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## $\frac{\overline{1 V}}{(A / C)}$

Wrigit-Pattersor Air Force Base
Dayton, Chic

## Gentlemen:

After spending the night of January 3rd at tee Sleepy Hollow Motel in Mankato, Kansas, my wife and I Motored east on Highway 36.

I started out with the headlig ts on but switched to the parking lights after a few miles. We were driving at approximately 60 miles per hour when my wife said, "What isthat?" and motioned to the right.

To say I was surprised at what I saw would be an understatement.
At about 45 degrees to the right and at a distance : thought to be less than a rile there was an airplane and a large one, Possibly a strati cruiser. It appeared to be in flight not far above the horizon, and I was afraid it would lard before we got to a point where we could take a good picture, and we crave possibly $3 / 4$ of a mile before stopping on top of a low hill.

What was so astound $n g$ about ti is plans was its appearance. It was entirely a luminous $r$ ec in color and the cutline of its form was clear. Extending above to cockpit tc a disterce equal to $t$ at from the cockpit to the nose of the plane was an aerial with a wi Ste light at the tod. The aerial was not red or lumi:ous but its form was clearly outlined.

When we stopped we could see that the plane was not flying but was stationary above tie horizon.

Between tee point where we first saw the plane and where we stood my wife had been adjusting tree camera for a picture. As we stopped she lowered tie window and got tile target centered when she said, "I've pot this adjusted for a moving object so I'd better readjust it."

Wile she was doing this I saw that another aerial extend d up half way from the cocknit to the tail but was not lighted at its tip.

Before she could get her camera focused again I sew that the nose of the plane was disanpearing and tols her to hurry or she would be too late to get a picture. She look and raised the camera but didn't tate the picture as tie plane was disappearing in a: irregular line and continued to do so back tr rough the cockpit and finally through the tail until all trat was left was the white light of the aerial which showed for a few moments and then was gone.

The sky wes clouded in that direction and only two lines of ligrt frothe sun could be seen after t:e plane disappeared. One was in line wit: the spot where the plane had been ard the other farther abov the horizon.

The time lapse from when we first sig ted it until it disappeared was several minutes, possibly five.

What w=s tris phenomenon?
What caus dit?
Are there planes in existence which have aerials similer tc tre ones mentioned?

I* what we saw was tye image of a plane where wes the original locat $=d$ ?

Fave c 1 received any reports from others who saw this object?
Trank ycu for any infor ation.


## 24 February 1958

Dear Mr.
This is to acknowledge and thank you for your letter of 20 January 1958 addressed to Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, concerning an unidentified flying object.

The object you observed was probably one of tine nev radar-search aircraft designated WR-2. This aircraft has the same general configuration of a strato-cruiser, as mentioned in your letter.

For your information illustrations of this type aircraft are inclosed, together with latest Department of Defense Fact sheet on this subject, dated 5 November 1957.

Thank you again for your interest in this subject.
Sincerely,

IAKRTANCE J. TACKER
Major, USAF
Executive Officer
Public Information Division Office co Information Services
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