST ONE MURSE ELSEWMERE W THE WORKMACEP

A SATELITE OR SUESTATION UNTT, WITM THE CHIEP MEALTH UNIT ELSTWMERE IN THE WORKPLACEP

4 ORE OF TWO OR MORE MEALTM UNTTS W YOUR WORKPLACE, MeItmen (or mone) OF WHICH IS THE CHIEF UNITP

5NONE Of THE ABOVE (Please explain)
$\qquad$
cavo WHO GIVE, DIRECT HEALTH SERVICES TO EMPLOYEES?
, $\square$ - ves
a. " If "YES," do you work:

3 - ONLY AS A VISITINE NURSEP * If only as a visiting nurse please skip to question 18, page 3.
s * mo
b. " If "NO," which of the following best describes your present position?
CONSULTANT IN AN OPTCIAL (OOVERMMENTAL) AOENCY
7. IN THE HEALTH UNIT IN WhICH YOU ARE EMPLOYED, HOW MANY NURSES ARE THERE ALL TOGETHER, COUNTING YOURSELF, AND INCLUD. ING NURSE SUPERVISORS, DIRECTORS, AND CHARGE NURSES?
a. If you are the only nurse, is your work supervised or directed by a nurse outside of your health unit?res
${ }_{2}$ mo

If you are the only nurse in your health unit-skip to question 10.
8. IS THERE A NURSE SUPERVISOR, DIRECTOR, OR CHARGE NURSE IN YOUR HEALTH UNIT?
, $\square$
res
$\square$ - ${ }^{\infty}$
a. " If "NO," is the work of the nurses in the unit supervised or directed by a nurse outside the unit?
,res

2 mo
If there is no nurse supervisor, director, or charge nurse in the unit-skip to question 10.
9. Which one of the following best describes YOUR POSITION?stapr murse

- $\square$ - muses sumanisor, onesctor, or chanor munge a. - If supervisor, director or charge nurse, of how many nurses are you directly or indirectly in charge?onerwo-ave
3 sax-min

4 mORE THAN TEN
10. TO HOW MANY EMPLOYEES ARE HEALTH SERVICES AVAILABLE FROM THE HEALTH UNIT IN WHICH YOU ARE EMPLOYED? (THIS MAY be less than the total in the workplace.)


\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline cand
coium

\(\mathbf{2 2}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
11. HOW MANY HOURS IS YOUR REGULAR WORK WEEK IN YOUR PRESENT POSITION? \\
\(1 \square\)
41 OR MORE \\
2 35-40 \\
3 20-34 \\
4 \(\square\) Less than 20
\end{tabular} \& \({ }_{\substack{\text { caseo } \\ \text { cownen } \\ \\ \\ 28}}\) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
16. TO WHOM IS YOUR HEALTH UNIT RESPONSIBLE FOR NON-MEDICAL ADMINISTRATIVE MATTERS?

A MEMEER OF THE MERSONNEL, OR EMPLOYEE RELATIONS, OR INOUSTRIAL RELATIONS DEPARTMENT

A memaer of the safety department <br>
3 SOME OTHER PLBSON: WHAT IS MIS ROSNTION?
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \multirow{3}{*}{23} \& \multirow[t]{3}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
12. DURING HOW MANY HOURS OF THE WEEK WHILE YOU ARE ON DUTY IS A PHYSICIAN REGULARLY PRESENT AT YOUR WORKPLACE?

3S OR MORE <br>
2 $\square$ 20-34 <br>
3 $\square$ 10-19 <br>
4 $\square$ 5-9 <br>
5 $\square$ LuSS THAN S EUT SOME TME <br>

- $\square$ not at all

} \& 29 \& 

17. IS THAT PERSON A MEMBER OF TOP MANAGEMENT (AT THE POLICY-MAKING LEVEL)? <br>
1 $\square$ ris <br>
2 $\square$ mo
\end{tabular} <br>

\hline \& \& \multirow{3}{*}{30} \& Questions 18 through 23 are about your education and training. <br>

\hline \& \& \& | 18. DO YOU HOLD A DEGREE FROM A COLLEGE OR UNIVERSITY? |
| :--- |
| $1 \square$ res |
| 2 $\square$ - No |
| * If "NO," skip to question 21. | <br>

\hline 24 \& $$
2 \square \text { ко }
$$ \& \& 19 WHAT IS THE HIGHEST DEGREE YOU HOLD?

$\square$ associate <br>

\hline \multirow[b]{2}{*}{25} \& | 14. DO YOU HAVE WRITTEN STANDING ORDERS (MEDICAL DIRECTIVES)? |
| :--- |
| 1 $\square$ No |
| 2 $\square$ * yes | \& \multirow[t]{2}{*}{31} \& \[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& { }_{2} \square \text { eachelor's } \\
& { }_{3} \square \text { master's } \\
& { }_{4} \square \text { doctor's }
\end{aligned}
$$
\] <br>

\hline \& physician?

\[
$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \square \text { res } \\
& 2 \square \text { no }
\end{aligned}
$$

\] \& \& | 20. WAS YOUR BASIC NURSING EDUCATION OBTAINED IN: |
| :--- |
| a 2-yEAR JUNIOR COLLEGE OR OTMER ASSOCIATE DEGRE PROGRAMP | <br>


\hline 27 \& | 15. DOES YOUR HEALTH UNIT HAVE A NURSING POLICY AND PROCEDURES MANUAL? |
| :--- |
| 1 $\square$ res |
| 2 $\square$ No | \& \& | $\square$ A 3-yEAR HOSPITAL DIPLOMA PROGRAMP |
| :--- |
| 3 $\square$ A 4- OR 5-yEAR COLLEE BACMELOR'S OR MASTER'S DGGRER PROGRAMT | <br>

\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { PHS-T267 (PAGE 3) } \\
& 2-64
\end{aligned}
$$} \& \& <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}




If YOU WISH TO EXPRESS YOUR VIEWS ON THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS, WE SHALL WELCOME THEM.
a. How did you happen to become an occupational health (industrial) nurse?
b. What do you feel are the best things about occupational health (industrial) nursing?
c. What do you feel are the worst things about occupational health (industrial) nursing?

Thank you very much for your help. Please be sure to return this form in the enclosed envelope to:

Miss Mary Louise Brown<br>Division of Occupational Health, PHS<br>P.O. Box 928<br>Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19105

Digitized by GOOgle
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## APPERDIX II

## INTERPRETATION OP THE REPORT

This section will describe in more detail the way in which the data were handled, what the statistics mean, and how to read the tables in the report.

## The Variables

To understand how the data were handled, the reader should look first at the questionnaire reproduced in Appendix I. There are 35 numbered questions. Each of these questions constitutes a variable. For example, look at question 3, "In the workplace where you are employed, what is the total number of employees?" The total number of employees in the nurse's,workplace is one variable in the study. Beneath this third question are seven possible answers referring to seven different sized workplaces. Each of these seven items may be considered a category or subgroup of the variable, size of workplace. By sorting the nurses according to their answers to this question, they can be divided into seven different groups: the first group would be those who are employed in workplaces of under 100 employees, the last group, those who are in workplaces of 5,000 or more employees. Chapter 3 considers nurses according to these groupings.

## Crosstabulations

After the nurses are similarly classified on each variable, they can be clasified on more than one variable at a time. This process is called crosstabulation. For example, the table presented below shows the crosstabulation of size of workplace and type of industry group in which the nurse worked. For each of the size groupings, the table presents the percent of nurses who work in a given industry group.

SIZE OF WORKPLACE BY TYPE OF INDUSTRY GROUP: PERCENT DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES

| Type of Industry Group | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Under } \\ & 250 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5000 \& \\ & \text { over } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Manufacturing | 71.9 | 83.1 | 77.0 | 75.9 | 73.2 | 76.3 | 76.6 |
| Nonmanufacturing | 25.0 | 14.8 | 19.3 | 17.7 | 17.3 | 14.5 | 17.3 |
| Government | 3.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 6.4 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 6.1 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Number | 549 | 1349 | 1952 | 2339 | 1320 | 2201 | 9710 |
| No Answer |  |  |  |  |  |  | 315 |
| Grand Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10025 |
| $\chi^{2}=170.60 ; \mathrm{df}$ | 10; | $<.00$ |  |  |  |  |  |

## Contingency Tables

A table such as the one above which presents multiple classification is called a contingency table. In this particular table the nurses are classified by two criteria: the size of workplace and the type of industry group in which they work. This is a $3 \times 6$ contingency table, type of industfy having three mutually exclusive categories and size of workplace six. This size table yields 18 distinct classifications called "cells." Tables showing classifications by two variables appear in Chapters 3, 4, and 6.

A contingency table permits investigation of possible relationships between variables, that is, whether one variable is contingent upon another. If there is a relationship between the variables, the cases as classified on one variable will be differentially distributed among the categories of the other variable. If on the other hand, there is no relationship between the variables, that is, if they are independent of each other, the cases in each category of one variable will be distributed in approximately the same proportions on the other variable.

[^7]By examining the percentaged contingency table one can make these comparisons. For example, the "Total" column of the above table indicates that 77 percent of nurses work in mamfacturing, 17 percent in nonmanfacturing, and 6 percent in government. Compare the percents in each row. If there is no association between the variables, about 77 percent of nurses in each size workplace will work in manufacturing, 17 percent in nonmafacturing, and 6 percent in government. It is apparent that there are some variations; for example, not 17 percent but 25 percent of nurses in workplaces with under 250 employees work in nonmanufacturing industries, and only 3 percent of government nurses work in small workplaces whereas 9 percent are employed in workplaces with 2,500 or more employees. Whether these are more than chance variations is determined by a statistical test called chi square, which will be discussed in a later section.

A three-way contingency table is obtained when the nurses are further classified according to a third criterion. This is the kind of table which appears in Chapter 5 where the murses are classified according to size of workplace, type of industry group, and then in each successive table are further classified by one of the other study variables. For example, the table reproduced below gives the percent of murses from each type of industry group of a given size who are employed in the only health unit in their workplace.

PERCENT OR NURSES FROM EACH TYPE INDUSTRY GROUP OF A GIVEN SIZE WHO WORK IN THE ONLY HBALTH UNIT IN THE WORKPLACE

| Number of <br> Employees <br> in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |,

$x_{r}^{2}=5.20 ; p=.093$

Each cell entry in this and succeeding tables in Chapter 5 is read in the following manner: $\qquad$ percent of nurses in $\qquad$ industries of
$\qquad$ employees have whatever characteristic is specified in the table
title. For example, using the first cell entry, 95.4 percent of nurses in manufacturing industries of under 500 employees work in the only health unit in the workplace.

## Statistics Used ${ }^{2}$

## Chi Square

Chi square ( $X^{2}$ ) is used to test whether a significant difference exists between the observed distribution of cases in a contingency table and the distribution one would expect to get by chance if there were no association between the variables. Chi square indicates only that a difference exists; it does not indicate the direction of the difference or the extent of association between variables. When there is a significant difference, the variables are said to be related. The significance of a given chi square depends upon the number of degrees of freedom (df) in the data from which it was computed. In this report the differences are considered statistically significant if the significance level reported on the table is . 05 or less.

## Contingency Coefficient

The contingency coefficient (C), which is computed from chi square, is a measure of the extent of association between two variables. The greater the association between variables, the higher the value of $C$. When there is no association between variables, the coefficient equals zero. However, even when the variables are completely dependent upon each other, the coefficient cannot attain unity, or 1. For this reason, it is not directly comparable to any other measure of correlation. The upper limit of the coefficient depends upon the mumber of cells in the table on which it is based, and the coefficients are therefore comparable only when based on tables of the same size. The statistic used in this report is the corrected contingency coefficient (C), so named because it employs a correction factor for the number of cells, thereby making the coefficients comparable when based on different sized tables.

## Friedman Two-Way Analysis of Variance by Ranks

The Friedman two-way analysis of variance by ranks ( $\chi_{r}^{2}$ ) tests whether groups differ significantly in relative position as indicated by their ranking on a given variable. If there were no difference among the groups, the distribution of ranks would be a matter of chance and the total rank for each group would be approximately the same. If the groups differed, then the total ranks of the groups would vary. The greater the variation, the higher the value of $\chi_{r}^{2}$. The variation is considered statistically significant if the probability is .05 or less.
${ }^{2}$ For a more complete discussion, see Sidney Siegel, Nonparametric Statistics for the Behavioral Sciences, New York: McGraw-Hill, 1956.

## Significance Level

The significance level indicates the probability that any reported phenomenon (for example, the distribution of nurses in a crosstabulation) is a chance occurrence. The probability is reported as the number of times in a hundred or a thousand that one could expect a given event to occur by chance alone. Sometimes the probability is expressed as an exact probability: for example, $p=.02$, which would mean that the event could be expected to occur by chance two times in a hundred. Sometimes a range is given: $p<.05$, meaning that the event could be expected to occur by chance less than five times in a hundred; or . 01 > $p>.001$, indicating that the event could be expected by chance less than once in a hundred times but more than once in a thousand. Whatever the number happens to be, it is interpreted in the same way, with " p " standing for probability, the symbol < read "less than" and > "greater than." In this report any result which has a probability of .05 or less is considered to be statistically significant.

## APPENDIX III

## DISTRIBUTION OF NURSES BY REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVI8ION, AND STATE
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TABLE 1. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE BY CHARACTERISTICS OF WORKPLACE AND SALARY

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | Type of <br> Industry Group |  |  | Number of Employees <br> in Workplace |  | Annual <br> Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mfg. | Normfg | Govt. | $\begin{gathered} \text { ess Than } \\ 500 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 4500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & \$ 6500 \end{aligned}$ |
| NORTHEAST | 75.7 | 20.0 | 4.3 | 21.6 | 31.0 | 16.0 | 17.5 |
| New England | 82.3 | 14.8 | 2.8 | 26.8 | 22.8 | 24.4 | 9.1 |
| Connecticut | 79.9 | 16.7 | 3.4 | 24.0 | 29.5 | 14.3 | 14.0 |
| Maine | 100.0 | - | - | 32.7 | 22.4 | 50.0 | 3.4 |
| Massachusetts | 78.7 | 17.6 | 3.6 | 25.6 | 23.7 | 24.9 | 7.3 |
| Rhode Island | 84.9 | 15.1 | - | 28.3 | 1.9 | 26.4 | 5.7 |
| Other | 100.0 | - | - | 42.3 | - | 48.1 | 3.8 |
| New Hampshire | (34)* | - | - | (15) | - | (19) | - |
| Vermont | (16) | - | - | (7) | - | (6) | (2) |
| Middle Atlantic | 73.4 | 21.8 | 4.8 | 19.8 | 33.8 | 13.1 | 20.4 |
| New Jersey | 79.2 | 16.2 | 4.6 | 26.3 | 25.5 | 9.9 | 19.7 |
| New York | 64.1 | 31.4 | 4.6 | 15.9 | 38.0 | 10.8 | 26.4 |
| Pennsylvania | 81.9 | 12.7 | 5.3 | 20.8 | 33.6 | 18.2 | 13.1 |
| SOUTH | 72.4 | 15.3 | 12.3 | 19.9 | 32.0 | 22.6 | 17.1 |
| South Atlantic | 71.2 | 16.3 | 12.5 | 17.2 | 35.1 | 25.1 | 14.9 |
| Dist. of Columbia | 10.1 | 29.2 | 60.7 | 5.6 | 58.5 | 7.8 | 42.2 |
| Florida | 46.2 | 38.7 | 15.1 | 19.4 | 37.6 | 16.8 | 12.6 |
| Georgia | 75.9 | 16.1 | 8.0 | 21.9 | 27.8 | 23.0 | 8.9 |
| Maryland | 62.0 | 19.3 | 18.7 | 17.0 | 40.9 | 15.1 | 20.5 |
| North Carolina | 90.1 | 9.2 | 0.7 | 17.8 | 32.2 | 42.0 | 0.7 |
| South Carolina | 87.9 | 4.8 | 7.3 | 17.7 | 17.8 | 57.1 | 8.9 |
| Virginia | 74.2 | 15.8 | 10.0 | 17.8 | 35.6 | 27.8 | 11.2 |
| West Virginia | 94.4 | 4.8 | 0.8 | 15.2 | 40.0 | 10.7 | 22.3 |
| Other Delaware | (34) | (10) | - | (9) | (13) | (5) | (9) |
| East South Central | 78.1 | 8.1 | 13.7 | 23.2 | 28.5 | 22.7 | 15.5 |
| Alabama | 78.4 | 11.2 | 10.3 | 23.3 | 28.5 | 23.0 | 14.2 |
| Kentucky | 78.9 | 5.5 | 15.6 | 17.3 | 30.0 | 20.7 | 18.7 |
| Tennessee | 74.7 | 9.0 | 16.3 | 23.3 | 29.2 | 18.7 | 17.5 |
| Other <br> Mississippi | (35) | (1) | (3) | (16) | (9) | (18) | (1) |
| West South Central | 69.6 | 20.2 | 10.2 | 24.1 | 27.1 | 15.3 | 25.2 |
| Louisiana | 71.6 | 22.1 | 6.3 | 25.7 | 19.6 | 17.9 | 21.1 |
| Texas | 68.0 | 23.2 | 8.8 | 23.7 | 28.1 | 13.9 | 28.7 |
| Other | 72.1 | 7.4 | 20.6 | 22.9 | 34.3 | 16.4 | 19.4 |
| Arkansas | (22) | (1) | (2) | (7) | (4) | (5) | (4) |
| Oklahoma | (27) | (4) | (12) | (9) | (20) | (6) | (9) |

*Numbers rather than percentages are given whenever the total number of respondents for the State was less than 50.

TABLE 1. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE BY CHARACTERISTICS OF WORKPLACE AND SALARY--Continued

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | Type of <br> Industry Group |  |  | Number of Employees <br> in Workplace |  | Annual Salary |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mfg. | Nonmf | Govt. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ess Than } \\ & 500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Under } \\ & \$ 4500 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Over } \\ & \$ 6500 \end{aligned}$ |
| NORTH CENTRAL | 84.0 | 12.8 | 3.2 | 19.0 | 35.6 | 12.1 | 18.5 |
| East North Central | 85.9 | 11.4 | 2.7 | 19.0 | 36.5 | 11.7 | 19.2 |
| Illinois | 76.5 | 19.4 | 4.1 | 20.1 | 32.7 | 11.9 | 19.9 |
| Indiana | 90.9 | 6.8 | 2.3 | 18.4 | 39.1 | 10.3 | 19.4 |
| Michigan | 89.8 | 8.5 | 1.7 | 14.4 | 53.2 | 7.3 | 27.4 |
| Ohio | 87.2 | 9.3 | 3.5 | 20.8 | 29.6 | 11.9 | 16.4 |
| Wisconsin | 88.8 | 10.6 | 0.6 | 20.8 | 29.5 | 19.1 | 11.0 |
| West North Central | 74.0 | 20.1 | 6.0 | 19.0 | 30.4 | 14.5 | 14.9 |
| Iowa | 88.0 | 5.3 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 29.4 | 10.5 | 10.5 |
| Kansas | 90.0 | 10.0 | - | 9.8 | 41.2 | 9.8 | 23.5 |
| Minnesota | 69.4 | 26.1 | 4.5 | 21.1 | 25.8 | 14.6 | 10.2 |
| Missouri | 70.6 | 20.9 | 8.5 | 21.1 | 33.3 | 17.7 | 17.7 |
| Other | (26) | (15) | (2) | (13) | (9) | (5) | (6) |
| Nebraska | (25) | (14) | (2) | (12) | (9) | (4) | (6) |
| North Dakota |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| South Dakota | (1) | (1) | - | (1) | - | (1) | - |
| WEST | 65.2 | 25.8 | 9.0 | 21.0 | 40.9 | 9.4 | 27.2 |
| Mountain | 54.0 | 27.3 | 18.7 | 20.7 | 47.0 | 11.6 | 16.7 |
| Colorado | 51.9 | 32.9 | 15.2 | 17.8 | 49.4 | 13.9 | 13.9 |
| Other | 55.5 | 23.5 | 21.0 | 22.7 | 45.3 | 10.1 | 18.5 |
| Arizona | (20) | (7) | (2) | (7) | (11) | (1) | (5) |
| Idaho | (5) | (7) | (4) | (3) | (6) | (2) | (3) |
| Montana | (2) | (1) | - | (1) | - | (1) | (1) |
| Nevada | (3) | (1) | (1) | (3) | (1) | (1) | (2) |
| New Mexico | (6) | (2) | (11) | (1) | (13) | (2) | (6) |
| Wyoming | (7) | (3) | - | (7) | (1) | (2) | - |
| Utah | (23) | (7) | (7) | (5) | (22) | (3) | (5) |
| Pacific | 67.6 | 25.5 | 7.0 | 21.1 | 39.6 | 8.9 | 29.4 |
| California | 68.0 | 25.3 | 6.7 | 21.1 | 38.8 | 7.0 | 31.2 |
| Washington | 71.2 | 18.3 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 56.9 | 14.0 | 26.2 |
| Other | 58.8 | 36.3 | 5.0 | 30.9 | 24.7 | 20.0 | 16.3 |
| Alaska | (1) | (2) | - | (2) | - | (1) | (1) |
| Hawaii | (18) | (17) | (1) | (14) | (10) | (7) | (7) |
| Oregon | (28) | (10) | (3) | (9) | (10) | (8) | (5) |
| TOTAL U.S. | 76.6 | 17.2 | 6.1 | 20.2 | 33.9 | 15.2 | 19.0 |
| No Answer | 69.1 | 24.0 | 6.9 | 21.2 | 30.4 | 18.3 | 17.1 |

TABLE 2. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE by STructure of health unit in which nurse is employed

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | Hours $\qquad$ <br> None | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ician } \\ & \text { nt } \\ & \hline 35 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physician } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Call } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Written Standing Orders | Policy \& Procedure Manual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTHEAST | 25.8 | 23.5 | 94.2 | 78.4 | 76.8 |
| New England | 28.5 | 18.0 | 96.8 | 83.5 | 77.0 |
| Connecticut | 29.2 | 23.4 | 97.9 | 89.7 | 78.7 |
| Maine | 37.0 | 5.6 | 94.4 | 69.8 | 69.8 |
| Massachusetts | 22.9 | 20.3 | 96.1 | 80.6 | 78.6 |
| Rhode Island | (20)* | - | 96.1 | 92.2 | 76.0 |
| Other | 42.0 | 2.0 | 98.0 | 74.0 | (32) |
| New Hampshire | (17) | - | (35) | (27) | (24) |
| Vermont | (4) | (1) | (14) | (10) | (8) |
| Middle Atlantic | 24.8 | 25.4 | 93.3 | 76.6 | 76.7 |
| New Jersey | 29.1 | 25.5 | 96.8 | 72.4 | 74.5 |
| New York | 20.6 | 28.2 | 89.6 | 81.5 | 80.9 |
| Pennsylvania | 27.7 | 21.8 | 95.7 | 72.6 | 72.5 |
| SOUTH | 35.6 | 26.3 | 96.8 | 76.3 | 75.1 |
| South Atlantic | 32.5 | 25.6 | 96.2 | 74.2 | 73.8 |
| Dist. of Columbia | 32.2 | 34.4 | 86.3 | 70.5 | 70.8 |
| Florida | 42.5 | 24.1 | 98.8 | 77.9 | 78.8 |
| Georgia | 36.8 | 12.8 | 97.0 | 83.2 | 77.6 |
| Maryland | 23.5 | 30.1 | 93.8 | 84.9 | 82.1 |
| North Carolina | 42.0 | 24.0 | 97.3 | 68.2 | 72.2 |
| South Carolina | 44.8 | 18.1 | 98.3 | 66.9 | 70.1 |
| Virginia | 30.8 | 26.2 | 97.7 | 65.3 | 66.3 |
| West Virginia | 16.0 | 31.9 | 95.8 | 83.5 | 77.5 |
| Other Delaware | (7) | (17) | (37) | (24) | (25) |
| East South Central | 43.8 | 26.1 | 98.5 | 83.7 | 80.2 |
| Alabama | 47.8 | 22.1 | 98.2 | 75.9 | 78.9 |
| Kentucky | 40.0 | 30.5 | 99.0 | 82.8 | 69.0 |
| Tennessee | 35.5 | 31.9 | 98.1 | 88.5 | 88.8 |
| Other Mississippi | (31) | (1) | (41) | (35) | (31) |
| West South Central | 35.1 | 28.4 | 96.7 | 74.1 | 73.1 |
| Louisiana | 36.3 | 20.9 | 94.5 | 70.1 | 67.8 |
| Texas | 33.8 | 29.1 | 97.6 | 74.4 | 76.6 |
| Other | 37.7 | 36.2 | 96.9 | 78.3 | 69.7 |
| Arkansas | (12) | (3) | (22) | (20) | (15) |
| Oklahoma | (14) | (22) | (41) | (34) | (31) |

[^8]TABLE 2. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE bY STRUCTURE OF HEALTH UNIT IN WHICH NURSE IS EMPLOYED--Continued

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | Hours Physician is Present |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Physician } \\ \text { on } \\ \text { Call } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Written Standing Orders | Policy \& Procedure Manual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \text { or } \\ & \text { more } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| NORTH CENTRAL | 37.0 | 21.0 | 96.0 | 77.8 | 76.6 |
| East North Central | 37.0 | 21.4 | 96.0 | 78.4 | 76.9 |
| Illinois | 42.1 | 19.0 | 97.9 | 80.0 | 78.1 |
| Indiana | 36.8 | 23.9 | 98.8 | 71.1 | 74.0 |
| Michigan | 33.2 | 37.0 | 95.7 | 78.5 | 78.5 |
| Ohio | 29.0 | 16.4 | 96.4 | 77.5 | 72.2 |
| Wisconsin | 52.2 | 9.6 | 89.3 | 84.9 | 85.5 |
| West North Central | 37.2 | 18.7 | 96.3 | 74.5 | 75.0 |
| Iowa | 43.8 | 19.2 | 97.2 | 70.8 | 64.8 |
| Kansas | 23.5 | 27.5 | 100.0 | 70.0 | 76.0 |
| Minnesota | 41.5 | 12.3 | 94.6 | 72.3 | 79.1 |
| Missouri | 38.5 | 20.5 | 95.2 | 82.8 | 78.6 |
| Other | (10) | (8) | (41) | (23) | (26) |
| Nebraska | (8) | (8) | (39) | (23) | (24) |
| North Dakota | - | - | - | - | - |
| South Dakota | (2) | - | (2) | - | (2) |
| WEST | 35.4 | 29.5 | 94.9 | 80.3 | 79.7 |
| Mountain | 32.0 | 31.4 | 95.2 | 75.1 | 73.6 |
| Colorado | 27.3 | 39.0 | 96.1 | 75.3 | 77.9 |
| Other | 35.0 | 26.5 | 94.6 | 75.0 | 70.7 |
| Arizona | (19) | - | (28) | (23) | (22) |
| Idaho | (6) | (2) | (15) | (13) | (12) |
| Montana | (1) | (1) | (3) | - | - |
| Nevada | (1) | (1) | (3) | (3) | (3) |
| New Mexico | (4) | (11) | (15) | (14) | (11) |
| Wyoming | (3) | - | (8) | (5) | (5) |
| Utah | (7) | (16) | (33) | (29) | (29) |
| Pacific | 36.1 | 29.1 | 94.9 | 81.4 | 81.0 |
| California | 37.6 | 29.3 | 96.4 | 81.4 | 81.0 |
| Washington | 16.2 | 37.1 | 85.6 | 92.4 | 91.5 |
| Other | 50.0 | 15.3 | 93.0 | 65.3 | 64.8 |
| Alaska | (1) | (2) | (3) | (1) | - |
| Hawaii | (8) | (5) | (31) | (23) | (24) |
| Oregon | (27) | (4) | (32) | (23) | (22) |
| TOTAL U.S. | 32.8 | 23.9 | 95.4 | 78.0 | 76.7 |
| No Answer | 38.5 | 19.4 | 94.8 | 80.5 | 79.3 |

TABLE 3. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE BY EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | College Degree | College Course in Occupatinnal Health Nursing | Year of Graduation <br> from Basic Nursing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTHEAST | 6.4 | 19.7 | 16.9 | 11.3 |
| New England | 5.0 | 20.7 | 18.6 | 7.0 |
| Connecticut | 6.1 | 15.2 | 22.0 | 7.5 |
| Maine | 1.8 | 9.3 | 20.7 | 5.2 |
| Massachusetts | 5.3 | 22.1 | 17.8 | 7.5 |
| Rhode Island | 5.8 | 37.0 | 13.2 | 3.8 |
| Other | - | 36.5 | 7.8 | 5.9 |
| New Hampshire | - | (18)* | (3) | (3) |
| Vermont | - | (1) | (1) | - |
| Middle Atlantic | 6.9 | 19.4 | 16.3 | 12.8 |
| New Jersey | 8.4 | 23.9 | 8.8 | 12.4 |
| New York | 7.9 | 21.1 | 19.5 | 11.6 |
| Pennsylvania | 4.6 | 14.4 | 16.8 | 14.7 |
| SOUTH | 5.3 | 8.8 | 11.0 | 16.1 |
| South Atlantic | 5.3 | 8.2 | 11.8 | 18.8 |
| Dist. of Columbia | 4.4 | 19.6 | 23.7 | 7.5 |
| Florida | 5.3 | 7.6 | 9.5 | 14.7 |
| Georgia | 3.0 | 6.7 | 13.9 | 13.1 |
| Maryland | 8.5 | 14.1 | 11.4 | 18.1 |
| North Carolina | 4.0 | 5.9 | 11.0 | 28.6 |
| South Carolina | 5.7 | 3.3 | 8.2 | 23.0 |
| Virginia | 5.8 | 3.7 | 8.4 | 16.8 |
| West Virginia | 4.9 | 6.6 | 10.7 | 25.6 |
| Other Delaware | (2) | (6) | (8) | (8) |
| East South Central | 3.5 | 9.8 | 10.9 | 12.2 |
| Alabama | 1.8 | 8.0 | 13.3 | 6.2 |
| Kentucky | 1.9 | 5.5 | 11.0 | 13.8 |
| Tennessee | 5.8 | 16.6 | 8.3 | 14.2 |
| Other <br> Mississippi | (1) | - | (6) | (7) |
| West South Central | 7.3 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 12.7 |
| Louisiana | 5.2 | 6.5 | 6.3 | 17.7 |
| Texas | 7.9 | 11.8 | 8.7 | 11.3 |
| Other | 8.7 | 4.5 | 13.0 | 10.1 |
| Arkansas | (2) | - | - | (3) |
| Oklahoma | (4) | (3) | (9) | (4) |

[^9]TABLE 3. PERCENT OF NURSES IN EACH REGION, GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION, AND STATE BY EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND--Continued

| Region, Geographic Division, and State | College Degree | College Course in Occupational Health Nursing | Year of Graduation from Basic Nursing |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH CENTRAL | 6.5 | 15.6 | 16.2 | 10.9 |
| East North Central | 6.2 | 16.0 | 16.1 | 9.9 |
| Illinois | 6.6 | 24.7 | 15.6 | 8.3 |
| Indiana | 5.4 | 11.1 | 13.2 | 12.1 |
| Michigan | 8.0 | 10.8 | 15.9 | 10.5 |
| Ohio | 5.2 | 9.9 | 16.4 | 9.7 |
| Wisconsin | 5.3 | 26.3 | 19.8 | 10.3 |
| West North Central | 8.1 | 13.3 | 16.8 | 16.2 |
| Iowa | 5.3 | 7.7 | 22.1 | 13.0 |
| Kansas | 7.8 | (3) | (7) | (6) |
| Minnesota | 10.4 | 28.9 | 16.9 | 19.1 |
| Missouri | 7.5 | 8.5 | 16.8 | 13.9 |
| Other | (4) | (2) | (4) | (12) |
| Nebraska | (4) | (1) | (4) | (11) |
| North Dakota | - | (1) | ( | (11) |
| South Dakota | - | (1) | - | (1) |
| WEST | 10.2 | 25.5 | 16.1 | 10.2 |
| Mountain | 10.6 | 8.5 | 9.1 | 12.1 |
| Colorado | 15.4 | 13.9 | 10.3 | 11.5 |
| Other | 7.4 | 5.0 | 8.3 | 12.5 |
| Arizona | (1) | (2) | (4) | (3) |
| Idaho | - | (2) | - | (2) |
| Montana | - | - | - | (1) |
| Nevada | (1) | (1) | - | (2) |
| New Mexico | (1) | (1) | (3) | (2) |
| Wyoming | (3) | - | (1) | (1) |
| Utah | (3) | - | (2) | (6) |
| Pacific | 10.1 | 29.1 | 17.6 | 9.8 |
| California | 9.9 | 32.3 | 16.6 | 10.1 |
| Washington | 12.1 | 17.1 | 18.3 | 9.2 |
| Other | 8.5 | 14.8 | 25.6 | 7.3 |
| Alaska | (1) | - | - | - |
| Hawaii | (3) | (7) | (8) | (4) |
| Oregon | (3) | (5) | (13) | (2) |
| TOTAL U.S. | 6.7 | 16.8 | 15.4 | 12.0 |
| No Answer | 8.9 | 19.1 | 22.8 | 7.1 |

table 4. base number of nurses in each region, geographic division, and state

| Region, Geographic Division, and State |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTHEAST | 3169 | 3198 | 3170 | 3055 | 2952 | 3019 | 2984 | 3178 | 3141 | 3187 |
| New England | 810 | 817 | 810 | 789 | 772 | 784 | 765 | 813 | 796 | 816 |
| Connecticut | 293 | 295 | 293 | 291 | 287 | 290 | 286 | 295 | 283 | 295 |
| Maine | 57 | 58 | 58 | 54 | 54 | 53 | 53 | 57 | 54 | 58 |
| Massachusetts | 357 | 359 | 354 | 345 | 330 | 340 | 327 | 358 | 353 | 359 |
| Rhode Island | 53 | 53 | 53 | 49 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 52 | 54 | 53 |
| Other | 50 | 52 | 52 | 50 | 50 | 50 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 51 |
| New Hampshire | 34 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 35 | 34 | 35 | 34 |
| Vermont | 16 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 17 | 17 |
| Middle Atlantic | 2359 | 2381 | 2360 | 2266 | 2180 | 2235 | 2219 | 2365 | 2345 | 2371 |
| New Jersey | 499 | 505 | 503 | 475 | 470 | 467 | 463 | 502 | 498 | 499 |
| New York | 1052 | 1067 | 1055 | 1011 | 951 | 1002 | 992 | 1060 | 1054 | 1061 |
| Pennsylvania | 808 | 809 | 802 | 780 | 759 | 766 | 764 | 803 | 793 | 811 |
| SOUTH | 1940 | 1950 | 1915 | 1859 | 1815 | 1844 | 1810 | 1944 | 1923 | 1953 |
| South Atlantic | 1119 | 1120 | 1098 | 1061 | 1040 | 1061 | 1039 | 1115 | 1107 | 1125 |
| Dist. of Columbia | 89 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 80 | 88 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 93 |
| Florida | 93 | 93 | 95 | 87 | 86 | 86 | 85 | 95 | 92 | 95 |
| Georgia | 137 | 137 | 135 | 133 | 134 | 131 | 125 | 133 | 134 | 137 |
| Maryland | 166 | 164 | 166 | 153 | 145 | 152 | 151 | 165 | 163 | 166 |
| North Carolina | 152 | 152 | 150 | 150 | 147 | 148 | 144 | 151 | 152 | 154 |
| South Carolina | 124 | 124 | 112 | 116 | 118 | 118 | 117 | 122 | 121 | 122 |
| Virginia | 190 | 191 | 187 | 172 | 175 | 176 | 169 | 189 | 188 | 191 |
| West Virginia | 124 | 125 | 121 | 119 | 118 | 121 | 120 | 123 | 122 | 121 |
| Other <br> Delaware | 44 | 45 | 42 | 41 | 37 | 41 | 39 | 46 | 43 | 46 |
| East South Central | 430 | 435 | 432 | 425 | 412 | 416 | 410 | 434 | 427 | 433 |
| Alabama | 116 | 116 | 113 | 113 | 109 | 112 | 109 | 113 | 113 | 113 |
| Kentucky | 109 | 110 | 107 | 105 | 101 | 99 | 100 | 108 | 109 | 109 |
| Tennessee | 166 | 168 | 171 | 166 | 161 | 165 | 161 | 171 | 163 | 169 |
| Other Mississippi | 39 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 41 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 42 | 42 |
| West South Central | 391 | 395 | 385 | 373 | 363 | 367 | 361 | 395 | 389 | 395 |
| Louisiana | 95 | 97 | 95 | 91 | 91 | 87 | 90 | 97 | 93 | 96 |
| Texas | 228 | 228 | 223 | 213 | 207 | 211 | 205 | 229 | 229 | 230 |
| Other | 68 | 70 | 67 | 69 | 65 | 69 | 66 | 69 | 67 | 69 |
| Arkansas | 25 | 25 | 25 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 24 | 25 | 24 | 25 |
| Oklahoma | 43 | 45 | 42 | 45 | 41 | 45 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 44 |

TABLE 4．BASE NUMBER OF NURSES IN EACH REGION，GEOGRAPHIC DIVISION，AND STATE－－ Continued

| Region，Geographic Division，and State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 岕 } \\ & \text { 出 } \\ & \text { 号 } \\ & \text { 总 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NORTH CENTRAL | 3246 | 3272 | 3255 | 3159 | 3102 | 3140 | 3107 | 3263 | 3213 | 3270 |
| East North Central | 2743 | 2762 | 2744 | 2667 | 2622 | 2654 | 2623 | 2757 | 2711 | 2763 |
| Illinois | 660 | 669 | 672 | 636 | 614 | 635 | 625 | 667 | 657 | 666 |
| Indiana | 351 | 353 | 350 | 348 | 344 | 342 | 335 | 352 | 342 | 356 |
| Michigan | 578 | 579 | 565 | 552 | 537 | 549 | 548 | 577 | 564 | 580 |
| Ohio | 805 | 806 | 801 | 786 | 782 | 783 | 771 | 803 | 791 | 803 |
| Wisconsin | 349 | 355 | 356 | 345 | 345 | 345 | 344 | 358 | 357 | 358 |
| West North Central | 503 | 510 | 511 | 492 | 480 | 486 | 484 | 506 | 502 | 507 |
| Iowa | 75 | 78 | 76 | 73 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 75 | 78 | 77 |
| Kansas | 50 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 51 | 50 | 50 | 51 | 47 | 49 |
| Minnesota | 134 | 133 | 137 | 130 | 129 | 130 | 129 | 135 | 135 | 136 |
| Missouri | 201 | 204 | 203 | 195 | 188 | 192 | 192 | 201 | 199 | 202 |
| Other | 43 | 44 | 44 | 43 | 41 | 42 | 42 | 44 | 43 | 43 |
| Nebraska | 41 | 42 | 42 | 41 | 39 | 40 | 40 | 42 | 41 | 41 |
| North Dakota | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － | － |
| South Dakota | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| WEST | 1141 | 1154 | 1152 | 1099 | 1049 | 1096 | 1098 | 1152 | 1148 | 1158 |
| Mountain | 198 | 198 | 198 | 194 | 187 | 193 | 193 | 199 | 200 | 198 |
| Colorado | 79 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 76 | 77 | 77 | 78 | 79 | 78 |
| Other | 119 | 119 | 119 | 117 | 111 | 116 | 116 | 121 | 121 | 120 |
| Arizona | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 29 | 30 | 30 |
| Idaho | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 | 16 |
| Montana | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Nevada | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| New Mexico | 19 | 19 | 20 | 19 | 16 | 19 | 18 | 20 | 19 | 19 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 10 | 10 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 |
| Utah | 37 | 37 | 37 | 37 | 35 | 36 | 37 | 38 | 38 | 37 |
| Pacific | 943 | 956 | 954 | 905 | 862 | 903 | 905 | 953 | 948 | 960 |
| California | 759 | 766 | 767 | 728 | 694 | 726 | 728 | 764 | 762 | 769 |
| Washington | 104 | 109 | 107 | 105 | 97 | 105 | 106 | 107 | 105 | 109 |
| Other | 80 | 81 | 80 | 72 | 71 | 72 | 71 | 82 | 81 | 82 |
| Alaska | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 36 | 37 | 37 | 32 | 32 | 32 | 31 | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| Oregon | 41 | 41 | 40 | 37 | 36 | 37 | 37 | 42 | 41 | 42 |
| TOTAL U．S． | 9496 | 9574 | 9492 | 9172 | 8918 | 9099 | 8999 | 9537 | 9425 | 9568 |
| No Answer | 529 | 451 | 533 | 428 | 682 | 501 | 601 | 488 | 600 | 457 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 10025 | 10025 | 10025 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 9600 | 10025 | 10025 | 10025 |

## APPENDIX IV

## BASE NUMBERS FOR CROSSTABULATIONS OF SIZE OF WORKPLACE WITH STUDY VARIABLES
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TABLE 1. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 4-4

| Nursing Supervision | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{\|c} \overline{\text { Under }} \\ 250 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $5000 \&$ Over | Number | No Answer | Grand Total |
| Supervisory nurse in health unit | 49 | 199 | 679 | 1570 | 1248 | 1442 | 5187 | 310 | 5497 |
| Supervision of health unit by nurse outside unit | * | 85 | 229 | 450 | 300 | 217 | 1281 | 174 | 1455 |
| Supervision of only nurse | 425 | 1071 | 1133 | 582 | 124 | 156 | 3491 | 159 | 3650 |
| Number of nurses supervised by supervisory murse | * | 61 | 214 | 411 | 272 | 299 | 1257 | 48 | 1305 |

*Too few cases appeared in this category to treat separately; they are included in the next column which represents, in this instance, workplaces with up to 499 employees.

## APPENDIX IV

TABLE 2. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 4-5

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Medical } \\ & \text { Direction } \end{aligned}$ | Number of Employees in Workplace |  |  |  |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Under } \\ 250 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 250- \\ & 499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 500- \\ & 999 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1000- \\ & 2499 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2500- \\ & 4999 \end{aligned}$ | $5000 \&$ <br> Over | Number | No Answer | Grand Total |
| Physician regularly present | 520 | 1362 | 1979 | 2355 | 1439 | 1717 | 9372 | 228 | 9600 |
| Physician on call | 514 | 1365 | 1959 | 2321 | 1401 | 1549 | 9109 | 491 | 9600 |
| Written standing orders | 514 | 1354 | 1956 | 2345 | 1442 | 1693 | 9304 | 296 | 9600 |

## APPENDIX $\nabla$

BASE NUNBERS POR CROSSTABULATIONS OF SIZE OF WORKPLACE AND TYPE OP INDUSTRY GROUP WITH STUDY VARIABLES
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TABLE 1. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLES 5-2 AND 5-3

| Number of <br> Employees in <br> Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mamafacturing | Nonmamifacturing | Government |  |
| Under 500 | 1503 | 280 | 40 |
| $500-999$ | 1497 | 352 | 68 |
| $1000-2499$ | 1764 | 384 | 138 |
| $2500-4999$ | 1076 | 211 | 116 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 1197 | 284 | 185 |

TABLE 2. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-4

| Number of <br> Employees in <br> Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |  |
| $500-999$ | 1479 | 281 | 39 |
| $1000-2499$ | 1469 | 340 | 66 |
| $2500-4999$ | 1736 | 377 | 134 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 1060 | 209 | 114 |

APPENDIX V
TABLE 3. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-5

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees in } \\ \text { Workplace }\end{array}$ | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$| Manufacturing |
| :---: |
| Under 1000 |

TABLE 4. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-6

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees in } \\ \text { Workplace }\end{array}$ | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$| Mamufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 1000 | 404 | 122 |
| $1000-2499$ | 811 | 167 |
| $2500-4999$ | 672 | 130 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 805 | 217 |

TABLE 5. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-7

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees in } \\ \text { Workplace }\end{array}$ | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$ (Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing |
| :--- | Government

APPENDIX $\nabla$
TABLE 6. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLES 5-8 AND 5-9

| Number of <br> Employees in <br> Workplace | ManufacturingType of Industry Group | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 500 | 1531 | 282 | 40 |
| $500-999$ | 1525 | 356 | 68 |
| $1000-2499$ | 1794 | 387 | 136 |
| $2500-4999$ | 1081 | 212 | 117 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 1204 | 289 | 190 |

APPENDIX V
TABLE 7. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-10

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| Under 500 | 1532 | 279 | 39 |
| 500-999 | 1522 | 341 | 66 |
| 1000-2499 | 1790 | 364 | 128 |
| 2500-4999 | 1070 | 198 | 104 |
| 5000 \& over | 1144 | 218 | 157 |

TABLE 8. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-11

| Number of Employees in Workplace | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| Under 500 | 1513 | 285 | 40 |
| 500-999 | 1511 | 349 | 66 |
| 1000-2499 | 1788 | 384 | 133 |
| 2500-4999 | 1090 | 208 | 115 |
| 5000 \& over | 1188 | 283 | 188 |

TABLE 9. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-12
\(\left.\begin{array}{l|ccc}\hline \hline \begin{array}{c}Number of <br>
Employees in <br>

Workplace\end{array} \& Manufacturing \& Nype of Industry Group\end{array}\right]\) (onmanufacturing | Government |
| :---: |
| Under 500 |
| $500-999$ |
| $1000-2499$ |

## APPENDIX $\nabla$

TABLE 10. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLE 5-13

| $\begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees in } \\ \text { Workplace }\end{array}$ | Type of Industry Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$]$| Manufacturing | Nonmanufacturing | Government |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 500 | 1508 | 331 |
| $500-999$ | 1492 | 376 |
| $1000-2499$ | 1771 | 413 |
| $2500-4999$ | 962 | 229 |
| $5000 \&$ over | 1667 | 319 |

TABLE 11. BASE NUMBERS FOR TABLES 5-14 AND 5-15
$\left.\begin{array}{c|ccc}\hline \hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Number of } \\ \text { Employees in } \\ \text { Workplace }\end{array} & \text { Manufacturing } & \text { Nype of Industry Group }\end{array}\right]$
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## APPERDIX VI

## FORMER OCCUPATIONAL HRALTH NURSES

Of the 17,018 nurses surveyed, 3,563 reported that they were not currently working as full-time occupational health nurses. These nurses were asked to answer three questions:
(1) What is your present situation?
(2) How many years has it been since you worked as an occupational health murse?
(3) Do you expect to return to work as an occupational health nurse?

The answers to these three questions are tabled below.

TABLE 1. PRESENT SITUATION OF NURSES NOT CURRENILY WORKING AS OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSES

| Situation | Percent of lurses |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Employed |  |
| In nursing but not in |  |
| occupational health |  |
| Not in nursing |  |
| Not Employed |  |
| Full-time housewife |  |
| Retired | 36.6 |
| Looking for work |  |
| Pull-time student |  |
| Other (includes part-time, relief, |  |
| and substitute) |  |

## APPENDIX VI

TABLE 2. NUMBER OF YEARS SINCE RESPONDENT LAST WORKED AS AN OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSE

| Number of Years | Percent of Nurses |
| :--- | :---: |
| Less than 1 | 41.4 |
| 1 | 23.4 |
| $2-5$ | 29.5 |
| 6-10 | 1.8 |
| More than 10 | 3.8 |
| Total Percent | 100.0 |
| Number | 2548 |
| No Answer | 1015 |
| Grand Total | 3563 |

## APPERDIX VI

table 3. plans to return to hork as an OCCUPATIONAL HEALTH NURSE

| Plans to Return | Percent of Nurses |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 28.2 |  |
| Definitely | 10.7 |  |
| Probably | 17.5 |  |
| No | 37.7 | 27.1 |
| Probably |  | 10.6 |
| Definitely | 34.1 | 34.1 |
| Uncertain | 100.0 | 100.0 |
| Total Percent | 2575 |  |
| Number | 988 |  |
| No Answer | 3563 |  |
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[^0]:    $5_{A}$ copy of the questionnaire is included in Appendix $I$. The questionnaire was pretested on nurses in large and small industries in the District of Columbia, Maryland, and Virginia.

[^1]:    "Source: American Nurses' Association. The Nation's Nurses: The 1962 Inventory of Professional Registered Furses. New York: American Nurses' Association, 1965. Computed from Table 3A, P. 25.

[^2]:    *Source: U. S. Bureau of the Census. Statistic Abstract of the United States: 1963. (Eighty-fourth edition) Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1963, Table No. 297, p. 227. The data presented here are computed from the State figures. Therefore, the percentage base, being the sum of the State figures, differs from the National total given in Table 297 because of State variations in reporting and computation.

[^3]:    *Excludes agricultural workers, employees of Federal, State, and local governments and of railroads, as well as persons who are self-employed. The percent distribution according to size of workplace was estimated by the Division of Occupational Health using data from the following source: U. S. Bureau of the Census, County Business Patterns, First Quarter 1962, Part 1, United States Sumary. Washington: U. S. Government Printing Office, 1963. Table lA, p. 8.

[^4]:    $1^{1}$ Over 90 percent of the nurses in each region report that the standing orders are signed by a physician.

[^5]:    $1_{\text {The workplaces refer to }}$ in this chapter are, of course, only those which employ the occupational health nurses who responded to our survey. Small workplaces are particularly underrepresented, for few of them have occupational health nurses.

[^6]:    $1^{\prime S}$ Status" used in this sense refers to a position in a group, and the two terms "status" and "position" are used interchangeably.

[^7]:    $l_{\text {The first }}$ two size categories in the questionnaire were combined because of small numbers of cases.

[^8]:    *Numbers rather than percentages are given whenever the total number of respondents for the State was less than 50.

[^9]:    *Numbers rather than percentages are given whenever the total number of respondents for the State was less than 50 .

