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## Summary

Fruit growers, in general, will receive higher prices for their crops in 1943 than they received this year. Consumer ability to purchase fruits will be greater than in 1942. If supply and demand were to continue to govern fresh fruit prices, materially higher prices would be received by growers of those fruit crops which turn out to be in smaller supply in 1943 than in 1942. However, price controls probably will be an important factor in 1943. Prices received by growers of pears sold for fresh consumption averaged close to parity in the summer of 1942. If the parity price of apples in October were to be adjusted for seasonal variation it would then be only slightly higher than the actual price received by growers.

The fruit crop in $1943-44$ probably will be slightly smaller than the bumper crop in 1942-43. Comercial apple production probably will be materially smaller than in 1942. Pear production may be slightly smaller, and grape production only a little larger. Since military and lend-lease requirements in 1943-44 will be substantially above those for the preceding year, considerably less fruit will be available for civilian consumers. The decrease from 1942-43 in the total supply of fruits marketed fresh probably will be greater than the decrease in total production. Although the amount of fruit canned may be smaller than a year earlier because of tin plate restrictions, the amount dried is likely to be substantially increased so that the total used for canning and drying will be larger than in 1942-43.

At this time it appears likely that the 1942-43 weighted average price
received by growers for oranges and grapefruit (sold for fresh consumption
and for processing) will be at least 15 percent and 5 percent higher respectively than the weighted average in 1941-42. The orange and grapefruit crops that will be marketed from this fall to next may easily be the largest on record. However, the demand for both of these fruits for processing, as well as for fresh use, will be exceptionally great. Large quantities of concentrated orange juice are desired for lend-lease shipment, and it is probable that the grapefruit juice pack will be of record size.

The War Production Board, through a recent order, acted to prevent the depletion of canned fruit and juice stocks before the 1943 pack comes on the market. This order prohibits canners from shipping more than a specified percentage of their packs available for civilian consuription during certain periods. For instance, not more, than 70 percent of their total civilian supply can be shipped prior to April 1, 1943. Since the civilian demand for canned fruits this season at ceiling prices is greater than the supply available, there would have been little or no stocks available toward the end of the current season if inventory controls had not been instituted.

-- October 30, 1942

## CITRUS

BACKGROURD: - The trend in orange production has been steadily upward during the past 20 years. This upward trend has been particularly marked in the production of Valencias in California and of all oranges in Florida. During the decade 1919 to 1929 grapefruit production followed a gradual upward trend.. Since that period the increase has been more pronounced, with production in Texas increasing at a more rapid rate than production in other areas. The extremely low prices received for citrus fruits from 1930 to 1932 were largely a result of increasing production and decreasing consumer purchasing power. Since 1932 prices have averaged below pre-depression levels as a result of sharoly increased production.

The orange and grapefruit crops that will be marketed fron this fall to next may easily be the largest on record. On October 1 it was indicated that the production of oranges, excluding California Valencias, would total 58.6 million boxes compared with 53.8 million in $1941-42$. The production of grapefruit, ezcluding California "other" varieties, was indicated to total 45.2 million kures cumpared with 38.7 million in the preceding season.

The demand for both of these fruits for processing will be exceptional ly great since large quantities of concentrated orange juice are desired for lend-lease shipment, and the pack of grapefruit juice may be of record size. Supplies of oranges and grapefruit for fresh sale will be large during tho winter and spring of 543 , but consumer demand continues to increase.

Retail price ceilings have been established for fresh citrus, excluding grapefruit, at the highest price prevailing from September 28 to October 2. Retail price ceilings of fresh grapefruit have been fixed in such a manner that they will overage roughly 10 cents per grapefruit, or the retailer's cost plus $2-1 / 2$ cents, whichever is lower. The 10 cent price for grapefruit represents the average retail price for grapefruit in localities in which it; was soid during the period September 28 to October 2. During this period California was the only State shipping oranges and grapefruit, and orange and grapefruit prices were close to their seasonal peaks.

It is likely that the $1942-43$ weighted average price received by growers for oranges and grapefruit (sold for fresh consumption and for processing. will be at least 15 percent and 5 percent higher respectively than the weighted average in 1941-42.

## APPLこS

> BACKGROUND. - Production of apples has fluctuated widely in volume in the past 30 years largely as a result of yrar-to-year changes in growing conditions. However, diere has been a moderate downward trend in apple production since the peak year l914. The number of apple trees has decreased greatly in the past 30 years. This decrease has been caused by the abandonment of farm orchards, normal mortality, the removal of low-yielding trees, and loss from droughts, storms, and freezes. Apple prices have recovered marikedly from depression levels and in the $194 i-42$ season averaged 60 percent above the low reached in $1932-33$.

The United States average price received by a.pple growers on October 15 was $\$ 1.14$ per bushel, 77 percent of parity. If the parity price in October were to be adjusted for seasonal variation it would then be only slightly higher than the actual price received by growers. Last season
the rice increased more than the normal seasonal amount from September to June. The October 15 orice this year was about 30 percent higher than that on October 15 a year ago. Auction prices of all leading varieties of Western ajnles at New York in the week ended October 16 averaged approximately 25 percent higher than in the comparable week in 1941. Apple growers in general probably will receive the highest average prices for their crop since 1929.

The commercial apple crop was estimated, as of October l, to total 128.4 million bushels, about 5 percent more than in 1941. Production in the major producing areas is well above that of last year with the exception of Washington where the crop is expected to be only 1 percent greater. In 1943 the apple crop is likely to be materially smaller than in 1942.

## PEATRS

BACKCROLND.- Pear production has aiout doubled in the last 20 years. The increase occurred largely in the Pacific Coast. States, where during the 5 -year period 1936-40 two thirds of the total United States pear crop was produced.

In 1934-38 approximately 18 percent of the total pear crop was marketed outside of this country. In this period about 30 parcent of the canned pack and 76 percent of the dried pack ware exported. Substantial quantities of fresh pears wore also exported. In the 1942-43 season practically all of the dried pears will be shipped under lend-lease.

On October 1 it was estimated that the 1942 pear crop would total 30.5 million bushels, about 1 million bushels Ereator than in 1941. Bartlett production in the Pacific Coast States was indicated to total 15.4 million bushels compred with 15.6 million last year, and production of late variety pears was indicated to total 5.0 million bushels, slightly larger than a year ago. Production in 1943 may de slightly smoller than in 1942

Prices of California Bartlett pears at the New York auction have averaged considerably higher this season than last. The crop is indicated to be slightly smaller, and the demand by canners and fresh fruit consumers has increased over last yoar.

The situation with regard to fall and winter pears is more favorable than last year oven though production is slightly lerger. The effect on prices of increased con'sumer demand this fall and winter will more than offset the adverse effects of a slightly larger production. Little difficulty should be encountered in marketing these pears as far as the demand. situation is concerned. In September axction prices of Bosc and D'Anjoi pears at New York averaged considerably above prices in September 1941. -

## GRAPES

- BACKGROUND.- Immediately after the enactment of prohibition, prices of grapes were high and large acreages were planted in California. As a result, grape production increased rapidly urisil 19:3. From then until 1936 production declined because of a reduction in bearing acreage, relatively unfavorable weather, and in some years severe damage from insects. The large procuction of grapes in the last few years has been the result of adequate water supplies, favorable growing conditions, and a slight increase in bearing acreage.

Grape prices declined rapidly with the increase in production in the early $1920^{\prime}$ s and remained at fairly low levels throughout the $1930^{\circ} \mathrm{s}$. In 1941 the second lergest grape crop on record sold at an average price of $\$ 23.82$ per ton, the highest since 1929. This relatively high price was the result of increases in demand from the Government an ${ }^{3}$ from the regular trade for raisin grapes for drying, crushing, and fresh table use.

On October 1 grape production was indicated to total 2.5 million tons compared with 2.7 million in 1941. The 1941 crop was the second largest on record. The 1942 crop in California was indicated to total 2.3 million tons, 8 percent less than last year. In 1941 a totel of 836,000 tons of the raisin veriety orop was dried, and the remainder went to wineries and for fresh table use.

This season the largest possible pack of raisins is needed to fill requirements of the United Nations. Government programs to support prices and restrict uses of raisin varieties (discussed in the August issue of this report) have been set up, and it is believed that they will insure a pack of raisins somewhere in line with the needs of the United Nations. Between $1,120,000$ and $1,160,000$ tons of raisin grape varicties may be dried this year. The raisin pack would then total between 280,000 and 290,000 tons compared with 209,000 tons in 1941.

The total supply of raisins (pack plus carry-over from the 1941-42 season) probably will be from 30 to 35 percent greater than the supply in 1941-42, but military and lend-lease needs will be such that the carry-over into the $1943-44$ season probably will be little, if any, greater than the carry-over into the present season. The amount of raisins available for civilians probably will total considerably more than the average amount consumed by civilians in 1941. The utilization of $1,120,000$ to $1,160,000$ tons of grapes for drying would leave only approximately $1,147,000$ tons to $1,187,000$ tons of California grapes for other uses (wine and juice production, and fresh consumption), compared with $1,711,000$ tons last year.

## CIVILIAN DEIVAND FOR CANAED BRUITS IN $1942-43$

The establishment of price ceilings on canned fruits has increased the need for an economic anelysis that can be used as a basis for estimating tho civilian demand for canned fruits. Incications are that without cailings, prices of canned fruits in general in the $19+2 \mathbf{2} 43$ marketing season would hav been about 15 percent higher than the average that will exist under prise ceilings. When prices are artifically held below levels that would hare resuilted from the normal interplay of supply and demand an "economic" shrrtage of the commodity in question will arise. Miis does not necessarily mean that a shortage from the nutritional standpoint will exist. In the instance of canned fruits, the total supply is fixed at the beginning of the season and whenever prices to consumers are held artifically below the levels that would have resulted from the working out of supply and demand corditions, the demand for canned fruits then exceeds the supply. As a result, canned fruit stocis would be depleted before the start of the next season'a pack unless some measures vere taken to control inventories.

In order to determine the likelihood of an "economic" shortage, an aralysis has been made of the average relationships existing between the per capita civilien consumption of canned fruits (excluding juices), prices quoted by canners for canned fruits, and an index of consumer purchasing piwer. Data for the 21 -year period 1921 to 1941 were used. The consumption of canned fruit juices was not included inasnuch as juices are not generally used by civilian consumers for the same purposes as are canned fruits.

The per capita civilian consumption series for canned fruits is composed of 13 individual series derived from pack estimates, imports, exports, and shipments to end from territories, and canners' stock data where these atter were available. Published deta on canners' stocks are available only Cor California packs and the total pasiz of red sour pitted cherries. Stock data are available on individual pecirs making up about 55 percent of the sotal pack of the 13 cemed fruits in the 5 -year period 1935-39. The lack of stock data for pineapple presents the most serious difficulty because of the importance of pineapple in the totol fruit picture and the likelihood that for certain years there would be a considerable difference between beginning and ending stocks. Shipments of pineapple from territories to continental United States are used together with imports in deriving the pineapple series rather than estimates of total pack in territories. These shipments, however, do not necessarily constitute sales out of canners: hands since Hawaiian canners have storage facilities in continental United States. The lack of stock data on pineapple and less important fruits results in an indicated consumption which is larger than the amount actually consumed in years of small consumer demand, and which is smaller than the actual in the years immediately following.

The index of prices paid for canned fruits wa: b-A al そranrai quoted prices for nine important canned fruits. There aie wotisfactory series on retail and wholesale prices covering a period long enough to make them suitable for analytical purposes. The price series used does not include prices for four of the relatively minor fruits vsel in the consumption series. Eter if price data were available for these four fruits, their weights in the incex would be so small that the final index would have been little different from the one used in the anolysis. It is probable that cannors ${ }^{3}$ quoted prices on canned fruits are in general higher than their actual sales prices.

An index of per capita national income payments was used as a measure of consumer ability to purchase canned fruits at given price levels. It is believed that aggregate purchases of canned fruits by farm families represents a fairly sizable geicion of total canned fruit purchases. It was for this reason that per capita national income payments rather than per capita nonfarm income payments were used in the analysis.

The analysis indicates tiat in the 21-year period 1921 to 1941, changes in the quantity of canned fruits consumed per capita of the civilian population were on the average closely associated with changes in canners ${ }^{\text {i }}$ quoted prices and the level of per capita national income payments. The square of the multiple corrolation coefficient show that approximately 89 percent of the variation in the per capita consumption series is explainable by the two independent variables (price and per capita national income payments). The correlation between the independent vairiables was small.

Figure 1 shows the average relationship that would be expected between per capita civilian consumption of canned fruits and the index of cenners ${ }^{1}$ quoted prices at the estimated $1942-43$ level of per capita national income payments.

The analysis indicates that if ceiling prices for canned fruits in $1942-43$ had been placed at the average level of prices in the $1941-42$ marketing season ( $P$ ), civilian consumers would have purchased, if available, about. 45 cases per capita (Q). However, prices were not held at the $1941-42$ average level, but were advanced by the Price Administrator to allow for increases in canners' costs. It is estimated that canners' quoted prices in 1342-43, on a comparable basis with prices in previous years, will average 15 percent above the 1941-42 level. The index would then be at about 140 percent of the 1935-39 average. It is likely that canners' quoted prices under present price ceilings are much nearer to actual sales prices than were quotations in the years covered in the analysis. An adjustment for this apparent lack of comparability was made by increasing the estimated index in 1942-43 by 5 porcent.

If the price index averaged 140 percent of the $1935-39$ average ( $\left(P_{1}\right)$ and with per capita national income pajments at the estimated 1942-43 level, the analysis indicates that civilian consumers would take, if available, approximately . 41 cases per capita ( $Q_{2}$ ). Actually it is probable that only about. 36 cases per capita will be available for purchase by civilian consumers. I/ The broken lines $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ in figure 1 indicate the upper and lower Iimits of the error of individual forecasts. ?/

It is likely that the analysis underestimates the quantity of canned fruits that would be desired by civiliens at the estimated price level in 1942-43. That is, consumer demand for canned fruits probably has increased more than the increase in per capita national income payments would indicate. This is due to the fact that price ceilings in general and rationing of some commndities have increased the proportion of spendable income which can be used for the purchase of goods which are still available and are not rationed.
F. C. JONES

1/ The estimated $\cdot 36$ cases per capita is the summation of the total amount of canned fruits available to civilians from the $1942-43$ packs, canners ${ }^{2}$ stocks at the beginning of the saason, and an estimate of that portion of distributors' stocks at the beginning of the season that would have remained in canners ${ }^{1}$ hands in normal yoars.
2/ The band around the regression formed by lines $D^{\prime}$ and $D^{\prime \prime}$ has the following statistical meaning. If in every separate problem in forecasting such a bend is constructed, the value that actually will occur will be containod in at least 95 percent of them.

CANNED FRUITS: RELATION BETWEEN PER CAPITA CIVILIAN CONSUMPTION AND PRICES QUOTED BY CANNERS AT ESTIMATED 1942-43 LEVEL OF PER CAPITA NATIONAL INCOME PAYMENTS CONSUMPTION PER CAPITA ( STANDARD CASES)
.58


Table I... Apples: Production in Sitates havins a commercial crop; average 1934-39. onnual 7947 , end indicatod 1942 I/


1/ sistimates of the commercin crop rofer to the production of epples in the commorCial apple areas of eash States and include fruit produced for sale to commercial processors as well as for sale for fresh consumption. 193litui revise . Jor somo States in certain years, production incluces some quantities unharvested on account of markot conditions.

Table 2. - Peaches: Production, by geographic divisions, average 1930-39, annual 1941, and preliminary 1942 1/


1/ For some States in certain years, production estimates include some quantities unharvested on account of meriket conditions. In 1941, such quantities were as follows ( 1,000 bushels): Illinois 168, North Carolina 300, South Carolina. 600, and Georgia 640. 2/ Includes the following quantities harvested but not utilized due to excessive cullage (1,000 bushels): Virginia 100, South Carolina 300, and Georgia 320.

Table 3.-Apples, Washington: Weighted auction price per box, specified varieities, extra fancy grade, Nem York and Chicago,

1942 with comparisons

| Market and week onded | Delicio |  | Jonathen |  | Fiome Beauty |  | All leading varicties $1 /$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : 1941 | : 1942 | 1941 | 1942 | 1941 | 1942 | 1941 | 1942 |
| :Dollars Dollars Dollars Dollars Pollars Dollars Dollars Dollars |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Ynrk |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 18 | : 2,59 | $\cdots$ |  |  | --- | --- | 2.35 |  |
| 25 | : 2.47 | --- |  |  | -- | --- | 2.39 | 2.60 |
| 9ct. 2 | : 2.38 | 30.5 |  |  | --17 | $\cdots$ | 2.33 | 3.00 |
| 9 | : 2.13 | 2,81 |  |  | 2.17 | --- | 2.03 | 2.75 |
| 15 | : 2.11 | 2.59 |  |  | 2.02 | 2.71 | 2.02 | 2.52 |
| Chicagn : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sapt. 18 | : 2.35 | --- | 2.34 | --- | --- | - | 2.19 | 2.63 |
| 25 | : 2,29 | $\cdots$ | 2.08 | --- | --- |  | 2.15 | 2.73 |
| गct. 2 | : 2.25 | 2.89 | 1.81 | 2.79 | 2.11 | - | 1.98 | 2.73 |
| 9 | : 2.08 | 2.59 | 1.90 | 2.31 | 1.97 | - | 1.93 | 2.42 |
| 15 | $\begin{array}{ll} : & 1.07 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 2.44 | 1.80 | 2. 3 2 | 1.95 | 2.34 | 1.85 | 2.21 |

Cnmiled from New York Daily Fruit Foporter, deciduous section, and Chicago Fruit and Vegetable Foporter.

1) Includes all grades of leading varioties from Mestern States.

Table 4 .- Pears: Production, -y geographic divisions, ave rage 1930-39, annual 1941, and indicated 19'+2 I/

| Division : | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { Average : } \\ & : 1941 \end{aligned}$ | 194.2: Pacific Coast | : Average: $\therefore 1930-39:$ | 1941 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Indicated } \\ & : \quad 1942 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | : 1,000 1,000 | 1,000: | : 1,000 | 000 | 1,000 |
|  | - bushels ...pushels. | bushels: | : bushel | ushels | bush |
| New England |  | $66:$ |  |  |  |
| Middle Atlantic: | 1,964 -1,242 | 1.789: Bartlett | . 3.766 | 5,200 | 5,063 |
| E.North Central: | : $2.468 \cdot 2.415$ | 2,311: Other | - 1.771 | 12,754 | 1,599 |
| W.North Central: | : $595 \quad 527$ | 6z0:Ore, total | . 3,307 | 4,050 | 4.379 |
| South Atlantic.: | : $1,240<1,692$ | 2,036: Bartlett | : 1.294 | 1,774 |  |
| E.South Central: | : 975 1,742 | 1,5git: Other | $\therefore 2003$ | 12,276 | - 2.464 |
| W. South Central: | : 727 1,004 | I. 175 Calif., total | $\therefore 9,8+2$ | 9,292 | 9,293 |
| Mountain .....s | : 434 463 | 336: Bartlett | 8,576 | 8.584 | 8,376 |
| Pacific ......b: | : 18,686 : 20,296 | $20,334 \%$ Other | 1.267. | 708. | 917 |
|  |  | STrited States | : 27.253 | 29,533 | 30,472 |

1/ For some states in certain years, prodution includes some quantities unharvested on account of market conditions. In 1941, estimates of such quantities included 10,000 bushels in Pennsylvania and 50,000 bushels of "other varieties." in Oregon. 2) Includes the following quantities harrested but not utilized due to excessive cullage'( 1,000 bushels): Washington "otherii 84 , Oregon "other" 80.

Table 5 - -Pears, western: Weighted average auction price per box, specified varieties, all grades, New York and Chicago. 1942, with comparisons

| Market and period | Bartlett |  | Bosc |  | Di Anjou |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Dollars | Dollars | Dollars | Doplars | Dollar | Dollar |
| New York |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| July | 2.65 | 4.08 | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Aug. | 2.78 | 3.95 | --- | - | 1.62 | - |
| Sept. | 3.00 | 3.86 | 2.58 | 3:69 | 2.49 | 3.17 |
| Weok ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 18. | 3.24 | 3.72 | 2.66 | 3.82 | 2.62 | - |
| 25 | 3.20 | 4.20 | 2.65 |  | 2.63 | 2.90 |
| Oct. 2 .. | 3.06 | 3.90 | 2.55 | 3.24 | 2.44 | 3.13 |
| 9 | 2.75 | 3.28 | 2.31 | 2.70 | 2.23 | 2. 67. |
| 16 | 2.78 | 2.87: | 2.50 | $2: 55$ | 2.44 | 2.61 |
| Chicago |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Juily | 2.67 | 3.58 | --- | --- | --- | -- |
| Aug. | 2.73 | 3.91 | -- | -- | --- | --- |
| Sept. | 2.81 | 3.65 | 2.18 | 3.32 | 2.21 | - |
| Week ended |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 18 .. | 2.91 | 3.86 | 2.30 | --- | 2.21 | - |
|  | 2.88 | 3.99 | 2.03 | 3.57 | --- | --- |
| Oct. 2. | 2.92 | 3.54 | -- | 3.28 | --- | - |
| ${ }_{16}^{9}$... | 2.61 2.04 | 3.19 | 2.19 | 2.93 | 2.06 | 2.14 |
|  | 2.04 | 2.99 | 2.18 | 2.63 | 2.46 |  |

Compiled from New York Jaily Fruit Reporter, dociduous section, and Chicago Fruit and Vegetable Reporter.

Table 6.- Citrus fruits: Production, average 1930-39, annual 1939-41 and indicated 1942


Limes:
Florida

37
95
80
$\therefore \quad \therefore 120$
120
1 Relates to crop from bloom of year shown. In California the picking seasom usually cxtends from about Oct. I to Dec. 31 of the following year. In.other Stiates the season begins about Scpt. 1. For some States in certain years, production s s!! includes some quantitios donated to charity and/or eliminated on account of markét conditions.
2) Short-time average.
31. Net content of boxes varics. In Cilifornia and Arizona the approximate avicrage for oranges is 70 pounds nct and Erapofruit 60 pounds; in Floridi and other States oranges 90 pounds and grapefruit 80 pounds; California lemons about 76 pounds net.

Table 7 - Grapes, California: Weighted average auction price per box, specified varieties, New York and Chicago, 1942 with comparisons

| Market -and period | Seedless |  | Malaga |  | Ribier |  | Tokay |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1941 | 1942 | 1941 | 1242 | 1941 | 1942 | 1.941 | 1942 |
| : | Dol. | Do1. | DO1. | DO1. | D01. | Doli | Do1. | Dol. |
| New Yori - : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New York - : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20July ...... | 2.69. | 3.88 | 2.15 | 3.19 | 3.44 | 4.25 | - | - |
| Aug. ......: | 1.71 | 2.74 | 1.18 | 2.02 | 2.29 | 3.02 | 2.07 |  |
| Sept. ....: | 1.45 | 2.13 | 1.31 | 1.74 | 1.84 | 2.43 | $1: 48$ | 2.26 |
| Week : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. 18 -: | 1.53 | 2.21 | 1.38 | 1.54 | 1.87 | 2.33 | 1.45 | 2.62 |
| c89. 25 : | 1.38 | 2.25 | 1.28 | 2.12 | 1.82 | 2.53 | 1.50 | 2.23 |
| cojoct. $2 \cdot 0$ | 1.54 | 2.00 | 1.28 | 1.65 | 1.91 | 2.38 | 1.66 | 2.13 |
| 02. 9. | 1.86 | 1.88 | 1.33 | 1.34 | 1.88 | 1.93 | 1. 61 | -1.92 |
| 16.: | 1.75 | 2.08 | 1.22 | 1.33 | 2.12 | 1.80 | 1.36 | 1.72 |
| Ohiceigo - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Month : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20¢July ......: | 2.23 | 3.63 | --m | -- | 3.37 | 4.49 | --- | - |
| 2is Aug. ...... | 1.62 | 2.53 | 1.18 | 1.96 | 2.20 | 3.31 | 2.25 | 2 |
| Sept. ....: | 1.39 | 2.03 | 1.29 | 1.68 | 1.66 | 2.31 | 1.46 | 2.24 |
| Heek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sept. $18 .:$ | 1.32 | 2.13 | 1.23 | 1.76 | 1.68 | 2.34 | 1.36 | 2.52 |
| 25. | 1.61 | 2.34 | 1.42 | 1.69 | 2.20 | 2.53 | 1.48 | 2.05 |
| - oct $2:$ | 1.47 | 1.98 | 1.32 | 1.60 | 1.85 | 2.25 | 1.60 | 2.07 |
| $9 .:$ | 1.57 | 1.92 | 1.13 | 1.40 | 1.59 | 1.92 | 1.60 | 1.78 |
| \% 16 | 1.76 | 2.22 | 1.19 | 1.37 | 1.85 | 1.82 | 1.28 | 1.55 |

Compiled from New York Daily Fruit Reporter, deciduous section, and Chicago Fruit and Vegetable Reporter.

Table 8.-Grapes: Production in most important States, average 1930-39, annual 1941, and indicated 1942 1/

| State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average: } 1941 \\ & 1930-39: \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { dicated: } \\ 1942 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { :Average: } 1941: \\ & : 1930-39: \end{aligned}$ | dicated $1942$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tons Tons | Tons |  | : Tons Tons | Tons |
| New-Yoris ......: | 70,860 47,600 | 66,300: | alifornia | : |  |
| Sennsylvania .: | 20,430 13,500 | 20,000: | varieties: | : |  |
| Ohio ......... | $27,55014,800$ | 22,700: | Wine . | 487,700 549,000 | 544,000 |
| Illinois ..... | 5,660 4,300 | 4,000: | Raisin | :1,157,2001516,000 | 1326,000 |
| Michigan ......: | 53,910 26,700 | 36,800: | Dried 2/ | : 215,600 209,000 | --- |
| Missouri ...... | $8.850 \quad 7.700$ | 7,200: | Not dried | : 294,800 680,000 |  |
| North Carolina: | $5,970 \quad 5.800$ | 6,600: | Table ..... | : 345,900 L482,000 | 437,000 |
| Arkansas .....: | 9,610 10,700 | 8,400: | Total Calif. | : $1,990,8002547,000$ | 2307,000 |
| Washington | 6,000 12,800 | 15,000:3 | 4 other States | : $46,63137,630$ | 40,930 |
| ここ, |  |  | United States. | :2,246,271 228,530 | 2534,930 |
| : |  | : |  | : |  |

If 2930-41 revised. For some States in certain years production includes some quantities unharvested on account of market conditions.
2) Dried becis; 1 ton of dried raisins is equivalent to about 4 tons of fresh grapes.

Table g.- Grapefruit: Total weekly shipments from producing areas, August to October 1941 and 1942 I/


Compiled from reports of the Agricultural Marketing Administration.

1) Rail, boat and truck. Total truck shipments from California-Arizona; interstate and intrastate truck shipments (excluding trucked to cannes and to boats) from Florida. All data subject to revision.

Table 10.- Oranges: Total meekly shipments from producing areas, by varieties, August to October 1941 and 1942 I/


Compiled from reports of the Agricultural Marizeting Administration.
1/ Rail, boat and truck. Interstate truck shipments from California-Arizona;
interstate and intrastate truck shipments (excluding trucked to cannes and to boats) from Florida. All data subject to revision.

Table ll.- Plums and prunes: Production, average 1930-39, annual 1941, and preliminary:12h: also utilization of pruaes average 1930-39? amual 1941 and preliminery 1912

| Commodi.ty | PIus anत prunes: Dionuctjonil |  | used ireshy camet, and cried 1/ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commodity and State | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average }: \quad 19!1 \\ & 1930-39: \end{aligned}$ | relimin- $\text { Ey } 1942$ | :Utilizaticn: <br> : and State. : | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Liverage } \\ & 1030-39 \end{aligned}$ | $2941$ | $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\operatorname{minin}} \\ & y \quad 1942 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\square$ | Tons | Tons |  | Tons | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ilons } \\ & \text { esh basis } \end{aligned}$ | Tons |
| Plums: |  |  | :Used fresh: |  |  |  |
| Mich. | 5,370 6:900 | 5.300 | : Wash. ....os | 13,630 | 10,600 | 13,900 |
| Calif. | 4,600 71,000 | 79,000 | : Ore. .....: | 16,680 | 13:800 | 19,000 |
| Prunes: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Idaño | 17,640 21,000 | 17.800 | :Canned: 5/ |  |  |  |
| Washo, all o: | 32,310 21,900 | 24,600 | : Wesho, | 5,120 | 9.300 | 8,100 |
| \#. Masho os: | 13,560 3/14:800 | 17,200 | : Ore. ...... | 16:200 | 29,600 | 21,700 |
| \#i, Wash. | 18,750 7:100 | 7.400 |  |  |  |  |
| Oxet, all | $110,190069 \%+00$ | 76,30n | : |  | basis 6/ |  |
| E. Ore. | 12,620 15,400 | $15.30 \%$ | :Mried: |  |  |  |
| To. Ore. | 97,870 $\quad 3 / 54,000$ | 61,000 | - | 2,940 | 400 | 200 |
|  | Dry basjs |  | : Ore. ...... | 21.780 | 6,500 | 8,000 |

[^1] this teble) include quantities sold and used on the ferm for household consumption. 2/ For some States in certain years, production inclucies some quantities unharvested on account of marzet conditionso In I54ㄱ, estimate of such quantities were as follows (tons): plums, California 5,000; prunes, eastern Oregon 500; in 1942, prunes, western Mashington ?, 800 , mestern Oreson 10,000. 3/ Includes the folloming quantities harvested but mot utilized due to excessive cullage (tons): Bastern Tashington 500, western Oregay 2,800 . $4 /$ In Califorria the drying ratio is approximately $2-1 / 2$ tons-of iresh fruit to 1 ton of dricd. In some years, in acdition to the driod prones proauced, adaitionel quantities of prunes remained unharvested on account of martet conditions. In 1941, the equivalent of 11,000 tons of dried prunes was not harvosted on account of markot conāitions; 5/ Incluảes small quantities for cold packing* 6/ The drying ratio in Tashington and Oregon renges from 3 to 4 tons of fresh fruī to 1 ton of driedo

Table 120 - Citirus fruits: Teighted average auction price per box, at New Morik and Chicago, June-Octobor 1941 and 1git2


Tablo 13. - Pecans, production by States, annual 1941 and indicated 1942

| Stato | All varietios |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Irproved varieties } \\ \text { I/ } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Wild or seedling varieties |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1941 | Indicated 1942 | 1941 | Indicated 1942 | 1941 | $\begin{aligned} & : \text { Indicated } \\ & 1 \quad 1942 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Illinois ...: | 887 | 592 | 27 | 12 | 860 | 580 |
| Missouri ... | 1,740 | 775 | 88 | 31 | 1,652 | 744 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { liorth } \\ \text { Carolina } \ldots \end{gathered}$ | 3,290 | 3,234 | 3,000 | 2,911 | 290 | 323 |
| South |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Carolina .. | 3,069 | 3,230 | 2,670 | 2,746 | 399 | 484 |
| Georgia ....: | 26,220 | 29,260 | 22,549 | 25,164 | 3,671 | 4,096 |
| Florida .... | 4,672 | 4,536 | 2,616 | 2,540 | 2,056 | 1,996 |
| Alabama ....: | 12,160 | 11,410 | 9,971 | 9,014 | 2,189 | 2,396 |
| Mississippi.: | 6,890 | 6,681 | 3,927 | 3,741 | 2,963 | 2,940 |
| Arkansas ... | 4,260 | 3,816 | 682 | 572 | 3,578 | 3,244 |
| Iouisiana ... | 5,600 | 6,016 | 1,400 | 1,684 | 4,200 | 4,332 |
| Oklahoma ...: | 30,600 | 8,000 | 1,224 | 560 | 29,376 | 7,440 |
| Texas .......: | 22,100 | 10,350 | 2.873 | 932 | 19,227 | 9,418 |
| 12 States, | 121,488 | 87.900 | 51.027 | 49,907 | 70,461 | 37,923 |

Table 14.- Miscellancous fiuits and nuts: Condition on October 1, annual 1941 and 1942, production, annual 1041 and indicated 1942

| Crop and State | Condition Oct. I |  | production |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1941 | 1942 | 1941 | Indicated 1942 |
| - | Percent | Percent | Fons | Tons |
| $\frac{\text { Avricots: }}{\text { California }}$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | $1 / 57$ | $1 / 62$ | 198,000 | 213,000 |
| Washington ........ | 1/ 79 | 1/ 90 | 14,600 | 17,100 |
| Utah |  | 1/28 | 1,300 | 3,100 |
| 3 States | 1) 58 | 1) 62 | 213,900 | 233,200 |
| Figs: |  |  |  |  |
| California |  |  |  |  |
| Dried o..........: | $(72$ | $(81$ | 2) 33,500 | -- |
| Olives: | ( 72 | ( | 19,000 | -- |
| California ........: | 52 | 60 | 55,000 |  |
| Almonds: |  |  |  |  |
| California ........ | 26 | 70 | 6,000 | 22,000 |
| Walnuts: |  |  |  |  |
| California ........ | 78 | 79 | 63,000 | 61,000 |
| Orcgon | 78 | 45 | 7,000 | 4,000 |
| 2 States | 75 | 15 | 70.000 | 65,000 |
| Filberts: |  |  |  |  |
| Oregon ............. | 87 | 72 | 4,900 | 4,320 |
| Washington | 92 | 15 | 850 | 730 |
| Arocadns: | ¢S | 72 | 5,750 | 5,050 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Pinconjlcs: ${ }^{\text {P1 }}$ - |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}1,250 \\ \text { Boxcs } \\ \hline 12000\end{array}$ |  |
| Floriãa $\ldots$........ | 1/ 64 | 1) 73 |  |  |

I/ Production in percontase of a full crop. n/ Jry basis. $3 /$ boxes of approxinately
10 nounds. not woiEht.

Table 750 Stramorries: Acrease intended for picking in 1943


Table 15.-Apples and pears: Cold-storage holdings, by geographic divisions, October 1, 1942


Compiled from reports of the Agricultural Marketing Administration.
Table 17.-Crenberries: Production, average 1930-39, annual 1941, and indicated 1942


Table lọ.- Apples and pears: Cold-storage holdings, October 1, 1942, with comparisons

| Commodity | Unit | $\begin{aligned} & \text { : oct. } 1, \\ & : 5 \text {-yr. av. } \\ & 1937-41 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Oct. } 1= \\ 1941 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{c:c} \text { Sept. 1, } \\ 1942 & 0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\text { oct. } 1,$ $1942$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Thousends | Thousands | Thousands T | Thousends |
| Apples | Barrel | 95 | 25 | -- | 29 |
| Apples | Western box | 2,494 | 3,909 | $\cdots$ | 804 |
| Apples | Eastern box | $1 /$ | 4,353 | -- | 5,958 |
| Apples | Iushel basket | 6.295 | 1.965 |  | 3,061 |
| Total apples | Bushel | 2,074 | 10,302 | $=-21$ | 2,910 |
| Pears, Bartlett | Packed box | 179 | 198 | -- | 385 |
| Pears, Bartlett .. | Loose box | 653 | 710 | -- | 1,302 |
| Pears, all other varieties ....... |  | 2,627 | 3,157 | - | 1,734 |
| Pears | Bushel basket | 152 | 314 |  | 1,161 |
| Total pears | Bushel | 32611 | , 1.79 |  | 3.582 |

Compiled from reports of the Agricultural Marketing Administration.

- Previously included with bushel baskets.

2) Includes 401,000 bushels owned by the Agricultural Marketing Administration.

Table 19... Frozen fruits: Cold-storage holdings, by varieties, October 1, 1942, with comperisons


| Commodity $\quad:$ | : New Middle:: England :Atlantic |  | West $:$ South :North : Atlantic: |  |  | East : West :South : South :Central:Central: |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Moun : Pacific: } \\ & \text { tain : } \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & : \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { paunds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { poinds } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,000 \\ & \text { pounds } \end{aligned}$ | $1,000$ pounds |
| $\text { Blackberries } \frac{\text { In }}{\text { small }} \frac{\text { containers: }}{\text {............ }}$ | $1$ | 99 | 216 | \% 9 |  | --- | --- | - | 250 | 582 |
| Blueberries .. | 179 | 555 | 987 | 103 | 26 | --- | 1 | - | 4 | 1,855 |
| Cherries | 51 | 1,852. | 816 | 102 | 63 | 8 | 9 | 56 | 622 | 3.579 |
| Young, Logan, and similar berries |  | 17 | 395 | 26 | 9 | $\square$ | 12 | 15 | 1,323 | 1,797 |
| Raspberries .......................... | 142 | 208 | 41.6 | 141 | . 105 | 5 |  | 33 | 531 | 1,637 |
| Strawberries | 896 | 1,893 | - 2,480 | 531 | 569 | 254 | 360 | 380 | 2,918 | 10,281 |
| Other fruits | 240 | 2,348 | 1,896 | 198 | 255 | 248 | 80 | 19 | 1,673 | 6,957 |
| Total .. | 1.509 | 6.972 | 7.206 | 1,110 | 1,034 | 515 | 468 | 503 | 7.371 | 25,688 |
| In bulk or large containers: : |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blackberries ............... | : 21 | 810 | 520 | 356 | 438 | 67 | 124 | 8 | , 655 | 9.099 |
| Elueberries | 1,356 | 2,061 | 1,134 | 294 | $3 ?$ | 1 | 8. |  | 19 | 4,903 |
| Cherries .. | 362 | 24,258 | 10.750 | 2,028 | 524 | 262 | 181 | 1,712 | 1,347 | 41,424 |
| Young, Logan, and similar berries $\qquad$ | $6 z$ | 64 | 657 | 124 | - | 2 | 41 | 32 | 3,160 | 4,148 |
| Raspberries ....................... | : 2,420 | 5,008 | 4.147 | 616 | 69 | 88 | 24 | 5 | 6.079 | 18,456 |
| Strawberries | 2,7.98 | 11,637 | 7.552 | 2,146 | 2,730 | 674 | 1,752 | 278 | 9,583 | 39,150 |
| Other fruits | 410 | 22,547 | 19,824 | 2,276 | 1,356 | 1,145 | 747 | 1,260 | 28, 205 | $\begin{array}{r}78,470 \\ \hline 95,650\end{array}$ |
| Total ... | 7.435 | 66,385 | 44.584 | 7,840 | 5,149 | 2,339 | 2,875 | 3.295 | 55.748 | 195,650 |
| Blatal Total $\frac{\text { all }}{\text { containers }}$ : | 22 |  | 736 | 365 | 445 | 167 | 124 | 8 | 6,905 | 9,681 |
| Blueberries | 1,535. | 2,616 | 2,121 | 397 | 58 | 1 | 7 |  | 23 | 6,758 |
| Cherries .. | . 413 | 26.110 | 11,566 | 2,130 | : 587 | 270 | 190 | 1,768 | 1,969 | 45,003 |
| Young, Logan, and similar berries | 68 | 81 | 1,052 | 150 |  | 2 | - 53 | 47. | $4,483$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5.945 \\ 20.093 \end{array}$ |
| Raspberries ..................... | 2,562 | 5,216 | 4,563 | 757 | 174 | 93 | 30 | 38 | $6,660$ | $20,093$ |
| Strawberries | 3.694 | 13.530 | 10,032 | 2,677 | 3.299 | 928 | 2,112 | 658 | 12.501 | 49.431 |
| Other fruits | 650 | 24,895 | 21,720 | 2,474 | 1,611 | 1.393 | 827 | 1,279 | 30,578 | 85,427 |
| Total. | : 8,944 | 73.357 | 51,790 | 8.950 | 6,183 | 2,854 | 3.343 | 3.798 | 63,119 | 222.338 |

After five days return to UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

WASHINGTON, D. C.
OFFICIAI BUSINESS

## LIbrary

U S DEPT OF AGRICULTURE
FNS-X-MESS EASHINGTON D C


[^0]:    * FLORIDA ORANGES, 90 POUNDS NET PER BOX; CALIFORNIA ORANGES, 70 POUNDS; FLORIDA GRAPEFRUIT, 80 POUNDS $\triangle . A R I T H M E T I C$ MEAN OF THE EIGHT MIDDLE PRIGES FOR EAGH WEEK OF THE 12-YEAR PERIOD, 1927-38
    U. S DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE NEG. 32251 BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS

[^1]:    1] 1930-41 revised. The estinates of utilizeticn of prunes (right-hand portion of

