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Abstract: Wiki4R will create an innovative virtual research environment (VRE) for Open Science at scale, engaging both professional researchers and citizen data scientists in new and potentially transformative forms of collaboration. It is based on the realizations that (1) the structured parts of the Web itself can be regarded as a VRE, (2) such environments depend on communities, (3) closed environments are limited in their capacity to nurture thriving communities. Wiki4R will therefore integrate Wikidata, the multilingual semantic backbone behind Wikipedia, into existing research processes to enable transdisciplinary research and reduce fragmentation of research in and outside Europe. By establishing a central shared information node, research data can be linked and annotated into knowledge. Despite occasional uses of Wikipedia or Wikidata in research, significant barriers to broader adoption in the sciences or digital humanities exist, including lack of integration into existing research processes and inadequate handling of provenances. The proposed actions include providing best practices and tools for semantic mapping, adoption of citation and author identifiers, interoperability layers for integration with existing research environments, and the development of policies for information quality and interchange. The effectiveness of the actions will be tested in pilot use cases. Unforeseen barriers will be investigated and documented. We will promote the adoption of Wiki4R by making it easy to use and integrate, demonstrate the applicability in selected research domains, and provide diverse training opportunities. Wiki4R leverages the expertise gained in Europe through the Wikidata and DBpedia projects to further strengthen the established virtual community of 14000 people. As a result of increased interaction between professional science and citizens, it will provide an improved basis for Responsible Research and Innovation and Open Science in the European Research Area.
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1. Excellence

1.1 Objectives

The overarching goals of the proposed project are:

1. To support the application of Open Science principles by leveraging and strengthening an existing trusted, shared, long-term-sustainable, collaborative platform for the curation of open data.
2. To enable researchers across Europe and beyond to perform transdisciplinary research, overcoming the fragmentation of virtual research environments (VREs) and separated data silos by moving to an open, shared data environment with collaborative data curation.
3. To support increasingly rich interlinking of information and digital knowledge representations that can be used by both humans and machines.
4. To increase the capabilities of both professional and citizen scientists and the capacities of their organisations to collaborate with each other in mutually beneficial and potentially transformative ways.
5. To support the development of Open Science policies and, through increased dialogue between scientists and citizens, foster Responsible Research and Innovation in the European Research Area.

To achieve these goals, we will build a VRE on the basis of Wikidata, the database that anyone can edit, which serves as the semantic backbone for structured data in Wikipedia and its sister projects. It represents a massive development step and paves the way towards a structured future of Wikipedia. Upon its launch, Sue Gardner, Executive Director of the Wikimedia Foundation, wrote: “Before Wikidata, Wikipedians needed to manually update hundreds of Wikipedia language versions every time a famous person died or a country’s leader changed. With Wikidata, such new information, entered once, can automatically appear across all Wikipedia language versions. That makes life easier for editors and makes it easier for Wikipedia to stay current.”

Wikidata is a major open data platform for massive online collaboration and is designed to share “the sum of all human knowledge”, including all domains of scholarly and scientific knowledge. It has already become a major focus point for sharing scholarly as well as technical information. As a key element of the wider landscape of citizen-driven open knowledge initiatives, Wikidata is unfolding with the active participation of a global and multilingual community of volunteers – more than 14,000 of them contribute to the project on a monthly basis.

By building on Wikidata, the proposal avoids the problems of many other VRE platforms that struggle to find sufficiently large communities of users. An ecosystem of infrastructure, technologies and tools already exists, but the interactions with professional research are insufficiently developed. Most professional science and citizen science projects presently work on completely separate digital platforms. The present project addresses known barriers and investigates the yet unknown ones. By adding innovative features required for research workflows, providing help with semantic mapping, providing best practices and training materials, and researching pilot use cases, this project will enable the Wikidata platform to develop from a virtual environment to a virtual research environment for Open Science, engaging both professional researchers and citizen data scientists in new and potentially transformative forms of collaboration.

---

1 We will use the terms Open Science and Open Research interchangeably here, with the understanding that no domain of research – academic, industrial, governmental or other – should be regarded as excluded from using an open approach. We will underline that by running this transdisciplinary project itself as an open project, where not only the results but also the process of research are made transparent if feasible.
2 https://www.wikidata.org/
3 http://blog.wikimedia.org/2013/04/25/the-wikidata-revolution/
4 http://wikimediafoundation.org/wiki/Vision
5 https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Special:Statistics
The specific objectives of the project are:

1. **Goal 1:**
   - 1.1. to provide a VRE where contributions are transparent and trusted because all changes are accountable and a full history of changes is publicly available;
   - 1.2. to enhance the verifiability of statements by accompanying them with provenance and references (Task 3.2);
   - 1.3. to increase the scale and immediacy with which scientific information is made globally available to both people and machines, and curated by them;

2. **Goal 2:**
   - 2.1. to support transdisciplinary science by promoting the adoption of a single open data VRE platform across disciplines from the natural sciences to the humanities;
   - 2.2. to establish a single open-data VRE platform by piloting it for a set of core use cases (chemistry, library and information science, biodiversity science, mineralogy and the cultural heritage sector);
   - 2.3. to promote the open-source Wikibase software (of which Wikidata is an installation) as a framework also installable and adaptable for institution-internal knowledge management;

3. **Goal 3:**
   - 3.1. to establish the use of stable identifiers for scientific objects and concepts (which are dereferenceable, i.e. can be actively followed to obtain further information) in a pilot of 5 large and 10 smaller scientific datasets (WP2 and Task 3.1);
   - 3.2. to increase the interlinking of information by making a large number of scientific objects and concepts citable in a long-term stable and sustainable manner in the Linked Open Data Cloud;

4. **Goal 4:**
   - 4.1. to develop and provide documentation, training materials, tutorials, open educational course materials, best-practices advice, as well as dissemination and community engagement events (WP5);
   - 4.2. to increase the number of citizen data scientists and citizen data curators interacting with professional scientific researchers and professional research organisations with the aim to increase the quality of the data available for all (Task 4.5);
   - 4.3. to increase the number of external citizen science projects that use Wikidata (Task 4.5);

5. **Goal 5:**
   - 5.1. to participate in the EC’s Open Data Pilot as both provider and re-user of data from multiple domains, which can inform quality improvement measures for the Pilot;
   - 5.2. to analyse the motivations for the open sharing of data in research contexts, identify best practices, and distil recommendations on the design and implementation of institutional and overarching data-sharing policies.

### 1.2 Relation to the work programme

The proposal is strongly aligned with the specific challenges of the Horizon 2020 call for “e-Infrastructures for virtual research environments (VRE)”. It will address the challenge of capacity-building in interdisciplinary European research by adapting a trans-disciplinary collaborative open-data knowledge platform to the needs of professional researchers (WP2–4), developing best practices for and providing training around that (WP5). Being web-based and supporting standard forms of knowledge expression, knowledge integration and computing, the proposed VRE will integrate resources across all layers of the e-infrastructure. Its strengths will be full and inherent transdisciplinarity and mechanisms to support openness with respect to standards, transparency and accountability; options for dissent; and mechanisms to encourage consensus-building. It will provide significant computing resources to improve the analysis and integration options of both existing general knowledge and the newly integrated scientific data.

The Wiki4R VRE will be modular. The existing Wikidata infrastructure already fulfils several requirements of a modern VRE:

- abstraction from underlying infrastructures,
- the use of open source software with a large global developer base,
● the use of globally accessible, well-documented interfaces and APIs,\(^6\)
● the use of web-based workflows,
● a service-oriented architecture supporting both human and machine use,
● an ecosystem of tools interacting with the core infrastructure, and
● full interoperability with standard Semantic Web technologies.

The action will specifically address the presently tentative connection between Wikidata stakeholders (open-source volunteer developers from civil society) and professional researchers and their organisations. With its limited resources, the project will target on-platform development that is beneficial for research across domains. However, we expect that future extensions – by both the project partners and others – will create an ecosystem of domain-specific functionality around this VRE through both on- and off-platform enhancements. Wikidata and DBpedia will provide generic data storage, integration, curation and analysis services to a multilingual open-data user community, with strong attention to trust, provenance, accountability, and verifiability. All data on Wikidata will be open-access. Domain- and discipline-specific services from public, private and commercial research institutions will build on this foundation.

The Wiki4R VRE will be relevant for data-oriented research that addresses a broad range of societal challenges, from the natural sciences (including health, climate research, environment, agriculture and forestry), to engineering (including energy and transport), mathematics, information science, the digital humanities, education and unsupervised learning. The project partners span major branches of the natural sciences, the arts and humanities, the cultural and natural heritage sector, and civil society, along with partners with information science and Semantic Web expertise. Due to the open and participatory nature of Wikidata, people and organisations outside the partner consortium will be free to contribute. Challenges regarded as important by citizens will be addressable: no restrictions will be imposed by the consortium partners on the type and usage of data.

The greatest strength of the action will be new ways of a wide spectrum of citizens in research, data analysis and knowledge sharing, leading to a more inclusive, innovative and reflective European society. Open knowledge and the engagement of citizens and society in a responsible research and innovation process resonate strongly with European values. Global initiatives with a European basis – like the Open Knowledge Foundation, Wikimedia’s Wikidata and DBpedia – provide very valuable contributions to that.

1.3 Concept and approach

The research agenda for VREs by Candela et al. (2013)\(^7\) highlights that usability, sustainability and the reuse of services and resources should be built into the design, and that VREs should be integrated with other existing infrastructure in a mutually beneficial way, enhancing their sustainability and their value in the eyes of broader research communities. Promoting a VRE user community early in development will be critical to ensuring sufficient uptake for the sustainability of VREs and the potential for future research on improving them. Ideally, the VRE should come to be seen as an essential technology for the target community; this will require both social and technical innovation. As Candela et al. suggest, the “focus should be primarily on using technology to identify and rationalise workflows, procedures, and processes characterising a certain research scenario rather than having technology invading the research scenario and distracting effort from its real needs.”

Many existing VREs are designed as secure and closed “remote desktop” systems in which a selected and managed number of researchers can work, and which provide feature-complete,

---


\(^7\) http://dx.doi.org/10.2481/dsj.GRDI-013
controlled and customised data access and computing services to the larger world. This approach clearly has its applications; but it typically does not scale well to a large number of researchers with diverse research needs. One reason for this concerns the management of access and collaboration rights. If researchers fall into few well-defined groups with standard tasks (e.g. citizen scientists with homogeneous data collection rights), large numbers can be managed. However, researchers typically have very diverse needs. This can extend to citizen scientists, if they are considered as partners who collaborate in the full research process. The rights management for a VRE with a large number of users – possibly 100,000 – could become prohibitively expensive. Another reason concerns VRE-specific methods of access to external data and services, the sum of which can be expensive to implement and sustain. This limits this type of VREs with respect to the number and diversity of research questions and typically results in discipline-specific VREs. Finally, while the results from such a VRE may be channelled into open-access publications, such VREs typically do not easily lend themselves to open science, where the research process itself is shared.

Figure 1: Outline of envisioned platform where Wikidata content can be used within an institutional firewall.

Our proposal does not attempt to build such a secured, highly customised, discipline-specific research environment with expensive-to-maintain, purpose-tailored tools. Instead it focuses on the needs of open science and empowering researchers to work together across disciplines in an open environment. Ultimately, the web itself – especially the Semantic Web combined with web-based computing services – is the full VRE. Specific components, such as the Wikidata–science connection developed here, are components of this VRE. They provide services to the web, but do not limit the use of the web and its services.

The concept of open science (alternatively called “open research” if disciplines like philosophy, history, are not included in the definition of “science”) is central to this proposal. Open science is highly inclusive, inviting collaboration from professional peers as well as other interested parties, including citizen scientists. It is also open with respect to the process, inviting collaboration and providing access to research data as soon as they are created.
Managing openness is not a trivial exercise. It requires both appropriate technical foundations and procedural and social competencies, rules and policies. Openness and collaboration need to be maximised, dissent needs to be possible, consensus needs to be encouraged, all actions need to be owned, and all actors held accountable. Contributions must be not only technically documented, but also suitably acknowledged with provenance-related information that may available to both humans and machines, yet transparent to the search process for content.

Many of the technologies and policies have already been developed in the context of Wikipedia and Wikidata. However, there is no automatic adoption of these techniques by professional science. We identify social barriers, especially a lack of examples and lack of training, but also technical gaps. The project therefore studies these problems. It works both on enhanced technical solutions and on providing professional researchers with examples, guidelines and best practice documentation as to how their data can be shared openly and integrated with other open data (see "Optimizing openness", T3.3).

The Wiki4R VRE, which will be tested in a number of pilot cases, supports web-based, discipline-neutral, standard analysis methods. It empowers researchers to share data widely, to integrate and interlink research data with general, non-discipline-specific data, to cite data and have their own data cited. Finally, it empowers researchers to share the burden of data curation between professional science organisations and citizen data scientists/citizen data curators.

The open-science approach will not work for all possible domains of systematic inquiry (e.g. industrial research aiming at patentable results). If legal, data privacy, commercial, or other considerations prevent openness, it will be necessary to design mechanisms that allow certain data to be stored privately. Aspects of data privacy will be carefully considered where applicable, and the Wikimedia Foundation’s privacy policy will be enforced.

However, where open science is possible, it will be highly desirable. By increasing opportunities for collaboration, by increasing transparency and trust, by providing research progress and feedback opportunities as early as possible, and by providing more examples for students to learn from, research and education will become more efficient.

Factors that facilitate the uptake of the Wiki4R VRE for open science use are open data, coverage of all domains of knowledge, a powerful API and strong support for multilingualism (in terms of the data, the community, and the user interface). The use of a CC0 license will avoid forced attribution stacking for primary data, while at the same time mechanisms support and encourage proper scholarly citation. The deep integration of Wikidata with Wikipedia and its sister projects provides an excellent basis for recognition, long-term sustainability, and high impact. The consortium expects to have fewer issues with the credibility, sustainability and longevity concerns most EU projects typically fight with. One limitation that the present action will address is a lack of acceptance as a VRE for professional research. A key goal of the actions will be to ensure that the Wiki4R VRE will be readily accepted by many partners outside the consortium. The number of researchers targeted by this action is therefore potentially very high.

An important action is the integration of semantic entities and ontologies between research data and existing Wikidata items and properties to improve interoperability (WP2). The study and alignment of ontologies in this context is based on the realisation that research is dynamic: in the foreseeable future no single ontology will be able to cover the needs of all diverse research groups. Even within disciplines, ontologies will be constantly evolving. Wikidata therefore supports an agile development of multiple ontologies, including the option that ontologies developed by different researchers may be contradictory. The choice of a single ontology will often be required for analysis purposes. The fundamental system is not built for a priori “truth”, but for discourse and research. Thus, instance/subclass assertions will underlie the same requirements for ownership and provenance reference as all other statements.

---

8 [https://wikimediafoundation.org/wiki/Privacy_policy](https://wikimediafoundation.org/wiki/Privacy_policy)
Sharing the semantics of research data early in the research process has great potential in increasing the efficiency of research. Doing so enables the expression of knowledge by the authoritative researchers, rather than the kind of guesswork that typically has to occur when adding semantic tagging to research publications that are not inherently semantic (but, for example, have been published as PDF). Another problem addressed is the problem that identifiers for concepts or objects may be required for further work long before the formal results are finally published. Even without full open science, much is being reported on conferences and in communications that influences the research of other groups and makes it desirable to semantically refer to new objects and concepts as early as possible. The creation and dissemination of appropriate identifiers should be one of the first steps in an open-science process.

Figure 2: Prototype of the platform at the Center for Data Science of Paris-Saclay, where Wikidata identifiers are already used to link public data and public research data.9

The proposed social and technical design of the Wiki4R VRE addresses the needs and requirements of a diverse network of project partners and associate partners, who offer use cases for data deposition and reuse as well as provision of value-added services. There are still comparatively few examples of Wikidata use in research contexts, therefore the project will include the development of new projects as well as associated tools and infrastructure that support these and existing use cases. Several partners are specifically interested in the integration of heterogeneous data from multiple sources, for example:

- providing bibliographic metadata across scholarly domains for direct linking with research data
- integration of data across chemistry, between chemistry and biology and between chemistry and mineralogy
- integration of metadata about heritage collections
- integration of multilingual data.

The Wiki4R VRE will exist in an ecosystem of tools, services and infrastructures at national and European levels. Several parts of the ecosystem have been developed by project partners, maximising the ease with which the present project can build upon these developments. Examples include The European Library10 (part of the Europeana Foundation11, providing access to the catalogues of 48 National and Research Libraries of Europe) and Open PHACTS12 (providing pharmacological data to aid drug discovery). Further examples are portals for advanced data

---

10 http://www.theeuropeanlibrary.org/tel4/
11 http://www.europeana.eu/
12 http://www.openphacts.org/
exploration, multilingual integration\textsuperscript{13}, and semantic linking of data\textsuperscript{14}. Examples of transdisciplinary and multilingual data-driven research include analysing relationships between data items, such as the social and intellectual relationships between ancient philosophers,\textsuperscript{15} linkages between scientific facts extracted from the scholarly literature,\textsuperscript{16} or the detection of biases across many languages (see also gender bias examples further down). Value-added data mining services such as ContentMine\textsuperscript{17} have already defined use cases for automated deposition and retrieval of data in the context of a social architecture. They combine use of structured data from Wikidata to find connections between incoming data streams from the scientific literature with community curation. A number of visualisation projects use Wikidata, for example to analyse networks of ancient philosophers.\textsuperscript{18}

The existing Wikidata community includes domain specialists such as the editors of WikiProject Chemistry\textsuperscript{19}. In many cases, this means that mapping and external links to relevant resources already exist, of which the project can take advantage.

The following research innovation activities are especially relevant for Wiki4R:

The Europeana Foundation and The European Library (already mentioned above) are supported as part of the EU’s Digital Agenda and expected to be funded as part of the EU’s Connected Europe Facility. The Europeana Foundation acts as the central aggregator for data about Europe’s culture heritage.\textsuperscript{20} Europeana has aggregated 32 million records related to digitised objects in Europe’s museums, archives and libraries. For this purpose, Europeana has developed a Europeana Licencing Framework to harmonise the rights statements about digitised content and a related metadata model (the Europeana Data Model) for creating interoperable metadata from different domains. These two pillars ensure that the data available from Europeana - which will put onto Wikidata as part of this project - is all marked as CC0 and available as standardised Linked Open Data.

In addition to the central aggregator, various other specific aggregators focus on specific areas of the cultural sector (e.g. museums or archives). In the context of this proposal, The European Library will make its dataset of over 90 million bibliographic records available, i.e. data about published books.\textsuperscript{21} The data is drawn from national and research libraries across Europe - in essence, the European Library dataset acts as the record of publication in Europe. Similar to Europeana, the dataset is available as CC0 and as standardised LOD of national, regional, and local endeavours where complementarities and new challenges are clearly identified and acted upon.

RENDER\textsuperscript{22} is a finished FP7 project which provided a comprehensive conceptual framework and technological infrastructure for enabling, supporting, managing and exploiting information diversity in Web-based environments. Diversity was viewed as a crucial source of innovation and adaptability, ensuring the availability of alternative approaches towards solving hard problems, and provides new perspectives and insights on known situations. Equally important, embracing diversity in information management is essential for enhancing state-of-the-art technology in this field with novel paradigms, models, and methods and techniques for searching, selecting, ranking, aggregating, clustering and presenting information purposefully to users, thus alleviating critical aspects of information overload. The concepts, methods, techniques and technology developed by RENDER will especially inform the diversity approach for Wiki4R.

\textsuperscript{13}http://tools.wmflabs.org/wikidata-todo/cloudy_concept.php?q=42240&lang=en
\textsuperscript{14}http://tools.wmflabs.org/reasonator/?&q=42240
\textsuperscript{15}http://projetjourdain.org/network/index.html
\textsuperscript{16}http://magnusmanske.de/wordpress/?p=245
\textsuperscript{17}http://contentmine.org
\textsuperscript{18}http://render-project.eu/
\textsuperscript{19}https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Chemistry
\textsuperscript{20}http://www.theeuropeanlibrary.org/tel4/access
\textsuperscript{21}http://www.europeana.eu/
Gene Wiki\(^{23}\) (an Associate partner, via Andrew Su at Scripps) is a highly relevant project for our purpose in that GeneWiki successfully implements the dissemination of professional research information into Wikipedia and increasingly Wikidata. Its focus is on human genes, diseases and drugs, which has some very limited thematic overlap with our activities on metabolites (see also T3.1). Methodologically, we envisage a close collaboration, e.g. in terms of sharing in the development of code\(^{24}\) for curating Wikidata items and in collaborating with relevant WikiProjects in the semantic modelling parts (WP2). There is also a conceptual overlap in that some of our proposed activities map well onto activities that were contained in the Gene Wiki proposal\(^{25}\) but not approved for funding. This includes a training component (our T5.2-T5.5) but also the idea of partnering with a journal (cf. T5.1). The Gene Wiki project is to run until April 2018, so we envisage organizing a joint session during at least one of the events we are both attending (e.g. during Wikimania 2016, which is to take place in Italy\(^{26}\)). Wiki4R will build on the experiences of GeneWiki.

Wikimedia Deutschland is partner in the Commons European Training Network (CONCERTO) proposed by the Autonomous University of Barcelona under the MARIE Skłodowska-CURIE ACTIONS Innovative Training Networks (ITN), Call H2020-MSCA-ITN-2015. This ITN, if funded, will provide a cross-disciplinary approach to research of global, local, digital and non-digital commons and initiate 15 individual research projects, including one on knowledge commons. Synergy effects between CONCERTO and Wiki4R would provide a better understanding of the mechanisms and policies supporting and governing diverse multi-stakeholder knowledge commons such as Wikidata.

The Blue Obelisk Movement\(^{27}\) is an informal organization of chemistry promoting and developing tools for Open Data, Open Source, and Open Standards (ODOSOS) in chemistry\(^{28}\). Besides many cheminformatics tools, they also maintain a manually curated, CC0-waived knowledgebase of element and isotope properties which will be used in the Wiki4R pilots.

Multimedia Objects in Open-Access Publication, a recent DFG (German Science Foundation) application\(^{29}\) by the German National Library of Science and Technology (TIB)\(^{30}\) will investigate the “harvesting, indexing and provision of multimedia open access objects using the infrastructure of Wikimedia Commons and Wikidata”. If funded, this action will provide significant synergies with Wiki4R, which will be leveraged through the Leibniz research network Science 2.0,\(^{31}\) in the framework of which TIB collaborates with MfN and WMDE.

Data analysis tools like Cytoscape\(^{32}\) or R\(^{33}\) and workflow systems like Taverna\(^{34}\) are frequently used in research, and Wiki4R is interested in integration with these tools. Various consortium partners are involved in projects that expose data platforms in such tools. These projects include BioVEL/VIBRANT, Wf4Ever\(^{35}\), and eNanoMapper,\(^{36}\) all aimed at making data integration and analysis easier.

---

23 http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1242817
24 https://bitbucket.org/sulab/wikidatabots
25 http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1242817
27 http://blueobelisk.org/
28 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1758-2946-3-37
29 http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.12745
30 http://www.tib.uni-hannover.de/
31 http://www.leibniz-science20.de/
32 http://www.cytoscape.org/
33 http://www.r-project.org/
34 http://www.taverna.org.uk/
35 http://www.wf4ever-project.org/
36 http://enanomapper.net/
Other relevant past and present projects are **OpenUp!**\(^{37}\) (natural history media aggregator into Europeana), **OpenAIRE**\(^{38}\) (EC Open Access Infrastructure for Research in Europe), and **Zenodo**\(^{39}\) (open access literature repository, used also by OpenAIRE).

Wiki4R will focus on use case scenarios of the VRE that cross disciplinary boundaries in that they:
1. are useful for all disciplines: scholarly publications and associated multimedia, ontology development, metadata about institutions or researchers;
2. cover a broad range of disciplines (H2020 Open Research Data Pilot, citizen science, GLAM collections, structured historical information, big data);
3. focus on information from chemistry that is used in a wide range of other disciplines (from agriculture to medicine and pharmacology to palaeontology and mineralogy to the restoration of paintings);
4. focus on information from biological taxonomy that forms the basis for research in an entire field (life sciences);
5. range from monolingual to multilingual;
6. give guidance to software development representative for a diverse set of potential users.

### 1.4 Ambition

The development of a completely universal, transdisciplinary, transnational, and translingual VRE is an unsolved challenge. It involves extremely complex technical, legal, procedural and social issues and is, in fact, a challenge by far exceeding the resources and funding durations typically available. The proposal is highly innovative in addressing this problem by recognizing three key points:

1. The Web itself, in the form of globally interconnected data and services, is the VRE of the future.
2. The focus must be on establishing globally accepted points of collaboration to iteratively and in an agile development process gather sufficient resources, development and training actions to provide this VRE.
3. The open knowledge community has already developed solutions and platforms for many of these issues, solutions which, with limited effort, can be brought to bring fruit for conventional professional research as well.

Using highly limited resources, the proposal will therefore focus on investigating and developing the functionality of Wikidata for professional scientific research. VREs built on top of existing, widely deployed and utilized platforms are rare, but this approach is essential for sustainability, trust and reaching a large community. The proposal most likely is asking for significantly less resources than many other VRE proposals in this call. In the light of the vision above, it understands itself as a pilot in a global, highly ambitious process of increasing integration, collaboration, and openness.

Professional scientists and researchers as well as citizen scientists (including "Citizen Data Scientist") will be able to use this environment. With the inclusion of Freebase into Wikidata (expected to be accomplished by the Open Knowledge community in 2015), the Wiki4R VRE will be capable of providing a unique service: for the first time, both citizen and professional scientists from any research or language community can integrate their databases into an open global structure. This point of integration can be used to publicly annotate, verify, criticise and improve the quality of available data, to define its limits, to contribute to the evolution of domain-specific ontologies, and to make all this available to everyone, without restrictions on use and reuse. One application will be the analysis of intersections of public (governmental) and research data. An

\(^{37}\) [http://open-up.eu/](http://open-up.eu/)

\(^{38}\) [https://www.openaire.eu/](https://www.openaire.eu/)

\(^{39}\) [https://zenodo.org/](https://zenodo.org/)
example could be the combination of disease epidemiology data (by country, by year) with public sales data of products (e.g. drugs, food) or events (e.g. concerts, movies).

Open Science in itself is an ambitious new undertaking. The proposal is ground-breaking in combining the open collaboration methods developed in citizen-driven open knowledge initiatives with the new Open Science approaches developed in professional research on a common infrastructure.

2. Impact

2.1 Expected impacts

The research community is experiencing a massive growth in data, a trend that is expected to continue. On the one hand, this manifests itself in the form of large data volumes and data streams with high bit rate of relatively homogeneous data. Particle physics are a prime example where these aspects of big data are dominant. These are addressed through various EU funding actions (e.g., EUDAT). On the other hand, however, the trend also manifests itself in the form of “big complexity issues”. New research information refers to previous information, often across many different disciplines. Traditional isolated databases containing verbatim information in data fields, queryable through human-oriented search portals or custom APIs, do not fulfil today’s data analysis requirements. A first improvement is to disambiguate relations between items through stable, globally unique identifiers. However, this still requires the expert knowledge in which “data silos” related data will be found. The next step in managing the complexity of interrelated scientific, societal, and governmental information is therefore to use identifiers which inform machines how to reach related information. The most general model for this is called the “Semantic Web”.

Whereas funding with respect to high data volume and high speed processing issues is ample, it may be that insufficient attention is yet given to issues of high data complexity. With the present proposal we offer a step into this direction, addressing many issues of the future of scientific data analysis in the face of high data complexity. The proposal contributes to:

- Strengthening the European Expertise in Semantic Web issues,
- interlinking research data early on,
- developing Open Science collaboration expertise in general, and especially
- developing capacities in collaboration expertise between citizen data scientists

While the Semantic Web addresses many technical issues, it becomes truly effective only if data are semantically interlinked to provide context (the final star in Berners-Lee’s five star concept\(^{40}\)). Reaching this state at the necessary scale is a complex problem. An important answer is community data curation by an alliance professional scientists from multiple institutions as well as citizen data scientists. To achieve this, database maintainers must relinquish some control\(^{41}\) and become competent in smarter collaboration techniques.

Wikimedia projects are a prime example for crowdsourcing and massive collaboration per se, but they also have a track record of involvement in the curation of external scientific databases. Notable examples include the Gene Wiki project\(^{42}\) – which curates information about human genes on the English Wikipedia and now increasingly on Wikidata\(^{43}\) – and the Rfam/Pfam\(^{44}\) projects, which collaborate with the English Wikipedia in curating databases about RNA and protein families.\(^{45}\)

---

\(^{40}\) [http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html](http://www.w3.org/DesignIssues/LinkedData.html)

\(^{41}\) [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060184](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.0060184)


\(^{44}\) [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1195](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1195)

\(^{45}\) [http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1195](http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr1195)
The project addresses collaboration opportunities both between professional organisations and between professional research and citizen science. An example may illustrate use cases for the first scenario:

Natural history museum collections across the world hold hundreds of millions of specimens, which are important for studying the diversity of organisms and their uses. The digitisation of these specimens is a slow and expensive process (because of sheer numbers, diversity of objects and their preservation, hard to decipher handwritten labels, etc.). Knowing itineraries of collectors, routes and dates of expeditions can improve quality control and greatly simplifies expensive processes like geolocating specimens (essential for habitat modelling, nature conservation and climate change research). Having a transinstitutional repository of collector itineraries rather than each institution curating local data would greatly increase the efficiency of work. Existing systems like ORCID\(^{46}\) (for contemporary authors only) or VIAF\(^{47}\) do provide much information, but cannot provide enough coverage for the breadth of collectors relevant to museums. The Wiki4R VRE will provide links to ORCID and VIAF, but also support adding new persons as needed in a way, that local work can use the newly created semantic identifiers within seconds.

Highly relevant to the Wikidata argument is that the use case is in fact not limited to natural history collection. Many collectors collecting both natural and cultural history artefacts. Thus, the standard disciplinary approach to institute a shared conventional database across natural history institutions (e.g. in Europe through CETAF\(^{48}\)) is still not fully satisfying. Going even further, collections are also relevant as a historical record documenting global exploration (and often colonization) of the world and collaboration with historical researchers is highly desirable.

The Wiki4R VRE is ideally positioned for collaborative data curation across scientific disciplines, organisations, countries and languages, since it:

- is already well established and globally available to everyone,
- provides data in a structured format and in multiple languages,
- can be contributed to and corrected collaboratively, through humans and machines,
- can be linked with other ancillary data (e.g. current or historical geographical entities).

In order to realize this transdisciplinary integration potential, groundwork has to be laid by integrating domain-specific research data and scientific knowledge bases with existing Wikidata content. We will support the ontological mapping (WP2), establish tools and workflows for systematic integration (WP3) and analysis methods (WP4), and develop training materials. The effectiveness of the methods developed will be tested. Important pilot use cases are bibliographic metadata about scholarly publications and chemistry data (e.g. small molecules, biochemical pathways, enantiomers). Professional chemical researchers will closely collaborate with Wikidata’s WikiProject Chemistry\(^{49}\) – a community of volunteers with a shared interest in curating chemical information on Wikidata – and professional librarians with WikiProject Source MetaData\(^{50}\), a community interested in metadata about references cited on Wikipedia. Similar WikiProjects exist for a steadily growing variety of topics and will be our general points of contact for ensuring interoperability of our cross-domain activities with domain-specific matters. For instance, WikiProject sum of all paintings\(^{51}\) collects information about paintings in museum collections around the globe, and lists of these paintings can easily be generated on the basis of Wikidata, e.g. for Kandinsky.\(^{52}\) Other WikiProjects on Wikidata deal with music,\(^{53}\) economics,\(^{54}\) geology,\(^{55}\) medicine\(^{56}\), or tropical cyclones.\(^{57}\)

---

46 http://orcid.org/
47 http://viaf.org/
48 http://www.cetaf.org/
49 https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Chemistry
50 https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Source_MetaData
52 http://tools.wmflabs.org/autolist/autolist1.html?q=claim%5B170%3A61064%5D
54 https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Economics
The proposed project – in its transdisciplinary character – has also excellent potential for gender research. Existing research examples include the Wikipedia Gender Inequality Index\(^58\) research project, studying questions like among all the gendered biographies, which Wikipedias have the highest percentages of articles about a given gender? As the semantic data becomes richer, it will become possible to study the interrelation between gender and cultural biases, or sexuality and ethnicity biases among Wikipedias. In fact, for any recorded property in Wikidata, we will be able to see how they are biased by language.

We aim to demonstrate that the increased uptake of Wikidata as a central part a Wiki4R VRE will accelerate the pace of scientific discovery. Technically, Wiki4R will improve reliable discovery, access and re-use of public data. Not all data will be in Wikidata itself; the vision is that Wikidata becomes a hub with linking concepts and essential information, with additional detail provided as the professional research organisations. Socially, the project will lead to more effective collaboration among professional researchers and between professional and citizen researchers. Open science has great potential to increase the efficiency and creativity of research. Furthermore, true collaboration with citizens, including discussions about research goals, will greatly strengthen discussions about responsible research and innovation. The project is dedicated to building bridges between user communities. With its breadth of scope and true transdisciplinarity, the project will have impact across disciplines and can become a paradigm for structuring open data for open science.

In many ways, Open Science is an equivalent to open borders. The economic success of the removal of taxation, legislation, and other cross-border obstacles to trade in the EU demonstrates how research can gain by mastering the necessary competencies to remove obstacles between research groups, disciplines and nationally organised research organisations. However, this vision urgently needs a foundation in platforms designed for openness, transparency and trust. Wikidata is the ideal candidate for achieving this, but critically needs enhancements to better interact with professional research.

### 2.2 Measures to maximise impact

Some measures to maximise impact have already previously been mentioned. Wikidata is a generic system designed for most types of structured semantic data from all scholarly knowledge domains and is available openly and widely. Of special importance is the focus on enabling re-use.

By default, any project involving Wikimedia communities or a Wikimedia movement organization will require that all project information, contents, data, and results are made available under an open license.\(^59\) Consequently, this project will be entirely based on open source software (Linux, Mediawiki, Wikibase, etc.) and all software developed in the consortium will be under open source licenses, maximizing the coalition that maintains the software beyond the duration of the project. Research data integrated into Wikidata likewise will be re-usable under open licenses. The project will treat data pursuant to the definition of Linked Open Data,\(^60\) a standard also adopted by the EU for its Open Data Portal\(^61\) (see also T4.1). Reports or scientific publications resulting from the project will be published under the ‘gold model’ of open access, with additional archival in relevant repositories. The default license will be CC BY 4.0.

\(^{57}\) [https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Tropical_cyclones](https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Tropical_cyclones)  
\(^{58}\) [https://github.com/notconfusing/WIGI](https://github.com/notconfusing/WIGI)  
\(^{59}\) The consortium of the present proposal voluntarily extended this practice to the preparation of the proposal itself (co-created on Wikidata and online collaborative drafting tools and accessible to anyone who wanted to contribute).  
\(^{60}\) [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linked_open_data](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Linked_open_data)  
Of critical importance for the success and impact of the project is that the Wiki4R VRE becomes compatible with and integrated into the data workflows of professional scientific institutions. Interoperability will be tested with W3C unit tests (Task 4.1). Data can be created and updated both by humans and software/machine and are immediately available for further use or new semantic links (Task 4.2).

**Dissemination and exploitation of results**

The **exploitation plan** for the project is primarily based on the concept of openness. The value is generated as a result of lowering barriers to re-use and integration, similar to how the EU economy profits from lowering other barriers, such as tariffs, border controls, and or non-harmonized legislation. Openness is not altruism, but in many cases makes societal and economic sense.

A good example is open source software. This form of software is not the domain of hobbyist or universities alone. It makes economic sense because it allows major competitors in the IT industry to share the burden of most software development, while focusing their proprietary actions on a number of (non-open) additions.

Similarly, a global, transdomain, multilingual structured knowledge base, providing generic facts as well as research results, with open licensing and a powerful API is an excellent basis for entrepreneurial business developments. For example, Histropedia[^62] – a startup in the UK and an Associate partner – uses Wikidata statements as the basis for location- and time-aware services that allow users to create or view timelines on topics of their choice. Media from Wikimedia Commons and related Wikipedia articles are automatically added. The system will support combining external data with globally available Wikidata-based data, to create spatio-temporal visualisations for research, education, presentations or as parts of other software applications. Intended user are academic research, education (e.g. a chart of atmospheric CO₂ and average temperatures plotted against a timeline of geological events), the tourist industry, and organisations that wish to visualise their own proprietary data sets in combination with publicly available data. Another example is MusicBrainz[^63] (a project of the associate partner MetaBrainz[^64]) which already closely interconnects its own work with Wikidata and which is used e.g. by the BBC (UK).

Open data can in general be an excellent basis for business. An apt example is the recent decision to dissolve the Google Freebase system in favour of the broader Wikidata integration possibilities, with Google reasoning: “they’re growing fast, have an active community, and are better-suited to lead an open collaborative knowledge base.”[^65] We agree with Google’s assessment and consider it important to build capacities on exploiting openness such that the European economy may benefit.

Similar benefits from openness can be expected in the area of education, especially the development of Open Educational Resources (OER) and courses. The benefit will not only the availability of data that are directly relevant to the curriculum. The availability of data sets of the scale and complexity present on the Wiki4R VRE will enable courses where **real research** can be performed based on inquiries generated by the students rather than the teacher.

Thus, the focus of the project is on dissemination, not knowledge protection. Data present in Wikidata can be either used directly or they can be downloaded and imported into mirror deployments. Management is not through access rights, but through accountability. All the data changes are tracked and patrolled by the contributors of Wikidata. Quality control is currently mainly manual, but one of the actions of the present proposal is to develop improved automated quality control systems. Both scientists and citizens can create unit tests to provide timely quality control (Task 4.1). The results can be used to alert community administrators as well as professional research organisations curating their data on Wikidata.

[^63]: [https://musicbrainz.org/](https://musicbrainz.org/)
[^64]: [http://metabrainz.org/](http://metabrainz.org/)
[^65]: [https://plus.google.com/109936836907132434202/posts/bu3z2wVqcQc](https://plus.google.com/109936836907132434202/posts/bu3z2wVqcQc)
**Sustainability**

The **sustainability** of the Wiki4R VRE critically depends on the financial sustainability of Wikidata. Wikidata is a project of both the global Wikimedia Foundation and Wikimedia Deutschland (Germany). Since 2012, both organisations have invested significant amounts of their own funds, supported by third-party funding\[^{66}\] from, e.g., the Allen Institute for Artificial Intelligence, Google, the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation, and Yandex.\[^{67}\] Wikidata has already become a core technology for the functioning of Wikipedia and is thus essential to the Wikimedia movement. It is supported by a number of additional stakeholders from the cultural, information technology and educational arenas who see its potential for making content and knowledge accessible. Wikidata's business plan for financial sustainability includes a diversity of financial and human resources which are currently secured or under development:

- The invaluable human resources provided by the community of Wikidata online volunteer contributors and editors (currently over 14,000 individuals and growing fast)
- Base funding from the budget of the Wikimedia Foundation (which raised USD 37 million in online donations in the 2013-14 fiscal year)
- Base funding from the general operating budget of Wikimedia Deutschland (which raised USD 8 million in online donations)
- Funding through partnerships with corporate donors
- Funding from private foundations (WMDE fund development staff is currently working with a strong focus on fund acquisition from European-based foundations with an interest in free and open knowledge)
- Public educational and cultural institutions and entities of government, interested in partnering with WMDE and its broad community of online volunteers.

A direct monetization of Wikidata is neither intended nor possible, as it conflicts with core principles of the Wikimedia and Open Knowledge movements. It is, however, possible that at some point computationally expensive services may be provided at a charge or under dedicated funding by public or private partners depending on these services. In the framework of the current proposal, we consider a testing of such services to be premature.

**Communication activities**

As a basis for engaging with the target communities and beyond, all communications will be as open as possible, as is common practice in both Open Science and Wikimedia contexts.

That practice was already applied to the drafting process of this proposal itself, which was completely open from the initial blog post\[^{68}\] to the outline on Wikidata\[^{69}\] and from the actual drafting in public Google docs\[^{70}\] to the archiving of the final version\[^{71}\] under a Creative Commons Attribution license in a dedicated Zenodo community\[^{72}\] and a dedicated page on Wikidata for post-submission updates.\[^{73}\]

This was complemented by daily communication via a public mailing list,\[^{74}\] and a dedicated Twitter hashtag\[^{75}\] as well as contributions to the Wikidata mailing list\[^{76}\] and community newsletters related

---

\[^{66}\] https://grantpros2011.wordpress.com/2012/03/30/paul-allen-google-and-gordon-betty-moore-foundation-back-1-7-million-wikidata-project/
\[^{67}\] http://blog.wikimedia.de/2014/12/05/wikidata-for-research-a-grant-proposal-that-anyone-can-edit/
\[^{68}\] https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:WikiProject_Wikidata_for_research
\[^{69}\] https://drive.google.com/?usp=folder&authuser=0#folders/0ByQvcnWHnwgmSTVINxPMXZVNEU
\[^{70}\] https://zenodo.org/collection/user-wiki4r
\[^{71}\] http://dx.doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13906
\[^{73}\] https://groups.google.com/a/wikimedia.de/forum/#!forum/wd4r
\[^{74}\] https://twitter.com/hashtag/WD4R
\[^{75}\] https://lists.wikimedia.org/pipermail/wikidata-l/2014-December/005058.html
to Wikidata\footnote{https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Status_updates/2015_01_03#Events%2FPress%2FBlogs} or cultural partnerships,\footnote{https://outreach.wikimedia.org/wiki/GLAM/Newsletter/December_2014/Contents/Special_story} in addition to public hangouts\footnote{https://plus.google.com/u/0/events/c2ajejk0i09f1h5arq1n7kd1q} and the various communication channels on Wikidata itself.\footnote{https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Community_portal}

One article about the project was viewed well over 6000 times prior to proposal submission,\footnote{https://stats.grok.se/en/201501/Wikipedia:Wikipedia_Signpost/2014-12-31/News_and_notes} and another one offered “uncritical cheering” for the project.\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/517247f} Judging from such feedback and from the contributions we received from the community during the drafting process, this multi-channel interactive approach is fruitful. We were further encouraged by public attention being directed to the issue of open grant proposals\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002027} in response to one of our publications.\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2013.08.093}

A final underpinning of our communication strategy is the awareness that Wikidata was conceived as a tool to help manage data for Wikipedia. While Wikidata has since grown more of an identity of its own, this aspect is still important, and it provides exposure at scale and in multiple languages.

On that basis, the detailed communication strategy varies depending on the characteristics of the respective communities:

**Individual professional researchers** will be reached through the networks of the project partners, through publications, mailing lists, training events and through conference attendance. We will also address the lack of professional recognition for community curation by exploring ways to couple it to formal publications. For example, the Gene Wiki Reviews\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.4161/rna.6.1.7635} couple the publication of review articles on specific genes with contributions to the corresponding articles on the English Wikipedia. This follows in the footsteps of the journals RNA Biology\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002446} and PLOS Computational Biology,\footnote{http://www.leibniz-science20.de/en/} which have been coupling Wikipedia pages and review articles in a similar fashion. One of the MfN team members (DM) is involved in the PLOS initiative, and associate partner Pensoft (who is the publisher for several MfN journals) is interested in such an approach. While such initiatives have created a relatively low number of articles so far, they are widely known in the respective communities and can thus serve to raise community awareness of our activities.

**Professional Research organisations:** All project partners are involved in professional networks, which will be leveraged to reach out to professional organizations outside the project. For instance, MfN and WMDE are both members of the Leibniz research network Science 2.0,\footnote{http://www.leibniz-science20.de/en/} and UPM acts as the Spanish node of the DBpedia network.\footnote{http://wiki.dbpedia.org/Internationalization/Chapters?v=18mv}

Furthermore, we will leverage the growing network of interactions between the Wikimedia and research communities, which has so far been focused on Wikipedia, Wikimedia Commons and to some extent Wikisource, but is now extending towards Wikidata.

One particularly successful initiative in this framework is that of a Wikimedian in Residence,\footnote{https://outreach.wikimedia.org/wiki/Wikipedian_in_Residence} i.e. an active member of the Wikimedia community working inside an organization or institution on enhancing the interaction between the two. Such Wikimedians in Residence have been active in about 100 institutions around the globe over the last 5 years, and they form the nucleus of a very active community at the intersection between Wikimedia and the cultural sector, which increasingly extends into research-related organizations like the Swedish Agricultural University, Cancer...
Research UK, or ORCID. The Royal Society of Chemistry,\textsuperscript{91} specifically, is an Associate partner and will assist the project in engaging the chemical community.

These cultural partnerships have also led to technical developments, e.g. several Wikimedia chapters partnered with Europeana to create a toolset that facilitates the upload of images and other media from heritage collections to Wikimedia Commons with proper metadata.\textsuperscript{92}

**Higher Education:** The consortium has four universities as members (UPM, UM, UOC, UPS), three of which will collaborate to organize a MOOC (T5.4), and all partners are involved in the development of course materials (T5.3). The non-university partners also have strong ties to higher education, e.g. doctoral research being performed at MfN, Europeana materials being used in lectures, and OER conferences organized by WMDE. Furthermore, many users (both contributors and readers) of Wikidata are students or Early-Stage Researchers, and hundreds of courses are organized around the globe each year that have a Wikipedia component and that increasingly involves Wikidata as well.

**Citizen Science projects:** The European Citizen Science Association\textsuperscript{93}, the secretariat of which is hosted at the Museum für Naturkunde Berlin, has established important communication channels to a large number of citizen science projects in Europe. We will communicate through these channels (web site, newsletters, events and meetings).

The **Wikidata community** primarily communicates through the well-established and widely used Wikidata community portal.\textsuperscript{94} It facilitates introduction of new users and editors to the tools, rules and practices, enables users to submit requests and engage in topical on-wiki discussion. It further provides the platform for Wikiprojects, which are groups of editors working together to improve Wikidata. Wiki4R will utilize the existing channels of this platform to provide updates, resolve integration issues, and introduce new users from the science communities to the world of Wikidata.

**Wikimedia communities in general:** Wikidata is the fastest-growing Wikimedia project globally in terms of the increase in number of volunteer contributors. However, there are other Wikimedia communities – including Wikipedians, Wikimedia organizations, and contributors to cultural heritage projects – whose members have a vested interest in the development of Wikidata. Communication activities for these people and groups will use well-established channels as well, including a variety of Wikimedia blogs, online newspapers, Meta-Wiki pages and Twitter.

**Policy and decision makers:** Outreach and continuous communication to this group will utilize, among other channels, the joint Brussels office of the EU-based Wikimedia Chapters. Also known as the Free Knowledge Advocacy Group EU (FKAGEU), this Alliance of Open Knowledge advocates will be able to directly communicate policy-relevant project results, barriers, insights and relevant project outputs through its strong network of contacts with Members of the European Parliament and other key decision makers. Some of the main policy priorities of the FKAGEU are EU copyright reform, free and open access to public works (which includes scientific articles produced by publicly funded researchers) and freedom of panorama. All of these policy issues are of crucial importance to the goal of increasing the number of items and data sets that are available under open license and linked in Wikidata.

---

\textsuperscript{91} http://www.rsc.org/
\textsuperscript{92} https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Commons:GLAMwiki_Toolset
\textsuperscript{93} http://ecsa.biodiv.naturkundemuseum-berlin.de
\textsuperscript{94} http://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Community_portal
3. Implementation

3.1 Work plan

3.1.1 Overall structure of the work plan

The five work packages (WPs) can be briefly characterized as follows:

WP 1: “Coordination and management” will provide the administrative support for effective project management and support the organisational structures and governance mechanisms for the efficient coordination of the Wiki4R consortium. It will facilitate communication and exchange of information between consortium partners, WPs, and organisational bodies, including an independent Advisory Board.

Main outputs will be the implementation and updating all documents required for the full implementation of the projects, including the management of deliverables and all interim and final financial and scientific reports. Another main output will be a highly transparent and open communication, which provides new avenues for assessing and engaging with the research process and its outcomes.

WP 2: “Semantic modelling” will work on community-agreed profiles for the most relevant semantic concepts (core classes and core properties) in a selected number of scientific domains. Profiles include semantic mappings as well as associated policies and best practices that meet the functional requirements of the research use cases addressed in WP3 and WP4. Furthermore, this WP will match these classes and properties to ontologies used by the scholarly community to allow integration of Wikidata into research data, using the common ontology used by both.

Main outputs will be property profiles required for the classes of data sets to be integrated in Wiki4R as well as for common classes needed for the research context. Policies and best practices will be documented and semantic mappings provided where each class and property will have at least one relation to external ontologies. Multiple mappings may be provided where required (especially if different use cases have different needs).

WP 3: “Integrating research resources with Wikidata” will demonstrate how research data can be integrated with Wikidata in the Wiki4R VRE. For this purpose, it will incorporate a selection of external research resources into the VRE, demonstrating pilot workflows for semantic integration, quality assurance mechanism, and bidirectional information flow. Attention will be given to the mechanisms in which Wiki4R can promote the open licencing of external resources that yet unavailable for re-use.

Main outputs will be workflows, best practices documentation, approaches to measure the quality of data, and foremost working examples that serve as paradigms and motivations for future applications. In addition, resources suitable for the future application of Wiki4R will be identified and the motivation and pitfalls around sharing data experienced in the pilots will be summarized in reports. This WP depends on WP2.

WP 4: “Enabling the use of Wikidata in research contexts” will integrate Wikidata into the daily workflows of professional scientists to create a full VRE. To address confidentiality issues of ongoing research projects, this WP will develop solutions to dynamically mirror the content of Wikidata and provide controlled information interchange using Semantic Web technologies. Scientists will be able to curate information in Wikidata using workflow-oriented dedicated editing interfaces that will interact with Wikidata via its APIs. The approaches will be tested in the laboratories of one of the project partners, using citizen science projects, and in a collaboration with Europeana. This WP depends on WP3.

Main outputs will be a new interaction between Wikidata and a SPARQL endpoint for complex queries, and a ready-to-use virtual machine that allows hosting a Wikibase software instance.
compatible with Wikidata and Wiki4R in a protected institutional network. Further output will be solutions developed around the use cases to test the approach and reports on the effectiveness of Wikidata use in these use cases.

WP 5: “Dissemination, stakeholder engagement and training” will disseminate the outputs generated by the project to communities of stakeholders. It will practice openness through open communication to the extent that this is practical. In combination with the multiple, largely bidirectional communication channels outlined in the communication strategy, this allows to engage diverse communities more profoundly than traditional methods.

Main outputs will be communication and engagement activities, including training activities directed at science students, professional researchers as well as members of the Wikidata community. The ultimate output will be capacities in the European Research Area in engaging in Open Science, using Wiki4R as a hub for research.

### 3.1.2 Timing of the different work packages and their components

The detailed timeline for implementing the Wiki4R work plan, for all Work Packages and individual tasks including the timing of deliverables can be obtained from Fig. 3 (Gantt chart).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tasks</th>
<th>PM Effort</th>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>WP1: Coordination and management</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1.1 Intra-consortium management and communication</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>D1.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 1.2 Reporting and finances</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>D1.3</td>
<td>D1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WP2: Semantic modelling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2.1 Property profiles for item classes</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 2.2 Semantic mapping for properties</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WP3: Integrating research resources with Wikidata</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 3.1 Import into Wikidata</td>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 3.2 Quality assurance</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>D3.2</td>
<td>D3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 3.3 Optimizing openness</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WP4: Enabling the use of Wikidata in research contexts</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4.1 LOD for Wikidata</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4.2 Editing via the Wikibase API</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td>D4.2</td>
<td>D4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4.3 Wikidata identifiers in the lab</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4.4 Citizen science</td>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 4.5 Wikidata for cultural heritage</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>WP5: Dissemination, stakeholder engagement and training</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 5.1 Dissemination, stakeholder engagement and training</td>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 5.2 Development of tutorials</td>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 5.3 Development of course materials</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>D5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 5.4 Development of a MOOC</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>D5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T 5.5 Organization of training events</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 3:** Timeline of work packages and their deliverables
### 3.1.3 Detailed work description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Start Date or Starting Event</th>
<th>M1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work package title</td>
<td>Coordination and management</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short name of participant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMDE</td>
<td>UOC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person/months per participant:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Objectives

The objectives of this work package are to oversee administration, operational management, and overall implementation of the project, including internal effective communication and collaboration between the coordinator, individual consortium members, and the European Commission (T1.1), to organize and administer consortium networking and governance, including supporting relevant bodies and meetings, including Advisory Board (AB), ensuring efficient and effective management and decision-making procedures (T1.1) and to implement sound financial management as well as controlling systems and quality assurance of deliverables and periodic and final Reports (T1.2).

### Description of work

**Task 1.1 Intra-consortium management and communication (Lead MfN; all partners; Month 1-36)**

The core part of this task will be the organization and coordination of the consortium bodies and their meetings, particularly meetings of the Steering Committee (monthly remotely), and the General Assembly (once a year in person), as well to ensure for efficient and regular communication between the coordination, the consortium bodies, and all partners. In person meetings will be aligned with independent community meetings (such as the “Wikimania”, the yearly meeting of the Wikipedia/Wikimedia/Wikidata community). This task will further establish a high level scientific Advisory Board. The Advisory Board will help to monitor progress, and provide independent guidance and advice to the coordinator, Steering Committee and the entire consortium. Advisory Board members will be supported in their function by the project logistically and with limited secretarial functions. The coordination office will also provide for direct links between the Advisory Board and all consortium bodies, groups and partners as needed. Daily communication will primarily happen via electronic means, including email, Skype and the #wikidata channel on the irc.freenode.net network.

**Task 1.2 Reporting and finances (Lead MfN; all partners; Month 1-36)**

This task includes the preparation and coordination of the periodic scientific and financial project reports as requested by the EC, and of the Data Management Plan according to the H2020 Open Data Pilot. Further activities include the coordination of common administrative tasks, such as elaborating and providing templates for detailed planning and reporting of the tasks in each WP; providing formats for compiling and editing progress reports; monitoring progress through reaching milestones and deliverables; quality control and submission of
products and deliverables. Financial monitoring will ensure a transparent financial distribution of the EC grant, including setting and monitoring payment schedules, and terms of reimbursement. We will also prepare financial controlling reports for the overall monitoring of the project.

**Deliverables**

D1.1 Data management plan (Month 06)  
D1.2 Periodic report M12 (Month 12)  
D1.3 Periodic report M24 (Month 24)  
D1.4 Periodic and final report M36 (Month 36)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Start Date or Starting Event</th>
<th>M1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work package title</td>
<td>Semantic modelling</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short name of participant</td>
<td>MfN UPM UM WMDE UOC EF UPS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person/months per participant:</td>
<td>3 6 6 6 1 2 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Objectives**

The goal of this WP is to assist the Wikidata community in establishing and setting up a framework of classes and properties (by using the W3C Web Ontology Language, OWL\(^ {95}\)) to enable the support of the selected interdisciplinary VRE research fields (T2.1). Specifically, it develops profiles of classes and properties for concepts the project first focuses on, such, isotopes and their properties, like decay, research papers and properties, like its DOI, title, authors list (using the ORCID), and metabolites and other small molecules and properties like their chemical structure, boiling and melting point, and external database identifiers.

The second task (T2.2) will focuses on the required mappings between Wikidata elements (i.e. classes and properties) and elements in external ontologies. Where needed, recommendations will be made to refine or add new classes and properties to allow easier or more accurate integration with research data. Besides actual mappings, also guidelines and procedures will be established that streamline the process of building and extending class and property vocabulary and of populating items with statements involving those properties. Here, the multilingual nature of Wikidata will be included. We will reuse community adopted ontologies and vocabularies, such as ChEBI and CHEMINF\(^ {96}\), and standards like the CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model\(^ {97}\) used in cultural heritage documentation.

\(^{95}\) [http://www.w3.org/standards/techs/owl#w3c_all](http://www.w3.org/standards/techs/owl#w3c_all)  
\(^{96}\) [http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025513](http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025513)  
\(^{97}\) [http://cidoc-crm.org/](http://cidoc-crm.org/)
Description of work

Task 2.1: Recommendations for classes and their property profiles (Lead: UM; all partners; Month 1-36)

This task focuses on the development of VRE-specific property profiles for classes of Wikidata items for a number of disciplines. Profiles are defined as sets of properties and associated policies and best practices that meet the functional requirements of specific research use cases (WP3 and WP4). Profiles are not limiting the application of properties which are absent from the profile; rather they guide the interaction by reducing the mapping process to a manageable set of properties. Where possible, existing community efforts towards creating property profiles will be built on. For instance, generic lists already exist of properties available for creative works\(^98\) or periodicals,\(^99\) as well as draft recommendations for scholarly articles\(^100\) and chemicals.\(^101\) However, properties considered for profiles are not limited to properties that already exist on Wikidata; new ones will have to be introduced. An important part of this task is also to work with Wikidata development (partner WMDE) to increase the range of available data types (e.g. for supporting units\(^102\) or geo shapes\(^103\)). Property profiles will be created for a significant number of classes that fall under the use cases outlined in the “Concept and approach” part of the Excellence section of the proposal. The focus will be on the 50-100 most widely used DBpedia classes as filtered by our use cases. Priority will be given to profiles required for data sets to be integrated in T3.1, including form project partners (e.g. minerals, meteorites and taxa in the case of MfN’s collections).

Task 2.2: Semantic mapping for properties (Lead: UPM; all partners; Month 1-36)

This task addresses the need to map the select classes and properties from T2.1 employed in Wikidata to the larger semantic web world, including DBpedia. We will identify ontologies and aim at releasing the needed terms as a single “Wikidata for research” ontology. Example options include the ChEBI and CHEMINF ontologies for the chemistry domain (for the life sciences the BioPortal\(^104\) and Ontology Lookup Service\(^105\) are useful). Properties used in property profiles of target item classes from T2.1 will thus be mapped across multiple established ontologies relevant to the respective VRE use case. On that basis, a pattern will be built that allows statements about the respective item classes to be expressed in RDF. Mappings will be provided in a machine readable way, to aid the RDF export of Wikidata as outlined in T4.1.

Deliverables

D2.1 Report on property profiles (Month 24)
D2.2 Report on semantic ontology mappings (Month 31)

\(^100\) [https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:BIBO](https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:BIBO)
\(^102\) [https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Development_plan#Quantities_with_units](https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Development_plan#Quantities_with_units)
\(^103\) [https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Development_plan#Geo-shape_datatype](https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Development_plan#Geo-shape_datatype)
\(^104\) [http://biopartal.bioontology.org/](http://biopartal.bioontology.org/)
\(^105\) [https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ontology-lookup/](https://www.ebi.ac.uk/ontology-lookup/)
Objectives

This work package is concerned with external research resources with the VRE for the use cases in the focus of the project. This includes identifying external research resources suitable for integration with Wikidata, establishing and demonstrating workflows for such integration (T3.1), establishing quality assurance mechanisms (T3.2), facilitating the reuse of Wikidata, and analysing the conditions under which external resources are being made openly available in a way that is suitable for integration with Wikidata (T3.3). The tasks focus on both defining the requirements as well as the implementation of these tasks, and a selection of Open Data resources will be integrated to demonstrate how the process of this integration practically works, with the benefit that Wikidata grows in size and becomes even more useful to the research community. It builds on existing and well-documented experiences with such data integration, e.g. in the context of authority control^{106} or human genes,^{107} or from Wikipedias.^{108}

Description of work

Task 3.1: Integrate external databases with Wikidata (Lead UM; Month 1-36)

This task is concerned with enriching Wikidata with CC0 data available from external sources, taking into account the property profiles and the semantic mappings created in T2.1 and T2.2. Work here will begin with the integration of one cross-domain database (The European Library) and domain-specific ones (Blue Obelisk Data Repository^{110}). Integration will be implemented as Open workflows, for which a suitable platform will be selected. A good candidate here would be the Open Science Framework (OSF) hat is being developed by the Center for Open Science (COS), an Associate partner.

The task consists of the following subtasks:

European Library Bibliography: The European Library aggregates bibliographic data across European libraries and makes the data available under CC0 through RDF and an API. While current data may focus on bibliographic information about articles, books, etc., citation of other types will be considered too, like software and data citations^{111}, for which we aim at full compatibility with the DataCite Metadata Schema.^{112} (Subtask Lead EF)

The Blue Obelisk Data Repository (BODR) is a CC0 data collection of chemical element

^{106} http://journal.code4lib.org/articles/8964
^{107} http://blog.wikimedia.de/2014/10/22/establishing-wikidata-as-the-central-hub-for-linked-open-life-science-data/
^{108} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Wiki_import_WikiProject
^{109} http://www.theeuropeanlibrary.org/tele4/lod
^{110} http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1025775
^{111} http://www.datacite.org/
^{112} http://dx.doi.org/10.5438/0011
and isotope information collected and maintained by the Blue Obelisk movement. The data is manually collected and curated from primary sources from, among others, the IUPAC and scholarly publications, which could be listed accordingly. Classes involved here include chemical elements, materials consisting of a single element (e.g. diamond and oxygen gas), and isotopes. Properties for these classes include element symbol, melting points, decay constants, etc. The goal of this task is to make a BODR release based on Wikidata content. Collaboration with “Groupe de Chimie Analytique de Paris Sud” is anticipated as well. (Subtask Lead UM)

(Human) Metabolites: For chemical structures, human metabolites will be a focus area. The Recon2 project created systematic model of the human metabolism under the CC0 waiver.\textsuperscript{113} This will feed back into the semantic modelling of chemical compounds, as per T2.1 and T2.2. Central here are chemical compounds, metabolites, with property names, and identifiers. Challenges include the charge states of metabolites in this data set and linking those to the uncharged species. This work is complementary to but partially overlaps with the work by the Gene Wiki project, which focuses on drug compounds. Collaboration with WikiPathways is anticipated as well. (Subtask Lead UM)

Other data sources: The task will then expand towards the integration of other data sources, possibly including MusicBrainz, research-related subsets of Freebase\textsuperscript{114}, a subset of PubChem,\textsuperscript{115} the H2020 Open Data Pilot and further resources that are to be identified in T3.3, including from MiN and Europeana. Options here are the integration of information about institutions\textsuperscript{116} and to support the H2020 Open Data Pilot.\textsuperscript{117} In this pilot, considerable amounts of data will be made publicly available over the course of the project, especially in those key areas where the pilot is mandatory, such as the NanoSafety Cluster community. A subset thereof (as identified through T3.3) will be suitable for integration with Wikidata, which will be handled in this task.

Task 3.2 Quality assurance (Lead: UPM; all partners; Month 1-36)

This task is concerned with systematically monitoring and improving the quality of the information already available on or newly added to Wikidata. This includes the handling of provenance information (in conjunction with T3.1), along with provisions for data citation (in conjunction with the Data Citation Principles\textsuperscript{118} and the property profiles developed in T2.1). For instance, one of the reasons for the growing reference rot in scholarly publications\textsuperscript{119} is that the URLs of databases change over time. Identifier systems like Digital Object Identifiers (DOI)\textsuperscript{120} or the Handle System\textsuperscript{121} address this issue, but not all databases use them now, fewer have used them in the past, and not all references to those databases having such identifiers make use of them. In Wikidata, this kind of information could be modelled by making statements using properties like official website\textsuperscript{122} or URL formatter\textsuperscript{123} with qualifiers that indicate start\textsuperscript{124} and end times.\textsuperscript{125} Tools such as those developed in T4.3 can then reason upon that information and turn a dysfunctional data citation back into one that points to the current location of the data if the database still exists.

\textsuperscript{113} http://humanmetabolism.org/
\textsuperscript{114} http://data1.kew.org/reconciliation/
\textsuperscript{115} https://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/
\textsuperscript{116} http://www.freebase.com/m/06k9r
\textsuperscript{118} https://www.force11.org/datacitation
\textsuperscript{119} http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0115253
\textsuperscript{120} http://www.doi.org/
\textsuperscript{121} http://www.handle.net/
\textsuperscript{122} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Property:P856
\textsuperscript{123} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Property:P1630
\textsuperscript{124} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Property:P580
\textsuperscript{125} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Property:P582
The task also includes establishing mechanisms for community editors to review and verify existing and incoming information, both on a factual and linguistic level, as well as tools to assist with that. Furthermore, it includes measures to assess and increase the consistency of information on Wikidata. This involves diagnosing erroneous statements (e.g. related to the death of a person\footnote{https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/User:MerlBot/Death_Properties}, which may occur because external sources conflict, or information across languages, or because related statements are incomplete. For statements identified this way, we will develop measures to fix them on a programmatic basis or through interaction with Wikidata editors\footnote{http://tools.wmflabs.org/toolscript/index.html?pastebin=quXqaGQE}, while leaving room (as the Wikidata data model allows) for inconsistencies that simply reflect inconsistencies outside Wikidata, such as territories being claimed\footnote{e.g. https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q20317 – claimed by both South Korea and Japan} by more than one independent country. Finally, the task includes ways to propagate those fixes (or other annotations of the detected inconsistencies) to external databases, to alert original sources if appropriate, and to notify interested Wikipedia editors or WikiProjects that such inconsistencies were detected and acted upon.

**Task 3.3 Optimizing openness (Lead: MfN; all partners; Month 1-36)**

This task consists of two main activities: (1) the identification of sources of open data suitable for integration into Wikidata (public domain or CC0 waiver), (2) an analysis of the motivations for sharing such data openly, with the aim of informing future open-data policies at project partners or more generally, including for H2020.

(1) Only a small subset of the publicly available research data is suitable for integration with Wikidata, with legal, ethical (privacy, etc.), and technical interoperability being major factors, along with considerations of quality, maintainability and scope. For instance, while many datasets that would otherwise fit into Wikidata are not free of reuse restrictions,\footnote{http://hangingtogether.org/?p=4167} some datasets like the metadata in Europeana\footnote{http://pro.europeana.eu/usage-guidelines} are available under CC0 but do not fully match the scope of Wikidata.\footnote{https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Wikidata:Notability} Additionally, while efforts to make data repositories more visible have been stepped up in recent years,\footnote{http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0078080} data discoverability remains a challenge.\footnote{http://bd2k.nih.gov/FY14/DDICC/DDICC.html} We will generate an overview of potential data sources for Wikidata and identify datasets suitable for import or mapping within the framework of the project, as per T2.1 and T3.1. This overview will include general repositories like re3data\footnote{http://www.re3data.org/} as well as data sources in the respective fields (both domain-general like BioSharing\footnote{http://www.biosharing.org/} and more specific ones) and from citizen science projects (in conjunction with T4.4) and the H2020 Open Data Pilot, taking into account that its requirements on openness are not as strict as those at Wikidata.

(2) Building on that survey of data sources, we will analyse underlying motivations for sharing data openly, in order to distil best practices and provide recommendations, conscious of existing barriers to sharing. The sharing of data and other resources is an integral part of research endeavours. In the Web age, most new research objects are digital, and many legacy ones are being digitized. Once digital, they can be easily shared over the Web, and from there, it is technically only a very small step towards opening them up for reuse by a potentially global and cross-disciplinary audience. Socially, this step is significant, and few incentives beyond altruism exist for institutions, research groups or individuals to fully embrace openness. Mid- to long-term effects of openness have been the subject of prior investigations that established, for instance, citation advantages for open access articles or for

---

\footnote{https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/User:MerlBot/Death_Properties} for an example that addresses inconsistent data about deaths, see \footnote{http://tools.wmflabs.org/toolscript/index.html?pastebin=quXqaGQE} for an example that addresses inconsistent data about deaths, see \footnote{http://tools.wmflabs.org/toolscript/index.html?pastebin=quXqaGQE} for an example that addresses inconsistent data about deaths, see
publications associated with open data or open source software. The situation is much less clear for immediate and short-term benefits, but if such benefits exist, an analysis of best practices around them can help harness their potential for data providers, the wider research community, and society at large. As one of the founding signatories of the Bouchout Declaration for Open Biodiversity Knowledge Management\(^\text{136}\) (along with associate partners Plazi and Pensoft), MfN has a special interest in these issues, and as the coordinator of this project that is centred around data sharing, a special responsibility.

This activity is thus concerned with identifying benefits that accrue to those who share their research openly, as laid out in the Bouchout Declaration, but not limited to the field of biodiversity research. For instance, it has been suggested “that data sharing, especially sharing data through an archive, leads to many more times the publications than not sharing data.”\(^\text{137}\) Similarly, institutional data sharing has been associated with “becom[ing] a canonical reference point.”\(^\text{138}\)

We will also analyse potential pitfalls associated with not sharing data openly, again a case that could help build momentum behind the Bouchout Declaration and similar initiatives. For instance, many paintings from heritage collections are not available online through the respective institution but in a multitude of variations from other sources, to the point that it becomes next to impossible to discern properties that these digital representations may have in commons with the original.\(^\text{139}\) In those cases, the above-mentioned reference point is missing. The wider societal framework in which institutions operate may affect openness too, e.g. through legislation,\(^\text{140}\) trade negotiations,\(^\text{141}\) or a competitor’s openness.\(^\text{142}\) We will take this into account in providing recommendations for data sharing policies, focusing on the institutional and European levels. A final role of the survey is to highlight barriers to the use of Wikidata in research contexts, to suggest measures to lower or overcome those barriers within the framework of the use cases, and to identify data sharing communities whose curation workflows might benefit from integration into Wikidata, as prototyped by Encyclopedia of Life\(^\text{143}\) or WikiPathways\(^\text{144}\) and addressed in T4.4.

### Deliverables

- **D3.1** Report describing quality assurance measures (M18)
- **D3.2** Lessons learned from integration of TEL, BODR, and Recon2 (M22)
- **D3.3** Report on other integration uses cases (M33)
- **D3.4** Report on the motivations and pitfalls around releasing open data (M35)

\(^{136}\) [http://www.bouchoutdeclaration.org/declaration/](http://www.bouchoutdeclaration.org/declaration/)

\(^{137}\) [https://fedora.phaidra.univie.ac.at/fedora/get/o:294251/bdef:Content/get](https://fedora.phaidra.univie.ac.at/fedora/get/o:294251/bdef:Content/get)

\(^{138}\) [https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uju4wT9uBlA#t=2m52s](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=uju4wT9uBlA#t=2m52s)


\(^{140}\) [http://scienceintransition.eu/](http://scienceintransition.eu/)


\(^{142}\) [http://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/01/07/toyota_to_tesla_we_can_play_the_free_patent_game_as_well/](http://www.theregister.co.uk/2015/01/07/toyota_to_tesla_we_can_play_the_free_patent_game_as_well/)


\(^{144}\) [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alpha-Ketoglutaric_acid#Interactive_pathway_map](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alpha-Ketoglutaric_acid#Interactive_pathway_map)
### Objectives

The aim of this WP is to connect research workflows directly with the Wikidata knowledge base (T4.1). To this end, research laboratories will collaborate directly with the Wikidata community of contributors (T4.2 and T4.3). A platform will be created, where research laboratories or organisations can work on data partly in a private environment and partly in the shared open environment, while at the same time increasing the amount of work that occurs as open science. The interface for direct work of scientists on Wikidata will be improved with the goal to curate information directly in lab tools. A better synergy between citizen scientists (T4.4), professional scientific researchers and professional research organisations will increase the quality of the data available for all (T4.5). As examples, the tasks will help to plan the future use Wikidata as a VRE in research organisations.

### Description of work (Lead WDME; Month 1-36)

**Task 4.1 Wikidata as Linked Open Data (Lead UPM; UPS; Month 1-36)**

This task will provide a data interchange mechanism between Wikidata and Wiki4R tools. The classes and properties will initially be those defined by Wikidata and later those added in T2.2. This mechanism will be used to provide a query endpoint (based on SPARQL), such that a data curator can change information on Wikidata and query and reuse it via SPARQL in Wiki4R. During this task, we will develop:

- Export information from parts or all of Wikidata as RDF in near real time (as fast as technically achievable) (UPM)
- Provide a SPARQL endpoint (UPM)
- Establish a mechanism for syncing the information between multiple Wiki4R instances (see Fig. 1, first specification UPS + UPM / implementation & deployment UPM)
- Package this Wiki4R mirroring mechanism in virtual machines (at least one instance in the Wikimedia Labs’ cloud and one in the UPS cloud) and check their interoperability using Tests For TripleStores
- Support researchers in creating their own unit tests, to check the quality of the data automatically (see T3.3) and establish a continuous delivery workflow (UPS)

The aim is to create a standard workflow for the quick installation of a Wiki4R SPARQL endpoint in an institutional cloud where:

- the data can be reused without performance or interoperability problems,
- errors and inconsistencies in Wikidata’s data can be detected (cf. T3.3), and
- information can be updated on Wikidata at least manually (errors, additions)

---

145 e.g. [https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Special:EntityData/P231](https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Special:EntityData/P231)

146 Cloud@VirtualData is described in the section “Significant infrastructure” of UPS’s partner description

Task 4.2 Editing Wikidata via the Wikibase API (Lead UPS; all partners; Month 1-26)

Building on the mirroring mechanism developed in T4.1, the scientists’ own tools will allow them to edit via the Wikidata API and reuse the updated information directly from within their research workflows. The task will build on an existing OAuth scheme for remote editing of Wikidata. It will be configured such that relevant Wikidata policies are honoured, without requiring researchers to know every detail of these policies. Special attention will be paid to proper provenance and data citation (in collaboration with T3.2). The development of research tools where scientists can edit Wikidata from within their workflows will be tested by scientific researchers using a large number of chemical analytical methods and techniques.

Task 4.3 Wikidata identifiers in the lab (Lead UPS; all partners; Month 10-28)

This task will integrate the functionality of an existing laboratory database portal developed by UPS into Wiki4R to provide a test case for Wiki4R. It will inform the design of Wiki4R research workflows and of applying Open Science and Linked Open Data principles on the basis of Wiki4R. Use cases, from across the laboratories of UPS, in which research workflow tools require semantic identifiers for materials, procedures, instruments or other facilities, will be explored. A major goal is to enhance the reproducibility and transparency of research by integrating a semantic documentation. One use case in analytical chemistry will be selected to be prototyped. In conjunction with outreach activities and building on the results of T3.3, the final result will be a report on the place of Wikidata in science and the role of science in Wikidata.

Task 4.4 Citizen science (Lead MfN; MfN; Month 13-36)

The Wiki4R VRE is uniquely positioned to serve citizen science projects. This task will explore ways to (1) involve the Wikidata community with the curation of scientific knowledge bases, (2) connect Wikidata to external crowd collaboration projects with a scientific focus.

(1) We will extend the community curation approaches employed by the Gene Wiki and Rfam/Pfam projects to other areas: building on the outcomes of WP3 (T3.3 in particular), as well as on past collaborations (e.g. with the Encyclopedia of Life and WikiPathways), we will work with a small group of professional research communities that are already sharing their data openly to explore how their curation workflows might benefit from a citizen science component integrated with the Wikidata platform and community. This will include MfN groups along with the laboratories partnering in T4.3 to explore the use of Wikidata identifiers in the lab, and communities using Wikibase in their curation workflows, e.g. the EAGLE project.

(2) Starting with citizen science projects identified in T3.3 as suitable for integration with Wikidata, we will work on integrating the workflows on those platforms with those on Wikidata. The work is based on appropriate property profiles (T2.1), mappings to suitable external vocabularies or ontologies (T3.1), and quality assurance mechanisms as identified in T3.2.

To illustrate how this might look like, consider the classical case of a curator on Galaxy Zoo being presented an image of a galaxy and tasked with the assessment of whether that galaxy is elliptical, spiral or neither. Depending on the assessment of that curator or of multiple curators on Galaxy Zoo, the corresponding Wikidata item for that galaxy could then be

---

148 http://oauth.net/
149 http://tools.wmflabs.org/widar/
150 http://www.chimie-analytique.u-psud.fr/
151 https://io.datascience-paris-saclay.fr/
153 https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Alpha-Ketoglutaric_acid#Interactive_pathway_map
155 http://www.galaxyzoo.org/
156 http://zoo1.galaxyzoo.org/Tutorial.aspx
157 e.g. https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q754715
created or updated as to whether it is an instance of\textsuperscript{158} an elliptical\textsuperscript{159} or spiral galaxy.\textsuperscript{160} At the same time, other metadata about the galaxy could be imported from Galaxy Zoo or its sources, while the image that the Galaxy Zoo curator had seen would go onto Wikimedia Commons, with automated classification on the basis of that metadata, annotations of the objects visible in the image,\textsuperscript{161} and a statement on that galaxy’s Wikidata item that the galaxy is depicted in that image on Wikimedia Commons. Subsequent rounds of galaxy classification – e.g. targeted at students of astronomy or image analysis – could then be build to decide which subtype of elliptical galaxies that particular galaxy belongs to (e.g. cD galaxy\textsuperscript{162}).

Such classification tools have already been prototyped as Wikidata games,\textsuperscript{163} allowing for instance to annotate Wikidata items about species with corresponding images from Wikimedia Commons,\textsuperscript{164} or items about people with statements about their gender,\textsuperscript{165} or items about books with statements about their authors.\textsuperscript{166} These prototypes can in principle be played on both desktop and mobile devices, and they produce statistics\textsuperscript{167} that could be fed into the calculation of altmetrics. We will work to develop these prototypes further for a subset of our use cases (e.g. animal migration, or identification keys for minerals or animal sounds), closely align them with the quality assurance measures proposed in \textsuperscript{T2.3} and integrate their use into the outreach and training activities in \textsuperscript{WP5}, especially the tutorials developed in \textsuperscript{T5.3}, the MOOC in \textsuperscript{T5.5}, and the training events in \textsuperscript{T5.7}.

**Task 4.5: Wikidata for cultural heritage (Lead EF; MfN; Month 13-36)**

This task will explore ways in which researchers in cultural heritage (and digital humanities in general) and the Wikidata community can better interact in the aggregation and curation of cultural heritage information. Using focussed subsets of data from Wikidata (through \textsuperscript{WP3}), Wikimedia Commons, and Wikisource, the task will engage with researchers within the digital humanities to define use cases. The present coverage and quality of Wikidata content for these use cases will assessed, and, building on existing Wikidata tools and metadata games, new metadata games supporting rich semantic annotation will created. Towards the end of the project, the use of the Wikidata in different research scenarios will be easier, so as to provide a good basis for wider adoption across the cultural sector.

The subsets will focus on audio recordings, historic newspapers, and World War I, themes, where the collections provided by the Europeana Foundation have excellent depth. Audio recordings are an important topic at the MfN, which houses one of the largest animal sound archives\textsuperscript{168}, and are at the core of activities of MusicBrainz, an associate partner of the project.

**Deliverables**

D4.1 SPARQL endpoint for Wiki4R (Month 12, lead UPM)
D4.2 Mechanism for semantic knowledge-base mirroring (Month 20, lead UPM)
D4.3 Research-oriented Wiki4R edition of Wikidata software (Month 24, lead UPS)
D4.4 Report on usability of Wiki4R in citizen science and cultural heritage research (M. 33 MfN)
D4.5 Report on Wiki4R integration and usability tests in science laboratories (Month 36 UPS)

\textsuperscript{158} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Property:P31
\textsuperscript{159} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q184348
\textsuperscript{160} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q2488
\textsuperscript{161} e.g. https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:Ssc2007-10a1.jpg
\textsuperscript{162} https://www.wikidata.org/wiki/Q308492
\textsuperscript{163} https://tools.wmflabs.org/wikidata-game/
\textsuperscript{164} http://tools.wmflabs.org/list/wd_species_commons.php
\textsuperscript{165} http://tools.wmflabs.org/wikidata-todo/?action=nogender
\textsuperscript{166} http://tools.wmflabs.org/wikidata-todo/?action=nonoauthor
\textsuperscript{167} https://tools.wmflabs.org/wikidata-game/#mode=stats
\textsuperscript{168} http://www.tierstimmenarchiv.de/
### Objectives

The objectives of this work package are to disseminate not just the results but the process behind building and using this VRE to communities of relevant stakeholders, especially the research and Wikidata communities (T5.1) and to engage with these stakeholders around using Wikidata as a Virtual Research Environment and how to collaborate within that framework (open licenses, WikiProjects, cross-cultural issues), and to provide training for that (T5.2-T5.5).

### Description of work

**Task 5.1: Dissemination and community engagement (Lead MfN; all partn.; Month 1-36)**

Each partner will, within this task and over the project lifetime, actively contribute to the publication of articles and to presentations in seminars, workshops and conferences, based on project rationale, methodology, and outputs of the project. We will also use social media to that effect.

Besides these classical dissemination channels, the open nature of the project allows to offer an additional and more profound layer of dissemination, one that conveys not just the results of the project, but the process behind achieving them, and even the option of contributing. This applies to content, software, data, policies, reports and publications developed in the framework of this project, and their respective identifiers.

Nurturing communities of users is one of the key challenges for VREs. We will address this by a) engaging with the existing Wikidata community about research-related matters, b) engaging with the research community about Wikidata-related matters and c) bringing the two together.

For example, UPS will organize special days where their scientists will learn how to use wikis – and Wikidata in particular – for collaboration. These events will also be used to test the user-friendliness of the platform, in conjunction with T4.3.

Inspired by existing attempts to couple journal articles and Wikipedia contributions (see description of the Gene Wiki), we will also explore ways in which something similar could be achieved with Wikidata. The three journals published by MfN would be a candidate here, as would the Biodiversity Data Journal published by associate partner Pensoft.

**Task 5.2: Development of tutorials (Lead UOC; all partners; Month 1-24)**

Development of different tutorials for WP2 (semantic mapping), WP3 (“ Integrating research resources with Wikidata”) and WP4 (“Enabling the use of Wikidata in research contexts”) as well as on the benefits of and barriers to openness that will be identified in T3.3. These tutorials should be available online under terms compatible with reuse on Wikimedia platforms. They would focus on text and video recordings but also make use of tools like the Wikidata games to inform about key features of the site and its operation. They will both
provide new training resources for participants and improve already existing documentation on Wikidata.

**Task 5.3: Development of course materials (Lead UOC; all partners; Month 1-30)**

The goal of this task is to develop freely available materials that can be used in university courses and on Wikimedia platforms, so future skilled workers will make best use of the open-data infrastructure provided by Wikidata and other organizations. The intention is to provide materials for a number of different data usage scenarios. This might range from simple data retrieval to more complex treatments of data-sets like GIS and machine learning. The material will be available online, e.g. via Wikiversity, where UPS has organized such courses in the past.\(^{169}\) It will be kept up to date, and translated into at least two European languages in addition to English. It will be suitable for injection into graduate and postgraduate coursework, but also suitable for on-the-job-training. This task will be carried out in conjunction with WP2 (mapping), WP3 (integrating resources via Wikidata) and WP4 (Wikibase tools), since materials will be mostly designed from their respective outcomes. Teaching materials will be mostly in text form, adding some educational treatment and providing practical exercises and self-assessment tools. Specific tasks will be: compilation of source materials, writing, adding educational treatment, reviewing materials by consortium partners as well as the Wikidata community, editing, translation, and open publishing.

**Task 5.4: Development of a MOOC (Lead UOC; all partners; Month 1-36)**

Development of a MOOC (Massive Online Open Course) that will be based on Wikidata, tightly integrated with other Wikimedia platforms, and include different learning paths according to the background and interests of participants. Specific steps in this task are: a) gathering and adapting existing resources about Wikidata and about our own project; b) designing and developing new resources for the course, including audiovisual resources – this could be partly done through Wikiversity using tools like Quiz extension\(^{170}\) or interactive approaches like those used in the Wikipedia Adventure\(^{171}\); c) designing and developing assignments, exercises and assessment procedures; d) adapting all educational resources to an open platform for MOOCs; delivering the course (about 6 weeks); e) evaluating the course performance (through participation data and a survey to all participants).

**Task 5.5: Organization of training events (Lead UM; all partners; Month 1-36)**

Organization of training events on all aspects of Wikidata for research. These training events will be targeted, basically, at a) researchers – those based at partner institutions in the project, those participating in other EU-funded research projects, and those participating in citizen science projects, b) Wikimedians involved in Wikidata and other Wikimedia projects. Special attention will be paid to the subsets of both groups that are developers, as well as to their intersection, with the aim of expanding the ways in which Wikidata can be used, and nurturing communities of actual users. Some of the events will also be held at different conferences relevant to the project.

**Deliverables**

- D5.1 Tutorials and Course Materials (Month 20)
- D5.2 Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) on Wiki4R (Month 30)
- D5.3 Training Events Report (Month 34)
- D5.4 Dissemination and Stakeholder Engagement Report (Month 36)

---


**Table 3.1b: List of work packages**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Work package title</th>
<th>Lead participant number</th>
<th>Lead participant (short name)</th>
<th>Person-months</th>
<th>Start month</th>
<th>End month</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>Coordination and Management</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>Semantic modelling</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>Integrating research resources with Wikidata</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>Enabling the use of Wikidata in research contexts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>WMDE</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>Dissemination, stakeholder engagement and training</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>UOC</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>200 Total months</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.1c: List of deliverables**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deliverable (number)</th>
<th>Deliverable name</th>
<th>Work package number</th>
<th>Short name of lead participant</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Dissemination level</th>
<th>Delivery date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D1.1</td>
<td>Data Management Plan</td>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.2</td>
<td>Periodic report</td>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.3</td>
<td>Periodic report</td>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1.4</td>
<td>Periodic and final report</td>
<td>WP 1</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.1</td>
<td>Report on property profiles</td>
<td>WP 2</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2.2</td>
<td>Report on semantic ontology mappings</td>
<td>WP 2</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.1</td>
<td>Report describing quality assurance measures</td>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.2</td>
<td>Lessons learned from integration of TEL, BODR, and Recon2</td>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.3</td>
<td>Report on other integration uses cases</td>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>WMDE</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3.4</td>
<td>Report on the motivations and pitfalls around releasing open data</td>
<td>WP 3</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.1</td>
<td>SPARQL endpoint for Wiki4R</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>OTHE R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.2</td>
<td>Mechanism for semantic knowledge-base mirroring</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>OTHE R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.3</td>
<td>Research-oriented Wiki4R edition of Wikidata software</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>OTHE R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.4</td>
<td>Report on usability of Wiki4R in citizen science, digital humanities and cultural heritage research</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4.5</td>
<td>Report on Wiki4R integration and usability tests in science laboratories</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.1</td>
<td>Tutorials and Course Materials</td>
<td>WP 5</td>
<td>UOC</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.2</td>
<td>Massive Open Online Course (MOOC) on Wiki4R</td>
<td>WP 5</td>
<td>UOC</td>
<td>DEC</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.3</td>
<td>Training Events Report</td>
<td>WP 5</td>
<td>UM</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D5.4</td>
<td>Dissemination and Stakeholder Engagement Report</td>
<td>WP 5</td>
<td>MIN</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>PU</td>
<td>M36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.1.4 *Inter-relation of the main components of the project*

![Diagram showing inter-relation of work packages]

**Figure 4:** Pert chart explaining the main interactions of the work packages

3.2 *Management structure and procedures*

3.2.1 *Organisational structure and decision-making*

For implementing the project, the Wiki4R Consortium will initially comprise 7 partners from 4 EU member states. The project duration is planned as a period of three years (36 months).

A project of this small complexity and scale requires an *appropriate management structure* and will be implemented by the project coordinator (MfN) within WP1 (Coordination and management). These structures as well as the *decision making processes* will be established according to the following principles:

- due consideration of the *equality* and *collective responsibility* of all participants,
- greatest possible *transparency* of the overall management, and decision making processes,
- ensuring *compliance* with all relevant provisions and regulations of the European Commission (EC),
- providing for *cost efficiency* and *professional administration*,
- realization of effective *sound monitoring* and *quality management*,
- undertaking research according to *best scientific practices*.

The proposed coordination structure for Wiki4R is based on long management experiences gained from other research projects and networks with similar requirements. The coordination and management structure for Wiki4R will be composed of the following core elements:

- General Assembly (GA)
- Steering Committee (SC)
- Project Coordinator (PC)
- Advisory Board (AB)
Their interrelationship and the overall Wiki4R management structures are illustrated by Fig. 5. The management bodies, their composition, responsibilities, scope of decision-taking power, and working procedures will be described in detail in the Consortium Agreement to be signed by all partners. It will be each partner’s general responsibility to undertake all reasonable endeavours to perform and fulfil, promptly, actively, and on time, all of its obligations under the Grant Agreement and the Consortium Agreement as well as their tasks within the work packages according to their role in the Consortium including the submission of the deliverables as described in the proposal. The following structures and mechanisms will be essential elements for efficient management of the entire project.

3.2.2 General Assembly

The entire Wiki4R partnership is represented in the General Assembly (GA), which is the ultimate decision making body for the consortium. The GA comprises all project partners, who are also eligible to vote on propositions presented to the GA. Each partner to the consortium sends one official representative and has one vote. The GA will be chaired by the Project Coordinator.

Decisions taken by the GA primarily generally relate to:
- budget-related matters,
- periodic activity reports and financial reports,
- acceptance and addition of new partners,
- if required, exclusion of partners, updating the work plan, and modification of the Consortium Agreement.

If voting is required, decisions shall be taken by a majority of two-thirds (2/3) of the votes, unless otherwise provided in the Consortium Agreement. The GA can also appoint an equity liaison person who will be in charge of gender equity issues and will, if needed, take on the function of ombudsperson in case of conflict resolution between individuals. Ordinary GA meetings will take place once a year in conjunction with major community events, such as Wikimania, Wikimedia Hackathons, or GLAM-Wiki meetings.

3.2.3 Steering Committee

The Wiki4R Steering Committee (SC) is the main coordinating body for the ongoing project execution and its performance in compliance with the work plan. The Steering Committee will work on behalf of the General Assembly, and regularly report to the GA on interim decisions taken and other items of interest. Ordinary SC meetings are to be held at least twice a year, and the SC will coordinate work inter-seasonally by conference calls or other means. Ordinary SC members are the Coordinator and the Work Package Leaders (WPL). The Coordinator shall chair all meetings of the SC, unless decided otherwise by the General Assembly. The Steering Committee will strive to work by consensus. In case of need of voting, decisions shall be taken by a majority of two-thirds (2/3) of the votes, unless otherwise provided in the Consortium Agreement. Each member of the SC will have one vote, and in case of equal votes the chair will have a casting vote. The Steering Committee is primarily responsible for supporting the Project Coordinator in fulfilling the legal and contractual obligations towards the European Commission by monitoring and controlling the
efficient implementation of the project's objectives and work programme, on the basis of regular, 6-
monthly financial and activity reports from each of the work packages. The SC will also provide for
risk management and consider applying appropriate rules on "intervention measures" in case of
significant delays or breach of obligations (details of this will be made explicit in the Consortium
Agreement), and conflict management within the consortium.

3.2.4 Project Coordinator

The Project Coordinator (PC) is the intermediary between the Wiki4R consortium and the
European Commission and is responsible for the overall management of the project, including
the submission of reports and achievement of the deliverables. He ensures compliance by the
partners with the contractual obligations in all activities of the project. The Project Coordinator has
a right of veto in the case of decisions conflicting with the EC Grant Agreement at the General
Assembly and at the Steering Committee. The coordinator will administer the EC financial
contribution in accordance with the Grant Agreement, and the decisions taken by the consortium
via the General Assembly.

The Wiki4R consortium will be led by the Museum für Naturkunde – Leibniz Institute for Evolution
and Biodiversity Science (MfN). The project coordinator will be supported in this function by an
Administrative Project Manager. This person will be in charge of day-to-day administration
including the detailed contractual and budget management, financial controlling, and
reporting.

The MfN is one of the World's leading natural history collections and research institutions and
holds competence in areas of biodiversity and environmental research, from biogeography and
taxonomy to information management, climate change, and advising environmental policy. The
museum has a staff of about 250 persons, an annual budget of about € 22M (2013), and it is
currently undergoing a period of significant expansions and renovation. In 2009, the MfN was re-
constituted as a Foundation under public law and became a member of the Leibniz
Association, one of Germany's four leading research organizations. The balance sheet and the
accountancy of the MfN are checked annually by an independent external auditor.

External funding is handled by the Third Party Funds Department, which manages more than 100
externally funded projects, among them several EC-funded projects (e.g., coordinating EU BON
(Co. 308454), and as Partner in SYNTHESYS 3 (Co. 312253), OpenUp! (Co. 270890), European
Creative (Co.325120), pro-iBiosphere (Co. 312848) and other FP7 finished projects.

The MfN has its own Bureau of Media Communication, which maintains regular contact with
different media, politicians, and scientific organizations, and will be a valuable support mechanism
for public outreach, and the broader dissemination of the project’s results. Finally, the Project
Coordinator will also be supported by the EU Liaison Office of the Leibniz-Association in
Brussels. The office will advise the Coordinator and his team in any EU policy related issues as
well as in relevant administrative questions concerning Horizon2020.

3.2.5 Work Package Leaders

Each Work Package will have one designated Work Package Leader (WPL), who is taking on this
role in addition to his/her role as an individual partner to the project. WP leaders report to the
Project Coordinator. They are appointed by the institutions designated to lead the WP have sound
scientific background and wide management experience to guide the respective WP and tasks,
and to ensure performance and progress of the work with regard to project deliverables and
milestones. In particular, WP leaders will be responsible for:
• effective communication between members of the WP and to the Steering Committee,

http://www.wgl.de/
• for effective (within designated resources) and timely (within designated deadlines) completion of work, milestones, and deliverables
• any activities, deliverables and information as relevant to other partners or the consortium as a whole;
• quality control and technical management, i.e. the coordination and monitoring on a day-to-day basis of progress of the individual work package and its task, including;
• delivering interim activity reports i.e. referring to work progress and budget-development, and alerting in case of delay or default and if necessary with suggestions for the solution of possible challenges and problems.

The following WPL have been assigned and agreed (table 3.2c):

**Table 3.2c: Work Package Leaders**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Work package No.</th>
<th>Work Package description</th>
<th>WP Leader and member of the Steering Committee</th>
<th>Beneficiary Acronym</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>Coordination and management</td>
<td>Dr. Gregor Hagedorn</td>
<td>MfN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>Semantic modelling</td>
<td>Prof. Dr. Asunción Gómez-Pérez</td>
<td>UPM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>Integrating research resources with Wikidata</td>
<td>Dr Egon Willighagen</td>
<td>UM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>Enabling the use of Wikidata in research contexts</td>
<td>Lydia Pintscher</td>
<td>WMDE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>Dissemination, stakeholder engagement and training</td>
<td>Dr. Eduard Aibar</td>
<td>UOC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**3.2.6 Advisory Board**

The main role of the Advisory Board (AB) will be to help to guarantee the delivery of high scientific and technical quality output from the project, and to ensure that the project partners will not become isolated within their own thinking and perceptions. In that function, the AB will act as a constant internal review mechanism, advising independently with both quality control and risk management. While generally being asked on views and advice on specific issues, the AB will be free to express its opinion on any aspect of the project’s activity and performance.

The AB will also advise on the outreach to the wider scientific and stakeholder communities not directly involved in the project and for benefiting from independent, external expertise. The AB will be established at the beginning of the project.

The AB may call on additional experts on an ad hoc basis, should the need arise. For the full duration of the project, members of the AB are expected to be available who can be contacted at any time for specific questions. The AB will primarily advise and work with the Project Coordinator and Steering Committee, but deliver its main reports to the General Assembly. For its work, the AB will also liaise with the internal project working groups.

**3.2.7 Consortium Agreement and IPR management**

A Consortium Agreement (CA) will be developed and signed by all partners, which will set out in detail the rights, responsibilities, and liabilities of participants to each other and to the consortium. In addition to detailed provisions for consortium organization and governance, it will include recommendations for equality and gender issues, Intellectual Property Rights (IPR), data exchange, use of software and other resources within the project, promoting open access and free
sharing of information. It will be based on the DESCA model for Horizon2020 projects\textsuperscript{173}, and include relevant provisions to also ensure quality control. Conflict Management resolutions will also be addressed in detail in the CA.

### 3.2.8 Communication within the Consortium and Project Meetings

**Active communication process** will be installed to ensure internal coherence, and effectiveness in the daily exchange of information and cooperation for all consortium partners. It will be the responsibility of the Coordinator to facilitate efficient communication for the project, which will rely on the following mechanisms:

- email correspondence as the primary means of day-to-day communication, including use of mailing lists and electronic discussion forums for the project and individual communities,
- regular conferences, using internet-based video conferencing tools,
- communication through the project web-platform.

In addition frequent and regular meetings at various levels are regarded as key to the success of projects such as Wikidata for research, and for developing a good and lasting network of networks. The following table (table 3.2d) lists relevant meetings to take place during the course of the project.

**Table 3.2d: List of project meetings**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Persons/Bodies</th>
<th>Meeting frequency</th>
<th>Objectives of the Meeting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SC</td>
<td>monthly (online)</td>
<td>operational decisions, monitoring progress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GA</td>
<td>yearly (on-site, in conjunction with relevant community meetings)</td>
<td>reviewing progress, fundamental decisions, community engagement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AB</td>
<td>back-to-back with GA</td>
<td>review mechanism, advice on further developments</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.2a: List of milestones**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone number</th>
<th>Milestone name</th>
<th>Related work package(s)</th>
<th>Estimated date</th>
<th>Means of verification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS1.1</td>
<td>Kick-off meeting</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M02</td>
<td>Meeting held, minutes of the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1.2</td>
<td>Project web platform established</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M02</td>
<td>Up and running</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1.3</td>
<td>Project meeting as satellite to Wikimania conference</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M14</td>
<td>Meeting held, minutes of the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1.4</td>
<td>Project meeting as satellite to Wikimedia Hackathon</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M30</td>
<td>Meeting held, minutes of the meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1.5</td>
<td>Review meeting</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M14</td>
<td>Review held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS1.6</td>
<td>Review meeting</td>
<td>WP1</td>
<td>M26</td>
<td>Review held</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2.1</td>
<td>Profile and mapping for the first use case (TEL)</td>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>M04</td>
<td>Inclusion in Wikidata newsletter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MS2.2</th>
<th>Profile and mapping for the second use case (BODR)</th>
<th>WP2</th>
<th>M08</th>
<th>Inclusion in Wikidata newsletter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MS2.3</td>
<td>Profile and mapping for the third use case (Recon2)</td>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>M12</td>
<td>Inclusion in Wikidata newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS2.4</td>
<td>Profile and mapping for the remaining use cases in <strong>T3.1</strong></td>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>M20</td>
<td>Inclusion in Wikidata newsletter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.1</td>
<td>Data citation mechanism established</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M06</td>
<td>Data citation demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.2</td>
<td>Survey of potential further use cases for Wiki4R completed</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M08</td>
<td>Results presented</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.3</td>
<td>Error diagnosis mechanism established</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M09</td>
<td>Error diagnosis demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.4</td>
<td>Integration of TEL use case</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M09</td>
<td>Integration demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.5</td>
<td>Quality review mechanisms established</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M12</td>
<td>Review and verification demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.6</td>
<td>Survey of motivations for further use cases completed</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M12</td>
<td>Integration demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.7</td>
<td>Decision which further use cases to select for Wiki4R</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M14</td>
<td>Minutes of SC meeting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.8</td>
<td>Integration of BODR use case</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M15</td>
<td>Integration demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS3.9</td>
<td>Integration of Recon2 use case</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>M21</td>
<td>Integration demonstrated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4.1</td>
<td>Survey of use cases for Wikidata within relevant research communities and cultural heritage institutions</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>M06</td>
<td>Publicly available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4.2</td>
<td>Wikidata game to strengthen citizen engagement available</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>M20</td>
<td>Publicly available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4.3</td>
<td>Packaging of mirrored Wiki4R in virtual machine M24</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>M24</td>
<td>Publicly available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS4.4</td>
<td>Prototype of unit tests for data established</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>M30</td>
<td>Publicly available</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5.1</td>
<td>Production of first set of tutorials and course materials</td>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>M10</td>
<td>Tutorials and course materials online</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5.2</td>
<td>Test version of MOOC available</td>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>M16</td>
<td>Testable MOOC environment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MS5.3</td>
<td>MOOC delivered</td>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>M35</td>
<td>Number of students enrolled; students satisfaction survey</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### 3.2.9 Management of risks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description of risk</th>
<th>Involved WP(s)</th>
<th>Probability</th>
<th>Impact</th>
<th>Proposed risk-mitigation measures</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Slow recruiting procedures at beneficiary level</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Start recruiting preparations before the project has started</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bankruptcy or withdrawal of partner</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Initiate discussions with EC to define a solution allowing the implementation of the work plan. Delegate work to existing partners or new subcontractors or external partners.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partner is unresponsive</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Delegate work to other partners with expertise and capacity. Obtain a SC resolution to transfer resources away from unresponsive partner.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unattainable Deliverable</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Investigate alternative options in the context of the high-level objectives of Wiki4R and the expertise and capacity of partners. Discuss with the EC PO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Deliverable</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Investigate causes for delay, increase monitoring intensity by the respective SC and the WPL, document causes and discuss with EC PO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delayed Milestone</td>
<td>Any</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Assess dependencies and prioritise all dependent tasks to avoid a domino effect, assess options to change the order of work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lack of consistent ontologies to support the semantic mapping</td>
<td>WP</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>The system will be able to work with semantics which only exist in Wikidata and which have no external equivalents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proposed class/property profiles conflict with citizen community plans</td>
<td>WP2</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Work with the community from the start; be pragmatic; record problems and report them publicly. Last resort is the primary use of Wiki4R mirror instances in these use cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Datasets proposed for inclusion in Wikidata conflict with community plans</td>
<td>WP3</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Engaging with the community, especially the relevant WikiProjects, with transparent and responsive communication. Last resort is the primary use of Wiki4R mirror instances in these use cases.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Issue</td>
<td>WP/Phase</td>
<td>Importance</td>
<td>Impact</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The workflow integration does not satisfy the researchers</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructure unsustainable beyond end of project</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial near real-time synchronization is relatively hard to achieve, since Wikidata is edited about 2.5 times per second</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>High</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Near real time synchronization after optimization is still too slow to fulfil use case requirement of use cases</td>
<td>WP4</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure to engage sufficient numbers of scientists in interacting with the VRE</td>
<td>WP</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low engagement from the research community and low use of training materials</td>
<td>WP5</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>High</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With an open and agile development model, we will be able to respond to unforeseen demands. A reallocation and reprioritization of resource may be necessary. The impact is medium because it does not create a dependency in the project, and the need of continuous work on Wiki4R beyond the project duration is already anticipated.

Most of the critical infrastructure is guaranteed by the Wikimedia Foundation with a separate and tested sustainability model. Infrastructure can become unsustainable if computing intensity exceed reasonable resources for investments into computing infrastructure; in this case software strategies must be improved or the use cases limited.

This is a hard-to-assess problem depending on many factors. Its immediate risk on the project is low because it does not create a dependency: The first implementation can have a delay of 10 minutes between the modification through a scientist and the reuse of this modification in her tools.

For full establishment and acceptance, a low near-real time on the order of few seconds will be required. Technical solutions are known but remain to be robustly implemented and tested for the specific workload. Should technical solutions fail, the use cases need to be analysed for priority demand with the goal to achieve a faster synchronization for a subset of information items.

Test the relative efficiency of the various engagement and communication strategies to reallocate resources to the more effective ones.

Targeting training sessions and dissemination events to particular research communities and developing modular training materials able to be adapted for different audiences.
| Work planned by Wiki4R is carried out by someone else | All WPs | Medium | Low | Since we are working in the open, this is not unexpected, and we would welcome it. Reallocate resources in view of overarching Wiki4R goals. |
| Wiki4R is not adopted by the community in the expected time frame | WP4, WP5 | Low | High | Wiki4R, addressing both professional and citizen scientists, is probably unique in that a huge community in terms of citizen scientists is already there, i.e. citizens volunteering their spare time to curate data. We cannot guarantee yet a huge community of professional scientists, as we are focussing on pilots. For these pilots, however, the participation is already secured. While not endangering the Wiki4R project itself, lack of professional adoption beyond pilots would destroy its impact. The work plan is therefore carefully planned with this in mind and significant resources are invested in training and capacity building to make this less likely. |

### 3.3 Consortium as a whole

The consortium brings together seven partners whose core competencies span the major branches of the natural sciences and into the information sciences as well as the cultural and natural heritage sector, and civil society.

With the exception of WP1, all partners are involved in all work packages, which ensures effective interaction beyond meetings or formal communications.

The profiles of the partners are distinct, but overlap in a systematic fashion:

- UPM, WMDE, UM and UPS are all providers of semantic technologies, and while UPM and WMDE work across disciplines, they are distinguished by a focus on automated techniques (UPM) and community-supporting platforms (WMDE). Both are highly involved across WP2-4.
- Similarly, while both UM and UPS work in chemistry, the former bridges towards computational chemistry and biology, the latter towards experimental chemistry and the physical sciences. UM focuses on the integration of information into Wikidata (WP3), UPS on the reuse of information from Wikidata (WP4).
- UPM, UM and UPS are campus universities, whereas the fourth university in the consortium, UOC, is a virtual university. UOC will thus focus on the virtual training aspects, with UM and UPS contributing. All partners except UOC will organize on-site training events, and all partners will contribute to the creation of course materials as well as to dissemination and community engagement (WP5).
- UOC has in the past performed research on the use of Wikipedia in higher education. Its participation in the other work packages thus will not only inform the training activities in WP5 but also a new line of research on the use of Wikidata in higher education.
- EF is a data provider and as such involved in WP3, but its focus within the project is on exploring the potential of using Wikidata in cultural heritage contexts (WP4), together with MfN; this builds on prior collaboration in former EU projects like OpenUp!
- Beyond its coordinator role, MfN provides multiple aspect of biological expertise. It is involved in all work packages, as a data provider (WP3), data re-user (WP4) and use case provider for the semantic modelling (WP2). Use cases are from biology (e.g. taxa), mineralogy, as well as

---

cultural history (historic records). A citizen science is transdisciplinary. Finally, it addresses sustainability issues in “Optimizing openness” (T3.3), which builds the foundation for future projects of this kind.

Most but not all of the key personnel have contributed to Wikidata in the past, and all are experienced in EU research projects.

The partners are complemented by 17 associate partners, as detailed in section 4.3 of the proposal.

Most of them are based within the European Research Area, but some (Scripps, MetaBrainz and Center for Open Science) in the US. As a group, their expertise goes well beyond that of the consortium and covers areas ranging from genetics to music technology, from history to mathematics, from data mining to publishing, libraries and repositories. They also cover the value chain from basic research to applied research to scholarly societies and professional bodies, from non-profit to startup, from botanic garden to universities.

They will provide use cases or data, advice on semantic modelling, data integration or data reuse within their fields, their countries or for their languages, they will help engage their respective communities, and they will contribute to training and dissemination activities.

Importantly, the associate partners will also serve as seeds for follow-ups to Wiki4R: our proposal is openly licensed and intended to be forked, so as to help build an ecosystem of Wiki4R projects and initiatives that are linked on the one hand to Wikidata either directly or through the infrastructure we will build, and on the other hand to their respective communities.

3.4 Resources to be committed

In consideration of the size of the project, careful attention has been given to a realistic allocation of the Wiki4R budget among partners, reflecting their respective involvement and workload in each of the WPs. The budget as presented here has been agreed by the consortium and all partners.

The total costs of the project amount to 1,553,685.00 Mio €, with an EC-contribution of 1,553,685.00 Mio €. The project will have a duration of 3 years (36 months) and requires 200 person months in total.

The total costs as given in table 3.4b relate to the auditable aggregated costs that will be incurred by all partners, corresponding to the administrative forms.

3.4.1 Human Resources

Personnel costs represent the largest cost category for Wikidata for research, reflecting the labour intensive RTD nature of the project. For Wikidata for research, mainly postdoctoral researchers and technical staff will be required and offered positions, mainly to be recruited from universities and research institutions involved. For the management tasks, the coordination team will be supported by a 25% project management position to be paid by the project.

In addition, the project will benefit greatly from considerable work time and support provided in kind by personnel, predominately senior staff of professorial or equivalent status, from many partner institutions, including several of the WP leads. Their combined effort and commitment in all areas of the project will add a substantial number of additional person months and resources, not accounted for in the declared budget.
3.4.2 Equipment and Consumables

Most Wiki4R project partners can and will rely on their existing institutional ICT infrastructure and equipment for the project, less than 1% budget has been allocated to equipment. Additional equipment needed means primarily ICT hardware and software, and related tools and working stations.

A small amount of the requested budget will be used for consumables. Consumables relate to the preparation of training materials and tutorials planned under WP5. 2,000€ for Partner have been planned to cover open access fees. In addition to that, the management budget of the Coordination in WP1 includes an open access fees contingency fund (ca 8,000€) available to all partners for project-related publications.

3.4.3 Travel costs

Travel costs include mainly attendance of project meetings for the General Assembly, Steering Committee as well as other for groups and committees as foreseen in the work plan. WP-Leaders have a budget of 6,000€, whilst the other partners 5,000€ foreseen for the three years.

The management budget of the Coordination (WP1) includes a travel contingency fund to allow to cover travel expenses of members or representatives of the Advisory Board and of the associate partners, as well as for invitation of additional stakeholders to project meetings.

3.4.4 Sub-contracting

All sub-contracting will follow Horizon 2020 financial guidelines and is related to rules for awarding contracts according to the principles of best value for money (best price-quality ratio), transparency, and equal treatment.

Sub-contracting costs refer to those partners with an EC contribution higher than 325k€ that will be subject of financial audits following the financial rules under Horizon 2020. These audit costs are planned in WP1, under sub-contracting and vary between 2000 and 3000€ per audit for MfN.
Table 3.4b: Table of resources

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant</th>
<th>Country</th>
<th>(A)</th>
<th>(B)</th>
<th>(C)</th>
<th>(E)</th>
<th>(F)</th>
<th>(G)</th>
<th>(H)</th>
<th>(I)</th>
<th>(J)</th>
<th>(K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MfN</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>280,200.00</td>
<td>35,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>78,800.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>397,000.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>397,000.00</td>
<td>397,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPM</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>100,000.00</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>27,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
<td>135,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UM</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>223,200.00</td>
<td>17,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>60,050.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>300,250.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>300,250.00</td>
<td>300,250.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMDE</td>
<td>DE</td>
<td>180,828.00</td>
<td>8,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>47,207.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>236,035.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>236,035.00</td>
<td>236,035.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UOC</td>
<td>ES</td>
<td>106,700.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>28,425.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>142,125.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>142,125.00</td>
<td>142,125.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF</td>
<td>NL</td>
<td>136,620.00</td>
<td>11,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>36,905.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>184,525.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>184,525.00</td>
<td>184,525.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UPS</td>
<td>FR</td>
<td>120,000.00</td>
<td>7,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>31,750.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>158,750.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>158,750.00</td>
<td>158,750.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,147,548.00</td>
<td>93,000.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>310,137.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1,553,685.00</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1,553,685.00</td>
<td>1,553,685.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.4a: Summary of staff effort

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WP1</th>
<th>WP2</th>
<th>WP3</th>
<th>WP4</th>
<th>WP5</th>
<th>Total Person/Months per Participant</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participant 1/ MfN</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 2/ UPM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 3/ UM</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 4/ WMDE</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 5/ UOC</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 6/ EF</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Participant 7/ UPS</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Person/Months</strong></td>
<td>13</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>