

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Takashima-cho Date March 15
 Location Minami School-House of Takashima High School
 Chairman of course Tamejiro Dainichi, Head of Town Office
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tamejiro Dainichi
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Taizo Kojima (Education)
 - b. Shinichiro Yurugi (Economics)
 - c. Tobei Kizaki (Labor)
 - d. Shinkei Tada (Welfare)
 - e. Noboru Nagai (Health)
 - f. Tamejiro Dainichi (Legal)
 - g. Yabei Fukui (Information), Wine Dealer
3. Period: _____
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 62 Female: 63
 - a. Age group 72 (20-25 of age), 34 (25-40 of age) and 19 (over 40 of age)
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education only
 - b. Audio or visual aids Gramophone music were played and owing to send-off day of electric current other audios were impossible to practice
 - c. Open discussion "whether coeducation is good or bad" was most actively discussed
 - d. Critique or summary Education lecturer, the middle school principal gave a deep impression to the audience and the meeting was a great success
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (o) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | |
|--|--------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Remark:

- (1) The AEC budget is estimated at ¥ 20,000
- (2) The publicity is well permeated making contact with every hamlet.
- (3) The AEC course is held in 24-places
- (4) Today's course is to train the lecturers in each hamlet and the attendants are to hold their own AEC in their hamlet and so their cooperation with the AEC is most enthusiastic
- (5) The discussion was so lively and the course here is worth recommendation
- (6) The place ranks highest in culture in Takashima-gun

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ado-cho, Takashima-gun Date March 14
 Location Shinkofu, Myozato, Ado-cho
 Chairman of course Soichi Idioki, Assemblyman of the town
 Inspecting officer Riechi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Soichi Idioki
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shojiro Idioki (welfare)
 - b. Shigeo Nishikawa (Health)
 - c. Yoshii Matsumoto (Education)
 - d. Soichi Idioki (Economics)
 - e. Chuzo Nishizawa (Information), middle school teacher
 - f. Eitaro Idirai (Legal)
 - g. Akira Sakada (Labor)
3. Period: _____
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 60 Female: 39
 - a. Age group 18 in twenties, 8 in thirties, 38 in forties, 18 in fifties
14 in sixties, 2 in seventies, 1 in eighties
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Information, Legal
and Labor lectures were delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids Talkies of fire prevention, playground
for children and local autonomy; dancing of school girls
 - c. Open discussion Owing to shortage of time, discussion
was not held
 - d. Critique or summary Both lectures were primary and
middle school teachers and clearly and well
delivered
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The mayor of the village is much interested in social education and the master of the post office here is well cooperating with the mayor and the atmosphere as a whole is very warm and enthusiastic

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kido-mura, Shiga-gun Date March 12
 Location Kido Primary School, Kidomura
 Chairman of course Ryosei Kido
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ryosei Kido, Head of village office
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Riichi Imanishi (Information)
 - b. Sakujiro Tsujiguchi (Education)
 - c. Ryosei Kido (Legal)
 - d. Shuji Murakami (Labor)
 - e. Idambei Nakai (Economics)
 - f. Kiyoshi Nishikawa (Idealth)
 - g. Yuzo Kido (Welfare)
3. Period: March every Saturday, April every Saturday
4. Attendance: Male: 357 Female: 88
 - a. Age group 126 (20-25 ysold), 87 (26-30 ysold), 125 (31-40), 69 (41-50 ysold)
38 (51-60 ysold)
5. Program used: Information, Education
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was clearly and skillfully delivered
 - b. Audio or visual aids Magic lantern (Merchant of Venice) as recreation and chart as aid to presentation of problem
 - c. Open discussion was active but not sufficient owing to short of time.
 - d. Critique or summary Lecture was excellent and audience well listened to him and the meeting was a great success
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (/) Unsatisfactory (/)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The chairman of the AEC, head of the village is highly cultured and has bright career in business circle and is much interested in social education and accordingly is doing his best for the AEC and the audience was very enthusiastic. Lecturers used charts, preparation was very good and the meeting was a great success. It is worth recommendation

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Idirose-mura, Takashima-gun Date March 11
 Location Soenji Temple, Shimokoga, Idirsemura, Takashima-gun
 Chairman of course Sajuro Kitagawa, Village Office Headman
 Inspecting officer Riechi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Sajuro Kitagawa
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Jien Yatsushiro (Education), Seiken Idiedami (Legal)
 - b. Irie Isamu (Labor), Kisaburo Kitamura (Information)
 - c. Juyomon Idissi (Economics), Yasubumi Miyatani (Health)
 - d. Yoshio Kitamura (Welfare)
 - e. _____
 - f. Remark: Kisaburo Kitamura (Information), Middle
 - g. School Teacher
3. Period: March, 4, 5, 11, 12, 18, 19
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 10 Female: 20
 - a. Age group 7 in twenties, 8 in thirties, 9 in forties, 6 in fifties
5. Program used: Legal, Information
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was very good and the salient points were skillfully delivered and the preparation was very good
 - b. Audio or visual aids Charts were well prepared
 - c. Open discussion was rather active and the audience enjoyed the lecture
 - d. Critique or summary Lectures were excellent but it is a pity that audience were rather small
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As a rule, the meeting here is held in the evening, but it has been lately raining, and so the headman of the village office supposed that it would be wet today and held the A.E.C today in the daytime, but today was clear and so the village folks were very busy collecting firewood in the hills and accordingly the audience was not so large as he expected, but the lecturers were very skilful and gave much impression to the audience

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sayama-mura, Koka-gun Date March 9
 Location Saji Shrine, Kojiri, Sayama-mura
 Chairman of course Chuichiro Fukui, Representative of Community Level
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Itsuji Ban
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shigemasa Sakaguchi (Information), Moichiro Oki (Legal,
 - b. Shunryu Idori (Education), Kazuo Nakajima (Labor),
 - c. Sadatengu Kawai (Economics), Chuichiro Fukui (Welfare),
 - d. Toshiro Fuchie (Health)
 - e. _____
 - f. _____
 - g. _____
3. Period: March 9, 12, 15, 18, 22, 25, 28, 31, April 4, 7, 11, 14, 18, 21, 25
4. Attendance: _____ Male: 90 Female: 10
 - a. Age group Youth 30, middle-aged 50, aged 20
5. Program used: Information, Labor
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman was fairly well
 - b. Audio or visual aids None
 - c. Open discussion was held but not so active
 - d. Critique or summary The gist was made clear
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Audience was to a capacity and was earnest, ^{but} ~~and~~ discussion was rather inactive

Lecturers ^{were} comparatively skilful in delivery and the meeting was a success

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kitahitsa-mura, Gamo-gun Date 8
 Location Enryuji Temple, Nekoda, Kitahitsa-mura
 Chairman of course Chu-nemon Suzuki, Headman of the village office
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mitsu Mitsuo Inazuka

2. Name of committee:

- a. Shuichi Mimura (Education)
 b. Daiun Kishi (Buddhist Priest), Expert of visual Education (Information)
 c. Kaname Miyamoto (Labor)
 d. Idoji Yamanaka (Economics)
 e. Idisao Kawamura (Health)
 f. Taide Kakusui (Welfare)
 g. Mitsuo Inazuka (Legal)

Remark: Daiun Kishi is suffering from pneumonia, so Bunshiro Toda, social education chief of the village office took his place

3. Period: March 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23, 24, 26, 28, 29, 30, 31.

4. Attendance: April 2, 5, 7. Male: 62 Female: 10
 a. Age group youth: 9 (male), 2 (female), married: 28 (male), 10 (female)
aged: 25 (male), 4 (women), totaling 98

5. Program used: Legal Economics Information
 a. Presentation of problem by chairman was fairly well and well permeated
 b. Audio or visual aids Magic lantern (schools in America; process of democratization)
 c. Open discussion Owing to shortage of time, discussion was not active
 d. Critique or summary well summarized

6. How was it received:
 a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair (\) Unsatisfactory (\)
 7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? () (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The lecturer was earnest and the audience well listened to him and so gave much impression

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ono, Idayamamura, Kuritagun Date March 7
 Location C. P. H. Idayama-mura, Kuritagun
 Chairman of course Idideo Kunimatsu, vice-mayor of the village
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando (CI)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Idideo Kunimatsu (the same as the above)
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Shinichi Satouchi (Economic), Seien Pokai (inf),
 - b. Idideo Kunimatsu (Legal), Mosuke Morino (Economics),
 - c. Denichiro Ota ("), Mohichi Yamanaka ("), Masajiro Uno (Labor),
 - d. Teiichi Morino (Welfare), Fumiko Ota (Health),
 - e. _____
 - f. _____
 - g. _____
3. Period: March, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, 21, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31
4. Attendance: April, 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29,
 Male: 17 Female: 5
- a. Age group 5 in twenties, 6 in thirties, 7 in forties, 4 in fifties totalling 22
5. Program used: Legal, Economics, Information
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids None
 - c. Open discussion Owing to shortage of time, discussion was postponed to next meeting
 - d. Critique or summary well summarized
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (o) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory (✗)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The lecturer of information is a budhist priest and skilful artist and interested in social education, and the vice headman of the village office is very enthusiastic for the AEC and accordingly their speeches were well delivered and much impression to the audience. It is worth recommendation

The AEC in the village is being held very many place in order to permeate democracy into villagers, so the number of audience is not so large at one place

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yamauchi-mura Date March 6
 Location Yamauchi-mura (at Yamauchi Primary School)
 Chairman of course Masuzo Nakamichi Shogaburo Bamba
 Inspecting officer Riichi Ando (C.I.)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Masuzo Nakamichi

2. Name of committee:

- a. Sadajiro Amano, Jubei Matsunoka,
 b. Mitsuzo Maruta, Shigera Yamashita,
 c. Sotaro Okada, Sutejiro Kurokawa,
 d. Kosaburo Tsuchiyama, Ichizo Tsuchiyama,
 e. Busuke Nakamori, Tamotsu Hirako,
 f. Sadama Idattori, Asako Ogura
 g. Jin Maegawa,

3. Period: March 2, 6, 15, 20, 26 April 1, 10, 20

4. Attendance: Male: 250 Female: 350
 a. Age group Juvenile 50 (boy), 50 (girl); Youth 80 (male), 80 (female); manhood 100 (male), 120 (female); aged 20 (male), 50 (female) totalling 600

5. Program used: Information

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman was fairly well conducted, but was a little abstract, and illustrations were a little scanty.
 b. Audio or visual aids Recreation ("Naniwa bushi" "Mangai")
 c. Open discussion audience was as many as expected and 2-lecturers were enthusiastic and the meeting was a success, however open discussion was not active
 d. Critique or summary The audience listened to the lecturers and the lecturers were did their best, but the presentation of problem was a little flat and the gist was not outstanding

6. How was it received: Fairly well

a. Enthusiastic (0) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Remark:
 The mark @
 is information
 lecturer

II Evaluation

- 1: Is location satisfactory and easily accessible? (yes) (no)
- 2: Is it attractive and comfortable? (yes) (no)
- 3: Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (yes) (no)
- 4: Is time suitable for the representations? (yes) (no)
- 5: Was speaker's presentation effective and ~~with full conviction for this program?~~ ^{with full understandable?} (yes) (no)
- 6: Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (yes) (no)
- 7: Is it effective? (yes) (no)

III Recommendations and Comments:

Recreations such as "manzai" (strolling comic players) and "naniwabushi" (story-teller) played too a big part, so audience was to a capacity.

In this community level "keirokai" (meeting of respect for the aged and so on) is held concurrently with the AEC, and accordingly the audience is very large.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ogata Village Self Govt Assoc. Date 22 March 1949.
 Location Ogata Village Meeting Hall
 Chairman of course Mrs. K. Sato
 Inspecting officer G. Morris

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ryachō Zenmura (Priest)

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mrs. K. Sato (P.N.) Pres of Women's Assoc.
- b. Mr. Zenmura (Secy) Pres of V. H.
- c. Mr. S. Okumura (Htg) Pres of V. H.
- d. Mr. K. Yamamoto (Labor) Priest
- e. Mr. S. Yashimoto (Inform)
- f. Mr. T. Odani (Vell) Village office
- g. Mr. G. Toriwaki (Educ) Elem S.

3. Period: P.M.

4. Attendance: 70 Male: 40 Female: 30

a. Age group High School to Old Age, majority

5. Program used: In middle age group.

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health Center Organization, T.B., V.D.

b. Audio or visual aids Slides T.B. control.

c. Open discussion None

d. Critique or summary This program was too long

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The program was too long. Speaker presented what she had been taught well but was not qualified to answer questions. People began to lose interest after about 2 hrs of speech making. Visual aids were not sufficient. Feel that this program would be more effective if not so much ground was covered in one night and if more visual aid were available.

E. Morris

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kitahitsusa Mura Date March 9
Location Seitoku temple, the third meeting place
Chairman of course Chuemon Suzuki.
Inspecting officer S. Suzuki

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Chuemon Suzuki
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Hoji Yamanaka. (Economic)
 - b. Kaname Miyamoto (Labor)
 - c. Syuichi Mimura (Education)
 - d. Daiun Kshi (Information)
 - e. Mitsuo Kamuro (Legal and Government)
 - f. Toshio Kawamura (Sanitation)
 - g. Teido Kakusui (Welfare)
3. Period: From March 7 to April 7.
4. Attendance: 95 Male: 74 Female: 21
 - a. Age group Age between 20. to 30---18, 30 to 40---31 over 40--42.
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Food collection and delivery (Economic) and general economic situation and economic Control Organization. (") Democracism and Labor Union,
 - b. Audio or visual aids Labor law in Japan. (Labor)
Magic lantern title of which is gradation of democracy.
 - c. Open discussion Devaluation, agricultural panic, single exchange-rate and price of imported food and the like were dicussed over between lecturer and hearers.
 - d. Critique or summary Adult Education course was held here for the first time, so the people didn't understand exactly what it meant and they discussed over big problems which
6. How was it received: were not in touch to their daily life.
 - a. Enthusiastic (=) Fair (0) Unsatisfactory (—)
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (He)

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

f

Name Aoyagi — Tokushoji Temple
Kamiogawa — Gyokurinji Temple Date 19 Mar. 1949
 Location Aoyagi-mura, Takashima-gun.
 Chairman of course Mr. Fujiro Kitagawa
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Buichiro Shimura
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Kotaro Kitagawa ; Yukichi Fuchita
 - b. Manzo Kawagoe ; Mokujo Fuchita
 - c. Muoyu Mukuki ; Hiroshi Sawamura
 - d. Shotaro Usui ; Yae Kawagoe
 - e. Hisako Ezaka ; Una Fujii
 - f. Sachiko Komagine
 - g. _____
3. Period: 15 Mar — 21 Mar.
4. Attendance: 146 Male: 58 Female: 88
 - a. Age group 40 — average
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. New Constitution
b. Family Law c. Object of democratic edu-
cation. d. New Japan and educational improvement
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts.
 - c. Open discussion round-table discussion.
The freedom of assembly stipulated by
New Constitution.
 - d. Critique or summary Program was not systematic.
Opening time is not written in the schedule
presented to Civil Education Section.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (0) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes 0) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Publicity method : a. Village authorities notified in advance the village people of the holding of adult education course. b. Young men's association cooperated with village authorities actively.

| Attendance : | Total number of house | Attendance | Attendance rate Δ |
|-----------------|-----------------------|------------|--------------------------|
| Aoyagi district | 150 | 104 | 69% |
| Kamiogawa | 47 50 | 42 | 84% |

3. Budget used :

- a. charcoal 4 bales
- b. Expenses for meeting halls : ¥600
- c. Emumerations for instructors are uncertain.

4. Opening time was late for one hour.
5. Attendance of young men and women was very few.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Noka Office Date 16 Mar. 1949
 Location Ogots-mura, Shiga-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Yoshinaka Yoshimura
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Nobuo Tsuji
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Yoshisuke Ueda. Hikoji Okumura.
 - b. Tatsuzo Kawabata. Seizo Furukawa.
 - c. Ichiji Ueda. Yukiko Tanaka.
 - d. Kinosuke Morishita. Yasu Ueda.
 - e. Kaoru Ito. Hagi Morishita.
 - f. Genaro Kawabata. Teicho Mikami.
 - g. Kimu Wada
3. Period: 10 Mar. — 20 Apr. 1949
4. Attendance: 67 Male: 22 Female: 25 Young men 20
 - a. Age group 30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. The fundamental human rights stipulated by the new Constitution. b. The Family Law. c. Court system and criminal procedure
 - b. Audio or visual aids a. Slides. ① Until the formation of democratic organizations. ② Robinson Crusoe. b. charts.
 - c. Open discussion Very vigorous (round-table discussion). Assistant mayor himself took initiative on open discussion.
 - d. Critique or summary The use of charts by speaker served the understanding on the part of participants.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

- a. Attendance rate was about 88 per cent.
- b. Open discussion was very vigorous. Few questions were presented by the women. Chief of the women's association Mrs. Kimiko Sato (Expert committee member of Public health) participated in discussion actively. The questions were chiefly relative to the Family Law. The participants were very enthusiastic.
- c. It seemed to me that village people were highly cooperating with village authorities.
- d. A great effect of adult education course will be brought about in this village. The program and period of this course in this village seems to be conscientious.
- e. I propose the development of democratic education for women in general, with the women's association as its centre.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name The 3rd Primary School Date 12 Mar. 1949
 Location Konan-cho, Hoka-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Nobutake Nakagawa
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Nobutake Nakagawa
2. Name of committee:
 - a. (Education) Seigoro Koyama
 - b. (Health) Tsujie Fukui
 - c. (Legal) Taroichi Hattori (Kazuo Takewaka)
 - d. (Economy) Shin Takaki (Umeso Ikeda)
 - e. (Welfare) Yoshitada Shimizu (Mitsuko Shizunaga)
 - f. (Information) Tetsu Akutagawa (Shigeru Yamamoto)
 - g. (Labor) Yoshinori Kimura
3. Period: 12 Mar. — 9 Apr. (Saturday every week)
4. Attendance: 65 Male: 24 Female: 41
 - a. Age group 25 — 30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman 1. The sovereignty of the people's will 2. The people's right to elect and recall the public service men.
 - b. Audio or visual aids None
 - c. Open discussion On account of the greater part of participants being women, discussions were not vigorous.
 - d. Critique or summary The art of speaking was very good.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As the greater part of participants were women, so speaker made lectures in a simple manner. Women seem to be more enthusiastic than men, generally speaking. But it is questionable if her ability of understanding is equal to that of men. Herein lies a difficulty of speaking to women.

They did not ask any questions, as they probably did not know what they should ask. The first step for education of women is to make them know what they should ask, and as its preliminary to lead them to have some sort of question. It is necessary to eliminate the passivity of women. Speaker touched such points as they would have questions.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ishidera Meeting Hall Date 11 Mar. 1949
 Location Oiso-mura, Gamo-gun
 Chairman of course Jikken Nakamura
 Inspecting officer Etsuo Yamunaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Jikken Nakamura

2. Name of committee:

- a. Yasaburo Imamoto
- b. Masao Inoue
- c. Shinjiro Kawase
- d. Yoshiaki Inoue
- e. Isaku Morimoto
- f. Konosuke Matsuzawa
- g. Moto Inouchi

3. Period: 3 Mar — 17 Mar. 1949.

4. Attendance: 93 Male: 61 Female: 32

a. Age group 35 — 40

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Kind of taxes
b. Calculating method of taxes.

b. Audio or visual aids chart and poster

c. Open discussion taxation method.

d. Critique or summary It was appropriate that speaker has used charts and posters.

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman was made in the manner of meeting the present situation of Oiso-mura. Such a manner seems to give interest to the village people.
- b. Participants were enthusiastic.
- c. It is very good that speaker ~~th~~ has explained with detailed examples.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Primary School's Lecture-hall Date 8 Mar. 1949
 Location Kumoi-mura, Koka-gun
 Chairman of course Ryusei Hasumi
 Inspecting officer Etsuo Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Ryusei Hasumi
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Yasutaro Inoue
 - b. Kiheiji Onishi
 - c. Yaichiro Nakanishi
 - d. Sasuke Uda
 - e. Hideichi Konishi
 - f. Yoji Tokuchi
 - g. Matsue Nakanishi ; Naka Shimizu
3. Period: From 8 Mar. to 28 Apr. 1949
4. Attendance: 348 Male: 244 Female: 104
 - a. Age group 35 - 40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. The fundamental character of the New Constitution, b. The explanation of the fundamental human right.
 - b. Audio or visual aids movies, slides, poster.
 - c. Open discussion panel discussion ; Two persons presented questions actively.
 - d. Critique or summary The explanation of the New Constitution by chairman was very understandable to the attendants.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily accessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

- a. The chairman and attendants were very enthusiastic.
- b. The attendants amounted to 348.
- c. A person emphasized the necessity of excluding bosses from the village administration. Explaining the Article 15 of the New Constitution, I added that it was their duty and responsibility to elect good public service men.
- ✓ d. A person stated that such an important and useful course should have been held immediately after the termination of war. He welcomed heartily the holding of the adult education course.
- e. I have a prospect that this course will be successful in this village.
- f. This village adult education committee delivered to the village people pamphlets on which 13 items of questions and the aim of this course were written.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Higashi-Seoto Meeting Hall Date 7 Mar. 1949
 Location Tsuchiyama-cho, Koka-gun
 Chairman of course Yasugoro Omori
 Inspecting officer Etsuo Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yasugoro Omori
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Senjiro Tsutsui
 - b. Sukezaburo Hattori
 - c. Genshichi Kishino
 - d. Harue Yabu
 - e. Yasugoro Omori
 - f. Nobuo Miyahara
 - g. Hidekichi Hori
3. Period: From 5 Mar. to 9 Mar. 1949.
4. Attendance: 31 Male: 22 Female: 9
 - a. Age group 35 - 40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. The fundamental human right of the New Constitution
b. Abolition of Family System.
 - b. Audio or visual aids chart
 - c. Open discussion panel discussion;
a. Rate of inherited property.
b. Alternation of last name by marriage or divorce.
 - d. Critique or summary The attendants were interested in the Family Law, but had few knowledge of it.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

I pointed out to the chairman that the period of adult education course was too short. To this, he stated that they had a program to develop the important course with young men's association or women's association as its centre in the near future. I also emphasized the necessity of holding of such a meeting for democratized education permanently.

The centre of their interest in the Family Law was relative to the rate of inherited property, with which they would have a close connection.

✓ I felt heartily the importance of giving opportunities for mental elevation to the farmers more and more.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name { Tsujiisawa — Eiseiji Temple
Oku — meeting Hall Date 22 Mar. 1949
 Location Aiba-mura, Takashima-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Isao Hatta
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Kuichi Kaito
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Isaburo Kato ; Jizaemon Hizume
 - b. Yajisuke Aiba ; Kuhei Tsuji
 - c. Shoji Susuki ; Tokudayu Adachi
 - d. Ryozo Kuwahara ; Tokuzo Kawashima
 - e. Kakuzo Kawashima ; Magofu Kawaguchi
 - f. Katsuji Endo ; Mitsuo Yamakawa
 - g. Sukeichi Kaito
3. Period: 17 Mar. — 24 Mar.
4. Attendance: 73 Male: 44 Female: 29
 - a. Age group male 40 female 35
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman a. Information
b. Labor c. Legal d. public health
e. public welfare f. economy
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts
 - c. Open discussion Very vigorous. (infectious diseases;
single exchange rate ; population
problem)
 - d. Critique or summary Adult education course in this
village will be successful.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Punctuality : late for one hour.
2. Publicity
 - a. Holding of liaison personnel meeting.
 - b. Through junior high school boys and girls.
 - c. Through village assembly
 - d. Through women's association
 - e. Adult education course pamphlet was distributed to each house. In this pamphlet the course object is described.

3. Attendance rate:

| | Attendance | male | female | total number of house | attendance rate |
|--------------------|------------|------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Eiseiji Temple | 42 | 19 | 23 | 150 | 21.3% |
| Okada Meeting Hall | 31 | 25 | 6 | 293 | 10.6% |

4. Budget used in this course:

- a. Expenses for meeting halls ¥ 2,500
¥ 500 per meeting hall
- b. Emumerations to instructors: ¥ 4,000 ~ 5000

5. Many questions were presented by women.
6. Art of speaking of instructors: Very well.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Senkeiji Temple; Sotakuji Temple; Tenrikyo Church Date 23 Mar. 49
 Location Imazucho, Takashima-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Yoshiro Fukuda; Rokuosuke Hayakawa
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Rikichi Macgawa
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Kazuhiko Mitamura; Juzo Horii
 - b. Suzuo Harada; Kenichi Kanagawa
 - c. Sei Horii; Fujio Horii
 - d. Kazuko Kakagawa
 - e. Eijiro Kinoshita
 - f. Mitsuo Morie
 - g. Shoen Daido.
3. Period: 17 Mar. — 25 Mar.
4. Attendance: 411 Male: 213 Female: 198
 - a. Age group 40 ~ 45 average.
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman (1) Information (2) Legal (3) Economy (4) Labor (5) Public health (6) public welfare (7) Education
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts, recreation (music)
 - c. Open discussion None.
 - d. Critique or summary Successful
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

a. Punctuality : late for half an hour.

b. publicity : (1) posters
(2) through school boys and girls
(3) distribution of this course pamphlet.

c. Attendance rate :

| | attendance | male | female | total number of house | attendance rate |
|-----------------|------------|------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| Senkeiji Temple | 121 | 73 | 48 | 270 | 44.8% |
| Sotakuji Temple | 147 | 59 | 88 | 300 | 49% |
| Tenrikyo Church | 143 | 81 | 62 | 270 | 53% |

d. Budget used in this course :

¥10,000 is scheduled to be presented to the town assembly session beginning on 26th.

meeting hall expenses

charcoal expenses

Emumerations to 7 instructors

Adult education course pamphlet expenses

} are involved in it.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shimo — Jokoji Temple Date 24 Mar. 49
 Location Kentsuma-mura, Takashima-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Denichi Oda
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Yosomatsu Takaki
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. Motohide Takaki; Magojiro Yasumoto
 - b. Tazo Nimitsuji; Zengaku Hiroumi
 - c. Matoaki Furutake; Denzo Maekawa
 - d. Eikichi Kobayashi; Yoichiro Maekawa
 - e. Kitaro Inohana; Takao Ensuji
 - f. Otojiro Kano; Ryoichi Maekawa
 - g. _____
3. Period: 17 Mar. — 25 Mar. 49
4. Attendance: 84 Male: 52 Female: 32
 - a. Age group 42 (average)
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman (1) Education (2) Information (3) Legal (4) public welfare (5) public health (6) Economy (7) labor
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts, movies (The way to medical science; the story of local autonomy; town is also a school)
 - c. Open discussion Very vigorous.
 - d. Critique or summary successful.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (O) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. evaluation

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (yes) (no)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (yes) (no)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (yes) (no)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (yes) (no)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (yes) (no)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (yes) (no)
7. Is it effective? (yes) (no)

III. Recommendations and comments:

1. Punctuality: late for half an hour.
2. Publicity:
 - a. Distribution of this course pamphlet to each house.
 - b. Expression of posters.
 - c. movie show.

3. Attendance rate:

| | | |
|------------|----|------------------------------|
| Attendance | 84 | } total number of house: 74. |
| male | 52 | |
| female | 32 | |

attendance rate: 113.51%.

4. Budget used in this course:

estimated at ₦ 6,000

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nishisho Primary School Date 25 Mar. 49
 Location Nishisho-mura, Takashima-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Teicho Nishina
 Inspecting officer E. Yamanaka

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Miki Hiroi

2. Name of committee:

a. Mr. Shintaro Nishikawa

b. Mr. Jirokichi Kawagoe

c. Mr. Nitaro Nozaki

d. Mr. Zenzaburo Aotani

e. Mr. Chuzo Takeuchi

f. Mr. Zinzaburo Nakata

g. Mr. Hisao Kinoshita

3. Period: 10 Mar. — 25 Mar.

4. Attendance: 325 Male: 175 Female: 150

a. Age group: 40 (average)

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman (1) The essence of democracy (2) The enlightenment of veterans.

b. Audio or visual aids movies: (The way to medical science; the story of local autonomy; town is also a school)

c. Open discussion none

d. Critique or summary successful

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (O) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes O) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Punctuality : late for half an hour.
2. Publicity : (1) Adult education course pamphlet and list of attendance was distributed to each house.
(2) posters.

3. Attendance rate :

| Attendance | male | female | total number of house | attendance rate |
|------------|------|--------|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 325 | 175 | 150 | 400 | 81.3% |

4. Budget used in this course :

- a. ₱ 2,000 (out of 1948-49 fiscal year budget)
 - b. 49-50 fiscal year budget
 - (1) stationary expenses : ₱ 1,000
 - (2) fuel expenses : ₱ 1,300
 - (3) printing expenses : ₱ 600
 - (4) meeting hall expenses : ₱ 600
 - (5) meeting and other expenses : ₱ 3500
 - (6) remunerations to instructors : ₱ 1,000
- } total
₱ 8,000

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Ichinobe village, Nukazuka Date 7th March
 Location Kansu gun
 Chairman of course Mr. F. Okada
 Inspecting officer Mr. R. Iramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. F. Okada
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Mr. Fuyuchi Middle school teacher
 - b. Information: Mr. K. Mukai Mayor of the village
 - c. Legal: Mr. G. Inokamoto Professor of the University
 - d. Economic: Mr. Shimakawa Assistant Mayor
 - e. Labor: Mr. S. Kurose
 - f. Welfare: Mr. S. Hirayama Welfare man in the village office
 - g. Health: Min T. Fukami village nurse
3. Period: 7.00 p.m. to ~ but started from 8.00 p.m.
4. Attendance: 32 Male: 26 Female: 6
 - a. Age group youngest 16 ~ oldest about 60 most of them are 18 ~ 25.
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare: the lecture was on "the necessity of social security"
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides & charts.
 - c. Open discussion very few questions were presented. People say that there's no connection actually with this welfare activities; of course which is mistaken.
 - d. Critique or summary People say that they are only interested about rice collection & tax and the lecture of this kind does not attract them.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village yes.
of the prefecture no.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessable? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (Not much.)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (Not very)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes a little) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Although it was a very strong windy night and snowing, quite many audience gathered.

Using of charts & showing of slides were quite effective.

I could see the committee working cooperatively & earnestly, but to-day's instructor was a little poor. (of course this was the first day of adult education course I could not blame).

It was announced that it will start at 7 o'clock, but actually began at 8 o'clock and closed at 12 o'clock.

Still here I could expect a success after having these meetings couple of times.

More women attendants are desired:

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sayama village - Koga gun. Date 9th March.
 Location "Jinbo" meeting hall.
 Chairman of course Mr. Chieko Fukui
 Inspecting officer Mr. R. Yamura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: _____

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: Mr. S. Horii school teacher.
- b. Information: Mr. M. Oki & Mr. S. Sakaguchi. village official & police man
- c. Economics: Mr. S. Kawai village official.
- d. Labour: Mr. K. Nakajima "
- e. Legal: Mr. Mitsuo police man
- f. Welfare: Mr. C. Fukui village official.
- g. Health: Mr. T. Fujino "

3. Period: 8.00 pm. to 12.00 p.m.

4. Attendance: 60 Male: 40 Female: 20

a. Age group ^{60 all together} 10-20: 10; 20-40: 20; 40-60: 10; over 60: 10;

5. Program used:

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Health & Welfare & Legal. The activities of the health center, the social security, and the new constitution were the lectures given to night.
- b. Audio or visual aids charts were used
- c. Open discussion questions & answers. just one question on rice delivery was given presented.
- d. Critique or summary seemed very dull, the instructors were not suitable

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? () (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (~~Yes~~) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (~~Yes~~) (Not all .)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (~~Yes~~) (Not much)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

1. Audience were very late to gather. And this is a very bad old custom, it should be taught to keep time. Instructors were late too.
2. More women attendants are desired.
3. Some recreation should be planned.
4. Instructors were very poor in some subjects.

at.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Shima village Gamo-gun. Date 10, March.
 Location Village office, upstairs meeting hall.
 Chairman of course Mr. Gubei Fukai.
 Inspecting officer Dr. R. Teramura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Tsunejio Nakai. Dr. H. Ooi.

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: T. Kanda. primary school principal.
- b. Information: Shindo. assistant major. village assembly man.
- c. Economics: S. Miyao. Head of Agricultural Association.
- d. Labor: M. Sekime. Chief of youth organization.
- e. Legal: N. Nishimura. chairman of the village assembly.
- f. Welfare: B. Fukai. social education committee.
- g. Health: H. Ooi. & Y. Mamiya. Doctor & School nurse.

3. Period: started at 2:30 ~~o'clock~~ & closed at 4:30

4. Attendance: 47 Male: 4 Female: 40

a. Age group over 70 ~ 30 18 30 ~ 40 15 40 ~ 8

5. Program used: below 20 b.

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Health & Personal Hygiene especially on cleanliness.

b. Audio or visual aids none

c. Open discussion questions & answers.
1. About disease & 1 about the highest death rate in the village.

d. Critique or summary fair. attendants were all mothers except few and they seemed to understand well and took interest in the subject.

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The arrangement and preparation in general is very poor. In this village the adult education course is placed ^{between} and carried out with other programs as "Gakugeikai", "Health examination", "P.T.A.", "mothers' meeting" etc. Just one lecture is given at each time using the extra time of the main program. What I feel by inspecting this village is that village officials are not putting much emphasis on this program and not feeling responsibility on this program. The publicity is very poor, and people do not know about adult education course. As a conclusion the organization, publicity, and administration are all very poor. They have not yet scheduled about the course at "Okinochima".

Charts or visual aids should be used.

Major said that he will change the schedule and make it so that everybody in the village will have chance to hear all the courses before.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Komatsu village Shiga gun Date 14, April
 Location Ukawa school class room
 Chairman of course Kosaburo Matsui
 Inspecting officer Dr. S. Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shain Muneyama
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Akira Hida principal of the Primary school
 - b. Information: Susuke Sekimon " " Middle school
 - c. Legal: Tatsuo Shingawa village official Buddhist priest
 - d. Economics: Riechi Yamada farmer
 - e. Welfare: Shain Muneyama Buddhist priest social education committee Mission
 - f. Labor: Bankichi Komike farmer
 - g. Health: Suiko Saraki housewife President of W. Organiz.
 11.00 pm - 11.00 pm
3. Period: 11, 12, 14, 16, 25, 26, 28 March 1, 5, 8, 12, 15, 16, 21, 25, 26, 28 April
4. Attendance: 16 Male: 12 Female: 14
 - a. Age group below 20 years old 30 over 40 ~ 10 middle age ~ 16
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare & Public Health, Daily security law, Health Center activities, & public health with examples on TB, V.D. and whooping cough.
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts & slides.
 - c. Open discussion none.
 - d. Critique or summary seemed to be interested.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village yes
of the prefecture or district office no

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No) *not much*
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No) *but poor*
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Village officials seemed to have very little interest on this program. Most of the actual work is done by the social education committee ~~at~~ some of which are the speakers (lecturers). It was announced to start at 6 o'clock, it started at 7.10 p.m. being the chairman coming late. Although the publicity was poor, attendants were quite many and most of them are youth. The instructor Mr. Sasaki on health seemed to be rather poor, for she is not a specialist and knows very little on medicine.

Budget:

The village office have 10,000 yen for this adult education course.

| | |
|-------|----------------------|
| 1,200 | Travel expenses. |
| 1,000 | mimicographic paper. |
| ? | others & unknown |

Publicity:

Messages are sent orally by the village & block representatives.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Konjo village Takashina-gun Date 15th March
 Location Kitakunishi & Fujie (club & temple)
 Chairman of course _____
 Inspecting officer Dr. R. Yamamoto

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kenjiro Hata
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Munenon Komai school teacher
 - b. Information: Kunino Aoi village official
 - c. Legal: Shochichi Hayafuji & Keichu Aiba village official & school teacher
 - d. Economics: Kenjio Hatsumi farmer
 - e. Labor: Osaka Hakeura no occupation
 - f. Welfare: Tamejiro Hamano Minsei iin
 - g. Health: Yuo Tago doctor
3. Period: 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 March
4. Attendance: 186/202; ¹¹⁰/₁₁₂ Male: 87; 87; Female: 99; 23
 - a. Age group youth 96; 90; middle 60; 30 old 30; 10
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal information at Kitakunishi Labor & Economics at Fujie
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts were used
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Konjo village Takashima gun. Date 14th March
 Location Minami-Konaki club
 Chairman of course T. Komai
 Inspecting officer Dr. R. Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: T. Komai
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Kousuemon Komai school teacher
 - b. Information: Kinjo Aoi village official
 - c. Economics: Kinjo Hatake farmer
 - d. Legal: Koichi Kiba (school teacher) Shochiku Hayashi (village official)
 - e. Labor: Junko Takuta no occupation
 - f. Public Health: Yuo Tago & Kimi Okuyama Doctor & housewife
 - g. Welfare: Tamejima Haruno Minister
3. Period: 10, 15, 20, 25, 30 March 9:00 ~ 6:00
4. Attendance: 150/150 Male: 91 Female: 67
 - a. Age group youth 18 middle 30 old (over 50) 30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education Health & Welfare
 - b. Audio or visual aids none
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory & easily accessible? yes.
2. Is it attractive & comfortable? "
3. Were participants enthusiastic & enjoyed the evening? yes.
4. Is time suitable for the presentation? yes.
5. Was speaker's presentation effective & understandable? yes.
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? yes.
7. Is it effective? yes.

Recommendations & Comments.

I could see the cooperation & earnestly in working out of this program but this could need to be worked out in a too short time. Even our demonstration took ^{whole} 4 days to cover 20 lectures but here in this village it is done within 3 days afternoon. (village officials say that in April the agricultural season starts and the farmers become very busy and they cannot spare time for this program). The instructors were all quite good. These speakers were understandable and the attendants seemed to have much interest.

Budget:

| | |
|-------------------------------|-----------|
| Travel expense | 2,216.00 |
| wear & tear (papers) | |
| included printing of leaflets | 4,000.00 |
| rent for rooms. | 6,850.00 |
| pay for the committee. | 5,400.00 |
| text book | 700.00 |
| Total: | 18,806.00 |

Publicity:

printed leaflets were distributed to each house hold.
Oral messages by block representative.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kawakami village, Takashima Date 16th March
 Location Temple hall
 Chairman of course Toshiro Mitamura
 Inspecting officer Gen R. Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kiyoharu Fumimura

2. Name of committee:

a. Education: Kiyoharu Fumimura school principal

b. Information: Toshiro Mitamura village assemblyman

c. Legal: Ryukichi Osumi 2nd grade high school teacher

d. Economic: Takuo M. Kagi Mission

e. Labor: Kiyoharu Fumimura village official

f. Welfare: Gen. Teramura Mission

g. Health: Midori Miyagawa doctor

3. Period: 2:00 ~ 7:00 pm

4. Attendance: 62/100 Male: 37 Female: 25

a. Age group youth 20 middle aged 25 old 17

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Health, Welfare & Legal

b. Audio or visual aids charts a moving picture

"Modern medicine" "Election" "School"

c. Open discussion none

d. Critique or summary

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic (^{more}) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No ~~/~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The Adult Education course is well planned here in this village. For the recreation this village has bought 3 slides films and are shown at each meeting. The instructors are fair and they seem to be interested in this program.

Budget: 10000 ✓

Travel expenses: 1000.-

Tea & wear: 800.-

rent for rooms: 700.-

pay for the instructors: 500.- per man.

slide & other aids 1000.-

Publicity:

Oral message by village representative, youth & woman organization etc.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Momose village Takahama-gun. Date 14th March
 Location Kuni, Morishima & Sawa
 Chairman of course Hideo Yurukawa; Bunsei Mizukuchi
 Inspecting officer Dr. R. Teramura.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Bunsei Mizukuchi.
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Saburo Sasaki school principal
 - b. Information: Densu Okamoto youth organization chief
 - c. Legal: Bunsei Mizukuchi village assembly man.
 - d. Economic: Morish Mine village officials
 - e. Labor: Kiichiro Hashimoto youth organization.
 - f. Welfare: Kanzo Nakagawa Minister.
 - g. Health: Suroo Muneki Pharmacist.
3. Period: 12, 14, 16, 19, 22, 24, March. at 6 different blocks.
4. Attendance: 2/3 27/30 Male: 12 Female: 9
 - a. Age group youth 2/3
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education, legal, health;
 - b. Audio or visual aids charts.
 - c. Open discussion many questions were presented: especially on new constitution, social changes in family laws, health measures, rice collection.
 - d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

- a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
by the village Yes (some of them)
by the prefecture ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Budget: - 3000.-
travel expense: 3000.-
text book:
tear & wear: .

Publicity
posters by children
oral message by block representative

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yudamura Higashiazai-gun Date 22, March
 Location meeting hall
 Chairman of course Masao Tanaka
 Inspecting officer Mr. Rieki Yamada

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Masao Tanaka. (social education)

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: Jun Hashino principal of the primary school
- b. Information: Teikoro Inji information man
- c. Economics: Tsuneo Higuchi director of agricultural association
- d. Legal: Hisashi Kihara (president of youth association) Masao Tanaka
- e. Welfare: Sadamu Umemoto village official of welfare man
- f. Health: Ke Horie doctor
- g. Labor: Sukejiro Tanaka labor bureau man

3. Period: 16, 18, 20, 22, 24 March 8:00 ~ 12:00 pm

4. Attendance: 10/10 Male: 40 Female: 30

a. Age group youth 30% old 30% average age 40 years old

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman: Health, Legal & Education

b. Audio or visual aids charts & slides

c. Open discussion not enough time for discussion period

d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Village office and the adult education committee are cooperating and working together very well. For this program the ~~town~~ village has bought one slide projector and the committee man has bought one for this course. They are trying hard to make this course attractive & effective.

Budget:-

no special budget is planned.
The 100 yen subsidy from prefecture may for the travel expense.
The visual aids are lent without pay.
The instructors are all serving without pay.

Publicity:-

oral publicity through block heads, youth association, and women association. Few posters are posted at several places in the village.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Higashikuruma-mura Date 23 March
 Location Higashi Primary school
 Chairman of course Yasuke Mizoura
 Inspecting officer Dr. Rinko Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yasuke Mizoura

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: Tsukasa Nakagawa middle school teacher
- b. Information: Taizo Tokuchi studied priest
- c. Economics: Yusuke Takamori employee of the agricultural association
- d. Labor: Shigen Masuoka of the factory
- e. Legal: Kiichi Sugiyama village council
- f. Welfare: Shuichi Ichida welfare man from district office
- g. Health: Ikuo Ito doctor

3. Period: 22 & 23 March from 1:00 p.m. to 5:00 p.m.

4. Attendance: 200 / 100 Male: 70 Female: 130

a. Age group _____

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Legal & Health & Welfare

b. Audio or visual aids movie shown "Welfare 100", "Labor union", "New Constitution"

c. Open discussion not very bright

d. Critique or summary _____

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? () (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

This village has planned a good recreation which attracted the attendants (movie, dances of the children, singing etc.)
As the course is held during daytime ^{men} attendants were very few & young people were few too.

Budget:

- not decided
- probably \$5,000 you might be needed...

Publicity

Oral publicity by block heads, youth & woman association.
Papers are posted at several places in each block. (Asp)

Movie film.

- 1) Defeat T.B
- 2) Labor union
- 3) New constitution.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Okisato village Sakata gun Date 23 March
 Location "Uchiuchi" Temple
 Chairman of course Taduo Shibata
 Inspecting officer Dr. Rieko Yamamura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Bunyo Tsunoi
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Sujiro Sakai
 - b. Information: Naosichi Sawa school principal
 - c. Legal: Junichiro Katami Genda Haka "
 - d. Economics: Sujiro Sakai
 - e. Health: Ryuzi Yamaguchi: doctor
 - f. Welfare: Naoharu Higuchi
 - g. Labor: Shichiro Tomita village council
3. Period: 23 ~ 3 April
4. Attendance: 90/100 Male: 40 Female: 140
 - a. Age group average 40 years old youth 30% old 20% middle 50%
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Education & Information
 - b. Audio or visual aids movie picture shown (Japanese picture)
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The meeting started at 8.20 p.m. while it was announced to begin at 7.00 p.m.
Too many kids were around there. (60 children) and more or less disturbed the course. Children should not be there.

Budget:-
not decided.
will use as much as needed.

Publicity:-
just oral messages

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Tokushima cho Higashiazai-gun Date 21 March
 Location Primary school
 Chairman of course Sadayuki Mita
 Inspecting officer Mr. R. Kates, Yamana

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Sadayuki Mita
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Tamotsu Fujita assistant mayor
 - b. Information: Takes Murashi agricultural association
 - c. Labour: Sadayuki Mita village town official in charge education
 - d. Economics: Tokiji Nishikawa agriculture
 - e. Legal: Sakujiro Gishi agricultural association town council
 - f. Health: Tamotsu Tomihaga doctor
 - g. Welfare: Sakujiro Katagiri Jussimman
3. Period: (not decided) cancelled
4. Attendance: _____ Male: _____ Female: _____
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids _____
 - c. Open discussion not decided
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
this town has no social education committee.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

According to the report it was to be held on the 27, but I found it canceled. No plans made up yet. Mayor does not know anything about this adult education program. The 7 special committee had been formed but they've never met together and even they have not attended, except two, to Hirose experts conference. The man in charge is willing to request the instructor from Nagahama but has not decided yet. The place where lectures will be held is planned to be the primary school hall, just at one place and just twice or so. The program is so poor and I was astonished. Recommended to re-plan and make up the whole schedule again.

Budget: -
none

Publicity: -
none.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Chikubou mura Kojohi-ogai-jun. Date 22nd March.
 Location Meeting hall Masuda
 Chairman of course Yasuji Mizuta
 Inspecting officer Dr. Ruteo Teramura

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yasuji Mizuta
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Yasuji Mizuta (school principal) Yasuji Maegawa (school teacher)
 - b. Information: Sendo Minoru Kikuno Yujiro village official
 - c. Economics: Yasuyuki Aono (Director of Agricultural Extension Institute (A.A))
 - d. Labor: Kikamitsu Sakai Eiichi Kawasaki (village council)
 - e. Legal: Yasuji Mizuta (village official) Eiichi Katsunaga (assistant mayor)
 - f. Health: Imai Nobuyama, Takahiro Awaraki (doctors) Sadako Maegawa (Midwife)
 - g. Welfare: Terunori Hayashi Masanobu Terajima (Minsei-iss)
3. Period: 16, 17, 18, 19, 20 March at 9 different places 8:30 ~ 12:00 p.m.
4. Attendance: 50% Male: 65% Female: 35% 24th Friday 11:30 7:30 P.M.
 - a. Age group 50% households 65% youth
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health & Welfare; Education & Economics & Legal
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides are shown charts are used
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary Yasuji
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

This village has no social education committee.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ^{very}) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

But poor.

The adult education program here in this village is very poor; that is the whole course is finished just in one night from 8.00 ~ 11.30. 7 different subjects having 20 lectures to be presented in one night (3.30 hours) is completely impossible. Recommended to change the schedule and do it over again.
The publicity is rather poor, and therefore the gathering of people is slow and few.

Visited twice 22 & 24 of March.

Budget:

Total: 10000.-
Travel expense

Publicity:-

Oral publicity through block-heads, youth & women association.

Recreation:-

slides

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Sayama village Hoga gun Date 9th March
 Location Ginbo " meeting hall
 Chairman of course Mr. Chuichiro Fukui
 Inspecting officer Mr. R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: _____

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education Mr. S. Horii primary school teacher
- b. Information M. Iri + S. Sakaguchi Risin
- c. Economics S. Sak Kawai "
- d. Labour K. Nakajima "
- e. Legal T. Mikuo police man
- f. Welfare C. Fukui village Risin
- g. Health T. Fuchie "

3. Period: 7.20 pm - 11.30 pm

4. Attendance: 63 Male: 56 Female: 7

a. Age group 7.00 pm to 12.00 pm

5. Program used:

- a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health Welfare + Legal + Education
Health Center, social security, New constitution,
Principals of Democracy were the subjects
- b. Audio or visual aids none
- c. Open discussion one question presented
- d. Critique or summary dull

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (Not much)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The instructors were poor in knowledge & speaking, and therefore the audience ~~are~~ seemed to have poor understanding and no interest on this course. They were just reading the text book.

There should be some visual aids to be used.

And also the lectures were given continually one after another which seemed to have made the audience tired and less interesting.

I doubt that so many people will attend on each of these courses here after.

Some recreation should be planned.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Okayama-mura, Gamo-gun Date 10. March. 1949
 Location At Kofunaki meeting hall
 Chairman of course Takeo Shibata
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose (welfare section)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Hikojiro Matsui
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Toshio Matsushima principal of the P. school.
 - b. Information: Kendo Tomiraga budist priest
 - c. Economics: Kōzo Kawamura primary school teacher.
 - d. Labor: Kyudo Uemura assistant major
 - e. Legal: Anichi Nakajima village official
 - f. Welfare: Takeo Shibata " welfare man
 - g. Public Health: Kikue Imai Health center clerk
3. Period: 6.00 pm ~ 10.00 pm.
4. Attendance: 57 Male: 48 Female: 9
 - a. Age group young 15 middle age 30 old 12
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare: the necessity of social securance.
 - b. Audio or visual aids none
 - c. Open discussion none.
 - d. Critique or summary very poor & dull.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village yes
of the prefecture no.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes ?) (No ?)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

I could see that the village officials and the village administration committee are trying hard for this course, but there could be something more done so that ~~pe~~ attendants will be willing to attend and listen the lecture.

Lectures are continued for 3 hours without any recess interval. This makes the attendants audience dull and tired. There should be an interval and it is more successful if some recreation will take place.

In these rural district the audience gather very late and the lecture starts usually at 8.00 p.m. and ends at 12.00 p.m. or so.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Dico village Gama gun Date 13, March
 Location Meeting hall
 Chairman of course Jitenken Kawamura
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kenji Jitenken Kawamura
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Masakazu Imamoto principal of the school
 - b. Information: Masao Inoue agricultural committee
 - c. Welfare: Konosuke Matsuzawa assistant major in charge of welfare
 - d. Health: Moto Iguchi public health nurse
 - e. Legal: Yohisaki Inoue village official in charge of registration
 - f. Labour: Isaku Morimoto " " " labour
 - g. Economics: Shinji Kawase " " " tax
3. Period: 3, 11, 13, 17, March every Friday at youth association
4. Attendance: 85/120 Male: 70 Female: 15
 - a. Age group youth 20 old aged 25 middle aged 30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Health & Welfare
 - b. Audio or visual aids "Kamishibai" Blackboard
 - c. Open discussion quite many questions were presented about environmental sanitation, sanitation in school and at home etc.
 - d. Critique or summary brief
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)
by the village Yes
prefecture or district office no

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes ^{fair}) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes ^{fair}) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

more young people and women attendants are desired.
It was a very good idea to have this course put in to girls domestic school as a regular curriculum - (every Friday)

Budget: 5000.00 yen
printing of paper for publicity.

Publicity: distributed the printed leaflets throughout the village.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Aburahi village Koga gun Date 14, March
 Location Gotanda
 Chairman of course Yukio Nakao
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yukio Nakao
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Y. Umeda (principal of the Middle school) R. Aoki (principal of the Primary school)
 - b. Information: Nagayuki Katataka, Tokuzo Katataka (assistant major)
 - c. Economics: Sukeji Tachikawa (village council)
 - d. Labor: Saburo Okamoto (village official in charge of statistics)
 - e. Legal: Gensemon Sowa & Yoshinori Saka (both village official)
 - f. Welfare: Sachi Nakao (village official in charge of welfare)
 - g. Health: Waizo Katataka (village official in charge of health & sanitation) Nagao Katataka (doctor) Taro Miki (doctor)
3. Period: 7th of March 24th of April at 8 different blocks.
4. Attendance: 90% (1020 household) Male: 50 Female: 15
 - a. Age group old 30% middle aged 30% youth 20% women 10%
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare & Health.
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides were shown and charts were used. Kamishibai was used too.
 - c. Open discussion bright discussions were brought up on welfare & health problems especially on TB & Cancer.
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village
of the prefecture no.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The Adult School (supplementally school for youth) is open within the primary school and here the 20 lectures of the A.E. Course are given twice every week. The committee members are having close coordination & cooperation and are very active for this program. About 90% of the village people are attending at each meeting. (90% of 1020).

Publicity:

This village has a monthly journal of the village published, and on the edition of March the Adult Education program is reported as a big topic activities of the village. The date, place, time and the names of the instructors were publicized on this journal. This ~~is~~ distributed to each household. has been

Budget:

In this village the special budget for this Adult Education course is not calculated, but is spent from the annual social education budget. The planning of budget is very sound here.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Maruyama town Yazu-gun Date 16, March
 Location Johji Miyake
 Chairman of course Yoshio Hayashi
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Yoshio Hayashi
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Hiroshi Fujimura & Kenzo Yamamoto (primary school principals)
 - b. Information: Ryohei Kato (author) Hiroko Sato (none)
 - c. Labor: Makusuke Sasaki (Principal of the Middle school) Masaru Imanishi (salary man)
 - d. Legal: Shinichi Minamide (Head of Kindergarten) Masuo Ogasaki (village official)
 - e. Economics: Shosaburo Nishimura (major) Keiichi Onishi (printing business)
 - f. Welfare: Haruo Otani (village official) Chiyoko Ihara (Mitsui in.)
 - g. Health: Ken Kishimoto (doctor) Mame Ikeda (P.H. nurse)
3. Period: 22 March ~ 9 April (every other day) 7 ~ 10.00 pm at 10 places.
4. Attendance: _____ Male: _____ Female: _____
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
 - a. They have canceled this evening's meeting, the reason is on the back sheet.
Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids planning to use charts & slides
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As the election of the mayor was to be held on the 1st of March they have planned to have this adult Education course from 22 March to 9 April on every other night at 10 different places. According to this plan the village people are going to hear the 20 lectures in two evenings which could not expect success of this program. So I have recommended to change the program entirely and make it so that this program should be really an effective one. And the committee promised to re-plan it.

Budget: 36,00,00 yen total.

| | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| Committee administration | 5,000.- |
| Pay for the instructors | 12,600.- |
| pay for room rent | 3,600.- |
| Travel expenses | 5,000.- |
| Text, books, etc | 10,000.- |

Publicity:-

Messages by Kuchis (block-heads) orally. This is not complete.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Yasu town Yasu gun Date 17th March
 Location Meeting hall Ichimiyake
 Chairman of course Kinzo Yoshikawa
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kinzo Yoshikawa
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Shunkichi Saueki principal of the primary school.
 - b. Information: Meichi Hashimoto "
 - c. Legal: Komajiro Yamazaki town official
 - d. Economics: Masagoro Washida: president of Agricultural Association
 - e. Labor: Minor Ota: owner of the food shop
 - f. Welfare: Zujin Ikubo: Buddhist priest & council of the town
 - g. Health: Sae Kanbara: doctor.
3. Period: 9, 10, 12, 14, 16, 17, 19, 24, 29, 31 March: 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 12, 15, 18, 21, 22, 23 April
4. Attendance: 60% (1470) Male: 90% Female: 10%
 - a. Age group youth 20% middle aged 30% old 30% women 10%
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public Welfare & Public Health.
 - b. Audio or visual aids slides at night, Kamishibai during daytime.
 - c. Open discussion very many questions were presented from the audience & the instructor answered them well. brief discussions period was held.
 - d. Critique or summary people were very interested especially on health problems.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

of the village
of the prefecture or district office, no

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Yoshikawa, the chairman was a very smart & energetic man and he had been attending all the courses and conducting them well. The instructors were very well selected and they with the administrative committee are cooperative fully. So in this town the program is well carried out. Town social education committee, council of the town, & the women's association are working together on publicity. And also a printed leaflets were distributed.

Budget:

They have no special budget for this adult education program, but they are going to spend the necessary amount from the annual social education budget and is willing to continue this kind of program in the future too.

Publicity:

Distribution of printed paper to each household & oral publicity by women's association & social education committee.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Otsu city Date 21, March.
 Location Ishiyama Kindergarten.
 Chairman of course Koji Yokoyama.
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose.

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Koji Yokoyama.
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education: Kiyoshi Hariguchi. school principal
 - b. Information: Junei Kinoshita research section chief of the city.
 - c. Legal: Kakuzemon Takeuchi lawyer
 - d. Labor: Teizen Hirata local labor committee
 - e. Economics: Haruo Nishizawa high school teacher.
 - f. Welfare: Ryokai Hirose. Minsei Iin
 - g. Health: Sekio Tokatsuki Doctor
3. Period: 18 of March ~ 16 of April. 7:00 pm. - 9:30 pm.
4. Attendance: 70/100 Male: 60% Female: 40%
 - a. Age group average age 45.
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman _____
 - b. Audio or visual aids movie picture was shown.
 - c. Open discussion few questions were presented, was not bright.
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

As the audience are not accustomed to these kind of meetings no bright discussions were carried out. Audience are very enthusiastic.

Budget:

15,000 yen. for publicity & materials for lectures.
planning to get more budget for social education
(adult education) for next fiscal year.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kaigake-mura Date 22, March
 Location meeting hall of each block
 Chairman of course Kozo Fujisawa
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Kozo Fujisawa

2. Name of committee:

- a. Education: Kaoru Fujii school principal
- b. Information: Akira Fujiwara school teacher
- c. Legal: Ryoso Nozaki assistant major
- d. Labor: Hizashi Oda middle ^{school} teacher
- e. Economics: Eizo Takemura major
- f. Welfare: Chogi Yamakami Minsei in.
- g. Health: Tsukumo Kawamura doctor

3. Period: 8, 11, 13, 15, 18, 22 March 7.30 ~ 10.30

4. Attendance: 300 / 350 Male: 70% Female: 30%

a. Age group ^{90%} 18 ~ 60 years old

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman Welfare & Health
Legal, Economic, Labor, Information & Education

b. Audio or visual aids have not at present

c. Open discussion not very bright

d. Critique or summary

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair (✓) Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (X) ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comment :

Major, village officials, school teachers are all cooperating fully. Minsei Jin, youth & woman association are actively cooperating.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Hachiman-cho Gamo-gun Date 23, March
 Location Public Hall
 Chairman of course Shozo Morokawa
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Shozo Morokawa
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education Harno Nakamura school teacher
 - b. Information Nazo Oka priest
 - c. Economics Bingo Taguchi economist
 - d. Legal Rumyo Komai teacher of high school
 - e. Welfare Shozo Morokawa chairman of public Hall
 - f. Labor Koichi Hoji school teacher
 - g. Health Junzo Hirose doctor
3. Period: 23, 24, 25, 26, 28, 29, 30 March 7.30 - 10.30 pm
4. Attendance: 200/300 Male: 60% Female: 40%
 - a. Age group 18 ~ 70 years old average 46
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Economics & Health
 - b. Audio or visual aids movie picture " food condition of the world " " Public education "
 - c. Open discussion not very bright (chairman was not very skilful to conduct)
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|-------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The selection of the instructor was very good, and the speech was very good.

Visual education before the lecture started was very effective and attracted the audience.

Record concert was held for 30 minutes.

* The meeting at one place (P.H) is not enough for such a big town.

Recommended to hold such courses at several places so as that all the citizens could attend. And the major chairman agreed with this.

Budget:-

Travel expenses } 4000.-
material

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Kaneda-village Gamo-gan. Date 23 March.
 Location primary school hall.
 Chairman of course Tashiro Takeyama
 Inspecting officer R. Hirose

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Tashiro Takeyama.
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Education Isao Kondo principal of the middle school.
 - b. Information Keisaku Takimata: government employee (district office)
 - c. Economics: Kuanji Kimoto teacher of high school
 - d. Labor: Shugo Madooka teacher Juichiro Sasayama social education committee
 - e. Legal: Jodo Miwa village official
 - f. Welfare: Taijin Akamatsu Munsei Jin.
 - g. Health: Shunroku Takebe Hachiman Health center director.
3. Period: 1, 3, 5, 6, March. 13.00 pm - 17.00 pm
4. Attendance: 110/947 Male: 60% Female: 40%
 - a. Age group 18 ~ 77 years old average 40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman all subjects (in 3 days)
 - b. Audio or visual aids none.
 - c. Open discussion none
 - d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (~~Yes~~) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (~~Yes~~) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (~~Yes~~) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (~~Yes~~) (No)
7. Is it effective? (~~Yes~~) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

The meeting was held just at one place during daytime. Of course the attendants were very little, and this the village official agree that this program was not effective. Recommended to overdo this program again in the evening at different blocks. The village officials promised to continue this course with a better schedule.

Budget:

• travel expense }
• printing } 10,000-
• publicity }

26th March.

Adult education at Azuchi mura

R. Hirose.

Azuchi village had planned to have this course in March for three days at primary school. One day for youth association, the other day for women's association and the other for general. But this program was unsuccessful, there were no audience and thus had to cancel. Inspector from M. G. recommended to hold the committee conference and plan it again.

Committee had decided as follows:-

1. Hold this course just at one place.
2. Date will be 25, 26, 27 of March.
From 1.00 pm to 4.00 p.m.
3. Social education committee, P.T.A. officials, officials of women's & youth association, Munsei Jin, and the block heads decided to cooperate and do their best for publicity.
4. Give the lottery ticket for those who attended the full three days course.
5. Total budget will be 12,000 out of which 7,000 will be the prize for lottery.

Visited Azuchi village for the third time on 26th March. It was the day of adult education course, and I waited and waited at primary school, but finally found out that this meeting was again canceled. There is no enthusiasm or earnest feeling among village officials and others. Hold a conference with major, other officials and some committee members. Gave a strong recommendation.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Chōshōji temple & Myōshōji temple Date March 25 1949
 Location Iwa-mura Echi-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. T. Nomura (deputy chief of village)
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C. E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. T. Nomura
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. T. Nishida (a teacher of junior high school)
 - b. Mr. I. Onishi (a principal of primary school)
 - c. Mr. C. Okubo (a teacher of junior high school)
 - d. Mr. S. Yoshida (a farmer)
 - e. Mr. J. Kitagawa (an official of village office)
 - f. Miss S. Nishikawa (a nursery teacher)
 - g. Mr. S. Nakagawa (a teacher of junior high school)
3. Period: From March 23 to April 15
4. Attendance: 95 Male: 81 Female: 14
 - a. Age group 40-50
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
Public Welfare, Education and Economic
 - b. Audio or visual aids
Slide will be available on the next meeting
 - c. Open discussion
Is it true that inferior children have been neglected in new education?
 - d. Critique or summary
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (~~No~~)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (~~No~~)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (~~No~~)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. S. Yoshida, a farmer. Age 38.

Public Welfare

He explained how the public welfare business ^{in his village} is going on and in what cases villagers will be guaranteed their livelihood by "Livelihood Protection Law." His explanation was understandable, but he should have taken up a few concrete examples.

Mr. T. Nishida, a teacher of junior high school ^{at his home}. Age 48

As he didn't attend the "expert" meeting, he missed important points I suggested then. Besides, it was regrettable that he didn't touch the inter-relation between school education and social education.

Mr. J. Kitagawa, an official of village office.

"Family Law"

He used technical words so often. It is needless to say that lecturer cannot avoid technical words in such a theoretical lecture.

Accordingly he should have explained thoroughly in such a way as to let the attendants understand what this Law provides for.

IV Budget

The draft budget for the A. E. Course in next fiscal year.

| | | | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|------------|------------|
| Rewards for lecturers | ¥500.00 per capita | 13 persons | ¥6,500.00 |
| Tear & wear expenses | | | ¥3,000.00 |
| Travel expenses | | | ¥1,800.00 |
| Places management expenses | | | ¥1,200.00 |
| Total | | | ¥12,500.00 |

V. Publicity

The purpose of adult education course was explained and discussed in block general meeting.

School boys are shouting "let's go to adult education course, on the evening when the course is opened."

Posters have been posted on the bulletin board.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Saikoji temple Date March 24 1949
 Location Yagishi-mura Echigo
 Chairman of course Mr. H. Kawaguchi (a farmer)
 Inspecting officer of Kuratani C.E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. H. Sumakawa (a priest)
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. K. Ueno (a clerk of a farmer co-operative association)
 - b. Mr. B. Murakawa (a farmer)
 - c. Mr. K. Tsubushina (a farmer)
 - d. Mr. S. Mori (a farmer)
 - e. Mr. Y. Mitsuhashi (a member of village assembly)
 - f. Mr. J. Kosugi (a farmer)
 - g. Mr. Y. Nishibori (no occupation)
3. Period: From March to April 6
4. Attendance: 52 Male: 42 Female: 10
 - a. Age group 20 ~ 30
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
Economic, Welfare & Labor.
 - b. Audio or visual aids
no aid Kamishibai "Nippon Tara"
 - c. Open discussion
What kind of influence will the proposed single exchange rate have on our daily life.
 - d. Critique or summary
Lectures concluded. "the last goal of our national economy is to stand on our own feet, not depending on American economic aids."
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) ()

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. J. Tsuji . a leading member of farmers' co-operative association.

He picked up the problem of the single exchange rate, which is attracting the keen attention of the business circles concerned. He stated how the exchange rate will be fixed and what influence the proposed single exchange rate will have on various circles in Japanese industry. I suggested him to give the attendants the reason why commodity prices level in Japan has been isolated from world price level.

Mr. K. Kageyama . an official in Echi-Inuyama District Office

As he is in charge of welfare business in district office, he explained briefly and plainly how important welfare-business is and what is going on in prefectural welfare business.

IV Budget.

The draft budget for A.E. Course in new fiscal year.

| | | |
|---|-------------------------------|--------|
| Rewards for Specialist committee members (lecturers) and Steering Committee members | ¥200.00 per capita 1 persons. | |
| Travel expenses | | ¥1,600 |
| Wear & tear expenses | | ¥1,200 |
| Printing expenses | | ¥1,000 |
| Charcoal etc. | | ¥1,000 |
| Total | | ¥1,200 |

V Publicity

Circulars urging villagers to attend the A.E. course have been distributed among villagers. Oral messages have been given to villagers through the steering committee members.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Monkoji temple Date March 23, 1949
 Location Nakayabu Hibone-city
 Chairman of course _____
 Inspecting officer Y Kuratani

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: _____
2. Name of committee: Mr. J. Kobori (Asahimori block (bicycle dealer))
 - a. Mr. S. Ogawa (Jota block a priest)
 - b. Mr. K. Saite (Josai block a christian priest)
 - c. Mr. T. Maegawa (Johoku block a manager of home building Co.)
 - d. Mr. S. Kitamura (Jona block an adviser to a company)
 - e. Mr. M. Nishimura (Hanada a farmer)
 - f. Mr. K. Hayashi (Take block a farmer)
 - g. Mr. T. Serii (Hanayama block a priest)
Mr. H. Nakamura (Kingo block, a farmer)
3. Period: March 15 to March 31 (the first term)
4. Attendance: 88 Male: 43 Female: 15
 - a. Age group 30-40
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
The finance system and tax system in Japan
 - b. Audio or visual aids
Folk dance conducted by Miss K. Taylor teacher of S.H.S.
 - c. Open discussion Lecturers' question "What kind of taxes are you paying? Could you name all of them?"
Almost all attendants could not reply correctly.
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (~~Yes~~) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) ~~(No)~~
7. Is it effective? (Yes) ~~(No)~~

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Chikyo Tanahara, Chief of Hikone Tax office. Being a specialist, his explanation about the current taxation system and state finance was a success. His answers for attendants' questions were brief and to the point. It seemed to me attendants realized the payment of their tax is a prerequisite for the re-construction of new self-supporting Japan. After the lecture was over, I suggested him to refer to the important point that heavy taxation would alleviate the present difficulty in national livelihood, though it might seem a paradox.

IV. Publicity

Newspaper reported the details of their program.
Circulars have been put in circulation urging people to attend the course.
Posters
School teachers picked up this problem in classroom and asked their pupils to tell their parents to attend the course.

V. Budget.

About ¥200,000. will be proposed requested to City Assembly by city school board as a total expense of adult education course in next fiscal year.

| | | |
|---|--|------------|
| Details: | | |
| Rewards for Specialist and Steering Committee members | | ¥47,100.00 |
| ¥500. per capita x 95 persons. | | ¥12,000.00 |
| Over time allowance | | ¥15,000.00 |
| Wear & tear expenses | | ¥50,000.00 |
| Place management expense | | ¥20,000.00 |
| Charcoal, tea-leaves etc 2 | | ¥2,750.00 |
| Travel expense & lodging expenses | | ¥10,000.00 |

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Nomanji Echigawacho Date March 22, 1949
 Location Echi-gun
 Chairman of course Mrs. M. Tsumi (seasoning dealer)
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C.E. Section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. K. Morino (director of printing Co.)
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. K. Ohashi (Japanese tile manufacturer & dealer)
 - b. Mrs. U. Nishimura (nothing)
 - c. Mr. Y. Isobe (farmer)
 - d. Miss E. Nishimura (a member of women's organization)
 - e. Mr. J. Fujii (priest)
 - f. Mrs. M. Tsumi (seasoning dealer)
 - g. _____
3. Period: March 22 to March 31 (The first term)
4. Attendance: 69 Male: 49 Female: 20
 - a. Age group 25-50
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman "Essence of Democracy" Educators and Economic
 - b. Audio or visual aids no aid
 - c. Open discussion Panel discussion was adopted. Six people (two females) were chosen representatives of discussion.
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic (✓) Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (~~No~~)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (~~No~~)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (~~No~~)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (~~Yes~~) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. Mori a principal of junior high school.

He emphasized the rehabilitation of Japan should be based on the principle of democracy and he stated democracy, though it seems to be given by America, should be obtained by the strenuous and painstaking efforts of Japanese people. Attendants were deeply impressed by his lecture.

Mr. Ito a deputy chief of town

He tried to attract attendants' attention all the time while he was speaking, but he failed. Because the contents of his lecture was so poor and remained within the general commonsense.

IV. Budget.

Addition draft budget for A.E. course.

Total ¥33,000.00

Rewards for lecturers ¥14,000

Travel expenses ¥1,800

Tea and Wear expenses ¥11,542.00

Miscellaneous ¥5,658.00

Stationery ¥382.00
Charcoal ¥1,760.00
¥

V. Publicity:

Poster made by junior high school students have been posted on some electric poles.

Leaflet urging people to attend the A.E. course were distributed among people.

Signboards were put in front of sites.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Jodeiji temple Date March 21, 1949
 Location Jyokuzai-mura
 Chairman of course Mr. N. Yamaka (chief of village)
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani C.E. section

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. N. Yamaka
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mr. S. Nakamura (farmer chairman of village assembly)
 - b. Mr. K. Nakamura (chairman of youth organization)
 - c. Mr. K. Azuma (vice-chairman of youth org.)
 - d. Mr. M. Ito
 - e. Miss K. Nakamura (farmer " ")
 - f. Mr. S. Murata (leader of farmers' corporation)
 - g. Mr. S. Shigemori (teacher of junior high school)
3. Period: from March 20 to April 25
4. Attendance: 113 Male: 74 Female: 39
 - a. Age group _____
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman Public health
 - b. Audio or visual aids Films C.I.E. 45, 47, 48
 - c. Open discussion _____
 - d. Critique or summary _____
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

Sites 3 number of households 430

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

• Doctor. Okada.

His explanation regarding tuberculosis and ringworms was very poor. He couldn't even ^{answer} properly for the questions attendants submitted. Finally, attendants got tired of his long talk.

IV Publicity

- Posters Junior high school and youth organization
- Circulars
- Admission Ticket.

V Budget

Some considerable amount will be drafted in this fiscal year.

IV. Punctuality.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Inae-mura Echigun Date March 16 1949
 Location Shiga-pref
 Chairman of course Mr. E. Watanabe
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. E. Watanabe

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. J. Asano (priest)
- b. Mr. R. Takamura (priest)
- c. Mr. M. Hara (teacher)
- d. Mr. T. Onishi (official in village office)
- e. Mr. E. Taniguchi (priest)
- f. Mrs. M. Miyabe (teacher)
- g. Mrs. T. Kawamura (official in village office)

3. Period: March 16 to April 22

4. Attendance: 55 Male: 43 Female: 12

a. Age group 50-60

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman

"The essence of democracy"
"The objective of democratic education"

b. Audio or visual aids

Kamishibai "How to rebuild New Japan?"

c. Open discussion

Low-grade pupils are much suffering from a too much burden
of home tasks. How do you think about that?

d. Critique or summary

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

II. Evaluation:

- | | | |
|--|--------|------------------|
| 1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 2. Is it attractive and comfortable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 4. Is time suitable for the presentations? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? | (Yes) | (No) |
| 7. Is it effective? | (Yes) | (No) |

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. E. Watanabe.

His explanation regarding the essence of democracy was very understandable even to such non-educated people as farmers and workers.

But as his explanation went too strongly and left no question about it, there was not so active discussion after the lecture was over. He was recommended to give ^{attendants} hints about questions, which will lead to heating discussion.

Budget:

43,000.00 ^{has been} ~~was~~ appropriated already for adult education course in this fiscal year.

417,000.00 is expected to be appropriated for this purpose with the approval of village assembly in the next fiscal year starting April 1, 1949.

Publicity

No concrete publicity program adapted. But every people in the village knows well about the course, when the course starts! what time and where they should attend.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Saiko-ji temple Date March 15, 1949
 Location Taga-cho Kugami-jun
 Chairman of course Mr. Shimizu Akada
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani (C.E. section)

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. Yamamoto (official in town office)
2. Name of committee:
 - a. Mrs. M. Kinoshita (a leading member of women's organization)
 - b. Mr. R. Tsuchimoto (a chairman of a Buddhist society)
 - c. Mr. M. Nomura (a member of town assembly)
 - d. Mr. R. Kozai (a chairman of youth organization)
 - e. Mr. T. Yamamoto (a vice-chairman of P. T. A.)
 - f. Ms. K. Miyashita (farmer)
 - g. _____
3. Period: from March 14 to May 2 15 places
4. Attendance: 35 Male: 23 Female: 12
 - a. Age group 40 - 50
5. Program used:
 - a. Presentation of problem by chairman
Legal government & Education
 - b. Audio or visual aids
no aid
 - c. Open discussion An attendant asked "I heard some pupils don't obey their teacher in class room. Is it true? I want to get one disobedient children beaten up when they won't obey teachers' instructions"
 - d. Critique or summary Corporal punishment is strictly prohibited from the viewpoint of the equality of human rights, even teacher cannot impose any corporal punishment.
6. How was it received:
 - a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()
7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)

V Publicity: Posters. Junior high school students have been requested to make posters by March 14.
 Leaflet. urging people to attend the course were distributed among people.
 Signboards are seen in front of the town office.

II. Evaluation:

1. Is location satisfactory and easily assessible? (Yes) (No)
2. Is it attractive and comfortable? (Yes) (No)
3. Were participants enthusiastic and enjoyed the evening? (Yes) (No)
4. Is time suitable for the presentations? (Yes) (No)
5. Was speaker's presentation effective and understandable? (Yes) (No)
6. Is committee working cooperatively and with full conviction for this program? (Yes) (No)
7. Is it effective? (Yes) (No)

III. Recommendations and Comments:

Mr. M. Egahi, chief of Toga police station.

His explanation regarding the change of procedures in trial was plain and to the point. While he was speaking, he wove jokes into his lecture timely, which made the attendants listen to him more carefully.

Mr. C. Shimizu.

The drastic change between the old family law and the new family law was presented. But he didn't refer to the reason why this change has been carried out in our country. It seems to me attendants ~~were not~~ felt unsatisfied with the revolutionary change. As I had expected, a few questions regarding this very point came up. I replied for them on behalf of the lecturer.

IV Budget

Additional budget for this course in this fiscal year (up to March 31)

¥6,000.00

| | | |
|----------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Details: | Reward for Lecturers | ¥1,400.00 (¥200 per capita) |
| | Travel Expense | ¥1,000.00 |
| | Rent for sites | ¥500.00 |
| | Wear and tear Expense | ¥1,300.00 |
| | Charcoal and miscellaneous | ¥1,800.00 |
| | | ¥6,000.00 |

¥10,000.00 will be appropriated for this purpose in next fiscal year.

ADULT EDUCATION COURSE CHECKSHEET

Name Town-hall Shigaraki Date March 14 1949
 Location Shigaraki-cho Koba-gun
 Chairman of course Mr. Ikunobe Honda
 Inspecting officer Y. Kuratani

I. Administration

1. Name of chairman: Mr. I. Honda

2. Name of committee:

- a. Mr. S. Ogawa (Pottery dealer)
- b. Mr. M. Kawaguchi (official)
- c. Mr. B. Miyawaki (Pottery dealer)
- d. Mr. T. Akasa (farmer)
- e. Mr. M. Masuda (farmer)
- f. Mr. K. Nishio (no)
- g. Miss K. Nishio

3. Period: from March 4 to April 3

4. Attendance: 53. Male: 21 Female: 32

a. Age group 30-40

5. Program used:

a. Presentation of problem by chairman

Education and Public Health

b. Audio or visual aids Slides "How to prevent tuberculosis"

c. Open discussion We parents are much anxious about

the influence of a communist teacher on our children
What kind of step are you going to take for it?

d. Critique or summary

None

6. How was it received:

a. Enthusiastic () Fair () Unsatisfactory ()

7. Has Social Education representative visited these courses? (Yes) (No)