A TABLE OF CHARACTERS.

Fig. 1 . Tyro and Leneca's Notes	primu	duo 9 VI 5 secundus St	tres T tertius T	guatuor Gl guai tas G ⁿ t	guinque 9° georeties 97	ven G zeroris S ¹⁷	sèptem FI septimus S ^r	octo V octavus C	novem Nonus Nonus	decem Sit decimus Sit	From, Gruters Antiquitat. Vot. II. in An
	semel S	bis 3	ter. L	quater A	quinquies 9	rexies F	septies F	octies C	nonies	decies Fr	
Boethius's apices.	{ I	\mathcal{C}	Ŵ	ác.	4	Ь	Λ°	8	9‴G	а Н Н Н Н Н Н	\Doctor 9Nead's {Manufcript.
Imall Greek letters .	{α	ß	Y	A	E	G	ζ	И	9	ľ	
Modern India figures	m{ q	z	Е	8	Y	3	9	Ţ	R	9.) Tavernier {Lv.1.chap.2
Arabicfigure	· · /	μ	μ	عم	0	Ч	V	Λ	9	1.	Manufcripts
Figures of Io de facro Bofco.	:{ I	7	3	Q	4	6	Л	8	9	to	
Figures of Max. Planud	-{ I	р	μ	۴	Ś	Ч	$oldsymbol{V}$	Λ	9	10	-Doctor Wallis.
Fugures in Rog. Bacon's Calendar	{ 1	7	3	Q	4	6	۸	8	9	10	The Cotton. library.
Modern fig.	l	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	

THE HELMDON DATE.



(120)

II. Remarks upon an Antient Date, found at Widgel-Hall near Buntingford in Hertfordfhire, on an Oaken Plank; now preferved in the Muleum of the Royal Society, London. By John Ward, Rhet. Pr. Grefh. and F.R. S.

U PON the fourth of April, 1734, a curious Draught of an antient Date, carved in an Oaken Plank, at Widgel Hall, the Seat of Francis Gulfton, Efq; was laid before an Affembly of the Royal Society, as the most early Instance of our common Figures, usually called Arabian. which had ever been observed in England. It was read 9916, and thought to express the Year 1016, the 99 being taken for a Roman Numeral, and the 16 for Arabian Figures.

Doctor *Wallis* had, in the Year 1683, communicated to that learned Body the Draught of a Mantletree, fomewhat like this, which he faw at the Parfonage-house at *Helmdon* in Northamptonshire, and got it delineated. The Date, which was likewife carved in mixed Characters, expressed the Year \mathfrak{P}_{133} , as the Doctor read it. See TAB. II. Fig. 2. This being the oldest Monument of that Sort, which had then been discovered among us, was published first in the Philosophical Transactions, and afterwards in the Doctor's Algebra o.

» Nº 154. b Cap. 4. p. 14.

And

And in the Year 1700 another Draught of a Date at *Colchefter*, which had been fent to Doctor *Wallis* by Mr. *Luffkin*, who copied it from the under Cell of a wooden Window, and read the Figures 1090, being all *Arabian*, was printed likewife in the *Tranfactions*^a, as more antient than the former. See TAB. II. Fig. 3.

None earlier than these two last had fince appeared, till that from Widgel-Hall. Upon the Sight of which, I thought the Reading given to it looked very plausible. The mixed Characters were no just Objection, which Doctor Wallis had accounted for in the Helmdon Date b, and I have myfelf observed in some Manuscripts. But yet one Difficulty feemed to remain, which was the want of fome Character in the Place of Hundreds. And therefore foon after going into Hertfordshire, I took that Opportunity to wait upon Mr. Gulfton, in order to fee the Original; who was fo obliging, as not only to shew it me, but also to fay, if it would be acceptable to the Royal Society, it fhould very readily be at their Service. I thanked him for the Offer; and promifed, that if he pleafed to fend it to me, I would deliver it, as from him. Accordingly fome Time after it came to my Hands, together with a Letter, giving an Account of the Antiquity of the Building in which it ftood. And as that Letter may afford fome Light to the Enquiry about the Date cut in the Plank, I take leave to fend them both together.

² No. 266. ^b De Algebra, cap 4. p. 14.

(122)

Part of a Letter from Francis Gulfton, E/q; to Mr. John Ward.

S I R,

I Can give you no further Account of the Antiquity of the Building, than that in general 'twas efteemed ancient. Before the Houfe was burnt, on the Timbers there were feveral old Coats of Arms; fome we looked on as belonging to the Family of the Scalers; thefe were Poffeffors of Widdibale², with other Eftates, foon after the Conqueft. The Houfe, in Probability, might have been of greater Antiquity, and I believe really was; for at the Time of the Conqueft 'twas in the Poffeffion of a confiderable Follower of Harold.

The Piece of Timber I fend you, was the Top of a Door-way, in a Timber-built Houfe, and plaftered over with Mortar. From the Date on the plaftered Wall, the Door had not been used at least 343 Years; for on the Outfide was plainly to

" a Widiha'e in Hertfordshire in the Time of the Conqueror was " Parcel of the Estate of Hardwin de Scalers, as appears by Domesdei Book, fol. 141.

"It continued in that Family for feveral Generations, till it came "to Antheny Widvile, by the Marriage of the Daughter and Heir of "Scalers. But when he would not comply with Richard the Third to "deftroy the young Princes, all his Lands were feized, and the "Mannor continued in the Crown, till Henry the Eighth granted it to "Geirge Canon and John Gill: George Gill, the Son of John, marrying "the Daughter of George Canon, obtained the whole." "In this Family it continued till the Beginning of the Reign of

" James the First, when it was fold to John Goulfton, Efq; whose De-" feendants now hold it." See Sir Henry Chauncy's History and Antiquities of Hertfordshire, p. 131. be feen the Date 1390. Part of the Room this was found in, was burnt too much to repair again. And in taking down the burnt Timbers, being prefent myfelf, I accidentally faw it, and obferving the Date, thought it a Curiofity, that might give to the Curious fome Speculation. And as fuch I fend it you, and am glad 'twill be acceptable to fo learned a Body of Gentlemen, as the Royal Society. I am₃

S I R,

Your most obedient

humble Servant,

Woodbridge in Suffolk, July the 14, 1734.

Francis Gulfton.

Upon confidering the Characters on this Plank, and those of the other two Dates mentioned above, together with the Accounts given by learned Men of the Time when the Arabian Figures were first introduced into these Parts of the World, and the various Forms they have fince received [See TAB. II. Fig. 1.] I was at last fatisfied, that none of these three Dates prove they were ever used among us, in less than an hundred Years after the Reading given to the latest of them. And the Reafons which led me into this Opinion, I now beg Leave to offer, when I have first briefly inquired into their Origin and Antiquity.

Moft.

Most Writers, who have treated of the Rife of these Figures, have thought they came first from the *Persians* or *Indians* to the *Arabians*, and from them to the *Moors*, and fo to the *Spaniards*, from whom the other *Europeans* received them. This was the Opinion of John Gerard Vossius², Mr. John Greaves^b, Bishop Beverege^c, Doctor Wallis⁴, and many others. And the Arabians themselves own they had them from the Indians, as both Doctor Wallis^c and Mr. Greaves^f have shewn from their Writers.

But I faac Voffins thought the antient Greeks and Romans were acquainted with these Figures, and that the Arabians took them from the Greeks, and the Indians from the Arabians 8. For the Proof of this he refers to Tyro and Seneca's Notes h, and the Treatife of Boethins De Geometriaⁱ. But as to the Notes of Tyro and Seneca, they feem to have no Affinity with these Figures, either in the Number or Nature of them; for they are not limited to nine, but are many Times that Number, and all difterent in Form. Nor are they fimple Signs of Numbers, but complex Characters of feveral Letters of those numeral Words which they ftand for in the Roman Language, like our Short-hands; and therefore vary in their Shape, as they are defigned to exprcss Cardinals, Ordinals, or Adverbs of Number. This will appear by the Table of Charatters prefixed to these Papers, in which I have given the

^a De Natura Art, lib. III. cap. 8. § 6. ^b De Siglis Arabum & Perfarum Attronomicis, p. 2. where the Form of them may be feen. ^c Arithmet. Chronolog. lib. I. cap. 5. ^d De Algebra, cap. 3. g. 10. ^c Ibid. p. 9. ^f De Siglis Arabum, &c. ^g Obfervat. ad Pemp. Met. p 64. ^h Vid. Grut. Infcript. Vol. II. ad fin. Lib. I. fun. fin.

first

first ten of each. See TAB. II. Fig. I. But as to what Vossius fais concerning Boethius, I observed in a curious Manuscript of that Writer, now in the Library of Doctor Mead, nine Characters, which he tells us were invented and used by some of the Pythagoreans in their Calculations; while others of them made use of the Letters of the Alphabet for the fame purpose. Boethius calls them Apices vel Characteres^a. I have inferted these also in the Table [TAB. II. Fig. I.] to shew the great Affinity between them and the Arabian Figures, as these latter were writen two or three Centuries ago.

The Opinion of *Daniel Huetius* differed from either of the former; for he imagined, the *Arabian* Figures were only the Letters of the *Greek* Alphabet corrupted and altered by ignorant Librarians b:

From this fummary Account of the Rife and Antiquity of thefe Figures, it fecms probable to me, they might owe their Original to the Greeks (thofe common Mafters of all Science) and paffing from them first to the Eastern Nations, come round to these Western Parts, in the Manner before described. We have no other Author, who speaks of this matter, near so antient as Boethius, whose Words are very express, and much strengthened by the Similitude of his Characters with the Arabian Figures. And therefore we may rather suppose, they took their Rife from these, than from the small Greek Letters, with which Huetius compared them; fince these latter are neither so like them, nor so old as the Time of Boethius. And though what the

² Ubi fupra. ^b Demonstrat, Evangel, Prop. IV c. 13. p. 172. Arabians

Arabians fay may be true, that they had them from the Indians, and not the Indians from them, as Ifaac Vossius conjectured; yet it may be equally true, that the Indians had them first from the Greeks, and those Arabian Writers (who are not very antient) not have known it; nor are there any Indian Monuments of fufficient Antiquity to render this Opinion questionable.

But which foever of these Sentiments may be esteemed the most credible, with respect to the Origin of these Figures; *Joseph Scaliger* thought they were not received by the *Europeans*, as they came of later Ages from the *Arabians*, long before the Year 1300^a.

But John Gerard Voffius was of the Opinion they began to use them about the Middle of the thirteenth Century, or the Year 1250^b.

Father Mabillon, in his Treatife De Re Diplomatica, was neceffarily led to attend to the Ule of thefe Figures, particularly in Dates. And he informs us, that they were rarely uled before the fourteenth Century, except in fome few Books of Geometry and Arithmetic. And prefently after he fais, it was not much to his purpole to treat of them, fince he did not defign to carry his Work lower than the thirteenth Ceutury S. By which he feems to intimate, that he had met with very few, if any, Inftances of Arabian Figures, in fuch Inftruments at leaft, before the Year 1300.

But no one appears to have examined this Subject more carefully than Dr. *Wallis*; who has of-

² Lib. III. Ep. 223. ^b De Natur. Art. Lib. III. cap. 8. § 7. ^e Lib. II. c, 28. §. 10.

fered fome Arguments to prove, that Gerbertus, a Monk, who was afterwards advanced to the Papal See, and took the Name of Sylvester II, had before the Year 1000 learned the Art of Arithmetic, as now practifed, with the Ufe only of nine Characters (whatfoever their Form then was) from the Saracens in Spain, which he afterwards carried into France². But the Doctor thinks those Characters or Figures were known for a long time after only to fuch Artifts, and principally, used by them in aftronomical Calculations; the Roman Numerals being ftill retained in common Ufe to express finaller Numbers^b. Nor has he given us the Figures used by any of those Writers, before Johannes de Sacro Bosco, who died in the Year 1256; and Maximus Planudes. a Greek, who flourished after him; which I have copied from him, and inferted in TAB. II. Fig. 1.

Mr. David Casley, in his Catalogue of the Manufcripts of the King's Library, &c. has published a Specimen of a Manuscript from the Cottonian Library, called Calendarium Rogeri Bacon, and dated 1292. The Figures in this Book arc Arabian, and, as Mr. Casley informed me, the oldess that he remembers to have met with in either of those Libraries: For which Reason I have given them a Place in the Table.

It appeared to me exceeding difficult, how to reconcile the Opinions and Observations of these feveral Writers, concerning the first Use of the Arabian Figures in these Western Countries, with the Time affigned even to the latest of the Dates above-mentioned. And it could not but seem very

R

^a De Algebra, c, 4. p. 17. ^b Ib. p. 11, 15, 16. ^c Plate xv.

ftrange, that no Date of any Writing fhould have been produced in those Figures, or any other Use of them difcovered (except perhaps in some mathematical Calculations, or Books of Arithmetic) long before the fourteenth Century; and yet that a Date should be found, so carved in a Piece of Wood, before the Middle of the twelfth Century, for so common a Purpose as the Mantle-tree of a Chimney.

But upon a clofer Examination of the Characters, I found Reason to think, this was not really the Cafe; and that inftead of 1133, they ought to be read 1233, what has been taken for a 1, being defigned for a 2. This Reading feems to be confirmed by the Shape of the two 33 that follow it, from which, if the bottom Curve towards the right Hand (as it was often made formerly) was taken off, the upper Part would make the 2. Which Agreement between those Figures is not only usual at present, but often found in Manuscripts of the fourteenth and fifteenth Centuries. Though fometimes indeed 'tis otherwife ; and the 2 has an Angle at the Fop, when the 3 is round, which would not fo well have fuited this square Hand. The Reason which occasioned the carrying this Date fo high, must, I prefume, have been the Similitude between the fmall i over the preceding abreviated Word Domini and this 2. But though they appear to have fome Likeness, yet there is a manifest Difference between them; for the 2 is much larger at the Top, where it has an Angle, and a Curve downward, that plainly diffinguish it from the former. Could it be taken for a 1, I should much rather fuppose it was defigned for a Letter than a Figure. and

and the two following Characters for a double *ll*; and fo the whole to be only an Abreviation of the Word *millefimo*. But as I think it muft be a 2, for the Reafons given already, and do not remember ever to have met with fuch a double *ll*, I can't but efteem the other the true Reading. And yet ftill, I believe, this Date may claim the Preference of being the oldeft of the Sort that has hitherto been difcovered.

The Antiquity ascribed to the Colchester Date, namely 1090, has, it seems, been occasioned by a Mistake in the Copy; for the 0 in the Place of Hundreds should have been made a 4, by drawing down an oblique Stroke on each Side from the Bottom, which makes it 1490, before which Time the 4 had long received that Shape. See TAB. II. Fig. 1. I am obliged for this Information to James West, Efq; a worthy Member of this Society, and well skilled in our British Antiquities, who himself perceived the Mistake in viewing the Original.

As to the Date from Widgel-Hall, which gave Occasion to this Enquiry, it seems to me plainly intended to express the Year 1000, and no more, by the Roman 99 in the Escutcheon on the right Side. For the Characters in the other Efcutcheon cannot, I think, stand for Figures, but must be the initial Letters of two Names I. G. as W. R. in the Helmdon Date; and were very probably defigned in both to denote the Perfons who erected those The Omiffion of a Character in the Buildings. Place of Hundreds, is still an Argument with me, that these two last were not made for Figures. But what I imagine puts the Matter past all Doubt, is the want of Evidence that the Figure 6 had received R 2 that

that Form till fome Ages afterward : And when it was introduced, the upper Part was not at first made fo erect, as it is here, but carried in a fmall Arch just over the Top of the Circle, as may be feen in TAB. II. Fig. 1. On the other hand, what looks here like the modern 6, was at that Time the ufual Form of the Capital G. This I found fully confirmed by a large Collection of original Grants, made by our antient Kings and others, and preferved in the Cottonian Library a. Upon confulting these for half a Century at least, both before and after the Year 1016, I found the G fo written in a great Number of them, of which the following are fome few Inftances : N. 37. anno DCCCCLX. N. 35. anno DCCCCXCIII. N. 53. anno MXLV. N. 49. anno MLXXXI. For these Reasons therefore I can make no Question, but that Character was defigned for a G, and not a 6. And it is plain from other Circumstances in Mr. Gullton's Letter, that the Building might very probably be as antient as the Year 1000; which renders this Relic of it, confidering how firm and found it still is, a remarkable Curiofity.

The Ufe which I think may be made of thefe Obfervations is this: That fo far as yet appears, any Coin, Infeription, or Manufeript, with a fuppofed Date before the thirteenth Century, expressed in *Arabian* Figures, may be justly suffected either not to be genuine, or not truly read; unless the Antiquity of it be certain from other clear and undoubted Circumstances, and the Date will bear no

(131)

other Reading; and if it be a Copy, that it has been taken with Exactness.

In TAB. IJ. Fig. 1 contains the several different Characters and Figures refer'd to in the REMARKS, together with the modern Indian and European Figures.

Fig. 2 is the Helmdon Date.

Fig. 3 is the Colchester Date.

III. Some Confiderations on the Antiquity and Use of the Indian Characters or Figures. By Mr. John Cope.

T HE most ingenious Invention of Figures by the fagacious Indians, is of fuch vast Importance in Numbering, that it can never be fufficiently enough admired, although now-a-days the Use of them is become fo familiar among us, that very few confider what a Loss the want of them would be to People of every Degree and Station in Life: For to confider only, that fuch a Number as not long before the Conquest would take up a good Arithmetician whole Days to count by the literal Characters, is now by the Help of Figures commonly express'd by a Child in a few Minutes. This Confideration of the vast Use of Figures, put the Learned Dr. Wallis, and others fince him, upon enquiring at what Time they were first happily introduced into this Island.

Dr. Wallis