

# Putting Institutional Partners at Ease: Becoming a Reliable Guide in an Unfamiliar Landscape

Username: Ariel Cetrone (WMDC)
Institutional Partnerships Manager
Wikimedia DC





#### **About WMDC**

Wikimedia affiliate that partners with institutions in Washington, DC, Virginia, West Virginia, Maryland and Delaware

Partners include the Smithsonian, National Archives, Georgetown University, United States Department of Agriculture, various embassies and more

Actively cultivates new partners in order to increase participation in Wikimedia projects





#### **About WMDC**

Assists institutional partners with 30-40 editing events per year

Half of 2018/19 collaborative events were hosted by new institutional partners



WP Shortcut: WP:DCMEET WikimediaDC.org





### During this session, we will discuss:

How to effectively guide new partners through the planning and implementation process

...with the goal of

ensuring partner 'buy-in' and encouraging long-term engagement



#### **Institutional Partners**

Agencies, institutions, organizations, etc. who actively partner or engage with Wikimedia projects and/or affiliates.

GLAMS, universities, scientific organizations, embassies and beyond



#### **Institutional Partnerships**

Collaborative projects and programs could include:

**Editing events** 

**Content donation initiatives** 

Providing assistance to Wikipedians-in-Residence



### What Makes a Good Institutional Partner?

Partners should be able/willing to:

**Commit staff and time** 

Provide meeting space, wifi and other resources if applicable

Promote the event or partnership to stakeholders





#### Partners should be able/willing to:

**Use open licenses** 

**Provide access to materials** 

**Provide expertise** 

AND, MOST IMPORTANTLY...

### Partners should be willing to learn and accept the benefits of engaging with Wikimedia Projects.

Mission fulfillment (education, community engagement, interpretation)

Sharing resources and collections with the world

Drive millions of WP page views per day

Measure impact by monitoring article views



#### What Makes a Good Guide?

**Transparency** 

**Flexibility** 

**Effective Communication** 

**Availability** 

Accessibility of terms (limit 'tech' speak)



## What Does A Successful Partnership Look Like To You, The Guide?

Example: A collaborative and productive partnership that prompts an institutional partner to actively engage with Wikimedia projects through events and other initiatives.





## What Does A Successful Partnership Look Like To Your Partner?

Example: A relationship that helps the partner share accurate information, collections and expertise while staying true to their own mission, vision and goals.

#### Institutional Partnership Scale



#### **Level 1: Exploratory Participation**

 Partner engages with Wikimedia chapter or group to plan and execute individual, isolated Wikimedia-related activities.

 Partner requires significant hands-on support from Wikimedians in order to successfully execute a Wikimedia-related activity or event.

 Partner hosts isolated Wikimedia-related events or activities which are planned at random or in conjunction with external events, anniversaries, commemorations, or public holidays.

#### **Level 2: Collaborative Participation**

 Partner engages in a sustained, long-term program of Wikimedia-related activities, planned and executed in close collaboration with Wikimedia chapters or groups

Events are largely planned by outside Wikimedians

 Partner allocates some internal resources to support activities, though may still have limited capacity (staff, time)





#### Scenario

A potential partner has contacted your organization about collaborating on an event. How do you introduce them to the unknown while limiting the intimidation factor?

### **Planning Overview** Stage 1: Stage 2: **Implement Plan** Collaboratively

Stage 3: Present Results and Metrics

#### **Steps**

#### STAGE 1

Plan Collaboratively



Choose theme

Choose date/time/venue

Launch registration

Publish event page or dashboard event

**Create work list** 

Compile source material

#### Potential Opportunities/Challenges

Partner is unfamiliar with Wikimedia projects

Leadership is enthusiastic, but organizational staff is not helpful or engaged (vice versa)

**Questionable capacity** 





## First steps toward a mutually beneficial and transparent partnership

Gauge the partner's previous experiences with Wikimedia projects

**Determine capacity and be flexible** 

Find your 'pre-Wikimedia self'. What would YOU want to know?



Explain your role as a guide

Share your organizational goals and be transparent (share successes and failures)

LISTEN to their goals (content development? constituent engagement?)





Review each step/Distribution of duties. Follow-up in writing

Provide the framework, but let them operate within their own comfort zone

Leave the Wikimedia bubble







#### Stage 2: Implementation

Maintain the thread of accessibility

When answering partner/attendee questions, avoid explanations that cause additional confusion

Share and demonstrate tools that will help the partner track impact.

Demonstrate the value or their contributions in real time



#### Stage 3: Follow-up

**Share metrics** 

Address issues/concerns - ADAPT

Explore upcoming themes, exhibitions, initiatives

Plan to plan

Work the circle





## Tips for Sustaining Existing Partnerships

Use an MOU or partnership agreement if applicable

Maintain open lines of communication

Monitor changes in staff



Stay up-to-date on priority shifts, acquisitions, exhibits

Share news of new partners or successes

Make connections and introductions

#### **Think**

## BIG

and

**Small** 

**All Partners Have Value** 

### Questions?

