# ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

#### royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos

# Research



**Cite this article:** Cárdenas-Posada G, Fuxjager MJ. 2022 Correlated evolution between colour conspicuousness and drum speed in woodpeckers. *R. Soc. Open Sci.* **9**: 221096. https://doi.org/10.1098/rsos.221096

Received: 25 August 2022 Accepted: 29 September 2022

#### Subject Category:

Organismal and evolutionary biology

Subject Areas: evolution/behaviour/ecology

#### **Keywords:**

plumage coloration, drumming, correlated evolution, woodpeckers

#### Author for correspondence:

Ghislaine Cárdenas-Posada e-mail: cardg16@wfu.edu

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.c. 6251172.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

# Correlated evolution between colour conspicuousness and drum speed in woodpeckers

Ghislaine Cárdenas-Posada<sup>1,2</sup> and Matthew J. Fuxjager<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Ecology, Evolution and Organismal Biology, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912, USA

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, Wake Forest University, Winston-Salem, NC 27109, USA

🔟 GC-P, 0000-0002-4254-428X; MJF, 0000-0003-0591-6854

Sexual selection drives the evolution of many spectacular animal displays that we see in nature. Yet, how selection combines and elaborates different signal traits remains unclear. Here, we investigate this issue by testing for correlated evolution between head plumage colour and drumming behaviour in woodpeckers. These signals function in the context of mate choice and male-male competition, and they may appear to a receiver as a single multimodal display. We test for such correlations in males of 132 species using phylogenetic linear models, while considering the effect of habitat. We find that the plumage chromatic contrast is positively correlated with the speed of the drum, supporting the idea that species evolving more conspicuous plumage on their head also evolve faster drum displays. By contrast, we do not find evidence of correlated evolution between drum speed and head colour diversity, size of the head's red patch, or extent of the plumage achromatic contrast. Drum length was not correlated with any of the plumage coloration metrics. Lastly, we find no evidence that habitat acts as a strong selective force driving the evolution of head coloration or drumming elaboration. Coevolution between different signal modalities is therefore complex, and probably depends on the display components in question.

## 1. Introduction

Sexual selection is responsible for producing some of the most spectacular traits in the animal world [1,2]. Iconic examples include the elaborate dance behaviour that birds of paradise use to court mates [3], or the flashy red dewlaps that *Anolis* lizards extend to fight off rival males during territorial interactions [4]. Yet, sexual selection for these traits seldom proceeds unencumbered. Forces of constraint that emerge from a species' ecology [5], physiology [6] and/or phylogenetic history [7] can act to either halt sexual selection or prevent it from driving trait evolution to extremes.

 $\hfill \odot$  2022 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

Thus, a longstanding goal of evolutionary biology is to understand how sexual selection interacts with complex constraint landscapes to give rise to the diversity of incredible traits that we see across our planet.

One of the clearest illustrations of how sexual selection interacts with constraint comes from work on multimodal displays, which are displays that stimulate two or more sensory systems in a receiver [8]. This behaviour often appears as a conundrum, because it involves broadcasting several signals that should each incur their own set of costs (e.g. time, energy, etc.). The 'transfer hypothesis' [9] (a.k.a., transference hypothesis) is a classic idea first developed by Charles Darwin to explain this phenomenon [1] and then revisited by Gilliard [9] while studying Bowerbirds. Gilliard noticed that species differed markedly with respect to the complexity of the bowers they created, such that taxa that made more complex bowers had less elaborate plumage (and vice versa). Comparative work later verified the strong negative relationship between these two variables across several bowerbird species [10], with the explanation that bower complexity probably evolves to replace plumage complexity since the former is less costly than the latter [10,11]. This principle forms the crux of the transference hypothesis: when signal modalities serve the same function, less costly signals will evolve to replace costlier ones. As a result, we sometimes see signatures of an evolutionary trade-off between different signal modalities across large groups of taxa [12]. Support for this relationship is found among species in several avian clades, such as finches, dabbling ducks and antwrens, all of which show a clear negative relationship between measures of display complexity for acoustic and visual signals [13-16].

Transference, however, is not the only model for how complex multimodal signals should (or should not) evolve. The emergence and interaction of different signal modalities can depend on a host of factors, including resource availability [17], costs [18], habitat [5] and information content [19]. For example, information content theory [19,20] suggests that animals can use multiple signals to back up one single message (e.g. one quality) to increase the accuracy and reliability of the information. In birds of paradise, this phenomenon is observed through positively correlated evolution among song complexity, plumage colour complexity and behavioural display complexity [21]. Likewise, many species use multiple signals to communicate several different messages (multiple qualities), which has an effect of increasing the net transmission of information content. Individuals, for instance, might use one signal modality to advertise to its competitors, while using a second signal modality to mediate mate choice [22]. Of course, an entirely different possibility is that different signal modalities evolve independently of each other in response to entirely different forces of selection. Characteristics of a species' habitat (e.g. vegetation density, light environment, etc.) can induce such an effect by influencing the efficacy of auditory and visual signals in a manner that impacts signalling strategy [23-25]. Indeed, this idea of sensory drive proposes that selection favours the elaboration/ exaggeration of signalling traits that enhance their transmission through the environment [5,26], and it is often evoked to potentially explain the lack of any evolutionary correlation between song and plumage [27,28].

Here, we investigate these ideas and test how signal elaboration influences the relationship between two signalling traits in the multimodal display phenotype of woodpeckers: non-vocal drumming behaviour and conspicuous head plumage. Drumming occurs when an individual hammers its bill on a substrate to produce a loud mechanical jackhammering sound, which nearly all extant woodpecker taxa perform to help navigate territorial competition during the breeding season [29,30]. Head colour is similarly iconic in woodpeckers, with many species showing gaudy red plumage ornaments on the nape, crown, forehead and/or malar. This signal is thought to help mediate intraspecific aggression [31], but may also be involved in mate choice [32]. Both drumming and head colour are probably costly signals. Drumming, for example, may incur significant energetic costs [33], it may cost individuals in foraging time [34] or make them more conspicuous to predators [35]. These costs also probably apply to head colour, since red pigmentation in most birds (except some families, like parrots or hummingbirds) derives from carotenoids acquired through the diet [36] and conspicuous colour patches could make individuals more noticeable to predators in the environment [37,38]. Furthermore, drumming behaviour and head colour vary markedly within the woodpecker clade. With respect to the drum, species show differences in speed (beats  $s^{-1}$ ), length (total beats) and rhythm ( $\Delta$ speed s<sup>-1</sup>) [39,40]. Head colour similarly varies, such that some woodpeckers have no red on their head, whereas others maintain heads that are either entirely red or that are a plethora of rich and vibrant carotenoid-base colours (reds, oranges, yellows; figure 1a).

We run our analysis by assessing the relationship between components of drum display and head colour across the woodpecker clade. For the drum, we focus on speed and length. Past work suggests that both can evolve under sexual selection, with faster and longer drums benefiting individuals in competitive bouts for reproductive territories [41,42]. Moreover, signatures of strong sexual selection,

3



**Figure 1.** (*a*) Neighbour-joining tree representing the variation of the head plumage coloration of male woodpeckers obtained by calculating colour distances between each pair of images. Note that this tree does not depict the phylogenetic relationship among species, but rather shows how species clump together as a function of colour quantification through our analyses (see electronic supplementary material, methods). Units are Euclidean distance in CIE Lab colour space (a.k.a. units of ' $\Delta$ E') with a range between 0 and 256, where 256 reflects the most different. (*b*) Colour segmentation performed using the 'recolorize' package. A PNG with all non-plumage components masked using transparency (original) is loaded into the package and run through the 'recolorize2' function, which bins all pixels into a fixed set of colour bins and then combines any colour clusters which are less than 55  $\Delta$ E apart in CIE Lab colour space, resulting in a discrete set of distinct colour patches (recolorized), along with a colour palette (palette). Use of modified illustrations was granted with permission by ©Lynx Edicions.

such as size dimorphism, positively predict species differences in drum length, but not drum speed [40]. For head colour, we focus on measures of: carotenoid coloration such as the red area size, conspicuousness such as the chromatic and achromatic contrast (white and black contrast) and colour diversity or proportion of different colour patches. Past studies suggests that some of these measures, like the size of the red area, are associated with male success at acquiring mates [43], with other work suggesting that head colour is positively related to territory quality [32] and dominance [44]. Thus, we use phylogenetically informed models to test whether these two communication signals evolve in a correlated or uncorrelated fashion, while also considering the effect of potentially important covariates, such as habitat, to test whether a generalized evolutionary pattern of display elaboration exists within the woodpecker family.

## 2. Material and methods

Drumming and plumage coloration data were collected from 132 species of woodpeckers. For drumming behaviour, we used data previously published by Miles *et al.* [39,40]. Briefly, the data used were collected from citizen scientists' acoustic recordings, which are archived in XenoCanto and the Macaulay Library of Natural Sounds (Cornell University; http://macaulaylibrary.org). Species were selected based on the availability and quality of the recording, such that only species with at least three high-quality recordings were included. From these, averages for drum speed and length were obtained. Drum speed was calculated by dividing the total number of beats in a drum by the display's total duration (s), whereas drum length was simply calculated by counting the total number of beats in the drum. Given the lack of information regarding differences in drumming between sexes, we only included in the analyses drumming data from recordings that specifically came from males [40].

### 2.1. Plumage colour data

We collected colour data from digital images of woodpecker colour plates from the *Handbook of the Birds of the World* [45]. Previous studies have demonstrated that assessing colour from digital images or colour plates is comparable to colour measures from the plumage of museum specimens [46–48]. This past verification gives us greater confidence that we are accurately measuring relative differences in

4

woodpecker head colour across the family. Note that the order Piciformes (to which woodpeckers belong) probably do not have ultraviolet-sensitive opsins [49]; therefore, we expect that patches reflecting UV (if they exist) would have little value toward communication. The lack of UV reflectance data in our analyses should therefore not have an appreciable impact on our results and interpretations.

To address how a colour visual signal relates to an acoustic signal, we focused our measure of colour to feathers, avoiding colour collected from the skin or eyes. There are several reasons for this decision. First, skin colour and eye colour account for very little colour ornamental in woodpecker species (less than 5% of all taxa). Second, it is challenging to consider 'costs' of colour between feathers and skin, since colour in these tissues are derived from different mechanisms [50].

Equally important is that we focus specifically on the head of male woodpeckers. Most taxa in the woodpecker family do not show any evidence of plumage dimorphism on the body [45]. Rather, dimorphism in these birds is largely relegated to head plumage, particularly with respect to the size, shape and diversity of red patches (figure 1*a*). Moreover, this specific trait has been linked to sexual selection [51].

To measure the head plumage colour of male woodpeckers, we imported species images in Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Inc, San Jose, CA, USA) at 300 dpi and manually removed all the bare parts (e.g. beak, eye, legs) from each image (we wanted to measure plumage coloration only, see above). We cropped each image to a file containing the head, nape and upper throat, allowing us to exclusively focus on the head. We then rotated all images to the same orientation and exported the image as a .png file with a transparent background. To ensure we were sampling evenly across all images independently of the bird/image size, we sampled each image at the maximum sampling frequency for the smallest image (e.g. width in pixels of the smallest image). This ensured we were not sampling larger images at a higher frequency, which could have biased the results. To test whether our chosen sampling frequency affected our results, we also ran these analyses using a variety of different sampling schemes, such as image-specific sampling frequencies and fixed sampling frequencies lower than the maximum frequency of the smallest image. We found that varying the sampling schemes in this range made no difference in the metrics we used in this study (slope of 1 and  $r^2 > 0.999$  for metric values across sampling schemes).

To measure plumage coloration, we first created colour maps (image segmentation maps based on colour patches) of each processed image using the recolorize package in R (https://github.com/ hiweller/recolorize) (figure 1b) [52]. This approach provides a variety of tools for automatic and semimanual colour-based image segmentation. One major advantage of recolorize is that it does not require users to specify the expected number of colour patches for each image, which can otherwise pose problems of repeatability and user bias for comparative analyses involving a wide range of colour patterns with non-analogous elements [53]. To generate colour maps for all of the images in our dataset, we first used the 'recolorize()' function to generate colour histograms of each image in standard red-green-blue (sRGB) colour space, with three bins per colour channel (resulting in  $3^3 = 27$ bins for each image). This produces an intermediate colour map with a maximum of 27 colours, each representing the average colour of all the pixels in each colour bin. We then used the 'recluster()' function (also in recolorize) to combine colour patches that were highly similar in colour, using a CIE Lab distance cutoff of 45 and a D65 reference white, meaning the maximum distance between two colours is approximately 256. The resulting colour maps created by recolorize provided image-specific colour patches, which we assessed manually for accuracy (figure 1b). These colour maps were then supplied in subsequent analyses to assess several colour metrics that are standard in colour analysis studies [54].

The first colour measurement obtained was the chromatic boundary strength of adjacent colour patches (chromatic contrast) [54], which reflects how colourful the head is. Chromatic contrast is optimal for quantifying signal efficacy since it reflects an animal's conspicuousness against its background, and it is often sexually selected because it facilitates conspecific assessment [5,54]. Next, we measured the achromatic contrast, which reflects the amount of black and white contrast in the woodpecker's head. Third, we measured the head colour diversity, as computed by Simpson's diversity index [54]. This metric reflects size and diversity of colour clusters, as well as the relative difference in colour pattern among species. Lastly, we measured the size of the red coloration patch on the head, which may reflect levels of carotenoid intake and/or processing [55]. This trait is probably related to mating success and male quality in birds [32,43].

To measure the chromatic and achromatic boundary strength and the Simpson's diversity index for colour, we used boundary strength analyses of the colour-mapped images using the *adjacent* function in the *pavo* package in R [56]. Boundary strength is a measure of the contrast produced by colour pattern

geometry. Adjacent colour patches that have a high degree of chromatic contrast (such as blue and red) will have higher boundary strength values, indicating a more visually stimulating colour pattern [56]. For each set of colour clusters, we provided the colour distances in CIE Lab space, so that the distances in the a and b (green-red and blue-yellow) channels were used to measure the chromatic contrast. This function returns a variety of colour pattern geometry metrics, of which we retained the mean boundary strengths and scaled Simpson's diversity index. Simpson's relative colour diversity index measures how well represented each colour is in the colour pattern; a value of 1 indicates that each colour patch is equal in size, while a value near 0 indicates that one colour patch dominates the colour pattern [54,57,58]. To measure red head colour, we used the colordistance package in R [59] to calculate the proportion or extension of head plumage that fell within a range of cutoffs in CIE Lab space that we determined by visual inspection: L (luminance) > 55, a (red-green) > 30 and b (blue-yellow) > 5. Higher values for all metrics generally indicate greater colour elaboration and more salient visual signal. Because all the metrics for plumage coloration could covary, we tested for multicollinearity among the variables using the stats and the corrplot packages in R [60]. We found that the covariation between variables was low (as reviewed by Taylor [61]) (less than 0.7, electronic supplementary material, table S1), therefore we did not recur to variable reduction methods.

#### 2.2. Habitat data

Environment and/or habitat can influence multimodal signal evolution [5,23,24,26]; thus, to examine such effects in the context of woodpecker drum and colour evolution, we collected data for each species' primary habitat, as indicated by Stotz *et al.* [62] and Del Hoyo *et al.* [45]. We then classified these habitats in one of two broad categories—closed or open—which are thought to represent two extremes of the habitat continuum to which signalling strategies (visual and acoustic) are adapted [23,24]. We considered species inhabiting forests and woodland as living in 'closed' environments, whereas we considered species inhabiting deserts, grasslands, etc., as living in 'open' environments. This approach follows previously published work that similarly assesses effects of habitats on signal evolution [28,63]. To test whether habitat relates to the elaboration of head plumage coloration or drumming behaviour, we ran phylogenetic generalized least square (PGLS) regressions, with habitat as a covariate of each model (see below).

#### 2.3. Comparative analysis

We used a phylogenetic comparative approach to test how acoustic and visual signalling modalities potentially coevolve across woodpecker species. Non-phylogenetic analyses assume independence among data points, but this assumption can be violated by ancestral relatedness among taxa in comparative studies [64]. Thus, we accounted for the shared history of woodpeckers through analyses informed by recently published maximum clade credibility supermatrix tree (based on nuclear and mitochondrial genes), which is time-calibrated to fossil and biogeographic data [65]. We then added a time calibration and taxon name adjustment based on previous work [66]. This tree had strong bootstrap support (greater than 90) for branches leading to the major taxonomic groups included in the present study and has previously been used in several studies with great confidence [39,40,67,68]. Analyses were run in R (v. 3.6.3) [69] using a significance level of p < 0.05.

We tested for correlated evolution between drumming and colour in woodpeckers using PGLS regression models, which is the standard approach to addressing our question of interest [13,28]. PGLS analyses were run using the package 'caper' [70], where we allowed the phylogenetic signal in the residuals ( $\lambda$ ) to be optimized towards its maximum-likelihood value [71]. Our models used plumage coloration traits as predictors of the behavioural traits (drumming), as behavioural traits are more labile and could be more responsive to selection. However we also run the models using the drumming traits as predictors of the colour traits to ensure our results were robust (see electronic supplementary material) [13,27,72]. To conform to parametric norms, we log<sub>10</sub> transformed all the variables before running the models. For all models, we used habitat as a covariate to test for the effect of this ecological factor on the relationship between the signalling traits.

Previous work reports a negative relationship between body size and drum speed [40]; thus, to account for this relationship, we followed a procedure described by Garland *et al.* [73] and ran a linear regression between drum speed and species' average body mass. Then we extracted the residuals from this analysis and used them as measures of relative speed for PGLS analyses. Additionally, other studies have found that the evolution of plumage colour, particularly carotenoid-based plumage, depends on the body size



**Figure 2.** PGLS regressions between drum speed and plumage head coloration in woodpeckers. Dashed regression line represents the model for which significance was found. (a-d) represent the models between colour diversity, chromatic contrast, achromatic contrast and red area with residuals (res) of drum speed (after controlling for body mass). Data for all variables was  $\log_{10}$  transformed. Use of modified illustrations was granted with permission by ©Lynx Edicions.

**Table 1.** PGLS model of colour traits and habitat predicting drum speed residuals from a linear regression with body mass (see text). Lambda: 0.877, *F*-statistic: 1.369, 5 and 126 d.f., *p*-value: 0.2405. Italics indicate statistically significant relationship.

term	estimate	s.e.	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
(intercept)	-0.5970	0.3303	—1.8076	0.0731
colour diversity	0.0398	0.0840	0.4743	0.6361
chromatic contrast	0.1535	0.0596	2.5764	0.0111
achromatic contrast	0.0051	0.0674	0.0759	0.9396
red patch size	-0.0405	0.0278	—1.4541	0.1484
habitat	0.0021	0.0420	0.0492	0.9608

**Table 2.** PGLS model of colour traits and habitat predicting drum length. Lambda: 0.715, *F*-statistic: 0.368, 5 and 126 d.f., *p*-value: 0.8697.

term	estimate	s.e.	<i>t</i> -value	<i>p</i> -value
(intercept)	2.7748	0.5433	5.1071	0.0000
colour diversity	0.1495	0.1429	1.0459	0.2976
chromatic contrast	0.0567	0.1040	0.5454	0.5864
achromatic contrast	0.0150	0.1137	0.1315	0.8956
red patch size	-0.0465	0.0470	-0.9896	0.3243
habitat	0.0102	0.0719	0.1413	0.8879

[74,75]; therefore, we looked at any associations between these two metrics using the *corrplot* package, but we found no significant effect (electronic supplementary material, table S1).

## 3. Results

Using standard multivariate PGLS models, we tested for correlations between drum acoustics (speed and length) and metrics of head colour. With respect to drum speed (table 1), we uncovered a significant positive relationship with chromatic contrast (p = 0.011). This result indicates that species with faster drums are on average more likely to have greater colour boundary strength on their head plumage (figure 2*b*). By contrast, we found no relationship between drum speed and colour diversity (p = 0.636, figure 2*a*), size of the red area on the head (p = 0.148, figure 2*d*), and achromatic contrast (p = 0.939, figure 2*c*). For drum length (table 2), we found no evidence of a relationship with colour diversity (p = 0.297, figure 3*a*), chromatic contrast (p = 0.324, figure 3*b*), achromatic contrast (p = 0.895, figure 3*c*), or size of the red area in the head (p = 0.324, figure 3*d*). In this same vein, multivariate PGLSs showed no effect of habitat (open versus closed) on species variation in either drum speed or



**Figure 3.** PGLS regressions between drum length and plumage head coloration in woodpeckers. (a-d) represent the models between colour diversity, chromatic contrast, achromatic contrast and red area with drum length. Data for all variables were  $log_{10}$  transformed. Use of modified illustrations was granted with permission by ©Lynx Edicions.

length (p = 0.960, table 1; p = 0.887, table 2) or measures of colour diversity (p = 0.956, electronic supplementary material, table S2), chromatic contrast (p = 0.485, electronic supplementary material, table S3), achromatic contrast (p = 0.267, electronic supplementary material, table S4) and size of red area of the head (p = 0.908, electronic supplementary material, table S5).

We corroborated our findings by running a second set of PGLS models, but this time we used the behavioural traits (drum speed and length) and the habitat type as predictors of the colour traits. One cannot necessarily assume that one signal trait is the actual predictor of the other because phenotypic traits often compromise each other mutually [76], and so this approach allows us to determine the robustness of our findings related to correlated evolution of display components across modalities. Our results here largely corroborated our previous findings, in that we again found a positive and significant relationship between chromatic contrast and drum speed (electronic supplementary material, table S3, p = 0.026), but no relationship with the drum length (p = 0.493). This analysis also showed that there is no significant correlation between drum length and any of the plumage metrics (p > 0.05, electronic supplementary material, tables S2–S5). Drum speed was not related to any other plumage metric (p > 0.05, electronic supplementary material, tables S2, S4, S5).

## 4. Discussion

Our study uncovers evidence of correlated evolution between woodpecker drumming behaviour and head colour elaboration, but we find that this correlation only exists with respect to certain components of these two signal modalities. Specifically, interspecific variation in the chromatic contrast of the woodpecker head plumage positively predicts the speed of the woodpecker drum, but the latter variable does not relate to species differences in head colour diversity, size of the head red patch or black and white contrast. Furthermore, we find no relationships between interspecific variation in drum length and measures of head colour, nor do we find a relationship between species differences in head colour or drumming behaviour with respect to habitat. Taken together, our results support the idea that woodpecker drum and head colour coevolve, though only with respect to certain elements of these signals.

We hypothesize that the positively correlated evolution we find between drum speed and head plumage chromatic contrast is a signature of display amplification [77]. Studies that explore this phenomenon propose that amplification acts to increase the probability of detection and/or discrimination of a second signal, essentially making it more conspicuous. For instance, in Schizocosa wolf spiders, the ornamentation found on the forelegs of males of certain species has been proposed to increase the effectiveness of the leg waving display in eliciting female receptivity [78]. In the case of woodpeckers, a highly conspicuous plumage (with high chromaticity) being added to the fast-moving head might act to increase the receiver's attention. Another possibility is that positively correlated evolution between drum speed and colour helps enhance signal efficacy. Often, communication can be hindered by the trade-off between signal detection and localization, given that the further a signal travels, the harder it can be to determine its source [79]. Multimodal signals can offer a solution to this issue because they can contain different elements that separately solve each of these problems [80]. In Tungara frogs, for example, females use visual cues supplied by the inflation of the vocal sac to help localize males who are calling in a large chorus [81]. For woodpeckers, faster drums may be harder to localize due to the effects of reverberation and signal degradation [82], and thus more visually conspicuous head plumage might help ameliorate this effect.

There are certainly additional explanations for the positive relationship between drum speed and head colour contrast. One intriguing possibility, for instance, is that correlated evolution of these signals forms the basis of a deceptive strategy to reduce detectability by predators. Woodpeckers drum frequently during the breeding season, which similar to other displaying behaviours can make them more susceptible to predation [35]. In some species, combining high-speed movement (like drumming) with a visual signal that has high contrast (like the plumage phenotype of the most conspicuous woodpecker heads) can create a visual illusion that makes it difficult for predators to track and capture an individual [83,84]. Although there are several ways such 'optical illusions' can manifest, this idea is highly speculative and therefore requires further research. Nonetheless, our point is that contexts like this one may create scenarios in which we expect selection to favour positively correlated evolution between drum speed and head colour.

Of course, we also find that drum length is not related to any component of head colour. This nonsignificant result must be interpreted with caution, but it stands in notable contrast to our results in the context of drum speed. We suspect that differential relationships between head colour and drum speed and length are rooted in the function of the latter two display components. Drum length, for example, is probably tied closely to intraspecific competition for access to breeding territories and/or mates. Field studies show that longer drums elicit a more aggressive response from resident birds [41], while comparative work reveals that indices of the strength of intrasexual selection (size dimorphism) positively predict species variation in drum length [40]. In this way, if head plumage colour plays a minimal role in intraspecific sexual competition, then selection may not tie the elaboration of head colour to elements of the drum that do. Drum speed, on the other hand, may be more closely associated with male–female interactions. This idea has not been directly tested *per se*, but there are several studies that suggest that display speed is used to mediate elements of courtship in a wide range of taxa [42,85,86]. In a similar vein, plumage colour is also often used to help mediate courtship interactions [21,87], and thus the evolutionary elaboration of these two displays traits may become intertwined (see above for a mechanistic explanation).

Notably, common plumage patterns, such as the achromatic contrast, do not seem to be related to either drumming traits. We suspect that this is because black and white colour patterning in woodpeckers is more likely to be linked to predator avoidance, than it is to sexual communication [88]. Likewise, we found that our colour diversity metric was not associated with any of our drumming traits, indicating that species differences in the richness, distinctiveness and proportion of colours on the woodpecker's head is not evolutionarily coupled with drumming. Lastly, we do not find any relationship between the drumming metrics and the size of the red patch on the head of male woodpeckers. Again, these findings must be interpreted carefully, but one possibility is that these results arise from the fact that strong colour edge contrast is the most salient functional feature of head colour. This idea is based on the notion that chromatic contrast represents the combined differences of hue and chroma between adjacent patches, such that strong edge contrast becomes the primary means by which receiver attention is drawn and retained [89,90].

More broadly, our results show at least some consistence with other studies that do not find evidence of correlated evolution between the elaboration of different signal modalities [27,28,91]. It is largely unclear why some groups of species (e.g. taxonomic families) show such relationships, whereas others do not. Our results suggest that one explanation is that researchers are not necessarily looking at the right aspects of display elaboration (i.e. colour metric or behavioural metric). Another possibility, however, is that coevolutionary relationships between display traits appear (or not) as a function of display elaboration itself. In other words, display traits may only be related to each other (positively or negatively) when the display in question has surpassed a threshold of elaboration. If so, then we may find no evidence of correlated evolution between display elements simply because these taxa do not maintain signals that are *elaborate enough* to influence how other signals might evolve. Few studies have examined this idea in detail, but one way to do so might be to test for relationships between display variables at lower and upper boundaries of elaboration levels. This approach could reveal biological processes that more traditional ordinary least squares (OLS) or PGLS models cannot uncover [92,93]. However, novel phylogenetic comparative methods must be developed that allow the statistical testing of such ideas.

Despite many of the arguments above, we cannot entirely rule out how other factors might influence our results. Habitat, for example, can play a major role in shaping signal design [5,23]. We looked at this possibility by assessing whether life in an open or closed habitat predicts species differences in drumming behaviour, as well as head colour. We found no evidence of such an effect, which is consistent with the hypothesis that habitat openness does not strongly shape the evolution of drum behaviour or head plumage colour. For drumming, this could be expected since it is an atonal nonfrequency-modulated signal, which might be less susceptible to the effects of the acoustic adaptation hypothesis proposed by sensory drive [94]. In the case of plumage coloration, previous studies report that woodpeckers' plumage is under strong selection for interspecific mimicry associated with geographical overlap, while being influenced by climatic variables such as temperature and precipitation [46]. These birds' plumage might therefore be affected by other selective forces that are not captured by our assessment of open versus closed habitat, even if this approach to environmental quantification worked well in other studies that assess effects of sensory drive [28,63]. All to say, we suspect that factors related to habitat probably do not explain our current results about the relationship between drumming behaviour and head colour.

## 5. Conclusion

It is currently unclear why some groups of species (e.g. taxonomic families) show evidence of correlated evolution between components of their social displays, whereas other groups of species do not. We use a variety of statistical approaches to assess the relationship between species differences in woodpecker drumming behaviour and head colour. We find that the relationship between such variables differs markedly depending on signal metric under consideration. Our results therefore suggest that multimodal signals evolve through highly complex processes, in which different elements of the signal shape how others arise and change through time in a manner that depends on their immediate (and probably past) functions. Future work should similarly consider this complexity when assessing correlated evolution among signal components, as our understanding of the origins of multimodal signalling system is probably far more complex than we currently appreciate.

Data accessibility. The data are provided in electronic supplementary material [95].

Authors' contributions. G.C.P.: conceptualization, data curation, formal analysis, investigation, methodology, validation, visualization, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing; M.J.F.: conceptualization, funding acquisition, investigation, project administration, resources, supervision, writing—original draft, writing—review and editing.

All authors gave final approval for publication and agreed to be held accountable for the work performed therein. Conflict of interest declaration. We declare we have no competing interests.

Funding. This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant IOS 1947472 to M.J.F. and a Fulbright-Colciencias grant (2015) to G.C.-P.

Acknowledgements. We are thankful for the valuable comments of the anonymous reviewers that helped to improve the quality of the manuscript. We thank Hannah Weller for her contributions in the collection of the colour data through the packages *recolorize* and *pavo*. We are thankful to In Seo Yoon for help classifying the habitat data, to Roger Koenker and to Theodore Garland for insightful statistical advice. Thank you to the Macaulay Library at the Cornell Lab of Ornithology for access to the audio recordings and to Lynx Edicions for access to the colour plates.

## References

- Darwin C. 1871 The descent of man, and selection in relation to sex, vol. 1. London, UK: John Murray.
- Andresson M. 1994 Sexual selection. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
- Wallace AR. 1869 The Malay Archipelago: the land of the orang-utan and the bird of paradise; a narrative of travel, with studies of man and nature, vol. 2. London, UK: Macmillan and Co.
- Jenssen TA. 1977 Evolution of anoline lizard display behavior. Am. Zool. 17, 203–215. (doi:10.1093/icb/17.1.203)
- Endler JA. 1992 Signals, signal conditions, and the direction of evolution. *Am. Nat.* 139, S125–S153. (doi:10.1086/285308)
- Rome LC, Cook C, Syme DA, Connaughton MA, Ashley-Ross M, Klimov A, Tikunov B, Goldman YE. 1999 Trading force for speed: why superfast crossbridge kinetics leads to superlow forces. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* 96, 5826–5831. (doi:10.1073/pnas.96.10.5826)

- Schwenk K. 1995 A Utilitarian approach to evolutionary constraint. *Zoology (Jena)* 98, 251–262.
- Hebets EA, Barron AB, Balakrishnan CN, Hauber ME, Mason PH, Hoke KL. 2016 A systems approach to animal communication. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 283, 20152889. (doi:10.1098/ rspb.2015.2889)
- Gilliard T. 1956 Bower ornamentation versus plumage characters in bowerbirds. *Auk* 73, 450–451. (doi:10.2307/4082011)
- Kusmierski R, Borgia G, Crozier RH, Chan BHY. 1993 Molecular information on bowerbird phylogeny and the evolution of exaggerated male characteristics. J. Evol. Biol. 6, 737–752. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.1993.6050737.x)
- Borgia G. 1993 The cost of display in the nonresource-based mating system of the satin bowerbird. Am. Nat. 141, 729–743. (doi:10. 1086/285502)

- Kusmierski R, Borgia G, Crozier RH, Chan B. 1994 Molecular information on bowerbird phylogeny and the evolution of exaggerated male characteristics. J. Evol. Biol. 7, 641. (doi:10. 1046/j.1420-9101.1994.7050641.x)
- Badyaev AV, Hill GE, Weckworth BV. 2002 Species divergence in sexually selected traits: Increase in song elaboration is related to decrease in plumage ornamentation in finches. *Evolution (NY)* 56, 412–419. (doi:10.1111/j. 0014-3820.2002.tb01350.x)
- Johnson KP. 1999 The evolution of bill coloration and plumage dimorphism supports the transference hypothesis in dabbling ducks. *Behav. Ecol.* **10**, 63–67. (doi:10.1093/beheco/ 10.1.63)
- Beco R, Silveira LF, Derryberry EP, Bravo GA. 2021 Ecology and behavior predict an evolutionary trade-off between song complexity and elaborate plumages in antwrens (Aves,

Thamnophilidae). *Evolution (NY)* **75**, 2388–2410. (doi:10.1111/evo.14325)

- Marcolin F, Cardoso GC, Bento D, Reino L, Santana J. 2022 Body size and sexual selection shaped the evolution of parrot calls. J. Evol. Biol. 35, 439–450. (doi:10.1111/ jeb.13986)
- Shutler D. 2011 Sexual selection: when to expect trade-offs. *Biol. Lett.* 7, 101–104. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2010.0531)
- Iwasa Y, Pomiankowski A. 1994 The evolution of mate preferences for multiple sexual ornaments. *Evolution (NY)* 48, 853.
- Johnstone RA. 1996 Multiple displays in animal communication: 'backup signals' and 'multiple messages'. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 351, 329–338. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1996.0026)
- Moller AP, Pomiankowski A. 1993 Why have birds got multiple sexual ornaments? *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 32, 167–176.
- Ligon RA, Diaz CD, Morano JL, Troscianko J, Stevens M, Moskeland A, Laman TG, Scholes III E. 2018 Evolution of correlated complexity in the radically different courtship signals of birdsof-paradise. *PLoS Biol.* **16**, e2006962. (doi:10. 1371/journal.pbio.2006962)
- Andersson S, Pryke SR, Örnborg J, Lawes MJ, Andersson M. 2002 Multiple receivers, multiple ornaments, and a trade-off between agonistic and epigamic signaling in a widowbird. *Am. Nat.* 160, 683–691. (doi:10.1086/342817)
- Morton ES. 1975 Ecological sources of selection on avian sounds. *Am. Nat.* 109, 17–34. (doi:10. 1086/282971)
- Théry M. 2006 Effects of light environment on color communication. In *Bird coloration: mechanisms and measurements* (eds GE Hill, KJ McGraw), pp. 148–174. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press.
- Gomes ACR, Funghi C, Soma M, Sorenson MD, Cardoso GC. 2017 Multimodal signalling in estrildid finches: song, dance and colour are associated with different ecological and lifehistory traits. J. Evol. Biol. 30, 1336–1346. (doi:10.1111/jeb.13102)
- Endler JA. 1993 Some general comments on the evolution and design of animal communication systems. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 340, 215–225. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1993.0060)
- Ornelas JF, González C, Espinosa de los Monteros A. 2009 Uncorrelated evolution between vocal and plumage coloration traits in the trogons: a comparative study. *J. Evol. Biol.* 22, 471–484. (doi:10.1111/j.1420-9101.2008. 01679.x)
- Mason NA, Shultz AJ, Burns KJ. 2014 Elaborate visual and acoustic signals evolve independently in a large, phenotypically diverse radiation of songbirds. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 281, 20140967. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.0967)
- Wilkins HD, Ritchison G. 1999 Drumming and tapping by red-bellied woodpeckers: description and possible causation. J. Field Ornithol. 70, 578–586.
- Kilham L. 1959 Behavior and methods of communication of pileated woodpeckers. *The Condor* 61, 377–387. (doi:10.2307/1365307)
- 31. Winkler H, Christie DA, Nurney D. 1995 Woodpeckers: an identification guide to the

*woodpeckers of the world,* 406 p. New York, NY: Houghton M.

- Leniowski K, Wegrzyn E. 2013 The carotenoidbased red cap of the middle spotted woodpecker *Dendrocopos medius* reflects individual quality and territory size. *Ibis (Lond. 1859)* **155**, 804–813. (doi:10.1111/ibi.12050)
- Fuxjager MJ, Schuppe ER. 2018 Androgenic signaling systems and their role in behavioral evolution. J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol. 184, 47–56. (doi:10.1016/j.jsbmb.2018.06.004)
- Ward S, Speakman JR, Slater PJB. 2003 The energy cost of song in the canary, *Serinus canaria*. Anim. Behav. 66, 893–902. (doi:10. 1006/anbe.2003.2250)
- Eberhardt S. 1997 A test of an environmental advertisement hypothesis for the function of drumming in yellow-bellied sapsucker. *The Condor* 99, 798–803. (doi:10.2307/1370491)
- Hill GE, Inouye CY, Montgomerie R. 2002 Dietary carotenoids predict plumage coloration in wild house finches. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 269, 1119–1124. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2002.1980)
- Huhta E, Rytkönen S, Solonén T. 2003 Plumage brightness of prey increases predation risk: an among-species comparison. *Ecology* 84, 1793–1799. (doi:10.1890/0012-9658(2003)084[1793:PBOPIP]2.0.C0;2)
- Garamszegi LZ, Avilés JM. 2005 Brood parasitism by brown-headed cowbirds and the expression of sexual characters in their hosts. *Oecologia* 143, 167–177. (doi:10.1007/s00442-004-1784-z)
- Miles MC, Schuppe ER, Fuxjager MJ. 2020 Selection for rhythm as a trigger for recursive evolution in the elaborate display system of woodpeckers. *Am. Nat.* 195, 772–787. (doi:10. 1086/707748)
- Miles MC, Schuppe ER, Ligon RMI, Fuxjager MJ. 2018 Macroevolutionary patterning of a complex display reveals how sexual selection elaborates signals under constraint. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 285, 20172628. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.2628)
- Schuppe ER, Sanin GD, Fuxjager MJ. 2016 The social context of a territorial dispute differentially influences the way individuals in breeding pairs coordinate their aggressive tactics. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* **70**, 673–682. (doi:10.1007/s00265-016-2088-0)
- Schuppe ER, Fuxjager MJ. 2017 High-speed displays encoding motor skill trigger elevated territorial aggression in downy woodpeckers. *Funct. Ecol.* 32, 450–460. (doi:10.1111/1365-2435.13010)
- Toomey MB, McGraw KJ. 2012 Mate choice for a male carotenoid-based ornament is linked to female dietary carotenoid intake and accumulation. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **12**, 1–3. (doi:10. 1186/1471-2148-12-3)
- Beltrão P, Marques CI, Cardoso GC, Gomes ACR. 2021 Plumage colour saturation predicts longterm, cross-seasonal social dominance in a mutually ornamented bird. *Anim. Behav.* 182, 239–250. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2021.09.011)
- Del Hoyo J, Elliott A, Sargatal J, Winkler H, Christie D. 2002 Handbook of the birds of the world, vol. 7. Barcelona, Spain: Lynx Edicions.
- Miller ET, Leighton GM, Freeman BG, Lees AC, Ligon RA. 2019 Ecological and geographical

overlap drive plumage evolution and mimicry in woodpeckers. *Nat. Commun.* **10**, 1602. (doi:10. 1038/s41467-019-09721-w)

- Dale J, Dey CJ, Delhey K, Kempenaers B, Valcu M. 2015 The effects of life history and sexual selection on male and female plumage colouration. *Nature* 527, 367–370. (doi:10. 1038/nature15509)
- Carballo L, Delhey K, Valcu M, Kempenaers B. 2020 Body size and climate as predictors of plumage colouration and sexual dichromatism in parrots. *J. Evol. Biol.* **33**, 1543–1557. (doi:10. 1111/jeb.13690)
- Ödeen A, Håstad O. 2013 The phylogenetic distribution of ultraviolet sensitivity in birds. BMC Evol. Biol. 13, 1–10.(doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-36)
- Iverson ENK, Karubian J. 2017 The role of bare parts in avian signaling. *Auk* **134**, 587–611. (doi:10.1642/AUK-16-136.1)
- Short LL. 1982 Woodpeckers of the world. Greenville, DE: Delaware Museum of Natural History.
- Weller HI, Van Belleghem SM, Hiller AE, Lord NP. 2022 Recolorize: improved color segmentation of digital images (for people with other things to do). *bioRxiv*. (doi:10.1101/2022. 04.03.486906)
- Alfaro ME, Karan EA, Schwartz ST, Shultz AJ. 2019 The evolution of color pattern in butterflyfishes (Chaetodontidae). *Integr. Comp. Biol.* 59, 604–615. (doi:10.1093/idb/icz119)
- Endler JA. 2012 A framework for analysing colour pattern geometry: adjacent colours. *Biol. J. Linn. Soc.* **107**, 233–253. (doi:10.1111/j. 1095-8312.2012.01937.x)
- Stradi R, Hudon J, Celentano G, Pini E. 1998 Carotenoids in bird plumage: the complement of yellow and red pigments in true woodpeckers (Picinae). *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B Biochem. Mol. Biol.* **120**, 223–230. (doi:10.1016/S0305-0491(98)10033-0)
- Maia R, Gruson H, Endler JA, White TE. 2019 pavo 2: New tools for the spectral and spatial analysis of colour in R. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 1097–1107. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.13174)
- Endler JA, Cole GL, Kranz AM. 2018 Boundary strength analysis: combining colour pattern geometry and coloured patch visual properties for use in predicting behaviour and fitness. *Methods Ecol. Evol.* 9, 2334–2348. (doi:10.1111/ 2041-210X.13073)
- Maia R, Eliason CM, Bitton PP, Doucet SM, Shawkey MD. 2013 pavo: an R package for the analysis, visualization and organization of spectral data. *Methods Ecol Evol.* 4, 906–913. (doi:10.1111/2041-210X.12069)
- Weller HI, Westneat MW. 2019 Quantitative color profiling of digital images with earth mover's distance using the R package colordistance. *PeerJ* 7, e6398. (doi:10.7717/ peerj.6398)
- Wei T, Simko V. 2021 R package 'corrplot': visualization of a correlation matrix. (version 0.92). See https://github.com/taiyun/corrplot.
- Taylor R. 1990 Interpretation of the correlation coefficient: a basic review. J. Diagn. Med. Sonogr. 1, 35–39.

10

royalsocietypublishing.org/journal/rsos R. Soc. Open Sci. 9: 221096

11

- Stotz DF, Fitzpatrick JW, Parker III TA, Moskovits DK. 1996 *Neatropical birds: ecology and conservation*. Chicago, IL: University of Chicago Press.
- McNaught MK, Owens IPF. 2002 Interspecific variation in plumage colour among birds: species recognition or light environment? *J. Evol. Biol.* 15, 505–514. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2002.00431.x)
- Felsenstein J. 1985 Phylogenies and the comparative method. *Am. Nat.* **125**, 1–5. (doi:10.1086/284325)
- Shakya SB, Fuchs J, Pons J-MM, Sheldon FH. 2017 Tapping the woodpecker tree for evolutionary insight. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **116**, 182–191. (doi:10.1016/j.ympev.2017.09.005)
- Dufort MJ. 2016 An augmented supermatrix phylogeny of the avian family Picidae reveals uncertainty deep in the family tree. *Mol. Phylogenet. Evol.* **94**, 313–326. (doi:10.1016/j. ympev.2015.08.025)
- Moody NM, Vivlamore EK, Fuxjager MJ. 2022 Woodpecker drum evolution: an analysis of covariation in elements of a multicomponent acoustic display among and within species. *Evolution* (*NY*) **76**, 1469–1480. (doi:10.1111/evo.14535)
- Garcia M, Theunissen F, Sèbe F, Clavel J, Ravignani A, Marin-Cudraz T, Fuchs J, Mathevon N. 2020 Evolution of communication signals and information during species radiation. *Nat. Commun.* **11**, 4970. (doi:10.1038/s41467-019-13993-7)
- R Core Team. 2022 *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing.* Vienna, Austria: R Foundation for Statistical Computing. See https://www.R-project.org/.
- Orme D, Freckleton R, Thomas G, Petzoldt T, Fritz S, Isaac N, Pearse W. 2018 The caper package: comparative analysis of phylogenetics and evolution in R. *R Package version.* 5, 1–36.
- Pagel M. 1994 Detecting correlated evolution on phylogenies: a general method for the comparative analysis of discrete characters. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 255, 37–45. (doi:10.1098/ rspb.1994.0006)
- Gonzalez-Voyer A, den Tex R-J, Castelló A, Leonard JA. 2013 Evolution of acoustic and visual signals in Asian barbets. J. Evol. Biol. 26, 647–659. (doi:10.1111/jeb.12084)
- 73. Garland T, Harvey PH, Ives AR. 1992 Procedures for the analysis of comparative data using

phylogenetically independent contrasts. *Syst. Biol.* **41**, 18–32. (doi:10.1093/sysbio/41.1.18)

- Tella JL, Figuerola J, Negro JJ, Blanco G, Rodríguez-Estrella R, Forero MG, Blazquez MC, Green AJ, Hiraldo F. 2004 Ecological, morphological and phylogenetic correlates of interspecific variation in plasma carotenoid concentration in birds. *J. Evol. Biol.* 17, 156–164. (doi:10.1046/j.1420-9101.2003. 00634.x)
- Galván I, Negro JJ, Rodríguez A, Carrascal LM. 2013 On showy dwarfs and sober giants: body size as a constraint for the evolution of bird plumage colouration. *Acta Ornithol.* 48, 65–80. (doi:10.3161/000164513X670007)
- Podos J. 1997 A performance constraint on the evolution of trilled vocalizations in a songbird family (Passeriformes: Emberizidae). *Evolution* (NY) 51, 537–551.
- Candolin U. 2003 The use of multiple cues in mate choice. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 78, 575–595. (doi:10.1017/S1464793103006158)
- Hebets EA, Uetz GW. 2000 Leg ornamentation and the efficacy of courtship display in four species of wolf spider (Araneae: Lycosidae). *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 47, 280–286. (doi:10. 1007/s002650050667)
- Wiley RH. 2006 Signal detection and animal communication. *Adv. Study Behav.* 36, 217–247. (doi:10.1016/S0065-3454(06)36005-6)
- Hebets EA, Papaj DR. 2005 Complex signal function: developing a framework of testable hypotheses. *Behav. Ecol. Sociobiol.* 57, 197–214. (doi:10.1007/s00265-004-0865-7)
- Rosenthal GG, Rand AS, Ryan MJ. 2004 The vocal sac as a visual cue in anuran communication: an experimental analysis using video playback. *Anim. Behav.* 68, 55–58. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2003.07.013)
- Richards DG, Wiley RH. 1980 Reverberations and amplitude fluctuations in the propagation of sound in a forest: implications for animal communication. *Am. Nat.* **115**, 381–399. (doi:10.1086/283568)
- Lindell LE, Forsman A. 1996 Sexual dichromatism in snakes: support for the flickerfusion hypothesis. *Can. J. Zool.* 74, 2254–2256. (doi:10.1139/z96-256)
- Umeton D, Read JCA, Rowe C. 2017 Unravelling the illusion of flicker fusion. *Biol. Lett.* **13**, 20160831. (doi:10.1098/rsbl.2016.0831)

- Barske J, Schlinger BA, Wikelski M, Fusani L. 2011 Female choice for male motor skills. *Proc. R. Soc. B* **278**, 3523–3528. (doi:10.1098/ rspb.2011.0382)
- Moseley DL, Lahti DC, Podos J. 2013 Responses to song playback vary with the vocal performance of both signal senders and receivers. *Proc. R. Soc. B* 280, 20131401. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.1401)
- Stein AC, Uy JAC. 2006 Plumage brightness predicts male mating success in the lekking golden-collared manakin, *Manacus vitellinus*. *Behav. Ecol.* **17**, 41–47. (doi:10.1093/beheco/ ari095)
- Endler JA. 1978 A predator's view of animal color patterns. In *Evolutionary biology*, vol. 11 (eds MK Hecht, WC Steere, B Wallace), pp. 319–364. New York, NY: Plenum Press.
- Sibeaux A, Camduras T, Endler JA. 2021 The role of boundary length and adjacent patch contrast in guppy mate choice. *Behav. Ecol.* 32, 30–40. (doi:10.1093/beheco/araa097)
- Sibeaux A, Cole GL, Endler JA. 2019 The relative importance of local and global visual contrast in mate choice. *Anim. Behav.* 154, 143–159. (doi:10.1016/j.anbehav.2019.06.020)
- Matysioková B, Friedman N, Turčoková L, Remeš V. 2017 The evolution of feather coloration and song in Old World orioles (genus *Oriolus*).
  *Avian Biol.* 48, 1015–1024. (doi:10.1111/jav. 01175)
- Scharf FS, Juanes F, Sutherland M. 1998 Inferring ecological relationships from the edges of scatter diagrams: comparison of regression techniques. *Ecology* **79**, 448–460. (doi:10.1890/ 0012-9658(1998)079[0448:IERFTE]2.0.C0;2)
- Wilson DR, Bitton PP, Podos J, Mennill DJ. 2014 Uneven sampling and the analysis of vocal performance constraints. *Am. Nat.* 183, 214–228. (doi:10.1086/674379)
- Boncoraglio G, Saino N. 2007 Habitat structure and the evolution of bird song: a meta-analysis of the evidence for the acoustic adaptation hypothesis. *Funct. Ecol.* 21, 134–142. (doi:10.1111/j.1365-2435.2006. 01207.x)
- Cárdenas-Posada G, Fuxjager MJ. 2022 Data from: Correlated evolution between colour conspicuousness and drum speed in woodpeckers. Figshare. (doi:10.6084/m9. figshare.c.6251172)