h6

6 2

10 .

AL fls 17

Mr. Richardson: And when you intercepted a dispatch or information that you were unable to decode, what was your duty then with respect to that information?

Captain Rochefort: If it were other than Navy, we would send it to Washington. But I might point out, sir, that the circuits that we were covering were all naval circuits in which no diplomatic traffic would be passed.

Mr. Richardson: By way of illustration, Captain, let me show you two dispatches here that appear to have been intercepted by the Army at Hawaii -- not by the Navy but by the Army, and ask you what codes they came in on? What is the page, please, so it may be identified for the record?

Captain Rochefort: On page 21 of Exhibit 2, I see two dispatches, the first one No. 123, which was translated on 30 December, 1941, and it is in the J-19 system.

Colonel Fielder, sir.

8

10

11

13

15

14

16

17

18

80

16

21

23

22

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Mr. Richardson: And who was under Fielder as his Chief Assistant?

Captain Rochefort: Cclonel Bicknell was at that time, sir.

Mr. Richardson: You knew both of those gentlemen?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Were your relations with them cordial?

Captain Rochefort: My relations, I should say particularly with Colonel Fielder, were most cordial.

Mr. Richardson: How frequently would you see them? Captain Rochefort: Perhaps twice a week, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Was there any discussion between you as to the intelligence that you were handling and the intelligence they were handling?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, but it would be in very general terms, because our jobs were different.

Mr. Richardson: Was there ever any request by the Army for assistance from you and your outfit?

Captain Rochefort: In my particular work, sir?

Mr. Richardson: Yes.

Captain Rochefort: To the best of my recollection not until after the 7th. After the 7th there was.

Mr. Richardson: To whom was the information that you picked up in Hawaii transmitted by you?

Captain Rochefort: To the Commander in Chief verbally,

Н

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Richardson and by means of written summaries to the Navy Department and Commander in Chief, Asiatic Fleet, by dispatch or by air mail.

Mr. Richardson: Then you would turn over what you had to Layton and Layton had the duty of transmitting it to the Commander in Chief?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. In addition to that we would send over with the summary a qualified officer to discuss the matter in detail with Layton, if he so wished.

Mr. Richardson: Are you familiar, Captain, with a dispatch that appears in the record here, sent through the Army, requesting G-2 in Hawaii to contact you for information with reference to weather broadcasts?

Captain Rochefort: I have heard of such a message, sir, but I did not hear of it up until a short time ago.

Mr. Richardson: There never at any time was presented any communication to you to contact you?

Captain Rochefort: Not for that express purpose.

Mr. Richardson: Based upon such a dispatch, as far as you knew?

Captain Rochefort: Not for that express purpose, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Do you know how the messages went out from Hawaii, from the Japanese Consuls to Tokyo?

Captain Rochefort: I have no first-hand information on

•

Hook follows 19

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Richardson that, sir.

Mr. Richardson: I did not understand.

Captain Rochefort: I have no first-hand information on that.

Mr. Richardson: With reference to the dispatches from Honolulu to Tokyo during the first week in December, such as is illustrated by the number 247 here, indicating the message went out under PA-K2, would such a message as that go by cable or would it go by some other form of transmission?

Captain Rochefort: It would go either by cable or by radio.

Mr. Richardson: Were there any arrangements that you had which would have enabled you to know what was being sent out by cable?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. If we had been asked to do it or directed to do it we could have possibly obtained the information.

Shack15 hl fls

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

Ģ

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

181

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

Mr. Richardson: What is the basis for that statement, Captain?

Captain Rochefort: The basis for the statement is, sir, I have had considerable experience in attempting to obtain so-called commercial traffic over a period of years, and there are one or two Federal statutes in the matter which made the thing rather delicate to try.

Furthermore, I knew that the authorities in Washington were obtaining the information in sufficient detail, and if they required any assistance, they would ask me to get some information from Honolulu.

In other words, not receiving any requests, or direction from the Navy Department, I assumed they were getting all the information they needed from the diplomatic traffic.

Mr. Richardson: Then, such a dispatch as this shown on page 29 of Exhibit 2, might have gone to Tokyo either by cable or by radio broadcast?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And if you had made the effort, would it have been possible for you to have intercepted and decoded that message?

Captain Rochefort: If it had gone by radio, we could, of course, have intercepted it. If it had gone by cable, special arrangements would have to have been made in order to obtain copies of the cable traffic.

õ

h2

ð

Mr. Richardson: Why were not such arrangements
made with reference to knowledge of cable transmissions?
Captain Rochefort: Speaking of Honolulu, sir?
Mr. Richardson: Yes.

Captain Rochefort: Because attempts had been made in previous years to obtain the same information, without success, and I had been led to believe, without making any specific inquiries, that the Navy Department, or the War Department, or both were receiving that information from sources known only to them.

Senator Lucas: What was your last statement based on?
You said you were led to believe.

Captain Rochefort: In personel conversation, sir, with officers from Washington on their way to or from the Far East.

Senator Brewster: Will you name any of them?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. Of course, I was in communication with Captain Safford. He was in charge of the entire organization. The others I cannot recall their names at this time. They were officers going and coming from the Asiatic Fleet.

Mr. Richardson: During the week prior to December 7, were you actively translating for the information of the Commander in Chief in Hawaii all of the messages coming

n

witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Richardson in to Honolulu and going out of Honolulu, which it was possible for you to decode?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Could you, by any arrangement with the cable company have increased the number of messages that you could have translated and reported to the Commander in Chief?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. As a matter of fact,
Mr. Counsel, that had been done on either the 3rd or the
4th of December, on which certain messages were made available to me by the District Intelligence Officer. They were
being handled in Washington, but I undertook to attempt to
handle them myself in Honolulu, as well as send back copies
to Washington immediately, and thus we did read some before
the 7th, but the important ones after the 7th.

Mr. Richardson: And those that you read were transmitted by you?

Captain Rochefort: To the Commander in Chief.

Mr. Richardson: To the Commander in Chief, in due course?

Captain Rochefort: Yes. Actually, I think what

happened, sir, as I told Layton, that we had some messages

but they were absolutely of no value then. They involved

such things as wages, visas, and that sort of thing.

Mr. Richardson: Then, it is very definite, is it not,

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Richardson that there never was any time prior to Pearl Harbor when your station in Hawaii could handle any of the purple or other high Japanese code transmissions?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, we could not handle them.

Mr. Richardson: And that, of course, would include
the code J-19 that you spoke of a moment ago?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: But it would not include the PA-K2?

Captain Rochefort: We could handle the PA-K2 and

lower classifications.

Mr. Richardson: Now, did you make up, while you were there, a communications intelligence summary covering specific periods?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: For transmission from your department to the Commander in Chief?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson; What was that?

Captain Rochefort: The communications intelligence summary was a daily report to the Commander in Chief, Pacific, of all information obtained, estimates made, and deductions drawn from the previous day's traffic.

Mr. Richardson: How often? Daily? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, daily. h5

2

3

6

4

6

7

8 G

10

21

12

18

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

Mr. Richardson: And covering the period of a week or ten days prior to the Pearl Harbor disaster, you furnished, as part of your duty to the Commander in Chief, a daily intelligence summary, to acquaint him with everything that had passed through your unit during the preceding 24 hours? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: I show you our Exhibit 115, and ask you whether those are samples of that intelligence summary.

(The document was handed to Captain Rochefort.) Captain Rochefort: Yes, they are.

Mr. Richardson: Then the fact is, Captain, that your activities there, as is indicated by these intelligence summaries, were quite definitely confined to Japanese ship movements, and other matters connected with naval operations which came in codes which you were able to handle and translate?

Captain Rochefort: About 10 percent of which we were able to handle and translate, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Well, all that did come to you that you were able to handle and translate came from ship movements?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: And other similar activities of the Japanese naval forces?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Richardson

h6

0.6

Mr. Richardson: And did not include the diplomatic intercepts, which, it was your understanding, as I understood your testimony, were being handled by Washington, and at Cavite?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Was there any arrangement, so far as you know, between your station in Hawaii and Cavite with respect to the interchange of communications?

Captain Rochefort: There had been an arrangement, which had existed for some years, in which one of the three stations, that is Cavite, Pearl Harbor, or Washington, if they had information of value to one or more of the other stations, it was immediately passed to that station by radio, or by airmail.

Mr. Richardson: When was that stopped?

Captain Rochefort: I do not think it was ever stopped; not to my knowledge, sir.

Mr. Richardson: Was there any cessation of it?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. There might have been a possible lowering in the number of messages which were sent to us for information, but that would be because they felt they were more techical in nature and did not interest us.

Mr. Richardson: Captain, if there had been suitable empowering directions from Washington, could there have

Witnmes Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Richardson been closer and better cooperation between your unit and G-2 in Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. When I say "yes, sir" that may sound as if there were not full cooperation. We did cooperate to the fullest extent possible, bearing in mind the different jobs we had.

Mr. Richardson: Do you know anything of your own knowledge about the G-2 set-up there?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, from conversations with Colonel Fielder.

Mr. Richardson: Do you know what they were able to intercept and decode?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, we did not discuss that matter.

Mr. Richardson: You were unable, in many instances to discuss with G-2 the character of the intelligence that you were receiving, were you?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir; by reason of the fact that, insofar as I knew, Colonel Fielder, as G-2, was not authorized to receive "ultra."

Mr. Richardson: Then there was a large field of intelligence that would pass through your unit that you could not communicate to G-2, or any officer in G-2?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. I could not communicate

Questions by: Mr. Richardson Witness Rochefort The Chairman it to G-2 as ultra, but I could communicate the sense of 2 it, which I did. 3 Mr. Richardson: Would you be able to say that that 4 duty was carried out to the extent that you feel G-2 got all of the information from you that would have been use-6 ful to them? 7 Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. 8 Mr. Richardson: I have no further questions. 9 The Chairman: What is your assignment now? 10 Captain Rochefort: On duty in the Office of Naval Intelligence, sir. 12 The Chairman: Here in Washington? 13 WASHINGTON. Captain Rochefort: Here in Washington. 14 The Chairman: How long have you had that assignment? 15 Captain Rochefort: Since approximately the middle of 16 December, 1945, sir. 17 The Chairman: And prior to that, where have you been? 18 Captain Rochefort: I have been ordered to sea duty, 18 sir, at my own request, in October. 20 The Chairman: How long after the attack on Pearl 21 Harbor before you were assigned to some other place? 23 Captain Rochefort: In October, 1942, sir. 23 The Chairman: Nearly a year? 24 Captain Rochefort: Yos, sir. 88

h8

Questions by: The Chairman Witness Rochefort 1 The Chairman: You were not in Washington at any 2 time immediately prior to the attack and immediately after? Captain Rochefort: No, sir, I was not. 4 The Chairman: Mr. Cooper. 5 The Vice Chairman: No questions. 6 The Chairman: Senator George? Senator George: I have no questions. 8 The Chairman: Mr. Clark. 9 Mr. Clark isn't here. 10 Senator Lucas. Senator Lucas: I would like to pass for the moment. 13 The Chairman: Mr. Murphy? 13 Mr. Murphy: Is it Commander or Captain? 14 Captain Rochefort: Captain, sir. 15 Mr. Murphy: Captain Rochefort, as I understand it, each 16 day at Pearl Harbor you prepared an intelligence summary, 17 which in turn was turned over by you to Layton. That would 18 be Captain Layton? 19 Captain Rochefort: Captain Layton now, sir. 20 Mr. M urphy: Then Captain Layton himself would make 21 his own estimate of the situation, and present it to Admial 22 Kimmel, or would he present your intelligence summary? 23 Captain Rochefort: He would present our intelligence 24 summary, sir, in addition to which he would prepare for 25

h9

Witness Rochefort

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

1

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: That was a special summary.

On the 26th of November you said there were only two carriers at the Marshalls, and you later learned there were only two carriers at the Marshalls?

Captain Rochefort: There were two carriers actually at Palau, sir.

Mr. Murphy: There were two carriers at Palau? Captain Rochefort: Yes.

Mr. Murphy: Then, in addition to that, you had located en masse practically the entire Japanese fleet which attacked Pearl Harbor, had you not? I mean in your daily intelligence summary.

Captain Rochefort: We located them in a negative sense, sir. We had lost them. We did not know where they were.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, you made reference in one of your summaries, did you not, to the actual group or block, almost without exception, of the actual ships that had come to Pearl Harbor?

Captain Rochefort: I do not recall that sir. We may have. I do not recall that.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, the fact is you did, on your daily intelligence summary, show that the carriers were not accounted for, did you not?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. h15

2

3

4

5

8

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

ASHINGTON.

O

10

15

17

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

Mr. Murphy: You got to the point where you could not account for them, you just left them out of your report, to indicate that nothing was known about them?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

As I recall, we said "Nothing is known about carriers."

Mr. Murphy: And the reason for doing that, as a Navy man, as a communications expert, was you did not want to venture an opinion on an unknown quantity; isn't that correct?

Captain Rochefort: Yes. The idea there was, by means short of cryptanalysis, in other words, radio intelligence, we could obtain a considerable amount of information. That is, without actually reading the messages.

From that information, we found we did not know anything about the carriers.

Mr. Murphy: The fact you did not know anything about them, and the fact that you did not put in your intelligence summary anything as to their being in home waters meant to indicate, did it not, to those who read it, that there was a danger signal?

Captain Rochefort: I would not say that, sir, because that condition had obtained before.

Mr. Murphy: Well, the fact is, you said you knew nothing about them.

Captain Rochefort: Yes.

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: And, therefore, when there is an uncertainty you usually look for the worst, don't you?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. Of course they could have gone out on a fleet problem, or they could have gone into radio silence, or a variety of other things. That happened before, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Is it the usual plan, when you have a war warning and you cannot account for carriers, that you prepare for the worst?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, the fact is that you did say on your summaries that they could not be accounted for, and then you gave that to Captain Layton, and Captain Layton went to Admiral Kimmel, and presented itto him and Admiral Kimmel said to Captain Layton, "You mean to say they might even be coming around Diamond Head?" Do you remember that? Captain Rochefort: I had heard that later, sir.

Lacharity (1) pm follows Shack 4:30 1 AL-1

3

4

5

6

7

8

0

10

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: Captain, did you, as the Communications expert at Pearl Harbor, know anything about the war warning of November 27?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, I did see it on or about November 27.

Mr. Murphy: So that you had knowledge of that and you took that into consideration when you were preparing your Intelligence summaries about the location of the carriers; isn't that right?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Were you alarmed approaching December 2nd about those carriers?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: You expected trouble was coming, did you?

Captain Rochefort: We all knew it was coming, sir. It

was a question of where.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, what facilities did you have for interchanging what you had with your counterpart of the Army?

Captain Rochefort: Personal conversation, sir, with Colonel Fielder in Shafter and Colonel Fielder in Pearl Harbor; meeting Colonel Fielder in Captain Layton's office and perhaps seeing him down town with Captain Mayfield.

Mr. Murphy: Now, it has come to the committee's attention

WARD & PAUL. WASHINGTON. D

10

18

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Murphy
that the FBI sent a message to Washington to the effect
that the Japanese were destroying most of their important
papers as a result of an intercept of a conversation of a
cook in the Japanese Consulate with Japan; did you know
about that?

Captain Rochefort: I did not know about the conversation at the time, sir. I had been informed by the District Intelligence Officer of the fact that the Japanese Consulate was destroying certain papers and codes.

Mr. Murphy: The only difference is that the information that Admiral Kimmel and General Short appeared to have gotten was that the Japanese were reported destroying papers but the FBI reported to Washington that they were destroying most of their important papers. Did you know that?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. The information was given to me that they were destroying their codes.

Mr. Murphy: Codes?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, there was a message from Honolulu to Washington on the 6th day of December that the Japanese were destroying their codes. Did you know about that?

Captain Rochefort: I originated that message, sir, from Honolulu to Washington.

2

3

4

8

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: General Short said that he never heard about that. Do you know whether he did or not?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, I do not.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, do you know whether your counterpart in the Army knew the Japanese were destroying their codes on December 6 at Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, because I received that information from the District Intelligence Officer. I was fairly sure without asking that he had received it either from the FBI or the Army and in either event the Army would have known about it either from the FBI or themselves.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, General Short said he never heard it. Would you be surprised at that?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir; it could very well be that it was a matter which was known perhaps to somebody in General Short's staff and was not considered important. I don't know.

Mr. Murphy: As a Communications expert, Captain, when you heard of the destruction of the codes at the very place where you were located, what did it mean to you?

Captain Rochefort: Well, I am trying to keep hindsight out of it.

Mr. Murphy: Yes. I don't want present day quarterbacks. What did it mean to you on the 6th with the carriers not

ASHINGTON, D

17

18

18

20

23

21

23

24

3

4

6

õ

7

8

9

10

11

13

12

14

15

17

16

18

19

30

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy
Senator Lucas
located, with the war warning message before, with the
feeling that war was coming, and then the sign that right
where you are they are destroying their codes, the expected
enemy?

Captain Rochefort: I think that my reaction at that time would have been that Admiral Hart is going to have himself quite a job very shortly.

Mr. Murphy: You felt that at least some of the forces of the United States were going to be in for action?

Captain Rochefort: That Admiral Hart was going to have himself quite a bit of work to do.

Mr. Murphy: I have just one other question.

Senator Lucas: Will the Congressman yield?

Mr. Murphy: Yes.

Senator Lucas: Captain, in order to straighten out my own mind I would like to ask you one or two questions.

You originated that message that was sent to Washington? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Wherein you advised the Navy that the Japanese Consul was destroying codes?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Now, was that information disseminated after you sent the code or where did the information come from in the first instance?

3

4

5

8

7

8

0

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

30

21

23

23

24

25

.Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Captain Rochefort: The reason for sending the message was twofold. First, we received a message either from Washington or from Admiral Hart, Commander in Chief Asiatic Fleet, to the effect that the Japanese were destroying communications equipment in various placed throughout the world, and my message to Washington was in amplification of that message in part.

Senator Lucas: What I am trying to find out, Captain, is what means you had or what liaison did you have with the Army so that everyone of importance in the Army, and in the Navy, would know about the burning of the codes in the Consulate at Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: I don't think I follow you there, sir. Senator Lucas: Well, you knew the codes were being burned?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: You sent a message to Washington?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Who else knew?

Captain Rochefort: Layton.

Senator Lucas: That codes were being burned?

Captain Rochefort: Layton, I was informed.

Senator Lucas: What was your arrangement between the Army and Navy to get that information to the Army?

(2)

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

10

17

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Senator Lucas

Captain Rochefort: As I said, sir, the information came to me from the District Intelligence Officer.

Senator Lucas: Who was he?

Captain Rochefort: It was Captain Mayfield, sir. He was a Naval officer. Captain Mayfield. He gave me the information. In other words, the District Intelligence Officer then was familiar with the situation. He informed me and I undertook to inform Washington and the Commander in Chief of the Fleet and, as I said before, I was fairly sure that Captain Mayfield had obtained the information in the first instance either from the FBI or from the Army. In any event I would not corsider it my job to have informed either the FBI or the Army.

Senator Lucas: I understand. I am trying to ascertain as to whose responsibility it was to disseminate that information between the Army and the Navy, assuming that the Navy got it first?

Captain Rochefort: The District Intelligence Officer, sir.

Senator Lucas: That was Captain Mayfield? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: And it was his duty to inform the Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet as well as the Army?

19

18

20

22

21

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Lucas

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. Captain Mayfield actually informed me and I undertook to see that the Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet was informed.

Senator Lucas: So it was your duty then to see that the Commander in Chief of the Pacific Fleet was informed? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: Whose duty was it in the Navy -- I assume they got the message first -- whose duty was it in the Navy in Hawaii to advise General Short and his staff of this important message?

Captain Rochefort: I would say the District Intelligence Officer, sir.

Senator Lucas. That would be Captain Mayfield? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: They must have had an arrangement for the exchange of information, intelligence.

Captain Rochefort: From what I observed they worked in close contact.

Senator Lucas: Wouldn't you know about that?

Captain Rochefort: I would not know first-hand.

Senator Lucas: We would have to rely upon Captain
Mayfield for that information as to whether or not he
exchanged information with the Army on such vital information as the burning of codes at that particular time?

3

4

6

7

8

8

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Lucas Mr. Murphy Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. I would have no firsthand information of that.

Senator Lucas: Thank you.

Mr. Murphy: Captain, is there any doubt in your mind that Admiral Kimmel knew of this message that you sent to Washington on the 6th? I believe you said that was sent by the Commandant of the Fourteenth Naval District to Washington.

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. That would be the office clearing the message that I had prepared.

Mr. Murphy: What I mean is do you know whether or not that message, that information about the destruction of codes on the 6th in Hawaii, got to Admiral Kimmel?

Captain Rochefort: I naturally couldn't say positively, sir, but I am quite sure it would have; quite sure.

Mr. Murphy: How would it get there, who would be the one, Layton?

Captain Rochefort: From Layton, yes, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, one other question. In Exhibit 2 there are a number of messages concerning ship locations in Hawaii. Do you recall whether or not you were translating any of the PA-K2 dispatches?

Captain Rochefort: Not until the 3rd or 4th of December,

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: And some of these, though, that you did decode had something to do with the number of ships that were actually in Pearl Harbor, did they not?

Captain Rochefort: As I recall one or two of them did, sir.

Mr. Murphy: I wonder if you would be able to tell which ones -- you did refer to them in your previous testimony, not before this committee but before another committee. It may be that you didn't. Somebody at Hawaii did, Captain.

Captain Rochefort: The only message that I would recall, sir, of any importance in the group that we worked on at Pearl subsequent to the night of 3 December was the rather long message pertaining to lights in homes and that sort of thing.

Mr. Murphy: Had you succeeded in getting enough out of that to know pretty much what they were doing?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. Unfortunately that was not translated until the evening of the 10th.

Mr. Murphy: At any rate, you didn't know what was in it on the 7th?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Had you translated any messages before the 7th which indicated an interest on the part of Tokyo in what was going on at Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

(3)

3

4

3

6

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

WASHINGTON.

13

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

33

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Murphy

Mr. Murphy: None at all?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Did you know that Tokyo was making inquiry of Honolulu for any purpose up to the 7th?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, I recall of no messages that indicated that, sir.

Mr. Murphy: Now, then, my final question: You said you expected there would be a lot of trouble in store for Admiral Hart. How soon after you heard that about the codes being destroyed at Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: Not more than three or four days, sir, at the outside.

Mr. Murphy: Thank you, sir. That is all.

The Chairman: Senator Brewster is next.

Senator Lucas: Mr. Chairman, I have to leave now.

Captain, are you going to be in the city for awhile?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Lucas: I don't know that I shall want to further interrogate you but if you will be here tomorrow I will appreciate it.

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

The Chairman: Mr. Gearhart.

Mr. Gearhart: Captain, how many kinds of codes are there?

3

5

6

8

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

10

30

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Gearhart

The Chairman: Just a moment. Senator Brewster is next. Senator Brewster: I will pass.

The Chairman: Go ahead.

Mr. Gearhart. I have heard of the Army, the Navy, and the diplomatic. Are there any other classifications of codes and ciphers?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. Most any government agency would have its own system or systems as well as the various commercial systems.

Mr. Gearhart: Of course, when you are monitoring for, picking up these codes, you don't know what they are while you are getting them, it is only after you get them and study them that you can classify them; is that correct?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. Over a period of years we had developed a pretty fair knowledgeof the Japanese naval communication system which involved, among other things, a rather detailed knowledge of the radio circuits that were plied, such as between Tokyo and ships at sea, that sort of thing. In Pearl Harbor we merely covered or monitored, if you will, the circuits that we felt the most information was available on.

Mr. Gearhart: Well, do we have respected channels when using radio?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. Even if there weren't, sir,

14 15

21

23

22

24

25

7

6

9

8

11

12

10

13

14

18

17

18

19

80

21

23

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Mr. Gearhart

we would still find it, because we maintain special watches for searching the whole spectrum.

Mr. Gearhart: You broke some of the Naval codes.

Captain Rochefort: Sir?

Mr. Gearhart: You were able to crack some of the Naval codes.

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Gearhart: Some of the diplomatic codes.

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Mr. Gearhart: Were you able to crack any of the Army, Japanese Army codes?

Captain Rochefort: We didn't try anything with the Army systems at all, sir.

Mr. Gearhart: The Army systems would come over the air once in awhile?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, they would, but we were not covering any of those circuits. Our primary concern was with the Navy. When I say that I do not wish to be misunderstood. We were only able to cover a part of the Naval communications system through a lack of personnel.

Mr. Gearhart: Because you were way out in the middle of the Pacific I suppose there weren't many Army messages coming, were there?

Captain Rochefort: We could have probably picked up

(4) 24

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Mr. Gearhart genator Ferguson quite a few had we had the personnel to do it with.

Mr. Gearhart: Once in awhile the Navy could be caught?

Captain Rochefort: We could have, sir, but I conceived

my first job was to put my own house in order, which was

the Japanese Navy. Then when we were able to do that, we

could look around and offer whatever help we could.

Mr. Gearhart: I believe that is all.

The Chairman: Senator Brewster.

Senator Brewster: I pass.

The Chairman: Senator Ferguson.

Senator Ferguson: Captain, there was a message sent from OPNAV, Commander in Chief Pacific Fleet, for Commander in Chief Asiatic Fleet, No. 061743.

Mr. Masten, will you show him that message.

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, that reads:

"In view of the international situation and the exposed position of our outlying Pacific Islands, you may authorize the destruction by them of secret and confidential documents now or under later conditions of greater emergency. Means of communication to support our current operations and special intelligence should of course be maintained until the last moment."

That is the way that reads.

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know when that was received by CINCPAC, which is Pearl Harbor?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, I do not.

Senator Ferguson: Now, will you note whether or not it is marked "Urgent" or "Priority"?

Captain Rochefort: I can't see from this copy, sir, what the classification was. This copy that I have is not marked at all as far as the classification.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know whether in the Navy if it wasn't marked "Priority" or "Urgent" that it would not go "Priority" or "Urgent"?

What hour was it sent out of Washington?

Captain Rochefort: 1743, which would be 12:43 Washington time. In other words, 43 minutes after noon.

Senator Ferguson: On the 6th?

Captain Rochefort: 6 December, Saturday.

Senator Ferguson: Now, did you receive that prior to the attack?

Captain Rochefort: I did not see this message prior to the attack, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know when it did come to your attention?

Captain Rochefort: I recall having seen it, sir, and

WASHINGTON. 0

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Ferguson it must have been some time after the 7th.

Senator Ferguson: Where were you at the time of the attack on Sunday morning at Hawaii?

Captain Rochefort: At Pearl Harbor, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Were you on duty?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. I got out there about 20 minutes after the attack started.

Senator Ferguson: Were you surprised at an attack?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir, at Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: Had you anticipated an attack Sunday morning?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Anywhere?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Where?

Mr. Murphy: May we get the answer as to whether he was surprised at an attack.

(The answer was read as follows:

"Yes, sir, at Pearl Harbor.")

Senator Ferguson: Did you anticipate an attack Sunday morning?

Captain Rochefort: I anticipated an attack might occur any morning or any afternoon certainly definitely along the China Coast, possibly in the Philippines.

20

21

23

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Senator Ferguson: Was that because of your Intelligence?
What would you draw that conclusion from? I mean, when I
say your "Intelligence", I mean the information that you had.

Captain Rochefort: Probably it was due on my part at least to a feeling that the Japanese had more or less committed themselves in Southeast Asia, possibly the Philippines, which would not leave very much for an attack on Pearl Harbor.

Senator Ferguson: I didn't understand.

Captain Rochefort: Which would not leave them very much in the way of ships and planes for an attack on any other spot.

Senator Ferguson: Wasn't the only deterrent to the Japanese movement to the south in Pearl Harbor in the form of ships?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: And airplanes?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Where was the deterrent?

Captain Rochefort: Considering the fact that we had a 5-5-3 ratio in the two fleets, Pearl Harbor, some 5,000 miles aloof from Tokyo, and one of the reasons for the 5-5-3 ratio was to give the Japanese a parity in their own waters, it follows that if they were going to the south that the existence or non-existence of a fleet 5,000 miles

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

8

10

11

13

13

14

15

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort

Senator Ferguson Questions by:

to the eastward was certainly not a major deterrent.

Senator Ferguson: Was it a deterrent?

Captain Rochefort: In my opinion not.

Senator Ferguson: Was there any deterrent at all to them moving?

Captain Rochefort: There would be a deterrent if the entire Japanese Fleet moved to the south and thereby risked a hit and run attack on a certain part of their territory, yes, to that extent.

Senator Ferguson: Well, didn't you know that the entire fleet was not moving south, didn't you know from the British how many ships were moving into the Kra Peninsula?

Captain Rochefort: We could count, both from our own sources and other sources, a group of ships going to the south, which comprised, I would say, probably a majority of the Japanese forces available.

Senator Ferguson: The majority. Would you say over half? Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Well, where did you think the other half was going to strike?

Captain Rochefort: Some, of course, would be in overhaul. Some we just plain lost.

Senator Ferguson: What about those you lost? Didn't you think there may be danger that they would strike?

(5)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Rochefort: They could, yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Then why weren't you prepared at Pearl Harbor?

Captain Rochefort: You will pardon me, sir, but I had a rather relatively junior position in Pearl Harbor in connection with preparations.

Senator Ferguson: You were the head of the Intelligence Section?

Captain Rochefort: I was the head of the Combat Intelligence Section, radio intelligence section.

Senator Ferguson: You were the head of the Radio Combat Intelligence Section?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Wouldn't it be your duty to appraise as to where Japan was going to attack, when Japan was going to attack and where she was going to attack, and with what force?

Captain Rochefort: Based on radio intelligence only, sir, we would.

Senator Ferguson: Now, do I understand then that we had our Intelligence so divided that you only operated on radio intelligence?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Then what did you mean by your last

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Rochefort: We gave all the information that we had available or that we had deduced or estimated that had been obtained by means of radio intelligence to the Fleet Intelligence Officer.

Senator Ferguson: That was --

Captain Rochefort: Captain Layton.

Senator Ferguson: And then you didn't pay any attention after you had given it to him and had drawn the appraisal of it?

Captain Rochefort: We gave him our best estimate, sir.

Senator Ferguson: Now, did you estimate to him that
there was going to be an attack somewhere on Sunday?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, not on Sunday. We did not specify any date, as I recall.

Senator Ferguson: Well, when did you give him the last estimate?

Captain Rochefort: Saturday, sir, December 6.

Senator Ferguson: When did you tell him it would happen?

Captain Rochefort: We did not tell them, to the best of my knowledge, on Saturday, 6 December, when it would happen.

Senator Ferguson: Did you tell them it was going to happen?

3

4

ð

8

7

8

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

18

20

21

22

23

24

Witness Rochefort Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Captain Rochefort: We indicated very strongly there was an offensive movement.

Senator Ferguson: An offensive movement where? Captain Rochefort: I think perhaps the best statement on that, sir, would be the November 26 message.

Senator Ferguson: What did you say to him on the 26th? I am trying to find out now -- you are the Radio Intelligence man there -- why they didn't know about this attack coming, I am trying to find out why the Intelligence System didn't work out there.

Captain Rochefort: As to that I have no answer, Mr. Senator.

Senator Ferguson: You have no answer as to why it didn't work?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir. I cannot explain to you why we did not specify a certain date or a day in the week.

Senator Ferguson: Well, if you had known under your radio system that there was a message being delivered in Washington Sunday morning and it was to be delivered to the Secretary of State at 1:00 o'clock and that it was even more than an ultimatum, would that have given you the hour?

Captain Rochefort: I believe it would have, sir. Senator Ferguson: Did you get such a message?

2

3

4

6

ō

7

8

9

10

ARD # 13

Hook 13

0 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

28

Witness Rochefort

Questions by: Senator Ferguson

Mr. Murphy: Will the Senator yield?

Senator Ferguson: No, not now.

Mr. Murphy: I am wondering the basis for the Senator's statement about it being more than an ultimatum.

Senator Ferguson: Do you know what I am talking about, the 14th part message?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir. I did not see that message until 1944-1945.

Senator Ferguson: Now, when you had this, as you call it, the radio intelligence, did you get other intelligence so that you could appraise the entire situation?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

hl fls AL

2

4

õ

в

7

8

5

10

or.

11

12

13

14

15.

10

13

18

19

20

21

23

23

24

25

Senator Fergumon: Well then, did you give to Captain
Layton an entire appraisal, as far as the Navy was concerned of the situation as to whether or not to expect
war and where to expect it?

Captain Rochefort: Yes, sir.

Senator Ferguson: When did you give it to him? Captain Rochefort: Daily.

Senator Ferguson: Well, did you ever call it to his attention that there was going to be war as far as the United States was concerned?

Captain Rochefort: No, I would not say in writing that we made the flat statement that there was or was not going to be war. We gave them indications as we saw it.

Senator Ferguson: Were those indications that we were going to have war with Japan, America was?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir.

Senator Ferguson: You never gave him such an appraisal?

Captain Rochefort: No, sir, not in writing. We may

have discussed the matter and undoubtedly did at great length.

Senator Ferguson: If you had the foundation for such appraisal, why didn't you put it in writing?

The Chairman: It is five o'clock. Obviously we can't finish with the witness. We will recess until 10 o'clock tomorrow morning.

Mr. Murphy: May we have available in the morning that 26 summary?

(Whereupon, at 5 o'clock p.m., the committee recessed until 10 o'clock a.m., Saturday, February 16, 1946.)

