Vol.41—No.61 3-29-76 PAGES 12875-13328

BOOK 1 OF 2 BOOKS MONDAY, MARCH 29, 1976



highlights

11191119110	
PART I:	
DRY MILK MIXTURES	
Presidential proclamation limiting imports	12875
MEDICADE	
HEW/SRS requires recognition and maintenance of resident rights in intermediate care facilities; effective 6-28-76	12883
PRIVACY ACT OF 1974	
VA Issues notice of additional routine use of systems of records	
NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS	
Interior/NPS revises "public gathering" permit regulations; effective 3-29-76	12879
OVERSEAS MILITARY PERSONNEL CHARTERS	
CAB proposal broadening the definitions of "immediate family" in determining eligibility for participation; comments by 4–28–76	
INVESTMENT ADVISER	
SEC excludes certain persons from definition of term; effective 5-1-76	
MEETINGS-	
Commerce/Census: Census Advisory Committee of the American Marketing Association, 5–4–76 DOD: Advisory Group on Utilization of Gravimetric	12915
Data, 4–12 and 4–13–76 DOT/FAA: Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics, 4–29 and 4–30–76	
FEA: Consumer Affairs/Special Impact Advisory Com-	
mittee, 4–15–76Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service: Arbitration	
Services Advisory Committee, 4–24–76 Interior: Committee on Enhanced Recovery Techniques for Oil and Gas In the U.S., 4–13 and 4–20 through	
4-22-76 (3 documents) 12914 Justice: False Identification Federal Advisory Committee, 4-8-76	, 12915
RESCHEDULED MEETINGS-	
OMB: GNP Data Improvement Advisory Committee, 3–30–76	12935

reminders

(The items in this list were editorially compiled as an aid to FEDERAL REGISTER users. Inclusion or exclusion from this list has no legal significance. Since this list is intended as a reminder, it does not include effective dates that occur within 14 days of publication.)

Rules Going Into Effect Today

List of Public Laws

AGENCY PUBLICATION ON ASSIGNED DAYS OF THE WEEK

Ten agencies have agreed to a six-month trial period based on the assignment of two days a week beginning February 9 and ending August 6 (See 41 FR 5453). The participating agencies and the days assigned are as follows:

Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday .	Friday
NRC	USDA/ASCS		NRC	USDA/ASCS
DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS		DOT/COAST GUARD	USDA/APHIS
DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS		DOT/NHTSA	USDA/FNS
DOT/FAA	USDA/REA	1	DOT/FAA ·	USDA/REA
	CSC			CSC
	LABOR			LABOR

Documents normally scheduled on a day that will be a Federal holiday will be published the next work day following the holiday.

Comments on this trial program are invited and will be received through May 7, 1976. Comments should be submitted to the Director of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408.

ATTENTION: Questions, corrections, or requests for information regarding the contents of this issue <u>only</u> may be made by dialing 202–523–5286. For information on obtaining extra copies, please call 202–523–5240. To obtain advance information from recorded highlights of selected documents to appear in the next issue, dial 202–523–5022.

federal register



Published daily, Monday through Friday (no publication on Saturdays, Sundays, or on official Federal holidays), by the Office of the Federal Register, National Archives and Records Service, General Services Administration, Washington, D.C. 20408, under the Federal Register Act (49 Stat. 500, as amended; 44 U.S.C., Ch. 15) and the regulations of the Administrative Committee of the Federal Register (1 CFR Ch. I). Distribution is made only by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

The Federal Register provides a uniform system for making available to the public regulations and legal notices issued by Federal agencies. These include Presidential proclamations and Executive orders and Federal agency documents having general applicability and legal effect, documents required to be published by Act of Congress and other Federal agency documents of public interest.

The Federal Register will be furnished by mail to subscribers, free of postage, for \$5.00 per month or \$50 per year, payable in advance. The charge for individual copies is 75 cents for each issue, or 75 cents for each group of pages as actually bound. Remit check or money order, made payable to the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

There are no restrictions on the republication of material appearing in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

HIGHLIGHTS—Continued

PART IV:

REAL ESTATE SETTLEMENT

PART II:

GRANTS APPLICATIONS AND CONTRACT PROJECTS

HEW/PHS proposes scientific peer review by 5–28–76	w; comments	lating advance inspection of Uniform ent; comments by 4–28–76 13031		
PART III:	PART V:			
	DADAG			
EFFLUENT GUIDELINES AND STAF	FAIR WARREL	RENTS		
EPA Issues regulations and proposals for manufacturing point source category (2 comments by 4–28–76	documents); HUD amends Sche	HUD amends Schedule B rents for existing housing; effective 3–29–76		
	contents			
THE PRESIDENT	CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION		
Proclamations	Atalian	Notices		
Dry milk mixtures; limitation on imports 12875		VHF TV translator applications 12922 Noncommercial educational FM		
EXECUTIVE AGENCIES	Atlas Bedding Manufacturing Corp 12919	broadcast applications 12923		
AGENCY FOR INTERNATIONAL DEVELOPMENT	DEFENSE DEPARTMENT	FEDERAL DISASTER ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION		
Proposed Rules	Notices	Notices		
Environmental procedures 12896	Meetings.	Disaster areas:		
AGRICULTURE DEPARTMENT Notices	Department of Defense Advisory Group on Utilization of Gravi- metric Data12908	Michigan 12916 New York 12916		
Privacy Act of 1974; systems of records; correction 12915		FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION		
CENSUS BUREAU	ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY	Notices		
Notices	Rules			
Meetings: American Marketing Association, Census Advisory Committee 12915	Water pollution; effluent guide- lines for certain point source categories: Iron and steel manufacturing 12990	Meetings: Consumer Affairs/Special Impact Advisory Committee 12924 State Regulatory Advisory Committee, charter amendment 12924		
CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD Proposed Rules	Proposed Rules			
Charters:	Air quality implementation plans, various States, etc.:	FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION		
Overseas military personnel 12904	Nebraska 12905	Rules		
Notices Hearings, etc.:	Effluent guidelines for certain point source categories:	Flood Insurance Program, National:		
Alaska Airlines, Inc. and Wien Air Alaska, Inc	Iron and steel 13015	Areas eligible for the sale of in- surance (2documents)12892		
Continental Air Lines, Inc.; cor-	Notices	Special hazard areas 12885		
rection 12917 Eastern Air Lines, Inc. and	Pesticide registration: Application 12920			
Piedmont Aviation, Inc 12918	Temporary tolerance:	FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION		
Lake Tahoe Service Investiga- tion 12919	4-butyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole 12920	Notices		
Tri-City Case, service 12919	FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION	Agreements filed, etc.: Barber Lines, et al. (2 docu-		
COAST GUARD	Rules	ments) 12924, 12925		
Rules	Airworthiness directives:	Transconex, Inc. and Econo- caribe Consolidators, Inc 12925		
Private aids to navigation: South Carolina12878	Foirchild 19977	FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION		
Proposed Rules	Transition area12877	SERVICE		
Anchorage grounds: Massachusetts 12901	Proposed Rules	Notices		
COMMERCE DEPARTMENT	Restricted areas 12904	Meetings:		
See also Census Bureau.	Transition areas (7 documents) 12901- 12904	Arbitration Services Advisory Committee 12925		
Notices		Committee 12925		
Committees; establishment, re-	Notices	FEDERAL PAPERWORK COMMISSION		
newals, etc.: International Legal Metrology,	Meetings: Radio Technical Commission for	Notices		
Advisory Committee 12915	Aeronautics 12917	Hearings 12919		

CONTENTS

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION Notices	HOUSING PRODUCTION AND MORTGAGE CREDIT, OFFICE OF ASSISTANT SECRE- TARY	Transportation of radioactive material by air and other modes 12937
Hearings. etc.:	Rules	Applications, etc.:
Texas Eastern Transmission		Caroline Power & Light Co. (3
Corp 12926	Fair market rents:	documents) 12931, 12932
FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM	Existing housing 13041	Cleveland Electric Illuminating
Notices	INTERIOR DEPARTMENT	Co. et al 12938
Federal Open Market Committee:	See also Fish and Wildlife Service;	Commonwealth Edison Co.,
Domestic operations authoriza-	Hearings and Appeals Office,	et al
tion 12926	Interior Department; National	Consolidated Edison Co. of New
Applications, etc.:	Park Service.	York, Inc 12933
Landmark Banking Corp 12926		Dairyland Power Coop 12934
	Economic Conditions Task	Iowa Electric Light & Power Co. 12934
FISCAL SERVICE	Group; Committee on En-	Jersey Central Power & Light
Notices	hanced Recovery Techniques	Co., et al 12935 Northeast Nuclear Energy Co.,
Surety companies acceptable on	for Oil and Gas in the U.S. (3	et al 12935
Federal bonds:	documents, 12914, 12915	Northern States Power Co 12935
Aetna Casualty & Surety Co. of	INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Ohio Edison Co., et al 12937
Illinois 12908	INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION	Philadelphia Electric Co., et al_ 12936
Automobile Insurance Co. of	Notices	Power Authority of the State of
Hartford, Conn 12908	Import investigations:	New York, et al 12936
FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE	Overlapping digital movements 12931	Wisconsin Electric Power Co.,
Notices	INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION	et al 12937
Endangered species permits; ap-		Yankee Atomic Electric Co 12936
plications (2 documents) _ 12908, 12909	Notices	Regulatory guides; issuance and
	Abandonment of railroad services,	availability 12931
FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION	etc.:	PUBLIC HEALTH SERVICE
Rules	Abilene and Southern Railway	Proposed Rules
Editorial amendments; correction	Co 12944 Louisville and Nashville Rail-	Scientific peer review of grant ap-
(2 documents) 12878	road Co12944	plications and contract proj-
OCHER LA OCRANICA ARMANIA DE LA COLONIA DE L	Seaboard Coast Line Railroad	ects 12985
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION	Co 12945	
Notices	Hearing assignments (2 docu-	SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE
Small Business Emergency Relief	ments) 12940, 12943	COMMISSION
Act. temporary regulation im-	Motor carriers:	Rules
plementing 12927	Temporary authority applica-	Investment adviser; exclusion
HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE	tions 12941	from definition 12878
DEPARTMENT	Transfer proceedings (2 docu-	Notices
See also Food and Drug Admin-	ments) 12940, 12941	Hearings, etc.:
istration: Public Health Service.	JUSTICE DEPARTMENT	Boston Stock Exchange Clearing
		Corp 12938
HEARINGS AND APPEALS OFFICE	Notices	New York Stock Exchange, Inc 12939
Notices	Meetings:	1110 12939
Applications, etc.:	False Identification, Federal Ad-	SOCIAL AND REHABILITATION SERVICE
Bartley and Bartley Coal Co 12911	visory Committee on 12908	Rules
Elkay Mining Co	MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET OFFICE	Medical assistance programs:
Incoal Coal Co., Inc	Notices	Resident rights in intermediate
Newsome Coal Co 12913		care facility 12883
Southern Appalachian Coal Co. 12914	Clearance of reports; list of requests 12938	TOANCOODTATION DEDARTMENT
	Meetings:	TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT
HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT	Advisory Committee on GNP	See also Coast Guard; Federal
DEPARTMENT	Data Improvement 12938	Aviation Administration.
See also Federal Disaster Assist-		Notices
ance Administration; Federal	NATIONAL PARK SERVICE	Authority delegations:
Insurance Administration.	Rules	Systems Development and Tech-
Proposed Rules	National Capital Parks:	nology, Assistant Secretary 12917
Real estate settlement proce-	Demonstration and special	TREASURY DEPARTMENT
dures 13031	event permits 12879	See Fiscal Service.
Notices		
Authority delegations:	NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	VETERANS ADMINISTRATION
Consumer Affairs and Regula-	Notices	Notices
tory Functions, Assistant Sec-	Environmental statements; avail-	Privacy Act of 1974:
retary 12917	ability, etc.:	Additional routine use 12940

list of cfr parts affected in this issue

The following numerical guide is a list of the parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published in today's issue. A cumulative list of parts affected, covering the current month to date, follows beginning with the second issue of the month.

A Cumulative List of CFR Sections Affected is published separately at the end of each month. The guide lists the parts and sections affected by documents published since the revision date of each title.

3 CFR	22 CFR	36 CFR
PROCLAMATIONS: 4423 12875	PROPOSED RULES:	50 12879
	216 12896	40 050
14 CFR 39 (2 documents) 12877	24 CFR	40 CFR 420 12990
71 12877	888 13042	PROPOSED RULES:
Proposed Rules: 71 (7 documents) 12901-12904	1914 (2 documents) 12892, 12894 1915 12885	52 12905 420 13015
73 12904 372 12904	PROPOSED RULES: 13032	42 CFR
17 CFR 275 12878	33 CFR	PROPOSED RULES: 52h12986
21 CFR	66 12878	3211 12900
121 12878	Proposed Rules:	45 CFR
430 12878	110 12901	249 12883

CUMULATIVE LIST OF PARTS AFFECTED DURING MARCH

The following numerical guide is a list of parts of each title of the Code of Federal Regulations affected by documents published to date during March.

1 CFR	7 CFR—Continued	12 CFR—Continued
Ch. 1	Proposed Rules—Continued	526 9297
41510413	91111841	5459297, 11017, 12009 5469131, 11017
PROPOSED RULES:	917 10231	5569133, 12009
304 9188	958 12040	5639132, 9297, 10414
3 CFR	99111530	5719133, 12009
PROCLAMATIONS:	101111432	Proposed Rules:
4420 9083	103311432	11 9884
442110209	106012436	20711324
442211267	106112436	217 10917, 12039
442312875	106310612 106812436	22011324
EXECUTIVE ORDERS:	106912436	22111324
	107010612	226 10077
11533 (Amended by EO 11907) 9085 11846 (See EO 11907) 9085	107612436	329 9896, 11561-11563
11861 (Amended by EO 11908) 11805	1078 10612	33711563
11907 9085	1079 10612	40511323
1190811805	109011432	545 8980, 10452
MEMORANDUMS:	110111432	13 CFR
March 16, 1976 11269	1131 9892	121 9297, 11477
	. 1140 9182	122 10415
4 CFR	1701 9556, 9557, 12069	Proposed Rules:
PROPOSED RULES:	1861 12041 1871 12041	107 8800
10 9570	187212041	11310234
414 9562	1955 12041	120 10234
	400 42014	
5 CFR	8 CFR	14 CFR
213 9533,	204 11015, 11171	11 11271
10059, 10609, 10610, 11013, 11463,	23811016	39 8766,
11464, 12007, 12635	29911016	9298-9301, 10416, 10417, 10877-
550 12635	34111172	10879, 11271-11273, 11811, 12275,
7 CFR	499	12276, 12877
2 9355	PROPOSED RULES:	719301,
5111312, 11464	212 10231	9302, 9859, 9860, 10418, 11273-
689857		11275, 11812, 12276–12278, 12877 73 9302, 9860, 12278
2159533	9 CFR	75 9302, 12278, 12279
225 9533	9 CFR	9112279
271 11464	568944	9510879
301 11466	73 9542, 10059, 10597, 11017, 12008	97 9303, 10418, 12279, 12280
331 8943	76	99 10419
354 8765, 8944	78 10059, 12635	121 10911
722 9540	3318945	21712281
723	35112637	22111018
9058765, 12215	381 8945	24112282, 12286
9079356,	PROPOSED RULES:	293 9305 302 10598
10438, 10877, 11313, 11807, 12273	31211531	3749303
908 10439, 12273	32211531	39910599
9109858.		12069307
10440, 10597, 11476, 12007, 12679	10 CFR	122111276
971 10440		PROPOSED RULES:
989 8944, 11013	211808	I ROPOSED IVULES.
99111475	205 9088	39 9365-9367,
1701 11014	2109088 2129088	10447, 10915, 11323, 12305
1804 10441 10074	70312215	619366
1822	Proposed Rules:	71 9367-
1918 10441		9371, 9558, 9893, 10447, 10448
198011807	14011327	10915, 10916, 11323, 11841-11842 12901-12904
PROPOSED RULES:	211 9196, 9391 212 9196, 9199, 9381, 9391, 10075	73 9558, 10448, 12904 75 9372
17 9892, 12304	12 CFR	918797
29 10068		93 9372, 10449
709982	7 10211	103 9188
27011532	208 10061	207 9189, 10916
27111532	217 10062	208 9189, 10916
275 11532		212 9189, 10910
36011028 6509363	2509859	214 9189, 10910
9363	30312274	217 9189, 10910
710	900	
71912690	32911477	241 9189, 10627, 10916
719 12690 728 10069 908 12229	3378946	2419189, 10627, 1091 2499189, 1091 3021222

372 12904 1500	14 CFR—Continued	19 CFR—Continued	21 CFR—Continued
371 9189, 10916 10916 1092 1296 9055 12917 1292 1305 150 CFR 1292 144 0. 1133 3305 1305 150 CFR 24 . 9585, 12017, 1220 1303 8789 1303 1280 1305 12918 1305	PROPOSED RULES—Continued		
372 12004 Parrocase NULSS:			229 9557
388 9189, 1916 1		PROPOSED RULES:	43011533
15 CFR	389 9189, 10916	1 8800, 10230	436 11533
10	000	18 12017	44011533
10	15 CFR	24 9555, 12017, 12229	13038794
10	30 9134		
2000. 9907. 12299 405. 9307 404. 12222 2006. 9307 405. 9	50 8767	20 CFR	22 CFR
2006. 9307, 1298 465. 9307 1298 465. 10215 10225 462. 10230 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 463. 10215, 10265 464. 10215, 10265 464. 10215, 10265 465. 10215, 10265 465. 10215, 10265 465. 10215, 10265 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 10215, 10225 465. 102	2002 9307	404 12222	601 0219
16 CFR	2006 9307, 12299	4059307	001
2. 9860 doi: 10215, 10903 dec.		410 10425	
1	16 CFR	41612222	
3. 9800 (18. 12152 210-12162 1	29860		10000
13	3 9860		
13	49860	619 12162	
992, 1942, 1942, 1142, 11812, 11817, 404. 10446, 12018, 12035 130. 10430 407. 10430, 11818, 11819, 11819, 11819, 11810, 11810, 1182, 11819, 11810, 11	139860		23 CFR
125, 1476-14802, 1616-14802,	9862, 10419, 10420, 11172, 11209,	10440 10010 1000	130 10430
10	11283, 11478–11482, 11812–11817	10562 19025	
1905		0.50	
1201			
1207	1201 8798		
1607	1207 9307, 10062		719 9391
1015			713 9321
PROPOSED RULES: 3		19875	110
PROPOSED RULES: 437. 10453 8. 9875, 10885 455. 10232 10. 10885 1500. 9512 29. 10885 1507. 9512 12. 95085 1507. 9512 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9512 12. 9518 1700. 9512 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9513 12. 9518 1700. 9518 1700. 9518 1700. 951	1616 9864	2,	740 9321
487. 10453 6 9875 10885 770 9321 4854. 10223 10 10885 1500. 9512 29 10885 1507. 9512 121 9.543.9543.1011, 1260.1507. 9512 121 9.543.9543. 1700. 9561, 11555 10216, 10885-10888, 10984, 11011, 1230. 9561. 17 CFR 12878 8075, 11483 205 203 11488 20. 9585 1356. 97149 203 11488 20. 9896 1356. 97149 203 11286, 1224 200. 9894 1356. 97149 203 11286, 1224 200. 10599, 12688 310. 9864, 10885 227 11287 240. 10599, 12688 310. 98944, 10885 227 11287 250. 8767 314 93917, 10885 231 11287 250. 8767 314 9317, 10885 231 11287 250. 1369 10894 336 10885 223 11287 275. 12878 369 10885 232 11287 275. 12878 369 10885 232 11287 276. 8794 48 10886 235 11287, 11484 1 9528 433 10886 235 11287, 11484 1 12306 444 10886 570 10592, 11287, 11484 241 12306 444 10886 570 10592, 11128, 12686 249 10078 12306 440 10886 275 11818 241 12306 444 10886 570 10592, 11128, 12686 249 10078 12306 440 10886 275 11818 241 12306 444 10886 670 10592, 11128, 12686 249 10078 48 10886 88 1304 249 1088 48 10886 88 11304 249 1088 11285, 11482 510 1011 270. 8799 448 10886 10886 950 10994 1128, 12680 240 9865 505 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 505 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 556 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 526 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 1011 270. 9867 566 10886, 10886 282 10894 1118-11186, 11491, 11819, 12224, 122685 284 10628 249 1078 284 10628 249 1078 285 1185 1185 1086 1011 286 29 1084 1181-11186, 11491, 11819, 12224, 122681, 12285, 122864 285 1185 1185 1185 1185 1185 1185 1185 1	PROPOSED RULES.		
437		49317	751 0321
10		8 9875, 10885	770 9321
1500			7719321
1507.			
1700			
17 CFR			
17 CFR	1700 9561, 11555		
2. 9552 355. 9149 207 11286 12224 200 8949 1356. 9149 213 213 11286 12224 200 10 9865 355 9149 210 11281 11287 200 11286 2230 11201 210 11011 211 11287 220 11287 220 11287 220 11287 220 23	17 CED		
200			
201			
1201			210
240			
255			
PROPOSED RULES: 430			221
PROPOSED RULES:			
PROPOSED RULES: 431. 10886 235 11287, 11484	275 12878		202
1	PROPOSED RULES:		201
32_ 9189 436_ 10886 244 11287 240_ 10078, 12306 440	,		200
240			212
241 12306 444 10886 570 10592, 11128, 12680 249 10078 446 10886 805 10152 270 8799 448 10886 886 13170 18 CFR 49 10886 1909 12680 2 9865 505 10886, 1011 1914 9150-9152 35 11285, 1482 510 11011 11287, 12681, 12892, 12894 141 1286 520 9149, 11011 11287, 12681, 12892, 12894 154 10421 522 8976, 9150, 10426, 11011 1916 9356, 11485, 12895 156 9867 526 10984 1917 9356, 11485, 12895 101 9568, 12306 546 10886, 11011 1916 8951, 10431, 11019, 12682, 12684 101 9569, 12306 546 10886, 11011 11181-11186, 11491, 11819, 12224, 201 9569, 12306 548 10886, 10984 12225, 12685 12225, 12685 201 9569, 12306 548			211
249			210
270			0,0
18 CFR			000
18 CFR	210 8798		000
2	18 CFR		000
1285		10000 11011	1000
141		#4.6	
154			1015 0256 11405 12005
260			
PROPOSED RULES: 529		****	1910 0950,
PROPOSED RULES: 539	200 980	529	
35. 9569 540. 9150, 10063, 10886, 10984 12225, 12685 101 9569, 12306 544. 10886, 11011 104. 9569, 12306 548. 10886, 11011 104. 9569, 12306 548. 10886, 10986 11011 10886, 11011 10886, 11011 108954, 9153, 10216, 10217, 11819, 1154. 9569 555. 9150 555. 9150 558. 9150, 9875, 10063, 10216, 10426, 11011 108954, 9153, 10216, 10217, 11819, 11018 10886 10984 10986 10	PROPOSED RULES:		
101		E40 0150 10000 10000 10004	
104 9569, 12306 546 10886, 1011 8954, 9153, 10216, 10217, 11819, 1141 1225-1227, 12685-12687 154 9569, 12306 555 955 9150, 9875, 10063, 10216, 10426, 11011 9569, 12306 60 10428, 10762, 10888 242 13032 260 12306 610 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 19 CFR 620 10884 60 10888 570 8797 1 10212, 10602 630 10428, 10762, 10888 570 8797 1 10212, 10602 640 10762 880 9682 880 9682 880 9682 881 882 9997 12 10602 FROPOSED RULES: 888 882 9997 142 10602 9682 880 9682 9997 144 1118 201 9557 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		E44 10000 11011	
141 12306 548 10886 12225-12227, 12685-12687 154 9569 558 9150, 9875, 10063, 10216, 10426, 11011 PROPOSEL RULES: 201 9569, 12306 561 8768, 8975, 10426, 11286, 11483 82 13032 260 12306 600 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 610 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 620 10884 570 8797 1 10212, 10602 640 10762 880 9682 4 10884 1301 9546 882 997 142 10602 1301 9557 1917 8978 144 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,			
154		E40	
201 9569, 12306 558 9150, 9875, 10063, 10216, 10426, 11011 PROPOSED RULES: 204 9569, 12306 600 10428, 10762, 10888 2203 11558 19 CFR 620 10428, 10762, 10888 570 8797 1 10212, 10602 630 10429 66 10313 4 10884 600 10762 880 9682 4 10884 1301 9546 882 9978 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 1888 888 8882 9978 144 11118 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		EEE 0150	
204 9569, 12306 561 8768, 8975, 10426, 11286, 11483 82 13032 260 12306 600 10428 203 11558 19 CFR 610 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 1 10212, 10602 630 10429 866 10313 4 10884 1301 9546 882 9927 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 882 9987 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		FFO 0150 0005 10000 10010 10100 1101	
260 12306 600 10428 203 11553 19 CFR 610 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 1 10212, 10602 630 10429 866 10313 4 10884 1301 9546 882 9937 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 88 882 9978 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		EC1 0700 0075 10400 11000 11400	
19 CFR 610 10428, 10762, 10888 242 10625 1 10212, 10602 630 10429 866 10313 4 10884 1301 9546 882 9682 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 8882 9878 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		000	
19 CFR 620 10888 570 8797 1 10212, 10602 630 10429 866 10313 4 10884 1301 9546 982 997 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 882 997 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,	2300	61010428, 10762, 10888	200 11000
1 10212, 10602 630 10429 866 10313 4 10884 1301 9546 880 9682 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 882 997 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,	19 CFR		272
4 10884 640 10762 880 9682 6 10884 1301 9546 882 997 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 8882 9882 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		63010429	966 10313
6 10884 1301 9546 882 9997 142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 8882 144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		640	
142 10602 PROPOSED RULES: 888 8882 144 -11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,		1201	000111111111111111111111111111111111111
144 11018 201 9557 1917 8978, 159 11018 207 9183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,			004
15911018 2079183, 9557 9183-9188, 9364, 11319-11322,			1017
10212 210 9557 12251			
	10212	210 9557	14401

25 CFR	32 CFR—Continued	40 CFR—Continued
PROPOSED RULES:	1215 9119	112 12657
11 11530	1216 9119	124 11303, 11458
43m10611	1217 9123	125 11303, 11458
221 1268\$	1219 9123	180 8770,
	1220 9124	42012990
26 CFR	1221 9124	9344, 10605, 11307, 11514, 12012
	1225 9125	430 12012
Ch. 1 8769 1 9321, 9546, 10910, 11020, 11491, 12638	1250 9125	43410894
21020	PROPOSED RULES:	436 10895
419875		457 10180
539321	243 9173	60011506
	1450 8984	1510 12658
30111020 60111021, 12640	14518984	PROPOSED RULES:
001 11021, 12020	1470 8984 1471 8984	50 11050
PROPOSED RULES:	14728984	5011258 511263
1 8800, 9891, 10918, 12017	14738984	52 9376, 9377, 10069, 12905
20 8800	14748984	531263
	14758984	5510071
27 CFR	14778984	180 8798, 12305
5 10217, 11022, 11497	14808984	42013015
18 10432, 11497	14988984	42312674
PROPOSED RULES:	14998984	43012039
412304	190112622	457
Inc	2000	201111111111111111111111111111111111111
28 CFR	33 CFR	41 CFR
16 12640	25 9328	5A-111023
20 11714	6612878	5A-211023
50 10222	82 8769	5A-311023
5712302	117 10434, 11288, 11289	5A-611025
	127 12301	5A-711025
29 CFR	15312628	5A-811025
5 10063	183 11290, 12301	5A-911025
608954, 12654	209 9338	5A-1611025
89 9066, 12010	40112227	5A-30 12013
9410774	PROPOSED RULES:	5A-5312013
97 10774, 11819		5A-7211025
20110604	110 8794, 12901	5A-74 11026
190411022	117 10446, 10914	9-4 10435, 10606
1928 10190, 11022	207 10068	9-16 10435
19528955,		14-1
9547, 10063, 10064, 12654, 12655	36 CFR	14-4
26098769	2 9553	14-7
2612 12302	5012879	14-10 8972, 11174
	PROPOSED RULES:	14-11
PROPOSED RULES:		51-4
601 11038	7 11530, 12304	51-511515
69911038	5011530	101-11 3771
73011038	221 9363	101–3011307
1910 10625, 12716	26112691	PROPOSED RULES:
1952 11038, 12716, 12717	293 12691	14-1 12688
	38 CFR	
30 CFR		42 CFR
11 10892, 12302	312655, 12656	122 12812
7110223	Proposed Rules:	
10220	3 9201, 9396	Proposed Rules:
31 CFR	411291	52h 12986
	2112040	54b11553
22310604	12010	10011688
20 050	39 CFR	101 12692, 12693
32 CFR		12211688
41 9088	PROPOSED RULES:	12311688
245 9322	111 9395	
1201 9093	40 CCD	43 CFR
1202 9105	40 CFR	4110 11822
1203 9106	35 9340	4700 9879
1204 9110	5011253	540012658
1205 9110	5111253	5420 12658
1206 9112	52 8769,	
1207 9114	8770, 9339, 9547, 10064, 10223,	Proposed Rules:
1208 9115	11819, 12010	5 12689
1209 9116	5311255	20 11838
1210 9116	6011820	310011314
1212 9116	61 11820	3206 8794
	8010065 869878	32068794 35009363 35209363, 11035

15	43 CFR—Continued	47 CFR	49 CFR—Continued
1	PUBLIC LAND ORDERS:	0 9550	1202 9157, 9162
\$5573	5572 8975	1 9345 9550 12424	1203 9157, 9162
5574			1204 9158, 9163
S575			1205 9158, 9163
5576		15 9345	1206 9159, 9164
1800 12066 10224 1036 10225 1208 1208 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1089 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096 1068 1098 1096			1207 9159, 9164
S578			1208 9159, 9165
45 CFR			1209 9160, 9165
Section Sect			1210 9160, 9166
99 9062 97 8780, 1131, 1102, 12016 1249 11521 121a 11310 2 9884 160f 9882 15 9189, 12039 177 8975 73 8795, 9568, 10231, 12012 1205 12014 10916, 10917, 11029-11032, 11556, 12231, 12249 1112 1205 12014 10916, 10917, 11029-11032, 11556, 12231, 12687 1228 1288 8791 12288 8791 87 9894, 11560 179 9188 1228 8791 87 98994, 11560 390 8971 1201 8790 1602 11842 218 10914 255 9692 1108 11084 3391 1607 9376 325 10914 255 9692 1108 11048 3391 1607 9376 325 10914 255 9692 1108 11048 3391 1606 1060 9571 571 8783, 9350, 10451, 11312 1239 11566 1600 9571 571 8783, 9350, 10451, 11312 1239 11566 1600 10600 10600 11312 1033 8971, 8972, 10227, 10909, 12679 163 10437 1043 971, 8972, 10227, 10909, 12679 160 10437 1043 971, 8972, 10227, 10909, 12679 160 10437 1043 971, 8783, 9350, 10451, 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1606 10600 10600 10600 10000 11312 1239 11566 1330 10016 1253 11566 1330 10	45 CFR		1241 11521
100d	99 9062	97 8780, 11311, 11823, 12016	1249 11521
121a	100d 10436	PROPOSED RULES	125011521
148	121a11310	I NOT OBED IVELED.	125111521
160f	148 10200		Ch. X
177.		15 9189, 12039	
12015		73	PROPOSED RULES:
12015	19211311		171 9188, 10627
12215		10916, 10917, 11029-11032, 11556,	173 11179
\$\frac{1249}{1221} \			178 11179
1221		81 9894, 11560	179 9188
1228			390 8979
Table			571 9374
PROPOSED RULES: 49 CFR 801 1156E 162 11842 218 10904 1049 9397 205 10914 255 9692 1108 11034, 12231 1067 9376 325 10225 1056 11326 121d 11180 393 9882, 12228 1210 11565 1600 9571 571 8783, 9350, 10451, 11312 1239 11565 1604 10629 581 9346 1253 11565 1605 10629 613 10316 Ch. X 9202 46 CFR 8971, 8972, 10227, 10909, 12679 25 50 CFR 160 10437 1043 10910 26 9167 163 10447 1043 10910 26 9167 401 8775 1057 10228 28 9171, 9355, 11028 503 9153 1084 10910 32 9171, 9355, 11028 510 9549 1102 11824 240 9171, 9355, 11028 527 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 28 9177 9177 540 9549 1201 <td></td> <td></td> <td>581 9374</td>			581 9374
162		49 CER	801 11565
162			1048 9397
205			1049 9397
121d		255 9692	1108 11034, 12231
1600		325 10225	1056 11326
1604 10629 581 9346 1253 11565 1605 10630 1000 11312 1316 1316 1316 1316 1317 46 CFR 10437 1043 8971, 8972, 10227, 10909, 12679 25 9166 9167 163 10437 1043 10910 26 9167 401 8775 1056 9551, 11523 27 9168 503 9153 1084 10910 32 9171, 9355, 11026 510 9548 1100 8790 32 9171, 9355, 11026 527 9549 1102 11824 240 91438 536 9154 1125 12836 280 11523 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 280 11523 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 20 9177 28 1269 146 9188 1269 1314		393 9882, 12228	1210 11565
1605 10629 613 10316 Ch. X 9202 1606 10630 1000 11312 46 CFR 1033 8790, 50 CFR 160 10437 1043 10910 26 9167 163 10437 1056 9551, 11523 27 9168 401 8775 1057 10228 28 9171, 9355, 11026 503 9153 1084 10910 32 9171, 9355, 11026 510 9548 1100 8790 33 9355, 10438, 11026 527 9549 1102 11824 240 9355, 10438, 11026 536 9154 1125 12836 280 11523 540 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 64 9188 1201 9157, 9162 9177 28 12689 146 9188 12689		5718783, 9350, 10451, 11312	1239 11565
1606			1253 11565
1606			Ch. X 9202
160	1606 10630	100011312	
160 10437 1043 10910 26 9167 163 10437 1056 9551, 11523 27 9167 401 8775 1057 10228 28 9171, 9355, 11026 503 9153 1084 10910 32 10438 510 9549 1100 8790 33 9355, 10438, 11026 527 9549 1102 11824 240 9055, 10438, 11026 536 9154 1125 12836 280 11523 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 280 11523 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 64 9188 28 9177 146 9188 29 11314	AS CEP		50 CFR
163 1043 1043 10910 26 9167 401 8775 1057 1028 28 9171, 9355, 11026 503 9153 1084 10910 32 9171, 9355, 11026 510 9548 1100 8790 33 9355, 10438, 11026 527 9549 1102 11824 240 9157 536 9154 1125 12836 280 1067 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 64 9188 28 9177 146 9188 29 13144			259166
401 8775 1055 9511, 1123 27 9168 503 9153 1084 10910 32 9171, 9355, 11026 510 9548 1100 8790 33 9355, 10438, 11026 527 9549 1102 11824 240 9355, 10438, 11026 536 9154 1125 12836 280 11523 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 540 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 20 9177 28 12689 146 9188 29 11314			26 9167
1057			279168
510 9548 11084 10910 32 10438 527 9549 1102 11824 240 9355, 10438, 11026 536 9154 1125 12336 280 1067 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 9167 9162 9177 540 9549 9549 9157, 9162 9167 9162 917 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 20 917 917 4 9188 28 9188 146 9188 29 11314		1057 10228	28 9171 9355 11026
527 9549 1102 33 9355, 10438, 11026 536 9154 1122 11824 240 10067 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 280 11523 540 9549 1201 9157, 9162 PROPOSED RULES: PROPOSED RULES: 20 9177 64 9188 28 12689 146 9188 29 13134		1084 10910	32
536 9154 1125 12836 280 10067 537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 PROPOSED RULES: PROPOSED RULES: 64 9188 28 28 9177 20 9177 20 9177 21 21838 22 12689 28 12689		1100 8790	33 9355 10429 11026
537 9549 1201 12536 280 11523 540 9157, 9162 PROPOSED RULES: 17 10912 64 9188 20 9177 64 12689 146 19188 29 113134			240
537 9549 1201 9157, 9162 PROPOSED RULES: PROPOSED RULES: 64 9188 28 9188 146 9188 29 11314		1125 12836	280
PROPOSED RULES: 17	537 9549		
649188 2812689 1469188 2911314	540 9549		
64	PROPOSED RULES:		
1469188 2911314	649188		
			29
10401			240
	10010		10401

FEDERAL REGISTER PAGES AND DATES-MARCH

Pages	Date	Pages	Date	Pages	Date
8765-8941 8943-9082 9083-9296 9297-9531 9533-9856 9857-10058 10059-10207	2 3 4 5 8	10209-10412	11 12 15 16	11463-11803	22 23 24 25 26



presidential documents

Title 3—The President

Proclamation 4423

March 26, 1976

IMPORT LIMITATION ON DRY MILK MIXTURES

By the President of the United States of America

A Proclamation

Import quota limitations have been imposed on certain dairy products, including dried milk, pursuant to the provisions of Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended (7 U.S.C. 624). Those limitations are set forth in Part 3 of the Appendix to the Tariff Schedules of the United States, which schedules are hereinafter referred to as TSUS, under items 950.01, 950.02, and 950.03, and relate to products classified for tariff purposes under items 115.45, 115.50, 115.55, 115.60, and 118.05 of Schedule 1 of the TSUS.

The Secretary of Agriculture has advised me that he has reason to believe that dry milk, containing not more than 5.5 percent butterfat by weight, mixed with other ingredients, and thus classified for tariff purposes under items of the TSUS other than the items referenced above, are being, and are practically certain to be, imported under such conditions and in such quantities as to render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere with, the price support program now conducted by the Department of Agriculture for milk, or to reduce substantially the amount of products processed in the United States from domestic milk.

I agree that there is reason for such belief by the Secretary of Agriculture, and, therefore, have requested the United States International Trade Commission to make an immediate investigation with respect to this matter, pursuant to said Section 22.

The Secretary of Agriculture has also determined and reported to me with regard to such dry milk mixtures that a condition exists which requires emergency treatment and that the import restrictions hereinafter proclaimed should be imposed immediately without awaiting the recommendations of the United States International Trade Commission.

On the basis of the information submitted to me, I find and declare that:

- (a) Such dry milk mixtures are being imported, or are practically certain to be imported, into the United States under such conditions and in such quantities as to render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere with, the price support program now conducted by the Department of Agriculture for milk, or to reduce substantially the amount of products processed in the United States from domestic milk;
- (b) a condition exists which requires the immediate imposition of the import limitations hereinafter set forth, without awaiting the recommendations of the United States International Trade Commission with respect to such action;
- (c) there is no representative period, within the meaning of the first proviso to subsection (b) of the said Section 22, for imports of the said dry milk mixtures; and
- (d) the imposition of the import limitation hereinafter proclaimed is necessary in order that the entry, or withdrawal from warehouse, for consumption of such dry milk mixtures will not render or tend to render ineffective, or materially interfere

with, the price support program now conducted by the Department of Agriculture for milk, or reduce substantially the amount of products processed in the United States from domestic milk.

NOW, THEREFORE, I, GERALD R. FORD, President of the United States of America, by virtue of the authority vested in me by Section 22 of the Agricultural Adjustment Act, as amended, do hereby proclaim that Part 3 of the Appendix to the TSUS is amended by adding after item 950.18 the following:

44	Articles	Quota Quantity
950.19	Dried milk (described in items 115.45, 115.50, 115.55, and 118.05) which contains not over 5.5 percent by weight of butterfat and which is mixed with other ingredients, including but not limited to sugar, if such mixtures are capable of being further processed or mixed with similar or other	None
	ingredients and are not prepared for marketing to the retail consumers in the identical form and package in which imported; all the foregoing mixtures wherever classified under the Tariff Schedules of the United States.	,

Pending Presidential action upon receipt of the report and recommendations of the United States International Trade Commission with respect thereto, the limitation established by item 950.19 shall be applicable to articles entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on and after the effective date of this proclamation. Articles which were exported to the United States on a through bill of lading or which were in a bonded warehouse, but not entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption prior to the date of publication of this proclamation, shall not be denied entry under the import limitation herein proclaimed.

This proclamation shall be effective on the second day following the day it is published in the Federal Register.¹

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this twenty-sixth day of March, in the year of our Lord nineteen hundred seventy-six, and of the Independence of the United States of America the two hundredth.

Gerall R. Ford

[FR Doc.76-9025 Filed 3-26-76;10:58 am]

¹ The effective date of Proclamation 4423 is March 31, 1976.

rules and regulations

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains regulatory documents having general applicability and legal effect most of which are keyed to and codified in the Code of Federal Regulations, which is published under 50 titles pursuant to 44 U.S.C. 1510.

The Code of Federal Regulations is sold by the Superintendent of Documents. Prices of new books are listed in the first FEDERAL REGISTER Issue of each month.

Title 14—Aeronautics and Space

CHAPTER I—FEDERAL AVIATION ADMIN-ISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF TRANS-PORTATION

[Airspace Docket No. 75-CE-20]

ART 71—DESIGNATION OF FEDERAL AIRWAYS, AREA LOW ROUTES, CON-TROLLED AIRSPACE, AND REPORTING

Designation of Transition Area

On Page 3746 of the FEDERAL REGISTER dated January 26, 1976, the Federal Aviation Administration published a Notice of Proposed Rule Making which would amend § 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a transition area at Orange City, Iowa.

Interested persons were given 30 days to submit written comments, suggestions or objections regarding the proposed amendment.

No objections have been received and the proposed amendment is hereby adopted without change and is set forth

below. This amendment shall be effective 0901 GMT, July 15, 1976.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348), and of Section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Kansas City, Missouri, on March 12, 1976.

> GEORGE R. LACAILLE, Acting Director, Central Region.

In § 71.181 (41 FR 440), the following transition area is added:

ORANGE CITY, IOWA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the Orange City Municipal Airport (latitude 42°59'25" N., longitude 96°03'45" W.); within 3 miles each side of the 172 bearing from the Orange City Municipal Airport, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 81/2 miles south of the airport.

[FR Doc.76-8558 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 15497; Amdt. 39-2653]

PART 39-AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES Hawker Siddeley Aviation Ltd., DH-114 "Heron" Airplanes

There have been reports of cracks occurring in the nose landing gear inner casing on Hawker Siddeley DH-114 "Heron" airplanes that could result in the collapse of the nose landing gear on landing. Since this condition is likely to exist or develop in other airplanes of the same type design, an airworthiness

directive is being issued which requires the inspection and replacement as necessary of the inner casings on Hawker Siddeley DH-114 "Heron" airplanes.

Since a situation exists that requires the immediate adoption of this regulation, it is found that notice and public procedure hereon are impracticable and good cause exists for making this amendment effective in less than 30 days.

This amendment is made under the authority of sections 313(a), 601, and 603 of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421, and 1423) and of section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

In consideration of the foregoing, and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator (14 CFR 11.89), § 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

HAWKER SIDDELEY AVIATION LTD. Applies to de Havilland Model DH-114 "Heron" airplanes certificated in all categories which have not been altered in accordance with Heron Modification 1612.

Compliance is required as indicated. To detect cracks in the nose landing gear inner casing, and prevent the possible col-lapse of the nose landing gear upon landing. accomplish the following:

(a) Within the next 50 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished within the preceding 600 hours time in service, and thereafter, at intervals not to exceed 600 hours time in service from the last inspection, inspect the nose landing gear inner casing for cracks in accordance with paragraphs 3.1 and 3.2 of section 3 entitled "Inspection" of Hawker Siddeley Aviation Ltd., Technical News Sheet No. U.17, Issue 1, dated September 17, 1973, or an FAA-approved equivalent.

(b) If any cracks are found during an inspection required by paragraph (a) of this AD, before further flight, except that the airplane may be flown in accordance with FAR 21.197 to a base where the repair can be performed, replace the inner casing with a new part of the same part number or a servicable used part of the same part number that has been inspected and found to be free of cracks in accordance with the inspection prescribed in paragraph (a) of this AD. Continue to inspect the replacement casing for cracks in accordance with Hawker Siddeley Aviation Ltd., Technical News Sheet, No. U.17, Issue 1, dated September 17, 1973, or an FAA-approved equivalent at intervals not to exceed 600 hours time in service from replacement.

This amendment becomes effective April 12, 1976.

Issued in Washington, D.C. on March 22, 1976.

> J. A. FERRARESE. Acting Director, Flight Standards Service.

[FR Doc.76-8757 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 76-EA-7; Amdt. 39-2562]

PART 39-AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVE **Fairchild Aircraft**

The Federal Aviation Administration is amending section 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to issue an airworthiness directive applicable to F-27 and FH-227 type airplanes.

There have been reports that studs on the main terminal board had loosened so as to cause a loss of DC electrical power. Since this deficiency can exist or develop in aircraft of similar type design, an airworthiness directive is being issued which will require an inspection of the studs.

In view of the foregoing and because the deficiency is one which affects air safety, notice and public procedure hereon are impractical and good cause exists for making the amendment effective in

less than 30 days.

In consideration of the foregoing and pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, 14 CFR 11.89 (31 F.R. 13697) section 39.13 of Part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations is amended by issuing an Airworthiness Directive as follows:

FAIRCHILD AIRCRAFT. Applies to Models F-27 series and FH-227 series aircraft.

Compliance required as indicated: To detect loose studs which may create a hazard,

(a) Within the next 200 hours time in service after the effective date of this AD, unless already accomplished within the last 1000 hours in service, inspect, clean and torque studs on terminal board assembly P/N 27-746011-11 to 100 inch-lbs.

Within the next 1800 hours replace the existing terminal block assembly with an MS 27212 terminal block assembly unless already accomplished. Fairchild Service Bulletin Nos. FH-227-24-11 and F-27-24-15, dated March 1, 1976, covers the replacement of the terminal block.

(c) Upon request of the operator, an FAA Maintenance Inspector, subject to prior approval of the Chief, Engineering and Manufacturing Branch, FAA Eastern Region, may adjust the compliance times specified in this AD if the request contains substantiating data to justify the increase for that operator.

Fairchild Service Letter Nos. F-27-646 and FH-227-24-17, dated January 26, 1976, pertain to this subject.

This amendment is effective April 2. 1976.

(Secs. 313(a), 601 and 603 of the Fed. Aviat. Act 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1354(a), 1421 and 1423), section 6(c) Dept. of Trans. Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)))

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 19, 1976.

DUANE W. FREER. Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.76-8758 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

Title 17—Commodity and Securities
Exchanges

CHAPTER II—SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. IA-503, File No. S7-588]

PART 275—RULES AND REGULATIONS, INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940

Exclusion From the Definition of the Term "Investment Adviser"

Notice is hereby given, That the Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted, effective May 1, 1976, Rule 202-1 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-1 et seq.) ("Advisers Act") which excludes from the definition of investment adviser in section 202(a) (11) (15 U.S.C. 80b-2(a) (11)) of the Advisers Act persons who in the course of their employment advise their employer-sponsored employee benefit plans with respect to investments in securities. Rule 202-1 is adopted pursuant to the authority contained in section 202(a) (11) and Section 211(a) (15 U.S.C. 80b-11(a)) of the Advisers Act.

On September 29, 1975, the Commission published notice (Advisers Act Release No. 478 (40 FR 46118, October 6, 1975) of the proposal to adopt Rule 202-1. In proposing the rule the Commission expressed concern that the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) encouraged persons otherwise exempt from registration to register under the Advisers Act to insulate trustees from liability for a breach of fiduciary duty.

It appears that the apparent motive for such registrations would be to provide insulation for trustees of employee benefit plans for breaches of fiduciary duty by in-house managers. The Commission believes that the Rule would not impair investor protection, but would rather serve to extend the substantial protections of ERISA to beneficiaries of such plans. After consideration, the Commission has revised the language of the original proposal to provide that the

term "investment adviser" in section 202 (a) (11) of the Act shall not include a person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person if such person is not engaged in the business of providing investment advice or management to others and does not hold himself out generally to the public as an investment adviser.²

Accordingly, the Commission has determined that the adoption of the Rule is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purpose intended by the Advisers Act.

The Commission wishes to state that this position should not be viewed as an unfavorable finding with respect to the ability of such persons to act as advisers to employee benefit plans. The rule would not affect the ability of such persons to advise plans in the capacity of trustees or named fiduciaries as provided in ERISA.

Following May 1, 1976 the Commission intends in appropriate cases to exercise its authority (a) under section 203 (15 U.S.C. 80b-3) of the Advisers Act to preclude registration of a person excluded from the definition of investment adviser by Rule 202-1 and (b) under section 203 (h) (15 U.S.C. 80b-3(h)) of the Act to cancel the registration of such a person.

The rule includes natural persons and companies within the definition of person, and defines "employer" to include any company controlling, controlled by or under common control with a person. Thus a wholly-owned, majority-owned or other controlled subsidiary created or used by an employer to manage assets of the employee benefit plans sponsored by the employer would come within the scope of the rule.

The text of Rule 202-1, which shall be effective May 1, 1976, is as follows:

§ 275.202-1 Exclusion of certain persons who offer investment advice to their employer-sponsored employee benefit plans.

The term "investment adviser," in section 202(a) (11) of the Act, shall not include any person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person, if such person is not engaged in the business of providing investment advice or management to others and does not hold himself out generally to the public as an investment adviser. For purposes of this rule "person" shall include a natural person,

¹ Section 3(38) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. 1002 (38)) provides:

or a company which is controlled by or under common control with the employer, and "employer" shall include any company controlling, controlled by or under common control with a person.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, Secretary.

MARCH 12, 1976.

The revised rule would preserve the present applicability of the antifraud provisions of the Advisers Act to persons exempt from registration under the Advisers Act by virtue of section 203(b) (3).

[FR Doc.76-8838 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

Title 21-Food and Drugs

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER B-FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS
PART 430-ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS:
GENERAL

Foods and Drugs Editorial Amendments

Correction

In FR Doc. 76–7241 appearing on page 10885 of the March 15, 1976 issue, in paragraph 18 appearing in the right hand column, the second line which now reads as follows: "(ii), (d) (8) (ii), in form paragraphs" should read as follows: "(ii), (d) (8) (ii), and (d) (8) (iii), in Form paragraphs".

[Docket No. 76F-0024]

PART 121-FOOD ADDITIVES

Foods and Drugs Editorial Amendments Correction

In FR Doc. 76-7239 for the issue of March 15, 1976 appearing on page 10887, in the first column in the table, the third line which reads "thickness not to exceed 0.0016in," should read as follows: "thickness not to exceed 0.016 in,".

Also in the column entitled "Specific gravity" located in the table, the line follows: " 1.01 ± 0.015 " should read as follows: " 1.01 ± 0.015 ".

Also, in the same document and on the same page in the right hand column, the effective date reads as follows: "This regulation shall become effective April 14, 1976" should read as follows: "This regulation shall become effective March 15, 1976".

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters
CHAPTER I—COAST GUARD,
DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION
[CGD 76-015]

PART 66—PRIVATE AIDS TO NAVIGATION State Aids to Navigation, Lake Wylie Within South Carolina

• Purpose. The Coast Guard is amending 33CFR Subpart 66.05, State Aides to Navigation, by adding to § 66.05–100 the designation of the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina as State weters for private aids to navigation. •

The relevant text of the proposed rule was as follows:

was as follows:
The term "investment adviser", in Section 202(a) (11) of the Act, shall not include any person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person, if such person is exempt from registration pursuant to Section 203(b) (3) [U.S.C. 80b-3(b) (3)] of the Act....

The term "investment manager" mean any fiduciary (other than a trustee or named fiduciary, as defined in section 402(a)(2))—
(A) who has the power to manage, acquire, or dispose of any asset of a plan;

⁽B) who is (i) registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940; (ii) is a bank, as defined in the Act; or (iii) is an insurance company qualified to perform services described in subparagraph (A) under the laws of more than one State; and

⁽C) has acknowledged in writing that he is a fiduciary with respect to the plan.

Insulation from liability is provided for trustees by section 405(d)(1) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. 1105(d)(1)), which states:

If an investment manager or managers have been appointed under section 402(c) (3), then, notwithstanding subsection (a) (2) and (3) and subsection (b), no trustee shall be liable for the acts or omissions of such investment manager or managers, or be under an obligation to invest or otherwise manage any asset of the plan which is subject to the management of such investment manager.

The Division of Boating of the South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department requested under 33 CFR 66.05-10 that the Commandant of the Coast Guard designate the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina as State waters for private aids to navigation. The Commandant determined that the State of South Carolina can regulate aids to navigation on Lake Wylie more efficiently and economically than the Coast Guard, which will improve the safety of navigation on Lake Wylie. Therefore the Commandant under 33 CFR 66.05-20 has entered into an agreement with the State of South Carolina designating the portion of Lake Wylie within the State as waters for private aids to navigation. Section 66.05-100 is being amended to add the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina to the waters designated as State waters for private aids to navigation.

This amendment relates to Coast Guard management. Therefore, under 5 U.S.C. 553(a) (2), the notice of proposed rulemaking and public procedure requirements in 5 U.S.C. 553(b) do not apply and it may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the Federal Register.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 66 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new paragraph (g-1) to § 66.05-100 as follows:

§ 66.05-100 Designation of navigable waters as State waters for private aids to navigation.

(g) • • • (1) South Carolina. The portion of Lake Wylie within the State.

(14 U.S.C. 81, 86, 92, 683, 83 U.S.C. 403, 409, 49 U.S.C. 1655(b); 49 CFR 1.46(b))

Effective date: This amendment becomes effective on March 29, 1976.

Dated: March 22, 1976.

E. L. Perry, Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commandant.

[FR Doc.76-8800 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Title 36—Parks, Forests and Public Property

CHAPTER I—NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 50-NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS REGULATIONS

Demonstrations and Special Events; Permit Requirements

On December 18, 1975, notice was given in the Federal Register, 40 FR 58651 (1975), proposing to revise what has heretobefore been designated as the "public gathering" permit regulations applicable in areas under administration by National Capital Parks, National Park Service, Department of the Interior. The proposed revision is intended to comply with an order on mandate issued by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in A Quaker Action

Group v. Kleppe, Civ. No. 688-69 (D.D.C. Nov. 21, 1975) while yet protecting the legitimate security and park value concerns discussed in the Secretary's "Prefatory Statement" of July 17, 1970, 35 FR 11485 (1970).

Interested persons were given an opportunity to participate in these rule-making proceedings by submission of written comments to the Director, National Capital Parks, National Park Service. Written comments were received from three parties. All comments with respect to the proposed revision were given due consideration.

A. As a result of comments received, the following changes in the proposed regulations are made in addition to language changes for clarification:

1. In accord with the suggestion of the District of Columbia, paragraph (e) (10) is added to indicate that many activities involving park lands also involve the use of land under the administration of other governmental entities, including the District of Columbia, and that it may be necessary for the applicant to secure the permission of the appropriate responsible officials with respect to the use of other than park areas.

2. In accord with the suggestion of the American Civil Liberties Union, paragraph (a) (9) is amended so as to change the definition of "Lincoln Memorial" as used in the regulations. The effect of this amendment is to permit use of a greater portion of the Lincoln Memorial area for demonstrations and special events. It is felt that this will allow the memorial to be used as a site and background for activities while preserving the interior of the memorial as a place of calm, tranquility and reverence.

3. In accord with the suggestion of the American Civil Liberties Union, paragraph (d) (1) is amended so as to eliminate the term "exclusive" use basis. The purpose of this amendment is to make clear that a permit is subject to denial when another permit has been or will be granted to a prior applicant for the same area and that area will not reasonably permit multiple occupancy. In such event, the regulation is amended to require the Director to offer the applicant an alternate site if one is available.

B. Certain other recommendations have been carefully considered but have not been adopted. The following suggestions are not adopted for the reasons outlined below:

1. It was recommended that a person or group applying for a permit pursuant to 36 CFR § 50.19 be required to post a fee or bond to cover the cleanup cost should the group fail to clean the site themselves. This recommendation was rejected since, with respect to demonstrations, it raised the question of whether such a requirement constitutes a prior restraint on freedom of speech and because of the necessary enormous administrative burdens relative to setting the amount and the basis therefor, with regard to each proposed activity.

2. Paragraph (c). relating to permits being deemed granted unless denied in writing within 24 hours, was criticized

as allowing insufficient time in which to provide for response by the District of Columbia. Although the Department agrees with the criticism that this limitation does not allow for sufficient time to properly coordinate essential support service activities, this section is required by the District Court's order on mandate and therefore was not changed.

3. Paragraph (a) (1), the definition of the term "demonstrations", was questioned in that it encompasses the actions of a single person whereas the prior term "public gathering" applied only to three or more persons. This change is intended to apply the permit system requirements to those instances where an individual intends to engage in any conduct in park areas, which has the effect, intent, or propensity to draw a crowd or onlookers. It is felt that this provision is desirable in order to insure that proper security precautions and augmentation of forces and services are undertaken when conduct which either is intended to or is likely to draw persons into an area in greater numbers than ordinarily occur when casual use is made by park visitors or tourists in the particular area.

4. The definition of the term "White House area", paragraph (a) (4), was criticized as seeming to include Pennsylvania Avenue and other areas under the jurisdiction of the District of Columbia Police Department. It should be noted that the regulation language specifically restricts its applicability to "park areas" within the enumerated bounds and therefore excludes all areas, such as Pennsylvania Avenue, which are not park areas.

5. The entire permit concept was criticized as being violative of the First and Fifth Amendments to the Constitution. The Department believes that the limited restrictions imposed by the regulations are constitutional.

6. Paragraph (a)(13) was criticized because of the inclusion of the term "or his authorized representative" in the definition of the term "Director". The specific objection was that this would negate any assurance that a person of responsible rank will make the decision to deny a permit. This criticism is unfounded. Since the Director, National Capital Parks cannot always be available to make the necessary decisions, the regulation allows for this authority to be exercised by the person holding the responsibility of the office of Director, in the absence or unavailability of the Director, and thereby assures that such considerations will be made by an official of responsible rank.

7. Paragraph (b) was criticized in that it imposes a permit system instead of a notice system. The Department stated at 40 FR 58653 (1975): "(iit has been determined that a notice system would not serve effectively to protect the legitimate park value and security concerns involved and in particular would create unreasonable burdens in coordinating simultaneous multiple demonstrations and special events activities". The Department continues to believe

Title 17—Commodity and Securities **Exchanges**

-SECURITIES AND **EXCHANGE COMMISSION**

Release No. IA-503, File No. S7-5881

PART 275—RULES AND REGULATIONS, INVESTMENT ADVISERS ACT OF 1940

Exclusion From the Definition of the Term "Investment Adviser"

Notice is hereby given, That the Securities and Exchange Commission has adopted, effective May 1, 1976, Rule 202-1 under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 (15 U.S.C. 80b-1 et seq.) ("Advisers Act") which excludes from the definition of investment adviser in section 202(a) (11) (15 U.S.C. 80b-2(a) (11)) of the Advisers Act persons who in the course of their employment advise their employer-sponsored employee benefit plans with respect to investments in securities. Rule 202-1 is adopted pursuant to the authority contained in section 202(a) (11) and Section 211(a) (15 U.S.C. 80b-11(a)) of the Advisers Act.

On September 29, 1975, the Commission published notice (Advisers Act Re-lease No. 478 (40 FR 46118, October 6, 1975) of the proposal to adopt Rule 202-1. In proposing the rule the Commission expressed concern that the provisions of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 (29 U.S.C. 1001 et seq.) encouraged persons otherwise exempt from registration to register under the Advisers Act to insulate trustees from liability for a breach of fiduciary duty.1

It appears that the apparent motive for such registrations would be to provide insulation for trustees of employee benefit plans for breaches of fiduciary duty by in-house managers. The Commission believes that the Rule would not impair investor protection, but would rather serve to extend the substantial protections of ERISA to beneficiaries of such plans. After consideration, the Commission has revised the language of the original proposal to provide that the

term "investment adviser" in section 202 (a) (11) of the Act shall not include a adviser !

Accordingly, the Commission has de-termined that the adoption of the Rule is necessary and appropriate in the public interest and consistent with the protection of investors and the purpose

The Commission wishes to state that this position should not be viewed as an unfavorable finding with respect to the ability of such persons to act as advisers to employee benefit plans. The rule would not affect the ability of such persons to advise plans in the capacity of trustees or named fiduciaries as provided in ERISA.

Following May 1, 1976 the Commission intends in appropriate cases to exercise its authority (a) under section 203 (15 U.S.C. 80b-3) of the Advisers Act to preclude registration of a person excluded from the definition of investment adviser by Rule 202-1 and (b) under section 203 (h) (15 U.S.C. 80b-3(h)) of the Act to cancel the registration of such a person.

The rule includes natural persons and companies within the definition of person, and defines "employer" to include any company controlling, controlled by or under common control with a person. Thus a wholly-owned, majority-owned or other controlled subsidiary created or used by an employer to manage assets of the employee benefit plans sponsored by the employer would come within the scope of the rule

The text of Rule 202-1, which shall be effective May 1, 1976, is as follows:

§ 275.202-1 Exclusion of certain persons who offer investment advice to their employer-sponsored employee benefit plans.

The term "investment adviser," in section 202(a) (11) of the Act, shall not include any person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person, if such person is not engaged in the business of providing investment advice or management to others and does not hold himself out generally to the public as an investment adviser. For purposes of this rule "person" shall include a natural person,

person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person if such person is not engaged in the business of providing investment advice or management to others and does not hold himself out generally to the public as an investment

intended by the Advisers Act.

[FR Doc.76-8838 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am] Title 21—Food and Drugs

or a company which is controlled by or

under common control with the em-

ployer, and "employer" shall include any

company controlling, controlled by or

GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS.

The revised rule would preserve the

present applicability of the antifraud

provisions of the Advisers Act to persons

exempt from registration under the Ad-

visers Act by virtue of section 203(b) (3).

Secretary.

under common control with a person.

By the Commission.

MARCH 12, 1976.

[SEAL]

CHAPTER I—FOOD AND DRUG ADMINISTRATION, DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

SUBCHAPTER B-FOOD AND FOOD PRODUCTS PART 430-ANTIBIOTIC DRUGS: GENERAL

Foods and Drugs Editorial Amendments Correction

In FR Doc. 76-7241 appearing on page 10885 of the March 15, 1976 issue, in paragraph 18 appearing in the right hand column, the second line which now reads as follows: "(ii), (d) (8) (ii), in form paragraphs" should read as follows: "(ii), (d) (8) (ii), and (d) (8) (iii), in Form paragraphs".

[Docket No. 76F-0024]

PART 121-FOOD ADDITIVES

Foods and Drugs Editorial Amendments Correction

In FR Doc. 76-7239 for the issue of March 15, 1976 appearing on page 10887, in the first column in the table, the third line which reads "thickness not to exceed 0.0016in," should read as follows: 'thickness not to exceed .0016 in,".

Also in the column entitled "Specific gravity" located in the table, the line follows: "1.01±0.015" should read as follows: " 1.01 ± 0.015 ".

Also, in the same document and on the same page in the right hand column, the effective date reads as follows: "This regulation shall become effective April 14, 1976" should read as follows: "This regulation shall become effective

March 15, 1976".

Title 33—Navigation and Navigable Waters CHAPTER I-COAST GUARD, DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

[CGD 76-015]

PART 66-PRIVATE AIDS TO NAVIGATION State Aids to Navigation, Lake Wylie Within South Carolina

• Purpose. The Coast Guard is amending 33CFR Subpart 66.05, State Aides to Navigation, by adding to \$ 66.05-100 the designation of the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina as State waters for private aids to navigation. •

(A) who has the power to manage, acquire, or dispose of any asset of a plan;

(C) has acknowledged in writing that he is a fiduciary with respect to the plan.

Insulation from liability is provided for trustees by section 405(d)(1) of ERISA (29) U.S.C. 1105(d)(1)), which states:

If an investment manager or managers have been appointed under section 402(c) (3), then, notwithstanding subsection (a) and (3) and subsection (b), no trustee shall be liable for the acts or omissions of such investment manager or managers, or be under an obligation to invest or otherwise manage any asset of the plan which is subject to the management of such investment manager.

The relevant text of the proposed rule was as follows:
The term "investment adviser", in Sec-

tion 202(a) (11) of the Act, shall not include any person who offers investment advice to an employee benefit plan, as defined in the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, sponsored by an employer of such person, if such person is exempt from registration pursuant to Section 203(b)(3) [U.S.C. 80b-3(b)(3)] of the

¹ Section 3(38) of ERISA (29 U.S.C. 1002 (38)) provides:

term "investment manager" mean any fiduciary (other than a trustee or named fiduciary, as defined in section 402(a)(2))

⁽B) who is (i) registered as an investment adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940; (ii) is a bank, as defined in the Act; or (iii) is an insurance company qualified to perform services described in subparagraph (A) under the laws of more than one State; and

The Division of Boating of the South Carolina Wildlife and Marine Resources Department requested under 33 CFR 66.05-10 that the Commandant of the Coast Guard designate the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina as State waters for private aids to navigation. The Commandant determined that the State of South Carolina can regulate aids to navigation on Lake Wylie more efficiently and economically than the Coast Guard, which will improve the safety of navigation on Lake Wylle. Therefore the Commandant under 33 CFR 66.05-20 has entered into an agreement with the State of South Carolina designating the portion of Lake Wylie within the State as waters for private aids to navigation. Section 66.05-100 is being amended to add the portion of Lake Wylie within South Carolina to the waters designated as State waters for private aids to navigation.

This amendment relates to Coast Guard management. Therefore, under 5 U.S.C. 553(a) (2), the notice of proposed rulemaking and public procedure requirements in 5 U.S.C. 553(b) do not apply and it may be made effective in less than 30 days after publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

In consideration of the foregoing, Part 66 of Title 33, Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding a new paragraph (g-1) to § 66.05-100 as follows:

§ 66.05-100 Designation of navigable waters as State waters for private aids to navigation.

(g) * * * (1) South Carolina. The portion of Lake Wylie within the State.

.

* * * * (14 U.S.C. 81, 86, 92, 633, 33 U.S.C. 403, 409, 49 U.S.C. 1655(b); 49 CFR 1.46(b))

Effective date: This amendment becomes effective on March 29, 1976.

Dated: March 22, 1976.

E. L. Perry, Vice Admiral, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Commandant.

[FR Doc.76-8800 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Title 36—Parks, Forests and Public Property

CHAPTER I—NATIONAL PARK SERVICE, DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

PART 50—NATIONAL CAPITAL PARKS
REGULATIONS

Demonstrations and Special Events; Permit Requirements

On December 18, 1975, notice was given in the Federal Register, 40 FR 58651 (1975), proposing to revise what has heretobefore been designated as the "public gathering" permit regulations applicable in areas under administration by National Capital Parks, National Park Service, Department of the Interior. The proposed revision is intended to comply with an order on mandate issued by the United States District Court for the District of Columbia in A Quaker Action

Group v. Kleppe, Civ. No. 688-69 (D.D.C. Nov. 21, 1975) while yet protecting the legitimate security and park value concerns discussed in the Secretary's "Prefatory Statement" of July 17, 1970, 35 FR 11485 (1970).

Interested persons were given an opportunity to participate in these rule-making proceedings by submission of written comments to the Director, National Capital Parks, National Park Service. Written comments were received from three parties. All comments with respect to the proposed revision were given due consideration.

A. As a result of comments received, the following changes in the proposed regulations are made in addition to language changes for clarification:

1. In accord with the suggestion of the District of Columbia, paragraph (e) (10) is added to indicate that many activities involving park lands also involve the use of land under the administration of other governmental entities, including the District of Columbia, and that it may be necessary for the applicant to secure the permission of the appropriate responsible officials with respect to the use of other than park areas.

2. In accord with the suggestion of the American Civil Liberties Union, paragraph (a) (9) is amended so as to change the definition of "Lincoln Memorial" as used in the regulations. The effect of this amendment is to permit use of a greater portion of the Lincoln Memorial area for demonstrations and special events. It is felt that this will allow the memorial to be used as a site and background for activities while preserving the interior of the memorial as a place of calm, tranquility and reverence.

3. In accord with the suggestion of the American Civil Liberties Union, paragraph (d) (1) is amended so as to eliminate the term "exclusive" use basis. The purpose of this amendment is to make clear that a permit is subject to denial when another permit has been or will be granted to a prior applicant for the same area and that area will not reasonably permit multiple occupancy. In such event, the regulation is amended to require the Director to offer the applicant an alternate site if one is available.

B. Certain other recommendations have been carefully considered but have not been adopted. The following suggestions are not adopted for the reasons outlined below:

1. It was recommended that a person or group applying for a permit pursuant to 36 CFR § 50.19 be required to post a fee or bond to cover the cleanup cost should the group fail to clean the site themselves. This recommendation was rejected since, with respect to demonstrations, it raised the question of whether such a requirement constitutes a prior restraint on freedom of speech and because of the necessary enormous administrative burdens relative to setting the amount and the basis therefor, with regard to each proposed activity.

2. Paragraph (c). relating to permits being deemed granted unless denied in writing within 24 hours, was criticized as allowing insufficient time in which to provide for response by the District of Columbia. Although the Department agrees with the criticism that this limitation does not allow for sufficient time to properly coordinate essential support service activities, this section is required by the District Court's order on mandate and therefore was not changed.

3. Paragraph (a) (1), the definition of the term "demonstrations", was questioned in that it encompasses the actions of a single person whereas the prior term "public gathering" applied only to three or more persons. This change is intended to apply the permit system requirements to those instances where an individual intends to engage in any conduct in park areas, which has the effect. intent, or propensity to draw a crowd or onlookers. It is felt that this provision is desirable in order to insure that proper security precautions and augmentation of forces and services are undertaken when conduct which either is intended to or is likely to draw persons into an area in greater numbers than ordinarily occur when casual use is made by park visitors or tourists in the particular area.

4. The definition of the term "White House area", paragraph (a)(4), was criticized as seeming to include Pennsylvania Avenue and other areas under the jurisdiction of the District of Columbia Police Department. It should be noted that the regulation language specifically restricts its applicability to "park areas" within the enumerated bounds and therefore excludes all areas, such as Pennsylvania Avenue, which are not park areas.

5. The entire permit concept was criticized as being violative of the First and Fifth Amendments to the Constitution. The Department believes that the limited restrictions imposed by the regulations are constitutional.

6. Paragraph (a) (13) was criticized because of the inclusion of the term "or his authorized representative" in the definition of the term "Director". The specific objection was that this would negate any assurance that a person of responsible rank will make the decision to deny a permit. This criticism is unfounded. Since the Director, National Capital Parks cannot always be available to make the necessary decisions, the regulation allows for this authority to be exercised by the person holding the responsibility of the office of Director, in the absence or unavailability of the Director, and thereby assures that such considerations will be made by an official of responsible rank.

7. Paragraph (b) was criticized in that it imposes a permit system instead of a notice system. The Department stated at 40 FR 58653 (1975): "[i]t has been determined that a notice system would not serve effectively to protect the legitimate park value and security concerns involved and in particular would create unreasonable burdens in coordinating simultaneous multiple demonstrations and special events activities". The Department continues to believe

that a permit system is necessary for these reasons.

8. Paragraph (b) (1) through (5) was criticized because numerical limitations are imposed upon demonstrations conducted without permit in certain areas. The Department considers the numerical limitations reasonable in view of the nature of each area. Also, these numerical restrictions apply only to activities conducted in those areas without permit and do not preclude activities involving larger numbers being conducted pursu-

ant to permit.

9. Comments were received criticizing the requirement of paragraph (c) that all applications be submitted to the Director, National Capital Parks, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW. It is the opinion of the Department that receipt of the application in this single location is necessary in order to effectively administer the priority system for the use of park lands, to insure that the application will be considered by an official of responsible rank, and to allow for consideration of the permit within the applicable time limitation. Even though executed permit applications must be received at that location, application blanks may be obtained at other locations in the National

Capital Parks area. 10. The requirement of paragraph (c) that all applications be received by the Director at least 48 hours prior to the proposed event was criticized as being an excessive time period. The Department believes that this is less than a minimum period of time in which the responsible government agencies can effectively plan for the countless burdens any sizeable activity imposes on park areas. These plans include security precautions, the provision of personnel and sanitary facilities, and the erection of facilities. Also, it is felt that the impact of this requirement on applicants is minimal because provision has been made (paragraph (b)) whereby demonstrations may be conducted without permit in certain areas and therefore eliminating the necessity of applying for a

permit 48 hours in advance.

11. That portion of paragraph (c) which provides that applications will be received only between the hours of 8 a.m.-4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted, was criticized as suspending the First Amendment on the weekend. This limitation is necessary in order that the required security precautions and augmentation of forces and services may be provided. The Department has weighed the administrative burdens that the absence of this limitation would impose upon the various government agencies involved against possible effects upon the exercise of First Amendment freedoms and believes on balance that these effects are inconsequential. This impact is further lessened since demonstrations may be conducted in certain areas without permit pursuant to paragraph (b).

12. Paragraph (c) (1) was criticized for excluding certain portions of the "White House area" as areas within which "demonstrations" and leaflet-

ting" may take place. The exclusions are necessary in order to protect the legitimate security and park value interests. Also, the areas in which such activity is allowed provide "demonstrations" with complete access to the "unique values" in demonstrating in the "White House area." Furthermore, it should be considered with respect to "leafletting", that only those forms of conduct with the effect, intent, or propensity to draw a crowd or onlookers are within the purview of the regulation.

13. Paragraph (c) (2) was criticized as unreasonably barring "demonstrations" and "special events" from certain areas of National Capital Parks. The Department believes that the enumerated areas are areas within which the government's interest in protecting legitimate security and park value interests, including the maintenance of an atmosphere of calm, tranquility, and reverence in the vicinity of major memorials, is substantial. Maintenance of such an atmosphere will substantially enhance the visitor's park experience and does not place an unreasonable limitation on First Amendment activity especially in light of the availability of other nearby park areas. Furthermore, with regard to Constitution Gardens it is felt that restriction on permissible activities is necessary in order to permit the new plantings to become established. One change suggested by the commentator was adopted (See A 2

14. A comment was received criticizing the term "fully executed" in paragraph (d) as "vague". The Department respectfully disagrees and believes that this requirement is necessary to obtain the information requested in order to determine whether the activity may be permitted within the requirements of the

regulation.

15. Paragraph (d) (1) was criticized because it establishes a system of "priority use" for certain enumerated "national celebration events". The Department believes that this complies fully with the District Court's order and moreover, adopts the system suggested in the Court of Appeals' opinion in A Quaker Action Group, et al. v. Morton, 516 F2d 717, 729 (1975). This priority use system is based strictly on the "first-come firstserved" basis adopted for the allocation of park land in that the listed activities are annually recurrent events which are planned and budgeted for the same park areas and times year after year.

16. Paragraph (d)(2) was criticized

for not setting forth specific implementing standards for the denial or withdrawal of a permit. The Department respectfully disagrees and believes that the criteria for denial or withdrawal of a permit are clearly articulated in paragraphs (d), (e), and (f) and are specific

and unambiguous.

17. The term "cannot reasonably be accommodated" in paragraph (d) (3) was criticized as "unduly vague". The Department respectfully disagrees.

18. The 750 person limitation for White House sidewalk demonstrations contained in paragraph (e) (1) was criticized

as unjustifiable. This limitation is necessary in order to protect the legitimate security and park value interests in the area. In accord with the District Court's order, consideration of extending the waiver provision applicable in Lafayette Park to White House sidewalk demonstrations will be given in future rulemaking proceedings.

19. Paragraph (e) (2) was criticized for establishing a 3000 person limitation on demonstrations in Lafayette Park. For the reasons set forth above, the Department respectfully disagrees. Also, the impact of this restriction is minimized by that portion of the regulation which provides for a waiver of the 3000 person limitation under certain circumstances.

20. Paragraph (e) (2) (i) through (viii) was criticized as placing the burden of proof on an applicant for a waiver of the 3000 person limitation, for requiring a waiver application 15 days in advance of the proposed activity, and for requiring "elaborate" information for the waiver. In its order on mandate, the District Court clearly stated that the Secretary shall establish a waiver procedure, ". . including a requirement that applicants make an adequate showing that their demonstration had proposed been planned and would be patrolled in such a fashion as to render unlikely any substantial risk . . . " The court has clearly placed the burden on the applicant. It is the Department's opinion that the 15 day requirement is reasonable and necessary in order to evaluate the proffered information and to initiate additional security measures, etc. Also, the information required by the regulation relates directly to the standard that the applicant make an adequate showing " as contained in the District Court

21. Paragraph (e)(3) was criticized for its adoption of the numerical limitations mentioned by the District Court in its order on mandate. Such limitations are necessary to protect the legitimate security and park value interests in the

area.

22. A comment was received suggesting that if the term "insure good order" paragraph (e) (3), meant that marshals would enforce the law that it was wrong and that marshals should not assume the role of the police by attempting physical restraint. The Department agrees and the regulation is not intended to have marshals serve as police officers. However, it is intended that marshals use their persuasion and influence with participants to preserve good order and compliance with the permit requirements.

23. Paragraph (e) (4) was criticized as constituting a durational limitation in violation of the District Court's order on mandate. The Department disagrees. Paragraph (e) (4) merely limits the period of time for which a permit will be issued. It expressly states that such periods will be extended for demonstrations. There is no requirement that the applicant obtain an extension but rather, as stated in the prefatory statement at 40 FR 58653 (1975), "... the activity will be permitted to continue . . ." In effect,

the permit guarantees a specified period during which the activity is not subject to displacement but does not establish a durational limitation. It is the Department's opinion that to omit this section would have the effect of misleading applicants as to the possibility of being displaced by another activity.

24. Paragraph (e) (5) was criticized for allowing the Director to forbid rush hour demonstrations. The Department believes that such a restriction is neces-

sary and reasonable.

25. Paragraph (e) (6) and other sections were criticized as unconstitutional for treating "special events" differently from "demonstrations" because the commentator feit that "special events" are forms of expressive activity. The commentator is mistaken in the belief that "special events constitute "expressive activity". By definition, paragraph (a) (1), activities which involve the communication or expression of views or grievances are "demonstrations". Because "special events" constitute "expressive volve the communication or expression of views or grievances, any reasonable

limitation is proper.

26. Paragraph (e) (7) was criticized as being unconstitutional because it prohibits all structures on the White House sidewalk, establishes a standard which must be met before such structures may be erected, and allows the Director discretionary authority to impose reasonable restrictions. It is the considered opinion of the Department that the standard which requires that all structures be reasonably necessary for the conduct of the activity is constitutional. The protection of the park area requires that structures be limited to least impact basic park values and to protect public health and safety. This paragraph is intended to give the Director the authority to see that the structures are erected in such a fashion. The prohibition against structures on the White House sidewalk is mandated by security. as well as, traffic considerations.

27. The authority of the Director, paragraph (e)(9), to impose additional reasonable conditions was objected to. The variety of requests contained in applications for demonstrations and special events make it necessary that the Director be able to regulate these activities in such a fashion as to insure the public safety and it is unreasonable and in fact impossible to anticipate all the various activities which may be proposed and which may unreasonably and adversely impact upon the park resource

or public safety.

28. Paragraph (f) was criticized as not complying with the order of the District Court to establish criteria for the revocation of a permit. The Department respectfully disagrees.

29. Comment was received reiterating and reemphasizing all objections stated by plaintiffs in the Quaker Action litigation and objecting to the Department's alleged failure to consider the alternatives suggested by the court in "Quaker Action IV" including the provision of a

strengthened White House fence and the exemption of small groups from the permit requirement. The Department is unable to reasonably comment here on all objections set forth by Plaintiffs in the Quaker Action litigation. The Department has considered the alternatives suggested by the court including the strengthening of the White House fence. The Department feels that those alternatives are not viable for the reasons set forth at 40 FR 58563 (1975).

Accordingly, 36 CFR 50.19 is revised

as set forth below:

Effective date. This regulation shall become effective March 29, 1976.

Adopted by the Department of the Interior at its office in Washington, D.C., on the 24th day of March, 1976.

KENT FRIZZELL,
Acting Secretary of the Interior.

MARCH 24, 1976.

§ 50.19 Demonstrations and special events.

(a) Definitions:

(1) The term "demonstrations" includes demonstrating, picketing, speech-making, marching, holding of vigils and all other like forms of conduct which involve the communication or expression of views or grievances, engaged in by one or more persons, the conduct of which has the effect, intent or propensity to draw a crowd or onlookers. This term does not include casual park use by visitors or tourists which does not have an intent or propensity to attract a crowd or onlookers.

(2) The term "special events" includes sports events, pageants, celebrations, historical reenactments, regattas, entertainments, exhibitions, parades, fairs, festivals and similar events (including such events presented by the National Park Service), which are not demonstrations under (1) above, and which are engaged in by one or more persons, the conduct of which has the effect, intent or propensity to draw a crowd or onlookers. This term also does not include casual park use by visitors or tourists which does not have an intent or propensity to attract a crowd or onlookers.

(3) The term "national celebration events" means the annually recurring special events regularly scheduled by National Capital Parks, which are listed

in (d) (1) below.

(4) The term "White House area" means all park areas, including sidewalks adjacent thereto, within these bounds: on the south, Constitution Avenue, NW.; on the north, H Street, NW.; on the east, 15th Street, NW.; and on the west, 17th Street, NW.

(5) The term "White House sidewalk" means the south sidewalk of Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., between East and

West Executive Avenues, NW.

(6) The term "Lafayette Park" means the park areas, including sidewalks adjacent thereto, within these bounds: on the south, Pennsylvania Avenue, NW.; on the north, H Street, NW.; on the east, Madison Place, NW.; and on the west, Jackson Place, NW.

(7) The term "Ellipse" means the park areas, including sidewalks adjacent thereto, within these bounds: on the south, Constitution Avenue, NW.; on the north, E Street, NW.; on the west, 17th Street, NW.; and on the east, 15th Street, NW.

(8) The term "Kennedy Center" means the areas, under the administration of the National Park Service within the National Cultural Institution known as the Kennedy Center and the sidewalks immediately surrounding that National

Institution.

(9) The term "Lincoln Memorial" means that portion of the park area enclosed by Lincoln Memorial Circle roadway which is on the same level or above the base of the large marble columns surrounding the structure, and the single series of marble stairs immediately adjacent to and below that level.

jacent to and below that level.

(10) The term "Jefferson Memorial" means the circular portion of the Jefferson Memorial enclosed by the outermost series of columns, and all portions on the same levels or above the base of

those columns

(11) The term "Washington Monument" means the structure known as the Washington Monument and all space extending ten feet from the paved area surrounding its base, and the sidewalk from that base extending to 15th Street, and ten feet on either side thereof.

(12) The term "Constitution Gardens" means the park area within these bounds: on the north, Constitution Avenue, NW.; on the south, the north reflecting pool walk extending westerly from 17th Street, NW. to Bacon Drive, NW.; on the east, 17th Street, NW.; and on the west, Bacon Drive, NW.

(13) The term "Director" means the

(13) The term "Director" means the Director, National Capital Parks, National Park Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, or his authorized represent-

ative.

(14) The term "other park areas" includes all areas, including sidewalks adjacent thereto, other than the White House area, administered by National

Capital Parks.

(b) Demonstrations and special events may be held only pursuant to a permit issued in accordance with the provisions of this section, except that demonstrations may be held in the following park areas without an official permit, provided that the conduct of such demonstrations is reasonably consistent with the protection and use of the indicated park area and the other requirements of this section:

(1) Franklin Park. Thirteenth Street, between I and K Streets, NW., for no

more than 500 persons.

(2) McPherson Square. Fifteenth Street, between I and K Streets, NW., for no more than 500 persons.

(3) U.S. Reservation No. 31. West of 18th Street and south of H Street, NW., for no more than 100 persons.

(4) Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway. West of 23rd Street, south of P Street, NW., for no more than 1,000 persons.

(5) U.S. Reservation No. 46. North side of Pennsylvania Avenue, west of Eighth

Street and south of D Street, SW., for no more than 25 persons.

(c) Permit applications shall be submitted to the Director, National Capital Parks, 1100 Ohio Drive, SW., Washington, D.C. 20242. Permit applications shall be submitted in writing on a form provided by the National Park Service so as to be received by the Director at least 48 hours in advance of any proposed demonstration or special event. Forms shall be received only during the hours of 8 a.m.-4 p.m., Monday through Friday, holidays excepted. All demonstration applications, except those seeking waiver of the numerical limitations applicable to Lafayette Park (e) (2), shall be deemed granted, subject to all limitations and restrictions applicable to said park, unless denied within 24 hours of receipt. However, where a permit has been granted, or is deemed to have been granted pursuant to this subsection, the Director may revoke that permit pursuant to section (f).

(1) White House area: No permit shall be issued authorizing demonstrations, except for the White House sidewalk, Lafayette Park and the Ellipse. No permit shall be issued authorizing special events, except for the Ellipse, and except for annual commemorative wreath-laying ceremonies relating to the

statues in Lafayette Park.

(2) Other park areas: No permit shall be issued authorizing demonstrations or special events in the following other park

(i) The Washington Monument, except for the official annual commemorative Washington birthday ceremony.

(ii) The Kennedy Center.
(iii) The Lincoln Memorial, except for the official annual commemorative Lincoln birthday ceremony.

(iv) The Jefferson Memorial, except for the official annual commemorative Jefferson birthday ceremony.

(v) Constitution Gardens.

(d) Permit application for demonstrations and special events shall be processed in order of receipt, and the use of a particular area shall be allocated in order of receipt of fully executed applications, subject to the limitations set forth in this section, provided that a permit may be denied in writing upon the personal approval of the Director, or, in his absence or unavailability by an authorized delegate of responsible rank and function upon the following grounds:

(1) A fully executed prior application for the same time and place has been received, and a permit has been or will be granted authorizing activities which do not reasonably permit multiple occupancy of the particular area; in that event, an alternate site, if available for the activity, shall be proposed by the Director to hte applicant; provided, however, that the following national celebration events shall have priority use of the particular park area during the indicated period, as follows:

(i) Christmas Pageant of Peace: In the oval portion of the Ellipse only, during approximately the last three weeks in December.

(ii) President's Cup Regatta: In East Potomac Park for approximately two days during the first week in June.

(iii) Cherry Blossom Festival: In the Japanese Lantern area adjacent to the Tidal Basin and on the Ellipse and the Washington Monument Grounds adjacent to Constitution Avenue, NW., between 15th and 17th Streets, NW., for one day during the first two weeks in April.

(iv) Fourth of July Celebration: On the Washington Monument Grounds.

(v) Festival of American Folklife: In the areas bounded on the south by Independence Avenue, NW.; on the north by a line running adjacent to the south side of the Reflecting Pool between 17th Street, NW., and Lincoln Memorial Circle; on the east by 17th Street, NW.; on the west by Lincoln Memorial Circle and 23rd Street, NW., for two week period in approximately late June and early July.

(vi) Columbus Day Commemorative Wreath-Laying: At the Columbus statue on the Union Plaza on Columbus Day.

(2) It reasonably appears that the proposed demonstration or special event will present a clear and present danger to the public safety, good order, or health.

(3) The proposed demonstration or special event is of such a nature or duration that it cannot reasonably be accommodated in the particular area applied for; in that event, an alternate site, if available for the activity, shall be proposed by the Director to the applicant; in this connection, the Director shall reasonably take into account possible damage to the park, including trees, shrubbery, other plantings, park installations and statues.

(4) The application proposes activities contrary to any of the provisions of this section or other applicable law or regulation.

(e) Issuance of permits shall be subject to the following limitations:

(1) No more than 750 persons shall be permitted to conduct a demonstration on the White House sidewalk at any one

(2) No more than 3,000 persons shall be permitted to conduct a demonstration in Lafayette Park at any one time. The Director may waive the 3,000 person limitation for Lafayette Park upon a showing by the applicant that the proposed demonstration has been planned and will be patrolled in such a fashion so as to render unlikely any substantial risk to legitimate public interests in the area. In making a waiver determination the Director shall consider and the applicant shall furnish the following information at least 15 days in advance of the proposed demonstration.

(i) Number of marshals to be furnished

(ii) The training these marshals have received including a brief summary of that training.

(iii) The experience of these marshals in crowd situations including a brief summary of those experiences.

(iv) The equipment to be furnished marshals including number of portable radios, power and frequency.
(v) The means by which marshals

(v) The means by which marshal shall be identified.

(vi) A summary of proposed marshal functions including emergency contingency plans.

(vii) List of emergency facilities to be provided including first aid and sanitary facilities

(3) No permit shall be issued for a demonstration on the White House sidewalk and in Lafayette Park at the same time, except under the following conditions:

(i) Any demonstrator overages above those specified in (e) (1-2) shall proceed to the Ellipse via 15th Street and/or 17th Street (or shall proceed to some other agreed-upon designated park site) and shall there conduct their demonstration activities; and

(ii) The organization, group, or other sponsor of such demonstration shall undertake in good faith all reasonable action—including the provision of sufficient marshals—to insure good order and self-discipline in carrying on such demonstration, including any necessary movements of persons, so that the numerical limitations prescribed in (e) (1–2) shall be observed at all times on the White House sidewalk and in Lafayette Park.

(4) No permit shall be issued authorizing demonstrations or special events in excess of the time periods below provided that these periods shall be extended for demonstrations only, unless another application requests use of the particular area and said application precludes double occupancy:

(i) White House area, except the Ellipse: Seven days.

(ii) The Ellipse and all other park areas: Three weeks.

(5) No demonstration or special event shall be permitted to be held between the hours of 7:00-9:30 a.m. and 4:00-6:30 p.m., except on Saturdays, Sundays, and legal holidays. If it shall be made to appear to the satisfaction of the Director that the holding of a particular demonstration or special event will not unreasonably interfere with rush-hour traffic, this requirement will be waived by the Director.

(6) No special event shall be permitted unless approved by the Director. In determining whether to approve a proposed special event, the Director shall consider and base his determination upon the following criteria:

(i) Whether the objectives and purposes of the proposed special event relate to and are within the basic mission and responsibilities of National Capital Parks, National Park Service.

(ii) Whether the park area requested is reasonably suited in terms of accessibility, size, and nature of the proposed

special event.

(iii) Whether the proposed special event can be permitted within a reasonable budgetary allocation of National Park Service funds considering the event's public appeal, and the anticipated

Whether the proposed event is duplicative of events previously offered in National Capital Parks areas or elsewhere in or about Washington, D.C.

Whether the activities contemplated for the proposed special event are in conformity with all applicable laws

and regulations.

(7) In connection with permitted demonstrations or special events, temporary structures (including speakers' stands, platforms, lecterns, chairs, portable sanitary facilities and press and news facilities) reasonably necessary for the conduct of the demonstration or special event shall be permitted, provided prior notice has been given to the Director, except that:

(i) No structures shall be permitted on

the White House sidewalk.

(ii) All such temporary structures shall be erected in such a manner so as to cause the least possible damage to park property and basic park values and shall be removed as soon as practicable after the conclusion of the permitted demonstration or special event.

(iii) The Director may impose reasonable restrictions upon the temporary structures permitted, in the interest of protecting the park areas involved, traffic and public safety considerations, and other legitimate park value concerns.

(iv) Any structures utilized in a demonstration extending in duration beyond the time limitations specified in (e) (4) (i) and (ii) must upon 24 hours notice be capable of being removed and the site restored or the structure secured in such a fashion so as to not unreasonably interfere with use of the park area by other permittees authorized under this section.

(8) In connection with permitted demonstrations or special events, sound equipment reasonably amplification necessary for the conduct of the demonstration or special event shall be permitted, provided prior notice has been given to the Director, except that:

(i) No sound amplification equipment shall be used on the White House sidewalk, other than hand-portable sound amplification equipment which the Director determines, in the exercise of his judgment, is necessary for crowd-control purposes.

(ii) The Director reserves the right to limit the sound amplification equipment, so that it will not unreasonably disturb nonparticipating persons in, or in the

vicinity of, the area.

(9) Authorized permits may contain additional reasonable conditions and additional time limitations, consistent with this regulation, in the interest of protecting the park site, the use of nearby areas by other persons, and other legitimate park value concerns.

(10) Permits issued under this section do not authorize activities outside of areas under administration by National Capital Parks. Applicants may also require a permit from the District of Co-

participation of the general public lumbia or other appropriate govern-therein. mental entity for demonstrations or special events sought to be conducted either wholly or in part in other than park areas.

> (f) A permit issued for a demonstration is revocable only upon a ground for which an application therefor would be subject to denial under (d) or (e) above. Any such revocation, prior to the conduct of the demonstration, shall be in writing and shall be personally approved by the Director, or, in his absence or unavailability, by an authorized delegate of responsible rank and function. During the conduct of a demonstration, a permit may be revoked by the ranking U.S. Park Police supervisory official in charge if continuation of the event presents a clear and present danger to the public safety, good order or health or for any violations of applicable law or regulation. A permit issued for a special event is revocable, at any time, in the reasonable discretion of the Director or his authorized delegate.

[FR Doc.76-8889 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Title 45—Public Welfare

CHAPTER II-SOCIAL AND REHABILITA-TION SERVICE (ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS), DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION, AND WELFARE

PART 249-SERVICES AND PAYMENT IN MEDICAL ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

Intermediate Care Facility Services-**Resident Rights**

Notice of proposed regulations was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER of March 4, 1975 (40 FR 8956), to add a requirement for the recognition and the maintenance of the rights of residents in intermediate care facilities (ICFs) participating in the Medicaid program (title XIX, Social Security Act). These regulations parallel the patients' rights requirement established for skilled nursing facilities (SNFs) participating in Medicaid. The basis for this provision is the Department's desire to promote the physical and emotional well-being of ICF residents by setting forth certain rules with respect to the rights to be accorded residents by facility personnel and individual practitioners rendering treatment there.

Comments were received from 27 respondents, including State agencies; providers and provider organizations; consumers and consumer groups. Major changes made as a result of comments

are summarized below:

1. With respect to reasonable advance notice of transfer or discharge of a resident, the regulation has been revised to require consultation with the resident or person acting in his behalf at least 5 days in advance.

2. Revision has been made to clarify that only a physician and, as appropriate, a Qualified Mental Retardation Professional, may authorize chemical or physical restraints except in emergencies, and to require that the facility's policies identify the professional personnel who may authorize the application of such restraints in emergencies.

3. The Department has accepted the recommendation that residents be afforded opportunity to participate in the planning of their total care and medical treatment, rather than limiting the par-ticipation to health and medical care plans. This provision now also includes the right of residents to refuse treatment, and to participate in research projects only upon their written consent.

4. It was suggested by several respondents that there be an internal grievance mechanism within the facility for assuring that residents' rights are protected. Accordingly, the final regulation requires facilities to establish policies describing procedures for such mechanisms and for assuring appropriate

follow-up.

5. It was recommended that the Joint Commission on the Accreditation of Hospitals' position regarding behavior modification programs should be included. This provision, which is now in 45 CFR 249.13 as a standard applicable to all ICFs for the mentally retarded after March 1977, specifies that consent is required only for participation in an aversive behavior modification program, not for all such programs. The recommendation has been accepted. Also accepted was the recommendation to amend the regulation to require the "informed" consent of the parent or guardian.

6. The provision for confidential treatment of residents' personal and health and medical records has been modified, and a requirement for facility policies on this subject has been added, to conform to the provisions applicable to ICFs/ MR contained in 45 CFR 249.13(d)(3). This is in line with the Department's commitment to uniformity between general and mental retardation ICFs.

7. The suggestion has been accepted that information on facility services and charges be provided to recipients in writ-

ing.

8. There were objections to the provision that a physician who may not have a speciality in mental retardation would decide whether a resident is incapable of understanding his rights. Accordingly, § 249.12(a) (1) (ii) (C) has been amended to require that this determination may be made by a physician or Qualified Mental Retardation Professional, as appropriate, and that the specific impairment be documented in the resident's record.

9. Concern was expressed regarding overuse of the term "unless medically contraindicated." In the final regulation. the term has been deleted where appropriate, to assure that this documentation be made only in instances which require medical, and not administrative.

judgments.

10. To avoid any misinterpretations, the final regulation has been amended to provide clearly that the resident is not required to perform services for the facility. Where appropriate for therapeutic or

diversional purposes and agreed to by the resident, such services may be included in his plan of care and treatment.

Suggestions which were not accepted

include:

1. The facility should designate the specific staff member with responsibility for fully informing the resident of his rights, and have one inclusive document outlining rights. No change has been made because the Department believes that this much detail is inappropriate for Federal regulations, and that this would be unduly burdensome on the facility.

2. These regulations should reprint in full or cross-reference the Department's regulations on sterilization and on participation in research projects. This was not accepted because there is provision in these regulations for the resident's participation in the planning of his total care and medical treatment, and because it would be impracticale to crossreference all other applicable regulations.

3. Include a provision to the effect that a statement on patients' rights which meets requirements of 20 CFR 405.1121 (k) (Social Security Administration reg-ulations applicable to skilled nursing facilities under both Medicare and Medicaid) will be deemed to satisfy requirements of this regulation. Where possible, the statements on rights have been coordinated; however, the variations in SNF and ICF populations necessitate, in some instances, a difference in the two regulations.

4. Adopt the skilled nursing facility regulation (20 CFR 405.1121(k) (6)) for management of personal financial affairs. This suggestion will be considered in common SNF and ICF requirements for management of financial affairs.

5. Enforceable obligations should be written into the regulations. With regard to those requirements binding on the facility, this has been accomplished. With regard to residents, they are assured the rights but cannot be forced to exercise this authority. For example, it is not appropriate to specify that the resident "shall" participate in social and other groups, rather than "may."

6. The facility should be required to have a human rights committee responsible for establishing criteria and reviewing incompetency decisions. No change is necessary, as this function should be picked up by the independent professional review team, and this will be

clarified in guidelines.

7. Definition of both physical and chemical restraints. This was not done as the Department desires to maintain consistency with the SNF patient rights regulations and as the term is one that is commonly used and understood in the field. The subject of restraints will be treated in greater detail in the guide-

Accordingly, the proposed regulations

as modified are adopted.

Part 249, Chapter II, Title 45, Code of Federal Regulations, is amended as set forth below:

1. Section 249.12 (a) (1) (ii) and (c) (6) are revised to read as follows:

§ 249.12 Standards for intermediate care facilities.

(a) (1) • • •

(ii) There are written policies and procedures available to staff, residents, their families or legal representatives and the public which:

(A) Govern all areas of service pro-

vided by the facility:

(1) Admission, transfer, and discharge of residents policies shall assure that: (i) Only those persons are accepted

whose needs can be met by the facility directly or in cooperation with community resources or other providers of care with which it is affiliated or has contracts:

(ii) As changes occur in their physical or mental condition, necessitating service or care which cannot be adequately provided by the facility, residents are transferred promptly to hospitals, skilled nursing facilities, or other appropriate

facilities: and

(iii) Except in the case of an emergency, the resident, his next of kin, attending physician, and the responsible agency, if any, are consulted at least 5 days in advance of the transfer or discharge of any resident, and casework services or other means are utilized to assure that adequate arrangements exist for meeting his needs through other resources:

(2) Policies define the uses of chemical and physical restraints, identify the professional personnel under subparagraph (a) (1) (ii) (B) (7) of this section who may authorize the application of restraints in emergencies and describe the mechanism for monitoring and con-

trolling their use;

(3) Policies define procedures for submittal of complaints and recommendations by residents and for assuring response and disposition; and

(4) There shall be written policies governing access to, duplication of, and dissemination of information from the resident's record:

(B) Ensure that each resident ad-

mitted to the facility:

(1) Is fully informed of his rights and responsibilities as a resident and of all rules and regulations governing resident conduct and responsibilities. Such information must be provided prior to or at the time of admission or, in the case of residents already in the facility, upon the facility's adoption or amendment of resident right policies, and its receipt must be acknowledged by the resident in writing; and in the case of a mentally retarded individual, witnessed by a third person:

(2) Is fully informed in writing prior to or at the time of admission and during stay, of services available in the facility, and of related charges including any charges for services not covered under the title XIX program or not covered by the facility's basic per diem rate;

(3) Is fully informed by a physician, of his health and medical condition un-

less medically contraindicated (as documented by a physician in his resident record), and is afforded the opportunity to participate in the planning of his total care and medical treatment and to refuse treatment, and participates in experimental research only upon his informed written consent;

(4) Is transferred or discharged only for medical reasons or for his welfare or that of other patients, or for nonpayment for his stay (except as prohibited

by the title XIX program);

Is encouraged and assisted, (5) throughout his period of stay, to exercise his rights as a resident and as a citizen, and to this end may voice grievances and recommend changes in policies and services to facility staff and/or to outside representatives of his choice, free from restraint, interference, coercion, discrimination, or reprisal;

(6) May manage his personal financial affairs, and to the extent, under written authorization by the resident, that the facility assists in such management, that it is carried out in accordance with paragraph (a) (1) (iii) of this

section:

(7) Is free from mental and physical abuse, and free from chemical and physical restraints except as follows: when authorized in writing by a physician for a specified period of time; when necessary in an emergency to protect the resident from injury to himself or to others, in which case restraints may be authorized by designated professional personnel who promptly report the action taken to the physician; and in the case of a mentally retarded individual when authorized in writing by a physician or Qualified Mental Retardation Professional for use during behavior modification sessions:

(8) In the case of a mentally retarded individual, participates in a behavior modification program involving use of restraints or aversive stimuli only with the informed consent of his parent or

guardian;

(9) Is ensured confidential treatment of all information contained in his records, including information contained in an automatic data bank, and his written consent shall be required for the release of information to persons not otherwise authorized under law to receive it;

(10) Is treated with consideration, respect, and full recognition of his dignity and individuality, including privacy in treatment and in care for his personal

needs;
(11) Is not required to perform services for the facility:

(12) May communicate, associate and meet privately with persons of his choice, unless to do so would infringe upon the rights of other residents, and send and receive his personal mail unopened;

(13) May participate in activities of social, religious, and community groups at his discretion, unless contraindicated for reasons documented by a Qualified Mental Retardation Professional as appropriate in his resident record;

(14) May retain and use his personal clothing and possessions as space permits; and

(15) If married, is ensured privacy for visits by his/her spouse; if both are residents in the facility, they are permitted to share a room.

(C) Provide that all rights and responsibilities of the resident devolve to the resident's guardian, next of kin, or sponsoring agency (ies), where:

(1) a resident is adjudicated incompetent in accordance with State law; or

(2) his physician or, in the case of a mentally retarded individual, a Qualified Mental Retardation Professional has documented in the resident's record the specific impairment that has rendered the resident incapable of understanding these rights.

(c) * * *

(6) No later than three years after the effective date of these regulations the institution meets the standards specified in \$249.13. For institutions determined to meet the standards specified in \$249.13, the following sections of paragraphs (a) and (c) of this section do not apply: (a)(1)(i), (ii), (iv), (v) and (vi); (a)(4); (a)(6)(i)(B), (ii), (v), (vi), (vii), and (viii); (a)(7); (a)(8); (c)(4); and (c)(5).

2. The introductory language to § 249.13 is revised to read as follows:

§ 249.13 Standards for intermediate care facility services in institutions for the mentally retarded or persons with related conditions.

Effective not later than 3 years after the effective date of these regulations, the standards for intermediate care facility services (as defined in § 249.10(b) (15)) in an institution for the mentally retarded or persons with related conditions which are specified by the Secretary pursuant to section 1905(c) and (d) of the Social Security Act and referred to in § 249.12(c) (6), are specified in this section. At such time as an institution is deemed to meet the standards contained in this section, such institution will no longer be required to meet the following provisions of § 249.12: (a) (1) (i), (ii),

(iv), (v) and (vi); (a)(4); (a)(6), (i)(B), (iii), (v), (vi), (vii) and (viii); (a)(7); (a)(8); (c)(4); and (c)(5).

(Sec. 1102, 49 Stat. 647 (42 U.S.C. 1302)).

Effective Date: The regulations in this section shall be effective June 28, 1976. (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program No. 13.714, Medical Assistance Pro-

Dated: December 8, 1975.

JOHN A. SVAHN,
Acting Administrator, Social and
Rehabilitation Service.

Approved: March 22, 1976.

MARJORIE LYNCH, Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8773 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Title 24—Housing and Urban Development

CHAPTER X—FEDERAL INSURANCE ADMINISTRATION

[Docket No. FI-936]

PART 1915—IDENTIFICATION AND MAPPING OF SPECIAL HAZARD AREAS

List of Communities With Special Hazard Areas

The purpose of this notice is the identification of communities with areas of special flood or mudslide or erosion hazards in accordance with Part 1915 of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations as authorized by the National Flood Insurance Program (42 USC 4001–4128). The identification of such areas is to provide guidance so that communities may adopt appropriate flood plain management measures to minimize damage caused by flood losses and to guide future construction, where practicable, away from locations which are threatened by flood hazards.

The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 requires the purchase of flood insurance on and after March 2, 1974, as a condition of receiving any form of Federal or Federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in an identified flood plain area having special flood hazards that is lo-

cated within any community participating in the National Flood Insurance Program.

One year after the identification of the community as flood prone, the requirement applies to all identified special flood hazard areas within the United States, so that, after that date, no such financial assistance can legally be provided for acquisition and construction in these areas unless the community has entered the program. The prohibition, however, does not apply to loans by a Federally regulated, insured, supervised or approved bank prior to March 1, 1976, to finance the acquisition of a previously occupied residential dwelling.

The effective date of identification shall be 30 days after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER, or the date which appears in this notice, which-

ever is later.

This 30 day period does not supersede the statutory requirement that a community, whether or not participating in the program, be given the opportunity for a period of six months to establish that it is not seriously flood prone or that such flood hazards as may have existed have been corrected by floodworks or other flood control methods. The six months period shall be considered to begin 30 days after the date of publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER or the effective date of the Flood Hazard Boundary Map, whichever is later. Similarly, the one year period a community has to enter the program under Section 201(d) of the Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 shall be considered to begin 30 days after publication in the Federal Register or the effective date of the Flood Hazard Boundary Map, whichever is later.

Where several dates appear in the column set forth below marked Effective Date of Identification, the first date is the date of initial identification, and all other dates represent modification by additions or deletions to identified areas

with special hazards.

Accordingly, § 1915.3 is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence a new entry to the table, which entry reads as follows:

§ 1915.3 List of communities with special hazard areas (FHBM's in effect).

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
•	•		•		•	•
Alabama	Calhoun	Hobson City, city of.	H 010021A 01	of State Planning, State Office Bidg., 501 Dexter Ave., Montgomery, Aia. 36104. Alabama Insurance Department, Room 453, Administrative Bidg.,	Mayor, 21 Park Ave., Anniston, Ala. 36201.	May 17, 1974.
Arkansas	Ciay	Corning, cliy of	H 050030A 01	Montgomery, Ala. 36104. Division of Soil and Water Resources, State Department of Commerce, 1200 Westpark Dr., Room 308, Little Rock, Ark. 72204. Arkansas Insurance Department, 400 University Tower Bidg., Little Rock, Ark. 72204.	Mayor, City Haii, Corning, Ark. 72422.	Oct. 12, i973.
Do	Sebasiian	Huntington, city	H 050334A 01	do		Sept. 19, 1975:
De	Washington	of. Springdale, city of.	H 050219A 0i through H 050219A 06	do	72940. Mayor, City Hall, Springdale, Ark. 72764.	Apr. 5, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Jackson	Tupelo, iown of		do	Mayor, Town Hali, Tupelo, Ark. 72169.	Aug. 16, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976.

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
California	Solano	Dixon, city of	H 060369A 01 through H 060369A 02	Box 388, Sacramento, Calif. 95802.	City Manager, 155 North Second St., Dixon, Calif. 95620.	Mar. 15, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
D0	Los Angeles	San Dimas.	H 060154A 01	California Insurance Department, 600 South Commonwealth Ave., Los Angeles, Calif. 90005. do.	Director of Public Works, 245 East	Tune 28 1074
		city of.	through H 060154A 16		Bonita Ave., City Hall, San Dimas, Calif. 91783.	Mar. 5, 1976.
Colorado	Boulder	Boulder, city of	H 080024A 01 through H 080024A 06	Colorado Water Conservation Bldg., Room 102, 1845 Sherman St., Den- ver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Insurance Commission, 106 State Office Bldg., Denver, Colo. 80203.	Mayor, City Hall, 1777 Broadway, P.O. Box 791, Boulder, Colo. 80802,	June 14, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Teller	Cripple Creek,	H 060174A 01.	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 278, City Hall, Cripple Creek, Colo. 80813.	Sept. 18, 1974.
Do	Le Plata		H 080099A 01 through H 080099A 02	:do	Mayor, P.O. Box 221, City Hall, Durango, Colo. 81301.	Mar. 5, 1976. Nov. 30, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Costilla	San Luis, town of.		do	Mayor, Town Hall, \$56 Main St.,	May 24, 1974.
Do	Huerfano	Walsenburg, city of.	H 080083A 01 through	:do		Mar. 5, 1976. Jan. 23, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Florida	Orange	Apopka, city of		Department of Community Affairs,	Mayor, P.O. Bex 220, Apopka, Fla.	July 19,1974.
			through H 120180A 04	2671 Executive Center Circle East, Howard Bldg., Tallahaseee, Fla. 32301.	\$2708.	Mar. 5, 1976.
				State of Florida Insurance Department, Treasurer's Office, The Capitol, Tallahassee, Fla. 32304.		
Do	Broward	Pompano Beach, city of.	H 120055A 01 through H 120055A 00		Mayor, P.O. Drawer 1300, Pompano Beach, Fla. 33061.	Mar. 16, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Georgia	. Coweta	Unincorporated areas.	H 120055A 06 H 130298 01 through H 130298 33	Department of Natural Resources, Office of Planning and Research, 270 Washington St., S.W., Room 707, Atlanta, Ga. 30534.	Coweta County Commissioner's Office, Furin, Ga. 30289.	Apr. 30, 1974.
		40.		Georgia Insurance Department, State		
	. Floyd		H 130079 01 through	Capitol, Atlanta, Ga. 80884.	Chairman, P.O. Box 946, Rome, Ga. 30161.	Do.
Do	. Clayton	Jonesboro, city of	H 130043A 01 through H 130043A 02	do	Mayor, 102 N. McDeneugh St. Jones- boro, Ga. 30236.	May 24, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
De	. Gwinnett		H 130101A 01	do	Mayor, 39 South Peachtree St., Nor- eross, Ga. 30071.	
Do	Bryan	Pembroke,	H 130101A 08	do		
De	Wheeler	Unincorporated	through H 180017A 02 H 180199 01	do	County Commissioner, Wheeler	Apr. 30, 1976.
daho	Jefferson	Menan, city of	through H 130190 24 H 100179 01	Department of Water Administration.	County Court House, Alamo, Ga. 30411. Mayor, P.O. Box 12, Menan, Idaho	Apr. 80, 1976.
		, , , ,		State House—Annex 2, Boise, Idahe 83707. Idaho Department of Insurance.	83434.	
,				Room 206—Statehouse, Boise, Idaho		
Do	Shoshone	Smelterville, city of.	H 160117 01	do	Mayor, 501 Main St., Smelterville, 1daho 83868.	Apr. 30, 1976.
Mincls	Moultrie		H 170520A 01.	Governor's Task Force on Flood Control, 200 North State St., P.O. Box 475, Room 1010, Chicago, Ill. 60610. Illinois Insurance Department, 225 West Jefferson St., Springfield, Ill.	Village President, Village Hall,	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Green	Carrollton city of	H 170250 A 01	62702. do	Mayor, City Hall, 621 South Main,	June 7 1974.
			H 170284A 01.	do	Carrollton, Ill. 62016. Mayor, 101 South State St., P.O. Box	Mar. 5, 1976. Dec. 17, 1978.
Do	Cook	Hodgkins, village	H 170284A 07 H 170106A 01	do	64, Geneseo, Ill. 61254. Village President, 8990 Lyons St.,	Mar. 5, 1976. Mar. 29, 1974.
		of.		do	Hodgkins, Ill. 60525. Village President, Village Hall, Mazon,	Mar. 5, 1976. Oct. 18, 1974.
	Kendall			do	Ill. 60444. Village President, Village Hall, Mill-	Mar. 5, 1976. Dec. 28, 1973.
_		lage of. Olmstead, village	H 170566A 01.	do	ington, Ill. 60537. Village President, P.O. Box 188, Olm-	Mar. 5, 1976. Aug. 30, 1974.
	Cook	of.	H 170143A 01 through		sted, Ill. 62970. Mayor, 8555 West 103d St., Palos Hills, Ill. 60465.	Mar. 5, 1976. Aug. 30, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976. Mar. 22, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Sangmon		H 170148A 02 H 170608A 01.	do	Village President, 313 East Jefferson,	Nov. 16, 1973.
-	Champaign.	of.			Riverton, Ill. 62561. Village President, 467 South Third St.,	Mar. 5, 1976. Nov. 23, 1973.
		lage of. Sparland, vil-		do	St. Joseph, Ill. 61873. Mayor, Village Hall, Sparland, Ill.	Mor 5 1076
	Lake	lage of. Tower Lakes.			61565. Village President, 225 Circle Dr.,	Nov. 23, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 5, 1974. Dec. 27, 1974.

State	County	Location	Map No:	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Indiana	Hamilton	Carmel, town of	H 180081A 01 through H 180081A 04	Division of Water, Department of Natural Resources, 608 State Office Bidg., Indianapolis, Ind. 48204. Indiana Insurance Department, 509 State Office Bidg., Indianapolis, Ind. 48204.	Town President, 130 First Ave., S.W. Carmel, Ind. 46032.	Aug. 9, 197 Mar. 5, 1976.
D ₀	Whitley	Churubusco, town of.	H 180299A 01 through H 180299A 02	do	President, Town IIall, Churubusco, Ind. 46723.	May 31, 1974.
Do	Owen	Gosport, town of	H 180325A 01	do	President, City Hall, Gosport, Ind. 46526.	Nov. 23, 1973.
Do	Blackford	Hartford City, city of.	H 180009A 01 through H 180009A 03	do	Mayor, 315 West Washington, Hart- lord City, Ind. 47348.	Do. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Wells	Ossian, town of:	H 180290A 01	do	President, 411 West Mill St., Ossian,	May 31, 1974.
Do	Glbson	Princeton, clty of_	H 180073A 01	do	Ind. 46777. Mayor, Clty Bldg., Princelon, Ind.	Do:
Do	Jasper		H 180101A 01	do	47670. Town President, Town Hall, Reming-	Mar. 5, 1976. May 31, 1974.
Do	DeKalb	St. Joe, town of		do	Town President, St. Joe, Ind. 46785	Mar. 5, 1976. Dec. 7, 1973.
Do	Scolt	Scotlsburg, city of.	through H 180049A 02 H 180234A 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Two East McLane,	Nov. 23, 1973.
4			through H 180234A 02		Scottsburg, 1nd. 47170.	Mar. 5, 1976.
	Monroe	town ol.		do	ville, Ind. 47464. Town President, Town Hall, Walton,	Feb. 1, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976. May 17, 1974.
Iowa	Poweshiek	Guernsey, city of	H 190497 01	Jomes W. Grimes Bldg., Des Molnes, Jowa 50319. Jowa Insurance Department, Lucas State Office Bldg., Des Molnes, Jowa 50319.	Ind. 46994. Mayor, City Hall, Guernsey, Iowa 50172.	Apr. 30, 1976.
D ₀	Franklin	Hampton, city of	through	do	Mayor, City Itall, Itampton, Iowa 50441.	June 21, 1974 Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Buena Vista		H 190131A 02 H 190032A 01	do		Aug. 16, 1974.
Do	Jasper	clty of. Newton, clty of	through	do	51033. Mayor, 115 N. 2d Ave., Newton, Iowa 50208.	Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 30 , 1976.
Do	Fayetle	Oelwein, cily ol	through	do	Mayor, City Hall, Oelwein, Iowa 50662.	July 26, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Dubuque	Sageville, city of	H 190126A 02 H 190122A 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Sageville, Iowa	Nov. 1, 1974.
Do	Buena Vlsta	Sloux Rapids,	H 190033A 01	do	52001. Mayor, Clty Hall, Sloux Rapids,	Mar. 5, 1976. May 10, 1974.
Do	Washington	city of. Wellman, city ol	H 190276 01	do		Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 30, 1976.
Do	Ifancock	Woden, cily ol	II 190410 01	do	52356. Mayor, City Hall, Woden, Iowa	Do.
Kansas	Cloud	Jameslown, city ol.	II 200126 01	Division of Water Resources, Kansas Department of Agriculture, 1720 South Topeka Ave., Topeka, Kans. 66612. Kansas Insurance Deparlment, 1st Floor, Statehouse, Topeka, Kans.	50484. Mayor, P.O. Box 714, Jamestown, Kan. 66648.	Do.
Kentucky	Campbell	Bellevue, city of	H 210035A 01	66612. Division of Water, Kentucky Department of Natural Resources, Capitol Plaza Office Tower, Frankfort, Ky. 40601. Kentucky Insurance Department, Old Capitol Annex, Frankfort, Ky.	Mayor, 616 Poplar St., Bellevue, Ky. 41073.	Feb. 1, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Kenlon	Covington, cily ol.	through	40601. do	Mayor, Clty-County Bidg., Room 306, Covington, Ky. 41011.	Mar. 15, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Campbell	Dayton, clty of	H 210129A 05 H 210037A 01	do	Mayor, 514 Slxth Ave., Daylon, Ky.	Feb. 1, 1974.
Do	Allen	Scoltsville, City of	H 210001A 04 through H 210001A 02	do	Mayor, Clly-County Bidg., Scotts- ville Ky., 41264.	Mar. 5, 1976. Jan. 1, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Websler	Sebree, clly of		do	Mayor, Municipal Bidg., Sebree, Ky.	May 17, 1974.
Do	Bullilt	Shepherdsville, city of.	H 210028A 01 through	do	42455. Mayor, P.O. Box 398, Shepherdsville, Ky. 40165.	Mar. 5, 1976. May 24, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Websler		H 210028A 02 H 210225A 01	do	Chairman, Slaughters, Ky. 42456	Aug. 16, 1974.
Do	Livingston	Smithland, cily	H 210147A 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Smithland, Ky.	Mar. 5, 1976. Feb. 1, 1974.
D 0	Gallatin	ol. Sparta, city of	H 210079A 01	do	42081. Mayor, P.O. Box 38, Sparta, Ky.	Do.
Do	Lincoln	Slanford, elty of	through	do	41086. Mayor, Main St., Stanford, Ky. 40194.	Mar. 5, 1976. May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976:
Do	Powell	Stanton, cily of	through	do	Mayor, Route 3, Stanton, Ky. 40380	May 24, 1974.
Do	Spencer.		H 210196A 02 H 210247A 01	do	Mayor, Clly Hall, Taylorsville, Ky.	Feb. 1, 1974.
Do	Union	town of.	H 210218A 01 through		40071: Mayor, P.O. Box 548, Uniontown, Ky. 42461:	
Do	Lowis	Vanceburg, city	H 210218A 02 H 210142A 01	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 86, Vanceburg, Ky.	Feb. 1, 1974.
Do	Реггу	Vicco, city of			41179.	Mar. 5, 1976. May 10, 1974.
DV	LOIF		through H 210192A 02	cq0	Mayor, City Hall, Vicco, Ky. 42286	May 10, 1974; Mar. 5, 1976;

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Hardin	Vine Grove,	H 210096A 01 through	do	Mayor, Box 542, Vine Grove, Ky. 40175.	May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
. Do	Floyd		H 210096A 62 H 210078 A 61	:do	Chairman, Town Hali, Wayland, Ky. 41666.	Aug. 23, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Hardin	West Point, city of.	through H 210073A 03 H 210097A 01 through	:do		May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Webster		H 210097 A 02 H 210248 A 01	do		Feb. 15, 1974.
	Floyd	town of.	H 210074A 01 through		Ky. 42409. Mayor, Box 108, Wheelwright, Ky. 41669.	Mar. 5, 1976. May 17, 1974.
Do	Letcher		H 210074A 02 H 210140A 01	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 607, Whitesburg, Ky.	Jan. 23, 1974.
Do	Whitley		H 210228A 01	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 119, Williamsburg,	Feb. 1, 1974.
Louislana	Livingston Parish.	city of. Denham Springs, city of.	H 220116A 01 through H 220116A 04	State Department of Public Works, P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70604. Louisiana Insurance Commission, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton Power La. 70641.	Ky. 40769. Mayor, City Hall, Denham Springs, La. 70726.	Mar. 5, 1976. Mar. 15, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Jefferson Davis Parish.	Jennings, city of	through	Rouge, La. 70804.	Mayor, City Hall, Jennings, La. 70546.	Feb. 1, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Allen Parish	Kinder, town of	H 220098A 04 H 220010A 01	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Kinder, La. 70648	Apr. 5, 1974.
Do	Pointe Coupee Parish.	Livonia, village of.	II 220142A 01	do	Mayor, Village Hall, Livonia, La.	Mar. 5, 1976. Jan. 23, 1974.
Do	do	New Roads, town of.	H 220144A 01 through H 220144A 03	do	70755. Mayor, Town Hall, New Roads, La. 70760.	Mar. 5, 1976. Feb. 1, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Acadia Parish	Rayne, city of		do	Mayor, City Hall, Rayne, La. 70578	Mar. 29, 1974.
Do	Avoyelles Parish	Simmesport, town of.	through	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Simmesport, La. 71369.	Apr. 30, 1976.
laine	Penobscot	Brewer, city of	H 220025 04 H 230104A 01 through H 230104A 08	Bureau of Civil Emergency Prepared- ness, State House, Augusta, Maine 04330. Maine Insurance Department, Capitol Shopping Center, Augusta, Maine 04330.	City Manager, Executive Offices, City Hall, Brewer, Maine 04412.	Mar. 29, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Cumberland	Pownal, town of	H 230204A 01 through H 230204A 12	do	Town Selectman, P.O. Box 129A R.F.D. 1, Pownal, Maine 04069.	Jan. 31, 1975. Mar. 5, 1976.
dichigan	Arenac	Augres, city of	H 260012A 01 through H 260012A 02	Water Resources Commission, Bureau of Water Management, Stevens T. Mason Bidg., Lansing, Mich. 48926, Michigan Insurance Bureau, 111 North Hosmer St., Lansing, Mich. 48913.	Mayor, 110 South Mackinac St., Augres, Mich. 48703.	June 7, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Berrien	Benton, township of.	through	do	Township Supervisor, Town Hall, 1725 Territorial Rd., Benton Har-	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	do	Coloma, township of.	H 260031A 10 H 260034A 01 through	do	bor, Mich. 49022. Supervisor, 4919 Paw Paw Lake Rd., Coloma, Mich. 49038.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Iosco		H 200034A 07 H 200100A 01	do	Mayor, 120 Westover St., Eastover St.,	May 24, 1974
Do	Antrim	elimwood, town-	H 260118A 01 through	do	East Tawas, Mich. 48730. Township Supervisor, Fouch Rd., R.D. 2, Traverse City, Mich. 49684.	Mar. 5, 1976. Sept. 20, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Wayne		H 260118A 08 H 260229A 01	do	Mayor, 90 Kerby Rd., Grosse Pointe	May 17, 1974.
Do	do	Farms, city of. Grosse Pointe Park, city of.	H 260230A 01 through H 260230A 05	do	Farms, Mich. 48236. Mayor, 15115, East Jefferson Avenue, Grosse Pointe Park, Mich. 48230.	Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 12, 1974.
Do	Berrien	Hagar, township of.	H 260035A 01 through	do	Township Supervisor, Township Hall, P.O. Box 78, Riverside, Mich. 49084.	
Do	Emmet	Harbor Springs, city of.	H 260035A 06 H 260272A 01 through	do	Mayor, 349 East Main St., Harbor Springs, Mich. 49740.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	St. Clair		H 260272A 02 H 260200A 01	do	City Manager, 300 Broadway, Marine City, Mich. 48089.	May 31, 1974.
Do	Macomb	Mount Clemens, city of.	H 260124A 01 through	do	Mayor, 1 Crocker Blvd., Mount Clemens, Mich., 48043.	Oct. 5, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Berrien	St. Joseph, city of.	through	do	Mayor, 616-620 Broad St., St. Joseph, Mich. 49085.	May 24, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Muskegon	Whitehall, city of	H 260044A 02 H 260166A 01	do	Mayor, 405 East Colby, Whitehall, Mich. 49461.	Aug. 28, 1974;
Mississippi	Jones	Laurel, city of	H 280092A 01 through H 280092A 14	Mississippi Research & Development- Center, P.O. Drawer 2470, Jackson, Miss. 39205. Mississippi insurance Department, 910 Woolfolk Bidg., P.O. Box 79, Jack- son, Miss. 39205.	Mayor, P.O. Box 647, Laurel, Miss: 30440.	Mar. 5, 1976. Nov. 30, 1978. Mar. 5, 1976.

State	County	Location	Мар	No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Missouri	Andrew	. Bolckow, village of.	H 290006	01	East High St., Jellerson City, Mo. 65101.	Chairman, Village Hall, Bolckow, Mo. 64427.	Apr. 30, 1976.
Do	. Wayne	. Piedmont, clty of.	through		Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 690, Jefferson City, Mo. 65101.	Mayor, City Hall, Piedmont, Mo. 63957.	Mar. 29, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
D ₀	Jasper	. Sarcoxie, city of	H 290451. H 290186. through	1 01	do	Mayor, 111 North 6th St., City Hall, Sarcoxie, Mo. 64862.	May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Greene	Strafford, city of Williamsville,	TT GOODS OF	0.0	do		
Montana	Valley	eity of. Nashua, town of	H 300082A	. 01	 Montana Department of Natural Resources & Conservation, Water Resources Division, 32 South Ewing St., Heisna, Mont. 59601. Montana Insurance Department, Cap- 	Mayor, Nashua, Mont. 59248	Apr. 5, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Nebraska	Rlehardson	Falls City, city of.	H 310182A through H 310182A		itol Bidg., Helena, Mont. 59601. Nebraska Natural Resources Com- mission, Terminal Bidg., 7th Floor, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508. Nebraska Insurance Department, 1335 L. St., Lincoln, Nebr. 68509.	Mayor, City Hall, Terrell St., P.O. Box 204, Falls City, Nebr. 78113.	Jan. 23, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Hitchcock	Trenton, village of.	Н 3101134	01	do	Trenton, Nebr. 69044.	Mar. 5, 1976.
New Jersey	Burlington	Florence, town- ship of.	H 340098A through H 340098A		Box 1390, Trenton, N.J. 08625. New Jersey Department of Insurance, State House Annex, Trenton, N.J. 08625.	Administrator, Front Six Broad St., Florence, N.J. 08578.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Warren	Oxford, town- ship of.	H 340492A through H 340492A		do	Mayor, Washington Ave., Oxford, N.J. 07863.	June 21, 1974. Mar. 5, 19576.
Do	Salem	Penns Grove, borough of.	H 340419A through	. 01	do	Mayor, Main & State St., P.O. Box 527, Penns Grove, N.J. 08069.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
	Bergen	township of.	H 340419A H 340070A	01		Park N. I. 07669	June 22, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
	Monmouth	borough of.	H 340322A through H 340322A	03	do		Feb. 1, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Mlddlesex	South Plainfield, borough of.	H 340279A through H 340279A	01	do	Mayor, 2490 Plainfield Ave., South Plainfield, N.J. 07080.	Feb. 22, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	do	South River, borough of.	H 340280A through	01	do	N.J. 08882.	Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	do	Spotswood, borough of.	H 340282A	01	do	Mayor, 77 Summerhill Rd., Spots- wood, N.J. 08884.	July 6, 1973.
Do	Monmouth	Spring Lake Helghts,	H 340330A	01	do	Mayor, 557 Brighten Ave., Spring Lake Heights, N.J. 07762.	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Sussex	borough of. Sussex, borough of.	Н 340457А	01	do	Mayor, Two Main St., Sussex, N.J. 07461.	June 14, 1974.
Do	Hudson	Weehawken, township of.	H 340228A through H 340228A		do	Mayor, 400 Park Ave., Weehawken, N.J. 07087.	Mar. 5, 1976. Aug. 2, 1974.
New Mexico	Roosevelt	Floyd, village of	H 350103)1	 State Engineer's Office, Batsan Memorial Bidg., Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87413. New Mexico Department of Insurance, P.O. Box 1269, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501. 	Clerk, Village Hall, Floyd, N. Mex. 88118.	Apr. 30, 1976.
New York	Steuben	Addlson, vtllage of.	H 360762A through H 360762A		New York State Department of En- vironmental Conservation, Division of Resources Management Services, Bureau of Water Management, Al- bany, N. Y. 12201. New York State Insurance Depart- ment, Two World Trade Center,	Mayor, Village Hall, Addison, N.Y. 14801.	Juiy 23, 197 3. Mar. 5, 197 6.
Do	Delaware	Andes, village of	H 360189A	01	New York, N.Y. 10047.		Ang. 16, 1974.
D ₀	Allegany	village of.	H 360022A through H 360022A		do1	13731. Mayor, Village Hall, Andover, N.Y. 14806.	Mar. 5, 1976. June 14, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Steuben	Arkport, village of.	H 360763A	01	do	Mayor, One East Ave., Arkport, N.Y. 14807.	May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	do	Avoca, village of	H 360765A	01	do	Mayor, Village Hall, Avoca, N.Y. 14809.	Apr. 12, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Washington	Cambridge, village of.	H 360883A	01	do	Mayor, One West Main St., Cam-	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Rensselaer	Nassau, village of.	H 360675A	01	do	Mayor, Village Hall, Cato, N.Y. 13033 Mayor, Four Malden St., Nassau, N.Y. 12123.	June 14, 1974. Mar. 22, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976
Do	Cayuga	Victory, town of	through		do.,,	Town Supervisor, Red Creek, N.Y.	Mar. 5, 1976. July 26, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
3kde	Jefferson		H 360131A		Ohio Department of Natural Resources, Flood Insurance Coor. Bidg., Fountain Square, Columbus, Ohio 43224. Ohio Department of Insurance, 447 East Broad St., Columbus, Ohio 43215.	Mayor, 221, Leonard Ave., Wintersville, Ohio 43952.	

State	County	Location	Map No:	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Oklahoma	. Carter	. Healdton, city of	H 400083A 01 through H 400083A 02	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 6th Floer, Jim Thorpe Bidg., Okla- homa City, Okla. 73105. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408, Will Rogers Memorial Bidg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73165.	Oity Manager, P.O. Box 928, Heald- ton, Okla. 73438.	Dec. 28, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Leflore	. Heavener, city of.	H 400090A 01 through H 400090A 02	dodo	Mayor, 401 East First St., City Hall, Heavener, Okla. 74937.	May 24, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
	Cleveland	town of	H 400043A 01	do		Mar. 5, 1976.
				do	74647	Apr. 30, 1976. Do.
				Executive Department, State of Oregon, Salem, Oreg. 97310. Oregon Insurance Division, Depart- ment of Commerce, 158 12th St. NE., Salem, Oreg. 97310.	73092.	
Do	Columbia	Vernonia, city of	H 410041A 01	do	Mayor, City Hall, Vernonia, Oreg. 97064.	Nov. 30, 1973.
Pennsylvania	. Bradford	Athens, borough of.	H 420167A 01 through H 420167A 02	Department of Community Affairs, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, Harrisburg, Pa. 17120. Pennsylvania Insurance Department, 106 Finance Bidg., Harrisburg, Pa. 17120.	Presdent, Two South River St., Athens, Pa. 18810.	Mar. 5, 1976. Feb. 8, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	York	Lewisberry, borough of.		do		
Do	do	Mount Wolf.	H 421021A 01	do	Mayor, 200 South Fourth St., Mount	Mar. 5, 1976. Sept. 13, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976. Mar. 1, 1974.
		borough of.	11 12000011 01	do		
Do	Bucks	Northampton, township of.	H 420988A 01 through H 420988A 08		St., Richboro, Pa. 18954.	Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. York	Spring Garden, township of.	H 420937A 01 through H 420937A 12	do	Manager, 558 South Ogontz St., York, Pa. 17403.	May 10, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Crawford	Steuben, town- ship of.	H 421571A 01 through	:do	Chairman, R.D. 4, Centerville, Pa. 16404.	Dec. 13, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Juniata	Thompsontown, borough of.	H 421571A 04 H 420521A 01	do		Apr. 12, 1974.
South Carolina.	Edgefield	Edgefield, town of.	H 450074A 01 through H 450074A 02	South Carolina Water Resources Com- mission, P.O. Box 4515, Columbia, S.C. 29240. South Carolina Insurance Depart- ment, 2711 Middleburg St., Colum- bia, S.C. 29204.	town, Pa. 17094. Mayor, P.O. Box 390, Edgefield, S.C. 29824.	Mar. 5, 1976. May 24, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Williamsburg	Kingstree, town of.	H 450190A 01 through	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 207, Kingstree, S.C. 29556.	May 17, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Dillon	Latta, town of	through	:do	Mayor, 111 North Railroad Ave., Lat- ta, S.C. 29565.	June 14, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
South Dakota	Hand	Miller, city of	H 450067A 02 H 460201A 01 through H 460201A 02	State Planning Bureau, Office of Ex- ecutive Management, State Capitol, Pierre, S. Dak. 67501. South Dakota Department of Insur- ance, Isurance Bidg., Pierre, S. Dak. 67501.	City Administrator, City Hall, Miller, B. Dak. 57362.	Apr. 25, 1975. Mar. 5, 1976.
				do	President of Commission, Town Hall, White, S. Dak. 57276.	Apr. 30, 1976.
Teras	. Shackleford	Albany, city of	H 480565A 01	. Texas Water Development Bldg., P.O. Box 13087, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711. Texas Insurance Dept., 1110 Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701.	Mayor, City Hall, Albany, Tex. 76430.	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976
Do	. Wise	Boyd, city of	through	5do	Mayor, City Hall, Boyd, Tex. 76023:	Dec. 28, 1973. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Washington	Brenham, city of	through	cdo	Mayor, City Hall, Brenham, Tex. 77833.	May 24, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Angelina	Diboll, city of	H 480648A 04 H 480008A 01 through	sdo	Mayor, City Hall, 400 Kenley Ave., P.O. Drawer E., Diboll, Tex. 75941.	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do -	Karnes	Falls City, city of.	H 480008A 03	do		June 28, 1974.
	. Harrison.				68355. Mayor, 104 North Central, Hallsville,	Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 30, 1976.
	. Кегт			cdo	Tex. 75650. City Manager, City Hall, Kerrville, Tex. 78028.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Menard	Menard, city of	H 480420 03 H 480476A 01	sdo		June 28, 1974.
Do	Lavaca	Moulton, town of-	through H 480476A 02 H 480433A 01	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Moulton, Tex.	Mar. 5, 1976. Apr. 5, 1974.
_	Leon			do	77975. Mayor, City Hall, P.O. Box E. Nor-	Mar. 5, 1976. Aug. 16, 1974:
	. San Saba	of. Richland Springs,		do	mangee, Tex. 77871. Mayor, City Hall, Richland Springs,	Mar. 5, 1976: Nov. 8, 1974.
	. Hardin	city of. Rose Hill Acres,	H 480846A 01	do	Mayor P.O. Box 285, Town Hall.	Mar. 5, 1976. Sept. 13, 1974.
	. Montgomery	town of. Splendora, city	H 480488A 01	do	Rose Hill Acres, Tex. 77662. Mayor, City Hall, P.O. Drawer O, Splanders, Tex. 77872. Mayor, P.O. Box 1028, City Hall,	Mar. 5, 1976. Aug. 80, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	. Culberson	Van Horn, city	H 490163A 01 through H 480163A 08	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 1028, City Hall, Van Horn, Tax. 79855,	May 10, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976.

State	County	Location	Map No:	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Utah	Iron	Codar City, city	H 490074A 01 through H 490074A 03	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Water Resources, State Capitol Bidg., Room 435, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114: Utah Insurance Department, 115 State Capitol, Salt Lake City, Utah 84114.	Mayor, City Hall, Cedar City, Utah 84720.	Jan. 23, 1974; Mar. 5, 1976.
Do	Salt Lake	West Jordan, city of.	H 490108A 01 through H 490108A 05	do	Mayor, City Hall, West Jordan, Utah 84084.	July 19, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976:
Virginia	Gfles	Unincorporated areas.	H 510067A 01 through H 510067A 25	Bureau of Water Control Manage- ment, State Water Control, P.O.; Box 11143, Richmond, Va. 23230. Virginia Insurance Department, 700 Blanton Bidg., P.O. Box 1157, Richomnd, Va. 23209.	Chairman, Courthouse, Harrisburg, Va. 24134:	Aug. 2, 1974: Mar. 5, 1976:
Washington	King	Carnation, town	H 530076A 01	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 98501. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash.	Mayor, Town Hall, Box 257, Carnation, Wash. 98014.	May 31, 1974:
Wyoming	. Washakie	. Worland, city of	H 560056A 01 through H 560056A 08	98501. Wyoming Disaster & Civil Defense Agency, P.O. Box 1709, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001: Department of Insurance, State of Wyoming, State Office Bldg., Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001.	Mayor, City Hall, Worland, Wye. 82401:	May 3, 1974. Mar. 5, 1976.
State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Coiorado	Weld	Milliken, town of.	H 080187A 01	Colorado Water Conservation Board, Soom 102, 1845 Sherman St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Colorado Insurance Commission, 106 State Office Bidg., Denver, Colo.	Mayor, City Hall, 1109 Broad St.; P.O. Box 97, Milliken, Colo. 80548.	May 17, 1974: Mar. 12, 1976.
lows	Harrison	Logan, city of	H 190046A 01	80203. Iowa Matural Resources Council, James W. Grimes Bldg., Des Molnes, Iowa 50319. Iowa Insurance Department, Lucas State Office Bldg., Des Moines,	Mayor, City Hall, Logan, Iowa 51546	Apr. 12, 1974; Mar. 12, 1976;
Kansas	Cloud	Militon vale, city of.	H 200537 01	Iowa 50319. Division of Water Resources, Kansas Department of Agriculture, 1720 South Topeka Ave., Topeka, Kans. 66312. Kansas Insurance Department, 1st Floor, Statehouse, Topeka, Kans.	Clerk, Miltonvale, Kans. 67466	May 7, 1976.
Louisiana	Livingston	The French Settlement, village of:	H 220117A 01 through H 220117A 04	P.O. Box 44155, Capitol Station, Baton Rouge, La. 70804. Louislana Insurance Commission, Box 44214, Capitol Station, Baton	Mayor, City Hall, French Settlement, Le. 70733.	Oct. 25, 1974; Mar. 12, 1976;
D ₀	Acadia	Morse, town of	H 220007A 01 through H 220007A 02	Rouge, La. 70804.	Mayor, Town Hall, Morse, La. 71262	Nov. 23, 1973; Mar. 12, 1976;
De	Sabine	Pieasant Hill, village of:	H 220344 01	do	Mayor, Pleasant Hill, La. 71065	May 7, 1976;
Massachusetts	Worcester		H 250305A 01 through H 250305A 09	Division of Water Resources, Water Resources Commission, State Office Bldg., 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202. Massachusetts Division of Insurance, 100 Cambridge St., Boston, Mass. 02202.	Chairman, Planning Board, City of Gardner, Office of the Planning Board, Gardner, Mass. 01440.	Sept. 6, 1974, Mar. 12, 1976,
Missouri	St. Louis	Country Club Hills, city of	H 290746 01	Department of Natural Resources, Division of Program & Policy De- velopment, State of Missouri, 308 East High St., Jefferson, Mo. 65101: Missouri Division of Insurance, P.O. Box 690, Jefferson, Mo. 65101.	Mayor, City Hall, Country Club Hills, Mo. 64113.	May 7, 1976:
Do	Ray	Henrietta, city of.	H 290308A 01	do.	President, City Council, City Hall, Henrietta, Mo. 64036.	Oct. 18, 1974
New Hampshire.	Hillsborough	Nashua, city of	H 330097A 04 through H 330097A 14	State House Annex, Concord, N.H. 03301. New Hampshire Insurance Depart-	Henrietta, Mo. 64096. Planning Director, P.O. Box 305, Nashua, N.H. 03060.	Aug. 23, 1974; Mar. 12, 1976;
Do	Rockingham	Newfields, town		ment, 78 North Main St., Concord, N.H. 03301.	Chairman Selectman, Town Hall,	Jan: 17, 1976 Mar. 12, 1976
Oklahoma	Pontotoe	Ada, city of	through H 330228A 04 H 400173A 08 through H 400173A 06	Oklahoma Water Resources Board, 5th Floor, Jim Thorpe Bidg., Okla- homs City, Okla. 73105. Oklahoma Insurance Department, Room 408, Will Rogers Memorial Bidg., Oklahoma City, Okla. 73105.	Newfields, N.H.: Mayor, City Hall, Ada, Okla. 74830;	Mar. 12, 1976 Fob. 8, 1976 Mar. 12, 1976

State	County	Location	Map No.	State map repository	Local map repository	Effective date of identification of areas which have special flood hazards
Do	Okfuskee	Castle, town of	H 499278 0f	do	Mayor, Town Hall, Castle, Okla.	May 7, 1976.
Do	do	Henryetta, city of.	H 400144A 01 through H 400144A 03	do	608, City Hall, Henryetta, Okia.	Jan. 23, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Oregon	Jackson	Medford, city of	H 410096A 01 through H 410096A 08	Executive Department, State of Oregon, Salem, Oreg. 97310.	74437. Mayor, City Hall, Medford, Oreg. 97501.	June 21, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Texas	Jones	Anson, city of	II 480401A 01	Oregon Insurance Division, Department of Commerce, 158 12th St. NE., Salem, Oreg. 97310. Texas Water Development Board, P.O. Box 13087, Capitol Station, Austin, Tex. 78711.	Mayor, City Hall, 1202 Commercial Ave., Anson, Tex. 79501.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	McLennan	Beilmead, city of	H 480457A 01 through	Texas Insurance Department, 1110 San Jacinto St., Austin, Tex. 78701do	Mayor, City Haii, Parrish Waco, Beil- mead, Tex. 76705.	
Do	Hunt		TT 480457 A O4	do	. Mayor, City Hali, Caddo Mills, Tex.	Mar. 12, 1976. June 28, 1974.
Do	McLennan	City of. Hewitt, city of	through	do	75005. Mayor, City Hall, Hewitt, Tex. 76643.	Mar. 12, 1976. Jan. 23, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Wichita	Iowa Park, city of.	through	do	Mayor, City Hail, 103 North Wail St., Iowa Park, Tex. 76367.	Apr. 5, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Jackson	La Ward, city of	H 480660 A 03 H 480174 01	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 247, La Ward, Tex.	May 7, 1976.
Do	Bexar	Live Oak, city of	through	do	77970. Mayor, City Hall, 8001 Shin Oak Drive, Live Oak, Tex. 78233.	May 24, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Upton	Rankin, city of	through	do	Mayor, City Hall, 9th and Grand, Rankin, Tex. 79778.	May 10, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Tom Green	San Angelo, city	through	do	Mayor, P.O. Box 1751, City Hall, San Angelo, Tex. 76901.	June 28, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Burleson	Somerviile, city of.	H 480623A 14 H 480091A 01	do		
Do	Sterling	Sterling City,	H 480579A 01	do	77879. Mayor, Town Hall, Sterling City, Tex. 76951.	Mar. 12, 1976. May 24, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Do	Gonzales		H 480255A 01	do	Mayor, City Hail, Waelder, Tex. 78959.	July 19, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Washington	King	Algona, city of	1I 530072A 01	Department of Ecology, Olympia, Wash. 9 01. Washington Insurance Department, Insurance Bldg., Olympia, Wash. 98501.		June 28, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
D ₀	Whitman	Tekoa, city of	H 530215A 01 through H 530215A 02	do	Mayor, Cresby St., Box 220, City Hall, Tekoa, Wash. 99033.	Aug. 30, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.
Wyoming	Sheridan	Ranchester, town of		Wyoming Disaster & Civil Defense Agency, P.O. Box 1769, Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001. Department of Insurance, State of Wyo., State Office Bidg., Cheyenne, Wyo. 82001.	Mayor, City Hail, P.O. Box 157, Ranchester, Wyo. 82839.	Sept. 6, 1974. Mar. 12, 1976.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968), effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 FR 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended (secs. 408-410, Pub. L. 91-152,

Dec. 24, 1969), 42 USC 4001-4127; and Secretary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 FR 2680, Feb. 27, 1969)

Issued: March 3, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER, Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-8375 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

SUBCHAPTER B—NATIONAL FLOOD INSURANCE PROGRAM [Docket No. FI 992]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

The purpose of this notice is to list those communities wherein the sale of flood insurance is authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128).

Insurance policies can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the National Flood Insurers Association servicing company for the state (addresses are published at 40

FR 57210-212 and 41 FR 1062). A list of servicing companies is also available from the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), HUD, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410.

The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of receiving any form of Federal or Federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in a flood plain area having special hazards within any community identified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

The requirement applies to all identified special flood hazard areas within the United States, and no such financial assistance can legally be provided for ac-

quisition or construction in these areas unless the community has entered the program. Accordingly, for communities listed under this Part no such restriction exists, although insurance, if required, must be purchased.

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that delayed effective dates would be contrary to the public interest. The Administrator also finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.C. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence new entries to the table. In each entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. The date that appears in the fourth column of the table is provided in order to desig-

nate the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. These dates

serve notice only for the purposes of granting relief, and not for the application of sanctions, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. §551. The entry reads as follows:

§ 1914.4 List of Eligible Communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of floo- insurance for area	d Hazard area identified	Communi No.
•	Grand of	Polyania altri of	Mar. 22, 1076 emergency	Apr. 18 1075	130
leorgia	Crawford	Unincorporated areas	dodo	Apr. 15, 1919	130
ndiana	Morgan	Morgantown town of	do	Sent. 20 1974	180
	Down II	Clay Clty of	do	Feb 1 1074	2109
			do	Apr. 25, 1975	21019
Do	Holt.	Cralg, clty of	dododo	Dec. 6, 1974	290
Do	Cooper	Wooldridge, village of	do	Apr. 25, 1975	290
lichigan	Oakland	Lake Orion, village of	dodo	Oct. 10, 1975	260
					330
Do	do	Plermont, town of	do	Feb. 21, 1975	330
ew Jersey	Hunterdon	Franklin, township of		July 20, 1974	340 361
ew York	Dutchess	Duone town of	do	Dec. 6, 1974	
D0	Franklin	Duane, town of	do	Jan. 1 , 1975	361 390
D-	Brown	Unincorporated areas	do	Eab 14 1075	390
Do	Hamilton	North Rand village of	do	Mar 15 1074	390
nngelvania	Washington	Conterville borough of	do	Fab. 28 1975	422
Do	Susquehanna	Dlmock, township of	dodo	Jan. 17, 1975	422
		East Lackawannock, township of	do	Sept. 6. 1974	421
Do	Beaver	Koppel, borough of	do	Jan. 31, 1975	422
Do	Washington	Midway, borough of		Jan: 24, 1975	422
Do	Bradford	Tuscarora, township of	do	Oct. 18, 1974	421
Do	Erie	Waterford, township of	do	Jan. 24, 1975	422
Do	Westmoreland	West Lecchburg, borough of	do	June 21, 1974	420
					421
rginia	. Amelia	Unincorporated	do	July 25, 1975	510
kansas	Sharp	Evening Shade, town of	Mar. 23, 1976, emergency	Apr. 18, 1975	050
Do	. Logan	Sublaco, town of	dodo	Apr. 25, 1975	050
orida	Palm Beach	Haverhill, town of	dodo	June 28, 1974	120
Do	Polk	Lake Hamilton, town of	do		1 120
Ississippi	Greene	Leakesville, town of	dodo	Feb. 14, 1976	280
ew Jersey	Camden	Laurel Springs, borough of	do	Feb. 21, 1975	340
ew York	Suffolk	Belle Terre, village of	do	Dec. 27, 1974	361
orth Dakota	. McHenry	Unincorporated areas	do		1 380
ennsylvania	Susquehanna	Bridgewater, township of	d0	Feb. 28, 1975	422
D0	- Fayette	Builskin, township of	do	Dec. 27, 1974	421
D0	Chester	West Sadsbury, township of		Apr. 11, 1975	422
D0	Bradiord	Togeth town of	do	Ion 10 1075	421 490
tah	Topole	Stockton town of	do	Ion 24 1075	490
rkansas	Little Place	Foreman city of	do	Mar 1 1074	05013
I MCBLLOGAS				Nov. 7, 1975	
Do	Bradley	Hermitage, city of	do	Apr. 18, 1975	050
inols	St. Clair	Freeburg, village of	dodo.	Mar. 22, 1974	170
Do	_ Madison	Worden, village of		Mar. 21, 1975	170
chigan	Huron	Sebewaing, village of	dodo	Oct. 3, 1975	260
nnesota	Ottertail	Ottertail, city of	do	Mar. 3, 1976	270
orth Carolina	. Iredell	Mooresville, town of		Apr. 25, 1975	370
orth Dakota	. Sargent	Forman, city of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	380
D0	McHenry	Pontle wills willege of	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T3-1- 7 1075	1 380
IIU	Dougles	Delmont alter of	do	Aug. 8, 1975	390
utii Dakota	. Douglas	Definiont, city of	u0ii	Jan. 23, 1976	46002
Do	. Brown	Frederick, town of	dodo	Nov. 22, 1974	460
e diam's	Cassuford	English town of	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	A 12 1074	10000
				Oat 94 1075	18003
ntucky	Letcher		do	Eab 12 1076	21013
ew Mexico	Santa Fe	Unincorporated areas	do		350
w York	Schuyler	Cayuta, town of	do	Nov. 15, 1974	361
Do	_ Jefferson	Theresa, village of.	do	May 10, 1974	36035
io	Guernsey	Lore Clty, village of	dodo	Aug. 30, 1974	300
nnsylvanla	. Cambria	Blacklick, township of	do	Jan. 10, 1975	421
Do	Clarion	Sligo, borough of	do	Nov. 8, 1974	421
			do		04005
chigan	Calhoun	Lee, township of	dodo	1900. 0, 1975	260
W Vork	Chenango	McDonough, town of	do	Jan. 31, 1975	361
W AUIM	(aston	Belmont, cltv of	do	June 27, 1975	370
orth Carolina	Divida	Nonan, city of	do	Feb. 21, 1975	380
orth Carolina	- APIVIUO			90 40 44-	422
orth Carolina	Somerset	Jefferson, township of	do	Dec. 13, 1974	
orth Carolina	Somerset	Jefferson, township of Paint, township of	dodo	Jan. 24, 1975	422
orth Carolina	Somerset	Jefferson, township of Palnt, township of Pigeon Falls, village of	dodo	Jan. 24, 1975 Sept. 6, 1974	422 550
orth Carolina	Somerset	Jefferson, township of. Paint, township of. Pigeon Falls, village of. Taylor, village of.		Jan. 24, 1975 Sept. 6, 1974 Dec. 7, 1973	422

¹ New community number.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 F.R. 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secre-

tary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 F.R. 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 F.R. 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.)

Issued: March 18, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-8646 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. FI-964]

PART 1914—AREAS ELIGIBLE FOR THE SALE OF INSURANCE

Status of Participating Communities

The purpose of this notice is to list those communities wherein the sale of flood insurance is authorized under the National Flood Insurance Program (42 U.S.C. 4001-4128).

Insurance policies can be obtained from any licensed property insurance agent or broker serving the eligible community, or from the National Flood Insurers Association servicing company for the state (addresses are published at 40 FR 57210-212 and 41 FR 1062). A list of servicing companies is also available from the Federal Insurance Administration (FIA), HUD, 451 Seventh Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20410.

The Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 requires the purchase of flood insurance as a condition of receiving any form of Federal or Federally related financial assistance for acquisition or construction purposes in a flood plain area having special hazards within any community identified by the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development.

The requirement applies to all identified special flood hazard areas within the United States, and no such financial assistance can legally be provided for acquisition or construction in these areas unless the community has entered the program. Accordingly, for communities listed under this Part no such restriction exists, although insurance, if required, must be purchased.

The Federal Insurance Administrator finds that delayed effective dates would

be contrary to the public interest. The Administrator also finds that notice and public procedure under 5 U.S.Q. 553(b) are impracticable and unnecessary.

Section 1914.4 of Part 1914 of Subchapter B of Chapter X of Title 24 of the Code of Federal Regulations is amended by adding in alphabetical sequence new entries to the table. In each entry, a complete chronology of effective dates appears for each listed community. The date that appears in the fourth column of the table is provided in order to designate the effective date of the authorization of the sale of flood insurance in the area under the emergency or the regular flood insurance program. These dates serve notice only for the purposes of granting relief, and not for the application of sanctions, within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 551. The entry reads as follows:

\$ 1914.4 List of Eligible Communities.

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area identified	Communit
•	•	•	•		
rkansas	Clay	Rector, city of	Mar. 15, 1976, emergency	July 18, 1975	0503
annesticut	New London	Griswold, town of	do	Fab. 28 1975	0901
					0900
lingie	MeLean	McLean, village of		Dec. 6 1974	170
UHOIS	A constant	Castle Hill town of	do	Pab 7 1075	230
aine	ATOOBUOK	Detroit town of		E-b 01 1075	230
Do	Somerset	Detroit, town of		Feb. 21, 1975	
Do	Penobecot	Kenduskeag, town ol		. Nov. 1, 1974	230
Do	Waldo	Troy, town of	dodo	. Feb. 14, 1975	230
isaonri	Howard	Armstrong, city of	dodo	. Apr. 25, 1975	290
ahraska	Dodge	Hopper, city of	dodo	do	310
w York	Rockland	New Square, village of	d0 d0 d0 d0 d0 d0 d0 d0		1 360
manufaction in	Northampton	Nazareth, horough of	do	Jan. 9, 1974	420
and I value	Cnorene	Rockford, town of	do	Dec. 6 1974	530
Maning Woll	DUABIIC	Improporated exces	Mar 14 1074 amorron	Dec 6 1974	010
abama	LAUINI	Construct Millogo old- of	Mai. 10, 1870, chiergency	Dec. 97 1074	010
lorado	Arapanoe	Greenwood village, city of	Q0	. Dec. 21, 1974	080
asouri	Osage	Westphalia, city of		- Uct. 18, 1974	2902
				Nov. 21, 1975	
ow York	Hamilton	Inlet, town of	dodo	. Jan. 24, 1975	361
Do	do	Lake Pleasant, town of		. do	_ 361
De	St Lewrence	Madrid, town of	do	Nov. 1, 1974	- 36
7).	Medienn	Munnsvilla, village of	.do	Aug. 20, 1974	36
D0	Cahamariad	Deinostown town of	do	Dec. 20 1074	36
D0	benemectady	Dandalah tawa of		Apr 95 1075	36
Do	Cattaraugus	Nandoibu, town of		Cont 20, 1970	42
nnsylvania	Bradford	Leroy, township of	d0	_ bept. 20, 1974	92
Do	Bucks	Newtown, township of			42
Do	Greene.	Perry, township of	d0d0	_ Jan. 10,1975	42
emont.	F.SSAT	East Haven, town of	do	Dec. 13, 1974	50
incompin	Lafavotto	Gratiot village of	.do	Jan. 16, 1974	55
7800USIU	Datayout	Otelade) vimebo derresses			
	Rutler	El Dorado city of	Apr. 21, 1972, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular.	Apr. 10, 1974 Oct. 24, 1975	2000
				Oct. 24, 1975	
	* "	T. Manage terms site of	Dec 21 1071 amorganes: Mar 5 1076 remiles	Mar. 5, 1976	2101
entucky	Jeuerson	Jenersontown, City Ol	The 9 1071 emergency, Mar. 5, 1076 secular	Dec. 6, 1974	2101
Do	do	St. Matthews, city of	Dec. 31, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Dec. 3, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular.	1700. 0, 1014	2101
		•			
•		YY	Aug. 13, 1971, emergency; Dec. 31, 1971, sus- pended; Apr. 24, 1974, reinstated; Mar. 5, 1976, regular.	- May 3, 1974	2901-
lissouri	Gasconode	Hermann, city of	Aug. 10, 1911, emergency, 1900, 01, 1911, but	May 3, 1974 Nov. 7, 1975	2001
			pended; Apr. 24, 1974, reinstated; Mar. 5,	, NOV. 1, 1973	
			1976, regular.	24 00 1001	2000
Do	Clay	North Kansas City, city of	Oct. 29, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular	. Mar. 10, 19/4	2900
200000000000000000000000000000000000000		****		Nov. 28, 1975	
De	Monroe	Paris, city of	Apr. 21, 1972, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular	_ Apr. 5, 1974	2902
7	Atlantia	Absecon city of	Dec. 23, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular	_ June 28, 1974	3400
ow Jersey	Manager and b	Deal homoneh of	Jan. 14 1972 emergency: Mar. 5, 1976, regular.	Feb. 21, 1975	3202
Do	Monmouth	Den berend of	Nov 26 1071 amergancy: Mar 5 1076 regular	Feb. 8 1973	3403
Do	00	Bes Girt, borough of	Cant 15 1070 amergency; Mar 5 1076 regular	May 25 1073	3403
Do	do	Bpring Lake, Dorough of	Dept. 15, 1970, emergency, Mat. 5, 1970, regular	A 1072	4206
ennsylvania	Lycoming	Jersey Shore, borough of	Apr. 21, 1972, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Dec. 23, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Jan. 14, 1972, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Nov. 26, 1971, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Sept. 15, 1976, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular. Oct. 27, 1972, emergency; Mar. 5, 1976, regular.	. Apr. 0, 1973	2500
•			Man 17 10 ^{mg} amanganan	Wah 14 1075	20
ansas	Rush	Alexander, city of	Diar. 17, 1970, emergency	Ten 04 1075	23
aine	Somerset	Smithfield, town of		Dec 6 1004	0404
arvland	Dorchester	Brookview, town of	dodo	. Dec. 0, 1974	2400
leannyi	Clev	Randolph, village of	dodo		_ 20
Toron	Undean	Harrison town of	do	. June 28, 1974	34
ew Jersey	Olema	Tiningermented areas	do		_ 35
ew Mexico	Dierra	Tre term of	Mar. 17, 1976, emergency	July 26, 1974	360
ew York	Cayuga	If 8, KOWII OI.		Feb. 20 1976	
			A.	Ian 24 1075	42
ennsylvania	Venango	Oilcreek, township of		Cont 00 1074	45
Do	Alleghenv	Robinson, township of		pept. 20, 1974	42
Do	Adams	Union, township of	dodo	Dec. 6, 1974	43
AF V					
•	•	The second secon	and the same of th		000
elifornia	Imperial	Calinatria, city of	Mar. 18, 1976, emergency	Apr. 12, 1974	060
ACTOR DE LA COMPANIO	Occupa	Wetkinsville town of	do	Apr. 11, 1975	12
eorgia	Doubon.	Tichen town of	do	Mar. 21, 1975	18
diana	Porver	Tiebron, town of	do	do	_ 18
Do	Delaware	Y OFKLOWN, LOWN OI	Mar. 18, 1976, emergency	Apr. 4.1075	28
assachusetts	Franklin	New Balem, town of		Ton 10 1075	3610
lew York	Chenango	Bainbridge, town of		Jan. 10, 19/0	3010
				Jan. 23, 1976	-
Do	Destahan	Typoli willege of	do	Dec. 20, 1974	34
	Dutchess	Clather base of	do	Feb. 14, 1975	39
D0	Darke	Gettysburg, village of	A.	Dec 27 1074	43
hio					37
hio	Susquehanna	Auburn, township of		Tam 10 1075	
hio ennsylvania	Susquehanna	Silver Lake, township of	dodo	. Jan. 10, 1975	4:
chio ennsylvania Do	Susquehannado	Silver Lake, township of		Jan. 10, 1975	. 4

State	County	Location	Effective date of authorization of sale of flood insurance for area	Hazard area Identified	Community No.
· ·	Worth	Fertile, city of	Mar. 19, 1976, emergency	Dec. 27, 1974	19030
Do	Tama	Garwin, city of	dodo	Feb. 7, 1975	19051
Maina	Penobscot	Garland, town of	dodo	do	23038
Naw Hamnchies	Grafton	Waterville Valley, town of	dodo	Jan. 10, 1975	33007
New York		Fenner, town of	dodo	Sept. 13, 1974	360399
Do		Stanford, town of	dodo	Oct. 18, 1974	36114
	Jefferson	Amsterdam, viilage of	dodo	Apr. 12, 1974	39029
Do	Warren and Mont-	Carlisle, village of	do	July 25, 1975	390600
20	gomery.	,			
Do	Jackson	Unincorporated areas	do	Jan. 10, 1975	390290
	Lake	North Perry, village of	do	Apr. 18, 1975	390742
	York	- Fawn, township of	dodo	Dec. 27, 1974	42221
Do	Warren	Glade, township of	do	Apr. 4, 1975	422122
South Carolina	Aiken	Burnettown, town of	dodo	Oct. 25, 1974	45000
Vermont	Orange	Bradford, village of	dodo	do	50023

¹ New community number.

(National Flood Insurance Act of 1968 (title XIII of the Housing and Urban Development Act of 1968); effective Jan. 28, 1969 (33 F.R. 17804, Nov. 28, 1968), as amended, 42 U.S.C. 4001-4128; and Secre-

tary's delegation of authority to Federal Insurance Administrator, 34 F.R. 2680, Feb. 27, 1969) as amended 39 F.R. 2787, Jan. 24, 1974.)

Issued: March 11, 1976.

J. ROBERT HUNTER,
Acting Federal Insurance Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-8647 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

proposed rules

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains notices to the public of the proposed issuance of rules and regulations. The purpose of these notices is to give interested persons an opportunity to participate in the rule making prior to the adoption of the final rules.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Agency for International Development [22 CFR Part 216]

[Regulation No. 16]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEDURES

Proposed Addition

Notice is hereby given that the Agency for International Development (A.I.D.) proposes to amend 22 CFR by adding a new Part 216 entitled "Environmental Procedures" in accordance with the requirements of the National Environ-mental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA). These procedures are intended to supercede A.I.D. procedures issued on October 20, 1972 (FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 37, No. 204, pp. 22687-22688).

Final procedures will be adopted and published 30 days after the close of a comment period of 60 days subsequent to the date of publication of this Notice. The final version will take into account all written comments received during the comment period. It will be supplemented by such internal instructions as experience may indicate to be necessary

All parties who desire to offer comments on the proposed environmental procedures should communicate their views in writing on or before May 28, 1976 to Mr. Henry A. Arnold, Director, Office of Science and Technology, Room 2841, New State, Agency for International Development, Department of State, Washington, D.C. 20523.

It is, therefore, proposed to amend 22 CFR by adding a new Part 216 in the manner set forth below.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

DANIEL PARKER. Administrator.

ENVIRONMENTAL PROCEDURES

INDEX

I. INTRODUCTION

- A. Purpose
- C. Definitions
 - IT. APPLICABILITY OF PROCEDURES

III. GENERAL PROCEDURES

- A. Initial Environmental Analysis
- Threshold Decision
- C. Environmental Assessment or Environ-mental Impact Statement Preparation Processing and Review within AID
- E. Monitoring

IV. RESPONSIBILITIES

- A. General Responsibilities for Decisions and Actions
- B. AID Environmental Coordinator
- C. Bureaus, Missions and Office Coordinators

- D. Committee on Environment and Development
- Central Technical Offices F. Outside Contractors
- V. IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR PEDERAL ACTIONS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING THE HUMAN EN-

VI. ENVRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS

A. General Purpos

- B. Collaboration with Recipient Nation on Preparation
- Content and Form
- D. Consultation and Review

VII. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

VIII. PUBLIC HEARINGS

IX. RECORDS AND REPORTS

A. Purpose. In accordance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) and relevant AID policies, the following general procedures are established. These procedures have been developed to insure that environmental factors and values are integrated into the decision-making process and to assign responsibility within the Agency for assessing the environmental effects of AID's actions.

B. Environmental Policy. In the conduct of its mandate to help upgrade the quality of life of the poor in developing countries, AID conducts a broad range of activities addressing such basic problems as hunger and malnutrition, overpopulation, disease, disaster, illiteracy and lack of adequate housing and transportation. As authorized by the Foreign Assistance Act (FAA) of 1961 as amended, AID finances or directly furnishes both bilateral and multilateral development assistance through loan and grant programs of technical advisory services, research, training, construction and commodity support. These programs are carried out under the foreign policy guidance of the Secretary of State and in the context of the realities of the sovereignty of developing countries, their differing priorities and the limited data (including environmental) available.

Within this framework, it is AID policy:

To ensure that the environmental consequences of proposed AID-financed activities are identified and considered by AID and the host country 1 prior to a final decision to proceed, and that appropriate environmental safeguards are adopted:

To assist in strengthening the indigenous capabilities of developing countries to appreciate and evaluate the

potential environmental effects of proposed development strategies and projects, and to select, implement and manage effective environmental protection measures, and;

To identify impacts resulting from its actions upon the environment including those elements of the world biosphere which are the common natural and cultural heritage of mankind.

C. Definitions. 1. CEQ Guideline or Guidelines. Guidelines promulated by the President's Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) under NEPA and Executive Order 11514, entitled "Preparation of Environmental Impact Statements" (FR, Volume 38, Number 147, August 1. 1973), and amendments thereto.

2. Environmental Analysis. As used, herein, an environmental analysis is an initial study of the reasonably foreseeable effects of a proposed action on the human environment. Its function is to provide the basis for a Threshold Decision as to whether an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement will be required. If an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement is required, the analysis will also provide the basis for its preparation. The environmental analysis should identify and describe where appropriate: (a) the nature, scope and magnitude of any reasonably foreseeable effects of an action or any part of an action on the human environment; (b) the reasonably foreseeable effects of any such environmental impact on organisms in the biosphere including human life; and, where an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement is required, (c) reasonable alternatives to the proposed action which will be studied in detail in the Environmental Assessment or draft Environmental Impact Statement. The environmental analysis will be an integral part of the Project Review Paper or equivalent document which will be circulated to selected Federal agencies for comment, when an Environmetal Assessment is to be prepared

3. Threshold Decision, A formal agency decision which determines, based on an environmental analysis and in accordance with Section V of these procedures, whether a proposed agency action is or is not a major Federal action which will have a significant effect on the human environment, and, if so, whether an Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement is required.

4. Environmental Assessment (EA). The Environmental Assessment is a detailed study of the reasonably foreseeable environmental effects, both positive and negative, of a proposed action and its reasonable alternatives carried out

¹ In every instance in these procedures the terms "host country" or "host government" or "recipient country" are meant to refer to the recipient of AID assistance wherever located.

within or affecting specific developing countries as further described in Section VI of these procedures. It is AID policy that an Environmental Assessment have generally the same scope and substantive coverage as an Environmental Impact Statement, that it be prepared with the direct participation of recipient country institutions wherever practicable and that it be subject to recipient country review.

5. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS). The EIS is a detailed study of the reasonably foreseeable environmental impacts, both positive and negative, of a proposed AID action and its reasonable alternatives on areas described in Sec-

tion VII of these procedures.

It is a specific document having a definite format and content, as required by NEPA and as recommended by CEQ Guidelines, which is circulated in draft form in order to obtain the comment or review by other Federal, State, and local domestic agencies and the U.S. general public. The final Environmental Impact Statement takes into consideration the comments received on the draft. The required form and content of an Environmental Impact Statement is further defined in Sections VI and VII of these procedures.

6. Negative Determination. A Negative Determination is a formal written document based on a Threshold Decision that a proposed action is not a major Federal action which will have a significant effect on the human environment and is. therefore, an action for which an Environmental Impact Statement or an Environmental Assessment will not be re-

7. Negative Declaration. A Negative Declaration is an official written Agency decision made by an Assistant Administrator which states that the Agency will not develop an Environmental Impact Statement or an Environmental Assessment for an action which the Agency has identified as being normally covered by these procedures. The decision may be based on (a) overriding considerations such as the provision of disaster relief (b) the fact that a substantial number of Environmental Assessments or Environmental Impact Statements relating to similar activities have been prepared in the past, or (c) the fact that the Agency has previously decided to prepare a programmatic Statement or Assessment covering the activity in question.

8. Project Identification Document (PID). An internal AID document which initially identifies and describes a proposed project. It is a short paper presenting enough information on the project to demonstrate its relevance to Agency priorities and its practical potential.

. Program Assistance Initial Proposal (PAIP). An internal AID document used to initiate and identify proposed nonproject commodity import programs. It is analogous to the Project Identification Document.

10. Project Review Paper (PRP). An internal AID document presented during the analytical stage of a project in conjunction with formulation of the Agency's Congressional Presentation. The PRP identifies major project issues and assures that necessary steps can be agreed upon to examine if the project is feasible and to determine the possible implementation plan for the project.

11. Program Assistance Review Document (PARD). An internal AID document used for a more detailed review of an approved Program Assistance Initial Proposal under a non-project commodity import program. It is analogous to the Project Review Paper and contains a section which identifies categories of commodities and provides the justification for the proposed AID financing.

12. Project Paper (PP). An internal AID document which provides a defini-tive description and appraisal of the project and particularly, the plan of implementation. Project Papers form the basis for a final decision on whether or not to offer AID funding for a project.

13. Program Assistance Approval Document (PAAD). An internal AID document approving non-project commodity import program assistance. It is analogous to the Project Paper.

II. APPLICABILITY OF PROCEDURES

These procedures apply to all new programs or activities, including those that may arise in connection with on-going projects, for project assistance, selected research and selected commodity procurement. Not every AID activity, however, will be a major Federal action significantly affecting the human en-vironment (see Section V of these procedures) for purposes of these procedures. For example, the following general classes of activities will not normally require the filing of an Environmental Impact Statement or the preparation of an Environmental Assessment:

a) education or training programs not designed to result in activities directly

affecting the environment:

b) controlled experimentation exclusively for the purpose of research which is confined to small areas and carefully monitored:

c) analyses, studies, academic or investigative research, workshops and

meetings:

d) projects where AID is a minor donor to a multidonor project and there are no potential effects upon the environment of the U.S. or areas outside any nation's jurisdiction:

e) document and information transfers;

f) contributions to international, regional or national organizations by the U.S. which are not for the purpose of carrying out a specifically identifiable project or projects:

g) disaster and emergency relief activities:

h) U.S. institution building grants, as provided for under Section 211(d) of the Foreign Assistance Act.

III. GENERAL PROCEDURES

A. An environmental analysis will be prepared by the originator of a project concurrently with the Project Review Paper or Program Assistance Review Document or earlier. When Project Review Papers or Program Assistance Review Documents are not utilized, the environmental analysis will be prepared concurrently with the preparation of the Project Identification Document or Project Assistance Initial Proposal or at the earliest appropriate time.

B. Based on the environmental analysis, a Threshold Decision will be specifically recommended in the Project Review Paper, Program Assistance Review Document or Project Identification Document and acted upon at the Bureau or Office level concurrently with approval of the Project Review Paper, Program Assistance Review Document or Project Identification Document. If the Threshold Decision is negative (i.e. and Environmental Assessment or an Environmental Impact Statement is not required), the cognizant Bureau or Office will record this decision and such record will constitute a Negative Determination.

When a Threshold Decision based on an environmental analysis indicates that an Environmental Assessment is required, the approved Project Review Paper or equivalent document containing the environmental analysis will be circulated to selected U.S. Federal agencies with relevant expertise, utilizing the list provided in the CEQ Guidelines. Such agencies will be invited to make written comments within thirty days on the an-alysis and on matters that should be considered in preparation of the Environmental Assessment, Comments received on environmental aspects from reviewing Federal agencies will be forwarded to the originating project office for consideration in the formulation of the design and implementation of the project and the required Environmental Assessment, and will form part of the project file when the project comes forward in the Project Paper stage for final approval.

C. If the Project Review Paper, Probeen issued by the Secretary of the gram Assistance Review Document or Project Identification Document is approved and the Threshold Decision positive, the originator of the project will prepare, prior to or concurrently with the Project Paper or Program Assistance Approval Document, an Environmental Assessment or draft Environmental Impact Statement as required. Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements will be based on a more specific and detailed analysis. Draft Environmental Impact Statements will be circulated for review and comment as part of the review of Project Papers and as outlined further in Section VII of these procedures. Final approval of the Project Paper or Program Assistance Approval Document and the method of implementation will include consideration of the Environmental Assessment or final Environmental Impact Statement as well as other required (non-environmen-

tal) analyses.

If loans or grants for broad sector activities (e.g. river basin development, etc.) are proposed, a general or program

Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement consistent with the scope of the proposed loan or grant will be prepared in conjunction with the Project Paper and agreeement will be reached with the recipient government that a detailed EA (or EIS in rare cases) will be prepared and considered on each individual project as it is developed and prior to its approval.

D. Processing and Review Within AID. Environmental analyses, Environmental Assessments and final Environmental Impact Statements will be processed within AID in accordance with the normal AID procedures for other documents. These procedures call for participation in the project review process of technical, legal and country specialists. Environmental Assessments and final Environmental Impact Statements will be reviewed as an integral part of the Project Paper or equivalent. In addition to these normal procedures, Environmental Assessments will be reviewed by the appointed Bureau environmental officer and, periodically, by the Environmental Coordinator who will monitor the Environmental Assessment process. Draft and final Environmental Impact Statements will be reviewed by the Environmental Coordinator and the Office of the General Counsel.

E. Monitoring. To the extent feasible and relevant, projects and programs should be designed to include measurement of the change in environmental quality, positive or negative, during their implementation. This will require recording of baseline data at the start. To the extent that available data permits, originating offices of AID will formulate systems in collaboration with the recipient nation(s), to monitor such impacts during the life of AID's involvement in the

activity

F. Revisions. If, after a Threshold Decision is made resulting in a Negative Determination, a project is revised or new information becomes available which indicates that a proposed action might be "major" and its effects "significant", the Negative Determination will be reviewed and revised by the cognizant Bureau and an Environmental Assessment or Environmental Impact Statement will be prepared, if appropriate.

Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements will be amended and processed appropriately if there are major changes in the project or program, or when significant new infor-

mation becomes available.

When ongoing programs are revised to incorporate a change in scope or nature, a determination will be made as to whether such change may have an environmental impact not previously assessed. If so, the procedures outlined above will be followed.

IV. RESPONSIBILITIES

A. As a general principle, responsibilities for environmental decisions and actions will be similar to normal AID organizational responsibilities so that an environmental awareness will permeate the entire organization and environ-

mental considerations will be weighed with others in an integrated manner at each level of responsibility. Thus each AID official empowered to authorize funds will be responsible to the Administrator for implementing these procedures and obtaining and managing the required resources.

B. In view of the need for an internal and external focal point for environmental matters, the position of AID Environmental Coordinator has been established in the Office of Science and Tech-Technical Assistance Bureau nology. (TA/OST). This person will serve as the principal environmental affairs coordinator for the entire Agency and will provide policy guidance and professional leadership within AID and will serve as chairman of the AID Committee on Environment and Development. The Environmental Coordinator will also be the principal point of contact on environmental affairs with the Council on Environmental Quality, the Department of State, all other Federal agencies and the public

C. The head of each Bureau, Mission and major Office will designate a competent officer to act as coordinator, advisor and principal point of contact for environmental matters within his organizational unit. Those officers located in AID/Washington will also be members of the AID Committee for Environment.

and Development.

D. The Committee on Environment and Development will provide advice on major issues, policies or procedures hav-

ing agency-wide implications.

E. Central technical offices will provide support and advice to AID Bureaus, Offices, and Missions as requested in their respective areas of expertise. The following Offices are expected to be involved most frequently:

Office of Engineering (SER/ENG)
 Office of Agriculture (TA/AGR)

3. Office of Science and Technology (TA/OST)

4. Office of Commodity Management (SER/COM)

5. Office of Health (TA/H)

6. Office of Urban Development (TA/UD)

7. Office of Nutrition (TA/N)

F. When required by responsible offices, qualified outside contractors may be employed to assist in preparing environmental analyses, Environmental Assessments or Environmental Impact Statements.

V. IDENTIFICATION OF MAJOR FEDERAL AC-TIONS SIGNIFICANTLY AFFECTING THE

HUMAN ENVIRONMENT

Section 1500.6 of the CEQ Guidelines requires agencies to identify "major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment". While it is impossible to list categorically all such actions, in making a judgment in a particular case, types of actions to be carefully considered include those:

(a) where the impact is localized, but the human environment will be signifi-

cantly affected;

(b) where the impact is likely to be irreversible or highly controversial;

(c) which involve a complex of projects, with individually limited but cumulatively considerable effects;

(d) which involve a limited amount of money, but which set a precedent for future actions; or represent a decision in principle about future courses of action:

(e) which are taken in concert with

other donors.

"Significant effects" to be considered include those which adversely affect such aspects of the human environment as air, water, land, flora and fauna, and socio-economic conditions. Special attention should be given to problems involving solid waste, noise, radiation, hazardous substances, energy sources and natural resources development, and in addition, actions which:

(a) degrade the quality of the human

environment;

(b) curtail the range of beneficial uses of the human environment and its resources and serve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals;

(c) may have both detrimental and beneficial effects even if on balance the Agency believes that the effect will be

beneficial:

(d) have secondary effects which may be more substantial than the primary effects of the original action.

VI. ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENTS (EA)

A. General Purpose. The purpose of the Environmental Assessment is to provide Agency and host country decision makers with a comprehensive understanding of the reasonably foreseeable environmental effects of proposed actions and their reasonable alternatives so that the expected benefits of development objectives can be weighed against any adverse short or long-term impacts upon the human environment or an irreversible or irretrievable commitment of resources. The Environmental Assessment will be considered by AID prior to final approval of any activity as outlined in Section III of these procedures.

B. Collaboration with Recipient Nation on Preparation. Collaboration in obtaining data, conducting analyses and considering alternatives will help build an awareness of development-associated environmental problems in less developed countries as well as assist in building an indigenous institutional capability to deal nationally with such problems.

Missions, Bureaus and Offices will collaborate with recipient countries to the maximum extent possible, in the development of any Environmental Assessments required and obtain agreement of the recipient country to participate in the preparation of any required assessment and to consider environmental consequences as set forth therein. In some cases, centrally funded Project Papers are prepared and approved prior to country selection. In such cases, prior agreements, collaboration and specific details of any required Assessments must be deferred until a later date.

In many recipient countries, neither baseline data for complete Environmen-

tal Assessments or monitoring may be available, nor local personnel with the knowledge required to participate substantively in the Assessments. In these cases, Missions should encourage and be responsive to host-country requests for training or technical assistance.

When AID unilaterally considers that there is a reasonable risk of significant adverse effects on the environment from an activity proposed to it for support, and where efforts to encourage the incorporation of appropriate safeguards are unsuccessful, AID reserves the prerogative of declining to participate in the activity.

C. Content and Form ² The scope and depth of information and data gathered for Environmental Assessments should be similar to that for economic, technical and other analyses required by AID and must be relevant to the specific environmental issues involved.

1. Environmental Assessments should include or make reference within the same document to a description of the proposed action, a statement of its purposes, and a description of the environment affected, including information, summary technical data and maps and diagrams where relevant, adequate to permit an assessment of potential en-vironmental impact. Highly technical and specialized analyses and data should be avoided in the body of the draft, but, if required, should be attached as appendices or footnoted with adequate bibliographic references and, if difficult to obtain, a notation of where they are available. In addition to a description of the proposed action and the environment affected, the Environmental Assessment should identify and describe further related activities which are intended to be undertaken in the same general area and of substantially the same nature which are promoted and financed by AID or another U.S. Government Agency, or where AID assistance is conditioned upon the recipient country's undertaking further related activities in the same general area and of substantially the same nature. The interrelationships and cumulative environmental impacts of the proposed action and other related activities, as described above, must be presented in the Environmental Assess-

The amount of detail provided in such descriptions should be commensurate with the extent and expected impact of the action, and with the amount of information required at the particular level of decision-making (planning, feasibility, design, etc.). In order to ensure accurate descriptions of a proposed action and its alternatives, site visits should be made as appropriate. Population and growth characteristics of the affected area and any population and growth assumptions used to justify the project or program to determine secondary population and growth impacts resulting from the proposed action and its alternatives

should be identified. It is essential that the sources of data used to identify, quantify or evaluate any and all environmental consequences be expressly noted.

2. The relationship of the proposed action to plans for land and resources use, policies and controls for the affected area and sectorial or national development plans should be examined. Since AID programs and projects are undertaken only at the request of and in collaboration with the recipient country, there should be no conflict with the objective and specific terms of LDC approved or proposed land use plans, policies and controls, if any, for the area affected.

The reasonably foreseeable impact of the proposed action and its reasonable alternatives on the human environment

must be assessed. (a) Identification and quantification of such impacts requires an assessment of the positive and negative effects of the proposed action as it affects the environment of the recipient country or neighboring countries as appropriate. The attention given to different environmental factors will vary according to the nature, scale, and location of the proposed action. Among factors to consider should be the reasonably foreseeable effect of the action on such aspects of the environment as those listed in Section V of these procedures. Primary attention should be given in the Environmental Assessment to discussing those factors most evidently impacted by the proposed

(b) Secondary or indirect, as well as primary or direct, consequences for the environment should be included in the Assessment. Many major Agency actions, in particular those that involve the construction or funding of infrastructure investments (e.g., irrigation projects, rural water supply systems, rural access roads, water resource development projects, etc.) could stimulate or induce secondary effects in the form of associated investments, introduction of disease vectors, and changed patterns of social and economic activities. Such secondary effects, through their impacts on human health and existing community facilities and activities, through inducing new facilities and activities, or through changes in natural conditions, may often be even more substantial than the primary effects of the original action itself. For example, the effects of the proposed action on population movement and growth may be among the more significant secondary effects. Such population and growth impacts should be estimated if expected to be significant and an assessment made of the effect of any possible change in population patterns or growth upon the resource base, including land use, water, and public services of the area in question.

4. Reasonable alternatives to the proposed action including, where relevant, those not within the existing authority of AID should be investigated.

The sponsoring Office or Bureau should study, develop and describe appropriate alternatives to the recommended course

of action in any proposal which involves unresolved conflicts concerning alternative uses of available resources. A rigorous exploration and objective evaluation of reasonably foreseeable environmental impacts of all reasonable alternative actions, particularly those that might enhance environmental quality or avoid some or all of the adverse environmental effects, is essential. Sufficient analysis of such alternatives and their environmental benefits, costs and risks should accompany the proposed action through the review process in order not to foreclose prematurely options which might enhance environmental quality or have less detrimental effects. Examples of such alternatives include: the alternative of taking no action or of postponing action pending further study; alternatives requiring actions of a significantly different nature which would provide similar benefits with different environmental impacts (e.g., nonstructural alternatives to flood control programs); alternatives related to different designs or details of the proposed action which would present different environmental impacts (e.g., cooling ponds versus cooling towers for a power plant); alternatives that will significantly conserve energy; alternative measures to provide for compensation of fish and wildlife losses including the acquisition of land, waters, and interests therein. In each case, the analysis should be sufficiently detailed to reveal the comparative evaluation of the environmental benefits, costs and risks of the proposed action and each reasonable alternative.

action and each reasonable alternative.

5. Any reasonably foreseeable adverse environmental effects which cannot be avoided (such as water or air pollution, undesirable land use patterns, damage to life systems, urban congestion, threats to health or other adverse consequences) should be identified and quantified. This should be a brief section summarizing in one place those effects discussed in this section that are adverse and unavoidable under the proposed action. Included for purposes of contrast should be a clear statement of how other avoidable adverse effects dis-

cussed in this section will be mitigated. 6. Except for provision of some direct disaster relief and short-term balance of payments or budgetary assistance, AID's activities are normally to provide longterm benefits. However, the relationship between local short-term uses of man's environment and the maintenance and enhancement of long-term productivity should be explored. This section should contain a brief discussion of the extent to which the proposed action involves tradeoffs between short-term environmental gains at the expense of long-term losses, or vice versa, and a discussion of the extent to which the proposed action forecloses future options. In this context, short-term and long-term do not refer to any fixed time periods, but should be viewed in terms of the environmentally significant consequences of the proposed action.

7. Any irreversible and irretrievable commitments of natural or cultural re-

^{*}This section is substantially taken from CEQ Guidelines Section 1500.8.

sources that would be involved in the proposed action should it be implemented should be identified and quantified. This requires the sponsoring Office or Bureau to identify, from surveys of unavoidable impacts such as those listed in paragraph five of this section, the extent to which the action irreversibly curtails the range of potential uses of the environment.

8. An indication of what other interests and considerations of U.S., AID, or LDC policy are thought to offset the adverse environmental effects of the proposed action. The Environmental Assessment should also indicate the extent which these stated countervailing benefits could be realized by following reasonable alternatives to the proposed action that would avoid some or all of the adverse environmental effects. Where cost-benefit analyses of a proposed action are prepared they should be attached and should clearly indicate the extent to which environmental costs have not been reflected in such analyses.

9. In developing the above points, every effort should be made to convey the required information succinctly, in a form easily understood, giving attention to the substance of the information conveyed rather than to the particular form, length or detail of the Assessment, Each of the above points, for example, need not always occupy a distinct section if it is otherwise adequately covered in discussing the impact of the proposed action and its alternatives-items which should

normally be the focus of the statement. 10 Environmental Assessments should indicate at appropriate points in the text any underlying studies, reports, and other information obtained and considered in their preparation, including any cost-benefit analyses prepared. In the case of documents not likely to be easily accessible (such as internal studies or reports), the Environmental Assessment should indicate how such in-

formation may be obtained.

11. To the extent required to obtain a reasoned analysis, each Environmental Assessment should be prepared utilizing a systematic interdisplinary approach which will ensure the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and decision-making which may have an impact on man's environment. If required disciplines are not available within AID. appropriate use of relevant U.S. Government and local LDC agencies or the professional services of universities and outside consultants should be made. The interdisciplinary approach should not be limited to the preparation of the Environmental Assessment, but should also be used in the early planning and design stages of the proposed action and in its evaluation. Early application of such an approach should help assure a systematic evaluation of reasonable alternative courses of action and their potential social, economic, and environmental consequences as well as mitigating deterimental effects of the chosen project or activity.

12. Broad program Assessments may be required in order to assess the environmental effects of a number of individual actions and their cumulative environmental impact in a given country or geographic area, or the environmental impacts that are generic or common to a class of agency actions, or other activities which are not country-specific. In these cases, a single, programmatic Assessment will be prepared in AID/Washington and circulated to appropriate overseas Missions, host governments, and to interested parties within the United States.

Based upon consultation with the Committee on Enviornment and Development, the Environmental Coordinator shall recommend to the Administrator the subjects and appropriate preparing Offices for such Agency-wide programmatic Assessments. Decisions on the need for programmatic Environmental Assessments on actions relating to a specific country or region will be made by the cognizant Bureau or Office head in consultation with the Environmental Coordinator.

To the extent practicable, the form and content of the programmatic Environmental Assessment will be the same as for project Assessments. Subsequent Environmental Assessment on major individual actions will be necessary where such follow-on or subsequent activities may have significant environmental impacts on specific countries where such impacts have not been adequately evaluated in the programmatic Environmental Assessment.

13. In a situation where an analysis indicates that potential effects may extend beyond the national boundaries of a recipient country and adjacent foreign nations may be affected, AID will urge the recipient country to consult with its neighbor(s) in advance of project approval and to negotiate mutually ac-

centable accommodations.

14. Enviornmental Assessments will not normally include material classified or administratively controlled. However, there may be situations where environmental aspects cannot be adequately discussed without the inclusion of such material. The handling and disclosure of classified or administratively con-trolled material shall be governed by 22 CFR, Part 9. Those portions of an Enviromental Assessment which are not classified or administratively controlled will be made available to persons outside the Agency as provided for in 22 CFR, Part 212.

D. Consultation and Review. When Environmental Assessments are prepared on activities carried out within or focused on specific LDCs, copies of the Assessment will be furnished to the host government and consultations will be held between the AID staff and the host government on the results and significance of the completed Assessment before the project is authorized. Missions will encourage the host government to make the Environmental Assessment available to the general public of the

recipient country.

If Environmental Assessments are prepared on activities which are not country-specific, the Assessment will be circulated by the Environmental Coordinator to AID's overseas Missions and interested LDC governments for information, guidance and comment, and will be made available in the U.S. to intersted parties.

VII. ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT STATEMENTS

Environmental Impact Statesments (EISs) will be prepared and circulated in accordance with section 102(2)(c) of NEP, as amplified by the CEQ Guidelines. when major agency actions significantly affect:

(1) the global environment or areas outside the jurisdiction of any nation (e.g., the oceans);

(2) the environment of the United States; or

(3) areas of unique natural or cultural heritage value, or other aspects of the human environment, at the discretion of the AID Administrator.

The content and form of the draft and final Environmental Impact Statement will generally follow Section 1500.8 of the Guidelines, but will take into account the special considerations and concerns of AID, such as those set forth in Section VI-C of these procedures.

Circulation of an Environmental Impact Statement in draft form will not precede approval of a Project Review Paper or equivalent but comments from such circulation will be considered before final project authorization as outlined in Section III of these procedures. The draft Environmental Impact Statement will also be circulated via the Missions to affected LDC governments for

information and comment.

Draft Environmental Impact Statements will be made available for comment to U.S. agencies having jurisdiction by law and relevant substantive expertise including, but not limited to, the Department of State, Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and to public and private organizations and individuals for not less than forty-five (45) days (see Section 1500.9(b), (c), (d), and (f) of CEQ Guidelines for details); and notice of the draft Environmental Impact Statement's availability will be published in FEDERAL REGISTER. Cognizant the Bureaus and Offices will submit these drafts for circulation via the AID Environmental Coordinator who will have the responsibility for coordinating all such communications with persons outside AID.

Any comments received by the Environmental Coordinator will be forwarded to the originating Bureau or Office for consideration in final policy decisions and the preparation of a final Environmental Impact Statement. All such comments will be attached to the final Statement, and those responsible comments not adequately discussed in the draft Statement will be appropriately

dealt with in the final Statement. Copies of the final Environmental Impact Statement, with comments attached, will be sent by the Environmental Coordinator to CEQ and to all other Federal, state and local agencies and private organizations that made substantive comments on the draft, including affected LDC governments. Where emergency circumstances or considerations of foreign policy make it necessary to take an action without observing the provisions of Section 1500.11 of the CEQ Guidelines,3 or when there are overriding considerations of expense to the U.S. or foreign governments, the originating Office will advise the Environmental Coordinator who will consult with the Department of State and CEQ concerning appropriate modification of review procedures.

VIII. PUBLIC HEARINGS

In most instances AID will be able to gain the benefit of public participation in the impact statement process through circulation of draft statements and notice of public availability in CEQ publications. However, in some cases the Administrator may wish to hold public hearings on draft Environmental Impact Statements. In deciding whether or not a public hearing is appropriate, Bureaus in conjunction with the Environmental Coordinator should consider:

(1) the magnitude of the proposal in terms of economic costs, the geographic area involved, and the uniqueness or size of commitment of the resources involved;

(2) the degree of interest in the proposal as evidenced by requests from the public and from Federal, state and local authorities, and private organizations and individuals, that a hearing be held;

(3) the complexity of the issue and likelihood that information will be presented at the hearing which will be of assistance to the Agency; and

(4) the extent to which public involvement already has been achieved through other means, such as earlier public hearings, meetings with citizen representatives, and/or written comments on the proposed action.

If public hearings are held, draft Environmental Impact Statements to be discussed should be made available to the public at least fifteen (15) days prior to the time of the public hearings, and a notice will be placed in the Federal Register giving the subject, time and place of the proposed hearings.

IX. RECORDS AND REPORTS

Each Agency Bureau will maintain a list of activities for which Environmental Assessments and Environmental Impact Statements are being prepared and for which Negative Determinations and Declarations have been made and will revise the list quarterly and provide copies to the Environmental Coordinator for transmittal to CEQ.

Final Project Papers containing the Environmental Assessment will be forwarded to CEQ as soon as they are available. Copies will also be available to interested Federal agencies upon request.

The cognizant Bureau will maintain a permanent file (which may be part of its normal project files) of Statements, Assessments, Determinations and Declarations which will be available to the public under the Freedom of Information Act except for actions covered by Section VI-D (14) of these procedures.

[FR Doc.76-8843 Filed 3-26-78;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF **TRANSPORTATION**

Coast Guard [33 CFR Part 110] [CGD 76-40]

ANCHORAGE REGULATIONS **Boston Harbor, Massachusetts**

The Coast Guard, at the request of the New England Regional Director, Federal Aviation Administration, is considering amending the Anchorage Regulations for Boston Harbor, Massachusetts.

This amendment to the Boston Harbor anchorage regulation is proposed to ensure that vessels anchored in the anchorages are not exposed to hazards from aircraft approaching and departing Logan International Airport. Federal Aviation Administration obstruction evaluations for Logan International Airport have disclosed that some vessels at anchor in specified areas of the Bird Island and President Roads anchorage grounds may, depending on the heights of their masts, be considered to present potential hazardous obstructions to the safe operation of aircraft using runways 15R, 22R, 27 and 33L. This amendment would preclude the use of portions of the two anchorage grounds by vessels with unusually high masts to avoid the possibility of a vessel/aircraft collision.

Interested persons are invited to participate in this proposed rulemaking by submitting written data, views, or arguments to the Commander, First Coast Guard District, 150 Causeway Street, Boston, Mass. 02114. Each person submitting comments should include his name and address, identify the notice (CGD76-40), and give reasons for any recommendations. Comments received will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Commander, First Coast Guard District.

A public hearing on this proposal will be held by the Commander, First Coast Guard District on April 29, 1976, at 1:00 pm EDST in Room 2003-A, 20th floor, John F. Kennedy Federal Building, Government Center, Boston, Mass. 02203. All interested persons are invited to be present or to be represented at this public hearing. All persons will be given an opportunity to express their views on the proposal and to suggest any changes that may be considered desirable. Persons interested in submitting comments

at the public hearing are requested, but not required, to submit their comments in writing to the Commander, First Coast Guard District one week before the date of the hearing.

The Commander, First Coast Guard District, will forward all comments received before May 14, 1976, with his recommendations to the Chief, Office of Marine Environment and Systems, U.S. Coast Guard Headquarters, who will evaluate all comments received and take final action on this proposal. The proposed regulations may be changed in light of comments received.

In consideration of the foregoing it is proposed to amend § 110.134(b) of Title 33 of the Code of Federal Regulations, by adding new subparagraphs (b) (4) and (5) to read as follows:

.

§ 110.134 Boston Harbor, Mass.

. (b) The regulations

(4) No vessel, any part of which is ninety (90) feet (27.4 meters) or greater in height above the water's surface, may anchor in the easternmost corner of Bird Island Anchorage described: A triangular area with apexes bearing 100°, 2785 yards from the aerial beacon on top of the Boston Customs House Tower; 102°, 3100 yards from the aerial beacon on top of the Boston Customs House Tower: and 109°, 3050 yards from the aerial beacon on top of the Boston Customs House Tower, and marked by an orange and white special warning buoy at each of these points.

(5) No vessel, any part of which is one hundred forty five (145) feet (44.2 meters) or greater in height above the water's surface, may anchor in the northwestern corner of the President Roads Anchorage described: A triangular area with apexes bearing 261°, 2290 yards from Deer Island Light; 278°, 2438 yards from Deer Island Light: and 292°, 1475 yards from Deer Island Light, and marked by a orange and white special warning buoy at each of these points. (Sec. 7, Stat. 1053, as amended, sec. 6(g) (1), 80 Stat. 940; 33 U.S.C. 471, 49 U.S.C. 1655(g)

(1); 49 CFR 1.46(c)(1)). Dated: March 22, 1976.

> D. J. RILEY, Captain, U.S. Coast Guard, Acting Chief, Office of Ma-rine Environment and Systems.

[FR Doc.76-8801 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Federal Aviation Administration [14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-SW-13]

ALTERATION OF TRANSITION AREA Del Rio, Texas

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations to alter the Del Rio, Tex., transition area.

Interested persons may submit such written data, views or arguments as they

^{*}Section 1500.11 of CEQ Guidelines outlines requirements for transmittal of statements to CEQ, minimum periods for review.

may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, P.O. Box 1689, Forth Worth, Texas 76101. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No public hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials may be made by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch. Any data, views or arguments presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Office of the Regional Counsel, Southwest Region, Federal Aviation Administration, Fort Worth, Texas. An informal docket will also be available for examination at the Office of the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Air Traffic Division.

It is proposed to amend Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations as hereinafter set forth.

In Section 71.181 (41 FR 440), the Del Rio, Tex., transition area is amended as follows:

DEL RIO, TEX.

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 12-mile radius of latitude 29°23'00'' N., longitude 100°50'15'' W., and within 4.5 miles west and 9.5 miles east of the Laughlin VORTAC 148' radial extending from the 12-mile-radius area to 22 miles southeast of the VORTAC and within 8.5 miles west and 6.5 miles east of the Laughlin VORTAC 315' radial extending from the 12-mile-radius area to 18 miles northwest of the VORTAC, excluding the portion outside of the United States.

The proposed alteration of the Del Rio, Tex., transition area will provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing the proposed NDB RWY 13 instrument approach procedure to the Del Rio Municipal Airport.

(Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348) and of sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).)

Issued in Fort Worth, TX., on March 17. 1976.

ALBERT H. THURBURN,
Acting Director, Southwest Region.
[FR Doc.76-8557 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]
[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-17]

ALLENTOWN. PA

Proposed Alteration of Control Zone and Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration considering amending \$\$ 71.171

and 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Allentown, Pa., Control.Zone (41 FR 357) and Transition Area (41 F.R. 443).

A new ILS Rwy 13 instrument approach procedure developed for Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport, Allentown, Pa., requires alteration of the control zone and transition area to provide controlled airspace for aircraft executing the procedure.

Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Allentown, Pa., proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend Section 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Allentown, Pa. control zone: "within 3 miles each side of the Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport localizer northwest course, extending from the localizer to 8.5 miles northwest of the OM."

2. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Allentown, Pa. transition area: "within 4.5 miles northeast and 6.5 miles southwest of the Allentown-Bethlehem-Easton Airport localizer northwest course, extending from the OM to 11.5 miles northwest of the OM."

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348) and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.
[FR Doc.76-8760 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]
[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-20]
GALETON, PA.

Proposed Alteration of Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Galeton, Pa., Transition Area (41 FR 499).

A revision of the VOR-A instrument approach procedure for Cherry Springs Airport, Galeton, Pa., requires alteration of the transition area to provide additional controlled airspace for aircraft

executing the procedure.

Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the air-space requirements for the terminal area of Galeton, Pa., proposes the air-space action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Galeton, Pa. transition area: "; within 3.5 miles each side of the Slate Run, Pa. VORTAC 037° radial, extending from the 7-mile radius area to the Slate Run, Pa. VORTAC."

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348] and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI,
Acting Director, Eastern Region.

[FR Doc.76-8762 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-18]

Proposed Alteration of Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Great Bend, N.Y., Transition Area, (41 FR 503).

Alteration of the transition area is required to provide some additional controlled airspace to protect aircraft executing the new VOR and NDB instrument approach procedures for Wheeler-Sack AAF. The proposed alteration would also change the transition area designation from part time to full time.

Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before April 26, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Great Bend, N.Y., proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by amending the description of the Great Bend, N.Y. transition area as follows:

Delete, "and within 3 miles each side of the Watertown, N.Y., VORTAC 069° radial, extending from the 6.5-mile radius area to the VORTAC." and insert in lieu thereof; "within 4.5 miles each side of the Watertown, N.Y. VORTAC 066° radial, extending from the 6.5-mile radius area and the 10.5-mile radius area to the VORTAC, and within 5 miles each side of the Watertown, N.Y. VORTAC 069° radial, extending from the 6.5-mile radius area and the 10.5-mile radius area to the VORTAC."

Delete, "This transition area is effective from April 1 through September 30."

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348]

and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI, Acting Director, Eastern Region. [FR Doc.76-8761 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-8]

GROVE CITY, PA., AIRPORT

Proposed Designation of Transition Area

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending section 71.181 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to designate a Grove City, Pa., Transition Area over Grove City Airport, Pa.

A new VOR instrument approach procedure is in development for the Grove City, Pa., Airport and will require designation of a 700-foot floor transition area to provide controlled airspace for arrival and departure procedures at Grove City Airport.

Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica. New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Grove City, Pa. proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend Section 71.181 of Part 71, Federal Aviation Regulations so as to add the Grove City, Pennsylvania 700 foot floor transition area as follows:

"GROVE CITY, PENNSYLVANIA

That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 5-mile radius of the center, 41°08'42" N., 80°09'54" W. of Grove City Airport, Grove City, Pennsylvania, and within 2 miles each side of the Ellwood City,

Pennsylvania VORTAC 004° radial, extending from the 5-mile radius area to 16.5 miles north of the VORTAC."

This amendment is proposed under

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348] and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI, Acting Director, Eastern Region. [FR Doc.76-8759 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[14 CFR Part 71]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-EA-21]

READING, PA.

Proposed Alteration of Control Zone

The Federal Aviation Administration is considering amending section 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations so as to alter the Reading, Pa., Control Zone (41 FR 420).

RNAV Rwy 13 and RNAV Rwy 18 instrument approach procedures have been developed for Reading Municipal-General Carl A. Spaatz Field, Reading, Pa., and will require alteration of the control zone to provide some additional controlled airspace for aircraft executing

these procedures. Interested parties may submit such written data or views as they may desire. Communications should be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Eastern Region, Attn: Chief, Air Traffic Division. Department of Transportation, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York 11430. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendment. No hearing is contemplated at this time, but arrangements may be made for informal conferences with Federal Aviation Administration officials by contacting the Chief, Airspace and Procedures Branch, Eastern Region.

Any data or views presented during such conferences must also be submitted in writing in accordance with this notice in order to become part of the record for consideration. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

The official docket will be available for examination by interested parties at the Office of Regional Counsel, Federal Aviation Administration, Federal Building, John F. Kennedy International Airport, Jamaica, New York.

The Federal Aviation Administration, having completed a review of the airspace requirements for the terminal area of Reading, Pa., proposes the airspace action hereinafter set forth:

1. Amend Section 71.171 of Part 71 of the Federal Aviation Regulations by adding the following to the description of the Reading, Pa. control zone: "; within 2.5 miles each side of a 301° bearing from a point of 40°23'00" N., 75°58'42" W., extending from said point to 5 miles northwest of said point; within 2 miles each side of a 352° hearing from a point 40°23′06″ N., 75°57′48″ W., extending from said point to 4.5 miles north of said point."

This amendment is proposed under section 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 [72 Stat. 749; 49 U.S.C. 1348] and section 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act [49 U.S.C. 1655(c)].

Issued in Jamaica, N.Y., on March 16, 1976.

LOUIS J. CARDINALI. Acting Director, Eastern Region. [FR Doc.76-8763 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[14 CFR Parts 71 and 73]

[Airspace Docket No. 76-NW-8]

BRAVE SHIELD XIV

Designation of Temporary Restricted Area

The Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) is considering amendments to Parts 71 and 73 of the Federal Aviation Regulations that would designate a temporary restricted area to contain a joint military exercise "BRAVE SHIELD XIV" which is scheduled from August 18 through August 26, 1976. This restricted area would also be included in the continental control area for the duration of its time of designation.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rule making by submitting such written data, views or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the airspace docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the Director, Northwest Region, Attention: Chief, Air Traffic Division, Federal Aviation Administration, FAA Building, Boeing Field, Seattle, Wash. 98108. All communications received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered before action is taken on the proposed amendments. The proposal contained in this notice may be changed in the light of comments received.

An official docket will be available for examination by interested persons at the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of the Chief Counsel, Attention: Rules Docket, AGC-24, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20591. An informal docket also will be available for examination at the office of the Regional Air Traffic Division Chief. Request for copies of this Notice of Proposed Rule Making should be addressed to the Federal Aviation Administration, Office of Public Affairs, Attention: Public Information Center, APA-230, 800 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C.

The proposed amendments would designate the following temporary restricted area: R-6716 Brave Shield XIV, Wash.

Boundaries. Beginning at Lat. 46°53' 40" N., Long. 120°12'15" W.; to Lat. 46° 58'00" N., Long. 119°51'00" W.; to Lat. 46°58'00" N., Long. 119°30'00" W.; to Lat.

46°48'30" N., Long. 119°10'00" W.; to Lat. 46°39'00" N., Long. 118°58'40" W.; to Lat. 46°30'00" N., Long. 119°15'00" W.; to Lat. 46°23'00" N., Long. 119°15'00" W.; to Lat. 46°21'30" N., Long. 119°18'00" W.; to Lat. 46°24'00" N., Long. 119°37'00" W.; to Lat. 46°27'00" N., Long. 119°50'00" W.; to Lat. 46°33'00" N., Long. 120°09'00" W.; thence along the western border of R-6714A to point the western border of R-6714A to point of beginning.

Designated altitudes. 2000 feet AGL to and including 17,000 feet MSL.

Time of designation, Continuous, 0001 CDT August 18 through 2400 CDT August 26, 1976.

Controlling agency, Federal Aviation Administration, Seattle ARTC Center.

Using agency. U.S. Air Force, Tactical Air Command/USAF Readiness Command (TAC/USAFRED), Langley Air Force Base, Va. 23665.

The proposed restricted area would be used to contain a joint military training exercise, "GRAVE SHIELD XIV" involving armored and tactical air units in joint operations including air defense and counter air operations. Total air traffic associated with this exercise is expected to exceed 150 sorties per day which will be maneuvering primarily in R-6714A/B area. In order to conduct air operations required by this exercise in the safest manner possible, additional restricted airspace is required.

A Tactical Air Control System (TACS) would be established for control of exercise aircraft within designated airspace. Nonparticipating aircraft would be allowed penetration of and opera-tions within the designated exercise airspace after coordination with the appropriate TACS facility. Leased lines of communications would be installed with appropriate FAA facilities in order to accomplish the orderly and safe ingress/ egress of exercise air traffic and the coordinate movement of nonexercise air traffic within or proceeding into and out of the exercise area. A wide area telecommunications system (WATS) (reverse charge telephone) number would be provided for the accommodation of nonexercise air traffic. The Air Force has advised that this exercise would be in compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and that all close air support training would be conducted in uninhabited maneuver areas within restricted area R-6714A/B. The minimum safe altitudes specified in FAR Part 91 would be observed.

These amendments are proposed under the authority of Sec. 307(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958 (49 U.S.C. 1348(a)) and Sec. 6(c) of the Department of Transportation Act (49 U.S.C. 1655(c)).

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 23, 1976.

WILLIAM T. BROADWATER Chief, Airspace and Air Traffic Rules Division.

[FR Doc.76-8764 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD [14 CFR Part 372]

[SPDR-43; Docket 27876; Dated March 24,

OVERSEAS MILITARY PERSONNEL CHARTERS

Proposed Changes In Overseas Military Personnel Charters

Notice is hereby given that the Civil Aeronautics Board has under consideration an amendment to Part 372 of its Special Regulations (14 CFR Part 372). which would broaden the definition of the "immediate family," for the purpose of determining eligibility thereunder for participation in Overseas Military Personnel Charters (OMPC). The principal features of the proposed rules are set forth in the attached explanatory statement and the proposed amendments are set forth in the proposed rules. The amendments are proposed under the authority of sections 101(3), 204(a), 401, 407 and 416(a) of the Federal Aviation Act of 1958, as amended, 72 Stat. 737, as amended, 743, 754, as amended, and 766, as amended, 771, 49 U.S.C. 1301, 1324, 1371, 1377, and 1386.

Interested persons may participate in the proposed rulemaking through submission of 12 copies of written data, views, or arguments pertaining thereto, addressed to the Docket Section, Civil Aeronautics Board, Washington, D.C. 20428. All relevant material received on or before April 28, 1976, will be considered by the Board before taking final action upon the proposed rule. Copies of such communications will be available for examination of interested persons in the Docket Section of the Board, Room 710. Universal Building, 1825 Connecticut Avenue, NW, Washington, D.C. upon receipt thereof.

Individual members of the general public who wish to express their interest as consumers by participating informally in this proceeding, may do so through submission of comments in letter form to the Docket Section at the above indicated address, without the necessity of filing additional copies thereof.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board: PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR, Acting Secretary.

In 1972, the Civil Aeronautics Board adopted a new Part 372 (14 CFR Part 372) which created a new class of charters to meet the special needs, particularly those relating to morale, of military and civilian Department of Defense personnel stationed overseas. At that time, the nature and composition of the various groups which would be eligible to par-ticipate in Overseas Military Personnal Charters (OMPC's) were the subject of considerable attention and comment.

SPR-54, effective June 3, 1972, 37 F.R.

^{11159.} SPR-54, supra, and materials cited therein.

The limitations on eligibility promulgated in 1972 included a broader definition of family members permitted to participate in OMPC's than that found in our other charter rules. The current regulations define "immediate family"

• • • only the following persons: spouse, children, and parents of imiltary Personnel on active duty with the U.S. Armed Forces (including the Coast Guard) stationed outside the 48 contiguous States of the United States and the District of Columbia, and the spouse, children, and parents of civilian employees of the Department of Defense who are citizens of the United States and are stationed in a foreign country, or in a U.S. territory or possession, where U.S. military personnel are stationed.

In 1973, Overseas Military Travel Corporation d/b/a Militair filed a petition for rulemaking requesting that the OMPC definition of "immediate family" be expanded to include the parents of the spouse of eligible personnel, as well as the dependent minor children of their parents and their parents-in-law. No answers were filed to the petition, and by Order 74-3-41, dated March 8, 1974,

it was denied.

On May 21, 1975 Pathfinder Corporation filed a petition for rulemaking requesting that "immediate family" be defined to encompass the eligible member's in-laws and minor siblings of both the member and spouse. Pathfinder bases its petition on the importance to morale of facilitating visits by family members and thereby encouraging an all-volunteer armed services. Pathfinder states in support of its petition that: (1) the exclusion of in-laws has a detrimental effect on morale and, since most military and DOD civilian spouses are female, 'smacks of unstylish male chauvinism," (2) the present restriction forbidding minor siblings of eligible members as a practical matter inhibits participation of otherwise eligible parents who are confronted by the choice of purchasing expensive noncharter transportation or leaving their minor children at home: (3) broadened eligibility will not result in diversion from scheduled service, since the OMPC market is relatively small and is, in any event, largely composed of persons who will not use air transportation at all, if they cannot use low-cost charters; and (4) insofar as the Board's denial of Militair's petition was grounded on its apprehension of enforcement problems which might result from extending eligibility to persons with surnames different from the military personnel, there would actually be no significant increase of enforcement problems since there are already two such eligible classes of persons with different surnames (married daughters of eligible persons and families of married female military or DOD personnel), and no significant enforcement

problems have resulted. Pathfinder has submitted supporting letters and petitions signed by more than 250 individuals, and answers in support of Pathfinder's petition have been filed by Shoftour, Davis Agency, and the Department of Defense.

In view of the unanimous support of, and absence of answers objecting to, the amendment requested by the instant petitions, we are now persuaded that we should institute proceedings by inviting comments thereon.

We are therefore granting the petition by proposing to amend the defini-tion of "immediate family" in the manner, and for the reasons, set forth in the

petition.

It is proposed to amend Part 372 of the Special Regulations (14 CFR Part 372) as follows:

Amend § 372.2 by revising the definition of "immediate family" and adding a definition of "minor children," as fol-

\$ 372.2 Definitions.

As used in this part, unless the context otherwise requires-

"Immediate family" means only the following persons: The spouse, children, parents, parents of the spouse, minor children of the parents, and minor children of the parents of the spouse of military personnel on active duty with the United States Armed Forces (including Coast Guard) stationed outside the contiguous States of the United States and the District of Columbia, and the spouse, children, parents, parents of the spouse, minor children of the parents, and minor children of the parents of the spouse of civilian employees of the Department of Defense who are citizens of the United States and are stationed in a foreign country, or in a U.S. territory or possession, where U.S. military personnel are stationed.

"Minor children" means children who have not attained the age of 18 years as of the date of an overseas military personnel charter in which they seek to participate.

[FR Doc.76-8812 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[40 CFR Part 52]

IFRL 511-21

NERRASKA

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans and Compliance Schedules

On May 31, 1972 (37 F.R. 10842), pursuant to Section 110 of the Clean Air Act and 40 CFR Part 51, the Administrator approved portions of state plans for implementation of the national ambient air quality standards.

Revisions to the State Implementation Plan submitted by the State after adoption on February 22, 1974, were approved by the Environmental Protection Agency on September 9, 1975 (40 F.R. 41778). These revisions included the establishment of a July 31, 1976, date for the attainment of National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS).

After submittal of the emission limitations having state wide applicability, but prior to their September 9, 1975, approval, the State of Nebraska revised the numbering sequence of the emission limitations effective June 17, 1975. The emission limitations themselves were not

changed.

In this proposal, the numbering sequence which became effective June 17, 1975, is used. Pertinent Rule Numbers and descriptive titles are listed below:

Rule title Rule No. Operations; Process Particulate Emission Limitations for Existing Sources.

Fuel Burning Equipment; Particulate Emission Limitations for Existing Sources.

Incinerators: Emission Standards. Nitrogen Oxides (calculated as nitrogen dioxide); Emission Standards for Existing Stationary Sources. Visible Emissions; Prohibited (ex-13____

ceptions: See rule 18). Dust; Duty to Prevent Escape of.

The State of Nebraska submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency compliance schedules to be considered as proposed revisions to the approved plans pursuant to 40 CFR 51.6. 40 CFR 51.8 requires the Administrator to approve or disapprove compliance schedules submitted by the states. Therefore, the Administrator proposes the approval of the the compliance schedules listed below.

The approvable schedules were adopted by the State and submitted to the Environmental Protection Agency after notice and public hearings in accordance with the procedural requirements of 40 CFR 51.4 and 51.6, and the substantive requirements of 40 CFR 51.15 pertaining to compliance schedules. The compliance schedules have been reviewed and determined to be consistent with the approved control strategies of Nebraska.

Each approved revision establishes a new date by which the individual source must comply with the applicable emission limitation in the federally approved State Implementation Plan. This date is indicated in the table below, under the heading "Final Compliance Date."

In the indication of proposed approval of individual compliance schedules, the individual schedules are included by reference only. In addition, since the large number of compliance schedules preclude setting forth detailed reasons for approval of individual schedules in the FED-ERAL REGISTER, an evaluation report has been prepared for each individual compliance schedule. Copies of these evaluation reports are available for public inspection at the Environmental Protection Agency, 1735 Baltimore Avenue, Kansas City, Missouri. The compliance schedules proposed to be approved and

³E.g. § 208.212(b) where "immediate family" is defined as the spouse, dependent children, and parents living in the household of the bona fide member of the charter organization.

Docket 27876.

the State Implementation Plans are available for public inspection at the Environmental Protection Agency Regional Office; the Environmental Protection Agency, Division of Stationary Source Enforcement, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.; and the Nebraska Department of Environmental Control, 1424 P Street, Lincoln, Nebraska.

Interested persons may participate in this rulemaking by submitting written comments in triplicate to the Region VII Office at the above address. All comments submitted on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered. All comments received, as well as copies of the applicable implementation plans, will be available for inspection during normal business hours at the Regional Office.

businss hours at the Regional Office.
This proposed rulemaking is issued under the authority of section 110(a)

of the Clean Air Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. 1857c-5.

Dated: March 10, 1976.

JEROME H. SVORE, Regional Administrator.

It is proposed to amend Part 52 of Chapter I, Title 40, of the Code of Federal Regulations as follows:

Subpart CC-Nebraska

1. A new § 52.1425 is added as follows:

§ 52.1425 Compliance Schedules.

(a) The compliance schedules for the sources identified below are approved as revisions to the plan pursuant to § 51.6 and § 51.15 of this chapter. All regulations cited are air pollution control regulations of the State, unless otherwise noted.

Nebraska-Compliance schedules

Source	Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted	Variance explration date	Final compliance date
llied Mills, Inc.: 3 drums and 2 hammer-		Rules 5, 13	Aug. 22, 1974	May 1, 1976	May 1, 197
mills. Cominco American, Inc.: Nitrie acid	plant. Beatrice	Rule 10	do	July 31, 1976	July 31, 197
absorption tower. Dobson Bros. Construction Co.: Port-	Outstate	Rule 5	do	May 1, 1976	May 1, 197
able asphalt plant. Beerman Bros. Deliydrating: Dryers	Dakota City	do	do	do	Do.
and hammermill. Behrens Blacktop: B/G 845 asphalt plant. V. A. Biba Engineering:	Beactice	do	do	July 31, 1976	July 31, 197
(a) Asphalt plant—Model G-80	Geneva	Rule 13	do	June 25, 1976	June 25, 197
(a) Asphalt plant—Model G-80 (b) Asphalt plant—Model G-40 Board of Public Utilities Power Plant	Fremont	Rule 6	do	June 1, 1976	Do. June 1, 196
No. 1: Boilers Nos. 4 and 5. Bram, Inc.: Drum and hammermill					
F Industries, Inc.: Nitric acid plant	Fremont	Rule 10	do	do	Do.
OF Industries, Inc.: Nitric acid plant Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drum and hammermill,					
Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Old plant drums and hammermills.	Gothenburg	do	do	do	. Do.
Consclidated Blenders, Inc.: Drum and	Lexington	do	do	do	. Do.
Corsolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drums and hammermills.					
Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drum and hammermill.					
Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drums and hammermills.					
Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drum and hammermill.					
Consolidated Blenders, Inc.: Drums and					
Dawson County Feed Products, Inc.:	York	do	do	do	. Do.
Dudden Alfalfa Farms, Inc.: Drum and hammermilis.					
Edison Dehy. Co., Inc.: Drums and hammermills.					
J. L. Healy Construction Co.: Portable asphalt plant No. 606.					
J. L. Healy Construction Co.: Portable asphalt Plant No. 692.					
Hillside Dehy. Inc.: Drums and hammermill.					
Land O'Lakes, Inc.: Drums and ham- mermill.					
mermill. Do Loup Valley Alfalfa, Inc.: Drum and hammermill.	Burwell	Rule 13	do	June 30, 1976	June 30, 19
Magnus Metal Division: Reverberatory					
Missouri Valley Construction Co.: As-					
Missouri Valley Construction Co.:	Columbus				
Missouri Valley Construction Co.:	Norfolk				
2 11 11 11 11 11 11	Grand Island				
Morrison & Quirk, Inc.: Drum and ham-	McCook Lyons	do	do	doJuly 31, 1976	Do. July 31, 19
mermill.	Onkland	do	do	đo	Do.
Do	North Bend	do	do	do Jan. 15, 1976	Jan. 15, 19
hammermills. Shofstall, Inc.: Drums and hammermills Table Rock Lumber Co.: Incinerator	Odagea	do	đo	July 1.1976	July 1.19

1	Bource			Location	Regulation involved	Date adopted	OXI	riance dration date	com	inal pliance ate
Western Alfalfa	Corp.:	Drums	and	Cozad	Rules 5 and	do	d	lo	I)o:
Western Alfalfa hammermilis.	Corp.:	Drums	and	Elm Creek	do	do	d	lo	I)o;
DoGothenburg Feed				Kearney	do	do		lo	I	o:
Gothenburg Feed and hammermil	l Produc	ts Co.: I	rum	Willow Island.	Rule 5	Jan. 14, 197	5 July	1, 1976	July	1, 197
Sargent Alfalfa P	roducts,			_			_		_	
Fransco Feed Pand hammermil	roducts,	Inc.: D	rums	Nlekerson	Rule 5	May 6, 197	5 May	1, 1976	May	1, 197
Kaw Dehydrating	Co. In	c.:					_		_	
Drums 1 and	2, hamm	ermills		Brady	do	do	June	1, 1976	June	1, 197
Hanna & Lamb &	dilling C	o.: Drum	s and	Gothenburg	do	do	July	1, 1976	July	1, 197
Company Alfalfa To	nc.:			Josselyn :	do	do	July	31, 1976	July	31, 197
40.1 - 40.1577.0				plant.		· W				
12 by 42 MEC Cedar Bluffs Deh	dryer	r. 2 denma	and	Coder Bluffe	do	do	May	98 1076	May	28 197
3 hammermills. Albers Dehydrati										
and hammermi	11.									
Central Alfalfa, I mermilis.							-			
Coleridge Dehydr	-			_						1, 197
Ebel Alfalfa Co., mermill.	Inc.: Di	rum and	ham-	Scribner	do	do	ć	lo	I	0.
Fox Grain Co. Bishop).	(Divisio	n of Sco	ular-	Gibbon	Rule 14	do	June	15, 1976	June	15, 197
Endicott Clay Pr	roducts	Co.: Ling	ltun-	Fairbury	Rule 13	Sept. 2, 197	5 July	31, 1976	July	31, 197
Iowa Beef Proce	ssors, Ir	e.: Rayı	nond	Dakota City	do	do	d	lo	I	0.
Island Dehydrati	ing, Inc.	.: Drums	and	Cozad	Rule 5	do	June	1, 1976	June	1, 197
Progressive Live			Inc.:	Bertrand	do	do	July	1, 1976	July	1, 197
Progressive Produ	icis Co	Inc		Overton	do	do		lo	I	0.
Mid-America Dal	rymen:	Spray dry	er	Norfolk	do	Jan. 6, 197	6 July	81, 1976	July	31, 197
Do	nders, I	ac.: Drun	and	Superior Mead West	do	do		10lo	I)o.)o.
hammermill. Consolidated Ble	nders: D	rum and	ham-	Darr East	do	do	d	lo	I	00.
mermill. Consolidated Bl			ant	Gothenburg	do	do	d	lo	1	Do.
drum and hams Consolidated Ble mermill.			ham-	Grand Island.	do	do		lo	1	Do.
Do				Ord	do	do		lo	I	o.
Do	e.: Drun	and han	mer-	Stanton Cozad	do	do	July	1, 1976	July	1, 197
Mill. Kearney Alfalfa l										
hammermilis. Orleans Milling hammermill.	Co., Inc	e.: Drum	and	Orleans	do	do		lo	I	Do:

[FR Doc.76-8733 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

This section of the FEDERAL REGISTER contains documents other than rules or proposed rules that are applicable to the public. Notices of hearings and investigations, committee meetings, agency decisions and rulings, delegations of authority, filing of petitions and applications and agency statements of organization and functions are examples of documents appearing in this section.

Fiscal Service

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1975 Rev., Supp. No. 15]

AETNA CASUALTY AND SURETY CO. OF **ILLINOIS**

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds

A Certificate of Authority as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under Sections 6 to 13 of Title 6 of the United States Code. An underwriting limitation of \$4,032,000 has been established for the company.

Name of company, location of principal executive office, and State in which incorporated

Aetna Casualty & Surety Company of Illinois Chicago, Illinois Illinois

Certificates of Authority expire on June 30 each year, unless sooner revoked. and new certificates are issued on July 1 so long as the companies remain qualified (31 CFR Part 223). A list of qualified companies is published annually as of July 1 in Departmeent Circular 570, with details as to underwriting limitations, areas in which licensed to transact surety business and other information. Copies of the circular, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Government Financial Operations, Audit Staff, Washington, D.C. 20226.

Dated: March 19, 1976.

DAVID MOSSO. Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8771 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Dept. Circ. 570, 1975 Rev., Supp. No. 14]

THE AUTOMOBILE INSURANCE CO. OF HARTFORD CONNECTICUT

Surety Companies Acceptable on Federal Bonds

A Certificate of Authority as an acceptable surety on Federal bonds has been issued by the Secretary of the Treasury to the following company under Sections 6 to 13 of Title 6 of the United States Code. An underwriting limitation of \$349,000 has been established for the company.

Name of company, location of principal executive office, and State in which incorporated

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY The Automobile Insurance Company of Hartford, Connecticut Hartford. Connecticut Connecticut

> Certificates of Authority expire on June 30 each year, unless sooner revoked, and new certificates are issued on July 1 so long as the companies remain qualified (31 CFR Part 223). A list of qualified companies is published annually as of July 1 in Department Circular 570, with details as to underwriting limitations, areas in which licensed to transact surety business and other information. Copies of the circular, when issued, may be obtained from the Treasury Department, Bureau of Government Financial Operations, Audit Staff, Washington, D.C. 20226

Dated: March 19, 1976.

DAVID MOSSO. Fiscal Assistant Secretary.

(FR Doc.76-8770 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am)

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary of Defense

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ADVISORY GROUP ON UTILIZATION OF GRAVI-METRIC DATA

Advisory Group Meeting

The DoD Advisory Group on Utilization of Gravimetric Data will meet in closed session on 12-13 April 1796 at the Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, Cambridge Massachusetts.

The overall mission of this Advisory Group is to advise the Director of Defense Research and Engineering on the effective utilization of gravimetric data to meet the operational needs of the military forces.

The Advisory Group will assess the importance of satellite radar altimetry data for improving our knowledge of the earth's gravitational field and for improving the error budget of the Fleet Ballistic Missile System.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of Appendix I, Title 5, United States Code, it has been determined that this Advisory Group meeting concerns matters listed in Section 552(b) of Title 5 of the United States Code, specifically subparagraph (1) thereof, and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

March 25, 1976.

MAURICE W. ROCHE, Director, Correspondence and Directives OASD (Comptrol-

[FR Doc.76-9014 Filed 3-26-76; 10:10 am]

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Office of the Attorney General

FEDERAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FALSE IDENTIFICATION

Notice of Meeting

Notice is hereby given in accordance with the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Pub. L. 92-463, 5 U.S.C. Appendix I) that the thirteenth meeting of the Federal Advisory Committee on False Identification will be held at 9:30 a.m., Thursday, April 8, 1976, at the Briefing and Conference Center of the Department of Justice (opposite Room 1315), 10th and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C.

The Committee was established by the Attorney General to study the criminal use of false identification at Federal, state, and local levels and to recommend measures to prevent such use.

At the plenary session of the April 8th meeting, the Committee will continue its review of recommended solutions to the criminal use of false identification to be included in the Committee's final re-

The meeting, which will adjourn at approximately 2:00 p.m. is open to the public. The Committee welcomes a broad spectrum of ideas from the public to assist the Committee in its efforts to protect each citizen's right to privacy and to aid in preventing the criminal use of false identification.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from David J. Muchow or Douglas H. Westbrook, General Crimes Section, Criminal Division. Department of Justice, Room 516, Federal Triangle Building, 315 9th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20530, telephone (202) 739-3750 or 739-2745. Minutes of the meeting will be available for public inspection approximately two weeks after the meeting in Room 516, Federal Triangle Building.

RICHARD L. THORNBURGH. Assistant Attorney General. [FR Doc.76-8970 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR **ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT**

Notice of Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

	OMB NO. 42-R1678
DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE STRVICE FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION 1. APPLICANT, (Mann, complete address and phone number of individual, desireas, agency, or institution for which permit is requested) NOTMAN C. Gran R.A.M.; M. J. Road LAKE Sield, MAN, 56150	1. APPLICATION FOR (Indicate only one) E BRINGS E BRINGS BEFORE ON PERMIT IN WISH to buy + Ship into the STATE the ENDANGERED SPECIES OF JUCK, LAYSHN TEAL (ANAS LAYSHNEASIS) FOR PROPRIATION PHYTOSES, From Nebraska.
A IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL, COMPLETE, THE FOLLOWING: WHR. [] MRS. [] MISS [] MS. [] HEIGHT DATE OF BIRTH COLOR HAIR COLOR EYES. PRIOR HUMBER THERE EMPLOYED SOCIAL SECURITY MUYBER SC7-662-1992 1477-18-2573 SHIPPEY CILB OLINER ANY BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTIONAL AFFICIATION HAVING TO DO WITH THE WILDLIFE TO BE. COVERED BY THIS LICENSE. FERV'Y	S. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, ON INSTITUTION, COMPLETE THE POLLOWING TEXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION WAME, TITLE, AND PHONE WAYDER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OPPICER, DIRECTOR, ETC. IF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, INDICATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED
NWY of NEKI pf Sec. 4, Hunter town ship 102, North raige 36 west on East Edge of Lakefield, minn,	7. DO YOU HOLD ANY CLARENTLY JALIO FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE OF PERMITT YES NO (Ilyes, his license or permit numbers) LO AFOY FOW I SAFE + Disposin Government, Do You HAVE THEIR APPROVATION CONDUCT THE ACTIVITY YOU PROPOSET YES NO (Ilyes, his jurisdictions and type of documents)
REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B	ICATION CULLATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 59, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL DECLATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 59, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL DECLATIONS CONTAINED IN TITLE 50, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFOR- MINISTER AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF BY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.
TUNDERSTAND THAT ANY FALSE STATEMENT MEREIN MAY SUBJECT ME	TO THE CRIMINAL PENALTIES OF 18 U.S.C. 1001. OATE

Mile Road, Lakefield, Mn. 56150.

sis) 13 male, 5 female. Would like to purchase the above for propagation purposes and to obtain new blood to keep the stock strong. I wish to breed them with 6 Laysans I have obtained here in Minnesota,

(2) iii Wildlife was born in captivity.

(3) By purchasing the above birds that were raised in captivity from breeders in the states. By having them shipped in interstate and padded crates to avoid any chance of injury.

(4) The Laysan Teal I wish to purchase were raised in captivity by Mrs. R. W. Hanson of Kearney, Nebraska. The other birds were raised by Stanley Fredin of Gaylord, Minnesota.

(5) The birds will be kept at my place. The pens are located behind my home. They are 15' x 30' x 5' high and have natural vege-

Applicant: Mr. Norman C. Gran, R.R. #1, dile Road, Lakefield, Mn. 56150. a 14' x 8' x 2' feet deep pond with a constant fresh supply of water. I am in the process of building a large 50' x 80' x 6' covered pen of 1 inch mesh wire to give the birds more space and privacy. I also have wintering quarters with ample fresh water and heat which are needed for this bird is not adapted to surviving our winters without such precautions.

> (6) Diagram of holding facilities. This new pen will be completed about mid-April and will be 80' x 50' x 6' high. It will be covered with 2'' plastic netting from the Cissel Netting Company.

> ii I have been raising waterfowl for about 5 years now and have reared young from 14 varieties of ducks, most of which have been native waterfowl.

> (iii) I would welcome an opportunity to cooperate in a breeding program and keep accurate records. I believe this is the only way many of our species will ever survive the onslaught of civilization.

(iv) The container used for shipping are 2 ft wide, 18" high, and 30" long. They are constructed with a light wood and lined with Burlap. Feed and water are placed in each box. The Laysan teal will be shipped and picked up within 24 hrs.

(v) I have not raised Laysan teal before so can report nothing on them. I have lost very few birds in the 4 years I have been raising birds on a hobby basis. Three birds were taken by owls the first year before I began covering the breeding area. I also lost a few to common duck disease until I learned how to control most of the factors which cause them. Losses the last two years have been two birds in a breeding flock of about 80, one of those due to a Paradise Shelduck drake which got out and killed a mandarin

(7) There are no contracts or agreements. If I get the permit I plan to purchase the birds from Mrs. R. W. Hanson of Kearney,

Neb.

(8) (i) I plan on keeping, breeding, buying, and selling for propagation only. The Lay san teal.

(ii) I will supply adequate pons, housing, feed and care to insure the birds contentment in captivity, in order that they will

breed to keep the species going.

(iii) I have had a great love for waterfowl since being a child. My objective is to be able to help sustain a captive population as those who come after us will be able to see the natural beauty and delight in the activities of live birds rather than seeing a extinct mounted specimen in a museum. Such an end to these beautiful creatures would be a black mark on mankind.

(iv) If I should desire to quit raising birds I would sell them to other reputable breeders whom I felt were capable of raising and properly caring for them this is the remotest of possibilities because of the great joy I find in keeping and raising these birds. They are a great source of relaxation and help achieve a feeling of fulfillment in helping to presume a heritage for all to enjoy.

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: March 29, 1976.

C. R. BAVIN. Chief, Division of Law Enforcement, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-8543 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

ENDANGERED SPECIES PERMIT Notice of Receipt of Application

Notice is hereby given that the following application for a permit is deemed to have been received under section 10 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973 (P.L. 93-205).

	OMB NO. 42-R1679
DEPARTMEN. OF THE INTERIOR U.S. FISH AND WILDLIFE SERVICE FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE/PERMIT APPLICATION 3. APPLICANT. (Hous, complete address and phone number of individual, becomes, ofener, or fenitive for which permit is requested 6. Mars a. Heff 398 habe it Suewinake. Wis 54944	APPLICATION FORE Me unity may The present the export LICENSE X PERMAN 2. BHEF DESCRIPTION OF ACTIVATY FOR THICK REQUESTED LICENSE ON PERMIT IS NEEDED. J. Sell Paleon area Humer, 2 dwards, and benefileting Expers I historist to a bleder in Canada for breeding purposes.
L IF "APPLICANT" IS AN INDIVIDUAL COMPLETE THE FOLLOWING. WHE I MIRE I MISS I ME. 5' 18 N 145 DATE OF BIRTY. COLOR HAIR COLOR EYES CHANGE MUSSER WHERE EMPLOYED SOCIAL SECURITY MUMBER 144-144-6652 392-20-1342 OCCUPATION I. Had althout Lymnam.	B. IF "APPLICANT" IS A BUSINESS, CORPORATION, PUBLIC AGENCY, ON INSTITUTION COMPLETE THE POLLOWING. "EXPLAIN TYPE OR KIND OF BUSINESS, AGENCY, OR INSTITUTION
ANY BUSINESS ACENCY. OF RECTIVITY OF THE PERLATION AND TO DO BY MATHER WILDLE TO BE CONTROL OF THIS LICENSEPHENT TO THE CONTROL OF THIS LICENSEPHENT TO THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	NAME, TITLE, AND PHONE NUMBER OF PRESIDENT, PRINCIPAL OFFICER, DIRECTOR, ETC. SF "APPLICANT" IS A CORPORATION, MORCATE STATE IN WHICH INCORPORATED
Echande, Sein Copper to	7. DO YOU MOLD ARY CURRENTLY VALID FEDERAL FISH AND WILDLIFE LICENSE ON PERMIT? TYES \square MO By on, He Heave or primit ambient \square YES \square MO \square
Canada for breeding prosposek.	Breider in a neject jellen.
8. CERTIFIED CHECK OR MONEY ORDER (II applicate) PAYAGLE TO THE U.S. FIRM AND MILDLIFE SERVICE ENCLOSED IN AMOUNT OF	A DESIMED EFFECTIVE 11. DURATION NEEDED DATE (2) 2NON Q' / YEAV SERVEL is issued / YEAV
12 ATTAGEMENTE THE SPECIFIC INFORMATION REQUIRED FOR THE TO ATTAGEMENT IT CONSTITUTES AN INTEGRAL PARY OF THIS APPAIRAL PROVIDED. "LUC. CITBACHER Schedus	YPÉ OF LICENSE/PERMIT REQUESTED IS-2'30 CFR 13.12'68 MUST ME TION, LIST SECTIONS OF 30 CFR UNDER WHICH ATTACHMENTS AME
	FICATION
I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND AN FUNLLAR WITH THE RE REGULATIONS AND THE OTHER APPLICABLE PARTS IN SUBCHAPTER B NATION SURNITIES ON THIS APPLICATION FOR A LICENSE/PERMIT IS C LUMBERSTAND THAT ANY FALLS STATEMENT VARIEN MAY SUBJECT B	EQULATIONS CONTAINED IN 11TLE SI, PART 13, OF THE CODE OF FEDERAL I OF CHAPTER I OF TITLE SI, AND I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT THE INFOM- DWIFLETE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND SELSEF.
Elmer a. Helt	910v. 13.1975

GPO 898-942

12. Attachments: Jack Schuriteman Jr., Delvin, Ontario Rte 2 wants to buy 2 Pala-wan, 2 Humes, 3 Edward's, 2 Copper pheasants for breeding purposes to get new blood and to avoid inbreeding. He has applied for an import permit from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Green Lake Wis. Oct. 13, 1975.

FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE Washington, D.C.

DEAR SES: I would like to apply for an ex-port permit to sell several of the pheasants that are at present on the andaposes cles list to Jack Schuriteman, Jr., of Delvin, Ontario Rte. He has applied for an import permit. These pheasants are to be used for breeding purposes. They are the following birds that I want a permit. 2 Palawan females, 2 Edward's cocks, 2 Humes cocks,

Would like to get this permit as soon as possible before winter sets in.

Sincerely,

ELMER A. HEFT 398 Lake St. Green Lake Wis Zip 54941.

Green Lake, Wis. Dec. 30, 1975.

U.S. FISH & WILDLIFE SERVICE

Washington, D.C.

DEAR SIRS: In reply to the questions you asked in regard to my getting a permit to export some of the endangered species to Canada.

The endangered species that I intend to export to Canada were raised in captivity by me in the past two years.

In regard to the pens and facilities that Mr. Schuriteman of Delvin, Ontario, has for

raising birds, must be good as he has raised the more rare birds for a number of years.

Yours very truly.

Elmer A. Heft. Green Lake Wis. Jan. 28, 1976.

DIRECTOR, U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service

Washington, D.C. DEAR SIE: Find enclosed my application for a permit to sell and buy the endangered species. I would like to make a few remarks in regard to this Act as it pertains to phea-ants; ducks, geese, etc. All these birds were originally imported into the states by importers, breeders and hobbyists. We were having success in raising and increasing them. To these captive-raised birds should never have been placed on the endangered species list as we increasing their numbers. I have heard a number of breeders state that they will not raise them anymore with all the red tape attached to buy and sell them. These birds are mostly kept by hobbyists that are retired and love nature and so were increasing their numbers for future generations. I have a number of surplus birds but if it takes several months to get a permit to sell them, a breeding season is missed. I applied for a permit to ship some birds to Canada about three months ago and so far have not received the permit. Several months delay in getting permits just misses a breeding season. I am for the Endangered Species Act for protection of these birds in their native habitat. But a lot more birds would be raised if this Act did not cover the captive birds in the States.

Yours very truly,

ELMER A. HEFT.

P.S. I have over one hundred kinds of birds being one of the larger collections in the

APPLICANT:

Elmer A. Heft,

398 Lake St., Green Lake Wis Zip 54941. 1. List of Endangered Species on hand. Brown Earred—Corssoptilon mantchuricum 1 Male 1 Female, Edward's—Lophura edwardsi 3 Males 1 Female, Mikado—Syrmcaticus mikado 2 Females 1 Male, Palawan Peacock—Polyplectron emphanum 4 Females 3 Males, Swinhoe's—Lophura swinholi 3 Males 4 Females, Bartailed—Syrmaticus humiae 3 Males 1 Female, Parakeet—Turquoise—Neoephema pulchella 1 Male 1

These birds are from 1975 hatched birds and some are seven or eight years old. Would like to be able to export, sell & buy the above species for propagation purposes and to get new blood to avoid interbreeding. Would also like to buy and sell other species that I do not have at present that may be put on the endangered species list.

2. All the above species were raised in captivity.

3. Would like to be able to purchase pheasants and other birds that are on the en-dangered species list from other breeders that were raised in captivity.

4. None of the birds herein described have been removed from the wild. The other birds listed have been raised at our place or bought prior to the endangered species Act.

5. The birds listed are kept in my place in City of Green Lake, Wisconsin I have different sized pens. Some are 12'x18'x7'. Others are 12'x50'x7'. My entire aviary is entirely enclosed in a seven foot fence. Pens are located on a three acre lot. Pens are planted with Japanese Yews.

6. (1) Photos Enclosed.

(2) I have been raising different kinds of birds, including pheasants, ducks, geese, quail, partridge swans and parrot-like birds for thirty seven years. In 1974, was awarded Master Breeder Award by the American Game Bird Breeders Cooperative Federation. During this time I have raised numbers of the endangered species and sold them to other breeders and hobbyists.

(iii) I would be willing to cooperate in a breeding program and keep accurate records. If it were not for the breeders and hobbyists

that imported these birds originally none would exist in the U.S. today.

(iv) I have shipped birds for thirty-seven years and find that different sized containers have to be used for different kinds of birds. The containers that I ship my birds in are for the size of the bird. They are padded. Water and food containers are placed in each coop. Notice is placed on coop to water the birds. Plenty of feed is put in container. With our freight today only takes a few hours to ship birds to their destination.

(v) I have never had any contagious disease in my birds. Of course some die of old age and some are accidentally killed. My pens are cultivated and planted to winter wheat

and grasses once or twice a year.

7. I have no contracts or agreements. If I get a permit would like to be able to purchase other birds that are on the endangered species list.

8.(1) I plan on keeping, buying and selling for propagation the species listed herein and

others that I may acquire.

(ii) I have in the past thirty-seven years tried to increase the birds that are on the endangered species list and other birds and have succeeded in doing. Also have the birds for the public to see the birds that are native to other countries. If it were not for hobbyists and breeders like myself they would not exist in the U.S. today.

(iii) If I decide to quit raising birds I would sell the entire collection over one hundred kinds today to another breeder or hobbylst, or sell the birds to other breeders or hobbylsts who are capable of taking care

Documents and other information submitted in connection with this application are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Service's office in Suite 600, 1612 K Street, N.W., Washington, D.C.

Interested persons may comment on this application by submitting written data, views, or arguments, preferably in triplicate, to the Director (FWS/LE), U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Post Office Box 19183, Washington, D.C. 20036. All relevant comments received on or before April 28, 1976 will be considered.

Dated: March 29, 1976.

C. R. BAVIN, Chief, Division of Law Enforcement. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service.

[FR Doc.76-8544 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Office of Hearings and Appeals ...

[Docket No. M76-114]

BARTLEY AND BARTLEY COAL COMPANY Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. § 861(c) (1970), Bartley and Bartley Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 to its No. 3 Mine located in Pike County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1710 provides:

An authorized representative of the Secretary may require in any coal mine where the height of the coalbed permits that electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, be provided with substantially constructed canopies, or cabs, to protect the miners operating such equipment from roof falls and from rib and face rolls.

To be read in conjunction with Section 75.1710 is 30 CFR 75.1710-1 which in pertinent part provides:

• • Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, all self-propelled electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, which is employed in the active workings of each underground coal mine on and after January 1, 1973, shall, in accordance with the schedule of time specified in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph (a), be equipped with substantially constructed canopies or cabs, located and installed in such a manner that when the operator is at the operating controls of such equipment he shall be protected from falls of roof, face, or rib, or from rib and face rolls. The requirements of this paragraph (a) shall be met as follows:
(1) On and after January 1, 1974, in coal

mines having mining heights of 72 inches or

(2) On and after July 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 60 inches or more, but less than 72 inches;

(3) On and after January 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 48 inches or more, but less than 60 inches;

(4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 36 inches or more, but less than 48 inches;

(5) On and after January 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of 24 inches or more, but less than 36 inches, and

(6) On and after July 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of less than 24 inches. • • •

The substance of Petitioner's statement is as follows:

1. Canopies for mining equipment that is not manufactured and designed for canopies are impractical due to:

(a) The height of the coal seam varies between 42 and 54 inches. Canopies would have to be set below 42 inches which is the lowest point in the mine.

(b) The location of the machine operator would be at a rough riding part of the machine. The operator would be in a cramped position. The equipment is not designed for power steering and the mechanical steering and other controls could not be operated properly.

(c) Visibility would be impaired by 100 percent in rear view and by 30 percent in front.

(d) The machine operator would be hindered and confined in case of an

emergency.

2. Proposed alternative method: (a) Keeping safe roof over mine and machine.

(h) Working safely. (c) Safety first.

Request for Hearing or Comments.

Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 28. 1976. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

> JAMES R. RICHARDS. Director. Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8750 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

ELKAY MINING COMPANY

Petition for Modification of Application of **Mandatory Safety Standard**

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301 (c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. § 861(c) (1970), Elkay Mining Company has filed a petition to modify the application of

30 CFR 75.1710 to its following mines:
1. Elkay No. 1 Mine. This mine is located near Lyburn, West Virginia, and operates three (3) sections in seam heights from 40 to 46 inches, using coal drills, cutters, and loaders and associated roof bolters and shuttle cars with heights of 24 to 36 inches.

2. Elkay No. 2 Mine. This mine is located near Lyburn, West Virginia, and operates one (1) section in seam heights from 40 to 44 inches, using coal drills, cutters and loaders and associated roof bolters, and shuttle cars with heights of 26 to 32 inches.

3. Principal problems in attempting to use canopies at these mines has been shearing of roof bolts and refusals of individual miners to operate the equipment.

30 CFR 75.1710 provides:

An authorized representative of the Secretary may require in any coal mine where the height of the coalbed permits that electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, be provided with substantially constructed canopies, or cabs, to protect the miners operating such equipment from roof falls and from rib and face rolls.

To be read in conjunction with Section 75.1710 is 30 CFR 75.1710-1 which in pertinent part provides:

• • • Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, all self-propelled electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, which is employed in the active workings of each underground coal mine on and after January 1, 1973, shall, in accordance with the schedule time specified in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph (a), be equipped with substantially constructed canopies or cabs, located and installed in such a manner that when the operator is at the operating controls of such equipment he shall be protected from falls of roof, face, rib, or from rib and face rolls. The requirements of this paragraph (a) shall be met as follows:

(1) On and after January 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 72 inches or

(2) On and after July 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 60 inches or more, but less than 72 inches;

(3) On and after January 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 48 inches

or more, but less than 60 inches; (4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 36 inches or more,

but less than 48 inches: (5) On and after January 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of 24 inches or

more, but less than 36 inches, and
(6) On and after July 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of less inches. than 24

The substance of Petitioner's statement is as follows:

1. Petitioner is constantly encountering undulations in the height of its coal seam.

- 2. As a result of the undulations in seam height the likelihood of jamming the canopy against the roof is increased. Moreover, safe clearance from the roof is not assured in that roof bolts have been and will continue to be sheared or dislodged thereby creating a greater risk of roof fall and injury to employees than would exist otherwise.
- 3. Technology in the industry is not available to design, and install canopies on existing equipment which will protect the operators in the conditions described above, insure visibility and safe operability, and prevent the hazards described herein. Instead, results of attempts to do so have included the following:
- (a) Cramped and awkward operator positions cause operators to leave cabs more frequently, and in situations which exposes them to hazards of mining equipment

(b) Poor visibility causes operators to put their heads outside of the equipment, which exposes them to hazards of moving equipment.

(c) Changes in conditions after installation of canopies, caused by variations in seam height and undulations, cause equipment clearance to be inadequate and cause collisions with the top, sheared roof bolts, damaged cross beams, and destroyed equipment and roof support.

4. Existence of the cab itself becomes a hazard in seams, or in portions of seams, in which the Petitioner operates as described above, because present equipment known to the Petitioner limits the paths of escape of an operator faced with a roof or rib fall in a confined

5. Much of the equipment used in these mines was not manufactured or designed for the installation of canopies and Petitioner has been unable to construct or purchase suitable canopies without en-

countering all of the foregoing problems.

6. In petitioning for modification of the mandatory standard herein, Petitioner is forced to request relief from all time limits set forth in 30 CFR 75.1710-1 as applied to date because of the variations described above within each mine. The standard prescribes time limits for use of canopies based upon maximum height within a mine. If the standard becomes immediately applicable throughout the mine, Petitioner is being forced to install canopies in the lower reaches of coal before other coal mine operators in like situations. If the different time limits are to apply to the separate mining sections or other areas in the mines. then Petitioner is faced with a vague situation as mining uncovers new conditions and he is faced with little time to comply or a situation where compliance is impossible as described herein, and his mine may be rendered worthless.

7. In view of all of the foregoing, Petitioner requests that, since the standard involved herein will result in a diminution of safety at its mines, and since technology is not available at present to satisfactorily accomplish the desired result of increased safety, the standard be modified to not require Petitioner to in-

stall canopies at its mines.

Request for Hearing or Comments. Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 28, 1976. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS. Director. Office of Hearings and Appeals. MARCH 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8751 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M76-76]

INCOAL COAL COMPANY, INCORPORATED **Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard**

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. § 861(c) (1970), Incoal Coal Company, Inc. has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 to its Incoal No. 1 Mine, Adkins No. 11 Mine and Sly Branch No. 2 Mine, all located in Knott County, Kentucky.

30 CFR 75.1710 provides:

An authorized representative of the Sectary may require in any coal mine where the height of the coalbed permits that electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, be provided with substantially constructed canopies, or cabs, to protect the miners operating such equipment from roof falls and from rib and face rolls.

To be read in conjunction with Section 75.1710 is 30 CFR 75.1710-1 which in pertinent part provides:

• • • Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, all self-propelled electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, which employed in the active workings of each underground coal mine on and after January 1, 1973, shall, in accordance with the schedule of time specified in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph (a), be equipped with substantially constructed canopies or cabs, located and installed in such a manner that when the operator is at the operating controls of such equipment he shall be protected from falls of roof, face, or rib, or from rib and face rolls. The requirements of this paragraph (a) shall be met as follows:

(1) On and after January 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 72 inches or

(2) On and after July 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 60 inches or more, but less than 72 inches:

(3) On and after January 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 48 inches or more, but less than 60 inches;

(4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 36 inches or more, but less than 48 inches;

(5) On and after January 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of 24 inches or more, but less than 36 inches, and

(6) On and after July 1, 1978, in coal mines having mining heights of less than 24 inches.

The substance of Petitioner's statement is as follows:

(1) The Petitioner respectfully requests the modification of the application of the mandatory safety standard 30 CFR 75.1710-1(a) with respect to the subject mines for reason that the application of such standard will result in a reduction

of safety to the miners.

(2) The Petitioner avers that technology does not presently exist to enable it to equip its self-propelled electric face equipment with suitable canopies to protect and provide for the safety of the operators of said equipment. The Petitioner further avers that based upon its recent experience with presently available canopies, the use of these canopies results in a reduction of safety to the miners in the above-named mines. The Pettiioner's experience indicated the following:

(a) The Petitioner operates three mines in the No. 2 Elkhorn Coal Seams averaging 27 to 31 inches in height and at present is operating mining equipment averaging 30 to 31 inches in height. The above-named equipment is the lowest available at the present for seams of this

(b) While canopies of the type specifled had the necessary height clearance in some instances under normal mining conditions, the necessary clearance diminished to zero when rolls or frequently adverse conditions were encountered. Petitioner was unable to get one particular piece of haulage equipment to the section before it became wedged against the roof, ripping the canopy off. The future use of these canopies will inadvertently cause injuries to the operators.

(c) When operating with the available canopies, the operator's vision is severely impaired to the point that operation of the equipment becomes hazardous to the operator and all other persons

in the working area.

(d) Due to the combination of the severely limited vision and close confinement in the cab, appendages of the operator's body, such as his head and limbs, hang out in such manner that they are in jeopardy of being crushed between the equipment and the coal rib.

(e) Ingress to and egress from the cabs is so limited that the operator is held captive and cannot escape when the action of the roof clearly would warrant

such retreat.

(f) Because of close confinement in the cab and severely limited ingress to and egress from the canopies, it is felt that the operator will attempt to control the equipment from outside the protection of the canopies and in doing so create the hazard of being crushed between the equipment and the rib.

(g) In case of machine malfunction, cable damage, or power failure of any kind, or in the event of a machine fire, the operators of the equipment may be held captive by the canopy for an indefinite period depending on the circum-

stances.

(h) The operators of this type of equipment are at all times under fully supported roof provided by an approved roof control plan. Such roof support is deemed satisfactory for all other personnel in the mine including the helpers on self-propelled electric face equipment. The helpers and other supporting personnel freely move around adjacent to the equipment under the protection of the proper roof support. Hence, the addition of canopies of the type presently available, rather than providing additional safety for the operators, introduces an instrument capable of inflicting serious bodily harm or death.

(i) Due to rolls and adverse conditions, the canopies were constantly striking the roof bolts and were shearing the bolts or destroying the torque of the roof bolts on the section, thus reducing their efficiency and exposing all employees to the hazard of a roof fall from

damaged support.

(3) The Petitioner only recovers 60 to 65 percent of the recoverable coal leaving the balance for support. No second mining or pillar retraction is practiced at any of the above-named mines.

(4) The Petitioner avers that with respect to low-ceiling mines, the use of currently available canopies with mobile electric face equipment severely diminishes rather than increases the over-

all safety of the miners.

Request for Hearing or Comments. Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments on or before April 28, 1976. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS,
Director,
Office of Hearings and Appeals.

MARCH 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8752 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M76-77]

NEWSOME COAL COMPANY

Petition for Modification of Application of Mandatory Safety Standard

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. § 861(c) (1970), Newsome Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 to its Gum Branch No. 25 Mine and its Greasy Creek No. 1 Mine, both located in Knott County, Kentucky:

30 CFR 75.1710 provides:

An authorized representative of the Secretary may require in any coal mine where the height of the coalbed permits that electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, be provided with substantially constructed canopies, or cabs, to protect the miners operating such equipment from roof falls and from rib and face rolls.

To be read in conjunction with Section 75.1710 is 30 CFR 75.1710-1 which in pertinent part provides:

* * * Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, all self-propelled electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, which is employed in the active workings of each underground coal mine on and after January 1, 1973, shall, in accordance with the schedule of time specified in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph (a), be equipped with substantially constructed canopies or cabs, located and installed in such a manner that when the operator is at the operating controls of such equipment he shall be protected from falls of roof, face, or rib, or from rib and face rolls. The requirements of this paragraph (a) shall be met as follows:

(1) On and after January 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 72 inches

or more;

(2) On and after July 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 60 inches or more, but less than 72 inches;
(3) On and after January 1, 1975, in coal

(3) On and after January 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 48 inches or more, but less than 60 inches;
(4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal

(4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 36 inches or more, but less than 48 inches;

(5) On and after January 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of 24 inches or more, but less than 36 inches, and

(6) On and after July 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of less than 24 inches. • •

The substance of Petitioner's statement is as follows:

1. The Petitioner respectfully requests the modification of the application of the mandatory safety standard 30 CFR 75.1710-1(a) with respect to the subject mines for reason that the application of such standard will result in a reduction of safety to the miners.

2. The Petitioner avers that technology does not presently exist to enable it to equip its self-propelled electric face equipment with suitable canopies to protect and provide for the safety of the operators of said equipment. The Petitioner further avers that based upon its recent experience with presently available canopies, the use of these canopies results in a reduction of safety to the miners in the above-named mines. The Petitioner's experience indicated the following:

a. The Petitioner operates two mines in the Nos. 2 and 3 Elkhorn Coal Seams averaging 27 to 31 inches in height and at present is operating mining equipment averaging 30 to 31 inches in height. The above-named equipment is the lowest available at the present for seams of this height.

b. While canopies of the type specified had the necessary height clearance in some instances under normal mining conditions, the necessary clearance diminished to zero when rolls or frequently adverse conditions were encountered.

c. When operating with the available canopies, the operator's vision is severely impaired to the point that operation of the equipment becomes hazardous to the operator and all other persons in the working area.

d. Due to the combination of the severely limited vision and close confinement in the cab, appendages of the operator's body, such as his head and limbs, hang out in such manner that they are in jeopardy of being crushed between the equipment and coal rib.

e. Ingress to and egress from the cab is so limited that the operator is held captive and cannot escape when the action of the roof clearly would warrant

such retreat.

f. Because of close confinement in the cab and severely limited ingress to and egress from the canopies, it is felt that the operator will attempt to control the equipment from outside the protection of the canopies and in doing so create the hazard of being crushed between the equipment and the rib.

g. In case of machine malfunction, cable damage, or power failure of any kind, or in the event of a machine fire, the operators of the equipment may be held captive by the canopy for an indefinite period depending on the cir-

cumstances.

h. The operators of this type of equipment are at all times under fully supported roof provided by an approved roof control plan. Such roof support is deemed satisfactory for all other personnel in the mine including the helpers on self-propelled electric face equipment. The helpers and other supporting personnel freely move around adjacent to the equipment under the protection of the proper roof support. Hence, the addition of canopies of the type presently available, rather than providing additional safety for the operators, introduces an instrument capable of inflicting serious bodily harm or death.

i. Due to rolls and adverse conditions, the canopies were constantly striking the roof bolts and were shearing the bolts or destroying the torque of the roof bolts on the section, thus reducing their efficiency and exposing all employees to the hazard of a roof fall from damaged sup-

port

3. The Petitioner only recovers 60 to 65 percent of the recoverable coal leaving the balance for support. No second mining or pillar retraction is practiced at any of the above-named mines.

4. The Petitioner avers that with respect to low-ceiling mines, the use of currently available canopies with mobile electric face equipment severely dimin-

ishes rather than increases the overall safety of the miners.

Request for Hearing or Comments .-Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS. Director. Office of Hearings and Appeals. MARCH 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8753 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. M76-134]

SOUTHERN APPALACHIAN COAL COMPANY

Petition for Modification of Application of **Mandatory Safety Standard**

Notice is hereby given that in accordance with the provisions of section 301(c) of the Federal Coal Mine Health and Safety Act of 1969, 30 U.S.C. § 861(c) (1970), Southern Appalachian Coal Company has filed a petition to modify the application of 30 CFR 75.1710 to its following mines:

Mine	Location
Bull Creek No. 1 Mine	Boone County, W. Va.
Bull Creek No. 2 Mine	Do.
Bull Creek No. 3 Mine	Do.
Bull Creek No. 4 Mine	Do.
Lens Creek No. 1 Mine	Kanawha County, W. Va.
Cedar Grove No. 1 Mine	Boone County, W. Va.
Freeport No. 1 Mine	Do.
Freeport No. 2 Mine	Do.

30 CFR 75.1710 provides:

An authorized representative of the Secretary may require in any coal mine where the height of the coalbed permits that electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, be provided with substantially constructed canoples, or cabs, to protect the miners operating such equipment from roof falls and from rib and face rolls.

To be read in conjunction with Section 75.1710 is 30 CFR 75.1710-1 which in pertinent part provides:

* * * Except as provided in paragraph (f) of this section, all self-propelled electric face equipment, including shuttle cars, which is employed in the active workings of each underground coal mine on and after January 1, 1973, shall, in accordance with the schedule of time specified in subparagraphs (1), (2), (3), (4), (5), and (6) of this paragraph (a), be equipped with substantially constructed canopies or cabs, located and installed in such a manner that when the operator is at the operating controls of such equipment he shall be protected from falls of roof, face, or rib, or from rib and face rolls. The requirements of this paragraph (a) shall be met as follows:

- (1) On and after January 1, 1974, in coal mines having mining heights of 72 inches or more;
 - (2) On and after July 1, 1974, in coal

mines having mining heights of 60 inches or more, but less than 72 inches:

On and after January 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 48 inches or more, but less than 60 inches;

(4) On and after July 1, 1975, in coal mines having mining heights of 36 inches or more, but less than 48 inches;

(5) On and after January 1, 1976, in coal mines having mining heights of 24 inches or ore, but less than 36 inches, and
(6) On and after July 1, 1976, in coal

having mining heights of less than 24 inches. * *

The substance of Petitioner's statement is as follows:

1. Petitioner respectfully requests the modification of the application of the mandatory safety standard 30 CFR 75-1710-1(a) with respect to the subject mines for the reason that the application of such standard will result in a diminution of safety to the miners.

2. Petitioner asserts that technology does not presently exist to enable it to equip its self-propelled electric face equipment with suitable canopies to protect and provide for the safety of the op-

erators.

3. The average mining heights for the subject mines and the average four (4) inch decrease in that height as a result of installation of supplemental supports and wedges in accordance with approved roof support plans is as follows:

Average mining height without supplemental supports (inches)	Average mining height with supplemental supports (inches)	
42	38	
36	32	
	36	
	36	
	26	
	24-28	
	38-56	
42-60	38-56	
	height without supplemental supports (inches) 42 36 40 40 30 28-32 42-60	

These coal seams also undulate and roll resulting in ascending and descending grades that further limit and prevent the effective use of cabs or canopies.

4. Operators of face equipment, including shuttle care operators, are under permanently and/or temporarily supported roof at all times. Such roof support is deemed satisfactory for all other personnel in the mines including the helpers on self-propelled electric face equipment and these helpers and other personnel freely move about the mines under the protection of MESA approved roof support.

5. Petitioner's experience indicates the application of the mandatory standard will result in a diminution of safety to miners for the following reasons:

(a) Several instances have occurred where canopies became wedged against the roof. In one instance a roof bolt plate caught on a canopy and the result was a back injury to the operator of a machine.

(b) Employees strongly object to operating machinery so equipped and they allege a diminution of safety results from impaired vision and being required to operate in cramped positions. The impaired vision and cramped positions cause the following hazards and unsafe practices:

(1) Miners attempt to operate a machine while standing between it and the rib, thus incurring a risk of being crushed should the machine slue.

(2) The combination of impaired vision and cramped positions cause an operator to expose his body and appendages, such as head and feet, to the risk of being crushed between the machine and rib.

(3) Ingress and egress from the cab is limited, which effectively prevents quick escape when mining conditions warrant

such escape.

(4) Impaired vision is given by machine operators as a major cause for the damaging or severing of power cables by running over them.

(5) Impaired vision subjects the operator and fellow employees to increased risks of injury because the operator cannot adequately see other employees and/or equipment.

6. At present, Petitioner is unaware of any proposed commercially manufac-tured canopy which could be installed which would provide the same degree of safety to miners as the complete removal

of the canopy would provide.

7. Hence, the alternative method Petitioner proposes to establish, in lieu of the mandatory standard, is the elimination of canopies on its face machinery. including shuttle cars, until such time as technology establishes beyond doubt that canopies can be safely used in Petitioner's mines.

Request for Hearing or Comments. Persons interested in this petition may request a hearing on the petition or furnish comments within 30 days from the date of publication of this notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER. Such requests or comments must be filed with the Office of Hearings and Appeals, Hearings Division, U.S. Department of the Interior, 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Arlington, Virginia 22203. Copies of the petition are available for inspection at that address.

JAMES R. RICHARDS. Director. Office of Hearings and Appeals. March 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8754 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE ON ENHANCED RECOVERY TECHNIQUES FOR OIL AND GAS IN THE UNITED STATES

Meeting

Notice is hereby given for the following meeting: The Economic Conditions Task Group of the National Petroleum Council's Committee on Enhanced Recovery Techniques for Oil and Gas in the United States will meet on Tuesday, April 13, 1976, at 9:00 a.m., in Room 6 on the third floor, at the offices of Standard Oil Company (Indiana), 200 East Randolph Drive, Chicago, Illinois.

The agenda includes the following items for discussion:

- 1. Discuss extended schedule for completion of study.
- 2. Review individual submissions on economic and policy parameters.

the Technology Task Group.

4. Discuss any other matters pertinent to the overall assignment of the Task

Group.

The purpose of the National Petroleum Council is to provide to the Secretary of the Interior, upon request, advice, information, and recommendations upon any matter relating to petroleum or the petroleum industry.

The meeting will be open to the public to the extent that space and facilities permit. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council either before or after the meeting. Interested persons who wish to speak at the meeting must apply to the Council and obtain approval in accordance with its established procedures.

Further information about the meeting may be obtained from Ben Tafoya, Office of the Assistance Secretary-Energy and Minerals, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. (telephone:

Dated: March 24, 1976.

WILLIAM L. FISHER. Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.76-8825 Filed 3-26-75;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE ON ENHANCED RECOVERY TECHNIQUES FOR OIL AND GAS IN THE UNITED STATES

Meeting

Notice is hereby given for the following meeting: The Coordinating Subcommittee of the National Petroleum Council's Committee on Enhanced Recovery Techniques for Oil and Gas in the United States will meet on Tuesday, April 20, 1976, at 9:00 a.m., in the Skylab Room of the Airport-Marina Hotel, Dallas-Fort Worth Airport, Dallas, Texas.

The agenda includes the following

items for discussion:

1. Review and discuss progress reports Technology Task Group and Eco-

nomic Conditions Task Group.

2. Review areas of public policy affecting enhanced recovery projects and determine manner of analyzing these policies in the study.

3. Review and discuss overall timetable for study effort.

4. Discuss any other matters pertinent to overall assignment of the Coordinating Subcommittee.

The purpose of the National Petro-leum Council is to provide to the Secretary of the Interior, upon request, advice, information, and recommendations upon any matter relating to petroleum or the

petroleum industry. The meeting will be open to the public to the extent that space and facilities permit. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council either before or after the meeting. Interested persons who wish to speak at the meeting must apply to the Council and

obtain approval in accordance with its established procedures.

Further information about the meet-

3. Review development of cost data for ing may be obtained from Ben Tafoya, Office of the Assistant Secretary—Energy and Minerals, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. (telephone: 343-6226).

Dated: March 24, 1976.

WILLIAM L. FISHER. Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc. 76-8826 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

COMMITTEE ON ENHANCED RECOVERY TECHNIQUES FOR OIL AND GAS IN THE UNITED STATES

Meeting

Notice is hereby given for the following meeting: The Technology Task Group of the National Petroleum Council's Committee on Enhanced Recovery Techniques for Oil and Gas in the United States will meet on Wednesday and Thursday, April 21-22, 1976, starting at 9:00 a.m., in Room 619 of the Atlantic Richfield Company offices, 408 Bullington Street, Dallas, Texas.

The agenda includes the following

items for discussion:

1. Discuss decisions reached by the Coordinating Subcommittee at its April 20. 1976, meeting.

2. Review submissions on reservoirs passing screening criteria and initial

projections.

3. Discuss methodology for analyzing non-economic and cost uncertainties.

4. Discuss future assignments.

5. Discuss any other matters tinent to the overall assignment of the Task Group.

The purpose of the National Petroleum Council is to provide to the Secretary of the Interior, upon request, advice, information, and recommendations upon any matter relating to petroleum or the petroleum industry.

The meeting will be open to the public to the extent that space and facilities permit. Any member of the public may file a written statement with the Council either before or after the meeting. Interested persons who wish to speak at the meeting must apply to the Council and obtain approval in accordance with its established procedures.

Further information about the meeting may be obtained from Ben Tafoya, Office of the Assistant Secretary-Energy and Minerals, Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C. (telephone: 343-6226).

Dated: March 24, 1976.

WILLIAM L. FISHER, Assistant Secretary of the Interior.

[FR Doc.76-8827 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE Office of the Secretary

PRIVACY ACT OF 1974 Systems of Records

ruary 27, 1976, the identification symbol for the system of records entitled "Uniform Allowance System, USDA/OMF" is corrected by changing "USDA/OMF-4" to "USDA/OMF-6".

Dated: March 23, 1976.

EARL L. BUTZ, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8822 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Bureau of the Census

CENSUS ADVISORY COMMITTEE OF THE AMERICAN MARKETING ASSOCIATION

Public Meeting

The Census Advisory Committee of the American Marketing Association will convene on May 4, 1976 at 9:15 a.m. The Committee will meet in Room 2424. Federal Building 3, at the Bureau of the Census in Suitland, Maryland.

The Census Advisory Committee of the American Marketing Association was established in 1946 to advise the Director, Bureau of the Census, regarding the statistics that will help in marketing the Nation's products and services and on ways to make the statistics the most useful to users.

The Committee is composed of 15 members appointed by the President of the American Marketing Association.

The agenda for the meeting is: (1) Topics of current interest at the Bureau of the Census; (2) year 2000 planning program; (3) status of 1980 Census planning; (4) Census Bureau's use of data sponsored by other organiza-tions; (5) 1977 economic census planning-general plans, feasibility studies and record-keeping practices survey, and user preferences for subcity data; and (6) improved business surveys programstatus and schedule of sample revision, and evaluation of early retail sales.

The meeting will be open to the public and a brief period will be set aside for public comment and questions. Extensive questions or statements must be submitted in writing to the Committee Control Officer at least 3 days prior to the meet-

ing. Persons wishing to submit questions or statements, planning to attend the meeting, or wishing additional information should contact Mr. John R. Wikoff, Chief, Business Division, Bureau of the Census, Room 2633, Federal Building 3, Suitland, Maryland. (Mail address: Washington, D.C. 20233). Telephone (301) 763-7561.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

VINCENT P. BARABBA. Director, Bureau of the Census. [FR Doc.76-8817 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ADVISORY COMMITTEE FOR INTERNATIONAL LEGAL METROLOGY

Notice of Renewal

In accordance with the provisions of In FR Doc. 75-28664, appearing at the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 page 8519 in the Federal Register of Feb- U.S.C. App. I and Office of Management and Budget Circular A-63 of March 1974, and after consultation with OMB, the Secretary of Commerce has determined that the renewal of the Advisory Committee for International Legal Metrology is in the public interest in connection with the performance of duties imposed on the Department by law.

The Committee was first established in March 1974, and it was to terminate on March 20, 1976. Its purpose was to advise the Department through the Director, National Bureau of Standards (NBS), on technical and policy matters relating to NBS's assigned general responsibility for the development of U.S. positions on technical issues arising in the International Organization of Legal Metrology (OIML). This objective was achieved. Its advice and recommendations-all of which were accepted and implemented by NBS-have meaningfully contributed to the Administration's program for increased export trade by ensuring that International Recommendations proposed by OIML do not contain restrictive technical requirements which act as non-tariff trade barriers. Such efforts by the Committee to date have prevented damage to the U.S. export market in liquid measurement instrumentation whose current export volume is \$25 million annually. Further, technical concessions achieved from OIML to revise existing International Recommendations posing problems to U.S. manufacturers and exporters will open future expansion possibilities in a current \$38 million export market in commercial and industrial weighing machines, pressure measurement instrumentation and in certain medical instrumentation.

In renewing the Committee, the Secretary has not changed its objective. The trade implications of OIML and the ambitious work program envisioned by the Organization mandate continued active participation by the U.S. and the continued need for timely action by government and industry to assess the trade impact of proposed OIML actions. The Committee is uniquely suited to assist NBS in this task and its function cannot be accomplished by any organizational element or other committee of the Department.

As initially established (39 FR 6136 2-19-74) the Committee will continue with a balanced representation of approximately 20 members, chaired by the United States representative to the International Organization of Legal Metrology (OIML). Membership on the Committee is balanced through representation from three major interest groups: (1) Federal agencies which use and/or regulate measurement instruments in commerce, or in the field of public health and safety; (2) State and local weights and measures jurisdictions which establish metrological requirements for instruments and which regulate the accuracy of these instruments in intrastate commerce; and, (3) Industrade associations, professional metrologists and private standards bodies which manufacture, use and/or write private voluntary standards incorporat-

ing, or referencing, measurement devices or methods. Additionally, Committee membership is open at any time to any interest group who demonstrates a desire to participate.

The Committee will function solely as an advisory body, and in compliance with the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act

visory Committee Act.

Copies of the Committee's revised charter will be filed with appropriate committees of the Congress and with the Library of Congress.

Inquiries or comments may be addressed to the Committee Control Officer, Mr. David Edgerly, Office of International Standards, Building 101, Room A413, National Bureau of Standards, U.S. Department of Commerce, Washington, D.C. 20234, telephone: 301–921–3662.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

JOSEPH E. KASPUTYS,
Assistant Secretary for
Administration.

[FR Doc.76-8824 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF HOUSING AND URBAN DEVELOPMENT

Federal Disaster Assistance Administration
[Docket No. NFD-318; FDAA-494-DR]

NEW YORK

Major Disaster and Related Determinations

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by the President under Executive Order 11795 of July 11, 1974, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D-74-285; and by virtue of the Act of May 22, 1974, entitled "Disaster Relief Act of 1974" (88 Stat. 143); notice is hereby given that on March 19, 1976, the President declared a major disaster as follows:

I have determined that the damage in certain areas of the State of New York resulting from an ice storm and subsequent severe storms and flooding beginning about March 2, 1976, is of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant a major disaster declaration under Public Law 93–288. I therefore declare that such a major disaster exists in the State of New York.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under Executive Order 11795, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D-74-285, I hereby appoint Mr. Thomas R. Casey, HUD Region II, to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared major disaster.

I do hereby determine the following areas of the State of New York to have been adversely affected by this declared major disaster:

The Counties of:

Chautauqua Erie Genesee Livingston Monroe Wyoming (Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 14.701, Disaster Assistance)

Dated: March 19, 1976.

THOMAS P. DUNNE, Administrator, Federal Disaster Assistance Administration.

[FR Doc.76-8815 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. NFD-319; FDAA-495-DR]

MICHIGAN

Major Disaster and Related Determinations

Pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development by the President under Executive Order 11795 of July 11, 1974, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Authority, Docket No. D-74-285; and by virtue of the Act of May 22, 1974, entitled "Disaster Relief Act of 1974" (88 Stat. 143); notice is hereby given that on March 19, 1976, the President declared a major disaster as follows:

I have determined that the damage in certain areas of the State of Michigan resulting from severe storms, icing, high winds, and flooding beginning about March 2, 1976, is of sufficient severity and magnitude to warrant a major disaster declaration under Public Law 93–288. I therefore declare that such a major disaster exists in the State of Michigan.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to the authority vested in the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development under Executive Order 11795, and delegated to me by the Secretary under Department of Housing and Urban Development Delegation of Autohrity, Docket No. D-74-285, I hereby appoint Mr. Robert E. Conners, HUD Region V, to act as the Federal Coordinating Officer for this declared major disaster.

I do hereby determine the following areas of the State of Michigan to have been adversely affected by this declared major disaster:

The Counties of:

Allegan Montcalm Bay Muskegon Clare Newaygo Clinton Oakland Genesee Oceana Osceola Gratiot Ottawa Roscommon Ionia. Isabella Saginaw Jackson St. Clair Kent Lapeer Macomb Shiawassee Tuscola Mecosta Wayne Midland

(Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance No. 14.701, Disaster Assistance.)

Dated: March 19, 1976.

THOMAS P. DUNNE,
Administrator, Federal Disaster
Assistance Administration.

[FR Doc.76-8816 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ASSISTANT SECRETARY FOR CONSUMER AFFAIRS AND REGULATORY FUNCTIONS

[Docket No. D-76-412]

Delegation of Authority

The Assistant Secretary for Consumer Affairs and Regulatory Functions is authorized to exercise the power and authority of the Secretary of Housing and Urban Development with respect to the administration of the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-533 as amended.)

(Sec. 7(d) of the Department of HUD Act, 42 U.S.C. 3535(d))

Effective date: This delegation is effective March 22, 1976.

> JOHN B. RHINELANDER. Acting Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development.

[FR Doc.76-8624 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

RADIO TECHNICAL COMMISSION FOR AERONAUTICS; SPECIAL COMMITTEE 129—FUTURE CIVIL AVIATION FRE-QUENCY SPECTRUM REQUIREMENTS

Meeting

Notice is hereby given of a meeting of the Radio Technical Commission for Aeronautics (RTCA) Special Committee 129, which is being utilized as an Advisory Committee within the meaning of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, 5 U.S.C. Appendix I. It will be held April 29-30, 1976, in Conference Room 8210, Federal Communications Commission, 2025 M Street, NW., Washington, 20554, commencing at 9:30 a.m. Agenda items include-

1. Discuss FCC Notice of Inquiry;

2. Report on CCIR activities:

3. Review Draft Final Report: and

4. Future activities of SC-129.

Meetings of the RTCA Special Committee 129 are open to the public, subject to space limitations. The public may submit written statements to and obtain additional information from the RTCA Secretariat, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. 20006; (202) 296-0484. Oral statements may be presented at the meeting, subject to time being available.

Issued in Washington on March 22, 1976.

> EDGAR A. POST. Designated Officer.

[FR Doc.76-8765 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

Office of the Secretary

ENERGY POLICY AND CONSERVATION ACT

Interim Delegation of Functions

The purpose of this notice is to delegate to the Assistant Secretary for Systems Development and Technology those functions vested in the Secretary of

Transportation by section 502(d)(1) of the Motor Vehicle Information and Cost Savings Act (14 U.S.C. 2002(d)(1)), as added by the Energy Policy and Con-servation Act (December 22, 1975, Pub. L. 94-163), which relates to specifying the information to be contained in applications for modifications of fuel economy standards for model year 1978, 1979,

Since this delegation relates to Departmental management procedures and practices. notice and public procedure thereon are unnecessary and it may be made effective in fewer than thirty days after publication in the FEDERAL REGIS-TER.

Effective date: This delegation is effective March 29, 1976.

(See. 9(e), Department of Transportation Act, 49 U.S.C. 1657(e.)

Issued in Washington, D.C., on March 23, 1976.

WILLIAM T. COLEMAN, Jr., Secretary of Transportation.

[FR Doc.76-8784 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

CIVIL AERONAUTICS BOARD

[Docket No. 27124; Order 76-3-71]

CONTINENTAL AIR LINES, INC.

Order Dismissing Application and Order To Show Cause Regarding Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity

In FR Doc. 76-7505 appearing in the issue of Tuesday, March 16, 1976 on page 11069 make the following change:

On page 11073, the second line of footnote 26 should have read; "Tulsa-Denver and Houston - Wichita - Tulsa - Denver would also be technically per-".

[Order 76-3-147, Dockets 29034, 29035]

ALASKA AIRLINES, INC. ET AL. **Order Instituting Investigations**

Adopted by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on the 23rd day of March. 1976.

By this order, the Board is instituting investigations to determine whether revised subsidy mail rates should be established for Alaska Airlines, Inc., and Wien Air Alaska, Inc. This order also reopens as of March 23, 1976, the final subsidy rates presently in effect for these carriers. To assist the Board in this investigation, Alaska and Wien are directed to supply

the information specified in the Attachment 1 to this order within 45 days from the date of service.

On April 29, 1968, the Board established the currently effective final subsidy mail rate for Alaska which was designed to provide the carrier, effective January 1, 1971, a total of \$2.2 million of subsidy annually for operations over its entire system.² Wien's currently effective final subsidy rate was established by the Board on May 14, 1968, and was

¹ Attachment flied as part of the original document.

Alaska Airlines, Subsidy Mail Rates, 48 C.A.B. 811 (1968).

intended to provide the carrier \$2.1 million of subsidy for annual periods commencing April 1, 1969, for operations over its entire system.3

The Board has made a study of the earnings and related data of Alaska and Wien in view of the length of time that has elapsed since the current rates were set, and in the light of our continuing responsibility to review outstanding subsidy rates in order to guard against their becoming unfair and unreasonable, and our obligation to institute an investigation looking towards decrease of such rates if they should become excessive.

We have determined that, unless agreements are reached as to new subsidy rates at the informal conference stage, or unless the Board's analysis of data submitted by the carriers shows that there remain to be resolved no issues of material fact. evidentiary hearings should be undertaken, pursuant to Rule 309, to examine any unresolved issues affecting the subsidy needs and entitlements of the two Alaskan carriers. In such hearings all interested persons-State and civic interests, as well as carrier parties-will be afforded an opportunity, pursuant to our Rules of Practice, to place before the Board relevant facts and arguments bearing on the Board's ultimate determinations in these investigations. In this connection, we recognize as factors requiring careful consideration, the unique importance of air transportation to Alaska, the uncertainties as to future levels of economic activity in Alaska, and the unpredictable economic impact of the completion of the pipeline.

We are confronted with our responsibilities in dispensing the taxpayers' money in the form of air transportation subsidy payments as well as our statutory duty to encourage and foster the development of an air transportation system adapted to the present and future needs the Alaskan "bush" communities. Thus, until we are able to analyze the results of the forthcoming investigation, we are not prepared to risk disruption nor to impose undue financial constraints on the present level of service. Accordingly, we will not terminate subsidy payments at this time but we will require repayment of any subsidy paid on or after the reopening date specified in this order, or such later date as may be determined in the course of the proceeding, which is found after investigation to be excessive.

³ The final subsidy rate was established for Northern Consolidated Airlines, Inc., which through a series of mergers and name changes has become Wien Air Alaska, Inc. Northern Consolidated Airlines, Subsidy Mail Rates, 48 C.A.B. 817 (1968)

We note too in this regard that the Board recently adopted a Resolution recognizing that "because of its physical separation from the 48 contiguous States, its vast area, sparse population, and ilmited means of surface transportation, the State of Aiaska has a much greater dependence upon air transportation than is ordinarily the case." The Board further acknowledged that "air transportation to and within Aiaska presents special and unusual problems which affect the air transportation system." Minutes, Civil Aeronautics Board, November 11, 1975.

The Board finds that Alaska's currently effective subsidy mail rate may have become excessive, the carrier having reported overall corporate rates of return on investment of 29.7 percent and 26.9 percent for the years ended June 30, 1974, and June 30, 1975, respectively. Wien's currently effective subsidy mail rate may also have become excessive, the carrier having reported overall corporate rates of return on investment of 10.7 percent and 16.1 percent for the years ended June 30, 1974, and June 30, 1975, respectively.

Although these rates of return do not by themselves constitute conclusive evidence as to the continued subsidy requirements of the two carriers, they are sufficient cause to institute investigations and to assemble information which will enable the Board to determine the rea-

sonableness of the rates.

In view of the marked financial improvement in the system operations of Alaska and Wien, it is in the public interest and necessary and proper to carry out the provisions of the Federal Aviation Act and to exercise and perform the Board's powers and duties under the Act, particularly sections 102, 204, 406, and 1002(b) thereof, to institute investigations for the purpose of determining whether new final subsidy mail rates should be established for these carriers.

Accordingly, it is ordered that: 1. Investigations be, and they hereby are, instituted reopening as of March 23, 1976, the currently effective final subsidy mail rates for the operations of Alaska Airlines, Inc., and Wien Air Alaska, Inc., over their entire systems for the purpose of determining the fair and reasonable final rates of compensation for the transportation of mail by aircraft, the facilities used and useful therefor, and the services connected therewith, to be paid to said carrier or carriers for the period from and after March 23, 1976.

2. Alaska Airlines, Inc., and Wien Air Alaska, Inc., are directed to file with the Board, in affidavit form, within 45 days after service of this order, the information specified in the Attachment hereto.

Alaska achieved adjusted rates of return, before subsidy, of approximacly 18.1 percent for the year ended June 30, 1974, 28.5 per-cent for the year ended June 30, 1975, 32.2 percent for the year ended September 30, 1975, and 26.3 percent for calendar year 1975. Wien recorded adjusted returns on investment for the same periods, before subsidy but after taxes, of 6.2 percent, 11.5 percent, 14.2 percent, and 13.5 percent respec-tively. These rates are based on five-quarter average investment. However, use of investment as of December 31, 1975—reflecting sizable investment increases during the last half of 1975 for both carriers—and preliminary reported profits for calendar 1975 produces a rate of return of 17.8 percent for and one of Alaska (before subsidy or tax) 9.1 percent for Wien (before subsidy, after tax). It is noted that, while Wien has a curtax liability, Alaska has none.

This order is not intended to disturb the service mail rates established under other

orders of the Board.

3. This order shall be served upon Alaska Airlines, Inc., Wien Air Alaska, Inc., and the Postmaster General.

This order will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR. Acting Secretary.

[Order 76-3-155, Dockets 28194; 28569]

EASTERN AIR LINES, INC. ET AL. **Application**

Adoption by the Civil Aeronautics Board at its office in Washington, D.C. on

the 24th day of March, 1976. On August 19, 1975, Eastern Air Lines and Piedmont Aviation filed a joint application requesting that the Board approve, on an expedited basis, an agreement entered into by the two carriers to exchange route authority. Under the terms of the agreement, Eastern's nonstop authority in the Chicago-Louisville market' would be transferred to Piedmont in exchange for Piedmont's nonstop authority (Route 87) in the Atlanta-Columbia market. Eastern agrees to accept the transferred authority subject to Piedmont's existing restriction which provides that the holder must serve a point beyond Atlanta or Columbia on all flights operated over the segment. The carriers state that the agreement consists solely of an exchange of route authority and that either party may terminate the agreement if approval has not been granted by October 31, 1976.

Answers in support of the joint application have been filed by the Louisiville and Columbia Parties. Petitions to intervene have been filed by the City and Chamber of Commerce of Atlanta, Delta Air Lines, the Master Executive Counsel of Eastern Pilots, and the Air Line Pilots

Association, International.

On December 3, 1975, the Augusta Parties 4 filed an application for an amendment to one of the certificates issued to Eastern so as to authorize the carrier to provide nonstop service between Augusta and Atlanta. Concurrently, the Augusta Parties moved to have their application consolidated with Docket 28194. In support of their motion, the Augusta Parties allege, inter alia, that if the Eastern-Piedmont route ex-

change agremeent is approved. Eastern would acquire Piedmont's nonstop authority in the Atlanta-Columbia market while Piedmont would retain its one-stop authority between Atlanta and Columbia which is currently operated via Augusta; that because Piedmont will lose its nonstop authority between Atlanta and Columbia, it is possible that Piedmont will find that its ability to provide service in the Augusta-Atlanta market will be impaired; and that the Board can protect the Augusta Parties from such a situation by consolidating its application with Docket 28194.

Answers in opposition to the Augusta Parties' motion to consolidate have been filed by Eastern and Piedmont. In support of their answers, it is generally alleged, inter alia, that the Atlanta-Augusta market is not in issue in this case; that the grant of the Augusta Parties' consolidation would unduly complicate and unnecessarily lengthen the proceeding; that the Board has consistenly refused to expand route transfer cases by consolidating applications of this type into such proceedings; and that the consolidation request should be denied because it is based on the pure speculation that Piedmont may reduce its service between Augusta and Atlanta should the route transfer be approved.

Subsequently, the Augusta Parties filed a motion for leave to file an otherwise unauthorized document and a reply to the answers of Eastern and Piedmont.

Upon consideration of the pleadings and all the relevant facts, the Board has decided to set the joint application for immediate hearing for the purpose of considering whether the proposed route exchange is in the public interest. The proposed exchange appears to be generally consistent with the guidelines for route transfers set forth in the American-Frontier Route Exchange Agree-ment proceeding, Order 75-8-94, August 18, 1975.

We have also decided to deny the Augusta Parties' motion to consolidate their application (Docket 28569) with the proceeding instituted herein. Clearly, the grant of their request would inject issues outside the scope of the present agreement, and delay disposition of the joint request. Such action would not be conducive to the proper dispatch of the Board's business and is not otherwise warranted by the public interest. The instant proceeding involves the transfer of Piedmont's Atlanta-Columbia nonstop authority. It does not involve onestop services via Augusta. In this regard. the Augusta-Atlanta market exchange only 25,090 O&D passengers for the year ended March 31, 1975. At the present time, this market is served by Delta

mission.

The City and Chamber of Commerce of Augusta, Georgia and the Aviation Department of Augusta, Georgia. In the alternative, the Augusta Parties

"We will grant the Augusta Parties"

motion.

¹ Eastern's segments 1, 2, 4, and 5 for Route 10.

² The Louisville and Jefferson County Air Board. The Richland-Lexington Airport Com-

request the issuance of an amended certificate to Eastern, subject to a finding pursuant to Part 205 of the Board's Economic Regulations, that exercise of the authority granted to Eastern should be suspended until such time as Piedmont decreases its service in the Augusta-Atlanta market below the level of service presently provided by that carrier.

The Augusta Parties state that this ap proach is the most efficacious because it would allow the Board the procedural flexibility to protect the Augusta Parties at the same time it holds the hearing for the route exchange.

(nine daily round trips) and Piedmont (two daily round trips). Thus, considering Delta's present service and the historic traffic in the Augusta-Atlanta market, we do not believe that the approval of the route exchange would seriously affect this market even assuming, arguendo, that the Augusta Parties' fears came to fruition. In any event, the Augusta Parties remain free to present any relevant evidence which it deems appropriate during the hearing which has a bearing on whether the proposed route

exchange is consistent with the public interest.*

Finally, Eastern and Piedmont have not submitted sufficient information for us to determine the environmental con-

sequences of their joint application at this time. Therefore, we will require these carriers to file the information set forth in Part 312 of the Board's Procedural Regulations. We will allow Eastern and Piedmont 30 days from the date of adoption of this order to file their

environmental evaluations.

Accordingly, it is ordered that:

1. The application of Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Piedmont Aviation, Inc., Docket 28194, be and it hereby is set down for hearing before an Administrative Law Judge of the Board at a time and place hereafter designated, as the orderly administration of the Board's

docket permits;
2. The petitions of the City and Chamber of Commerce of Atlanta, Delta Air Lines, Inc., the Master Executive Council of Eastern Pilots, and the Air Line Pilots Association, International, for leave to intervene, be and they hereby

are granted;
3. The motion of the Augusta Parties to consolidate their application (Docket 28569) with the proceeding instituted in paragraph 1 above, be and it hereby is denied.

4. The Augusta Parties be and they hereby are made parties to the proceeding set down in paragraph 1 above;

5. Eastern Air Lines, Inc., and Piedmont Aviation, Inc., shall file environmental evaluations pursuant to section 312.12 of the Board's Procedural Regulations within 30 days of the date of adoption of this order; and

6. The Augusta Parties' motion for leave to file an otherwise unauthorized document be and it hereby is granted.

This order will be published in the Federal Register.

By the Civil Aeronautics Board:

[SEAL] PHYLLIS T. KAYLOR,
Acting Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8811 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

^a This conclusion is further reinforced by the fact that when the 25,090 yearly passengers are divided into Delta's current level of service (nine daily round trips), the result equals only 7.6 passengers per round trip or 3.8 passengers per flight.

• We will make the Augusta Parties to this proceeding so that they may participate with respect to all matters in issue. [Docket 29000]

LAKE TAHOE SERVICE INVESTIGATION Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on May 18, 1976, at 10:00 a.m. (local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room A, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. before Administrative Law Judge Greer M. Murphy.

In order to facilitate the conduct of the conference, parties parties are instructed to submit one copy to each party and six copies to the Judge of (1) proposed statements of issues; (2) proposed stipulations; (3) requests for information; (4) statements of positions of parties; and (5) proposed procedural dates. The Bureau of Operating Rights will circulate its material on or before April 30, 1976, and the other parties on or before May 10, 1976. The submissions of the other parties shall be limited to points on which they differ with the Bureau of Operating Rights, and shall follow the numbering and lettering used by Bureau to facilitate crossreferencing.

Dated at Washington, D.C. March 23, 1976.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK, Chief Administrative Law Judge, [FR Doc.76-8808 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[Docket 29014]

SERVICE TO TRI-CITY CASE Prehearing Conference

Notice is hereby given that a prehearing conference in the above-entitled matter is assigned to be held on May 25, 1976, at 9:30 a.m. (local time), in Room 1003, Hearing Room B, Universal North Building, 1875 Connecticut Avenue, N.W., Washington D.C., before Administrative Law Judge Frank M. Whiting.

In order to facilitate the conduct of the conference, parties are instructed to submit one copy to each party and six copies to the Judge of (1) proposed statements of issues; (2) proposed stipulations; (3) requests for information; (4) statements of positions of parties; and (5) proposed procedural dates. The Bureau of Operating Rights will circulate its material on or before May 7, 1976, and the other parties on or before May 17, 1976. The submissions of the other parties shall be limited to points on which they differ with the Bureau of Operating Rights, and shall follow the numbering and lettering used by the Bureau to facilitate crossreferencing.

Dated at Washington, D.C. March 23, 1976.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. PARK, Chief, Administrative Law Judge. [FR Doc.76-8809 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAPERWORK

PUBLIC HEARINGS

Notice is hereby given of public hearings of the Commission on Federal Paperwork to be held in Atlanta, Georgia. The hearings will be held on April 13 and 14, 1976, in the Federal Executive Board Conference Room on the fifth floor of the Federal Building at 275 Peachtree.

The hearings will commence each day at 9:00 a.m. and end at 1:00 p.m. The Commission will receive comments about the impact of Federal paperwork upon small business, agribusiness, service and manufacturing industries, health services, transportation, fishing and communications.

Testimony presented at these hearings will be used by the Commission on Federal Paperwork in making recommendations to the Congress and the President on changes which would ease the burden of Federal paperwork.

Persons wishing further information about the hearings should contract the Commision on Federal Paperwork, located at 1111 20th Street, N.W., Suite 200, Washington, D.C. 20582, telephone (202) 254-6786.

FRANK HORTON, Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-8823 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

CONSUMER PRODUCT SAFETY COMMISSION

[CPSC Docket No. 76-1]

ATLAS BEDDING MANUFACTURING CORP., ET AL.

Prehearing Conference

A telephone prehearing conference for the purpose, *inter alia*, of defining the issues to be heard, providing for discovery of evidence and establishing the dates for the service of written testimony and exhibits and the time and place of hearing, will be held Friday, April 2, 1976 at 2:00 P.M. (EST), in Room 825-L of the Consumer Product Safety Commission headquarters building, 1750 K St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20207.

Memoranda addressed to the above items should be served on opposing Counsel and this office by the close of business Wednesday, March 31, 1976. This notice is given pursuant to the Consumer Product Safety Commission's proposed and interim Rules of Practice for Adjudicative Proceedings published on July 23, 1974 (39 FR 26848), which govern adjudicative proceedings in this matter. The conference will be by telephone. The parties to the Conference are:

Judge Paul N. Pfeiffer, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 825-L, 1750 K St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20207 (202) 634-7171.

Attorney for Respondents:

Harry H. Toltz, Esquire, 18 Tremont Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02108 (617) 227-7050. Attorneys for the Commission:

James Wood, Esquire, Claire Marcus, Esquire, Mana Jennings, Esquire, 5401 Westbard Ave., Bethesda, Maryland 20207 (301) 492-6629.

A Notice of Enforcement has been prepared by the Commission Staff, issued the Commission and served upon Atlas Bedding Manufacturing Corporation and Edward Bates, individually and as an officer of the corporation as required by the above-referenced rules. In the Notice of Enforcement the Staff alleges that Respondents manufactured, sold, and delivered into interstate commerce products which failed to conform to the standard for the Flammability of Mattresses, FF 4-72. In particular, it is alleged that from December 23, 1973 to February 22, 1974, Respondents failed to carry out required flammability tests on the mattresses, and from December 23, 1973 to February 22, 1974, failed to maintain required records pertaining to the manufacture, flammability testing and sale of the mattresses. It is alleged that this is in violation of the Federal Trade Commission Act, Flammable Fabrics Act, and the rules and regulations promulgated under the Flammable Fabrics Act and constitutes an unfair method of competition and an unfair and deceptive act within the intent and meaning of Section 5 of the Federal Trade Commission Act. The Staff has asked for an order requiring the Respondent to cease and desist from violating the Act. The Respondents have entered a general denial of the Staff's accusation and specifically deny that the mattresses in question are covered by the standard.

The docket in this matter is available in the Office of the Secretary of the Commission. Any person, other than the Respondents, who desires to become a party to the proceedings, to participate in the prehearing conference, or to testify at the hearing, may request to do so by writing to Paul N. Pfeiffer, Administrative Law Judge, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Room 825-L, Washington, D.C. 20207 or by telephoning (202) 634-7171 by close of business March 31, 1976.

Dated: March 23, 1976.

PAUL N. PFEIFFER, Administrative Law Judge.

[FR Doc.76-8813 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[FRL 511-7; PP5G1636/T39]

NOTICE OF ESTABLISHMENT OF A TEMPORARY TOLERANCE

4-Butyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole

Rohm and Haas Co., Independence Mall West, Philadelphia PA 19105, has submitted a pesticide petition (PP 5G1636) to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). This petition requests that temporary tolerances be established for combined residues of the fungicide (4-butyl-2H-1,2,4-triazole) in

or on the raw agricultural commodities wheat and wheat straw at 2 parts per million (ppm), and in milk, eggs, meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep at 0.5 ppm. Establishment of these temporary tolerances will permit the marketing of the above raw agricultural commodities treated in accordance with an experimental use permit which is being issued concurrently under the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act.

An evaluation of the scientific data reported has shown that the requested tolerances are adequate to cover residues resulting from the proposed experimental use, and it has been determined that the tolerances will protect the public health. The temporary tolerances are established for the fungicide, therefore, with the following provisions:

1. The total amount of the fungicide to be used must not exceed the quantity authorized by the experimental use permit.

2. Rohm and Haas Co. must immediately notify the EPA of any findings from the experimental use that have a bearing on safety. The company must also keep records of production, distribution, and performance and on request make the records available to any authorized officer or employee of the EPA or the Food and Drug Administration.

These temporary tolerances expire March 23, 1977. Residues not in excess of 2 ppm in or on wheat and wheat straw and 0.5 ppm in milk, eggs, meat, fat, and meat byproducts of cattle, goats, hogs, horses, poultry, and sheep remaining after this expiration date will not be considered to be actionable if the fungicide has been legally applied during the term of and in accordance with the provisions of the experimental use permit and temporary tolerances. These temporary tolerances may be revoked if the experimental use permit is revoked or if any scientific data or experience with this fungicide indicate such revocation is necessary to protect the public health.

(Sec. 408(j) of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 346a(j)))

Dated: March 23, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH, Jr., Director, Registration Division.

[FR Doc.76-8840 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[FRL 511-8; OPP-33000/381 and 382]

NOTICE OF RECEIPT OF APPLICATION FOR PESTICIDE REGISTRATION

Data To Be Considered In Support of Applications

On November 19, 1973, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) published in the Federal Register (39 FR 31862) its interim policy with respect to the administration of Section 3(c)(1) (D) of the Federal Insecticide, Fungicide, and Rodenticide Act (FIFRA), as amended ("Interim Policy Statement"). On January 22, 1976, EPA published in the Federal Register a document entitled "Registration of a Pesticide Product—Consideration of Data by the

Administrator in Support of an Application" [41 FR 3339]. This document described the changes in the Agency's procedures for implementing Section 3 (c) (1) (D) of FIFRA, as set out in the Interim Policy Statement, which were effectuated by the enactment of the recent amendments to FIFRA on November 28, 1975 [P.L. 94–140], and the new regulations governing the registration and reregistration of pesticides which became effective on August 4, 1975 [40 CFR Part 162].

Pursuant to the procedures set forth in these Federal Register documents, EPA hereby gives notice of the applications for pesticide registration listed below. In some cases these applications have recently been received; in other cases, applications have been amended by the submission of additional supporting data, the election of a new method of support, or the submission of new "offer to pay" statements.

In the case of all applications, the labeling furnished by the applicant for the product will be available for inspection at the Environmental Protection Agency, Room EB-31, East Tower, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington DC 20460. In the case of applications subject to the new Section 3 regulations, and applications not subject to the new Section 3 regulations which utilize either the 2(a) or 2(b) method of support specified in the Interim Policy Statement, all data citations submitted or referenced by the applicant in support of the application will be made available for inspection at the above address. This information (proposed labeling and, where appli-cable, data citations) will also be supplied by mail, upon request. However, such a request should be made only when circumstances make it inconvenient for the inspection to be made at the Agency

Any person who (a) is or has been an applicant, (b) believes that data he developed and submitted to EPA on or after January 1, 1970, is being used to support an application described in this notice, (c) desires to assert a claim under Section 3(c)(1)(D) for such use of his data, and (d) wishes to preserve his right to have the Administrator determine the amount of reasonable compensation to which he is entitled for such use of the data or the status of such data under Section 10 must notify the Administrator and the applicant named in the notice in the Federal Register of his claim by certified mail. Notification to the Administrator should be addressed to the Information Coordination Section, Technical Services Division (WH-569) Office of Pesticide Programs, 401 M Street, S.W., Washington DC 20460. Every such claimant must include, at a minimum, the information listed in the Interim Policy Statement of November 19, 1973.

The Interim Policy Statement requires that claims for compensation be filed within 60 days of publication of this notice. With the exception of 2(c) applications not subject to the new Section 3 regulations, and for which a sixty-day

hold period for claims is provided, EPA will not delay any registration pending the assertion of claims for compensation or the determination of reasonable compensation. Inquiries and assertions that data relied upon are subject to protection under Section 10 of FIFRA, as amended, should be made on or before April 28. 1976

Dated: March 23, 1976.

JOHN B. RITCH. Jr.. Director, Registration Division.

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED (OPP-33000/381)

EPA File Symbol 1029-RGG. Aidex Corp., 1024 N. 17th St., Omaha NE 68102, DU-BEX 2-3G. Active Ingredients: Chlorpyrifos [O, O-diethyl O-(3,5,6-trichloro-2-pyridyl) phosphorothicatel 2.32%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of

interim policy statement. PM12 EPA File Symbol 35908-R. Boyko Supply Co. 5805 S. Santa Fe Dr., Littleton CO 80120. TRIPLE-D. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% c16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 2.25%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 2.25%: Sodium Carbonate 3.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2 (b) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

PM31
EPA Reg. No. 851-5. Commercial & Industrial Products Co., 910 Hayes Ave., Childs PA 18407. CIPCO SANITOX. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 10%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interimentary EM21. policy. PM31

EPA File Symbol 1677-IN. Economics Labo-PA File Symbol 1677-IN. Economics Laboratory, Inc., Osborn Bldg., St. Paul MN 55102. SOILAX LAUNDRY BACTERIO-STATE-SANITIZER. Active Ingredients: Octyl decyl dimethyl ammonium chlorides 5.0%; Dioctyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 2.5%: Didecyl dimethyl ammonium chlo-2.5%; Isopropyl alcohol 4.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA Reg. No. 432-502, Penick & Co., Comm. Development Pesticides, 215 Watchung Ave., Orange NJ 07050. SBP-1382-40 MF. Watchung Active Ingredients: (5-Benzyl-3-furyl) methyl 2,2-dimethyl-3-(2 - methyl-procyclopropanecarboxylate 40.00%; Related compounds 5.45%; Aromatic petroleum hydrocarbons 52.95%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. PM17

EPA File Symbol 904-EGG. B. G. Pratt Division, Gabriel Chemicals, Ltd., Paterson NJ 07509. REPEL FOR CATS & DOGS. Active Ingredients: Methyl nonyl ketone 1.9%; Related compounds 0.1%, Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy statement. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM11

FMII

EPA File Symbol 793-RG. Safeway Stores, Inc., Brookside Div., PO Box 2125, Oakland CA 94621. NEWPORT LOW FOAMING CLEANER. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% Cl4, 30% Cl6, 5% Cl2, 5% Cl8) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%; n-Alkyl (68% Cl2, 32% Cl4) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 0.8%; Sodium Metasilicate 2.4%; Tetra-0.8%; Sodium Metasilicate 2.4%; Tetrasodium ethylendiamine tetraacetate 1.0%. Method of Support; Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy statement.

EPA Reg. No. 9172-2. Scott Chemical Co., Inc., Consumer Prod. Div., 203 W. Turbo, San Antonio TX 78216. MR. PINE DISIN-PECTANT CLEANER. Active Ingredients: Pine Oil 30.00%; Isopropanol 11.0%; Soap 10.00%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy statement. PM32

EPA File Symbol 9042-L. United Textile Chemicals Inc., 4800 Chicago Beach Dr., Suite 1507 N., Chicago IL 60615. BAC-14. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl SOFTM SOFTM 14. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyi (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 8.0%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) Dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 8.0%. Method of Support: Application pro-

8.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy. PM31
EPA File Symbol 9042-U. United Textile
Chemicals Inc., TEX-SOFT. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5%
C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 3.25%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 3.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy. PM31

PA File Symbol 9042-A. United Textile Chemicals, Inc., BAC-SOFTM 28. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 13.0%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 13.0%. Method of Supmonium chlorides 13.0%. port: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy. PM31

APPLICATIONS RECEIVED (OPP-33000/382)

EPA File Symbol 10807-LN. Aero Mist, Inc., 990 Industrial Park Dr., Marietta GA 30062. MISTY HOSPITAL DISINFECTANT DE-ODORANT. Active Ingredients: orthophenylphenol 0.177%; para-tertiary-amylphenol 0.045%; ethyl alcohol 61.348%; n-Alkyl (C18 92% C16 8%) N-ethyl morpholinium ethyl sulfate 0.075%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM32 EPA File Symbol 36999-RL. B & M Interna-

tional, Inc., PO Box 1116, Thibodaux LA 70301, MICRO-KILL LEMON FRAGRANCE SPRAY DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients; Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 0.25%; Essential oils 0.50%; Isopropanol 43.22%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA File Symbol 36999-RI. B & M Interna-tional Inc., MICRO-KILL MINT FRA-GRANCE SPRAY DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 43.22%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA File Symbol 36999-RT. B & M International, Inc., MICRO-KILL ORANGE FRA-GRANCE SPRAY DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 0.25%; Essential oils 0.50%; Isopropanol 43.22%. Method of Support: Application proceds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted, PM31.

EPA File Symbol 36999-RA. B & M International, Inc. MICRO-KILL BOUQUET AEROSOL REFRESHER & SURFACE SPRAY DISINFECTANT. Active Ingredi-ents: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 0.25%; Essential oils 0.50%; Isopropanol 43.22%. Method of Support: Application Proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA File Symbol 8436-L. Berman Chemical Co., 1316 Locust St., PO Box 567, Toledo OH 43693. #815 DISINFECTANT CLEANER. Active Ingredients: Didecyl dimethyl ammonium chloride 4.5%; tetrasodium ethylenediamine tetraacetate 2.0%; sodium carbonate 1.0%; Sodium metasilicate, anhydrous 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 36186-E. Carl Kaster Co. Chemical Div., 516 W. Main St., Louisville KY 40202. SODIUM HYPOCHLORITE SO-LUTION. Active Ingredients: Sodium Hypochlorite 12.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted, PM34

EPA File Symbol 9143-LL. Chemscope Corp., 1909 Hi-Line Dr., PO Box 10752, Dallas TX 75207. LEMON-KLEAN CLEAR DISINFEC-TANT. Active Ingredients: Methyldodecylbenzyl trimethyl ammonium chloride 4.0%; Methyldodecylxylyenebis (trimethyl ammonium chloride) 1.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA File Symbol 11601-E. Filters Interna-tional, Inc., 124 N. Buesching Rd., Lake Zurich IL 60047. TAKECHARGE BLUE. Active Ingredients: 1,3-Dibromo-5,5-di-methylhydantoin 3.33%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM34

EPA File Symbol 11601-G. Filters Interna-tional, Inc., 124 N. Buesching Rd., Lake Zurich IL 60047. TAKECHARGE ORANGE. Active Ingredients: 1,3-Dibromo-5,5-di-methylhydantoin 3.33%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy, Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM34

EPA Reg. No. 279-2876. FMC Corp., Agricultural Chemical Div., 100 Niagara St., Middleport NY 14105. FURADAN 4 FLOWABLE. Active Ingredients: Carbofuran 2,3-dihydro - 2,2 - dimethyl - 7 - benzofuranyl methylcarbamate. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Changed use pattern. PM12

EPA Reg. No. 777-53. Lehn & Fink Products Div., Sterling Drug Co., 225 Summit Ave., Montvale NJ 07645. LYSOL BRAND DIS-MONTVAIG NJ 07645. LYSOL BRAND DIS-INFECTANT SPRAY. Active Ingredients: o-Phenylphenol 0.1%; N-Alkyl (C18 92%, C16 8%)-n-ethyl morpholinium ethyl-sulfates 0.035%; Alcohol 79.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Formula change. PM32

change. PM32

EPA File Symbol 34777-R. Life Soap and Chemical Co., Inc., 712 W. 7th St., Sioux City IA 51103. LIFE SWIMMING POOL ALGAECIDE. Active Ingredients: Polyjoxyethylene (dimethyliminio) ethylene (dimethyliminio) ethylene dichloride] 60.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted.

ment submitted, PM34

EPA Reg. No. 2342-936. Kerr-McGee Chemical Corp., Kerr-McGee Center, Oklahoma City OK 93125. TOXAPHENE-PARATHION 4-1 EC. Active Ingredients: Toxaphene 41.67%: Parathion (O,O-diethyl O-p-nitrophenyl phosphorothioate) 10.42%; Xylene 42.75%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted.

EPA Reg. No. 3125-210. Mobay Chemical Corp., Chemagro Agricultural Div., PO Box

4913, Kansas City MO 64120. DYLOX 4 INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Di-methyl (2,2,2 - trichloro-1-hydroxyethyl) phosphonate 39%. Method of Support. Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim

policy. Republished: Added claims. PM16 EPA Reg. No. 524-311. Monsanto Co., 800 N. Lindbergh Ave., St. Louis MO 63166. PO-LARIS. Active Ingredients: Glyphosine N,N-bis (phosphonomethyl) glycine 85.0%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(a) of interim policy. Republished: New use & revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM25

EPA File Symbol 18035-RA. Private Label Chemicals, Inc., 2280 Terminal Rd., St. Paul MN 55113. MARK C-10. Active Ingre-dients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 5%; n-Alky1 (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM31

EPA File Symbol 572-EIT. Rockland Chemical Co., Inc., Box 809, Passaic Ave, West Caldwell NJ 07006. PYRENONE GENERAL PURPOSE AQUEOUS INSECTICIDE. Active Ingredients: Pyrethrins 0.1%; Piperonyl Butoxide, technical 1.0%; Petroleum distallate 0.4%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(c) of interim policy. Republished: Revised offer to pay statement submitted. PM17

EPA File Symbol 37664—G. Teledyne Water-Pik, 1730 E. Prospect St., Fort Collins CO 80521. INSTAPURE REPLACEMENT FIL-TER MODEL R-1. Active Ingredients: Elemental silver 0.2%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM33

EPA File Symbol 37410-O. Tri Ton Manu-facturing Corp., 2998 Stemmons Frwy., Dallas TX 75274. JACK LEMON DISIN-FECTANT & SANITIZER. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 2.00%: Essential oils 0.25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b)

of interim policy. PM31

EPA File Symbol 37401-T. Tri Ton Manufacturing Corp. LORNE GREEN CLEAN DISINFECTANT & SANITIZER. Active Ingredients: Alkyl (C14 58%, C16 28%, C12 14%) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chloride 2.0%; Isopropanol 2.0%; Methyl salicylate 0.5%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy.

EPA File Symbol 1325-IO. Weil Chemical Co., 219 Scott St., Memphis TN 38112. DIS-DEO. Active Ingredients: n-Alkyl (60% C14, 30% C16, 5% C12, 5% C18) dimethyl benzyl ammonium chlorides 1.25%; n-Alkyl (68% C12, 32% C14) dimethyl ethylbenzyl ammonium chlorides 1,25%. Method of Support: Application proceeds under 2(b) of interim policy. PM31

IFR Doc.76-8841 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am l

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

FM AND TV TRANSLATOR

Applications Ready and Available for Processing

Notice is hereby given pursuant to sections 1.472(c) and 1.573(d) of the Commission's rules, that on May 10, 1976, the TV and FM translator applications listed in the attached Appendix will be considered as ready and available for processing. Pursuant to section 1.227(d) and section 1.591(b) of the Commission's BPTTV-5493 rules, an application, in order to be considered with any application appearing on the attached list or with any other application on file by the close of business on May 7, 1976, which involves a conflict necessitating a hearing with any application on this list, must be substantially complete and submitted for filing at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C., by the close of business on May 7, 1976.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings concerning any pending TV and FM translator application, pursuant to section 309(d)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, amended, is directed to section 1.580(i) of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

Adopted: March 22, 1976.

Released: March 25, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION,

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary.

VHF TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPTTV-5420 New, Glen Haven, Colorado. Platte Valley Farm Supply Company d/b/as Trans-lator TV, Inc. Req: Channel 3, 1 watt.

Primary: KWGN-TV, Denver, Colorado. New, Glen Haven, Colorado.

BPTTV-5421 Platte Valley Farm Supply Company d/b/as Translator TV, Inc. Reg: Channel 8, 1 watt.

Primary: KOA-TV, Denver Colorado.

New, Unincorporated Village of Glen Haven, Colorado. **BPTTV-5458** Platte Valley Farm Supply Company d/b/as Trans-lator TV, Inc.

Req: Channel 10, 1 watt. Primary: KMGH-TV. Denver, Colorado.

BPTTV-5459 New, Unincorporated Village of Glen Haven, Colorado, Platte Valley Farm Supply Company d/b/ as Trans

lator TV, Inc. Req: Channel 12, 1 watt. Primary: KBTV(TV), Den-ver, Colorado.

BPTTV-5490 New, California Polytechnic State University, California. California Polytechnic

State University. Req: Channel 9, 1 watt. Primary: KCOY(TV), Santa Maria, California.

BPTTV-5491 Fifteen Mile Valley, California. County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29.

BPTTV-5492

Req: Channel 3, 1 watt Primary: KNXT(TV), Los Angeles, California. New, Fifteen Mile Valley,

California. County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29. Req: Channel 6, 1 watt. Primary: KNBC(TV), Los Angeles, California.

New, Fifteen Mile Valley, California. County of San Bernardino.

BPTTV-5494

BPTTV-5509

County Service Area 29. Req: Channel 8, 1 watt. Primary: KTTV(TV), Los Angeles, California Fifteen Mile Valley,

California. County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29. Req: Channel 10, 1 watt. Primary: KABC(TV), Los Angeles, California.

New, Fifteen California. Fifteen Mile Valley, **BPTTV-5495** County of San Bernardino, County of San Bernaums,
County Service Area 29.
Req: Channel 12, 1 watt.
Primary: KABC(TV), Los
Angeles, California.

VHF TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPTTV-5498 New, Diamond, Oregon. Diamond T.V. Corporation. Req: Channel 9, 1 watt. KIVI, Nampa,

Idaho. BPTTV-5504 New, Pima, Arizona. Pima TV Association. Req: Channel 11, 1 watt. Primary: KPHO-TV, Phoenix, Arizona.

New, Verdigre, Nebraska. **BPTTV-5505** Nebraska Educational Tele-vision Commission. Req: Channel 10, 1 watt. Primary: KMNE(TV), Bas-sett, Nebraska.

BPTTV-5507 K07JM, Grand Valley, Colorado.

Req: To add Morrisania
Mesa, Colorado to present
principal community. New, Winifred and rural area, Montana.

Winifred T.V. Tax District. Req: Channel 7, 1 watt. Frimary: KRTV-TV, Great Falls, Montana. New, Winifred and rural

BPTTV-5510 area, Montana. Winifred T.V. Tax District. Req: Channel 11, 1 watt. Primary: KFBB-TV, Great Falls, Montana.

New, Colstrip, Montana. Colstrip TV Club. **BPTTV-5511** Req: Channel 6 1 watt. Primary: KURL(TV), Bill-ings, Montana.

ew, Tropic and Cannon-ville, Utah. **BPTTV-5513** Bryce Lions Club. Req: Channel 2, 10 watts.
Primary: KUTV(TV), Salt
Lake City, Utah.
New, Tropic and Cannon-

BPTTV-5514 ville, Utah.
Bryce Lions Club. Req: Channel 4, 10 watts. Primary: KTVX(TV), Salt Lake City, Utah.

BPTTV-5515 New. Tropic and Cannonville, Utah. Bryce Lions Club. Req: Channel 5, 10 watts. Primary: KSL-TV, Salt Lake City, Utah.

VHF TV TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS

BPTTV-5516 New, Cannonville, Utah. Bryce Lions Club. Req: Channel 7, 10 watts. Primary: KUED(TV), Salt Lake City, Utah.

			MOTICES	
BPTTV-5517	New, Henrieville, Utah.	BPFT-301	New, Bowman, North Dakota.	BPTT-2970
	Bryce Lions Club.		Sturgis Radio Co., Inc.	
	Req: Channel 8, 1 watt.		Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz, 1 watt.	
	Primary: KUTV(TV), Salt Lake City, Utah.		Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis,	
BPTTV-5518	New, Bryce Canyon Airport		South Dakota.	
	area, Utah.	BPFT-302	New, Hettinger, North	
	Bryce Lions Club.		Dakota. Sturgis Radio Co., Inc.	BPTT-2971
	Req: Channel 9, 1 watt. Primary: KTVX(TV), Salt		Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz,	
	Lake City, Utah.		1 watt.	
BPTTV-5519	New, Henrieville, Utah.	BPFT-303	New, Custer, South Dakota.	
	Bryce Lions Club.		Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9	
	Req: Channel 10, 1 watt. Primary: KTVX(TV), Salt		MHz, 1 watt.	BPTT-2972
	Lake City, tUah.	BPFT-304	New, Lemmon, South	
BPTTV-5520	New, Bryce Canyon Airport		Dakota.	
	area, Utah. Bryce Lions Club.		Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz,	
	Req: Channel 11, 1 watt.		1 watt.	
	Primary: KTVX(TV), Salt	BPFT-305	New, Eagle Butte, South	BPTT-2974
	Lake City, Utah.		Dakota.	
BPTTV-5521	New, Henrieville, Utah. Bryce Lions Club.		Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz,	
	Req: Channel 12, 1 watt.		1 watt.	
	Primary: KSL(TV), Salt		Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis,	
mperer tree	Lake City, Utah		South Dakota.	BPTT-2975
BPTTV-5522	New, Bryce Canyon Airport area, Utah.	FM T	BANSLATOR APPLICATION	BP11-2915
	Bryce Lions Club.	BPFT-306	New, Hill City, South	
	Req: Channel 13, 1 watt.		Dakota.	
	Primary: KSL-TV, Salt Lake		Sturgis Radio Co., Inc.	
BPTTV-5523	City, Utah. New, Kivalina, Alaska.		Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz, 1 watt.	
DE 1 1 4-0323	City of Kivalina.		Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis,	BPTT-2976
	Req: Channel 4, 10 watts.		South Dakota.	
	Primary: KYUK(TV), Beth-	BPFT-307	New, Hot Springs, South	
	el, Alaska and KUAC(TV), Fairbanks, Alaska.		Dakota. Sturgis Radio Co., Inc.	
BPTTV-5524	New, Colstrip, Montana.		Req: Channel 280, 103.9	
20 22 7 00-2	Colstrip TV Club.		MHz, 1 watt.	
	Req: Channel 12, 1 watt.		Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis,	
•	Primary: KTVQ(TV), Bill-	BPFT-308	South Dakota. New, Philip, South Dakota.	
	ings, Montana.	D111-000	Sturgis Radio Co., Inc.	[FR Doc.
FM TR	ANSLATOR APPLICATIONS		Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz,	
BPFT-295	New, Glasgow, Montana.	1	1 watt.	NONCOM
	Prairie Communications Inc. Req: Channel 280, 103.9 MHz,		Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis, South Dakota.	110110011
	10 watts.	BPFT-309	New, San Bernardino, Cali-	Applies
	Primary: KCGM(FM),		fornia.	Applica
	Scobey, Montana.		Marvin G. & Barbara A.	
BPFT-296	New, Kanab, Utah.		Meyer. Req: Channel 252, 98.3 MHz,	Notice i
	Kanab Lions TV. Req: Channel 265, 100.9 MHz,		1 watt.	section 1.
	10 watts.		Primary: KECR(FM), E	rules, that
	Primary: KBRE-FM, Cedar		Cajon, California.	plications
	City, Utah.	Application	deleted from Public Notice re-	
BPFT-297	New, Enterprise, Utah.		y 12, 1976 (Mimeo #59702, 41	tiously sine
	Washington County TV De- partment.	F.R. 3119).		for fundin
	Req: Channel 265, 100.9	BPFT-282	New, San Bernardino, Cali-	Departmen
	MHz, 10 watts.		fornia.	Welfare, w
	Primary: KBRE-FM, Cedar		Marvin G. and Barbara A. Meyer.	available f
BPFT-298	City, Utah. W269AA, Grand Rapids, Min-		Req: Channel 232, 94.3 MHz,	tion 1.227(
BFF 1-asq	nesota.		1 watt.	the Commi
	Roger D. Olsen.		Primary: KECR(FM), El	tion appea
	Req: Change primary station	44.	Cajon, California.	with any o
	to WGGR(FM), Duluth, Minnesota.	(Assigned n	ew file number BPFT-309)	close of bu
BPFT-299	New, Winnemucca, Nevada.	UHF TV	TRANSLATOR APPLICATIONS	involves a
THE E Y - 400	Humboldt County Television	BPTT-2819	New, Deming, New Mexico.	ing with
	Maintenance Board.		New Mexico Broadcasting	must be su
	Req: Channel 228, 93.5 MHz,		Company.	dered for fi
	1 watt. Primary: KKBC, Carson City,		Req: Channel 57, 100 watts.	mission in
	Nevada.		Primary: KGGM(TV), Albu-	of business

Dakota.

Spearfish,

Sturgis Radio Co., Inc. Req: Channel 249, 97.7 MHz,

1 watt.
Primary: KBHB-FM, Sturgis,
South Dakota.

South

BPTT-2969

New.

BPFT-300

fornia. County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29. Req: Channel 57, 100 watts.
Primary: KTTV(TV), Los
Angeles, California. New, Lucerne Valley, California. 971 County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29. Req: Channel 59, 100 watts. Primary: KTLA(TV), Los Angeles, California. New, Price and rural Carbon County, Utah. 972 Carbon County Req: Channel 68, 100 watts.
Primary: KSL-TV, Salt Lake
City, Utah.
New, Dryden, New York.
Board of Cooperative Edu-974 cational Services to Tomp-kins-Seneca-Tioga County. Req: Channel 65, 100 watts. Primary: WCYN-TV, Syracuse, New York. 975 W73AO, Whitesburg, Kentucky. Kentucky State Board of Education. Req: Channel 66, and add Mayking, Kentucky, principal community. New, Nedrow and Onondaga 976 Indian Reservation, New York. The he Public Broadcasting Council of Central New York, Inc. Req: Channel 62, 100 watts. Primary: WCYN(TV), Syracuse, New York. Occ.76-8802 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

New, Lucerne Valley, Cali-

NONCOMMERCIAL EDUCATIONAL FM BROADCAST

Applications Ready and Available for Processing

e is hereby given, pursuant to 1.573(d) of the Commission's hat on May 10, 1976, the noncomeducational FM broadcast apons listed in the attached Appennich are being processed expedisince they are potentially eligible ding in this fiscal year from the ment of Health, Education and e, will be considered as ready and le for processing. Pursuant to sec-27(b) (1) and section 1.591(b) of nmission's rules, an application, in be considered with any applicapearing on the attached list or y other application on file by the business on May 7, 1976, which s a conflict necessitating a hearth any application on this list, e substantially complete and tenor filing at the offices of the Commission in Washington, D.C., by the close of business on May 7, 1976. The attention of prospective applicants is directed to the fact that some contemplated proposals may not be eligible for consideration with an application appearing in the attached Appendix by reason of conflicts between the listed applications and applications appearing in previous no-

fornia.

querque, New Mexico. New, Lucerne Valley, Cali-

County of San Bernardino, County Service Area 29.

Req: Channel 54, 100 watts.

Primary: KCET(TV), Angeles, California. tices published pursuant to section 1.573 (d) of the Commission's rules.

The attention of any party in interest desiring to file pleadings concerning any pending noncommercial educational FM broadcast application, pursuant to section 309(d)(1) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, is directed to section 1,580(i) of the Commission's rules for provisions governing the time for filing and other requirements relating to such pleadings.

Adopted: March 22, 1976. Released: March 23, 1976.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS
COMMISSION,
VINCENT J MILLINS

[SEAL] VINCENT J. MULLINS, Secretary.

APPENDIX

BPED-2167 New, Huntington, W. Va. West Virginia Educ. B/cting Auth.

Req: 89.9 mHz: Channel No. 210B, ERP: 7.86 kW; HAAT: 1200 Ft.

BPED-2203 WHIL-FM, Mobile, Ala. Spring Hill College. Has: 91.3 mHz; Channel No. 217D, TPO: .01 kW; HAAT: Ft. (LIC).

Req: 91.3 mMz; Channel No. 217C, ERP: 100 kW; HAAT: 208.7 Ft.

BPED-2218 New, Fresno, Calif.
White Ash Broadcasting, Inc.
Req: 89.3 mHz; Channel No.
207B, ERP: 50 kW; HAAT:

207B, ERP: 50 kW; HAAT 500 Ft. BPED-2219 New, Presque Isle, Maine.

University of Maine.
Req: 106.1 mHz; Channel No.
291C, ERP: 100 kW; HAAT:
1080 Ft.

BPED-2221 New, Sloux City, Iowa.
Western Iowa Tech. Community College.
Reg. 20.3 MHz: Channel No.

Req: 90.3 mHz; Channel No. 212C, ERP: 100 kW; HAAT: 922.4 Ft.

[FR Doc.76-8803 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL ENERGY ADMINISTRATION

CONSUMER AFFAIRS/SPECIAL IMPACT ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Pursuant to the provisions of the Federal Advisory Committee Act (Public Law 92-463, 86 Stat. 770), notice is hereby given that the Consumer Affairs/Special Impact Advisory Committee will meet Thursday, April 15. 1976, at 9 a.m., Room 5041B, 12th & Pennsylvania Avenue, NW., Washington, D.C.

The Committee was established to provide FEA with diversified information and experience possessed by a wide range of highly qualified individuals who have been extensively involved in planning, development, and implementation of programs to remedy the problems of the consumer, the poor, the elderly, and the handicapped persons in rural and urban America.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

1. Implementation of Energy Policy and Conservation Act.

A. Oil Pricing.

1. Regulations Implementing the 10 Percent Escalator.

2. Justification for Price Increases Above the 10 Percent Escalator to Stimulate Production.

3. Downstream Decontrol. a. Residual. b. Gasoline, c. Heating Oil.

B. Energy Conservation.

1. State Conservation Program.
2. Appliance Efficiency Program.

C. Strategic Petroleum Reserves.
2. Utilities Programs Demonstration
Projects.

A. Review Provisions for Consumer Participation in Current Electric Utility

Demonstration Projects.

B. Proposal that CA/SI Advisory Committee Act as an Oversite Committee to Evaluate Implementation of Lifeline Demonstration Projects.

Subcommittees may meet informally in Washington, the preceding evening, at the discretion of the Subcommittee Chairman; the meetings will be open to the public. For further information on Subcommittee activities, call Lois Weeks, Director, Advisory Committee Management at (202) 961–7022.

The meeting is open to the public. The Chairman of the Committee is empowered to conduct the meeting in a fashion that will, in his judgment, facilitate the orderly conduct of business. Any member of the public who wishes to file a written statement with the Committee will be permitted to do so, either before or after the meeting. Members of the public who wish to make oral statements should inform the Director, Advisory Committee Management at least 5 days prior to the meeting and reasonable provision will be made for their appearance on the agenda.

Further information concerning this meeting may be obtained from the Advisory Committee Management Office.

Minutes of the meeting will be made available for public inspection at the Federal Energy Administration.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on March 24, 1976.

MICHAEL F. BUTLER, General Counsel.

[FR Doc.76-8768 Filed 3-24-76;8:45 am]

STATE REGULATORY ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Charter Amendment

Follwing consultation with the Office of Management and Budget, notice is hereby given of a revision in the Charter for the State Regulatory Advisory Committee.

The Charter published in the August 21, 1974, issue of the Federal Register (39 FR 30198), as amended (40 FR 48717, October 17, 1975), is further amended to add two additional subcommittees. Specifically, the Charter is hereby amended by revising Section B-9 to read as follows:

"9. Subcommittees—The State Regulactry Advisory Committee shall have three subcommittees as follows: a. Executive Subcommittee, b. Subcommittee on Legislation, c. Subcommittee on FEA State Energy Grants.

The objective of each Subcommittee is to make recommendations to the parent Committee with respect to FEA policies and programs related to the responsibilities of State regulatory commissions as they fall within the interests of the particular Subcommittee.

Each Subcommittee shall be comprised of such members of the parent Committee as may be determined by the Chairman of the parent Committee.

All actions of the Subcommittees shall be consistent with the provisions of B-1 through B-8."

The above amendment is effective immediately.

Issued at Washington, D.C. on March 24, 1976.

FRANK G. ZARB, Administrator.

[FR Doc.76-8842 Filed 3-25-76;9:07 am]

FEDERAL MARITIME COMMISSION BARBER LINES, ET AL. Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement, accompanied by a statement of justification, has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to Section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814)

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement and the statement of justification at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street N.W., Room 10126: or may inspect the agreement and the statement of justification at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before April 8, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done. Barber Lines, A/S; Black Star Line; Compagnie Maritime Des Chargeurs Reunis, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Belge, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Zairoise, S.A.R.L. (CMZ); Dafra Lines; Delta Steamship Lines, Inc.; Elder Dempster Lines, Ltd.; Farrell Lines Incorporated; Nopal West Africa Line; Westwind Arrica Line.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

John K. Cunningham, Chairman, American West African Freight Conference, 67 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 10230, among Barber Lines, A/S; Black Star Line; Compagnie Maritime Des Chargeurs Reunis, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Belge, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Zairoise, S.A.R.L. (CMZ); Dafra Lines; Delta Steamship Lines, Inc.; Elder Dempster Lines, Ltd.; Farrell Lines Incorporated: Nopal West Africa Line; and Westwind Africa Line, all of whom are member lines of the American West African Freight Conference, provides for the establishment of cooperative working arrangement whereby the member lines serving the ports of Lagos/Apapa, Nigeria would collectively charter and operate on rollon roll-off vessel or vessels or specially equipped vessel or vessels for the carriage of motor vehicles from United States ports to Apapa. Once approved, the agreement is to continue in effect, for a period of one hundred and eighty days (180) unless an extension thereof is sought from and approved by the Federal Maritime Commission.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

Francis C. Hurney, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8819 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

BARBER LINES, ET AL. Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, N.W., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, La., San Juan, Puerto Rico, and San Francisco, California. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before April 8, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the proposed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrim-If a violation of the Act or detriment to ination or unfairness with particularity. the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances said to constitute such violation

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

or detriment to commerce.

Barber Lines, A/S; Black Star Line, Ltd.; Compagnie Maritime Belge, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Des Chargeurs Reunis, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Zairoise, S.A.R.L.; Dafra Lines; Delta Steamship Line, Inc.; Elder Dempster Lines, Ltd.; Farrell Lines Incorporated; Nopal West Africa Line; Westwind Africa Line Ltd.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

John K. Cunningham, Chairman, American West African Freight Conference, 67 Broad Street, New York, New York 10004.

Agreement No. 10159-3, among Barber Lines, A/S; Black Star Line, Ltd.; Compagnie Maritime Belge, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Des Chargeurs Reunis, S.A.; Compagnie Maritime Zairotse, S.A.R.L.; Dafra Lines; Delta Steamship Line, Inc.; Elder Dempster Lines, Ltd.; Farrell Lines Incorporated; Nopal West Africa Line; and Westward Africa Line Ltd., all of whom are members of the American West African Freight Conference, will amend Agreement No. 10159, as amended, a rationalization agreement, to include as a party thereto the Companhia De Navegacao Angolana S.A.R.L. Joint Service.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8820 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

TRANSCONEX, INC. AND ECONOCARIBE CONSOLIDATORS, INC.

Notice of Agreement Filed

Notice is hereby given that the following agreement has been filed with the Commission for approval pursuant to section 15 of the Shipping Act, 1916, as amended (39 Stat. 733, 75 Stat. 763, 46 U.S.C. 814).

Interested parties may inspect and obtain a copy of the agreement at the Washington office of the Federal Maritime Commission, 1100 L Street, NW., Room 10126; or may inspect the agreement at the Field Offices located at New York, N.Y., New Orleans, Louisiana, San Francisco, California, and Old San Juan, Puerto Rico. Comments on such agreements, including requests for hearing, may be submitted to the Secretary, Federal Maritime Commission, Washington, D.C. 20573, on or before April 19, 1976. Any person desiring a hearing on the pro-

posed agreement shall provide a clear and concise statement of the matters upon which they desire to adduce evidence. An allegation of discrimination or unfairness shall be accompanied by a statement describing the discrimination or unfairness with particularity. If a violation of the Act or detriment to the commerce of the United States is alleged, the statement shall set forth with particularity the acts and circumstances add to constitute such violation or detriment to commerce.

A copy of any such statement should also be forwarded to the party filing the agreement (as indicated hereinafter) and the statement should indicate that this has been done.

Transconex, Inc. and Econocaribe Consolidators. Inc.

Notice of Agreement Filed by:

Roy R. Sumner, Vice President, International Tariff Service, Inc., 815 15th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20005.

Agreement No. DC-85, is between two nonvessel operating common carriers, Transconex, Inc. (Transconex) and Econocaribe Consolidators, Inc., (Econocaribe). The agreement provides for the joint loading of cargo to be transported from ports in the state of Florida to ports in the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico and the U.S. Virgin Islands. The total vesseloperating carrier's freight bill for the consolidated shipments made under the agreement will be apportioned between the parties on the basis of each party's proportionate cubic feet for such shipments and the applicable tariff charges assessed thereto by the vessel operator, plus any other accessorial expenses incurred by the parties in the handling of these shipments.

By Order of the Federal Maritime Commission.

Dated: March 24, 1976.

FRANCIS C. HURNEY, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8821 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL MEDIATION AND CONCILIATION SERVICE

ARBITRATION SERVICES ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Meeting

Notice is hereby given that the Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service Arbitration Services Advisory Committee, in accordance with section 10 of the Federal Advisory Committee Act of October 6, 1972 (Public Law 92–463, 86 Stat. 770–776), will meet on Saturday, April 24, 1976 at 9:00 a.m. in the Garden Room of the Fairmont Hotel and Tower, 950 Mason Street, Nob Hill, San Francisco, California.

The agenda for the meeting is as follows:

- Review of Committee suggestions and actions since prior meeting.
- 2. Discussion of current staffing and procedures.
- 3. Review of experience with Boards of Inquiry.
 - 4. Caseload review.

from the roster of arbitrators.

The meeting shall be open to the

Communications regarding this meeting should be addressed to:

Mr. John Canestraight, Assistant Director of Arbitration Services, Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, Washington, D.C. 20427.

Mr. John Canestraight, Assistant Director of Arbitration Services, Federal Mediation and Conciliation Service, Washington, D.C.

Signed at Washington, D.C. this seventeenth day of March 1976.

> JAMES F. SCEARCE, Acting National Director.

[FR Doc.76-8839 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

FEDERAL POWER COMMISSION

[Docket No. RP75-731

TEXAS EASTERN TRANSMISSION CORP. **Settlement Conference**

MARCH 25, 1976.

Take notice that on April 5, 1976, a settlement conference concerning the issues in the above referenced proceeding will be convened at the office of the Federal Power Commission, 825 North Capitol Street NE., Washington, D.C. 20426. The conference will convene at 2:00 P.M. in Room 5200.

The conference will be held pursuant to Section 1.18 (Conferences, Offers of Settlement) of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 1.18). Customers and other interested persons will be permitted to attend, but if such persons have not previously been permitted to intervene by order of the Commission, such attendance at the conference will not be deemed to authorize such intervention as a party in the proceedings.

In accordance with the provisions of Section 1.18 of the Rules, all parties will be expected to come fully prepared to discuss the merits of all issues concerning the lawfulness of Texas Eastern's proposed changes to its rates and any procedural matters preparatory to a full evidentiary hearing, or to make commitments with respect to such issues and any offers of settlement or stipulations discussed at the conference. Failure to attend the conference shall constitute a waiver of all objections to stipulations and agreements reached by the parties in attendance at the conference.

Copies of this notice are being mailed this date to all jurisdictional customers and interested State commissions.

> KENNETH F. PLUMB, Secretary.

IFR Doc.76-8911 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 aml

FEDERAL RESERVE SYSTEM FEDERAL OPEN MARKET COMMITTEE **Authorization for Domestic Open Market Operations**

On March 10, 1975, paragraph (2) of the Committee's authorization for do-

5. Review of additions to and removals mestic open market operations was amended to raise from \$1 billion to \$2 billion the limit on System holdings of special short-term certificates of indebtedness purchased directly from the Treasury. At its meeting on March 18, 1975, the Committee voted to maintain the limit at \$2 billion for a period of one Vear. (See 40 FR. 20863)

In accordance with the Committee's rules regarding availability of information, notice is given that at its meeting on March 16, 1976, the Committee voted to remove the time limit of one year that it had attached to its action of March 18,

NOTE: For paragraph (2) of the authorization see 40 FR 10660. By order of the Federal Open Market Committee, March 18, 1976.

> ARTHUR L. BROIDA. Secretary.

IFR Doc.76-8755 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am1

LANDMARK BANKING CORPORATION **Order Approving Acquisition of Bank**

Landmark Banking Corporation, Fort Lauderdale, Florida, a bank holding company within the meaning of the Bank Holding Company Act, has applied for the Board's approval under § 3(a) (3) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(a) (3)) to acquire 80 percent or more of the voting shares of Landmark Bank of Pompano Beach, N.A., Pompano Beach, Florida ("Bank"), a proposed de novo bank which will acquire the majority of the assets, and assume of the deposits and certailn of the other liabilities of Security State Bank of Pompano Beach, Pompano Beach, Florida ("Security Bank") which holds total deposits of \$4.5 mil-

Notice has been given to the Comptroller of the Currency in accordance with § 3(b) of the Act. The Comptroller has recommended approval of the application. The Florida Comptroller, who is the primary supervisory authority for Security Bank, has also recommended approval of the application. In addition, the Board has solicited and considered herein the views of the United States Department of Justice. Public notice of the application is not required by the Act, and in view of the emergency situation set forth below, the Board has not followed its normal practice of affording interested parties the opportunity to submit comments and views. The Board has considered the application and the above comments in light of the factors set forth in § 3(c) of the Act (12 U.S.C. § 1842(c)).

Applicant is the eighth largest holding company in the State of Florida with 16 subsidiary banks and total deposits of \$707.5 million, representing 3 per cent of the total deposits in commercial banks in the State. Bank has been formed to acquire certain assets and assume certain liabilities of Security Bank. Security Bank has experienced substantial loan losses since its organization in 1973 and its capital base has been seriously eroded by a continuing trend of net operating losses. Despite its relatively short operat-

² Banking data are as of June 30, 1975.

ing history there has been a rapid turnover of management personnel and the bank has suffered a 42 per cent loss in total deposits between December, 1974 and June, 1975. A recent examination of Security Bank by the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation indicates that the bank's situation has become critical; it is the Board's judgment that, absent the proposed acquisiton, the failure of Security Bank would be probable.

Although Applicant is the largest of 23 banking organizations in the relevant market, and holds 22 per cent of the total deposits therein, it is also the only institution that has expressed an interest in acquiring Secuirty Bank. As new fi-nancial and managerial resources must be added to Security Bank immediately to avoid its failure, and in view of the fact that the proposed acquisition would increase Applicant's market share by only 0.3 percent, the Board finds that any adverse effects on competition in any relevant banking market that would result from consummation of the acquisition are outweighed by the public interest considerations relating to the acquisition. Considerations relating to convenience and needs of the communities to be served lend very strong weight toward approval as the proposal will protect all depositors of Security Bank and will ensure the continued provision of banking services.

The financial and managerial resources and future prospects of Applicant, its subsidiaries, and Bank, as a subsidiary of Applicant, are regarded as generally satisfactory. In light of the condition of Security Bank and the fact that Applicant will provide \$700,000 for the initial capitalization of Bank, financial and managerial factors lend support to approval of the application. It is the Board's judgment that the proposed transaction would be in the public interest and that the application should be approved.

On the basis of the record, the application is approved for the reasons summarized above. The transaction shall not be made (a) before the thirtieth calendar day following the effective date of this Order or (b) later than three months after that date, and (c) Landmark Bank of Pompano Beach, N.A., Pompano Beach. Florida shall be opened for business not later than six months after the effective date of this order. Each of the periods described in clauses (b) and (c) may be extended for good cause by the Board. or by the Federal Reserve Bank of Atlanta pursuant to delegated authority. effective March 19, 1976.

By order of the Board of Governors.

[SEAL] THEODORE E. ALLISON. Secretary of the Board.

[FR Doc.76-8756 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

The relevant banking market is approximated by the northern two-thirds of Broward County lying north of the Dania Canal.

Voting for this action: Chairman Burns and Governors Gardner, Coldwell, Jackson, and Partee. Absent and not voting: Governors Holland and Wallich.

GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

[Temporary Regulation 37]

FEDERAL PROCUREMENT REGULATIONS Small Business Emergency Relief Act, Public Law 94–190, December 31, 1975

1. Purpose. This FPR Temporary Regulation implements the provisions of the

subject act.
2. Effective date. This regulation is

effective December 31, 1975.

3. Expiration date. This regulation will continue in effect until canceled.

4. Background. Small Business concerns (see Section 3(2) of the Act) holding fixed price Government contracts awarded during the period August 15, 1971, through October 31, 1974, which have not been completely performed or otherwise terminated as of December 31, 1975, have been adversely affected for several years by the unanticipated increases in the price of materials and by energy shortages. The Subject Act was passed to provide relief to small business concerns where such concerns have suffered or can be expected to suffer serious financial loss because of significant and unavoidable difficulties during performance because of the energy crisis or rapid and unexpected escalations of contract costs. Relief may be granted at the discretion of the executive agency (a) through terminations for convenience of the Government, or (b) through modifications of contract prices where the cost to the Government of terminating the contract for convenience plus the cost of reprocurement including estimated administrative costs of reprocurement would exceed the amount of the contract as modified. Where there have been shortages of energy, petroleum products, or components manufactured or derived from them and such shortages result in a delay in performance, relief may be granted through amendment of the contract to extend the delivery date. As required by section 4(b) (2) of the Act, cost comparison and compensation guidelines have been issued by the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy (41 FR 2872, January 20, 1976). Copies of the Act and the guidelines are attached.

5. Explanation of changes.a. The head of each civilian executive

agency shall take the following actions:

(1) Delegate authority conferred by Section 5 of the Act to the extent practicable to an appropriate level that will permit the expeditious processing of applications under the Act and insure the

uniformity of its application.

(2) Require contractors to submit the specific documentation specified by Section 4(d) of the Act to support applications for relief involving terminations or modification of prices. However, consideration of the merits of the application should be undertaken and the contractor promptly advised of any tentative conclusions even though the initial application may not be complete in all respects. Such action will preclude the contractor from incurring extra costs to support an application may not be meritorious. An application may not be meritorious if:

(a) The award was not within the time frames permitted by law (i.e. the period August 15, 1971, through October 31, 1974), or the contract has been completely performed or otherwise terminated.

(b) A firm has not suffered or will not suffer serious financial loss due to significant unanticipated cost increases directly affecting the cost of contract

compliance.

(c) The conditions which have caused or are causing such cost increases were not experienced generally by other similar small business concerns.

(d) The conditions which caused the losses were caused by negligence, underbidding or other management factors peculiar to that small business concern.

(e) The application for a termination or contract modification was received too late to evaluate and grant relief by September 30, 1976. However, no application received earlier than September 24, 1976, shall be deemed to have been received too late.

(3) Consider reasonable costs incurred in providing the documentation required by the Act as allowable settlement expenses in computing a termination settlement and in determining a

modified contract price.

(4) With respect to Section 6(a) of the Act, consider a contract to be completely performed if final delivery has been made under a supply contract or the period of performance has expired under a service contract. The contract shall be considered otherwise terminated if the contracting officer has issued a notice of complete termination thereunder.

(5) Process (1) application, and (2) the negotiation of termination settlements or contract price modifications and related reviews in accordance with applicable procedures.

(6) Maintain complete records regarding the disposition of all cases proc-

essed under the Act.

b. The decision of the agency head or his designee as to whether a contractor is entitled to relief under the Act shall not be subject to the disputes clause of the contract

c. To ensure that small business concerns are made aware of the application procedures and documentation requirements of the Act as required by the OFPP Guidelines, the Federal Procurement Regulations Division, Federal Supply Service, GSA, will arrange for the publication of appropriate notices in the Commerce Business Daily, and other appropriate publications.

Jack Eckerd,
Administrator of General Services.
March 17, 1976.

ATTACHMENT A
OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET
BUSINESS EMERGENCY RELIEF ACT

Implementation Guidelines

JANUARY 9, 1976.
The Small Business Emergency Relief Act (hereinafter referred to as "the Act"), Pub. L. 94–190, provides for relief to small business

concerns holding fixed-price Government contracts awarded during the period August 15, 1971, through October 31, 1974, which have not been completely performed or otherwise terminated as of December 31, 1975. Relief may be available in cases where such concerns have suffered or can be expected to suffer serious financial loss as a result of significant and unavoidable difficulties during performance because of the energy crisis or rapid and unexpected escalations of contract costs. The fact that a contract could have been completed prior to December 31, 1975, but was not, is itself no bar to relief under the Act.

The following guidelines, issued as required by the Act, and pursuant to the additional authority of Public Law 93-400, 41 U.S.C., 401, are to be followed by executive agencies in implementation of the Act.

1. Period during which authority may be

1. Period during which authority may be exercised. Authority to issue a termination notice under section 4(a) of the Act, or to execute a modification under section 4(b) of the Act, extends from the date of the Act, December 31, 1975, through September 30, 1976. A termination settlement agreement entered into under this authority need not be executed by September 30, 1976, but should be executed as soon as possible after issuance of the termination notice.

2. Basis of decision. Relief under the Act is at the discretion of the executive agencies. The decision as to whether a contractor is entitled to relief under the Act must be based upon an evaluation of the contractor's overall financial position and the factors leading to that position. A showing of financial loss under a particular contract, even if resulting from the energy crisis or rapid and unexpected cost escalations, is itself not sufficient to justify relief under that contract. Relief should be limited to those small business firms whose overall financial position is so poor that their ability to survive, without relief under the Act, is in question. The decision of the agency head or his designee as to whether a contractor is entitled to relief under the Act, and whether such relief is to be effected by contract termination or contract modification, shall not be subject to the disputes clause of the contract.

3. Termination procedures. Termination and settlement procedures shall be in accordance with the "Termination for Convenience of the Government" clause of the contract.

4. Modification of the contract in lieu of termination. When proceeding under section 4(b) of the Act, the agency head or his designee shall estimate the amount which would be paid to the contractor in settlement of a termination claim, including an estimate of the contractor's settlement costs as provided in the "Termination for Convenience of the Government" clause. He shall also estimate the price the Government would have to pay to reprocure—from a different contractor—the supplies or services not yet delivered or performed, or substantially similar supplies or services, if the contract in question were terminated. Administrative costs to the Government of reprocurement shall be estimated to the extent feasible, and added to the estimated reprocurement price. Procedures for estimating costs shall be in accordance with applicable agency regula-tions, to the extent practicable, taking into account all data reasonably available within the time period set by the Act. If the agency head or his designee determines that the contractor could satisfactorily provide the undelivered supplies or services under modified contract terms at a negotiated price which is less than the sum of the estimated termination settlement amount, the estimated reprocurement price of the supplies or services still required, and the estimated administrative costs of reprocurement, the contract may be modified.

5. Notice to small business concerns. Agencies shall make reasonable efforts to ensure that small business concerns which might be affected are promptly made aware of the application procedures and documentation requirements of the Act, in order that adequately documented applications may be evaluated and acted on by September 30,

1976. Contractors should be made aware that the Act does not permit recovery of more than the contract price for materials delivered or services performed prior to contact termination or modification.

 Definition of small business concern. For purposes of these guidelines, a small business concern is a concern which was a small business, as defined in the Act, at the time of award of a contract under which relief is sought.

HUGH E. WITT,
Administrator for
Federal Procurement Policy.

[FR Doc.76-1659 Filed 1-19-76;8:45 am]
(Published in the Fed. Reg. January 20, 1976,
41 F.R. 2672)

Attachment B



Public Law 94-190 94th Congress, H. R. 5541 December 31, 1975

An Act

To provide for emergency relief for small business concerns in connection with fixed-price Government contracts.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,

SHORT TITLE

Section 1. This Act may be cited as the "Small Business Emergency Relief Act".

POLICY

Sec. 2. It is the policy of Congress to provide relief to small business concerns which have fixed-price Government contracts in cases where such concerns have suffered or can be expected to suffer serious financial loss because of significant and unavoidable difficulties during performance because of the energy crisis or rapid and unexpected escalations of contract costs.

Small Business Emergency Relief Act. 41 USC 252 note.

41 USC 252 note.

DEFINITIONS

Sec. 3. As used in this Act-

(1) the term "executive agency" means an executive department, a military department, and an independent establishment within the meaning of sections 101, 102, and 104(1) respectively, of title 5, United States Code, and also a wholly owned Government corporation within the meaning of section 101 of the Government Corporation Control Act; and

(2) the term "small business concern" means any concern which

(2) the term "sinall business concern" means any concern which falls under the size limitations of the "Small Business Administrator's -Definitions of Small Business for Government Procurement."

41 USC 252 note.

31 USC 846.

AUTHORITY

Sec. 4. (a) Pursuant to an application by a small business concern, the head of any executive agency may terminate for the convenience of the Government any fixed-price contract between that agency and such small business concern, upon a finding that—

such small business concern, upon a finding that—

(1) during the performance of the contract, the concern has suffered or can be expected to suffer serious financial loss due to significant unauticipated cost increases directly affecting the cost

of contract compliance; and
(2) the conditions which have caused or are causing such cost increases were, or are being, experienced generally by other small business concerns in the market at the same time and are not caused by negligence, underbidding, or other special management factors peculiar to that small business concern.

(b) Upon application under subsection (a) by a small business concern to terminate a fixed-price contract between an executive agency and such small business concern, the head of the executive agency may modify the terms of the contract in lieu of termination for the convenience of the Government only if he finds after review of the application that—

Fixed price contracts, termination. Application. 41 USC 252 note.

Attachment B

Pub. Law 94-190 - 2 - December 31, 1975

(1) (a) the agency would reprodure the supplies or services in the event that the contract was terminated for the convenience of the Government; and

(b) the cost of terminating the contract for the convenience of the Government plus the cost of reprocurement would exceed the amount of the contract as modified; and

(2) Any such modification shall be made in compliance with cost comparison and compensation guidelines to be issued by the Administrator of the Office of Federal Procurement Policy. Such cost comparison and compensation guidelines shall be promulgated by the Administrator not later than 10 days after enactment of this Act.

(c) If a small business concern in performance of a fixed-price Government contract experiences or has experienced shortages of energy, petroleum products, or products or components manufactured or derived therefrom or impacted thereby, and such shortages result in a delay in the performance of a contract, the head of the agency, or his designee, shall provide by modification to the contract for an appropriate extension of the contract delivery date or period of performance.

(d) A small business concern requesting relief under subsection (a) shall support that request with the following documentation and certification:

(1) a brief description of the contract, indicating the date of execution and of any amendment thereto, the items being procured, the price and delivery schedule, and any revision thereof, and any other special contractual provision as may be relevant to the request;

(2) a history of performance indicating when work under the contract or commitment was begun, the progress made as of the date of the application, an exact statement of the contractor's remaining obligations, and the contractor's expectations regarding completion thereof;

(3) a statement of the factors which have caused the loss under the contract;

(4) a statement as to the course of events anticipated if the request is denied;

(5) a statement of payments received, payments due and payments yet to be received or to become due, including advance and progress payments, and amounts withheld by the Government, and information as to other obligations of the Government, if any, which are yet to be performed under the contract;

(6) a statement and evidence of the contractor's original breakdown of estimated costs, including contingency allowances and profit;

(7) a statement and evidence of the contractor's present estimate of total costs under the contract if enabled to complete, broken down between costs accrued to date of request, and runout costs, and as between costs for which the contractor has made payment and those for which he is indebted at the time of the request;

(8) a statement and evidence of the contractor's estimate of the final price of the contract, giving effect to all escalation, changes, extras, and other comparable factors known or contemplated by the contractor:

December 31, 1975

- 3 -

Pub. Law 94-190

(9) a statement of any claims known or contemplated by the contractor against the Government involving the contract in question, other than those referred to under (8) above;

(10) an estimate of the contractor's total profit or loss under the contract if required to complete at the original contract price; (11) an estimate of the total profits from other Government

business, and all other sources, during the period from the date of the first contract involved to the latest estimated date of com-

pletion of any other contracts involved;

(12) balance sheets, certified by a certified public accountant, as of the end of the contractor's fiscal year first preceding the date of the first contract, as of the end of each subsequent fiscal year, and as of the date of the request together with income statements for annual periods subsequent to the date of the first balance

(13) a list of all salaries, bonuses, and all other forms of compensation of the principal officers or partners and of all dividends and other withdrawals, and all payments to stockholders in any form since the date of the first contract involved.

DELEGATION

SEC. 5. The head of each executive agency shall delegate authority conferred by this Act, to the extent practicable, to an appropriate level that will permit the expeditious processing of applications under this Act and to insure the uniformity of its application.

41 USC 252 note.

LIMITATIONS

Sec. 6. (a) The authority prescribed in section 4(a) shall apply only to contracts which have not been completely performed or otherwise terminated and which were entered into during the period from August 15, 1971, through October 31, 1974.

(b) The authority conferred by section 4(a) of this Act shall termi-

nato September 30, 1976.

Approved December 31, 1975.

41 USC 252

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

HOUSE REPORTS: No. 94-154 (Comm. on Small Business) and No. 94-724 (Comm. of Conference).

SENATE REPORT No. 94-378 accompanying S. 1259 (Comm. on Government SENATE REPORT No. 94-378 accompanying S. 1259 (Comm. on Government Operations).

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, Vol. 121 (1975):
Apr. 22, considered and passed House,
Oct. 29, S. 1259, considered in Senate,
Oct., 30, considered and passed Senate, mended, in lieu of S. 1259,
Dec. 15, House agreed to conference report,
Dec. 17, Senate agreed to conference report,

INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

[337-TA-15]

OVERLAPPING DIGITAL MOVEMENTS Order Terminating the Investigation

Based upon the motion filed with the United States International Trade Commission by complainant General Time Corporation on March 3, 1976, with accompanying license agreement and the stipulation of dismissal in Civil Action No. 74.5093 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, entered into with respondent Sankyo-Seiki (America), Inc., and the foreign manufacturer Sankyo-Seiki Mfg., Co., Ltd. and based upon the submission of the Commission investigative attorney, the United States International Trade Commission hereby gives notice of and orders the termination of the above-captioned investigation.

This termination is based upon the Commission's determination that there is no violation of section 337, Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1337). The license agreement and stipulation of dismissal covers United States Patent 3,200,396 and has the effect of obviating any unfair methods of competition and unfair acts in the importation or sale of overlapping digital movements. No determination has been made by the Commission as to whether there have been unfair acts with respect to the subject articles.

Notice of receipt of the complaint was published in the Federal Register on December 16, 1974 (39 F.R. 43597). By notice published in the Federal Register on June 4, 1975 (40 F.R. 24056), the preliminary inquiry was designed to be conducted as an investigation and accordingly assigned docket number 337–TA-15.

By order of the Commission.

Issued: March 24, 1976.

[SEAL]

KENNETH R. MASON, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8814 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGULATORY GUIDE

Issuance and Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a new guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public methods acceptable to the NRC staff of implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations and, in some cases, to delineate techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents and to provide guidance to applicants concerning certain of the information needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Regulatory Guide 10.2 "Guidance to Academic Institutions Applying for Specific Byproduct Material Licenses," describes the type of information that is needed by the NRC staff in their review of applications for specific licenses for the possession and use by academic institutions of byproduct material (reactor-produced radionuclides). It also includes the general principles that will be considered in evaluating an applicant's proposed radiation safety meas-

Comments and suggestions in connection with (1) items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or (2) improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Public comments on Regulatory Guide 10.2 will, however, be particularly useful in evaluating the need for an early revision if received by May 27, 1976.

Comments should be sent to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and

Service Section.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street NW., Washington, D.C. Requests for single copies of issued guides (which may be reproduced) or for placement on an automatic distribution list for single copies of future guides should be made in writing to the Director, Office of Standards Development, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Telephone requests cannot be accommodated. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them.

(5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 22nd day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director,
Office of Standards Development.

[FR Doc.76-8799 Filed 3-26-76:8:46 am]

[Docket No. 50-324]

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT CO. Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nucear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 12 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-62 issued to the Carolina Power and Light Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit 2 (the facility), located in Brunswick County, North Carolina. The amendment is effective as of the date of issuance.

The amendment (1) revises the provisions in the Appendix B (Environmental) Technical Specifications relating to the off-site review and audit function and (2) makes various changes to reflect

the present organizational structure

within the Commission.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR. Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of

this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated February 11, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 12 to License No. DPR-62, (3) the Commission's related letter to Carolina Power & Light Company dated March 18, 1976, and (4) Amendment No. 9 to License No. DPR-62 and related Safety Evaluation which are referenced in the letter. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Southport-Brunswick County Library, 109 W. Moore Street, Southport, North Carolina 28461.

A single copy of items (2), (3), and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operat-

ing Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

CHARLES M. TRAMMELL,
Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of
Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8785 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-324]

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT CO. Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of a amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-62 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (the facility), located in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

The amendment would lower the main steam line low pressure isolation setpoint from 850 psig to 825 psig, in accordance with the licensee's application for Amendment dated December 19, 1975.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules

and regulations.

By April 28, 1976, the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of this amendment to the subject facility operaing license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this Federal REGISTER Notice and Section 2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555 and to Richard E. Jones, Esquire, Carolina Power & Light Company, 336 Fayetteville Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the

disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated December 19, 1975, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C.

and at the Southport-Brunswick County Library, 109 W. Moore Street, Southport, North Carolina 28461. The license amendment and Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

CHARLES M. TRAMMELL, Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8786 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-324]

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT CO.

Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR-62 issued to Carolina Power & Light Company (the licensee) for operation of the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Unit No. 2 (the facility) located in Brunswick County, North Carolina.

The amendment would change the setpoint for (1) isolation of the reactor water cleanup system, (2) initiation of the standby gas treatment system, and (3) isolation of secondary containment from plus 12.5 inches decreasing reactor water level to minus 38 inches decreasing water level. The amendment would also eliminate the automatic closure of certain valves in the residual heat removal system in the event of high drywell pressure. The amendment is proposed by the licensee's application for amendment dated February 2, 1976.

Prior to issuance of the proposed license amendment, the Commission will have made the findings required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations.

By April 28, 1976 the licensee may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of this amendment to the subject facility operating license. Petitions for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of Section 2.714 of 10 CFR Part 2 of the Commission's regulations. A petition for leave to intervene must set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. Such petitions must be filed in accordance with the provisions of this FED-

2.714, and must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section, by the above date. A copy of the petition and/or request for a hearing should be sent to the Executive Legal Director, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555 and to Richard E. Jones, Esquire, Carolina Power & Light Company, 336 Fayette-ville Street, Raleigh, North Carolina 27602, attorney for the licensee.

A petition for leave to intervene must be accompanied by a supporting affidavit which identifies the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which intervention is desired and specifies with particularlity the facts on which the petitioner relies as to both his interest and his contentions with regard to each aspect on which intervention is requested. Petitions stating contentions relating only to matters outside the Commission's jurisdiction will be denied.

All petitions will be acted upon by the Commission or licensing board, designated by the Commission or by the Chairman of the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel. Timely petitions will be considered to determine whether a hearing should be noticed or another appropriate order issued regarding the disposition of the petitions.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and examine and

cross-examine witnesses.

For further details with respect to this action, see the application for amendment dated February 2, 1976, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C. and at the Southport-Brunswick County Library, 109 W. Moore Street. Southport, North Carolina 28461. The license amendment and Safety Evaluation, when issued, may be inspected at the above locations and a copy may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

CHARLES A. TRAMMELL, Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8787 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-254, 50-265]

COMMONWEALTH EDISON CO. AND IOWA-ILLINOIS GAS AND ELECTRIC CO.

Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

ance with the provisions of this FED-ERAL REGISTER Notice and Section sion (the Commission) has issued

Amendment Nos. 24 and 23 to Facility Operating License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30 (respectively), issued to Commonwealth Edison Company (acting for itself and on behalf of the Iowa-Illinois Gas and Electric Company), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Quad Cities Station Units 1 and 2 (the facilities) located in Rock Island County, Illinois. The amend-ments are effective as of their date of issuance.

The amendments incorporated increased surveillance requirements in the Technical Specifications to provide additional assurance that high energy line failures outside of containment will not occur during the short period of time the facilities will be operated prior to completing certain modifications to assure that the facilities can withstand the consequences of postulated ruptures in high energy piping outside of containment.

The application for these amendments complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Notice of the Proposed Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on October 30, 1974 (39 FR 38275). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of the

amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for these amendments dated December 20, 1974 and related filings dated April 22, 1974, July 1, 1974, February 18, 1975, September 16, 1975 and October 21, 1975, (2) Amendment Nos. 24 and 23 to License Nos. DPR-29 and DPR-30, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Moline Public Library, 504-17th Street, Moline, Illinois 60625.

A single copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 8th day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

> RICHARD D. SILVER, Acting Chief, Operating Reac-tors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8788 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-286]

CONSOLIDATED EDISON CO. YORK, INC. AND POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK

Availability of Licensees' Report

Notice is hereby given that Consolidated Edison Company of New York, Inc., and the Power Authority of the State of New York (the licensees) have submitted a report entitled, "Economic and Environmental Impacts of Alternative Closed Cycle Cooling Systems for Indian Point Unit No. 3," dated January 30, 1976, in compliance with Paragraph 2.E.(1) of Facility Operating License DPR-64, as amended, for Unit No. 3, located in Westchester County, New York.

The licensees' report discusses the description, design, economic and environmental impacts of various alternative closed-cycle cooling systems, and based on the information in this report, the licensees have determined that a natural-draft, closed-cycle wet cooling tower system would be the preferred system for installation at Indian Point Unit

No. 3.

After the report has been analyzed by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's (the Commission) Director of Nuclear Reactor Regulation or his designee, a draft environmental statement on closedcycle cooling systems will be prepared. Upon preparation of the draft environmental statement, the Commission will, among other things, cause to be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER a summary notice of availability of the draft environmental statement, with a request for comments from interested persons on the draft environmental statement to the effect that comments from Federal agencies and State and local officials will be made when received. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the draft en-vironmental statement, the staff will prepare a final environmental statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

The Commission is considering the issuance of an amendment to Facility Onerating License No. DPR-64 issued to the licensees for Indian Point Unit No. 3. The amendment as proposed by the licensees was requested at the time of submission of the previously mentioned report. Subject to the staff's acceptance of the licensees' conclusions of the report, the licensees proposed that the license be amended as follows:

"(3) Subject to all of the foregoing provisions of this Paragraph 2.E., the Nuclear Regulatory Commission has determined, following review of the document entitled 'Economic and Environmental Impacts of Alternative Closed-Cycle Cooling Systems for Indian Point Unit No. 3' dated January, 1976 that a closedcycle natural draft, wet cooling tower system is the preferred alternative closed-cycle cooling system for installation at Indian Point Unit No. 3."

The Commission will consider the issuance of an amendment to the facility operating license to the licensees for operation of Indian Point Unit No. 3 in accordance with the provisions of the li-cense, as amended, and the technical specifications appended thereto, upon a finding by the Commission that the request for license amendment complies with the requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), The National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I and that the license amendment will not be inimical to the common defense and security or to the health and safety of the public.

By April 29, 1976, the licensees may file a request for a hearing and any person whose interest may be affected by this proceeding may file a request for a hearing in the form of a petition for leave to intervene with respect to the issuance of the amendment to the subject facility operating license. Requests for a hearing and petitions for leave to intervene shall be filed in accordance with the Commission's Rules of Practice in 10 CFR Part 2. If a request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene is filed within the time prescribed in this notice, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board, designated to preside in this proceeding will . rule on the request for a hearing or the Licensing Board will issue a notice of hearing or other appropriate order regarding the disposition of the petitions.

A petition for leave to intervene must be filed under oath or affirmation in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR § 2.714. It shall set forth the interest of the petitioner in the proceeding, how that interest may be affected by the results of the proceeding, and the petitioner's contentions with respect to the proposed licensing action. The petition shall be accompanied by supporting affidavit identifying the specific aspect or aspects of the proceeding as to which the petitioner wishes to intervene, and specify with particularity the facts pertaining to his interest, and the basis for his contentions with regard to each aspect on which he desires to intervene. A petition which sets forth contentions relating only to matters outside the jurisdiction of the Commission will be denied.

A request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene must be filed with the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Wash-ington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Docketing and Service Section by April 29,

1976. A copy of the petition and/or request for hearing should be sent to the Office of the Executive Legal Director, Chief Hearing Counsel, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, and to Arvin E. Upton, Esquire, LeBoeuf, Lamb, Leiby & MacRae, 1757 N Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, the attorney for the licensees.

In the event that a hearing is held and a person is permitted to intervene, he becomes a party to the proceeding, and has a right to participate fully in the conduct of the hearing. For example, he may present evidence and cross-examine witnesses.

For further details, see: 1) the licensees' request for amendment to the facility operating license dated January 27, 1976 and 2) the licensees' report, "Economic and Environmental Impacts of Alternative Closed-Cycle Cooling Systems for Indian Point Unit No. 3, January 30, 1976." The request for amendment and the licensee's report are available for public inspection in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555 and in the Hendrick Hudson Free Library, 31 Albany Post Road, Montrose, New York. Both items are also available at the New York State Office of Planning Services, 488 Broadway, Albany, New York 12207, and the Tri-State Regional Planning Commission, 1 World Trade Center, New York, New York 10048.

As they become available, the following documents may be inspected at the above locations: (1) the draft environmental statement and (2) the final environmental statement:

Copies of the draft environmental statement, when available, may be obtained by request to the Director, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555. Copies of the Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation's final environmental statement, when available, may be obtained from the National Technical Information Service, Springfield, Virginia 22161.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 23rd day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE W. KNIGHTON, Chief, Environmental Projects Branch 1, Division of Site Safety and Environmental Analysis.

[FR Doc.76-8789 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-409]

DAIRYLAND POWER COOP.

Approval for Facility Modifications and Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has granted approval for modifications to the La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor (LACBWR) and has is-

sued Amendment No. 4 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-45 issued to Dairyland Power Cooperative which revised Technical Specifications for operations of the LACBWR, located in Vernon County, Wisconsin. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The facility modifications will (1) increase the capacity of the LACBWR irradiated fuel storage pool by the installation of additional storage racks for irradiated fuel and shrouds in accordance with the licensee's proposal dated December 12, 1974, as supplemented; and (2) provide protection from a postulated cask drop accident in accordance with the licensee's submittal dated September 14. 1974, as supplemented. The amendment modifies the provisions in the Technical Specifications to isolate the containment building if a spent fuel shipping cask is to be used while irradiate fuel with less than 30 days decay time is present in the storage pool, reducing the potential radiological consequences of cask drop accident, in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated September 5, 1975, as supplemented.

The Commisison has made appropriate findings concerning the facility modifications as required by the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has concluded that approval of the modifications will not be inimical to the common defense or to the health and safety of the public.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Act, and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment.

Notice of Consideration of Proposed Modifications to Facility Irradiated Fuel Storage Pool was published in the Federal Register on March 12, 1975 (40 F.R. 11650). Two parties, the Society Against Nuclear Energy and David S. Simpson. petitioned to intervene in accordance with 10 CFR 2.714 of the Commission's Rules of Practice, and each petition was granted. Subsequently, each party stipulated to withdraw their petitions. On January 30, 1976, the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board issued an Order dismissing the intervention petitions and dismissing the proceeding. Prior public notice in connection with item (2) and the amendment is not required since they do not involve a significant hazards consideration. However, both actions were considered in the proceeding.

In connection with these actions, the Commission has issued a Negative Declaration and Environmental Impact Appraisal. The Negative Declaration was published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on November 19, 1975 (40 F.R. 53631).

For further details with respect to these actions, see (1) the licensee's filings dated December 12, 1974 as supplemented; September 14, 1975, as supplemented; and September 5, 1975, as sup-

plemented; (2) Amendment No. 4 to License No. DPR-45, (3) the Commission's Safety Evaluation dated October 22, 1975, (4) the Commission's Environmental Impact Appraisal dated November 7, 1975, and (5) the Board's Order dated January 30, 1976. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and the La Crosse Public Library, 800 Main Street, La Crosse, Wisconsin.

A copy of items (2), (3), and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to

A copy of items (2), (3), and (4) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555 Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commismission.

ROBERT W. REID, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8790 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-331]

IOWA ELECTRIC LIGHT AND POWER CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 19 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-49 issued to Iowa Electric Light and Power Company, Central Iowa Power Coperative, and Corn Belt Power Cooperative, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Duane Arnold Energy Center, located in Linn County, Iowa. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment will modify the Technical Specifications to permit operation of the facility (1) using a partial fuel loading of 8 x 8 fuel assemblies and (2) with modification to the rod sequence

control system.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Notice of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL REG-ISTER on August 15, 1975 (40 FR 34485). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be pre-

pared in connection with issuance of this

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated May 30, 1975 (supplemented by letters dated January 8, 1976, February 13, 1976, March 9, 1976, and March 12, 1976), (2) Amendment No. 19 to License No. DPR-49, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public . inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Cedar Rapids Public Library, 426 Third Avenue, S.E., Cedar Rapids, Iowa 52401.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 19th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

> GEORGE LEAR, tief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8791 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-219]

JERSEY CENTRAL POWER & LIGHT CO. **Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License**

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 14 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-16 issued to Jersey Central Power & Light Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station, located in Ocean County, New Jersey. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment will modify limiting conditions for operation and surveillance requirements for installed filters in the standby gas treatment system.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated June 30, 1975, and December 17, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 14 to License No. DPR-16, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Ocean County Library, 15 Hooper Avenue, Toms River, New Jersey 08753.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22d day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

GEORGE LEAR. Chief Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8792 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-245]

NORTHEAST NUCLEAR ENERGY CO., ET AL.

Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 23 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-21 issued to Northeast Nuclear Energy Company, the Hartford Electric Light Company, Western Massachusetts Electric Company, and Connecticut Light and Power Company, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Millstone Nuclear Power Station, Unit 1, located in Waterford, Connecticut. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment revises the Technical Specifications to allow a single control rod drive mechanism to be removed from the reactor vessel when the torus is drained.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated August 6, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 23 to License No. DPR- 21, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Waterford Public Library, Rope Ferry Road, Route 156, Waterford Connecticut.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director. Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 18th day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

GEORGE LEAR. Operating Chief. Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8793 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-263]

NORTHERN STATES POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Provisional Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 17 to Provisional Operating License No. DPR-22, issued to the Northern States Power Company (the licensee), which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant (the facility) located in Wright County, Minnesota,

This amendment revised the Administrative Controls Section of the Technical Specifications for the facility to reflect changes in the organizational structure of the corporate headquarters and of the facility. The amendment also clarified the intent of the specification concerning the review process of the Safety Audit Committee.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated April 1, 1975, (2) Amendment No. 17 to License No. DPR-22, and (3) the Commission's concurrently issued Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at The Environmental Conservation Library, Minneapolis Public Library, 300 Nicollet Mall, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401. A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 16th day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

DENNIS L. ZIEMANN, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 2, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8794 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Dockets Nos. 50-277, 50-278]

PHILADELPHIA ELECTRIC CO., ET AL. Issuance of Amendments to Facility Operating Licenses

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendments Nos. 18 and 17 to Facility Operating Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, respectively, issued to Philadelphia Electric Company, Public Service Electric and Gas Company, Delmarva Power and Light Company, and Atlantic City Electric Company, which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Peach Bottom Atomic Power Station, Units 2 and 3, located in Peach Bottom, York County, Pennsylvania. These amendments are effective as of their date of issuance.

These amendments involve the modification of those parts of the Facility Operating License which relate to receipt, possession, and use of byproduct, source and special nuclear material.

The application for these amendments comply with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendments. Prior public notice of these amendments is not required since the amendments do not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of these amendments will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR §51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of these amendments.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendments dated August 29, 1975, (2) Amendments Nos. 18 and 17 to Licenses Nos. DPR-44 and DPR-56, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for pub-

lic inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Martin Memorial Library, 159 E. Market Street, York, Pennsylvania.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 23rd day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

GEORGE LEAR, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8795 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-333]

POWER AUTHORITY OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK AND NIAGARA MOHAWK POWER CORP.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 14 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-59 issued to Power Authority of the State of New York and Niagara Mohawk Corporation which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant, located in Oswego County, New York. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment modifies the provisions in the Technical Specifications relating to Limiting Conditions for Operation associated with the Emergency Core Cooling System (ECCS), with plugged bypass flow holes, and Reactor Core Critical Power Limits and provides for modification of the ECCS to improve its performance in accordance with the licensees' application for amendment dated July 9, 1975.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954. as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by th eAct and th eCommission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Notices of Proposed Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License in connection with this action were published in the FEDERAL REGISTER On August 8, 1975 (40 F.R. 3289), January 9, 1976 (41 F.R. 1657), and January 19, 1976 (41 F.R. 2695). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following the notices of the proposed actions.

The Commission has prepared an environmental impact appraisal for the revised Technical Specifications and has concluded that an environmental impact statement for this particular action is not warranted because there will be no environmental impact attributable to

the proposed action other than that which has already been predicted and described in the Commission's Final Environmental Statement for the James A. FitzPatrick Nuclear Power Plant issued March 1973, and that a negative declaration to this effect is appropriate.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated July 9, 1975, as supplemented July 24, 1975, August 1, 1975, September 12 and 22, 1975, October 28, 1975, December 23, 1975, January 6, 8, 14, 16, 23, 26, 27, and 29, 1976, and February 5 and 11, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 14 to License No. DRP-59, (3) Amendment Nos. 8 and 9, issued January 15 and 30, 1976, respectively, (4) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation, and (5) the Commission's Environmental Impact Appraisal. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C., and at the Oswego City Library, 120 E. Second Street, Oswego, New York.

A copy of items (2), (3), (4), and (5) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 12th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

ROBERT W. REID, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 4, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8796 Filed 3-26-78;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-29]

YANKEE ATOMIC ELECTRIC CO. Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-3 issued to Yankee Atomic Electric Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Yankee Nuclear Power Station located in Rowe, Massachusetts. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

This amendment changes the requirements in the Technical Specifications to account for the effects of xenon redistribution on the allowable fraction of full power.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Prior public notice of this amendment is not required since the amendment does not involve a significant hazards consideration.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR § 51.5(d) (4) an environmental statement, negative declaration, or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of

this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the application for amendment dated February 19, 1976, and supplement dated March 3, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 23 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-3, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, NW., Washington, D.C., and at the Greenfield Public Library, 402 Main Street, Greenfield, Massachusetts 01581.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 17th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

CHARLES M. TRAMMELL, Acting Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8797 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. 50-301]

WISCONSIN ELECTRIC POWER CO. AND WISCONSIN MICHIGAN POWER CO.

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License

Notice is hereby given that the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) has issued. Amendment No. 21 to Facility Operating License No. DPR-27 issued to Wisconsin Electric Power Company and Wisconsin Michigan Power Company which revised Technical Specifications for operation of the Point Beach Nuclear Plant Unit No. 2, located in the Town of Two Creeks, Manitowac County, Wisconsin. The amendment is effective as of its date of issuance.

The amendment will revise the Technical Specifications to modify the fuel residence time limit and allow an increase in normal operating reactor coolant system pressure. The core power distribution limits would be modified and new operating limits established for parameters related to Departure from Nucleate Boiling (DNB) to allow operation of Unit 2 in core Cycle 3.

The application for the amendment complies with the standards and requirements of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended (the Act), and the Commission's rules and regulations. The Commission has made appropriate findings as required by the Act and the Commission's rules and regulations in 10 CFR Chapter I, which are set forth in the license amendment. Notice of Proposed

Issuance of Amendment to Facility Operating License in connection with this action was published in the FEDERAL RECISTER on February 5, 1976 (41 F.R. 5354). No request for a hearing or petition for leave to intervene was filed following notice of the proposed action.

The Commission has determined that the issuance of this amendment will not result in any significant environmental impact and that pursuant to 10 CFR \$51.5(d)(4) an environmental statement, negative declaration or environmental impact appraisal need not be prepared in connection with issuance of this amendment.

For further details with respect to this action, see (1) the applications for amendment dated December 22, 1975, January 29, 1976 and March 5, 1976, (2) Amendment No. 21 to License No. DPR-27, and (3) the Commission's related Safety Evaluation. All of these items are available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. and at the Document Department, University of Wisconsin—Stevens Point Library, Stevens Point, Wisconsin.

A copy of items (2) and (3) may be obtained upon request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C., 20555, Attention: Director, Division of Operating Reactors.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 22nd day of March, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

GEORGE LEAR, Chief, Operating Reactors Branch No. 3, Division of Operating Reactors.

[FR Doc.76-8798, Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket No. P-512-A]

OHIO EDISON COMPANY, ET AL.

Notice of Receipt of Partial Application for Construction Permits and Facility Licenses: Time for Submission of Views on Antitrust Matters

The Ohio Edison Company, on its behalf and as agent for The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, the Duquesne Light Company, The Toledo Edison Company, and the Pennsylvania Power Company (the applicants), pursuant to Section 103 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, has filed with the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) one part of an application, dated January 16, 1976, in connection with their plans to construct and operate two pressurized water reactors to be known as Erie Nuclear Plant, Units 1 and 2 (the facilities) in Eric County. Ohio. Each facility will be designed to operate at core thermal power levels not to exceed 3600 megawatts. The portion of the application filed, which was docketed on February 23, 1976, contains the information requested by the Attorney General for the purpose of an antitrust review of the application as set forth in 10 CFR, Part 50, Appendix L.

It is anticipated that the Preliminary Safety Analysis Report will be tendered for an acceptance review by December, 1976. Tendering of the Environmental Report is anticipated in September, 1976. Upon receipt of the remaining portions of the application dealing with radiological health and safety (Preliminary Safety Analysis Report) and environmental matters (Environmental Report), separate notices of receipt, will be published by the Commission, including an appropriate notice of hearing.

A copy of the partial application is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20555. Docket No. P-512-A has been assigned to this portion of the application and it should be referenced in any cor-

respondence relating to it.

Any person who wishes to have his views on the antitrust matters of the application presented to the Attorney General for consideration should submit such views to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Chief, Office of Antitrust and Indemnity, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, on or before May 7, 1976.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 26th day of February, 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

JOHN F. STOLZ, Chief, Light Water Reactors Branch No. 1, Division of Project Management.

[FR Doc.76-6647 Filed 3-5-76;8:45 am]

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL STATEMENT ON TRANSPORTATION OF RADIDACTIVE MATERIAL BY AIR AND OTHER MODES

Availability

In its advance notice of rule making action published in the FEDERAL REGISTER on June 2, 1975 (40 FR 23768), the Nuclear Regulatory Commission noted that a generic environmental impact statement would be prepared on the air transportation of radioactive materials, including packaging and related ground transportation. Although the statement was to be directed at air transportation, other transportation modes-land and water-were to be considered in light of the requirement of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA) that the relative cost and benefit of alternatives to certain proposed Federal actions be fully considered.

Pursuant to the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR Part 51, "Licensing and Regulatory Policy and Procedures for Environmental Protection," the Commission's Office of Standards Development has prepared a draft environmental impact statement in connection with the advance notice of rule making action. The statement is avail-

able for inspection by the public in the Commission's Public Document Room at 1717 H Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Single copies of the statement (NUREG-0034) may be obtained by written request addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Office of Standards Development.

Pursuant to 10 CFR Part 51, interested persons may submit comments on the Draft Environmental Statement for the Commission's consideration. Comments are due by May 17, 1976. All written comments will be made available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room in Washington, D.C. Upon consideration of comments submitted with respect to the Draft Environmental Statement, the Commission's staff will prepare a Final Environmental Statement, the availability of which will be published in the FEDERAL REGISTER.

Comments on the Draft Environmental Statement from interested persons should be addressed to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555, Attention: Director, Office of Standards Development.

(5 U.S.C. 522(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland this 24th day of March 1976.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commis-

ROBERT B. MINOGUE,
Director,
Office of Standards Development.
[FR Doc.76-8871 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Docket Nos. 50-440, 50-441]

CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING CO. ET AL.

Notice of Hearing

In the matter of Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company et al, (Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2).

Hearing in the above proceeding will be held commencing, by stipulation of parties, at 10:00 a.m., on Thursday, April 1, 1976, in Room 124, U.S. Court House, 201 Superior Avenue, Cleveland, Ohio, to consider an amendment to a previously-issued Limited Work Authorization for the placement of reinforcing steel for the reactor building in the reactor building excavation.

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland, this 25th day of March, 1976.

For the Atomic Safety and Licensing Board.

JOHN M. FRYSIAK, Chairman.

[FR Doc.76-8994 Filed 3-26-76;9:10 am]

OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

CLEARANCE OF REPORTS

List of Requests

The following is a list of requests for clearance of reports intended for use in

collecting information from the public received by the Office of Management and Budget on March 24, 1976 (44 U.S.C. 3509). The purpose of publishing this list in the Federal Register is to inform the public.

The list includes the title of each request received; the name of the agency sponsoring the proposed collection of information; the agency form number(s), if applicable; the frequency with which the information is proposed to be collected; the name of the reviewer or reviewing division within OMB, and an indication of who will be the respondents to the proposed collection.

Requests for extension which appear to raise no significant issues are to be approved after brief notice through this release.

Further information about the items on this daily list may be obtained from the clearance office, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, D.C. 20503 (202–395–4529), or from the reviewer listed.

NEW FORMS

DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agriculture Research Service, Evaluation of Nutrition Labeling Publications, CFE 35, single-time, home economics teachers, Lowry, R. L., 395-3772.

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION,
AND WELFARE

Social Security Administration, Hospital Accounting Questionnaire, SSA-3287, single-time, 1,200 hospitals chosen by a stratified random sample, Caywood, D. P., 395-3443.

PHILLIP D. LARSEN, Budget and Management Officer. [FR Doc.76-8891 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON GNP DATA IMPROVEMENT

Public Meeting Rescheduled

The meeting of the Advisory Committee on GNP Data Improvement originally schedule for Thursday, March 25, 1976 has been postponed due to the late delivery of mailed materials. All persons known to us to be interested in this meeting have been contacted concerning this change. Notice of this change was also posted at the time and place of the originally scheduled meeting. The meeting has been rescheduled for Tuesday, March 30, 1976 in Room 4203 New Executive Office Building, 726 Jackson Place, N.W., Washington, D.C. beginning at 9:45 a.m.

The purpose of the meeting is the same as that of the previously scheduled meeting announced in the FEDERAL REGISTER, Vol. 41, No. 30—Thursday, Friday 12, 1976, to review drafts of recommendations for the final report.

Waiver of the 15 day public notice in the FEDERAL REGISTER was approved by the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

> VELMA N. BALDWIN, Assistant to the Director for Administration.

[FR Doc.76-9023 Filed 3-26-76;10:52 am]

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

[Release No. 34-12198; File No. SR-BSECC-76-1]

SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATIONS Boston Stock Exchange Clearing Corp.

Pursuant to Section 19(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s(b) (1), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on January 27, 1976 the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change setting forth procedures for the implementation of its mark-to-market requirement. Letters dated February 2, and March 9, 1976 from the Boston Stock Exchange Clearing Corporation ("BSECC") to the Commission amending the submission were incorporated in the BSECC submission and included in File No. SR-BSECC-76-1. The BSECC requested that the proposed rule change be put into effect summarily pursuant to Section 19(b)(3) (B) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the "Act").

STATEMENT OF THE TERMS OF SUBSTANCE OF THE PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

The proposed rule change is a notification to members of the BSECC clearing securities trades of \$250,000 or less made upon the Boston Stock Exchange ("BSE") to mark those trades to market under existing Rule 11 of the BSECC. Procedures for the determination and payment of members' mark-to-market obligations and for payments by the BSECC in case of default are set forth. A charge of \$.01 per \$500 purchase or sale is imposed with respect to all trades by members in order to fund the BSECC's oligations in connection with the procedure.

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

The basis and purpose of the foregoing proposed rule change is as follows:

PURPOSE OF PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

The purpose of the proposed rule change is to protect members of BSECC from losses in connection with trades made upon the BSE having a contract price of \$250,000 or less.

BASIS UNDER THE ACT FOR PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

The Proposed Rule Change: (i) relates to (a) the capacity of BSECC to facilitate the prompt and accurate clearance and settlement of securities transactions for which it is responsible (b) the ability of any registered broker or dealer, other clearing agency, registered investment company, bank or insurance company to become a participant in the clearing agency and (c) the equitable allocation of charges among participants, and

(ii) protects investors and the public interest, by

(1) providing protection to its members against loss by reason of the failure of another member to honor its contract for the purpose or sale of securities,

thereby encouraging eligible entities to participate in clearing through the BAECC.

(2) allocating losses occasioned by the failure of any member among all members by requiring their payments to a fund instead of randomly to individual members through the default of the other party in a security transaction; and

(3) protecting the investor and the public interest by protecting members with whom the investors may deal against loss occurring as a result of the

failure of third parties.

The proposed rule change accomplishes these goals through obligating the BSECC to pay to a non-defaulting member the difference between the market price at the time of close out and the contract price for a trade in the case of a failure by any member to settle a trade. The obligation of the corporation is limited to, and supported by, the market-to-market security accounts maintained with it pursuant to the proposed rule, a \$50,000 fund to be funded out of the interim assessment of \$.01 per \$500 in contract value described in the proposed rule, and a \$50.000 reserve.

COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM MEMBERS, PAR-TICIPANTS OR OTHERS ON PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

BURDEN ON COMPETITION

No comments were or are to be solicitated.

It is believed that the proposed rule change does not constitute a burden on competition.

It appears to the Commission that summary effectiveness of the abovementioned proposed rule change is necessary for the protection of investors, the maintenance of fair and orderly markets, and the safeguarding of securities and funds. Specifically, the rule change decreases the risk of loss arising from failure to settle securities transactions occurring on the BSE.

It is therefore ordered, pursuant to Section 19(b) (3) (B) of the Act that the above-mentioned rule change be, and it

hereby is, effective.

At any time within sixty days of the filing of such proposed rule change asamended, the Commission may summarily abrogate such rule change if it appears to the Commission that such action is necessary or appropriate in the public interest, for the protection of investors, or otherwise in furtherance of the purposes of the Act.

Interested persons are invited to submit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file 6 copies thereof with the Secretary of the Commission, Securities and Exchange Commission, 500 North Capitol Street, Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the filing with respect to the foregoing and of all written submissions will be available for inspection and copying in Public Reference Room, 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Copies of such filing will also be available for inspection

and copying at the principal office of the above-mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number referenced in the caption above and should be submitted within 21 days of the date of this publication.

For the Commission, by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS, Secretary.

MARCH 12, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8836 Filed 3-26-76;3:45 am]

[Release No. 34-12239; File No. SR-NYSE-76-20]

SELF-REGULATORY ORGANIZATION

New York Stock Exchange, Inc.

Pursuant to Section 19(b) (1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, 15 U.S.C. 78s (b) (1), as amended by Pub. L. No. 94-29, 16 (June 4, 1975), notice is hereby given that on March 16, 1976, the above mentioned self-regulatory organization filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission a proposed rule change as follows: Statement of the Terms of Substance of the Proposed Rule Change.

REGISTRATION OF SPECIALISTS

Rule 103. No member shall act as a specialist on the Floor in any security unless such member is registered as a specialist in such security with the Exchange and utiless the Exchange has approved of his so acting as a specialist and has not withdrawn such approval; provided, however, that the Exchange may exempt relief specialists from the provisions of this Rule, upon such conditions as it may prescribe.

If the Exchange shall have found any substantial or continued failure by a specialist to engage in a course of dealings for his own account to assist in the maintenance, so far as practicable, of a fair and orderly market, the registration of such specialist shall be subject to suspension or cancellation by the Exchange in one or more of the stocks in which he is registered.

In addition, if the Exchange shall have found any specialist to have been guilty of (1) conduct inconsistent with just and equitable principles of trade, (2) acts detrimental to the interest or welfare of the Exchange or (3) conduct contrary to an established practice of the Exchange, the registration of such specialist shall be subject to suspension or cancellation by the Exchange in one or more of the stocks in which he is registered.

As a condition of a member's registration as a specialist in one or more securities, the Board of Directors may at any time require such member to register with the Exchange and act as an odd-lot dealer in such securities under Rule 101.

STATEMENT OF BASIS AND PURPOSE

The basis and purpose of the foregoing proposed rule change is as follows:

PURPOSE OF PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

At present only one member organization, Carlisle DeCoppet & Co., has Exchange members affiliated with it that are registered with the Exchange under Rule 101 to act as odd-lot dealers on the Floor of the Exchange. This member organization handles all odd-lot orders, that are transmitted by the member firm community to the Exchange for execution, in all Exchange listed stocks.

Recently, Carlisle DeCoppet & Co. notified the Exchange that it no longer wished to remain in business. As a result, the Exchange's Board of Directors approved at its March 4th meeting, the purchase by the Exchange of Carlisle's odd-lot system in order to insure uninterrupted odd-lot service to the member fifm community and the investing public. It is anticipated that the Exchange's takeover of the odd-lot system will be effective on May 17, 1976.

Since it is an essential part of the Exchange's operating plan that odd-lot orders in each Exchange listed stock will be executed for the account of the odd-lot dealer in that stock; and, that the specialist will act also as odd-lot dealer, it will be necessary to have a rule providing for the registration of specialists as odd-lot dealers.

BASIS UNDER THE ACT FOR PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

The proposed change in Rule 103 of the Exchange relates to Section 11(b) of the Act insofar as that Section allows a national securities exchange to have rules relating to the registration of its members as odd-lot dealers.

The proposed change in Rule 103 of the Exchange also relates to item (v) (B) of item 4 of Form 19b-4A in that the proposed rule change if approved will serve to protect investors and will be in the public interest since it will insure that the Exchange will be in a position to provide uninterrupted odd-lot service to the member firm community and the investing public.

COMMENTS RECEIVED FROM MEMBERS, PARTICIPANTS OR OTHERS ON PROPOSED RULE CHANGE

The Exchange has not solicited comments regarding the proposed change to Rule 103 and has received none.

BURDEN ON COMPETITION

The Exchange is of the opinion that the proposed rule change will not impose any burden on competition.

Within 35 days of the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, or within such longer period (i) as the Commission may designate up to 90 days of such date if it finds such longer period to be appropriate and publishes its reasons for so finding or (ii) as to which the above mentioned self-regulatory organization consents, the Commission

(A) by order approve such proposed rule change, or

(B) institute proceedings to determine whether the proposed rule change should

be disapproved.

Interested persons are invited to sub-mit written data, views and arguments concerning the foregoing. Persons desiring to make written submissions should file six copies thereof with the Secretary of the Con.mission, Securities and Exchange Commission, Washington, D.C. 20549. Copies of the filing with respect to the foregoing and of all written submissions will be available for inspection and copying in the Public Reference Room, 1100 L Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. Copies of such filings will also be available for inspection and copying at the principal office of the above mentioned self-regulatory organization. All submissions should refer to the file number referenced in the caption above and should be submitted within 30 days of the date of this publication.

For the Commission by the Division of Market Regulation, pursuant to delegated authority.

[SEAL] GEORGE A. FITZSIMMONS. Secretary.

MARCH 22, 1976.

[FR Doc.76-8837 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

VETERANS ADMINISTRATION. PRIVACY ACT OF 1974

Notice of Proposed Additional Routine Use

Notice is hereby given that the Veterans Administration is considering adding a new statement to describe an additional routine use for one of the systems of VA records which were set forth on pages 38095-38127 of the FEDERAL REGIS-TER of August 26, 1975 and adopted by notice published on page 47980 of the Federal Register of October 10, 1975. The VA has identified an additional routine use which was not described in the original notice. This proposed use is not a new use for the system involved; rather, it was overlooked in the preparation of the initial notice. The proposed statement which follows involves the routine uses of records in this system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses. Its adoption will obviate the need for written consent of an insured in certain specified cases which would involve the disclosure of information pertaining to that individual.

Interested persons are invited to submit written comments, suggestions, or objections regarding the proposal to the Administrator of Veterans Affairs (217 A), Veterans Administration, 810 Vermont Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20420. All relevant material received before April 26, 1976 will be considered. All written comments received will be available for public inspection at the above address only between the hours of 8 am and 4:30 pm Monday through Friday (except holidays), during the mentioned 30-day period and for 10 days thereafter. Any person visiting Central Office for the purpose of inspecting any such comments will be received by the Central Office Veterans Assistance Unit in room 132. Such visitors to any VA field station will be informed that the comments are available for inspection only in Central Office and furnished the address and the above room number.

Notice is given that it is proposed to make this description effective September 27, 1975, the effective date of section 3, Pub. L. 93-579.

Approved: March 23, 1976. By direction of the Administrator:

> ODELL W. VAUGHN. Deputy Administrator.

NOTICE OF SYSTEM OF RECORDS

In the system, "Veterans and Armed Forces Personnel U.S. Government In-Force Life Insurance Records-VA", appearing at 40 FR 38111, the following routine use is added to read as follows

System Name: Veterans and Armed Forces Personnel U.S. Government In-Force Life Insurance Records-VA

Routine uses of records maintained in the system, including categories of users and the purposes of such uses:

Insurance contract information and other miscellaneous information may be disclosed from this system of records to an attorney, trust officer, or insurance agent acting on behalf of an insured. Beneficiary and option information is excluded unless the consent of the insured has been obtained.

[FR Doc.76-8767 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

INTERSTATE COMMERCE COMMISSION

[Notice No. 11]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

MARCH 24, 1976.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation, or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates. The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 13179 Sub 4, R. C. Williams, Inc., now being assigned June 2, 1976 (3 days), at Kansas City, Mo., in a hearing room to be

later designated.
MC 113908 Sub 241, Erickson Transport Corp., now being assigned June 7, 1976 (1 Week), at Kansas City, Mo. in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 139495 (Sub 95), National Carriers, Inc. now being assigned June 11, 1976 (1 day) at Chicago, Illinois in a hearing room to be later designated.

AB 31 (Sub 2), Grand Trunk Western Rail-road Company Abandonment Between Marne and Grand Haven, Ottawa County,

Michigan now being assigned June 8, 1976 (2 days) at Coopersville, Michigan in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC-F-12657, Briggs Transportation Purchase (Portion)—Arrow Motor Transit, Inc. now being assigned June 14, 1976 (1 week) at Chicago, Illinois in a hearing room to be later designated.

MC 105457 (Sub 84), Thurston Motor Lines, Inc. now being assigned April 20, 1976 (2 weeks) in Birmingham, Alabama and will be held in GSA Conference Room, Second Floor, U.S. Courthouse and Federal Build-1800 5th Avenue North.

MC 116514 (Sub 34), Edwards Trucking, Inc. now being assigned May 20, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commis-

sion in Washington, D.C.

MC 93980 (Sub 61), Vance Trucking Company, Inc. now being assigned June 9, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

MC-F-12675, O.N.C. Freight Systems—Controll-Rocor Truck Lines and Rocor International—Control—Altruk Freight Systems, Tractor Inc. and Ameri-Con Cartage now being assigned May 8, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Com-

mission in Washington, D.C. IC 124887 (Sub 15), Shelton Trucking Service, Inc. now being assigned June 3, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

FCC-59, Associated Air Freight, Inc.—Revo-cation of Freight Forwarder Permit. now being assigned June 2, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission in Washington, D.C.

MC 139495 (Sub 111) National Carriers, Inc. now being assigned June 6, 1976 at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Com-

mission in Washington, D.C.

MC 141218, Nuclear Diagnostic Laboratories, Inc. now assigned April 26, 1976 (1 week) at White Plains, New York and will be held in the White Plains Hotel, Emerald Room, 4th Floor, South Broadway.

ROBERT L. OSWALD. Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8828 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 210]

MOTOR CARRIER BOARD TRANSFER **PROCEEDINGS**

MARCH 29, 1976.

Synopses of orders entered by the Motor Carrier Board of the Commission pursuant to Sections 212(b), 206(a), 211, 312(b), and 410(g) of the Interstate Commerce Act, and rules and regulations prescribed thereunder (49 C.F.R. Part 1132), appear below:

Each application (except as otherwise specifically noted) filed after March 27, 1972, contains a statement by applicants that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human en-vironment resulting from approval of the application. As provided in the Commission's Special Rules of Practice any interested person may file a petition seeking reconsideration of the following numbered proceedings on or before April 19, 1976. Pursuant to Section 17(8) of the Interstate Commerce Act, the filing of such a petition will postpone the effective date of the order in that proceeding pending its disposition. The matters relied upon by petitioners must be specified in their petitions with particularity.

No. MC-FC-76164. By order of March 18, 1976 the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Western National, Inc., Green Bay, Wisconsin, of the entire Certificate No. MC 51146 (Sub-No. 290) issued June 4, 1973, and portions of Certificates Nos. MC 51146 (Sub-Nos. 173, 243, and 314) issued November 4, 1971, May 21, 1973, and December 6, 1974, to Schneider Transport, Inc., Green Bay Wisconsin, authorizing the transportation of various commodities, from, to, and between specified points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Montana, New Mexico, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Washington, and Wyoming. Charles W. Singer, 2440 E. Commercial Blvd., Ft. Lauderdale, Fla. 33308, Attorney for Applicants.

No. MC-FC-76430. By order of Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Eugene E. Langner, Wichita, Kans. of the operating rights in Certificate No. MC 126108 issued November 24, 1964, to Sunflower Company, Inc., Wichita, Kans., authorizing the transportation of wheat, standard middlings, bran, mill feed, and wheat grey shorts, from Wichita, Kans., to Beebe, Clarksville, Conway, Damascus, Danville, Fort Smith, Heber Springs, Hot Springs, Mena, Morrilton, and Russellville, Ark. Earl C. Moore, 243 N. Hillside, Wichita, Kans. 67214, Attorney for Applicants.

No. MC-FC-76431. By order of March 23, 1976 the Motor Carrier Board approved the transfer to Dana Transport, Inc., Freehold, N.J., of that portion of Certificate No. MC 43888 issued by the Commission July 18, 1968, to Jesse H. Neufeld, Inc., New York, N.Y., authorizing the transportation of general commodities, except household goods as defined by the Commission, between New York, N.Y., on the one hand, and, on the other, points in New Jersey within 25 miles of Newark, N.J. John L. Alfano, Esquire, Attorney for Transferor, 550 Mamaroneck Avenue, Harrison, NY 10528. Mr. Robert B. Pepper, Registered Practitioner for Transferee, 168 Woodbridge Avenue, Highland, Park, NJ 08904.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8829 Filed 3-26-76:8:45 am]

[Notice No. 211]

MOTOR CARRIER TRANSFER PROCEEDINGS

MARCH 29, 1976.

Application filed for temporary authority under Section 210a(b) in connection with transfer application under Section 212(b) and Transfer Rules, 49 C.F.R. Part 1132:

No. MC-FC-76477. By application filed March 18, 1976, JAYMAR TRUCKING CORP., 348 Ocean Avenue, Jersey City, N.J., seeks temporary authority to lease the operating rights of SHARON TRUCKING CORP., 591 Summit Avenue, Jersey City, N.J., under section 210a (b). The Transfer to JAYMAR TRUCKING CORP., of the operating rights of

SHARON TRUCKING, is presently pending.

By the Commission.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8830 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 37]

MOTOR CARRIER TEMPORARY AUTHORITY APPLICATIONS

MARCH 24, 1976.

Important Notice: The following are notices of filing of applications for temporary authority under Section 210a(a) of the Interstate Commerce Act provided for under the provisions of 49 C.F.R. § 1131.3. These rules provide that an original and six (6) copies of protests to an application may be filed with the field official named in the FEDERAL REGISTER publication no later than the 15th calendar day after the date the notice of the filing of the application is published in the FEDERAL REGISTER. One copy of the protest must be served on the applicant, or its authorized representative, if any, and the protestant must certify that such service has been made. The protest must identify the operating authority upon which it is predicated, specifying the "MC" docket and "Sub" number and quoting the particular portion of authority upon which it relies. Also, the protestant shall specify the service it can and will provide and the amount and type of equipment it will make available for use in connection with the service contemplated by the TA application. The weight accorded a protest shall be governed by the completeness and pertinence of the protestant's information.

Except as otherwise specifically noted, each applicant states that there will be no significant effect on the quality of the human environment resulting from approval of its application.

A copy of the application is on file, and can be examined at the Office of the Secretary Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., and also in the I.C.C. Field Office to which protests are to be transmitted.

MOTOR CARRIERS OF PROPERTY

No. MC 531 (Sub-No. 331TA), filed March 15, 1976. Applicant: YOUNGER BROTHERS, INC., 4904 Griggs Road, Houston, Tex. 77021. Applicant's representative: Wray E. Hughes (same address as applicant), Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Silicon tetrachioride, in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Phoenix, Ariz., to Tuscola, Ill., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Cabot Corporation, 125 High St., Boston, Mass. 02110. Send protests to: District Supervisor, Mensing, Interstate Commerce Commission, 8610 Pederal Bldg., 515 Rusk, Houston, Tex. 77002.

No. MC 30844 (Sub-No. 565TA), filed March 16, 1976. Applicant: KROBLIN REFRIGERATED XPRESS, INC., 2125 Commercial St., Waterloo, Iowa 50704. Applicant's representative: John P. Rhodes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Connecticut, Delaware, Maryland, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Pernsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to named points, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. 68731. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 109294 (Sub-No. 22TA), filed March 15, 1976, Applicant: COMMER-CIAL TRUCK CO. LTD., 90 Leeder Ave., P.O. Box 1219, Coquitlam, B.C., Canada. Applicant's representative: Michael D. Duppenthaler, 607 Third Ave., Seattle, Wash. 98104. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, irregular routes, transporting: Fiber board, from Bellevue, Wash., to the United States-Canada International Boundary Line, located at or near Blaine, Lynden, and Sumas, Wash., shipments destined for Vancouver, B.C., and surrounding areas, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Delta Structural Cores, Ltd., 12003 Old Yale Road, Surrey, B.C., Canada. Send protests to: L. D. Boone, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 858 Federal Bldg., Seattle. Wash. 98174.

No. MC 111170 (Sub-No. 227TA), filed March 12, 1976. Applicant: WHEELING PIPE LINE, INC., P.O. Box 1718, E. Dorado, Ark. 71730. Applicant's representative: Tom E. Moore (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle. over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid pipe coating, a coal tar derivative. in bulk, in tank vehicles, from Lone Star. Tex., to Eunice, La., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Irish Pipe Coating, Inc., 2504 Flournoy-Lucas Road, Shreveport, La., 71108. Send protests to: William H. Land, Jr., District Supervisor, 3108 Federal Office Bldg., 700 West Capitol, Little Rock, Ark. 72201.

No. MC 111274 (Sub-No. 10TA), filed March 19, 1976. Applicant: ELMER C. SCHMIDGALL AND BENJAMIN G. SCHMIDGALL, doing business as SCHMIDGALL TRANSFER, Box 249, Tremont, Ill. 61568. Applicant's representative: Frederick C. Schmidgall, Box 356, Morton, Ill. 61550. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Grain elevators, grain elevator materials, and components, from Mackinaw, Ill., to points in the United States (except Alaska and Hawaii); and Canada, under a continuing contract with Hunter Manufacturing, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Hunter Manufacturing, Inc., 205 N. Main, Mackinaw, Ill. 61755. Send protests to: Patricia A. Roscoe, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 113362 (Sub-No. 294TA), filed March 16, 1976. Applicant: ELLS-WORTH FREIGHT LINES, INC., 310 East Broadway, Eagle Grove, Iowa 50533. Applicant's representative: Milton D. Adams, P.O. Box 562, Austin, Minn. 55912. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of A Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Dakota, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Dakota, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, Wisconsin, and the District of Columbia, restricted to traffic originating at and destined to named points, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. 68731. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 113624 (Sub-No. 73TA), filed March 12, 1976. Applicant: WARD TRANSPORT, INC., P.O. Box 100, Commerce City, Colo. 80022. Applicant's representative: Leslie R. Kehl, Suite 1600 Lincoln Center Bldg., 1660 Lincoln St., Denver, Colo. 80203. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Coal tar products; (1) from Minnequa, Colo., to points in Nebraska; and (2) from Sugar City, Colo., to points in Kansas, Nebraska, New Mexico, Oklahoma, and Texas, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: B.S.P., Inc., P.O. Box 26581, Denver, Colo. 80226. Send protests to: Roger L. Buchanan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 721 19th St., 492 U.S. Customs House, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 115092 (Sub-No. 41TA), filed March 15, 1976. Applicant: TOMAHAWK

TRUCKING, INC., P.O. Box O, Vernal, Utah 84078. Applicant's representative: Raymond Kier (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Plastic foam panel with backing, from Belvidere, Ill., to points in Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, souri, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Wisconsin, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Apache Foam Products Co., 1005 McKinley Ave., Belvidere. Ill. 61008. Send protests to: Lyle D. Helfer, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 5301 Federal Bldg., 125 South State St., Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.

No. MC 118034 (Sub-No. 24TA), filed March 15, 1976. Applicant: MILLER TRUCK LINE, INC., 901 NE. 28th St., Fort Worth, Tex. 76106. Applicant's representative: Mert Starnes, P.O. Box 2207, Austin Tex. 78767. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meat, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk, in tank vehicles), from the plantsite of Swift & Company, at Clovis, N. Mex., and Guy-mon, Okla., to Memphis, Tenn., re-stricted to the transportation of traffic originating at the plantsites of Swift & Company and destined to Memphis, Tenn., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days operating authority. Supporting shipper: Swift Fresh Meats Company, A Division of Swift & Company, 115 W. Jackson Blvd., Chicago, Ill. 60604.

No. MC 118883 (Sub-No. 4TA), filed March 16, 1976. Applicant: VAN E. HAMLETT, P.O. Box 8009, Nashville, Tenn. 37207. Applicant's representative: Roland M. Lowell, 618 United American Bank Bldg., Nashville, Tenn. 37219. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Dry fertilizer, from Nashville, Tenn., and Humboldt, Tenn., and their commercial zones to points in Alabama, on, north, and west of a line beginning at the intersection of U.S. Highway 78, and the Mississippi-Alabama state line, thence over U.S. Highway 78 to the intersection of U.S. Highway 78 and U.S. Highway 278, thence over U.S. Highway 278 to the intersection of U.S. Highway 411, thence over U.S. Highway 411 to the Alabama-Georgia state line, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Federal Chemical Company, 4800 Centennial Blvd., Nashville, Tenn. 37209. Send protests to: Joe J. Tate, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, Suite A-422 U.S. Courthouse, 801 Broadway, Nashville, Tenn 37203.

No. MC 119657 (Sub-No. 20TA), filed March 10, 1976. Applicant: GEORGE

TRANSIT LINE, INC., 760-764 NE. 47th Place, Des Moines, Iowa 51313, Applicant's representative: Kenneth F. Dudley, 611 Church St., P.O. Box 279, Ottumwa, Iowa 52501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Paper and paper products, supplies, and equipment, used in the manufacture, sales, and distribution of paper and paper products, from Des Moines, Iowa, to points in Indiana, Kentucky, Texas, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Hoerner Waldorf Corporation, 2250 Wabash Ave., St. Paul, Minn. 55114. Send protests to: Herbert W. Allen, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 518 Federal Bldg., Des Moines, Iowa 50309.

No. MC 129994 (Sub-No. 12TA), filed March 12, 1976. Applicant: RAY BETHERS TRUCKING, INC., 176 West Central Ave., Salt Lake City, Utah 84107. Applicant's representative: Lon Rodney Kump., 200 Law Bldg., 333 East Fourth South, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Gypsum board paper, from Denver, Colo., to Sigurd, Utah, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Georgia-Pacific Corporation, 900 SW. Fifth Ave., Portland. Oreg. 97204. Send protests to: Lyle D. Helfer, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 5301 Federal Bldg., 125 South State St., Salt Lake City, Utah 84138.

No. MC 133566 (Sub-No. 54TA), filed March 15, 1976. Applicant: GANGLOFF & DOWNHAM TRUCKING CO., INC., P.O. Box 479, Logansport, Ind. 46947. Applicant's representative: Charles W. Beinhauer, 1224 17th St. NW., Washington, D.C. 20036. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Connecticut, Illinois, Indiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Missouri, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, Vermont, restricted to traffic originating at destined to named points, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. Send protests to: J. H. Gray, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 345 West Wayne St., Room 204, Fort Wayne, Ind. 46802.

No. MC 134060 (Sub-No. 14TA), filed March 12, 1976. Applicant: DAVINDER FREIGHTWAYS LTD., Duncan Financial Centre, Duncan, British Columbia,

Canada 2P8. Applicant's representative: James T. Johnson, 1610 IBM Bldg., Seattle, Wash. 98101. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Clay brick, from Tacoma, Wash., to point of entry on the United States-Canada Boundary line at or near Blaine, Wash.. restricted to traffic moving to Vancouver Island, British Columbia, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Ocean Construction Supplies (Victoria) (Ltd.), P.O. Box 1270, Victoria, B.C., Canada. Send protests to: L. D. Boone, Transportation Specialist, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 858 Federal Bldg., Seattle, Wash. 98174.

No. MC 134730 (Sub-No. 6TA), filed March 19, 1976. Applicant: METALS TRANSPORT, INC., 2469 North 100th St., Wauwatosa, Wis. 53226. Applicant's representative: Maury Dawes (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Scrap metal, from Findlay, Ohio, to Gary, Ind., under a continuing contract with Vulcan Materials Company, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Vulcan Materials Company, P.O. Box 7297, Birmingham, Ala. 35223. Send protests to: John E. Ryden, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 135 West Wells St., Milwaukee, Wis. 53203.

No. MC 135007 (Sub-No. 52TA), filed March 15, 1976. Applicant: AMERICAN TRANSPORT, INC., 7850 F St., Omaha, Nebr. 68127, Applicant's representative: Frederick J. Coffman, 521 South 14th St., Lincoln. Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products, and meat byproducts and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Ccrtifi-cates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, Virginia, West Virginia, and the District of Columbia, under a continuing contract with Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., for 180 days. Supporting Shipper: Richard J. Loose, Manager Rates & Regulatory Affairs, Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. 68731. Send protests to: Carroll Russell, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Suite 620, 110 North 14th St., Omaha, Nebr. 68102.

No. MC 138018 (Sub-No. 27TA), filed March 11, 1976. Applicant: REFRIGERATED FOODS, 1420 33rd St., P.O. Box 1018, Denver, Colo. 80201. Applicant's representative: Joseph W. Harvey (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Meats, meat products,

meat byproducts, and articles distributed by meat packinghouses, as described in Sections A and C of Appendix I to the report in Descriptions in Motor Carrier Certificates, 61 M.C.C. 209 and 766 (except hides and commodities in bulk), from the plantsite and/or storage facilities utilized by Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., at or near Amarillo, Tex., to points in Arizona, California, Colorado, Idaho, Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, New Mexico, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, South Dakota, Utah, Washington, Wisconsion, and Wyoming, for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Iowa Beef Processors, Inc., Dakota City, Nebr. 68731. Send protests to: Roger L. Buchanan, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, 721 19th St., 492 U.S. Customs House, Denver, Colo. 80202.

No. MC 140808 (Sub-No. 2TA), filed March 9, 1976. Applicant: GARY MATHENY, R.R. 1, Lebanon, Nebr. 69086. Applicant's representative: A. J. Swanson, Box 81849, Lincoln, Nebr. 68501. Authority sought to operate as a common carrier, by motor vehicle, over irragular routes, transporting: Fertilizer, fertilizer ingredients, and agricultural herbicides and insecticides (except commodities in bulk); (1) Between the facilities of Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., at or near McCook, Hastings, and Crawford, Nebr.; and Courtland, Lawrence, and Dodge City, Kans.; (2) From Sioux City and Council Bluffs, Iowa: Omaha, Nebr.; St. Joseph and Kansas City, Mo.; and Denver and Greeley, Colo., to the facilities of Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., at or near McCook, Hastings, and Crawford, Nebr.; and Courtland, Lawrence, and Dodge City, Kans.; and (3) From the facilities of Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., at or near McCook, Hastings and Crawford, Nebr.; and Courtland, Lawrence, and Dodge City, Kans., to points in Goshen, Laramie, and Platte Counties, Wyo., and points in Colorado east of the Continental Divide, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Sharon K. Snyder. Manager, Harris Serum & Supply Co., Inc., P.O. Box 410, McCook, Nebr. 69001. Send protests to: Max H. Johnston, District Supervisor, 285 Federal Bldg.. & Court House, 100 Centennial Mall North, Lincoln, Nebr. 68508.

No. MC 141760 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed March 2, 1976. Applicant: J. D. STRICK-LAND, 141 South Indio St., Portales, N. Mex. 88130. Applicant's representative: Daniel F. Rogers, 1820 Paseo de La Conquistadora, Santa Fe, N. Mex. 87501. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Liquid and solid milk products, packaged; salads; empty new milk containers; wire cases used in the storage, handling, or transportation of dairy products; plastic and paper products, and plastic or paper trays used in the packaging, preparation, or production of dairy products for retail sale; and gelatin products, between Garland, Tex.; Bastrop, La.; Huron, Ohio; El Paso, Tex.; Oklahoma City, Okla.; Kansas City, Kans.; and New Mexico and Portales, N. Mex., under a continuing contract with Safeway Milk Department, for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: Safeway Milk Department, 1921, Vivian, Clovis, N. Mex. 88101. Send protests to: John H. Kirkemo, District Supervisor, Interstate Commerce Commission, Bureau of Operations, 1106 Federal Office Bldg., 517 Gold Ave. SW., Albuquerque, N. Mex. 87101.

No. MC 141854 (Sub-No. 1TA), filed March 16, 1976. Applicant: UWHARRIE WOODS, INC., Highway 27 West, Albermarle, N.C. 28001. Applicant's representative: Max N. Kinlaw (same address as applicant). Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Wood chips, shavings, sawdust, bark. from the plantsite of H. W. Clup Lumber Co., Inc., near New London, N.C., to Florence and Catawba, S.C., under a continuing contract with H. W. Clup Lumber Co., Inc., for 180 days. Applicant has also filed an underlying ETA seeking up to 90 days of operating authority. Supporting shipper: H. W. Clup Lumber Co., Inc., Highway 52 N. New London, N.C. Send protests to: Terrell Price, District Supervisor, 800 Briar Greek Road, Suite CC516, Charlotte, N.C. 28205.

No. MC 141904TA, filed March 1976. Applicant: KOEHLER TRANS-FER, INCORPORATED, Box 96, Old Route 66, Dwight, Ill. 60420. Applicant's representative: James R. Madler, Room 1608. 1255 N. Sandburg Terrace, Chicago, Ill. 60602. Authority sought to operate as a contract carrier, by motor vehicle, over irregular routes, transporting: Semi-baked products and materials, equipment, and supplies thereof, from Gardner, Ill., to Benton Harbor, Mich., and Jackson, Tenn., under a continuing contract with Brownie Special Products Co., for 180 days. Supporting shipper: Brownie Special Products Co., Eugene R. Toepfer, Vice-President, Operations, P.O. Box 494, Industrial Ave., Gardner, Ill. 60424. Send protests to: Patricia A. Roscoe, Transportation Assistant, Interstate Commerce Commission, Everett McKinley Dirksen Bldg., 219 S. Dearborn St., Room 1086, Chicago, Ill. 60604.

By the Commission.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

[FR Doc.76 8831 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[Notice No. 10]

ASSIGNMENT OF HEARINGS

MARCH 23, 1976.

Cases assigned for hearing, postponement, cancellation or oral argument appear below and will be published only once. This list contains prospective assignments only and does not include cases previously assigned hearing dates.

The hearings will be on the issues as presently reflected in the Official Docket of the Commission. An attempt will be made to publish notices of cancellation of hearings as promptly as possible, but interested parties should take appropriate steps to insure that they are notified of cancellation or postponements of hearings in which they are interested.

MC 106644 (Sub 218), Superior Trucking Company, Inc., application dismissed.

MC 29904 Sub 2, Suddath Van Lines, Inc., now assigned April 20, 1976, at Jacksonville, Fl., is canceled and applica-tion dismissed. AB 1 Sub 16, Chicago and North Western Transportation Company, now assigned April 1, 1976, at Sycamore, Ill., is canceled.

MC 110585 (Sub 17), Republic Van and Storage Co., Inc., application dis-

missed.

MC 22254 (Sub 76), Trans-American Van Service, Inc., application dismissed. MC-F 11257, Watkins Motor Lines, Inc.

Purchase (Portion) -Alterman Transport Lines, Inc. application dismissed. MC 119988 (Sub 83), Great Western Trucking Co., Inc. now being assigned May 20, 1976, hearing canceled and application dismissed.

MC 128007 (Sub 78), Hofer, Inc. now being assigned May 17, 1976, hearing canceled, application dismissed.

MC 106674, (Sub 169), Schilli Motor Lines, Inc. now being assigned April 7, 1976, hearing cancelled and application dismissed. No. 36098, Sterling Colorado Beef Company, Inc. V. The Atchison Topeka and Santa Fe Railway Company, et al., now being assigned for continued hearing on May 11, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC-F-12593, Estes Express Lines-Purchase (Portion)-Pollard Delivery Service, Inc., now being assigned for continued hearing on March 25, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 141081, Trailer Car Corp. now being assigned May 19, 1976 is now postponed indefinitely.

MC 113627 (Sub 12), Columbia Motor Freight, Inc. now assigned May 26, 1976, hearing canceled and application

dismissed.

141112, Burwell Ray Gallop, dba Gallop Bus Lines, MC 141112 (Sub 4), Burwell Ray Gallop as Gallop Bus Lines and MC-C 8880, Andrew T. Jones dba Jones Bus Co., et al -v- Burwell Ray Gallop dba Gallop Bus Lines now being assigned April 26, 1976 (1 week) at Norfolk, Virginia and will be held in Court Room No. 1, U.S. Courthouse and Post Office Building, 600 Granby Street

MC 44735 Sub 24, Kissick Truck Lines, Inc. and MC 107295 Sub 765, Pre-Fab Transit Co., now assigned April 1, 1976, at Washington, D.C. is postponed to May 12, 1976, at the Offices of the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C.

MC 51146 (Sub 439), Schneider Transport, Inc. now assigned April 5, 1976 at New York, New York and will be held in Room 238, Court Room-A, Court of Claims, 26 Federal Plaza.

MC 141113, Monsey Transportation Corp. now assigned April 20, 1976 at New York, New York and will be held in Room No. F-2220, Federal Building, 26 Federal Plaza.

ROBERT L. OSWALD. Secretary.

[FR Doc.76-8832 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[AB 2 (Sub-No. 10)]

LOUISVILLE AND NASHVILLE RAILROAD COMPANY ABANDONMENT BETWEEN TALLADEGA AND COLDWATER IN TAL-LADEGA AND CALHOUN COUNTIES, ALABAMA

Present: Virginia Mae Brown, Commissioner, to whom the matter which is the subject of this order has been assigned for action thereon.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available to

the public upon request; and

It appearing, That no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Talladega and Calhoun Counties, Ala., on or before April 8, 1976 and certify to the Commission that this

has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this finding shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the FEDERAL REGISTER as notice to interested persons.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of March 1976.

By the Commission, Commissioner Brown.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD,

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated March 18, 1976, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Louisville and Nashville Railroad Company of its line of railroad between Talladega and Coldwater, Ala., a distance of 17.3 miles, if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. \$\$ 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that, as no traffic has moved over the line since July, 1973, there will be no diversion of traffic to motor carrier. There are no indications of developmental activities which would be affected by the abandonment, and the action is not expected to be inconsistent with rural economic or community development or with land-use planning in the area.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-275-

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423,

on or before April 23, 1976.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason demonstrating why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.

[SEAL]

ROBERT L. OSWALD. Secretary.

[Finance Docket No. 27929]

ABILENE AND SOUTHERN RAILWAY COM-PANY ABANDONMENT BETWEEN ABI-LENE AND WINTERS, IN TAYLOR AND RUNNELS COUNTIES, TEXAS; TEXAS AND PACIFIC RAILWAY COMPANY—AC-QUISITION AND OPERATION—A LINE OF RAILROAD AT ABILENE, TAYLOR COUNTY, TEXAS

Present: Virginia Mae Brown, Commissioner, to whom the matter which is the subject of this order has been assigned for action thereon.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceedings, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available to the public upon request; and

It appearing, That no environmental impact statement need be issued in these proceedings because these proceedings do not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and

it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Taylor and Runnels Counties, Tex., on or before April 8, 1976, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this finding shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in the Federal Register as notice to interested persons.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of March 1976.

By the Commission, Commissioner Brown.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated March 18, 1976, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Abilene and Southern Railway Company of its line of railroad between Abilene and Winters, Tex., a distance of 39 miles, and the proposed acquisition and operation by the Texas and Pacific Railway Company of 7.31 of those 39 miles, if approved by the Commission, do not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that approval of the subject application would result in continuation of direct rail service to the portion of the line which generates the major part of rail traffic. Diversion of traffic on the remaining portion of the line is not expected to have a significant impact upon energy comnsumption, highway usage, air quality, or noise levels. There are no indications of developmental activities which would be affected by loss of direct rail service on the remaining portion of the line.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission,

Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202-275-7692.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., 20423, on or before April 23, 1976.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason demonstrating why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date.

[FR Doc.76-8834 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]

[AB 55 (Sub-No. 4)]

SEABOARD COAST LINE RAILROAD COM-PANY ABANDONMENT BETWEEN RAVENEL AND DRAINAGF, IN CHARLES-TON COUNTY, SOUTH CAROLINA

Present: Virginia Mae Brown, Commissioner, to whom the matter which is the subject of this order has been assigned for action thereon.

Upon consideration of the record in the above-entitled proceeding, and of a staff-prepared environmental threshold assessment survey which is available to the public upon request; and

It appearing, That no environmental impact statement need be issued in this proceeding because this proceeding does not represent a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq.; and good cause appearing therefor:

It is ordered, That applicant be, and it is hereby, directed to publish the appended notice in a newspaper of general circulation in Charleston County, South Carolina, on or before April 8, 1976, and certify to the Commission that this has been accomplished.

And it is further ordered, That notice of this finding shall be given to the general public by depositing a copy of this order and the attached notice in the Office of the Secretary, Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C., for public inspection, and by delivering a copy of the notice to the Director, Office of the Federal Register, for publication in

the FEDERAL REGISTER as notice to interested persons.

Dated at Washington, D.C., this 18th day of March 1976.

By the Commission, Commissioner Brown.

[SEAL] ROBERT L. OSWALD, Secretary.

The Interstate Commerce Commission hereby gives notice that by order dated March 18, 1976, it has been determined that the proposed abandonment by the Seaboard Coast Line Railroad Company of its line between Ravenel and Drainage, in Charleston County, South Carolina, a distance of 2.97 miles if approved by the Commission, does not constitute a major Federal action significantly affecting the quality of the human environment within the meaning of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 (NEPA), 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321, et seq., and that preparation of a detailed environmental impact statement will not be required under section 4332(2)(C) of the NEPA.

It was concluded, among other things, that no environmental degradation is anticipated since the line has hauled no traffic since 1969, and there are no definitive development plans that would result in a renewed demand for the line. The right-of-way is anticipated to return to the native woodland land cover of the adjoining properties with no significant adverse effect antipated on wildlife.

This determination was based upon the staff preparation and consideration of an environmental threshold assessment survey, which is available on request to the Interstate Commerce Commission, Office of Proceedings, Washington, D.C. 20423; telephone 202–275–7692.

Interested persons may comment on this matter by filing their statements in writing with the Interstate Commerce Commission, Washington, D.C. 20423, on or before April 23, 1976.

This negative environmental determination shall become final unless good and sufficient reason demonstrating why an environmental impact statement should be prepared for this action is submitted to the Commission by the above-specified date

[FR Doc.76-8835 Filed 3-26-76;8:45 am]