



CHAPTER L

TUR object of this Essay is to explain as clearly as I am able, the grounds of an opinion which I have held from the very parliest period when I had formed any opinious at all on social or political matters, and which, instead of being weakened or modified, has been constantly growing stronger by the progress of reflection and the experience of life : That the principle which regulates the existing social relations between the two sexes-the local subordination of one sex to the other-is wrong in itself, and new one of the chief hindrances to human improvement; and that it ought to be replaced by a principle of perfect equality, admitting no power or privilege on the one side, nor disability on the other.

The very words necessary to express the task I have undertaken, show how ardinous it is. But it would be a mistake to suppose that the difficulty of the create must lie in the insufficiency or obsentive of the grounds of reason on which my conviction rests. The difficulty is that which exists in all cases in which there is a mess of feeling to be contended against. So long as an opinion is strongly rooted in the feelings, it gains rather than loves in stability by baving a preponderating weight of argument against it. For if it were accepted as a result of aroument, the refutation of the argument might shake the solidity of the conviction ; but when it rests solely on feeling, the worse it fares in aronmentative contest, the more persuaded its adheyears are that their feeling must have some deeper ground, which the arguments do not reach; and while the feeling romains, it is always throwing up fresh intreachments of argument to remir any breach made in the old. And there are so meny causes tending to make the feelings conneeted with this subject the most intense and most decaly-rooted of all those which eather round and protect old justitutions and custome that we need not wondor to find them as yet less undormined and loosened than any of the rest by the morres of the great modern spiritual and social transition ; nor suppose that the harbarisms to which men cling longest must be less harbarisms than those which they earlier shake off.

In every respect the burthen is hard on those who attack an almost universal opinion. They must be very fortunate as well as unusually capable if they obtain a hearing at all. They have more difficulty in obtaining a trial, than any other litigants have in getting a verdict. If they do extort a hearing, they are subjected to a set of logical requirements totally different from those exacted from other people. In all other cases, the burthen of proof is supposed to lie with the affirmative. If a person is charged with a nurder, it rests with those who accuss him to give proof of his guilt, not with hinself to prove his innocence. If there is a difference of opinion about the reality of any alleged historical event. in which the feelings of men in general are not much interested, as the Siege of Troy for example, those who maintain that the event took place are exacted to produce their proofs before those who take the other side can be remared to say mything; and at no time are these required to do more than show that the evidence produced by the others is of no value. Again, in practical matters, the barthen of proof is supposed to be with those who are against liberty; who contend for any restriction or prohibition ; either any limitation of the general freedom of human action, or any discussification or disparity of privilege affecting one person or kind of persons, as compared with others. The à priori presumption is in favour of freedom and impartiality. It is hold that there should n 2

but should treat all alike, save where dissimilarity of treatment is required by positive reasons of ther of justice or of policy. But of none of these rules of evidence will the benefit be allowed to those who maintain the opinion I profess. It is useless for me to say that those who maintain the doctrine that men have a right to command and women are under an obligation to obey, or that men are fit for government and women unfit, are on the affirmative side of the question, and that they are bound to show positive evidence for the assertions, or submit to their rejection. It is conally unavailing for me to say that those who deny to women any freedom or privilege rightly allowed to men, having the double presumption against them that they are opposing freedom and recommending partiality, must be held to the strictest proof of their case, and unless their success he such as to exclude all doubt, the judgment ought to go against thom. These would be thought good pleas in any common case ; but they will not be thought so in this instance. Before I could hope to make any impression. I should be expected not only to answer all that has ever been said by these who take the other side of the question, but to imagine all that could be said by them-to find them

4,

in reason, as well as a surver all I find; and bottler stuffing all arguments for the affmatire, I shall be called upon for invincible patible consil do all this, and leare the opposite party with a based or ansaverour arguments qualitative them, and not a single surveful on one their side, I should be the summaries of the stuffing of the a cause supported on the one hand by universal usage, and on the other by so great a prepanderative of popular sentiment, is supposed to have one producin any infection but not now y conviction which as appeal to they come any conproducing any infection but more any great ratios of popular sentiments, is supposed to have any relation which as appeal to reasons has power to producin any infection but theor of a high class.

I do not isonition these difficulties to camphine of thema first, because it would be unders, they are insequential from having to constant through perplex understandings, explaint the beautility of that's fordings and practical tendencies: and truly the understandings of the anglewide of the saked to place such reliances in their own protematical principal states of the sake of the practical saked to place such reliances in their own proteposingle is avised. they have been tend been and which are the havis of much of the existing active of the sake of the practical states are set states which they are not explosed of beginning states which they are not explosed of beginning with the same of the same states are stated as the same states are states which they are not explose of beginning with the states which they are not explose of beginning with the states which they are not explose of beginning with the states which they are not explose of beginning with the states which they are not explose of beginning the states of the states o

for having too little faith in argument, but for having too much faith in custom and the general feeling. It is one of the characteristic projudices of the reaction of the nineteenth century against the eighteenth, to accord to the unreasoning elements in human nature the infallibility which the eighteenth century is supposed to have ascribed to the reasoning elements. For the anotheosis of Reason we have substituted that of Instinct ; and we call everything instinct which we find in ourselves and for which we cannot trace any rational foundation. This idolatry, infinitely more degrading than the other, and the most permicious of the false worshins of the present day, of all of which it is now the main support, will probably hold its ground until it gives way before a sound psychology, laving bare the real root of much that is howed down to as the intention of Nature and the ordinance of God. As regards the present succession, I am willing to accept the unfavourable conditions which the prejudice assigns to me. I consent that established custom, and the general feeling. should be deemed conclusive against me, unless that custom and feeling from age to age can be shown to have owed their existence to other causes than their soundness, and to have derived their power from the worse rather than the better parts of human nature. I am willing that judgwas grounded on experience of the mode in which they could be most effectually attained. If the authority of men over women, when first established, had been the result of a conscientious commission between different modes of constitating the government of society: if, after trying various other modes of social organization-the government of women over men, equality between the two, and such mixed and divided modes of government as might be invented---it had been decided, on the testimony of experience, that the mode in which women are wholly under the rule of men, having no share at all in public concerns, and each in private being under the legal obligation of obadiances to the man with whom she has associated her destiny, was the arrangement most conducive to the happiness and well being of both; its general adoption might then be fairly thought to be some evidence that, at the time when it was adopted, it was the best : though even



T.

「日本にしていること

他のうちょうからないしていたり

then the considerations which recommended it may, like so many other primeyal social facts of the greatest importance, have subsequently, in the course of ages, ceased to exist. But the state of the case is in every request the reverse of this. In the first place, the opinion in favour of the present system, which entirely subordinates the weaker sex to the stronger, jests upon theory only ; for there never has been trial made of any other; so that experience, in the sense in which it is vulgarly opposed to theory, cannot be pretended to have pronounced any vertice. And in the second place, the adoption of this system of inequality never was the result of deliberation, or forethought, or any social ideas, or any notion whatever of what conduced to the benefit of humanity or the good order of acciety. At arose simply from the fast that from the very earliest twilight of human society, every woman (owing to the value attached to her by men, combined with her inferiority in muscular strength) was found in a state of bondage to some man. Laws and systems of polity always begin by | recognising the relations they find already existing between individuals. They convert what was a more physical fact into a logal right, give it the sauction of society, and principally aim at the substitution of public and organized means of asserting and protecting these rights, instead each, including his slaves. In early times, the great majority of the male sex were slaves, as well as the whole of the female. And many ages clapsed, some of them ages of high cultiration, before any thinker was hold enough to question the rightfulness, and the absolute social necessity, either of the one slavery or of the other. By degrees such thinkers did arise; and (the general progress of society assisting) the slavery of the nucle sex has, in all the countries of Christian Europe at least (though, in one of them, only within the last few years) been at tenoth abolished, and that of the female sex has been gradually changed into a milder form of dependence. But this dependence, as it exists at present, is not an original institution, taking a fresh start from considerations of justice and social expediency-it is the primitive state of slavery lasting on, through successive mitigations and medifications occasioned by the same causes



which have noteened the general manners, and beorght at ill mann relations arours under the control of justice and the infutures of humanity.² It has not lost the finite at ill shread weights Ne presumption in its forware, therefore, can be drawn from the first of its reiszines. The only such presumption which it could be supposed to low, promo as many calase things which beats all new, when as many calase things which beats and new provides the strange of the super strange of the strange of the strange of the super strange of the strange of the strange of the super strange of the strange of the strange of the super strange of the strange of the strange of the super strange of the strange of the strange of the strange ment of the the inequality of rights between ment and wereas loss no other source than the low of the strongest.

That this statement should have the sites of a spunday, is in some rayceter criticable to this progress of civilization, with the improvement of the more latestimets of numbries. We snow likes —https://www.bit.entropy.com/or/wwwww.bit.entropy.com/or/

This being the estensible state of things, neonla flatter themselves that the rule of more force is ended: that the law of the strongest cannot be the reason of existence of surviving which has remained in full operation down to the present time. Howover any of our present institutions may have begun, it can only, they think, have been preserved to this period of advanced civilization by a wellgrounded feeling of its adaptation to human asture, and conducivoness to the general good. They do not understand the great vitality and durability of institutions which place right on the side of might; how intensely they are clung to ; how the good as well as the bad propensities and sentiments of these who have power in their hands, become identified with retaining it; how slowly these had institutions give way, one at a time, the weakest first, beginning with those which are least interwoven with the daily habits of life ; and how very raroly those who have obtained legal nower because they first had physical, have over lost their hold of it until the physical power had passed over to the other side. Such shifting of the physical force not having taken place in the case of women ; this fact, combined with all the peculiar and characteristic features of the narticular case, made it cortain from the first that this branch of the system of right founded on might, though softened in its most atrocious features at an

ţ

ķ

earlies period than several of the reheave, would be the error back to informer. It was investigated this one case of a social relation grounded on torce, would savire the theory case of a initiation grounded on equal jactice, an almost solitary everydan to the general character of their have and ensemption of the social social social social pendults are one equip, and at differentiation of pendults to ever explain a social social social pendults in the relation of the social social social pendults in the relation of the social social social pendults in the relation of the social social social pendults in the relation of the social social social pendults in the relation of the social social social social pendults in the social social social social social social pendults and the social social social social social social pendults and the social s

The trath is, that people of the present and the last two or three generations have lost all practical scase of the primitive condition of humanity? and only the few who have studied history accurately, or have much frequented the parts of the world occupied by the living representatives of ages long past, are able to form any mental nicture of what society then was. People are not aware how entirely, in former ages, the law of superior strength was the rule of life ; how publicly and openly it was avowed, I do not say cynically or shamelessly-for these words inply a feeling that there was something in it to be ashumed of, and no such notion could find a place in the faculties of any person in these ages. except a philosopher or a saint. History gives a cruel experience of human nature, in showing

how exactly the regard due to the life, possessions, and entire cartily happiness of any class of persome was measured by what they had the noverof enforcing; how all who made any resistance to authorities that had arms in their hands, howover dreadful might he the provacation, had not only the law of force but all other laws, and all the notions of social obligation against them ; and in the eves of those whom they resisted, were not only guilty of crime, but of the worst of all erimes, deserving the most ernel classisement which human beings could inflict. The first small vestige of a feeling of obligation in a superior to acknowledge any right in inferiors, hogan when he had been induced, for convenience, to make some promise to them. Though these aromises, even when statetioned by the most solumn onthis, were for many ages revoked or violated on the most trifling provocation or temptation, it is probable that this, excent by persons of still worse than the average morality. was soldom done without some twingers of conscience. The ancient republies, being mostly grounded from the first upon some kind of mutual compact, or at any rate formed by an union of persons not very unequal in strength, afforded, in consequence, the first instance of a portion of human relations fonced round, and placed under the dominion of another law than

that of force. And though the original law of force remained in full operation between them and their slaves, and also (except so far as limited by express compact) between a commonwealth and its subjects, or other independent commonwealths; the bauishment of that primitive law even from so narrow a field, commenced the regeneration of human nature, by giving birth to acatiments of which experience soon demonstrated the immense value even for material intcrests, and which thenceforward only required 2 to be enlarged, not created. Though slaves were no part of the commonwealth, it was in the free states that slaves were first felt to have rights as human beings. The Stoics were, 1 believe, the first (except so far as the Jewish law constitutes an exception) who taught as a part of morality that men were bound by moral obligations to their slaves. No one, after Christianity became ascendant, could ever again have been a stranger to this bolief, in theory ; nor, after the rise of the Catholic Church, was it over without persons to stand up for it. Yet to onforce it was the most arduous task which Christianity over had to perform. For more than a thousand years the Church kept up the contest, with hardly any perceptible success. It was not for want of power over men's minds. Its power was prodigious. · It could make kings and nobles resign their most valued possessions to carieh the Church. It could make thousands, in the prime of life and the height of workly advantages shut themselves up in convents to work out their salvation by poverty, fasting, and prayer. It could send hundreds of thousands across land and sea, Europe and Asia, to give their lives for the deliverance of the Holy Scoulchre. It could make kings relinquish wives who were the object of their passionate attachment, because the Church declared that they were within the seventh (by our calculation the fourteenth) degree of relationship. All this it did ; but it could not make men fight less with one another, nor tyrannize less cruelly over the sorfs, and when they were able, over burgesses. It could not make them renounce either of the applications of force ; force militant. or force triumphant. This they could never be induced to do until they were themselves in their tarn connelled by superior force. Only by the growing power of kings was an cud put to fighting except between kings, or competitors for kingship; only by the growth of a wealthy and warlike bourgeoisic in the fortified towns, and of a plebcian infantry which proved more nowerful in the field than the nudisciplined chivalry, was the insolent tyranny of the nobles over the hourgeoisic and pessantry brought within some bounds. It was persisted in not only until, but long after,

in the second se

the oppressed had obtained a power emolying them often to take comprisons vengenance j and on the Continent much of it continued to the time of the French Revolution; though in Foughant the cavitier and better organization of the demoeratic classes part an end to it ascorer, by establishing equal have and free national institutions.

If negale are mostly so little aware how completely, during the greater part of the duration of our species, the law of force was the arowed rule of general conduct, any other heing only a special and exceptional consequence of peculiar tics-and from how very recent a date it is that the affairs of society in general have been even pretended to be regulated according to any moral law; as little do people remember or consiler, how institutions and costoms which never had any ground but the law of force, last on into ages and states of general opinion which never would have permitted their first establishment. Less than forty years ago, Englishmen might still by law hold human beings in bondage as salcable property ; within the present century they might kidnap them and carry them off, and work them literally to death. This absolutely extreme case of the law of force, condemned by those who can tolerate almost every other form of arbitrary power, and which, of all others, prosents features the most revolting to the foclings

of all who look at it from an impartial position. was the law of civilized and Christian England within the memory of persons now living : and in one half of Anglo-Saxon America three or four years ago, not only did slavery exist, but the slave trade, and the breeding of slaves expressly for it, was a general practice between slave states. Yet not only was there a greater strength of sentiment against it, but, in England at least, a less amount either of feeling or of intorest in favour of it, thus of any other of the enstemary abuses of force : for its motive was the love of gain, unmixed and undiscuised ; and those who profited by it were a very small unmerical fraction of the country, while the natural feeling of all who were not personally interested in it, was unmitigated abhorrence. So extreme an instance makes it almost superfluous to refer to any other ; but consider the long duration of absolute monarchy. In England at present it is the almost universal conviction that military deputism is a case of the law of force, having no other origin or justification. Yet in all the great nations of Europe except England it either still exists, or hus only just ceased to exist, and has even now a strong party favourable to it in all ranks of the people, especially among persons of station and consomence. Such is the nower of an established system, oven when far from

4

٠

c

naiversal : when not only in almost every period of history there have been great and well-known examples of the contrary system, but these have almost invariably been afforded by the most illustrious and most prosperous communities. In this case, too, the possessor of the undue power, the person directly interested in it, is only one person, while those who are subject to it and soffer from it are literally all the rest. The voke is natorally and accessurily humiliating to all nersons, except the one who is on the throne. together with, at most, the one who expects to succeed to it. How different are these cases from that of the power of men over women ! I am not now prejudging the question of its instifiableness. I am showing how vastly more permanext it could not but be, even if not justifiable. than these other dominations which have nevertheless lasted down to our own time. Whatever gratification of pride there is in the possession of power, and whatever personal interest in its exercise, is in this case not confined to a limited class, but common to the whole male sex. Instead of heing, to most of its supporters, a thing desirable chiefly in the abstract, or, like the political cuds pausally contended for by factions, of little private importance to any but the leaders ; it comes home to the person and hearth of every male head of a family, and of every one

who looks forward to being so. The clodhopper exercises, or is to exercise, his share of the power equally with the highest nobleman. And the case is that in which the desire of nower is the strongest: for every one who desires power, desires it most over those who are nearest to him, with whom his life is passed, with whom he has most concerns in common, and in whom any independence of his authority is oftenest likely to interfere with his individual preferences. If, in the other cases specificil, powers manifestly grounded only on force, and having so much less to support them, are so slowly and with so much difficulty not rid of, much more must it be so with this, even if it rests on no better foundation than those. We must consider, too, that the possessors of the power have facilities in this case, greater than in any other, to prevent any uprising against it. Every one of the subjects lives under the very eye, and almost, it may be said, in the hands, of one of the masters-in closer intimacy with him than with any of her fellow-subjects ; with no means of combining against him, no nower of even locally overmastering him, and, on the other hand, with tho strongest motives for seeking his favour and avoiding to give him offence. In struggles for political emaucipation, everybody knows how often its champions are bought off by bribes, or daunted 0 2

by terrors. In the case of women, each individual of the subject-class is in a chronic state of bribery and intimidation combined. In setting up the standard of resistance, a large number of the leaders, and still more of the followers, must make an almost complete sacrifice of the pleasurvey on the allocations of their own individual lot. If ever my system of privilege and enforced subjection had its voke tightly riveted on the neeks of those who are kent down by it. this has. I have not yet shown that it is a wrong system : but every one who is canable of thinking on the subject must see that even if it is, it was certain to outlast all other forms of unjust authority. And whon some of the grossest of the other forms still exist in many civilized countries, and have only recently been got rid of in others, it would be strange if that which is so much the deenest-rooted had yet been perceptibly shaken anywhere. There is more reason to wonder that the protests and testimonics against it should have been so numerous and so weighty as they are.

Some will object, that a comparison cannot fairly be made between the government of the made sex and the forms of unipst power which I have adduced in illustration of it, since these are arbitrary, and the effect of mero usurgation, while it on the contrary is natural. But was

there ever any domination which did not appear natural to those who possessed it? There was a time when the division of mankind into two classes a small one of innsiers and a numerous one of slaves, appeared, even to the most cultivoted minds, to be a natural, and the only untural. condition of the human race. No less an intellect, and one which contributed no less to the progress of human thought, than Aristotle, held this aginion without doubt or misgiving; and rested it on the same premises on which the some assertion in regard to the dominion of men over women is usually based, namely that there ave different natures among mankind, free matures, and slave natures; that the Greeks were of a free action, the barbarian races of Thracians and Asiatics of a slave nature. But why need I go back to Aristotlo? Did not the slaveowners of the Southern United States maintain the same doctrine, with all the fanatician with which men cling to the theories that justify their passions and legitimate their personal interests? Did they not call heaven and earth to witness that the dominion of the white man over the black is natural, that the black race is by nature incaueble of freedom, and marked out for slavery? some even going so far as to say that the freedom of mound labourors is an unnatural order of things mywhere. Again, the theorists of absolute monarchy have always affirmed it to be the only natural form of government; issuing from the untriarchal, which was the primitive and spontaneous form of society, framed on the model of the paternal, which is anterior to society itself, and, as they contend, the most untural authority of all. Nay, for that matter, the haw of force itself, to those who could not idead any other, has always seemed the most natural of all grounds for the exercise of authority. Conquering mees hold it to be Nature's own dictate that the conquered should obey the conquerters, or, as they curboniously paraphrase it, that the feebler and more unwarlike races should submit to the braver and maalier. The smallest acquaintance with human life in the middle ages, shows how supremely natural the dominion of the feadai nobility over men of low condition appeared to the nobility themselves, and how unnatural the conception accessed, of a person of the inferior class claiming equality with them, or exercising authority over them. It hardly seemed loss so to the class held in subjection. The conneipated scrip and bargesses, even in their must vigorous struggles, never made any pretension to a share of authority; they only demanded more or less of limitation to the power of tyraunising over them. So true is it that unmatural generally means only unenstomary, and that everything which is usual appears natural. The sub- (jection of women to men being a universal custom, any departure from it quite naturally appears unnatural. But how entirely, oven in this case, the feeling is dependent on custom, appears by ample experience. Nothing so much astonishes the people of distant parts of the world, when they first learn anything about England, as to be told that it is under a queen : the thing seems to them so unnatural as to be almost incredible. To Englishmen this does not acem in the least degree unnatural, because they are used to it; but they do feel it numatural that women should be soldiers or members of parliament. In the feudal ages, on the contrary, war and nolities were not thought unmatural to women, because not unusual; it seemed natural that momen of the privileged classes should be of membrohameter, inferior in nothing but hodily strength to their husbands and fathers. The independence of women seemed rather less unnatural to the Greeks than to other ancients, on account of the fabrilous Amazons (whom they believed to be historical), and the partial example afforded by the Spartan women ; who, though no less subordinate by law than in other Greek states, were more free in fact, and being trained to badily excreises in the same manner with men, gave ample proof that they were not naturaily disqualified for them. There can be little doubt that Spartan experience suggested to Plate, among many other of his dustrines, that of the social and political equality of the two axes.

But, it will be said, the rule of mea over women differs from all these others in not being a rule of force : it is accepted voluntarily ; women make no complaint, and are consenting parties to it. In the first place, a great number of women do not accept it. Ever since there have been women able to make their sentiments known by their writings (the only mode of publicity which society permits to them), an increasing number of them have recorded protests against their present social condition : and recently many thousands of them. headed by the most eminent women known to the public, have petitioned Parliament for their admission to the Parliamentary Suffrage, The claim of women to be educated as solidly, and in the same branches of knowledge, as men, is urged with growing intensity, and with a great prospect of success ; while the domand for their admission into professions and occupations hithorto closed against them, becomes every year more unreal. Though there are not in this country, as there are in the United States, periodical Conventions and an organized party to agitate for the Richts of Womon, there is a numerous and active Society organized and managed by women, for the more

limited object of obtaining the political franchise. Nor is it only in our own country and in America that women are beginning to protest, more or loss collectively, against the disabilities under which they labour. France, and Italy, and Switzerland, and Russia now afford examples of the same thing. How many more women there are who silently cherish similar aspirations, no one can possibly know; but there are abundant tokons how many world cherish them, were they not so strenuously taught to repress them as contrary to the proprieties of their sex. It must be remembered, also, that no enslaved class ever asked for complete likerty at once. When Simon de Montfort called the doputies of the commons to sit for the first time in Parliament, did any of them dream of domunding that an assembly, elected by their constituents, should make and destroy ministries, and dictate to the king in affairs of state? No such thought entered into the imagination of the most ambitions of them. The nobility had already these pretensions ; the commons pretended to nothing but to be exempt from arbitrary taxation, and from the gross judividual oppression of the king's officers. It is a political law of nature that these who are under any power of ancient origin, never begin by complaining of the power itself, but only of its oppressive exercise. There is never any want of

women who complain of ill usage by their luvhands. There would be infinitely more, if contplaint were not the greatest of all provocatives to a repetition and increase of the ill usage. It is this which feastrates all attempts to maintain the nower but protect the woman against its abuses. In no other case (except that of a child) is the person who has been proved judicially to have suffered an injury, replaced under the physical nower of the cultorit who inflicted it. Accordingly wives, even in the most extreme and protracted cases of bedily ill usage, hardly ever dare avail themselves of the laws made for their protection : and if, in a moment of irrepressible indignation, or by the interference of neighbours. they are induced to do so, their whole effort afterwards is to disclose as little as they can, and to beg off their tyrant from his merited clastisement,

All causes, social and mataral, combine to make it aniloly that wannes aloudd be collocitivity robillous to the power of men. They are no far in a position different from all abler while denses, that their matators require moshing more from than standar service. Men they more from the standard service dense was their service base of the standard services was the standard service dense of the standard service dense of the standard service burntle, denire to have, in the overana most unarry connected with them, not a lowersh and the afraversite.

Section Section 2

They have therefore put everything in practice to enslave their minuls. The masters of all other slaves rely, for maintaining obedience, on fear ; either fear of themselves, or religious fears. The masters of women wanted more than simple obedience, and they turned the whole force of education to effect their purpose. All women are brought up from the very earliest yours in the helief that their ideal of character is the very ; onnosite to that of men; not self-will, and government by self-control, but submission, and vielding to the control of others. All the moralities tell them that it is the duty of wanten, and all the carront sentimentalities that it is their nature, to live for others: to make complete abneration of themselves, and to have no life but in their affections. And by their affections are meant the only ones they are allowed to have-those to the men with whom they are connected, or to the children who constitute an additional and indefeasible tio between them and a man. When we put together three things-first, the untural attraction between opposite sexes ; secondly, the wife's entire descendence on the husband, every privilege or pleasure she has being either his gift, or depending outirely on his will ; and lastly, that the principal object of human pursuit, consideration, and all objects of social ambition, can in general he sought or obtained by her only through

him, it would be a miracle if the object of being attractive to men had not become the polar star of feminine education and formation of character. And, this great means of influence over the minds of women having been acquired, an instinut of solfishness made men avail themselves of it to the atmost as a means of holding women in subjection, by representing to them meckness, submissiveness, and resignation of all judividual will into the hands of a man, as an essential part of acxual attractiveness. Can it he doubted that any of the other yokes which mankind have succeeded in breaking, would have subsisted till now if the same means had existed, and had been as acdulously used, to how down their minds to it ? If it had been made the object of the life of every young plebeian to find personal favour in the eyes of some patrician, of every young serf with some seigneur ; if domestication with him, and a share of his personal affections, had been held out as the prize which they all should look out for, the most gifted and aspiring being able to reckon on the most desirable prizes ; and if, when this prize had been obtained, they had been shut out by a wall of brass from all interests not centering in him, all feelings and desires but those which he shared or inculented ; would not serfs and seigneurs, pichcians and natricians, have been as broadly distinguished at this day as men

and political subjection to man. Ital Tanano further, and multismit that the convex of history and the tendencian of programics human society, and the tendencian of programics human society, and the tendencian of programics in the further of the system of inequality of rights, but it a strong mosdignish if z and that, for a set bwyline course of human improvements up to this time, the whole herms on the subject in the strength of the parts is discontant with that this relie of the part is discontant with the future, and must

For, what is the pseuliar character of the molern world—the differences which chiedly distinguishes modern institutions, modern social disca, modern like itself, from those of times long past? It is, data human beings are no longer how to their phase in 106, and chisted down by an incorrelule bund to the phase they are born to go but are free to employ their faculties, and such flowerable characters as offset, an additive the lost which

may appear to them most desirable. Human } society of old was constituted on a very different principle. All were born to a fixed social position, and were mostly kept in it by law, or interdicted from any means by which they could emerge from it. As some men are born white and others black, so some were born slaves and others froemen and citizens; some were horn patricians, others pleheians; some were born findal nobles, others commoners and returiers. A slave or serf could nover make himself free, nor, except by the will of his master, become so, In most European countries it was not till towards the close of the middle ages, and as a consequence of the growth of regal power, that commoners could be ennobled. Byen among nobles, the eldest son was born the exclusive heir to the paternal possessions, and a long time clapsed before it was fully established that the father could disinherit him. Among the industrious classes, only those who were bern members of a guild, or were admitted into it by its members, could lawfully practise their calling within its local limits ; and nobody could practise any calling deemed important, in any but the legal mannor-by processes authoritatively prescribed. Manufacturers have stood in the pillory for presuming to carry on their business by new and improved methods. In modern Europe, and most in those parts of

it which have participated most largely in all other modern improvements, diametrically opposite doctrines now prevail. Law and government do not undertake to prescribe by whom any social or industrial operation shall or shall not be conducted, or what modes of conducting them shall be lawful. These things are left to the unfettered choice of individuals. Even the laws which required that workmen should serve an apprentionship, have in this country been repealed : there being ample assurance that in all cases in which an apprenticeshin is necessary. its necessity will suffice to enforce it. The old theory was, that the least possible should be left to the choice of the individual agent; that all he had to do should, as far as practicable, he haid down for him by superior wisdom. Left to himself he was sure to go wrong. The modern conviction, the fruit of a thousand years of experience, is, that things in which the individual is the purson directly interested, never go right but as they are left to his own discretion ; and that any regulation of them by authority, except to protect the rights of others, is sure to be mischievous. This conclusion, slowly arrived at, and not adopted until almost every possible application of the contrary theory had been made with disastrous result, now (in the industrial department) prevails universally in the most advanced countries, almost universally in all that have pretensions to any sort of advancement. It is not that all processes are supposed to be equally good, or all persons to be equally qualified for everything; but that freedom of individual choice is now known to be the only thing which procures the adoption of the best processes, and throws each operation ioto the hands of those who are best qualified for it. Nobody thinks it necessary to make a law that only a strong-armed man shall be a blacksmith. Freedom and competition suffice to make blacksmiths strong-armed men, because the weak-armed can earn more by engaging io occupations for which they are more fit. In consonance with this doctrine, it is felt to be an overstepping of the proper houseds of authority to fix beforehand, on some general presumption, that certain persons are not fit to do certain things. It is now thoroughly known and admitted that if some such presumptions exist, no such presumption is infallible. Even if it be well grounded in a majority of cases, which it is very likely not to be, there will be a minority of executional cases in which it does not hold : and in those it is both an injustion to the individuals, and a detriment to acciety, to place barriers in the way of their using their faculties for their own bencht and for that of others. In the cases,

The second second second second

on the other hand, in which the unfitness is real, the ordinary motives of human conduct will on the whole suffice to prevent the incompetent person from making, or from persisting in, the attempt.

If this general principle of social and economical acience is not true; if individuals, with such help as they can derive from the opinion of those who know them, are not better judges than the law and the government, of their own canacities and vocation ; the world cannot too acou abandon this principle, and return to the old system of regulations and disabilities, But if the principle is true, we onght to net as if we believed it, and not to ordnin that to be been a girl instead of a bey, any more than to be born black instead of white, or a commoner instead of a nobleman, shall decide the person's position through all life - shall intendict people from all the more elevated social nositions, and from all, except a few. respectable compations. Even were we to admit the utmost that is ever protended as to the superior fitness of men for all the functions now reserved to them, the same argument applies which forbids a logal qualification for members of Parliament. If only once in a dozen years the conditions of eligibility exclude a fit person, there is a real loss, while the exclusion of thou-

1

analod quarti persona is no gring; for if the constrution of the electrum loby i dynames them to choose unit, persons, there are always pleaty of any difficulty and inputations, thuse who can aldown will are force than the anext, error with the unst uncestricted latitude of chines: and any initiation of the field of abeletion deprives soriety of some chance of being accerval by the competent, wholen ever activity if them the incompetent.

At present, in the more improved countries, the disabilities of women are the only case, save one, in which laws and justitutions take persons at their birth, and ordain that they shall never in all their lives he allowed to comucto for certain things. The one excention is that of royalty, Persons still are born to the throne ; no one, not of the reigning family, can ever occupy it, and no one even of that family can, by any means but the course of hereditary succession, attain it. All other dignities and social advantages are onen to the whole male sex : many indeed are only attainable by wealth, but wealth may be striven for by any one, and is actually obtained by many men of the very humblest origin. The difficulties, to the majority, are indeed insuperable without the aid of fortunate accidents; but no male human being is under any legal hau; neither law nor opinion superaid artificial obstacles to the natural ones. Royalty, as I have said, is excented ; but in this case every one feels it to be as execution----as anomaly in the modern world. in marked amosition to its customs and orinei. nles, and to be justified only by extraordinary special expediencies, which, though individuals and nations differ in estimating their weight, unquestionably do in fact exist. But in this exceptional cose, in which a high social function . is, for innortant reasons, bestowed on birth instead of being put up to connectition, all free nations contrive to adhere in substance to the principle from which they nominally decogate; for they eircanscribe this high function by conditions avowedly intended to prevent the person to whom it estensibly belongs from really performing it; while the person by whom it is performed, the responsible minister, does obtain the nost by a connectition from which no full-grown citizen of the male sex is legally excluded. The disabilities, therefore, to which women are subject from the mers fact of their birth, are the solitary examples of the kind in modern legislation. In no instance except this, which comprehends half the human ruce, are the higher social functions closed against any one by a fatality of birth which no exertions, and no change of opennstances, can overcome ; for even religious disabilities fucsides that in England and in Europe they n 2

have practically almost censed to exist) do not alose any encore to the disqualified person in case of conversion.

The social subordination of women thus stends out an isolated fact in modern social institutions ; a solitary breach of what has become their fundamental law ; a single relie of an old world of thought and practice exploded in everything elso, but retained in the one thing of most universal interest; as if a gignatic dolmen, or a vast temple of Jupiter Olympius, occupied the site of St. Paul's and received daily worship, while the surrounding Christian churches were only resorted to on fasts and festivals. This entire discrepancy between one social fact and all those which accompany it, and the radical opposition between its nature and the progressive movement which is the banst of the modern world, and which has successively swept away overything olso of an analogous character, surely affords, to a conscientious observer of human tondencies, scrimus matter for reflection. It raises a primh facie presumption on the unfavourable side, far outweighing any which custom and usago could in such circumstances create on the favourable; and should at least suffice to make this, like the choice between republicanism and royalty, a balanced question.

The losst that can be domandod is, that the

question should not be considered as preisidged by existing fact and existing ownion, but once to discussion on its merits, as a question of justice and exactioney; the decision on this, as on any of the other social arrangements of markind, depending on what an enlightened estimate of tendencies and consequences may show to be most advantageous to humanity in general, without distinction of sex. And the discussion must bo a real discussion, descending to foundations, and not resting satisfied with vague and general assortions. It will not do, for instance, to assort in general terms, that the experience of mankind has pronounced in favour of the existing system. Experience, current possibly have decided between ; two courses, so long as there has only been expevience of one. If it he said that the ductring of the equality of the sexes rests only on theory, it must be remembered that the contrary doctrine also has only theory to rest upon. All that is proved in its favour by direct experionce, is that mankind have been able to exist under it, and to attain the derive of immovement and prosperity which we now see; but whether that prosperity has been attained summer, or is now greater, than it would have been under the other system, experience does not say. On the other hand, experience does say, that every stop in improvement has been so invariably accompanied by a stop

made in raising the satial position of women, that interview and philosphere have here let to adopt their elevation or debasement as on the whole the avert to sum anot correct wassard of the exiting the interview of the satisfier of the condition of women has the term in history. the prove that the assimilation must get on to complete copy that the assimilation must get on to complete copy that is assimilation must get on to complete copy that is assimilation must get on to complete copy that is assumed by first how must presumption that such is the case.

Neither does it avail anything to say that the nature of the two sexes adapts them to their present functions and position, and renders these appropriate to them. Standing on the ground of common some and the constitution of the human mind, I dony that any one knows, or can know, the nature of the two acces, as long as they have only been seen in their present relation to one another. If men had over been found in society without women, or women without mon, or if there had been a society of men and women in which the women were not under the control of the men, screething might have been positively known about the mental and moral differences which may be inherent in the nature of each. What is now called the unture of women is an eminently artificial thing-the result of forced repression in some directions, unnatural stimula-

4

tion in others. It may be asserted without semule, that up other class of dependents have had their character so entirely distorted from its autural proportions by their relation with their masters; for, if congnered and slave name have been, in some respects, more foreibly represent, whatever in them has not been crushed down by an iron heel has generally been let alone, and if left with any liberty of development, it has developed itself according to its own laws; but in the case of women, a hot-house and store cultivation has always been entried on of some of the caughilities. of their nature, for the henefit and pleasure of their masters. Then, because certain products of the general vital force sprout luxuriantly and reach a great development in this heated atmosubero and under this active marture and watering, while other shoots from the same root, which are left outside in the wintry air, with ice purposely beauch all round them, have a structed growth, and some are burnt off with fire and disappear; men, with that inability to recognise their own work which distinguishes the unanalytic mind, indolently believe that the trea grows of itself in the way they have made it grow, and that it would die if one half of it. were not kent in a vapour bath and the other helf in the enver-

Of all difficulties which impede the progress

of thought, and the formation of well-grounded opinions on life and social arrangements, the greatest is now the unspeakable ignorance and institution of mankind in respect to the informers which form human character. Whatever my portion of the human species now are, or seem to be, mch, it is supposed, they have a natural tendency to be : even when the most elementary knowledge of the circumstances in which they have been placed, clearly points out the causes that made them what they are. Because a cottice doeply in arrears to his landlord is not industrious, there are people who think that the Irish arc paturally idle. Because constitutions can be overthrown when the authorities sonoisted to execute them tarn their arms against them, there are people who think the French incanable of free government. Because the Greeks cheated the Turks, and the Turks only plundered the Greeks, there are persons who think that the Turks are naturally more sincere ; and because women, as is often said, cave nothing about politics except their personalities, it is supposed that the general good is naturally less interesting to women than to men. History, which is now so much better understood than formerly, teaches another lesson : if only by showing the extraordinary susceptibility of human nature to external influences, and the extreme

A second s

22064

variableness of those of its manifestations which are supposed to be most universal and uniform. But in history, as in travelling, men usually see only what they already had in their own minds; and few learn much from history, who do not bring much which them to its study.

Hence, in regard to that most difficult question, what are the natural differences between the two sexes-a subject on which it is immossible in the present state of society to obtain complete and correct knowledge-while almost everyholy dogmatizes upon it, almost all neglect and make light of the only means by which may partial insight can be obtained into it. This is, an analytic study of the most important department of psychology, the laws of the influence r of circumstances on character. For, however, areat and apparently incrudicable the moral and intellectual differences between men and women might be, the evidence of their being natural differences could only be negative. Those only could be inferred to be natural which could not possibly be artificial-the residuum, after deducting every characteristic of either sex which can admit of being explained from education or external circumstances. The profoundest knowledge of the laws of the formation of character is indisnessable to entitle any one to affirm even that there is any difference, much more what -

the difference is, between the two sexes considered as moral and rational beings; and since no one, as yet, has that knowledge, (for there is hardly any subject which, in proportion to its importance, has been so little studied), no one is thus far entitled to any positive opinion on the subject. Conjectures are all that can at present he made; conjectures more or less probable, according as more or less anthorized by such knowledge as we yet have of the laws of psychology, as applied to the formation of character,

Even the preliminary knowledge, what the differences between the sexes now are, spart from all question as to how they are made what they are, is still in the crudest and most incomplete state. Medical practitioners and physiclogists have ascertained, to some extent, the differences in bedily constitution ; and this is an important element to the psychologist : but hardly any medical practitioner is a psychologist. Respecting the mental characteristics of women (" their observations are of no more worth than those of common men. It is a subject on which nothing final can be known, so long as those who alone can really know it, women themsolves, have given but little testimony, and that little, meatly suborned. It is easy to know stupid women. Stupidity is much the same all the world over. A stupid person's notious and feelings may confidently be inforred from those which ! movail in the circle by which the person is surmanded. Not so with those whose opinions and feelines are an emanation from their own nature and faculties. It is only a man here and there ! who has any tolerable knowledge of the character erea of the women of his own family. I do not mean, of their canabilities; these nobody knows, not even themselves, because most of them have never been called out. I mean their actually existing thoughts and feelings. Many a man thinks he perfectly understands women. beenuse he has had amatory relations with several, perhaps with many of them. If he is a good observer, and his experience extends to quality as well as quantity, he may have leaved something of one narrow department of their nature-an important department, no doubt. But of all the rest of it, few persons are generally more ignorant, because there are few from whom it is so carefully hidden. The most ; favourable case which a man can generally have for stadying the character of a woman, is that of his own wife : for the opportunities are greater. and the cases of complete symmetry not so unspeakably rare. And in fact, this is the source from which any knowledge worth having on the subject has, I believe, generally como. But most men have not had the opportunity of studying in

this way more than a single case : accordingly one can, to an almost laughable degree, infor what a man's wife is like, from his opinions about women in general. To make even this one case yield any result, the women must be worth knowing, and the num not only a commetent image, but of a character so sympathetic in itself, and so well adapted to here, that he can either read her mind by sympathetic intuition, or has nothing in himself which makes her shy of disclosing it. Hardly anything, I believe, can be more rare than this conjunction. 11 often happens that there is the most complete unity of feeling and community of interests as to all external things, yet the one has as little admission into the internal life of the other as if they were common acquaintance. Even with true affection, authority on the one side and subordination on the other prevent perfect coulidence. Though nothing may be intentionally withheld, much is not shown. In the analogous relation of parent and child, the corresponding tuhenomenon must have been in the observation of every one. As between father and son, how many are the cases in which the father, in spite of real affection on both sides, obviously to all the world does not know, nor suspect, parts of the son's character familiar to his companions and equals. The truth is, that the position of

¹ Magnetic services and determine some of the database or an other meridian endowed by a service of the se looking up to another is extremely unpropitions to complete sinecrity and openness with him. The fear of losing ground in his opinion or in his feelings is so strong, that even in an upright chameter, there is an unconscious tendency to show only the hest side, or the side which, though not the best, is that which he most likes to see ; and it i may be confidently said that therough knowledge of one another hardly ever exists, but between persons who, besides being intimates, are equals. How much more true, theu, must all this be, when the one is not only under the authority of ' the other, but has it inculcated on her as a duty to region prorything clse subordinate to his comfort and pleasure, and to let him neither see nor feel anything comits from her, except what is agreeable to him. All these difficulties stand in the way of a man's obtaining any thorough knowledge even of the one woman whom alone, in general, he has sufficient opportunity of studying. When we further consider that to under." stand one woman is not necessarily to nucleostand any other woman; that even if he could study many women of one rank, or of one country, he would not thereby understand women of other vanks or countries ; and even if he did, they are still only the women of a single period of history: we may safely assort that the knowledge which men can acquire of women, even as they have

been and are, without reference to what they might be, is wretchedly imperfect and superficial and always will be so, until women themselves have told all that they have to tell.

And this time has not come; nor will it com otherwise than gradually. It is but of yesterday that women have either been qualified by literary accomplishments, or permitted by society, to tel auxthing to the general public. As yet very for of them dare tell suything, which men, or where their literary success depends, are unwilling to hear. Let us remember in what manner no to a very recent time, the expression, ever by a male author, of uncustomary opinions, or what are deemed eccentric feelings, usually was and in some degree still is, received ; and we may form some faint concention under what inmediments a woman, who is brought up to think custom and opinion her sovereign rule, attempts to express in books surthing drawn from the depths of her own nature. The greatest woman who has left writings behind her sufficient to give her an emisent rask in the literature of her country, thought it necessary to prefix as a motto to her boldest work, "Un homme peut braver Popiaion ; une femme doit s'y soumettre."* The greater part of what women write about women is more sycophancy to men. In the case of un-

· Title-page of Mme. de Sizel's " Delphine."

married women, much of it seems only intended to increase their cloace of a landward. Many, both matried and unmarried, overstep the mark, and inculcate a servility beyond what is desired or relished he any man, except the very vulgarest. Bat this is not so often the case as, even at a onite late period, it still was. Literary women are becoming more freesnoken, and more willing to express their real sentiments. Unfortunately, in this country especially, they are themselves such artificial products, that their sentiments are compounded of a small element of individual observation and consciousness, and a very large one of acquired associations. This will be less i and less the case, but it will remain true to a great extent, as long as social institutions do not . admit the same free development of originality ' in women which is possible to men. When that time comes, and not before, we shall see, and not merely hear, as much as it is necessary to know of the nature of women, and the adaptation of other things to it,

I have duvid as much on the difficulties which at present obstruct any real knowledge by mean of the true nature of women, because in this asin as many other things "opinic engine intermixtanse emission implies etc.)" and there is little chance of versionable thinking on the matter, while poole fatter themasters that they perfectly

understand a subject of which most men known absolutely nothing, and of which it is at press impossible that any must, or all men taken top ther, should have knowledge which can qual them to lay down the law to women as to wh is, or is not, their vocation. Happily, an an knowledge is necessary for any practical purpo connected with the position of women in relation to society and life. For, according to all th principles involved in modern society, the question rests with women themselves-to be decided it their own experience, and by the use of the own faculties. There are no means of findin what either one person or many can do, but 1 trying-and no means by which any one char er discover for them what it is for their happing to do or leave undoue.

1. Sheet State and some sheet of the state of the

One thing we may be certain of-its what. contrary to worker's nature of a how here new will be made to a by simply giving their nature free play. The ounsety of marking their nature in behalf of nature, for far leat nature about getter unnecessary solicitade. What wances by getter unnecessary solicitade. What wances by matrixe cannot do its in guine agreements to forth them from doing. What they can do, hat no well as all ones who are their competition competition andifaces to exclude them from jains

in favour of womon; it is only asked that the present bounties and protective duties in favour of men should be recalled. If women have a greater natural inclination for some things than for others, there is no need of laws or social inculcation to make the majority of them do the former in preference to the latter. Whatover women's services are most wanted for, the free play of competition will hold out the strongest inducements to them to undertake, And, as the words imply, they are most wanted for the things for which they are most fit ; by the apportionment of which to them, the collective faculties of the two sexes can be applied on the whole with the greatest sum of valuable result.

will not be enough of them who will be willing to accept the condition said to be natural to them. If this is the real opinion of men in general, it would be well that it should be incken out. I should like to hear sounchody openly onunciating the doctrine (it is already implied in much that is written on the subicct)-" It is noccessary to society that women should marry and produce children. They will not do so nuless they are compelled. Therefore it is necessary to compel them." The merits of the ease would then be clearly defined. It would be exactly that of the slaveholders of South Carolina and Louisiana. " It is neucosary that ootton and angar should be grown. White men cannot produce them. Negroes will not, for any wages which we choose to give. Erge they must be compelled." An illustration still closer to the point is that of impressment. Snillers must absolutely be had to defend the country. It often happens that they will not voluntarily collist. Therefore there must be the power of forcing them. How often has this logic been used I and, but for one flaw in it, without doubt it would have been mucessful up to this day. But it is open to the , retori-First pay the sailors the housest value of their labour. When you have made it as well worth their while to serve you, as to work for

other employers, you will have no more difficulty than others have in obtaining their services, To this there is no logical answer except "I will not :" and as people are now not only ashamed. but are not desirous, to rob the labourer of his hire, impressment is no longer advocated. These who attempt to force women into marriage by closing all other doors against them, lay themsolves open to a similar rotort. If they mean what they say, their opinion must evidently be, that men do not render the married condition so desirable to women, as to induce them to accept it for its own recommendations. It is not a sign of one's thinking the boon one offers very attractive, when one allows only Holson's choice, "that or none," And here, I helieve, is the clue to the feelings of these men, who have a real antipathy to the oqual freedom of women. I believe they are afraid, not lest women should be unwilling to marry, for I do not think that any one in reality has that aunreliansion ; but lest they should insist that marriage should be on equal conditions ; lest all women of spirit and capacity should prefer doing almost anything clao, not in their own oyes degrading, rather than marry, when marrying is giving themselves a master, and a master too of all their earthly possessions. And truly, if this consequence were necessarily incident to

marviage, I think that the approhension would be very well founded. I agree in thinking it probable that few women, capable of anything olse, would, unless under an irresistible entrainement, readering them for the time insensible to anything but itself, choose such a lot, when any other means were open to them of filling a conventionally honourable place in life; and if men are determined that the law of marriage shall be a law of despetism, they are quite right, in point of more policy, in leaving to women only Hobson's choice. But, in that case, all that has been done in the modern world to relax the chain on the minds of women, has been a mistake. They nover should have been allowed to receive a literary education. Women who read, much more women who write, are, in the existing constitution of things, a contradiction and a disturbing element : and it was wrong to bring womon up with any acquirements but these of an odalisque, or of a domestie

CHAPTER IL

TT will be well to commence the detailed dis-. cussion of the subject by the particular brauch of it to which the course of our observations has led us : the conditions which the laws of this and all other countries annex to the marriage contract. Marriage being the destination appointed by anciety for women, the prospect they an brought up to, and the object which it is intended should be sought by all of them, except those who are too little attractive to he chosen by any man as his companion ; one might have supposed that everything would have been done to make this condition as eligible to them as possible, that they might have no cause to wavet being denied the ontion of nav other. Society, however, both in this, and, at first, in all other cases, has preferred to attain its object by foul rather than fair means ; but this is the only ease in which it has substantially persisted in them even to the present day. Originally women were taken by force, or regularly sold by their father to the husband. Until a late period in ". "

European history, the father had the power to dispose of his daughter in marringe at his own will and pleasure, without any regard to here. The Church, indeed, was so far faithful to a better morality as to require a formal "yes" from the woman at the marriage ccromony ; but there was nothing to show that the consent was other than compulsory ; and it was practically impossible for the girl to rofuse compliance if the father persovered, except perhaps when she might obtain the protection of religion by a determined resolution to take monastic yows. After marriage, the man had anciently (but this was antorior to Christianity) the power of life and douth over his wife. She could invoke no law against him ; he was her sole tribunal and law. For a long time he could repudiate her, but she had no corrosponding power in regard to him. By the old laws of England, the imaband was called the lord of the wife; he was literally regarded as her sovereign, inasmuch that the murder of a man by his wife was called trenson (pelly as distinguished from high treason), and was more eraely avenged than was usually the case with high treason, for the penalty was burning to douth. Because these various enormities have fallon into disuse (for most of them were never formally abolished, or not until they had long consed to be practised) mon suppose that all is now as it

should be in regard to the marriage contract; and we are continually told that civilization and Christianity have restored to the woman her just rights. Meanwhile the wife is the actual bondservant of her husband ; no less so, as far as legal obligation goes, than slaves commonly so called. She yows a lifebour obedience to him at the altar, and is held to it all through her life by law. Casuists may my that the obligation of obolience stops short of participation in crime, but it certainly extends to overything else. She can do no act whatever but by his permission, at least tacit. She can acquire no property but for him ; the instant it becomes here, even if hy inheritance, it becomes inso facto his. In this respect the wife's position under the common law of Eugland is worse than that of slaves in the laws of many countries : by the Roman law, for example, a slave might have his peculinan, which to a certain extent the law guaranteed to him for his exclusive use. The higher classes in this country have given an analogous advantage to their women, through special contracts setting aside the law, by conditions of nin-money. &c. : since parental foeling being stronger with lathers than the class feeling of their own sex, a father generally prefers his own daughter to a son-in-law who is a stranger to him. By means of settlements, the rich usually contrive to with-

Α.

draw the whole or part of the inherited property of the wife from the absolute control of the husband : but they do not succeed in keeping it under her own control; the ntmost they can do only prevents the husband from squaudering it, at the same time doharring the rightful owner from its use. The property itself is out of the reach of both ; and as to the income derived from it, the form of settlement most favourable to the wife (that called " to her separate use") only precludes the husband from receiving it instead of her : it must pass through her hands, but if he takes it from her by personal violence as soon as she receives it, he can neither be punished, nor compelled to restitution. This is the amount of the protection which, under the laws of this country, the most powerful nobleman can give to his own daughter as respects her husband. In the immense majority of cases there is no settlement : and the absorption of all rights, all property, as well as all freedom of action. is complete. The two are called "one person in law," for the purpose of inferring that whatever is here is his, but the parallel inference is never drawn that whatever is his is here ; the maxim is not applied against the man, except to make him responsible to third parties for her acts, as a master is for the acts of his slaves or of his cattle. I am far from pretending that wires are in

general no better treated than slaves; but no ; slave is a slave to the same lengths, and in so full a souse of the word, as a wife is. Hardly b any slave, except one immediately attached to the master's person, is a slave at all hours and all minutes; in general he has, like a soldier, his fixed task, and when it is done, or when he is off duty, he disposes, within certain limits, of his own time, and has a family life into which the master rarely intrudes. " Uncle Tom" under his first master had his own life in his "cubin," almost as much as any man whose work takes him away from home, is able to have in his own family. But it cannot be so with the wife. Above all, a female slave has (in Christian countries) an admitted right, and is considered under a moral obligation, to refuse to her master the last familiarity. Not so the wife : however heatal a tyrant ; she may unfortunately be chained to-though she may know that he hates her, though it may be his daily pleasure to torture her, and though sho may feel it impossible not to louthe him-he can claim from her and enforce the lowest degradation of a human being, that of being made the instrument of an animal function contrary to her inclinations. While she is hold in this worst description of slavery as to her own person, what is her position in regard to the children in whom she and her master have a joint interest?

hille

They are by law his children. He alone has any legal rights over them. Not one act can she do towards or in relation to them, except by delegation from him. Even after he is dead she is not their legal guardian, unless he by will has made her so. He could even send them away from her, and deprive her of the means of sceing or corresponding with thom, nutil this power was in some degree restricted by Serjeant Talfourd's Act. This is her legal state. And from this state she has no means of withdrawing herself. If she leaves her husband, she can take nothing with her, neither her children nor anything which is rightfully her own. If he chooses, he can compel her to retarn, by law, or by physical force ; or he may contcut himself with solving for his own uso anything which she may ourn, or which may be given to her by her relations. It is only logal separation by a decree of a court of justice, which entitles her to live spart, without being forced back into the custody of an examperated jailor-or which empowers her to apply any carnings to her own use, without fear that a man whom perhaps she has not soon for twenty years will pounce upon her some day and carry all off. This legal separation, until lately, the courts of justice would only give at an expense which made it inaccessible to any one out of the higher ranks. Even now it is only given in cases of desortion, or of

Ne

this state of things would be, that since her all in life descuda upon obtaining a good muster, she should be allowed to change again and again, until she finds one. I not not saying that she ought to be allowed this privilege. That is a totally different consideration. The aucstion of divores in the sease involving liberty of remarriage. is one into which it is foreign to my purpose to enter. All I now say is, that to those to whomnothing but sorvitude is allowed, the free choice of servitude is the only, though a most insufficient. alieviation. Its refusal completes the assimilation of the wife to the share and the share under not the mildest form of slavery : for in some slave codes the slave could, under certain circumstances of ill usage, legally compel the master to soll him. But no amount of ill peace. without adultery superadded, will in England free a wife from her tormontor.

I have no desire to exaggerate, nor does the case stand in any need of exaggeration. I have described the wife's legal position, not her actual treatment. The laws of most countries are far worse than the people who execute them, and many of them are only able to remain laws by being soldom or never carried into effect. If married life were all that it might be expected to he, looking to the laws alone, society would he a hell upon earth. Happily there are both feelings and interests which in many men exclude, and in most, greatly temper, the impulses and proponsition which lead to tyranny ; and of those feelings, the tie which connects a man with his wife afferds, in a normal state of things, incomparably the strongest example. The only tie which at all approaches to it, that between him and his children, tends, in all save exceptional cases, to strongthen, instead of conflicting with, the first. Because this is true; hecause men in general do not inflict, nor women suffer, all the misery which could be inflicted and suffered if the fall power of tyranny with which the man is legally inwasted were acted on ; the defenders of the existing form of the institution think that all its iniquity is justified, and that any complaint is merely quarrelling with the evil which is the price paid for every great good. But the mifies

gations in practice, which are compatible with maintaining in full logal force this or any other kind of tyranny, instead of being any apology for despotism, only serve to prove what power human nature possesses of reacting against the vilest institutions, and with what vitality the scods of good as well as those of evil in human character diffuse and propagate themselves. Not_4 a word can be said for despotism in the family which cannot be said for political despotiam, Every absolute king does not sit at his window to onjoy the groans of his tortured subjects, nor strips them of their last rag and turns them out to shiver in the road. The despotism of Louis XVI, was not the despotism of Philippe le Bol, or of Nadir Shah, or of Caligula; but it was had enough to justify the French Revolution, and to palliato even its horrors. If an appeal be made to the intense attachments which exist between wives and their husbands, exactly as much may be said of domestic slavery. It was quite an ordinary fact in Greeces and Rome for slaves to submit to donth by torture rather than beiray their masters. In the proscriptions of the Roman civil wars it was romarked that wives and slaves were heroically faithful, sons very commonly treacherous. Yet we know how cruelly many Romans treated their slaves. But in truth these intenso in-

dividal fielding another into and a hurarizat bight at another inno attancional institutions. It is part of the large d [16, which is that atongent follows of atomic gatitude of which branch and the second state of the large state of the large nature seems to be assequide, and which for a human being to atomic the second state of the power entirely to crash their atomic which will constantly relative to make that power, the great a place in mast ness this statisticant all appears on another second to be atomic the inputs. We daily see how much their particular these appears to whom Great to whom Great theorem and the follower atomic to whom Great to atomic the input of the daily the contemplation of followersatures to whom Great and these no mentioned in a law has to themaple.

Whether the institution revealed and the solution of the board of a family, we are slowed and the board of a family, we are slowed and the board of a family, we are slowed and the board of a family, we are slowed and the board of the board

adapted, not to good men, but to had. Marriage is not an institution designed for a select few-Men are not required, as a preliminary to the marriage ceremony, to prove by testimonials that they are fit to be trusted with the exercise of absolute nower. The tie of affection and obligation to a wife and children is very strong with those whose searcal social feelings are strong. and with many who are little sensible to any other social ties; but there are all degrees of sensibility and inscusibility to it, as there are all grades of goodness and wickedness in men, down to those whom no ties will bind, and on whom society has no action but through its elling ratio. the neutrities of the law. In every grade of this descending scale are next to whom are committed all the legal powers of a husband. The vilest undefactor has some wretched woman tied to him, against whom he can commit any structly excent killing her, and, if takenably contious, condo that without much danger of the legal penalty, And how muny thousands are there among the lowest classes in every country, who, without bring in a legal sense malefactors in any other reappet, because in every other quarter their aggressions must with resistance, includer the ntmost habitual excesses of hodily violence towards the unhapper wife, who along, at least of grown atraons, can neither reach nor escano from



their bratality; and towards whom the excess of dependence impires their mean and savare natures, not with a generons forbearance, and a noint of honour to behave well to one whose lot in life is trusted entirely to their kindness, but on the contrary with a notion that the law has delivered her to them as their thing, to be used at their pleasure, and that they are not expected to practise the consideration towards her which is required from them towards everybolly else, The law, which till lately left even these atrocions extremes of dosacstic oppression practically unpunished, has within these few years made some feeble attempts to rewess them. But its attempts have done little, and cannot be expected to do much, because it is contrary to reason and experience to suppose that there can be any real check to brutality, consistent with leaving the victim still in the power of the excentioner. Until a conviction for personal violence, or at all events a repetition of it after a first conviction, cutitles the woman ipse facts to a divorce, or at least to a judicial separation, the attompt to repress these "aggravated assaults" by legal penalties will break down for want of a proscentor, or for want of a witness

When we consider how vast is the number of mon, in any great country, who are little higher than brates, and that this never prevents them from being able, through the law of marriage. to obtain a victim, the breadth and depth of human misery caused in this shape alone by the abuse of the institution swells to something annalling. Yet these are only the extreme cases. They are the lowest abysses, but there is a sad succession of douth after douth before reaching them. In domestic as in political tyramy, the case of absolute monsters chiefly illustrates the institution by showing that there is scarcely any horror which may not occur under it if the denot pleases, and thus setting in a strong light what must be the terrible frequency of things only a little less atrocions. Absolute ficands are as mro as augels, perhaps varer : ferocious savages, with occasional touches of humanity, are however very frequent; and in the wide interval which senantes these from any worthy representatives of the human species, how many are the dentifies has milamine to enoishing bus smol ness, often under an outward varnish of civilization and even coltivation, hving at peace with the law, mainteining a creditable approximee to all who are not under their power, yet sufficient often to make the lives of all who are so, a tormont and a burthen to them | It would be tiresome to repeat the commonplaces about the unfiluess of mon in general for nower, which, after the political discussions of conturies, every



one knows by heart, were it not that hardly any one thinks of applying these maxims to the case in which above all others they are applicable. that of nover, not placed in the hands of a man here and there, but offered to every adult male, down to the basest and most ferocious. It is not heranse a man is not known to have broken any of the Ten Commandments, or because he maintains a respectable character in his dealings with these whom he cannot enough to have intercourse with him, or because he does not fly out into violent lansts of ill-temper against those who are not obliged to bear with him, that it is possible to surmise of what sort his conduct will he in the unrestraint of home. Even the commonest men reserve the violent, the salky, the undisguisedly solfish side of their character for thuse who have no power to withstand it. The relation of superiors to dependents is the nursery of these vices of character, which, wherever elso they exist, are an overflowing from that source. A man who is morose or violent to his equals, is sure to be one who has lived among inferiors, whom he could frighten or worry into submission. If the family in its best forms is, as it is often said to be, a school of sympathy, tenderness, and loving forgetfulness of self, it is still offener. as respects its chief, a school of wilfulness, overbearinguess, unbounded self-indulgence, and a

double-dyed and idealized selfishness, of which sacrifice itself is only a particular form : the care for the wife and children being only care for them as parts of the man's own interests and belongings, and their individual barniness being immolated in every shape to his smallest areferences. What better is to be looked for maler the existing form of the institution? We know that the had propensities of human nature are only kept within bounds when they are allowed no scope for their indulgence. We know that from impulse and lubit, when not from deliberate nurness, almost every one to when others yield, goes on encroaching upon them, until a point is reached at which they are compelled to resist. Such being the common tendency of [human nature ; the aboust unlimited power which present social institutions give to the man over at least one human being-the one with whom he resides, and whom he has always presentthis power seeks out and crokes the latent memory of selfishness in the remotest corners of his nature-flus its faintest sparks and smouldering embers-offers to him a liceuse for the indulgence of those points of his original character which in all other relations he would have found it asceasary to repress and conceal, and the repression of which would in time have become a second. nature. I know that there is another side to

P 2

the question. I grant that the wife, if she cannot effectually resist, can at least rotaliste; she, too, can make the man's life extremely uncontortable, and by that power is able to carry many points which she ought, and many which she ought not, to prevail in. But this instrument of self-protection-which may be called the power of the scold, or the shrewish sanction -has the fatal defect, that it avails most against the least tyrannical superiors, and in favour of the least deserving dependents. It is the weapon of irritable and self-willed women; of those whe would make the worst uso of power if they themsolves had it, and who generally turn this power to a bad use. The amiable caunot uso such an } instrument, the highminded distain it. And on the other hand, the husbands against whom it is used most effectively are the gentler and more inoffensive; those who cannot he induced, even by provocation, to resort to any very harsh exercise of authority. The wife's power of being disagreeable generally only establishes a countertyranny, and makes victims in their tarn chiefly of those husbands who are least inclined to be tyrants.

What is it, then, which really tempers the corrupting offects of the power, and makes it compatible with such amount of good as we actually see? More feminino blandishments,

And the second s

though of great effect in individual instances. have very little effect in modifying the general tendencies of the situation ; for their power only , lasts while the woman is young and attractive, often only while her charm is new, and not dimmed by familiarity ; and on many men they have not much influence at any time. The real 12 mitigating causes are, the personal affection . which is the growth of time, in so far as the man's nature is susceptible of it, and the woman's character sufficiently concenial with his to excite it : their common interests as resturds the childrep, and their general community of interest as concerns third persons (to which however there are very great limitations): the real importance of the wife to his daily comforts and enjoyments, and the value he consequently attaches to her on his personal account, which, in a man capable of feeling for others, lays the foundation of earing for her on her own ; and lastly, the influence naturally acquired over almost all human beings by those near to their persons (if not actually disagree able to them): who, both by their direct entroatics, and by the insensible contagion of their feelings and dispositions, are often able, unless counteracted by some equally strong personal influence, to obtain a degree of command over the conduct of the superior, altogether excessive and unreasonable. Through these various means, the

wife frequently exercises even too much power over the man ; she is able to affect his conduct in things in which she may not be qualified to influence it for good-iu which her influence may he not only unenlightened, but employed on the morally wrong side; and in which he would act better if left to his own prompting. But neither in the affairs of families nor in those of states is power a compensation for the loss of freedom. Her power often gives her what she has no right to, but does not enable her to assert her own rights. A Sultan's favourite slave has slaves under her, over whom she tyranuizes; but the desirable thing would be that she should neither have slaves nor be a slave. By entirely sinking her own existence in her husband ; by having no will (or persuading him that she has no will) but his, in anything which regards their joint relation, and by making it the business of her life to work upon his sentiments, a wife may gratify herself by influencing, and very probably perverting, his conduct, in those of his external relations which she has never qualified herself to indge of, or in which she is herself wholly influenced by some personal or other partiality or prejudice. Accordingly, as things now are, these who act most kindly to their wives, are quite as often made worse, as better, by the wife's influence, in respect to all interests extending

Inday

beyond the funvity. She is tranght that she has no havinos with things mot of that helpere 3 and accordingly she scholar has any honest and reasciention ophicia on them 3 and therefore hardly ever unshifts with them for any leptimate parpers, but generally for an interestal one. She arither knows wints with hering in nanosy? or invitations, give her hunkaml a title, her some palser, on her admaßtrie a paod narringe.

But how, it will be asked, can any society esist without government? In a family, as in a state, some one person must be the ultimate ruler. Who shall deside when unrefed people differ in quinton? Both emous have their way, yet a decision one way or the other must be came to.

It is not true that in all waimneys association between two peoples, one of them must be absolute master: still less that the low must determine which of them it shall be. The most frequent case of valuatry association, next to marriago, in partnership in humises: and it is not found or p thought secressary to east that in avery partnerdoi, no partners shall its centile cancerda over the concern, and then them shall be bound to doy his orders. No non would enter into partnearbly on terms which would subject him to the responsibilities of a principal, with only the powers and privileges of a elerk or agent. If the law dealt with other contracts as it does with marriage, it would onlain that one partner should administer the common business as if it was his private concern : that the others should have only delegated sources; and that this one should be designated by some general atesamution of law. for example as being the oldest. The law never does this : nor does experience show it to be necessary that my theoretical inequality of power should exist between the partners, or that the perturbin should have any other conditions then what they may themselves appoint by their articles of agreement. Yot it might seem that the exclusive nower might be exaceded with less damage to the rights and interests of the inferior, in the case of partnership than in that of marriage, since he is free to cancel the power by withdrawing from the connexion. The wife has no such nowor, and even if she had, it is almost always desirable that she should try all measures before resorting to it.

L is quite two that things which have to be to decided every lay, and cannot adjust thansaves gradually, as will for a compromise, englit depend on one will once person must have their sole cortrol. Just it does not follow that this should always be the same person. The G-s matural arrangement is a division of powers between the two; each being absolute in the executive branch of their own department, and any change of system and principle requiring the consent of both. The division wither eau nor ; should be pre-established by the law, since it must depend on individual enoncities and suitabilities. If the two nersons chose, they might pre-appoint it by the marriage contract, as pecluilary arrangements are now often pre-appointed. There would seldom be any difficulty in deciding such things by mutual consent, unless the marriage was one of those unhappy ones in which all other things, as well as this, become subjects of blekering and dispute. The division of rights would naturally follow the division of duties and functions ; and that is already made by consent, or at all creats not by haw, but by ecueral custom, modified and modifiable at the pleasure of the persons concerned.

The real practical decision of affairs, to visiocer may be given the legal antichory's uffer grady depend, as it even now does, mon comparitive qualifications. The more fact that the is smallly the delay, will is most cases give the preparderance to the many at last and that loop both atain a time of life at which the difference in their years is of our impartance. There will naturally also be a more patchtal vice on the wears of the wears of



support. Inequality from this source does not dencest on the law of marriage, but on the general conditions of human society, as now constituted. The influence of mental superiority, either general or special, and of superior decision of character, will necessarily tell for much. It always does so at present. And this fact shows how little foundation there is for the amarchension that the powers and responsibilities of partners in life (as of partners in business). enmot be satisfactorily apportioned by procement between themselves. They always are so apportioned, except in cases in which the nurriago institution is a failure. Things never come to an issue of downright power on one side, and obedience on the other, except where the connexion altogether has been a mistake. and it would be a blessing to both parties to bo relieved from it. Some may say that the very thing by which an amicable settlement of differences because possible, is the nower of legal computation known to be in reserve ; as people submit to an arbitration because there is a court of law in the background, which they know that they can be forced to obey. But to make the cases parallel, we must summer that the rule of the court of law was, not to try the cause, but to give judgment always for the same aide, suppose the defendant. If so,

7.5

the amenability to it would be a motive with the plaintiff to agree to almost any arbitration, but it would be just the reverse with the defendant. The despotic power which the law gives to the husband may be a reason to make the wife assent to any compromise by which nower is practically shared between the two. but it cannot be the reason why the husband does. That there is always among descutly conducted people a practical compromise, though one of them at least is under no physical or moral necessity of making it, shows that the natural motives which lead to a voluntary adjustment of the maited life of two persons in a manner acceptable to both, do on the whole, except in unfavourable cases, provail. The matter is certainly not improved by laving down as an ordinance of law, that the superstructure of free government shall be raised upon a legal basis of despotism on one side and arbitection on the other, and that every concession which the despot makes may, at his more pleasure, and without any warning, he recalled. Besides / that up freedom is worth much when held on so presarious a tenure, its conditions are not likely to be the most equitable when the law throws so prodigious a weight into one scale; when the adjustment rests between two persons one of whom is declared to be entitled to

everything, the other not only entitled to nothing except thring the good pleasure of the first, but under the strongest mural and religions obligation not to rebel under any excess of oppression.

A pertinacions adversary, pushed to extremities, may say, that husbands indeed are willing to be reasonable, and to make fair concessions to their partners without being compelled to it. but that wives are not : that if allowed any rights of their own, they will seknowledge no rights at all in any one else, and never will yield in anything unless they can be compelled, by the man's mere authority, to yield in everything. This would have been said by many persons some generations ago, when satires on women were in vogue, and men thought it a clover thing to insult women for being what mon made them. But it will be said by no one now who is worth replying to. It is not the doctrine of the present day that women are less ausceptible of good feeling, and consideration for those with whom they are united by the strongest ties, than men are. On the contrary, we are perpetually told that women are better than men, by those who are totally opposed to treating them as if they were as good ; an that the saying has passed into a piece of tiresome cant, intended to put a complinicutary face upon an injury, and resembling

those celebrations of royal elemency which, acconling to Gulliver, the king of Lilliumt always profixed to his most sunguinary decrees. If women are better than men in anything, it surely is in individual self-sacrifice for those of their own family. But I lay little stress on this so long as they are universally taught that they are born and created for self-sacrifice. I believe that equality of rights would abate the exagerated self-almegation which is the present artificial ideal of femining champter, and that a good woman would not be more self-sacrificing than the best man : but on the other hand, men would be much nowe unselfish and self-sacrificing than at present, because they would no longer he taught to worship their own will as such a grand thing that it is actually the law for mother rational being. There is nothing which men so ; casily loam as this solf-worship; all privileged persons, and all privileged classes, have had it, The more we descend in the scale of Immanity, the intensor it is ; and most of all in these who are not, and can never expect to be, raised above " any one except an unfortunate wife and children. The honourable executions are prepartionally fewer than in the case of almost any other haman infirmity. Philosophy and religion, instead of keeping it in check, are generally suborned to defend it; and nothing controls it but that

practical feeling of the equality of human beings, which is the theory of Christianity, but which Christianity will never practically teach, while it sanctions institutions grounded on an arbitrary preference of one human being over abother.

There are, no doubt, women, as there are men, whom equality of consideration will not satisfy; with whom there is no peace while any will or wish is regarded but their own. Such persons are a proper subject for the law of divorce. They are only fit to live alone, and no human beings ought to be compelled to resociate their lives with them. But the legal subordination tends to make such characters among women more, rather than less, frequent. If the nam exerts his whole nower, the woman is of course erashed : but if she is treated with indulgence, and permitted to assume power, there is no role to set limits to her encroachments. The law, not determining her rights, but theoretically allowing her none at all, practically declares that the measure of what she has a right to, is what she can contrive to get.

(p. c) the equality of married pectors before the here, is not only the sole mode consistent with particle relation can be made consistent with particle to both sides, and constructive to the happiness of both, but it is the only means of rendering the oblight life of mathical, in may high sense, a school of moral cultivation. Though the truth may not be felt or generally acknowledged for generations to come, the only school of gomine moral sentiment is society between counts. The moral education of mankind has hitherto commuted chiefly from the law of force, and is adapted almost solely to the relations which force creates. In the less advanced states of society, people hardly recognise may relation with their counds. To be an equal is to be an enomy. Society, from its highest place to its lowest, is one long chain, or rather ladder. where every individual is either above or below his peacest neighbour, and wherever he does not command he must obey. Existing moralities, accordingly, are mainly fitted to a relation of command and obedience. Yet command and obedience are but unfortunate accessities of human life; society in conslity is its normal state. Already in modern life, and more and more as it progressively improves, command and phedicace become exceptional facts in life. coust association its general rule. The monility of the first new restrict on the obligation to submit to power; that of the ages next following, on the right of the weak to the forbearance and protection of the strong. How much longer is/ one form of society and life to content itself with the morality made for another? We have had



the morality of submission, and the morality of chivalry and generosity; the time is now come for the morality of justice. Whenever, in former ages, any approach has been made to society in equality, Justico has assorted its elaims as the foundation of virtue. It was thus in the free republies of antiquity. But even in the best of these, the ounals were limited to the free male citizens; slaves, women, and the uncafranchised residents were under the law of force. The joint influence of Roman eivilization and of Christianity obliturated these distinctions, and in theory (if only partially in practice) declared the claims of the human being, as such, to be paramount to those of sex, class, or social position. The barriers which had begun to be levelled were raised again by the northern conquests ; and the whole of modern history consists of the slow process by which they have since been wearing away. We are entering into an order of things in which justice will again be the primary virtue; grounded as before on equal, but now disc on symmethetic association ; having its root no longer in the instinct of equals for self-protection, but in a cultivated sympathy between them 1 and no ono being now left out, but an equal measure being extended to all. It is no novelty that mankind do not distinctly foresee their own changes,

and that their sentiments are adapted to past, not to coming ages. To see the futurity of the appeales has always been the privilege of the intellectual flite, or of those who have learnt from them; to have the feelings of that futurity has been the distinction, and usually the martyrilam. of a still over élite. Institutions, books, education, society, all go on training human beings for the old, long after the new has come ; much); ; more when it is only coming. But the true virtue of human brings is fitness to live together as counts; claiming nothing for themselves but what they as freely concede to every one else: regarding command of any kind as an exceptional necessity, and in all cases a temporary one; and preferring, whenever possible, the society of those with whom leading and following can be alternate and reciprocal. To these virtues, nothing in life as at present constituted gives cultivation by exercise. The family is a school of despotism, in which the virtues of despotism, but also its view, are largely nourished. Citizenship, in free comutries, is partly a achool of society in conality ; but citizenship fills only a small place in medera life, and does not come near the daily habits or inmost sentiments. The family, justly constituted, would be the real school of the virtues of freedom. It is sure to be a sufficient one of everything else. It will

always be a school of obedience for the children, ! of command for the parents. What is needed a is that it should be a school of sympathy in equality, of living together in love, without . nower on one side or obedience on the other. This it ought to be between the purcass. It would then be an excreme of those virtues which each requires to fit them for all other association, and a model to the children of the feelings and conduct which their temporary training by means of obalicace is designed to render labitud. and therefore untural, to them. The board training of mankind will never be adapted to the conditions of the life for which all other human progress is a proparation, until they practise in the family the same moral rule which is adapted to the normal constitution of human society. Any sentiment of freedom which can exist in a may whose nearest and dearest intimacies are with those of whom he is absolute master, is not the genning or Christian love of freedom. but, what the love of freedom generally was in the ancients and in the middle area-an intense feeling of the dignity and importance of his own personality; making him distain a yoke for himself, of which he has no abhorrence whatever in the abstract, but which he is abundantly ready to impose on others for his own interest or glorification.

I readily admit (and it is the very foundation vof my hopes) that numbers of married people even under the present law, (in the higher classes of England probably a great majority.) live in the spirit of a just law of equality. Laws nover ! would be improved, if there were not numerous persons whose moral sentiments are better than the existing laws. Such persons ought to support the principles here advocated; of which the only object is to make all other married comics similar to what these are now. But nersons even of considerable moral worth. unless they are also thinkers, are very ready to believe that laws or practices, the evils of which they have not personally experienced, do not produce any evils, but (if scenting to he generally approved of) probably do good, and that it is wrong to object to them. It would, however, he a great mistake in such married people to suppose, because the legal conditions of the tie which unites them do not occur to their thoughts once in a twelvemouth, and because they live and feel in all respects as if they were legally equals, that the same is the case with all other married couples, wherever the husband is not a notorious ruffian. To suppose this, would he to show equal ignorance of human nature and of fact. The less fit a man is for the possession of power-the less likely to be allowed to exercise 0.2

83

١.

it over any person with that person's voluntary consent-the more does he hug himself in the consciousness of the power the law gives him,? exact its legal rights to the utmost point which enatom (the custom of men like himself) will tolerate, and take pleasure in using the power, merely to onliven the agreeable sense of possess- . ing it. What is more; in the most naturally brutal and morally unclucated part of the lower classes, the legal slavery of the woman, and something in the merely physical subjection to their will as an instrument, causes them to feel a sort of disrespect and contempt towards their own wife which they do not feel towards any other woman, or any other human heing, with whom they come in contact; and which makes her seem to them an appropriate subject for any kind of indignity. Let an acute observer of the signs of feeling, who has the requisite opportunitics, judge for himself whether this is not the ease : and if he finds that it is, let him not wonder at any amount of disgust and indignation that can be felt against institutions which lead naturally to this deseaved state of the human mind.

We shall be told, perhays, that roligion imposes the duty of obviouce; as every established fact-'which is tao bad to admit of any other defence, is slavays presented to us as an injunction of religion. The Church, it is very true, enjoins it in her formularies, but it would be difficult to derive any such injunction from Christianity. We are told that St. Paul said, "Wives, obey your husbands ?" but he also said, " Slaves, obey ... your masters." It was not St. PauPs business, nor was it consistent with his object, the pronagation of Christianity, to incite any one to rehellion against existing laws. The anostle's accentance of all social institutions as he found them. is no more to be construct as a disapproval of attempts to improve them at the moner time. than his declaration, " The powers that he are ordained of God," gives his sanction to militury deposition, and to that alone, as the Christian form of political government, or compands passive obedicace to it. To pretend that Christianity was intended to stereotype existing forms of government and society, and protect them against change, is to reduce it to the level of Islamism or of Brahminism. It is precisely because Christianity has not done this. that it has been the religion of the unorressive portion of markind, and Islamism, Brahminism, &c., have been those of the stationary portions; or rather (for there is no such thing as a really stationary society) of the declining portions. There have been abundance of people, in all ages of Christianity, who tried to make it something of the same kind ; to convert us into a sort of Christian

Mussiminana, with the Jibbe for a Korna, prohibiting all improvement: and great has been their power, and unary have had to saveifies their lives in resisting them. But they have been resisted, and the resistance has made us what we are, and will yet make us what we are to be.

After what has been said respecting the oblimition of obelience, it is almost superfluous to say anything concerning the more special upint included in the general one-a woman's right to her own property; for I med not hope that this treatise can make any impression upon these who need anything to convince them that a woman's inheritance or gains ought to he as much her own after marriage as before. The rule is simple ; whatever would be the husband's or wife's if they were not married, should be under their exclusive control during marriage: which need not interfere with the nower to the up property by settlement, in order to preserve it for children. Some people are sentimentally shocked at the idea of a separate interest in money matters, as inconsistent with the ideal fusion of two lives into one. For my own part, I am one of the strongest supporters of community of goods, when resulting from an entire unity of feeling in the owners, which makes all things common between them. But I have no reliah for a community of goods resting on the doc-

 \mathbb{R}^{1}

1.1

trine, that what is minn is yours but what is yours is not minn; and I should prefer to decline entering into such a compact with any one, though I were myself the person to profit / by it.

This particular injustice and oppression to women, which is, to common appreheasions, more obvious than all the rest, admits of remedy without interfering with my other mischiefs ; and there can be little doubt that it will be one of the earliest remodicil. Already, in many of the new and several of the old States of the Amorican Confederation, provisions have been inseried even in the written Constitutions, securing to women equality of rights in this respect : and thereby improving materially the position, in the marriage relation of these women at least who have property, by leaving them one instrament of power which they have not signed " away ; and preventing also the scandalous abuse of the marriage institution, which is perpetrated when a man cutrans a girl into marrying him without a settlement, for the sole purpose of getting possession of, her money. When the 12 support of the family descends, not on property. but on cornings, the common arrangement, by which the man carus the income and the wife superintends the domestic expenditure, seems to me in general the most suitable division of

viabour between the two persons. If, in addition to the physical suffering of bearing children. and the whole responsibility of their care and education in early years, the wife undertakes the evenful and economical application of the hushand's earnings to the general condort of the family; she takes not only her fair share, but usually the larger share, of the badily and mental exertion required by their joint existence. If she undertakes any additional portion, it seldom relieves her from this, but only prevents her from performing it properly. The care which she is herself disabled from taking of the children and the househeld, nobody else takes; those of the children who do not die, grow up as they best can, and the management of the household is likely to be so bad, as even in point of conomy to he a great drawback from the value of the wife's curnings. In an otherwise just state of things, it is not, therefore, I think, a desirable costom, that the wife should contribute by her labour to the income of the family. In an unjust state of things, her doing so may be useful to her, by making her of more value in the eyes of the man who is legally her master ; but, on the other hand, it enables him still further to abuse his power, by forcing her to work, and leaving the support of the family to her excrtions, while he spends most of his time in drink-

dil.

ing and idleness. The power of carning is essential to the dignity of a woman, if she has not independent property. But if marriage were an equal contract, not implying the obligation of obeliance; if the connexion were no longer caforced to the oppression of those to whom it is purely a mischief, but a screamtion, on just terms (I do not now smak of a divorce), could he obtained by any wonath who was inorally entitled to it; and if she would then find all honoundle employments as freely open to her as to men ; it would not be necessary for her protection, that during marriage she should make this particular use of her faculties. Like a man ; when he chooses a profession, so, when a woman marries, it may in general be understood that she makes choice of the management of a household, and the bringing up of a family, as the first call upon her exertions, during as many years of her life as may be required for the purnose ; and that she renonnees, not all other objeets and occupations, but all which are not consistent with the requirements of this. The actual exercise, in a lubitual or systematic manner, of outdoor occupations, or such as cannot be entried on at home, would by this principle he practically interdicted to the greater number of married women. But the utmost latitude ought to exist for the adaptation of

general rules ta individual with bilities; and there ought to be notified to any other personi, from dowing their vestion to the statistic accepttionally adapted to any other personi, from dowing their vestion heiring numb for swepping chervies any diffusional within which become investiable, in her full performances of the orthoary fit more option were rightly directed on the which rightly with perfect addrep her left to her regarded by option, without any interference of how.

÷ .

L BE - P

COLUMN TWO IS NOT THE OWNER.

CHAPTER III.

ON the other point which is involved in the just equality of women, their admissibility to all the functions and occupations hitherto retained as the monopoly of the stronger sex. I should anticipate no difficulty in convincing any one who has gone with me on the subject of the canadity of women in the family. I believe that their disabilities elsewhere are only clong to in order to maintain their subordination in domestic life; because the generality of the male sex cannot yet tolerate the idea of living with an equal. Were it not for that, I think that almost every one, in the existing state of opinion in politics and political economy, would admit the injustice of excluding half the human mee from the greater number of lucrative occupations, + and from almost all high social fauctions; ordatabag from their hirth either that they are not. and cannot by my possibility become, fit for employments which are legally open to the stunidest and basest of the other sex, or else that however fit they may be, those comployments shall





he interdicted to them, in other to be preserved for the exclusive benefit of males. In the last two centuries, when (which was seldom the ease) any reason beyond the more existence of the fact was thought to be required to justify the disabilitics of women, people schlom assigned as a reason their inferior mental canacity; which, in times when there was a real trial of personal faculties (from which all wanten were not excluded) in the straggles of public life, no one really believed is. The reason given in those days was not women's unfitness, but the interest of society, by which was meant the interest of men : just as the value d'état. meaning the convenience of the government, and the support of existing authority, was deemed a sufficient explanation and excuse for the most flagitions crimes. In the present day, power holds a smoother language, and whomsoever it oppersses, always pretends to do so for their own good ; accordingly, when anything is forbidden to women, it is thought necessary to say, and desirable to believe, that they are inexpable of doing it, and that they depart from their real path of success and happiness when they aspire to it. But to make this reason plausible (I do not say rahid). those by whom it is urged must be urenared to carry it to a much greater length than any one ventures to do in the face of present experience. It is not sufficient to maintain that women on the average are less gifted than men on the average, with certain of the higher mental faculties, or that a smaller number of women than of men are fit for ogcupations and functions of the highest intellectual character. It is necessary to maintain that no women at all are fit for them, and that the most eminent women are inferior in mental facultics to the most mediocre of the men on whom these functions at mescut devolve. For if the performance of the function is decided either by competition or by any mode of choice which scenres regard to the public interest, there needs be no apprehension that any important comployments will full into the hands of women inferior to average men, or to the average of their male connetitors. The only result would be that there would be fewer women than men in such compleyments ; a result certain to harmon in any case, if only from the preference always likely to be felt by the majority of women for the one vocation in which there is nobody to connecte with them. Now, the most determined depreciator of women will not venture to deny, that when we add the experience of recent times to that of ages past, women, and not a few merely, but many women, have proved themselves capable of overything, perhaps without a single exception, which is done by men, and of doing it suc- . cessfully and creditably. The utmost that can be

said is, that there are many things which none of thom have succeeded in doing as well as they have been done by some men-many in which they have not reached the very highest rank. But there are extremely few, dependent only on mental faculties, in which they have not attained the mark next to the highest. Is not this enough, and much more than enough, to make it a tyranny to them, and a detriment to society, that they should not be allowed to compete with men for the exercise of these functions? Is it not a mere traism to say, that such functions are often filled by mon far less fit for them than munbers of women, and who would be beaten by women in any fair field of competition ? What difference does it make that there may be men somewhere, fully employed about other things, who may be still better qualified for the things in question than these women ? Does not this take place in all competitions? Is there so great a superfluity of mon fit for high duties, that society can afford to reject the service of any competent person? Are we so certain of always fluding a man made to our hands for any duty or function of social importance which falls vacant, that we lose nothing by putting a ban upon one-half of mankind, and refusing beforehand to make their faculties available, however distinguished they may be? And even if we could do without

them, would it be consistent with justice to refuse to them their fair share of bonour and distinction. or to deay to them the equal moral right of all human beings to choose their occupation (short of injury to others) according to their own preferences, at their own risk? Nor is the injustice confined to them; it is shared by those who are in a position to benefit by their services. To ordain that any kind of persons shall not be physicians, or shall not be advocates, or shall not be members of parliament, is to injure not them only, but all who coupley physicians or advocates, or elect members of parliament, and who are denrived of the stimulating effect of greater connetition on the exertions of the connetitors, as well as restricted to a narrower many of individual choice.

It will perhap be sufficient if I confine superf, in the distillet of par agreement, to functions of a public nature : sion; if I an successful at to those, it provably will be rainly granted 4 that women should be abrievable to all other executions to which it is at all material whether they are ulmitted or not. And here let mo legin by marking on one fluction, humdly distinguished from all others, their right to which is a brained outerpublic of any mostless while can be valued concerning their frequisite. These matrixes, both publications of any mostless while can be made outerpublic of a strength outer public outer strength outerpublic of any mostless while can be valued concerning their frequisite. These

fala Mito right to share in the choice of those who are to exercise a public trust, is altogether a distinct thing from that of connetius for the trust itself If no one could vote for a number of parliament who was not fit to be a caudidate, the government would be a narrow oligarchy indeed. To have a voice in choosing those by whom one is to be gaverned, is a means of self-protection day to every one, though he were to remain for eve excluded from the function of governing; me that women are considered fit to have such a choice, may be presumed from the fact, that the law already gives it to women in th most important of all cases to themselves : fo the choice of the man who is to govern woman to the end of life, is always suppose to be voluntarily made by herself. In the cas of election to public trusts, it is the busines of constitutional law to surround the right of soffrage with all needful scentities and limits tions : but whatever securities are sufficient i the case of the male sex, no others need 1 required in the case of women. Under whateve conditions, and within whatever limits, men a admitted to the suffrage, there is not a shadow a justification for not admitting women under th same. The majority of the women of any cla are not likely to differ in political opinion fro the majority of the men of the same class, unle the question he one in which the interests of venses, as wells, and in some vary invested, and if they are as a women require the suffaces, as their signature of just and lepids could be also approximately and the desires for which is a content. This is order of the desires for which is and in eacy of the state of the desires of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of the state of the state of the state state of the state of

With regard to the fitness of women, not only to participate in elections, but themselves to hold offices or practise professions involving important unblic responsibilities ; I have already observed that this consideration is not essential to the practical question in dispute : since any woman, who succeeds in an open profession, proves by that very fact that she is coulified for it. And in the case of public offices, if the political system of the country is such as to exclude unfit men, it will equally exclude unfit women : while if it is not, there is no additional evil in the fact that the unfit persons whom it admits may be either women or mon. As long therefore as it is acknowledged that even a few women may he fit for these duties, the laws which shut the door on those executions cannot be justified by any opinion which can be held respective the

capacities of woman in general. But, though this last consideration is not essential, it is far from being irrelevant. An unprojudition view of it gives additional strength to the arguments against the disbilities of women, and relationers them by high considerations of practical utility.

Let us at first make entire abstraction of all paychological considerations tending to show, that my of the mental differences supposed to exist between women and men are but the natural effect of the differences in their education and circumstances, and indicate no radical difference, far less radical inferiority, of nature. Let us consider women only as they alwady are, or as they are known to have been ; and the capacities which they have already practically shown. What they have done, that at least, if nothing clas, it is aroved that they can do. When we consider how seclulously they are all trained away from, instead of being trained towards, any of the occupations or objects reserved for men, it is evident that I am taking a very humble ground for them, when I rest their case on what they have notually achieved. For, in this case, negative evidence is worth little, while any positive evidence is conclusive. It cannot be inferred to be impossible that a woman should be a Homor, or an Aristotle, or a Michael Augelo, or a Beethoven, because no woman has yet actually pro-

duced works comparable to theirs in any of these lines of excellence. This negative fact at most leaves the question uncertain, and once to psychological discussion. But it is quite certain that a woman can be a Queen Elizabeth, or a Debornh, or a Jonn of Are, since this is not inference, but fact. Now it is a curious consideration, that the only things which the existing has excludes women from doing, are the things which they have proved that they are able to do. There is no law to prevent a woman from having written all the plays of Shakspears, or composed all the opens of Mozart. But Queen Elizabeth or Queen Victoria, had they not inherited the throne, could not have been intrusted with the smallest of the political duties, of which the former showed herself equal to the greatest.

If anything conclusive could be inferred from experience, without psychological analysis, it would be that the things which women are not allowed to do are the very ones for which they are peculiarly qualified; since their vocation for government has made its way, and become conspienous, through the very few opportunities which have been given; while in the lines of distinction which apparently were freely open to them, they have by no means so eminantly distingnished themselves. We know how small a number of reigning queens history presents, in n 2

ı

comparison with that of kings. Of this smaller number a far larger proportion have shown talents for rale; though many of them have occunied the throne in difficult periods. It is remarkable, too, that they have, in a great number of instances, been distinguished by merits the most emosite to the imaginary and conventional character of women ; they have been as much remarked for the firmness and viscour of their rule, as for its intelligence. When, to queens and empresses, we sold regents, and viceroys of provinces, the list of women who have been eminent rulers of mankind swells to a great length.* This fact is so undeniable, that some one, long ago, tried to retort the argument, and turned the admitted truth into an additional insult, by saving that anoens are better than

* Emerielly is this true if we take hate consideration Asia as wall as Neurose. If a Himboo mriscinality is strongly, vivilartly, and community sweetstel ; if order is susserred without opproxim; if oultivation is extending, and the people prosperses, in three cases out of face that principality is under a warean's rule. This faot, to me an entirely unexpected sets, I have cellected from a long official knowledge of livelon governments. There are more and instances: for though, by Hinder institutions, a warnate council rolan, sho is the lagal meant of a kinetion during the minority of the heir ; and minorities are focurul, the lives of the mole rolers being so often prematurally terminated through the effect of inactivity and semand excesses. When we consider that there princises have naver been seen in public, laye never conversed with any mon not of their own family except from hehind a contain, that they do not read, and if they did, there is no book in their languages which can give them the seconder instruction on pulitical affirirs; the example they afford of the antoral capacity of woman for government is very striking.

kings, because under kings women govern, but , ander queens, men.

It may seem a waste of reasoning to argue against a had joke ; but such things do affect people's minds; and I have heard men mote this saving, with an air as if they thought that there was something in it. At any rate, it will serve as well as anything else for a starting point in disension. I say, then, that it is not true that under kings, women govern. Such cases are entirely exceptional : and weak kings have quite as often governed ill through the influence of male favourites, as of female. When a king is governed by a woman merely through his anistory increasities, good government is not probable, though even then there are exerptions. But French history counts two kings who have voluntarily given the direction of affairs during many years, the one to his mother, the other to his sister; one of them. Charles VIII., was a mere how, but in doing so he followed the intentions of his father Louis XL, the ablest monarch of his are. The other, Saint Louis, was the best, and one of the most viscorous rulers, since the time of Charlemagne. Both these princesses ruled in a manner hardly equalled by any prince among their cotemporaries. The emperor Charles the Fifth, the most politic prince of his time, who had as great a number of able men in

his service as a ruler over had, and was one of the least likely of all sovereigns to sacrifice his interest to personal feelings, made two princesses of his family successively Governors of the Netherlands, and kent one or other of them in that post during his whole life, (they were afterwards succeeded by a third). Both ruled very successfully, and one of them, Margaret of Austria, was one ef the ablest politicians of the age. So much for one side of the question. Now us to the other. When it is said that under ouccus men govern. is the same meaning to be understood as when kings are said to be governed by women? Is it meant that auccus choose as their instruments of government, the associates of their personal pleasures? The case is rure oven with these who are as unserupulous on the latter point as Catherine TL: and it is not in these cases that the good government, alleged to arise from male influence, is to be found. If it be true, then, that the administration is in the hands of better men under a queen than under an average king, it must be that queens have a superior enpacity for choosing them; and women must be better qualified than men both for the position of sovereign, and for that of chief minister; for the principal business of a primo minister is not to govern in person, but to find the fittest persons to conduct overy department of public affairs.

The more rapid insight into character, which is one of the admitted points of superjority in women over men, must certainly make them. with anything like murity of qualifications in other respects, more not than men in that choice of instruments, which is nearly the most imcontant business of every one who has to do with gevening markind. Even the unprincipled Cathorine de' Medici could feel the value of a Chancellor de PHôpital. But it is also truo that must great queens have been great by their own takents for government, and have been well served unceisely for that reason. They retained the supreme direction of affairs in their own hands ; and if they listened to good advisors, they gave by that fact the strongest proof that their judgment fitted them for dealing with the great questions of government,

Is in reasonable to think that there whe are in far for the greater functions of publics, nor inacquible of qualifying themselves for the leasy that there are present in the nature of things, that the virtue and indexes of princes should, whenever themselves and indexes of princes should, whenever themselves is their homismos, but that the virce decision of compositions, and managers of public imitations, should be under the other virce their their princes and homismost? The read reason is plain enough; it is that princesses being more raised above the generality of men by their rank than placed below them by their sex, have never been taught that it was improved for them to concern themselves with politics but have been allowed to feel the liberal interest natural to any cultivated human being, in the great transactions which took place around them and in which they might be called on to take a part. The ladies of reigning families are the only women who are allowed the same range o interests and freedom of development as men and it is precisely in their case that there is no found to be any inferiority. Exactly where an in proportion as women's expacities for govern ment have been tried, in that proportion hav they been found adopaste.

This fact is in scorebace with the bay general conclusions which the work's imperfeccyceirence scenes as yet to suggest, concerning the prediar tendencia and aptitudes dama turistic of vormes, as women haves hithere base of loss et ay, as bey will continue to the jo far, a law already said more than cance. I comis the presentption in any one to proden to deteil what women are or are not, as or cannus to jo, stated containation. They have always bilter based to set any and the state of the state of the state weak, in so matterning a state, that their nature cannot but have been greatly distorted and dissuised ; and no one can safely pronounce that if women's nature were left to choose its direction as freely as meu's, and if no artificial heat were attempted to be given to it except that required by the conditions of human society, and given to both sexes alike, there would be any material difforence, or perhaps any difference at all, in the character and caracities which would unfold themselves. I shall presently show, that even the least contestable of the differences which now exist, are such as may very well have been produced mercly by circumstances, without any difference of natural capacity. But, looking at women as they are known in experience, it may be said of them, with more truth than belongs to most other generalizations on the subject that (1.4.4 the general bent of their talents is towards the practical. This statement is conformable to all the public history of women, in the present and the nast. It is no less borne out by common and daily experience. Let us consider the special nature of the mental capacities most characteristic of a women of talent. They are all of a kind which fits them for practice, and makes them tend towards it. What is meant by a woman's canacity of intuitivo perception? It means, a rapid and correct insight into present fact. It has nothing to do with genoral prin-

ciples. Nobody ever perceived a scientific law of nature by intuition, nor arrived at a general rule of duty or prodence by it. These are results of slow and careful collection and comparison of experience; and noither the men my the women of intuition usually shine in this do partment, unless, indeed, the experience necessaris such as they can acquire by themselves. Fo what is called their intuitive sugarity make them acculiarly and in gathering such genera truths as can be collected from their individua means of observation. When, consequently, the chance to be as well provided as men are with the results of other people's experience, b reading and education, (I use the word chance advisedly, for, in respect to the knowledge the tends to fit them for the greater concerns o life, the only educated women are the self olucated) they are better furnished than me in general with the essential requisites of skilfs and successful practice. Men who have bee much taught, are aps to be deficient in th sense of present fact; they do not see, in th facts which they are called upon to deal with what is really there, but what they have been taught to expect. This is seldom the case wit women of any ability. Their causeity of "in tuition" preserves thom from it. With equalit of experience and of general faculties, a wome

usually sees much more than a man of what ... is immediately before her. Now this sensibility to the present, is the main quality on which the enancity for practice, as distinguished from theory, depends. To discover general principles, belongs to the speculative faculty : to discern and diseriminate the particular cases in which they are and are not applicable, constitutes practical talent : and for this, women as they now are have a peculiar aptitude. I admit that there can be no good uractice without principles, and that the predominant place which quickness of obserration holds mmong a wommn's facultics, makes her particularly ant to build over-hasty generelizations muon her own observation ; though at the same time no less ready in rectifying those generalizations, as her observation takes a wider muse. But the corrective to this defect, is necess to the experience of the human mee; general knowledge-exactly the thing which education can host supply. A woman's mistakes are spocifically those of a elever self-concated man, who often spes what men trained in routine de not see, but fails into errors for want of knowing things which have long been known. Of course he has acquired much of the pro-existing knowlodge, or he could not have got on at all ; but what he knows of it he has nicked up in fragments and at mudom, as womon de-

But this gravitation of women's minds to the present, to the real, to actual fact, while in its exclusiveness it is a source of errors, j also a most useful consteractive of the contrarerror. The principal and most characteristiaberration of speculative prinds as such, consist precisely in the deficiency of this lively per cention and ever-present sonse of objective fact For want of this, they often not only overlooi the contradiction which outward facts oppos to their theories, but loso sight of the legiti mate purpose of speculation altogother, and is their speculative faculties go astroy into region not peopled with real beings, animate or inani mate, even idealized, but with personified shadow evented by the illusions of metaulossics or by th more entanglement of words, and think thes shadows the proper objects of the highest, the me transcendant, philosophy. Hardly mything ca be of greater value to a man of theory an succulation who employs himself not in co locting materials of knowledge by observation but in working them up by processes of though into comprehensive truths of science and laws conduct, than to carry on his succulations in th companionship, and under the criticism, of a yeal superior woman. There is nothing comparals to it for keeping his thoughts within the limit of real things, and the actual facts of natur

Acres (n du Tre A woman soldom rans wild after, an abstraction M The inditual direction of her mind to dealing with things as individuals rather than in grouns. and (what is closely connected with it) her more lively interest in the present feelings of persons, which makes her cousider first of all, in mything which claims to be applied to practice, in what manner acrosons will be affected by it-these two things make her extremely unlikely to put faith in any speculation which loses sight of individuals. and deals with things as if they existed for the benefit of some imaginary entity, some mere creation of the mind, not resolvable into the feelings of living beings. Women's thrughts are thus as useful in giving reality to those of thinking mon, as men's thoughts in giving with and largeness to those of women. In depth, as distinguished from breadth, I greatly doubt if even new, women, compared with mon, are at nny dissdyantage.

If the existing mental elementerivities of women see thus valuable even in aid of speculation, duey are still more important, when speculation has alone its work, for earrying out the tension shrand agentation into practice. For the reasons shrandy given, women are comparatively unlikely to full into the common error of man, that of skicking to their rules in a cass whose specialities ciller take it to class to the class to which the nucles are

applicable, or require a special adaptation of them. Let us now consider another of the admitted superiorities of clover women, greater - quickness of anorchension. Is not this preeminently a quality which fits a person for practice 7 In action, everything continually descude mon deciding promotly. In speculation, nothing does. A more thinker can wait, out take time to consider, can collect additional evidence; he is not obliged to complete his philosophy at once, lest the opportunity should go by. The power of drawing the best conclusion possible from insufficient data is not indeed useless in philosophy ; the construction of a provisional hypothesis consistent with all known facts is often the needful basis for further inquiry. But this faculty is rather serviceable in philosophy, than the main qualification for it and, for the auxiliary as well as for the main operation, the philosopher can allow himself any time he pleases. He is in no need of the canacity of doing rapidly what he does ; what he rather needs is patience, to work on slowly until imperfeet lights have become perfect, and a conjecture has ripcaed into a theorem. For those, on the contrary, whose business is with the fugitive and perishable-with individual facts, not kinds o facts-repidity of thought is a qualification nox only in importance to the power of thought itself

canonical, in the continguistics of nation, might a work on know them at all. The may be fit to be distinguished by the set of the set of the set of the start works, and the may who are mosed like works, non-set of the set of the set of the set works, and the set of the plaquest nucl promotion of public set of plaquest nucl promotion of set of the set of set of set of set of the set of holds.

It will be said, perhaps, that the greater nervous suscriptibility of women is a dismalification for practice, in anything but domestic life, by rendering them mobile, changeable, too vehamently under the influence of the moment, incapuble of dogged persevenues, uncousl and nucertain in the power of using their facultics, I think that these phrases sum up the greater part of the objections commonly made to the fitness of women for the higher class of serious business. Much of all this is the mere overflow of nervous energy ran to waste, and would crase when the energy was directed to a definito end. Much is also the result of conacious or unconscious cultivation; as we see by the almost total disappearance of " hysteries" and fainting lits, since they have gone out of fashion. Moreover, when peeple are brought up, like many women of the higher clusses (though less so in our own country than in any other) a kind of hot. house plants; shielded from the wholesome vicissitudes of air and temperature, and untrained in any of the occupations and exercises which give stimulus and development to the circulatory and muscular system, while their nervous system. canceially in its emotional department, is kent in unnaturally active play; it is no wonder if these of them who do not die of consumption, grow up with constitutions liable to derangement from slight causes, both internal and external, and without staming to support any task, physical or mental, requiring continuity of effort. But women brought up to work for their livelihead show none of these morbid characteristics. unless indeed they are elutined to an excess of sedentary work in confined and unhealthy rooms. Women who in their early years have shared in the healthful physical education and bodily freedom of their brothers, and who obtain a sufficiency of pure air and exercise in after-life, yery rarely have any excessive susceptibility of nerves which osn disqualify them for active pursuits. There is indeed a certain proportion of persons. in both sexes, in whom an unusual degree of nervous sensibility is constitutional, and of so marked a character as to be the feature of their

commization which exercises the greatest influence over the whole character of the vital unenonesa. This constitution, like other physical conformations, is hereditary, and is transmitted to sons as well as daughters ; but it is possible, and probable, that the nervous temperament (us it is called) is inberited by a greater number of women than of men. We will assume this as a fact ; and let me then ask, are usen of nervous temperament found to be unfit for the duties and pursuits usually followed by men 7 If not, why should women of the same temperament be suffit for them? The negativities of the teamenment are, no doubt, within certain limits, an obstacle to success in some comboyments, though an aid to it in others. But when the occupation is suitable to the temperament, and sometimes even when it is unmitable, the most brilliant examples of success are continually given by the men of high nerrous sensibility. They are distinguished in their practical manifestations chiefly by this, that being suscentible of a higher degree of excitencest than these of another physical constitution, their powers when excited differ more than in the ease of other people, from those shown in their onlinary state : they are raised, as it were, above themselves, and do through with case which they are wholly incauable of at other times. But this lofty excitament is not, except in weak bodily constitutions.

a more flash, which passes away immediately, leaving no permanent traces, and incompatible with persistent and steady puppit of an object. It is the character of the nervous tennorment to be equable of sustained excitement, holding out through long continued efforts. It is what is meant by mirit. It is what makes the highbred raceborse run without slackening speed till he drons down dead. It is what has enabled so many delicate women to maintain the most subline constancy not only at the stake, but through a long preliminary accession of mental and hadily tortures. It is evident that people of this temperament are particularly upt for what may be called the executive department of the leadership of mankind. They are the material of great orators, great preachers, impressive diffusers of moral influences. Their constitution might be deemed less favourable to the qualities required from a statesman in the cabinet, or from a indre. It would be so, if the consequence necessarily followed that because people are excitable they must always be in a state of excitement. But this is wholly a question of training. Strong feeling is the instrument and element of strong self-control : but it requires to be cultivated in that direction. When it is, it forms not the heroes of impulse only, but those also of selfconquest. History and experience prove that

the most possionate characters are the most fava. tically rigid in their feelings of duty, whon their masion has been trained to set in that direction. The judge who gives a just decision in a case where his feelings are intensely interested on the other side, derives from that same strength of feeling the determined sense of the obligation of justice, which combles him to achieve this victory over himself. The expubility of that lofty enthusiasm which takes the human being out of his every-day character, gracts upon the daily character itself. His aspirations and powers when he is in this exceptional state, become the type with which he compares, and by which he estimates, his soutiments and proceedings at other times ; and his habitual purposes assume a chameter moulded by and assimilated to the moments of lofty excitement, although those, from the physical nature of a human being, can only he transient. Experience of races, as well as of individuals, does not show those of excitable temperament to be less fit, on the average, either for speculation or practice, than the more unexcitable. The French, and the Italians, are undoubtedly by nature more nervously excitable than the Teutonic races, and, compared at least with the English, they have a much greater habitual and haily emotional life : but have they been less great in science, in public business, in

legal and indicial eminence, or in war? There is abundant evidence that the Greeks were of old, as their descendants and successors still are. one of the most excitable of the races of mankind. It is superfluons to ask, what among the achievements of men they did not excel in. The Romans, probably, as an equally southern people, had the same original temperament: but the stern character of their national discipline, like that of the Sportaus, made them an example of the populate type of national character: the errater strength of their natural feelings being chiefly apparent in the intensity which the same original temperament made it possible to give to the artificial. If these cases exemplify what a naturally excitable people may be made, the Irish Celts afford one of the untest examples of what they are when left to themselves ; (if thost enhe said to be left to themselves who have been for centuries under the indirect influence of had government, and the direct training of a Catholic hierarchy and of a sincere belief in the Catholic religion.) The Irish charteter must be considered, therefore, as an unforourable case : yet, whenever the circumstances of the individual have been at all favourable, what people have shown greater equacity for the most varied and multifarious individual eminence? Like the French compared with the English, the Irish with the Swiss, the

ć

Greeks or Italians compared with the German speek, so vomen compared with man may be found, and the average, to the the same things with same variety in the particular kind of exdelence. That, that they would do them folly as well on the vhole, if their exheation ranel alivation were adapted to correcting instead of aggravating the infimilities incident to their teamparametic, it sees not the smallest zeros to double.

Supposing it, however, to be true that wemen's minds are by nature more mobile than those of men, less capable of persisting long in the some continuous effort, more fitted for dividing their faculties among many things than for travelling in any one path to the highest point which can be reached by it ; this may be trae of women as they now are (though not without great and numerous exceptions), and may account for their having remained behind the highest order of men in precisely the things in which this absorption of the whole mind in one set of ideas and occupations may seem to he most remaisite. Still, this difference is one which can only affect the kind of excellence, not the excellence itself, or its practical worth : and it remains to be shown whether this exclusive working of a part of the mind, this absorption of the whole thinking faculty in a single subject, and concentration of it on a single work, is the normal and healthful condition of the human faculties, even for speculative uses. I believe that what is gained in special development by this concentration, is lost in the capacity of the mind for the other purposes of life; and even in abstract thearbt, it is my decided oninion that the mind does more by frequently returning to a difficult problem, than by sticking to it without interruption. For the purposes, at all events of practice, from its highest to its humblest departments, the enpacity of passing promptly from one subject of consideration to another, without letting the active suring of the intellect run down between the two, is a power for more valuable ; and this newer women pre-eminently possess, by virtue of the very mobility of which they are accused. They perhaps have it from nature, but they cortainly have it by training and education ; for nearly the whole of the seen pations of women consist in the management o small but multitudinous details, on each of which the mind cannot dwell even for a minute, but must ness on to other things, and if anything requires longer thought, must steal time at odd moments for thinking of it. The capacity indeer which women show for doing their thinking it circumstances and at times which almost an man would make an excuse to himself for no attempting it, has often been noticed : and . woman's mind, though it is any be accupied only with small things, can burnly eree permit itself to be vecant, as a man's so often is when not engaged in what he choses to consider the business of his BHC. The husbars of a woman's ordinary life is things in general, and can as little cessa to go on as the world to go round.

But fit is said) there is nuntomical evidence of the superior mental capacity of mon compared with women : they have a larger brain. I reply, that in the first place the fact itself is doubtful. It is by no means established that the brain of a woman is smaller than that of a man. If it is inferred mercly because a woman's bodily frame generally is of less dimensions than a man's, this criterion would lead to strange consequences. A tall and large-bound man must on this showing he wonderfully superior in intolligence to a small man, and an elephant or a whale must prodigiously excel mankind. The size of the brain in human beings, austomists my, varies much less than the size of the body, or oven of the head, and the one cannot be at all inferred from the other. It is certain that some women have as large a brain as any man. It is within my knowledge that a man who had weighed many human brains, said that the beaviest he knew of, heavier oven than Cuvior's (the heaviest previously recorded) was that of a woman. Next I must observe that the precise relation which exists between the brain and the intellectual powers is not yet well understood, but is a subject of great dispute. That there is a very close relation we cannot doubt. The brain is certainly the material organ of thought and fooling: and (making abstraction of the great unsettled controversy respecting the appropriation of different parts of the brain to different mental faculties) I admit that it would be an anomaly. and an exception to all we know of the general laws of life and organization, if the size of the organ were wholly indifferent to the function : if no accession of nover were derived from the greater magnitude of the instrument. But the execution and the anomaly would be fully as great if the organ exercised influence by its megnitude only. In all the more delicate operations of nature-of which these of the animated ereation are the most delicate, and those of the nervous system by far the most delicate of these -differences in the effect depend as much on differences of quality in the physical agents, as on their quantity : and if the quality of an instrument is to be tested by the nicety and deliency of the work it can do, the indications point to a greater average fineness of quality in the hrain and nervous system of women than of mon.

Dismissing abstract difference of quality, a thing difficult to verify, the efficiency of an organ is known to depend not solely on its size but on its activity; and of this we have an approximate measure in the energy with which the blood circulates through it, both the stimulus and the reparative force being mainly dependent on the circulation. It would not be surprising-it is indeed an hypothesis which accords well with the differences actually observed between the mental operations of the two sexes----if men on the average should have the advantage in the size of the binin, and women in activity of corebral circulation. The results which conjecture, founded on analogy, would lead us to expect from this difference of organization, would correspond to some of these which we most commonly see. In the first place, the montal operations of mon might be expected to be slower. They would neither be so prompt as women in thinking, nor so quick to feel. Largo bodies take more time ly to get into full action. On the other hand, when once not theroughly into play, mean's brain would hear more work. It would be more porsistent in the line first taken; it would have more difficulty in changing from one mode of action to another, but, in the one thing it was doing, it could go on longor without loss of power or sense of fatigue. And do we not find that

the things in which mon most excel women are those which comice most pholding and long hanoncrine at a single thought, while women do best what must be done rapidly? A woman's brain is somer fatigued, somer exhausted; but given the degree of exhaustion, we should expect to find that it would recover itself somer. I repeat that this speculation is outirely hypothetical ; it nectends to no more than to suggest a line of enquiry. I have before reputiated the notion of its being yet certainly known that there is any natural difference at all in the average strength or direction of the mental capacities of the two sexes, much less what that difference is. Nor is it possible that this should be known, so long as the usychological laws of the formation of character have been so little studied. even in a general way, and in the particular case never scientifically audied at all : so long as the most obvious external causes of difference of character are lubitually disregarded-left unnoticed by the observer, and looked down upon with a kind of supercilions contemut by the prevalent schools both of natural history and of mental ubilosophy: who, whether they look for the source of what mainly distinguishes human beings from one another, in the world of matter or in that of spirit, agree in ranning down those who profer to explain these differences by the different relations of human beings to society and life.

To an eidenburs an extent are the notions formed of the nature of women, more empirical generalizations, framed, without philosophy or analysis, upon the first instances which present themselves, that the popular idea of it is different in different countries, according as the opinions and social circumstances of the country have given to the women living in it my speciality of development or non-development. An Oriental thinks that women are by nature needlarly voluntaous r see the violent abuse of them on this ground in Hindoo writings. An Englishman usually thinks that they are by unture cold. The savines about vomen's fickleness are mostly of French origin ; from the famous distich of Francis the First, upward and downward. In England it is a common remark, how much more constant women are than men. Inconstancy has been longer reckoned discreditable to a woman, in England than in France ; and Englishwomen are besides, in their inmost nature, much more subdued to opinion. It may be remarked by the way, that Englishmen are in necaliarly unfavourable circumstances for attempting to indee what is or is not natural, not merely to women, but to men, or to human beings altogether, at least if they have only English experience to go upon : because there is no place where

human nature shows so little of its original lineaments. Both in a good and a bad sense, the English are further from a state of nature than any other modern neopic. They are, more than any other neoule, a product of civilization and discipline. Revland is the country in which social discipling has most succepted, not so much in comparing, as in suppressing, whatever is liable to conflict with it. The English, more than any other people, not only act but feel according to rale. In other countries, the taught quinion, or the requirement of society, may be the stronger power, but the promotions of the judividual nature are always visible under it, and often resisting it : rule may be stronger than unfure, but nature is still there. In England, rule has to a groat degree substituted : itself for nature. The greater part of life is carried on, not by following inclination under the control of rule, but by having no inclination but that of following a rule. New this has its good side doubtloss, though it has also a wretchedly bad one ; but it must reader an Englishman neguliarly ill-qualified to pass a judgment on the original tendencies of human pature from his own exuariance. The arrors to which observers elsewhere are liable on the subject, are of a different character. An Buglishnan is ignorant respecting human nature, a Frenchman is prejudiced. Au Englishman's errors are negativo, a Frenchman's positive. An Englishman functors that things do not exist, because he never sees them; a Frenchman thinks they must always and necessarily exist. heenase he does see them. An Englishman does not know nature, because he has had no opportanity of observing it; a Frenchman generally knows a great deal of it, but often mistakes it, heenna he has only seen it souhisticated and distorted. For the artificial state superinduced by society discuises the natural tendencies of the thing which is the subject of observation, in two different ways : by extinguishing the nature, or by transforming it. In the one case there is but a starved residuum of nature remaining to be studied; in the other case there is much, but it may have expanded in any direction rather than that in which it would snontaneously grow.

I have and that it cannot arow be haven, how much of the orientize mostal differences hereans may not wonce is national, and how much ruleficial, valence thereas was pastioned differences at all or, supporting all artificial cances of difference to be villatorse, which natural channels was the benergroupsense impublic: but dont does not forhild conjecture, and veloce certains ji naturationable, there may role to the meson of netringing a nuese degrees of probability. The first point, the origin of the differences neturity observed), it the one must accessible to spaceting, and 1 shull attempt to approach (ii, by ζ only path by which it can be reached), by true its meand consequences of extremain lumbance the meand consequences of extremain lumbance quantances of his could have been by naturpart on each of the second second second and when the emission second when the ist, and when the inextrema term path of producing the others.

Let us take, then, the only numbed uses of the observation affects, of apparent inferiority wanten to men, if we except the meraly physic one of bodily strength. No production in phyophy, science, or art, extitule it to the first run has been the work of a woman. Is there a mede of uncounting for this, without supposithat women are naturally incapable of product them ?

In the first place, we may fairly quasi-filling anomaly whether experiments has afforded sublicing argomany whether experimental filling argomany for an induction. It is searcely three generative indications of the start of t

first-rate eminence in speculation or creative art could have been expected, on the mere calculation of chances, to turn up during that have of time. among the women whose tastes and personal position admitted of their devoting themselves to these pursuits. In all things which there has yet heen time for-in all but the very highest grades in the scale of excellence, especially in the department in which they have been longest engaged. literature (both prose and poetry)---women have done mute as much, have obtained fully as high prizes and as many of them, as could be expected from the length of time and the number of conpetitors. If we go back to the earlier period when very few women made the attempt, yet some of those few made it with distinguished success. The Greeks always necessated Sappho among their great poets ; and we may well suppose that Myrtis, said to have been the teacher of Pindar. and Corinna, who live times here away from him the prize of poetry, must at least have had sufficient movit to admit of being command with that great nome. Aspesia did not leave any philosophical writings ; but it is an admitted fact that Scentca resorted to her for instruction, and avowed himself to have obtained it.

If we consider the works of women in medern times, and contrast them with these of men, either in the literary or the artistic department, such interiority as may be boserved resolve itself essentially into one thing : but that is most material one ; deliciency of originality. No total deficiency; for every production of min which is of any substantive value, has an original nality of its own-is a conception of the min itself, not a copy of something clse. Though originat, in the scase of being unberrowedbeing derived from the thinker's own observation or intellectual processes-are abundant in th writings of women. But they have not yproduced any of those great and huminous no ideas which form an era in thought, nor the fundamentally new concentions in art, whis onen a vista of nossible effects not before though of, and found a new school. Their composition are mostly grounded on the existing fund thought, and their creations do not deviate wide from existing types. This is the sort of inferiori which their works manifest: for in point of exention, in the detailed application of though and the perfection of style, there is no inferiorit Our best novelists in point of composition, a of the management of detail, have mostly be women : and there is not in all modern literatu a more electron vehicle of thought than the sty lof Madame de Stael, nor, sa a specimen of pure artistic excellence, anything superior to the preof Madame Sand, whose style acts upon t

nervous system like a symphony of Haydu or Mozart. High originality of conception is, as I have said, what is chiefly wrating. And now to examine if there is any meanor in which this deficiency can be accounted for.

Let us remember, then, so far as remarks mere thought, that during all that aerical in the world's existence, and in the progress of cultivation, in which great and fruitful new truths could be arrived at by more force of genius, with little previous study and accumulation of knowledge-during all that time women did not concern themselves with speculation at all. From the days of Hypntin to those of the Reformation. the illustrious II cloisa is almost the only woman to whom any such achievement might have been passible; and we know not how great a capacity of speculation in her may have been lost to mankind by the misfortunes of her life. Never since any considerable number of women have beens to cultivate serious thought, has originality heen possible on easy terms. Nearly all the thoughts which can be reached by mere strength of original faculties, have long since heen arrived at; and originality, in any high amae of the word, is now searcely over attained but by minds which have undergone claborate discipline, and are deeply versed in the results of previous thinking. It is Mr. Maurice, I think,

ĸ

who has remarked on the present age, that its most original thinkers are those who have known most thoroughly what had been thought by their predecessors ; and this will always henceforth be the case. Every fresh stone in the edifice has now to be placed on the top of so many others. that a long process of climbing, and of carrying up materials, has to be gone through by whoever aspires to take a share in the present stage of the work. How many women are there who have gone through may such process? Mrs. Semerville, alone perhaps of women, knows as much of mathematics as is now needful for making any considerable mathematical discovery is it any proof of inferiority in women, that she has not hanneard to be one of the two or three persons who in her lifetime have associated their names with some striking advancement of the science? Two women, since political coopony has been made a science, have known enough p it to write usefully on the subject : of how many of the innumerable men who have written on i during the same time, is it possible with truth t say more? If no woman has hitherto been great historian, what woman has had the neces sary crudition? If no woman is a great phile logist, what woman has studied Sanserit an Slavenic, the Gothie of Ulubila and the Persi of the Zendavesta? Even in practical matter

130

we all know what is the value of the originality of matuaght geniumses. It is means, investing, over again in its ruilineratary form assurables already invested and improved upon by many successive investors. When women, have laid the preparation which all new now require to be eminestly original, it will be time enough to begin judging by experience of their capacity for originality.

It no doubt often happens that a nerson, who of has not widely and accurately studied the theoretics of others on a subject, has by natural sagacity a happy intuition, which he can suggest, but cannot prove, which yet when matured may be an important addition to knowledge ; but even then, no instice can be done to it until some other acron, who does passess the previous aconirements, takes it in hand, tests it, gives it a scientific or practical form, and fits it into its place among the existing traths of philosophy or science. Is it summered that such folicitous thoughts do not occur to women ? They occur by hundreds to every woman of intellect. But they are mostly lost, for want of a husband or friend who has the other knowledge which can enable him to estimate them properly and bring them before the world : and even when they are brought before it, they generally appear as his ideas, not their real author's. Who can tell how many of the most

в 2

original thoughts put forth by male writers, helong to a woman by suggestion, to themselves only by verifying and working out? If I may judge by my own case, a very large proportion indext.

If we down from pure speculation to literatore in the narrow sense of the term, and the fine arts, there is a very obvious reason why women's literature is, in its general conception and in its main features, an imitation of men's. Why is the Roman literature, as critics proclaim to satiety. not original, but an imitation of the Greek? Simply because the Greeks came first. If women lived in a different country from men, and had never read any of their writings, they would have had a literature of their own. As it is, they have not ereated one, because they found a highly advanced literature already created. If there had heen no suspension of the knowledge of antiunity or if the Remaissance had occurred before the Gothic onthedeals were built, they never would have been built. We see that, in Feance and Italy, imitation of the ancient literature stopped the original development even after it had conmenced. All women who write are pupils of the groat male writers. A painter's early pictures even if he be a Raffaelle, are undistinguishable in style from those of his master. Even a Mezar does not display his powerful originality in hi carliest pieces. What years are to a gifted individual, generations are to a mass. If women's interature is destined to have a different collective character from that of men, depending on any difference of untural tendencies, much longer time is necessary than has yet clapsed, before it can conneivate itself from the influence of necepted models, and guide itself by its own impulses. But if, as 1 helieve, there will not prove to be any natural tendencies common to women. and distinguishing their genius from that of men. yet every individual writer among them has her individual tendencies, which at unsent are still subjued by the influence of precedent and exannie : and it will require generations more, before their individuality is sufficiently dowdoped to make head against that influence.

It is in the fine errs, properly so called, that the priori fields eachese of inferior original parsers in rounce, at first shift appears the integrity, aince organized (16 any beam) does not cachese them from these, but rather seconcage third approximate, instant of questing over third approximate, in the all finese classes midig accorded in the track in the integration of the large rate of the largest rate of the largest original part of the largest rate of the largest midig and the largest rate of the largest midig the largest rate of the largest rate of the largest midden and the largest rate of in the fine arts than in mything clao; the vast superiority of professional persons over anateurs. Women in the educated classes are almost uniwasally taught more or less of some branch or other of the fine arts, but not that they may gain their living or their social consequence by it. Women artists are all amateurs. The exceptions are only of the kind which confirm the general truth. Women are taught music, but not for the market of composing, only of executing it : and accordingly it is only as composers, that men, in music, are superior to women. The only one of the fing arts which women do follow, to any extent, as a profession, and an occupation for life, is the histrionic; and in that they are confessedly equal, if not superior, to men. To make the comparison fair, it should be made between the productions of women in any branch of art, and those of men not following it as a profession. In musical connection, for example, women surely have produced fully as good things as have ever been produced by male amateurs. There are now a few women, a very fow, who practise painting as a profession, and these are slressly beginning to show quite as much talent as could be expected. Even male painters (page Mr. Ruskin) have not made any very remarkable figure these last contaries, and it will be long before they do so. The reason why the old uninters

were so greatly superior to the modern, is that a greatly superior class of men applied themselves to the art. In the fourieenth and fifteenth centaries the Italian minters were the most accomplished men of their age. The greatest of them were men of encyclopedical acquirements and powers, like the great men of Greece. But in their times fine art was, to men's feelings and cancentions, among the grandest things in which a human being could excel ; and by it men were made, what only political or military distinction now makes them, the communious of sovercisms, and the counts of the highest uobility. In the present are, men of anything like similar calibre find semething more important to do, for their own fame and the uses of the modern world, than painting ; and it is only now and then that a Reynolds or a Turner (of whose relative rank among eminent men I do not pretend to an opinion) applies himsolt to that art. Music belongs to a different order of things; it does not require the same general powers of mind, but seems more dependant on a natural gift : and it muy be thought surprising that no one of the secat musical conneasers has been a woman. But even this natural gift, to be made available for great creations, requires study, and professional devotion to the parsuit. The only countries which have preduced first-rate composers, even of the male sex, are Germany and Italyestimates in whitely tom us point or specimi and a general estimation, women have reasolinal farhelinin Transe and Englanda, being generally (it estimates) and the first second second second second atom of the second second second second second entries in the second second second second second priorityles of marked composition much be reasoned priorityles of marked composition much be reasoned by humberles, or more peakship by demonstrative first waters in have by scores : see that here again, on the instrument of the second second second expect to see more than one eminent woman to figst eminant neuron and the instrument woman to figst eminant neuron and the instrument woman to figst eminant neuron and the instrument of the second expect to see more than one eminent woman to figst eminant neuron and the instrument of the second either in Germany or in 11m/s

There are other remains, holdes those which we have now given, that help to explain why womes remain heliud men, orea in the parentite which are open to both. For mon thing, very few vomes have time for them. This may seem a paradoxy is it is an unabulato steal after. It ho time and thoughts of orey woman have to antidy great periods demands on them for thising paratical. There is, first, the superintanduces of the foundy and the domestic exponding, which compares at least one woman in every family, generally the one of matters greans and equival experiments; put the thought is a orient as to abuit of dologating that the family is ao rich as to abuit of dologating that the family is ao rich as to abuit of dologating that the family is ao rich as to abuit of dologating that the family is ao rich as to abuit of dologating that waste and malversation inscearable from thetmode of conducting it. The superintendence of a household, even when not in other respects laborious, is extremely outrous to the thoughts ; it requires incessant vigilance, an eye which no detail escapes. and presents questions for consideration and solution, forescon and unforescen, at every hour of the day, from which the netson responsible for them can hardly ever slake herself from. If a woman " is of a rank and circumstances which relievo her in a measure from these cares, she has still developer on her the management for the whole family of its intercourse with others-of what is called society. and the less the call made on her by the former duty, the greater is always the development of the latter : the dinner parties concerts ovening parties. morning visits, letter writing, and all that goes with these. All this is over and above the engressing duty which society impease exclusively on women. of making theneselves charming. A clover woman of the higher maks finds nearly a sufficient enployment of her talents in cultivating the graces . of manner and the arts of conversation. To look only at the outward side of the subject : the great and continual exercise of thought which all women who attach any value to dressing well (I do not mean expensively, but with taste, and perception of natural and of artificial conservance) must bestow upon their own dress, perhaps also upon

LEAL OF LECTY CONSTRUCTS, WORLD RIDHS TO B Front way towards achieving respectable results in art. or science, or literature, and does actually exhaust. much of the time and mental power they might have to space for either.* If it were possible that all this number of little practical interests (which are made great to them) should leave them either much leisure, or much energy and freedom of mind, to be devoted to art or speculation they must have a much greater original supply of active faculty than the vast majority of men. But this is not all. Independently of the regular offices of life which devolve upon a woman, she is expected to have her time and faculties always at the discosal of everyboily. If a man has not a profession to exempt him from such demands, still, if he has a pursuit, he offends nobody by devoting his time to it : occupation is

V

* The approx to be the same right tensor if which tables the tables of tables of the tables of the tables of tables of the tables of tabl

received as a valid excuse for his not answering to every casual demand which may be made on him. Are a woman's occupations, especially her chosen and voluntary ones, over regarded as exensing her from any of what are termed the calls of anciety? Scarcely are her most necessary and recognised duties allowed as an exemption. It requires an illness in the family, or something else out of the common way, to entitle her to give her own business the precidence over other neonle's nonsentent. She must always he at the beek and call of somebody, renerally of everybody, If she has a study or a parsuit, she must snatch (any short interval which accidentally occurs to be employed in it. A celebrated woman, in a work i which I hope will some day be published, remarks truly that everything a woman does is done at odd times. Is it wondorful, then, if she does not attain the highest eminence in things which repairs conscentive attention, and the concentration on them of the chief interest of life ? Such is philosophy. and such, above all, is art, in which, besides the devotion of the thoughts and feelings, the hand also must he kept in constant exercise to attain 1 high skill.

There is another consideration to be added to all these. In the various arts and intellectual occupations, there is a degrees of proficiency sufficient for living by it, and there is a higher degree on which depend the great productions which immortalize a name. To the attainment of the former, there are adequate mustives in the case of all who follow the pursuit professionally ; the other is hardly over attained where there is not, or where there has not been at some period of life, an ardent dusire of celebrity. Nothing less is commonly a sufficient stimulus to underso the long and patient deadgery, which, in the case even of the greatest natural gifts, is absolutely required for great eminence in pursuits in which we already possess to many spletidid memorials of the highest genius. Now, whether the cause be natural or artificial, women soldom have this encorness for fame. Their ambition is generally confined within narrower bounds. The influence they seek is over these who immediately surround them. Their desire is to be liked, loved, or admired, by those whom they see with their eyes: and the proficiency in knowledge, arts, and accomplishments, which is sufficient for that, almost always contents them. This is a trait of charactor which cannot be left out of the account in judging of women as they are. I do not at all believo that it is inherent in women. It is only the natural result of their circumstances. The love of fame in men is encouraged by education and opinion : to "scorn delights and live laborious days" for its sake, is accounted the part

of "noble minds," even if spoken of as their "last infirmity," and is stimulated by the necess which from gives to all objects of ambition, inabaliast even the favour of women ; while to women themselves all these objects are closed, and the desire of famo itself considered daring and unfeminine. Besides, how could it be that a mounty interests about 1 not be all issues. trated upon the impressions made on those who come into her daily life, when society has ordained that all her duties should be to them, and has contrived that all her comforts should depend on them? The natural desire of consideration? from our fellow creatures is as strong in a woman as in a man; but society has so ordered things that public consideration is, in all ordinary cases, only attainable by her through the consideration of her imshand or of her male relations, while her private consideration is forfeited by making herself individually prominent, or appearing in ney other character than that of an appendage to men. Whoever is in the least expable of estimating the influence on the mind of the entire domestic and social position and the whole habit of a life, must easily recognise in that influence a complete explanation of nearly all the anuarent differences between women and men, including the whole of those which imply any inferiority.

.

As for moral differences, considered as distinenished from intellectual, the distinction commoniv drawn is to the advantage of women. . They are declared to be liciter than men ; as cupty compliment, which must provoke a bitter smile from every woman of spirit, since there is no other situation in life in which it is the established order, and considered quite natural and suitable, that the better should obey the worse, If this nices of idle talk is good for anything, it is only as an admission by men, of the corrunting influence of power; for that is certainly the only truth which the fact, if it be a fact, either proves or illustrates. And it is true that servitude, except when it actually brutalizes, though corrupting to both, is less so to the slaves than to the slave-masters. It is wholesomer for the moral nature to be restrained, even by arbitrary nower, than to be allowed to exercise arbitrary nower without restraint. Women, it is said seldomer fall under the neural law-contribute s much smaller number of offenders to the criminal calcadar, then men. I doubt not that the same thing may be said, with the same truth, of negre Those who are under the control o slaves. others cannot often commit crimes, unless at the command and for the purposes of their masters I do not know a more signal instance of the blindness with which the world, including the herd of stations men, ignore and poss over all the influences of social circumstances, than their sitly depreciation of the intellectual, and sitly panegyries on the morel, nature of women.

The complimentary dictum about women's superior moral goodness may be allowed to miroff with the disparaging one respective their erester liability to morel bias. Women, we are tald, are not capable of resisting their personal partiplities : their judgment in grave affairs is warped by their sympathies and autioathies, Assuming it to be so, it is still to be moved that women are oftener misled by their personal feelings than men by their personal interests. The chief difference would seem in that case to . he, that men are led from the coarse of duty and the public interest by their reward for themselves, women (not being allowed to have private interests of their own) by their repard for somebody class. It is also to be considered, that all the education which women receive from society inculentes on them the feeling that the individuals connected with them are the only ones to whom they owe any duty-the only ones whose interest . they are called upon to care for ; while, as far as collection is concerned, they are left strangers : even to the elementary ideas which are presup- ! posed in any intelligent regard for larger interests or higher morel objects. The complaint against them resolves itself merely into this, that they fulfil only too faithfully the sole duty which they are taught, and almost the only one which they are permitted to practise.

The concessions of the privileged to the unprivileged are so soldom brought about by any better motive than the power of the unprivileged to extort them, that any arguments against the prerogative of sex are likely to be little attended to by the generality, as long as they are able to spy to themselves that women do not complain of it. That fact certainly enables men to retain the unjust privilege some time longer; but does not render it less unjust. Exactly the same thing may be said of the women in the harem of an Oriental ; they do not complian of not being allowed the freedom of European women. They think our women insufferably hold and nufeminine. How mrely it is that even men comulain of the general order of society; and how much rarer still would such complaint he, if they did not know of any different order existing anywhere else. Women do not complain of the general lot of women; or wather they do, for plaintive elegies on it are very common in the writings of women, and were still more so as long as the Inmentations could not be suspected of having say practical object. Their complaints are like the complaints which mon make of the seneral unsatisfactoriness of human life; they are not meant to imply blame, or to algal for any change. But though women do not complain of the power of husbands, each complaints of her own husband, or of the husbands of herfriends. It is the same in all other cases of I servitude, at least in the commencement of the conneipatory movement. The seris did not at first complain of the nower of their lords, but only of their tyranny. The Commons began by elaining a few manicipal privileges; they next asked an exemption for themselves from being taxed without their own consent; but they would at that time have thought it a great presumption to chim any share in the king's sovervign authority. The case of women is now the only case in which to rebel against catablished rules is still looked mon with the same eves as was formerly a subject's claim to the right of rebelling against his king. A woman who joins in any movement which her husband disapproves, makes herself a martyr, without even being able to be an apostle, for the husbaud can legally put a step to her apostleship. Women cannot be expected to (devote themselves to the emuncipation of women, until men in considerable number are propared to join with them in the undertaking.

Ŀ

CHAPTER IV.

$$\label{eq:product} \begin{split} \mathbf{T}_{111}^{1112RE} \text{ remains a quasilou, not of less immunological strength diseased, and the strength diseased, and the strength diseased diseased diseased diseased diseased diseased diseased and the strength disease of the university of the strength disease disease and the disease d$$

It is hardly to be expected that this question will be asked in receive to the change propose in the combines of women is marriage. The afferings immorphiles, while of all sorts produces in immunerable cases by the subjection of inali videal women to isalivitani ment, are far to terrile to the overlocked. Unthinking or un considi persons, comming these cases along while we extreme, or which attain publicity, may as, that the orils are exceptional; but no one cabilited to their existences, one; in many cases to their intensity. And it is perfectly obvious that the abuse of the power caunot he very much checked while the power remains. It is a nover (given, or offered, not to good men, or to dependly respectable men, but to all men ; the most heatal, and the most criminal. There is no check but a that of opinion, and such men are in general within the reach of no opinion hat that of men like themselves. If such men did not bratally tyrnamize over the one human being whom the law connels to hear everything from them, society must already have reached a paradisiacal state. There could be no need any longer of laws to carb men's vicious propensities. Astern must not only have returned to earth, but the heart of the worst man must have become her temple. The law of servitude in marriage is a monstrons contradiction to all the principles of the modern world. and to all the experience through which those principles have been slowly and painfully worked out. It is the sole case, now that negro slavery has been abalished, in which a human being in the nlenitade of every faculty is delivered up to the tender mergins of another human being, in the hone for south that this other will use the power solely for the good of the person subjected to it. Marriage is the only actual bondage known to our law. There remain no legal slaves, except 1 the mistress of every house.

It is not, therefore, on this part of the major, that the question hiskey to be asked, for *loss*? We may be takl that the evil would subverge the good, but the ratify of the good hadris of no disputs. In regard, however, to the large question, the resulty of the good shallful theory to eliterate-dimensional of the training all homorrowide employments, and of the training all homorrowide employments, and of the training would be also be also be also be also be also all homorrowide on the state of the training and the state of the state of the training the state of the state of the state of the state of the word ensage that the integrative would be obtained by abolishing it.

To which let use fint answer, the advantage of the having the most universal and percending of all human relations regulated by justice instead of injustice. The van anomat of this gain to human nature, it is lardly possible, by any capiandian or illustration, to pluse in a stronger light than it is placed by the bree statement, to any new wheattaches a mound meaning to works. All the solidal propensities, the self-craship, the university of the self-solid stronger in the solidar propersities, the self-craship, the university of the self-solid stronger mathing, have their source and rest is, and derive their principal coordinates from, the present constitution of the relation between me and wereas. Think what is is to a boy, gove up to mailsole in the

helief that without any merit or any exertion of his own, though he may be the most frivolous and empty or the most ignorant and stolid of maskind, by the mere fact of heing born a male he is by right the superior of all and every one i of an entire half of the human race ; including v probably some whose real superiority to himself he has daily or hourly occasion to feel : but even if in his whole conduct he habitually follows a woman's guidance, still, if he is a fool, sho thinks that of course she is not, and cannot be, equal in ability and judgment to himself ; and if he is not a fool, he does worse-he sees that she is superior to him, and believes that, notwithstanding her superiority, he is entitled to command and she is hound to obey. What must be the effect on his elementer, of this lesson ? And men of the cultivated classes are often not aware how decaly it sinks into the immense majority of malo minds. Por, among right-feeling and well-lared people, the inequality is kept as much as possible out of sight ; above all, out of sight of the children. As much obedience is required from boys to their mother as to their father; they are not permitted to domineer over their sisters, nor are they accustomed to see these postsoned to them, but the contrary; the componsations of the chivalrous feeling heing made prominent, while the servitude which requires them is kept in the background.

thus often escape the bad minichees of the situation in their early years, and only experience them when, arrived at manhood, they fall under the dominion of facts as they really exist. Such neonle are little aware, when a boy is differently brought up, how early the notion of his inherent superiority to a girl arises in his mind; how it grows with his growth and strengthens with his strongth : how it is inoculated by one schoolboy upon another ; how early the youth thinks himself superior to his mother, owing her perhaps forhearance, but no real respect ; and how subline and sultau-like a sense of succriority he feels. above all, over the woman whom he honours by admitting her to a partnership of his life. Is it imagined that all this does not pervert the whole manner of existence of the man, both as an individual and as a social being? It is an exact parallel to the feeling of a hereditary king that he is excellent above others by being born a king or a noble by being born a noble. The relation between husband and wife is very like that between lord and vassal, except that the wife is hold to more unlimited obedience than the vasa was. However the vassal's character may have here affected, for better and for worse, by his subordination, who can help seeing that the lord's was affected greatly for the worse ? whether he was

led to believe that his vassals were really superior to himself, or to feel that he was placed in commund over people as good as himself, for no merits or labours of his own, but menely for having, as Figuro says, taken the trouble to be horn. The self-worship of the monarch, or of the feadal samerior, is partched by the self-worship of the male. Human brings do not grow up from childhood in the possession of presented distinctions, without pluming themselves upon them. Those whom orivileges not acquired by their merit, and which they feel to be disproportioned to it, inspire with ; additional humility, are always the few, and the hest few. The rest are only inspired with pride, . and the worst sort of pride, that which values itself upon accidental advantages, not of its own achieving. Above all, when the feeling of being mised above the whole of the other sex is combined with personal authority over one individual among them; the situation, if a school of conscientious and affectionate forbearance to those whose strongest points of character are conscience and affection, is to men of another quality a regularly constituted Academy or Gymnasium for training them in arrogance and overhearingness ; which vices, if curbed by the certainty of resistance in their intercourse with other men, their equals, break out towards all who are in a position to be obliged to tolerate them, and often revenge them-"

selves upon the unfortunate wife for the involuntary restraint which they are obliged to submit to elsewhere.

The example afforded, and the education gives to the sentiments, by laying the foundation of domestic existence upon a relation contradictory to the first orineiples of social justice, must, from the very nature of man, have a perverting influcace of such magnitude. Dust it is hardly possible with our present experience to raise our imaginations to the conception of so great a change for the better as would be made by its removal. All that education and civilization are doing to offace the influences on character of the law of force, and replace them by those of justice, remains merely on the surface, as long as the citalel of the eveny is not attacked. The principle of the modern movement in morals and politics, is that conduct, and conduct alone, entitles to respect : that not what men are, but what they do, constitutes their claim to deference ; that, above all, (merit, and not birth, is the only rightful claim to power and authority. If no authority, not in its naturo temporary, were allowed to one human being over auother, society would not be employed in building up propensities with one hand which it has to earb with the other. The child ; would really, for the first time in man's existence on carth, be trained in the way he should so, and

when he was old there would be a chance that: be would not depart from it. It us to hong as the right of the strang to power over the week radge in the very heart of society, the attempt to make the ought right of the weak the principle of its instance actions will always how myllin straggle ; for the hear of justice, which is also that of Christianity, will never gat possession of more's inneas sentiments; they will be verying against it, even when beening to [1.

The special benefit to be expected from giving to women the free use of their faculties, by leaving them the free choice of their employments, and opening to them the same field of openpation and the same prizes and encouragements as to other human beings, would be that of doubling the mass of mental faculties available for the higher service of humanity. Where there is now one nerson qualified to benefit mankind and promote the general improvement, as a public toacher, or an administrator of some branch of publie or social affairs, there would then be a chance of two. Mental superiority of any kind is at present overywhere so much below the demand ; there is such a dolicioncy of persons competent to do excellently anything which it requires any considerable amount of ability to do; that the loss to the world, by rofusing to make use of one-half of the whole cusatity of talent it possesses, is

extremely serious. It is true that this amoun of mental power is not totally lost. Much o it is employed, and would in any case be can ployed, in domestic management, and in the fer other occupations oven to women ; and from the remainder indirect benefit is in many individua cases obtained, through the personal influence of individual women over individual men. Bu these benefits are partial ; their range is extremely circumscribed; and if they must be admitted, a the one band, as a deduction from the amoun of fresh social nower that would be acquired b giving freedom to one-half of the whole sum a human intellect, there must be added, on th i other, the heacht of the stimulus that would h given to the intellect of men by the competition or (to use a more true expression) by the necessit that would be imposed on them of deservin precedency before they could expect to obtain it This great accession to the intellectual new

This great accession to the intellectual powe of the species, and to the anomulo of intellecavailable for the good management of its affine vanilable obtained, party, through the better an mere complete intellectual classifier of wome which would the improve garging parts with the of men. Women in general would be brought a opplet ogsibble classification of the interpret affains, out the higher matters of assentiation, with affains, out the higher matters of assentiation, with affains, out the higher matters of assentiation, with affains on the interpret of the start of the start of the men in the same of class of cosiety run and the sclee few of the one as well as of the other sex, who were qualified not only to comprehend what is down or thought by others, but to think or do something considerable themselves, would meet with the same facilities for improving and training their exacities in the one sex as in the other. In this way, the widening of the advers of action for women would operate for good, by mising their education to the level of that of men, and making the one participate in all improvements made in the other. But independently of this, the more breaking down of the barrier would of itself have an educational virtue of the highest & worth. The more setting rid of the idea that all the wider subjects of thought and action, all the things which are of general and not solely of private interest, are men's business, from which women are to be warned off-positively interdicted from most of it, coldly tolerated in the little which is allowed them-the mere consciousness a ' woman would then have of being a human being like my other, entitled to choose her pursuits, unnel or invited by the same inducements as any one else to interest herself in whatever is intcreating to human beings, entitled to exert the share of influence on all human concerns which belongs to an individual opinion, whether she attempted actual participation in them or notthis alone would effect an immense expansion of

the faculties of women, as well as enlargement of the range of their moral soutiments.

Resides the addition to the amount of individual talent available for the conduct of human affairs, which certainly are not at present so abundantly provided in that respect that they can afford to disucase with one-half of what nature proffers : the opinion of women would then cossess a more beneficial, rather than a greater, influence upon the general mass of human belief and sentiment. I say a more beneficial, rather than a greater influence; for the influence of women over the general tone of ominion has always, or at least from the earliest known period. been very considerable. The influence of mothers on the early character of their sons, and the desire of young men to recommend themselves to young women, have in all recorded times been important agencies in the formation of charactor, and have determined some of the chief steps in the progress of civilization. Even in the Homeric age, aibic towards the Towabac ilkcourtaloue is an acknowledged and noworful motive of action in the great Hector. The moral influence of women has had two modes of overa-/ tion. Pirst, it has been a softening influence. Those who were most liable to be the victims of violence, have naturally tended as much as they

could towards limiting its sphere and mitigating

fighting. In general, those who have been the greatest sufferers by the indulgence of selfadpassion, have been the most earnest supporters of any moral faw which offered a means of bridling anssion. Women were powerfully instrumental in inducing the northern conquerzes to adopt the creed of Christianity, a creed so much more favourable to wonce than any that preceded it. The enversion of the Angle-Saxons and of the Franks may be said to have been begun by the wives of Ethelbert and Clovis. The other mode in which the effect of women's painion has been consuicatous, is by giving a nowerful stimulus tal those condities in men, which, not being them. selves trained in, it was necessary for them that they should find in their protectors. Conrage, and the military virtues generally, have at all times been greatly indebted to the desire which men felt of being minired by women ; and the stimulus reaches far beyond this one class of eminent qualities, since, by a very natural effect of their position, the best passnort to the admiration and favour of women has always been to be thought highly of by men. From the combination of the two kinds of moral influence thus exercised by women, areae the spirit.

of chivalry : the peculiarity of which is, to aim at combining the highest standard of the warlike qualities with the cultivation of a totally different class of virtues-those of gentleness, generosity, and self-absorption, towards the non-military and defenceless classes generally, and a special submission and worship directed towards women ; who were distinguished from the other defenceless classes by the high rewards which they had it in their nower voluntarily to hestow on those who endeavoured to carn their favour, instead of extorting their subjection. Though the practice of chivalry fell even more saily short of its theoretic standard than practice generally falls below theory. it remains one of the most precious monuments of the moral history of our vace ; as a remarkable instance of a concerted and organized attempt by a most disorganized and distracted society, to mise un and carry juto practice a moral ideal greatly in advance of its social condition and institutions ; so much so as to have been completely frustrated in the main object, yet never entirely inefficacious, and which has left a most sensible, and for the most part a highly valuable impress on the ideas and foolings of all subsequent times.

The chivalcous ideal is the acme of the influence of women's sentiments on the moral cultivation of mankind: and if women are to remain in their subordinate situation, it were

٠

greatly to be lamented that the chivalrous standard should have passed away, for it is the only one at all capable of mitigating the demoralizing influences of that position. But the changes in the general state of the species rendered inevitable the substitution of a totally different ideal of morality for the chivalrons one. Chivalry was the attempt to infuse moral elements into a state of society in which everything depended for good or evil on individual prowers, under the antening influences of individual delicacy and generosity. In modern societies, all things even in the military department of affairs, are decided, not by individual effort, but by the combined operations of numbers; while the main occupation of society has changed from fighting to business, from military to industrial life. The existencies of the new life are no more exclusive of the virtues of generosity than those of the old, but it no longer entirely depends on them. The main foundations of the moral life of modern times must be justice and prodence; the respect of each for the rights of every other, and the ability of each to take care of himself. Chivalry left without legal check all forms of wrong which reigned unpunished throughout society : it only encouraged a few to do right in preference to wrong, by the direction it gave to the instruments of praise and admiration. But the real depon-

9

dence of morality must always he upon its penal sanctions-its nower to deter from evil. The security of society cannot rest on merely rendering honour to right, a motive so comparatively weak in all but a few, and which on very many does not operate at all. Modern society is able to repress wrong through all denartments of life, by a fit exertion of the superior strength which civilization has given it, and thus to render the existonce of the weaker members of society (no longer defenceless but protected by law) takrable to them, without reliance on the chivalrous feelings of those who are in a position to tyrannize. The beauties and graces of the chivalrous character are still what they were, but the rights of the weak, and the general comfort of human life, now rest on a far surer and steadier support; or rather, they do so in every relation of life except the conjugal.

At present the moral influence of women is on lease real, but it is no longer of an annihel and definitio a character; it has more nearly merged in the genomi influence of public equision. Both through the contagion of sympathyr, and through the dealers of more not-shift in the cyse of women, their feelings have great effect in theory of the contagion of the observations of the second second second second second ideal—in fratering the scattments and continuing the radiations of genit and agreements. In these points of character, their standard is higher theo that of new; in the quality of justice, somewhat lower. As regards the relations of private life it may be said generally, that their influence is, on the whole, encouraging to the softer virtues. discouniging to the sterney; though the statement must be taken with all the modifications dependent on individual character. In the chief of the greater trials to which virtue is subject in the concerns of life-the conflict hetween interest and principle-the tendency of women's influence is of a very mixed character. When the principle involved happens to be one of the way few which the course of their religious or moral education has strongly impressed upon themselves, they are potent aexiliaries to virtue ; and their bushands and sons are often uppointed by them to acts of almostion which they never would have been excable of without that stimulus. But, with the present education and position of women, the moral principles which have been impressed on them cover but a comparatively small part of the field of virtue, and are, moreover, principally negative ; forbidding particular acts, but having little to do with the general direction of the thoughts and purposes. I am afraid it must be said, that disinterestedness in the general conduct of life-the devotion of the energies to purposes which hold

out no promise of private advantages to the family—is very shiften encouncyed or supported by women's influence. It is small biants to these that they discourage objects of which they have not learnt to see the networtage, and which with draw they must from them, and from the interest of the family. But the consequences is that wereards influence is often anything but favour shifts to public virtue.

Women have, however, some share of influence in giving the tone to public moralities since the sphere of action has been a little widened, an since a considerable number of them have occupie themselves aractically in the promotion of object reaching beyond their own family and household The influence of women counts for a great des in two of the most marked features of moder European life-its aversion to war, and its addie tion to philanthropy. Excellent characteristic both ; but unhappily, if the influence of wome is valuable in the encouragement it gives to the feelings in general, in the particular application the direction it gives to them is at least as ofte mischierous as useful. In the philanthropic da nartiment more particularly, the two province chiefly cultivated by women are religious pros lytism and charity. Religious proselytism r home, is but another word for embittering of religious animositics : abroad, it is usually blind running at an object, without either knowing or heading the fatal mischiefs-fatal to the religious object itself as well as to all other desirable objects-which may be produced by the means comployed. As for charity, it is a matter V in which the immediate effect on the persons directly concerned, and the ultimate consequence to the general good, are apt to be at complete war with one another : while the education given to women-on education of the sentiments rather than of the understanding-and the labit inculcated by their whole life, of looking to immediate effects on nersons, and not to remote effects on classes of persons-make them both unable to see, and unwilling to admit, the ultimate cell tendency of any form of charity or philantheory which commounts itself to their sympathetic feelings. The great and continually increasing mass of uncallightened and shortsighted benevolence. which, taking the care of people's lives out of their own hunds, and relieving them from the disagreeable consequences of their own acts, sans the very foundations of the self-respect, self-help, and self-control which are the cascutial couditions both of individual prosperity and of social virtue-this wasto of resources and of benevolent feelings in doing harm instead of good, is immensely swelled by women's contributions, and stimulated by their influence. Not that this is

и 2

a mistake likely to be made by women, where they have actually the practical management of schemes of beneficence. It sometimes happens that women who administer public charities-with that insight juto present fact, and especially into the minds and feelings of those with whom they are in immediate contact, in which women genecally excel men-recognise in the elearest manner the demoralizing influence of the alms given or the help afforded, and could give lessons on the subject to many a male political commist. But women who only give their money, and are not brought face to face with the effects it produces how can they be expected to foreset them? A woman born to the present lot of women, and content with it, how should she appreciate the value of self-dependence? She is not self-de neudent : she is not taught self-dependence : he destiny is to receive everything from others, and why should what is good enough for her be bar for the poor? Her familiar notions of good ar of blewings descending from a superior. Sh forgets that she is not free, and that the poo are ; that if what they need is given to them un carned, they cannot be compelled to carn it ; that · everybody cannot be taken care of by everybody but there must be some motive to induce people to take care of themselves; and that to be helpe to help themselves, if they are physically capabl of it, is the only charity which proves to be charity in the end.

These considerations also how usefully the part which women take in the formation of general options, would be modified for the heter by that store calcarged instruction, and practical minomen, that would necessarily rest from their social and political erasonigation. But the insocial and political erasonigation. That the inmorement it would work through the influence they exercise, reach in her own family, would be atill more remarkable.

It is often said that in the classes most exposed to trapptation, a man's wife and children tend to keep him honest and respectable, both by the wife's direct influence, and by the concern he feels for their future welfare. This may be so. and no doubt often is so, with those who are more weak than wicked ; and this boneficial influence would be preserved and strengthened under count laws; it does not depend on the woman's servitade, but is, on the contrary, dimimished by the disconnect which the inferior class of men always at heart feel towards those who are subject to their power. But when we ascend higher in the scale, we come among a totally different set of moving forets. The wife's influoneo tonds, as far as it goes, to prevent the husband from falling below the common standard

of approbation of the country. It tends quite as strongly to hinder him from rising above it . The wife is the auxiliary of the common public opinion. A man who is married to a woman his inferior in intelligence, finds her a persetan dead weight, or, worse than a dead weight, a drag, upon every aspiration of his to be bette + then public opinion requires him to be. It is hardly possible for one who is in these bonds, to attain exalted virtue. If he differs in his opinion from the mass-if he sees truths which have no yet dawned upon thom, or if, feeling in his hear troths which they nominally recognize, he would like to act up to those truths more conscientionaly than the generality of mankind-to al such thoughts and desires, marriage is the heavies of drawbacks, unless he he so fortunate as t have a wife as much above the common level s he himself is.

Nor, in the first place, there is a lawys nonscrifte or pursonin listewest required; either o social consequence, or of pecuniary means ; per lawy the risk of even the means of valabitance These secrifics and risks ho may be willing to measure for histmatif, but he will pusse before he imposes them on his finally. And his family in this case means this with and adopticar; fo ho always hopper that his mass will field as he field ho always hopper that his mass will field as he field the start, and that what ho can do without, the

will do without, willingly, in the same cause. But his daughters-their marriage may depend upon it : and his wife, who is unable to enter into or understand the objects for which these sacrifices are made-who, if she thought them worth any sacrifice, would think so on trust, and solely for his sake-who can participate in none of the enthusiasm or the self-approduction he himself may feel, while the things which he is disposed to sucrifice are all in all to her; will not the best and most unselfish man hesitate the longest before bringing on her this consemence? If it he not the comforts of life, but only speial consideration, that is at stake, the burthen upon his conscience and feelings is still very severe. Whoever has a wife and children has given hostages to Mrs. Grandy. The approbation of that potentate may be a matter of indifference to him, but it is of groat importance to his wife. The man himself may be above ominion, or may find sufficient compensation in the opinion of those of his own way of thinking. But to the women counceted with him, he can offor no compensation. The almost invariable tendency of the wife to place her influence in the same scale with social consideration, is sometimes mado a roproach to women, and represented as a neculiar trait of feebleness and childishness of character in them : surely with great injustice.

Society makes the whole life of a woman, in the casy classes, a continued self-sacrifice ; it exacts from her an unremitting restraint of the whole of her natural inclinations, and the sole ratura it makes to her for what often deserves the name of a martyrdom, is consideration. Her consideration is inseparably connected with that of her inshand, and after paying the full price for it, she finds that she is to lose it, for no reason of which she can feel the coreney. She has sacrifieed her whole life to it, and her husband will not sacrifice to it a whim, a freak, an eccentricity : somesthing not recognised or allowed for by the world, and which the world will agree with her in thinking a folly, if it thinks no worse! 'The dilemma is hardest upon that very meritorious class of men, who, without possessing talents which qualify them to make a figure among those with whom they agree in opinion, hold their opinion from conviction, and feel bound in honour and conscience to serve it, by making profession of their belief, and giving their time labour, and means, to anything undertaken in it behalf. The worst case of all is when such mer happen to be of a rank and position which o itself neither gives them, nor excludes then from, what is considered the best society ; when their admission to it depends mainly on what is thought of them personally-and however unex ceptionable their breeding and habits, their being 2 identified with opinions and public conduct unaccentable to those who give the tono to society would operate as an effectual exclusion. Many a woman flatters herself (nine times out of ten quite erroneously) that nothing prevents her and her husband from moving in the highest society of her neighbourhood-society in which others well known to her, and in the same class of life. mix feedy-except that her husband is unfortunotely a Dissenter, or has the reputation of mingling in low ratical politics. That it is, she thinks, which hinders George from getting a commission or a place, Caroline from making an advantageous match, and provents her and her hushand from obtaining invitations, perhaps honours, which, for aught she sees, they are as well cutitled to as some falks. With such an influence in overy house, either exerted actively, or operating all the more newerfully for not being asserted, is it any wonder that noople in general are kept down in that mediacrity of respectability which is becoming a marked characteristic of modern times?

There is another very injurious aspect in which the officet, not of women's disabilities directly, but of the bread line of difference which these disabilities create between the education and charequires to a woman and hast of a man, requires to which is the ideal of married life. Intimate society between people radically dissimilar to one another, is an idle dream. Unlikeness may attract, but it is likeness which rotains ; and in proportion to the likeness is the suitability of the individuals to give each other a happy life. While women are so unlike men, it is not wonderful that selfish men should feel the need of arbitrary power in their own hands, to arrest in liming the life-long conflict of inclinations, by deciding overy ouestion on the side of their own preference. When neonlo : are extremely unlike, there can be no real identity of interest. Very often there is conscientions) difference of opinion between married people, on the highest points of duty. Is there any reality in the marriage union where this takes place? Yet it is not uncommon anywhere, when the woman has nuv expectuess of character ; and it is a very general case indeed in Catholic countries. when she is supported in her dissent by the only other authority to which she is taught to bow, the priest. With the usual barefacedness of newer not accustomed to find itself disputed, the influence of priests over women is attacked by Protestant and Liberal writers, less for being bad in itself, than because it is a rival authority to the husband, and raises up a revolt against his infultibility. In England, similar differences occasionally exist when an Evangehical wife has allied herself with a husband of a different quality : but in general this source at least of dissension is got rid of, by reducing the minds of women to such a nullity, that they have no opinions but these of Mrs. Grundy, or those which the husbaud tells them to have. When there is no difference of V opinion, differences merely of taste may be sufficient to detract greatly from the haminess of married life. And though it may stimulate the amatory propendities of men, it does not conduce to married happiness, to exaggerate by differences of education whatever may be the native differeaces of the sexes. If the married pair are well-bred and well-behaved people, they tolerate each other's insits ; but is mutual toloration what people look forward to, when they enter into marriage 7 Those differences of inclination will naturally make their wishes different, if not restrained by affection or duty, as to almost all domestic questions which arise. What a difference there must be in the society which the two persons will wish to frequent, or be frequented by | Each will desire associates who share their own tastes : the persons agreeable to one, will be ! indifferent or positively disagreeable to the other ; vot there can be none who are not common to both, for married people do not now live in different pures of the house and have totally different witting links, as in the reign of Lowis XV. They ennues help having different wides as to the bringing any of the different evides as the same repeated in them their own tastes and neutimants: and there is distarc compromosition, and only a half-anti-facedon to either a compromise, and only a hilf-anti-facedon to either as a single and, with any without intention, her constitution continues to constructive. The housing as purposes.

It would of course be extreme folly to suppose that these differences of feeling and inclination only exist because women are brought up differently from men, and that there would not be differences of taste under any imaginable circumstances. But there is nothing beyond the mark in saving that the distinction in bringing-up immensely aggravates those differences, and renders them wholly incritable. While women are brought up as they are, a man and a woman will but rarely find in one another real agreement of tastes and wishes as to daily life. They will generally have to give it up as hopeless, and renounce the attempt to have, in the intimate associate of their daily life, that idem velle, idem solle, which is the recognised bond of any society that is really such ; or if the man succeeds in obtaining it, he does so by choosing a woman who is so complete a nullity that she has no

¥]

selle or nolle at all, and is as ready to comply with one thing as mother if anybody tells her to do so. Even this calculation is ant to fail : duluces and want of spirit are not always a guarantee of the submission which is so confidently expected from them. But if they were, is this the ideal of marriage? What, in this case, does the man obtain by it, except an upper servant, a nurse, or a mistress? On the contrary, when each of two persons, instead of being a nothing, is a something ; when they are attached to one another, and are not too much unlike to hearing with; the constant partaking in the same things, assisted by their sympathy, draws out the latent canacities of each for being interested in the things which were at first interesting only to the other; and works a gradual assimilation of the tastes and characters to one another, partly by the insensible modification of each, but more by a real cariching of the two natures, each aconiring the tastes and cauacities of the other in addition to its own. This often happens between two friends of the same sex, who are much associnted in their daily life ; and it would be a common, if not the commonest, ease in marriage, did not the totally different bringing-up of the two sexes make it next to an impossibility to form a really well-assorted union. Were this remodied, whatever differences there might still

2

be in individual tastes, there would at least be, as a general rule, complete unity and unanimity as to the great objects of life. When the two per-

sons both care for great objects, and are a help and encouragement to each other in whatever regards these, the minor matters on which their taster may differ are not all-important to them ; and there is a foundation for addit fraindship, of an endwing character, more likely than anything date to make it, through the whole of like, a greater pleasure to each to give pleasure to the other, than to receive it.

I have considered, thus far, the effects on the pleasures and benefits of the marriage union which depend on the mere unlikeness between the wife and the husband : but the cvil tendency is prodiviously appravated when the unlikeness is inferiority. More unlikeness, when it only means difference of good qualities, may be more a benefit in the way of mutual improvement, then a drawback from comfort. When each emulates, and desires and enderyours to acquire, the other's neculiar qualities, the difference does not produce diversity of interest, but increased identity of it. and makes each still more valuable to the other. But when one is much the inferior of the two in mental ability and cultivation, and is not actively . attempting by the other's aid to rise to the other's . level, the whole influence of the connexion upon

the development of the superior of the two is : deteriorating : and still more so in a tolerably happy marriage than in an unhappy one. It is ; not with impunity that the superior in intellect shuts himself up with an inferior, and elects that inferior for his chosen, and sole completely : intimate, associate. Any society which is not improving, is deteriorating : and the more so, the closer and more familiar it is. Even a really superior man almost always begins to deteriorate when he is habitually (as the phrase is) king of his company : and in his most habitual company the husband who has a wife inferior to him is always so. While his self-satisfaction is incessantly ministered to on the one hand, on the other he insensibly imbibes the modes of feeling, and of looking at things, which belong to a more vulgar or a more limited mind than his own. This evil differs from many of those which have bitberto been dwelt on, by being an increasing one. The association of men with women in daily life is much closer and more complete than it ever was hefore. Men's life is more domestic. Formerly, their pleasures and chosen occupations were among men, and in men's company: their wives had but a fragment of their lives. At the present time, the progress of civilization, and the turn of opinion against the rough amusements and convivial excesses which formerly occupied most men

in their hours of relaxation-together with (it must be said) the improved tone of modern feeling as to the reciprocity of duty which binds the husband towards the wife-have thrown the man very much more upon home and its inmates, for his personal and social pleasures ; while the kind and degree of improvement which has been made in women's education, has made then in some degree equable of being his companions in ideas and mental tastes, while leaving them, in most cases, still hopelessly inferior to him. His desire of mental communiou is thus in general satisfied by a communion from which he learns nothing. An unimproving and unstimulating companionship is substituted for (what he might otherwise have been obliged to seek) the spectr of his equals in powers and his fellows in the higher pursuits. We see, accordingly, that young men of the greatest promise generally cense to improve as soon as they marry, and, not improving, inevitably degenerate. If the wife does not push the husband forward, she always holds him back. He ceases to care for what she does not care for ; he no longer desires, and ends by disliking and shumning, society congenial to his former aspirations, and which would now shame his falling-off from them; his higher facalties both of mind and heart cease to be called into activity. And this change coinciding with the new and

176

selfish interests which are created by the family, after a few years he differs in no material respect from those who have never had wishes for anything but the common vanities and the common preuminy objects.

What marriage may be in the case of two persons of cultivated facultics, identical in opinions and purposes, between whom there exists . that best kind of equality, similarity of powers and espacifies with reciprocal superiority in them -so that each can enjoy the luxury of looking up to the other, and can have alternately the pleasure of leading and of being led in the rath of develop ' ment-I will not attempt to describe. To these who can conceive it, there is no need : to these who cannot, it would appear the dream of an enthusiast. But I maintain, with the profoundest conviction, that this, and this only, is the ideal of marriage ; and that all opinions, customs, and institutions which favour any other notion of it, or turn the conceptions and aspirations connected ; with it intu any other direction, by whatever pretennes they may be coloured, are relies of primitive ' barbarism. The moral regeneration of mankind will only really commoneo, when the most fundamental of the social relations is placed under the . rule of equal justice, and when human beings learn to cultivate their strongest sympathy with an equal in rights and in cultivation.

N

Thus far, the benefits which it has appea that the world would gain by ceasing to me sex a disqualification for privileges and a bas of subjection, are social rather than individu consisting in an increase of the general fund thinking and acting power, and an improvemin the general conditions of the association men with women. But it would be a grieve understatement of the case to omit the m direct benefit of all, the unspeakable gain private happiness to the liberated half of species; the difference to them between a life subjection to the will of others, and a life rational freedom. After the primary necessi of food and mimout, freedom is the first a strongest want of human nature. While ma kind are lawless, their desire is for lawless fr dom. When they have learnt to understand meaning of duty and the value of reason, th incline more and more to be guided and restmin by these in the exercise of their freedom ; I they do not therefore desire freedom less ; il do not become disposed to accept the will other people as the representative and int proter of those guiding principles. On the er trary, the communities in which the reason I been most cultivated, and in which the idea social duty has been most nowerful, are the which have most strongly assorted the freedof action of the individual—the liberty of each to generat his conduct by his own feelings of duty, and by such have and social restraints as his own conscience can subscribe to.

He who would rightly appreciate the worth of V personal independence as an element of happiness, should consider the value he himself outs upon it as an ingredient of his own. There is no ; subject on which there is a greater habitual difference of judgment between a man indring for hiuself, and the same man indening for other people. When he hears others complaining that. they are not allowed freedom of action-that their own will has not sufficient influence in the regulation of their affairs-his inclination is, to ask, what are their grievances? what positive damage they sustain ? and in what respect they consider their affairs to be mismanaged ? and if they fail to make out, in answer to these questions, what . appears to him a sufficient case, he turns a deaf car, and regards their complaint as the fanciful ournlowness of neople whom nothing reasonable will satisfy. But he has a onite different standard of judgment when he is deciding for himself. Then, the most unexceptionable administration of " his interests by a tutor set over him, does not satisfy his feelings : his personal exclusion from the deciding authority appears itself the greatest griovance of all, rendering it superfluous oven to N 2

enter into the question of mismanagement. It is the same with nations. What citizen of a free country would listen to any offers of good and skitful administration, in return for the abdication of freedom? Even if he could believe that good and skilful administration can exist among a neonle ruled by a will not their own, would not the consciousness of working out their own destiny under their own moral responsibility he a compensation to his feelings for great ruleness and imperfection in the details of public affairs? Let him rest assured that whatover he feels on this point, women feel in a fully coual degree. Whatever has been add or written, from the time of Herodotus to the present, of the emobling influence of free government-the nerve and annual which it gives to all the faculties, the larger and higher objects which it presents to the intellect and feelings, the more unselfish public apirit, and calmer and broader views of duty, that it engenders, and the generally loftier platform on which it clevates the individual as a moral spiritual, and social being --- is every particle as true of women as of men. Are these things no immortant part of individual huminess ? Let any man call to mind what he himself felt on emerging from boyhood-from the tutelage and control of even loved and affectionate elders-and entering upon the responsibilities of manhood,

180

Was it not like the physical effect of taking off a heavy weight, or releasing him from obstructive, oven if not otherwise minful, heads? Did ha not feel twice as much alive, twice as much a human heing, as before 7 And does he innerine that women have none of these feelings ? But it is a striking fact, that the satisfactions and mortifications of personal pride, though all in all to most mon when the case is their own, have less allowance made for them in the case of other people, and are less listened to as a ground or a instification of conduct, then may other natural human feelings; perhaps because men compliment them in their own ease with the names of so many other qualities, that they are soldom conscious how mighty an influence these feelings exercise in their own lives. No less large and nowerful is their part, we may assure ourselves, in the lives and feelings of women. Women are schooled into suppressing them in their most natural and most healthy direction, but the internal principle remains, in a different outward form. An active and energetic mind, if donied | liborty, will seek for power: refused the command of itself, it will assert its personality by attempting to control others. To allow to any human beings no existence of their own but what depends on others, is giving far too high a premium on bonding others to their pur-

1

noses. Where liberty cannot be housd for, and power can, power becomes the grand object of human desire; those to whom others will not | leave the undisturbed management of their own affairs, will compensate themselves, if they can, by meddling for their own purposes with the affairs of others. Hence also women's passion for persound bounty, and dress and display; and all the evils that flow from it, in the way of mischievons baxury and social immorality. The love of newer and the love of liberty are in eternal antazonism. Where there is least liberty, the passion for nowce is the most ardent and unscrapulous. The desire of power over others can only cease to be a depraving agency among mankind, when each of them individually is able to do without it : which can only be where respect for liberty in the personal concerns of each is an established principle,

But it is not only through the sentiment of personal digity, but the free direction and disposed of their period the sentimeter of indital sentimeters of the sentimeter of the sentimeters t_{i} , a concer of unbappinnes, to human beings, and not least towever, There is nothing affore disease, indigence, and goilt, so fittal to the pleasarable on the sentimeters. There is nothing the disease for the antire for sentimeters. We now who have the cares of a family, mad while they have the cares of a family, have bits outds, and it is centrally suffices for them : but what of the greatly increasing number of women, who have had no opportunity of excreising the vocation which they are mocked by telling them is their proper one? What of the women whose children have been lost to them by death or distance, or have grown up, married, and formed homes of their own? There are abundant examples of men a who, after a life orgressed by business, retire with a connetency to the enjoyment, as they hope, of rest, but to whom, as they are unable to acquire now interests and excitements that can replace the old, the change to a life of inactivity brings ennui, melancholy, and prematuro death. Yet no one thinks of the narallel case of so many worthy and devoted women, who, having said what they are told is their debt to society-having brought up a family blanclessly to manhood and womanhood-having kopt a house as long as they had a house needing to be kept-are described by the sole occupation for which they have fitted themselves; and remain with undiminished activity but with no employment for it, unless perhaps a daughter or daughter-in-law is willing to abdicate ! in their favour the discharge of the same functions in her younger household. Surely a hard lot for the old age of these who have worthily discharged, as long as it was given to them to discharge, what the world accounts their only

ķ.

÷,

;

social duty. Of such women, and of those others to whom this duty has not been committed at all-many of whom pine through life with the consciousness of thwarted vocations, and activities which are not suffered to expand-the only resources, speaking generally, are religion and charity. But their religion, though it may he one of feeling, and of ecremonial observance, cannot be a religion of action, unless in the form of charity. For charity many of them are by nature admirably fitted; but to practise it usefully, or even without doing mischief, requires the education, the manifold preparation, the knowledge and the thinking powers, of a skilful administrator. There are few of the administrative functions of government for which a person would not be fit, who is fit to bestow charity usefully. In this as in other cases (pre-eminently in that of the education of children), the duties permitted to women cannot be performed properly without their being trained for duties which, to the great loss of society, are not permitted to them. And here let me notice the singular way in which the question of women's disabilities is frequently presented to view, by those who find it casier to draw a ludicrous picture of what they do not like, than to answer the arguments for it. When it is suggested that women's executive capacities and prodent counsels might sometimes

be found valuable in affairs of state, these lovers of fun hold up to the ridicule of the world, as sitting in maliament or in the cabinet, girls in their teens, or young wives of two or three and twenty, transported hedily, exactly as they are, from the drawing room to the House of Commons. They forget that males are not usually selected at this carly age for a seat in Parliament, or for responsible political functions. Common sense would tell them that if such trusts were confided to women, it would be to such as having no appeal vocation for married tife, or meterring another employment of their faculties (as many women even now prefer to marriage some of the few honourable occupations within their reach), have spent the hest years of their youth in attempting to qualify thomselves for the pursuits in which they desire to engage; or still more frequently perhans, widows or wires of forty or fifty, by whom the knowledge of life and faculty of government which they have acquired in their families, could by the aid of appropriate studies be made available on a less contracted scale. There is no ; country of Europe in which the ablest men have not frequently experienced, and keenly appreciated. the value of the advice and holp of clever and experienced wemon of the world, in the attain- i ment both of private and of public objects ; and

; there are important matters of public administration to which few men are equally competent with such women ; among others, the detailer control of expenditure. But what we are now discussing is not the used which society has o the services of women in public business, but the dull and hopeless life to which it so often condemus them, by forbidding them to exercise the practical abilities which many of them are conscious of, in any wider field than one which to some of them never was, and to others is no longer, open. If there is anything vitally important to the happiness of human beings, it is that they should which their hubitual pursuit This requisite of an enjoyable life is very imperfeetly granted, or altogether denied, to a large part of mankind ; and by its absence many a life is a failure, which is provided, in appearance, with every requisite of success. But if circumstances which society is not yet skilful enough to overcome, ronder such failures often for the preseninevitable, society need not itself inflict them The injudiciousness of parents, a youth's own inexperience, or the absence of external opportunitics for the congenial vocation, and their presence for an uncongenial, couderna mumbers of men to pass their lives in doing one thing reluetantly and ill, when there are other things which they could have done well and happily. But or

ころになるというともできたいでものできます。

women this scattere is imposed by actual law, and by customs equivalent to law. What, in uncalightened societies, colour, race, religion, or in the case of a conquered country, nationality, are to some men, so is to all women : a

Are to some more, see is to all semant, at permulary relations from Laborat 11 housanable accempations, but eliters rathe at assume be fulfilled by others, or weak house outlets do not think worthly of their assemptions. Suffringe arising from cursue of this handpoints are two any person targets at the start outly many start with a little propagality, that for persons are aver of the person monitor of the handpoints are two any will be rown care for expension, an increased a littlemine mettics as granter and granter disproposition harveen the ideas and facilities of weaks, and house particular the ideas and facilities of weaks, and the scores with increased littlewise at the startion of the scores with increased littlewise a thread weak of the scores with increased littlewise a thread weak of the scores with increased littlewise a thread weak of the scores with increased littlewise a thread weak of the scores with a metry allows to the iter activity.

When we censider the positive self assets to be the disquitile half of the human mane sely takin disquitilendam—first in the loss of the most inspiriting and clearating kind of present energy ment, and text in the versives, disspiritment, and produced dissufficient with the wide we so often the mbotiants for it; one feels that mong all the leases which mere require for carrying on the strength equinst the invisible imperfections of their ket on early, there is no leases which they more need, than not to all the quite model and the product of the strength of the pisologies of the quite which there is no leases which mere their jakows degree, in all that makes life valuable to the individual human boing.

permit permit

THE END.