

WIKI DATA CON

Systematic Review Automation driven by Wikidata



Data Engineering and Semantics هندسة البيانات و دلالاتها

جامعة صفاقس University of Sfax

SISONKE-BIOTIK

Houcemeddine Turki

Medical Student, Faculty of Medicine of Sfax Research Assistant, Data Engineering and Semantics Research Unit Member, Wiki Indaba Steering Committee Vice-Chair, Wikimedia Tunisia User Group Board Member, Wikimedia and Libraries User Group

Supported by SisonkeBiotik Community

Biomedical Machine Learning Community in Africa

About Us

Data Engineering and Semantics Research Unit

University of Sfax

Located in Tunisia, North Africa (270 km from Tunis)

Major University in Tunisia

Among the best universities in Africa in Computer Science Research





Data Engineering and Semantics Research Unit

- » Recently Created as a part of University of Sfax
- » Deals with various aspects of Computer Science ranging from Semantic Technologies, Social Network Analysis and Graph Embeddings to Scientometrics
- » Members having publications in highly referred scholarly journals
- » Dealing with Wikimedia Projects as resources for driving real-life applications at a low cost





Sisonkebiotik

- » Recently created research community aiming to develop healthcare machine learning approaches in Africa
- » Hosted in South Africa, Having members across the African Continent
- » Follows the Masakhane Community Governance Model
- » Currently working on a bibliometric study and a systematic review on machine learning and healthcare in Africa





Introduction

What's a Systematic Review





Many guidelines for developing systematic reviews

Series: Living Systematic Reviews

Review article O Abstract only

Living systematic review: 1. Introduction—the why, what, when, and how Julian H. Elliott, Anneliese Synnot, Tari Turner, Mark Simmonds, ... Leslea Pearson Pages 23-30

🕁 Purchase PDF 🔰 Article preview 🧹

Review article Open access

Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort James Thomas, Anna Noel-Storr, Iain Marshall, Byron Wallace, ... Leslea Pearson Pages 31-37

🛓 Download PDF 🛛 Article preview 🧹

Review article O Abstract only

Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses Mark Simmonds, Georgia Salanti, Joanne McKenzie, Julian Elliott, ... Leslea Pearson Pages 38-46

坐 Purchase PDF 🔰 Article preview 🥆

Review article O Abstract only

Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations Elie A. Akl, Joerg J. Meerpohl, Julian Elliott, Lara A. Kahale, ... Leslea Pearson Pages 47-53

🛃 Purchase PDF 🔰 Article preview 🧹

<u>Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol.</u> 2019 Mar; 57(1): 57–58. Published online 2019 Mar 14. doi: <u>10.5152/tao.2019.4058</u>

A Guide for Systematic Reviews: PRISMA

► Author information ► Article notes ► Copyright and License information Disclaimer

Research Open Access Published: 01 January 2015

Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement

David Moher [™], Larissa Shamseer, <u>Mike Clarke</u>, <u>Davina Ghersi</u>, <u>Alessandro Liberati</u>, <u>Mark Petticrew</u>, <u>Paul</u> <u>Shekelle</u>, <u>Lesley A Stewart</u> & <u>PRISMA-P Group</u>

<u>Systematic Reviews</u> 4, Article number: 1 (2015) | <u>Cite this article</u> 300k Accesses | 8228 Citations | 253 Altmetric | <u>Metrics</u>

Guidance on Conducting a Systematic Literature Review

Yu Xiao, Maria Watson

First Published August 28, 2017 Research Article Check for updates https://doi.org/10.1177/0739456X17723971

PMCID: PMC6461330	1
PMID: <u>31049257</u>	

Reporting guidelines for main study types

Randomised trials	<u>CONSORT</u>	Extensions		
Observational				
studies	STROBE	Extensions		
Systematic reviews	PRISMA	Extensions		
Study protocols	<u>SPIRIT</u>	PRISMA-P		
Diagnostic/prognostic				
studies	<u>STARD</u>	TRIPOD		
Case reports	CARE	Extensions		
Clinical practice				
<u>guidelines</u>	AGREE	<u>RIGHT</u>		
Qualitative research	<u>SRQR</u>	<u>COREQ</u>		
Animal pre-clinical				
studies	ARRIVE			
Quality improvement				
studies	<u>SQUIRE</u>	Extensions		
Economic				
evaluations	CHEERS			



Advanced method for scholarly evidence identification







« It is a long and timeconsuming work that should be automated to advance scholarly research »



Wikidata

Large-scale resource that can be useful for systematic review automation

Wikidata as a large-scale semantic database

Multidisciplinary Representation



Data Federation and Integration





Wikidata as a large-scale semantic database

Aligned to other databases



Freely available and reusable



CC0 1.0 Universal (CC0 1.0) Public Domain Dedication

Public Domain Dedication



Wikidata as a knowledge graph

- » Fully structured resource where concepts are represented as items and are described using statements in the form of triples.
- » RDF Triples: Subject-Predicate-Object
 - Subject: The Wikidata item described by the Statement
 - Predicate: An entity representing the type of the information provided by the statement
 - Object: Can either be another item or a value
- » Easy to:
 - Enrich and process (User interface, Wikidata Integrator, QuickStatements...)
 - Validate (Property Statements, Entity Schemas, Comparison with external databases, Logical constraints...)
 - Easy to query (SPARQL) and to download (RDF dumps, WDumper).
- » Provide large-scale semantic information for driving computer applications in multiple natural languages.





Our approach

How we used Wikidata to automate several tasks for systematic review creation

Useful Applications of Wikidata for Systematic Review Automation

- » Formulating an efficient search query to retrieve research publications from the parsed bibliographic database.
- » Eliminating odd records from the initially retrieved publications using citation analysis.
- » Adding further evidences to the list of verified publications based on citation analysis.
- » Retrieving the features that should be extracted from scholarly publications to study the findings of the research output about the topic.



Wikidata involves needed information

» Citation data



» Labels in Multiple Languages

Description

Label

SARS-CoV-2

Language

English



OpenCitations Bot

instance of	 group or class of strains 1 reference 	✔ edit
	🗧 strain	✔ edit
	▼ 0 references	+ add reference
		+ add value
subclass of	🗧 coronavirus	
	✓ 0 references	+ add reference
		+ add value

Description	Also known as
strain of virus causing the ongoing pandemic of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19)	2019-nCoV nCoV2019 nCoV-2019 Wuhan seafood market pn Wuhan coronavirus Chinese coronavirus 2019nCoV NCP Novel coronavirus (2019-n Severe acute respiratory sy SARS-CoV2 novel coronavirus COVID-19 virus Wuhan virus Severe acute respiratory sy Corona Coronavirus Corona virus Acute respiratory syndrom severe acute respiratory sy SARS-CoV-2 virus



Task 01

Formulating an efficient search query to retrieve research publications from the parsed bibliographic database



Task 02 Eliminating odd records from the initially retrieved publications using citation analysis



Task 03 Adding further evidences to the list of identified publications based on citation analysis



Task 04

Retrieving the features that should be extracted to study the findings of the research output about the topic



Source Codes

All tasks have been implemented in Python and are available at <u>https://github.com/SisonkeBiotik-</u> <u>Africa/Systematic-Review-Automation</u>. You can find the current development status of the project at <u>https://www.loom.com/share/a415573dco16448dbe2</u> <u>bff220047b707</u>. Proven efficiency Task 01: Wikidata-Enhanced Query vs. User Query (Test conducted on October 17, 2021)

MACHINE LEARNING AND ANESTHESIOLOGY



هندسة البيانات و دلالاتها

Image Credits

- » <u>https://www.equator-network.org/reporting-guidelines/prisma/</u>
- » <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:PRISMA_flow_diagram.jpg</u>
- » <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:COVID-19_Study_of_Wikidata</u>
- » <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:WikiCite_wordmark.svg</u>
- » <u>https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File:OpenCitations_logo.jpg</u>



References

- » Elliott, J. H., Synnot, A., Turner, T., Simmonds, M., Akl, E. A., McDonald, S., ... & Pearson, L. (2017). Living systematic review: 1. Introduction—the why, what, when, and how. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *91*, 23-30.
- » Thomas, J., Noel-Storr, A., Marshall, I., Wallace, B., McDonald, S., Mavergames, C., ... & Pearson, L. (2017). Living systematic reviews: 2. Combining human and machine effort. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *91*, 31-37.
- » Simmonds, M., Salanti, G., McKenzie, J., Elliott, J., Agoritsas, T., Hilton, J., ... & Pearson, L. (2017). Living systematic reviews: 3. Statistical methods for updating meta-analyses. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *91*, 38-46.
- » Akl, E. A., Meerpohl, J. J., Elliott, J., Kahale, L. A., Schünemann, H. J., Agoritsas, T., ... & Pearson, L. (2017). Living systematic reviews: 4. Living guideline recommendations. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, *91*, 47-53.
- » Selçuk, A. A. (2019). A guide for systematic reviews: PRISMA. *Turkish Archives of Otorhinolaryngology*, 57(1), 57.
- » Xiao, Y., & Watson, M. (2019). Guidance on conducting a systematic literature review. *Journal of Planning Education and Research*, 39(1), 93-112.
- » Turki, H., Hadj Taieb, M. A., Shafee, T., Lubiana, T., Jemielniak, D., Ben Aouicha, M., ... & Mietchen, D. (2021). Representing COVID-19 information in collaborative knowledge graphs: The case of Wikidata. *Semantic Web*.





Thank You



E-mail: turkiabdelwaheb@hotmail.fr

Phone: +21629499418

Twitter: @Csisc1994

https://dblp.org/pid/176/1531.html https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Houcemeddine_Turki

