DEF. DOC. # 2138

3/70

EXHIBIT #

INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST

THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, et al)

VS

AFFIDAVIT

LRAKI, Sadao, et al

DEPONENT: ISHIWATA, Sotaro

I, ISHIWATA, Sotaro, make orth and say as follows:

My address is No. 455 Seijo-machi, Setagaya-ku, Tokyo.

From January until August 1939 and from February 1944 until February 1945, I was Minister of Finance; from Januar until July 1940 and from February until April 1945, I was Chief Secretary of the Cabinet; from April until October 1941, I was Chief of the General Affairs in the Imperial Rule Assistance Association; and from June 1945 until January 1946, I was Minister of the Imperial Household.

In July 1941 while I was Chief of the General Affairs in the Imperial Rule Assistance Association (my resignation of the office was effected by the appearance of the TOJO Cabinet) I was requested by the Kokumin Press people to have a table talk with a certain person who would be chosen by me as the most suitable of the several persons suggested by them.

I replied to them that General ARAKI was the best partner to talk with if he would accept it, because I knew him to have an intention of non-expansion of the Chine Incident and also I knew him not to agree to the Italo-German-Japanese Alliance, which intentions he expressed to me many times.

I understood that their idea was to make my talk a feature with General ARAKI's opinion inserted at several points,

About the end of July, I remember, we five, that is, General MRAKI and I, two from the Kokumin Press and a scribe (he did not take down in shorthand), met together at the Hakusui, a restaurant in Akasaka, sometime around six o'clock in the evening.

Most of the talk which lested two hours was occupied by General ARAKI, I remember, who told his reminiscences at the time of the Siberian Expedition more than two decades before, when he was a field soldier. Most of it I heard for the first time and was much interested. The hournalists, however, were much puzzlod because it was not a conversation and could not be made an intended article.

Therefore they asked me later to agree to their making an article of their own composition out of the talk.

So I replied that it might be inevitable to alter the talk but that they must be very careful not to create a story from it lest it should cause any trouble.

But the article published in the paper was far different from the talk which we had that evening; my talk was increased much more than I did and General ARAKI's was omitted more than half.

Besides the dictation done at that evening was not very good and I remember that many parts of that article in the paper were not clear to me.

Especially on that evening General .RAKI compared the situation at the time of the Siberian Expedition with that of the current time (1941) and deplored the government for not having any definite policy of unifying the Army and the Navy, and then he worried deeply over the future of the nation.

So far as I remember, the gist of his opinion was that the government should act under principles based on the gratical to the gratical decision in carrying out the schemes planned by the Army and the Navy without their interference.

But an article could not be permitted to appear in the newspapers stating ill of the Army and the Navy and the government under the circumstances of that time (1941) therefore any opinion conflicting with them was stuck out or shaded off by the editors. Consequently the article in the paper resulted in an incoherence.

General ARAKI after he resigned from the post of Minister of Education in the HIR/NUMA Cabinet in 1939, I observed conspicuously refrained from facing the public and was noted as a recluse from current events of the time. At the same time, the Army authorities were opposed to him.

In the HIRANUMA Cabinet, Mr. ARAKI and I were Cabinet colleagues, I being Minister of Finance. That that time the Five Ministers Conference discussed current problems, chiefly foreign issues, and made decisions. Therefore, Minister of Education ARAKI did not participate in it at all. As to the NONONHAN Incident, even I was reported after its occurence.

When the YONAI Cabinet was being formed, I made an earnest appeal to General ARAKI to take the office of the Minister of Home Affairs by order of Admiral YONAI, as the General was considered a man of self-respect, but he declined it on the grounds that he could not render any service as the currents of the time were against him.

As the situation at the time of the table talk was more critical than the time when the YON.I Cabinet was formed, General ARAKI's gravest anxiety with which he thought why the government did not establish a strong policy to suppress the Army and the Navy and work out a plan for the security of the nation, was manifested on the pretext of his old story of the Siberian Expedition, as far as I can recollect.

Under the various circumstances mentioned before, the article that appeared in the paper was much distorted and confusing and did not depict what General ARAKI's talk actually was.

ISHIWATA, Sotaro

not weed

述 分 木

五

億

渡 京 世 郎 田 闆 成 城 町 四

五

て

あ

£

昭 迄 和 四 政 翼 +: 贊 月 迄 及 務 CF 十月 長 年 迄 五 月 年 CF + 九地 ŋ Ħ 四 年 A ŋ まで A + 4 内り 年四二 一粒十 月 記 年 官二 # で長月 宫十迄 內六大 大年藏 DO 臣 大 を月 臣

荒 適 思た和 木 3 は皆十 話 同 時 n 年 思 頃 民 3 夫 方 新 儹 ST. 對 開私 同 成 が 億 談 耐 かい 荒 あ 大 C 9 新 木粉な がいい 大 赤 坂 承 將 贊 諮 3 大 77. 2 見 承は が 理 をれ知支度二務 ばし那い。 7 て事 夫 白 結 三. 長 居變 名一 れ水に構 云 に上はだたのふを近 話にささの擴話 舉 衞 む答で大がげ内 を六 許時計へ私に あて日 此辞 0 6 0 く頃 養た ま 等 職 新 對 反 人か て しのさ 談對 から 南 聞 のた人共 一集 り前 17 ののな私のに 人つま 涼相向は中僻 手だ度 速 實職 響 記 4 君一 はにし П 荒 私は 0 8 法 木 適し 獨 伊 営て は

部 分 0 時 诗 荒間 木は 大 荒 將木 の大 將 佐 官の 時 談 代 話 で の 懷 終 舊 3 談て

かかさ胸粉も大米ノ同同又の尙 話以云中談出將內モ會盟平を荒 さとふの會來に內ン競を犯厭木 れ派考憂當な內門への中內は大 たべを関時い務成ンメ心間れ粉 かたシははさ大立事ンざ皆時は 譯巻べ非米云臣の件バす時勢昭 がなり常内つに際に1る私に和 分各ヤに内て就同って對は背十 ら類出際日遂任大きな外大を四 なの兵く成に方將流か問殿向年 い。写當何立受をの木つ題大け平 る情時故當け線自文たは臣ら沼 のよの陸時ら請頭相主され内 にり物海はれし論がごしたけ な流語軍りなた潜 して又の 極 8 木 罩 抑 的 籍 勢 大 Ħ. B 氏 lt. 8 迎 將 . 19 O 1: 3 同 のてて一 推 思 臣 發 大將 にび 同 證 亩 層 11 話 僚 を C 急 家 夜 0 內大 に背 て T 菠 安 潮 討 趣 n あ 全を 躞 目 现 自 10 2 際 世 將 \$.0 示 1: 分 た向 立 L 6 0 政 £.I n かい ion n がけ 出命 It 态 贷 か 1: 1: 當 を 12 を T 6 居 全 85 T 蒙 受 時 か 然 Ö 立 P 1: 6 H 日花 荒 記 何 で T 0 n 思 憶な の私 木 獨 あ 15 で T 社 14 文 伊 ŋ 御 同 結 v. 軍 12 荒 大 \$ 淧 局

か

木

弘

n * 0 1.t -止 to 知 私 記 Ų な (t T 15 £. 來 宜 2 伺 1 か 3 1: 5 を ŋ 1: 新 餘 が 程 剛 困 計 殖 T て 2 え It 1: 話 迷 τ O Ø 居 憨 は 記 す 手 3 閩

んは 华 木 注 凡 前 大 判 7 府 緣 將 5 かり O 如 5. 陸 0 話 遠 海 個 1t 所 I 4 B か 半 出兵 智 云 分 1. O 統 以 営 3 時 75 E 5 3 削 1: 0 源 IJ. あ 態 又 當 n 定 1: Ø 居 話 方 實 談 九 昭 和 十六 솶 居 新 和 闢 2 43 年 殊 8 を 當 £ 1= 田 嘚 常 出 痛 1: 來 8)の野 夜荒木 歎 ては O 社 恺 大な 態 家 4 夜 さを併 將 Ø O 新 lt 前 問 對 = せ 談

A. 大 世红 0 が 1 話 時 各 0 0 4 骨 最 昭 23 子 和 計 P 0 콾 政 押 六 を 府は学 年) 樹てゝ <u>^</u>が N. Ø 耍 情 Z. 之 る大談名分に基づく領索 を實行するに営つて に於て陸 意味 海口や政府の悪 で 南 つたご覧え は之等を勝手 Ø て居 口が 下に行 新 3 動して [3] に 1. 助

周

T

居

n

1:

答 點 11 か 新 7,5 耐 分 於 τ 除 こな 全幅をほか £ してしまっ たか the

名

縀

秘セス又

何

野サ

Ŧ

附

家

醇

石

渡

莊

太

加

別

昭和二十二年(一九四七年)八月二十三日於

供逃浴

T

石

渡

莊

太

郎

立合令人遊岡岡高

明

右

~

同

B

竹立介

面

コテ宣哲

シ且

ツいる名捺印シ

IV

7

證明