



貨布文字考

貨布文字考卷三

秦地貨布文字



右面文三字曰茲氏十背文一字曰互

華亭馬 昂伯昂考釋
金山錢培益貞吉校刊



按 88 卽茲之省 千 古氏字茲氏地志春秋屬晉戰國屬趙席冠

甫 元章 據漢書地理志曰太原郡有茲氏縣 師古曰凡言氏者皆謂因之而立名也 水經注縣

故秦置也此布明是秦并趙地之所鑄曰十者一當小布之十

背文曰互言可互換也其形有首有肩有足無穿孔爲象人作

燕尾或方其足兼象旂 旂市集所建旗卽今之市旗也 魏貨之形僅象人秦之所制人

旂兼象也背作斜直文是通志所謂泉字之象形泉古作 𣎵 蓋

取此流通之義以明秦制也間作二三四畫文或爲記識或爲

紀年故不盡同也凡此類面背有周郭質薄文細筆畫無雷同

爲合土范所鑄

按史稱秦興邑於西垂意其時尚沿古制以金貨爲國用至惠

文王二年史稱初行錢蓋商市范銅初承其令以貨金斤一斤




二之制更分其輕重爲子母相權之法卽以范銅直用而名之曰錢行於秦有之地至昭襄王拔魏邑之甯新中更名曰安陽安陽之名始於秦今見之安陽布爲秦制甚確鑿據此而推其形制相類者定爲秦物無疑矣其後拔三晉燕齊之地地日大故鑄作亦因此而繁至始皇三十七年史稱復行錢事在兼并以後之十年卽平準書所謂及至秦中鑄錢文曰半兩是卽半兩大錢也自惠王二年以後始皇三十七年以前此中百有二十六年之鑄作卽今所見較半兩大錢尤爲多宜矣是編却不能次先後爲一定要之百餘年大概亦不外乎此也



右小布面文四字曰茲氏分十背文一字曰互





按面文環讀分即分字反范前貨曰十謂一當此布之十此曰分十謂分前貨爲十即以十小布當前貨之一故背文亦作互字也茲氏之制直有當十分十之殊故形質大小有如此此爲分十布之明文其制相倣者定名爲小布準此

又背省互字蓋當十與分十定可互換故不拘於背文之有無也









又面文反範

又二種文同迹異





又面文省十字
 按但曰分者即布字之義化則為貨分則為布也







又面文二字曰茲氏

按此類蓋已通行自能辨其質直因省分十字識其地明所鑄之有自後之地名小布如此例





右貨面文四字曰北茲金斤背文作三

按《說文》从二人相背形爲北字曰北茲地名未詳曰金斤卽斤一金也其形制行用之義與安邑斤一金同惟左讀爲異面背有郭首作二直文與茲氏布同或謂魏貨之作斤金爲斲字取劑斷之義以此證之則顯見其非是





右貨面文五字曰晉易斤一金倒范

按史記六國表魏哀王十六年秦拔我蒲坂晉陽又趙世家孝成王二十年秦王政初立拔我晉陽據此則晉陽在戰國時先屬魏而秦昭王拔之後歸趙秦始又復拔之也故此貨之文與魏制同惟形不殺角爲異倒范書蓋晉陽之剋作也





右小布面文三字曰晉易分



又面文左讀



按易曰晉進也明出地上又上九晉其角此作𠄎从日象光華
 上射形與易義甚合說文从致乃繁文也。𠄎是易字省假作易
 易爲開張易本日中爲市於義可通







又分字倒範





又面文二字曰晉易

又面文一字曰分
 按此種與前制如出一手應亦晉易之所鑄但曰分乃寫范者
 之从省也



又面文分字倒置且反範



按右晉易小布一類其文或倒或反筆畫多苟且从省昔人謂古之鼎寫有工拙此為刀布文字之艸率者



右貨面文五字曰蒲坂斤一金

按从禾从斤即古冒字蓋蒲為易生之物冒出水土其性類禾故从禾以諧聲會意求之其為蒲字之義甚明說文从浦艸乃後起之字斤从反从一同阜字之例作土見後享是即坂字秦取蒲


坂晉陽仍從魏地商民之便亦用斤一金與晉易貨同出故范
形無二也





右小布面文二字曰平周


按平說文作平金錯刀作平莽從古文也周爲周字古文史記
本表魏襄王十三年秦取我曲沃平周考事在秦惠之後元三
年乃并魏地而行此布也

又文同迹異



又文同左讀





右小布面文二字曰武平

按左氏傳曰止戈為武

𠄎即止字

从𠄎即五字天地之數止於五从止斷止之也




鄭漁仲識此


𠄎

字為𠄎轉無聲云武非从止是从𠄎聲引俗誤从𠄎等字以證按鄭氏之言六書動輒涉謬於此則甚矣馮定遠曰周伯琦鄭漁仲皆不解篆鄭尤妄蓋鈍翁有見於此良非過語也







又武平背文作六字

按史記趙惠王二十一年徙漳水武平西幽王二年秦攻武平陽此種乃秦昭拔趙地所鑄故背文同明貨刀







又小布三種面文二字曰武安背文各一字曰三五六
 按通鑑赧王四十五年秦師軍武安西蓋武安亦趙地故秦制
 背文仍沿明貨之識





右小布面文二字曰平州



按平作 平 从一畫在上天也天一生水皆為平義古文或作 平
故从水蓋 平 平為 平 字重文也 平 見說文州字古文春秋宣元
年公會齊侯於平州杜注齊地未詳何年入秦



又文同左讀背文作左





右小布面文二字曰中陽
按史記趙惠王十四年與秦會中陽蓋卽此地





右貨面文二字曰邪山

按駁與小篆作駝同山卽山字之異體邪山地名未詳水經注
鏡波水源出南邪山其曰邪山未知卽此與否背文作乙疑卽
𠄎字之省其制與茲氏當十貨同





右小布面文二字曰邪山


又面文山字作山





右貨面文二字曰大陰



按陰古从金通今見庠父鼎作𠄎蓋金字本从今聲也大陰地名末詳席冠甫據漢志曰大陽在大河之陽以此推之當在大河之陰





右大陰小布二種文同迹異



右小布面文二字曰北蘭





按蘭从艸卽佳之省說文蘭莞屬蘿小未也無蘿字北蘿地名無可考蓋卽蘭字之省校蘭蘿並从艸从佳至古文雨之與門只爭中間一筆此从雨乃寫范之趨省耳史記衛聲公元年敗趙北蘭又趙肅侯二十二年秦取我蘭此種蓋秦并趙地之所鑄



右中布面文二字曰虎邑背文三字曰一分五反範

按、校古文作^𠄎小篆作^𠄎乃文同而迹異爲虎之省史記秦昭襄王元年韓獻成臯鞏正義曰鄭之制邑又名虎牢蓋秦得鄭邑復名爲虎邑也背文上曰一下作^𠄎乃分^𠄎二字假筆合寫且反範曰一分五者是分一貨爲五布其直倍於分十者故範形較大質亦倍重是爲分五布之明文其類曰中布準此

又虎邑中布文同迹異



右小布面文二字曰敦皇

按





同為亨字古通享

易曰公用享於天子


聲通烹



詩七月

菽及 𠂔 从自卽自之省 一古中字以意求之假通土取戊己居中
 之義作皇卽今俗書之皇字通煌詩赤帝斯皇 曰亨皇其爲敦煌之省
 文路史作焯皇與此近似敦煌班志武帝後元置席冠甫據左
 氏傳曰允姓之姦居於瓜州杜注古敦煌本杜林說見之漢書及水經注 其地蓋早爲
 秦穆所有卽范宣子所謂秦人迫逐乃祖吾離于瓜州是也至
 秦楚之際其地或復淪於戎後至武帝時分酒泉置此蓋仍沿
 秦故今以此布之文證之則知敦煌之名非始於漢也集韻以
 阜爲古自字非







又方足小布文同迹異

按此布作  爲亨字無疑廿校前種有脫落是寫范者之趨省
究其筆畫大同是亦敦煌所鑄之物





右貨面文二字曰涅金左讀

又文同筆迹與范形小異




右小布面文一字曰涅反范

按涅說文从水土日聲此與小篆同前貨从日口皆範工之俗書也近世別作涅涅並誤班志上黨郡有涅氏縣師古曰涅水出焉故以名縣









右小布面文三字曰涅營布自右環讀

按皇卽涅之反範从川从彡開隸省之先彡卽呂之省爲營字
 丕乃丕之省爲布字見撫古遺文







右小布面文二字曰必易

按必从必篆作必說文曰分極也从八分也从弋聲此作尗从八从戊斧也其為分極之義校篆从弋為更顯尗即古必字也孟康漢書古文注必今伏字皇甫謐曰伏羲或謂之必義後世傳寫誤以必為慮孔子弟子慮不齊為單父宰今兗州永昌郡舊單父地漢世所立乃云濟南伏生即子賤之後是知慮與伏古字通用後誤以為必也竊據此布為必易是地名因之為姓氏本當作尗其義通伏省作必別作慮




又背文作左右字又左右下作一位置亦無定






右小布面文二字曰蒲子

按通鑑秦始皇九年伐魏取垣蒲班志蒲子與垣縣皆屬河東

郡





又文同迹異





又小布面文二字曰北屈

按說文屈作𠂔从尾从出與此略同左昭二十八年傳蒲與二
 屈君之疆也杜注二當作北班志北屈蒲子俱屬河東郡



右小布面文二字曰同是

按同是爲銅鞮之省文左成九年傳鄭伯如晉執諸銅鞮班志
 銅鞮屬上黨郡




右小布面文二字曰長子

按古文長字見諸金石瓦當繁簡不一結體互異此作𠄎因指
 邑名而言故贅从邑許叔重謂七國之世文字異形竊究其故
 每多因事改變者如通邦貨通字作𠄎見齊貨刀齊侯甌國字作𠄎見積古齋
識款虛其一面者指地處海濱也石鼓文吾字作𠄎從吾从彡為秦字建首从彡謂巡行指
 吾秦有事巡行也以此類推不特𠄎字為異耳左襄十八年傳
 晉人執衛行人石買於長子地志長子屬晉後屬趙其城為丹

朱所築故名長子城竹書記年作尙子蓋長尙字體異而聲義
 通也班志長子縣在上黨郡考小布一類多秦并三晉之地所
 鑄

又文同迹異



右小布面文二字曰屯留

按番从艹卽非之省爲古柳字从田謂插柳於田表識疆界詩曰折柳

圃義不可去也虞翻笑鄭元不識古文卯本柳字後人借爲辰

卯字見正字通按仲翔之說是矣然辰卯非後人所借古本通用也如

史記歷書卯之謂言茂也格凡木之茂於仲春莫先於柳故通

作二月辰名其義頗顯小篆作𠄎許氏專屬辰名訓象開門之





形誤𠄎爲古酉字訓一爲閉門之象以留字从𠄎得聲蓋未能

深究古文卯本柳字酉誤爲𠄎復改𠄎作𠄎遂謂留諧酉聲矣竊據范

銅爲祖龍未變之文可證从𠄎之說非確詰也史記趙肅侯元

年奪晉君端氏徙於屯留



又文同迹異





右小布面文一字曰露

按露古通路潞凡水陸道路無不顯露也史記韓世家秦拔我


上黨正義曰韓之上黨澤潞等州是也







又文同迹異





右小布面文二字曰庇陽



按說文宅古文作蓋宅坳卽庀之變體重文也俗讀坳爲直加切與宅分爲二誤史記韓世家懿侯五年與魏惠王會宅陽正義曰在鄭州



右小布面文二字曰皮氏



按皮古文或作𠂔从口廿○皆取同義从𠂔卽古服字衣也凡同有之衣者是爲皮矣說文作𠂔許氏訓剝皮六書家因𠂔字从口乃謂剝皮從口而下並泥史記本表魏哀王十三年秦擊皮氏班志河東郡有皮氏縣地志春秋晉大夫采邑







右小布四種面文二字曰平陽筆迹互異

按班志平陽在河東郡應劭曰堯都也在平河之陽史記秦始皇紀十三年桓齮攻趙平陽十四年桓齮定平陽據此則平陽先屬韓後屬趙此爲秦并趙地之所鑄



又范形較小於前種面文嚴整類小篆蓋平陽之後鑄者故其質亦偷也



右小布面文二字曰平陰

按平陰地名有三左襄十八年傳齊侯禦諸平陰杜注在濟北

左昭二十三年傳晉師在平陰此為東周七邑之一水經注





本地
理風

俗記平陰故晉陰地陰戎之所居究此布之所自出未知三者為

孰是



右小布面文三字曰馬服營

按是𠄎之省即馬字脊从月从又及之省為服字史記趙惠王二十九年使趙奢將擊秦大敗之賜號馬服君括地志馬服山在邯鄲縣西北。即營字市居也



又面文左讀





按前種服字作育省尸此種作月僅假舟字爲服無乃太簡乎如無从又之文互校之則均不可識矣





右小布面文二字曰黻垣

按黻卽斂之省見摭古遺文隸變作襄同地志曰以趙襄子所築故名襄垣班志屬上黨郡



右小布面文二字曰中都
 按史記本表秦惠王更元九年取趙中都班志屬太原郡










又小布面文二字曰高都又一種左讀

按高都班志屬上黨郡史記秦昭王三年攻魏高都汲拔之





右小布面文二字曰貝左讀

按《說文》作从北於此不可求合及校款識古文亦絕無類此者其作何也據詩云止於《貝》隅語云舉一隅不以三隅反如是則《貝》必有隅隅必有四故作乃四隅之形畢具矣是爲上古視而可見之文也初錄引左傳齊侯田於貝以地名證之是矣





右小布面文二字曰北或作左讀

按丌說文下基也讀若箕典奠與與等字从此曰北丌地名未詳或以假聲爲冀字之省亦未可定





右小布面文二字曰丌陽左讀

按此曰丌陽前種當作丌北此二種地名均未敢定



右小布面文二字曰莘邑左讀



按𠄎古辛字此作𠄎乃假筆从艸之省卽莘字也春秋莊三十二年有神降於莘地名考屬陝州莘原



右小布面文二字曰莘邑左讀



按𠄎从𠄎以莘字之例推之是卽芾字詩召南蔽芾甘棠小雅赤芾斯皇竊謂芾也者章於外而蔽於內也其義通費中庸君子之道費而隱此布曰芾邑蓋卽季氏使閔子騫爲費宰之費

是魯下邑也





右小布面文二字曰盧邑左讀





按此與後一種并鑄貨盧夕之文互校之結體互異同爲盧字也盧邑地志列國時屬齊班志泰山郡之盧縣卽其地







又面文省邑字蓋與前種同出



右小布面文二字曰郎牙


按郎古作與此略同上作平筆與氏作上作不同是爲牙字之省史記秦始皇二十六年南登琅邪乃徙黔首三萬戶琅邪臺下蓋琅邪卽郎牙也

又文同迹異




右小布面文二字曰任氏

按壬古通任史記律書壬之爲言任也曰任氏蓋魯地孟子他
 日由鄒之任見季子疑卽此





右小布面文二字曰魯陽左讀

按魯陽班志屬南陽郡地志戰國屬韓後屬魏史記魏武侯十
六年伐楚取魯陽未知何年入秦





右小布面文二字曰葛邑左讀

按葛从艸此與籀辰字皆从竹乃古通用史記趙孝王十九年
 與燕易土燕以葛與趙括地志葛又名西河在瀛州高陽縣西
 北




右小布面文二字曰燕邑

按燕古作燕此作_豆乃省席冠甫據班志曰東郡有南燕縣戰國時屬魏此乃秦并魏地所鑄也




右小布面文二字曰許邑

按从邑从言爲言字之省从午卽午是爲許字之異結許者言必有中也或作𠄎从無無者未有之謂也義通許从邑指地名而言說文炎帝太岳之胤甫侯所封在潁川史記鄭世家作鄆同



右小布面文二字曰烏邑又一種作鄔



按鄔地名有二見左隱十一年傳王取鄔劉杜注二邑在河南又昭二十八年司馬彌牟為鄔大夫注太原鄔縣按此布之出當屬晉地為近





右小布面文二字曰鐘吾

按_五為鐘之省見撫古遺文作_甫略同左昭二十七年傳公子

燭庸奔鐘吾前漢志東海郡司吾縣





又文同迹異



右小布面文二字曰伊洛



按从尹从亻从尹省乃伊字中露字布从巾爲古各字从一乃
之省卽洛字曰伊洛蓋沿姜戎故地之名左文八年傳遂會伊
雒之戎是也洛或作雒地理志註魚豢云漢火行忌水故去水
而加佳師古云則光武以後改洛爲雒也楊慎曰此說非也春
秋書雒戎左傳凡洛皆作雒非後漢始改也六書故曰漢都長
安不改涇渭國號漢从水不聞有改魚說非也昂按洛有落義
自上而下也火德炎上故忌之其他从水之字卽非洛字卽不
爲忌卽不須改矣據說東漢都洛陽始改爲雒而改春秋左傳
之洛不改尙書周易之洛何也春秋始自魯隱公元年卽周平
王之四十九年周尊火德故尙赤平王東徙洛邑意其時已改

爲雒而春秋左傳皆作於東周時故因之作雒他書非東周一時衍者仍作洛然魯氏火行忌水之說或相傳本此而曰漢時所改恐誤耳





右小布面文二字曰燕昌



按燕卽燕之省爲燕字昌卽昌字見撫古遺文史記趙孝王十年燕攻昌壯正義曰壯當作城其時屬趙故燕攻之也五月拔之屬燕蓋秦并燕地而曰燕昌也



右小布面文二字曰□□



按此種形制爲分十布之至小者其文漫漶不可辨當闕疑以俟知者其制應與燕昌布同出故皆文粗背平與前燕貨同爲銅范所鑄







右小布面文一字曰禘反範

按此布右作示左作邑當合一為禘字爾雅釋地燕有昭余禘
說文禘太原郡



右小布面文二字曰酉棗





按𠄎撫古遺文酣字从𠄎與此略同是爲酉字束从束棗字作
束乃省文耳史記本表秦始五年取魏酸棗班志屬陳留郡蓋
省酸爲酉得棗爲會意之文此與馬服之服字作𠄎同例





右中布面文二字曰梁邑左讀

又梁邑小布左讀


按班志梁縣屬河南郡臣瓚曰梁周之小邑此類蓋秦滅東周後之所鑄



又文同迹異



又面文反范





右小布面文二字曰籬石背文三字曰同分十

按繁卽古之樊籬之籬字从林禁止之也从尙卽離之省茲以
 諧聲會意求之是爲籬字籬字說文所無蓋古本作蔭而說文
 失載隸乃作籬耳史記趙肅侯二十二年秦取我蔭籬石按籬
 籬聲義可通國策作難石乃從籬字形近之誤其范形象人面
 背俱有周郭背文假八爲分古通用說文八別也象分別相背
 形







右中布面文四字曰魚易行二背文三字曰十二朱

按易行二字合寫較魯陽字从自此爲魚易也曰二兼二也卽一分五之變文背作十二朱通銖卽合貨爲半兩也以此推之其文曰當半兩及方函之半兩大錢其行使所直蓋同此故質重亦相倣也地志曰漁陽秦郡其偏旁蓋後人所益耳或如銅鞮之例亦省文也



右小布面文二字曰安陽後一種安假中直以成字
 按史記秦昭襄王五十年拔甯新中地志曰甯新中七國時魏
 邑秦昭王拔之更名安陽

又安陽中布



又安陽小布

按秦昭王拔魏邑以來至始皇復行錢其中得四十餘年此或同文以後之所鑄故同爲安陽字體校前嚴整同文在二十六年復行錢在三十六年卽所謂小篆是也此二種一爲分五一爲分十其形制不同顯而易見

按史記蕭相國世家曰高祖以吏繇咸陽吏皆送奉錢三何獨以五其下曰以帝繇咸陽時何送我獨嬴奉錢二也考漢高生於秦昭之五十一年至始皇復行半兩圜錢之歲年已四十五矣其吏繇咸陽時而吏與何之所送決非半兩圜錢是卽分五分十布也吏皆送三者卽分十布以一直三何獨以五者以一直五較之直三故曰嬴二也然則秦之一貨以分五布計之乃

直當時之錢二十五與新莽所制貨布之直同



前漢食貨志天鳳元年改作貨布直貨泉二十五文據此可知

新莽所鑄皆襲先秦之故非依周制

以分十布計之與燕貨一化六十之制同故莽之貨

泉倍重一化也以此類推其為先秦貨布及分五分十之制并


合貨斤金六銖金貨之所當直概可見矣





右小布面文一字曰河

按古文可作  此則假筆从出乃河字異結單曰河地名無可考

右中布面文三字曰安陰二




按安陰地名未詳曰二者即分五之省文其范形與魏貨略同惟質薄俱有周郭為異蓋亦秦拔魏地其鄰近安陽之所鑄也





右中布面文二字曰山陽左讀


按史記始皇八年嫪毐封爲長信侯與之山陽地漢書地理志曰山陽在太行山西北屬河內郡



右貨面文四字曰梁一金斤倒范而反



按魏貨作此作乃文同迹異是亦梁字但曰梁卽大梁也蓋始皇二十三年滅魏之後所鑄故制文形質與晉陽蒲坂等

貨同此類蓋行之未久卽更鑄爲半兩大錢故較他貨爲少見



右貨面文二字曰甘丹

按甘丹地名無可考以意求之是卽邯鄲也甘邯義通丹鄲聲通或古本作甘丹因地从邑而孳作邯鄲亦未可定史記趙王遷降八年十月邯鄲爲秦此制范形與茲氏貨同



右貨面文四字曰魏斤一金倒書反範

按𠄎本古文魏字从广偏安也



广說文因广爲屋象對刺高屋之形音儼

从十終歸滿數也从

𠄎卽吳說文大言也史記魏世家卜偃曰畢萬之後必大矣萬
 滿數也魏大名也今命之大以從滿數其必有衆據郭偃曰滿
 數曰大名校𠄎之从十从吳之義悉合是爲古文魏字無疑𠄎
 別作𠄎从鬼鬼者魂之終歸也與从十之義通𠄎通魏揚子方

言自關而西秦晉之間凡細而有容謂之魏晉書載記人名有慕容廆又通嵬山海經中山西有廆山又嵬通巍詩陟彼崔嵬楚辭冠切雲之崔巍又作歸莊子歸然有餘蓋廆从广而孳作从山者通取崇高之義至从爽从鬼从委从歸體雖孳變而義則相通鬼歸委委者始終相屬也兼得諧聲也說文無廆魏字嵬部獨載巍字徐氏曰今人省山以爲魏國之魏信如斯言豈古無魏字乎蓋緣秦始皇同文改各國殊體盡爲小篆其不與秦文同者頗爲損益之而至許氏編輯說文時其爲先秦古文安得無遺漏故鼎彝款識往往無徵考者類如此叔重訓嵬巍爲高義而未嘗言及爲國名隸書假爲國名改作魏因此省山而作魏可知从山从委乃聲義可通究非本字也史記本表秦始皇二十二


年得其王假盡取其地貨文曰魏蓋卽秦之東郡魏州也此制質薄面背俱有周郭校安邑之制異與安陰布同因知此爲秦并魏地之所鑄故較他種爲少見



右小布面文二字曰魏邑

按爾卽僉字之異結从「同」說文所謂對刺高屋故可左向
 右向也从十口大合而爲南乃文同而迹異是亦魏字以二種
 之文校之主父乙彝作劔及字典所載鬼字古文作底竊以古
 之省文之義求之疑皆爲虜之異體是卽國名及象魏之魏乃





民譌言曰趙爲號秦爲笑然則_金之从笑是亦秦文滅趙在始
 皇二十五年二十六年同天下文豈復改爲趙歟故此制與晉
 陽蒲坂等貨同爲少見



布幣 金幣

右小布面文一字曰趙

按右二種筆迹小異其_⊙作_↓乃寫之趨省也或釋作垂非是垂古作_⊙从土从叒聲詳見說文





右小布面背文各一字曰上谷

按上卽上字背作𠂔卽公古通谷蓋轉聲假通之字也撫古遺

文容作肉訟作𠂔可知公谷互通曰上谷秦郡漢書地理志曰上谷郡秦置史秦始


紀十九年東與燕合軍上谷蓋上谷與趙接壤故范形無二



右貨面文一字曰關

按關關同从門篆从𠂔卽詩齊風總角卯兮之卯兩角了髻之





謂可分而可合也古从夫隸作亦說文象人臂兩夫之形蓋卽
腋之本字臂腋爲開合之體亦爲開合之詞縮爲諧聲夫爲會
意字有繁簡取義一也



右小布面文二字曰關分背文一字曰八



按關地名分分十布也此與茲氏晉易而曰分者同例

右小布面文一字曰關



又關字从人乃寫有遺脫也備此以爲考文之助
按曰關者卽關中也史記曰秦王之心自以爲關中之固前漢

書曰關中所謂金城千里天府之國也按右關字貨布其範形不同者凡三見所存留於今更夥於他種卽此可見其地之富庶故其遺制之多如是也




右圖錢面文一字曰垣

按史記音義涿有垣縣此種之出土多見於今之良鄉涿州等處可知垣爲涿地無疑

一統志垣周
召分陝處

此制范形如璧爾雅肉倍好謂

之璧說文璧園也是爲秦始皇之制開半兩大錢之先特穿未方耳



右園錢面文六字曰北長垣一金斤



按儿本八字通分南豐吳子序

嘉賓

曰儿儿二字相異順逆之間

先秦貨布其文倒順無定形釋之爲北亦近是因從之長垣班志屬陳留郡曰北長垣未詳屬何地曰一金斤例如北茲金斤





同



右圖錢面文一字曰共


按共篆作共校此則文同而結疎也史記田世家秦虜王建遷之共正義曰共衛州共城班志屬河內郡此制與垣字錢質重大小同

又共字結校嚴整





右園錢面文二字曰共陰

按 善 从 ▽ 例如齊貨刀文作 甘 之異結 甘 下作 一 贅艸作 廿 乃隸變之捷體也共陰地名未詳此制與北長垣鑄作大小同



右園錢面文四字曰共當斤金


按此制爲當金之至小者當作 法 以旆比當字校之略同蓋兼并以後復行錢以前乃有此等鑄作爲半兩錢之先導故不多見也以上園錢肉好面背無郭筆迹無雷同皆爲合土范所鑄



右園錢面文二字曰明夕



按明作[㊦]與趙貨刀同夕古通集見節墨夕明本趙之都會此蓋秦并其地之更鑄故形如秦半兩錢筆畫多同爲銅范所鑄

貨布文字考卷三



貨布文字考卷四

錢貨類



華亭馬 昂伯昂考釋
金山錢培益貞吉校刊

右錢貨面文二字曰盧夕背作川與面同後不復載

按此類面文識皆一字間或有二字者於地名行用之義不皆合初疑非財貨之類及得此盧夕字與卽墨夕並明夕錢互校之乃知確爲財貨矣并悟其形制之所以然敢詳說以明之其面之與背皆作川文與地名貨布之類同僅識一二字而互異者乃如齊貨刀背文爲商人之記識各隨其意之所適也其形制如鏹究鏹形卽古之錢形詩序乃錢鏹錢者本利於治平之器

治武林水有錢唐前漢地理志會稽郡錢唐縣

或謂之鈔

呂氏春秋長鈔利兵

通謂之鏹


說文一曰平鐵曰平者能治其不平者也

博雅曰籤


謂之鏹然則鏹亦可謂之籤意其謂鏹曰籤蓋兼二義以聲求之北方籤音同錢以字義求之是爲竹籤也按此錢之質重亦必當金爲用以此直金其所直難保久之無增減如金貴則錢賤錢貴則金賤也其上中空可以盛籤有穿孔皆率而不工乃

苟可貫籤無脫又欲便於更易其籤籤必以竹爲之時價或有增減必允同公議然後書其時之所當直於籤以明示之便可通行於市也乃變斤金等鑄就之文更作活法便之明是後起之剏所謂愈出愈奇矣錢財之質人所寶貴籤有更替其替下之籤則不合時用北方諺謂凡事不中用者曰鏹頭豈因此相傳之謂歟博雅云籤謂之鏹校籤从竹鏹从金二者之義似不可通而所以可通者明指連屬之物兼諧錢聲也說文曰籤驗也驗考視也自秦惠行錢之後雖商賈所行錢貨蓋必承其制令故此類文有官考字者明是鑄作由民考驗由官也據此可證錢本范銅爲用器之名而范銅爲財貨名錢者始自秦惠無疑矣其如地名貨布之形有象人象旂象刀象圓各有取義惟

此特象錢因名之爲錢貨或謂之鑄貨亦通地志曰盧氏爲虢之莘地今屬河南陝州吉金所見錄曰近來中州出土者甚多他處無之山右亦間有之是亦近於中州者據此證地志之說頗合蓋錢文曰盧卽盧氏曰夕謂都市也此制面背有郭文細筆畫無一定爲合土范所鑄




又夕字反范盧篆从田此从田也作中皆趨省近隸然結體嚴整蓋亦古之善書者所爲此二種向爲方鐵珊所藏茲以董香光墨迹長卷易得時壬辰秋遂爲已有之長物也




右面文一字曰武

按武本作𠄎此則近隸矣曰武者蓋取七德之義左宣十二年

傳夫武禁暴戢兵保大定功安民和衆豐財




又武从止作↓與足字从止同例



又面文一字曰用

按此與盧武字皆假中直以成字與小布露閑等字同此作
 又假兩旁之文合成用字說文作用此从小篆也此制范形較
 小





又面文二字曰官考

按說文官吏事君也此曰官考者明示經官考定其制直可通用也官爲君使必史有明文而行錢書始見秦紀其爲秦制之貨何疑


又面文二字曰貨文

按貨从貝此从日乃畫有漏略也曰貨文猶言錢文耳




又面文二字曰安藏

按說文無藏字徐氏曰漢書通用臧从艸後人所加撫古遺文
臧作臧藏作櫃此特趨省耳曰安藏者蓋取阜安百物寶藏興
焉之意




又文同迹異

按面識二字之類較識一字者爲少見其形制質重差爲減小
與識一字者特異




右面文一字曰周




又面文一字曰周

按周从用从口此作乃假中右二直以成字




又面文周字與隸體同據此可見其爲秦文變古由來漸矣




又面文一字曰京


按帛小篆作京京从巾隸作京从小誤或釋作亭近似


又面文一字曰高




又面文一字曰尙






又面文一字曰倉
按說文倉奇字作與此同

又面文一字曰古







又面文一字曰雲

按雲从雨从云此作雲筆畫多脫略


又面文一字曰松






又面文一字曰智

按智說文从白此从日亦趨省




又面文一字曰留

按留从卯不从卯可證說文从卯之誤並見屯留布注釋




又面文一字曰吳

按吳說文从𠂇此从大古通或作𠂇省或作𠂇从夫夫亦通大
秦石刻大夫作夫_二




又面文一字曰土


按土字結體較他字爲獨小嘗見錢貞吉所藏此類有識十天
干等字亦甚纖細



又面文一字曰川
按川假中直以成字亦隸書也


又面文一字曰平






又面文一字曰雪

按雪从雨从于篆作于作于隸體也




又面文一字曰公

按公說文作𠄎从八从厶音司八猶背也韓非曰背厶爲公竊
 考古文公字从○或从作𠄎△▽未見有从○者而許氏援韓
 說不敢盡信




又面文一字曰朱

按朱作^朱从二从木乃古文也小篆作^朱从一省




又面文一字曰

按泉小篆作文同結異隸變作泉从白水漢光武白水真人之識可知在當時俗習已不識字矣




又面文一字曰貨

按貨从化从貝其貝字作四出文者謂財貨之用可通行於四方也其結體象尊壺之形蓋用以記識若後世之畫押然也鼎彝款識多此類




又面文一字曰貨

按刀布文貨字變體不一
 校施比十貨作 𠄎 明貨刀作 𠄎 繁簡
 互異皆為殊體非古文
 古文貨作化化作 匕 見齊貨刀



又面文一字曰貝


按此貝字從小篆而變作隸書已顯然矣




又面文一字曰貞

按說文貞从卜貝以爲贄一曰从卜鼎省聲京房所說又曰籀文以鼎爲貞字據^鼎从卜鼎_二乃古文也可證京氏之說有自而許氏訓貞爲問卜爲贄恐失之曰泥

又面文一字曰富






又面文一字曰羣

按羣从羊从君君古作同

又面文一字曰同



貨貝錢



右面文三字曰當半兩



右面文四字曰當各六銖

洪志曰此錢上狹下廣背平面凸長七分下闊三分上銳處可

闊一分重十二銖面有文如鏤刻不類字世謂之蟻鼻錢

昂按前二種文皆三字第一字作●為古文丁字見彝器款識

義通當詩曰甯丁我躬爾雅昌敵強應丁當也其為當字明矣

弟二作 𠄎 又作 𠄎 爲半字之省文 从八分也从二或从一中分之皆爲半也 其下作 △△ 卽兩字

見薛氏款識晉姜鼎銘合讀之爲當半兩三字確合洪志重十

二銖之說據此可證古文貝字作 𠄎 合从 𠄎 𠄎 二字爲指事諧

背聲之文 小篆作貝从目眼目兩也从八分也兩而分之是亦半兩也 非象海介虫也又一種質差輕小而

薄文四字弟一字亦作 ● 弟二作 𠄎 卽古文各字見露字布从

此弟三字作 𠄎 爲六之省 从一地也地數五從五數上之是六數也新莽中布倒置上爲下更作 𠄎 𠄎 𠄎 爲七八九亦从一橫爲五以 𠄎 𠄎 𠄎 直而遞增其數 下

作 𠄎 卽朱字通銖 見漁易布背文作十二朱 合讀之爲當各六銖四字乃分半兩

爲二故其質重僅得半兩之半也以分五分十布較其直其曰

半兩者亦直五曰六銖者直二有半其曰各贏或直三也其爲

地名貨布自秦惠行錢拔各國之地所鑄至秦始皇并吞而後無

須以地名畫之因更分五分十之制鑄此二種法古貨貝之形

古者范金六銖為貨半兩為貝

而范以銅為自直之錢

錢者貨之賤者也故錢从金賤从貝而皆从彡

恐陽文隆起則易

於磨滅乃深為陰款明示以銖兩以便通國用此也予得於燕

市云自陝西販至者方鐵珊云西蜀亦有此吉金所見錄云其

文有陶字者意非陶字或卽宓字

初錄釋宓易布為陶易故知其非是

是又鑄此於濟南

矣又云江南挖河掇出數千或始皇二十五年滅楚後鑄於是

也按六銖_米字似蟻形之多足半兩_△字象人鼻之有兩孔因

世俗不能識其文字乃合_米_△二種相象名之曰蟻鼻相傳至

洪氏所譜乃僅一鼻之半兩猶未見蟻之六銖而言也其曰鏤

刻不類字世謂之蟻鼻錢者蓋未嘗研究其何以為蟻鼻亦不

過人云亦云耳蟻鼻之名且不可解無怪謂之不類字矣雖然


如刀布之文尙堪位置且有地名約之猶不免指鹿為馬何況

右面文五字曰旆比當斤十背文二字曰十貨


按林卽旆字爾雅繼旒曰旆注帛續旒未爲燕尾毛詩傳旆旆然長也此貨較

他貨爲獨長其上制有穿孔爲貨布之規見是乃兼并以後之制故不畫地名而識以旆字明示其形質之獨長加以穿孔別非象人之貨也林卽比字見說文比者從而較之之謂其曰比當斤十者言與後一貨比之此當斷直其十也復識背文曰十貨亦是反覆申明之意不曰斤金而曰十貨者直以錢計也以小布之例較之直錢二百五十當各六銖之百當半兩之五十文也新莽十布名目布上各題一字曰大布黃千次布九百弟布八百壯布七百中布六百差布五百序布四百幼布三百玄布二百小布一百凡十布下斷其所直之數蓋倣此


又面背文同迹異




見比






右面文二字曰見比背文三字曰當斤一

按甲古文昇字作从甲是爲見字讀若現便也當斤一者言以此與施比比之則小而便乃直錢二十五當各六銖之十當半兩之五也其范形與施比若一特大小其制爲子母相權之深究新莽貨布其原蓋出於此故范形仿佛直亦二十五也



又見比面背文同俱有剝蝕
 據陳壽卿曰求之吳子苾太史得此拓本乃出范時偶未分斷
 者金石契亦載此種謂之連幣

右園錢面文二字曰半兩



按史記本表秦始皇二十七年復行錢半準書贊及至秦中

節

銅錢識曰半兩重如其文曰半兩卽十二銖之通稱直如分五

布亦當五錢也

今以半兩爲五錢蓋由此而變稱也


此制外園函方面隆背平文粗無郭

爲銅范所鑄前此之刀布長橢諸形至此而廢遂爲孔方之定式民實便之故由是至今無可更變也竊考自范銅錢爲財貨始見齊桓時管子刀布之說及史秦惠行錢書然皆未言其文質爲何似至此乃史述其文并箸明爲銅錢也自此以後之錢文史册無不詳載及諸家譜錄亦皆編輯井然惟此以先之物因舊釋多以誤傳誤竊以區區之見敢就此數片殘銅釋其文字徵諸地名及行用所直之義次爲四卷曰貨布文字考至此


爾

古刀筆

附




右古刀筆大小二器大者拊端作𠂇蓋卽𠂇爲山字小者穿可
 結纓必用以佩帶者附上俱作二直如貨刀之制外刃而端曲
 則便於轉折回旋楚辭曰握劓劓而不用說文訓劓劓爲曲刀
 蓋劓劓是爲刀筆之通稱所以書竹簡竊謂貨布文字亦爲刀




筆書因附此以明之又可知自有書契便有筆削是器之古可謂尙矣

漢高后八銖半兩錢範



右范面平腹下制六丁爲足其面陰制圜錢十文左讀曰半兩中作直流首寬末窄左右連屬其鎔鑄時必上蓋以甄前銅從流口注入通漑其左右十錢啓斷所連則正爲陽文半兩矣驗今存半兩錢制故多文粗而泐背平面隆無郭譜錄所謂八銖半兩者悉如此范脫出知是爲高后時物也竊謂銅范所鑄者諸如此其先秦之范未之見而得此初漢之器亦足以爲證據矣范法式也从竹取節制之義通作範或曰竹爲范木爲模土爲型金爲鎔乃鑿非通論也

貨布文字考卷四



甲子季冬上虞羅福葆

據金山錢氏刊本手摹

精印一百部翻印必究

此書考古貨幣文字頗精審近來古泉幣著錄以利津李氏爲最備然嘗謂古泉幣之學應分三類一典制二文字三圖象曩欲作歷代泉幣史駭此三者而未果三者之中文字最難緣貨幣文字變化太多既不能律以後世之說文解字亦與三代彝器文字迥殊馬氏夙精小學所釋頗能不依舊說雖未必盡當然亦可謂好學深思者矣又所采古幣頗備不僅遠邁宋人諸譜卽與李鮑並論亦當首屈此本乃道光壬寅金山錢氏所刊精緻絕倫版本久燬流傳至稀卷首有佞漢齋燕庭藏書觀古閣藏子年讀過諸印蓋劉鮑二家舊藏也光緒丁未冬續李氏金石學錄並擬編金石書錄得此于廠肆歡喜無量戊申正月二十日上虞羅振玉題記

