Foreword

We are pleased to bring you the Wikimedia Foundation’s Quarterly Report for Q4 of the 2014/15 fiscal year. This is the third report since we switched from a monthly cycle, to align with our quarterly goal setting process. We are continuing to optimize the report’s format and the organization’s quarterly review process that the report is based on, to bring you better information at a lower overhead for the teams that take out time from their work to tell you how they have been doing. Participation in the review process is good and growing.

This issue includes some new pieces of information, e.g. the approximate size of each team (in FTE, on average during this quarter), and for each objective, the number of team members who were involved with a significant amount of their time. The overall metrics scorecard now contains new, more reliable uptime numbers for both readers and contributors.

As before, we are including an overview slide summarizing successes and misses across all teams. In a mature 90 day goal setting process, the “sweet spot” is for about 75% of goals to be a success. Organizations that are meeting 100% of their goals are not typically setting aggressive goals.

*Terry Gilbey, Chief Operating Officer*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Participation</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sign-ups</strong></td>
<td>1,329k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>New editors</strong></td>
<td>15.8k/mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Active editors</strong> (5+ edits/month)</td>
<td>79.4k/mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Readership</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Page Views</strong> Crawlers excluded</td>
<td>17.8B/mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Visitors</strong> Unique visitors to come</td>
<td>comScore desktop UVs (deprecated): 417M/mo in Q4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Content</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>New articles</strong></td>
<td>6.7k/day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Edits</strong> (in WP articles)</td>
<td>11.55M/mo</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Site reliability</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Read uptime</strong> (May-June)</td>
<td>99.944%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write uptime</strong> (May-June)</td>
<td>99.942%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Read latency</strong> Median first paint time</td>
<td>1.3 seconds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Write latency</strong> Median page save time</td>
<td>1.6 seconds</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Fundraising</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Amount raised</strong></td>
<td>$9.3M (exceeded $7M target)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>On mobile</strong></td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q4 2014/15: Successes/misses by team

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Community Engagement</th>
<th>Advancement</th>
<th>Discovery</th>
<th>Legal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Learning &amp; Eval.</td>
<td>Fundraising (gen.)</td>
<td>Online Fundraising</td>
<td>Reading</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comm. Resources</td>
<td></td>
<td>FR Operations</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Education</td>
<td>Online Fundraising</td>
<td>Major Gifts</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comm. Liaisons</td>
<td>FR Operations</td>
<td>Fundraising Tech</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comm. Advocacy</td>
<td>Major Gifts</td>
<td>Wikipedia Zero</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eng. Community</td>
<td>Fundraising Tech</td>
<td>Partnerships</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikipedia Library</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Editing</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Talent &amp; Culture</td>
<td>Finance &amp; Admin</td>
<td>Team Practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTO</td>
<td>Research &amp; Data</td>
<td>Performance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design Research</td>
<td>Security</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 2014/15 objectives

118 objectives
35 teams

- 87 successes (74%)
- 31 misses (26%)

Misses include anything not delivered as planned, including “yellow” goals and those that were intentionally revised or abandoned due to changing priorities.
Community Engagement (CE) department

- Learning & Evaluation
- Community Resources
- Wikipedia Education Program
- Community Liaisons
- Community Advocacy
- Engineering Community
- Wikipedia Library
### CE: **Learning & Evaluation** *(7.2 FTE)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measures of success [Team members]</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Promote effective program design and resourcing:</strong></td>
<td>Increase knowledge about Wikimedia programs, their design, and impact through (1) Development and publication(^1) of 4 of the remaining Programs Evaluation reports [2-6] (2) To be followed with announcements, RFC, and socialization (see timeline for rollout) [2-5]</td>
<td>MET TARGET All targeted reports are in final draft and within various stages of their soft release(^2) within internal or community review (see timeline)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Programs Reports</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Promote effective program design:</strong></td>
<td>(1) Conduct 7-8 program leader interviews for each programs toolkit for contests: (a) Writing contests (Target: Completion) and (b) Photo events (Target: Initiation only) [2-4] (2) Launch writing contest toolkit with at least 5 new learning patterns each and initiate calls to action to engage community [3-5]</td>
<td>MET TARGET Toolkit soft-release with 16 new learning patterns shared to program leaders 6/26/2015. Full launch set for 7/5/2015. Interview contacts for photo events initiated.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Toolkits</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

1"Publication" involves initial soft-release on meta followed by blog announcement and larger community RFC and input to final sign off of publication and release of peer review template. Each of the reports will be in various stages of publication as noted in the timeline." [On-wiki Writing Contests, Edit-a-thons, Editing Workshops], all in soft-release stages while, as noted in the original Q4 objective, the GLAM Content Release Partnerships soft-release is on hold for the drafted report for pairing and roll-out with Wikimedians in Residence report in Q1.

2 Based on first reports we had to revisit design, with feedback from across Community Engagement, and a revised communications plan early in the quarter we slowed roll-out of the reports to allow each report a more clear community review and input time and process.
## CE: Learning & Evaluation (7.2 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measures of success [Team members]</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 3. Evaluation Capacity development Program Leader Facilitation, Training, and Support | (1) GLAM-Wiki Conference Learning Circles  
- At least 10 community members initiate involvement[^3] [2]  
(2) WMCON Pre-Conference  
- At least 30 program leaders, 80% or more indicate progress pre to post (5) | SURPASSED TARGET  
51 Unique participants across the different conference events. 87% of pre-conference participants indicated progress in their understanding of key terms and concepts. (Read the [blog](#) for more!) |
| 4: Internal WMF support                        | (1) On-going internal support: Emerging communities research completed with 16 communities/countries [1]  
Community research identified 7 high-impact capacities for development in Q1 and Q2 |

[^3] Learning Circle goals are to develop learning pattern frames for best practices for pitching, and tracking and reporting, GLAM-Wiki partnerships in order to work with GLAM community to develop toolkit patterns
CE: Learning & Evaluation (7.2 FTE)

- **Program Evaluation Reports got a 2.0 update** to include Community Voices, Executive Summary and a Focus on the So What? (e.g. How do we use evaluation reports to improve program design and performance across communities and serve as an initial data point for guiding investments?)
  - Writing Contest Toolkit feature refinements based on community feedback. Pilots a new feature for peer to peer knowledge sharing.

- **Released report on community capacity needs**, documenting for the first time on-wiki and off-wiki capacities for development, as reported by emerging communities. Seven high-impact areas were identified for further discussion and development in Q1, setting the stage for community specific engagement and action in following quarters.

- **Focus on Team Systems** put into place by new director include a strategic communications plan for all product launches to ensure we maximize product reach and impact; project charter system correctly scopes and sanity checks projects to prioritize investments. This work is directly aligned with workflow analysis and creation of the master project list.
Communicating our Work and Framing the Message for Community Value: Release of our program report on "Wiki Loves Monuments" identified the need for an improved messaging strategy as well as report design changes. It also identified community sensitivity to the importance and need for qualitative data references, which extends beyond easily retrievable data such as quantity of photos or articles added.

Learning:

● Make reports easier to read in bite size chunks. This will include a 4-minute presentation on how to use the reports - for those without time for extended conversations - as well as executive summaries of key findings.

● Enhance L&E and WMF brand by partnering evaluation reports with tools and resources for knowledge sharing; shifts our reputation from “Auditor” and more of a knowledge sharing “Partner”

● Community engagement *prior* to any release is key. This is now part of a strategic communications planning process, that carves out time for crafting product messaging to communities.

For more detail, see the *slide deck and minutes* from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
## Q4 - Community Resources (7 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Publish and socialize Capacities Development Framework (CDF) for emerging communities</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Insights report, CDF framework published on meta</td>
<td>* 5 actions across 3 communities planned &amp; committed to for Q1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Report and framework published, with 7 areas identified &amp; prioritized for development into action</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Community-building actions planning pushed to Q1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 1 (CR), 1 (L&amp;E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. APG: Ensure round 2 funding decisions are made based on impact analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Majority of FDC members indicate using past achievement reports in at least 70% of funding decisions.</td>
<td>The FDC’s Round 2 funding decisions were based on impact analysis of programs for 100% of submitted proposals.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2 (CR), 2 (L&amp;E)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. PEG: Provide guidance materials for conference organizers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publish conference program resources on meta-wiki</td>
<td>First draft published for review by GAC.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Q4 - Community Resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **4. Inspire**: Support more new gender-focused projects with impact potential  
*Team members involved: 4 (CR), 1 (CA)* | * 20 grants for $250k or less  
* At least 50% of project leaders supported are women (increase from Q1&2’s <30%)* | * **16 new gender-focused grants** for $120k, increase PEG+IEG gender portfolio from 9% (H1 2014) to 18% (H1 2015)  
* 88% led by women, 81% first-time grantees.* |
| **5. Evaluate feasibility of proactive grants campaign strategy**  
*Team members involved: 3* | Report published on meta with actionable recommendations to enable a go/no-go decision for running future campaigns | * **Report** and **blog post** published.  
* Planning to run 2 campaigns in next fiscal, if board approves annual plan w/ added community organizer* |
| **6. Roll-out Friendly Space Expectations** to all grants spaces on meta-wiki  
*Team members involved: 1 (CR), 1 (CA)* | Consultation with CA and meta admins leads to expectations published for the grants namespace | * In progress: review by staff complete, under review by grant committees.  
CA & CR to complete review w/ admins and roll out in first month of Q1* |
Q4 - Community Resources

- APG Funding decisions were correlated to impact, thanks to the analysis provided on outcomes achieved and expected targets proposed.
  **Learning:** Analysis is resource intensive for CE but critical to good funding decisions. Coaching grantees on SMART objectives was effective.
  **People:** Katy, Winifred, Sati, Garfield & Jaime were instrumental in driving this.

- Inspire has doubled our gender-focused funding portfolio (dollars spent on projects via PEG and IEG)
  **Learning:** Focused campaigns can bring in new grantees and projects aimed at strategic issues.
  **People:** Alex Wang and Marti Johnson worked overtime to make this happen.

- Wikimedia Conference messaging continued to rebuild trust between WMF & affiliates. “I saw many changes towards a better, more open and more collaborative Foundation” - WMIT board member
  **Learning:** Talking points were an effective tool. Coordination with ED’s office needs to be improved.
  **People:** Asaf, Katy, Winifred and Alex have been working on affiliate relationships for several years, and their contributions to key messages was invaluable.
Q4 - Community Resources

- **Publication of the report on community capacity needs was pushed out** as staff time had to be reprioritized for community emergencies, leaving insufficient time for next step action-planning with specific communities.

  **Learning:** By pushing back our deadline to *give communities adequate time to provide feedback before* publication, and *openly communicating the rationale for being behind schedule*, we seem to have *improved the ultimate reception of the research & its conclusions.* In future timelines, we aim to *build in extra buffer time to account for other responsive workflows.*

- **Implementation of the Friendly Space Expectations was pushed out** to early Q1 because of inadequate review time and conflicting staff schedules between CA and CR.

  **Learning:** In future we will *do more to clarify and coordinate priorities between teams*, provide *extra time for staff and community review*, and build in extra time to allow for community emergencies.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
Q4 - **Education** (4.3 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Actively mentor program leaders worldwide  
* Team members involved: 5 | * 50% of interactions are initiated by community.  
* Connect 5 volunteers with Collab  
* Analyze current bottlenecks to engagement for Collab  
* 5 new programs report metrics | * Interactions in 40 countries  
* 67% of interactions initiated by community  
* Connected 6 people with Collab  
* Collab analysis complete  
* 6 programs reported metrics |
| 2. Add content to Arabic Wikipedia through expanding ed program  
* Team members involved: 2 | * Determine viability of Omani education program pilot after visit to Oman | Deemed viable. Q1 focused on getting ready for pilot in the fall semester. |
| 3. Add content to Spanish Wikipedia (and sister projects)  
* Team members involved: 2 | Co-organize 2 day [education pre-conference](#) at Wikimania:  
* Invite global audience  
* Ensure engaging sessions  
* Host 4 sessions during pre-conference | On track for a successful pre-conference at Wikimania.  
* 94 people signed up (target: 40 - 60) in one week  
* 25 signups are international |
# Q4 - Education

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Provide materials for program leaders</strong> and encourage community involvement</td>
<td>* 10 community members add to / endorse <a href="#">Learning Patterns</a> (LP) in Q4</td>
<td>* 14 volunteers edited 10 LPs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 1 new LP by community member</td>
<td>* 1 LP translated into 2 languages</td>
<td>* 1 new LP by community member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 5,000 views of <a href="#">Ed Toolkit</a> pages (includes LPs)</td>
<td></td>
<td>* 5,000 views of Ed Toolkit pages (includes LPs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team members involved:</strong> 1</td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Team members involved:</strong> 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. <strong>Share lessons learned</strong> with education and Wikimedia audiences and facilitate discussion and networking</td>
<td>* Publish monthly <a href="#">newsletter</a>, with at least 10 contributions from community</td>
<td>* 12 contributions from community to newsletter (April and May)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Write one blog post about education per month</td>
<td>* Facebook group:</td>
<td>* 3 blog posts written (1 published)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* Facebook group:</td>
<td>** 50% community posts</td>
<td>* Facebook group:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** 50% community posts</td>
<td>** one post per week from Ed team</td>
<td>** 55% community posts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>** 25% member growth in Q4</td>
<td>** 25% member growth in Q4</td>
<td>** ~2 posts per week from Ed team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team members involved:</strong> 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>** 85% member growth in Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>** 85% member growth in Q4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Facebook group: Grew much more than expected (85% in one quarter).

Learning: There’s a deep-rooted desire for people to connect, share their stories and learn from others, also through non-wiki channels.

Berlin WMCON: Great education team presence, great conversations.

Learning: Presence at in-person events goes hand in hand with resource development. It’s where we socialize the resources we have, where we hear new stories and get a deeper understanding of needs.

Wikimania pre-conference: 94 people signed up in one week’s time, greatly exceeding expectations.

Learning: Be prepared for anything when organizing a pre-conference :o)
Collab: Q4 focused on analyzing Collab bottlenecks to engagement and finding solutions. Limited capacity on team means Collab did not have the benefit of team defining improvements in early stage.

Learning: Our goal to go “light” on the organizational aspect of the Collab has left it with unclear expectations about what to do and how. We are redefining membership criteria, focusing on concrete actions that are transparent to the community. Stay tuned for updates in Q1.

July 7th update: Updated Collab main page, new membership request page and new open task list page.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
## Q4 - *Community Liaisons* *(6+1 FTE)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **1. **VisualEditor: Support communities and product team in discussion launch  
*Team members involved: 1* | VisualEditor is prepared to launch with confidence from community and product team perspectives.  
Community Engagement holds successful conversations and notifications around VE development and launch. | The team has been *supporting conversation* around a *graduated release of VisualEditor* after the product team and A/B test resulted in a proposal to release VE to new accounts. |
| **2. Complete responses to ideas in Product Process ideas page**  
*Team members involved: 2* | Response to community ideas, supporting product inclusion process.  
Setting expectations on what is reasonable or not reasonable on product process | Not completed. |
### Q4 - Community Liaisons (6+1 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Complete SUL finalization</strong>&lt;br&gt;$Team$ $members$ $involved$: 1</td>
<td>Single Unified Login complete, nearly three million accounts renamed, nearly five million accounts made global.</td>
<td>The objective was completed by the given ETA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Document Workflows</strong>&lt;br&gt;$Team$ $members$ $involved$: 2</td>
<td>Ensuring clarity of team tasks for ongoing development (internally and to community)</td>
<td>This is drafted but not finalized.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Community Liaisons

- **VisualEditor**: Message management is resulting in a civil, positive conversation
- **SUL**: An initiative announced in 2004 has been completed. Over 200 volunteers were engaged on this project.
  - **Learning**: Community supported initiatives, early volunteer engagement and consideration of workflows was key to this project’s success
- **Two new team members onboarded**
  - Benoît (France) and Johan (Sweden), bringing 18 combined years of onwiki experience to the team
- **Successful support of unplanned work**, including the https project
Q4 - Community Liaisons

- **Process ideas**: We were unable to finish triaging and responding to the Process Ideas due to changes in engineering & product.
  - **Learning**: This may have been an example of putting the cart before the horse; the process needs to be defined enough internally and consistently first. CLs aim to define a consistent community collaboration process in Q1, which will allow us to take another look at these ideas.

- **Workflows**: We began documenting these, but are working with the rest of the department to make them consistent.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
# Q4 - Community Advocacy (6 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1. Stewards visit to San Francisco.</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members: 1</td>
<td>* Develop an agenda for meetings and coordinate dates with stewards.&lt;br&gt;* Establish a date for this event by 6/5/15.&lt;br&gt;* Book office facilities as needed&lt;br&gt;* Coordinate with travel to provide travel/lodging</td>
<td>Completed late (6/20). Goal was 6/5.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2. Board and FDC Election:</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members: 2</td>
<td>* Form a community election committee to ensure that the FDC Election (5/3) and Board Election (5/17) are executed.&lt;br&gt;* Ensure ease of candidacy, submission, and voting.&lt;br&gt;* Increase turnout and diversity of the candidate pool.</td>
<td>Completed on time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Q4 - Community Advocacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3. Event bans and banned users</td>
<td>* Produce a (legal-approved) list of global and event banned users</td>
<td>Completed late (7/2). Goal was 6/30.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Create a protocol for appearance (or threat of it) at events by banned users</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Supply to Conference Coordinators for events beginning in Q1 (6/30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>* Gather feedback and iterate.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team members:</strong> 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team members:</strong> 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Q4 - Community Advocacy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>5. Onboarding Kalli to emergency and legal-reports</strong>&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Team members: 3</td>
<td>Start date: 4/15, Shadowing by 5/4 and On call rotation by 6/1</td>
<td>Completed on time.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6. Execute on core workflows</strong>&lt;br&gt;&lt;br&gt;Team members: 6</td>
<td>Ensure that 95% of contacts are handled within two working days of receipt; and that other workflows perform as required.</td>
<td>Completed on time.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Community Advocacy

**Elections:** Broader translation and engineering’s timely help with SUL and SecurePoll paid off in FDC and board election turnout.

**Capacity issues on the team** meant we were late on two of our goals (one by several weeks, and one by three days.) With our now-increased team capacity and better goals this won’t be an ongoing problem.

**New CA staff onboarding:** Experiment in hiring externally (with transferrable and directly applicable skills) paid off. She’s learning the wiki-specific things, but already knows Trust & Safety and was able to hit the ground running, decreasing ramp-up time.

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
# Q4 - Engineering Community (3-4 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. <strong>Most welcoming and productive Wikimedia Hackathon</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 2</td>
<td>33 projects showcased&lt;br&gt;At least 6 worth supporting&lt;br&gt;19% of participants were new volunteers&lt;br&gt;100% of newcomers had a buddy</td>
<td>Our bet on demoable projects and buddies was a success. Survey results available soon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. <strong>Successful start of all GSoC &amp; Outreachy projects</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 1</td>
<td>100% passed the community bonding period and received their first payment</td>
<td>Participants are meeting expectations better thanks to public evaluation criteria.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. <strong>Most basic Tech Community metrics are published</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 1</td>
<td>Basic community metrics agreed on, implemented, and publically available.</td>
<td>Finally we have KPIs and a foundation for more sophisticated metrics.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. <strong>Plan to focus on the developer audience</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 1</td>
<td>Developer Relations proposal agreed and ready to start implementation</td>
<td>ECT to start conversion in Q1 through related quarterly goals.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Engineering Community

- **Prototype of Developer Hub**: Dropped a quarterly goal on delivering a Data & Developer Hub prototype, due to the move of S Page to Reading.
  - **People**: Despite shift of team, S Page kept his personal commitment and published the prototype on time.
- **Hackathon projects**: Goal of hackathon was 8 projects; had 33 instead! It didn't require more work, just the willingness to work differently, and of course dozens of highly engaged developers.
- **Google Summer of Code/Outreachy**: Last winter, Niharika Kohli volunteered to help as co-org admin of Google Summer of Code and Outreachy in her volunteer capacity. This has allowed Quim Gil to focus on other tasks without any negative impact in these programs.
Collaboration with Wikimedia France: They were key for Hackathon’s success, but their introduction of own ways of working increased our effort for no clear benefit.

**Learning:** We need to be more systematic defining expectations while still encouraging local initiative and a local style of event. We have shared this learning with Wikimedia Israel already, and we have agreed on documenting a guide for hackathon organizers as we go.

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department.
### Q4 - Wikipedia Library (2.3 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Enhance editor access to research  
*Team members involved: 4* | 1) 8 new publishers; 2) 3 non-English publishers; 3) 400 accounts distributed; 4) 100 new users; 5) one new coordinator; 6) Plan to measure citation-impact; 7) Define metric for signup speed | 1)Hit  2)Hit  3)75%  4)Hit  5)Hit |
| 2. Scale the English Library model globally  
*Team members involved: 4* | 1) 20 interested languages; 2) 4 branches in consultations, 3) 3 branches in page setup; 4) Renew activity with 3 existing branches; 5) develop TWL-lite model; 6) 8 total branches | All Hit |
| 3. Share best practices and project models  
*Team members involved: 2* | 1) 5 New Visiting Scholars; 2) US WVS to Wiki Ed; 3) Complete Interns model; 4) New Branches Project Menu and FAQ; 5) Initiate documentation on 4 community project models; 6) Consult on WVU Library WIR Grant | All Hit |
## Q4 - Wikipedia Library (2.3 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **4. Extend our network of influence**  
*Team members involved: 2* | 1) Present at 4 major conferences; 2) Exhibit at ALA; 3) scope GLAM-Wiki role within Foundation; 4) 3 WMF Partnerships Brunches; 5) Outline draft of WMF partnership policy | 1) Hit  
2) Hit  
3) Hit  
4) 67%  
5) Hit |
| **5. Plan for a future TWL platform**  
*Team members involved: 4* | 1) Write development roadmap for LibraryCard Platform, Citation metrics, IP authentication (OAuth), and Reference tooltip guide; 2) Scope timeline for URL resolver, Link Rot, Echo notifications, and Citoid support | All Hit |
Global branches are starting up at scale

- French language volunteers blitzed to set up 3 signups, redesigning the signup, train 2 coordinators, and write a blog post... in 1 week
- Turkish WP started a branch without even telling us, just using our setup kit
- Discovering new project models across local languages, helping us build a menu of projects for communities to try, especially smaller wikis

Great networking presence

- GLAM-Wiki, DPLAFest, Wikimedia Conference, Project MUSE Keynote, and ALA where we exhibited and presented

Added 11,931 links to partner resources in Q4
Q4 - Wikipedia Library

Despite nearly 40 partnerships, we have **only 300 to 400 quarterly signups**. (290 received access this quarter with another 158 awaiting distribution)

**Cause -> Solution**

- Lack of direct invitation & publicity  ->  *need to use Echo or central notice*
- Individual signups  ->  *need to make simultaneous-signup possible*
- Hacky password distribution  ->  *need to streamline access transfer*
- Some partnerships are low value  ->  *need to focus on impactful donations*
- Unfamiliarity with donated content  ->  *need integrated search exploration*
- Global rollout still ongoing  ->  *need more global branches with partners*
- High requirements  ->  *we only lowered them mid-way through the quarter*

Starred (*) solutions will be incorporated into our Library Card Platform

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the Community Engagement department
Advancement department

Approximate team size during this quarter: 12

- Fundraising (general)
- Online Fundraising
- Fundraising Operations
- Major Gifts & Foundations
- Fundraising Tech
- Wikipedia Zero
- Strategic Partnerships
## Q4 - Advancement: Fundraising (general)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raise $7 million in Q4 primarily through: 1) Banner and Email campaigns in Latvia, Romania, and Slovakia. Testing in Ukraine, China and South America. 2) Transfers from Chapters. 2) Foundations, Major Gifts: Send proposals to four new institutional donors and secure a multi-year commitment from one new funder</td>
<td>Raise $58.5 million in FY 2014-15; $7 million this quarter</td>
<td>On Track; $8 million raised; Received transfer from Chapter; New Major funder secured. (Described further in Online Fundraising and Major Gifts sections)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Team members involved: 10*
# Q4 - Advancement: Fundraising (general)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Endowment Prep: Be ready to start fundraising for an endowment by the start of the new fiscal year. Select entity; Develop messaging and strategic purpose; Recruit at least 3 advisors; Develop fundraising plan. <em>Team members involved: 1</em></td>
<td>Develop a strategy for the long term financial health of the organization; Get signoff from the board on a strategy</td>
<td>Postponed; Endowment contractor ended contract; JD for Endowment Director is ready to post. We have completed research for an entity selection and we have proposals from 3 marketing firms for messaging.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement Astropay. <em>Team members involved: 5</em></td>
<td>Expand our fundraising infrastructure</td>
<td>Contract signed; Tech work has begun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partnerships: 1. Develop Partnership Plan 2. Secure at least one non-profit partnership</td>
<td>Develop a strategy for the long term financial health of the organization</td>
<td>NOTE: This has been moved to Partnerships.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The fundraising team exceeded the goal of $58.5M by $16.5M, raising ~$75M** this fiscal year.

**Preliminary approximate totals. These numbers will change after fiscal year reconciliation.
Q4 - Advancement

Endowment

- Endowment contractor ended contract
- JD for Endowment Director is ready to post
- We have completed research for an entity selection and we have proposals from 3 marketing firms for messaging.

Learning: We decided to move forward with looking for a permanent (FTE) Endowment Director instead of another contract to hopefully have someone in this role long-term.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department.
Q4 - **Online Fundraising (4 FTE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raise $7 million in Q4 through: Banner and Email campaigns in Latvia, Romania, and Slovakia. Testing in Ukraine, China and South America.</td>
<td>$7M raised</td>
<td>Exceeded FY1415 goal by $13M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implement Astropay to expand our fundraising infrastructure</td>
<td>Test donations with local donors</td>
<td>We were able to test donations via credit card in Brazil</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Team members involved: 4

Team members involved: 3
Q4 - Online Fundraising

- Raised the quarterly (and annual) goal ahead of schedule
- $2.5 million in Q4
- Desktop, mobile, and email campaigns in Spain, Latvia, Romania, Slovakia
- World-wide low-level campaigns
- Additional banner tests to help with tech prioritization and overall strategy

**Learning:** Setting up tests as early as possible for new methods that require significant work greatly improves our prioritization and annual planning process.

**Learning:** Banner campaigns run by community members can significantly interrupt the staff’s workflow (fundraising team, legal, community, others). We need support coordinating and evaluating community campaigns.
All of the prep work to begin the Astropay integration was complete (contract negotiation, legal/finance sign off, acceptance criteria, documentation). With the Astropay integration, we were able to QA the Brazilian donation form and test with individual volunteers.

Without the technical auditing and listening pieces complete, we were unable to run a banner test in Brazil.

**Learning:** When setting goals that are dependent on multiple teams, we should continuously check in on milestones and getting firm deadlines at each step in the process so that each team can plan accordingly.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Implement payment processor for Latin America  
*Team involved: 1 + tech* | Process payments in Brazil | Setup complete//processing is not live (objective was redefined for just Brazilian Credit Cards and met) |
| Preparing to re-integrate Amazon in early Q1.  
*Team involved: 2 + tech* | Scope the effort, understand the changes, add to roadmap | Reintegration is on the roadmap |
| Re-launch the Wikipedia store website  
*Team involved: 2 + volunteers* | Improve website layout  
Release 5 new products  
Launch Marketing Plan | Website launched with new products and gross sales increased by 249% compared to last quarter. |
| Donor Services ready for Astropay  
*Team involved: 8* | DS team ready to help Brazilian donors | Training, new processes, translations and documentation complete. Waiting for launch. |
Q4 - Fundraising Operations

Wikipedia Store relaunch

Products: 2 shirts, pencils, notebook and baby onesies
Gross Sales: ~$17k in Q4
(growth of 249% over Q3 and 144% over last year’s Q4).

Strategy: Website redesign, name change (from Wikimedia Shop to Wikipedia Store), new products and marketing efforts (Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, Banners,...)

Learning:
- Website improvement and name change were critical for brand recognition and partnerships
- New mission aligned products have become bestsellers (Free knowledge T-shirt).
- Store banners don’t have as much conversion as Fundraising banners.

Victoria Shchepakina project managed this effort and was involved from end to end. Many other people contributed to this project and were instrumental in this launch, including Heather Walls, Michael Guss, May Galloway, Nirzar P., Michelle N. and Gustavo O. and other volunteers.
Q4 - Fundraising Operations

Donor Services:

- 4,874 total tickets received (25% increase in tickets in Q4 2014)
- Avg. response time 8 hours

Most common emails:
- 12.9% (632) Help on payments or donation flow
- 11.5% (563) Response to nicest compliments (tagged "we_love_you_too")

Most common complaint/problem tickets:
- Bulk email (5%)
- Credit Card Rejections (1.99%)
- Unintended recurring donations (1.1%)
- Geolocation (0.90%)
- Banner complaints: (0.73%)

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department
### Q4 - *Major Gifts & Foundations* (3.2 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 1 - Send proposals to four new institutional donors</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 3</td>
<td>Four proposals sent</td>
<td>Goal achieved and surpassed: Proposals out to six institutional donors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 2 - Secure a multi-year commitment from one new funder</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 3</td>
<td>Multi-year commitment secured</td>
<td>Goal achieved: Teterev Foundation committed to 3 year, $151,000 grant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Objective 3 - Meet year-end fundraising goal</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 4</td>
<td>Raise $7 million in FY 14-15</td>
<td>Goal achieved and surpassed: $10.2 million raised as of May 30th</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - *Major Gifts & Foundations*  

- **$99k** in revenue in first months of Humble Bundle agreement  
  - **Approach:** Willingness to explore new types of partnerships & make time to cultivate these relationships

- **3 year - $151k** gift (an upgrade) from Teterev Foundation  
  - **People:** Jonathan Curiel wrote custom proposals and reports  
  - **Approach:** Cultivate existing donors throughout grant period, not just at the time of renewal

- Donor Cultivation (i.e., no $ ask) event in NYC at Print Wikipedia exhibition  
  - **People:** Artist & Gallery Owner asked to host us, donors felt flattered to be invited

- Successful transition to Development Analyst maternity leave fill-in  
  - **People:** Rosie Lewis planned and implemented the transition

Quarterly Objectives: Successes
Civi upgrades and reporting improvements still not done. Dependent on FR-Tech capacity. Overall, a good decision to push down the work queue but still leaves MGF with limited/clunky reporting (ie donor prospecting) capabilities within CiviCRM in the new FY.

**Learning**: We need to continue to improve our ability to plan an achievable amount of tech work each quarter.
Restricted Grants Discussions

- Restricted grant process begun with Knight Foundation about funding Search & Discovery

Tool & process improvements (with assistance from Fr-Tech & Fr-Ops)

- Donation processing improvements for efficiency and accuracy
  - DAFs, international checks, stock & wire donations, batch imports
- CiviCRM features turned on for the first time or fixed or improved
  - Automatic reminders and donor prospect information fields
- New event management tool selected and implementation in progress
  - Streamlines invitations, RSVPS, donations, and guest info tracking

Also

- Grant reporting, donor & prospect research, early-stage event planning, legacy donor cultivation, and more!

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand reach of fundraising capability to new donors and provide better</td>
<td>New payment processor integration with key metrics:</td>
<td>Original objective not met as written.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>experience for existing donors in Latin America</td>
<td>● Can process donations from Latin American cards/accounts (Brazil, Mexico, Chile,</td>
<td>Main objective requirements were quickly redefined in Q4, to only include Credit Card payments in Brazil. The new minimum requirements were met in Q4.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peru, Colombia, Uruguay, Argentina)</td>
<td>Other countries and methods through AstroPay will be re-prioritized based on projected donation numbers, and the planned timing of the relevant country campaign(s).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 3</td>
<td>● Donation information (including donations, refunds, and chargebacks) appears in</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CiviCRM through realtime and nightly audit as appropriate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Successfully made two new hires in early May, increasing the team size from four to six.

**Lesson:** Hiring and subsequent onboarding efforts could be made much more visible in all planning and tracking systems used by Engineering.

Adam Wight has once again demonstrated his excellent teaching abilities with the new team members; Though they still have a lot to learn about various fundraising systems, they have become useful members of the team much faster than we anticipated.

Paid down a significant amount of technical debt in the donation pipeline, with minimal disruption.

**Lesson:** Complex incremental refactoring is much easier to work in with the rest of the goals, when the team is both strict with itself about keeping their refactoring patches completely separate from other work, and fanatical about writing backend integration tests *before* the refactoring patches are submitted.

Elliott Eggleston has been instrumental both in the actual refactoring (particularly in the DonationInterface extension) and in deploying the refactored code with surprisingly few disruptions.
Q4 - Fundraising Tech

The single reported fr-tech goal for Q4 was not completed as it was originally written.

The fundraising team noticed early in the quarter that it was unrealistic for tech to support all countries and payment methods available through AstroPay before the end of Q4, and internal expectations were adjusted accordingly. This “miss” is generally considered by the team as more of a success than a failure because we hit the redefined goal.

Lessons: It would be helpful to break out multiple milestones throughout a large project to help coordinate planning across fundraising teams.

One binary goal for the whole quarter does not adequately represent the fr-tech team’s work (Already fixed going forward: Engineering teams are no longer constrained to one goal per quarter). Additionally, in the future, published goals will be written in such a way that they represent something closer to an MVP, with all stretch goals and associated KPIs removed.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measurement of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand reach of Wikipedia Zero in the GS</td>
<td>Reach extra 20 million page views per month - 94 total PVs</td>
<td>Dropped to 70M page views a month. Possible causes: seasonality and HTTPS migration (requires further study)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 4</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Keep our service in sync with our partners IP updates</td>
<td>Allow partners to edit their IP ranges on Zero self-serve portal</td>
<td>Engineering work has been completed enabling partners to utilize the Portal for IPs updates.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team member involved: 6</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Increase Wikipedia’s footprint and availability</td>
<td>Launch total of 50 partners</td>
<td>Zero team has launched 68 partners - 2 new launches in Q4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 4</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Q4 - Wikipedia Zero

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measurement of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Android preload</td>
<td>Get agreement of at least one OEM in the GS</td>
<td>Multilaser - local Brazilian OEM was re-engaged and confirmed the agreement to preload our app but has not signed a formal agreement yet.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 4</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preserve free Wikipedia after HTTPS rollout</td>
<td>Convert all Wikipedia Zero partners zero-rating to IPs and enable HTTPS to provide secure access after the HTTPS by default rollout.</td>
<td>Zero partners that have the ability to do IPs based zero-rated were converted.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 4</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Marketing redesign for Portal: The Zero team successfully designed a new marketing support section that helps partners to create post-launch campaigns based on global and local event promotions. This is part of effort to increase awareness in local markets and also organic page view growth.

Wikipedia awareness survey: The Zero team worked with VotoMobile to create a survey for measuring awareness and usage of Wikipedia and Wikipedia Zero targeted to at least one African market. This survey is conducted via phone, and it's not directed toward Wikipedia users. The goal is to learn more about new and potential users of Wikipedia. The survey is ready to be conducted in Q1 with proper budget approval.

Improving user interface: The Zero team received partner feedback regarding confusion about possible charges when using our service. We jointly designed a better interface that took into account the unique needs of the GS subscribers. This design work is nearing completion, and should be implemented in Q1 pending engineering support.

Highlights: Dan Foy lead the major efforts on the portal and survey efforts. Anne Gomez also provided invaluable support to the team with improving the user interface project.
Q4 - Wikipedia Zero

Page view miss: We went from 75M in May to 70M in June without adding or removing partners. It appears to be seasonal variance, although the HTTPS migration may have reduced some traffic from low end smartphones. Internet.org’s expansion in the GS markets derailed many of our deals in process for the quarter.

Pre-load miss: The Zero team followed business leads in the GS to ship a first Android preload. Even though we engaged local OEMs in the GS, we didn’t understand how the app preload business works. As a consequence, we were unable to complete deals in our goal timeframe.

Learnings: We have identified that financial costs and Wikipedia awareness are the two main challenges to the success of our app preload. There are significant costs to get the app preloaded, and then additional costs for in-phone promotion and offline marketing. Also, significant segments of GS markets have limited knowledge about WP, and often are not familiar with app usage on smartphones.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Advancement department
### Q4 - Strategic Partnerships (1 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measurement of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Secure at least one non-profit partnership</td>
<td>Increased diversity, quality and quantity of content across projects</td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 1</td>
<td>(seed a framework for strategic collaborations to grow the quality, quantity and diversity of content made available across all our projects)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Strategic Partnerships

Successes:

Non-profit partnership: Secured partnership between a global organization (World Bank) and Wikimedia Foundation. This collaboration will open access to new structured content to be added and curated on Wikidata.

Generation Z outreach: Secured Wikipedia Zone in the new SFPL Teen Tech Center (launching September 2015 for Back to School). This is part of a global opportunity with Mozilla’s HIVE Learning Network (see appendix of the Advancement team’s quarterly review slides for their global footprint).

NGO partnership: OECD agrees to review their copyright policy on selected data sets to accommodate WP’s CC0 needs. They seek deep engagement with the projects. Partnership agreement under development. Implementation expected 2Q-16

Learnings:

(1) NGOs respond positively to the fact that we are both a high visibility platform for their content as well as a valuable resource for their own projects.

(2) The pace at which we onboard new content partners is contingent on community support and participation - something we are building into this new framework.
# Discovery (13 FTE - 3 new hires)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective (FTE)</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Search Instrumentation (5)</td>
<td>Initial construction of a dashboard and establish core KPI set</td>
<td>Alpha Launched May 12th, Key KPI’s presented June 19th</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Search Research &amp; Analysis (3)</td>
<td>Create initial feedback paths and initial survey on search</td>
<td>Launched June 23rd, 1200 responses in 7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hire and form team for search and Discovery (6)</td>
<td>Hire relevancy engineers, data analysts, ux, backend. Create channels of communication and process.</td>
<td>Hired and recruited internal for key positions (3 new) Created lists, irc, phabricator boards, team page</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wikidata Query Service beta (2)</td>
<td>Launch initial beta version of service on labs.</td>
<td>Launched May 30th Presented in lightning talks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maps service prototype (2)</td>
<td>Create prototypes of tile services.</td>
<td>Demoed at hackathon, State of the map, WMF lightning talks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Discovery

- Oliver Keyes and Dan Garry worked aggressively on our **Search instrumentation** goal to prep for our **initial presentation** June 15th. We support community engagement and transparency in our product roadmap as a rule so we created a public **dashboard** to measure our key KPI’s. (User satisfaction, User-perceived load time, No results rate, API usage).

- As part of our **research and analysis** effort, Moiz Syed and team crafted user satisfaction surveys on key flows for search. 1200 responses in 7 days. Mobile and Wikipedia.org surveys are in process. Summary results will be presented at Wikimania.

- Tomasz Finc managed **hiring** well and led the effort around our core competencies of data analytics, relevancy, back-end support, UX front-end and key leadership roles. He also handled transitioning in from current internal employees for a smooth transition. We also created the channels needed for communicating externally and internally.

- Stas Malyshev established and rolled out on time our initial beta for [Wikidata query service](https://wikidata.org).

- Yuri Astrakhan and Max Semenik prototyped the **Maps Tile Service** and presented at the Hackathon in Lyon, Open Maps Conference in NY and in subsequent discussions at WMF events. We also reviewed initial work to get to an initial production service.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Discovery team.
**Q4 - Reading (team size: 27)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Android and iOS:</strong> Provide readers with the information they are looking for more quickly via a prototype that making taps on Wikipedia links show article information (more info)</td>
<td><strong>Engagement:</strong> Link taps per user per session will increase (more than page views will decrease)</td>
<td>Link previews out on Android beta with 30% increase in links clicked. 60% of clicks continue on to new article. (More clicks but 20% fewer articles read)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Team members involved:</strong> 8</td>
<td></td>
<td>This is not a good metric because this behavior is actually desirable per our impact theory (reducing links followed indicates faster resolution)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*(Clarified Pre-reorg Mobile goals)*
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Mobile Web:**
Allow users to create individualized collections of articles and share them with others by launching **Gather** on English Wikipedia, Mobile web  
*Team members involved: 5*  

**Contributions:**
>10,000 creators/month rate on production  
>1000 shares per month rate | Current numbers are 20x goal (extrapolated from beta)  
Drove users to ‘beta’ and avoid the cost of testing a new feature on production.  
We would have exceeded numeric goals on stable, but have since determined this bar was too low to warrant promotion at this stage, and our focus has changed. |

*(Clarified Pre-reorg Mobile goals)*
Q4 - Reading

Q1 Design > Android Link Preview > Prototype

Yosemite Valley
Glacial valley in California, United States of America

Yosemite Valley is a glacial valley in Yosemite National Park in the western Sierra Nevada mountains of Northern California. The valley is about 8 miles (13 km) long and up to a mile deep, surrounded by high granite summits such as Half Dome and El Capitan, and densely forested with pines. The valley is drained by the Merced River and connects the western slope of the High Sierra to the Central Valley.

Similar pages

Half Dome
Granite dome in Yosemite National Park

Half Dome is a granite dome at the eastern end of Yosemite Valley in Yosemite National Park, California. It is possibly Yosemite’s most familiar rock formation. The granite crest rises more than 4,737 ft (1,444 m) above the valley floor.

Quarterly Objectives: Successes
Q4 - Reading

Gather: public feed
Team

- Team dealt with re-org extremely well, adjusting to new scope and loss of product resources with updated planning and management (Kristen, Jon Katz, Adam, Dmitry, Joaquin, Corey, Bryan)
- Design integrated with core workflows (Vibha, Kaity, Sherah, iOS & Android teams)
- **Learning**: Team is flexible, motivated and able to collaborate in difficult circumstances

Distribution

- Android: 15% increase in installs (up to 13.3M from 11.5M) via PR, new app features & Google Play Features
- **Learning**: We can drive downloads via PR & Google Play at limited scale

Engagement

- Gather beta performance exceeded initial expectations dramatically
- **Learning**: New features need to have more substantial impact

Quality & Developer Productivity

- Improved QA, test environment and release process led to 5 iOS App releases in Q4 v 1 in Q3
- **Learning**: Investment in testing and productivity pays off

Quarterly Objectives: Successes

Full Q4 ‘retrospective’ [here](#)
**Q4 - Reading**

**Infrastructure**

- Updated API to improve JSON format, better support for “continuing” queries and versioning

  > Wow, this list of changes fixes a lot of things that I've hated about our API for a long time (no more star properties! no more setIndexedTagName errors! consistent JSON formatting!). I feel like it's Christmas! Thanks for all your hard work on this Brad! It is much appreciated.[1]

**Community Tech**

- Hired 2 developers and 1 Manager (Welcome Frances, Niharika and Ryan)

**UX Standardization**

- OOJS UI: We continued work in expanding the library. Some of the designed components include date picker, dropdown menu, stepper, toggle. Implementation pending resources.
- Living Style Guide (Uses Blueprint skin that Standardization team built) Data & Developer hub started using Blueprint skin and has hopes to integrate the skin with Mediawiki. Currently going through the list of blockers and addressing them before integration review.

---

**Quarterly Objectives: Successes**

Full Q4 ‘retrospective’ [here](#)
Team
- Reorg uncertainty hurt velocity and direction (see success above)
- **Learning**: We did well in managing impact but it was still disruptive

Distribution
- iOS: Installs are declining (went from ~180K day to ~140K day) with no PR bump
- **Learning**: We need to understand differences in marketing across platforms

Engagement
- Page views and other metrics flat at best
- **Learning**: Need long term strategy to increase our impact on the world
- We exceeded Gather success metrics but they weren’t the right metrics
- **Learning**: Metrics need to be aligned to goals (to be fair, the goals changed)

Quality
- iOS ratings fell as a result of crashes (3.9 to 2.6 out of 5, since recovering)
- **Learning**: Quality matters to our users! (Quality improvements called out in Success slides)
Q4 - Reading

Infrastructure

- Sharing a manager and an engineer with other teams has kept new team from working together effectively as a unit.

Community Tech

- Budget woes and focus stopped us from moving forward as fast as we would have liked.

UX Standardization

- We need some exec focus on resolving design leadership issues.

Learnings: We needed to address lingering re-org issues more effectively as an organization

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Reading team

Quarterly Objectives: Misses

Full Q4 ‘retrospective’ [here](#)
Editing department

Approximate team size during this quarter: 30 FTE

- Collaboration
- Language Engineering
- Multimedia
- Parsing
- VisualEditor
## Q4 - Collaboration team, Editing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make Flow a discussion system that can handle all of the core Discussion use cases, to a level that can be used on active project and article talk pages</td>
<td>One Wikipedia language using Flow on every talk page in the project and/or article talk namespace, enabled with the active support of the community on that language, by the end of June 2015 – <a href="#">T99117</a></td>
<td>Catalan Wikipedia is using Flow on all talk pages in the project namespace (Viquipèdia Discussió), as of June 30. Catalan is also using Flow on the five Viquipèdia:La taverna (village pump) pages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Team members involved: 9 (+ 1 from other departments)*

Example link: [https://ca.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viquip%C3%A8dia:La_taverna/Propostes](https://ca.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viquip%C3%A8dia:La_taverna/Propostes)
This quarter we converted a namespace on an active wiki to use Flow instead of wikitext talk pages. We worked with the community at Catalan Viquipèdia to make sure we addressed all of their use cases, and we built several features -- Mark as resolved, edit patrolling and moving a Flow board.

We built a new side rail feature to hold the metadata templates (aka “the yellow boxes”) common on talk pages. The side rail can be collapsed to allow full-width viewing of Flow pages, a much-requested feature.

We began conducting generative research with experienced editors to plan our approach to Workflows, an important feature set for our most active users.
Q4 - Collaboration team, Editing

Quarterly Objectives

Successes

Screenshot from Catalan, showing new features requested by the community -- marking a discussion as resolved, and the side rail for template boxes. Not pictured: Clicking the X at the top right of the side rail collapses the rail, making the page full-width.

Viquipèdia:La taverna/Tecnicismes

Start a new topic

✓ Enrique Rambal Sacía (junior)

D'infotaules a Wikidata

ESM

Hola, estic iniciant el d:wikidata:WikiProject Modernisme i entre les operacions que m'han passat pel cap per engreixar Wikidata hi ha la de passar les dades que ara tenim en local a les infotaules cap allà. Segur que ja s'ha parlat algun cop, però vaig una mica perduda en aquests assumptes i potser estic ficant la pata. Què en penseu? És viable / interessant / prudent? Gràcies!

Screenshot: https://ca.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viquip%C3%A8dia:La_taverna/Tecnicismes of GPL and MIT software, and content used under CC-BY-SA
Template image: https://ca.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fitxer:Icona_de_la_Cafetera_de_la_Viquip%C3%A8dia.png used under LGPL.
Q4 - Collaboration team, Editing

We’re in the process of **converting LiquidThreads pages** to Flow boards on MediaWiki.org, an important step towards retiring a previous discussion system that we’re not working on anymore. MediaWiki.org is our first large conversion, with 1700 LQT pages. As we’ve been converting pages, we’ve found bugs in the process that have slowed down completion of this task. We’ve made good progress (250 pages converted), but we’d hoped to be done by now.

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the Editing team.
## Q4 - Language team, Editing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strengthen the Content Translation infrastructure</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 6</td>
<td>Facilitate the creation of more types of links in a reliable way – T76455</td>
<td>Core improvements done. Advanced cases planned for next quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Add [[Special:CXStats]] with selected article publishing statistics – T99230</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Make Content Translation available to more users and maintain a high quality of service availability</strong>&lt;br&gt;Team members involved: 6</td>
<td>Deployed on all Wikipedias as a beta-feature – T88408</td>
<td>Complete; all done in-quarter except English (done on 7th July).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Prep. release from beta to production on one Wikipedia – T102107</td>
<td>We compiled the Catalan needs for graduation without major blockers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Design CX integration into the editing workflow and provide entry points to involve readers – T87867, T99071</td>
<td>Done — Effectiveness measured and positive impact on metrics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - **Language team, Editing**

- Content Translation was made available in 266 further Wikipedias this quarter. All Wikipedias now have CX.

- 8000 total articles have been created with CX. At present, 1000 new translations are created per week (last quarter: 100), after CX was enabled on the top 10 Wikipedias in the last few weeks. Deletion rate for the tool’s articles at 7% (down from 8% in May) is favourable.

- Notification system was introduced, for increased interaction with users. Community interactions throughout this period have been positive, constructive and participatory.

- We partnered with the Research team about article suggestions; article suggestion is an intended way users can begin translations and results from this experiment will guide the development of this feature.
Q4 - Language team, Editing

- Last mile experience for users can be even better; sporadic publishing failures (working with Parsing team on this) and other issues (like local scripts, gadgets etc.) that get in the way of CX are a challenge.

- Most users published less than 5 articles. This is expected from a newly introduced tool, but we need to continue the momentum on developing features that engage users early and retain interest.

- No clarity on analytics support; more so after the reorg.

- Team members are overworked, especially with the hiring cuts and removal of existing positions. Non-CX projects are now included in sprints but actual execution of maintenance work is far from reality (small maintenance OK, bigger is not).

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Editing team.
## Q4 - *Multimedia team, Editing*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Make uploading media an easy, integrated process</td>
<td>Users can upload media to Commons by clicking upload or using drag-and-drop inside VisualEditor and the wikitext editor whilst they edit, by end September 2015 – <a href="http://example.com">T40030</a></td>
<td>Underway, on track</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Team members involved: 4*
We are on track with our work. This quarter, we made solid progress on the modernisation of UploadWizard in planned incremental improvements. Our focus is on code quality and architecture, paying down our technical debt.

We decided to concentrate more on the ‘core’ product by generalising our approach. We are designing, planning, and making some code progress on a new MediaWiki upload API and modernised UX for the core upload tool.

This will replace parts of our uploading process, so that official uploading integrations into VisualEditor and mobile, and community tools like campaigns, can be served more flexibly and simply.
Getting UploadWizard fully refactored was more of an effort than anyone initially imagined, so there is still work to do there. We expected this to be done by the end of the coming quarter (two quarters’ work), but it may slip. Some of the projected effort will be obviated by the new upload APIs which we took on as part of this effort.

Outside our objectives, work on the longer-term priority of structured data (Wikibase) on Commons is not currently possible, though there is a plan in the works. We have yet to solidly establish the barriers or deliverables and thus a timeline.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Editing team.
## Q4 - Parsing team, Editing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Top goal:</strong> Identify &amp; fix the most prominent remaining semantic roundtripping diffs</td>
<td>At least 99.95% of the ~160K test pages roundtrip (wikitext → HTML → wikitext) without semantic errors in full roundtrip testing, by end June 2015. – <a href="https://example.com/t92643">T92643</a></td>
<td>Met goal as of June 23rd, 2015. We were at 99.82% at start of quarter, and 99.75% end-June, 2014. <a href="https://example.com/announcement">Announcement on wikitech-l</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Implicit objective</strong> Fix VE Q4 blocker bugs</td>
<td>VisualEditor 2014/15 Q4 blockers tasks depending on Parsoid are resolved.</td>
<td>Met goal. There is currently one unclosed task that requires more discussion and clarification -- moved to FY15-16 Q1.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve Parsoid performance</td>
<td>Handle large / pathological pages on which Parsoid is currently timing out (T75412, T88915)</td>
<td>Minimal work identifying reasons for timeouts on some pages.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Team members involved: 3

*Team members involved: 4 (+1 from other department)*
Based on gradual progress over the last year, at the start of the quarter, we expected we would be able to meet 99.95% semantically accurate round-tripping goal. But, it was not as easy as anticipated.

We hand coded ~100 test failures in terms of what caused the failure. This was critical to identify non-edge case failures that would be most useful to fix.

We had a lot of false semantic failure reports because our error classification algorithm was 2+ year old code and was overly complex and buggy in parts. It required a rewrite of pieces of that code to fix this.
Q4 - Parsing team, Editing

We were primarily focused on meeting our top goal and fixing VE blocker bugs (Arlo, Scott, Subbu, Marc). In addition, we undertook a lot of unplanned, but necessary, code cleanup (Arlo, Scott, Subbu). We also wanted to get some other longstanding work (CSS-based customization of Cite) finished which we did (Marc).

All the above, in combination with the reorg, some team changes, and a small team meant that we did not have any bandwidth to work on fixing problems with pages that were timing out, but we made progress improving perf. of the PEG tokenizer (Tim).

As for other sub-goals listed in T92643 that were dependent on VE’s goals and priorities, we made some progress towards supporting section editing (Arlo). That requires additional engineering work in VE, Parsoid, and RESTBase and is deferred for later in FY2015/16. Same with switching between HTML / wikitext editing modes in VE.
Q4 - Parsing team, Editing

- Ongoing deploys (up to 2 times a week)
  - 0.6 developer months of our time per quarter
- Code maintenance, cleanup, easing technical debt, documentation
  - This quarter, we focused on this part more than usual and spent up to 3 developer months of our time doing maintenance and easing technical debt.
- Maintenance of the OCG PDF backend (primarily Scott’s responsibility)
  - Up to 1 developer month of work spent doing this.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Editing team
# Q4 - VisualEditor team, Editing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve the editing experience for new and anonymous users on the English Wikipedia by giving them VisualEditor</td>
<td>Maintain VisualEditor production service at our quality criteria. – <a href="#">Project 1113</a></td>
<td>Met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Run test of availability for new accounts on the English Wikipedia. – <a href="#">T90666</a></td>
<td>Completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 7 (+ 5 from other departments)</td>
<td>Gradual ramp-up of availability on the English Wikipedia for new users, from 5% to 100%. – <a href="#">T90664</a></td>
<td>Delayed by result 2. Have begun community discussion; on track for next quarter.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Make VisualEditor available on the English Wikipedia for anonymous users. – <a href="#">T90663</a></td>
<td>Delayed by result 3. Will begin once result 3 is secured.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - VisualEditor team, Editing

We met our on-going principal task of maintaining the quality of our production editing service against our quality criteria whilst suffering a significant reduction in resourcing.

We made significant alterations to the workflow of citations and link editing based on user research. These usability improvements have notably simplified and enhanced the user experience.

We continued to trial new ways of working to involve the editing and developer communities more deeply in our planning and prioritisation of our work to be aligned with their wants and needs.
User research uncovered big usability misses in some of the new functionality added last quarter, as well as in existing areas of focus. This led to significant proposed re-designs of these features being generated. Most of these were accepted as blockers which could cause the A/B test to fail if unaddressed. The time taken to build, re-test & deploy these, albeit rapid, collectively delayed the A/B test by two months. This had consequent impacts on the post-test tasks.

**Action:** We in Editing have set up processes to involve User Research more consistently and earlier in the design and development process. We expect that this change will avoid a recurrence of this kind of rapid re-re-design in future.

*For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Editing team*
Infrastructure department

- Analytics
- Release Engineering
- Services
- Technical Operations, Labs
## Q4 - *Analytics* (6 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Provide metrics, a <a href="#">dashboard</a> and ad-hoc support for Editing Team</td>
<td>The dashboard for Editing is used over time (measured in Pageviews for the dashboard)</td>
<td>GREEN - The objectives were completed, and we see an average 1.9 of unique visitors per day (114 visits in Q4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dan Andreescu worked with Aaron Halfaker from the Research & Data team to vet and sanitize the data collected in EventLogging from the Visual and Wikitext Editors.

We added “Failure Types by User Types” to the dashboard.

**Learning:** The Editing Dashboard isn’t getting used as often as we expected. More work needs to be done with stakeholders to define the right metrics to visualize for the dashboard to be useful on a daily basis.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Infrastructure department.
## Q4 - *Release Engineering* (6 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Release MediaWiki 1.25  
Team: 1 | The software that runs Wikipedia and sister projects has a successful release for third-party users. | **Success!** *(Announcement)* |
| Deployment Tooling:  
Assessment and Planning  
Team: 4 | A plan for how to attack the problem of multiple deploy tools that don’t meet everyone’s needs. | **Success!** [Read the assessment and plan for next quarter.](#) |
| Create the Staging cluster  
Team: 4 | A cluster that more closely mimics production with fewer differences than the Beta Cluster. | **Paused.** We were unable to complete this during the quarter and paused it mid-way through so other goals wouldn’t be jeopardized. |
| Isolated CI Infrastructure  
Team: 1 (+1 external) | Working Proof of Concept (instances booting with jobs running) | **Delayed.** However, the Labs team has improved their infra. and there is a proof of concept of spawning instances. |
In late May the team had its **first team offsite** (directly before the Lyon Hackathon) 10 months after the team’s inception. This activity had multiple successes:

1) *solidifying team morale and identity*,
2) *group assessment of our team’s needs with help of Team Practices Group*
3) *a plan of action to address those needs.*

The team made the right (but hard) decision to **pause the staging project** in an effort to accomplish other goals.
The team **broadly estimated the work** needed to accomplish our goals. Implementation needed to complete each project was largely **silooed**.

We’re addressing this issue by **pairing regularly on triage and implementation** so that no one team member is tasked with moving a project forward—if a “baton” is dropped there will be someone else on the team with the right knowledge to pick it up. See: the staging and isolated CI instances projects.

The team **lost a backfill position** (aka: total team size was reduced from 7 to 6 for the next fiscal year). This will cause us to **not do** or **delay** project requests from other teams. Full list in-progress.

*For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the Infrastructure department*
## Q4 - Services (3 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **API support for mobile VE**  
*Team members involved: 2* | Develop and deploy section retrieval and save API                                  | Built and partially deployed API VE team discovered additional requirements, might tackle those next quarter.                      |
| **Support Parsoid and VE teams in reducing HTML size**  
Separate metadata from HTML.  
*Team members involved: 0* | Provide storage and API support once Parsoid & VE are ready to work with separate metadata | Deferred: VE and Parsoid teams did not have time to work on this.                                                                         |
| **Streamline and mentor service development / deployment**  
*Team members involved: 2* | Half the number of steps needed during service code deployment  
Guide and support service developments | Reduced number of code deploy steps from 11 to 3 (using Docker, Ansible)  
Graphoid deployed & exposed through RESTbase  
Mobile App service ready for deployment |
Early in the quarter, we introduced a global /api/ namespace, and exposed the REST API at /api/rest_v1/. This greatly reduced time to first byte by
- eliminating extra DNS lookups,
- using geo-distributed HTTPS termination, and
- supporting SPDY connection sharing with HTTPS.

Marko Obrovac helped Marielle Volz of the editing team clean up and improve the Citoid service used to convert URLs to citation metadata in VisualEditor.

Towards the end of the quarter, we hired Petr Pchelko (Russia) as a Software Engineer (contractor), bringing the team size to four FTE.
The VisualEditor team did not have as much time for mobile editing work as expected, which made our VisualEditor goals difficult to achieve. We did create the planned section edit APIs, but more work is needed in VisualEditor and Parsoid before we can reduce HTML size further and enable section editing in VisualEditor.

Expanding the Cassandra cluster turned out to be harder than expected, as we ran into stability issues with larger instances. Going forward, we will keep instance sizes moderate with more aggressive horizontal scaling.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Infrastructure department.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Streamline deployment steps for SOA services  
*Team members involved: 5* | Reduce the number of individual manual service deployments steps from currently *(typically) 11* to <= 5 using consolidation, increased abstraction and automation | A typical deployment is now a 5 step, guided process |
| | Implement a configuration discovery system to aid fully automatic configuration of load balancing, Varnish caching and availability and metrics monitoring in one automated process | *etcd* discovery system has been deployed and integrated with PyBal and Varnish |
| | Evaluation of container systems and deployment system options | Early evaluation of deployment systems (with RelEng) is now available, but container systems were not evaluated |
After some initial struggles, we were able to successfully define and implement our quarterly objective by focussing on the current obstacles around deploying and managing new services, rather than starting with technology choices. Thanks to Marko (Services) for helping with this observation.

We managed to hit our goal of reducing the number of deployment steps for new services by:

- defining a **clear process** for acquiring all required information from the developers early on
- developing a new layer of abstraction for services in the form of the generic `service::node` Puppet module
- providing a `new_wmf_service.py` script that guides service developers through many steps and creates a template to work with.

The introduction of the new `etcd` service discovery system takes care of many challenges people inside and outside Operations have in deploying new services or nodes to load balancing and monitoring, by making these changes automatic and machine editable. It’s an important building block for future tooling to be developed by Ops, RelEng and Services.
Although we assisted the Release Engineering team in their goal of formulating a plan for a generic software deployment tool, we did not also start the (related) evaluation of container systems - we redefined the goal as it was deemed too large in scope, and we lacked the necessary bandwidth. The new processes for coordination and definition of goals that are being instated should avoid this in the future.

A related experimental goal for next quarter is to investigate and implement a container based distributed cluster environment in ToolLabs (initially). This work will be done with production use in mind as well, and should prove to be useful for both environments.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Infrastructure department.
# Q4 - Infrastructure / Labs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Improve reliability of ToolLabs</td>
<td>ToolLabs has at least 99.5% provable, measured uptime for each individual 'service' that ToolLabs provides its users</td>
<td>Cumulative average availability from May 1 - June 30 was an appalling 97.589% after a long storage outage.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Team members involved: 3*
Although this has clearly not yet resulted in acceptable availability for (Tool)Labs due to problems at the lower levels, many significant improvements on especially the higher layers of ToolLabs have been made: The ToolLabs nodes & services have been made redundant across compute nodes, and been Puppetized more thoroughly. Automatic service monitoring & restarts for user tools have been reimplemented and made reliable. Proper monitoring of ToolLabs has been implemented using our new external monitoring service, giving us much better insight into the problems & performance issues.

Near the end of the quarter, the focus has been on reducing unneeded dependencies on underlying (storage) infrastructure while its problems were being addressed, and this has made many Labs projects much more resilient.
Due to several severe outages on underlying Labs compute node & NFS storage infrastructure, ToolLabs had disastrous availability of 97.6% over the period of May 1 - June 30. This was caused by:

1. hardware and kernel issues on the old & on the new replacement hardware (now resolved)
2. the fragility of the Labs NFS storage setup, presenting a SPOF for nearly all Labs instances.

The suboptimal NFS storage layout provided performance only just adequate for regular use, and *greatly complicated and delayed* the creation of backups and the migration to a better architecture - almost any additional disk operations caused service degradation. This storage migration also *triggered* the corruption of the Labs NFS file system, resulting in the large scale outage on June 18th.

However, a positive side effect of this very unfortunate downtime event was our ability to cut through the remaining storage migration steps, and we came out with a significantly more performant and resilient storage setup at the end. We’re now much better positioned to provide a high reliability setup, and will continue to make this the main focus of the Labs team in the upcoming quarter.

Through more hands-on involvement of multiple team members and more explicit discussion about these system design decisions and implementation details with expertise of the entire team, we will avoid such problems in the future.

*For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Infrastructure department*
CTO (Chief Technical Officer) department

- Performance
- Security
- Research & Data
- Design Research
**Q4 - Performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hire additional team members</td>
<td>Make sure the team is staffed to fulfill its mandate.</td>
<td>Recruited Peter Hedenskog, Timo Tijhof</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Performance

- Reduced page save time from 3.5s to 1.1s (104% improvement)
  - 20m edits a month = 48m seconds saved
  - Saves cumulative 1.5 years of waiting time every month.
- Created performance metrics portal [https://performance.wikimedia.org/](https://performance.wikimedia.org/)
- Provided instrumentation to support HTTPS migration.
- Added profiling / stats reporting capabilities to MediaWiki core.

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the CTO department.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Design a series of controlled tests and analyze them in order to support the Editing team in preparing for a successful launch of Visual Editor</td>
<td>Provide guidance to the Editing team based on these tests to help them decide if the software meets the requirements to ship</td>
<td><strong>Success!</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 1</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Results**
- [Results]

**Discussion**
- [Discussion]
### Quarterly Objectives

**Research and Data** *(5 FTE)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Article creation recommendations</strong></td>
<td>• Design and evaluate an algorithm for personalized content translation recommendations.</td>
<td><strong>Success!</strong> Model design / evaluation completed. Conducted one pilot and completed a first test in production in French Wikipedia. See <a href="#">Report</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 2 (+ 1 research fellow)</em></td>
<td>• Complete a pilot experiment</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Revscore</strong></td>
<td>• Prototype service online for 5 wikis</td>
<td><strong>Success!</strong> See <a href="#">Report</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 1</em></td>
<td>• Labeling service online</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Article quality model deployed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Caching layer deployed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Improving linking structure</strong></td>
<td>• Release dataset of browsing traces</td>
<td><strong>Paused</strong> Postponing data release to end of Q1 to allow for paper submission. See <a href="#">Report</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 1 (+ 1 research fellow)</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Impact of HTTPS rollout</strong></td>
<td>• Produce a report on the impact of the HTTPS rollout on traffic</td>
<td><strong>Success!</strong> See <a href="#">Report</a>.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Team members involved: 1</em></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Other achievements**: 106
The team successfully completed two major experimentation projects such as the VE and the content translation A/B tests with complex dependencies (Legal, Comms, CL, Design Research, Product, Analytics Dev). TPG was instrumental in making this possible.

Outcomes

- Clear product recommendations for the VE launch
- Promising first results on the impact of article translation recommendations on engagement and content growth
Q4 - Research and Data

Other achievements beyond our stated goals:

- Completed migration to Phabricator
- Successful ICWSM Wikipedia research workshop:
  40+ attendees, 20 accepted papers
- Finalized legal requirements for NDA with Los Alamos National Lab collaboration
- Wikipedia Knowledge Graph study with Stanford/DeepDive
Blockers and dependencies for article recommendation experiment: running experiments takes a lot of time. (thanks to Ori, Dan, Michelle + Legal, Echo team, LangEng and CL for their support)

Our mandate and engagement model, post reorg, is still in flux: team receives requests that should in principle be honored by the audience teams.
Q4 - Research and Data

- **Research & Data team page**: Describing goals, processes and projects.
- **Goals for Q1 FY16**: What we are planning to do in the coming quarter
- **FY16 priorities**: Top priorities for the fiscal year
- **Phabricator workboard**: What we are currently doing

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the CTO department
**Q4 - Design Research (3.5 FTE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 1: Evaluate the usability and user experience of editing tasks for VE launch. <em>Team members involved: 2</em></td>
<td>Basic editing tasks tested and clear communication of any usability issues to be addressed. Iteration support.</td>
<td>Successful iteration: Two rounds of research done: Round 1: <em>On Wiki, Deck</em>. Round 2: <em>On Wiki, Deck</em>. More to come for Link inspector and new user education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Objective 2: Start REFLEX: user segment based tasks to evaluate effects of changes to products and services on users experience over time.</td>
<td>Providing a better understanding of the user experience and impacts of design changes in functionality on users over time.</td>
<td>Objective redefined during quarter (was not funded for future, so we refocused on pragmatic personas.)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Design Research

- Set of Pragmatic Personas and a workshop to iterate the pragmatic personas and align with designers, PMs and track leads. Posters / Hand outs
- Evaluative Research for mobile:
  - Collections heuristic, Collections research (experience and usability)
- Generative Research for Flow:
  - Flow workflow research.
- Re-aligning with product teams on how to work most effectively together in the new post re-org structure.
- Began managing our work flow in Phabricator so our work is more visible to the rest of the organization.
- Working to address the gap in design leadership post re-org with UX team (researchers, interaction and visual designers) and executives.
Q4 - Design Research

- The REFLEX (benchmarking) goal focused on understanding user experiences with basic tasks within Wikipedia would have helped to better understand, over time, how various user types (personas) experience Wikipedia, and the impacts on experience our changes to the UI have on the users’ experiences. We refocused work on pragmatic personas instead.

- Participant recruiter contract ended and we are figuring out how to fill this much needed position moving forward.

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the CTO department
**Q4 - CTO / Security (1.5 FTE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Begin building the security team, while continuing ongoing auditing and response work. <em>Team members involved: 1</em></td>
<td>Application Security Engineer hired</td>
<td>Done (Darian Patrick hired and onboarded)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Significant improvement in Ops security, and user account security through Ops’ effort (https / ipsec).

**Learning:** Hiring dedicated security people works. Let’s discuss hiring onto specific teams next year.
Metrics for ongoing, operational work has not been established, making it hard to determine success for the majority of our work.

**Learning:** Goals for Q1 include establishing metrics for security bugs (review metrics will be worked on in Q2).
Q4 - CTO / Security

- Security audit and response
  - Security issues: 21% fewer issue fixed than opened
  - Reviews: 9 requested, 10 completed
- Training
  - Secure Code and Secure Architecture and Design trainings at Lyon Hackathon

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the CTO department
## Q4 - **Legal Team** (10 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Core</strong></td>
<td>Onboard two new attorneys and meet SLAs on core workflows.</td>
<td>Done (about 80% of our resources are Core dedicated)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● 33+1=34 core workflows managed well (see Appendices A &amp; B).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Legal@ - of 136 requests: 100% turnaround w/i 7 days, 96% within 3 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Contracts@ - of 88 contracts: 99% reviewed/negotiated/approved by Legal within 7 days.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Zhou and Vickie - who came with strong experience - onboarded with smooth transition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NSA litigation</strong></td>
<td>No missed dates for affidavits and motions. High quality documents.</td>
<td>Done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● All deadlines met.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>● Filed strategic amended complaint.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1) **HTTPS by default.** This multi-functional rollout was a success that provides long-awaited protection for our users. It enables private and secure connections and minimizes censorship opportunities on all our projects. Partnership included Tech, CE & Comms.

   *Learning* - Coordinated efforts can ensure successful implementation on long-term projects.

2) **NSA lawsuit.** Secured high-quality pro bono representation to help support our workloads (Cooley), provided solid research, and hit all deadlines with filing of strategic amended complaint. Strong partnership with Tech and CE.

   *Learning* - With purposeful coordination of complicated projects, we work multi-functionally within WMF and across legal teams efficiently (WMF, ACLU, Cooley).

3) **Open Access Policy.** Successful release in support of global free, open knowledge. Partnership included Tech and Comms.

   *Learning* - Commitment to mission values can speed pace of innovation around the globe.

4) **33 Core Workflows.** We delivered 33 workflows on time and added a new one ("Training"), despite other unanticipated legal demands.

   *Learning* - Efficient, working core provides stable base and flexibility to address unplanned needs and innovation.
1) **Budget planning.** We should have had a better internal accounting method to track previously approved variables

   **Learning** - New practices in Legal should improve monthly line-item tracking, modeling, and prediction.
**Q4 - Legal Team**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Legal Scorecard</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>QoQ</th>
<th>YoY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Legal@ Requests</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>9% ↓ (136/149)</td>
<td>TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract Requests</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>6% ↓ (88/93)</td>
<td>1% ↓ (88/89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trademark Permission Requests</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30% ↓ (30/43)</td>
<td>12% ↓ (30/34)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more detail, see the *slide deck and minutes* from the quarterly review meeting of the Legal department.
### Q4 - HR (7 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fast Hiring of Candidates</td>
<td>90% of domestic offers to manager in 2 business days of receiving a completed hiring packet</td>
<td>100% of 24 new hire offers to manager in 24hrs of approvals (58% in less than 6hrs) (for c-level approvals: 100% in 2 days, 54% same day)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90% of domestic offers to manager in 2 business days of receiving a completed hiring packet</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual Performance Review</td>
<td>3 completed sprint support sessions for mgrs/staff</td>
<td>11 sprints and 6 training sessions done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 5</td>
<td>90% review completion by June 19</td>
<td>98% of reviews completed by 6/19 deadline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>International Payroll &amp; Benefits</td>
<td>95% eligible intl. staff enrolled or business entity established by 6/30 (not including FR)</td>
<td>44 of 45 set - 98% (final one set for 8/1, due to LOA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADP Upgrade</td>
<td>ADP system upgrade for payroll, HRIS &amp; Time &amp; Attendance by 4/30. Add Analytics module.</td>
<td>Upgrade completed 4/18 (due 4/30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Analytics added 6/3 (due 6/30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comp Review</td>
<td>100% of worksheets out to c-level</td>
<td>Delayed due to lack of data from c-level on managers &amp; depts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>100% rolled out 6/12 (the adjusted deadline, was 5/31/15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benefits &amp; Wellness</td>
<td>Survey data analyzed &amp; shared Benefits materials streamlined</td>
<td>5/1 data shared in comp/benefit deck (due 5/29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Team members involved: 2</td>
<td></td>
<td>6/11 materials streamlined (due 6/30)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Q4 - Recruiting

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of Success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Recruit Top Talent                             |                                                                                           | Hired Director of Recruiting  
91% FY14-15 new positions filled  
58% of FY14-15 replacements filled  
Hired contract recruiters                                                                                     |
| Fill top 10 req positions in the organization  | Hire Director of Recruiting  
Hire 50% of open recruiting Dept FTE  
Outsource contract in place to handle headroom                                                                                           |                                                                                                  |
| Team members involved: 4                       |                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |                                                                                                  |
| Build University Recruiting Program            | Hire top engineering, legal, and communication interns and fellows.                                                                                                                                                | 15 interns hired in FQ4 for engineering, legal & comms  
Career fairs at Stanford & California College of the Arts                                                                 |
Q4 - Talent & Culture

Fast Hiring of Candidates: Turn around time from completed hiring packet to offer letter is streamlined to an average of one day, which is half the time mentioned on office wiki. The longest turn-around was two business days, due to c-level approval speed. Learning: HR can do an incredibly fast turn around, but gathering approvals can take a lot of pinging. Delays in getting the hiring packet to HR occurred mostly due to missing data in the packet. Approvals should be faster as they are now in Greenhouse.

International Payroll & Benefits: Across 19 countries, onboarded 44 staff, with another 15 thru a business entity, greatly reducing risk and increasing in-country payroll & benefits. Learning: The process was complex and required taking into account personal detail: preference on timing, concerns about in-country contract wording, making sure benefits used SF as a baseline.

ADP Upgrade: Completed software upgrade from ADP’s oldest version to their current, with improved user interface and information accessibility. Added an Analytics module. Learning: The upgrade helped but ADP still sucks. The upgrade did not fix all existing issues, and the analytics module data needs further cost and work to fix ADP illogical processes that screw with data like hire and exit count.

Annual Review: Completed the annual review process, which includes self reviews, 360s and manager reviews for 184 people. Support included a massive 17 training & sprint sessions (which included unconscious bias and difficult conversations), and resulted in a whopping 98% on-time completion by managers. Learning: Staff continue to value 360s highly, but the time and logistics is difficult for managers to schedule, and completing mass 1:1 conversations is a stressful challenge.
Q4 - Talent & Culture

Compensation Review: We created a tight and streamlined plan for the annual review & compensation processes for June, and were able to meet the adjusted deadline to rollout the comp sheets and meet with managers individually about their plans. Learning: Do not roll-out a re-org right before year end and before annual reviews and compensation. Managers needed an extra month to settle their change data, which affected/delayed several HR workstreams.

Recruiting: We successfully hired the new Director of Recruiting, as well as a replacement engineering contract recruiter and a contract recruiting consultant to help with interim support until the Director could start. This provided uninterrupted support for hiring managers, and achieved 91% of new positions being filled. Learning: We need stability with the recruiting team. 100%+ turnover on the team in the past year has resulted in significant time required to find and train new recruiting staff.
Q4 - Talent & Culture

- WikiLead - 3rd cohort of 12 people finished the 7 month long leadership skills program on 6/24
- Wellness management for fiscal year end, including multiple reminders to all staff and individuals with full balance; wellness office hours; 260 claims processed this quarter (161 for June alone)
- Re-Org for Community Engagement, and then later Engineering, changes & updates for HR systems
- 33 people onboarded
- 10 people offboarded, including release agreements
- Employee relations management with Legal and outside counsel (metrics withheld for confidentiality)
- Hire of PT temp for Payroll Clerk, including training
- Ongoing support of the WikiWomen’s Group
- Contractors & renewals: 77 new and renewed contracts
- Immigration: 42 active cases (H1B, F1, J1, green card)
- Successful 401k audit completion
- Update of the Recruiting Corner on Office Wiki
- Rolled out Recruiting training sessions for managers

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the HR department

Core workflows and metrics
## Q4 - Finance and Administration (17 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Objective 1 - Intacct changes to allow for project accounting.  
*Team members involved: 2* | Intacct is ready to do project accounting. | Completed. Intacct is now ready to do project accounting. |
| Objective 2 - 18 Month Rolling Budget.  
*Team members involved: 2* | 18 month rolling budget in place to track changes in budget. | Project not implemented in this quarter. Project stalled after planning phase. |
| Objective 3 - Annual Plan  
*Team members involved: 3* | Annual Plan provided to Board of Trustees for vote by June 30, 2015. | Completed. Annual Plan sent to Board on June 25, 2015 for their vote. |
**Objective 4 - Monthly data for reporting ready by the 15th instead of the 25th.**
*Team members involved: 4*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 4 - Monthly data for reporting ready by the 15th instead of the 25th.</td>
<td>Financial data for the scorecard ready by the 15th of the month.</td>
<td>Completed. Financial data is ready for input into the scorecard by the 15th of the month.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objective 5 - Purchase Order implementation.**
*Team members involved: 2*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Objective 5 - Purchase Order implementation.</td>
<td>WMF can use purchase orders.</td>
<td>Delay in implementation of Concur Expense delayed project.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Completed six versions of the annual plan, when annual plan schedule was planning on only three revisions.

**Learning:**
Implementation of the Adaptive software enabled this type of rapid iteration of the annual plan, so the investment in new software has provided excellent ROI.

Tony and Amy did an exceptional job managing each new version, maintaining the timeline and responding to changing requirements for the annual plan.
Implementation of purchase order process and software should not have been scheduled until a implementable timeline for the implementation of Concur Expense was in place, as this was a dependency item.

**Learning:** Need to get complete information from software vendors, even cloud providers on what all dependencies are for implementation.
Finance and Administration has ongoing workflows which are the primary activities of the team. Here are some additional metrics from Administration operations:

**FY Q4 (April, May, June 2015)**

**Number of:**

- “On hours” hosted events: 8
- “Off hours” hosted events: 20
- Front office orientations: 19
- Office moves: 67
- Ergo evaluations: 15
- Facilities orientations: 18
- New users: 27
- Scholarship WMF visa letters: 23
- Packages shipped: 74
- Packages received: 534
- Contracts prepared & executed: 135
- Tickets booked: 470
- Room nights booked: 1969

- Events (approx 32 hours of support in Admin and OIT)
- Events (approx 60 hours of support in Admin and OIT)
- Multiple staff attend these “Day One” orientations
- Partnered with directors and VP’s to implement new seating behavior
- Partnered with HR on ergo evals and procured necessary equipment
- Multiple staff attend these “Day One orientations
- New users came through WMF onboarding process
- Approx 10 hours of support to Wikimania Scholarship recipients
- Packages shipped to 24 different countries
- Packages received for business, personal and remote staff holds
- Contracts, prepared for Legal’s review, tracked, and executed
- Flights booked
- Hotel rooms nights booked for Wikimania and other events

For more detail, see the [slide deck and minutes](#) from the quarterly review meeting of the F&A department
# Q4 - Communications (5 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successful <strong>VisualEditor</strong> roll-out in collab. w/ CE and Engineering</td>
<td>Minimal negative response (25% or less), increase awareness w/ public</td>
<td>Postponed. Messaging criteria identified key blockers. 2 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build executive and org strategic <strong>messaging platform</strong>.</td>
<td>Clarify/unify org message for primary audience groups</td>
<td>Interviewed two top firms, but canceled per ED direction. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign <strong>WMF.org</strong> and rebuild high value main pages</td>
<td>Update/align WMF brand. Clarify value proposition, narrative, employer brand.</td>
<td>Indefinitely postponed due to insufficient cross-departmental resources post-reorg. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize <strong>WP15</strong> project and marketing/branding plan.</td>
<td>Engage new Wikimedia stakeholders and audiences. Execute on brand messaging.</td>
<td>Proposed budget and plan. Postponed due to budgeting, calendar, approval. 2 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize budget, identify director, begin shooting for ‘Future of Knowledge’ <strong>documentary</strong>.</td>
<td>Signal strategy, position brand as knowledge leader, introduce brand to new audiences.</td>
<td>Finalized budget and spec. Canceled due to budget considerations. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Q4 - Communications (5 FTE)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Successful <strong>VisualEditor</strong> roll-out in collab. w/ CE and Engineering</td>
<td>Minimal negative response (25% or less), increase awareness w/ public</td>
<td>Postponed. Messaging criteria identified key blockers. 2 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Build executive and org strategic <strong>messaging platform</strong>.</td>
<td>Clarify/unify org message for primary audience groups</td>
<td>Interviewed two top firms, but canceled per ED direction. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Redesign <strong>WMF.org</strong> and rebuild high value main pages</td>
<td>Update/align WMF brand. Clarify value proposition, narrative, employer brand.</td>
<td>Indefinitely postponed due to insufficient cross-departmental resources post-reorg. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize <strong>WP15</strong> project and marketing/branding plan.</td>
<td>Engage new Wikimedia stakeholders and audiences. Execute on brand messaging.</td>
<td>Proposed budget and plan. Postponed due to budgeting, calendar, approval. 2 FTE.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize budget, identify director, begin shooting for ‘Future of Knowledge’ <strong>documentary</strong>.</td>
<td>Signal strategy, position brand as knowledge leader, introduce brand to new audiences.</td>
<td>Finalized budget and spec. Canceled due to budget considerations. 1 FTE.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**GOALS REASSESSED; CANCELLED 5/1 DUE TO INTERDEPENDENCIES.**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimally disruptive and maximally clear change management communications.</td>
<td>*Reorg communication strategy, internal and external announcements. (Docs, emails, FAQs, charts, reports.)</td>
<td>Completed/shared on time. Staff feedback that information increases understanding.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grow team capacity to improve support for internal and external demands.</td>
<td>*Secure Wikimania PR agency *Launch summer intern program *Fill Junior Associate position</td>
<td>Complete. Converted intern to FTE, reducing JA onboarding overhead.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advance Wikimedia movement goals through Executive Director visits and speeches</td>
<td>*Zero negative press or feedback *1 identifiable programmatic outcome per staffed trip</td>
<td>Complete. Detailed executive travel process in development.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategic product communications support: positioning and messaging</td>
<td>*Zero remaining critical communications blockers for VE</td>
<td>Criteria identified for VE led to significant UX improvement. Support for iOS, Collections, etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Improve social and blog content to build brand and community engagement.</td>
<td>*Finalize audience research report *Develop user personae *Outperform organic social growth</td>
<td>Audience review and personae complete, new content under development, met social metrics.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q4 - Communications

Quarterly objectives: Successes

● Drove product messaging and communications for key WMF-developed products (desktop and mobile)
  ○ Developed VisualEditor public and in-product messaging and advised on UI changes, partnering with user research to identify and recommend a key change that resulted in improved user performance
  ○ Promoted iOS app launch with PR and Share a Fact video; resulted in 56 tech press articles in 18 countries
  ○ PR coverage resulted in iOS download spike, Android “halo” effect download spike.

● Improved blog and social content, UX, research with focus on quality
  ○ Developed content strategy for serving diverse personae and communications channels
  ○ Created/tested new content formats (Wikipedia highlights, In the news, multimedia)
  ○ Developed new blog features for better visibility and discoverability (email subscriptions, updated UI)
  ○ Established research framework to track content impact (through metrics, surveys, competitive studies)

● Engaged with community stories and affiliates to raise positive PR awareness of Wikimedia
  ○ 100+ articles for Print Wikipedia including NY Times, Washington Post, USA Today

● Scoped community communications needs for future programming and support
  ○ Held workshop at Wikimedia Conference to engage with community capacity building needs

● Extensive support for unscheduled organizational change management, including WMF reorg
  ○ Created FAQ for staff and community communications, and 15 hyperlinked org charts.

● Supported ED in 7 international trips including 3 meetings with high level officials
  ○ Briefing documents, logistics, media management, presentations, staffing

● Secured and onboarded 7 interns to support team capacity in design, research, PR, and editorial
Q4 - Communications

Quarterly objectives: Misses

- **Visual Editor rollout was postponed, pushing back timelines**
  - Identified critical communications and UX blockers resulting in a delayed VE rollout on enWP and postponed public launch and social marketing campaign.

- **Executive Director messaging platform postponed**
  - Initial planning and evaluation completed, including vetting, proposals, and interviews with 2 world class agencies. Postponed due to ongoing strategy development.

- **Updates to Wikimedia Foundation.org were postponed**
  - Personnel changes and resource reallocations following reorg required that we reevaluate this priority.

- **Planning for Wikipedia 15 birthday and brand research**
  - Scouted venues, sourced quotes from vendors, developed birthday campaign and event proposal. Postponed and subsequently revised following updated WMF budget forecast.

- **Planning for a Future of Knowledge documentary**
  - Developed budget and a draft spec proposal. Project was canceled due to revised WMF budget forecast.

- **Internal coordination for executive travel**
  - Lack of clarity around roles and responsibilities with departments led to difficult coordinating important travel and preparation materials; new travel process proposal development underway.

*For more detail, including core workflows and metrics, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Communications team*
### Q4 - *Team Practices Group* (4 → 6 FTE)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Objective</th>
<th>Measure of success</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop repeatable best-practices for WMF engineering teams (1)</td>
<td>List of practices defined by editing team and stakeholders that directly supported release of VisualEditor in Q4.</td>
<td>Done, <a href="#">process review</a> published on mediawiki.org, June 2015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Joel Aufrecht from the TPG led this work with Neil Quinn from the Editing team.

They went above and beyond the scope of the goal by fully and collaboratively documenting the current processes and practices of the editing team with the team itself and their stakeholders. They also identified the most pressing challenges to the team and made recommendations for process improvements; top recommendations under way now.

**Learning:** Collaboratively documenting existing processes and pain points with a team and its stakeholders creates shared awareness of areas for improvement, which leads to shared buy-in around improvement efforts.
Q4 - **Team Practices Group**

**RelEng team development off-site workshop** *Kristen Lans*
- Goal: Create shared understanding about how the team works, what they work on, and how they want to work

**Burnup/Burndown charts** *Kevin Smith*
- Functioning charting targeted for end of July, 2015

**TPG internal continual improvement**
- Unable to provide desired level of support with current operating model and resourcing
- Q1 goal of devising new strategy and reimagining team operating model
**Q4 - Team Practices Group**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TPG Stats</th>
<th>Q4</th>
<th>QoQ (Q4/Q3)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teams receiving dedicated support</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+80% (+4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teams receiving or with individuals receiving ad-hoc coaching</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New hires to TPG</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teams participating in Health Check Survey</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>+20% (+2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For more detail, see the slide deck and minutes from the quarterly review meeting of the Team Practices Group.