D. D. 1500-B-1 Letter from Admiral J. O. Richardson to Admiral H. R. Stark 26 January 1940. COMMANDER-IN-CHIEF UNITED STATES FLEET U.S.S. PENNSYLVANIA FLAGSHIP 26 Jan. 1940 Dear Betty: -The remarks in your letter of 18 Jan. about the ing without warning and my need to be mentally prepared are

situation in the Far East, the possibility of something breaksomewhat disquieting.

When the China incident started and on every opportunity until after I left the job as Asst. C.N.O. I used to say to Bill Leahy Be sure to impress on the boss that we do not want to drawn into this unless we have allies so bound to us that they can not leave us in the lurch."

There is a possibility that the constant repetition had something to do with the trip of Ingersoll.

When this understanding was reached it had some value but under present conditions it has little value as it affords us the use of a base in exchange for an obligation to protect about 22 continents.

I strongly feel that you should repeatedly impress on the boss that an Orange war would probably last some years and cost much money, my guess is 5 to 10 years 35 to 70 Billion dollars.

I have always thought that our Orange Plan was chiefly useful as an exercise in War Planning, to train officers in War Planning and to serve as a basis for asking for appropriations and as a guide for developing our Navy and its shore facilities. As to actually executing the 0-1 plan I hope we will never be called on to do that unless the Administration fully realizes the probable cost and duration of such a war and unless our people are prepared to support an expensive war of long duration.

Even if we could take Truk what would we have. A secure anchorage, nothing else, several thousand miles from our nearest drydock and adequate repair facilities and still hundreds of miles from the enemy country. Of course it could not be taken without some underwater damage. To actually put on real pressure we would have to have a real base. That would take many years and much money. We ought not to go into a thing like this unless we expected to see it through.

I hesitate to write you because the written word is so easily misunderstood, also I do not know what your ideas are, what you are telling the boss, what is the meaning of our diplomatic moves, or our Senators talks, or our neutrality patrol. But you are the principal and only Naval Advisor to the boss and he should know that our Fleet can not just sail away lick Orange and be back at home in a year or so. Also the probable cost of any war should be compared the probable value of winning the war.

When we commissioned the San Diego DDs we used the facilities of San Diego Base, Active DD Tenders, or

working parties from notine DVs and their

D. D. 1500-B-1 Letter from Admiral J. O. Richardson to Admiral H. R. Stark tenders and Mare Island Yard. I think that an excellent job was done but if it had been a real Mobilization, all of these activities would have been fully occupied. How long would it have taken San Diego Fase to have done this and its other mobilization work without any outside assistance? A war plan if it is to be executed should te based on realities. All of this Letter may be needless but I know that if you do not tell the boss what you really know and feel about the probable cost and duration in Orange War NOBODY I would hate to see our leaders make a move from which they could not fracefully withdraw, and which would eventually force us into a war, helf heartedly supported by our country. In othe: words before this Nation takes a step I hope we will carefully examine the direction we are heading, where we will eventually go and he prepared to resolutely pursue the course whelever the cost. I will firish this letter after I see Adm. Sharp and send it to you by him. /s/ JOR 1 Feb. I have seen than and he has told me about his work on this trip. The Minor Toint Exercise and Critique have been completed. There was no evidence of any friction or discord. The exercise certainly led the Army to believe that the Navy There is canger that many erroneous conclusions will be drawn from this exercise. Of course in actual war we could not have done anything like using all boats from BBs, CLs & CAs for landing. The boats would not have been on board and ships could not have come in shore and stopped for 25 men while lowering boats. There was no sea, no surf and nothing but Idea! weather. If we ever do anything like this again I hope we have adequate warning, plenty of time, and proper preparation. I am sending this by Comdr. Curtis. Hastily /s/ J O Richardson Defense Note: In handwriting.

...

23 Feb

OFFICE OF CHIEF OF NAVAL OPERATIONS

Nimitz had a letter from Andrews and wrote him the

Then later sent him a copy of my letter to Taffinder -In my opinion further reply is not necessary unless to say that Nimitz has written to Andrews -

R.L.E.

Defense Note: In handwriting.

趁 文 書 五

辯

174

司

那 事 × 勃 、發 當 時 及

支

意

發

生

可

能

性

及

F.

何

力

不

安

+

拜

啓

月

附

對 如

我 窮 地 卷 込 置 出 同

求

哥

7

以

爭

7

支

持

ス

N

A.

4

1

N

1.12

爭

--

igi

朔

间

7

自

弘

ス

页

除

=

遂

行

ス

n

3

r

=

Fil

用 デ、悟 小 有 山 及 - 7 デ 1 官 ウョ官、 爭 F. 斯 使 計 デソ ト 要 ^ 諒 1 殆 用 證 常 繰 11 アノ 瓦 4 貴 9 返 = 官 " 官 價 許 5 於 我 小 ガ 値 V ス 得 油 テ官 我 ッド 政 · 1 ガ 18 ガ 考 設 又 府 銘 水 時 n 1 將 記 我 推 ガベ 般 * ス 决 展 ガ 全テ 夜 測 國 面 來 " 的 民 ヂル 訓. 爲 半價 A 1 計 値 TL ~ 才 長 手 齏 年 大 旅 牛 ガ 大 引 期 体 乃 7 陸 並 -0 デ 7 行 2 遂 資 第 至 1 F. ガ ア ヂ 3 ツ 1 行 用 即 保 主 15.0 + 以 = B N 1 1 計 粮 ト 爭 年 ス 爭 設 テ 係 ガ 2 カ ョ・三 驻 役 算 ~ h ス 1 ガ 1 痛 五 7 請 テ カ 立 現 カ _ ベ 7 要 7 多 N " 求 段 感 0 在 1+ N

爭

整

1

演

褶

ŀ

2

テ

刨

計

1 1

歷

テ

又

我

ガ

海

軍

云 基

11. h

味・シ・

7

=

於

テ

L

スで億

ルー弗

0

乃

至

七

0

億

弗

デ

. 0

分

年

間

漫

1.2

3

祭

領

1

費

效

酸一/

務

9

爭

1

木

バ

ナ

X

1

ラ -

狀

態

デ

7

V

基

地

7

3

1

艦

欧

ガ

x

ヲ

テ約一年

位

デ

サ

ッ

サ

1

177

図スルコトハ

出

フ

知

"

ケ

ナ

ラナ

叉

如

何

ナ

n

浅

爭

カ

3

貴

官

ボ

ゥ 番 離 期 近 官 待 0 何 完 1 艦 テ ヲ話 ガ 7 乾 全 我 居 出 = 書 25 0 船 + 愈 N 實 面 " 來 冬 他 遊 碇 ガ オ歴 0 除 年 1 + 小 及 HH テ 勿 官 首 t." 地 豁 知 5 壓 葉 哉 ナ 1 ラ 酒 ラ 或 迫 貴 月 1 ラ 云 " 学 释 5 宫. 誤 胯 DU 解 = 太 カラデア 対シテ主ナ唯 額 御、 理 4 9 水 n 考へガ如 为外处 + 所 拉 1 中 爲 型 ゥ 答 Ď コ + 用 = 1 n 7 h 扫 Ţ. 我 1 H 1 憑 八敛干 デ 鲁 × デ 何 態 カ 處 T ナ 1 ナル 貴 = 置 v n 質質 官 立 ル 又 证 岬 2 = 人 ^ モノデア 0 へ語 饵 谜 カ U 的 ハ 我 N 上 T. デ Æ 7 V ナ ~ 面 院 4 問 敵 7 得 基 + 鑓 9 デ 9 V 國 地 認 n 員 ソレガヤリ頭 テ アル サ カラ Æ 嬳 9 × カ、 ~ ノ酸 ^ 得 得 ナイ ル事ヲ カラ 我 尙 ナ ス 話、又 貫 幾 ガ 何 官 方 彼 百 デ 盘 ガボ 窩 呷 八出

隘

ス

中

ス

言スレバ我ガ

ガ

E

我

逐 小 我 4 让 爲 全 話 官 9 ガ 艦 計 遨 徾 翰 N 我 民 EL 27 歌 全 ガ 基 体 用 纁 現 ラ 逐 位 要 統 先 カ 達 E 部 カ モ 处 ガ ME N 云 7 變助 之 トカモ 面 助ノ下ニ 縊 究 價 間 7 ヲ

記 值 デアツ ノ流 及 ラ はツ ハナ > 寶二基 か。 シニ之 知 18h 比 役 1 設 イテ レナイガ、 殿学ニ 4 イト シタ時 蚊シテ考 母繼 " タト思フ。 ズ 全 ヲ馬シ遊ゲ他ノ 力 質 並 洲 ネ 際 追想 الا الا 使 パナラナイ 二知り且感ジテチル ケナイ 客ヲ小官ハ知ッテヰルノデア ヒ込ムトイフョトハ見 用 若 シカ 打 メア島 中 シ寅官ガーオ ツ前二我々八注意 デ 様ナ 1 アツタデアラ 3 选 ェ 岩 行 洲山 ı, シソレガ 貝 動ヲ 所ヲ使 基 作 地、 楽 レンチ酸 ナシ リタボス ウーサ 复 用シ ス 結

157.

船

近

哥.

出

來

カ

ゥ

華 我 n Ŧ 7 1 小 ガ 田 端 ノ濱 " 4 淮 來 湖 A 73 合 ソ 7. 習 .}-海 カ 7 サ [ida 習 II. 13 日 ッ 單 ラ 13 會 大 標 1 B 多 見 方 7 デ 海 7 見 向 彼 1 17 並 即 \Box 壑 A 力 工人 巡 総 ガ 消: 行 1 分 B 中 捌 出 罚 7 프 乹 N 仕 生 2 员 危 事 平. 彼 デ ラ 云 Li 叉 9 ツ ガ 7 1.龙 力 和 官 全 托 若 部 191 シ サ ×. 定 用 = ラ ス

ガ

N

經歷初

i. 海 ス

湾 湾 再防バハ 浙

時

ノ準

伽

9

想

印

匇

辯

黢

側

注.

筆

文 51

过司 令部

海軍作

作 汝 部 長

小 官 之 二 對 返 靐 7

宛 ッ 翰 " 耍 旨 1 7 彼 審

官 役 意見 A 7 1 宛

其

小

デ

=

ミッ

以外 7 以上 返 1 不必